Update regarding the notice of funding opportunity "NIJ FY 2026 Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) Byrne State Crisis Intervention Program (SCIP)"

October 30, 2026: Pre-Application Webinar -- A webinar on this NOFO will be held on November 5, 2026, at 1 p.m. – 2 p.m. ET. Preregistration is required for all participants. Register for the webinar. Submit any questions in advance to NIJ.NOFO.Support@usdoj.gov. Submit questions with the subject "Questions for SCIP Webinar."

The notice of funding opportunity begins on the next page.

OMB No. 1121-0329
Approval Expires 02/28/2027

NIJ FY 2026 Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) Byrne State Crisis Intervention Program (SCIP)

Grants.gov Funding Opportunity Number: O-NIJ-2026-172798

Application Deadlines in Eastern Time (ET):

1. Complete SF-424 and submit in Grants.gov: **November 16, 2026, by 11:59 p.m. ET**
2. Submit full application in JustGrants: **November 23, 2026, by 8:59 p.m. ET**

NIJ | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE
ADVANCING JUSTICE THROUGH SCIENCE

Contents

1. Basic Information 3
2. Eligibility 7
3. Program Description 8
4. Application Contents and Format 11
5. Submission Requirements and Deadlines 16
6. Application Review Information 17
7. Award Notices 17
8. Post-Award Requirements & Administration 17
9. Questions? 17

1. BASIC INFORMATION

Purpose of the Funding

The Office of Justice Programs (OJP) is committed to advancing work that furthers the U.S. Department of Justice's (DOJ) mission to keep our country safe and secure and uphold the rule of law and protect the rights of American citizens. OJP provides federal leadership, funding, and other critical resources to directly support law enforcement, combat violent crime, protect American children, provide services to American crime victims, and address public safety challenges, including human trafficking and the opioid crisis. OJP supports DOJ's commitment to Gold Standard Science and scientific integrity.

This is a notice of funding opportunity (NOFO) for the **NIJ FY 2026 Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) Byrne State Crisis Intervention Program (SCIP)**.[1] This opportunity will support research and evaluation to assess the effectiveness of programs funded under FYs 2022-2026 BJA's Byrne SCIP NOFOs.

BJA's Byrne SCIP provides funding for the creation or enhancement of state crisis intervention court proceedings and related programs or initiatives, including extreme risk protection order (ERPO) programs, as well as mental health courts, drug courts, and veterans treatment courts. Such programs or initiatives can include, but are not limited to:

1) Law enforcement crisis interventions;
2) Court-based programming;
3) Referrals to treatment for people in crisis; and
4) ERPO programs.

Applicants may apply to assess multiple program areas where applicable. NIJ anticipates making at least one award per topic area for a total of 4 awards. Applicants should clearly state the program area(s) on the title page.

This NOFO invites applications proposing a two-phased evaluation strategy to assess Byrne SCIP. The approach includes:

- Phase I: Evaluability Assessments
- Phase II: An Evaluation of the Byrne SCIP's Effectiveness

Applications to this NOFO should include both phases. Applications that do not meet this requirement would not be considered responsive to this funding opportunity.

Phase I: Evaluability Assessments

[1] For more information about BJA's Byrne SCIP, see https://bja.ojp.gov/program/byrne-scip/overview.

For Phase I, applicants should propose a rigorous research design to assess up to eight (8) site-level programs. An application that includes assessment of statewide SCIP programs, all BJA SCIP grantees, or an individual BJA SCIP grantee would not be responsive to this funding opportunity. Phase I activities should include an evaluability assessment plan of one or more of the four areas funded under Byrne SCIP. Applicants are not required to identify programs at the time of application; however, if programs are identified, supporting documents (e.g., letters of support) should be included. The final programs to be assessed will be determined by NIJ and BJA at a later date, and the final number of programs to be included in an evaluability assessment project may change.

Applicants should include an evaluability assessment plan that outlines their approach to, but would not be limited to, the following tasks:

- **Descriptions of Program Activities.** Assess program activities at selected Byrne SCIP sites that aim to prevent or reduce crime and violence, with a particular focus on gun violence. This should include, at a minimum, program strategies and implementation, site-level collaborations, coordination with complementary violence prevention efforts, when applicable, and the site's logic model. Applicants are encouraged to define parameters to appropriately scope the assessment.
- **Assessment of Program Maturity and Implementation.** Assess and document the maturity of program and initiative implementation at each site, including whether efforts involve new program development or the continuation of an existing initiative. Describe the program model and associated implementation fidelity benchmarks.
- **Development of a Logic Model.** Develop a program logic model that outlines key strategies, inputs, and intended outcomes. Applicants should clearly describe how the proposed programs are expected to contribute to outcomes such as reducing gun violence.
- **Assessment of Data Availability and Quality**. Assess and document the availability and quality of operational and administrative data at each site. Identify data available or to be collected to measure program activities and outcomes supporting the forthcoming impact evaluation.
- **Development of Primary Data Collection Tools**. Describe how data collection tools (e.g., interview guides, focus group protocols, etc.) will be developed and used in the evaluability assessment. Applicants that have already developed draft data collection tools should include them with the application.
- **Assessment of Evaluation Feasibility.** Evaluate each program's capacity to participate in a rigorous, multi-site, multi-year evaluation. The assessment should include recommendations on site readiness, additional support or resources needed, and the most suitable type of evaluation for each site.

- **Identification of a Counterfactual.** Specify a counterfactual for assessing change, including sample size for the treatment and comparison groups, details on participation and recruitment, etc.
- **Power Analysis**. Conduct a power analysis to inform the Phase II evaluation, specifying sample sizes needed to detect differences between treatment and control/comparison groups based on anticipated effect sizes. For multi-site evaluations, explain the comparability of interventions, populations, and contexts to justify pooling, and include both pooled and site-specific calculations.
- **Assessment of Contamination.** Identify potential sources of contamination in the evaluation design and describe strategies to mitigate them and other threats to internal validity.
- **Identification of Outcomes.** Specify outcome measures for reducing violence and crime, particularly gun violence, and describe the level at which changes will be measured (e.g., jurisdiction, individual, etc.).

A special condition will be attached to the award stipulating that the grantee may not obligate, expend, or drawdown more than 10% of the budgeted amount for Phase I subject to other withholding special conditions, until NIJ approves the revised evaluability assessment plan. No funds will be released for research activities involving the human subjects component of the study until NIJ's human subjects protection officer receives the required documentation and the special conditions placed on the award to protect human subjects are removed.

Completing the Phase I evaluability assessments should not exceed a 15-month performance period. The budget proposed for Phase I activities will be final. No additional funds will be provided for Phase I activities.

Phase II funding will be released at the NIJ Director’s discretion and is contingent on the clearance of human subjects and privacy conditions, as well as the successful completion, submission, and approval of Phase I activities and deliverables.

Phase II: An Evaluation of Byrne SCIP’s Effectiveness

NIJ seeks to assess the effectiveness of the Byrne SCIP programs or initiatives in preventing violence and suicide, as well as information about the variables that influence the effectiveness of site-level programs. For Phase II, proposals should include a rigorous evaluation research design and plan to conduct a multi-site evaluation of Byrne SCIP. Up to eight (8) sites determined to be ready for evaluation based on the Phase I assessment will be included in the Phase II evaluation; however the specific evaluation design for each site may vary based on a number of factors including but not limited to projected sample size, etc. Evaluations should assess both program implementation and program outcomes.

Upon completion of Phase I, the recipient will work with NIJ to revise and finalize the evaluation design plan and budget. The budget should be commensurate with the level of effort for Phase II activities, accounting for factors such as the

number of evaluation sites, participant sample size, and data collection complexity.

Data collection activities and project timelines should include planning for the possible end of BJA Byrne SCIP program activities on or before September 30, 2029.

A special condition will be attached to all awards made under this NOFO stipulating that the grantee may not obligate, expend, or drawdown more than 10% of the budgeted amount for Phase II, subject to other withholding special conditions, until NIJ approves the revised evaluation plan. No funds will be released for research activities involving the human subjects component of the study until NIJ's human subjects protection officer receives the required documentation and the special conditions placed on the award to protect human subjects are removed

Completing the Phase II evaluation should not exceed a 33-month performance period. No additional funds will be provided for Phase II activities.

Funding under this NOFO may only be used to cover costs associated with the evaluation but may include reasonable costs incurred by program personnel to support activities directly and exclusively required for the execution of the evaluation (e.g., manual extraction of administrative records).

Proposals submitted under this NOFO must include cost/benefit and cost effectiveness analyses. Applicants are encouraged to review the information found here Applications Proposing Evaluation Research.

See the Eligible Applicants section for eligibility details.

Funding Details

Announcement Type	Initial
Assistance Listing Number	16.560
Statutory Authority	Bipartisan Safer Communities Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2022 (Pub. L. No. 117-159, 136 Stat. 1313, 1339); 28 U.S.C. 530C.
Grants.gov Opportunity Number	O-NIJ-2026-172798
Expected Total Amount of Funding	$23,773,974
Anticipated Number of Awards	4
Award Type(s)	Grants and Cooperative Agreements
Anticipated Award Amount	Up to $5,943,493
Expected Award Period(s)	Awards are anticipated by January 1, 2027, with an up to 48-month performance period. Award clearing takes roughly three months,

	during which research may be prohibited or significantly constrained.

Key Dates and Times

NOFO Release Date	October 9, 2026
Pre-Application Webinar	1:00 - 2:00 p.m. ET, November 5, 2026 Register for the webinar
SAM.gov Registration/Renewal	Begin the process as soon as possible, and no later than November 3, 2026
Step 1: Grants.gov Deadline	11:59 p.m. ET on November 16, 2026
Step 2: JustGrants Deadline	8:59 p.m. ET on November 23, 2026

See Questions? at the end of this NOFO for agency contact information.

2. ELIGIBILITY

Eligible Applicants

The types of entities that are eligible to apply are:

Government Entities

- State governments
- County governments
- City or township governments
- Special district governments
- Native American Tribal governments (federally recognized)
- Native American Tribal governments (other than federally recognized)

Educational Organizations

- Public and state-controlled institutions of higher education
- Private institutions of higher education

Nonprofit Organizations

- Nonprofits having a 501(c)(3) status with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), other than institutions of higher education
- Nonprofits that do not have a 501(c)(3) status with the IRS, other than institutions of higher education

For-Profit Organizations

- Organizations other than small businesses
- Small businesses

Other

- Other Units of Local Government

"Other" Entities Definition:

- **Other Units of Local Government:** For the purposes of this notice of funding opportunity, other units of local government include towns, boroughs, parishes, villages, or other general purpose political subdivisions of a state.

Non-U.S. entities are not eligible for awards. All grant awards are made to U.S. institutions. Read the Application Resource Guide for definitions of State Government Entities and Small Businesses.

Cost Sharing (Match) Requirement: Match is not required but see details regarding "Cofunding" here Guidance for Applicants and Awardees.

3. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Statutory Authority

Bipartisan Safer Communities Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2022 (Pub. L. No. 117-159, 136 Stat. 1313, 1339); 28 U.S.C. 530C.

Agency Funding Priorities

To help meet its mission, NIJ may give priority consideration to applications proposing (as applicable within the scope of this NOFO) projects designed to advance the goals listed below:

(a) Directly supporting law enforcement operations (including immigration law enforcement operations);
(b) Combatting violent crime;
(c) Supporting services to American citizens;
(d) Protecting American children; and
(e) Supporting American victims of trafficking and sexual assault.

Note: Addressing these priorities is one of many factors that NIJ considers in making funding decisions. Receiving priority consideration does not guarantee funding.

Unallowable Activities: The following are certain unallowable costs and certain activities that are out of the program scope and will not be funded.

1. Out of program scope is any program or activity, at any tier that, directly or indirectly, violates (or promotes or facilitates the violation of) federal immigration law (including 8 U.S.C. § 1373) or impedes or hinders the enforcement of federal immigration law — including by failing to comply with 8 U.S.C. § 1373, give access to DHS agents, or honor DHS requests and provide requested notice to DHS agents.

2. Out of program scope is any program or activity, at any tier, that violates any applicable Federal civil rights or nondiscrimination law. This includes violations that (1) indirectly violate the law, including by promoting or facilitating violations;

or (2) unlawfully favor individuals in any race or protected group, including on a majority or minority, or privileged or unprivileged, basis, within a given area, population, or sector.

3. As specified in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide, in Chapter 3.13 "Unallowable Costs" ("Legal Services for Aliens"), any obligations of funds, at any tier, under this award to provide (or to support the provision of) legal services to any removable alien or any alien otherwise unlawfully present in the United States shall be unallowable costs for purposes any award made under this notice, but the foregoing shall not be understood to apply: (1) to legal services to obtain protection orders for victims of crime; or (2) to immigration-related legal services that may be expressly authorized or required by any law, or any judicial ruling, governing or applicable to the award.

Goals and Objectives of This Funding Opportunity

Goal: Advance knowledge that assists state, tribal, and local law enforcement and other criminal justice stakeholders regarding the effectiveness of state crisis intervention programs by independently and objectively examining programs funded under the BJA Byrne SCIP.

- **Objective 1:** Assess the evaluability of BJA Byrne SCIP.
- **Objective 2:** Evaluate the effectiveness of BJA Byrne SCIP programs or initiatives in preventing violence and suicide.

For a description of how awards under this NOFO will contribute to achieving these goals and objectives, see the Performance Reports section below.

Expected Outcomes

NIJ expects recipients to submit deliverables and performance reports, as described below. Read the Application Resource Guide for more information. NIJ will measure success by reviewing a recipient's submission of performance reports and data and the extent to which project implementation reflects progress toward the goals and objectives of this NOFO.

Deliverables

Recipients will be expected to deliver the following:

- **Scholarly Products** as described at Post-Award Requirements for Research, Development, and Evaluation Grants should be disseminated throughout the period of performance to the extent possible. Development and delivery of interim products should be built into the Project Timeline. Author-accepted manuscripts for peer-reviewed publications derived from research funded by the award should be deposited in a publication repository designated by NIJ. A final research paper is required.

- **Research Dissemination Products** should translate the research into actionable information for policymakers and practitioners to promote change in the field. Grantees should devote equal effort to development of these products as they to do scholarly products.
- **Data Sets and Associated Files and Documentation** resulting in whole or in part from their funded research must be archived to ensure the preservation, availability, and transparency of data collected. For more information on this requirement, please review Data Archiving.
- **Additional Deliverables:**
    - A summary progress report of award activities and interim findings, if available, for each fiscal year (October 1–September 30) for the life of the grant. Content from the summary progress report will be provided to BJA for inclusion in BJA's Annual Report to Congress. This report will be due no later than October 1st for the life of the grant.
- **Phase I Deliverables:**[2]
    - An outline of the evaluability assessment report shall be delivered to NIJ five months (5) before the end of Phase I.
    - A draft of the evaluability assessment report and an executive summary shall be delivered three (3) months before the end of Phase I activities. The final report should detail a general description of the methods used and activities performed, results of the evaluability assessment for each site which includes a site profile for each of the eight sites, and any significant lessons learned.
    - The site profiles for each program should include at a minimum: recommendations on whether an evaluation is feasible, and if so, the type of evaluation, the program model and its implementation, collaborations within other programs, if applicable, and details on how SCIP was implemented in concert with or complementary to other violence prevention efforts in the locality, including the site's logic model.
    - A revised, final version of the evaluability assessment report.
    - A memo detailing recommended changes to Phase II activities. The memo should describe recommended changes to the evaluation design based on evaluability assessment results, revisions to data collection instruments for the impact evaluation, and other modifications as needed.
- **Phase II Deliverables:**
    - Draft and final research report as detailed above.

[2] Successful grantees will work closely with NIJ to develop the formatting and structure for the evaluability assessment reports, and to revise and finalize the evaluability assessment plan.

Performance Reports

OJP requires recipients to submit regular performance reports that communicate progress toward achieving Program Goals and Objectives. Read information on performance reporting. For NIJ's post award reporting requirements, please review Post-Award Requirements for Research, Development, and Evaluation Grants.

A list of performance measure questions for this funding opportunity can be found at Performance Measures for Award Recipients.

Substantial Involvement of Federal Agency: OJP expects to make some or all awards under this NOFO as cooperative agreements, which allows OJP to have substantial involvement in carrying out the project. Read the Application Resource Guide for a discussion of this involvement and related award conditions.

4. APPLICATION CONTENTS AND FORMAT

Application Contents List

NIJ will only consider applications that contain all required parts, which are marked ***Required*** below. Refer to the DOJ Grant Application Submission Checklist for application instructions.

Application Item	Submission Type
Step 1: Grants.gov	
Application for Federal Assistance: SF-424 ***Required***	Online Form
Step 2: JustGrants	
Standard Applicant Information ***Required***	Online Form
Proposal Abstract	Text Box
Data Requested With Application • Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status) • Agency Funding Priorities Inventory • Principal Investigator Contact Information	Online Form
Proposal Narrative ***Required***	Attachment

Budget ***Required***	Online Form
Other Budget/Financial Attachments • Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) • Consultant Rate (if applicable) • Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation for Awards Over $250,000; Waiver (if applicable) • Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation (if applicable)	Attachment
Additional Application Components • Gold Standard Science • Biographical Sketch Forms ***Required*** • Current and Pending (Other) Support Common Forms ***Required*** • Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) • Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity Statement • Letters of Support (if applicable) • Bibliography/References • Tools/Instruments, Questionnaires, Tables/Charts/Graphs, or Maps • List of Individuals in the Application • Human Subjects Protection • Privacy Certificate • Request to Use Incentives or Stipends • Project Management Plan and Timeline • Consortium/Contractual Agreements • Data Management, Sharing, and Archiving Plan (DMSAP)	Attachment

In addition to the items marked ***Required*** in the table above, the following are considered basic minimum requirement elements that are considered in the responsiveness review:

- The application is submitted by an eligible applicant.
- The requested award amount does not exceed the award ceiling.
- The application is responsive to the scope of the NOFO.

Standard Applicant Information ***Required***

Review and edit as needed the information imported from the SF-424 submitted in Grants.gov. Add ZIP codes for areas affected by the project.

Proposal Abstract

In JustGrants, enter a proposal abstract (limited to 2,000 characters) summarizing the proposed project. As abstracts for funded projects are public, do not include any personally identifying information (e.g., staff names). The abstract should include the following information:

- The name of the applicant's proposed project.
- The goals, objectives, and research questions to be addressed.
- The research design and methods.
- Work products to be produced and the dissemination plan.

Data Requested With Application

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status)

Review information on this questionnaire.

Agency Funding Priorities Inventory

Applicants seeking priority consideration under the Agency Funding Priorities section should answer the inventory questions in their entirety in the web-based form in JustGrants.

Principal Investigator Contact Information

To facilitate administrative processes associated with application review and post review activities, applicants are requested to enter the name of the Principal Investigator for the application project, as well as their contact information.

Proposal Narrative *Required*

Format of the Proposal Narrative

Submit the narrative as an attachment in JustGrants. The document should:

- Be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point size font
- Have no less than 1-inch margins
- Have numbered pages
- Not exceed 30 pages

If the Proposal Narrative does not follow this format, NIJ may reduce the score/ranking of the application.

Sections of the Proposal Narrative

The Proposal Narrative should include the sections listed below. If you want priority consideration, the Proposal Narrative must state which priority(ies) your project will advance and describe how it will do so.

1. Title Page (not counted against the proposal narrative page limit): The title page should include:

- Project title.
- Submission date.
- Funding opportunity number.
- Principal Investigator (and any co-principal investigators).
- Unique author identifier (e.g., ORCID, Researcher ID, Scopus Author ID), if available.
- Requests for consideration under “agency funding priorities”.
- BJA Byrne SCIP area: law enforcement crisis interventions; court-based programming; referrals to treatment for people in crisis; and ERPO Programs.
- Key words, including science focus areas.

2. Resubmit Response (if applicable) (not counted against the proposal narrative page limit.): If an applicant is resubmitting an application presented previously to NIJ, but not funded, the applicant should indicate this is a resubmission. A statement should be provided, no more than two pages, addressing: (1) the title, submission date, and NIJ-assigned application number of the previous application, (2) the NOFO to which it was submitted, and (3) a brief summary of any revisions to the application, including responses to previous feedback received from NIJ, if such was provided. This includes applications submitted to an NIJ FY 2025 NOFO, regardless of funding status.

3. Table of Contents and Figures (not counted against the proposal narrative page limit).

4. Statement of the Problem (5% of application score): The applicant should clearly articulate the research problem, its significance to the criminal justice field, and demonstrate a thorough understanding of existing knowledge gaps. The statement should establish why this research is needed now and how it addresses a critical issue.

5. Project Design and Implementation (40% of application score): The strength of how the applicant will implement activities, including the soundness of the project design and how the activities align to the stated goals and objectives. The proposal should present a rigorous research methodology, realistic timeline, and clear connection between proposed activities and expected outcomes. Applicants should also discuss potential evaluation limitations, such as external validity and generalizability, and how they will address and mitigate those limitations.

6. Capabilities and Competencies (15% of application score): The applicant’s administrative and scientific technical capacity to successfully complete the project. The proposal should demonstrate the research team’s relevant expertise, institutional resources, and track record of completing similar projects successfully. Applicants are encouraged to demonstrate their past experience conducting evaluability assessments and multi-site, impact evaluations and provide past reports or data collection tools as examples in appendices.

7. Potential impact (25% of application score): The applicant should describe how the research findings will provide actionable knowledge to criminal justice practitioners and policymakers. The proposal should articulate clear dissemination strategies and explain how the research will advance the field or inform practice and policy.

8. Appendices (not counted against the proposal narrative page limit) include:

- If the application (including the budget) identifies any proposed noncompetitive agreements that are or may be considered procurement "contracts" (rather than subawards), for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements, the applicant also must list the entities with which the applicant proposes to contract.
- List of other agencies, organizations, or funding sources to which this application has been submitted (if applicable).
- Any table/charts/graphs supporting the program narrative.

Budget and Associated Documentation

Budget (15% of application score) *Required*

Use the Budget Detail Worksheet (Web-Based Form) to submit the budget. Read information on budget preparation and JustGrants Application Submission Training.

Completeness and cost effectiveness. The budget should be detailed, reasonable, and clearly justified, with all costs directly supporting the proposed research activities and demonstrating efficient use of federal funds.

- List each cost needed to implement the project under the appropriate cost category.
- Clearly name and describe each cost.
- Show the computation of each cost (e.g., cost per unit, number of units, and total cost).
- Enter narrative, as needed, to explain the cost calculations and connection to your project goals, objectives, and activities.
- Include only costs that are reasonable, allocable, and necessary to implement the project. They must be allowable under the funding statute and agency requirements.[3]

Budget/Financial Attachments

- **Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable):** If the budget includes indirect costs calculated using a current, federally approved indirect cost rate, upload your indirect cost rate agreement. Read information about indirect costs.
- **Consultant Rate (if applicable):** Read information on limits to consultant rates and whether you need to request approval to exceed those limits.

[3] See 2 C.F.R. Part 200, Subpart E and the DOJ Grants Financial Guide. Read information on unallowable costs that apply to all funding opportunities.

- **Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation for Awards Over $250,000; Waiver (if applicable):** Read information on this limitation and to determine whether you need to submit a waiver request.
- **Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation (if applicable):** Applicants to this funding opportunity are not required to provide this disclosure.

Additional Application Components

Applications to NIJ NOFOs are expected to include additional research-related components (as applicable), attached in JustGrants. One of these is a required application component:

- **Biographical Sketch Forms *Required* and Current and Pending (Other) Support Common Forms *Required*:** All applicants to NIJ NOFOs are required to submit the *Biographical Sketch* common form and the *Current and Pending (Other) Support* common form (see details of the required data elements here: NSPM-33 Implementation Guidance) for all key personnel involved in the research i.e., Principal and Co-Principal Investigators, Project Directors, and any individual who contributes in a substantive way to the scientific development or execution of the project proposed or is defined as such in the NOFO. In addition to ensuring transparency, these forms help reviewers assess the qualifications, expertise, and capacity of researchers to complete the proposed work. NIJ encourages the use of SciENcv (with no preference as to available formats) to populate both forms (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/).
- **Project Management Plan and Timeline**: The timeline for both Phase I & Phase II should account for up to three months to clear award conditions. Obtain Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval of project documents.

A description of the other application components is found here Guidance for Applicants and Awardees.

Disclosures and Assurances

Read the disclosures and assurances and complete them in JustGrants:

- Disclosure of Lobbying Activities
- Disclosure of Duplication in Cost Items (if applicable)
- DOJ Certified Standard Assurances
- DOJ Certifications
- Applicant Disclosure and Justification—DOJ High-Risk Grantees (if applicable)

5. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND DEADLINES

The DOJ Grant Application Submission Checklist provides instructions for how to submit your application by the deadlines. Executive Order (E.O.) 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs) does not apply to this funding opportunity: read the

Application Resource Guide for details. Read OJP's policy for applicants to request late submission due to technical difficulties, severe weather, or natural or manmade disasters.

6. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

The Application Resource Guide explains the responsiveness review, review criteria, and review and selection process, including risk review, and deciding official. Refer to the Application Contents List for all required application components and other basic minimum requirement elements that are considered in the responsiveness review. The Proposal Narrative and Budget sections of this NOFO indicate the weight of technical review criteria. Also see Grant Application Review Process.

7. AWARD NOTICES

Read about the award notification process.

8. POST-AWARD REQUIREMENTS & ADMINISTRATION

Read the Application Resource Guide for more information about standard award terms and conditions, administrative and national policy requirements, and post-award reporting requirements.

9. QUESTIONS?

NOFO Contact	Name: OJP Response Center Phone: 800-851-3420 or 202-353-5556 (TTY for hearing-impaired callers only) Email: OJP.ResponseCenter@usdoj.gov Hours: 9:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m. ET, Monday–Friday
SAM.gov Help Desk	Website: sam.gov/help Hours: 8 a.m.–8 p.m. ET, Monday–Friday (closed federal holidays)
Grants.gov Help Desk	Phone: 800-518-4726 Email: support@grants.gov Website: grants.gov/support Hours: 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed federal holidays)
JustGrants Help Desk	Phone: 833-872-5175 Email: JustGrants.Support@usdoj.gov Hours: 7:00 a.m.–9:00 p.m. ET, Monday–Friday and 9:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m. ET on Saturday, Sunday, and federal holidays.