

NIJ FY25 Research and Evaluation of Artificial Intelligence for Criminal Justice Purposes

Total Amount To Be Awarded Under This Funding Opportunity: \$2,500,000

Anticipated Award Ceiling: Maximum dollar amount for each award to be determined by the requirements of the research proposed in applications selected for award, up to the full dollar amount anticipated to be awarded under this notice of funding opportunity (NOFO).

Anticipated Period of Performance Duration: To be determined by the period of performance of awarded applications. Successful applicants will be expected to complete the work proposed within a five-year (60 months) period of performance.

Funding Opportunity Number: O-NIJ-2025-172615

Deadline to submit SF-424 and concept paper in Grants.gov: **June 15, 2026, by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time**



NIJ | NATIONAL
INSTITUTE OF
JUSTICE
ADVANCING JUSTICE THROUGH SCIENCE

CONTENTS

	Basic Information.....	3
	Eligibility.....	6
	Program Description	8
	Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines.....	15
	Application Review	28
	Award Notices	31
	Post-Award Requirements and Administration	32
	Other Information.....	34
	Application Checklist.....	35



BASIC INFORMATION

The [U.S. Department of Justice](#) (DOJ), [Office of Justice Programs](#) (OJP), [National Institute of Justice](#) (NIJ) is accepting applications for funding in response to this notice of funding opportunity (NOFO).

Agency Name	U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs National Institute of Justice
NOFO Title	NIJ FY25 Research and Evaluation of Artificial Intelligence for Criminal Justice Purposes
Announcement Type	Initial
Funding Opportunity Number	O-NIJ-2025-172615
Assistance Listing Number	16.560

Executive Summary

This NOFO seeks to support research and evaluation that advances the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in the criminal justice system. The goal of this NOFO is to enhance lawful decision-making and help improve its accuracy and effectiveness through AI applications supporting crime prevention, public safety, and criminal justice systems. Research should explore both the benefits and limitations of AI, addressing potential risks and downstream impacts. NIJ expects to fund studies that explore use cases in policing, corrections, courts, or other criminal justice adjacent areas to examine the suitability of using AI to solve operational problems, as well as evaluate the impacts and outcomes when integrated into criminal justice practice.

Applying for this funding opportunity involves a two-stage process that begins with submission of a concept paper. Based on the merits of the concept paper, NIJ may request that the applicant submit a full proposal. Applicants should not submit a full proposal unless invited. For information on the concept paper format, please see the [General Purpose of the Funding](#) section of this NOFO.

Please see the [Eligible Applicants](#) section for the eligibility criteria.

OJP is committed to advancing work that furthers DOJ's mission to uphold the rule of law, to keep our country safe, and to protect civil rights. OJP provides federal leadership, funding, and other critical resources to directly support law enforcement, combat violent crime, protect American children, provide services to American crime victims, and address public safety challenges, including human trafficking and the opioid crisis. OJP supports DOJ's commitment to [Gold Standard Science](#) and [scientific integrity](#).

Key Dates and Times

Funding Opportunity Release Date	May 14, 2026
Pre-Application Webinar	TBD

SAM.gov Registration/Renewal	Recommend beginning process by May 15, 2026, and June 5, 2026
Application Part 1: Grants.gov SF-424 and Concept Paper Deadline	11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 15, 2026
JustGrants SF-424 and Concept Paper Submission Verification	June 16, 2026 – Confirm transmission of concept paper and SF-424 through Grants.gov.
Application Part 2: JustGrants Application Deadline (Full Invited Application)	Approximately by July 15, 2026, selected applicants will receive invitation to submit a full application. See here for more information.

Funding Details

Total Amount To Be Awarded Under This Funding Opportunity: \$2,500,000

Anticipated Number of Awards: To be determined by the number of applications received and their merit.

Anticipated Award Ceiling: To be determined by the requirements of the research proposed in grants selected for award. Applicants are encouraged to propose budgets that match the research activities proposed, up to the full dollar amount anticipated to be awarded under this NOFO.

Note: Applicants may propose a budget that is less than the anticipated award ceiling amount. The budget should be reasonable to conduct the proposed project and be within the applicant's capacity to manage.

Anticipated Period of Performance Start Date: January 1, 2027

Anticipated Period of Performance Duration: 60 months

Availability of Funds

This funding opportunity, and awards under this funding opportunity, are subject to the availability of funding and any changes or additional requirements that may be imposed by the agency or by law. In addition, nothing in this NOFO is intended to, nor does it, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States or its departments, agencies, entities, officers, employees, agents, or any other person.

Statutory Authority

Pub. L. No. 119-4, Div. A, sec. 1101(a)(2), 139 Stat. 9, 10-11; Pub. L. No. 118-42, 138 Stat 25, 154; 28 U.S.C. 530C.

Agency Contact Information

For assistance with the requirements of this funding opportunity:

OJP Response Center

Phone: 800-851-3420 or 202-353-5556 (TTY for hearing-impaired callers only)

Email: OJP.ResponseCenter@usdoj.gov

Hours of operation: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) Monday–Friday

For assistance with **SAM.gov** (registration/renewal):

SAM.gov Help Desk

Web: [SAM.gov Help Desk \(Federal Service Desk\)](#)

Hours of operation: 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. ET Monday–Friday, except on federal holidays

For assistance with **Grants.gov** (registration, submission of the Application for Federal Assistance SF-424):

Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline

Phone: 800-518-4726, 606-545-5035

Email: support@grants.gov

Web: [Grants.gov Customer Support](#)

Hours of operation: 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays

For assistance with **JustGrants** (registration, submission of full application):

JustGrants Service Desk

Phone: 833-872-5175

Email: JustGrants.Support@usdoj.gov

Hours of operation: 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. ET Monday–Friday and 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET on Saturday, Sunday, and federal holidays.

For procedures related to unforeseen technical issues beyond the control of the applicant that impact submission by the deadlines, see [Experiencing Technical Issues Preventing Submission of an Application \(Technical Waivers\)](#).

Resources for Applying

Pre-Application Webinar: A webinar for this NOFO is planned but date and time are not finalized yet. The webinar details will be shared when finalized.

[OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#): Referred to as the Application Resource Guide throughout the NOFO, this resource provides guidance to help applicants for OJP funding prepare and submit their applications.

[JustGrants Application Submission Training Webpage](#): Offers helpful information and resources on the grant application process.

Note: If this NOFO requires something different from any guidance provided in the [Application Resource Guide](#), the difference will be noted in this NOFO and the applicant should follow the guidance in this NOFO.



ELIGIBILITY

Eligible Applicants

The types of entities that are eligible to apply for this funding opportunity are listed below:

- **Government Entities**
 - State governments
 - County governments
 - City or township governments
 - Special district governments
 - Native American Tribal governments (federally recognized)
 - Native American Tribal governments (other than federally recognized)
- **Educational Organizations**
 - Public and state-controlled institutions of higher education
 - Private institutions of higher education
- **Nonprofit Organizations**
 - Nonprofits having a 501(c)(3) status with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), other than institutions of higher education
 - Nonprofits that do not have a 501(c)(3) status with the IRS, other than institutions of higher education
- **For-Profit Organizations**
 - Organizations other than small businesses
 - Small businesses
- **Other**
 - Other Units of Local Government

State Government Entities: For the purposes of this NOFO, “State” means any State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

Small Businesses: Small business grants may be awarded to companies meeting the size standards established by the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) for most industries in the economy.

“Other” Entities Definition:

- **Other Units of Local Government:** For the purposes of this notice of funding opportunity, other units of local government include towns, boroughs, parishes, villages, or other general purpose political subdivisions of a state.

Limit on Number of Applications

An applicant may submit more than one concept paper application if each one proposes a different project in response to the NOFO. Also, an entity may be invited to submit more than one full proposal. An entity may be proposed as a subrecipient in more than one concept paper or invited full application.

Applications under which two or more entities (project partners) would carry out the federal award will be considered. However, only one entity may be the applicant for the NOFO; any

others must be proposed as subrecipients. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on subawards. The applicant is expected to conduct the preponderance of the work proposed.

Cost Sharing/Match Requirement

See “Cofunding” paragraph under the “Program Description” section.



PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

General Purpose of the Funding

The purpose of this funding opportunity is to support research and evaluation on the use, or potential use, of AI within the criminal justice system, especially at the State and local levels. In accordance with Section 3 of Executive Order 14179, “Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence,” which applies the “artificial intelligence” definition at 15 U.S.C. § 9401(3), “artificial intelligence” or “AI” is any “machine-based system that can, for a given set of human-defined objectives, make predictions, recommendations, or decisions influencing real or virtual environments.” “Artificial intelligence systems use machine- and human-based inputs [to perceive] real and virtual environments[,] abstract such perceptions into models through analysis in an automated manner[,] and use model inference to formulate options for information or action.”

NIJ has previously funded research and evaluation focused on the use of artificial intelligence technology for law enforcement applications as well as for community supervision. However, recent changes in AI capabilities are finding their way into many products and services, including those designed for, marketed to, and used by criminal justice agencies, including police departments, correctional institutions, and courts. This program seeks to build on the prior NIJ efforts to improve the effectiveness of criminal justice processes through AI applications to enhance crime prevention, public safety, and decision-making. NIJ expects to fund studies that explore use cases in policing, corrections, courts, or other criminal justice adjacent areas, such as juvenile justice and crime victim services, to examine the suitability of using AI to solve operational problems, as well as evaluate the impacts and outcomes when integrated into criminal justice practice.

For the results of this program to contribute to practical use of AI, researchers are encouraged to partner and collaborate with criminal justice practitioners to consider the most promising use cases for AI. NIJ is particularly interested in research and evaluation methods that can (1) verify that the AI is operating as intended, and (2) validate how the AI is impacting performance in the context of the criminal justice practice under study. Rigorous studies analyzing existing AI implementations in the criminal justice system, including meta-analyses, are also welcome to assess their effectiveness and implications for criminal justice policy and practice at the state and local levels.

When introducing innovative new technology and tools, state and local agencies must consider various factors, including benefits, efficiencies, limitations, costs, risks, unintended consequences, and downstream effects on criminal justice outcomes. Applied research to improve the evidence base for practitioners should aim to do the same. Applicants are encouraged to develop research questions that address the unique experience associated with deploying technology in specific criminal justice contexts. Examples of research questions to guide applicants in developing proposals include, but are not limited to:

- What methodologies can be employed to evaluate the introduction of AI into criminal justice practice, ensuring that these systems perform as intended, and how can decision-makers validate their impact on overall system performance and outcomes?
- What aspects of an officer’s duties can best be enhanced by AI? How can AI-powered training tools enhance officer performance?

- How accurately can large language models (LLMs) synthesize police reports from body-worn camera recordings, and how does this affect reporting consistency and completeness compared to traditional report writing?
- How effective is retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) in accurately and efficiently accessing and summarizing records management systems for law enforcement purposes?
- How effective are efforts to retrofit or supplement existing security infrastructure with AI technologies, such as the effectiveness of integrated advanced AI threat technology with existing security cameras in public transit areas?
- Can AI-based counseling and education tools empirically support rehabilitation efforts and reduce recidivism among incarcerated individuals? How effectively can AI-driven support systems adapt to individual needs?
- How accurate is facial recognition in real-world applications, particularly under varied environmental conditions and human factors?
- To what extent are deepfake technologies, particularly non-consensual and digitally manipulated images, contributing to technology-assisted sexual violence, and what detection methods can reliably identify such deepfakes in real time for effective prevention?

The funding opportunity will have a two-stage application process:

1. **Concept Paper Submission.** Applicants will submit a concept paper of up to seven pages that describes the project, the applicant's capabilities, and the budget. The concept paper should clearly define the problem, provide convincing rationale for the AI use case, provide a sketch of the specific intervention, and describe the research and evaluation methods to be used for the study. The concept paper must be submitted along with the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) in Grants.gov. Applicants may submit more than one concept paper.

See [Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines](#) for more information on concept paper submission.

2. **Full Application Invitation.** NIJ will convene an internal panel of subject matter experts to conduct a merit review and evaluate the concept papers. NIJ will invite applicants with the most promising concept papers based on merit review and their alignment with the NOFO's goals to submit full proposals in JustGrants. The proposal should elaborate on the concept paper and must include a logic model or flow chart that illustrates how the study will be conducted. Proposals should demonstrate a strong collaboration between researchers and practitioners, including letters of support as appropriate. Applicants should also propose reasonable approaches to gather stakeholder input and feedback on the study. Projects should be strongly grounded in providing practical solutions to practical problems. The full proposals will undergo merit review by a panel of external subject matter experts.

If invited to submit a full application, applicants should discuss then the potential risks and harms to individuals or groups associated with the use and/or misuse of any practice or technology proposed as a part of the research and evaluation, as well as any ethical considerations that may factor into its use. In addition, applicants should be aware of all relevant statutes, regulations, and/or governing judicial decisions (e.g., established case law, prevailing

court rulings) related to the practice or technology's proposed use. Any limitations that may impede the lawful use or implementation of any practice or technology proposed should be described, and a realistic approach to mitigate such limitations in the context of the proposed research should be discussed.

Evaluation Research

Note: this section is only applicable to full applications. This is not a required component for Application Part 1 (SF-424 and concept paper submission in Grants.gov).

If an application includes an evaluation research component (or consists entirely of evaluation research), the application is expected to propose the most rigorous evaluation design appropriate for the research questions to be addressed. If the primary purpose of the evaluation is to determine the effectiveness or impact of an intervention (e.g., program, practice, or policy), the most rigorous evaluation designs may include random selection and assignment of participants (or other appropriate units of analysis) to experimental and control conditions. In cases where randomization is not feasible, applicants should propose a strong quasi-experimental design that can address the risk of selection-bias.

Applications that propose evaluation research should also include an implementation evaluation component to ensure that implementation fidelity metrics are collected and analyzed. Applicants are encouraged to propose research methodologies that enable interim feedback on implementation fidelity to enable program providers to make ongoing improvements in program delivery.

Applications that propose evaluation research should include discussion of independence and safeguarding integrity. If an application proposes an evaluation involving program staff, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence and provide a description of and justification for the roles of program staff as distinct from evaluation activities, a discussion of potential risks (if any) to independence and integrity, and a description of the safeguards that will be employed to ensure research independence.

Applications that propose evaluation research must include cost/benefit and cost effectiveness analyses. In cases where evaluations find that interventions have produced the intended benefit, cost/benefit or cost effectiveness analyses provide valuable and practical information for practitioners and policymakers that aids decision-making.

Evaluation research projects may address a wide range of research questions beyond those focused on the effectiveness or impact of an intervention. Different research designs may be more appropriate for different research questions and at different stages of program development. The intervention strategies, setting, other contextual factors, and resources should be considered when selecting an evaluation design.

In all cases, applications are expected to propose the most rigorous evaluation design appropriate for the research questions to be addressed. Applicants are encouraged to review evidence-rating criteria at [How We Rate Programs](#) for further information on high-quality evaluation design elements.

In accordance with Gold Standard Science (GSS) as described in [Executive Order 14303](#), the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy [Memorandum](#) providing guidance on implementing GSS, and the Department of Justice's [GSS webpage](#), applicants for NIJ funding

should include within their application a clear statement of commitment to complying with the principles and tenets of GSS.

Applicants should refer to [Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines: Budget Detail Form](#) for information on allowable and unallowable costs that may inform the development of their project design.

Agency Funding Priorities

In order to advance public safety and help meet its mission, NIJ will provide priority consideration to applicants that propose (as applicable within the scope of this funding opportunity) projects designed to advance the goals listed below. Applicants seeking priority consideration should specify in the proposal narrative (and in the budget detail form, if applicable) which of the following goal(s) the project is intended to advance and how it will do so:

- a) Directly supporting law enforcement operations (including immigration law enforcement operations);
- b) Combatting violent crime;
- c) Supporting services to American citizens;
- d) Protecting American children; and
- e) Supporting American victims of trafficking and sexual assault.

Note: Addressing these priority areas is one of many factors that NIJ considers in making funding decisions. Receiving priority consideration for one or more priority areas does not guarantee a funding award.

Unallowable Uses of Funds

The following are certain unallowable costs and certain activities that are out of the program scope and will not be funded.

1. Out of program scope is any program or activity, at any tier that, directly or indirectly, violates (or promotes or facilitates the violation of) federal immigration law (including 8 U.S.C. § 1373) or impedes or hinders the enforcement of federal immigration law—including by failing to comply with 8 U.S.C. § 1373, give access to DHS agents, or honor DHS requests and provide requested notice to DHS agents.
2. Out of program scope is any program or activity, at any tier that violates any applicable Federal civil rights or nondiscrimination law. This includes violations that – (1) indirectly violate the law, including by promoting or facilitating violations; or (2) unlawfully favor individuals in any race or protected group, including on a majority or minority, or privileged or unprivileged, basis, within a given area, population, or sector.
3. As specified in the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#), in Chapter 3.13 “Unallowable Costs” (“Legal Services for Aliens”), any obligations of funds, at any tier, under this award to provide (or to support the provision of) legal services to any removable alien or any alien otherwise unlawfully present in the United States shall be unallowable costs for purposes any award made under this notice, but the foregoing shall not be understood to apply — (1) to legal services to obtain protection orders for victims of crime; or (2) to

immigration-related legal services that may be expressly authorized or required by any law, or any judicial ruling, governing or applicable to the award.

Program Goals and Objectives

Goal: Generate new knowledge that enhances the effectiveness of AI in criminal justice and addresses potential risks by supporting research that advances the understanding and application of AI in criminal justice, including projects that explore innovative uses of AI, evaluate existing systems, or develop methodologies for testing and improving AI technologies.

- **Objective:** Support the development of innovative research projects that explore new applications or methodologies for AI in combating violent crime, immigration enforcement, and other law enforcement activities, including the evaluation of existing AI technologies in practice, advancing knowledge and practices in the field.

How Awards Will Contribute to Program Goals/Objectives

Awards will support research and evaluation projects that develop and disseminate new knowledge informing the expanded and more effective application of AI to improve criminal justice processes. NIJ expects to fund projects exploring use cases that may include policing, corrections, and courts, as well as criminal justice-adjacent areas, e.g., juvenile justice and crime victim services.

Expected Outcomes: Deliverables and Performance Measures

To achieve the goals and objectives of this funding opportunity, NIJ has identified expected deliverables that must be produced by a recipient. NIJ has also identified performance measures (pieces of data) that will indicate how a recipient is achieving the performance goals and objectives previously identified. Recipients will need to collect and report this performance measure data to NIJ.

NIJ will measure success by reviewing a recipient's submission of performance reports and data and the extent to which project implementation reflects progress toward the goals and objectives of this NOFO.

Deliverables

Award recipients will be expected to develop and submit the deliverables listed below in the course of implementing their proposed project.

Scholarly Products: NIJ expects scholarly products to result from each award under this NOFO, taking the form of one or more published, peer-reviewed, scientific journal articles, and/or (if appropriate) law review journal articles, book chapter(s) or book(s) in the academic press, technological prototypes, patented inventions, or similar scientific products.

NIJ expects the dissemination of such products throughout the period of performance to the extent possible. Development and delivery of interim products should be built into the Project Timeline.

NIJ expects awardees to deposit author accepted manuscripts for peer reviewed publications derived from research funded by the award in a publication repository designated by NIJ.

Ensuring Research Evidence is Translated into Actionable Information to Promote Change in the Field:

In addition to scholarly products, NIJ expects that grantees will make an equal effort to develop dissemination products to make the research findings accessible to practitioner and policymaker audiences.

Required Data Sets and Associated Files and Documentation: NIJ requires grant recipients to archive each data set resulting in whole or in part from their funded research. This ensures the preservation, availability, and transparency of data collected through its grant funded research projects. It also supports the discovery, reuse, reproduction, replication, and extension of funded studies by other scientists.

At the end of the award period, grant recipients must archive data according to the Data Management, Sharing and Archiving Plan (DMSAP) approved by NIJ in a manner that is and consistent with the applicable human subjects protection and privacy regulations. Archiving data derived from an NIJ funded grant is mandatory. That requirement may not be unilaterally modified or waived. (Grant recipients are strongly encouraged to submit data sets no later than 90 days prior to the end of the award period of performance.) For interim publications, the subset of data used to create the findings must be deposited in an appropriate repository at the time of publication.

NIJ's principal data repository is the [National Archive of Criminal Justice Data](#) (NACJD). NIJ recognizes that data from research in the natural sciences and engineering may not be appropriate in file type or field of study for archiving at NACJD. To fulfill their data archiving requirement and maximize the visibility of this data to the relevant communities, NIJ encourages these grantees to archive their data at a repository appropriate to their field of study. Regardless of the primary data repository used, *all NIJ-funded* projects must provide study-level information to NACJD. That information will include a project abstract and link to the publicly accessible data location. More information on NIJ's data archiving requirements is found here: [Data Archiving](#).

Final Research Report: Any recipient of an award under this NOFO will be expected to submit a Final Research Report by the end of the award period of performance. Recipients will also be required to deliver a draft of their Final Research Report no later than 90 days prior to the end of the award period of performance. Additional information on NIJ's final research report requirements is found here: [Post-Award Requirements for Research, Development, and Evaluation Grants](#).

Technology Exemplars: In select circumstances recipients funded to develop algorithms, methods, software, hardware, or other technologies may be required to deliver exemplars along with detailed implementation instructions for third-party evaluation.

Performance Measures

NIJ will require each award recipient to submit regular performance reports that communicate progress toward achieving the goals and objectives identified in [Program Goals and Objectives](#). Applicants can visit [OJP's performance measurement page](#) at ojp.gov/performance for more information on performance measurement activities.

A list of performance measure questions for this funding opportunity can be found below.

Objective	Performance Measure	Data Recipient Provides
Conduct research in social and behavioral sciences, physical sciences, technology, engineering, and/or mathematics that has clear implications for criminal or juvenile justice policy and practice in the United States.	Relevance to the needs of the field as measured by whether the project's substantive scope did not deviate from the funded project or any subsequent agency-approved modifications to the scope.	Semi-annual and final performance reports, and a final research report.
Conduct research in social and behavioral sciences, physical sciences, technology, engineering, and/or mathematics that has clear implications for criminal or juvenile justice policy and practice in the United States.	Quality of the research as demonstrated by the scholarly products that result, in whole or in part, from work funded under the NIJ award, such as published, peer reviewed, scientific journal articles, and/or (as appropriate for the funded project) law review journal articles, book chapter(s) or book(s) in the academic press, technological prototypes, fielded technologies, patented inventions, or similar scientific products.	<p>The author accepted manuscripts for peer-reviewed publications deposited in an NIJ-designated repository.</p> <p>List of citation(s) to all scholarly products that resulted, in whole or in part, from work funded under the NIJ award.</p> <p>If applicable, each data set that resulted, in whole or in part, from work funded under the NIJ award.</p> <p>If applicable, the number of patented inventions or fielded technologies.</p> <p>Description of all technologies fielded as a result, in whole or in part, of the work funded under the NIJ award.</p>

Funding Instrument

NIJ expects to make awards under this funding opportunity as grants. See the “[Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements](#)” section of the [Application Resource Guide](#) for a brief discussion of important statutes, regulations, and award conditions.

Cost Sharing/Match Requirements

The following paragraph (on “Cofunding”) expressly modifies the “[Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement](#)” provisions in the Application Resource Guide. The applicant is to follow the guidance in the following paragraph instead of the guidance stated under the “Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement” heading in the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

Cofunding: An award made by NIJ under this NOFO may account for up to 100% of the total cost of the project. The application should indicate whether it is feasible for the applicant to contribute cash, facilities, or services as non-federal support for the project. The application should identify generally any such contributions that the applicant expects to make, and the proposed budget should indicate in detail which items, if any, will be supported with non-federal contributions. If a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.



APPLICATION CONTENTS, SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS, AND DEADLINES

This NOFO contains all the information needed to apply for this funding opportunity. The application for this funding opportunity is submitted through web-based forms and attachments in Grants.gov and JustGrants through the steps that follow.

Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and SAM.gov Registration

To submit an application, an applicant must have an active registration in the [System for Award Management \(SAM.gov\)](#). SAM.gov assigns entities a unique entity identifier (UEI) that is required for the entity to apply for federal funding. Applicants will enter their UEI with their application. Award recipients must then maintain an active UEI for the duration of their award's period of performance.

First-time Registration: Entities registering in SAM.gov for the first time will submit information about their entity type and structure, financial information (such as dates of the fiscal year, banking information, and executive compensation), entity points of contact, and other information. The information is reviewed and verified by SAM.gov, and then a UEI is issued. This process may take several weeks, so entities considering applying for funding should begin the registration process as soon as possible.

Renewing an Existing Registration: Entities must renew their SAM.gov registration every 12 months to keep it active. If an entity does not renew their SAM.gov registration, it will expire. An expired registration can delay or prevent the submission of an application for funding in Grants.gov and JustGrants.

Applicants are encouraged to start the SAM.gov registration or renewal process **at least 30 days prior to the application's Grants.gov deadline**. Applicants who fail to begin the registration or renewal process at least 10 business days prior to the Grants.gov deadline may not be able to complete the process in time and will not be considered for a technical waiver that allows for late submission.

For Small Businesses: In addition to SAM.gov registration, a small business applicant may need to obtain an Employer Identification Number (EIN) from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The person applying for an award should submit the EIN value as the Tax Identification Number (TIN) during the SAM.gov registration process. The applicant should not submit their Social Security Number. For additional information, including the steps to obtain an EIN, see the IRS [Apply for an Employer Identification Number \(EIN\) online](#) webpage.

Submission Instructions: Summary

Applications must be submitted to DOJ electronically through a two-step process that begins in Grants.gov and is completed in JustGrants. See [Basic Information: Key Dates and Times](#) for the Grants.gov and JustGrants application deadlines.

- **Application Part 1:** The applicant must submit the required [Application for Federal Assistance SF-424](#) and concept paper by the Grants.gov deadline.
- **Application Part 2:** If invited, the applicant must submit the full application, including attachments, through JustGrants by the deadline (see [JusticeGrants.usdoj.gov](#)).

Application Part 1: Grants.gov Submission of SF-424 and Concept Paper and Submission Verification in JustGrants

Access/Registration

If the applicant does not already have a Grants.gov account, they will need to register for this opportunity in Grants.gov. Applicants should follow the Grants.gov [Quick Start Guide for Applicants](#) to register, create a workspace, assign roles, submit an application, and troubleshoot issues.

Submission of the SF-424

Applicants will begin the application process in Grants.gov with the submission of the SF-424, which collects the applicant's name, address, and UEI; the funding opportunity number; and proposed project title, among other information. The SF-424 must be signed by the Grants.gov Authorized Organizational Representative for the applicant.

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on completing the SF-424.

Section 8F – Applicant Point of Contact: Please include the name and contact information of the individual **who will complete the application in JustGrants (if invited)**. JustGrants will use this information (*i.e.*, email address) to assign the application to this user in JustGrants.

Section 19 – Intergovernmental Review: This funding opportunity is not subject to [Executive Order \(E.O.\) 12372](#) (Intergovernmental Review). Applicants should answer section 19 by selecting, "Program is not covered by E.O. 12372."

An applicant should submit the SF-424 as early as possible and recommended not later than 48 hours before the Grants.gov deadline. If an applicant fails to submit the SF-424 in Grants.gov by the deadline, they will be unable to submit their application in JustGrants.

Once the first part of the application has been successfully submitted in Grants.gov, the Grants.gov Workspace status will change from "In Progress" to "Submitted." Applicants will also receive a series of four Grants.gov email notifications. Refer to the [DOJ Application Submission Checklist](#) for additional details.

If an applicant needs to update information in the SF-424 after it is submitted in Grants.gov, they can update the information as part of their JustGrants submission (see [Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines: Standard Applicant Information](#)). They do not need to submit an update in Grants.gov.

Submission of the Concept Paper

Applicants will submit a concept paper either as a PDF or Microsoft Word document. The concept paper should clearly define the problem and provide convincing rationale for the AI use case and provide a sketch of the specific intervention and methods used to timely performance and impact evaluation. The concept paper must include:

- A cover page with the project title and contact information.
- A brief narrative, up to four pages, describing the project. **Any content submitted that exceeds the four-page limit will not be reviewed.**
- One page outlining the applicant's capabilities (*e.g.*, biographical sketches, institutional resources).

- One additional page for a budget sketch.

The concept paper should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point size font; have no less than 1-inch margins; and have numbered pages.

See [General Purpose of the Funding](#) for more information on the concept paper.

Verify JustGrants Submission Status of SF-424 and Concept Paper

First-time registrants to JustGrants [should refer to the OJP Application Resource Guide](#) for instructions on how to create and setup a JustGrants account.

Following submission of the SF-424 and concept paper, as described above, these materials will migrate to JustGrants and an application package will be created. When JustGrants receives the application package from Grants.gov, JustGrants will deliver an email to the individual listed as Applicant Point of Contact on the SF-424 instructing the user to complete their full application by the JustGrants deadline. This instruction should be disregarded as no further action is needed from the applicant at this point until invitations for full applications are sent.

After the applicant receives the email from JustGrants, the applicant should verify that the application status in JustGrants is either in a “New” or “Draft” status. If the application is in one of these statuses, no further action is needed.

If the Grants.gov submission has not reflected in JustGrants within 24 hours of submission; the application is not in “New” or “Draft” status; or is indicating a “Pending-DIAMDonboarding” status, contact the JustGrants Service Desk at 833-872-5175 or JustGrants.Support@usdoj.gov for resolution.

As NIJ conducts its internal review of the concept papers, the JustGrants submission deadline displayed in the system will pass, and the application will enter a Deadline-Passed status—this is expected and requires no action from the applicant.

Applicants who have completed submission of their concept paper and SF-424 via Grants.gov do not need to take any further action at this point. Only concept papers will be considered during the first part of the application review process. Any materials submitted beyond the SF-424 and concept paper will not be reviewed during part 1 of the application process.

Entities selected to submit a full application will be contacted to continue the application process.

Application Part 2: JustGrants Submission of Full Application (Upon Invitation)

Invitation to Submit Full Application

NIJ will convene an internal panel of subject matter experts to conduct a merit review and evaluate the concept papers. NIJ will invite applicants with the most promising concept papers based on merit review and their alignment with the NOFO’s goals to submit full proposals in JustGrants. This invitation will be delivered via email with instructions on what is required to continue the application process, as described below, and a new submission deadline.

Preparing for Submission

If invited to submit a full application, some of the required sections of the application will be entered directly into JustGrants, and other sections will require documents to be uploaded and attached. Therefore, applicants should allow enough time before the JustGrants deadline to prepare, enter, and upload all the requirements of the application.

Applicants may save their application in the system and add to or change the application as needed prior to hitting the “Submit” button at the end of the application in JustGrants. After the application deadline, no changes or additions can be made to the application. OJP recommends that applicants submit the complete application package in JustGrants **at least 48 hours** prior to the JustGrants deadline.

For additional information, including file name and type requirements, see the “How To Apply” section in the [Application Resource Guide](#).

Standard Applicant Information

The Standard Applicant Information section of the JustGrants application is pre-populated with the SF-424 data submitted in Grants.gov. The applicant will need to review the Standard Applicant Information in JustGrants and can make whatever edits are needed. Within this section, the applicant will need to add ZIP codes for areas affected by the project; confirm their Authorized Representative; and confirm the organization’s unique entity identifier, legal name, and address.

Proposal Abstract

A proposal abstract (no more than 2,000 characters) summarizing the proposed project must be completed in the JustGrants web-based form. The text from abstracts will be made publicly available on the OJP.gov and USASpending.gov websites if the project is awarded, so this section of the application should not contain any personally identifiable information (e.g., the name of the project director).

The abstract should be in paragraph form without bullets or tables and written in the third person (e.g., they, the community, their, themselves, rather than I or we). The abstract should include the following information:

- The goals, objectives, and research questions to be addressed.
- The research design and methods.
- Work products to be produced and a plan for disseminating those products.

Data Requested With Application (for Invited Full Applications)

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status)

The Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire helps OJP assess what financial management and internal control systems the applicant has in place, whether these systems would be sufficient to maintain a funding award, and the associated potential risks of an applicant as part of the pre-award risk assessment process. Every OJP applicant (other than an individual applying in their personal capacity, not representing an applicant organization) is required to complete the web-based form in JustGrants. See the [Application Resource Guide: Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire](#)

([including Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status](#)) for additional guidance on how to complete the questionnaire.

Agency Funding Priorities Inventory

Applicants seeking priority consideration under the [Agency Funding Priorities](#) section should answer the inventory questions in their entirety in the web-based form in JustGrants.

Principal Investigator Contact Information

To facilitate administrative processes associated with application review and post review activities, applicants are requested to enter the name of the Principal Investigator for the application project, as well as their contact information.

Proposal Narrative

Format of the Proposal Narrative: The Proposal Narrative will be submitted as an attachment in JustGrants. The attached document should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point size font; have no less than 1-inch margins; have numbered pages; and should not exceed 30 pages. If the Proposal Narrative does not comply with these restrictions, NIJ may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions. Tables, charts, and graphs can be created in legible font smaller than 12-point. They will count toward the 30-page limit if embedded in the text of the Proposal Narrative as opposed to being attached in an appendix. Applicants should carefully weigh the comparative benefit of embedding a table, chart, or graph in the narrative as opposed to attaching it as an appendix, in helping a reviewer understand the proposal.

Sections of the Proposal Narrative: The Proposal Narrative should include the following sections. If the applicant seeks priority consideration, the Proposal Narrative must state which priority goal(s) the applicant's project will advance and describe how (see section Agency Funding Priorities under Program Description).

a. Title Page (not counted against the proposal narrative page limit)

The title page should include:

- Project title.
- Submission date.
- Funding opportunity number.
- Principal Investigator (and any co-principal investigators).
- Unique author identifier (e.g., ORCID, Researcher ID, Scopus Author ID), if available.
- Key words, including science focus areas.
- Contact information (that is, name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address) for both the applicant and the principal investigator.

b. Table of Contents and Figures (not counted against the proposal narrative page limit)

c. Main Body

1. Statement of the Problem

The statement of the problem should address the need for research in this area. Applicants should discuss current gaps in data, research, and knowledge and to answer questions relevant to current policy and practice needs and public interests. It is not uncommon for multiple problems to exist simultaneously (for example, there may be a

criminal justice problem affecting public safety, a current technical challenge/need identified by forensic practitioners, as well as a scientific/technology gap between the current state of the art and a desired solution) and applicants should discuss each of these clearly and justify the importance of the separate issues. As part of this discussion, applicants should present a review of previous literature and discuss previous research related to these problems.

2. Project Design and Implementation

Applicants should provide a detailed description of the strategies to implement this research project and address the research questions. Design elements should follow directly from the research project's goals and objectives and address the program-specific information noted in the NOFO. Applicants should describe the research methodology and analysis plan in detail and demonstrate the validity and usefulness of the data they will collect. Applicants should consider the rigor and soundness of the methodology and analytical and technical approaches for the proposed research and address the feasibility of the proposed project and potential challenges or problems in carrying out the activities.

3. Potential Impact

Applicants should describe the potential impact of the research and how it may inform or improve criminal or juvenile justice-related policy, practice, or theory in the United States. The applicant should include a discussion of the proposed dissemination plan to produce scholarly products and to make information available to broader interested audiences, such as criminal justice practitioners or policymakers. This should include the applicant's intentions as it regards dissemination of interim findings and other knowledge products.

4. Capabilities and Competencies

This section should describe the experience and capabilities of the applicant organization, key staff, and any proposed subgrantees (including consultants) that the applicant will use to implement and manage this effort and the federal funds under this award, highlighting any previous experience implementing projects of similar scope, design, and magnitude. Applicants should address:

- Experience and capacity to work with the proposed data sources in the conduct of similar research efforts.
- Experience and capacity to design and implement rigorous research and data analysis projects.
- Experience producing and disseminating meaningful deliverables.

Applicants should also outline the management plan and organization that connects to the goals and objectives of the project among other factors. That plan should include a description of the anticipated level of effort of key personnel.

5. Appendices (not counted against the proposal narrative page limit) include:

- If the application (including the budget) identifies any proposed non-competitive agreements that are or may be considered procurement "contracts" (rather than subawards), for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements, the applicant also must list the entities with which the applicant proposes to contract.

- List of other agencies, organizations, or funding sources to which this application has been submitted (if applicable).
- Any table/charts/graphs supporting the program narrative.

6. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for This NOFO's Performance Measures

Note: An applicant is **not** required to submit performance data with the application. Rather, performance measure information is included to provide notice that award recipients will be required to submit performance data as part of each award's reporting requirements.

NIJ will require award recipients to submit performance measure data in quarterly financial reports, semi-annual and final performance reports, and the final research report in JustGrants. For more information on NIJ post award reporting requirements please see [Post-Award Requirements for Research, Development, and Evaluation Grants](#).

Budget and Associated Documentation: Budget Detail Form

The applicant will complete the JustGrants budget detail form. The form collects the individual costs under each cost category needed to implement the proposed project. Applicants should ensure that the name/description of each cost is clear and provide the detailed calculation (e.g., cost per unit and number of units) for the total cost. Applicants should enter additional narrative, as needed, to fully describe the cost calculations and connection to the project goals and objectives (including, if applicable, describing connections to NIJ priority goals).

Budget proposals/narratives should include the funding needed to implement the proposed activities. In preparing their budget proposals, applicants should consider what types of costs are allowable, if awarded funding. Costs are allowable when they are reasonable, allocable to, and necessary for the performance of the project funded under the federal award and when they comply with the funding statute and agency requirements, including the conditions of the award and the cost principles set out in [2 C.F.R. Part 200, Subpart E](#) and the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#).

Costs Associated With a Conference/Meeting/Training: An applicant that proposes to use award funds for activities related to a conference, meeting, training, or similar event should review the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on prior approval, planning, and reporting costs for a conference/meeting/training.

Costs Associated With Language Assistance and Access: If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable in specific grant programs. Costs to provide reasonable accommodation and facilitate language access for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing may also be allowable in specific grant programs. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on costs associated with language assistance.

For additional information about how to prepare a budget for federal funding, see the [Application Resource Guide](#) section on "[Budget Preparation and Submission Information](#)" and the technical steps to complete the budget form in JustGrants in the [Complete the Application in JustGrants: Budget](#) training.

Budget and Associated Documentation: Budget/Financial Attachments

Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable): An applicant with a current, federally approved indirect cost rate agreement should upload it as an attachment in JustGrants.

Indirect costs are costs of an organization that are not readily assignable to a particular project but are necessary for the operation of the organization and the performance of the project. Examples of costs usually treated as indirect include those incurred for operation and maintenance of offices or workspaces and salaries of administrative or support staff. The requirements for the development and submission of indirect cost proposals and cost allocation plans are listed in Appendices III–VII of 2 C.F.R. Part 200. A non-federal applicant should follow the guidelines applicable to its type of organization. See the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#) and the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on indirect cost rate agreements.

Consultant Rate (if applicable): OJP has established maximum rates for consultants; see the “Listing of Costs Requiring Prior Approval” section of the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#) for more information. If an applicant proposes a rate for a consultant on their project that is higher than the established maximum rate and receives an award, then the award recipient must submit a document requesting approval for the rate and cannot incur costs at the higher rate without prior OJP approval. The award recipient must provide justification for why the proposed rate is higher than the established maximum rate, such as why the rate is reasonable and consistent with that paid for similar services in the marketplace.

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation for Awards Over \$250,000; Waiver (if applicable): If an applicant proposes to hire employees with federal award funds, for any award of more than \$250,000, the recipient may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government’s Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. Applicants may request a waiver from this requirement by submitting the appropriate form. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on the “Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation for Awards over \$250,000; Waiver.”

Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation (if applicable): This notice of funding opportunity expressly modifies the Application Resource Guide by not incorporating its “Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation” provisions. Applicants to this funding opportunity are not required to provide this disclosure.

Additional Application Components

The applicant will attach the additional requested documentation in JustGrants.

Gold Standard Science: Applicants for NIJ funding should include within their application a clear statement of commitment to complying with the principles and tenets of Gold Standard Science (GSS) as described in [Executive Order 14303](#), the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy [Memorandum](#) providing guidance on implementing GSS, and the Department of Justice’s [GSS webpage](#).

Curriculum Vitae or Resumes: Provide curriculum vitae or resume for all investigators regardless of status (*i.e.*, PI, subaward PI, Co-PI, subaward Co-PI, co-investigator Co-I, subaward Co-I, I, and subaward-I) and project directors.

Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable): An application in response to this NOFO may require inclusion of Tribal authorizing documentation as an attachment. If applicable, the applicant will upload the Tribal authorizing documentation as an attachment in JustGrants. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on Tribal authorizing resolutions.

Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity Statement: If an application proposes research (including research and development) and/or evaluation, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence and integrity, including appropriate safeguards, before it may receive award funds. The applicant will upload documentation of its research and evaluation independence and integrity as an attachment in JustGrants. For additional information, see the [Application Resource Guide](#).

Letters of Support (if applicable): Applications proposing research involving partnerships with juvenile justice, criminal justice or other agencies or community organizations should include a strong letter of support, signed by an appropriate decision-making authority from each proposed partnering agency. A letter of support should include the partnering agency's acknowledgment that de-identified data derived from, provided to, or obtained through an award funded by NIJ will be archived by the grant recipient with the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (NACJD), or other data repository (for grants funding research in the natural sciences and engineering) at the conclusion of the award. (NIJ understands data to include qualitative data, for example derived from interviews, as well as quantitative data.) Applicants and their potential partners are encouraged to review [NIJ's data archiving guidance](#). If selected for an award, grantees will be expected to have a formal agreement in place with partnering agencies by January 1, 2027. That formal agreement must include a provision to meet the data archiving requirements of the award.

Letters of support should be signed and submitted as one separate attachment to the application in JustGrants.

Bibliography/References: Provide a bibliography of any references cited in the Proposal Narrative.

Tools/Instruments, Questionnaires, Tables/Charts/Graphs, or Maps: Any tools/instruments, questionnaires, tables/charts/graphs, or maps pertaining to the proposed project that are supplemental to such items included in the main body of the narrative.

List of Individuals in the Application: To assist NIJ in assessing actual or apparent conflicts of interest (including such conflicts on the part of prospective reviewers of the application), provide a complete list of the individuals named or otherwise identified anywhere in the application (including in the budget or in any other attachment) who will or may work (or advise or consult) on the proposed research, development, or evaluation project. Applicants should use the "[Proposed Project Staff, Affiliation, and Roles](#)" form available on the NIJ webpage to prepare this list.

Human Subjects Protection: All recipients of NIJ funds are required to comply with Department of Justice regulations at 28 CFR Part 46 (Protection of Human Subjects). Applicants

should submit the [Protection of Human Subjects Assurance form](#) (or IRB determination letter, if obtained) as a separate attachment. For further guidance, go to [Human Subjects Protection](#). Note: Final Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval is not required at the time an application is submitted.

Privacy Certificate: Applicants for NIJ funding must submit a Privacy Certificate, regardless of whether the project involves the collection of identifiable data. It should be submitted as a separate attachment. For further guidance, go to [Confidentiality and Privacy Protections](#) and [Privacy Certificate Guidance](#).

Request To Use Incentives or Stipends: Applicants proposing to use incentives, or stipend payments, as part of their research project design must submit an approval request as a separate attachment. The requirements are described at [Participant Support Costs and Incentives](#).

Project Management Plan and Timeline: Applicants should also outline the management plan and organization that connects to the goals and objectives of the project, including the project management structure, approach, and strategies. Among other factors, that plan should include a description of the anticipated level of effort of key personnel. In addition, applicants should include a project management timeline documenting the timing for project tasks, management, milestones, and deliverables, to include interim deliverables.

Consortium/Contractual Agreements: Explain the programmatic, fiscal, and administrative arrangements to be made between the applicant organization and the consortium organization(s).

Data Management, Sharing, and Archiving Plan (DMSAP): Applications must include a plan describing how they will comply with NIJ data archiving requirements. The plan should be no more than two pages in length. It should be attached as a separate attachment and labeled “Data Management, Sharing, and Archiving Plan (DMSAP)”.

The DMSAP should identify how the applicant will document and manage study data and prepare it for archiving. It should include the applicant’s approach to making the subset of data associated with interim scholarly products available at the time of publication. Additionally, it should describe the expected level of effort required to meet archiving requirements.

The plan should describe the:

- Types of data expected (both qualitative and quantitative); including any associated metadata and data standards to be used.
- Management of data during the project; including data security and integrity.
- Plans to prepare files and documentation necessary for others to use for secondary analysis and to review and reproduce the project’s findings after the project’s completion.
- Location where pertinent data files and documentation will be archived.

All plans must be consistent with OJP confidentiality and privacy requirements of 34 U.S.C. § 10231(a) and 28 CFR Part 22. See [Confidentiality and Privacy Protections](#).

Recipients are strongly encouraged to provide full-project data sets at least 90 days before the end of the performance period to NIJ through NACJD.

Disclosures and Assurances

The applicant will address the following disclosures and assurances.

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities: JustGrants will prompt each applicant to indicate if it is required to complete and submit a lobbying disclosure under 31 U.S.C. § 1352.

The applicant is required by law to complete and submit a lobbying disclosure form (Standard Form/SF-LLL) if it has paid or will pay any person to lobby in connection with the award for which it is applying AND this application is for an award in excess of \$100,000. This disclosure requirement is not applicable to such payments by an Indian Tribe, Tribal organization, or any other Indian organization that are permitted by other federal law.

Lobbying means (for this requirement) influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a member of Congress. See 31 U.S.C. § 1352; 28 C.F.R. part 69. Note: Most applicants do not engage in activities that trigger this disclosure requirement.

An applicant that is not required by law (31 U.S.C. § 1352) to complete and submit a lobbying disclosure, should enter “No.” By doing so, the applicant is affirmatively asserting (under applicable penalties) that it has nothing to disclose under 31 U.S.C. § 1352 with regard to the application for the award at issue.

Disclosure of Duplication in Cost Items: To ensure funding coordination across grantmaking agencies, and to avoid unnecessary or inappropriate duplication of grant funding, the applicant must disclose if it has any pending applications for federal funding, including pending applications for subawards of federal funds, for the same project and the same budget items included in this proposal. Complete the JustGrants Applicant Disclosure of Duplication in Cost Items form. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

DOJ Certified Standard Assurances: Review and accept the DOJ Certified Standard Assurances in JustGrants. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

DOJ Certifications: Review the DOJ document [Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; Drug-Free Workplace Requirements; Coordination with Affected Agencies](#). An applicant must review and sign the certification document in JustGrants. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for more information.

Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High-Risk Grantees (if applicable): If applicable, submit the DOJ High-Risk Disclosure and Justification as an attachment in JustGrants. A DOJ high-risk recipient is an award recipient that has received a DOJ high-risk designation based on a documented history of unsatisfactory performance, financial instability, management system or other internal control deficiencies, noncompliance with award terms and conditions on prior awards, or that is otherwise not responsible. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

Submission Dates & Times

Refer to [Basic Information: Key Dates and Times](#) for the submission dates and times of the concept paper and SF-424. The full application deadline will be 30 days after receipt of invitation being issued.

Experiencing Technical Issues Preventing Submission of an Application (Technical Waivers)

If an applicant misses a deadline due to unforeseen technical issues with SAM.gov, Grants.gov, or JustGrants, the applicant may request a waiver to submit an application after the deadline. OJP will only consider requests to submit an application via alternative methods or after the deadline when the applicant can document that there is a technical issue with a government system that was beyond their control and that prevents submission of the application via the standard process prior to the deadlines. Issues resulting from circumstances within the applicant's control, such as failure to begin the SAM.gov, Grants.gov, or JustGrants registration and application process in sufficient time, will not be considered.

Requests and documentation must be sent to the OJP Response Center at OJP.ResponseCenter@usdoj.gov. Applicants should follow these steps if they experience a technical issue:

- 1. Contact the relevant help desk to report the issue and receive a tracking number.**

See [Basic Information: Contact Information](#) for the phone numbers, email addresses, and operating hours of the SAM.gov, Grants.gov, and JustGrants help desks. Reports of technical issues to the help desk must occur **before** the application deadline.

If an applicant calls the help desk and experiences a long wait time, they can also email the help desk to obtain a tracking number. Tracking numbers are generated automatically when an applicant emails the applicable service desk, and for this reason, long call wait times for support do not relieve the applicant of the responsibility of getting a tracking number.

2. If an applicant has technical issues with SAM.gov or Grants.gov, the applicant must contact the OJP Response Center at OJP.ResponseCenter@usdoj.gov within 24 hours of the Grants.gov deadline to request approval to submit after the deadline. The applicant's request will need to include:

- A description of the technical difficulties experienced (provide screenshots if applicable).
- A timeline of the applicant's submission efforts (*e.g.*, date and time the error occurred, date and time of actions taken to resolve the issue and resubmit, and date and time support representatives responded).
- An attachment of the complete grant application and all the required documentation and materials (this serves as a "manual" submission of the application).
- The applicant's unique entity identifier (UEI).
- Any SAM.gov, Grants.gov, and JustGrants Service Desk tracking/ticket numbers documenting the technical issue.

3. If an applicant has technical issues with JustGrants that prevent application submission by the deadline, the applicant must contact the OJP Response Center at OJP.ResponseCenter@usdoj.gov within 24 hours of the JustGrants deadline to request approval to submit after the deadline. See step 2 for the list of information the applicant must provide as part of its request.

As a reminder: the waiver request will not be considered unless it includes documentation of attempts to receive technical assistance to resolve the issue prior to the application deadline. OJP will review each waiver request and the required supporting documentation and notify the applicant whether the request for late submission has been approved or denied. An applicant that does not provide documentation of a technical issue (including all information previously listed), or that does not submit a waiver request within the required time period, will be denied.

For more details on the waiver process, OJP encourages applicants to review the "Experiencing Technical Issues" section in the [Application Resource Guide](#).



APPLICATION REVIEW

Responsiveness (Basic Minimum Requirements) Review

NIJ will screen concept papers and full applications to ensure they meet the basic minimum requirements (BMR) prior to conducting the merit review. If NIJ determines that a concept paper or application does not include these elements, it will not proceed to merit review and will not receive any further consideration.

- The application is submitted by an eligible applicant.
- The submission is responsive to the scope of the NOFO, in that the proposal aligns with NIJ's expectations regarding what award recipients will achieve and how those achievements will contribute to the program goals and objectives as articulated in the How Awards Will Contribute to Program Goals/Objectives section of this NOFO.
- SF-424 (Grants.gov)

Concept Paper BMR

- A brief narrative, up to four pages, describing the project. Any content exceeding the four-page limit will not be considered as part of the review process.
- One page outlining the applicant's capabilities (e.g., biographical sketches, institutional resources).
- One additional page for a budget sketch.

Full Application (Upon Invitation) BMR

- The applicant received an invitation from NIJ to submit a full application.
- Proposal Narrative (JustGrants).
- Budget detail form, which includes the budget items, their calculations, and explanation/narrative, as needed (JustGrants).
- Curriculum Vitae or Resumes for all investigators regardless of status (*i.e.*, PI, subaward PI, Co-PI, subaward Co-PI, co-investigator Co-I, subaward Co-I, I, and subaward-I) and project directors.

Applicants whose concept paper or application fails to meet the BMR are provided notice (including an appropriate point of contact for questions) within a few weeks after the submission due date.

Merit Review Criteria

Concept Paper Review Criteria

NIJ will convene an internal panel of subject matter experts to conduct a merit review and evaluate the concept papers. Reviewers will assign a score for each concept paper component—narrative, budget sketch, and personnel sketch—using the following scoring rubric: EXCEPTIONAL (4 points), EXCELLENT (3 points), GOOD (2 points), FAIR (1 point), UNACCEPTABLE (0 points). A submission must receive a GOOD, EXCELLENT, or EXCEPTIONAL score in all three components in each merit review to be eligible for an invitation to submit a full proposal. The highest scoring concept papers will be invited to submit full proposals.

Peer Review Criteria

Full applications that meet the basic minimum requirements will be evaluated for technical merit by peer reviewers based on how the proposed project/program addresses the following criteria:

- **Statement of the Problem (5%):** The applicant should clearly articulate the research problem, its significance to the criminal justice field, and demonstrate a thorough understanding of existing knowledge gaps. The statement should establish why this research is needed now and how it addresses a critical issue.
- **Project Design and Implementation (40%):** The strength of how the applicant will implement activities, including the soundness of the project design and how the activities align to the stated goals and objectives. The proposal should present a rigorous research methodology, realistic timeline, and clear connection between proposed activities and expected outcomes.
- **Capabilities and Competencies (15%):** The applicant's administrative and scientific technical capacity to successfully complete this project. The proposal should demonstrate the research team's relevant expertise, institutional resources, and track record of completing similar projects successfully.
- **Potential Impact (25%):** The applicant should describe how the research findings will provide actionable knowledge to criminal justice practitioners and policymakers. The proposal should articulate clear dissemination strategies and explain how the research will advance the field or inform practice and policy.
- **Budget (15%):** Completeness and cost effectiveness. The budget should be detailed, reasonable, and clearly justified, with all costs directly supporting the proposed research activities and demonstrating efficient use of federal funds.

Programmatic and Financial Review Criteria

After peer review of full applications, applications undergo additional programmatic and financial reviews. NIJ staff may reach out to applicants during the programmatic or financial review if a submitted form is incomplete or needs to be updated. Note that NIJ staff are not authorized to provide information on peer review scores or comment on programmatic, risk, or budget/financial reviews while the merit review is in progress.

In addition to BMR and peer review criteria, other important considerations for NIJ include geographic coverage, strategic priorities, available funding, past performance, and the extent to which the budget detail form accurately explains project costs that are reasonable, necessary, and otherwise allowable under federal law and applicable federal cost principles. If cost sharing/match is not required for this opportunity, applicants will not receive higher consideration by proposing a voluntary match contribution in their budget.

Risk Review

Pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, before award decisions are made, OJP also reviews information related to applicant risk. OJP assesses whether an applicant with one or more prior federal awards has a satisfactory record of performance, integrity, and business ethics, including by (among other things) checking whether the applicant is listed in SAM.gov as excluded from receiving a federal award.

Depending on the severity and nature of the risk factors, the risk assessment may result in the removal of an applicant from consideration or selection for award, or it may result in additional post-award conditions and oversight for an awarded applicant.

In addition, if OJP anticipates that an award will exceed \$250,000 in federal funds, OJP also must review and consider any information about the applicant that appears in the non-public segment of the integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.gov.

Important Note on Responsibility/Qualification Data (formerly FAPIIS): An applicant may review and comment on any information about its organization that currently appears in SAM.gov and was entered by a federal awarding agency. OJP will consider such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in SAM.gov, in its assessment of the risk posed by the applicant.

Selection Process

All final award decisions will be made by the Director of the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), who may take into account not only peer review ratings and NIJ staff recommendations, but also other factors as indicated in the above section. For additional information on the application review process, see the [Application Resource Guide](#).



AWARD NOTICES

Federal Award Notices

For successful applicants, JustGrants will send a system-generated email to the Application Submitter, Authorized Representative, and Entity Administrator with information on accessing their official award package in JustGrants. The award package will include key information (such as funding amount and period of performance) as well as award conditions that must be followed. The Authorized Representative for the entity should accept or decline the award within 45 days of the notification. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on award notifications and instructions.

Applicants not selected for an award will receive notification after all award recipients have been notified. OJP also provides unsuccessful applicants with a summary of peer reviewer comments.

Future Funding Opportunities

NIJ may, in certain cases, provide additional funding in future years to awards made under this funding opportunity through continuation awards. When making continuation award decisions, NIJ will consider, among other factors, OJP's strategic priorities, a recipient's overall management of the award, and the progress of the work funded under the award.

Applications submitted under this FY 2025 funding opportunity may be funded in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and the availability of appropriations.



POST-AWARD REQUIREMENTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Reporting

All award recipients under this funding opportunity will be required to submit the following reports and data:

- Quarterly financial reports.
- Semi-annual and final performance reports.
- A draft final research report and final research report.
- Data sets and associated files and documentation derived from the award.
- Final financial reports.
- If applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions.

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on specific post-award reporting requirements, including performance measure data and the method for submitting reports in OJP's online systems. Also see [Post-Award Requirements for Research, Development, and Evaluation Grants](#). Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent, and in appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.

Performance Measure Reporting

Award recipients will report performance measure data in their quarterly financial reports and semi-annual and final performance reports submitted in JustGrants. Applicants selected for an award will receive further guidance on post-award reporting processes.

Program- and Award-Specific Award Conditions

OJP includes various conditions on its awards. These may include program-specific conditions, which typically apply to all recipients of a funding opportunity, and award-specific conditions, which are included to address recipient-specific issues (e.g., programmatic, or financial risk). Recipients may view all conditions, and actions required to satisfy those conditions, in the award package in JustGrants.

Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions and all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations, including the applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance. For additional information on these legal requirements, see the “Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements” section in the [Application Resource Guide](#).

Civil Rights Compliance

If a successful applicant accepts funding from OJP—as a recipient of OJP funding—that award recipient must comply with certain federal civil rights laws that prohibit the award recipient from discriminating on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, or disability in how it delivers its program's services or benefits and in its employment practices. The civil rights laws that may be applicable to the award include, but are not limited to, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act

of 1964, the nondiscrimination provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. These and other federal civil rights laws are discussed in greater detail on OJP's [Legal Overview—FY 2025 Awards](#) webpage under the “Civil Rights Requirements” section. Additional resources are available from the [OJP Office for Civil Rights](#).

Compliance with Federal civil rights and nondiscrimination laws is material to the government's decision to make any award and payment under this program, including for purposes of the False Claims Act, and each recipient will be required to certify (in its acceptance of the conditions of the award) that it does not operate any programs (including any such programs having components relating to diversity, equity, and inclusion) that violate any applicable Federal civil rights or nondiscrimination laws.

See OJP's [Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations webpage](#) for specific information for faith-based organizations applying under this NOFO.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements set out at 2 C.F.R. § 200.303, comply with standards for financial and program management. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

Information Technology Security Clauses

An application in response to this NOFO may require inclusion of information related to information technology security. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for more information.

Other Reporting Requirements

Applicants and recipients are required to notify OJP if you know that you or any of your organization's principals for the award transaction are presently excluded or disqualified (*i.e.*, debarred or suspended) or otherwise meet any of the criteria in 2 C.F.R. 180.335. Recipients must comply with requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 180, as implemented by DOJ in 2 C.F.R. Part 2867, which, among other things, require recipients to check certain information sources and, in some cases, notify the federal awarding agency prior to the agency awarding federal funds via contracts or subawards.

If a recipient's award includes a federal share of more than \$500,000 over the period of performance of the award, then the award (per 2 C.F.R. 200.113) will include a condition that may require the recipient to report and maintain certain information (relating to certain criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings) in SAM.gov. See the [Reporting Requirements page](#) for more information.



OTHER INFORMATION

Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities

OJP may conduct or support an evaluation of the projects and activities funded under this NOFO. For additional information on what should be included in the application, see the [Application Resource Guide](#) section “Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities.”

Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for important information on the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 552 and 552a).

Applicants are advised not to include any unnecessary personally identifiable information, sensitive law enforcement information, or confidential financial information with the application.

Provide Feedback to OJP

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on how to provide feedback to OJP.



APPLICATION CHECKLIST

NIJ FY25 Research and Evaluation of Artificial Intelligence for Criminal Justice Purposes

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application. For more information, reference the “[OJP Application Submission Steps](#)” in the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) and the [DOJ Application Submission Checklist](#).

SAM.gov Registration/Renewal

- Confirm that your entity’s registration in the System for Award Management (SAM.gov) is active through the NOFO period. Submit a new or renewal registration in SAM.gov, if needed (see [Application Resource Guide](#)).

Grants.gov Registration

- Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password (see [Application Resource Guide](#)).
- Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC) (see [Application Resource Guide](#)).

Grants.gov Opportunity Search

- Search for the funding opportunity in Grants.gov using the opportunity number, assistance listing number, or keyword(s).
- Access the funding opportunity and application package (see Step 7 under “[OJP Application Submission Steps](#)” in the [Application Resource Guide](#)).
- Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional) (see [Application Resource Guide](#)).

Funding Opportunity Review and Project Planning

- Review all sections of the NOFO.
- Confirm your entity is eligible to receive funding (see [Eligibility: Eligible Applicants](#)).
- Confirm your proposed budget is within the allowable limits (see [Basic Information: Funding Details](#)), includes only allowable costs (see [Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines: Budget Detail Form](#)), and includes cost sharing if applicable (see [Eligibility: Cost Sharing/Match Requirement](#)).
- Review the performance measures for this funding opportunity and confirm you will be prepared to collect and report on this data (see [Program Description: Performance Measures](#)).
- Review the “[Legal Overview—FY 2025 Awards](#)” in the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#) and confirm you are prepared to follow the requirements.
- Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting under “Listing of Costs Requiring Prior Approval” in the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#) or see the [Application Resource Guide](#).

Application Part 1: Grants.gov Submission of SF-424 and Concept Paper and Submission Verification in JustGrants

After registering with SAM.gov submit the SF-424 in Grants.gov.

- Complete and submit the SF-424 and concept paper by the deadline.

- Confirm Section 8F of the SF-424 lists the name and contact information of the individual **who will complete the application in JustGrants.**
- Upload the Concept Paper
- Confirm that, within 48 hours of your submission in Grants.gov, you receive four (4) Grants.gov email notifications:
 - A submission receipt
 - A validation receipt
 - A grantor agency retrieval receipt
 - An agency tracking number assignment
- If no Grants.gov receipt and validation email is received, or if error notifications are received, contact the OJP Response Center at 800-851-3420 or 202-353-5556 (TTY for hearing-impaired callers only), and the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726, 606-545-5035, or support@grants.gov regarding technical difficulties (see the [Application Resource Guide](#) section on “[Experiencing Unforeseen Technical Issues](#)”).
- Confirm that, within 24 hours after receipt of confirmation emails from Grants.gov, the individual listed in Section 8F of the SF-424 receives an email from JustGrants with login instructions.
- Verify that the application is in JustGrants and is in a “New” or “Draft” status. If the Grants.gov submission has not reflected in JustGrants within 24 hours of submission; the application is not in “New” or “Draft” status; or is indicating a “Pending-DIAMDOnboarding” status, contact the JustGrants Service Desk at 833-872-5175 or JustGrants.Support@usdoj.gov for resolution.

Application Part 2: JustGrants Submission of Full Application (Upon Invitation)

- Complete the following information:
 - Entity and User Verification (first-time applicants)
 - Standard Applicant Information
 - Proposal Abstract
 - Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see [Application Resource Guide](#))
 - Agency Funding Priorities Inventory
 - Principal Investigator Contact Information
- Upload the Proposal Narrative. *
- Complete the budget detail form. *
- Upload Curriculum Vitae or Resumes. *
- Upload other budget/financial attachments, as applicable.
- Upload additional application components, as applicable.
 - Gold Standard Science
 - Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)
 - Letters of Support
 - Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity Statement
 - Bibliography/References
 - Tools/Instruments, Questionnaires, Tables/Charts/Graphs, or Maps
 - List of Individuals in the Application
 - Human Subjects Protection
 - Privacy Certificate

- Request to Use Incentives or Stipends
 - Consortium/Contractual Arrangements
 - Data Management, Sharing, and Archiving Plan (DMSAP)
 - Project Management Plan and Timeline
- Complete the required disclosures and assurances:
 - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities and submission of SF-LLL, if prompted by the system
 - Disclosure of Duplication in Cost Items
 - DOJ Certified Standard Assurances
 - Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High-Risk Grantees
- Complete the required DOJ Certification on Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; Drug-Free Workplace Requirements; Coordination with Affected Agencies.

****Note: Items designated with an asterisk must be submitted for an application to meet the basic minimum requirements review. If OJP determines that an application does not include the designated items, it will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration.***

JustGrants Review, Certification, and Application Submission

- Address any validation errors displayed on screen after attempted submission, then return to the “Certify and Submit” screen to submit the application.
- Note the confirmation message at the top of the page. Users will also receive a notification in the “bell” alerts confirming submission.
- If you do not receive an application submission confirmation email or validation from JustGrants, or if you receive an error notification, please contact the JustGrants Service Desk at 833-872-5175 or JustGrants.Support@usdoj.gov. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.