OR Sent 4-24-88





l Abuse and Neglect Statistics Annual Report-Fiscal Year 1986

: Department of Children and Family Services

#### U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

109821

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.



STATE OF ILLINOIS

DIRECTOR

## DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES

ONE NORTH OLD STATE CAPITOL PLAZA SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706

### About This Report ....

This is the Child Abuse and Neglect Statistical Report of the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services for fiscal year 1986. It presents a comprehensive picture of the problem in Illinois—its scope, nature, and seriousness—and of what the Department is doing to protect the state's children who are at risk.

In our efforts to assure the safety of these children, the Department has in the past several years implemented major improvements in the state system of reporting and tracking suspected cases of abuse and neglect. We have strengthened local investigation teams around the state, promoting an efficient, compassionate response to reports. Also, in view of a dramatic rise in the 1980s of reports of sexual abuse, the Department has established innovative programs designed to protect children as much as possible from further trauma while cases are investigated. There is a special section on sexual abuse in this report.

I urge you to study the report carefully, whether you do so as a concerned professional, a student, or a private citizen. In the past few decades we have seen increased societal awareness of child abuse and neglect, awareness which has been the impetus behind a concerted attack on the problem. It is my belief that such awareness, coupled with understanding, is the key to better protection of children and improved services for their families.

NCJRS

Gordon Johnson

JAN 12 1986

ACCUISITIONS

### Contents

Nature of the Problem/1 A. Definitions/1 · II. Magnitude of the Problem/1 A. Historical Perspective/1 Report Volume During Fiscal Year 1986/1 Increase of Children Reported as Abused or Neglected/1 Table 1. Reporting Rates in Illinois/2 Table 2. Table 3. Distribution by Region of Reports of Children Allegedly Abused or Neglected/2 Table 4. Distribution by County of Reports of Children Allegedly Abused or Neglected/3 Child Reports of Abuse/Neglect by Region/4 Figure 1. Table 5. Comparison of Reporting Rates by Type of County/5 Demographics/5 Demographic Characteristics of Children Reported as Abused or Neglected/5 Table 6. III. Confronting the Problem/6 A. Child Abuse Hotline and the State Central Register/6 Figure 2. Incoming Calls to the State Central Register/7 Table 7. Source of Reports by Region/8 Source of Reports by Type/9 Figure 3. B. Investigation of Reports of Suspected Child Abuse and Neglect/9 Table 8. Distribution by Region of Family Reports, Alleged Child Victims, and Harms/9 Figure 4. Types of Abuse and Neglect Harms Reported/10 Regional Distribution by Types of Reports/10 Table 9. Table 10. Distribution of Reports by Allegation/11 Figure 5. DCFS Regional Map/12 Table 11. Children Taken Into Temporary Protective Custody/13 IV. Results of Investigations/13 A. Finding Status of Reports/13 Figure 6. Percent of Indicated Harms/14 Regional Distribution of Child Victims in Indicated Investigations/14 Table 12. Table 12A. County Distribution of Child Victims in Indicated Investigations/15 Table 13. Results of Investigations of Family Reports by Region and Type of Report/16 Figure 7. Source of Indicated Reports/16 Table 13A. County Distribution of Indicated Family Reports/17 Table 14. Indicated Reports: Sources by Region/18 Fatalities/19 Table 15. Indicated Death Reports by Region/19 Table 16. Characteristics of Indicated Abuse/Neglect Deaths/19 Sexual Abuse Reports/20 Table 17. Number of Alleged Sexual Abuse Victims/20 Number of Alleged Sexual Abuse Reports and Alleged Child Victims by Region/20 Table 17A. Number of Alleged Sexual Abuse Reports—Alleged Child Victims by County/21 Table 17B. Table 18. Demographic Characteristics of Children in Indicated Sexual Abuse Reports/22 Table 19A. Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Relationship to Child Victim in Sexual Abuse Reports/22 Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Sex in Sexual Abuse Reports/22 Table 19B. Table 19C. Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Age in Sexual Abuse Reports/22 Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Race in Sexual Abuse Reports/22 Table 19D. D. Perpetrators/23 Table 20. Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Relationship to Child Victim in All Reports of Abuse/Neglect/23 Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Relationship to Child Victims in Abuse Reports Table 21. Table 22. Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Relationship to Child Victim in Neglect Reports Only/24 Service Delivery/24 Table 23. Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Age in All Reports of Abuse/Neglect/25 Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Race in All Reports of Abuse/Neglect/25 Table 24. V. Accomplishments in Fiscal Year 1986/25 VI. Looking Ahead/26

VII. Prevention/27

## Statistical Highlights

- In fiscal year 1986, 70,422 Illinois children were reported as victims of child abuse/neglect—a rate of 21.7 alleged victims per 1,000 children. (p. 1)
- Credible evidence was found that 33,959 children were abused and/or neglected—a rate of 10.5 victims per 1,000 children. (p. 2)
- Credible evidence of abuse and/or neglect was found for 48.2 percent of all reported victims. (p. 2)
- Of all indicated victims, 75 percent were abused or neglected by natural parents. (p. 23)
- More than two-thirds of all children reported were nine years of age or younger. (p. 5)
- A record 181,548 calls were received at the State Central Register child abuse hotline. (p. 7)
- Of all child abuse/neglect reports, 60 percent were made by persons required by law to report—"mandated reporters." (pp. 9, 16)
- The number of children taken into protective custody was 5,956. (p. 13) This was a 7.1 percent decrease over fiscal year 1985.
- Illinois experienced a 48 percent increase in substantiated child fatality reports. (p. 19)

#### A. Definitions

The Illinois Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act (P.A. 81-1077, effective July 1, 1980), the state's most recently enacted child abuse and neglect law, defines an "abused child" as:

- a child whose parent or immediate family member, or any person responsible for the child's welfare, or any individual residing in the same home as the child, or a paramour of the child's parent
  - a. inflicts, causes to be inflicted, or allows to be inflicted upon such child physical injury, by other than accidental means, which causes death, disfigurement, impairment of physical or emotional health, or loss or impairment of any bodily function;
  - b. creates a substantial risk of physical injury to such child by other than accidental means which would be likely to cause death, disfigurement, impairment of physical or emotional health, or loss or impairment of any bodily function;
  - c. commits or allows to be committed any sex offense against such child, as such sex offenses are defined in the Criminal Code of 1961, as amended, and extending those definitions of sex offenses to include children under 18 years of age;
  - d. commits or allows to be committed an act or acts of torture upon such child; or
  - e. inflicts excessive corporal punishment.

#### A "neglected child" according to the law means:

any child whose parent, or other person responsible for the child's welfare does not provide the proper or necessary support, education as required by law, or medical or other remedial care recognized under State law as necessary for a child's well-being; or other care necessary for his or her well-being, including adequate food, clothing and shelter; or who is abandoned by his or her parents or other person responsible for the child's welfare.


#### A. Historical Perspective

The number of Illinois children reported as victims of child abuse and neglect has increased enormously during the past 10 years. Fewer than 3,000 children were reported in fiscal year 1975, compared to nearly 70,000 children in fiscal year 1985.

#### B. Report Volume During Fiscal Year 1986

During state fiscal year 1986 (July 1, 1985 to June 30, 1986), 70,422 Illinois children were reported

Table 1
Increase of Children
Reported as Abused or Neglected
Fiscal Years 1976 through 1986



1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986

Fisca	al Year	Children Reported	Percent of Increase From Previous Year
1.000	976 °	6,734	41.1%
1	977	9,183	36.4%
	978	13,453	46.5%
1	979	24,807	84.4%
1	980	37,476	51.1%
1	981	51,548	37.5%
1	982	59,194	14.8%
1	983	63,432	7.2%
	984	67,058	5.7%
1	985	69,627	3.8%
	986	70,422	1,1%

as victims of child abuse and/or neglect. Illinois had a "reporting rate" in fiscal year 1986 of 21.7 alleged victims per 1,000 children (Table 2). In other words, about two percent of all Illinois children under 18 years of age were reported during the fiscal year as victims of suspected abuse and neglect.

Investigations conducted by the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) found that abuse or neglect allegations for 33,959 of the reported children were "indicated"—that is, credible evidence was gathered which would cause a reasonable person to believe a child was abused or neglected. Illinois had an "indicated rate" during fiscal year 1986 of 10.5 children per 1,000 children under 18 years of age. Less than half of all reported children were found to have been actually abused or neglected.

Figure 1 shows comparative figures on child abuse and neglect reports and reporting rates for the state's eight service regions for fiscal year 1986. The Chicago and Aurora regions, the two most populous regions in the state, have the lowest reporting rates (Table 3).

Reporting rates nationwide tend to be higher in rural areas than in urban and suburban areas. Suburban areas tend to have the lowest reporting rate. Table 4 provides data showing distribution of reports by Illinois county for the past four fiscal years and the reporting rate per 1,000 of each county's child population (0-18 years).

Nineteen Illinois counties have a population in excess of 100,000. The average reporting rate in these urban counties is 23.1 children per 1,000. In 14 rural counties with populations of less than

Table 2
Reporting Rates in Illinois
Fiscal Year 1986

Children under 18 years of age Reports to the State Central	3,243,037* Register	Indicated Reports Indicated family reports	20,143
Family reports to SCR	41,498	Victims with indicated allegations	33,959
Alleged victims listed in reports	70,422	Percent of family reports indicated	48.5
Reported incidence: reports of	<ul> <li>Base on other constitution of plans the color parameter of control of the control o</li></ul>	Percent of victims with indicated	transferent committee of 20 tolerables i 1999 anni 11 i altroni of
alleged victims per 1,000 children	21.7	allegations	48.2
*From 1980 U.S. Census		Indicated incidence: victims with indicated allegations per 1,000 children	ated 10.5

Table 3
Distribution by Region of Reports of Children Allegedly Abused or Neglected

	Fisc	al Year 19	84	Fisc	al Year 19	85	Fisc	al Year 19	86
Region	Number	Percent	Rate*	Number	Percent	Rate*	Number	Percent	Rate*
Rockford	4,008	6.0	23.3	4,168	6.0	24.3	4,347	6.2	25.3
Peoria	6,166	9.2	22.6	6,369	9.1	23.3	6,215	8.8	22.7
Aurora	9,122	13.6	14.6	9,654	13.9	15.4	9,656	13.7	15.4
Chicago	28,291	42.2	19,4	29,906	43.0	20.5	30,336	43.1	20.8
Springfield	4,101	6.1	25.3	4,017	5.8	24.8	4,165	5.9	25.3
Champaign	5,634	8.4	26.9	5,912	8.5	28.2	6,078	8.6	29.0
East St. Louis	5,505	8.2	29.3	5,504	7.9	29.3	5,566	7.9	29.6
Marion	4,232	6.3	28.0	4,097	5.9	27.1	4,059	5.8	26.8
Not Specified	ermania ayand firem by annu Singhabila ber abrey (A.) - (A.	Phonesis Indian Inc. They get the left being to a finish	there are an in the state of th	Control of the Contro	The state of the s	- And April 10 Comment of Comments of Comments	Co. com Season and Season in cold and desired and desired in the cold and desi	Committee in the committee and the committee of the commi	- Charles (Values 2) (Ar Ch. parallelining rid) (1 - charl 2 bear profilescon.
State	67,058	100.0	20.7	69,627	100.0	21.5	70,422	100.0	21.7

<sup>\*</sup>Rate per 1,000 of the region's children under age 18 (from 1980 U.S. Census)

<sup>1.</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, The National Study of the Incidence and Severity of Child Abuse and Neglect, Publication No. (OHDA) 81-30325, issued September 11, 1981.

Table 4
Distribution by County of Reports of Children Allegedly Abused and Neglected

Company   Number   Path   See   Number   Res		Fiscal 198	And the second	Fiscal	all of the	Fiscal 198	<ul> <li>21 (1) (1)</li> </ul>	Fiscal 198		1 6			Fiscal 1		Fiscal 1	1000	Fiscal	A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Fizcal	
Marche	County	17719337			. T. L. 1901-1990	·····································	4.1			著 ぎ	30 ° 1 30.11	County		10		. 5. 1. 19		and the first of the second	and the second of the second	42
Boord         67         28         6         18         7         18         7         12         12         Meson*         1.53         62         1.08         20         32         32         127         82           Brown*         13         72         22         22         13         23         21         Machine*         1.68         22         23         1.08         22         25         23         22         1.08         22         25         25         25         25         25         25         25         25         25         25         25         20         25         26         27         20 <th< td=""><td>Adams</td><td>629</td><td>31.8</td><td>645</td><td>32.6</td><td>596</td><td>30,2</td><td>510</td><td>25.8</td><td></td><td></td><td>Livingston</td><td>217</td><td>19.3</td><td>220</td><td>19.6</td><td>252</td><td>22.4</td><td>260</td><td>23,1</td></th<>	Adams	629	31.8	645	32.6	596	30,2	510	25.8			Livingston	217	19.3	220	19.6	252	22.4	260	23,1
Bosen	Alexander	223	62,2	209	58.2	169	47,1	223	62.2		ente Kont	Logan	176	22.0	175	21.8	196	24.5	184	23,0
Bounday   Grant   Company   Compan	Bond	97	22.8	80	18.8	71	16.7	73	17.2			Macon**	1,153	30.2	1,068	28.0	1,220	32.0	1,247	32.7
Bereal   S4	Boone	157	17.2	202	22.2	150	16.5	183	20,1	4		Macoupin	308	22.5	335	24.5	254	18,5	342	25.0
Carrell 66 6 164 114 247 119 227 101 123 Maison 168 163 139 315 22 124 80 179 Carrell 66 7 164 139 121 227 101 123 Maison 168 163 139 315 22 124 189 133 33 33 33 141 282 29 189 127 101 122 123 144 183 33 141 282 29 189 127 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128	Brown*	39	26.5	37	25.1	32	21.7	37	25.1			Madison**	:,993	28.5	2,051	29.3	1,992	28.4	1,956	27.9
Curroll   Curr	Bureau	234	20.9	208	18.5	223	19.9	236	21.0	1	10	Marion	358	29.1	379	30,8	431	35.0	432	35.1
Company   1,004   28.5   1,27	Calhoun*	26	16.0	11	6.8	16	9.9	14	8.6			Marshall	30	7.1	57	13.5	52	12.4	50	11.9
Chemperign   1,094   285   1,770   384   1,198   31.6   1,654   58.6   McDenicujn   246   51.4   251   52.1   288   58.6   255   22.5   22.5   22.1   27.7   27.7   28.5   McDenicujn   246   51.2   35.8   22.5   22.5   27.7   24.2   25.5   27.7   24.5   27.7   28.5   27.7   24.5   27.7   28.5   27.7   24.5   27.7   28.5   27.7   24.5   27.7   28.5   27.7   24.5   27.7   28.5   27.7   24.5   27.7   28.5   27.7   28.5   28.5   27.7   24.5   28.5   27.7   28.5   28.5   27.7   28.5   28.5   27.7   28.5	Carroll	86	16.4	114	21,7	119	22.7	101	19.3			Meson	108	18.3	150	25.4	132	22.3	184	31.1
Chinistan  246  235  247  248  248	Cass	154	35.9	121	28.2	98	22.8	89	20.7		.,	Massac	131	33.5	136	34.8	123	31.4	138	35.3
Clinic   Se	Champaign **	1,084	28.5	1,273	33.4	1,198	31,5	1,354	35.6	9		McDonough	246	31,4	251	32.1	288	36.8	255	32.6
Cliston   88   21.2   120   29.0   64   22.7   94   22.7   Menard   53   15.5   37   10.8   72   21.1   74   21.7	Christian	245	23.5	262	25.4	211	20.4	277	26.8			McHenry**	625	13.3	593	12.6	669	14.2	653	13.9
Coling   115   112   155   15.1   159   15.5   122   11.9   Morory   86   14.6   39   15.4   91   15.4   72   12.2	Clark	52	11.7	80	17.7	68	15.0	89	19.7	÷		McLean**	695	23,7	694	23.7	758	25.9	717	24.5
Coling   115   112   155   15.1   159   15.5   122   11.9   Morory   86   14.6   39   15.4   91   15.4   72   12.2	Clay	88	21.2	120	29.0	94	22.7	94	22,7			Menard	53	15.5	37	10.8	72	21.1	74	21.7
Cook** 25,181 17.9 28,291 19.4 29,008 20.5 30,335 20.8 Montgomey 177 00.4 227 26.1 180 20.7 20.9 24.0 Crawford 57 10.6 Se 17.8 69 12.8 125 23.1 Mongan 261 25.9 233 25.1 246 24.4 253 25.1 DeValb 333 19.8 335 19.5 339 19.8 403 23.5 Ogle 198 14.1 272 19.3 245 17.4 296 21.0 DeValb 35 18.6 181 15.8 14.3 27.9 11.4 22.3 Peroin** 1.529 26.8 1,574 277 1,41 28.9 1,500 26.8 1.0 DeValb 10 19.4 11.5 20.3 19.6 16.9 11.5 20.3 Peroy 1.2 19.9 14.2 23 Peroin** 1.529 26.8 1,574 277 1,41 28.9 1,500 26.8 1.0 DeValge** 1.304 7.1 1,462 7.5 1,680 8.3 1,603 8.2 Pist 54 11.0 56 11.4 11.4 23.3 61 12.5 Edgar 23 37.4 122 30.5 139 23.3 22 33.9 Pist 127 25.2 14.5 28.8 12.2 24.4 84 16.7 Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 18.8 19.9 24.1 19.9 24.1 19.9 Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 20.3 134 22.3 152.2 24.4 Peroin** 1.529 19.8 13.9 13.3 12.9 12.4 19.9 24.1 19.9 Edwards 24 19.7 58 27.2 20.3 134 22.3 152.2 24.4 Peroin** 1.4 7.7 23 15.4 19.9 24 19.9 Edwards 25 34.0 12.2 20.3 134 22.3 152.2 24.4 Peroin** 1.4 7.7 23 15.4 17.7 15.5 17.5 17.7 16.8 Found 10.0 12.4 133 24.2 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5		115	11.2	155	15.1	159	15.5	122	11,9	÷ .		Mercer	86	14.6	38	16.4	91	15.4	72	12.2
Crawford	Coles	200	36.6	388	33.2		39.3	483				Monroe	56	9.6	72	12,3	45	7.7	31	5.3
Crawford	Cook**	26.181	17.9	28.291	19.4		20.5	30,336				Montgomery	177	20.4	227	26.1	180	20,7	209	24,0
Cumberhand   70   21.1   62   18.7   68   20.5   72   21.7   Moultule   96   21.7   46   11.5   83   20.7   68   22.0	A Company of the State of	2 5 5 T 42				1000	2 37 - 0							25.9		25.1	246	24.4	253	and the second of the second
Devict   Devict   Se   16.6   St   16.8   14.5   27.9   11.4   22.2   Peorita**   1.625   26.8   1,574   27.7   1,641   28.9   1,620   28.8   27.9   1.620   28.8   27.9   1.620   28.8   27.9   1.620   28.8   27.9   1.620   28.8   27.9   1.620   28.8   27.9   27.5   1.621   28.9   1.620   28.8   27.9   28.9												M. State of the State			46	11.5	83	20.7	88	22.0
Delivitit 65 16.6 61 15.8 14.9 27.9 11.4 22.3 Peoria* 1.525 28.8 1.574 27.7 1.641 28.9 1.550 28.8 Douglas 110 19.4 115 20.3 96 16.9 115 20.3 Perry 12.3 19.9 15.3 24.8 14.9 24.2 96 15.6 DuPage* 1.384 7.1 1.482 7.6 1.500 8.6 11.5 20.3 Perry 12.3 19.9 15.3 24.8 14.9 24.2 96 15.6 DuPage* 22.3 77.4 18.2 30.5 13.9 23.3 20.2 33.9 Pike 127 25.2 14.5 28.8 123 24.4 04 16.7 Edwards 42 19.7 38 27.2 56 16.9 28 13.1 Pope* 23 19.1 16 13.3 24 19.9 24. 19.9 24. 19.9 15.6 Edwards 42 19.7 38 27.2 56 16.9 19.5 20.1 Pulsahi* 15.5 5.5 5.5 12.8 48.4 19.9 24.1 19.9 15.6 Ford 70 16.8 17.4 170 17.6 195 20.1 Pulsahi* 15.5 5.5 13.5 15.1 12.8 48.4 14.8 14.5 15.6 Ford 70 16.8 19.1 21.9 73 17.5 92 22.1 Rendolph 156 16.6 101 10.7 16.5 17.5 177 18.8 Franklin 25.5 28.8 28.8 28.9 28.9 24.6 21.5 24.3 21.2 Richland* 101 21.4 13.3 28.2 14.9 14.6 12.6 Edwards 25.5 3.1 12.5 5.3 31.2 24.4 30.3 24.6 Rock island** 1.57 12.3 14.5 25.5 13.5 25.5 31.2 24.4 30.3 24.6 Rock island** 1.57 12.2 25.3 11.4 12.0 25.5 31.2 24.4 30.3 24.6 Rock island** 1.57 12.3 12.5 12.5 13.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12	A Thirty and so have been	raja ca filipi i se				100			100000					100		1 1000	the season of the	3 (24)	ing the first	hard better the
Douglas 110 19.4 115 20.3 96 16.9 115 20.3 Perry 123 19.9 153 24.8 149 24.2 96 15.6 Du-Bage** 1,384 7.1 1,482 7.6 1,680 8.3 1,663 8.2 Plat 54 11.0 56 11.4 114 23.3 61 12.5 Edgar 223 37.4 182 30.5 139 23.3 202 33.9 Pike 127 25.2 145 28.8 123 24.4 84 16.7 Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 35 16.9 28 13.1 Pipe** 23 19.1 16 13.3 24 182 30.5 139 24 18.9 16.7 Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 35 16.9 28 13.1 Pipe** 23 19.1 16 13.3 24 18.9 24 18.9 18.9 18.9 Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 35 16.9 28 13.1 Pipe** 23 19.1 16 13.3 24 18.9 24 18.9 Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 35 16.9 28 13.1 Pope** 23 19.1 16 13.3 24 19.9 24 18.9 Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 35 16.9 28 13.1 Pope** 23 19.1 16 13.3 24 19.9 24 18.9 Edwards 42 19.7 58 15.4 27 14.8 12.8 18.9 19.9 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19.1 19				- 24 7						· .				1000						
DuPage** 1,384 7.1 1,482 7.6 1,680 8.3 1,693 8.2 Plut 54 11.0 56 11.4 114 23.3 61 12.5 Edgar 223 37.4 182 30.5 139 23.3 202 33.9 Plke 127 25.2 145 28.8 123 24.4 84 16.7 Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 136 16.9 23 19.1 16 13.3 12.2 4.4 19.9 24 19.	and the second second second	H 111 W - 41		er their in a	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	Section 1		W			and the second	for the second		100		350 To 1		e in a transfer	المطالب والمادات
Edgar   223 37.4   182 30.5   139 23.3   202 33.9   Pike   127 25.2   145 28.8   123 24.4   84 16.7		And the second									1,4									
Edwards 42 19.7 58 27.2 56 16.9 28 13.1 Pope* 23 19.1 16 13.3 24 19.9 24 19.9 Effingham 214 22.1 188 17.4 170 17.6 195 20.1 Pulsski* 158 59.8 138 51.5 128 48.4 94 31.8 Fayette 205 34.0 122 20.3 134 22.3 135 22.4 Putnam* 14 7.7 28 15.4 27 14.8 12 6.6 Ford 70 16.8 91 21.9 73 17.5 92 22.1 Flandoigh 156 16.8 101 10.7 155 17.5 17.7 18.8 Franklin 285 25.8 286 25.9 246 21.5 243 21.2 Flichland 101 21.4 133 28.2 149 31.6 130 27.5 Fullon 272 22.1 311 25.5 301 24.4 303 24.6 Flook Island** 101 21.4 133 28.2 149 31.6 130 27.5 Fullon 77 16.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon** 1,229 25.4 13.36 27.6 14.43 29.8 15.52 31.7 Grundy 123 13.2 112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schoyler** 40 17.7 40 17.7 28 12.4 43 19.0 Hamilton** 55 24.2 37 16.2 43 18.9 41 18.0 Scott** 25 15.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 34 20.7 Hancock 135 20.5 100 15.2 138 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 12.2 133 19.3 133 19.3 13.9 20.2 14.6 14.6 14.6 15.3 3.4 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 32.2 Stark** 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 31.57 37.6 Heinderson** 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St.Clair** 3,103 38.9 3,006 35.8 3,007 35.6 28.3 13.1 Henry 144 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 18.6 365 26.2 344 26.0 30 22.9 Floogulas 235 25.2 174 18.7 16.3 17.5 188 29.3 11.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9 13.9 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9 132 38.6 13.0 14.2 12.5 13.0 14.5 11.0 16.8 Warren 103 15.5 115 18.4 109 17.3 100 18.8 100 18.8 Warren 103 15.5 115 18.4 109 17.3 112 18.0 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9 132 31.3 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9 132 38.8 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 266 49.8 181 38.9					1.00	A 1541 - 15	1979 - 477	200 (200 ) 100				er billion of the billion	Company and Company				5 4 5	3 1 1 1		44.5
Effingham 214 22.1 168 17.4 170 17.6 195 20.1 Pulaski* 158 9.8 136 51.5 128 48.4 84 31.8 Fayette 205 34.0 122 20.3 134 22.3 135 22.4 Putnam* 14 7.7 28 15.4 27 14.8 12 6.6 Ford 70 16.8 91 21.9 73 17.5 92 22.1 Randchph 156 16.6 101 10.7 165 17.5 17.5 177 18.8 Franklin 295 25.8 296 25.9 246 21.5 243 21.2 Richland 101 21.4 133 28.2 149 31.6 350 27.5 Franklin 295 25.8 296 25.9 246 21.5 24.3 21.2 Richland 101 21.4 133 28.2 14.9 31.6 350 27.5 12.2 Gallatin* 67 31.6 77 36.3 48 22.6 41 19.3 Saline 297 37.7 22.2 31.4 180 25.5 241 34.1 Greene 77 16.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon* 1,229 25.4 1,336 27.6 1.443 29.8 1,532 31.7 Grundy 123 13.2 112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schuyer* 40 17.7 40 17.7 28 12.4 43 18.0 18.0 Hamilton* 55 24.2 37 16.2 43 18.9 41 10.0 Scott* 25 15.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 34 20.7 Handlin* 55 33.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Slaf* 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3.15 13.4 Henderson* 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 SLClair* 31.0 389 3,006 35.8 30.07 35.8 28 13.1 Henderson* 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 SLClair* 31.0 36 36 36.0 30.8 30.0 35.4 22.0 34 48.5 17.5 18.3 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell* 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 682 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 43.8 392 31.1 Unlon 144 34.8 206 48.8 161 38.9 152 38.8 Jackson 22 28.6 22.8 28.9 302 22.6 28.7 28.1 28.1 Vermillen* 17.0 10.0 17.0 10.0 17.8 10.0 16.8 10.0 16.8 Warren 10.3 16.5 115 18.4 10.3 17.3 112 18.0 Jackson 22 28.8 22.8 28.9 30.2 28.6 28.5 28.1 Wayne 85 17.5 115 18.4 10.3 17.3 112 18.0 Jackson 416 23.3 13.3 10.7 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 24 28.6 89 24.1 42.9 27.5 35.8 491 24.4 18.0 Jackson 43.0 26.6 50.0 823 25.9 80.2 25.2 857 28.3 Wayne 85 17.9 11.0 28.3 17.5 10.1 21.2 12.6 18.3 10.0 16.8 Warren 10.3 16.5 115 18.4 10.3 17.3 112 18.0 Jackson* 43.0 26.6 50.0 823 25.9 80.2 25.2 857 28.3 Wayne 85 17.9 11.0 28.3 17.0 10.1 21.2 12.0 12.2 12.6 18.3 10.0 16.8 Warren 10.3 16.5 115 18.4 10.3 17.3 112 18.0 Jackson* 43.0 26.6 50.0 823 25.9 80.2 25.2 857 28.3 Wayne 85 17.9 11.0 14.0 28.3 17.5 10.1 21.2 12.0 14.0 14.0 15.1 17.0 10.0 28.3 17.5 10.1 21.2 12.0 14.0 14.0 15.1 17	the man and a second of the					2.500		1.00		٠, .		one and the state of the state		4. 4.						
Fayethe 205 34.0 122 20.3 134 22.3 135 22.4 Putnam* 14 7.7 28 15.4 27 14.8 12 6.6 Ford 70 18.8 91 21.9 73 17.5 92 22.1 Banddoph 156 18.6 101 10.7 165 17.5 177 18.8 Franklin 265 25.8 266 25.9 246 21.5 24.3 21.2 Richland 101 21.4 133 28.2 14.9 31.6 130 27.5 Fullon 272 22.1 311 25.5 301 24.4 30.3 24.6 Rickland** 1.167 25.3 1.185 25.3 12.53 26.7 1.228 26.2 Gallain** 67 31.6 77 36.3 48 22.6 14 19.3 Saline 267 37.7 222 31.4 180 25.5 241 34.1 Greene 77 18.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon** 1,222 25.4 1,338 27.6 1,443 29.8 15.52 31.7 Grandy 123 13.2 1112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schuylet* 40 17.7 40 17.7 26 12.4 43 19.0 Hamilton** 55 24.2 37 18.2 43 18.9 41 18.0 Scott** 25 15.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 42 20.7 Hamilton** 55 24.2 37 18.2 243 18.9 41 18.0 Scott** 25 15.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 44 20.7 Hamilton** 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Stark** 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 31.57 37.6 Henderson** 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St.Clair** 3,103 88.9 3.006 35.8 3.007 35.8 28.1 Henderson** 19 14 11.1 203 11.6 22.1 12.6 25.1 14.3 Slephenson 277 19.8 38.9 3.006 35.8 20.0 32.0 22.9 Froquis** 23 25.2 174 18.7 18.8 20.5 17.5 18.8 20.2 Tazevell*** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 682 21.5 Jackson 418 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 39.2 31.1 Union 144 34.8 20.6 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 418 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 39.2 31.1 Union 144 34.8 20.6 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 22 28.8 29 30.2 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Jackson 10 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 109 17.3 112 16.0 Jackson 430 26.2 50.0 80.2 22.5 837 26.3 Wayne 85 17.9 11.6 22.1 10.0 26.3 77 20.3 31.3 Jackson** 1.9 12.5 10.8 15.0 11.9 16.5 11.2 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Jackson 430 26.6 506 30.8 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 39 26.6 386 26.1 42.9 20.7 53.5 32.9 Lake*** 1.9 12.4 2.0 65 25.0 80.2 22.5 28.3 25.5 80.0 80.2 25.5 837 26.3 Williamson 39 26.6 386 26.1 42.9 20.7 53.5 32.9 Lake*** 1.7 17.0 106 17.8 10.0 16.8 25.5 22.5 Williamson 39 26.6 386 26.1 42.9 20.7 53.5 32.9 Lake*** 1.7 17.0 10.0 67 2.1 10.0 65.9 22.4 Williamson 39 26.	Advanced States	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							7.8							Allen Comm	and provided	40.00	distance of the	engelig of the co
Ford 70 16.8 91 21.9 73 17.5 92 22.1 Randolph 156 16.8 101 10.7 165 17.5 17.7 18.8 Franklin 295 25.8 296 25.9 246 21.5 243 21.2 Richland 101 21.4 133 28.2 149 31.6 130 27.5 Fulton 272 22.1 31. 25.5 301 24.4 303 24.6 Rock Island**167 25.3 1.185 25.3 1.283 26.7 1,228 26.2 Gallatin* 67 31.6 77 36.5 30 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon** 1.229 25.4 1,335 27.7 222 31.4 180 25.5 23.1 25.0 31. 25.0 25.7 1,228 26.2 Gallatin** 67 31.6 77 36.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon** 1,229 25.4 1,336 27.6 1,443 28.8 1,522 31.7 Grundy 123 13.2 112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schuyler** 40 17.7 40 17.7 28 12.4 43 19.0 Hamilton** 55 24.2 37 16.2 43 18.9 41 18.0 Scott** 25 15.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 34 20.7 Hancock 138 20.5 100 15.2 136 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 21.2 133 19.3 133 19.3 139 20.2 Hardin** 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Stark** 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3,157 37.6 Henderson** 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St. Clair** 3,103 36.9 3,006 35.8 3,007 35.6 28 13.1 Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 Roquois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 852 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 3.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 15.3 38.4 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 3.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 17.3 12.3 3.4 Jackson 52 21.5 16.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermillon** 1.015 37.1 998 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jackson 52 21.5 16.8 15.0 11.9 16.5 11.5 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson** 52 21.5 66 27.4 20.9 23.5 25.2 837 25.5 22.55 25.7 White 49 21.8 96 22.2 110 22.5 12.0 12.2 12.2 83 14.0 12.2 12.5 12.2 83 14.0 12.2 12.5 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2				de la			4.4													
Franklin 285 25.8 296 25.9 246 21.5 243 21.2 Flichland 101 21.4 133 28.2 149 31.6 130 27.5 Fulton 272 22.1 311 25.5 301 24.4 303 24.6 Rock Island** .187 25.3 1.185 25.3 1.285 26.7 1.288 28.2 Gallatin* 67 31.6 77 36.3 48 22.6 41 19.3 Saline 267 37.7 222 31.4 180 25.5 24.1 34.1 Greene 77 16.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon** 1,279 25.4 1,336 27.8 1,443 29.8 15.7 24.1 34.1 Greene 77 16.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon** 1,279 25.4 1,336 27.8 1,443 29.8 15.7 24.1 31.7 Grundy 123 13.2 1112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schuyler** 40 17.7 40 17.7 26 12.4 43 19.0 Hamilton** 55 24.2 37 18.2 43 18.9 41 18.0 Scott** 25 15.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 34 20.7 Hancock 135 20.5 100 15.2 138 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 21.2 133 18.3 133 19.3 139 20.2 Hardin** 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Slutch*** 3,103 38.9 3.006 35.8 30.07 35.8 28 13.1 Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Slephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 Iroguois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 183 20.2 Tazewell*** 799 20.0 835 22.3 835 20.8 882 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 161 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.8 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson** 52 21.6 68 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kankson** 827 26.0 823 25.9 23.2 25.2 25.2 25.7 25.2 Vivilia 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankskee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 23.3 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.3 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 12.6 12.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Kankskee*** 827 26.0 823 25.9 22.3 25.2 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 18.3 Kankskee*** 827 26.0 823 25.9 22.3 22.3 25.2 25.5 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankskee*** 827 26.0 823 25.8 25.2 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 18.3 16.0 689 24.4 Winnebago*** 1,861 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 17.5 12.2 12.4 12.8 12.3 13.3				1000		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	and the second	1 2 6 7	e na jiji si d	i i		200				2.1				ara na sana
Fulton 272 22.1 311 25.5 301 24.4 303 24.6 Rock Island** .,187 25.3 1,185 25.3 1,253 26.7 1,228 26.2 Gallatin** 67 31.6 77 66.3 48 22.6 41 19.3 Saline 267 37.7 222 31.4 180 25.5 241 34.1 Greene 77 16.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon** 1,229 25.4 1,336 27.6 1,443 29.8 1,532 31.7 Grundy 123 13.2 112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schuyler* 40 17.7 40 17.7 28 12.4 43 19.0 Hamilton** 55 24.2 37 16.2 43 18.9 41 18.0 Scott** 25 15.2 18.1 11.0 32 19.5 34 20.7 Hancock 135 20.5 100 15.2 136 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 21.2 133 19.3 133 19.3 139 20.2 Hardin** 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Stark** 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3,157 37.6 Henderson** 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St. Clair** 3,103 38.9 3,006 35.8 3,007 35.6 28 13.1 Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 logoulos 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 882 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jackson 222 28.8 295 28.9 30.2 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 28.3 77 20.3 Jefferson 292 28.8 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 28.3 77 20.3 Jefferson 292 28.6 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 28.3 77 20.3 Jefferson 52 21.6 68 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,28 25.5 2,255 25.7 While 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 2	a marriage of the	4.44					4.00													
Gallatin* 67 31.6 77 36.3 48 22.6 41 19.3 Saline 267 37.7 222 31.4 180 25.5 241 34.1  Greene 77 16.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon* 1,229 25.4 1,336 27.6 1,443 29.8 1,532 31.7  Grundy 123 13.2 112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schuyler* 40 17.7 40 17.7 28 12.4 43 19.0  Hamilton* 55 24.2 37 16.2 138 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 21.2 133 19.3 133 19.3 133 20.2  Hardin* 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Stark* 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3,157 37.6  Henderson* 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St. Clair** 3,103 36.9 3,006 35.8 3,007 35.8 28 13.1  Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9  Iroquois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 882 21.5  Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 38.8  Jasper 51 15.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermillion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3  Jefferson 292 28.6 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 28.3 77 20.3  Jersey 101 17.0 108 17.8 100 18.8 100 18.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0  Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9  Johnson* 62 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2  Kane*** 1,961 22.4 2,033 23.9 2,286 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8  Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Willeside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4  Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 95, 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,75 27.5  Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 11 11 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6	Self-condition at the control								300 m 380	d e			A second	11.15						and the property files
Greene 77 16.5 103 22.0 114 24.4 86 18.4 Sangamon** 1,229 25.4 1,336 27.6 1,443 29.8 1,532 31.7 Grundy 123 13.2 112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schuyler* 40 17.7 40 17.7 28 12.4 43 19.0 Hamilton* 55 24.2 37 16.2 43 18.9 41 18.0 Scot* 25 15.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 34 20.7 Hancock 135 20.5 100 15.2 136 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 21.2 133 19.3 133 19.3 139 19.3 139 20.2 Hardin* 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Stark* 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3,157 37.6 Henderson* 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 48 33.2 Stark* 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3,157 37.6 Henderson* 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 Iroguois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 882 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jasper 51 16.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermilion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jefferson 292 28.6 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankake** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Williason 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1.83 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,755 12.3 Knox** 1,737 13.0 2,654 15.4 2,131 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake*** 1,737 13.0 2,654 15.4 2,131 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake*** 1,737 13.0 2,654 15.4 2,131 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake*** 1,737 13.0 2,654 15.4 2,131 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake*** 1,737 13.0 2,654 15.4 2,131 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.5 23.5 23.5 23.5 23.1 Lake*** 1,737 13.0 2,654 15.4 2,131 16.0 689 22.4 Willia	and the second					ب خاند میکند می		and the second											marriero ala artico	10.00
Grundy 123 13.2 112 12.0 135 14.5 117 12.6 Schuyler* 40 17.7 40 17.7 28 12.4 43 19.0 Hamilton* 55 24.2 37 16.2 43 18.9 41 18.0 Scott* 25 15.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 34 20.7 Hancock 135 20.5 100 15.2 138 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 21.2 133 19.3 133 19.3 133 19.3 139 20.2 Hardin* 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Stark* 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3,157 37.6 Henderson* 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St. Clair** 3,103 36.9 3,006 35.8 3,007 35.8 28 13.1 Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 froguois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 862 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 39.9 152 36.8 Jasper 51 16.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermilion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1.961 22.4 2.093 23.9 2.238 25.5 2.55 2.57 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankake** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1.961 22.4 2.093 23.9 2.238 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 39 26.6 366 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1.737 13.0 2.054 15.4 2.138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 39 26.6 366 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1.737 13.0 2.054 15.4 2.138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 39 26.6 366 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1.737 13.0 2.054 15.4 2.138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 39 26.6 366 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1.737 13.0 2.054 15.4 2.138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 39 26.6 366 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1.737 13.0 2.054 15.4 2.138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 39 26.6 366 26.1 429 22.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1.737 13.0 2.054 15.4 2.138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 39 26.6 366 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1.737 13.0 2.054 15.4 2.138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 39 26.6 366 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1.737 13.0 2.054 15.4 2.138 16.0 689 22.4 Will	the state was a second of	The Section of the Se		and the second of the second	4			estrator de la Contra	Access to the second			The state of the same of the s	and the second			with the first	etti e dadan		al et in a sette	and the state of
Hamilton* 55 24.2 37 16.2 43 18.9 41 18.0 Scott* 25 16.2 18 11.0 32 19.5 34 20.7 Hancock 135 20.5 100 15.2 136 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 21.2 133 19.3 133 19.3 133 19.3 139 20.2 Hardin* 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Stark* 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3,157 37.6 Henderson* 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St. Clair** 3,103 36.9 3,006 35.8 3,007 35.8 28 13.1 Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 Iroquois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazwell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 882 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jasper 51 15.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermillion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jefferson 22 28.6 295 28.9 302 28.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,288 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Winteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1.831 17.0 1.63 17.6 17.5 18.4 24.4 29 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,64 15.4 2,131 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,64 15.4 2,131 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6	and the second second second																			A 100 - 10 40 -
Hancock 135 20.5 100 15.2 136 20.6 113 17.1 Shelby 146 21.2 133 19.3 133 19.3 133 19.3 139 20.2 Hardin' 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Stark' 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3.157 37.6 Henderson' 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St. Clair' 3,103 36.9 3,006 35.8 3,007 35.6 28 13.1 Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 froguois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell' 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 852 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 43.9 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jasper 51 15.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermilion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jefferson 292 28.6 255 29.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson' 52 21.6 68 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane' 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee' 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will' 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3 Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake' 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake' 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake' 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake' 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake' 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake' 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake' 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake' 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Willia	1. 7. Sec. 2. 1. Sec.	Anna Section	* / *	e disensi in	30 - 1 -	and the first		The Assessment of				A 12 1 4 1	4 4 4 4	1.00	400	Transfer of the	m' '		Action and State of	over a residence of
Hardin* 51 35.3 40 27.7 22 15.2 48 33.2 Star** 23 14.0 14 6.5 25 11.7 3.157 37.5 Henderson* 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 St. Clair** 3.103 36.9 3.006 35.8 3.007 35.8 28 13.1 Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 365 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 Iroquois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 862 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jasper 51 15.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermilion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jefferson 292 28.6 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,857 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3 Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4	The state of the s	سنواه والأسروان				عامل فالمعارب		2				400				1	diameter de		or become	
Henderson* 23 8.6 50 18.7 62 23.2 53 19.9 SL. Clair** 3,103 36.9 3,006 35.8 3,007 35.8 28 13.1  Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9  Iroquois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 852 21.5  Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8  Jasper 51 16.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermilion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3  Jefferson 292 28.6 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3  Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0  Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9  Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2  Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8  Kankakse** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4  Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3  Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 455 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9	The mail the Land	ALCOHOL:		fire and in the		F. W. 41.77	200						of a soul of				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		A SAN SAN DAME	Section 450 miles
Henry 194 11.1 203 11.6 221 12.6 251 14.3 Stephenson 277 19.8 366 26.2 364 26.0 320 22.9 iroquois 235 25.2 174 18.7 163 17.5 188 20.2 Tazewell** 799 20.0 893 22.3 835 20.8 862 21.5 Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jasper 51 15.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermilion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jefferson 292 28.6 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3 Knox 439 26.6 506 30.8 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4 LaSalle** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6 Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	and the same of the same of	27 8150	than the p	erent region in			0.00	and the face											Linux en interes	Temporal School Street
Iroquois   235   25.2   174   18.7   163   17.5   188   20.2   Tazewell**   799   20.0   893   22.3   835   20.8   882   21.5     Jackson   416   33.0   422   33.5   439   34.8   392   31.1   Union   144   34.8   206   49.8   161   38.9   152   36.8     Jasper   51   15.4   61   18.4   67   20.2   61   18.4   Vermilion   1,015   37.1   968   35.4   942   34.4   857   31.3     Jefferson   292   28.6   295   28.9   302   29.6   287   28.1   Wabash   67   17.6   80   21.1   100   26.3   77   20.3     Jersey   101   17.0   106   17.8   100   16.8   100   16.8   Warren   103   16.5   115   18.4   108   17.3   112   18.0     Jo Daviess   90   12.5   108   15.0   119   16.5   112   15.5   Washington   29   6.9   34   8.1   64   15.2   50   11.9     Johnson*   52   21.6   66   27.4   90   37.4   73   30.3   Wayne   85   17.9   114   24.0   85   17.9   101   21.2     Kane**   1,961   22.4   2,093   23.9   2,238   25.5   2,255   25.7   White   94   21.8   96   22.2   110   25.5   120   27.8     Kankakee**   827   26.0   823   25.9   802   25.2   837   26.3   Winteside   383   19.0   379   18.8   472   23.5   491   24.4     Kendall   154   12.3   133   10.7   119   9.5   124   9.9   Will**   1,616   15.0   1,831   17.0   1,923   17.8   1,756   16.3     Knox   439   26.6   506   30.6   464   28.1   465   28.2   Williamson   393   26.6   386   26.1   429   29.7   353   23.9     Lake**   1,737   13.0   2,054   15.4   2,138   16.0   689   22.4   Winnebago**   1,863   25.1   1,998   26.9   2,115   28.4   2,185   29.4     LaSalle**   584   19.0   616   20.0   657   21.4   2,311   17.3   Woodford**   95   9.1   111   10.6   121   11.6   79   7.6     Lawrence   82   17.3   103   21.8   88   18.6   125   26.4   Not Specified   58   22   12	The contract of the Contract	* *	A 14.355			a de la companya de l	4 7 74	gran indica	5 ( 5)				. 1 1 1 2 2		the second	4.4	man and the tight of		ale the The	Succession Section
Jackson 416 33.0 422 33.5 439 34.8 392 31.1 Union 144 34.8 206 49.8 161 38.9 152 36.8 Jasper 51 15.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermillion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jefferson 292 28.6 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,755 16.3 Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4 LaSalie** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6 Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	· ·	1 m 1 m 1 m 1 mm		ALC: CHICAGO C											4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
Jasper 51 15.4 61 18.4 67 20.2 61 18.4 Vermilion 1,015 37.1 968 35.4 942 34.4 857 31.3 Jefferson 292 28.6 295 28.9 302 29.6 287 28.1 Wabash 67 17.6 80 21.1 100 26.3 77 20.3 Jersey 101 17.0 106 17.8 100 16.8 100 16.8 Warren 103 16.5 115 18.4 108 17.3 112 18.0 Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3 Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4 LaSalle** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6 Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12		a a contrar	the second second	winds of a p		Commercial Control			1000 000	\$	- 1	The State of the S	in a single	1.8.9.1	uda inti .		1430-5774	or Printer	7 75 AT.	Samuel Salation
Jefferson         292         28.6         295         28.9         302         29.6         287         28.1         Wabash         67         17.6         80         21.1         100         26.3         77         20.3           Jersey         101         17.0         106         17.8         100         16.8         100         16.8         Warren         103         16.5         115         18.4         108         17.3         112         18.0           Jo Daviess         90         12.5         108         15.0         119         16.5         112         15.5         Washington         29         6.9         34         8.1         64         15.2         50         11.9           Johnson*         52         21.6         68         27.4         90         37.4         73         30.3         Wayne         85         17.9         114         24.0         85         17.9         101         21.2         Kane**         1,961         22.4         2,093         23.9         2,255         2,555         2,557         2,557         White         94         21.8         96         22.2         110         25.5         120         27.8      <			// in the 11.5	422		a construction and	8 4		* 10 20 4 1							Programme and				
Jersey       101       17.0       106       17.8       100       16.8       100       16.8       Warren       103       16.5       115       18.4       108       17.3       112       18.0         Jo Daviess       90       12.5       108       15.0       119       16.5       112       15.5       Washington       29       6.9       34       8.1       64       15.2       50       11.9         Johnson*       52       21.6       68       27.4       90       37.4       73       30.3       Wayne       85       17.9       114       24.0       85       17.9       101       21.2         Kane**       1,961       22.4       2,093       23.9       2,238       25.5       2,255       25.7       White       94       21.8       96       22.2       110       25.5       120       27.8         Kankakee**       827       26.0       823       25.9       802       25.2       837       26.3       Whiteside       383       19.0       379       18.8       472       23.5       491       24.4         Kendall       154       12.3       133       10.7       119       9.5	Jasper	51	15.4	.61	18.4	67	20.2	61	18.4			Vermilion	1,015	10 mm	nerver					
Jo Daviess 90 12.5 108 15.0 119 16.5 112 15.5 Washington 29 6.9 34 8.1 64 15.2 50 11.9 Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2 Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3 Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4 LaSalle** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6 Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	Jefferson	7 mm - 1 m - 10 m	28.6	295	28.9	302	29.6	287	28.1			Wabash	67	17.6	80				Committee of	
Johnson* 52 21.6 66 27.4 90 37.4 73 30.3 Wayne 85 17.9 114 24.0 85 17.9 101 21.2  Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8  Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4  Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3  Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4  LaSalle** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6  Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	Jersey	101	17.0	106	17,8	100	16.8	100	16.8		Ŷ.,	Warren	103	16.5	115	18,4	108	ment to	112	18.0
Kane** 1,961 22.4 2,093 23.9 2,238 25.5 2,255 25.7 White 94 21.8 96 22.2 110 25.5 120 27.8 Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4 Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3 Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9 Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4 LaSalie** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6 Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	Jo Daviess	90	12.5	108	15.0	119	16,5	112	15.5			Washington	29	6.9	34	8.1	64		50	
Kankakee** 827 26.0 823 25.9 802 25.2 837 26.3 Whiteside 383 19.0 379 18.8 472 23.5 491 24.4  Kendall 154 12.3 133 10.7 119 9.5 124 9.9 Will** 1,616 15.0 1,831 17.0 1,923 17.8 1,756 16.3  Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4  LaSalie** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6  Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	Johnson*	52	21.6	68	27.4	90	37.4	73	30.3		111	Wayne	85	17.9	114	24.0	85	17.9	101	. 7
Kendall         154         12.3         133         10.7         119         9.5         124         9.9         Will**         1,616         15.0         1,831         17.0         1,923         17.8         1,756         16.3           Knox         439         26.6         506         30.6         464         28.1         465         28.2         Wfilliamson         393         26.6         386         26.1         429         29.7         353         23.9           Lake**         1,737         13.0         2,054         15.4         2,138         16.0         689         22.4         Wfinnebago**         1,863         25.1         1,998         26.9         2,115         28.4         2,185         29.4           Lasalle**         584         19.0         616         20.0         657         21.4         2,311         17.3         Woodford**         95         9.1         111         10.6         121         11.6         79         7.6           Lawrence         82         17.3         103         21.8         88         18.6         125         26.4         Not Specified         58         22         12	Kane**	1,961	22.4	2,093	23.9	2,238	25.5	2,255	25.7			White	94	21.8	96	22.2	110	25.5	120	1
Knox 439 26.6 506 30.6 464 28.1 465 28.2 Williamson 393 26.6 386 26.1 429 29.7 353 23.9  Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4  LaSalle** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6  Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	Kankakee**	827	26.0	823	25,9	802	25.2	837	26.3	à i		Whiteside	383	19.0	379	18.8	472	23.5	491	24.4
Lake** 1,737 13.0 2,054 15.4 2,138 16.0 689 22.4 Winnebago** 1,863 25.1 1,998 26.9 2,115 28.4 2,185 29.4  LaSalle** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6  Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	Kendali	154	12.3	133	10.7	. 119	9.5	124	9.9			Will**	1,616	15.0	1,831	17.0	1,923	17.8	1,756	16.3
LaSalle** 584 19.0 616 20.0 657 21.4 2,311 17.3 Woodford** 95 9.1 111 10.6 121 11.6 79 7.6  Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	Knox	439	26.6	506	30.6	464	28,1	465	28.2	3 1	. 7	Williamson	393	26.6	386	26.1	429	29.7	353	23.9
Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	Lake**	1,737	13.0	2,054	15.4	2,138	16.0	689	22.4			Winnebago**	1,863	25.1	1,998	26.9	2,115	28.4	2,185	29.4
Lawrence 82 17.3 103 21.8 88 18.6 125 26.4 Not Specified 58 22 12	LaSalle**	584	19.0	616	20.0	657	21.4	2,311	17.3			Woodford**	95	9.1	111	10.6	121	11,6	79	7,6
Lee 197 18.9 229 22.0 245 23.6 256 24.6 STATE 63,432 19.6 67,058 20.7 69,627 21.5 70,422 21.7	Lawrence		17.3	103	21.8	88	18.6	125	26,4			Not Specified	58		22		12			
	Lee	197	18.9	229	22.0	245	23.6	256	24.6			STATE	63,432	19.6	67,058	20,7	69,627	21.5	70,422	21.7

Figure 1
Child Reports of Abuse/Neglect
by Region
Fiscal Year 1986




Table 5
Comparison of Reporting Rates by Type of County

National	Estimate °	FY 1984	FY 1985	FY 1986
Urban	19.5 per 1,000	20.1	21.1	23.1
Suburban	10.9 per 1,000	14.1	15.0	15,0
Rural	20.9 per 1,000	22.5	22.0	20.1
TÖTAL	17.8 per 1,000	20.7	21.5	21.7

10,000, the average reporting rate was 20.1 children per 1,000.

The state's suburban counties—Lake, DuPage, Kane, Will and McHenry—have an average reporting rate of 15.0 per 1,000. As noted in Table 5, reporting trends in Illinois are consistent with reporting trends in urban, suburban, and rural areas nationwide.

Although the number of reports of suspected child abuse and neglect has increased each fiscal year, the rate of increase has declined since fiscal year 1979 (see Table 1). The decreasing growth rate is attributed to several factors. A massive educational campaign in fiscal year 1979 promoted an increased public awareness of child abuse and neg-

lect. The number of children reported as victims of suspected abuse and neglect increased by 84.4 percent in fiscal year 1979. As the educational campaign reached most of its intended audience, the reporting rate began to stabilize. In addition, the number of children reported as being abused or neglected may be approaching the actual number (as yet unknown).

#### C. Demographics

Although the number of children reported as being abused or neglected has increased over the past several fiscal years, changes in age, sex, and race distribution have not been significant. Consistently, more than two-thirds of all children reported have been nine years of age or younger (Table 6).

Children 0-2 years old have accounted for 24 percent of all reports during the past three fiscal years. Reporting rates in Illinois have generally declined with increasing age. Overall reporting rates are essentially the same for both boys and girls. Along racial lines, however, black children are disproportionately reported. Approximately 20 percent of the child population in Illinois is black,

Table 6
Demographic Characteristics of Children Reported as Abused or Neglected
Fiscal Years 1984 through 1986

	Fiscal Year 1984			Fisca	al Year 19	985	Fisca	al Year 19	986
Child Characteristics	Number	Percent	Rate*	Number	Percent	Rate*	Number	Percent	Rate*
Age of Child									
0-2	15,856	23.6	30.7	16,791	22.7	32.5	17,123	24.3	33.1
3-5	14,571	21.7	29.9	15,252	21.9 ⁵	31.3	15,518	22.0	31.9
6-9	15,090	22.5	21.9	15,539	22.3	22.6	15,938	22.6	23.2
10-13	12,430	18.5	17.1	12,353	17.7	17.0	, 12,281	17.4	16.9
14-17	8,572	12.8	10.4	9,214	13.2	11.2	9,150	13.0	11.1
Agé not reported	539	8		478	.7		412	.6	_
Sex of Child									
Boys	32,706	48.8	19.7	33,246	47.7	20.1	33,380	47.4	20.1
Girls	33,923	50.6	21.4	35,934	51.6	22.7	36,504	51.8	23.0
Sex not reported	429	:6		447	.6		538	.8	
Child's Ethnic Group									
White	38,363	57.2	16,6	39,119	56.2	17.0	39,179	55.6	17.0
Black	23,020	34.3	37.6	24,504	35.2	40.0	25,283	35.9	41.3
Hispanic	3,663	5.5	14.3	3,813	5.5	14.9	4,034	5.7	15.7
Other/Not Specified	2,012	3.0		2,191	3.1		1,926	2.7	18.2
TOTAL	67,058		20.7	69,627		21.5	70,422		21.7

<sup>\*</sup>Rate per 1,000 children under age 18 (from 1980 U.S. Census)

but 36 percent of the children reported as abused or neglected were black. It should also be noted that, while white and Hispanic ethnic groups have remained at nearly the same percentage during the past four fiscal years, the "Other/Not Specified" category has continued a consistent

decline. With an improved information system, it is likely that race is recorded more accurately, so that the increase in the percentage of black children reported and the decrease in the "Other/ Not Specified" category reflect, in part, improved record-keeping.

## III. Confronting the Problem

The Illinois Department of Children and Family Services is the state agency designated to investigate reports of suspected child abuse and neglect. Because of the need to respond systematically and thoroughly to the increasing number of reports, a total redesign of the state's child protection system was initiated in fiscal year 1981.

## A.Child Abuse Hotline and the State Central Register

Since the beginning of fiscal year 1981, the Department has assumed a national leadership position in the development of centralized reporting of child abuse and neglect. The "hub" of the state's child protection system is the computerized State Central Register (SCR) and the statewide, toll-free reporting hotline. The State Central Register houses a sophisticated computer system which operates around the clock with 21 visual display terminals. The toll-free number is 1-800-252-2873 (1-800-25A-BUSE). Persons outside the state wishing to report suspected child abuse or neglect in Illinois may call 217-785-4020.

When a person telephones the State Central Register hotline, a specially trained social worker requests information to determine if the call constitutes a report. A report of suspected child abuse or neglect must have four basic elements:

- a child under 18 years of age
- a caretaker responsible for the victim
- a set of circumstances or specific incident
- · harm or substantial risk of harm to the child

The social worker then searches the Central Register computer files to find out whether a prior indicated report of abuse or neglect exists on any person in the report, including a child or an alleged perpetrator. If the reporter is doubtful about the spelling of a name, the computer's "Soundex"

search system will display on the terminal all names on file that sound like the reported names, along with accompanying age, race, and address. This information, which is displayed in seconds, includes the date and nature of prior indicated reports on alleged victims and all other adults and children in the family, action taken during these investigations (court activity, protective custody, foster care placement, etc.), family or caretaker relationship, and the identity of the perpetrator and caseworker.

Details of the new report and background information are transmitted to the appropriate investigative team. The new report data is then entered on the terminal and set up on the computer file to await updating when the field investigation is completed. Information about previously indicated reports is available to authorized persons at any time of the day or night.

During fiscal year 1986, the State Central Register received 181,548 calls. The number of calls has increased by 155 percent over the number received in fiscal year 1981 (Figure 2).

Reports may be made by private citizens or by mandated reporters—persons required by law (teachers, physicians, law enforcement officers, etc.) to report suspected child abuse and neglect. During fiscal year 1986, mandated reporters made 60 percent of all reports of suspected child abuse and neglect (Table 7 and Figure 3). Medical personnel were responsible for the largest percentage of reports from mandated sources (16.5 percent) and coroner/medical examiner the smallest (0.1 percent). National estimates by reporting source are very similar to Illinois except for medical personnel. Nationwide, medical personnel make fewer reports than other mandated sources. 1

<sup>1.</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, op. cit., 1981.

Reports made by relatives, friends, neighbors, or child victims accounted for 40 percent of all reports of suspected child abuse and neglect.

When a report of child abuse or neglect is made to the State Central Register, suspected harms to the child are identified by the hotline social worker. Each harm must be addressed during the process of the investigation. For example, a reporter may suspect that a child has been left alone without a caretaker and has been bruised by a caretaker. In this situation, two harms would be identified for this child.


If other harms are discovered during the investigation, these will also be added to the list. There were 96,865 specified alleged harms to children in fiscal year 1986, an average of 1.37 harms for each suspected child victim (Table 8). The 41,498 family reports involved 70,422 children, or 1.69 children per family report.

As noted in Table 9, reports alleging only abuse harms (called Abuse Reports) accounted for 47.3 percent of all reports; reports alleging only neglect harms (Neglect Reports) accounted for 42.4 percent; and reports containing both abuse and neglect harms (Both) accounted for 10.3 percent. Figure 4 illustrates that more than half (51.3 percent) involved lack of supervision or environmental neglect.

Table 10 provides a detailed look at specific harms of abuse and neglect reported and indicated.


When the computerized Central Register was first established, centralized reporting of suspected abuse and neglect was impeded by the slow transmission of vital information from the Register to investigators in the field offices. The reports were transmitted by telephone. A social worker in the Register would transmit the information orally to

Figure 2
Incoming Calls to the State Central Register
Fiscal Years 1981 through 1986



	-	والمستهدد والمستهدد والمستهدد	tuni piringan da		Springfield	Champaign	المنهجة في المناف المراب	بيدوه والمنطق والمنطقة	ونست ها مناورتها
MANDATED	ومستوب المستوال المستوال المستوال المستوال الم				مرسمه من المناسبة	والمراجعة	والمناوات الإرابات وموادة والمناوة والم	-	والمناور بالمارات المارات
Clinic or Hospital Physician	36 3	\ 48	121	1,256	33 6	63	24	29	1,612
Nurse (LPN) Nurse (RN)	. 111	12 257	6 408	1) 22 1,008	92	11 174	180	11 107	2,33
Private Physician	32	48	64	165	27	45	18	31	430
Hospital Social Worker Other Medical Personnel	79 17	60 12	232 25	1,589 119	. 78 21	110 17	226 16	45 10	2,419 237
Total Medical	276	437	856	4,161	257	420	465	233	7,105
School Counselor Principal	0 67 191	.58 148	. 131 299	230 652	40 121	60 189	39 86	25 90	650 1,696
School Nurse	127	. 51	164	147	31	54	61	56	691
School Social Worker Teacher	55 72	52 102	∠415 117	<sub>0</sub> 695 252	35 76	96 82	63 64	25 70	1,436 835
Assistant Principal	5	14	18	5 <b>83</b> 9	3	- 12	10	5 .	150
Truant Officer Other School Personnel	27 29	12 54	. 23 81	159 123	, 2 29	3 40	21. 22	5 21	257 399
Total School Personnel	493	491	1,248	2,341	337	536	366	297	6,109
DCFS Investigator DCFS Investigative Supervisor	59 5	56 3	36 4	117 20	44 8	. 79	67 6	46	504 53
DCFS Follow-up Worker	101	112	119	645	91	o 133	174	116	1,491
DCFS Licensing Worker DCFS Resource Worker	11 2	1 3	. 2	10 2	' 2 4	2	3	19.23	32 13
DCFS Adoption Worker	4	1 1	. 4	6	3		2	0	्र 18
DCFS Homemaker DCFS Institutional Worker	25	30	4	5	, 30	14	. 2	7	117
Other DCFS Personnel	. 12	10	5	38	5	12	10	4	96
Total DCFS Personnel	216	216	174	844	187	244	266	180	2,327
Department of Corrections Staff			52 (I	4	2	11			
Department of Public Aid Staff Institutional Staff	22 2	29 5	53 '' 6	/ 133 // 23	21 4	23 3	42 7	33 1	356 51
Mental Health Personnel	59	52	59	125	51	. 78	24	66	51/
Private Agency Social Worker Psychologist	105 11	165 35	218 51	632 117	59 6	114	95 13	. 67 7	1,455 251
Other Social Services	128	35 225	241	677	84	174	105	103	1,737
Total Social Services	327	511	628	1,711	227	404	286	277	4,371
Court Emergency Services Staff		5	3 2	29 4	andria. Afrika diseba	4			42
Parole/Probation Officer	° 21	21 •	30	112	_15	16	9	t <u>o</u> .	234
Police Officer State's Attorney.	277 11	46 i 19	. 754 17	1,002 22	261 6	351 18	284 7	317 10	3,707
Juvenile Officer	. 20	93	180	627	51	70	38	. 9	1,088
Other Law Enforcement Personnel  Total Law Enforcement	13 	18 617	1,027	77 1,873	19 352	21 480	22: 362	25 371	5,424
Coroner	0		2		1	1	0 3	Ö	
Medical Examiner	. 0	0	2	38	0	0 .	0	0	40
Total Coroner/Medical Examiner	0	1	4	39	1	1	0	0	46
Day Care/Night Care Center Day Care/Night Care Home Pre-School/Nursery School	.53 2 6	22 5 6	37 3 9	107 6 23	30 6 5	39+ 6 12	24 2 5	27 1 8 <sub>72</sub>	339 31 74
Total Child Care Centers	61	33	49	136	41	57	31	36	444
TOTAL MANDATED REPORTERS	1,715	2,306	3,986	11,105	1,402	2,142	1,776	1,394	25,826
	.,. 10	2,555	2,345	.,,,,,,,	-114=	_,	.,	,	,
NON-MANDATED	11.00 A A	100	- Ana		117	122	122	ก็ก	+ E01
Father/Father Substitute Friend/Neighbor	97 310	139 460	203 637	636 1,646	340	458	381	90 288	1,526 4,520
Friend/Neighbor Micher/Mother Substitute	122 171	192 252	282 393	587 2,009	140 191	The state of the s	160 298	136 209	1,802 3,794
Relative Sibling	1/1 2 15	6	# <b>9</b>	49		, 7	6	4	83
Victim  Total Polative/Nalabhas	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26	43	181-	s, a #- 16	333	23	19	12.00
Total Relative/Neighbor	717	1,075	1,567	5,108	804	1,074	990	746	12,08
Non-Center/Home Child Care Babysitter	2 13	5 21	4 47	6 41	12	4 15	16	2 17	23 182
Other Child Care Provider	. 8	11	3 50	13	. 4	6 * * *	4	- 5	- 54
Landlord Anonymous	9 11 183	22 350	448	113 1,446	22 ° 270	29 359	16 334	16 272	279 3,662
	61 0 *	- 88 - 0	146 0	297 10	70 0	102 3	7.6 4	o 69 0	909
Other Reporting Source		an aleka <u>Gred</u> ensi, ka		- 14 IV		<u> </u>			
Other Reporting Source Unknown Total Other	278	497	698	1,926	378	518	450	381	9,12
Other Reporting Source Unknown Total Other TOTAL NON-MANDATED	<u> </u>	497	698	1,926	378		450	361	5,120
Other Reporting Source Unknown	<u> </u>	497 1,572	698 2,265	1,926 7,034	378 1,182	518 1,592	1,440	1,127	5,126 17,20

Figure 3
Source of Reports by Type
Fiscal Year 1986



a field investigator, who would duplicate the data on his or her own report form, confirm spellings and addresses, and then proceed on the investigation. Each report took an average of 15 minutes to transmit. Since one purpose of the State Central Register is to use the most modern means available to communicate with the entire state in what may be life-and-death situations, using the telephone to transmit information to investigators meant that hotline workers were not always available to receive incoming calls. A solution to this problem was the installation of a telecopier facsimile network at the State Central Register and all investigative team headquarters. A report taken at the State Central Register (or at a local office) can be immediately telecopied to the appropriate office. The facsimile network has reduced the amount of time and manpower necessary to communicate reports of suspected child abuse and neglect.

## B. Investigation of Reports of Suspected Child Abuse and Neglect

1. Organization of Protective Services Teams. The Division of Child Protection, of which the State Central Register is a part, is responsible for abuse and neglect investigations, institutional/foster

Table 8
Distribution by Region of Family Reports, Alleged Child Victims, and Harms
Fiscal Year 1986

Region	Family Reports	Alleged Child Victims	Average No. of Victims Per Report	Harms	Average No. of Harms Per Victim
Rockford	2,651	4,347	1.63	5,697	1.31
Peoria	3,782	6,215	1.64	8,271	1.33
Aurora	6,031	9,656	1.60	12,891	1.33
Chicago	17,305	30,336	1.75	43,073	1.41
Springfield	2,513	4,165	1.65	5,466	1.31
Champaign	3,640	6,078	1.66	8,136	1.33
East St. Louis	3,115	5,566	1.78	7,880	1.41
Marion	2,461	4,059	1.64	5,451	1.34
TOTAL	41,498	70,422	1.69	96,865	A 1.37

Figure 4
Types of Abuse and Neglect Harms Reported
Fiscal Year 1986




Table 9
Regional Distribution By Types of Reports
Fiscal Year 1986

	Ab	use	Nec	ilect	В	oth
Region	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Rackford	1,389	52.4	1,042	39.3	220	8.3
Peoria	2,009	53.1	1,460	38.6	313	8.3
Aurora	3,103	51.5	2,340	38.8 4	588	9.7
Chicago	7,555	43.7	7,837	45.3	1,913	11.1
Springfield	1,207	48.0	<b>1,064</b>	42.3	242	9.6
Champaign	1,751	48.1	1,501	41.2	388	10.7
East St. Louis	1,399	44,9	<sup>\( \)</sup> 1,373	44.1	343	11.0
Marion	1,217	49.5	992	40,3	252	10.2
STATE	19,630	47.3	17,609	42.4	4,259	10.3

## Table 10 Distribution of Reports by Allegation Fiscal Year 1986

	Total Harms	Total Indicated Harms	Percent Indicated
ABUSE	40,855	20,124	<u>49.3</u>
I. Death	68	46	<u>67.6</u>
II. Physical Abuse	13,266	5,954	44.9
Brain Damage/Skull Fracture	109	50	45.9
Subdural Hematoma	58	33 (1996) 1996 (1996) 1996 (1996) 1996 (1996) 1996 (1996) 1996 (1996) 1996 (1996) 1996 (1996) 1996 (1996) 1996	56.9
Internal Injuries	58	37	63.8
Burns/Scalding	909	239	26.3
Poison/Noxious Substance Wounds	39 62	22 36	56.4
Bone Fractures	9 <u>4</u> 371	144	58.1 38.8
Cuts and Bruises	11,460	5,303	46.3
Human Bites	153	76	49.7
Sprains/Dislocations	.9	14	29.8
III. Emotional Abuse	1,306	397	30.4
Tying/Close Confinement	467	165	35.3
Substance Misuse	690	167	24.2
Torture	97	39	40.2
Mental Injury	52	26	50.0
IV. Sexual Abuse	11,173	6;753	60,4
Sexually Transmitted Diseases	253	172	68.0
Sexual Penetration	4,093	2,496	61.0
Sexual Exploitation	1,183	646	54.6
Sexual Molestation	5,644	3,439	60,9
V. Other Abuse	15,042	6,974	46.4
Substantial Risk of Harm	15,042	6,974	46.4
NEGLECT	56,000	24,545	43.8
VI. Blatant Disregard	1,759	989	56.2
Death	79	35	44.3
Brain Damage/Skull Fracture		40	60.6
Subdural Hematoma	16	11	68.8
Internal Injuries	10	5	50.0
Burns/Scalding	459	251	54.7
Poison/Noxious Substances Wounds	<b>76</b>	<u>29</u> 12	38.2 60.0
Bone Fractures	106	<b>60</b>	56.6
Cuts and Bruises	436	233	53.4
Human Bites	32	15	46.9
Sprains/Dislocations		man a sa ga sa a maka maka maka ka ka ka ka ka maninda sa ka a	44.4
Substance Misuse	428	283	66.1 50.0
Mental Injury VII. Lack of Supervision	22 26,851	11	46.2
		12,408	and a second sec
Inadequate Supervision	25,208	11,723	46.5
Abandonment/Desertion  Lock Out	563	335 350	59,5 32,4
VIII. Environmental Neglect	1,080 22,879	9,063	39.6
***************************************	The state of the s		23.8
Inadequate Food Inadequate Shelter	6,952 3,272	1,652 1,703	.∠3.8 52.0
Inadequate Clothing	9,4/2 1,531	480	31.4
Educational Neglect	2,253	1,347	59.8
Environmental Neglect	8,871	3,881	43.7
IX. Lack of Health Care	4,511	2,085	46.2
Medical Neglect	4,119	1,827	44.4
Failure to Thrive	325	214	65.8
Malnutrition		41	71.9
Disabled Infant Neglect			30.0
STATE TOTAL	96.855*	44.669	46.1
STATE TOTAL	96,855*	44,669	

<sup>\*</sup> Does not include 10 allegations that were incorrectly recorded.

home investigations, and licensing functions. Child protective investigations are conducted by Department staff in eight service regions (Figure 5): Aurora, Champaign, Chicago, East St. Louis, Marion, Peoria, Rockford, and Springfield. Each region has at least two investigative teams responsible for investigating reports of suspected abuse or neglect. Indicated reports (those in which the Department determined child abuse or neglect existed) are referred to the Division of Program Operations for follow-up evaluations and services.


The Division of Child Protection has investigative staff strategically located throughout the state to respond to suspected child abuse and neglect reports. Investigative workers, who are available 24 hours a day, are responsible for all child protection activities, beginning with the receipt of a report of alleged abuse or neglect and concluding with a determination that the report is indicated or unfounded. The teams must also provide or arrange for emergency protective services as required.

The Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act requires that the Department commence an investigation within 24 hours of receipt of a report of suspected child abuse or neglect. If it appears that the family may flee or the child disappear, or if the facts otherwise so warrant, an investigation is commenced immediately. Commencement of an investigation begins when an in-person contact, or a "good faith" attempted contact, is made with the alleged child victim(s).

2. Allegation-Based Investigations. Child protection investigations in Illinois were enhanced during fiscal 1985 by revision of the way child abuse and neglect allegations (harms) are defined and applied.

An investigation of suspected child abuse and/or neglect can have a devastating effect on a family and its members, whether or not the allegations are later determined to be indicated or unfounded. A major purpose of an allegation-based child protection model is to focus the investigator's attention on specific incidents or circumstances. This emphasis eliminates the need to review every aspect of a family's life and environment.

The allegation-based system also allows each specific type of abuse and neglect to be precisely defined and treated consistently throughout the state. Major changes to the allegation system include:



- An alleged perpetrator must be 16 years of age or older, unless sexual abuse is suspected, or the alleged perpetrator is the child's parent or the parent's paramour.
- "Blatant disregard" has been added to neglect harms. "Blatant disregard" may be displayed in incidents in which the risk of harm to a child is so imminent and apparent that it is unlikely that any parent or caretaker would expose the child to such without taking precautionary measures to protect the child. A child may sustain a harm (e.g., brain damage or death) because of the "blatant disregard" of the parent or caretaker's responsibility to oversee and protect the child.

		Table 11		
Children	Taken Into	<b>Temporary</b>	<b>Protective</b>	Custody
	Fis	cal Year 19	86	

Region	Number Children Reported	No. of Children Taken Into Protective Custody	No. of Protective Custody Reports	% of Children Taken Into Protective Custody
Rockford	4,347	319	209	7.3
Peoria	6,215	554	355	8.9
Aurora	9,656	693	494	7.2
Chicago	30,336	2,901	1,764	9.6
Springfield	4,165	274	179	6.6
Champaign	6,078	551	348	9.1
East St. Louis	5,566	339	213	6.1
Marion	4,059	325	208	8.0
TOTAL	70,422	5,956	3,770	8.5

- "Reasonable efforts to stop abuse" has been added to the definition of abuse. The term is defined as those actions which could have stopped abuse of a child without posing an imminent threat to the person's own safety. (Minors, for example, are not expected to intervene between an adult and another child.)
- 3. Temporary Protective Custody. The Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act authorizes taking temporary protective custody of a child (that is, removing a child from his home) by Department investigators, law enforcement officers, and phy-

sicians. Temporary protective custody is taken if a child is in imminent danger of abuse and/or neglect. The decision to take such custody must be reviewed by an appropriate court within 48 hours.

During fiscal year 1986, 5,956 children were taken into temporary protective custody (Table 11). This total represents 8.5 percent of all children reported as victims of suspected abuse and/or neglect. The Chicago Region had the highest percent of temporary protective custodies and the East St. Louis Region had the lowest.

### IV. Results of Investigations

#### A. Finding Status of Reports

Within 14 days of receipt of a report, the investigator must send a detailed preliminary report to the State Central Register to update computer files. A follow-up disposition report must be completed by the investigator within 60 days, although one 30-day extension may be granted in special circumstances. A major objective of the Division of Child Protection is to complete investigations within 10 working days in order to minimize the impact of an investigation on families.

A follow-up disposition report may be classified in one of three categories: undetermined, unfounded, or indicated. A report is classified as undetermined if the investigator could not make a decision within 60 days and has requested a 30-day extension. At the end of the additional 30 days, the investigator must either "unfound" or "indicate" the report.

If a report is unfounded, the report by law must be expunged from the computer system, and all files at the State Central Register must be destroyed. If indicated, the report remains in the computer for at least five years. Notification letters of the findings are sent to all alleged perpetrators and mandated reporters involved in the report.

Of the 41,498 family reports of suspected child abuse and neglect in fiscal year 1986, the Department found that abuse and neglect were indicated in 20,143 reports. Involved as victims of indicated abuse and neglect were 33,959 children, an average of 1.7 children per indicated family report. The regional distribution of alleged child victims and indicated investigations can be noted in Table 12. For county distribution, see Table 12A.

The National Study of the Incidence and Severity of Child Abuse and Neglect estimated that 7.6 children per 1,000 are victims of substantiated

reports of abuse and neglect.<sup>1</sup> Illinois' indicated rate of 10.5 per 1,000 is higher than national estimates. The percentage of indicated family reports varied from 42.9 percent in the Springfield Region to 52.4 percent in the Chicago Region (Table 13). Variances among regions may be due to the actual incidence of child abuse and neglect in a given area or to socioeconomic factors. County distribution is shown in Table 13A.

Figure 6
Percent of Indicated Harms
Fiscal Year 1986




Table 12
Regional Distribution of Child Victims
in Indicated Investigations
Fiscal Year 1986

Region	Indicated Investigations	Indicated Child Victims	Child Victims Per Indicated Investigation	Child Victims Per 1,000 Children Under 18 Years Old
Rockford	1,309	2,233	17	13.0
Peoria	1,666	2,780	1.7	10.2
Aurora	2,783	4,426	1.6	7.1
Chicago	9,076	15,440	1.7	10.6
Springfield	1,077	1,824	1.7	11.3
Champaign	1,737	2,981	1.7	14.2
East St. Louis	1,411	2,507	1.8	. 13.3
Marion	1,084	1,768	1.6	11.7
STATE	20,143	33,959	1.7	10.5

<sup>1.</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, op. cit., 1981.


# Table 12A County Distribution of Child Victims in Indicated Investigations Fiscal Year 1986

County	Child Reports	Reporting Rate Per 1,000	Indicated Child Reports	Indicated Rate Per 1,000		County	Child Reports	Reporting Rate Per 1,000	Indicated Child Reports	Indicated Rate Per 1,000
Adams	510	25.8	193	9.8	r	Livingston	260	23.1	144	12.8
Alexander	223	62.2	88	24.5	1	Logan	184	23.0	105	13.1
Bond	73	17.2	32	7.5		Масоп	1,247	32.7	524	13.7
Воопе	183	20.1	82	9.0	ş	Macoupin	342	25.0	176	12.8
Brown	37	25.1	13	8.8	<u> </u>	Madison	1,956	27.9	834	11.9
Bureau	236	21.0	105	9.4		Marion	432	35.1	227	18.5
Calhoun	14	8.6	8	4.9		Marshall	50	11.9	14	3,3
Carroll	101	19.3	61	11.6	3	Mason	184	31.1	88	14.9
Cass	89	20.7	43	10.0		Massac	138	35.3	81	20.7
Champaign	1,354	20.7 35.6	 791	20.8		McDonough	255	32.6	120	20.7 15.3
Christian	277	26.8	115	talan araw Martina a araw dan		McHenry	255 653	13.9	306	6.5
Clark	take the second as a second as a second	- no contra de la compansión de la compa	and the second second	11.1		and the second of the second			338	
بعيوان الربع سفح لضعائبها للبخاء بالماسطه يعالم	89	19.7	52	11.5	* .	McLean	717	24.5	ord - New Yallow 12 Ne	11.5
Clay	94	22.7	46	11,1		Menard	74	21.7	28	8.2
Clinton	122	11.9	51	5.0		Mercer	72	12.2	21	3.6
Coles	483	41.3	249	21.3		Monroe	31	5.3	17	2.9
Cook	30,336	20.8	15,440	10.6		Montgomery	209	24.0	120	13.8
Crawford	125	23.1	53	9.8		Morgan	253	25.1	113	11.2
Cumberland	72	21.7	44	13.3	1.5	Moultrie	88	22.0	55	13.7
DeKalb	403	23.5	213	12.4		Ogle	296	21.0	161	11.4
DeWitt	114	22,3	43	8.4		Peoria	1,520	26.8	741	13.0
Douglas	115	20.3	64	11.3		Perry	96	15.6	38	6.2
DuPage	1,603	8.2	690	3.5		Piatt	61	12.5	28	5.7
Edgar	202	33.9	122	20.5		Pike	84	16.7	23	4.6
Edwards	28	13.1	11	5.2		Pope	24	19.9	9	7.5
Effingham	195	20.1	75	7.7		Pulaski	84	31.8	20	7.6
Fayette	135	22.4	55	9.1	i :	Putnam	12	6.6	8	4.4
Ford	92	22.1	48	11.5		Randolph	177	18.8	67	7.1
Franklin	243	21.2	83	7.3		Richland	130	27.5	45	9.5
Fulton	303	24.6	166	13.5		Rock Island	1,228	26.2	472	10.1
Gallatin	41	19.3	23	10.8		Saline	241	34,1	111	15.7
Greene	86	18.4	35	7.5		Sangamon	1,532	31.7	634	13.1
Grundy	117	12.6	39	4.2		Schuyler	43	19.0	29	12.8
Hamilton	41	18.0	11	4.8		Scott	34	20.7	13	7.9
Hancock	113	17.1	. 43	6,5		Shelby	139	20,2	66	9.6
Hardin	48	33.2	15	10.4		St. Clair	3,157	37.6	1,484	17.7
Henderson	53	19,9	11	4.1		Stark	28	13.1	10	4.7
Henry	251	14.3	90	5.1		Stephenson	320	22.9	157	11.2
Iroquois	188	20.2	96	10.3		Tazewell	862	21.5	389	9.7
Jackson	392	31.1	192	15.2		Union	152	36.8	55	13.3
Jasper	61	18.4	30	9.0	1 4	Vermilion	857	31.3	317	11.6
Jefferson	287	28.1	140	13.7	*	Wabash	77	20.3	36	9.5
Jersey	100	16.8	45	7.6		Warren	112	18.0	77	12.3
Jo Daviess	112	15.5	49	6.8		Washington	50	11.9	22	5.2
Johnson	73	30,3	27	11.2	1 1	Wayne	101	21.2	45	9.5
Kane	2,255	25.7	1,130	12.9	. 14-	White	120	27.8	51	11.8
Kankakee	837	26,3	395	12.4	1 1	Whiteside	491	24.4	243	12,1
Kendall	124	9.9	55	4.4	1.	Will	1,756	16.3	642	6.0
Knox	465	28.2	208	12.6	) · (1)	Williamson	353	23,9	″133	9.0
Lake	2,311	17.3	1,169	8.7		Winnebago	2,185	29.4	1,141	15.3
LaSalle	689	22.4	301	9.8	i. K	Woodford	79	7.6	47	4.5
Lawrence	125	26.4	58	14.4	1 E	Control conference and property of the sea	and the second of the second o	. Mari welv - Same Brazil (1914, Surgest)	للفار الألأ معتصد البراء ومثاله	inis manimistration and an area of the
Lee	256	24.6	126	12.1	1 7	STATE	70,422	21,7	33,959	10.5

Table 13
Results of Investigations of Family Reports by Region and Type of Report
Fiscal Year 1986

o'	Total	Numl	per of Ind	icated Rep	orts	Perc	ent of Re	ports Indic	ated
Region	Reports	Total	Abuse	Neglect	Both	Total	Abuse	Neglect	Both
Rockford	2,651	1,309	708	482	119	49.4	51,0	46.3	54,1
Peoria	3,782	1,666	908	561	197	44.1	45.2	38.4	62.9
Aurora	6,031	2,783	1,437	961	385	46.1	46.3	41.1	65.5
Chicago	17,305	9,076	4,016	3,857	1,203	52.4	53.2	49.2	62.9
Springfield	2,513	1,077	495	440	142	42.9	41.0	41.4	58.7
Champaign	3,640	1,737	855	654	228	47.7	48.8	43.6	58.8
East St. Louis	3,115	1,411	654	569	188	45.3	46.7	41.4	54.8
Marion	2,461	1,084	549	393	142	44.0	45.1	39.6	56.3
STATE	41,498	20,143	9,622	7,917	2,604	48.5	49.0	45.0	61.1

Figure 7
Source of Indicated Reports
Fiscal Year 1986



Reports of suspected child abuse and neglect made by court/law enforcement personnel had the highest rate (66.5 percent) of all reporting sources. The indicated rates by reporting sources can be noted in Table 14 and Figure 7. The indicated rate for all mandated reporters was 58.8 percent, compared to that of non-mandated reporters, 35.1 percent.

Of the 96,865 reported harms as a result of alleged

abuse and neglect investigated by the Department, 44,669 (46.1 percent) were indicated.

As noted in Table 10, abuse harms accounted for only 42.2 percent of the total reported allegations, but 49.3 percent of the abuse harms were indicated. Only 43.8 percent of all reported neglect harms were indicated. Figure 6 illustrates the percentage of all indicated harms in the major abuse and neglect categories.

# Table 13A County Distribution of Indicated Family Reports Fiscal Year 1986

County	Total Family Reports	Indicated Family Reports	County	Total Family Reports
Adams	331	126	Livingston	164
Alexander	119	45	Logan	107
Bond	41	22	Macon	714
Boone	110	47	Maçoupin	196
Brown	17	8	Madison	1,165
Bureau	130	56	Marion	1,165 256
Calhoun	9	5	Marshall	30
Carroll	64	30		107
	ومتساه سيساعي وساحينات فاستنبا المتابات المستنب	كالنثارون شاميز يسيسيس بالأخيس بالمحديدة ومرش سختناسه جي	Mason	
Cass	53	24	Massac	83
Champaign	780	432	McDonough / 12	الماسية المستنادة والمستنادة والمستنادة والمستناء والمستناء والمستناء والمستناء والمستناء والمستناء
Christian	162	72	McHenry	416
Clark	. 56	32	McLean	446
Clay	58	25	Menard	49
Clinton	. 68	31	Mercer	41
Coles	309	164	Monroe	26
Cook	17,305	9,076	Montgomery	103
Crawford	84	36	Morgan	151
Cumberland	37	22	Moultrie	50
DeKalb	245	118	Ogle	159
DeWitt	70	26	Peoria	891
Douglas	66	30	Perry	67
DuPage	1,103	480	7 Platt	37
وران و در در در این از باز در	117	فعدم سامينيان مشرخات مستساميه فاستعدان والمستعدد	Pike	61
Edgar	18	67		سالاسام عصارها والمستدينة ومردوه ومساور
Edwards	المحدث فيا ومنادلي بين والمحاجم ويحاف المدينة والميان والمنافية والمنافية والمحافية والمحافية والمحافرة	9	Pope	-amiliani, prominent de la contra del la contra del la contra del la contra del la contra de la contra de la contra de la contra del
Effingham	124	48	Pulaski	52
Fayette	67	27	Putnam	10
Ford	67	35	Randolph	112
Franklin	150	52	Richland	75
Fulton	183	93	Rock Island	792
Gallatin	29	15 °	Saline	140
Greene	60	26	Sangamon	938
Grundy	77	31	Schuyler	, <b>22</b>
Hamilton	28	9	Scott	16
Hancock	62	23	Shelby	80
Hardin	22	9	St. Clair	1,667
Henderson		7	Stark	17
Henry	150	57	Stephenson	209
Iroquols	121	59	Tazewell	525
a de la companya de	والمناب والمناب والمناب والمناب والمناب والمنابع	المعرف فاستنبر فلتسييم فيعونه والسراب ويسافه مفعيد بنساب سيفاسه فيس	Contract and the second	90
Jackson	251	116	Union	
Jasper	33	<u> 17</u>	Vermilion	526
Jefferson	185	90	Wabash	57
Jersey	69	<b>31</b> , 6	Warren	78
Jo Daviess	67	30	Washington	36
Johnson	44	• 17	Wayne	56
Kane	1,350	646	White	72
Kankakee	472	225	Whiteside	290
Kendall	80	35	Will	1,109
Knox	288	<sub>1/2</sub> 129	Williamson	'° 221
Lake	1,424	744	Winnebago	1,352
LaSalle	395	187	Woodford	,,002 161
والمناورة والمراوم والمراوم والمادي والمراوم والمناوم والمناوم والمناوم والمناوم والمادي			L. Trocaloia	
Lawrence	65	34	and the second second second	

County	Total Family Reports	Indicated Family Reports
Livingston	164	96
Logan	107	57
Macon	714	294
Macoupin	196	98
Madison	1,165	505
Marion	256	138
Marshall	30	9
Mason	107	48
Massac	83	45
McDonough /	166	74
McHenry	416	202
McLean	446	203
Menard	49	19
Mercer	41	12
Monroe	26	15
Montgomery	103	15 55
Morgan	151	- 56 - 36
	50	
Moultrie		27
Ogle	159	77
Peoria	891	421
Perry	67	28
g Piatt	37	16
Pike	61	· 21
Pope	15	
Pulaski	52	14
Putnam	10	6
Randolph	112	45
Richland	75	31
Rock Island	792	295
Saline	140	69
Sangamon	938	379
Schuyler	, 22	14
Scott	16	5
Shelby	80	35
St. Clair	1,667	776
Stark	17	6
Stephenson	209	100
Tazewell	525	237
Union	90	28
Vermillon	526	199
Wabash	57	25
Warren	78	49
Washington	36	17
Wayne	56	29
White	72	33
Whiteside	290	145
	1,109	420
Will		
Will Williamson		87
Williamson	9 221	87 685
		87 685 29

Table 14
Indicated Reports: Sources by Region
Fiscal Year 1986

Reporter Type									
MANDATED	الماس والمعاملة أم المحاسرة الما	- Commence of the second	e diministrati (1921), badhadalar pelan	ting to the state of the state	en establishmenterial, militarion	وبروه أراضه والمراداة المعاجمة والمتأثرة	معينهم والمرافض والمتحاربة والمتعاربة	ب بالمستوال جسال	ومناهد وساده
Clinic or Hospital Physician	22 0	23 8	70 6	842 16	15 2	31 4	9	16 6	1,028 43
Nurse (LPN) Nurse (RN)	61	125	218	668	52	100	86	56	1,366
Private Physician Hospital Social Worker	15 46	32 37	38 132	99 1,047	12 41	20 71	11 123	16 20	243 1,517
Hospital Social Worker Other Medical Personnel	<u>ii</u>	5	10 0	78	9	ii	13	3	140
Total Medical	155	230	474	2,750	131	237	243	117	4,337
School Counselor Principal	39 57	20 70	76 157	137 411	17 58	34 115	21 55	13 46	357 969
School Nurse School Social Worker	77 39	27 29	.87 211	99 418	16 15	28 40	40 41	31 16	405 818
Teacher	30	42	41	139	35	49 33	35	36	391
Assistant Principal Truant Officer	3 18	6 5	7 15	61 120	1 2	6	7 9	4	95 174
Other School Personnel	. 14	27	38	, 58	<u> </u>	21.	15 0	. 8	192
Total School Personnel	277	226	632	1,443	155	287	223	158	3,401
DCFS Investigator ODCFS Investigative Supervisor	46 2	33 2	22 2	70 15	298 3	55 3	43 3	27 2	325 32
DCFS Follow-up Worker	57	74	74	386	55	76	94 ***	70	886
DOFS Licensing Worker DOFS Resource Worker	7	1 2	0	3	1 3	.0	3 0		16 7
DCFS Adoption Worker DCFS Homemaker	Royan of the	0 19	1 3	2 2	3 20	1	2 2	3	∘10 76
DCFS Institutional Worker	17			. 0		10		0	1
Other DCFS Personnel	. 8	6	1	16	. 3		5	2	49
Total DCFS Personnel	138	137	103	495	117	<b>153</b> ;;;;;::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	153	106	1,402
Department of Corrections Staff Department of Public Aid Staff	13	4	14	0 56	0 7	3 5	12	13	1 126
Institutional Staff Mental Health Personnel	2 26	2 25	3 29	11 73	1 32	ා <u>2</u> 46	3 16	1 37	25 284
Private Agency Social Worker	61	76	118	356	25 5	52	48	40	776
Psychologist Other Social Services	6 62	18 116	29 111	56 356	5 39	5 101	9 44	6 41	134 870
Total Social Services	170	241	304	908	109	214	132	138	2,216
Court		2	2	15		3	1		23
Emergency Services Staff Parole/Probation Officer	10	11	2 18	1 66	6	9	0 4	5	3 129
Police Officer	191	298	470	720	172	251	172	188	2,462
State's Attorney Juvenile Officer	5 14	11 60	13 109	15 480	2 32	13 52	2 27	- 6 6	67 780
Other Law Enforcement Personnel		-11	29	38	15	15.	12	14	141
Total Law Enforcement	227	393	643	1,335	227	<b>343</b>	218	219	3,605
Coroner Medical Examiner			ຸ 2 1	1 20	1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			6 21
Total Coroner/Medical Examiner	المنزوعات تسترانية المعرانة	1	3	<b>21</b>	<u> </u>	1		معومة ومحمد متواري ومايد المراجعة	27
Day Care/Night Care Center G Day Care/Night Care Home Pre-School/Nursery School	24 1 2	10 2 3	11 2 4	53 0 10	12 3 1	16 3 8	12 0 2	11 0 2	149 11 32
Total Child Care Centers	27	15	17	63	16	27	14	13	192
TOTAL MANDATED REPORTERS	994	1,243	2,176	7,015	756	1,262	983	751	15,180
NON-MANDATED	distriction of the second second	and the second second	ومستعلبت والماد	troop bedray the conference that the	فتعصرون شدر بوتوروفاتيا وقبة فأبد	ain na siir nimba ishkila sa maa sa sa isa sa sa	مالىسىرىدىدارات ئامانى سامانى المانان المانان	en america de la cominación	رواحه الأنجوجة أعد
Father/Father Substitute Friend/Neighbor	35 106	42 135	59 189	166 564	28 99	39 157	37 120	19 94	425 1,444
Mother/Mother Substitute	54	90	134	301	64	83	62	. 66	854
Relative Sibling	82 1	75 4	168 5	1,039 28	79	93 2	124 3	86 1	1,746 44
yletim	3	10	16	84	. 5	15	8	10	151
Total Relative/Neighbor	281	356	571	2,162	275	389	354	276	4,664
Non-Center/Home Child Care Babysitter	1 2	2 8 7	2 20	3 11	3	1 6	₹ g	1 9	10 68
Other Child Care Provider	Ĝ	7 9	- Z <b>1</b>	9		6 2 16	2 4	2	30
Landlord Anonymous	2 6 3 47	72 25	23 91	46 369	10 7 60 %	16 92	88	6 57 23	117 876
Other Reporting Source Unknown	, 20	25	39	89 4	19	32 1	26 2	23	273 7
Total Other	79	123	176	531	93	150	131	98	1,381
TOTAL NON-MANDATED REPORTERS	360	479	747	2,693	368	539	485	374	6,045
TOTAL ALL REPORTERS	1,354	1,722	2,923	9,708	1,124	1,801	1,468	1,125	21,225

#### B. Fatalities

Child abuse and neglect can result in permanent physical, emotional, and mental damage to a child. The more severe forms may result in the child's death.

The Chicago Region, which has 45.1 percent of the state's 0-18-year-old population, accounted for 58.8 percent of child abuse and neglect deaths over the past six fiscal years. The lowest number of deaths has consistently been recorded in the Rockford Region.

More than three-fourths of all child abuse and neglect deaths involve children under the age of 3 (Table 16). The child victim has most often been a male (56.5 percent) and most often black (56.5 percent).

The number of child fatalities resulting from abuse and neglect for the past six fiscal years is presented in Table 15.

Table 15 Indicated Death Reports by Region Fiscal Years 1981 through 1986

Region	FY81	FY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86
Rockford	2	1_	1	3	1.	2
Peoria	. 1	5	7	5	3	6
Aurora	9	6	7	4	<b>7</b> °	8
Chicago	61	51	36	31	34	42
Springfield	4	1_	1		1.	6
Champaign	4	1	11	3	2	8
East St. Louis	18	9	2	5	11	`2
Marion	2	5	: 1	2	5	6
STATE	101	79*	66	54	54*	80*

<sup>\*</sup>Amended


Table 16
Characteristics of Indicated Abuse/Neglect Deaths
Fiscal Years 1981 through 1986

Age of Child	Number Indicated	Number Males	Number Females	Number White	Number Black	Number Spanish	Number Other	Number Unknown Race
00	195	108	87	71	107	12	3	2
01	93	59	34	28	56	8	.1	0
02	47	22	25	14	29	4	0	0
03	29	15	14	11	14	3	0	1
04	15 。	12	3	3	11	0	1	Ō
05	20	11	9	6	13	1	0	0
06 - 12	27	<sup>.</sup> 13	14	9	13	4	<u> </u>	0
13 - 17	8	5	3	6	2	0	0	0 :
STATE	434	245	189	148	245	32	6	3

#### C. Sexual Abuse Reports

The actual number of sexually abused children in Illinois (and in the United States) is unknown. A child victim may not disclose a sexual assault for fear of rejection, blame, or punishment. Parents themselves may not report the sexual abuse of their child, fearing that an investigation of the incident would be more damaging than any physical or emotional harm the child might have

Table 17
Number of Alleged Sexual Abuse Victims
Fiscal Years 1981 through 1986



experienced from the assault. While national estimates of sexual abuse of children are approximately 7 percent of all reported child abuse, the true incidence may be much higher.

The number of Illinois children reported as victims of sexual abuse has increased dramatically over the past six fiscal years (Table 17). Increased public awareness of child sexual abuse has contributed to this growth. Television programs, newspaper columns, magazine articles, and other media activities have focused on the subject.

During fiscal year 1986, 8,397 Illinois children were alleged to have been sexually abused (Tables 17A and 17B). In other words, 11.9 percent of all alleged child abuse and/or neglect victims were reported as being sexually abused by a parent, an immediate family member, a paramour of the child's parent, an individual residing in the same home as the child, or another person responsible for the child's welfare. Investigations by the Department of sexual abuse reports found credible evidence that 4,902 children reported were in fact sexually abused.

Although the majority of indicated fiscal year 1986 sexual abuse reports involved a white female age 10 years or older (Table 18), the 3-9-year-old group is almost as vulnerable to sexual assault.

During fiscal year 1986, a natural parent was indicated in the sexual abuse of a child in approximately one out of every three child reports (Table 19A). A combination of natural parents, stepparents, and parental substitutes accounted for

Table 17A

Number of Alleged Sexual Abuse Reports and Alleged Child Victims by Region

Fiscal Year 1986

, Region (	Children Reported	Children Indicated	Percent Indicated	Number of Protective Custodies
Rockford	627	396	63.2	68
Peoria	883	498	56.4	83
Aurora	1,207	693	57.4	116
Chicago	3,124	1,895	60.7	279
Springfield	480	268	55,8	31
Champaign	828	489	59.1	87
East St. Louis	680	。353	51.9	50
Marion	568	310	54.6	58
STATE	8,397	4,902	58.4	772

# Table 17B Number of Alleged Sexual Abuse Reports Alleged Child Victims by County Fiscal Year 1986

County	Children Reported	Children Indicated	Percent Indicated	Number of Protective Custodies
Adams	52	23	44.2	3
Alexander	26	10	38.5	4
Bond	13	4	30.8	0
Boone	19	14	73.7	5
Brown		1	100.0	0
Bureau	49	33	67.3	4
Calhoun		0	0.0	0
Carroll	22	17	77.3	3
Cass	<u></u> 8	5	62.5	0
ومواجه فالمروسيون والمرازية والمرازية والمراز والمراز والمراز والمرازية والمرازية	محاد وأمم ومحرب وبالموادات وبم	and the state of t	لهويرونيساداها لهولي رشو بحريدانج	secretarization centrolistics come as the
Champaign	142	98	69.0	24
Christian	41	21	51.2	l posterni lighter ett.
Clark	11		63.6	
Clay	11	· 7	63.6	
Clinton	14	. 11	78.6	0
Coles	61	37	60.7	3
Cook	3,124	1,895	60.7	279
Crawford	15	7	46.7	1
Cumberland	15	10	66.7	
DeKalb	67	44	65.7	8
DeWitt	10	5	50.0	0
Douglas	22	11	50.0	ar Changada kan Tirolo, Yalishi. 1
DuPage	223	127	57.0	
Edgar	20	11	55.0	3
provenski provinci na na na provinci na pr	فتحير يفعه والمواطنين والمتحصوص	ويريدون يعمده والأراء وينجموه	ment of all participations and	enggregeringer in joen gegelêr)
Edwards		5	71.4	
Effingham	20	10	50.0	
Fayette	22		31.8	0
Ford	17	11	64.7	2
Franklin	44	25	56.8	4
Fulton	48	26	54.2	3
Gallatin	6	3	50.0	1
Greene	9	4	44.4	1
Grundy	15	9	60.0	4
Hamilton	9	5	55.6	0
Hancock	13	7	53.8	3
Hardin	4	3	75.0	2
Henderson	8	3	73.5 37.5	ءُ ۔
The substitution of the su	27	والقيهد مهافعه سنطبا لؤالد فأد ومقاده أهافه المحاول إعمل فودا	وإرز بواط المستنصفوات بطبخة أستعدا	الماكن ومهدي يستكهما وأناه المجلوب بكهودا
Henry		17	63.0 65.4	0
Iroquois	26	17		
Jackson	60	42	70.0	2
Jasper	15	12	c, 80.0	3
Jefferson	41	21	51.2	4
Jersey .	10	4	40,0	
Jo Daviess	16	11	68.8	2
Johnson	5		20.0	1
Kane	264	157	59.5	21
Kankakee	85	41	48.2	9
Kendali	15	8	53.3	
Knox	58	32	55.2	4
LaSalle	94	<u>52</u> 61	64.9	9
Lake	94 293	مستهان والمارين بالمارية والمارية	56.7	40
	international communication and the state of	165	Personal State of the State of	الحاءك والمسامرة لفنا يستنبطه سسطه لعله مسلم
Lawrence	18	10	55.6	0

County	Children Reported	Children Indicated	Percent Indicated	Number of Protective Custodies
Livingston	63	31	49.2	2
Logan	24	14	58.3	2
Macon	200	102	51.0	17
Macoupin	49	35	71.4	2
Madison	249	125	50.2.	14
Marion	50	30	60.0	10
Marshall	12	. 5	41.7	0
Mason	19	13	68.4	Ö
Massac	12	6	50.0	1
McDonough	35	15	45.7	4
McHenry	89	56	62.9	9
McLean	89	64	71.9	11
Menard	9	. 3	33.3	2
Mercer	13	6	46.2	Ó
Monroe	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	6.	66.7	aran da 1. august 1947 metakalada 1947 <b>1</b>
Montgomery	38	33	86.8	3
Morgan	34	19	55.9	idaka di salahiri dalah idalah dalah d 1
Moultrie	3	3	100.0	o i
Ogle	63	32	50.8	5
Peoria	175	95	54.3	24
Perry	12	7	58.3	
Piatt	16	9	56.3	
Pike	11	7	63.6	1
ليحاني وناويا المربيون ويعاربونونون أأفرتنا	9	material gaz come	بأنصيبي بعني بيورية والموافضات	يعارضا بالرياضيا فينطيهما أأسيتيميا بالواعات
Pope Pulaski	10	6 3	66.7 30.0	. 0 1
Putnam	6	5	83.3	e, representation of the contraction of the contrac
The second section of the second seco	r tital at til menkligt fra søk	10	34.5	0 3
Randolph Richland	29 14	10 35,355, <b>3</b> 755	engalise imposeration mana	يسأوره تستعدد الجربس تمتاعيات مورزيون
وسلك فأطوع وحجاه فيستكونه بالجويدية أجاري	ومحللتها فأندا المحادية المحالك بالو	400	50.0	3
Rock Island	198	106	53.5	15
Saline	31	11	35.5	لسند يا نيا
Sangamon	152	73	48.0	
Schuyler	7	5	71.4	
Scott	. 2	The regarding property of	50.0	
Shelby	16	10	62.5	0
St. Clair	358	192	53.6	31 
Stark	4	2	50.0	0
Stephenson	44	24	54.5	3
Tazewell	114	59	51.8	13.
Union	20	6	30.0	0
Vermilion	117	63	53.8	15
Wabash	13	9	69.2	3 .
Warren	30	25	83.3	5
Washington	8	5	62.5	1
Wayne	21	15	71.4	3 (
White	20	15	75.0	6
Whiteside	94	72	76.6	8
Will	223	129	57.8	26
Williamson	53	27	50,9	5
Winnebago	259	148	57.1	31
Woodford	12	7	58.3	a <b>3</b>
ىلى ئۇنىڭ ئىلىنىدىكى 1996-يىلىنىڭ يېزىنىدىكى بىلىنىڭ بىلىنىدىكى بىلىنىڭ بىلىنىدىكى بىلىنىدىكى بىلىنىدىكى بىلىن	akun nyampi se dan menjaka melambah janggeripa yal a Per	rtugi, jum ng pantatriag Tagatring etha (quintesid	namen den in der Stade der Sta	ىلىنىڭ ئۇرىكى بەردۇرىيىنى ئىلىنىڭ ئۇرىكى بىلىنىڭ ئۇرىكى بەردىنىڭ ئۇرىكى بەردىنىڭ ئۇرىكى بەردىنىڭ ئۇرىكى بەردىن ئۇرىكى ئارىكى
STATE	8,397	4,902	58.4	772

Table 18 **Demographic Characteristics of** Children in Indicated Sexual Abuse Reports Only Fiscal Year 1986

Child Characteristics	Number	Percent
age of Child		
0-2	238	4.9
3.5	998	20.4
6-9	1,224	25.0
10-13	1,236	25.2
14-17	1,190	24.3
Age not reported	16	<b>.3</b>
TOTAL	4,902	100.0
Sex of Child		
Boys	955	19.5
Girls	3,939	80.4
Sex not reported	8	.2
TOTAL	4,902	100.0
Child's Ethnic Group		
White	3,224	65.8
Black	1,260	25.7
Hispanic	322	6.6
Other/not reported	96	2.0
TOTAL	4,902	100.0

Table 19A **Percent of Indicated Perpetrators** by Relationship to Child Victim in Sexual Abuse Reports Only Fiscal Year 1986

*N = 7,006 Relationship	Percent of Involvement
Natural Parent	34,0
Step-Parent	11.3
Other	12.9
Parental Subst	itute 9.2
Babysitter	9.3
Sibling	7.4
Aunt/Uncle	8.2
Grandparent	5.0
Adoptive Parer	
Foster Parent	9
Day Care Staff	
Group Home/Ir	nstitution Staff .6
TOTAL	100.0

\*Note: Duplicated Count

Table 19B Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Sex in Sexual Abuse Reports Only Fiscal Year 1986

*N = 4,877	Percent of
Sex	Involvement
Male	78.9
Female	18.8
Not Identified	2.3
TOTAL	100.0
* Note: Man-Dunlicated	Count

Table 19C Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Age in Sexual Abuse Reports Only Fiscal Year 1986

*N = 4,877	Percent of	
Age Group	Involvement	
Under 20	18.2	
20-30	20.9	um biir
30-40	28.8	
40-50	13.3	and a
Over 50		
Not Identified	10.0	Χ. έ
TOTAL	100.0	

\*Note: Non-Duplicated Count

Table 19D Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Race in Sexual Abuse Reports Only Fiscal Year 1986

	*N = 487 Race	7			cent o	
M L W M.	White	u-Cauch, ag réireathnaim ji Tgar d'Aeathna à r	Promite the Control of the Control o		62.4	nga kindagai nyo mba isi nika babila
ا دوروستان دوروستان	Black				26.2	
Tarking (	Spanish Other			The state of the same of the s	6.6	
- Andrews	Other Not Identifi	ed		والمراجعة والمحاجمة والمتحر والماجه	4.1	لششي
)	TOTAL			1	0.00	

\*Note: Non-Duplicated Count

more than half of all indicated sexual abuse perpetrators.

The perpetrator in 78.9 percent of sexual abuse cases was male. (See Table 19B.) Age and racial characteristics of sexual abuse perpetrators are presented in Tables 19C and 19D. The most common age range for indicated perpetrators was between 30 and 40 (28.8 percent). Most perpetrators (62.4 percent) were white.

#### **D.Perpetrators**

Each family report of suspected child abuse and/ or neglect to the State Central Register may contain multiple alleged perpetrators and child victims. The perpetrator-child relationship may also vary in a single family report (e.g., the perpetrator may be the natural parent of one child victim and a step-parent of another child victim in the family). In addition, a perpetrator may abuse or neglect more than one child within the family. Data presented in Tables 20, 21 and 22, therefore, contain duplicated counts of the perpetrator if the perpetrator abused or neglected more than one child within the family.

A natural parent was most often indicated as perpetrator in all reports. During fiscal year 1986,

75.0 percent of all indicated child victims were abused and/or neglected by natural parent(s). Nearly three-quarters of the time, the natural mother was the perpetrator. Natural fathers were more likely to be indicated for abuse of a child rather than for neglect. The cultural role of the mother in a family—being responsible for the physical care of the children, while the father is responsible for discipline—may affect the apparently disproportionate representation of natural parent perpetrators. In addition, 18.4 percent of all families with children under age 18 in Illinois are headed by a female with no husband present.

In all other perpetrator-child relationship groups, males are more likely to be indicated for abuse of the child, while females are more likely to be indicated for neglect.

The majority of all perpetrators are between the ages of 20 and 40 (Table 23), with more than one-third of all perpetrators between ages 20 and 30. For male perpetrators, indicated rates generally increase with age, while for female perpetrators rates decrease with age.

Since black children are disproportionately reported as being abused or neglected, black perpetrators are also disproportionately represented.

Table 20
Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Relationship to Child Victim in All Reports of Abuse/Neglect
Fiscal Year 1986

	*N = 45,204 Relationship	Percent of Involvement	N = 17,512 Percent Male	N = 27,466 Percent Female
	Natural Parent	75.0	26.8	73.1
	Parental Substitute	5.5	91.8	760 3 44 <b>8.1</b> 4 6 6 6 6 6
n made (1997) - <b>gas</b> # 200 mm	Step-Parent	5.7	86.8	13.1
And the second	Babysitter	2.7	62.3	37.2
* Parliment and	Aunt/Uncle	2.5	63.1	36.5
	Sibling	1.7	82.6	7.00 (1.00 <b>1.7.1</b> )
Ad a said in messagan said	Adoptive Parent	.6	60.8	39.2
	Foster Parent	7	44.4	55.6
والتعاقبية أستعدا	Other	5.7	59.4	34.1
	TOTAL	100.0	38.7	60.8

\*Note: Each family report of suspected child abuse/neglect may contain up to four (4) caretaker(s)/
perpetrator(s) and up to ten (10) alleged child victims. The relationship of the caretaker(s)/
perpetrator(s) may vary among the alleged child victim(s). For example, a perpetrator is alleged
to have abused five (5) childen in the family. The perpetrator is the natural parent of one (1)
child and the step-parent of the other four (4) children. "N" therefore, represents a duplicated
count of each perpetrator to each child victim. The male and female categories above do not
add up to a total of 45,204 because 226 reports did not specify the sex of the alleged perpetrator.

Within ethnic groups by sex, black females represent the largest proportion of indicated perpetrators (Table 24).

#### E. Service Delivery

The Division of Program Operations is responsible for the second major component of child protective services: the provision of services to remedy problems and to prevent harm to children. When an investigation results in an indicated finding, the investigative unit transfers the case to a service unit in the Division of Program Operations. Service

staff either provide or purchase the services that are needed to protect the child from harm. Whenever possible, the family unit is preserved intact as long as the child is safe. The Division of Program Operations pursues the fulfillment of its mission to protect and care for children in one of six goals in the family's service plans. These "permanency goals" define the direction and purpose of service plans as indicated by individual needs.

The six goals are:

• Family Preservation: Maintaining the child in the home is the preferred goal when the

Table 21
Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Relationship to Child Victims in Abuse Reports Only
Fiscal Year 1986

*N = 20,266 Relationship	Percent of Involvement	N = 11,733 Percent Male	N = 8,349 Percent Female
Natural Parent	59.6	41.3	58.6
Parental Substitute	8.8	93.3	6.6
Step-Parent	9.7	88.0	11.9
Babysitter	4.3	73.1	26.3
Aunt/Uncle	4.0	79.3	20.2
Sibling	3.2	89.3	10.4
Adoptive Parent	.9	67.6	32.4
Foster Parent	.8	50,6	49.4
Other	8.7	73.1	17.8
TOTAL	100.0	57.9	41.2。

\*Note: Duplicated Count

Table 22
Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Relationship to Child Victim in Neglect Reports Only
Fiscal Year 1986

	*N = 24,938 Relationship	Percent of Involvement	N = 5,779 Percent Male	N = 19,117 Percent Female
	Natural Parent	87.5	18.7	81.1
	Parental Substitute	2.8	88.0	11.9
	Step-Parent	2.5	83.2	16.8
	Babysitter	1.4	35.8	63.9
Se Comment of the Com	Aunt/Uncle	1.4	24.9	75.1
	Sibling	A	35.5	64.5
no anti-motel (propri) and printers	Adoptive Parent	.3	41.5	58.5
	Foster Parent	.5	36.4	63.6
	Other	3.3	29.6	69.3
	TOTAL	100.0	23.2	76.7

\*Note: Duplicated Count

child's safety and well-being are assured.

- Family Reunification: Returning children to their parents' home is the preferred goal for children who have been placed in substitute care.
- Adoption: Adoption is the preferred permanency goal when the biological family is unwilling or unable to care for a child.
- Long-Term Placement: Long-term placement is generally not a preferred goal for a child since it fails to provide the child with a sense of continuity and belonging. Long-term placement is a goal only when a child over age 14 years does not want to be adopted, when the court refuses to terminate parental rights, or when relatives or foster parents commit themselves to raise the child to maturity.
- Independence: Independence may be a goal for mature older adolescents who have dem-

onstrated the ability to care for themselves, who do not wish to be adopted, and who have the potential to attain economic self-sufficiency.

 Continuous Care: A small percentage of the Department's wards have severe physical, mental, or emotional handicaps. Most often these children receive services in long-term facilities. The goal for them is the provision of humane continuous care.

The cluster of services provided by the service units to achieve a permanency goal may include counseling, homemaker services, protective day care, foster or residential care, and advocacy. Protective services are provided, arranged for, and monitored until it is deemed appropriate to terminate services according to the needs of the child/family and Department rules and procedures.

Table 23
Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Age
in All Reports of Abuse/Neglect
Fiscal Year 1986

*N = 25,276 Age Group	Percent	N = 10,719 Percent Male	N = 14,376 Percent Female
Under 20	9.1	44,2	55,6
20 - 30	37.1	31.1	68.8
30 - 40	30,8	43.4	56.4
40 - 50	9.9	59.1	40.9
Over 50	4.5	69,5	30,3
Not Identified	8.5	52.3	40.6
TOTAL	100.0	42.4	56.9

\*Note: Non-Duplicated Count

Table 24
Percent of Indicated Perpetrators by Race
in All Reports of Abuse/Neglect
Fiscal Year 1986

*N = 25,276 Race	Percent	N = 10,719 Percent Male	N = 14,376 Percent Femal
White	56.5	48.8	51,1
Black	34.6	30.4	69.4
Spanish	6.2	50.7	49.0
Other	.9	51.3	48,3
Not Identified	1.8	39.3	30.5

\*Note: Non-Duplicated Count; male and female numbers do not include 181 perpetrators whose sex is not known.

## V. Accomplishments in Fiscal Year 1986

#### A. The Division of Child Protection

The Department of Children and Family Services, through its Division of Child Protection, has received national recognition for successful innovative approaches to child protection investigations. Illinois was one of the first states to

implement the use of a risk assessment instrument during child protection investigations. This instrument, along with the Child Protection Investigations Handbook, has been put to good use in other states. In fiscal year 1986, the Department's achievements in child protection include the following:

- Development of two publications, a Child Death Autopsy Protocol, for coroners and medical examiners, and Guidelines for Differentiating Accidental From Intentional Injuries, for medical professionals.
- Publication of a consumer child protection investigation brochure.
- Initiation of comprehensive changes in the training curriculum for child protection investigators.
- Upgrading of personnel classifications for child protection investigators.

- Installation of an updated computerized telephone system at the child abuse hotline.
- Establishment, for the first time, of a child protection manager for each region, to supervise child protection teams and licensing units in the region.
- Initiation of a major demonstration project in seven regions and the State Central Register, converting all investigative records to microfilm.
- Complete revision of all child protection investigative procedures as well as rules governing the program.

## WI. Looking Ahead

The Division of Child Protection has established the following goals for fiscal year 1987:

- Convert new guidelines for child abuse/ neglect investigations and licensing complaints to official DCP procedures.
- Develop a protocol for improving the professionalism of division staff.
- Establish an annual schedule for management development and a plan for field administration.
- Train community and Department staff on the new autopsy protocol and child injury guidelines.
- Complete microfilming of all historical records and establish a permanent system of microfilming.
- Standardize case preparation, hearing formats, written reports, and other similar activities.
- Develop a personality inventory profile of child abusers in group care facilities.
- Develop an employee support plan to prevent/ reduce on-the-job stress.

- Coordinate and integrate all information on child/family victimization and prepare guidelines and procedures.
- Conduct selected in-depth reviews of the performance of individual child protection investigators and licensing representatives as needed or identified by division administrators.
- Develop a demonstration project using graphology for evaluation of DCP clients.
- Conduct a research project on seasonal variations in Central Register intake and investigative performance.
- Conduct research to assess responsiveness of hotline staff, review workloads and performance expectations, and assist in identifying operational problems.
- Conduct a comprehensive review of the standard of evidence and formulate recommendations.
- Develop a legislative plan for the division for the next session of the Illinois General Assembly.

#### VII. Prevention

The Illinois Department of Children and Family Services has long recognized the need for prevention programs in its struggle to curb child abuse and neglect. With a steady rise in reports of child sexual abuse, and an alarming increase in statistics of physical abuse and neglect, the need for an effective, comprehensive prevention program requires little justification. It is well known that the problem of child maltreatment did not start with the current generation, nor will it end here. People who are abused as children will often become abusive parents, and a growing body of evidence indicates a strong link between adults with serious emotional problems, substance dependencies, and violent antisocial behavior, and children who have suffered non-accidental injury resulting in physical, emotional, and mental impairment. With this in mind, the Department has implemented several prevention programs which are not aimed at treating existing problems, but rather at improving family life by enhancing and promoting the positive qualities and strengths inherent in all individuals and families. Descriptions of the programs follow.

Child Abuse Prevention Fund: Created by the Illinois General Assembly in 1984, this program allows Illinois taxpayers to donate up to \$10 (\$20 for persons filing joint returns) of their state income tax refunds to support community prevention efforts.

Administered by DCFS, the Child Abuse Prevention Fund has reached approximately 80,000 participants. Services include community education, sexual abuse prevention education, parent helpline, parent aides, parenting training, and peer support groups.

Building Bridges: In an effort to get more schools involved in preventing and reporting sexual abuse, DCFS introduced its Building Bridges program—a model personal protection and sexual abuse prevention program designed for elementary school children. The aim of this project is to provide volunteers and child professionals with a comprehensive, concise, easy-to-use tool for instructing elementary-age children to identify and protect themselves against sexual victimization. DCFS conducted various workshops with parents and school staff in 1985.

In 1986 DCFS purchased sets of the curricula to be placed in school resource libraries and provided training to school personnel in their use. This curriculum series is now available throughout the state for use by schools in meeting their mandate to provide child safety education.

Parents Too Soon/Ounce of Prevention: Concerned with the magnitude and complexity of the issues surrounding teen sexuality, pregnancy, and parenting, a public/private partnership between DCFS and the Pittway Corporation Charitable Foundation emerged in 1982 to establish the Ounce of Prevention Fund for the purpose of preventing child abuse, neglect and other serious parenting problems. Out of this fund came about a model program, Parents Too Soon, to deal specifically with the problems of teen pregnancy.

Under the Parents Too Soon program, a full range of health, nutrition, educational/vocational, and support services are offered to adolescents with the common goal of preventing unplanned/premature pregnancy and the problems associated with it. The Department's components of this program are home visitors to young families with newborn children, day care, and a parenting training and support group. Based upon the success of the original model, the Ounce of Prevention Fund currently supports, monitors, and evaluates 28 comprehensive, community-based Parents Too Soon programs.

Heart to Heart: The Department and the Ounce of Prevention have developed a unique prevention program to prevent the sexual abuse of children of adolescent parents. Implemented through the young mothers' groups currently operated, Heart to Heart provides a variety of information and support services to ensure that these young women have the knowledge and skills necessary to prevent the sexual abuse of their children. It also provides a warm and supportive environment that allows these young mothers to discuss and begin to deal with their own abuse.

Heart to Heart is achieving remarkable success as a prevention and family support program, as well as a research vehicle on sexual abuse issues. It is gaining national recognition.

## STATEWIDE CITIZENS' COMMITTEE ON CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT

Catherine Ryan, Chair Ryan & Miller, P.C. Chicago

Nancy Cafcas, School Psychologist South Metropolitan Association for Low Incidence Handicapped Flossmoor

Jon Conte, Ph.D., Assistant Professor School of Social Services Administration University of Chicago Chicago

Captain Mary Ann Dunlavey General Services Division Peoria Police Department Peoria

Charlotte Evans Communications for Youth Wayne

Fern Ferguson Program Coordinator Volunteers of America East St. Louis

Lisa Franke, Chief Assistant State's Attorney's Office Belleville

Sharon Grill Orland Park

Arvid Hammers, State Office Director Illinois Collaboration on Youth Springfield

Robin Kelly-Hamilton, Director Peoria Crisis Nursery Peoria Penny Kendall
National Advisory Committee to the
National Center on Child Abuse and
Neglect
Watseka

Howard B. Levy, M.D., Chairman Department of Pediatrics Mount Sinai Hospital Chicago

Commander Joe P. Mayo Youth Division Chicago Police Department Chicago

Sergeant Tim Moss Carbondale Police Department Carbondale

Nancy Peterson
Director, Chicago Council
National Committee for the Prevention
of Child Abuse
Chicago

Carmen Pikorz Borg-Warner Corporation Chicago

Katherine Robinson Citizens' Council on Children Springfield

Joan C. Scott, State's Attorney Fulton County Lewiston

Kathryn Zenoff Assistant State's Attorney Winnebago County Rockford