



# south carolina department or corrections

P.O. BOX 21787/4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD/COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29221-1787 TELEPHONE (803) 737-8555 WILLIAM D. LEEKE. Commissioner

October 8, 1986

The Honorable Richard W. Riley Governor of South Carolina Chairman, State Budget and Control Board State House, First Floor, West Wing Box 11450 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Dear Governor Riley:

It is my pleasure to present to you the Annual Report of the Board of Corrections and of the Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections for the period July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.

As you are very much aware, recent legislation and citizen awareness have centered on crime, and mandated changes are having a significant impact on our inmate population. The <u>Annual Report</u> is intended to serve as a reference text--tracing agency history, capturing significant events during the past fiscal year and presenting a statistical profile of the Department of Corrections' admissions, releases and year-end population. We hope that this publication will be useful to all who require information and statistics on the South Carolina prison system.

107346

Sincerely,

**U.S.** Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been

South Carolina Department

of Corrections

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner. See 3 Il

William D. Leeke

NCJRS

FEB 23 1987

# ACQUISITIONS

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS GOETZ B. EATON Chairman Anderson, S.C. NORMAN KIRKLAND Vice-Chairman Bamberg, S.C.

CHARLES C. MOORE Secretary Spartanburg, S.C. CLARENCE E. WATKINS Member Camden, S.C. BETTY M. CONDON Member Mt. Pleasant, S.C.

readine inter

EUGENE N. ZEIGLER Memt 2r Floret 1e, S.C.

107346

GOV. RICHARD W. RILEY, Member, Ex-Officio, Columbia, S.C.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
South Carolina State Board of Corrections	8
Directory of Key Administrators	9
Organization of the South Carolina Department of Corrections	13
Institutions of the South Carolina Department of Corrections	17
Historical Perspective	22
Significant Developments in FY 1986	26
Inmate Population Gains	26
Institutional Changes and Capital Improvements	27
Implementation of Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (EPA) and Supervised Furlough Program	27
Legislation Directly Affecting the South Carolina Department of Corrections	29
Earned Work Credit Program	31
Extended Work Release Program	33
Health Services Division	34
Correctional Industries and Farming Operations	34
Personnel Administration and Training	35
Division of Human Services	35
Inmate Classification	36
Accreditation	36
Death Row	37
Division of Public Affairs	37
Grant Assistance During FY 1986	38

. . |

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	ations/Documents of the South Carolina tment of Corrections	40
Statist	cical Section	41
Appendi	Lx	106
Α.	Statutory Authority of the South Carolina Department of Corrections	107
В.	Youthful Offender Act	109
С.	Programs and Services Administered by the South Carolina Department of Corrections	110
D.	Community Programs	112
Ε.	Legislation Relating to Supervised Furlough	113
F.	Counties Comprising South Carolina Planning Districts and Correctional Regions	115
G.	Counties Comprising South Carolina Judicial Circuits	117
н.	Offense Classification	118
I.	Legislation Relating to Minimum Sentencing/ Parole Eligibility For Armed Robbery and Murder	120
J.	FY 1985 Legislation Affecting the Department of Corrections	121

### TABLES AND FIGURES

Ta	ble	Page
1	. Institutions and Centers of the South Carolina Department of Corrections	19
2	. SCDC Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1960-1986	45
3	. SCDC Average Inmate Jopulation, Fiscal Years 1967-986	47
4	. Per Inmate Costs of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, Fiscal Years 1973-1986	50
5	. Expenditures of the South Carolina Department of Corrections FY 1986	52
6	. Admissions to and Releases from the SCDC Base Population During FY 1986	53
, 7 ,	. Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	55
8	. Offense Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	57
9	. Most Serious Offense of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	59
10	. Sentence Length Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	61
11	. Age Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	63
12	. Distribution of Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	65
13	. Distribution by Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	67
14	. Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region of SCDC Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	70
15	. Type of Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population. As of June 30, 1986	72

# TABLES AND FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Tab	le	Page
16.	Most Serious Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	74
17.	Sentence Length Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	76
18.	Age Distribution of SCDC Inmate Population As of June 30, 1986	78
19.	Age at Time of Admission of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	80
20.	Custody Grade Distribution by Committing Correctional Region Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates, of June 30, 1986	82
21.	Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	84
22.	Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30,1986	86
23.	Remaining Time to Serve Before Expiration of Sentence of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	88
24.	Distribution of Time Served by SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1986	90
25.	Distribution by Work Credits Earned and Type of Release of SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1986	92
26.	Distribution of Average Daily Number of Inmates and Total Earned Work Credits by Job Assignment During FY 1986	93
27.	Community Program Statistics, FY 1986	100
28.	Number and Percentage of Inmates Admitted to SCDC Under the 1975 Armed Robbery Act/the 1977 Act	
	Specifying 20-Year Parole Eligibility for Murders (Fiscal Years 1976-1986)	101
29.	Death Row Statistics, FY 1986	102
	Distribution of SCDC Personnel by Race and Sex, As of June 16, 1986	103
31	Distribution of SCDC Security Strength by Facility, As of June 16, 1986	105

# TABLES AND FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure	Number	Page
1	Organizational Structure, South Carolina Department of Corrections	12
2	Locations of SCDC Institutions and Centers	21
3	SCDC Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1960-1986	46
4	SCDC Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1967-1986	48
5	Location of Average Inmate Population	49
6	Annual Per Inmate Costs of SCDC, FY 1973-1986	51
7	Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	54
8	Inmate Admissions by Committing County and Correctional Region During FY 1986	56
9	Offenses of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	58
10	Most Serious Offense of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	60
11	Sentence Lengths of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	62
12	Age of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	64
13	Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	66
14	Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1986	68
15	Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates, As of June 30, 1986	69
16	Committing Counties Correctional Region of SCDC Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	71
17	Offenses of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	73
18	Most Serious Offense of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	75

# TABLES AND FIGURES (CONTINUED)

a la p

8

Figure Number

# Page

19	Sentence Lengths of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	77
20	Age of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	79
21	Age at Time of Admission of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	. 81
22	Custody Grades of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	83
23	Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	85
24	Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	87
25	Remaining Time to Serve of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1986	89
26	Time Served by SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1986	91
27	SCDC Personnel by Race, Sex and Type of Position, As of June 16, 1986	104

. .

# SOUTH CAROLINA STATE BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

k

Governor Richard W. Miley, Ex Officio

Chairman, Mr. Goots B. Ratoa	.Anderson
Vice Chairman, Mr. Norman Kirkland	Bamberg
Secretary, Mr. Charles C. MooreSp	partanburg
Member, Mrs. Betty M. Condon	Pleasant
Member, Dr. Clarence E. Watkins	Camden
Member, Mr. Eugene N. Zeigler	.Florence

# DIRECTORY OF KEY ADMINISTRATORS\*

# Headquarters

CommissionerWilliam D. Leeke
Executive Assistant Beckman
Executive Assistant, Legal Settlements and
ComplianceRichard Stroker
Administrative Services ManagerSandra Jeffcoat
Legal Advisor
Director, Division of Special ProjectsJoann B. Morton
Director, Division of Public Affairs
Director, Division of Internal Affairs and
InspectionsJr.
Deputy Commissioner for AdministrationHubert M. Clements
Administrative Services ManagerDot Barker
Director, Division of Resource and
Information Management Lorraine T. Fowler
Director, Division of Personnel
Administration
Director, Division of IndustriesJohn A. Carullo
Director, Division of Support ServicesFred W. Atkinson
Director, Division of Training and Staff
DevelopmentW.T. Cave
Deputy Commissioner for OperationsWilliam D. Catoe
Administrative Services Manager
Director of Security
Director, Division of Inmate Operations and
ControlDavid L. Bartles
Director, Division of Construction,
Engineering and MaintenanceNelson W. Meek
Engineering and Maintenance
Dent C. D. C. D. C. D. D. C. D. D. C. D. C. D. C. D. C. D. D. C. D. C. D.
Deputy Commissioner for Program ServicesPaul I. Weldon
Administrative AssistantBetty Robinson
Director, Division of Classification and
Community Services
Director, Division of Human ServicesWilliam J. Deemer

\*As of June 30, 1986

9.

# Correctional Institutions\*

Appalachian Correctional Region
Regional AdministratorDonald F. Dease
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Center,
SuperintendentJames H. Whitworth
. Catawba Work Release Center,
SuperintendentG. J. Bradshaw
Cross Anchor Correctional Institution,
WardenPhoebe Johnson
Dutchman Correctional Institution,
WardenGlen T. Davis
Givens Youth Correction Center,
WardenRobert H. Mauney
Greenwood Correctional Center,
WardenFrankie L. Rickenbaker
Livesay Work Release Center,
Superintendent
Northside Correctional Center, WardenJohn C. Hatfield, Jr.
Perry Correctional Institution, WardenFrank H. Horton, Jr.
McCormick Correctional Institution,
WardenRichard D. Lindler
Midlands Correctional Region
Regional AdministratorJames L. Harvey
Aiken Youth Correction Center, WardenMichael Buck
Campbell Work Release Center,
SuperintendentGeorge Roof
Central Correctional Institution, WardenJames E. Aiken
Goodman Correctional Institution, WardenBobby J. Leverette
Kirkland Correctional Institution, Warden.Kenneth D. McKellar
Lower Savannah Work Release Center,
Superintendent
Manning Correctional Institution, Warden. Laurie F. Bessinger
Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center,
WardenLouisa D. Brown
State Park Correctional Center, WardenJudy C. Anderson
Stevenson Correctional Institution, WardenFlora A. Boyd
Walden Correctional Institution, WardenRickie Harrison
Wateree River Correctional Institution,
WardenJohn E. Carmichael, Jr.
Watking Pre-Release Center
SuperintendentJerry D. Spigner
Women's Correctional Center, WardenRobert W. Donlin
Coastal Correctional Region
Regional Administrator
Coastal Work Release Center,
SuperintendentFrank A. Smith
Lieber Correctional Institution, WardenPaul D. Taylor
MacDougall Youth Correction Center, WardenEdsel T. Taylor

Palmer Work Release Center, Superintendent.....Charles E. Grooms

\*As of June 30, 1986

Į

Î



### ORGANIZATION OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC) is the administrative agency of South Carolina state government responsible for providing food, shelter, health care, security and rehabilitation services to all adult offenders, age 17 and above, convicted of an offense against the State and sentenced to a period of incarceration exceeding three months. As of June 30, 1986, SCDC had jurisdiction over 11,533\* sentenced adult inmates of whom 814 were serving an indeterminate sentence under the Youthful Offender Act<sup>1</sup>. Also included, as SCDC "safekeepers," were 45 Death Row inmates for more details, see page 37 and Table 29 on page 102. In addition to the 11,533 inmates under SCDC jurisdiction, SCDC also had custody of other safekeepers for the counties, as well as unsentenced offenders sent by the courts for pre-sentence investigation under the Youthful Offender Act.

SCDC is headed by a Commissioner who is responsible to the State Board of Corrections, a six-member board appointed by the Governor upon advice and consent of the Senate. The Governor also serves on the Board as an ex-officio member. The Commissioner has overall responsibility the for agency, all staff supervising functions and ensuring that a11 departmental policies are practiced and maintained. Under the immediate supervision of the Office of the Commissioner are the Legal Advisor, Executive Assistant, and the Divisions of Special

The provisions of this Act are summarized in Appendix B, page 109. This Act provides indeterminate sentences of one to six years for offenders between the ages of 17 and 21 (extended to 25 with offender consent), placing them under the Division of Community Classification and Services' Youthful Offender The Youthful Offender Program essentially operates as a Branch. micro-correctional system within the Department, providing all youthful offenders a complete range of administrative, evaluative, parole and aftercare services. There were 733 youthful offenders on parole under SCDC supervision in the community at the end of FY 1986. Parole decisions pertaining to, and the parole supervision of adult offenders are generally the responsibilities of the South Carolina Department of Parole and Community Corrections except for persons sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act.

\*This figure does not include inmates conditionally released under the Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (see Pages 27 through 29 for further details of this Act) or YOA paroles. Projects, Public Affairs, and Internal Affairs and Inspections. The Executive Assistant to the Commissioner for Legal Settlements and Compliance reports to the Commissioner on the monitoring of legal settlements.

To assist the Commissioner in system operations and program administration are three offices headed by Deputy Commissioners and 11 divisions supervised by Directors:

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Administration has the major responsibility of coordinating all department-wide activities pertaining to resource and information management, personnel administration, training, industries, and support services. These five areas are individually the management responsibility of a division director, and a description of each is as follows:

- 1. The Division of Resource Information Management and encompasses budgeting, the functions of planning, statistical reporting and analysis, computer operations, system development and programming, offender records and financial accounting.
- 2. The Division of Personnel Administration develops and administers departmental personnel policies and procedures, and handles all personnel matters.
- 3. The Division of Training and Staff Development develops and implements employee training programs at all levels to meet agency needs.
- 4. The Division of Industries administers a prison industry program consisting of several production lines and four farming operations. These programs/operations provide work for inmates to help defray the cost of upkeep, and produce goods for other state agencies, institutions and political subdivisions. This division also oversees SCDC's transportation and communication operations.
- 5. The Division of Support Services directs purchasing, canteen, commissary and food service functions of the agency.

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Operations is responsible for managing all security, construction, engineering, and maintenance operations statewide. The Deputy Commissioner receives reports from two divisions, a director of security and three regional administrators. Their respective offices and duties are described below:

1. The Division of Construction, Engineering and Maintenance provides the necessary coordination and supervision

required for the implementation and execution of the five-year capital improvements plan, manages all phases of new construction, and is the SCDC liaison with architects, engineers and contractors awarded bids for construction projects. Other responsibilities include management of projects which are constructed with inmate labor, and maintenance and operation of the physical plant facilities. The division also performs renovation projects and provides technical assistance to the institutional maintenance personnel, state, county and city officials.

2. The Division of Inmate Operations and Control directs and coordinates all administrative and operational activities relating to the movement, status and number of inmates in SCDC facilities and in designated facilities; provides administrative liaison with the South Carolina Parole and Community Corrections Board, administers the Interstate Corrections Compact in this Agency and ensures compliance with State law and compact agreements. In addition, this division manages the inmate labor details to include contracting with counties and other aspects of the program.

3. The Director of Security manages the overall security facilities, posture at SCDC ensuring availability and serviceability of security equipment. In addition, the Director of Security ensures all security employees are properly trained in assigned duties, especially the effective response of the Emergency Platoons, Situation Reserve Control Teams and Corrections Emergency Response Teams. These units are selected security employees specially trained to respond to emergencies, such as riots or hostage situations.

4. Institutional operations divided three are into regions, headed by Regional Administrators. The Appalachian Correctional Region has administrative responsibilities for institutions in the upper part of the state. Institutions on the coast are part of the Coastal Correctional Region; the Midlands Correctional Region is made up of those institutions in Each Regional Administrator is mid-state South Carolina. responsible for ensuring all institutions located in their region operate effectively and efficiently.

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Program Services<sup>2</sup> is administratively responsible for defining, planning and developing a program delivery system that adequately meets the needs of the incarcerated. Delivering a broad spectrum of

<sup>2</sup> For a list of programs and services administered by SCDC, see Appendix C, page 110.

services under the supervision of this office are the Divisions of Classification and Community Services, Human Services, Educational Services, and Health Services:

- 1. The Division of Classification and Community Services implements standardized procedures for inmate classification, administers the Youthful Offender Program as directed by the Youthful Offender Act, and supervises the in placement of inmates community programs, (e.g., Pre-Release and Work Release programs, the Employment Program, the Extended Work Release Program, Supervised Furlough programs and Early Release programs).
- Services' 2. The Division of Human field staff provides psychological, social, and specialized institutional services to inmates, and its central administrative staff provides service coordination and acquires external resources to supplement SCDC's efforts.
- 3. The Division of Educational Services develops and evaluates curricula for the educational needs of SCDC inmates under the Palmetto Unified School District. This division is comprised of academic, vocational, special education, career education, and library services.
- The Division of Health Services renders medical, dental and 4. psychiatric care to inmates through its medical and dental It operates one staff, and contractual agreements. infirmary and administers one under contract, one psychiatric unit, and coordinates the placement of inmates at the Byrnes Clinical Center and community hospitals as needed.

The aforementioned organizational structure of SCDC is illustrated in Figure 1, page 12.

### INSTITUTIONS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

At the end of FY 1986, the Department of Corrections operated a total of 26 institutions, which are listed in Table 1, page 19 through 20. Figure 2, page 21, shows their location. Of these, six are work release centers, one is a pre-release center; one serves dually as a pre-release/work release center; one minimum-security functions dually as a geriatric/handicapped unit and female work release unit. Excluding the pre-release and work release centers, 11 institutions are minimum security, one is minimum-maximum security, one is medium security. Four of these SCDC institutions are primarily for younger offenders and house inmates sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act. One SCDC institution is exclusively for female inmates.

The total design capacity of these institutions at the end of FY 1986 was 6,987\*, and the safe and reasonable operating capacity, as approved by the Budget and Control Board and adopted by the Board of Corrections in April, 1986, was 8,036\*. The capacities for individual institutions are shown in Table 1, page 19 through 20. Capacity distributions are as follows: Appalachian Correctional Region - 2,247 design capacity, 2,582 safe and reasonable capacity; Midlands Correctional Region - 4,292 design capacity, 4,749 safe and reasonable capacity; and Coastal Correctional Region - 448\* design capacity, 705\* safe and reasonable capacity. The total average inmate population under SCDC jurisdiction during FY 1986 (excluding YOA parolees and EPA releasees) was 10,755. Of these, 478 were housed in designated facilities, 195 were in the Extended Work Release Program, 514 were on Supervised Furlough, 88 were on Provisional Parole, and 180 were placed in non-SCDC locations. Thus, on average, 9,299 inmates were housed in SCDC facilities, operating at 133% of design capa:ity and 116% of safe and reasonable capacity.

Institutions of the South Carolina Department of Corrections are located in three divisions of the State known as correctional regions (See Figure 2). The Appalachian, Coastal, and Midlands Regions are administered by regional administrators.

\* As of July 1, 1986, these figures will increase by 378, with the opening of Lieber Correctional Institution.

<sup>3</sup> These include the Byrnes Clinical Center, the State Law Enforcement Division, and the Criminal Justice Academy, other hospital facilities and those inmates on authorized absence.

Because of overcrowded conditions in SCDC institutions/centers, and the desire of local entities to use prison labor, the Department<sub>4</sub> has been housing state inmates in designated local facilities since FY 1975, as provided for by legislation. At the end of FY 1986, 502 state inmates were held in designated local facilities in 41 counties. During the fiscal year, the average number of SCDC inmates held in designated local facilities was 478, or 4% of the total average inmate population under SCDC jurisdiction.

Besides housing inmates in designated facilities, SCDC also placed certain inmates in other special locations because of their unique assignments or needs. A 34-bed unit of the Byrnes Clinical Center, administered and operated by the South Carolina Department of Mental Health (SCDMH), is designated to hold SCDC inmates undergoing and recuperating from general surgery. Whereas SCDMH provides the professional services, SCDC is responsible for the security staffing and procedures. In addition to inmate assignments to the State Law Enforcement Division, a number of eligible inmates participate in programs (Extended Work Release, Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole) that permit them to reside in the community under close supervision.

<sup>4</sup> See FY 1975 and FY 1976 SCDC Annual Report for details of the origin of designated facilities.

### TABLE I

#### INSTITUTIONS AND CENTERS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

	Kay to Location		Description	Averago Daily Populatio	n .	ADP as Percentage	Safe & Resconsble	ADP as Percontage of Safe &
	Hap	Degree of	of Resident	(ADP)	Design <sup>1</sup>	of Design	Operating *	Reasonable
Institutions/Contern APPALACHIAN CORRECTIONAL REGION	(Figure 2)	Socurity	Population	PY 1986	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity
Blue Ridge Pro-Release/Work Ralease Center (BRPR/WRC)	•	Hinicus	Male, agos 17 and up-immates on work release or accelerated pre-release	184	143	128.7	180	102.2
Catarba Nork Roleans Contor (CoNEC)	8	Hinimo	Malo, ages 17 and up-innatos on work release or accelerated pre-release	92	86	107.0	96	95.8
Cross Archor Correctional Institution (CACI)	5	Minipua	Male, ages 17 and up	575	528	103.9	528	103.9
Butchmon Correctional Institution (BCI)	5	Minimu	Hale, ages 17 and up	560	528	106.1	528	106.1
Givens Youth Correction Center (GYCC)	2	Mininua	Male, ages 17 and up-primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25	123	68	180.9	102	120.6
Greenwood Correctional Center (GCC)	ថ	Hinieus	Male, ages 17 and up	90	48	187.5	72	125.0
Livessy Work Release Center (LiWRC)	4	Hinimen	Male, ages 17 and up-insistes on work release or accelerated pro-release	92	96	95.8	96	95.8
Northeide Correctional Center (NCC)	4	Minisun	Mala, ages 17 and up	200	174	114.9	212	94.3
Perry Correctional Institution (PCI)	3 3	Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and up-includes inmates undergoing receptica processing	1,094	576	189.9	768	142,4
MIDLANDS CORRECTIONAL REGION								
Aiken Youth Correction Conter (AYCC)	19 <b>7</b> -	Minimum	Hale, ages 17 and up-primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25	291	224	129.9	253	115.0
Compbell Work Release Contor (CHRC)	9	Minisum	Hale, ages 17 and up-finnates on work release or accelerated pre-release	148	100	148.0	100	148.0
Central Correctional Institution (CCI)	10	Nedium/ Marimum	Hale, ages 17 and up	1,326	1,340	99.0	1,354	97.9
Goodman Correctional Institution (GCI)	9	Hinisus	Male, ages 17 and up	321	187	171.7	187	171.7
Kirkland Correctional Institution (KCI)	9	Hedium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and up	926	544	170.2	768	120.6
Lower Savannah Work Release Center (LSWRC)	7	Minisun	Hale, ages 17 and up	74	45	164.4	48	154.2
Manning Corrections1 Institution (MCI)	11	Nedium	Male, ages 17 and upprimarily Youthful Offenders 17-25	522	356	146.6	386	135.2
4 Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center (MR&EC)	10	Haximm	Male, ages 17 and upinvates undergoing intake processing	274	194	141.2	194	141.2

#### TABLE 1 - Continued

Institutions/Centers	Rey to Location Map (Figure 2)	Degree of Security	Description of Resident Population	Average Daily Populatio (ADP) FT 1986		ADP as Percentage of Design Capacity	Safe & Reasonable Operating Capsaity	ADP as Percentage of Safe 4 Reasonable Capacity
DLANDS CORRECTIONAL REGION State Park Correctional Center (SPCC)	9	Hinirua	Hales and Females, ages 17 and up	219 *	250	87.6	250	87.6
Goriatric/Handicapped Unit			Male, primarily garistric/handicapped innates					
Homan's Work Release Unit			Yenale, inmatas on work release and employment programs					
Stevenson Correctional Institution (SCI)	9	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	171	129	132.6	152	112.5
Walden Correctional Institution (WCI)	9	Hinima	Hale, ages 17 and up	211	150	140.7	152	138,8
Wateree River Correctional Institution (WRCI)	12	Minisan	Hale, agon 17 and up	622	456	136.4	492	126.4
Watking Pre-Release Conter (WPRC)	9	Minimu	Male, ages 17 and upinnates on pre-release programs	133	144	92.4	144	92.4
Worden's Correctional Conter (WCC)	9	Minicum/ Maximum	Female, ages 17 and up	348	173	201.2	269	129.4
ASTAL CORRECTIONAL REGION								
Coastal Work Release Center (CoWEC)	15	Minirum	Male, ages 17 and upimmatcs on work release or accelerated pre-release programs	146	158	92.4	158	92.4
*Lieber Correctional Institution (LCI)	n 16	Kedius/ Maximus	Hale, ages 17 and up	<b>☆</b> #116	<u>≏≉378</u>	-	<b>☆</b> 370	· · ·
MacDougall Youth Correction Center (MYCC)	14	Minimus	Male, ages 17 and up-primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25	460	240	191.7	472	97.5
Palmar Work Release Center (PWRC)	13	Minimus	Kale, ages 17 and up-inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release programs	97	50	194.0	75	129.3

Source: Office of the Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Operations Quarterly Capacities Report, June 30, 1986; Board reports of the Division of Resource and Information Management, July 1985-June 1986.

Design capacity is the planned capacity of the facility at the time of construction or acquisition, modified as appropriate to include subsequent changes resulting from add-on construction, major removations, etc.

<sup>2</sup>The Safe and Reasonable Operating Capacity, after meeting approval of the Budget and Control Board, was adopted in March, 1983, by the Board of Corrections.

<sup>3</sup>The reception and evaluation component at Perry Correctional Institution provides intake services for the Appalachian Region.

<sup>4</sup>This center serves as a regional intake service center for both the Midlands and Coastal Regions.

AThis is the total average number of immates at State Park Correctional Center (SPCC). AAThe design capacity and maximum safe and reasonable capacity for Lieber Correctional Institution was not made official until July 1, 1986. However, immates were housed here from June 11 due to Melson suit compliance. Average daily population reflects the average count during the last 19 days.



FIGURE 2 LOCATIONS OF SCDC INSTITUTIONS AND CENTERS AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

### HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

Corrections in South Carolina has evolved, over the years, from county-operated prison systems to state-administered institutions and from a single state penitentiary to a network of penal facilities throughout the State. The following summary of significant developments and events during this evolution provides a perspective for the current efforts of the South Carolina Department of Corrections.

### Dual Prison System and Creation of SCDC

As a humane alternative to cruelties which had prevailed under county supervision of convicts, the General Assembly passed an act which transferred the control of convicted and sentenced felons from the counties to the State and established the State Penitentiary during the 1860's. This act stripped the counties of their responsibility for handling felons. Shortly thereafter, the counties' demands for labor for building and maintaining roads prompted the reversal of this provision. Bv 1930, county supervisors assumed full authority to choose either to retain convicts for read construction or to transfer them to the State. This dual prison system of state-administered facilities and local prison and jail operations resulted in inequitable treatment of prisoners and caused widespread criticism of the system. In the midst of the political and legal developments concerning state and county jurisdiction over convicts, the State Penitentiary expanded to a network of penal facilities throughout the State. Changes reflecting the evolution of correctional philosophy to include educational and vocational training along with productive work programs were Despite notable improvements, overcrowding also experienced. and mismanagement prevailed. As a result, the state correctional system was reorganized, and the Department of Corrections was created through legislative action in 1960. But the autonomy of the state and local systems remained intact, and the dual prison system continued.

Problems inherent in the dual prison system became increasingly evident as crime rates soared in the 1960's. The most critical problems were related to the absence of adequate planning and programming, the inefficiency of resource utilization and the inequitable distribution of services. Therefore, reform of the total adult corrections system in South Carolina was necessary.

For greater details of these developments and events, see previous SCDC Annual Reports.

#### Consolidation of the South Carolina Adult Corrections System

While the problems of the dual prison system and the need for system reform had long been recognized, the major impetus for reform of the South Carolina adult corrections system was the 1973 Adult Corrections Study conducted by the Office of Criminal The major recommendations of this Justice Programs (OCJP). study were the elimination of the dual prison system in favor of а consolidated state system and regionalization of SCDC Under the proposed consolidated system, the State operations. would be responsible for all long-term adult offenders, ensuring humane treatment, providing confinement, programs and services close to their home communities. Under the proposed regionalization, the divided State would into ten be regions, correctional regional corrections each with coordinating offices. The coordinating offices would be headed by regional administrators. Each office would be responsible for administration of all SCDC facilities in the area. This would include the development, coordination and support of regional correctional programs in their respective regions, in coordination with the Department's central headquarters. Such regionalization was designed to provide improved planning, coordination and administration of SCDC operations and to facilitate effective and efficient utilization local of community resources.

While some recommendations in the Adult Corrections Study were modified in the course of implementation, the overall concept was adopted as policy by the State Board of Corrections, and steps were immediately taken to consolidate and regionalize the adult corrections system in South Carolina. The major step toward consolidation was the closure of county prison operations. Legislation passed in June, 1974, gave the State jurisdiction over all adult offenders with sentences exceeding three months, and counties were required to transfer any such prisoners in their facilities to the Department. Either voluntarily or through negotiations with SCDC officials, counties began transferring their long-term prisoners to the State and closing their prison operations in May, 1973. And for the first time in the history of South Carolina corrections, all prisoners sentenced to more than 90 days were systematically processed and classified through the Department. Many were then returned to local jurisdictions to continue their involvement in public work programs.

This period in South Carolina saw many of the larger counties move away from the county supervisor form of administration to the county council manager system of government. All metropolitan counties except Anderson decided to end their involvement with county prison camps and turned many of the camps over to the Department, which sorely needed additional room for its growing number of state prisoners. It continued to be permissible for any county to operate its own prison camp, provided the facility met certain basic standards. This local option was carried out when the Department, through agreement with the jurisdiction's governing body, designated the facility to be suitable to house state inmates. Since May 1, 1973, most counties have closed their prisons or converted them to other use. As of June 30, 1986, only seven counties operate prisons as separate facilities. Other counties operate combined facilities for detainees and sentenced inmates, county jails, correctional centers, overnight lockups, detention centers and/or law enforcement centers.

The assumption of county prisoners and the closing of many local prison systems enabled the Department to take steps toward the ultimate regionalization of SCDC operations. One of the major steps toward implementation of regionalization was the alignment of adjacent planning districts into correctional regions. Continual in-house studies of the geographic distribution of offenders, and cost-benefit analysis of resource utilization resulted in the Department's decision in FY 1975 to reduce the proposed number of correctional regions from the ten originally recommended by the Adult Correctional Study to four. Further in-depth examination of regionalization was undertaken as an integral part of the Ten Year Comprehensive Growth and Capital Improvements Plan developed in FY 1977. The distribution of SCDC facilities throughout the State, the commitment trends of the inmate population, the Department's manpower and financial resources and the capital improvement requirements suggested that the Department further reduce the number of correctional regions from four to three. This reduction was implemented; and by the end of FY 1979, three correctional regions --Appalachian, Midlands, and Coastal -- were established and operational became fully through regional corrections coordinating offices. Although configuration and facility alignment changes have occurred, the current configuration is found in Figure 2 on page 21.

### Population Trends

SCDC's efforts to regionalize were made more difficult by the fact that this occurred during a time of unprecedented increases in crime in South Carolina, as well as throughout the nation. As a result of increasing crime, the counties' transfer of inmates to the State, and the legislative mandate for all long-term prisoners to be under SCDC jurisdiction, the Department experienced an unprecedented influx of offenders through the state corrections system during FY 1975. The number of inmates under state jurisdiction on June 30, 1975, (5,658) was 53% higher than on the same date the previous year (3,693). There was also an increase of more than 30% in the average daily population from FY 1974 to FY 1975 (from 3,542 to 4,618). However, this percentage increase was

surpassed during FY 1976 when the average daily population under SCDC jurisdiction (6,264) increased by 35.6% over the FY 1975 figure, the largest known yearly increase in average daily population in SCDC history. Such increases in the number of inmates under state jurisdiction have been among the severest in the nation, as indicated by a nationwide survey of the National Clearinghouse for Criminal Justice Planning and Architecture.

The dramatic increases in inmate population in Fiscal Years 1975 SCDC and 1976 resulted in intensified overcrowding ín facilities, as well as a constant strain on the Department's financial resources. The Department was forced to focus primary attention on solving the problems of overcrowding and limited financial resources. Short-term and long-range strategies directed toward overcoming either or both problems have involved renovation of existing facilities; realignment of existing space acquisition of additional facilities; expanded use of use; designated facilities; revision of Youthful Offender institutional release policies; revision of fiscal policies and procedures; introduction of economizing measures; revision of capital improvement plans; implementation of the Extended Work Release Program as an alternative to continued incarceration, and implementation of an Earned Work Credit Program, providing reduction in time to serve for inmates participating in productive work.

Partly as a result of SCDC's implementation of program alternatives to incarceration, and partly because of a stabilization of commitments to the correctional system, the dramatic population increase in Fiscal Years 1975 and 1976 did not persist. Inmate population continued to increase but at a more moderate rate, and in FY 1977-81 stabilization in the population level was witnessed. However, this population stabilization did not continue in FY 1982 when the average daily population increased 6.5% over Fiscal Year 1981. Annual population increase rates during the last five years ranged between 2.7% to 9.2%. (Table 3, page 47, shows the average inmate population for Fiscal Years 1967-1986.)

### SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS IN FY 1986

The Department of Corrections continued to be in the public eye during Fiscal Year 1986. Continued prison overcrowding, compliance with the <u>Nelson v. Leeke</u> lawsuit, publicized prison disturbances, other legislation and the passing of the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act contributed to increased public awareness of criminal justice issues and the South Carolina Department of Corrections.

The implementation of programs and policies aimed at reducing population to a manageable level, phased-in the inmate with the Nelson settlement, plans compliance for the construction of additional prisons, and renovations of existing prisons were priorities in Fiscal Year 1986. An additional EPA rollback during the fiscal year helped relieve Construction of a medium/maximum facility in overcrowding. McCormick County began and is scheduled to open in Fiscal Year 1987. During the year, the Office of Legal Settlements and Compliance continued to work closely with the Classification Branch to ensure that an objective classification system for developed and placement and reassignment of inmates was implemented. A Victim/Witness Liaison was hired by the Department.

The Department of Corrections received a great deal of attention during the fiscal year due to disturbances such as the helicopter escape from Perry Correctional Institution, the major disturbance/riot at Kirkland Correctional Institution which resulted in approximately \$732,000 in damages, and the disturbance at Perry Correctional Institution incited by three major participants but resulting in little or no damages.

In response to the valuable services correctional officers perform for the State of South Carolina, Governor Riley proclaimed the week of May 4-10 as South Carolina Correctional Officer Week.

### Inmate Population Gains

In Fiscal Year 1986, SCDC's average daily jurisdictional inmate population (excluding YOA pre-sentence, YOA parolees, EPA releasees, and non-death row safekeepers) was 10,755 (an increase of 734 (7.4%) over FY 1985). This continued a trend of increasing average daily populations, although this year's increase was not as great as the 9.2% increase in FY Of the average 10,755, 9,299 were housed in SCDC 1983. facilities, 478 in designated facilities, 798 in community (Extended Work Release, Supervised Furlough, programs Provisional Parole), and the remaining 180, in other non-SCDC state facilities by special assignments (the Governor's Mansion, Criminal Justice Academy, State Hospital, etc.). At the beginning of FY 1986, SCDC's safe and reasonable operating capacity was 7,976. In April, 1986, the safe and reasonable capacity increased to 8,036. At year end, SCDC was operating at 116% of that capacity.

#### Institutional Changes and Capital Improvements

Operational and administrative activities during the year were consistently marked by priority efforts to comply with all requirements of the <u>Nelson</u> Decree, especially those relating to elimination of double celling in lockup cells and the population ceiling at the Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center (MR&EC), the MR&EC Annex and the MR&E Holding Unit.

Early in Fiscal Year 1986, funding was secured to construct a 600-bed facility in McCormick County, and a 288-bed Reception and Evaluation Center in the Broad River Road Complex.

In February, 1986, the Board of Corrections authorized the South Carolina Department of Corrections to attempt to obtain necessary funds and approval to construct two temporary structures, and to study the feasibility of constructing three additional units for the purpose of housing inmates.

On March 12, 1986, the Budget and Control Board authorized the SCDC to borrow monies from existing Capital Improvement Funds for the purpose of constructing two temporary structures for the housing of inmates. It was decided that one 96-bed temporary structure would be constructed at the Manning Correctional Institution (MCI), and the second 96-bed structure would be the Goodman Correctional constructed at Institution. Construction of the 96-bed temporary housing unit at MCI was completed on June 13, and the unit became operational on June 16, 1986. On June 30, 1986, there were 93 inmates in the unit. The second 96-bed temporary structure is under construction at the Goodman Correctional Institution with an estimated completion date of early August, 1986.

During 1985-86 legislative session, the South Carolina Department of Corrections requested funding for construction of five major correctional institutions. The recently passed bond bill authorizes funding for three such facilities. Sites for two of these institutions have been selected (Allendale and Columbia), and one site remains to be chosen.

Implementation of the Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (EPA) And Supervised Furlough Program

In June of 1983, the South Caroliza General Assembly passed the "Prison Overcrowding Powers Act" (EPA) to provide an emergency release mechanism to relieve prison overcrowding. The Act authorizes the Governor to declare a state of emergency when the prison population exceeds the "safe and reasonable operating capacity" for 30 consecutive days, thereby empowering the Board of Corrections to advance by 30, 60, or 90 days, the "max-out" dateĭ of non-violent offenders with release sentences Non-violent offenders with a greater than nine months. sentence of nine months or less receive only a fraction of the advancement. All eligible inmates in, or admitted to the prison system during the EPA emergency are also qualified for the advancement/early release. Inmates released early via the EPA provisions are placed in the community and remain under the jurisdiction and supervision of the Department of Parole and Community Corrections (DPCC) until expiration of their sentences.

The Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act has been amended since 1983. In June, 1986, the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act was passed; specifying that eligible prisoners for release must be evaluated on risk pursuant to release. Priority will be given to prisoners who have served a substantial portion of their sentence. However, qualified prisoners with two years or more sentences may not be released before they would normally be eligible for parole. Calculation of parole eligibility is to be based on actual time served in incarceration not reduced by earned credits under any provision Rather than specifying the advancement of release of the law. dates, the new provision permits up to 200 screened monthly non-violent inmates be released under the to supervision of the Department of Parole and Community Corrections until the emergency declaration is lifted.

Implementation of EPA affects inmates' "max-out" release dates and accordingly, eligibility for other programs related to the max-out date. The major program affected is the Supervised Furlough II (SFII) Program authorized on June 13, 1983.

Prior to passage of the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act, under the SFII statute, non-violent offenders who were within six months of their maxout date and had served at least six months with a clear disciplinary record, were eligible to be placed in intensive community supervision by DPCC while

<sup>6</sup> Thus the Act does not affect parole eligibility.

' Non-violent offenders exclude habitual offenders and those convicted of Murder, Armed Robbery, Sexual Assault, Assault and Battery with Intent to Kill, Kidnapping or Trafficking in Illegal Drugs.

remaining in SCDC jurisdiction. An EPA declaration resulted in an advancement of max-out dates for those eligible, and since SFII eligibility is six months prior to max-out, there was a corresponding advancement of SFII eligibility. Inmates became eligible for SFII as a result of the EPA advancement of max-out date and some inmates were thus under SFII supervision for 180 days (i.e., full duration of the SFII Program), then, were re-assigned to EPA supervision for the remainder of their sentences. Thus, an inmate could be released from institutional incarceration more than 180 days prior to his scheduled max-out release date. Inmates affected by EPA but not eligible/approved for SFII were placed directly to EPA supervision and thus to the jurisdiction of the DPCC. The EPA was first invoked by the Governor on September 2,

1983, and the amount of a full advancement (rollback) was 90 days. As overcrowding continued, the Act was invoked again -in March, 1985, and in June, 1985. On both occasions, a 90-day advancement was implemented. Since the state of emergency had not been lifted at any time since the first rollback, all eligible inmates in SCDC at that time with a sentence greater than nine months could receive a maximum of 270 days advancement of sentence. The fourth rollback occurred December, 1985. Between September 16, 1983, and June 30, 1986, 1,453 inmates were released from SCDC institutions directly to the EPA Program and 3,517 were placed on the SFII Program.

In FY 1986, 713 inmates were placed directly on EPA and 1,203 were placed directly on SFII, of whom 915 were eligible at the time of placement because of EPA. As of June 30, 1986, 655 were in the community being supervised under EPA and 551 were under SFII.

### Legislation Directly Affecting the South Carolina Department of Corrections

During the past two years, publicized criminal cases and the public's desire for harsher punishment for crimes has led to increased citizen awareness of crime and legislation for stricter punishment for violent crimes. Numerous bills relating to crime and punishment have been introduced and analyzed to determine their impact on the Department of Corrections and the inmate population over the past two years. Among these bills, the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act, a comprehensive piece of legislation designed to relieve overcrowding and meet the desire of the public for tougher sentences, was passed.

Specifically, the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvement Act provides for the definition of non-violent offenders, redefines the accrual of good time, introduces educational credits for non-violent offenders, re-structures the emergency release program, and Supervised Furlough and specifies

"tougher" sentencing for select violent crimes, and repeat offenders.

Under the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act, Community diversion programs include Shock Probation, restitution centers and Intensive Supervision Probation. These programs will be under the jurisdiction of the South Carolina Department of Parole and Community Corrections (DPCC). SCDC will have direct involvement in the Shock Probation program. Under this program, non-violent offenders committed for five years or less, who are less than 25 years of age, will be incarcerated for a term of 90 days by SCDC as a condition of probation.

The Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act specifies that all inmates in SCDC custody irrespective of sentence accrue good time at the rate of twenty days for each month served. Inmates in local correctional facilities will accrue good time at one day for every two days served. Good time forfeited for disciplinary infractions may not be restored.

The earned work credit program was revised to allow inmates to receive work credit if they are enrolled in an academic program. However, inmates with life sentences will not be eligible for any work/educational credits. Inmates convicted of violent crimes will not be eligible for any educational credits. Inmates at local correctional facilities can be required to perform labor on the "public works or ways."

Changes to the Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (EPA) by the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act resulted in the requirements that only non-violent offenders be eligible, and only after they are evaluated for risk and are eligible for parole (or have less than a two year sentence). Calculation for parole eligibility will be based on actual time incarcerated, not reduced by earned credits. The emergency release request/provision will specify the number of prisoners to be released rather than the advancement of days.

The Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act specifies that inmates who are not in the highest trusty grade and who are assigned to a work detail outside the confinement of any correctional facility be required to wear uniforms with stripes, and violent offenders on the work release program cannot be placed in the community in which the offense was committed. Violent offenders are to be excluded from the Supervised Furlough Program, and no persons convicted of Criminal Sexual Conduct I or II, or Murder may participate in extended work release.

Either the death penalty or a sentence of life imprisonment without possibility of parole until the service of 30 years is prescribed for persons convicted of murder in which one or more aggravating circumstances are found. Where no aggravating circumstances are found, the penalty shall be life imprisonment without parole eligibility until the service of 20 years. Earned work credits and good-time credits may not be applied to reduce the mandatory time of prisoners sentenced under these provisions. Additionally, when the Governor commutes a sentence of death, the commutee is not eligible for parole. Upon the conviction or adjudication of Murder, the court must conduct a separate proceeding to determine whether the defendant should be sentenced to life imprisonment or death.

The Firearms Provision of the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act states that any person convicted of committing or attempting to commit a violent crime while in possession of a firearm or knife will receive a five year mandatory sentence (consecutive or concurrent) and will not be eligible for parole, work release, or extended work release. The five years will not be suspended and while the person may earn good time/work credits, credits may not be used to complete a term of imprisonment in loss than five years.

Changes in parole eligibility statuses exclude multiple offenders from parole. Violent offenders will not be paroled without the consent of 2/3 of the DPCC board. Anyone denied parole will have their case reviewed every two years. Persons paroled for medical reasons cannot be more than one year away from their parole eligibility date, and a psychiatric or psychological evaluation is required for those serving a total of 10 years.

Other provisions under the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act affect court transitions and the placement of future correctional facilities.

#### Earned Hork Credit Program (EMCP)

SCDC's overcrowding situation would have been much worse had it not been for the impact of the Earned Work Credit Program and the Extended Work Release Program on reducing facility population. The EWCP was authorized as part of the Litter Control Act signed into law by the Governor on May 5, 1978. In addition to providing for the use of inmates for litter control the Act amended Section 24-13-230 and removal, of the 1976 SCDC's S.C. Code of Lans, and authorized Commissioner to allow a reduction of time served by inmates assigned productive duty. Earned Work Credits were to be awarded on the basis of performance on the assigned job as well as job classification level. The job levels and the credits for a full-time job requiring more than four hours work per day are as follows:

Level 2: One Earned Work Credit for each two days worked. Level 3: One Earned Work Credit for each three days worked. Level 5: One Earned Work Credit for each five days worked. Level 7: One Earned Work Credit for each seven days worked.

Those assigned to part-time jobs, requiring up to four hours each work day, can earn one-half of the amount of credits shown above.

During FY 1986, an average of 8,258 inmates (or 77 percent of the SCDC average daily population) were productively engaged and earned credits towards their time to serve. An additional 811 inmates, on the average, worked on jobs but due to their sentence category were not eligible for motivational work credits as specified by the Litter Control Act. Among those eligible for motivational work credit, a total of 790,122 credits were earned during this period for a productivity average of 96 credit days per inmate. These credits ultimately will result in an earlier release date for each of these inmates at an average of 57 days per 100 credit days earned for those released with sentence served and 100 days per 100 credit days for those paroled. A detailed breakdown of the daily average of inmates in each job assignment and the total and average number of work credits generated by each job during this period is presented in Table 26 in the Statistical Section, pages 93 through 99. The profile of inmates at each job level of productive work close to the end follows: of FY 1986 was as

LEVEL	FULL TIME	PART TIME	NUMBER OF INMATE
2 (One day credit	3,507		3,507 (30.4%)
for each two days worked)			
3 (One day credit	2,312	20	2,332 (20.2%)
for each three days worked)			
5 (One day credit	1,590	95	1,685 (14.6%)
for each five days worked)			
7 (One day credit	976	163	1,139 (9.9%)
for each seven days worked)			
Unassigned/Not Earning	2,870		2,870 (24.9%)
Credit*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
TOTAL	11,255	278	11,533(100.0%)
TOTUD	11,200	210	

ŧ

\* Inmates undergoing transfer, reception and evaluation processing, administrative disciplinary action, unassigned, or on Death Row. The Earned Work Credit Program was conceived as a strategy to stabilize inmate population, thereby controlling the spiraling long-term capital improvements and operating costs. The effects of earned work credits on the SCDC population level and operational costs have resulted in the reduction of time served of released inmates. Between July 1, 1985, and June 30, 1986, 6,369 inmates were released from SCDC. Of that number, 4,735 inmates (74%) had their time served reduced via the productive work provisions of the Litter Control Act.

The Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvements Act revised the earned work credit program to allow certain inmates to receive work credits if they are enrolled in an academic program. However, inmates with life sentences and violent offenders will not be eligible for work or educational credits. This provision became effective with the Act, June 3, 1986.

#### Extended Work Release Program (EWRP)

Since legislative authorization on June 13, 1977, the EWRP has continued to facilitate the placement of eligible inmates in communities residing with family sponsors, thereby relieving work release bedspace for other inmates. Amended June 15, 1981, selection criteria for the EWRP now provides the exceptional regular work release resident, convicted of a first and not more than a second offense, the opportunity to reside with an approved community sponsor and to be gainfully employed in the Each extended work release participant must have community. satisfactorily participated in regular work release, exhibited a desire to become a law-abiding citizen, and satisfied other criteria set forth by departmental policy. Participants in EWRP report to work release centers and are required to reimburse SCDC \$21.00 a week for supervision. Amended in June, 1986, extended work release participants are further restricted to exclude persons convicted of violent crimes or convicted of attempting to commit a violent crime while in possession of a firearm or a knife. During FY 1986, 340 inmates were placed on EWRP; 234 successfully completed the program and were released or paroled from SCDC, 165 were transferred to other programs, or were terminated for rule violations. The number of inmates in

<sup>8</sup> Of the remaining 1,634 inmates released, 330 had earned work credit, but because of a combination of circumstances were not affected in their release eligibility.

<sup>7</sup> Before the amendment, only inmates convicted of non-violent crimes were allowed to participate in the EWRP. the program averaged 194 during the fiscal year, and on June 30, 1986, 167 program participants were residing with community sponsors rather than being housed in SCDC facilities.

### Health Services Division

Fiscal Year 1986 was the first of a three-year plan for implementing Nelson Settlement health care requirements. Compliance was near 100%, including acquisition of required new staff and equipment.

Correctional Medical Systems (CMS) was selected to provide contract health care for inmates of the Coastal Region. CMS began service on an interim basis at Lieber Correctional Institution at the end of the fiscal year. Full implementation is expected during the coming year. Solicitation for a firm to provide contractual health care at McCormick Correctional Institution began in May, 1986.

The contract with Martin Segal Company, to review all hospital bills prior to payment to determine if fees are appropriate and treatment and services consistent with medical diagnosis, terminated at the end of the fiscal year. The results indicate that generally, hospital bills are correct as rendered.

In the area of mental health services, changes at Gilliam Psychiatric Center (GPC) during FY 1986 should result in improved quality of care and a greater clinical focus. Special training workshops conducted by specialists were offered during the year, and a Quality Assurance Supervisor and oversight committee were established. In addition, GPC moved from a one treatment team approach to a multi-treatment team approach. This along with the hiring of additional staff allows more intense contact with clients and a more clinical focus.

#### Correctional Industries and Farming Operations

During Fiscal Year 1986, Prison Industries had gross sales of approximately 6 million dollars.

In order to encourage the use of SCDC's industries work force and products, Governor Richard Riley established a prison industry task force (comprised of legislators, businessmen and women, and private citizens) to explore ways that Prison Industries could be expanded. The Task Force made its report to Governor Riley in late June, and their recommendations are presently under study. The task force stated that Prison Industries has the potential to double its sales within the next several years and to set as a goal 5% of the gross purchases made by the State of South Carolina. Prison Industries began installing equipment at the Lieber Correctional Institution in Dorchester County. This plant will be involved in repair and renovation of heavy equipment. It is anticipated that the plant will be in full operation by late August, 1986.

Plans have been made to relocate the Tag Plant from CCI to a facility in the Broad River Road area. Other programs have been designated for the new institutions at McCormick and Allendale.

The Agricultural Branch completed the first phase of the dairy complex, and is presently producing and processing chocolate and white milk for inmate consumption. The new dairy is operating very efficiently, and plans are now being made to complete the feedlot section. Money has been approved for this expansion.

The Agricultural Branch completed installation of approximately 600 acres of a \$200,000 irrigation system. The irrigation system is financed from timber sales authorized under state law.

#### Personnel Administration and Training

Hiring and recruiting activities were of primary importance during FY 1986. The Agency was allocated 849 new positions, the majority of which had been recruited for and staffed by year-end.

The Correctional Officers Screening (basic skills) Test, developed and tested during FY 1985, was implemented during FY 1986. To date, it has proven to be an effective screening mechanism for correctional officer applicants.

Employee orientation and supervisory training for the Employee Assistance Program began in August, 1985. Developed to aid in the detection and treatment of job-related stress and other extraneous problems occurring outside of the work place, the program has been well received and participation has exceeded expectations.

In compliance with the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), a comprehensive policy was developed and implemented that addressed hours of work and overtime hours, as well as overtime compensation. As a result of FLSA, a new leave and attendance reporting system was implemented Agency-wide which provides for the calculation and payment of overtime hours.

#### Division of Human Services

After the first year of a three-year Health/Mental Health Staffing Study, the Division of Human Services added institutional social work staff to meet the social worker -
general population inmate ratio goal of 1/150. Staff was also added to the administrative segregation units and to the Habilitation Unit for the Developmentally Disabled Offender at the Stevenson Correctional Institution. Contractual psychological consultation at institutional social work services and mental health related components was also initiated.

After the development and orientation of the SCDC Adult Sexual Offender Treatment Program at Perry Correctional Institution, Kirkland Correctional Institution, Manning Correctional Institution and Central Correctional Institution during FY 1985, services began to be provided as part of a pilot effort to implement the program during FY 1986.

Aided by the South Carolina Commission of Alcohol and Drug Abuse, the initial development of an eight-hour alcohol and drug education course for inmates was completed during FY 1986.

Reaccreditation was releived for the SCDC Clinical Pastoral Education Program.

#### Inmate Classification

A comprehensive objective classification plan with provisions classification, and internal for initial reclassification classification was refined during FY 1986. Initial and reclassification instruments designed detailed were and instructions/manuals were written. This classification system is being continually monitored and evaluated, and plans are being made for validation.

With SCDC's classification system receiving national recognition, the Classification Branch was called on to provide technical assistance and information to states referred by the American Correctional Association, the National Institute of organizations. Classification Corrections, and other representatives from Alabama, Louisiana, and Maryland visited South Carolina to observe and discuss SCDC classification procedures.

### Accreditation

The South Carolina Department of Corrections continued its participation in standards compliance and accreditation with the Commission on Accreditation for Corrections. Previously awarded accreditation certificates valid for three year periods were the Youthful Offender Branch -- Parole Field Service, Palmer Work Release Center, Dutchman Correctional Institution, Watkins Pre-Release Center, and Cross Anchor Correctional Institution.

During FY 1986, the Livesay Work Release Center in Spartanburg was successfully audited and awarded initial accreditation for three years. Accreditation efforts continue at the State Park Correctional Center. Also accreditation contracts were signed with the Commission on Accreditation for Corrections for the Campbell Work Release Center in Columbia and for re-accreditation of the Dutchman Correctional Institution in Spartanburg County.

### Death Row

As set forth by South Carolina Law, for those persons convicted of Murder and sentenced to death, SCDC has the responsibility of "providing a death chamber and all necessary appliances for inflicting such penalty by electrocution" (Section 24-3-540, S. C. Code of Laws). Since the amendment and passage of the current death penalty law in June, 1977, SCDC has been housing these inmates on Death Row at CCI as safekeepers for the county. During the year, SCDC received 12 inmates to Death Row, and at the end of the fiscal year, there were 45 individuals on Death Row. Of the offenders received this year, 5 were white and 7 were black, all were male and sentenced for Murder. Their ages ranged from 20 to 55, with an overall average age of 30. They were engaged in varying stages of the appeals process with an average stay on Death Row of 3 years, 2 months.

Since reinstatement of capital punishment in 1977, SCDC has received 59 Death Row inmates. Since 1977, fourteen inmates have been removed from the Death Row count: four had their death sentences commuted to life; five were resentenced; one was retried, found not guilty, and released from SCDC; two died; and two have been executed (one in FY 1985, and one in FY 1986).

### Division of Public Affairs

During recent years, the Department of Corrections has been thrust into the public eye due to prison overcrowding, litigation, publicized cases of violent crimes and inmate disturbances. Consequently, the Division of Public Affairs developed a plan during FY 1986 to establish a better working relationship with victims' rights groups and to educate the public and SCDC employees concerning these issues. To accomplish this, agency personnel are being consulted for media input, a special-topic videotape operation for agency and public use is being offered, an organized speaker's bureau was established and a victims' liaison was hired.

### GRANT ASSISTANCE DURING FY 1986\*

### Through the South Carolina State Department of Education:

- o Chapter I (formerly Title I) to supplement and upgrade educational programs within the South Carolina Department of Corrections for youths under 21 years of age: \$311,542 for July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.
- o Direct service delivery (Public Law 94-142) to provide special education for the handicapped (learning disabilities), age 21 and under: \$41,715 for July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.
- Adult Basic Education to hire teachers and furnish supplies for basic education programs at multi-grade levels: \$146,577 for July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.
- o Chapter II (formerly Title IV, Part B) to furnish instructional materials and equipment at the institutional library to enhance educational programs: \$4,330 for July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.
- o Vocational Education Act (VEA) to provide vocational training to the underprivileged and furnish skills to prepare them for beneficial employment upon release: \$352,542 for July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.

### Through the S.C. State Library Board:

 Library Services - Book collection improvement for the South Carolina Department of Corrections' libraries: \$17,000 for July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.

# JTPA (Job Training Partnership Act) through the Governor's Office:

- o JTPA Services (a combination of Linkage and Transition Services of previous years) to supplement the 30-day work release program and assist incarcerated offenders to attain a comprehensive transition into the labor market: \$293,000 for July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.
- o. JTPA to provide training skills in Auto Mechanics, Brickmasonry, and Welding at Aiken Youth Correction Center: \$114,542 for July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986.

### Through the National Institute of Corrections:

o For Prison Industry Marketing Plan: \$25,000 for April 1, 1985, to September 30, 1986. Through S.C. Energy Research Foundation - Clemson University:

o To build an ethanol plant at Wateree: \$350,000 for December 1, 1984, to March 31, 1988.

U.S. Department of Justice (through the Governor's Office):

o To develop a Demographically Disaggregated Flow model of the South Carolina Criminal Justice System to Facilitate Prison Population Projections: \$7,689 for April 30, 1986, to June 30, 1986.

\*Amount approved by grantor/agency.

, e

### PUBLICATIONS/DOCUMENTS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS DURING FY 1986

### Regular Reports

Annual Report of the Board of Corrections and the Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections

Monthly Report to the Board of Corrections

Inmate Guide

Youthful Offender Services Information Guide

Community Services Information Guide

Quarterly Report on Nelson v. Leeke

### Newsletters/Pamphlets

The <u>Intercom</u>, quarterly newsletter prepared by the Division of Public Affairs

<u>About Face</u>, newsletter prepared by the Department of Corrections' inmates

"We Think You Ought to Know. . .," prepared by the Division of Public Affairs

The Two-Minute Warning, prepared by the Deputy Commissioner for Administration

Operation Get Smart: An Inside View of Crime and Imprisonment

### Special Reports

Annual Permanent Improvements Plan for Fiscal Year 1984-85

Correctional Officers Training Manual

SCDC Em, loyees Training Manual

Classification Branch Training Manual

<sup>10</sup>For previous SCDC publications and documents, see previous SCDC Annual Reports.

### STATISTICAL SECTION

Detailed inmate and personnel statistics are presented on pages 45 through 105. Tables 7 to 13 therein delineate the characteristics of inmates admitted to SCDC during FY 1986. Tables 14 to 23 describe the inmate population in SCDC at the end of FY 1986. Tables 24 to 26 pertain to inmates released from SCDC during FY 1986. The following provides an overview of inmate population flow and characteristics.

#### Average Population and Facility Occupancy in FY 1986

0

- During FY 1986, on an average daily basis, SCDC had 10,755 incarcerated inmates under its jurisdiction (including inmates on supervised furlough but excluding inmates on EPA). For every 100 inmates, 86 were housed in SCDC facilities, 5 in Designated Facilities, and 9 were placed in other locations.
  - SCDC's average daily population in FY 1986 increased by 7.4% over FY 1985.
- o SCDC facilities continued to be overcrowded in FY 1986, although total design capacity and safe and reasonable operating capacity had increased by 60 beds by fiscal year end. Overall, SCDC facilities were housing about one and one-third times the number of inmates they were designed to hold. Based on the safe and reasonable capacity, Goodman Correctional Institution was most overcrowded (70% above its rated capacity).
- o Based on design capacity, 21 of the 26 SCDC facilities were overcrowled on an average daily basis; based on the safe and reasonable operating capacity, 18 facilities were overcrowded.

### Profile of Inmates Admitted to SCDC During FY 1986

The profile of 7,397 admissions recorded by the Correctional Information System during FY 1986, is as follows:

- o For every 100 inmates admitted, 40 were white male, 52 non-white male, 3 white female and 5 non-white female.
- o Forty-three (43) out of every 100 inmates admitted were from the Appalachian Region, 28 from the Midlands Region, and 29 from the Coastal Region.

- o The most common offenses<sup>11</sup> among admissions were: Larceny (17 out of 100 inmates admitted were convicted of this offense), Dangerous Drugs (12/100), Burglary (11/100), Traffic Offenses<sup>12</sup> (10/100), Assault (7/100).
- o The average age for inmates admitted in FY 1986 was 29 years. Generally as groups, non-whites were somewhat younger (by two years) than whites.
- o For every 100 inmates admitted, 12 were 19 years of age or younger and 51 between 20 to 29 years of age (63, or almost two-thirds, therefore, were 29 years of age or younger).
- o Inmates admitted in FY 1986 had an average sentence of 4 years and 10 months. (This average is nine months less than in FY 1985, but one month more than in 1982.)
- Generally, non-white male admissions had longer average sentences than white males (5 years 2 months for the former, 4 years 9 months for the latter). Female admissions had shorter average sentences than males. (Notable differences in types of offenses/nature of crimes may contribute to variations in sentence.)
- o For every 100 admissions, 12 had a YOA sentence and 34 had a sentence of a year or less. During FY 1986, the number of YOA's admitted decreased slightly (22 fewer than FY 1985). Admissions in the year or less category increased (235 more than last fiscal year).

### Profile of Inmates in SCDC as of June 30, 1986

There was a total of 11,533 inmates under SCDC's jurisdiction as of June 30, 1986 (1,183 or 11% more than approximately the same date a year ago). The characteristics of these inmates were as follows:

- o For every 100 inmates in SCDC, 37 were white males, 58 non-white males, 2 white females and 3 non-white females.
- o There was the same proportion of non-white males in the system on June 30, 1986 (58%), as on June 30, 1985 (58%). Proportionally, white males remained the same (37%).

<sup>11</sup> In the case of multiple offenders, only the most serious offense is counted.

<sup>12</sup> Including Driving Under the Influence.

- Of every 100 inmates, 14 were in AA custody, 44 in A, 29 in
  B, 4 in C, 4 in M, 1 in semi-trusty, 2 intake and 2 in protective. The custody grade composition had no major difference from that on June 30, 1985.
- Most serious offenses for inmates in SCDC on June 30, 1986, were: Larceny (17 out of every 100 inmates were convicted of this offense), Robbery (13/100), Homicide (13/100), Burglary (11/100), Dangerous Drugs (9/100) and Assault (8/100).
- o The average age among all inmates in SCDC on June 30, 1986, was 30 years (30 years 7 months a year ago). The average age was slightly higher for white females (32 years) when compared to the non-white female's average age of 30. Non-white males were younger than their white counterparts (30 years and 31 years, respectively).
- The average sentence of the SCDC inmate population on this date was 11 years 11 months. For non-white males, the average was 12 years 5 months, as compared to 11 years 8 months for white males. White females had an average sentence of 7 years 1 month; non-white females, 6 years 8 months.
- o There were more YOA's in SCDC on June 30, 1986, than a year ago (814 or 7.1% versus 715 (6.9%). There was a 10% increase in the number of lifers 933 on June 30, 1986, versus 839 a year ago).
- o On June 30, 1986, there were more white males (9.0%) than non-white males (7.5%) in the life category; there were also more white males (8.1%) than non-white males (6.6%) in the YOA sentence category. There were more white female lifers (10.4%) than non-white female lifers (6.8%), and there were fewer non-white female YOA's than white female YOA's (2.9% vs. 5.2%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Because of the relatively fast turnover with short sentences, the leading offenses for the inmate population in SCDC on specific dates were somewhat different from those for admission cohorts. For example, offenses which carry relatively short sentences were the fourth leading (most serious) among admissions cohorts, but ranked number 9 among offenses for the inmate population as of June 30, 1986. Only 2.6% of the inmate population as of that date were convicted of traffic offenses.

### Statistics on Inmates Released from SCDC During FY 1986

During FY 1986, SCDC released 6,369 inmates. Of every 100 inmates released, 11 were youthful offenders paroled by the Youthful Offender Branch of SCDC's Division of Classification and Community Services; 14 were paroled by the Probation, Parole and Pardon Board; 34 had served the maximum term of their sentence after consideration for good time credits; 17 were placed on probation; and 20 were EPA conditional releases. The remaining 4 were released upon paying a fine or appeal bond or death.

o For every 100 inmates released, over half (55%) served one year or less, while 2% served ten or more years. The average time served for all inmates released was 1 year and 10 months.

#### SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION 3 1960-1986 (CALENDAR YEARS)

YEAR	IN FACILITIES	SPECIAL PLACEMENTS <sup>1</sup>	IN DESIGNATED <sub>2</sub> FACILITIES <sup>2</sup>	TOTAL UNDER SCDC JURISDICTION	ABSOLUTE CHANGE OVER PREVIOUS YEAR	PERCENT Change over Previous year
1960	2,073	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,073		
1961	2,132	-		2,132	59	2.9
1962	2,226	·		2,226	94	4.4
1963	2,304			2,304	78	3.5
1964	2,378		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,378	74	3.2
1965	2,396			2,396	18	0.8
1966	2,287		· · · ·	2,287	-109	-4.6
1967	2,333	·		2,333	46	2.0
1968	2,362		· · · · ·	2,362	29	1.2
1969	2,519			2,519	157	6.7
1970	2,705		·	2,705	186	7.4
1971	3,111		······································	3,111	406	15.0
1972	3,300			3,300	- 189	6.1
1973	3,396			3,396	96	2.9
1974	3,907	24		3,931	535	15.8
1975	5,079	26	379	5,484	1,553	39.5
1976	6,039	25	675	6,739	1,255	22.9
1977	6,590	28	762	7,380	641	9.5
1978	6,766	40	725	7,563	183	2.5
1979	6,797	179	703	7,679	116	1.5
1980	7,165	184	670	8,019	340	4.4
1981	7,290	304	628	8,222	203	2.5
1982	7,956	493	590	9,039	817	9.9
1983	8,166	902	554	9,622	583	6.4
1984	8,322	1,109	527	9,958	336	3.5
1985	8,865	1,401	487	10,753	795	8.0
1985	9,588	1,614	479	11,681	928	8.6

<sup>1</sup>This category of inmates do not take up bedspace in SCDC facilities and have increased in number as institutional diversionary programs are implemented — Extended Work Release Program (in 1978), Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole Program (in 1982). Special placements included those inmates assigned to the State Law Enforcement Division, the Commissioner's Home, hospital facilities, Alston Wilkes Half-way Houses, Interstate Corrections Compact, authorized absences, Extended Work Release, Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole.

<sup>2</sup>Since April 1, 1975, suitable county and local facilities have been designated as facilities to hold State inmates as a temporary measure to alleviate overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities.

<sup>3</sup>Average calculated from January, 1986 - June, 1986 population figures.

## FIGURE 3

# SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION

(Calendar Years 1960 - 1986)



46

· · ·

		TABLE 3
SCDC	AVERAGE	INMATE POPULATION
		1967 - 1986
		(FISCAL YEARS)

YEAR	IN SCDC FACILITIES	SPECIAL* PLACEMENTS		TOTAL UNDER SCDC JURISDICTION***	ABSOLUTE CHANGE DVER PREVIOUS YEAR	PERCENT CHANGE OVER PREVIOUS YEAR	
میں ہیے جب <del>میں</del> میں میں میں علم میں					nin das din tit in a cas sa din lak kas "in ger propi		 
1967	2287			2287			
1968			· · · · ·	2378	91	4.0	
1969	2355	· . · · · ·	~~~	2355	-23	-1.0	
1970	2537	*****	· · · · · ·	2537	182	7.7	
1971	2859			2859	322	12.7	
1972	3239		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3239	380	13.3	
1973	3341			3341	102	3.1	
1974	3517	25	·	3542	201	6.0	
1975	4557	25	36	4618	1076	30.4	
1976	5671	25	568	6264	1646	35.6	
1977	6392	27	748	7167	903	14.4	1
1978	6677	32	738	7447	280	3.9	
1979	6761	149	713	7623	176	2.4	
1980	7003	184	682	7869	-246	3.2	
1981	7190	236	652	8078	209	2.7	
1982	7635	353	614	8602	524	6.5	
1983	8151	683	558	9392	790	9.2	
1984	8182	1051	556	9789	397	4.2	
1985	8539	1081	501	10121	332	3.4	
1986	9299	978	478	10755	634	6.3	

\*This category of inmates do not take up bedspace in SCDC facilities and have increased in number as institutional diversionary programs are implemented--Extended Work Release Program (in 1978), Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole Programs (in 1982). Special placements include those inmates assigned to Byrnes Clinical Center, the State Law Enforcement Division, the Criminal Justice Academy, the Commissioner's Home, hospital facilities, Alston Wilkes Half-way Houses, Interstate Corrections Compact, authorized absences, Extended Work Release, Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole.

- \*\*Since April 1, 1975, suitable county and local facilities have been designated as facilities to hold State inmates as a temporary measure to alleviate overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities.
- \*\*\*The jurisdiction count does not include inmates conditionally released under the Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (EPA) enacted in September 1983. Had it not been for EPA, the average jurisdictional counts for Fiscal Years 1984, 1985 and 1986 would have been 9813 (an increase of 24), 10392 (an increase of 271), and 11329 ( an increase of 574), respectively.



### FIGURE 5

### LOCATION OF SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION DURING FY 1986



\*A listing of these special placements is given in Table 3.

### PER INMATE COSTS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FISCAL YEARS 1973-1986

TABLE 4

	BASED ON STATE I	FUNDS SPENT	BASED ON ALL FUNDS <sup>2</sup> SPENT				
FISCAL YEAR	ANNUAL PER INMATE COSTS	DAILY PER INMATE COSTS	ANNUAL PER INMATE COSTS	DAILY PER INMATE COSTS			
1973	\$ 2,419	\$ 6.63	\$ 3,145	\$ 8.62			
1974	2,886	7.91	3,707	10.16			
1975	3,430	9.40	4,147	11.36			
1976	3,322	9.10	4,102	11.24			
1977	3,384	9.27	4,075	11.16			
1978	4,114	11.27	4,826	13.22			
1979	4,796	13.14	5,488	15.03			
1980	4,995	13.65	5,666	15.47			
1981	6,067	16.62	6,489	17.78			
1982	6,765	18.53	7,110	19.48			
1983	7,332	20.09	7,520	20.60			
1984	8,508	23.31	8,632	23.65			
1985	9,290	25.45	9,476	25.96			
1986	10,239	28.05	10,471	28.69			

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup>Calculation of the SCDC per inmate costs is based on the average number of inmates in SCDC facilities and does not include state inmates held in designated facilities, institutional diversionary programs or other non-SCDC locations.

<sup>2</sup>That is, state and federal funds and other revenues.

50

.

I DI



### EXPENDITURES OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA

DEPARIMENT OF CORRECTIONS

### FY 1986

TOTAL

DESCRIPTION	EXPENDITURES*
Personal Services	57,596,457
Contractual Services	4,635,713
Supplies	12,311,606
Fixed Charges	857,024
Travel	367,267
Equipment	. 3,768,212
Items for Resale	- 6,571,297
Case Services	3,013,887
Lights/Heat/Power	4,992,505
Transportation	727,547
Employee Benefits	13,104,006
TOTAL SCDC	107,945,521

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

\*Includes state appropriations, federal funds and other revenues; excludes capital improvement expenditures.

			Total			
Admissions	Male	Female	Number	Percent		
New Admissions from Court Indeterminate Sentence (YOA <sup>1</sup> )	5,673 750	544	6,217 779	84.0 10.5		
Straight Sentence (Non-YOA)	4,923	515	5,438	73.5		
Probation Revocations	477	22	499	6.8		
Without New Sentence	253	10	263	3.6		
With New Sentence	224	12	236	3.2		
Parole Revocations	496	14	510	6.9		
YOA Without New Sentence	79	1	80	1.1		
YOA With New Sentence	1	0	1	0.0*		
Non-YOA Without New Sentence	396	10	406	5.5		
Non-YOA With New Sentence	20	3	23	0.3		
EPA Revocations	154	5	159	2.1		
Death Row	12	0	12	0.2		
Total Admissions ,	6,812	585	7,397	100.0		
				-		
Releases						
Expiration of Sentence/Released Less				and the second sec		
Good Time	1,964	177	2,141	33.6		
Placed on Probation	975	91	1,066	16.7		
Paroled by YOA Board	659	39	698	11.0		
Paroled by P&CC Board	852	66	918	14.4		
Other Releases	211	33	244	3.8		
Released to EPA	1,151	133	1,284	20.2		
Deaths	16	1	17	0.3		
Executed	1	0	1	0.0*		
Total Releases	5,829	540	6,369	100.0		

### ADMISSIONS TO AND RELEASES FROM SCDC BASE POPULATION DURING FY 1986 (JULY 1, 1985 - JUNE 30, 1986)

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

See Section B of the Appendix for a detailed explanation of the Youthful Offender Act.

\*Percentage is less than 0.1%.



### TROLE 7

### DISTRICUTION BY CONTITING COUNTY AND CORRECTIONAL REGION OF ECDC INNATES ADMITTED QURIES FY 1986 (JULY 1, 1985 - JUNE 30, 1986)

•		*******		***	r					i	
	. Khita	RHE	las-taite		Kaito	FEILALE	Non-Khito		TOTAL		
COUNTINS COUNTY		Percent		Percoat		Percent			Huchor	Porcent	RANX
				r						[]	
APPALACHICH RESICH	1,454	50.63	1,425	36.72	114	45.60	142	42.39	3,165	42.79	
Abboville	17	0.58	36	0.93	0	0	2	0,60	55	0.74	36
ĉador soa	110	3.75	67	1.73	- 11	4.40	7	2.09	195	2.64	11
Chorokeo	72	2,46	36	0.93	2	0.80	0	0.00	110	1.49	18
Ecgediald	2	0.07	20	0.52	0	0.00	2	0.60	24	0.32	43
Creenville Greenwood	946 57	18.63	510	13.35	57	22.60	- 75	22.39	1,196	16.17	1
Lasreas	57 54	1.08	127	1.73	4	1.60	14	4.10	202	2.73	10 15
IzCorolek		0.03	.15	0.39		0.00	Ô	0.00	16	0.22	
liceage	64	2.10	14	0.36	i	0.40	Ö	0.00	79	1.07	27
Pickoas	94	3.21	35	0.90	5	2.00	4	1.19	139	1.87	15
Ealuda	6	0.20	13	\$.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	19	0.25	45
Epertenberg	270	9.21	284	7.32	19	7.60	19	5.67	592	8.00	2
Unica	46	1.57	55	1.42	5	2.00	4	1.19	110	1.49	18
York	145	4.95	138	3.56	6	2.40	13	3,69	302	4.08	6
HIELANDE REBIEN	665	22.69	1,230	31.69	. 64	25.60	114	34.03	2,073	20.02	
Alken	130	4.44	94	2.42	11	4.40	12	3.59	247	3.34	7
Allcadalo	3	0.10	24	0.62	0	0.00	2	0.60	29	0.39	41
Bacherg	19	0.65	39	1.00	3	1.20	- 8	2.39	69	0.93	30
Derneoll	16	0.55	45	1.16	1 1	0.40	4	1.19	66	0.89	31
Calhoza	2	0.07	17	0.44	0	0.00	2	0.40	21	0.28	44
Chester	30	1.02	60	1.55	6	2.40	2	0.40	98	1.32	22
Clzrenden Fairfield	11 16	0.38	32	0.82	0	0.00	5	1.49	48 63	0.65	22 28
Kershau	24	0.82	39	1.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	63	0.83	22
Lencaster	55	2.25	70	1.60	4	1.60	6	1.79	146	1.97	14
Lee	10	0.34	35	0.90	6	0.00	ō	0.00	45	0.61	39
Lorington	.97	3.31	65	1.47	11	4.40	s s	1.49	178	2.41	12
Carberry	27	0.92	- 53	1.37	3	1.20	6	1.79	69	1.20	23
Graageburg	25	0.09	130	3.35	6	2.40	12	3.58	174	2.35	13
Richland	- 97	3.31	351	9.04	15	6.00	34	10.15	497	6.72	4
Easter	91	3.10	135	3.48	3	1.20	11	3.20	240	3.24	.9
COASTAL REBICH	702	26.68	1,226	31.59	72	28.80	. 79	23.50	2,159	29.19	
Beaufert	- 67	1.60	55	1.42	1	0.40	. 7	2.09	110	1.49	18
Borkelov	19	1.33	18	0.46	4	1.60	i i	0.30	62	0.84	33
Charlestea	170	6.07	373	9.61	17	5.D0	19	5.37	586	7.92	3
Chestorfield	22	0.75	45	1.16		0.40	3	0.90	71	0.96	29
Collotoa	29	0.99	45	1.16	6	2.40	5	1.49	95	1.15	24
Darlington	42	1.43	- 65	1.67	3	1.20	4	1.19	114	1.54	17
Dillos	25	0,85	27	0.70	0	0.00	2	0,90	55	0.74	36
Derchoster	32	1.09	41	1.05	3	1.20	3	0.90	79	1.07	27
Florence	62	2.12	164	4.23	0	3.20	8	2.39	242	3.27	
Beorgotexa Ilexatea	23	0.78	59 19	1.49	0	0.00	2	0.60	B3 23.	1.12	
llorry	203	5.93	116	2.99	1 22	8.60	10	2.99	351	4.75	5
Jaspor	12	0.41	27	0.70	1	0.40	2	0.60	42	0.57	
ilarica	16	0.55	46	1.19	i	0.40	2	0.60	65	0.88	
Nerlboro	33	1.13		1.05	3	1.20	5	1.49	02	1.11	
billiesoberg 👘	14	0.48	26	2.22	1	0.40	6	1,79	107	1.45	21
			·. ·	1				1.1			
					ļ				-		
TOTAL.	2,931	100.00	3,081	100.00	250	100.00	323	100.00	7,397	100.00	

Secres Division of Reserve and Information Management.

chanking is in descending order according to muchar of coepiterats; the county having the largest number of total coepiterats is ranked number one.



56

INMATE ADMISSIONS BY COMMITTING COUNTIES AND CORRECTIONAL REGIONS DURING FY 1986

1		IALE		emale		TAL	2	
OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION <sup>1</sup>	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Nuaber	Percent	RANK <sup>2</sup>	
Nonicide	108	190	22	18	338	2.4	10	
Kidnapping	9	10	0	0	19	0.1	27	
Somual Assault	135	165	0	2	302	2.2	13	
Robbery	131	362	i i	7	501	3.6	9	
Assoult	257	506	16	36	815	5.8	6	
ATOON	45	37	3	i	86	0.6	23	
Extortion	0	1	0	o o	1	0.0*	35	
Burglary	665	932	10	11	1,618	11.5	3	
Larceny	850	1,363	46	158	2,417	17.2	Ĩ	
Stolen Vehicle	247	291	5	2	545	3.9	7	
Forgery and Counterfolting	173	252	47	45	517	3.7		
Fraudulent Activities	645	351	192	162	1,150	8.2	5	
Sabozzlepant	1	1.	132	0		0.04	33	
Stolen Property	74	158	2	6	240	1.7	15	
Demage to Property	100	92	2	6	200	1.4	17	
Jangerous Drugs	582	817	67	58	1,524	10.9	- 4	
icx Offenses	99	59	2	1	161	1.1	21	
bacene Materiala	5	0	Ó	Ô	5	0.0*	32	
anily Offenses	154	143	3	. 8	308	2.2	12	
Sambling	154	6	0	0	500	0.0*	30	
Commercialized Sex Offenses	5	9		8	24	0.0	26	
	29		3	-				
lquor		12	0	0	41	0.3	25	
runkenness	117	85	2	4	208	1.5	16	
Obstructing the Polico	104	203	6	13	326	2.3	11	
light/Escope	117	52	2	3	174	1.2	20	
Distructing Justice	49	98	13	17	177	1.3	19	
lribery	2	4	0	0	. 6	0.0*	30	
Jespon Offenses	104	132	2	6	244	1.7	14	
ublic Peaco	81	88	5	12	186	1.3	10	
Traffic Offenson	1,003	597	38	18	1,656	11.8	2	
invasion of Privacy	29	42	.3	2	. 76	0.5	24	
juggling	7	4	1	1	13	0.1	28	
Conservation	2	- 0.	0	0	2	0.0*	33	
lagrancy	4	3	1 i	0	8	0.1	29	
rines Against Persons	1	Ō	ō ·	Õ	1	0.0*	35	
roparty Crimas	l ō	i	0	ō	ī	0.0*	35	
Sorals/Deconcy Crimes	ī	l õ	õ	Ō	ī	0.0*	35	
liscellancous Crimes	54	69	5	6	134	1.0	22	
OTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES	5,787	7,135	500	611	14,033	99.9		
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS	2,931	3,881	250	335	7,397			

#### OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1986 (JULY 1, 1985 - JUNE 30, 1986)

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section H of the Appendix, page 118.

<sup>2</sup>Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

<sup>3</sup>All offenses committed by an inmate are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some immates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of immates.

\*Percentage is loss than 0.1%.



OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION ADMITTED DURING FY 1986



### MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE OF SCDC INHATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1986 (JULY 1, 1985 - JUNE 30, 1986)

	MALE		FEI	IALE	TOTA		
OPPENSE CLASSIFICATION <sup>1</sup>	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Numbor	Percent	RANK
Homicide	89	165	20	16	290	3.9	9
Kidnapping	5	7	0	0	12	0.2	26
Sexual Assault	111	128	0	1	240	3.2	12
Robbery	81	229	0	5	315	4.3	7
Assault	154	335	10	27	526	7.1	5
Arson	28	25	3	0	56	0.8	20
Burglary	327	472	6	5	810	11.0	3
Larceny	435	709	27	95	1,266	17,1	1
Stolen Vchicle	126	165	2	2	295	4.0	8
Forgery and Counterfeiting	81	123	22	34	260	3.5	11 .
Fraudulent Activities	167	141	76	75	459	6.2	6
Embezzlement	0	0	1	0	1	0.0*	33
Stolen Property	. 46	98	1	1	146	2.0	13
Damage to Property	51	47	2	1	101	1.4	17
Dangerous Drugs	339	484	39	32	894	12.1	2
Sen Offenses	72	45	2	1	120	1.6	16
Obscene Materials	2	0	0	oʻ	2	0.0*	30
Family Offenses	135	133	3	6	277	3.7	10
Gambling	0 .	2	• 0	0	2	0.0*	30
Commercialized Sax Offenses	2	7	0	4	13	0.2	25
Liquor	6	4	0	0	10	0.1	27
Drunkenness	74	46	0	3	123	1.7	15
Obstructing the Police	41	91	1	7	140	1.9	14
Flight/Escape	19	12	0	1	32	0.4	23
Obstructing Justice	14	22	3	2	41	0.6	22
Bribary	0	3	0	0	3	0,0*	29
Heapon Offenses	27	52	1	3	83	1.1	18
Public Peace	27	19	3	4	53	0.7	21
Traffic Offenses	420	266	22	8	716	9.7	4
Invasion of Privacy	15	14	2	0	31	0.4	24
Rauggling	2	1	1	0	4	0.1	28
Vagrancy	1	1	0	0	2	0.0*	30
Crimes Against Persons	1	0	0	0	1	0.0*	33
Miscellaneous Crimes	33	35	3	2	73	1.0	19
FOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS	2,931	3,881	250	335	7,397	100.0	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

 $^{1}$ An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section N of the Appendix, page 118.

<sup>2</sup> Renking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one. \*Percentage is less then 0.1%.



		MA	LE		1	FEMA	LE		TOTAL	
Construction and a second second		hite ,		White 1		ite	Non-I		1	
SENTENCE LENGTH	Number	Percent	Number	Percent"	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
YOA	380	13.0	451	11.6	16	6.4	13	3.9	860	11.6
3 Honths or Less	153	5.2	139	3.6	22	8.8	18	5.4	332	4.5
3 Kontha 1 Day - 1 Yoar	550	18.8	681	17.5	64	25.6	93	27.8	1,388	18.8
1 Year	291	9.9	402	10.4	31	12.4	44	-13.1	768	10.4
1 Year 1 Day - 2 Years	315	10.7	403	10.4	31	12.4	50	14.9	799	10.8
2 Years 1 Day - 3 Years	231	7.9	339	8.7	23	9.2	38	11.3	631	8.5
3 Years 1 Day - 4 Years	. 120	4.1	158	4.1	12	- 4.8	18	5.4	308	4.2
4 Years 1 Day - 5 Years	188	6.4	309	8.0	16	6.4	18	5.4	531	7.2
5 Years 1 Day - 6 Years	87	3.0	118	3.0	5	2.0	8	2.4	218	2.9
6 Years 1 Day - 7 Years	65	2.2	68	1.8	4	1.6	6	1.8	143	1.9
7 Years 1 Day - 8 Years	67 -	2.2	93	2.4	2	0.8	6	1.8	168	2.3
8 Years 1 Day - 9 Years	31	1.1	48	1.2	· 3	1.2	2	0.6	84	1.1
9 Years 1 Day - 10 Years	110	3.8	196 .	5.1	7	2.8	7	2.1	320	4.3
10 Years 1 Day - 20 Years	201	6.9	270	7.0	6	2.4	7	2.1	484	6.5
20 Years 1 Day - 30 Years	76	2.6	123	3.2	6	2.4	4	1.2	209	2.8
Over 30 Years	20	0.7	24	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	- 44	0.6
Life w/10-Yrs, Parole Elig.	12	0.4	22	0.6	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	34	0.5
Life w/20-Yrs. Parole Elig.	29	1.0	30	0.8	2	0.8	3	0.9	64	0.9
Death	5	0.2	7	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	0.2
					*			:	ļ	-
TOTAL	2,931	100.1	3,881	100.2	250	100.0	335	100.1	7,397	100.0
Avorage Sentence Longth <sup>2</sup>	4 Yrs. 9	Mos.	5 Yra. 2	Nos.	3 Yrs.		2 Yrs. 9	Э Мов.	4 Yrs.	10 Мов

### SENTENCE LENGTH DISTRIBUTIC: OF SCDC INHATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1986 (July 1, 1985 - Juna 30, 1986)

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

Percentaga does not aqual 100% due to rounding.

<sup>2</sup>This average does not include life, death and YOA sentences.



### Figure 11

62

### AGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1986 (JULY 1, 1985 - JUNE 30, 1986)

	MALE				FEMALE				TOTAL	
		hite		ihita 1		Lta		White		
	Number	Porcent	Number	Percent	Number	Parcent	Number	Percent	Number	Parcent
	11.									1 · · · · ·
Jnder 17	2	0,1	9	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.3	12	0.2
17 - 19	346	11.6	460	11,9	14	5.6	24	7.2	844	11.4
20 - 24	776	26.5	1,087	28.0	54	21.6	104	31.0	2,021	27.3
25 - 29	627	21.4	971	25.0	69	27.6	74	22.1	1,741	23.5
30 - 34	404	13.8	625	16.1	54	21.6	67	20.0	1,150	15.5
35 - 39	273	9.3	358	9.2	29	11.6	38.	11.3	698	9.4
40 - 44	186	6.3	169	4.4	6	2.4	15	4.5	376	5.1
45 - 49	112	3.8	95	2.4	11	4.4	. 5	1.5	223	3.0
50 - 54	91	3.1	48	1.2	6	2.4	6	1.8	151	2.0
55 - 59	60	2.0	31 -	0,8	4	1.6	0	0.0	95	1.3
60 - 64	28	1.0	7	0.2	3	1.2	0	0.0	38	0.5
65 - 69	14	0.5	17	0.4	0	0.0	1	0.3	32	0.4
0 & Ovor	12	0.4	4	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	0.2
								, в -	1	
otal	2,931	100.0	3,881	99,9	250	100.0	335	100.0	7,397	99.8

SPECIAL AGE

GROUPINGS

			a - 1		
7	89	84	3	4	180
8 and Over	2,840	3,788	247	330	7,205
1 and Over .	2,425	3,196	228	302	6,151
4 and Under	1,124	1,556	68	129	2,877
2 and Over	40	24	1	1	66
5 and Over	26	21	0	1	48
verage age	30 Years	28 Years	30 Years	28 Years	29 Years

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>Percontage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

"The total immate population excludes 733 YOA percless who are also under SCDC's jurisdiction, and are included in budgetary considerations.

Figure 12



	MALE				FEMALE				TOTAL		
	White		and a subsection of the second s	Non-White 2		White		Non-White		2	
PLANNING DISTRICTS	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Fercent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
1. Appalachian	1,156	39.4	952	24.5	95	38.0	105	31.3	2,308	31.2	
II. Upper Savannah	137	4.7	279	7,2	8	3.2	20	6.0	444	6.0	
III. Catawha	288	9.8	324	8.3	21	8.4	25	7.5	658	8.9	
IV. Central Midlands	237	8.1	510	13.1	30	12.0	50	14.9	827	11.2	
V. Lower Savannah	196	6.7	349	9.0	21	8.4	40	11.9	606	8.2	
VI. Santee Wateree	136	4.6	241	6.2	3	1.2	16	4.8	396	5.4	
VII. Pee Dee	201	6.9	388	10.0	16	6.4	25	7.5	630	8.5	
VIII. Waccamaw	238	8.1	260	6.7	23	9.2	18	5.4	539	7.3	
IX. Tri-County	249	8.5	431	11.1	24	9.6	22	6.6	726	9.8	
X. Low Country	93	3.2	147	3.8	9	3.6	14	4.2	263	3.6	
TOTAL	2,931	100.0	3,881	99.9	250	100.0	335	100.1	7,397	100.1	

DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS<sup>1</sup> OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1986

TABLE 12

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Section F of the Appendix, page 115.

<sup>2</sup>Percentage distribution does not equal 100% due to rounding.

			Figure					
	С С С С.	OMMITTING	PLANNING DURING	DISTRICTS O TY 1986	F SCDC IN	MATES AD	MITTED	
	0% 2%	4%	6% 8	% 10%	12%	14%	1 1	
Appalachian								
Upper								
Savannah								
Catauba								
Catawba							-	
C		and server and inserver limit						
Central Midlands	and the second second		777					
		<u>lelele</u>						
Lower Savannah	-		, ]		•			
Suvumun								
Santee-		eren bra						
Lynches								
Pee Dee	-							
			2		e di L		н 1	
Waccamaw								
		woodlatting						
Tri-Countr,	1770		7					
		<u>I-l-l-</u>		مراجع المراجع ا		n an an Arrange Arrange Arrange Arrange		
Low Country								

١.

Ĩ

TABLE 13	
----------	--

JUDICIAL		MA	LE			FEM	TOTAL			
	White		Non-White 2		Wh	ilte	Non-	-White		
CIRCUIT	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percen
··· 1 ···· .	60	2.0	188	4.8	9	3.6	17	5.1	274	3.7
2	164	5.6	179	4.6	15	6.0	24	7.2	382	5.2
3	126	4.3	288	7.4	4	1.6	22	6.6	440	5.9
4	122	4.2	179	4.6	7	2.8	15	4.5	323	4.4
5	121	4.1	390	10.0	15	6.0	34	10.1	560	7.6
6	112	3.8	172	4.4	11	4.4	13	3.9	308	4.2
7	342	11.7	320	8.2	21	8.4	19	5.7	702	9.5
8	155	5.3	284	7.3	11 .	4.4	24	7.2	474	6.4
9	216	7.4	390	10.0	21	8.4	19	5.7	646	8.7
10	174	5.9	81	2.1	12	4.8	7	2.1	274	3.7
11	106	3.6	113	2.9	- 11	4.4	7	2.1	237	3.2
12	79	2.7	209	5.4	. 9	3.6	10	3.0	307	4.2
13	640	21.8	552	14.2	62	24.8	79	23.6	1,333	18.0
14	97	3.3	170	4.4	. 9	3.6	16	4.8	292	3.9
15	225	7.7	173	4.5	22	8.8	12	3.6	432	5.8
16	192	6.6	• 193	- <b>5.0</b>	11	4.4	17	5.1	413	5.6
TOTAL	2,931	100.0	3,881	99.8	250	100.0	335	100.3	7,397	100.0

### DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS<sup>1</sup> OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1986 (JULY 1, 1985 - JUNE 30, 1986)

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>Counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Section G of the Appendix, page 117.

 $^{2}$ Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

(TABLE/13-1)

Figure 14





. 1

	HALE				FERALE				TOTAL	****	{
	Mite		Non-White		Shito		Non-Walte		1		t.
CURENITTING COURTY	Elusbor	Percent	lueber	Percent	Hunber	Percent	Ausbor	Percent	Kuster	Percent	RAXXI
NPPALACHIAN REGION	2,039	47.29	2,114	31.79	106	46.09	125	36.66	4,384	38.01	1.15
Abbeville	20	0.46	55	0.83	6	0.00	3	0.68	78	0.68	37
laderopa	219		149	2.24	15	6.52	5	1.47	389	3.36	8
Cherokee	411	2.57	62	0.93	3	1.30	2	0.59	178	1.54	17
Edgafiald	6	0.14	62	0.93	0	0.00	1	0.29	69	0.60	39
Crocaville	602	13.96	692	10.41	45	19.57	61	17.89	1,400		1
Greeaupod	72	1.67	171	2.57	6	2.61	5	1.47	254	2.20	12
Laurens	64		63	1.25	4	1.74	· · 1	0.29	152	1.32	21
HcCorolck	5	0.12	25	0.38	· 0	0.00	0	0.00	30	0.26	46
Oconeo	104		23	0.35	3	1.30	0	0.00	130	1.13	27
Pickeas	171	3.97	65	0.9B	3	1.30	- 3	0.88	242	2.10	14
Saluda	7		31	0.47	· 0'	0.00	0	0.00	39	0.33	44
Epartenburg	. 393		398	5.98	15	6.52	20	5.87	026	7.16	4
Unica	62		62	0.93	6	2.61	2	0.59	132	1.14	26
Yerk	203	4.71	236	3.55	6	2.61	22	6.45	467	4.05	6
NIGLACES REGICE	\$34	22.92	2,191	32.93	59	25.69	127	37.24	3,361	29.14	
Ai hca	150	3.40	169	2.53	1 11	4.78	7	2.05	336	2.91	10
Alleadalo	6	0.14	59	0.85	0	0.00	2	0.59	67	0.58	40
Bacherg	25	0.53	71	1.07	2	0.87	7	2.05	105	0.91	33
Barncoll	20	0.46	44	0.66	1 1	0.43	2	0.59	67	0.59	40
Calhoun	1 3	0.07	29	0.44	0	0.00	2	0.59	34	0.29	45
Choster	47	1.07	93	1.40	6	2.61	3	0.53	149	1.29	22
Clareadoo	21	0.49	57	0.84	0	0.00	1 1	1.17	82	0.71	35
Fairfield	19	0.44	59	0.09	1.1	0.43	2	0.59	01	0.70	36
Korahas	33	0.83	63	0.95	1 1	0.43	0	0.00	100	0.07	34
Leososter	92	2.13	104	1.56	4	1.74	7	2.05	207	1.79	16
LCO	12	0.20	55	0.03	1	0.43	1 1	0.29	69	0.60	38
Loziogtas	155	3.59	125	1.89	10	4.35	1 11	3.23	301	2.61	11
Descerry	32	0.74	62	1.23	3	1.30	1 7	2.05	124	1.08	30
Grangeburg	43	1.00	190	2.85	5	2.17	14	4.11	252	2.19	13
Richland	199	4.62	771	11.59	11	4.70	54	-15,P4	1,035	0.97	3
Eustor	124	2.09	221	3, 32	2	1.30	4	1.17	352	3.05	
COASTAL NEDICA	1,225	29.E0	2,344	35.25	65	28,24	69	26.10	3,703	32,60	
Daasfort	63		101	1.52	1	0.43	5	1.47	175	1.52	10
Eorkeley	99	2.06	61	0.92	5	2.17	2	0.57	157	1.36	20
Charlestea	204	6.59	725	10.90	13	5.65	24	7.04	1,046	9.07	2
Chesterfield	- 22	0.77	81	1.22	1. 1	0.43	4	1.17	119	1.03	31
Colleton	37	0.05	63	1.28	4	1.74	3	0.63	129	1.12	27
Cerlingtea	74	1.72	145	2.18	2	0.87	6	1.75	227	1.97	15
Dillen	- 36	1.30	57	0.86	1 1	0.43	4	1.17	110	1.02	32
Berchester	79	1.03	70	1.17	2	0.07	2	0.59	161	1.40	19
Florenco	114	2.64	290	4.49	8	3.43	15	4,40	435	3.77	1 7
Exergetern	1 34	0.79	94	1.41	1	0.43	1	0.29	130	1,13	27
Hacatos	6	0.14	39	0.59	1	0.43		0.00	- 45	0.40	43
librey	226	5.63	1	3.57	21	9.13	1 1	2.64	- 555	4.61	5
Jesper	18		1 · · ·	0.44	1	0.43		0.29	- 49	0.42	42
Marica	39	0.03	102	1.53	1.1	0,43	5	1.47	145	1.27	23
Earlboro	49	1.14		1.39	2	0.87	3	0.69	145	1.27	23
Williacoburg	20	0.46	110	1.77	1	0.43	5	1,47	144	1.25	25
CUIT-OF-STATE	\$	0.09	. 1	0.02	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	0.04	47
TOTAL	4,312	100	6,650	100	230	100	341	100	11,533	100	

### DISTRIBUTION BY CONNITTING COUNTY AND CORRECTIONAL REGION OF SCOC INNATES AS OF JUNE 30, 1986+4

Searco: Division of Resource and Information Management

effecting is in descending order according to sumber of cossilegating the county having the largest musher of total cossilegate is reaked number one.

seThe total innate population excludes 733 YOA percless who are also under GCOC's jurisdiction, and are included in budgetary considerations.



COMMITTING COUNTIES AND CORRECTIONAL REGIONS OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

FIGURE 16
······		ALE	I	EMALE	TO	TAL	1
OPPENSE CLASSIFICATION <sup>1</sup>	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	RANK <sup>2</sup>
Immigration	0	0	0	0	0	0*	
Homicide	596	951	62	66	1.675	6.9	6
Kidnapping	68	83	3	1	155	0.6	21
Sexual Aspault	427	627	5	2	1.061	4.4	8
Robbery	684	1.824	13	28	2,549	10.5	3
Assault	610	1,158	22	44	1,834	7.6	5
Argon	97	70	5	2	174	0.7	19
Extortion	Ó.	0	Ő	ō	0	0.0**	
Burglary	1.110	1.829	8	11	2,958	12.2	2
Larcony	1,812	2,581	45	170	4,608	19.1	ī
Stolen Vehicle	386	471	5	3	865	3.6	10
Forgery and Counterfeiting	276	398	49	63	786	3.3	12
Praudulent Activition	400	354	128	101	983	4.1	9
Embezzlomant	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Stolen Property	137	270	0	7	414	1.7	14
Damage to Property	120	98	2	2	222	0.9	18
Dangerous Drugs	718	1,231	47	64	2,060	8.5	4
Sex Offenses	198	141	4	2	345	1.4	15
Obscene Matorials	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Family Offenses	87	74	2	8	171	0.7	20
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Commercialized Sox Offenoos	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Liquor	7	7	0	0	14	0.1	27
Drunkonnosa	18	19	0	2	39	0.2	26
Obstructing the Police	112	205	3	7	327	1.4	16
Flight/Escape	467	323	9	8	807	3.3	11
Obstructing Justice	29	59	3	2	93	0.4	22
Bribery	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Weapon Offenses	172	267	4	. 5	448	1.9	13
Public Posce	30	36	0	0	66	0.3	23
Traffic Offences	709	410	12	3	1,134	4.7	7
Invasion of Privacy	31	22	1 1	0	54	0.2	24
Sauggling	27	23	1	0	51	0.2	25
Conservation	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Crimes Against Persons	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Property Crines	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Morals/Decency Crimes	0	0	0	0	0	0.0**	
Hiscellancous Crimes	109	144	14	7	274	1.1	17
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES <sup>3</sup>	9,437	13,675	447	608	24,167	100.0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS <sup>3</sup>	4,312	6,650	230	341	11,533		

### TYPE OF OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION\*, AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>An eleboration of these offenses is included in Section H of the Appendix, page 118.

<sup>2</sup>Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

<sup>9</sup>All offenses committed by an inmate are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.

<sup>9</sup>The total inmate population excludes 733 YOA parolees who are also under SCDC's jurisdiction and included in budgetary considerations.

\*\* Percentege to loss than 0.1%.



		IALE	FE	HALE	TOT	AL	
OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION <sup>1</sup>	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	RANK <sup>2</sup>
lomicide	545	870	55	64	1,534	13.3	2
Kidnapping	56	75	2	1	134	1.2	14
Soxual Assault	323	459	2	1	785	6.8	7
Robbery	394	1,079	6	16	1,495	13.0	3
Assault	282	551	14	30	877	7.6	6
Arson	43	42	4	2	91	0.8	17
Extortion	0	3	0	0	3	0.0**	28
Burglary	495	758	5	6	1,264	11.0	4
Larcony	754	1,106	20	86	1,966	17.0	1
Stolon Vehiclo	143	185	1 1	2	331	2.9	9
forgery and Counterfeiting	106	154	22	37	319	2.8	10
Tradulent Activities	124	112	46	34	316	2.7	11
Stolan Property	58	146	0	2	206	1.8	13
Amage to Property	56	38	1	· · · · ·	96	0.8	16
langerous Drugs	351	603	30	38	1,022	8.9	5
lex Offenses	139	107	3	2	251	2.2	12
Obscans Materials	11 1	0	0	0	1	0.0**	31
Amily Offenses	62	60	2	- 6	130	1.1	15
Commercialized Sox Offenses	1	1	0	2	4	0.0**	26
lquor	1	. 1	0	0	2	0.0**	29
Drunkennoso	6	5	0	0	11	0.1	25
betructing the Police	29	57	1	4	91	0.8	17
light/Escapa	15	5	0	0	20	0.2	23
Detructing Justice	6	18	2	1	27	0.2	21
Sribory	0	2	0	0	2	0.0**	29
Jeapon Offenses	23	38	1	3	65	0.6	20
Public Peace	5	7	0.	0	12	0.1	24
Traffic Offonses	250	122	8	1	381	3.3	8
Invasion of Privacy	14	8	1	0	23	0.2	22
Sauggling	2	1	1	0	4	0.0**	26
Crimes Against Parsons	1	0	0	0	1	0.0**	31
Hiscellaneous Crines	27	37	3	2	69	0.6	19
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS	4,312	6,650	230	341	11,533	100.0	

TABLE 16 MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION\* AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>An alaboration of these offenses is included in Section H of the Appendix, page 11B.

<sup>2</sup>Banking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

AThe total inmate population excludes 733 YOA parolees who are also under SCDC's jurisdiction and included in budgetary consideration.

"Porcontage to loss than 0.1%.



### SENTENCE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INHATE POUPULATION \*\* AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

			LE			FEN			TOTAL	
		hite		White		ite		White	and the second se	
SENTENCE LENGTH	Number	Percent	NUMBER	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
VOV	350	8.1	442	6.6	12	5.2	10	2,9	814	-7.1
3 Norths or Lass	11	0.3	21	0.3	4	1.7	Ū	0.0*	36	0.3
3 Montha 1 Day - 1 Year	160	3.7	181	2.7	15	6.5	23	6.7	379	3.3
I Year	110	2.6	140	2.1	15	6.5	20	5.9	285	2.5
I Year 1 Day - 2 Years	219	5.1	290	4.5	19	8.3	34	10.0	570	6.9
2 Years 1 Day - 3 Years	276	6.4	407	6.1	24	10.4	50	14.7	757	6.5
3 Years 1 Day - 4 Years	173	4.0	249	3.7	14	6.1	30	8.8	466	4.0
4 Years 1 Day - 5 Years	353	8.2	595	9.0	28	12.2	30	8.8	1,006	8.7
5 Years 1 Bay - 5 Years	189	4.4	307	4.6	7	3.0	12	3.5	515	4.5
6 Years 1 Day - 7 Years	150	3.5	221	3.3	8	- 3.5	16	4.7	395	3.4
7 Years 1 Day - 8 Years	136	3.1	267	4.0	4	1.7	13	3.8	420	-3.6
8 Years 1 Day - 9 Years	. 95	2.2	158	2.4	6	2.6	6	1.8	265	2.3
9 Years 1 Day - 10 Years	347	8.0	559	8.4	- 21	4.8	16	4.7	933	8.1
10 Years 1 Day - 20 Years	739	17.1	1,191	18.0	24	10.4	44	12.9	1,998	17.3
20 Years 1 Day - 30 Years	439	10.2	807	12.1	14	6.1	14	4.1	1,274	11.0
Over 30 Years	159	3.7	282	4.2	- 1	0.4	0	0.0+	442	3.8
Life v/10-Yrs. Parole Elig.	185	4.3	239	3.6	3	1.3	6	1.8	433	3.8
Life w/20-Yrs. Parole Elig.	201	4.7	261	3.9	21	9.1	17	5.0	500	4.3
Doath	20	0.5	25	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0*	45	0.4
					-		-			
TOTAL	4,312	100.1	6,650	99.9	230	99.8	341	100.1	11,533	99.9
	· · · · ·							·		
Average Sentence Longth <sup>2</sup>	11 Yrs. 8	Mos.	12 Yrs.	5 Mos.	7 Yrs.	1 Ho.	6 Yrs.	B Mos.	11 Yrs. 1	1 Mos

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup>Percentage does not equal 100% due to rounding.

 $^{2}$  This average does not include life, death and YOA sentences.

\*Percentage is less than 0.1%

de The total inmate population excludes 733 YOA parolees who are also under SCDC's jurisdiction, and are included in budgetary considerations.

Figure 19



### ACE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATE POPULATIONA, AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

	HALE					FEHA				
•	W	White		Non-White -		lta g	Non-	white o	10	<u>FAL</u>
AGEL	Humbor	Parcent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percanc	Number	Percent
Voder 17	2	0.0**	6	0.1	0	0.0	Ó	0.0	8	0.1
17 - 19	270	6.3	359	5.4	9	3.9	10	2.9	648	5.6
20 - 24	984	22.8	1,613	24.3	37	16.1	81	23.8	2,715	23.5
25 - 29	1,065	24.7	1,827	27.5	66	28.7	84	24.6	3,042	26.4
39 - 34	727	16.9	1,385	20.8	43	18.7	79	23.2	2,234	19.4
35 - 39	512	11.9	784	11.0	38	16.5	55	. 16.1	1,389	12,0
40 - 44	314	7.3	326	4.9	16	7.0	12	3.5	668	5.0
45 - 49	165	3.8	147	2.2	۵ ا	3.5	8	2.3	328	2.8
50 - 54	115	2.7	83	1.2	1 7	3.0	8	2.3	213	1.8
55 - 59	79	1.8	-34	0.8	4	1.7	3	0.9	140	1.2
60 - 64	41	1.0	30	0.5	1 1	0.4	0	0.0	74	0.6
63 - 69	15	0.3	24	0.4	0	0.0	1	0.3	40	: 0.3
0 6 Over	 21	0.5	12	0.2	1	0.4	0	0.0	34	0.3
otal.	4,312	100.0	6,650	100.1	230	99.9	341	99.9	11,533	99.0

### SPECIAL AGE

GROUPING

	and the second				
17	35	42	1	7	85
10 and Over	4,275	6,602	229	334	11,440
21 and Ovor	3,881	6,035	218	323	10,457
24 and Under	1,256	1,978	46	91	3,371
62 and Ovar	60	49	1	1 .	111
65 and Over	36	36	1	1	74
AVERAGE AGE	31	30	32	30	30
					and the second second

ź

Source: Division of Ropource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>This distribution roflocts the age of innates as of June 30, 1986.

4

<sup>2</sup>Percontage distribution does not equal 100% due to rounding.

"The EDEal inmate population excludes 733 YOA parolees who are also under SCDC's jurisdiction, and are included in budgetary considerations.

\*\*Percentage is less than 0.1%

### Figure 20



. .

#### MALE FEMALE Unite White Non-White TOTAL Non-White AGE AT TIME OF ADMISSION Number Percent Lamber | Percent Number | Percent Number | Percent Under 17 0.4 32 0.5 0 0.0 2 0.6 53 0.5 19 17 - 19 628 14.6 1,105 16.6 19 25 7.3 1,777 15.4 8.3 20 - 24 1,315 30.5 2,207 33.2 50 22.7 94 27.6 3,666 31.8 22.5 25 - 29 895 20.8 ,565 23.5 49 21.3 64 24.6 2,593 30 - 34 539 12.5 993 13.4 53 23.0 65 19.4 1,551 13.4 35 - 39 377 8.7 423 65 13.2 876 7.6 6.4 32 13.5 40 - 66 220 5.1 199 3.0 9 11 3.2 639 3.8 3.9 1.4 7 45 - 49 128 96 7. 238 2.1 3.0 3.0 2.0 50 - 54 82 1.9 63 9.9 2.6 З 0.9 154 1.3 δ 55 - 59 102 61 1.4 36 0.5 4 3.2 3 0.9 0.9 68 - 64 20 0.6 18 0.3 1 0.4 0 0.0 47 0.4 65 - 69 8 0.2 9 0.1 0 0.0 1 0.3 18 0.2 70 & Over 12 0.3 6 0.1 1 0.4 0 0.0 19 0.2 TOTAL 4,312 100.0 6.650 99.9 230 99.8 341 100.0 11,533 200.1

#### AGE AT TIME OF ADMISSION OF SCAC TOTAL IMMANE POPULATION. AS OF JUNE 30. 1985

SPECIAL AGE

GROUPING

	}	1	5 }	1	
17	143	233	5	9	390
18 and Over	4,150	6,385	225	330	11.090
21 and Over	3,372	5.055	203	302	8,932
24 and Under	1,962	3,344	69	121	5,496
62 and Over	36	24	1	1	62
65 and Over	20	15	<u> </u>	1	37
AVERAGE AGE	28 Years	26 Yours	30 Years	29 Years	28 Years

Source: Dividion of Resource and Information Management.

Percentage distribution does not equal 100% due to rounding.

"The total insets population excludes 733 YOA percless who are also under SCDC's jurisdiction, and are included in budgetary considerations.

### Figure 21



TABLE 20 Custody Grade Distribution by Committing Correctional Region, Raca and Sex of SCDC Total Inmate Population\*, As of June 30, 1986

	1	MA	LE			FEMAI	Æ		1	
CUSTODY GRADE		ita 1		White		ita ,	Non-1	white 1	TO	
CUSTODY GRADE	Number	Percent	Number	Percont	Number	Percent'	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
ppalachian Correctional Region	:					1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -				
AA Trusty	221	10.8	266	12.6	40	37.4	26	21.3	553	12.6
A Trusty	1,001		1,112	52.6	32	29.9	40	32.8	2,185	49.9
D Modium										
	451	22.1	543	25.7	29	27.1	53	43.4	1,076	24.6
C Close	68	3.3	41	1.9	2	1.9	1	0.8	112	2.6
H Maximum	126	6.2	89	4.2	2	1.9	0	0,0	217	5.0
Intako	33	1.6	23	1.1	2	1.9	2	1.6	60	1.4
Protective	129	6.3	38	1.8	Ö	0.0	0	0.0	167	3.8
Semi-Trusty	1	0.044	1	0.0**	Ó	0.0	0	. 0.0	2	0.044
Health Problems	8	0.4	2	0.1	ō	0.0	ō	0.0	10	0.2
OTAL	2,038		2,115	100.0	107	100.1	122	99.9	4,382	100.1
	2,010	77.0	2,115	100.0	107	100.1	122	33.3	4,302	100.1
Idlands Correctional Begion	1.0-									
AA Trusty	135	13.7	252	11.5	15	25.4	31	24.2	433	12.9
A Trusty	395	40.2	939	43.8	15	25.4	53	41.4	1,423	42 3
B Medium	283	28.7	206	32.2	25	42.4	40	31.3	1,054	31.3
C Close	57	5.8	86	3.9	3	5.1	3	2.3	149	4.4
H Maximum	42	4.4	102	4.7	Ī	1.7	ī	0.8	147	4.4
Intako	25	2.5	33	1.5	ō	0.0	ô	0.0	58	1.7
Protectivo	39	4.0	27	1.2	ŏ	0.0	ŏ	0.0	66	2.0
Semi-Trusty	7	0.7	21	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	0.8
Nealth Problems	0	0.0	5	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	0.1
DTAL	985	100.0	2,191	100.0	59	100.0	128	100.0	3,363	99.9
sastal Correctional Region		<u>†</u> ~		<u> </u>					f	<u> </u>
AA Trusty	192	14.9	335	14.3	21	32.8	23	25.3	571	15.1
A Trusty	505	39.3	956	40.8	19	29.7	29	31.9	1,509	39.9
B Medium	381									
		29.6	783	33.4	22	34.4	37	40.7	1,223	32.3
C Close	69	5.4	86	3.7	2	3.1	2	2.2	159	4.2
M Maximum	41	3.2	91	3.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	132	3.5
Intako	45	3.5	49	2.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	94	2.5
Protoctivo	41	3.2	13	0.6	l o	0.0	0	0.0	54	1.4
Sen1-Trusty	11	0.9	29	1.2	ō	0.0	Ō	0.0	40	1.1
Nealth Problems	Ō	0.0	ĩ	0.0**	ő	0.0	ŏ	0.0	1 i	0.0**
REALD LUGADLO		0.0	\$	0.0**		0.0		0,0		0.044
OTAL	1,285	100.0	2,343	100.0	64	100.0	91	100.1	3,783	100.0
ut-of-State										
AA Trusty	1	25.0	0	0.0	) 0	0.0	0	0,0	1	20.0
A Trusty	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	- 1	20.0
D Madium	ō	0.0	ĩ	100.0	ō	0.0	0	0.0	i	20.0
C Clope	2	50.0	ô	0.0	Ğ	0.0	ŏ	0.0	2	40.0
									ó	
N Haximum	0	0.0	.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0
Intaka	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Protective	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Scal-Trusty	0	0.0	0	0.0	l o	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Realth Problems	0	0.0	0	0.0	Ō	0.0	Ó	0.0	0	0.0
OTAL	4	100.0	1.	100.0	o	0.0	o	0.0	5	100.0
CDC Total		ļ		L			}		L	ļ
CDC Total AA Trusty	749	12.7	853	12.8	76	33.0	80	23.5	1,558	13.5
	1 005	14.1	0.03							
A Trusty	1,903		3,027	45.5	66	28.7	122	35.8	5,118	44.4
D Medium	2.0 8		2,033	30.6	76	33.0	130	38.1	3,354	29.1
C Closs	196	4.5	213	3.2	4 7	3.0	6	1.8	422	3.7
M Marinua	210	4.9	282	4.2	3	1.3	1	0.3	496	4.3
	103	2.4	105	1.6	2	0.9	2	0.6	212	1.8
	a 14 - 3		78	1.2	i ő i	0.0	ō	0.0	287	2.5
Intako	200					1 0.0		1 0.0	1 201	1 4.2
Intako Protoctiva	209	4.8					<b>`</b> ^	1 'A A I	*^	1 o 4
Intako Protoctive Bomi-Truaty	19	0.4	51	0.8	0	0.0	0	0,0	70	0.6
Intako Protoctive					0		0	0,0 0.0	70 16	0.6

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management Percentage distribution may not equal 1002 due to rounding. <sup>4</sup> The total inmate population excludes 733 YOA paroless who are under SCDC's jurisdiction and included in budgetary consideration. <sup>4</sup> Percentage is loss than 0.12.



r/	₩B	LE	. 2	I
Lź	m	ĿĽ	. 4	1

		М	ALE		{	FEMA	LE		-	·
	W	hite	Non-	White	Wh	ite	Non-	White	TO	TAL
PLANNING DISTRICTS	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 500	27.5	1 200	20.0	-	26.5		26.7	2.164	27 6
I. Appalachian	1,599	37.1	1,390	20.9	84	36.5	91	26.7	3,164	27.4
II. Upper Savannah	173	4.0	426	6.4	10	4.3	- 10	2.9	619	5.4
III. Catawba	405	9.4	495	7.4	22	9.6	34	10.0	956	8.3
IV. Central Midlands	406	9.4	1,036	15.6	26	11.3	73	21.4	1,541	13.4
V. Lover Savannah	247	5.7	561	8.4	19	8.3	35	- 10.3	862	7.5
VI. Santee Lynches	193	4.5	396	6.0	5	2.2	9	2.7	603	5.2
VII. Pee Dee	363	8.4	776	11.7	15	6.5	38	11.1	1,192	10.3
VIII. Waccamaw	340	7.9	451	6.8	22	9.6	15	4.4	828	7.2
IX. Tri-County	452	10.5	865	13.0	20	8.7	27	7.9	1,364	11.8
X. Low Country	130	3.0	253	3.8	7	3.0	9	2.6	399	3.5
Out-of-State	4	0.1	1	0.0**	0	0.0	· 0 ·	0.0	5	0.0**
		<u> </u>								
TOTAL	4,312	100.0	6,650	100.0	230	100.0	341	100.0	11,533	100.0

# COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS $^{\rm I}$ of SCDC total inmate population\*, AS of June 30, 1986

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Section F of the Appendix, page 115.

\*The total inmate population excludes 733 YOA parolees who are also under SCDC's jurisdiction, and are included in budgetary considerations.

\*\*Percentage is less than 0.1%

					Figure 2	3			
				SCDC TO	G PLANNIN DTAL INMA JUNE 30,	TE POPULA			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	)%	2%	4%	6%	8%	10%	12%	14%
	Appalachian								
	Upper Savannah					and a second field in some case	General Control Counted An		
	Catawba			and the second second					
	Central Midlands						$\square$		
	Lower Savannah		and the state	<u>J.</u>	2				
	Santee- Lynches								
	Pee Dee					3			
	Waccamaw	Ż							
	Tri-County								
	Low Country								
1	Out-of-Stat		niya seksa nagogu se severa na sa	: Go annormalist align - Materia	an a	va symanicanyou diavena 2000,000 au		und(11/10	
				Whit	0			lon-Whi	10

		MA	LE		<u> </u>	FEMA	LE	1		
	W	hite	Non-	White	Wh	lte	Non-V	<i>l</i> hite		TAL
JUDICIAL CIRCUITS	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1	125	2.9	296	4.5	7	3.0	18	5.3	446	3.9
2	195	4.5	285	4.3	14	6.1	16	4.7	510	4.4
3	178	4.1	451	6.8	5	2.2	15	4.4	649	5.6
4	211	4.9	375	5.6	6	2.6	18	5.3	610	5.3
5	235	5.4	831	12.5	13	5.6	54	15.8	1,133	9.8
6	158	-3.7	257	3.9	11	4.8	12	3.5	438	3.8
7	504	11.7	461	6.9	18	- 7.9 -	21	6.2	1,004	8.7
8	188	4.4	391	5.9	13	5.6	16	4.7	608	5.3
9	373	8.7	786	11.8	18	7.9	25	7.3	1,202	10.4
10	323	7.5	173	2.6	18	7.9	.5	1.5	519	4.5
11	174	4.0	242	3.6	- 10	4.3	12	3.5	- 438	3.8
12	152	3.5	400	6.0	9	3.9	21	6.2	582	5.0
13	- 771	17.9	756	11.4	48	20.9	63	18.5	1,638	14.2
14	135	3.1	314	4.7	. 7 .	3.0	11	3.2	467	4.0
15	320	7.4	333	5.0	21	9.1	10	2.9	684	5.9
16	266	6.2	298	4.5	12	5.2	24	7.0	600	5.2
Dut-of-State	4	0.1	1	0.0**	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	0.1
	-				-					
n, ny say 1990, na sana any ang										
FOTAL	4,312	100.0	6.650	100.0	230	100.0	341	100.0	11,533	99.9

### COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS<sup>1</sup> OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION\*, AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup>Counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Section G of the Appendix, page 117.

<sup>2</sup>Percentage distribution does not equal 100% due to rounding.

\*The total inmate population excludes 733 YOA parolees who are also under SCDC's jurisdiction, and are included in budgetary considerations.

\*\*Percentage is less than 0.1%

### Figure 24



TABLE 23
REMAINING TIME TO SERVE DEFORE EXPIRATION OF SENTENCE
OF GCDC TOTAL INNATE POPULATION.
as of June 30, 1986

l

		HALE				FEMALE			TOTAL	
REMAINING TIKEDO	Khite		Non-Chite		Hhite		Hon-Whito		1	· .
TO SERVE	Nueber	Porcant	Nueber	Percent	Nuchor	Percent	Hubber	Percent	Nucber	Parcent
Youthful Offendor (Indeterainate sentance)	350	B.1	442	6.6	12	5.2	- 10	2.9	814	7.1
3 aonths or less	309	7.2	44B	6.7	- 31	13.5	39	11.4	627	7.2
3 cos 1 day -6 aths.	273	6.3	387	5.8	16	7.0	34	10.0	710	6.2
6 oths 1 day - 9 oths.	216	5.0	344	5.2	21	9.1	35	10.3	616	5.3
9 aths 1 day - 12 oths.	105	4.3	324	4.9	15	6.5	19	5.6	543	4.7
1 yoar 1 day - 2 yoars	570	13.2	1,005	15.1	45	19.6	70	20.5	1,690	14.7
2 years 1 day - 3 years	441	10.2	706	10.6	20	9.7	33	9,7	1,200	10.4
3 years 1 day - 4 years	320	7.4	464	7.0	10	4.3	23	6.7	817	~7,1
4 years 1 day - 5 years	247	5.7		6.0	12	5.2	- 16	4.7	676	5.9
3 years 1 day - 6 years	180	4.2	310	4.7	4	1.7	. 9	2,6	503	4.4
6 years 1 day - 7 years	146	3.4		3.8	3	1.3	10	2.9	414	3.1
7 years 1 day - 0 years	125	2.9		2.8	4	1.7	5	1.5	321	2.
8 years 1 day = 9 years	91	2.1		2.7	2	0.9	5	1.5	276	2.4
years 1 day - 10 years	77	1.8		1.7	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	192	1.
10 years 1 day - 15 yrs.	240	5.6		5.3	9	0.4		2.1	606 197	5.
15 years 1 day - 20 yrs. 20 years 1 day - 30 yrs.	50 38	0.7		0.9	1	0.0	2	0.6	- 99	1.
•	18			0.5	1.	0.4	1	0.3	54	0.1
lver 30 years Isath/Liío	406	0.4			24	10.4	23	6.7	978	8.
isaca/lite Iotal Kiriber of Ikhates	4,312	۲,4 100	*****	100	230	10.4	23 341	100	11,533	104
د می هم هو هو هو هو هو هو هو ور ور ور ور ور ور می می می وی می می ور					*****		<b></b>			
WERASE TIME TO SERVE	4 YEARS	2 ROS	4 YEARS	2 1105	Z VEARS	7 NDS.	Z TEARS	8 KOS.	\$ YEARS	1 60.

\*Excludes Youthful Offenders and ingates with life and death sentences.

soFull impact for statutory, meritorious, and work credits as marned have been included; projections as to credits to be accrued have not been made in time remaining calculations.



Figure 25

		MALE				FEMALE			TOTAL		
	Whi	te		hite			Non	-White		• •	
TIHED SERVED	Number	Percent	Nuaber	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent	
				1				1			
3 months of less	516	20.1	535	16.4	69	31.6	91	28.3	1,211	19.0	
3 months 1 day - 6 months	420	16.4	570	17.4	- 44	20.2	87	27.0	1,121	17.6	
6 nonths 1 day - 9 sonths	318	12.4	370	11.3	- 26	11.9	29	9.0	743	11.7	
9 months 1 day - 12 months	165	6.4	199	6.1	19	8.7	11	3.4	394	6.2	
1 year 1 day - 2 years	449	17.5	- 594	18.2	40	18.3	50	15.5	1,133	17.8	
2 years 1 day - 3 years	220	8.6	278	8.5	6	2.7	16	5.0	520	8.2	
3 years 1 day - 4 years	147	5.7	203	6.2	8	3.7	12	3.7	370	5.8	
4 years 1 day - 5 years	88	3.4	108	3.3	··· 2 · ···	0.9	6	1.9	204	3.2	
5 years 1 day - 6 years	57	2.2	104	3.2	. <b>1</b>	0.5	11	3.4	173 -	2.7	
6 years 1 day - 7 years	44	1.7	64	2.0	1	0.5	4	1.2	113	1.8	
7 years 1 day - 8 years	36	1.4	50	1.5	0	0.0	1	. 0 <b>.</b> 3	87	1.4	
8 years 1 day - 9 years	31	1.2	47	1.4	2	0.9	0	0.0	80	1.3	
9 years 1 day - 10 years	24	0.9	40	1.2	-0	0.0	1	0.3	65	1.0	
10 years 1 day - 15 years	40	1.6	87	2.7	0	0.0	3	0.9	130	2.0	
15 years 1 day - 20 years	8	0.3	- 11 -	0.3	0	0.0	້ວັ	0.0	19	0.3	
20 years 1 day - 30 years	0	0.0	. 5	0.2	0	0.0	0	- 0.0	5	0.1	
Over 30 years	0	0.0	1	0.0*	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0*	
					·	1				an a	
TOTAL NUMBER OF INMATES	2,563	99.8	3,266	99.9	218	99.9	322	99.9	6,369	100.1	
			-					-			
AVERAGE TIME	1 Yr. 1	О Нов.	2 Yrs	1 Ma,	10	Hos.	- 1 Yr	. 2 Mos.	1 Yr	. 10 Mos.	

### DISTRIBUTION OF TIME SERVED BY SCDC INMATES RELEASED DURING FISCAL YEAR 1986 (July 1, 1985 - June 30, 1986)

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup>Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

\*Porcentoge is less than 0.1%.

10

Figure 26



G

			(JULY 1, 1985 TO JUN	E 30, 1986)			
Hork Credits Earned	YOA Parole	Parole by Probation Parole and Pardon Board	Expiration of Sentence	Other 1 Releases	Placed on Probation	EPA Reloases	Total
n/A	698	0	34	2	1	O	735
0 0	0	10	329	175	96	43	653
1 - 50	0	71	946	42	620	721	2,400
51 - 100	0	129	200	16	137	98	580
101 - 150	0	113	188	11	103	139	554
151 - 200	0	78	121	8	48	72	327
201 - 250	0	B7	87	3	15	62	254
251 - 300	O	60	62	0	16	57	215
301 - 350	0	56	41	0	10	29	136
351 - 400	0	43	27	0	4	20	94
401 - 450	0	40	24	0	5	14	. 83
451 - 500	0	37	26	3	4	13	83
501 - 550	0	33	12	a 0 <sup>a</sup>	1	4	50
551 - Over	0	141	44	2	6	12	205
TOTAL RELEASES	698	918	2,141	262	1,066	1,284	6,369
rotal work Credit Earned	0	269,275	191,596	4,892	61,341	118,830	645,934
AVERAGE CREDITS LABHED PER IVMATE RELEASED	0	293.3	91.8	29.5	58.2	92.5	117,2

#### TABLE 25 DISTRIBUTION BY WORK CREDITS EARNED AND TYPE OF RELEASE OF SCDC INMATES RELEASED DURING FY 1986 (JULY 1, 1985 TO JUNE 30, 1986)

1

ł

<sup>1</sup>Other releases include innate discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine or died.

41

<sup>2</sup>Innates who did not porticipate in resivational work program, and innates for whom work credits are not applicable are excluded from the computation of these averages.

93

## DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCOC INCLATES

## BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS FOR JULY I 1985 TO JUNE 30,1986

Job Description Job Description DAMER SUPERVISOR DOILER ROOM SUPERVISOR DATLER ROOM SUPERVISOR DATLER ROOM SUPERVISOR CAFETER SUPERVISOR INMATE GRIEVANCE CLEMM SCOC INM AD COOL REP DESIGN ENGINEER AD COOL ELECTRICIAN SUPERVISOR GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SUP GRADE SUPERVIS NT E AC MEAT/AIR COND. SUPERVISO INDUSTRI GRP/SECT LEAD INVERTORY SUPERVISOR MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR PAINTER SUPERVISOR PLUMBER SUPERVISOR PLOMER SUPERVISOR TEACHER ASSISTANT SUPER SHOP SUPERVISOR TEACHER ASSISTANT SUPER TRU DRIVER HAY >=1 1/2T WAREHOUSE SUPERVISOR MEAVY EQ OPER 01. SKILL HYY FARM EQ OPER 01. SKILL HYY FARM EQ OPEROI.SKIL BINDRY SUPERVISOR	Full-time Meredit	Full-Linz No credit	Part-time b/crodic	Part-Lice No credit	Totol Incates	Total Earning Credits	Total Ro. of credits	Average Ro. or credits per job
	AG	â	- 11	0	49	47 11	7058	161
DANER SUPERVISUR	192/ 193	â	ā	0	11	11 .	1216	150
DOILER ROOM SUPERVISUR	44	- 6	õ	íà.	ĨĠ	16	2554	200
DUTLER ADDA SUPERVISON	EO			r.	- 1 0 0	191	11860	167
CAFETER SUPER/SEDIOR CR	190	e	4	~	 	47	6388	236
CAPEDTER SUPERVISOR	47	0	U .	0	10	10	2606	145
THMATE GRIEVANCE CLEON	18	24	G	<i>'</i> G	· · 20 .		1209	135
STAC TAN AD COOL REP	9	0	0	U	2	2	96	96
ACCTAN FAGINEER AR CORL	ž	Q	0		. 1		5256	139
CLEAND CHORDNISBO	30	C	¢	0 *	38	30	0400	126
ELECTING CONCTONETION CHO	26	0	о — <b>О</b>	. O	26	20	3225	140
GENERAL CONSTRUCTION OF	Ď	6	0	0	2	2.	220	
GRADE SUPERVAS ME S HC	18	89 -	0	e	IB	10	2466	137
MEAT/AIR COND. SUPEROIS	10	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	5	0	130	129	16192	126
INDUSTRI GRP/SECT LEAD	120	<u>د</u> ۳	å	ŏ	62	62	8884 -	144
INVENTORY SUPERVISOR	02	- 1	Ň	- A -	123	123	17501	143
MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR	123	v	0	0	1	1	47	47
ABATTOIR MAINT SUPER	· 1 · · ·	. 0		0	24	a	4556	134
MASON SUPERVISOR	34	1	0	· y.	24	. D.	785	99
MATERTAL CUTT/MARK SUP	0	0	o	0	8		4253	138
DAYNTED SHPERVISOR	31	1	0	0	32	31	4514	137
ALUMACA CUPENVISAR	33	0	0	0	33	33	9019	149
PLUMBER SUPERISON	110	- 1	0	0	111	110	16309	163
PRUPESSIUMAL TERSONALS	180	1	1	0	101	180	29291	
SEDIUM DANDREEPEN	50	ō	1	1	51	51	5566	131
SHOP SUPERVISUA	27	1	ិតិ	0	38	180 51 37 5 55 35 15 39 60 21 4 1 5 1 267 271 5	4625	125
TEACHER ASSISTANT SUPEN	<i>తి</i>	â	õ	ō	5	5	690	138
LIBRARIAN/BOOKCOBILE OPER			õ		58	55	8565	156
DRIVER	85	. 3	Å	ŏ	36	35	4807	138
TRU DRIVER HAA >=1 1/51	30	0	ů,	0	15	15	2031	135
WAREHOUSE SUPERVISOR	15	0	- U .		30	39	5035	130
HELDING SUPERVISOR	39	0	0	v v	50	60	8440	-141
HEANY ED OPER DI. SKILL	60	0 O		U	00	21	2954	141
NNY FARM FO OPEROL SULL	21	Ô	0	0	· 21	21	2954 381	96
ATHDRY SHREAVISOR	4	0	0	0	4	4	301	116
DADA DOOD & PL SUP	1	0	0	0	<b>1</b>	1	116	120
DARK HUUM G IL OUT	5	0	0	0	5	5	599	120
FRESS SULEMATSON	1	0	· 0 · ·	0	1	1	120	
QUICA CUPY PRESSIAN	7	0	0	0	1	1 <b>1</b>	91	81
IVPESEITER SUP	267	2 .	0	0	269	267	31585	119
LITTER CUSINUL PROUMAL	271	ñ	ĩ	0	271	1 267 271	35070	134
SANITATION GUNNER PLUL	<u> </u>	Ň	ō	Ō	5	5	866	174
DOG HANDLER (SRILLED)	0	~	Ň	ñ	5	5	391	79
DEDTAL LAS. TECH PROFES	ð		Š.	Ň	A	4	397	100
DRAFTER (PROFESSIONAL)	Ģ			. ^	2	2	207	104
QUALITY-CONTROL TECH	2	0	0	0	1	· · · · ·	150	150
SEWING MACHINE REPAIRER	. 1	0	0	0	33	32	5085	159
CANTEEN SUPERVISOR	32	1	• 0 -		591	602	70125	117
WIDER BEI FASE	601	90	1	0			28560	119
CAT MON OF!	241	0	· O	0	248	241		131
SOCHICIAHAL PARALE	55	0	0	0	55	55 445	7167	131
PRUVIJIUNAL FANGLE	445	0	0	0	445	445	67759	
SUPER.FURL.	s.	1	O	- <b>O</b>	5	5	332	67
LIBRARIAM/BOOKCOBILE OPER DRIVER TRU DRIVER HVY >=1 1/2T WAREHOUSE SUPERVISOR WELDING SUPERVISOR HEAVY EQ OPER 01, SKILL NVY FARM EQ OPER01,SKIL BIDDRY SUPERVISOR DARK ROOM & PL SUP PRESS SUPERVISOR QUICK COPY PRESSMAN TYPESETTER SUP LITTER CONTROL PROGRAM SAMITATION WORKER PLCL DOG HANDLER (SKILLED) DEGTAL LAB. TECH PROFES DRAFTER (PROFESSIONAL) QUALITY-CONTROL TECH SEWING MACHINE REPAIRER CANTEEN SUPERVISOR WORK RELEASE EXT.WRK.REL PROVISIONAL PAROLE SUPER.FURL. EDUCATION RELEASE COMMUNITY TRADSIT SERV	19	î	õ	0	19	241 55 445 5 19	1717	91
UDELPLOYED COMM PROG PART		12	o o	0	79	67	7719	116

### DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCOC INMATES

LEVEL 2 Continued

BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS FOR JULY 1 1985 TO JUNE 30,1986

-			ا دی چه برب حف قو جو بو بو		EVELOLEVEL	2		***		
	Job Description	Full-time H/credit	Full-time No credit	Part-time W/credit	Part-time No credit	Total Inmates	Total Earning Credits	Total No. of credits	Average Ko. of credits per job	·: -
	MORTICULTURIST (GNHS)	G	0	0	0	6	6	981	164	
	HORTICULTURIST (GRND)	7		0	0	7	7	917	131	

## DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDINS EARNED BY SCDC INGATES

BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS FOR JULY 1 1985 TO JUNE 30,1986

LEVEL 3

	LEVEL 3	ə (# az 02 0# 03 07 07 07 07 65 02			ELOLEVEL 3		Total Earning	Total No.	Russes No. c4
	Job Boscription	Fuil-time H/crodit	Full-time to credit	Part-ties u/credit	Part-time No credit	Total Incotes	Credits	of credits	Average No. of credits per job
	BAKER	58	1	1	1	59	50	5044	105
		41	0	1	0	41	41	4149	102
•	DARBER DARBER	. 9	ò	ō	0	9	9	866	97
	BOILER OPERATOR	ž	Ö Ö	0	9	2	2	95	48
	BOOKKEEPER	30	2	ธั	4	48	44	3243	74
	BRICKMASON	15	· Õ · ·	ň	Ó	15	18	1189	80
	BUTCHER	34	õ	ō	1	34	34	3272	97
	CANTEEN OPERATOR	51	1	5	1	51	51	4235	84
•	CARPENTER	13	1	Â	1	14	13	1413	109
	CHAPLAIN ASSISTANT		.2	9 1	n -	126	124	11642	94
	CHIEF CLERK	124	. 4		4 1	27	27	2115	79
	CLASSROOM LEADER	26	1	å 0	ů, ř	33	31	3019	98
	COMMISSARY OPERATOR	31	2	v	e e	30	30	2588	87
	CONCRETE FIBISHER	30	1	U .	<b>U</b>	262	259	27049	105
	COOK	258	4	1	1		71	6978	99
	CUSTODIAL SUPERVISOR	71	1	1	1	72		5660	105
	DINING ROPA SUPERVISOR	54	1	0	Q	55	54	634	80
	DIP TANK OPERATOR	8	0	0	1	8	8		99
	DOG HANDLER	4	0	0	0	4	4	396	82
	DRAFTER	5	0	0	0	5	8	406	65
	BRIVER	1	0	0	0	1	1	65	
	ELECTRICIAN	47	1	1	0	48	48	3729	78
	FARM MACHINE OPERATOR	24	0	0	0	24	24	2073	
	CHILD ACTIVITY CTR TUTOR	1	0	0	0	- 1 -	. 1	43	-43
	FURNITURE ASSEEDLER	34	1	0	1	36	34	2599	77
	FURNITURE REPAIRER	10	ī	0	0	10	10	· 813	82
	GROUNDSKEEPER SUPERVISOR	86	2	0	0	88	86	7680	90
		6	ō	Ö	0	6	6	475	80
	HAND TOOL REPAIRER	11	ŏ	Ő.	0	11		927	85
	NYY EQ OPERO2 SEEI-SHIL	30	1	õ	ò	31	30	2822	95
	HOUSEKEEPER	2	ô	ŏ	ŏ	2	2	157	7.9
•	INSULATOR	49	1	ň	õ	. 50	49	4342	89
	INVENTORY CLERK	3	ō	ň	õ	3	3	197	66
	IRONWORKER	9 9	0	ŏ	ŏ	9	9	656	73
	LICENS TAG QUTY CTRL OP	-	0	ŏ	ŏ ·	33	33	2980	91
	LIVESTOCK CARETANER	33	0	ŏ	õ	2	2	124	62
	LOCKSMITH	2	6	12	2	238	231	17122	75
	BACHINE OPERATOR	220	0	12	6	5	5	354	71
	MATERIAL CUTTER/MARKER	5	0		1	2	2	148	74
	DATERIAL HANDLING EQ OP	2	•	0	<u>^</u>	25	25	2288	92
	BEAT CUTTER	25	0	0	Ň	71	69	5769	84
	RECHANIC	69	2		V A	9	5	753	84
	SENIOR SERV STAT ATTEND	9	0	0	v o	4	Ą	363	-91
	CILKING MACHINE OPERATOR	4	0	0	0	7	7	781	112
	DILK PROCESSOR	7	0	0	· • • •		45	4088	91
	PAINTER	45	. 1	1	0	46		109	- 55
	PATTERN MAKER	2	0	0	. 0	2	2	212	106
	PHOTOGRAPHER	2	0	0-	0	2	2		53
	DEKRE/PLATE ASSIST	1	0	- <b>O</b>	0	1	1	53	72
	PIPE FITTER	. 5	0	0	0	5	5	356	
	PLUMBER	40	3	. 1	1	43	40	3533	89
	PRINT MACHINE OPERATOR	3	0	0	0	3	3	200	67
	RADIO DISPATCHER	Ð	1	0	0	10	9	877	98

### DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES

## LEVEL 3 Continued

### BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS FOR JULY 1 1905 TO JUNE 30,1906

Press of Conciliant Concernant		cia dia dia 200 km km dia km cia d	LE	VEL=LEVEL	3			
Job Doscription	Full-time H/crodit	Full-time No credit	Part-time w/credit	Part-time No credit	Total Inmotes	Total Earning Credits	Total No. of credits	Average No. of credits per joh
RECREATION ASSISTANT	56	1	··· 1	0	60	59	6374	109
ROOFER	14	1	0	0	15	14	1263	91
SAFETY SECURITY CLERK	4	0	0	0	4	4	294	74
SECRETARY	8	. 1	C	0	8 -	8	509	77
SHIPP & RECEIVING CLERK	22	0	0	0	22	22	1862	85
SILK SCREEN OPERATOR	4	0	0	0	4	4	267	67
STOREKEEPER	23	1	0	0	24	23	1841	81
SWITCHBROARD OPERATOR	3	3	0	0	5	3	232	78
TEACHER ASSISTANT	70	6	1	1	76	70	5262	76
TIER KEEPER	10	1	0	1	11	10	967 -	97
TIMENEEPER	7	0	0	0	7	. 7	753	108
TRAY LINE SUPERVISOR	65	1 -	1	0	56	66	7307	111
TYPESETTER	1	0	0	0	1	1	50	50
UPHOLSTERER	12	0	0	0	12	12	967	81
VEGETAB PREPARATION SUP	27	0	0	0 .	27	27	2959	110
WARDKEEPER	176	2	1	1	178	177	18535	105
WAREHOUSE SUP ASSISTANT	7	0	<b>0</b>	0	7	7	398	57
WASTE TREATMENT SUPER	4	Ó	0	0	4	4	331	83
WELDER	31	1	0	0	31	31	2443	79
LITTER CONTROL PG PART	21	0	0	0	21	21	1586	76
LANDSCAPE GARDENER	54	0	. 0	0	54	54	4514	84
SANDBLASTER	9	Ó	1	0	9	9	644	72
LAMINATOR	6	0	0	0	6	5	278	47
PARA-PROF COUNSO1 SKILL	8	0	Ó	0	8	8	848	105
HORT SPEC GROWER, INSID	15	0	Ö	Ó	15	15	1347	90
DENTAL LAB TECH SKILLED	6	0	Ō -	. 0	6	6	397	67

-

97

## DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES

### BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS FOR JULY 1 1985 TO JUNE 30,1986

Jeb Baser1ptien	Full-tim N/credit	Full-time No sredit	e/credit	Part-Lice No credit	Total Inmates	Total Earning Credits	Total Kg. of credits	Average No. of credits per jo
			0			<b>_</b>	152	31
DARBER APPRENTICE	4	- <b>4</b>	1	5	12	S	104	5
BOILERMAKER NELPER	1	0	0	0	1	1	152	31
BOILER OPERATOR NELPER	5 -	2	1	0	6	5		45
BRICKEASON NELPER	44	17	1 3.4	18	01 5	46	2066	
CANTEEN OPERATOR NELPER	5	Ō	Ó	0	5	· 5	242	49
CARIEEN OPENNION MELPEN	27	<u>s</u>	0	7	39	27	1186	44
CARPENTER HELPER	5	1	Ô	1	5	5	165	33
COMMISSARY OPER HELPER		Ő	ŏ	ล้	Ğ	4	105	27
CONCRET FIUISNER HELPER	4	0	U		30 5 4	-		
DAIRY NELPER		0	0	0	9	9	522	58
DIP TANK OPERATO NELPER	3	0	0	1	4	3	122	41
DIP TAGE UPERATO NEEPEN	1	ñ	0	•	2	1.	39	39
DRAFTER NELPER	42	3	1	1	44	43	1957	-46
ELECTRICIAN MELPER		ŝ	1	13	36	16	664	42
FURNITURE ASSEMBLER HLP	15	0	Ô	1	7	7	325	47
FURNITURE REPAIR NELPER	7	U		i G	13	9	414	45
GATE ATTENDAUT	. 9	¢.	0		54 9		382	43
HAHLER	9	• 0 •	0	G		÷	448	45
HEAVY EQ OPERATOR HLPER	10	0	0	ŏ	10	10		10
INSTRUMENT FITTER HLPER	1	0	0	. 0	1	1	10	
TRONWORKER NELPER	1	0	0	• <b>O</b>	1	1	30	30
	ñ	Ó	0	1	6	6	247	<b>62</b>
LADINATOR NELPER	15	A	- <b>0</b>	1	19	15	778	52
LAUNDRY HELPER	53	â	õ	1	61	53	3002	57
LAUNDRY ROOM ATTENDANT	03		ŏ	5	22	21	1190	57
LIBRARY HELPER	21	1	e e	<u></u>	1	2	10	10
LINCEN TAG QU CTL OP HLP	1	0	0		15	15	740	50
ITVESTOCH CARETAKER NLP	10	• • • • <b>0</b> • • •	0	v			1543	45
MACHINE OPERATOR HELPER	36	1	1	1	37	36		44
MAILROOM CLERK	13		0	Ø	13	13	570	
MATERIAL CUT/MARK MLPER	2	0	0	0	2	2	54	27
LAIERIAL COIFMANN WAS SH	42	18	1	5	64	42	1914	45
MECHANIC HELPER	2	Ō	ñ	0	2	2	134	67
MEDICAL ORDERLY	4	ŏ	Å .	័ក៍	1	ī	<u>6</u> ·	6
MILLURIGHT HELPER	21		. 0	ŏ	23	21	1087	52
OFFICE CLERK		3	. U	Ň	20	18	812	46
PAINTER HELPER	19	2	0	0		8	232	29
PIPE FITTER HELPER	Î	- 1	0	0	8		1338	44
PLUMBER HELPER	31	1	1	- 1	33	31		25
PRINTING MACHINE OP HLP	1.	0	0	0	1	1	26	
RECEPTIONIST	1	0	0	· 0	1	1	16	16
RECREATION AIDE	57	3	1	3	52	57	3596	54
	4	1	- Õ	0	4	4	169	43
ROOFER NELPER	•	. 1	ŏ	1	11	10	495	50
SERVICE STAT ATTENDANT		2	ŏ	3	7	4	114	29
SHIP & RECEIVING CLA HLP	. 4	/ 0	<u>,</u>	ă de la companya de l	. i.	1	31	31
SILK SCREEN OPERATOR HLP	1		v	v v	5 5 <del>1</del> 5 5	1	4	· 4
STOCK CLERK	1	0	0	Ŷ	1		145	49
SUPPLY CLERK	3	0	0	• O	3	3		• •
TEACHER AIDE	48	15	1	1	62	46	2045	45
TIER KEEPER ASSISTANT	4	0	0	0	4	4	205	52
TOOL CLERK	. 8	4	1	2	13	· . 9 .	385	43
e An All date / A An An An A A A			0	· 0 ·	- 1	1	11	11

### DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES

LEVEL 5 Continued

86

BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS FOR JULY 1 1985 TO JUNE 30,1986

Jeb Dascription	Full-time H/credit	Full-time to credit	Part-time w/credit	Part-time No credit	Total Inmates	Total Earning Credits	Total Ho. of credits	Average No. of credits per job
UPHOLSTERER NELPER	12	· 0	0	- <b>O</b>	12	12	546	46
WARDKEEPER ASSISTANT	234	10	1	9	253	235	15165	65
WAREHOUSE ATTENDANT	16	0	0	0	16	16	587	37
WASTE TREATUT ASSISTANT	- 5.	0	0	0	5	- 5	185	38
WELDER HELPER	22	20	2	9	52	24	1066	- 45
AUTO BODY REPAIR HELPER	11	0	0	0	11	11	495	46
ELECTRONICS REPAIR HLPR	12	о на селото	0	0	12	12	559	47
CUST ATTON SC STATE HSE	2	0	0	0	2	2	51	25
CUST ATTON VISITING ROOM	42	··· 0 ··	0	0	42	42	2496	60
ADMIN, RUNNER/MESSENGER	50	3	0	2	54	50	2819	57
FOOD SERVICE AIDE	439	62	3	20	522	441	24611	56
CUSTODIAN NELPER	50	3	1	5	57	50	2845	57
SANDER	4	0	Ő	Ó	4	4	148	37
IORTICULT/SEEI-SKILLED	A	Ó	0	Ó	8	R	415	52

### DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARDED BY SCDC IDEATES

LEVEL 7

BY JDB ASSIGNMENTS FOR JULY 1 1985 TO JUNE 30,1995

	3 33 43 43 43 43 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48			EL-LEVEL	7			
deb Description	Full-time U/crodit	Full-tice No credit	Part-time u/credit	Part-time No credit	Total Inmates	Total Earning Credits	Total No. of credits	Average Ho. of credits per job
CLERK HELPER	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	3
COCSTRUCTION WORKER	1	1	0	0	2	- 1	20	20
CUSTODIAL WORKER	167	15	24	21	226	190	6789	36
ELEVATORY OPERATOR	2	0	0	0	1	1	16	16
FARD WORKER	33	4	G	0	36	33	950	29
GARGENT WORKER	0	0	G C	1	1	0	•	
GENERAL WORKER	421	109	20	99	637	441	15259	35
NORTICULTURE TRAIDEE	44	11	4	21	77	97	1509	33
Industries Trainee	27	1	0	0	27	27	801	30
LAUNDRY WORKER	16	6	0	6	20	15	349	· 24
MACHINE OPERATOR TRAINE	6	1	ĩ	3	9	7	97	14
ROAD MAINTENANCE WORNER	12	Ó	Ō	õ	12	12	212	18
RUNNER/MESSENGER	5	• 1	0	1	7	- 5	151	31
SABITATION WORKER	6	0	- <b>O</b>	0	6	6	88	15
WASH RACK ATTERDANT	. 6	0	0	0	6	6	114	19
AUTO BODY REPAIR TRAINE	29	4	4	10	44	32	944	30
CONSTRUCTION TRAINEE	42	15	10	19	84	51	1434	29
ELECTRICIAN TRAINEE	2	. 0	0	0	2	2	41	21
ELECTRONIC REPAIR TRNEE	3	0	0	0	3	3	07	29
NEAVY EQ MECHANIC TANEE	3	. 0	0	0	3	- 3	61	21
HEAVY EQ OPERATOR TRHEE	3	0	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	0	3	3	80	27
CECHADIC TRAIDEE	30	4	2	12	47	32	1005	32
WELDER TRAIDEE	4	10	4	8	25	8	154	20
LANDSCAPE LABORER	25	1	6	2	33	31	925	30

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Community Programs	
Inmate Flows	30-Day Pre-Release Program	Work Release, Educational Release, Federal Programs	Extended Work Release Program
Participants in Program at Beginning of Fiscal Year	109	712	226
Admitted During Fiscal Year	2,369	1,819	340
Total Loss During Fiscal Year	2, 281	1,806	399
Dismissed Released Paroled Transferred to Other Programs	88 1,413 645 135	339 571 281 615	24 78 156 141
Participants in Program at End of Fiscal Year	197	725	167

.

### TABLE 27

### Community Program Statistics, Fiscal Year 1986 (July 1, 1985 - June 30, 1986)

Source: The Division of Classification and Community Services.

100

<sup>1</sup>Please see Section D of the Appendix, Page 112 , for details of these programs.

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF INMATES ADMITTED TO SCDC UNDER THE 1975 ARMED ROBBERY ACT THE 1977 ACT SPECIFYING 20-YEAR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN LIFE SENTENCES (FY 1976 - 1986)\*

		1	SENTENCED UNDER BRERY ACT OF 1975			tenced Under A Life th 20-Year Parole Elig.	
Fiscal Year	Total Admission		Percent of Total Admissions	Average Sentence Length	Number	Percent of Total Admissions	
1976	5,408	249	4 = 5	18 years 1 month	N/A**		
1977	5,130	243	4.7	22 years 2 months	10	0.2	
1978	5,150	218	4.2	19 years 2 months	46	0.9	
1979	4,683	202	4.3	21 years 1 month	37	0.8	
1980	5,049	191	3.8	22 years	57	1.1	
1981	5,511	236	4.3	20 years 6 months	33	0.6	
1982	5,830	149	2.6	21 years 10 mos	53	0.9	
1983	6,378	176	2.8	22 years 8 months	51	0.8	
1984	6,209	174	2.8	23 years 3 months	58	0.9	
1985	6,730	203	3.0	23 years 8 months	52	0.8	
1986	7,397	168	2.3	20 years 8 months	64	0.9	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

\*Details of these two Acts are contained in Section I of the Appendix, page 120. \*\*Not Applicable--Act was not legislated until June 8, 1977.

TABLE 28

### TABLE 29 DEATH ROW STATISTICS, FISCAL YEAR 1986

Inmate Flows	MALE		FEM		
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	TOTAL
Total Number on Death Row				-	
at Beginning of Fiscal Year	17	22	0	0	39
Admitted During Fiscal Year	5	7	0	0	12
Total Loss During Fiscal Year	· . 1	5	0	. 0	6
Sentence Commuted	0	0	0	0	· · · 0
Retried and Released	0	0	0	· · · · · ·	
Resentenced	0	4	0	0	4
Death	0	· · 1	0.	0	1
Executed	1 <b>1</b>	0	0	0	. 1 *
Total Number on Death Row					
at End of Fiscal Year	21	24	0	σ	45
Average Age of Death Row Inmates	33 Yrs. 8 Mos.	27 Yrs. 8 Mos.			30 Yrs. 6 Mos.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Average Time Served on Death Row	3 Yrs. 4 Mos.	3 Yrs.		"	3 Yrs. 2 Mos.

SOURCE: Division of Resource and Information Management

102

1

\*This inmate was executed after 8 years, 25 days on death row.

of	White Male		Non-White Male		White Female		Non-White Female		TOTAL	
	Nusber	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent *	Number	Percent
	•								-	·
Security Personnel	805	22.3%	942	26.0%	178	4.9%	261	7.2%	2,186	60.4%
Non-Security Personnel	572	15.8%	216	6.0%	465	12.9%	178	4.9%	1,431	39.6%
SCDC Totaí	1,377	38.1%	1,158	32.0%	643	17.8%	439	12.1%	3,617	100.0%

DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC PERSONNEL BY SEX, RACE AND TYPE OF POSITION, AS OF JUNE 16, 1986

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup>Security personnel include all uniformed personnel: correctional officers, correctional officer assistant supervisors, correctional officer supervisors, and chief correctional officer supervisors.

\*Percentages are based on the grand total of 3,617 employees.



104

SCDC PERSONNEL BY RACE, SEX, AND TYPE OF POSITION,

	Number of Correctional Officers	Number of Correctional Officers Actually Aggigned Male Female   Total			Average Inmate 2	Number of Inmates Per Authorized Correctional	
Facilities	Authorized	male	recaic	10141	Population	Officer	
Appalachian Correctional Region	629	476	106	582	3,010	4.7	
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Center	15	- 11	103	13	184	12.2	
Catavba Work Release Conter	8	7	2	9	92	11.5	
Cross Anchor Correctional Institution	116	92	15	107	575	4.9	
creat among states passed the states			23	144	560	3.8	
Dutchmon Correctional Institution	147	121					
Givens Youth Correction Center	17	16	1	17	123	7.2	
Greenwood Correctional Center	17	-14	- 3	17	90	5.2	
Livenay Work Release Center	10	. 7	2	9	92	9.2	
Northsido Correctional Center	29	24	4	28	200	6.8	
Perry Correctional Institution	270	184	54	238	1,094	4.0	
Hidlands Correctional Region	1,230	957	256	1.213	5,586	3.3	
Aiken Youth Correction Center	44	36	10	46	291	6.6	
Campbell Work Release Center	12	10	2	12	148	12.3	
Central Correctional Institution	378	312	44	356	1,326	3.5	
Goodman Correctional Institution	39	36	11	47	321	8.2	
Kirkland Correctional Institution	257	212	36	248	926	3.6	
Lover Savannah Hork Release Center	9	8	Ĩ	9	74	8.2	
Manning Correctional Institution	100	93	12	105	522	5.2	
Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center	77	68	8	76	274	3.5	
State Park Correctional Center	57	35	22	57	219	3.8	
Gerintric/Handicapped Unit	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	35	} 44	57	219	3.0	
Woman's Work Release Unit			· ·		-		
Stevenson Correctional Institution	40	32	7	39	171	4.2	
Halden Correctional Institution	32	26	6	32	211	6.5	
Wateree River Correctional Institution	81	66	13	77	622	7.6	
Hatking Pro-Release Center	17	- 14	3	17	133	7.8	
	87			92			
Homen's Corrictional Centar	87	11	81	92	348	4.0	
Constal Correctional Region	391	275	64	339	703	2.0	
Coastal Work Release Center	16	13	3	16	146	9.1	
Lieber Corroctional Institution	309	208	54	262	* <b>*</b>	3.74	
MacDougell Youth Correction Center	57	47	6	53	460	8.0	
Palmer Hork Release Center	9	7	1	8	97	10.7	
TOTAL SCDC FACILITIES	2,250 3	1,708	426	2,134 4	9,299*	4.2	

Distribution of SCDC Security Strength by Facility, As of June 16, 1986<sup>1</sup>

Source: Division of Personnel Administration and Training

<sup>1</sup>This date is closest to the end of the period in which information for developing this table is available.

### <sup>2</sup>Fiscal year overage.

- <sup>3</sup>This number excludes 33 authorized for the Byrnas Clinical Center, two for the Get Smart Team, and one for Lieber Corroctional Institution not yet opened, one Appalachian Regional Office.
- <sup>6</sup>This number excludes 33 assigned to Byrnes Clinical Center, two on the Gat Smart Team, and 18 at the McCormick Correctional Institution not yet opened.

\*Although Lieber Correctional Institution was not officially opened until July 1, 1986, innates were housed there from June 11 due to Melson Suit Compliance, with an average daily population of 116.

### APPENDIX -

- A. Statutory Authority of the South Carolina Department of Corrections
- B. Youthful Offender Act
- C. Programs and Services Administered by the South Carolina Department of Corrections
- D. Community Programs
- E. Legislation Relating to Supervised Furlough
- F. Counties Comprising South Carolina Planning Districts and Regions
- G. Counties Comprising South Carolina Judicial Circuits
- H. Offense Classification
- I. Legislation Relating to Minimum Sentencing/Parole Eligibility For Armed Robbery and Murder
- J. FY 1985 Legislation Affecting the Department of Corrections

### APPENDIX A

### STATUTORY AUTHORITY OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA

### DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The South Carolina Department of Corrections was created in 1960 by Section 55-292, South Carolina Code of Laws as follows: "There is hereby created as an administrative agency of the State government the Department of Corrections. The functions of the Department shall be to implement and carry out the policy of the State with respect to its prison system, as set forth in 55-291, and the performance of such other duties and matters as may be delegated to it pursuant to Law."

Section 55-291 as referred to in Section 55-292 sets out the Declaration of Policy as follows: "It shall be the policy of this State in the operation and management of the Department of Corrections to manage and conduct the Department in such a manner as will be consistent with the operation of a modern prison system and with the view of making the system self-sustaining, and that those convicted of violating the law and sentenced to a term in the State Penitentiary shall have humane treatment, and be given opportunity, encouragement and training in the matter of reformation."

Further significant statutory authority was provided the Department by Section 14, Part II, the permanent provisions of the 1974-75 General Appropriations Act which was signed on June 28, 1974. Section 14 is, in effect, an amendment of Section 55-321 and places all prisoners convicted of an offense against the State in the custody of the Department when their sentences exceed three months. The text of the statute is as follows:

"Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 55-321 of the 1962 Code, or any other provision of law, any person convicted of an offense against the State of South Carolina shall be in the custody of the Board of Corrections of the State of South Carolina, and the Board shall designate the place of confinement where the sentence shall be served.

The Board may designate as a place of confinement any available, suitable and appropriate institution or facility, including a county jail or work camp whether maintained by the State Department of Corrections or otherwise, but the consent of the officials in charge of the county institutions so designated shall be first obtained. Provided, that if imprisonment for three months or less is ordered by the court as the punishment, all persons so convicted shall be placed
in the custody, supervision and control of the appropriate officials of the county wherein the sentence was pronounced, if such county has facilities suitable for confinement."

This statute was amended by an added provision in the 1975-76 General Appropriations Act to provide for notification to the Department of Corrections of the closing of county prison facilities as follows: "Section 14, Part II, of Act 1136 of 1974 is amended by adding the following proviso at the end thereof: Provided, further, that the Department of Corrections shall be notified by the county officials concerned not less than six months prior to the closing of any county prison facility which would result in the transfer of the prisoners of the county facility to facilities of the Department."

#### APPENDIX B

#### YOUTHFUL OFFENDER ACT

The Youthful Offender Act provides for indeterminate sentencing of offenders between the ages of 17 and 21, extended to 25 with offender consent. The specific provisions of the Act are as follows:

Section 5b - This section allows the court to release the youthful offender to the custody of the Department's Division of Classification and Community Services prior to sentencing for an observation and evaluation period of not more than 60 days.

Section 5c - This section allows the court to sentence the youthful offender, between 17 and 21, without his consent, indefinitely to the custody of the Department's Division of Classification and Community Services for treatment and supervision until discharge. The period of such custody will not exceed six years. If the offender has reached 21 years of age but is less than 25 years of age, he may be sentenced in accordance with the above procedure if he consents thereto in writing.

Section 5d - This section provides that if the court finds that the youthful offender will not derive benefits from treatment, it may sentence the youthful offender under any other applicable provision.

# APPENDIX C

# ROGRAMS AND SERVICES ADMINISTERED BY THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

# RESPONSIBLE DIVISION

## PROGRAM AREA/ACTIVITY

Human Services

Psychological Services; Social Work Services; Sexual Offender Treatment Program; Recreation Services; Horticultural Services; Pastoral Care Services; Morris Village and Alston Wilkes Furlough Programs; S. C. Department of Vocational Rehabilitation Inter-Agency Agreement; Volunteer and Child Activity Services.

Medical/Dental Outpatient Services; Infirmary Services; General Surgery and Orthopedic Surgery; Internal Medicine; Psychiatric Services; Optometric and Ophthalmology

#### Health Services

Classification and Community Services Services; Physical Therapy Referral Services -- Dermatology, Neurology and Urology Services, Pharmacy and Medical Laboratory. Classification and Assignment; Work Release; Extended Work Release; 30-Day Pre-Release; Short-Term Work Release; Youthful Offender Referrals; Educational Release; Federal Offender Referrals; Employment Program; Economic Development Pilot Program; Provisional Parolees Referrals; Inmate Furlough; Casework; Presentence Investigation; Institutional Services; Parole and

Aftercare Services for Youthful Offenders; Supervised Furlough and Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act Releases; R&E Intake Assessment. Internal Affairs and Inspections (Inmate Relations Branch)

.

Investigate and evaluate complaints concerning inmates and submit recommendations when necessary; assist inmates who have been unable to resolve problems through existing channels.

# APPENDIX D

## COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

- 1. 30-Day Pre-Release Program: All inmates who are to be released from SCDC or provisionally paroled. This program offers participants a series of pre-release training sessions at the Watkins Pre-Release Center and the Blue Ridge Community Pre-Release Center. Inmates on the 30-Day Pre-Release Program do not work in the community.
- 2. Regular Work Release, Short-Term Work Release, Educational Release, Federal Referral Programs: Inmates participating in the Short-Term Work Release, Regular Work Release, Educational Release, and Federal Referral Programs work in the community during the day and reside in SCDC work centers. These programs have similar selection criteria but differ in terms of the inmates' remaining time to serve before eligible for parole or other forms of release. The Federal Bureau of Prisons refers some of their inmates to SCDC who are legal residents of South Carolina and meet all the criteria for the SCDC Regular Work Release Program. For details on the programs' respective eligibility requirements, users of this report should consult the Division of Classification and Community Services.
- 3. Extended Work Release Program: This program allows the exceptional work release inmate to continue employment in the community and reside with an approved community sponsor. Program participants continue to be responsible to the work release center assigned and are maintained as authorized absentees. Information on eligibility criteria can be obtained from the Division of Classification and Community Services.

### APPENDIX E

#### LEGISLATION RELATING TO SUPERVISED FURLOUGH

<u>Supervised Furlough I</u>: Pursuant to Section 24-13-710, <u>Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976</u>, the Supervised Furlough Program provides for first-time or second-time offenders committed to the South Carolina Department of Corrections with a total sentence of over one year but not more than five years, for crimes other than (1) Murder, (2) Armed Robbery, (3) Criminal Sexual Assault, (4) Assault and Battery with Intent to Kill, (5) Drug Trafficking, or (6) Kidnapping, to be released on furlough under the supervision of the Department of Parole and Community Corrections. These carefully selected and screened offenders have the privilege of residing in an approved residence and continuing treatment, or employment in the community until parole eligibility or expiration of sentence. Additional eligibility requirements for program placement include, but are not limited to, the following:

> Maintain a clear disciplinary record for at least six months prior to consideration for program placement;

Demonstrate a general desire to become a law-abiding member of society;

Have an identifiable need for and willingness to participate in community-based programs and rehabilitative services.

Supervised Furlough II (also Section 24-13-710): By the authority granted under Article 9, Chapter 13 of Title 24, 1976 Code as amended upon approval by the Governor on June 13, 1983, the South Carolina Department of Corrections and the South Carolina Department of Parole and Community Corrections have developed a cooperative agreement for the operation of the Supervised Furlough Program. The Act provides for the placement of inmates who qualify under the criteria to be placed on furlough under the supervision of the Department of Parole and Community Corrections. In order to be released under the Supervised Furlough II Program, an inmate: must not have been sentenced to life imprisonment; must not have been sentenced for any of the following crimes--Murder, Armed Robbery, Criminal Sexual Assault (1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree), Assault and Battery with Intent to Kill, Kidnapping, Drug Trafficking, Non-Support, Contempt of Court, Violation of the Habitual Offender Act, Lewd act on a Child, Assault with Intent to Commit Criminal Sexual

3.

1.

2.

Conduct, Felony DUI, Voluntary Manslaughter, Involuntary Manslaughter or Accessory Before the Fact of any of the above offenses; must not have any pending holds, wanteds, or detainers; must not be confined to lock-up for investigation or disciplinary action on placement date; must not be released directly from Psychiatric Unit; must not have been removed from participation in a community program during the six months prior to Supervised Furlough eligibility date; must not have been sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act; must not have a pending adjustment committee action; must not be a participant in the Extended Work Release Program; must not have escaped during the six months prior to Supervised Furlough eligibility date; must have a claimed place of residence with a verifiable address within the State of South Carolina which is approved by the Department of Parole and Community Corrections; must be within six months of the expiration of sentence; must have served at least six months toward the sentence; must have maintained a clear disciplinary record for at least six months prior to eligibility date; and must have served the minimum time of a mandatory minimum sentence.

# APPENDIX F

# COUNTIES COMPRISING SOUTH CAROLINA PLANNING DISTRICTS AND CORRECTIONAL REGIONS

# APPALACHIAN REGION

Planning District I (Appalachian) Anderson Cherokee Greenville Oconee Pickens Spartanburg Planning District II (Upper Savannah) Abbeville Edgefield Greenwood Laurens McCormick Saluda

Planning District III (Catawba)\* Union York

### MIDLANDS REGION

Planning District III (Catawba)\* Chester Lancaster Planning District IV (Central Midlands) Fairfield Lexington Newberry Richland

Planning District V (Lower Savannah) Aiken Allendale Bamberg Barnwell Calhoun Orangeburg Planning District VI (Santee-Wateree) Clarendon Kershaw Lee Sumter

\*This district is distributed among the Appalachian and Midlands Correctional Regions

Planning District VII (Pee Dee) Chesterfield Darlington Dillon Florence Marion Marlboro

115

# COASTAL REGION

Planning District VIII (Waccamaw) Georgetown Horry Williamsburg Planning District IX (Berkeley-Charleston-Berkeley) Berkeley Charleston Dorchester

Planning District X (Low Country) Beaufort Colleton Hampton Jasper

# APPENDIX G

# COUNTIES COMPRISING SOUTH CAROLINA JUDICIAL CIRCUITS

- Judicial Circuit #1 Calhoun Dorchester Orangeburg
- Judicial Circuit #2 Aiken Bamberg Barnwell
- Judicial Circuit #3 Clarendon Lee Sumter Williamsburg
- Judicial Circuit #4 Chesterfield Darlington Dillon Marlboro
- Judicial Circuit #5 Kershaw Richland
- Judicial Circuit #6 Chester Fairfield Lancaster Hampton Jasper
- Judicial Circuit #7 Cherokee Spartanburg
- Judicial Circuit #8 Abbeville Greenwood Laurens Newberry

- Judicial Circuit #9 Charleston Berkeley
- Judicial Circuit #10 Anderson Oconee
- Judicial Circuit #11 Edgefield Lexington McCormick Saluda
- Judicial Circuit #12 Florence Marion

Judicial Circuit #13 Greenville Pickens

Judicial Circuit #14 Allendale Beaufort Colleton

Judicial Circuit #15 Georgetown Horry

Judicial Circuit #16 Union York

## APPENDIX H

# OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION (Alphabetized)

#### Anti-Truct

Arson

Assault Aggravated Assault/Family/Non-Family/ Public Officer, With or Without Weapon Intimidation Assault and Battery

Bribery Bribe Giving/Offering/Receiving Conflict of Interest Gratuity Giving/Offering/Receiving Kickback Giving/Offering/Receiving

#### Burglary

Forcible Entry to Residence/ Non-Residence Non-Forcible Entry to Residence/ Non-Residence Possession of Burglary Tools

Commercialized Sex Offenses Keeping/Frequenting House of Ill Fame Procurement for Prostitution Prostitution

Conservation Animals/Birds/Fish Environment License Stamp

#### Crimes Against Persons

Damage to Property Damage to Property (Business, Private, or Public Property) Damage to Business/Private/Public Property with Explosive

Dangerous Drugs Distribution/Sale/Possession/Smuggling of: Hallucinogen Heroin Opium Cocaine Synthetic Narcotics Marijuana Amphetamines Barbiturates Legend Drugs Possession of Narcotic Equipment

Drunkenness

Election Laws

#### Embezzlement

Extortion Blackmail by Threatening: Injury to Person Damage to Property Family Offenses Neglect Cruelty Toward Child/Wife Bigamy Contributing to Delinquency of Minor Non-Support

Flight/Escape Flight to Avoid Prosecution Aiding Prison Escape Harboring Escape Escape Attempted Escape

Forgery and Counterfeiting Forgery of Checks/ID Objects Passing/Distributing Counterfeit Items Forgery Free Text

Fraudulent Activities Swindling Mail Fraud Impersonation False Statement Fraudulent Use of Credit Cards Insufficient Funds for Checks

Gambling Bookmaking Card/Dice Operation Possession/Transportation/Non-Registration of Gambling Device/Goods Lottery Sports Tampering Transmitting Wager Information

Health/Safety Misbranded Drugs/Food/Cosmetics Adulterated Drugs/Food/Cosmetics

Homicide Willful Killing/Family/Non-Family Willful Killing/Public Officer Negligible Manslaughter w/Vehicle or Weapon Involuntary Manslaughter Voluntary Manslaughter Poisoning

Immigration Illegal Entry False Citizenship Smuggling Aliens

Invasion of Privacy Eavesdropping Information/Order Divulge Eavesdropping Equipment Open Sealed Communication Trespassing Wiretapping Telephone Harassment

## APPENDIX H (Continued)

Kidnspping Kidnspping for Ransom Kidnspping to Sexually Assault Hostage for Escape Abduction, No Ransom or Assault Hijacking Aircraft

Larceny Pursesnatching without Force Shoplifting Housebreaking Grand Larceny Pichpocket

License Violation Conducting Fumeral without License

Liquor Hanufacture/Sale/Possession of Liquor

Miscellaneous Crimes Accessory to a Felony Criminal Conspiracy Unremoved Container Door Keeping Child Out of School Misconduct in Office Possession of Tools for Crime Slander/Libel Tatooing

Horals/Decency Crimes

Obscene Materials Manufacture/Sale/Hail/Possession Distribution/Communication of Obscene Materials

Obstructing Justice Perjury Contempt of Court Misconduct of Judicial Officer Contempt of Congress/Legislature Parole/Probation/Conditional Release Violation Failure to Appear

Obstructing PoliceIncome/Sales/L:Resisting OfficerTraffic Offense:Obstructing Criminal InvestigationTraffic Offense:Making False ReportHit and RunEvidence DestroyingTransporting DiRefusing to Aid OfficerFelony DrivingUnauthorized Communication with PrisonerDriving Under:Failure to Report CrimeSuspension

#### **Property Crimes**

Public Peace Anarchism Engaging In/Inciting Riot Unlowful Assembly False Fire Alarm Harassing Communication Desecrating Flag Disorderly Conduct Distrubing the Peace Curfew Violation Littering Robbery Robbery of Business, With or Without Weapon Street Robbery, With or Without Weapon Pursesnatching Bank Robbery Highway Robbery Accessory to Armed Robbery Sex Offenses Fondling of Child Homosexual Act Incest Indecent Exposure Bestiality Peeping Tom Seduction Sexual Assault Rape, With or Without Weapon Sodomy Statutory Rape Carnal Abuse Buggery Intent to Ravish Smuggling Smuggling: Contraband In Prison To Avoid Paying Duty Stolen Property Sale of Stolen Property Transportation of Stolen Property Receiving/Possession of Stolen Property Stolen Vehicle Theft/Safe Stripping Stolen Vehicle Receiving Stolen Vehicle Interstate Transportation of Stolen Vehicle Aircraft Theft Unauthorized Use of Vehicle Tax Revenue Income/Sales/Liquor Tax Evasion Traffic Offenses Hit and Run Transporting Dangerous Material Felony Driving Under the Influence Suspension The influence of Drugs/Liquor Vagrancy Weapon Offenses Altering Weapon Corrying Concealed/Prohibited Weapon Teaching Use, Transporting or Using Incendiary Device/Explosives

Firing/Selling Weapon Threat to Burn/Bomb

# APPENDIX I

# LEGISLATION RELATING TO MINIMUM SENTENCING/PAROLE ELIGIBILITY FOR:

## 1. Armed Robbery

The Armed Robbery Act (Section 16-11-330, <u>South Carolina</u> <u>Code of Laws</u>, 1976), signed on June 24, 1975, pertains to the sentencing of armed robbers, and provides: "(1) for a mandatory ten year minimum sentence with seven years having to be served before parole eligibility; (2) for under twenty-one year old offenders sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act, a three year minimum sentence, all of which must be served; (3) that no person between the ages of twenty-one and twenty-five sentenced under the Act may be sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act; (4) that it shall be a misdemeanor for anyone to carry a concealed weapon anywhere other than on his own premises; and (5) that a person convicted of attempted robbery shall be sentenced to a term of no more than twenty years at the discretion of the judge."

#### 2. Murder

This Act, signed into law on June 8, 1977 (Section 16-3-20, <u>South Carolina</u> <u>Code of Laws</u>, 1976), provides: "that a person who is convicted of or pleads guilty to murder shall be punished by death or by life imprisonment and shall not be eligible for parole until the service of twenty years."

### APPENDIX J

## FY 1985 LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The following bills affecting SCDC were passed by the General Assembly in the Fiscal Year 1984-85 legislative session:

<u>H2120</u> A bill to amend Section 16-3-20, <u>Code of Laws</u> of <u>South Carolina</u>, <u>1976</u>, relating to the punishment for murder, so as to provide that when the Governor commutes a sentence of death, the commutee is not eligible for parole and to provide that no person sentenced for murder may receive any work release credits, good-time credits, or any other credit that would reduce the mandatory twenty-year imprisonment required by this section.

S258 A bill to amend Section 16-11-310, Code of Law of South Carolina, 1976, relating to burglary and to amend Article 5, Chapter 11 of Title 16 relating to offenses, against property by adding Sections 16-11-311, 16-11-312, and 16-11-313 so as to provide for the crimes of burglary in the first, second, and third degree, all of which are felonies, to provide penalties for violations, and to repeal Section 16-11-320 relating to the crime of housebreaking which is not burglary.