

105445



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

LAWRENCE T. KURLANDER DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND COMMISSIONER

COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES: 1982-1985

Volume V

ELMIRA REGION

ALLEGANY COUNTY CHEMUNG COUNTY SCHUYLER COUNTY STEUBEN COUNTY TOMPKINS COUNTY NCJRS

Ļ

JUN 3 1987

ACQUISITIONS

OFFICE OF POLICY ANALYSIS, RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL SERVICES BARRY SAMPLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES

RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING MALCOLM WAGNER CINDY STOVER PATRICK LERNER CAROL RUSSO

JANUARY, 1987

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice 105445

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to the secretarial staff in the Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services, in particular to Joan Burgess and Donna Connelley, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

八大子 ちろう

Sec. Burkey

Second 1

1

CONTENTS

			Page	è(s)
INTRODUCTION				1
ORGANIZATION	OF EACH PROFILE			7
Section I Section II Section III Section IV Section V	Criminal Justice Agencies Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Local Assistance Funds Correctional Facilities			9 9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STA	TE PROFILE			13
COUNTY PROFI	ES FOR THE ELMIRA REGION			

Allegany County Chumung County Schuyler County Steuben County Tompkins County

ILLUSTRATION

NEW YORK STATE REGIONAL MAP

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A **EXPLANATORY NOTES**

A-1

5

Applycos		
Analyses		A-1
Section I	Criminal Justice Agencies	A-1
Section II	Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics	A-1
Section III	Crime & Criminal Justice Activity	
Section IV	inne a of miniar buscice Autivity	A-2
· · · · - -	Local Assistance Funds	A-2
Section V	Correctional Facilities	A-2

· · ·		Page(s)
APPENDIX B	CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985	B-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are compara to those in which the data were presented in Section III.	ble
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1982 - 1984	C-1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-10 F-11 F-13 F-15
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
REFERENCES		R-1

* Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.

vi

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

This is the third annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Each of the past publications, which reported only one year of data, was presented in a single volume. Because this current publication presents trend data for 1982 through 1985 and contains many new items of information, it was necessary to expand this publication to 13 volumes to accommodate the significantly larger amount of data presented.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the systems major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole The 1985 data are highlighted using population based rates and supervision. county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities. The 1985 data are also compared to the 1982 data.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from the "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section III. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile. To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u> <u>Profiles</u> publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.



¢,

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

Ê

ŧ

Î

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each county in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each is described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Population Race, Ethnicity, and Age Education Economy

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Table 2B	Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru June 1986
Table 2C	Arrests for Selected Offenses
Table 2D	Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs
Table 2E	Felony and Misdomeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses
Table 3A	Felony Indictments
Table 3B	Felony Indictments: January thru June 1986
Table 4A	Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests
Table 4B	Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests
Table 5A	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions

Table 5AFelony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions
Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor ArrestsTable 5BFelony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions
Resulting from Felony Arrests

-9-

٤.

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table Table		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and
		Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table	7A-1	Probation Department Intake, Investigation, and
		Pre-Disposition Supervision Cases
Table		Probation Supervision Cases
Table Table		Probation Revocations Clients Served by Correctional Alternative
lable	16	Programs
Tabla	0.4	County Inil and Donitantiany Admissions
Table Table		County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and
Table	00	Population
Table	٥A	New Court Commitments to State Prison
lable	34	(New York City and County Profiles)
Table	9A-1	Admissions to State Prison
		(New York State Profile)
Table	9A-2	New Court Commitments to State Prison
Table	OP	(New York State Profile) Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
lable	30	December 31
Table	9B-1	The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in
		State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC
	00	(New York City and County Profiles)
Table	9L	Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)

Table 10AParole Supervision CasesTable 10BRevoked and Returned Parolees

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

С

Table 1 Table 2	Agency Funding Summary Department of Correctional Services
Table 3	Crime Victims Board
Table 4	Division of Criminal Justice Services
Table 5	Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 6	Division of Parole
Table 7	Division for Youth

-10-

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

С

Table 1A	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary
Table 1B	Facilities Operating Budget Summary
Table 1C	Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

* Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county. NEW YORK STATE PROFILE

a l'actual de la compara

G

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

NEW YORK STATE

STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

> New York State Police Building 22 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Office of the Attorney General Department of Law The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

> Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Department of Correctional Services Building 2 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Office of Court Administration Agency Building 4 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

NEW YORK STATE

New York State covers an area of 47,377 square miles.

Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the State's 1980 resident population of 17,558,072 persons has changed -3.7 percent since 1970. The State's 1980 population density was 370.6 persons per square mile. The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the State indicates that it had a resident population of 17,782,752 persons.

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 16.5 percent of the State's population was non-white. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 9.5 percent of the population. Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.3 percent of the State's population.

Education

Among New York State's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 18.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade, and 18.0 percent had four or more years of college. In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 5.8 percent of the State's public high school population dropped out of school.

Economy

Personal per capita income in New York State was \$14,341 in 1984.* In 1985, a monthly average of 6.5 percent (about 544,000 persons) of the State's civilian labor force was unemployed. The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,395,344, or 7.9 percent of the State's population.*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

-17-

¢

Sansar sa

NEW YORK STATE

Table 1

.

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number	of Crimes		1985 Crimes	<i>d</i> 0
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	5,566.7	-12.4
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	928.6	-5.2
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	9.5	-16.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	. 5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	31.9	10.6
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	504.1	-16.7
AGGRAVATE ASSAULT	D 59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	383.0	14.5
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	4,638.1	-13.7
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	1,231.3	-25.0
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	2,808.9	-5.2
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	598.0	-22.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

ø

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	Number of Arrests					1985 Arrests Per 100,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985		Population	<u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	374,302	383,081	403,745	421,468		2,370.1	12.6
FELONY	141,679	141,302	149,329	151,227		850.4	6.7
VIOLENT	58,916	58,202	61,131	60,120		338.1	2.0
OTHER	82,763	83,100	88,198	91,107		512.3	10.1
MISDEMEANOR	232,623	241,779	254,416	270,241		1,519.7	16.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	219,367	4.5
FELONY	83,708	11.8
VIOLENT	32,892	12.4
OTHER	50,816	11.5
MISDEMEANOR	135,659	0.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

-20-

Ö

Ŀ

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

			of Arrest:		1985 Arrests Per 100,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	Population	<u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	7.5	-17.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,153	12.1	10.0
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,228	19,712	110.8	-1.7
AGGRAVATED				:		
ASSAULT	19,555	19,803	22,237	22,935	129.0	17.3
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,684	19,464	109.5	-20.5
LARCENY ²	67,506	66,893	65,809	68,200	383.5	1.0

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-21-

Ű

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS¹

		Number o	<u>F Arrests</u>		1985 Arrests	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	41,393	49,698	62,125	69,612	391.5	68.2
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	27,442	34,314	43,200	48,024	270.1	75.0
POSSESSION	18,009	23,769	30,515	34,336	193.1	90.7
SALE	9,433	10,545	12,685	13,688	77.0	45.1
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,951	15,384	18,925	21,588	121.4	54.7
POSSESSION	7,151	7,309	8,921	10,799	60.7	51.0
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,789	60.7	58.7

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

		Number o	f Arrests		1985 Arrests Per 1,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Licensed Drivers	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55,566	60,886	64,249	62,814	6.4	13.0
FELONY	3,184	3,747	3,031	3,054	0.3	-4.1
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,218	59,760	6.1	14.1

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹

	Nur	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	46,665 100.0%	46,130 100.0%	47,871 100.0%	49,511 100.0%	6.1
VIOLENT	24,531 52.6%	22,703 49.2%	22,801 47.6%	21,794 44.0%	-11.2
OTHER	22,134 47.4%	23,427 50.8%	25,070 52.4%	27,717 56.0%	25.2

¹Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	24,787	1.4	
VIOLENT	10,403	0.3	
OTHER	14,384	2.3	

¹See footnote in Table 3A above.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

$\begin{array}{c} \text{DISPOSITIONS} \\ \text{RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS}^1 \end{array}$

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	303,132 100.0%	328,254 100.0%	331,324 100.0%	352,442 100.0%	16.3		
CONVICTIONS	206,135 68.0%	229,096 69.8%	230,169 69.5%	240,395 68.2%	16.6		
ACQUITTALS	1,805 0.6%	2,060 0.6%	2,116 0.6%	2,217 0.6%	22.8		
DISMISSALS	86,580 28.6%	88,695 27.0%	91,170 27.5%	100,904 28.6%	16.5		
OTHER	8,612 2.8%	8,403 2.6%	7,869 2.4%	8,926 2.5%	3.6		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbo Re				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	118,189 100.0%	125,801 100.0%	125,716 100.0%	133,012 100.0%	12.5
CONVICTIONS	77,019 65.2%	84,870 67.5%	83,183 65.2%	85,623 64.4%	11.2
ACQUITTALS	1,294 1.1%	1,442 1.1%	1,492 1.2%	1,523 1.1%	17.7
DISMISSALS	35,379 29.9%	34,773 27.6%	36,099 28.7%	40,918 30.8%	15.7
OTHER	4,497 3.8%	4,716 3.7%	4,942 3.9%	4,948 3.7%	10.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbo	•			
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	206,135 100.0%	229,096 100.0%	230,169 100.0%	240,395 100.0%	16.6
FELONY	26,493 12.9%	31,849 13.9%	30,814 13.4%	33,128 13.8%	25.0
VIOLENT	10,416 5.1%	13,024 5.7%	11,996 5.2%	11,610 4.8%	11.5
OTHER	16,077 7.8%	18,825 8.2%	18,818 8.2%	21,518 9.0%	33.8
MISDEMEANOR	102,394 49.7%	110,607 48.3%	111,389 48.4%	116,567 48.5%	13.8
LESSER OFFENSES	77,248 37.5%	86,640 37.8%	87,966 38.2%	90,700 37.7%	17.4

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE₁CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	_1983	_1984	_ <u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	77,019 100.0%	84,870 100.0%	83,183 100.0%	85,623 100.0%	11.2			
FELONY	25,596 33.2%	30,846 36.3%	29,830 35.9%	31,778 37.1%	24.2			
VIOLENT	10,416 13.5%	13,024 15.3%	11,996 14.4%	11,610 13.6%	11.5			
OTHER	15,180 19.7%	17,822 21.0%	17,834 21.4%	20,168 23.6%	32.9			
MISDEMEANOR	37,679 48.9%	39,076 46.0%	37,744 45.4%	38,553 45.0%	2.3			
LESSER OFFENSES	13,744 17.8%	14,948 17.6%	15,609 18.8%	15,292 17.9%	11.3			

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983_	1984	<u>1985</u> ²				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.7				
LOCAL JAIL	26.7	26.9	29.2	31.2				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.2				
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	10.0	10.0				
FINES	32.3	32.7	33.0	31.5				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	22.3	21.2	19.0	18.3				

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions							
		82 Misd & Lesser		183 Misd & Lesser	white the second se	84 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser	
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	43.1	NA	44.2	NA	43.6	NA	41.6	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.2	14.3	29.0	13.5	31.7	13.8	34.0	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	14.2	1.2	15.8	1.2	
PROBATION	27.8	8.2	26.2	7.6	26.5	7.4	26.8	7.3	
FINES	0.8	36.9	1.2	37.8	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.4	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.2	25.4	1.1	24.4	1.1	21.8	1.1	21.0	

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number o	f Cases_		1985 Cases	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	841.1	-1.4
INVESTIGATIONS	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	863.4	15.1
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	156.4	10.1
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	707.0	16.2
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION						
CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	94.9	10.1
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	47.9	-7.8
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	47.1	37.1

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number c	of Cases		1985 Cases	01 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	261.9	15.6
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	37.3	-2.9
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	224.6	19.4
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	807.6	27.2
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	75.1	-1.8
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	732.5	31.2
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	608.0	36.7
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	40.5	2.1
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	567.5	40.1

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

-32-

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		lumber of	Revocati	ons	1985 Revocations	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 1,000 Probationers	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	47.5	36.3
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	56.5	23.6
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	46.6	38.1
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	24.3	54.3
NEW CONV.	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	22.3	23.9

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82_vs_85
ADMISSIONS	187,597	190,105	196,347	210,186	1182.0	12.0
UNSENTENCED	*	141,573	147,974	159,474	896.8	NA
SENTENCED	*	48,532	48,373	50,712	285.2	NA

* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

					1985 Inmates		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,260	*	19,011	19,646	110.5	13.8	
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,995	16,789	17,532	18,732	105.3	17.1	
DECEMBER 31 +OPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	105.3	14.0	
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,661	12,956	72.9	14.5	
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,347	5,766	32.4	12.6	

* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

-32

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 9A-1

ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

	1982	Number of 1983	Admissio 1984	ns1 1985_1	1985 Adm. Rate Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	. 87.6	22.3
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	4.2	71.4
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	.2	-37.1
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	10.7	55.2
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATOR	S 445	430	356	244	1.4	-45.2
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	1.0	23.6
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	.2	375.0

¹Preliminary data.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 9A-2

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>N</u>	Numbe ew Court (1983	er of Commitmen 1984	<u>1985</u> 1	1985 Commit. Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	39.3	5.6
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	4.9	-2.8
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	2.9	39.8
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	17.2	-9.3
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	7.2	35.2
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	3.8	15.3
OTHER	435	459	524	574	3.2	32.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	12.5	79.6
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	3.4	89.3
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	9.1	76.3
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	16.5	28.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	1.6	4.2

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmat te Prison 1983			Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,314	34,737	195.3	23.2
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	136.8	22.3
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	33.4	23.2
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	11.4	24.1
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	57.2	9.3
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	17.9	90.4
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	8.5	23.6
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	8.4	20.9
DRUG FELONIES ³	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	26.1	75.4
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	6.8	80.6
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	19.4	73.7
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,299	5,408	30.4	4.2
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 471	384	359	357	2.0	-24.2

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 9C

PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	1984	<u>1985</u>
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634

¹Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.
NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of	F Parolees 1984	<u> </u>	1985 Parolees Per 100,000 _Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	67.9	30.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	195.5	35.7
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	137.3	36.3

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982_	<u>Number_of</u> _ <u>1983_</u>	Parolees	1985	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	116.1	56.9
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	34.1	37.1
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	41.3	13.8
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	40.8	217.0

¹The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year. SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Service:	s 11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.6	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	88,102.2	45.1%	95,225.1	100,424.6
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229.2	2,887.1
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ²	n 32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1	115,221.3
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries	370.0	397.5	442.5	19.6%	887.9	5 930.0
TOTAL	191,127.6	214,652.3	243,399.1	27.3%	374,934.4	325,027.2

¹This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

²On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners						
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	618.2%	42.0	100.0
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	24.8%	18,559.6	19,300.0
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	155.1%	2,393.4	2,700.0
State Readies					2,000.0	2,000.0
Community Contract Housing ¹		311.8	873.3	NA		400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	487.7	300.1	275.8	- 43.4%	312.8	366.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.8	15,542.3	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0

¹This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

²This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ¹	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8.8%	8,009.0	12,509.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	62.2%	1,415.2	3,622.1
Court Related	407.8	406.7	719.9	76.5%	705.3	626.1
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	82.2%	360.2	561.7
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	26.4%	458.9	567.2
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	171.2%	246.5	613.0
Unallocated	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	2,583.0
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1

¹FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ¹	-		1,000.0	NA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Crime Prevention and Protection ²	-	1,420.5	1,585.7	NA	2,057.9	2,625.1
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	19.9%	4,068.3	4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Prog.	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	19.7%	1,594.0	1,625.8
Justice Assistance Act ³				NA	3,396.0	3,379.7
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention ⁴	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	- 6.9%	1,685.9	2,673.9
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	13.4%	11,274.3	11,499.8
Mobile Radio District Program	· .	1,904.3	3,600.6	NA	3,772.3	5,208.3

¹This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 2 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

and the second

actions

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁵		1,000.0	1,900.0	NA	1,900.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3
School Security Officer Program ⁶	هر من			÷-		415.0
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁷	635.5	535.5	309.8	- 51.3%	500.0	500.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0	4,818.1
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁸			2,499.4	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0

⁵This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

⁷In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

⁸This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Target Crime Initiative Program ^g	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	24.5%	49,875.4	50,279.5
Transit Crime Interdiction Program10					1,000.0	1,486.0
Transit Crime Strike Force ¹¹		3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,745.0	3,353.9
Vera Institute ¹²			~-	- -		100.0

TOTAL

60,722.3 72,900.4 88,102.2 45.1% 95,225.1 100,424.6

⁹This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹⁰This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

11This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹²This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Parole Resource Centers ¹	529.4	668.9	706.1	33.4%	798.4	1,035.8
Parole Transitional Facilities ²		37.1	286.9	NA	430.8	626.3
Employment Services Project ³	-					700.0
STOP Program ³	- **		. 		. 1 	525.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229.2	2,887.1

¹This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NEW YORK STATE

0

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	-% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹					3,050.0	3,050.0
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	197.9%	5,756.0	5,478.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	36.0%	5,848.8	8,087.6
PINS Program ²	· · ·			inger inge	1	1,000.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	20.2%	37,240.8	42,000.0
TOTAL	32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1
¹ This program was imple	emented in	FY 1985-	.86.	•		
² This program was imple	emented in	FY 1986-	.87.			

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

-48-

AT A PLAN

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		<u>FY 86-7</u>
Community-Based Organizations ¹	-48 - 54	. – – .	324.8	NA	318.0	466.5
Community Care Initiative ²			••• 'v=			500.0
Detention						
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	45.2%	23,900.0	13,600.0
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	- 7.5%	10,500.0	6,800.0
Job Development ²						697.6
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	32.2%	1,885.0	2,409.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	8.7%	13,482.1	13,351.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	66.9%	4,509.1	6,496.4

Operations Budget.

 $^{2}{\rm This}$ program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7 (continued)

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	•	Expend	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u> <u>FY 86-7</u>
Transitional Independent Living Support ³					2,200.0 2,200.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	14.4%	56,000.0 28,100.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	0.6%	78,400.0 40,600.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,110.0	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1 115,221.3

³This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

5

NEW YORK STATE

Table 1A

FACILITIES	CAPACITY	and	STAFF	SUMMARY	

	Capacity	Staff	
DOCS Facilities	37,907	20,585	
DFY Facilities	1,991	2,637	
TOTAL	39,898	23,222	

Table 1B

FACILITIES OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures	-	Approp	Appropriations			
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7			
DOCS Facilities	395,752.7	470,953.6	535,925.3	35.4%	582,831.7	666,604.6			
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	23.1%	72,359.8	78,541.4			
TOTAL	454,213.0	535,017.2	607,914.2	33.6%	655,191.5	745,146.0			

Table 1C

FACILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

FY 8	<u>3-4 FY</u>	84-5 T	otal FY	85-6 FY	06 7
					<u>86-7</u>
DOCS Facilities 103,8	20.0 174	,376.6 278	,196.6 252,	,643.1 68,6	62.0
DFY Facilities 5,0	89.5 3	,441.1 8	,530.6 3,	,793.5 4,5	03.5
TOTAL 108,9	09.5 177	,817.7 286	,727.2 256,	,436.6 73,1	65.5

-51-

COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE ELMIRA REGION

子宮、「「「「「「「」」」になった。

P

.

Allegany County Chemung County Schuyler County Steuben County Tompkins County SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

ALLEGANY COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Southern Tier East Regional Planning Development Board Fourth Floor, O'Neil Building State at Court Street Binghamton, New York 13901

New York State Division of Parole 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Court Street Belmont, New York 14813

Probation Department Court House Belmont, New York 14813

Public Defender's Office 93 North Main Street Wellsville, New York 14895-0511

Assigned Counsel Plan 85 North Main Street Wellsville, New York 14895-0511 Sheriff's Department 7 Court Street Belmont, New York 14813

Youth Bureau Room 207, Court House Belmont, New York 14813

County Court Court House Belmont, New York 14813

Family Court Court House Belmont, New York 14813 II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Allegany County is located in the Elmira region and Belmont is its county seat. In area the County covers 1,032 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 51,742 persons (ranked 47) has changed 11.4 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 50.1 persons per square mile (ranked 54). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 50,902 persons (ranked 47).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 0.8 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 57), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 60). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 37.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 1).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 14.6 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 46), and 13.6 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 30). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 2.6 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 58), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$8,301 in 1984 (ranked 62).* In 1985, a monthly average of 8.0 percent (about 1,600 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 18). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 4,541, or 8.8 percent of the population (ranked 2 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	04 - Channen
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	1,287	1,327	1,066	1,067	2,077.2	58	-17.1
VIOLENT	68	51	45	59	114.9	48	-13.2
MURDER	0	1	4	2	3.9	16	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	3	7	3	5,8	50	-40.0
ROBBERY	1	3	2	0	0.0	60	-100.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	62	44	32	54	105.1	43	-12.9
PROPERTY	1,219	1,276	1,021	1,008	1,962.3	57	-17.3
BURGLARY	426	457	338	321	624.9	46	-24.6
LARCENY	763	791	663	674	1,312.1	54	-11.7
MV THEFT	30	28	20	13	25.3	62	-56.7

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o 1983	<u>f Arrests</u> 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	596	688	606	564	1,108.0	62	-5.4
FELONY	102	162	125	116	227.9	62	13.7
VIOLENT	18	17	39	27	53.0	58	50.0
OTHER	84	145	86	89	174.8	62	6.0
MISDEMEANOR	494	526	481	448	880.1	60	-9.3

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	289	-4.6
FELONY	77	14.9
VIOLENT	16	60.0
OTHER	61	7.0
MISDEMEANOR	212	-10.2

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	Number of 1983	<u>Arrests</u> 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	0	1	1	4	7.9	4	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	0	2	1	0	0.0	56	NA
ROBBERY	0	1	1	0	0.0	56	NA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	4	5	11	15	29.5	42	275.0
BURGLARY	36	51	55	34	66.8	49	-5.6
LARCENY ²	90	133	84	100	196.5	56	11.1

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

					1985 Ar		
		Number of	Arrests		Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	0/ Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	5	17	14	12	23.6	56	140.0
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	3	8	1	3	5.9	58	0.0
POSSESSION	3	8	1	3	5.9	55	0.0
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	53	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	2	9	13	9	17.7	42	350.0
POSSESSION	0	7	12	3	5.9	56	NA
SALE	2	2	1	6	11.8	15	200.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

TABLE 2E

		Number of	<u>f Arrests</u>		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 Ised	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	139	177	202	158	5.2	54	13.7
FELONY	16	26	14	4	0.1	52	-75.0
MISDEMEANOR	123	151	188	154	5.1	51	25.2

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	32 100.0%	53 100.0%	51 100.0%	53 100.0%	65.6
VIOLENT	2 6.3%	2 3.8%	8 15.7%	10 18.9%	400.0
OTHER	30 93.8%	51 96.2%	43 84.3%	43 81.1%	43.3

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
26	23.8	
3	-40.0	
23	43.8	
	26 3	Number 85 vs 86 26 23.8 3 -40.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe				
	Fe1	<u>1983</u>	emeanor Arre	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	3 53 100.0%	363 100.0%	407 100.0%	320 100.0%	-9.3
CONVICTIONS	217 61.5%	239 65.8%	256 62.9%	215 67.2%	-0.9
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.5%	1 0.3%	NA
DISMISSALS	136 38.5%	123 33,9%	146 35.9%	103 32.2%	-24.3
OTHER	0 0.0%	1 0.3%	3 0.7%	1 0.3%	NA

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	65 100.0%	76 100.0%	95 100.0%	<mark>61</mark> 100.0%	-6.2
CONVICTIONS	50 76.9%	60 78.9%	85 89.5%	46 75.4%	-8.0
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	NA
DISMISSALS	15 23.1%	16 21.1%	9 9.5%	15 24.6%	0.0
OTHER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	217 100.0%	239 100.0%	256 100.0%	215 100.0%	-0.9
FELONY	35 16.1%	19 7.9%	42 16.4%	15 7.0%	-57.1
VIOLENT	1 0.5%	2 0.8%	3 1.2%	2 0.9%	100.0
OTHER	34 15.7%	17 7.1%	39 15.2%	13 6.0%	-61.8
MISDEMEANOR	166 76.5%	186 77.8%	165 64.5%	140 65.1%	-15.7
LESSER OFFENSES	16 7.4%	34 14.2%	49 19.1%	60 27.9%	275.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE₁CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	50 100.0%	60 100.0%	85 100.0%	46 100.0%	-8.0
FELONY	26 52.0%	18 30.0%	32 37.6%	14 30.4%	-46.2
VIOLENT	1 2.0%	2 3.3%	3 3.5%	2 4.3%	100.0
OTHER	25 50.0%	16 26.7%	29 34.1%	12 26.1%	-52.0
MISDEMEANOR	24 48.0%	39 65.0%	50 58.8%	30 65.2%	25.0
LESSER OFFENSES	0 0.0%	3 5.0%	3 3.5%	2 4.3%	NA

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985 </u> 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	2.8	0.4	2.0	1.4					
LOCAL JAIL	15.7	12.1	13.7	11.2					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.8	1.3	4.7	5.6					
PROBATION	20.3	19.2	22.7	16.7					
FINES	40.6	45.2	43.4	50.2					
OTHER/UNKNOWN	18.9	21.8	13.7	14.9					

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$ and misdemeanor and lesser offense convictions $^{\rm 1}$

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser			1983 Misd & Felony Lesser		<u>1984</u> Misd & Felony Lesser		<u>1985</u> Misd & <u>Felony</u> Lesser	
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	17.1	NA	5.3	NA	11.9	NA	20.0	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	2.9	18.1	10.5	12.3	14.3	13.6	13.3	11.0	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.9	1.6	0.0	1.4	21.4	1.4	20.0	4.5	
PROBATION	74.3	9.9	73.7	14.5	45.2	18.2	46.7	14.5	
FINES	2.9	47.8	0.0	49.1	0.0	51.9	0.0	54.0	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	22.5	10.5	22.7	7.1	15.0	0.0	16.0	

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases	1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	347	398	410	460	903.7	33	32.6
INVESTIGATIONS	167	201	208	202	396.8	58	21.0
FAMILY COURT	39	45	43	36	70.7	56	-7.7
CRIMINAL COURT	128	156	165	166	326.1	54	29.7
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	0	0	0	0	0.0	53	NA
FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	•	Number of	Cases	- 1.00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-	1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	% Channe	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	79	82	122	86	169.0	54	8.9
FAMILY COURT	10	18	19	15	29.5	48	50.0
CRIMINAL COURT	69	64	103	71	139.5	53	2.9
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	249	247	274	269	528.5	57	8.0
FAMILY COURT	30	29	34	30	58.9	48	0.0
CRIMINAL COURT	219	218	240	239	469.5	55	9.1
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	165	152	183	191	375.2	55	15.8
FAMILY COURT	11	15	15	11	21.6	54	0.0
CRIMINAL COURT	154	137	168	180	353.6	53	16.9

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	umber of	Revocatio	Revocat Per 1,	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	9	8	15	5	18.6	57	-44.4
FAMILY COURT	2	0	3	2	66.7	29	0.0
CRIMINAL COURT	7	8	12	3	12.6	59	-57.1
TECHNICAL	3	5	9	2	8.4	51	-33.3
NEW CONV.	4	3	3	1	4.2	56	-75.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 8A

	1982	1983	_1984_	_1985_	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	595	476	360	452	888.0	48	-24.0
UNSENTENCED	342	357	267	382	750.5	42	11.7
SENTENCED	253	119	93	70	137.5	56	-72.3

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	_1983_	_1984_	<u>1985</u>	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	35	36	35	35	68.8	35	0.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	26	26	26	26	51.1	44	0.0
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	25	23	22	23	45.2	44	-8.0
UNSENTENCED	8	12	11	11	21.6	47	37.5
SENTENCED	17	11	11	12	23.6	33	-29.4

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	New	Number <u>Court Co</u> 1983		<u>1985</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	8	1	4	4	7.9	60	-50.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	2	0	1	2	3.9	51	0.0
HOMICIDE	1	0	0	1	2.0	21	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	1	0	0.0	47	NA
ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	0.0	40	NA
BURGLARY	1	0	. 0	0	0.0	52	0.0
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	1	2.0	22	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	0	0	0	0.0	46	NA
POSSESSION	0	Ō	0	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA
OTHER FELONIES	б	1	3	1	2.0	59	-83.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 0	0	0	1	2.0	13	NA

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

		of Inmate Prison 1983	Inmate Under Cu on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
UNDER CUSTODY	20	10	7	6	11.8	61	-70.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	5	3	3	4	7.9	59	-20.0
HOMICIDE	1	1	1	2	3.9	53	100.0
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	2	1	2.0	55	0.0
ROBBERY	1	0	- 0	Ő	0.0	52	0.0
BURGLARY	1	1	0	0	0.0	54	0.0
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	1	0	0	· · 1, .	2.0	43	0.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	1	0	0	0	0.0	55	0.0
POSSESSION	1	0	0	0	0.0	42	0.0
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	51	NA
OTHER FELONIES	13	6	3	1	2.0	62	-92.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	. 1	1	1	1	2.0	18	0.0

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

 $^{1}\,\rm Inmates$ under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES				
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

A STATUS

ALLEGANY COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number of</u> _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _ <u>1984</u> _	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
RELEASES TO PAROLE	6	10	15	6	11.8	48	0.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	15	17	26	26	51.1	39	73.3
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	7	11	20	11	21.6	50	57.1

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	ions 000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2	0	0	1	38.5	42	-50.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	0	0	1	38.5	25	0.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	0	0	0	0.0	35	-100.0

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING BY FUNDING AGENCY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	10.8	6.0	16.9	55.6%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	58.5	65.7	66.1	13.1%	67.3	93.1
Division for Youth ²	101.2	105.3	143.9	42.2%	345.7	232.6
TOTAL	170.5	177.0	226.9	33.0%	412.9	325.7

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.
ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>3 FY 83-4 FY 8</u>		% Change 82 vs 94	FY 85-6	FY 86-7		
Board of Prisoners ¹								
Coram Nobis	.1	.1	.0	-100.0%				
Felons	10.6	6.0	16.8	58.3%				
Parole Violators	.0	.0	.0	NA				
Prisoner Transfer ¹	.1	.0	.0	-100.0%				
TOTAL	10.8	6.0	16.9	55.6%	.0	.0		

¹Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 4 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

and a strate of

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Classification Alternatives ¹				NA	.0	10.4		
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	3.3		
Regular State Aid	58.5	65.7	66.1	13.1%	67.3	79.4		
TOTAL	58.5	65.7	66.1	13.1%	67.3	93.1		

 $^{1}\mathrm{This}$ program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALLEGANY COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropria			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 82-3</u> <u>FY 83-4</u> <u>F</u>		% Change 82 vs 84				
Detention								
Non-Secure	.0	.0	.0	NA	1.8	1.7		
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	28.0	32.9	32.9	17.5%	32.9	32.9		
Voluntary Agency Care	15.3	10.9	36.0	135.5%	87.0	81.3		
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	57.9	61.5	75.0	29.5%	223.9	116.7		
TOTAL	101.2	105.3	143.9	42.2%	345.7	232.6		

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

. . 1

a se sintan

-bendern

1

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this County.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop E Headquarters Box 343 1569 Rochester Road Canandaigua, New York 14424

New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 226 Lake Street Elmira, New York 14901

Probation Department 203-209 William Street Elmira, New York 14901

Public Defender's Office 210 Lake Street Elmira, New York 14901 Sheriff's Department 203-209 William Street Elmira, New York 14901

Youth Bureau 425 Pennsylvania Avenue Elmira, New York 14902

County Court County Court House Elmira, New York 14901

Family Court 203-209 William Street Elmira, New York 14901

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Elmira Police Department 317 East Church Street Elmira, New York 14901

*Population 25,000 and above.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

<u>II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS</u>

Chemung County is located in the Elmira region and Elmira is its county seat. In area the County covers 411 square miles.

Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 97,656 persons (ranked 27) has changed -3.8 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 237.6 persons per square mile (ranked 20). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 91,992 persons (ranked 28).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 4.6 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 22), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.9 percent of the County's population (ranked 32). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 32.2 percent of the County's population (ranked 34).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 14.0 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 51), and 13.3 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 32). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 5.3 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 10), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

ンドロービー

ALC: NO.

Personal per capita income in the County was \$11,342 in 1984 (ranked 27).* In 1985, a monthly average of 8.3 percent (about 3,200 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 15). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 8,563, or 9.2 percent of the population (ranked 1 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

S. Strates

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number c	<u>f Crimes</u>		1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	<i>1</i> / Change
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	3,850	3,842	3,835	3,792	4,044.8	13	-1.5
VIOLENT	209	152	167	175	186.7	34	-16.3
MURDER	5	1	2	2	2.1	34	-60.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	11	10	13	18	19.2	23	63.6
ROBBERY	48	26	32	27	28.8	22	-43.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	145	115	120	128	136.5	33	-11.7
PROPERTY	3,641	3,690	3,668	3,617	3,858.1	13	-0.7
BURGLARY	780	790	884	785	837.3	21	0.6
LARCENY	2,792	2,793	2,696	2,754	2,937.6	5	-1.4
MV THEFT	69	107	88	78	83.2	39	13.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984</u>	1985_	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	1,736	1,726	1,708	1,784	1,939.3	29	2.8
FELONY	419	385	377	413	449.0	21	-1.4
VIOLENT	129	110	117	96	104.4	32	-25.6
OTHER	290	275	260	317	344.6	15	9.3
MISDEMEANOR	1,317	1,341	1,331	1,371	1,490.3	29	4.1

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	770	-12.5
FELONY	172	-20.4
VIOLENT	54	35.0
OTHER	118	-33.0
MISDEMEANOR	598	-9.9

Î

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984</u> _	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	4	1	0	1	1.1	45	-75.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	1	2	6	4	4.3	35	300.0
ROBBERY	13	19	8	6	6.5	41	-53.8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	39	43	32	31	33.7	35	-20.5
BURGLARY	127	93	133	123	133.7	9	-3.1
LARCENY ²	449	515	541	589	640.3	2	31.2

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

		<u>Number of</u>	² Arrests	 	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	Ø/ Channe
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	35	33	12	10	10.9	62	-71.4
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (Pを 220)	13	16	4	5	5.4	59	-61.5
POSSESSION	3	10	2	3	3.3	60	0.0
SALE	10	6	2	2	2.2	49	-80.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	22	17	8	5	5.4	60	-77.3
POSSESSION	10	4	2	4	4.3	58	-60.0
SALE	12	13	6	1	1.1	57	-91.7

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

		Number of	f Arrests	1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	424	407	339	315	5.2	53	-25.7
FELONY	57	41	31	42	0.7	17	-26.3
MISDEMEANOR	367	366	308	273	4.5	54	-25.6

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	409 100.0%	359 100.0%	343 100.0%	340 100.0%	-16.9
VIOLENT	117 28.6%	96 26.7%	94 27.4%	76 22.4%	-35.0
OTHER	292 71.4%	263 73.3%	249 72.6%	264 77.6%	-9.6

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	107	-39.2	
VIOLENT	31	-16.2	
OTHER	76	-45.3	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe				
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985 </u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	1,329 100.0%	1,497 100.0%	1,399 100.0%	1, 477 100.0%	11.1
CONVICTIONS	987 74.3%	1,117 74.6%	968 69.2%	1,110 75.2%	12.5
ACQUITTALS	8 0.6%	10 0.7%	7 0.5%	6 0.4%	-25.0
DISMISSALS	334 25.1%	369 24.6%	420 30.0%	359 24.3%	7.5
OTHER	0 0.0%	10.0%	4 0.3%	2 0.1%	NA

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

			t of Disposi Felony Arres		
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	328 100.0%	387 100.0%	333 100.0%	316 100.0%	-3.7
CONVICTIONS	300 91.5%	351 90.7%	299 89.8%	286 90.5%	-4.7
ACQUITTALS	3 0.9%	7 1.8%	2 0.6%	4 1.3%	33.3
DISMISSALS	25 7.6%	28 7.2%	31 9.3%	26 8.2%	4.0
OTHER	0 0.0%	1 0.3%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	NA
DISMISSALS	3 0.9% 25 7.6% 0	7 1.8% 28 7.2% 1	2 0.6% 31 9.3% 1	4 1.3% 26 8.2% 0	4.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

		er and Percen Resulti ony and Misde	ng from		
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	987 100.0%	1,117 100.0%	968 100.0%	1,110 100.0%	12.5
FELONY	257 26.0%	288 25.8%	221 22.8%	198 17.8%	-23.0
VIOLENT	61 6.2%	62 5.6%	68 7.0%	45 4.1%	-26.2
OTHER	196 19.9%	226 20.2%	153 15.8%	153 13.8%	-21.9
MISDEMEANOR	586 59.4%	621 55.6%	584 60.3%	746 67.2%	27.3
LESSER OFFENSES	144 14.6%	208 18.6%	163 16.8%	166 15.0%	15.3

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

のいちんたち

いたちによい

村村は出た

Sala Barren

Att Second

CHEMUNG COUNTY

III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

•		r and Percen			
	1982	1983		_ <u>1985</u> _2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	300 100.0%	351 100.0%	299 100.0%	286 100.0%	-4.7
FELONY	243 81.0%	270 76.9%	214 71.6%	191 66.8%	-21.4
VIOLENT	61 20.3%	62 17.7%	68 22.7%	45 15.7%	-26.2
OTHER	182 60.7%	208 59.3%	146 48.8%	146 51.0%	-19.8
MISDEMEANOR	49 16.3%	70 19.9%	65 21.7%	86 30.1%	75.5
LESSER OFFENSES	8 2.7%	11 3.1%	20 6.7%	9 3.1%	12.5

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> ²			
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
STATE PRISON	9.4	8.2	8.9	6.4			
LOCAL JAIL	14.7	15.6	13.9	12.8			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.1	4.2	5.6	4.9			
PROBATION	19.7	17.0	16.5	16.8			
FINES	34.7	40.6	40.8	42.9			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	18.4	14.3	14.3	16.3			

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$ AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions							
	<u>1982</u> Misd & <u>Felony Lesser</u>		1983 Misd & Felony Lesser		<u>1984</u> Misd & Felony Lesser		<u>1985</u> Misd & Felony Lesser		
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	36.2	NA	31.9	NA	38.9	NA	35.9	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	13.6	15.1	15.3	15.7	14.5	13.8	11.6	13.0	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	9.7	0.8	11.1	1.8	16.7	2.3	16.2	2.4	
PROBATION	35.4	14.1	32.6	11.6	25.3	13.9	32.8	13.3	
FINES	0.8	46.6	5.6	52.8	0.9	52.6	2.0	51.8	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	4.3	23.4	3.5	18.1	3.6	17.4	1.5	19.5	

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

	Anna an	<u>Number of</u>	Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	1,401	1,739	1,808	1,837	1,996.9	3	31.1
INVESTIGATIONS	802	850	894	1,100	1,195.8	7	37.2
FAMILY COURT	176	162	213	215	233.7	13	22.2
CRIMINAL COURT	626	688	681	885	962.0	6	41.4
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	91	96	75	122	132.6	14	34.1
FAMILY COURT	2	3	7	2	2.2	38	0.0
CRIMINAL COURT	89	93	68	120	130.4	10	34.8

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	- <u></u>	Number of	Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	<i>«</i> 0
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	331	395	366	350	380.5	5	5.7
FAMILY COURT	73	85	76	100	108.7	2	37.0
CRIMINAL COURT	258	310	290	250	271.8	10	-3.1
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	1,095	1,147	1,205	1,189	1,292.5	2	8.6
FAMILY COURT	142	159	171	176	191.3	2	23.9
CRIMINAL COURT	953	988	1,034	1,013	1,101.2	3	6.3
UNDER PROBATION							
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	752	839	839	892	969.6	2	18.6
FAMILY COURT	74	95	76	108	117.4	2	45.9
CRIMINAL COURT	678	744	763	784	852.2	4	15.6

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SCURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

うちょう

1000

Tons?

カモンないでく

Participan in

Negal Negal

NUA SEE

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probationers					
	1982	1983	1984	_1985_	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	45	59	61	66	55.5	15	46.7
FAMILY COURT	9	14	12	20	113.6	13	122.2
CRIMINAL COURT	36	45	49	46	45.4	23	27.8
TECHNICAL	28	36	37	38	37.5	12	35.7
NEW CONV.	8	9	12	8	7.9	53	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS 1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 Rate Rank <u>82 vs 85</u> ADMISSIONS 1,190.3 31 5.7 1,036 1,130 1,077 1,095 8.7 UNSENTENCED 676 720 676 735 799.0 34

410

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

360

SENTENCED

TABLE 8B

401

360

391.3

19

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	_1984_	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	78	78	78	92	100.0	13	17.9
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	74	83	80	81	88.1	11	9.5
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	78	87	74	100	108.7	8	28.2
UNSENTENCED	40	38	30	42	45.7	17	5.0
SENTENCED	38	49	44	58	63.0	2	52.6

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8A

-

0.0

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>Nev</u>	Numbe <u>V Court Cu</u> 1983		<u>s</u> 1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	68	73	67	52	56.5	9	-23.5
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	34	29	31	27	29.4	6	-20.6
HOMICIDE	1	3	1	2	2.2	17	100.0
SEX OFFENSE	11	5	10	7	7.6	3	-36.4
ROBBERY	6	4	5	1	1.1	37	-83.3
BURGLARY	5	12	10	8	8.7	11	60.0
WEAPONS	5	1	0	4	4.3	4	-20.0
OTHER	6	4	5	5	5.4	7	-16.7
DRUG FELONIES ³	1	8	4	0	0.0	46	0.0
POSSESSION	1	2	0	0	0.0	32	0.0
SALE	0	6	4	0	0.0	42	NA
OTHER FELONIES	33	36	28	24	26.1	5	-27.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 0	0	4	1	1.1	31	NA

¹Preliminary data.

1

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABL	E	9B
------	---	----

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

					Inmat Under C on 12/3	ust.	
		of Inmate <u>e Prison</u> <u>1983</u>			Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
UNDER CUSTODY	182	175	193	172	187.0	5	-5.5
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	92	96	111	109	118.5	5	18.5
HOMICIDE	16	19	20	22	23.9	6	37.5
SEX OFFENSE	20	21	31	29	31.5	1	45.0
ROBBERY	20	17	17	17	18.5	14	-15.0
BURGLARY	14	17	25	26	28.3	2	85.7
WEAPONS	7	5	2	4	4.3	9	-42.9
OTHER	15	17	16	11	12.0	8	-26.7
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	7	8	2	2.2	47	0.0
POSSESSION	1	2	2	1	1.1	41	0.0
SALE	1	5	6	1	1.1	48	0.0
OTHER FELONIES	84	71	70	57	62.0	3	-32.1
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 4	1	4	4	4.3	6	0.0

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of	Parolees 1984	_1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	46	62	35	52	56.5	8	13.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	102	129	120	114	123.9	10	11.8
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	67	85	62	74	80.4	11	10.4

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	<u>Number of</u> _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	ions 000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	15	16	22	18	157.9	4	20.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	3	2	3	2	17.5	24	-33.3
NEW ARRESTS	10	10	12	11	96.5	2	10.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	2	4	7	5	43.9	7	150.0

 $^{1}\ensuremath{\mathsf{The}}$ total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

a fan fan fan fan fan fan fan fan fan fa	ای همی منطقه میکند. منبع وجود منطقه میکند. زیرونیز میکند.	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	102.9	105.3	71.2	-30.8%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	43.5	41.9	49.3	13.5%	48.1	14.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	113.1	128.5	174.2	54.0%	201.3	183.5
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	311.0	329.7	348.4	12.0%	357.4	376.7
Division for Youth ²	461.4	385.3	662.4	43.6%	1,205.8	797.0
TOTAL	1,031.9	990.8	1,305.6	26.5%	1,812.6	1,371.1

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners ¹						
Coram Nobis	.0	.1	.0	NA		
Felons	86.2	91.0	60.8	-29.4%		
Parole Violators	14.1	12.7	9.3	-33.9%		
Prisoner Transfer	2.6	1.6	1.0	-60.6%		
TOTAL	102.9	105.3	71.2	-30.8%	.0	.0

 $^{1}\mbox{Local}$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	43.5	41.9	49.3	13.5%	48.1	14.0

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 84</u>	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Indigent Parolee Prog. ¹	8.2	6.5	10.1	23.8%		·
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ²		.0	25.0	NA	30.0	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ³			.0	NA	26.7	29.3
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁴	104.9	122.0	139.1	32.6%	144.6	154.2
TOTAL	113.1	128.5	174.2	54.0%	201.3	183.5

¹Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 2 This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁴This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Londer of Sec.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹	~~~			NA	21.6	22.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	52.7	56.4	56.9	7.9%	55.3	56.9
Regular State Aid	258.2	273.3	291.5	12.9%	280.5	297.3
TOTAL	311.0	329.7	348.4	12.0%	357.4	376.7

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Ţ

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

۵۳۵٬۵۵۵٬۵۵۵٬۵۵۵٬۵۵۵٬۵۵۹٬۵۶۹٬۵۶۹٬۵۶۹٬۵۶۹٬۵۶	······································	Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Detention						
Non-Secure	75.3	42.4	164.3	118.1%	148.1	123.4
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	14.0	13.8	.0	-100.0%	.0	.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	56.0	62.2	62.2	10.9%	60.8	62.2
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	.0	NA	2.5	50.0
Voluntary Agency Care	73.9	35.0	200.3	171.0%	394.6	259.3
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	242.1	232.0	235.7	-2.7%	599.8	302.2
TOTAL	461.4	385.3	662.4	43.6%	1,205.8	797.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.
CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 1ACAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARYCapacityStaffDOCS Facilities1,764779DFY Facilities74TOTAL1,771783

Table 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
DOCS Facilities	20,272.9	21,969.2	23,590.9	16.4%	23,492.2	26,277.6
DFY Facilities	116.8	133.6	149.2	27.7%	141.7	161.3
TOTAL						

Table 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Approp	Appropriations		
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
DOCS Facilities	4,900.9	3,449.5	8,350.4	841.9	731.0		
DFY Facilities	.0	.0	.0	12.5	.0		
TOTAL	4,900.9	3,449.5	8,350.4	854.4	731.0		

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security _Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
Elmira	Maximum	1,764	779	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 28

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Facility	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Elmira	20,272.9	21,969.2	23,590.9	16.4%	23,492.2	26,277.6

Ŕ

2

Sk.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	ΞΕ	xpenditures	Appropriations		
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Elmira	4,900.9	3,449.5	8,350.4	841.9	731.0

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Level ¹	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Elmira Community Urban Home	VI	7	4	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Facility	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Elmira Community Urban Home	116.8	133.6	149.2	27.7%	141.7	161.3

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

2.00 A

. ۲.

The second

- Service -

- House Bro

A4 8.2

CHEMUNG COUNTY

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Elmira Community Urban Home	.0	.0	.0	12.5	.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop E Headquarters Box 343, 1569 Rochester Road Canandaigua, New York 14424 New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 148 Watkins Glen, New York 14891

Probation Department Box 269 111 Ninth Street Watkins Glen, New York 14891

Public Defender's Office P.O. Box 181 Odessa, New York 14869

A STATE OF STATE

SPECIAL ST

No. of Lot of Lo

のためのから

STATISTICS.

Sheriff's Department 918 North Franklin Street Watkins Glen, New York 14891

Youth Bureau Rural Urban Center Montour Falls, New York 14865

County Court Court House Watkins Glen, New York 14891

Family Court Court House Watkins Glen, New York 14891 SCHUYLER COUNTY

<u>II _ DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS</u>

Schuyler County is located in the Elmira region and Watkins Glen is its county seat. In area the County covers 329 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 17,686 persons (ranked 61) has changed 5.7 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 53.8 persons per square mile (ranked 53). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 17,511 persons (ranked 61).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 0.8 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 58), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 57). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 34.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 21).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 17.1 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 29), and 11.3 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 48). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.1 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 52), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$9,565 in 1984 (ranked 54).* In 1985, a monthly average of 7.4 percent (about 600 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 30). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 870, or 4.9 percent of the population (ranked 21 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	<i>a</i> , o,				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	377	363	359	307	1,729.9	60	-18.6
VIOLENT	5	8	3	14	78.9	56	180.0
MURDER	2	0	0	0	0.0	50	-100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	0	1	0	0	0.0	61	NA
ROBBERY	1	2	0	3	16.9	34	200.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	2	5	3	11	62.0	55	450.0
PROPERTY	372	355	356	293	1,651.0	59	-21.2
BURGLARY	121	137	100	97	546.6	51	-19.8
LARCENY	238	205	247	186	1,048.1	61	-21.8
MV THEFT	13	13	9	10	56.3	56	-23.1

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 2A

	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984_</u>	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	294	304	327	338	1,930.2	30	15.0
FELONY	72	60	65	73	416.9	24	1.4
VIOLENT	18	15	18	26	148.5	16	44.4
OTHER	54	45	47	47	268.4	34	-13.0
MISDEMEANOR	222	244	262	265	1,513.3	27	19.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	4
ARRESTS	158	-8.1	
FELONY	30	11.1	
VIOLENT	9	-35.7	
OTHER	21	61.5	
MISDEMEANOR	128	-11.7	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	_1982	Number of	<u>F Arrests</u> _ <u>1984_</u>	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	0	0	0	0	0.0	49	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	1	0	0	5	28.6	1	400.0
ROBBERY	1	0	3	3	17.1	19	200.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	6	8	. 1	4	22.8	52	-33.3
BURGLARY	27	18	20	17	97.1	29	-37.0
LARCENY ²	40	27	30	44	251.3	49	10.0

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

4

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS¹

		Number of	Arrests	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	<i>4</i> 01	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	1	6	3	6	34.3	48	500.0
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	0	1	1	0	0.0	62	NA
POSSESSION	0	1	1	0	0.0	61	NA
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	53	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	1	5	2	6	34.3	18	500.0
POSSESSION	1	3	2	3	17.1	26	200.0
SALE	0	2	0	3	17.1	9	NA

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

hange <u>vs 85</u>	
12.7	
33.3	
20.9	

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nu	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	30 100.0%	22 100.0%	22 100.0%	28 100.0%	-6.7
VIOLENT	4 13.3%	3 13.6%	5 22.7%	9 32.1%	125.0
OTHER	26 86.7%	19 86.4%	17 77.3%	19 67.9%	-26.9
	86.7%	86.4%	11.3%	67.9%	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

		% Change	
	Number	Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	12	-47.8	
VIOLENT	7	-12.5	
OTHER	5	-66.7	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

$\begin{array}{c} \text{DISPOSITIONS} \\ \text{RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS}^1 \end{array}$

	Numbe		t of Disposi	tions	
			ng from	. 1.	
	Fel	ony and Misd	emeanor Arre	sts	d. Chamma
	1982	1983	_1984_	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	185 100.0%	223 100.0%	212 100.0%	254 100.0%	37.3
CONVICTIONS	140 75.7%	188 84.3%	161 75.9%	214 84.3%	52.9
ACQUITTALS	2 1.1%	1 0.4%	2 0.9%	1 0.4%	-50.0
DISMISSALS	43 23.2%	32 14.3%	46 21.7%	38 15.0%	-11.6
OTHER	0 0.0%	2 0.9%	3 1.4%	1 0.4%	NA

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

の中部は日本

test x8e

Strain and

Ì

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests				
	1982	_1983_	_1984_	2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DISPOSITIONS	56 100.0%	51 100.0%	32 100.0%	53 100.0%	-5.4	
CONVICTIONS	40 71.4%	45 88.2%	29 90.6%	51 96.2%	27.5	
ACQUITTALS	2 3.6%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0	
DISMISSALS	14 25.0%	4 7.8%	3 9.4%	2 3.8%	-85.7	
OTHER	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA	

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
CONVICTIONS	140 100.0%	188 100.0%	161 100.0%	214 100.0%	52.9		
FELONY	1 4 10.0%	7 3.7%	7 4.3%	13 6.1%	-7.1		
VIOLENT	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	3 1.9%	4 1.9%	300.0		
OTHER	13 9.3%	7 3.7%	4 2.5%	9 4.2%	-30.8		
MISDEMEANOR	96 68.6%	1 44 76.6%	105 65.2%	156 72.9%	62.5		
LESSER OFFENSES	30 21.4%	37 19.7%	49 30.4%	45 21.0%	50.0		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE:

File.

Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res				
	1982	_1983_		_ <u>1985_</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	40 100.0%	45 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 100.0%	27.5
FELONY	1 4 35.0%	7 15.6%	7 24.1%	13 25.5%	-7.1
VIOLENT	1 2.5%	0 0.0%	3 10.3%	4 7.8%	300.0
OTHER	13 32.5%	7 15.6%	4 13.8%	9 17.6%	-30.8
MISDEMEANOR	20 50.0%	36 80.0%	22 75.9%	34 66.7%	70.0
LESSER OFFENSES	6 15.0%	2 4.4%	0 0.0%	4 7.8%	-33.3

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

	Sente						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2			
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
STATE PRISON	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.5			
LOCAL JAIL	10.0	4.3	3.7	7.5			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	5.0	8.5	5.6	5.6			
PROBATION	23.6	29.3	23.6	28.0			
FINES	45.7	47.9	56.5	49.5			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	13.6	10.1	10.6	8.9			

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹

		Ser	ntences a	s a Per	<u>cent of C</u>	<u>onvicti</u>	ons	
	198 I Felony I	1isd &		<u>83</u> Misd & Lesser		84 Misd & Lesser	<u>19</u> Felony	985 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	21.4	NA	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	7.7	NA
LOCAL JAIL	21.4	8.7	0.0	4.4	28.6	2.6	23.1	6.5
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	7.1	4.8	71.4	6.1	71.4	2.6	53.8	2.5
PROBATION	42.9	21.4	28.6	29.3	0.0	24.7	15.4	28.9
FINES	7.1	50.0	0.0	49.7	0.0	59.1	0.0	52.7
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	15.1	0.0	10.5	0.0	11.0	0.0	9.5

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

Per 100,000 <u>Number of Cases</u> <u>Population</u>		
	hange vs 85	
INTAKE ¹ 603 709 692 606 3,460.7 1	0.5	
INVESTIGATIONS 213 205 166 215 1,227.8 6	0.9	
FAMILY COURT 91 80 48 65 371.2 5 -	28.6	
CRIMINAL COURT 122 125 118 150 856.6 9	23.0	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION		
CASES RECEIVED 0 1 0 2 11.4 44	NA	
FAMILY COURT 0 1 0 2 11.4 26	NA	
CRIMINAL COURT 0 0 0 0 0.0 42	NA	

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases	مداهر بر بدارین برانی	1985 Ca Per 100 Populat	% Change	
	1982	1983	_1984_	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	54	67	55	85	485.4	1	57.4
FAMILY COURT	3	8	3	11	62.8	11	266.7
CRIMINAL COURT	51	59	52	74	422.6	1	45.1
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	172	192	190	205	1,170.7	4	19.2
FAMILY COURT	15	13	9	14	79.9	35	-6.7
CRIMINAL COURT	157	179	181	191	1,090.7	4	21.7
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	125	135	120	129	736.7	9	3.2
FAMILY COURT	5	6	3	6	34.3	38	20.0
CRIMINAL COURT	120	129	117	123	702.4	9	2.5

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

Service State

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	lumber of	Revocatio	ons	198 Revocat Per 1, <u>Probati</u>	ions 000	
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	11	4	14	9	43.9	33	-18.2
FAMILY COURT	1	0	1	3	214.3	1	200.0
CRIMINAL COURT	10	4	13	6	31.4	45	-40.0
TECHNICAL	9	4	10	5	26.2	24	-44.4
NEW CONV.	1	0	3	1	5.2	55	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 8A

····							
	1982	_1983_	_1984	_1985_	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	342	321	199	323	1,844.6	3	-5.6
UNSENTENCED	269	268	132	258	1,473.4	4	-4.1
SENTENCED	73	53	67	65	371.2	21	-11.0

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982		_1984_	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	21	21	21	21	119.9	9	0.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	26	18	16	18	102.8	5	-30.8
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	18	9	15	23	131.3	2	27.8
UNSENTENCED	6	4	7	21	119.9	2	250.0
SENTENCED	12	5	8	2	11.4	55	-83.3

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>New</u>	Number Court Co 1983	of ommitments 1984	<u>1985</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	2	1	0	3	17.1	52	50.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	0	1	0	0	0.0	60	NA
HOMICIDE	0	1	0	0	0.0	36	NA
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	0	0	0.0	47	NA
ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	0.0	40	NA
BURGLARY	0	0	0	0	0.0	52	NA
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0.0	40	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	0	0	0	0.0	46	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA
OTHER FELONIES	2	0	0	3	17.1	14	50.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 0	0	0	0	0.0	41	NA

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER $CUSTODY^1$ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmates <u>e Prison (</u> <u>1983</u>			Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	8	5	2	4.	22.8	56	-50.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	1	2	1	1	5.7	60	0.0
HOMICIDE	1	2	1	1	5.7	46	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	0	0	0.0	59	NA
ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	0.0	52	NA
BURGLARY	0	0	0	0	0.0	54	NA
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0.0	52	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	0	0	0	0.0	55	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA
SALE	0	0	0	0	0.0	51	NA
OTHER FELONIES	7	3	1	3	17.1	38	-57.1
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	S 0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

 $^{1}\,{\rm Inmates}$ under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Î

Ľ

Ŕ

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	_1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%
······································			,	

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number of 1983</u>	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	4	8	5	6	34.3	18	50.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	11	15	17	18	102.8	16	63.6
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	7	12	12	11	62.8	21	57.1

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		Number of Pa	arolees		1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u>	ions 000	% Change
	<u>1982</u>	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	1	1	1	1	55.6	35	0.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	1	1	1	55.6	13	0.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	35	NA

 $^{1}\ensuremath{\mathsf{The}}$ total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Î

Ĩ

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	_Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Department of Correctional Services	8.8	14.7	20.1	128.4%	.0	.0		
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0		
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0		
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0		
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	35.6	39.5	40.4	13.4%	68.6	59.0		
Division for Youth ²	85.1	84.3	66.3	-22.2%	158.7	113.4		
TOTAL	129.5	138.5	126.8	-2.1%	227.3	172.4		

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures	······································	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Board of Prisoners							
Coram Nobis ¹	.0	.2	.4	NA			
Felons ¹	8.8	11.4	18.2	107.0%			
Parole Violators ¹	.0	3.1	1.5	NA			
Prisoner Transfer	.0	.0	.0	NA			
TOTAL	8.8	14.7	20.1	128.4%	.0	.0	

 $^1 \rm Local$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Ĩ

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 4 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Î

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Classification Alternatives ¹				NA	8.5	10.4	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	1.2	
Regular State Aid	35.6	39.5	40.4	13.4%	60.1	47.4	
TOTAL	35.6	39.5	40.4	13.4%	68.6	59.0	

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

ġ

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Detention							
Non-Secure	15.0	5.0	2.5	-83.4%	1.5	2.4	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	9.4	9.8	9.8	4.7%	9.8	9.8	
Voluntary Agency Care	28.3	36.9	23.3	-17.6%	62.8	55.8	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	32.4	32.6	30.6	-5.6%	84.7	45.4	
TOTAL	85.1	84.3	66.3	-22.2%	158.7	113.4	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

1 Sa.+

「たいれたけない」

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Monterey-Camp	Minimum	162	72	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Monterey-Camp	1,871.0	2,020.7	2,181.4	16.6%	2,272.1	2,506.9

SCHUYLER COUNTY

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			<u>Appropriations</u>		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Monterey-Camp	294.6	110.4	404.9	29.0	256.0		

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.
1

STEUBEN COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop E Headquarters Box 343, 1569 Rochester Road Canandaigua, New York 14424 New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 672 Watkins Glen, New York 14819

> Probation Department P.O. Box 31 Bath, New York 14810

Public Defender's Office Box 525 12 West Pulteney Square West Bath, New York 14810

Assigned Counsel Plan Box 525 12 West Pulteney Square West Bath, New York 14810 Sheriff's Department Steuben County Jail Bath, New York 14810

Youth Bureau 149 Pine Street Corning, New York 14830

County Court Court House Bath, New York 14810

Family Court P.O. Box 708 County Office Building Bath, New York 14810 <u>II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS</u>

STEUBEN_COUNTY

Steuben County is located in the Elmira region and Bath is its county seat. In area the County covers 1,396 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 99,217 persons (ranked 26) has changed -0.3 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 71.1 persons per square mile (ranked 42). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 97,342 persons (ranked 26).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 1.4 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 41), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 59). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 33.2 percent of the County's population (ranked 27).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 17.0 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 30), and 13.2 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 35). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.9 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 33), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

all of the second

ADV-DAV

STALLAND .

STATES IN

HIGHNAN

Personal per capita income in the County was \$10,747 in 1984 (ranked 36).* In 1985, a monthly average of 7.3 percent (about 2,900 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 31). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 6,321, or 6.4 percent of the population (ranked 9 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	Number of Crimes				1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	% Change	
	1982	1983	1984		Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	2,533	2,729	2,479	2,497	2,505.4	45	-1.4
VIOLENT	154	204	218	255	255.9	26	65.6
MURDER	3	0	3	0	0.0	50	-100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	12	7	6	8	8.0	46	-33.3
ROBBERY	11	12	11	18	18.1	31	63.6
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	128	185	198	229	229.8	19	78.9
PROPERTY	2,379	2,525	2,261	2,242	2,249.5	47	-5.8
BURGLARY	674	670	581	539	540.8	53	-20.0
LARCENY	1,623	1,770	1,613	1,611	1,616.4	40	-0.7
MV THEFT	82	85	67	92	92.3	33	12.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

				•	1985 Ar		
	1982	Number c	of Arrests _1984_	1985	Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>		% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	1,224	1,308	1,314	1,402	1,440.3	53	14.5
FELONY	225	265	255	291	298.9	54	29.3
VIOLENT	61	76	70	65	66.8	52	6.6
OTHER	164	189	185	226	232.2	50	37.8
MISDEMEANOR	999	1,043	1,059	1,111	1,141.3	49	11.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	739	6.9
FELONY	157	24.6
VIOLENT	28	-24.3
OTHER	129	44.9
MISDEMEANOR	582	3.0

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	Number o 1983	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984</u> _	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	1	0	2	1	1.0	46	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	7	4	2	4	4.1	38	-42.9
ROBBERY	3	6	6	4	4.1	46	33.3
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	14	17	19	22	22.6	53	57.1
BURGLARY	90	101	79	62	63.7	52	-31.1
LARCENY ²	221	316	296	283	290.7	39	28.1

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

6

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

	Number of Arrests				1985 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>		% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	15	31	14	27	27.7	53	80.0
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	7	26	4	8	8.2	55	14.3
POSSESSION	6	18	3	8	8.2	52	33.3
SALE	1	8	1	0	0.0	53	-100.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	8	5	10	19	19.5	38	137.5
POSSESSION	4	5	7	17	17.5	23	325.0
SALE	4	0	3	2	2.1	54	-50.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES 1

		Number of	F Arrests		1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	_1983_	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	405	361	410	420	6.4 44	3.7
FELONY	22	35	37	38	0.6 27	72.7
MISDEMEANOR	383	326	373	382	5.8 44	-0.3

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	125 100.0%	134 100.0%	131 100.0%	119 100.0%	-4.8
VIOLENT	27 21.6%	31 23.1%	36 27.5%	33 27.7%	22.2
OTHER	98 78.4%	103 76.9%	95 72.5%	86 72.3%	-12.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	78	27.9	
VIOLENT	14	-22.2	
OTHER	64	48.8	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 4A

$\begin{array}{c} \text{DISPOSITIONS} \\ \text{RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS}^1 \end{array}$

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	_ <u>1983_</u>	<u>_1984_</u>	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	8 50 100,0%	1,048 100.0%	979 100.0%	978 100.0%	15.1		
CONVICTIONS	597 70,2%	788 75.2%	751 76.7%	701 71.7%	17.4		
ACQUITTALS	4 0.5%	2 0.2%	5 0.5%	7 0.7%	75.0		
DISMISSALS	247 29.1%	251 24.0%	218 22.3%	265 27.1%	7.3		
OTHER	2 0.2%	7 0.7%	5 0.5%	5 0.5%	150.0		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

5

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

		tions ts			
	<u></u>	ulting from <u>1983</u>	<u>_1984_</u>	<u>_1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	175 100.0%	201 100.0%	203 100.0%	196 100.0%	12.0
CONVICTIONS	1 47 84.0%	173 86.1%	1 76 86.7%	150 76.5%	2.0
ACQUITTALS	1 0.6%	1 0.5%	2 1.0%	6 3.1%	500.0
DISMISSALS	26 14.9%	24 11.9%	23 11.3%	38 19.4%	46.2
OTHER	1 0.6%	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	100.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	_1983_	_1984_	_ <u>1985</u> _2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
CONVICTIONS	597 100.0%	788 100.0%	75 1 100.0%	701 100.0%	17.4		
FELONY	57 9.5%	89 11.3%	90 12.0%	70 10.0%	22.8		
VIOLENT	16 2.7%	19 2.4%	25 3.3%	14 2.0%	-12.5		
OTHER	41 6.9%	70 8.9%	65 8.7%	56 8.0%	36.6		
MISDEMEANOR	363 60.8%	408 51.8%	383 51.0%	354 50.5%	-2.5		
LESSER OFFENSES	177 29.6%	291 36.9%	278 37.0%	277 39.5%	56.5		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	147 100.0%	173 100.0%	176 100.0%	150 100.0%	2.0
FELONY	54 36.7%	81 46.8%	78 44.3%	60 40.0%	11.1
VIOLENT	16 10.9%	19 11.0%	25 14.2%	14 9.3%	-12.5
OTHER	38 25.9%	62 35.8%	53 30.1%	46 30.7%	21.1
MISDEMEANOR	91 61.9%	86 49.7%	90 51.1%	86 57.3%	-5.5
LESSER OFFENSES	2 1.4%	6 3.5%	8 4.5%	4 2.7%	100.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	4.0	3.4	4.1	2.6				
LOCAL JAIL	10.2	7.7	10.9	10.7				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.2	3.4	5.9	4.6				
PROBATION	13.9	14.3	10.9	11.0				
FINES	53.1	59.6	55.7	53.8				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	14.6	11.4	12.5	17.4				

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS and misdemeanor and lesser offense convictions ${\bf 1}$

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
		82 Misd & Lesser		<u>83</u> Misd & Lesser		84 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
STATE PRISON	42.1	NA	30.3	NA	34.4	NA	25.7	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	12.3	10.0	11.2	7.3	8,9	11.2	17.1	10.0		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	22.8	2.2	22.5	1.0	30.0	2.6	37.1	1.0		
PROBATION	22.8	13.0	34.8	11.7	24.4	9.1	18.6	10.1		
FINES	0.0	58.7	1.1	67.1	1.1	63.1	0.0	59.7		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	16.1	0.0	12.9	1.1	14.1	1.4	19.2		

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases	1985 Ca Per 100 Populat	a. 01		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	775	824	862	1,034	1,062.2	28	33.4
INVESTIGATIONS	672	610	591	760	780.8	23	13.1
FAMILY COURT	213	157	141	191	196.2	20	-10.3
CRIMINAL COURT	459	453	450	569	584.5	25	24.0
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	156	149	209	256	263.0	5	64.1
FAMILY COURT	124	132	169	152	156.2	3	22.6
CRIMINAL COURT	32	17	40	104	106.8	15	225.0

 1 Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	217	250	227	196	201.4	46	-9.7
FAMILY COURT	37	44	42	32	32.9	45	-13.5
CRIMINAL COURT	180	206	185	164	168.5	44	-8.9
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	628	695	668	652	669.8	44	3.8
FAMILY COURT	68	78	80	74	76.0	37	8.8
CRIMINAL COURT	560	617	588	578	593.8	40	3.2
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	445	441	456	442	454.1	44	-0.7
FAMILY COURT	34	38	42	33	33.9	40	-2.9
CRIMINAL COURT	411	403	414	409	420.2	43	-0.5

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 7B

1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probationers % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 82 vs 85 Rate Rank REVOCATIONS 31 28 35 38 58.3 12 22.6 FAMILY COURT 7 3 7 6 81.1 23 -14.3 CRIMINAL COURT 24 25 28 32 55.4 12 33.3 TECHNICAL 17 12 21 24 41.5 8 41.2 NEW CONV. 7 7 13 8 13.8 37 14.3

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

distant is

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

					1985 Adm. Per 100,000		
	1982	1983	_1984_	1985	<u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	<u>10n</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,012	989	818	937	962.6	43	-7.4
UNSENTENCED	848	798	612	757	777.7	36	-10.7
SENTENCED	164	191	206	180	184.9	48	9.8

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8	E
---------	---

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	<u>1983 </u>	1984	1985	1985 Inmates Per 100,000 <u>Population</u> <u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	54	54	54	49	50.3 49	-9.3
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	65	60	61	66	67.8 31	1.5
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	67	59	62	57	58.6 32	-14,9
UNSENTENCED	43	40	33	43	44.2 18	0.0
SENTENCED	24	19	29	14	14.4 50	-41.7

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>New</u>	Numbe <u>Court C</u> 1983	r of <u>ommitment:</u> _ <u>1984_</u>	s1 19851	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	30	27	23	24	24.7	36	-20.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	15	10	11	11	11.3	32	-26.7
HOMICIDE	6	0	0	0	0.0	36	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	1	5	2	4	4.1	15	300.0
ROBBERY	1	1	2	0	0.0	40	0.0
BURGLARY	÷ 6	4	2	5	5.1	24	-16.7
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	1	0	5	2	2.1	20	100.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	1	6	1	0	0.0	46	0.0
POSSESSION	0	1	0	0	0.0	32	NA
SALE	1	5	1	0	0.0	42	0.0
OTHER FELONIES	13	9	11	10	10.3	39	-23.1
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 1	2	0	3	3.1	8	200.0

¹Preliminary data.

日本のようとうと言い

Sales and

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

	Number <u>in Stat</u> 1982	of Inmates te Prison c _1983_	Under C <u>n Decemb</u> 1984_	ustody er <u>31</u> 1985	Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	68	73	67	68	69.9	31	0.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	32	37	40	44	45.2	25	37.5
HOMICIDE	13	13	12	12	12.3	26	-7.7
SEX OFFENSE	6	9	12	14	14.4	8	133.3
ROBBERY	2	3	3	2	2.1	46	0.0
BURGLARY	8	11	8	10	10.3	26	25.0
WEAPONS	1	1	0	0	0.0	32	0.0
OTHER	2	0	5	6	6.2	21	200.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	8	6	3	3.1	43	50.0
POSSESSION	1	2	0	0	0.0	42	0.0
SALE	1	6	6	3	3.1	30	200.0
OTHER FELONIES	25	22	18	18	18.5	35	-28.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	9	6	3	3	3.1	9	~66.7

 $^{1}\,\textsc{Inmates}$ under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

STEUBEN COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number_of</u> _ <u>1983_</u>	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Parolees Per 100,000 <u>Population</u> <u>Rate Rank</u>		% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
RELEASES TO PAROLE	22	12	20	22	22.6	35	0.0	
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	42	38	42	53	54.4	36	26.2	
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	26	22	31	38	39.0	31	46.2	

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1	Number_of	Parolees		1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u>	% Change	
	<u>1982</u>	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	3	4	3	1	18.9	49	-66.7
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	. 1	0	0.0	32	NA
NEW ARRESTS	3	4	1	1	18.9	40	-66.7
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	1	0	0.0	35	NA

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	oriations_	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Department of Correctional Services	20.9	36.5	53.2	154.9%	.0	.0	
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0		
Division of Criminal Justice Services	56.6	72.8	107.3	89.6%	101.2	89.4	
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹ Division for Youth ²	176.7 314.0	192.1 316.3	209.8 306.6	18.7% -2.4%	235.0 872.6	256.3 533.2	
TOTAL	568.2	617.7	676.9	19.1%	1,208.9	878.9	

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners						
Coram Nobis ¹	.7	.3	.1	-80.9%		
Felons ¹	16.7	29.3	43.4	159.8%		
Parole Violators ¹	3.2	6.7	8.2	156.3%		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	.3	.2	1.4	457.3%		
TOTAL	20.9	36.5	53.2	154.9%	.0	.0

 $^{1}\mbox{Local}$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

STEUBEN COUNTY

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

european -

and the second

10.50

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act1		.0	20.2	NA	15.0	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ²		-	4.2	NA		
Target Crime Initiative Program ³	56.6	72.8	82.9	46.5%	86.2	89.4
TOTAL	56.6	72.8	107.3	89.6%	101.2	89.4

¹This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-37 contracts had not yet been awarded.

²In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

4572

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives ¹				NA	15.9	17.6	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	26.3	26.7	31.3	18.9%	35.1	36.7	
Regular State Aid	150.4	165.4	178.5	18.7%	184.0	202.0	
TOTAI_	176.7	192.1	209.8	18.7%	235.0	256.3	

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

STEUBEN COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Detention						
Non-Secure	72.0	44.0	46.9	-34.9%	111.8	70.5
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	48.3	37.6	53.8	11.4%	63.9	62.6
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	61.0
Voluntary Agency Care	17.9	54.3	30.9	73.0%	250.1	108.8
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	175.9	180.4	175.0	5%	446.7	230.4
TOTAL	314.0	316.3	306.6	-2.4%	872.6	533.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

of the second contraction of the

and the second se

17

STEUBEN COUNTY

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this County.

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop C Headquarters Box 300 Sidney, New York 13838 New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 326 County Court House Ithaca, New York 14850

Probation Department Building 8, Biggs Center 1283 Trumansburg Road Ithaca, New York 14850

Assigned Counsel Plan 305 Clinton House 103 West Seneca Street Ithaca, New York 14850 Sheriff's Department 125 East Court Street Ithaca, New York 14850

Youth Bureau 1287 Trumansburg Road Ithaca, New York 14850

County Court Court House North Tioga Street Ithaca, New York 14850

Family Court Court House North Tioga Street Ithaca, New York 14850

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Ithaca Police Department 120 East Clinton Street Ithaca, New York 14850

*Population 25,000 and above.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Tompkins County is located in the Elmira region and Ithaca is its county seat. In area the County covers 477 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 87,085 persons (ranked 30) has changed 13.0 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 182.6 persons per square mile (ranked 24). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 88,150 persons (ranked 30).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 5.5 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 19), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 1.5 percent of the County's population (ranked 19). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 31.2 percent of the County's population (ranked 46).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 8.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 62), and 36.3 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 1). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.7 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 39), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

ALC: NO

Personal per capita income in the County was \$10,437 in 1984 (ranked 42).* In 1985, a monthly average of 3.7 percent (about 1,600 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 59). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 3,368, or 3.8 percent of the population (ranked 30 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes		1985 Crimes Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
INDEX CRIME	5,009	4,480	4,607	5,047	5,726.4	5	0.8	
VIOLENT	84	102	174	114	129.3	43	35.7	
MURDER	3	3	1	1	1.1	46	-66.7	
FORCIBLE RAPE	18	12	20	10	11.3	33	-44.4	
ROBBERY	24	22	22	19	21.6	26	-20.8	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	39	65	131	84	95.3	45	115.4	
PROPERTY	4,925	4,378	4,433	4,933	5,597.1	2	0.2	
BURGLARY	1,476	1,202	1,213	1,182	1,341.1	6	-19.9	
LARCENY	3,294	3,061	3,119	3,637	4,126.6	2	10.4	
MV THEFT	155	115	101	114	129.3	26	-26.5	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	<u>Number c</u> 1983	of Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	1,634	1,578	1,455	1,753	1,988.7	23	7.3
FELONY	292	268	243	290	329.0	43	-0.7
VIOLENT	77	68	66	94	106.6	28	22.1
OTHER	215	200	177	196	222.3	54	-8.8
MISDEMEANOR	1,342	1,310	1,212	1,463	1,659.7	15	9.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	804	-3.4
FELONY	147	-5.8
VIOLENT	47	2.2
OTHER	100	-9.1
MISDEMEANOR	657	-2.8

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	Number of	Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	4	2	2	l	1.1	43	-75.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	7	3	7	6	6.8	20	-14.3
ROBBERY	7	8	5	13	14.7	21	85.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	22	24	23	19	21.6	55	-13.6
BURGLARY	82	71	78	80	90.8	33	-2.4
LARCENY ²	331	343	322	380	431.1	10	14.8

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

	Number of Arrests				1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	29	35	21	39	44.2	41	34.5
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	18	13	10	22	25.0	43	22.2
POSSESSION	13	7	7	13	14.7	45	0.0
SALE	5	6	3	9	10.2	27	80.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	11	22	11	17	19.3	40	54.5
POSSESSION	9	15	9	16	18.2	21	77.8
SALE	2	7	2	1	1.1	56	-50.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).
TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

		Number of	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 sed	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	584	491	438	397	7.7	37	-32.0
FELONY	14	20	7	5	0.1	54	-64.3
MISDEMEANOR	570	471	431	392	7.6	32	-31.2

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	175 100.0%	137 100.0%	151 100.0%	135 100.0%	-22.9
VIOLENT	44 25.1%	30 21.9%	33 21.9%	37 27.4%	-15.9
OTHER	131 74.9%	107 78.1%	118 78.1%	98 72.6%	-25.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	74	-10.8	
VIOLENT	19	0.0	
OTHER	55	-14.1	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

		tions sts			
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	1,043 100.0%	1,378 100.0%	1,218 100.0%	1,263 100.0%	21.1
CONVICTIONS	613 58.8%	919 66.7%	740 60.8%	764 60.5%	24.6
ACQUITTALS	5 0.5%	8 0.6%	6 0.5%	11 0.9%	120.0
DISMISSALS	417 40.0%	447 32.4%	467 38.3%	469 37.1%	12.5
OTHER	8 0.8%	4 0.3%	5 0.4%	19 1.5%	137.5

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	_1985_ ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	172 100.0%	214 100.0%	214 100.0%	221 100.0%	28.5		
CONVICTIONS	103 59.9%	133 62.1%	144 67.3%	150 67.9%	45.6		
ACQUITTALS	3 1.7%	5 2.3%	3 1.4%	4 1.8%	33.3		
DISMISSALS	63 36.6%	76 35.5%	65 30.4%	61 27.6%	-3.2		
OTHER	3 1.7%	0 0.0%	2 0.9%	6 2.7%	100.0		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe				
		<u>1983</u>	meanor Arres	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	613 100.0%	919 100.0%	740 100.0%	764 100.0%	24.6
FELONY	59 9,6%	85 9.2%	71 9.6%	98 12.8%	66.1
VIOLENT	11 1.8%	15 1.6%	8 1.1%	21 2.7%	90.9
OTHER	48 7.8%	70 7.6%	63 8.5%	77 10.1%	60.4
MISDEMEANOR	264 43.1%	336 36.6%	312 42.2%	296 38.7%	12.1
LESSER OFFENSES	290 47.3%	498 54.2%	357 48.2%	370 48.4%	27.6

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

No. of Contraction

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE₁CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
CONVICTIONS	103 100.0%	133 100.0%	144 100.0%	150 100.0%	45.6		
FELONY	51 49.5%	66 49.6%	60 41.7%	76 50.7%	49.0		
VIOLENT	11 10.7%	15 11.3%	8 5.6%	21 14.0%	90.9		
OTHER	40 38.8%	51 38.3%	52 36.1%	55 36.7%	37.5		
MISDEMEANOR	48 46.6%	61 45.9%	76 52.8%	73 48.7%	52.1		
LESSER OFFENSES	4 3.9%	6 4.5%	8 5.6%	1 0.7%	-75.0		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sent	<u>ences as a Percent</u>	t of Total Conv	victions	·
	1982	_1983_	1984		<u>1985</u> ²
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
STATE PRISON	2.0	1.2	1.6		3.5
LOCAL JAIL	10.0	6.3	9.5		7.7
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.3	2.4	1.8		2.6
PROBATION	10.9	11.0	12.3		15.1
FINES	58.2	63.9	53,0		47.0
OTHER/UNKNOWN	16.6	15.2	21.9		24.1

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$ and misdemeanor and lesser offense convictions $^{\rm 1}$

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions							
		82 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	83 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		84 Misd & Lesser	19 <u>Felony</u>	985 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	20.3	NA	12.9	NA	16.9	NA	27.6	NA
LOCAL JAIL	16.9	9.2	8.2	6.1	5.6	9.9	8.2	7.7
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	15.3	0.9	17.6	0.8	11.3	0.7	14.3	0.9
PROBATION	44.1	7.4	54.1	6.6	49.3	8.4	43.9	10.8
FINES	1.7	64.3	5.9	69.8	11.3	57.4	0.0	53.9
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.7	18.2	1.2	16.7	5.6	23.6	6.1	26.7

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

*

污

9

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		<u>Number of</u>	Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	01 Ch
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	539	514	582	473	536.6	50	-12.2
INVESTIGATIONS	511	603	588	783	888.3	13	53.2
FAMILY COURT	176	200	165	124	140.7	32	-29.5
CRIMINAL COURT	335	403	423	659	747.6	14	96.7
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	315	222	246	292	331.3	2	-7.3
FAMILY COURT	18	17	4	1	1.1	43	-94.4
CRIMINAL COURT	297	205	242	291	330.1	1	-2.0

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	% Channe
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	130	190	170	196	222.3	41	50.8
FAMILY COURT	25	41	26	22	25.0	51	-12.0
CRIMINAL COURT	105	149	144	174	197.4	33	65.7
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	420	479	530	613	695.4	37	46.0
FAMILY COURT	88	79	63	53	60.1	46	-39.8
CRIMINAL COURT	332	400	467	560	635.3	31	68.7
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	289	360	417	452	512.8	34	56.4
FAMILY COURT	38	37	31	20	22.7	52	-47.4
CRIMINAL COURT	251	323	386	432	490.1	28	72.1

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Supported.

Station of the local division of the local d

Distance in the last

Real Property in

Statisticsio

identification

NUMBER OF STREET

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 7B

1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probationers % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 Rate 82 vs 85 Rank REVOCATIONS 13 8 14 28 45.7 30 115.4 FAMILY COURT 5 5 5 94.3 4 18 0.0 CRIMINAL COURT 8 3 23 41.1 32 187,5 10 TECHNICAL 7 1 6 17 30.4 20 142.9 NEW CONV. 2 1 4 6 10.7 44 500.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

TOMPKINS COUNTY

1. Ma

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983_	_1984	1985	1985 Adm. Per 100,000 <u>Population</u> <u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,220	1,157	1,140	1,226	1,390.8 19	0.5
UNSENTENCED	1,084	1,028	1,015	1,103	1,251.3 10	1.8
SENTENCED	136	129	125	123	139.5 54	-9.6

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	33	33	33	33	37.4	53	0.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	33	30	31	43	48.8	50	30.3
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	29	28	30	38	43.1	48	31.0
UNSENTENCED	17	13	16	23	26.1	43	35.3
SENTENCED	12	15	14	15	17.0	44	25.0

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>New</u>	Numbe Court Co 1983	r of ommitment _1984_	<u>s</u> 1 19851	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	15	8	11	20	22.7	41	33.3
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	8	2	3	8	9.1	37	0.0
HOMICIDE	0	1	2	0	0.0	36	NA
SEX OFFENSE	4	1	0	4	4.5	10	0.0
ROBBERY	1	0	1	0	0.0	40	0.0
BURGLARY	3	0	0	3	3.4	34	0.0
WEAPONS	0	0	. 0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	1	1.1	36	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	3	0	3	2	2.3	33	-33.3
POSSESSION	0	0	1	1	1.1	28	NA
SALE	3	0	2	1	1.1	39	-66.7
OTHER FELONIES	3	6	5	10	11.3	35	233.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 1	0	0	0	0.0	41	0.0

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TA	BL	Ε	9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

				Under C	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85		
			es Under <u>on Decem</u> <u>1984</u>		Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	34	32	35	50	56.7	37	47.1
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	20	17	18	25	28.4	42	25.0
HOMICIDE	2	3	6	6	6.8	40	200.0
SEX OFFENSE	9	8	6	11	12.5	12	22.2
ROBBERY	1	0	1	1	1.1	50	0.0
BURGLARY	7	5	4	5	5.7	41	-28.6
WEAPONS	1	1	1	1	1.1	27	0.0
OTHER	0	0	0	1	1.1	51	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	3	2	5	6	6.8	24	100.0
POSSESSION	0	0	1	2	2.3	29	NA
SALE	3	2	4	4	4.5	21	33.3
OTHER FELONIES	9	13	11	19	21.6	28	111.1
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 2	0	1	0	0.0	42	0.0

 $^1 \, {\rm Inmates}$ under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number_of</u> _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	17	11	10	8	9.1	54	-52.9
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	36	32	25	27	30.6	53	-25.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	21	15	19	17	19.3	52	-19.0

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of	Parolees 1984	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	5	6	3	5	185.2	2	0.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	1	0	1	37,0	7	NA
NEW ARRESTS	4	5	3	4	148.1	1	0.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	0	0	0	0.0	35	-100.0

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

140) (1) TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	42.3	63.7	85.2	101.1%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NΑ	.0	15.9
Division of Criminal Justice Services	2.6	.0	18.1	586.8%	49.8	27.8
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	135.0	151.6	182.4	35.1%	216.0	242.6
Division for Youtn ²	405.3	347.8	391.3	-3,5%	923.5	545.2
TOTAL	585.3	563.1	676.9	15.7%	1,189.3	831.6

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

<u></u>	میں بین ہونے کے تنظیمی کریں ہوتی کا ایک کا 1997 میں جائے ہیں۔ ایک ایک میں ایک کار کا ایک کار ایک کار ایک کا ای ایک کا ایک کا	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners ¹						
Felons	35.8	26.0	28.3	-21.0%		
Parole Violators	5.7	2.6	4,4	-23.9%		
Community Contract Housing ²		34.6	52.0	NA		-
Prisoner Transfer ¹	.8	.5	.5	-42.2%		
TOTAL	42.3	63.7	85.2	101.1%	.0	.0

¹Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

²This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

المينين من		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	15.9

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

TOMPKINS COUNTY

وليتشت بتداري

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Indigent Parolee Prog.1	2.6	.0	2.4	-8.4%			
Justice Assistance Act ²				NA	10.0	10.0	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	.0	.0	.0	NA	17.8	17.8	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ³		.0	15.0	NA	22.0		
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁴			.7	NA			
TOTAL	2.6	.0	18.1	586.8%	49.8	27.8	

¹Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 2 FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

 3 This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the \cdot FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

⁴In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Classification Alternatives ¹	200 Sec.	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		NA	10.6	15.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	18.0	NA	22.2	25.1
Regular State Aid	135.0	151.6	164.4	21.8%	183.2	202.0
TOTAL	135.0	151.6	182.4	35.1%	216.0	242.6

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

3

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	iations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Detention						
Non-Secure	116.6	64.9	62.2	-46.6%	138.9	83.2
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	14.3
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	43.2	44.9	49.3	14.1%	49.4	49.4
Voluntary Agency Care	56.9	33.0	71.6	25.8%	158.8	91.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	188.6	204.9	208.2	10.4%	576.4	307.2
TOTAL	405.3	347.8	391.3	-3.5%	923.5	545.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Level ¹	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Lansing Residential Center	II	45	77	
Maccormick Secure Center	I	48	100	
TOTAL		93	177	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures		Appropr	riations
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Lansing Residential Center	1,665.2	1,785.7	1,997.0	19.9%	2,074.8	2,188.8
Maccormick Secure Center	2,325.9	2,420.0	2,504.2	7.7%	2,632.0	2,759.0
TOTAL	3,991.1	4,205.7	4,501.2	12.8%	4,706.8	4,947.8

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

-

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

TOMPKINS COUNTY

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures		Appropr	iations
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Lansing Residential Center	8.3	119.3	127.6	.0	275.0
Maccormick Secure Center	.0	31.6	31.6	.0	445.0
TOTAL	8.3	150.8	159.1	.0	720.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

<u>Percent changes</u> are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the <u>percent change</u> is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calander year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

<u>Rates</u> are <u>ranked</u> from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the <u>rank calculations</u> do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates.

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all <u>population-based rate calculations</u> presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual <u>NYS Crime and Justice</u> publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed dirvers in New York State that was used to calulate the <u>rate of DWI</u> <u>arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)

- o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
- o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
- o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- <u>New York State Statisical Yearbook:</u> 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the <u>offense classification</u> for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the state's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

<u>DOCS capacity</u> data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. <u>DFY capacity</u> data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

<u>DOCS staff</u> data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while <u>DFY staff</u> data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

labl	5		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
						·····	F
Tabl	Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE		Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York State for a given year.	orcement agencies in New York forcible rape initions spannin te for a given year. Aggravated assault felonies and mis Structure-based: burgtary meanors for the		FBI ladex offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	NYS Bivisiga of Criainal Justice Services, Unifers Criae Reporting System.
.				The unit of count is based on the acet serious offense in a crime event.			
		FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for	Adult •	Feloay Arrests Nisdemeanor Arrests	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Frocessing
		FELONY AND RISDEMEANOK ARRESTS: January through June, 1986	year.	an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least			Trends File.
	e 2C	ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFERSES		one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
* Tabl	le 20	ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 thru PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 thru PL 221.55).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Tabl	- , .	FELGNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARKESTS For dwi offenses	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DNI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Processing Trends Fila.

1 Continued on the next page.)

able		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Regarks
		T	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1
able 3A	FELORY INDICTNENTS	Felony indictments and superior court informations filed during	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant	Adult.∎ Juvenile Offender	Felony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa-	NYS Division of Criminal Justic Services, Indictment Statistica
able 38	FELONY INDICTNENTS:	a given year.	named in an indictment/SCI is counted.	POTCHINE WITCHDEL	tions.	Systea.
	January through June, 1986	- 3 1	The most serious charge placed against			
			a defendant is counted except for			
			violent felony counts which reflect indictments/SCI's that include at least			
			one charge for a violent felony offense			•
			listed in Appendix E.			
<u></u>	·		1	L	l	1
		[<u>.</u>				
ab]e 4A	DISFDSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony	Disposition based; counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions	Adult +	Convictions for: Felony Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justi Servicus, Arrest and Processin
	FELONI AND HISDEGEMAUN MARESIS	and misdemeanor arrest events which	and on the year of disposition for		Hisdeseanor Offenses	Trends File.
		occurred after December 31, 1969.	acquittals, dismissals, and "other"		Lesser Offenses	
	:		dispositions. "Other" dispositions			
			include cases for which prosecution was		(Not applicable for	
able 48	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony	declined by either the prosecutor or the grand jury and cases disposed for reasons		acquittal, dismissal, and "other" disposi-	
		arrest events that occurred after	other than those already mentioned (e.g.,		tions.)	
		December 31, 1969.	cases disposed by civil procedure or			
			abated by the death of the defendant).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		l	r			1
able SA	FELDNY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER DFFENSE	Conviction dispositions, for which	Conviction based; each arrest event	Adult •	Convictions for:	NYS Division of Criminal Justi
	CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND	sentences were imposed during a given	resulting in both a conviction and		Felony Offenses	Services, Arrest and Processio
	NISDENEANOR ARRESTS	year, that resulted from adult felony	sentence is counted. Only the most		Misdemeanor Offenses	Trands File.
		and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect		Lesser Offenses	
		DECUIPED ATLES DECEMBER SIS ITOT.	conviction events that include at	and the second second		
·			least one conviction charge for a			
able 58		Conviction dispositions, for which	violent felony offense listed im			
	CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	sentences were imposed during a given	Appendix E.			
		year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after				
		December 31, 1969.				
		nerenori All 1101			1 Contraction of the second se	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

t Continued on the next page,)

A-4

lable	·	Population	Urit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
Table 68	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR Misdeneanor and lesser offense convictions	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Cunviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and suntence is counted. Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence offense is counted. "Other and Unknown" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoked inithout fine) and cases for which the t,p2 of sentence was unknown.	Adult a	Convictions fors Felony Offenses Misdemennor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justic Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 68 SENTENC MISDENE Table 7A-1 PROBA INVES SUPEN Table 7A-2 PROBA Table 7B PROBA Table 7C CLIEN	PROGATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES	Intake cases received during a given year.	Cceplaint-based; each coeplaint is crunted as one case.	Family Courts Adult = Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested fors Felony Offense Nisdemeanor Offense	HYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
		Investigations ordered during a given year.	l:vestigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need of Supervision (PINS) Criminal Court:	Lesser Offense - only Juvenile Deling. Status Offense -	Family Court - Annual compilat of the "Monthly Report of Fami Workload in Probation Departme
		Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult Juvenile Offender	only PINS	(Fore Mo. DP-30). Crisinal Court - Annual coepi
Table 7A-2	PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES	Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for:	tion of the "Monthly Report of Criminal Workload in Probatic Departments (Form No. DP-30A)
		Frobation supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Nisdemeanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling. Status Offense - only PINS	investigation and pre-dispos data, and the Probation Registrant System for all ot data.
		Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 78	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoted during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one revocation.			
Table 7C	CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL Alternative programs	Clients served by "demonstration" alternative programs; clients served by "classification" alternative programs are not included.	Client-based; each client served by an açency is counted.	Adult F Juvenile Offenders	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Alternative to Incarceration Bureau.

i Continued on the next page,)

Table	۵	Fooulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table BA	JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS	Admissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses.	Admission based. It is important to able that if an individual is admitted abre than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult =	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Hisdemeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced admissions: Hisdemeanor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	NYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1985 Sheriffs' Annual Reports' included the following caveat: " The Sheriffs' Annual Reports represent self-
Table BB	JAIL & PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND Population	A census of both detained and sentenced inmates in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York Stale for civil, criminal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Population is inmate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" sopulations.			reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practices among these various counties The Commission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another."
		Inmates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and The daily average of inmates under custody during a given year.				
		A census of beds in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in Hem York State, Each jail and geniten-	Capacity is based on beds; the count differs by region:	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
		tlary census was taken on a different day during a given year.	New York City Department of Correction counts reflect "beds at standard" (one inmate per cell; 60 square feet per inmate; and no more than 50 im a dorm) The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.			
			Non-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and doraitory beds. This count does not include detention or bolding rooms.			•

(Continued on the next page.)

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Ollender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 9A~I	ADMISSIONS TO NEW YORK STATE FRISON	Admissions to HYS prison during a given year.	Invate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult a	Felony Convictions	WYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 9A-2 Table 9A	KEN COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE FRISON	Hew court commitments to NYS prison during a given year.	eoet serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent feionies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts may differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DDCS.
Table 98	INNATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON On december 31	A census of inmates under custody in HYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 9C	PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON On december 31	Parole detainees in MYS prison on December 31.	Delainee kased.			
Table IVA	PAROLE SUFERVISION CASES	Inaates released to the NYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based (one person per case).	Adult = Juvenile Offender	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses	HYS Division of Parole. The Increase in parole revocation
		Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous				resulting from new felony convic- tions reflects legislative change and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passage of legislation, incorporated into
		year). Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				the Division's rules and regula- tions effective November 1, 1984, authorizing the Parole Board to issue a final declaration of de-
Table 10B	REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoked and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				linguency for releasees convicted of new felonies committed under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A final declaration of delinquency stream lines the violation process in these cases by obviating the need for a final revocation hearing. The streamlined process has resulted in an increased propor-

APPENDIX B

CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985

TABLE 1	INDEX CRIME RATES PER	100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RAIN'S B	Y COUNTY FOR 1985

	TOTAL INDET		TOTAL VIOLENT INDEX CRIMES												PROPERTY INDEX CRIMES					
	CRI		Tota	1	Nerd	er	Forcible	e Rape	Robbi	ery'	Agg. As	sault	Tota	1	Burgl	λrγ	Larc	eny	NV TI	heft
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rai
ALBANY	4,530.6	11	309.4	18	1.7	39	21.0	18	92.5	14	194.2	25	4,221.2	10	1,177.2		2,865.9	1	178.1	
ALLEGANY	2,077.2	58	114.9	48	3.9	16	5.8	50	.0	60	105.1	43	1,962.3	57	624.9	46	1,312.1	54	25.3	
BRONI	7,504.3	3	2,158.2	2	28.8	1	69.9	1	1,184.0	3	875.5	1	5,348.1	5	1,796.7	2	2,552.2	11	999.3	
BROOME	2,984.5	31	62.6	60	.9	47	14.5	28	12.1	43	35.0	60	2,921.9	25	519.0	56	2,302.5	17	100.4	
ATTARAUGUS	2,546.0	44	120.5	47	.0	50	2.3	59	10.4	49	107.B	42	2,425.5	39	632.7	45	1,705.9	37 51	85.9	
ayuga	2,169.8	55	196.2	32	2.5	27	16.1	25 35	14.1	36 23	161.5 94.B	28 46	1,973.5	55 22	493.1 727.4	59 37	1,394.8 2,339.6		85.7 115.2	
HAUTAUQUA	3,314.4	24	132.2	42	1.4	43	10.9		25.2		• • • • =	33	3,182.2	13		21	•		83.2	
CHENUNG	4,044.8	13	186.7	34	2.1	34	19.2	23	28.8	22 46	136.5	25	3,858.1	35	837.3	25	2,937.6	5 34		
CHENANGO	2,800.3	35	163.8	36	2.2	32	36.6	4	10.8		114.3	37 8	2,636.5		821.3	23 52	1,741.8	39	73.3	
CLINTON COLUMBIA	2,694.9	38	374.8	11 17	1.2	45	11.1	34 45	14.8 22.8	39 24	347.6 295.0	10	2,320.1	43	544.9 756.1	33	1,696.3 1,575.8		78.9 71.7	
COLUMBIA	2,732.9	36	329.2		3.3	19		13				26	•	. 9.	922.8	33 19	3,273.4	4	144.8	
CORTLAND	4,558.3	9	217.3	29	4.1	14	26.9	-	20.7	27 54	165.5	31	4,341.1	52		28	•	58 ·	42.7	
DELAVARE	2,216.8	53	147.4	40	. 2.1	33	2.1	60 27	4.3		138.8		2,069.4	30	790.2	22	1,234.5	30		
DUTCHESS	3,126.4	28	330.6	16	2.0	36	14.6	27	74.1	16 9	240.0	17	2,795.8		836.6		1,831.5	12	127.7	
ERIE	4,532.9	10	528.1	7	4.4	12	34.9	6	166.0	_	322.8	9	4,004.6	12	1,150.3	11	2,448.5		405.0	
ESSEI	2,176.7	54	157.6	39	2.7	23	8.2	44	2.7	59	144.0	30	2,019.1	54	736.5	34	1,220.2	58 30	62.5	
FRANKLIN	2,860.2	34	158.9	38	2.6	25	25.6	11	5.1	53	125.6	36	2,701.3	33	784.3	29	1,860.7		56.4	
FULTON	3,435.6	20	94.1	53 54	.0	50 50	5.3	52 53	12.4	42 25	76.3 65.2	49 .	3,341.6	19 38	1,009.7	15 49	2,184.5 1,842.6		147.3	
SENESEE	2,559.3	43	91.9		.0	28		48	21.7	25 35		31 7	2,467.4	38 48	672.1	43			58.5	
GREEKE	2,592.1	39	380.6	10 50	2.4	28 50	7.2 20.4			29	354.1	56	2,211.5	23	1,302.7	13	1,455.0	36.	142.5	
HANILTON	3,277.0	25 57	101.8	35	.0	42	8.9	21 39	20.4 3.0	27 58	162.5	27	3,175.2	23 58	538.3	54	•		39.9	
HERKINER	2,143.9	37	175.B 96.5	52	1.5 3.4	30	8.3 4.5	54	13.5	40	75.2	50	2,600.5	36	834.7	23	1,391.8 1,700.7	38	15.1	
JEFFERSON	2,696.9	2	2,077.9	32	20.5	30	58.8	3	1,221.3	2	777.3	70	5,516.6	3	1,761.8	3	2,717.8		1,036.9	
KIX6S Lewis	7,594.5	62	123.7	44	4.0	15	4.0	55	4.0	55	111.7	40	981.4	62	506.6	57	430.8		43.9	
LIVINGSTON	3,084.0	29	240.4	28	.0	50	8.5	41	4.0	51	225.0	20	2,843.7	29	453.5	60	2,328.8		43.7	
	•	52	48.5	59	1.5	41	10.4	36	17.9	32	39.7	59	2,174.8	49	595.4	48	1,499.0		80.4	
NAD I SON Kokroe	2,243.2 5,373.7	52	465.6	577	4.9	11	27.5	38	172.9	37	260.3	13	4,908,1	4	1,149.6	12	3,487.0		271.5	
NONTGOSERY	1,696.0	51	78.9	57	.0	50	3.8	57	172.7	38	40.1	57	1,617.1	61	501.5		1,049.9	60	65.7	-
KASSAU	3,465.2	19	215.7	30	2.3	29	10.3	37	117.2	11	85.9	48	3,249.6	21	704.7	39	2,022.8		522.1	
NEW YORK	13,623.0	1	2,657.0	30	25.3	2	67.3	2	1,692.7	1	871.7	2	10,966.0		2,219.2		7,808.6		938.1	
HIAGARA	4,403.7	12	364.9	12	1.4	44	23.6	16	97.7	13	242.2	16	4.038.8	1	1,145.0	13	2,650.8		243.1	
DNEIDA	2,396.5	47	121.0	46	2.4	37	11.6	32	48.3	13	59.3	58	2,274.7	44	736.1	35	1,458.9		19.7	
ONDNDAGA	4,648.8	4/ B	287.6	19	3.4	20	29.0		, s. s 130.8	17	124.3	37	4,361.3	11 8	1,278.4	3J 8	2,915.3		167.5	
DNTARIO	2,905.3	32	197.1	31	5.4	31	21.0	19	17.3	33	124.3	29	2.708.3	32	726.6	- 38	1,905.9		75.8	-
ORANGE	2,403.3	32 18	396.0	9 21	3.6	31 17	20.6	20	11.3	10	252.3	14	3,257.1	20	1,026.9	14	2,058.5		171.8	-
DRLEANS	2,367.7	49	25B.9	25	2.5	26	25.4	12	20.3	30	210.6	22	2,108.9	20 51	434.0	61	1,408.9		65.0	
DALENAS DSNEGD	2,373.2	48	110.5	49	.8	49	23.4	42	20.3	50 45	210.6	47	2,262.6	45	559.4	50	1,594.4	44	108.9	
DISE60	2,373.2	50	98.4	51	3.3	18	10.0	38	11.7	44	70.4	51	2,261.5	46	615.4	47	1,601.1	43	45.0	
PUTKAN	2,574.1	40	161.5	37	5.3 6.2	10	13.6	29	9.9	49	131.9	- 34	2,412.6	40	798.8	27	1,001.1		165.4	

(Continued on next page.)

0

~
	TOTA	_				VIOLE	NT INDET CI	INES								PROPER	ITY INDEX CI	RIMES		
	TROU CR11		Tota	1	Kur d	er	Forcible	Rape	Robbe	ry	Agg. Ass	ault	Tota	1	Bargl	ary	Larci	ay	NV Th	neft
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
UEENS	6,533.9	-4	1,128.4	4	9.7	. 4	35.0	5	648. I	4	435.6	5	5,405.5	4	1,421.8	5	2,641.0	10	1,342.7	
RENSSELAER	3,706.9	17	279.1	20	2.6	24	21.6	17	55.0	18	199.9	23	3,427.7	17	982.3	16	2,253.5	19	192.0	
RICHNOND	5,138.0	1	699.2	. 5	7.0	5	19.8	22	267.7	5	404.7	6	4,438.8	7	1,248.2	9	1,898.5	29	1,292.1	
OCKLAND	3,127.1	27	265.3	22	1.5	40	8.3	43	60.4	17	195.1	25	2,861.8	28	759.6	32	1,902.6	28	199.6	
T. LAWRENCE	2,866.5	33	B4.2	55	.9	48	.0	61	10.5	47	72.8	52	2,782.3	31	695.6	41	2,013.9	25	72.8	4
ARATOSA	2,287.4	51	136.1	41	1.9	38	8.7	40	15.4	37	109.8	41	2,151.3	50	639.1	44	1,424.8	50	87.4	3
CHENECTADY	3,352.9	22	190.3	33	2.0	35	13.2	30	75.3	15	99.8	44	3,162.5	24	953.7	• 17	2,042.9	23	165.9	1
CHOHARIE	2,406.2	46	72.3	58	.0	50	3.3	58	.0	60	\$9.0	53	2,333.9	42	881.0	20	1,390.5	53	62.5	5
CHUYLER	1,729.9	60	78.9	56	.0	50	.0	61	16.9	34	62.0	55	1,651.0	59	546.6	51	1,048.1	61	56.3	5
ENECA	3,342.6	23	272.0	21	6.0	7	24.2	13	3.0	57	238.8	18	3,070.6	25	701.2	40	2,221.3	20	148.1	2
TEUBEN	2,505.4	45	255.9	26	.0	50	8.0	46	18.1	31	229.8	19	2,249.5	47	540.B	53	1,616.4	40	92.3	3
UFFOLK	3,810.3	15	255.8	27	3.1	21	13.2	31	10B.7	12	130.7	35	3,554.5	14	945.3	18	2,329.4	15	279.8	(
BULLIVAN	3,784.5	16	339.9	14	3.0	22	28.7	10	43.0	20	267.1	12	3,444.6	16	1,650.3	4	1,614.7	41	179.6	-
105A	1,764.0	59	122.2	45	.0	5¢	3.9	56	3.9	56	114.3	28	1,641.8	60	431.6	62	1,131.3	59	78.8	- 43
ONPKINS	5,726.4	5	129.3	43	1.1	46	11.3	33	21.6	26	95.3	45	5,597.1	2	1,341.1	6	4,126.6		129.3	
LSTER	3,019.0	30	352.5	13	4.3	13	23.9	14	36.2	21	288.1	11	2,666.5	34	766.8	31	1,760.5		139.1	2
ARREN	3,408.9	21	50.3	61	.0	50	7,2	49	12.6	41	30.5	61	3,358.6	18	830.2	24	2,363.0		145.3	
ASHINGTON	2,158.2	56	259.6	24	5.3	8.	5.3	51	5.3	52	243.6	15	1,898.7	58	535.1	55	1,274.7	55	88.9	
AYNE	3,132.5	26	260.7	23	-0	50	23.B	15	20.4	28	216.5	21	2,871.8	27	782.0	30	1,985.6		104.3	-
ESTCHESTER	3,841.0	14	334.3	15	4.7	11	15.1	26	177.7	6	136.8	32	3,506.7	15	814.5	26	2,293.1	18	399.1	ł
YONING	2,562.1	41	533,1	6	4.9	9	7.4	47	7.4	50	513.4	4	2,029.0	53	682 . 9	42	1,235.6	57	110.5	- 29
ATES	2,540.5	42	46.4	62	.0	50	18.6	24	.0	60	27.8	62	2,514.1	37	732.9	36	1,739.5	35	41.7	6

TABLE 1 THDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Source: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

B-2

							FELDIY A	RESTS				
			TOTA ARRES		Tota	1	Viole	int	Othe		NISDENI ARRES	
1	COURTY	-	Rate	Rank	Rete	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
	ALBANY		2,197.2	11	604.2	6	194.8	9	469.3	6	1.593.0	19
	ALLEGANY		1,108.0	62	227.4	\$ 2	53.0	58	174.8	62	880.1	60
	RGHI		3,284.7	2	1,775.9	2	770.3	2	1,005.4	2	1,508.8	28
	ROOKE		1,674.3	38	387.9	29	109.2	27	278.7	28	1,284.5	39
	CATTARAUGUS		1,581.3	44	285.9	57	66.B	51	219.1	56	1,298.4	
	CAYU5A		1,812.0	34	373.2	32	81.4	43	291.8	22	1,468.9	
	CHAUTAUQUA		1,658.5	41	278.6	55	76.3	45	772.6	53	1,359.6	
	CHEKUNIG		1,939.3	29	448.0	51	104.4	32	344.6	15	1,190.3	
	CHENANGO		2,157.6	16	310.2	51	92.1	37	218.2	57	1,847.4	
1	cl inton		1,815.9	33	383.7	30	95.4	34	288.1	26	1,466.2	
(COLUMBIA		2,231.2	13	523.1	12	132.0	19	391.1	1	1,711.2	
(CORTLAND		2,954.6	4	603.0	25	111.8	76	291.2	24	2,561.4	
I	DELAVARE		1,957.9	27	341.2	40	41.8	55	279.4	28	1,615.6	
I	DUTCHESS		1,987.0	24	513.5	13	165.4	12	348.1	II.	1,473.5	
1	ERIC		2,102.3	18	539.6	10	210.0	8	329.4	19	1,522.4	
1	ESSET		1,947.0	28	354.7	35	48.7	50	286.0	37		
Ē	FRANKLIN		2,016.3	20	347.1	37	75.4	47	271.7	32	1,592.2	
	FULTON		1,393.2	55	344.7	39	75.8	46	288.9		1,669.2	
	GENESEE		1,749.3	36	323.4	47	84.7	42		22	1,048.5	
	GREEKE		1,670.8	40	421.9	23	118.1	25	238.	48	1,425.8	
	IANILION		2,002.0	22	481.4	11	60.2	55	303.8	21	1,248.9	- 41
	ERLINER		1,383.B	56	272.0	59	64.3		421.3	5	1,521.6	26
	EFFERSON		1,439.3	- 54	370.3			54	207.7	60	1,111.0	
	(1265		2,405.5	8	1,377.7	34 3	103.9	33	266.4	35	1,049.1	° 51
	EVIS		1,137.5	- 21	254.3		673.2	3	499.5	3	1,027.8	54
	IVINGSTON			. 7		61	27.8	62	226.5	51	878.2	
	ADISON		2,590.5		288.0	56	73.7	48	214.3	59	2,392.5	
	IOKROE		1,652.6	42	317.4	50	73.4	49	244.2	47	1,335.0	
	IONTGONERY		2,057.8	19	484.3	15	178.3	11	305.5	20	1,572.9	
	-	14. 1	1,871.4	31	302.7	53	45.7	60	257.0	38	1,568.7	
	IASSAU		1,314.3	57	374.4	31	121.1	21	253.4	41	939,9	- 58
	KEN YORK		7,117.0	1	2,517.5	t	923.7	1	1,593.9	1	4,599.5	1
	ALAGARA		1,855.0	32	424.5	22	152.0	14	272.5	31	1,430.5	33
	DHEIDA		1,286.2	59	321.5	48 .	106.1	29	215.4	58	944.B	
	INCHIDAGA		1,752.4	35	591.4	7	226.9	7	364.5	11	1,160.9	48
	DNTAKID		1,975.4	25	336.6	41	85.5	41	251.1	- 43	1,638.8	
	DRANGE		2,192.3	15	575.7	8 .	180.5	10	395.2	6	1,616,6	
	DRLEANS		1,527.8	50	482.1	16	140.5	18	342.4	16	1,015.0	
)SWEGD		1,556.2	47	335.7	42	90.7	39	245.1	45	1,220.4	
. (DTSE60		2,313.0	9	370.6	33	119.4	22	251.0	44	1,972.4	. 7
F	UTHAN		1,566.2	45	326.3	44	66.8	53	259.6	37	1,239,9	44

TABLE 24 FELONY AND MISSEMEANOR ARREST RATES PER 100.000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

.

f Continued on next page. 3

44.600

un de la com

234-444

Section by

and in

B-3

	7071				FELONY A	RRESIS					
	TOTA ARRES		Tota	1	Viole	nt	Othe	r		ARRES	
CONNIA	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kete	kank	Rite	Bank	R	late	Ran
eueens	1,454.0	52	710.0	5	308.7	4	401.3	1		744.1	L
REUSSELAER	1,515.2	46	325.1	45	118.9	23	206.1	- 41		240.2	<u> </u>
e i chhono	1,504.3	51	570.3	9	295.8	5	274.4	30		934.0	5
RGCILAND	1,293.6	58	345.4	38	93.5	36	251.9	42		948.2	5
ST. LAWRENCE	2,001.9	21	324.5	46	17.6	44	246.9	45	t,	682.4	1
SASATUSA	2,286.0	10	397.3	27	105.8	30	291.5	23	t _i	888.7	
SCHENECTADY	1,528.0	49	350.0	36	94.3	35	255.7	40	1	178.1	. 4
SCHOHARIE	2,238.3	12	308.7	52	87.3	40	221.5	55	1	929.6	
SCHUYLER	1,930.2	30	416.8	24	148.5	15	26B.4	34	1	513.3	
SENECA	2,279.9	11	457.8	19	91.4	38	366.2	10	1	822.1	1
STEULEN	1,440.3	53	298.9	54	44.B	52	232.2	50	1	141.3	ļ
SUFFOLK	1,686.9	36	398.5	26	141.5	17	257.0	39	1	286.3	3
SULL IVAN	3,102.0	3	751.5	4	294.4	4	457.1	4	2	350.5	
TIDGA	1,530.4	48	281.9	58	49.6	- 59	232.2	49	1	248.5	, i
TONPKTHS	1,968.7	23	329.0	43	104.4	28	222.3	54	1	659.7	
ULSTER	2,113.3	17	523.3	11	160.0	13	343.3	12	1	,590.0	
VARREN	2, 947.5	5	478.1	18	118.6	24	359.5	13	2	469.4	
WASHINGTON	1,978.8	25	396.5	28	105.7	31	290.7	25	. t	582.3	1
WAYNE	2,873.8	6	155.7	20	123.4	20	332.4	18	2	,418.0	
VESTCHESTER	1,729.6	3)	490.4	14	150.1	15	340.3	17	1	,239.2	2
lyon the	1,595.7	43	319.4	49	56.5	57	263.1	36	1	,266.1	
VATES	1,265.9	66	260.6	60	37.2	61	223.4	52	1	,005.3	

TABLE 2A _____ FELONY AND MISDEMEANING ARKEST RATES PER 160,060 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RAN'S FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 4

		ARRE			FORCIBLI ARREI			ROBB ARKE			AGG. ASS ARRES				BURGL		LARC	ENY++ STS
OUNTY		Rate	Rant		Rate	Rint		Rate	Rant		Rate	Rank		R	ite	Rent	Kate	Ran
LBANY		2.B	23		6.7	22		28.5	- 14		86.0	9			99.3	28	397.4	
LLEGANY		7.9	4		0	56		.0	56		27.5	42			66.8	49	196.5	-
RONI		19.0	1		26.5	2		232.0	3		311,2	.2			180.4	3	413.2	
RDOME		2.8	22		5.2	30		11.3	26		30.1	41			86.1	37	354.4	
attaraubus Ayuba		¢.	49		2.3	- 17		6.2			32,	37			80.9	42	243.7	
		3.8 .7	16 48		7.5	19		10.0	24		37.6	30			51.3	59	435.B	
HAUTAUQUA					2.8	42		14.5			22.9	50			81.1	40	343.9	
HEMUNG		1.1	45		4.3	35		1.5	41		33.7	35			133.7	9	\$40.3	
HENANGO Linton		2.0	32 49		10.0	14		. 4.4	47		18.0	57			108.1	18	351.3	
		.0			1.2	54		1.8	31		31.9	39			69.9	46	315.1	
OLUMBIA		6.6	8		1.7	52		9.9	30		62.7	17			135.3	8	348.2	-
ORTLAND		.0	49		4.2	37		8.4	33		48.5	21			107.4	19	455.8	
ELANARE		2.1	31		.0	56		2.1	54		46.9	25			102.B	17	383.9	
UTCHESS		1.6	37		5.5	29		26.7	15		75.3	12			96.4	31	364.9	7 2
RIE		3.8	15		14.8	7		42.7	8		93.3	1		1	100.0	26	438.7	₽°
SSEL		٥.	49		2.8	43		•0	56		27.5	45	•		112.7	15	286.0) 4
RANKLIN		2.3	28		9.1	16		.0	56		25.1	€₿			100.5	25	294.5	5 3
ULTON		.0	-49		.0	56		5.4	44		23.5	49			90.2	24	314.0) 3
EHESEE		.0	49		5.1	32		22.0	17		27.1	46			89.7	36	233.7	75
REENE		2,4	27		2.4	46		1.2	36		82.0	10			65,1	51	285.9	1
ANTLTON		.0	49		.0	56		.0	56		.0	62		1	220.7	1	200.6	5 5
EKKINER		.0	49		3.0	41		4.5	45		22.4	54			\$3.8	58	215.2	2 5
EFFERSON		2.3	29		2.3	49		10.2	28		38.4	27)	117.4	13	188.5	
IN65		16.1	- 3		21.8	4		249.8	2		240.5	3		· 1	56.5	5	343.B	
ENIS		.0	49		.0	56		4.0	48		4.0	60			83.4	38	151.0	
IVINGSTON		6.9	7		1.7	51		6.9	38		42.9	27			54.9	57	426.9	
ADISON		1.5	38		5.0	26		9.0	32		3.0	41			89.9	35	317.6	
ONROE		4.0	13		12.2	10		44.7	7		43.6	26			107.5	20	502.4	
ONTGONERY		1.9	34		5.7	28		1.9	55		22.8	51			60.9	53	357,9	
ASSAU		3.0	21		2.5	44		30.3	12		47.3	23			59.9	55	255,8	
EN YORK		17.9	2		24.3	3		404.1	1		346.9	1			176.2	4	1,010.4	
IAGARA		2.8	25		5.1	31		26.3	16		63.3	16			109.5	- 16	356.6	
NEIDA		1.2	- 41		6.0	27		11.6	25		32.7	38			93.3	32	258.0	
NDNDAGA		3.0	20	•	13.8	8		48.1	6		75.6	11			137.5	6	367.9	
TARIO		2.2	30		B.7	เกิ		2.2			28.1	- 44			46.0	50	 325.8	
RANGE		1.8	35		11.5	й		21.6	18		87.9	11			99.8	27		
RLEANS		5.1	9		7.7	18		2.6	50		63.9	· · · ·					407.1	
SVEGO		.8	41		3.4	40		2.5	51			14			130.3	10	296.4	
15260		3.4	17		6.7	21			· · ·		31.1	40			96.5	30	282.0	
UTNAK		4.9	10			53		6.7	40		42.1	28			101.1	24	486.8	
W10041			10		1.2	12		3.7	49		35.8	34			56.9	56	208.9	9 5

(Continued on next page.)

8-5

.

TABLE 2C ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 . - Continued

		hurd Arke			FORCIBLE				ROBBER Arrest			AGE. ASS ARRES			BURGL		LARCE ARRES	ENYes Sts
COUNTY		Rate	Rank		Rate	Kank		Ral	te	Rank		Rate	Rant		Rate	Rint	Rate	Rank
DUEENS		7.7	Ł		11.0	12			09.2	(126.9	. 4		79.9	43	254.1	
RENSSELAER		1.3	40		4.6	34			10.6	27		57.5	18		69.4	47	196.2	
RICHMOND		7.£	5		10.7	13			84.3	5		124.9	5		104.8	23	282.5	
ROCKLAND		1.1	44		2.3	48			13.6	24		47.1	24		51.3	60	177.2	
ST. LAWRENCE		2.6	26		.9	55			l.2	42		29.1	43		104.9	22	298.9	
SARATOGA		3.1	18		4.3	36			7.4	35		37.1	31		81.7	38	328.0	
SCHENECTADY		1.3	39		6.6	23			29.2	13		25.9	47		60.4	54	296.2	
SCHOHARIE		.0	49		.0	56			.0	56		33.6	36		114.1	14	389.3	
SCHUYLER		.0	49		28.6	· 1			17.1	19		22.8	52	•	97.1	29	251.3	
SENECA		.0	49		15.3	6			6.1	43		21.4	56		125.1	11	390.7	
STEUGEN		1.0	46		4.1	38			4,1	46 -		22.6	53		63.7	52	290.7	
SUFFOLK		2.8	24		6.0	25			30.5	11		53.6	20		78.4	44	176.5	i 61
SULLIVAN		4.4	12		16.3	5			34.0	. 10		122.8	6		196.7	2	531.0) 3
TIOSA		2.0	33		4.0	39			.0	56		15.9	58		45.7	62	271.9	ij 44
TOMPKINS		1.1	- 43		6.B	20			14.7	21		21.5	55		90.8	33	431.1	10
MLSTER		3.1	19		13.4	9			16.5	20		64.7	13		107.5	21	40B.5	i 13
WARREN		.0	49		1.8	50			7.2	37		48.5	22		118.6	î2	530.2	: 4
WASHINGTON		1.8	36		.0	5E			14.1	23		56.4	19		81.1	41	200.9	54
WAYNE		1.1	42		9.1	15			6.9	38		36.6	33		135.9	: 7	398.6	15
WESTCHESTER		3.8	14 -		6.5	-24		:	38.5	9		63.6	15		67.6	4B	361.2	22
NYONING		4.8	11		2.5	45			2.5	52		36.9	32		71.3	45	258.1	45
VATES		.0	49		4.7	33			.0	56		9.3	59		51.2	å1	186.2	2 59

* MYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not mecessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

B-6

	TOT: DRUI			(0) (0)	IROLLED SUBSTAND	E ARRESTS					KARIHUAN	A ARRESTS		
	ARRE		Tata	l	fasses	sion	Sal	\$	Tota	1	Posses	sioa	Sa	1) e
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	kate	Rı
ALBANY	139.5	11	93.0	12	77.2	14	20,8	11	46.5	13	34.9	9	11.6	5
ALLEGANY	23.4	56	5.9	58	5.9	55	.0	53	17.7	42	5.9	56	11.8	
BROHI	837.0	2	597.0	2	397.2	2	199.8	2	240.0	2	101.9	2	138.1	
ROOKE	53.2	33	32.0	34	23.5	38	B.5	30	21.2	32	14.1	32	7.1	
CATTARAUGUS	37.5	47	16.4	48	14.1	45	2.3	47	21.1	33	15.2	28	5.9	
CAYUSA	55.1	32	42.6	27	27.5	32	15.0	19	12.5	51	4.3	54	6.3	
CHAUTAUQUA	29.1	52	18.7	48	6.9	51	11.8	24	10.4	55	B.3	49	2.1	
CHEMUNG	10.9	62	5.4	59	3.3	20	2.2	49	5.4	60	4.3	58	1.1	
CHEMANSO	52.0	34	38.0	29	38.0	25	·.0	53	14.0	47	6.0	55	8.0	
CLINIDX	105.4	19	72.3	19	63.7	17	8.6	29	33.1	19	12.3	39	20.8	
COLUMBIA	87.5	25	49,5	26	34.7	26	14.9	21	38.0	14	21.5	18	16.5	
CORTLAND	90.7	24	38.0	30	29.5	30	8.4	31	52.8	9	27.4	14	25.3	
DELAVARE	49.1	37	21.3	46	10.7	48	10.7	26	27.7	21	19.2	20		
DUTCHESS	100.7		77.6	17	61.5	18	16.1	17	23.1	28	14.5		B.5	
ERIE	163.8	7	96,4	10	83.1							31	6.6	
SSEI	38.5	44	30.2	38	22.0	10 40	15.3	18 33	65.4	7 59	61.5	5	3.9	
					••••		8.2	_	8.2	-	2.8	41	5.5	
FRANKLIN	82.2	27	34.3	33	32.0	27	2.3	48	48.0	11	15.7	33	34.3	
FULTON	21.7	59	3.6	60	3.4	59	.0	53	18.0	41	9.0	47	9.0	
GENESEE	32.2		11,9	52	10.2		1.7	52	20.3	35	16.9	27	3.4	
GREEKE	96.4	21	79.6	15	77.2	11	2.4	46	16.9	43	12.1	41	4.8	
ANILTON	40.1	42	40.1	28	40.1	24	.0	53	.0		.0	12	.0	
HERKIMER	46.3	39	26.9	41	26.9	36	.0	53	19.4	39	13.4	35	6.0	
leffersok	38.4	45	24,8	44	21.4	42	3.4	40	13.5		10.2	44	3.4	
KINGS	504.4	3	336.2	3	221.2	3	115.0	3	168.2		77.3	4	90.9	
LENIS	23.8	55	7.9	56	7.9		.0	53	15.9		7.9	51	7.9	
LIVIN65TON	39.4	43	18.9	47	15.4	- 44	3.4	39	20.6		12.0	42	8.6	6
KADISON	12.0	61	3,0	61	.0		3.0	43	9.0	5B	9.0	4B	.0	0
MONROE	55.3	31	32,1	35	20.4		11.6	25	23.3	27	17.4	24	5,8	8
NONTGONERY	114.2	17	89,5	13	89.5	. ₿ -	.0	53	24.7	25	24.7	15	.0	Û
WASSAU	119.2	15	84,5	14	64.7	16	19.B	13	34.7	17	27.6	13	7.1	1
NEW YORK	2,055.0	1	1,497.8	1	1,101.7	. L	396.1	1	557.2	1	233.0	1	324.2	2
NIAGARA	50.3	36	36.5	31	2B.2	31	8.3	32	13.9	48	8.3	50	5.5	5
ONEIDA	47.5	38	36,3	32	22.3	39	14.0	22	11.2	54	10.0	45	1.2	2.
DHCHDAGA	83.6	26	55.9	24	27.3	33	2B.6	7	27.7	22	12.5	38	15.3	1
DNTARIO	26.0	29	52.0	25	31.4	28	20.6	12	14.1	46	9.7	46	4.3	3
GRANGE	149.9		127,9	· 6	103.8	6	24.1	9	22.0	29	17.3	25	4.7	1
ORLEANS	23.0	58	10,2	54	10.2	49	.0	53	12.8	50	7,7	52	5.1	1
DSKE60	31.1	51	10.9	53	5.0	56	5.9	36	20.1	36	11.8	43	B. 4	Ł
OTSE60	79.2	28	32.0	37	27.0	35	5.1	37	47.2	12	32.0	10	15.2	2
PUTNAM	123.6	14	107.5	7	74.2	13	33.4	6	16.1	44	13.6	34	2.5	5

sizzel d

3,940

1

9. J. P. P.

TABLE 20 ARKEST RATES FER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS+ AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

[Continued on next page.]

•

	TOT/ DRUG			C01	NTROLLED SUBSTANC	E ARPESTS					MARIHJAN	A ARRESTS		
	AKRES		Jota	1	Fosses	sion	Sal		Tota	It.	Passes	sion	Sali	2
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙank	Rate	Rank
WEEKS	275.B	4	14B.7	5	115.4	5	53.3	4	107.1	5	47.7	6	59.3	5
RENSSELAER	61.7	30	29.7	40	27.1	34	2.6	44	35.0	16	28.4	15	8.6	24
RICHNOND	174.1	6	64.5	23	49.5	21	15.0	20	109.7	4	31.5	11	78.1	4
ROCKLAND	124.0	13	102.2	9	48.2	15	33.4	5	21.9	31	12.8	37	9.0	19
ST. LAWRENCE	115.5	16	77.6	18	75.B	12	1.8	51	37.9	15	29.1	12	8.8	22
SÁRATOGA	91.0	23	65.6	22	47.7	22	17.9	16	25.4	24	13.0	36	12.4	14
ECHENECTADY	51.8	35	29.9	38	21.9	41	8.0	34	21.9	30	17.9	22	4.0	47
SCHOHARIE	23.5	57	13.4	51	10.1	51	3.4	41	10.1	56	6.7	53	3.4	51
SCHUYLER	31.3	4 B	.0	\$2	.0	61	.0	53	34.3	18	17.1	26	17.1	9
SEHECA	45.8	40	33.6	34	30.5	29	3.1	42	12.2	52	12.2	40	.0	56
STEUGEN	21.7	53	8,2	55	8.2	52	.0	53	19.5	38	17.5	23	2.1	54
SUFFOLK	160.8	8	70.9	20	43.9	23	27.0	8	87.9	6	79.7	3	10.2	- 17
SULLIVAN	145.0	10	93.2	11	-25.8	ę	1.4	35	51.8	10	45.9	7	5.9	35
TIDGA	37.7	46	25.8	42	23.8	37	2.0	50	11.9	53	4.0	59	7.9	30
TONPKINS	44.2	41	25.0	43	14.7	45	10.2	27	19.3	40	18.2	21	1.1	56
ULSTER	105.6	18	76.8	16	59.2	19	19.5	14	26.9	23	22.0	17	4.9	42
VARREN	131.2	12	102.4	8	93.5	1	9.0	28	28.8	20	19.6	19	9.0	21
VASHINGTON	19.4	60	15.9	50	12.3	47	3.5	38	3.5	61	3.5	60	.0	58
HAYNE	94.8	22	70.8	21	58.3	20	12.6	23	24.0	26	14.8	29	9.1	15
RESTCHESTER	235,7	5	178.5	4 .	157.5	4	21.0	10	57.2	8	43.4	8	13.7	13
VYONING	27.0	54	7.4	57	4.9	57	2.5	45	19.7	37	14.8	30	4.9	41
TATES	32.6	49	23.3	45	4.7	58	18.6	15	9.3	57	4.7	57	 4.7	

TABLE 20 ARREST RATES PER 100.000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGSI AND KATE RAHES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

+ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuanas PL 221.10 through PL 221.351.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.

B-8

				DKI	ARRESTS		
		To	tal	 Felc	ny	Nisdene	anor
COUNTY	_	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rent
ALBANY		11.		.9	8	10.5	12
ALLEGANY		5.		.1	52	5.1	51
eroni		4.		.9	61	4.4	56
BRDONE		5.		. ó	28	4.5	55
CATTARAUGUS		9.		.9	9	8.7	24
Cayusa		5.		. ś.	29	7.5	33
CHAUTAUQUA		6.		.1	20	8.0	26
CHEMUNG		5.		.1	17	4.5	54
CHENAN60		11.		.1	15	10.3	13
CLINTON		12.	1 9	.7	23	11.4	ę
COLUMBIA		1.	9 38	.4	41	7.5	
CORTLAND		16.		.0	62	16.4	1
DELAWARE		Β.		.2		7.8	
DUTCHESS		ŕ.		.7	18	8.9	21
ERIE		· • • • •		5		1.3	
ESSEX		11.		.7	14	11.1	11
FRANKLIN		9.	3 25	.4		8.9	
FULTON		6.	3 48	.5	31	5.7	- 41
GENESEE		9.	4 27	.5	35	9.0	20
6REENE		7.	1 31	.3	44	6.9	4
HANILTON		10.	6 14	.5		10.1	13
HERKIMEN		1.	5 39	.7	16	6.8	3
JEFFERSON		7.	7 36	.6	25	7.0	37
KINGS		3.	2 59	.0	59	3.2	59
LEWIS		b .	0 47	.9	10	5.2	49
LIVINGSTON		13.		.5		13.1	
KADISON		8.				8.3	
NONROE		5.		.7		4.8	
KONTGOMERY		9.		.8		8.5	-
NASSAU		5.		.3		4.9	
NEW YORK		3.		.1		3.1	
NIAGARA		6.		.2		8.0	
DNEIDA		5.		.2		5.4	
DNONDAGA		5.		.2		5.2	
BHIARIB		16.	- ,	1.2		8.9	
DRANGE		10. B.	-	1.0		6.9	
ORLEANS		в. (,		1.1		0.7 3.6	
UKLEANS OSVE60			. –		-	3.8 7.8	-
		β.		.3			
OTSE60		14.		.9		13.2	
PUTNAK		9.	4 2	.1	56	9.3	1

TABLE 7E ONI FELOHY AND HISDEMEANDR AFREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 .

(Continued on mext page.)

B-9

-toto + 930-

			DWI	ARRESTS		
	Tota	1	Fel	ony	Nisdene	anor
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Ran
DIJEENS	2.0	62	.0	58	2.0	5
RENSSELAER	9.8	19	.2	46	9.5	- 11
RICHMOND	2.7	61	.0	40	2.7	6
RDCKLAND	6.3	45	.5	-	5.7	4
ST. LAWRENCE	10.3	17	.7	21	9.6	1
SARATOGA	13.0	7	.4	42	12.6	
SCHENECTADY	6.8	42	.6		6.2	4
SCHOHARIE	11.9	10	.5		11.4	1
SCHUYLER	7,4	40		22	6.7	- 4
SEHECA	10.4	15	1.7		9.2	I
STEUBEN	6.4	44	. 6		5.8	4
SUFFOLK	10.4	16	. .	55	10.3	t
SULLIVAN	12.4	B	.1	5 26	11.7	
TIDGA	8.6	28	1.1		7.5	3
TONPKINS	7.7	37			7.6	3
ULSTER	B.1	30			1.1	3
WARREN	16.2	2	•1		16.1	
RASHINGTON	13.5	5	1.1		12.3	
MAANE	13.1	6			12.7	
WESTCHESTER	5.8	48		2 49	5.6	- 4
WYONING	8.0	34		B 11	7.2	2
YATES	5.4	51	1.0	0 7	4.4	5

TAPLE 2E DWI FELOHY AND HISDEMEANOR ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 + - Continued

4 Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DNI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Processing Trends File.

		¥î o	lent	0	her		otal
COUNTY		Kusber	Percent	Kusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent
AL BAILY		140	31.5	305	18.5	415	100.4
ALLEGANY		10	18.9	43	81.1	53	100.0
LADNI		3,663	56.3	2,840	C.7	6,503	100.4
BROOKE		148	27.1	398	72.9	546 91	100.
CATTARAUGUS		20	22.0	71	7B.0		100.
CAYUGA		31	29.5	74	70.5 76.4	105 220	
CHAUTAUQUA		52 76	23.6	168 264	77.6	340	-
CHENDNS	•	17	30.4	264 39	49.4	55	
CHEHANGO Clikton		37	22.9	57	71.1	128	
COLUMBIA		31	34.1	51 60	65.9	91	
CORTLAND		20	15.5	109	84.5	129	
DELAVARE		8	29.6	19	70.4	27	
DUTCHESS		B0	21.1	299	78.9	379	
ERIE		470	31.4	1,027	68.6	1,497	
ESSEX		19	20.2	75	79.8	94	
FRANKLIN		14	17.5	66		64	
FULTON		13	15.7	70		83	
GENESEE		2B	25.7	BI		109	
GREENE		22	34.4	42		64	
HANTLIOK			16.7	5		1	
HERKINER		15	24.2	47	75.8	62	100
JEFFERSON		65	23.4	213	76.6	278	100
K1165		4,637	40.0	3,095	40.0	7,732	100
LEWIS		Ś	13.2	33	86.8	36	100
LIVINGSTON		- 47	22.1	165	77.9	213	100
KADISON		30	22.4	104	77.6	134	100.
MONROE		445	30.6	1,008	69.4	1,453	100
KONTEOKERY		15	18.8	65		80	
KASSAU		955		1,433		2,38	
NEW YORK		4,412	63.6	2,522	36.4	6,93	
NIAGARA		98	28.4	245	71.4	343	
DNEIDA		138	31.5	300		431	
ONONDAGA		398		875		1,27	
ONTARIO		49		165		21	
DRANGE		144		374		51	
ORLEANS		52		93		14	
OSNE60		37		139		17	
DISEGO		5		15		2	
PUTNAK		15	13.4	97	86.6	11	2 100

TABLE JA FELDNY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

(Continued on next page.)

B-11

			FELOUY	INDICTHENTS		
	Vio	lent	DI	lher	To	ta)
COUNTY	Kusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Number	Percen
QUEENS	2,954	50.5	2,899	49.5	5,853	100.
RENSSELAER	50	2B.2	127	71.8	117	106.
RICHMOND	174	54.4	145	45.6	320	100.
ROCKLAND	79	18.0	360	87.0	439	100.
ST. LAWRENCE	37	19.4	854	80.4	191	100.
SARATOGA	55	35.7	- 99	64.3	154	100.
SCHENECTADY	59	25.5	172	74.5	231	100.
SCHOHARIE	6	28.6	15	71.4	21	100.
SCHUYLER	9	32.1	19	67.9	28	100.
SENECA	1	17.9	32	82.1	39	100.
STEUBEN	33	27.7	88	72.3	119	100.
SUFFOLK	909	30.B	2,041	69.2	2,950	100.
SULLIVAN	. 46	32.9	94	67.1	140	100.
TIOGA	7	9.6	66	90.4	73	100.
TOMPKINS	37	27.4	98	72.6	135	100.
ULSTER	64	20.8	244	79.2	308	100.
NARREN	13	27.1	35	72.9	48	100.
WASHINGTON	24	33.3	48	86.7	72	100.
VAYNE	34	17.3	163	82.7	197	100.
WESTCHESTER	568	39.8	860	60.2	1,428	100.
WYONING	5	B. 9	51	91.1	56	100.
YATES	7	13.7	44	86.3	51	100.
NEW YORK STATE	21,794	. 44.0	27,717		49,511	100.
NEW YORK CITY	15,995	53.2	14,091		30,086	100.

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISGENEAMOR ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985

			Ensui	ction	ć			brani	ttals			Disai	cs al e				her			Ť.	tal
				·										•							
NUXTY			Nusber	Per c	cent			Nusber	Fercen	,		Nuaber	Percent	ł -		Number	Percent			Kunber	Perce
BANY			4,477		17.9			15		5		1,235	21.5			23	.4			5,730	100
LEGANY			215		17.2			1				103	32.2			1	.3			320	100
ONI			23,820		\$9.0			346	1.0			9,122	26.4			1,231	3.6			34,519	100
DDNE			2,136		14.6			16				633	22.			77	2.7			2,862	10
TTARAUGUS			674		11.7			2				146	17.1			3	.4			825	100
YUGA			796		14.5			0				268	25.1			5	.5			1,049	10
AUTAUQUA			1,519		15.5			п				476 359	23.1			a 1	.3			2,012	10
ENUNG			1,110 584		15.2 15.5			<u>د</u> ۲	ہ ۔ •			174	24.			· 2	1. 1.0			1,477	10 10
ENANGO Inton			892		13.5 13.1							174	16.			4	.4			1,073	10
LUNBIA			658		51.3 51.3			2				410	38.			4				1,074	
RTLAKO			714		67.2			. 4	او			343	32.			1	.1			1,042	
LAVÁRE			460		12.2				، ب			160	27.			i	.2			685	
ICHESS			2,910		52.2				•			1,680	35.	-		88	1.9			4,682	
18			11,229		63.3			211	1.3			6,196	34.			93	.5			17,729	
SEX			423		17.8	· ·		3	••; ••			115	21.			. 3	.6		۰.	544	
AHKLIN			530		B3.2			2				103	16.			2	.3			637	10
1701			535		78.7			0				140	20.			5	.7			680	
NESEE			564		B1.7			2				110	17.			2	.3			690	
EENE			430		79.5			3	•			105	19.			3	.6			541	
CCRC HILTON			37		B2.2			. J	•			8	17.				.0			45	
RKINER			492		88.6			1				58	10.				.,			555	
FFERSON			762		B6.1			3	•			117	12.			1	.,			908	
N65			26,092		61.1			332				13,720	32.			2,540				42,684	
WIS			155		79.1			1	•			37	18.			3,010				196	
VINGSTOR			854		80.4			·]	•			201	18.			1	.7			1,075	
DISON			653		84.0			6				124	16.			0				1,015	
NROE			5,409		49.4			104	1.			5,165	47.			268				10,946	
HIGONERY			544		88.2			4				78	10.			1				730	
SSAU			12,169		84.4			94				1,712	11.			437				14,412	
N YORK			66,319		68.9			369		4		27,212	28.			2,422				96,322	
AGARA			3,005		78.3			36				787	20.							3,639	
EIDA			1,964		Ø3.3			50				384	16.			5				2,359	
DIDAGA			3,900		60.2			36				2,447	37.			97				6,475	
TARIO			1,009		81.0			13				210	16.			13				1,245	
ANGE			2,905		57.8			12		2		2,095	40.			70				5,162	
LEANS			269		67.3			12				114	28.			70				400	
NEGO			1,128		77.5			8		5		307	21.			13				1,456	
SEGO			827		81.0			5	-	5		177	17.			12				1,021	
ITHAN			655		61.6			3		J. 3		39B				. 12				1,063	

(Continued on next page.)

	Convi	ctions		Acqui	ttals		Disai	ssals		01	her		Io	tal
COUNTY	Nuaber	Percent		Nurber	Percent		Kunber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Nusber	Percent
QUEENS	16,625	64.8		280	1.1		8,364	32.6		374	1.5		25,643	100.(
RENSSELAER	1,398	76.2		9	.5		422	23.0		5	.3		1,834	100.0
R1CHNOND	2,133	41,9		15	.3		2,272	44.7		668	13.1		5,088	100.0
ROCKLAND	1,980	72.8		4	.2		688	26.5		12	.5		2,584	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	778	67.9		9	.8		353	30.8		- 5			1,145	100.0
SARATDEA	2,292	76,6		3	.1		693	23.2		. 4	.1		2,992	100.0
SCHENECIADY	1,568	76.3		7	.3	. •	451	21.9		29	1.4		2,055	100.0
SCHOHARIE	337	72.9		4	.9		117	25.3		- 4	.9		462	100.
SCHUYLER	214	84,3		I	1		. 38	15.0		ſ	.4		254	100.0
SENECA	291	63,3		6	.2		163	35.4		5	1.1		460	100.
STEUBEN	701	71.7		1	1		265	27.1		5	.5		978	100.0
SUFFOLK	12,608	75.3		- 96	.6		3,964	23.7		66	.4		16,734	100.
SULLIVAN	1,253	66.8		2	.1		615	32.B		. 6	.3		1,876	100.
TIDGA	472	78.9		0	.0		123	20.6		3	.5		598	100.
TONPKINS	764	50.5		H	.9		469	. 37.1		19	1.5		1,263	100.
ULSTER	1,665	68.7		11	.4		749	30.5			.3		2,453	100.
WARREN	1,102	73.0		2	.1	· · · · .	397	26.3		2	5		1,509	100.
WASHINGTON	561	82.7		2	.3		113	16.7		2	.3		678	100.
WAYRE	1,246	76.5		- 4	.2		370	22.7		8	.5		1,628	100.
WISTCHESTER	8,024	72.6		66	.6		2,752	24.9		206	1.9		11,048	100.
WYDNING	175	74.8		. 0	.0		56	23.9		3	1.5		234	100.
YATES	140	85,4		- 1	.6		21	12.8		2	1.2		164	100.
NEN YORK STATE	240,395	6B. 2		2,217			100,904	28.6		B,923	2.5		352,442	100.
NEW YORK CITY	134,989	66.1		1,342	.7		60,690	29.7		7,235	3.5		204,256	100.

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

-

4 Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, disaissals, and "other" dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F)

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

		Coavi	ctions		Acqu	itals		Dise	issals	٥	ther	. T	otal
OUNTY	-	Hunber	Percent		Husber	Percent		Rusber	Percent	Kusber	Percent	Nusber	Percea
								•					
LBANY		1,246	79.3 75.4		11	-1		302		۹ ۵		1,572	
ILLÉGANY Irdhy		46	45.9		292	• -				712		61	
IROOXE		12,911	80.1		111	.9		5,663 110		17		19,578 667	
		107	53.0		6 1	.1		110	-	11 0			• • • •
attaraugus Cayuga		193	43.0 81.4		0	.0		42		2		115 237	
.HTUSH .HAUTAUQUA		289	86.5		2			41		. 2		334	
.HERUNG		284	90.5		4	1.3		21		4	•-	316	
HENANGO		103	10.J 88.g		. 3					2	••	116	
LINTOX		174	88.3		3 1	.5		21					
			68.9									197	
DLUKBIA		177			1	.4		75		4	•••	257	
ORTLAND		121	78.6		0	-		33		0	••	154	
ELAWARE		95	78.5		2	1.7		24		0		121	
DUTCHESS		780	71.0		2			299		17		1,098	
RIE		2,744	58.5		E9			1,79		65	•••	4,693	
SSEX		71	80.7		0			11		1		88	
KANKL IN		90	88.2	•	2			10			•	102	
ULTON		157	87.7		0			20		- 2		179	
SENESEE		120	93.8		0			1			.8	128	
GREENE		102	80.3		2			27		1		127	
IANILTON		11	73.3		0							15	
ERKINER		102	88.7		0	, .		11				115	
EFFERSON		221	88.4		3			23		3	•	250	-
(1865		15,790	60.1		312			8,15		1,997		26,252	
EXIS		35	87.B		1					. 2		41	
IVINESTON		85	86.7		ľ	1.0		10		2	-	98	
ADISON		118	88.1		0			1		(134	
IONROE		1,598	63.3		51			630		245	•	2,524	
IDNTGONERY		104	86.0		2	-	•	13		. 7		121	
ASSAU		3,523	78.6		45			51/		398		4,482	
IEN YORK		21,032	58.4		311			13,84		811		35,997	
IAGARA		670	79.3		10	-		- 16		. 4		845	
DKEIDA		528	90.9		0			54		3		581	
DHDHDAGA		1,346	73.1		16			46		11		1,841	
DHTARIO		205	89.1		2			- 1		; k		230	
IRANGE		855	6B.2		6			37		23		1,254	
IRLEANS		84	69.4		10			2		1		124	
ISKEGO		240	91.3		2	.8		1			3.4	263	- /
DISEGO		126	77.3		. 1	.6		2		ī	4.3	163	3 100
PUTHAN		96	53.0		2	1.1		8	44.2		1.7	181	1 100

TABLE 40 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1985

(Continued on next page,)

B-15

0

.

	Coavi	ctions		Acqui	ittals	Disai	ssals		Other			70	tal
COUNTY	Number	Fercent		Xusber	Percent	Husber	Percent	Huab	er Percen	- t -		Kusber	Perceal
										-			
IUEENS Rensselaer	7,533	59.5 81.4		204	1.6	4,684 70	37.0 18.1	. 2	17 1.			12,668	100.0
RICHNOND	939	46.3			.3	897	44.4	· · ·	1. BO B.			387	100.0
ROCKLAND	541	77.0		1	.3	159	22.6					2,027	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	- 28	70.1		- 1	1.0	27	27.8		1.			97	100.0
SARATOSA	378	79.4			.2	95	20.0		2 .			476	100.
SCHENECTADY	375	81.2			.9	68	14.7		15 3.			462	100.
SCHOHARIE	57	76.0		2	2.7	14	18.7		2 2.			75	100.
SCHUYLER	51	96.2		0	.0	2	3.8			0		53	100.
SENECA	49	74.2		i i	1.5	15	22.7		1 1.			66	100.
STEUBEN	150	76.5	•	L	3.1	38	19.4		2 1.			196	100.
SUFFOLK	3,489	80.2		47	1.0	834	18.1		30 .			4,599	100.
SULLIVAN	309	68.5		Û	.0	140	31.0			4		451	100.
T106A	83	87.4		ũ	.0	11	11.6		1 1.			95	100.
TONPKINS	150	67.9		4	1.B	61	27.6		6 2.		-	221	100.
ULSTER	423	73.6		2	.5	143	24.9		6 1.	0		575	100.
ARREN	174	74.0		2	.9	59	25.1		0	0		235	100.
WASHINGTON	119	88.1		2	1.5	13	4.7		1.	7		134	100.
ATHE	227	90.4		1	.4	21	8.4		2.	8		251	100.
ESTCHESTER	2,683	79.6		40	1.2	581	17.2		68 2.	0		3,372	100.
NYONING	64	91.4		0	.0	5	7.1		1, 1.			70	100.
YATES	41	89.1		0	.0	4	8.7		1 2.			46	
NEN YORK STATE	85,823			1,523		40,918		4,5	48 3.			133,012	100.
NEW YORK CITY	58,205	60.3		1,128	1.2	33,241	34,4	3,5	48 i .	1		96,522	100.

.

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARCESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SDURCE: WYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ø

TABLE 5A FELORY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOA ARRESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

				Felony										
		Tot	1	Vic	ilent	 Di	her	Hi	sdea	servor	Lesser	lienses		otal
COUNTY		Husber	Fercent	Nusber	Percent	Kunber	Percent	Nust	£1	Fercent	Kusber	Percent	Number	Percent
ALBANY		289		25	1.5	224	5.0	1,1		44.5	2,196		4,477	
ALLEGANY		15		2	.9	13	6.0		40	15.1	60	÷ · · ·	215	
BRONT		4,328		· 1,822	7.4	2,504	10.5	12,1		52.9	6,890	28.9	23,820	
ERODIE		270		70	3.3	200	9.4	1,		82.8 15 1	440	20.4	2,136	
CATTARAUSUS		40			.6 1.4	92 29	5.3 7.9		41	65.4 66.8	193 190	28.4 23.9	674 796	
CAYUGA CHAUTAUQUA		113		24	1.4	89	5.9		162	63.3	444		1,519	
CHEMUNG		198		45	4,1	153	13.8		146	67.2	166		1,110	
CHENAKGO		23		5	.9	18	3.1		141 141	58.4	220		584	
CLINICH		53		· i		49	5.5		29	48.1	410		872	• •
COLUNBIA		62		L.	.9	56	8.5		320	48.6	276		658	
COLTLAND		70		13	1.9	57	8.0		255	35.9	388		714	
DELANARE		25		8	1.7	17	3,5		257	53.5	198		480	
DUTCHESS		307		65	2.2	252	8.1		243	42.7	1,360		2,910	
ERIE		900	-	241	2.1	659	5.9		114	34.9	6,415		11,229	
ESSET		24	5.7	6	1.4	18	4.3		214 -	50.4	185		423	
FRANKLIN		39		6	1.1	33	6.2		290	54.7	201		530	
FULTON		61	11.4	15	2.8	46	8.6		238	44.5	236		535	100.0
BENESEE		6E	12.1	14	2,5	54	9.6		264	46.8	232	41.1	564	100.0
GREENE		25	5.8	5	1.2	20	4.7		263	61.2	142	33.0	430	100.0
HANILTON		4	10.8	0	.0	4	10.8		22	59.5	11	29.7	37	100.0
HERKINER		38	1.7	. 4	.8	34	6.9		290	58.9	164	33.3	492	100.0
JEFFERSON		129	16.5	28	3.6	101	12.9		101	51.9	247	31.6	782	100.0
KIN6S		5,484	21.0	2,442	9.4	3,042	11,7	10,	305	39.5	10,303	39.5	26,092	100.0
LEWIS		. 12		1 I	. 6	11	7.1		65	41.9	78		155	
LIVINGSTON		57		1	. 8	50			(43	51,3	364		864	
MADISON		85		18	2.8	67	10.3		536	51.5	232		653	
NONROE		840		256	4.7	584	10.8	2,	566	47.4	2,003		5,409	100.0
KONTEONERY		75		1	1.1	68			278	43.2	291		-644	
KASSAU		1,450		474	3.9	975			756	47.3	4,963		12,169	
NEW YORK		7,285		2,802		4,483		39,	587	59.7	19,447		66,319	
NIAGARA		166		34	1.1	134			091	38.3	1,74		3,005	
ONEIDA		213		71	3.6	142		· · · 1,	053	53.6	691		1,964	
ONDHDAGA		629	21.3	239	6.1	590	15.1	1,	513	38.8	1,551	39.9	3,900) 100.0
ONTARIO		182	1B.0	31	3,1	151	15.0		543	53.8	284		 1,009	100.0
ORANGE		347		84	2.8	263		1,	218	40.8	1,420		2,985	5 100.0
ORLEANS		61		- 14	5.2	53			150	55.8	57	2 19.3	269	100.0
OSWEGO.		113		27	2.4	86	7.6		519	46.0	491		1,126	
DISEGD				7	. 8	34			234	28.3	553	66.7	827	
PUTHAN			1.4.1	1 - 1 - 1 - 1	.2	8	1.2		228	34.8	416	63.8	655	i 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS #

(Continued on next page.)

FELDHY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDHY AND MISDEMEANOR AKRESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued TABLE SA

			Felony										
	Tota	3	• Vio	lent	01	iher	Hisde	88300°		Lesser 0	fienses	Ta	otal
COUNTY	Humber	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nueber	Percent	Nueber	Percent		Nunber	Percent	Number	Percent
IUEENS	3,523	21.2	1,123	7.0	2,360	14.2	7,473	45.0		5,629	33.9	16,625	100.0
RENSSELAER	135	9.7	33	2.4	102	7.3	536	38.3		727	52.0	1,398	100.0
TCHNOND	254	11.9	113	5.3	141	4.5	868	41.6		991	46.5	2,133	100.0
DCKLAND	340	18.1	57	3.0	283	15.1	738	39.3		802	42.7	1,880	100.0
T. LAWRENCE	1	. 9	1	1	k	.8	357	45.9		414	53.2	778	100.0
ARATOSA	88	3.B	24	1.0	64	2.9	713	31.1		1,491	65.1	2,292	
CHENECTADY	168	10.7	39	2.5	129	8.2	716	45.7		184	43.6	1,568	
CHDHARIE	27	8.0	6	1.8	21	4.2	142	42.1		168	49.9	337	100.
CHUYLER	13	6.1	4	1.9	9	4.2	- 156	72.9		45	21.0	214	100.
ENECA	27	9.3	. 1	.3	26	8.9	185	63.6		79	27.1	291	100.
TEUBEN	70	10.0	14	2.0	56	8.0	354	50.5		277	39.5	701	100.
UFFOLK	1,905	15.1	545	4.3	1,360	10.8	3,897	30.9		6,806	54.0	17,608	100.
ULLIVAN	133	16.6	17	1.4	116	9.3	549	43.8		571	45.6	1,253	
106A	42	8.9	8	1.7	34	7.2	284	£0.2		146	30.9	472	100.
OKPK1N5	98	12.8	21	2.7	17	10.1	296	38.7		370	48.4	764	100.
LSTER	193	11.5	37	2.2	156	9.3	892	52.9		603	35.4	1,885	100.
ARREN	32	2.9	. 7	. 6	25	2.3	493	44.7	11	577	52.4	1,102	100.
ASHINGTON	45	8.0	3	.5	42	7.5	257	45.8		259	46.2	561	100.
AYHE	112	9.0	15	1.2	97	7.8	677	54.3		457	36.7	1,246	100.
ESTCHESTER	1,369	17.1	491	6.1	878	10.9	3,303	41.2		3,352	41.8	8,024	100.
YONING	32	18.3	2	· · 1.1	30	17.1	89	50.9		54	30.9	175	
ATES	33	23.6	- 5	3.6	28	20.0	17	55.0		30	21.4	140	-
EN YORK STATE	33,128	13.9	11,610	4.8	21,519	9.0	116,567	48.5		90,700	37.7	240,395	100.
EN YORK CITY	20,874	15.5	8,342	6.2	12,532	9.3	70,855	52.5		43,260	32.0	134,989	100.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data way be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Crisical Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Ð

TABLE 58 FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARKESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

					Felony									
	To	tal	 Yio	leat		DI	her	Ki sde	BEANOF		Lesser (lifenses	Ta	otal
UNTY	Number	Fercent	Kusber	Percent		Nunber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Kusber	Percent	Kusber	Percent
BANY	279	22.4	65	5.2		214	17.2	878	70.5		89	7.1	1,246	100.0
LEGANY	- 14	30.4	2	4.3		12	26.1	30	65.2		2	4.3	46	100.0
JHI	4,291	33.2	1,822	14.1		2,469	19.1	6,015	46.6		2,605	20.2	12,911	100.0
ONE	258	48.3	70	13.1		188	35.2	243	45.5		33	6.2	534	100.0
TARAUSUS	35	32.7	- 4	3.7		31	29.0	- 51	57.0		- 11	10.3	107	100.0
ruga -	66	34.2	11	5.7		55	28.5	124	64.2		. 3	1.6	193	
AUTAUQUA	103	35.6	24	8.3		79	27.3	172	59.5		14	4.8	289	-
Enux6	191	66.B	45	15.7		146	51.0	66	30.1		9	3.1	284	100.0
ENANGO	20	19,4	5	4.9		15	14.6	75	72.8		8	7.9	103	
INTON	45	25.9	- 4	2.3		- 41	23.6	116	66.7		13	7.5	174	100.4
UNBIA	55	31.1	₽ .	3.4		49	27.7	104	58.8		18	10.2	177	106.0
RTLAND	50	41.3	. 13	10.7		37	30.6	65	53.7		6	5.0	121	
AHARE	25	26.3	8	8.4		17	17.9	66	69.5		- 4	4.2	95	100.
CHESS	283	35.3	65	8.3		218	27.9	409	52.4		88	11.3	780	100.
E	833	36,4	241	8.8		592	21.4	1,523	55.5		388	- 14.1	2,744	100.
EL	23	32.4	6	8.5		17	23.9	46	64.8		2	2.8	71	100.
IKL IN	34	37.8	. · 6	6.7		28	31.1	49	51.4		1	7.8	90	100.
TON	59	36.9	- 15	8.6		- 43	27.4	91	58.0		8	5.1	157	100.
ESEE	60	50.0	14	11.7		46	38.3	60	50.0		0	.0	120	100.
ENE	24	23.5	5	4.9		19	18.4	67	65.7	1. A.	. 11	10.8	102	100.
ILTON	4	36.4	•	.0		4	36.4	7	63.6		0	.0	11	100.
KINER	33	32.4	. 4	3.9		29	28.4	62	60.8		1	4.9	102	100.
FFERSON	119	53.B	28	12.7		- 91	41.2	100	45.2		2	.9	221	100.
NGS	5,465	34.6	2,442	15.5	4 - C	3,023	19.1	6,232	39.5		4,093	25.9	15,790	100.
115	11	30.5	1	2.8		10	27.8	24	66.7		1	2.8	36	. 100.
VINGSTON	40	47.1	. 1	8.2		33	38.8	43	50.6		2	2.4	85	i 100.
DISON	73	61.9	18	15.3		55	46.6	43	36.4		2		118	100.
NROE	802	50.2	256	16.0		546	34.2	680	41.3		136		1,598	100.
TEDNERY	72	69.2	2	6.7		65	62.5	28	25.9		. 4	3.8	104	
SSAU	1,329	37.7	474	13.5		855	21.3	1,926	54.7		268		3,523	
YORK	7,244	34.4	2,802	13.3		4,442	21.1	9,461	45.0		4,327		21,032	
AGARA	159	23.7	34	5.1		125	18.7	429	64.0		82		670	
ELDA	190	36.0	71	13,4		119	22.5	299	56.6		39		528	
CNDAGA	790	58,7	239	17.8		551	10.9	484	36.0		72		1,344	
TARIO	152	74,1	31	15.1		121	59.0	48			5		205	
ANGE	337	39,4	84	9.8		253	29.6	428			90		855	
LEAKS	58	67.4	14	16.3		44	51.2	24			4	4.7	B6	
NEGO	101	42,1	27	11.3		74	30.8	127			12		240	
SE60	- 39	31.0	7	5.6		32	25.4	73			14		126	
ITNAM	8	8,3	1	1.0		7	7.3	73			15		96	

· .

1

ø

				Feli	рау							
	Ta	otal	Via	leat	01	ter	Ki sde	azanor	Lesser D	ffenses	To	tal
COUNTY	Nueber	Percent	Nueber	Fercent	Husber	Percent	Kunber	Percent	Nueber	Percent	Hunder	Percen
DUEENS	3,407	45.2	1,163	15.4	2,244	29.8	2,723	36.1	1,403	18.4	7,533	100.
RENSSELAER	124	39.4	33	10.5	91	28.9	167	53.0	24	7.6	315	100.
RICHHOND	251	26.7	113	12.0	138	14.7	487	51.9	201	21.4	939	100.
ROCKLAND	324	59.9	57	10.5	267	49.4	163	30.1	54	10.0	541	100.
ST. LAWRENCE	6	8.8	1	1.5	5	7.4	54	79.4	8	11.8	6B	100.
SARATOGA	80	21.2	24	6.3	56	14.B	233	61.6	65	17.2	378	100.
CHENECTADY	158	42.1	39	10.4	119	31.7	193	51.5	24	6.4	375	100.
CHOHAR LE	24	42.1	. 6	10.5	18	31.4	29	50.9	÷	. 7.0	57	100.
CHUYLER	13	25.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.5	9	17.4		68.7	· . 4	7.8	51	100.
ENECA	20	40.8	. 1	2.\$	19.	38.B	27	. 55.1	2	4.1	49	100.
TEUBEN	60	40.0	14	9.3	46	30.7	86	57.3	4	2.7	150	100.
UFFOLK	1,223	44.0	545	14.8	1,078	29.2	1,365	37.0	700	19.0	2,688	100.
ULLIVAN	118	38.2	17	5.5	101	32.7	150	48.5	41	13.3	309	100.
IDGA	39	47.0	8	9.6	31	37.3	42	50.6	2	2.4	83	100.
ONPKINS	76	50.7	21	14.0	55	36.7	73	48.7	1	.1	150	100.
ILSTER	167	39.5	37	8.7	130	30.7	221	52.2	35	8.3	423	100.
ARREN	29	16.7	7	4.0	22	12.6	127	73.0	18	10.3	174	100.
ASHINGTON	41	34.7	3	2.5	38	32.2	71	60.2		5.1	118	100.
iayhe	92	40.5	15	6.6	17	33.9	129	56.8	6	2.4	227	100.
ESTCHESTER	1,227	45.7	491	18.3	736	27.4	1,262	47.0	194	7.2	2,683	100.
YONING	27	42.2	2	3.1	25	39.1	37	57.8	Ô	.0	64	100.
ATES	- 31	75.6	5	12.2	25	63.4	9	22.0	1	2.4		100.
EW YORK STATE	31,778	37.1	11,610	13.6	20,168	23.6	38,553	45.0	15,292	17.9	85,623	100.
NEW YORK CITY	20,658	35.5	8,342	14.3	12,316	21.2	24,918	42.8	12,629	21.7	58,205	

TABLE SB FELONY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARKESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1965 - Continued

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Crisical Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

					SENTENCES AS A	PERCENT OF TO	TAL CONVICTION	IS					
COUNTY	State Prison	-	Local Jail		Local Jail and Probation		Probation		Fines		Other		Totat
AL BANY	3.7		12.8		7.7		13.2		57.9		4.7		100.0
ALLEGANY	1.4		11.2		5.8		14.7		50.2		14.7		100.0
RONI	7.9		39.3		1.6		8.9		23.0		19.2		100.0
ROOKE	3.2		14.7		3.8		12.5		36.2		29.6		100.
ATTARAUGUS	1.5		15.0		1.8		7.6		63,4 -		10.8		100.
AYU6A	3.4		17.8		4.0		4.0		46.4		24.4		100.
HAUTAUQUA	2.0		12.6		4.0		11.4		55.9		14.2		100.
HENUNG	6.4		12.8		4.9		16.8		42,9		16.3		100.
HENANGO	1.4		11.5		5.1		14.7		45.2		22.1		100.
LINION	1.7		12.6		2.2		7.8		62.4		13.2		100.
OLUXBIA	5.5		19.3		4.7		7.4				8.7		
OKTLAND	2.7		11.8		2.4		13.7		54.4				100.
ELAVARE			8.8						59.0		10.5		100.
UTCHESS					4.2		9.2		55.6		21.7		100.
	2.2		14.8		5.2		11.0		59.9		6.B		100.
RIE	3.2		18.4		2.7		13.5		39,9		22.3		100.
SSEI	2.6		6.9		1.9		7.8		64.1		16.8		100.
RANKLIN	1.3		13.4		4.2		12.5		50.2		18.3		100.
ULTOX	3.9		13.1		5.2		12.1		50.J		15.3		100.
ENESEE	2.5		9.2		7.6		21.5		49.1		10.1		100.
REENE	1.9		11.9		.7		9.3		\$7.4		8.8		100.
ANILTON	2.7		18.9		.0		8.1		54.1		16.2		100.
ERKIMER	1.6		15.7		2.6		6.1		61.4		12.6	•	100.
EFFERSON	2.8		14.1		7.4		10.9		55.1		9.7		100.
11165	10.3		26.1		4.9		10.7		29.1		18.9		100.
ENIS	1.3		9.0		5.2		15.5		55.5		13.5		100.
IVINESTON	.8		8.9		6.8		7.9		59.3		16.3		100.
ADISON	2.5		8.4		5.4		13.3		60.9		9.5		100.
OKROE	5.5		13.6		6.1		14.7		38.3		21.7		100.
ONTGOMERY	3.1		9.9		5.0		8.5		58.5		14.9		100.
ASSAU	4.1		16.6		6.4		18.4		31.8		22.8		100.
EN YORK	5.3		57.2		.8		4.7		9.0	•	23.0		100.
IAGARA	2.1		22.0		2.8		10.9		48.9		13.3		100.
NEIDA	3.2		16.2		3.7		11.7		52.8		12.3		100.
NONDAGA	7.1		15.2		3.7		17.7		35.2		21.2		100.
NTARIO	4.8		15.0		5.5		8.4		54.4		12.0		
RANGE	2.9		13.2		5.2		14.0		56.1		8.5	•	100.
RLEANS	4.1		11.2	•	11.9		17.5						100.
SKE60	2.2		14.3		5.2				36.4		16.0		100.
TSE60	1.3		14.3		2.4		10.2		58.0		10.1		100.
UTKAN							6.3		72.3		9.2		100.
u I A A A	.5		7.5		1.2		10.4		. 77. 9		2.4		100.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

(Continued on next page.)

COUNTY	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines '	Other	Total
ULERS	9.7	33.7	3.8	10.8	24.7	17.3	100.0
VENSSELAER	2.3	15.2	3.4	13.3	51.4	14.2	100.
ICHKOND	5.3	20.B	1.7	13.4	32.8	26.0	100.
OCKLAND	4.3	£1.0	9.1	12.2	58.0	5.5	100.
T. LAWRENCE	.3	5.7	1.4	5.3	61-1	26.3	100.
ARATOGA	1.3	9.2	1.0	5.8	74.0	8.6	100.
CHENECTADY	2.7	18.9	2.0	13.2	54.5	8.6	109.
CHOHARIE	3.6	4.5	4.2	5.3	71.8	10.7	100.
CHUYLER	.5	7.5	5.6	28.0	49.5	8.9	100
ENECA	1.0	11.7	5.2	8.9	52.9	- 20.3	100
TEUBER	2.4	10.7	4.6	11.0	53.B	17.4	100
UFFOLK	1.6	10.4	5.5	13.4	57.3	8.8	100
ULLIVAN	3.2	19.9	4.1	13.5	47.2	12.2	100
1064	1.5	7.6	2.8	10.0	67.1	16.1	100
DKPKINS	3.5	7.7	2.6	15.1	47.0	24.1	100
LSTER	3.3	11.1	2.9	10.1	59.3	10.3	100
ARREN	.5	14.0	1.9	5.8	£0.8	17.1	100
ASHINGTON	.2	12.3	3.4	4.2	64.3	13.5	100
AYNE	1.3	9.7	3.0	11.9	54.3	19.8	100
ESTCHESTER	6.8	16.3	4.4	15.5	47.1	9.9	100
YONING	4.9	5.7	9.7	21.7	50.9	5.1	100
ATES	4.3	12.9	8.4	11.4	36.4	26.4	100
EN YORK STATE	5.7	31.2	3.2	10.0	31.5	18.3	100
EN YORK CITY	7.3	44.5	2.1	7.5	17.7	20.9	100

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

	State I	rison	Local	Jail	Local and Pri		Prob	ation	Fin	25	Dt	aer	10	ital
COUNTY	Felony Conv	Nisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Xisd L Lesser Conv	Fellony Conv	Nisd 1 Lesser Eony	Felony Conv	Kisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coay	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	Nisd N Lesser Conv
il Bahy	57.4	NA	1.4	13.4	24.9	4.5	16.3	13.0	.0	61.9	.0	5.0	100.0	100.
ALLEGANY	20.0	KA	13.3	11.0	20.0	4.5	46.7	14.5	.0	54.0	.0	16.0	100.0	100.
ROWI	43.4	NA	16.6	44.4	7.5	.1	31.4	4.0	.2	28.1	.,	23.3	100.0	100.
BROOKE	25.6	ha	13.0	14.9	21.1	1,3	36.3	9.0	.1	41.4	3.3	33.4	100.0	100.
CATTARAUGUS	25.0	KA	17.5	14.8	17.5	, 8	40.0	5.5	.0	67.4	.0	11.5	100.0	100.
Cayuga	36.5	NA	13.5	18.3	33.8	1.0	14.9	2.9	.0	51.1	1.4	26.7	100.0	100.
CHAUTAUQUA	26.5	NA	17.7	12.2	29.2	2.0	23.9	10.4	2.7	60.2	.0	15.3	100.0	100.
CHENUNS	35.9	NA	11.5	13.0	16.2	2.4	32.8	13.3	2.0	51.8	1.5	19.5	106.0	100.
CHENANGO	34.8	na	26.1	10.9	34.8	3.9	4.3	15.2	.0	47.1	.0	23.0	100.0	100.
CLINTON	28.3	NA	13.2	12.5	20.8	1.1	32.1	1.3	3.B	66.2	1.1	13.4	100.0	100.
COLUXBIA	58.1	XÁ	14.5	19.8	17.7	3.4	8.1	7.4	1.6	59.9	.0	9.6	100.0	100.
EORTLAND	27.1	XA	12.9	11.6	15.7	٩.	41.4	10.7	.0	65.4	2.9	11.3	100.0	100.
DELAVARE	12.0	XA	16.0	8.4	28.0	2.9	36.0	1.7	4.0	58.5	4.0	22.6	100.0	100.
DUTCHESS	20.8	NA	9,1	15.5	32.2	2.0	37.1	8.0	٥.	67.0	.7	7.5	100.0	100.
ERIE	39.7	KA	14.0	18.B	10.7	2.0	34.0	11.8	.9	43.3	.9	24.2	100.0	100.
ESSEI	45.8	KA	8,3	6.8	8.3	1.5	37.5	6.0	.0	67.9	.0	17.8	100.0	100.
FRANKLIN	17.9	KA	12.8	13.4	25.6	2.4	41.0	10.4	.0	54.2	2.6	19.4	100.0	100.
FULTON	34.4	KA	14,8	\$2.9	26.2	2,5	21.3	11.0	3.3	54.3	.0	17.3	100.0	100.
GEKESEE	20.5	WA	5.9	9.7	38.7	3.2	33.8	19.8	.0	55.B	.0	11.5	100.0	100.
GREEKE	32.0	KA	24.0	11.1	4.0	,5	36.0	7.7	4.0	71.4	.0	9.4	100.0	100.
HANILTON	25.0	¥A.	50.0	15.2	.0	.0	25.0	å.1	.0	10.1	.0	18.2	100.0	100.
HERKINER	21.1	KA	23.7	15.0	21.1	1.1	28.9	4.2	5.3	66.1	.0	13.7	100.0	100.
JEFFERSON	17.1	NA	17.B	13.3	36.4	1.7	26.4	7.8	1.5	\$5.7	.8	11.5	100.0	100.
K1W65	49.0	HA	8.7	30.B	19.5	1.0	21.2	7.9	1.0	36.6	.5	23.8	100.0	100.
LEVIS	16.7	XA	8.3	9.1	33.3'	2.8	41.7	13.3	.0	60.1	.0	14.7	100.0	100.
LIVINGSTON	12.3	KA	26.3	7.7	49.1	3.8	10.5	7.7	.0	63.4	1.9	17.3	100.0	100.
NADISON	18.8	KA	11.8	7.9	28.2	1.9	38.B	9.5	.0	70.1	2.4	10.4	100.0	100
KONRDE	35.7	XA	11.9	14.0	28.0	2.1	23.0	13.2	.4	45.3	1.1	25.5	100.0	
NONTGOMERY	26.7	NA.	12.0	9.7	32.0	1.4	28.0	4.0	1.3	66.1	.0	16.9	100.0	
KASSAU	34.4	NA.	14.5	14.0	25.6	3.8	23.3	17.7	1.3	36.0	.8	25.7	100.0	
NEW YORK	4B.3	NA	20.4	61.7	6.4	.1	23.1	2.4	.4	10.1	1.2	25.7	100.0	
KLASARA	38.1	KA.	23.8	21.9	1.2	2.7	33.3	9.6	.0	51.7	.6	14.1	100.0	
DKEIDA	29.6	KA	16.9	16.2	23.9	1.3	28.2	9.7	.9	59.1	.5	13.6	100.0	
ONONDAGA	33.4	NA	18.2	14.3	12.9	1.2	33.4	13.4	.2	11.6	1.8	25.3	100.0	
DNTARIO	26.4	KA	26.9	12.3	22.0	1.8	21.4	5.6	1.6	64.0	1.6	14.3	100.0	
DRAKEE	25.4	KA	20.1	14.2	28.0	2.2	40.3	10.5	.0	63.5	.9	8.5	100.0	
ORLEANS	16.4	KA	1.5	14.4	44.8	5.0	35.8	11.4	.0	48.5	1.5	20.8	100.0	
OSVEGO	22.1	NA	1.5	14.1	37.2	1.7	- 22.1	8.9	1.8	64.2	.9	11.1	100.0	
015660	22.1	KA	7.3	B.5	36.6	.6	29.3	5.1	.0	76.1	.0	9.7	100.0	
PUTNAN	33.3	NA	.0	7.6	11.1	1.1	55.6	9.8	.0	78.9	.0	2.6	100.0	

• •

TABLE 68 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEREAKOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

48-26-27

ALCONDARY -

1000

643.955

_¥

.

1.428.4.1.

and and a second second

100,255

.

I Continued on next page. 1

•

Constant and

Fail

1997 B. 1997

642 3.91312

ALC: 1 111

21.20-1-0

	State	Prison	Local	Jail	Local and Pro		Prob	ation	Fin	15	Ot	her	To	tal
COUNTY	Felony Conv	Nisd 5 Lesser Coav	Felony Coav	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felosy Eony	Nisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Coay	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Kisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd I Lesser Conv
	15 0		0.7	40.1	15.4	,	27.1	•		31.0	,	21.8	190.0	100
QUEENS	45,8	XA	9.7	15.0	17.8	.7 1.9	41.5	6.4 10.3	1.3	57.1	.7	15.7	100.0	100.0
ENSSELAER	23.7	KA	16.3	22.5	17.8	.4	32.3	10.5	.7 2.4	36.9	.0 1.2	29.4	100.0	100.0
XICHNOND Kockland	44.1 23.5	na Na	2.9	12.7	37.6	2.8	35.6	7.0	.0	70.B	.3	6.6	100.0	100.
SI. LAWRENCE	28.6	XA	.0	5.7	42.9	1.0	28.6	5.1	.0	61.6	.0	25.6	100.0	100.0
SARATOSA	33.0	NA NA	26.1	8.5	17.0	.4	23.9	5.1	.0	77.0	.0	8.9	100.0	100.0
SCHERECTADY	25.6	XA	12.5	19.7	13.1	.7	47.0	9.1	1.2	60.9	.6	9.6	100.0	100.
SCHOHARIE	44.4	×n XA	11.1	3.9	37.0	1.3	7.4	5.2	.0	78.1	.0	11.6	100.0	100.
SCHUYLER	7.7	XA	23.1	6.5	53.8	2.5	15.4	28.9	.0	52.7	.0	9.5	100.0	100.
SERECA	11.1	KA	18.5	11.0	51,9	.4	18.5	8.0	.0	58.3	.0	-22.3	100.0	100.
STEUDEN	25.7	XA	17.1	10.0	37.1	1.0	18.6	10.1	.0	59.7	1.4	19.2	100.0	100.
SUFFOLK	30.6	KA	11.4	10.2	26.1	1.8	22,4	11.9	4.9	66.6	4.7	9.6	100.0	100.
SULLIVAN	30.1	XA	12.8	20.7	24.8	1.6	30.1	11.5	1.5	52.4	.8	13.4	100.0	100.
T106A	16.7	KA	11.9	7.2	23.8		40.5	7.0	4.9	67.7	2.4	17.4	100.0	100.
TOMPKINS	27.6	KA	8.2	7.7	14.3	.9	43.9	10.B	.0	53.9	6.1	26.7	100.0	100.
ULSTER	28.5	KA	8.8	14.9	17.6	1.0	44.0	5.7	.5	66.9	.5	11.6	100.0	100.
VARREN	15.6	NA.	34.4	13.4	25.0	1.2	25.0	5.2	.0	62.6	.0	17.6	100.0	100.
VASHINGTON	2.2	NA	40.0	9.9	13.3	2.5	44,4	2.9	.0	70.0	.0	14.7	100.0	100.
VAYNE	14.3	KA	15.2	9.2	25.9	.7	43.8	8.7	.9	59.6	.0	21.8	100.0	100.
RESTCHESTER	39.7	NA	5.0	18.4	17.3	1.7	37.3	11.0	.4	56.9	.3	11.B	100.0	100.
WYONING	37.5	NA	£.3	5.6	25.0	6.3	28.1	20.3	3.1	61.5	.0	6.3	100.0	100.
YATES	18.2	HA	9.1	14.0	30.3	1.9	39.4	2.8	3.0	46.7	.0	34.6	100.0	100.
NEW YORK STATE	41.6	KA	13.8	34.0	15.8	1.2	26.8	7.3	۴.	36.4	1.1	21.0	100.0	100.
NEW YORK CITY	47.0	KA	14.6	50.0	11.7	.4	25,1	4.2	.7	20.8	۹,	24.6	100.0	100.

TABLE &B PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SDURCE: HYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

. 6

					INVESTIG	ATIONS				PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED	l
	INT	AKE +	Tat.	1	Fasily C	ourt	Crisinal	Court		Tota	1	Family C	ourt	Crisisal	Court
UNTY	Rate	Rant	Rate	Kant	Rate	kant	Rate	Rzak		Rate	Rank	fate	Rant	Rate	Rank
BANY	456.2		1,084.7	9	206.4	18	878.2	8		114.8	17	20.8	21	94.1	17
LEGAXY	903.7		396.8	58	70.7	56	326.1	54		.0	53	.0	44	.0	4
IONI	643.2		102.7	42	88.7	50	514.0	33		104.0	19	100.8	5	3.2	3
looke	1,388.1		919.8		291.0	1	627.9	20		1.9	51	1.9	40	.0	
ATTARAUGUS	364.4		479.3		169.9	26	309.4	58		10.5	46	1.2	42	9.4	3
AANEW	632.4		398.2		B1.4	52	316.8	55		1.3	52	.0	44	1.3	- 4
AUTAUOLA	854.2		805.7	21	205.9	19	598.7	21		153.2	13	45.8	12	107.5	1
IERUK6	1,996.9		1,195.8		233.7	13	\$62.0	6	-	132.6	14	2.2	38	130.4	10
IENAK60	1,837.4		820. <i>L</i>		76.1	55	744.6	15		30.0	35	30.0	17	.0	
_1NTOX	1,107.0		825.0		447.5	2	377.6	47		14.7	42	11.0	28	3.7	34
LUNBIA	354.8		551.1	47	80.9	53	470.3	40		.0	53	.0	44	.0	43
DRTLAND	2,861.2		1,078.2		234.3	12	841.9	10		.0	53	.0	- 44	. Š	43
ELAVARE	1,546.2		443.6		87.4	51	156.2	50		219.7	8	219.7	2	.0	
JICHESS	1,081.9	27	٤32.3	41	124.2	37	506.1	34		50.2	27	36.8	15	13.3	2
RIE	550.B		580.6		110.8	42	469.8	41		.0	53	.0	44	.0	
SSEI	1,056.0	29	316.2	59	101.7	46	214.5	20		16.5	40	13.7	23	2.6	3
RANKL IN	1,705.8	6	1,438.6	-4	244.3	11	1,194.3	3		45.7	30	4.6	35	41.1	2
ULTOX	296.0	58	649.7	39	166.0	28	463.7	38		16.2	-41	15.2	22	.0	1
ENESEE	1,436.0	10	1,136.3	8	171.0	25	965.2	5		164.3	12	5.1	- 33	159.2	. 4
REEKE	1,138.0	24	294.1	61	50.6	60	243.5	58		4.8	49	4.8	34	٥.	S.
ANILTON	20.1	£2	140.4	62	20.1	62	120.4	62		.0	53	.0	44	.0	- 4
ERKIKER	173.3	61	484.2	50	245.1	10	239.1	59		6.0	48	3.0	37	3.0	
EFFERSON	1,793.8	5	746.2	28	63.2	57	683.0	18		.0	53	.0	- 44	.0	- 1
INGS	608.6	45	521.7	48	61.9	-58	459.8	43		68.5	25	62.5	8	6.0	3
EXIS	556.3	46	480.8	51	123.2	37	357.6	49		123.2	15	7.9	30	115.2	1
IVIN5STON	756.1	37	764.6	26	166.3	27-	598.3	22		25.7	38	24.0	20	1.7	- 3
ADISON	1,347.0	14	674.2	35	131.8	35	542.4	28		64.4	26	1.5	41	62.9	
ONROE	651.7	42	594.9	43	130.8	36	464.1	42		38.2	33	38.2	14	.0	
DNIGDMERY	297.0	57	580.7	44	211.3	17	368.3			13.3	43	13.3	24	3.	
ASSAU	1,414.1	. 11	1,071.9	11	177.6	23	894.3	1		269.3	4	6.2	32	263.1	
E¥ YORK	402.0	54	831.5	18	49.7	- 61	781.9	11		99.3	20	53.0	9	46.3	. 7
LAGARA	962.2	31	667.5	36	117.3	31-	520.1	32		.0	53	.0	44	.0	
NEIDA	727.9	38	742.7	29	163.9	29	57B.3	27		29.1	36	2.0	39	27.1	
NONDAGA	1,246.0		1,450.4	3	229.7	14	1,220.9	2		241.8	6	116.9	4	124.9	
NTAKIO	726.3		798.6		110.4	43	688.4	17		10.8	45	.0	4	10.8	
RANGE	1,320.8	15	691.7		111.0	41	580.8	25		110.6	18	.0	44	110.6	
RLEANS	1,093.5		146.6		76.6	54	590.2	23		115.0	16	10.2		104.8	
SWEED	551.5		1,359.6		252.6	9	1,107.1	4		183.0	11	.0		183.0	
ISEGO	683.9		449.7		153.3	30	296.5	57		203.8	9	.0	44	203.9	
UTNAN	882.6		496.9		96.4	47	400.5	46		38.3		38.3		.0	

TABLE 7A-1 PRODATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANYS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

(Continued on mext page.)

B-25

					INVESTIG	ATIONS			PF	RE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASE	5 RECEIVED	i .
	INTA	KE #	Tota	1 	Fasily C	ourt	Crisinal	Court	· · · · ·	Tota	1	Family C	ourt	Crisisal	Courl
CUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rat	te	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank
NEEAS	446.1	53	397.4	57	53.3	59	344.0	52	;	76.9	23	£9.9	٦	7.1	31
ENSSELAER	1,048.5	30	725.5	31	189.0	21	536.5	29	1	74.7	24	3.3	36	71.4	16
ICHNOND	553.1	47	311.9	20	104.0	45	207.8	61	:	28.1	37	28.1	19	.0	
OCKLAND	677.1	41	639.4	40	112.4	40	527.1	31	4	16.6	1	240.9	1	175.7	1
IT. LAWRENCE	1,413.4	12	871.2	14	90.8	49	780.3	12	1	91.3	10	13.2	25	178.1	1
AKATOGA	858.3	35	707.3	32	213.5	15	493.8	36	1	84.B	21	35.9	16	48.9	20
CHENECTADY	1,238.5	22	765.0	25	177.3	24	587.7	24	2	31.8	1	11.3	27	220.5	
CHOHARIE	275.2	59	476.5	53	137.6	33	338.9	53		10.1	47	.0	44	10.1	2
CHUYLER	3,460.7	1	1,227.8	. b .	371.2	5	856.6	9		11.4	44	11.4	26	.0	4
SENECA	1,242.2	21	729.4	30	299.1	ó	430.3	44	2	60 .8	- 3	.0	44	280.B	
STEUBEN	1,062.2	28	780.B	23	196.2	20	584.5	25	2	63.0	5	156.2	3	106.8	13
SUFFOLK	1,299.1	18	2,396.4	1	126.2	38	2,270.3	t	:	50.0	28	50.0	10	.0	4
SULLIVAN	95B.4	32	249.B	15	94.7	48	775.1	13		.0	53	.0	44	.0	42
106A	1,308.1	17	859.5	17	430.7	3	428.8	45		43.7	31	29.8	18	13.9	2
ONPKINS	536.6	50	808.3	13	140.7	32	747.6	14	3	31.3	2	1.1	43	330.1	
ALSTER	1,140.0	23	774.2	24	105.6	44	65B.6	19		33.0	34	٥.	- 44	33.0	2
IAKREN	1,450.4	9	868.1	16	384.6	4	463.5	39		48.5	29	46.7	11	1.8	3
ASHINGTON	1,309.2	16	569.1	46	213.2	16	355.9	51		.0	53	.0	44	.0	4
IAYHE	1,594.5	7	75 3. B	27	258.1	8	495.7	35		.0	53	.0	44	.0	4
ESICHESTER	1,244.5	20	1,208.9	2	B95.1	1	713.7	16		84.3	22	B4.1	5	.2	4
IYONING	216.3	60	661.3	38	132.8	34	528.6	30		22.1	39	7.4	31	14.8	2
ATES	456.1	52	674.9	34	181.5	22	493.3	37		4.7	50	.0	44	4.7	3

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTNENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

			PROBATI	ION SUPERVI	SIDN CAS	ES RECEIVED		UXDEA	PROBATI		ISES Ion duri	HE THE YEAR	•	UHDE	R PROBAT		ISES Itstox en	DECEMBER	k 31
		Tota	1	Family (Court	Crisinal	Court	Tota	1	Facily C	lourt	Crisinal	Court	Tot	al	Faelly (curt	Crisisal	Court
COURTY		kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	gant .	Kate	kank	Rate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
ALBANY		387.2	4	50,4	26	336.8		1,131.5	5	88.1	28	1,043.5	à	B43.2	5	60.6	17	785.6	5
ALLEGANY		169.0	54	29.5	4B	139.5	53	528,5	57	58.9	48	449.5	55	375.2	55	21.6	54	353.6	53
BROKI		300.7	16	20.4	55	280.4	8	995.4	8	45.4	57	950.0	3	752.9	7	21.0	55	731.8	7
BRDDNE		292.3	18	57.9	18	234.4	21	916.0	10	125.2	11	390.8	15	657.1	14	40.7	15	594.4	15
CATTARAUSUS		186.3	48	43.4	33	143.0	51	486.3	58	72.7	39	413.7	59	351.4	- 57	46.9	30	304.7	59
CAYUGA		146.5	58	26.3	50	.120.2	58	404.5	62	55.1	52	349.4	22	269.2	62	23.8	50	245.4	
CHAUTAUQUA		275.3	27	54.1	22	221.2	28	685.0	40	102.6	22	582,4	44	479.8	39	54.0	21	425.0	
CHENUNG		380.5	5	108.7	2	271.6	10	1,292.5	2	191.3	2	1,101.2	3	969.6	3	117.4	2	652.2	-
CHENANGO		320.2	11 49	44.0	31	276.2	9	718.5	34	86. i	29	132.5	32	492.4	37	34.0	39	458.3	
CLINICH		185.1 260.7	30	33.1	- 44	152.0	49	755.2	36	77.2	36	677.9	27	300.7	23	35.4	37	565.2	
COLUKBIA CORTLAND		293.3	- /	54.5	21 24	206.3	31	704.6	35	108.9	19	595.7	39	518.1	32	64.4	12	453.8	
DELAVARE		183.4	17 50	50.6	- /	242.7	18	877.8	14	84.4	30	793.4	14	103.5		44.3	32	559.2	
DUTCHESS		284.2	21	27.7 50.6	49 25	155.7	47	563.0	54	55.5	51	507.6	51	398.6	52	23.5	51	375.4	
EKIE		275.0	25	44,3	30	233.6 231.7	23 24	B07.5 670.5	24 43	115.8	18	691.9	25	\$71.5		42.7	35	528.8	
ESSET		140.2	59	30.2	47	110.0	24 80	475.7	43 59	81.0 49.5	32 55	589.5	42	443.4	49	50.4	26	392.6	
FRANKLIN		317.4	12	54.8	20	262.6	12	B74.6	15	121.0	25 17	426.2	58	344.5	58	22.0	53	324.5	
FULTON		301.4	.15	34.3	42	267.1	11	850.8	17	46.9	54	753.5	18 11	628.0	13	54.8	20	573.2	
GENESEE		425.0	2	67.7		357.3	2	1,227.7	3	93.1	21	1,134.6	2	664.1	3	27.1	47	637.1	
SREEKE		137.4	60	36.2	40	101.3	<u>د</u> ۱۵	576.2	52	72.3	- 41	503.9	52	941.5	-	69.4 14.5	62	872.1	
HAMILTON		120.4	62	20.1	57	100.3	62	682.0	41	E0.2	34	501.B	37	280.8		40.1	36	364.1	
HEAKIMER		165.9	55	38.9	35	127.0	56	567.9	53	134.5	9	433.4	56	403.5		94.1	30	309.3	
JEFFERSON		317.2	13	73.4	6	243.8	17	B47.8	20	124.2	12	723.4	22	549.6		66.6	11	483.2	
KINES		247.4	35	19.8	58	227.6	25	910.7	12	39.9	59	870.B	10	734.6		20.1	58	714.5	
LEWIS		202.7	45	43.7	32	159.0	45	78E.B	27	055.0	5	431.8	33	480.6	••	63.6	. 14	417.2	
LIVINGSTON		282.9	22	21.0	53	258.9	- 13	970.4	- 9	54.6	50	713.8	9	709.1	11	18.9	59	490.9	
MADISON		257.7	33	24.0	54	233.7	22	686.2	39	58.4	49 -	627.8	34	473.5		21.0	56	452.5	
KONROE		289.0	20	37,9	37	251.1	15	829.8	23	75.2	38	754.6	17	631.6		45.8	31	585.8	
NONTGONERY		241.8	37	59.0	16	182.8	42	694.9	38	108.5	20	586.4	43	523.5		55.2	19	468.3	
NASSAU		363.1	6	45.4	29	317.7	. 5	1,021,1	1	102.2	24	918.9	8	826.3		52.4	23	773.9	
NEW YORK		207.3	44	18.3	59	188.9	3B	849.7	18	38.0	61	811.6	12	666.9	12	20.3	\$7	646.7	
MIAGARA		278.5	24	38.8	36	239.7	19	654.5	46	62.4	44	592.2	41	448.5		30.0	45	418.5	
DHEIDA		219.4	42	36.3	39	183.1	41	738.2	32	72.6	40	665.6	28	503.7		27.5	46	476.2	
ONONDAGA		282.4	23	47,1	28	235.3	20	783,3	29	81.0	31	702.3	24	552.1	28	43.0	34	507.8	
OKTARIO		263.0	29	57.4	19	205.7	32	740.4	31	92.0	27	648.4	30	502.2	36	47.6	29	454.6	
DRANGE		345.9	7	49.6	27	297.2	6	873.3	16	122.9	13	750.5	19	602.7	22	89.0	5	513.8	
DRLEANS		321.9	10	30.7	46	291.3	7	1,126.7	6	71.5	42	1,055.2	5	909.1	4	48.5	28	861.0	
OSWEED		258.5	- 31	67.1	9	191.4	36	737.8	33	137.7	8	600.1	38	514.5	33	67.1	10	447.4	. 38
OTSEGO		158.3	56	15.2	62	143.2	50	672.1	42	59.9	47	613.1	35	528.9	30	52.2	24	476.7	30
PUTNAK		249.7	34	58.1	17	191.6	35	£44.0	47	105.1	21	539.0	49	475.9	40	43.3	33	432.7	39

.

{ Continued on next page. }

B-27

ø

		PROBATI	ION SUPERVIS	IDN CASI	S RECEIVED		UNDEF	PROBATI		ISES Ion duri	ING THE YEAR		UNDER	PROBATI	-	SES ISION ON	DECEMBER	31
	Tota	1	Fasily (ourt	Crisinal	Court	Tota	1	Family (lourt	Crisinal	Court	Tota	1	Facily C	ourt	Crisinal	Court
COUNTY	Rate	kank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	ƙask	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
NEEKS	172.0	53	17.0	60	155.0	48	532.5	56	38.5	60	494.0	53	419.2	50	24.1	49	395.2	13
ENSELAER	330.4	9	74,0	- 5	256.4	14	915.1	11	121.6	15	793.5	13	740.0	8	72.7	8	\$67.3	£
TCHMONO	134.9	61	24.3	52	112.5	59	440.3	FQ	33.4	62	406.B	60	332.2	59	18.2	60	314.0	5
IDCKLAND	339.0	8	125.9	í	213.0	29	847.9	19	237.9	i	610.0	36	582.9	24	135.4	1	447.5	37
T. LAKRENCE	156.1	57	11.8	41	139.3	54	589.9	50	43.2	58	546.7	47	419.7	49	16.8	41 -	403.0	- 4(
ARATOGA	173.3	52	35.9	41	137.4	55	414.6	61	53.2	54	361.4	61	289.0	60	33.4	42	255.6	6
CHENECTADY	266.3	28	59.8	13	206.5	30	832.1	22	141.4	6	190.1	26	576.4	26	59.1	18	517.3	2
CHOHARIC	177.9	51	20.1	55	157.7	46	630.9	49	53.7	53	577.2	45	459.7	43	33.6	- 4E - 5	426.2	4
CHUYLER	485.4	1	62.8	11	422.6	1	1,170.7	4	79.9	- 35	1,090.7	4 -	736.7		34.3	38	702.4	9
ERECA	192.3	47	51.9	23	140.4	52	702.0	35	1E0.1	3	521.9	50	44B.5	46	103.B	3	344.9	5
STEUBEN	201.4	46	32.9	45	166.5	44	669.B	44	76.0	37	593.8	10	454.1	44	33.9	40	420.2	41
SUFFOLK	316.3	14	66.2	10	250.1	16	896.8	13	139.1	7 1	757.7	16	605.9	20	76.3	8	330.8	2
SULLIVAN	409.8	3	59.2	15	350.6	3	1,409.7	1	122.6	14	1,286.9	- E	1,072,5	t i	50.5	16	1,011.8	
106A	234.2	38	59.5	- 14	174.7	43	B35.7	21	95.3	25	740.4	21	611.4	19	53.6	22	557.8	2
IDNPKINS	222.3	41	25.0	51	197.4	33	695.4	37	60.1	46	635.3	21	512.8	34	22.7	52	190.1	2
ASTER	230.8	39	37.2	38	193.6	34	640.5	48	67.2	43	573.4	46	465.3	42	33.0	43	432.3	4
ARREN	230.1	40	39.5	- 34	190.5	37	560.7	55	80.9	33	479.9	54	384.6	53	25.2	48	359,5	5
ASHINGTON	213.2	43	88.1	4	125.1	57	583.2	51	156.8	4	426.4	57	355.9	56	49.3	27	306.6	5
IAYHE	291.3	19	58.5	7	222.7	27	783.5	28	121.1	16	662.5	29	579.1		75.4	7	503.7	2
ESTCHESTER	258.0	32	33.7	43	224.3	26	805.9	25	61.9	45	744.0	20	647.4	15	32.5	44	614.9	1
YDHING	275,3	25	91.0	1	181.4	40	666.2	45	125.4	10	540.9	48	452.4	- 15	63.9	13	368.4	4
ATES	246.7	36	40.5	- 12	186.2	39	805.2	25	102.4	23	702.8	23	642.3	16	51.2	25	591.1	f

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

* The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Ø

							Criaiaa	l Court≢	44	
	Tota	114	Family (Courtes	Tat	4	Techai	cal	Ken C	DRY
DUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kzak	Rate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙzak
LBANY	60.7	fI	£.4	30	£0.4	8	4:4	5	15.9	31
LLEGANY	18.5	57	LL.7	29	12.6	59	19/9	51	4.2	58
ROHI	75.3	5	69.2	20	74.7	5	65,4	3	9.2	47
ROGHE	43.7	34	63.9	32	40.5	33	18,5	36	22.0	2
ATTARAUSUS	9.6	61	16.1	51	8.5	61	0,	59	8.5	- 50
AYUGA	99.1	1	18.1 £8.2	27	103.9	2	28.7	22	75.3	
HAUTAUQUA	48.4	25	74.3	26	44.0	26	21.4	29	22.4	2
HENUKG	55.5	15	113.6	13	45.4	23	37.5	12	7.9	5
HERANGO	55.7	14	46.5	41	57.0	10	44,3	6	12.7	4
LINION	22.7	56	63.5	33	18.1	56	16,3	42	· 1.8	۲ 5
DLUKBIA	49.2	24	75.8	25	44.3	25	33.2	17	11.1	4
ORTLAND	55.3	16	12.5		47.9	19	29.3	21	18.6	-
ELAVARE	15.2	59	.0	.,	16.8	57	4.2		12.6	
UTCHESS	42.2	39	155.9	3	23.2	54	14.2		9.1	4
RIE	52.2	19	87.7	21	47.3	20	33.4	15	14.0	
SSET	23.1	54	111.1	14	12.9	58	.0	-	12.9	
RAIKLIN	28.7	50	.0	54	33.3	43	15.2	45	18.2	-
ULTON	50.3	21	76.9		48.8	16	2.2		46.6	
ENESEE	42.8	35	36.4	4	43.3	28	28.4	23	14.9	- 3
REENE	62.8	9 9	20.0	2	43.1	29	14.4		28.7	
ANILTON	.0	12	.0	-	.0	62			.0	-
ERKINER	28.9	49	.0		37.9	38	37.9		.0	
EFFERSON	49.3	23	109.1	15	39.0	34	25.0		14.0	
IKES	36.9	43	13.2		39.0	37	7.3		30.6	
EXIS	35.4	45	25.6		37.7	40	25.2		12.6	
IVINESTON	53.0	18	121.2	-	46.8		15.2		31.9	
AGISON	56.8	13	107.6	-	52.5		33.4		19.1	
IDHADE	29.7	48	56.6		27.1	51	17.3		9.8	
IDNTGOKERY	46.6	27	122.8		32.5		6.5		26.0	
ASSAU	45.B	27	53.7	-	45.0		20.0	• •	24.9	
ien York	67.7	10	35.5		63.4		19.4		44.0	
IAGARA	45.9	2B	125.9		37.4	41	15.4		21.8	
KEIDA	51.0	17	125.4		37.4	21	19.8		26.4	
NONDAGA	80.7	4	120.4		78.3		75.3		3.1	
NTARIO	86.3		94.1		25.1	3.	43.4		3,1	
KANSE	42.5	36	35.2		43.7	-	34.6	- 1 - E - E - E		
IRLEANS	36.3	44	.0		43.7 38.7		31.5		9.1	
SKEGD	23.9	53	12.2	-	26.6		-		8.4	
IISEGO	23.4	51	.0		30.2		18.2			
PUTNAN	40.3	39	47.1		39.0		11.0		19.2 18.3	

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS FER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

(Continued on mext page,)

8-29

.

			1	EVOCATIO	NS.						
								Crisisa	1 Courts	11.	
	Tota	11	Family	Courtee		Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	Cav
COUNTY	kate	kank	Rate	Kank		Rate	Rask	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank
QUEENS	37.9	42	38.9	43		37.8	39	4.5	55	33.2	1
REHSSELAER	18.1	58	59.8	35		11.7	60	9.2	50	2.5	58
RICHMOND	51.6	20	4.4	30		59.6	15	21.0	30	29.6	10
ROCKLAND	44.5	32	17.4	50		55.0	13	40.8	9	14.2	- 34
ST. LAWRENCE	34.4	46	\$0.8	42		33.9	42	21.0	31	12.9	36
SARATOGA	74.6	E	116.3	12		68.5	6	49.7	4	18.8	28
SCHENECTADY	45.5	31	117.4	11		30.8	46	15.4	44	15.4	37
SCHOHARIE	26.6	52	.0	54		29.1	48	5.8	54	23.3	11
SCHUYLER	43,9	33	214.3	· 1		31.4	45	26.2	24	5.2	-55
SERECA	95.7	2	50.8	39		111.1	1	76.0	1	35.1	5
STEUBEN	58.3	12	81.1	23		55.4	12	41.5	8	13.8	37
SUFFOLK	12.3	37	25.2	49		45.4	22	25.8	25	19.6	2
SULLIVAN	49.3	22	£0.2	34		48.3	18	37.9	10	10.3	
TIOGA	47.5	26	B3.3	22		42.9	30	34.9	13	8.0	52
TOMPKINS	45.7	30	94.3	18		41.1	32 .	30.4	20	10.7	4
ULSTER	65.8	8	127.3	5		58.6	9	30.9	17	27.7	1
WARREN	67.3	. 7	133.3	4		56.2	H	22.5	28	33.7	
WASHINGTON	39.3	- 41	67.4	28		26.9	49	4.1	57	24.8	1
HAYNE	39.4	40	28.3	17		41.4	31	17.2	40	24.1	t
NESTCHESTER	30.1	- 17	54,1	37		28.1	50	12.1	48	16.0	3
WYORING	14.8	60	0	54		18.2	55	18.2	37	.0	6
YATES	23.1	54	.0	54		26.5	53	0	59	26.5	1

١,

TABLE 78 FROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

se Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

see Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

'?

1.1

TABLE 8 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

							 		JAIL AN	D PENITENI	TARY							
			Adei	ssions				Repo	rtød	Average	Daily			Deceab	er 31 Popul	ation		
	Tot.	ul 	Unsente	inced	Seaten	ced			city	Popula			Tot	al .	Uasenti	inced	Senter	nced
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Raak		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rasi
ALBARY	1,259.4	25	BL0.3	32	399.1	16		153.2	5	128.6	3		113.4		63.B	8	49.7	. 1
ALLEGANY	888.0	48	750.5	42	137.5	54		68.9	35	51.1	44		45.2	- 44	21.6	47	23.6	
BRONI	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58		.0	57	.0	58		.0	58	0	58	٥.	58
BROOKE	1,010.3	41	732.4	- 43	307.8	27		85.7	25	71.5	27		71,5	21	35.3	2B	36.2	
CATTARAHOUS	1,238.6	27	958.4	23	280.1	33		89.1	21	57.4	39		72.7	20	32.8	32	39.8	
CAYUSA	1,457.6	17	910.4	25	517.2	6		77.1	29	\$2.5	- 35		6B.9	25	40.1	23	28.8	
CHAUTAUQUA	1,044.2	40	694.0	45	350.1	24		67.5	38	60.3	37	•	49.9	41	35.4	27	14.6	
CHENUNG	1,190.3	31	799.0	34	391.3	19		100.0		88.1	11		108.7	8	45,7	17	63.0	
CHENAHGO	1,142.8	35	662.5	49	460.4	7		66.0		56.0	41		34.0	55	20.0	51	14.0	
CLINTON	1,615.8	11	1,157.3	14	458.5	9		63.7	43	53.9	42		58.8	31	33.1	31	25.7	
COLUKBIA	1,414.1	18	996.7	20	417.5	14		95.7	18	B9.1	10		75.9	16	42.9	19	33.0	
CORTLAND	1,698.6	7	1,299.8	8	398.8	18		67.5	39	78.1	22		111.8	7	80.2	6	31.7	
DELAVARE	819.0	52	569.4	51	249.5	37		48.7	37	34.1	56		44.8	46	27.7	41	17.1	
DUTCHESS	933.7	44	762.B	40	170.9	51		48.6	36	56.8	40		58.0	33	42.3	21	15.7	4
ERIE	1,170.4	34	1,001.7	19	163.7	53		100.1	12	65.5	33		53,4	37	37.4	26	16.1	4
ESSET	750.7	54	539.0	53	211.7	43		6E.(41	33.0	57		33.0	58	30.2	36	2.8	3 5
FRANKLIN	1,374.6	21	949.9	25	424.7	12		125.6	7	84.5	17		75.4	17	38.8	24	38.5	i i
FULTON	1,680.2	9	505.3	55	1,174.9	i		.(57	84.8	14		57.8	35	23.5	45	34.3	5 - 1
BENESEE	1,297.1	23	982.2	21	315.0	25		23.7	56	47.4	51		64.3	28	32.2	32	32.2	1
GREENE	1,697.4	8	1,164.5	12	532.B	4		130.1	6	B4,4	18		60.3	30	33.8	30	26.5	52
HANILTON	1,624.9	10	1,103.3	14	521.6	-5		80.7	28	40.1	54		120.4	5	80,2	5	40.1	I 1
HERKINER	1,134.2	37	810.7	31	273.5	34		53.1	47	58.3	38		38.9	52	29.9	38	9.0) 5
JEFFERSON	1,181.9	33	764.3	39	417.7	13		74.5	31	73.4	25		65.5	27	31.6	35	33.9	1
KINES	.0	58	.0	58	.0	5B		· ·	57	.0	58		.0	58	.0	58	.0	
LEWIS	906.0	45	766.9	38	139.1	55		91.		43.7	52		47.7	43	19.9		27.B	
LIVINGSTON	1,549.9	15	1,171.0	11	378.9	20		95.4	17	89.2	9		84.0	12	53.1	12	30.9	1
MADISON	1,141.7	36	884.0	30	257.7	36		70.	33	70.4	28		56.9	36	28.5	40	28.5	
MONROE	1,198.4	30	958.2	24	240.2	39		70.	34	68.9	29		79.6	15	53,3	11	26.2	
HONTGOHERY	1,593.5	12	1,041.4	17	552.1	3		188.		91.4	8		81.9		66.6		15.2	
NASSAU	655.1	57	464.2	56	190.9	47		64.4		82.3	19		85.9		57.3		28.5	
NEW YORK	.0		.0	58	.0	58				.0			.0			-	.0	
NIAGARA	1,223.6	29	921.0	27	302.6	29		97.		84.5			69.7		35.1		34,4	
OKEIDA-	705.1	55	534.4	54	170.7	52		82.		74.2			\$7.0		40.7		26.3	
ONONDAGA	1,708.9	6	1,524.3	3	184.6	49		87.9		96.3			92.0		54.6	-	37.4	
ONTARIO	1,381.2	-	975.3	22	405.9	15		96.		85.5			85.5		20.6		64.9	
DRANGE	1,360.4	22	1,148.2	15	212.2	42		96.		B0.0			73.5		51.2	-	22.3	
ORLEANS	1,290.2		1,029.6	18	260.6	35		162.		125.2			122.4		99.8		23.0	-
OSWEED	1,129.8		768.0	37	361.8	22		80.		60.4	36		57.9		27.7		30.2	
OTSEGO	904.5		616.5	50	288.0	32		37.		48.8			42.1	49	15.2		27.0	
PUTHAN	892.5	47	760.2	41	132.3	57		49.4		49.4			39.6		21.0		18.5	

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

(Continued on next page, 1

							I	IAIL AND	PENITER	FIARY			•					
			Adei	ssions						B-11	-		Becenb	er 31 Popul	ation			
	Tat.	al	Unseate	enced	Senter	iced	Repor Capac		Average Populi			Toti	al	Uasenti	enced	Sent	EACE	1
COUXTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Raak	Rate	Rank	Rate	R	ank
											•							
QUEENS	.0		.0	58	.0	58	.0	57	.0			.0.	58	.0	5B	•		58
RENSSELAER	864.2		698.9	46	195.6	46	75.3	30	50.9	45		37.0	53	21.1	48	15.		46
RICHKOND	.0		.0	58	.0	58	.0	57	.0	58		.0	58	.0	58	. .		58
ROCKLAND	699.8		403.8	57	296.0	31	30.9	55	36.2			32.8	57	20.0		12.		53
ST. LAWRENCE	798.0		561.7	52	236.3	41	53.8	48	50.3			45.0	45	18.5	55	26.		29
SARATODA	836.7	51	662.B	48	173.9	50	43.3	52	53.2			39.0	51	21.7	46	17.		42
SCHENECTADY	1,233.2		919.1	28	314.1	26	58.4	44	68.4			63.1	29	50.5		12.		54
SCHOHARIE	1,100.7	39	889.3	29	211.4	44	70.5	32	50.3			43.6	47	30.2		13.		52
SCHUYLER	1,844.6		1,473.4	4	371.2	21	119.9	9	102.8	-		131.3	-	119.9		11.		55
SERECA	1,593.2		1,294.1	9	299.1	30	88.5	22	79.4			70.2	22	42.7	20	27.		26
STEUBEN	962.6	• ·	777.7	36	184.9	48	50.3	49	67.8			5B.6	32	44.2		14.		50
SUFFOLK	879.1		1,791.4	- 47	211.1 699.7	45	242.6	45	65.1 174.6			52.2 168.6	39	32.1 127.2	34	20.		39
SULLIVAN Tiosa	2,491.1		786.0	35	399.0	2 17	111.2	10	77.4	-		69.5		37.7	25	41.		4
TONPKINS	1,390.B		1,251.3	10	139.5	54	37.4	53	48.8			43.1	-48	26.1		17.		56 44
ULSTER	1,518.6		1,159.5	13	359.0	23	86.7	24	87.9			75.1	18	52.5		22.		36
WARREN	1,885.3			- 5	433.1	11	104.2	11	71.9			48.5		25.2		23.		
WASHINGTON	1,245.8		1,452.2 812.3	33	433.5	10	95.2		84.6			52.9		19.4		33.		34
HATNE	1,787.5		1,318.1	33	469.4	10 B	56.0	46	61.0 £6.2			50.3		29.7		20.		13
KESTCHESTER		-	7		243.5	38	121.3	10	95.3			80.9		50.4		30.		38
	1,843.6		1,600.2	2		38 28		51				34.4	• •					19
WYDNING	1,012.9			- 11 ·	307.3 237.4		44.3		41.8			125.7		14.9		19.		40
YATES	1,591.7	- 14	1,351.4	8	237.4	40	176.9	, 3	153.6	. .		173.1	. 1	11.1	9	21.	1	24

TABLE 8 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND SATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

SOURCE: MYS Connission of Correction.

B-32

,

TABLE PA

NEW COURT CONMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 PDPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

Visite Feteries krages krages <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>KE</th><th>W COURT</th><th>CONNERN</th><th>ENTS TO</th><th>STATE F</th><th>RISON</th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>												KE	W COURT	CONNERN	ENTS TO	STATE F	RISON		-								
Tabil Tabil Barlicha Sm öffenssen Basbery Barghery Fagens Other Tabil Possensiae Sate Falselsen Offenssen CQDIY Rete Rath Rate Ra									Vialent	Felonie	25									brug Fel	loairs			i)) ha		Youth	
ALEANY 72.5 5 21.5 12 1.4 31 3.9 17 4.4 13 7.0 15 2.8 9 1.6 27 70.8 5 6.8 3 12.0 4 27.9 2.0 27 0.4 27.9 0.4 20.0 27.9 2.0 27 0.4 8.6.7 5 15.7 4 14.6.1 15.5 20.0 25 2.0 27 0.4 27.7 4 27.7 4 27.7 4 27.7 4 27.7 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 27.0 4 4 27.0 27.0 4 4 27.0 27.0 4 4 27.0 27.0 4 4 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 27.0 <		Tol	al	Tot	al	Hani	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Rob	ibery	Burg	lary	¥ea	ons	Ot	her	To	tal	Passes	isioa	52	12				
TLEEBARY T, F 40 3, F 51, C 20 70, F 70,	COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	kant	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	<u>kate</u>	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Rank	Rate	Rank
Transmin Type	ALBANY	72.9																	•				-				36
Index 18,0 11,0 9,4 1,0 10,0	ALLEGANY						21										22		46			• •					13
TATTRADUS TAT Si J.S. J.S. <thj.s.< th=""> J.S. <thj.s.< th=""></thj.s.<></thj.s.<>					-		1		-		-				-		4		4								1
TATURE TATURE <thtature< th=""> <thtature< th=""> <thtature< td="" th<=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>38</td></thtature<></thtature<></thtature<>													-								-						38
TDARTINDADA TORATINDADA TORATINDADA <thtoratindada< th=""> <thtoratindada< th=""></thtoratindada<></thtoratindada<>																			. –								28
CHUMMAND SL, 5 9 29: 4 2.2 17 7.4 3 1.1 37 8.7 11 4.3 4 5.4 7 .0 64 .0 32 .0 42 24.1 5 1.0 CHEWANDO 16.0 53 8.0 11 .0 33 .0 12 .0 23 .0 44 .0 32 .0 44 .0 32 .0 44 .0 32 .0 44 .0 12 .0 12 .0 12 .0 12 .0 12 .0 12 .0 12 .0 12 .0 12 .0 14 .0 12 .0 14 .0 12 .0 13 .0 14 .0 14 .0 14 .0 14 .0 14 .0 13 .0 10 .0 14 .0 14 .0 .0 .0																											2
Electrometric 11.0 53 8.0 41 .0 34 2.0 31 .0 64 .0 23 .0 4 4.0 20 7.0 15 7.0 7.1 7.1 7.0 7.1																											21
ELLTON 19.6 45 45 49 50 0 36 2.5 79 1.2 15 1.2 50 .0 23 .0 40 1.2 43 .6 32 1.2 37 12.3 31 1.2 CGUMBIAN S1.4 7 18.2 19 1.7 28 5.0 6 40 6.3 12 33 12 23.1 3 5.0 7 18.2 2 16.4 10.4					-				-						-		•										- 31
COLUMBAN S9.4 7 18.2 19 1.7 28 5.0 8 .0 40 8.3 12 .0 23 3.3 12 73.1 3 5.0 7 18.2 2 1.6.5 18 1.7 CARTHAND 5.4 7 18.17 28 .0 36 .6 47 2.1 25 2.1 44 4.2 5 6.4 3 .0 46 .0 32 .0 42 2.1 27.4 4 10.6 DUTCHESS 25.9 31 13.3 40 .0 35 .7 24 4.3 14 4.7 24 4.4 1.4 4.0 1.4 40 .0 32 .0 42 13.8 40 .0 32 .0 42 13.8 .0 40 .0 32 .0 42 13.8 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0																	-										25
CDRTLAMM 54,9 10 1.6 2 0 36 0.6 47 2.1 25 2.1 44 4.2 5 6.4 3 .0 46 .0 32 .0 42 27.4 4 10.6 BULRMARE 4.3 42 2.1 58 0.3 42 7.4 4 10.6 57 0.6 40 0.6 57 0.6 40 0.6 40 0.6 40 0.6 40 1.2 34 1.4 0.6 32 1.4 4.0 1.4 1.2 34 1.4 4.0 0.6 46 0.0 32 1.6 40 1.3 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0																											17
BELAWARE 4,3 42 2,1 58 .0 36 7.1 30 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 2.1 35 .0 32 2.1 27 .0 61 .0 BUTCRESS 25.9 31 13.3 27 .0 35 2.7 24 4.3 14 4.7 26 .4 21 1.2 31 1.4 40 .0 32 2.1.6 33 10.2 40 .8 EESEL 24.7 35 8.3 40 .0 34 2.8 23 .0 40 .0 44 1.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 40 .0 41 .0 .0 40 .0 41 .0 40 .0 41 .0 40 .0 41 .0 43 .0									-										-		•	-	-				1
BUTCHESS 25.9 31 13.3 27 0 34 2.7 24 4.3 14 4.7 24 .4 21 1.2 34 1.4 40 .0 32 1.6 33 10.2 40 .8 ERTE 32.2 22 15.9 21 2.0 22 2.7 25 3.3 17 5.9 21 4.6 16 1.4 30 1.9 39 1.2 2.6 40 1.8 25 0.40 0.0 44 0.32 40 42 18.8 25 9.0 10 0.42 1.8 25 9.0 10 0.42 1.8 25 9.0 10 0.0 32 1.6 32 0.4 42 1.8 25 9.0 10 0.0 32 1.6 32 0.4 12 19 5.4 66 56 1.5 30 0 41 0 23 0 40 0.0 32 0.4 42 12.1 32 0 40 22 1.6															-		-										-41
ERIE 31.7 22 21.7 23 2.7 25 3.3 17 5.9 21 4. 16 1.4 30 1.9 32 1.2 21 4. 40 13.7 24 .8 EESE 24.7 35 8.3 40 .6 34 2.8 23 5.5 12 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 .0 44 .0 32 .0 42 13.8 25 2.8 FBAKICI IN 24.1 14 16.2 22 3.6 7 1.8 37 .0 40 9.0 10 .0 23 1.8 25 9.0 10 .0 32 .4 12.1 13.4 14 10.2 12.1 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.5 3.4 4 .0 0 0 10 .0 32 .0 41 .0 23 .0 10 .0 32 .0 42 12.1 13.4 12.1 13.4 12.1 13.4 12.1 1																											35
ESEL 24.7 35 8.3 40 .6 36 2.8 23 5.5 12 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 .0 46 .0 32 .0 42 43.8 25 2.8 FRAKLIN 25.1 34 6.9 43 2.3 15 .0 47 .0 40 4.6 27 .0 23 .0 40 .0 32 .0 42 43.8 25 2.8 FULTON 44.9 14 16.2 22 3.4 17 10.0 10 0.0 18 25 0.0 32 3.4 18 18 25 0.0 32 3.4 18 12 10 0.0 10 0.0 10 0.0 32 0.0 42 41 3.4 10 0.0 10 0.0 23 0.0 10 0.0 32 0.0 42 20.1 10 0 11 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1<																											34
PRARKLIN 25.1 34 6.9 43 2.3 15 .0 47 .0 40 4.4 27 .0 23 .0 40 .0 32 .6 42 18.3 10 .0 FULTION- 44.9 14 16.2 22 3.6 7 1.8 37 .0 40 9.0 10 .0 23 1.8 25 9.0 10 .0 32 .4 18 10 .2 11 .0 23 .0 40 7.2 15 4.8 8 2.4 14 11 32 .0 40 2.4 41 .0 23 .0 40 7.2 15 4.8 8 2.4 24 12.1 32 .0 MARILIDR 20.1 44 0 33 0 47 .0 40 .0 33 .0 15.3 .0 23 .0 40 .0 34 .0 32 .0 40 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0											-																10
HUITEN 4L.9 14 16.2 22 3.6 7 1.8 37 0 40 9.0 10 .0 23 1.8 25 9.0 10 .0 32 9.0 7 16.2 19 5.4 GEERESE 27.1 29 10.2 35 1.7 27 0 47 1.7 30 3.4 35 3.4 6 0 40 3.4 25 0.0 40 3.4 25 0.0 40 3.4 25 3.0 40 7.1 23 0 40 7.4 41 0.0 32 7.0 41 1.0 23 0.0 40 7.4 41 1.0 32 7.0 41 40.7 23 .0 40 7.1 33 .0 123 .0 40 7.1 14 15 33 .0 23 .0 40 .0 10 33 23 .0 40 .0 13 10 14 43 .0 10 .0 10 .0																											41
EFFESE 27.1 29 10.2 35 1.7 27 .0 47 1.7 30 3.4 55 3.4 6 .0 40 3.4 25 .0 40 3.4 25 .0 40 3.4 25 .0 40 3.4 15 3.4 6 .0 40 3.4 25 .0 40 7.2 15 4.8 8 2.4 24 12.1 32 .0 MARILITOR 20.1 44 .0 30 .0 47 .0 40 .0 22 .0 23 .0 46 .0 32 .0 42 12.1 32 .0 MARILTOR 20.1 44 .0 30 .0 47 .0 40 .0 32 .0 44 .0 32 .0 42 10.0 32 .0 42 10.0 32 .0 32 .0 32 .0 32 .0 32 .0 32 .0 42 10.0 32 .0 <td></td> <td>3</td>																											3
SEERE 26.5 30 7.2 42 .0 34 4.8 9 .0 40 2.4 41 .0 23 .0 40 7.2 15 4.8 8 2.4 24 12.1 32 .0 HAMILTOR 20.1 44 .0 60 .0 35 .0 47 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 .0 42 20.1 8 .0 HEKKINER 13.4 55 3.0 55 1.5 30 .0 47 1.5 33 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 .0 42 10.5 38 .0 JEFFERSON 29.4 27 2.1 1.9 34 29.7 3 7.9 14 6.3 3 3.2 13 19.0 6 4.1 11 14.9 5 13.4 27 1.4 LEVINGS 7.9 59 .0 60 .0 35 .0 7.0 10 3.4 33 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>6</td>							•																				6
PARILITOR 20.1 44 .0 60 .0 36 .0 47 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 .0 32 .0 42 20.1 8 .0 HERKITER 13.4 55 3.0 56 1.5 30 .0 47 1.5 33 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 .0 32 .0 42 10.5 38 .0 VIEKNER 27.4 27 12.4 29 2.3 16 7.9 2 .0 40 2.3 1.0 0 4.1 11 14.9 5 13.4 27 1.4 LEWIS 7.9 59 .0 60 .0 36 .0 7.9 14 6.3 3 .1 1 14.9 5 13.4 21 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4<									9		40		41	.0	23	.0	40		15	4,8	8	2.4	24	12.1		.0	41
HERKITER 13.4 55 3.0 56 1.5 30 .0 47 1.5 33 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 .0 32 .0 42 10.5 38 .0 IEFFERSUR 29.4 27 12.4 29 2.3 16 7.9 2 .0 40 2.3 10.0 32 2.0 42 10.5 38 .0 KINES 92.4 4 59.0 3 1.0 31 29.7 3 7.9 14 6.3 3 2.2 1.3 1.6 40 1.5 33 .0 23 .0 40 2.3 1.3 1.0 52 .0 23 .0 40 2.3 1.3 1.0 32 .0 40 1.5 33 .0 23 .0 40 .0 31.4 23 .0 23 .0 40 .0 32 .0 40 .0 32 .0 40 .0 32 .0 40 .0 32 <th< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>47</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>23</td><td>.0</td><td>40</td><td></td><td>46</td><td>.0</td><td>32</td><td>.0</td><td>42</td><td>20.1</td><td>8</td><td>.0</td><td>41</td></th<>		-							47						23	.0	40		46	.0	32	.0	42	20.1	8	.0	41
KINGS 92.8 4 59.0 3 1.9 34 29.7 3 7.9 14 6.3 3 3.2 13 19.0 6 4.1 11 14.9 5 13.4 27 1.4 LEWIS 7.9 59 .0 60 .0 36 .0 47 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 4.0 21 .0 32 4.0 15 4.0 56 .0 KADISON 19.5 46 9.0 38 .0 36 4.5 1 1.5 32 3.0 32 .0 40 .0 44 1.2 1.7 33 .6 0 32 .0 42 9.0 46 1.5 MONRDE 42.1 16 22.7 10 2.6 12 .6 27 7.4 8 5.5 22 .0 10 2.7 19 2.7 31 2.0 29 15.5 21 1.3 3.8 1.8 1.9 38							30		47	1.5	33		52	.0	23	.0	10	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	10.5	38	.0	41
International Interna Interna Internat In	JEFFERSON	29.4	27	12.4	29	2.3	16	7.9	2	0	40	2.3	(3	.0.	23	.0	40	2.3	34	٥.	32	2.3	26	14.7	23	.0	- 41
LIVINGSTON 25.7 33 13.7 26 3.4 8 5.1 7 .0 40 3.4 33 .0 23 1.7 28 5.1 17 3.4 12 1.7 31 6.9 51 .0 MADISON 19.5 46 9.0 38 .0 36 4.5 11 1.5 32 3.0 38 .0 23 .0 40 .0 44 .0 32 .0 42 9.6 46 1.5 MDNROE 42.1 16 22.7 10 2.6 12 2.6 27 7.4 8 5.5 22 2.0 10 2.7 19 2.7 30 .7 31 2.0 29 15.5 21 1.3 NDNTGENERY 19.0 48 1.9 59 .0 36 1.9 33 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 1.4 1.3 33 6.3 16 1.2 27 5.1 13 .1 43	KINGS	92.8	· .4	59.0	3	10.0	3	1.9	34	29.7	3	7.9	14	6.3	3	3.2	13	19.0	6	4.1	11	14.9	5	13.4	27	1.4	22
RADISON 19.5 46 9.0 38 .0 36 4.5 11 1.5 32 3.0 38 .0 23 .0 40 .0 44 .0 32 .0 42 9.0 46 1.5 MDRNDE 42.1 16 22.7 10 2.6 12 2.6 27 7.4 8 5.5 22 2.0 10 2.7 19 2.7 30 .7 31 2.0 29 15.5 21 1.3 NDNIGENERY 19.0 48 1.9 59 .0 36 1.9 33 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 1.9 38 1.9 18 .0 42 11.4 34 3.8 MASSAU 36.9 19 20.3 16 2.3 14 1.2 41 7.9 7 6.8 16 .8 14 1.3 33 6.3 16 1.2 27 5.1 13 9.1 45 1.2 KK	LEWIS	7.9	59	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	10	4.0	21	.0	32	4.0	15	4.0	56	.0	- 41
HURRE 42.1 16 22.7 10 2.6 12 2.6 27 7.4 B 5.5 22 2.0 10 2.7 19 2.7 30 .7 31 2.0 29 15.5 21 1.3 MDRIGDNERY 19.0 48 1.9 59 .0 36 1.9 33 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 1.9 38 1.9 18 .0 42 11.4 34 3.8 MASSAU 36.9 19 20.3 16 2.3 14 1.2 41 7.9 7 6.8 16 .8 14 1.3 33 6.3 16 1.2 27 5.1 13 9.1 45 1.2 KEW YORK 229.7 1 125.5 1 12.2 2 4.2 14 68.8 1 15.3 1 9.9 51.4 1 11.8 1 39.5 1 49.4 1 3.4 NEE DR 31.4 2.4	LIVINGSTON	25.7	33	13.7	26	3.4	8	5.1	7	.0	40	3.4	33	.0	23	1.7	28	5.1	17	3.4	12	1.7		6.9	-51	۰.	41
MORIGONERY 19.0 48 1.9 59 .0 34 1.9 33 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 1.9 38 1.9 18 .0 42 11.4 34 3.8 MORIGONERY 19.0 48 1.9 59 .0 34 1.9 7 6.8 14 1.3 33 6.3 16 1.2 27 5.1 13 9.1 45 1.2 KK YORK 229.7 1 125.5 1 12.2 2 4.2 14 60.8 1 15.0 1 15.3 1 9.9 i 51.4 1 11.8 1 34 3.4 HAGARA 31.4 24 11.5 31 1.8 24 .9 45 3.7 16 3.2 36 .5 20 1.4 32 2.8 29 .0 32 2.8 19 16.4 1.2 38 14.8 22 1.6 OKELDA 33.9 21 14.4 <td>KADISON</td> <td>19.5</td> <td>46.</td> <td>9.0</td> <td>38</td> <td>.0</td> <td>36</td> <td>4.5</td> <td></td> <td>1.5</td> <td>32</td> <td>3.0</td> <td></td> <td>.0</td> <td>23</td> <td>.0</td> <td>40</td> <td>.0</td> <td></td> <td>.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>- 20</td>	KADISON	19.5	46.	9.0	38	.0	36	4.5		1.5	32	3.0		.0	23	.0	40	.0		.0							- 20
MASSAU 36.9 19 20.3 16 2.3 14 1.2 41 7.9 7 6.8 16 .8 14 1.3 33 6.3 16 1.2 27 5.1 13 9.1 45 1.2 HEW YURK 229.7 1 125.5 1 12.2 2 4.2 14 68.8 1 15.0 1 15.3 1 9.9 i 51.4 1 11.8 1 39.5 1 49.4 1 3.4 HABSAU 31.4 24 11.5 31 1.8 24 .9 45 3.7 16 3.2 36 .5 20 1.4 32 2.8 29 .0 32 2.8 19 16.4 15 .5 ONELDA 33.9 21 14.4 25 2.8 11 1.2 2.8 20 3.6 32 1.2 13 2.8 18 3.2 2.6 2.0 16 1.2 38 14.8 22 1.6 1.6 1.2	KONRDE	42.1	16	22.7	10	2.6	12	2.6		7.4	8	5.5		2.0		2.7		2.7		7						1.3	24
HEW YORK 229.7 1 125.5 1 12.2 2 4.2 14 68.8 1 15.0 1 15.3 1 9.9 i 51.4 1 11.8 1 39.5 1 49.4 1 3.4 HIABARA 31.4 24 11.5 31 1.8 24 .9 45 3.7 16 3.2 36 .5 20 1.4 32 2.8 29 .0 32 2.8 19 16.4 15 .5 ONELDA 33.9 21 14.4 25 2.8 11 1.2 42 2.8 20 3.6 32 1.2 13 2.8 18 3.2 2.6 2.0 16 1.2 38 14.8 22 1.6 ONDHDAGA 52.9 11 29.0 7 2.1 18 5.6 6 6.9 9 9.5 8 1.5 12 3.4 9 3.7 22 1.3 2.4 2.4 25 18.5 9 1.7	HOHIGONERY	19.0	48	1.9	- 59	.0	36	1.9	33	.0	40	.0			23	.0		1.9	28	1.9						3.8	- 4
HIABARA 31.4 24 11.5 31 1.8 24 .9 45 3.7 16 3.2 36 .5 20 1.4 32 2.8 29 .0 32 2.8 19 16.4 15 .5 ONEIDA 33.9 21 14.4 25 2.8 11 1.2 42 2.8 20 3.6 32 1.2 13 2.8 18 3.2 2.6 2.0 16 1.2 38 14.8 22 1.6 ONHONDAGA 52.9 11 29.0 7 2.1 18 5.6 6 6.9 9 9.5 8 1.5 12 3.4 9 3.7 22 1.3 24 2.4 25 18.5 9 1.7 ONTARID 50.9 12 20.6 15 1.1 33 4.3 12 .0 40 9.7 7 .0 23 5.4 6 5.4 11 17.3 13 2.2 DRAIGE 36.7 20 16.6	NASSAU	36.9	19			2.3		1.2		7.9	1		16		- 14		33				27				15		27
ONCLOR 33.9 21 14.4 25 2.8 11 1.2 42 2.8 20 3.6 32 1.2 13 2.8 18 3.2 26 2.0 16 1.2 38 14.8 22 1.6 DNONDAGA 52.9 11 29.0 7 2.1 18 5.6 6 6.9 9 9.5 8 1.5 12 3.4 9 3.7 22 1.3 2.4 2.5 18.5 9 1.7 DNTARID 50.9 12 20.6 15 1.1 33 4.3 12 .0 40 9.7 7 .0 23 5.4 8 10.8 9 5.4 6 5.4 11 17.3 13 2.2 DRANGE 36.7 20 16.6 21 1.8 26 2.9 22 5.8 11 4.0 29 .4 22 1.8 26 9.0 11 4.3 10 4.7 14 11.2 37 .0 ORLEANS	NEW YORK	229.7			-		-				-				1		i				-				•		5
DHOHDAGR 52.9 11 29.0 7 2.1 18 5.6 6 6.9 9 9.5 8 1.5 12 3.4 9 3.7 22 1.3 24 2.4 25 18.5 9 1.7 DNTARID 50.9 12 20.6 15 1.1 33 4.3 12 .0 40 9.7 7 .0 23 5.4 B 10.8 9 5.4 6 5.4 11 17.3 13 2.2 DRAHGE 36.7 20 16.6 21 1.8 26 2.9 22 5.8 11 4.0 29 .4 22 1.8 26 9.0 11 4.3 10 4.7 14 11.2 37 .0 ORLEANS 40.9 17 15.3 24 .0 36 2.6 26 .0 40 12.8 2 .0 23 .0 40 2.6 31 .0 32 2.6 20 20.4 7 2.6 DS																											39
DNTARIO 50.9 12 20.6 15 1.1 33 4.3 12 .0 40 9.7 7 .0 23 5.4 B 10.B 9 5.4 6 5.4 11 17.3 13 2.2 DRAHGE 36.7 20 16.6 21 1.B 26 2.9 22 5.B 11 4.0 29 .4 22 1.B 26 9.0 11 4.3 10 4.7 14 11.2 37 .0 ORLEANS 40.9 17 15.3 24 .0 36 2.6 26 .0 40 12.B 2 .0 23 .0 40 2.6 31 .0 32 2.6 20 20.4 7 2.6 DSWE6D 23.5 3B 9.2 36 .B 35 1.7 40 .B 38 5.0 23 .0 23 .6 44 .8 29 .0 42 12.6 29 .B		,																									18
ORAHBE 36.7 20 16.6 21 1.8 26 2.9 22 5.8 11 4.0 29 .4 22 1.8 26 9.0 11 4.3 10 4.7 14 11.2 37 .0 ORLEANS 40.9 17 15.3 24 .0 36 2.6 26 .0 40 12.8 2 .0 23 .0 40 2.6 31 .0 32 2.6 20 20.4 7 2.6 OSWE60 23.5 38 9.2 36 .8 35 1.7 40 .8 38 5.0 23 .0 23 .8 34 .8 29 .0 42 12.6 29 .8									-				-				-				-						15
ORLEANS 40.9 17 15.3 24 .0 36 2.6 26 .0 40 12.8 2 .0 23 .0 40 2.6 31 .0 32 2.6 20 20.4 7 2.6 OSWEGD 23.5 38 9.2 34 .8 35 1.7 40 .8 38 5.0 23 .8 38 .8 44 .8 29 .0 42 12.6 29 .8																	-										12
OSWEGO 23.5 38 9.2 34 .B 35 1.7 40 .B 38 5.0 25 .0 23 .B 38 .B 44 .B 29 .0 42 12.6 29 .B																					• •						- 41
																											11
																											33
	OTSEGO	15.2		5.1		.0		. 1.7		1.7		1.7	48			.0	••	5.1		3.4		1.7				1.7	16
PUTHAM 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 7 B.7 4 2.5 21 6.2 52 .0	ruiran	72.5	42	1.9	47	1.2	27	.0	47	2.0	23	1.7	- 17	.0	13	.0	40	11.1	1	0.1	1	1.3	41	9.2	32	.0	41

(Continued on next page.)

B-33

G

											NE	N COURT	CONNITH	ENTS TO	STATE P	RISON										
								Violent	Felonie	5									Drug Fe	loaizs						
	Tot	a]	Tot	al	Kosi	cide	Sex Di	fenses	Rob	bery	Burg	lary	¥ea	POAS	DŁ	her	To	tal	Posse	ssica	Sa	2	Othe Felc		Yout Offe	nders
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
QUEEKS	58. (8	33.6	5	1.3	6	1.8	36	14.6	4	۵. 7	17	3.4	1	2.8	17	11.1	8	4.4	9	4.7	9	12.0	33	1.8	14
RENSSELAER	23.1	40	12.4	28	2.0	20	2.0	32	2.0	27	2.6	40	.1	15	3.3	41	1.3	42	1.3	23	.0	42	9.3	44	.0	- 41
& I CHNOND	30.B	25	19.8	17	2.9	10	1.9	35	5.9	10	6.7	18	1.6	11	.8	39	2.1	35	.8	30	1.3	35	8.4	47	.3	40
ROCKLAND	31.7	23	11.7	30	1.5	29	.8	46	2.6	22	5.3	23	.0	23	1.5	29	8.3	13	1.5	21	6.8	8	10.2	41	1.5	19
ST. LAWRENCE	18.5	49	5.3	47	.0	36	.0	47	1.8	29	2.6	39	.0	23	9	37	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	13.2	28	.0	41
SARATOGA	19.2	47	10.5	34	.0	36	3.7	19	1.2	34	3.7	31	.0	23	1.9	23	3.1	27	1.2	25	1.9	30	5.0	54	.6	31
SCHENECTADY	24.6	37	10.5	33	.0	36	7.3	.4	2.7	21	.7	51	.0	23	.0	40	1.3	- 41	.0	32	1.3	36	11.3	36	1.3	23
SCHOHARIE	23.5	39	23.5	9	6.7	4	3.4	20	.0	40	10.1	5	.0	23	3.4	10	.0	46	.0	32	.9	42	.0	14	.0	41
SCHUYLER	17.1	52	.0	LO	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	17.1	14	.0	- 41
SEKECA	9.2	59	3.1	55	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	3.1	37	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	6.1	53	.0	- 41
STEULEN"	24.7	36	41.3	32	.0	36	4.1	15	.0	40	5.1	24	.0	23	2.1	20	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	10.3	39	3.1	1
SUFFOLK	44.8	15	21.4	13	1.9	23	2.9	21	£.1	6	6.1	19	.5	18	1.8	24	8.1	- 14	1.5	20	6.5	10	14.2	24	1.1	3
SULLIVAN	93.2	3	28.1	8	3.0	9	8.9	- 1	3.0	19	5.9	20	.0	23	7.4	5	25.1	2	8.9	2	16.3	3	37.0	. 2	3.0	1
TIOSA	25.B	32	6.0	45	0	36	.0	47	2.0	26	2.0	45	1.0	23	2.0	21	2.0	37	2.0	17	.0	42	17.9	11	.0	4
TOMPKINS	22.7	41	9.1	37	.0	36	4.5	10	.0	40	3.4	34	.0	23	1.1	36	2.3	33		28	1.1	39	11.3	35	.0	- 4
ULSTER	48.2	13	20.B	H	1.B	25	4.3	13	3.1	18	7.9	13	.6	17	3.1	14	4.3	19	1.8	19	2.4	23	22.0	6	1.2	2
WARREN	10.B	-57	3.6	52	٥.	36	.0	47	1.8	28	1.8	46	.0	23	.0	40	3.6	23	.0	- 32	3.6	16	3.6	57	.0	- 4
VASHINGTON	5.3	Å1	3.5	53	.0	36	1.8	38	.0	40	1.8	47	.0	23	0	40	.0	46	.9	32	.0	42	1.8	60	.0	- 4
WAYNE	18.3	50	5.7	46	.0	36	1.1	44	1.1	36	2.3	42	.0	23	1.1	35	3.4	24	.0	32	3.4	17	8.0	48	1,1	3
WESTCHESTER	64.0	· 🖌	37.9	4	5.2	5	4.0	16	11.9	5	10.7	3	3.1	8	2.9	15	8.4	12	3.2	14	5.2	12	16.5	17	1.2	
VYONING .	17.2	51	2.5	57	2.5	13	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	2.5	32	.0	32	2.5	22	12.3	30	.0	
YATES	27.9	28	18.6	18	٥.	36	.0	47	.0	40	9.3	9	.0	23	9.3	2	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	9.3	- 43	10	4

1 -11-3-

TABLE 94 NEW COURT CONMITMENTS TO STATE PRISCH RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY DEFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Source: MYS Department of Correctional Services.

B-34

											IRNATES	UNDER	CUSTODY													
									V	oleat	Felonie	5							Drug Fel	caies			046.00		¥ I.L	
	Tot	21	Iot	al	Honi	cide	Sex Of	feases	ƙabb	ery.	Burg	lary	Veap	ons	Oth	er	Iot	al	Passe	ssion	Sa	1e	Other Felon		Youth Offen	
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Riak	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Bank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	250.5	4	131.0	4	20.4	10	29.2	2	10.2	5	22.9	4	5.6	6	12.7	7	48.3	3	19.6	2	28.9	3	19.0	2	2.1	15
ALLEGANY	11.0	61	7.9	59	3.9	53	2.0	55	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.0	43	.0	55	.0	42	0	51	2.0	62	2.0	18
BRONI	432.7	2	34B,4	2	83.8	2	29.1	3	165.7	2	2£.7	3	24.1	2	19.2	2	50.2	. 2	13.7	1	36.5	2	29.8	.14	. 4.3	7
EROOME	109.7	13	72.0	12	11.8	29	13.2	ę	21.2	13	18.4	8	. 9	28	6.6	20	5.2	29	2.4	27	2.8	31	32.0	12	.5	39
CATTARAUGUS	31.6	54	15,2	53	1.2	59	5.9	40	8.2	24	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	1.2	53	.0	42	1.2	47	[4.1	46	1.2	31
Cayuga	67.5	32	28.8	41	1.3	58	8.B	26	7.5	27	1.3	40	.0	32	5.0	28	3.8	39	1.3	38	2.5	35	30.1	13	5.0	4
CHAUTAUQUA	43.7	49	22,2	48	12.5	24	1.4	58	2.1	45	4.9	42	.0	32	1.4	49	9.7	- 15	5.5	. 11	4.2	24	11.8	51	.0	42
CHEMUNS	187.0	5	118,5	5	23.9	.6	31.5	1	18.5	14	28.3	2	4.3	9	12.0	8	2.2	47	1.1	- 41	1.1	48	62.0	3	4.3	6
CHEKANGO	41.0	47	32.0	37	10.0	11	4.0	49	8.0	25	٥.	54	.0	32	10.0	10	4.0	33	2.0	31	2.0	42	8.0	56	.0	42
CLINTON	52.7	41	22.1	49	3.7	54	7.4	32	6.1	29	3.7	47	.0	32	1,2	50	2.5	46	.0	42	2.5	37	27.0	20	1.2	30
COLUMBIA	97.4	16	-41.3	28	6.6	42	16.5	6	3.3	40	9.9	29	.0	32	5.0	29	33.0	6	6.6	1	26.4	5	23.1	26	.0	42
CORTLAND	78.1	27	29,5	39	4.2	52	2.1	54	.0	52	2.1	50	6.3	5	14.8	4	2.1	49	2.1	30	,0	51	40.1	1	6.3	-1
DELAWARE	17.1	60	10.7	58	2.1	56	6.4	37	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.1	41	2.1	48	.0	42	2.1	41	4.3	60	.0	42
DUTCHESS	71.0	29	48,2	21	11.8	30	7.8	29	14.5	11	9.4	30	1.2	24	3.5	33	3.9	36	1.2	40	2.7	33	18.0	36	.8	36
ERIE	95.5	17	65.8	16	20.8	8	9.4	23	15.8	15	12.6		1.2	23	6.1	23	3.9	37	2.7	23	1.2	46	24.B	23	1.0	34
ESSEI	38.5	52	16.5	52	.0	- 60	5.5	-41	1.1	-17	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	٩.	55	.0	12	.0	51	19.2	33	2.8	10
FRANKL IN	79.9	25	36.5	23	9.1	34	6.9	35	4.6	36	6.9	37	2.3	16	6.9	18	6.9	23	4.6	- 15	2.3	40 -	34.3	9	2.3	12
FULTON	83.0	22	39,7	31	18.0	12	7.2	33	0	52	12.6	20	.0	32	1.8	45	9.0	16	1.8	- 34	1.2	14	28.9	15	5.4	3
GEKESEE	64.3	34	40.6	29	8.5	37	3.4	53	8.5	23	10.2		5.1	1	5.1	28	5.1	30	.0	42	5.1	20	15.2	42	3.4	8
GREENE	55.5	38	33,8	35	12.1	27	9.6	22	4.8	34	7.2		.0	32	.0	52	7.2		4.8	14	2.4	38	14.5	45	.0	42
HANILTON	8Q.2	24	40,1	30	40.1	4	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	40.1	6	.0	42
HERKIHER	26.9	55	13,4	55	6.0	45	1.5	57	3.0	42	1.5	53	.0	32	1.5	48	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	13.4	4B	.0	42
JEFFERSON	70.0	30	38.4	32	12.4	25	12.4	13	1.1	51	10.2		.0	32	2.3	40	3.4	42	.0	42	3.4	27	27.1	19	1.1	32
K1865	283.3	3	218.1	3	66.4	3	11.8	14	95.9	3	19.7	7	15.6	3	8.7	11	34.7	4	7.6	<u>.</u>	27.2	4	28.2	16	2.3	13
LEWIS	7.9	62	.0	62	.0	60	.0	59	.0	52	.0		.0	32	.0	52	4.0		.0	42	4.0	25	1.0	61	.0	
LIVINGSTON	54.9	39	29.1	40	8.6	36	9.6	28	.0	52	10.3	25	.0	32	1.7	46	B.6	17	3.4	18	5.1	19	17.1	37	.0	
MADISON	40.5	50	24.0	45	4.5	51	10.5	17	3.0	41	4.5	43	.0	32	1.5	47 -	1.5		.0	42	1.5		13.5	- 47	1.5	
NOKROE	129.5	10	87.8	9	20.3	11	10.8	16	28.2	1	16.2	12	4.1	10	8.2	13	6.7	26	2.3	28	4.4	22	33.5		1.6	
KONTGOKERY	45.7	45	22.8	47	11.4	31	3.8	51	1.9	47	3.8	45	0.	32	1.9	- 44	3.8		3.9	. 17			13.3		5.7	
MASSAU	98.8	15	69.0	13-	13.6	23	5.0	- 44	27.8	9	17.7	10	1.7	18	3.2	35	13.5		2,4	25	11.1	10	14.9	43	1.5	
NEW YORK	618.6	. 1	406.5	1	86.0	. 1	15.5	1	207.1	1	38.6	1	36.2		23.1	1	117.0		26.0	1	91.0	-	90.1	1	4.9	
NIAGARA	91.0	19	51.3	18	16.2	17	9.7	21	10.2	21	7.4		2.8	- 14	5.1	25	5.5		2,8	22	2.8		33.7	10	.5	
DHEIDA	84.2	21	51.1	19	15.2		7,6	31	9.2	22	10.8		1.6	19	6.8	19	7.6		3.2	20	4.4	23	23.5		2.0	
ONDNDASA	144.2	8	96.1	8	15.5	19	18.5	5	27.9	8	22.8	5	3.9	11	7.5	15	B.0		2.4	26	5.6		38.3		1.9	
ONTARIO	90.9	20	49.8	20	8.7	35	13,0	10	4.3	37	13.0	18	2.2	17	8.7	12	10.8		5,4	12	5.4		28.1	17	2.2	
DRANGE	108.8	-14	48.1	15	17.7	15	10.4	18	23.4	11	10.8	23	.7	30	5.0	27	19.8		7.2		12.6		20.5		4	
DRLEANS	120.1	11	63.9	17	17.9	13	1.1	30	5.1	33	12.8		2.6	15	17.9	3	7.7		5,1	13	2.6		46.0		2.6	
DSWEGD	48.7	43	26.0	44	5.0	49	4.2	48	7.6	25	6.7	38	.0	32	2,5	37	2.5		1.7	35	.8		19.3		.8	
OTSE60	43.8	48	15.2	51	5.1	48	6.7	38	1.7	49	1.7	52	.0	32	.0	52	6.7		3.4	19	3.4	28	20.2		1.7	
PUTNAN	51.9	42	23.5	46	11.1	32	3.7	52	2.5	- 44	3.7	- 46	.0	32	2.5	39	22.3	7	12,4	4	9.9	13	6.2	57	.0	42

TABLE 98 INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES FER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

(Continued on next page,)

.

Sound Section

Sec. State

									۷	ioleat	Felonie	5							Drug Fel	lonies					.: 	
	Tot	al	Tot	al	Honi	cide	Sec Of	leases	kabb	ery	Burg	lary	Neapo	ms	Oth	ŧſ	Tot	al	Posse	ssioa	Sa	12	Other Felon		Youth Dilen	
OURTY	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rent	Rate	Rant	kate	Rank	kate	fant	Rate	Kant	Rate	Rank	Rate	kank	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kant
NEEKS	159.1	7	117.4	ŝ	27.7	5	8.5	27	18.5	4	18.2	Ŷ	L.5	4	7.7	14	18.3	10	2.8	. B	11.5	9	21.3	29	2.0	16
EKSSELAER	58.1	35	44.9	26	17.8	14	4.0	50	5.9	32	8.5	33	1.3	22	7.3	17	1.3	52	1.3	37	.0	51	11.9	50	.0	42
LCHKOKO	95.2	18	75.2	- 13	17.7	16	5.9	39	2L.7	10	15.5	13	3.2	12	6.2	22	3.7	40	1.3	31	2.4	38	15.8	41	.5	38
GCKLAND	81.1	23	47.5	23	14.3	22	4.5	47	10.2	20	13.4	15	1.5	20	3.4	34	13.4	12	2.6	24	10.9	11	18.9	34	1.1	32
T. LAWRENCE	38.8	51	19.4	51	6.2	43	5.3	43	2.6	43	4.4	44	٩.	29	.0	52	.9	54	.0	42	, t	49	15.9	39	1.8	21
SARATOSA	45.B	44	30.3	38	7.4	38	9.9	20	1.9	48	8.7	32	.0	32	2.5	38	4.3	32	1.2	39	3.1	29	10.5	53	.6	37
CHENECTADY	54.5	40	34.5	34	1.3	57	12.6	11	10.6	18	6.6	28	1.3	21	2.0	42	2.0	50	.0	42	2.0	43	16.6	40	1.3	27
CHOHARIE	57.0	36	47.0	24	6.7	- 41	10.1	19	6.7	28	13.4	16	.0	32	10.1	9	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	10.1	54	.0	42
SCHUYLER	22.B	56	5.7	60	5.7	46	.0	55	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	17.1	36	.0	42
ENECA	18.3	57	12.2	57	6.1	- 44	.0	59	.ū	52	3.1	49	.0	32	3,1	36	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	6.1	58	.0	42
STEUBEN	69.9	31	45.2	25	12.3	26	14.4	8	2.1	46	10.3	26	.0	32	6.2	21	J.1	43	.0	42	3.1	30	18.5	35	3.1	9
SUFFOLK	112.7	12	68.3	14	15.3	19	9.1	25	22.2	12	16.2	11	1.1	26	4.3	31	19.3	9	3.1	21	16.1	1	23.8	25	1.3	28
SULLIVAN	176.0	6	84.3	10	20.7	9	23.7	4	10.4	19	13.3	17.	3.ů	13	13.3	6	34.0	5	8.9	5	25.1	6	56.2	-	1.5	26
106A	73.4	28	43.7	27	11.9	28	6.0	38	6.0	31	13.9	14	۰.	32	6.0	24	4.0	35	2.0	32	2.0	-44	25.B		.0	
IONPKINS	56.7	37	28.4	42	6.8	40	12.5	12	1.1	50	5.7	41	1.1	27	· 1.1	51	6.8	24	2.3	29	4.5	21	21.6		.0	
ALSTER	78.8	26	47.6	22	15.3	20	ŝ.2	21	4.1	30	12.2	22	.6	31	1.3	32	7.3	21	1.8	33	5.5	15	22.0		1.8	20
IARREN	35.9	53	19.8	50	3.6	55	5.4	42	3.L	38	7.2	36	.0	32	۰.	52	5.4	28	.0	42	5.4	18	10.8		0	
ASHINGTON	17.6	58	12,3	56	5.3	47	1.8	56	3.5	39	1.8	51	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	5.3		٥.	42
PAYNE	45.7	46	27.4	43	6.9	39	1.9	34	4.6	35	3.4	48	1.1	25	4.6	30	3.4	- 41	.0	42	3.4	26	14.8		.0	42
ESTCHESTER	144.1	9	101.2	1	22.6	1	11.4	15	32, 9	Ł	22. 1	6	4.6	8	7.5	14	16.6	11	6.0	10	10,6	12	25.0		1.3	29
iyoning	17.2	59	4,9	61	.0	20	4.9	45	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	2.5	45	.0	42	2.5	36	9.8		.0	
IATES	15.2	- 33	32.6	36	4.7	50	4.7	46	.0	52	9.3	31	.0	32	14.0	5	4.7	31	4.7	-15	.0	51	27.9	18	.0	42

AUMERICA HUNDA AUATANY

TABLE 98 INNATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE FRISCH OH GECEMBER 31 RATES FER 140,640 FOPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Sources NYS Department of Correctional Services.

B-36

فتقدد

TABLE TOA PAROLE SUFERVISION RATES PER 100,000 FOFULATION

TABLE 100 REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES Under ## Under Releases Supervision Supervision To Parole During Year DA 12/31 COUNTY Rate Rank Rata Rank fale Rank ALBANY 70.8 195.5 5 142.3 4 4 ALLEGANY 11.8 48 51.4 39 21.6 50 BROHT 181.3 535.8 L L 361.1 1 BROBNE 39.1 14 95.6 20 66.4 20 CATTARAUGUS 11.7 19 35.2 51 17.6 54 CAYUGA 16.3 42 55.1 35 35.1 37 CHAUTAURUA 22.2 36 43.7 - (1 31.9 44 CREMUNG 56.5 8 123.9 10 80.4 -11 CHERANGO 16.0 43 36.0 50 32.0 42 CLINION 29.4 24 17.4 28 44.1 28 COLUMBIA 23.1 33 61.1 31 34.7 38 CORTLAND 25.3 30 26 69.6 59,1 24 DELAWARE 6.1 58 17.1 59 10.7 59 DUTCHESS 41.9 12 121.5 11 8Ł.2 10 EKIE 34.5 17 100.6 17 62.5 22 ESSEX 11.0 51 46.7 42 33.0 41 FRANKLIN 22.8 34 45.7 43 20.6 51 FULTON 30,7 23 63.2 30 43.3 29 GENESEE 15.2 -44 57.6 34 37.3 35 GREENE 53 38 9.5 38.8 24.1 48 HAHILTON .0 62 .0 62 .0 62 HERKINER 59 6.0 14.9 60 17.9 53 JEFFERSON 28.2 26 54.2 37 35.4 33 KINGS 104.1 3. 300.7 3 202.4 3 LENIS 4.0 31 11.9 61 7.9 61 LIVINGSTON 27.4 28 58.3 33 37.7 34 KADISON 12.0 47 30.0 54 16.5 56 NONROE 3 60.9 155.6 7 109.4 7 MONTGONERY 15.2 45 36.2 19 22.8 49 RASSAU 26.4 29 74.1 24 56.0 25 NEW YORK 112.8 2 307.2 2 205.2 2 NIAGARA 47.1 12 11 121.0 80.4 12 OKEIDA 31.5 21 71.8 25 50.3 28 ONONDAGA 47.9 10 142.1 8 87.9 9 **DNTAR10** 28.1 27 67.1 29 36.8 36 DRANGE 24.9 31 91.9 22 40.9 23 ORLEANS 33.2 20 92.0 21 71.5 16 OSKE60 18.5 40 47.8 - 41 31.9 43 OTSEGO 6.7 57 32.0 52 15.2 58 PUTNAH 11.1 50 38.3 47 24.7 47

Tota	1	Ru] Violat	-	Neu Arres		New Fe Convict	
kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
99.1	19	18.0	23	55.9	12	25.2	2(
38.5	42	.0	32	38.5	25	.0	3
125.7	9	40.3	6	36.1	27	50.3	
123.2	11	24.6	15	69.0	8	29.6	2
33.3	44	.0	32	.0	43	33.3	1
22.7	48	.0	32	22.7	37	.0	3
17.6	41	.0	32	31.7	30	15.9	3
157.9	4	17.5	24	98.5	2	43.9	
55.6	35	.0	32	55.6	13	.0	3
72.7	27	36.4	9	.0	43	36.4	i i
54.1	37	.0	32	54.1	16	70.4	3
60.6	33	.0	32	30.3	32	30'3	2
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43		3
129.0	8	35.5	10	58.1	11	35.5	1
116.7	12	19.4	22	80.9	5	16.4	3
.0	51	.0					
.0	31 51	.0	32 32	.0	43	.0	3
28.5	46			.0	43	.0	3
264.7	10	.0	32	.0	43	28.6	2
	-	147.1	2	88.2	4	29.4	2
62.5	31	.0	32	.0	43	62.5	-
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
62.5	31	20.8	20	41.7	24	.0	3
104.7	15	33.6	12	28.3	32	42.8	
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	13	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	0	3
104.B	14	24.6	16 .	59.3		21.0	2
52.4	38	.0	32	52.6	17	.0	3
96.3	21	23.3	.17	30.4	31	42.6	1
125.5	6	44.9	5	37.4	28	53.2	
64.9	30	11.5	30	45.8		7.6	3
138.9	5	33.3		55.6	13	50.0	
130.1	7	45.4	4	49.9	19	34.8	1
96.8	20	16.1	25	48,4	20	32.3	2
66.7	29	19.6	21	23.5	31	23.5	2
27.8	47	.0	32	27.8	34	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	0	43	.0	3
52.6	38	٥,	32	52.6	17	.0	3
32.3	45	.0	32	.0	43	32.3	2

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

I Continued on next page.)
TABLE 10A PAROLE SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE 10B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

Rule

Violations

Total

REVOKED AND RETURKED PAROLEES

Kex.

Arrests

Hese Felony

Convictions

4

8

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES Under 44 Under Releases Supervision Supervision To Parole Duries Year Ca 12/31 COUNTY Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rank QUEENS 64.8 5 183.0 5 125.0 5 RENSSELAER 28.4 **99.8** 18 17.4 19 25 RICHNOND 35.3 16 110.5 13 74.1 14 38 30 ROCKLAND 20.0 38 53.9 39.6 32 27 22.0 37 60.0 46.7 ST. LAWRENCE SARATOGA 5.0 ٤Ô 17.9 58 8.0 40 33.9 19 97.0 19 48.4 18 SCHENECTADY SCHOHARIE 13.4 20.1 56 16.8 55 16 34.3 62.8 SCHUYLER 18 162.8 16 21 SENECA 82.4 167.9 128.2 4 ٨ 5 STEUBEN 22.6 54.4 36 39.0 31 35 SUFFOLK 31.1 22 83.5 23 48.8 17 SULL I VAN 50.3 9 127.2 9 97.6 8 T106A 9.9 52 37.7 48 33.7 40 TOMPKINS 9.1 54 30.6 53 19.3 52 ULSTER 36.6 15 105.4 15 73.3 15 WARREN 7.2 50.3 27.0 56 40 46 WASHINGTON 8.8 55 19.4 57 15.9 57 17.1 38.8 NAYNE 41 68.5 27 32 WESTCHESTER 40.0 13 109.0 14 78.8 13 24.6 32 45 WYONIKS 41.B 34.4 39 YATES 18.6 39 27.8 55 27.9 45

Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 115.1 13 36.5 B 35.9 28 42.7 10 125.8 10 13.2 28 79.5 4 33.1 19 94.4 22 21.8 19 39 21.8 50.8 55.9 34 14.0 26 21.0 39 21.0 28 73.5 26 32 42 58.8 2 14.7 .0 103.4 16 34.5 11 69.0 8 .0 35 102.7 18 6.8 31 75.3 7 20.5 30 166.7 3 165.7 .0 43 - 35 1 ۰. 55.6 35 32 55.6 13 .0 35 .0 .0 51 .0 32 .0 13 ٥. 35 32 18.9 40 .0 35 18.9 49 .ů 81.1 25 13.7 27 24.6 35 42.8 93.0 23 11.6 29 46.5 21 34.9 15 .0 52.4 38 52.6 3 43 35 .0 35 185.2 2 37.0 7 148.1 1 .0 69.4 28 23.1 18 34.7 29 11.6 33 13 35.7 43 32 43 35.7 .0 .0 96.9 24 .0 32 90.9 3 ٥. 35 32 .0 35 16.7 50 .0 16.7 41 102.7 17 25.5 14 43.5 23 33.9 17 51 32 43 .0 35 .0 .0 .0 13 35 .0 51 ...0 32 .0 .0

* The total number of parolees revoted and returned during a gives year.

as The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year: i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parale for Supervision.

SDURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1982 - 1985

APPENDIX C

				PERCENT O	F DISPOSITION	S REPORTED					AVERAGE PERCI	
		1982 Arrest	5		1983 Arrest	s		1984 Arcest	£		1982-84 ARRE	
CUNTY	Total	Felony	Ni sdeseaaar	Total	Felony	Hisdeneanor	Total	Feloay	Ni sdeaeaaar	Total	Faloay	Nisdeneand
LEANY	92.1	89,2	93.1	87.2	85.6	87.B	87.8	83.6	89.4	87.1	86.1	90.2
LLEGANY	60.2	57.8	60.7	59.7	57.4	60.5	56.8	60.0	55.9	58.9	58.4	59.1
RONI	90.5	91.8	88.7	89.9	91.7	87.4	86.3	87.6	84.7	88.8	30.2	BL.7
ROOKE	80.2	78.7	80.7	å8.7	70.0	6B.3	80.9	82.9	80.3	76.9	77.5	76.7
CATTARAUGUS	66.5	67.3	66.3	64.2	66.1	63.B	65.2	59.0	66.4	\$2"2	64.4	65.5
Cayuba	73.9	76.0	73.5	72.0	72.7	71.8	\$5.4	70.1	64.3	70.2	72.7	69.7
CHAUTAUQUA	B0.0	B2.3	79.4	74.6	80.9	79.3	77.9	72,2	79.1	79.1	78.3	79.3
CHEMUNG	83.B	89.7	B1.9	80.9	79.5	B1.4	79.2	84.1	77.8	81.3	84.5	80.4
CHENARGO	65.5	56.4	66.9	£8.3	62.1	69.4	25.0	58.9	66.1	66.2	59.7	67.4
LINION	75.2	\$5.3	77.7	\$3.5	\$1.5	64.0	75.7	76.0	75.7	71.3	67.1	72.3
COLUMBIA	69.0	72.0	68.0	71.6	73.3	71.0	74.5	75,5	73.9	71.7	73.5	71.1
CORTLAND	83.5	88.1	82.2	78.9	74.0	79.9	81.0	81.8	80.9	81.2	82.7	81.0
DELANARE	72.2	70.0	72.7	71.8	70.6	72.2	18.6	72.1	67.9	70.8	70.9	76.8
DUTCHESS	B3.7	82.5	B4.1	85.5	BJ.5	86.2	84.1	£1.1	B4.9	84.4	82.4	85.1
RIE	87.7	85.3	88.6	78.8	80.4	78.3	82.3	83.7	B1.8	83.0	83.2	82.9
SSET	70.6	74.3	69.5	54.1	68.6	42.6	72.8	SE.5	74.7	49.4	70.1	69.2
FRANKLIN	71.5	65.8	72.7	74.4	74.3	74.4	75.0	75.5	74.9	73.6	71.8	74.0
FULTON	81.7	79.1	82.8	81.6	B6.0	80.3	78.2	81.0	77.2	80.3	B1.7	79.7
SENESEE	69.4	72.5	68.7	65.3	72.5	43.9	67.5	71.8	66.5	67.6	72.3	66.7
GREENE	76.4	73.B	77.5	74.2	70.5	75.9	75.4	71.2	77.3	75.4	71.9	77.0
KAHILION	57.6	64.3	54.7	52.4	15.2	\$7.5	48.8	BB. Y	56.7	59.3	68.3	54.5
HERKIMER	54.9	65.3	52.5	51.0	47.4	51.9	61.2	67.2	59.7	55.8	59.7	54.8
IEFFERSON	68.4	80.1	64.1	71.3	77.2	69.4	70.9	75.5	69.2	70.2	77.6	67.6
LIK6S	90.1	91.6	88.2	87.1	88.0	85.9	81.5	82.1	B0.7	86.1	86.9	84.9
LENIS	51.5	42.0	47.9	54.4	71.0	51.2	60.4	44.7	58.8	55.8	65.9	53.1
		77.4	78.4	75.1	75.3	75.1	75.3	71.7	75.8	76.2	74.8	76.4
LIVINSSIDN	78.3		72.3	70.6	78.7	68.7	73.0	75.1	72.5	71.8	74.7	71.1
KADISON	71.9	70.4							88.8	90.4	85.0	
KORRDE	93.1	88.2	94.5	91.0	85.5	92.6	87.2	81.3			76.1	91.9
KOHTEOKERY	67.4	77.6	A4.8	69.4	74.6	68.0	72.2	76.4	71.3	69.9		68.4
KASSAU	94.0	94.9	93.6	B9.7	90.6	88.7	86.2	89.4	84.9	87.8	91.7	89.0
NEW YORK	91.1	93.6	89.2	89.4	91.5	68.1	88.9	89.2	88.7	89.7	91.4	88.6
NIAGARA	93.8	90.8	94.8	92.7	90.8	93.4	89.9	88.8	90.3	92.1	90.1	92.8
DNEIDA	75.6	80.3	74.1	74.9	78,3	73.7	74.8	74.0	75.0	75.1	77.5	74.3
DHONDAGA	79.7	74.5	82.2	81.5	76.4	83.8	79.3	72.7	82.3	80.1	74.5	82.7
DHTARIO	72.2	86.1	69.5	74.3	75,7	74.0	71.2	73.3	70.7	72.6	78.1	71.5
DRANGE	79.1	77.4	79.6	76.4	75,4	76.7	79.4	76.3	80.3	78.2	76.3	78.8
DRLEANS	73.2	82.1	71.0	70.1	81.9	67.0 -	68.9	75.9	67.1	70.7	80.0	68.4
OSWE60	73.0	71.9	73.3	75.8	76.0	75.8	78.8	76.3	79.2	76.0	74.8	76.3
D15E60	80.7	75.7	81.7	70.8	59.5	73.1	70.4	63.9	71.7	73.8	66.1	75.3
PUTNAH	78.5	40.4	82.3	76.0	59.6	79.3	91.7	62.8	84.0	78.7	60.9	82.4

APFENDIT C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 ARRESTS, 1983 ARKESTS, AND 1984 ARKESTS AND THE AVERAGE FERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 THROUGH 1984 ARKESTS +

(Continued on next page,)

C-1

				PERCENT OF	DISPOSITION	S REPORTED					AVERAGE PERC	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1982 Arrest	5		1983 Arrest	5		1984 Arrest	5		1982-84 AKRE	515
OUNTY	Total	Felony	Rí sdeseanor	Total	Felony	ñi sdeseanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeaeand
·			· · · · · ·				, <u> </u>					
UEENS	92.1	93.9	90.0	91.0	91.9	90.0	88.8	50.3	87.3	90.5	92.0	89.0
ENSSELAER	81.9	80.1	B2.5	75.5	75.8	75.5	76.8	73.4	77.8	78.0	76.5	76.5
ICHMOND	92.9	93.2	92.6	92.5	94.6	90.8	90.5	91.3	90.0	91.8	93.0	91.0
JCKLAND	77.6	75.6	78.5	76.4	77.2	76.1	70.6	72.4	47.9	74.7	75.1	74.6
I, LAWRENCE	64.8	46.2	68.2	59.7	30.2	£5.7	51.4	28.8	54.2	59.0	35.2	63.7
ARATOGA	78.2	74.4	79.0	74.2	76.9	73.7	71.5	72.1	74.9	75.6	74.6	75.8
CHENECTADY	87.8	81.6	89.2	87.4	81.2	89.0	88.1	B4.2	B9.2	97.8	82.4	§9. 1
CHOHARIE	57.7	67.4	54.6	54.5	78.7	50.6	56.7	\$9.5	51.3	56.4	70.8	53.2
CHUYLER	45.0	76.4	61.3	73.4	68.3	74.6	70.3	69.2	70.4	69.6	71.6	69.1
ENECA	71.5	69.7	71.8	69.9	73.0	69.5	69.8	60.2	71.5	70.5	17.9	70.9
TEUBEN	76.7	78.2	76.4	76.1	78.5	75.6	71.3	79.6	49.3	74.7	78.B	73.7
UFFOLK	69.B	60.6	71.9	75.2	71.0	74.6	91.9	85.8	80.4	75,3	72.1	76.4
ULLIVAN	83,9	80.2	85.1	85.5	80.2	87.2	84.2	82.1	84.9	84.5	80.8	85.7
IDEA	72.9	73.6	72.8	67.8	66.5	68.2	77.5	76.0	11.1	72.7	71.6	72.9
ONPKINS	78.1	70.9	79.7	79.7	74.3	80.8	80.7	76.5	81.5	79.4	73.7	80.5
LSTER	6B.4	68.0	£8.5	63.1	61.2	63.7	68.9	61.4	70.4	66.6	64.5	57.5
ARREN	87.6	88.4	87.4	88.2	86.3	88.7	85.8	87.9	86.5	87.5	87.6	87.5
ASHINGTON	55.3	\$2.9	53.1	55.5	\$0.3	53.9	55.6	57.4	55.1	55.5	49.2	54.1
AYNE	62.3	40.9	67.5	12.9	61.9	\$3.1	61.3	60.4	\$1.5	\$2.2	61.1	42.4
ESTCHESTER	80.B	80.4	B1.0	75.0	73,1	75.7	66.1	67.9	65.5	73.9	74.0	73.8
YOHING	36.4	61.1	30.2	31.3	60.0	25.9	40.5	62.4	34.9	36.2	51.3	30.4
ATES	66.5	75.0	63.8	69.1	86,3	65.3	70.5	83.3	67.8	68.5	78.8	LS.
EN YORK STATE	85.9	88.3	84.4	84.0	86.4	82.5	82.9	84.5	82.0	84.2	84.4	82.9
EN YORK CITY	90.9	92.7	89.1	89.2	90.7	87.9	86.7	87.0	86.5	86.9	90.0	87.8

APPENDII C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 ARKESTS, 1983 ARRESTS, AND 1984 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 THROUGH 1984 ARRESTS + - Continued

* This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1986. "Interim" dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

and the second second

APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS





D-2

APPENDIX E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES1

t

OFFENSES	PL SECTION	SUB-	FELONY
	NUMBER	DIVISION	CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	all	D
Assault 1st ²	120.10	all	C
Aggravated Assault on a Peace Officer ² Manslaughter 1st ² Murder 2nd, Attempted Rape 1st ² Sodomy 1st ² Sexual Abuse 1st Agg. Sexual Abuse ² Kidnapping 2nd ² Kidnapping 1st, Attempted Burglary 2nd ² Burglary 1st ² Arson 2nd ² Arson 1st, Attempted Robbery 2nd ²	120.11 125.20 125.25 130.35 130.50 130.65 130.70 135.20 135.25 140.25 140.30 150.15 150.20 160.10	00 all all all all all all all all all a	B B B D B B B C B B C B C B C B C B C B
Robbery 1st ² Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ² Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd ² Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st ² Crim. Use Firearm 2nd ² Crim. Use Firearm 1st ² Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	160.15 265.02 265.03 265.04 265.08 265.09 265.12	04,05 00 all all all 00	D C B C B D

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd	125.25	a]]	A-1
Murder 1st, Including Attempted	125.27	a]]	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	a]]	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	a]]	A-1
Crim. Poss Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00,01,02,03	D
CLUMT LARS Meabou and	200.02		

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

²An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Board of Prisoners. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- Felons: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- o State Readies: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has notified the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

<u>Community Contract Housing</u>. The Department of Correctional Services had in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate, and these are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

Victim and Witness Assistance Program. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

F-2

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

以前自己

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapidly increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971). When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing pre-sentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organized Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
 - o Dispositional Alternatives
 - o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who

receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buy-money". In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Section.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act¹. Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulations, workshops and training seminars.

The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Prisoners' Legal Services. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit. School Security Officer Program. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984, to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

Special Narcotics Court Program. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program (S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major

Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

Transit Crime Interdiction Program. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

<u>Transit Strike Force</u>. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the subway system. The crimes of homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

Vera Institute. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

DIVISION OF PAROLE

Parole Resource Center. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) program provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

<u>Parole Transitional Facilities</u>. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve employment services performance.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs will be targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

County Planning for Alternatives to Incarceration (Classification Alternatives). Pursuant to Chapters 907 and 908, Laws of 1984, \$3,050,000 was appropriated in FY 1985-86 and made available to all counties and the City of New York for the establishment or expansion of alternatives to incarceration programs. To be eligible to receive state aid, and to be able to utilize a new classification system in the local jail, each county was required to establish an alternatives to incarceration advisory board and to undertake a planning process designed to identify the types of alternatives programming needed in the county. To date, 44 counties and the City of New York have submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and are receiving funds that support approximately 70 new or expanded programs. Based upon contractual objectives, it is estimated that more than 3,000 offenders will be diverted from jail sentences at the post-conviction stage, while another several thousand will be released while awaiting disposition through new or enhanced pre-trial release efforts.

Prior to the creation of the Division in 1985, this program was administered by the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Demonstration Projects. Demonstration projects generally fall into one of three program models: community service sentencing projects; individualized sentencing plan programs; and offender rehabilitation programs. In FY 1985-86, there were 28 such projects. During the current fiscal year, these 100% State funded programs are expected to provide services to more than 10,000 clients, approximately one-half of whom will be offenders whose the outcomes are directly impacted by program intervention.

Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; and felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for a probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (Continued)

law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and their families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

<u>Regular State Aid</u>. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

<u>Community Based Organization</u>. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

<u>Community Care Program</u>. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

Job Development. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

<u>Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living</u> <u>Support</u>. Gives the Division the authority and financial capacity to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand the success of this program under statutory provisions which were modified during 1985 to permit expansion into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which we were formerly limited.

Special Delinquency Prevention Program. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk of unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH (Continued)

Voluntary Agency Care. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psycho-social and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Salaries of District Attorneys. Pursuant to County Law §700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

ŕ.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Kartigata.

(and the second

Construction of

いた料理

1. S. S. S.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1

CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	aitures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Department of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0	.0	
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8	39,893.8	
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ²	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,638.4	
Division for Youth ³	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6	
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,395.9	16.0%	119,898.9	93,671.0	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	14.8	NΑ	~ ~	· · · · ·	
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	40.0%			
Parole Violators	.0	.0	284.9	NA			
Community Contract Housing ³		215.1	434.2	NA			
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0	.0	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

G-2

「日本に改善さい

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5.5%	6,290.0	5,206.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580,8	26.7%	498.6	700.3	
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	72.5%	191.2	143.6	
Sexual Assault	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	43.3	
TOTAL	6.216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6.979.8	6,Ŭ93.3	

 $^1{\rm Th}$ is table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

G-3

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Charige 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ²			55.6	NA			
Crime Prevention and Protection ³		175.0	.0	NA	110.0	.0	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	15.0%	2,090.9	2,133.0	
Indigent Parolee Prog.	722.1	834.8	956.0	32.4%	956.0	1,028.0	
Justice Assistance Act ⁴			-	NA	1,013.1	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	.3.3%	473.8	975.2	
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	13.4%	6,624.0	6,756.5	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵		·	. 4	NA			

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

³No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 4 FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

ALCON

Ÿ

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 62-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7	
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0	4,818.1	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁶	- -		1,395.0	NA	1,400.0	1,440.0	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	22.4%	16,153.0	16,789.5	
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ⁸				NA	1,340.6	1,486.0	
Transit Crime Strike Force ⁹	 .	3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,404.4	3,353.9	
Vera Institute10				NA		100.0	
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8	39,893.8	

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

⁸This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

⁹This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁰This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

•

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Parole Resource Centers	398.0	511.4	477.0	19.8%	516.8	654.8	
Parole Transitional Facilities ²		37.1	172.9	NA	309.1	496.1	
Employment Services Project ³				NA	 .	700.0	
STOP Program ³	÷			NA		525.0	
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9	

 $^1{\rm This}$ table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

³This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

ないないない

e University Parameter (S

Sufficient Sufficient

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

· .		Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives ²				NA	444.9	.0	
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.5	13.1%	1,684.6	1,664.3	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	20.5%	1,652,5	3,399.3	
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	20.1%	11,694.5	13,574.8	

TOTAL

10,446.8 11,893.7 12,508.6 19.7%

15,476.5 18,638.4

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

²This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

······································		Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Detention							
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	27.4%	15,526.6	9,723.5	
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	-11.7%	2,152.6	2,213.0	
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	28.9%	479.2	691.4	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program ²	4,630.0	.0	.0	-100.0%	.0	.0	
Voluntary Agency Care ³	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	-17.5%	12,508.6	.0	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	-3.2%	27,644.9	14,041.7	
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

²Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

³The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

G-8

ure.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1

APPENDIX H

STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u> <u>FY 86-7</u>
Department of Correctional Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8 32,737.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0 3,702.5
Division of Criminal Justice Sarvices	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5 10,394.4
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ²	.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5 1,100.0
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2 10,178.9
TOTAL	13,638.2	14,978.0	14,344.0	5.2%	48,470.0 58,112.7

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearaces.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Approp	riations
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Chance 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners ²						
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	.0	NA	42.0	51.5
Felons	.0	.0	.0	NA	18,559.6	22,770.1
Parole Violators	.0	.0	.0	NA	2,393.4	2,936.4
State Readies ³			- 	NA	2,520.0	3,528.0
Community Contract Housing ⁴		.0	.0	NA	·	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	.0	.0	.0	NA	312.8	3,051.0
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8	32,737.0

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³This program was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the lump-sum 'Statewide' appropriation for this program.

⁴This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

H-2

•

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

<u></u>	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	2,803.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive ³	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	899.5	
TOTAL_	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	3,702.5	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

 3 At the time of publication not all FY 1986-87 contracts had been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

с С

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	·	Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Indigent Parolee Prog. ²				NA	638.0	597.8
Justice Assistance Act ³	-			NA	1,705.0	1,611.6
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	-25.5%	956.4	1,088.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	13.4%	.0	.0
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁴		.0	.0	NA	.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 3 FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁴This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program			% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵	635.5	535.5	.0	-100.0%	500.0	500.0
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁶			.0	NA	.0	20.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	22.4%	2,224.2	766.8
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5	10,394.4

•

5,261.6 5,108.6 5,529.7

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives ²	۰. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			NA	248.0	.0	
Demonstration Projects	.0	.0	.0	NA	455.0	100.0	
Intensive Supervision/							
Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	259.4	.0	
PINS Program ³				NA	~ ~	1,000.0	
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5	1,100.0	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

³This prooram was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Community Care Initiative ²				NA	13 16	219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	-6.2%	35.0	131.9
Special Legislative Contracts ³	.0	.0	.0	NA	202.0	274.5
Voluntary Agency Care ⁴	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	2.4%	12,092.2	9,553.5
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2	10,178.9

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

³Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

⁴Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

H-8

REFERENCES

i k

al respect the second second

Nagadu ang manadada

and the second second second

REFERENCES

Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u>. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.

New York Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.

New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.

New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.

- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. <u>Resident Employment Status and the Civilian</u> Labor Force: January 1984 - October 1986.

New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.

New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services and the Office of Administrative Services.

New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).

- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.

New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.

New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.

- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of</u> <u>Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

R-1

ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Place a check mark next to the volume(s) you would like.

	Volume	I	New York State
	Volume	II	Binghamton Region
	Volume	III	Buffalo Region
	Volume		Capital Region
	Volume		Elmira Region
	Volume		Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region
	Volume	VII	Mid-Hudson Region
	Volume	VIII	Mohawk Valley Region
anga gitta in an indistr.	Volume	IX	New York City Region
	Volume		Nothern Region
·	Volume		
			Rochester Region
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Volume	XII	Syracuse Region
	Volume	XIII	Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau,
			Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties)

Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to:

Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

and the second se

.

Staril Hales

NAME	· · ·	
TITLE		
AGENCY		
ADDRESS		
CITY	STATE	ZIP

