308

Population Movements In The Massachusetts Department of Correction During 1986

Prepared by:

state and .

Lisa Lorant EDP Programmer III

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael V. Fair Commissioner









May 198?

MCJRS

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been

Massachusetts Department of

Corrections

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

106193

ISITIONS

Abstract

The Department of Correction routinely monitors population movement in the state correctional system. This report assesses population change and summarizes all movement of offenders in DOC custody during the calendar year 1986. The information is presented according to the institution of admission or release and includes the following: new court commitments, paroles, returns on parole violation, discharges, escapes, deaths, transfers to other correctional facilities both within the state and outside of the state, and temporary releases to hospitals and courts.

During 1986 there were 15,769 admissions of all types and 15,565 releases. This constitutes an 8 percent increase in admissions over last last year and a 10 percent increase in releases. These 31,334 moves involved 7,970 individuals.

Overall, the state prison population increased by 4 percent between 1985 and 1986, compared to an increase of 9 percent from 1984 to 1985.

There were 2,533 new admissions of inmates, (court commitments and From and After sentences), an increase of 5 percent from last year.

There were 876 parole violators returned to the DOC, an increase of 23 percent from last year.

There was a 19 percent rise in transfers from other authorities, (county, federal or out-of-state), 535 in 1986 compared to 451 in 1985.

There were 3,046 releases to the street by parole, expiration of sentence or good conduct discharge. These types of releases increased by 29 percent compared to 1985.

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>		Page Number
Abstract		i
Introduct	<u>ion</u>	1
Highlight	s of 1986 Movements	
Table 1	Summary of All Movements and Population Changes in the Massachusetts Department of Correction for 1985 and 19	10
Table 2	Type of Admission	11
Table 3	Type of Release	13
Table 4	Sentencing Court	16
Table 5	Institutional Transfers (Transfers Out)	17
Table 6	Institutional Transfers (Transfers In)	19
Table 7	Institutional Transfers (Security Level of Admitting Facility by Releasing Institution)	21
Table 8	Institutional Transfers (Security Level of Releasing Facility by Admitting Institution	23
Table 9	Releases to Hospitals	26
Table 10	Returns from Hospitals	28
Table 11	Transfers to Houses of Correction	29
Table 12	Return of DOC Inmates From Houses of Correction	31
Table 13	House of Correction Inmates Transferred to DOC Facilities	34
Table 14	Transfers to Houses of Correction on a From and After Sentence	36
Table 15	Releases to Out of State	38
Table 16	Admissions From Out of State	40
Glossary		42
Footnote:		44

Introduction

The population movement report is one of the annual statistical reports regularly prepared by the Research Division of the Massachusetts Department of Correction. Each correctional facility of the Department of Correction submits weekly admission and release reports describing each offender's move into or out of that facility. This annual movement report is a compilation of all admissions and releases during 1986. It presents information by type of admission and release including: new court commitments, paroles, returns on parole violation, discharges, escapes, deaths, transfers to other correctional facilities both within the state and outside of the state, and trips to hospitals and courts.

This report consists primarily of sixteen tables that describe the various types of movement. The first table summarizes all movements and changes in population from January 1, 1985 to December 31, 1986. The next two tables summarize all types of admissions and releases. The remaining thirteen tables consider specific types of moves in detail. Each table is broken down by specific admitting or releasing institution or institutional group.

Offenders can be received into an institution in a number of ways. Offenders can be committed by the courts to Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham. During 1986 and for several years previous to that an offender would, after sentencing, often be placed in a house of correction (a county facility) under the authority of the Department of Correction (DOC) while awaiting space in a state facility. These offenders are not counted as commitments until they actually arrive at the institution of commitment. Offenders can also arrive from

another institution to begin serving a new sentence, having finished a first sentence and started a second or third sentence. These are "from and after," "B" and "C" sentences. Generally, an offender would be paroled or discharged from the initial sentence and then committed on a second sentence.

Offenders can be admitted in ways that are not directly related to beginning a sentence. Offenders who have violated some condition of their parole can be returned as parole violators to continue serving the sentence on which they were released. Offenders can also be returned from outside releases of short duration such as trips to courts or hospitals or be returned from escape. Additionally, offenders can be transferred from other correctional authorities including houses of correction, federal prison authorities or prison authorities of other states.

Offenders can be released by the authority of the Parole Board, by receiving a good conduct discharge, by serving the maximum term of their sentence, or by obtaining a court-revised sentence. Such parolees and releasees can be sent to the community, to another prison authority, or to another sentence. Offenders also can be released on a short term basis to hospitals or courts. Moreover, the death of an offender or an escape from confinement is classified as a release.

The most common type of movement is a transfer from one facility within the Department to another. Because the Department maintains facilities of different security levels, an offender is initally placed in one of three commitment institutions (Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham) or is transferred from a county facility to the Longwood Treatment Center and then moved to lower security facilities in the process of serving his/her sentence. Such interinstitutional transfers are generally from a higher security setting to a lower security setting, but occasionally transfers are made from lower to higher security. Each time a movement of this type occurs, the institution of destination records an admission and the institution of origin records a release.

When considering numbers of admissions and releases, this report does more than tabulate the number of offenders admitted from the courts and released by parole or the termination of their sentence. This report includes information on all types of admissions to and releases from each of the institutions in the Department and, as such, is a valuable statistical description of the flow of offenders through the correctional system of Massachusetts during a given year.

Highlights of 1986 Movements

This section presents an overview of population movements during 1986 and highlights some of the significant trends. More complete information can be found by consulting the individual tables. In reading the tables in this report, the reader should note that: (1) the top number in each cell in the body of a table represents the number of moves which are characterized by the corresponding row and column categories of that table; and (2) the bottom number in parentheses in each cell represents the percentage of total moves in that column (column percent) corresponding to the row category. The percentages sum down the column to total 100 percent. For example, Table 2 indicates that court commitments comprise 1,021 admissions or 49 percent of the total admissions to Cedar Junction during 1986. All tables in the text should be read as reporting the admissions, releases, transfers and returns to or from the institutions identified across the top of the tables. Reading the numbers and percentages in this way is consistent with the document's discussion of the movement information.

<u>Population Changes.</u> At the end of 1985 the count of inmates in custody in Massachusetts Department of Correction facilities stood at 5,405; at the end of 1986 the population was 5,636. This represents an increase of 231 individuals or 4 percent during the year. Table 1 summarizes all movements of offenders during

1986. As shown by the table, admissions continue to exceed releases. While reading Table 1, keep in mind that the total 31,361 moves represent 7,970 individuals. The next two sections on admissions and releases are based on Tables 1, 2 and 3.

Admissions. There were a total of 15,796 admissions of all types into DOC institutions during 1986. This represents an 8 percent increase in admissions over 1985. There were 2,500 "new" court commitments, an increase of 5 percent from last year; 2 inmates admitted on a B sentence; and 33 inmates admitted on a from and after sentence, a decrease of 8 percent from 1985. There were 876 parole violators returned to the DOC, up 23 percent from last year.

DOC inmates were also returned from houses of correction (N=187) and out-of-state facilities (N=64). Other movements in to the DOC were transfers of county inmates from houses of correction (N=465), transfers from out-of-state facilities (N=46) and transfers from federal authorities (N=24). Admissions increased from last year for each of these categories except returns of DOC inmates from out-of-state.

Seventy percent of the admissions consist of DOC inmates admitted as transfers from other DOC facilities. These 11,127 admissions represent an increase of 7 percent relative to 1985.

Sixty-seven inmates were returned from court, 111 returned from hospitals and 294 returned from escape. These moves increased compared to last year, 12 percent, 19 percent and 15 percent, respectively.

Releases. There were 15,565 movements out of institutions during 1986, a 10 percent increase over the previous year. Inmates were parolled to the street (N=1,795), parolled to another authority (N=32) and parolled to another sentence

(N=12), representing an increase of 26 percent and 7 percent and a decrease of 64 percent from 1985, respectively. Inmates were also released from the DOC by expiration of sentence (N=332), a decrease of 13 percent from last year.

There were 919 inmates discharged to the street, up 66 percent from last year; 8 inmates discharged to another authority; and 51 inmates discharged to another sentence, up 13 percent from last year. Twenty-three inmates were released on parole or GCD to a warrant 133 were removed to court, and 9 were removed to a Sheriff. In addition, 109 inmates were released by court.

Movements of DOC inmates out of the institutions also consisted of transfers to houses of correction (N=411) and transfers to another authority (N=129), a decrease of 6 percent and an increase of 2 percent, respectively.

Seventy-two percent of all movements out of the institutions were transfers to other DOC facilities. These 11,170 transfers represent a 6 percent increase from 1985. There were 133 inmates transferred to a hospital, an increase of 33 percent from 1985.

Inmates escaped from institutions in 288 cases, up 8 percent from last year. There were eleven deaths of inmates in DOC familities during 1986. Seven died of natural causes, and 4 by suicide. This represents an increase of one death from last year.

Court Commitments. There were 2,500 "new" court commitments during 1986 (Table 4). Whereas "new" court commitments increased by 5 percent from 1985 to 1986, the three commitment institutions did not reflect the increase equally. The number of commitments to Cedar Junction increased from 981 commitments in 1985 to 1,021 in 1986, a 4 percent increase; the number of commitments to Concord increased by 7 percent from 611 to 655; and the number of commitments to Framingham increased by 5 percent, from 782 commitments in

1985 to 824 in 1986. The total number of court commitments for 1986 equal the sum of the 2,500 "new" court commitments plus the 33 "from and after" sentences of inmates already under the authority of DOC. This amounted to 2,533 total court commitments in 1986, an increase of 5 percent from last year.

Inter-Institutional Transfers. Tables 5 through 8 display information on inter-institutional transfers. Tables 5 and 6 display each move by the origination/destination facility and the institution to or from which the inmate transferred. Tables 7 and 8 display each move by the security level of the origination/destination facility and the specific facility to or from which the inmate transferred.

From 1985 to 1986 there was a 7 percent increase in admissions by institutional transfer and a 6 percent increase in releases by institutional transfer. Concord (18%), Norfolk (16%) Gardner (10%) and SECC (10%) were the largest receivers of institutional transfers. Three institutions, Concord, Cedar Junction and Norfolk account for 61 percent of the transfers sent out, 32 percent, 16 percent and 13 percent, respectively.

Transfers From. (Table 7) Sixteen percent of all inter-institutional transfers were from the maximum security institution, Cedar Junction. Of these, 90 percent of the inmates were transferred to medium security institutions and one percent went to lower security facilities. Nine percent were transfers to the secure hospitals.

Sixty-one percent of all institutional transfers were from medium security. Twelve percent of these were to higher security (Cedar Junction), 45 percent were lateral transfers, 36 percent were to lower security facilities and 7 percent were to the secure hospitals.

Eighteen percent of the inter-institutional transfers were from lower security facilities. One inmate was a transfer to maximum security, 48 percent were to medium security institutions, 46 percent were transfers to other lower security institutions and 6 percent were to the secure hospitals.

Five percent of all transfers were from the secure hospital Lemuel Shattuck. Fourteen percent were to maximum security, 64 percent were to medium security facilities, 20 percent were to minimum security and one percent were secure hospital transfers.

<u>Transfers To.</u> (Table 8) Eight percent of all transfers were to the only maximum security facility (Cedar Junction). Of these, 85 percent were transfers from medium security and 15 percent were transfers from secure hospitals (Lemuel Shattuck and Bridgewater State Hospital). Only one case was transferred from a lower security facility (minimum and pre-release).

Fifty-five percent of all transfers were to medium security facilities. Of these, 27 percent were transfers from maximum security, 50 percent were lateral transfers from other medium security facilities, 16 percent were transfers from lower security facilities and 7 percent were transfers from the secure hospitals.

Thirty-two percent of all transfers were to lower security facilities. Sixteen of these were from maximum security, 70 percent were transfers from medium security, 26 percent were transfers from other lower security facilities and 3 percent were transfers from the secure hospitals.

Five percent of all transfers were to the secure hospital Lemuel Shattuck. Fifteen percent of these were from maximum security, 62 percent were from medium security and 23 percent were transfers from minimum security. One percent were secure hospital transfers.

Hospital Transfers. Offenders needing hospital care can be treated at various community hospitals or Lemuel Shattuck Hospital, a hospital at which the Department of Correction maintains a secure ward for offenders in custody. During 1986 there were 519 transfers to Lemuel Shattuck Hospital from Department of Correction facilities and 509 transfers from Lemuel Shattuck to state correctional institutions (including transfers to Bridgewater State Hospital). See Tables 5 and 6.

There were 133 releases to and 111 returns from community hospitals (Tables 9 and 10) during 1986. Framingham Union Hospital had the greatest proportion of admissions and releases, followed by New England Medical Center, Boston City Hospital and Emerson Hospital. Together, they received almost half of the admissions and releases to community hospitals. MCI-Framingham had the largest proportion of moves to and admissions from community hospitals.

178 5 2

, 3, 1

Transfers to Houses of Correction. Four types of movements between county houses of correction and state facilities are presented in Tables 11 through 14 of this report. The first type of move involves a state or county inmate transferred from a DOC facility to a county house of correction to serve or finish a sentence. There were 411 such transfers in 1986, a 6 percent increase from 1985. The Suffolk County facility at Deer Island received the largest number of transfers (108 or 26%) and Concord transferred the most inmates (118 or 29%) during 1986. The second type of move is the return of a Department of Correction inmate from a county facility. There were 187 such returns in 1986, an 87 percent increase over the previous year. Twenty-eight percent of the returns were to Concord and 22 percent to MHHI. Forty-four percent of the returns were from Deer Island. The third type of move is the transfer of a county inmate to a Department of Correction facility. There were 465 such transfers in 1986, a 13 percent increase

over the 412 county admissions in 1985. Twenty-eight percent of these admissions came from the Billerica House of Correction and 20 percent from the Dedham House of Correction (see Table 13). All of the admissions were to Concord (20%) or Longwood Treatment Center (80%). The Longwood Treatment Center is a specialized facility for the treatment of individuals committed for Operating Under the Influence of Alcohol (O.U.I.) and receives individuals originally committed to county facilities for O.U.I. The fourth type of move is the release of a Department of Correction inmate to a county facility on a "from and after" sentence. There were 16 such releases in 1986, a decrease from the 33 such releases in 1985. Six of the releases went to the Suffolk County facility at Deer Island and five of the releases were from MCI-Norfolk.

Movements to Other States. Table 15 shows that there were 105 transfers And the from Department of Correction facilities to prisons in other states. This included 81 Department of Correction inmates and 24 interstate transfers under the jurisdiction of other states. Of the total number of offenders transferred, 23 percent were released from Cedar Junction, and 22 percent from Norfolk. Seventy-eight percent of the offenders were transferred to prisons in other New England states, 33 percent to New Hampshire. There were 110 admissions from other states during 1986 (Table 16). Inmates admitted from out-of-state prisons included the return of 64 Department of Correction offenders and transfer of 46 offenders under the jurisdiction of other states. Seventy-six percent of the offenders were sent from prisons in other New England states, 31 percent from New Hampshire, and admitted most often to Concord (32%) and Cedar Junction (23%).

3.0 day in

Table 1

Summary of All Movements and Population Changes In The Massachusetts Department of Correction for 1985 and 1986

		mber	% Change
Department Population on January 1	1 985 4958	1986 5405	1 985-1986 (9)
Admissions			
New Court Commitment	2374	2500	(5)
B Sentence	2	2	(0)
From And After Sentence	36	33	(-8)
Parole Violation	714	876	(23)
County Inmate Transferring to DOC	412	465	(13)
Out-of-State Inmate Transferring to DOC	22	46	(109)
Received From Federal Authorities	1.7	24	76.13
(DOC and non-DOC)	17 100	24 187	(41) (87)
DOC Inmate Returning From House of Correction DOC Inmate Returning From Out-of-State	69	64	(-7)
Return From Court	60	67	(12)
Return From Hospital	93	111	(19)
Return From Escape	256	294	(15)
Transfer From Another DOC Facility	10435	11127	(7)
TOTAL ADMISSIONS	14590	15796	(8)
Releases			
Transfer To Another DOC Facility	10482	11170	(6)
Transfer To House of Correction	438	411	(-6)
Transfer To Another Authority	126	129	(2)
Parole To Street	1426	1795	(26)
Parole To Another Authority	30	32	(7)
Parole To Another Sentence	33 383	12 332	(-64)
Sentence Expired Discharge To Street	553	919	(-13) (66)
Discharge To Other Authority	6	8	(33)
Discharge To Another Sentence	45	51	(13)
Release To Warrant	30	23	(-23)
Removed To Court	112	133	(19)
Released To Sheriff	2	9	(350)
Released By Court	100	109	(9)
Release To Hospital	100	133	(33)
Escape Death	267 10	288 11	(8) (10)
TOTAL RELEASES	14143	15565	(10)
Department Population On December 31 ²	5405	5636	(4)

TABLE 2: TYPE OF ADMISSION

TYPE OF ADMISSION		Plymouth		Warwick		Shirley	100 to 10	5000	Boston	State	Norfolk		Park	urive	South	Middlesex		мнні		Drug Rehab	Hillside	PRC	Charlotte)	Ē	'Potal
Court Commitment	(0	. (0 0)		0	(0	. (0	(0	(0	(0	. (0 0)	(0 (0)	. (0 0)	· (0		00 16)
B Sentence	(0	(0		0	· (0	(0	: : (0	, , (0	(0	. (0	(0 0)		0	(0	. (2
Parole or Probation Violation		0	`i	0	•	0 0)	(0	(0	(0	` . (0	(0	(0	(0	(0	` (0		76 6)
Transfer From MCI		308 97)		132 93)		722 96)		98)		191 97):	(96)		24 98)		187 96)		95 91)	(16 89)		74 00)	(50 96)	111	27 70)
Transfer From House of Correction	(0	- (0	. (0	(0	(0	; ; (·	0 0)	(0	(0	. (0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(0		65 3)
Return From House of Correction	(8 3)	(10 7)	(26 3)	Ċ	6 2)	. (1	- (0 0)	- , (0 0)	(5 3)	(41 8)	. (2 11)	(0 0)	(0		8 7
Return From Court	(0	(0 0)	(1 0)	. (0	(0	. (0	". (0	(0	· (0	(0	(0	, , (0	(67 0)
Received on a From and ,	. (0 0)	(0	(0	•	0	(0	. (0	; , (0	(0	(0	(0	(0	(0	(33
Return From Hospital	(0	(0	. (0 0)	(0	.(0 0),	(4 3)	. (1	(1	(0	Ċ	.O O)		0)	' (0 0)		11
Return From Escape	(0	(0	(2 0)	. (0	•	4 2)	•	1	. (1 1)	(1	(6	• (0	. : (0 0)	, (2 4)		94
Received From Federal Authority	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0	C	0	· (0	. (0	(0	(0 0)	(0	- (0)	(0	(24 0)
DOC Offender From Out of State	. (0	(0	(0	(0 0)	. (0 0)	(0	, (0 0	• (0	(0	(0	•	0 (0)	(0	(64 0)
Non-DOC Offender Out of State	(0	(0 0)	. (0 0)	. (0	(0	(0	. (0	· (0		0	(0)	(0)	. (0 0)	(46 0)
TOTAL		316		142		751	2	89	. 1	196		127		26		194		42	, .	18		74		52	157	96

TYPE OF RELEASE		lymouth	ıtck	ley	Lancaster	on Se	[0]k	. 	th lesex		g Rehab	lside	Charlotte House	11
- Magazi		Plym	Warwick	Shirley	Lano	Boston State	Norf	Park Drive	South Middle	MHHI	Drug	HILL	Cha: Hou	Total
Transfer to MCI		211 (61)	90 (60)	475 (69)	116 (47)	96 (49)	61 (49)	68 (53)	89 (47)	135 (25)	11 (58)	25 (34)	15 (28)	11170
Transfer to House of Correction		51 (15)	13	9 (1)	(0)	(1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	(1)	(0)	1 (1)	((0)	411 (3)
Transfer to Other Authority		(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (- 0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	.0	(0)	129
Parole to Street		49 (14)	28 (19)	148 (21)	93 (38)	55 (28)	40 (32)	36 (28)	76 (40)	245 (45)	3 (16)	27 (37)	16 (30)	1795 (12)
Parole to Other Authority		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	2 (2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	(0)	32 (0)
Parole to Sentence		0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	12
Sentence Expired		0	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	332
Discharge to Street		12 (3)	14 (9)	30 (4)	29 (12)	6 (3)	8 (6,)	9 (7)	9 (5)	53 (10)	3 (16)	5 (7)	10 (19)	919 (6)
Discharge to Other Authority		(0)	(, , 0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	.0 ((0)	(O)	(0)	8 (0)
Discharge to Other Sentence		0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	- , O. (O)	(0)	51 (0)
Release to Warrant		0 (0) e	0 (; 0;)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0,)	0 (_0)	, (0)	(0)	0	(0)	(0)	23 (0)
Removed to Court		(0)	((0)	1 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	, O (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	133 (1)
Release to Sheriff		0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	; 0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	9 (0)
Release by Court		(0)	1 (1)	6 (1)	2 (1)	1.	(0)	(0)	2 (1)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	2 (4)	109 (1)
Transfer to Hospital		0 (0)	(- 0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)	(3)	(1)	1 (1)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	133
Escape		24	(3)	22 (3)	(8	38 (19)	9 (7)	13 (10)	13	104 (19)	(11)	15 (21)	10 (19)	288 (2)
Death		0	(0)	· 1 ((0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	O (O)	(0)	(0)	(0)
												• • .		- " _e .
	TOTAL	348	150	693	248	197	125	128	191	543	19	73	53	15565

SENTENCING	COURT	Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Potal
Barnstable		19 (2)	8 (1)	(0)	30
Berkshire		13	(0)	(0)	16
Bristol		64	46 (7)	15	125
Essex		73	50 (8)	14	137
Franklin		10	(0)	(0)	12
Hampden		123	26 (4)	18 (2)	167 (7)
Hampshire		15	(1)	(0 ₁)	(1)
Middlesex	•	178	99 (15)	18	295 (12)
Norfolk		54 (5)	20	(0)	75 (3)
Plymouth		49 (5)	19 (3)	(0)	(3)
Suffolk		312	159 (. 24)	25 (3)	496 (20)
Worcester		(111)	163 (25)	B ₍ 17 2)	291 (12)
Municipal		(0)	(1)	135 (16)	144
District		(0)	(7)	576 (70)	624 (25)

TOTAL

TABLE 5: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFERS

			•	RELEAS	ING INSTI	TUTION		بي			
ADMITTING INSTITUTION	Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley Lancaster	Boston	Norfolk PRC	Park Drive South Middlese)	инн	Drug Kehab	H111s1de PRC	Charlotte House	Total
Cedar Junction	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 0 (0) (0		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	863 (8)
Concord	69 (33)	50 (56)	303 44 (43) (38)	49 (51)	25 (41)	20 56 (29) (63	113	5 (45)	23	0	1971
Framingham	0 (0)	0	0 26 (0) (22)	(0)	0 (0)	0 0	0	5 (45)	. 0	13 (87)	120
Hodder House	(0)	0	0 0 (0)	(0)	0	0 0	0	0	(0)	0 (0)	88
Norfolk	5 (2)	2 (2)	15 4 (3) (3)	1 (1)	25 (41)	4 2	3	(0)	2 (8)	0 (0)	1781 (16)
NCCI	(0)	1 (1)	4 0 (1) (0)	(0)	0 1	0 0	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1086
SECC	11 (5)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0)	(0)	1 1) (1)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	1076
Bay State	5 (2)	(0)	1 0	(0)	1 (2) (0 0	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	244
Medfield	(0)	2 (2)	1 0	(0)	(0) (0 0	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	38
NCC	(0)	2 (2)	6 1 (1)	(1)	0 (0) (2 1 (3) (1	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	376 (3)
Lemus! Shattuck	5 (2)	2 (2)	12 11 (3) (9)	(2)	5 (8) (6 13 (9) (15)	5 (4)	(9)	(0)	2 (13)	515 (5)
Longwood	0 (0)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0)	(0) (0 0	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	63 (1)
Plymouth	(0)	0 (0)	(0) (0)	. (0)	0 (0) (0 = 0 (0) (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	308
Warwick	(0)	(0)	2 0	(0)	(0) (0 1	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	132
Shirley	(0)	4 (4)	0 1	(0)	(0) (0 97 0		e 0 (0)	(0)	· 0 (0)	722 (6)
Lancaster	(O) . (3 (3)	14 0	(0)	(0) ((0) (0)	1 (1)	(0)	(0)	(, 0)	283
Boston State	21 (10)	0	51 4 (11) (3)	(0)	(2)	3 <u>1</u> (4) (1	6 (4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	191 (· 2)
Norfolk PRC	10	2 (2)	11 0	(0)	(0)	0 0	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	122
Park Drive	11 (5)	(0) ,	22 3 (5) (3)	6 (8)	(2)	0 5	3) (2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	124
S. Middlesex	(3)	16 (18)	34 4 (7) (3)	(0)	(0)	0 0	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	187 (2)
мнні	52 (25)	4 (4)	82 14 (17) (12)	36 (38)	3 (5)	31 9 (46) (10) (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	495 (4)
Drug Rehab	(0)	0 (0)	(0) (0)	(0)	2 (0) 3 (0)	(0) (0	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	16 (0)
Hillside PRC	13 (6)	2 (2)	16 1 (1)	(1)	(0)	1 0) (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	74 (1)
Charlotte House	(0)	0 (0)	(0) (3)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0) (, 0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	50 (0)
Bridgewater	(0)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0)	0 0 0)	(8) (0) (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	245 (2)
TOTAL	211	90	475 116	96	61	68 89	135	11	25	15	11170

TABLE 6: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFERS
ADMITTING INSTITUTION

						ADMITT	ING INS	TITUTIO	<u>4</u>		Ω			
RELEASING INSTITUTION		Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Lancaster	Boston State	Norfolk PRC	Park Drive	South Middlesex	THHW	Drug Rehab	Hillside PRC	Charlotte House	Total
Cedar Junction		2 (I)	0 (0)	4 (1)	1 (0)	1 (1)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0	(0)	0 (0)	1746 (16)
Concord		116	48 (36)	266 (37)	49	36 (19)	21 (17)	15 (12)	28 (15)	85 (17)	2 (13)	5 (7)	0 (0)	3489 (31)
Framingham		0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	101	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (0)	10 (63)	0 (0)	44 (88)	349 (3)
Hodder House		(0)	0	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	1 (6)	(0)	1 (2)	18
Norfolk		81 (26)	9 (7)	161	18	(6)	21 (17)	5 (4)	11	24	1 (6)	3 (4)	(0)	1375 (12)
NCCI		(2)	59 (45)	210 (29)	60	4 (2)	0 (0)	(0)	(1)	8 (2)	(0)	(1)	(0)	781 (7)
SECC		95 (31)	7 (5)	50 (7)	12	8 (3)	20 (16)	5 (4)	6 (3)	25 (5)	(0)	(1)	(0)	671 (6)
Bay State		(0)	(0)	2 (0)	3 (1)	20 (10)	24 (20)	20 (16)	12 (6)	35 (7)	(0)	17	(0)	226 (2)
Medfield		1 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	2 (1)	(2)	(1)	7 (4)	3 (1)	(0)	(3)	(0)	38
NCC		(0)	(3)	13	11 (-4)	22 (12)	7 (6)	25 (20)	50 (27)	77 (16)	(6)	10 (14)	(0)	319 (3)
Lemuel Shattuck		5 (2)	2 (2)	10	10	2 (1)	(3)	2 (2)	(6)	(1)	(6)	(0)	(4)	504 (5)
Longwood		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	17
Plymouth		(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	21 (11)	10 (8)	11 (9)	7 (4)	52 (11)	(0)	13 (18)	(0)	211 (2)
Warwick		(0)	(0°)	(1)	(1)	0 (0)	(2)	(0)	16 (9)	(1)	(0)	(3)	(0)	90 (1)
Shirley		(0)	2 (2)	(0)-	14 (5)	51 (27)	11 (9)	22 (18)	34 (18)	82 (17)	(0)	16 (22)	(0)	475 (4)
Lancaster		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(0)	3 (2)	(2)	14	(0)	(1)	(6)	116
Boston State		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0.)	6 (5)	(0)	36	0	(1)	(0)	96 (1)
Norfolk PRC		(0)	(0)	0,	(0)	(1)	(0)	(1)	(0)	3 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	6I (1)
Park Drive		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	3 (2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	31 (6)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(1)
S. Middlesex -		(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	5 (4)	(0)	(2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1) 83
MHHI		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	6 (3)	(0)	3 (2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	135 (1)
Drug Rehab		0 0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Hillside PRC		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(, 0)	25 (0)
Charlotte House		(0)	(0)	(0)	((0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0 <u>)</u>	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	15 (0)
Bridgewater		(0)	(0)	(0)			(0)	ا دو (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	202 (2)
	DTAL	308	132	722	283	191	122	124	187	495	16	74	50	11127
	- 1 (3 M		134	* **	-00	191								

TABLE 7: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFERS

						RELEAS	ING INST	PITUTION	<u> </u>		O.		ø)	
SECURITY LEVEL OF ADMITTING FACILITY		Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Lancaster	Boston State	Norfolk PRC	Park Drive	South Middlese	мннт	Drug Reha	H111s1de PRC	Charlott House	Total
		,					37.	â						
Maximum		(0)	(0)	(0)	(- 0)	(<u>0</u>)	(0)	(0)	(0;)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(8)
Medium		85 (40)	53 (59)	222 (47)	74 (64)	50 (52)	50 (82)	25 (37)	59 (66)	117 (87)	10 (91)	25 (100)	13 (87)	6034 (54)
Minimum		6 (3)	(4)	8 (2)	(1)	1 (1)	1 (2)	2 (3)	(1)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	658 (6)
Minimum/Pre-Release		(0)	7 (8)	16 (3)	- 1 (1)	(0)	(0)	0 0 0	(1)	(1)	0 ((0)	(0)	1596 (14)
Fre-Release		49 (23)	18 (20)	118 (25)	11 (9)	((((((((((((((((((((3)	3 (4)	6 (7)	9 (7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	624 (6)
Contract Pre-Release		65 (31)	6 (7)	98 (21)	18 (16)	37 (39)	3 (5)	32 (47)	9 (10)	3 (2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	635 (6)
Secure Hospital		5 (2)	(2)	12 (3)	11 (9)	2 (2)	5 (8)	6 (9)	13 (15)	5 (4)	1 (9)	(0)	(13)	760 (7)
	TOTAL	211	90	475	116	96	61	68	89	135	11	25	15	11170

TABLE 8: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFERS

					IAD			TITUTIO			<u>م</u>			
SECURITY LEVEL OF RELEASING FACILITY		Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Lancaster	Boston State	Norfolk PRC	Park Drive	South Middlesex	МННІ	Drug Rehab	Hillside PRC	Charlotte House	Total
Maximum		(1)	(0)	4 (1)	1 (0)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1746 (16)
Medium		299 (97)	123 (93)	687 (95)	240 (85)	57 (30)	62 (51)	25 (20)	46 (25)	143 (29)	13 (81)	10 (14)	44 (88)	6665 (60)
Minimum .		2 (1)	4 (3)	15 (2)	14 (5)	44 (23)	33 (27)	46 (37)	69 (37)	115 (23)	(6)	29 (39)	(0)	583 (5)
Minimum/Pre-Release		0 0)	2 (2)	6 (1)	17 (6)	76 (40)	23 (19)	36 (29)	61 (33)	152 (31)	(6)	32 (43)	(8)	927 (8)
Pre-Release		(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	5 (3)	0 (0)	12 (10)	(0)	79 (16)	(0)	2 (3)	(0)	314
Contract Pre-Release		(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	6 (3)	(0)	3 2)	0 (0)	2 (0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	186 (2)
Secure Hospital		5 (2)	(2)	10	10 (4)	2 (- 1)	(3)	2 (2)	(6)	. 4 (1)	(6)	(0)	2 (4)	706 (6)
	TOTAL	308	132	722	283	191	122	124	187	495	16	74	50	11127

TABLE 9: RELEASES TO HOSPITALS

Emerson	TOTAL	8 1 4 1 1	133
Emerson	Brockton V.A. Hospital		(2)
Emerson	Solomon Carter		(2)
Boston City	Lindemann MHC		(1)
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	Worcester State Hospital		(8)
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	St. Margaret		(3)
Boston City	St. Ann		9 (7)
Boston City \[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	Beth Israel		5 (4)
Boston City 2 1 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N.E. Medical Center		17 (13)
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	Taunton State	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(1)
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	Norwood	0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(9)
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	Westboro State		(2)
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	Brigham & Women's		6 (5)
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	Mass General		6 5)
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	Mass Eye & Ear		11
Boston City (10) (6) (15) (16) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	Framingham Union		19 14
2 1 7 3 0 0 0 0 0 0	Emerson		l 2 3
Cedar Junction Pramingl Pramingl Bay Stat Bay St	Boston City	0 0 0 0 0	13
	RECEIVING HOSPITAL	Lemuel Shattuck Norfolk PRC Park Drive South	Telej

DISCHARGE HOSPITAL	Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Norfolk	NCCI	Bay State	Lemuel Shattuck	Norfolk PRC	Park Drlve	South Middlescx	Total
Boston City	(8)	(7)	7 (19)	(24)	(0,)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	13 (12)
Cardinal Cushing	(0)	(7)	0 (0)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
Emerson	(0)	7 (47)	0 (0)	(6)	(0)	(0)	3 (27)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(10)
Framingham Union	(0)	(0)	15 (41)	(0)	(0)	(0)	2 (18)	(0)	(0)	(100)	18
Mass Eye & Ear	(, 8)	2 (13)	(0)	(18)	2 (22)	(33)	(0)	(0)	0 0)	(0)	9 (8)
Mass General	2 (15)	(7)	(0)	(6)	(0)	0 (0)	1 (9)	1 (25)	(0)	(0)	6 5)
Brigham & Women's	(8)	(7)	0	4 (24)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	6 (5)
Westboro State	(0)	(0)	2 (5)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)
Norwood	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	3 (27)	3 (75)	(0)	(0)	6 (5)
N.E. Medical Center	5 (38)	1 7)	(0)	2 (12)	1 (11)	2 (67)	(9)	(, 0)	(100)	(0)	13 (12)
Beth Israel	3 (23)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (6)	0	(0)	1 (9)	(0)	(0)	(0)	5 (5)
St. Ann	(0)	(, 0)	(0)	1 (6)	5 (56)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	6 (5)
St. Margaret	(0)	(0)	5 (14)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	5 (5)
Worcester State Hospital	(0)	(0)	7 (19)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	7 (6)
Solomon Carter	(0)	(0)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (1)
Mass Mental Health Center	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (11)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
Brockton V.A. Hospital	0 (0)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (1)
TOTAL	13	15	37	17	9	3	11	4	1	1 .	111

TABLE 11: TRANSFERS TO HOUSES OF CORRECTION

HOUSE OF CORRECTION		Longwood	Plymouth		Warwick		Shirley	Boston	State	Norfolk	PRC	Park	Drive	South	Middlesex		MHHI	,	PRC		Potal
Barnstable		2 (4)	(' :	L 2) ((0)	. (0	. (0	. (0	(0	(0	(0 0)	. '	0	(4
Billerica		15 (32)	())) (0	. (0	(0	(0	(0	(0	ſ	0)	(0)	(3 <u>1</u> 8)
Charles Street		(0)	. () .)) ((0)	('	0 (0)	(,	0 0)	(0)	(0	(0	(3 75)	(1	100)	(5 1)
Dedham		6 (13)	4; (8	3 1) (5 (38)	(0	(,	0	()	0	(<u>ĵ</u>	100)	()	0	. (1 25)	(0)	(60 15)
Deer Island		(0)	()) ((0)	(2 22)	(-	0 0)	Ç	0)	(0	(-	0)	(0	(0)	(108 26)
Greenfield		(0)))) (2 (15)	(0 0)	(0	(0)	(0 0)	(0	(0)	(0	(12
Lawrence		5 (11)	(L 2) ((0)	(0)	(0	(1	1 .00)	(0)	Ç	0	(0 0)	(-	0)	(22 5)
New Bedford		(4)	(3 5) ((0)	• (0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0	(0 ((0 0)	(0	(10 2)
Northampton		(0)	(() ·	(0)	(0)	(0	(0)	(0	(0	(0	(0 0)	(52 13)
Pittsfield		(0)	, · ())) (1 8)	. (0)	(0)	(0	(0)	(0 0)	(0	(0)	(4
Plymouth		7 (15)	(3 5) ((0)	(0)	. (0)	(0 0)		0,0)	. (0	(0	(0 0)	(16 4)
Salem		5 (11)	(- ();))	0)	(0	(0)	. (0 (0)	. (0	• (0)	(0	, (0 0)	(8 2)
Springfield		(0)))) (5 (38)	(2 22)	(0)	(0	(0)	(0	(0)	• (0	(14 3)
Worcester		5 (11)	()) (0)	(0	(0)	(0)	€.	0	(0)	(0	(0)		39 9)
Billerica Jail		(0)	() }) (0	(0)	(0)	(0	(0	(0)	. (0	(0	(10)
Lawrence CAC	1	(0)	(())) ((0)	(5 56)	(1	1 00)	(0	(0)	(1	100)	(0	(0	(24 6)
Springfield OUI		(0)																			
τοτ	AL	47	5		13		9		1.		1		1		1		4		1		411

TABLE 12 RETURN OF DOC INMATES FROM HOUSES OF CORRECTION

0 (0) 0 (0) 0 (0) (0) (100) 0 (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (13) (0) (0)	(0) (\$) 1 (10) 2 (20) (20) (0) (0)	(0) 0 (0) 0 (0) 1 (4) 8 (31) 0 (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (83) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0 (0) (0 (0) (1 (20) (0 (0) (0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(0) (0) (0) (0) (50) (0) (0)	3 (2) 4 (2) 7 (4) 33 (18) 3 (2) 1 (1)
(0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (13)	0 (\$) 1 (10) 2 (20) 3 (20) 0	0 (0) (0) 1 (4) 8 (31) 0 (0)	0 (0) (0) (0) (0) 5 (83)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) ((0) ((0) ((0) ((20) (0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(0) (0) (0) (0) (50)	(2) 4 (2) 7 (4) 33 (18)
(0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0)	0 (\$) 1 (10) 2 (20) 3	0 (0) 0 (0) 1 (4)	(0) (0) (0) (0) 5	(0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) ((0) ((0) (1	0 0) 0 0) 0 0)	(0) (0) (0) (0)	(2) 4 (2) 7 (4) 33
(0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0)	(\$) (10) 2	(0) (0)	(0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0)	(0) (0 (0) (0 0) 0 0)	(0) 0 (0) 0	(2) 4 (2) 7
(0)	(0) 0	0 (\$) 1	(0) 0	(0)	(0)	(0) (0 0)	0	(2)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó		
(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) ((0		
(0)	(0)	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0 (0)	2 (1)
(0)	(0)	4 (40)	7 (27)	(0)	(0)	(80) (0	(0)	24 (13)
(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0	(0)	1 (1)
(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0)	9 (5)
(0)	(0)	(0)	(4)	0 (0)	(0)	(0) (0)	0 (0)	6 (3)
(0)	(38)	(0)	9 (35)	(17)	1 (100)	(0) (41 100)	1 (50)	83 (44)
· (0)	4 (50)	(10)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) (0	(0)	5 (3)
(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0	(0)	(1)
(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0 (0 0) (0	0 (0),	5 (3)
Lemuel Shattuck	Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Lancaster	Boston State	South Middlesex	ТННМ	Drug Rehal:	Total
	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) She (0) She (0) (0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	$ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$	The state of the s

TABLE 13 HOUSE OF CORRECTION INMATES TRANSFERRED TO DOC FACILITIES

HOUSE OF CORRECTION	<u>I</u>		Concord	Longwood	Total
Barnstable		. (2 2)	10	12
Billerica		• (4 4)	128 (34)	132 (28)
Dedham	基礎)。 ·	(57 62)	37 (10)	94 (20)
Deer Island		(9 10)	24 (6)	33
Lawrence		(4 4)	30	34
New Bedford		(2 2)	49 (13)	51 (11)
Northampton		,	1 1)	(0)	(0)
Pittsfield			2 2)	0 (0)	(0)
Plymouth		, (1	33 (9)	34 (7)
Salem		. (0	30	30 (6)
Springfield		· · (9 10)	30 (8)	39
Edgartown		, (1 1)	(1)	3 (1)
	TOTAL		92	373	465

TABLE 14
TRANSFERS TO HOUSES OF CORRECTION
ON A FROM AND AFTER SENTENCE

HOUSE OF CORRECTION		Cedar Junction	Concord	NCCI	SECC	Total
Billerica		(0) (1 25) (20)	2 (50)	(0) (4 25)
Dedham	in the second se	0 (0) n\(1 25)4, (20) ₂	(0),	(0) (2 13)
Deer Island		2 (100)	0 3 0) (60)	(0)	1 (100) · (6 38)
New Bedford		0 (0) (1 0 25) (0)	(0)	(0) (1 6)
Springfield		(0) (0 0	2 (50)	(0) (2 13)
Worcester		0 (1 25) (0)	(0)	(0) (1 6)
	TOTAL	<u>.</u> 2	4 5	4	1	16

*	TOTAL	24	21	7	23	19	10	1	105
California		(4)	1 (5)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(10)	(0)	(3)
Alabama		(0)	(0)	(0)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
Georgia		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) 1	(0)	(10)	(0) 0	(1)
Florida	0)	(8) (0	(10) 0	(0) (0	(4) 0	(0) (0)	(0) 1	(0) 0	5 (5) 1
Ohio		(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(10)	(0)	(1)
Illinois		(0)	(0)	(0)	(1	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
Pennsylvania		5 (21)	(5)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	6 (6)
New York		(0)	(5)	(0)	(0)	1 (5)	0 (0)	(0)	(2)
New Jersey		(0)	(0)	(0)	2 (9)	(5)	(0)	(0)	(3)
Connecticut		5 (21)	(5)	2 (29)	3 (13)	7 (37)	(0)	(0)	18
Vermont	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	(0)	(5)	(0)	(4)	(5)	(0)	(0)	3 (3)
Rhode Island		(17)	5 (24)	(14)	6 (26)	(0)	(30)	(0)	19 (18)
New Hampshire		7 (29)	·7 (33)	3 (43)	(30)	8 (,42)	(30)	(0)	35 (33)
Maine		(0)	2 (10)	1 (14)	(4)	(5)	(10)	1 (100)	7 (7)
STATE		Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Norfolk	NCCI	SECC	NCC	Total

California	(0) 2 (8)	(6) 1 (3)	0 (0)	1 (6)	(0)	0	0	4 (4)
Colorado	0	. 2	0	(6)	(0)	0 (0)	0	2 (2)
Alabama	0		0	1	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
South Carolina	1 (4)		(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (1)
Maryland	1 (4)		(0)	(0)	0 (0)	1 (14)	(0)	2 (2)
Georgia	g (g)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	0	1 (14)	0 (0)	1 (1)
Florida	2 (8)	0 (0)	0	1 (6)		0	0 (0)	5 (5)
Kansas	1 (4)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)-	1 (1)
Ohio	0 (0)	0	(0)		0	1 (14)	(0)	(1)
Illinois	0 (0)	0° (0)	(0)	St.* 1 (6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (1)
Pennsylvania	1 (4)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 0)	1 (1)
New York	(4)	(3)	(11)	(0)	1 (6)	0 (0)	(0)	(4)
New Jersey	(0)	0	0 (0)	1 (6)	1 (6)	0 (0)	(0)	2 (2)
Connecticut	2 (8)	8 (23)	(44)	1 (6)	5 (29)	(0)	(0)	20 (18)
Vermont	1 (4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(U:0)	0	1 (∂6)∈	. 0	0 (0)	3 (3)
Rhode Island	4 (16)	7 (20)	0 (0)	7 (44)	0 (0)	2 (29)	0 (0)	20 (18)
New Hampshire	9 (36)	11	3 (33)	3 (19)	7 (41)	1 (14)	0 (0)	34 (31)
Maine	0	4 (11)	1 (11)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (14)	1	7 (6)
STATE	Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Norfolk	NCCI	SECC	NCC	Total

Glossary

- 1. NCCI is the North Central Correctional Institution, sometimes referred to as Gardner.
- 2. SECC is the Southeastern Correctional Center,
- 3. NCC is the Northeastern Correctional Center.
- 4. Drug Rehab/Drug Rehabilitation programs are pre-release centers for offenders with drug related problems. Drug Rehab includes the following programs: Spectrum House, Meridian House, Boston VA Hospital.
- 5. Bridgewater State Hospital includes the hospital for the criminally insane and sexually dangerous person (SDP Treatment Center).
- 6. MHHI (Massachusetts Halfway Houses, Incorporated) is a private non-profit corporation that provides facilities to the DOC on a contract basis. MHHI includes the following facilities: McGrath House, Coolidge I, Temporary Housing Project (THP), Coolidge II and Brooke House.
- 7. The Medfield Prison Project is a minimum security facility located on the grounds of the Medfield State Hospital.
- 8. MCI refers to Massachusetts Correctional Institution.
- 9. Unsentenced offenders at MCI-Framingham are held in the Awaiting Trial Unit (ATU).

10. Security Level

Maximum: Cedar Junction

Medium: Concord, Framingham, NCCI, SECC,

Norfolk

Minimum: Medfield, NCC, Bay State

Minimum/Pre-Release: Hodder House, Shirley, Lancaster,

Longwood, Plymouth, Warwick

Pre-Release: Boston State, Park Drive, Norfolk

PRC, S. Middlesex

Contract: MHHI, Drug Rehab, Charlotte House,

Hillside PRC

Secure Hospital: Lemuel Shattuck, Bridgewater

Footnotes

- The year-end figures for 1985 and 1986 exclude: un-sentenced offenders housed at MCI-Concord or in the ATU at MCI-Framingham; inmates transferred to Bridgewater State Hospital; DOC inmates released to houses of correction before the end of the year; paroled offenders residing at DOC contract pre-release facilities; and sentenced offenders awaiting booking.
- There may be small discrepancies between these figures and other DOC year-end-counts due to unknown numbers of civil commitments in MCI-Framingham and 15-day parole detainees or federal detainees in DOC facilities at the time the counts were made.