# 1985
# STATISTICAL REPORT






ALABAMA JUVENILE COURTS
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF PENSIONS & SECURITY

111008

# 1985 STATISTICAL REPORT

ALABAMA JUVENILE COURTS

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF PENSIONS & SECURITY



Prepared and Edited By:

Alabama Department of Youth Services
Office of Development and Information Services
P.O. Box 66
Mt. Meigs, Alabama 36057

Phone: (205) 272-9100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

# I. INTRODUCTION

The statistical information in this report has been compiled through a joint effort of the Alabama Department of Youth Services (DYS), the Alabama Juvenile Courts, and the Alabama Department of Pensions and Security (DPS). The data provides a summary and an analysis of juvenile delinquency and CHINS cases disposed of and reported to DYS by the juvenile courts during the calendar year 1985, as well as abuse, neglect, dependency and special proceedings cases reported to DPS.

Data concerning program operated by, or licensed by DYS, is also presented. Programs operated by DYS include the campuses, group homes, Diagnostic and Evaluation Center, the Wilderness Program, and the Interstate Compact on Juveniles. Long- and short-term facilities and programs licensed by DYS include attention homes, group homes, and detention facilities.

Every effort has been made to insure that the data presented in this report is accurate. It is only as accurate as the information provided to the Department of Youth Services by courts and licensed facilities. Continued emphasis is on the importance of accurate and timely reporting for compilation into a cumulative report.

In 1985, the in-house automated system used for processing the juvenile court statistics since 1981 became inoperable. Therefore, alternative means for compiling and generating data were utilized. The Department of Youth Services contracted with the Department of Correction's Correctional Industries Division to keypunch the statistical cards and have them transferred to nine-track data tapes. These tapes were then processed by the Data Systems Management Division of the State Department of Finance utilizing a modified statistical analysis program.

The statistical information generated is basically the same as that of the previous system, and this alternative method of processing information has proven to be quite cost efficient.

## II. METHODOLOGY

The primary method of data collection utilized by the Department of Youth Services to obtain data on cases disposed of by the juvenile courts in Alabama during 1985 was the Juvenile Court Statistical Card. It is the duty of each Juvenile Court Judge to maintain records on every case receiving a disposition by the court, whether formal or informal. The most significant data elements appearing on the Juvenile Court Statistical Card include basic demographic information (e.g. county, date of birth, sex and race) and general case history data: previous law encounters, source of referral, type of care received pending disposition, reason for referral to the court, manner of handling (with or without court appearance), adjudication and case disposition.

Data about the programs licensed by the Department of Youth Services were compiled from monthly reports and admission and discharge cards from each program. Data on the DYS operated institutional programs and community placement facilities were collected from monthly population reports and child placement cards.

Detailed information is provided by the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center about juveniles who have been committed to the Department of Youth Services. Data is also provided by the Interstate Compact Correspondent about the Interstate Compact on Juveniles.

Information on abuse, neglect, dependent and special proceedings cases is provided for this report by the Alabama Department of Pensions and Security, Division of Data Analysis and Reporting.

## III. JUVENILE COURT STATISTICS

### Five Year Comparison

Five years ago in 1981, the Department of Youth Services processed a total of 23,889 delinquency and CHINS cases that were disposed of by the Alabama Juvenile Courts. In 1985 there was a total of 24,528 disposed cases reported. This represents an overall 2.7% increase in reported juvenile crimes in the last five years. (See Figure 1, 7 and 8.)

In addition to comparing actual offenses disposed of in the past five years, a comparison was also done on the rate per 100,000 population for reported offenses. This method of comparison is particularly useful because obtaining the rate of offenses eliminates the population variable. It compares offenses as if all counties had a population of 100,000 persons.

In 1985 the rate of delinquency and CHINS offenses disposed per 100,000 population was 588.2; in 1981 the rate was 614.1. This reflects a percentage decrease of 4.4% in the past five years. (See Figure 2.)

#### DELINQUENCY AND CHINS OFFENSES

The percentage ratio of delinquency and CHINS offenses reported has also shifted slightly in the past five years. In 1981 approximately 77% of the total cases reported involved delinquency offenses, and 23% involved CHINS offenses. In 1985 the ratio was 74% delinquency offenses to 26% CHINS offenses reported. This reflects an approximate 3% increase in the ratio of CHINS offenses.

Between 1981 and 1985, there has been a decrease in the number of reported delinquency offenses while the number of CHINS offenses disposed of has increased somewhat.

The number of delinquency offenses has decreased 2.1% while the number of CHINS offenses has increased 19.0% in the last five years. (See Figure 3 and 5.)

The rate per 100,000 population for delinquency offenses has also decreased in the last five years. Again, however, the rate per 100,000 population for CHINS offenses has experienced an overall increase. The rate per 100,000 population for delinquency offenses decreased 8.7%, and the rate per 100,000 population for CHINS offenses increased 11.0%. (See Figure 4 and 6.)

CARE PENDING DISPOSITION

Since 1981 there has been a significant increase in the overall percentage of youth detained overnight compared to those who were not detained. The percentage of youth detained was 18.1% in 1981; in 1985, that percentage was 21.6%. The rate per 100,000 population for those youth who were not detained overnight was 502.9 in 1981; in 1985 that rate was 461.4. The great majority of the youth who were detained overnight were detained in a licensed detention facility. In fact, the percentage of youth who were detained overnight in a detention facility has increased from 11.5% to 16.5% in the last five years.

MANNER OF HANDLING

Between the years 1981 through 1985 there has been a shift in the manner juvenile court delinquency and CHINS cases were disposed of. This shift is toward a higher percentage of referrals being disposed of with an actual court appearance (formally) compared to being disposed of without a court appearance (informally.)

In the past five years there has been only a 1.1% increase in the number of reported cases that were disposed of with a court appearance, although there has been a 5.5% increase in the number of cases disposed of without a court appearance (informally).

DISPOSITIONS

As stated above, the number of dispositions that were handled with a court appearance has realized a relatively small increase during the past five years. On the other hand, there has been significant increases in the number of dispositions involving probation and consent decrees. Since 1981 there has been a 10.0% increase in probation and 31.5% increase in the number of consent decrees.

Of the total cases reported in 1985, 28.0% were placed on probation. In 1981 this percentage was 26.1%. In 1985, 7.0% of the total cases resulted in a consent decree while in 1981, the percentage of consent decrees was 5.5%.

Considering that the percentage of cases disposed of without a court appearance has increased between 1981 and 1985, there was a 16.9% increase in the number of cases disposed of by an informal adjustment in that same time period. The percentage of cases involving informal adjustments has increased from 8.2% in 1981 to 9.3% in 1985.

Figure 1




Figure 2



Figure 3




Figure 4



Figure 5




Figure 6



Figure 7

# TOTAL JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS

Five Year Comparison



Figure 8


JUVENILE COURT OFFENSES BY CATEGORY
Five Year Comparison
(Thousands)
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
Vio
Prop
Ptll
CHINS
Tech

## IV. 1985 JUVENILE COURT CASES

### A. Summary of Findings

A total of 24,528 juvenile cases were processed by the Department of Youth Services on delinquency and CHINS cases disposed of by Alabama Juvenile Courts in 1985, a 13.2% increase from the previous year. However, during the past five years the overall increase in reported juvenile court dispositions has been 2.7%.

Of the total dispositions reported to DYS, violent offenses accounted for 7.3%, property offenses 26.7%, Part II offenses 35.1%, and CHINS offenses 26.3%. The remaining 4.6% were referred for technical offenses such as violation of probation or violation of aftercare. Since some youth are referred to the courts more than once during the year, the 24,528 total cases reported involve a lesser number of youth. (See Figure 9.)

Of the 1,792 violent offenses reported, the great majority (1,116) involved simple assault, while 378 offenses of aggravated assault were reported. In other violent offenses, there was 37 cases of murder, 8 cases of manslaughter, 96 cases of forcible rape, and 157 cases of robbery (both weapon and strong-arm).

Property offenses accounted for 6,544 of the offenses, a 5.6% increase over 1984. The most frequent property offense reported was larceny (shoplifting) 2,616. In addition, there were 1,949 burglaries, 1,519 larcenies, and 460 motor vehicle thefts.

There was a total of 8,610 cases involving Part II offenses reported, a 14.1% increase over the previous year. There were 66 cases of arson, 615 cases of buying, receiving or concealing stolen property, 1,051 cases of vandalism, and 954 cases of disorderly conduct.

There was a total of 678 drug offenses reported a (6.6% increase over the previous year). Liquor law violations accounted for 1,253 offenses, a 5.8% increase over 1984. Liquor law violations include driving under the influence, violation of liquor laws and drunkenness.

The largest increase in a specific offense catagory over 1984 involved CHINS offenses, which experienced a 20.8% increase. There was 1,379 cases of truancy reported a (10.9% increase) 1,947 cases of running away (a 22.1% increase), and 2,953 cases of ungovernable behavior/beyond control (a 31.4% increase). The remaining 176 CHINS offenses involved other CHINS offenses such as violation of curfew.

Of the total cases reported, 37.1% were disposed of without a court appearance and 62.9% with a court appearance. Of the total cases without a court appearance, 23.2% were lectured and released and 9.3% involved an informal adjustment.

Twenty-eight percent (6,871) of the cases disposed of with a court appearance were to be supervised by a probation officer, and 1.2% (285) were waived to adult court. Fourteen and one-half percent (3,576) were dismissed, 7.0% (1,714) involved an consent decree, and 2.7% were fined. Only approximately one-third of the cases involving a court appearance were adjudicated delinquent (7,648) and 6.5% (1,605) were adjudicated CHINS.

Males composed 81.5% of the delinquency cases, but they accounted for only one-half of the CHINS cases. White males accounted for 48.6% of the delinquency cases, black males 32.9%, white females 11.0% and black females 7.4%. CHINS cases were composed of 36.1% white males, 14.6% black males, 35.6% white females, and 13.3% black females. Approximately 63% of the total cases were white and 37% were black, representing a slight decrease in the number of black referred to the courts in 1985 over 1984.

The most frequently occurring age was 16 - 17 years old, with 41.9% of all referrals (CHINS and delinquency). Other age frequencies for offenses reported in 1985 are as follows in descending order: 14 - 15 years old (37.1%); 12 - 13 years old (12.9%); 11 years old and below (6.4%); and 18 years old and older (1.7%)

Sixty-one percent of the youth reported had no prior offenses. Forty-one percent of the males had at least one prior offense, while only thirty-three percent of the females had at least one prior offense to the juvenile court. This represents a slight increase over last year.

Approximately 56% of all referrals were made by law enforcement agencies, (13,779), 12.9% by the victim, (3,153), 15.1% by parents/relatives, (3,692), and 7.0% by school authorities (1,712). The remaining 9.0% of the referrals were made by a juvenile probation officer, social agency, or other court or source.

Seventy-eight percent of the referrals were not detained overnight. Of the total cases reported (delinquency and CHINS), 3,817 (15.6%) were detained overnight in a juvenile detention facility. Only 1.2% of the total cases were detained in a jail or police station overnight. The remaining 4.8% were held in either an attention home/shelter care or protective custody/shelter care.

Figure 9

# 1985 TOTAL OFFENSES

## Juvenile Court Dispositions



Table 1

JUVENILE COURT CASES CLASSIFIED BY REASON FOR REFERRAL
PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1984

| REFERRAL REASON | TOTAL CASES - 1984 | TOTAL CASES - 1985 | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL JUVENILE COURT CASES | 21,674 | 24,528 | 13.17 |
| Murder/Non-Neg. Manslaughter | 24 | 37 | 54.17 |
| Manslaughter by Negligence | 9 | 8 | (-11.11) |
| Forcible Rape | 103 | 96 | (-6.80) |
| Robbery (Weapon) | 96 | 104 | 8.33 |
| Robbery (Strong-Arm) | 67 | 53 | (-20.90) |
| Assault (Aggravated) | 317 | 378 | 19.24 |
| Assault (Simple) | 1,012 | 1,116 | 10.28 |
| Burglary | 1,917 | 1,949 | 1.67 |
| Larceny (Except Shoplifting) | 1,643 | 1,519 | (-7.55) |
| Larceny (Shoplifting) | 2,256 | 2,616 | 15.96 |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 381 | 460 | 20.73 |
| Arson | 43 | 66 | 53.49 |
| Forgery/Counterfeiting | 177 | 135 | (-23.73) |
| Fraud | 48 | 48 | 0 |
| Embezzlement | 4 | 0 | (-100.00) |
| Stolen Property: Buying, Receiving, Possessing | 626 | 615 | (-1.76) |
| Vandalism/Destruction of Property | 907 | 1,051 | 15.88 |
| Weapons: Carrying, Possessing, Etc. | 167 | 182 | 8.98 |
| Prostitution & Commercialized Vice | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape) | 207 | 194 | (-6.28) |
| Violation of Drug Laws: Narcotics (Possession) | 186 | 212 | 13.98 |

Table 1 - (Cont'd)

JUVENILE COURT CASES CLASSIFIED BY REASON FOR REFERRAL
PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1984

| REFERRAL REASON | TOTAL CASES - 1984 | TOTAL CASES - 1985 | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |
|---|---|---|---|
| Violation of Drug Laws: Narcotic (Selling) | 19 | 12 | (-36.84) |
| Violation of Drug Laws: Non-Narcotic (Possession) | 408 | 416 | 1.96 |
| Violation of Drug Laws: Non-Narcotic (Selling) | 23 | 38 | 65.22 |
| Driving Under the Influence | 122 | 132 | 8.20 |
| Liquor Laws | 684 | 814 | 19.01 |
| Drunkenness | 378 | 307 | (-18.78) |
| Disorderly conduct | 818 | 954 | 16.63 |
| Traffic Violations (Other than Driving Under the Influence) | 948 | 1,293 | 36.39 |
| Trespassing | 516 | 590 | 14.34 |
| Game Violations | 292 | 327 | 11.99 |
| Other Delinquent Offenses | 957 | 1,211 | 26.54 |
| Truancy | 1,243 | 1,379 | 10.94 |
| Running Away | 1,594 | 1,947 | 22.15 |
| Beyond Control/Ungovernable Behavior | 2,247 | 2,953 | 31.42 |
| Other CHINS Offenses | 261 | 176 | (-32.57) |
| Violation of Probation | 937 | 1,090 | 16.33 |
| Violation of Aftercare | 24 | 37 | 54.17 |

Table 2

REASON FOR REFERRAL

| A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>REASON FOR REFERRAL | TOTAL CASES | | MALES | | FEMALES | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 | (100.00%) | 18,013 | (100.00%) | 6,515 | (100.00%) |
| Violent Offenses - | 1,792 | ( 7.31%) | 1,414 | ( 7.85%) | 378 | ( 5.80%) |
| Murder/Non-neg. Manslaughter | 37 | ( .15%) | 35 | ( .19%) | 2 | ( . 3%) |
| Manslaughter by Negligence | 8 | ( . 3%) | 8 | ( . 4%) | 0 | 0 |
| Forcible Rape | 96 | ( .39%) | 95 | ( .53%) | 1 | ( . 2%) |
| Robbery (Weapon) | 104 | ( .42%) | 97 | ( .54%) | 7 | ( .11%) |
| Robbery (Strong-Arm) | 53 | ( .22%) | 52 | ( .29%) | 1 | ( .02%) |
| Assault (Aggravated) | 378 | ( 1.54%) | 303 | ( 1.68%) | 75 | ( 1.15%) |
| Assault (Simple) | 1,116 | ( 4.56%) | 824 | ( 4.57%) | 292 | ( 4.48%) |
| Property Offenses - | 6,544 | ( 26.68%) | 5,362 | ( 29.77%) | 1,182 | ( 18.14%) |
| Burglary | 1,949 | ( 7.95%) | 1,848 | ( 10.26%) | 101 | ( 1.55%) |
| Larceny (Except Shoplifting) | 1,519 | ( 6.19%) | 1, 341 | ( 7.45%) | 178 | ( 2.73%) |
| Larceny (Shoplifting) | 2,616 | ( 10.67%) | 1,744 | ( 9.68%) | 872 | ( 13.38%) |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 460 | ( 1.87%) | 429 | ( 2.38%) | 31 | ( .48%) |
| Part II Offenses - | 8,610 | ( 35.10%) | 7,186 | ( 39.89%) | 1,424 | ( 21.86% |
| Arson | 66 | ( .27%) | 54 | ( .30%) | 12 | ( .18%) |
| Forgery/Counterfeiting | 135 | ( .55%) | 81 | ( .45%) | 54 | ( .83%) |
| Fraud | 48 | ( .20%) | 29 | ( .16%) | 19 | ( .29%) |
| Embezzlement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stolen Property: Buying Receiving Possessing | 615 | ( 2.51%) | 536 | ( 2.98%) | 79 | ( 1.21%) |
| Vandalism/Destruction of Property | 1,051 | ( 4.29%) | 920 | ( 5.11%) | 131 | ( 2.01%) |
| Weapons: Carrying, Possessing, Etc. | 182 | ( .74%) | 162 | ( .90%) | 20 | ( .31%) |
| Prostitution & Commercialized Vice | 13 | ( .05%) | 8 | ( .04%) | 5 | ( .08%) |

Table 2 (Cont'd)

REASON FOR REFERRAL

| A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>REASON FOR REFERRAL | TOTAL CASES | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| Part II Offenses - Continued | | | |
| Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape & Prostitution) | 194 ( .79%) | 176 ( .98%) | 18 ( .28%) |
| Viol. Drug Laws: Narcotic (Possession) | 212 ( .86%) | 185 ( 1.03%) | 27 ( .41%) |
| Viol. Drug Laws: Narcotic (Selling) | 12 ( . 5%) | 9 ( . 5%) | 3 ( . 5%) |
| Viol. Drug Laws: Non-Narc (Possession) | 416 ( 1.70%) | 354 ( 1.97%) | 62 ( .95%) |
| Viol. Drug Laws: Non-Narc (Selling) | 38 ( .15%) | 32 ( .18%) | 6 ( . 9%) |
| Driving Under the Influence | 132 ( .54%) | 115 ( .64%) | 17 ( .26%) |
| Liquor Laws | 814 ( 3.32%) | 688 ( 3.82%) | 126 ( 1.93%) |
| Drunkenness | 307 ( 1.25%) | 270 ( .50%) | 37 ( .57%) |
| Disorderly Conduct | 954 ( 3.89%) | 683 ( 3.79%) | 271 ( 4.16%) |
| Traffic Violations (Other Than DUI) | 1,293 ( 5.27%) | 1,082 ( 6.01%) | 211 ( 3.24%) |
| Trespassing | 590 ( 2.40%) | 530 ( 2.94%) | 60 ( .92%) |
| Game Violations | 327 ( 1.33%) | 316 ( 1.75%) | 11 ( .17%) |
| Other | 1,211 ( 4.94%) | 956 ( 5.31%) | 255 ( 3.91%) |
| CHINS Offenses - | 6,455 (26.32%) | 3,284 (18.23%) | 3,171 (48.67%) |
| Truancy | 1,379 ( 5.62%) | 794 ( 4.41%) | 585 ( 8.98%) |
| Running Away | 1,947 ( 7.94%) | 778 ( 4.32%) | 1,169 (17.94%) |
| Beyond Control/Ungov. Behavior | 2,953 (12.04%) | 1,609 ( 8.93%) | 1,344 (20.63%) |
| Other CHINS Offenses | 176 ( .72%) | 103 ( .57%) | 73 ( 1.12%) |
| Technical Violations - | 1,127 ( 4.59%) | 767 ( 4.26%) | 360 ( 5.53%) |
| Violation of Probation | 1,090 ( 4.44%) | 739 ( 4.10%) | 351 ( 5.39%) |
| Violation of Aftercare | 37 ( .15%) | 28 ( .15%) | 9 ( .14%) |

Table 3

REASON FOR REFERRAL

| B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX<br>REASON FOR REFERRAL | TOTAL CASES | | MALES | | FEMALES | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 | (100.00%) | 18,013 | (100.00%) | 6,525 | (100.00%) |
| Murder/Non-Neg. Manslaughter | 37 | (100.00%) | 35 | ( 94.95%) | 2 | ( 5.41%) |
| Manslaughter by Negligence | 8 | (100.00%) | 8 | (100.00%) | 0 | 0 |
| Forcible Rape | 96 | (100.00%) | 95 | ( 98.96%) | 1 | ( 1.04%) |
| Robbery (Weapon) | 104 | (100.00%) | 97 | ( 93.27%) | 7 | ( 6.73%) |
| Robbery (Strong-Arm) | 53 | (100.00%) | 52 | ( 98.11%) | 1 | ( 1.89%) |
| Assault (Aggravated) | 378 | (100.00%) | 303 | ( 80.16%) | 75 | ( 19.84%) |
| Asaault (Simple) | 1,116 | (100.00%) | 824 | ( 73.84%) | 292 | ( 26.16%) |
| Burglary | 1,949 | (100.00%) | 1,848 | ( 94.82%) | 101 | ( 5.18%) |
| Larceny (Except Shoplifting) | 1,519 | (100.00%) | 1,341 | ( 88.28%) | 178 | ( 11.72%) |
| Larceny (Shoplifting) | 2,616 | (100.00%) | 1,744 | ( 66.67%) | 872 | ( 33.33%) |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 460 | (100.00%) | 429 | ( 93.26%) | 31 | ( 6.74%) |
| Arson | 66 | (100.00%) | 54 | ( 81.82%) | 12 | ( 18.18%) |
| Forgery/Counterfeiting | 135 | (100.00%) | 81 | ( 60.00%) | 54 | ( 40.00%) |
| Fraud | 48 | (100.00%) | 29 | ( 60.42%) | 19 | ( 39.58%) |
| Embezzlement | 0 | (100.00%) | 0 | ( 0%) | 0 | 0 |
| Stolen Property: Buying, Receiving Possessing | 615 | (100.00%) | 536 | ( 87.15%) | 79 | ( 12.85%) |
| Vandalism/Destruction of Property | 1,051 | (100.00%) | 920 | ( 87.54%) | 131 | ( 12.46%) |
| Weapons: Carrying, Possessing, Etc. | 182 | (100.00%) | 162 | ( 89.01%) | 20 | ( 10.99%) |
| Prostitution & Commercialized Vice | 13 | (100.00%) | 8 | ( 61.54%) | 5 | ( 38.46%) |
| Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape & Prostitution) | 194 | (100.00%) | 176 | ( 90.72%) | 18 | ( 9.28%) |
| Viol. Drug Laws: Narcotic (Possession) | 212 | (100.00%) | 185 | ( 87.26%) | 27 | ( 12.74%) |

Table 3 (Cont'd)

REASON FOR REFERRAL

| B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX<br>REASON FOR REFERRAL | TOTAL CASES | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| Viol. Drug Laws: Narcotic (Selling) | 12 (100.00%) | 9 (75.00%) | 3 (25.00%) |
| Viol. Drug Laws: Narc. (Possession) | 416 (100.00%) | 354 (85.10%) | 62 (14.90%) |
| Viol. Drug Laws: Narc. (Selling) | 38 (100.00%) | 32 (84.21%) | 6 (15.79%) |
| Driving Under the Influence | 132 (100.00%) | 115 (87.12%) | 17 (12.88%) |
| Liquor Laws | 814 (100.00%) | 688 (84.52%) | 126 (15.48%) |
| Drunkenness | 307 (100.00%) | 270 (87.95%) | 37 (12.05%) |
| Disorderly Conduct | 954 (100.00%) | 683 (71.59%) | 271 (28.41%) |
| Traffic Violations (Other Than DUI) | 1,293 (100.00%) | 1,082 (83.68%) | 211 (16.32%) |
| Trespassing | 590 (100.00%) | 530 (89.83%) | 60 (10.17%) |
| Game Violations | 327 (100.00%) | 316 (96.73%) | 11 (03.46%) |
| Other Delinquent Offenses | 1,211 (100.00%) | 956 (78.94%) | 255 (21.06%) |
| Truancy | 1,379 (100.00%) | 794 (57.58%) | 585 (42.42%) |
| Running Away | 1,947 (100.00%) | 778 (39.96%) | 1,169 (60.04%) |
| Beyond Control/Ungov. Behavior | 2,953 (100.00%) | 1,609 (54.49%) | 1,344 (45.51%) |
| Other CHINS Offenses | 176 (100.00%) | 103 (58.52%) | 73 (41.48%) |
| Violation of Probation | 1,090 (100.00%) | 739 (67.80%) | 351 (32.20%) |
| Violation of Aftercare | 37 (100.00%) | 28 (75.68%) | 9 (24.32%) |

Figure 10

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF JUVENILE COURT CASES

CALENDAR YEAR 1985

REGION II

6,717 cases
(27.39%)

REGION I

5,049 cases
(20.58%)




REGION III

5,789 cases
(27.68%)

REGION IV

5,973 cases
(24.35%)

Table 4

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1984

| COUNTY | TOTAL CASES - 1984 | TOTAL CASES - 1985 | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 21,674 | 24,528 | 13.17 |
| Jefferson | 2,772 | 2,954 | 6.57 |
| Mobile | 3,825 | 4,514 | 18.01 |
| Montgomery | 1,198 | 1,367 | 14.11 |
| Autauga | 130 | 126 | (- 3.08) |
| Baldwin | 313 | 429 | 37.06 |
| Barbour | 183 | 149 | (-18.58) |
| Bibb | 72 | 83 | 15.28 |
| Blount | 105 | 176 | 67.62 |
| Bullock | 14 | 26 | 85.71 |
| Butler | 161 | 160 | (- .62) |
| Calhoun | 804 | 882 | 9.70 |
| Chambers | 321 | 538 | 67.60 |
| Cherokee | 97 | 120 | 23.71 |
| Chilton | 22 | 52 | 136.36 |
| Choctaw | 16 | 25 | 56.25 |
| Clarke | 82 | 89 | 8.54 |
| Clay | 129 | 116 | (-10.08) |
| Cleburne | 29 | 16 | (-44.83) |
| Coffee | 330 | 569 | 72.42 |
| Colbert | 187 | 242 | 29.41 |
| Conecuh | 30 | 30 | -0- |
| Coosa | 12 | 9 | (-25.00) |

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1984

| COUNTY | TOTAL CASES - 1984 | TOTAL CASES - 1985 | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |
|---|---|---|---|
| Covington | 252 | 236 | (-6.35) |
| Crenshaw | 15 | 25 | 66.67 |
| Cullman | 323 | 354 | 9.60 |
| Dale | 392 | 427 | 8.93 |
| Dallas | 543 | 510 | (-6.08) |
| DeKalb | 137 | 119 | (-13.14) |
| Elmore | 79 | 104 | 31.65 |
| Escambia | 141 | 361 | 156.03 |
| Etowah | 534 | 537 | .56 |
| Fayette | 125 | 100 | (-20.00) |
| Franklin | 369 | 325 | (-11.92) |
| Geneva | 181 | 145 | (-19.89) |
| Greene | 20 | 30 | 50.00 |
| Hale | 59 | 33 | (-44.07) |
| Henry | 80 | 50 | (-37.50) |
| Houston | 980 | 953 | (-2.76) |
| Jackson | 374 | 451 | 20.59 |
| Lamar | 40 | 54 | 35.00 |
| Lauderdale | 298 | 503 | 68.79 |
| Lawrence | 66 | 126 | 90.91 |
| Lee | 479 | 413 | (-13.78) |
| Limestone | 74 | 118 | 59.46 |
| Lowndes | 25 | 34 | 36.00 |

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1985

| COUNTY | TOTAL CASES 1984 | TOTAL CASES 1985 | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |
|---|---|---|---|
| Macon | 99 | 162 | 63.64 |
| Madison | 1,064 | 924 | (-13.16) |
| Marengo | 195 | 231 | 18.46 |
| Marion | 61 | 56 | ( -8.20) |
| Marshall | 187 | 315 | 68.45 |
| Monroe | 82 | 79 | (-3.66) |
| Morgan | 579 | 677 | 16.93 |
| Perry | 32 | 50 | 56.25 |
| Pickens | 31 | 54 | 74.19 |
| Pike | 150 | 207 | 38.00 |
| Randolph | 73 | 30 | (-58.90) |
| Russell | 365 | 374 | 2.47 |
| St. Clair | 42 | 75 | 78.57 |
| Shelby | 258 | 375 | 45.35 |
| Sumter | 33 | 45 | 36.36 |
| Talladega | 552 | 542 | (-1.81) |
| Tallapoosa | 27 | 75 | 177.78 |
| Tuscaloosa | 888 | 1,049 | 18.13 |
| Walker | 267 | 310 | 16.10 |
| Washington | 43 | 85 | 97.67 |
| Wilcox | 58 | 51 | (-12.07) |
| Winston | 70 | 82 | 17.14 |

Table 5

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

| COUNTY | MURD/ NON-NEGL MANS | MANS BY NEGLI GENCE | FORC IBLE RAPE | ROB-BERY (WEA PON) | ROB-BERY (STR-ARM) | AS-SAULT (AGGRA-VATED) | AS-SAULT (SIM-PLE) | TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES | BUR-GLARY | LARC (EXC SHOP-LIFT) | LAR-CENY (SHOP-LIFT) | MOTOR VE-HICLE THEFT | TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSES | ARSON | FORG/ COUNT-ERFEIT-ING | FRAUD |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Autauga | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 25 | 0 | [illegible] | 0 |
| Baldwin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 28 | 55 | 30 | 31 | 8 | 124 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Barbour | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Bibb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Blount | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bullock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Butler | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Calhoun | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 15 | 47 | 72 | 72 | 78 | 85 | 9 | 244 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| Chambers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 16 | 22 | 61 | 2 | 101 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Cherokee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chilton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Choctaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clarke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cleburne | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coffee | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 32 | 42 | 22 | 40 | 47 | 29 | 137 | 5 | 7 | 0 |
| Colbert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 7 | 38 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conecuh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Coosa | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Covington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 1 | 36 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Crenshaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cullman | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 57 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 84 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Dale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 45 | 42 | 19 | 6 | 112 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Dallas | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 32 | 53 | 37 | 16 | 81 | 3 | 137 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| DeKalb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Elmore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Escambia | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 22 | 30 | 20 | 22 | 4 | 76 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Etowah | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 27 | 36 | 51 | 57 | 8 | 152 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Fayette | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Franklin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Geneva | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Greene | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Hale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Henry | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 60 | 70 | 27 | 54 | 67 | 10 | 158 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Jackson | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 45 | 2 | 73 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Jefferson | 14 | 2 | 18 | 41 | 5 | 87 | 198 | 365 | 313 | 231 | 442 | 152 | 1138 | 3 | 22 | 12 |
| Lamar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lauderdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 34 | 36 | 90 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Lawrence | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 21 | 34 | 35 | 58 | 12 | 139 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Limestone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lowndes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Macon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 19 | 4 | 13 | 31 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Madison | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 36 | 52 | 148 | 70 | 107 | 31 | 356 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Marengo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marshall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 32 | 37 | 30 | 25 | 42 | 4 | 101 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Mobile | 9 | 2 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 75 | 218 | 356 | 268 | 173 | 497 | 31 | 969 | 5 | 11 | 8 |
| Monroe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Montgomery | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 28 | 57 | 119 | 167 | 157 | 114 | 28 | 466 | 13 | 6 | 2 |
| Morgan | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 5 | 49 | 46 | 11 | 81 | 18 | 156 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Perry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pickens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pike | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 25 | 9 | 23 | 17 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Randolph | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Russell | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 24 | 42 | 13 | 33 | 10 | 98 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| St. Clair | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Shelby | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 23 | 47 | 31 | 6 | 19 | 103 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Sumter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Talladega | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 49 | 60 | 30 | 51 | 65 | 2 | 168 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Tallapoosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Tuscaloosa | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 18 | 34 | 89 | 40 | 251 | 19 | 399 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| Walker | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 20 | 11 | 16 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Wilcox | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Winston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| STATE TOTAL | 37 | 8 | 96 | 104 | 53 | 378 | 1116 | 1792 | 1949 | 1519 | 2616 | 466 | 6544 | 60 | 135 | 48 |

Table 5 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

| EMBEZ-ZLE-MENT | STOLEN PROP: BUY/REC/ CONCEAL | VANDAL/ DESTRUCT OF PROP-ERTY | WEAP: CARRY, POSS, ETC. | PROSTIT AND COMMER VICE | SEX OFFEN EXC FORC RAPE AND PROSTIT | VIOL DRUG-NARC POSS | VIOL DRUG-NARC SELL | VIOL DRUG-NNARC POSS | VIOL DRUG-NNARC SELL | DUI | LI-QUOR LAWS | DRUN-KEN-NESS | DIS-ORD CON-DUCT | TRAFFIC VIOL/OTHER THAN DUI) | TRES-PASS-ING |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| 0 | 7 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 24 | 4 |
| 0 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 4 |
| 0 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 16 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 12 | 30 | 35 | 11 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 54 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 13 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 26 | 6 | 50 | 15 | 14 |
| 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 30 | 6 | 22 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 18 | 10 | 6 |
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 0 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 30 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 1 |
| 0 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 40 | 1 | 9 | 34 | 13 |
| 0 | 23 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 24 | 8 | 7 |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 47 | 1 |
| 0 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 2 |
| 0 | 17 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 39 | 21 | 13 |
| 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 9 | 1 | 216 | 0 |
| 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 18 | 46 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 9 | 77 | 12 | 33 | 175 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 38 | 6 | 19 | 43 | 0 |
| 0 | 133 | 112 | 37 | 3 | 19 | 26 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 46 | 53 | 38 | 59 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 7 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 67 | 11 |
| 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 17 |
| 0 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 19 | 3 | 15 |
| 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 0 | 14 | 32 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 18 | 23 | 11 |
| 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 6 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 15 | 21 | 3 | 18 |
| 0 | 158 | 191 | 46 | 9 | 49 | 64 | 2 | 86 | 1 | 3 | 152 | 31 | 198 | 178 | 156 |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| 0 | 49 | 44 | 41 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 37 | 3 | 63 |
| 0 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 35 | 7 | 62 | 31 | 35 |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 45 | 15 | 6 |
| 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 15 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 11 |
| 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 34 | 20 | 18 | 3 | 12 |
| 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 0 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 9 | 26 | 4 | 20 | 11 | 17 |
| 0 | 4 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 8 | 10 | 30 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 0 | 615 | 1051 | 182 | 13 | 194 | 212 | 12 | 416 | 38 | 132 | 814 | 307 | 954 | 1293 | 590 |

Table 5 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

| COUNTY | GAME VIOL | OTHER DELIN-QUENT OFFNS | TOTAL PART II OFFENSES | TRUANCY | RUN-NING AWAY | UNGOV-ERNABLE BEHAV-IOR | OTHER CHINS OFFEN-SES | TOTAL CHINS OFFEN-SES | VIOL-ATION OF PRO BATION | VIOLA-TION OF AFTER-CARE | TOTAL TECHNICAL OFFENSES | TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Autauga | 8 | 16 | 50 | 0 | 9 | 36 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 126 |
| Baldwin | 8 | 7 | 130 | 14 | 76 | 53 | 0 | 143 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 429 |
| Barbour | 0 | 9 | 37 | 17 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 149 |
| [illegible] | 0 | 12 | 45 | 2 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 83 |
| [illegible] | 1 | 9 | 69 | 2 | 21 | 38 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 176 |
| Bullock | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 26 |
| Butler | 0 | 17 | 54 | 6 | 19 | 22 | 4 | 51 | 23 | 2 | 25 | 160 |
| Calhoun | 8 | 57 | 341 | 65 | 72 | 72 | 2 | 211 | 12 | 2 | 14 | 882 |
| Chambers | 3 | 71 | 189 | 20 | 35 | 160 | 16 | 231 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 538 |
| Cherokee | 36 | 6 | 77 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 120 |
| Chilton | 2 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 52 |
| Choctaw | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Clarke | 16 | 6 | 51 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| Clay | 1 | 1 | 54 | 6 | 3 | 21 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| Cleburne | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Coffee | 3 | 18 | 194 | 37 | 65 | 63 | 0 | 165 | 24 | 7 | 31 | 569 |
| Colbert | 1 | 0 | 113 | 13 | 20 | 23 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 |
| Conecuh | 2 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Coosa | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Covington | 8 | 20 | 108 | 37 | 19 | 16 | 1 | 73 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 238 |
| Crenshaw | 0 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Cullman | 1 | 11 | 146 | 9 | 42 | 47 | 4 | 102 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 354 |
| Dale | 2 | 11 | 178 | 18 | 31 | 61 | 5 | 115 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 427 |
| Dallas | 4 | 43 | 161 | 25 | 31 | 73 | 3 | 132 | 26 | 1 | 27 | 510 |
| DeKalb | 9 | 14 | 39 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| Elmore | 2 | 9 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| Escambia | 0 | 13 | 65 | 94 | 29 | 50 | 3 | 176 | 17 | 5 | 22 | 361 |
| Etowah | 21 | 2 | 203 | 63 | 32 | 51 | 5 | 151 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 537 |
| Fayette | 1 | 0 | 52 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Franklin | 0 | 2 | 264 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 |
| Geneva | 8 | 1 | 74 | 2 | 16 | 21 | 2 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 145 |
| Greene | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Hale | 2 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Henry | 6 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 50 |
| Houston | 9 | 38 | 475 | 21 | 56 | 155 | 1 | 233 | 15 | 2 | 17 | 953 |
| Jackson | 51 | 21 | 224 | 39 | 33 | 65 | 0 | 137 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 451 |
| Jefferson | 6 | 142 | 762 | 93 | 288 | 235 | 4 | 620 | 68 | 1 | 69 | 2954 |
| Lamar | 0 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 54 |
| Lauderdale | 19 | 82 | 281 | 10 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 503 |
| Lawrence | 1 | 0 | 50 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 |
| Lee | 2 | 32 | 138 | 21 | 37 | 43 | 3 | 104 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 413 |
| Limestone | 1 | 13 | 64 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 118 |
| Lowndes | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Macon | 11 | 9 | 58 | 4 | 2 | 22 | 5 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 162 |
| Madison | 2 | 34 | 219 | 97 | 85 | 60 | 4 | 246 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 924 |
| Marengo | 0 | 7 | 42 | 125 | 6 | 31 | 1 | 163 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 231 |
| Marion | 0 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Marshall | 2 | 13 | 147 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 315 |
| Mobile | 3 | 204 | 1555 | 94 | 272 | 862 | 18 | 1246 | 387 | 1 | 388 | 4514 |
| Monroe | 3 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| Montgomery | 0 | 99 | 388 | 43 | 124 | 104 | 10 | 281 | 110 | 3 | 113 | 1367 |
| Morgan | 15 | 13 | 263 | 62 | 67 | 31 | 1 | 161 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 677 |
| Perry | 3 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| [illegible] | 0 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| [illegible] | 1 | 18 | 73 | 11 | 23 | 24 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 207 |
| Randolph | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Russell | 0 | 16 | 126 | 32 | 18 | 33 | 11 | 94 | 30 | 2 | 32 | 374 |
| St. Clair | 5 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 75 |
| Shelby | 0 | 10 | 149 | 9 | 15 | 75 | 0 | 99 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 375 |
| Sumter | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Talladega | 3 | 34 | 174 | 45 | 30 | 47 | 15 | 137 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 542 |
| Tallapoosa | 0 | 5 | 27 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 75 |
| Tuscaloosa | 16 | 24 | 185 | 54 | 109 | 114 | 6 | 285 | 145 | 1 | 146 | 1049 |
| Walker | 3 | 13 | 147 | 32 | 40 | 20 | 2 | 94 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 310 |
| Washington | 9 | 1 | 30 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 85 |
| Wilcox | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Winston | 2 | 3 | 58 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| STATE TOTAL | 327 | 1211 | 8810 | 1379 | 1947 | 2953 | 176 | 6455 | 1096 | 37 | 1127 | 24528 |

Table 6

RACE

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RACE | TOTAL | | | MALES | | | FEMALES | |
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 | (100.00%) | | 18,013 | (100.00%) | | 6,515 | (100.00%) |
| White | 15,399 | ( 62.78%) | | 11,106 | ( 61.66%) | | 4,293 | ( 65.89%) |
| Black | 9,090 | ( 37.06%) | | 6,883 | ( 38.21%) | | 2,207 | ( 33.88%) |
| Other | 39 | ( .16%) | | 24 | ( .13%) | | 15 | ( .23%) |
| DISTRIBUTION BY SEX | 24,528 | (100.00%) | | 18,013 | (100.00%) | | 6,515 | (100.00%) |
| White | 15,399 | (100.00%) | | 11,106 | ( 72.12%) | | 4,293 | ( 27.88%) |
| Black | 9,090 | (100.00%) | | 6,883 | ( 75.72%) | | 2,207 | ( 24.28%) |
| Other | 39 | (100.00%) | | 24 | ( 61.54%) | | 15 | ( 38.46%) |

Table 7

AGE

| A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>AGE | TOTAL CASES | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 (100.00%) | 18,013 (100.00%) | 6,515 (100.00%) |
| 5 and under | 171 ( .70%) | 122 ( .68%) | 49 ( .75%) |
| 6 - 9 | 466 ( 1.90%) | 355 ( 1.97%) | 111 ( 1.70%) |
| 10-11 | 941 ( 3.84%) | 749 ( 4.16%) | 192 ( 2.95%) |
| 12-13 | 3,158 ( 12.88%) | 2,194 ( 12.18%) | 964 ( 14.80%) |
| 14-15 | 9,095 ( 37.08%) | 6,260 ( 34.75%) | 2,835 ( 43.52%) |
| 16-17 | 10,279 ( 41.90%) | 8,007 ( 44.45%) | 2,272 ( 34.87%) |
| 18 and over | 418 ( 1.70%) | 326 ( 1.81%) | 92 ( 1.41%) |

| B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX<br>AGE | | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 (100.00%) | 18,013 (100.00%) | 6,515 (100.00%) |
| 5 and under | 171 (100.00%) | 122 ( 71.34%) | 49 ( 28.66%) |
| 6 - 9 | 466 (100.00%) | 355 ( 76.18%) | 111 ( 23.82%) |
| 10-11 | 941 (100.00%) | 749 ( 79.60%) | 192 ( 20.40%) |
| 12-13 | 3,158 (100.00%) | 2,194 ( 69.47%) | 964 ( 30.53%) |
| 14-15 | 9,095 (100.00%) | 6,260 ( 68.83%) | 2,835 ( 31.17%) |
| 16-17 | 10,279 (100.00%) | 8,007 ( 77.90%) | 2,272 ( 22.10%) |
| 18 and over | 418 (100.00%) | 326 ( 77.99%) | 92 ( 22.01%) |

Table 8

SOURCE OF REFERRAL

| A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>REFERRAL SOURCE | TOTAL | | MALES | | FEMALES | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL REFERRALS | 24,528 | (100.00%) | 18,013 | (100.00%) | 6,515 | (100.00%) |
| Law Enforcement Agency | 13,779 | ( 56.18%) | 11,155 | ( 61.93%) | 2,624 | ( 40.28%) |
| School | 1,712 | ( 6.98%) | 1,107 | ( 6.15%) | 605 | ( 9.29%) |
| Probation Officer | 1,101 | ( 4.49%) | 778 | ( 4.32%) | 323 | ( 4.96%) |
| Parents/Relatives | 3,692 | ( 15.05%) | 1,861 | ( 10.33%) | 1,831 | ( 18.10%) |
| Victim | 3,153 | ( 12.86%) | 2,419 | ( 13.43%) | 734 | ( 11.27%) |
| Social Agency | 411 | ( 1.68%) | 175 | ( .97%) | 236 | ( 3.62%) |
| Traffic Court | 134 | ( .54%) | 101 | ( .56%) | 33 | ( .50%) |
| Other Court | 262 | ( 1.06%) | 199 | ( 1.10%) | 63 | ( .97%) |
| Other Source | 284 | ( 1.16%) | 218 | ( 1.21%) | 66 | ( 1.01%) |
| B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX | | | | | | |
| TOTAL REFERRALS | 24,528 | (100.00%) | 18,013 | ( 73.44%) | 6,515 | ( 26.56%) |
| Law Enforcement Agency | 13,779 | (100.00%) | 11,155 | ( 80.96%) | 2,624 | ( 19.04%) |
| School | 1,712 | (100.00%) | 1,107 | ( 64.66%) | 605 | ( 35.34%) |
| Probation Officer | 1,101 | (100.00%) | 778 | ( 70.66%) | 323 | ( 29.34%) |
| Parents/Relatives | 3,692 | (100.00%) | 1,861 | ( 50.41%) | 1,831 | ( 49.59%) |
| Victim | 3,153 | (100.00%) | 2,419 | ( 76.72%) | 734 | ( 23.28%) |
| Social Agency | 411 | (100.00%) | 175 | ( 42.58%) | 236 | ( 57.42%) |
| Traffic Court | 134 | (100.00%) | 101 | ( 75.37%) | 33 | ( 24.65%) |
| Other Court | 262 | (100.00%) | 199 | ( 75.93%) | 63 | ( 24.05%) |
| Other Source | 284 | (100.00%) | 218 | ( 76.76%) | 66 | ( 23.24%) |

Table 9

PRIOR COURT REFERRALS

| A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>PRIOR COURT REFERRALS | TOTAL | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 (100.00%) | 18,013 (100.00%) | 6,515 (100.00%) |
| No | 15,011 (61.20%) | 10,651 ( 59.13%) | 4,360 ( 66.92%) |
| Yes | 9,517 (38.80%) | 7,362 ( 40.87%) | 2,155 ( 33.08%) |
| **B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX** | | | |
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 (100.00%) | 18,013 ( 73.44%) | 6,515 ( 26.56%) |
| No | 15,011 (100.00%) | 10,651 ( 70.95%) | 4,360 ( 29.05%) |
| Yes | 9,517 (100.00%) | 7,362 ( 77.36%) | 2,155 ( 22.64%) |

Table 10

CARE PENDING DISPOSITION

| A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>CARE PENDING DISPOSITION | TOTAL | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 (100.00%) | 18,013 (100.00%) | 6,515 (100.00%) |
| Not Detained | 19,240 ( 78.44%) | 14,143 ( 78.52%) | 5,097 ( 78.23%) |
| Detention Facility | 3,817 ( 15.56%) | 3,090 ( 17.15%) | 727 ( 11.16%) |
| Jail | 215 ( .88%) | 193 ( 1.07%) | 22 ( .34%) |
| Jail/Detention - Both | 77 ( .31%) | 68 ( .38%) | 9 ( .14%) |
| Attention Home/Shelter Care | 1,179 ( 4.81%) | 519 ( 2.88%) | 660 ( 10.13%) |

| B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX<br>CARE PENDING DISPOSITION | TOTAL | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 (100.00%) | 18,013 (100.00%) | 6,515 (100.00%) |
| Not Detained | 19,240 (100.00%) | 14,143 ( 73.51%) | 5,097 ( 26.49%) |
| Detention Facility | 3,817 (100.00%) | 3,090 ( 80.95%) | 727 ( 19.05%) |
| Jail | 215 (100.00%) | 193 ( 89.77%) | 22 ( 10.23%) |
| Jail/Detention - Both | 77 (100.00%) | 68 ( 88.31%) | 9 ( 11.69%) |
| Attention Home/Shelter Care | 1,179 (100.00%) | 519 ( 44.02%) | 660 ( 55.98%) |

Table 11

DISPOSITIONS

| A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>DISPOSITION | TOTAL | | MALES | | FEMALES | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 | (100.00%) | 18,013 | (100.00%) | 6,515 | (100.00%) |
| Without Court Appearance - | 9,091 | ( 37.06%) | 6,000 | ( 33.31%) | 3,091 | ( 47.44%) |
| Lectured and Released | 5,700 | ( 23.24%) | 3,777 | ( 20.97%) | 1,923 | ( 29.52%) |
| Informal Adjustment | 2,253 | ( 9.19%) | 1.614 | ( 8.96%) | 639 | ( 9.81%) |
| Informal Adjustment - Cont'd | 35 | ( .14%) | 24 | ( .13%) | 11 | ( .17%) |
| Courtesy Supervision | 34 | ( .14%) | 20 | ( .11%) | 14 | ( .21%) |
| Referred to Another Agency | 426 | ( 1.74%) | 252 | ( 1.40%) | 174 | ( 2.67%) |
| Runaway Returned | 498 | ( 2.03%) | 223 | ( 1.24%) | 275 | ( 4.22%) |
| Other | 145 | ( .59%) | 90 | ( .50%) | 55 | ( .84%) |
| With Court Appearance - | 15,437 | ( 62.94%) | 12,013 | ( 66.69%) | 3,424 | ( 52.56%) |
| Waived to Adult Court | 285 | ( 1.16%) | 278 | ( 1.54%) | 7 | ( .11%) |
| Dismissed | 3,576 | ( 14.58%) | 2,714 | ( 15.07%) | 862 | ( 13.23%) |
| Fined | 665 | ( 2.71%) | 588 | ( 3.26%) | 77 | ( 1.18%) |
| Courtesy Supervision | 63 | ( .26%) | 45 | ( .25%) | 18 | ( .28%) |
| Runaway Returned | 58 | ( .24%) | 30 | ( .17%) | 28 | ( .43%) |
| Consent Decree | 1,693 | ( 6.90%) | 1,319 | ( 7.32%) | 374 | ( 5.74%) |
| Consent Decree - Cont'd | 21 | ( .09%) | 13 | ( .07%) | 8 | ( .12%) |
| Probation | 6,042 | ( 24.63%) | 4,685 | ( 26.01%) | 1,357 | ( 20.83%) |
| Probation - Cont'd | 829 | ( 3.38%) | 628 | ( 3.49%) | 201 | ( 3.09%) |
| After Care - Cont'd | 57 | ( 4.89%) | 50 | ( .28%) | 7 | ( .11%) |
| Committed to DYS | 1,175 | ( 4.89%) | 1,031 | ( 5.72%) | 144 | ( 2.21%) |
| Committed to Mental Health | 144 | ( .59%) | 84 | ( .46%) | 60 | ( .92%) |
| Committed to Child Care Facility | 214 | ( .87%) | 122 | ( .68%) | 92 | ( 1.41%) |

TABLE 11 - (Cont'd)

DISPOSITIONS

| A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>DISPOSITION | TOTAL | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES Cont'd | | | |
| Other | 446 (1,82%) | 340 (1,89%) | 106 (1.63%) |
| Other Transfer of Legal Custody | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| Pensions and Security | 71 ( .39%) | 31 ( .17%) | 40 ( .61%) |
| Private Child Care Facility | 11 ( . 4%) | 7 ( . 4%) | 4 ( . 6%) |
| Relative | 67 ( .27%) | 34 ( .19%) | 33 ( .51%) |
| Other | 20 ( . 8%) | 14 ( . 8%) | 6 ( . 9%) |

Table 12

DISPOSITIONS

| B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX<br>DISPOSITION | TOTAL CASES | | MALES | | FEMALES | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 | (100.00%) | 18,013 | (100.00%) | 6,515 | (100.00%) |
| Without Court Appearance | 9,091 | (100.00%) | 6,000 | (66.00%) | 3,091 | ( 34.00%) |
| Lectured and Released | 5,700 | (100.00%) | 3,777 | (66.26%) | 1,923 | ( 33.74%) |
| Informal Adjustment | 2,253 | (100.00%) | 1,614 | (71.64%) | 639 | ( 28.36%) |
| Informal Adjustment - Cont'd | 35 | (100.00%) | 24 | (68.57%) | 11 | ( 31.43%) |
| Courtesy Supervision | 34 | (100.00%) | 20 | (58.82%) | 14 | ( 41.18%) |
| Referred to Another | 426 | (100.00%) | 252 | (59.15%0 | 174 | ( 40.85%) |
| Runaway Returned | 498 | (100.00%) | 223 | (44.78%) | 275 | ( 55.22%) |
| Other | 145 | (100.00%) | 90 | (62.07%) | 55 | ( 37.93%) |
| With Court Appearance | 15,437 | (100.00%) | 12,013 | (77.82%) | 3,424 | ( 22.18%) |
| Waived to Adult Court | 285 | (100.00%) | 278 | (97.54%) | 7 | ( 02.46%) |
| Dismissed | 3,576 | (100.00%) | 2,714 | (75.89%) | 862 | ( 24.11%) |
| Fined | 665 | (100.00%) | 588 | (88.42%) | 77 | ( 11.58%) |
| Courtesy Supervision | 63 | (100.00%) | 45 | (71.43%) | 18 | ( 28.57%) |
| Runaway Returned | 58 | (100.00%) | 30 | (51.72%) | 28 | ( 48.28%) |
| Consent Decree | 1,693 | (100.00%) | 1,319 | (77.91%) | 374 | ( 22.09%) |
| Consent Decree - Cont'd | 21 | (100.00%) | 13 | (61.90%) | 8 | ( 31.10%) |
| Probation | 6,042 | (100.00%) | 4,685 | (77.54%) | 1,357 | ( 22.46%) |
| Probation - Cont'd | 829 | (100.00%) | 628 | (75.75%) | 201 | ( 24.25%) |
| Aft are - Cont'd | 57 | (100.00%) | 50 | (87.72%) | 7 | ( 12.28%) |
| Committed to DYS | 1,175 | (100.00%) | 1,031 | (87.74%) | 144 | ( 12.26%) |
| Committed to Mental Health | 144 | (100.00%) | 84 | (58.33%) | 60 | ( 41.67%) |
| Committed to Child Care Facility | 214 | (100.00%) | 122 | (57.01%) | 92 | ( 42.99%) |

Table 12 (Cont'd)

DISPOSITIONS

| B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX<br>DISPOSITION | TOTAL CASES | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| Other | 446 (100.00%) | 340 ( 76.23%) | 106 (23.77%) |
| Other Transfer of Legal Custody | | | |
| Pensions and Security | 71 (100.00%) | 31 ( 43.66%) | 40 (56.34%) |
| Private Child Care Facility | 11 (100.00%) | 7 ( 63.64%) | 4 (36.36%) |
| Relative | 67 (100.00%) | 34 ( 50.75%) | 33 (49.25%) |
| Other | 20 (100.00%) | 14 ( 70.00%) | 6 (30.00%) |

Table 13

DISPOSITIONS BY COUNTY

| DISPOSITION | Autauga | Baldwin | Barbour | Bibb | Blount | Bullock | Butler | Calhoun | Chambers | Cherokee | Chilton | Choctaw | Clarke | Clay | Cleburne | Coffee | Colbert | Conecuh | Coosa | Covington | Crenshaw | Cullman | Dale |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W/out Court Appearance | 57 | 207 | 64 | 42 | 53 | 2 | 40 | 234 | 336 | 52 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 88 | 7 | 354 | 156 | 11 | 3 | 109 | 2 | 105 | 194 |
| Lectured and Released | 35 | 139 | 35 | 29 | 49 | 1 | 25 | 213 | 202 | 38 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 42 | 6 | 259 | 71 | 6 | 3 | 65 | 2 | 102 | 157 |
| Informal Adjustment | 12 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 21 | 33 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 46 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| Informal Adj.-Cont'd. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Courtesy Supervision | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ref. to Another Agen. | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Runaway Returned | 9 | 33 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| With Court Appearance | 69 | 222 | 85 | 41 | 123 | 24 | 120 | 648 | 202 | 68 | 43 | 11 | 83 | 28 | 9 | 215 | 86 | 19 | 6 | 127 | 23 | 249 | 233 |
| Waived to Adult Ct. | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 6 |
| Dismissed | 12 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 29 | 1 | 8 | 293 | 69 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 32 | 6 | 37 | 26 |
| Fined | 12 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 20 | 30 |
| Courtesy Supervision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Runaway Returned | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Consent Decree | 21 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 41 | 2 | 39 | 246 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 12 |
| Cons. Decree-Cont'd. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Probation | 9 | 94 | 28 | 3 | 33 | 15 | 26 | 0 | 78 | 26 | 9 | 6 | 40 | 3 | 3 | 98 | 75 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 122 | 84 |
| Probation-Cont'd. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Aftercare-Cont'd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Committed to DYS | 9 | 27 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 24 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 30 | 32 |
| Com. to Mental Hlth. | 0 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Child-Care Facility | 1 | 25 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Other | 4 | 18 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| Other Transfer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dept. of Pen. & Sec. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Priv. Child Care Fac. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Relative | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 126 | 429 | 149 | 83 | 176 | 26 | 160 | 882 | 538 | 120 | 52 | 25 | 89 | 116 | 16 | 569 | 242 | 30 | 9 | 236 | 25 | 354 | 427 |

Table 13 (Cont'd)

DISPOSITIONS BY COUNTY

| DISPOSITION | Dallas | DeKalb | Elmore | Escambia | Etowah | Fayette | Franklin | Geneva | Greene | Hale | Henry | Houston | Jackson | Jefferson | Lamar | Lauderdale | Lawrence | Lee | Limestone | Lowndes | Macon | Madison | Marengo |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W/out Court Appearance | 103 | 37 | 40 | 196 | 153 | 21 | 13 | 49 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 712 | 255 | 788 | 34 | 200 | 57 | 91 | 53 | 0 | 60 | 168 | 134 |
| Lectured and Released | 93 | 31 | 26 | 164 | 133 | 21 | 9 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 | 152 | 564 | 23 | 140 | 20 | 86 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 49 | 103 |
| Informal Adjustment | 7 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 248 | 67 | 134 | 10 | 49 | 28 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 58 | 119 | 31 |
| Informal Adj.-Cont'd. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Courtesy Supervision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ref. to Another Agen. | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 10 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Runaway Returned | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 15 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| With Court Appearance | 407 | 82 | 64 | 165 | 384 | 79 | 312 | 96 | 27 | 30 | 49 | 241 | 196 | 2166 | 20 | 303 | 69 | 322 | 65 | 34 | 102 | 756 | 97 |
| Waived to Adult Ct. | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 34 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| Dismissed | 174 | 8 | 3 | 22 | 108 | 14 | 91 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 36 | 26 | 771 | 8 | 53 | 26 | 48 | 9 | 5 | 30 | 205 | 3 |
| Fined | 9 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 12 | 160 | 14 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 25 | 46 | 30 | 0 | 22 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 2 |
| Courtesy Supervision | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Runaway Returned | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Consent Decree | 43 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 114 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 62 | 24 | 335 | 4 | 71 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 38 |
| Cons. Decree-Cont'd. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Probation | 65 | 39 | 34 | 75 | 72 | 28 | 45 | 33 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 71 | 44 | 723 | 0 | 97 | 27 | 141 | 31 | 16 | 39 | 420 | 26 |
| Probation-Cont'd. | 42 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| Aftercare-Cont'd | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Committed to DYS | 23 | 4 | 2 | 27 | 33 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 9 | 190 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 53 | 7 |
| Com. to Mental Hlth. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Child-Care Facility | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 |
| Other | 33 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1 |
| Other Transfer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dept. of Pen. & Sec. | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Priv. Child Care Fac. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Relative | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| Other | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 510 | 119 | 104 | 361 | 537 | 100 | 325 | 145 | 30 | 33 | 50 | 953 | 451 | 2954 | 54 | 503 | 126 | 413 | 118 | 34 | 162 | 924 | 231 |

Table 13 (Cont'd)

DISPOSITIONS BY COUNTY

| DISPOSITION | Marion | Marshall | Mobile | Monroe | Montgomery | Morgan | Perry | Pickens | Pike | Randolph | Russell | St. Clair | Shelby | Sumter | Talladega | Tallapoosa | Tuscaloosa | Walker | Washington | Wilcox | Winston | State |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| W/out Court Appearance | 19 | 186 | 2090 | 45 | 327 | 97 | 33 | 29 | 32 | 25 | 97 | 31 | 61 | 28 | 243 | 17 | 295 | 23 | 42 | 21 | 34 | 9,091 |
| Lectured and Released | 13 | 100 | 992 | 36 | 233 | 94 | 32 | 15 | 27 | 20 | 87 | 20 | 40 | 13 | 218 | 11 | 92 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 28 | 5,700 |
| Informal Adjustment | 6 | 59 | 885 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 83 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 2,253 |
| Informal Adj.-Cont'd. | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| Courtesy Supervision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Ref. to Another Agen. | 0 | 17 | 21 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 426 |
| Runaway Returned | 0 | 10 | 119 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 67 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 498 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| With Court Appearance | 37 | 129 | 2424 | 34 | 1040 | 580 | 17 | 25 | 175 | 5 | 277 | 44 | 314 | 17 | 299 | 58 | 754 | 287 | 43 | 30 | 48 | 15,437 |
| Waived to Adult Ct. | 0 | 7 | 55 | 1 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 285 |
| Dismissed | 3 | 15 | 505 | 5 | 156 | 122 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 47 | 13 | 70 | 2 | 95 | 6 | 98 | 40 | 17 | 10 | 10 | 3,576 |
| Fined | 5 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 665 |
| Courtesy Supervision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 |
| Runaway Returned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
| Consent Decree | 1 | 47 | 126 | 1 | 58 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 46 | 66 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1,693 |
| Cons. Decree-Cont'd. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| Probation | 18 | 53 | 1100 | 13 | 442 | 371 | 3 | 6 | 56 | 3 | 124 | 17 | 182 | 0 | 123 | 29 | 432 | 112 | 17 | 1 | 12 | 6,042 |
| Probation-Cont'd. | 1 | 3 | 370 | 1 | 182 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 829 |
| Aftercare-Cont'd | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| Committed to DYS | 0 | 0 | 185 | 4 | 93 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 40 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 14 | 47 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1,175 |
| Com. to Mental Hlth. | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 |
| Child-Care Facility | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214 |
| Other | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 32 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 446 |
| Other Transfer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dept. of Pen. & Sec. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| Priv. Child Care Fac. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Relative | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| TOTAL | 56 | 315 | 4514 | 79 | 1367 | 677 | 50 | 54 | 207 | 30 | 374 | 75 | 375 | 45 | 542 | 75 | 1049 | 310 | 85 | 51 | 82 | 24,528 |

Table 14

ADJUDICATION

| A. NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>ADJUDICATION | TOTAL CASES | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 (100.00%) | 18,013 (100.00%) | 6,515 (100.00%) |
| None | 15,209 (62.01%) | 10,747 (59.66%) | 4,462 (68.49%) |
| Delinquent | 7,648 (31.18%) | 6,396 (35.51%) | 1,252 (19.21%) |
| CHINS | 1,605 ( 6.54%) | 830 ( 4.61%) | 775 (11.90%) |
| Dependent | 66 ( .27%) | 40 ( .22%) | 26 ( .40%) |
| B. DISTRIBUTION BY SEX | | | |
| TOTAL CASES | 24,528 (100.00%) | 18,013 (73.44%) | 6,515 (26.56%) |
| None | 15,209 (100.00%) | 10,747 (70.66%) | 4,462 (29.34%) |
| Delinquent | 7,648 (100.00%) | 6,396 (83.63%) | 1,252 (16.37%) |
| CHINS | 1,605 (100.00%) | 830 (51.71%) | 775 (48.29%) |
| Dependent | 66 (100.00%) | 40 (60.61%) | 26 (39.39%) |

## V. REFERRAL DATA BY POPULATION

### A. COUNTIES WITH OVER 100,000 POPULATION*

Counties with over 100,000 persons are considered "urbanized areas" by the Bureau of the Census. Alabama has seven such counties, and these were chosen for close analysis because of their large populations. The counties are Calhoun, Etowah, Jefferson, Madison, Montgomery, Mobile and Tuscaloosa (See Table 15).

The rate per 100,000 population provides a uniform basis for comparing one county to another. It is a standard unit of measurement used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation as a basis for comparing the number of crimes reported in a particular area to the number of persons residing in that area. For example, Montgomery County's present population is approximately 215,100, the number of juvenile court referrals disposed of (delinquent and CHINS offenses only) during 1985 was 1,367; therefore, Montgomery County's rate of referrals disposed of is 635.5 per 100,000 population.

Calhoun County, which comprises 3.1% of the state's total population, accounts for 3.6% of the total court dispositions and has the third highest rate of referrals disposed of per 100,000 of 689.1.

Approximately 17% of the state's population is located in Jefferson County, and it represents 12.0% of the total juvenile court referrals (a decrease over the 1984 percentage of 12.8). Of these seven counties, Jefferson County has the lowest rate of referrals disposed of per 100,000 of 426.9, also a slight increase over 1984.

Madison County has 5.1% of the total population, represents 3.8% of the total juvenile court referrals, and has a rate per 100,000 of 433.0, a significant 15.1% decrease over 1984.

Of these seven counties, Mobile represents 9.3% of the state's population which is slightly over half of Jefferson County's population, but note that Mobile County contributes 18.4% of the total juvenile court dispositions, which is higher than Jefferson County's percentage of referrals, and its rate per 100,000 (1,162.5) is more than double that of Jefferson County.

Montgomery and Madison County are approximately the same size (each accounting for about 5.1% of the state's population). This year, their percentage of juvenile court referrals disposed was 5.6% and 3.8% respectively. Montgomery County experienced an increase in its rate per 100,000 of 635.5 and Madison County's rate per 100,000 decreased to 433.0.

Tuscaloosa County exhibits the second highest rate of referral per 100,000 with 706.4 (a 15.6% increase over 1984), and it comprises 3.6% of the state's population.

Etowah County is the seventh county to achieve a population greater than 100,000, making up 2.6% of the state's population, and it is the lowest in terms of percentage of juvenile court referrals (2.2%). It has the third lowest rate per 100,000 of 499.1, a slight decrease over 1984.

In summary, Jefferson County is the most populous of the seven Counties with over 100,000 population, has the lowest rate per 100,000, but contributes the second highest percentage of the state's juvenile court referrals disposed of (delinquency and CHINS offenses only). Mobile County (the second largest of the seven) has the highest rate of referrals per 100,000 with 1,162.5 (also the third largest in the state) as well as the largest number of cases reported. Only three of the most populous counties (Mobile, Montgomery and Tuscaloosa) realized an increase in the percentage of the state's juvenile court referrals disposed from 1985, and four counties (Jefferson, Madison, Calhoun and Etowah) realized a decrease.

However, five of the seven counties (Jefferson, Mobile, Montgomery, Tuscaloosa and Calhoun experienced an increase in the rate per 100,000 population).

* 1985 population estimates prepared by the Center for Business and Economic Research, University of Alabama, and published by the Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs, State Planning Division.

Table 15

COUNTIES WITH OVER 100,000 POPULATION

| COUNTY | %OF STATE'S POPULATION | % OF STATE JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS | RATE PER 100,000 | RATE/100,000 PERCENT CHANGE FROM 1984 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jefferson | 16.6% | 12.0% | 426.9 | 6.1% |
| Mobile | 9.3% | 18.4% | 1,162.5 | 16.2% |
| Montgomery | 5.2% | 5.6% | 635.5 | 11.2% |
| Madison | 5.1% | 3.8% | 433.0 | (-15.1%) |
| Tuscaloosa | 3.6% | 4.3% | 706.4 | 15.6% |
| Calhoun | 3.1% | 3.6% | 689.1 | 7.7% |
| Etowah | 2.6% | 2.2% | 499.1 | ( -.4%) |
| TOTAL | 45.5% | 49.9% | | |

NOTE: The rate per 100,000 population for the entire state equals 588.2 an 11.1% increase over 1984. This includes dispositions on delinquency and CHINS offenses.

## B. REFERRALS PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR ALL COUNTIES*

The rate of referrals disposed of per 100,000 population ranges from 1,387.8 in Coffee County to 76.9 in Coosa County (See Tables 16 through 22 ). The state as a whole has a rate of 588.2. The rates per 100,000 population for the state as well as for the individual counties are slightly higher in 1985 in comparison to the previous year primarily due to the fact that Alabama experienced an increase in the number of reported delinquency and CHINS offenses disposed of. There are 21 counties with juvenile court disposition rates higher than the state as a whole, and 46 counties with lower rates.

There was a small turnover among the ten counties with the highest referrals per 100,000 population in 1985. Escambia County replaced Russell County in the top ten. Of the remaining nine counties, one retained its standing in the top ten (Mobile), while three counties (Coffee, Chambers and Marengo) increased their standing, and five decreased their standing from the previous year. The ten counties with the highest rate of referral per 100,000 are:

| COUNTY | DISPOSITIONS PER 100,000 |
|---|---|
| Coffee | 1,387.8 |
| Chambers | 1,328.4 |
| Mobile | 1,162.5 |
| Houston | 1,087.9 |
| Franklin | 1,055.2 |
| Marengo | 898.8 |
| Escambia | 895.8 |
| Dallas | 885.4 |
| Dale | 821.2 |
| Clay | 816.9 |

*NOTE: Precaution must be taken when using this method of analysis with small counties and small numbers of referral. This conversion method was used to equalize the effects of population variables.

Figure 11

TOTAL STATEWIDE REFERRALS DISPOSED OF
RATE PER 100,000 POPULATION



Table 16

RANK ORDER OF ALABAMA COUNTIES
BY ACTUAL POPULATION

Counties Greater than 100,000

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Jefferson | 691,900 |
| 2. | Mobile | 388,300 |
| 3. | Montgomery | 215,100 |
| 4. | Madison | 213,400 |
| 5. | Tuscaloosa | 148,500 |
| 6. | Calhoun | 128.000 |
| 7. | Etowah | 107,600 |

Counties 50,001 to 100,000

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 8. | Morgan | 98,000 |
| 9. | Baldwin | 89,900 |
| 10. | Lauderdale | 88,400 |
| 11. | Houston | 87,600 |
| 12. | Lee | 84,600 |
| 13. | Shelby | 79,400 |
| 14. | Talladega | 78,700 |
| 15. | Walker | 76,300 |
| 16. | Marshall | 71,700 |
| 17. | Cullman | 67,100 |
| 18. | DeKalb | 60,600 |
| 19. | Jackson | 59,000 |
| 20. | Dallas | 57,600 |
| 21. | Colbert | 57,400 |
| 22. | Dale | 52,000 |

Counties 25,001 to 50,000

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 23. | Elmore | 48,800 |
| | Limestone | 48,800 |
| | St. Clair | 48,800 |
| 24. | Russell | 48,400 |
| 25. | Blount | 42,100 |
| 26. | Coffee | 41,000 |
| 27. | Tallapoosa | 40,700 |
| 28. | Chambers | 40,500 |
| 29. | Escambia | 40,300 |
| 30. | Covington | 38,200 |

Counties 25,001 to 50,000 - Cont'd

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 31. | Autauga | 36,300 |
| 32. | Marion | 34,200 |
| 33. | Chilton | 33,700 |
| 34. | Lawrence | 31,900 |
| 35. | Franklin | 30,800 |
| 36. | Pike | 29,900 |
| 37. | Clarke | 28,200 |
| 38. | Macon | 27,300 |
| 39. | Barbour | 25,800 |
| 40. | Marengo | 25,700 |
| 41. | Geneva | 25,500 |
| 42. | Winston | 25,200 |

Counties 10,000 to 25,000

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 43. | Monroe | 23,600 |
| 44. | Butler | 21,400 |
| 45. | Pickens | 22,100 |
| 46. | Randolph | 21,100 |
| 47. | Cherokee | 20,600 |
| 48. | Fayette | 20,400 |
| 49. | Lamar | 17,700 |
| 50. | Washington | 17,300 |
| 51. | Sumter | 17,100 |
| 52. | Bibb | 17,000 |
| | Choctaw | 17,000 |
| 53. | Henry | 16,400 |
| 54. | Conecuh | 16,100 |
| 55. | Hale | 15,500 |
| 56. | Perry | 14,800 |
| 57. | Crenshaw | 14,600 |
| 58. | Clay | 14,200 |
| 59. | Wilcox | 13,900 |
| 60. | Cleburne | 13,500 |
| | Lowndes | 13,500 |
| 61. | Coosa | 11,700 |
| 62. | Greene | 11,200 |
| 63. | Bullock | 9,900 |

The population for the entire state is approximately 4,170,100.

1985 population estimates prepared by Center for Business and Economic Research University of Alabama, and published by the Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs, State Planning Division.

Table 17

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

All Offenses*

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Coffee | 1,387.80 | 34. | Marshall | 439.33 |
| 2. | Chambers | 1,328.40 | 35. | Madison | 432.99 |
| 3. | Mobile | 1,162.50 | 36. | Jefferson | 426.94 |
| 4. | Houston | 1,087.90 | 37. | Colbert | 421.60 |
| 5. | Franklin | 1,055.19 | 38. | Blount | 418.05 |
| 6. | Marengo | 898.83 | 39. | Walker | 406.29 |
| 7. | Escambia | 895.78 | 40. | Lawrence | 304.98 |
| 8. | Dallas | 885.42 | 41. | Wilcox | 366.91 |
| 9. | Dale | 821.15 | 42. | Autauga | 347.11 |
| 10. | Clay | 816.90 | 43. | Perry | 337.84 |
| 11. | Russell | 772.73 | 44. | Monroe | 334.75 |
| 12. | Jackson | 764.41 | 45. | Winston | 325.40 |
| 13. | Butler | 747.66 | 46. | Clarke | 315.60 |
| 14. | Tuscaloosa | 706.40 | 47. | Lamar | 305.08 |
| 15. | Pike | 692.31 | 48. | Henry | 304.88 |
| 16. | Morgan | 690.82 | 49. | Greene | 267.86 |
| 17. | Calhoun | 689.06 | 50. | Sumter | 263.16 |
| 18. | Talladega | 688.69 | 51. | Bullock | 262.33 |
| 19. | Montgomery | 635.52 | 52. | Lowndes | 251.85 |
| 20. | Covington | 617.80 | 53. | Pickens | 244.34 |
| 21. | Macon | 593.41 | 54. | Limestone | 241.80 |
| *** | State of Alabama | 588.19*** | 55. | Elmore | 213.11 |
| 22. | Cherokee | 582.52 | 56. | Hale | 212.90 |
| 23. | Barbour | 577.52 | 57. | DeKalb | 196.37 |
| 24. | Lauderdale | 569.00 | 58. | Conecuh | 186.34 |
| 25. | Geneva | 568.63 | 59. | Tallapoosa | 184.28 |
| 26. | Cullman | 527.57 | 60. | Crenshaw | 171.23 |
| 27. | Etowah | 499.07 | 61. | Marion | 163.74 |
| 28. | Washington | 491.03 | 62. | Chilton | 154.30 |
| 29. | Fayette | 490.20 | 63. | St. Clair | 153.69 |
| 30. | Bibb | 488.24 | 64. | Choctaw | 147.06 |
| 31. | Lee | 488.18 | 65. | Randolph | 142.18 |
| 32. | Baldwin | 477.20 | 66. | Cleburne | 118.52 |
| 33. | Shelby | 472.29 | 67. | Coosa | 76.92 |

Table 18

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Delinquency Offenses*

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Coffee | 985.37 | 34. | Madison | 317.71 |
| 2. | Franklin | 983.77 | 35. | Bibb | 317.65 |
| 3. | Mobile | 841.62 | 36. | Barbour | 302.33 |
| 4. | Houston | 821.92 | 37. | Clarke | 287.23 |
| 5. | Chambers | 758.02 | 38. | Walker | 283.09 |
| 6. | Dallas | 656.25 | 39. | Lawrence | 282.13 |
| 7. | Dale | 600.00 | 40. | Wilcox | 273.38 |
| 8. | Russell | 578.51 | 41. | Blount | 273.16 |
| 9. | Jackson | 532.20 | 42. | Marengo | 264.59 |
| 10. | Morgan | 526.53 | 43. | Perry | 256.70 |
| 11. | Calhoun | 524.22 | 44. | Henry | 256.10 |
| 12. | Talladega | 514.61 | 45. | Winston | 250.00 |
| 13. | Tuscaloosa | 514.48 | 46. | Monroe | 245.76 |
| 14. | Butler | 509.35 | 47. | Autauga | 223.14 |
| 15. | Lauderdale | 507.92 | 48. | Elmore | 200.82 |
| 16. | Montgomery | 504.88 | 49. | Greene | 196.43 |
| 17. | Pike | 498.33 | 50. | Sumter | 192.98 |
| 18. | Cherokee | 480.58 | 51. | Lamar | 192.09 |
| 19. | Macon | 472.53 | 52. | Limestone | 186.48 |
| 20. | Clay | 464.79 | 53. | Lowndes | 177.78 |
| 21. | Escambia | 459.06 | 54. | Bullock | 171.72 |
| *** | State of Alabama | 433.39*** | 55. | Pickens | 162.90 |
| 22. | Covington | 426.70 | 56. | Crenshaw | 143.84 |
| 23. | Geneva | 407.84 | 57. | Conecuh | 142.86 |
| 24. | Fayette | 406.86 | 58. | Tallapoosa | 137.59 |
| 25. | Marshall | 403.07 | 59. | DeKalb | 125.41 |
| 26. | Washington | 375.72 | 60. | Marion | 119.88 |
| 27. | Cullman | 375.56 | 61. | Chilton | 118.69 |
| 28. | Lee | 365.25 | 62. | Choctaw | 117.65 |
| 29. | Etowah | 358.74 | 63. | Hale | 116.13 |
| 30. | Shelby | 347.61 | 64. | St. Clair | 110.66 |
| 31. | Jefferson | 337.33 | 65. | Cleburne | 66.67 |
| 32. | Colbert | 324.04 | 66. | Coosa | 42.74 |
| 33. | Baldwin | 318.13 | 67. | Randolph | 37.91 |

TABLE 19
RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Violent Offenses

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Coffee | 102.44 | 34. | Etowah | 25.09 |
| 2. | Dallas | 92.01 | 35. | Lee | 24.82 |
| 3. | Mobile | 91.68 | 36. | Madison | 24.37 |
| 4. | Pike | 83.61 | 37. | Dale | 23.08 |
| 5. | Houston | 79.91 | 38. | Tuscaloosa | 22.90 |
| 6. | Talladega | 76.24 | 39. | Lamar | 22.60 |
| 7. | Washington | 75.14 | 40. | Cleburne | 22.22 |
| 8. | Macon | 69.60 | 41. | Clay | 21.13 |
| 9. | Calhoun | 56.25 | 42. | Covington | 20.94 |
| 10. | Montgomery | 55.32 | 43. | Hale | 19.35 |
| 11. | Escambia | 54.59 | 44. | Colbert | 19.16 |
| 12. | Barbour | 54.26 | 45. | Walker | 18.35 |
| 13. | Perry | 54.05 | 46. | Henry | 18.29 |
| 14. | Greene | 53.57 | 47. | Coosa | 17.09 |
| 15. | Jefferson | 52.75 | 48. | Elmore | 16.39 |
| 16. | Marshall | 51.60 | 49. | Lauderdale | 15.84 |
| 17. | Butler | 51.40 | 50. | Autauga | 13.77 |
| 18. | Morgan | 50.00 | 51. | Cullman | 13.41 |
| 19. | Russell | 49.59 | 52. | Winston | 11.90 |
| 20. | Franklin | 48.70 | 53. | Bibb | 11.76 |
| 21. | Wilcox | 43.17 | 54. | Marengo | 11.67 |
| *** | State of Alabama | 42.97*** | 55. | St. Clair | 10.25 |
| 22. | Lawrence | 40.75 | | Limestone | 10.25 |
| 23. | Bullock | 40.40 | 56. | Tallapoosa | 9.83 |
| 24. | Lowndes | 37.04 | 57. | Cherokee | 9.71 |
| 25. | Baldwin | 31.15 | 58. | Crenshaw | 6.85 |
| 26. | Monroe | 29.66 | 59. | DeKalb | 6.60 |
| 27. | Chambers | 29.63 | 60. | Chilton | 5.93 |
| 28. | Fayette | 29.41 | 61. | Choctaw | 5.88 |
| 29. | Shelby | 28.97 | 62. | Pickens | 4.52 |
| 30. | Clarke | 28.37 | 63. | Conecuh | .00 |
| 31. | Geneva | 27.45 | | Marion | .00 |
| 32. | Jackson | 27.12 | | Randolph | .00 |
| 33. | Blount | 26.13 | | Sumter | .00 |

TABLE 20
RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Property Offenses

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Coffee | 334.15 | 34. | Henry | 97.56 |
| 2. | Tuscaloosa | 268.69 | 35. | Lamar | 96.05 |
| 3. | Mobile | 249.55 | 36. | Covington | 94.24 |
| 4. | Chambers | 249.38 | 37. | Conecuh | 93.17 |
| 5. | Dallas | 237.85 | 38. | Cherokee | 92.23 |
| 6. | Montgomery | 216.64 | 39. | Butler | 88.79 |
| 7. | Dale | 215.38 | 40. | Lawrence | 84.64 |
| 8. | Talladega | 213.47 | 41. | Lowndes | 81.48 |
| 9. | Russell | 202.48 | 42. | Pickens | 81.45 |
| 10. | Calhoun | 190.63 | 43. | Blount | 80.76 |
| 11. | Escambia | 188.59 | 44. | Clarke | 78.01 |
| 12. | Houston | 180.37 | 45. | Franklin | 77.92 |
| 13. | Macon | 175.82 | 46. | Marion | 73.10 |
| 14. | Lauderdale | 174.21 | 47. | Greene | 71.43 |
| 15. | Pike | 167.22 | 48. | Autauga | 68.87 |
| 16. | Madison | 166.82 | 49. | Geneva | 66.67 |
| 17. | Jefferson | 164.47 | 50. | Marengo | 66.15 |
| 18. | Lee | 164.30 | 51. | Walker | 65.53 |
| 19. | Morgan | 159.18 | 52. | Clay | 63.38 |
| *** | State of Alabama | 156.93*** | 53. | Crenshaw | 61.64 |
| 20. | Etowah | 141.26 | 54. | Tallapoosa | 58.97 |
| 21. | Marshall | 140.86 | 55. | DeKalb | 54.46 |
| 22. | Baldwin | 137.93 | 56. | St. Clair | 53.28 |
| 23. | Shelby | 129.72 | 57. | Hale | 51.61 |
| 24. | Wilcox | 129.50 | 58. | Bullock | 40.40 |
| 25. | Monroe | 127.12 | 59. | Winston | 39.68 |
| 26. | Cullman | 125.19 | 60. | Elmore | 36.89 |
| 27. | Jackson | 123.73 | 61. | Choctaw | 35.29 |
| 28. | Fayette | 122.55 | | Bibb | 35.29 |
| 29. | Perry | 121.62 | 62. | Limestone | 34.84 |
| 30. | Washington | 121.39 | 63. | Chilton | 17.80 |
| 31. | Sumter | 111.11 | 64. | Cleburn | 14.81 |
| 32. | Colbert | 108.01 | 65. | Randolph | 14.22 |
| 33. | Barbour | 100.78 | 66. | Coosa | 8.55 |

Table 21
RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Part II Offenses

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Franklin | 857.14 | 34. | Lee | 163.12 |
| 2. | Houston | 542.24 | 35. | Escambia | 161.29 |
| 3. | Coffee | 473.17 | 36. | Lawrence | 156.74 |
| 4. | Chambers | 466.67 | 37. | Shelby | 149.87 |
| 5. | Mobile | 400.46 | 38. | Elmore | 147.54 |
| 6. | Clay | 380.28 | 39. | Baldwin | 144.61 |
| 7. | Jackson | 379.66 | 40. | Barbour | 143.41 |
| 8. | Cherokee | 373.79 | 41. | Autauga | 137.74 |
| 9. | Dale | 342.31 | 42. | Limestone | 131.15 |
| 10. | Lauderdale | 317.87 | 43. | Tuscaloosa | 124.58 |
| 11. | Geneva | 290.20 | 44. | Henry | 121.95 |
| 12. | Covington | 282.72 | 45. | Jefferson | 110.13 |
| 13. | Dallas | 267.51 | 46. | Madison | 102.62 |
| 14. | Morgan | 268.37 | 47. | Wilcox | 100.72 |
| 15. | Calhoun | 266.41 | 48. | Monroe | 88.92 |
| 16. | Bibb | 264.71 | 49. | Chilton | 86.05 |
| 17. | Russell | 260.33 | 50. | Sumter | 81.87 |
| 18 | Fayette | 254.90 | 51. | Perry | 81.08 |
| 19. | Butler | 252.34 | 52. | Pickens | 76.92 |
| 20. | Pike | 244.15 | 53. | Crenshaw | 75.34 |
| 21. | Talladega | 221.09 | 54. | Greene | 71.43 |
| 22. | Cullman | 217.59 | 55. | Bullock | 70.71 |
| 23. | Macon | 212.45 | 56. | Choctaw | 70.59 |
| *** | State of Alabama | 206.47 | 57. | Lamar | 67.80 |
| 24. | Marshall | 205.02 | 58. | Tallapoosa | 66.34 |
| 25. | Winston | 198.41 | 59. | DeKalb | 64.36 |
| 26. | Colbert | 196.86 | 60. | Lowndes | 59.26 |
| 27. | Walker | 192.66 | 61. | Conecuh | 49.69 |
| 28. | Etowah | 188.66 | 62. | Marion | 46.78 |
| 29. | Clarke | 180.85 | 63. | Hale | 45.16 |
| 30. | Montgomery | 180.38 | 64. | St. Clair | 40.98 |
| 31. | Washington | 173.41 | 65. | Cleburne | 29.63 |
| 32. | Blount | 163.90 | 66. | Randolph | 23.70 |
| 33. | Marengo | 163.42 | 67. | Coosa | 17.09 |

Table 22
RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Chins Offenses

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Marengo | 634.24 | 34. | Lamar | 112.99 |
| 2. | Chambers | 570.37 | 35. | Lawrence | 112.85 |
| 3. | Escambia | 436.72 | 36. | Randolph | 104.27 |
| 4. | Coffee | 402,44 | 37. | Cherokee | 101.94 |
| 5. | Clay | 352.11 | 38. | Colbert | 97.56 |
| 6. | Mobile | 320.89 | 39. | Hale | 96.77 |
| 7. | Barbour | 275.19 | 40. | Wilcox | 93.53 |
| 8. | Houston | 265.98 | 41. | Bullock | 90.91 |
| 9. | Butler | 238.32 | 42. | Jefferson | 89.61 |
| 10. | Jackson | 232.20 | 43. | Monroe | 88.98 |
| 11. | Dallas | 229.17 | 44. | Fayette | 83.33 |
| 12. | Dale | 221.15 | 45. | Pickens | 81.45 |
| 13. | Russell | 194.21 | 46. | Perry | 81.08 |
| 14. | Pike | 193.98 | 47. | Winston | 75.40 |
| 15. | Tuscaloosa | 191.92 | 48. | Lowndes | 74.07 |
| 16. | Covington | 191.10 | 49. | Franklin | 71.43 |
| 17. | Talladega | 174.08 | | Greene | 71.43 |
| 18. | Bibb | 170.59 | 50. | DeKalb | 70.96 |
| 19. | Calhoun | 164.84 | 51. | Sumter | 70.18 |
| 20. | Morgan | 164.29 | 52. | Lauderdale | 61.09 |
| 21. | Geneva | 160.78 | 53. | Limestone | 55.33 |
| 22. | Baldwin | 159.07 | 54. | Cleburne | 51.85 |
| *** | State of Alabama | 154.79*** | 55. | Henry | 48.78 |
| 23. | Cullman | 152.01 | 56. | Tallapoosa | 46.68 |
| 24. | Blount | 144.89 | 57. | Marion | 43.86 |
| 25. | Etowah | 140.33 | 58. | Conecuh | 43.48 |
| 26. | Montgomery | 130.64 | 59. | St. Clair | 43.03 |
| 27. | Shelby | 124.69 | 60. | Marshall | 36.26 |
| 28. | Autauga | 123.97 | 61. | Chilton | 35.61 |
| 29. | Walker | 123.20 | 62. | Coosa | 34.19 |
| 30. | Lee | 122.93 | 63. | Choctaw | 29.41 |
| 31. | Macon | 120.88 | 64. | Clarke | 28.37 |
| 32. | Washington | 115.61 | 65. | Crenshaw | 27.40 |
| 33. | Madison | 115.28 | 66. | Elmore | 12.30 |

## VI. PROGRAMS LICENSED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

Programs licensed by the Department of Youth Services include seven (7) detention centers, sixteen (16) short-term facilities, fifteen (15) long-term facilities, and six (6) day treatment centers. These forty-four (44) facilities served a total of 8,940 youths during 1985.

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY-DECEMBER, 1985

| | UNDER CARE 1/1/85 | ADMISSIONS-JAN.-DEC. WM | BM | WF | BF | O | TOTAL ADM. | TOTAL SERVED |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DETENTION CENTERS: | | | | | | | | |
| Central Alabama Regional | 11 | 190 | 284 | 51 | 86 | 1 | 612 | 623 |
| Coosa Valley Regional | 23 | 271 | 95 | 84 | 29 | 2 | 481 | 504 |
| Jefferson Co. | 43 | 232 | 523 | 35 | 72 | 2 | 864 | 907 |
| Mobile Co. | 57 | 620 | 554 | 205 | 118 | 13 | 1,510 | 1,567 |
| Montgomery Co. | 21 | 112 | 375 | 40 | 76 | 0 | 603 | 624 |
| Robert Neaves (Madison Co.) | 12 | 159 | 117 | 80 | 47 | 0 | 403 | 415 |
| SEAYS-Diversion Center | 21 | 367 | 210 | 155 | 69 | 5 | 806 | 827 |
| TOTAL | 188 | 1,951 | 2,158 | 650 | 497 | 23 | 5,279 | 5,467 |
| SHORT-TERM FACILITIES: | | | | | | | | |
| Baldwin Co. Boys | 6 | 31 | 4 | NA | NA | 0 | 35 | 41 |
| Baldwin Co. Girls | 0 | NA | NA | 37 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 41 |
| Colbert Co. Boys | 6 | 44 | 9 | NA | NA | 0 | 53 | 59 |
| Coosa Valley | 9 | 21 | 5 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 63 |
| Jefferson Co. CHINS | 10 | 144 | 52 | 195 | 113 | 3 | 507 | 517 |
| Lauderdale Girls | 3 | NA | NA | 41 | 6 | 0 | 47 | 50 |
| Lee Co. YDC - Shelter Care | 5 | 30 | 16 | 55 | 30 | 0 | 131 | 136 |

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY-DECEMBER, 1985

| | UNDER CARE 1/1/85 | ADMISSIONS-JAN.-DEC. WM | BM | WF | BF | O | TOTAL ADM. | TOTAL SERVED |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SHORT-TERM FACILITIES: (Continued) | | | | | | | | |
| Lee Co YDC - D & E Center | 2 | 32 | 14 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 73 | 75 |
| Marshall Co. | 12 | 25 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 6 | 55 | 67 |
| Mobile Co. Crisis Center | 2 | 211 | 89 | 268 | 89 | 7 | 664 | 666 |
| SEAYS - Ozark | 9 | 33 | 17 | NA | NA | 0 | 50 | 59 |
| SEAYS - Dothan | 7 | NA | NA | 34 | 14 | 0 | 48 | 55 |
| Shelby Co. | 8 | 48 | 12 | 50 | 15 | 0 | 125 | 133 |
| 13th Place - Gadsden | 15 | 77 | 17 | 72 | 20 | 0 | 186 | 201 |
| Tri-County | 0 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 36 |
| Tuscaloosa Co. (Brewer-Porch) | 3 | 39 | 20 | 59 | 38 | 0 | 156 | 159 |
| TOTAL | 97 | 743 | 264 | 898 | 346 | 10 | 2,261 | 2,358 |
| LONG-TERM FACILITIES: | | | | | | | | |
| Beacon House (Walker Co.) | 10 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 33 |
| Cornerstone, Inc. | 7 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 22 |
| Genesis House (UMCH) | 4 | 12 | 8 | NA | NA | 0 | 20 | 24 |
| Children of Montgomery, Inc. | 9 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 25 |
| Group House | 17 | 36 | 3 | NA | NA | 0 | 39 | 56 |
| Harris Manor Children | 12 | 0 | 6 | NA | NA | 0 | 6 | 18 |
| Higdon Hill - Birmingham | 10 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 23 |
| Lee Co YDC Treatment Center | 12 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 37 |

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY-DECEMBER, 1985

| | UNDER CARE 1/1/85 | ADMISSIONS-JAN.-DEC. WM | BM | WF | BF | O | TOTAL ADM. | TOTAL SERVED |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LONG-TERM FACILITIES: (Continued) | | | | | | | | |
| Pathway, Inc. Wilderness Program | 17 | 22 | 7 | NA | NA | 0 | 29 | 46 |
| Mobile Co. Halfway House | 7 | 19 | 11 | NA | NA | 0 | 30 | 37 |
| Northport Group Home | 2 | NA | NA | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 10 |
| River Place (Tuscaloosa) | 6 | 10 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 10 | 16 |
| Progress Place (Huntsville) | 6 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 21 |
| Glenwood Wilderness Camp | 2 | 14 | 2 | NA | NA | 0 | 16 | 18 |
| *Three Springs Wilderness School | 0 | 5 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 121 | 155 | 60 | 32 | 23 | 0 | 270 | 391 |
| DAY TREATMENT CENTERS: | | | | | | | | |
| C.I.T.Y. Program (Gadsden) | 29 | 48 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 77 | 106 |
| Developing Alabama Youth Foundation (Siluria) | 27 | 36 | 17 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 74 | 101 |
| **Jackson County Alternative School | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| Macon Co. Alternative School | 10 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Marshall Co. Alternative School | 1 | 45 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 69 |
| Youth Alternative Program-Anniston | 23 | 71 | 89 | 89 | 120 | 1 | 370 | 393 |
| TOTAL | 100 | 214 | 135 | 147 | 127 | 1 | 624 | 724 |

TOTAL SERVED BY ALL DYS LICENSED FACILITIES: 8,940

*Opened October 1985
**Opened September 1985

## VII. PROGRAMS OPERATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

Programs operated by the Department of Youth Services include four (4) institutions (Chalkville, Mt. Meigs, Vacca and ITU), four (4) group homes, the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center, and the Wilderness Program.

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY-DECEMBER, 1985

| | UNDER CARE 1/1/85 | ADMISSIONS JAN - DEC | | | | | TOTAL ADM. | TOTAL SERVED |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | WM | BM | WF | BF | O | | |
| CAMPUSES: | | | | | | | | |
| Chalkville | 115 | 65 | 40 | 54 | 62 | 0 | 221 | 336 |
| ITU | 10 | 36 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 76 | 86 |
| Mt. Meigs | 154 | 136 | 171 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 307 | 461 |
| Vacca | 182 | 107 | 139 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 246 | 428 |
| TOTAL | 461 | 344 | 390 | 54 | 62 | 0 | 850 | 1,311 |
| GROUP HOMES: | | | | | | | | |
| Bell Road Boys | 11 | 13 | 9 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 22 | 33 |
| Gadsden Boys | 12 | 21 | 14 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 35 | 47 |
| Mobile Boys | 22 | 19 | 21 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 40 | 62 |
| Troy State | 6 | n/a | n/a | 19 | 14 | 0 | 33 | 39 |
| TOTAL | 51 | 53 | 44 | 19 | 14 | 0 | 130 | 181 |
| D & E CENTER | 51 | 306 | 317 | 59 | 57 | 0 | 739 | 790 |
| PROJECT PRIME TIME (Wilderness Program) | 28 | 16 | 14 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 30 | 58 |
| TOTAL | 540 | 413 | 448 | 73 | 76 | 0 | 1,010 | |
| TOTAL SERVED BY ALL DYS OPERATED FACILITIES | | | | | | | | 1,550 |

NOTE: 1,550 reflects the total number of youths admitted to each facility--some youths may have been admitted to more than one facility.

The total served by DYS operated facilities excludes the number served at the D & E Center in order to avoid duplication in counting.

## B. DIAGNOSTIC AND EVALUATION CENTER

During calendar year 1985, the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center completed 758 evaluations, a 4.4% increase over 1984. Of these 758 evaluations, 97.9% (742) represented actual commitments to the Department of Youth Services, while the remaining 2.1% were predispositional evaluations. Included in these evaluations were medical psychological, social and educational assessments. Vocational assessments were also completed on 498 youths during this period.

Eighty-four percent of all the evaluations involved males, and 16% involved females. White males accounted for 40.9% of the assessments, black males 43.1%, white females for 8.2% and black females for 7.8%. The most frequent occurring ages were 16 and 17 years old, with 52.5% of the total evaluations.

In accordance with the Department of Youth Services classification recommendations, only 7.4% of the 758 youths were classified as Maximum Risk A. Sixteen percent were classified as Maximum Risk B, and the remaining 76.6% were classified as Minimum Risk students. The seven most populous counties (Calhoun, Etowah, Jefferson, Madison, Mobile, Montgomery and Tuscaloosa) accounted for 44.1% of the total referrals.

Fourteen percent of the students evaluated had previous commitments to the Deartment of Youth Services, with the remaining 86% being a first commitment. Approximately 42% of the referrals had three or more past offenses, 23% had two past offenses, 23% had one past offense, while 12% had no past offenses.

Approximately 23% of the youths evaluated tested in the average and above levels of intelligence; 32% tested in the low average level; and 45% tested in the borderline retarded and retarded levels.

Thirty-two percent of the youths were found to be functioning at or above their grade placement level (which is a 10% increase over 1984), and the remaining 68% were below their functional educational grade level.

Sixty-eight percent were classified as being emotionally conflicted, 26% were classified as being mentally retarded, and 11% were found to have a learning disability.

See Figure 12 for an eight year comparison of the total number of D & E Center evaluations completed.

Figure 12


D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS
Eight Year Comparison
800
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
564
633
780
777
554
577
726
758
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985

Table 23

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

| COUNTY | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 758 (100.00%) | 637 (100.00%) | 121 (100.00%) |
| Autauga | 6 (.79%) | 6 (.94%) | 0 -- |
| Baldwin | 20 (2.64%) | 19 (2.98%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Barbour | 12 (1.58%) | 10 (1.60%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Bibb | 2 (.26%) | 2 (.31%) | 0 -- |
| Blount | 11 (1.45%) | 6 (.94%) | 5 (4.13%) |
| Bullock | 4 (.53%) | 2 (.31%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Butler | 4 (.53%) | 2 (.31%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Calhoun | 18 (2.37%) | 16 (2.51%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Chambers | 15 (1.98%) | 10 (1.57%) | 5 (4.13%) |
| Cherokee | 1 (.13%) | 1 (.16%) | 0 -- |
| Chilton | 4 (.53%) | 2 (.31%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Choctaw | 5 (.66%) | 5 (.78%) | 0 -- |
| Clarke | 3 (.40%) | 2 (.31%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Clay | 2 (.26%) | 2 (.31%) | 0 -- |
| Cleburne | 0 -- | 0 -- | 0 -- |
| Coffee | 12 (1.58%) | 8 (1.26%) | 4 (3.31%) |
| Colbert | 7 (.92%) | 7 (1.10%) | 0 -- |
| Conecuh | 6 (.79%) | 6 (.94%) | 0 -- |
| Coosa | 1 (.13%) | 0 -- | 1 (.83%) |
| Covington | 4 (.53%) | 1 (.16%) | 3 (2.48%) |
| Crenshaw | 3 (.40%) | 3 (.47%) | 0 -- |
| Cullman | 11 (1.45%) | 7 (1.10%) | 4 (3.31%) |

Table 23 (Cont'd)

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

| COUNTY | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dale | 15 (1.98%) | 14 (2.20%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Dallas | 10 (1.32%) | 7 (1.10%) | 3 (2.48%) |
| DeKalb | 11 (1.45%) | 9 (1.41%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Elmore | 8 (1.06%) | 7 (1.10%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Escambia | 18 (2.37%) | 16 (2.51%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Etowah | 24 (3.17%) | 17 (2.67%) | 7 (5.78%) |
| Fayette | 4 (.53%) | 2 (.31%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Franklin | 4 (.53%) | 4 (.63%) | 0 -- |
| Geneva | 3 (.40%) | 3 (.47%) | 0 -- |
| Greene | 0 -- | 0 -- | 0 -- |
| Hale | 0 -- | 0 -- | 0 -- |
| Henry | 1 (.13%) | 1 (.16%) | 0 -- |
| Houston | 21 (2.77%) | 17 (2.67%) | 4 (3.31%) |
| Jackson | 5 (.66%) | 5 (.78%) | 0 -- |
| Jefferson | 96 (12.66%) | 87 (13.66%) | 9 (7.44%) |
| Lamar | 4 (.53%) | 2 (.31%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Lauderdale | 15 (1.98%) | 11 (1.73%) | 4 (3.31%) |
| Lawrence | 7 (.92%) | 5 (.78%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Lee | 11 (1.45%) | 7 (1.10%) | 4 (3.31%) |
| Limestone | 10 (1.32%) | 8 (1.26%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Lowndes | 4 (.53%) | 3 (.47%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Macon | 7 (.92%) | 7 (1.10%) | 0 -- |
| Madison | 47 (6.20%) | 45 (7.07%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Marengo | 14 (1.85%) | 10 (1.57%) | 4 (3.31%) |

Table 23 (Cont'd)

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

| COUNTY | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| Marion | 2 (.26%) | 2 (.31%) | 0 -- |
| Marshall | 2 (.26%) | 2 (.31%) | 0 -- |
| Mobile | 68 (8.97%) | 60 (9.42%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| Monroe | 6 (.79%) | 5 (.78%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Montgomery | 56 (7.39%) | 52 (8.16%) | 4 (3.31%) |
| Morgan | 10 (1.32%) | 10 (1.57%) | 0 -- |
| Perry | 2 (.26%) | 2 (.31%) | 0 -- |
| Pickens | 4 (.53%) | 3 (.47%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Pike | 9 (1.19%) | 8 (1.26%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Randolph | 3 (.40%) | 3 (.47%) | 0 -- |
| Russell | 28 (3.69%) | 19 (2.98%) | 9 (7.44%) |
| St. Clair | 3 (.40%) | 1 (.16%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Shelby | 4 (.53%) | 4 (.63%) | 0 -- |
| Sumter | 1 (.13%) | 1 (.16%) | 0 -- |
| Talladega | 20 (2.64%) | 17 (2.67%) | 3 (2.48%) |
| Tallapoosa | 8 (1.06%) | 8 (1.26%) | 0 -- |
| Tuscaloosa | 25 (3.30%) | 22 (3.45%) | 3 (2.48%) |
| Walker | 9 (1.19%) | 7 (1.10%) | 2 (1.65%) |
| Washington | 1 (.13%) | 1 (.16%) | 0 -- |
| Wilcox | 2 (.26%) | 2 (.31%) | 0 -- |
| Winston | 5 (.66%) | 4 (.63%) | 1 (.83%) |

Table 24

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>I. RACE | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 758 (100.00%) | 637 (100.00%) | 121 (100.00%) |
| White | 372 (50.92%) | 310 (48.67%) | 62 (51.24%) |
| Black | 386 (49.08%) | 327 (51.33%) | 59 (48.76%) |
| II. AGE | | | |
| 12 and below | 23 (3.02%) | 20 (3.14%) | 3 (2.48%) |
| 13 | 40 (5.28%) | 34 (5.34%) | 6 (4.96%) |
| 14 | 105 (13.86%) | 76 (11.93%) | 29 (23.97%) |
| 15 | 166 (21.90%) | 134 (21.04%) | 32 (26.45%) |
| 16 | 185 (24.41%) | 159 (24.96%) | 26 (21.49%) |
| 17 | 213 (28.11%) | 191 (29.98%) | 22 (18.17%) |
| 18 | 26 (3.42%) | 23 (3.61%) | 3 (2.48%) |
| III. PARENTAL STATUS | | | |
| Both natural parents in home | 175 (23.09%) | 151 (23.70%) | 24 (19.83%) |
| One natural parent in home | 317 (41.82%) | 270 (42.39%) | 47 (38.84%) |
| One nat. parent & stepparent in home | 126 (16.62%) | 112 (17.58%) | 14 (11.57%) |
| Neither parent in home | 140 (18.47%) | 104 (16.33%) | 36 (29.75%) |
| IV. PARENTAL EMPLOYMENT | | | |
| Both employed | 121 (15.96%) | 113 (17.74%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| One nat. parent/guardian employed | 275 (36.28%) | 231 (36.26%) | 44 (36.37%) |
| Stepparent only employed | 39 (5.15%) | 31 (4.87%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| Both nat. parents/guardian unemployed | 249 (32.85%) | 213 (33.44%) | 36 (29.75%) |
| Not available | 74 (9.76%) | 49 (7.69%) | 25 (20.66%) |

Table 25

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>V. PREVIOUS COMMITMENT | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 758 (100.00%) | 637 (100.00%) | 121 (100.00%) |
| Yes | 107 (14.12%) | 96 (15.07%) | 11 (9.09%) |
| No | 651 (85.88%) | 541 (84.93%) | 110 (90.91%) |
| VI. PAST OFFENSES | | | |
| None | 88 (11.61%) | 65 (10.20%) | 23 (19.01%) |
| One | 173 (22.82%) | 131 (20.57%) | 42 (34.71%) |
| Two | 175 (23.09%) | 153 (24.02%) | 22 (18.18%) |
| Three or more | 322 (42.48%) | 288 (45.21%) | 34 (28.10%) |
| VII. PLACEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS | | | |
| Chalkville Campus | 184 (24.27%) | 92 (14.44%) | 92 (76.03%) |
| Mt. Meigs Campus | 254 (33.52%) | 254 (39.87%) | 0 -- |
| Vacca Campus | 181 (23.88%) | 181 (28.41%) | 0 -- |
| Bell Road Group Home | 17 (2.24%) | 17 (2.67%) | 0 -- |
| Gadsden Group Home | 22 (2.90%) | 22 (3.45%) | 0 -- |
| Mobile Group Home | 26 (3.43%) | 26 (4.08%) | 0 -- |
| Troy State Group Home | 20 (2.64%) | 0 -- | 20 (16.53%) |
| Wilderness Program | 18 (2.37%) | 18 (2.83%) | 0 -- |
| Predispositional | 16 (2.11%) | 8 (1.26%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| Work Release | 8 (1.06%) | 8 (1.26%) | 0 -- |
| Intensive Treatment Unit | 6 (.79%) | 6 (.94%) | 0 -- |
| Other | 6 (.79%) | 5 (.79%) | 1 (.83%) |

Table 26

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>VIII. INTELLECTUAL LEVEL | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 758 (100.00%) | 637 (100.00%) | 121 (100.00%) |
| Superior | 6 (.79%) | 5 (.79%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Above Average | 8 (1.06%) | 7 (1.10%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Average | 163 (21.50%) | 142 (22.29%) | 21 (17.36%) |
| Low Average | 243 (32.06%) | 207 (32.50%) | 36 (29.75%) |
| Borderline Retarded | 126 (16.62%) | 108 (16.95%) | 18 (14.87%) |
| Retarded | 212 (27.97%) | 168 (26.37%) | 44 (36.36%) |
| IX. FUNCTIONAL EDUCATIONAL LEVEL | | | |
| Above Grade Level | 61 (8.05%) | 47 (7.38%) | 14 (11.57%) |
| At Grade Level | 180 (23.75%) | 129 (20.25%) | 51 (42.15%) |
| Below Grade Level | 517 (68.21%) | 461 (72.37%) | 56 (46.28%) |
| *X. SPECIAL EDUCATION CLASSIFICATION | | | |
| Emotionally Confilcted | 516 (68.07%) | 440 (69.07%) | 76 (62.81%) |
| Mentally Retarded | 200 (26.39%) | 162 (25.43%) | 38 (31.41%) |
| L.D. | 87 (11.48%) | 86 (13.50%) | 1 (.83%) |
| XI. ACACEMIC EXPECTANCY VERSUS GRADE PLACEMENT | | | |
| At or Above Grade Placement | 243 (32.06%) | 178 (27.94%) | 65 (53.72%) |
| One Year Below Grade Placement | 176 (23.22%) | 143 (22.45%) | 33 (27.27%) |
| Two Years Below Grade Placement | 162 (21.37%) | 149 (23.39%) | 13 (10.74%) |
| Three Years Below Grade Placement | 115 (15.17%) | 107 (16.80%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| Four Years Below Grade Placement | 47 (6.20%) | 46 (7.22%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Five or More Years Below Grade Placement | 15 (1.98%) | 14 (2.20%) | 1 (.83%) |

*Special Educational Classification will not equal one-hundred percent due to the overlapping of classification, i.e., both classifications may apply to one youth.

Table 27

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>XII. CLASSIFICATION RECOMMENDATIONS | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 758 (100.00%) | 637 (100.00%) | 121 (100.00%) |
| Maximum Risk - A | 56 (7.39%) | 53 (8.32%) | 3 (2.48%) |
| Maximum Risk - B | 123 (16.23%) | 107 (16.80%) | 16 (13.22%) |
| Minimum Risk | 464 (61.21%) | 391 (61.38%) | 73 (60.33%) |
| Minimum Risk (Waived) | 94 (12.40%) | 74 (11.62%) | 20 (16.53%) |
| Predispositional | 16 (2.11%) | 8 (1.26%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| Other | 5 (.66%) | 4 (.63%) | 1 (.83%) |

Table 28

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>XIII. ASSIGNMENT RECOMMENDATIONS* | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 758 (100.00%) | 637 (100.00%) | 121 (100.00%) |
| A. ALTERNATE PLACEMENT | | | |
| Long-term | 83 (10.95%) | 59 (9.26%) | 24 (19.83%) |
| Group Home | 97 (12.80%) | 78 (12.24%) | 19 (15.70%) |
| Residential Drug Program | 1 (.13%) | 1 (.16%) | 0 -- |
| Sheltered Workshop | 1 (.13%) | 1 (.16%) | 0 -- |
| Home Evaluation | 127 (16.75%) | 100 (15.70%) | 27 (22.31%) |
| B. EDUCATIONAL | | | |
| GED Preparation | 143 (18.87%) | 128 (20.09%) | 15 (12.40%) |
| Adult Basic Education | 70 (9.23%) | 62 (9.73%) | 8 (6.61%) |
| Remediation | 261 (34.43%) | 231 (36.26%) | 30 (24.79%) |
| Vocational Training | 521 (68.73%) | 455 (71.43%) | 66 (54.55%) |
| Special Education | 71 (9.37%) | 57 (8.95%) | 14 (11.57%) |
| Return to School | 98 (12.93%) | 87 (13.66%) | 11 (9.09%) |

*Assignment Recommendations will not equal one-hundred percent due to the overlapping of categories, i.e., several categories may apply to one youth.

Table 29

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>XIV. TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS* | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 758 (100.00%) | 637 (100.00%) | 121 (100.00%) |
| A. COUNSELING | | | |
| Family Counseling | 214 (28.23%) | 183 (28.73%) | 31 (25.62%) |
| Parent Effectiveness Training | 190 (25.07%) | 166 (26.06%) | 24 (19.83%) |
| Premarital Counseling | 6 (.79%) | 5 (.78%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Substance Abuse Counseling/Education | 637 (84.04%) | 541 (84.93%) | 96 (79.34%) |
| Role Model Counseling | 287 (37.86%) | 249 (39.09%) | 38 (31.40%) |
| General Counseling | 471 (62.14%) | 393 (61.70%) | 78 (64.46%) |
| Behavioral/Suicide Monitoring | 254 (33.51%) | 205 (32.18%) | 49 (40.50%) |
| Goal Oriented | 61 (8.05%) | 55 (8.63%) | 6 (4.96%) |
| AL-A-Teen | 16 (2.11%) | 13 (2.04%) | 3 (2.48%) |
| B. THERAPIES EMPLOYED | | | |
| Reality | 180 (23.75%) | 160 (25.12%) | 20 (16.53%) |
| Insight | 4 (.53%) | 3 (.47%) | 1 (.83%) |
| Psychotherapy | 248 (32.72%) | 198 (31.08%) | 50 (41.32%) |

*Treatment Recommendations will not equal one hundred percent due to the overlapping of categories, i.e., several categories may apply to one youth.

Table 29 (Cont'd)

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION<br>XIV. TREATMENT RECOMMENDATION-Cont'd* | TOTAL EVAL. | MALES | FEMALES |
|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 758 (100.00%) | 637 (100.00%) | 121 (100.00%) |
| C. TRAINING | | | |
| Social Skills | 202 (26.65%) | 167 (26.22%) | 35 (28.93%) |
| Independent Living Skills | 171 (22.56%) | 149 (23.39%) | 22 (18.18%) |
| Contingency Management | 83 (10.59%) | 72 (11.30%) | 11 (9.09%) |
| Assertion Training | 306 (40.37%) | 263 (41.29%) | 43 (35.54%) |
| Child Care | 21 (2.77%) | 12 (1.88%) | 9 (7.44%) |

*Treatment Recommendations will not equal one-hundred percent due to the overlapping categories, i.e., several categories may apply to one youth.

Table 30

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION | |
|---|---|
| *XV. VOCATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| TOTAL | 498 (100.00%) |
| Vocational Counseling | 498 (100.00%) |
| On-the-job Training | 321 (64.46%) |
| Vocational Training | 498 (100.00%) |
| Vocational Rehabilitation Services | 162 (32.53%) |
| Work Adjustment | 21 (4.22%) |
| **XVI. CAMPUS TRADE PLACEMENTS | |
| A. MT. MEIGS CAMPUS | |
| TOTAL | 238 (100.00%) |
| 1. Auto Mechanics | 39 (16.40%) |
| 2. Masonry | 21 (8.82%) |
| 3. Welding | 22 (9.24%) |
| 4. Printing | 18 (7.56%) |
| 5. Plumbing | 11 (4.62%) |
| 6. Electrical | 18 (7.56%) |
| 7. Food Service | 28 (11.76%) |
| 8. Janitorial | 13 (5.46%) |
| 9. Carpentry | 31 (13.04%) |
| 10. Health Occupations | 1 (.42%) |
| 11. Farm Machinery | 11 (4.62%) |
| 12. Full-time Academics | 25 (10.50%) |

*Vocational Recommendations will not equal one hundred percent due to the overlapping of categories, i.e., several recommendations may apply to one youth.

**The totals for the campus trade placements will not equal the total number of vocational evaluations and placements (498) because it applies only to the DYS campus placements.

Table 30 (Cont'd)

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

| NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION | |
|---|---|
| *XVI. CAMPUS TRADE PLACEMENTS | |
| B. VACCA CAMPUS | |
| TOTAL | 79 (100.00%) |
| 1. Auto Mechanics | 33 (41.77%) |
| 2. Food Service | 16 (20.25%) |
| 3. Horticulture | 7 (8.86%) |
| 4. Industrial Arts | 20 (25.32%) |
| 5. Full-time Academics | 3 (3.80%) |
| C. CHALKVILLE CAMPUS | |
| TOTAL | 80 (100.00%) |
| 1. Clerical | 16 (20.00%) |
| 2. Cosmetology | 33 (41.25%) |
| 3. Food Service | 13 (16.25%) |
| 4. Home Economics | 7 (8.75%) |
| 5. Full-time Academics | 11 (13.75%) |

*The total for the campus trade placements will not equal the total number of vocational evaluations and placements (498) because it applies only to the DYS Campus placements.

## VIII. INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES

The Interstate Compact on Juveniles is the legal vehicle for cooperation between states on juvenile delinquency supervision and the return of runaway youths. It is the legal mechanism for returning delinquent youths who have run away from other states and have been apprehended by authorites in Alabama and is also responsible for arranging for the return from other states of Alabama runaways.

In 1985, there were 483 runaways handled through the Interstate Compact on Juveniles, representing a 7.6% increase over the previous year. One hundred seventy-nine (179) were runaways from Alabama who went to the other states (a 14% increase over 1984), and 304 (63%) were runaways from other states who were apprehended in Alabama (a 4.1% increase over 1984).

Alabama's border states (Tennessee, Georgia, Florida, and Mississippi) received 45.3% of the runaways from Alabama, and Texas received 10.6% of Alabama runaways. These four border states concurrently provided Alabama with 38.5% of its out-of-state runaways. It is interesting to note that 57.6% of the out-of-state runaways were detained in Alabama's seven most populous counties (Calhoun, Etowah, Jefferson, Madison, Mobile, Montgomery and Tuscaloosa). This represented a 44.6% decrease over 1984.

Of the 483 runaways handled in 1985, 220 (45.5%) were female and 263 (54.5%) were male. There was an approximate 2.7% increase in the number of white runaways handled (467 or 96.7%) and a subsequent 2.7% decrease in the number of black runaways handled (16 or 3.3%). The average age for Alabama runaways was 15.7 years old, while the average age for out-of-state runaways was 16.0 years old.

The Interstate Compact on Juveniles is also responsible for arranging placement for supervision with other states of delinquent juveniles, provided they are eligible for probation or parole. During 1985, a total of 220 placements were approved, an overall increase of 32.5%. There were 114 out-of-state youths placed in Alabama (a 21.3% increase) and 106 Alabama youths placed in other states ( a 47.2% increase).

Table 31

ALABAMA RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

A. STATE WENT TO

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 179 | (100.00%) | | | |
| Arizona | 3 | (1.68%) | Mississippi | 11 | (6.15%) |
| Arkansas | 8 | (4.47%) | Nebraska | 3 | (1.68%) |
| California | 2 | (1.12%) | Nevada | 1 | (.56%) |
| Connecticut | 1 | (.56%) | New Jersey | 3 | (1.68%) |
| Delaware | 2 | (1.12%) | North Carolina | 1 | (.56%) |
| Florida | 40 | (22.35%) | Ohio | 3 | (1.68%) |
| Georgia | 21 | (11.73%) | Oregon | 1 | (.56%) |
| Hawaii | 1 | (.56%) | Pennsylvania | 3 | (1.68%) |
| Illinois | 2 | (1.12%) | South Carolina | 4 | (2.23%) |
| Louisiana | 20 | (11.17%) | Tennessee | 9 | (5.03%) |
| Maryland | 3 | (1.68%) | Texas | 19 | (10.61%) |
| Massachusetts | 3 | (1.68%) | West Virginia | 7 | (3.91%) |
| Michigan | 4 | (2.23%) | Wisconsin | 1 | (.56%) |
| Minnesota | 2 | (1.12%) | Mexico | 1 | (.56%) |

Table 32

ALABAMA RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

B. COUNTY/FACILITY LEFT FROM

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 179 | (100.00%) | | | |
| Autauga | 3 | (1.67%) | Jefferson | 19 | (10.61%) |
| Blount | 1 | (.56%) | Lauderdale | 4 | (2.24%) |
| Butler | 1 | (.56%) | Lee | 3 | (1.67%) |
| Calhoun | 6 | (3.35%) | Macon | 2 | (1.12%) |
| Chambers | 2 | (1.12%) | Madison | 8 | (4.47%) |
| Clarke | 2 | (1.12%) | Marengo | 1 | (.56%) |
| Cleburne | 3 | (1.67%) | Mobile | 21 | (11.73%) |
| Cherokee | 5 | (2.79%) | Monroe | 2 | (1.12%) |
| Colbert | 5 | (2.79%) | Montgomery | 14 | (7.82%) |
| Covington | 1 | (.56%) | Morgan | 4 | (2.24%) |
| Crenshaw | 2 | (1.12%) | Pickens | 2 | (1.12%) |
| Cullman | 1 | (.56%) | Russell | 1 | (.56%) |
| Dale | 4 | (2.24%) | St. Clair | 3 | (1.67%) |
| Dallas | 5 | (2.79%) | Shelby | 1 | (.56%) |
| DeKalb | 5 | (2.79%) | Sumter | 2 | (1.12%) |
| Elmore | 3 | (1.67%) | Tallapoosa | 2 | (1.12%) |
| Etowah | 5 | (2.79%) | Tuscaloosa | 6 | (3.35%) |
| Geneva | 4 | (2.24%) | Walker | 2 | (1.12%) |
| Green | 1 | (.56%) | Wilcox | 1 | (.56%) |
| Houston | 9 | (5.03%) | DYS Facilities | 13 | (7.26%) |

Table 33

OUT-OF-STATE RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

A. COUNTY WENT TO

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 304 | (100.00%) | | | |
| Autauga | 5 | (1.64%) | Etowah | 10 | (3.29%) |
| Baldwin | 4 | (1.31%) | Franklin | 1 | (.33%) |
| Barbour | 2 | (.66%) | Hale | 2 | (.66%) |
| Bibb | 2 | (.66%) | Houston | 6 | (1.97%) |
| Blount | 2 | (.66%) | Jackson | 2 | (.66%) |
| Butler | 8 | (2.63%) | Jefferson | 34 | (11.18%) |
| Calhoun | 3 | (.99%) | Lauderdale | 9 | (2.96%) |
| Chambers | 5 | (1.64%) | Lee | 8 | (2.63%) |
| Cherokee | 1 | (.33%) | Limestone | 1 | (.33%) |
| Chilton | 1 | (.33%) | Macon | 2 | (.66%) |
| Choctaw | 4 | (1.31%) | Madison | 11 | (3.62%) |
| Clarke | 2 | (.66%) | Marion | 2 | (.66%) |
| Coffee | 6 | (1.97%) | Marshall | 3 | (.99%) |
| Colbert | 3 | (.99%) | Mobile | 75 | (24.67%) |
| Conecuh | 1 | (.33%) | Montgomery | 24 | (7.90%) |
| Covington | 6 | (1.97%) | Pike | 2 | (.66%) |
| Crenshaw | 1 | (.33%) | St. Clair | 1 | (.33%) |
| Cullman | 2 | (.66%) | Talladega | 2 | (.66%) |
| Dale | 13 | (4.27%) | Tuscaloosa | 18 | (5.92%) |
| Dallas | 3 | (.99%) | Walker | 1 | (.33%) |
| DeKalb | 13 | (4.27%) | Wilcox | 1 | (.33%) |
| Escambia | 2 | (.66%) | | | |

Table 34

OUT-OF-STATE RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

B. STATE LEFT FROM

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 304 | (100.00%) | | | |
| Arkansas | 3 | (.99%) | New Hampshire | 4 | (1.32%) |
| California | 12 | (3.95%) | New Jersey | 2 | (.66%) |
| Florida | 69 | (22.70%) | New Mexico | 1 | (.33%) |
| Georgia | 13 | (4.28%) | New York | 2 | (.66%) |
| Illinois | 8 | (2.63%) | North Carolina | 5 | (1.64%) |
| Indiana | 6 | (1.97%) | Ohio | 12 | (3.95%) |
| Iowa | 2 | (.66%) | Oklahoma | 7 | (2.30%) |
| Kansas | 3 | (.99%) | Pennsylvania | 7 | (2.30%) |
| Kentucky | 11 | (3.62%) | Rhode Island | 2 | (.66%) |
| Louisiana | 22 | (7.24%) | South Carolina | 2 | (.66%) |
| Maine | 1 | (.33%) | Tennessee | 15 | (4.93%) |
| Maryland | 1 | (.33%) | Texas | 31 | (10.20%) |
| Massachusetts | 1 | (.33%) | Vermont | 3 | (.99%) |
| Michigan | 13 | (4.28%) | Virginia | 9 | (2.96%) |
| Minnesota | 2 | (.66%) | Washington | 1 | (.33%) |
| Mississippi | 20 | (6.58%) | West Virginia | 6 | (1.97%) |
| Missouri | 3 | (.99%) | Wisconsin | 3 | (.99%) |
| Nevada | 2 | (.66%) | | | |

Table 35

SUPERVISION CASES

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

A. OUT-OF-STATE REFERRALS TO ALABAMA

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 114 | (100.00%) | | | |
| Arkansas | 2 | (1.75%) | Montana | 1 | (.88%) |
| California | 7 | (6.14%) | New Mexico | 1 | (.88%) |
| Colorado | 2 | (1.75%) | New York | 4 | (3.51%) |
| Connecticut | 1 | (.88%) | Ohio | 5 | (4.39%) |
| Florida | 30 | (26.31%) | Pennsylvania | 2 | (1.75%) |
| Georgia | 16 | (14.03%) | South Carolina | 4 | (3.51%) |
| Illinois | 5 | (4.39%) | Tennessee | 5 | (4.39%) |
| Indiana | 2 | (1.75%) | Texas | 5 | (4.39%) |
| Kentucky | 1 | (.88%) | Utah | 1 | (.88%) |
| Louisiana | 3 | (2.63%) | Virginia | 3 | (2.63%) |
| Michigan | 5 | (4.39%) | Washington | 1 | (.88%) |
| Minnesota | 2 | (1.75%) | Wisconsin | 2 | (1.75%) |
| Mississippi | 4 | (3.51%) | | | |

Table 36

SUPERVISION CASES

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

B. ALABAMA REFERRALS TO OTHER STATES

| TOTAL | | (100.00%) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| California | 4 | (3.77%) | Montana | 1 | (.94%) |
| Colorado | 2 | (1.89%) | Nevada | 1 | (.94%) |
| Connecticut | 3 | (2.83%) | New York | 1 | (.94%) |
| Delaware | 1 | (.94%) | North Carolina | 2 | (1.89%) |
| Florida | 17 | (16.04%) | Ohio | 2 | (1.89%) |
| Georgia | 7 | (6.60%) | Oregon | 1 | (.94%) |
| Illinois | 3 | (2.83%) | Pennsylvania | 1 | (.94%) |
| Indiana | 4 | (3.77%) | South Carolina | 3 | (2.83%) |
| Kansas | 1 | (.94%) | Tennessee | 6 | (5.66%) |
| Louisiana | 13 | (12.26%) | Texas | 7 | (6.60%) |
| Maine | 1 | (.94%) | Utah | 1 | (.94%) |
| Maryland | 2 | (1.89%) | Virginia | 1 | (.94%) |
| Massachusetts | 3 | (2.83%) | Washington | 1 | (.94%) |
| Michigan | 3 | (2.83%) | West Virginia | 1 | (.94%) |
| Mississippi | 12 | (11.32%) | Wisconsin | 1 | (.94%) |

## IX. ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF PENSIONS AND SECURITY

### A. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A total of 6,784 cases of abuse, neglect, dependency, and special proceedings were disposed of by the 48 juvenile courts that reported during 1985.

The 6,784 cases disposed of represented 948 (14%) cases of abuse, 1,437 (21.2%) cases of neglect, 3,653 (53.9%) dependency cases, and 746 (11%) special proceedings cases.* Eighty-six percent of the cases were disposed of with a court appearance and 14% without a court appearance.

In 39% of the cases the children were placed in the custody of a relative, and in 28% custody of the children was awarded to the Department of Pensions and Security. Ten percent of the cases were dismissed.

There were approximately the same number of male and female cases disposed of. White youth accounted for 53% of the cases and black youth accounted for 47%.

Children in 42% of the cases were brought to the attention of the court by a parent, guardian, or relative. Social agencies referred the children in 46% of the cases.

In 20% of the cases the children received protective custody, shelter, or attention home care pending disposition of their cases. Sixty-two percent of the cases reported were adjudicated dependent.

*Includes emancipation of children, consents to marry, involuntary commitments to Mental Health, etc.

Table 37

DEMOGRAPHIC/REFERRAL/DISPOSITION INFORMATION

| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION | NUMBER | PERCENT |
|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 6,784 | (100.00%) |
| I. SEX | | |
| Male | 3,360 | (49.53%) |
| Female | 3,424 | (50.47%) |
| II. RACE | | |
| White | 3,547 | (52.29%) |
| Black | 3,163 | (46.62%) |
| Other | 47 | (.70%) |
| Unknown or Not Reported | 27 | (.40%) |
| III. REFERRAL REASON | | |
| Abuse | 948 | (13.97%) |
| Neglect | 1,437 | (21.18%) |
| Dependency | 3,653 | (53.85%) |
| Special Proceedings | 746 | (11.00%) |
| IV. REFERRAL SOURCE | | |
| Law Enforcement Agency | 306 | (4.51%) |
| School | 73 | (1.08%) |
| Parent/Guardian/Relative | 2,877 | (42.41%) |
| Social Agency | 3,140 | (46.29%) |
| Other Source | 366 | (5.39%) |
| Unknown or Not Reported | 22 | (.32%) |

Table 37 (Cont'd)

| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION | NUMBER | PERCENT |
|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | | |
| V. CARE PENDING DISPOSITION | | |
| Not Detained | 5,435 | (80.12%) |
| Attention Home/Shelter Care | 1,296 | (19.10%) |
| Other Place | 25 | (.37%) |
| Unknown or Not Reported | 28 | (.41%) |
| VI. MANNER OF HANDLING | | |
| With Court Appearance | 5,852 | (86.26%) |
| Without Court Appearance | 932 | (13.74%) |
| VII. ADJUDICATION | | |
| Dismissed | 675 | (9.95%) |
| Referred or Committed to Another Agency | 239 | (3.52%) |
| Committed to Child Care Facility | 132 | (1.95%) |
| Placed in Custody of DPS | 1,867 | (27.52%) |
| Placed in Custody of Relative | 2,613 | (38.52%) |
| Other Disposition (includes informal disposition | 1,248 | (18.40%) |
| Unknown or Not Reported | 10 | (.14%) |

Table 38

REFERRALS BY COUNTY

| COUNTY | NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TOTAL | 6,784 | (100.00%) | | | |
| Autauga | 47 | ( .69%) | Covington | 118 | (1.74%) |
| Baldwin | 0 | -0- | Crenshaw | 0 | -0- |
| Barbour | 37 | ( .55%) | Cullman | 113 | (1.67%) |
| Bibb | 92 | ( 1.36%) | Dale | 33 | ( .49%) |
| Blount | 71 | ( 1.05%) | Dallas | 109 | (1.61%) |
| Bullock | 1 | ( .01%) | DeKalb | 33 | ( .49%) |
| Butler | 1 | ( .01%) | Elmore | 0 | -0- |
| Calhoun | 151 | ( 2.23%) | Escambia | 0 | -0- |
| Chambers | 226 | ( 3.33%) | Etowah | 75 | (1.11%) |
| Cherokee | 17 | ( .25%) | Fayette | 19 | ( .28%) |
| Chilton | 2 | ( .03%) | Franklin | 89 | (1.31%) |
| Choctaw | 0 | -0- | Geneva | 0 | -0- |
| Clarke | 27 | ( .40%) | Greene | 7 | ( .10%) |
| Clay | 90 | ( 1.33%) | Hale | 1 | ( .01%) |
| Cleburne | 30 | ( .44%) | Henry | 20 | ( .30%) |
| Coffee | 219 | ( 3.23%) | Houston | 3 | ( .04%) |
| Colbert | 0 | -0- | Jackson | 83 | (1.22%) |
| Conecuh | 0 | -0- | Jefferson | 1,277 | (18.82%) |
| Coosa | 0 | -0- | Lamar | 25 | ( .37%) |

Table 38 (Cont'd)

REFERRALS BY COUNTY

| COUNTY | NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lauderdale | 377 | ( 5.56%) | Perry | 0 | -0- |
| Lawrence | 38 | ( .56%) | Pickens | 66 | ( .97%) |
| Lee | 291 | ( .56%) | Pike | 34 | ( .50%) |
| Limestone | 15 | ( .22%) | Randolph | 4 | ( .06%) |
| Lowndes | 18 | ( .26%) | Russell | 235 | (3.46%) |
| Macon | 54 | ( .80%) | Sanit Clair | 0 | -0- |
| Madison | 151 | ( 2.23%) | Shelby | 122 | (1.80%) |
| Marengo | 108 | ( 1.59%) | Sumter | 0 | -0- |
| Marion | 57 | ( .84%) | Talladega | 214 | (3.15%) |
| Marshall | 0 | -0- | Tallapoosa | 20 | (4.38%) |
| Mobile | 1,009 | (14.87%) | Tuscaloosa | 297 | (4.38%) |
| Monroe | 0 | -0- | Walker | 0 | -0- |
| Montgomery | 658 | ( 9.70%) | Washington | 0 | -0- |
| Morgan | 0 | -0- | Wilcox | 0 | -0- |
| | | | Winston | 0 | -0- |