



National Institute of Justice

R e s e a r c h P r e v i e w

Jeremy Travis, Director

July 1997

Labor Markets, Employment, and Crime

Summary of a Presentation by Robert Crutchfield, Ph.D., University of Washington

Social scientists generally agree that unemployment, especially persistent unemployment, leads to individual poverty and that residential concentrations of poverty lead to higher crime. However, studies about the relationship between unemployment and crime have produced inconsistent results. This led Dr. Robert Crutchfield and his colleagues to believe that other economic factors must affect the crime rate in poverty-stricken neighborhoods. They began with the notion that a person's occupation conditions his or her routine daily activities. The routine-activities perspective claims that crime is more likely with the confluence of motivated actors, potential victims, and an absence of guardians.

Dr. Crutchfield turned to the dual labor market theory, which distinguishes between good and bad jobs. Good jobs, known as primary-sector jobs, pay reasonably well, have good benefits such as paid vacation, and, most important to the study, offer future economic advantages. Bad jobs, or secondary-sector jobs, on the other hand, are low paying, have few benefits and rarely paid vacation, and, most important again, offer little or no future. Applying control theory and bonding theory, the researchers expected that the primary-sector jobs would be more likely to build attachments and commitments to the job and secondary-sector jobs would be less likely. The good job would offer a "stake in conformity" because it has a future and the employee would feel that there is something to lose.

The following scenario illustrates this hypothesis: Two 18-year-old African-American males with similar educations are neighbors. One has a good job working at the Boeing Company; his neighbor works at McDonald's. Friends ask them to go out drinking one night, and they

have opposite reactions to the offer. The one with the good job wants to keep it because good jobs are hard to get. He has a stake in conformity, and he turns down his friends because he must work the next morning. The neighbor figures that if he's late or has a hangover the next day, he can just get another job at another fast-food place. He has no stake in conformity. He and the others gather behind the local convenience store to hang out and drink. The circumstances now include the three factors that make crime more likely: motivated actors (intoxicated 18-year-olds with bad jobs or no jobs), potential victims (store customers or each other), and an absence of guardians (no family members, adults, or police nearby).

Labor market instability predicts crime in Seattle

The research team next analyzed violent crime rates in 121 census tracts in Seattle, Washington, to test these theories. The variables they considered included the poverty rate, income inequality, percentage of the population represented by young males, percentage who were African American, and the rate of labor market instability (a combination of the unemployment rate and percentage of the workforce in service-sector jobs). The analysis revealed the following:

- Neighborhoods with high levels of labor market instability had significantly higher rates of violent crime, property crime, poverty, and income inequality.
- High rates of poverty or income inequality alone could not predict violent crime and property crime. Unemployment and marginal employment (expressed as labor market instability) appeared to have direct effects of their own.

Long-term data on 18- to 24-year-old youths

The researchers also analyzed data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLSY), which was designed to study the work experiences of young adults ages 14 to 24. Analysis of the NLSY crime data on 18- to 24-year-olds from 1979 and 1980 (the only years for which crime variables were collected) revealed the following findings:

- People employed in secondary-sector jobs spent more time out of the labor market than those employed in primary-sector jobs.
- Time out of the labor force and expected job duration were modest but significant predictors of criminal involvement.
- Young people in this age group who were currently students were significantly less likely to be involved in crime.
- Members of the military were no less likely and, under some circumstances, somewhat more likely to engage in crime.
- A tendency toward criminal activity could be predicted by an individual's time in the labor force when coupled with the percentage of the adult population in the person's home county who were outside of the labor force. The relationship between an individual's work situation and that of persons living nearby held, however, only in counties with higher unemployment rates. This finding showed that it is not necessarily the work circumstances of the individual that matter, but rather the individual's work circumstances in the context of the work circumstances of those who live around him.

Long-term data on 14- to 17-year-old youths

Because youths under 18 may have different attitudes about attachment to work than those over 18, a separate analysis was conducted. Tentative findings in regard to NLSY youths ages 14 to 17 included the following:

- A good predictor of juvenile crime was school performance, reflected by measurements such as grade point average.
- Youths who worked while in school were more likely to commit crimes than those who did not. (Some researchers theorize that work pulls them away from school.)
- Youths who neither attended school nor worked were the most likely to commit crimes.
- Educational and occupational experience of parents was a significant predictor of children's school performance. When a parent was out of work, a child did not do as well in school.
- A "county effect" existed with the younger age group as well; children had higher rates of crime in counties with substantial unemployment levels.

Violent crime in three cities affected by school dropout rates

Crutchfield's theory of labor market instability and its relationship to criminality was next applied to an analysis of census tract data on education, employment, and crime in Seattle, Cleveland, and Washington, D.C. This study found the following:

- In the initial analysis without the education variable, the results were mixed. In Seattle, the average violent crime rate was 7.04 per 1,000 population in census tracts with stable employment and 35.8 in census tracts with unstable employment; in Cleveland, 15.86 and 32.25, respectively; and in Washington, D.C., 39.45 and 53.57, respectively. The theory appeared to work well in Seattle, marginally in Cleveland, and not at all in Washington, D.C.
- When adding the factor of education into the analysis, specifically school dropout rates, the analysis showed a clearer pattern and the theory again appeared to work in Seattle and Cleveland. In Washington, D.C., however, the analysis showed a pattern with two extremes: Some neighborhoods had very low dropout rates, while many had very high dropout rates.

The researchers speculate that the Washington, D.C., data may not have fit the pattern because of the city's extremes in income and education levels, with a disproportionately large number of residents falling in the low ranges. Joblessness, marginal employment, and poor educational systems have characterized many neighborhoods for so long that that negative pattern may have stressed those communities in many ways, including causing increased rates of crime.

This document is based on Robert Crutchfield's presentation to an audience of researchers and criminal justice practitioners as part of NIJ's Research in Progress Seminar Series. Dr. Crutchfield is a professor in the Department of Sociology at the University of Washington. A 60-minute VHS videotape of the seminar *Labor Markets, Employment, and Crime* is available for \$19 (\$24 in Canada and other countries). Please ask for NCJ 161259.

Use the order form on the next page to obtain this videotape and any of the other tapes now available in the series.

Points of view in this document do not necessarily reflect the official position of the U. S. Department of Justice.

The Latest Criminal Justice Videotape Series from NIJ: *Research in Progress Seminars*



Learn about the latest developments in criminal justice research from prominent criminal justice experts.

Each 60-minute tape presents a well-known scholar discussing his or her current studies and how they relate to existing criminal justice research and includes the lecturer's responses to audience questions. In addition to *Labor Markets, Employment, and Crime*, reported on in this Research Preview, the other tapes available in VHS format are:

NCJ 152235—Alfred Blumstein, Ph.D., Professor, Carnegie Mellon University: *Youth Violence, Guns, and Illicit Drug Markets*.

NCJ 152236—Peter W. Greenwood, Ph.D., Director, Criminal Justice Research Program, The RAND Corporation: *Three Strikes, You're Out: Benefits and Costs of California's New Mandatory-Sentencing Law*.

NCJ 152237—Christian Pfeiffer, Ph.D., Director, Kriminologisches Forschungs-institut Niedersachsen: *Sentencing Policy and Crime Rates in Reunified Germany*.

NCJ 152238—Arthur L. Kellermann, M.D., M.P.H., Director, Center for Injury Control, and Associate Professor, Emory University: *Understanding and Preventing Violence: A Public Health Perspective*.

NCJ 152692—James Inciardi, Ph.D., Director, Drug and Alcohol Center, University of Delaware: *A Corrections-Based Continuum of Effective Drug Abuse Treatment*.

NCJ 153270—Adele Harrell, Ph.D., Director, Program on Law and Behavior, The Urban Institute: *Intervening with High-Risk Youth: Preliminary Findings from the Children-at-Risk Program*.

NCJ 153271—Marvin Wolfgang, Ph.D., Director, Legal Studies and Criminology, University of Pennsylvania: *Crime in a Birth*

Cohort: A Replication in the People's Republic of China.

NCJ 153730—Lawrence W. Sherman, Ph.D., Professor, University of Maryland: *Reducing Gun Violence: Community Policing Against Gun Crime*.

NCJ 153272—Cathy Spatz Widom, Ph.D., Professor, State University of New York-Albany: *The Cycle of Violence Revisited Six Years Later*.

NCJ 153273—Wesley Skogan, Ph.D., Professor, Northwestern University: *Community Policing in Chicago: Fact or Fiction?*

NCJ 153850—Scott H. Decker, Ph.D., Professor, University of Missouri-St. Louis, and Susan Pennell, San Diego Association of Governments: *Monitoring the Illegal Firearms Market*.

NCJ 154277—Terrie Moffit, Ph.D., Professor, University of Wisconsin: *Partner Violence Among Young Adults*.

NCJ 156923—Orlando Rodriguez, Ph.D., Director, Hispanic Research Center, Fordham University: *The New Immigrant Hispanic Population: Implications for Crime and Delinquency in the Next Decade*.

NCJ 156924—Robert Sampson, Ph.D., Professor, University of Chicago: *Communities and Crime: A Study in Chicago*.

NCJ 156925—John Monahan, Ph.D., Professor, University of Virginia: *Mental Illness and Violent Crime*.

NCJ 157643—Benjamin E. Saunders, Ph.D., and Dean G. Kilpatrick, Ph.D., Medical University of South Carolina: *Prevalence and Consequences of Child Victimization: Preliminary Results from the National Survey of Adolescents*.

NCJ 159739—Joel H. Garner, Ph.D., Research Director, Joint Centers for Justice Studies: *Use of Force By and Against the Police*.

NCJ 159740—Kim English, Research Director, Colorado Division of Criminal Justice: *Managing Adult Sex Offenders in Community Settings: A Containment Approach*.

NCJ 160765—Michael Tonry, Ph.D., Professor, University of Minnesota: *Ethnicity, Crime, and Immigration*.

NCJ 161836—Geoff Alpert, Ph.D., Professor, University of South Carolina: *Police in Pursuit: Policy and Practice*.

NCJ 163056—Dan Brookoff, M.D., Ph.D., Associate Director, Medical Education, Memphis Methodist Hospital: *Drug Use and Domestic Violence*.

(continued on back)



To order any of these tapes, please complete and return this form with your payment (\$19, U.S.; \$24, Canada and other countries) to National Criminal Justice Reference Service, P.O. Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20849-6000. Call 800-851-3420, or e-mail askncjrs@ncjrs.org if you have any questions.

Please send me the following tapes:

Qty. Presenter Name and NCJ Number

Subtotal

Total _____

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ ZIP _____ Daytime phone () _____

Payment enclosed (U.S. dollars) Deduct this item from my NCJRS Deposit Account, account no. _____

Charge my: MasterCard VISA Account no. _____

Exp. Date _____ Signature _____

NCJ 163057—Marcia Chaiken, Ph.D., Research Director of LINC, Alexandria, VA: *Youth After-school Programs and the Role of Law Enforcement*.

NCJ 163058—Eric Wish, Ph.D., Director, Center for Substance Abuse Research, University of Maryland, Dependence and

Drug Treatment Needs Among Adult Arrestees.

NCJ 163059—Jeffrey Fagan, Ph.D., Professor , Columbia University, *Adolescent Violence: A View From the Street*.

NCJ 163921—Patricia Tjaden, Ph.D., Senior Researcher, Center for Policy Research, *The Crime of Stalking: How Big Is the Problem?*

Quick Access to NIJ Publication News

For news about NIJ's most recent publications, including solicitations for grant applications, subscribe to JUSTINFO, the bimonthly newsletter sent to you via e-mail. Here's how:

- Send an e-mail to listproc@ncjrs.org
- Leave the subject line blank
- Type subscribe justinfo your name
(e.g., subscribe justinfo Jane Doe) in the body of the message

Or check out the "What's New" section at the Justice Information Center homepage:
<http://www.ncjrs.org>

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

Washington, D.C. 20531

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

BULK RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID DOJ/NIJ Permit No. G-91
--