



in the second

2000

# COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES



LAWRENCE T. KURLANDER DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND

COMMISSIONER OF THE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

# 105443



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

**DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES** 

LAWRENCE T. KURLANDER DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND COMMISSIONER

# COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES: 1982-1985

Volume III

**BUFFALO REGION** 

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY ERIE COUNTY NIAGARA COUNTY

NCJRS

JUN 3 1987

AGQUISITIONS

OFFICE OF POLICY ANALYSIS, RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL SERVICES BARRY SAMPLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

#### BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

ļ

#### 105443

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

SHARON LANSING MALCOLM WAGNER CINDY STOVER PATRICK LERNER CAROL RUSSO

**JANUARY, 1987** 

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to the secretarial staff in the Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services, in particular to Joan Burgess and Donna Connelley, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

# CONTENTS

			Page(s)
INTRODUCTION			1
ORGANIZATION	OF EACH PROFILE		7
Section I Section II Section III Section IV Section V	Criminal Justice Agencies Demographic & Socioeconomic Characterist Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Local Assistance Funds Correctional Facilities	ics	9 9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STA	TE PROFILE		13
COUNTY PROFI	LES FOR THE BUFFALO REGION		
Cattaraugus Chautauqua C Erie County Niagara Coun	ounty		

# ILLUSTRATION

NEW YORK STATE REGIONAL MAP

Ş

# APPENDIXES

# APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

A-1

5

Analyses		A-1
Section I	Criminal Justice Agencies	A-1
Section II	Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics	A-1
Section III	Crime & Criminal Justice Activity	A-2
Section IV	Local Assistance Funds	A-2
Section V	Correctional Facilities	A-2

•		~9~\J/
APPENDIX B	CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985	B-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparab to those in which the data were presented in Section III.	1e
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1982 - 1984	C-1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-10 F-11 F-13 F-15
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
REFERENCES		R-1

\* Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.

٧i

Page(s)

INTRODUCTION

#### INTRODUCTION

This is the third annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Each of the past publications, which reported only one year of data, was presented in a single volume. Because this current publication presents trend data for 1982 through 1985 and contains many new items of information, it was necessary to expand this publication to 13 volumes to accommodate the significantly larger amount of data presented.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the systems major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole The 1985 data are highlighted using population based rates and supervision. county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities. The 1985 data are also compared to the 1982 data.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from the "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section III. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile. To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u> Profiles publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.



# ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

#### ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each county in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each is described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

- 201 H 12024

Constanting of the

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Population Race, Ethnicity, and Age Education Economy

#### SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

- Table 2AFelony and Misdemeanor ArrestsTable 2BFelony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thruJune 1986Table 2CArrests for Selected Offenses
- Table 2D Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs
- Table 2E Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses
- Table 3A Felony Indictments
- Table 3B Felony Indictments: January thru June 1986
- Table 4ADispositions Resulting from Felony and MisdemeanorArrestsTable 4BDispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests

Table 5AFelony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions<br/>Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor ArrestsTable 5BFelony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions<br/>Resulting from Felony Arrests

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table 6A Table 6B	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table 7A-1 Table 7A-2 Table 7B Table 7C	Probation Department Intake, Investigation, and Pre-Disposition Supervision Cases Probation Supervision Cases Probation Revocations Clients Served by Correctional Alternative Programs
Table 8A Table 8B	County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
Table 9A	New Court Commitments to State Prison
Table 9A-1	(New York City and County Profiles) Admissions to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Table 9A-2	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Table 9B	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31
Table 9B-1	The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC (New York City and County Profiles)
Table 9C	(New York State Profile)
Table 10A Table 10B	Parole Supervision Cases Revoked and Returned Parolees

#### SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1	Agency Funding Summary	
Table 2	Department of Correctional Services	
Table 3	Crime Victims Board	
Table 4	Division of Criminal Justice Services	
Table 5	Division of Probation and Correctional	Alternatives
Table 6	Division of Parole	
Table 7	Division for Youth	

-10-

# SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES \*

記録の書から

1-101×6

Contract.

August walking

Public School States of

小学の言語を

ないのないで

 $(A_{i}) = (A_{i})^{T}$ 

Table 1A	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary
Table 1B	Facilities Operating Budget Summary
Table 1C	Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

\* Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.

# NEW YORK STATE PROFILE

an file

8

#### SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

NEW YORK STATE

#### STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

> New York State Police Building 22 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Office of the Attorney General Department of Law The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

> Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Department of Correctional Services Building 2 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Office of Court Administration Agency Building 4 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007

-15-

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

NEW YORK STATE

New York State covers an area of 47,377 square miles.

#### <u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the State's 1980 resident population of 17,558,072 persons has changed -3.7 percent since 1970. The State's 1980 population density was 370.6 persons per square mile. The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the State indicates that it had a resident population of 17,782,752 persons.

#### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 16.5 percent of the State's population was non-white. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 9.5 percent of the population. Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.3 percent of the State's population.

#### Education

Among New York State's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 18.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade, and 18.0 percent had four or more years of college. In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 5.8 percent of the State's public high school population dropped out of school.

#### Economy

Personal per capita income in New York State was \$14,341 in 1984.\* In 1985, a monthly average of 6.5 percent (about 544,000 persons) of the State's civilian labor force was unemployed. The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,395,344, or 7.9 percent of the State's population.\*

\* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

-17-

Conditional Condit

. . . .

A BERGE

NEW YORK STATE

# Table 1

# INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number	of Crimes		1985 Crimes	N 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	5,566.7	-12.4
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	928.6	-5.2
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	9.5	-16.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	31.9	10.6
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	504.1	-16.7
AGGRAVATEI ASSAULT	) 59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	383.0	14.5
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	4,638.1	-13.7
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	1,231.3	-25.0
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	2,808.9	-5.2
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	598.0	-22.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 2A

#### FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	Number of Arrests				1985 Arrests Per 100,000	% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Population</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>	
ARRESTS	374,302	383,081	403,745	421,468	2,370.1	12.6	
FELONY	141,679	141,302	149,329	151,227	850.4	6.7	
VIOLENT	58,916	58,202	61,131	60,120	338.1	2.0	
OTHER	82,763	83,100	88,198	91,107	512.3	10.1	
MISDEMEANOR	232,623	241,779	254,416	270,241	1,519.7	16.2	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	•	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARR	ESTS	219,367	4.5	
F	ELONY	83,708	11.8	
	VIOLENT	32,892	12.4	
	OTHER	50,816	11.5	
M	ISDEMEANOR	135,659	0.4	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

-20-

1 2

-Should be

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE :	2Ċ
---------	----

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

	1982	Number ( 1983	of Arrest: 1984	1985	1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	7.5	-17.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,153	12.1	10.0
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,228	19,712	110.8	-1.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,555	19,803	22,237	22,935	129.0	17.3
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,684	19,464	109.5	-20.5
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	67,506	66,893	65,809	68,200	383.5	1.0

<sup>1</sup>NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-21-

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF  $\mathsf{DRUGS}^1$ 

	Number of Arrests				1985 Arrests	<i>a</i> 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	41,393	49,698	62,125	69,612	391.5	68.2
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	27,442	34,314	43,200	48,024	270.1	75.0
POSSESSION	18,009	23,769	30,515	34,336	193.1	90.7
SALE	9,433	10,545	12,685	13,688	77.0	45.1
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,951	15,384	18,925	21,588	121.4	54.7
POSSESSION	7,151	7,309	8,921	10,799	60.7	51.0
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,789	60.7	58.7

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

		Number o	f Arrests		1985 Arrests Per 1,000		
	1982	•		1985	Licensed Drivers	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55,566	60,886	64,249	62,814	6.4	13.0	
FELONY	3,184	3,747	3,031	3,054	0.3	-4.1	
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,218	59,760	6.1	14.1	

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

#### Table 3A

# FELONY INDICTMENTS<sup>1</sup>

	Nur	% Change			
	1982	_1983_	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	<b>46,665</b> 100.0%	<b>46,130</b> 100.0%	<b>47,871</b> 100.0%	<b>49,511</b> 100.0%	6.1
VIOLENT	<b>24,531</b> 52.6%	<b>22,703</b> 49.2%	22,801 47.6%	21,794 44.0%	-11.2
OTHER	22,134 47.4%	23,427 50.8%	25,070 52.4%	27,717 56.0%	25.2

<sup>1</sup>Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

#### Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS<sup>1</sup>: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	and the second	
	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	24,787	1.4
VIOLENT	10,403	0.3
OTHER	14,384	2.3

<sup>1</sup>See footnote in Table 3A above.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

- Aberland

#### TABLE 4A

#### DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbo				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	<b>303,132</b> 100.0%	328,254 100.0%	<b>331,324</b> 100.0%	<b>352,442</b> 100.0%	16.3
CONVICTIONS	<b>206,135</b> 68.0%	229,096 69.8%	<b>230,169</b> 69.5%	240,395 68.2%	16.6
ACQUITTALS	1,805 0.6%	<b>2,060</b> 0.6%	<b>2,116</b> 0.6%	2,217 0.6%	22.8
DISMISSALS	86,580 28.6%	88,695 27.0%	91,170 27.5%	10 <b>0,904</b> 28.6%	16.5
OTHER	8,612 2.8%	8,403 2.6%	7,869 2.4%	8,926 2.5%	3.6

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbe				
	1982	1983	_1984_	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	118,189 100.0%	125,801 100.0%	125,716 100.0%	133,012 100.0%	12.5
CONVICTIONS	77,019 65.2%	84,870 67.5%	83,183 66.2%	85,623 64.4%	11.2
ACQUITTALS	1,294 1.1%	1,442 1.1%	<b>1,492</b> 1.2%	1,523 1.1%	17.7
DISMISSALS	35,379 29.9%	34,773 27.6%	36,099 28.7%	<b>40,918</b> 30.8%	15.7
OTHER	4,497 3.8%	4,716 3.7%	<b>4,942</b> 3.9%	<b>4,948</b> 3.7%	10.0

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 5A

# FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM 1 FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	<u>1982 -</u>	<u>    1983    </u>	<u>_1984</u>	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	206,135 100.0%	229,096 100.0%	230,169 100.0%	240,395 100.0%	16.6			
FELONY	26,493 12.9%	<b>31,849</b> 13.9%	<b>30,814</b> 13.4%	<b>33,128</b> 13.8%	25.0			
VIOLENT	10,416 5.1%	13,024 5.7%	11,996 5.2%	11,610 4.8%	11.5			
OTHER	16,077 7.8%	18,825 8.2%	18,818 8.2%	21,518 9.0%	33.8			
MISDEMEANOR	102,394 49.7%	110,607 48.3%	111,389 48.4%	116,567 48.5%	13.8			
LESSER OFFENSES	77,248 37.5%	86,640 37.8%	<b>87,966</b> 38.2%	90,700 37.7%	17.4			

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends SOURCE: File.

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	77,019 100.0%	84,870 100.0%	<b>83,183</b> 100.0%	<b>85,623</b> 100.0%	11.2			
FELONY	25,596 33.2%	30,846 36.3%	29,830 35.9%	31,778 37.1%	24.2			
VIOLENT	10,416 13.5%	13,024 15.3%	11,996 14.4%	11,610 13.6%	11.5			
OTHER	15,180 19.7%	17,822 21.0%	17,834 21.4%	20,168 23.6%	32.9			
MISDEMEANOR	37,679 48.9%	<b>39,076</b> 46.0%	37,744 45.4%	38,553 45.0%	2.3			
LESSER OFFENSES	13,744 17.8%	14,948 17.6%	15,609 18.8%	15,292 17.9%	11.3			

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS<sup>1</sup>

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.7				
LOCAL JAIL	26.7	26.9	29.2	31.2				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.2				
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	10.0	10.0				
FINES	32.3	32.7	33.0	31.5				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	22.3	21.2	19.0	18.3				

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

-29-

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 6B

#### SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1 AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS<sup>1</sup>

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
		82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & Lesser	A REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.	84 Misd & Lesser	<u>19</u> Felony	985 Misd & Lesser	
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	43.1	NA	44.2	NA	43.6	NA	41.6	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.2	14.3	29.0	13.5	31.7	13.8	34.0	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	14.2	1.2	15.8	1.2	
PROBATION	27.8	8.2	26.2	7.6	26.5	7.4	26.8	7.3	
FINES	0.8	36.9	1.2	37,8	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.4	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.2	25.4	1.1	24.4	1.1	21.8	1.1	21.0	

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

# Table 7A-1

# PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number o	of Cases		1985 Cases	or 01	
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	841.1	-1.4	
INVESTIGATIONS	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	863.4	15.1	
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	156.4	10.1	
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	707.0	16.2	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	94.9	10.1	
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	47.9	-7.8	
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	47.1	37.1	

<sup>1</sup>Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

-31-

NEW YORK STATE

# Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number o	umber of Cases 1985 Cases			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	261.9	15.6
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	37.3	-2.9
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	224.6	19.4
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	807.6	27.2
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	75.1	-1.8
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	732.5	31.2
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	608.0	36.7
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	40.5	2.1
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	567.5	40.1

<sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

-32-

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 7B

**PROBATION REVOCATIONS** 

	<u>N</u>	lumber of	Revocatio	1985 Revocations		
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	47.5	36.3
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	56.5	23.6
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	46.6	38.1
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	24.3	54.3
NEW CONV.	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	22.3	23.9

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS<sup>1</sup>

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227

<sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>
ADMISSIONS	187,597	190,105	196,347	210,186	1182.0	12.0
UNSENTENCED	*	141,573	147,974	159,474	896.8	NA
SENTENCED	*	48,532	48,373	50,712	285.2	NÁ

\* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

#### TABLE 88

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	_1982_	1983	1984	1985	1985 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,260	*	19,011	19,646	110.5	13.8
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,995	16,789	17,532	18,732	105.3	17.1
DECEMBER 31 +OPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	105.3	14.0
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,661	12,956	72.9	14.5
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,347	5,766	32.4	12.6

\* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

NEW YORK STATE

# TABLE 9A-1

ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

		Number of	Admissio	ns ,	1985 Adm. Rate Per 100,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Population	<u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	87.6	22.3
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	4.2	71.4
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	.2	-37.1
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	10.7	552
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	445	430	356	244	1.4	-45.2
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	1.0	23.6
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	.2	375.0

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 9A-2

#### NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	N		er of Commitmen	ts	1985 Commit. Per 100,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Population</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	39.3	5.6
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	4.9	-2.8
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	2.9	39.8
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	17.2	-9.3
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	7.2	35.2
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	3.8	15.3
OTHER	435	459	524	574	3.2	32.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	12.5	79.6
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	3.4	89.3
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	9.1	76.3
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	16.5	28.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	1.6	4.2

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

<sup>2</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

#### NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY<sup>1</sup> IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmat t <u>e Prison</u> 1983			Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,314	34,737	195.3	23.2
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	136.8	22.3
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	33.4	23.2
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	11.4	24.1
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	57.2	9.3
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	17.9	90.4
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	8.5	23.6
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	8.4	20.9
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	26.1	75.4
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	6.8	80.6
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	19.4	73.7
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,299	5,408	30.4	4.2
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 471	384	359	357	2.0	-24.2

<sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

<sup>2</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

NEW YORK STATE

#### TABLE 9C

PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31<sup>1</sup>

	1982	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>	
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634	

<sup>1</sup>Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.
NEW YORK STATE

### TABLE 10A

#### PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

•			<u>e Parolee</u> :		1985 Parolees Per 100,000	% Change
	_1982_	1983	1984	1985	<u>Population</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	67.9	30.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	195.5	35.7
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	137.3	36.3

<sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

#### TABLE 10B

**REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES** 

	1982	Number_of _1983_	Parolees	1985	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	116.1	56.9
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	34.1	37.1
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	41.3	13.8
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	40.8	217.0

 $^{1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year. SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

たのない

- interior

NEW YORK STATE

# Table 1

# LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY<sup>1</sup> (Thousands of Dollars)

- Any any array to the first of a star of the star of		Expen	Appropi	riations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>		% Change 32 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	5 11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.6	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	88,102.2	45.1%	95,225.1	100,424.6.
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229.2	2,887.1
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>2</sup>	32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1	115,221.3
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries	370.0	397.5	442.5	19.6%	887.9	5 930.0
TOTAL	191,127.6	214,652.3	243,399.1	27.3%	374,934.4	325,027.2

<sup>1</sup>This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

<sup>2</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>3</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

NEW YORK STATE

### Table 2

#### DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Exp	enditures		Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u> <u>FY 83</u>	-4 <u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7		
Board of Prisoners							
Coram Nobis	4.9 6	.6 34.9	618.2%	42.0	100.0		
Felons	10,187.9 11,956	.9 12,709.5	24.8%	18,559.6	19,300.0		
Parole Violators	646.4 731	.3 1,648.7	155.1%	2,393.4	2,700.0		
State Readies				2,000.0	2,000.0		
Community Contract Housing <sup>1</sup>	311	.8 873.3	NA		400.0		
Prisoner Transfer <sup>2</sup>	487.7 300	.1 275.8	- 43,4%	312.8	366.0		
TOTAL	11,326.8 13,306	.8 15,542.3	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0		

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

<sup>2</sup>This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

-42-

\$

NEW YORK STATE

#### Table 3

#### CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards <sup>1</sup>	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8.8%	8,009.0	12,509.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	62.2%	1,415.2	3,622.1	
Court Related	407.8	406.7	719.9	76.5%	705.3	626.1	
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	82.2%	360.2	561.7	
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	26.4%	458.9	567.2	
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	171.2%	246.5	613.0	
Unallocated	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	2,583.0	
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1	

 $^{1}$ FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

-43-

.NEW YORK STATE

#### Table 4

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

. *	· · · ·	Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative <sup>1</sup>	-400 600		1,000.0	NA			
Crime Prevention and Protection <sup>2</sup>		1,420.5	1,585.7	NA	2,057.9	2,625.1	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	19.9%	4,068.3	4,150.2	
Indigent Parolee Prog.	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507,0	19.7%	1,594.0	1,625.8	
Justice Assistance Act <sup>3</sup>				NA	3,396.0	3,379.7	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention <sup>4</sup>	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	- 6.9%	1,685.9	2,673.9	
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	13.4%	11,274.3	11,499.8	
Mobile Radio District Program		1,904.3	3,600.6	NA	3,772.3	5,208.3	

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{2}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>3</sup>FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>4</sup>Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

NEW YORK STATE

# Table 4 (continued)

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriation		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>5</sup>		1,000.0	1,900.0	NA	1,900.0	2,500.0	
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0	
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3	
School Security Officer Program <sup>6</sup>	<b></b>					415.0	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>7</sup>	635.5	535.5	309.8	- 51.3%	500.0	500.0	
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0	4,818.1	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>8</sup>	ار با		2,499.4	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0	

<sup>5</sup>This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

<sup>6</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

 $^{7}$ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>8</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

-45-

NEW YORK STATE

# Table 4 (continued)

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>9</sup>	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	24.5%	49,875.4 5	0,279.5	
Transit Crime Interdiction Program <sup>10</sup>					1,000.0	1,486.0	
Transit Crime Strike Force <sup>11</sup>		3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,745.0	3,353.9	
Vera Institute <sup>12</sup>		· · · · · ·		<b></b>	- <b></b>	100.0	

TOTAL

60,722.3 72,900.4 88,102.2

95,225.1 100,424.5

45.1%

<sup>9</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

<sup>10</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>11</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

12This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

-46-

NEW YORK STATE

# Table 5

#### DIVISION OF PAROLE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures Appropria				iations
FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
1 529.4	668.9	706.1	33.4%	798.4	1,035.8
~ ~	37.1	286.9	NA	430.8	626.3
		40 13			700.0
<b> e</b> s			•••		525.0
529.4	706.0	993 0	87 6%	1 229 2	2.887.1
	1 529.4 	<u>FY 82-3</u> <u>FY 83-4</u> <sup>1</sup> 529.4 668.9 37.1 	<u>FY 82-3</u> <u>FY 83-4</u> <u>FY 84-5</u> <sup>1</sup> 529.4 668.9 706.1 37.1 286.9 	½    Change      FY 82-3    FY 83-4    FY 84-5    82 vs 84      1    529.4    668.9    706.1    33.4%       37.1    286.9    NA	K Change  K Change    FY 82-3  FY 83-4  FY 84-5  82 vs 84  FY 85-6    1  529.4  668.9  706.1  33.4%  798.4     37.1  286.9  NA  430.8

 $^{1}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NEW YORK STATE

#### Table 6

#### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>			<u></u>		3,050.0	3,050.0	
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	197.9%	5,756.0	5,478.5	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	36.0%	5,848.8	8,087.6	
PINS Program <sup>2</sup>					-	1,000.0	
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	20.2%	37,240.8	42,000.0	
TOTAL	32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1	
<sup>1</sup> This program was imple	emented in	FY 1985-	-86.				
<sup>2</sup> This program was imple	emented in	FY 1986-	-87.				

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

-48-

NEW YORK STATE

#### Table 7

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	·	Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86	-7	
Community-Based Organizations <sup>1</sup>	ar as		324.8	NA	318.0 456	.5	
Community Care Initiative <sup>2</sup>	M8				500	.0	
Detention							
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	45.2%	23,900.0 13,600	.0	
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	- 7.5%	10,500.0 6,800	.0	
Job Development <sup>2</sup>					697	.6	
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	32.2%	1,885.0 2,409	.0	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	8.7%	13,482.1 13,351	.8	
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	66.9%	4,509.1 6,496	.4	

Operations Budget.

 $^{2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

NEW YORK STATE

# Table 7 (continued)

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Transitional Independent Living Support <sup>3</sup>		<b></b>			2,200.0	2,200.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	14.4%	56,000.0	28,100.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	0.6%	78,400.0	40,600.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,110.0	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1 1	15,221.3

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

ALL SARAY STATES

NEW YORK STATE

#### Table 1A

 FACILITIES CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY						
	Capacity	Staff				
DOCS Facilities	37,907	20,585				
DFY Facilities	1,991	2,637				
TOTAL	39,898	23,222				

#### Table 1B

FACILITIES OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	395,752.7	470,953.6	535,925.3	35.4%	582,831.7	666,604.6
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	23.1%	72,359.8	78,541.4
TOTAL	454,213.0	535,017.2	607,914.2	33.6%	655,191.5	745,146.0

#### Table 1C

FACILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations		
<u>Y 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
3,820.0	174,376.6	278,196.6	252,643.1	68,662.0	
5,089.5	3,441.1	8,530.6	3,793.5	4,503.5	
8,909.5	177,817.7	286,727.2	256,436.6	73,165.5	
1	3,820.0 5,089.5	3,820.0 174,376.6 5,089.5 3,441.1	3,820.0 174,376.6 278,196.6 5,089.5 3,441.1 8,530.6	3,820.0  174,376.6  278,196.6  252,643.1    5,089.5  3,441.1  8,530.6  3,793.5	

# COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE BUFFALO REGION

Cattaraugus County Chautauqua County Erie County Niagara County SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Southern Tier East Regional Planning Development Board Fourth Floor, O'Neil Building State at Court Street Binghamton, New York 13901 New York State Division of Parole Room 502 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020

#### COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 303 Court Street Little Valley, New York 14755 Sheriff's Department 301 Court Street Little Valley, New York 14755

Probation Department 265 North Union Street Olean, New York 14760

Assigned Counsel Plan Four South Main Street Franklinville, New York 14737 Youth Bureau 301 Court Street Little Valley, New York 14755

County Court 303 Court Street Little Valley, New York 14755

Family Court 265 North Union Street Olean, New York 14760 II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

Cattaraugus County is located in the Buffalo region and Little Valley is its county seat. In area the County covers 1,306 square miles.

#### **Population**

1910 Ser 1920

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 85,697 persons (ranked 31) has changed 4.9 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 65.6 persons per square mile (ranked 46). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 85,335 persons (ranked 32).

#### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 2.9 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 32), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 56). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 34.0 percent of the County's population (ranked 23).

#### Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 16.9 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 32), and 10.7 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 52). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.8 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 35), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$9,354 in 1984 (ranked 57).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 9.0 percent (about 3,200 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 11). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 4,957, or 5.8 percent of the population (ranked 16 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

\* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

# Table 1

# INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u> .
INDEX CRIME	2,416	2,157	2,170	2,197	2,546.0	44	-9.1
VIOLENT	151	126	122	104	120.5	47	-31.1
MURDER	2	1	1	0	0.0	50	-100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	9	3	11	2	2.3	59	-77.8
ROBBERY	22	16	13	9	10.4	48	-59.1
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	118	106	97	93	107.8	42	-21.2
PROPERTY	2,265	2,031	2,048	2,093	2,425.5	39	-7.6
BURGLARY	718	604	554	546	632.7	45	-24.0
LARCENY	1,465	1,368	1,427	1,472	1,705.9	37	0.5
MV THEFT	82	59	67	75	86.9	36	-8.5

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

# TABLE 2A

.

	1982_	<u>Number c</u>	<u>if Arrests</u> _ <u>1984_</u>	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	1,266	1,244	1,300	1,352	1,584.3	44	6.8
FELONY	226	236	200	244	285.9	57	8.0
VIOLENT	55	51	42	57	66.8	51	3.6
OTHER	171	185	158	187	219.1	56	9.4
MISDEMEANOR	1,040	1,008	1,100	1,108	1,298.4	37	6.5

#### FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

# TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	627	-6.0	
FELONY	139	35.0	
VIOLENT	30	36.4	
OTHER	109	34.6	
MISDEMEANOR	488	-13.5	

#### TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

	1982	Number of	Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	3	2	1	0	0.0	49	-100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	2	3	2	2.3	47	-50.0
ROBBERY	4	10	3	7	8.2	34	75.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	20	15	22	28	32.8	37	40.0
BURGLARY	65	67	47	69	80.9	42	6.2
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	185	211.	201	208	243.7	50	12.4

<sup>1</sup>NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

# TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS<sup>1</sup>

		Number of	Arrests		1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	53	39	40	32	37.5 47	-39.6
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	19	23	10	14	16.4 49	-26.3
POSSESSION	17	18	10	12	14.1 46	-29.4
SALE	2	5	0	2	2.3 47	0.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	.34	16	30	18	21.1 33	-47.1
POSSESSION	30	13	19	13	15.2 28	-56.7
SALE	4	3	11	5	5.9 36	25.0

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

5

4

#### TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES  $^1$ 

		Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licer Drive	000 Ised	
	1982	1983	_1984_	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	466	457	541	523	9.6	21	12.2
FELONY	35	47	44	50	0.9	9	42.9
MISDEMEANOR	431	410	497	473	8.7	24	9.7

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

# Table 3A

# FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nu	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	83 100.0%	<b>103</b> 100.0%	<b>98</b> 100.0%	<b>91</b> 100.0%	9.6
VIOLENT	19 22.9%	<b>20</b> 19.4%	15 15.3%	<b>20</b> 22.0%	5.3
OTHER	64 77.1%	<b>83</b> 80.6%	83 84.7%	<b>71</b> 78.0%	10.9

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

# Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	39	-2.5	
VIOLENT	8	0.0	
OTHER	31	-3.1	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

#### CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

#### TABLE 4A

#### DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

1982	1983_	1984	<u>1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
<b>793</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>875</b>	825	4.0
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
<b>655</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>712</b>	<b>674</b>	2.9
82.6%	79.4%	81.4%	81.7%	
<b>5</b>	3	5	<mark>2</mark>	-60.0
0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%	
<b>133</b>	160	158	<b>146</b>	9.8
16.8%	20.0%	18.1%	17.7%	
<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	3	NA
0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	
	Fel <u>1982</u> 793 100.0% 655 82.6% 5 0.6% 133 16.8% 0	Resulti      Felony and Misd      1982    1983      793    802      100.0%    100.0%      655    637      82.6%    79.4%      5    3      0.6%    0.4%      133    160      16.8%    20.0%      0    2	Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arre198219831984793802875100.0%100.0%100.0%65563771282.6%79.4%81.4%5350.6%0.4%0.6%13316015816.8%20.0%18.1%020	Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests19821983198419852793802875825100.0%100.0%100.0%100.0% $655$ $637$ 712 $674$ $82.6\%$ 79.4% $81.4\%$ $81.7\%$ $5$ $3$ $5$ $2$ $0.6\%$ $0.4\%$ $0.6\%$ $0.2\%$ $133$ $160$ $158$ $146$ $16.8\%$ $20.0\%$ $18.1\%$ $17.7\%$ $0$ $2$ $0$ $3$

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

#### TABLE 4B

# DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests				
	1982	1983	_1984_	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DISPOSITIONS	143 100.0%	150 100.0%	1 <b>50</b> 100.0%	115 100.0%	-19.6	
CONVICTIONS	131 91.6%	<b>130</b> 86.7%	135 90.0%	1 <b>07</b> 93.0%	-18.3	
ACQUITTALS	<b>0</b> 0.0%	2 1.3%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	1 0.9%	NA	
DISMISSALS	12 8.4%	18 12.0%	15 10.0%	7 6.1%	-41.7	
OTHER	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA	

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

#### TABLE 5A

#### FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbe				
	1982	1983		2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	655 100.0%	<b>637</b> 100.0%	<b>712</b> 100.0%	<b>674</b> 100.0%	2.9
FELONY	<b>59</b> 9.0%	<b>67</b> 10.5%	<b>54</b> 7.6%	<b>40</b> 5.9%	-32.2
VIOLENT	7 1.1%	<b>4</b> 0.6%	10 1.4%	<b>4</b> 0.6%	-42.9
OTHER	52 7.9%	63 9.9%	<b>44</b> 6.2%	36 5.3%	-30.8
MISDEMEANOR	<b>426</b> 65.0%	<b>416</b> 65.3%	<b>473</b> 66.4%	<b>44</b> 1 65.4%	3.5
LESSER OFFENSES	170 26.0%	1 <b>54</b> 24.2%	1 <b>85</b> 26.0%	193 28.6%	13.5

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

#### TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbe				
	<u>1982</u>	<u>_1983_</u>	Felony Arrest	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	131 100.0%	1 <b>30</b> 100.0%	135 100.0%	107 100.0%	-18.3
FELONY	57 43.5%	<b>59</b> 45.4%	<b>50</b> 37.0%	<b>35</b> 32.7%	-38.6
VIOLENT	7 5.3%	<b>4</b> 3.1%	10 7.4%	<b>4</b> 3.7%	-42.9
OTHER	<b>50</b> 38.2%	55 42.3%	<b>40</b> 29.6%	31 29.0%	-38.0
MISDEMEANOR	<b>67</b> 51.1%	<b>64</b> 49.2%	78 57.8%	61 57.0%	-9.0
LESSER OFFENSES	<b>7</b> 5.3%	7 5.4%	7 5.2%	11 10.3%	57.1

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

#### TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS<sup>1</sup>

	Sente	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	0.9	0.9	1.5	1.5					
LOCAL JAIL	13.3	16.6	15.2	15.0					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.2	2.4	2.0	1.8					
PROBATION	8.1	6.4	6.3	7.6					
FINES	67.5	65.1	65.4	63.4					
OTHER/UNKNOWN	9.0	8.5	9.6	10.8					

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

# TABLE 6B

# SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	and the second se	82 Misd & Lesser		<u>83</u> Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	084 Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	985 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	10.2	NA	9.0	NA	20.4	NA	25.0	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	10.2	13.6	28.4	15.3	11.1	15.5	17.5	14.8	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	5.1	0.8	16.4	0.7	18.5	0.6	17.5	0.8	
PROBATION	64.4	2.5	41.8	2.3	46.3	3.0	40.0	5.5	
FINES	10.2	73.2	3.0	72.5	1.9	70.7	0.0	67.4	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	9.9	1.5	9.3	1.9	10.2	0.0	11.5	

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE:

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

# Table 7A-1

# PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u> .	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	165	226	327	311	364.4	55	88.5
INVESTIGATIONS	475	473	382	409	479.3	52	-13.9
FAMILY COURT	182	174	110	145	169.9	26	-20.3
CRIMINAL COURT	293	299	272	264	309.4	56	-9.9
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	43	39	11	9	10.5	46	-79.1
FAMILY COURT	36	30	9	1	1.2	42	-97.2
CRIMINAL COURT	7	9	2	8	9.4	30	14.3

<sup>1</sup>Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

#### Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		<u>Number of</u>	<sup>c</sup> Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	151	135	123	159	186.3	48	5.3
FAMILY COURT	41	22	23	37	43.4	33	-9.8
CRIMINAL COURT	110	113	100	122	143.0	51	10.9
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	434	449	431	415	486.3	58	-4.4
FAMILY COURT	77	62	54	62	72.7	39	-19.5
CRIMINAL COURT	357	387	377	353	413.7	59	-1.1
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	314	308	256	300	351.6	57	-4.5
FAMILY COURT	40	31	25	40	46.9	30	0.0
CRIMINAL COURT	274	277	231	260	304.7	59	-5.1

<sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### TABLE 7B

	N	% Change					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	15	16	13	4	9.6	61	-73.3
FAMILY COURT	4	4	1	1	16.1	51	-75.0
CRIMINAL COURT	11	12	12	3	8.5	61	-72.7
TECHNICAL	3	6	4	0	0.0	59	-100.0
NEW CONV.	8	6	8	3	8.5	50	-62.5

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### TABLE 7C

#### CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS<sup>1</sup>

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	FY 1984-85	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

# TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	_1983_	_1984_	1985	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,145	1,238	1,165	1,057	1,238.6	27	-7.7
UNSENTENCED	853	1,077	953	818	958.6	23	-4.1
SENTENCED	292	161	212	239	280.1	33	-18.2

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

# TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	_1984_	_1985_	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	80	76	76	76	89.1	21	-5.0
ÀVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	51	52	44	49	57.4	39	-3.9
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	57	47	45	62	72.7	20	8.8
UNSENTENCED	37	29	29	28	32.8	32	-24.3
SENTENCED	20	18	16	34	39.8	6	70.0

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

#### TABLE 9A

#### NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>New</u>	Number <u>Court Co</u> 1983	of <u>mmitments</u> 1984	<u>1985</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	9	7	15	10	11.7	56	11.1
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	2	4	6	3	3.5	54	50.0
HOMICIDE	0	0	1	0	0.0	36	NA
SEX OFFENSE	0	3	1	1	1.2	43	NA
ROBBERY	2	0	4	2	2.3	24	0.0
BURGLARY	0	0	0	0	0.0	52	NA
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	1	0	0	0.0	40	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	0	0	2	<b>0</b>	0.0	46	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	0	0	2	0	0.0	42	NA
OTHER FELONIES	6	3	7	6	7.0	50	0.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 1	0	0	1	1.2	28	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

<sup>2</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the 'op conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

#### TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY<sup>1</sup> IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

			Under Cu <u>n Decembe</u> 1984		Inmate Under Co on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	20	17	24	27	31.6	54	35.0
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	8	10	14	13	15.2	53	62.5
HOMICIDE	0	0	1	1	1.2	59	NA
SEX OFFENSE	1	4	4	5	5.9	40	400.0
ROBBERY	6	5	8	7	8.2	24	16.7
BURGLARY	0	0	0	0	0.0	54	NA
WEAPONS	1	0	0	0	0.0	32	0.0
OTHER	0	1	]	0	0.0	52	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	0	0	2	1	1.2	53	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA
SALE	0	0	2	1	1.2	47	NA
OTHER FELONIES	11	7	8	12	14.1	46	9.1
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 1	0	0	1	1.2	31	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

<sup>2</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

21.0

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

#### TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY<sup>1</sup>

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES				
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

<sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

# TABLE 10A

# PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	_1982_	Number of Parolees 2 1983 1984 1985			1985 Parolees Per 100,000 <u>Population</u> % Change <u>Rate Rank 82 vs 85</u>		
RELEASES TO PAROLE	20	15	16	10	11.7	49	-50.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	47	44	39	30	35.2	51	-36.2
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	29	23	20	15	17.6	54	-48.3

<sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

# TABLE 10B

**REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES** 

	Nu	% Change					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	4	5	2	1	33.3	44	-75.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	2	0	1	0	0.0	32	-100.0
NEW ARRESTS	2	4	1	0	0.0	43	-100.Ū
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	1	0	1	33.3	18	NA

 $^{1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

#### CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

#### Table 1

## COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	58.5	67.1	56.0	-4.4%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	37.6
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	.0	780.0	NA	.0	177.8
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	84.5	85.3	95.3	12.7%	112.5	135.4
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	244.2	248.0	274.9	12.6%	657.7	320.6
TOTAL	387.3	400.4	1,206.1	211.4%	770.2	671.4

<sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.
CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

## Table 2

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change @? 7s 84		FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>									
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	.8	NA					
Felons	56.4	66.5	47.6	-15.6%		<b>10</b>			
Parole Violators	1.6	.3	7.2	363.5%					
Prisoner Transfer	.5	.3	.3	-40.7%					
TOTAL	58.5	67.1	56.0	-4.4%		.0	.0		

 $^1 \rm Local$  correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

CATTAURAGUS COUNTY

## Table 3

#### CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Exc				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Domestic Violence	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	37.6	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

## Table 4

## DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>1</sup>	<del>-</del>	.0	780.0	NA	.0	177.8

 $1_{NO}$  funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

CATTARAGUS COUNTY

## Table 5

#### DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

## Table 6

## DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>			·	NA	6.7	15.2
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	4.2
Regular State Aid	84.5	85.3	95.3	12.7%	105.8	116.0
TOTAL	84.5	85.3	95.3	12.7%	112.5	135.4

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

100.000

Alexandress

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

## Table 7

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Non-Secure	.0	.0	.0	NA	1.0	.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	62.4	58.3	58.3	-6.6%	58.3	59.3
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	10.0	NA	10.0	10.0
Voluntary Agency Care	14.6	3.9	.5	-96.7%	46.3	8.5
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	167.2	185.8	206.1	23.2%	542.1	242.8
TOTAL	244.2	248.0	274.9	12.6%	657.7	320.6

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V	STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES			CATTARAUGU	S COUNTY
		Table 3A			
	DI FACILITY SECURI	VISION FOR YO TY LEVEL, CAP (June 1986)		\FF	
	Facility	Security Levell	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
	Great Valley Residential Center	IV	60	56	

<sup>1</sup> Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth,

#### Table 3B

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	litures	· · · ·	Appropr	<u>iations</u>
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Great Valley Residential Center	1,247.5	1,274.9	1,476.8	18.4%	1,498.8	1,631.3

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

1000

ă.

Acres .

1.88440

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

## Table 3C

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures		Appropr	iations
	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Great Valley Residential Center	.0	209.6	. 209.6	48.0	15.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

#### **REGIONAL AGENCIES**

Southern Tier East Regional Planning Development Board Fourth Floor, O'Neil Building State at Court Street Binghamton, New York 13901 New York State Division of Parole Room 502 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020

#### COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Gerace Office Building Mayville, New York 14757

Probation Department P.O. Box 220 115-121 West Third Street Jamestown, New York 14701

Public Defender's Office Gerace Office Building Mayville, New York 14757

Family Court County Office Building Dunkirk, New York 14048 Sheriff's Department Box 128, East Chautauqua Street Mayville, New York 14757

Youth Bureau Room 113 Hall R. Clouthier Building Mayville, New York 14757

County Court County Court House Mayville, New York 14757

Family Court County Office Building Mayville, New York 14757

#### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

City of Jamestown Police Department Municipal Building Jamestown, New York 14701

\*Population 25,000 and above.

#### II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Chautauqua County is located in the Buffalo region and Mayville is its county seat. In area the County covers 1,064 square miles.

#### <u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 146,925 persons (ranked 23) has changed -0.3 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 138.1 persons per square mile (ranked 27). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 144,230 persons (ranked 23).

#### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 1.8 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 37), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 1.5 percent of the County's population (ranked 18). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 31.6 percent of the County's population (ranked 43).

#### Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 16.6 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 34), and 11.8 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 40). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.9 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 33), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$10,989 in 1984 (ranked 33).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 7.6 percent (about 4,800 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 24). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 9,841, or 6.7 percent of the population (ranked 8 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

\* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 1

## INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number c	of Crimes	in the second	1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate_</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	5,422	5,051	5,077	4,862	3,314.4	24	-10.3
VIOLENT	152	194	192	194	132.2	42	27.6
MURDER	3	3	2	2	1.4	43	-33.3
FORCIBLE	16	20	19	16	10.9	35	0.0
ROBBERY	24	43	30	37	25.2	23	54.2
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	109	128	141	139	94.8	46	27.5
PROPERTY	5,270	4,857	4,885	4,668	3,182.2	22	-11.4
BURGLARY	1,518	1,242	1,236	1,067	727.4	37	-29.7
LARCENY	3,530	3,419	3,476	3,432	2,339.6	14	-2.8
MV THEFT	222	196	173	169	115.2	28	-23.9

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

1

0

.

.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## TABLE 2A

FELONY	AND	MISDEMEANOR	ARRESTS

	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	<u>f Arrests</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	2,090	2,083	2,399	2,392	1,658.5	41	14.4
FELONY	385	388	417	431	298.8	55	11.9
VIOLENT	100	88	121	110	76.3	45	10.0
OTHER	285	300	296	321	222.6	53	12.6
MISDEMEANOR	1,705	1,695	1,982	1,961	1,359.6	35	15.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>82 vs 86</u>
1,240	6.3
241	14.8
57	11.8
184	15.7
999	4.5
	241 57 184

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

	1982	<u>Number o</u> 1983	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984</u> _	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	4	3	2	1	0.7	48	-75.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	6	2	3	4	2.8	42	-33.3
ROBBERY	14	13	13	21	14.6	22	50.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	32	28	41	33	22.9	50	3.1
BURGLARY	114	97	108	117	81.1	40	2.6
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	350	374	448	496	343.9	28	41.7

<sup>1</sup>NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

.

## TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS $^1$ 

		<u>Number of</u>	Arrests	1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	41	71	35	42	29.1	52	2.4
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	21	37	10	27	18.7	48	28.6
POSSESSION	19	12	5	10	6.9	54	-47.4
SALE	2	25	5	17	11.8	24	750.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	20	34	25	15	10.4	55	-25.0
POSSESSION	17	21	11	12	8.3	49	-29.4
SALE	3	13	14	3	2.1	53	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

.

· · ·

## TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES  $^1$ 

		Number of	- Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 sed	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	698	696	871	830	8.6	27	18.9
FELONY	73	71	72	65	0.7	20	-11.0
MISDEMEANOR	625	625	799	765	8.0	28	22.4

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur 1982	Number of Felony Indictments 1982 1983 1984 1985					
FELONY INDICTMENTS	<b>198</b> 100.0%	<b>260</b> 100.0%	195 100.0%	<b>220</b> 100.0%	11.1		
VIOLENT	61 30.8%	55 21.2%	52 26.7%	52 23.6%	-14.8		
OTHER	1 <b>37</b> 69.2%	<b>205</b> 78.8%	143 73.3%	168 76.4%	22.6		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

## Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	96	-10.3
VIOLENT	21	0.0
OTHER	75	-12.8

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

#### TABLE 4A

# $\begin{array}{c} \text{DISPOSITIONS} \\ \text{RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS}^1 \end{array}$

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	1,632 100.0%	1,673 100.0%	1,693 100.0%	<b>2,012</b> 100.0%	23.3		
CONVICTIONS	1,268 77.7%	1 <b>,299</b> 77.6%	1,292 76.3%	1,519 75.5%	19.8		
ACQUITTALS	13 0.8%	12 0.7%	16 0.9%	11 0.5%	-15.4		
DISMISSALS	348 21.3%	355 21.2%	372 22.0%	<b>476</b> 23.7%	36.8		
OTHER	3 0.2%	7 0.4%	13 0.8%	6 0.3%	100.0		

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

#### TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	<b>322</b> 100.0%	<b>303</b> 100.0%	<b>294</b> 100.0%	<b>334</b> 100.0%	3.7
CONVICTIONS	<b>274</b> 85.1%	<b>249</b> 82.2%	232 78.9%	289 86.5%	5.5
ACQUITTALS	<b>6</b> 1.9%	5 1.7%	<b>2</b> 0.7%	<b>2</b> 0.6%	-66.7
DISMISSALS	<b>42</b> 13.0%	<b>44</b> 14.5%	53 18.0%	<b>41</b> 12.3%	-2.4
OTHER	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>5</b> 1.7%	7 2.4%	2 0.6%	NA

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### TABLE 5A

## FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	Numbe				
	1982	ony and Misde 1983	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	1,268 100.0%	1,299 100.0%	1,292 100.0%	1,519 100.0%	19.8
FELONY	104 8.2%	<b>90</b> 6.9%	<b>94</b> 7.3%	113 7.4%	8.7
VIOLENT	<b>27</b> 2.1%	<b>19</b> 1.5%	<b>21</b> 1.6%	<b>24</b> 1.6%	-11.1
OTHER	77 6.1%	71 5.5%	73 5.7%	<b>89</b> 5.9%	15.6
MISDEMEANOR	<b>768</b> 60 <i>.</i> 6%	<b>844</b> 65.0%	<b>799</b> 61.8%	<b>962</b> 63.3%	25.3
LESSER OFFENSES	<b>396</b> 31.2%	365 28.1%	<b>399</b> 30.9%	<b>444</b> 29.2%	12.1

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

#### TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		Number and Percent of Convictions <u>Resulting from Felony Arrests</u>				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
CONVICTIONS	<b>274</b> 100.0%	<b>249</b> 100.0%	<b>232</b> 100.0%	<b>289</b> 100.0%	5.5	
FELONY	98 35.8%	87 34.9%	<b>92</b> 39.7%	103 35.6%	5.1	
VIOLENT	<b>27</b> 9.9%	19 7.6%	21 9.1%	<b>24</b> 8.3%	-11.1	
OTHER	71 25.9%	68 27.3%	71 30.6%	79 27.3%	11.3	
MISDEMEANOR	1 <b>53</b> 55.8%	1 <b>42</b> 57.0%	130 56.0%	1 <b>72</b> 59.5%	12.4	
LESSER OFFENSES	23 8.4%	<b>20</b> 8.0%	10 4.3%	14 4.8%	-39.1	

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS<sup>1</sup>

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions						
	1982	_1983_	1984	<u>1985</u> 2			
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
STATE PRISON	1.4	1.5	2.0	2.0			
LOCAL JAIL	16.0	16.7	16.6	12.6			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.7	2.8	3.3	4.0			
PROBATION	7.9	8.1	9.0	11.4			
FINES	57.1	54.0	54.4	55.9			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	13.9	16.9	14.7	14.2			

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

#### TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS and MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS  $^{\rm 1}$ 

		Ser	<u>itences a</u>	<u>s a Per</u>	<u>cent of C</u>	onvictio	ons	
		82 Misd & Lesser		<u>83</u> Misd & Lesser		84 Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	985 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	17.3	NA	21.1	NA	27.7	NA	26.5	NA
LOCAL JAIL	38.5	14.0	37.8	15.1	28.7	15.7	17.7	12.2
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	16.3	2.6	22.2	1.3	20.2	1.9	29.2	2.0
PROBATION	20.2	6.8	14.4	7.6	16.0	8.4	23.9	10.4
FINES	1.0	62.1	1.1	58.0	6.4	58.2	2.7	·60.2
OTHER/UNKNOWN	6.7	14.5	3.3	17.9	1.1	15.8	0.0	15.3

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

# Table 7A-1

## PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	847	1,223	1,267	1,232	854.2	36	45.5
INVESTIGATIONS	781	1,044	1,079	1,162	805.7	21	48.8
FAMILY COURT	0	250	266	297	205.9	19	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	781	794	813	865	599.7	21	10.8
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	226	200	200	221	153.2	13	-2.2
FAMILY COURT	57	42	43	66	45.8	12	15.8
CRIMINAL COURT	169	158	157	155	107.5	14	-8.3

<sup>1</sup>Intake occurs only in family court.

#### CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

#### Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	-	Number of	<sup>2</sup> Cases		1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		94 Obarran
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION		•					
CASES RECEIVED	323	286	330	397	275.3	27	22.9
FAMILY COURT	104	83	71	78	54.1	22	-25.0
CRIMINAL COURT	219	203	259	319	221.2	28	45.7
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	957	893	889	988	685.0	40	3.2
FAMILY COURT	219	175	156	148	102.6	22	-32.4
CRIMINAL COURT	738	718	733	840	582.4	44	13.8
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON							
DECEMBER 31	607	559	591	692	479.8	39	14.0
FAMILY COURT	92	85	70	79	54.8	21	-14.1
CRIMINAL COURT	515	474	521	613	425.0	42	19.0

<sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## TABLE 7B

#### PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	lumber of	<u>Revocatio</u>	<u>ns</u>	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>			
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
REVOCATIONS	70	67	54	48	48.6	25	-31.4	
FAMILY COURT	11	10	13	11	74.3	26	0.0	
CRIMINAL COURT	59	57	41	37	44.0	26	-37.3	
TECHNICAL	21	25	16	18	21.4	29	-14.3	
NEW CONV.	38	32	25	19	22.6	20	-50.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### TABLE 7C

## CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS<sup>1</sup>

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>	
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0	

<sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

.

		COUNTY JA	AIL ADMISS	IONS			
	_1982_	_1983_	_1984		1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,462	1,474	1,543	1,506	1,044.2	40	3.0
UNSENTENCED	964	954	1,020	1,001	694.0	45	3.8
SENTENCED	498	520	523	505	350.1	24	1.4

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

## TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	<u>1983</u>	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	98	98	98	98	67.9	38	0.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	81	83	85	87	60.3	37	7.4
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	82	64	98	72	49.9	41	-12.2
UNSENTENCED	44	41	73	51	35.4	27	15.9
SENTENCED	38	23	25	21	14.6	49	-44.7

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

# TABLE 8A

## TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>Ne</u> Ne	Numbe w Court ( 1983_		<u>ts</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	18	20	28	29	20.1	43	61.1
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	7	10	5	12	8.3	39	71.4
HOMICIDE	0	3	1	3	2.1	19	NA
SEX OFFENSE	1	. 1	0	0	0.0	47	0.0
ROBBERY	5	5	1	1	0.7	39	-80.0
BURGLARY	1	. 1	3	6	4.2	28	500.0
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	2	1.4	31	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	3	1	12	4	2.8	28	33.3
POSSESSION	2	0	6	2	1.4	22	0.0
SALE	1	1	6	2	1.4	34	100.0
OTHER FELONIES	4	9	11	11	7.6	49	175.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 4	0	0	2	1.4	21	-50.0

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

<sup>2</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

#### TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY<sup>1</sup> IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmate <u>e Prison</u> 1983			Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	47	43	62	63	43.7	49	34.0
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	24	29	29	32	22.2	48	33.3
HOMICIDE	15	16	17	18	12.5	24	20.0
SEX OFFENSE	1	3	2	2	1.4	58	100.0
ROBBERY	5	. 7	4	3	2.1	45	-40.0
BURGLARY	1	2	5	7	4.9	42	600.0
WEAPONS	1	0	0	0	0.0	32	0.0
OTHER	1	1	1	2	1.4	49	100.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	3	1	13	14	9.7	15	366.7
POSSESSION	2	0	6	8	5.5	11	300.0
SALE	1	1	7	6	4.2	24	500.0
OTHER FELONIES	14	13	20	17	11.8	51	21.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 6	0	0	0	0.0	42	0.0

 $^{\rm 1}$  Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

<sup>2</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

WAS DECK.

## TABLE 9B-1

# THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm 1}$

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%

<sup>1</sup>Immates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## TABLE 10A

## PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		<u>lumber of</u> _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	33	32	27	32	22.2	36	-3.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	60	77	68	63	43.7	44	5.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	45	41	31	46	31.9	44	2.2

<sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

#### TABLE 10B

## REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of	Parolees _1984_	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	ions 000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	4	4	7	3	47.6	41	-25.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	1	1	0	0.0	32	NA
NEW ARRESTS	4	2	6	2	31.7	30	-50.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	1	0	1	15.9	32	NA

 $^{1}\ensuremath{\mathsf{The}}$  total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

#### CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 1

#### COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	82.1	98.7	108.6	32.4%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	.0	.0	13.7	NA	21.5	16.2
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	.0	22.9	NA	125.0	129.1
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	240.0	264.9	245.1	2.1%	276.3	320.1
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	394.0	383.4	355.1	-9.9%	919.5	496.8
TOTAL	716.0	747,0	745.4	4.1%	1,342.4	962.1

<sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 2

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Board of Prisonersl							
Felons	79.6	97.7	86.9	9.2%		<b></b>	
Parole Violators	1.7	.4	21.1	1,131.6%			
Prisoner Transfer	.8	.6	.7	-8.8%		<b></b>	
TOTAL	82.1	98.7	108.6	32.4%	.0	.0	

<sup>1</sup>Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 3

## CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Program	Expenditures				Appropriations	
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Domestic Violence	.0	.0	13.7	NA	21.5	16.2

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 4

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Justice Assistance Act <sup>1</sup>		•		NA	9,0	9.0
Neighborhood						
Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>2</sup>	ین بند رو به معرفی از این ا	.0	20.0	NA	.0	1
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>3</sup>			2.9	NA		
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>4</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	116.0	120.1
TOTAL	.0	.0	22.9	NA	125.0	129.1

 $^{1}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

 $^{2}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

<sup>3</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>4</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 5

## DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 6

#### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>		<b></b>		NA	5.1	31.2
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	45.7	48.5	52.7	15.2%	62.7	62.3
Regular State Aid	194.2	216.4	192.4	-1.0%	208.6	226.5
TOTAL	240.0	264.9	245.1	2.1%	276.3	320.1

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.
## IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 7

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

10		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Detention						
Secure	3.7	2.2	1.4	-62.1%	4.0	2.3
Non-Secure	.5	2.0	2.0	307.6%	4.4	2.1
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	92.2	75.3	84.5	-8.4%	84.5	84.5
Voluntary Agency Care	.0	1.0	.0	· NA	84.8	12.4
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	297.6	303.0	267.2	-10.2%	741.9	395.5
TOTAL	394.0	383,4	355.1	-9.9%	919.5	496.8

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

#### Table 3A

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Level <sup>1</sup>	Capacity	Staff	
Jamestown Community Urban Home	VI	7	5	

<sup>1</sup> Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

#### Table 3B

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures	: 	Approp	riations
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Jamestown Community Urban Home	113.6	135.7	152.6	34.3%	163.3	160.6

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

## Table 3C

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

•		Expenditures		Appropr	iations
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Jamestown Community Urban Home	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

ERIE COUNTY

#### SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

#### **REGIONAL AGENCIES**

County Office of Criminal Justice Planning 134 West Eagle Street Buffalo, New York 14202 New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020

#### COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 200 Erie County Hall 25 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Probation Department 25 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Aid to Indigent Prisoners Society, Incorporated 603 Brisbane Building Buffalo, New York 14203

Legal Aid Bureau of Buffalo, Inc. 310 Convention Tower 43 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202 Sheriff's Department 10 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Youth Bureau 25 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Department of Correction Erie County Correctional Facility P.O. Box X Walden Avenue Alden, New York 14004

> County Court Erie County Hall Buffalo, New York 144202

Family Court 25 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

#### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

City of Buffalo Police Department Room 114 74 Franklin Street Buffalo, New York 14202 Town of Hamburg Police Department 6100 South Park Avenue Hamburg, New York 14075 SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

ERIE COUNTY

#### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES (continued)\*

Town of Amherst Police Department 500 John James Audabon Parkway Amherst, New York 14228

Town of Cheektowaga Police Department Broadway and Union Street Cheektowaga, New York 14225 Town of Lancaster Police Department 525 Pavement Road Lancaster, New York 14086

Town of Tonawanda Police Department 1835 Sheridan Drive Kenmore, New York 14223

Town of West Seneca Police Department 1250 Union Road West Seneca, New York 14224

\*Population 25,000 and above.

#### II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Erie County is located in the Buffalo region and Buffalo is its county seat. In area the County covers 1,046 square miles.

#### **Population**

C C

現在的地域で

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 1,015,472 persons (ranked 7) has changed -8.8 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 970.8 persons per square mile (ranked 11). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 971,386 persons (ranked 7).

#### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 11.3 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 7), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 1.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 20). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 50).

#### Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 17.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 26), and 15.1 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 22). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.4 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 44), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$12,626 in 1984 (ranked 15).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 7.3 percent (about 31,400 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 31). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 80,366, or 8.2 percent of the population (ranked 3 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

\* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

## ERIE COUNTY

•

## Table 1

## INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	of Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	0/ Changer
	1982		1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	51,152	47,255	44,563	44,782	4,532.9	10	-12.5
VIOLENT	5,361	4,969	5,100	5,217	528.1	7	-2.7
MURDER	54	57	43	43	4.4	12	-20.4
FORCIBLE RAPE	307	350	347	345	34.9	6	12.4
ROBBERY	1,902	1,638	1,616	1,640	166.0	8	-13.8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	3,098	2,924	3,094	3,189	322.8	9	2.9
PROPERTY	45,791	42,286	39,463	39,565	4,004.8	12	-13.6
BURGLARY	13,040	12,124	11,089	11,364	1,150.3	11	-12.9
LARCENY	27,781	26,116	24,479	24,190	2,448.5	12	-12.9
MV THEFT	4,970	4,046	3,895	4,011	406.0	7	-19.3

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

, W

#### <u>111 CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY</u>

ERIE COUNTY

## TABLE 2A

FELONY	AND	MISDEMEANOR	ARRESTS

	1982	Number of 1983	of Arrest: 	s 1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	20,780	19,971	20,580	20,421	2,102.3	18	-1.7
FELONY	5,669	5,010	5,185	5,242	539.6	10	-7.5
VIOLENT	2,064	1,997	2,106	2,040	210.0	8	-1.2
OTHER	3,605	3,013	3,079	3,202	329.6	19	-11.2
MISDEMEANOR	15,111	14,961	15,395	15,179	1,562.6	25	0.5

# SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

		% Change Jan-June	
	Number	82 Vs 86	
ARRESTS	10,418	1.8	
FELONY	2,682	2.1	
VIOLENT	1,087	10.6	
OTHER	1,595	-2.9	
MISDEMEANOR	7,736	1.6	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984</u> _	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	51	50	46	37	3.8	15	-27.5
FORCIBLE RAPE	126	176	143	144	14.8	7	14.3
ROBBERY	440	434	412	415	42.7	8	-5.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	794	800	892	906	93.3	7	14.1
BURGLARY	1,315	1,096	1,013	971	100.0	26	-26.2
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	4,337	3,863	4,171	4,261	438.7	8	-1.8

<sup>1</sup>NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

## ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF  $DRUGS^1$ 

	·	Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	<i>4</i> 0
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	1,286	1,296	1,354	1,591	163.8	7	23.7
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	900	918	973	956	98.4	10	6.2
POSSESSION	813	863	903	807	83.1	10	-0.7
SALE	87	55	70	149	15.3	18	71.3
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	386	378	381	635	65.4	7	64.5
POSSESSION	290	334	331	597	61.5	5	105.9
SALE	96	44	50	38	3.9	48	-60.4

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

•

## ERIE COUNTY

## TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

		Number of	- Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 sed	
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	4,721	4,967	4,952	4,232	6.7	43	-10.4
FELONY	177	244	249	288	0.5	36	62.7
MISDEMEANOR	4,544	4,723	4,703	3,944	6.3	42	-13.2

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

## Table 3A

ERIE COUNTY

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 8</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	1,516 100.0%	1,312 100.0%	1,356 100.0%	1, <b>497</b> 100.0%	-1.3
VIOLENT	658 43.4%	<b>502</b> 38.3%	<b>502</b> 37.0%	<b>470</b> 31.4%	-28.6
OTHER	858 56.6%	<b>810</b> 61.7%	<b>854</b> 63.0%	1,027 68.6%	19.7

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

## Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	568	-30.5	
VIOLENT	221	-12.6	
OTHER	347	-38.5	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

#### ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 4A

#### DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	16,956 100.0%	16,578 100.0%	<b>16,367</b> 100.0%	17,729 100.0%	4.6		
CONVICTIONS	10,131 59.7%	10,638 64.2%	10,593 64.7%	11,229 63.3%	10.8		
ACQUITTALS	<b>124</b> 0.7%	156 0.9%	141 0.9%	<b>211</b> 1.2%	70.2		
DISMISSALS	6;657 39.3%	<b>5,728</b> 34.6%	<b>5,581</b> 34.1%	6,196 34.9%	-6.9		
OTHER	<b>44</b> 0.3%	56 0.3%	52 0.3%	93 0.5%	111.4		

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	<b>4,352</b> 100.0%	<b>4,378</b> 100.0%	<b>4,177</b> 100.0%	<b>4,693</b> 100.0%	7.8
CONVICTIONS	<b>2,478</b> 56.9%	2,679 61.2%	<b>2,483</b> 59.4%	<b>2,74</b> 4 58.5%	10.7
ACQUITTALS	<b>52</b> 1.4%	<b>79</b> 1.8%	56 1.3%	89 1.9%	43.5
DISMISSALS	1,778 40.9%	1,572 35.9%	1,610 38.5%	1, <b>795</b> 38.2%	1.0
OTHER	34 0.8%	<b>48</b> 1.1%	28 0.7%	65 1.4%	91.2

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

)

1. 1. 1. 1. 2. a. 1.

ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 5A

## FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbe				
	1982	ny and Misde <u>1983</u>	<u>_1984_</u>	<u>_1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	<b>10,131</b> 100.0%	1 <b>0,638</b> 100.0%	<b>10,593</b> 100.0%	11,229 100.0%	10.8
FELONY	<b>595</b> 5.9%	<b>847</b> 8.0%	785 7.4%	<b>900</b> 8.0%	51.3
VIOLENT	198 2.0%	<b>264</b> 2.5%	<b>243</b> 2.3%	<b>241</b> 2.1%	21.7
OTHER	<b>397</b> 3.9%	583 5.5%	542 5.1%	659 5.9%	66.0
MISDEMEANOR	<b>3,395</b> 33.5%	<b>3,936</b> 37.0%	<b>3,708</b> 35.0%	<b>3,914</b> 34.9%	15.3
LESSER OFFENSES	<b>6,14</b> 1 60.6%	5,855 55.0%	6,100 57.6%	<b>6,415</b> 57.1%	4.5

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends

File.

#### TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	<b>2,478</b> 100.0%	<b>2,679</b> 100.0%	<b>2,483</b> 100.0%	<b>2,744</b> 100.0%	10.7			
FELONY	556 22.4%	<b>797</b> 29.8%	<b>741</b> 29.8%	833 30.4%	49.8			
VIOLENT	198 8.0%	264 9.9%	<b>243</b> 9.8%	241 8.8%	21.7			
OTHER	358 14.4%	533 19.9%	<b>498</b> 20.1%	<b>592</b> 21.6%	65.4			
MISDEMEANOR	1, <b>457</b> 58.8%	1,530 57.1%	1, <b>395</b> 56.2%	1, <b>523</b> 55.5%	4.5			
LESSER OFFENSES	<b>465</b> 18.8%	<b>352</b> 13.1%	<b>347</b> 14.0%	<b>388</b> 14.1%	-16.6			

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS<sup>1</sup>

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	_1983_	_1984	<u>1985</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	2.3	3.1	3.0	3.2				
LOCAL JAIL	17.4	16.6	18.9	18.4				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.0	3.3	3.2	2.7				
PROBATION	12.1	13.2	11.8	13.5				
FINES	46.1	42.8	42.6	39.9				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	19.2	21.0	20.5	22.3				

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS  $^{\rm 1}$ 

	10	82	10	83	10	84	10	985
		Misd &		Misd & Lesser		Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	<u>Felony</u>	Misd &
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	39.8	NA	38.7	NA	40.3	NA	39.7	NA
LOCAL JAIL	23.0	17.0	20.0	16.4	16.1	19.1	14.0	18.8
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	8.4	2.6	13.7	2.4	12.4	2.5	10.7	2.0
PROBATION	27.6	11.1	26.1	12.1	27.3	10.6	34.0	11.8
FINES	0.2	49.0	0.8	46.5	3.1	45.7	0.9	43.3
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.0	20.3	0.7	22.7	1.0	22.1	0.8	24.2

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ERIE COUNTY

## Table 7A-1

## PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases			1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	44 OL		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	5,086	4,849	5,153	5,350	550.8	49	5.2
INVESTIGATIONS	6,404	6,501	6,244	5,640	580.6	45	-11.9
FAMILY COURT	1,300	984	1,049	1,076	110.8	42	-17.2
CRIMINAL COURT	5,104	5,517	5,195	4,564	469.8	41	-10.6
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION		•		•			
CASES RECEIVED	196	210	0	0	0.0	53	-100.0
FAMILY COURT	196	210	0	0	0.0	44	-100.0
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

<sup>1</sup>Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

## Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases				1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	<i>.</i>	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	2,689	2,858	2,634	2,681	276.0	25	-0.3
FAMILY COURT	541	414	364	430	44.3	30	-20.5
CRIMINAL COURT	2,148	2,444	2,270	2,251	231.7	24	4.8
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	5,750	6,489	6,774	6,513	670.5	43	13.3
FAMILY COURT	954	915	843	787	81.0	32	-17.5
CRIMINAL COURT	4,796	5,574	5,931	5,726	589.5	42	19.4
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	3,632	4,140	3,867	4,304	443.1	48	18.5
FAMILY COURT	502	479	392	490	50.4	26	-2.4
CRIMINAL COURT	3,130	3,661	3,475	3,814	392.6	48	21.9

<sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ERIE COUNTY

j.

#### ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 7B

#### PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	umber of	Revocatic	198 Revocat Per 1, <u>Probati</u>	ions 000		
	1982	1983	_1984_	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	259	299	393	340	52.2	19	31.3
FAMILY COURT	88	86	78	69	87.7	21	-21.6
CRIMINAL COURT	171	213	315	271	47.3	20	58.5
TECHNICAL	111	139	225	191	33.4	16	72.1
NEW CONV.	60	74	90	80	14.0	36	33.3

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### TABLE 7C

## CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS<sup>1</sup>

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	FY 1985-86	
CLIENTS SERVED	6	60	110	

<sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

## III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY ERIE COUNTY

	COUNTY	JAIL AND	PENITENTI	ARY ADMISS	SIONS		
	_1982_	1983	1984	_1985_	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	12,231	11,085	11,604	11,369	1,170.4	34	-7.0
UNSENTENCED	10,502	9,394	9,932	9,779	1,006.7	19	-6.9
SENTENCED	1,729	1,691	1,672	1,590	163.7	53	-8.0

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

#### TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	<u>. 1985</u>	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	986	1,025	980	980	100.9	12	-0.6
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	638	720	692	636	65.5	33	-0.3
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	700	655	537	519	53.4	37	-25.9
UNSENTENCED	434	423	369	363	37.4	26	-16.4
SENTENCED	266	232	168	156	16.1	45	-41.4

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 9A

#### NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>Ne</u> 1982	Numbe w Court ( 1983	er of Commitmen 1984	<u>ts</u> 19851	1985 Cc Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	238	307	338	313	32.2	22	31.5
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	133	172	180	154	15.9	23	15.8
HOMICIDE	16	27	24	19	2.0	22	18.8
SEX OFFENSE	17	20	25	26	2.7	25	52.9
ROBBERY	55	62	55	32	3.3	17	-41.8
BURGLARY	22	35	50	57	5.9	21	159.1
WEAPONS	. 7	7	5	6	0.6	16	-14.3
OTHER	16	21	. 21	14	1.4	30	-12.5
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	9	8	29	18	1.9	39	100.0
POSSESSION	5	5	18	12	1.2	26	140.0
SALE	4	3	11	6	0.6	40	50.0
OTHER FELONIES	91	119	124	133	13.7	26	46.2
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5	8	5	8	0.8	34	60.0

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

<sup>2</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY<sup>1</sup> IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

			s Under C <u>on Decemb</u> 1984		Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
UNDER CUSTODY	774	825	922	928	95.5	17	19.9
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	503	561	633	639	65.8	16	27.0
HOMICIDE	173	188	198	202	20.8	8	16.8
SEX OFFENSE	56	64	80	91	9.4	23	62.5
ROBBERY	156	172	178	153	15.8	15	-1.9
BURGLARY	45	56	94	122	12.6	21	171.1
WEAPONS	18	19	18	12	1.2	23	-33.3
OTHER	55	62	65	59	6.1	23	7.3
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	23	30	45	38	3.9	37	65.2
POSSESSION	15	20	28	26	2.7	23	73.3
SALE	8	10	17	12	1.2	46	50.0
OTHER FELONIES	235	221	229	241	24.8	23	2.6
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	13	13	15	10	1.0	34	-23.1

<sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

<sup>2</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as vialent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), see of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	_1984_	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	2.7%	2.7%	2.8%	2.7%

<sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

With the second second

ERIE COUNTY

#### TABLE 10A

## PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number_of</u> _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	350	415	370	335	34.5	17	-4.3
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	888	998	1,039	977	100.6	17	10.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	583	669	642	607	62.5	22	4.1

<sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

#### TABLE 10B

**REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES** 

		Number of	Parolees		1985 Revocat Per 1, Parole	ions 000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	94	93	110	114	116.7	12	21.3
RULE VIOLATIONS	27	18	9	19	19.4	22	-29.6
NEW ARRESTS	57	64	77	79	80.9	5	38.6
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	10	11	24	16	16.4	31	60.0

<sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year. SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

#### IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

#### ERIE COUNTY

#### Table 1

#### COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	an a	Expend	ditures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Department of Correctional Services	308.5	373.7	350.2	13.5%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	142.0	151.8	255.8	80.1%	245.7	311.1
Division of Criminal Justice Services	2,511.0	3,480.2	4,711.6	87.6%	3,666.7	4,326.2
Division of Parole	55.0	79.2	179.4	226.2%	183.4	235.3
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	1,447.5	1,564.1	1,641.2	13.4%	2,024.2	2,235.6
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	4,837.8	4,824.1	4,707.7	-2.7%	16,861.0	9,380.2
TOTAL	9,301.8	10,473.2	11,845.9	27.4%	22,981.1	16,488.4

<sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

ERIE COUNTY

## Table 2

#### DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u> <u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>					
Felons	276.7	334.3	276.6	0%	en e
Parole Violators	26.4	35.5	68.6	160.5%	
Community Contract Housing <sup>2</sup>		.0	.6	NA	
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	5.5	4.0	4.3	-21.4%	
TOTAL	308.5	373.7	350.2	13.5%	.0 .0

 $^{1}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

## IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

ERIE COUNTY

## Table 3

#### CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

· ·		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	82.1	89.1	124.1	51.2%	121.4	311.1	
Court Related	59.9	62.7	131.7	119.7%	124.3	.0	
TOTAL	.142.0	151.8	255.8	80.1%	245.7	311.1	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

#### IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

ERIE COUNTY

#### Table 4

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative <sup>1</sup>			55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention and Protection <sup>2</sup>		56.5	90.0	NA	106.5	129.7
Indigent Parolee Prog. <sup>3</sup>	8.9	5.7	12.7	43.0%	<b></b>	
Justice Assistance Act <sup>4</sup>	<b></b>			NA	82.5	202.3
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	45.0	45.0	73.7	63.9%	.0	74.4
Major Offense Police Program	720.0	763.2	816.6	13.4%	849.3	866.3
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>2</sup>		500.0	1,055.0	NA	.0	542.0
Neighborhood Preservation Crime <sup>5</sup> Prevention Act	<b></b>	120.0	192.8	NA	195.0	

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{2}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>3</sup>Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{4}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

 $^{5}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Photosoft

ERIE COUNTY

#### Table 4 (continued)

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>6</sup>			56.8	NA		<b></b>	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>7</sup>			146.0	NA	134.0	139.4	
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>8</sup>	1,737.2	1,989.8	2,212.4	27.4%	2,299.5	2,372.1	
TOTAL	2.511.0	3,480,2	4.711.6	87.6%	3.666.7	4.326.2	

<sup>6</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>7</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

<sup>8</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

ERIE COUNTY

## Table 5

#### DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Expenditures				Appropriations	
<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
55.0	79.2	65.4	18.9%	61.7	105.1
	.0	114.0	NA	121.7	130.2
55.0	79.2	179.4	226.2%	183.4	235.3
	55.0	<u>FY 82-3</u> <u>FY 83-4</u> 55.0 79.2 0	<u>FY 82-3</u> <u>FY 83-4</u> <u>FY 84-5</u> 55.0 79.2 65.4 0 114.0	% Change   FY 82-3 FY 83-4 FY 84-5 % Change   55.0 79.2 65.4 18.9%    .0 114.0 NA	% Change   FY 82-3 FY 83-4 FY 84-5 82 vs 84 FY 85-6   55.0 79.2 65.4 18.9% 61.7    .0 114.0 NA 121.7

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

ERIE COUNTY

## Table 6

#### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>				NA	173.5	180.5
Demonstration Projects	84.3	79.1	103.5	22.9%	287.0	365.8
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	232.7	246.9	273.4	17.5%	355.4	375.7
Regular State Aid	1,130.5	1,238.1	1,264.3	11.8%	1,208.3	1,313.7
TOTAL	1,447.5	1,564.1	1,641.2	13.4%	2,024.2	2,235.6

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

## IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

ERIE COUNTY

ŀ

7

## Table 7

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Community-Based Organizations <sup>1</sup>				NA	.0	145.0
Detention						
Secure	146.8	.0	.0	-100.0%	2,293.0	690.7
Non-Secure	380.3	.0	.0	-100.0%	1,960.2	677.1
Job Development <sup>2</sup>		×	<del></del> ,	NA		164.7
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	87.3	100.4	122.3	40.1%	139.8	138.8
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	1,918.0	2,505.8	2,413.5	25.8%	2,225.5	1,751.6
Special Legislative Contracts	114.0	173.5	169.3	48.5%	436.3	856.8
<sup>1</sup> This program was imp	lemented in	FY 1984-	85.			

 $^{2}\mathrm{Th}\,\mathrm{is}$  program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

9

-

ERIE COUNTY

## Table 7 (continued)

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Transitional Independent Living <sup>1</sup> Support	<b></b>		• • • •	NA	.0	130.1
Voluntary Agency Care	99.0	.0	.0	-100.0%	4,700.4	2,152.7
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	2,092.3	2,044.4	2,002.6	-4.3%	5,105.9	2,672.8
TOTAL	4,837.8	4,824.1	4,707.7	-2.7%	16,861.0	9,380.2

 $^{1}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

.....

ERIE COUNTY

	Tab	ole 1A		
American and a state of the state of the state of the	CAPACITY AN	ID STAFF SUMMARY		<u></u>
		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	· •
	DOCS Facilities	1,448	905	
	DFY Facilities	192	262	
	TOTAL	1,640	1,167	

## Table 1B

## OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	<u></u>	Expen	Appropriations			
	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84		<u>FY 86-7</u>
DOCS Facilities	1,999.4	13,727.6	20,981.5	949.4%	22,645.5	32,116.8
DFY Facilities	4,771.3	6,177.3	7,035.3	47.5%	6,308.1	7,417.1
TOTAL	6,770.7	19,904.9	28,106.8	313.8%	28,953.6	39,533.9

## Table 1C

CAPITAL 1MPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
DOCS Facilities	21,064.1	11,489.9	32,553.9	2,100.0	2,079.0
DFY Facilities	120.4	408.5	528.9	848.0	542.0
TOTAL	21,184.5	11,898.4	33,082.9	2,948.0	2,621.0
ERIE COUNTY

# Table 2A

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security Level	<u>Capacity</u>	Staff	
Collins	Medium	1,024	561	
Wende	Maximum	424	344	
TOTAL		1,448	905	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

## Table 2B

# DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations			
Facility	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Collins	1,999.4	9,380.1	14,928.9	646.7%	16,499.7	18,288.5
Wende <sup>1</sup>	<b>س</b> ت	4,347.5	6,052.6	NA	6,145.8	13,828.3
TOTAL	1,999.4	13,727.6	20,981.5	949.4%	22,645.5	32,116.8

1 The facility opened in October of 1983.

î.

ERIE COUNTY

## Table 2C

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations			
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>		FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Collins	1,064.1	163.3	1,227.4	.0	55.0	
Wende <sup>1</sup>	20,000.0	11,326.5	31,326.5	2,100.0	2,024.0	
TOTAL	21,064,1	11,489.9	32,553.9	2,100.0	2,079.0	

<sup>1</sup> The facility opened in October of 1983.

ERIE COUNTY

# Table 3A

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Levell	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>
Buffalo Community Urban Home	VI	21	16
Buffalo Youth Development Center	۷	50	62
Elmwood Urban Center	VI	21	19
Masten Park Şecure Center	Ι.	100	165
TOTAL		192	262

<sup>1</sup> Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

# ERIE COUNTY

# Table 3B

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Approp	Appropriations		
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Buffalo Community Urban Home	391.4	456.8	396.8	1.4%	420.0	453.9
Buffalo Youth Development Center	1,011.1	1,282.6	1,548.6	53.2%	1,225.7	1,301.3
Elmwood Urban Center	443.0	437.5	494.4	11.6%	647.8	559.1
Masten Park Secure Center	2,925.8	4,000.4	4,595.5	57.1%	4,014.6	5,102.8
TOTAL	4,771.3	6,177.3	7,035.3	47.5%	6,308.1	7,417.1

ERIE COUNTY

## Table 3C

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	<u> </u>	xpenditures		Appropriations			
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Biffalo Community Urban Home	.0	.0	.0	28.0	94.0		
Buffalo Youth Development Center	5.0	.0	5.0	76.0	149.0		
Elmwood Urban Center	25.4	15.4	40.8	.0	5.0		
Masten Park Secure Center	90.0	393.1	483.1	744.0	294.0		
TOTAL	120.4	408.5	528.9	848.0	542.0		
**************************************							

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

NIAGARA COUNTY

## **REGIONAL AGENCIES**

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020 New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Lockport, New York 14094

Probation Department Room 310, Civic Building 775 Third Street Niagara Falls, New York 14301

Public Defender's Office Court House Lockport, New York 14094 Sheriff's Department 5526 Niagara Street Extension Lockport, New York 14094

Youth Bureau 5465 Upper Mountain Road Lockport, New York 14094

County Court Court House Lockport, New York 14094

Family Court Court House Lockport, New York 14094

#### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

City of Niagara Falls Police Department Public Safety Building Niagara Falls, New York 14301 City of North Tonawanda Police Department City Hall, 216 Payne Avenue North Tonawanda, New York 14120

\*Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

NIAGARA COUNTY

Niagara County is located in the Buffalo region and Lockport is its county seat. In area the County covers 526 square miles.

#### <u>Population</u>

SHOULD BE AN

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 227,354 persons (ranked 17) has changed -3.5 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 432.2 persons per square mile (ranked 15). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 216,493 persons (ranked 17).

#### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 6.1 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 17), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.8 percent of the County's population (ranked 34). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 31.7 percent of the County's population (ranked 41).

#### Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 16.2 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 35), and 11.5 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 47). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 4.4 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 20), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$12,041 in 1984 (ranked 20).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 8.8 percent (about 8,200 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 12). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 17,563, or 8.0 percent of the population (ranked 4 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

\* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

. ,

## NIAGARA COUNTY

# Table 1

# INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number c	f Crimes		1985 Crimes Per 100,000 Population		01 OL	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
INDEX CRIME	10,734	10,479	9,908	9,692	4,403.7	12	-9.7	
VIOLENT	775	698	814	803	364.9	12	3.6	
MURDER	4	8	4	3	1.4	44	-25.0	
FORCIBLE RAPE	57	37	56	52	23.6	16	-8.8	
ROBBERY	315	266	278	215	97.7	13	-31.7	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	399	387	476	533	242.2	16	33.6	
PROPERTY	9,959	9,781	9,094	8,889	4,038.8	11	-10.7	
BURGLARY	2,785	2,823	2,293	2,520	1,145.0	13	-9.5	
LARCENY	6,499	6,463	6,223	5,834	2,650.8	9	-10.2	
MV THEFT	675	495	578	535	243.1	11	-20.7	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

NIAGARA COUNTY

# TABLE 2A

FEL	ONY	AND	MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	

	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	of Arrests _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	3,504	3,637	3,701	4,016	1,855.0	32	14.6
FELONY	923	885	889	919	424.5	22	-0.4
VIOLENT	348	320	294	329	152.0	14	-5.5
OTHER	575	565	595	590	272.5	31	2.6
MISDEMEANOR	2,581	2,752	2,812	3,097	1,430.5	33	20.0

# SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	2,033	1.3
FELONY	484	10.8
VIOLENT	191	18.6
OTHER	293	6.2
MISDEMEANOR	1,549	-1.3

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

	1982	Number c 1983	of Arrests _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	6	8	8	6	2.8	25	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	19	6	8	11	5.1	31	-42.1
ROBBERY	87	62	58	57	26.3	16	-34.5
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	128	97	110	137	63.3	16	7.0
BURGLARY	251	260	210	237	109.5	16	-5.6
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	647	738	777	772	356.6	24	19.3

<sup>1</sup>NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NIAGARA COUNTY

## TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS<sup>1</sup>

		Number of Arrests			1985 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>			
	_1982_	1983_	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DRUG ARRESTS	99	99	100	109	50.3	36	10.1	
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	72	74	59	79	36.5	31	9.7	
POSSESSION	63	68	52	61	28.2	31	-3.2	
SALE	9	6	7	18	8.3	32	100.0	
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	27	25	41	30	13.9	48	11.1	
POSSESSION	22	24	31	18	8.3	50	-18.2	
SALE	5	1	10	12	5.5	38	140.0	

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File. 

## TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

	1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Number of Arrests Drivers						11. Oh en ere		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	835	968	1,003	1,238	8.2	29	48.3		
FELONY	42	56	32	33	0.2	48	-21.4		
MISDEMEANOR	793	912	971	1,205	8.0	27	52.0		

<sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NIAGARA COUNTY

## Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	<u>Nur</u> 1982	<u>nber of Feld</u> _ <u>1983</u>	ony Indictme 1984	<u>ents</u> 1985	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	316 100.0%	<b>360</b> 100.0%	<b>379</b> 100.0%	<b>343</b> 100.0%	8.5
VIOLENT	<b>96</b> 30.4%	129 35.8%	<b>95</b> 25.1%	98 28.6%	2.1
OTHER	<b>220</b> 69,6%	<b>231</b> 64.2%	<b>284</b> 74.9%	<b>245</b> 71.4%	11.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

## Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	•
FELONY INDICTMENTS	176	-3.8	
VIOLENT	59	7.3	
OTHER	117	-8.6	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

#### NIAGARA COUNTY

#### TABLE 4A

## DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>    1985    </u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	<b>3,241</b> 100.0%	<b>3,455</b> 100.0%	<b>3,119</b> 100.0%	<b>3,839</b> 100.0%	18.5		
CONVICTIONS	2,359 72.8%	2,552 73.9%	2,391 76.7%	<b>3,005</b> 78.3%	27.4		
ACQUITTALS	18 0.6%	<b>26</b> 0.8%	16 0.5%	36 0.9%	100.0		
DISMISSALS	853 26.3%	859 24.9%	700 22.4%	787 20.5%	-7.7		
OTHER	11 0.3%	18 0.5%	12 0.4%	11 0.3%	0.0		

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NIAGARA COUNTY

#### TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	• • •			
	_ <u>1983_</u>	<u>_1984</u>	<u>_1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
826	823	<b>732</b>	8 <b>45</b>	2.3
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
<b>644</b>	661	<b>597</b>	<b>670</b>	4.0
78.0%	80.3%	81.6%	79.3%	
<b>9</b>	12	<b>7</b>	10	11.1
1.1%	1.5%	1.0%	1.2%	
168	144	128	<b>161</b>	-4.2
20.3%	17.5%	17.5%	19.1%	
5	6	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	-20.0
0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	
	Res <u>1982</u> 826 100.0% 644 78.0% 9 1.1% 168 20.3% 5	Resulting from     1982   1983     826   823     100.0%   100.0%     644   661     78.0%   80.3%     9   12     1.1%   1.5%     168   144     20.3%   17.5%	Resulting from Felony Arres198219831984826823732100.0%100.0%100.0%64466159778.0%80.3%81.6%91271.1%1.5%1.0%16814412820.3%17.5%17.5%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 5A

## FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests				
		<u>_1983_</u>	<u>_1984</u> _	<u>1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
CONVICTIONS	<b>2,359</b> 100.0%	2,552 100.0%	<b>2,391</b> 100.0%	<b>3,005</b> 100.0%	27.4	
FELONY	137 5.8%	180 7.1%	132 5.5%	168 5.6%	22.6	
VIOLENT	32 1.4%	55 2.2%	22 0.9%	<b>34</b> 1.1%	6.3	
OTHER	105 4.5%	125 4.9%	110 4.6%	134 4.5%	27.6	
MISDEMEANOR	<b>967</b> 41.0%	1,019 39.9%	849 35.5%	1,091 36.3%	12.8	
LESSER OFFENSES	1, <b>255</b> 53.2%	1,353 53.0%	1,410 59.0%	1,746 58.1%	39.1	

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### NIAGARA COUNTY

#### TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE<sub>1</sub>CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbe				
	1982	<u>ulting from</u>		<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	<b>644</b> 100.0%	<b>661</b> 100.0%	<b>597</b> 100.0%	<b>670</b> 100.0%	4.0
FELONY	131 20.3%	168 25.4%	1 <b>29</b> 21.6%	159 23.7%	21.4
VIOLENT	32 5.0%	55 8.3%	22 3.7%	34 5.1%	6.3
OTHER	<b>99</b> 15.4%	113 17.1%	107 17.9%	125 18.7%	26.3
MISDEMEANOR	<b>429</b> 66.6%	395 59.8%	368 61.6%	<b>429</b> 64.0%	0.0
LESSER OFFENSES	84 13.0%	<b>98</b> 14.8%	100 16.8%	82 12.2%	-2.4

<sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS<sup>1</sup>

	Se	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	_1983_	1984	<u>1985</u> 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	3.1	3.1	2.3	2.1					
LOCAL JAIL	20.1	20.5	18.4	22.0					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	4.2	2.3	2.8					
PROBATION	10.2	9.2	11.0	10.9					
FINES	48.2	48.0	49.9	48.9					
OTHER/UNKNOWN	15.3	14.9	16.2	13.3					
and the second	and the second		in the second	and the second					

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NIAGARA COUNTY

#### TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS  $^{\rm 1}$ 

		Sei	ntences a	<u>s a Perc</u>	<u>cent of C</u>	Convicti	ons	
		82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & Lesser		)84 Misd & Lesser		85 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	53.3	NA	43.9	NA	40.9	NA	38.1	NA
LOCAL JAIL	19.7	20.1	28.9	19.9	15.9	18.6	23.8	21.9
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.4	2.4	5.6	4.1	4.5	2.1	4.2	2.7
PROBATION	19.7	9.6	20.6	8.3	36.4	9.5	. 33.3	9.6
FINES	0.7	51.8	0.0	51.7	0.0	52.8	0.0	51.7
OTHER/UNKNOWN	2.2	16.1	1.1	16.0	2.3	17.0	0.6	14.1

<sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File. )

- THE CHEN

-

# Table 7A-1

## PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases			1985 Ca Per 100 Populat		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	1,545	1,765	1,790	2,083	962.2	31	34.8
INVESTIGATIONS	1,181	1,232	1,287	1,445	667.5	36	22.4
FAMILY COURT	286	276	343	319	147.3	31	11.5
CRIMINAL COURT	895	956	944	1,126	520.1	32	25.8
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	Ó	0	. <b>0</b>	0	0.0	53	NA
FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

<sup>1</sup>Intake occurs only in family court.

NIAGARA COUNTY

#### Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		() Channe
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	489	547	524	603	278.5	24	23.3
FAMILY COURT	100	91	82	84	38.8	36	-16.0
CRIMINAL COURT	389	456	442	519	239.7	19	33.4
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	1,190	1,270	1,346	1,417	654.5	46	19.1
FAMILY COURT	178	164	138	135	62.4	44	-24.2
CRIMINAL COURT	1,012	1,106	1,208	1,282	592.2	41	26.7
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	723	822	814	971	448.5	47	34.3
FAMILY COURT	73	56	51	65	30.0	45	-11.0
CRIMINAL COURT	650	766	763	906	418.5	44	39.4

<sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

NIAGARA COUNTY

## TABLE 7B

# PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	umber of	<u>Revocati</u>	<u>ons</u>	198 Revocat Per 1, <u>Probati</u>				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
REVOCATIONS	56	59	63	65	45.9	28	16.1		
FAMILY COURT	10	10	18	17	125.9	7	70.0		
CRIMINAL COURT	46	49	45	48	37.4	4 <u>1</u>	4.3		
TECHNICAL	19	19	24	20	15.6	43	5.3		
NEW CONV.	27	30	21	28	21.8	22	3.7		

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### TABLE 7C

## CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS<sup>1</sup>

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>	
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0	

<sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

NIAGARA COUNTY

		TA	BLE 8A							
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS										
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85			
ADMISSIONS	2,558	2,471	2,356	2,649	1,223.6	29	3.6			
UNSENTENCED	1,880	1,814	1,826	1,994	921.0	27	6.1			
SENTENCED	678	657	530	655	302.6	29	-3.4			

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TAB	LE 81	B
-----	-------	---

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

		4			1985 In Per 100	,000	<i>at</i> 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	<u>10n</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	174	208	212	212	97.9	14	21.8
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	149	144	149	183	84.5	16	22.8
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	125	119	135	151	69.7	23	20.8
UNSENTENCED	77	80	88	76	35.1	29	-1.3
SENTENCED	48	39	47	75	34.6	10	56.2

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

#### TABLE 9A

## NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	Ne		per of <u>Commitmen</u> 1984	<u>ts</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	85	74	65	68	31.4	24	-20.0
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	27	32	29	25	11.5	31	-7.4
HOMICIDE	6	2	3	4	1.8	24	-33.3
SEX OFFENSE	4	9	4	2	0.9	45	-50.0
ROBBERY	8	10	10	8	3.7	16	0.0
BURGLARY	5	8	6	7	3.2	36	40.0
WEAPONS	1	0	4	1	0.5	20	0.0
OTHER	3	3	2	3	1.4	32	0.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	1	5	2	6	2.8	29	500.0
POSSESSION	0	2	2	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	1	3	• 0	6	2.8	19	500.0
OTHER FELONIES	54	35	34	36	16.6	15	-33.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 3	2	0	1	0.5	39	-66.7

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

and the second major of the

<sup>2</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

NIAGARA COUNTY

TA	BL	Е	9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY<sup>1</sup> IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

	Number c in State 1982	of Inmates Prison ( 1983	s Under C on Decemb 1984		Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	194	207	188	197	91.0	19	1.5
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	85	104	103	111	51.3	18	30.6
HOMICIDE	35	35	32	35	16.2	17	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	16	23	21	21	9.7	21	31.3
ROBBERY	20	23	22	22	10.2	21	10.0
BURGLARY	6	13	13	16	7.4	34	166.7
WEAPONS	1	1	5	6	2.8	14	500.0
OTHER	7	9	10	11	5.1	25	57.1
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	5	9	9	12	5.5	27	140.0
POSSESSION	3	4	6	6	2.8	22	100.0
SALE	2	5	3	6	2.8	32	200.0
OTHER FELONIES	98	88	75	73	33.7	10	-25.5
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	6	6	1	1	0.5	40	-83.3

 $^1\,{\rm Inmates}$  under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

<sup>2</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

.

Ĩ

<u>(</u>

NIAGARA COUNTY

#### TABLE 9B-1

# THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	_1982_	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%

<sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this ounty. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

NIAGARA COUNTY

# TABLE 10A

## PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982_	<u>Number_of</u> _ <u>1983_</u>	<u>Parolees</u> 1984	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	105	99	104	102	47.1	11	-2.9
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	236	223	246	262	121.0	12	11.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	124	142	160	174	80.4	12	40.3

<sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

## TABLE 10B

#### REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1000	ويتحدث والمستحد والم	Parolees	1005	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u>	ions 000 <u>es</u>	% Change
	<u>1982</u>	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	38	25	16	17	64.9	30	-55.3
RULE VIOLATIONS	14	5	2	3	11.5	30	-78.6
NEW ARRESTS	23	20	12	12	45.8	22	-47.8
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	0	2	2	7.6	34	100.0

<sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NIAGARA COUNTY

## Table 1

#### COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

an dalaman dari mangan yang dan sang dari sa sang sa sang sa sang sa sang sa sang sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa s		Expend	······································	Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Department of Correctional Services	147.2	122.8	123.2	-16.3%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	150.0	307.0	NA	384.3	313.1
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NÁ	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	272.1	288.3	298.7	9.8%	321.1	334.8
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	1,006.1	1,049.3	1,135.6	12.9%	2,487.8	1,674.2
TOTAL	1,425.4	1,610.4	1,864.6	30.8%	3,193.2	2,322.1

<sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

NIAGARA COUNTY

# Table 2

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

· .		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>						
Felons	125.6	107.9	95.6	-23.9%		
Parole Violators	17.5	13.0	26.5	51.6%		<b></b> .
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	4.1	1.9	1.2	-71.4%		
TOTAL	147.2	122.8	123.2	-16.3%	.0	.0

<sup>1</sup>Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

¢,

NJAGARA COUNTY

#### Table 3

#### CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

NIAGARA COUNTY

#### Table 4

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures Appropriat				iations
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative <sup>1</sup>			55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention and Protection <sup>2</sup>		.0	.0	NA	.0	75.0
Justice Assistance Act <sup>3</sup>		• • •	· · ·	NA	17.9	.0
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>2</sup>		.0	.0	NA	95.5	.0
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act4	<b></b>	.0	30.1	NA	18.0	.0
Special Warrant <sup>5</sup>	-		50.2	NA	75.0	53.5
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>6</sup>	.0	150.0	171.1	NA	177.9	184.6
TOTAL	.0	150.0	307.0	NA	384.3	313.1

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{2}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{3}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

 $^{4}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

<sup>5</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

<sup>6</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

•

Street States

200 A

NIAGARA COUNTY

# Table 5

#### DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

NIAGARA COUNTY

# Table 6

#### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	-	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing						
Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	19.6
Regular State Aid	272.1	288.3	298.7	9.8%	321.1	315.2
TOTAL	272.1	288.3	298.7	9.8%	321.1	334.8

9

ļ

P

## NIAGARA COUNTY

## Table 7

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	EV 84-5	% Change		
		11 04-3	<u>82 vs 84</u>	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
		•			
7.2	9.7	1.5	-79.5%	4.3	2.0
17.1	65.4	44.4	159.1%	130.3	75.9
44.5	56.8	61.2	37.6%	70.2	70.9
154.7	145.6	138.6	-10.4%	145.6	145.6
.0	.0	15.0	NA	60.0	108.2
ی ۱۹۹۵ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹			NA	.0	41.4
251.0	255.7	367.5	46.4%	728.4	522.9
531.6	516.1	507.4	-4.6%	1,348.9	707.3
1,006.1	1,049.3	1,135.6	12.9%	2,487.8	1,674.2
	17.1 44.5 154.7 .0  251.0 531.6 1,006.1	17.1 65.4 44.5 56.8 154.7 145.6 .0 .0  251.0 255.7 531.6 516.1 1,006.1 1,049.3	17.1 $65.4$ $44.4$ $44.5$ $56.8$ $61.2$ $154.7$ $145.6$ $138.6$ .0.0 $15.0$ $$ $$ $251.0$ $255.7$ $367.5$ $531.6$ $516.1$ $507.4$	17.1 65.4 44.4 159.1%   44.5 56.8 61.2 37.6%   154.7 145.6 138.6 -10.4%   .0 .0 15.0 NA     NA   251.0 255.7 367.5 46.4%   531.6 516.1 507.4 -4.6%   1,006.1 1,049.3 1,135.6 12.9%	17.1 $65.4$ $44.4$ $159.1%$ $130.3$ $44.5$ $56.8$ $61.2$ $37.6%$ $70.2$ $154.7$ $145.6$ $138.6$ $-10.4%$ $145.6$ .0.0 $15.0$ NA $60.0$ .0.0 $15.0$ NA $60.0$ .0.0 $15.0$ NA $60.0$ .10.0 $15.0$ NA $60.0$ .10.0 $15.0$ NA $60.0$ .10.0 $15.0$ NA $60.0$ .10.0 $15.0$ .0 $10.0$ .10.0.0 $15.0$ .0.10.0.0 $15.0$ .0.10.0.0.0

NIAGARA COUNTY

## Table 3A

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Level <sup>1</sup>	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Niagara Falls Contract Homes <sup>2</sup>	VI	14		
TOTAL				

<sup>1</sup> Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

<sup>2</sup> Contracted services.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

#### Table 3B

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Facility	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Niagara Falls Contract Homes	137.5	144.6	147.2	7.1%	159.8	165.0

NIAGARA COUNTY

# Table 3C

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	: 	Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Niagara Falls Contract Homes		0	•	0			

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.
APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

## EXPLANATORY NOTES

## ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

<u>Percent changes</u> are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the <u>percent change</u> is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calander year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

<u>Rates</u> are <u>ranked</u> from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the <u>rank calculations</u> do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates.

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all <u>population-based rate calculations</u> presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual <u>NYS Crime and Justice</u> publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed dirvers in New York State that was used to calulate the <u>rate of DWI</u> <u>arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

## SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

## SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)

- NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
- o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
- o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- New York State Statisical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

## SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the <u>offense classification</u> for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables: Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

## SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the state's fiscal year.

## SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

<u>DOCS capacity</u> data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. <u>DFY tapacity</u> data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

<u>DOCS staff</u> data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while <u>DFY staff</u> data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers. . TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section 111: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

Q

Table		Fopulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Jable I	INDET CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York State for a given year.	Victia-based: murder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-based: burglary Event-based: robbery larceny texcluding motor vehicle theft Veticle-based: motor vehicle theft The unit of count is based on the most serious offense in a crime event.	Wat applicable.	FBI index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	HYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.
	FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS; January through June, 1986 ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult •	Felony Arrests Hisdemeanor Arrests	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Frocessing Trends File.
Table 20	ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 thru PL 220.43; Maribuana; PL 221.10 thru PL 221.53),	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E	FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS For dui offenses	Adult felony and aisdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Crininal Justice Services, DWL Arrest and Processing Trends File.

1 Continued on the next page. )

N

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

0

A-4

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 3A Table 3B	FELORY INDICTMENTS FELONY INDICTMENTS: January through June, 1986	Felony indictaents and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against a defendant is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect indictments/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult 4 Juvenile Offender	Felony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	WYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.
Table 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEREANOR ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1969.	Disposition based; counts are based & the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. "Other" dispositions	Adult s	Convictions fors Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 4B	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	include cases for which prosecution was declined by either the prosecutor or the grand jury and cases disposed for reasons other than those already mentioned (e.g., cases disposed by civil procedure or abated by the death of the defendant).		(Not applicable for acquittal, dismissal, and *other* disposi- tions.}	
Table 5A	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at	Adult =	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 5B	FELONY, MISDEREANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			

( Continued on the next page. )

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crise and Crisinal Justice Activity - Continued

lable		Fogulation	Unit of Count	Olfender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 6A Table 68	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR Misdemeanor and lesser offense convictions	Conviction dispositions, for which seatences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence offense is counted. "Other and Unknown" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoted inithout fine) and cases for which the t,pe of sentence was unknown.	Adult s	Convictions for: Felony Dffenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Eriainal Justic Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 7A-I	I PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, Investigation, and pre-disposition Supervision cases	Intake cases received during a given year.	Ccoplaint-based; each complaint is complaint as one case.	Family Court: Adult + Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested fors Falony Offense Misdemeanor Offense	KYS Division of Protation and Correctional Alternatives:
		Investigations ordered during a given year.	l:vestigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need.of Supervision (PINS) Criminal Courts	Lesser Offense - only Juvenile Deling. Status Offense -	Family Court - Annual compila of the "Monthly Report of Fam Norkload in Probation Departm
		Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult Juvenile Offender	only PINS	(Fora No. DP-30). Criainal Court - Annual coapi
Table 7A-1	2 PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES	Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for:	tion of the "Monthly Report of Criminal Workload in Probatic Departments (Form No. SP-30A)
		Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year li.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Misdemeanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling Status Dffense - only PINS	investigation and pre-dispos data, and the Probation Registrant System for all ot data.
		Probation supervision cases under supervision on Jecember 31 of a given year.				
Table 78	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one revocation.			
Table 7C	CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL Alternative programs	Clients served by "demonstration" alternative programs; clients served by "classification" alternative programs are not included.	Client-based; each client served by an açency is counted.	Adult = Juvenile Offenders	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Nisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Probation an Correctional Alternatives Alternative to Incarceration Bureau.

{ Continued on the next page, )

## TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

lable		Fopulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table BA	JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS Jail & Penitentiary Capacity and Population	Admissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/NVC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses. A census of both detained and sentenced inmates in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criminal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow: Inmates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and The daily average of inmates under custody during a given year.	Adaission based. It is important to note that if an individual is adaitted abre than once on the same charge, each adaission is counted. Population is inmate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" sopulations.	Adult I	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Hisdemeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced admissions: Hisdemeanor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	NYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1905 Sheriffs' Annual Reports' included the following caveat: " The Sheriffs' Annual Reports represent self- reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practice: among these various counties The Commission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another."
		A census of beds in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and peniten- tiary census was taken on a different day during a given year.	Capacity is based on beds; the count differs by region: New York City Department of Correction counts reflect "beds at standard" (one inmate per cell; 60 square feet per inmate; and no more than 50 in a dorm) The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards. Non-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per ceil) and dormitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms		Hot applicable.	

( Continued on the next page. )

A-6

#### TABLE 1 Characteristics of Bala Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

	Table	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Population	Un:l of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reaaris
				······································			······
	Table 9A-1	ADVISSIONS TO HEN YORK STATE PRISON	Adaissions to NYS prison during a given year.	incite based; parole detainees are not counted as pari of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult +	Felony Convictions	NYS Department of Correctional Services,
	Table 9A-2 Table 9A	NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE FRISOM	New court coamitments to NYS prison during a given year,	most serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent feionies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts may differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DOCS.
	Table 98	INNATES UNDER CUSIODY IN STATE PRISON On december 31	A ceasus of inmates under custody in NYS prison on Decesber 31 of a given year.				
	Table 9C	PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainees in WYS prison on December 31.	Detainee based.			
	Table 10A	PAROLE SUFERVISION CASES	Inmates released to the NYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based (one person per case).	Adult e Juvenile Offender	Convictions for: relony Offenses Misdemennor Offenses	NYS Division of Parole. The Increase in parole revocation resulting from new felony convic-
A-7			Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).				lions reflects legislative change and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passage of legislation, incorporated into the Division's rules and regula-
			Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				tions effective November 1, 1984 authorizing the Parole Board to issue a final declaration of de- linguency for releasees convicted
	Table 108	REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoked and the parolee returned to prison during a given year,				of new felonies committed under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A fina declaration of delinquency strea
							lines the violation process in these cases by obviating the nee for a final revocation hearing. The streamlined process has resulted in an increased propor- tion of returns for new convic- tions.

# APPENDIX B

South States

1

Ŕ

「有日気の言言

1.240-000

Augusta a

10.14 19.14

1.1041/0-102

100

N. O.S.

146-927-14

and the second

100

あまった

dellar.

# CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985

## TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES FER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	TOT# Inde					VIOLE	NT INDEX C	RIKES								PROPER	TY INDEX C	RINES		
	CRI		Tota	1	Hurd	ler	Forcible	e Rape	Robbi	ery	Agg. As	rault	Tota	ıl	Burgl	ary	Larci	euà	KV T	heft
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Aan
ALBANY	4,530.6	н	309.4	18	1.7	39	21.0	18	92.5	14	194.2	25	4,221.2	10	1,177.2		2,865.9	1	178.1	
ALLEGANY	2,077.2	58	114.9	48	3.9	16	5.8	50	.0	60	105.1	43	1,962.3	57	624.9	46	1,312.1	54	25.3	<u>ا</u> ، ا
BRONI	7,506.3	3	2,158.2	2	28.8	1	69.9	1	1,184.0	3	875.5	Ĺ	5,348.1	5	1,796.7	2	2,552.2	11	999.3	je –
BROOME	2,584.5	31	62.6	60	.9	47	14.5	28	12.1	43	35.0	60	2,921.9	26	519.0	56	2,302.5	17	100.4	1
CATTARAUGUS	2,546.0	44	120.5	47	.0	50	2.3	59	10.4	48	107.8	42	2,425.5	39	632.7	45	1,705.9	37	86.9	}
CAYUGA	2,169.8	55	196.2	32	2.5	27	16.1	25	16.1	36	161.5	28	1,973.5	55	493.1	59	1,394.8	51	85.7	ŧ.
Chautauqua	3,314.4	24	132.2	42	1.4	43	. 10.9	35	25.2	23	94.B	46	3,182.2	22	727.4	37	2,339.6	14	115.2	2
CHENUNG	4,044.8	13	186.7	34	2.1	34	19.2	23	28.8	22	136.5	33	3,858.1	13	837.3	21	2,937.6	5	83.2	2
CHENANGO	2,800.3	35	163.8	36	2.2	32	36.6	4	10.8	46	114.3	39	2,636.5	35	821.3	25	1,741.8	34	73.3	<b>;</b> .
CLINTON	2,694.9	38	374.8	11	1.2	45	11.1	34	14.8	39	347.6	- 8	2,320.1	43	544.9	52	1,496.3	39	78.4	r -
COLUNBIA	2,732.9	36	329.2	17	3.3	19	8.1	45	22.8	24	295.0	10	2,403.7	41	756.1	33	1,575.8	45	71.7	ļ.
CORTLAND	4,558.3	9	217.3	29	4.1	14	26.9	9	20.7	27	165.5	26	4,341.1	9	922.8	19	3,273.4	4	144.8	}
DELAVARE	2,216.8	53	147.4	40	2.1	33	2.1	60	4.3	54	138.8	31	2,049.4	52	790.2	28	1,236.5	56	42.7	1
DUTCHESS	3,126.4	28	330.6	16	2.0	36	14.6	27	74.1	16	240.0	17	2,795.8	30	836.5	22	1,831.5	32	127.7	1
RIE	4,532.9	10	528.1	7	4.4	12	34.9	6	166.0	8	322.8	9	4,004.8	12	1,150.3	11	2,448.5	12	406.0	ĵ –
ESSEI	2,176.7	54	157.6	39	2.7	23	8.2	44	2.7	59	144.0	30	2,019.1	54	736.5	- 34	1,220.2	58	62.5	i
FRANKLIN	2,860.2	- 34	158.9	38	2.6	25	25.6	11	5.1	53	125.5	35	2,701.3	33	784.3	29	1,860.7	30	56.4	1
FULTON	3,435.6	20	94.1	53	.0	50	5.3	52	12.4	42	76.3	49	3,341.6	19	1,009.7	15	2,184.5	21	147.3	\$
<b>GENESEE</b>	2,559.3	43	91.9	54	.0	50	5.0	53	21.7	25	65.2	54	2,467.4	38	566.3	49	1,842.6	31	58.5	i
GREENE	2,592.1	39	380.6	10	2.4	28	7.2	48	16.9	35	354.1	7	2,211.5	48	672.1	43	1,455.0	48	84.3	5
HANTLTON	3,277.0	25	101.8	50	.0	50	20.4	21	20.4	29	61.1	56	3,175.2	23	1,302.7	1	1,730.1	36	142.5	i
HERKIMER	2,143.9	57	175.8	35	1.5	42	8.9	39	3.0	58	162.5	27	1,968.1	56	536.3	54	1,391.8	52	39.9	1
JEFFERSON	2,696.9	37	96.5	52	3.4	30	4.5	54	13.5	40	75.2	50	2,600.5	36	834.7	23	1,700.7	38	65.1	i
KINGS	7,594.5	2	2,077.9	3.	20.5	3	58.6	3	1,221.3	2	777.3	3	5,516.6	3	1.761.8	3	2,717.8	8	1,036.9	1
LENIS	1,105.0	62	123.7	44	4.0	15	4.0	55	4.0	55	111.7	40	981.4	62	506.6	57	430.8	62	43.9	
IVINGSTON	3,084.0	29	240.4	28	.0	50	B.5	41	6.8	51	225.0	20	2,843.7	29	453.5	60	2,328.8	16	61.4	L
KADISON	2,243.2	52	68.5	59	1.5	41	10.4	36	17.9	32	38.7	59	2,174.8	49	595.4	4B	1.499.0	46	80.4	-
KONROE	5, 373.7	6	465.6	8	4.9	10	27.5	6	172.9	7	260.3	13	4,908.1	6	1.149.6	12	3,487.0	3	271.5	•
IONTEOMERY	1,696.0	61	78.9	57	.0	50	3.8	57	15.0	38	60.1	57	1,617.1	51	501.5	58	1.049.9	60	65.7	
NASSAU	3,465.2	19	215.7	30	2.3	29	10.3	37	117.2	11	85.9	48	3,249.6	21	704.7	39	2,022.0	24	522.1	
NEW YORK	13,623.0	1	2,657.0	1	25.3	2	67.3	2	1.692.7	1	871.7	2	10,966.0		2.219.2	1	7,808.6	1	938.1	
IAGARA	4,403.7	12	364.9	12	1.4	44	23.6	16	97.7	13	242.2	16	4,038.8	11	1,145.0	13	2,650.8	9	243.1	-
IDA	2,396.5	47	121.8	46	2.4	37	11.8	32	48.3	19	59.3	58	2,274.7	- 44	736.1	35	1,458.9	47	79.7	
DNDNDAGA	4,648.8	8	287.6	19	3.4	20	29.0	1	130.8	9	124.3	37	4,361.3	8	1,278.4	8	2,915.3	.6	167.5	
INTARIO	2,905.3	32	197.1	31	1.1	31	20.6	19	17.3	33	158.1	29	2,708.3	32	726.5	38	1,905.9	27	75.8	
DRANGE	3,653.1	18	396.0	31	3.6	17	20.8	20	119.6	10	252.3	14	3,257.1	20	1.026.9	- 14	2,058.5	22	171.8	
DRLEANS	2,367.7	49	258.9	25	2.5	26	25.4	12	20.3	30	210.6	22	2,108.9	51	434.0	61	1.408.9	42	66.0	
OSVEGO	2,387.7	48	110.5	49		49	8.4	42	20.3 30.9	45	70.4	47	2,262.6	- 45	559,4	50	1,000.9		108.9	
01se60	2,359.9	50	98.4	51	3.3	18	10.0	38	11.7	44	73.4	51	2,261.5	46	615.4	47	1,601.1	43	45.0	
PUTNAM	2,574.1	40	161.5	37	5.5 6.2	10	13.6	29	9.9	49	131.9	34	2,4:2.5	40	798.8	27	1,447.3	49	43.0	

( Continued on next page. )

**B-1** 

	TOT/ Indi					VIOLE	ENT INDEX CA	RIMES								PROPER	TY INDEX CR	INES	•	
	CRI		Tota	1	Nurd	51	Forcible	e Rape	Robbe	ry	Agą. Ass	ault	Tota	1	Burgl	263	Larce	ny	NV Th	heft
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
DUEENS	6,533.9	4	1,128.4	4	9.7	4	35.0	5	648.1	4	435.6	5	5,405.5	4	1,421.8	5	2,641.0	10	1,342.7	
RENSSELAER	3,706.9	17	279.1	20	2.4	24	21.6	17	55.0	18	199.9	23	3,427.7	17	982.3	16	2,253.5	19	192.0	1
RICHHOND	5,138.0	7	699.2	5	7.0	5	19.8	22	267.7	5	404.7	6	4,439.8	7	1,248.2	, <b>9</b>	1,898.5	29	1,292.1	
ROCKLAND	3,127.1	27	265.3	22	1.5	40	8.3	43	60.4	17	195.1	24	2,861.8	28	759.6	32	1,902.6	28	199.6	-
ST. LAWRENCE	2,854.5	32	84.2	55	.9	48	.0	41	10.5	47	72.8	52	2,782.3	31	695.6	41	2,013.9	25	72.B	- 4
SARATOGA	2,287.4	51	136.1	41	1.9	38	8.7	40	15.6	37	109.8	41	2,151.3	50	639.1	- 44	1,424.8	50	87.4	3
SCHENECTADY	3,352.9	22	190.3	22	2.0	35	13.2	30	75.3	15	97.8	- 44	3,162.5	24	953.7	17	2,042.9	23	165.9	· 1
SCHOHARIE	2,406.2	46	72.3	58	.0	50	3.3	58	.0	60	69.0	53	2,333.9	42	881.0	20	1,390.5	53	62.5	5
SCHUYLER	1,729.9	60	78.9	56	.0	50	.0	61	16.9	34	62.0	55	1,651.0	59	546.6	51	1,048.1	61	56.3	. 5
SENECA	3,342.4	23	272.0	21	6.0	7	24.2	13	3.0	57	238.8	18	3,070.6	25	701.2	40	2,221.3	20	148.1	1
STEUBEN	2,505.4	45	255.9	26	.0	50	8.0	46	18.1	31	229.8	19	2,249.5	47	540.8	53	1,616.4	40	92.3	. 3
SUFFOLK	3,810.3	15	255.8	27	3.1	21	13.2	31	108.7	-12	130.7	35	3,554.5	14	945.3	19	2,329.4	15	279.8	
GULLIVAN	3,784.5	16	339.9	14	3.0	22	26.7	10	43.0	20	267.1	12	3,444.6	. 16	1,650.3	4	1,614.7	- 41	179.6	1
106A	1,764.0	59	122.2	45	.0	50	3.9	56	3.9	56	114.3	38	L,641.8	60	431.6	62	1,131.3	59	78.8	4
IONPKINS	5,726.4	5	129.3	43	1.1	46	11.3	32	21.6	26	95.3	45	5,597.1	2	1,341.1	6	4,126.6	2	129.3	
ILSTE <u>r</u>	3,019.0	30	352.5	13	4.3	13	23.9	14	36.2	21	288.1	11	2,666.5	34	766.8	31	1,760.5	33	139.1	7
IARREN	3,408.9	21	50.3	61	.0	50	7.2	49	12.6	41	30.5	61	3,358.6	18	B30.2	24	2,363.0	13	165.3	-
ASHINGTON	2,158.2	55	259.6	24	5.3	8	5.3	51	5.3	52	243.6	15	1,898.7	58	535.1	55	1,274.7	55	88.9	3
IAYHE	3,132.5	26	260.7	23	٥.	50	23.8	15	20.4	28	216.5	21	2,871.8	27	782.0	30	1,985.6	26	104.3	3
ESTCHESTER	3,841.0	14	334.3	15	4.7	11	15.1	26	177.7	6	136.8	32	3,506.7	15	814.5	26	2,293.1		399.1	
IYOHING	2,562.1	-41	533.1	6	4.9	9	7.4	47	7.4	50	513.4	- 4	2,029.0	53	602.9	42	1,235.6	57	110.5	-
ATES	2,560.5	42	46.4	62	.0	50	18.6	24	.0	60	27.8	62	2,514.1	37	732.9	36	1,739.5	35	41.7	6

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Source: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

1

B-2

## TABLE 26 FELDNY AND MISGENEANDR ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	767.0				FELONY A	RRESTS				WIFNFUF	1000
	TOTAL		Tota	1	Viale	nt	Othe	r		NISDENE ARRES	
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rent		Rate	Rank
AL BANY	2,197.2	14	604.2	â.	194.8	9	409.3	6		1,593.0	Į9
ALLEGANY	1,108.0	62	227.9	62	53.0	58	174.8	62		860.1	63
erout	3,284.7	2	1,775.9	2	770.3	2	1,005.4	2		1,508.8	28
EROOKE	1,674.3	38	387.9	29	109.2	27	278.7	29		1,284.5	39
CATTARAUGUS	1,584.3	-44	285.9	57	56.8	51	219.1	56		1,298.4	37
Catuea	1,642.0	34	373.2	75	81.4	43	291.8	22		1,468.9	- 31
CHAUTAUQUA	1,658.5	41	278.8	55	76.3	45	222.6	53	•	1,359.4	35
CHENUIS	1,939.3	29	449.0	21	101.4	32	344.6	15		1,490.3	29
CHEWANGO	2,157.6	16	310.2	51	92.1	37	218.2	57		1,847.4	.10
CL INTON	1,845.9	33 •	383.7	30	\$5.6	34	286.1	26		1,466.2	32
COLUNBIA	2,231.2	13	523.1	12	132.0	19	391.1	7		1,711.2	12
CONTLAND	2,954.6	4	403.0	25	111.8	26	291.2	24		2,561.4	2
DELANARE	1,957.9	27	341.2	40	£1.8	55	279.4	28		1,616.6	17
DUTCHESS	1,987.0	24	513.5	13	165.4	12	348.1	- 14		1,473.5	30
ERIE	2,102.3	18	539.6	10	210.0	8	329.6	19		1,562.6	
ESSEI	1,947.0	28	354.7	35	68.7	50	286.0	27		1,592.2	20
FRANKLIN	2,016.3	20	347.1	37	75.4	47	271.7	32		1,659.2	14
FULTON	1,393.2	55	314.7	39	75.8	46	268.9	33		1.048.5	52
GENESEE	1,749.3	36	323.4	41	84.7	42	238.8	48		1,425.8	34
GREEKE	1,670.8	40	421.9	23	118.1	25	303.8	21		1,248.9	- 41
HANILTON	2,002.0	22	481.4	17	60.2	56	421.3	5		1,521.6	25
HERCIHER	1,383.8	56	272.0	59	64.3	54	207.7	60		1,111.8	
JEFFERSON	1,439.3	54	370.3	34	. 103.9	33	266.4	35		1,059.1	
KINES	2,405.5	8	1,377.7		178.2	3	\$99.5			1,027.8	
LEWIS	1,132.5	61	254.3		27.8		226.5	51		878.2	
LIVINGSTON	2,590.5	7	288.0		73.7		214.3			2,302.5	
HADISON	1,652.6	42	317.6		73.4		244.2			1,335.0	
MONROE	2,057.8	19	484.9		178.3		306.6			1,572.9	
KONTEONERY	1,871.4	31	302.7		45.7		257.0			1,548.7	
NASSAU	1,314.3	57	374.4		121.1		253.4			939.9	
NEW YORK	7,117.0	1	2,517.5		923.7		1,593.9			4,599.5	
NIAGARA	1,855.0	32	424.5		152.0		272.5			1,430.5	
DHEIDA	1,286.2	59	321.5		106.1		215.4			964.6	
ONONDAGA	1,752.4	35	591.		226.9		364.5			1,140.1	
ONTAKIO	1,975.4	28	332.1		85.5		251.1			1,638.6	
ORANGE	2,192.3	15	575.7		1B0.5		395.2			1,616.6	
ORLEAKS	1,527.8	50	482.9		140.5		342.4			1,045.0	
OSVE60	1,556.2		335.1		90.7		245.1			1,220.4	
OTSEGO	2,343.0	1/	370.1		10.7		251.0			1,220.1	
			376.		66.6		259.6			1,239.9	-
PUTNAN	1,566.2	40	376	5 44	56.5	27	234.2	5 3/		1,234.1	1 -

t Continued on next page. )

в-3

TABLE 2A	FELDILY AND HISDEHEANDS ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued
5626P /A	PERMIT AND RESIDENT LARPENT WORPS FOR THE DIAL POPULATION AND VALUE RANKS AT FUMBLE FOR TWAYS - FAALANIAG

	TOTA				FELONY A	RRESTS			NISDERE	
	ARRES		Tota	1	Viole	nt	Dtke	r	ARRES	
COUNTY	Rate	· Rant	Rate	Rant	Kata	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
QUEEIIS	1,454.0	52	710.0	5	308.7	4	401.3	,	744.1	61
RENSSELAER	1,525.2	46	325.1	15	118.9	23	206.1	41	1,240.2	- 43
s i chhond	1,504.3	51 •	570.3	9	295.8	5	274.4	30	931.0	55
RGCI:LAND	1,293.6	58	345.4	28	93.5	34	251.9	42	948.2	57
ST. LAWRENCE	2,006.9	21	324.5	46	77.6	44	246.9	45	1,682.4	13
SAKATOSA	2,286.0	10	397.3	27	105.8	30	291.5	23	1,008.7	9
SCHENECTADY	1,528.0	49	350.0	36	94.3	35	255.7	40	1,178.1	- 47
SCHONARIE	2,238.3	12	308.7	52	B7.3	40	221.5	55	1,929.1	1
SCHUYLER	1,930.2	30	416.5	24	146.5	16	248.4	34	- 1,513.3	2)
SENECA	2,279.9	11	457.8	19	91.5	38	366.2	10	1,822.1	1
STEULEN	1,440.3	53	298.9	54	\$4.8	52	232.2	50	1,141.3	- 49
SUFFOLK	1,486.9	38	J98.5	- 26	141.5	17	257.0	39	1,286.3	3
SULL IVAN	3,102.0	3	751.5	4	294.4	6	457.1	4	2,350.5	ļ
TIOSA	1,530.4	48	281.9	5B	49.6	59	232.2	49	1,248.5	4
TOKPKINS	1,988.7	23	329.0	43	10E.6	28	222.3	54	1,659.7	1
ULSTER	2,113.3	17	523.3	11	. 160.0	13	363.3	12	1,590.0	2
WARREN	2, 947.5	5	478.1	18	119.6	24	359.5	13	2,469.4	i, i
WASHINGTON	1,978.8	25	396,5	28	105.7	31	290.7	25	1,582.3	2
HAYNE	2,873.9	6	455.7	20	123.4	20	332.4	18	2,418.0	μ <sup>1</sup>
VESTCHESTER	1,729.6	37	490.4	14	150.1	15	340.3	17	1,239.2	4
KYON INS	1,595.7	43	319.4	49	54.5	57	263.1	36	1,266.1	4
YATES	1,265.9		260.6	60	37.2	61	223.4	52	1,005.3	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 2C ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RAN'S FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 +

	MUR ARRI		FORCIBLA		ROB& AKKE		ABG. ASS Akres			BURGL Akres		LARCE	ENY## Sts
OUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rezk	Rate	Rank		Rate	Bank	Kate	Ran
L BAHY	2.8	23	6.7	22	28.5	14	e6.0	9		99.3	28	397.4	14
LLEGANY	7.9	4	.0	56	.0	56	29.5	42		46.8	49	196.5	
RONI	19.0	1	26.5	2	238.0		311.2	2		180.6	3	413.2	
RDOKE	2,8	22	5.2	30	11.3		30.1	41		86, 1	37	354.4	25
ATTARAUGUS	.0	49	2.3	47	8.2		32.8	37		80.9	42	243.7	5(
AYUGA	3.8	16	7.5	19	10.0		37.6	30		51.3	59	435.8	
Hautaudua	.7	48	2.8	42	14.6		22.9	50		81.1	40	343.9	2
HENDNS	1.1	45	4.3	35	٤.5		33.7	35		133.7	9	640.3	; ;
HERAHGO	2.0		10.0	14	4.0		18.0	57		108.1	18	354.3	2
LINION	.0		1.2	54	i.8	-	31.9	39		69.9	46	315.1	
OLUKSJA	6.6	8	1.7	52	9.9		62.7	17	•	135.3	8	348.2	2
ORTLAND	.0	49	4.2	37	8.4	33	4B.5	21		107.6	19	455.8	1 1
ELAVARE	2.1	31	.0	56	2.1	54	46.9	25		108.B	17	383.9	1
UTCHESS	1.6	37	5.5	29	26.7	15	75.3	12		96.4	31	364.9	2
RIE	3.8	15	14.8	7	42.7	Ê.	93.3	1		100.0	26	438.7	' 1
SSET	.0		2.8	43	.0	56	27.5	45		112.7	15	286.0	4
RANKLIN	2.3	28	9.1	16	.0	56	25.1	48		100.5	25	294.6	. 3
ULTON	0.		.0	56	5.4	44	23.5	49		90.2	34	314.0	3
EKESEE	.0	49	5.1	32	22.0	17	27.1	46		89.7	36	233.7	5
REENE	2.4	27	2,4	46	7.2	36	82.0	10		65.1	51	266.9	-
ANILTON	.0	49	.0	56	.0	56	.0	62		220.7	1	200.5	5
ekkiner	.0	49	3.0	41	4.5	45	22.4	54		53.8	58	215.2	5
EFFERSON	2.3	29	2.3	48	10.2	28	3B.4	29		117.4	13	188.5	5
1865	16.1	3	2 <b>1.</b> B	4	249.8	2	240.5	3		156.5	5	343.8	2
EXIS	.0	49	.0	56	4.0	48	4.0	60		B3.4	38	151.0	6
IVINGSTON	6.9	7	1.7	51	6.9	38	42.9	27		54.9	57	426.9	1
ADISON	1.5	38	6.0	76	9.0	32	3.0	61		89.9	35	317.6	
ONROE	4.0	12	12.2	10	44.7	7	43.6	26		107.5	20	502.4	
ONTGOMERY	1.9	34	5.7	28	1.9	55	22. B	51		60.9	53	357.9	2
ASSAU	3.0	21	2.5	44	30.3	12	47.3	23		59.9	55	255.8	
EN VORK	17.9	2	24.3	3	406.1	1	346.9	1		176.2	ł	1,010.4	
IASARA	2.8	25	5.1	31	26.3	16	63.3	16		109.5	16	356.6	
NEIDA	1.2	41	.0	27	11.6	25	32.7	38		93.3	32	258.0	
NONDAGA	3.0	20	13.8	8	4B. 1	6	75.6	11		137.5	6	367.9	
HTARIO	2.2	30	8.7	17	2.2	53	28.1	44		46.0	50	325.8	
Range	1.8	35	11.5	11	21.6	18	87.9	8		99.8	27	407.1	
RLEANS	5.1	9	1.7	18	2.6	50	63.9	14		130.3	10	296.4	
SVEGD	. B	47	3.4	40	2.5	51	31.1	40		96.5	30	282.0	-
TSEGO	3.4	17	6.7	21	6.7	40	42.1	28		101.1	24	496.8	-
UTRAN	4.9	10	1.2	53	3.7	49	35.8	34		56.9	56	208.9	

( Continued on next page, }

Net Search

NURDER FORCIBLE RAPE ROBBERY AGG. ASSAULT BURGLARY LARCENY ARKESTS ARRESTS ARRESTS ARRESTS ARRESTS ARRESTS COUNTY Renk ƙant Rank Rank Rate Rate Rate Rate Rank Rate Hank Rate QUEENS 12 109.2 126.9 79.9 43 254.1 48 L 11.0 - 4 1.1 4 47 196.2 57 *RENSSELAER* 1.3 40 4.6 34 10.6 27 57.5 18 69.4 23 282.5 42 RICHNOND 7.8 5 10.7 13 84.3 -5 126.9 5 104.8 177.2 ROCKLAND 44 2.3 48 13.6 47.1 24 51.3 60 - 50 1.1 24 55 6.2 42 43 298.9 35 ST. LAWRENCE 2.6 26 .9 29.1 104.9 22 328.0 SARATOGA 3.1 18 4.3 36 7.4 35 37.1 31 81.7 39 30 SCHENECTADY 1.3 - 39 6.6 23 29.2 13 25.9 47 60.4 54 296.2 37 389.3 18 SCHOHARIE 49 .0 56 .0 56 33.6 36 114.1 14 .0 SCHUYLER .0 49 28.6 1 17.1 19 22.8 52 97.1 29 251.3 49 49 125.1 11 390.7 17 SENECA 15.3 43 21.4 56 .0 6 1.1 STEUBEN 1.0 46 4.1 38 4.1 46 22.6 53 63.7 52 290.7 39 SUFFOLK 2.8 24 6.0 25 30.5 11 53.6 20 78.4 44 176.5 ól **SULLIVAN** 12 16.3 -5 10 122.8 196.7 2 531.0 3 4.4 34.0 6 TIOGA 2.0 33 4.0 39 .0 56 15.9 58 45.7 62 271.9 44 TOHPKINS 43 20 14.7 90.8 33 431.1 10 1.1 6.8 21 21.6 55 408.5 ULSTER 3.1 19 13.4 9 16.5 20 64.7 13 107.5 21 13 NARREN .0 49 1.8 50 7.2 37 48.5 22 118.6 12 530.2 4 WASHINGTON 1.8 36 .0 56 14.1 23 56.4 19 81.1 41 200.9 54 WAYNE 42 9.1 15 39 36.6 33 135.9 398.6 15 1.1 6.9 1 WESTCHESTER 3.8 14 6.5 24 38.5 9 63.6 15 67.6 48 361.2 22 11 45 **S**2 36.9 32 71.3 45 258.1 45 WYONING WYONING 4.9 2.5 2.5 YATES .0 49 4.7 33 .0 56 9.3 59 51.2 61 186.2 59

\* MYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crizes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crises shown in Table 1.

## Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

AKREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE KANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 . - Continued

TABLE 2C

	TOTA Drug			CONT	XOLLEO SUBSTANC	E ARRESTS					KARTHUAN	A ARRESTS		
	ARRES		Tota	1	Passes	sioa	Sal	t	Tata	ıl	Passes	sion	Sa	le
COUNTY	Rata	Rank	Rate	Kant	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Fate	Rank	Rate	Rank	fate	Rai
L BANY	139.5	11	93.0	12	72.2	H	20.8	11	46.5	13	34.9	9	11.6	. 1
LLEGANY	23.5	56	5,9	58	5.9	55	.0	53	17.7	42	5.9	56	11.8	
Rohi	837.0	2	597.0	2	397.2	2	199.8	2	240.0	· 2	101.9	2	138.1	
ROOKE	53.2	33	32.0	36	23.5	36	8.5	20	21.2	32	14.1	32	7.1	
ATTARAUGUS	37.5	47	16.4	49	14.1	46	2.3	47	21.1	33	15.2	28	5.9	t :
CAYUGA	55.1	32	42.6	27	27.5	32	.15.0	19	12.5	51	6.3	54	8.3	1
HAUTAUQUA	29.1	52	18.7	48	6.9	54	11.8	24	10.4	55	8.3	49	2.1	
CHEMUNG	10.9	62	5.4	59	3.3	60	2.2	49	5.4	60	4.3	58	1.1	1
CHENANGO	52.0	34	38.0	29	38.0	25	.0	53	14.0	47	6.0	55	8.0	).
CLINTON	105.4	19	72.3	19	63.7	17	8.6	29	33.1	19	12.3	38	20.8	i i
OLUMBIA	87.5	25	49.5	26	34.7	26	14.9	21	38.0	14	21.5	18	16.5	5
CORTLAND	90.7	24	38.0	30	29.5	30	8.4	31	52.8	8	27.4	14	25.3	\$
ELAVARE	49.1	37	21.3	46	10.7	4B	10.7	26	27.7	21	19.2	20	8.5	5
NITCHESS	100.7	20	17.6	17	61.5	18	16.1	17	23.1	28	14.5	31	8.6	5
RIE	163.8	7	98.4	10	83.1	10	15.3	18	65.4	7	61.5	5	3.9	1
SSET	38.5	44	30.2	38	22.0	40	8.2	33	B.2	59	2.8	61	5.5	5
FRANKL IN	82.2	27	34.3	33	32.0	27	2.3	48	48.0	11	13.7	22	34.3	ŝ
FULTON	21.7	59	3,6	40	3.6	59	.0	53	18.0	41	9.0	47	9.0	0
SENELSE	32.2	50	11.9	52	10.2	50	1.7	52	20.3	35	16.9	27	3.4	(
REENE	96.4	21	79.6	15	77.2	11	2,4	46	16.9		12.1	41	4.6	
HANILTON	40.1	42	40.1	28	40.1	24	.0	53	.0		.0	62	.0	
ERKINER	46.3	39	26.9	41	26.9	36	.0	53	19.4		13.4	35	6.0	
EFFERSON	38,4	45	24.8	-44	21.4	42	3.4	40	13.5		10.2	44	3.4	
(IK6S	504.4	3	336.2	3	221.2		115.0	3	168.2		77.3	4	90.9	
ENIS	23.8	55	7.9	56	7.9	53	.0	53	15.9		-7,9	51	7.5	-
IVINGSTON	39.4	43	18.9	47	15.4	44	3.4	39	20.6	34	12.0	42	8.0	
ADISOK	12.0	61	3.0	41	.0	6t	3.0	43	9.0		9.0	48	.(	
OXRDE	55.3	31	32.1	35	20.4	43	11.5	25	23.3		17.4	24	5.1	
IDNTGONERY	114.2	17	89.5		89.5	8	.0		24.7		24.7	16	.(	
ASSAU	119.2	15	B4.5	14	64.7	16	19.8	13	34.7		27.6		7.	
IEW YORK	2,055.0	1	1,497.8		1,101.7		396.1	1	557.2		233.0		324.	
IAGARA	50.3	36	36.5		29.2		8.3	32	13.9		8,3		5.1	
NEIDA.	47.5	38	36.3		22.3	39	14.0	22	11.2		10.0		1.1	
NONDAGA	83.6	26	55.9		27.3	33	28.6	1	27.1		12.5		15.3	
HTARIO	66.0	29	52.0		31.4		. 20.6	12	14.1	46	9.7		4.1	
RANGE	149.9	-9	127.9		103.8	6	24.1	9	22.0		17.3		4.1	
RLEANS	23.0	58	10.2		10.2		.0		12.8		1.7		5.	
SWEGO	31.1		10.9		5.0		5.9		20.1		11.8		8.	
ISEGO	79.2		32.0	/	27.0	35	5.1	37	47.2		32.0		15.	
UTNAN	123.6		107.5		74.2		33.4	6	16.1		13.6		2.	

٠.

TABLE 20 ARKEST RATES FER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

( Continued on next page. )

B-7

	TOTA			CONT	ROLLED SUBSTANC	E MARESTS					KARIHUAN	A ARRESTS		
	AKRES		Tota	1	fasses	sion	Sal	2	Tota	1	Posses	sion	Sal	ie
OUNTY	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kant	Rate	Ran
NEENS	275,8	4	128.7	5	115.4	5	53.3	4	107.1	5	47.7	6	59.3	
ENSSELAER	64.7	30	29.7	40	27.1	34	2.6	44	35.0	16	26.4	15	8.6	2
ICHNOND	174.1	6	64.5	23	49.5	21	15.0	20	109.7	4	31.6	11	78.1	
OCKLAND	124.0	13	102.2	9	68.2	15	33.9	5	21.9	31	12.8	37	9.0	1
T. LAWRENCE	115.5	16	77.6	18	75.8	12	1.8	51	37.9	15	29.1	12	8.8	2
ARATOGA	91.0	23	65.6	22	47.7	22	17.9	16	25.4	24	13.0	36	12.4	1
CHENECTADY	51.8	35	29.9	39	21.9	41	8.0	34	21.9	30	17.9	22	4.0	- 4
CHOHARIE	23.5	57	13.4	51	10.1	51	3.4	41	10.1	56	5.7	53	3.4	5
CHUYLER	34,3	48	.0	62	.0	61	.0	53	34.3	18	17.1	26	17.1	
ERECA	45.8	40	33.6	34	30.5	29	3.1	42	12.2	52	12.2	40	.0	5
TEUGEN	27.7	53	8.2	55	8.2	52	.0	53	19.5	38	17.5	23	2.1	5
UFFOLK	160.B	B	70.9	20	43.9	23	27.0	8	89.9	6	79.7	3	10.2	1
ULLIVAN	145.0	10	93.2	11	85.8	Ŷ	7.4	35	51.B	10	45.9	7	5.9	3
TOGA	37.7	46	25.B	42	23,8	37	2.0	50	11.9	53	4.0	59	7.9	3
OHPKINS	44.2	41	25.9	43	14.7	45	10.2	27	19.3	40	18.2	21	1.1	. 5
LSTER	105.6	18	78.8	16	59.2	19	19.5	14	26.9	23	22.0	47	4.9	1 4
ARREN	131.2	12	102.4	8	93.5	7	9.0	28	28.8	20	19.8	19	9.0	) 1
ASHINETON	17.4	60	15.9	50	12.3	47	3.5	38	3.5	61	3.5	50	.0	) 5
AYNE	94.8	22	70.8	21	58.3	20	12.6	23	24.0	26	14.8	29	9.1	1
ESTCHESTER	235.7	5	178.5	4	157.5	4	21.0	10	57.2	8	43.4	8	13.7	' 1
YOHING	27.0	54	7.4	57	4.9	57	2.5	45	19.7	37	14.B	30	i.9	1 4
ATES	32.6	49	23.3	45	4.7	58	18.4	15	9.3	57	4.7	57	4.7	14

TABLE 28 ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS: AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

• Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Harihuana; PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.

	lota	<b>1</b>		Felc	νnγ	hist	102696
OWNITY	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Renk
······································						10.	5 12
IL BANY IL LEGANY	11.4	12 54		.9 .1	8 52	10.	
RONI	4.4	58		.0	61	4.	-
RDONE	5.0	56	•	.6	28	4.	5 55
ATTARAUGUS	9.6	21		.9	9	8.	7 24
CAYUSA	f. 1	31		.6	29	7.	5 33
CHAUTAUQUA	6.4	27		,7	20	ß	0 20
CHENUNG	5.2	53		Ĵ	17	4.	5 5
CHENANGO	11.0	13			15	10.	3 13
LINTON	12.1	9			23	11.	4
COLUMBIA	7.9	36		.4	41		5 3
CORTLAND	16.4	1		.0	62	16	
DELAVARE	B.0	33		.2	47		.8 2
UICHESS	9.6	20		.3	18	8	9 2
RIE	6.7	43		.5	36	6	.3 4
SSEX	11.9	11		.1	14	11	.1 1
FRANKLIN	9.3	25			40	8	.9 2
FULTON	6.3	46		.5	31	5	.7 4
SEKESEE	9.4	22		,5	35	9	.0 2
SREENE	7.1	41		.3		6	.8 4
HAMILTON	10.6	14		.5	33	10	.1 1
HERKINER	7.5	39		.7	16	6	.8 3
EFFERSON	7.7	38			25	7	.0 3
KINGS	3.2	59		.0	59	J	.2 5
LEWIS	4.0	47		.9		5	.2 4
IVINGSTON	13.6	4		,5	34	13	.1
HADISON	8.8	26		.4	37	8	.3 2
KONROE	5.4	50		.7			.8 5
KONTGOKERY	9.3	24		.8	12	8	.5 2
NASSAU	5.2	55		.3			.9 5
NEW YDRK	3.1	60		.1	57		.1 6
NIAGARA	8.2	29		.2		8	.0 2
DNEIDA	5.6	49		.2		. 5	.4 4
ONONDAGA	5.4	52		.2		5	.2 5
ONTARIO	10.1	18		1.2	_	-	.9 2
ORANGE	8.0	35		1.0	-		.9 3
ORLEANS	4.7	57		1.1			.6 5
OSWEGO	8.1	32					.8 3
OTSEGO	14.0	- 3					.2
PUTNAN	9.4	23					.3 1

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND WISDENEANDY ARKEST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

{ Continued on next page.}

B-9

				 	ARRESTS	······································	
	Tota	l		Felo	ny	Hisdens	lanor
COUNTY	Rate	Ra	nk	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
RUEENS	2.0		62	.0	58	2.0	62
RENSSELAER	9.8		19	.2	46	9.5	17
RICHMOND	2.7		61	.0	60	2.7	61
ROCKLAND	6.3		45	.5	30	5.7	- 43
ST. LAWRENCE	10.3		17	.7	21	9.6	11
SARATOGA	13.0		7	.4	42	12.4	
SCHENECTADY	6.8		42	.6	24	5.2	43
SCHOHARIE	11.9		10	.5	32	11.4	1
SCHUYLER	7.4		40	.1	22	6.7	- 43
SENECA	10.4		15	1.2	1	9.2	- 49
STEUBEN	6.4		44	.6	27	5.8	- 44
SUFFOLX	10.4		16	.1	55	10.3	1
EULLIVAN	12.4		8	.6	26	11.7	I
TIOGA	B.6		28	1.1	5	7.5	3
TOMPKINS	1.1		37	.1	54	7.6	3:
ULSTER	B. I		30 -	.4	39	7.7	3
WARREN	16.7		2	1.	53	16.1	:
WASHINGTON	13.5		5	1.2	3	12.3	
VAYNE	13.1		6	.4	38	12.7	1
KESTCHESTER	5.8		48	.2	49	5.6	- 4
WYONING	8.0		34	.8	11	7.2	3
YATES	5.4		51	1.0	7	4.4	5

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND XISDEMEANOR ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 • - Continued

\* Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DVI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Laws State of New York - Section 1192).

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTRENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

					FELDNY	INDICTHENT	S		
	Via	leat			at	her		Ic	tal
COUNTY	Number	Percent			Kuaber	Percent		Husber	Percent
	 · · · ·								
ALBAIIY	140	31.5			305	68.5		445	100.0
ALLEGANY	.10	18.9			43	B1.1		53	100.0
I-ROHI	3,663	58.3			2,810	43.7		\$,503	100.0
BROOME	148	27.1			398	72.9		546	100.0
CATTARAUEUS	20	22.0			74	78.0		91	100.0
CAYUSA	31	29.5			- 74	70.5		105	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	52	23.6	•		168	76.4		220	100.0
CHENUNG	76	22.4			264	77.6		340	100.0
CHEHANGO	17	30.4			39	69.6		56	100.0
CLINTON	37	28.9			- 91	21.1		128	100.0
COLUNBIA	31	34.1			04	15.9		91	100.6
CORTLAND	20	15,5			109	84.5		129	100.0
DELAWARE	8	29.6			19	70.4		27	100.0
DUTCHESS	80				299	78.9		379	100.
ERIE	470	•			1,027	68.6		1,497	100.
ESSET	19				75	79.8		94	
FRANKLIN	. 14				66	82.5		80	100.
FULTON	12				70	84.3		83	100.
BENESEE	28				81	74.3		109	100.
GREENE	22				42	65.6		64	100.
HANILTON	1				5			1	
HERKINER	15				47	75.B		67	100.
JEFFERSGN	55				213			271	
KINGS	4,637				3,095			7,732	100.
LEVIS		13.2			-33			39	
LIVINGSTON	47				166			21	
KADISON	30			•	104			13	
NDXROE	445				1.008			1,45	
	15				65			B	
NONTGOMERY	955				1,433			2,38	
NASSAU	4,412				2,522			6,93	
NEW YORK					243			31	
NIAGARA	98				300			43	
DHEIDA	138								
ONONDAGA	398				875			1,27	
ONTARIO	49				165				
DRANGE	. 144				374			51	
DRLEANS	52				93			14	
OSWEGO	37				139			17	
OTSEGO	<b>.</b>				19			2	
Puthan	15	5 13.4			97	86.6		11	2 100.

t Continued on next page. 1

in e apr

B-11

			FELONY	INDICTNENTS		
	Vio	lent	0	ther	To	tal
COUNTY	Nusber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Husber	Percent
QUEENS	2,954	50.5	2,899	49.5	5,853	100.
RENSSELAER	50	28.2	127	71.8	177	106.
r i Chhond Rockland	174	54.4	145	45.6	320	100.
ST. LAVRENCE	79 37	18.0 19.4	360	82.0	439	100.
SARATOSA	55	35.7	- 99	80.5 64.3	191 154	100. 100.
SCHENECTADY	59	25.5	172		231	100.
SCHOHARIE	51 6	28.6	172	71.4	231	100.
SCHUYLER	9	32.1	13	67.9	28	100.
SENECA	7	17.9	32	87.1	28	100.
STEUBEN	33	27.7	86	72.3	.119	
SUFFOLK	909	30.8	2,041	59.2	2,950	
SULL IVAN	46	32.9	94	67.1	140	100.
TIOGA	7	9.6	66		73	100.
TOMPKINS	37	27.4	98		135	100.
ULSTER	64	20.8	244	79.2	308	100.
WARREN	13	27.1	35		48	100.
KASHINGTON	24	33.3	48	66.7	12	100.
VAYNE	34	17.3	. 163	82.7	197	100.
RESTCHESTER	568	39.8	860	60.2	1,428	100.
NYONING	5	8.9	51	. 91.1	56	100.
YATES	7	13.7	- 44	86.3	51	100.
NEN YORK STATE	21,794	' 44.0	27,717	56.0	49,511	100.
NEW YORK CITY	15,995	53.2	14,091	46.8	30,085	100.

## TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		Coavi	ctions		Ac	quittals		Disai	ssals		0	ther	1	Totai
COUNTY		Number	forcent		Nust	er Ferce	nt	Nuaber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent	Nueber	r Percent
ALBANY		4,477	77.9			15	.3	1,235	21.5		23	.4	5,750	0 100.0
ALLESAN	<i>a</i> x	215	\$7.2				.3	103	32.2		. 1	.3	320	
BRONK		23,820	69.0		3		.0	9,122	26.4		1,231	3.6	34,519	9 100.0
BRODME		2,136	74.6				.6	633	22.1		່ກ	2.7	2,86	2 100.0
CATTARA	alistis	674	81.7				.2	146	17.7		3	.4	82	5 100.0
CAYUSA		796	74.5			0	.0	268	25.1		5	.5	1,05	9 100.0
CHAUTAU	ALIG	1,519	75.5			11	.5	476			6	.3	2,01	2 100.0
CHEMUNS		1,110	75.2			4	.4	359	24.3		2	.1	1,47	
CHENANG		584	75.5			7	.9	174	22.5		8	1.0	77	
CLINION		892	83.1			<b>i</b> .		176				.4	1,07	
COLUMBI		658	61.3			2	.2	410			4		1,07	
		714	67.2			î .		343			i	.1	1,06	
COSTLAN		480	72.2			1	.6	160			1	.2	66	
DELAWAR			62.2			4	.1	1,680			88		4,68	
DUTCHES	22	2,910				•	.1	6,196			93		17,72	
ERIE		11,229	63.3		-						3		54	
ESSEX		423	77.B			3	.6	115 103			2		53	
FRANKLI		530	83.2			2	.3				4	.7	68	
FULTON		535	78.7			0	.0	140			-		60	
GENESEE		564	81.7			2	.3	122			2			
GREENE		430	79.5			3	.6	105				.6	54	
KAHILTO	ON	37	82.2			0	.0	8			(			15 100.
HERKINE	ER	492	88.6			1	.2	5B				.7	55	
JEFFER	SON	782	86.I			3	.3	117				.7	90	
KINGS		26,092	41.1			32	.8	13,720			2,540		42,68	
LEWIS		155	79.1			1	.5	37	18.9				19	
LIVINGS	STON	B64	B0.4			3	.3	201	. 18.7		7	,7	1,07	
KADISD	N.	653	84.0			Ú.	.0	124	16.6	k i s	(	0, 1	11	
KONROE		5,409	49.4			04	1.0	5,165	i 47.2		266	2.4	10,94	
KONTEON		644	88.2			4	.5	78	10.7	1		.5	73	30 100.
NASSAU		12,149	B4.4			94	.7	1,712	11.5	P	433	3.0	14,41	12 100.
NEN YO		65,319	48.9			369	.4	27,212	28.3		2,42	2.5	96,32	22 100.
NIAGAR		3,005	78.3			36	.9	781					3,63	39 100.
OKEIDA		1,964	83.3	₹.,		6	.3	384					2,3	
ONDINDAI		3,900	60.2			36	.6	2,447			9		6,47	
ONTARI		1,009	81.0				1.0	210			1		1,2	
		2,985				12	.2	2,09			7		5,1	
ORANGE		269					3.0	114				5 1.3		00 100.
ORLEAN						8	3.0 .5	307			1		1,4	
OSWE60		1,128				5	.5	17			1		1,0	
OTSEGO		827	B1.0			3		39				7.7	1,0	
PUTNAN	1	622	61.6			3	.3	211	a 3/.º	1		/	110	0.5 100

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELOWY AND HISDEMEANON ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985

( Continued on next page, )

.

B-13

TABLE 4A

. -

Ø.

					DISPOSITIONS RESULTS	IG FROM FELC	INY & HISDENEANOR ARREST	54			
	Coavi	ctions	Acqui	ittals	Dis	issals	······	Other		7.	otal
COUNTY	Nusber	Percent	Hurber	Percent	Kunber	Percent	Nush	er fercent	•	Hunber	Percent
QUEENS	16,625	64.8	280	1.1	8,36	32.6	3	14 🗍 1.5	i	25,643	100.0
RENSSELAER	1,398	76.2	ę	.5	42	23.0		5 .3	l .	1,834	100.0
RICKKOND	2,133	41.9	15	.3	2,27	2 44.7	. 6	69 13.1		5,088	100.0
ROCKLAND	1,880	72.B	4	.2	68			12 .5	i	2,584	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	778	67.9	9	.8	35	30.8		5 .4		1,145	100.0
SARATOGA	2,292	76.6	3	.1	69	23.2		4 .1		2,992	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1,568	76.3	1	.3	45	21.9		29 1.4	l	2,055	100.0
SCHOHARIE	337	72.9	4.	. 9		25.3		4 .5	1	462	100.0
SCHUYLER	214	84.3	1	.4	3	15.0		1 .4	í.	251	100.0
SENECA	291	63.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.2	16	35.4		5 1.1	l	460	100.0
STEUBEN	701	71.7	1		26	5 27.1		5 .:	5	978	100.0
SUFFOLK	12,608	75.3	96	.6	3,96	23.7		66 .4	ł	16,736	100.0
SULLIVAN	1,253	16.8	2	.1	61	5 32.B		6 .3	<b>L</b> .	1,876	100.0
TIDGA	472	78.9	0	.0	12	20.6		3	5	598	
TOHPKINS	764	60.5	11	.9	46	37.1		19 1.1	i i	1,263	100.0
ULSTER	1,665	68.7	п		74	30.5		8 .3	5	2,453	100.0
MARREN	1,102	73.0	2	.1	39	26.3		8 .:	<b>5</b>	1,509	100.0
WASHINGTON	561	82.7	2	.3	11	5 16.7		2 .3	5 <sup>-</sup> -	678	100.0
WAYNE	1,246	76.5	4	.2	37	22.7		8 .	5	1,628	100.0
WESTCHESTER	B,024	72.6	66		2,75	2 24.9		06 1.5	<b>)</b> (	11,04B	100.0
HYDMING	175	74.B	0	.0	5			3 . 1.3		234	
YATES	140	85.4	ł	.6	2	1 12.8		2 1.3	2	164	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	240,395	68.2	2,217	.6	100,90	28.6	8,9	26 2.5	5	352,442	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	134,989	66.1	1,342	.7	60,69	29.7	7,2	35 3.	5	204,256	100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESIS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

\* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. These data may be affected by the underceporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F)

SOURCE: MYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

8-14

		Convi	ations		Acyu	ittals		Disbi	issals	•	Û	ther		T	otal
COUNTY		Number	Percent		Kueber	Percent		Kusber	fercent	•	Kusber	Percent		Hunber	Percent
ILBANY		1,246	79.3		19			306	19.5		9	6		1,572	
ILLEGANY		46	75.4		0	• •		15	24.6		0			61	
Kohi		12,911	45.9		292			5,883	28.9		712			19,57B 667	
ROOME		534	80.1		6	-		110	16.5		0				
ATTARAUGUS		107 193	93.0 81.4		1	۶. ٥.		42	6.1 17.7		2			115 237	
:AYUGA :HAUTAUQUA		289	86.5		2	.0 .6		41	17.7		2			334	
HENUKG		283	90.5		- i	1.3		26						316	
HENANGO		les	88.8		3	2.6		6	6.9		2			116	
LINTON		174	BB.3		3	.5		21	10.7			.5		197	
IL LATER OLEHBIA		177	48.9		. 1			75	29.2		3 4			257	100.
ORTLAND			7B.5		0			33			۰ ۵				100.
ELAVARE		121 95	78.5		-			24	21.4		. 0			134	
UTCHESS		780	78.5		2			299	19.B 27.2		-	• -			
RIE			58.5		£9			1,795			17 65			1,098	
SSEL		2,744			C7 Q						-			4,693 BB	
		71	80.7			•		16			- 1	1.2			
RANKLIN		90	88.2		2	2.0		10			0	••		102	
LION		157	87.7		0	.0		20			2		•	179	
IENESEE		120	93.B		0	.0		1			1	.8		128	
IREENE		102	B0.3		2	1.6		22			- 1	.8		127	
IANILTON		11	73.3		. 0	.0		4			0	•••		15	
IERKINER		102	88.7		0	.0		13	11.3		0			115	
EFFERSON		221	88.4		3			23			3			250	
CINES		15,790	60.1		312			8,153			1,997			26,252	
ENIS		36	87.8		1			2			2			41	
IVINGSTON		85	86.7		1	1.0		10			1			98	
IADISON		118	88.1		. Q	.0		16			0	- /		134	
IONROE		1,590	63.3		51			630			24			2,524	
IDNTEOMERY		104	86.0		2			13			2			121	
ASSAU		3,523	78.6		45			516			396			4,482	
IEN YORK		21,032	58.4		311			13,842			812			35,997	
liagara		670	79.3		10			161			. 4	• • •		845	
DREIDA		528	90.9		0			50			1			581	
Dhondaga		1,316	73.1		16			450			19			1,841	
DHTARIO		205	89.1		2			15			- <b>I</b>			230	
DRANGE		855	68.2		6			370			2			1,25	
DRLEANS		84	69.4		10	8.1		25	-					124	100.
ISVEGO		240	91.3		2	.8.		12	4.6		· •	3.4		263	5 100.
ITSEGD		126	77.3		1			29	17.8		ī	4,3		163	5 100.
PUTNAN		-96	53.0		2	1.1		80	44.2		1	1.7		181	1 100.

TABLE 4D DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1985

( Continued on next page, )

	Coavi	ctions	Acqui	itals	Disei	issels		Ot	her		Ta	tal
COUNTY	Xuaber	Fercent	Nusber	Percent	Kusber	Percent		Kunber	Percent		Number	Percea
· · · · · · · ·									 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
QUEEKS	7,533	59.5	204	1.5	4,684	37.0		247	1.9		12,668	100.
RENSSELAER	315	81.4	I	.3	70	• - • -		1	.3		387	100.
RICHHONO	939	46.3	Y .	.4	897	44.4		180	8.9		2,027	100.0
ROCKLAND	541	77.0	1	.3	159						703	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	48	70.1	1	1.0	27			1	1.0		. 97	100.0
SARATOSA	378	79.4	1	.2	95			1	.4		476	100.
SCHENECTADY	375	B1.2	4	.9	68			15	3.2		462	100.
SCHOHARIE	57	76.0	1	2.7	14			2	2.7		75	100.
SCHUYLER	- 51	96.2	0	.0	2			0	.0		53	100.
SENECA	49	74.2	1	1.5	15			.1	1.5		66	160.
STEUBEN	150	76.5	6	3.1	38			• 2	1.0	•	196	109.
SUFFOLK	3,688	80.2	47	1.0	834			30	.1		4,599	100.
SULLIVAN	309	68.5	0	.0	140			2	.4		451	100.
TIDGA	B3	87.4	0	.0	11			1	1.1		95	100.
TONPKINS	150	67.9	. 4	1.8	61			6	2.7		221	100.
ULSTER	423	73.6	3	.5	143			. 6	1.0		575	100.
WARREN	174	74.0	2	. 9	59	25.1		0	٥.		235	100.
WASHINGTON	118	88.1	2	1.5	13			1	.1		134	100.
NAYNE	227	90.4	1	.4 -	21			2	.8		251	100.
NESTCHESTER	2,683	79.6	40	1.2	581	17.2		. 68	2.0		3,372	:00.
NYOKING	64	91.4	-0	.0	5	7.1		1	1.4		70	100.
YATES		89.1	0	.0	4	B.7		1	2.2		46	100.
NEN YORK STATE	85,623	64.4	1,523	1.1	40,918	30.8		4,948	3.7		133,012	100.
NEW YORK CITY	58,205	60.3	1,128	1.2	33,241			3,948			96,522	100.

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELDUY ARGESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

\* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

				F	elony										
		Tota	1		Yia	lent	Û	ther	Ni sde	aeanor	Lesser	Difeases		. To	stal
COUNTY	-	Nusber	Percent		lunder	Percent	Hunder	Percent	Husber	Percent	Nunber	Percent		Husber	Percent
ALBANY		289	6.5		65	1.5	224	5.0	1,992	44.5	2,196	49.1		4,477	100.0
ALLEGANY		15	7.0		2	.9	13	6.0	140	65.1	04	27.9		215	190.0
əroht əroome		4,328 270	18.2 12.6		1,822 70	7.6 3.3	2,506	10.5 9.4	12,602	52.9 66.8	6,890	28.9 20.4		23,820	100.0
CATTARAUGUS		40	5.9		4		36		1,426 441	66.8	193	28.4		2,136	100.0
CAYUGA		74	9.3		11	1.4	55		532	66. B	190	23.9		796	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA		113	7.4		24	1.4	89		962	63.3	444	29.2		1,519	100.0
CHEMUNG		178	17.8		45	4.1	153		746	67.2	166	15.0		1,110	100.0
CHENANGO		23	3.8		5	.9	19		341	58.4	220	37.7		584	100.0
CLINTON		53	5.9		4	.4	49		429	48.1	410			B72	
COLUNBIA		62	9.4		4	.9	56		320	48.4	276	41.9		658	100.0
COETLAND		70	9.8		13	1.8	57	8.0	255	35.9	388	54.3		714	100,0
DELAWARE		25	5.2		8	1.7	17	3.5	257	53.5	198	41.3		480	100.0
DUTCHESS		307	10.5		65	2.2	242	8.3	1,243	42.7	1,340	46.7		2,910	100.0
ERIE		900	6.0		241	2.1	L59	5.9	3,914	34.9	6,415	57.1		11,229	100.0
ESSEX		24	5.7		6	1.4	18	4.3	214	30.4	185	43.7		423	100.0
FRANCLIN		39	7.4		6	1.1	33		290	54.7	201			530	
FULTON		51	11.4		15	2.8	45		238	44.5	234			535	
<b>SENESEE</b>		68	12.1		14	2.5	54		264	46.8	232			564	
GREEHE		25	5.8		5	1.7	20		263	51.2	142			430	
HAMILTON		. 4	10.8		0	.0	- 4		22	59.5	11			37	
HERKINER		38	1.1		4	.8	34		290	58.9	164			492	
JEFFERSON		129	16.5		28		101		405	51.9	247			782	
KINGS		5,484	21.0		2,442		3,042		10,305	39.5	10,303			26,092	
LEXIS		12	7.7		1	.6	11		62	41.9	78		:	155	
LIVINGSTON		57	6.6		1	.8	50		443	51.3	364			864	
MADISON		85	13.0		18		67		236	51.5	232			653	
NONROE		840	15.5		256		584		2,586	47.4	2,003			5,409	
NONTEOKERY		75	11.6		3	1.1	68		278	13.2	291			644	
NASSAU		1,450	11.9		474	3.9	976		5,756	47.3	4,963			12,169	
NEW YORK		7,285	11.0		2,802		4,483		39,587	59.7	19,447			66,319	
NIAGARA		168	5.6		34		134		1,091	36.3	1,748			3,005	
ONEIDA		213	10.8		- 71	3.6	142		1,053	51.6	. 696			1,964	
ONONDAGA		829	21.3		239		590		1,513	38.8	1,558		· ·	3,900	
ONTARIO		182	18.0		31	3.1	151		543	53.8	284			1,009	
ORANGE		347	11.6		-84		263		1,218	40.8	1,420			2,985	
ORLEANS		67	24.9		14	5.2	53		150	55.8	57			269	
DSWEED		113	10.0		27		84		519	46.0	498			1,128	
OTSEGO		41	5.0		1	.8	34		234	28.3	552			827	
PUTHAN		9	1.4		1	.2	2	1.2	228	34.8	418	63.0		655	i 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEREANOR ARRESTS \*

( Continued on next page, )

TABLE 5A FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISCEMEANOR ARRESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

			Felony									
	Tota	1	Vic	lent	01	her	Misde	azanor	Lesser (	lienses	To	tal
COUNTY	Nunber	Percent	Number	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nueber	Percen
NEENS	3,523	21.2	1,163	7.0	2,360	14.2	7 171	45.0	5,629	33.9	16,625	100.
RENSSELAER	 135	9.7	1,143	2.4	102	7.3	7,473 536	38.3	727	52.0	1,398	100.
ICHHOND	254	11.9	113	5.3	141	6.6	838	41.6	991	46.5	2,133	100.
OCKLAND	340	18.1	57	3.0	283	15.1	738	39.3	802	42.7	1,860	100.
T. LAWRENCE	1	.9	· •	.1		.9	357	45.9	414	53.2	778	100.
ARATOSA	88	3.B	23	1.0	64	2.8	713	31.1	1,491	65.1	2,292	100.
CHENECTADY	168	10.7	39	2.5	129	8.2	716	45.7	684	43.4	1,568	100.
CHDHARIE	27	8.0	5	1.8	21	1.2	142	42.1	148	49.9	337	100.
CHUYLER	13	6.1	4	1.9	9	4.2	156	72.9	45	21.0	214	100.
ENECA	27	9.3	L L	.3	26	8.9	185	63.6	79	27.1	291	100.
TEUBEN	70	10.0	14	2.0	56	8.0	354	50.5	277	39.5	701	100.
UFFOLK	1,905	15.1	545	4.3	1,340	10.8	3,897	30.9	6,806	54.0	12,608	100.
ULLIVAN	153	10.6	17	1.4	116	9.3	549	43.8	571	45.4	1,253	100.
106A	42	8.9	8	1.7	34	7.2	284	60.2	146	30.9	472	100.
DHPK1N5	99	12.B	21	2.7	77	10.1	296	38.7	370	49.4	764	100.
LSTER	193	11.5	37	2.2	156	9.3	892	52.9	003	35.6	1,685	100.
ARKEN	32	2.9	7.	. 6	25	2.3	493	44.7	577	52.4	1,102	100.
ASHINGTON	45	B.0	3	.5	42	7.5	257	45.8	259	46.2	561	100.
AYNE	112	9.0	15	1.2	97	7.6	\$77	54.3	457	36:7	1,246	100.
ESTCHESTER	1,369	17.1	491	6.1	878	10.9	3,303	41.2	3,352	41.9	B,024	100.
ACHINE	32	18.3	2	1.1	30	17.1	89	50.9	54	30.9	175	
ATES	33	23.6	5	3.6	28		77	55.0	20	21.4	140	
EN YORK STATE	33,128	13.8	11,610	4.8	21,518	9.0	116,567	48.5	90,700	37.7	240,395	
IEN YORK CITY	20,874	15.5	8,342	6.2	12,532	9.3	70,855	52.5	43,260	32.0	134,989	100.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY L HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

\* Bisposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

						CON	VICTIONS RESULTI	IG FROM FELONY	ARRESIS +			<u>.</u>
	-			Fel	ony		·····					
	To	tal	Yia	leat	0	her	Nis	188#110T	Lesser	lifenses	Te	atal
COUNTY	Nuaber	Fercent	Husber	Percent	Nunber	Percent	Nusbe	Percent	Kusher	Percent	Kusber	Forcent
Albany	279	22.4	62	5.2	214	17.2	87	B 70.5	89	7.1	1,245	100.0
ALLEGANY	14	30.4	2	4.3	12	26.1	3		2	4.3	46	100.0
BKONI	4,291	33.2	1,822	14.1	2,469	19.1	5,01	5 48.8	2,205	20.2	12,911	100.0
BROOKE	258	48.3	. 70	13.1	188	35.2	24	3 45.5	33	6.2	534	100.0
CATTAKAUGUS	35	32.7	4	3.7	31	29.0	6	1 57.0	11	10.3	107	100.0
CAYUGA	66	34,2	11	5.7	55	28.5	12	4 64.2	2	1.6	193	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	103	35.6	24	8.3	. 79	27.3	17	2 59.5	14	4.8	289	100.0
CHENUK6	191	46.8	45	15.7	146	51.0	8	6 30.1	. 9	3.1	285	100.0
CHENANGO	20	19.4	5	4.9	15	14.6	7	5 72.8	8	7:8	103	100.0
CLINTON	45	25.9	4	2.3	41	23.6	11		13		174	100.0
COLUNBIA	55	31.1	6	3.4	49	27.7	10		18		177	100.0
CORTLAND	50	41.3	13	10.7	37	30.4	4		6		121	100.0
DELAVARE	25	26.3	B	8.4	17	17.9	2		4	4.2	95	100.0
DUTCHESS	283	36.3	65	8.3	218	27.9	10		88		780	
ERIE	833	30.4	241	8.8	592	21.6	1,52		388		2,744	100.0
ESSEX	23	32.4	6	8.5	17	23.9	4		. 2		71	
FRANKLEN	34	37.B	6	6.7	28	31.1	4	9 54.4	7		90	100.0
FULTON	58	36.9	15	9.6	43	27.4	9			5.1	157	100.
DENESEE	60	50.0	ii ii	11.7	46	38.3	6	0 50.0			120	100.0
GREENE	24	23.5	5	4.9	19	16.6	. 4	7 65.7	11	10,8	102	-100.0
HAMILTON	4	36.4	Ó	.0	4	36.4		7 63.6	0		11	100.0
HERKINER	33	32.4	4	3.9	29	28.4		2 60.8			102	100.
JEFFERSON	119	53.8	28	12.7	91	41.2	10	0 45.2			221	100.
KINGS	5,465	34.6	2,442		3,023	19.1	6,23		4,093		15,790	
LENIS	-11	30.6	1	2.8	10	27.8	•	\$ \$5.7	1		38	
LIVINGSTON	40		í		33	38.8		3 50.6	1		85	
MADISON	73		18	15.3	55			3 36.4	2		118	
KONROE	802		256		546		61		130		1,598	
NONTEONERY	72		1		65	-		B 26.9	4		104	
NASSAU	1,329		474		855		1,92		26		3,523	
NEW YORK	7,244		2,802		4,442		9,40		4,32		21,032	
NIAGARA	159		34		125		4		8		670	
DNE1DA	190		71		119		29		3		526	
OKOHDAGA	790		239		551		46		7		1,34	
ONTABIO	152		31	15.1	121			8 23.4			205	
DRANGE	337		84	9.B	253		4		9		855	
ORLEANS	58		14		- 44			27.9			B	
OSWEGO	101		27	11.3	7				t		240	
OTSEGO	39				32			3 57.9	1		12/	
PUTHAN	37 B		1		7			3 76.0	1		91	

TABLE SB FELDAY, MISDENEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDAY ARRESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

( Continued on next page, )

.

	<del></del> .			Fel	ORY		<u></u>				• <del>•</del> •••••	
	 I (	tal	Vio	leat		her	Nisde	atanor .	Lesser 0	ffenses	To	tal
COUNTY	Nuaber	Percent	Hunber	Fercent	Kusber	Percent	Nueber	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percen
QUEENS	3,407	45.2	1,163	15.4	2,244	29.8	2,723	36.1	1,403	18.4	7,533	100.
RENSSELAER	124	39.4	33	10.5	. 91	28.9	167	53.0	24	7.6	315	100.
RICHNOND	251	26.7	113	12.0	138	14.7	487	51.9	201	21.4	939	100.
ROCKLAND	324	59.9	57	10.5	267	49.4	163	30.1	54	10.0	541	100.
ST. LAWRENCE	4	8.8	1	1.5	5	7.4	54	79.4	8	11.9	68	100.
SARATOGA	BQ	21.2	26	٤.3	56	14.8	233	61.6	65	17.2	378	100.
SCHENECTADY	158	42.1	39	10.4	119	31.7	193	51.5	24	6.4	375	100.
SCHOHARIE	24	42.1	6	10.5	18	31.4	29	50.9	4	7.0	57	100.
SCHUYLER	13	25.5	4	7.8	9	17.4	34	66.7 ·	4	7.6	51	100.
SENECA	20	40.B	1	2.5	19	38.8	27	55.1	2	4.1	49	100.
STEUBEN	60	40.0	14	9.3	46	30.7	86	57.3	ŝ	2.7	150	100.
SUFFOLK	1,623	44.0	545	14.8	1,078	29.2	1,365	37.0	700	19.0	3,688	100.
SULLIVAN	118	38.2	17	5.5	101	32.7	150	48.5	41	13.3	309	. 100.
T106A	39	47.0	- 8	9.8	31	37.3	42	50.4	2	2.4	83	100.
TOHPKINS	76	50.7	21	14.0	55	36.7	73	48.7	1	.7	150	100.
ULSTER	167	39.5	37	8.7	130	30.7	221	52.2	35	8.3	423	100.
NARREN	29	16.7	1	4.0	22	12.6	127	73.0	18	10.3	174	100.
WASHINGTON	41	34.7	3	2.5	38	32.2	71	60.2	6	5.1	118	100.
NAYNE	92	40.5	15	6.6	17	33.9	129	56.8	6	2.4	227	100.
VESTCHESTER	1,227	45.7	491	18.3	736	27.4	1,262	47.0	194	7.2	2,683	100.
WYONING	27	42,2	2	3.1	25	39.1	37	57.8	0	.0	64	100.
YATES	31	75.6	5	12.2	26	63.4	9	22.0	1	2.4	41	100.
NEW YORK STATE	31,778	37.1 -	11,610	13.6	20,168	23.6 -	38,553	45.0	15,292	17.9	85,623	100.
NEW YORK CITY	20,658	35.5	B, 342	14.3	12,316	21.2	24,918	42.8	12,629	21.7	58,205	100.

TABLE SB FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARKESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1965 - Continued

# Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Crisical Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Irends File.

			f 1 3.23				
COUNTY	State Prison	Local Jell	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
LBANY	3.7	\$2.8	7.7	13.2	57.9	4.7	100.
LLEGANY	1.4	11.3	5.6	16.7	50.2	14.9	100.
RONI	7.9	39.3	1.4	8.9	23.0	19.2	100.
ROOKE	3.2	14.7	3.8	12.5	38.2	27.6	100.
ATTARAUGUS	1.5	15.0	1.8	7.6	63.4	10.8	100.
AYUGA	3.4	17.8	4.0	4.0	46.4	24.4	100.
HAUTAUQUA	2.0	12.6	4.0	11.4	55.9	14.2	100.
HENUNG	6.4	12.B	4.9	. 16.8	42.9	16.3	100.
HENANGO	1.4	11.5	5.1	14.7	45.2	22.1	100.
LINTON	1.7	12.6	2.2	7.8	62.4	13.2	100
olunbia	5.5	19.3	4.7	7.4	54.4	8.7	100.
ORTLAND	2.7	11.B	2.4	13.7	59.0	10.5	100
ELAVARE	. 5	8.8	4.2	9.2	55.6	21.7	100
UTCHESS	2.2	14.8	5.2	11.0	59.9	4.8	100
RIE	3.2	1B.4	2.7	13.5	39.9	22.3	100
SSEI	2.6	6.9	1.9	7.8	64.1	16.8	100
RANKLIN	1.5	13.4	4.2	12.6	58.2	18.3	100
ULTON	3,9	13.1	5.2	12.1	50.3	15.3	100
ENESEE	2.5	9.2	7.6	- 21.5	49.1	10.1	100
BEEKE	1.9	11.9	.7	9,3	67.4	8.8	100
ANILTON	2.7	18.9	.0	8.1	54.1	16.2	100
ERKINER	1.6	15.7	2.6	6.1	41.4	12.6	100
EFFERSON	2.8	14.1	7.4	10.9	55.1	9.7	100
11165	10.3	26.1	4.9	10.7	29.1	18.9	100
ENIS	1.3	9.0	5.2	15.5	55.5	13,5	100
IVINGSTON	.8	8.9	6.8	7.9	59.3	16.3	100
ADISON	2.5	8.4	5.4	13.3	60.9	9.5	100
OHROE	5.5	13.4	6.1	14.7	38.3	21.7	100
IONTEONERY	3.1	9.9	5.0	8.5	58.5	14.9	100
ASSAU	4.1	16.6	6.4	18.4	31.8	22.8	100
EN YORK	5.3	57.2	.8	4.7	9.0	23.0	100
IASARA	2.1	22.0	2.8	10.9	48.9	13.3	100
NEIDA	3.2	16.2	3.7	11.7	52.8	12.3	100
NORDAGA	7.1	15.2	3.7	, 17.7	35.2	21.2	100
HTARIO	4.8	15.0	5.5	8.4	54.4	12.0	10
DRANGE	2.9	13.2	5.2	14.0	56.1	8.5	100
FLEANS	4.1	11.2	14.9	17.5	36.4	15.0	10
ISWEED	2.2	14.3	5.2	10.2	58.0	10.1	10
115260	1.3	8.5	2.4	6.3	72.3	9.2	10
PUTNAN	.5	7.5	1.2	10,4	77.9	2.6	100

ing your you that the side she goed and the

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

( Continued on next page. )

			Local Jail				
COUNTY	State Prison	Local Jail	and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
· · ·			<b>_</b> (				
DUEENS	9.7	33.7	3.8	- 10.8	24.7	17.3	100.
RENSSELAER	2.3	15.2	3.4	13.3	51.6	14.2	100.
RICHNOND	5.3	20.8	1.7	13.4	32.9	26.0	100.
ROCKLAND	4.3	11.0	9.1	12.2	58.0	5.5	100.
ST. LAWRENCE	.3	5.7	1.4	5.3	61.1	26.3	100.
SARATOGA	1.3	9.2	1.0	5.8	74.0	8.4	100.
SCHEHECTADY	2.7	18.9	2.0	13.2	54.5	8.5	100.
SCHOHARIE	3.4	4.5	4.2	5.3	71.8	10.7	100
SCHUYLER	.5	7.5	5.6	28.0	49.5	8.9	100
SENECA	1.0	11.7	5.2	8.9	52.9	- 20.3	100
STEUBEN	2.4	10.7	1.6	11.0	53.8	17.4	100
SUFFOLK	4.6	10.4	5.5	13.4	57.3	8.8	100
SULL IVAN	3.2	19.9	4.1	13.5	47.2	12.2	100
106A	1.5	7.6	2.0	10.0	62.1	16.1	100
IOMPKINS	3.5	1.1	- 2.6	15.1	47.0	24.1	001
ULSTER	3.3	14.1	2.9	10.1	59.3	10.3	100
VARREN	.5	14.0	1.9	5.8	20.8	17.1	100
VASHINGTON	.2	12.3	3.4	6.2	64.3	13.5	100
NAYNE	1.3	9.7	3.0	11.9	54.3	19.8	100
RESTCHESTER	4.8	14.3	4.4	15.5	47.1	9.9	100
YONING	6.9	5.7	9.7	21.7	50.9	5.1	100
ATES	4.3	12.9	8.4	11.4	36.4	26.4	100
EN YORK STATE	5.7	31.2	3.2	10.0	31.5	18.3	10
NEW YORK CITY	7.3	44.5	2.1	7.5	17.7	20.9	10

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

• These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a juil term and a fine have been counted as "local juli"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

0

TABLE 68 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

55 V 37/22 -

						SENTENCE	S AS A PERCENT D	F CONVICTIONS +					-	
	State I	rison	Local	Jail	Local and Pri	Jail obation	Prob	ation	Fin	15	Ot	145	To	tal
COUNTY	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd L Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	Misd L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	Nisd Ł Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	aisd Lesse Conv
		·······	***											
L BANY	57.4	KA	1.4	13.6	24.9	6.5	16.3	13.0	.0	61.9	.0	5.0	100,0	100.
LLEGANY	20.0	NA	13.3	11.0	20.0	4,5	46.7	14.5	.0	54.0	.0	16.0	100.0	100
ROWI	43.4	XA	16.6	44.4	7.5	.3	31.4	4.0	.2	28.1	_ <del>9</del>	23.3	100.0	100
ROOKE	25.6	NA	13.0	14.9	21.1	1.3	36.3	9.0	.1	41.4	3.3	33.4	106.0	100
CATTARAUGUS	25.0	XA	17.5	14.8	17.5	<b>.</b> B	40.0	5.5	.0	67.4	.0	11.5	100.0	100
CAYUGA	36.5	NA	13.5	18.3	33.B	1.0	14.9	2.9	.0	51.1	1.4	26.7	100.0	100
HAUTAUQUA	26.5	NA	17.7	12.2	29.2	2.0	23.9	10.4	2.7	60.2	.0	15.3	100.0	100
HENUNS	35.1	NA	11.8	13.0	16.2	2.4	32.8	13.3	2.0	51.8	1.5	19.5	106.0	100
CHENANGO	34.8	NA	26.1	10.9	34.8	3.9	4.3	15.2	.0	47.1	.0	23.0	100.0	100
CLINION	28.3	HA	13.2	12.5	20.8	1.1	32.1	8.3	3.8	66.2	1.9	13.9	100.0	100
COLUMBIA	58.1	NA	14.5	19.8	17.7	3.4	B.1	7.4	1.6	59.9	.0	9.6	100.0	100
CORTLAND	27.1	NA.	12.9	11.6	15.7	.9	41.4	10.7	.0	65.4	2.9	11.3	100.0	10
ELAVARE	12.0	KA	16.0	8.4	28.0	2.9	36.0	7.7	4.0	58.5	4.0	22.4	100.0	10
WICKESS	20,8	NA	9.1	15.5	32.2	2.0	37.1	8.0	.0	67.0	.7	7.5	100.0	101
RIE	39.7	NA	14.0	18.0	10.7	2.0	34.0	11.8	.9	43.3	.8	24.2	100.0	10
SSEI	45.8	NA	8.3	6.B	. 8.3	1.5	-37.5	6.0	.0	67.9	.0	17.8	100.0	10
FRANKLIN	17.9	NA	12.8	13.4	25.6	2.4	41.0	10.4	.0	54.2	2.6	19.6	100.0	10
FULTON	34.4	KA	14,8	12.9	26.2	2.5	21.3	11.0	3,3	56.3	.0	17.3	100.0	10
GENESEE	20.5	XA	5.9	9.7	39.7	3.2	33.B	17.8	.0	55.8	.0	11.5	100.0	10
BREEKE	32.0	NA	24.0	11.1	4.0	.5	36.0	7.7	4.0	71.4	.0	9.4	100.0	10
HANILTON	25.0	KA	50.0	15.2	.0	.0	25.0		.0	60.6	.0	18.2	100.0	10
HERKINER	21.1	KA	23.7	15.0	21.1	1.1	28.9		5.3	66.1	.0	13.7	100.0	10
		nn ¥A	17.8	13.3	36.4	1.7	26.4		1.6	65.7	.8	11.5	100.0	10
JEFFERSON	17.1	RA NA	8.7	30.8	19.5	1.0	21.2		1.0	36.4	.5	23.8	100.0	10
KIN65		KA KA	8.3	9.1	33.3	2.8	41.7	13.3	.0	60.1	.0	14.7	100.0	
LEWIS	16.7		26.3	7.7	49.1	3.8	10.5	• • •	.0		1.8	17.3	100.0	
LIVINGSTON	12.3	NA	11.8	7.9	28.2	1.9	38.0		.0	70.1	2.4	10.6	100.0	
NADISON	18.8	KA NA	11.0	14.0	28.0	2.1	23.0		.4		1.1	25.5	100.0	
NONROE	35.7	NA			32.0	1.4	28.0		1.3	66.1	.0	16.9	100.0	
MONTGOMERY	26.7	NA	12.0	9.7			23.3		1.3	36.0	.8		100.0	
HASSAU	31.4	NA	14.5	18.8	25.6		23.1		.4		1.2		100.0	
NEW YORK	48.3	RA	20.6	61.7	6.4	.1			e. 6.		.6		100.0	
NIAGARA	38.1	KA	23.8	21.9	4.2		33.3		۰, ۴,		.5		100.0	
DKEIDA	29.4	NA	16.9	16.2	23.9		28.2				1.8		100.0	
ONONDAGA	33.4	HA	18.2	14.5	12.9		33.4		.2 1.6		1.6		100.0	
DHTARID	26.4	KA	26.9	12.3	22.0		21.4				1.9		100.0	
ORANGE	25.4	NA	5.5	14.2	28.0		40.3		.0		1.5		100.0	
ORLEANS	16.4	NA	1.5	14.4	44.8		35.8		.0					
OSWEGD	22.1	ha	15.9	14.1	37.2		22.1		1.0		٩,		100.0	
DISEGO	25.8	RA	7.3	B.5	36.6		29.3		.0		.0		100.0	
PUTHAN	33.3	NA	.0	7.6	11.1	1.1	55.6	7.8	.0	78.9		2.6	100.0	0 10

B-23

.

đ

Street Street St.

0

.

( Continued on next page. )

Sec. 24

100.000

	State 1	risoo	Local	Jail	Local and Pro		Prob	ition	Fin	25	Ot	her	To	tal
COUNTY	Felony Coav	Hisd & Lesser Cony	Felony Conv	Hind L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd L Lessar Conv	Felony Coav	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Corv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	Hisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd Lesse Conv
NEENS	45.8	XA	9.7	40.1	15.4	.7	27.1	6.4	1.3	31.0	.7	21.9	100.0	100.
ENSSELAER	23.7	ka	16.3	15.0	17.8	1.9	41.5	10.3	.7	57.1	.0	15.7	100.0	100.
(I CHNAND	44.1	NA	8, 3	22.5	11.8	.4	32.3	10.8	2.4	36.9	1.2	29.4	100.0	100.
KOCKLAND	23.5	KA	2,9	12.7	37.6	2.8	35.4	7.0	.0	70.8	.3	6.6	100.0	100.
ST. LAWRENCE	28.5	KA	.0	5.7	42.9	1.0	28.6	5.1	.0	61.6	.0	26.6	169.0	100.
SARATOGA	33.0	NA	26.1	8.5	17.0	.4	23.9	5.1	.0	77.0	.0	8.9	100.0	100.
SCHENECTADY	25.6	KA	12.5	19.7	13.1	.7	47.0	9.1	1.2	50.9	.6	9.6	100.0	100.
SCHOHARIE	44.4	MA	11.1	3.9	37.0	1.3	7.4	5.2	.0	78.1	.0	11.6	100.0	100.
SCHUYLER	7.7	NA	23.1	6.5	53.8	2.5	15.4	28.9	.0	52.7	.0	9.5	100.0	100.
SERECA	11.1	NA	18.5	11.0	51.9	4	18.5	8.0	.0	58.3	.0	22.3	100.0	100.
STEUBEN	25.7	NA	17.1	10.0	37.1	1.0	18.4	10.1	.0	59.7	, 1.4	19.2	100.0	100.
SUFFOLK	30.6	NA	11.4	10.2	26.1	1.8	22.4	11.8	4.9	66.6	4.7	9.6	100.0	100.
SULL IVAN	30.1	NA	12.8	20.7	24.8	1.6	30.1	11.5	1.5	52.6	.8	13.4	100.0	100.
T106A	16.7	NA	11.9	7.2	23.8	.7	40.5	7.0	4.8	67.7	2.4	17.4	100.0	100.
IONPKINS	27.6	NA	B.2	7.7	14.3	.9	43.9	10.8	.0	53.9	6.1	26.7	100.0	100.
ULSTER	28.5	KA	8.8	14.8	17.6	1.0	44.0	5.7	.5	66.9	.5	11.6	100.0	100.
VARREN	15.6	NA	34.4	13.4	25.0	1.2	25.0	5.2	.0	62.6	.0	17.4	100.0	100.
VASHINGTON	2.2	NA	40.0	9.9	13.3	2.5	44.4	2.9	٥.	70.0	.0	14.7	100.0	100.
NAYNE	14.3	NA	15.2	9.2	25.9	.7	43.B	8.7	.9	59.6	.0	21.8	100.0	
VESTCHESTER	39.7	NA	5.0	18.4	17.3	1.7	37.3	11.0		56.9	.3	11.8	100.0	
WYDNING	37.5	NA	£.3	5.6	25.0	6.3	28.1	20.3	3.1	61.5	.0	4.3	100.0	+
YATES	18.2	NA	9.1	14.0	30,3	1.9	39.4	2.8	3.0	46.7	.0	34.6	100.0	
NEW YORK STATE	41.6	KA	13.B	34.0	15.8	1.2	26.8	7.3	.9	36.4	1.1	21.0	100.0 100.0	
KEN YORK CITY	47.0	ka	14.6	50.0	11.7	.4	25.1	4.2	.7	20.B	.9	24.5	100.0	100

TABLE 48 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

+ These data reflect the most merious mentence in multiple mentence dispositions le.g., mentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of mentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SDURCE: HYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

B-24

0

TABLE 7A-1	PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE,	INVESTIGATION.	AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985	
------------	------------------------------	----------------	--	--

.

э.

								INVESTI	ATIONS				PRE-D	ISPOSITIO	SUPERVI	STOR CASE	ES RECEIVED	)
		1	NTAKE +			Tat	al	Facily (	lourt	Crisinal	Court		Tota	ul l	Family (	Court	Eriainai	Cour
COUNTY		Rate	Rant			Rate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
				•					<b></b> ,									
ALBANY -		456	.2 5			1,084.7	9	206.4	18	878.2	8		\$14.8	17	20.8	21	94.1	· .
ALLEGANY		903				396.8		76.7	56	376.1	54		.0	53	.0	-44	.0	
BROHL		643	.2 43	5		102.7	42	88.7	50	514.0	33		104.0	19	100.B	5	3.2	
BROCKE		1,388	.1 1	5		919.6		291.8	7	627.9	20		1,9	51	1.9	40	.0	
CATTARAUGUS		364				479.3		169.9	26	309.4	56		10.5		1.2	42	9.4	
CAYUGA		632	-			398.2		81.4	52	316.8	- 55		. 1.3	52	.0	- 44-	1.3	
CHAUTAUQUA		854				805.7		205.9	19	599.7	21		153.2		45.8	12	107.5	
CHEMURI6		1,996				1,195.1		233.7	13	\$62.0	6		132.6	14	2.2		130.4	
CHENANGO		1,837				820.I		76.1	55	744.6	15		30.0	35	30.0	17	.0	
CLINTON		1,107				825.0		447.5	2	377.6	47		14.7	42	11.0	28	3.7	
COLUMBIA		354		<b>5</b> .	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	551.1		80.9	53	470.3	40		.0		.0	- 44	.0	ni i Na s
CORTLAND		2,861	.2 3	ł		1,078.2		236.3	12	B41.9	10		.0	53	.0	- 44	.0	
DELAVARE		1,546	.2	)		443.1	55	87.4	51	356.2	50		219.7	8	219.7	2	.0	
DUTCHESS		1,081	.9 2	1		132.3	41.	126.2	37	505.1	34		50.2	27	36.8	15	13.3	e . 1
ERTE		550	.8 4	2		580.	45	110.8	42	449. B	41		.0	53	.0	. 44	.0	61
ESSEI		1,056	.0 2	1 2 1		316.2	59	101.7	46	214.5	60		16.5	40	13.7	23	2.8	, ·
FRANKLIN		1,705	.8	L. C.		1,438.6	4.	244.3	. 11	1,194.3	3		45.7	30	4.6	35	41.1	
FULTON		294		31		£49.1		166.0	28	483.7	38		16.2	41	16.2	22	.0	· .
SENESEE		1,436	.0 1	)		1,136.	5 B	171.0	25	945.2	5		164.3	12	5.1	33	159.2	1.1
GREEKE		1,138	.0 2	t.		294.	61	50.6	60	243.5	58		4.8	49	4.8	34	.0	
HAMILTON		20	.1 8	2		140.	62	20.1	62	120.4	62		.0	53	.0	44	.0	1
HERKIKER		173	.3 6	l		4B4,:	50	245.1	10	239.1	59		5.0	48	3.0	37	3.0	
JEFFERSON		1,793	.8	5		746.5	28	63.2	57	683.0	18			53	.0	44	.0	÷ .
KINGS		60E	.6 4	5		521.	48	61.9	58	459.B	43		68.5	25	62.5	8	6.0	ł
LEWIS		556	.3 .4	5		480.1	51	123.2	39	357.6	49		123.2	15	7.9	30	115.2	1
LIVINGSTON		756	.1 3	<b>7</b>		764.	26	166.3	27	598.3	22		25.7	36	24.0	20	1.7	1
KADISON		1,347	.0 1	<b>1</b> - 1		674.	35	131.8	35	- 542.4	28		64.4	26	1.5	41	62.9	ł
MONROE		65		2		594.	43	130.8	36	464.1	42		38.2	33	38.2	- 14	.0	)
MONTEONERY		297	.0 5	1		580.	1 44	211.3	17	369.3	48		13,3	43	13.3	24	.0	į .
NA55AU		1,414	.1 1	<b>i</b> 1		1,071.	11	177.6	23	894.3	7		269.3	i 4	6.7	32	263.1	J.
HEN YORK		407	.0 5	1		831.	5 18	49.7	61	781.9	. 11		99.3	20	53.0	9	46.3	1 .
MIASARA		962	.2 3	1		667.	5 36	117.3	31	520.1	32		. · · · . (	53	(	44	.0	) · · ·
ONEIDA		727				742,		163.9	29	578.3	27		29.1		2.0	39	27.1	
ONONDAGA		1,24	-			1,450.		229.7		1,220.9	2		241.1	-	116.9		124.9	
ONTAKID		72				798.		110.4	43	688.4	17	•	10,8		.(	- 44	10.8	J.
ORANGE		1,320				691.		111.0		580.8	26		110.4				110.6	
DRLEANS		1,093				\$66.		76.6		590.2	23		115,0		10.2		104.8	
OSVEGO		55				1,359,		252.6		1,107.1	4		183.0		. (		183.0	
DISEGO		68				449.		153.3		296.5	57		203,1			44	203.8	
PUTNAM		683				49L.		96.4		400.5	46		38.3		38.3		.0	

( Continued on mext page. )

B-25

O

						INVEST16	ATIONS				PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED								
		INT	ike #		Tota	1	Family C	Court	Crininal	Court		Tota	ıl	Family (	iour t	Crisinal	Court		
COUNTY		Rate	Rant		Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank	Aate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		
PUEENS		446.1	53		397.4	57	53.3	59	344.0	52		76.9	23	69.8	7	7.1	31		
RENSSELAER		1,048.5	30		725.5	31	189.0	21	536.5	29		74.7	24	3.3	36	71.4	18		
R1CHHOND		553.1	47		311.9	60	104.0	45	207.8	61		28.1	37	28.1	19	.0	43		
ROCKLAND		677.1	41		639.4	40	112.4	40	527.1	31		416.6	i	240.9	1	175.7	f		
ST. LAWRENCE		1,413.4	12		971.2	14	90.8	49	780.3	12		191.3	10	13.2	25	178.1	1		
SAKATOGA		85B.3	35		707.3	32	213.5	15	493.8	36		84.9	21	35.9	16	48.9	20		
SCHENECTADY		1,238.5	22		765.0	25	177.3	24	587.7	24		231.8	7	11.3	27	220.5	1		
SCHOHARIE		275.2	59		476.5	53	137.4	33	338.9	53		10.1	47	.0	° 44 °	10.1	2		
SCHUYLER		3,440.7	1		1,227.9	6	371.2	5	856.4	9		41.4	44	11.4	26	.0			
SENECA		1,242.2	21		729.4	30	299.1	6	430.3	- 44		260.8	3	٥.	- 44	280.8	:		
STEUBEN		1,042.2	28		780.8	23	196.2	20	584.5	25		263.0	5	156.2	3	106.8	15		
SUFFOLK		1,299.1	18		2,396.4	. 1	126.2	38	. 2,270.3	· · 1		50.0	28	50.0	10	.0			
SULLIVAN		958.4	32		269.8	15	94.7	48	775,1	13		.0	53	.0	44	.0			
TIOGA		1,308.1	17		859.5	17	430.7	3	428.8	45		43.7	31	29.8	18	13.9	28		
TONPKINS		536.6	50		888.3	13	140.7	32	747.6	14		331.3	. 2	1.1	. 43 -	330.1	1		
ULSTER		1,140.0	23		774.2	24	105.6	- 44	668.6	19		33.0	34	.0	44	33.0	2		
WARREN		1,450.4	9		566.1	16	384.4	4	483,5	39	•	48.5	29	46.7	11	1.8	3		
WASHINGTON		1,309.2	- 16		569.1	46	213.2	16	355.9	51		.0	53	.0	44	.0	K		
NAYNE		1,594.5	7		753.8	27	258.1	8	495.7	35		.0	53	.0	- 44	.0			
VESTCHESTER		1,244.5	20		1,608.9	2	895.1	1 <b>1</b> -	- 713.7	16		84.3	22	94.1	6	.2	4		
WYONING		216.3	60		661.3	28	132.8	34	528.6	30		22.1	39	7.4	- 31	14.8	25		
YATES		456.1	52		674.9	34	181.5	22	493.3	37		4.7	50	.0	44	4.7	- 37		

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

			PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ION CASE	ES RECEIVED			UXDER	PROBATI		SES Idw duri	NG THE YEAR	i. E	UNDEI	R PROBATI		ISES Isidn dn	DECEMBER	31
		Total	l	Fasily (	ourt	Crisinal	Court		Tota	1	Family C	ourt	Crisinal	Court	Tot	a)	Family (	Court	Crisisal	Court
COUNTY	Rat		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	kate	Kank	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
AL BANY	36	7.2	4	50.4	26	336.8	- 4	•	1,131.5	5	98. t	28	1,043.5	6	846.2		60.6	17	785.6	5
ALLEGANY	11	9.0	54	29.5	48	139.5	53		528.5	57	58.9	48	469.5	55	375.2		21.6	54	353.6	53
BRONI	-	0.7	16	20.4	55	220.4	8		995.4	8	45.4	57	950.0	7	752.9		21.0	55	731.8	1
SROOKE		12.3	18	57.9	18	234.4	21		915.0	10	125.2	п	790.8	15	\$57.1	14	60.7	15	596.4	15
CATTARAUGUS		36.3	48	43.4	33	143.0	51		486.3	58	72.7	39	413.7	59	351.6		46.9	30	304.7	59
CAYUGA		14.5	58	26.3	50	120.2	58		404.5	62	55.1	52	349.4	62	269.2		23.B	20	245.4	61
CHAUTAUQUA		75.3	27	54.1	22	221.2	28		685.0	40	102.6	22	582.4	44	479.8		54.8	21	425.0	42
Chenung		10.5	5	108.7	2	271.8	10		1,272.5	2	191.3	2	1,101.2	3	469.6		117.4	2	852.2	4
CHENANGO		20.2	11	44.0	31	276.2	9		718.5	34	\$6.1	29	632.5	32	492.4		34.0	39	458.3	33
CLINTON	-	95.1	49	33.1	44	152.0	49		755.2	30	17.2	36	677.9	27	600.7		35.4	37	565.2	19
COLUMBIA		60.7	30	54.5	21	206.3	31		704.6	35	108.9	19	595.7	28	518.1		64.4	12	453.8	35
CORTLAND		73.3	17	50.6	24	242.7	18		877.B	14	84.4	30	793.4	14	203.5		44.3	32	559.2	20
DELAWARE		83.4	50	27.7	49	155.7	47		543.0	54	55.5	51	507.6	51	398.8		23.5	51	375.4	50
DUTCHESS		84,2	21	50.4	25	233.6	23		807.5	24	115.8	18	671.9	25	571.5		42.7	35	522.8	23
ERIE		16.0	25	44.3	20	231.7	24		670.5	43	81.0	32	584.5	42	443.1		50.4	26	392.6	48
ESSEX		10.2	59	30.2	47	110.0	50		475.7	59	49.5	55	426.2	58	346.5		22.0	53	324.5	55
FRANKLIN		17.4	12	54.8	20	262.6	12		874.6	15	121.0	17	753.5	18	628.0		54.8	20	573.2	18
FULTON		91.4	15	34.3	42	267.1	- 11		840.8	17	46.9	55	813.9	11	664.1		27.1	47	637.1	13
GENESEE		25.0	2	67.7	8	357.3	2		1,227.7	3	93.1	26	1,134.6	2	941.5		69.4	8	872.1	2
GREEKE		37.4	60	36.2	40	101.3	61		576.2	52	72.3	-41	503.9	52	378.5		14.5	62	364.1	51
HAMILTON		20.4	62	20.1	57	100.3	62		682.0	41	80.2	34	601.B	37	280.8		40.1	36	240.7	62
HERKINER		15.9	55	3B,9	35	127.0	56		567.9	53	- 134.5	9	433.4	56	403.5		94.1	4	309.3	57
JEFFERSON		17.2	13	73.4	6	243.8	17		847.8	20	124.2	12	723.6	22	549.8		. 66.6	11	483.2	29
KINGS		17.4	35	19.8	58	227.6	25		910.7	12	39.9	59	B70.B	10	734.6		20.1	58	714.5	
LEWIS		02.7	45	43.7	32	159.0	45		766.8	27	155.0	5	631.8	22	480.8		63.6	14	417.2	
LIVINGSTON		82.9	22	21.0	53	258.9	13		970.4	8	56.6	50	913.8	9	709.8		18.9		690.9	
MADISON		57.7	33	24.0	54	233.7	22		685.2	39	58.4	49	627.8	34	473.5		21.0		452.5	
KONROE		9.0	20	37.9	37	251.1	15		829.8	23	75.2	39	754.6	17	631.6		45.8		585.8	
NONTGONERY	_	41.8	37	59.0	16	182.8	42		694.9	38	108.5	20	586.4	43	523.5		55.2	• •	468.3	
NASSAU		63.1	6	45.4	29	317.7	5		1,021.1	1	102.2	24	918.9	8	826.3		52.4		773.9	6
NEW YORK		07.3	44	18.3	59	188.9	38		849.7	10	38.0	61	811.6	12	656.9		20.3		616.7	12
NIAGARA		78.5	24	38.8	36	239.7	19		654.5	46	62.4	- 44	592.2	41	448.5		30.0		418.5	
ONEIDA	-	19.4	12	36.3	39	183.1	- 11		738.2	32	72.6	40	665.6	28	503.7		27.5		476.2	
ONONDAGA		82.4	23	47.1	28	235.3	20		783.3	29	81.0	31	702.3	24	552.7		43.0		509.8	
ONTARIO		63.0	29	57.4	19	205.7	32		740.4	31	92.0	27	648.4	30	502.2		17.1		454.6	
DRANGE		45.9	1	48.6	27	297.2	6		873.3	. 16	122.9	13	750.5	19	602.7		89.0		513.8	
ORLEANS		21.9	10	30.7	46	291.3	1		1,125.7	6	71.5	42	1,055.2	5	909.1		48.5		861.0	
OSWE60		58.5	31	67.1	9	191.4	36		737.8	33	137.7	B	600.1	38	514.5		67.1	10	447.4	
OTSEGO		58.3	56	15.2	62	143.2	50		672.1	42	59.0	47	613.1	35	528.9		52.2		476.7	
PUTHAK	2	49.7	34	58.1	17	191.6	35		644.0	47	105.1	21	539.0	49	475.9	40	43.3	33	432.7	39

a

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

( Continued on next page. )

B-27
		PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED		UNDER	R PROBAT		ISES I ON DURI	ING THE YEAR	1	UKDEI	R PROBATI		ISES Vision on	DECEMBER	5 31
	Tota	1	Family C	ourt	Crisinal	Court	Tota	ni	Family (	lourt	Crisinal	Court	Tot	ut -	Family (	Court	Crisinal	Court
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	kant	Rate	Rank	Rate .	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
QUEEKS	172.0	53	17.0	60	155.0	48	532.5	56	38.5	60	494.0	53	419.2	50	24.1	49	395.2	47
REHSSELAER	330.4	9	74.0	5	258.4	14	<b>915.1</b>	11	121.6	15	793.5	13	740.0	8	72.7	-8	667.3	11
RICHKOND	136.9	61	24.3	52	112.6	59	440.3	10	33.4	62	406.8	60	332.2	59	18.2	60	314.0	56
ROCKLAND	339.0	8	125.9	1	213.0	29	847.9	19	237.9	1	610.0	36	582.9	24	135.4	1	147.5	-37
SI. LAWRENCE	156.1	57	16.8	61	139.3	54	589.9	50	43.2	58	546.7	47	419.7	49	16.8	61 -	403.0	46
SARATOBA	173.3	52	35.9	41	137.4	55	414.6	61	53.2	54	361.4	61	289.0	60	33.4	42	255.6	- 60
SCHENECTADY	266.3	28	59.8	13	208.5	30	B32.1	22	141.4	6	\$90.5	26	576.4	25	59.1	18	517.3	24
SCHOHARIE	177.9	51	20.1	56	157.7	46	630.9	49	53.7	51	577.2	45	459.7	43	33.6	-41	426.2	- 41
SCHUYLER	485.4	1	62.8	11	422.6	1	1,170.7	4	79.9	35	1,090.7	4 4	736.7	9	34.3	38	702.4	5
SENECA	192.3	47	51.9	23	140.4	52	702.0	36	180.1	3	521.9	50	44B.6	46	103.8	3	344.9	54
STEUBEN	201.4	45	32.9	45	168.5	44	669.8	44	76.0	37	593.B	40	454.1	44	33.9	40	420.2	43
SUFFOLK	316.3	14	66.2	10	250.1	16	B96.B	13	139.1	1	757.7	16	606.Ÿ	20	76.3	6	530.6	27
SULLIVAN	409.8	3	59.2	15	350.6	3	1,409.7	1	122.8	14	1,286.9	L	1,072.5	t	40.4	16	1,011.8	1
TIDGA	234.2	38	59.5	14	174.7	43	835.7	21	95.3	25	740.4	21	611.4	19	53.6	22	557.B	21
TONPKINS	222.3	41	25.0	51	197.4	33	695.4	37	60.1	46	á35.3	31	512.8	34	22.7	52	490.1	28
ULSTER	230. B	39	37.2	38	193.6	34	640.5	48	67.2	43	573.4	46	465.3	42	33.0	43	432.3	- 40
KARREN	230.1	40	39.5	34	190.5	37	560.7	55	80.9	33	479.9	54	384.6	53	25.2	48	359.5	52
WASHINGTON	213.2	43	88.1	4	125.1	57	583.2	51	156.8	4	425.4	57	355.9	56	49.3	27	306.6	58
NAYNE	291.3	19	6B.5	7	222.7	27	783.5	28	121.1	16	662.5	29	579.1	25	75.4	1	503.7	27
WESTCHESTER	258.0	32	33.7	43	224.3	26	805.9	25	61.9	45	744.0	20	647.4	15	32.5	. 44	614.9	14
MADUINE	275.3	25	91.0	3	184.4	40	666.2	45	125.4	10	540.9	48	452.4	45	63.9	13	388.4	49
YATES	246.7	36	40.5	12	186.2	39	805.2	26	102.4	23	702.B	23	642.3	76	51.2	25	591.1	. 1/

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

• The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year icases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

¥

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

B-28

								r. r. ara	al Courte	15	
	Tota	11	Family i	igur t 🕫		Tol	al	Techai	cal	Ken C	Coav
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙank		Rate	ƙank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kan
ALBANY	60.7	.11	6.4	30		10.4	8	55 1	Ξ		
ALLEGANY	18.6	57	62.7	29				44.5	5	15.7	-
BROHI	75.3	5	69.2	20		12.6	59	8.4	51	4.2	-
BROGHE	43.7	34	63.9	32		-74.7	5	65.4	3	9.2	
CATTARAUSUS	9.6	61	16.1	51		40.5	33	18.5	36	22.0	-
CAYUGA	99.1	10	10.1 £8.2	27		8.5	٤l	.0	59	8.5	-
CHAUTAUQUA	48.4	25	74.3	26		103.9	2.	28.7	22	75.3	
CHEMUKG	55.5	15	113.6	13		44.0	26	21.4	29	22.6	1
CHENANGO	55.7	14	46.5	41		45.4	23	37.5	12	7.9	
CLINION	22.7	58	10.3	33		57.0	10	44.3	6	12.7	
COLUMBIA	49.2	24	75.8	25		18.1	55	16.3	42	1.8	
CORTLAND	55.3	16	12.5			44.3	25	33.2	17	11.1	
DELAVARE	15.2	59		8		47.9	19	29.3	21	18.4	
DUTCHESS	42.2	38	.0	54		16.8	57	4.2	56	12.6	
EKIE	52.2	30 19	155.9	3		23.2	54	14.2	47	9.1	
ESSEX		•••	87.7	21		47.3	20	33.4	16	14.0	
FRANKLIN	23.1 28.7	54	111.1	14		12.9	58	.0	59	12.9	
FULTON		50	.0	54		33.3	43	15.2	45	18.2	
SENESEE	50.3	21	76.9	24		48.8	16	2.2	58	46.6	
	42.0	35	36.4	- 44		43.3	28	28.4	23	14.9	
GREENE	62.B	9	20.0	2		43.1	29	14.4	46	28.7	
HANILTON	0.	62	.0	54		.0	52	:0	59	.0	
HERKINER	28.9	49	.0	54		37.9	38	37.9	10	0	
DEFFERSON	(9.3	23	109.1	15		39.0	34	25.0	27	14.0	
IKES	36.9	43	13.2	52		38.0	37	7.3	52	30.6	
ENIS	35.4	45	25.6	48		37.7	40	25.2	26	12.6	
IVINESTON	53.0	18	121.2	10		48.9	16	16.9	41	31.9	
AD150N	56.8	13	102.6	16		52.5	- 14	33.4	15	19.1	
IOHRDE	29.7	48	56.6	36		27.1	51	17.3	39	9.8	
IDNTGONERY	46.6	27	122.8	9		32.5	44	6.5	- 53	26.0	
IASSAU	45.8	29	53.7	38		45.0	24	20.0	33	24.9	
EN YORK	62.2	10	35.5	45		63.4	7	19.4	35	44.0	
IAGARA	45.9	28	125.9	7		37.4	41	15.6	43	21.B	
REIDA	51.0	17	126.4	- 6		46.1	21	19.8	34	26.4	
NONDAGA	80.7	4	100.8	17		78.3	4	75.3	2	3.1	
DHTARIO	B6.3	3	94.1	19	•	25. t	3	43.4	7	41.7	
JRANGE	42.5	36	35.2	46		43.7	27	34.6	14	9.1	
DRLEANS	36.3	44	0	54		38.7	36	31.5	18	7.3	
ISNEGO	23.9	53	12.2	53		26.6	52	18.2	37	8.4	
ISEGO	27.6	51	.0	54		30.2	47	11.0	49	19.2	

TABLE 76 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

14 C

° н.,

( Continued on next page, )

B-29

							Crisiaa	1 Courte	24	
	1	etal#	Fasily C	Courtes	Tot	al	Techai	cal	Ken C	DAV
OLAITY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank	Rata	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
		.9 42	38.9	43	0	39				-
IVEENS	37			35	37.8		1.6	55 50	33.2	51
ENSSELAER 11Chhond	18		59.8	30	11.7 50.6	60 15	21.0	30	2.5	5 1
IDCKLAND			17.4	50	55.0	13	40.8	-9	14.2	3
T. LAWRENCE	34		40.8	42	33.9	42	21.0	31	12.9	3
ARATOGA	74		116.3	12	68.5	6	49.7	4	18.8	2
CHENECTADY		.5 31	117.4	11	30.8	46	15.4	44	15.4	
CHDHARIE	26		.0	54	29.1	48	5.8	54	23.3	-
CHUYLER	43		214.3	. 1	31.4	45	26.2	24	5.2	-
ENECA	95		50.8	39	111.1	1	76.0	1	35.1	
TEUBEN		.3 12	81.1	23	55.4	12	41.5	8	13.6	3
UFFOLK		.3 37	25.2	49	45.4	22	25.B	25	19.6	2
SULLIVAN		.3 22	£0,2	34	48.3	18	37.9	10	10.3	-
106A		.5 26	83.3	22	42.9	30	34.9	13	8.0	
IOMPKINS	45		94.3	18	41.1	32	30.4	20	10.7	. 1
ILSTER		.B B.	127.3	5	58.4	9	30.9	19	27.7	
IARREN		.3 7	133.3	4	56.2		22.5	28	33.7	
ASHINGTON		.3 41	67.4	28	26.9	49	4.1	57	24.8	1
IAYNE		.4 40	28.3	47	41.4	31	17.2	40	24.1	. 1
ESTCHESTER	30		54.1	37	28.1	50	12.1	48	16.0	
YONTHS		.8 60	.0	54	18.2	55	18.2	37	.0	
ATES	23		.0	54	26.5	53	.0	59	26.5	

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

\* Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

\*\* Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

ses Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### TABLE B JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

									 		AIL AN	D PENITEN	IARY							
					Adai	ssions				Repor	tad	Average	Đailv			Decemb	er 31 Popul	ation		
			Tota	1	Unsente	nced	Seater	iced		Capac		Popula			Tot	al	Unsente	aced	Senter	nced
COUNTY			Rate	Rint	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
ALBANY			1,259.4	25	BL0.3	32	399.1	16		153.2	5	128.6	3	٠	113.4	٤	63.B	8	49.7	,
ALLEGANY			B88.0	48	750.5	42	137.5	56		69.6	35	51.1	4		45.2	44	21.6	17	23.6	
BRCNI			.0	58	.0	58	.0	58		.0	57	.0	58		.0		.0	58	.0	
BROOKE			1,040.3	41	732.4	43	307.8	27		B5.7	25	71.5	27		71.5		35, 3	28	36.2	
CATTARAUGUS			1,238.5	27	958.4	23	280.1	33		89.1	21	57.4	39		72.7	20	32.8	32	39.8	
CAYUSA			1,457.6	17	940.4	-26	517.2	6		77.6	29	62.6	35		68.9	25	40.1	23	28.8	
CHAUTAUQUA			1,044.2	40	694.0	15	350.1	-21		67.9	38	10.3	37		19.9		35.4	27	14.8	-
CHEMUNG			1,190.3	31	799.0	34	391.3	19		0,001	13	88.1	11		108.7	8	45.7	17	63.0	
CHENAKSO			1,142.8	35	662.5	49	480.4	ï		66.0	40	56.0	- 41		34.0	-	20.0	51	14.0	
CLINICH			1,615.8	11	1,157.3	. 11	458.5	9		63.7	43	53.9	42		58.B	-	33.1	31	25.7	
COLUNBIA			1,414.1	18	996.7	20	417.5	14		95,7	18	89.1	10		75.9	14	42.9	19	33.0	
CORTLAND			1,698.6	7	1,299.8	2	398.8	18		67.5	39	78.1	22		111.0		B0.2	6	31.7	
DELAVARE			B19.0	52	569.4	51	249.5	37		68.2	37	34.1	56		44.8		27.7	41	17.1	
DUTCHESS			933.7	44	762.8	40	170,9	51		68.6	36	56.8	40		58.0		42.3	21	15.7	
ERIE			1,170.4	34	1.004.7	19	163.7	53		100.9	12	65.5			53.4		37.4	26	16.1	
ESSEI			750.7	54	539.0	53	211.7	43		62.0	41	33.0			33.0		30.2	36	2.8	
FRANKLIN		•	1,374.6	21	949.9	25	424.7	12		125.6		84.5			75.4		38.9	24	36.5	
FULTON			1,680.2	. 9	505.3	55	1,174.9	1		.0	57	84.B	14		57.8		23.5	45	34,3	
BERESEE			1,297.1	23	982.2	21	315.0	25		23.7	56	47.4			64.3		32.2	33	32.2	
GREENE			1,697.4	B	1,164.5	12	532.8	4		130.2	6	B4.4	18		60.3		33.8	30	24.5	
HAHILTON			1,624.9	10	1,103.3	16	521.6	5	•	80.2	28	40.1			120.4		80.2		40,1	
HERKINER			1,134.2	37	BL0.7	· 31	273.5	34		- 53.8	47	58.3	38		38.7		29.9	38	9.0	
JEFFERSON			1,101.9	33	764.3	39	417.7	13		74.5	31	73.4			65.5		31.6	35	33.9	
KINGS			.0	58	.0	58	.0	58		.0	57	.0			0.		.0	58	.0	
LEWIS			905.0	45	726.9	38	139.1	55		91.4	20	43.7					19.9		27,8	
LIVINGSTON			1,549.9	15	1,171.0	11	378.9	20		96.0	17	89.2			84.0		53.1	12	30,9	
KADISON			•	36	884.0	30	257.7	36		70.4	22	70.4			58.9		28.5		28.5	
			1,141.7	30	958.2	24	240.2	39		70.2	34	68.9			79.6		53.3	11	26.2	
KONROE			1,198.4	12				3							81.9				15.7	
NONTGONERY	•		1,593.5		1,041.4	17	552.1			168.5	2	91.4	-				66.6			
NASSAU			655.1	57	464.2	55	190.9	47		64.4	42	82.3			95.9		57.3		28.	
NEW YORK			0.	58	.0	58	.0	58		.0	57				.0		.0			
NIAGARA			1,223.6	29	921.0	27	307.6	29		97.9	14	84.5		•	69.7		35.1	29	34.1	
OKEIDA			705.1	55	534.4	54	170.7	52		82.2		74.2			67.0		40.7	,	26.3	
ONONDAGA			1,708.9	6	1,524.3	3	184.6	49		87.9	23	96.3			92.0		54.6		37.4	
ONTARIO			1,381.2	20	975.3	22	405.9	15		96.3	15	85,5		•	8S.5		20.6		64.9	
DRANGE			1,340.4		1,14B.2		212.2	42		96.2		B0.0			13.5		51.2		27.3	
ORLEANS	•		1,290.2	24	1,029.6	18	260.6	35		166.1	4	125.2			122.1		99.6		23.0	-
OSWEGO			1,129.8	38	768.0	37	361.8	22		80.6	27	20.4			57.5		27.7		30.1	
OTSE60			904.5	46	616.5	50	288.0	32		37.1	51	48,8			42.1		15.2		27.0	
PUTHAN			892.5	-47	760.2	41	132.3	57		49.4	50	49.9	48		39.6	50	21.0	49	19.5	5

JATI AND PENTENTIARY

( Continued on next page. )

1077-017 Control 1797-1518

			Adei	ssions				-			· •		Becendi	er 31 Popul	ation		
	Tota	ıl	Unsente	enced	Senten	nced		Repor Capac		Averagë Populi		Tota	il.	Unsenta	aced	Senten	ced
OUKTY	fate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	<u>ƙ</u> ank
UEENS	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58		.0	57	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58
ENSSELAER	844.2	50	668.6	46	195.6	46		75.3	20	50.9	45	37.0	53	21.1	48	15.9	46
ICHMOND	.0	58	.0	58	.9	58		.0	57	.0	58	.0	59	.0	58	.0	58
DCKLAND	699.0	56	403.B	57	296.0	31		30.9	55	36.2	55	32.8	57	20.0	52	12.8	53
T. LAWRENCE	798.0	53	561.7	52	236.3	41		53.8	48	50.3	47	45.0	45	18.5	55	26.5	29
ARATOGA	836.7	51	662.8	48	173.9	50		43.3	52	53.2	43	39.0	51 .	21.7	46	17.3	42
CHENECTADY	1,233.2	28	919.1	28	314.1	26		58.4	44	68.4	30	63.1	29	50.5	15	12.4	54
CHOHARIE	1,100.7	39	889.3	29	211.4	44		70.5	. 32	50.3	46	43.6	47	30.2	37	13.4	52
CHUYLER	1,844.6	3	1,473.4	4	371.2	21		119.9	9	102.8	5	131.3	2	119.9	2	11.4	55
EKECA	1,593.2	13	1,294.1	9	299.1	30		88.5	22	79.4	21	70.2	22	42.7	20	27.5	26
TEUBEN	962.6	43	111.1	36	184.9	48		50.3	49	67.8	31	58.6	32	44.2	18	14.4	50
UFFOLK	879.1	49	86B.0	47	211.1	45		57.6	45	65.1	34	52.2	39	32.1	34	20.1	39
ULLIVAR	2,491.1	1	1,791.4	· 1	699.7	2		242.6	. I	174.6	1	168.6	I	127.2	ł	41.4	4
106A	1,185.0	32	786.0	35	399.0	17	•	111.2	10	77.4	23	69.5	24	37.7	25	31.8	.16
ONPKINS	1,390.8	19	1,251.3	- 10	139.5	54		.37.4	53	48.8	50	43.1	48	26.1	43	17.0	- 44
LSTER	1,518.6	16	1,159.5	13	359.0	23		86.7	24	87.9	12	75.1	18	52.5	13	22.6	36
ARREN	1,885.3	2	1,452.2	5	433.1	11		104.2	11	71.9	26	48.5	42	25.2	- 44	23.4	34
ASHINGTON	1,245.8	26	812.3	33	433.5	10		95.2	19	84.6	15	52.9	38	19.4	54	33.5	13
AYNE	1,787.5	5	1,318.1	7	469.4	8		56.0	46	16.2	32	50.3	40	29.7	39	20.6	38
ESTCHESTER	1,843.6	4	1,600.2	2	243.5	38		121.3	8	95.3	7	80.9	14	50.4	16	30.5	19
YDAINS	1,012.9	42	705.6	44	307.3	28		44.3	51	41.8	53	34.4	54	14.8	57	19.7	40
ATES	1,591.7	14	1,354.4	6	237.4	40		176.9	3	153.6	2	125.7	3 1	97.7	4	27.9	24

٠

TABLE B JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

SOURCE: NYS Consission of Correction.

B-32

TABLE 9A NEW COURT CONMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

													CONNITKE													
							V	lioleat	Felonies										drug Føl	onies			Othe		Yout	
	Tot	3]	Tot	2]	Hosi	ciáz	Sex Off	20525	Robt	ery	Burgl	ary	Weap	on s	Oth	ier .	To	tal	Passes	sion	52	)e	Felo		Diie	
OUNTY	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	kant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Reat	kate	Rank	Rate	Rint	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Rank	Rete	Rank	Rate	Ran
LBANY	72.9	5	21.5	12	1.4	31	3.9	17	1.6	13	7.0	15	2.8 ·	9	1.8	27	20.B	5	8.8	3	12.0	6	29.9	3	.1	3
LLEGANY	7.9	60	3.9	51	2.0	21	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	۰.	23	2.0	22	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	2.0	59	2.0	1
RDNI	136.1	2	94.9	2	13.7	1	7.3	5	41.4	2	10.1	4	11.5	2	7.9	4	21.5	4	5.7	5	15.7	4	16.6	15	3.2	
ROOKE	38.1	18	21.7	11	.9	34	3.8	18	3.8	15	9.9	6	.5	19	2.8	14	.5	45	.0	32	.5	41	15.5	20	.5	3
ATTARAUGUS	11.7	56	3.5	54	.0	36	1.2	43	2.3	24	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	7.0	50	1.2	2
AYU6A	30.1	26	4.3	44	.0	36	2.5	28	.0	40	3.8	30	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	0	32	.0	42	17.5	12	6.3	
HAUTAUQUA	20.1	43	8.3	39	2.1	19	.0	47	.1	39	4.2	28	.0	23	1.4	31	2.8	28	1.4	- 22	1.4	34	7.6	49	1.4	2
HEMUNS	56.5	9 -	29.4	7	2.2	17	7.4	3	1.1	37	8.7	11	4.3	4	5.4	7	.0	45	.0	32	.0	42	24.1	5	1.1	3
HENANGO	16.0	53	8.0	41	.0	36	2.0	31	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	6.0	6	4.0	20	2.0	15	2.0	28	4.0	55	.0	4
L'INTON	19.6	45	4.9	50	.0	36	2.5	29	1.2	35	1.2	50	.0	23	.0	40	1.2	- 43	.0	32	1.2	37	12.3	31	1.2	2
OLUMBIA	59.4	7	18.2	19	1.7	28	5.0	8	.0	40	8.3	12	.0	23	3.3	12	23.1	3	5.0	7	18.2	2	16.5	18	1.7	1
ortland	54.9	10	16.9	20	.0	36	.0	47	2.1	25	2.1	44	4.2	5	B.4	3	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	27.4	4	10.6	
ELAVARE	4.3	62	2.1	58	.0	36	2.1	30	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	2.1	36	٥,	32	2.1	27	٥.	61	.0	- 4
UTCHESS	25.9	31	13.3	27	.0	38	2.7	24	4.3	- 14	4.7	26	.1	21	1.2	34	1.5	40	.0	32	1.6	33	10.2	40	.8	3
RIE	32.7	22	15.9	23	2.0	22	2.7	25	3.3	17	5.9	21	.6	16	1.4	30	1.9	39	1.2	26		40	13.7	26	.8	1.
SSEL	24.7	35	8.3	. 40	.0	36	2.8	23	5.5	12	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	0	46	.0	32	.0	42	13.8	25	2.8	1
RANKL IN	25.1	34	6.9	43	2.3	15	.0	47	.0	40	4.6	27	0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	1B.3	10	.0	4
ULTON	46.9	14	16.2	22	3.6	7	1.8	37	.0	40	9.0	10	.0	23	1.8	25	9.0	10	.0	32	8.0	7	16.2	19	5.4	
ENESEE	27.1	29	10.2	35	1.7	27	.0	47	1.7	30	3.4	35	3.4	6	.0	40	3.4	25	.0	32	3.4	18	10.2	42	3.4	
REENE	26.5	30	7.2	42	.0	36	4.8	9	.0	40	2.4	41	.0	23	.0	40	7.2	15	4.8	8	2.4	24	12.1	32	.0	4
ANILTON	20.1	44	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	20.1	8	0	
ERKIKER	13.4	55	3.0	56	1.5	30	.0	47	1.5	33	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	10.5	38	.0	
EFFERSON	29.4	27	12.4	29	2.3	16	7.9	2	.0	40	2.3	43	.0	23	.0	40	2.3	34	.0	32	2.3	26	14.7	23	.0	4
INGS	92.8	4	59.0	3	10.0	3	1.9	34	29.7	3	7.9	14	6.3	3	3.2	13	19.0	5	4.1	11	14.9	5	13.4	27	1.4	
ENIS	7.9	59	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	4.0	21	.0	32	1.0	15	4.0	56	.0	
IVINGSTON	25.7	33	13.7	26	3.4	8	5.1	7	.0	40	3.4	33	.0	23	1.7	28	5.1	17	3.4	12	1.7	31	1.9	51	,0	
ADISON	19.5	46	9.4	38	.0	36	4.5	11	1.5	32	3.0	38	٥.	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	9.0	46	1.5	
OXRDE	42.1	16	22.7	10	2.6	12	2.6	27	7.4	B	5.5	22	2.0	10	2.7	19	2.7	30	.7	31	2.0	29	15.5	21	1.3	
ONTGOMERY	19.0	48	1.9	59	.0	36	1.9	33	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	1.9	38	1.9	18	.0	42	11.4	34	3,8	
ASSAU	36.9	19	20.3	16	2.3	14	1.2	41	7.9	7	4.8	16	.8	14	1.3	33	6.3	16	1.2	27	5.1	13	9.1	45	1.2	
EN YORK	229.7	1	125.5	1	12.2	2	4.2	14	6B, B	1	15.0	1	15.3	1	9.9	1	51.4	1	11.8	1	39.5	1	49.4	1	3.4	
IASARA	31.4	24	11.5	31	1.8	24	.9	45	3.7	16	3.2	36	.5	20	1.4	32	2.8	29	.0	32	2.8	19	16.6	15	.5	
NEIDA	33.9	21	14.4	25	2.8	II	1.2	42	2.8	20	3.6	32	1.2	13	2.8	10	3.2	26	2.0	31	1.2	38	14.8	22	1.5	
NONDAGA	52.9	11	29.0	7	2.1	18	5.6	۵.	6.9	9	9.5	8	1.5	12	3.4	9	3.7	22	1.3	24	2.4	25	18.5	9	1.7	
HTARIO	50.9	12	20.6	15	1.1	33	4.3	12	.0	40	9.7	. 7	.0	23	5.4	8	10.B	9	5.4	6	5.4	11	17.3	13	2.2	
RANGE	36.7	20	16.6	21	1.8	26	2.9	22	5.8	11	4.0	29		22	1.8	26	9.0	11	4.3	10	4.7	14	11.2	37	.0	
RLEANS	40.9	17	15.3	24	.0	36	2.6	26	.0	40	12.8	2	.0	23	.0	40	2.6	31	.0	32	2.6	20	20.4	7	2.6	
SWEED	23.5	38	9.2	36	.8	35	1.7	40	.8	38	5.0	25	.0	23	.8	38	.8	44	.8	29	.0		12.6	29	.8	
15660	15.2	54	5.1	48	.0	36	1.7	39	1.7	31	1.7	48	.0	23	.0	40	5.1	18	3.4	13	1.7	32	3.4	58	1.7	
UTHAN	22.3	42	4.9	49	1.2	32	.0	17	2.5	23	1.2	49	.0	23	.0	40	11.1		· 8.7	- ii	2.5	21	6.2	52	.0	

NEW COURT CONNITHENTS TO STATE PRISON

( Continued on next page. )

B-33

											ĶE	W COURT	CONNITH	ENTS TO	STATE P	RISON										
								Violent	Felonie	\$									Drug Fe	lonies						
	Tot	al	Tot	al	Kasi	icide	Sex Of	tenses	Kob	bery	Burg	lary	Kez	pons	QL	psi	To	tal	Passe	ssion	Şal	t	Othe Felo		Yout: Dife	aders
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Reak	Rate	Rank
DUEENS	58.4	8	33.1	5	4.3	6	1.8	36	14.6	4	6.7	17	3.4	7	2.8	17	11.1	6	4.4	9	6.7	9	12.0	33	1.8	14
RENSSELAER	23.1	40	12.6	28	2.0	20	2.0	32	2.0	27	2.5	40	.7	15	3,3	11	1.3	42	1.3	23	.0	42	9.3	44	.0	- 41
Richmond	30.8	25	19.8	17	2.9	10	1.9	35	5.9	10	1.3	18	1.6	11	.8	39	2.1	35	.8	30	1.3	35	8.5	47	.3	40
ROCKLAND	31.7	23	11.7	30	1.5	29	.8	46	2.6	22	5.3	23	.0	23	1.5	29	8.3	13	1.5	21	4.8	8	10.2	41	1.5	19
ST. LAWRENCE	18.5	49	5.3	47	.0	36	٥,	- 17	1.8	29	2.4	39	.0	23	.9	37	.0	46	.0	32	<b>.</b> 0	42	13.2	28	.0	41
SARATOGA	19.2	47	10.5	34	.0	36	3.7	19	1.2	34	3.7	31	.0	23	1.9	23	3.1	27	1.2	25	1.9	30	5.0	54	.6	37
SCHENECTADY	24.6	37	10.5	33	.0	36	7.3	4.	2.7	21	.1	51	.0	23	.0	40	1.3	41	.0	32	1.3	36	11.3	36	1.3	23
SCHOHARIE	23.5	39	23.5	9	6.7	4	3.4	20	.0	40	10.1	5	.0	23	3,4	10	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	.0	61	.0	41
SCHUYLER	17.1	52	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	17.1	14	۰,	- 41
SEHECA	8.2	58	3.1	55	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	3.1	37	0	23	.0	40	.0	- 46	.0	32	.0	42	6.1	53	.0	41
STEUBEN	24.7	36	11.3	32	.0	36	4.1	15	.0	40	5.1	24	.0	23	2.1	20	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	10.3	39	3.1	8
SUFFOLK	44.B	15	21.4	13	1.9	23	2.9	21	B.1	6	6.1	19	.5	18	1.8	24	8.1	14	1.5	20	6.5	10	14.2	24	1.1	32
SULLIVAN	93.2	3	28.1	8	3.0	9	8.9	1	3.0	19	5.9	20	.0	23	7.4	5	25.1	2	8.9	2	16.3	3	37.0	2	3.0	9
TIOGA	25.8	32	6.0	45	.0	36	.0	47	2.0	26	2.0	15	. 6	23	2.0	21	2.0	37	2.0	. 17	.0	42	17.9	11	.0	- 41
TORPKINS	22.7	41	9.1	37	.0	36	4.5	10	.0	40	3.4	34	.0	23	1.1	36	2.3	33	1.1	28	1.1	39	11.3	35	.0	- 41
ULSTER	48.2	13	20.8	- 14	1.8	25	- 4.3	13	3.1	18	7.9	13	.6	17	3.1	- 14	4.3	19	1.8	19	2.4	23	22.0	6	1.2	28
WARREN	10.8	57	3.4	52	.0	36	.0	-47	1.8	28	1.8	46	.0	23	.0	40	. 3.4	23	.0	32	3.6	16	3.6	57	.0	41
NASHINGTON	5.3	61	3.5	53	0 ر	36	1.8	38	.0	40	1.8	47	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	1.8	60	.0	41
WAYNE	18.3	50	5.7	46	.0	36	1.1	44	1.1	36	2.3	42	.0	23	1.1	35	3.4	24	.0	32	3.4	17	8.0	48	1.1	30
WESTCHESTER	64.0	6	37.9	4	5,2	5	4.0	16	11.9	5	10.7	3	3.1	8	2.9	15	8.4	12	3.2	14	5.2	12	16.5	. 17	1.2	29
NADWINE	17.2	51	2.5	57	2.5	13	.0	47	<b>.</b> 0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	2.5	32	.0	32	2.5	22	12.3	30	.0	- 41
YATES	27.9	28	18.6	18	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	9.3	9	.0	23	9.3	2	٥.	46	.0	32	.0	42	9.3	43	.0	- 41

TABLE 94 NEW COURT CONNITNENTS TO STATE FRISDY RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

. В-34

						•	•				INHATES	UNDER	CUSTODY													
									۷	ioleat	Felonie	5							Drug Fel	onies						
	Tot	al	Tot	al	Haai	clde	Sex Of	lenses	kabb	ery	Burg	lary	Иезрі	005	Oth	£Ľ.	Tot	21	Posses	sion	Sa	le	Other Felon	i es	Youthf Difend	
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Sank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rent	Rate	Renk	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	250.5	4	131.0	4	20.4	10	29.2	2	10.2	5	22.9	4	5.6	4	12.7	1	48.3	3	19.4	2	28.9	3	69.0	2	2.1	15
ALLEGANY	11.8	61	7.9	59	3.9	53	2.0	55	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.0	43	.0	55	.0	42	0	51	2.0	12	2.0	18
BROKE	432.7	2	348.4	2	83.8	2	29.1	3	165.7	2	2£.7	- 3	24.1	2	19.2	2	50.2	2	13.7	3	38.5	2	29.8	14	4.3	7
EROONE	109.7	13	72.0	12	11.8	29	13.2	9	21.2	13	18.4	8	.9	28	6.6	20	5.2	29	2,4	27	2.8	31	32.0	12	.5	39
CATTARAUGUS	31.6	54	15.2	53	1.2	59	5.9	40	8.2	24	٩.	54	.0	32	.0	52	1.2	53	.0	42	1.2	47	14.1	46	1.2	31
CAYUGA .	67.6	32	28.8	41	1.3	58	8.8	26	7.5	27	6.3	40	.0	32	5.0	28	3.8	39	1.3	38	2.5	35	30.1	13	5.0	4
CHAUTAUQUA	43.7	49	22.2	48	12.5	24	1.4	58	2.1	45	4.9	42	.0	32	- 1.4	49	9.7	15	5.5	u	1.2	24	11.8	51	.0	42
CHEMUNG	187.0	5	118.5	5	23.9	6	31.5	1	18.5	14	28.3	2	4.3	9	12.0	8	2.2	47	1.1	41	1.1	4B	£2.0	3	4.3	6
CHENANGD	44.0	47	32.0	37	10.0	33	4.0	49	8.0	25	.0	54	.0	32	10.0	10	4.0	73	2.0	31	2.0	42	B.0	56	.0	42
CLINION .	52.7	41	22.1	49	3.7	54	7.4	32	6.1	29	3.7	47	.0	32	1.2	50	2.5	46	.0	42	2.5	37	27.0	20	1.2	30
COLUMBIA	97.4	16	41.3	28	L.6	42	16.5	6	3.3	40	9.9	29	.0	32	5.0	29	32.0	6	6.6	9	26.4	5	23.1	26	.0	42
CORTLAND	78.1	27	29.5	28	4.2	52	2.1	54	.0	52	2.1	50	6.3	5	14.8	- 4	2.1	49	2.1	30	.0	51	40.1	7	6.3	1
DELAWARE	17.1	60	10.7	58	7.1	56	6.4	37	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.1	41	2.1	48	.0	42	2.1	41	4.3	60	.0	42
DUTCHESS	71.0	29	48.2	21	11.8	30	7.8	29	14.5	16	9.4	30	1.2	24	3.5	33	3.9	36	1.2	40	2.7	<b>İ</b> 3	18.0	36	.8	36
ERIE	95.5	17	\$5.8	16	20.8	8	9.4	23	15.8	15	12.6	21	1.2	23	6.1	23	3.9	37	2.7	23	1.2	46	24.8	23	1.0	34
ESSET	38.5	52	16.5	52	.0	60	5.5	41	1.1	17	.0	54 -	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	19.2	.33	2.8	10
FRAKKLIN	79.9	25	36.5	33	9.1	34	6.9	35	4.6	36	6.9	37	2.3	16	6.9	18	6.9	23	4.6	16	2,3	40 -	34.3	. 9	2.3	12
FULTON	83.0	22	39.7	31	18.0	12	7.2	33	.0	52	12.6	20	.0	32	1.8	45	9.0	16	1.8	34	7,2	14	28.9	15	5.4	3
GENESEE	64.3	31	40,4	29	8.5	37	3.4	53	B.5	23	10.2	27	5.1	7	5.1	26	5.1	30	.0	42	5.1	20	15.2	42	3.4	8
GREENE	55.5	38	33,8	35	12.1	27	9.6	22	4.8	34	7.2	35	.0	32	.0	52	7.2	22	4.8	14	2.4	38	14.5	45	.0	42
HAMILTON	80.2	24	40.1	30	40.1	- 1	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	12	.0	51	40.1	6	.0	42
HERKINER	26.9	55	13,4	55	6.0	45	1.5	57	3.0	42	1.5	53	.0	32	1.5	48	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	13.4	4B	.0	42
JEFFERSON	70.0	30	38.4	32	12.4	25	12.4	13	1.1	51	10.2		.0	32	2.3	40	3.4	42	.0	12	3.4	27	27.1	19	1.1	33
KINGS	283.3	3	218,1	3	66.4	3	11.8	-14	95.9	3	19.7	7	15.6	3	8.7	11	34.7	4	7.6	6	27.2	4	28.2	16	2.3	13
LEWIS	7.9	62	,0	62	.0	60	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	4.0	34	.0	12	4.0	25	1.0	81	0	42
LIVINESTON	54.9	39	29.1	40	B.6	38	8.6	28	.0	52	10.3	25	.0	32	1.7	46	8.6	17	3.4	18	5.1	19	17.1	37	.0	42
MADISON	40.5	50	24.0	45	4.5	51	10.5	17	3.0	41	4.5	43	.0	32	1.5	47	1,5	51	.0	42	1.5	45	13.5	47	1.5	25
NONROE	129.5	10	87.8	9	20.3	11	10.8	16	28.2	1	16.2	12	4.1	10	8.2	13	6.7	26	2.3	28	1.1	22	33.5	11	1.6	23
NONTGOKERY	45.7	45	22,8	47	11.4	31	3.8	51	1.9	47	3.8	45	.0	32	1.9	44	3,8	38	3.8	17	.0	51	13.3	49	5.7	2
NASSAU	78.8	15	69.0	13	13.6	23	5.0	44	27.8	9	17.7	10	1.7	18	3.2	35	13.5	13	2.4	25	11.1	10	14.9	43	1.5	24
HEN YORK	618.6	1	406.5	1	B6.0		15.5	7	207.1	i	38.4	1	36.2		23.1	1	117.0	1	26.0	1	91.0	1	90.1	1	4.9	5
NIAGARA	91.0	19	51.3	18	16.2	. 17	9.7	21	10.2	21	7.4	34	2.8		5.1	25	5.5	27	2.8	22	2.8	32	33.7	10	.5	40
ONEIDA	B4.2	21	51.1	19	15.2	21	7.6	31	9.2	22	10.8	24	1.6	19	6.8	19	7.6	20	3.2	20	4.4	23	23.5	25	2.0	17
ONONDAGA	144.2	B	96.1	8	15.5	19	18.5	5	27.9	8	22.8	5	3.9	11	7.5	15	B.0	18	2.4	26	5.6	15	38.3	8	1.9	19
ONTARIO	90.9	20	49.8	20	8.7	35	13.0	10	1.3	37	13.0	-	2.2	17	8.7	12	10.8	14	5.4	12	5.4	17	28.1	17	2.2	14
DRANGE	108.8	14	48.1	15	17.7	15	10.4	18	23.4	11	10.8		.7	30	5.0	27	19.8	8	7.2	. 7	12.5	8	20.5	30		41
DRLEANS	120.1	11	63.9	17	17.9	13	7.7	30	5.1	33	12.8		2.6	15	17.9	3	7.7	19	5.1	13	2.6	34	46.0	5	2.6	11
DSWEED	48.7	43	26.0	44	5.0	49	4.2	48	7.6	26	6.7	38	.0	32	2.5	37	2.5	- 44	1.7	35	.8		19.3	-	.8	35
OTSEGO	43.8	48	15.2	• •	5.1	18	6.7	36	1.7	49	1.7	52	.0	32	.0	52	6.7	25	3.4	19	3.4	28	20.2		1.7	22
PUTNAN	51.9	42	23.5	46	11.1	32	3.7	52	2.5	44	3.7	46	.0	32	2.5	39	22.3	7	12.4	4	9.9	13	6.2		.0	42
FUIANI	31 <b>.</b> 4	12	. 23.3	50		32	3.1	32	2.3	11	3.1	10	.0	32	1,3	71	11.3		14.9	1	7.7	- 19 -	Q. 4		44	16

TABLE 98 INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

I Continued on next page. 1

B-35

-											11010123	UNDER	CUSTODY				<u> </u>									
									۷	ioleat	Felonie	5							Drug Fe	lonies			Other		Youth	
	ĩot	al l	Tol	a]	Honi	cide	Sex Of	leases	kobb	ery	Burg	lary	Veap	085	Oth	sı	Tot	at	Posse	ssica	5a	le	Felon		Offen	
COUNTY	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Rant	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank -	Rate	ƙank	Rate	Rint	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	fate	Rank	Rate	Rank
RUEENS	157.1	7	117.4	6	27.7	5	8.6	27	48.6	4	18.2	9	6.5	4	1.1	14	18.3	10	L.B	B	11.5	Ŷ	21.3	29	2.0	18
REHSSELAER	58.1	35	44.9	28	17.8	14	4.0	50	5.9	32	B.6	33	1.3	22	7.3	17	1.3	52	1.3	31	.0	51	11.9	50	.0	42
KICHSOND	95.2	18	75.2	11	17.7	16	5.9	39	26.7	10	15.5	13	3.2	12	6.2	22	3.7	40	1.3	36	2.4	38	15.8	41	.5	36
ROCKLAND	81.1	23	47.5	23	14.3	22	4.5	47	10.2	20	13.6	15	1.5	20	3.4	34	13.6	12	2.6	24	10.9	11	18.9	34	1.1	32
ST. LAWRENCE	38, 8	51	19.4	51	6.2	43	5.3	43	2.6	43	4.4	44	.9	29	.0	52	۰,	54	.0	42	. 9	49	16.8	39	1.6	21
SAKATOSA	45.8	44	30.3	38	7.4	38	9.9	20	1.9	48	8.7	- 32	.0	32	2.5	38	4.3	32	1.2	39	3.1	25	10.5	53	.6	37
SCHERECTADY	54.5	40	34.5	34	1.3	57	12.6	11	10.6	18	6.6	39	1.3	21	2.0	62	2.0	50	.0	42	2.0	-43	15.6	40	1.3	27
SCHOHARIE	57.0	36	47.0	24	6.7	41	10.1	19	6.7	2B	13.4	16	.0	32	10.1	9	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	10.1	-54	.0	42
SCHUYLER	22.8	56	5.7	60	5.7	46	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	17.1	38	.0	42
SEHECA	18.3	57	12.2	57	<b>6.</b> i	- 44	.0	59	.ΰ	52	3.1	49	.0	32	3.1	34	0	55	.0	42	.0	51	- 6.1	58	.0	42
STEUSEN	69.9	31	45.2	25	12.3	26	14.4	8	2.1	46	10.3	26	.0	32	6.2	- 21	J.1	43	.0	42	3.1	30	18.5	35	3.1	9
SUFFOLK	112.7	12	68.3	14	15.3	19	9.1	25	22.2	12	16.2	11	1.1	26	4.3	31	19.3	9	3.1	21	16.1	7	23.8	25	1.3	
SULL IVAN	176.0	6	84.3	10	20.7	9	23.7	. 4	10.4	19	13.3	17	3.0	13	13.3	Ł	34.0	5	8.9	5	25.1	6	56.2	4	1.5	
TIOGA	73.4	28	43.7	27	11.9	28	6.0	3B	6.0	31	13.9	14	.0	32	6.0	24	4.0	35	2.0	32	2.0	44	25.8	21	.0	
TORPKINS	56.7	37	28.4	42	£.8	40	12.5	12	1.1	50	•5.7	41	1.1	27	1.1	51	6.9	24	2.3	29	4.5	21	21.6	28	.0	
ULSTER	78.8	26	47.5	22	15.3	20	9.2	24	٤.1	30	12.2	22	.6	31	4.3	32	7.3	21	1.8	33	5.5	16	22.0	27	1.8	-
NARREN	35.9	- 53	19.8	50	3.6	55	5.4	42	3.6	38	7.2	36	.0	32	.0	52	5.4	28	.0	42	5.4	18	10.8	52	.0	
VASHINGTON	17.6	58	12.3	56	5.3	47	1.8	56	3.5	39	1.8	51	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	5.3	59	.0	
VAYNE	45.7	46	27.4	43	6.9	39	6.9	34	4.6	35	3.4	48	1.1	25	4.6	30	3.4	. 41	.0	42	3.4	26	14.8	44	.0	
VESTCHESTER	144.1	9	101.2	1	22.6	1	11.4	15	32.9	Ŀ	22.1	6	4.6	8	7.5	16	16.6	11	1.0	10	10.6	12	25.0	22	1.3	
WYON ING	17.2	59	4.9	61	.0	60	4.9	45	.0	52		54	.0	32	.0	52	2.5	45	.0	42	2.5	36	9.8	55	.0	
YATES	65.2	33	32.6	36	4.7	50	4.7	46	.0	52	9.3	31	.0	32	14.0	3	4.7	31	4.7	15	.0	51	27.9	18	.0	1

•

TABLE 98 INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON GECEMBER 31 RATES FER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

100

#### TABLE TOA PAROLE SUFERVISION RATES FER 100,000 FOFULATION

TABLE 10B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		1 11.00	E SUPERVIS			
	keleas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sida	Unde Supervi Da 12	sion
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	kate	Kank	kate	Rank
ALBANY	70.B	5	195.5	4	142.3	4
ALLEGANY	11.8	48	51.1	39	21.6	50
BRONX	181.3	1	535.8	1	361.1	1
BROOME	39.1	-14	95.6	20	36.4	20
CATTARAUGUS	-11.7	49	35.2	51	17.6	54
CAYUGA	16.3	42	55.1	35	35.1	37
CHAUTAUQUA	22.2	36	43.7	44	31.9	- 44
CHENUNG	56.5	8	123.9	10	80.4	11
CHENANGO	16.0	43	36.0	50	32.0	42
CLINTON	29.4	24	67.4	28	44.1	28
COLUMBIA	23.1	33	61.1	31	34.7	38
CORTLAND	25.3	30	69.6	26	59.1	24
DELAWARE	1.1	58	17.1	59	10.7	59
DUTCHESS	41.9	12	121.5	11	86.2	-10
ERIE	34.5	17	100.4	17	\$2.5	22
ESSEX	11.0	51	46.7	42	33.0	41
FRAKKLIN	22.8	34	45.7	43	20.6	51
FULTON	30.7	23	63.2	30	43.3	29
GENESEE	15.2	44	57.6	34	37.3	35
GREENE	9.6	53	38.6	46	24.1	48
HAMILTON	.0	62	.0	62	.0	62
HERKINER	6.0	59	14.9	60	17.9	53
JEFFERSON	28.2	26	54.2	37	38.4	33
KINGS	104.1	3	300.7		202.4	3
LEKIS	4.0		11.9		7.9	61
LIVINGSTON	27.4		58.3		37.7	34
NADISON	12.0		30.0		16.5	56
MOKROE	30.9		155.4		109.4	3
HONTEDHERY	15.2		36.2		22.8	49
KASSAU	26.4		74.1	•••	56.0	25
NEW YORK	112.8		307.2	•	205.2	
MIAGARA	47.1	-	121.0		80.4	12
ONEIDA	31.5		71.8		50.3	
ONONDAGA	47.9		142.1		87.9	
ONTARIO	28.1		67.1		31.B	
DRANGE	24.9		91.9		60.9	
ORLEANS	33.2	- •	92.0		71.5	
UKLERNS OSNEGO	55.4 18.5		47.8		31.9	
	18.3		32.0		15.2	
OTSEGO PUTNAK	6.7 11.1		32.0 38,3		24.7	

Tota	1	• Rul Violat	-	New Arres		New Fel Convicti	•
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
99.1	19	18.0	23	55.9	12	25.2	26
38.5	42	.0	32	38.5	25	.0	35
126.7	9	40.3	6	36.1	27	50.3	5
123.2	11	24.6	15	69.0	8	29.6	23
33.3	44	.0	32	.0	43	33.3	18
22.7	48	.0	32	22.7	37	.0	35
47.6	- 11	.0	32	31.7	30	15.9	32
157.9	4	17.5	24	91.5	2	43.9	7
55.6	35	.0	32	55.6	13	.0	35
72.7	27	36.4	9	.0	a	36.4	12
54.1	37	.0	32	54.1	16	.0	35
60.6	33	.0	32	30.3	32	30.3	22
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	35
129.0	8	35.5	to	58.1	11	35.5	14
116.7	12	19.4	22	80.9	5	15.4	31
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	35
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	35
28.6	46	.0	32	.0	43	28.6	2
264.7	ĩ	147.1	2	88.2	4	29.4	24
62.5	31	.0	32	.0	43	62.5	-
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3;
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
62.5	31	20.8	20	41.7	24	.0	3
104.7	15	33.6	12	28.3	33	42.8	
.0	51	.0	32	"0	43	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0		.0	3
104.8		24.6	15	59.3		21.0	2
52.6		.0	32	52.6		.0	3
86.3	~ ~	23.3	17	30.4	31	42.6	1
135.5		44.9	5	37.4	26	53.2	
64.9		11.5	30	45.8		7.6	. 3
138.9		33.3	13	55.6		50.0	
130.1	7	45.4	4	49.9		34.B	1
96.8		16.1	25	48.4		32.3	2
66.7		19.6	21	23.5		23.5	2
27.8		.0		27.8		.0	3
.0		.0		.0		.0	
52.6		.0		52.6		.0	
32.3		.0		.0		32.3	

( Continued on next page. )

1

#### TABLE IOA PAROLE SUPERVISION KATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE IOB REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES FER 1000 PAROLEES

Rule

Violations

Total

REVOLED AND RETURNED PAROLEES .

Kez

Arrests

New Felony

Convictions

	Releas To Par		Unde Supervi Duriag		Unde Supervi On 12	sion
COLINTY	Rate	ƙank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
RUEENS	64.0	6	183.0	5	125.8	6
REHISSELAER	28.4	25	99.8	18	67.4	-19
TCHNOND	35.3	16	110.5	13	74.1	- 14
IOCKLAND	20.0	38	53.9	38	39.6	30
ST. LANGENCE	22.0	37	60.0	32	46.7	27
ARATOGA	5.0	63	17.9	58	8.0	50
CHENECTADY	33.9	19	97.0	19	6B.4	18
CHOHARIE	13.4	46	20.1	54	16.8	55
CHUYLER	34.3	18	102.8	16	62.8	21
ENECA	82.4	4	167.9	6	128.2	5
TEUBEN	22.6	35	54.4	36	39.0	31
UFFOLK	31.1	22	83.5	23	6B.6	17
ULLIVAN	50.3	9	127.2	9	97.6	6
106A	8.9	52	37.7	48	33.7	40
ONPKINS	9.1	54	30.4	53	19.3	57
ILSTER	36.6	15	105.6	15	73.3	15
ARREN	7.2	56	50.3	40	27.0	- 48
ASHINGTON	8.8	55	19.4	57	15.9	57
AYKE	17.1	41	48.5	27	38.8	3
ESTCHESTER	40.0	13	109.0	14	78.B	1
YONING	24.6	32	41.8	45	34.4	39
ATES	18.6	39	27.9	55	27.9	4

Rate	Raak	Rate	Rank	Rate	kank	Rate	Ran
115.1	13	36.5	8 -	35.9	28	42.7	1
125.8	10	13.2	28	79.5	6	33.1	i i
94.4	22	21.B	19	21.B	38	50.8	
55.9	34	14.0	26	21.0	39	21.0	2
73.5	26	.0	32	11.7	42	58.8	
103.4	16	34.5	11	69.0	8	.0	3
102.7	18	6.8	31	75.3	1	20.5	3
166.7	3	166.7	1	.0	43	.0	• 3
55.6	35	.0	32	55.6	13	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
18.9	49	.0	32	18.9	40	.0	3
B1.1	25	13.7	27	24.6	35	42.8	
93.0	23	11.6	29	46.5	21	34.9	1
52.6	38	52.6	2	.0	43	.0	3
185.2	2	37.0	7	148.1	1	.0	3
69.4	28	23.1	18	34.7	29	11.6	3
35.7		.0	32	.0	43	35.7	1
80.9		.0	32	90.9	3	.0	3
16.7		.0		16.7	41	.0	
102.9		25.5		43.5		33.9	
.0		.0		.0		.0	
.0		.0		.0		.0	

48 The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year leases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

SDURCE: WYS Division of Parole.

\* The total number of paroless revoked and returned during a given year.

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1982 - 1985

Apple of the second second

End-cull.

APPENDIX C

		<del></del>			PERCENT D	F DISPOSITION	IS REPORTED		· .			AVERAGE PERC OF DISPOSE	
			1982 Arrest	S.		1983 Arrest	5		1984 Arrest	15		1982-84 AKRE	
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Ki sáeseanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeneanor	Total	Feloay	Hisdeaeanor	Total	Felony	Kisdenzan	
ALBANY		92.1	89.2	93.1	87,2	85.6	87.8	87.8	83.6	89.4	89.1	86.1	90.2
ALLEGANY		60.2	57.8	60.7	59.7	57.4	60.5	56.8	60.0	55.9	58.9	5B.4	59.1
ERONI		90.5	91.8	88.7	89,9	91.7	87.4	86.3	87.6	84,7	88.8	90.3	B£.7
erdane		B0.2	78.7	80.7	68.7	70.0	68.3	80.9	82.9	80.3	76.9	77.5	76.7
CATTARAUGUS		46.5	67.3	2.33	64.2	66.1	63.8	45.2	59.0	56.1	\$5.3	61.4	15.5
Cayuba		73.9	76.0	73.5	72.0	72.7	71.8	65.4	70.1	64.3	70.2	72.7	69.7
CHAUTAUDUA		80.0	82.3	79.4	79.6	80.9	79.3	77.9	72.2	79.1	79.1	78.3	79.3
CHEKUNS		83.8	89.7	81.9	80.9	79.5	B1.4	79.2	84.1	77.8	81.3	84.6	80.4
CHENANGO		65.5	56.4	66.9	68.3	62.1	69.4	25.0	58.9	66.1	46.2	59.7	67.4
CLINTON		75.2	65.3	77.7	63.5	61.5	64.0	75.7	76.0	75.7	71.3	67.1	72.3
COLUNBIA		69.0	72.0	68.0	71.6	73.3	71.0	74.3	75.5	73.9	71.7	73.5	71.1
CORTLAND		83.5	89.8	82.2	78.9	74.0	79.9	81.0	81.8	80.9	81.2	82.2	81.0
DELAVARE		72.2	70.0	72.7	71.8	70.6	72.2	1.84	72.1	67.9	, 70.B	70.9	76.8
DUTCHESS		83.7	82.5	84.1	85.5	83.5	84.2	84.1	B1.1	B4.9	84.4	82.4	85.1
ERIE		87.7	85.3	88.6	78.8	80.4	78.3	82.3	83.7	B1.B	83.0	B3, 2	82,9
ESSET		70.6	24.3	69.5	44.1	68.6	62.6	72.8	61.5	74.7	49.4	70,1	69.2
FRANKLIN		71.5	65.B	72.7	74.4	74.3	74.4	75.0	75.5	74.9	73.6	71.8	74.0
FULTON		81.7	79.1	82.B	81.6	86.0	80.3	78.2	B1.0	77.2	80.3	81.7	79.7
GEKESEE		69.4	72.5	68.7	45.3	72.5	43.9	67.5	71.8		67.6	72.3	16.7
GREENE		76.4	73.8	77.5	74.2	70.5	75.9	75.4	71.2	77.3	75.4	71.9	77.0
HANILTON		57.6	64.3	54.7	52.4	65.2	47.5	68.B	68.9	66.2	59.3	6B.J	56.5
HERKINER		54.9	65.3	52.5	51.0	47.4	51.9	61.2	67.2	59.7	55.8	59.7	54.8
JEFFERSON		68.4	80.1	\$4.1	71.3	77.2	69.4	70.9	75.5	49.2	70.2	77,6	67.6
LINGS		90.1	91.6	88.2	87.1	88.0	85.9	81.5	82.1	80.7	86.1	86.9	84.9
LEWIS		51,5	62.0	47.9	54.4	71.0	51.2	60.4	\$6.7	58.8	55.8	65.9	53.1
LIVINGSTON		78.3	77.4	78.4	75.1	75.3	75.1	75.3	71.7	75.8	76.2	74.8	76.4
MADISON		71,9	70.4	72.3	70.6	78.7	48.7	73.0	75.1	72.5	71.8	74.7	71.1
NONROE		93.1	88.2	94.5	91.0	85.5	92.6	87.2	81.3	68.6	90.4	85.0	91.9
NONTGOMERY		67.4	77.6	64.8	69.4	71.6	68.0	72.2	76.4	71.3	69.9	76.1	6B.4
NASSAU		94.0	94.9	93.6	87.2	90.6	88.7	B6.2	89.4	84.9	87.8	91.7	89.0
NEW YORK		91.1	93.6	89.2	89.4	91.5	88.1	88.9	89.2	88.7	89.7	91.4	88.6
NIAGARA		93.8	70.B	94.B	92.7	90.8	93.4	89.9	88.6	90.3	92.1	90.1	92.8
ONEIDA		75.6	80.3	74.1	74.9	78.3	73.7	74.8	74.0	75.0	75.1	77.5	74.3
OKDNDAGA		79,7	74.5	82.2	81.5	75.4	B3.8	79.3	72.7	82.3	80.1	74.5	
ONTARIO		72.2	86.1	69.5	74.3	75.7	74.0	71.2	73.3	70.7	72.6	78.1	82.7
ORANGE		79.1	77.4	79.6	74.5	75.4	74.0	79.4	75.3	B0.3		76.1	71.5
ORLEANS		73.2	47.4 82.1			.15.4 81.9					78.2		/8.t 68.t
				71.0	70.1		67.0 25.0	48.9	75.9	67.1	70.7	80.0	
OSWEGO Diceso		73.0	71.9	73.3	75.8	76.0	75.0	78.6	76.3	79.2	76.0	74.8	76.3
DISEEO		80,7	75.7	B1.7	70.8	59.5	73.1	70.4	63.9	71.7	73.8	66.1	75. 82.4
PUTNAN		78.5	60.4	82.3	75.0	59.6	79.3	B1.7	62.8	84.0	78.7	60.9	82

APFENDII C PERCENT OF DISFOSED 1982 ARRESTS, 1983 ARRESTS, AND 1984 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE FERCENT OF DISFOSED 1982 THROUGH 1984 ARRESTS .

1

( Continued on next page. )

		PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED								AVERAGE PERCENT		
	<u>.</u>	1982 Arrests		1983 Arrests			1984 Arrests			OF DISPOSED 1982-84 AKRESTS		
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Nisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeseanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeseand
,	·				•	· · · ·	·····					
GUEENS	92.1	93.9	90.0	91.0	91.9	90.0	66.8	90.3	87.3	90.6	92.0	89.0
RENSSELAER	B1.9	80.1	82.5	75.5	75.B	75.5	76.8	73.4	77.8	78.0	76.5	78.5
RICHNOND	92.9	93.2	92.6	92.5	94.6	90.B	90.5	91.3	90.0	91.8	93.0	91.0
ROCKLAND	77.6	75.4	78.5	76.4	77.2	76.1	70.6	72.4	69.9	74.7	75.1	74.6
ST. LAWRENCE	64.8	46.2	68.2	59.7	30.2	65.7	51.4	28.8	56.2	59.0	35.2	63.7
SARATOGA	78.2	74.4	79.0	74.2	16.9	73.7	74.5	72.1	74.9	175.6	74.6	75.8
SCHENECTADY	87.8	61.6	87.2	87.4	81.2	99.0	88.1	84.2	89.2	87.8	82.4	89.1
SCHOHARIE	57.7	67.4	54.6	54.5	78.7	50.6	56.7	69.5	54.3	56.4	70.8	53.2
SCHUYLER	65.0	76.4	61.3	73.4	48.3	74.6	70.3	69.2	70.6	69.6	71.6	69.1
SENECA	71.5	59.7	71.8	49.9	73.0	69.5	69.8	L0.2	71.5	70.5	67.9	70.9
STEUBEN	76.7	78.2	76.4	76.1	78.5	75.6	71.3	79.6	69.3	74.7	78.8	13.7
SUFFOLK	68.8	60.6	71.9	75.2	71.0	76.6	81.9	85.8	80.4	75.3	72.1	76.4
SULLIVAN	83.9	80.2	85.1	85.5	80.2	87.2	. 84.2	82.1	84.9	B4.5	B0.8	85.7
TIOGA	72.9	73.6	72.0	\$7.8	66.5	68.2	77,4	76.0	77.7	72.7	71.6	72.9
TOMPKINS	78.1	70.9	79.7	79.7	74.3	80.8	80.7	76.5	81.5	79.4	73.7	80.6
ULSTER	68.4	\$8.0	48.5	63. i	61.2	63.7	68.9	64.4	70.4	66.8	64.5	67.5
WARREN	87.4	68.6	97.4	88.2	86.3	88.7	84.8	87.9	86.5	87.5	87.6	87.5
VASHINGTON	55.3	42.9	53.1	55.5	60.3	53.9	55.6	57.4	55.1	55.5	á0.2	54.1
WAYNE	62.3	50.9	62.5	62.9	61.9	63.1	61.3	60.4	61.5	62.2	61.1	62.4
VESTCHESTER	80.8	80.4	B1.0	75.0	73.1	75.7	66.1	67.9	65.5	73.9	74.0	73.B
WYDNING	36.4	61.1	30.2	31.3	60.0	25.9	40.5	62.4	34.9	36.2	61.3	30.4
YATES	66.5	75.0	63.8	69.1	BG.3	65.3	70.5	83,3	67.8	68.5	78.9	45.5
NEW YORK STATE	85.9	88.3	B4.4	84.0	86.4	82.6	82.9	84.5	82.0	84.2	86.4	82.9
NEW YORK CITY	90.9	92.7	89.1	89.2	90.7	87.9	86.7	87.0	85.5	88.9	90.0	87.8

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 ARKESTS, 1983 ARRESTS, AND 1984 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 THROUGH 1984 ARRESTS + - Continued

\* This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1986. "Interim" dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.

SOURCE: HYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

C-2

1.1

### APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS





ه ز

APPENDIX E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

# VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

OFFENSES	PL SECTION	SUB-	FELONY
	NUMBER	DIVISION	CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	a]]	D
Assault 1st <sup>2</sup>	120.10	a]]	C
Assault 1st <sup>2</sup> Aggravated Assault on a Peace Officer <sup>2</sup> Manslaughter 1st <sup>2</sup> Murder 2nd, Attempted Rape 1st <sup>2</sup> Sodomy 1st <sup>2</sup> Sexual Abuse 1st Agg. Sexual Abuse <sup>2</sup> Kidnapping 2nd <sup>2</sup> Kidnapping 1st, Attempted Burglary 2nd <sup>2</sup> Burglary 1st <sup>2</sup> Arson 2nd <sup>2</sup> Arson 1st, Attempted Robbery 2nd <sup>2</sup> Robbery 1st <sup>2</sup> Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd <sup>2</sup> Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st <sup>2</sup> Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st <sup>2</sup> Crim. Use Firearm 2nd <sup>2</sup>	120.11 $125.20$ $125.25$ $130.35$ $130.65$ $130.70$ $135.20$ $135.25$ $140.25$ $140.30$ $150.15$ $150.20$ $160.10$ $160.15$ $265.02$ $265.03$ $265.04$ $265.08$	00 a11 a11 a11 a11 a11 a11 a11 a11 a11 a	B B B B B B B B B B B C B B B C B C B C
Crim. Use Firearm 1st <sup>2</sup>	265.09	a11	B
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00	D

•

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd Murder 1st, Including Attempted Kidnapping 1st Arson 1st	125.25 125.27 135.25 150.20 265.02	all all all all 00,01,02,03	A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1 D
Crim. Poss Weapon 3rd <sup>3</sup>	265.02	00,01,02,03	U

<sup>1</sup>Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

<sup>2</sup>An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

<sup>3</sup>These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

E-1

A

### APPENDIX F

.

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

n

#### DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Board of Prisoners. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- Felons: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- o State Readies: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has notified the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

<u>Community Contract Housing</u>. The Department of Correctional Services had in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

Prison Transfer to State Facilities. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

#### CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

<u>Crime Victims Compensation Awards</u>. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate, and these are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

Victim and Witness Assistance Program. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

F-2

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapidly increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971). When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing pre-sentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organized Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

<u>Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds</u>. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinguency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who

receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buy-money". In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Section.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act<sup>1</sup>. Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulations, workshops and training seminars.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Prisoners' Legal Services. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

<u>School Security Officer Program</u>. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

F-6

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984, to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

Special Narcotics Court Program. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program (S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major

Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

Transit Crime Interdiction Program. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

Transit Strike Force. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the subway system. The crimes of homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

Vera Institute. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Ś

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

#### DIVISION OF PAROLE

<u>Parole Resource Center</u>. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) program provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

Parole Transitional Facilities. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve employment services performance.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-tased service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs will be targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories.

#### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

County Planning for Alternatives to Incarceration (Classification Alternatives). Pursuant to Chapters 907 and 908, Laws of 1984, \$3,050,000 was appropriated in FY 1985-86 and made available to all counties and the City of New York for the establishment or expansion of alternatives to incarceration programs. To be eligible to receive state aid, and to be able to utilize a new classification system in the local jail, each county was required to establish an alternatives to incarceration advisory board and to undertake a planning process designed to identify the types of alternatives programming needed in the county. To date, 44 counties and the City of New York have submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and are receiving funds that support approximately 70 new or expanded programs. Based upon contractual objectives, it is estimated that more than 3,000 offenders will be diverted from jail sentences at the post-conviction stage, while another several thousand will be released while awaiting disposition through new or enhanced pre-trial release efforts.

Prior to the creation of the Division in 1985, this program was administered by the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Demonstration Projects. Demonstration projects generally fall into one of three program models: community service sentencing projects; individualized sentencing plan programs; and offender rehabilitation programs. In FY 1985-86, there were 28 such projects. During the current fiscal year, these 100% State funded programs are expected to provide services to more than 10,000 clients, approximately one-half of whom will be offenders whose case outcomes are directly impacted by program intervention.

Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; and felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for a probation sentence may be determined.

<u>PINS Adjustment Services Act</u>. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this

#### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (Continued)

law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and their families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

<u>Regular State Aid</u>. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH

<u>Community Based Organization</u>. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

<u>Community Care Program</u>. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

Job Development. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

<u>Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living</u> <u>Support</u>. Gives the Division the authority and financial capacity to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand the success of this program under statutory provisions which were modified during 1985 to permit expansion into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which we were formerly limited.

<u>Special Delinquency Prevention Program</u>. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk of unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH (Continued)

Voluntary Agency Care. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psycho-social and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

#### OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Salaries of District Attorneys. Pursuant to County Law §700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

## APPENDIX G

# CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS
Sector Sector

## CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

## Table 1

# CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Expenditures Appropri-			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Department of Correctional Services	4.167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8	39,893.8
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>2</sup>	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,638.4
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,395.9	16.0%	119,898.9	93,671.0

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

<sup>2</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>3</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

### CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 2

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriati			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7		
Board of Prisoners <sup>2</sup>			•					
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	14.8	NA				
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	40.0%				
Parole Violators	.0	.0	284.9	NA				
Community Contract Housing <sup>3</sup>		215.1	434.2	NA				
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0	.0		

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

<sup>2</sup>The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

2

.

# CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 3

# CRIME VICTIMS BOARD CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Crime Victim Compensation Awards <sup>2</sup>	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,5%	6,290.0	5,206.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	26.7%	498.6	700.3
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	72.5%	191.2	143.6
Sexual Assault	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	43.3
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

2FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

#### CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

#### Table 4

## DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriat		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative <sup>2</sup>			55.6	NA		-	
Crime Prevention and Protection <sup>3</sup>	<b>,</b>	175.0	.0	NA	110.0	.0	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	15.0%	2,090.9	2,133.0	
Indigent Parolee Prog.	722.1	834.8	956.0	. 32.4%	956.0	1,028.0	
Justice Assistance Act <sup>4</sup>			. <b>in m</b>	NA	1,013.1	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	3.3%	473.8	975.2	
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	13.4%	6,624.0	6,756.5	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>5</sup>			.4	NA		e	

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

<sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

<sup>3</sup>No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>4</sup>FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

<sup>5</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

## CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 4 (continued)

## DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	. <sup>.</sup>	Expen	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u> <u>FY 86-7</u>
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0 4,818.1
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>6</sup>		<b></b>	1,395.0	NA	1,400.0 1,440.0
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>7</sup>	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	22.4%	16,153.0 16,789.5
Transit Crime Interdiction Program <sup>8</sup>				NA	1,340.6 1,486.0
Transit Crime Strike Force <sup>9</sup>	ee: ito:	3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,404.4 3,353.9
Vera Institute10		2 		NA	100.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8 39,893.8

<sup>6</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

<sup>7</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

<sup>8</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>9</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

<sup>10</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 5

# DIVISION OF PAROLE CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

*****	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditures Appropriat				
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Parole Resource Centers	398.0	511.4	477.0	19.8%	516.8	654.8
Parole Transitional Facilities <sup>2</sup>	د. <del>م</del> ن ده	37.1	172.9	NA	309.1	496.1
Employment Services Project <sup>3</sup>				NA		700.0
STOP Program <sup>3</sup>		-		NA		525.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

<sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Januari

# Table 6

## DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

· ·		Expen	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>2</sup>	۰ ۲۰۰۰ <b>- سر</b>			NA	444.9	.0
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.5	13.1%	1,684.6	1,664.3
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	20.5%	1,652.5	3,399.3
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	20.1%	11,694.5	13,574.8
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,638.4

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

<sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 7

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriation			
<sup>o</sup> rogram	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7		
Detention								
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	27.4%	15,526.6	9,723.5		
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	-11.7%	2,152.6	2,213.0		
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	28.9%	479.2	691.4		
Special Delinquency Prevention Program <sup>2</sup>	4,630.0	.0	.0	-100.0%	.0	.0		
Voluntary Agency Care <sup>3</sup>	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	-17.5%	12,508.6	.0		
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	-3.2%	27,644.9	14,041.7		
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,069.6		

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

<sup>2</sup>Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

<sup>3</sup>The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

APPENDIX H STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

8

Ĵ

#### STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

## Table 1

## STATEWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

a na na ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		Expend	litures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u> <u>FY 86-7</u>	
Department of Correctional Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8 32,737.0	
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0 3,702.5	
Division of Criminal Justice Sarvices	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5 10,394.4	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>2</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5 1,100.0	
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2 10,178.9	
TOTAL	13,638.2	14,978.0	14,344.0	5.2%	48,470.0 58,112.7	

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

<sup>2</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>3</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

## Table 2

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES STATEWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Approp	riations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Chance 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7			
Board of Prisoners <sup>2</sup>									
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	.0	NA	42.0	51.5			
Felons	.0	.0	.0	NA	18,559.6	22,770.1			
Parole Violators	.0	.0	.0	NA	2,393.4	2,936.4			
State Readies <sup>3</sup>				NA	2,520.0	3,528.0			
Community Contract Housing <sup>4</sup>		.0	.0	NA		400.0			
Prisoner Transfer <sup>2</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	312.8	3,051.0			
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8	32,737.0			

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

<sup>2</sup>Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the lump-sum 'Statewide' appropriation for this program.

<sup>4</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

### Table 3

## CRIME VICTIMS BOARD STATEWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriation			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7		
Crime Victim Compensation Awards <sup>2</sup>	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	2,803.0		
Victim and Witness Assistance Program								
Comprehensive <sup>3</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	899,5		
TOT AL	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2.210.0	3,702.5		

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

<sup>2</sup>FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

 $^{3}$ At the time of publication not all FY 1986-87 contracts had been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 4

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Indigent Parolee Prog. <sup>2</sup>	****	~ ~		NA	638.0	597.8
Justice Assistance Act <sup>3</sup>				NA	1,705.0	1,611.6
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	-25.5%	956.4	1,088.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	13.4%	.0	.0
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>4</sup>		.0	.0	NA	.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

<sup>2</sup>Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{3}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

<sup>4</sup>This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

#### STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 4 (continued)

## DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures Appropr			riations		
Program	FY 82-3 FY 83-4 FY 84-		<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>5</sup>	635.5	535.5	.0	-100.0%	500.0	500.0
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program6			.0	NA	.0	20.0
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>7</sup>	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	22.4%	2,224.2	766.8
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5	10,394.4

<sup>5</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-64 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>6</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

<sup>7</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 5

## DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 6

### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

هار این می اور این	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>2</sup>				NA	. 248.0	• • 0,
Demonstration Projects	.0	.0	.0	NA	455.0	100.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	259,4	.0
PINS Program <sup>3</sup>		~-	~ -	NA		1,000.0
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5	1,100.0

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

<sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

# Table 7

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH STATEWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Community Care Initiative <sup>2</sup>				• NA	<del></del>	219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	-6.2%	35.0	131.9
Special Legislative Contracts <sup>3</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	202.0	274.5
Voluntary Agency Care <sup>4</sup>	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	2.4%	12,092.2	9,553.5
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2	10,178.9

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

<sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

<sup>3</sup>Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

<sup>4</sup>Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

REFERENCES

e

1

7

.0

•

#### REFERENCES

Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u> . Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.	
New York Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.	
New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.	
New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.	
New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.	,
New York State Department of Labor. <u>Resident Employment Status and the Civilian</u> Labor Force: January 1984 - October 1986.	n
New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.	

New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Palicy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services and the Office of Administrative Services.

New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).

- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.

New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.

New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.

. . . .

- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of</u> <u>Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

R-1

#### ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Place a check mark next to the volume(s) you would like.

	Volume	I	New York State
	Volume	II	Binghamton Region
	Volume		Buffalo Region
	Volume		Capital Region
	Volume		Elmira Region
	Volume		Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region
	Volume	VII	Mid-Hudson Region
-	Volume		Mohawk Valley Region
	Volume		New York City Region
	Volume		Nothern Region
	Volume		Rochester Region
	Volume		Syracuse Region
	Volume		Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau,
	, o , uno		Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties)

Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to:

Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor . Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

3. t. S

NAME		
TITLE	· · ·	
AGENCY		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ADDRESS		
CITY	STATE	ZIP

