

011317

316

111317

**1986 Annual Statistical Report
of the Furlough Program**

Prepared by:

**Lisa Lorant
EDP Programmer III**

Massachusetts Department of Correction

**Michael V. Fair
Commissioner**

August, 1987

NCJRS

MAY 18 1988

**PUBLICATION #: 14,993-47-250-8-27-87
Approved by State Purchasing Agent**

ACQUISITIONS

Table of Contents

Title	Page
Abstract	i
Introduction	1
Furlough Statistics For the Year 1986	4
Table I: Furloughs for 1986 by Furlough Institution and Security Level	6
Table II: Furlough Escape Rate by Type of Furlough and Security Level of Furlough Institution, 1986	7
Table III: Percent of Average Monthly Institutional Population Furloughed in 1986	9
Furlough Statistics Since the Inception of the Program	11
Table IV: Summary Furlough Statistics for the Years 1972 to 1986	12
Table V: Releases by Sex and Furlough Participation 1973-1986	13
Table VI: Furloughs and Escape Rates by Furlough Institution, 1985 and 1986	15
Table VII: Percentage of Average Monthly Population Furloughed by Security Level 1974-1986	17
Footnotes	19
Appendix I: Furlough and Furlough Escape Rates by Institution 1973 to 1985	21
Appendix II: Furlough Escape Rates by Furlough Variables, Offense Characteristics, Personal Background, and Criminal History, 1986	25

Introduction

This report presents a statistical description of furloughs granted by the Massachusetts Department of Correction for the year 1986. Contained in this report are trends in the number of furloughs per year and furlough outcomes from the inception of the program in 1972 until the end of the year 1986.

A furlough is considered by the Department of Correction to be an "extension of the limits of the place of confinement for a trustworthy resident" of a state correctional facility. The Department regards the furlough program as a means by which residents may maintain or re-establish direct ties with the communities from which they have come and, therefore, to be consistent with its policy of community reintegration. Furloughs may be granted for medical purposes, for contacting prospective employers, for securing living accommodations preparatory to release, or for visiting a critically ill relative or attending a relative's funeral; but, most commonly, they are granted for family visits. As diverse as these functions are, they all share the common desired end result of a reduction in the repeated criminal behavior of the prison releasee. An equally important function of furloughs, however, is their use as a correctional management device for dealing with inmates.

Residents are required to serve a certain portion of their sentences prior to becoming eligible for furlough as established by the Department's furlough rules and regulations. The resident is subject to a rigorous furlough screening process prior to being granted a furlough consisting of: an application review, an interview by an institutional furlough committee, completion of a furlough sponsorship agreement, a criminal history background check of the furlough sponsor, and

I would like to acknowledge Ramon Raagas for his assistance with this report.

a review of all relevant information by the Superintendent of the facility. Initial furlough applications must go through an even more extensive process. The applicant must be reviewed by a Central Office Furlough Panel and approved by the Commissioner or his designee. Residents meeting the general eligibility criteria are allowed by statute 14 furlough days per furlough year; in practice they receive seven days during the first half of the year and seven days during the second half of the year. A furlough may last less than 24 hours, thus an individual can be furloughed more than 14 times during a year. A resident's furlough year runs for 12 consecutive months from the date of the authorization of the resident's initial furlough; each successive furlough year commences on the anniversary of the furlough authorization date.

There are basically three types of furlough: earned, emergency and escorted. By far the most common type of furlough is the earned furlough. Emergency furloughs are approved for residents when a serious or personal situation arises that requires their immediate presence in the community. Escorted furloughs are emergency furloughs granted to a resident who requires close supervision while in the community. The resident must be accompanied by correctional staff while on furlough. In 1986, 97 percent of all furloughs were earned.

This report is divided into two sections. The first section covers relevant furlough statistics for the year 1986. The second section presents a brief overview of the Massachusetts furlough program and provides comparisons of furlough characteristics over varying time periods. The tables which follow in the two Appendices present trends in escape rates by institution between 1973 and 1985, and the number of furloughs, the percent distribution of furloughs, and escape rates by inmate social characteristics, offense variables, criminal history variables and furlough variables for 1986. In these tables and throughout the document the escape rate refers to every 100 furloughs ending in escape. In most instances, less

than one furlough in every 100 resulted in an escape producing extremely low escape rates such as 0.1 percent.

This report includes information on furloughs granted from DOC facilities. Thus, it does not include any furloughs taken by inmates in non-DOC facilities, such as county houses of correction.

The data for the tables in this report were derived from the computerized inmate data base and were produced on the Regent's Computer Network.

Furlough Statistics For the Year 1986

1986 Statistics

From January 1, 1986 to December 31, 1986, total furloughs granted were 8,896. The number of individuals furloughed in 1986 was 1,645. The median number of furloughs per furloughed offender was four; the actual number of furloughs ranged from one to 36. Ten offenders went out on furlough more than 25 times during 1986, while 378 offenders were furloughed only once. Fifty-one percent of the furloughed population received four or more furloughs, and 49 percent took fewer than four furloughs in 1986. The median number of hours of leave per furlough was 24 hours, but the actual number of hours furloughed ranged from one to 170 hours.

Escape Rate by Furlough - 1986

Department of Correction policy defines a furlough escape as failure to return to a correctional facility within two hours of the designated time of return.¹ The Department of Correction, as a matter of policy, recognizes two classes of furlough escape: those furloughs which result in voluntary returns after two hours but within 24 hours of the designated reporting time ("late overs" or technical escapes); and those which constitute involuntary returns or failure to return after 24 hours. Out of the 8,896 furloughs granted in 1986, there were 11 incidents of official furlough escapes (3 late overs and 8 actual escapes) resulting in an escape rate of 0.1 percent.

Escape Rate by Inmate Furloughed in 1986

Of the 1,645 offenders furloughed in 1986, 11 were declared on escape status. The furlough outcome for individuals resulted in an escape rate of 0.7 percent.

Since individual inmates often take more than one furlough, the escape rate per inmate furloughed is higher than the escape rate per furlough granted. Three of the 11 furloughed individuals declared on escape in 1986 returned voluntarily within 24 hours after their designated time of return ("late overs"). The median number of "successful" furloughs (not a late over and not an escape) per inmate was four.

Late Returns for 1986

For 1986, there were 151 furloughs which were classified as "late unders" (returned within two hours of the appointed time of return). The 151 "late unders" involved 124 individuals. These 124 offenders all returned voluntarily within two hours of their designated time of return. Eighteen offenders were reported to have two or more late returns from furlough in 1986.

Furloughs by Security Level - 1986

During 1986, 195 furloughs were granted from maximum or medium security institutions, representing two percent of the furloughs granted in 1986. There were 1,722 furloughs (19 percent) granted from minimum security institutions, 2,545 furloughs from mixed minimum/pre-release institutions (29 percent), and 4,434 furloughs (50 percent) granted from pre-release facilities in 1986. Table I presents information on furlough by security level for 1986 along with the corresponding escape rates for each furlough institution.² Five of the 11 furlough escapes were associated with furloughs from mixed minimum/pre-release security institutions, four from minimum security, and two were associated with furloughs from pre-release centers.

Table II indicates that in 1986 furloughs from secure institutions were almost exclusively emergency or escorted furloughs; those from MCI-Framingham and Southeastern Correctional Center (SECC) were exceptions to this pattern. In past

Table I

Furloughs for the Year 1986 by Furlough Institution and Security Level

Institution/Security	Furloughs	Escape Rate
<u>Maximum and Medium</u>		
Cedar Junction	24	0.0
Concord	20	0.0
Framingham	15	0.0
Norfolk	46	0.0
SECC	50	0.0
NCCI	40	0.0
Total	195	0.0
<u>Minimum</u>		
Medfield	182	0.5
Bay State	815	0.1
NCC	725	0.3
Total	1722	0.2
<u>Minimum/Pre-Release</u>		
Plymouth	339	0.0
Warwick	249	0.0
Shirley	1009	0.5
Lancaster	618	0.0
Longwood	259	0.0
Hodder House	71	0.0
Total	2545	0.2
<u>Pre-Release</u>		
Boston State	897	0.0
Brooke House	359	0.0
Coolidge I	560	0.4
THP	486	0.0
Norfolk Pre-Release	297	0.0
McGrath House	108	0.0
Coolidge II	124	0.0
Drug Rehabilitation	0	0.0
South Middlesex	473	0.0
Park Drive	345	0.0
Hillside PRC	619	0.0
Charlotte House	166	0.0
Total	4434	0.0
GRAND TOTAL	8896	0.1

Table II

Furlough Escape Rate by Type of Furlough
and Security Level of Furlough Institution, 1986

<u>Furlough Institution</u>	<u>Unescorted</u>			<u>Furloughs</u> <u>Escorted*</u>			<u>Total</u>		
	<u>N</u>	<u>Esc</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Esc</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Esc</u>	<u>Rate</u>
<u>Maximum & Medium</u>									
Cedar Junction	0	0	0.0	24	0	0.0	24	0	0.0
Concord	0	0	0.0	20	0	0.0	20	0	0.0
Framingham	12	0	0.0	3	0	0.0	15	0	0.0
Norfolk	0	0	0.0	46	0	0.0	46	0	0.0
SECC	42	0	0.0	8	0	0.0	50	0	0.0
NCCI	0	0	0.0	40	0	0.0	40	0	0.0
<u>Sub-Total</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>141</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>195</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
<u>Minimum</u>	1686	4	0.2	32	0	0.0	1718	4	0.2
<u>Minimum/ Pre-Release</u>	2480	5	0.2	60	0	0.0	2540	5	0.2
<u>Pre-Release</u>	4412	2	0.0	20	0	0.0	4432	2	0.0
Total	8632	11	0.1	253	0	0.0	8885	11	0.1

*Includes emergency furloughs.

years (mid to late 1970's) unescorted furloughs were granted more liberally at medium and maximum security institutions. The escape rate was higher for furloughs from lower security facilities, the majority of which were unescorted furloughs (98 percent from minimum and minimum/pre-release and more than 99 percent from pre-release security facilities.

Proportion of Releases Participating in Furlough Program - 1986

From January 1, 1986, to December 31, 1986, the Department of Correction released an estimated 3,029 residents to the community. Of that total, 805 (27 percent) had received one or more furloughs before release. The remaining 73 percent of the individuals released had not participated in the furlough program during their incarceration. The percent of released inmates furloughed before release to the community gradually declined from 74 percent in 1974 to 27 percent in 1986. However, the actual number of offenders receiving furloughs prior to release increased overall from 667 in 1973 to 818 in 1986, an increase of 23%. The furlough participation of the 1986 released population varied according to the security level of the releasing institution as follows: 67 percent of those released from pre-release facilities had been furloughed prior to release; 28 percent of those released from minimum/pre-release security had been furloughed; 30 percent of those released from minimum security facilities had been furloughed; and 11 percent of those released from medium or maximum security institutions had been furloughed before release.

Inmates Furloughed Per Average Monthly Population - 1986

Out of an average monthly population of 5,569 an average of 500 individuals per month received a furlough in 1986. The average number of inmates furloughed ranged from a low of 380 in October to a high of 608 in December. Nine percent of

Table III

Percent of Average Monthly Institutional Population Furloughed in 1986

Institution/Security	Average Monthly Population	Average # of Inmates Furloughed Per Month	Percent of Population Furloughed
<u>Maximum and Medium</u>			
Cedar Junction	679	2	(0.3)
Concord	687	1	(0.1)
Framingham	242	1	(0.4)
Norfolk	1129	3	(0.3)
SECC	523	4	(0.8)
NCCI	627	3	(0.5)
Sub-Total Secure	3887	14	(0.4)
<u>Minimum</u>			
NCC	193	48	(24.9)
Bay State C.C.	139	47	(33.8)
Medfield	34	11	(32.4)
Sub-Total Minimum	366	106	(29.0)
<u>Minimum/Pre-Release</u>			
Plymouth	115	20	(17.4)
Warwick	82	16	(19.5)
Shirley	336	68	(20.2)
Lancaster	156	43	(27.6)
Longwood	120	20	(16.7)
Hodder House	33	5	(15.2)
Sub-Total Min/PR	842	172	(20.4)
<u>Pre-Release</u>			
Boston State	91	46	(50.5)
MHHI	108	61	(56.5)
Norfolk Pre-Release	52	18	(34.6)
Drug Rehabilitation	4	0	(0.0)
South Middlesex	107	33	(30.8)
Park Drive	59	20	(33.9)
Hillside PRC	40	22	(55.0)
Charlotte House	13	8	(61.5)
Sub-Total Pre-Release	474	208	(43.9)
Total Daily Average	5569	500	(9.0)

the average monthly population was furloughed per month. The majority of the population (70 percent) was housed in maximum and medium security institutions during an average month in 1986, and less than one half of one percent (0.4 percent) of the average monthly maximum/medium security population received a furlough that year. Twenty-nine percent of the minimum security population, 20.4 percent of the minimum/pre-release population, and 43.9 percent of the pre-release population received furloughs during a typical month in 1986. Table III presents the information on the number of individuals furloughed in proportion to the average population for 1986.

Furlough Statistics Since the Inception of the Program

The furlough program for residents of Massachusetts correctional facilities has been operating since November 6, 1972. Between that date and December 31, 1986, a total of 115,274 furloughs have been granted. On 645 occasions, individuals failed to return to their respective correctional facility within two hours of their appointed time of return. Thus, since the inception of the program there has been an overall escape rate of 0.6 percent.

Table IV presents a summary of furlough statistics for the years 1972 to 1986. Included in the table is information on the number of furloughs, the number of individuals furloughed, the number of escapes and corresponding escape rates. As Table IV shows, the escape rate by furlough has gradually declined from 1.9 escapes per 100 furloughs in 1973 to 0.1 escape per 100 furloughs in 1986. Similarly, the escape rate by individual has also decreased during this period from a high of 7.6 in 1974 to 0.7 in 1986.

While the number of individuals furloughed each year generally declined since the inception of the program, during 1981 and 1982 the numbers fluctuated and in 1983 the trend shifted and the number of furloughed offenders started to increase. Overall, however, the numbers of individuals furloughed decreased 12 percent between 1973 and 1986 from 1,868 offenders to 1,645 offenders. The years with the fewest furloughed offenders were 1980 and 1982. The escape rate by individual furloughed followed a similar pattern of steady decline over the same period except for a slight increase in 1979 and 1981.

Along with these trends, the percentage of offenders released to the street who had participated in the furlough program prior to their release was also decreasing. Table V presents the data for the percentage of released offenders who participated in the furlough program prior to their release. From 1973 to

Table IV

Summary Furlough Statistics for the Years 1972 to 1986

Year	Number of Furloughs	Individuals Furloughed	Number of Escapes	Escape Rate by Individual	Escape Rate by Furlough
1972 ³	1182	793	8	1.0	0.7
1973	7195	1868	134	7.2	1.9
1974	8322	1668	127	7.6	1.5
1975	8680	1482	88	5.9	1.0
1976	7985	1305	40	3.1	0.5
1977	8199	1355	42	3.1	0.5
1978	9062	1248	38	3.0	0.4
1979	8040	1232	38	3.1	0.5
1980	8654	1193	24	2.0	0.3
1981	8196	1248	34	2.7	0.4
1982	6307	1198	12	1.0	0.2
1983	6710	1295	21	1.6	0.3
1984	8354	1546	10	0.6	0.1
1985	9492	1621	18	1.1	0.2
1986	8896	1645	11	0.7	0.1
TOTAL	115,274	10,415⁴	645	6.1	0.6

Table V

Releases by Sex and Furlough Participation
1973 - 1986

Year Released	Total Releases	% Furloughed Prior to Release	Men Released		Women Released	
			No.	% Furloughed	No.	% Furloughed
1973	966	(69)	---	---	---	---
1974	911	(74)	---	---	---	---
1975	926	(59)	835	---	91	---
1976	1029	(51)	904	---	125	---
1977	1193	(50)	1032	---	161	---
1978	1133	(49)	1002	---	131	---
1979	1124	(42)	955	---	169	---
1980	1015	(40)	799	(47)	216	(12)
1981	1118	(41)	849	(51)	269	(8)
1982	1440	(30)	962	(43)	478	(4)
1983	1976	(32)	1405	(42)	571	(5)
1984	2125	(30)	1468	(42)	657	(6)
1985	2331	(28)	1616	(38)	715	(5)
1986	3029	(27)	2243	(34)	786	(6)

* Data are not available by sex for these years.

1986 the number of releases more than tripled. However, during this time the percentage of individuals released who had participated in the furlough program decreased from 69 percent to 27 percent. The annual furlough statistics indicate two parallel trends: (1) a decreasing rate of furlough escape; and (2) each year, a decreasing proportion of the released population participating in the furlough program prior to release.

The low percentage of the population furloughed before release in 1986 can be attributed, in part, to the increasing proportion of women in the released population over the past few years.⁶ In 1975 ten percent of the released population were women; their percentage has gradually increased until women represented a high of 33 percent of the released population in 1982 and 26 percent in 1986 (Table V). Historically, a disproportionate number of women have been released without the benefit of furloughs because of their short sentences; this is especially true of women released from Framingham after serving county sentences. In 1986, six percent of the released women received furloughs prior to release in contrast to 34 percent of the released men. Thus, these two factors--the increasing proportion of women in the released population and the disproportionate number of them that are released without furlough experience--help to account for the low overall furlough rate (27 percent) among 1986 releases.

Table VI compares furlough statistics by furlough institution and security level for 1985 and 1986. One finds that, furloughs decreased overall from 1985 to 1986. This decrease occurred for furloughs taken from minimum, minimum/pre-release and pre-release facilities. However, there was an increase in furloughs from maximum and medium security institutions. Furlough statistics for earlier years are presented in Appendix I for the years 1973 to 1976, 1977 to 1980, and 1981 to 1984, and 1985, respectively. In these earlier years, for purposes of consistency, institutions with mixed security classifications were reported as either

Table VI

Furloughs and Escape Rates by Furlough
Institution, 1985 and 1986

<u>Furlough Institution</u>	1985		1986	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate	Furloughs	Escape Rate
Maximum & Medium				
Cedar Junction	19	0.0	24	0.0
Concord	13	0.0	20	0.0
Framingham	52	0.0	15	0.0
Norfolk	40	0.0	46	0.0
SECC	39	0.0	50	0.0
NCCI	13	0.0	40	0.0
<u>Subtotal</u>	176	0.0	195	0.0
Minimum				
Medfield	170	0.0	182	0.5
Bay State	1077	0.2	815	0.1
NCC	599	0.3	725	0.3
<u>Subtotal</u>	1846	0.2	1722	0.2
Minimum/Pre-Release				
Plymouth	390	0.5	339	0.0
Warwick	350	0.6	249	0.0
Shirley	1334	0.2	1009	0.5
Lancaster	711	0.0	618	0.0
Longwood	39	0.0	259	0.0
Hodder House	---	---	71	0.0
<u>Subtotal</u>	2824	0.2	2545	0.2
Pre-Release				
Boston State	889	0.1	897	0.0
Brooke House	327	0.3	359	0.0
Coolidge I	530	0.0	560	0.4
THP	286	0.3	486	0.0
Norfolk PR	455	0.0	297	0.0
McGrath House	200	0.0	108	0.0
Coolidge II	185	0.0	124	0.0
Drug Rehab	1	0.0	0	0.0
South Middlesex	575	0.2	473	0.0
Park Drive	374	0.5	345	0.0
Hillside PRC	684	0.1	619	0.0
Charlotte House	140	0.0	166	0.0
<u>Subtotal</u>	4646	0.2	4434	0.0
Grand Total	9492	0.2	8896	0.1

*Hodder House did not open until December 1985, therefore it is not included in the 1985 figures.

minimum or pre-release depending on their original security classification. Hence, MCI-Warwick and MCI-Plymouth were reported as minimum, and MCI-Shirley and MCI-Lancaster were reported as pre-release facilities. Furlough statistics for 1985 are reported in Table VI and in Appendix I according to both security breakdowns. In terms of examining furlough trends, minimum, mixed minimum and pre-release facilities can all be considered "lower security" institutions.

The number of furloughs granted to inmates in maximum and medium security institutions has steadily declined over the years through 1983, with an increase from 1983 to 1986. Meanwhile, the number of furloughs granted to residents of lower security institutions has increased during the same period with the exception of 1981, 1982 and 1986. The number of furloughs taken by residents in minimum and pre-release security institutions has fluctuated over the last 14 years as a result of the opening and closing of institutions. In general, the number of furloughs granted to residents at all levels of security dropped noticeably in 1982 and 1983 and then increased again in subsequent years, although 1986 has seen a decrease in furloughs. The corresponding escape figures indicate that the escape rate also has decreased since the inception of the furlough program, and this is especially apparent in maximum and medium security institutions. This trend reflects a decline in unescorted furloughs from secure institutions after 1980. A constantly low overall escape rate has prevailed in pre-release centers from 1975 through 1986.

Table VII compares the proportion of the average monthly population receiving furloughs for the years 1974 through 1986. The proportion of the population furloughed each year is figured for the total average monthly population and the security level of the institutions from which the furlough occurred.⁷

As Table VII indicates, the percentage of the population in maximum and medium security institutions which received furloughs in a typical month decreased

Table VII

Percentage of Average Monthly Population Furloughed by Security Level

1974 - 1986

Year	Maximum Or Medium Security	Minimum Security	Pre-Release	Total Population
1974	(15.2)	(55.2)	(79.9)	(21.9)
1975	(13.8)	(59.6)	(74.6)	(20.8)
1976	(7.6)	(44.9)	(55.9)	(14.7)
1977	(6.2)	(60.2)	(54.5)	(15.5)
1978	(4.5)	(54.2)	(64.6)	(16.8)
1979	(4.0)	(47.1)	(59.6)	(15.5)
1980	(2.0)	(43.7)	(66.2)	(14.1)
1981	(1.3)	(37.2)	(59.5)	(12.6)
1982	(0.5)	(24.0)	(37.9)	(8.8)
1983	(0.3)	(24.5)	(35.8)	(8.9)
1984	(0.5)	(28.7)	(39.8)	(10.1)
1985	(0.3)	(26.7)	(43.2)	(10.5)
1986	(0.4)	(23.3)	(33.0)	(9.0)

from 15.2 percent in 1974 to 0.4 percent in 1986. The 1986 percentage of the minimum security population furloughed monthly dropped significantly from 55.2 percent in 1974 to 23.3 percent in 1986, and the proportion furloughed from pre-release facilities dropped from 79.9 percent in 1974 to 33.0 percent in 1986. While the 1986 figure of 9 percent of the total institutional population represents a large decrease from the 1974 percentage of 21.9, it also reflects a slight increase over the rates in 1982 and 1983.

The tables that follow in Appendix II contain furlough frequencies and escape rates by characteristics of the population furloughed during 1986. Offense variables, personal background characteristics, criminal history and furlough experience were originally examined to determine whether characteristics of the individual could be identified that were indicative of escape risk. However, the small number of actual furlough escapes in 1986 (11 escapes out of 8,896 furloughs) rendered significance tests of difference in escape rates meaningless. Nonetheless, the tables in Appendix II are informative in terms of the characteristics of inmates furloughed and the distribution of escape rates. It is important for the reader to note that the figures in these tables refer to the number of furloughs, not the number of individuals furloughed; hence, the characteristics of individuals receiving more than one furlough during the year weigh more heavily in the statistics than the attributes of inmates receiving a single furlough in 1986. While these tables are intended to be descriptive, the reader is cautioned that conclusions about individuals furloughed may be misleading.

FOOTNOTES

1. The Department of Correction furlough statistics define an "escape from furlough" in accordance with Department Order 467.1 entitled, "Furloughs - Rules and Regulations." According to Section 10.4 (c): "Failure of the resident to return to the correctional facility after the two hour period set forth in Section 10.4 (b) shall be considered an escape regardless of prior notification to the facility by the resident that he would be late. The superintendent or his designee shall notify, forthwith, appropriate law enforcement officials of the escape."
2. Several tables in this report present furlough statistics by the security level of the institution from which the furlough occurred. Six of the lower security institutions -- Plymouth, Warwick, Shirley, Lancaster, Longwood and Hodder House -- are mixed security. That is, they accept inmates in pre-release as well as minimum security status. Within these institutions offenders may move from minimum security classification to pre-release before discharge or release. The first four facilities officially started reporting the minimum security and pre-release populations separately in September 1983. The Longwood Treatment Center started reporting its population in March 1985. Hodder House opened in December 1985 as a mixed pre-release/minimum facility.
3. The figures for 1972 represent totals for only two months of 1972. Therefore, the number of furloughs and individuals furloughed is much lower than subsequent years.
4. The figure for the total number of individuals furloughed since the inception of the program is not the sum of the individuals furloughed each year. Since the same individual may be furloughed in more than one year, adding the yearly totals for individuals participating in the program results in multiple counting of participants.
5. The figures in this table for the years 1973 through 1985 were taken from annual research reports, A Statistical Description of Releases from the Massachusetts Department of Correction, Massachusetts Department of Correction, Publication Numbers 89, 109, 117, 137, 163, 177, 204, 234, 242, 259, 273, 288 and 299.
6. The table which follows illustrates over the past five years the association between the two release trends: (1) the increasing proportion of women in the released population and (2) the decreasing rate of furloughs granted prior to release. Whereas the proportion of men furloughed prior to release gradually declined between 1980 and 1986, the proportion of women furloughed prior to release ranged from 12 percent in 1980 to six percent in 1986. Although the increasing presence of women in the released population does not entirely account for the decline in furlough rates, it appears to be a contributing factor.

Releases and Percent Furloughed by
Year Released and Sex of Released Offender

YEAR RELEASED	MEN			WOMEN		
	N	% of Releases	% Furloughed	N	% of Releases	% Furloughed
1980	799	(79)	(47)	216	(21)	(12)
1981	849	(76)	(51)	269	(24)	(8)
1982	962	(67)	(43)	478	(33)	(4)
1983	1405	(71)	(42)	571	(29)	(5)
1984	1468	(69)	(42)	657	(31)	(6)
1985	1616	(69)	(38)	715	(31)	(5)
1986	2243	(74)	(34)	736	(26)	(6)

7. To be consistent with earlier reports of average monthly population furloughed, MCI-Warwick, MCI-Plymouth and the Longwood Treatment Center were classified as minimum security, and MCI-Shirley and MCI-Lancaster were classified as pre-release. Retaining the former classification reduces the proportion furloughed from pre-release by about 11 percent and reduces the proportion furloughed from minimum by about 6 percent. Compare the 1986 figures in Table VII with Table III. Hedder House is classified as minimum security. This facility opened in December, 1985.

APPENDIX I

**Furlough and Furlough Escape Rates
by Institution 1973 to 1985**

Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution for Years 1973-1976

	1973		1974		1975		1976	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate						
<u>Maximum & Medium</u>								
Walpole	107	3.7	310	2.3	338	1.5	398	1.5
Concord	1254	3.5	923	4.2	812	3.3	430	0.7
Framingham	562	1.6	741	0.9	805	1.0	642	0.5
Norfolk	1811	2.2	1466	2.2	1200	1.2	741	0.7
SECC	244	5.3	283	1.4	196	3.1	165	0.6
RDC	----	---	4	0.0	2	0.0	---	---
TOTAL	3978	2.8	3727	2.4	3353	1.8	2376	0.8
<u>Minimum</u>								
Plymouth	451	1.1	416	1.0	500	1.6	385	0.8
Warwick	321	1.2	209	2.9	55	1.8	0	0.0
Monroe	342	0.6	306	2.3	274	1.8	233	3.4
Medfield	----	---	---	---	---	---	157	0.0
TOTAL	1114	1.0	931	1.8	829	1.7	775	1.4
<u>Pre-Release</u>								
Boston State	1333	0.6	2061	0.3	1804	0.3	1241	0.1
Shirley	709	0.8	494	2.4	715	1.3	574	0.9
Charlotte	61	0.0	86	2.3	83	0.0	126	0.8
Roxbury Multi-Service	--	---	130	0.0	444	0.0	80	0.0
Brooke House	--	---	422	0.2	509	0.0	578	0.0
Coolidge I	--	---	471	0.0	583	0.0	331	0.0
THP	--	---	---	---	1	0.0	150	0.0
Norfolk Pre-Release	--	---	---	---	96	0.0	334	0.0
577 House	--	---	---	---	85	0.0	619	0.0
Coolidge II	--	---	---	---	138	0.0	136	0.7
BOSP/METAC	--	---	---	---	40	0.0	203	0.5
Drug Rehab	--	---	---	---	--	---	14	0.0
South Middlesex	--	---	---	---	--	---	44	2.3
Lancaster	--	---	---	---	--	---	404	0.2
TOTAL	2103	0.7	3664	0.6	4498	0.3	4834	0.2
GRAND TOTAL	7195	1.9	8322	1.5	8680	1.0	7985	0.5

Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution for Years 1977 - 1980

	1977		1978		1979		1980	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate						
<u>Maximum & Medium</u>								
Walpole	166	0.0	70	0.0	51	0.0	44	0.0
Concord	352	1.4	134	2.2	20	0.0	14	0.0
Framingham	775	1.0	788	0.3	676	0.9	306	1.3
Norfolk	560	0.2	238	0.0	223	0.9	230	0.4
SECC	233	1.7	210	1.4	232	0.9	168	0.0
NCCI	---	---	---	---	50	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	2086	0.9	1440	0.6	1252	0.8	762	0.7
<u>Minimum</u>								
Plymouth	413	0.2	398	0.0	400	0.2	539	0.4
Warwick	252	1.6	234	2.6	289	2.1	268	0.4
Monroe	223	2.7	52	7.7	---	---	---	---
Medfield	264	0.0	257	0.0	232	1.3	287	0.0
Bay State	168	1.2	945	0.3	732	0.1	741	0.1
NCC	---	---	418	0.7	646	0.6	695	0.1
TOTAL	1320	1.0	2304	0.7	2299	0.5	2530	0.2
<u>Pre-Release</u>								
Boston State	1073	0.2	1602	0.3	1279	0.3	1281	0.0
Shirley	295	1.0	352	0.6	421	0.7	524	0.4
Charlotte	103	0.0	97	0.0	108	0.0	130	0.8
Brooke House	272	0.0	419	0.0	283	0.0	316	0.3
Coolidge I	269	0.0	230	0.0	232	0.9	311	0.3
THP	154	0.0	59	0.0	193	1.0	148	0.0
Norfolk Pre-Release	309	0.3	348	0.0	269	0.0	346	0.0
577 House	786	0.2	538	0.0	277	0.7	257	0.0
Coolidge II	144	0.0	136	0.0	93	0.0	298	1.3
BOSP/METAC	220	0.0	148	0.0	---	---	---	---
Drug Rehab	55	0.0	18	0.0	1	0.0	27	0.0
South Middlesex	379	0.3	317	0.6	367	0.0	627	0.3
Lancaster	513	0.4	425	0.7	290	0.7	407	0.0
Park Drive	221	0.0	460	0.0	588	0.0	639	0.0
Western Ave/Hillside	---	---	169	0.2	88	0.0	51	5.9
TOTAL	4793	0.2	5318	0.2	4489	0.4	5362	0.3
GRAND TOTAL	8199	0.5	9062	0.4	8040	0.5	8654	0.3

Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution for Years 1981 - 1984

	1981		1982		1983		1984		1985	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate								
<u>Maximum & Medium</u>										
Walpole*	27	0.0	34	0.0	14	0.0	23	0.0	19	0.0
Concord	20	0.0	28	0.0	18	0.0	15	0.0	13	0.0
Framingham	197	1.5	31	0.0	32	0.0	41	0.0	52	0.0
Norfolk	151	0.0	83	0.0	42	0.0	39	0.0	40	0.0
SECC	85	0.0	45	0.0	35	0.0	68	0.0	39	0.0
NCCI	3	0.0	8	0.0	16	0.0	34	0.0	13	0.0
TOTAL	483	0.6	229	0.0	157	0.0	220	0.0	176	0.0
<u>Minimum</u>										
Plymouth	431	0.9	403	0.5	391	0.0	336	0.3	390	0.5
Warwick	152	0.0	210	0.0	235	0.0	250	0.4	350	0.6
Medfield	366	0.3	245	0.0	212	0.0	189	0.0	170	0.0
Bay State	689	0.3	672	0.0	925	0.3	1116	0.0	1077	0.2
NCC	555	0.0	365	0.8	403	1.2	496	0.0	599	0.3
Longwood	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	39	0.0
TOTAL	2193	0.3	1895	0.3	2166	0.4	2387	0.1	2625	0.3
<u>Pre-Release</u>										
Boston State	1055	0.3	528	0.0	427	0.0	568	0.0	889	0.1
Shirley	800	0.5	553	0.5	698	0.0	1034	0.0	1334	0.2
Charlotte	15	0.0	---	---	13	0.0	221	0.0	140	0.0
Brooke House	561	0.4	197	1.0	295	0.0	336	0.0	327	0.3
Coolidge I	420	0.0	438	0.5	448	0.2	545	0.4	530	0.0
THP	385	0.3	275	0.0	298	1.7	397	0.3	286	0.3
Norfolk Pre-Release	226	0.0	180	0.0	237	0.0	397	0.0	455	0.0
577 House*	331	0.6	251	0.0	161	0.0	234	0.0	200	0.0
Coolidge II	300	0.3	232	0.0	188	1.1	190	0.5	185	0.0
Drug Rehab	1	0.0	47	0.0	2	0.0	5	0.0	1	0.0
South Middlesex	383	0.5	295	0.0	334	0.3	433	0.7	575	0.2
Lancaster	515	0.8	532	0.0	656	0.3	636	0.0	711	0.0
Park Drive	331	0.0	341	0.0	367	0.3	343	0.3	374	0.5
Hillside	197	2.5	314	0.0	263	0.4	408	0.0	684	0.1
TOTAL	5520	0.4	4183	0.2	4387	0.3	5747	0.1	6691	0.1
GRAND TOTAL	3196	0.4	6307	0.2	6710	0.3	8354	0.1	9492	0.2

* Walpole is now called "Cedar Junction" and 577 House is now called "McGrath House".

APPENDIX II

**Furlough Escape Rates by Furlough Variables, Offense Characteristics,
Personal Background, and Criminal History, 1986**

Furlough Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Type of Furlough</u>			
Earned	8643	(97)	0.1
Escorted	63	(1)	0.0
Emergency	190	(2)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Month Furloughed</u>			
January	735	(8)	0.0
February	601	(7)	0.3
March	705	(8)	0.1
April	708	(8)	0.1
May	913	(10)	0.1
June	699	(8)	0.1
July	776	(9)	0.1
August	800	(9)	0.1
September	678	(8)	0.4
October	566	(6)	0.0
November	851	(10)	0.0
December	864	(10)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Hours Furloughed</u>			
6 or Less	485	(6)	0.0
7 to 12	2015	(23)	0.0
13 to 18	635	(7)	0.0
19 to 24	2092	(24)	0.0
25 to 30	590	(7)	0.2
31 to 36	1104	(12)	0.0
37 to 42	204	(2)	0.0
43 to 48	1331	(15)	0.0
More Than 48	432	(5)	0.2
Escape or Arrest	8	(0)	100.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

Commitment Institution

Walpole	6489	(73)	0.1
Concord	1774	(20)	0.1
Framingham	384	(4)	0.0
Longwood	249	(3)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Jail Credits

None	2700	(30)	0.1
1 to 10 days	801	(9)	0.2
11 to 50 days	991	(11)	0.2
51 to 100 days	849	(10)	0.1
101 to 150 days	763	(9)	0.0
151 to 200 days	842	(10)	0.0
Over 200 days	1950	(22)	0.2
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Present Offense - General Categories

Person	5253	(59)	0.2
Sex	1236	(14)	0.1
Property	1110	(12)	0.0
Drug	901	(10)	0.1
Other	396	(4)	0.3
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
---------------------------	--------	---------	-------------

Present Offense - Person

Not Applicable	3643	(41)	0.1
Murder - 1	610	(7)	0.3
Murder - 2	1209	(14)	0.1
Manslaughter	621	(7)	0.2
Assault w/Intent to Murder	174	(2)	0.0
Armed Robbery	1645	(18)	0.1
Unarmed Robbery	276	(3)	0.4
Armed Assault	535	(6)	0.2
Unarmed Assault	39	(0)	0.0
Put in Fear	6	(0)	0.0
Mayhem	4	(0)	0.0
Extortion	33	(0)	0.0
Kidnapping	63	(1)	0.0
Conspiracy	21	(0)	0.0
Vehicular Homicide	17	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Present Offense - Sex

Not Applicable	7667	(86)	0.1
Rape	710	(8)	0.1
Assault/Rape	124	(1)	0.0
Rape of Minor	318	(4)	0.0
Assault/Rape of Minor	76	(1)	0.0
Sexual Misconduct	1	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
---------------------------	--------	---------	-------------

Present Offense - Property

Not Applicable	7786	(88)	0.1
Arson	76	(1)	0.0
Burglary - Armed	73	(1)	0.0
Burglary	568	(6)	0.0
Possession of Tools	6	(0)	0.0
Larceny from Person	7	(0)	0.0
Larceny	205	(2)	0.0
Vehicle Theft	46	(0)	0.0
Forgery and Uttering	78	(1)	0.0
Stolen Goods	19	(0)	0.0
Property Injuries	15	(0)	0.0
Fraud	6	(0)	0.0
Stealing/Theft	11	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Present Offense - Drug

Not Applicable	7995	(90)	0.1
Sale of Heroin	10	(0)	0.0
Sale of Narcotic	2	(0)	0.0
Controlled Substance	160	(2)	0.0
Class - A	299	(3)	0.3
Class - B	397	(4)	0.0
Class - C and D	32	(0)	0.0
Possession of Syringe	1	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Present Offense - Other</u>			
Not Applicable	8500	(96)	0.1
Escape	4	(0)	0.0
Weapons Offense	103	(1)	0.0
Prostitution	5	(0)	0.0
Operating Under the Influence	257	(3)	0.4
Vehicle Offense	12	(0)	0.0
Other	14	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Minimum Sentence

1 Year	9	(0)	0.0
2 Years	90	(1)	0.0
3 Years	557	(6)	0.4
4 Years	479	(5)	0.0
5 Years	644	(7)	0.3
6 Years	587	(7)	0.0
7 Years	389	(4)	0.0
8 Years	382	(4)	0.3
9 Years	337	(4)	0.0
10 Years	336	(4)	0.0
11 to 12 Years	326	(4)	0.0
13 to 15 Years	293	(3)	0.0
16 to 19 Years	216	(2)	0.5
20 Years	94	(1)	0.0
21 or More Years	117	(1)	0.0
Life	1891	(21)	0.2
Indeterminate	2149	(24)	0.1
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Maximum Sentence</u>			
Less Than 1 Year	151	(2)	0.0
1 Year	105	(1)	0.0
2 Years	198	(2)	0.5
3 Years	21	(0)	0.0
4 Years	44	(0)	0.0
5 Years	933	(10)	0.2
6 Years	191	(2)	0.0
7 Years	479	(5)	0.2
8 Years	81	(1)	0.0
9 Years	133	(2)	0.8
10 Years	1858	(21)	0.1
11 to 12 Years	527	(6)	0.0
13 to 15 Years	579	(6)	0.2
16 to 19 Years	194	(2)	0.0
20 Years	1108	(12)	0.0
21 or More Years	391	(4)	0.3
Life	1903	(21)	0.2
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Age at Incarceration</u>			
Sixteen	28	(0)	0.0
Seventeen	31	(0)	0.0
Eighteen	188	(2)	0.0
Nineteen	405	(5)	0.5
Twenty	502	(6)	0.2
Twenty-One	514	(6)	0.2
Twenty-Two	514	(6)	0.0
Twenty-Three	643	(7)	0.3
Twenty-Four	577	(6)	0.0
Twenty-Five	508	(6)	0.0
26 to 29	1639	(18)	0.1
30 to 39	2339	(26)	0.0
40 or Older	1008	(11)	0.2
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Personal Background Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>			
White	5702	(64)	0.1
Black	2432	(27)	0.2
Hispanic	744	(8)	0.3
Other	18	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Marital Status</u>			
Married	2429	(27)	0.2
Single	4762	(54)	0.1
Divorced	924	(10)	0.2
Widowed	121	(1)	0.0
Common Law	13	(0)	0.0
Separated	647	(7)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Military Discharge</u>			
No Service	6550	(74)	0.1
Honorable	1179	(13)	0.1
Dishonorable	32	(0)	0.0
Bad Conduct	212	(2)	0.5
Medical	33	(0)	0.0
Discharge Unknown	431	(5)	0.5
Unknown	459	(5)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Personal Background Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
-------------------------------	--------	---------	-------------

Prior Address - Selected Towns

Boston	2854	(32)	0.1
Brockton	150	(2)	0.0
Cambridge	181	(2)	0.0
Fall River	162	(2)	0.6
Framingham	77	(1)	0.0
Holyoke	87	(1)	0.0
Lawrence	184	(2)	0.5
Lowell	257	(3)	0.4
Lynn	243	(3)	0.0
New Bedford	156	(2)	0.0
Quincy	96	(1)	0.0
Somerville	202	(2)	0.0
Springfield	555	(6)	0.0
Worcester	378	(4)	0.0
Other Mass. Towns	2868	(32)	0.1
Out of State	446	(5)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Prior Address - County

Worcester	782	(9)	0.3
Franklin	18	(0)	0.0
Middlesex	1459	(16)	0.1
Suffolk	3124	(35)	0.1
Norfolk	475	(5)	0.0
Bristol	490	(6)	0.2
Plymouth	338	(4)	0.0
Essex	778	(9)	0.3
Hampshire	50	(1)	0.0
Hampden	748	(8)	0.0
Berkshire	114	(1)	0.0
Barnstable	73	(1)	0.0
Nantucket	1	(0)	0.0
Out of State	446	(5)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Personal Background

Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Prior Address - SMSA</u>			
Boston	5085	(57)	0.1
Brockton	212	(7)	0.0
Fall River	174	(2)	0.6
Fitchburg-Leominster	85	(1)	0.0
Lawrence-Haverhill	269	(3)	0.4
Lowell	328	(4)	0.3
New Bedford	201	(2)	0.0
Pittsfield	56	(1)	0.0
Providence-Pawtucket-Warwick	37	(0)	0.0
Springfield	763	(9)	0.0
Worcester	491	(6)	0.2
Other Mass. Places	749	(8)	0.1
Out of State	446	(5)	0.0
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Time at Most Skilled Position

Less Than One Month	394	(4)	0.0
1-2 Months	438	(5)	0.0
3-4 Months	966	(11)	0.3
5-6 Months	509	(6)	0.0
7-9 Months	458	(5)	0.0
10-12 Months	506	(6)	0.0
1-2 Years	1021	(12)	0.1
2-5 Years	1406	(16)	0.1
More Than 5 Years	1068	(12)	0.0
Unknown	2130	(24)	0.2
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Personal Background Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Occupation</u>			
Professional-Technical	252	(3)	0.0
Business	423	(5)	0.0
Sales, Clerical	640	(7)	0.2
Manual	4092	(46)	0.1
Services	2046	(23)	0.1
Agriculture	24	(0)	0.0
Armed Services	50	(1)	0.0
Not Employed	241	(3)	0.0
Unknown	1128	(13)	0.4
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Time at Job of Longest Duration

Less Than One Month	369	(4)	0.0
1-2 Months	340	(4)	0.0
3-4 Months	937	(10)	0.1
5-6 Months	573	(6)	0.3
7-9 Months	447	(5)	0.0
10-12 Months	478	(5)	0.0
1-2 Years	1049	(12)	0.1
2-5 Years	1525	(17)	0.1
More Than 5 Years	1109	(12)	0.0
Unknown	2069	(23)	0.2
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

**Personal Background
Variables**

Number Percent Escape Rate

Last Grade Completed

Sixth or Less	363	(4)	0.0
Seventh	301	(3)	0.0
Eighth	794	(9)	0.0
Ninth	986	(11)	0.0
Tenth	1104	(12)	0.3
Eleventh	844	(10)	0.4
High School Graduate	3110	(35)	0.1
Some College	612	(7)	0.0
College Graduate	380	(4)	0.0
Unknown	402	(4)	0.7
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Known Drug Use

None	5609	(63)	0.1
Non-Specific	424	(5)	0.2
Heroin	963	(11)	0.0
Marijuana	483	(5)	0.0
Other	502	(6)	0.2
Unknown	915	(10)	0.3
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Total Number of Court Appearances</u>			
First Offense	490	(6)	0.0
Two	590	(7)	0.2
Three	534	(6)	0.0
Four	517	(6)	0.2
Five	536	(6)	0.0
6 to 9	1764	(20)	0.1
10 to 14	1584	(18)	0.1
15 to 20	1192	(13)	0.2
More Than 20	1327	(15)	0.1
Unknown	362	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Charges for Person Offenses

None	949	(11)	0.0
One	1171	(13)	0.0
Two	1067	(12)	0.1
Three	876	(10)	0.1
Four	887	(10)	0.2
Five	692	(8)	0.1
6 to 8	1327	(15)	0.2
More Than Eight	1571	(18)	0.1
Unknown	356	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
-----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

Charges for Property Offenses

None	2144	(24)	0.1
One	870	(10)	0.1
Two	653	(7)	0.0
Three	535	(6)	0.2
Four	447	(5)	0.0
Five	523	(6)	0.0
6 to 8	1001	(11)	0.0
More Than Eight	2367	(27)	0.2
Unknown	356	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Charges for Sex Offenses

None	6647	(75)	0.1
One	798	(9)	0.0
Two	413	(5)	0.2
Three	243	(3)	0.4
Four	148	(2)	0.0
Five	71	(1)	0.0
6 to 8	123	(1)	0.0
More Than Eight	97	(1)	0.0
Unknown	356	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Charges for Drug Offenses</u>			
None	4883	(55)	0.1
One	1029	(12)	0.1
Two	726	(8)	0.1
Three	536	(6)	0.2
Four	346	(4)	0.0
Five	156	(2)	0.0
6 to 8	346	(4)	0.0
More Than Eight	518	(6)	0.0
Unknown	356	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Charges for Alcohol Offenses</u>			
None	6030	(68)	0.1
One	1099	(12)	0.0
Two	551	(6)	0.5
Three	184	(2)	0.0
Four	173	(2)	0.0
Five	144	(2)	0.0
6 to 8	165	(2)	0.0
More Than Eight	194	(2)	0.0
Unknown	356	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Charges for Escape Offenses</u>			
None	8059	(91)	0.1
One	354	(4)	0.3
Two	71	(1)	0.0
Three	27	(0)	0.0
Four or More	39	(0)	0.0
Unknown	356	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
-----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

Indicator of Juvenile Commitment

No	7050	(80)	0.1
Yes	1487	(17)	0.1
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Prior County Incarcerations

None	5445	(61)	0.1
One	1344	(15)	0.2
Two	796	(9)	0.1
Three	498	(6)	0.0
Four	215	(2)	0.0
Five	115	(1)	0.9
Six or More	151	(2)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Prior State or Federal Incarcerations

None	6736	(76)	0.1
One	1049	(12)	0.1
Two	443	(5)	0.0
Three	154	(2)	0.0
Four	67	(1)	0.0
Five	32	(0)	0.0
Six or More	56	(1)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
-----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

Total Prior Adult Incarcerations

None	4611	(52)	0.1
One	1515	(17)	0.1
Two	970	(11)	0.2
Three	592	(7)	0.0
Four	289	(3)	0.0
Five	261	(3)	0.4
Six or More	299	(3)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Number of Juvenile Paroles

None	7697	(86)	0.1
One	368	(4)	0.0
Two	214	(2)	0.0
Three	131	(2)	0.0
Four or More	127	(1)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Juvenile Parole Violations

None	7697	(86)	0.1
One	385	(4)	0.0
Two	212	(2)	0.0
Three	131	(2)	0.0
Four or More	112	(1)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Number of Adult Paroles</u>			
None	7184	(81)	0.1
One	945	(11)	0.1
Two	192	(2)	0.0
Three	160	(2)	0.0
Four or More	56	(1)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Adult Parole Violations</u>			
Never Paroled	7184	(81)	0.1
None	497	(6)	0.0
One	620	(7)	0.2
Two	161	(2)	0.0
Three or More	75	(1)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Total Number of Paroles</u>			
None	6733	(76)	0.1
One	893	(10)	0.1
Two	406	(5)	0.0
Three	223	(2)	0.0
Four or More	282	(3)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Total Number of Parole Violations</u>			
Never Paroled	6733	(76)	0.1
None	644	(7)	0.0
One	682	(8)	0.1
Two	254	(3)	0.0
Three	108	(1)	0.0
Four or More	116	(1)	0.0
Unknown	359	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1
<u>Age at First Court Appearance</u>			
Twelve or younger	746	(8)	0.1
Thirteen	523	(6)	0.2
Fourteen	589	(7)	0.3
Fifteen	785	(9)	0.0
Sixteen	789	(9)	0.3
Seventeen	1222	(14)	0.0
Eighteen	799	(9)	0.0
Nineteen	680	(8)	0.0
Twenty	375	(4)	0.0
Twenty-one	315	(4)	0.3
Twenty-two	201	(2)	0.0
Twenty-three	177	(2)	0.0
Twenty-four	239	(3)	0.0
Twenty-five	50	(1)	0.0
26 to 29	319	(4)	0.0
30 to 39	474	(5)	0.2
40 or Older	222	(2)	0.0
Unknown	391	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

**Criminal History
Variables**

Number

Percent

Escape Rate

Age at First Alcohol Court Appearance

Not Applicable	6009	(68)	0.1
8 to 14	3	(0)	0.0
15 to 17	444	(5)	0.2
18 to 19	536	(6)	0.0
20 to 21	428	(5)	0.2
22 to 24	539	(6)	0.0
25 to 29	300	(3)	0.0
30 to 39	195	(2)	0.5
40 or Older	51	(1)	0.0
Unknown	391	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1

Age at First Drug Court Appearance

Not Applicable	4860	(55)	0.1
8 to 14	67	(1)	1.5
15 to 17	574	(6)	0.0
18 to 19	873	(10)	0.0
20 to 21	582	(6)	0.0
22 to 24	538	(6)	0.2
25 to 29	541	(6)	0.0
30 to 39	338	(4)	0.3
40 or Older	132	(2)	0.0
Unknown	391	(4)	0.8
TOTAL	8896	(100)	0.1