Executive Office of the President Office of National Drug Control Policy





Drug-Related Crime

Drugs are related to crime in multiple ways. Most directly, it is a crime to use, possess, manufacture, or distribute drugs classified as having a potential for abuse (such as cocaine, heroin, marijuana, and amphetamines). Drugs are also related to crime through the effects they have on the user's behavior and by generating violence and other illegal activity in connection with drug trafficking. The chart below summarizes the various ways that drugs and crime are related.

Drug-related offenses and drug-using lifestyles are major contributors to the U.S. crime problem and are the focus of this fact sheet.

Drug Use and Its Relation to the Commission of Crimes

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) conducts an annual National Household Survey on Drug Abuse (NHSDA) that asks individuals living in households about their drug and alcohol use and their involvement in crimes (table 1). Provisional data for 1997 show that respondents arrested in the past year for possession or sale of drugs and driving under the influence had the highest percentage of illicit drug use in the past year. Past year illicit drug users were also about 16 times more likely than nonusers to report being arrested and booked for larceny or theft;

Drugs/crime relationship	Definition	Examples
Drug-defined offenses	Violations of laws prohibiting or regulating the possession, use, distribution, or manufacture of illegal drugs.	Drug possession or use. Marijuana cultivation. Methamphetamine production. Cocaine, heroin, or marijuana sales.
Drug-related offenses	Offenses to which a drug's pharmacologic effects contribute; offenses motivated by the user's need for money to support continued use; and offenses connected to drug distribution itself.	Violent behavior resulting from drug effects. Stealing to get money to buy drugs. Violence against rival drug dealers.
Drug-using lifestyle	A lifestyle in which the likelihood and frequency of involvement in illegal activity are increased because drug users may not participate in the legitimate economy and are exposed to situations that encourage crime.	A life orientation with an emphasis on short-term goals supported by illegal activities. Opportunities to offend resulting from contacts with offenders and illegal markets. Criminal skills learned from other offenders.

Table I. Percentage of past year illicit drug and alcohol users and nonusers reporting having been arrested and booked for breaking a law, 1997*

	Illicit drug use in past year†		Drunk 51 or more days in past ye		
	Yes (4,147)	No (20,358)	Yes (1,023)	No (23,482)	
In past year arrested and booked for (unweighted N):					
Larceny or theft	1.6%	0.1%	1.8%	0.2%	
Burglary or breaking and entering	0.9	+	1.2	0.1	
Aggravated assault	0.9	0.1	0.9	0.1	
Other assault	2.0	0.2	3.3	0.3	
Motor vehicle theft	0.5	‡	0.7	‡	
Robbery	0.4	‡	0.8	‡	
Arson	0.2	‡	0.1	‡	
Driving under					
the influence	2.8	0.2	4.8	0.3	
Drunkenness or liquor law violation	1.5	0.1	4.0	0.1	
Possession or sale of drugs	2.8	‡	2.4	0.3	

† "Illicit drug use" indicates use of marijuana or hashish, cocaine (including crack), inhalants, hallucinogens (including PCP and LSD), or heroin or nonmedical use of psychotherapeutics at least once.

‡ Low precision; no estimate reported.

Source: HHS, National Household Survey on Drug Abuse: Main Findings 1997.

more than 14 times more likely to be arrested and booked for such offenses as driving under the influence, drunkenness, or liquor law violations; and more than 9 times more likely to be arrested and booked on an assault charge.

The annual Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) asks victims of violent crimes who reported seeing the offender whether they perceived the offender to be under the influence of drugs or alcohol. According to the 1998 survey, 30 percent of victims could not determine whether the offender was under the influence of a substance. Of those who could make a determination, about 31 percent reported that the offender was under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs.

Arrestees frequently test positive for recent drug use

The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring (ADAM) Program measures drug use among arrestees by calculating the percentage of arrestees with positive urine tests for drug use. ADAM data are collected voluntarily and anonymously at the time of arrest in booking facilities in selected U.S. cities.

Data collected from male arrestees in 1998 in 35 cities showed that the percentage testing positive for any drug ranged from 42.5 percent in Anchorage, Alaska, to 78.7 percent in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Female arrestees testing positive ranged from 33.3 percent in Laredo, Texas, to 82.1 percent in New York, New York. Male arrestees charged with drug possession or sales were among the most likely to test positive for drug use, while female arrestees charged with prostitution, drug possession, or sales were among the most likely to elicit a positive test result. Males and females arrested for stolen vehicles, robbery, and burglary also had high positive rates. Test results further showed that opiate use demonstrated a positive correlation to polydrug use: of the individuals who tested positive for opiates, three-fourths also tested positive for another drug.

Data collected from juvenile male arrestees in 13 cities showed that, similar to adult arrestees, the highest positive rates were found in juveniles charged with drug sales or possession. Overall, however, juvenile arrestees were less likely than adult arrestees to test positive for drug use. For juveniles who did test positive for any drug use, marijuana was overwhelmingly the drug of choice. On average, half of the juvenile males tested had positive results for marijuana. In addition, it was found that juvenile male arrestees who were in school were less likely to test positive for drugs than those not in school. This was particularly the case for cocaine and methamphetamine.



Incarcerated offenders were often under the influence of drugs when they committed their offenses

By the end of 1998, State and Federal prisons housed two-thirds of the Nation's incarcerated population and jails housed the other third. From 1990 to 1998, the Federal prison population almost doubled, reaching 123,041 offenders. The State prison population also increased significantly between 1990 and 1998, from 708,393 to 1,178,978 inmates. At year-end 1998, the number of offenders in jails was 592,462, an increase from earlier. This number includes people who were awaiting trial and those whose sentences were 1 year or less.

In 1997 the U.S. Bureau of the Census conducted surveys of State and Federal prison inmates for BJS and the Bureau of Prisons. These surveys asked sentenced Federal and State prison inmates whether they were under the influence of drugs at the time they committed the offense that resulted in their incarceration. The percentage of Federal and State prison inmates who reported they were under the influence of drugs at the time of the offense varied across the major offense categories (table 2). These same studies found that drug offenders and robbers in State prisons were those most likely to report being under the influence of drugs at the time of the offense. State prison inmates convicted of sexual assault and negligent manslaughter were among those least likely to report being under the influence of drugs. Federal prison inmates were less likely, with the exception of murder and weapons offenses, than State inmates to have committed their offenses under the influence of drugs.

Offenders often commit offenses to support their drug habit

Another dimension of drug-related crime is committing an offense to obtain money (or goods to sell to get money) to support drug use. According to the 1991 joint survey of Federal and State prison inmates, an estimated 17 percent of State prisoners and 10 percent of Federal prisoners reported committing their offense to get money to buy drugs; of those incarcerated for robbery, 27 percent of State prisoners and 27 percent of Federal prisoners admitted committing their offense to get money to buy drugs (table 3). In 1997, 19 percent of State prisoners and 16 percent of Federal inmates said that they committed their current offense to obtain money for drugs. These numbers represent a slight increase from the 1991 figures.

Table 2. Percentage of State and Federal prison inmates who reported being under the influence of drugs at time of their offense, 1997

Type of offense	Federal prison inmates	State prison inmates			
Total of all inmates	22.4%	32.6%			
Violent offenses	24.5	29.0			
Murder	29.4	26.8			
Negligent manslaughter	r *	17.4			
Sexual assault	7.9	21.5			
Robbery	27.8	39.9			
Assault	13.8	24.2			
Other	15.9	29.0			
Property offenses	10.8	36.6			
Burglary	*	38.4			
Larceny/theft	*	38.4			
Motor vehicle theft	*	39.0			
Fraud	6.5	30.5			
Other	16.4	30.6			
Drug offenses	25.0	41.9			
Possession	25.1	42.6			
Trafficking	25.9	41.0			
Other	17.1	47.1			
Public-order offenses	15.6	23.1			
Weapons	24.4	22.4			
Other	8.1	23.3			
* Too few cases in the sample to permit calculation.					
Source: BIS Substance Abuse and Treatment State and Federal Prisoners 1997					

Source: BJS, Substance Abuse and Treatment, State and Federal Prisoners, 1997.

Table 3. Percentage of Federal and State prison inmates who committed their offense to obtain money to buy drugs, 1991

Type of offense	Federal prison inmates	State prison inmates			
Total of inmates	10%	17%			
Violent offenses	18	12			
Homicide	3	5			
Sexual assault	0	2			
Robbery	27	27			
Assault	2	6			
Property offenses	9	27			
Burglary	32	30			
Larceny/theft	13	31			
Fraud	7	25			
Other	8	14			
Drug offenses	9	22			
Possession	7	16			
Trafficking	10	25			
Other	7	20			
Public-order offenses	6	5			
Source: BJS, Comparing Federal and State Prison Inmates, 1991.					

Drugs Generate Violent Crime

Trafficking in illicit drugs tends to be associated with the commission of violent crimes. Reasons for the relationship between drug trafficking and violence include the following:

- Competition for drug markets and customers.
- Disputes and rip-offs among individuals involved in the illegal drug market.
- The tendency toward violence of individuals who participate in drug trafficking.

In addition, locations in which street drug markets proliferate tend to be disadvantaged economically and socially; legal and social controls against violence in such areas tend to be ineffective. The proliferation of lethal weapons in recent years has also made drug violence more deadly.

Although the number of drug-related homicides has been decreasing in recent years, drugs still remain one of the main factors leading to the total number of all homicides (table 4). According to the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI's) *Crime in the United States: Uniform Crime Reports,* the number of homicides that occurred in 1994 during a narcotic drug law violation (such as drug trafficking or possession) or because of brawls influenced by narcotics totaled 1,450, whereas in 1998 this number was reduced to 795. Despite this decrease, murders related to narcotics still rank as the fourth most documented murder circumstance out of 24 possible categories.

The Drug/Crime Relationship Should Be Interpreted Cautiously

The drug/crime relationship is difficult to quantify because:

- Most crimes result from a variety of factors (personal, situational, cultural, economic); even when drugs are a cause, they are likely to be only one factor among many.
- What is meant by "drug-related" varies from study to study; some studies interpret the mere presence of drugs as having causal relevance whereas other studies interpret the relationship more narrowly.
- Reports by offenders about their drug use may exaggerate or minimize the relevance of drugs; drug-use measures, such as urinalysis that identifies only very recent drug use, are limited.

Conclusion

The evidence indicates that drug users are more likely than nonusers to commit crimes, that arrestees frequently were under the influence of a drug at the time they committed their offense, and that drugs generate violence. Assessing the nature and extent of the influence of drugs on crime requires that reliable information about the offense and the offender be available and that definitions be consistent. In the face of problematic evidence, it is impossible to say quantitatively how much drugs influence the occurrence of crime.

Table 4. Drug-related homicides, 1991–1998				
Year	Number of homicides	Percentage drug-related*		
1991	21,676	6.2%		
1992	22,716	5.7		
1993	23,180	5.5		
1994	22,084	5.6		
1995	20,232	5.0		
1996	15,848	4.9		
1997	15,289	5.1		
1998	14,088	4.8		
* D	1.11 ···			

* Drug-related homicides are those murders that occurred specifically during a narcotics felony, such as drug trafficking or manufacturing.

Source: Table constructed by ONDCP Drug Policy Information Clearinghouse staff from the FBI's *Crime in the United States: Uniform Crime Reports*, 1991–1998.



Why Statistics on Drug-Related Crime are Difficult To Interpret: Homicide as an Example

Homicide statistics illustrate the difficulties in quantifying the drug/crime relationship. Homicide, like most types of crime, can be related to drugs in any of the ways described in this fact sheet. Data on homicides are more complete than on other offenses because homicides are more likely to be reported to the police and tend to be more thoroughly investigated. The two examples of drug-related homicide statistics given here demonstrate some of the difficulties of quantifying the relationship between drugs and murder.

The FBI *Crime in the United States: Uniform Crime Reports* present data on two types of drugrelated homicides.

- Murders that occur during a felony narcotics offense (such as drug trafficking).
- Murders that occur during brawls influenced by narcotics.

Percentage of all homicides that are drug- related per FBI statistics, 1994–1998					
Type of drug-related homicide	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Narcotic drug law offenses	5.6%	5.1%	5.0%	5.1%	4.8%
Brawls influenced by narcotics	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.7	0.8
Source: FBI, Crime in the United States: Uniform Crime Reports, 1998.					

The FBI does *not* include as drug-related a murder that occurs during a robbery or a burglary committed by someone under the influence of drugs or a murder that occurs during a robbery committed to obtain money to buy drugs. In these cases, the homicide is recorded by its relationship to the most serious offense only, and robbery and burglary are more serious than drug trafficking in the FBI offense classification and in most State laws. Thus, current FBI homicide information may not categorize a large number of drug-related murders as so related. However, the FBI is developing an enhanced reporting system, the National Incident-Based Reporting System, to report all crimes committed during an offense. This system has been implemented in only a few States so far.

The following example further illustrates the difficulties of estimating drug-related homicides.

Drug-related homicide rates as defined using differing criteria in four cities, 1990

	Percentage drug-related			
	City 1	City 2	City 3	City 4
Definitional criteria	36.0%	25.7%	39.0%	44.6%
Committed during commission of a narcotics felony		x	x	x
Dispute between dealers		x	x	
Offender under the influence of drugs			x	
Victim under the influence of drugs	x		x	
Source: Data were obtained by the ONDCP Drug Policy Infor- mation Clearinghouse.				

The percentage of homicides thought to be drugrelated reflects both the frequency of such crimes as well as how the relationship is specified.

"What proportion of homicides is drug-related?" This simple question is difficult to answer. The FBI's definition is specific but limited. Cities or police departments may have broader but inconsistent definitions. For offenses not as reliably reported or as thoroughly investigated as homicides, the question is even more difficult because complete information is not systematically available at the national level for any definition of "drug-related."



Sources

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

National Household Survey on Drug Abuse: Main Findings 1997, SAMHSA, Office of Applied Studies, April 1999.

U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Statistics

Alcohol and Crime, NCJ–168632, April 1998.

Comparing Federal and State Prison Inmates, 1991, NCJ–145864, September 1994.

Substance Abuse and Treatment, State and Federal Prisoners, 1997, Special Report, p. 3, table 1. NCJ–172871, January 1999.

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Crime in the United States: Uniform Crime Reports, 1998, October 1999.

Crime in the United States: Uniform Crime Reports, 1995, October 1996.

National Institute of Justice

1998 ADAM: Annual Report on Drug Use Among Adult and Juvenile Arrestees, NCJ–175656, April 1999.

This fact sheet was prepared by Michele Spiess and Deborah Fallow at the Drug Policy Information Clearinghouse. The data presented are as accurate as the sources from which they were drawn. Responsibility for data selection and presentation rests with the Clearinghouse staff. The Clearinghouse is funded by the White House Office of National Drug Control Policy to support drug control policy research. The Clearinghouse is a component of the National Criminal Justice Reference Service. For further information about the contents or sources used for the production of this fact sheet or about other drug policy issues, call

I-800-666-3332

Write the Drug Policy Information Clearinghouse, P.O. Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20849–6000 or visit the World Wide Web site at

http://www.whitehousedrugpolicy.gov

