


# COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES 1982-1985



LAWRENCE T. KURLANDER
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE
AND

OMMISSIONER OF THE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

**DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES** 

LAWRENCE T. KURLANDER DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND COMMISSIONER

COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES: 1982-1985

Volume IV

CAPITAL REGION

ALBANY MONTGOMERY RENSSELAER SARATOGA SCHENECTADY SCHOHARIE WARREN WASHINGTON NCURS

MIN 3 1987

ACQUISITIONS

OFFICE OF POLICY ANALYSIS, RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL SERVICES BARRY SAMPLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

**BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES** 

RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice 105444

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING MALCOLM WAGNER CINDY STOVER PATRICK LERNER CAROL RUSSO

JANUARY, 1987

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the ornicial position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by

New York State Division of

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS


We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to the secretarial staff in the Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services, in particular to Joan Burgess and Donna Connelley, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

# CONTENTS

					Pa	ge(s)
INTRODUCTION						1
ORGANIZATION	OF EACH PROF	ILE				7
Section I Section II Section III Section IV Section V	Criminal Jus Demographic Crime & Crim Local Assist Correctional		haracteristi vity	CS		9 9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STA	TE PROFILE	•				13
COUNTY PROFI	LES FOR THE C	APITAL REGION				
Albany County Montgomery Co Rensselaer Co Saratoga County Schenectady Co Schoharie County Warren County Washington Co	ounty ounty nty County unty y					
washington c	Janey					
		ILLUSTRA	TION			
NEW YORK STA	TE REGIONAL M	АР				5
		APPENDI	XES			
APPENDIX A	EXPLANATORY	NOTES				A-1
	Analyses Section I Section II Section IV Section V	Criminal Justice Demographic & So Crime & Criminal Local Assistance Correctional Fac	cioeconomic Justice Act Funds	Characterist ivity	tics	A-1 A-1 A-2 A-2 A-2

	rangeria de la companya de la compa La companya de la co	age(s)
APPENDIX	B CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985	B-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparable to those in which the data were presented in Section III.	le
APPENDIX	C PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1982 - 1984	C-1
APPENDIX	D NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX	E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX	F NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	- F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-10 F-11 F-13 F-15
APPENDIX	G CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX	H STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
REFERENCE	S	R-1

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.



### INTRODUCTION

This is the third annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Each of the past publications, which reported only one year of data, was presented in a single volume. Because this current publication presents trend data for 1982 through 1985 and contains many new items of information, it was necessary to expand this publication to 13 volumes to accommodate the significantly larger amount of data presented.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the systems major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. The 1985 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities. The 1985 data are also compared to the 1982 data.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.


Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from the "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section III. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u> <u>Profiles</u> publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- o Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- o Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.

င်္



# ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each county in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each is described below.

# SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

# SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS.

Population Race, Ethnicity, and Age Education Economy

# SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Table 2B	Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru June 1986
Table 2C Table 2D Table 2E	Arrests for Selected Offenses Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses
Table 3A Table 3B	Felony Indictments Felony Indictments: January thru June 1986
T-1-7- 4A	Dismositions Descrition from Follows and Mindowsons

Table 4A	Dispositions Arrests	Resulting	from	Felony	and Misdemeanor
Table 4B	Dispositions	Resulting	from	Felony	Arrests

Table 5A Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Table 5B Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests

# SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table Table	e 6A e 6B	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table Table	e 7A-1 e 7A-2 e 7B e 7C	
Table Table		County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
Tabl	e 9A	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles)
Tabl	e 9A-1.	Admissions to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Tabl	e 9A-2	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Tabl	e 9B	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31
Tabl	e 9B-1	
Tabl	e 9C	Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
	e 10A e 10B	Parole Supervision Cases Revoked and Returned Parolees

# SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

lable	<u>l</u>	Agency Funding Summary
Table 2	2	Department of Correctional Services
Table 3	3	Crime Victims Board
Table 4	4	Division of Criminal Justice Services
Table 5	5	Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 6	5	Division of Parole
Table 7	7	Division for Youth

# SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES \*

Table 1A Table 1B	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary Facilities Operating Budget Summary
Table 1C	Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A Table 2B Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff DOCS Facilities Operating Budget DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B Table 3C	DFY Facilities Operating Budget DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

<sup>\*</sup> Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.

NEW YORK STATE PROFILE

## STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Division of Criminal Justice Services
Executive Park Tower
Stuyvesant Plaza
Albany, New York 12203

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Law
The State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

New York State Police
Building 22
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Department of Correctional Services
Building 2
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Office of Court Administration
Agency Building 4
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State covers an area of 47,377 square miles.

# **Population**

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the State's 1980 resident population of 17,558,072 persons has changed -3.7 percent since 1970. The State's 1980 population density was 370.6 persons per square mile. The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the State indicates that it had a resident population of 17,782,752 persons.

# Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 16.5 percent of the State's population was non-white. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 9.5 percent of the population. Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.3 percent of the State's population.

# Education

Among New York State's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 18.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade, and 18.0 percent had four or more years of college. In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 5.8 percent of the State's public high school population dropped out of school.

### Economy

Personal per capita income in New York State was \$14,341 in 1984.\* In 1985, a monthly average of 6.5 percent (about 544,000 persons) of the State's civilian labor force was unemployed. The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,395,344, or 7.9 percent of the State's population.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	49.0	Number of Crimes			1985 Crimes	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	5,566.7	-12.4
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	928.6	-5.2
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	9.5	-16.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	31.9	10.6
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	504.1	-16.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	383.0	14.5
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	4,638.1	-13.7
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	1,231.3	-25.0
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	2,808.9	-5.2
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	598.0	-22.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number 1983	of Arrest 1984	s _1985	1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	374,302	383,081	403,745	421,468	2,370.1	12.6
FELONY	141,679	141,302	149,329	151,227	850.4	6.7
VIOLENT	58,916	58,202	61,131	60,120	338.1	2.0
OTHER	82,763	83,100	88,198	91,107	512.3	10.1
MISDEMEANOR	232,623	241,779	254,416	270,241	1,519.7	16.2
and the second s						

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 82 vs 86	
ARRESTS	219,367	4.5	
FELONY	83,708	11.8	
VIOLENT	32,892	12.4	
OTHER	50,816	11.5	
MISDEMEANOR	135,659	0.4	

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES 1

	1982	Number	of Arrest: _1984_	s 1985	1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	7.5	-17.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,153	12.1	10.0
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,228	19,712	110.8	-1.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,555	19,803	22,237	22,935	129.0	17.3
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,684	19,464	109.5	-20.5
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	67,506	66,893	65,809	68,200	383.5	1.0
	•					

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2D  $\mbox{ ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^{1}$ 

		Number o	f Arrests		1985 Arrests	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	41,393	49,698	62,125	69,612	391.5	68.2
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE						
(PL 220)	27,442	34,314	43,200	48,024	270.1	75.0
POSSESSION	18,009	23,769	30,515	34,336	193.1	90.7
SALE	9,433	10,545	12,685	13,688	77.0	45.1
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	; 13,951	15,384	18,925	21,588	121.4	54.7
POSSESSION	7,151	7,309	8,921	10,799	60.7	51.0
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,789	60.7	58.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of Arrests			1985 Arrests Per 1,000		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Licensed <u>Drivers</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55,566	60,886	64,249	62,814	6.4	13.0	
FELONY	3,184	3,747	3,031	3,054	0.3	-4.1	
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,218	59,760	6.1	14.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS 1

	111121 VI 1611	ony Indictme	ents	% Change
1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
46,665 100.0%	<b>46,130</b> 100.0%	<b>47,871</b> 100.0%	<b>49,511</b> 100.0%	6.1
24,531 52.6%	22,703 49.2%	22,801 47.6%	21,794 44.0%	-11.2
22,134 47.4%	23,427 50.8%	25,070 52.4%	27,717 56.0%	25.2
	46,665 100.0% 24,531 52.6% 22,134	46,665 46,130 100.0% 100.0% 24,531 22,703 52.6% 49.2% 22,134 23,427	46,665 46,130 47,871 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 24,531 22,703 22,801 52.6% 49.2% 47.6% 22,134 23,427 25,070	46,665       46,130       47,871       49,511         100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%         24,531       22,703       22,801       21,794         52.6%       49.2%       47.6%       44.0%         22,134       23,427       25,070       27,717

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS<sup>1</sup>: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	24,787	1.4	
VIOLENT	10,403	0.3	
OTHER	14,384	2.3	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See footnote in Table 3A above.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	303,132 100.0%	328,254 100.0%	331,324 100.0%	352,442 100.0%	16.3		
CONVICTIONS	206,135 68.0%	229,096 69.8%	230,169 69.5%	2 <b>40,395</b> 68.2%	16.6		
ACQUITTALS	1,805 0.6%	2,060 0.6%	2,116 0.6%	2,217 0.6%	22.8		
DISMISSALS	86,580 28.6%	88,695 27.0%	91,170 27.5%	100,904 28.6%	16.5		
OTHER	8,612 2.8%	8,403 2.6%	7,869 2.4%	8,926 2.5%	3.6		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983_	1984	1985 <sup>2</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85			
DISPOSITIONS	118,189 100.0%	125,801 100.0%	125,716 100.0%	133,012 100.0%	12.5			
CONVICTIONS	77,019 65.2%	84,870 67.5%	83,183 66.2%	85,623 64.4%	11.2			
ACQUITTALS	1,294 1.1%	1,442	1,492 1.2%	1,523 1.1%	17.7			
DISMISSALS	35,379 29.9%	34,773 27.6%	36,099 28.7%	<b>40,918</b> 30.8%	15.7			
OTHER	<b>4,497</b> 3.8%	4,716 3.7%	4,942 3.9%	<b>4,948</b> 3.7%	10.0			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

	-				
	Numb Fel	•			
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	206,135	229,096 100.0%	230,169 100.0%	240,395 100.0%	16.6
FELONY	26,493 12.9%	31,849 13.9%	30,814 13.4%	33,128 13.8%	25.0
VIOLENT	10,416 5.1%	. 13,024 5.7%	11,996 5.2%	11,610 4.8%	11.5
OTHER	16,077 7.8%	18,825 8.2%	18,818 8.2%	21,518 9.0%	33.8
MISDEMEANOR	102,394 49.7%	110,607 48.3%	111,389 48.4%	116,567 48.5%	13.8
LESSER OFFENSES	77,248 37.5%	86,640 37.8%	87,966 38.2%	90,700 37.7%	17.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

			t of Convict Felony Arres		
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	77,019 100.0%	84,870 100.0%	83,183 100.0%	85,623 100.0%	11.2
FELONY	25,596 33.2%	30,846 36.3%	29,830 35.9%	31,778 37.1%	24.2
VIOLENT	10,416 13.5%	13,024 15.3%	11,996 14.4%	11,610 13.6%	11.5
OTHER	15,180 19.7%	17,822 21.0%	17,834 21.4%	20,168 23.6%	32.9
MISDEMEANOR	37,679 48.9%	39,076 46.0%	37,744 45.4%	38,553 45.0%	2.3
LESSER OFFENSES	13,744 17.8%	14,948 17.6%	15,609 18.8%	15,292 17.9%	11.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A
SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1

	Sent	ences as a Perce	nt of Total Convi	ctions
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> <sup>2</sup>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.7
LOCAL JAIL	26.7	26.9	29.2	31.2
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.2
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	10.0	10.0
FINES	32.3	32.7	33.0	31.5
OTHER/UNKNOWN	22.3	21.2	19.0	18.3

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions							
		82 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		083 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser	<u></u>	985 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	43.1	NA -	44.2	NA	43.6	MA	41.6	NA
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.2	14.3	29.0	13.5	31.7	13.8	34.0
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	12.2	1.2	15.8	1.2
PROBATION	27.8	8.2	26.2	. 7.6	26.5	7.4	26.8	7.3
FINES	0.8	36.9	1.2	37.8	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.4
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.2	25.4	1.1	24.4	1.1	21.8	1.1	21.0

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

	<del></del>	Number o	of Cases		1985 Cases	0/ 01	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85	
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	841.1	-1.4	
INVESTIGATIONS	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	863.4	15.1	
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	156.4	10.1	
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	707.0	16.2	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION	15 240	1F 1FF	3F 1F4	15 000	04.0	10.1	
CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	94.9	10.1	
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	47.9	-7.8	
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	47.1	37.1	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	•						
	•	Number o	of Cases	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1985 Cases	0/ Chamas	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	261.9	15.6	
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	37.3	-2.9	
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	224.6	19.4	
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	807.6	27.2	
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	75.1	-1.8	
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	732.5	31.2	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	608.0	36.7	
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	40.5	2.1	
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	567.5	40.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		lumber of	Revocatio	1985 Revocations		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	47.5	36.3
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	56.5	23.6
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	46.6	38.1
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	24.3	54.3
NEW CONV.	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	22.3	23.9

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS 1

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

•	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
ADMISSIONS	187,597	190,105	196,347	210,186	1182.0	12.0
UNSENTENCED	*	141,573	147,974	159,474	896.8	NA
SENTENCED	*	48,532	48,373	50,712	285.2	NA

<sup>\*</sup> New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,260	**	19,011	19,646	110.5	13.8
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,995	16,789	17,532	18,732	105.3	17.1
DECEMBER 31 +OPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	105.3	14.0
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,661	12,956	72.9	14.5
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,347	5,766	32.4	12.6

<sup>\*</sup> New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A-1
ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

		Number of			1985 Adm. Rate Per 100,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> ¹	Population	<u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	87.6	22.3
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	4.2	71.4
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	.2	-37.1
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	10.7	55.2
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	3 445	430	356	244	1.4	-45.2
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	1.0	23.6
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	.2	375.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 9A-2
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	N		er of Commitment 1984	ts1985_1	1985 Commit. Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT	· ·					<u> </u>
COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	39.3	5.6
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	4.9	-2.8
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	2.9	39.8
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	17.2	-9.3
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	7.2	35.2
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	3.8	15.3
OTHER	435	459	524	574	3.2	32.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	12.5	79.6
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	3.4	89.3
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	9.1	76.3
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	16.5	28.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	1.6	4.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

			es Under <u>on Decem</u> 1984		Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,314	34,737	195.3	23.2
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	136.8	22.3
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	33.4	23.2
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	11.4	24.1
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	57.2	9.3
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	17.9	90.4
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	8.5	23.6
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	8.4	20.9
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	26.1	75.4
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	6.8	80.6
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	19.4	73.7
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,299	5,408	30.4	4.2
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	471	384	359	357	2.0	-24.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

**NEW YORK STATE** 

TABLE 9C  $\begin{picture}(200,0) \put(0,0){\line(0,0){100}} \put(0,0){\li$ 

	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	1985
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

			f Parolee:		1985 Parolees Per 100,000	% Change
	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	<u> 1985</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	67.9	30.0
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	195.5	35.7
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	137.3	36.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982_	Number of	F Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	% Change 82_vs_85
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	116.1	56.9
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	34.1	37.1
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	41.3	13.8
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	40.8	217.0

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen		riations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	s 11,326.	13,306.7	15,542.6	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	88,102.2	45.1%	95,225.1	100,424.6
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229.2	2,887.1
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>2</sup>	n 32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1	115,221.3
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries	370.0	397.5	442.5	19.6%	887.5	5 930.0
TOTAL	191,127.6	214,652.3	243,399.1	27.3%	374,934.4	325,027.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

<sup>20</sup>n April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

-41-

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners						
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	618.2%	42.0	100.0
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	24.8%	18,559.6	19,300.0
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	155.1%	2,393.4	2,700.0
State Readies	-				2,000.0	2,000.0
Community Contract Housing <sup>1</sup>		311.8	873.3	NA		400.0
Prisoner Transfer <sup>2</sup>	487.7	300.1	275.8	- 43.4%	312.8	366.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.8	15,542.3	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{This}$  program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures		Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Victim Compensation Awards <sup>1</sup>	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8.8%	8,009.0	12,509.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	62.2%	1,415.2	3,622.1
Court Related	407.8	406.7	719.9	76.5%	705.3	626.1
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	82.2%	360.2	561.7
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	26.4%	458.9	567.2
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	171.2%	246.5	613.0
Unallocated	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	2,583.0
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,032.1

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{FY}$  1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures		Appropriatio		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative <sup>1</sup>	<u> </u>		1,000.0	NA	4. 		
Crime Prevention and Protection <sup>2</sup>	***	1,420.5	1,585.7	NA	2,057.9	2,625.1	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	19.9%	4,068.3	4,150.2	
Indigent Parolee Prog.	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	19.7%	1,594.0	1,625.8	
Justice Assistance Act <sup>3</sup>	***		<b>100 (100</b>	NA	3,396.0	3,379.7	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention <sup>4</sup>	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	- 6.9%	1,685.9	2,673.9	
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	13.4%	11,274.3	11,499.8	
Mobile Radio District Program		1,904.3	3,600.6	NA	3,772.3	5,208.3	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

Table 4 (continued)

## DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures	Appropriatio		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY.86-7
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>5</sup>		1,000.0	1,900.0	NA	1,900.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3
School Security Officer Program <sup>6</sup>		· .				415.0
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>7</sup>	635.5	535.5	309.8	- 51.3%	500.0	500.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0	4,818.1
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>8</sup>	***	<b>600 GD</b>	2,499.4	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

<sup>6</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

 $<sup>^7{</sup>m In}$  FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>8</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

## Table 4 (continued)

## DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Expenditures Appropriations			
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7	
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>9</sup>	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	24.5%	49,875.4 50,279.5	
Transit Crime Interdiction Program <sup>10</sup>		<b></b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1,000.0 1,486.0	
Transit Crime Strike Force <sup>11</sup>		3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,745.0 3,353.9	
Vera Institute <sup>12</sup>		eri es	<b>***</b> ***		100.0	
TOTAL	60,722.3	72,900.4	88,102.2	45.1%	95,225.1 100,424.6	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>10</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>11</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

<sup>12</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures Appropriat				
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Parole Resource Centers <sup>1</sup>	529.4	668.9	706.1	33.4%	798.4	1,035.8
Parole Transitional Facilities <sup>2</sup>		37.1	286.9	NA	430.8	626.3
Employment Services Project <sup>3</sup>	·		<b>***</b>	<b>140 440</b>		700.0
STOP Program <sup>3</sup>		<b>-</b>	. Made . made			525.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229,2	2,887.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	<b>40 40</b>				3,050.0 3,050.0
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	197.9%	5,756.0 5,478.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	36.0%	5,848.8 8,087.6
PINS Program <sup>2</sup>		<b></b>			1,000.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	20.2%	37,240.8 42,000.0
TOTAL	32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6 59,616,1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Fynen	ditures		Appropriations	
				% Change		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Community-Based Organizations <sup>1</sup>			324.8	NA	318.0	466.5
Community Care Initiative <sup>2</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	end oth		1,9' 440	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	500.0
Detention						
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	45.2%	23,900.0	13,600.0
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	- 7.5%	10,500.0	6,800.0
Job Development <sup>2</sup>	·	<b>.</b>		₩ #		697.6
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	32.2%	1,885.0	2,409.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	8.7%	13,482.1	13,351.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	66.9%	4,509.1	6,496.4

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

# Table 7 (continued)

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures	es Appropriatio			
Program	FY 82-3 FY 83		FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7		
Transitional Independent Living Support <sup>3</sup>	<b>~</b>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2,200.0 2,200.0		
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	14.4%	56,000.0 28,100.0		
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	0.6%	78,400.0 40,600.0		
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,110.0	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1 115,221.3		

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 1A
FACILITIES CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY

	Capacity	Staff	
DOCS Facilities	37,907	20,585	
DFY Facilities	1,991	2,637	
TOTAL	39,898	23,222	

Table 1B

FACILITIES OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Approp	Appropriations		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	395,752.7	470,953.6	535,925.3	35.4%	582,831.7	666,604.6
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	23.1%	72,359.8	78,541.4
TOTAL	454,213.0	535,017.2	607,914.2	33.8%	655,191.5	745,146.0

Table 1C

FACILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	278,196.6	252,643.1	68,662.0
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	8,530.6	3,793.5	4,503.5
TOTAL	108,909.5	177,817.7	286,727.2	256,436.6	73,165.5

COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE CAPITAL REGION

Albany County
Montgomery County
Rensselaer County
Saratoga County
Schenectady County
Schoharie County
Warren County
Washington County

### REGIONAL AGENCIES

Capital District Regional
Planning Commission
214 Canal Square
Schenectady, New York 12305

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters
504 Old Loudon Road
Loudonville, New York 12211

#### COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Albany, NY 12207

Probation Department 35 Chapel Street Albany, New York 12207

Public Defender's Office 112 State Street Albany, New York 12207

Assigned Counsel Plan 35 State Street Albany, New York 12207 Sheriff's Department County Court House Albany, NY 12207

Youth Bureau 112 State Street Albany, New York 12207

County Court 16 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207

Family Court Pine and Lodge Streets Albany, New York 12207

## MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

Albany Police Department Morton Avenue & Broad Street Albany, New York 12207

Colonie Police Department Memorial Town Hall, Route 9 Newtonville, New York 12128

Guilderland Police Department Town Hall Avenue Guilderland, New York 12084

<sup>\*</sup>Population 25,000 and above.

Albany County is located in the Capital region and Albany is its county seat. In area the County covers 524 square miles.

## <u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 285,909 persons (ranked 12) has changed -0.3 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 545.6 persons per square mile (ranked 14). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 283,866 persons (ranked 12).

## Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 7.6 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 14), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 1.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 25). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 28.8 percent of the County's population (ranked 58).

## Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 14.9 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 45), and 22.3 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 6). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.8 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 35), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$14,360 in 1984 (ranked 10).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 4.6 percent (about 6,100 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 53). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 8,720, or 3.1 percent of the population (ranked 45 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	Number of Crimes			<del>n</del> angana sa	1985 Crimes Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INDEX CRIME	12,915	12,462	11,994	12,974	4,530.6	11	0.5
VIOLENT	1,039	959	953	886	309.4	18	-14.7
MURDER	10	9	5	5	1.7	39	-50.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	60	59	71	60	21.0	18	0.0
ROBBERY	320	268	330	265	92.5	14	-17.2
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	649	623	547	556	194.2	25	-14.3
PROPERTY	11,876	11,503	11,041	12,088	4,221.2	10	1.8
BURGLARY	3,345	3,070	3,120	3,371	1,177.2	10	0.8
LARCENY	8,109	8,063	7,433	8,207	2,865.9	7	1.2
MV THEFT	422	370	488	510	178.1	15	20.9

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o 1983	of Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	6,400	6,311	5,922	6,237	2,197.2	14	-2.5
FELONY	1,679	1,700	1,693	1,715	604.2	6	2.1
VIOLENT	635	625	582	553	194.8	9	-12.9
OTHER	1,044	1,075	1,111	1,162	409.3	6	11.3
MISDEMEANOR	4,721	4,611	4,229	4,522	1,593.0	19	-4.2

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

		% Change Jan-June	
	Number	82 vs 86	
ARRESTS	3,109	-5.1	
FELONY	958	5.2	
VIOLENT	339	10.4	
OTHER	619	2.5	
MISDEMEANOR	2,151	-9.1	

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2C} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^1 \end{array}$ 

	1982	Number of	Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	7	12	. <b>8</b>	8	2.8	23	14.3
FORCIBLE RAPE	24	27	29	19	6.7	22	-20.8
ROBBERY	123	122	126	81	28.5	14	-34.1
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	283	271	242	244	86.0	9	-13.8
BURGLARY	313	328	290	282	99.3	28	-9.9
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	1,148	1,192	1,123	1,128	397.4	16	-1.7

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2D  $\mbox{ ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^{1}$ 

	والمراجعة	Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DRUG ARRESTS	399	342	380	396	139.5	11	-0.8
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	263	211	213	264	93.0	12	0.4
POSSESSION	180	146	161	205	72.2	14	13.9
SALE	83	65	52	59	20.8	11	-28.9
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	136	131	167	132	46.5	13	-2.9
POSSESSION	99	100	142	99	34.9	9	0.0
SALE	37	31	25	33	11.6	16	-10.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of Arrests			1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	2,017	2,059	1,821	1,994	11.4	12	-1.1	
FELONY	125	130	120	160	0.9	8	28.0	
MISDEMEANOR	1,892	1,929	1,701	1,834	10.5	12	-3.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	82 vs 85
FELONY INDICTMENTS	<b>441</b> 100.0%	<b>500</b> 100.0%	398 100.0%	<b>445</b> 100.0%	0.9
VIOLENT	1 <b>63</b> 37.0%	1 <b>91</b> 38.2%	161 40.5%	140 31.5%	-14.1
OTHER	<b>278</b> 63.0%	<b>309</b> 61.8%	<b>237</b> 59.5%	<b>305</b> 68.5%	9.7

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
 FELONY INDICTMENTS	253	6.3	
VIOLENT	91	42.2	
OTHER	162	-6.9	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

1

	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	5,742 100.0%	5,697 100.0%	<b>5,219</b> 100.0%	5,750 100.0%	0.1
CONVICTIONS	4,212 73.4%	<b>4,228</b> 74.2%	3,951 75.7%	<b>4,477</b> 77.9%	6.3
ACQUITTALS	18 0.3%	14 0.2%	14 0.3%	15 0.3%	-16.7
DISMISSALS	1,504 26.2%	1,447 25.4%	1,236 23.7%	1,235 21.5%	-17.9
OTHER	8 0.1%	8 0.1%	18 0.3%	23 0.4%	187.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	1,453 100.0%	1,527 100.0%	1,312 100.0%	1,572 100.0%	8.2		
CONVICTIONS	1,091 75.1%	1,191 78.0%	1, <b>055</b> 80.4%	1 <b>,246</b> 79.3%	14.2		
ACQUITTALS	16 1.1%	11 0.7%	14 1.1%	11 0.7%	-31.2		
DISMISSALS	343 23.6%	3 <b>23</b> 21.2%	<b>234</b> 17.8%	<b>306</b> 19.5%	-10.8		
OTHER	3 0.2%	<b>2</b> 0.1%	9 0.7%	<b>9</b> 0.6%	200.0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change <u>1985</u> 2 1982 1983 1984 82 vs 85 CONVICTIONS 4,212 4,228 3,951 4,477 6.3 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% FELONY 255 308 224 289 13.3 5.7% 6.1% 7.3% 6.5% VIOLENT -19.881 103 86 65 1.9% 2.4% 2.2% 1.5% OTHER 28.7 174 205 138 224 4.1% 4.8% 3.5% 5.0% 1,746 7.6 **MISDEMEANOR** 1,764 1,992 1,852 44.0% 41.7% 44.2% 44.5% 2,196 LESSER OFFENSES 2,105 2,156 1,981 4.3 50.1% 50.0% 51.0% 49.1%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	1, <b>091</b> 100.0%	1,191 100.0%	1,055 100.0%	1,246 100.0%	14.2
FELONY	243 22.3%	<b>299</b> 25.1%	<b>216</b> 20.5%	<b>279</b> 22.4%	14.8
VIOLENT	81 7.4%	1 <b>03</b> 8.6%	86 8.2%	65 5.2%	-19.8
OTHER	162 14.8%	196 16.5%	130 12.3%	214 17.2%	32.1
MISDEMEANOR	<b>749</b> 68.7%	<b>770</b> 64.7%	<b>745</b> 70.6%	<b>878</b> 70.5%	17.2
LESSER OFFENSES	99 9.1%	122 10.2%	<b>94</b> 8.9%	89 7.1%	-10.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A
SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions						
	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	<u> 1985</u> <sup>2</sup>			
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
STATE PRISON	4.0	4.9	4.3	3.7			
LOCAL JAIL	14.2	12.7	12.4	12.8			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.7	5.8	5.5	7.7			
PROBATION	12.5	11.1	11.8	13.2			
FINES	58.2	56.8	59.5	57.9			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	6.4	8.6	6.5	4.7			

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser			1983 Misd & Felony Lesser		084 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser			
convictions <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
STATE PRISON	66.7	NA	67.2	NA	75.4	NA	57.4	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	5.5	14.7	1.9	13.6	1.8	13.1	1.4	13.6		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	20.0	3.7	26.9	4.2	16.5	4.8	24.9	6.5		
PROBATION	7.5	12.8	3.9	11.7	5.8	12.1	16.3	13.0		
FINES	0.0	62.0	0.0	61.3	0.0	63.1	0.0	61.9		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.4	6.8	0.0	9.3	0.4	6.9	0.0	5.0		

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases	1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	1,424	1,509	1,204	1,295	456.2	51	-9.1
INVESTIGATIONS	2,214	2,201	2,103	3,079	1,084.7	9	39.1
FAMILY COURT	370	372	305	586	206.4	18	58.4
CRIMINAL COURT	1,844	1,829	1,798	2,493	878.2	8	35.2
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	167	166	206	326	114.8	17	95.2
FAMILY COURT	26	38	35	59	20.8	21	126.9
CRIMINAL COURT	141	128	171	267	94.1	17	89.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION							•
CASES RECEIVED	895	906	893	1,099	387.2	4	22.8
FAMILY COURT	130	120	103	143	50.4	26	10.0
CRIMINAL COURT	765	786	790	956	336.8	4	25.0
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	2,845	2,893	2,949	3,212	1,131.5	5	12.9
FAMILY COURT	283	232	221	250	88.1	28	-11.7
CRIMINAL COURT	2,562	2,661	2,728	2,962	1,043.4	6	15.6
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON							
DECEMBER 31	1,987	2,056	2,113	2,402	846.2	5	20.9
FAMILY COURT	112	118	107	172	60.6	17	53.6
CRIMINAL COURT	1,875	1,938	2,006	2,230	785.6	5	18.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	umber of	Revocatio	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>		<b>%</b>	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	175	173	173	195	60.7	11	11.4
FAMILY COURT	18	20	16	16	64.0	30	-11.1
CRIMINAL COURT	157	153	157	179	60.4	8	14.0
TECHNICAL	117	107	103	132	44.6	5	12.8
NEW CONV.	40	46	54	. 47	15.9	31	17.5

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS 1

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	532	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 A Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	3,565	3,703	3,491	3,575	1,259.4	25	0.3
UNSENTENCED	2,642	2,676	2,416	2,442	860.3	32	-7.6
SENTENCED	923	1,027	1,075	1,133	399.1	16	22.8

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	397	402	397	435	153.2	5	9.6
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	309	365	354	365	128.6	3	18.1
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	290	320	329	322	113.4	6	11.0
UNSENTENCED	168	152	203	181	63.8	8	7.7
SENTENCED	122	168	126	141	49.7	3	15.6

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	Nev 1982	Numbe V Court C 1983	r of <u>ommitment</u> <u>1984</u>	s 19851	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	233	267	221	207	72.9	5	-11.2
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	92	109	104	61	21.5	12	-33.7
HOMICIDE	3	10	6	4	1.4	31	33.3
SEX OFFENSE	12	13	19	11	3.9	17	-8.3
ROBBERY	23	37	35	13	4.6	13	-43.5
BURGLARY	32	31	20	20	7.0	15	-37.5
WEAPONS	5	3	6	8	2.8	9	60.0
OTHER	17	15	18	5	1.8	27	-70.6
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	48	48	33	59	20.8	5	22.9
POSSESSION	13 .	17	. 7	25	8.8	3	92.3
SALE	35	31	26	34	12.0	6	-2.9
OTHER FELONIES	86	102	81	85	29.9	3	-1.2
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	7	8	3	2	0.7	36	-71.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		s Under (	Under C on 12/3 Per 100	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000			
	1n Stat 1982	e <u>Prison</u> 1983	<u> 1984</u>	<u>1985</u>	Populat Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
UNDER CUSTODY	592	639	685	711	250.5	4	20.1
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	310	354	391	372	131.0	4	20.0
HOMICIDE	44	52	58	58	20.4	10	31.8
SEX OFFENSE	65	70	82	83	29.2	2	27.7
ROBBERY	88	106	122	114	40.2	5	29.5
BURGLARY	58	69	69	65	22.9	4	12.1
WEAPONS	12	11	13	16	5.6	6	33.3
OTHER	43	46	47	36	12.7	7	-16.3
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	89	105	114	137	48.3	3	53.9
POSSESSION	29	40	40	55	19.4	2	89.7
SALE	60	65	74	82	28.9	3	36.7
OTHER FELONIES	181	173	176	196	69.0	2	8.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	12	7	4	6	2.1	15	-50.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $<sup>^2{\</sup>rm Inmates}$  under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	lumber of 1983	F Parolees 1984	1985 Parolees Per 100,000 Population Rate Rank		% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
RELEASES TO PAROLE	125	171	182	201	70.8	5	60.8
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	394	415	488	555	195.5	4	40.9
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	244	306	354	404	142.3	4	65.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		Number of Parolees			1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>		% Change	
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	1982 55	<u>1983</u> <b>4</b> 1	1984 <b>45</b>	1985 <b>55</b>	Rate 99.1	<u>Rank</u> 19	82 vs 85 0.0	
RULE VIOLATIONS	10	12	9	10	18.0	23	0,0	
NEW ARRESTS	29	13	25	31	55.9	12	6.9	
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	16	16	11	14	25.2	26	-12.5	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{l}}$  The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
BY FUNDING AGENCY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7		
Department of Correctional Services	305.6	338.2	397.4	30.1%	.0	.0		
Crime Victim's Board	35.0	35.3	.0	-100.0%	•0	184.7		
Division of Criminal Justice Services	211.0	467.4	589.8	179.5%	581.8	2,281.2		
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	35.0		
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives 1	552.9	595.1	759.9	37.4%	1,328.7	1,428.8		
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	1,344.8	1,084.3	1,505.4	11.9%	4,001.5	2,583.8		
TOTAL	2,449.3	2,520.3	3,252.6	32.8%	5,912.0	6,513.6		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>						•
Coram Nobis	.3	.9	4.5	1400.0%		AS We
Felons	222.4	233.1	210.8	-5.2%	<b></b> -	
Parole Violators	76.8	85.4	146.0	90.1%	<b></b>	₩ #
Community Contract Housing <sup>2</sup>	in de la companya de La companya de la co	14.0	32.3	NA	<b>***</b>	<b></b>
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	6.0	4.9	3.8	-36.7%		
TOTAL	305.6	338.2	397.4	30.1%	.0	.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	184.7
Elderly	35.0	35.3	.0	-100.0%	.0	.0
TOTAL	35.0	35.3	:0	-100.0%	.0	184.7

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Crime Prevention and Protection 1		70.0	75.0	NA	58.0	12.0	
Indigent Parolee Prog.2	7.3	5.0	6.4	-12.1%		<b>~ −</b>	
Justice Assistance Act <sup>3</sup>		old Pag		NA	39.0	30.4	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	.0	.0	22.6	NA	3.0	19.2	
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>4</sup>	~-	.0	.0	NA	.0	1,750.0	

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>text{No}$  funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

<sup>4</sup>No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

Table 4 (continued)

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>5</sup>	·	16.0	36.7	NA	41.0		
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>6</sup>			25.0	NA	.0	12.5	
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>7</sup>	203.8	376.4	424.1	108.1%	440.8	457.0	
TOTAL	211.0	467.4	589.8	179.5%	581.8	2,281.2	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

# DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Parole Resource Centers	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	35.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	o/ O	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	in un		संबंध अंदर	NA	47.1	50.8
Demonstration $Projects^2$	.0	.0	88.5	NA	500.3	506.8
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	88.3	87.4	140.3	58.8%	192.0	172.9
PINS Program						
Regular State Aid	464.6	507.7	531.1	14.3%	589.3	698.3
TOTAL	552.9	595.1	759.9	37.4%	1,328.7	1,428.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Only one agency in this county receives funding. The agency provides services to clients in Albany, Schenectady, Rensselaer, and Saratoga Counties.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures	<i>a</i> / Ol	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Detention						
Non-Secure	309.1	119.1	217.9	-29.5%	639.8	351.1
Job Development <sup>1</sup>	-	<b>**</b>	- <del> </del>	NA		28.4
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	34.8
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	217.7	181.9	289.2	32.9%	301.4	334.3
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	62.0
Transitional Independent Living Support <sup>2</sup>				NA	.0	90.0
Voluntary Agency Care	314.2	281.0	472.9	50.5%	1,682.8	918.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	503.8	502.3	525.5	4.3%	1,377.5	765.2
TOTAL	1,344.8	1,084.3	1,505.4	11.9%	4,001.5	2,583.8

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{This}$  program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1986)

Facility	Security Level <sup>1</sup>	<u>Capacity</u>	Staff	
Albany Community Urban Home	VI	16	17	
Cass Residential Center	IV	50	53	
TOTAL		66	70	

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations		
Facility	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Albany Community Urban Home	259.6	315.6	428.7	65.1%	375.4	415.9	
Cass Residential Center	1,083.1	1,182.0	1,364.1	25.9%	1,484.2	1,596.6	
TOTAL	1,342.7	1,497.6	1,792.8	33.5%	1,859.6	2,012.5	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		xpenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	<u>Total</u>	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Albany Community Urban Home	.0	.0	.0	1.0	10.0
Cass Residential Center	45.2	13.0	58.1	.0	153.8
TOTAL	45.2	13.0	58.1	1.0	163.8

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

### REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Old Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

#### COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 146 Market Street Amsterdam, New York 12010 Sheriff's Department Park Street Fonda, New York 12068

Probation Department 5 Market Street Amsterdam, New York 12010 Youth Bureau County Office Building Annex Park Street Fonda, New York 12068

Assigned Counsel Plan 42 Division Street Amsterdam, New York 12010 County Court Court House Fonda, New York 12068

Family Court County Office Building Broadway Fonda, New York 12068 Montgomery County is located in the Capital region and Fonda is its county seat. In area the County covers 404 square miles.

#### Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 53,439 persons (ranked 46) has changed -4.4 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 132.3 persons per square mile (ranked 29). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 52,527 persons (ranked 46).

### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 0.8 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 54), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 3.0 percent of the County's population (ranked 13). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 29.0 percent of the County's population (ranked 56).

### Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 21.2 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 5), and 8.5 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 62). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 4.1 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 27), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### **Economy**

Personal per capita income in the County was \$11,243 in 1984 (ranked 29).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 9.6 percent (about 2,500 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 4). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,990, or 3.7 percent of the population (ranked 32 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number of	Crimes	Per 100	1985 Crimes Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INDEX CRIME	933	942	915	903	1,696.0	61	-3.2
VIOLENT	71	50	54	42	78.9	57	-40.8
MURDER	1	2	0	0	0.0	50	-100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	3	0	1	2	3.8	57	-33.3
ROBBERY	18	8	8	8	15.0	38	-55.6
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	49	40	45	32	60.1	57	-34.7
PROPERTY	862	892	861	861	1,617.1	61	-0.1
BURGLARY	277	327	248	267	501.5	58	-3.6
LARCENY	542	513	554	559	1,049.9	60	3.1
MV THEFT	43	52	59	35	65.7	49	-18.6

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o	f Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	731	883	979	983	1,871.4	31	34.5
FELONY	152	193	178	159	302.7	53	4.6
VIOLENT	36	32	27	24	45.7	60	-33.3
OTHER	116	161	151	135	257.0	38	16.4
MISDEMEANOR	579	690	801	824	1,568.7	24	42.3

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	576	18.5	
FELONY	104	36.8	
VIOLENT	22	214.3	
OTHER	82	18.8	
MISDEMEANOR	472	15.1	

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2C} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^1 \end{array}$ 

	1982	Number o	f <u>Arrests</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	1	1	2	1	1.9	34	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	3	0	0	3	5.7	28	0.0
ROBBERY	9	5	1	. 1	1.9	55	-88.9
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	16	14	9	12	22.8	51	-25.0
BURGLARY	18	55	45	32	60.9	53	77.8
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	147	172	205	. 188	357.9	23	27.9

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2D} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^1 \end{array}$ 

		Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 Populat		
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	34	29	49	60	114.2	17	76.5
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE							
(PL 220)	17	12	25	47	89.5	13	176.5
POSSESSION	16	12	17	47	89.5	8	193.8
SALE	1	0	8	0	0.0	53	-100.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	17	17	24	13	24.7	25	-23.5
POSSESSION	9	8	10	13	24.7	16	44.4
SALE	8	9	14	0	0.0	58	-100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of	- Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licer Drive	000 sed	
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	198	316	374	351	9.3	24	77.3
FELONY	25	36	30	31	0.8	12	24.0
MISDEMEANOR	173	280	344	320	8.5	25	85.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	Number of Felony Indictments					
	1982	1983	<u> 1984</u>	1985	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	<b>89</b> 100.0%	151 100.0%	134 100.0%	<b>80</b> 100.0%	-10.1		
VIOLENT	14 15.7%	<b>22</b> 14.6%	<b>20</b> 14.9%	15 18.8%	7.1		
OTHER	<b>75</b> 84.3%	1 <b>29</b> 85.4%	11 <b>4</b> 85.1%	65 81.3%	-13.3		
			and the state of t				

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	34	-32.0	
VIOLENT	10	66.7	
OTHER	24	-45.5	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1985 <sup>2</sup> 1982 1983 1984 82 vs 85 DISPOSITIONS 419 557 726 730 74.2 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% CONVICTIONS 344 490 639 644 87.2 82.1% 88.0% 88.0% 88.2% **ACQUITTALS** -33.3 6 0.3% 1.4% 0.7% 0.5% DISMISSALS 62 69 78 78 13.0 11.1% 16.5% 10.7% 10.7% **OTHER** 0 7 NA 1 0.0% 0.2% 0.5% 1.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 4B} \\ \text{DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS}^1 \end{array}$ 

	Numbe Res	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change 82 vs 85	
DISPOSITIONS	100 100.0%	100 100.0%	177 100.0%	121 100.0%	21.0	
CONVICTIONS	86.0%	<b>94</b> 94.0%	165 93.2%	<b>104</b> 86.0%	20.9	
ACQUITTALS	1.0%	<b>2</b> 2.0%	0.6%	<b>2</b> 1.7%	100.0	
DISMISSALS	13 13.0%	<b>3</b> 3.0%	6 3.4%	13 10.7%	0.0	
OTHER	0.0%	1 1.0%	5 2.8%	<b>2</b> 1.7%	, NA	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Numbe				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	<b>344</b> 100.0%	<b>490</b> 100.0%	639 100.0%	644 100.0%	87.2
FELONY	<b>48</b> 14.0%	66 13.5%	112 17.5%	<b>75</b> 11.6%	56.3
VIOLENT	<b>2</b> 0.6%	5 1.0%	8 1.3%	7 1.1%	250.0
OTHER	46 13.4%	61 12.4%	104 16.3%	68 10.6%	47.8
MISDEMEANOR	200 58.1%	176 35.9%	267 41.8%	278 43.2%	39.0
LESSER OFFENSES	96 27.9%	<b>248</b> 50.6%	<b>260</b> 40.7%	<b>291</b> 45.2%	203.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	86 100.0%	<b>94</b> 100.0%	165 100.0%	104 100.0%	20.9
FELONY	41 47.7%	<b>64</b> 68.1%	103 62.4%	<b>72</b> 69.2%	75.6
VIOLENT	<b>2</b> 2.3%	<b>5</b> 5.3%	8 4.8%	7 6.7%	250.0
OTHER	39 45.3%	<b>59</b> 62.8%	<b>95</b> 57.6%	65 62.5%	66.7
MISDEMEANOR	<b>44</b> 51.2%	<b>27</b> 28.7%	<b>56</b> 33.9%	<b>28</b> 26.9%	-36.4
LESSER OFFENSES	1.2%	3 3.2%	<b>6</b> 3.6%	<b>4</b> 3.8%	300.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A
SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1

	Sent	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions						
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	1.7	1.0	2.0	3.1				
LOCAL JAIL	23.0	13.9	13.0	9.9				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.4	6.5	5.3	5.0				
PROBATION	8.7	6.9	14.7	8.5				
FINES	42.2	55.7	48.7	58.5				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	20.1	15.9	16.3	14.9				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions							
		82 Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	083 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	19 Felony	984 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	19 Felony	985 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	12.5	NA	7.6	NA	11.6	NA	26.7	NA
LOCAL JAIL	45.8	19.3	31.8	11.1	22.3	11.0	12.0	9.7
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	20.8	1.7	31.8	2.6	24,1	1.3	32.0	1.4
PROBATION	20.8	6.8	25.8	4.0	41.1	9.1	28.0	6.0
FINES	0.0	49.0	1.5	64.2	0.9	58.8	1.3	66.1
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	23.3	1.5	18.2	0.0	19.7	0.0	16.9
STATE PRISON LOCAL JAIL LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION PROBATION FINES	100.0 12.5 45.8 20.8 20.8	100.0 NA 19.3 1.7 6.8 49.0	100.0 7.6 31.8 31.8 25.8 1.5	100.0 NA 11.1 2.6 4.0 64.2	100.0 11.6 22.3 24.1 41.1 0.9	100.0 NA 11.0 1.3 9.1 58.8	100.0 26.7 12.0 32.0 28.0 1.3	100 9 1 66

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		lumber o	f Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 Populat		
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	44	104	148	156	297.0	57	254.5
INVESTIGATIONS	214	330	346	305	580.7	44	42.5
FAMILY COURT	68	109	121	111	211.3	17	63.2
CRIMINAL COURT	146	221	225	194	369.3	48	32.9
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	7	15	15	7	13.3	43	0.0
FAMILY COURT	· 7	15	12	7	13.3	24	0.0
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	3	0	0.0	42	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Approximate services and services are serviced as a service se	Number of Cases			1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	70	104	151	127	241.8	37	81.4	
FAMILY COURT	17	17	28	31	59.0	16	82.4	
CRIMINAL COURT	53	87	123	96	182.8	42	81.1	
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	261	281	331	365	694.9	38	39.8	
FAMILY COURT	43	30	43	57	108.5	20	32.6	
CRIMINAL COURT	218	251	288	308	586.4	43	41.3	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	178	180	238	275	523.5	31	54.5	
FAMILY COURT	14	15	26	29	55.2	19	107.1	
CRIMINAL COURT	164	165	212	246	468.3	32	50.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		umber of	Revocatio	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>			
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	10	21	23	17	46.6	27	70.0
FAMILY COURT	3	7	8	7	122.8	9	133.3
CRIMINAL COURT	7	14	15	10	32.5	44	42.9
TECHNICAL	3	3	10	2	6.5	53	-33.3
NEW CONV.	4	11	5	8	26.0	15	100.0

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS 1

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	*1
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	. 0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

					1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population		% Change	
	1982	<u>1983</u>	1984	<u>1985</u>	Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>	
ADMISSIONS	739	937	909	837	1,593.5	12	13.3	
UNSENTENCED	550	581	466	547	1,041.4	17	-0.5	
SENTENCED	189	356	443	290	552.1	3	53.4	

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	89	84	100	99	188.5	2	11.2
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	71	52	45	48	91.4	8	-32.4
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	55	58	36	43	81.9	13	-21.8
UNSENTENCED	43	43	29	35	66.6	7	-18.6
SENTENCED	12	15	7	8	15.2	48	-33.3

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	New 1982	Numbe Court ( 1983	er of Commitments _1984_	1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	7	4	16	10	19.0	48	42.9
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	3	2	6	1	1.9	59	-66.7
HOMICIDE	2	1	1	0	0.0	36	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	0	1	1	1	1.9	3.3	NA
ROBBERY	0	0	2	0	0.0	40	NA
BURGLARY	1	0	1	0	0.0	52	0.0
WEAPONS	0 1 1	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	0	1	0	0.0	40	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	2	1	1	1	1.9	38	-50.0
POSSESSION	2	1	1	1	1.9	18	-50.0
SALE	0	0	. 0	0	0.0	42	NA
OTHER FELONIES	2	1	7	6	11.4	34	200.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	2	2	3.8	4	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{New}$  court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmates Prison o		Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 Populat Rate	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
UNDER CUSTODY	16	15	23	24	45.7	45	50.0
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	8	9	13	12	22.8	47	50.0
HOMICIDE	5	5.	6	6	11.4	31	20.0
SEX OFFENSE	2	3	2	2	3.8	51	0.0
ROBBERY	0	0	2	1	1.9	47	NA
BURGLARY	1	1	2	2	3.8	45	100.0
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	0	0	1.	1	1.9	44	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	2	2	2	2	3.8	38	0.0
POSSESSION	2	2	2	2	3.8	17	0.0
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	51	NA
OTHER FELONIES	6	4	7	7	13.3	49	16.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	6 0	0	1	3	5.7	2	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of _1983_	Parolees 1984	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
RELEASES TO PAROLE	. 7	4	6	8	15.2	45	14.3
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	20	16	18	19	36.2	49	-5.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	12	12	11	12	22.8	49	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

• • •	1982_	Number of	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> Rate	ions 000	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	0	1	1	1	52.6	38	NA
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	1	0	0	0.0	32	NA
NEW ARRESTS	0	0	1	1	52.6	17	NA
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	35	NA

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{l}}$  The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Department of Correctional Services	47.9	105.1	90.6	89.1%	.0	.0	
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	18.0	30.1	NA	22.0	.0	
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	10.0	19.2	
Division for Youth $^2$	179.7	136.9	286.8	59.6%	463.8	362.1	
TOTAL	227.7	260.0	407.6	79.0%	495.8	381.3	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7		
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>								
Felons	47.9	103.0	75.7	57.9%	<b></b>			
Parole Violators	.0	2.1	14.5	NA	<u></u>			
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	.0	.0	.4	NA	and dis			
TOTAL	47.9	105.1	90.6	89.1%	.0	.0		

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mbox{Local correctional facilities}$  are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

### Table 3

## CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Indigent Parolee Prog. 1	.0	.0	.0	NA		
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>2</sup>		18.0	21.0	NA	22.0	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>3</sup>	um ion		9.1	NA		
TOTAL	.0	18.0	30.1	NA	22.0	.0

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 5

## DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 F	Y 86-7		
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>				NA	10.0	10.4		
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	8.8		
Regular State Aid <sup>2</sup>			··· —	NA		. : 		
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	10.0	19.2		

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>2</sup>The State provides direct probation services to this county.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures	- <del> </del>	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Non-Secure	11.4	8.5	14.0	23.1%	24.2	17.1
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	26.0	25.5	25.5	-2.1%	37.3	37.5
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	8.0
Voluntary Agency Care	53.3	16.1	165.7	211.1%	188.5	187.4
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	89.1	86.9	81.7	-8.3%	213.7	112.1
TOTAL	179.7	136.9	286.8	59.6%	463.8	362.1

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this County.

### REGIONAL AGENCIES

Capital District Regional
Planning Commission
2nd Floor, 214 Canal Square
Schenectady, New York

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Old Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211

### **COUNTY AGENCIES**

District Attorney's Office County Court House Troy, New York 12180

> Probation Department Court House Annex Troy, New York 12180

Public Defender's Office Court House Troy, New York 12180

County Court Court House Troy, New York 12180 Sheriff's Department 1504 Fifth Avenue Troy, New York 12180

Youth Bureau County Office Building Troy, New York 12180

Assigned Counsel Plan, County Office Building Troy, New York 12180

Family Court Court House Troy, New York 12180

#### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

City of Troy Police Department 55 State Street Troy, New York 12180

<sup>\*</sup>Population 25,000 and above.

Rensselaer County is located in the Capital region and Troy is its county seat. In area the County covers 655 square miles.

### Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 151,966 persons (ranked 21) has changed -0.4 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 232.0 persons per square mile (ranked 21). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 151,352 persons (ranked 21).

### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 3.4 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 28), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.8 percent of the County's population (ranked 37). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 32.2 percent of the County's population (ranked 34).

## **Education**

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 18.2 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 20), and 13.9 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 28). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 4.3 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 23), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

### **Economy**

Personal per capita income in the County was \$11,633 in 1984 (ranked 22).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 5.1 percent (about 3,700 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 50). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 5,783, or 3.8 percent of the population (ranked 31 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 Populat	,000	0/ Chango
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	5,801	5,816	6,139	5,657	3,706.9	17	-2.5
VIOLENT	568	519	516	426	279.1	20	-25.0
MURDER	5	3	4	4	2.6	24	-20.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	26	24	31	33	21.6	17	26.9
ROBBERY	80	95	131	84	55.0	18	5.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	457	397	350	305	199.9	23	-33.3
PROPERTY	5,233	5,297	5,623	5,231	3,427.7	17	< -0.1
BURGLARY	1,790	1,880	1,972	1,499	982.3	16	-16.3
LARCENY	3,212	3,176	3,354	3,439	2,253.5	19	7.1
MV THEFT	231	241	297	293	192.0	13	26.8

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number 0 1983	of Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	2,249	2,407	2,227	2,369	1,565.2	46	5.3
FELONY	538	524	488	492	325.1	45	-8.6
VIOLENT	212	172	185	180	118.9	23	-15.1
OTHER	326	352	303	312	206.1	61	-4.3
MISDEMEANOR	1,711	1,883	1,739	1,877	1,240.2	43	9.7

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

<b>.</b> .		<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>				
	ARRESTS	1,187	1.5				
	FELONY	249	-3.9				
	VIOLENT ·	82	-18.0				
	OTHER	167	5.0				
	MISDEMEANOR	938	3.0				

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2C} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^1 \end{array}$ 

	1982	Number o	f Arrests _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	6	3	3	2	1.3	40	-66.7
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	4	4	7	4.6	34	40.0
ROBBERY	13	18	33	16	10.6	27	23.1
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	102	79	79	87	57.5	18	-14.7
BURGLARY	146	171	134	105	69.4	47	-28.1
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	314	332	368	297	196.2	57	-5.4

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \be$ 

		Number of Arrests				1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	<u>Rate</u>	Rank .	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DRUG ARRESTS	53	58	79	98	64.7	30	84.9	
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	30	32	34	45	29.7	40	50.0	
POSSESSION	25	28	33	41	27.1	34	64.0	
SALE	5	4	1	4	2.6	44	-20.0	
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	23	26	45	53	35.0	16	130.4	
POSSESSION	17	17	35	40	26.4	15	135.3	
SALE	6	9	10	13	8.6	24	116.7	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} TABLE 2E \\ \hline FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES \end{tabular}$ 

		Number o	f Arrests	1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers			
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	710	942	723	948	9.8	19	33.5
FELONY	42	43	29	24	0.2	46	-42.9
MISDEMEANOR	668	899	694	924	9.5	17	38.3

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

Nur	% Change			
1982	1983	<u> 1984</u>	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
132 100.0%	136 100.0%	147 100.0%	177 100.0%	34.1
<b>34</b> 25.8%	<b>52</b> 38.2%	<b>55</b> 37.4%	50 28.2%	47.1
98 74.2%	<b>84</b> 61.8%	<b>92</b> 62.6%	127 71.8%	29.6
	1982 132 100.0% 34 25.8%	1982 1983  132 136 100.0% 100.0%  34 52 25.8% 38.2%  98 84	1982       1983       1984         132       136       147         100.0%       100.0%       100.0%         34       52       55         25.8%       38.2%       37.4%         98       84       92	132 136 147 177 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 34 52 55 50 25.8% 38.2% 37.4% 28.2% 98 84 92 127

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	72	-38.5	
VIOLENT	16	-46.7	
OTHER	56	-35.6	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

		r and Percen Resulti ony and Misd	ng from		
	1982_	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	1,940 100.0%	1,802 100.0%	1,770 100.0%	1,834 100.0%	-5.5
CONVICTIONS	1,507 77.7%	1,325 73.5%	1,275 72.0%	1,398 76.2%	-7.2
ACQUITTALS	8 0.4%	8 0.4%	10 0.6%	9 0.5%	12.5
DISMISSALS	420 21.6%	464 25.7%	<b>477</b> 26.9%	<b>422</b> 23.0%	0.5
OTHER	5 0.3%	5 0.3%	8 0.5%	5 0.3%	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE & 4B \\ \hline DISPOSITIONS & RESULTING & FROM & FELONY & ARRESTS \end{tabular}$ 

			t of Disposi Felony Arres		
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	<b>480</b> 100.0%	<b>406</b> 100.0%	<b>361</b> 100.0%	387 100.0%	-19.4
CONVICTIONS	383 79.8%	311 76.6%	<b>293</b> 81.2%	<b>315</b> 81.4%	-17.8
ACQUITTALS	<b>4</b> 0.8%	<b>2</b> 0.5%	3 0.8%	0.3%	-75.0
DISMISSALS	91 19.0%	<b>92</b> 22.7%	<b>65</b> 18.0%	<b>70</b> 18.1%	-23.1
OTHER	2 0.4%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0.3%	-50.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
	1982	_1983_	<u> 1984</u>	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	1,507 100.0%	1,325 100.0%	1,275 100.0%	1,398 100.0%	-7.2			
FELONY	134 8.9%	66 5.0%	90 7.1%	135 9.7%	0.7			
VIOLENT	<b>27</b> 1.8%	15 1.1%	32 2.5%	33 2.4%	22.2			
OTHER	107 7.1%	51 3.8%	58 4.5%	102 7.3%	-4.7			
MISDEMEANOR	679 45.1%	58 <b>4</b> 44.1%	<b>552</b> 43.3%	<b>536</b> 38.3%	-21.1			
LESSER OFFENSES	<b>694</b> 46.1%	675 50.9%	<b>633</b> 49.6%	<b>727</b> 52.0%	4.8			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	383 100.0%	311 100.0%	<b>293</b> 100.0%	315 100.0%	-17.8			
FELONY	122 31.9%	57 18.3%	<b>79</b> 27.0%	1 <b>24</b> 39.4%	1.6			
VIOLENT .	27 7.0%	15 4.8%	32 10.9%	33 10.5%	22.2			
OTHER	<b>95</b> 24.8%	<b>42</b> 13.5%	<b>47</b> 16.0%	<b>9</b> 1 28.9%	-4.2			
MISDEMEANOR	<b>224</b> 58.5%	21 <b>4</b> 68.8%	174 59.4%	167 53.0%	-25.4			
LESSER OFFENSES	37 9.7%	<b>40</b> 12.9%	40 13.7%	<b>24</b> 7.6%	-35.1			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	2.9	1.5	1.9	2.3				
LOCAL JAIL	18.5	17.4	16.6	15.2				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.6	3.3	3.7	3.4				
PROBATION	10.8	8.4	13.5	13.3				
FINES	45.9	55.0	48.9	51.6				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	18.2	14.3	15.5	14.2				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		1982 Misd & Felony Lesser		1983 Misd & Felony Lesser		1984 Misd & Felony Lesser		1985 Misd & Felony Lesser			
convictions <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
STATE PRISON	32.8	NA	30.3	NA	26.7	NA	23.7	NA			
LOCAL JAIL	18.7	18.5	21.2	17.2	23.3	16.1	16.3	15.0			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	9.0	3.1	4.5	3.3	13.3	3.0	17.8	1.9			
PROBATION	35.1	8.4	39.4	6.8	34.4	11.9	41.5	10.3			
FINES	2.2	50.1	3.0	57.7	1.1	52.5	0.7	57.1			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	2.2	19.8	1.5	15.0	1.1	16.5	0.0	15.7			

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	1,477	1,372	1,375	1,587	1,048.5	30	7.4	
INVESTIGATIONS	1,139	1,035	1,050	1,098	725.5	31	-3.6	
FAMILY COURT	330	300	275	286	189.0	21	-13.3	
CRIMINAL COURT	809	735	775	812	536.5	29	0.4	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	110	104	106	113	74.7	24	2.7	
FAMILY COURT	18	18	7.	5	3.3	36	-72.2	
CRIMINAL COURT	92	86	99	108	71.4	18	17.4	
<del></del>								

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

1985 Cases Per 100,000 Number of Cases Population						
1982	1983	1984_	1985	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
389	380	407	500	330.4	9	28.5
73	76	59	112	74.0	5	53.4
316	304	348	388	256.4	14	22.8
1,092	1,117	1,194	1,385	915.1	11	26.8
157	156	139	184	121.6	15	17.2
935	961	1,055	1,201	793.5	13	28.4
737	787	885	1,120	740.0	8	52.0
80	80	72	110	72.7	8	37.5
657	707	813	1,010	667.3	11	53.7
	389 73 316 1,092 157 935 737 80	1982     1983       389     380       73     76       316     304       1,092     1,117       157     156       935     961       737     787       80     80	1982     1983     1984       389     380     407       73     76     59       316     304     348       1,092     1,117     1,194       157     156     139       935     961     1,055       737     787     885       80     80     72	1982       1983       1984       1985         389       380       407       500         73       76       59       112         316       304       348       388         1,092       1,117       1,194       1,385         157       156       139       184         935       961       1,055       1,201         737       787       885       1,120         80       80       72       110	Number of Cases         Per 100 Populate           1982         1983         1984         1985         Rate           389         380         407         500         330.4           73         76         59         112         74.0           316         304         348         388         256.4           1,092         1,117         1,194         1,385         915.1           157         156         139         184         121.6           935         961         1,055         1,201         793.5           737         787         885         1,120         740.0           80         80         72         110         72.7	Number of Cases         Per 100,000 Population           1982         1983         1984         1985         Rate Rank           389         380         407         500         330.4         9           73         76         59         112         74.0         5           316         304         348         388         256.4         14           1,092         1,117         1,194         1,385         915.1         11           157         156         139         184         121.6         15           935         961         1,055         1,201         793.5         13           737         787         885         1,120         740.0         8           80         80         72         110         72.7         8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number of	Revocatio	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>			
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOCATIONS	51	46	30	25	18.1	58	-51.0
FAMILY COURT	15	19	11	11	59.8	35	-26.7
CRIMINAL COURT	36	27	19	14	11.7	60	-61.1
TECHNICAL	21	23	16	11	9.2	50	-47.6
NEW CONV.	15	4	3	3	2.5	58	-80.0

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS<sup>1</sup>

		FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	
•	CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	<u> 1982</u>	1983	1984_	1985	1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 85
ADMISSIONS	1,176	1,457	1,398	1,308	864.2 50	11.2
UNSENTENCED	915	1,185	1,067	1,012	668.6 46	10.6
SENTENCED	261	272	331	296	195.6 46	13.4

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	<u> 1982</u>	1983_	<u> 1984</u>	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	104	99	102	114	75.3	30	9.6
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	76	68	82	77	50.9	45	1.3
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	67	78	84	56	37.0	53	-16.4
UNSENTENCED	36	52	44	32	21.1	48	-11.1
SENTENCED	31	26	40	24	15.9	46	-22.6

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	New 1982	Numbe Court C 1983	r of commitment: 1984	3 <u>1985</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	43	15	19	35	23.1	40	-18.6
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	24	8	12	19	12.6	28	-20.8
HOMICIDE	3	1	2	3	2.0	20	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	1	3	2.0	32	200.0
ROBBERY	6	1	2	3	2.0	27	-50.0
BURGLARY	11	3	5	4	2.6	40	-63.6
WEAPONS	0	0	1	1	0.7	15	NA
OTHER	3	2	1	5	3.3	11	66.7
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	4	0	0	2	1.3	42	-50.0
POSSESSION	1 .	0	0	2	1.3	23	100.0
SALE	3	0	0	0	0.0	42	0.0
OTHER FELONIES	15	7	7	14	9.3	44	-6.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0.0	41	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{New}$  court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B  $\hbox{INMATES UNDER CUSTODY}^{\hbox{\scriptsize 1}} \hbox{ in State Prison on December 31}$ 

					Inmat Under C on 12/3	ust.	
		of Inmate e Prison 1983			Per 100,000 Population Rate Rank		% Change 82 vs 85
UNDER CUSTODY	97	81	75	88	58.1	35	-9.3
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	69	61	61	68	44.9	26	-1.4
HOMICIDE	23	24	25	27	17.8	14	17.4
SEX OFFENSE	9	5	4	6	4.0	50	-33.3
ROBBERY	7	8	8	9	5.9	32	28.6
BURGLARY	18	13	14	13	8.6	33	-27.8
WEAPONS	1	1	2	2	1.3	22	100.0
OTHER	11	10	8	11	7.3	17	0.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	5	3	. 1	2	1.3	52	-60.0
POSSESSION	1	. 1	1	2	1.3	37	100.0
SALE	4	2	0	0	0.0	51	0.0
OTHER FELONIES	23	17	13	18	11.9	50	-21.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	· 0 · .	0.0	42	NA

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES WNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of	Parolees	1985	1985 Par Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	33	51	57	43	28.4	25	30.3
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	82	109	143	151	99.8	18	84.1
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	58	86	108	102	67.4	19	75.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SCURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		Number of	Parolees		1985 Revocat Per 1, Parole	ions 000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	3	4	11	19	125.8	10	533.3
RULE VIOLATIONS	. 1	0	4	2	13.2	28	100.0
NEW ARRESTS	1	3	6	12	79.5	6	1,100.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	1	1	5	33.1	19	400.0

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{l}}$  The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	92.0	56.9	113.1	23.0%	.0	•0
Crime Victim's Board	42.7	72.8	81.6	91.1%	35.5	92.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	47.1	170.5	NA	157.6	655.7
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	263.4	269.8	316.0	20.0%	366.5	430.9
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	717.7	801.6	883.6	23.1%	1,949.3	1,202.6
TOTAL	1,115.8	1,248.2	1,564.9	40.2%	2,509.0	2,381.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners						
Coram Nobis <sup>1</sup>	.8	1.0	4.0	403.8%	<del></del>	
Felons <sup>1</sup>	80.0	46.7	89.7	12.2%	<b>2000 10.00</b>	
Parole Violators <sup>1</sup>	6.9	7.7	19.4	180.7%		. 1 1
Prisoner Transfer	4.3	1.5	.0	-100.0%		Elips supp
TOTAL	22.2	56.0	110.1	00.00		
TOTAL	92.0	56.9	113.1	23.0%	.0	.0

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Local}$  correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	18.5	37.9	46.2	150.0%	.0	29.3
Sexual Assault	24.3	35.0	35.4	46.1%	35.5	63.1
TOTAL	42.7	72.8	81.6	91.1%	35.5	92.4

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	.0	37.1	33.0	NA	14.8	33.0	
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>1</sup>	wa sa	.0	.0	NA	.0	489.5	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>2</sup>		10.0	11.4	NA	14.0		
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>3</sup>		':	2.2	NA	- <u>-</u> -	<b></b> -	
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>4</sup>	.0	.0	123.9	NA	128.8	133.1	
TOTAL	.0	47.1	170.5	NA	157.6	655.7	

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

# Table 5

# DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>		wind, many		NA	8.5	31.2
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	19.2	21.3	32.6	69.5%	44.0	49.2
Regular State Aid	244.1	248.5	283.4	16.1%	314.0	350.5
TOTAL	263.4	269.8	316.0	20.0%	366.5	430.9

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{This}$  program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Non-Secure	121.9	66.2	85.7	-29.7%	158.4	102.9
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	102.2	105.1	105.1	2.8%	99.4	97.4
Special Legislative Contracts	.0,	.0	.0	NA	.0	15.9
Voluntary Agency Care	150.8	290.0	362.6	140.5%	809.1	532.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	342.8	340.3	330.2	-3.7%	882.4	454.4
TOTAL	717.7	801.6	883.6	23.1%	1,949.3	1,202.6

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3A

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

<u>Facility</u>	Security Level <sup>1</sup>	Capacity	Staff	
Troy Community Urban Home	VI	14	8	

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Troy Community Urban Home	212.0	237.4	249.7	17.8%	249.6	259.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3C

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures		Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	<u>Total</u>	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Trou Community Urban Home	.0	.0	.0	.0	30.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

#### REGIONAL AGENCIES

Capital District Regional
Planning Commission
2nd Floor, 214 Canal Square
Schenectady, New York 12305

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Old Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211

### **COUNTY AGENCIES**

District Attorney's Office 40 McMaster Street Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Probation Department County Municipal Center Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Public Defender's Office
Box 500A
Seven Union Street
Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Counsel to Indigent Defenders

Box 500 A

Seven Union Street

Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Sheriff's Department Municipal Center Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Youth Bureau County Municipal Center 40 McMaster Street Ballston Spa, New York 12020

County Court Court House Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Family Court 31 Woodlawn Avenue Saratoga Springs, New York 12866

Family Court
Box 115
Municipal Center
Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Saratoga County is located in the Capital region and Ballston Spa is its county seat. In area the County covers 810 square miles.

### Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 153,759 persons (ranked 20) has changed 26.3 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 189.8 persons per square mile (ranked 23). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 161,590 persons (ranked 20).

## Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 1.4 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 40), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.7 percent of the County's population (ranked 42). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 34.6 percent of the County's population (ranked 11).

## Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 12.5 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 57), and 18.6 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 11). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.1 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 52), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

# **Economy**

Personal per capita income in the County was \$12,200 in 1984 (ranked 17).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 5.3 percent (about 4,000 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 48). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 2,953, or 1.8 percent of the population (ranked 54 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	-	Number (	of Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 Populat	,000	W 01
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	4,025	3,802	3,450	3,665	2,287.4	51	-8.9
VIOLENT	232	212	269	218	136.1	41	-6.0
MURDER	3	0	1	3	1.9	38	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	12	18	19	14	8.7	40	16.7
ROBBERY	15	25	11	25	15.6	37	66.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	202	169	238	176	109.8	41	-12.9
PROPERTY	3,793	3,590	3,181	3,447	2,151.3	50	-9.1
BURGLARY	1,301	1,115	966	1,024	639.1	44	-21.3
LARCENY	2,361	2,370	2,131	2,283	1,424.8	50	-3.3
MV THEFT	131	105	84	140	87.4	35	6.9

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o	f Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	3,213	3,519	3,421	3,694	2,286.0	10	15.0
FELONY	566	568	473	642	397.3	27	13.4
VIOLENT	150	160	132	171	105.8	30	14.0
OTHER	416	408	341	471	291.5	23	13.2
MISDEMEANOR	2,647	2,951	2,948	3,052	1,888.7	9	15.3

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

		<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
	ARRESTS	1,750	-8.2	
	FELONY	307	-3.2	
	VIOLENT	86	-12.2	
*	OTHER	221	0.9	
	MISDEMEANOR	1,443	-9.2	

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

	1982	Number o _1983	of Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	2	1	1	5	3.1	18	150.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	6	9	5	7	4.3	36	16.7
ROBBERY	16	12	8	12	7.4	35	-25.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	73	46	53	60	37.1	31	-17.8
BURGLARY	158	156	118	132	81.7	39	-16.5
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	550	536	500	530	328.0	30	-3.6

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2D} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^1 \end{array}$ 

	-	Number of	Arrests		1985 Arr Per 100, Populati	000		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DRUG ARRESTS	104	108	56	147	91.0	23	41.3	
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	62	69	37	106	65.6	22	71.0	
POSSESSION	40	45	34	77	47.7	22	92.5	
SALE	22	24	3	29	17.9	16	31.8	
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	42	39	19	41	25.4	24	-2.4	
POSSESSION	26	18	14	21	13.0	36	-19.2	
SALE	16	21	5	20	12.4	14	25.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of	1985 Arr Per 1,0 Licens of Arrests Driver				
	1982	_1983_	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	1,104	1,400	1,374	1,384	13.0	7	25.4
FELONY	47	53	32	38	0.4	42	-19.1
MISDEMEANOR	1,057	1,347	1,342	1,346	12.6	6	27.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A
FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	82 vs 85
FELONY INDICTMENTS	1 <b>54</b> 100.0%	130 100.0%	<b>94</b> 100.0%	1 <b>54</b> 100.0%	0.0
VIOLENT	<b>47</b> 30.5%	51 39.2%	31 33.0%	<b>55</b> 35.7%	17.0
OTHER	1 <b>07</b> 69.5%	<b>79</b> 60.8%	<b>63</b> 67.0%	<b>99</b> 64.3%	-7.5

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	65	-21.7	
VIOLENT	21	-30.0	
OTHER	44	-17.0	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	2,457 100.0%	2,595 100.0%	2,410 100.0%	2,992 100.0%	21.8		
CONVICTIONS	1,830 74.5%	1,955 75.3%	1,822 75.6%	2,292 76.6%	25.2		
ACQUITTALS	3 0.1%	3 0.1%	7 0.3%	3 0.1%	0.0		
DISMISSALS	618 25.2%	629 24.2%	580 24.1%	693 23.2%	12.1		
OTHER	6 0.2%	8 0.3%	0.0%	<b>4</b> 0.1%	-33.3		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85		
DISPOSITIONS	<b>417</b> 100.0%	<b>416</b> 100.0%	352 100.0%	<b>476</b> 100.0%	14.1		
CONVICTIONS	<b>329</b> 78.9%	<b>334</b> 80.3%	<b>290</b> 82.4%	<b>378</b> 79.4%	14.9		
ACQUITTALS	2 0.5%	<b>2</b> 0.5%	<b>4</b> 1.1%	1 0.2%	-50.0		
DISMISSALS	86 20.6%	<b>76</b> 18.3%	58 16.5%	<b>95</b> 20.0%	10.5		
OTHER	0 0.0%	<b>4</b> 1.0%	0 0.0%	<b>2</b> 0.4%	NA		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

	Numbe <u>Felo</u>				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	1,830 100.0%	1,955 100.0%	1,822 100.0%	2,292 100.0%	25.2
FELONY	63 3.4%	78 4.0%	60 3.3%	<b>88</b> 3.8%	39.7
VIOLENT	11 0.6%	25 1.3%	9 0.5%	2 <b>4</b> 1.0%	118.2
OTHER	52 2.8%	53 2.7%	51 2.8%	<b>64</b> 2.8%	23.1
MISDEMEANOR	581 31.7%	6 <b>05</b> 30.9%	<b>514</b> 28.2%	<b>713</b> 31.1%	22.7
LESSER OFFENSES	1,186 64.8%	1,272 65.1%	1,248 68.5%	1,491 65.1%	25.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	Numbe <u>Res</u>				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	329 100.0%	<b>334</b> 100.0%	<b>290</b> 100.0%	<b>378</b> 100.0%	14.9
FELONY	55 16.7%	74 22.2%	56 19.3%	80 21.2%	45.5
VIOLENT	11 3.3%	25 7.5%	9 3.1%	<b>24</b> 6.3%	118.2
OTHER	<b>44</b> 13.4%	<b>49</b> 14.7%	<b>47</b> 16.2%	<b>56</b> 14.8%	27.3
MISDEMEANOR	<b>225</b> 68.4%	<b>200</b> 59.9%	186 64.1%	<b>233</b> 61.6%	3.6
LESSER OFFENSES	<b>49</b> 14.9%	<b>60</b> 18.0%	48 16.6%	65 17.2%	32.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984_	<u> 1985</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	1.2	1.6	0.9	1.3				
LOCAL JAIL	10.3	12.3	7.8	9.2				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.3	1.3	2.0	1.0				
PROBATION	3.5	4.6	4.4	5.8				
FINES	75.3	72.0	76.6	74.0				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	8.4	8.2	8.2	8.6				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		84 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	19 Felony	085 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		
convictions <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
STATE PRISON	34.9	NA	41.0	NA	28.3	NA	33.0	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	34.9	9.5	26.9	11.7	23.3	7.3	26.1	8.5		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	22.2	0.6	23.1	0.4	30.0	1.0	17.0	0.4		
PROBATION	4.8	3.5	6.4	4.5	13.3	4.1	23.9	5.1		
FINES	1.6	77.9	2.6	74.9	1.7	79.2	0.0	77.0		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.6	8.6	0.0	8.6	3.3	8.4	0.0	8.9		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	f Cases		1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		o, o,
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	1,099	1,220	1,255	1,387	858.3	35	26.2
INVESTIGATIONS	994	1,088	1,073	1,143	707.3	32	15.0
FAMILY COURT	241	278	351	345	213.5	15	43.2
CRIMINAL COURT	753	810	722	798	493.8	36	6.0
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION		•					
CASES RECEIVED	189	190	161	137	84.8	21	-27.5
FAMILY COURT	63	51	52	58	35.9	16	-7.9
CRIMINAL COURT	126	139	109	79	48.9	20	-37.3

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	173	224	220	280	173.3	52	61.8	
FAMILY COURT	45	51	31	58	35.9	41	28.9	
CRIMINAL COURT	128	173	189	222	137.4	55	73.4	
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	427	516	551	670	414.6	61	56.9	
FAMILY COURT	82	90	62	86	53.2	54	4.9	
CRIMINAL COURT	345	426	489	584	361.4	61	69.3	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	292	331	390	467	289.0	60	59.9	
FAMILY COURT	39	31	28	54	33.4	42	38.5	
CRIMINAL COURT	253	300	362	413	255.6	60	63.2	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	umber of	Revocatio	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	25	35	38	50	74.6	6	100.0
FAMILY COURT	8	11	7	10	116.3	12	25.0
CRIMINAL COURT	17	24	31	40	68.5	6	135.3
TECHNICAL	7	17	21	29	49.7	4	314.3
NEW CONV.	10	7	10	11	18.8	26	10.0

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS<sup>1</sup>

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,349	1,390	1,166	1,352	836.7	51	0.2
UNSENTENCED	1,057	1,010	867	1,071	662.8	48	1.3
SENTENCED	292	380	299	281	173.9	50	-3.8

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	70	70	70	70	43.3	52	0.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	74	91	86	86	53.2	43	16.2
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	89	69	74	63	39.0	51	-29.2
UNSENTENCED	51	31	35	35	21.7	46	-31.4
SENTENCED	38	38	39	28	17.3	42	-26.3

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	Ne	Numbe w Court C 1983	er of Commitment 1984	s 1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	23	34	19	31	19.2	47	34.8
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	8	17	8	17	10.5	34	112.5
HOMICIDE	0	1	0	0	0.0	36	NA
SEX OFFENSE	3	2	5	6	3.7	19	100.0
ROBBERY	2	3	1	2	1.2	34	0.0
BURGLARY	3	10	2	6	3.7	31	100.0
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	. 0	1	0	3	1.9	23	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	. 2	4	2	5	3.1	27	150.0
POSSESSION	1	2	0	2	1.2	25	100.0
SALE	1	2	2	3	1.9	30	200.0
OTHER FELONIES	13	13	8	8	5.0	54	-38.5
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	1	1	0.6	37	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{New}$  court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B  $\hbox{Inmates under custody}^1 \hbox{ in state prison on december 31}$ 

			Under Cu on December 1984		Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 Populat Rate	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change 82 vs 85
UNDER CUSTODY	63	76	72	74	45.8	44	17.5
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	32	43	45	49	30.3	38	53.1
HOMICIDE	14	13	12	12	7.4	38	-14.3
SEX OFFENSE	5	6	11	16	9.9	20	220.0
ROBBERY	6	8	6	3	1.9	48	-50.0
BURGLARY	5	13	13	14	8.7	32	180.0
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	2	3	3	4	2.5	38	100.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	2	5	4	7	4.3	32	250.0
POSSESSION	1	3	0	2	1.2	39	100.0
SALE	1	2	4	5	3.1	29	400.0
OTHER FELONIES	28	28	22	17	10.5	53	-39.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	0	1	1	0.6	37	0.0
							22.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $<sup>^2{\</sup>rm Inmates}$  under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY 1

	1982	1983_	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Par Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
RELEASES TO PAROLE	17	15	5	8	5.0	60	-52.9
UNDER PAROLE <sub>1</sub> SUPERVISION	51	44	39	29	17.9	58	-43.1
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	29	34	21	13	8.0	60	-55.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		Number of	· Parolees		1985 Revocat Per 1, Parole	ions 000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	4	3	2	3	103.4	16	-25.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	1	34.5	11	NA
NEW ARRESTS	2	2	, <sup>1</sup> , <b>1</b>	2	69.0	8	0.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	2	1	1	0	0.0	35	-100.0

 $<sup>^{</sup>m l}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Department of Correctional Services	82.7	102.9	77.3	-6.6%	.0	.0	
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	32.2	134.6	NA	212.9	159.0	
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	103.9	119.4	155.0	49.1%	342.7	231.4	
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	409.6	718.6	686.9	67.7%	1,709.6	1,130.2	
TOTAL	596.3	973.2	1,053.8	76.7%	2,265.1	1,520.6	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Board of Prisoners							
Felons <sup>1</sup>	77.9	95.5	67.3	-13.6%	<b></b>		
Parole Violators <sup>1</sup>	2.9	5.6	9.0	206.7%		<b></b>	
Prisoner Transfer	1.9	1.9	1.0	-46.0%			
TOTAL	82.7	102.9	77.3	-6.6%	.0	.0	

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Local}$  correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Crime Prevention and Protection 1		.0	.0	NA	30.0	.0	
Justice Assistance Act <sup>2</sup>		-		NA	26.8	26.8	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	.0	32.2	.0	NA	22.2	.0	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>3</sup>		.0	.0	NA	5.0		
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>4</sup>		, <del></del>	10.7	NA			

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

# Table 4 (continued)

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>5</sup>	.0	.0	123.9	NA	128.8	132.2
TOTAL	.0	32.2	134.6	NA	212.9	159.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

# Table 5

# DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives 6				- NA	30.0	31.2	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	19.5	23.0	
Regular State Aid	103.9	119.4	155.0	49.1%	293.2	177.2	
TOTAL	103.9	119.4	155.0	49.1%	342.7	231.4	

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{This}$  program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Detention		· · · ·					
Non-Secure	26.5	48.8	37.6	41.6%	102.8	65.3	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	86.2	96.8	100.9	17.1%	98.8	96.3	
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	.0	NA	40.0	92.5	
Voluntary Agency Care	88.4	327.9	286.1	223.7%	799.8	521.4	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	208.5	245.0	262.4	25.8%	668.2	354.8	
TOTAL	409.6	718.6	686.9	67.7%	1,709.6	1,130.2	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 11, 1986)

<u>Facility</u>	Security Level	Capacity	Staff	
Mt. McGregor	Medium	46.9	461	
McGregor Annex <sup>1</sup>	Minimum	294	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
TOTAL		763	461	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The facility's staff is included in the staff reported for the Mt. McGregor facility.

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Mt. McGregor	9,187.1	12,613.9	13,088.7	42.5%	13,329.6	14,841.3
McGregor Annex <sup>1</sup>			<b>~ =</b>	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***
TOTAL	9,187.1	12,613.9	13,088.7	42.5%	13,329.6	14,841.3

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$  The facility's budget is administered by the Mt. McGregor facility.

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Chaig Marathan ann an Air ag ann an Air ag ann an Air	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	_Total_	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Mt. McGregor <sup>1</sup>	1,352.2	2,233.9	3,586.1	576.3	767.0	
McGregor Annex			.0	<b>≈</b> =	300 au	
TOTAL	1,352.2	2,233.9	3,586.1	576.3	767.0	

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$  The facility's budget is adminstered by the Mt. McGregor facility.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

# REGIONAL AGENCIES

Capital District Regional Planning Commission 2nd Floor, 214 Canal Square Schenectady, New York 12305

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Old Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211

#### COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Judicial Building Schenectady, New York 12305

Probation Department County Office Building Schenectady, New York 12307

Assigned Counsel Plan 835 Union Street Schenectady, New York 12308

Sheriff's Department 320 Veeder Avenue Schenectady, New York 12307

Youth Bureau 620 State Street Schenectady, New York 12307

County Court 612 State Street Schenectady, New York 12305

Family Court 620 State Street Schenectady, New York 12307

#### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

City of Schenectady Police Department Town of Glenville Police Department 531 Liberty Street Schenectady, New York 12305

18 Glenridge Road Scotia, New York 12302

Town of Rotterdam Police Department 101 Princetown Road Rotterdam, New York 12306

<sup>\*</sup>Population 25,000 and above.

Schenectady County is located in the Capital region and Schenectady is its county seat. In area the County covers 206 square miles.

## <u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 149,946 persons (ranked 22) has changed -6.9 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 727.9 persons per square mile (ranked 12). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 150,585 persons (ranked 22).

### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 4.0 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 23), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.9 percent of the County's population (ranked 30). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 29.0 percent of the County's population (ranked 56).

## Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 14.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 50), and 18.3 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 12). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.7 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 39), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### **Economy**

Personal per capita income in the County was \$14,214 in 1984 (ranked 11).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 4.4 percent (about 3,200 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 55). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 6,546, or 4.3 percent of the population (ranked 25 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes	1985 Cr Per 100 Populat	,000	04 Olan	
<del></del>	1982	1983	1984	1985_	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INDEX CRIME	5,120	4,968	4,887	5,073	3,352.9	22	-0.9
VIOLENT	230	265	381	288	190.3	33	25.2
MURDER	1	4	1	3	2.0	35	200.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	11	21	37	20	13.2	30	81.8
ROBBERY	108	129	169	114	75.3	15	5.6
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	110	111	174	151	99.8	44	37.3
PROPERTY	4,890	4,703	4,506	4,785	3,162.5	24	-2.1
BURGLARY	1,971	1,441	1,480	1,443	953.7	17	-26.8
LARCENY	2,667	2,998	2,762	3,091	2,042.9	23	15.9
MV THEFT	252	264	264	251	165.9	19	-0.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o	of Arrest: 1984	s 1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	2,495	2,465	2,600	2,301	1,528.0	49	-7.8
FELONY	472	499	571	527	350.0	36	11.7
VIOLENT	157	141	164	142	94.3	35	-9.6
OTHER	315	358	407	385	255.7	40	22.2
MISDEMEANOR	2,023	1,966	2,029	1,774	1,178.1	47	-12.3

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	1,242	7.6	
FELONY	302	17.1	
VIOLENT	71	4.4	
OTHER	231	21.6	
MISDEMEANOR	940	4.9	

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>

	1982	Number o	f Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	1	1	2	. 2	1,3	39	100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	6	5	21	10	6.6	23	66.7
ROBBERY	33	26	43	44	29.2	13	33.3
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	61	48	48	39	25.9	47	-36.1
BURGLARY	126	103	104	91	60.4	54	-27.8
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	424	460	414	446	296.2	37	5.2

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

		Number of Arrests			1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DRUG ARRESTS	51	77	67	78	51.8 35	52.9
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	26	59	36	45	29.9 39	73.1
POSSESSION	19	45	20	33	21.9 41	73.7
SALE	7	14	16	12	8.0 34	71.4
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	25	18	31	33	21.9 30	32.0
POSSESSION	16	14	18	27	17.9 22	68.8
SALE	9	4	13	6	4.0 47	-33.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 ised	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	875	869	944	766	6.8	42	-12.5
FELONY	58	69	87	71	0.6	24	22.4
MISDEMEANOR	817	800	857	695	6.2	43	-14.9

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	165 100.0%	1 <b>98</b> 100.0%	197 100.0%	<b>231</b> 100.0%	40.0
VIOLENT	<b>69</b> 41.8%	<b>59</b> 29.8%	<b>62</b> 31.5%	<b>59</b> 25.5%	-14.5
OTHER	96 58.2%	139 70.2%	1 <b>35</b> 68.5%	1 <b>72</b> 74.5%	79.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	75	-41.9	
VIOLENT	23	-28.1	
OTHER	52	-46.4	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1985 <sup>2</sup> 1982 1983 82 vs 85 1984 1,991 **DISPOSITIONS** 2,221 2,055 3.2 2,132 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% CONVICTIONS 7.3 1,461 1,650 1,672 1,568 73.4% 77.4% 75.3% 76.3% **ACQUITTALS** -22.25 7 0.5% 0.2% 0.2% 0.3% DISMISSALS 508 468 523 451 -11.225.5% 22.0% 23.5% 21.9% **OTHER** 13 9 22 29 123.1 0.7% 0.4% 1.0% 1.4%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982_	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	357 100.0%	<b>409</b> 100.0%	<b>416</b> 100.0%	<b>462</b> 100.0%	29.4		
CONVICTIONS	<b>292</b> 81.8%	<b>346</b> 84.6%	3 <b>43</b> 82.5%	375 81.2%	28.4		
ACQUITTALS	7 2.0%	3 0.7%	<b>2</b> 0.5%	<b>4</b> 0.9%	-42.9		
DISMISSALS	49 13.7%	56 13.7%	<b>69</b> 16.6%	68 14.7%	38.8		
OTHER	9 2.5%	<b>4</b> 1.0%	<b>2</b> 0.5%	15 3.2%	66.7		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1985 <sup>2</sup> 1982 1983 1984 82 vs 85 1,672 CONVICTIONS 1,461 1,650 7.3 1,568 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 46.1 115 115 145 168 7.9% 7.0% 8.7% 10.7% VIOLENT 39 39 28 39 0.0 2.7% 2.4% 1.7% 2.5% OTHER 69.7 76 76 117 129 5.2% 8.2% 4.6% 7.0% MISDEMEANOR 715 775 735 716 0.1 48.9% 47.0% 44.0% 45.7% 792 LESSER OFFENSES 631 760 684 8.4 43.2% 46.1% 47.4% 43.6%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	<u>1983</u>	1984_	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85		
CONVICTIONS	<b>292</b> 100.0%	346 100.0%	3 <b>43</b> 100.0%	<b>375</b> 100.0%	28.4		
FELONY	1 <b>04</b> 35.6%	111 32.1%	139 40.5%	158 42.1%	51.9		
VIOLENT	39 13.4%	39 11.3%	28 8.2%	39 10.4%	0.0		
OTHER	65 22.3%	<b>72</b> 20.8%	111 32.4%	119 31.7%	83.1		
MISDEMEANOR	171 58.6%	199 57.5%	174 50.7%	193 51.5%	12.9		
LESSER OFFENSES	17 5.8%	<b>36</b> 10.4%	<b>30</b> 8.7%	2 <b>4</b> 6.4%	41.2		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

	Sent	ences as a Percent	of Total Con	victions
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> <sup>2</sup>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	3.0	1.8	1.9	2.7
LOCAL JAIL	14.4	17.3	17.2	18.9
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.1	3.4	3.5	2.0
PROBATION	9.9	10.4	9.6	13.2
FINES	60.6	58.0	60.3	54.5
OTHER/UNKNOWN	9.0	9.2	7.6	8.6

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

	****	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser		1983 Misd & Felony Lesser		1984 Misd & Felony Lesser		19 Felony	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser		
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.00	100.0		
STATE PRISON	38.3	NA	25.2	NA	21.4	NA	25.6	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	7.8	14.9	11.3	17.7	9.7	17.9	12.5	19.7		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	26.1	1.2	29.6	1.4	34.5	0.5	13.1	0.7		
PROBATION	24.3	8.7	32.2	8.8	31.7	7.5	47.0	9.1		
FINES	0.9	65.7	1.7	62.2	2,1	65.8	1.2	60.9		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	2.6	9.5	0.0	9.8	0.7	8.3	0.6	9.6		

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	- Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	0/ 01 2 4 2 2
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	1,551	1,493	1,676	1,865	1,238.5	22	20.2
INVESTIGATIONS	1,122	1,036	990	1,152	765.0	25	2.7
FAMILY COURT	308	258	250	267	177.3	24	-13.3
CRIMINAL COURT	814	778	740	885	587.7	24	8.7
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	377	287	249	349	231.8	7	-7.4
FAMILY COURT	19	13	9	17	11.3	27	-10.5
CRIMINAL COURT	358	274	240	332	220.5	4	-7.3

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number o	f Cases		Per 100	,000	
1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
387	439	398	401	266.3	28	3.6
110	128	94	90	59.8	13	-18.2
277	311	304	311	206.5	30	12.3
896	1,074	1,185	1,253	832.1	22	39.8
217	242	214	213	141.4	6	-1.8
679	832	971	1,040	690.6	26	53.2
635	786	852	868	576.4	26	36.7
114	119	123	89	59.1	18	-21.9
521	667	729	779	517.3	24	49.5
	387 110 277 896 217 679 635 114	1982     1983       387     439       110     128       277     311       896     1,074       217     242       679     832       635     786       114     119	387 439 398 110 128 94 277 311 304 896 1,074 1,185 217 242 214 679 832 971 635 786 852 114 119 123	1982     1983     1984     1985       387     439     398     401       110     128     94     90       277     311     304     311       896     1,074     1,185     1,253       217     242     214     213       679     832     971     1,040       635     786     852     868       114     119     123     89	Number of Cases       Per 100 Populat         1982       1983       1984       1985       Rate         387       439       398       401       266.3         110       128       94       90       59.8         277       311       304       311       206.5         896       1,074       1,185       1,253       832.1         217       242       214       213       141.4         679       832       971       1,040       690.6         635       786       852       868       576.4         114       119       123       89       59.1	1982         1983         1984         1985         Rate         Rank           387         439         398         401         266.3         28           110         128         94         90         59.8         13           277         311         304         311         206.5         30           896         1,074         1,185         1,253         832.1         22           217         242         214         213         141.4         6           679         832         971         1,040         690.6         26           635         786         852         868         576.4         26           114         119         123         89         59.1         18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probationers						o'
1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 85
38	40	45	57	45.5	31	50.0
17	21	8	25	117.4	11	47.1
21	19	37	32	30.8	46	52.4
7	8	19	16	15.4	44	128.6
14	11	18	16	15.4	32	14.3
	1982 38 17 21 7	1982     1983       38     40       17     21       21     19       7     8	1982     1983     1984       38     40     45       17     21     8       21     19     37       7     8     19	1982         1983         1984         1985           38         40         45         57           17         21         8         25           21         19         37         32           7         8         19         16	Revocat Per 1, Probati           1982         1983         1984         1985         Rate           38         40         45         57         45.5           17         21         8         25         117.4           21         19         37         32         30.8           7         8         19         16         15.4	Revocations           Number of Revocations         Revocations           1982         1983         1984         1985         Rate         Rank           38         40         45         57         45.5         31           17         21         8         25         117.4         11           21         19         37         32         30.8         46           7         8         19         16         15.4         44

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS 1

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ADMISSIONS	1,578	1,703	1,699	1,857	1,233.2	28	17.7
UNSENTENCED	1,146	1,213	1,215	1,384	919.1	28	20.8
SENTENCED	432	490	484	473	314.1	26	9.5

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	<u> 1984</u>	<u> 1985</u>	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	88	88	88	88	58.4	44	0.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	64	68	83	103	68.4	30	60.9
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	57	49	97	95	63.1	29	66.7
UNSENTENCED	51	34	62	76	50.5	15	49.0
SENTENCED	6	15	35	19	12.6	54	216.7

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	New _1982		er of <u>Commitment</u> <u>1984</u>	1985 1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	31	23	23	37	24.6	37	19.4
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	19	16	11	16	10.6	33	-15.8
HOMICIDE	0	. 1	0	0	0.0	36	NA
SEX OFFENSE	. 1	4	3	11	7.3	4	1,000.0
ROBBERY	8	1	2	4	2.7	21	-50.0
BURGLARY	2	7	4	1	0.7	51	-50.0
WEAPONS	5	, 1	1	. 0	0.0	23	0.0
OTHER	3	2	1	0	0.0	40	0.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	1	0	1	2	1.3	41	100.0
POSSESSION	0	. 0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	1	0	. 1	2	1.3	36	100.0
OTHER FELONIES	9	7	9	17	11.3	36	88.9
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2	0	2	. 2	1.3	23	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{New}$  court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

			es Under ( on Decemb 1984		Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 Populat Rate	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	87	76	67	82	54.5	40	-5.7
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	58	59	50	52	34.5	34	-10.3
HOMICIDE	2	3	2	2	1.3	57	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	7	10	10	19	12.6	11	171.4
ROBBERY	21	15	14	16	10.6	18	-23.8
BURGLARY	11	17	15	10	6.6	39	-9.1
WEAPONS	10	6	. 4	2	1.3	21	-80.0
OTHER	7	8	-5	3	2.0	42	-57.1
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	2	0	1	3	2.0	50	50.0
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA
SALE	2	0	1	3	2.0	43	50.0
OTHER FELONIES	23	16	14	25	16.6	40	8.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 4	1	2	2	1.3	27	-50.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $<sup>^2{\</sup>rm Inmates}$  under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
RELEASES TO PAROLE	55	49	37	51	33.9	19	-7.3
UNDER PAROLE <sub>1</sub> SUPERVISION	124	140	138	146 .	97.0	19	17.7
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	91	101	95	103	68.4	18	13.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of _1983_	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	ions 000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	÷ 12	7	13	15	102.7	18	25.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	2	1	1	1	6.8	31	-50.0
NEW ARRESTS	8	5	7	11	75.3	7	37.5
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	2	1	5	3	20.5	30	50.0

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mbox{The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.}$ 

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program .	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Department of Correctional Services	37.3	45.3	70.5	89.1%	.0	.0	
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	34.1	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	34.6	2.0	267.7	674.1%	170.5	213.8	
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	243.9	281.3	304.6	24.9%	420.4	403.5	
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	515.8	626.2	977.4	89.5%	1,401.5	1,050.7	
TOTAL	831.6	954.8	1,620.3	94.8%	1,992.4	1,702.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 F	Y 86-7	
Board of Prisoners							
Felons <sup>1</sup>	13.6	12.6	31.8	133.3%	ma ng	÷ =	
Parole Violators <sup>1</sup>	22.0	32.0	37.4	69.7%	<b>***</b>		
Prisoner Transfer	1.7	.8	1.4	-17.9%			
TOTAL	37.3	45.3	70.5	89.1%	.0	.0	

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Local}$  correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 3

# CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Program		Expend	Appropriations			
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	34.1

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative <sup>1</sup>			55.6	NA			
Crime Prevention and Protection <sup>2</sup>		.0	30.0	NA	19.0	22.0	
Indigent Parolee Prog. <sup>3</sup>	3.1	2.0	3.4	8.4%			
Justice Assistance Act <sup>4</sup>				NA	19.7	18.2	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	31.5	.0	40.0	27.0%	.0	40.0	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>5</sup>		.0	10.0	NA	3.0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

<sup>2</sup>No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

### Table 4 (continued)

## DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program6	***		4.9	NA		
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>7</sup>	.0	.0	123.9	NA	128.8	133.5
TOTAL	34.6	2.0	267.7	674.1%	170.5	213.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

### Table 5

### DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		<u>Appropriations</u>		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives 1			- may uma	NA	30.0	31.2	
Demonstration Projects	.0	.0	.0	NA	320.0	.0	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	25.2	27.9	34.9	38.5%	28.1	28.6	
Regular State Aid	218.7	253.5	269.8	23.3%	42.4	343.7	
TOTAL	243.9	281.3	304.6	24.9%	420.4	403.5	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Non-Secure	48.5	37.0	62.9	29.8%	88.1	55.1
Job Development <sup>1</sup>	està espi	400	eng mak	NA	<b></b> .	80.5
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	105.2	127.6	122.6	16.5%	108.8	103.7
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	10.0	10.0	NA	45.0	60.0
Voluntary Agency Care	97.5	192.0	526.0	439.8%	506.6	351.0
routh Development & Delinquency Prevention	264.7	259.6	255.9	-3.3%	653.1	400.3
TOTAL	515.8	626.2	977.4	89.5%	1,401.5	1,050.7

<sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3A

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

	Security			
<u>Facility</u>	Level <sup>1</sup>	Capacity	Staff	
Schenectady Community Urban Home	VI	14	10	

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Schenectady Community Urban Home	215.6	256.7	296.8	37.7%	218.9	251.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3C

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total		FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Schenectady Community Urban Home	.0	.0	.0		.0	14.2	

SOURCE: MYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

### REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Old Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

#### **COUNTY AGENCIES**

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 429 Schoharie, New York 12157 Sheriff's Department
Main Street
Schoharie, New York 12157

Probation Department Box 433, Court House Schoharie, New York 12157 Youth Bureau
Development Agency
Box 548
Schoharie, New York 12157

Assigned Counsel Plan Main Street Schoharie, New York 12157 County Court Court House Schoharie, New York 12157

Family Court Court House Schoharie, New York 12157 Schoharie County is located in the Capital region and Schoharie is its county seat. In area the County covers 624 square miles.

### <u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 29,710 persons (ranked 58) has changed 20.0 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 47.6 persons per square mile (ranked 55). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 29,799 persons (ranked 58).

### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 1.2 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 43), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 1.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 23). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 35.3 percent of the County's population (ranked 6).

### Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 18.1 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 21), and 11.5 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 46). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.8 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 35), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### **Economy**

Personal per capita income in the County was \$9,004 in 1984 (ranked 59).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 7.9 percent (about 1,000 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 19). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,244, or 4.1 percent of the population (ranked 26 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	Number of Crimes				1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	<b>4</b> 0	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INDEX CRIME	776	776	715	732	2,406.2	46	-5.7
VIOLENT	45	23	30	22	72.3	58	-51.1
MURDER	0	0	0	0	0.0	50	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	2	0	6	1	3.3	58	-50.0
ROBBERY	2	2	. 2	0	0.0	60	-100.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	41	21	22	21	69.0	53	-48.8
PROPERTY	731	753	685	710	2,333.9	42	-2.9
BURGLARY	221	220	215	268	881.0	20	21.3
LARCENY	490	509	453	423	1,390.5	53	-13.7
MV THEFT	20	24	17	19	62.5	52	-5.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o	of Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	556	547	652	667	2,238.3	12	20.0
FELONY	135	75	105	92	308.7	52	-31.9
VIOLENT	21	13	21	26	87.3	40	23.8
OTHER	114	62	84	66	221.5	55	-42.1
MISDEMEANOR	421	472	547	575	1,929.6	8	36.6

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	394	35.9	
FELONY	65	71.1	
VIOLENT	15	15.4	
OTHER	50	100.0	
MISDEMEANOR	329	30.6	

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES 1

	1982	Number o	of Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	0	0	0	0	0.0	49	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	1	0	2	0	0.0	56	-100.0
ROBBERY	0	1	0	0	0.0	56	NA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	9	5	5	10	33.6	36	11.1
BURGLARY	33	24	26	34	114.1	14	3.0
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	69	121	119	116	389.3	18	68.1

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2D} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^1 \end{array}$ 

		Number of	Arrests	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat	Of Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DRUG ARRESTS	36	15	19	7	23.5	57	-80.6
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	29	11	6	4	13.4	51	-86.2
POSSESSION	18	10	5	3	10.1	51	-83.3
SALE	11	1	1	1	3.4	41	-90.9
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	7	4	13	3	10.1	56	-57.1
POSSESSION	3	3	7	2	6.7	53	-33.3
SALE	4	1	6	1	3.4	51	-75.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

	P					1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	173	166	223	227	11.9	10	31.2
FELONY	5	11	12	10	0.5	32	100.0
MISDEMEANOR	168	155	211	217	11.4	10	29.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	Number of Felony Indictments				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS <sup>1</sup>	18 100.0%	<b>5</b> 100.0%	<b>4</b> 100.0%	21 100.0%	16.7	
VIOLENT	6 33.3%	3 60.0%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>6</b> 28.6%	0.0	
OTHER	1 <b>2</b> 66.7%	<b>2</b> 40.0%	<b>4</b> 100.0%	15 71.4%	25.0	

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mbox{Felony}$  indictments were underreported in 1983 and 1984.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 85 vs 86	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	15	150.0	
VIOLENT	2	100.0	
OTHER	13	160.0	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DISPOSITIONS	299 100.0%	295 100.0%	<b>324</b> 100.0%	<b>462</b> 100.0%	54.5	
CONVICTIONS	<b>239</b> 79.9%	<b>227</b> 76.9%	228 70.4%	<b>337</b> 72.9%	41.0	
ACQUITTALS	5, 1.7%	3 1.0%	<b>2</b> 0.6%	<b>4</b> 0.9%	-20.0	
DISMISSALS	51 17.1%	65 22.0%	<b>92</b> 28.4%	117 25.3%	129.4	
OTHER	<b>4</b> 1.3%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>2</b> 0.6%	<b>4</b> 0.9%	0.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	77 100.0%	<b>62</b> 100.0%	<b>69</b> 100.0%	<b>75</b> 100.0%	-2.6
CONVICTIONS	67 87.0%	51 82.3%	55 79.7%	57 76.0%	-14.9
ACQUITTALS	1 1.3%	<b>2</b> 3.2%	1 1.4%	<b>2</b> 2.7%	100.0
DISMISSALS	<b>7</b> 9.1%	9 14.5%	12 17.4%	14 18.7%	100.0
OTHER	2 2.6%	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	2 2.7%	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change <u>1985</u> 2 82 vs 85 1982 1983\_ 1984 CONVICTIONS 239 227 228 337 41.0 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 12.5 24 20 25 27 10.0% 8.8% 11.0% 8.0% 20.0 VIOLENT 5 3 5 6 2.1% 1.3% 2.2% 1.8% 19 10.5 OTHER 17 20 21 7.9% 7.5% 6.2% 8.8% **MISDEMEANOR** 135 109 97 142 5.2 56.5% 48.0% 42.5% 42.1% 110.0 LESSER OFFENSES 80 98 106 168 33.5% 43.2% 46.5% 49.9%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	1982	1983	Felony Arres 1984	1985 <sup>2</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	67 100.0%	51 100.0%	55 100.0%	<b>57</b> 100.0%	-14.9
FELONY	21 31.3%	18 35.3%	<b>23</b> 41.8%	<b>24</b> 42.1%	14.3
VIOLENT	5 7.5%	<b>3</b> 5.9%	5 9.1%	6 10.5%	20.0
OTHER	16 23.9%	15 29.4%	18 32.7%	18 31.6%	12.5
MISDEMEANOR	<b>40</b> 59.7%	<b>30</b> 58.8%	31 56.4%	<b>29</b> 50.9%	-27.5
LESSER OFFENSES	6 9.0%	3 5.9%	1 1.8%	<b>4</b> 7.0%	-33.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS  $^{1}$ 

	Sente	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions						
	1982	1983	1984_	<u> 1985</u> <sup>2</sup>				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	4.2	1.8	3.1	3.6				
LOCAL JAIL	14.6	10.6	8.3	4.5				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	5.4	8.8	7.5	4.2				
PROBATION	5.4	8.8	8.8	5.3				
FINES	52.7	55.9	64.0	71.8				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	17.6	14.1	8.3	10.7				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

	<u> </u>	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	84 Misd & Lesser	<u>19</u> Felony	085 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>			
convictions <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
STATE PRISON	41.7	NA	20.0	NA	28.0	NA	44.4	NA			
LOCAL JAIL	12.5	14.9	20.0	9.7	20.0	6.9	11.1	3.9			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	41.7	1.4	50.0	4.8	40.0	3.4	37.0	1.3			
PROBATION	4.2	5.6	10.0	8.7	12.0	8.4	7.4	5.2			
FINES	0.0	58.6	0.0	61.4	0.0	71.9	0.0	78.1			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	19.5	0.0	15.5	0.0	9.4	0.0	11.6			

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases			1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	78	78	35	82	275.2	59	5.1
INVESTIGATIONS	144	142	149	142	476.5	53	-1.4
FAMILY COURT	32	30	40	41	137.6	33	28.1
CRIMINAL COURT	112	112	109	101	338.9	53	-9.8
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	0	4	11	3	10.1	47	NA .
FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	0	4	11	3	10.1	29	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	F Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	e/ Channe
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	35	66	62	53	177.9	51	51.4
FAMILY COURT	4	10	7	6	20.1	56	50.0
CRIMINAL COURT	31	56	55	47	157.7	46	51.6
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	132	157	176	188	630.9	49	42.4
FAMILY COURT	14	13	15	16	53.7	53	14.3
CRIMINAL COURT	118	144	161	172	577.2	45	45.8
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	91	114	135	137	459.7	43	50.5
FAMILY COURT	3	8	10	10	33.6	41	233.3
CRIMINAL COURT	88	106	125	127	426.2	41	44.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	Number of Revocations				1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
REVOCATIONS	5	3	5	5	26.6	52	0.0	
FAMILY COURT	2	0	0	0	0.0	54	-100.0	
CRIMINAL COURT	3	3	. 5	5	29.1	48	66.7	
TECHNICAL	2	1	1	1	5.8	54	-50.0	
NEW CONV.	1	2	4	4	23.3	19	300.0	

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS 1

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

				1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population			% Change
	<u> 1982</u>	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u>	Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	367	304	366	328	1,100.7	39	-10.6
UNSENTENCED	260	235	281	265	889.3	29	1.9
SENTENCED	107	69	85	63	211.4	44	-41.1

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

					1985 In Per 100	,000		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	<u>ion</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
REPORTED CAPACITY	18	21	21	21	70.5	32	16.7	
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	18	15	20	15	50.3	46	-16.7	
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	26	14	19	13	43.6	47	-50.0	
UNSENTENCED	13	10	13	9	30.2	37	-30.8	
SENTENCED	13	4	6	4	13.4	52	-69.2	

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	Ne	Numbe w Court C 1983		<u>.s</u> 1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	6	8	8	7	23.5	39	16.7
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	4	4	4	7	23.5	9	75.0
HOMICIDE	0	0	0	2	6.7	4	NA
SEX OFFENSE	0	1	1	1	3.4	20	NA
ROBBERY	0	2	0	0	0.0	40	NA
BURGLARY	3	0	1	3	10.1	5	0.0
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	1	1	2	1	3.4	10	0.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	0	1	0	0	0.0	46	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	0	1	0	0	0.0	42	NA
OTHER FELONIES	2	3	4	0	0.0	61	0.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0.0	41	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{New}$  court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

	Number of in State F 1982				Inmate Under Co on 12/33 Per 100 Populate Rate	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	11	13	15	17	57.0	36	54.5
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	7	9	8	14	47.0	24	100.0
HOMICIDE	1	1	0	2	6.7	41	100.0
SEX OFFENSE	1	2	2	3	10.1	19	200.0
ROBBERY	0	2	2	2	6.7	28	NA
BURGLARY	3	2	2	4	13.4	16	33.3
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	2	2	2	3	10.1	9	50.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	0	1	0	0	0.0	55	NA
POSSESSION	0	. 0	0 ,	0	0.0	42	NA
SALE	0	1	0	0	0.0	51	NA
OTHER FELONIES	4	3	7	3	10.1	54	-25.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $<sup>^2{\</sup>rm Inmates}$  under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	. 1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	. < 0.1%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

				4.0			
	1982	Number of 1983	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Par Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
RELEASES TO PAROLE	1	4	1.	4	13.4	46	300.0
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	7	9	7	6	20,1	56	-14.3
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	5	6	2	5	16.8	55	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		Number of		-	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	0	1	2	1.1	166.7 3	NA
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	2	1	166.7 1	NA
NEW ARRESTS	0	1	.0	0	0.0 43	NA
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0.0 35	NA
						· ·

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Department of Correctional Services	<.1	58.7	16.1	34,142.6%	.0	.0	
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	.9	2.5	NA	2.5	3.0	
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	31.3	39.8	40.2	28.6%	52.0	63.9	
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	52.4	80.3	89.5	70.9%	206.9	118.8	
TOTAL	83.7	179.7	148.3	77.2%	261.4	185.6	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. Th∜s increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>	•					
Felons	.0	53.4	13.9	NA		
Parole Violators	.0	4.8	1.8	NA	÷ <b>≒</b>	
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	<.1	.5	.3	600.0%		
TOTAL	<.1	58.7	16.1	34,142.6%	.0	.0

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Local}$  correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

# Table 3

# CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Prevention and Protection		.0	.0	NA	.0	3.0
Indigent Parolee Prog. 1	.0	.9	.7	NA	<b>=</b> -	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>2</sup>		.0	.0	NA	2.5	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>3</sup>			1.8	NA	***	
TOTAL	.0	.9	2.5	NA	2.5	3.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

### Table 5

# DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropri	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives 6			<del></del>	NA	6.9	10.4	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	1.2	
Regular State Aid	31.3	39.8	40.2	28.6%	45.1	52.3	
TOTAL	31.3	39.8	40.2	28.6%	52.0	63.9	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Detention							
Non-Secure	1.5	5.3	4.1	182.0%	13.0	11.1	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	13.8	17.7	17.7	27.7%	17.7	17.7	
Voluntary Agency Care	<.1	16.0	23.0	575,525.0%	47.6	35.5	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	37.1	41.2	44.6	20.5%	128.6	54.5	
TOTAL	52.4	80.3	89.5	70.9%	206.9	118.8	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 11, 1986)

<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	Staff	
 Summit-Camp	Minimum	167	70	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 28

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Summit-Camp	1,737.5	1,874.7	2,206.0	27.0%	2,332.4	2,598.4

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	[	Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Summit-Camp	23.9	192.5	216.4	322.1	177.0

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

#### **REGIONAL AGENCIES**

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Old Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

#### **COUNTY AGENCIES**

District Attorney's Office County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845

Probation Department County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845

Assigned Counsel Plan County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845 Sheriff's Department Municipal Building Lake George, New York 12845

Youth Bureau County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845

County Court County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845

Family Court County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845 Warren County is located in the Capital region and Town of Queensbury is its county seat. In area the County covers 882 square miles.

#### **Population**

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 54,854 persons (ranked 44) has changed 11.0 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 62.2 persons per square mile (ranked 50). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 55,640 persons (ranked 44).

## Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 0.8 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 55), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.7 percent of the County's population (ranked 41). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 33.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 26).

## Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 15.0 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 44), and 16.3 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 14). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 4.8 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 17), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$11,624 in 1984 (ranked 23).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 7.6 percent (about 1,900 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 24). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,622, or 2.9 percent of the population (ranked 47 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes	1985 Cr Per 100 Populat	,000	0/ Chause	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	2,129	2,177	1,799	1,897	3,408.9	21	-10.9
VIOLENT	71	55	22	28	50.3	61	-60.6
MURDER	2	0	0	0	0.0	50	-100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	4	<b>3</b>	4	7.2	49	0.0
ROBBERY	6	10	6	7	12.6	41	16.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59	41	13	17	30.5	61	-71.2
PROPERTY	2,058	2,122	1,777	1,869	3,358.6	18	-9.2
BURGLARY	548	609	502	462	830.2	24	-15.7
LARCENY	1,414	1,427	1,216	1,315	2,363.0	13	-7.0
MV THEFT	96	86	59	92	165.3	20	-4.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o	of Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	1,292	1,685	1,770	1,640	2,947.5	5	26.9
FELONY	263	300	313	266	478.1	18	1.1
VIOLENT	77	81	74	66	118.6	24	-14.3
OTHER	186	219	239	200	359.5	13	7.5
MISDEMEANOR	1,029	1,385	1,457	1,374	2,469.4	3	33.5

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	827	-0.4	
FELONY	150	26.1	
VIOLENT	53	82.8	
OTHER	97	7.8	
MISDEMEANOR	677	-4.8	

TABLE 2C  $\mbox{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^{1}$ 

					1985 Ar Per 100		
	1982	Number o _1983	f Arrests 1984	1985	<u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ion <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	1	0	0	0	0.0	49	-100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	1	2	1	1.8	50	-80.0
ROBBERY	5	12	13	4	7.2	37	-20.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	22	37	29	27	48.5	22	22.7
BURGLARY	85	67	74	66	118.6	12	-22.4
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	260	253	309	295	530.2	4	13.5

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2D} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^1 \end{array}$ 

		Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	
DRUG ARRESTS	43	81	36	73	131.2	12	69.8	
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	34	61	22	57	102.4	8	67.6	
POSSESSION	31	57	21	52	93.5	7	67.7	
SALE	3	4	1	5	9.0	28	66.7	
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	9	20	14	16	28.8	20	77.8	
POSSESSION	7	13	10	11	19.8	19	57.1	
SALE	2	7	4	5	9.0	21	150.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen <u>Drive</u>	000 sed	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	369	734	811	672	16.2	2	82.1
FELONY	11	27	9	5	0.1	53	-54.5
MISDEMEANOR	358	707	802	667	16.1	2	86,3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A
FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	mber of Fel	ony Indictme	ents	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	<b>40</b> 100.0%	<b>46</b> 100.0%	<b>48</b> 100.0%	<b>48</b> 100.0%	20.0
VIOLENT	11 27.5%	14 30.4%	7 14.6%	13 27.1%	18.2
OTHER	<b>29</b> 72.5%	<b>32</b> 69.6%	<b>41</b> 85.4%	<b>35</b> 72.9%	20.7

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	24	-11.1
VIOLENT	7	75.0
OTHER	17	-26.1

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

		Result	nt of Disposi ing from demeanor Arre		
	 1982	1983	1984_	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85
DISPOSITIONS	1,078 100.0%	1,414 100.0%	1,510 100.0%	1,509 100.0%	40.0
CONVICTIONS	<b>775</b> 71.9%	1,042 73.7%	1,123 74.4%	1,102 73.0%	42.2
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	3 0.2%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	0.1%	NA
DISMISSALS	299 27.7%	369 26.1%	38 <b>5</b> 25.5%	<b>397</b> 26.3%	32.8
OTHER	<b>4</b> 0.4%	0 0.0%	<b>2</b> 0.1%	8 0.5%	100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1

		r and Percen			
	1982	1983	1984	1985 <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	229 100.0%	228 100.0%	276 100.0%	235 100.0%	2.6
CONVICTIONS	168 73.4%	177 77.6%	199 72.1%	1 <b>74</b> 74.0%	3.6
ACQUITTALS	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>2</b> 0.9%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>2</b> 0.9%	NA
DISMISSALS	<b>59</b> 25.8%	<b>49</b> 21.5%	77 27.9%	<b>59</b> 25.1%	0.0
OTHER	2 0.9%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	-100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change <u>1985</u> 2 1982 1983 1984 82 vs 85 1,042 CONVICTIONS 1,123 775 1,102 42.2 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 28 23 28 32 14.3 3.6% 2.2% 2.5% 2.9% VIOLENT 6 7 3 7 16.7 0.8% 0.7% 0.3% 0.6% OTHER 22 16 25 25 13.6 2.8% 1.5% 2.2% 2.3% **MISDEMEANOR** 458 473 523 493 7.6 59.1% 45.4% 46.6% 44.7% LESSER OFFENSES 289 572 99.7 546 577 37.3% 52.4% 50.9% 52.4%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	1982	1983	Felony Arres	2	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	168 100.0%	177 100.0%	199 100.0%	1 <b>74</b> 100.0%	3.6
FELONY	<b>26</b> 15.5%	21 11.9%	<b>24</b> 12.1%	<b>29</b> 16.7%	11.5
VIOLENT	6 3.6%	7 4.0%	3 1.5%	7 4.0%	16.7
OTHER	<b>20</b> 11.9%	14 7.9%	21 10.6%	<b>22</b> 12.6%	10.0
MISDEMEANOR	131 78.0%	129 72.9%	154 77.4%	127 73.0%	-3.1
LESSER OFFENSES	11 6.5%	27 15.3%	21 10.6%	18 10.3%	63.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS  $^{1}$ 

Sent	tences as a Percent	of Total Convict	ions
1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> 2
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
1.4	0.8	0.7	0.5
18.8	14.9	14.8	14.0
1.2	1.6	1.2	1.9
7.1	4.0	4.7	5.8
43.9	62.0	64.0	60.8
27.6	16.7	14.6	17.1
	1982 100.0% 1.4 18.8 1.2 7.1 43.9	1982     1983       100.0%     100.0%       1.4     0.8       18.8     14.9       1.2     1.6       7.1     4.0       43.9     62.0	100.0%       100.0%       100.0%         1.4       0.8       0.7         18.8       14.9       14.8         1.2       1.6       1.2         7.1       4.0       4.7         43.9       62.0       64.0

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

		Sei	ntences a	s a Pero	cent of (	Convicti	ons	
		82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & Lesser		084 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	985 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
convictions <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	39.3	NA	34.8	NA	28.6	NA	15.6	NA
LOCAL JAIL	28.6	18.5	13.0	14.9	39.3	14.2	34.4	13.4
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.7	0.8	26.1	1.1	21.4	0.6	25.0	1.2
PROBATION	21.4	6.6	26.1	3.5	10.7	4.6	25.0	5.2
FINES	0.0	45.5	0.0	63.4	0.0	65.7	0.0	62.6
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	28.6	0.0	17.1	0.0	15.0	0.0	17.6

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases			•	1985 Ca Per 100 Populat	a. 0.	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	. <u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	668	627	632	807	1,450.4	9	20.8
INVESTIGATIONS	317	294	385	483	868.1	16	52.4
FAMILY COURT	79	80	151	214	384.6	4	170.9
CRIMINAL COURT	238	214	234	269	483.5	39	13.0
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	14	21	31	27	48.5	29	92.9
FAMILY COURT	14	21	. 31	26	46.7	11	85.7
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	1	1.8	38	NA

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases			1985 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	e/ Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
PROBATION SUPERVISION					•		
CASES RECEIVED	103	106	110	128	230.1	40	24.3
FAMILY COURT	20	21	32	22	39.5	34	10.0
CRIMINAL COURT	83	85	78	106	190.5	37	27.7
UNDER PROBATION 1 SUPERVISION	280	283	289	312	560.7	55	11.4
FAMILY COURT	37	32	50	45	80.9	33	21.6
CRIMINAL COURT	243	251	239	267	479.9	54	9.9
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	177	179	184	214	384.6	53	20.9
FAMILY COURT	11	18	23	14	25.2	48	27.3
CRIMINAL COURT	166	161	161	200	359.5	52	20.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number of Revocations					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	20	10	28	21	67.3	7	5.0
FAMILY COURT	5	3	9	6	133.3	4	20.0
CRIMINAL COURT	15	7	19	15	56.2	11	0.0
TECHNICAL	1	3	12	6	22.5	28	500.0
NEW CONV.	14	4	7	9	33.7	6	-35.7
NEW CONV.	14	4	7	9	33.7	6	

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS

1

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ADMISSIONS	1,356	1,418	1,084	1,049	1,885.3	2	-22.6
UNSENTENCED	1,047	1,157	790	808	1,452.2	5	-22.8
SENTENCED	309	261	294	241	433.1	11	-22.0

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	64	64	64	58	104.2	11	-9.4
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	40	43	43	40	71.9	26	0.0
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	46	47	38	27	48.5	42	-41.3
UNSENTENCED	21	19	22	14	25.2	44	-33.3
SENTENCED	25	28	16	13	23.4	34	-48.0

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	New _1982	Number <u>Court Co</u> 1983	of ommitments 1984	1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	9	11	8	6	10.8	57	-33.3
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	6	6	1	2	3.6	52	-66.7
HOMICIDE	0	0	0	0	0.0	36	NA
SEX OFFENSE	2	1	1	0	0.0	47	0.0
ROBBERY	0	3	0	1	1.8	28	NA
BURGLARY	4	1	0	1	1.8	46	-75.0
WEAPONS	0	1	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0.0	40	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	1	1	3	2	3.6	23	100.0
POSSESSION	0	0	1	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	1	1	2	2	3.6	16	100.0
OTHER FELONIES	2	4	4	2	3.6	57	0.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0.0	41	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{New}$  court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B  $\hbox{Inmates under custody}^{\hbox{\scriptsize 1}} \hbox{ in state prison on december 31}$ 

	Number o	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population Rate Rank		% Change 82 vs 85			
UNDER CUSTODY	32	36	20	20	35.9	53	-37.5
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	18	20	9	11	19.8	50	-38.9
HOMICIDE	3	3	2	2	3.6	55	-33.3
SEX OFFENSE	3	4	3	3	5.4	42	0.0
ROBBERY	4	6	1	2	3.6	38	-50.0
BURGLARY	5	5	3	4	7.2	36	-20.0
WEAPONS	2	2	0	0	0.0	32	0.0
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0.0	52	0.0
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	. 1	2	3	3	5.4	28	200.0
POSSESSION	0	1	1	0	0.0	42	NA
SALE	1	1	2	3	5.4	18	200.0
OTHER FELONIES	13	14	8	6	10.8	52	-53.8
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA
					1.1		

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984 1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	0.1% < 0.1%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number of</u> 	Parolees 1984	1985 Parolees Per 100,000 Population Rate Rank		% Change 82 vs 85	
RELEASES TO PAROLE	13	10	15	4	7.2	56	-69.2
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	32	31	37	28	50.3	40	-12.5
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	21	22	24	15	27.0	46	-28.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		Number of Parolees					% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Parole Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>	
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	1	2	1	1	35.7	43	0.0	
RULE VIOLATIONS	1	0	0	0	0.0	32	-100.0	
NEW ARRESTS	0	2	1	0	0.0	43	NA	
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0		35.7	13	NA	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	12.1	11.5	31.9	162.9%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	.0	10.0	NA	.0	400.0
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	72.8	78.3	83.9	15.2%	90.5	130.7
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	178.8	234.8	401.6	124.6%	542.4	335.3
TOTAL.	263.7	324.6	527.4	100.0%	632.9	866.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3 F	Y 83-4 F	Y 84-5	% CN inge 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners						
Felons <sup>1</sup>	7.2	9.8	19.1	164.8%	<del>i</del> .	****
Parole Violators <sup>1</sup>	1.5	1.0	12.2	692.2%	,en en	
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	3.4	.7	.5	-84.2%		
TOTAL	12.1	11.5	31.9	162.9%	.0	.0

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mbox{Local}$  correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

#### Table 3

### CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations				
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>1</sup>	400 440	.0	.0	NA	.0	400.0	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>2</sup>			10.0	NA			
TOTAL	.0	.0	10.0	NA	.0	400.0	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administracion of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 5

## DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Demonstration Projects	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	10.0	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	2.4	
Regular State Aid	72.8	78.3	83.9	15.2%	90.5	118.3	
TOTAL	72.8	78.3	83.9	15.2%	90.5	130.7	

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Detention							
Non-Secure	10.8	10.9	3.5	-67.6%	25.9	11.5	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	34.7	37.7	37.7	8.7%	30.3	45.0	
Voluntary Agency Care	41.9	91.3	267.8	538.5%	238.9	156.3	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	91.3	94.9	92.5	1.4%	247.3	122.4	
TOTAL	178.8	234.8	401.6	124.6%	542.4	335.3	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3A

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u> 1	Capacity	Staff	
Glens Falls Community Urban Home	VI		5	

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY_85-6	FY 86-7
Glens Falls Community Urban					$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}  f  dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}  f  dx$	
Home	117.0	127.4	141.8	21.2%	158.0	149.9

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3C

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Glens Falls Community Urban Home	1.0	.0	1.0	.0	9.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

#### REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police
Troop G Headquarters
504 Old Loudon Road
Loudonville, New York 12211

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

#### **COUNTY AGENCIES**

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 288 Fort Edward, New York 12828

East Broadway Salem, New York 12865

Sheriff's Department

Probation Department Gray's Corner Road Whitehall, New York 12887 Youth Bureau
Annex 1
Lower Main Street
Hudson Falls, New York 12839

Public Defender's Office 12 Elm Street Hudson Falls, New York 12839 County Court Court House Fort Edward, New York 12828

Family Court Main Street Salem, New York 12865 Family Court 120 Main Street Whitehall, New York 12887 Washington County is located in the Capital region and Hudson Falls is its county seat. In area the County covers 830 square miles.

#### Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 54,795 persons (ranked 45) has changed 3.9 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 65.5 persons per square mile (ranked 47). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 56,752 persons (ranked 43).

#### Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 1.9 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 36), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 1.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 22). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 34.3 percent of the County's population (ranked 14).

#### Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 19.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 12), and 10.3 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 55). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 5.5 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 9), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

#### Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$9,765 in 1984 (ranked 51).\* In 1985, a monthly average of 6.5 percent (about 1,600 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 40). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,930, or 3.4 percent of the population (ranked 37 among the 57 non-New York City counties).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		1985 Crimes Per 100,000 Population					
ing the second of the second o	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INDEX CRIME	1,741	1,413	1,151	1,214	2,158.2	56	-30.3
VIOLENT	196	162	121	146	259.6	24	-25.5
MURDER	0	0	4	3	5.3	8.	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	3	11	3	5.3	51	-25.0
ROBBERY	2	6	9	3	5.3	52	50.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	190	153	97	137	243.6	15	-27.9
PROPERTY	1,545	1,251	1,030	1,068	1,898.7	58	-30.9
BURGLARY	465	378	305	301	535.1	55	-35.3
LARCENY	1,010	841	691	717	1,274.7	55	-29.0
MV THEFT	70	32	34	50	88.9	34	-28.6

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

#### III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o 1983	f Arrests _1984_	s 1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	902	1,065	1,086	1,123	1,978.8	25	24.5
FELONY	202	262	217	225	396.5	28	11.4
VIOLENT	66	51	56	60	105.7	3.1	-9.1
OTHER	136	211	161	165	290.7	25	21.3
MISDEMEANOR	700	803	869	898	1,582.3	22	28.3

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	532	-5.5	
FELONY	125	7.8	
VIOLENT	35	25.0	
OTHER	90	2.3	
MISDEMEANOR	407	-8.9	

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2C} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^1 \end{array}$ 

	1982	Number of	Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	0	0	1	1	1.8	36	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	3	0	3	0	0.0	56	-100.0
ROBBERY	1	9	3	8	14.1	23	700.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	39	26	18	32	56.4	19	-17.9
BURGLARY	55	65	55	46	81.1	41	-16.4
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	139	142	117	114	200.9	54	-18.0

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2D  $\mbox{ ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^{1}$ 

	- Managamani ang manang ma	Number of	Arrests	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DRUG ARRESTS	17	34	32	. 11	19.4	60	-35.3
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	13	16	15	9	15.9	50	-30.8
POSSESSION	12	9	11	7	12.3	47	-41.7
SALE	1	7	4	2	3.5	38	100.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	4	18	17	2	3.5	61	-50.0
POSSESSION	2	11	9	2	3.5	60	0.0
SALE	2	7	8	0	0.0	58	-100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number o	f Arrests	1985 Ar Per 1, Licer Drive			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 85
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	276	376	460	491	13.5	5	77.9
FELONY	18	38	40	42	1.2	3	133.3
MISDEMEANOR	258	338	420	449	12.3	7	74.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	Number of Felony Indictments					
	1982	1983	<u> 1984</u>	1985	82 vs 85		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	39 100.0%	55 100.0%	<b>64</b> 100.0%	<b>72</b> 100.0%	84.6		
VIOLENT	17 43.6%	15 27.3%	<b>20</b> 31.3%	<b>24</b> 33.3%	41.2		
OTHER	<b>22</b> 56.4%	<b>40</b> 72.7%	<b>44</b> 68.8%	<b>48</b> 66.7%	118.2		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

		Number	% Ch Jan- <u>85 v</u>	ange June s <u>86</u>	
FELONY	INDICTMENTS	41	-1	0.9	
VIOL	ENT	7	-4	1.7	
ОТНЕ	R	34		0.0	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>				
DISPOSITIONS	<b>440</b> 100.0%	<b>590</b> 100.0%	<b>604</b> 100.0%	678 100.0%	54.1				
CONVICTIONS	3 <b>53</b> 80.2%	<b>490</b> 83.1%	<b>492</b> 81.5%	561 82.7%	58.9				
ACQUITTALS	<b>0</b> 0.0%	3 0.5%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	2 0.3%	NA				
DISMISSALS	87 19.8%	97 16.4%	103 17.1%	113 16.7%	29.9				
OTHER	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	9 1.5%	<b>2</b> 0.3%	NA				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	101 100.0%	186 100.0%	123 100.0%	134 100.0%	32.7
CONVICTIONS	83 82.2%	162 87.1%	1 <b>06</b> 86.2%	118 88.1%	42.2
ACQUITTALS	<b>0</b> 0.0%	2 1.1%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>2</b> 1.5%	NA
DISMISSALS	18 17.8%	<b>22</b> 11.8%	16 13.0%	13 9.7%	-27.8
OTHER	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	1 0.8%	1 0.7%	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984_	<u> 1985</u> 2	% Change 82 vs 85			
CONVICTIONS	353 100.0%	<b>490</b> 100.0%	<b>492</b> 100.0%	<b>561</b> 100.0%	58.9			
FELONY	17 4.8%	<b>48</b> 9.8%	<b>41</b> 8.3%	<b>45</b> 8.0%	164.7			
VIOLENT	<b>4</b> 1.1%	12 2.4%	<b>4</b> 0.8%	3 0.5%	-25.0			
OTHER	13 3.7%	36 7.3%	37 7.5%	<b>42</b> 7.5%	223.1			
MISDEMEANOR	212 60.1%	288 58.8%	246 50.0%	<b>257</b> 45.8%	21.2			
LESSER OFFENSES	124 35.1%	154 31.4%	205 41.7%	<b>259</b> 46.2%	108.9			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984_	2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	83 100.0%	162 100.0%	106 100.0%	118 100.0%	42.2			
FELONY	16 19.3%	<b>42</b> 25.9%	<b>35</b> 33.0%	<b>41</b> 34.7%	156.3			
VIOLENT	<b>4</b> 4.8%	12 7.4%	<b>4</b> 3.8%	3 2.5%	-25.0			
OTHER	12 14.5%	30 18.5%	31 29.2%	38 32.2%	216.7			
MISDEMEANOR	59 71.1%	111 68.5%	66 62.3%	71 60.2%	20.3			
LESSER OFFENSES	<b>8</b> 9.6%	9 5.6%	5 4.7%	6 5.1%	-25.0			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS  $^{1}$ 

	Sente	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	2.3	2.4	1.2	0.2				
LOCAL JAIL	9.6	13.9	13.2	12.3				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.0	3.3	3.3	3.4				
PROBATION	10.8	10.8	4.3	6.2				
FINES	56.4	44.9	60.0	64.3				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	19.0	24.7	18.1	13.5				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		82 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	983 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		984 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	19 Felony	985 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	
CONVICTIONS <sup>2</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	47.1	NA	25.0	NA	14.6	NA	2.2	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	11.8	9.5	25.0	12.7	31.7	11.5	40.0	9,9	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	17.6	1.2	16.7	1.8	17.1	2.0	13.3	2.5	
PROBATION	23.5	10.1	33.3	8.4	29.3	2.0	44.4	2.9	
FINES	0.0	59.2	0.0	49.8	4.9	65.0	. 0.0	70.0	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	19.9	0.0	27.4	2.4	19.5	0.0	14.7	

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE <sup>1</sup>	643	594	620	743	1,309.2	16	15.6
INVESTIGATIONS	280	326	268	323	569.1	46	15.4
FAMILY COURT	80	97	81	121	213.2	16	51.2
CRIMINAL COURT	200	229	187	202	355.9	51	1.0
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	10	3	0	0	0.0	53	-100.0
FAMILY COURT	10	3	0	0	0.0	44	-100.0
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases			***************************************	1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	136	166	127	121	213.2	43	-11.0	
FAMILY COURT	79	71	58	50	88.1	4	-36.7	
CRIMINAL COURT	57	95	69	71	125.1	57	24.6	
UNDER PROBATION <sup>1</sup> SUPERVISION	280	319	344	331	583.2	51	18.2	
FAMILY COURT	99	98	101	89	156.8	4	-10.1	
CRIMINAL COURT	181	221	243	242	426.4	57	33.7	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	153	217	210	202	355.9	56	32.0	
FAMILY COURT	27	43	39	28	49.3	27	3.7	
CRIMINAL COURT	126	174	171	174	306.6	58	38.1	
and the second s								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	Nur	nber_of	Revocatio	ns	198 Revocat Per 1, <u>Probati</u>	ions 000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	13	11	16	13	39.3	41	0.0
FAMILY COURT	. 1	5	4	6	67.4	28	500.0
CRIMINAL COURT	12	6	12	7	28.9	49	-41.7
TECHNICAL	5	3	4	1	4.1	57	-80.0
NEW CONV.	7	3	8	6	24.8	17	-14.3

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS<sup>1</sup>

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	<u> 1984</u>	1985	1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population Rate Rank		% Change 82 vs 85	
ADMISSIONS	575	675	668	707	1,245.8	26	23.0	
UNSENTENCED	394	459	442	461	812.3	33	17.0	
SENTENCED	181	216	226	246	433.5	10	35.9	

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984_	1985_	1985 In Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	38	50	50	54	95.2	19	42.1
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	30	44	46	48	84.6	15	60.0
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	37	24	58	30	52.9	38	-18.9
UNSENTENCED	18	5	22	11	19.4	54	-38.9
SENTENCED	19	19	36	19	33.5	13	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	Nev 1982	Numbe V Court C 1983	r of ommitment 1984	<u>1985</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	4	. 4	6	3	5.3	61	-25.0
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	1	2	2	2	3.5	53	100.0
HOMICIDE	0	1	. 1	0	0.0	36	NA
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	0	1	1.8	<b>38</b>	NA
ROBBERY	0	1	1	0	0.0	40	NA
BURGLARY	1 .	. 0	0	1	1.8	47	0.0
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0.0	40	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	0	0.0	46	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA
OTHER FELONIES	3	2	4	1	1.8	60	-66.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0.0	41	NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

	Number of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 1982 1983 1984 1985				Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population Rate Rank		% Change 82 vs 85	
UNDER CUSTODY	7	9	12	10	17.6	58	42.9	
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	3	4	6	7	12.3	56	133.3	
HOMICIDE	1	2	3	3	5.3	47	200.0	
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	1	1	1.8	56	0.0	
ROBBERY	0	1	2	2	3.5	39	NA	
BURGLARY	1	0	0	1	1.8	51	0.0	
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA	
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0.0	52	NA	
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	0	0.0	55	NA	
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA	
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	51	NA	
OTHER FELONIES	4	5	6	3	5.3	59	-25.0	
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $<sup>^2{\</sup>rm Inmates}$  under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

•	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of 1983	Parolees	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
RELEASES TO PAROLE	3	2	5	5	8.8	55	66.7
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	7	5	8	11	19.4	57	57.1
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	3	3	6	9	15.9	57	200.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1000	Number of		1005	1985 Revocat Per 1, Parole	ions 000 es	% Change
	<u>1982                                    </u>	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	1	1	1	. 1	90.9	24	0.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	1	0	1	90.9	- 3	0.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	. 1	0	0.0	35	NA

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{The}$  total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	*	Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	21.7	48.7	47.8	120.1%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.2	3.3	11.9	6,247.9%	3.6	3.6
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation						
& Correctional Alternatives <sup>1</sup>	43.7	46.2	47.2	8.0%	60.5	68.3
Division for Youth <sup>2</sup>	157.6	147.1	190.9	21.2%	592.7	348.9
TOTAL	223,2	245.3	297.8	33.5%	656.8	420.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u> <u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>					
Felons	21.2	46.0	43.2	103.7%	
Parole Violators	.4	2.3	4.3	1,056.0%	
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	.1	.3	.3	104.4%	ema ma hara uma
TOTAL	21.7	48.7	47.8	120.1%	.0 .0

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mbox{Local}$  correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

## Table 3

# CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7		
Indigent Parolee Prog. 1	.2	3.3	6.8	3,497.9%				
Justice Assistance Act <sup>2</sup>	page week		epol salan	NA	3.6	3.6		
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>3</sup>			5.2	ŊA				
TOTAL	.2	3.3	11.9	6,247.9%	3.6	3.6		

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 5

# DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures_		_Appropriations_	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>1</sup>		Time value	poor way.	NA	11.5	12.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	2.4
Regular State Aid	43.7	46.2	47.2	8.0%	49.0	54.0
TOTAL	43.7	46.2	47.2	8.0%	60.5	68.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Non-Secure	7.8	6.8	13.3	71.1%	24.1	14.2
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	29.8	27.7	30.7	3.3%	30.1	30.7
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	10.0
Voluntary Agency Care	36.5	18.4	52.9	44.9%	287.3	167.7
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	83.5	94.3	94.0	12.5%	251.1	126.3
TOTAL	157.6	147.1	190.9	21.2%	592.7	348.9

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 11, 1986)

<u>Facility</u>	Security Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Great Meadow	Maximum	1,560	723	
Washington	Medium	742	425	
TOTAL		2,302	1,148	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expen	Expenditures Appropriati				
FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
21,632.2	22,946.1	24,207.4	11.9%	24,052.5	23,333.6	
		194.0	NA	9,461.1	14,147.5	
21,632.2	22,946.1	24,401.4	12.8%	33,513.6	37,481.1	
	21,632.2		FY 82-3 FY 83-4 FY 84-5 21,632.2 22,946.1 24,207.4 194.0	## Change	FY 82-3       FY 83-4       FY 84-5       % Change 82 vs 84       FY 85-6         21,632.2       22,946.1       24,207.4       11.9%       24,052.5           194.0       NA       9,461.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The facility opened in March of 1985.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	And the state of t	Expenditures	Approp	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Great Meadow	195.1	895.0	1,090.1	437.1	1,083.0	
Washington <sup>1</sup>	<u> </u>	19,151.2	19,151.2	36,200.0	75.0	
TOTAL	195.1	20,046.3	20,241.4	36,637.1	1,158.0	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The facility opened in March of 1985.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

#### **EXPLANATORY NOTES**

#### ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

Percent changes are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the percent change is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calander year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

Rates are ranked from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the rank calculations do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates.

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all population-based rate calculations presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual NYS Crime and Justice publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed dirvers in New York State that was used to calulate the <u>rate of DWI arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

#### SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

### SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)

o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)

o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)

o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)

o New York State Statisical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)

o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

### SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the <u>offense classification</u> for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

#### SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the state's fiscal year.

#### SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

<u>DOCS</u> capacity data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. <u>DFY capacity</u> data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

<u>DOCS staff</u> data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while <u>DFY staff</u> data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table (	INDEX CRINES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York State for a given year.	Victia-based: aurder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-based: burglary Event-based: robbery larceny (excluding autor vehicle theft) Velicle-based: autor vehicle theft The unit of count is based on the aust serious offense in a crime event.	Not applicable.	FBI index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.
Table 2A Table 2B Table 2C	FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1986 ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult •	Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests	MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trands File.
Table 20	ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 thru PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 thru PL 221.55).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table ZE	FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 3A Table 3B	FELONY INDICTMENTS: FELONY INDICTMENTS: January through June, 1986	Felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against a defendant is counted except for violent felichy counts which reflect indictments/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult = Juvenile Offender	Felony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.
Table 4A	OISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1969.	Disposition based; counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, discissals, and "other" dispositions. "Other" dispositions	Adult #	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 4B	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	include cases for which prosecution was declined by either the prosecutor or the grand jury and cases disposed for reasons other than those already mentioned (e.g., cases disposed by civil procedure or abated by the death of the defendant).		(Not applicable for acquittal, dismissal, and "other" dispositions.)	
Table 5A	FELDNY, MISDEMEAKOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at	Adult .	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 58	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			

( Continued on the next page. )

Table		Fopulation	Urit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reaarks
Table 6A Table 6B	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR MISDENEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS	Conviction dispositions, for which seatences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most scrious sentence in a multiple sentence offense is counted. "Other and Unknown" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoked faithout fine) and cases for which the t;pa of sentence was unknown.	Adult =	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdeaeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 7A-	1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES	Intake cases received during a given year.	Ccaplaint-based; each coaplaint is counted as one case.	Family Court: Adult # Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested for: Felony Offense Nisdemeanor Offense	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
	3012.11.33.01.33.23	Investigations ordered during a given year.	I:vestigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need of Supervision (PINS)	Lesser Offense - only Juvenile Deling.	
		Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Criminal Court: Adult Juvenile Offender	Status Offense - only PINS	Workload in Probation Departments (Form No. DP-30). Criminal Court - Annual compila-
Table 7A-	2 PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES	Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for:	tion of the "Monthly Report of Criminal Workload in Probation Departments (Form No. DP-30A) for
		Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Nisdeaeanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Delina Status Offense - only PINS	investigation and pre-disposition data, and the Probation Registrant System for all other data.
		Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 78	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one revocation.			
Table 7C	CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALIEKNATIVE PROGRAMS	Clients served by "demonstration" alternative programs; clients served by "classification" alternative programs are not included,	Client-based; each client served by an agency is counted.	Adult # Juvenile Offenders	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemennor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Alternative to Incarceration Bureau.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 8A	JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS	Adaissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for cricinal, civil, and federal offenses.	Adalssion based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted abre than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult •	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses  Sentenced admissions: Misdemeanor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	NYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1905 Sheriffs" Annual Reports" included the following caveat: " The Sheriffs' Annual Reports represent self-
Table 88	JAIL & PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION	A census of both detained and sentenced inmates in county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criminal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Population is inmate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" sopulations.		Lesser urrease conv.	reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practice: among these various counties The Commission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another.*
		Ineates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and The daily average of inmates under custody during a given year.				
		A census of beds in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and penitentiary census was taken on a different day during a given year.	Capacity is based on beds; the count differs by region:  New York City Department of Correction counts reflect "beds at standard" (one inmate per cell; 60 square feet per inmate; and no sore than 50 im a dorm) The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.	Not applicable.	Kot applicable.	
			Non-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and dormitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms.			

( Continued on the next page. )

A-

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Bata Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Population	Un: L of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 9A-1	ADMISSIONS TO NEW YORK STATE PRISON	Admissions to NYS prison during a given year.	Incate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult •	Felony Convictions	NYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 9A-2 Table 9A	NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON	Hew court connitnents to MYS prisos during a given year.	most serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E,			The "under custody" counts may differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DDES.
Table 9B	INNATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	A census of ineates under custody in NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 9C	PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainees in NYS prison on December 31.	Delainee based.			
Table 10A	PAROLE SUFERVISION CASES	Inmates released to the HYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based lone person per case).	ådult e Juvanile Offender	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses	HYS Division of Parole. The increase in parole revocatio
		Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).				resulting from new felony convictions reflects legislative chang and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passage of legislation, incorporated into the Division's rules and regula-
		Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				tions effective Movember 1, 1984 authorizing the Parole Board to issue a final declaration of de-
Table 10B	REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoked and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				linquency for releasees convicte of new felonies committed under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A fina declaration of delinquency strea
						lines the violation process in these cases by obviating the nee for a final revocation hearing. The streamlined process has
					•	resulted in an increased propor- tion of returns for new convic- tions.

# APPENDIX B

CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	10T					Alore	NT INDEX CF	IHES								PROFÉ	RTY INDEX C	RINES		
	ENO Cri		Tot	al _	Hurd	er	Forcible	Rape	Robbi	iry	Agg. Ası	sault	Tota	1	Burgl	ary	Larc	eny	NV TI	neft
COUPTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	4,530.4	11	309.4	18	1,7	39	21.0	18	92.5	14	194.2	25	4,221.2	10	1,177.2	10	2,865.9	7	178.1	15
ALLEGANY	2,077.2		114.9	48	3.9	16	5.8	50	.0	60	105.1	43	1,962.3	57	624.9	46	1,312.1	54	25.3	62
BRONI	7,504.3	3	2,158.2	2	28.8	- 1.	49.9	1	1,184.0	3	875.5	1	5,348.1	5	1,796.7	2	2,552.2	. 11	999.3	4
BROOKE	2,964.5	31	62.6	60	.9	47	14.5	28	12.1	43	35.0	60	2,921.9	26	519.0	56	2,302.5	17	100.4	
CATTARAUGUS	2,546.0		120.5		.0	50	2.3	. 59	10.4	48	107.8	42	2,425.5	39	632.7	45	1,705.9	37	96.9	
CAYUGA	2,169.8		194.2		2,5	27	16.1	25	1.41	36	161.5	28	1,973.5	55	493.1	59	1,394.8	51	85.7	37
CHAUTAUQUA	3,314.4	24	132.2		1.4	43	10.9	35	25.2	23.	94.8	46	3,182.2	22	727.4	37	2,339.6	14	115.2	
CHENUNG	4,044.8	13	186.7	34	2.1	34	19.2	23	28.8	22	136.5	33	3,858.1	13	837.3	21	2,937.6	5	83.2	
CHENANGO	2,800.3		163.B	36	2.2	32	36.6	4	10.8	46	114.3	39	2,636.5	35	821.3	25	1,741.8	34	73.3	
CLINTON	2,694.9	38	374.B	11	1.2	45	11.1	34	14.8	39	347.6	8	2,320.1	43	544.9	52	1,494.3	39	78.9	
COLUKBIA	2,732.9	36	329.2		3.3	19	8.1	45 9	22.8	24 27	295.0	10	2,403.7	41 9	756.1	33 19	1,575.8	45	71.7	
CORTLAND	4,558.3		217.3	29	4.1	14 33	26.9	40	20.7	54	145.5	26 31	4,341.1	52	922.8 790.2	28	3,273.4	-	144.8	
DELAWARE	2,216.8		147.4	40 16	2.1 2.0	35 35	2.1 14.6	27	4.3 74.1	16	138.8 240.0	31 17	2,069.4 2,795.B	30	836.6	22	1,234.5 1,831.5		42.7 127.7	-
DUTCHESS	3,126.4		330.6 528.1	7	6.4	12	34.9	£1	166.0	10 8	322.B	9	4,004.8	12	1,150.3	11	2,448.5		406.0	
ERIE Essei	4,532.9 2,176.3		157.6	39	2.7	23	8.2	44	2.7	59	144.0	30	2.019.1	54	736.5	34	1,220.2		62.5	
FRANKLIN	2,860.2		157.8	3B	2.4	25	25.4	11	5.1	53	125.6		2,701.3	33	784.3	29	1.860.7	30	56.4	
FULTON	3,435.6		94.1	53	.0	50	5.3	52	12.4	42	76.3	49	3,341.4	19	1,007.7	15	2,184.5		147.3	
GENESEE	2,559.3		91.9		.0	50	5.0	53	21.7	25	å5.2	54	2,467.4	38	586.3	49	1,842.6		58.5	
GREENE	2,592.1	39	380.6	10	2.4	28	7.2	48	16.9	35	354.1	7	2,211.5	48	672.1	43	1,455.0		84.3	
HAMILTON	3,277.0		101.8	50	.0	50	20.4	21	20.4	29	61.1	56	3,175.2	23	1,302.7	7	1,730.1	36	142.5	
HERKINER	2,143.9		175.B	35	1.5	42	8.9	39	3.0	58	142.5	27	1,968.1	56	536.3	54	1,391.8	52	39.9	
JEFFERSON	2,696.9		96.5		3.4	30	4,5	54	13.5	40	75.2	50	2,600.5	36	834.7	23	1,700.7	36	65.1	
KINGS	7,594.5	2	2.077.9	3	20.5	3	58.8	3	1,221.3	2	777.3	3	5,516.6	3	1,761.8	3	2,717.8	. 8	1,036.9	3
LENIS	1,105.0	62	123.7	44	4,0	15	4.0	55	4.0	55	111.7	40	981.4	62	506.6	57	430.8	62	43.9	58
LIVINGSTON	3,084.0		240.4	28	.0	50	8.5	41	6.8	51	225.0	20	2,843.7	29	453.5	60	2,328.8	16	61.4	53
MADISON	2,243.2		48.5	59	1.5	41	10.4	36	17.9	32	38.7	59	2,174.8	49	595.4	48	1,499.0		80.4	40
HONROE	5,373.7	6	465.6	8	4.9	10	27.5	. 8	172.9	7	260.3	13	4,908.1	- 6	1,149.6	12	3,487.0	3	271.5	10
MONTGOMERY	1,494.0	61	78.9	57	.0	50	3.8	57	15.0	38	60.1	. 57	1,617.1	. 61	501.5	58	1,049.9	- 60	45.7	49
NASSAU	3,465.2	19	215.7	30	2.3	29	10.3	37	117.2	- 11	85.9	48	3,249.4	21	704.7	38	2,022.8	24	522.1	6
NEW YORK	13,623.0	1	2,657.0	1	25.3	2	67.3	2	1,692.7	· 1 ,	871.7	2	10,966.0	1	2,219.2	1	7,808.6		938.1	. 5
HIAGARA	4,403.7	12	364.9	12	1.4	44	23.6	16	97.7	13	242.2		4,038.8	11	1,145.0	13	2,650.8		243.1	
DNEIDA	2,394.5		121.8	46	2.4	37	11.8	32	48.3	19	59.3	58	2,274.7	44	736.1	35	1,458.9		79.7	
DNDNDAGA	4,448.8		287.6	19	3.4	20	29.0	7	130.8	9	124.3	37	4,361.3	8	1,278.4	8	2,915.3		147.5	
ONTARIO	2,905.3		197.1	31	1.1	31	20.6	19	17.3	33	158.1	29	2,708.3	32	726.6	38	1,905.9		75.8	
DRANGE	3,653.1	18	396.0	9	3.6	- 17	20.4	20	119.6	10	252.3	14	3,257.1	20	1,026.9	14	2,059.5		171.8	
ORLEANS	2,367.7	49	258.9	25	2.5	26	25.4	12	20.3	30	210.6		2,108.9	51	434.0	61	1,608.9		66.0	
OSNEGO	2,373.2		110.5	49	.8	49	B. 4	42	10.9	45	90.4	47	2,262.6	45	559.4	50	1,594.4		108.9	
OTSEGO	2,359.9		98.4	51	3.3	18	10.0	38	11.7	44	73.4	51	2,261.5	46	615.4	47	1,601.1	43	45.0	
PUTNAM	2,574.1	40	161.5	37	6.2	۴.	13.6	29	9.9	49	131.9	34	2,412.6	40	798.8	27	1,447.3	49	166.4	18

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

	TOTA					VIOL	ENT INDEX CR	IKES								PROPER	TY INDEX C	RINES		
	INDI CRII		Tota	el ·	Hur	ier	Farcible	Rape	Rabbe	ry	Agg. Ass	sault	Total	ıl	Burg	ary	Larce	eny	NV TI	heft
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
QUEENS	۵,533.9	4	1,128.4	å	9.7	4	35.0	5	648.I	4	435.6	5	5,405.5	4	1,421.8	5	2,641.0	10	1,342.7	1
RENSSELAER	3,704.9	17	279.1	20	2.6	24	21.6	17	55.0	18	199.9	23	3,427.7	17	982.3	16	2,253.5	19	192.0	13
RICHNOND	5,139.0	7	699.2	5	7.0	5	19.8	22	267.7	5	404.7	Ь	4,438.8	7	1,248.2	9	1,898.5	29	1,292.1	2
ROCKLAND	3,127.1	27	265.3	22	1.5	40	8.3	43	60.4	17	195.1	24	2,861.0	28	759.4	32	1,902.6	28	199.6	12
ST. LAWRENCE	2,866.5	33	84.2	55	9	48	.0	61	10.5	47	72.8	52	2,782.3	31	695.6	41	2,013.9	25	72.8	46
SARATOSA	2,287.4	51	134.1	41	1.9	38	8.7	40	15.4	37	109.8	41	2,151.3	50	639.1	44	1,424.8	50	87.4	35
SCHENECTADY	3,352.9	22	190.3	33	2.0	35	13.2	30	75.3	15	99.8	44	3,162.5	24	953.7	- 17	2,042.9	23	165.9	19
SCHOHARIE	2,406.2	46	72.3	58	.0	50	3.3	58	.0	- 60	49.0	53	2,333.9	42	981.0	20	1,390.5	53	62.5	52
SCHUYLER	1,729.9	60	78.9	56	0	50	.0	61	16.9	34	62.0	55	1,651.0	59	546.6	51	1,048.1	41	56.3	56
SENECA	3,342.4	23	272.0	21	6.0	7	24.2	13	. 3.0	57	238.8	18	3,070.6	25	701.2	40	2,221.3	20	148.1	21
STEUBEN	2,505.4	45	255.9	26	.0	50	8.0	46	18.1	31	229,8	19	2,249.5	47	540.8	53	1,616.4	40	92.3	33
SUFFOLK	3,810.3	15	255.8	27	3.1	21	13.2	31	108.7	12	130.7	35	3,554.5	14	945.3	18	2,329.4	15	279.8	9
SULL IVAN	3,784.5	16	339.9	14	3.0	22	26.7	10	43.0	20	267.1	12	3,444.6	16	1,650.3	4	1,614.7	41	179.6	14
TIOSA	1,764.0	59	122.2	45	.0	50	2.8	56	3.9	56	114.3	38	1,641.8	60	431.4	62	1,131.3	59	78.8	43
TOMPKINS	5,726.4	- 5	129.3	43	1.1	46	11.3	33	21.6	26	95.3	45	5,597.1	2	1,341.1	6	4,126.6	2	129.3	26
ULSTER	3,019.0	30	352.5	13	4.3	13	23.9	1.4	36.2	21	288.1	11	2,464.5	34	746.8	31	1,760.5	33	139.1	25
WARREN	3,408.9	21	50.3	-61	.0	50	7.2	49	12.6	41	30.5	61	3,358.6	19	830.2	24	2,343.0	13	145.3	20
WASHINGTON	2,158.2	5&	259.6	24	5.3	8	5.3	51	5.3	52	243.6	15	1.898.7	58	535.1	55	1,274.7	55	88.9	
WAYNE	3,132.5	26	240.7	23	.0	50	23.8	15	20.4	28	216.5	21	2,871.8	27	782.0	30	1,985.4	26	104.3	31
WESTCHESTER	3,841.0	14	334.3	15	4.7	. 11	15.1	26	177.7	6	136.8	32	3,506.7	15	814.5	26	2,293.1	18	399.1	
HINDYW	2,562.1	41	533.1	6	4.9	9	7.4	47	7.4	50	513.4	4	2,029.0	53	682.9	42	1,235.6		110.5	-
YATES	2,560.5	42	46.4	62	.0	50	18.5	24	.0	60	27.B	62	2,514.1	37	732.9	36	1,739.5	35	41.7	

Source: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A FELDHY AND MISGENEANOR ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

		101/	n			FELORY A	RRESTS				
		ARRES		Tota	1	Viole	nt	Othe	r	HISDEHE Arres	
COUNTY	_	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rite	Rank
ALBANY		2.197.2	н	404.2		194.8	•	469.3	6	1.593.0	19
ALLEGANY		1,108.0	62	227.9	62	53.0	58	174.8	62	886.1	60
erchi		3,284.7	2	1,775.9	2	770.3	2	1.005.6	2	1,508.	28
BROOME		1,674.3	39	387.9		109.2	27	278.7	29	1,286.5	7.5
CATTARAUGUS		1,581.3	44	285.9	57	66.8	51	219.1	56	1,298.4	37
CAYUSA		1,642.0	34	373.2	32	B1.4	<b>63</b>	291.8	22	1,468.7	31
CHAUTAUOUA		1,658.5	41	278.8	55	74.3	45	222.6	53	1,359.4	31 35
CHEMUNIS		1,939.3	29	449.0	21	104.4	32	341.6	15	1,490.3	33 29
CHENAMED		2,157.6	16	310.2	51	92.1	37	218.2	57		
CLINTON		1,815.9	33	363.7		95.4	34	288.1	2£	1,847.4	10
COLUMBIA		2,234.2	13	523.1	12	132.0	19			1,466.2	35
CORTLAND		2,954.6	4	403.0	25	111.8	2£	391.1	•	1,711.2	12
DELAWARE		1,957.8	27	341.2	40	61.8	55	291.2	24	2,541.4	3.
DUTCHESS		1,987.0	24	513.5	13	165.4		279.4	28	1,414.4	. 17
ERIE		2,102.3	18	539.6	10		12	348.1	14	1,473.5	30
ESSEX		1,947.0	28	351.7		210.0	8	329.6	19	1,542.4	25
FRANKLIN		2,016.3	- 20		35	49.7	50	286.0	27	1,592.2	20
FULTON		1,393.2	55	317.1	37	75.4	47	271.7	32	1,669.2	14
BENESEE		1,749.3	36	344.7	38	75.0	. 46	248.9	22	1.048.5	52
GREENE		1,676.8		323.4	47	84.7	42	238.8	48	1,425.8	31
HAMILTON		2,00£.0	40	421.9	23	118.1	25	303.8	21	1,248.9	41
HERKINER		1,303.8	22	481.4	17	60.2	56	121.3	5	1,524.6	28
JEFFERSON			56	272.0	59	64.3	54	207.7	60	1,111.8	50
KINES		1,439.3	54	370.3	31	103.9	33	244.4	35	1,069.1	51
LEVIS		2,405.5	8	1,377.7	3	67B.2	. 3	199.5	3	1,027.8	54
LIVINGSTON		1,132.5	ÅĮ.	254.3	41	27.0	62	226.5	51	87B.2	61
MADISON		2,590.5	7	288.0	5 <i>L</i>	73.7	48	214.3	59	2,302.5	
KONROE		1,452.6	12	317.4	50	73.4	49	244.2	. 47	1,335.0	36
HONTGONERY		2,057.8	19	184.9	15	178.3	11,	306.4	20	1,572.9	23
		1,871.4	31	302.7		45.7	60	257.0	38	1,588.7	- 24
NASSAU		1,314.3	57	374.4	31	121.1	21	253.4	41	939.9	58
HEN YORK		7,117.0	1	2,517.5		923.7	1	1,593.9	1	4,599.5	-1
MIAGARA		1,855.0	37	424.5	22	152.0	14	272.5	31	1,430.5	33
DHEIDA		1,284.2	59	321.5	48	104.1	29	215,4	58	944.8	54
ONDHDAGA		1,752.4	35	591.4	. 7	224.9	7	344.5	11	1,140.9	48
DITARIO		1,975.4	26	336.6	41	85.5	41	251.1	43	1,638.8	16
ORANGE		2,192.3	15	575.7	8	180.5	10	395.2	8	1,616,1	18
ORLEANS		1,527.8	50	482.9	14	140.5	18	342.4	14	1,045.0	53
OSWE60		1,556.2	17	335.7	-42	90.7	39	245, 1	46	1,220,4	46
OTSEGO		2,343.0	9	370.8	33	119.4	22	251.0	44	1,972.4	7
PUTHAN		1,566.2	45	326.3	44	8.33	53	259.6	37	1,239.4	44

	TOTA				FELONY A	RRESTS			#168Pbt	-41100
	ARRES		Tat	<u>il</u>	Viole	nt	Othe	r	NISDENI Arres	
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank
QUEEIIS	1,454.0	52	710.0	5.	308.7	4	401.3	7	744.1	42
REUSSELAER	1,545.2	46	325.1	43	118.9	23	206.1	41	1,240.2	43
RICHHOUD	1,504.3	- 51	570.3	9	295.8	5	274.4	30	934.0	59
RGCI:LAID	1,293.6	5B	345.4	28	93.5	36	251.9	42	918.2	57
ST. LAWRENCE	2,004.9	21	324.5	46	77.6	44	246.9	45	1,682.4	13
SALATUSA	2,286.0	16	397.3	27	105.9	30	291.5	23.	1,8BB.7	7
SCHERECTARY	1,528.0	49	350.0	36	94.3	35	255.7	40	1,178.1	47
SCHOHARIE	2,238.3	. 12	308.7	52	87.3	40	221.5	55	1,929.4	8
SCHUYLER	1,930.2	30	416.9	24	146.5	16	248.4	34	1,513.3	27
SENECA	2,279.9	11	457.6	11	91.6	38	386.2	10	1,822.1	11
STEUBEN	1,440.3	53	298.4	54	8.44	52	232.2	50	1,141.3	49
SUFFOLK	1,484.9	38	198.5	26	141.5	17	257.0	39	1,286.3	38
SULL IVAN	3,102.0	3	751.5	<b>i</b> (	294.4	6	457.1	4	2,350.5	5
TIOGA	1,530.4	48	281.4	59	49.4	59	232.2	49	1,248.5	42
TORPKINS	1,988.7	23	329.0	43	104.4	28	222.3	54	1,459.7	
ULSTER	2,113.3	17	523.3	3 11	140.0	13	343.3	12	1,590.0	
WARREN	2,947.5	5	478.1	18	118.4		359.5	13	2,469.4	
WASHINGTON	1,978.9	25	396.	5 28	105.7	31	290.7	25	1,582.3	
WAYNE	2,873.9	L	455.		123,4	20	332.4	18	2,418.0	
WESTCHESTER	1,729.6	37	490.		150.1	15	340.3		1,239.2	
RACHINE	1,585.7	43	319.		54.5		263.1	36	1,286.1	
YATES	1,265.9	86	260.		37.2		223.4	52	1,005.3	

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANGS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 4

					KURDE ARRES				FORCIBL! ARRES		E -			ROBI ARRI		_		AGG. ASS			BUR6 ARRE					LARCE ARRES	
YTY	-			Rat	e	Rank			Rate	Ran	t -			Rate	Ra	nk		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rani	[			ate	Ra
AHA					2.8	23			6.7	7	2			28.		14		86.0	9		99.3	2	ă			397.4	
EGANY					7.9	4			.0		Ŀ					56		29.5	42		8.88	_				196.5	
HI					9.0	1			24.5		2			238.		3		311.2	2		180.4					413.2	
ONE					2.8	22			5.2	3	0			11.3	3	26		30.1	41.		86.1	3	ŀ			354.4	
TARAUGUS					.0	49			2.3					5.		34		32.8	37		80.9					243.7	
USA					3.8	16			7.5		9			10.		29		37.6	30		51.3					435.8	
UTAUQUA					.7	48			2.8		2			14.		22		22.9	- 50		81.1					343.9	
BKUK					1.1	45			4.3		5			Ł.		41		33.7	35		133.7		9			640.3	
NAMEO					2.0	32			10.0		4			4.		47		18.0	57		108.1					354.3	
HION					.0	49			1.2		4			š.		31		31.9	39		69.9					315.1	
UKBIA					4.6	8			1.7		2.			9.		30		62.7	17		135.3		8,	e		348.2	
LAND					.0	49			4.2		3			6.		33		48.5	21		107.6					455.8	
Avare					2.1	31			0		6			2.		54		46.9	25		108.8					383.9	
HESS					1.6	37			5.5		9			26.		15		75.3	12		96.4					364.9	
					3.8	15			14.8		7.			42.		. 8		93.3	7		100.0					438.7	
X					.0	49			2.8		3			•		56		27.5	45		112.7					286.0	
KLIN					2.3	28			9.1		6					56		25.1	48		100.5					294.6	
CN					٥,	49			.0		6			5.		44		23.5			90.2					314.0	
SEE					.0	49			5.1		2			22.		17		27.1	46		89.1					233.7	
KE					2.4	27			2,4		6			1.		36		82.0			65.1					286.9	
LTOK		. •			.0	49			.0		6					56		0			220.7		ŀ			200.8	
INER					٥.	49			3.0		11			4.		45		22.4	54		53.1					215.2	
ERSON					2.3	29			2.3		9			10.		28		38.4	29		117.4		3			169.5	
S				. 1	16.1	3			21.8		4			249.		2		240.5			156.5		5			343.8	
S					.0	47			.0		6			4.		48		4.0			B3.4					151.0	
HESTON					6.9	7 38			1.7 6.0		1			b.		38 32		42.9 3.0			54.9 89.9					426.9 317.6	
SON De					1.5	13			12.2		Û			41.		7		3.6 43.6			107.					502.4	
					4.0 1.7	34			5.7		8			- 1. 1.		55		22.8	51		40.9		3			357.9	
GOMERY Au					3.0	21			2.5		4			36.		12		47.3			59.9					255.8	
YDRK					17.1	21			24.3		3			406.		- 1		346.9			176.2		4		1	,010,4	
ARA					2.8	25			5.1		11			26.		16		63.3			109.5	-	6			356.6	
nan Da					1.2	41			6.0		:: !7			11.		25		32.7			93.		2			258.0	
DASA					3.0	20			13.8		8			4B.		6		75.6			137.		5			367.9	
VHDH R10					2.2	30			8.7		7		1	2.		53		28.1			46.		i0			325.8	
BE .					1.8	35			11.5		1			21.		18		87.9			99.1		7			407.1	
ANS					5.1	32			7.7		8			21.		50		63.9			130.		6			296.4	
.nns .60					.8	47			3.4		10			2.		51		31.1			96.		0			282.0	
60			٠.		3.4	17			5.7 6.7		10 21 :-			ž. š.		40		42.1	28		101.		24			486.8	
KAN					1.9	10			1.2		i3			3.		49		35.8			56.					208.9	

TABLE 2C ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 . - Continued

	HUR Ark	DER ESTS	FORCIBL ARRE			ROBBE			AGG. ASS		BURG		LARCE ARRES	
CDUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kant		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUEENS	7.7		11.0	12		109.2			126.9	4	79.9	43	254.1	48
RENESELAER	1.3		4.6			10.6	27		57.5	18	69.4	47	198.2	
RICHMOND	7.B		10.7			81.3	5		124.9	5	104.8	23	282.5	
ROCKLAND	1.1		2.3			13,4	-24		47.1	24	51.3	60	177.2	60
ST. LAWRENCE	2.6	26	.9	55 .		6.2	42		27.1	43	104.9	22	298.9	35
SARATOGA .	3.1	18	4.3	36		7.4	35		37.1	31	81.7	23	328.0	30
SCHERECTADY	1.3	39	6.6	23		29.2	13		25.9	47	4.04	54	296.2	37
SCHOHARIE	.0	49	.0	56		.0.	56		33.6	36	114.5	14	389.3	18
SCHUYLER	.0	49	28.4	1		17.1	19		22.8	52	97.1	29	251.3	49
SENECA	.0	49	15.3	6		£.1	43		21.4	54	125.1	11	390.7	17
Steuben	1.0	46	4.1	38		4.1	46		22.6	53	63.7	52	290.7	39
SUFFOLK	2.8	24	6.0	25		36.5	. 8 1		53.6	20	78.4	44	176.5	61
SULLIVAN	4,4	12	16.3	5		34.0	10	•	122.8	. 6	196.7	2	531.0	3
TIOSA	2.0		4.0	39		.0	56		15.9	58	45.7	62	271.9	44
TORPKINS	1.1	43	6.8	20		14.7	21		21.6	55	90.2	33	431.1	10
ULSTER	3.1	19	13.4	9		14.5	20		44.7	13	107.5	21	408.5	13
WARREN	.0	49	1.8	50		7.2	.37		48.5	22	118.4	12	530.2	4.
WASHINGTON	1.8	36	. (	56		14.1	23		56.4	: 19	91.1	41	200.9	54
WAYNE	1.1	42	P. 1	15		6.9	39		36.6	33	135.9	7	398.6	15
WESTCHESTER	3.8	14	6.5	24		38.5	9		43.6	15	67.6	48	341.2	22
MACKINE	4.9		2.5	45		2.5	52		36.9	32	71.3	45	258.1	
YATES	.0		4.7			.0	56		9.3		51.2	41	186.2	

<sup>#</sup> MYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by DCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The world of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult (i.s., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not mecessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

<sup>##</sup> Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

			TOTA DRUE					COI	ITROLLED	SUBSTANC	E ARRE	STS							KARIKUAI	A ARRES	TS		<u> </u>
			ARRES			Tota	ıl			Posses	sion			Sal	e		Tot	al	Posse	sion		S	ale
COUNTY	_		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rant			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Ran
ALBANY			139.5	11		93.0	12			72.2	14			20.8	11		46.5	. 13	34.9	9 -		11.	6 1
ALLEGANY			23.6	56		5.9				5.9	55			.0	53	•	17.7		5.1	56		11.	
BRONI			837.0	2		597.0	2			397.2	2			199.8	2		240.0	2	101.4	2		138.	
BROOME			53.2	33		32.0	36			23.5	38		•	8.5	30		21.2	32	14.1	32		7.	1 3
CATTARAUGUS			37.5	47		16.4	49			14.4	46			2.3	47		21.1	33	15.2	28		5.	9 3
CAYUGA			55.1	32		12.6	27			27.5	32			15.0	19		12.5	51	4.3	54		ь.	3 3
CHAUTAUQUA	٠		29.1	52		18.7	48			6.9	54			11.8	24		10.4	55	8.3	49		2.	.1 5
CHEMUNG			10.9	52		5.4	59			3.3	60			2.2	49		5.4	66	1.3	58		1.	. 1 5
CHENANGO			52.0	34		38.0	29			38.0	25			.0	53		14.0	47	6.0	55	•	. 8.	.0 2
CLINTON			105.4	19		72.3	19			63.7	17			8.4	29		33.1	- 19	12.3	39		20.	₿
COLUMBIA			87.5	25		49.5	26			34.7	26			14.9	21		38.0	14	21.5	18		16.	5 1
CORTLAND			90.7	24		38.0	30			29.5	30			8.4	31		52.8		27,4	14		25.	
DELAVARE			49.1	37		21.3	46			10.7	48			10.7	26		27.7	21	19,2	20		8.	5 2
DUTCHESS			100.7	20		77.4	17			61,5	18			16.1	17		23.1	28	14.5	31		8.	. 6 2
ERIE			163.6	7		98.4	10			93.1	10			15.3	18		45.4		41.5			3.	
ESSEX			38.5	44		30.2				22.0	40			8.2	33		8.2		2.8	61		5.	
FRANKLIN			82.2	27		34.3	23			32.0	27			2.3	48		48.0		13.7	23	•	34.	
FULTON			21.7	59		3.6	- 60			3,6	59			.0	53		18.0		9.0	7		9.	
GENESEE			32.2	50		11.9				10.2	50			1.7	52		20.3		16.9			3.	
BREENE			76.4	21		79.6	15			77.2	11			2.4	46		16.9	43	12,1	41		4.	
HANTLTON			40.1	42		40.1	28			46.1	24			.0			.0		٥.				.0
HERKINER			46.3	28		26.9	41			2L.9	36			.0			19.4		13.4			. 6.	
JEFFERSON			38.4	45		24.8				21.4	42			3.4	40		13.5		10.2			3,	
Kinas			504.4	3		334.2	_			221.2	3			115.0			168.2		77.3			90.	
LEWIS			23.8	55		7.9				7.9	53			٥,			15.9		7.9			7.	
LIVINGSTON			39.4	43		18.9	47			15.4	44			3.4	39		20.6		12.0			8.	.6 .7
MADISON			12.0	61		3.0				.0	61			3.0			9.0		9.0				.0
MONROE			55.3	31		32.1				20.4	43			11.6			23.3		17.4	24		5	. 8
MONTGONERY			114.2	17		89.5	13			89.5	. 8			.0	53		24.7	25	24,7	16			.6
NASSAU			119.2	15		84.5	14			64.7	16			19.8	13		34.7	. 17	27.1	13		7.	.1 3
NEW YORK			2,055.0	1		1,497.8	1			1,161.7	ı			394.1	· 1		557.2	1	233.0	1		324	
MIAGARA			50.3	36		36.5	31			28.2	31			0.3	33		13.5	48	8.3	50			.5 . 3
OHEIDA			47.5	38		34.3				22.3	39			14.0	22.		11.7		10.0			1	.2
DNOHDAGA			93.4	26		55.9				27.3	33			2B. 6			27.1		12.5			15	
DHTARIO			6.65			52.0				31.4	28			20.6			14.1		9.7				.3
GRANGE			149.9	9		127.9				103. 8	6			24.1			22.0		17.3		, •		.7
DALEANS			23.0	58		10.2				10.2	49			.0			12.8		7.7				.1
OSWE60			31.1	51		10.9				5.0	56			5.9			20.1		11.6				.4
OTSEGO			79.2	28		32.0				27.0	35			5.1	37		47.7		32.0				. 2
PUTHAN			123.6	14-	•;-	107.5	7			74.2	13			33.4	6		16.1	44	13.6	34		2	.5

		TOTA				CONTROLLED	SUBSTAN	CE ARFES	STS			• .		MARIHUAN	A ARRE	515		
		AKRES		Tota	al .		Fasses	ssion		Sal	e .	lota	1	Passes	sion		Sali	
COUNTY	<u>.</u>	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Bank
QUEEKS	•	275.8	4	148.7	5		115.4	5		53.3	4	107.1	5	47.7			59.3	5
RENSSELAER		44.7	30	29.7	40		27.1	24		2.6	44	35.0	16	28.4	15		8.6	24
BICHWOND		174.1	ă.	44.5	23		49.5	21		15.0	20	109.7	4	31.4	11		78.1	. 4
ROCKLAND		124.0	13	102.2	9		68.2	15		33.9	5	21.9	31	12.8	37		9.0	19
ST. LAWRENCE		115.5	16	77.6	18		75.B	12		1.8	51	37.9	15.	29.1	- 12		8.8	22
SARATOGA		0,19	23	45.4	22		47.7	22		17.9	16	25.4	24	13.0	36		12.4	14
SCHENECTADY		51.8	35	29.9	39		21.9	41		8.0	34	21.9	30	17.9	22		4.0	47
SCHOHARIE		23.5	57	13.4	51		10.1	51		3.4	41	10.1	56	6.7	53		3.4	51
SCHUYLER		34.3	48	.0	62		.0	ál		.0	53	34.3	18	17.1	24		17.1	9
SENECA		45.8	40	33.6	34		30.5	29		3.1	42	12.2	52	12.2	40		.0	56
STEUBEN		27.7	53	8.2	55		8.2	52		0	53	19.5	38	17.5	23		2.1	54
SUFFOLK		160.8	8	70.9	20		43.9	23		27.0	8	89.9	6	79.7	3		10.2	17
SULLIVAN		145.0	10	93.2	11		85.8	9		7.4	35	51.8	10	45.9	7		5.9	35
TIOGA		37.7	46	25.8	42		23.8	37		2.0	50	11.9	53	1.0	59		7.9	30
TOMPKINS		44.2	41	25.0	43		14.7	45		10.2	27	19.3	40	18.2	21		1.1	56
ULSTER		105.4	18	78.8	. 14		59.2	19		19.5	14	26.9	23	22.0	17		4.9	42
WARREN		131.2	12	102.4	8		93.5	7		9.0	28	28.8	20	19.8	19		9.0	21
WASHINGTON		19.4	66	15.9	50		12.3	47		3.5	38:	3.5	61	3.5	60		.0	
WAYNE		94.8	22	70.8	2i		58.3	20		12.6	23	24.0	26	14.8	29		9.1	18
WESTCHESTER		235.7	5	178.5	4		157.5			21.0	10	57.2	8	43.4	8		13.7	13
BAIKOYU		27.0	54	7.4	57		4.9			2.5	45	19.7	37	14.8	30		4.9	41
YATES		32.6	19	23.3	45		4.7	58		19.4	15	9.3		4.7	57		4.7	45

<sup>•</sup> Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Egisiaal Justice Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.

## TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND MISBEMEANUR ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 #

DEL ARRESTS

			Tota	1				Felo	ny .			Hisdaa	anor			
COUNTY	_		Rate	Rank				Rate	Rank			Rate	Rani			
AL BANY			11.3	12			•	.9	8			10.5	17			
LLEGANY			5.2	50				.1	52			5.1	5			
ROHY			4.6	58				.0	61			4.4	5			
ROOME			5.0	56				۵.	28			4.5	5			
ATTARAUGUS			9.6	21				.9	9			8.7	2			
AYUSA			B. 1	31				۵.	29			7.5	3			
HAUTAUQUA			8.6	27				.7				2.0	2			
HENUHB			5.2	53				.7				4.5	5			
HENANSO			11.0	13				.7				10.3				
LINTON			12.1	9				.7				11.4				
OLUNBIA			7.9	36					-			3.5				
ORTLAND			16.4	1				.0				16.4				
ELAVARE			8.0	33				.2				7.8				
UTCHESS			9.6	26				.7				8.9 6.3				
RIE			6.7	43				.5								
SSEX			11.8	11			•	.7				11.1				
RANKLIN			9.3					.4				8.9				
ULTON			6.3					.5				5.7				
ENESEE			9.4					.5				9.0				
REENE			7.1					.3				4.8				
AMILTON			10.4					.5				10.1				
ERKIMER			7.5					.7				6.8				
EFFERSON			7.7					۵.				7.0				
INGS			3.2					.0				3.2				
EUIS			6.0					.9				5.2				
IVINGSTON		•	13.6					.5				13.1				
ADISON			B.8					.4				8.3				
IONROE			5.4					.7				4.8				
IONTGOKERY			9.3					ı.				8.5				
IASSAU			5.2					.3				4.9				
IEW YORK			3.1					.1				3.1				
IAGARA			8.2	29				.2				B. 0				
NEIDA .			5.4					.7	51			5.4				
NDNDAGA	•		5.4	52				.2				5.7				
NTAR10	.*		10.1	18				1.7	2			8.9				
PANSE			8.0	35				1.0	) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			6.9				
DRLEANS			4.7	57				1.1	1 4			3.6	\$			
DSWEED			B. 1	32					5 43			7.8				
OTSE60			14.0	3					13			13.2				
PUTHAN			9.4	23				.1	56			9.3	3			

TABLE 2E DWI FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 . - Continued

DWI ARRESTS

		Tota	ı	Felo	iny	 Misdead	anor
COUNTY		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUEENS		2.0	42	.0	58	2.0	62
RENSSELAER		9.8	19	.2	46	9.5	17
RICHMOND		2.7	61	.0	40	2.7	41
ROCKLAND		6.3	45	.5	30	5.7	45
ST. LAVRENCE		10.3	17	.7	21	9.6	16
SARATOBA		13.0	7.	.4	42	12.4	4
SCHENECTADY		6.8	42	. 6	24	4.2	43
SCHOHARIE		11.9	10	.5	32	11.4	10
SCHUYLER		- 7.4	40	.7	22	6.7	41
SENECA		10.4	15	1.2	1	9.2	19
STEUBEN		6.4	44	.6	27	5.8	44
SUFFOLK		10.4	16	.1	55	10.3	14
EULLIVAN		12.4	8	. 6	26	11.7	8
TIOGA		8.6	28	1.1	5	7.5	34
TOHPKIHS		7.7	- 37	.1	54	7.6	32
ULSTER		8.1	30	.4	39	7.7	31
WARREN		16.2	2	.1	53	16.1	2
WASHINGTON		13.5	5	1.2	3	12.3	7
WAYNE	•	13.1	6	.4	38	12.7	5
WESTCHESTER		5.8	42	.2	49	5.4	47
WYONING		8.0	34	.8	1111	7.2	36
YATES		5.4	51	1.0	7	4.4	57

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DNI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DNI Arrest and Processing Trands File.

FELONY INDICTRENTS

					INDICIDEN			
•	Vic	lent		Ot	her		To	tal
COUNTY	Kuaber	Percent		Number	Percent		Musber	Percent
N. BAITY	140	31.5		365	åB.5	•	445	100.0
ALLEGALY	10	18.9		43	81.1		53	100.0
RONI	3,463	56.3		2,840	43.7		6,563	100.0
ROOKE	148	27.1		398	72.9		546	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	20	22.0		71	78.0		91	100.0
CAYUGA	31	29.5		74	70.5		105	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	52	23.4		168	76.4		220	100.0
CHENDING	76	22.4		264	77.6		340	100.0
CHENANGO	17	30.4		39	49.6		54	100.0
LINTON	37	28.9		91	71.1		128	100.0
COLUMBIA	31	34.1		04.	45.9		91	100.0
CORTLAND	20	15.5		109	81.5		129	100.0
ELAWARE	B	29.6		19	70.4		27	100.0
HITCHESS	80	21.1		299	78.9		379	100.0
RIE	470	31.4		1,027	48.4			100.0
SSEX	19	20.2		75	79.B		1,497	
RANKLIN	14	17.5		- 66	82.5		94	100.0
ULTON	13	17.3	•				80	100.0
EXESEE	28			70	84.3		83	100.0
REENE	22	25.7		81	74.3		107	100.0
IAMILTOK		34.4		42	45.6		4	100.0
ERKINER:	1	16.7		5	83.3		Ļ	100.0
	15	24.2		47	75.B		62	100.0
EFFERSON	. 65	23.4		213	76.6		273	100.0
CINGS	4,637	40.0		3,095	40.0		7,732	100.0
ENIS	5	13.2		33	84.8		38	100.0
IVINGSTON	47			166	77.9		213	100.0
ADISON	30	22.4		104	77.6		134	100.0
IONROE	445	3.05		1,008	69.4		1,453	100.0
IONTGOKERY	15	18.8		45	81.3		80	100.0
IARRAU	955	40.0		1,433	60.0		2,388	100.0
KEN ADUK	4,412	63.6		2,522	36.4		6,934	100.0
HAGARA	98	28.6		245	71.4		343	100.0
NEIDA	138	31.5		300	48.5		438	100.0
MONDAGA	398	31.3		875	68.7		1,273	100.0
NTARIO	49	22.9		165	77.1		214	100.0
RANGE	144	27.8		374	72.2		518	100.0
IRLEANS	52	35.9		93	64.1		145	100.0
ISNE60	37	21.0		139	79.0		174	100.0
)TSE60	5	20.8		19	79.2		24	100.0
UTHAK	15	13.4		97	4.48		112	100.0

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICINENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

FELDHY INDICTHENTS

	Vio	lent	0	lher	To	tal
COUNTY	Husber	Percent	Kusher	Percent	Husber	Percent
QUEENS	2,954	50.5	2,899		- 5,853	100.0
RENSSELAER	50	28.2	127		177	106.0
RICHMOND	174	54.4	146		320	100.0
ROCKLAND	79	18.0	360		439	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	37	17.4	154		191	100.0
SARATOGA	55	35.7	- 99		154	100.0
SCHERECTADY	59	25.5	172	74.5	231	100.0
SCHOHARIE	6	28.5	15	71.4	21	100.0
SCHUYLER	9	32.1	19	67.9	28	100.0
SENECA	7	17.9	32	82.1	.39	100.0
STEUBEN	33	27.7	88	72.3	119	100.0
SUFFOLK	909	30.8	2,041	49.2	2,950	100.0
SULL IVAN	46	32.9	94	67.1	140	100.0
1106A	7	9.6	38	90.4	73	100.0
TOMPKINS	37	27.4	99	72.6	135	100.0
ULSTER	64	20.8	244	79.2	308	100.0
WARREN	13	27.1	35	72.9	48	100.0
WASHINGTON	24	33.3	49		72	100.0
WAYHE	34	17.3	163	82.7	197	100.0
WESTCHESTER	568	39.8	860		1,428	100.0
MACHINE	5	8.9	51		54	100.0
YATES	7	13.7	4		51	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	21,794		27,717		49,511	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	15,995		14,09		30,084	100.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Cricinal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

#### DISFOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELDNY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS.

		DISTUSTITUMS ACSULTANT FRANCES AND MARCES AN													
	Coavi	ctions		Yedn	ittals	Disei	ssals		ther		Total				
YTKUO3	Husber	Fercent		Husber	Fercent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent				
ALBANY	4,477	77.9	,	15	.3	1,235	21.5	23	.4	5,750	0 100.0				
ALLEEANY	215	67.2		1	.3	103	32.2			320					
BRONE	23,820	69.0		346	1.0	9,122	26.4	1,231		34,519					
BROOME	2,136	74.6		16	. L	£Z&	22.1	77		2,862					
CATTARAUGUS	674	81.7		2	.2	146	17.7			825					
CAYUGA	794	74.5		0	.0	288	25.1			1,045					
CHAUTAUQUA	1,519	75.5		11	.5	476	23.7	Ĭ	.3	2,01					
CHEMUNS	1,110	75.2		Ā	.4	359	24.3			1,477					
CHENAKSO	284	75.5		7	.9	174	22.5			77:					
CLINTON	892	£3.1			.1	174	16.4	ī	.4	1,073					
COLUMBIA	658	41.3		2	.2	> 410	38.2		.4	1,07					
CORTLAND	714	67.2		ī	.4	343	32.3	,		1,062					
DELAMARE	480	72.2		i	۵.	160	27.1	,	.2	665					
DUTCHESS	2,910	62.2		i	.1	1,880	35.9	BE		4,68					
ERIE	11,229	63.3		211				97		•					
ESSET	•	77.8		311		6,196 115	21.1	1		17,729 54					
FRANKLIN	423 530	83.2		2			16.2			633					
				ž ti	3	103									
FULTON	232	72.7		•	.0	140				88					
BENESEE	564	81.7		2	.3	122		1		69					
GREENE	430	79.5		3	.6	105				54					
HAHILTON	37	82.2		0	.0	8				4					
HERKINER	492	88.4		·t	.2	58				551					
JEFFERSON	782			3	.3	117	12.9			90					
KINGS	26,092	41.1		332		13,720	32.1	2,540		42,68					
LEHIS	155			. 1	5	37				19					
LIVINGSTON	864.	BQ.4		3	.3	201	18.7	;	1 .7	1,07					
MADISON	653	84.0		Ú	.0	124	16.0	(		77	7 100.0				
NONROE	5,409	49.4		104	1.0	5,165	47.2	26	B 2.4	10,94	16 100.0				
NONTEDNERY	544	93.2		4	.3	78	10.7		f5	73	100.0				
NASSAU	12,169	84.4		94	.7	1,712	11.9	43	7 3.0	14,41	2 100.0				
NEW YORK	815,319	68.9		369	. 4	27,212	28.3	2,42	2 2.5	96,32	22 100.0				
MIASARA	3,005			36	9	787		1		3,83					
OKEIDA	1,944			6	.3	384	16.3		5 .2	2,35					
OHONDAGA	3,900			38		2,447	37.B	9		6,47					
ONTARIO	1,009			13		210		ī		1,24					
DRANGE	2,985			12		2,095		ì		5,16					
ORLEANS	269		•	12		114			5 1.3	40					
OSWEED	1,128			. 8		307		1		1,45					
075660	827			. 5	• • •	177		i i		1,02					
PUTHAN	655			3		398			7 .7	1,06					
	pus			•	•••	, 372	· · · · ·		,	1100					

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS:

	Conv	ctions		Acqu	ittals		Disais		55215		Ot	her		To	otal
YTKUOO	Humber	Percent		Husber	Percent			Husber	Percent		Number	Fercent		Nusber	Percent
	***************************************							-							
<b>DUEENS</b>	16,625	64.8		280	1.1			8,344	32.6		374	1.5		25,643	100.0
RENSSELAER	1,398	74.2		9	. 5			422	23.0		5	.3		1,634	100.0
RICHMOND	2,133	41.9		15	.3			2,272	44.7		868	13.1		5,088	100.0
ROCKLAND	1,880	72.8		4	.2			888	26.6		12	.5		2,584	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	778	67.9		9	.9			353	30.8		5	.4		1,145	100.0
SARATOGA	2,292	76.6		3	.1			693	23.2	<b>6</b> .,	4	.1		2,992	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1,568	76.3			.3			451	21.9		29	1.4		2,055	100.0
SCHOHARIE	337	72.9		- 4	.5			117	25.3		- 4	.9		462	
SCHUYLER	214	84.3		1				38	15.0		1	.4		254	100.0
SENECA	291	43.3		1	.7			163	35.4		5	1.1		460	100.0
STEUDEN	701	71.7		7	.7			265	27.1		5	.5		978	100.0
SUFFOLK	12,608	75.3		96	6			3,964	23.7		66	. 4		16,731	100.0
SULLIVAN	1,253	44.0		2				615	32.8		6	.3		1,876	
T106A	472	78.9		0	.0			123	20.4		3	.5	•	598	
TOMPKINS	764	60.5		11	. 9			469	37.1		19	1.5		1,263	100.0
ULSTER	1,465	48.7		11	. 4			749	30.5		8	.3		2,453	100.0
WARREN	1,102			2	1			397	26.3		8	5		1,509	
WASHINGTON	132	82.7		2				113	16.7		2	.3		678	
WAYNE	1,246	76.5		- 1		1		370	22.7		8	.5		1,628	100.0
WESTCHESTER	8,024	72.6				1		2,752	24.9		206	1.9		11,048	
MYCHING	175	74.B						56	23.9		3	1.3		234	
YATES	140	85.4		1	.1			21	12.8		2	1.2		164	100.
NEW YORK STATE	240,395	<b>∆B.2</b>		2,217				100,904	28.4		8,924	2.5		352,442	100.
NEW YORK CITY	134,989			1,342				60,690	29.7	* * *	7,235	3.5		204,254	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F)

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

LEBANA CRINTA		Musber						Disaissals										
TEBAHA TBAHA			Percent			Number	Percent			Number	Percent		Number	Percent		Nuebe	r P	ercent
LLEGANY										<del></del>						<del></del>		
LLEGANY		1,246	79.3			11	.7			304	19.5		9	- , 6		1,5		100.0
		46	75.4			Ö	.0			15	24.6		0				e i	100.0
RONX		12,711	65.9			292	1.5			5,463	28.9		712	3.4		19,5		100.0
ROOME		534	80.1			å	. 9			110	16.5		17				67	100.0
ATTARAUSUS		107	93.0			1	9			7	6.1		0				15	100.0
YUGA		193	81.4			. 0	. 0	• :		42	17.7		2				37	100.
AUTAUQUA .		289	86.5			2				41	12.3		2				34	100.
ienung Kenung		286	90.5			4	1.3			28	8.2		0	٥.			16	100.
ENANGO		103	68.6			3	2.6			8	6.9		. 2				16	100.
THICK		174	38.3			1	.5			21	16.7		1	5،			97	100.
LUNBIA		177	48.9			1	.4			75	29.2		4	1.6			57	100.
ETLAND		121	7B. 6			Ô	.0		•	33	21.4		0	.0		ı	54	100.
		95	78.5			2	1.7			24	19.8		0	. ,0		1	21	100.
LAWARE		780	71.0			2				299	27.2		17	1.5		1,0	98	100
ITCHESS			58.5			£9	1.9			1,795	38.2		65			4,6	93	100
IE		2,744			•	0	.0			14	18.2		1				88	100
SET		71	BO.7			. 2				10	9.8		G				02	100
AHKLIN		90								20	11.2		7			1	79	100
ALTON:		157	87.7			. 0	.0			7	5.5		1				28	100
NESEE		120					• • •		. •	22	17.3			8			27 .	100
EENE		102				2				4	26.7						15	100
WILTON		11				Q	0			13	11.3		Č				115	100
ERKINER		102				0				23							250	100
EFFERSON		221				3					9.2		1,997			26,		100
INGS		15,790				312				8,153	31.1					101	41	100
ENIS		36								2	4.9		3				98	100
IVINESTON		85				. 1	1.0			10	10.2						134	100
ADISON		. 118				(				14	11.9			0 .0				100
DNROE		1,598	1.24			5				<b>630</b>	25.0		24			2,	321 121	100
ONTGONERY	**	104	86.0							13	10.7			2 1.7				
ASSAU		3,523	78.6			45				516	11.5		39				482	100
EN YORK		21,032	58.4			31				13,842	38.5		81			35,		100
IASARA		670	79.3				1.2			161	19.1			4 .			845	100
KEIDA		528				,	0			50	8.4			3 .:			581	100
NONDAGA		1,346				1.	٠, ۶			460	25.0		1				841	10
NTARIO	•	205					2 . 9			15	6.5	and the grant		9 3.			230	10
RANGE		B55					5			370	29.5	•		3 1.1		1,	254	10
IRLEANS		86				1				25	20.2			3 2.			124	10
		240					2 .B			12	4.6			9 3.	<b>(</b>		263	10
OSNEGO Direco		128					۵. ا			29	17.B			7 4.	3		163	100
DTSEGO Putkan		120					2 1.1			80	44.2			3 1.			181	100

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS &

		Convi	ictions			Acqui	ttals			Disai	ssals		Cl	her		To	ital
COUNTY		Kusber	Fercent			Number	Fercent			Number	Percent		Number	Percent		Husber	Percent
OUEENS		7,533	59.5			204	1.6			4,684	37.0		247	1.9		12,668	100.0
RENSSELAER		315	81.4			1	.3			70	18.1		1	.3		387	100.0
RICHHOND		939	46.3			9	.4			899	44.4		180	8.9		2,027	100.0
ROCKLAND		541	77.0			2	.3			159	22.6		1	.1		703	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE		83	70.1			1	1.0		•	27	27.B		1	1.0		97	100.0
SARATOSA		378	79.4			1	.2			95	20.0		2	.4		476	100.0
SCHENECTADY		375	81.2			4	٠.			68	14.7		15	3.2		462	100.0
SCHOHARIE		57	76.0			2	2.7			14	18.7		2	2.7		75	100.0
SCHUYLER		51	96.2		•	0	.0			2	3.8		0	.0		53	100.0
SENECA		49	74.2			i	1.5			15	22.7			1.5		38	100.0
STEUBEN		150	76.5			Ł	3.1			38	19.4		2	1.0		196	100.0
SUFFOLK		3,488	80.2			47	1.0			834	18.1		30	.7		4,599	100.0
SULLIVAN		309	48.5			0	.0			140	31.0		2			451	- 100.0
T106A		83	87.4			٥	.6			. 11	11.6		1	1.1		95	100.0
TOMPKINS		150	47.9			4	1.8			61	27.6			2.7		221	100.0
ULSTER		423	73.6			3	5			143	24.9		å	1.0		575	100.0
MARREN		174	74.0			2	.9			59	25.1		0	.0		235	100.0
WASHINSTON		118	88.1			2	1.5			13	9.7		1	.7		134	100.0
WAYKE		227	70.4			1	.4			21	8.4		2	.8		251	100.0
KESTCHESTER		2,483				40				581	17.2		88	2.0		3,372	
WYDNING		64	91.4			٥	.0			5	7.1		1	1.4		70	100.0
YATES		41	89.1			ō	.0			ĭ	B.7		i	2.2		46	100.0
NEW YORK STATE		85,623				1,523				40,918	30.8		4,948			133,012	100.0
NEW YORK CITY		58,205				1,128	1.2			33,241	34.4		3,948	4.1		96,522	

Bisposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SDURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS +

ALLERMY 15 7.0 2 9 13 Å.0 140 65.1 60 27.9 215 9 8000 1 4 1,00 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					Felony										
ALERNY 289 4.5 4.5 1.5 224 5.0 1.972 44.5 2.196 49.1 4.477 ALERNY 15 7.0 2 .9 13 4.0 140 45.1 40 27.7 215 1800F 4.126 18.2 18.2 7.6 2.506 10.5 12.602 52.9 4.800 28.9 28.9 21.90 18.000F 270 12.4 70 3.3 200 9.4 1.426 41.8 440 20.4 21.34 CATTASANIOS 10 5.9 4 .6 5 36 5.3 414 45.4 193 28.4 674 41.6 474 71.3 11 1.4 63 7.7 53 44.8 440 20.4 21.34 18.2 41.0 11.3 7.4 24 1.6 89 5.7 4 52 45.8 190 22.7 796 CANDRIUM 113 7.4 24 1.6 89 5.7 9 622 43.3 444 22.2 1.519 10.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11			Tota	1	Víc	lent	Ot	ber		Hísde	eneanor	Lesser	Difenses	To	tal
RECORM  15 7.0 2 .9 13 4.0 140 65.1 60 27.9 215 9 RECORD  4,328 18.2 1,822 7.4 2,504 10.5 10.5 12.62 52.9 4,80 28.9 28.9 23.820 8 RECORD  270 12.4 70 3.3 200 9.4 1,426 44.8 440 20.4 2,134 1 RATTARRANGUS  40 5.9 4 .3 3 5.3 441 45.4 193 22.4 647 1 RATTARRANGUS  10 5.9 4 .1 3 3 1.1 1.4 5.3 7.9 532 44.8 193 22.4 647 1 RECORDING  113 7.4 22 1.5 8 9 5.9 82 24.3 444 22.2 1,519 1 RECORDING  113 7.4 22 1.5 8 9 5.9 82 24.3 444 22.2 1,519 1 RECORDING  113 7.4 24 1.5 89 5.9 82 24.3 444 22.2 1,519 1 RECORDING  113 7.4 24 1.5 89 5.9 18 3.1 341 55.4 220 37.7 594 1 RECORDING  113 7.4 4 4 4 49 5.5 5 44 1 RECORDING  113 5.9 4 4 .1 49 5.5 5 49 48.1 440 40.6 892 1 RECORDING  114 5.5 8 5.5 4 4 .1 49 5.5 8 50 1 RECORDING  115 7.9 8 8 5 .9 18 3.1 341 55.4 220 37.7 594 1 RECORDING  115 7.9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	COUNTY		Kusher	Percent	Mumber	Percent	 Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Nuebe	Percent	Number	Percent
BROUSE 4,328 18,2 1,822 7,6 2,506 10.5 12,602 52.9 6,890 28.9 23,820 1 25.00   270 12.4 70 3.3 200 9,4 1,426 64.8 440 20.4 2,133 4 64.00   271 12.4 70 3.3 200 9,4 1,426 64.8 440 20.4 2,133 4 64.00   271 12.4 70 3.3 200 9,4 1,426 64.8 440 20.4 2,133 4 64.00   271 12.4 70 3.3 200 9,4 1,426 64.8 440 20.4 2,133 4 64.00   271 12.4 70 7.3 11 1.4 63 7.7 3 532 64.8 190 22.9 778 1   271 12.4 1.6 67 7.7 3 532 64.8 190 22.9 778 1   271 12.4 1.6 67 7.7 3 532 64.8 190 22.9 778 1   271 12.4 1.6 67 7.7 3 532 64.8 190 22.9 778 1   271 12.4 1.6 67 7.7 3 532 64.8 190 22.9 778 1   271 12.4 1.6 67 7.7 3 532 64.8 190 22.9 778 1   271 12.4 1.6 67 7.7 3 1   271 12.4 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6		•									44.5				100.0
SECONE   270   12.6   70   3.3   200   9.4   1,426   4.8   440   20.6   2,134   6.5												-			100.0
CATTABABUSS  40 5.9 4 6 3.6 5.3 441 65.4 193 28.6 674 193 CR. CATTABABUSS  74 7.3 11 1.4 6.3 7.9 532 66.8 190 22.9 786 CRAUTHOURH 113 7.4 24 1.6 89 5.9 962 61.3 444 29.2 1,519 1 CREMAND  198 17.8 45 4.1 153 13.8 746 67.2 186 15.0 1,110 CREMAND  198 17.8 45 4.1 153 13.8 746 67.2 186 15.0 1,110 CREMAND  198 17.8 45 4.1 153 13.8 746 67.2 186 15.0 1,110 CREMAND  198 17.8 45 4.1 153 13.8 746 67.2 186 15.0 1,110 CREMAND  198 17.8 45 4.1 153 13.8 746 67.2 186 15.0 1,110 CREMAND  198 17.8 45 4.1 153 13.8 746 17.2 186 15.0 1,110 CREMAND  198 17.8 45 4.1 14.1 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0			•				•								100.0
EAVUED										•				•	100.0
CHAUTHRIGHA				_											100.0
CHERNIS															100.0
Chername															100.0
ELINION 53 5.9 4 .4 .4 49 5.5 429 48.1 410 44.0 87.0 892 1 CRUMBIA 62 7.4 6 .9 56 8.5 320 48.6 276 41.9 458 1 ELAMARE 70 9.8 13 1.8 57 8.0 255 33.7 388 54.3 7114 ELAMARE 25 5.2 8 1.7 17 1.5 27 55.5 198 41.3 480 ELAMARE 70 9.0 8.0 241 2.1 6.5 5.9 3.914 34.9 4.415 57.1 11,229 ESSET 70 9.0 8.0 241 2.1 6.5 5.9 3.914 34.9 4.415 57.1 11,229 ESSET 70 9.0 8.0 241 2.1 6.5 5.9 3.914 34.9 4.415 57.1 11,229 ESSET 70 9.0 8.0 1.1 33 6.2 290 54.7 201 37.9 530 FRANKLIN 39 7.4 6 1.1 33 6.2 290 54.7 201 37.9 530 FRUTON 61 11.4 15 2.8 46 8.6 239 44.5 236 44.1 535 EREKESE 68 12.1 14 2.5 54 9.4 244 46.8 239 44.5 236 44.1 535 EREKESE 70 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.															100.0
CRUMBIA  £2 9.4 6 .9 56 8.5 320 46.6 276 41.9 558 EDATIAND  70 9.8 13 1.8 57 8.0 255 35.9 388 54.3 714 EDATIAND  70 9.8 13 1.8 57 8.0 255 35.9 388 54.3 714 EDATIAND  70 9.8 13 1.8 57 8.0 255 35.9 388 54.3 714 80 EDATIAND  101CHESS  307 16.5 65 2.2 242 8.3 1,243 42.7 1,360 46.7 2,910 ERIF 900 8.0 241 2.1 659 5.9 3,914 34.9 6,415 57.1 11,229 ESSET  24 5.7 4 1.4 18 4.3 214 50.6 185 33.7 423 ESSET 24 5.7 4 1.4 18 4.3 214 50.6 185 43.7 423 ESSET 24 5.7 4 1.4 18 4.3 214 50.6 185 43.7 423 ESSET 24 5.7 5 1.1 11,229 ESSET 24 5.7 5 1.1 11,229 ESSET 25 1.1 11,229 ESSET 25 1.1 11,229 ESSET 26 1.1 11,25 2.8 44 8.6 239 44.5 233 44.1 533 ESSET 27 20 51.7 201 37.9 530 ESSET 28 20 51.7 201 37.9 530 ESSET 29 20 51.7 201 37.9 530 ESSET 20 51.7 2					3.										100.0
CORTLAND  70  9.8  13  1.8  57  8.0  225  55.9  388  54.3  714  DELAWARE  25  5.2  8 1.7  17  3.5  277  55.5  198  14.3  480  DELAWARE  26  17  18  18  17  17  3.5  277  55.5  198  14.3  480  DELAWARE  27  1,340  46.7  2,110  ERIE  900  8.0  241  2.1  659  5.9  3,114  34.9  6,415  57.1  11,229  18  FRANKLIN  39  7.4  4  1.1  13  3.2  290  54.7  201  37.9  530  FRANKLIN  39  7.4  4  1.1  13  3.2  290  54.7  201  37.9  530  FRANKLIN  39  7.4  4  1.1  13  3.2  290  54.7  201  37.9  530  FRANKLIN  39  7.4  4  1.1  13  3.2  290  54.7  201  37.9  530  FRANKLIN  39  7.4  4  1.1  13  3.2  290  54.7  201  37.9  530  FRANKLIN  39  7.4  4  1.1  13  3.2  290  54.7  201  37.9  530  FRANKLIN  39  7.4  4  1.1  15  2.8  46  8.6  8.6  238  44.5  238  44.5  235  44.1  535  586  58  12.2  20  4.7  283  44.5  236  44.1  535  586  58  1.2  20  4.7  283  44.5  236  44.1  533  64.2  142  33.0  430  430  430  430  430  430  43					7										100.0
DELAMARE         25         5.2         8         1.7         17         3.5         257         53.5         198         41.3         480           DUILDIESS         307         10.5         6.5         2.7         242         8.3         1,213         42.7         1,360         46.7         2,910         1         1,213         42.7         1,360         46.7         2,910         1         1,213         42.7         1,360         46.7         2,910         1         1,122         1         2.5         5.9         3,914         34.9         4,415         57.1         11,229         11,229         12.7         423         18.5         1.1         133         6.2         290         54.7         201         37.9         530         11,229         12.7         201         37.9         530         11,229         40.8         4.6         238         44.5         29.0         54.7         201         37.9         530         54.8         41.1         535         6ERESEE         6.8         12.1         14         2.5         54         9.4         244         46.8         222         41.1         54.8         54.4         22.4         46.8         84.1         1.2					-									•	100.0
DUTCHESS 307 16.5 65 2.2 242 8.3 1.243 42.7 1.360 46.7 2.716 ERIE 900 8.0 241 2.1 657 5.9 3.714 34.9 6.415 57.1 11.227 ERIE 900 8.0 241 2.1 657 5.9 3.714 34.9 6.415 57.1 11.227 ERIE 900 8.0 241 2.1 657 5.9 3.714 34.9 6.415 57.1 11.227 ERIE 900 8.0 241 2.1 657 5.9 3.714 34.9 6.415 57.1 11.227 ERIE 900 8.0 241 2.1 657 5.9 3.714 34.9 6.415 57.1 11.227 ERIE 900 8.0 241 2.1 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 1															100.0
ERIE 900 8.0 241 2.1 6.59 5.9 3,914 31.9 6,415 57.1 11,229 1   ESSET 24 5.7 6 1.4 18 4.3 214 50.6 185 43.7 423   FRANKLIN 39 7.4 6 1.1 13 3 6.2 290 54.7 201 37.9 530   FRANKLIN 39 7.4 6 1.1 15 2.8 46 8.6 238 44.5 236 44.1 535   EREESEE 68 12.1 14 2.5 54 9.6 244 46.8 237 41.1 535   EREESEE 68 12.1 14 2.5 54 9.6 24.7 243 61.2 142 33.0 430   HAMILION 4 10.8 0 .0 4 10.8 22 59.5 12 290 58.7 31.1 29.7 37   HERKIRER 39 7.7 4 .8 34 6.9 290 58.9 164 33.3 472   EFFERGOW 129 16.5 28 3.6 101 12.9 466 51.9 247 31.4 782   ELMIS 5,484 21.0 2,442 9.4 3,042 11.7 10,305 39.5 10,303 39.5 26,692   ELMIS 12 7.7 1 .8 11 7.1 45 41.9 78 50.3 155   ELMIS 12 7.7 1 .8 11 7.1 45 41.9 78 50.3 155   ELMIS 13.0 18 2.8 67 10.3 336 51.5 232 35.5 653   MONROL 80 15.5 256 4.7 584 10.8 2,566 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,107   MONTEGORERY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.8 37,566 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,107   MONTEGORERY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.6 278 43.2 291 45.2 444   MASSAU 1,450 11.9 474 3.9 976 8.0 5,756 47.3 4,963 40.8 12,189   MELPIDA 213 10.8 71 3.6 142 7.2 1,653 53.6 698 35.5 1,964   MONTEGORERY 75 11.6 7 3.6 142 7.2 1,653 53.6 698 35.5 1,964   MONTEGORER 7,265 11.0 2,860 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,597 59.7 13,447 29.3 66,119   MONTEGORER 7,265 11.0 2,860 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,597 59.7 13,447 29.3 66,119   MONTEGORER 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 6.8 1,218 40.8 1,255 39.9 3,99 3,900   MONTEGORER 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 6.8 1,218 40.8 1,255 39.9 3,99 3,900   MONTEGORER 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 6.8 1,218 40.8 1,255 39.9 3,99 3,900   MONTEGORER 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 6.8 1,218 40.8 1,255 39.9 3,99 3,900   MONTEGORER 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 6.8 1,218 40.8 1,218 40.8 1,259 39.9 3,900   MONTEGORER 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 6.8 1,218 40.8 1,218 40.8 1,259 39.9 3,900   MONTEGORER 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 6.8 1,218 40.8 1,218 40.8 1,219 44.0 1,229 5 50.8 50.8 50.8 50.8 50.8 50.8 50.8 50					-										100.0
ESSET 24 5.7 6 1.4 18 4.3 214 50.6 185 43.7 423 FRANKLIN 39 7.4 6 1.1 33 6.2 290 51.7 201 37.9 530 FRANKLIN 39 7.4 6 1.1 33 6.2 290 51.7 201 37.9 530 FEMESEE 68 12.1 14 2.5 54 46.6 238 44.5 235 44.1 535 FEMESEE 68 12.1 14 2.5 54 9.6 24.7 263 61.2 142 33.0 430 FEMESEE 25 5.8 5 1.2 20 4.7 263 61.2 142 33.0 430 FEMESEE 32 57.5 12 29.7 31 FEMILION 4 10.8 0 .0 4 10.8 22 59.5 11 29.7 31 FEMILION 4 10.8 0 .0 4 10.8 22 59.5 11 29.7 31 FEMESICE 38 7.7 4 .8 34 6.9 270 58.9 164 33.3 472 FEMESON 129 16.5 28 3.6 101 12.9 40.6 51.9 247 31.6 762 FEMESON 129 16.5 28 3.6 101 12.9 40.6 51.9 247 31.6 762 FEMESON 12 7.7 1 .6 11 .7 10,005 39.5 10,303 39.5 26,002 FEMESON 57.8 47.8 50.3 155 11,00 18 2.8 50 5.8 443 51.3 364 42.1 864 FM.015ON 85 13.0 18 2.8 50 5.8 443 51.3 364 42.1 864 FM.015ON 85 13.0 18 2.8 50 5.8 443 51.3 364 42.1 864 FM.015ON 85 13.0 18 2.8 50 5.8 443 51.3 364 42.1 864 FM.015ON 85 13.0 18 2.8 50 5.8 410.8 2,556 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,409 FM.0NTEOMERY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.8 2,556 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,409 FM.0NTEOMERY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.8 2,556 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,409 FM.0NTEOMERY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.6 278 43.2 291 45.2 444 FM.085ON 85 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,507 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERY 77,205 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,507 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,507 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,507 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 11.0 82 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 82 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 59.8 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,119 FM.0NTEOMERA 84 18.0 8 1,558 39.9 39.7 19,440 47.6 2,985 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0															
FRANKLIN 39 7.4 6 1.1 33 6.2 290 54.7 201 37.9 530 FULTON 61 11.4 15 2.8 46 8.6 238 44.5 236 44.1 555 654 8.6 6 238 44.5 236 44.1 555 654 8.6 6 238 84.5 236 44.1 555 654 8.6 6 238 84.5 236 44.1 555 654 8.6 6 238 84.5 236 44.1 555 654 8.6 6 238 84.5 236 44.1 555 654 8.6 6 255 5.8 5 1.2 20 4.7 263 61.2 142 33.0 430 830 830 830 830 830 830 830 830 830 8															100.0
FULTON 61 11.4 15 2.8 46 8.6 238 44.5 236 44.1 535 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 238 44.5 236 44.1 535 6 6 6 6 6 12.1 14 2.5 54 9.6 24 46.8 232 41.1 54 56 6 6 6 12.1 14 2.5 54 9.6 24 46.8 232 41.1 54 15 54 9.6 24 46.8 232 41.1 54 15 54 9.6 24 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15															100.0
SERIESEE         68         12.1         14         2.5         54         9.6         264         46.B         232         41.1         564           GREENE         25         5.8         5         1.2         20         4.7         283         61.2         142         33.0         430           HERKIMER         38         7.7         4         .8         34         6.9         290         58.9         164         33.3         472           JEFFERSON         129         16.5         28         3.6         101         12.9         406         51.9         247         31.4         782           KIHGS         5,484         21.0         2,442         9.4         3,042         11.7         10,305         39.5         10,303         39.5         26,672           LEWIS         12         7.7         1         .6         11         7.1         .45         41.9         78         50.3         155           LEWIS         13.0         18         2.8         .67         10.3         336         51.5         232         35.5         .653           MONKOE         B40         15.5         256         4.7															100.0
BREENE         25         5.8         5         1.2         20         4.7         263         61.2         142         33.0         430           HAMILTON         4         10.8         0         .0         4         10.8         22         59.5         12         29.7         37           HAMILTON         4         10.8         0         .0         4         10.8         22         59.5         12         12         12.7         4         .8         34         6.9         290         58.9         144         33.3         452           JEFFERSON         129         16.5         28         3.6         101         12.9         406         51.9         247         31.4         782           KINGS         5,484         21.0         2,442         9.4         3,042         11.7         10,305         39.5         10,303         39.5         26,692           LEMIS         12         7.7         1         .6         11         7.1         .6         41.9         78         50.3         155           LEMIS         12         7.7         1         .6         11         7.1         .6         11.7 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100.0</td></t<>															100.0
HAMILTON 4 10.8 0 .0 4 10.8 22 59.5 14 29.7 37 HERKIMER 38 7.7 4 .8 34 6.9 290 58.9 164 33.3 472 JEFFERSCH 129 16.5 28 3.6 101 12.9 406 51.9 247 31.6 782 KINES 5,484 21.0 2,442 9.4 3,042 11.7 10,305 39.5 10,303 39.5 26,692 LEWIS 12 7.7 1 .6 11 7.1 45 41.9 78 50.3 155 LIVINESTON 57 6.6 7 .8 50 5.8 443 51.3 364 42.1 864 MADISON 85 13.0 18 2.8 67 10.3 336 51.5 212 35.5 653 MONROLE 840 15.5 256 4.7 584 10.8 2,586 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,409 MONTEOREY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.6 278 43.2 291 45.2 644 MASSAU 1,450 11.9 474 3.9 976 8.0 5,756 47.3 4,963 40.8 12,169 MEN YORK 7,285 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,587 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,319 MINAGRARA 186 5.6 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005 0NEIDA 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009 ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009 ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009 ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 52 19.3 269 ONNEED 113 10.0 27 2.4 86 7.6 519 46.0 476 44.0 1,128 0TSECO 41 5.0 7 2.4 86 7.6 519 46.0 476 44.0 1,128 0TSECO															100.0
HERKIMER 38 7.7 4 .8 34 6.9 290 58.9 164 33.3 492 JEFFERSON 129 16.5 28 3.6 101 12.9 40.6 51.9 247 31.6 782 KINGS 5,484 21.0 2,442 9.4 3,042 11.7 10,305 39.5 10,303 39.5 26,692 LEVIS 12 7.7 1 .6 11 7.1 455 41.9 78 50.3 155 LIVINGSTON 57 6.6 7 .8 50 5.8 443 51.3 364 42.1 864 MADISON 85 13.0 18 2.8 67 10.3 33.6 51.5 232 35.5 653 MONROE 840 15.5 256 4.7 584 10.8 2,556 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,409 MONTSOKEY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.6 278 43.2 291 45.2 444 MASSAU 1,450 11.9 474 3.9 976 8.0 5,756 47.3 4,963 40.8 12,169 MINGRARA 168 5.4 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005 MINGRARA 168 5.4 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005 MINGRARA 168 5.4 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005 MINGRARA 169 29 21.3 239 6.1 159 00 15.1 1,513 38.8 1,558 39.9 3,55 1,964 00 NONRORABA 1629 21.3 239 6.1 590 15.1 1,513 38.8 1,558 39.9 3,50 00 NONRORE 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2,965 00 NONRORABA 347 11.6 84 2.8 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.4 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9					_				•						100.0
JEFFERSON 129 16.5 28 3.6 101 12.9 406 51.9 247 31.6 782 11.05 5.484 21.0 2.442 9.4 3.042 11.7 10.305 39.5 10.303 39.5 26.072 12.15 12 7.7 1 .6 11 7.1 65 41.9 78 50.3 155 12.11 12.11 15.			-		•		-								100.0
KINES 5,484 21.0 2,442 9.4 3,042 11.7 10,305 39.5 10,303 39.5 26,092  LEKIS 12 7.7 1 .6 11 7.1 45 41.9 78 50.3 155  LIVINESTON 57 6.6 7 .8 50 5.8 443 51.3 344 42.1 864  MADISON 85 13.0 18 2.8 67 10.3 336 51.5 232 35.5 653  MONROU 840 15.5 256 4.7 584 10.8 2,586 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,409  MONROU 840 15.5 256 4.7 584 10.8 2,586 47.4 2,003 37.0 5,409  MONROURRY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.6 278 43.2 291 45.2 644  MASSAU 1,450 11.9 474 3.9 976 8.0 5,755 47.3 4,963 40.8 12,189  MEN YORK 7,285 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,587 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,319  MIAGARA 168 5.6 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005  ONEIDA 213 10.8 71 3.6 142 7.2 1,053 53.6 698 35.5 1,964  ONDNOAGA 829 21.3 239 6.1 590 15.1 1,513 38.8 1,558 39.9 3,900  ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009  ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009  ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 52 19.3 2,985  ONLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 269  ONLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 269  OSWEED 113 10.0 27 2.4 86 7.6 519 46.0 496 44.0 1,128  OSWEED 41 5.0 7 .8 34 4.1 234 28.3 555 66.7															100.0
LEMIS 12 7.7 I .6 11 7.1 65 41.9 78 50.3 155 LIVINGSTON 57 6.6 7 .8 50 5.8 443 51.3 364 42.1 864 MADISON 85 13.0 18 2.8 67 10.3 336 51.5 232 35.5 653 MORROE 840 15.5 256 4.7 584 10.8 2.566 47.4 2.003 37.0 5,409 MORTSOMERY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.6 278 43.2 291 45.2 644 MASSAU 1,450 11.9 474 3.9 976 8.0 5,756 47.3 4,963 40.8 12,169 MEN YORK 7,285 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,587 59,7 19,447 29.3 664,319 MIAGARA 168 5.6 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005 ONEIDA 213 10.8 71 3.6 142 7.2 1,053 53.6 698 35.5 1,964 ONDNOAGRA 829 21.3 239 6.1 590 15.1 1,513 38.8 1,558 39.9 3,900 ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009 ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009 ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 55.8 52 19.3 249 ONDNOAGRA 41 5.0 7 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.6 2,985 ONLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 249 ONLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 259 ONLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 259 ONLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 259 ONLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 259 ONLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.0 7 .8 34 4.1 234 28.3 555 66.7 827															100.0
LIVINGSTON 57 & & 7 & 8 50 5.8 443 51.3 364 42.1 864 MADISON 85 13.0 18 2.8 67 10.3 336 51.5 232 35.5 653 MORROE 840 15.5 256 4.7 584 10.8 2.566 47.4 2.003 37.0 5.409 MORTSOMERY 75 11.6 7 1.1 68 10.6 278 43.2 291 45.2 644 MASSAU 1.450 11.9 474 3.9 976 8.0 5.756 47.3 4.963 40.8 12.169 MEV YORK 7.285 11.0 2.802 4.2 4.483 6.8 37.587 59.7 19.447 29.3 66.319 MIAGGARA 168 5.6 34 1.1 134 4.5 1.091 36.3 1.746 58.1 3.005 ONEIDA 213 10.8 71 3.6 142 7.2 1.053 53.6 698 35.5 1.964 ONDORAGEA 829 21.3 239 6.1 590 15.1 1.513 38.8 1.558 39.9 3.900 ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1.009 ORAMBE 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1.218 40.8 1.420 47.6 2.985 ORLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 269 OSMEGO 113 10.0 27 2.4 86 7.6 519 46.0 496 44.0 1.128 OSSEGO					-										100.0
MADISON         B5         13.0         18         2.8         67         10.3         336         51.5         232         35.5         653           MORROE         840         15.5         256         4.7         584         10.8         2,586         47.4         2,003         37.0         5,409           MONTSOMERY         75         11.6         7         1.1         68         10.6         278         43.2         291         45.2         644           MASSAU         1,450         11.9         474         3.9         976         8.0         5,756         47.3         4,963         40.8         12,169           MEW YORK         7,285         11.0         2,802         4.2         4,483         6.8         39,587         59.7         19,447         29.3         66,319           MIAGRAPA         168         5.6         34         1.1         134         4.5         1,091         36.3         1,746         58.1         3,005           ONEIDA         213         10.8         71         3.6         142         7.2         1,053         53.6         498         35.5         1,964           ONDHDAGA         829					-										100.0
MORROE         840         15.5         256         4.7         584         10.8         2,586         47.4         2,003         37.0         5,409           MONTSDMERY         75         11.6         7         1.1         68         10.6         278         43.2         291         45.2         644           MASSAU         1,450         11.9         474         3.9         976         8.0         5,756         47.3         4,963         40.8         12,169           MEW YORK         7,285         11.0         2,802         4.2         4,483         6.8         39,587         59.7         19,447         29.3         66,319           MEW YORK         7,285         11.0         2,802         4.2         4,483         6.8         39,587         59.7         19,447         29.3         66,319           MIGGRAPA         168         5.6         34         1.1         134         4.5         1,091         36.3         1,746         58.1         3,005           ONEIDA         213         10.8         71         3.6         142         7.2         1,053         53.6         498         35.5         1,964           ONDHDAGA <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100.0</td></t<>															100.0
HONTSDMERY         75         11.6         7         1.1         68         10.6         278         43.2         291         45.2         444           MASSAU         1,450         11.9         474         3.9         976         8.0         5,756         47.3         4,963         40.8         12,169           MEN YORK         7,285         11.0         2,802         4.2         4,483         6.8         39,587         59.7         19,447         29.3         66,319           NIAGARA         168         5.6         34         1.1         134         4.5         1,091         36.3         1,746         58.1         3,005           ONEIDA         213         10.8         71         3.6         142         7.2         1,053         53.6         698         35.5         1,964           ONDHDAGA         829         21.3         239         6.1         590         15.1         1,513         38.8         1,558         39.9         3,900           ONTARIO         182         18.0         31         3.1         151         15.0         543         53.8         284         28.1         1,009           ORALEA         347															100.0
NASSAU 1,450 11.9 474 3.9 976 8.0 5,756 47.3 4,963 40.8 12,169 NEW YORK 7,285 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 6.8 39,587 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,319 NIAGARA 168 5.6 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005 UNEIDA 213 10.8 71 3.6 142 7.2 1,053 53.6 698 35.5 1,964 UNDNDAGA 829 21.3 239 6.1 590 15.1 1,513 38.8 1,558 39.9 3,900 UNTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009 URANGE 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.6 2,985 UNLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 269 USWEBO 113 10.0 27 2.4 86 7.6 519 46.0 496 44.0 1,128 USSEGO 41 5.0 7 .8 34 4.1 234 28.3 555 66.7 827														• • •	100.0
NEW YORK 7,285 11.0 2,802 4.2 4,483 4.8 39,587 59.7 19,447 29.3 66,319 NIAGARA 168 5.6 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005 UNEIDA 213 10.8 71 3.6 142 7.2 1,053 53.6 698 35.5 1,964 UNDNDAGA 829 21.3 239 6.1 590 15.1 1,513 38.8 1,558 39.9 3,900 UNTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009 URANGE 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.6 2,985 UNLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 269 USWEED 113 10.0 27 2.4 86 7.6 519 46.0 496 44.0 1,128 USSEED 41 5.0 7 .8 34 4.1 234 28.3 552 66.7 827															105.0
NIAGARA 168 5.6 34 1.1 134 4.5 1,091 36.3 1,746 58.1 3,005  ONEIDA 213 10.8 71 3.6 142 7.2 1,053 53.6 698 35.5 1,964  ONDNDAGA 829 21.3 239 6.1 590 15.1 1,513 38.8 1,558 39.9 3,900  ONTARIO 182 18.0 31 3.1 151 15.0 543 53.8 284 28.1 1,009  ORANGE 347 11.6 84 2.8 263 8.8 1,218 40.8 1,420 47.6 2,985  ORLEANS 67 24.9 14 5.2 53 19.7 150 55.8 52 19.3 269  OSMEDO 113 10.0 27 2.4 86 7.6 519 46.0 496 44.0 1,128  OSSEGO 41 5.0 7 .8 34 4.1 234 28.3 552 66.7 827															100.0
OHEIDA         213         10.8         71         3.6         142         7.2         1,053         53.6         698         35.5         1,964           ONDRDAGA         829         21.3         239         6.1         590         15.1         1,513         38.8         1,558         39.9         3,900           ONTARIO         182         18.0         31         3.1         151         15.0         543         53.8         284         28.1         1,009           ORANGE         347         11.6         84         2.8         263         8.8         1,218         40.8         1,420         47.6         2,985           ORLEANS         67         24.9         14         5.2         53         19.7         150         55.8         52         19.3         269           OSWEED         113         10.0         27         2.4         86         7.6         519         46.0         496         44.0         4,128           OSYEEGO         41         5.0         7         .8         34         4.1         234         28.3         552         66.7         827							•								100.0
ONDNDAGA         829         21.3         239         6.1         590         15.1         1,513         38.8         1,558         39.9         3,490           ONTARIO         182         18.0         31         3.1         151         15.0         543         53.8         284         28.1         1,009           ORANGE         347         11.6         84         2.8         263         8.8         1,218         40.8         1,420         47.6         2,985           ORLEANS         57         24.9         14         5.2         53         19.7         150         55.8         52         19.3         269           OSWEED         113         10.0         27         2.4         86         7.6         519         46.0         496         44.0         \$,128           OSYEEGO         41         5.0         7         .8         34         4.1         234         28.3         552         66.7         827														•	100.0
ONTARIO     182     18.0     31     3.1     151     15.0     543     53.8     284     28.1     1,009       ORAMGE     347     11.6     84     2.8     263     8.8     1,218     40.8     1,420     47.6     2,985       ORLEANS     67     24.9     14     5.2     53     19.7     150     55.8     52     19.3     269       OSWEED     113     10.0     27     2.4     86     7.6     519     46.0     496     44.0     8,128       OSYSEED     41     5.0     7     .8     34     4.1     234     28.3     552     66.7     827															100.0
ORANGE     347     11.6     84     2.8     263     8.8     1,218     40.8     1,420     47.6     2,985       ORLEANS     67     24.9     14     5.2     53     19.7     150     55.8     52     19.3     269       OSWEED     113     10.0     27     2.4     86     7.6     519     46.0     496     44.0     £,128       OSYEED     41     5.0     7     .8     34     4.1     234     28.3     552     66.7     827															100.0
ORLEANS         67         24.9         14         5.2         53         19.7         150         55.8         52         19.3         269           OSWEED         113         10.0         27         2.4         86         7.6         519         46.0         496         44.0         8,128           OSYSEEG         41         5.0         7         .B         34         4.1         234         28.3         552         66.7         827															
OSWEGO         113         10.0         27         2.4         86         7.6         519         46.0         496         44.0         £,128           BYSEGO         41         5.0         7         .B         34         4.1         234         28.3         552         66.7         827															100.0
015E60 41 5.0 7 .B 34 4.1 234 28.3 552 66.7 827															100.0
															100.0
	PUTHAM		9	1.4	í	.2	8	1.2		228				655	

#### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDNY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

	÷*	-		Felony													
		Tota	ıl	Vic	lent		01	per		Ni sde	essanor		Lesser 0	ffenses		To	ital
COUNTY	•	Munber	Percent	Husber	Percent		Kusber	Percent	•	Kunber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nusber	Percent
DUEENS		3,523	21.2	1,143	7.0		2,360	14.2		7,473	45.0		5,629	33.9	•	14,625	160.9
RENSSELAER		135	9.7	33	2.4		102	7.3		534	38.3		727	52.0		1,398	100.0
RICHHOND		254	11.9	113	5.3		141	4.4		888	41.6		991	46.5		2,133	100.0
ROCKLAND		340	18.1	57	3.0		283	15.1		738	39.3		802	12.7		1,880	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE		7	. 9	1	.1		- 4	.8		357	45.9		414	53.2		. 778	100.0
SARATOGA		88	3.8	24	1.0		84	2.8		713	31.1		1,491	65.1		2,292	100.0
SCHENECTADY		168	10.7	39	2.5		129	8.2		716	45.7		684	43.4		1,568	100.0
SCHOHARTE		. 27	8.0	6	1.8		21	4.2		142	42.1		148	49.9	•	337	100.0
SCHUYLER		13	4.1	4	1.9		. 4	4.2		156	72.9		45	21.0		214	100.0
SENECA		27	9.3	1	.3		26	8.9		185	7.29		79	27.1		291	100.0
STEUBEN		70	10.0	14	2.0		54	8.0		354	50.5		277	39.5		701	100.0
SUFFOLK		1,905	15.1	545	4.3		1,340	10.8		3,897	30.9		408,4	54.0		12,608	100.0
SULLIVAN	•	133	10.6	17	1.4		116	9.3		549	43.8		571	45.4		1,253	100.0
TIOGA		42	8.9	. 8	1.7	* .	34	7.2		284	£0.2	•	146	30.9		472	100.0
TOMPKINS		98	12.9	21	2.7		77	10.1		294	38.7		370	48.4		764	100.0
ULSTER		193	11.5	37	2.2		156	9.3		892	52.9		003	35.4		1,685	100.0
WARREN		32	2.9	7	۵.		25	2.3		493	44.7		573	52.4		1,102	100.0
WASHINGTON		45	8.0	3	.5		42	7.5		257	45.8		259	46.2		561	100.0
WAYNE		112	9.0	15	1.2		97	7.8		677	54.3		457	36.7		1,246	100.0
NESTCHESTER		1,369	17.1	491	6.1		878	10.9		3,303	41.2		3,352	41.8		8,024	100.0
MACHINE		32	18.3	2	1.1		30	17.1		89	50.9		54	30.9		175	100.0
YATES		33	23.6	5	3.4		28	20.0		77	55.0		30	21.4		140	100.0
NEW YORK STATE		33,128	13.0	11,610	4,8		21,518	9.0		114,547	18.5		90,700	37.7		240,395	
NEW YORK CITY		20,874	15.5	8,342	6.2		12,532	9.3		70,855	52.5		43,260	32.0		134,989	100.0

Bisposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data say be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

		To	tal	Vio	leat	Ot	her		Hisde	BEZDOF	Lesser	lifenses	To	otal
DUNTY		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
- MANUE		276	72.4	: 15	5.2	214	17.2		878	70.5	89	7.1	1,246	100.0
LBANY		279 14	22.4 30.4	. 65 2	4.3	12	28.1		30	65.2	2	4.3	1,290	
LLEBANY		4,291	33.2	1,822	14.1	2,469	19.1		6,015	46.6	2,605	20.2	12,711	
ONI	•	258	48.3	70	13.1	188	35.2		243	15.5	33	4.7	534	
BARANCING		35	32.7		3.7	31	29.0		61	57.0	 11	10.3	107	
TTARAUGUS		99	34.2	it	5.7	55	28.5		124	64.2	3	1.6	193	
YUSA		103	35.6	24	2.3	79	27.3		172	59.5	14	4.8	289	
AUTAUQUA		191	8.23	45	15.7	146	51.0		86	30.1	. 14	7.1	286	
EKUNG		20	19.4	<b>1</b> 3	4.9	15	14.6		75	72.8		7.8	103	
ENAHSO		45	25.9	3	2.3	41	23.4		116	66.7	13	7.5	174	
INTON				- 7	3.4	41	27.7		104	58.8	18	10.2	177	
LUMBIA		55 50	31.1	13	10.7	37	30.4		104	53.7	6	5.0	121	
RTLAND			41.3			17	17.9		. 44	69.5		4.2	95	
LANARE		25	26.3	8 45	8.4		27.9		409	52.4	88	11.3	780	
TCHESS		283	36.3		8.3	218					38B			
IE .		823	30.4	241	8.8 8.5	592	21.5		1,523	55.5 64.8	385 2	14.1 2.8	2,744 71	
SEX		23	32.4	á		17			16		7	7.8	90	
ARKLIN		34	37.8		8.7	28	31.1			54.4	. 8			
LTON		58	36.9	15	9.6	43			91		0		157 120	
HESEE		60	50.9	14	11.7	46	38.3		40		-		102	
EENE		24	23.5	5		19	18.6		. 67		11			
HILTON		4	36.4	0	.0	4	34.4		7 62		0 7	-	11 102	
RKIKER		33		4	3.9	25						_	221	
FFERSON		119	53.B	28		91			100		2			
N65		5,465		2,442		3,023			6,232		4,093		15,790	
HIS		11		1	. 2.8	10			24		1		36	
VINESTON		40		7		33			43		2		85	
DISON		73		18		55			43		2		118	
NROE		802		256		516			044		136		1,598	
INTEDMERY		72		7		65			28				104	
ISSAU		1,329		474		855			1,926		268		3,523	
W YORK		7,244		2,802		4,442			9,461		4,327		21,032	
Abara		159		34		125			429		82		670	
EIDA		190		71		119			299		39		528	
ONDAGA		790		239		551			484		77		1,346	
ITARIO		152		31		121			48				205	
RANGE		337		84		253			428		90		055	
LEANS		58				44			24		. (		BA	
SWEGO		101		27		74			127		12		240	
TSE60		39		7	5.6	32	25.4		73	57.9	- 14		126	
UTRAN		В	8.3		1.0	7	7.3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	73	76.0	15	15.4	96	6 100

#### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

						Felony								
	•	To	otal	Vio	lent		8	ther	Kisdi	eeanor	Lesser (	lffenses	To	otal
COUNTY		Kuaber	Percent	Kumber	Fercent		Husber	Percent	Husber	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Musber	Percent
DUEENS		3,407	45.2	1,163	15.4		2,244	29.8	2,723	36.1	1,403	18.4	7,533	100.0
RENSSELAER		124	39.4	33	10.5		91	28.9	167	53.0	24	7.6	315	100.0
RICHHORE		251	26.7	113	12.0		138	14.7	487	51.9	201	21.4	939	100.0
ROCKLAND	•	324	59.9	57	10.5		267	49.4	173	30.1	24	10.0	541	100.0
ST. LANRENCE		. 4	8.0	1	1.5		5	7.4	54	79.4	. 8	11.8	83	100.0
SARATOSA		80	21.2	24	6.3		- 56	14.8	233	61.6	45	17.2	378	100.0
SCHENECTADY		158	42.1	39	10.4		119	31.7	193	51.5	24	6.4	375	100.0
SCHOHARIE		24	42.1	6	10.5		18	31.4	29	50.9	+	7.0	57	100.0
SCHUYLER		13	25.5	. 4	7.6		. 9	17.4	 34	88.7	4	7.8	51	100.0
SENECA		20	40.8	1	2.5		19	38.8	27	55.1	2	4.1	49	100.0
STEUBEN		60	40.0	14	9.3	•	46	30.7	88	57.3	4	2.7	150	
SUFFOLK		1,623	44.0	545	14.8		1,078	29.2	1,365	37.0	708	19.0	884,7	
SULLIVAN		118	30.2	17	5.5		101	37.7	150		41	13.3	309	100.0
TIOGA		39	47.0	9	9.6		31	37.3	42		2	2.4	83	
TONPKINS		76	50.7	21	14.0		55	36.7	73		. 1	.7	150	
ULSTER		147	39.5	37	8.7		130	30.7	221	52.2	35	8.3	423	100.0
WARKEN		29	16.7	7	4.0		22		127	73.0	18	10.3	174	100.0
WASHINSTON		41	31.7	3	2.5		38	32.2	71		Ā	5.1	118	100.0
HAYKE		92	40.5	15	4.6		77	33.9	129	54.8	, i	2.4	227	100.0
WESTCHESTER		1,227	45.7	491	18.3		736		1,262		194	7.2	2,483	
BAIKOYN		27	12.2	7.7	3.1		25	39.1	37	57.B		.0	4	100.0
YATES		31	75.6	5	12.2		26		9,	22.0	. 1	2.4	41	
NEW YORK STATE		31,778	37.1	11,610	13.4		20,168	23.4	38,553		15,292		85,423	
			35.5		14.3									
NER ADUK CITA		20,658	23.3	8,342	14.3		12,316	21.2	24,918	42.8	12,629	41.7	58,205	100.0

<sup>\*</sup> Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SOURCE: MYS Bivision of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

#### SENTENCES AS A FERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

YTKUO		State Prison	Local Jail		Local Jail and Probation		Probation		Fines		Other	Total
	-	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				•	<del></del>			***************************************
LBANY		3.7	12.8		7.7		13.2		57.9		1.7	100.0
LLEGANY		1.4	11.2		5.8		14.7		50.2		14.9	100.0
RONI		7.9	39.3		1.6		9.9		23.0		19.2	100.0
SKOOKE		3.2	14.7		3.8		12.5		34.2		29.4	100.0
TTARAUGUS		1.5	15.0		1.8		7.6		63.4		10.8	100.0
YUGA		3.4	17.8		4.0		4.0		46.4		24.4	100.
AUPUATUA		2.0	12.6		4.0		11.4		55.9		14.2	100.
IEKRKE IND INDROM		6.4	12.8		4.9		14.8		42.9		14.3	100.
ENANGO		1.4	11.5		5.1	100	14.7		45.2		22,1	100.
		1.7	12.6		7.2		7.8		62.4		13.2	100.
LINTON			19.3		4.7		7.4		54.4		8.7	100.
LUHBIA		5.5					13.7		59.0		10.5	100.
IRTI, AND		2.7	11.8		2.4							
LAVARE		. 4	8.9		4.2		9.2		55.6		21.7	100.
TCHESS		2.2	14.8		5.2		11.0		59.9		8.3	100
IE,		3.2	18.4		2.7		13.5		39.9		22.3	100
SEX		2.4	6.9		1.9		7.8		64.1		16.8	100
HKF1#		1.3	13.4		4.2		12.6		58.2		18.3	100
TON		3.9	13.1		5.2		12.1		50.3		15.3	100
RESEE		2.5	9.2		7.6		21.5		49.1		10.1	100
EENE		1.9	11.9		.7		9.3		67.4		8.8	100
SILTON		2.7	18.9		.0		8.1		54.1		16.2	100
RKINER		1.6	15.7		2.6		4.1		61.4		12.4	100
FFERSON		2.8	14.1		7.4		10.9		55.1		9.7	100
ii6S		10.3	26.1		4.9		10.7		29.1		18.9	100
			9.0		5.2		15.5		55.5		13.5	100
VIS		1.3					7.9		59.3		16.3	100
VINGSTON			8.9		6.8							
DISON		2.5	8.4		5.4		13.3		40.9		9.5	100
HROE		5.5	13.6		6.1		14.7		38.3		21.7	100
MTGOMERY		3.1	9.9		5.0		8.5		58.5		14.9	100
SSAU		4.1	16.6		8.4		18.4		31.8		22.8	100
W YORK		5.3	57.2		.8		4.7		9.0		23.0	100
AGARA		2.1	22.0		2.8		10.9		48.9		13.3	100
EIDA		3.2	16.2	Ð	3.7		11.7		52.8		12.3	104
DNDAGA		7.1	15.2		3.7		17.7		35.2		21.2	10
TARIO		4.8	15.0		5.5		8.4		54.4		12.0	100
ANSE		2.9	13.2		5.2		14.0		56.1		8.5	100
LEANS		4.1	11.2		14.9		17.5		34.4		16.0	100
NEGO		2.2	14.3		5.2		10.2		58.0	•	10.1	100
			8.5		2.4		4.3		72.3		9.2	100
15E60		1.3								· ·		
UTNAM		.5	7.5		1.2		10.4		77.9		2.4	100.

#### SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	State Prison	Local Jail		Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
QUEEKS	9.7	33.7		2.8	10.8	24.7	17.3	100.0
RENSSELAER	2.3	15.2	•	3.4	13.3	51.4	14.2	100.0
RICHKOND	5.3	20.8		1.7	13.4	32.8	26.0	100.0
ROCKLAND	4.3	11.0		9.1	12.2	58.0	5.5	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	.3	5.7		1.4	5.3	61.1	24.3	100.0
SARATOGA	1.3	9.2		1.0	5.8	74.0	8.4	100.0
SCHENECTADY	2.7	18.9	•	2.0	13.2	54.5	8.4	100.0
SCHOHARIE	3.4	4.5		4.2	5.3	71.8	10.7	100.0
SCHUYLER	.5	7.5		5.4	28.0	49.5	8.9	100.0
SEKECA	1.0	11.7		5.2	8.9	52.9	20.3	100.0
STEUBEN	2.6	10.7		4.6	11.0	53.8	17.4	100.0
SUFFOLK	4.6	10.4		5.5	13.4	57.3	8.8	100.0
SULLIVAN	3.2	19.9		4.1	13.5	47.2	12.2	100.0
TIOGA	1.5	7.6		2.9	10.0	62.1	16.1	100.0
TOMPKINS	3.5	7.7		2.6	15.1	47.0	24.1	100.0
ULSTER	2.3	14.1		2.9	10.1	59.3	10.3	100.0
WARREN	.5	14.0		1.9	5.8	8.03	17.1	100.0
WASHINGTON	.2	12.3		3.4	4.2	64.3	13.5	100.0
WAYNE	1.3	9.7		3.0	11.9	54.3	19.8	100.0
WESTCHESTER	4.8	14.3		4.4	15.5	47.1	9.9	100.0
MADWING	6.9	5.7		9.7	21.7	50.9	5.1	100.0
YATES	4.3	12.9		8.4	11.4	36.4	26.4	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	5.7	31.2		3.2	10.0	31.5	18.3	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	7.3	14.5		2.1	7.5	17.7	20.9	100.0

<sup>\*</sup> These data reflect the most serious sentence is multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS :

•	State 1	rison	Local	Jail	Local and Pr	Jail obation	Prob	etica	Fi	048	Gt	her	To	otai
COUNTY	Felony Cony	Hisd & Lesser Coav	Felony Conv	Misd & Lasser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	'Misd L Lesser Conv
AL BANY	57.4	NA	1.4	13.4	24.9	4.5	£.61	13.0	.(	61.9	. 6.	5.0	100.0	100.0
ALLEGANY	20.0	KA	13.3	11.0	20.0	4.5	4L.7	14.5	.0		.0	16.0	100.0	
FRONT	43.4	WA	16.31	44.4	7.5	.3	31.4	4.0			.9	23.3	100.0	•
BROOKE	25.4	NA NA	13.0	14.9	21.1	1,3	34.3	9.0			3.3	33.4	106.0	
CATTARAUGUS	25.0	KA	17.5	14.8	17.5	.8	40.0	5.5	.(		.0	11.5	100.0	
CAYUGA	34.5	KA	13.5	18.3	33,8	1.0	14.9	2.9			1.4	26.7	100.0	
CHAUTAUQUA	26.5	NA.	17.7	12.2	29.2	2.0	23.9	10.4	2.7		.0	15.3	100.0	•
CHEKUNG	35.9	¥A	11.6	13.0	16.2	2.4	32.8	13.3	2.0		1.5	19.5	100.0	
CHENANGO	34.8	KA	26.1	10.9	34.8	3,9	4.3	15.2			.0	23.0	100.0	
CLINTON	28.3	KA	13.2	12.5	20.8	1.1	32.1	8.3	3.1		1.9	13.9	100.0	
COLUMBIA	58.1	NA NA	14.5	19.8	17.7	3,4	8.1	7.4	1.1		.0	9.6	100.0	
CORTLAND	27.1	KA	12.9	11.6	15.7	, 9	41.4	10.7			2.9	11.3	100.0	
DELAVARE	12.0	XA	16.0	8.4	28.0	2.9	38.0	7.7	4.		4.0	22.6	100.0	
DUTCHESS	20.8	NA	9.1	15.5	32.2	2.0	37.1	8.0				7.5	100.0	
ERIE	39.7	KA	14.0	18.8	10.7	2.0	34.0	11.8			.8	24.2	100.0	
ESSEX	45.8	NA	8.3	4.8	8.3	1.5	37.5	6.0			.0	17.8	100.0	
FRANKLIN	17.9	NA	12.8	13.4	25.4	2.4	41.0	10.4	.1	-	2.6	19.6	100.0	
FULTON	31.4	KA	14.8	12.9	26.2	2,5	21.3	11.0	3.		.0	17.3	100.0	
BENESEE	20.6	NA	5.9	9.7	39.7	3.2	33.8	19.8			.0	11.5	100.0	
BREENE	32.0	HA	24.0	11.1	4.0	.5	36.0	1.7	4.		.0	9.4	100.0	
HANILTON	25.0	NA	50.0	15,2	.0	.0	25.0	4.1			.0	18.2	100.0	
HERKINER	21.1	XA :	23.7	15.0	21.1	1.1	28.9	4.2	5.		.0	13.7	100.0	
JEFFERSON	17.1	NA	17.8	13.3	36.4	1.7	26.4	7.8	1.		.8	11.5	100.0	
KINGS	49.0	HA	8.7	30.8	19.5	1.0	21.2	7.9	1.		.5		100.0	
LEVIS	16.7	NA	8,3	9.1	33.3	2.8	41.7	13.3	•		.0	14.7	100.0	
LIVINSSTON	12.3	KA	26.3	7.7	49.1	3.8	10.5	1.7	•		1.9	17.3	100.0	
MADISON	18.B	NA NA	11.8	7.9	28.2	1.9	38.8	9.5			2.4	10.6	100.0	
MONROE	35.7	NA	11.9	14.0	28.0	2.1	23.0	13.2	•		1.1	25.5	100.0	
MONTGOMERY	26.7	WA	12.0	9,7	32.0	1.4	28.0	4.0	1.		.0		100.0	
NASSAU	34.4	NA	14.6	16.8	25.6	3.8	23.3	17.7	i.		.8		100.0	
NEN AOUR	48.3	NA.	20.6	61.7	5.4	.1	23.1	2.4	••		1.2		100.0	
NIAGARA	38.1	HA.	23.8	21.9	1.2	2.7	33, 3	9.4	•		.6		100.0	
ONEIDA	29.1	. NA	16.9	16.2	23.9	1.3	28.2	9.7	•		.5		100.0	
DNONDAGA	33.4	KA	18.2	14.3	12.9	1.2	33.4	13.4	•	The second secon	1.8		100.0	
ONTARIO	26.4	KA.	26.9	12.3	22.0	1.8	21.4	5.6	1.		1.6	14.3	100.0	
ORANGE	25.4	WA.	5.5	14.2	28.0	2.2	46.3	10.5	••		.9		100.0	
DRLEANS	16.4	NA NA	1.5	14.4	44.8	5.0	35.8	11.4			1.5		100.0	
DSWEED	22.1	NA	15.9	14.1	37.2	1.7	22.1	8.9	i.		.9		100.0	. ,
01SE60	26.8	NA NA	7.3	8.5	36.6	۵.	29.3	5.1			.0		100.0	
PUTHAN	33.3	KA	.0	7.6	11.1	1.1	55.6	9.8	•		.0		100.0	

#### SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

	State	Prison	Local	Jail	Local and Pr	Jail obation	Prob	ation	Fin	15	Ot	her	To	ital
COURTY	Felsoy Coay	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Misd & . Lesser Conv	Felany Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	Misd & Lesser Eonv	Felony Coav	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv
PUEENS	45.8	NA	9.7	40.1	15.4	.1	27.1	ā.4	1.3	31.0	,7	21.8	100.0	100.0
RENSSELAER	23.7	KA	16.3	15.0	17.8	1.9	41.5	10.3	.7	57.1	.0	15.7	100.0	100.0
RICHMOND	44.1	XA	8.3	22.5	11.8	.4	32.3	10.8	2.4	36.9	1.2	29.4	100.0	100.0
<b>BOCKLAND</b>	23.5	KA	2.9	12.7	37.6	2.8	35.4	7.0	.0	70.8	.3	6.6	100.0	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	28.4	KA	.0	5.7	42.9	1.0	28.6	5.1	.0	61.4	.0	26.6	100.0	160.0
SARATOBA	33.0	MA	26.1	8.5	17.0	.4	23.9	5.1	.0.	77.0	.0	8.9	100.0	100.0
SCHENECTADY	25.4	NA	12.5	19.7	13.1	.7	47.0	9.1	1.2	60.9	.6	9.6	100.0	100.0
SCHOHARIE	44.4	₩A	11.1	3.9	37.6	1.3	7.4	5.2	· .6	70.1	.0	11.6	100.0	100.0
SCHUYLER	7,7	MA	23.1	6.5	53.8	2.5	15.4	28.9	.0	52.7	.0	9.5	100.0	100.0
SENECA	11.1	NA	18.5	11.0	51.9	.4	12.5	B.0	.0	58.3	.0	22.3	100.0	100.0
STEUBEN	25.7	NA	17.1	10.0	37.1	1.0	18.6	10.1	.0.	59.7	1.4	19.2	100.0	100.0
SUFFOLK	30.6	KA	11.4	10.2	26.1	1.8	22.4	11.8	4.9	4.64	4.7	4.6	100.0	100.0
SULLIVAN	30.1	NA	12.8	20.7	24.8	1.6	30.1	11.5	1.5	52.4	.8	13.4	100.0	100.0
. T106A	16.7	ЖA	11.9	7.2	23.8	.7	40.5	7.0	4.8	67.7	2.4	17.4	100.0	100.0
TOMPKINS	27.6	NA	8.2	7.7	14.3	.9	43.9	10.8	.0	53.9	6.1	26.7	100.0	100.0
ULSTER	28.5	NA	8.8	14.8	17.6	. 1.0	44.0	5.7	.5	66.9	.5	11.6	100.0	100.0
WARREN	15.6	ИA	34.4	13.4	25.0	1.2	25.0	5.2	.0	62.6	.0	17.4	100.0	100.0
WASHINGTON	2.2	MA	40.0	9.9	13.3	2.5	44,4	2.9	.0	70.0	.0	14.7	100.0	100.0
WAYNE	14.3	NA	15.2	9.2	25.9	.7	43.8	8.7	. የ	59.4	.0	21.8	100.0	100.0
WESTCHESTER	39.7	KA	5.0	18.4	17.3	1.7	37.3	11.0	.4	54.8	.3	11.8	100.0	
MACHINE	37.5	KA	£.3	5.6	25.0	٤.3	28.1	20.3	3.1	L1.5	.0	6.3	100.0	
YATES	18.2	NA	9.1	14.0	30.3	1.9	39.4	2.8	3.0	46.7	.0	34.6	100.0	
NEW YORK STATE	41.5	KĄ	13.B	34.0	15.8	1.2	26.8	7.3	.9	36.4	1.1	21.0	100.0	
<b>NEW YORK CITY</b>	47.0	KA	14.6	50.0	11.7	.4	25.1	4.2		20.8		24.6	100.0	100.0

a These data reflect the east serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Bivision of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 7A-1 PROPATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

								INVESTI	ZKOTTA			PRE-D	ISPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED	į
∢,			11	RTAKE #		Tota	1	Family (	ourt	Criminal	Court	Tot	.l	Family (	Court	Crisical	Court
	COUNTY		Rate	Rani	• •	Rate	Rank	Rate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
	ALBANY		458.			1,084.7	9	206.4	18	878.2	8	114.8		20.8	21	94.1	17
	ALLEGARY		903			396.8	58	70.7	56	326.1	54	.0		.0	44	٥.	<b>,42</b>
	RUDHI		643			£02.7	42	88.7	50	514.0	33	104.0		100.8	5	3.2	35
	BROOKE		1,388			919.8	12	291.8	7	627.9	20	1.9		1.9	40	.0	12
	CATTARAUGUS		384			479.3	52	169.9	26	309.4	56	10.5		1.2	42	9.4	30
	CAYUGA		632			398.2	42	B1.4	52	314.8	55	1.3		.0	44	1.3	10
	CHAUTAUOUA		851			B05.7	21	205.9	19	599.7	21	153.2		45.8	12	107.5	14
	CHEMUA:6		1,996		3	1,195.8	7	233.7	13	985.0	4	132.6		2.2	38	130.4	10
	CHENANGO		1,837			820.£	20	76.1	55	744.6	15	36.0		30.0	. 17	.0	42
	CLINTON		1,107			825.0	19	447.5	7	377.6	47	14.7		11.0	28	3.7	34
	COLUMBIA		354	.8 5	<u> </u>	551.1	47	80.9	53	470.3	40	.0		.0	44	.0	42
	CORTLAND		2,861	.2	2	1,078.2	10	236.3	12	841.9	10	.0	53	.0	44	.0	42
	DELAVARE		1,546	.2	3	443.6	55	87.4	51	356.2	50	219.7	8	219.7	2	.0	12
	DUTCHESS		1,081	.9 2	1	£32.3	41	124.2	37	504.1	34	50.2	27	36.8	15	13.3	. 27
	ERIE		550	.B 4	7	580.6	45	110.8	42	469.B	41	0	53	0	44	.0	42
	ESSEI		1,056	.0 2	}	316.2	59	101.7	46	214.5	. 60	16.5	40	13.7	23	2.8	37
	FRANKLIN		1,705		\$	1,438.4	4	244.3	11	1,194.3	3	45.7	30	4.6	35	41.1	22
	FULTON .		298	.0 5	3	649.7	39	164.0	28	463.7	38	. 16.2	41	16.2	22	.0	42
	GENESEE		1,436	.0 1	)	1,136.3	8	171.0	25	945.2	5	164.3	12	5.1	33	159.2	9
	GREENE		1,138			294.1	61	50.6		243.5	58	4.8		4.8		.0	42
	HANTLYON		20			140.4	62	20.1	62	120.4	62	.6		.0		.0	42
	HERKIHER		173			484.2	50	245.1	10	239.1	59	6.0		3.0		3.0	
	JEFFERSON		1,793		5	746.2	28	63.2		683.0	18	.0		.0		.0	
	KINGS		608			521.7	48	61.9	58	459.8	43	48.5		62.5		6.0	
	LEVIS		556			480,8	51	123.2		357.6	. 49	123.7		7.9		115.2	
	LIVINGSTON		756			764.6	26	166.3		598.3	22	25.7		24.0		1.7	
	MADISON		1,347			674.2	35	131.8		542.4	28	64.4		1.5		62.9	
	MONROE		651			594,9		130.8		464.1	42	38.7		38.2		.0	
	MONTEONERY		297			580.7	44	211.3		349.3	48	13.		13.3		.0	
	KASSAU		1,414			1,071.9	11	177.6		894.3	7	269.3		6.2		263.1	
	NES YORK		402			831.5	18	49.7		781.9	11	99.1		53.0		46.3	
			962			667.5	36	147.3		520.1	32					.0	
	NIAGARA		702			742.2		147.3		578.3	27	29.1		2.0		27.1	
	ONEIDA					1,450.6	3	229.7		1,220.9	2,	241.1		116.9		124.9	
	ONDADAGA		1,246			798.B	22	110.4		1,220.4	17	10.8		116.7		10.8	
	DATARIO		1,320		7 5	691.7	33	111.0		580.8	26	110.		.(		110.6	
	DRANGE GDI SAVE						33 37	76.6				115.0		10.2		104.8	
	ORLEANS		1,093			8.868			_	590.2							
	OSWEGO	: بنوی	\$51 - 401			1,359.B	5 54	252.6		1,107.1	- 4 - 57	183.0	. 1	.(		183.0	
	015860	40				449.7	54	153.3		296.5	57	203.1		.0		203.8	
	PUTNAN		687	.6 3	1	498.9	49	92.4	47	400.5	46	38.	3 32	38.3	13	.0	42

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-BISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - CONTINUED

·	<b>₹</b> >					IKVESTIG	ATIONS			PRE-DI	SPOSITIO	N SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED	)
	INT	IKE #	To	tal		Family C	ourt	Criminal	Court	Tota	ı	Family I	ourt	Criainal	Court
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rai	nk —	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank
OVEENS	446.1	53	397.		57	53.3	59	344.0	52	76.9	23	69.8	7	7.1	31
RENSSELAER	1,048.5	30	725.		31	189.0	21	536.5	29	74.7	24	3.3	36	71.4	. 18
ETCHNOND	553.1	. 47	311.		60	104.0	45	207.8	61	28.1	37	28.1	19	.0	42
ROCKLAND	677.1	41	639.	<b>4</b> . (	16	112.4	40	527.1	31	416.6	1 .	240.9	1	175.7	.8
ST. LAWRENCE	1,413.4	12	871.	2	14	90.8	49	720.3	12	191.3	10	13.2	25	178.1	7
SAKATOGA	858.3	35	707.	3	32	213.5	15	493.8	36	84.8	21	35.9	16	48.9	20
SCHENECTADY	1,238.5	22	765.	0 :	25	177.3	24	597.7	24	231.8	7	11.3	27	220.5	4
SCHOHARIE	275.2	59	476.	5	53	137.6	33	338.9	53	10.1	47	.0	44	10.1	29
SCHUYLER	3,460.7	1	1,227.	8	<u>k</u>	371.2	5	856.6	9	11.4	44.	11.4	26	.0	42
SENECA	1,242.2	21	729.	4	30	299.1	å	430.3	44	260.0	3	.0	44	280.8	2
STEUBEN	1,042.2	28	780.	8 :	23	196.2	20	584.5	25	263.0	5	154.2	3	104.8	15
SUFFOLK	1,299.1	19	2,396.	4	i	124.2	38	2,270.3	ı	50.0	28	50.0	10	.0	42
SULLIVAN	958.4	32	269.	8	15	94.7	48	775.1	13	.0	- 53	.0	44	.0	42
TIOEA	1,308.1	17	859.	5	17	430.7	3	428.8	45	43.7	31	29.8	18	13.9	26
TONPKINS	534.4	50	888.	3	13	140.7	32	747.6	14;	331.3	2 .	1.1	43	330.1	1.
ULSTER	1,140.0	23	774.	2	24	105.4	44	668.6	19	33.0	34	.0	44	33.0	23
WARREN	1,450.4	9	868.	1	16	384.4	4	483.5	39	48.5	29	46.7	11	1.8	38
WASHINGTON	1,309.2	16.	549.	1 .	16	213.2	16	355.9	51	.0	53	.0	44	.0	42
WAYHE	1,594.5	7	753.		27	258.1	8	495.7	35	.0	53	.0	- 44	.0	12
VESTCHESTER	1,244.5	20	1,608.		2	895.1	ī	713.7	16	B4.3	22	RI.1	4	.2	41
MADMINE	214.3	40	661.		38	132.8	34	528.4	30	22.1	39	7.4	31	14.8	25
YATES	-456.1	52	674.		34	181.5	22	493.3	37	4.7	50	.0	44	4.7	33

<sup>4</sup> Intake occurs only in family court.

SGURCES MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

		PROBATI	ION SUPERVI	SION CASI	ES RECEIVED		UNDE	PROBATI		ISES Stok Duri	ING THE YEAR	4	UNDE	R PROBATI	_	ASES Vision on	DECEMBER	k 31
	Tota	ıl	Family (	Court	Criainal	Court	Tota	1	Family (	ourt	Criminal	Court	Tati	ıl	Family (	Court	Crisinal	Court
COUNTY	Rate	Renk	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Sate	Rank	Rate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rent
ALBANY	367.2	4	50.4	26	336.8	4	1,131.5	5	88.1	28	1,643.5	4	845.2	5	4.04	17	785.6	5
ALLEGANY	169.0	54	29.5	48	139.5	53	528,5	53	58.9	48	469.5	55	375.2	55	21.4	54	353.6	53
Broki	300.7	16	20.4	55	280.4	8	995.4	8	45.4	57	950.0	7	752,9	7	21.0	55	731.8	7
BROOKE	292.3	18	57.9	18	234.4	21	916.0	10	125.2	11	790.8	15	457.1	14	40.7	15	594.4	.15
CATTARAUGUS	184.3	48	43,4	33	143.0	51	486.3	58	72.7	39	413.7	59	351.4	- 57	46.9	39	304.7	59
CAYUGA	146.5	58	26.3	50	120.2	58	404.5	62	55.1	52	349.4	62	269.2	62	23.9	50	245.4	61
CHAUTAUQUA	275.3	27	51.1	22 '	221.2	28	685.0	40	102.6	22	582.4	44	479.B	39	54.8	21	425.0	42
CHENUMG	380.5	5	108.7	2	271.8	10	1,292.5	2	191.3	2	1,101.2	3	969.6	2	117.4	2	852.2	4
CHENANGO	320.2	11	44.0	31	276.2	9	718.5	34	84.1	29	£32.5	32	492.4	37	34.0	39	458.3	33
CLINTON	185.1	49	33.1	44	152.0	49	755.2	30	77.2	- 36	677.9	27	400.7	23	35,6	37	565.2	19
COLUMBIA	260.7	30	54.5	21	20á.3	31	761.6	35	108.9	19	595.7	39	51B.1	32	61.4	12	453.8	35
CORTLAND	293.3	17	50.4	24	242.7	18	877.8	34	84.4	36	793.4	14	103.5	21	44,3	35	559.2	20
DELAVARE	183.4	50	27.7	49	155.7	47	563.0	54	55.5	51	507.6	51	398.8	52	23.5	51	375.4	50
DUTCHESS	284.2	<b>2</b> i	50.4	25	233. &	23	B07.5	24	115.6	18	691.9	25	571.5	27	42,7	35	528.8	23
ERIE	276.0	25	44.3	30	231.7	24	670.5	43	81.0	32	589.5	42	443.1	42	50,4	26	392.6	48
ESSET	140.2	59	30.2	47	110.0	40	475.7	23	49.5	55	126.2	58	346.5	58	22.0	53	324.5	5:
FRANKI, IN	317.4	12	24.8	20	262.6	12	874.6	15	121.0	17	753.5	18	428.0	18	54.8	20	573.2	18
FULTON	301.4	15	34.3	42	267.1	11	840.8	17	44.9	54	813.9	11	664.1	13	27.1	47	437.1	13
ENESEE	425.0	.2	67.7	8	357.3	2	1,227.7	3	93.1	26	1,134.6	2	941.5	. 3	69.4	9	872.1	2
BREENE	137.4	60	36.2	40	101.3	61	574.2	52	72.3	41	503.9	52	378.5	54	14.5	62	364.1	51
MANILTON	120.4	62	20.1	57	100.3	62	482.0	41	80.2	34	8.104	37	280.8	13	40.1	36	240.7	6
LERKINER	145.9	55	.38.4	35	127.0	56	567.9	53	134.5	9	433.4	58	403.5	SI	94.1	4	309.3	57
PEFFERSON	317.2	- 13	73.4	4	243.8	17	847.8	20	124.2	12	723.6	22	549.8	29	4.4	ti	483.2	29
KINGS	247.4	35	19.8	5B	227.4	25	910.7	12	39.9	59	870.8	10	734.6		20.1	58	714.5	E
EVIS	202.7	45	43.7	32	159.0	45	784.8	27	155.4	5	8.124	22	480.8	38	63.6	14	417.2	43
LIVINGSTON	282.9	22	24.0	53	258.9	13	970.4	9	56.6	50	913.8	9	709.8	11	18.9	59	490,9	
MADISON Monroe	257.7	33	24.0	54	233.7	22	£86.2	39	58.4	49	₽527.B	31	473.5	41	21.0	56	452,5	
MATEDXERY	289.0 241.8	20 37	37.9	37	251.1	15	829.8	23	75.2	38	754.6	17	631.6	17	45.8	31	585.8	
KASSAU	363.1	31 . L	59.0 45.4	16	182.B	42	694.9	38	108.5	20	586.4	43	523.5	31	55.2	19	458.3	
KEN YORK		-		29	317.7	5	1,021.1	7	102.2	24	918.9	É	824.3	- 6	52.4	23	773,9	i
ILAGARA	207.3 278.5	44 24	18.3 38.8	59 36	188.9 239.7	38 19	849.7	19	38.0	61	B11.6	12	6.436	12	20.3	57	646.7	12
WEIDA	219.4	42	36.3	3 <del>9</del>	183.1	41	654.5	46	82.4	44	592.2	41	448.5	47	30.0	45	418.5	
NONDAGA	287.4	23	47.1	37 28	235.3	20	738.2	32	72.6	40	665.6	28	503.7	35	27.5	46	476.2	
MTARIO	263.0	29 29	57.4	19	205.7	32	783.3 740.4	29 31	81.0	31 27	702.3	- 24	552.7	28	43.0	34	509.8	
PRANGE	345.9	7	48.4	27	297.2	32 6	873.3	16 31	92.0	13	648.4 350.5	30	502.7		47.6	29	454.8	-
IRLEANS	321.9	10	30.7	46	291.3	. 7	1,126.7	31 6	122.9 71.5	13	750.5	19	602.7	22	87.0	5	513.8	2
DSNERO	258.5	31	67.1	79	191.4	36	737.8	33	137.7	42	1,055.2	5	909.4	4	48.5	28	861.0	
D15E60	159.3	5å	15.2	62	143.2	50	672.1	42	137.7 59.0	47	600.1	38	514.5	33	67.1	10	447.4	
PUTNAK	249.7	34	58.1	17	191.4	35					613.1	35	528.9	30	52.2	24	476.7	30
U I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	477.1	23	10.1	17	171,0	33	644.0	47	105.1	21	539.0	49	475.9	40	43.3	33	432.7	39

		PROBAT	ION SUPERVI	SION CASE	S RECEIVED			UNDER	PROBAT	TION		SES ION DUR	RASY SHT BAI	<b>t</b>	UNDE	PROBATI		ESES VIOLETY	DECEMBER	31
	Tot	al .	Family	Court	Crisisal	Court		Tota	1		Family C	ourt	Criminal	Court	Total	ıl	Family (	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
QUEEKS	172.0	53	17.0	60	155.0	48		532.5	36		38.5	60	494.0	53	419.2	50	24.1	49	395.2	47
REUSSELAER	330.4	- J.	74.0	5	256.4	14		915.1	11		121.4	15	793.5	13	740.0	£	72.7	Ŕ	867.3	11
RICHROND	136.9	41	24.3	52	112.6	59		440.3	20		33.4	62	404.B	60	332.2	59	18.2	04	314.0	56
ROCKLAND	339.0		125.7	1	213.0	29		847.9	19		237.9	1	610.0	36	582.9	24	135.4	ſ	447.5	37
ST. LAWRENCE	158.1	57	14.8	41	139.3	54		589.9	50		43.2	58	546.7	47	419.7	19	16.9	61 .	403.0	46
SARATOSA	173.3	. 52	35.9	41	137.4	55		414.6	61		53.2	54	361.4	61	289.0	60	33.4	42	255.4	60
SCHENECTABY	266.3	28	59.8	13	208.5	30		B32.1	22		141.4	6	690.6	26	574.4	26	59.1	19	517.3	24
SCHOHARIE	177.9	51	20.1	56	157.7	46		630.9	49		53.7	53	577.2	45	459,7	43	33.4	41	426.2	41
SCHUYLER	485.4	1	42.0	11	422.6	1		1.170.7	- 4		79.9	35	1,090.7	4	734.7	9	34.3	38	702.4	9
SENECA	192.3	47	51.9	23	140.4	52		702.0	36		120.1	3	521.9	50	448.4	48	103.8	3	344.9	- 54
STEUBEN	201.4	46	32.9	45	148.5	44		8.944	44		76.0	- 37	593.8	40	454.1	44	33.9	40	420.2	43
SUFFOLK	316.3	14	45.2	10	250.1	41		894.8	13		139.1	7	757.7	16	606.9	20	76.3	. 6	530.6	22
SULLIVAN	409.8	3	59.2	15	350.4	3		1,409.7	1		122.8	14	1,286.9	1	1,072.5	1	40.4	16	1,011.8	1
TIDGA	234.2	38	59.5	14	174.7	43		835,7	21		95.3	25	740.4	21	611.4	19	53.4	22	557.8	21
TONPKINS	222.3	41	25.0	51	197.4	33		695.4	37		60.1	46	635.3	31	312.8	34	22.7	52	190.1	28
ULSTER	230.8	39	37.2	28	193.4	34		640.5	48		67.2	43	573.4	46	465,3	42	33.0	43	432.3	40
WARREN	230.1	40	39.5	34	190.5	37	. •	540.7	55		80.9	33	479.9	54:	384.4	- 53	25.2	48	359.5	52
WASHINGTON	213.2	43	88.1	4	125.1	57		583.2	51		154.8	4 4	426.4	57	355.9	56	49.3	27	304.4	58
WAYNE	291.3	19	48.5	7	222.7	27		783.5	28		121.1	16	642.5	29	579.1	25	75.4	7	503.7	27
WESTCHESTER	258.0		33.7	43	224.3	26		805.7	25		11.9	45	744.0	20	647.4	15	32.5	44	614.9	14
MACHINE	275.3	26	91.0	3	184.4	40		466.2	45		125.4	10	540.9	48	452.4	45	43.9	13	368.6	49
YATES	244.7	36	40.5	12	186.2	39		805.2	26		102.4	23	702.8	23	642.3	16	51.2	25	591.1	16

The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

REVOCATIONS

								Crisis	i Court∗	E E	
	Tota	1] 6		Family 6	Courts	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	CORV
COUNTY	Rate	Rank		Rate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
										-	
ALBANY	60.7	- 11		6.4	30	60.4	A	44.6	5	15.9	31
ALLEGANY	18.4	57		6L.7	29	12.4	59	8.4	51	4.2	56
BROWX	75.3	5		89.2	20	74.7	5	45.4	3	9.2	47
BROOME	43.7	34		63.9	32	10.5	33	18.5	36	22.0	21
CATTARAUSUS	9.6	14		16.1	51	8.5	13	.0	59	8.5	50
CAYUGA	99.1	. 1		€8.2	27	103.9	2	28.7	22	75.3	1
CHAUTAUQUA	48.5	25		74.3	39	44.0	26	21.4	29	22.6	20
CHEMUNG	55.5	15		113.6	13	45.4	23	37.5	12	7.9	53
CHENANGO	55.7	. 14	•	46.5	- 41	, 57.0	10	44.3	á	12.7	10
CLINION	22.7	58		63.5	33	18.1	54	16.3	42	1.0	59
COLUMBIA	49.2	24		75.8	25	44.3	25	33.2	17	11.1	43
CORTLAND	55.3	14		12.5	B	47,9	19	29.3	21	18.4	27
DELAYARE	15.2	59		.0		14.8	57	1.2	56	12.6	41
DUTCHESS	42.2	38		155.9		23.2	54	14.2	47	9.1	49
ERIE	52.2	19		87.7	21	47.3	20	33.4	15	14.0	36
ESSET	23.1	54		111.1	14	12.9	58	.0	59	12.9	38
FRANKLIN	28.7	50		.0		33.3	43	15.2		18.2	
FULTON	50.3	21		74.9	24	48.8	16	2.2		46.6	2
BENESEE	42.8	35		34.4		43,3	28	28.4	23	14.9	22
GREENE	42.R	ě		20.0		43.1	29	14,4	45	28.7	
HAMILTON	.0	62		.0			62	٥.		.0	
HERKINER	28.9	49		.0		37.9	28	37.9	10	.0	
JEFFERSON	49.3	23		107.1		39.0	34	25.0		14.0	
KIKES	34.9	43		13.2		38.0	37	7.3		30.4	
LENIS	35.4	15		25.6		37.7	40	25.2		12.4	
LIVINGSTON	53.0	18		121.2		48.8	16	16.9		31.9	
MADISON	56.8	13		102.4		52.5		33.4		19.1	
HOHROE	29.7	48		54.4		27.1	51	17.3		9.8	
MONTGONERY	46.6	27		122.8		32.5		6.5		26.0	
NASSAU	45.8			53.7		45.0	- T.	20.0		24.9	_
NEW YORK NIAGARA	62.2 45.9	10		35,5		63.4		19.4		11.0	
OKEIDA	13.7 54.6	28 17		125.9		37.4		15.4	***	21.6	
CNONDAGA	B0.7	4		126.4 100.8		46.1 78.3		19.8		26.4	
ONTARIO	86.3	3		94.1		25.1		75.3 43.4		3.1 41.7	
DRANGE	42.5	_		35.2		43.7		34.6		9.1	
ORLEANS	36.3	11		33.2		38.7		31.5		7.1	
DSVEGO	23.9			12.2		 26.6		18.2		7.3 8.4	
OTSEGO	27.6	51		.0		30.2		11.0		19.2	
PUTHAN	10.3	39		47.1		39.0		20.8		18.3	
. nimmi	441-3	- 31		. 7772	70	31.0	Jul	10.0	34	10.3	40

#### REVOCATIONS

		<del></del>					Crinina	l Courts	24	
	Tota	118	Family C	Courtii	Tot	al	Techai	cal	New C	ORY
COUNTY	Rate	ƙank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
QUEEKS	37.9	42	38.9	43	37.8	39	4.6	55	33,2	7
RENSSELAER	18.1	58	59.8	35	11.7	60	9.2	50	2.5	58
RICHNOND	51.4	20	4.4	30	. 50.6	15	21.0	30	29.4	10
ROCKLAND	44.5	32	17.4	50	55.0	13	40.B	9	14.2	34
ST. LAWRENCE	34.4	46	40.8	42	33.9	42	21.0	31	12.9	38
SARATOGA	74.6	Ł	116.3	12	68.5	6	49.7	4	18.8	28
SCHENECTADY	45.5	31	117.4	11	30.8	46	15.4	44	15.4	32
SCHOHARIE	26.4	52	.0	54	29.1	48	5.2	54	23.3	19
SCHUYLER	43.9	33	214.3	1	31.4	45	26.2	24	5.2	55
SENECA	95.7	2	50.8	39	111.1	1	76.0	. 1	35.1	5
STEUBEN	58.3	12	81.1	23	55.4	12	41.5	8	13.8	37
SUFFOLK	42.3	37	25.2	49	45.4	22	25.B	25	19.6	23
SULLIVAN	49.3	22	60.2	34	48.3	18	37.9	10	10.3	45
TIDGA	47.5	26	83.3	22	42.9	30	34.7	13	8.0	52
TOMPKINS	45.7	30	94.3	18	41.1	32	30,4	20	10.7	. 4
LELSTER	65.B	B	127.3	5	58.4	9	30.9	17	27.7	17
WARREN	67.3	. 7	133.3	4	56.2	11	22.5	28	33.7	(
WASHINGTON	39.3	41	67.4	28	26.9	49	4.1	57	24.8	17
WAYNE	39.4	40	28.3	47	41.4	31	17.2	40	24.1	
WESTCHESTER	 30.1	47	54.1	37	28.1	50	12.1	48	14.0	
MACHINE	14.8	60	.0		18.2	. 55	18.2		.0	
YATES	23.1	54	.0	54	26.5	53	0	59	24.5	13

<sup>#</sup> Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

<sup>44</sup> Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

					Ada	ssions				B.c		Auder	8.21			Decemb	er 31 Popul	ation		<u> </u>
			Tata	1	Unsent	nced	Senten	ced		Repor Capai		Average Popul:			Tota	1	Uasenti	eced	Sente	nced
CURTY	<b>-</b> .		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
LBANY			1,259.4	25	8.0.3	32	399.1	16		153.2	5	128.4	3		113.4	4	63.B	8	49.7	7 3
LLEGAHY			888.0	48	750.5	42	137.5	56		48.8	35	51.1	44		45.2	44	21.4	47	23.6	33
RONI			.0	59	.0	58	.0	58		.0	57	٥.	58		.0	58	.0	58	.0	5 SB
ROOME			1,040.3	41	732.4	43	307.8	27		85.7	25	71.5	. 27		71.5	21	35.3	28	36.2	2 9
ATTARAUGUS			1,238.6	27	958.4	23	280.1	33		89.1	21	57.4	39		72.7	20	32.8	32	39.9	8 6
AYUGA			1,457.6	17	940.4	26	517.2	6		77.6	29	62.6	35		48.9	25	40.1	23	28.6	21
AUGUATUAH			1,014.2	40	694.0	45	350.1	24		17.9	38	£.04	37		49.9	41	35.4	27	14.6	6 49
HEMUHG			1,190.3	31	799.0	34	391.3	19		100.0	13	88.1	11		108.7	8	45.7	17	63.0	0 2
HENANGO			1,142.8	35	662.5	49	480.4	3	•	6.3	40	56.0	41		34.0	55	20.0	51	14.0	0 51
LINTON			1,415.8	11	1,157.3	14	458.5	8		63.7	43	53.9	42		59.6	31	33.1	31	25.7	
DLUKBIA			1,414.1	18	994.7	20	417.5	14		95.7	18	89.1	10		75.9	16	42.9	19	33.0	0 14
ORTLAND			1,698.6	. 7	1,299.8	8	398.8	18		67.5	39	78.1	22		111.8	7	80.2	6	31.7	7 17
ELAVARE			819.0	52	569.4	51	249.5	37		68.2	37	34.1	54		44.8	44	27.7	41	17.1	1 43
UTCHESS			933.7	44	762.8	40	170.9	51		48.4	36	54.8	40		58.0	33	42.3	21	15.7	7 47
RIE			1,170.4	34	1,004.7	19	143.7	53		100.9	12	65.5	33		53.4	37	37.4	26	16.1	1 45
SSET			750.7	54	539.0	53	211.7	43		AL.C	41	33.0	57		33,0	56	30.2	36	2.6	8 57
RANKLIN			1,374.4	21	949.9	25	424.7	12		125.6	7	84.5	17		75.4	17	38.B	24	36.5	5 B
LTON MCT.			1,680.2	8	505.3	55	1,174.9	i		.0	57	84.9	14		57.8	35	23.5	45	34.3	3 11
KESEE			1,297.1	23	982.2	21	315.0	25		23.7	56	47.4	51		64.3	78	32.2	33	32.2	2 15
EENE			1.697.4	8	1,164.5	12	532.8	14		130.2	. 6	84.4	18		60.3	30	33.8	30	28.	5 28
MILTOX			1,624.9	10	1,103.3	16	521.6	5		80.2	28	40.1	54		120.4	5	80.2	5	40.1	1 - 5
ERKIMER			1,134.2	37	840.7	- 31	273.5	34		53.8	47	58.3	38		38.9	52	29.9	38	9.6	0 56
EFFERSON			1,181.9	33	751.3	39	417.7	13		74.5		73.4	25		65.5	27	31.6	35	33.	
1N65			.0	58	.0		.0	58		.0		.0	-		.0	58	٥.	58		0 58
EWIS			904.0	45	766.9		139.1	55		91.4		43.7			47.7		19.9		27.	
IVINGSTON			1,549.9	15	1,171.0		378.9	20		96.0		89.2			B4.0		53.1		30.	
ADISON			1,141.7	38	884.0		257.7	38		70.4		70.4	-		54.9		28.5		28.	
ONROE			1,198.4	30	958.2		240.2	39		70.2		40.9			79.4		53.3		26.	
ONTGONERY			1,593.5	12	1,041.4		552.1	3		188.5		91.4			81.5		3.46	7	15.	
ASSAU			455.1	57	464.2		190.9	47		64.4		82.3			85.9		57.3		28.	
EN YORK			.0	58	.0		.0	58		.0		.0					.(			0 58
1AGARA			1,223.6	29	921.0		302.6			97.9		84.5			69.7		35.1		34,	
NEIDA			705.1	55	534.4		170.7	52		82.2		74.2			67.0		40.7		26.	
NONDAGA			1,708.9	4	1,524.3		184.6			87.9		94.3			92.0		54.6		37.	
KTARIO			1,381.2	20	975.3		105.9	15		96.3		85.5	_		85.5		20.6		64.	
RANGE			1,340.4	22	1,148.2		212.2	42		96.2		80.0			73.5		51.2		22.	
RLEANS			1,290.2	24	1,029.6		240.4			166.1		125.2			122.6		99.6		23.	
SWEED			1,129.8	38	768.0		341.8			80.8		60.4			57.5	-	27.7		30.	
TSE60			904.5	46	616.5		288.0			37.1		48.8			42.1		15.7		27.	_
PUTNAK			892.5	47	760.2		132.3	57		49.4		49.4		•	39.4		21.0		18.	

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

				Ādai	ssions	i				n		A	B. 11				Decea	ber 31 Popul	ation	2.3.2	
		Tota	i	Uosenta	enced		Seater	red		Repor Capac		Average Popula				Tota	1	Unsenti	aced	Sent	enced
OUNTY		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Ra	te	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rac
KVEENS		.0.	58	.0	58		.0	58		.0	57	.0	58			.0	58	. 0	58		0 :
ENSSELAER		864.2	50	P9B**	46		195.6	46		75.3	30	50.9	.45			37.0	53	21.1	48	15.	
ICHNOND		.0	58	.0	58		.0	58		.0	57	0	58			.0	58	.6	58		
OCKLAND		699.8	56	403.8	57		296.0	31		30.9	55	36.2	55			32.8	57	20.0	52	12.	
T. LAWRENCE	•	798.0	53	561.7	52		236.3	41		53.B	48	50.3	47			45.0	45	18.5	55	26.	
ARATOBA		834.7	51	662.B	48		173.9	50		43.3	52	53.2	43			39.0	51	21.7	. 46	17.	
CHERECTADY		1,233.2	28	919.1	28		314.1	26		58.4	44	68.4	30			63.1	29	50.5	15	12.	
CHOHARIE		1,100.7	39	889.3	29		211.4	- 44		70.5	32	50.3	44			43.4	47	30.2	37	13.	
CHUYLER		1,844.6	3	1,473.4	4		371.2	21		117.9	9	102.8	5			31.3	2	119.9	2	11.	
EKECA		1,593.2	13	1,294.1	9		299.1	30		88.5	22	79.4	21			70.2	22	42.7	20	27.	
TENSEN		962.6	43	777.7	36		184.9	48		50.3	49	67.8	31			58.4	32	44.2	18	14.	
UFFOLK		879.1	49	998.0	47		211.1	45		57.6	45	65.1	34			52.2	30	32.1	- 34	20.	
ULLIVAN		2,491.1	i	1,791.4	1		699.7	2		242.6	1	174.6	. !			4.84	1	127.2	1 .	41.	. 4
106A -		1,185.0	32	786.0	35		399.0	17		111.2	10	77.4	23			69.5	24	37.7	25	31.	
OHPKINS		1,390.2	19	1,251.3	10		139.5	54		37.4	53	48.8	50			43.1	48	26.1	43	17.	-
LSTER		1,518.6	16	1,159.5	13		359.0	23		84.7	24	87.9	12			75.1	18	52.5	13	22.	. 6
IARREN		1,885.3	2	1,452.2	5		433.1	11		104.2	11	71.9	24			48.5	42	25.2	44	23.	.4.
ASHINGTON		1,245.9	26	812.3	33		433.5	10		95.2	.19	B4.6	. 15			52.9	38	19.4	54	33.	.5
AYNE		1,787.5	5	1,318.1	7		169.4	8		56.0	46	£4.2	32			50.3	40	29.7	39	20.	. 6
ESTCHESTER		1,843.6	4 '	1,600.2	. 2		243.5	28		121.3	8	95.3	7			BO.9	. 14	50.4	16	30.	.5
HONING		1,012.9	42	705.4	44		307.3	28		44.3	51	41.8	53			34.4	54	14.0	57	19.	.7
ATES		1,591.7	14	1,354.4			237.4	40		174.9	3	153.4	2			25.7	3	97.7	- 4	27.	

SOURCE: MYS Commission of Correction.

## NEW COURT CONNITHENTS TO STATE PRISON

								Violeat	Felonie	\$	•								Brug Fe	lonies			711		υ.	
	Tol	ia:	Tol	al	Koai	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Rob	bery	Burg	lary	Year	ons	Ot	her	Tol	tal	Posse	ssion	Sa	le	Sthe Felo	-		th(u) enders
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Raak	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MERNY	72.9	5	21.5	12	1.4	31	3.9	17	4.6	13	7.0	15	2.8	P	1.8	27	20.8	5	8.8	3	12.0	4	29.9	3	.7	3.6
ILLEBANY	7.9	60	3.9	51	2.0	21	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	2.0	22	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	2.0	59	2.0	13
RONT	136.1	2	94.9	2	13.7	1	7.3	5	44.4	2	10.1	4	11.5	2	7.9	4	21.5	- 4	5.7	5	15.7	Ą	16.4	. 16	3.2	! 7
ROOME	38.1	18	21.7	11	.9	34	3.8	18	3.8	. 15	9.9	4	.5	18	2.8	14	.5	45	.0	32	.3	41	15.5	20	.5	
CATTARAUGUS	11.7	54	3.5	54	.0	36	1.2	43	2.3	24	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	0	42	7.6	50	1.2	20
CAYUGA	30.1	26	4.3	44	٥.	36	2.5	28	.0	40	3.8	30	.6	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	17.5	12	4.3	:
CHAUTAUQUA	20.1	43	8.3	39	2.1	19	.0	47	.7	39	4.2	28	.0	23	1.4	31	2.B	28	1.4	22	1.4	34	7.6	49	1.4	2
HENLING	56.5	9	29.4	Ł	2.2	17	7.6	3	1.1	37	8.7	11	4.3	- 4	5.4	7	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	26.1	- 5	1.1	3
CHENANGO	16.0	53	8.0	41	.0	38	2.0	31	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	4.0	6	4.0	20	2.0	15	2.0	28	4.0	55	0	4
LINTON	19.6	45	4.9	50	.0	36	2.5	29	1.2	35	1.2	50	٥.	23	.0	40	1.2	43	.0	32	1.2	37	12.3	31	1.2	2:
COLUMBIA	59.4	7	18.2	19	1.7	28	5.0	18	.0	40	8.3	12	. 0	23	3.3	12	23.1	3	5.0	7	18.2	2	16.5	18	1.7	7. 1
ORTLAND	54.9	10	16.9	20	.0	36	.0	47	2.1	25	2.1	44	4.2	5	8.4	3	.8	46	.0	32	.0	42	27.4	4	10.6	
DELAVARE	4.3	62	2.1	58	.0	36	2.1	30	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	2.1	36	.0	32	2.1	27	.0	61	.0	4
DUTCHESS	25.9	31	13.3	27	.0	36	2.7	24	4.3	14	4.7	26	.4	21	1.2	34	1.6	40	.0	32	1.6	33	10.2	40	.6	
RIE	32.2	22	15.9	23	2.0	22	2.7	25	3.3	17	5.9	21	۵,	16	1.4	30	1.9	39	1.2	26	.6	40	13.7	26		
ESEI	24.7	35	8.3	40	.0	36	2.8	23	5.5	12	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	13.8	25	2.6	
FRANKLIN	25.1	34	6.9	43	2.3	15	.0	47	.0	40	4.6	27	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	18.3	10		
FULTON	46.9	14	16.2	22	3.6	7	1.8	37	.0	40	9.0	10	٥.	23	1.8	25	9.0	10	.0	32	9,3	7	16.2	19	5.6	
SENESEE	27.1	29	10.2	35	1.7	27	٥.	47	1.7	30	3.4	35	3.4	4	.0	10	3.4	25	.0	32	3.4		10.2	42	3.4	
GREENE	26.5	30	7.2	42	.0	36	4.8	9	.0	40	2.4	41	.0	23	.0	40	7.2	15	1.6	B	2.4	24	12.1	32	.(	
ANILTON	20.1	44	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	. 0	52	. 0	23		40	.0	46	.0	32	.0		20.1	8		
ERKINER	13.4	55	3.0	56	1.5	30	.0	47	1.5	33	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0		10.5	38		
IEFFERSON	29.4	27	12.4	29	2.3	16	7.9	2	.0	40	2.3	43	.0	23	.0	40	2.3	34	.0	32	2.3		14.7	23	. (	
CINES	92.8	4	59.0	3	10.0		1.9	34	29.7	3	7.9	14	6.3	3	3.2	13	19.0	4	4.1	11	14.9		13.4	27	1.	
ENIS	7.9	59	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	4.0	21	.0	32	1.0	_	4.0	56		
LIVINGSTON	25.7	33	13.7	24	3.4	.8	5.1	7	.0	40	3.4	33	.0	23	1.7	28	5.1	17	3,4	12	1.7	31	6.9	51		
MADISON	19.5	46	9.0	- 38	.0	36	4.5		1.5	32	3.0	38	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0		9.0	16	1.	
IONROE	42.1	16	22.7	10	2.6	12	2.8	27	7.4	. B	5.5	22	2.0	10	2.7	19	2.7	30	.7	31	2.0		15.5	21	1.	
HONTGOKERY		48		59		36	1.9	33	.0	40		52	,0	23	.0	40	1.9	3B	1.9	18	.0		11.4	34	3.	
	19.0	19	20.3	16	.0 2.3	14	1.2	41	7.9	- 7	.0	14	.8	14	1.3	33	6.3	16	1.7	27	5.1			45		
(ASSAU	36.9								8.84	1	4.8								-				9.1		1.3	
KEN YORK	229.7	1	125.5	1	12.2	2	4.2	14		•	15.0	1	15.3	1	9.9	1	51.4	1	11.8	1	39.5		49.4	1	3.	
IAGARA	31.4	24	11.5	31	1.8	21	.9	45	3.7	16	3.2	34	.5	20	1.4	32	2.8	29	.0	32	2.8		16.6	15		
HEIDA	33.9	21	14.4	25	2.8	11	1.2	42	2.8	20	3.6	32	1,2	13	2.8	18	3.2	26	2.0	16	1.2		14.8	22	1.	-
HONDAGA	52.9	11	29.0	7	2.1	18	5.4	43	6.9	9	9.5	8	1.5	12	3.4	9	3.7	22	1.3	24	2.4		18.5	9	1.	
DITARIO	50.9	12	20.4	15	1.1	33	4.3	12	.0	40	9.7	7	.0.	23	5.4	8	8.01	9	5.4		5.4		17.3	13	2.	
DRANGE	36.7	20	16.6	21	1.8	26	2.9	22	5.8	ļī.	4.0	29	.4	22	1.8	26	9.0	11	4.3	10	1.7		11.2	37		
RLEANS	40.9	17	15.3	24	.0	36	2.6	26	.0	40	12.8	2	.0	23	.0	40	2.6	31	.0	32	2.6		20.4	7	2.	
OSWEGO	23.5	38	9.2	36	.8	35	1.7	. 40	.8	28	5.0	25	.0	23	.8	3B	.8	44	.8	29	.0		12.6	29		
DISE60	15.2		5.1	48	.0	36	1.7	39	. 1.7	31	1.7	48	.0	23	.0	40	5.1	18	3,4	13	1.7		3.4	58	1.	-
PUTHAN	22.3	42	4.9	49	1.2	32	.0	47	2.5	23	1.2	49	.0	23	.0	40	11.1	7	8.7	4	2.5	21	6.2	52		0 4

## NEW COURT CONHITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

									Violeat	Felonie	£									Drug Fe	ionies	•			_	Vani	
		Tot	al	Tot	al	Hoei	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Rob	hery	Burg	lary	Xea	poas	Ot	her	To	tal	Posse	ssion	Sa	t.	Othe Felo		Yout! · Offe	nsui
COUNTY	-	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
RUEENS		50.4	. 8	33.4	. 5	4.3		1.8	36	14.6	4	<b>1.7</b>	.17	3.4	7	2.8	17	11.1	. 8	4.4	9	6.7	. 9	12.0	33	1.8	14
REUSSELAER		23.1	40	12.4	28	2.0	20	2.0	32	2.0	27	2.4	40	.7	15	3.3	11	1.3	42	1.3	23	.0	42	9.3	- 44	.0	41
RICHMOND		30.8	25	19.8	17	2.9	10	1.9	35	5.9	10	6.7	18	1.6	11	.8	39	2.1	35	.8	30	1.3	35	8.4	47	.3	40
ROCKLAND	•	31.7	23	11.7	30	1.5	29	.8	46	2.6	22	5.3	23	.0	23	1.5	29	8.3	13	1.5	21	4.8	8	10.2	-41	1.5	19
ST. LAWRENCE		18.5	49	5.3	· 47	0	36	.0	47	1.8	29	2.6	39	٠.	23	.9	37	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	13.2	28	.0	41
SARATOGA		19.2	47	10.5	34	,0	36	3.7	19	1.2	34	3.7	31	٥.	23	1.9	23	3.1	27	1.2	25	1.7	30	5.0	54	. 6	37
SCHENECTADY		24.5	37	10.4	33	.0	36	7.3	4	2.7	21	7	51	0	23	.0	40	1.3	41	.0	32	1.3	36	11.3	36	1.3	23
SCHOHARIE		23.5	39	23.5	9	6.7	4	3.4	20	.0	40	10.1	5	.0	23	3.4	10	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	.0	61	.0	11
SCHUYLER		17.1	52	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	. 0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	17.1	14	0	41
SERECA		7.2	58	3.1	55	.0	37	.0	47	0	40	3.1	37	.6	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	. 0	42	6.1	53	.0	41
STEUBEN		24.7	36	11.3	32	.0	36	4.1	15	.0	40	5.1	24	.0	23	2.1	20	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	10.3	39	3.1	. B
SUFFOLK		44.8	15	21.4	13	1.9	23	2.9	21	2.1	. 6	4.1	19	.5	18	1.8	24	8.1	14	1.5	20	6.5	10	14.2	24	1.1	32
SULLIVAN		93.2	3	26.1	8	3.0	•	8.9	1	3.0	19	5.9	20	.0.	23	7.4	5	25.1	2	8.9	2	16.3	3	37.0	2	3.0	9
TIOGA		25.B	32	4.0	45	٥.	38	.0	47	2.0	26	2.0	45	.6	23	2.0	21	2.0	37	2.0	- 17	٥.	42	17.9	11	.0	41
TORPKINS		22.7	41	9.1	37	٥.	36	4.5	10	.0	40	3.4	34	.0	23	1.1	36	2.3	33	1.1	28	1.1	39	11.3	35	٠.٥	41
MISTER		48.2	13	20.8	14	1.8	25	4.3	13	3.1	18	7.9	13	.6	17	3.1	14	4.3	19	1.8	- 19	2.4	23	22.0	6	1,2	26
MARREN		10.8	57	3.6	52	.0	36	0	47	1.8	28	1.8	16	0	23	.6	40	3.6	23	.0	32	3.6	16	3,4	57	. 0	41
WASHINGTON		5.3	41	3.5	53	.0	36	1.8	38	.0	40	1.8	47	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	.42	1.8	60	.0	41
MAYNE		18.3	50	5.7	46	.0	34	1.1	44	1.1	34	2.3	42	.0	23	1.1	35	3.4	24	.0	32	3.4	17	8.0	48	1.1	30
WESTCHESTER		44.0	4	37.9	. 4	5.2	5	4.0	16	11.9	5	10.7	3	3.1	8	2.9	15	8.4	12	3.2	14	5.2	12	16.5	17	1.2	29
MACHINE		17.2	51	2.5	57	2.5	13	.0	47	.0	40	٠.٥	52	٠.	23	.0	40	2.5	32	.0	32	2.5	22	12.3	30	.0	41
YATES		27.9	28	18.4	10	.0	36	.0.	47	.0	40	9.3	9	.0	23	9.3	2	.0	. 46	.0	32	.0	42	9.3	43	.0	41

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

#### INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

							. *		V	iolent	Felonie	\$						. 1	Drug Felo	nies			Other		Youth	r1
	Tal	tal	To	tal	Hos	icide	Ser Of	fenses	kobb	ery	Burg	lary	Veapo	ns	Oth	er	Total		Possess	ica	Şa	le	Felon		Offen	
COUNTY	Rate	Rent	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rent	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate R	ank	Rate F	ant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	250.5	4	131.0	_	20.4	10	29.2	2	40.2	5	22.9	4	5.6	6	12.7	7	48.3	3	19.4	2	28.9	3	۵۹.0	2	2.1	15
ALLEGAMY	11.8	61	7.9	59	3.9	53	2.0	55	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.0	-43	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	2.0	42	2.0	18
\$RONI	432.7	2	348.4	2	87.8	2	79.1	3	165.7	2	21.7	3	24.1	2	19.2	2	50.2	2	13.7	3	36.5	2	29.8	14	4.3	7
ERDONE	109.7	13	72.0		11.8	29	13.2	9	21.2	13	18.4	8	. 9	28	6.6	20	5.2	29	2.4	27	2.8	31	32.0	12	.5	39
CATTARAUGUS	31.6	54	15.2		1.2		5.9	40	2.2	24	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	1.2	53	.0	42	1.2	47	14.1	46	1.2	31
CAYUGA.	67.6	32	28.8	41	1.3		8.8	26	7.5	27	6.3	40	.0	37	5.0	28	3.8	39	1.3	38	2.5	35	30.1	13	5.0	
CHAUTAUQUA	43.7	49	22.2	48	12.5		1.4	58	2.1	45	1.9	42	0	35	1.6	48	9.7	15	5.5	!!	4.2	24	11.8	51	٥.	42
CHEMUNG	187.0	5 47	118.5		23.9		31.5	.1 49	18.5	14 25	28.3	2 51	4.3	9 32	12.0	8	2.2	47 33	1.1	41	1.1	48	62.0	3	4.3	
CHENANGO CLINTON	44.0 52.7	41	32.0 22.1	49	10.0 3.7	54	4.0 7.4	32	8.C 6.ŝ	29	3.7	47	.0 .0	32	10.0	10 50	4.0 2.5	33 46	2.6 .0	42	2.0 2.5	42 37	B.0 27.0	54 20	1.2	42
COLUMBIA	97.4	16	41.3	28	5.1 E.\$	42	16.5		3.3	40	9.9	29	.0	32	5.0	30 29	33.0	10	4.4	12	26.4	3/ 5	27.0	26	.0	42
CORTLAND	78.1	27	29.5	39	4.2		2.1	54	.0	52	2.1	50	6.3	32 5	14.8	4	2.1	. 49	2.1	20	0.	51	40.1	7	6.3	14
DELAVARE	17.1	60	10.7	58	2.1		£.4	37	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.1	41	2.1	48	.0	42	2.1	41	4.3	60	.0	42
DUICHESS	71.0	29	48.2		11.8		7.8	29	14.5	15	9.4	30	1.2	24	3.5	33	3,9	38	1.2	40	2.7	33	1B.0	36	.8	36
ERIE	95.5		45.2		20.8		9.4	23	15.8	15	12.6	21	1.2	23	6.1	23	3.9	37	2.7	23	1.2	46	24.8	23	1.0	31
ESSEX	38.5	52	16.5	52	.0		5.5	41	1.1	17	.0.	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	12	.0	51	19.2	23	2.8	10
FRANKLIN	79.9	25	36.5		9.1		6.9	35	4.6	36	6.9	37	2.3	16	4.9	18	6.9	23	4.6	16	2.3	40	34.3	9	2.3	17
FULTON	83.0		39.7	31	18.0		7.2	33	.0	52	12.5	20	.0	32	1.8	45	9,0	16	1.8	34	7.2	14	28.9	15	5.4	
BENESEE	64.3		40.6		8.5		3.4	53	8.5	23	10.2	27	5.1	7	5.1	26	5.1	30	.0	42	5.1	20	15.2	42	3.4	Ē
GREEKE	55.5	38	33.8	35	12.1	27	9.6	22	4.8	34	7.2	35	.0	32	.0	52	7.2	22	4.8	14	2.4	38	14.5	45	٥.	42
HAMILTON	B0.2		40.1	30	40.1	4	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	12	.0	51	40.1		.0	47
HERK THER	26.9	55	13.4	55	6.0	45	1.5	57	3.0	42	1.5	53	.0	32	1.5	48	0	55	.0	42	.0	51	13.4	48	.0	4
JEFFERSON	70.0	30	38.4	32	12.4	25	12.4	13	1.1	51	10.2	28	.0	32	2.3	40	3,4	42	.0	42	3.4	27	27.1	19	1.1	33
KINGS	283.3	3	218,1	3	46.4	. 3	11.8	14	95.9	3	19.7	7	15.6	. 3	9.7	11	34.7	4	7.6	. 6	27.2	4	28.2	16	2.3	13
LEWIS	7.9	62	.0	62	.0	- 60	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54		32	.0	52	4.0	34	.6	42	4.0	25	4.0	61	٥.	47
LIVINGSTON	54.9	39	29.1	40	8.6	36	8.6	28	. 0	52	10.3	25	.0	32	1.7	46	B. &	17	3.4	18	5.1	19	17.1	37	.0	43
MADISON	40.5	50	24.0	45	4.5	51	10.5	17	3.0	41	4.5	43	.0	32	1.5	47	1.5	51	.0	42	1.5	45	13.5	47	1.5	2
KONROE	129.5	10	87.8	9	20.3	11	10.8	16	28.2	7	14.2	12	4.1	10	8.2	13	6.7	26	2.3	28	4.4	22	33.5	11	1.6	
NOHTGOKERY	45.7	45	22.8	47	11.4	31	3.8	51	1.9	47	3.8	45	.0	32	1.9	44	3,8	38	3.8	17	.0	51	13.3	49	5.7	:
NASSAU	98.8	15	69.0	13	13.6	23	5.0	44	27.8	9	17,7	10	1.7	18	3.2	35	13.5	13	2.4	25	11.1	10	14.9	43	1.5	2
NEW YORK	618.6	1	406.5	1	86.0	1	15.5	7	207.1	1	38.6	1	36.2	1	23,1	1	117.0	1	26.0	1	91.0	1	90.1	1	4.9	1
MIAGARA	91.0	19	51.3	18	16.2	17	9.7	21	10.2	21	7.4	- 34	2.B	14	5.1	25	5.5	27	2.6	22	2.8	32	33.7	10	.5	4
OKEIDA	84.2	21	51.1	19	15.2	21	7.6	31	9.2	22	10.8	24	1.6	19	6.8	19	7.6	20	3.2	20	4,4	23	23.5	25	2.0	1
ONDNOAGA	144.2	. 8	96.1	8	15.5	18	18.5	. 5	27.9	- 8	22.B	5	3.9	- 11	7.5	15	8.0	18	2.4	26	5.4	15	38.3	8	1.9	1
ONTARIO.	90.9		49.8		8.7		13.0	10	4.3	37	13.0	-18	2.2	17	8.7	12	10.8	14	5.4	12	5.4	17	28.1	17	2.2	1
ORANGE	108.8		48.1		17.7		10.4	18	23.4	- 11	10.8	23	.7	35	5.0	27	19.8	B	7.2	7	12.5	•	20.5	30	.4	4
DRLEANS	120.1	11	63.9		17.9		7,7	30	5.1	33	12.8	19	2.6	15	17.9	3	7.7	19	5.1	13	2.6	34	46.0	5	2.6	1
OSVE60	48.7	43	26.0		5.0		4.2		7.6	26	5.7	28	.0	32	2.5	37	2.5	44	1.7	35	.8	50	19.3	32	. 8	3
OTSE60	43.B		15.2	54	5.1	48	6.7	36	1.7	49	1.7	52	.0	32	.0	52	6,7	25	3.4	19	3.4	28	20.2	31	1.7	2
PUTNAN	51.9	42	23.5	46	11.1	32	3.7	52	2.5	44	3.7	46	.0	32	2,5	39	22.3	7	12.4	4	9.9	12	6.2	57	.0	43

## INHATES WIDER CUSTODY

											iolent	Felonia	:5							Drug Fa	losies			<b>5</b> 11		U 51	
	Tot	al		Tota	a i	Hosi	cide	Sex Di	fenses	ƙabb	ery	Burg	lary	Weap	D4 \$	Oth	er .	Tot	al	Posse	ssion	Sa	le	Other Felon		Youch Oilen	
OUNTY	Rate	Rank		Bate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
UEEKS	159.1	7		117.4	6	27,7	5	8.8	27	48.6	4	18.2	9	£.5	· •	7.7	14	18.3	10	£.8	В	11.5	. 9	21.3	29	2.0	18
EKSSELAER	58.1	35		44.9	26	17.8	14	4.0	50	5.9	32	B. &	33	1.3	22	7.3	17	1.3	52	1.3	37	.0	51.	11.9	50	.0	47
I CHROND	95.2	18		75.2	11	17.7	16	5.9	39	26.7	10	15.5	13	3.2	12	6.2	22	3.7	40	- 1.3	36	2.4	38	15.B	41	.5	3
CCKLAND	81.1	23		47.5	23	14.3	22	4.5	47	10.2	20	13.4	15	1.5	20	3.4	34	13.6	12	2.6	24	10.9	11	18.9	34	1.1	37
T. LAWRENCE	38.B	51		19.4	51	6.2	43	5.3	43	2.6	43	4.4	44	.9	29	.0	52	٠٩.	54	.0	42	,9	48	14.8	39	1.8	21
AKATO6A	45.B	44		30.3	38	7.4	38	9.9	20	1.9	48	8.7	32	.0	32	2.5	38	4.3	32	1.2	39	3.1	29	10.5	53	ه.	3
CHEHECTADY	54.5	40		34.5	34	1.3	57	12.6	11	10.6	18	4.6	38	1.3	21	2.0	42	2.0	50	.0	42	2.0	- 43	16.5	40	1.3	2
CHOHARIE	57.0	36		47.0	24	6.7	41	10.1	19	6.7	28	13.4	16	0	32	10.1	9.	.0	55	.0	12	.0	51	10.1	54	.0	4:
CHUYLER	22.8	56		5.7	60	5.7	46	.0	59	.0	52	٥.	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	٠.	51	17.1	38	.0	4
EHECA	18.3	57	•	12.2	57	6.1	44	.0	59	ů.	52	3.1	49	.0	32	3.1	34	.0	55	.0	42	٠,٥	51	6.1	58	.0	4
TEUBEN	49.9	31		45.2	25	12.3	26	14.4	.8	2.1	46	10.3	26	.0	33	€.2	21	3.1	43	.0	42	3.1	30	18.5	35.	3.1	
UFFOLK	112.7	12		48.3	14	15.3	19	9.1	25	22.2	12	16.2	11	1.1	76	4.3	31	19.3	9	3.1	21	16.1	7	23.8	24	1.3	2
ULLIVAN	176.0	6		84.3	10	20.7	9	23.7	. 4	10.4	19	13.3	17	3.6	13	13.3		34.0	5	8.9	. 5	25.1	b	56.2	4	1.5	2
. A301	73.4	28		43.7	27	11.9	28	6.0	38	6.0	31	13.9	14	.0	32	6.0	24	4.0	35	2.0	32	2.0	44	25.8	21	.6	43
OMPKINS	56.7	37		28.4	42	£.8	40	12.5	12	1.1	50	5.7	41	1.1	27	1.1	- 51	6.8	24	2.3	29	4.5	21	21.6	28	0	4
ASTER	78.8	26		47.6	22	15.3	20	9.2	21	£. 1	30	12.2	22	.6	31	4.3	32	7.3	21	1.8	33	5.5	16	22.0	27	1.8	2
IARREN	35.9	53		17.8	50	3.6	55	5.4	42	3.6	38	7.2	36	.0	32	.0	52	5.4	28	.0	42	5.4	18	10.8	52	.0	4
ASHINGTON .	17.4	58		12.3	56	5.3	47	1.8	56	3.5	39	1.6	51	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	5.3	59	.0	
AYNE	45.7	46		27.4	43	6.9	39	6.9	34	4.6	35	3.4	48	1.1	25	4.6	30	3.4	41	.0	42	3.4	26	14.8	44	.0	
ESTCHESTER	144.1	9		101.2	7	22.6	3	11.4	15	32.9	Ė	22.1	6	4.6	8	7.5	16	16.6	11	6.0	10	10.6	12	25.0	22	1.3	2
Y ON 1116	17.2	59		4.9	61	٥.	60	4.9	45	.0	52	.0		.0	32	.0	52	2.5	45	.0	42	2.5	36	9.8	55	.0	
ATES	45.2	22		32.6	36	4.7	50	4.7	46	.0	52	9.3	- 31	.0	32	14.0	5	4.7	31	4.7	15	.0	51	27.9	18	.0	- 4

Sources NYS Department of Correctional Services.

New Felony Convictions

Rate Rank

25.2 

50.3 

29.6

33.3

15.9

43.9

36.4

30.3

35.5

16.4

28.4 29.4 

62.5

.ô 

٥. 

٠٥. 

.0 

21.0

42.6

53.2

7.6

50.0

34.8

32.3

23.5

.0 

.0 

32.3 

.0 

42.8

.0 

.0 

.0 

.0

.0

٥.

٠.٥

٠.٥

.0

.0

Under   Supervision   Superv	Total  Rate Rani  97.1 11 38.5 4 126.7 123.2 1 33.3 4 22.7 4 157.9 55.6 3 72.7 2	18.0 23 2 .0 32 40.3 6 24.6 15 1 .0 32 3 .0 32 1 .0 32	New Arrests  Rate Rank  55.9 12 30.5 25 36.1 27 69.0 8 .0 43 22.7 37
ALBANY 70.8 5 195.5 4 142.3 4 ALLEGANY 11.8 48 51.1 39 21.6 50 BRONY 181.3 1 535.8 1 361.1 1 BRODNE 39.1 14 95.6 20 66.4 20 CATTARAUGUS 11.7 49 35.2 51 17.6 54 CAYUGA 16.3 42 55.1 35 35.1 37 CHAUTAUQUA 22.2 36 43.7 44 31.9 44 CHEMANG 56.5 8 123.7 10 80.4 11 CHEMANG 56.5 8 123.7 10 80.4 11 CHEMANG 16.0 43 36.0 50 32.0 42 CLINTON 29.4 24 67.4 28 44.1 28 COLUMBIA 23.1 33 61.1 31 34.7 38 CORTLAND 25.3 30 69.6 26 59.1 24 DELAWARE 6.4 58 17.1 59 10.7 59	99.1 19 38.5 4 126.7 123.2 1 33.3 4 22.7 4 47.6 4 157.9 55.6 3 72.7 2	18.0 23 2 .0 32 40.3 6 24.6 15 1 .0 32 3 .0 32 1 .0 32	55.9 12 30.5 25 36.1 27 69.0 B
ALLEGANY 11.8 48 51.1 39 21.6 50 BRONY 181.3 1 535.8 1 361.1 1 BROOME 39.1 14 95.6 20 66.4 20 CATTARAUGUS 11.7 49 35.2 51 17.6 54 CATUGA 16.3 42 55.1 35 35.1 37 CHAUTAURUA 22.2 36 43.7 44 31.9 44 CHEMUNG 56.5 8 123.7 10 80.4 11 CHEMANGO 16.0 43 36.0 50 32.0 42 CLINTON 29.4 24 67.4 28 44.1 28 COLUMBIA 23.1 33 61.1 31 36.7 38 CORTLAND 25.3 30 69.6 26 59.1 24 DELAWARE 6.4 58 17.1 59 10.7 59	38.5 4 126.7 123.2 1 33.3 4 22.7 4 47.6 4 157.9 55.6 3 72.7 2	2 .0 32 4 40.3 6 24.6 15 .0 32 3 .0 32 1 .0 32 1 17.5 24	30.5 25 36.1 27 69.0 8 .0 43
BRONY 181.3 1 535.8 1 361.1 1 BROOKE 39.1 14 95.6 20 66.4 20 CATTARAUGUS 11.7 49 35.2 51 17.6 54 CAYUGA 16.3 42 55.1 35 35.1 37 CHAUTAUQUA 22.2 36 43.7 44 31.9 44 CHEMUNG 56.5 8 123.7 10 80.4 11 CHEMUNG 16.0 43 36.0 50 32.0 42 CLINTON 29.4 24 67.4 28 44.1 28 COLUMBIA 23.1 33 61.1 31 36.7 38 CORTLAND 25.3 30 69.6 26 59.1 24 DELAWARE 6.4 58 17.1 59 10.7 59	126.7 123.2 1 33.3 4 22.7 47.6 4 157.9 55.6 3	2 .0 32 4 40.3 6 24.6 15 .0 32 3 .0 32 1 .0 32 1 17.5 24	30.5 25 36.1 27 69.0 8 .0 43
BRODNE         39.1         14         45.6         20         66.4         20           CATTARAUGUS         11.7         49         35.2         51         17.6         54           CAYUGA         16.3         42         55.1         35         35.1         37           CHADITAUDUA         22.2         36         43.7         44         31.9         44           CHEMANED         56.5         8         123.7         10         80.4         11           CHEMANED         16.0         43         36.0         50         32.0         42           CLINTON         29.4         24         67.4         28         44.1         28           COLUMBIA         23.1         33         61.1         31         34.7         38           CORTLAND         25.3         30         69.6         26         59.1         24           DELAWARE         6.4         58         17.1         59         10.7         59	123.2 1 33.3 4 22.7 4 47.6 4 157.9 5 55.6 3 72.7 2	40.3 6 24.6 15 .0 32 3 .0 32 .0 32 1 17.5 24	36.1 27 69.0 B .0 43
CATTARAUGUS 11.7 49 35.2 51 17.6 54 CAYUGA 16.3 42 55.1 35 35.1 37 CHAUTAUQUA 22.2 36 43.7 44 31.9 44 CHEMUNG 56.5 8 123.7 10 80.4 11 CHEMANGO 16.0 43 36.0 50 32.0 42 CLINTON 29.4 24 67.4 28 44.1 28 COLUMBIA 23.1 33 61.1 31 34.7 38 CORTLAND 25.3 30 69.6 26 59.1 24 DELAWARE 6.4 58 17.1 59 10.7 59	33.3 4 22.7 4 47.6 4 157.9 55.6 3 72.7 2	24.6 15 1 .0 32 3 .0 32 1 .0 32 1 17.5 24	69.0 B
CAYUGA     16.3     42     55.1     35     35.1     37       CHAUTAUQUA     22.2     36     43.7     44     31.9     44       CHEMUNG     56.5     8     123.7     10     80.4     11       CHEMANGO     16.0     43     36.0     50     32.0     42       CLINTON     29.4     24     67.4     28     44.1     28       COLUMBIA     23.1     33     61.1     31     34.7     38       CORTLAND     25.3     30     69.6     26     59.1     24       DELAWARE     6.4     58     17.1     59     10.7     59	22.7 41 47.6 4 157.9 55.6 3 72.7 2	.0 32 3 .0 32 1 .0 32 1 17.5 24	.0 43
CHAUTAUDUA 22.2 36 43.7 44 31.9 44 CHEMUNG 56.5 8 123.7 10 80.4 11 CHEMANGO 16.0 43 36.0 50 32.0 42 CLINTON 29.4 24 67.4 28 44.1 28 COLUMBIA 23.1 33 61.1 31 34.7 38 CORTLAND 25.3 30 69.6 26 59.1 24 DELAWARE 6.4 58 17.1 59 10.7 59	47.6 4 157.9 55.6 3 72.7 2	3 .0 32 1 .0 32 1 17.5 24	
CHEMUNG 56.5 8 123.7 10 80.4 11 CHEMANGO 16.0 43 36.0 50 32.0 42 CLINTON 29.4 24 67.4 28 44.1 28 COLUMBIA 23.1 33 61.1 31 34.7 38 CORTLAND 25.3 30 69.6 26 59.1 24 DELAWARE 6.4 58 17.1 59 10.7 59	157.9 55.4 72.7 2	17.5 24	
CHEMANGO     16.0     43     36.0     50     32.0     42       CLINTON     29.4     24     67.4     28     44.1     28       COLUMBIA     23.1     33     61.1     31     34.7     38       CORTLAND     25.3     30     49.5     26     59.1     24       DELAWARE     6.4     58     17.1     59     10.7     59	55.6 3 72.7 2		31.7 30
CLINTON     29.4     24     67.4     28     44.1     28       COLUMBIA     23.1     33     61.1     31     34.7     38       CORTLAND     25.3     30     69.5     26     59.1     24       DELAWARE     6.4     58     17.1     59     10.7     59	72.7 2		96.5 2
COLUMBIA 23.1 33 61.1 31 34.7 38  CORTLAND 25.3 30 49.6 26 59.1 24  DELAWARE 6.4 58 17.1 59 10.7 59		5 .0 32	55.4 13
CORTLAND         25.3         30         49.4         26         59.1         24           DELAWARE         4.4         5B         17.1         59         10.7         59		36.4 9	.0 43
DELAWARE 4.4 58 17.1 59 10.7 59	54.1 3	.0 32	54.1 16
	60.6 3	.0 32	30.3 32
AHTCHESS 41 9 12 121 5 14 01 2 16	.0 5	.0 32	.0 43
	129.0	35.5 10	58.1 11
ERIE 34.5 17 100.6 17 62.5 22	116.7	19.4 22	80.9 3
ESSEX 11.0 S1 46.7 42 33.0 41	.0 5	.0 32	.0 43
FRANKLIN 22.8 34 45.7 43 20.6 51	.0 5	.0 32	.0 43
FULTON 30.7 23 63.2 30 43.3 29	28.4	.0 32	.0 43
GENESEE 15.2 44 57.6 34 37.3 35	264.7	147.1 2	88.2 4
GREENE 9.6 53 38.6 46 24.1 48	£2.5 3	.0 32	.0 43
HAMILTON .0 62 .0 62 .0 62	.0 5	.0 32	.0 43
HERKIMER 6.0 59 14.9 60 17.7 53	.0 5	.0 32	.0 43
JEFFERSON 28.2 26 54.2 37 38.4 33	62.5 3	20.B 20	41.7 24
K1H6S 104.1 3 300.7 3 202.4 3	104.7	33.6 12	28.3 33
LENIS 4.0 61 11.9 61 7.9 61	.0 5	.0 32	.0 43
LIVINGSTON 27.4 2B 58.3 33 37.7 34	.0 5	.0 32	.0 43
MADISON 12.0 47 30.0 54 16.5 56	.0 5	.0 32	.0 43
MONROE 60.9 7 155.6 7 109.4 7	104.8 1	24.6 16	59.3 10
MONTGOMERY 15.2 45 36.2 49 22.8 49	52.6 3	.0 32	52.6 17
MASSAU 26.4 29 74.1 24 56.0 25	96.3 2	23.3 17	30.4 31
NEW YORK 112.8 2 307.2 2 205.2 2	135.5	44.9 5	37.4 26
MIASARA 47.1 11 121.0 12 80.4 12	64.9 3	11.5 30	45.8 22
ONEIDA 31,5 21 71.8 25 50,3 26	138.9	33.3 13	55.4 13
OXONDAGA 47.9 10 142.1 8 87.9 9	130.1	45.4 4	49.9 19
ONTARIO 28.1 27 67.1 29 36.8 36	96.8 2	16.1 25	48.4 20
ORANGE 24.9 31 91.9 22 60.9 23	46.7 2	19.6 21	23.5 36
ORLEANS 33.2 20 92.0 21 71.5 16	27.8 4	.0 32	27.8 34
05NEGO 18.5 40 47.8 41 31.9 43	.0 5		.0 43
OTSEGO 6.7 57 32.0 52 15.2 58	52.6 3		52.6 17
PUTHAN 11.1 50 38.3 47 24.7 47	32.3 4	.0 32	.0 43

#### PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

					_	
	Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During		Unde Supervi Da 12	sion
COURTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Raat	Rate	Rank
QUEENS	61.8	6	183.0	5	125.B	6
REHISSELAER	28.4	25	49.8	18	67.4	19
RICHMOND	35.3	16	110.5	13	74.1	14
ROCKLAND	20.5	28	53.9	38	39.4	30
ST. LAWRENCE	22.0	33	0.03	32	46.7	27
SARATOGA	5.0	60	17.9	58	8.0	60
SCHENECTADY	33.9	18	97.0	19	48.4	18
SCHOHARIE	13.4	46	20.1	54	14.8	55
SCHUYLER	34.3	18	102.8	16	42.8	21
SEKICA	82.4	4	167.9	6	128.2	5
STEUBEN	22.6	35	54.4	36	39.0	31
SUFFOLK	31.1	22	83.5	23	48.6	17
SULLIVAN	50.3	9	127.2	9	47.6	8
1106A	9.9	52	37.7	48	33.7	40
TOMPKINS	7.1	54	30.4	53	19.3	52
ULSTER	34.4	15	105.6	15	73.3	15
MARREN	7.2	54	50.3	40	27.0	46
WASHINGTON	8.8	55	19.4	57	15.9	-57
WAYNE	17.1	41	88.5	27	38.8	32
WESTCHESTER	40.0	13	109.0	-14	78.B	13
NYONING	24.5	32	41.8	45	34.4	39
YATES	18.4	39	27.9	55	27.9	45

<sup>44</sup> The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus innates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Parole.

#### REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES .

Tota	1	Rul Violat	-	Hen Ārres		Hew Fe Convict	
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙank	Rate	Rank
115.1	13	36.5	8	35.9	28	42.7	ic
125.8	10	13.2	28	79.5	4	33.8	15
94.4	22	28.8	19	21.8	32	50.8	- 1
55.9	34	14.0	26	21.0	39	21.0	2
73.5	28	.0	32	14.7	42	58.9	
103.4	16	34.5	11	69.0		.0	3
102.7	18	6.8	31	75.3	7	20.5	- 3
166.7	3	166.7	1	.0	43	.0	- 3
55.6	35	.0	35	55.4	13	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
18.9	49	.6	32	18.9	40	.0	3
81.1	25	13.7	27	24.6	35	42.8	
93.0	23	11.6	29	46.5	21	34.9	1
52.4	38	52.6	3	.0	43	.0	3
185.2	2	37.0	. 7	148.1	i	.0	3
69.4	28	23.1	18	34.7	27	11.4	3
35.7	43	.0	32	.0	43	35.7	1
90.9	24	.0	32	10.9	3	.0	3
16.7	50	.0		16.7	41	.0	
102.9	.17	25.5		43.5	23	33.9	1
.0	51	.0	32	.0		.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	0	43	.0	3

<sup>\*</sup> The total number of paroless revoked and returned during a given year.

# APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1982 - 1985

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1902 ARRESTS, 1983 ARRESTS, AND 1984 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1902 THROUGH 1904 ARRESTS &

				PERCENT D	F DISPOSITION	S REPORTED					AVERASE PERC OF BISPOSE	
		1982 Arrest	5		1983 Arrest	5		1984 Arresi	is.		1982-84 AKRE	
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Misdeneanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeseaaor	Total	Felony	Misdeneanor
ALBANY	92.1	89.2	93,1	87.2	85.6	87.8	87.8	83.6	89.4	89.1	86.1	90.2
ALLEGANY	60.2	57.8	60.7	59.7	57.4	60.5	56.8	40.0	55.9	58.9	58.4	59.1
ERONI	90.5	91.8	88.7	89.9	91.7	87.4	84.3	87.6	84.7	88.8	90.3	B£.7
EROOME	80.2	78.7	80.7	48.7	70.0	68.3	80.9	82.9	B0.3	76.9	77.5	76.7
CATTARAUSUS	16.5	67.3	66.3	64.2	66.1	63.8	65.2	59.0	66.4	45.3	61.4	<b>65.5</b>
CAYUGA	73.9	76.0	73.5	72.0	72.7	71.8	, 65.4	70.1	64.3	70.2	72.7	49.7
CHAUTAUQUA	80.0	82.3	79.4	79.6	80.9	79.3	77.9	72.2	79.1	79.1	78.3	79.3
CHENUNG	83.8	89.7	81.9	80.9	79.5	81.4	79.2	84.1	77.8	81.3	84.6	80.4
CHENANGO	45,5	58.4	66.9	68.3	62.1	69.4	£5.0	58.9	1.43	46.2	59.7	67.4
CLINTON	75.2	45.3	77.7	43.5	41.5	64.0	75.7	76.0	75.7	71.3	67. <u>1</u>	72.3
COLUMBIA	19.0	72.0	48.0	71.6	73.3	71.0	74.3	75.5	73.9	71.7	73.5	71.1
CORTLAND	83.5	88.4	82.2	78.9	74.0	79.9	B1.0	31.8	80.9	81.2	82.2	81.0
DELAVARE	72.2	70.0	72.7	71.8	70.6	72.2	4.94	72.1	. 67.9	70.8	70.9	76.E
DUTCHESS	83.7	82.5	84.1	85.5	83.5	84.2	84.1	£1.1	B4.9	84.4	82.4	85.1
ERIE	87.7	85.3	88.4	78.8	80.4	78.3	82.3	B3.7	81.8	83.0	83.2	82 <b>. 9</b>
ESSEI	70.1	74.3	19.5	64.1	48.4	62. <b>6</b>	72.8	££.5	74.7	69.4	70.1	69.2
FRANKLIN	71.5	45.8	72.7	74.4	74.3	74.4	75.0	75.5	74.9	73.6	71.8	74.0
FULTON	B1.7	79.1	82.B	81.6	86.0	80.3	78.2	81.0	77.2	80.3	81.7	79.7
BEHESEE	69.4	72.5	69.7	65.3	72.5	43.9	47.5	71.8	66.5	67.6	72.3	86.7
GREENE	74.4	73.9	77.5	74.2	70.5	75.9	75.4	71.2	77.3	75.4	71.9	77.0
HAHILTON	57.6	64.3	54.7	52.4	£5.2	47.5	8.8	88.9	66.2	59.3	48.3	56.5
HERK I HER	54.9	45.3	52.5	51.0	47.4	51.9	61.2	67.2	59.7	55.8	59.7	54.8
JEFFERSON	48.4	80.1	64.1	71.3	77.2	69.4	70.9	75.5	69.2	70.2	77.6	67.6
kin65	90.1	71.5	88.2	87.1	88.0	85.9	81.5	82.1	80.7	86.1	9.48	84.9
LENIS	51.5	42.0	47.9	54.4	71.0	51.2	60.4	66.7	5B.8	55,8	65.9	53.1
LIVINGSTON	78.3	77.4	78.4	75.1	75.3	75.1	75.3	71.7	. 75 <b>.</b> 8	76.2	74.8	76.4
Madison	71.9	70.4	72.3	70.6	78.7	68.7	73.0	75.1	72.5	71.8	74.7	71.1
NONHOE	93.1	88.2	94.5	91.0	85.5	92.4	87.2	81.3	88.8	70.4	85.0	91.9
NONTBONERY	47.4	77.6	64.8	69.4	74.6	68.0	72.2	76.4	71.3	49.9	76.1	48.4
NASSAU	94.0	94.9	93.6	89.2	4.08	88.7	96.2	89,4	B4.9	87.8	91.7	89.0
MER ADKK	91.1	93.6	89.2	89.4	91.5	88.t	88.9	89.2	88.7	89.7	91.4	88.6
NIAGARA	93.8	90.8	94.8	92.7	90.8	93.4	89.9	88.4	90.3	92.1	70.1	92.8
DNEIDA	75.6	80.3	74.1	74.9	78.3	73.7	74.8	74.0	75.0	75.1	77.5	74.3
OKONDAGA	79.7	74.5	87.2	81.5	76.4	83.8	79.3	72.7	82.3	80.1	74.5	82.7
ONTARIO	72.2	84.1	69.5	74.3	75. <i>7</i>	74.0	71.2	73.3	70.7	72.6	78.1	71.5
DRANGE	79.1	77.4	79.4	76.4	75.4	76.7	79.4	76.3	80.3	78.2	76.3	. 78.8
ORLEANS	73.2	82.1	71.0	70.1	81.9	67.0	48.9	75.9	67.1	70.7	80.0	68.4
OSNEGO	73.0	71.9	73.3	75.8	76.0	75.8	78.8	76.3	79.2	76.0	74.8	76.3
DISEGO	80.7	75.7	B1.7	70.B	59.5	73.1	70.4	43.9	71.7	73.8	66.1	75.3
PUTNAM	78.5	40.4	82.3	76.0	59.6	79.3	81.7	42.B	84.0	78.7	40.9	82.4

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED						AVERAGE PERCENT					
1982 Arrests			1983 Arrests			1984 Arrests			1982-84 ARRESTS		
Total	Felony	Misdeneanor	Total	Felony	Ni sdeceanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor
<u></u>					- <del></del>	<del>,</del>	<del></del>				<del></del>
92.1	93.7	90.0	91.0	91.9	90.0	88.9	90.3	87.3	90.4	92.0	89.0
81.9	80.1	82.5	75.5	75.8	75.5	76.8	73.4	77.8	78.0	76.5	78.5
92.9	93.2	92.6	92.5	94.6	90.8	90.5	91.3	90.0	91.8	93.0	91.0
77.6	75.₺	78.5	76.4	77,2	76.1	70.6	72.4	69.9	74.7	75.1	74.6
44.8	46.2	48.2	59.7	30.2	£5.7	51.4	28.8	. 56.2	59.0	35.2	43.7
78.2	74.4	79.0	74.2	76.9	73.7	74.5	72.1	74.9	75.6	74.6	75.8
97.9	91.6	89.2	87.4	21.2	27.0	88.1	84.2	89.2	97.8	82.4	89.1
57,7	67.4	54.6	54.5	78.7	50.6	56.7	69.5	54.3	54.4	70.8	53.2
45.0	76.4	61.3	73.4	68.3	74.6	70.3	69.2	70.4	49.4	71.6	69.1
71.5	49.7	71.2	49.9	73.0	69.5	69.8	40.2	71.5	70.5	47.9	70.9
76.7	78.2	76.4	76.1	78.5	75.6	71.3	79.6	69.3	74.7	78.8	73.7
	4.04		75.2								76.4
			85.5								85.7
											72.9
											80.6
											67.5
											87.5
											54.1
								-			62.4
					•						73.B
											30.4
											45.5
											82.9
											87.8
	92.1 81.9 92.9 77.6 64.8 76.2 87.9 57.7	70tal Felony  72.1 73.7 81.9 80.1 92.9 93.2 77.4 75.4 44.8 44.2 78.2 74.4 87.8 81.6 57.7 67.4 45.0 76.4 71.5 69.7 76.7 78.2 48.8 60.6 83.9 80.2 72.9 73.4 78.1 70.9 48.4 48.0 87.4 88.5 55.3 62.9 42.3 40.9 80.8 80.4 36.4 41.1 46.5 75.0 85.9 88.3	Total         Felony         Hisdemeanor           92.1         73.3         90.0           81.9         80.1         82.5           92.9         93.2         92.6           77.6         75.6         78.5           44.8         46.2         68.2           78.2         74.4         79.0           87.9         81.6         89.2           55.7         67.4         54.6           45.0         76.4         61.3           71.5         69.7         71.8           76.7         78.2         76.4           68.8         60.6         71.9           83.9         80.2         85.1           72.9         73.6         72.8           78.1         70.9         79.7           68.4         48.0         68.5           87.6         88.6         87.4           55.3         62.9         53.1           62.3         40.9         62.5           80.8         80.4         81.0           36.4         41.1         30.2           46.5         75.0         63.8           85.9         88.3         84.4	Total         Felony         Misdeseancr         Total           92.1         73.7         90.0         91.0           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5           92.9         93.2         92.6         92.5           77.6         75.6         78.5         76.4           64.8         46.2         68.2         59.7           78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2           87.9         81.6         87.2         87.4           55.0         76.4         61.3         73.4           55.0         76.4         61.3         73.4           71.5         49.7         71.8         69.9           76.7         78.2         76.4         76.1           68.8         60.6         71.9         75.2           83.9         80.2         85.1         85.5           72.9         73.6         72.8         67.8           78.1         70.9         79.7         79.7           68.4         48.0         68.5         63.1           87.4         88.4         87.4         88.2           55.3         62.9         53.1         55.5	Total         Felony         Misdeseancr         Total         Falony           92.1         73.7         90.0         91.0         91.9           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5         75.8           92.9         93.2         92.6         92.5         94.6           77.6         75.6         78.5         76.4         77.2           44.8         46.2         68.2         59.7         30.2           78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         76.9           87.9         81.6         89.2         87.4         81.2           57.7         67.4         54.6         54.5         78.7           65.0         76.4         61.3         73.4         68.3           71.5         49.7         71.8         69.9         73.0           76.7         78.2         76.4         76.1         78.5           68.8         60.6         71.9         75.2         71.0           83.9         80.2         85.1         85.5         80.2           72.9         73.6         72.8         67.8         66.5           78.1         70.9         79.7         79.7	Total         Felony         Misdeseanor         Total         Falony         Misdeseanor           92.1         93.7         90.0         91.0         91.9         90.0           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5         75.8         75.5           92.9         93.2         92.6         92.5         94.6         90.8           77.4         75.6         78.5         76.4         77.2         76.1           44.8         46.2         68.2         59.7         30.2         65.7           78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         76.9         73.7           87.8         81.6         89.2         87.4         81.2         89.0           57.7         67.4         54.6         54.5         78.7         50.6           45.0         76.4         61.3         73.4         68.3         74.6           71.5         49.7         71.8         69.9         73.0         69.5           76.7         78.2         76.4         76.1         78.5         75.6           48.8         60.6         71.9         75.2         71.0         78.4           48.9         60.2	Total         Felony         Misdemeanar         Total         Falony         Misdemeanar         Total           92.1         93.7         90.0         91.0         91.9         90.0         88.8           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5         75.8         75.5         74.8           92.9         93.2         92.6         92.5         94.6         90.8         90.5           77.4         75.6         78.5         76.4         77.2         74.1         70.6           44.8         46.2         68.2         59.7         30.2         65.7         51.4           78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         76.9         73.7         74.5           87.8         81.6         89.2         87.4         81.2         89.0         88.1           57.7         67.4         75.2         76.7         50.6         56.7           45.0         76.4         51.3         73.4         48.3         74.6         70.3           71.5         49.7         71.8         49.9         73.0         69.5         49.8           76.7         78.2         76.4         76.1         78.5         75.6	Total         Felony         Misdeseanor         Total         Falony         Misdeseanor         Total         Felony           92.1         73.7         90.0         91.0         91.9         70.0         88.8         90.3           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5         75.8         75.5         76.8         73.4           92.9         93.2         92.6         92.5         94.6         90.8         90.5         91.3           77.6         75.6         78.5         76.4         77.2         76.1         70.6         72.4           44.8         46.2         68.2         59.7         30.2         65.7         51.4         28.8           78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         76.9         73.7         74.5         72.1           87.8         81.6         89.2         87.4         81.2         89.0         88.1         84.2           57.7         67.4         51.4         54.5         78.7         50.6         56.7         69.5           45.0         76.7         71.8         69.9         73.0         69.5         69.8         60.2           71.5         49.7         71.8 </td <td>  Total   Felony   Misdeseanor   Total   Felony   Misdeseanor   Total   Felony   Misdeseanor    </td> <td>Total         Felony         Misdeseanor         Total         Felony         Misdeseanor         Total         Felony         Misdeseanor         Total           92.1         93.7         90.0         91.0         91.9         90.0         88.8         90.3         87.3         90.6           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5         75.8         75.5         76.8         73.4         77.8         77.8         77.0         77.5         78.5         78.5         78.9         79.5         91.3         90.0         91.8         77.4         75.6         78.5         76.4         77.2         78.1         70.4         72.4         69.9         74.7         44.8         44.2         68.2         59.7         30.2         65.7         51.4         28.8         56.2         59.0         78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         76.9         73.7         74.5         72.1         74.7         74.7         88.6         56.2         59.0         78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         76.9         73.7         74.5         72.1         74.7         71.7         71.7         72.7         74.5         72.1         77.9         73.6         72.1</td> <td>Total         Felony         Misdeseancr         Total         Felony         Misdeseancr         Total         Felony         Misdeseancr         Total         Felony           92.1         93.7         90.0         91.0         91.9         90.0         88.8         90.3         87.3         90.6         92.0           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5         75.8         75.5         76.8         73.4         77.2         78.0         74.5           92.9         93.2         92.6         92.5         94.8         90.8         90.5         91.3         90.0         91.8         93.0           77.4         75.6         78.5         76.4         77.2         76.1         70.4         72.4         69.9         74.7         75.1           44.8         46.2         66.7         59.7         30.2         65.7         51.4         28.8         56.2         59.0         35.2           78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         74.9         73.7         74.5         72.1         74.9         75.4         74.9         75.4         74.5         72.1         74.9         75.4         74.5         72.1         74.5         72.1<!--</td--></td>	Total   Felony   Misdeseanor   Total   Felony   Misdeseanor   Total   Felony   Misdeseanor	Total         Felony         Misdeseanor         Total         Felony         Misdeseanor         Total         Felony         Misdeseanor         Total           92.1         93.7         90.0         91.0         91.9         90.0         88.8         90.3         87.3         90.6           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5         75.8         75.5         76.8         73.4         77.8         77.8         77.0         77.5         78.5         78.5         78.9         79.5         91.3         90.0         91.8         77.4         75.6         78.5         76.4         77.2         78.1         70.4         72.4         69.9         74.7         44.8         44.2         68.2         59.7         30.2         65.7         51.4         28.8         56.2         59.0         78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         76.9         73.7         74.5         72.1         74.7         74.7         88.6         56.2         59.0         78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         76.9         73.7         74.5         72.1         74.7         71.7         71.7         72.7         74.5         72.1         77.9         73.6         72.1	Total         Felony         Misdeseancr         Total         Felony         Misdeseancr         Total         Felony         Misdeseancr         Total         Felony           92.1         93.7         90.0         91.0         91.9         90.0         88.8         90.3         87.3         90.6         92.0           81.9         80.1         82.5         75.5         75.8         75.5         76.8         73.4         77.2         78.0         74.5           92.9         93.2         92.6         92.5         94.8         90.8         90.5         91.3         90.0         91.8         93.0           77.4         75.6         78.5         76.4         77.2         76.1         70.4         72.4         69.9         74.7         75.1           44.8         46.2         66.7         59.7         30.2         65.7         51.4         28.8         56.2         59.0         35.2           78.2         74.4         79.0         74.2         74.9         73.7         74.5         72.1         74.9         75.4         74.9         75.4         74.5         72.1         74.9         75.4         74.5         72.1         74.5         72.1 </td


<sup>\*</sup> This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1986. 'Interim' dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.


SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS

# NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES





APPENDIX E
VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

# VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES1

OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	a]]	D
Assault 1st <sup>2</sup>	120.10	all	C
Aggravated Assaylt on a			
Peace Officer <sup>2</sup>	120.11	00	В
Manslaughter 1st <sup>2</sup>	125.20	all	В В
Murder 2nd, Attempted	125.25	all	В
Dana 1st4	130.35	all	В
Sodomy 1st <sup>2</sup>	130.50	all	B D
Sexual Abuse 1st	130.65	all	D
Agg. Sexual Abyse <sup>2</sup>	130.70	all	В
Kidnapping 2nd <sup>2</sup>	135.20	00	В
Kidnapping 1st, Attempted	135.25	all	В
Burglary 2nd2	140.25	all	С
Burglary 1st <sup>2</sup>	140.30	all	В
· Arson 2nd <sup>2</sup>	150.15	all	В
Arson 1st, Attempted	150.20	all	В
Robbery 2nd2	160.10	all	C
Robbery 1st <sup>2</sup>	160.15	all	В
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd <sup>2</sup>	265.02	04,05	D
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd2	265.03	00	C
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st2	265.04	all	В
Crim. Use Firearm 2nd <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	265.08	all	C
Crim. Use Firearm 1st <sup>2</sup>	265.09	all	В
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00	D

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd Murder 1st, Including Attempted	125.25 125.27	all all	A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1
Kidnapping 1st Arson 1st	135.25 150.20	all all	
Crim. Poss Weapon 3rd <sup>3</sup>	265.02	00,01,02,03	ע

<sup>1</sup> Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Board of Prisoners. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- o <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of <u>commitment</u> for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- o Felons: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- o State Readies: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has notified the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

Community Contract Housing. The Department of Correctional Services had in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

Prison Transfer to State Facilities. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

# CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate, and these are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

Victim and Witness Assistance Program. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

Crime Prevention and Protection. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapidly increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971). When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing pre-sentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE (Continued)

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organized Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE (Continued)

receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buy-money". In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Section.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act $^{\perp}$ . Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulations, workshops and training seminars.

The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Prisoners' Legal Services. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

School Security Officer Program. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

O

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984, to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

Special Narcotics Court Program. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program (S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major

Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

Transit Crime Interdiction Program. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

Transit Strike Force. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the subway system. The crimes of homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

<u>Vera Institute</u>. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

## DIVISION OF PAROLE

Parole Resource Center. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) program provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

Parole Transitional Facilities. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve employment services performance.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs will be targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories.

## DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

County Planning for Alternatives to Incarceration (Classification Alternatives). Pursuant to Chapters 907 and 908, Laws of 1984, \$3,050,000 was appropriated in FY 1985-86 and made available to all counties and the City of New York for the establishment or expansion of alternatives to incarceration programs. To be eligible to receive state aid, and to be able to utilize a new classification system in the local jail, each county was required to establish an alternatives to incarceration advisory board and to undertake a planning process designed to identify the types of alternatives programming needed in the county. To date, 44 counties and the City of New York have submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and are receiving funds that support approximately 70 new or expanded programs. Based upon contractual objectives, it is estimated that more than 3,000 offenders will be diverted from jail sentences at the post-conviction stage, while another several thousand will be released while awaiting disposition through new or enhanced pre-trial release efforts.

Prior to the creation of the Division in 1985, this program was administered by the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Demonstration Projects. Demonstration projects generally fall into one of three program models: community service sentencing projects; individualized sentencing plan programs; and offender rehabilitation programs. In FY 1985-86, there were 28 such projects. During the current fiscal year, these 100% State funded programs are expected to provide services to more than 10,000 clients, approximately one-half of whom will be offenders whose case outcomes are directly impacted by program intervention.

Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; and felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for a probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this

# DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (Continued)

law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and their families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

Regular State Aid. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

### DIVISION FOR YOUTH

Community Based Organization. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

Community Care Program. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

Job Development. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living Support. Gives the Division the authority and financial capacity to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand the success of this program under statutory provisions which were modified during 1985 to permit expansion into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which we were formerly limited.

Special Delinquency Prevention Program. Provides 100 percent funding for community-hased youth services targeted at youth at risk of unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH (Continued)

Voluntary Agency Care. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psycho-social and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

## OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Salaries of District Attorneys. Pursuant to County Law §700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

APPENDIX G
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1

CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	aitures		Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57 <b>.</b> 6%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8	39,893.8
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>2</sup>	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,638.4
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,395.9	16.0%	119,898.9	93,671.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84.	FY 85-6 FY 86-7		
Board of Prisoners <sup>2</sup>							
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	14.8	NA			
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	40.0%			
Parole Violators	.0	.0	284.9	NA			
Community Contract Housing <sup>3</sup>	 . <del></del>	215.1	434.2	NA			
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0 .0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Victim Compensation Awards <sup>2</sup>	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5.5%	6,290.0	5,206.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	26.7%	498.6	760.3
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	72.5%	191.2	143.6
Sexual Assault	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	43.3
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative <sup>2</sup>	<b>400 404</b>	<b></b> 44	55.6	NA			
Crime Prevention and Protection <sup>3</sup>		175.0	.0	NA	110.0	.0	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	15.0%	2,090.9	2,133.0	
Indigent Parolee Prog.	722.1	834.8	956.0	32.4%	956.0	1,028.0	
Justice Assistance Act4		·	Bang <sub>1999</sub>	NA	1,013.1	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	3.3%	473.8	975.2	
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	13.4%	6,624.0	6,756.5	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>5</sup>		and man	. 4	NA		40 40	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

<sup>3</sup>No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>4</sup>FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

## Table 4 (continued)

## DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations
Program .	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0 4,818.1
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>6</sup>	ring see		1,395.0	NA	1,400.0 1,440.0
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>7</sup>	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	22.4%	16,153.0 16,789.5
Transit Crime Interdiction Program <sup>8</sup>	-00 GE	<b>~</b>		NA	1,340.6 1,486.0
Transit Crime Strike Force <sup>9</sup>	<del></del>	3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,404.4 3,353.9
Vera Institute <sup>10</sup>	***	<del>447 ***</del>	****	NA	100.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8 39,893.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

<sup>8</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>9</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

 $^{10}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriation		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Parole Resource Centers	398.0	511.4	477.0	19.8%	516.8	654.8	
Parole Transitional Facilities <sup>2</sup>	<b></b>	37.1	172.9	NA	309.1	496.1	
Employment Services Project <sup>3</sup>		<b></b>		NA		700.0	
STOP Program3			<b></b>	NA	<b>~</b>	525.0	
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

<sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives <sup>2</sup>	***			NA	444.9	.0	
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.5	13.1%	1,684.6	1,664.3	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	20.5%	1,652.5	3,399.3	
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	20.1%	11,694.5	13,574.8	
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,638.4	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
CITYWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	27.4%	15,526.6	9,723.5
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	-11.7%	2,152.6	2,213.0
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	28.9%	479.2	691.4
Special Delinquency Prevention Program <sup>2</sup>	4,630.0	.0	.0	-100.0%	0	.0
Voluntary Agency Care <sup>3</sup>	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	-17.5%	12,508.6	.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	-3.2%	27,644.9	14,041.7
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

APPENDIX H
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1
STATEWIDE<sup>1</sup> LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8 32,737.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0 3,702.5
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5 10,394.4
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives <sup>2</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5 1,100.0
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2 10,178.9
TOTAL	13,638.2	14,978.0	14,344.0	5.2%	48,470.0 58,112.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

<sup>20</sup>n April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>3</sup>The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropi	riations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners <sup>2</sup>		-				
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	.0	NA	42.0	51.5
Felons	.0	.0	.0	NA	18,559.6	22,770.1
Parole Violators	.0	.0	.0	NA	2,393.4	2,936.4
State Readies <sup>3</sup>			in en	NA	2,520.0	3,528.0
Community						
Contract Housing <sup>4</sup>		.0	.0	NA		. 400.0
Prisoner Transfer <sup>2</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	312.8	3,051.0
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8	32,737.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the lump-sum 'Statewide' appropriation for this program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Victim Compensation Awards <sup>2</sup>	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	2,803.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program		•				
Comprehensive <sup>3</sup>	.0	.0	, 0	NA	.0	899.5
TOTAL	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	3,702.5

<sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

<sup>2</sup>FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mathrm{At}$  the time of publication not all FY 1986-87 contracts had been awarded.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Indigent Parolee Prog.2	400 400	Auto and	<b>-0</b> 0 esp	NA	638.0	597.8	
Justice Assistance Act <sup>3</sup>	the time		-	NA	1,705.0	1,611.6	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	-25.5%	956.4	1,088.9	
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	13.4%	.0	.0	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act <sup>4</sup>		.0	.0	NA	.0	2,500.0	
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0	
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

# Table 4 (continued)

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program <sup>5</sup>	635.5	535.5	.0	-100.0%	500.0	500.0
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>6</sup>			.0	NA	.0	20.0
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>7</sup>	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	22.4%	2,224.2	766.8
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5	10,394.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

## Table 5

# DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives <sup>2</sup>			•••	NA ·	248.0	.0
Demonstration Projects	.0	.0	.0	NA	455.0	100.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0	.0	.0	NA	259.4	.0
PINS Program <sup>3</sup>		***	ing was	NA		1,000.0
TOTAL	0.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5	1,100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

2This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

3This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		and the second second				
	Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	-4 FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Community Care Initiative <sup>2</sup>			<b></b> 4	NA		219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	-6.2%	35.0	131.9
Special Legislative Contracts <sup>3</sup>	.0	.0	.0	NA	202.0	274.5
Voluntary Agency Care <sup>4</sup>	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	2.4%	12,092.2	9,553.5
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2	10,178.9


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

<sup>3</sup>programs for which addresses are unavailable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.



#### REFERENCES

- Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. 1983-84 New York State
  Statistical Yearbook. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at
  Albany, 1984.
- New York Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.
- New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.
- New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. Resident Employment Status and the Civilian Labor Force: January 1984 October 1986.
- New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.
- New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.
- New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services and the Office of Administrative Services.
- . New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).
- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.
- New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.
- New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.
- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

### ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Place a check mark next to the volume(s) you would like.

Volume	I	New York State
 Volume		Binghamton Region
		Buffalo Region
Volume		
 Volume	IV	Capital Region
 Volume	y	Elmira Region
 Volume	VI	Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region
Volume	VII	-Mid-Hudson Region
 Volume	IIIV	Mohawk Valley Region
Volume	IX	New York City Region
 Volume	X	Nothern Region
 Volume	XI	Rochester Region
 Volume	XII	Syracuse Region
		Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau,
 Volume	YIII	major metroportian Areas (Live, nontree, Massay)
		Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties)

Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to:

Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

NAME		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· .
TITLE			
AGENCY			
ADDRESS			
CITY	ST	ATE	ZIP

