

This microluble was produced from decements received fer sected to the NURS data hase. Since NGIRS cannet exercise charral ever the anysical condition of the documents submitted. the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution shart on the france may be used to evaluate the decomons quality



Ministilling procedures used to create this ficke comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20531

> 2/14/77) a se como d





ANNUAL REPORT

JUVENILE SERVICES

OF

DEPARTMENT

MARYLAND



Fiscal Year 1972

Division of Planning, Research & Evaluation

Ι

Director's Repor Report of the Ch Major Program C Highlights of the	t
00	
Budget Expendit	STAT
Table 1:	
Table 2:	5
Division of Juver	nile Court Services
Table 3:	Summary of Juvenile Probation & Court & Services Rendered, Fiscal 1968-1972
Table 4:	Total Juvenile Court Dispositions, 1968
Table 5:	Formal Juvenile Court Dispositions, 196
Table 6:	Informal Juvenile Court Dispositions, 19
Table 7:	Juvenile Dispositions Disapproved or Cle
Table 8:	Disposition by Region & County, Fiscal
Table 9:	Type of Offense by Region & County, H
Table 10:	Manner of Handling Cases by County an
Table 11:	Manner of Handling Cases by County an
Table 12:	Total Juvenile Court Cases by Source of
Table 13:	Total Juvenile Court Cases Disposed of S
	Referred by Age of Juvenile, Fiscal 1972
Division of Instit	utional Rehabilitation
Table 14:	State Department of Juvenile Services, S
LUDIC I F.	Expenditures, 1968-1972 (Fiscal)
Table 15:	Summary of Institution Admissions, 196
Table 16:	Institution Admissions, 1968-1972
Table 17:	Training School & Forestry Camp Admi
	Comparisons, 1971-1972
Table 18:	Detention Center Admissions, Fiscal Yes
Table 19:	Number of Children Admitted to Maryla
	Detention Centers by County of Resider
Table 20:	Juveniles Admitted to State Training Sel
	School, 1968-1972
Table 21:	Number of Children Admitted to Maryla
	by County of Residence and Admitting
Table 22:	Juveniles Admitted to Training Schools
Table 23:	Percent of Juveniles Admitted to Trainin
Table 24:	Juveniles Admitted to Maryland's Institu
Table 25:	Detention Center Admissions by Institut
Table 26:	Admissions to Maryland's Children Cent
	Admitting Court, Fiscal 1972
Table 27:	Admissions to Maryland's Children Cent
Table 28:	Admissions to Maryland's Children Cer
Division of Com	munity Services
	Community and Residential Services Ex

Di

TABLE OF CONTENTS page 1 TISTICS Summary of Budget Expenditures irt Service Expenditures losed at Intake, Fiscal Years 1970-72 Statewide, Major Reason Summary of Institution issions, Fiscal Year land's Training Schools, Forestry Camps and chools by Type of Admission and Training land's Training Schools and Forestry Camps nters by Counties of Residence and Table 29: Community and Residential Services Expenditures, Fiscal 1968-1972 .</th Table 30: Community and Residential Placements, Number of Juveniles Served 1968-1972 49 Table 32: Residential & Emergency Placements by Race, Fiscal 1972 . <t

The Maryland State Department of Juvenile Services was created and established by the 1966 Session of the Maryland General Assembly through enactment of Article 52A of the Annotated Code of Maryland. Under this legislation, the State Department of Juvenile Services was designated as the central administrative agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for operation of the State juvenile diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation facilities. The Department became operational, in terms of providing these services, on July 1, 1967.

The overall philosophy of the Department of Juvenile Services is embodied in the preamble to Article 26 - the Juvenile Causes statute - of the Annotated Code of Maryland. In part, this Article reads:

- "1. To provide for the care, protection and wholesome mental and physical development of children coming within the provisions of this subtitle;
- 2. To remove from children committing delinquent acts the taint of criminality and the consequences of criminal behavior, and to substitute therefore a program of treatment, training, and rehabilitation consistent with the protection of the public interest;
- 3. To place the child in a wholesome family environment whenever possible;
- 4. To separate a child from his parents only when necessary for his welfare or in the interests of public safety."

More specifically, it is the philosophy of the Department to consider each child coming to our attention as a unique individual with a unique problem which must be resolved. These problems may range from the relatively mild ones to the quite severe ones. It would therefore be incumbent upon the Department to be able to provide a full range of services needed to meet the diverse needs of youngsters, or have the needed resources available within the community to which youngsters and/or their families may be referred.

The Department of Juvenile Services, by the authority mandated to it by Article 52A and Article 26, Section 70 of the Annotated Code of Maryland, will operate certain programs for troubled youth. In the operating of these programs, it is our philosophy, as well as objective, to have these programs soundly administered, humanely operated, beneficial to youths, and objectively researched.

While it is necessary to provide a wide range of services, maximum emphasis is placed upon the provision of those services, programs, and facilities which are community-based in orientation to meet the needs of youngsters. It is the strong belief of this Department that the overwhelming majority of youngsters who come to the attention of the juvenile courts and/or the Department of Juvenile Services can best be treated or rehabilitated in \cdot ch settings. This belief is based upon the fact that youngsters will spend the majority, if not all, of their lives in the community; and except for a relatively few, this period of re-adjustment should begin at the community level. The types of programs necessary in this area would include foster-care homes, group homes or residences, residential treatment centers, day programs, family counseling, etc.

At the other extreme, there is a small percentage of youngsters who must be removed from the community for a period of time until they are able to gain the necessary inner controls to make a successful transition back into the community. This means that institutional programs may continue to be an integral part of the Department's overall programming, although it is anticipated that the number of institutions now in operation will decrease through a more skilled selection process of assessment/evaluation/classification which will result in only those youngsters being institutionalized who need to be so, either for their own best interests or in the best interests of society as a whole.

If institutional programs are to be operated to any extent, it is the philosophy of the Department that they be humanely operated and that each child be treated according to his individual treatment needs. Quality programs which are truly rehabilitative in nature and ones which would ease the transition back into the community must be operational. We do not accept the concept of "warehousing" youngsters OR having the primary emphasis on custodial care. Treatment, in its various modalities, is the primary programming effort of all our programs.

It is also the philosophy of the Department to operate only those programs which exceed the present resources of the communities. We, therefore, will actually operate only the minimum number of programs as is practical and feasible. The private sector, through contractual arrangements, will be used to the fullest extent possible in providing the services needed.

In June of 1972, the John Howard Association, a Chicago-based consultant firm, completed a Comprehensive Long Range Master Plan for the Department. This Report and Plan was developed at the request of the Joint Budget and Audit Committee of the General Assembly. This Plan, indeed, offers direction for the provision of more effective services and for better utilization of resources and funds available to us. Many recommendations emerge from this study which must be implemented immediately. Some of the recommendations will undoubtedly require additional funding; but as the Report so rightfully states, "as with any business, a reasonable investment of monies NOW will show profits in the future." Some of the immediate priorities of the Department are:

- 1. The expansion of court services staff to allow for more manageable caseloads; differential caseloads; more intensive counseling and supervision: more involvement of families in the treatment process; and better screening of complaints at the intake level. Despite increasing workloads and additional responsibilities either mandated by law or requested by the judiciary, the size of the court services staff has not increased in three years. Caseloads have now reached unmanageable proportions with one of the resultant factors being more youngsters are being removed from their homes and are either institutionalized or placed in community residential facilities. With proper staffing, more youngsters could possibly remain with their families and receive the treatment appropriate to their needs and the needs of their families.
- 2. The further development of community-based treatment modalities, such as expansion of the purchase of care program, development of day programs, more foster homes, group homes, etc. The residential services should only be used for those children whose own home situation is detrimental to their development and rehabilitation.
- 3. The development of delinquency prevention programs is virtually an untouched area. Unless more emphasis is placed in this area, we will continue to spend increasing sums for rehabilitation. Programs involving early identification of "problem" children need to be developed and resources of many types made available to the child and his family to prevent the child from exhibiting behavioral problems which may lead to contact with law enforcement agencies and/or the juvenile courts. The concept of Youth Services Bureaus and other diversion programs need further development and expansion. Basically, these programs may be under the operation of the private sector but some coordination and consultation would he needed on a Statewide level.
- 4. The construction of a high security facility for the relatively few youngsters who require a treatment program in a DIFFERENT type of setting than our institutions PRESENTLY offer.
- 5. Training of all staff, both pre-service and in-service, is essential for effective programming.
- 6. There is a need for greater overall planning capabilities and implementation. Concurrent with this need is a need for more thorough research and evaluation of effectiveness of present programs. Ineffective programs should be discarded and effective ones developed.

- 7. Reducing the number of institutional programs until only the minimum number of such programs remain. Simultaneously, the quality of treatment services of institutional programs must be improved to make them, in fact, relevant and rehabilitative.
- 8. Less reliance on the traditional "medical-model" of treatment by developing appropriate assessment/evaluation techniques which can be utilized at the community level.
- 9. Volunteer programs and greater citizen involvement.
- 10. Reorganization of the Central Office of the Department of Juvenile Services.
- 11. Greater coordination of programs and services with other community agencies, e. g., Education Department; various components of the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene; Social Services Administration: Police Departments, etc.

While much of the foregoing relates to needs and plans of the Department, much progress has been evidenced as will be seen in the following sections of this report. Certainly, we have a long way to go to become the "model" youth-serving agency in the country. This goal, however, is a reachable one and, in this direction, we are definitely headed.

> ROBERT C. HILSON Director

REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE

During fiscal 1972 the Advisory Board has held bimonthly meetings to meet its responsibility to advise the Director of the Department of Juvenile Services as well as receive reports from the Director and his staff with respect to the operations of the Department.

Members of the Board have shared the frustrations of the staff in its inability to enrich the treatment programs for juveniles placed under the supervision of the Department under protective supervision, on probation, or by commitment and initiate preventive measures to reduce the incidents of misbehavior on the part of young people under the age of eighteen because of fiscal restraints imposed upon the Department as a result of the tight budget situation that has persisted in Maryland.

Significant progress has been made in the establishment of additional group homes and purchase of care services from other agencies, thus utilizing community based resources. As a result there has been a reduction in the number of juveniles committed to training schoolsa most desirable development.

Diversion of juveniles from formal adjudication through the use of informal adjustment has increased, and this, too, is beneficial.

 $\mathbf{2}$

ADVISORY BOARD

Much time was devoted to the Department's longrange planning project directed by the John Howard Association. Implementation of the major recommendations evolving from this effort should move the State forward in dealing more effectively with children engaging in deviant behavior. Failure to improve the Department's effectiveness will adversely affect Maryland's plans to control and ultimately reduce crime and delinquency,

At the conclusion of my service as a member and Chairman of the Advisory Board, I commend the Director and his staff for the gigantic strides that have been made during the last five years in serving the youth of this State and providing for the Juvenile Courts a greater range of treatment alternatives. In addition, I challenge all personnel of the Department to exert even greater imagination, devotion, innovation, experimentation and dedication in striving to translate into reality the purposes of the Juvenile Court law and the statute which created the Department of Juvenile Services. Furthermore, I wish to thank former Governor J. Millard Tawes for having appointed me as the first Chairman of the Advisory Board. Likewise, I wish to express my appreciation to Governor Marvin Mandel for his support of the Department and making it possible for me to continue my association with the Department. I shall miss my frequent contacts with the Director and members of his staff, whom I consider as valued personal friends.

> GEORGE B. RASIN, JR. Chairman Advisory Board to the Department of Juvenile Services

TABLE OF ORGANIZATION

MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES



OF

ΥE

cn.

THE

HIGHLIGHTS AR

DIVISION OF PERSONNEL

Expansion of Activities

Personnel transactions and activities continue to expand rapidly. The growth of the Community Services Program has directly increased personnel activities in that area as has the expansion of the use of Federally-funded projects which utilize personnel services. More reclassifications and promotions have taken place this year than at any other time.

Revisions

This year much emphasis has been placed upon review, revision and updating of existing classifications as well as the creation of two new classifications: Juvenile Counselor and Youth Supervisor Trainee. Priority has been given to the development of personnel policy statements on issues which were unclear in the past and a new time sheet was developed. Several examinations were revised to reflect more relevancy. Measures to hire mo_{i} minority group members have been given much attention. Better communication with all staff has been emphasized.

Employer-Employee Relations

The area of Employer-Employee relations, including employee grievances and meetings with employee organizations in order to discuss and settle employee problems, has been the fastest growing area in the personnel division in 1972.

Continued Growth

It is anticipated that the growth and development which this office has experienced in the past year will continue with the growth and development of the Department of Juvenile Services as a whole.

DIVISION OF TRAINING AND STAFF DFVELOPMENT

Stipend and Graduate Studies

During fiscal 1972, five employees received Master's Degrees and returned to full employment with the Department. The degrees included Guidance and Counseling, Social Work, Psychology, and Administration of Justice. Seven employees will continue in the program into fiscal 1974.

Course Reimbursement

The budget of \$4,000 was spent by October 1971 and from that date on funding was received through the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Division of

6

Manpower and Training.

Law Enforcement Education Program (LEEP)

Large numbers of staff continued to take advantage of LEEP grants at almost every school in Maryland in job related courses. Severa, employees completed Masters Programs through LEEP.

Training Activities

Three Supervisory and Management Seminars were held at College Park during fiscal 1972. This ended the federally funded phase of the program. January 1972 and June 1972 saw Interviewing Techniques courses at Towson State College.

Through an agreement with the Correctional Training Commission, a number of Department of Juvenile Services personnel received instruction in Planning and Implementing training activities. Also, Juvenile Counselors and Youth Supervisors participated in a series of five day training sessions from February through May 1972.

In May of 1972, social service workers took part in an Interviewing Course developed by the Continuing Education Committee of the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH). Also, in the spring, Social Service staff participated in a DHMH orientation program.

Training Officers continued to arrange In-Service training sessions throughout Court Services. May also was the month of the 5th annual departmental meeting held at Patapsco State Park.

DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATION

During Fiscal Year 1972 the Division of Administration was called upon and responded very energetically to provide sound administrative direction and control in order that the Department could continue to meet its goals and objectives.

Program Planning Budgeting System

The major challenge encountered by the division was converting our existing budgeting system to a modified Program Planning Budgeting System. In order to properly convert the Headquarters Unit and all Juvenile Institutions into the new system by July 1, 1972, many meetings were held between staff from the Secretary's Office, our Headquarters Unit, Superintendents of our Juvenile Institutions and their Business Office Personnel. We are presently encountering some mechanical problems implementing the new system, but with the fine cooperation of all concerned they should be overcome within a short period of time.

Capital and Operating Budget

Much attention and time was devoted in preparing the 1973 Capital and Operating Budget for the Headquarters Unit and all the juvenile institutions. Our efforts in this respect were culminated by the Legislature appropriating a Capital Budget in the amount of \$1,876,200 and an Operating Budget of \$19,022,206 for Fiscal Year 1973.

NCR Accounting Machine

The Accounting Office has continued to move forward by reprogramming the NCR Accounting Machine to effectively and efficiently produce required records under the Modified Program Planning Budgeting System and to maintain ledger cards for the myriad of Federal grants that are processed through the Headquarters Unit.

Leases

The Purchasing and Business Service Office was successful in consummating numerous leases, one of which was for our new spacious and pleasant Headquarters Office, and janitorial contracts for our Headquarters Unit, Court Services and Community Services Programs. Also, credit should be given to this office for obtaining space for twenty-one Court Services employees in the Baltimore City Court House and a 4,400 sq. ft. parking lot located at Center and Fallsway Streets from Baltimore City, at no cost to the State. This office is now printing a number of required Court Services forms that were previously printed by commercial printers, by fully exploring our in-house capabilities and using them to their maximum capacity.

Control Forms

The management section has developed control forms which will enable the Headquarters Unit to compare monthly expenditures to monthly budgeted amounts. By providing this kind of information to the persons responsible for program activities a greater degree of fiscal responsibility can be expected from all concerned. Other forms were also developed in order that certain accounts such as Clinicians Fees and Purchase of Care, can be analyzed and controlled with a greater degree of accuracy. Also, certain departmental procedures have been updated and revised to enable employees to have a better guide to departmental policies.

Physical Plant Operations

The Physical Plant Operations section made excellent progress during Fiscal Year 1972. All budgeted funds for contractual work to be accomplished were prudently spent, thus giving the institutions much needed replacement and additional equipment.

Program Performance

Progress has been made in the Program Performance standard at all institutions which will provide a systematic method for effectively planning, organizing and securing efficient use of personnel, equipment, money and time related to the Maintenance Programs under the auspices of the Department of Juvenile Services.

DIVISION OF COURT SERVICES

Overall Development

The staff of the Juvenile Court Services Division are increasingly aware and involved in the ever expanding complexities of providing services to children and youth within a community setting. During the past year there has been overall development within the regions, particularly Baltimore City, in the development of Satellite Offices from which to operate and provide a closer proximity to the children and families served. The role of the Program Specialist as a Headquarters staff specialist to specific services in the region, i.e., intake, probation, aftercare, and clinical services has been redefined such as to provide a continuity for each of the specific services across the state.

At the intake level, Court Services has developed an advisory committee of staff for the purpose of clarifying statewide procedures. A departmental guideline for intake has been published and surveys of the informal handling process have been conducted which indicate tremendous success as relates to recidivism. In the area of probation, a survey of the increasing caseloads has given some insight to the probation officer's job responsibilities Aftercare programs have been enhanced to some degree by the development of work sessions between aftercare counseling staff and institutional staff. A survey of the pattern of committment to training schools by various judicial jurisdictions has indicated the peak times of committment. The continuing problem of referrals to schools as a part of aftercare programming and planning has been undertaken by a special task force and remains a constant thorn in the development of an appropriate treatment program.

Community Placements

As juvenile counseling staff of Court Services matures in their ability to properly diagnose and develop appropriate treatment recommendations, often with the assistance of local clinical services staff, the requirements of our Purchase of Care and Purchase of Services programs have become more expansive. The increased expertise calls for not simply a placement within the community as an alternative to institutionalization, but an "appropriate" placement in a specified type of program within the community. Specialized staff within the regions designated as Resource Consultants have actively stimulated the growth and development of our Sheltercare Programs and Group Home Programs and are our primary coordinating agent between line counselors and the various facilities and programs.

Clinical Services

Clinical Services is a vital component of the Division of Court Services as it relates to the training and maintenance of individual competency to deal with specialized problems of children and also the provision of psychiatric and psychological evaluation at the community level. The objective of a clinical services program, now under the direction of a Social Worker at the Headquarters level, is to develop as much as possible the diagnostic and evaluative procedures necessary at the community level. The ultimate coordination of a reasonable budget for this service would result in a savings of thousands and thousands of dollars when children need not be committed to an institution for such service.

Volunteer Program

Attempts to establish a viable volunteer program in the various regions has met with varied success with practically every jurisdication developing a volunteer contingent of some sort. Coordinators of Volunteers have been selected from line staff who perform other functions as normally required, although some have been delegated this responsibility as a full time job. In addition to volunteer programs there are several jurisdictions who have been able to establish internship programs with local and sometimes distant universities as a part of a graduate or undergraduate training program.

Public Relations

While there is no formal structure to our local relationship to the community as relates to a public informations or public relations program, our staff day in and day out are involved in seminars, lectures, public addresses, programs with schools, civic associations, business and women's clubs and as a result are viewed as the local expert in juvenile delinquency matters.

DIVISION OF INSTITUTIONAL REHABILITATION

New Direction

The institutional program, which encompasses four juvenile training schools and four boys' forestry camps, has undergone a number of changes during fiscal 1972. The

9

most important development which has occurred deals with the new direction the institutional program is taking. Responding to the Comprehensive Long Range Master Plan developed by the John Howard Association, the institutions are now being viewed as one overall program rather than separate institutional entities. Consequently, the development of the quality of the overall program has become a major priority.

Change in Training School Populations

Fiscal 1972 saw population changes in the three state boys' training schools. Maryland Training School, which previously housed boys between the ages of 15½ and 18 and those under 13½ years of age, now houses boys 15½ to 18 years of age. Boys' village, which previously housed boys between the ages of 13 and 15, now houses boys under 16 years of age. Victor Cullen, which previously handled boys 15 to 15½ years of age, now handles all boys adjudicated to be in need of supervision (CINS) up to the age of 18 years.

Specialized Programming

For the first time in its history, the division is developing a conceptual approach to those youngsters who warrant institutional programming and a real effort is being made to design quality programs directed toward effectively meeting the needs of these youngsters. Consequently, increased emphasis has been placed on specialized programming such as the Guided Group Interaction modality at the Victor Cullen School and the Boys' Forestry Camps, and the community-based approach at the Montrose School for Girls.

All four institutions have developed pre-vocational testing and vocational training in such varied areas as auto mechanics, car painting, restaurant work, tutoring, tree & forestry work, pre-school aides and work with retardates.

The Forestry Camps have established an educational component to the existing work program. Victor Cullen has five new cottages and a new gymnasium with a stage. Maryland Training School for Boys graduated 24 boys with a High School Equivalency diploma. Boys' Village has set up a successful construction trade training program and the Montrose School for Girls has a Community Based Cottage with the entire group of girls going to school or work in the community.

A consistent effort has been made to have the programs of these institutions meet the many needs of the various children who make up their population.

DIVISION OF COMMUNITY SERVICES

Group Homes

In an attempt to provide small community-based rehabilitation units, the Department of Juvenile Services continues to use the three group homes which have been established in the Baltimore area. These include the two group homes for boys in Northwest Baltimore, and the one for girls in West Baltimore.

Maryland Youth Residence Center

The Department has developed a new program known as the Maryland Youth Residence Center. This is a program to provide residential services for thirty-five children between the ages of eight and twelve. It is the Department's hope to develop this program into a residential treatment facility for younger boys.

Purchase of Care

The Department of Juvenile Services purchases care from various group homes or residences operated by private agencies. These services range from short-term shelter care provided by private families in their own homes, to private group homes, to specialized institutions.

As of June 30, 1972 the Department of Juvenile Services was purchasing care for 175 children in group homes, 126 children in specialized institutions and 10 children in specialized foster type homes. Our emphasis this past year has been to increase the number of children that can be placed in family type homes and we plan to place even more emphasis on this approach this coming year.

During the course of the year a Resource Manual was developed by the Department listing the various programs and services available to the Juvenile Courts through the Purchase of Service Program.

A training program was developed for persons who provide service to the Department. This program will be carried out this coming year and should meet the needs of various groups who provide services to the Department.

Good Shepherd Center

The Department of Juvenile Services continues to contract the Good Shepherd Center for the care of girls who come to the attention of various Juvenile Courts. Good Shepherd Center, which is located in Arbutus, Maryland, is a therapeutic residential facility for 120 girls aged 14 to 18 years. The Center is open to girls with moderate emotional and behavioral problems.

Prevention Services

The past year the Department has begun to look at possibilities in the areas of prevention through federal grants. Several Youth Service Bureaus were either funded or refunded. These programs serve as a walk-in center for youth and provide direct services as well as referral services.

The State has been divided into three regions for the purpose of determining prevention needs. Program consultants will be beginning thorough evaluation of the existing prevention programs in order to develop a comprehensive plan geared towards increasing the State's involvement in this vital area.

Detention Services

During FY 1972, detention services including the Maryland Children Center and T. J. S. Waxter Center were placed within the Division of Community Services. Responsibility for detention, being viewed as a short term custody, diagnostic or evaluation program was shifted from the Division of Institutional Rehabilitation whose function was viewed as a longer range treatment program. As a majority of the State's detention beds are still located within the treatment institutions, an even closer working relationship was established between the two Divisions. The plan for separation of Delinquent and CINS detainees was developed and implemented during the year.

DIVISION OF PLANNING, RESEARCH AND EVALUATION

Merger of Divisions

In fiscal 1972, the division of Program Planning was merged with the Division of Research and Analysis to form the Division of Planning, Research and Evaluation. This was seen as a natural merger of integrated and related support divisions and, in addition, reduced by one the number of administrative divisions reporting to the Director.

Long-Range Comprehansive Plan

In 1970, the Department was mandated to develop a long-range comprehensive plan for the Maryland General Assembly. This was completed during fiscal 1972 with the John Howard Association of Chicago, Illinois as primary consultant. The report, which is lengthy and detailed, has been well received internally and by members of the General Assembly and those members of the public at large who have had an opportunity to review this Master Plan. Several of the major recommendations contained in the report have been implemented and many others are now under serious consideration in terms of immediate treatment philosophy, as well as long-range objectives for the Department.

Research

With the assistance of a Law Enforcement Assistance Administration Federal grant, two research personnel were employed to undertake a study of measurement of major agency program effort. In an attempt to develop a standardized, quantitative means of evaluating major program effort and achievement, a researcher has been assigned to each of the two major program areas, Juvenile Court Services and the Juvenile Institutions. Each research person will work closely with each major program, studying every juvenile institution and every regional court area, with the purpose of developing a broad and comprehensive knowledge of every aspect of each program. A standardized, statewide means of measuring program achievement will then be developed.

Data Processing

The data collection and data processing system originally implemented when the agency became operational on July 1, 1967 has been modified and upgraded considerably. Forms and source documents have been redesigned to provide a broader base of information while data processing efforts have yielded a wider range of program data and information. Editing procedures have been intensified to insure greater reliability and accuracy of data input and the monthly statistical report, which was formerly compiled manually, will be fully automated and compiled by the computer.

Staff Orientation

In an effort to provide field and headquarters staff with an opportunity to develop some understanding of data processing technology, small groups of 6-12 persons have been invited to visit Headquarters on a regular weekly basis for a one day seminar on the Department's data processing system. This includes a visit to the data processing center and an examination of the computer.

New Studies

The publication "Juvenile Cases by Zip Code, 1968 and 1969", has been revised and updated. The new publication covers the period from 1968-1971 and provides a wider variety of data than the original study. Such data break-downs include age, manner of handling (formal, informal), type of offenses (delinquent, CINS, non-delinquent) and a total summary for the four year period.

Federal Grants

[•] Another function of the Division of Planning, Research and Evaluation is the development of Federal grants under the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968. Working closely with the Governor's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice and other related agencies and Departments, the following grants were approved during FY 1972:

- Behavioral Programming in Maryland Training School for Boys \$61,000 – LEAA
- Maryland State Department of Juvenile Services Comprehensive Long-Range Master Plan.
 \$60,000 - LEAA \$20,000 - State
- Improved and Expanded Institutional Rehabilitation Programs - Victor Cullen
 \$41,740 - LEAA (Part E)
- 4. Training and Development of Youth Supervisors in Juvenile Agencies - Victor Cullen \$80,000 -- LEAA (Part E)
- 5. Drug Training Program, Montrose School for Girls \$8,500 - LEAA
- Youth Residence Center (Baltimore City) \$73,000 – LEAA
- 7. Research Assistance Staff Positions
 \$39,932 LEAA \$5,511 State
- 8. Student Volunteer Use in Juvenile Probation, Baltimore City.
 \$39,089 - HEW
- 9. Youth Service Center (Baltimore City) \$264,375 - LEAA \$88,125 - State
- In-Service Training for Juvenile Services and Private Agency Personnel (DJS Co - Grantee) \$60,000 - LEAA
- A Study and Evaluation of Prevention Programs \$16,825 - LEAA
- 12. Shelter Care Facilities via Family-Type Residential Care Capabilities
 \$117,815 - Federal
 \$39,272 - State

Total Computation for the above grants:LEAA\$823,187STATE152,908HEW30,089TOTAL\$1,006,184

STATISTICS

.



TABLE 2: STATE DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES SUMMARY OF BUDGET EXPENDITURES BY MAJOR PROGRAM FISCAL 1968 - 1972

Year (Fiscal)	Juvenile Institutions	Juvenile Court Services	Community and Residential Service	Administration Headquarters	Total
1968	\$ 7,261,782	\$ 2,187,060		\$ 456 , 824	\$ 9,905,666
1969	\$ 7,344,951	\$ 2,130,139	.; 380 , 242	\$ 458,217	\$10 ,3 13 , 549
1970	\$ 8,539,963	≇ 2 , 686,603	\$ 651,649	\$ 541 , 877	\$12 , 420 , 092
1971	\$10,222,861	\$ 3,755,940	\$ 1 , 439 , 488	\$ 598 , 619	\$16 , 016 , 908
1972	\$11,364,651	\$ 4,793,753	\$ 2 , 315 , 750	\$ 805 , 298	\$19 , 279 , 452

đ.

.

DIVISION OF JUVENILE COURT SERVICES

د

TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF JUVENILE PROBATION & COURT SERVICE EXPENDITURES AND SERVICES RENDERED

fiscal 1968 - 1972

.

Year	Budget Expenditures	Juvenile Dispositions*	Probation & Protective Supervisior Cases	Aftercare Cases
1968	\$ 2,187,060	19 , 782	4274	1518
1969	\$ 2 , 130 , 139	25 , 270	5080	1835
1970	\$ 2,686,603	26 , 236	4671	1911
1971	\$ 3,755,940	32 , 703	5226	1920
1972	\$ 4,793,753	37,242	5936	1388

st Includes Formal, Informal, change in Disposition and Disapproved Cases

<u>ن</u>ه

.

TABLE 4 TOTAL JUVERILE COURT DISPOSITIONS FURMAL, INFORMAL, CHANGE IN DISPOSITION & DISAPPROVED 1968--1972 Fiscal Years

	19	168	196	9	197	0	197	1	10	972	Per Cent Change
	N	%	N	3	N	76	N	%	<u>N</u>	<u> % </u>	71-72
Region 1. Dorchester	168	•8	170	•7	119	•5	203	•6	199	•5	- 4.8
Somerset	98	•5	103	•4	94	•4	120	•4	118	•3	- 1.7
Vicomico	209	1•0	321	1•3	242	•9	253	•7	238	•8	+ 23.6
Vorcester	267	1•5	307	1•2	517	2•0	318	2•5	694	1•9	- 15.2
Region 2. Caroline	63	•3	128	•5	83	•3	123	•4	129	•3	+ 4.9
Cecil	245	1•2	371	1•5	267	1•0	428	1•3	483	1•3	+ 12.9
Kent	114	•6	116	•4	138	•5	128	• ⁴	139	•4	+ 8.6
Queen Anne's	91	•5	117	•4	118	•4	245	•7	163	•4	- 33.5
Talbot	114	•6	63	•2	115	•4	181	•5	144	•4	- 20.5
Region 3. Baltimore	1,939	9.8	2,929	11.6	3,030	11.7	3,521	10.8	3,709	10.0	+ 5.3
Harford	443	2.2	627	2.5	695	2.6	916	2.3	1,058	2.8	+ 15.5
Region 4. Allegany	553	2•8	346	1.4	327	1.2	422	1.3	380	1.0	- 10.0
Garrett	94	•5	89	.4	36	.1	120	.4	110	.3	- 8.3
Washington	833	4•2	416	1.6	559	2.1	511	1.6	471	1.3	- 7.8
Region 5. Anne Arundel	931	4.7	1,261	5.0	1,559	6.0	2,618	8.0	2,408	1.5	- 8.0
Carroll	130	.7	163	.6	223	.9	372	1.1	231	.6	- 37.9
Howard	226	1.1	546	2.2	486	1.9	301	.9	416	1.1	+ 38.2
Region 6. Frederick	367	1.9	354	1.4	441	1.7	362	1.1	450	1.2	+ 34.3
Montgomery	2,091	10.6	2,724	10.8	2,590	9.9	2 , 950	9.0	3 , 677	9.8	+ 24.6
Region 7. Calvert	47	•2	129	•5	134	•5	191	.6	213	.6	$ \begin{array}{r} + & 11.5 \\ + & 52.8 \\ + & 14.2 \\ + & 46.4 \end{array} $
Charles	115	•6	162	•6	324	1.2	381	1.2	582	1.6	
Prince George's	3,269	16.5	5,101	20•2	5,550	21.2	5,977	13.3	6,823	13.3	
St. Mary's	75	•4	218	•9	148	•6	192	.6	281	.8	
Region 8. Baltimore City	7 , 281	36.8	8 , 50°	33.7	8,391	32.0	11,384	34.3	14,076	37.8	+ 23.6
STATE	19 , 782	100.0	25,270	100.0	26 , 236	100.0	32,703	190.0	37 , 242	100.0	+ 13.9

TABLE 5 FORMAL JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS 1968 - 1972 Fiscal Years

	19 N	68 %	N	1969 <i>%</i>	N	1970 %	1	19 7 1	N	1972 1	Per Cent Change 71 – 72
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	120 63 133 98	•8 •4 •8 •6	144 55 223 89	.8 .3 1.2 .5	93 49 158 100	.6 .3 1.0 .6	101 74 158 102	•6 •5 1•0 •7	86 57 201 124	•5 •3 1•1 •7	$ \begin{array}{r} - 14.9 \\ - 23.0 \\ + 27.2 \\ + 21.6 \end{array} $
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Jueen Anne's Talbot	58 120 106 90 94	•4 •7 •7 •6 •6	96 120 100 117 52	•5 •7 •6 •7 •3	45 86 99 115 41	•3 •6 •6 •7 •3	61 160 77 178 87	.4 1.0 .5 1.1 .6	64 141 63 106 73	•3 •8 •3 •6 •4	+ 4.9 - 11.9 - 18.2 - 40.5 - 16.1
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	1,887 436	11.8 2.7	1,881 486	10.6 2.7	1,564 359	9.8 2.3	1,362 340	8.8 2.2	1,661 347	9.0	+ 22.0
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	488 92 744	3.0 .6 4.6	309 86 383	1.7 .5 2.2	309 31 498	2.0 .2 3.1	398 91 491	2.6 .6 3.2	346 77 419	1.9 1.9 .4 2.3	+ 2.1 13.1 - 15.4
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	631 128 181	3.9 .8 1.1	906 163 320	5.1 .9 1.8	665 102 268	4.2 .6 1.7	1,164 126 181	7.5 .8 1.2	999 124 237	5.4 .7 1.3	- 14.7 - 14.2 - 1.6 + 30.9
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	43 1,262	•3 7•9	63 1 , 475	•4 8•3	135 1,417	.0 8.9	120 	.8 7.9	150	•8	+ 25.0
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	45 115 3,228 69	•3 •7 20•1 •4	106 145 3,540 215	.6 .8 19.9 1.2	49 98 3 , 129 96	•3 •6 19•7 •6	56 9 2, 0 87	.4 .7 18.1 .6	1485 85 173 3,002 107	.5 .9 16.4 .6	÷ 21,9 + 51.8 + 58.7 + 7.2 + 23.0
Region 8. Baltimore City	5,812	36.2	6 , 715	37.7	6 , 395	40.2	5,892	38.2	8,213	44.8	+ 39.4
STATE	16 , 043	106.0	17,788	100.0	15,901	100.0	15 , 433	100.0	18,340	100.0	+ 18.8

20

ſ

TABLE 6 INFURMAL JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS 1968 - 1972 FISCAL YEARS

	N 19	68 ,	N 196	59 <u>.;</u>	<u>s 1</u>)70 ₃		71 /	N 197	12 <u>j</u>	Per Cent Chanje 71 — 72
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	41 35 74 187	1.3 1.1 2.3 5.9	23 48 93 214	•3 •7 1.4 3•2	20 45 83 378	-2 -5 1-0 4-4	103 43 70 701	•8 •4 •6 5•7	73 3) 71 281	•7 •1 •7 2•7	- 29.1 - 9.3 + 1.4 - 59.9
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	124 8 1	3.9 .2 .0	31 247 16 11	•5 3.7 •2 •2	13 181 3 14	.? 2.1 .1 .?	56 248 14 66 65	•5 2.0 •1 •5 •5	35 166 55 48 41	•3 1.6 •5 •4	- 37.5 - 33.1 + 50.0 - 86.4 - 36.9
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	1	.0	1,016 139	15.4 ?.1	1,308 272	15.? 3.?	1,558 510	12.7 4.2	1,614 653	15.6 6.3	+ 3.6 + 28.0
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	65 1 89	2.0 . .0 2.8	36 33	•6 •5	17 4 55	.2 .0 .6	17 2 20	.1 .0 .2	27 29 13	•3 •3 •1	+ 58.8 + 1350.0 - 35.0
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	121 1	3.8 .0	143	2.2	702 1,4 46	8.1 5	1,189 28 81	9.7 .? .7	1,038 22 93	10.0 .2 .9	- 12.7 - 21.4 + 14.8
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	324 791	10.1 24.7	291 1,184	4.5 17.9	183 1,164	?.1 13.5	67 1,378	•5 11•2	111 1,961	1.1 19.0	+ 65.7 + 42.3
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	2 1 3	•1 •0 •2	23 16 1 ,513 3	•3 •? 22•9 •0	85 226 2,385 21	1.0 2.6 27.6 .2	134 244 2,983 102	1.1 2.0 24.2 .8	114 262 2,175 148	1.1 ?.5 21.0 1.4	- 14.9 + 7.4 - 27.1 + 45.1
Region 8. Baltimore City	1,332	41.6	1,536	23•2	1 , 378	16.0	2,623	21.3	1,284	12.4	- 51. <u>1</u>
STATE	3,201	100.0	6,616	100.0	8,632	100.0	12,302	100.0	10 , 353	100.0	- 15.9

TABLE 7 JUVENILE DISPOSITIONS DISAPPROVED OR CLOSED AT INTAKE FISCAL YEARS 70 - 72

and a state of the second s

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N	1970	N	1971 %	N	1972 %
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	33	2.7	2 15	.1	40 22 16 289	•5 •3 •? 3•4
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	24 31 3 60	2.0 2.6 .3 4.9	3 13 37 1 27	•1 •3 •8 •() •6	30 176 21 9 30	*3 2.1 *2 •1 •3
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	108 63	8.9 5.2	492 63	11.0 1.4	434 58	5•1 •7
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	1 4	•1 •3	20	•5	7439	•7 •1 •0 •5
Region 5. Anne Arundal Carroll Howard	27 77 1	2.2 6.3 .1	68 218 9	1.5 4.9 •2	371 85 86	4.3 1.0 1.0
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	123	10.1	172 354	3.9 7.9	189 231	2.2 2.7
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	21 31	1.7 2.6	28 194 3	•6 4•4 •1	14 147 1,646 26	.? 1.7 19.2 .3
Region 8. Baltimore City	607	50.0	2,740	61.4	4,579	53₊6
STATE	1,714	100.0	4,459	100.0	8,549	100.0

•

22

TABLE 8 DISPUSITION BY REGION & COUNTY FISCAL 1972

		وي المحمد ال		Formal					Ĩ	
	Petition Athdrawn, Dismissed & Warned	Jurisdiction Waived	Case Continued & STET	Committed to Dept. Social Servic & Custody Awarded	Committed to e Training School	Probation & Protective Supervision	Uther	lnformal	Disapproved/ Closed at Intake	Tetal
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	4 9 33 29	15 10 15 11	4	15 8 37 9	8 3 10 2	35 23 89 62	9 17 3	73 39 71 281	40 22 16 280	199 18 288 694
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Gueen Anne's Talbot	14 15 2 19 13	1 13 2 2 11	13 3	15 29 22 14 6	16 8 4	16 51 20 27 31	5 14 9 44 8	35 166 55 48 41	30 176 21 9 30	129 483 139 163 144
Region 3. Baltimore Harfo r d	269 20	29 2	159 5	281 91	204 28	527 159	192 42	1,614 653	43h 58	3,709 1,058
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	40 10 59	9 11	5 9	79 24 100	17 4 49	121 22 90	84 8 101	27 29 13	7 4 39	380 110 471
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	217 24 107	5 3 12	232 1	120 15 26	59 3 6	231 55 55	135 23 31	1,038 22 93	371 35 86	2,408 231 416
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	7 349	19 15	22 70	19 55	23 43	50 585	10 368	111 1,961	189 231	450 3,677
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	16 3? 478 27	2 49 4	1 641 5	15 33 338 9	5 28 153 9	31 71 776 44	18 6 567 9	114 262 2,175 1 ¹ /3	14 147 1,645 26	213 582 6,823 281
Region 8. Baltimore City	3,567	373	11	576	6 58	2,765	263	1,284	4,579	14,076
STÀTE	5,360	613	1,182	1,936	1,347	5,936	1,966	10,353	8 , 549	37,242

Witnessen (* 1997)

TABLE 9 TYPE OF OFFENSE BY REGION AND COUNTY FISCAL 1972*

		Formal			Informal			Disapproved	
	Delinquent	CINS	Non- Delinquent	Delinquent	CINS	Non- Delinquent	Delinquent	CINS	Non- Delinquent
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	59 41 141 104	11 4 9 11	16 12 51 9	55 31 66 205	18 8 5 74	2	36 13 8 201	4 9 8 88	,
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	14 88 31 38 48	23 18 8 12 12	27 355 24 56 13	25 143 38 34 31	10 21 17 13 9	2 1 1	26 162 17 7 21	4 13 4 9	1 2
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	1,029 156	364 97	268 94	1,189 508	330 141	95 4	348 52	80 6	6
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	173 33 186	69 19 133	104 25 100	24 7 4	3 20 5	2 4	7 1 19	1 20	2
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	725 87 186	206 15 27	68 22 24	358 20 81	98 2 12		104 60 83	19 24 3	1
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	104 1 , 328	35 106	11 51	80 1,255	30 698	1 8	168 189	21 41	1
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	58 97 2,090 85	8 29 542 12	19 47 370 10	59 177 1,713 89	54 78 442 59	1 ? 20	14 78 1,398 23	58 242 3	11 6
Region 8. Baltimore City	6,409	1,000	804	1,078	196	10	3 , 884	554	141
STATE	13,310	2,770	2,260	7,2?0	2,343	<u>1</u> 58	6 , 919	1,211	171

24

* This table does not include the total number of informal and disapproved cases for Anne Arundel County since all of this information could not be processed for fiscal 1972.

TABLE 10 MANNER OF HANDLING CASES BY COUNTY AND SEX-FISCAL 1972

	Forn	al	Info	rmal	Disapp	roved
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Region 1. Dorchester	68	18	57	16	29	11
Somerset	49	8	31	8	14	8
Wicomico	148	53	56	15	10	6
Worcester	105	19	214	67	194	95
Region 2. Caroline	37	27	30	5	21	9
Cecil	99	42	129	37	148	28
Kent	45	18	50	5	16	5
Queen Anne's	65	41	35	13	8	1
Talbot	50	23	36	5	22	8
Region 3. Baltimore	1,240	421	1, 159	455	348	86
Harford	236	111	475	178	43	15
Region 4. Allegany	231	115	22	5	6	1
Garrett	53	24	7	22	2	2
Washington	302	117	8	5	30	9
Region 5. Anne Arundel	803	196	723	315	293	78
Carroll	101	23	18	4	62	23
Howard	186	51	72	21	55	31
Region 6. Frederick	120	30	87	24	147	42
Montgomery	1310	175	1472	489	152	79
Region 7. Calvert	69	16	85	29	10	4
Charles	127	46	187	75	98	49
Prince George's	2,309	693	1 , 583	592	1,209	437
St. Mary's	87	20	96	52	23	3
Region 8. Baltimore City	6 , 738	1,475	876	408	3,604	975
STATE	14,578	3,762	7,508	2,845	6,544	2,005

 Table 11

 MARNER OF HANDLING CASES BY COUNTY & RACE - FISCAL 1972*

		Formal			Informal			Disapproved	
	Caucasian	Negro	Information Not Recorded	Caucasian	Negro	Information Not Recorded	Caucasian	Negro	Information Not Recorded
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	34 28 121 99	52 29 79 25	1	27 19 50 255	46 20 19 23	2 3	21 10 13 267	19 12 3 22	
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	44 126 39 57 35	20 14 24 47 38	1 2	17 143 33 21 32	15 19 20 23 9	3 4 2 4	23 155 10 7 17	7 13 11 2 13	8
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	1,481 290	175 45	5 12	1,477 550	131 73	6 30	380 44	48 9	6 5
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	323 74 375	23 3 41	3	25 29 13	1	1	6 4 34	5	1
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	742 119 157	226 4 78	31 1 2	362 22 84	89 8	5 1	112 82 76	11 2 10	1
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	110 1,347	38 138	2	77 1,711	34 250		153 197	35 34	1
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	52 119 1,901 86	26 54 1,044 21	7 57	76 176 1,543 105	37 86 609 41	1 23 2	14 111 1,071 11	35 553 12	1 22 3
Region Region 8. Baltimore City	1,599	4,420	2,194	308	910	66	1,258	· 3,176	145
STATE	9,358	6,664	2,318	7 , 155	2 , 463	153	4,076	4,032	193

26

27

* This table does not include the total number of informal and disapproved cases for Anna Arundel County since all of this information could not be processed for fiscal 1972.

			TA	BLE	12				
TOTAL	JUVENILE	COURT	CASES	BY	SUURCE	CF	REFERRALS -	FISCAL	1972*
		i	F ORMAL	, I	NF ORMAL	AN	D UISAPPROVED	CASES	

				IN URMAL AND	Referred by				inan il Al angli i Canadan ag	ومكاورت التريف التريف الم
	Police	Dept. of Education	Parent/ Relative	Dept. Social Service	Other Social Agency	Court/ Probation	Othe r	Citizen	Special Police	Total
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	142 57 211 643	5 6 3 3	31 16 19 24	2 11 45 7	1 1	2 1 4	16 17 3 1	10 6 12		199 118 288 694
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	41 346 55 51 88	27 9 36 18 13	16 37 13 57 13	27 27 23 9 9.	1 4	1 1 4	7 18 3 19 3	11 34 7 8	11 2 2	129 483 139 163 144
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	3,000 746	166 72	27 129	239 97	6 2	255 6	14 6	2		3,709 1,058
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	142 27 178	9 7 37	100 37 14	66 22 60	1	22 2 37	9 3 111	17 12 25	15 8	380 110 471
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	777 149 272	149 10 3	162 27 44	73 19 16	1 1	13	400 13 21	3 13 38	21	1,578 231 416
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	329 3601	18 3	36 52	19 12	3	12 9	8	18	7	450 3677
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	151 450 4,089 182	19 32 283 21	22 43 791 35	20 19 219 12	23 18	4 41 3	7 355 2	1 4 526 18	500 8	213 582 6,823 281
Region 8. Baltimore City	9,974	634	1,737	413	27	30	347	736	178	14,076
STATE	25 ₈ 701	1,583.	3,482	1,466	89	447	1,384	1,508	752	36,412

* This table does not include the total number of informal and disapproved cases for Anne Arundel County since all of this information could not be processed for fiscal 1972.

.

TABLE V4: TOTAL JUVENILE COURT CASES DISPOSED OF STATELIDE MOJOR REASON REFERRED BY AGE OF JUVENILE FISCAL 1972*

Najor Reason	10 years & younger	ll years	12 years	13 years	t years	15 years	16 years	17 years	18 years	Unknown	Total
Arson Assoult Auto-Theft Burglary Larceny Robbery Disorderly Conduct. Sex Offense Vandalism Narcotics Violation Glue Sniffing Alcoholic Beverage	22 185 11 173 102 9 79 6 163 4 6	7 152 13 130 85 6 44 5 82 2 5	15 247 49 239 186 24 89 15 117 9 11	19 452 104 397 310 433 193 24 256 36 35	26 622 253 555 476 89 300 27 222 114 48	31 750 4?7 667 534 105 427 29 722 249 58	26 771 536 760 578 133 520 30 208 477 57	21 714 448 638 629 158 518 31 157 689 35	3 88 39 86 50 9 71 5 19 89 1	1 176 27 31 24 18 29 3 5 4	171 4,157 1,957 3,726 2,974 594 2,230 175 1,400 1,674 254
Violation Shoplifting Purse Snatching Firearms Violation Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods Trespassing False Fire Alarm Runaway Truancy Ungovernable Neglect Dependency Dependency Dependency Special Proceedings Violation of Super-	2 81 4 3 2 23 9 20 78 119 494 513 552 15 16	1 103 1 8 3 24 5 14 34 94 34 50 34 1 1	2 193 3 4 4 3 4 3 4 9 4 7 6 8 52 3 4 3 2 4 7 1	23 272 10 25 18 99 260 166 339 46 36 39 5 2	73 416 13 51 22 154 9 448 238 671 56 578 41 7 2	133 467 19 75 31 174 4 666 325 733 57 61 33 8 3	290 467 24 100 47 243 6 453 453 530 34 530 34 21 9 6	423 429 14 121 51 242 8 246 4 310 22 23 16 10 3	31 47 3 12 6 24 1 6 2 7 4 3 3	6 22 3 11 9 18 9 32 47 12	984 2,487 96 401 184 1,020 54 2,218 1,025 3,039 803 853 819 62 46
vision, Probation Other	60	40	1 108	10 ?13	16 402	25 642	20 460	14 523	60	1 409	87 2 , 917
Total	2,751	987	1,818	3,349	5,464	7,005	6 , 883	6 , 546	669	940	36 , 412

28

* This table does not include the total number of informal and disapproved cases for Anne Arundel County since all of this information could not be processed for fiscal 1977.

•

DIVISION OF

•

1.

÷.

TABLE 14: STATE DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES SUMMARY OF INSTITUTION EXPENDITURES 1968-1972 (FISCAL)

~

Year	Training Schools	Detention Centers	Forestry Camps	Total
1968	\$ 5,632,139	\$ 1,039,728	\$ 589 , 915	\$ 7,261,782
1969	\$ 5 , 633,399	↓ 1,138,951	\$ 572 , 601	\$ 7,344,951
1970	‡ 6 , 513,339	i 1, 342 , 038	\$ 684,536	3 , 539,963
1971	\$ 7,916,373	\$ 1,537,667	\$ 768,821	\$10,222,861
1972	\$ 8,700,095	5 1,782,315	1 882,241	\$11,364,651

TABLE 15: SUMMARY OF INSTITUTUTION ADMISSIONS 1963—1972 (FISCAL)

Year	Training School Admissions	Detention Center Admissions	Forestry Camp.* Admissions	Total
1968	2597	3303	(266)	5900
1969	2756	3868	(291)	6624
1970	2734	4441	(318)	7175
1971	2980	4652	(348)	7632
1972	3644	4131	(288)	7775

* Not included in total. Forestry Camp admissions are transferees from other training schools.

.

TABLE 17 TRAINING SCHOOL AND FORESTRY CAMP ADMISSIONS FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS



34

1971 - 1972

.scal 1971	Fiscal 1972	% Change
872 655	498 759	- 42.9 + 15.9
308 362	402 437	+ 30.5 + 20.7
311 173	420 535	+ 35.0 + 209.2
299	481 112	+ 60.9
(348)*	(288)*	- 17.3
2,980	3,644	+ 22.3

iscal 1971	Fiscal 1972	% Change
1,263	1,355	+ 7.3
3,389	2,776	- 18.1
15		
4,652	4,131	- 11.2

				TAB	LE :	19					
NUMBER	ÛF	CHILDRE	i aditted	TO BARYLAR	13	TRAINING	SCHOOLS	, F 63	RESTRY	CARPS .	KJ
	Ûi	TENTION	CERTERS BY	COUNTY OF	KÉ.	JDEMCE -	EISCAL "	/E .R	1972*		

an myyddion yr Chydraffiadir y fylinyddiadai yn ar				Nur	nter of Chi	ldren odmit	ted to Tra	ining Schoo	ols During	Year		Child Admitt	
		Totai Training		Boys'	/illage	Karyl Training	and School	Nontr	rose	Victor	Cullen	Forestry	Veten-
		Committed	Detained	Committed	Vetained	Committee	Detained	Committed	Vetained	Committed	Detained	Camps	tion Centers
Region 1. Dorch Somer Wicom Worce	rset mico	8 2 17 9	1 30 1	3 1 5 1	13	1 3	11	3 1 2 6	1 5 1	1 7 2	1	2 2 1	22 11 10
Region 2. Carol Cecil Kent Queen Talbo	l n ∧nne's	2 16 5 9	22 1 1 3	4 2 2	2	3 1	8 1 1	2 4 1 7	12 1 1	5 1		5 8 1	5 32 10 5 7
Region 3. Balti Harfo	imore ord	202 34	32 21	18 3	l	40 7	23 5	72 10	8 16	72 14		30 8	71 41
Region 4. Alleg Garre Vashi	gany ett ington	13 7 43	3 2 10	1 2 9		3 · 6	1	3 4 10	3 2 9	6 1 18		7 7	16 3 25
Region 5. Anne Carro Howar	oll	61 5 4	24 4 2	10 1 1	6	16 3 1	9 2 1	14 1	8 2 1	21 2	1	11 3 3	532 38 70
Region 6. Frede Nontg	erick gomery	21 43	2 115	5 13	11	4 5	2 47	7 3	11	5 22	46	6 18	31 337
Region 7. Calve Charl Princ St. M		7 31 154 9	1 7 115 7	3 4 27	2 69 1	3 12 33 3	2 24 5	9 57 1	1 1 21 1	1 6 57 5	2 1	4 39 2	26 45 1221 29
Region 8. Baltin	imore City	1090	1417	300	421	351	613	204	326	235	57	130	1209
Out-o	of-State	9	22	5	8	3	4	1	6		. 4	1	335
Te	lotals	1801	1843	420	535	498	759	402	437	481	112	288	4131

* This is higher than the number of dispositions "Committed to Training School" due to inclusion of (a) Violation of Probation Cases, and (b) inter-institutional transfers.

		196	l Years)		-
Year	Maryland Training School	Boys" Village	Montrose	Victor Cullen	Total
1968					
Commitments	782	381	291	194	1648
Detentions	530	208	211	-	949
Total	1312	589	502	194	2597
1969					
Commitments	813	466	308	241	1833
Detentions	493	219	211		923
Total	1311	689	519	241	2756
1970					
Commi tments	761	406	336	319	1822
Detentions	429	181	302		516
Total	1190	587	638	م م	2734
1971					
Commitments	872	311	308	299	1790
Detentions	655	173	362		1190
Total	1527	484	670	<u>662</u>	2980
1972					
Commitments	864	420	402	481	1801
Detentions	759	535	437	112	1843
Total	1257	955	839	593	3644

JUVENILES ADMITTED TO STATE TRAINING SCHOOLS BY TYPE OF ADMISSION AND TRAINING SCHOOL

Tinble 20

,

~

.

37

811 -F

TABLE 21: NUMBER OF CHILDREN ADMITTED TO MARYLAND'S TRAINING SCHOOLS AND FORESTRY CAMPS BY COUNTIES OF RESIDENCE & ADMITTING COURT

FISCAL YEAR 1972

	Boys'	Village	Maryland Sch	Training ool	Mont	rose	Victor	Cullen	Forestr	y Camps
	County of Residence	Admitting Court	County of Residence	Admitting Court	County of Residence	Admitting Court	County of Residence	Admitting Court	County of Residence	Admitting Court
Region L. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	3 1 19 1	3 1 18 1	1 14	1 15 1	4 1 7 7	4 1 7 7	1 8 2	1 8 2	2 2 1	2 1
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	6 2 3	6 2 3	11 2 1	12 2 1	2 16 1 1 8	2 17 2 1 7	5 1	5 1	5 8 1	5 8 1
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	19 3	18 3	63 12	69 12	80 26	83 26	72 14	71 13	30 8	30 7
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	1 2 9	1 2 9	3 7	5 7	6 6 19	6 6 14	6 1 18	7 1 18	7 7	9 7
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	16 1 1	17 1 1	25 5 2	24 4 3	22 3 1	22 3 1	22 2	20 2	11 3 3	12 3 3
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	5 24	7 27	6 52	10 52	7 14	7 15	5 68	5 71	6 18	6 17
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Hary's	3 6 96 1	2 7 103 1	3 14 57 8	3 14 59 9	1 10 58 2	1 11 60 2	, 1 8 58 5	1 7 59 5	4 39 2	13 40 2
Region 8. Baltimore City	721	722	964	954	5 30	529	292	296	130	122
Qui-of-State	13		7		7		4		1	
TOTALS	955	955	1257	1257	839	839	593	593	288	288

TABLE 22: JUVENILES ADMITTED TO TRAINING SCHOOLS BY OFFENSE AND AGE OF OFFENDER FISCAL 1972

	Maryland Trai	ning School	Mont	rose	Boys "	Village	Victor	Cullen	Forest	ry Camps
Offense	15 years & younge r	16-18 years	15 years & younger	16 — 18 years	15 years & younger	16-18 years	15 years & younger	16 <u>-18 years</u>	15 years & younger	16-18 years
Arson	3	7		1	6	1			1	
Assault	37	209	13	7	163	10	5	1	7	20
Auto-Theft	24	96	1	2	96	10	12	4	10	21
Burglary	50	207	4	2	214	17	12	3	9	17
Larceny	15	51	4	1	48	3	1		3	7
Robbery	27	93	1	3	62	6	4		2	8
Disorderly Conduct		28	3	2	13	1	1	2		4
Sex Offense	4	6	3		3					
Vandalism	4	8	1		12	1			1	3
Narcotics Violation	4	64	5	8	14	3	1			7
Glue Sniffing	2	6		1	8		3			
Alcoholic Bev. Violation		4	1		2		-			-
Shoplifting	5	16	4	2	19	2	1	1	1	4
Purse Snatching	4	22			21		2	1	1	2
Firearms Violation	4	22	1	2	14	1		_	-	3
Rec/Poss. of Stolen Goods	1	8			2	_				
Trespassing	4	7			10			2	1	1
False Fire Alarm	1	3	2		3			-	-	1
Runaway	13	4	131	54	32	3	99	31	9	13
Truancy	4	1	28	6	12	1	54	4	5	1
Ungovernable	60	29	266	100	43	1	225	69	29	51
Other	17	44	54	28	21	3	° 6	3	3	- 4
Neglect			1			Í			,	
Dependency	3		3		23	2	2		1	
Dependency & Neglect	1		4	1			2		1	
Violation of Supervision	7	28	68	21	47	2	36	6	12	24
TOTAL	294	963	598	241	888	67	466	127	96	192

39

.



TABLE 24

INSTITUTIONS BY RACE

FISCAL 1972

Ra	ce	
Negro	Other	Total
901	20	1,257
71.7%	1.6%	100.0%
409	3	839
48.7%	0.4%	100.0%
686	5	955
71.8%	0.5%	100.0%
204	6	593
34.4%	1.0%	100.0%
(135)*	(2)*	(288)*
46.9%	0.7%	100.0%
2200	34	3644
60.4%	0.9%	100.0%

÷ .

Year	Maryland Childr en's Center	I. J. S. Waxter Children's Center	Total
1968			
Male Admissions	741	1493	2234
female Admissions	266	803	1069
Total	1007	2296	3303
1969			
Male Admissions	868	1748	2616
Female Admissions	311	941	1252
Total	1179	2689	3868
1970 Male Admissions	178	2112	207Z
Female Admissions	332	1136	1468
Total	1193	3248	4441
1971			
Male Admissions	933	2154	3087
Female Admissions	330	1235	1565
Total	1263	3389	4652
1972			
Male Admissions	1021	1884	2905
Female Ädmissions	334	268	1226
Total	1355	2776	4131

TABLE 26 ADMISSIONS TO MARYLAND'S CHILDREN CENTERS BY COUNTIES OF RESIDENCE AND ADMITTING COURT - FISCAL 1972

		dren's Center		dren's Center	Та	otal
	County of Residence	County of Admitting Court	County of ^R esidence	County of Admitting Court	County of ^R esidence	County of Admitting Court
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset	19	19	3	3	22	22
Wicomico Worcester	9 8	9 9	2 2	1 2	11 10	10 11
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	4 24 6 5 3	4 24 6 8 3	1 8 4 4	1 16 2 2	5 32 10 5 7	5 40 8 8 5
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	50 34	52 34	21 7	20 7	71 41	72 41
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Washington	13 2 25	13 2 24	3 1	3 1 1	16 3 25	16 3. 25
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	55 13 6	53 13 6	477 25 64	514 34 82	532 38 70	567 47 88
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	13 58	13 58	18 279	23 320	31 337	36 378
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	9 5 158 9	9 5 166 9	17 40 1063 20	16 52 1303 20	26 45 1221 29	25 57 1469 29
Region 8. Baltimore City	809	816	400	353	1209	1169
Out—of—State	18		317		335	
TOTALS	1355	1355	2776	2776	4131	4131

TABLE 25: DETENTION GENTER ADMISSIONS By Institution a∩d Sex 1968—1972 (Fiscal Years)

TABLE 27 ADMISSIONS TO MARYLAND'S CHILDREN CENTERS BY OFFENSE FISCAL 1972

.

· ____

Offense	Maryland Children's Center	axter Children's Center	T	Total		
			N	1 %		
Arson	20	15	35	.8		
nssault	160	221	381	9.2		
Auto-Theft-Unauthorized Use	70	177	247	6.0		
Burglary—Breaking & Entering	126	230	356	8.6		
Larceny	36	93	129	3.1		
Robbery	47	116	163	3.9		
Disorderly Conduct	20	35	55	1.3		
Sex Offense	12	6	18	.4		
Vandalism	13	18	31	-8		
Narcotics Violation	29	101	130	3.2		
Glue Sniffing and Other Inhalants	2	10	12	»3		
Alcoholic Beverage Violation	2	12	14	.3		
Shoplifting	11	53	64	1.6		
Purse Snatching	8	10	18	_4		
Firearms or Deadly Weapon Violation	13	37	50	1.2		
Receiving/Possession of Stolen Goods	2	22	24	.6		
Trespassing	10	17	27	.0		
False Fire Alarm	2	2	4	.1		
Runaway	177	894	1071	25.9		
Truancy	60	26	86	2.1		
Ungovernable	388	517	905	21.9		
Other	68	133	201	4.9		
Neglect Wilful Abuse or Cruel Treatment	2		2	•••		
Dependency	10	1	11	.3		
Mentally Handicapped	1		1	.0		
Viclation of Supervision, Probation, Aftercare	ńб	30	96	2.3		
TOTALS	1355		4131	100-0		

TABLE 28 ADMISSIONS TO MARYLANJ'S CHILOKER – CENTERS BY AGE AND RACE FISCAL 1972

Age	Maryla	Maryland Children's Center			• Children's Ce	enter	Total		
чде	Caucasian	Negro	Uther	Caucasian	Negro	Other	Caucasian	Negro	<u>Other</u>
10 years & younger	28	35	1	9	8		37	43	1
ll years	3	22	1	1	9		9	31	1
12 years	46	57	5	41	21		87	78	5
13 years	78	78	1	147	74	1	2.75	152	2
14 years	153	123		341	115		494	238	
15 years	152	125	2	539	190		691	315	2
16 years	121	135		472	238		593	373	
17 years	56	113	3	317	218	1	3?3	331	<u>4</u>
over 17 years	1	9	-	13	12	_	14	21	
นกหกอพก	1		2	8		1	8		3
									,
TOTAL	643	697	15	1888	885	3	2531	158?	18
						<i>r</i>			
									t

44

ŀ

.

÷ 1

DIVISION OF COMMUNITY SERVICES

•

.

Туре	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
Good Shepherd Center	\$292 , 872	*345 , 691	.i398 , 156	\$ 475,629	\$ 666,710
Residential Placements- private including Emergency Placements	· ·		\$182,959	; 740 , 271	1,589,901**
Group Homes- State Cyned					i 208 , 979
Nd. Youth Residence Center					\$ 50,160***
Total	₿292 , 872 *	\$345 , 691	\$581,115	31,215,900	\$2 , 315 , 750

* not included in Operating Budget for 1968

** \$100,000 included in this figure for initial payments to establish private Group Homes

*** This amount does not include \$34,924 in Federal Funds which the department received from the Governors Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice to facilitate implimenting the Maryland Youth Residence Center.

TABLE 30

COMMUNITY AND RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENTS

NUMBER OF JUVENILES SERVED

Туре	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
Good Shepherd Lenter	116	105	88	131	87
Residential Placements- private		16	130	276	601
Group Homes - State ûwned			22	46	131
Ed. Youth Residence Center					36
Emergency Placements					539
Total	116	121	240	453	1394

TABLE 29 COMMUNITY AND RESIDENTIAL SERVICES EXPENDITURES FISCAL 1968 - 1972

 IABLE 31

 RESIDENTIAL & EMERGENCY PLACEMENTS BY SEX - FISCAL 1972

anna an tha ann an tha	Re	Private esidential Placemer	its	ε	mergency Placements	an a
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Region 1. Dorchester Somerset	3	1	4		6	6
Wicomico Worcester	4 1	1	4 2	2 1	1	3 1
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	1 3 1 3 9	2 2 1 1 6	3 5 2 4 15	4 17 2 8	15 10 1 4 13	19 27 1 6 21
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	32 10	31	63 10	16 ?	31 3	47 5
Region 4. Allegany Garrett Kashington	6 7	2 10	8 17		5	5
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	37 8 13	10 3 2	47 11 15	10 7 2	6 7 4	16 14 6
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	1 48	31	1 79	1 42	1 24	2 (6
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	1 3 60 1	40	1 3 100 1	3 90	98	3 188
Region 8. Baltimore City	169	37	206	49	54	103
STATE	421	180	601	256	293	539

 TABLE 32

 RESIDENTIAL & ENERGENCY PLACEMENTS BY RACE - FISCAL 1972

n yn yw fer yn	Resid	Private ential Plecemen	ts	Eme	ergency Placemen	nts		Good Shepherd Center	
	Caucasian	Negro	Total	Caucasian	Negro	Total	Caucasian	Negro	Tota1
Region l. Dorchester Somerset Wicomico Worcester	2 2 1	2 .2 1	4 4 2	6 2 1	1	6 3 1	1 1	1	1. 1 1
Region 2. Caroline Cecil Kent Queen Anne's Talbot	2 5 1 8	1 3 7	3 5 2 4 15	10 24 1 4 13	9 3 2 8	19 27 1 5 21	3 1	1	3 2 1
Region 3. Baltimore Harford	62 8	1 ?	63 10	47	5	47 5	17 4		17 4
Region 4. Allegany Garrett ∵ashington	8 17		8 17	5		5	1 1		- 1 1
Region 5. Anne Arundel Carroll Howard	43 11 12	4 3	47 11 15	16 14 6		1:5 14 6	6 1	1	6 2
Region 6. Frederick Montgomery	1 76	3	1 79	2 56	10	2 66	1 8		1 8
Region 7. Calvert Charles Prince George's St. Mary's	1 3 87 1	13	1 3 100 1	3 170	13	3 188	1 1 9	2	1 1 11
Region 8. Baltimore City	103	103	206	44	59	103	12	13	25
STATE	456	145	601	424	115	539	6 8	19	87

141-2 -

END