


# COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES

1982-1986

VOLUME III BUFFALO REGION



JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILE: 1982-1986

Volume III
BUFFALO REGION

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY ERIE COUNTY NIAGARA COUNTY

OFFICE OF JUSTICE SYSTEMS ANALYSIS
BARRY SAMPLE, EXECUTIVE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON L'ANSING PATRICK LERNER LORI DRAPER CAROL RUSSO

FEBRUARY, 1988

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**


We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to Gerald Luciano for graphic designs he created for the publication and to the secretarial staff in the Office of Justice Systems Analysis, in particular to Joan Burgess and Carol Novak, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

### CONTENTS

	Page(s)
INTRODUCTION	1
ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE	7
Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Section IV Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	9 9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STATE PROFILE	13
COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE BUFFALO REGION	
Cattaraugus County Chautauqua County Erie County Niagara County	
ILLUSTRATION	
NEW YORK STATE REGIONAL MAP	5
APPENDIXES	
APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES	A-1
Analyses Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Section III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	A-1 A-1 A-2 A-2 A-2

			Page(s)
APPENDIX	B	DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986	B-1
		Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section II and Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparable to those in which the data were presin Section III.	sented
APPENDIX	C =	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1984 - 1985	C-1
APPENDIX	D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
		Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX	E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX	F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
		Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-11 F-12 F-14
APPENDIX	G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX	Н	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
APPENDIX	I	JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES	I-1
REFERENC	ES		R-1

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.



#### INTRODUCTION

٩

This is the fourth annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the system's major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. Juvenile Offender processing data and more detailed data on alternative to incarceration programs have been incorporated into this edition. The 1986 data are compared to the 1982 data. In addition, the 1986 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities for both 1985 and 1986.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from both the "demographic and socioeconomic characteristics" and "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section II and Section III, respectively. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u> <u>Profiles</u> publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- o Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- o Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.

န်

ORGANIZATION OF THE PROFILES

#### ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each of the counties in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each profile are described below.

#### SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

#### SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 1 Population Demographics: 1980

Table 2 Educational Attainment of Population 25 Years

of Age or Older: 1980

Table 3 Population and Density Per Square Mile: 1982-1986

Table 4 Per Capita Income: 1982-1984

Table 5 Public High School Dropouts: 1981-82 to 1985-86

Table 6 Unemployment: 1984-1986

Table 7 Public Assistance: 1982-1986

#### SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Table 2B Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru

June 1987

Table 2C Arrests for Selected Offenses

Table 2D Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs

Table 2E Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses

Table 3A Felony Indictments

Table 3B Felony Indictments: January thru June 1987

Table 4A Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Table 4B Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests

## SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

	CRIME	& CRIM	INAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued
•	Table Table		Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests
	Table Table		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
	Table Table		Probation Department Activity Probation Revocations
	Table	8A	Alternatives to Incarceration: Demonstration Projects
	Table	8B	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Pretrial Release
	Table	80	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Community Service
	Table	8D	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Defender-Based
	Table	8E	Advocacy Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Specialized Alternatives
	Table Table		County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
	Table	10A-1	Admissions to State Prison
	Table	10A-2	(New York State Profile) New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York State Profile)
	Table	10B	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
	Table	10C	Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
	Table	10A	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles)
	Table	10B-1	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 (New York City and County Profiles)
	Table	10B-2	The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC (New York City and County Profiles)

#### SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table 11A Parole Supervision Cases
Table 11B Revoked and Returned Parolees

Table 12 Juvenile Offender Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions


#### SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1 Agency Funding Summary
Table 2 Department of Correctional Services
Table 3 Crime Victims Board
Table 4 Division of Criminal Justice Services
Table 5 Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 6 Division of Parole
Table 7 Division for Youth

#### SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES \*

Table 1A Table 1B Table 1C	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary Facilities Operating Budget Summary Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A Table 3B Table 3C	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff DFY Facilities Operating Budget DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

<sup>\*</sup> Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.



#### STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Division of Criminal Justice Services
Executive Park Tower
Stuyvesant Plaza
Albany, New York 12203

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Law
The State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

New York State Police Building 22 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226 Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Department of Correctional Services
Building 2
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Office of Court Administration
Alfred E. Smith State Office Building
PO Box 7025
Albany, New York 12225

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 Region MID-ATLANTIC
State Capital ALBANY
Square Miles 47,377

-3.7%

Population Percent Change 1970-1980

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	17,558,072	NA	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.6	NA	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	8,339,421 9,218,651	NA NA	47.5% 52.5%	NA NA
Race: White Non-White Unknown	13,960,868 2,752,114 845,090	NA NA NA	79.5% 15.7% 4.8%	NA NA NA
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,659,300	NA	9.5%	NA
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	2,320,804 1,721,835 960,207 1,842,534 10,712,692	NA NA NA NA NA	13.2% 9.8% 5.5% 10.5% 61.0%	NA NA NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	10,712,692	100.0%	NA
8th Grade or Less	1,962,158	18.3%	NA
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	1,653,751 3,651,739	15.4% 34.1%	NA NA
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	1,529,817 1,923,547	14.3% 18.0%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	17,567,000	17,667,000	17,735,000	17,782,754	17,772,100	NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.8	372.9	374.3	375.3	375.1	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4
PER CAPITA INCOME: 1982-1984

	1982	1983	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	12,228	13,063	14,341	NA

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 were not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

		1 SCHOOL DROP		School Year	-		Percent Rank
		1981-82	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u> 1984-85</u>	1985-86	1985-86
Public High School Students		CE 000	CO 011	CO 024	FF 000	40. 201	
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	65,229 6.7%	60,311 6.5%	60,834 6.9%	55,880 6.5%	49,391 5.8%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986						
					Percent Rank	
Monthly Average Unemployed		1984	1985	1986	1986	
of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	583,455 7.2%	543,805 6.5%	526,363 6.3%	NA	

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

		PUBLIC AS	SISTANCE: 19	82-1986	·		
		1982.	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,285,535 7.3%	1,343,428 7.6%	1,381,514 7.8%	1,383,399 7.8%	1,363,126 7.7%	NA NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of C	1986 Crimes			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	1,020,401	5,741.6	-9.6%
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	174,860	983.9	. 0.4%
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	1,909	10.7	-5.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	5,403	30.4	5.3%
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	91,376	514.2	-15.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	76,172	428.6	28.1%
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	845,541	4,757.7	-11.5%
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	216,157	1,216.3	-25.9%
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	516,492	2,906.2	-1.9%
MV THEFT	136,959	. 119,735	115,383	106,281	112,892	635.2	-17.6%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arre		1986 Arrests		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	374,301	383,075	403,785	421,631	456,087	2,566.3	21.9%
FELONY	141,679	141,301	149,343	151,279	172,153	968.7	21.5%
VIOLENT	58,946	58,215	61,158	60,158	65,735	369.9	11.5%
OTHER	82,733	83,086	88,185	91,121	106,418	598.8	28.6%
MISDEMEANOR	232,622	241,774	254,442	270,352	283,934	1,597.6	22.1%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

 	 				<u></u>	
			<u>Number</u> l	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
		ARRESTS	233,061	4.3%		
		FELONY <sup>2</sup>	86,268	2.3%		
		VIOLENT	31,282	-1.3%		
		OTHER	54,986	4.4%		
		MISDEMEANOR	146,793	5.5%		•

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Preliminary data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	er of Arrest			1986 Arrests	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	1,420	8.0	-11.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,155	2,140	12.0	9.4%
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,231	19,713	22,706	127.8	13.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,554	19,802	22,241	22,945	24,830	139.7	27.0%
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,687	19,471	20,540	115.6	-16.1%
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	67,502	66,891	65,814	68,220	75,476	424.7	11.8%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

			<u>ber of Arres</u>	1986 Arrests			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	48,226	53,768	66,982	74,199	91,624	515.5	90.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	34,373	38,441	48,097	52,662	74,938	421.7	118.0%
POSSESSION	18,110	23,827	30,556	34,394	49,535	278.7	173.5%
SALE	9,433	10,544	12,684	13,691	20,174	113.5	113.9%
OTHER	6,830	4,070	4,857	4,577	5,229	29.4	-23.4%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,853	15,327	18,885	21,537	16,686	93.9	20.5%
POSSESSION	7,053	7,252	8,881	10,747	8,596	48.4	21.9%
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,790	8,090	45.5	19.0%

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ( $\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$  -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

	:	Numb	er of Arrest	s		1986 Arrests Per 1,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Licensed <u>Drivers</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55,566	60,887	64,261	62,870	59,387	6.0	6.9%
FELONY	3,184	3,748	3,032	3,065	3,536	0.4	11.1%
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,229	59,805	55,851	5.6	6.6%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS<sup>1</sup>
(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	46,674 100.0%	46,147 100.0%	47,961 100.0%	50,016 100.0%	55,810 100.0%	19.6%		
VIOLENT	24.537 52.6%	22,711 49.2%	22,820 47.6%	22,000 44.0%	21,833 39.1%	-11.0%		
OTHER	22,137 47.4%	23,436 50.8%	25,141 52.4%	28,016 56.0%	33,977 60.9%	53.5%		

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

•		Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87			
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	31,062	17.4%			
	VIOLENT	10,937	1.1%			
	OTHER	20,125	28.7%			

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
DISPOSITIONS	307,486 100.0%	336,313 100.0%	337,261 100.0%	363,264 100.0%	371,229 100.0%	20.7%		
CONVICTIONS	208,720 67.9%	233,893 69.5%	234,263 69.5%	251,609 69.3%	248,728 67.0%	19.2%		
ACQUITTALS	1.831 0.6%	2,119 0.6%	2,172 0.6%	2,261 0.6%	2,257 0.6%	23.3%		
DISMISSALS	87,013 28.3%	89,771 26.7%	91,177 27.0%	99,038 27.3%	110,615 29.8%	27.1%		
NOT PROSECUTED	7.612 2.5%	7,169 2.1%	6,630 2.0%	7,220 2.0%	6,602 1.8%	-13.3%		
OTHER	2,310 0.8%	3,361 1.0%	3,019 0.9%	3,136 0.9%	3,027 0.8%	31.0%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86	
DISPOSITIONS	118,988 100.0%	127,576 100.0%	126,882 100.0%	134,164 100.0%	142,148 100.0%	19.5%	
CONVICTIONS	77,746 65.3%	86,370 67.7%	84,585 66.7%	89,207 66.5%	90,738 63.8%	16.7%	
ACQUITTALS	1.304 1.1%	1,469 1.2%	1,527 1.2%	1,558 1.2%	1,555 1.1%	19.2%	
DISMISSALS	35,279 29.6%	34,826 27.3%	35,673 28.1%	38,400 28.6%	44,469 31.3%	26.0%	
NOT PROSECUTED	3,961 3.3%	4,064 3.2%	4,303 3.4%	3,967 3.0%	3,977 2.8%	0.2%	
OTHER	698 0.6%	847 0.7%	794 0.6%	1,032 0.8%	1,409	101.9%	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		R	Percent of Conv lesulting from Misdemeanor Ar			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	208.720 100.0%	233,893 100.0%	234,263 100.0%	251,609 100.0%	248,728 100.0%	19.2%
FELONY	26,558 12.7%	32,121 13.7%	31,197 13.3%	33,669 13.4%	37,108 14.9%	. 39.7%
VIOLENT	11.719 5.6%	14,667 6.3%	13,671 5.8%	13,264 5.3%	13,671 5.5%	16.7%
OTHER	14,839 7.1%	17,454 7.5%	17,526 7.5%	20,405 8.1%	23,437 9.4%	57.9%
MISDEMEANOR	103,560 49.6%	112,772 48.2%	113,538 48.5%	121,797 48.4%	118,736 47.7%	14.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	78,602 37.7%	89.000 38.1%	89,528 38.2%	96,143 38.2%	92.884 37.3%	18.2%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

			rcent of Convict			
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	77,746 100.0%	86,370 100.0%	84,585 100.0%	89,207 100.0%	90,738 100.0%	16.7%
FELONY	25,657 33.0%	31,113 36.0%	30,191 35.7%	32,289 36.2%	35,690 39.3%	39.1%
VIOLENT	11,719 15.1%	14,667 17.0%	13,671 16.2%	13.264 14.9%	13,671 15.1%	16.7%
OTHER	13,938 17.9%	16,446 19.0%	16,520 19.5%	19,025 21.3%	22.019 24.3%	58.0%
MISDEMEANOR	38,110 49.0%	39,913 46.2%	38,450 45.5%	39,976 44.8%	39,198 43.2%	2.9%
LESSER OFFENSES	13,979 18.0%	15,344 17.8%	15,944 18.8%	16,942 19.0%	15,850 17.5%	13.4%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.6	6.5				
LOCAL JAIL	26.6	26.8	29.4	31.4	33.1				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.8	2.9	3.1	. 3.5				
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	9.9	9.8	9.5				
FINES	32.5	33.0	33.0	31.3	28.6				
OTHER	22.2	21.1	19.0	18.8	18.8				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	19 Felony	Misd &		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		986 <sup>2</sup> Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	43.2	NA NA	44.1	NA:	43.7	· NA	41.8	NA	43.4	NA
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.0	14.3	28.8	13.6	31.8	13.9	34.0	13.3	36.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	14.1	1.2	15.6	1.2	17.2	1.1
PROBATION	27.7	8.2	26.2	7.7	26.5	7.4	26.6	7.2	24.4	6.9
FINES	0.8	37.1	1.2	38.0	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.0	0.8	33.5
OTHER	1.2	25.3	1.2	24.2	1.1	21.7	1.1	21.5	1.0	21.9

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nu Nu	mber of Cas	es		1986 Cases Per 100.000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Population	82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED <sup>1</sup>	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	138,427	778.9	-8.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	156,142	878.6	17.0%
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	27,555	155.0	9.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	128,587	723.5	18.9%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	17,956	101.0	17.1%
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	8,849	49.8	-4.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	9,107	51.2	49.2%
PROBATION							
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	48,072	270.5	19.3%
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	6,904	38.8	1.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	41,168	231.6	23.0%
UNDER PROBATION <sup>2</sup>							
SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	156,149	878.6	38.4%
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	14,063	79.1	3.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	142,086	799.5	43.1%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON							
DECEMBER 31	79,101	89.390	97,441	108,116	114,766	645.8	45.1%
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	7,209	40.6	2.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	107,557	605.2	49.3%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	·	Number	of Revoca	1986 Revocations			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	7,814	50.0	56.0%
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	787	56.0	29.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	7,027	49.5	59.7%
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	3,502	24.6	70.6%
NEW CONVICTION	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	3,525	24.8	50.3%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8A

<u>ALTERNATIVE TO</u>	INCARCERATION	PROGRAMS: DEMO	<u>INSTRATION PROJE</u>	CTS
	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	FY 1986-87
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227	17,532

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Ta	ble 8B		Та	ble 8C	*
PRETRIAL RELEA	SE PROGRAM: 198	36	COMMUNITY SE	RVICE PROGRAM:	1986
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	34,695	NA	CASES SENTENCED	1,338	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	20,500	100.0%	CASES DISCHARGED	881	100.0%
RELEASED <sup>1</sup>	7,933	38.7%	SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	662	75.1%
1 Program intervention	contributed to	or was	***************************************		

directly responsible for the release detained defendants.

Table 8D Table 8E

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY	PROGRAM:	1986	SPECIALIZED AL	TERNATIVES:	1986
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	709	NA	CLIENTS SERVED	526	NA
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:			RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT		100.0%
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL	467	NA	ACCEPTED	367	68.3%
REPORTS PREPARED FOR COURT	269	100.0%			
REPORTS ACCEPTED	228	84.8%			

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

		Numb	er of Admi	ssions		1986 Admissions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	* *	190,388	196,447	210,186	234,452	1,319.2	NA
UNSENTENCED	*	141,411	147,974	159,474	183,416	1,032.0	NA
SENTENCED	* . *	48,977	48,473	50,712	51,036	287.2	NA

<sup>\*</sup> New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100.000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Population	82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,225	17,730	19,011	19,635	21,448	120.7	24.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,966	16,792	17,534	18,736	21,134	118.9	32.4%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	16,430	15,545	17.CO8	18,722	21,121	118.8	28.6%
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,656	12,956	15,056	84.7	33.1%
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,352	5,766	6,065	34.1	18.5%

<sup>\*</sup> New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 10A-1
ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

		Numbe	1986 Adm.Rate				
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	19,090	107.4	50.0%
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	742	4.2	69.8%
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	50	.3	-19.4%
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	2,808	15.8	128.5%
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	445	430	356	244	365	2.1	-18.0%
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	158	•. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	12.9%
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	33	.2	312.5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Preliminary data.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $\label{eq:table_10A-2} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE $\operatorname{PRISON}^1$}$ 

	,		Number of	ments		1986 New Court Committments	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 <sup>2</sup>	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	7,759	43.7	17.2%
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	938	5.3	4.3%
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	581	3.3	56.2%
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	3,574	20.1	5.8%
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	1,444	8.1	51.8%
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	649	3.7	10.6%
OTHER	435	459	524	574	573	3.2	31.7%
DRUG FELONIES <sup>4</sup>	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	3,228	18.2	161.4%
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	886	5.0	179.5%
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	2,342	13.2	155.1%
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	3,653	20.6	59.9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	294	1.7	10.9%

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 10B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		Number of I in State Pr			,	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,276	34,737	38,502	216.6	36.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES1	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	26,024	146.4	30.8%
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	6,597	37.1	37.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	2,278	12.8	.38.9%
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	10,666	60.0	14.6%
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	3,476	19.6	107.6%
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	1,450	8.2	18.5%
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	1,557	8.8	26.4%
DRUG FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	6,008	33.8	127.0%
POSSESSION	665	7,71	968	1,201	1,597	9.0	140.2%
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	4,411	24.8	122,6%
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,261	5,408	6,095	34.3	17.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	471	384	359	357	375	2.1	-20.4%

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634	198

Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

					1986		
		Numb	er of Parol		Paro lees	W lot	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	12,942	72.8	39.4%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	37,359	210.2	45.8%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	26,176	147.3	46.1%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

			Nun	ber of Parc		1986 Revocations		
	_1	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 Parolees	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>		2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	4,519	121.0	75.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS		865	826	907	1,186	1,403	37.6	62.2%
NEW ARRESTS	. 1	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	1,571	42.1	24.6%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS		447	628	974	1,417	1,545	41.4	245.6%

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\scriptsize I}}$  The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS<sup>1</sup>

			Number of Case	Š		
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	1,441	1,248	1,275	1,257	1,200	-16.7%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	664	496	447	505	429	-35.4%
DISPOSITIONS	1,419	1,213	1,105	1,019	1,021	-28.0%
CONVICTIONS	517	515	388	369	410	-20.7%
STATE FACILITY	257	251	158	147	142	-44.7%
LOCAL JAIL	34	40	24	40	33	-2.9%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	28	32	39	40	55	96.4%
PROBATION	183	166	133	124	171	-6.6%
OTHER	15	26	34	18	9	-40.0%
ACQUITTALS	7	5	7	10	10	42.9%
DISMISSALS	269	202	189	225	263	-2.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	139	70	73	54	60	-56.8%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	443	413	430	342	257	-42.0%
OTHER	44	8	18	19	21	-52.3%

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <a href="New York State Penal Law">New York State Penal Law</a>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY<sup>1</sup>
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5
Division of Probation <sup>2</sup> and Correctional Alternatives	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries <sup>4</sup>	370.0	397.5	442.5	698.8	88.9%	870.2	896.0
STOP Program - Drug <sup>5</sup> Residence Project		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			NA		525.0
TOTAL	191,309.8	214,860.7	243,177.1	359,999.1	88.2%	322,918.1	356,608.3

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathbf{4}}$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

1		100	Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>							
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	32.7	572.0%	51.5	55.5
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	18,574.6	82.3%	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	3,697.5	472.0%	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies <sup>2</sup>		<b></b> ,	·	2,322.3	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs <sup>3</sup>		311.8	873.3	·	NA.	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	487.7	300.1	275.8	316.8	-35.0%	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0

Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8,002.6	23.7%	8,009.0	12,697.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program		•					
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	1,272.1	47.1%	3,622.1	3,443.8
Court Related	407.8	406.6	719.9	520.0	27.5%	626.1	767.1
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	223.3	24.9%	561.7	547.0
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	337.2	-10.6%	567.2	681.1
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	26.7	-70.7%	613.0	350.5
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87~88
Asset/Forfeiture <sup>1</sup> Initiative	· ·		593.9	236.5	NA		
Crime Prevention <sup>2</sup> and Protection		1,420.5	1,585.7	1,351.0	NA	2,637.1	3,042.5
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	4,067.4	23.8%	4,150.2	.4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Program <sup>3</sup>	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	1,593.1	26.5%	1,625.8	1,697.4
Justice Assistance Act <sup>4</sup>			4	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA	3,379.7	
Juvenile Justice <sup>5</sup> and Delinquency Prevention	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	1,579.5	-44.6%	2,673.9	2,821.0
Law Guardian Backup Center	-				NA	***************************************	100.0
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	11,247.6	11.8%	11,499.8	12,189.8
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>2</sup>		1,904.3	3,600.6	3,368.3	NA.	4,288.3	1,270.0
Narcotics Control <sup>7</sup>			• ••		NA		11,539.0
Nassau County Rape <sup>6</sup> Victim Services	1 ****				NA		30.0
Neighborhood <sup>8</sup> Preservation Crime Prevention Act		1,000.0	1,900.0	881.9	NA NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYC School Security <sup>9</sup> Officer Program	<del></del>			· · · · ·	NA	415.0	250.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Offender Aid and Restoration Program	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	and the second	50.0
Prisone ' Legal S ses	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour <sup>10</sup> Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	309.8	403.0	-36.6%		487.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>11</sup>			2,499.4	2,347.9	AM	2,499.5	2,499.8

Table 4-Continued

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
Suffolk Re-Route <sup>6</sup> Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders				: . •——	NA	,	260.0		
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>12</sup>	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	48,471.4	29.4%	50,279.5	52,516.2		
Transit Crime Interdiction Program <sup>13</sup>				1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5		
Transit Strike Force 14	· ·	3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6		
Community Patrol <sup>9</sup> Officer Program			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	100.0	150.0		
Westchester Datafacs <sup>6</sup>	<b></b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 <del></del>		, NA	<u></u>	85.0		
TOTAL	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3		

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

<sup>11</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

<sup>13</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers <sup>1</sup>	529.4	668.9	706.1	798.4	50.8%	1.035.8	1,192.2
Parole Transitional <sup>2</sup> Facilities		37.1	286.9	430.8	· NA	626.3	626.3
Employment Services <sup>3</sup> Project		· ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2.887.1	2,518.5

 $<sup>^{1}% \</sup>left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) ^{2}$  This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification <sup>1</sup> Alternatives	· <del></del>		: 	2,336.9	NA	3,045.9	3,312.8
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	4,750.7	493.9%	5,478.5	5,411.5
Direct Services	182.1	208.4	184.1	228.0	25.2%	273.8	266.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	5,218.4	60.2%	7,777.2	10,257.6
PINS Program <sup>2</sup>	<b></b>				NA	1,000.0	2,505.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	37,861.3	32.3%	41,663.3	44,804.2
TOTAL	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	:		Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 <sup>1</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community-Based <sup>2</sup> Organizations	:		324.8	318.0	NA NA	466.5	466.5
Community Care <sup>3</sup> Initiative			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	500.0	500.0
Detention							
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	10,600.4	165.0%	6,770.3	9,900.0
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	25,101.8	173.5%	14,738.3	15,500.0
Job Development <sup>4</sup>					NA	697.6	583.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	1,838.8	52.4%	2,409.0	2,570.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	11,745.0	-5.1%	13,351.8	14,281.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	4,509.1	142.7%	6,496.4	6,018.0
Transitional Independent Living Support <sup>5</sup>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	87.8	NA NA	2,200.0	2,220.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	52,710.8	177.4%	28,100.0	26,300.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,575.0	77,307.5	162.1%	40,600.0	41,500.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	38,188	21,369	
DFY Facilities	2,027	2,760	
TOTAL	40,215	24,129	

TABLE 18

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	<u>s</u>		Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	445,537.8	525,228.2	598,305.9	602,846.5	35.3%	670,107.0	728,920.9
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	76,135.5	30.2%	78,541.4	82,519.1
TOTAL	503,998.1	589,291.8	670,294.8	678,982.0	34.7%	748,648.4	811,440.0


TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

<u>84-85</u> - <u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	FY 86-87	
		F1 00-07	FY 87-88
,376.6 96,465.2	374,661.8	229,596.9	44,079.0
,441.1 2,366.7	10,897.3	4,503.5	4,573.2
,817.7 98,831.8	385,559.0	234,100.4	48,652.2
	2,366.7	3,441.1 2,366.7 10,897.3	3,441.1 2,366.7 10,897.3 4,503.5

COUNTY PROFILES
FOR THE
BUFFALO REGION

Cattaraugus County Chautauqua County Erie County Niagara County



# REGIONAL AGENCIES

Southern Tier East Regional Planning Development Board Fourth Floor, O'Neil Building State at Court Street Binghamton, New York 13901 New York State
Division of Parole
Room 502
65 Court Street
Buffalo, New York 14202

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020

# **COUNTY AGENCIES**

District Attorney's Office 303 Court Street Little Valley, New York 14755 Sheriff's Department 301 Court Street Little Valley, New York 14755

Probation Department 265 North Union Street Olean, New York 14760 Youth Bureau 301 Court Street Little Valley, New York 14755

Assigned Counsel Plan Four South Main Street Franklinville, New York 14737 County Court 303 Court Street Little Valley, New York 14755

Family Court 265 North Union Street Olean, New York 14760 Region BUFFALO

County Seat LITTLE VALLEY

Square Miles 1,306

Population Percent Change 1970-1980 4.9%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	85,697	31	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	65.6	46	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	41,495 44,202	NA NA	48.4% 51.6%	36 27
Race: White Non-White Unknown	83,094 2,472 131	NA NA NA	97.0% 2.9% 0.2%	30 32 57
Ethnicity: Hispanic	336	NA	0.4%	56
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	13,223 9,193 5,019 9,292 48,970	NA NA NA NA	15.4% 10.7% 5.9% 10.8% 57.1%	8 32 38 20 48

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	48,970	100.0%	48
8th Grade or Less	8,264	16.9%	30
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	8,993 19,735	18.4% 40.3%	12 10
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	6,740 5,231	13.8% 10.7%	46 52

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	85,900	86,100	86,100	85,335	85,300	32
Density Per Square Mile	65.8	65.9	65.9	65.3	65.3	47

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		
,				Income Rank
	1982	<u>1983</u>	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	8,192	8,536	9,354	57

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	PUBLIC HIGH	SCHOOL DROP	0012: 1301-	<u>05 10 1909-</u>	00		
		Percent Rank					
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number	273	270	177	242	207	
	Percent	4.5%	4.6%	3.0%	4 4%	3.8%	38

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLOY	MENT: 1984-19	986		
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	3,263 9.1%	3,229 9.0%	3,146 8.8%	11

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

	P	UBLIC ASSI	ISTANCE: 1982	2-1986		:	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	4,026 4.7%	4,502 5.2%	4,839 5.6%	5,212 6.1%	5,255 6,2%	11

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of Cr	1986 C Per 10 Popula				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	2,416	2,157	2,170	2,197	2,207	2,558.5	35	-8.7%
VIOLENT	151	126	122	104	114	132.2	47	-24.5%
MURDER	2	1	1	. 0	2	2.3	33	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	9	3	11	2	6	7.0	50	-33.3%
ROBBERY	22	16	13	9	14	16.2	35	-36.4%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	118	106	97	93	. 92	106.7	45	-22.0%
PROPERTY	2,265	2,031	2,048	2,093	2,093	2,426.4	34	-7.6%
BURGLARY	718	604	554	546	489	566.9	51	-31.9%
LARCENY	1,465	1,368	1,427	1,472	1,510	1,750.5	31	3.1%
MV THEFT	82	59	67	75	94	109.0	25	14.6%

 ${\tt SOURCE:} \quad {\tt Division \ of \ Criminal \ Justice \ Services, \ Uniform \ Crime \ Reporting \ System.}$ 

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

			Numb	ts		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>			
198	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
ARRESTS		1,266	1,244	1,300	1,355	1,498	1,756.2	41	18.3%
FELONY		226	236	200	246	287	336.5	55	27.0%
VIOLENT		55	51	42	57	62	72.7	55	12.7%
OTHER		171	185	158	189	225	263.8	48	31.6%
MISDEMEANOR		1,040	1,008	1,100	1,109	1,211	1,419.7	38	16.4%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

	<u>Number<sup>1</sup></u>	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
ARRESTS	638	-4.1%	
FELONY <sup>2</sup>	129	-14.6%	
VIOLENT	22	-29.0%	
OTHER	107	-10.8%	
MISDEMEANOR	509	-1.0%	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Preliminary data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arrest	s		Per 100	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	3	2	1	0	6	7.0	5	100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	2	3	2	5	5.9	35	25.0%
ROBBERY	4	10	<b>3</b>	7	4	4.7	49	. 0.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	20	15	22	28	17	19.9	56	-15.0%
BURGLARY	65	67	47	70	72	84.4	38	10.8%
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	185	211	201	208	228	267.3	48	23.2%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vahicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests						1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982_	· · ·	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86	
DRUG ARRESTS		53		41	40	33	44	51.6	45	-17.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)		19		26	10	15	26	30.5	·47	36.8%
POSSESSION		17		19	10	12	24	28.1	41	41.2%
SALE		2		5	0	2	1	1.2	56	-50.0%
OTHER		0		2	0	1	1	1.2	42	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)		34		15	30	18	18	21.1	25	-47.1%
POSSESSION		30		12	19	13	14	16.4	22	-53.3%
SALE		4		3	11	5	4	4.7	36	0.0%

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ( $\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$  -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

		1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Number of Arrests Drivers						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	466	457	541	524	612	11.1	15	31.3%
FELONY	35	47	44	50	65	1.2	3	85.7%
MISDEMEANOR	431	410	497	474	547	9.9	16	26.9%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	83 100.0%	102 100.0%	98 100.0%	91 100.0%	113 100.0%	36.1%			
VIOLENT	19 22.9%	19 18.6%	15 15.3%	20 22.0%	25 22.1%	31.6%			
OTHER	64 77.1%	83 81.4%	83 84.7%	71 78.0%	88 77.9%	37.5%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987<sup>1</sup>
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	69	76.9%	
VIOLENT	11	37.5%	
OTHER	58	87.1%	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		Number and Percent of Disposit Ins Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
DISPOSITIONS	832 100.0%	822 100.0%	906 100.0%	887 100.0%	1,010 100.0%	21.4%		
CONVICTIONS	690 82.9%	655 79.7%	740 81.7%	728 82.1%	854 84.6%	23.8%		
ACQUITTALS	5 0.6%	4 0.5%	5 0.6%	3 0.3%	4 0.4%	-20.0%		
DISMISSALS	137 16.5%	161 19.6%	161 17.8%	153 17.2%	148 14.7%	8.0%		
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	NA		
OTHER	0 0.0%	2 0.2%	0.0%	2 0.2%	4 0.4%	NA		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

			Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
DISPOSITIONS		146 100.0%	150 100.0%	156 100.0%	129 100.0%	196 100.0%	34.2%		
CONVICTIONS		136 93.2%	133 88.7%	141 90.4%	117 90.7%	168 85.7%	23.5%		
ACQUITTALS		0 0.0%	3 2.0%	0.0%	2 1.6%	1 0.5%	NA		
DISMISSALS		10 6.8%	14 9.3%	15 9.6%	10 7.8%	25 12.8%	150,0%		
NOT PROSECUTED		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA '		
OTHER		0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.0%	NA		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1986 <sup>2</sup> 1982 1983 1985 1984 82 vs 86 CONVICTIONS 690 655 740 728 23.8% 854 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 70 10.7% **FELONY** 3.3% 8.8% 8.1% 5.6% 7.4% VIOLENT 14 14.3% 1.0% 0.9% 1.9% 0.5% 0.9% OTHER 64 46 37 55 1.9% 54 7.8% 9.8% 6.2% 5.1% 6.4% 490 MISDEMEANOR 451 428 491 507 12.4% 65.4% 65.3% 66.4% 67.3% 59.4% LESSER OFFENSES 178 157 189 197 284 59.6% 25.8% 24.0% 25.5% 27.1% 33.3%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
CONVICTIONS	136 100.0%	133 100.0%	141 100.0%	117 100.0%	168 100.0%	23.5%			
FELONY	59 43.4%	62 46.6%	56 39.7%	36 30.8%	58 34.5%	-1.7%			
VIOLENT	7 5.1%	6 4.5%	14 9.9%	4 3.4%	8 4.8%	14.3%			
OTHER	52 38.2%	56 42.1%	42 29.8%	32 27.4%	50 29.8%	-3.8%			
MISDEMEANOR	70 51.5%	66 49.6%	79 56.0%	70 59.8%	107 63.7%	52.9%			
LESSER OFFENSES	7 5.1%	5 3.8%	6 4.3%	11 9.4%	3 1.8%	-57.1%			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
1982		1983	1984	1985	1986 2			
100.0%	1	00.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
1.0		1.2	1.9	1.4	1.2			
13.2		16.9	15.1	14.7	12.6			
0.9		2.3	1.9	1.9	2.1			
8.0		6.1	6.4	7.1	9.3			
67.8		64.7	65.0	64.4	64.6			
9.1		8.7	9.7	10.4	10.2			
	100.0% 1.0 13.2 0.9 8.0 67.8	1982 100.0% 1 1.0 13.2 0.9 8.0 67.8	1982     1983       100.0%     100.0%       1.0     1.2       13.2     16.9       0.9     2.3       8.0     6.1       67.8     64.7	1982     1983     1984       100.0%     100.0%     100.0%       1.0     1.2     1.9       13.2     16.9     15.1       0.9     2.3     1.9       8.0     6.1     6.4       67.8     64.7     65.0	1982         1983         1984         1985           100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%           1.0         1.2         1.9         1.4           13.2         16.9         15.1         14.7           0.9         2.3         1.9         1.9           8.0         6.1         6.4         7.1           67.8         64.7         65.0         64.4			

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

				Sentences	as a Per	cent of C	onvictions	3		
	19 Felony	Misd &	19 Felony	983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser	19 Fe lony	985 Misd & Lesser	19	986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	11.5	NA	11.4	NA .	23.3	NA	24.4	NA.	15.9	NA .
LOCAL JAIL	11.5	13.4	28.6	15.6	13.3	15.3	17.1	14.6	15.9 ·	12.4
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.3	0.6	14.3	0.9	16.7	0.6	19.5	0.9	14.3	1.1
PROBATION	63.9	2.5	41.4	1.9	43.3	3.1	39.0	5.2	52.4	5.8
FINES	9.8	73.5	2.9	72.1	1.7	70.6	0.0	68.3	1.6	69.7
OTHER	0.0	10.0	1.4	9.6	1.7	10.4	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.0

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

						1986 Cas Per 100,		
		Num	ber of Case		<u>Populati</u>		9/ Cl	
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED <sup>1</sup>	165	226	327	311	232	272.0	59	40.6%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	475	473	382	409	497	582.6	46	4.6%
FAMILY COURT	182	174	110	145	146	171.2	26	-19.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	293	299	272	264	351	411.5	50	19.8%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	43	39	11	9	. 6	7.0	45	-86.0%
FAMILY COURT	36	30	9	1	. 3	3.5	41	-91.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	7	9	2	8	3	3.5	32	-57.1%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	151	135	123	159	185	216.9	50	22.5%
FAMILY COURT	41	22	23	37	39	45.7	34	-4.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	110	113	100	122	146	171.2	52	32.7%
UNDER PROBATION <sup>2</sup> SUPERVISION	434	449	431	415	485	568.6	50	11.8%
FAMILY COURT	77	62	54	62	79	92.6	30	2.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	357	387	377	353	406	476.0	52	13.7%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON								
DECEMBER 31	314	308	256	300	346	405.6	58	10.2%
FAMILY COURT	40	31	25	40	42	49.2	30	5.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	274	277	231	260	304	356.4	57	10.9%

<sup>1</sup> Intake occurs only in family court.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	No. of the control of	Number	r of Revoca	itions		1986 Revocat Per 1, Probati	ions 000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	15	16	13	4	14	28.9	53	-6.7%
FAMILY COURT	. <b>4</b>	4	1	1	2	25.3	52	-50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	11	12	12	 3	12	29.6	50	9.1%
TECHNICAL	3	6	4	. 0	5	12.3	56	. 66.7%
NEW CONVICTION	8	6	8	3	7 ;	17.2	35	-12.5%

Table 8A

# ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

#### ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Tab	le 8B			Table 8C
PRETRIAL RELEAS	E PROGRAM: 198	36		COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986
	Number	Percent		
CASES SCREENED	213	. NA		There were no agencies in the
CASES INTERVIEWED	181	100.0%		county that received funding for this type of program.
RELEASED <sup>1</sup>	40	22.1%		
Program intervention c directly responsible f detained defendants.	ontributed to or the release	or was e of these		
Tab	le 8D			Table 8E
DEFENDER-BASED ADV	OCACY PROGRAM:	1986	···	SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986
There were no county that re for this type	ceived funding			There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.
REPORTS PREPARED FOR C	OURT 0	100.0%		
REPORTS ACCEPTED	0	NA.		

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	er of Admi	ssions		1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	1,145	1,238	1,165	1,057	1,095	1,283.7 26	-4.4%
UNSENTENCED	853	989	953	818	822	963.7 25	-3.6%
SENTENCED	292	249	212	239	273	320.0 28	-6.5%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	80	82	76	76	76	89.1 22	-5.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	51	52	44	49	63	73.9 27	23.5%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	57	47	45	62	66	77.4 20	15.8%
UNSENTENCED	37	29	, 29	28	47	55.1 8	27.0%
SENTENCED	20	18	16	34	19	22.3 40	-5.0%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_loss} \mbox{Table 10A}$  NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE  $\mbox{PRISON}^1$ 

				Number of art Commitm	ents	: '		198 New C Committ Per 10 Popula	ourt ments 0,000	
	·	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2		Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS		, 9	7	15	10	10		11.7	<b>57</b> <sup>-</sup>	11.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>3</sup>		2	4	6	3	3		3.5	56	50.0%
HOMICIDE		0	0	1	0	. 1		1.2	35	· NA
SEX OFFENSE		0	3	1	1	1 ,		1.2	46	NA
ROBBERY		2	0	4	2	0		0.0	43	-100.0%
BURGLARY		. 0	0	0	. 0	0		0.0	51	NA .
WEAPONS		0	0	0	0	. 0		0.0	25	NA
OTHER		. 0	. 1	,0	. 0	1		1.2	32	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>4</sup>		0	. 0	. 2	0	. 0		0.0	51	NA
POSSESSION		0	0	0	0 .	0		0.0	37	NA
SALE		0	0	2	0	0	٠.	0.0	43	NA
OTHER FELONIES		6	3	7	6	. 7		8.2	49	16.7% ·
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS		1	, 0	0 1	1	0		0.0	32	-100.0%

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

	Ni ir	umber of I 1 State Pr	nmates Unde ison on Dec	er Custody ember 31		 Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	#/ Cl	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	20	17	24	27	25	29.3	57	25.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	8	10	14	13	13	15.2	57	62.5%
HOMICIDE	· 0	0	1	1	2	2.3	59	,NA
SEX OFFENSE	1	4	4	5	4 -	4.7	51	,300.0%
ROBBERY	, 6	5	8	7	5	5.9	28	-16.7%
BURGLARY	0	, 0	, <b>0</b> -	0	1	1.2	55	NA
WEAPONS	1	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	-100.0%
OTHER	0	1	1	. 0	1	1.2	51	NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	, 0.	0	2	1	1	1.2	54	. NA
POSSESSION	0	0	. 0	Ó	0	0.0	43	NA
SALE	0	0	2	1	. 1	1.2	46	NA
OTHER FELONIES	11	7	8	12	11	12.9	48	0.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	. 0	0	1	0	0.0	33	-100.0%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_
PERCENT OF INMATES	<del> </del>				
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Number	· of Parole	ees		Pe	1986 arolees r 100,000 pulation	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Ra		% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	20	15	16	10	13	1	5.2 50	-35.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	47	44	39	30	28	3:	2.8 53	-40.4%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	29	23	20	15	23	2	7.0 50	-20.7%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbe	er of Parol	ees	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985 1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	4	5	2	1 0	0.0 52	-100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	2	0	1	0 0	0.0 36	-100.0%
NEW ARRESTS	2	4	1	0 0	0.0 49	-100.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	1	· · · · <b>o</b>	1 0	0.0 36	NA

 $<sup>^{\</sup>scriptsize 1}$  The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $\label{eq:table_12} \mbox{JUVENILE OFFENDERS}$   $\mbox{ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS}^1$ 

			 	Number	of Cas	es			
	198	32_	 1983	<u>. 1</u>	.984	_	1985	 1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS		0	0		0		0	Ō	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS		0	0		0		0	,	NA
DISPOSITIONS		0	0		0		0	. 0	NA
CONVICTIONS		0	0		0		0	0	NA
PRISON		0	0		0		0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL		0	0		0		0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL		0	0		0		. 0	. 0	NA
PROBATION		0	0		0		0	0	NA NA
OTHER		0	0		0		0	0	NA NA
ACQUITTALS	•	0	0		0		0	0	NA
DISMISSALS		0	0		.0		0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED		0	0		0		0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT		0	0		0		0	0	NA
OTHER		0	0		0		0	0	, NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <a href="New York State Penal Law">New York State Penal Law</a>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY<sup>1</sup>
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropi	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	58.5	67.1	56.0	51.7	-11.7%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	37.6	67.5
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	0.0	780.0	788.1	NA	177.8	12.9
Division of Probation <sup>2</sup> and Correctional Alternatives	84.5	85.3	95.3	110.8	31.0%	135.4	163.1
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	244.2	248.0	274.9	579.1	137.1%	320.6	368.6
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries <sup>4</sup>		- <u>-</u> -	10.0	21.5	NA	26.5	
TOTAL	387.3	400.4	1,216.1	1,551.2	300.5%	697.9	612.0

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\scriptsize 4}}$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>				*			
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1	NA		· , · . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Felons	56.4	66.5	47.6	43.1	-23.5%	<b></b>	
Parole Violators	1.6	0.3	7.2	4.1	160.6%		
State Readies <sup>2</sup>				4.0	NA:		
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	-35.7%		
TOTAL	58.5	67.1	56.0	51.7	-11.7%	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	67.5
Domestic Violence	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	37.6	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	37.6	67.5

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82_vs_85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Justice Assistance Act <sup>1</sup>		y		: 	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.4
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>2</sup>	w. w.	0.0	780.0	780.0	NA	177.8	0.0
Narcotics Control <sup>3</sup>	·				NA		8.5
Soft Body Armour <sup>4</sup> Reimbursement Program			0.0	8.1	. NA	<del></del>	· · ·
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	780.0	788.1	NA	177.8	12.9

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

#### Table 5

## DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification <sup>1</sup> Alternatives	. ·			2.7	NA	15.2	16.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	4.2	. 17.6
PINS Program <sup>2</sup>				·	NA	:	5.8
Regular State Aid	84.5	85.3	95.3	108.1	27.8%	116.0	123.7
TOTAL	84.5	85.3	95.3	110.8	31.0%	135.4	163.1

 $<sup>^{1}\ \</sup>mbox{This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.}$ 

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		·		Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 <sup>1</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	NA	0.0	1.1
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	62.4	58.3	58.3	42.5	-31.9%	59.3	61.3
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	NA	10.0	12.0
Voluntary Agency Care	14.6	3.9	0.5	46.3	217.6%	8.5	48.8
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	167.2	185.8	206.1	479.3	186.6%	242.8	245.3
TOTAL	244.2	248.0	274.9	579.1	137.1%	320.6	368.6

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
	DOCS Facilities	<del></del> .		
	DFY Facilities	60	59	
	TOTAL	60	59	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities		- <u>-</u>			0.0%	. · ·		
DFY Facilities	1,247.5	1,274.9	1,476.8	1,699.2	36.2%	1,631.3	1,766.7	
TOTAL	1,247.5	1,274.9	1,476.8	1,699.2	36.2%	1,631.3	1,766.7	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
DOCS Facilities	<u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
DFY Facilities	0.0	209.6	8.4	218.0	15.0	0.0		
TOTAL	0.0	209.6	8.4	218.0	15.0	0.0		

#### TABLE 3A

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

:	<u>Facility</u>	Security <sub>1</sub> Level	Capacity	Sta	aff
	Great Valley Residential Center	IV	60		59

<sup>1</sup> Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Great Valley Residential Center	1,247.5	1,274.9	1,476.8	1,699.2	36.2%	1,631.3	1,766.7

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth


TABLE 3C

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropriations	
Facility	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Great Valley Residential Center	0.0	209.6	8.4	218.0	15.0	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.



#### **REGIONAL AGENCIES**

Southern Tier East Regional Planning Development Board Fourth Floor, O'Neil Building State at Court Street Binghamton, New York 13901 New York State
Division of Parole
Room 502
65 Court Street
Buffalo, New York 14202

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020

#### **COUNTY AGENCIES**

District Attorney's Office Gerace Office Building Mayville, New York 14757

Probation Department P.O. Box 220 115-121 West Third Street Jamestown, New York 14701

Public Defender's Office Gerace Office Building Mayville, New York 14757

Family Court County Office Building Dunkirk, New York 14048 Sheriff's Department
Box 128, East Chautauqua Street
Mayville, New York 14757

Youth Bureau Room 113 Hall R. Clothier Building Mayville, New York 14757

County Court County Court House Mayville, New York 14757

Family Court County Office Building Mayville, New York 14757

#### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

City of Jamestown Police Department Municipal Building Jamestown, New York 14701

<sup>\*</sup>Population 25,000 and above.

		Region		BUFFALO
		County Seat		MAYVILLE
		Square Miles	. 1	1,064
opulation	Percent	Change 1970-1980	. •	-0.3%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	146,925	23	100.0%	NA NA
Density Per Square Mile	138.1	27	NA	NA NA
Sex: Male Female	70,767 76,158	NA NA	48.2% 51.8%	41 22
Race: White Non-White Unknown	142,928 2,632 1,365	NA NA NA	97.3% 1.8% 0.9%	28 . 37 17
Ethnicity: Hispanic	2,266	NA	1.5%	18
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	20,418 14,378 8,471 16,216 87,442	NA NA NA NA	13.9% 9.8% 5.8% 11.0% 59.5%	35 22 44 17 24

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	87,442	100.0%	24
8th Grade or Less	14,476	16.6%	33
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	15,415 34,456	17.6% 39.4%	22 18
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	12,734 10,348	14.6% 11.8%	33 40

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982	POPI 1983	<u>1984</u>	1985	1986_	Rank 1986
Population	146,200	146,000	146,300	144,230	143,100	23
Density Per Square Mile	137.4	137.2	137.5	135.6	134.5	28

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPI	TA INCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	9,493	9,990	10,989	33

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	School Year						Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	381 4.2%	367 4.4%	298 3.6%	332 4.1%	310 3.9%	34

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986										
	•	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986					
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	4,979 7.8%	4,807 7.6%	5,161 8.0%	24					

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986									
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986		
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	7,368 5,0%	8,995 6.2%	9,819 6.7%	10,091	10,929 7.6%	- 1350		

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

19			Number of Crimes					
	82 1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
INDEX CRIME 5,	422 5,05	5,077	4,862	4,846	3,306.5	20	-10.6%	
VIOLENT	152 194	192	194	222	151.5	40	46.1%	
MURDER	3 3	3 2	2	2	1.4	44	-33.3%	
FORCIBLE RAPE	16 20	) 19	16	27	18.4	18	68.8%	
ROBBERY	24 43	30	37	31	21.2	30	29.2%	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	109 128	3 141	139	162	110.5	43	48.6%	
PROPERTY 5.	270 4,85	4,885	4,668	- 4,624	3,155.0	20	-12.3%	
BURGLARY 1,	518 1,24	1,236	1,067	1.033	704.8	30	-31.9%	
LARCENY 3,	530 3,419	3,476	3,432	3,458	2,359.4	16	-2.0%	
MV THEFT	222 19	173	169	133	90.7	30	-40.1%	

 ${\tt SOURCE:} \quad {\tt Division \ of \ Criminal \ Justice \ Services, \ Uniform \ Crime \ Reporting \ System.}$ 

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	: .	Numb	per of Arres	sts		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	2,090	2,083	2,399	2,395	2,587	1,807.8 39	23.8%
FELONY	385	388	417	432	494	345.2 50	28.3%
VIOLENT	100	88	121	111	123	86.0 47	23.0%
OTHER	285	300	296	321	371	259.3 50	30.2%
MISDEMEANOR	1.705	1,695	1,982	1,963	2,093	1,462.6 34	22.8%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		<u>Number<sup>1</sup></u>	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	1,340	5.6%	
	FELONY2	233	-5.3%	
	VIOLENT	61	7.0%	
	OTHER	172	-9.0%	
	MISDEMEANOR	1,107	8.2%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

			Numbe	r of Arrests	 1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population				
	• .	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	 Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER		4	. 3	2	1	2	1.4	38	-50.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE		6	2	, 3 ···	4	7	4.9	43	16.7%
ROBBERY		14	13	13	21	11	7.7	38	-21.4%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT		32	28	41	33	46	32.1	39	43.8%
BURGLARY		114	97	108	117	137	95.7	28	20.2%
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>		350	374	448	497	496	346.6	31	41.7%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1
(Adults Only)

		Numl	per of Arrest	S		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	41	72	37	43	36	25.2	58	-12.2%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE					i .			· ·
(PL 220)	21	38	12	28	18	12.6	61	-14.3%
POSSESSION	19	12	5	10	14	9.8	59	-26.3%
SALE	2	25	5	17	. 3	2.1	55	50.0%
OTHER	0 '	1	2	1	1	0.7	46	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	20	34	25	15	18	12.6	53	-10.0%
POSSESSION	17	21	11.	12	14	9.8	48	-17.6%
SALE	3	13	14	3	4	2.8	46	33.3%

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ( $\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$  -Sections 220 and 221).

		Numb	er of Arrest	S		Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	698	696	871	831	860	8.9	25	23.2%
FELONY	73	71	72	65	85	0.9	13	16.4%
MISDEMEANOR	625	625	799	766	775	8.0	25	24.0%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	198 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	219 100.0%	243 100.0%	22.7%			
VIOLENT	61 30.8%	55 21.2%	52 26.7%	51 23.3%	57 23.5%	-6.6%			
OTHER	137 69.2%	205 78.8%	143 73.3%	168 76.7%	186 76.5%	35.8%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987<sup>1</sup>
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	139	43.3%		
VIOLENT	37	68.2%		
OTHER	102	36.0%		

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS	1,646 100.0%	1,696 100.0%	1,713 100.0%	2,014 100.0%	2,039 100.0%	23.9%			
CONVICTIONS	1,281 77.8%	1,320 77.8%	1,311 76.5%	1,522 75.6%	1,514 74.3%	. 18.2%			
ACQUITTALS	13 0.8%	12 0.7%	16 0.9%	10 0.5%	13 0.6%	0.0%			
DISMISSALS	349 21.2%	356 21.0%	373 21.8%	476 23.6%	502 24.6%	43.8%			
NOT PROSECUTED	2 0.1%	5 0.3%	5 0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-50.0%			
OTHER	0.1%	3 0.2%	8 0.5%	5 0.2%	9 0.4%	800.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

	*	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>				
DISPOSITIONS	328 100.0%	310 100.0%	302 100.0%	339 100.0%	394 100.0%	20.1%				
CONVICTIONS	281 85.7%	256 82.6%	241 79.8%	290 85.5%	326 82.7%	16.0%				
ACQUITTALS	6 1.8%	5 1.6%	2 0.7%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	-100.0%				
DISMISSALS	41 12.5%	44 14.2%	52 17.2%	46 13.6%	67 17.0%	63.4%				
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	5 1.6%	4 1.3%	0.3%	0 0.0%	NA				
OTHER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 1.0%	1 0.3%	1 0.3%	NA				

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			Misdemeanor Ar		2	% Change
	<u>1982</u>	1983	1984	<u>1985</u>	<u>1986</u> 2	<u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	1,281 100.0%	1,320 100.0%	1,311 100.0%	1,522 100.0%	1,514 100.0%	18.2%
FELONY	109 8.5%	95 7.2%	104 7.9%	111 7.3%	121 8.0%	11.0%
VIOLENT	27 2.1%	21 1.6%	22 1.7%	24 1.6%	29 1.9%	7.4%
OTHER	82 6.4%	74 5.6%	82 6.3%	87 5.7%	92 6.1%	12.2%
MISDEMEANOR	774 60.4%	860 65.2%	807 61.6%	968 63.6%	972 64.2%	25.6%
LESSER OFFENSES	398 31.1%	365 27.7%	400 30.5%	443 29.1%	421 27.8%	5.8%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			cent of Convic			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	281 100.0%	256 100.0%	241 100.0%	290 100.0%	326 100.0%	16.0%
FELONY	103 36.7%	92 35.9%	102 42.3%	101 34.8%	115 35.3%	. 11.7%
VIOLENT	27 9.6%	21 8.2%	22 9.1%	24 8.3%	29 8.9%	7.4%
OTHER	76 27.0%	71 27.7%	80 33.2%	77 26.6%	86 26.4%	13.2%
MISDEMEANOR	155 55.2%	144 56.3%	130 53.9%	175 60.3%	204 62.6%	31.6%
LESSER OFFENSES	23 8.2%	20 7.8%	9 3.7%	14 4.8%	7 2.1%	-69.6%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	1.4	1.6	2.4	1.9	1.3				
LOCAL JAIL	16.1	16.4	16.9	12.5	13.9				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.7	2.8	<b>3.3</b>	4.1	5.7				
PROBATION	8.2	8.6	9.1	11.5	10.6				
FINES	56.8	53.7	53.7	55.8	52.4				
OTHER	13.9	16.9	14.6	14.2	16.1				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser	-	984 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	16.5	NA	22.1	NA	30.8	NA	26.1	NA	16.5	NA
LOCAL JAIL	38.5	14.0	35.8	14.9	28.8	15.9	18.0	12.0	17.4.	13.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	15.6	2.6	22.1	1.3	19.2	1.9	27.9	2.2	38.0	2.9
PROBATION	22.0	6.9	15.8	8.0	14.4	8.6	25.2	10.4	22.3	9.6
FINES	0.9	61.9	1.1	57.8	5.8	57.8	2.7	60.0	3.3	56.6
OTHER	6.4	14.6	3.2	18.0	1.0	15.7	0.0	15.3	2.5	17.3

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

						1986 Cases Per 100,00	10	
		Nun	ber of Case	S	<del></del> ,	Population	on % Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Ra	nk 82 vs 86	
INTAKE OPENED <sup>1</sup>	847	1,223	1,267	1,232	1,261	881.2 3	6 48.9%	
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	781	1,044	1,079	1,162	1,264	883.3 2	1 61.8%	
FAMILY COURT	0	250	266	297	251	175.4 1	.9 · NA	
CRIMINAL COURT	781	794	813	865	1,013	707.9 1	9 29.7%	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	226	200	200	221	224	156.5 1	.5 -0.9%	
FAMILY COURT	57	42	43	66	49	34.2 1	8 -14.0%	
CRIMINAL COURT	169	158	157	155	175	122.3 1	.3 3.6%	
PROBATION								
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	323	286	330	397	397	277.4 2	22.9%	
FAMILY COURT	104	83	71	78	62	43.3 3	-40.4%	
CRIMINAL COURT	219	203	259	319	335	234.1 2	22 53.0%	
UNDER PROBATION <sup>2</sup>		4						
SUPERVISION	957	893	889	988	1,089	761.0 2	28 13.8%	
FAMILY COURT	219	175	156	148	141	98.5 2	29 -35.6%	
CRIMINAL COURT	738	718	733	840	948	662.5 2	22 28.5%	
UNDER PROBATION								
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	607	559	591	692	757	529.0	38 24.7%	
FAMILY COURT	92	85	70	79	77	53.8 2	24 -16.3%	
CRIMINAL COURT	515	474	521	613	680	475.2 3	32.0%	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Intake occurs only in family court.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocati Per 1,0 Probatio	00	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	70	67	54	48	44	40.4	43	-37.1%
FAMILY COURT	11	10	13	11	5	35.5	46,	-54.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	• 59	57	41	37	39	41.1	43	-33.9%
TECHNICAL	21	25	16	18	25	26.4	29	19.0%
NEW CONVICTION	38	32	25	19	14	14.8	39	-63.2%

#### Table 8A

### ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

### ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B		Table 8C
PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986		COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986
<u>Number Percent</u>		
SES SCREENED 266 NA SES INTERVIEWED 205 100.0%		There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.
RELEASED <sup>1</sup> 33 16.1%		
Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.		
Table 8D		Table 8E
DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986		SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986
DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986		SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986
There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.		There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		1936 Admissions Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,462	1,474	1,543	1,506	1,585	1,107.6 40	8.4%
UNSENTENCED	964	954	1,020	1,001	1,106	772.9 42	14.7%
SENTENCED	498	520	523	505	479	334.7 27	-3.8%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

				, •		1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	<b></b>
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	98	100	98	98	98	68.5 38	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	81	83	85	87	87	60.8 39	7.4%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	82	64	98	72	98	68.5 31	19.5%
UNSENTENCED	44	41	73	51	72	50.3 13	63.6%
SENTENCED	38	23	25	21	26	18.2 47	-31.6%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$ 

			umber of t Commitm	ents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	18	20	28	29	20	14.0 55	11.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	7	10	5	12	9	6.3 48	28.6%
HOMICIDE	0	3	1.	3	3	2.1 22	NA
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	0	, <b>0</b> ,	2	1.4 44	100.0%
ROBBERY	. 5	5	1	1	1	0.7 41	-80.0%
BURGLARY	1	1	3	6	3	2.1 42	200.0%
WEAPONS	0	0	0.	. 0	0	0.0 25	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	2	0	0.0 37	NA NA
DRUG FELONIES <sup>4</sup>	3	1.	12	4	1	0.7 50	-66.7%
POSSESSION	2	0.	6	2	0	0.0 37	-100.0%
SALE	1	1 .	6	2	1	0.7 41	0.0%
OTHER FELONIES	4	9	11	11	10	7.0 52	150.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	4.	0	0	2	0	0.0 32	-100.0%

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state Prison on December $\mathfrak{31}^1$}$ 

		umber of Ir n State Pri	Inmates Under Cus on 12/31/ Per 100.0 Populatio	t. 86 00 n			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Ra	% Change nk <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	47	43	62	63	54	37.7 5	1 14.9%
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	24	29	29	32	33	23.1 4	8 37.5%
HOMICIDE	15	16	17	18	21	14.7 2	3 40.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1	3	2	2	3	2.1 5	5 200.0%
ROBBERY	5	7 .	4	3	. 2	1.4 5	3 -60.0%
BURGLARY	1	2	5	7	4	2.8 5	1 300.0%
WEAPONS	1	0	0	0	0	0.0 3	2 -100.0%
OTHER	1	. 1	1	2	3	2.1 4	4 200.0%
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	3	1,	13	14	4	2.8 4	6 33.3%
POSSESSION	2	0	6	8	0	0.0 4	3 -100.0%
SALE	<b>1</b>	1	7	6	4	2.8 3	7 300.0%
OTHER FELONIES	14	13	20	17	17	11.9 5	0 21.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	6	. 0	, <b>0</b> .	0	0	0.0 3	3 -100.0%

<sup>1</sup> Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u>	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	. 0.2%	0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parol	lees		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	33	32	27	32	29	20.3 44	-12.1%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	60	77	68	63	75	52.4 42	25.0%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	45	41	31	46	52	36.3 42	15.6%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

	***************************************	Numb	er of Parol	ees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	4	4	7	3	2	1.4 51	-50.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	1	1	0	0	0.0 36	, NA
NEW ARRESTS	4	2	6	2	. 0	0.0 49	-100.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0		Ó	1.	2	1.4 25	NA

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS<sup>1</sup>

		:			Numbe	r of Cas	ses	: .	:		
	1982		19	83		1984	19	185	1986		% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	2			1		1		0	0		-100.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	4			0		2		2	0	•	-100.0%
DISPOSITIONS	2			0		1		1	0		-100.0%
CONVICTIONS	1.			0		0		. 1 .	0		-100.0%
PRISON	. 0			0		0		1	0		NA
LOCAL JAIL	1			. 0		0		0	0		-100.0%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0			0		0		0	0		NA
PROBATION	0			0		0		0	0		NA
OTHER	0			0		0		0	Ö		NA
ACQUITTALS	. 0			0		0		0	0		, NA
DISMISSALS	0			0		· 1		0	0		NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0			0		. 0		0	0		NA NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT				0		0		0	0		-100.0%
OTHER	0			0		0		0	0		· NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <a href="Mew York State Penal Law">New York State Penal Law</a>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY<sup>1</sup>
(Thousands of Dollars)

	-		Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	82.1	98.7	108.6	77.5	-5.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	13.7	16.2	NA	16.2	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	0.0	22.9	81.4	NA	129.1	156.1
Division of Probation <sup>2</sup> and Correctional Alternatives	240.0	264.9	245.1	249.5	4.0%	320.1	329.1
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	394.0	383.4	355.1	943.3	139.4%	496.8	559.5
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries <sup>4</sup>			10.0	24.1	NA NA	24.1	
TOTAL	716.0	747.0	755.4	1,392.0	94.4%	986.2	1,044.7

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		***************************************	Appropria	tions
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 35-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>							
Felons	79.6	97.7	86.9	63.6	-20.1%		· · · · ·
Parole Violators	1.7	0.4	21.1	4.1	138.6%		
State Readies <sup>2</sup>	*** <del></del>			8.6	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	0.8	0.6	0.7	1.3	66.1%		
TOTAL	82.1	98.7	108.6	77.5	-5.5%	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Domestic Violence	. 0.0	0.0	13.7	16.2	NA	16.2	, 0.0
TOTAL	- 0.0	0.0	13.7	16.2	NA	16.2	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Justice Assistance Act <sup>1</sup>	<del></del>			<del>_`-</del>	NA	9.0	
Narcotics Control <sup>2</sup>					NA		17.0
Neighborhood <sup>3</sup> Preservation Crime Prevention Act	•	0.0	20.0	15.8	<b>NA</b>	<del></del>	
Soft Body Armour <sup>4</sup> Reimbursement Program			2.9	6.4	NA	·	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>5</sup>			0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	15.0
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>6</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.2	NA	120.1	124.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	22.9	81.4	NA	129.1	156.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

### Table 5

## DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Approp	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification <sup>1</sup> Alternatives		. ——		1.9	NA	31.2	32.8
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	45.7	48.5	52.7	55.8	22.0%	62.3	64.2
PINS Program <sup>2</sup>					NA NA		8.3
Regular State Aid	194.2	216.4	192.4	191.8	-1.3%	226.5	223.9
TOTAL	240.0	264.9	245.1	249.5	4.0%	320.1	329.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 <sup>1</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	0.5	2.0	2.0	4.5	820.6%	2.1	3.6
Secure	3,7	2.2	1.4	4.1	11.6%	2.3	3.5
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	92.2	75.3	84.5	61.4	-33.5%	84.5	86.6
Voluntary Agency Care	0.0	1.0	0.0	84.8	NA	12.4	64.7
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	297.6	303.0	267.2	788.5	165.0%	395.5	401.2
TOTAL	394.0	383.4	355.1	943.3	139.4%	496.8	559.5

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities		<del></del>	
DFY Facilities	7	8	
TOTAL	7	8	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
DOCS Facilities		·			0.0%				
DFY Facilities	113.6	135.7	152.6	142.9	25.8%	160.6	151.2		
TOTAL	113.6	135.7	152.6	142.9	25.8%	160.6	151.2		

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi		Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities			·	<del></del> ,		
DFY Facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0

TABLE 3A

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>	Secu <u>Lev</u>		pacity	<u>Staff</u>
Jamestown Community Urban Home	V	I	7	8

<sup>1</sup> Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Jamestown Community Urban Home	113.6	135.7	152.6	142.9	25.8%	160.6	151.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth


TABLE 3C

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditures				Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Jamestown Community Urban Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.



### REGIONAL AGENCIES

County Office of Criminal Justice Planning 134 West Eagle Street Buffalo, New York 14202 New York State
Division of Parole
Room 502, 65 Court Street
Buffalo, New York 14202

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020

#### **COUNTY AGENCIES**

District Attorney's Office 200 Erie County Hall 25 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Probation Department 25 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Aid to Indigent Prisoners Society, Incorporated 603 Brisbane Building Buffalo, New York 14203

Legal Aid Bureau of Buffalo, Inc. 310 Convention Tower 43 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202 Sheriff's Department 10 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Youth Bureau 25 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Department of Correction
Erie County Correctional Facility
P.O. Box X
Walden Avenue
Alden, New York 14004

County Court Erie County Hall Buffalo, New York 144202

Family Court 25 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

City of Buffalo Police Department
Room 114
74 Franklin Street
Buffalo, New York 14202

Town of Hamburg Police Department 6100 South Park Avenue Hamburg, New York 14075

## MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES (continued)\*

Town of Amherst Police Department 500 John James Audabon Parkway Amherst, New York 14228

Town of Cheektowaga Police Department 3223 Union Street Cheektowaga, New York 14225 Town of Lancaster Police Department 525 Pavement Road Lancaster, New York 14086

Town of Tonawanda Police Department 1835 Sheridan Drive Kenmore, New York 14223

Town of West Seneca Police Department 1250 Union Road West Seneca, New York 14224

<sup>\*</sup>Population 25,000 and above.

Region BUFFALO
County Seat BUFFALO
Square Miles 1,046
Population Percent Change 1970-1980 -8.8%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Рори	lation	1,015,472	7	100.0%	NA
Density Per Squar	re Mile	970.8	11	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	483,238 532,234	NA NA	47.6% 52.4%	51 12
	White n-White Jnknown	893,195 113,435 8,842	NA NA NA	88.0% 11.2% 0.9%	56 6 18
Ethnicity: H	ispanic	14,390	NA	1.4%	20
10 16 19	d Under ) to 15 5 to 18 9 to 24 nd Over	129,655 102,059 57,352 113,209 613,197	NA NA NA NA NA	12.8% 10.1% 5.6% 11.1% 60.4%	50 6 50 16 15

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	613,197	100.0%	15
8th Grade or Less	105,788	17.3%	25
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	106,716 216,885	17.4% 35.4%	24 48
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	91,212 92,701	14.9% 15.1%	25 21

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

			<u>JLATION 1982-198</u>		······································	Rank
	1982_	1983_	1984	1985	1986	1986
Population	998,200	988,800	985,300	971,386	964,700	7
Density Per Square Mile	954.3	945.3	942.0	928.7	922.3	11

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	11,023	11,581	12,626	15

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	FUBLIC HIG	H SCHOOL DRUP	0013: 1301-	OZ 10 1303-	00		
				School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	1985-86
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	2,399 4.3%	1.943 3.6%	1,822 3.5%	1,671 3.3%	1.654 3.4%	44

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

i e	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-19	986		
		1984	1985_	1986_	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	37,687 8.7%	31,428 7.3%	31,762 7.2%	31

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

and the state of t		PUBLIC ASS	STANCE: 1982	-1986	<u> </u>		
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	65,061 6.5%	74,972 7.6%	80,210 8.1%	79,601 8.2%	78,719 8.2%	2

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of Cr	imes	Participation of the Comments	1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population		% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	51,152	47,255	44,563	44,782	45,336	4,591.7	9	-11.4%
VIOLENT	5,361	4,969	5,100	5,217	5,680	575.3	6	6.0%
MURDER	54	57	43	43	43	4.4	13	-20.4%
FORCIBLE RAPE	307	350	347	345	335	33.9	6	9.1%
ROBBERY	1,902	1,638	1,616	1,640	1,759	178.2	6	-7.5%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	3,098	2,924	3,094	3,189	3,543	358.8	7	14.4%
PROPERTY	45,791	42,286	39,463	39,565	39,656	4,016.4	11	-13.4%
BURGLARY	13,040	12,124	11,089	11,364	11,133	1,127.6	10	-14.6%
LARCENY	27,781	26,116	24,479	24,190	24,121	2,443.0	13	-13.2%
MV THEFT	4,970	4,046	3,895	4,011	4,402	445.8	7	-11.4%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arre	ete		1986 Arr Per 100, Populat	000	
	1982	1983		1985	1986	<del></del>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	20,781	19,973	20,585	20,429	21,774	2,257.1	17	4.8%
FELONY	5,670	5,011	5,187	5,243	5,511	571.3	12	-2.8%
VIOLENT	2,064	1,997	2,106	2,042	2,145	222.3	9 ·	3.9%
OTHER	3,606	3,014	3,081	3,201	3,366	348.9	19	-6.7%
MISDEMEANOR	15,111	14,962	15,398	15,186	16,263	1,685.8	19	7.6%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

			<u>Number<sup>1</sup></u>	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	•	
		ARRESTS	11,608	8.7%		
		FELONY <sup>2</sup>	2,923	7.7%		
		VIOLENT	1,037	-3.7%		
		OTHER	1,886	15.1%		
		MISDEMEANOR	8,685	9.0%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	Number of Arrests			1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate R	<u>ank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	51	50	46	37	34	3.5	14	33.3%
FORCIBLE RAPE	126	176	143	144	143	14.8	5	13.5%
ROBBERY	440	434	413	415	408	42.3	9	-7.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	794	800	892	906	891	92.4	8	12.2%
BURGLARY	1,315	1,096	1,013	971	1,006	104.3	16	-23.5%
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	4,337	3,864	4,171	4,263	4,607	477.6	9	6.2%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 
(Adults Only)

		Numl	ber of Arres	ts		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	1,452	1,386	1,453	1,696	1,866	193.4	12	28.5%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	1,076	1,010	1,075	1,062	1,449	150.2	13	34.7%
POSSESSION	823	865	906	808	1,223	126.8	12	48.6%
SALE	87	55	70	150	139	14.4	26	59.8%
OTHER	166	90	99	104	87	9.0	15	-47,6%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	376	376	378	634	417	43.2	10	10.9%
POSSESSION	280	332	328	596	344	35.7	8	22.9%
SALE	96	44	50	38	73	7.6	21	-24.0%

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ( $\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$  -Sections 220 and 221).

		Numb	er of Arrest	s		1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	4,721	4,968	4,954	4,233	4,261	6.7 40	-9.7%
FELONY	177	244	249	288	339	0.5 33	91.5%
MISDEMEANOR	4,544	4,724	4,705	3,945	3,922	6.2 41	-13.7%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	1,516 100.0%	1,314 100.0%	1,358 100.0%	1,501 100.0%	1,398 100.0%	-7.8%		
VIOLENT	658 43.4%	504 38.4%	502 37.0%	473 31.5%	435 31.1%	-33.9%		
OTHER	858 56.6%	810 61.6%	856 63.0%	1,028 68.5%	963 68.9%	· 12.2%		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987<sup>1</sup>
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

-		Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	738	12.2%	
	VIOLENT '	243	7.0%	
	OTHER	495	14.8%	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1986 <sup>2</sup> 1982 1983 1984 1985 82 vs 86 DISPOSITIONS 17,058 16,722 16,558 17,720 17,945 5.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% CONVICTIONS 10,191 10,739 10,737 10,704 5.0% 11,280 59.7% 64.2% 64.8% 63.7% 59.6% **ACQUITTALS** 212 126 161 147 55.6% 196 0.7% 1.0% 0.9% 1.2% 1.1% 5,765 DISMISSALS 6,694 5,619 6,132 6,949 3.8% 39.2% 34.5% 33.9% 34.6% 38.7% NOT PROSECUTED 34 29 75 45 120.6% 0.2% 0.3% 0.2% 0.3% 0.4% OTHER 13 12 .26 43 21 61.5% 0.1% 0.1% 0.2% 0.2% 0.1%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986 <sup>2</sup>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
DISPOSITIONS	4.375 100.0%	4,388 100.0%	4,188 100.0%	4,600 100.0%	4,852 100.0%	10.9%	
CONVICTIONS	2,488 56.9%	2,687 61.2%	2,494 59.6%	2,738 59.5%	2,780 57.3%	11.7%	
ACQUITTALS	62 1.4%	79 1.8%	56 1.3%	89 1.9%	96 2.0%	54.8%	
DISMISSALS	1.790 40.9%	1,575 35.9%	1,610 38.4%	1,707 37.1%	1,888 38.9%	5.5%	
NOT PROSECUTED	30 0.7%	41 0.9%	19 0.5%	44 1.0%	74 1.5%	146.7%	
OTHER	5 0.1%	6 0.1%	9 0.2%	22 0.5%	14 0.3%	180.0%	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 
(Adults Only)

	4000		Misdemeanor Art		?	% Chang
	1982	1983_	1984	<u>1985</u>	<u> 1986</u> <sup>2</sup>	82 vs 8
CONVICTIONS	10,191 100.0%	10,739 100.0%	10,737 100.0%	11,280 100.0%	10,704 100.0%	5.0%
FELONY	590 5.8%	839 7.8%	776 7.2%	887 7.9%	813 7.6%	· 37.8%
VIOLENT	216 2.1%	271 2.5%	253 2.4%	246 2.2%	242 2.3%	12.0%
OTHER	374 3.7%	568 5.3%	523 4.9%	641 5.7%	571 5.3%	52.7%
MISDEMEANOR	3,417 33.5%	3,969 37.0%	3,757 35.0%	3,930 34.8%	3,496 32.7%	2.3%
LESSER OFFENSES	6,184 60.7%	5,931 55.2%	6,204 57.8%	6,463 57.3%	6,395 59.7%	3.4%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS

RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
CONVICTIONS	2,488 100.0%	2,687 100.0%	2,494 100.0%	2,738 100.0%	2,780 100.0%	11.7%			
FELONY	551 22.1%	789 29.4%	732 29.4%	820 29.9%	762 27 . 4%	38.3%			
VIOLENT	216 8.7%	271 10.1%	253 10.1%	246 9.0%	242 8.7%	12.0%			
OTHER	335 13.5%	518 19.3%	479 19.2%	574 21.0%	520 18.7%	55.2%			
MISDEMEANOR	1,467 59.0%	1,540 57.3%	1,410 56.5%	1,527 55.8%	1,508 54.2%	2.8%			
LESSER OFFENSES	470 18.9%	358 13.3%	352 14.1%	391 14.3%	510 18.3%	8.5%			

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	2.3	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.1					
LOCAL JAIL	17.4	16.7	18.8	18.3	17.5					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.0	3.2	3.2	2.6	3.3					
PROBATION	12.0	13.1	11.7	13.5	13.5					
FINES	46.1	43.0	43.0	40.1	40.7					
OTHER	19.1	20.9	20.3	22.3	21.9					

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

1		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
	19 Felony	982 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	19	983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
STATE PRISON	40.3	NA	38.7	NA	39.9	NA	39.6	NA	41.3	, NA	
LOCAL JAIL	22.7	17.0	19.9	16.4	16.1	19.0	14.0	18.7	13.3	17.9	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	8.5	2.7	13.7	2.4	12.5	2.5	10.4	2.0	17.3	2.1	
PROBATION	27.3	11.1	26.1	12.0	27.3	10.5	34.4	11.7	27.1	12.4	
FINES	0.2	49, 0	0.8	46.6	3.1	46.1	0.9	43.4	0.5	44.0	
OTHER	1.0	20.2	0.7	22.6	1.0	21.8	0.8	24.1	0.5	23.6	

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	ber of Case	S		Per 100,0	1986 Cases Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate R	ank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED <sup>1</sup>	5,086	4,849	5,153	5,350	5,649	585.6	42	11.1%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	6,404	6,501	6,244	5,640	5,113	530.0	52	-20.2%
FAMILY COURT	1,300	984	1,049	1,076	771	79.9	42	· -40.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	5,104	5,517	5,195	4,564	4,342	450.1	46	-14.9%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	196	210	. <b>0</b>	0	0	0.0	51	-100.0%
FAMILY COURT	196	210	0	0	0	0.0	44	-100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	2,689	2,858	2,634	2,681	2,688	278.6	25	0.0%
FAMILY COURT	541	414	364	430	495	51.3	29	-8.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	2,148	2,444	2,270	2,251	2,193	227.3	26	2.1%
UNDER PROBATION <sup>2</sup> SUPERVISION	5,750	6,489	6,774	6,513	6,977	723.2	31	21.3%
FAMILY COURT	954	915	843	787	970	100.5	28	1.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,796	5,574	5,931	5,726	6,007	622.7	26	25.3%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	3,632	4,140	3,867	4,304	4,203	435.7	55	15.7%
FAMILY COURT	502	479	392	490	509	52.8	25	1.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	3,130	3,661	3,475	3,814	3,694	382.9	53	18.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	259	299	393	340	291	41.7	45	12.4%
FAMILY COURT	88	86	78	69	129	133.0	5	46.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	171	213	315	271	162	27.0	53	-5.3%
TECHNICAL	111	139	225	191	127	21.1	40	14.4%
NEW CONVICTION	60	74	90	80	35	5.8	56	-41.7%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Number

48

40

36

Percent

100.0%

90.0%

NA

Table 8A

	ALTERNATIVE TO	INCARCERATIO	N PROGRA	MS: DEMONS	TRATION PROJEC	TS	
		FY 1983-84	<u>FY 1</u>	984-85	FY 1985-86	FY 1986-87	
	CLIENTS SERVED	6		60	110	240	
	SOURCE: NYS Division	of Probation	and Cor	rectional	Alternatives.		
	ALTERNATIVE TO INCAR	CERATION PROC	RAMS: CL	ASSIFICATI	ON/ALTERNATIVE	S BILL	
	Table 8B				Table	: 8C	•
PRETRIAL	RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986				OMMUNITY SERVI	CE PROGRAM: 19	86
	Number	Percent				Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	7,568	NA		CASES SEN	ITENCED	94	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	5,442	100.0%		CASES DIS	CHARGED	52	100.0%
RELEASED <sup>1</sup>	1,498	27.5%		SUCCESS	FUL OUTCOME	41	78.8%
Program interve directly respon detained defend	ntion contributed to o sible for the release ants.	r was of these					
	Table 8D				Table	: 8E	
DEFENDER-BA	SED ADVOCACY PROGRAM:	1986			SPECIALIZED AL	TERNATIVES: 19	86

CLIENTS SERVED

**ACCEPTED** 

RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

There were no agencies in the

county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	er of Admis	ssions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	12,231	11,085	11,604	11,369	11,367	1,178.3	35	-7.1%
UNSENTENCED	10,502	9,302	9,932	9,779	9,778	1,013.6	22	-6.9%
SENTENCED	1,729	1,783	1,672	1,590	1,589	164.7	51	-8.1%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	986	1,030	980	980	703	72.9 32	-28.7%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	638	720	692	636	640	66.3 35	0.3%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	700	655	53,7	519	572	59.3 37	-18.3%
UNSENTENCED	434	423	369	363	387	40.1 24	-10.8%
SENTENCED	266	232	168	156	185	19.2 45	-30.5%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table 10A} \mbox{New court commitments to state $\operatorname{prison}^1$}$ 

			lumber of irt Commitm	ents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	238	307	338	313	307	31.8 31	29.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	133	172	180	154	154	16.0 26	15.8%
HOMICIDE	16	47	24	19	14	1.5 32	-12.5%
SEX OFFENSE	17	20	25	26	31	3.2 23	82.4%
ROBBERY	55	62	55	32	33	3.4 23	-40.0%
BURGLARY	22	35	50	57	46	4.8 25	109.1%
WEAPONS	7	7	5	6	10	1.0 17	42.9%
OTHER	16	21	21	14	20	2.1 21	25.0%
DRUG FELONIES <sup>4</sup>	9	8	29	18	41	4.3 24	355.6%
POSSESSION	5	5	18	12	20	2.1 19	300.0%
SALE	4 "	3	11	6	21	2.2 28	425.0%
OTHER FELONIES	91	119	124	133	106	11.0 39	16.5%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5	8	, 5	8	6	0.6 25	20.0%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state Prison on December $31^{1}$}$ 

		umber of In	Under on 12/ Per 10	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	774	825	922	928	961	99.6	21	24.2%
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	503	561	633	639	668	69.2	16	32.8%
HOMICIDE	173	188	198	202	211	21.9	12	22.0%
SEX OFFENSE	56	64	80	91	110	11.4	23	96.4%
ROBBERY	156	172	178	153	148	15.3	14	-5.1%
BURGLARY	45	56	94	122	129	13.4	18	186.7%
WEAPONS	18	19	18	12	14	1.5	23	-22.2%
OTHER	55	62	65	59	56	5.8	20	1.8%
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	23	30	45	38	69	7.2	26	200.0%
POSSESSION	15	20	28	26	35	3.6	20	133.3%
SALE	8	10	17	12	34	3.5	32	325.0%
OTHER FELONIES	235	221	229	241	216	22.4	2,7	-8.1%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	13	13	15	10	8	0.8	26	-38.5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

DEDOCAL OF THEATER	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	2.7%	2.7%	2.8%	2.7%	2.5%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	350	415	370	335	307	31.8 20	-12.3%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	888	998	1,039	977	914	94.7 19	2.9%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	583	669	642	607	637	66.0 20	9.3%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Parol	ees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	RateRank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	94	93	110	114	90	9.3 22	-4.3%
RULE VIOLATIONS	27	18	9	19	8	0.8 32	-70.4%
NEW ARRESTS	57	64	77	79	55	5.7 13	-3.5%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	10	11	24	16	27	2.8 23	170.0%

 $<sup>^{\</sup>scriptsize 1}$  The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS<sup>1</sup>

	Number of Cases							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY ARRESTS	19	17	22	30	13	-31.6%		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	7	7	. 2	7	3	-57.1%		
DISPOSITIONS	8	10	5	23	13	62.5%		
CONVICTIONS	0	0	1	2	4	NA		
PRISON	0	0	0	, <b>1</b> .	3	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	. 1 1 ·	NA		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA		
PROBATION	0	0.	1	1	0	NA		
OTHER	0	0	. 0	0	0	NA		
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>	NA		
DISMISSALS	4 .	9	4	14	5	25.0%		
NOT PROSECUTED	1	1	0	0	0	-100.0%		
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	4	2	0.0%		
OTHER	1	0	. 0	2	1 .	0.0%		

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <a href="New York State Penal Law">New York State Penal Law</a>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY<sup>1</sup>
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	308.5	373.7	350.2	688.2	123.1%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	142.0	151.8	255.8	172.2	21.3%	311.1	357.7
Division of Criminal Justice Services	2,511.0	3,480.2	4,671.8	4,633.6	84.5%	4,326.2	4,089.1
Division of Parole	55.0	79.2	179.4	183.4	233.5%	235.3	235.3
Division of Probation <sup>2</sup> and Correctional Alternatives	1,447.5	1,564.1	1,641.2	1,978.5	36.7%	2,235.6	2,578.0
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	4,837.8	4,824.1	4,707.7	16,021.2	231.2%	9,380.2	9,082.5
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries <sup>4</sup>	•		10.0	26.8	NA	26.8	
TOTAL	9,301.8	10,473.2	11,816.1	23,704.0	154.8%	16,515.2	16,342.7

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathbf{4}}$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>							
Felons	276.7	334.3	276.6	338.1	22.2%		
Parole Violators	26.4	35.5	68.6	249.6	847.0%		
State Readies <sup>2</sup>	, · · · · <del></del>		· •••	95.5	NA		
Community Treatment Programs <sup>3</sup>	•••	0.0	0.6		NA		
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	5.5	4.0	4.3	5.1	-7.2%		***
TOTAL	308.5	373.7	350.2	688.2	123.1%	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^{</sup>m l}$  Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	1		Appropi	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY: 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	82.1	89.1	124.1	89.3	8.9%	311.1	222.0
Court Related	59.9	62.7	131.7	82,.9	38.3%	0.0	135.7
TOTAL	142.0	151.8	255.8	172.2	21.3%	311.1	357.7

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		:	Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture <sup>1</sup> Initiative			15.8	39.8	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Crime Prevention <sup>2</sup> and Protection		56.5	90.0	87.8	. NA	129.7	156.9
Indigent Parolee Program <sup>3</sup>	8.9	5.7	12.7	7.4	-17.3%		<u></u>
Justice Assistance Act <sup>4</sup>			· · · · · · · ·	, <b></b>	NA	202.3	144.6
Juvenile Justice <sup>5</sup> and Delinquency Prevention	45.0	45.0	73.7	33.7	-25.0%	74.4	79.8
Major Offense Police Program	720.0	763.2	816.6	849.3	18.0%	866.3	918.3
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>6</sup>		500.0	1,055.0	1,055.0	NA	542.0	0.0
Narcotics Control <sup>7</sup>	mi m.	<del></del>			NA		155.1
Neighborhood <sup>8</sup> Preservation Crime Prevention Act		120.0	192.8	165.4	NA		
Offender Aid and Restoration Program					NA	<del></del>	50.0
Soft Body Armour <sup>10</sup> Reimbursement Program		. · · · ·	56.8	0.3	NA		_
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>11</sup>			146.0	134.0	NA	139.4	140.6

Continued - Next Page

#### Table 4-Continued

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditure			<u> </u>		Appropriations	
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>12</sup>	1,737.2	1,989.8	2,212.4	2,261.0	30.2%	2,372.1	2,443.9
TOTAL	2,511.0	3,480.2	4,671.8	4,633.6	84.5%	4,326.2	4,089.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

<sup>2</sup> No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>5</sup> Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>6</sup> No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>7</sup> This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

<sup>10</sup> In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures					Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Parole Resource Centers <sup>1</sup>	55.0	79.2	65.4	61.7	12.2%	105.1	105.1	
Parole Transitional <sup>2</sup> Facilities	- 1 <u></u> 	. 0.0	114.0	121.7	· NA	130.2	130.2	
TOTAL	55.0	79.2	179.4	183.4	233.5%	235.3	235.3	

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$  This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification <sup>1</sup> Alternatives		· ·		107.7	NA	180.5	189.5
Demonstration Projects	84.3	79.1	103.5	286.4	239.9%	365.8	380.3
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	232.7	246.9	273.4	339.6	45.9%	375.7	441.5
PINS Program <sup>2</sup>		<del></del>		sale mas	NA	·,	70.8
Regular State Aid	1,130.5	1,238.1	1,264.3	1,244.7	10.1%	1,313.7	1,495.9
TOTAL	1,447.5	1,564.1	1,641.2	1,978.5	36.7%	2,235.6	2,578.0

 $<sup>^{1}% \</sup>left( 1\right) =0$  This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 <sup>1</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community-Based <sup>2</sup> Organizations	**	***	0.0	0.0	NA	145.0	145.0
Detention							
Non-Secure	380.3	, 0.0	0.0	1,960.2	415.5%	677.1	790.5
Secure	146.8	0.0	0.0	2,293.0	1,461.9%	690.7	559.2
Job Development <sup>3</sup>					NA	164.7	103.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	87.3	100.4	122.3	137.4	57.3%	138.8	138.1
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	1,918.0	2,505.8	2,413.5	1,250.1	-34.8%	1,751.6	1,809.2
Special Legislative Contracts	114.0	173.5	169.3	436.3	282.7%	856.8	830.5
Transitional Independent Living Support		<b>**</b> ***		0.0	NA	130.1	115.7
Voluntary Agency Care	99.0	0.0	0.0	4,718.2	4,665.3%	2,152.7	1,885.6
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	2,092.3	2,044.4	2,002.6	5,226.0	149.8%	2,672.8	2,705.7
TOTAL	4,837.8	4,824.1	4,707.7	16,021.2	231.2%	9,380.2	9,082.5

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	1,731	1,127	
DFY Facilities	192	270	
TOTAL	1,923	1,397	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

•		Expenditures					Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	1,999.4	13,727.6	20,981.5	24,047.3	1,102.7%	32,116.8	37,925.4	
DFY Facilities	4,771.3	6,177.3	7,035.3	7,121.6	49.3%	7,417.1	8,108.5	
TOTAL	6,770.7	19,904.9	28,016.8	31,168.8	360.3%	39,533.9	46,033.9	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations	
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	21.064.1	11,489.9	23,360.2	55,914.1	8,547.4	536.0
DFY Facilities	120.4	408.5	518.0	1,046.9	542.0	345.0
TOTAL	21,184.5	11,898.4	23,878.2	56,961.1	9,089.4	881.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

					Staff	
<u>Facility</u>		Security Level	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	Security	Civilian
Collins		MEDIUM	995	559	373	186
Wende		MAXIMUM	736	568	400	168
TOTAL			1,731	1,127	773	354

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Excenditures		Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Collins	1,999.4	9,380.1	14,928.9	16,718.8	736.2%	18,288.5	19,310.0	
Wende <sup>1</sup>	0.0	4,347.5	6,052.6	7,328.4	NA	13,828.3	18,615.5	
TOTAL	1,999.4	13,727.6	20,981.5	24.047.3	1,102.7%	32,116.8	37,925.4	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The facility opened in October of 1983.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	ures		Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Collins	1,064.1	163.3	4,790.3	6,017.7	1,147.4	435.0	
Wende <sup>1</sup>	20,000.0	11,326.5	18,569.9	49,896.4	7,400.0	101.0	
TOTAL	21,064.1	11,489.9	23,360.2	55,914.1	8,547.4	536.0	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The facility opened in October of 1983.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>	Security <sub>1</sub> Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
Buffalo Community Urban Home	<b>VI</b>	21	26	
Buffalo Youth Development Center	<b>V</b>	50	56	
Elmwood Urban Center	νī	21	23	
Masten Park Secure Center	.1	100	165	
TOTAL		192	270	

<sup>1</sup> Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Buffalo Community Urban Home	391.4	456.8	396.8	393.1	0.4%	453.9	484.8
Buffalo Youth Development Center	1,011.1	1,282.6	1,548.6	1,416.5	40.1%	1,301.3	1,448.4
Elmwood Urban Center	443.0	437.5	494.4	519.9	17.4%	559.1	612.4
Masten Park Secure Center	2,925.8	4,000.4	4,595.5	4,792.1	63.8%	5,102.8	5,562.9
TOTAL	4,771.3	6,177.3	7,035.3	7,121.6	49.3%	7,417.1	8,108.5

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures		Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Buffalo Community Urban Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.0	0.0	
Buffalo Youth Development Center	5.0	0.0	7.8	12.8	149.0	10.0	
Elmwood Urban Center	25.4	15.4	17.5	58.3	5.0	0.0	
Masten Park Secure Center	90.0	393.1	492.8	975.9	294.0	335.0	
TOTAL	120.4	408.5	518.0	1,046.9	542.0	345.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth



## REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020 New York State
Division of Parole
Room 502, 65 Court Street
Buffalo, New York 14202

### COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Lockport, New York 14094

5526 Niagara Street Extension Lockport, New York 14094

Sheriff's Department

Probation Department Room 310, Civic Building 775 Third Street Niagara Falls, New York 14302 Youth Bureau 5465 Upper Mountain Road Lockport, New York 14094

Public Defender's Office Court House Lockport, New York 14094

County Court Court House Lockport, New York 14094

Family Court Court House Lockport, New York 14094

### MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES\*

City of Niagara Falls Police
Department
Public Safety Building
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

City of North Tonawanda Police Department City Hall, 216 Payne Avenue North Tonawanda, New York 14120

<sup>\*</sup>Population 25,000 and above.

Region BUFFALO

County Seat LOCKPORT

Square Miles 526

Population Percent Change 1970-1980 -3.5%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	227,354	17	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	432.2	15	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	109,638 117,716	NA NA	48.2% 51.8%	40 23
Race: White Non-White Unknown	212,873 13,843 638	NA NA NA	93.6% 6.1% 0.3%	44 17 38
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,816	NA	0.8%	34
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	31,648 23,059 13,065 24,876 134,706	NA NA NA NA	13.9% 10.1% 5.7% 10.9% 59.2%	34 17 47 18 27

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	134,706	100.0%	27
8th Grade or Less	21,859	16.2%	35
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	24,415 54,808	18.1% 40.7%	19 6
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	18,212 15,476	13.5% 11.5%	48 47

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3
POPULATION 1982-1986

	<del></del>	POPUL	ATTON 1982-198	<u> </u>		 D1.
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	221,900	220,300	219,500	216,493	216,900	17
Density Per Square Mile	421.9	418.8	417.3	411.6	412.4	15

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		Incon	
	1982	1983	1984	Rank 1984	
Per Capita Income	10,667	11,087	12,041	20	

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC SIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

				School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	682 4.9%	555 4.3%	536 4.4%	510 4.2%	499 4.4%	22

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-1	986		·
		1984	1985_	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	9,520 10.1%	9.246 9.8%	8,326 8,9%	10

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986										
	1982 1983	1984 1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986						
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance Number Percent	13,143 16,305 5.9% 7.4%	17,511 16,556 8.0% 7.6%		6						

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	***		Number of Cr	imes		1986 C Per 10 Popula	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	10,734	10,479	9,908	9,692	9,178	4,172.8	12	-14.5%
VIOLENT	775	698	814	803	763	346.9	14	-1.5%
MURDER	4	. 8	4	3	11	5.0	11	175.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	57	37	56	52	80	36.4	4	40.4%
ROBBERY	315	266	278	215	234	106.4	14	-25.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	399	387	476	533	438	199.1	23	9.8%
PROPERTY	.9,959	9,781	9,094	8,889	8,415	3,825.9	12	-15.5%
BURGLARY	2,785	2,823	2.293	2,520	2,342	1,064.8	13	-15.9%
LARCENY	6,499	6,463	6,223	5,834	5,618	2,554.3	11	-13.6%
MV THEFT	675	495	578	535	455	206.9	11	-32.6%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres	ts	777774	1986 Arre Per 100,0 Populat	000	<b>* 2</b>
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> F	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	3,504	3,637	3,702	4,019	4,346	2,003.7	26	24.0%
FELONY	923	885	890	920	1,006	463.8	23	9.0%
VIOLENT	348	320	295	331	356	164.1	14	2.3%
OTHER	575	565	595	589	650	299.7	32	13.0%
MISDEMEANOR	2,581	2,752	2,812	3,099	3,340	1,539.9	30	29.4%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

:			 4
	<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
ARRESTS	2,046	-2.0%	
FELONY <sup>2</sup>	460	-7.6%	
VIOLENT	154	-20.2%	
OTHER	306	0.3%	
MISDEMEANOR	1,586	-0.2%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES (Adults Only)

		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	6	8	8	6	4	1.8	32	-33.3%
FORCIBLE RAPE	19	6	8	11	23	10.6	13	21.1%
ROBBERY	87	62	58	58	55	25.4	17	-36.8%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	128	97	110	137	143	65.9	16	11.7%
BURGLARY	251	260	211	237	225	103.7	17	-10.4%
LARCENY <sup>2</sup>	647	738	777	772	849	391.4	23	31.2%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests							1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
		1982	1983	19	984	1985	1986		<u>Rate Ra</u>	Rank	% Change <u>k 82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS		116	105		106	122	210		96.8	26	81.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)		90	81		65	91	175		80.7	23	94.4%
POSSESSION		64	69		52 .	61	97		44.7	28	51.6%
SALE		9	6		7	18	65		30.0	9	622.2%
OTHER		17	, 6,		6	12	13		6.0	20	-23.5%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)		26	24		41	31	35		16.1	44	34.6%
POSSESSION		21	23		31	19	26		12.0	38	23.8%
SALE		5	1		10	12	, <b>9</b>		4.1	41	80.0%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES (Adults Only)

			Number of Arr	ests		Pe L	86 Arrests er 1,000 icensed Drivers	
	198	2 1983	1984	1985	1986	_ Rai	te Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	8	35 96	8 1,003	1,239	1,211	8.0	0 35	45.0%
FELONY		42 5	6 32	33	30	0.3	2 51	-28.6%
MISDEMEANOR	7	93 91	2 971	1,206	1,181	7.8	8 30	48.9%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offerse (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	:	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY INDICTMENTS	316 100.0%	361 100.0%	379 100.0%	346 100.0%	355 100.0%		12.3%
VIOLENT	96 30.4%	130 36.0%	95 25.1% .	98 28.3%	101 28.5%		5.2%
OTHER	220 69.6%	231 64.0%	284 74.9%	248 71.7%	254 71.5%		15.5%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987<sup>1</sup>
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	206	16.4%	
VIOLENT	53	-10.2%	
OTHER	153	29.7%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	3,285 100.0%	3,483 100.0%	3,146 100.0%	3,844 100.0%	3,691 100.0%	12.4%
CONVICTIONS	2,397 73.0%	2,577 74.0%	2,406 76.5%	3,020 78.6%	2,927 79.3%	22.1%
ACQUITTALS	18 0.5%	27 0.8%	17 0.5%	36 0.9%	20 0.5%	11.1%
DISMISSALS	855 26.0%	862 24.7%	709 22.5%	775 20.2%	737 20.0%	-13.8%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	7 0.2%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	NA
OTHER	15 0.5%	10 0.3%	13 0.4%	13 0.3%	7 0.2%	-53.3%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> <sup>2</sup>	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	843 100.0%	830 100.0%	748 100.0%	851 100.0%	815 100.0%	-3.3%
CONVICTIONS	656 77.8%	667 80.4%	608 81.3%	681 80.0%	650 79.8%	-0.9%
ACQUITTALS	8 0.9%	12 1.4%	8 1.1%	10 1.2%	7 0.9%	-12.5%
DISMISSALS	172 20.4%	146 17.6%	131 17.5%	156 18.3%	154 18.9%	-10.5%
NOT PROSECUTED	0.0%	1 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	7 0.8%	4 0.5%	0.1%	4 0.5%	4 0.5%	-42.9%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	·		esulting from <u>Misdemeanor Ar</u>	rests		
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	2,397 100.0%	2,577 100.0%	2,406 100.0%	3,020 100.0%	2,927 100.0%	22.1%
FELONY	140 5.8%	182 7.1%	139 5.8%	169 5.6%	135 4.6%	-3.6%
VIOLENT	33 1.4%	56 2.2%	32 1.3%	39 1.3%	33 1.1%	0.0%
OTHER	107 4.5%	126 4.9%	107 4.4%	130 4.3%	102 3.5%	-4.7%
MISDEMEANOR	985 41.1%	1.030 40.0%	851 35.4%	1,100 36.4%	983 33.6%	-0.2%
LESSER OFFENSES	1,272 53.1%	1,365 53.0%	1,416 58.9%	1,751 58.0%	1,809 61.8%	42.2%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_2	% Change 82 vs 86				
CONVICTIONS	656 100.0%	667 100.0%	608 100.0%	681 100.0%	650 100.0%	-0.9%				
FELONY	134 20.4%	170 25.5%	136 22.4%	160 23.5%	130 20.0%	-3.0%				
VIOLENT	33 5.0%	56 8.4%	32 5.3%	39 5.7%	33 5.1%	0.0%				
OTHER	101 15.4%	114 17.1%	104 17.1%	121 17.8%	97 14.9%	-4.0%				
MISDEMEANOR	436 66.5%	399 59.8%	371 61.0%	438 64.3%	383 58.9%	-12.2%				
LESSER OFFENSES	86 13.1%	98 14.7%	101 16.6%	83 12.2%	137 21.1%	59.3%				

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 6A
SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS<sup>1</sup>
(Adults Only)

		Sentences	as a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	3.2	3.1	2.4	2.2	2.2
LOCAL JAIL	20.3	20.5	18.3	22.0	20.2
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.4	4.2	2.3	2.8	2.4
PROBATION	10.1	9.2	11.1	10.9	10.7
FINES	48.9	48.1	49.9	48.8	50.1
OTHER	15.2	14.9	16.0	13.3	14.5

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

		Sentences	as a Percent of Co	onvictions	
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	1983 Misd & Felony Lesser	1984 Misd & Felony Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%
STATE PRISON	54.3 NA	44.5 NA	41.0 NA	38.5 NA	47.4 NA
LOCAL JAIL	20.0 20.3	28.0 19.9	15.8 18.5	24.3 21.9	17.0 20.3
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.6 2.3	6.0 4.1	4.3 2.2	3.6 2.7	5.2 2.3
PROBATION	19.3 9.5	20.3 8.4	36.7 9.5	33.1 9.6	27.4 9.9
FINES	0.7 51.9	0.0 51.7	0.0 53.0	0.0 51.7	1.5 52.4
OTHER	2.1 16.0	1.1 15.9	2.2 16.9	0.6 14.0	1.5 15.1

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Ne	umber of Cas	es		1986 Case Per 100,0 Populatio	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	-	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED <sup>1</sup>	1,545	1,765	1,790	2,083	1,571	724.3	38	1.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	1,181	1,232	1,287	1,445	1,337	616.4	44	13.2%
FAMILY COURT	285	276	343	319	296	136.5	31	3.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	895	956	944	1,126	1,041	479.9	39	16.3%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	. 0	0.0	<b>E1</b>	. NA
CASES RECEIVED		0		0		0.0	51	NA NA
FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	44	NA .
CRIMINAL COURT	- 0	0	, <b>0</b> .	0	0	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	489	547	524	603	599	276.2	27	22.5%
FAMILY COURT	100	91	82	84	86	39.6	41	-14.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	389	456	442	519	513	236.5	21	31.9%
UNDER PROBATION <sup>2</sup> SUPERVISION	1,190	1,270	1,346	1,417	1,570	723.8	35	31.9%
FAMILY COURT	178	164	138	135	151	69.6	45	-15.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,012	1,106	1,208	1,282	1,419	654.2	21	40.2%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	723	822	814	971	997	459.7	50	37.9%
FAMILY COURT	73	56	51	65	54	24.9	51	-26.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	650	766	763	906	943	434.8	50	45.1%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	***	Number of Revocations				1986 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Rate_	Rank		% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	56	59	63	65	85	54.1	32		51.8%
FAMILY COURT	10	10	18	17	19	125.8	7		90.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	46	49	45	48	66	46.5	38		43.5%
TECHNICAL	19	19	24	20	25	17.6	48		. 31.6%
NEW CONVICTION	27	30	21	28	41	28.9	20		51.9%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	2,558	2,471	2,356	2,649	2,463	1,135.5 36	-3.7%
UNSENTENCED	1,880	1,814	1,826	1,994	1,881	867.2 29	0.1%
SENTENCED	678	657	530	655	582	. 268.3 37	-14.2%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

							1986 In Per 10 Popula	0,000	e/ Ch
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986		Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	174	210	212	212	212		97.7	15	21.8%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	149	144	149	183	189		87.1	17	26.8%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	125	119	135	151	164	•	75.6	24	31.2%
UNSENTENCED	77	80	88	76	100		46.1	20	29.9%
SENTENCED	48	39	47	75	64		29.5	23	33.3%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$ 

			Yumber of urt Commitm	nents		1986 New Co Committe Per 100 Populat	ourt ments 0,000	•
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	85	74	65	68	72	33.2	28	-15.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	27	32	29	25	- 26	12.0	32	-3.7%
HOMICIDE	6	2	3	4	2	0.9	37	-66.7%
SEX OFFENSE	4.	9	4	2	2	0.9	48	-50.0%
ROBBERY	8	10	10	8	10	4.6	18	25.0%
BURGLARY	5	8	6	7	9	4.1	28	80.0%
WEAPONS	1	0	4	1	. 0	0.0	25	-100.0%
OTHER	, 3	· 3	2	, <b>3</b> ·	3	1.4	30	0.0%
DRUG FELONIES <sup>4</sup>	1	5	2	6	6	2.8	32	500.0%
POSSESSION	0	2	2	0	3	1.4	26	NA
SALE	1	3	. 0	6	3	1.4	34	200.0%
OTHER FELONIES	54	35	34	36	39	18.0	20	-27.8%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	· 3	2	0	1	1.	0.5	30	-66.7%

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Preliminary data.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.5 $\tilde{\omega}$ ), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

		Inmates   Under Cust.   On 12/31/86							t. 86 00 n	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
UNDER CUSTODY	194	207	188	197	201		92.7	25	3.6%	
VIOLENT FELONIES <sup>2</sup>	85	104	103	111	118		54.4	23	38.8%	
HOMICIDE	35	35	32	35	40		18.4	17	14.3%	
SEX OFFENSE	16	23	21	21	15		6.9	37	-6.2%	
ROBBERY	20	23	22	22	29		13.4	18	45.0%	
BURGLARY	6	13	. 13	16	22		10.1	29	266.7%	
WEAPONS	1	1	5	6	3		1.4	25	200.0%	
OTHER	7	9	10	11	9		4.1	32	28.6%	
DRUG FELONIES <sup>3</sup>	5	9	9	12	11		5.1	30	120.0%	
POSSESSION	<b>3</b>	4	. 6	6.6	4		1.8	35	33.3%	
SALE	2	5	3	6	, 7		3.2	35	250.0%	
OTHER FELONIES	98	88	75	73	70		32.3	15	-28.6%	
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	6	6	- 1	1	2		0.9	24	-66.7%	

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$  Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

GEOCENT OF THUATEC	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Pa				198 Parol Per 10 Popula		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	105	99	104	102	99	45.6	12	-5.7%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	236	223	246	262	273	125.9	11	15.7%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	124	142	160	174	168	77.5	16	35.5%

The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Parolees Parolees						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED <sup>1</sup>	38	25	16	17	21	9.7 35	-44.7%
RULE VIOLATIONS	14	5	2	3	<b>3</b> · · · · ·	1.4 30	-78.6%
NEW ARRESTS	23	20	12	12	11	5.1 28	-52.2%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	0	<b>2</b>	2	7	3.2 28	600.0%

 $<sup>^{\</sup>scriptsize 1}$  The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS

ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS<sup>1</sup>

		Nur	nber of Cases			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	9 :	<b>4</b>	3	4	2	-77.8%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	11	2	0	2	0	-100.0%
DISPOSITIONS	5	5 · . · ·	3	1 .	3	-40.0%
CONVICTIONS	1	5	2	0	2	100.0%
PRISON	1	4	1	0	2	100.0%
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	. 0	0	. <b>0</b>	0		NA
PROBATION	0	1	1	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	. 0	O	Ò	.0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	. 0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	3	0	0	1	, , <b>1</b>	-66.7%
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	, NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	1	, o	0	NA
OTHER	1	0	. 0	0	0	-100.0%

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <a href="New York State Penal Law">New York State Penal Law</a>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY<sup>1</sup>
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	147.2	122.8	123.2	270.1	83.5%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	150.0	291.9	345.9	NA	313.1	341.7
Division of Probation <sup>2</sup> and Correctional Alternatives	272.1	288.3	298.7	313.0	15.0%	334.8	452.6
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	1,006.1	1,049.3	1,135.6	2,475.3	146.0%	1,674.2	1,692.5
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries <sup>4</sup>	: 		10.0	21.6	NA	26.6	
TOTAL	1,425.4	1,610.4	1,859.5	3,425.8	140.3%	2,348.7	2,486.8

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Board of Prisoners <sup>1</sup>								
Felons	125.6	107.9	95.6	195.6	55.7%			
Parole Violators	17.5	13.0	26.5	44.3	153.7%	<b></b>	.,	
State Readies <sup>2</sup>	••••··································			28.4	ľΑ			
Prisoner Transfer <sup>1</sup>	4.1	1.9	1.2	1.8	-57.2%			
TOTAL	147.2	122.8	123.2	270.1	83.5%	0.0	0.0	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

## Table 3

# CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture <sup>1</sup> Initiative	·		40.5	14.7	NA		
Crime Prevention <sup>2</sup> and Protection		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	75.0	45.0
Justice Assistance Act <sup>3</sup>	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	0.0	14.7
Mobile Radio District Program <sup>4</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0.0	76.5	NA ·	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control <sup>5</sup>				·	NA		29.6
Neighborhood <sup>6</sup> Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	30.1	1.6	NA.		•
Soft Body Armour <sup>7</sup> Reimbursement Program		:	0.0	0.7	NA		
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>8</sup>	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		50.2	75.0	NA NA	53.5	62.3

Continued - Next Page

#### Table 4-Continued

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Target Crime Initiative Program	0.0	150.0	171.1	177.4	NA .	184.6	190.2
TOTAL	0.0	150.0	291.9	345.9	NA	313.1	341.7

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

## Table 5

# DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		4	Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approp	Appropriations	
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. NA	19.6	82.4
PINS Program <sup>1</sup>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20.8
Regular State Aid	272.1	288.3	298.7	313.0	15.0%	315.2	349.4
TOTAL	272.1	288.3	298.7	313.0	15.0%	334.8	452.6

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 <sup>1</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	17.1	65.4	44.4	130.3	660.9%	75.9	84.3
Secure	7.2	9.7	1.5	4.3	-40.3%	2.0	2.7
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	44.5	56.8	61.2	60.6	36.2%	70.9	67.2
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	154.7	145.6	138.6	107.9	-30.2%	145.6	165.4
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	15.0	60.0	NA	108.2	102.3
Transitional Independent Living Support <sup>2</sup>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.0	NA NA	41.4	90.8
Voluntary Agency Care	251.0	255.7	367.5	728.4	190.2%	522.9	459.2
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	531.6	516.1	507.4	1,383.8	160.3%	707.3	720.7
TOTAL	1,006.1	1,049.3	1,135.6	2,475.3	146.0%	1,674.2	1,692.5

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities		-	
DFY Facilities	14	0	
TOTAL	14	. 0	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

	<u> </u>		Expenditure		Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities					0.0%		
DFY Facilities	137.5	144.6	147.2	155.7	13.3%	165.0	165.0
TOTAL	137.5	144.6	147.2	155.7	13.3%	165.0	165.0

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi		<del>,</del>	Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	-	,	<u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DFY Facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

#### TABLE 3A

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

Niagara Falls	
Contract Homes 2 VI 14	

<sup>1</sup> Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

## DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Niagara Falls Contract Homes	137.5	144.6	147.2	155.7	13.3%	165.0	165.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropr	iations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Niagara Falls Contract Homes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Contracted services.

# APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

#### **EXPLANATORY NOTES**

**ANALYSES** 

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

<u>Percent changes</u> are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the <u>percent change</u> is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calendar year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

Rates are ranked from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the rank calculations do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates. Also, dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all population-based rate calculations presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual NYS Crime and Justice publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed drivers in New York State that was used to calculate the <u>rate of DWI arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

#### SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

#### SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

- o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)
- o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
- o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
- o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- o New York State Statistical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

#### SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the <u>offense classification</u> for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

#### SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the State's fiscal year.

#### SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

<u>DOCS capacity</u> data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. <u>DFY capacity</u> data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

<u>DOCS staff</u> data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while <u>DFY staff</u> data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
. 1891. •				-		•
Table 1	INDEX CRINES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York State for a given year.	Victia-based: surder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-based: burglary Event-based: robbery larceny (excluding	Not applicable.	FBI Index offense def- initions spanning fetonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Unifera Crime Reportin System.
			gotor vehicle theft) Vehicle-based: motor vehicle theft			
•			The unit of count is based on the sost serious offense in a crime event.			
	•				1	
Table 2A Table 2B Table 2C	FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1987	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult s	Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests	NYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2D	ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for drugs (New York State Penal Law - Sections 220 and 221).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E	FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DNI OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a CMI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

able		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
				·		
able 3A	FELONY INDICTHENTS	Felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against	Adult B Juvenile Offender BE	Felony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	NYS Division of Criminal Just Services, Indictoent Statisti System.
			a defendant is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect			
			indictments/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
	FELONY INDICTMENTS: January through June, 1987					
						"
able 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARKESTS •	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1989.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition is counted. Counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions	Adult •	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdeseanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Just Jervices, Arrest and Process Trends File.
able 48	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. "Other" includes cases disposed by civil procedure, abated by the death of a defendant, etc.		(Not applicable for acquittal, dismissal, and *other* dispositions.)	
					•	
able 5A	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at	Adult *	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Nisdemeanor Offenses Losser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Jus Services, Arrest and Process Trends File.
able 58	FELDHY, MISDEHEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDHY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	•		

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

YAN	D1.43	Hait of Campb	011-1-1	0(/ 0-1	San A Danata
Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
Table 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and	Adult •	Convictions for: Felony Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing
Table 6B SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR HISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS	given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1959.	sentence is counted. Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence offense is counted. "Other" sentences		Hisdeneanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	Trends File.
		include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoked (without fine).			
		<u>-</u>			
Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTHENT ACTIVITY	Intake cases received during a given year.	Complaint-based; each complaint is counted as one case.	Fasily Court: Adult = Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested form Felony Offense Hisdemeanor Offense	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
	Investigations ordered during a given year.	Investigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need of Supervision (P1HS) Criminal Court:	tesser Offense - only Juvenile Deling Status Offense -	Family Court - Annual compilation of the "Monthly Report of Family Workload in Probation Departments
	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	only PINS	(Form No. OP-30).  Criminal Court - Annual compilation of the "Monthly Report of
	Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for: Felony Offense	Criminal Horkload in Probation Departments (Form No. DP-30A) for
	Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year ti.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Risdeaeanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling Status Offense - only PINS	investigation and pre-disposition data, and the Probation Registrant System for all other data.
	Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one			•
•					-

TABLE ! Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Papulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
				•	
Table BA ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS	Cases processed by "deaonstration" projects during a given State fiscal year.	Case-based; if more than one case was opened for the same client, each case is counted.	Adult # Juvenile Offenders **	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 8B FRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986 Table 8C COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986	Cases processed by "classification/ alternative bill" projects during 1986.			Lesser Offenses	
Table 8D OFFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986					

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
					·	
Table 9A	JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS	Admissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses.	Admission based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted more than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult =	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Hisdeseanor Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced admissions: Hisdemeanor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	NYS Commission of Eurrection, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Commission of Data from 1985 Sheriffs" Annual Reports" included the following cavent: " The Sheriffs" Annual Reports represent self-
Table 9B	JAIL & FENTTENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION \	A census of both detained and sentenced inaates in county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criainal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Population is inmate based for both the "Docember 31" and 'average daily' populations.			reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practice among these various counties The Commission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another."
•		Insates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and The daily average of insates under custody during a given year.				
		A census of beds in county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and peniten-	Capacity is based on heds; the count differs by region:	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
		tiary census was taken on a different day during a given year.	New York City Department of Correction counts reflect "beds at standard" (one insate per cell; 60 square feet per insate; and no sore than 50 in a dors). The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.			
			Hon-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cel)) and doraitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms.			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

<u> </u>		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
<u>Yable</u>	<del> </del>	ropulation	Unit of Count	urrender lype	urrense Lategory	Source & Resers
I <del></del>				1	T	T
fable 10A-1	ADMISSIONS TO NEW YORK STATE PRISON	Admissions to HYS prison during a given year.	Inmate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult # .	Felony Convictions	HYS Department of Correctiona Services.
Table 10A-2 Table 10A	NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON	New court cossitaents to NYS prison during a given year.	aost serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts as differ slightly from data presented in reports and
able 108	INHATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE FRISCH ON DECEMPER 31	A census of ineates under custody in 'NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				publications released by DOCS
able 10C	PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainses in HYS prison on December 31.	Detainee based.			-
-		I	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
able IIA	PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES	Inpates released to the NYS Division of Parole for supervision within the	Case based lone person per case).	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	Convictions for: Felony Offenses	NYS Division of Parale.
		State.			Misdemeanor Offenses	The increase in parole revoc
		Parole supervision cases supercrad during a given year li.e., parole cases received during a given year				resulting from new felony contions reflects legislative of and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process.
		plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).				primary factor was the passa legislation, incorporated in the Division's rules and reg
		Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				tions effective November 1, 1 authorizing the Parole Board issue a final declaration of linguency for releases convi
able 119	REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoted and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				of new feloxics committed un supervision and receiving ne indeterminate sentences. A
						declaration of delinquency s lines the violation process these cases by obviating the
						for a final revocation heari The streamlined process has resulted in an increased pro
						tion of returns for new convi tions.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section 111: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table !2	JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Violent felony arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event.	Juvenile Offender **	Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions for	MYS Division of Criainal Justice Services: Juvenile Offenders
		Violent felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information (SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted.		Violent Felony Offenses.	Arrests and Processing Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.
		Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from violent felony arrests events.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition. Counts are based on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted.			
			removed to family court, and "other." Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence disposition is counted.			

<sup>\*</sup> An adult is an offender who is 16 years of age or older at time of arrest.

<sup>44</sup> A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix 1); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of Section 125.25 of the Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

## APPENDIX B

DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

#### SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

				- I	Population		,		c High Dropouts		/ Average ployed	Honthly F Recei Public As	
COUNTY	Year	Popula Number	Rank		Per Squa Density	Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
ALDANY	1986 1985	293,400 283,846	12	•	541 542	14 14		3.8 3.4	37 45	4.2 4.2	56 56	2.9 2.9	44
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	50,500 50,902	48 47		49 49	54 54		2.6 3.4	58 42	8.1	20 20	9.2 9.2	i 1
BRONX	1986 1985	1,193,600 1,184,154	- 6 6		28,419 28,194	2 3		12.1 12.3	<b>2 2</b>	8.4 9.1	16 12	.0	58 58
BROOKE	1986 1985	210,800 212,443	18 18		296 298	- 19 19		4.0	33 30	5.9 5.9	42 42	 3.7 3.5	29 34
CATTARAUSUS	1966 1985	85,390 85,335	32 32		65 65	47 47		3.8	38 28	8.8 9.0	11	. 6.2 6.1	11 12
CAYUGA	1986 1985	79,900 79,857	35 35		115 115	32 32		5.0 4.6	12 23	7.7 7.8	28 24	5.5 5.8	14 15
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	143,100 144,230	23 23		134 136	28 28		3.9 4.1	34 34	8.0 7.6		7.6 7.0	5 7
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	90,500 91,992	29 28		220 224	21 21		5.3 4.5	11 27	8.3	36 17	8.0 8.7	3 2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	50,000 49,963	49 49		56 56	52 52		3.8 3.9	35 36	6.8 7.2		3.3 3.2	34 38
CLINTON	1986 1985	81,200 81,571	34 33		78 78	41		5.0 4.9		8.4 7.5		4.7 4.7	19 21
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	60,700 60,602	39 39		95 95	38 38		4.4 5.1	20 14	4.3 4.5		3.3 3.6	37 32

#### SFIFCIED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Danulakia		Population Per Squa				c High Dropouts		thly Average Ineaployed		Monthly A Recei Public As	iving ssistance
СОИНТУ	Year		on Rank	Density			Percent	Percent Rank	Per	Percent		Percent	Percent Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	47,400 47,392	50 50	9 <b>5</b> 95	39 39	· .	5.3	10 55		6.9 35 9.0 14		6.5 6.8	10 B
DELAWARE	1986i 1985	47,100 46,888	51 51	33	58 58		- 4.1 5.0	27 16		5.6 45 6.4 40		3.3 3.6	35 33
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	256,800 255,106	15 15	319 317	19 18		4.2 4.5	26 26		3.8 60 3.6 61		1.8 1.9	54 53
ERIE	1986 1985	964,700 971,386	7	922 929	11 11		3.4 3.3	44 49		7.2 31 7.3 32	•	8.2 8.2	3
ESSEX	1986 1985	36,300 36,364	56 56	20 20	04 04	•	3.2 5.0	48 15		9.9 & 9.6 B		5.4 6.0	16 13
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	43,800 43,793	52 52	27 27	59 59		2.9 3.5	55 41	•	0.7 5 9.5 9		6.8 7.2	8. 6
FULTON	1986 1985	54,600 55,411	45 45	110 111	22 22		5.9 5.0	7 17		1.4 4 3.1 L		4.7	20 25
GENESEE	1986 1985	58,800 59,053	41 41	119 119	31 31		3.4 3.3	<b>45</b> 50		8.6 13 7.9 22		2.8 2.8	47 46
GREENE	1986 1985	42,100 41,476	<b>53</b> 53	65 64	48 48		4.3 5.5	24 10		7.3 29 8.5 16		2.5 2.8	50 47
HAMILTON	1986 1985	5,000 4,985	62 62	<b>3</b>	- 62 62		3.2 2.8	47 59		2.4 1 1.9 3		2.8 3.2	45 37
HERKIHER	1986 1985	66,900 66,919	37 37	47 47	56 56		4.3 4.6	<b>25</b> 25		9.9 7 9.2 11		4.1 4.1	26 26

#### SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

### SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

	•	Popula	tìon		 Population Per Squa				c High Dropouts			Average loyed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Monthly i Recei Public As	iving
COUNTY	Year	Nuober	Rank	· .	Density	Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	90,600°	28 29		71 70	42 43		5.7 4.6	8 24		11.4 12.3	" 3 2		5.9 6.6	13
KINGS	1986 1985	2,293,200 2,283,395	1 1		32,740 32,620	2 2		8.8 11.8	3		8.6 9.7	12 7		.0	58 58
LENIS	1986 1985	25,300 25,165	59 59		20 20	61 61		2.2 3.0	61 57		9.5 9.3	9 10		4.6 4.4	22 24
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	58,600 58,328	42 42		93 92	40 40		2.2 3.0	60 58	•	7.1 5.7	32 44		3.0 2.8	42 45
HADISON	1986 1985	66,600 . 66,743	38 38		102 102	34 34		4.7 4.6	19 22		7.8 6.9	26 37		2.5 2.8	48 48
MONROE	1986 1985	702,600 704,876	9		1,063	10 10		4.0 4.7	29 21		5.Q - 4.3	51 55		7.0 6.7	7 9
MONTGOMERY	1986 1985	52,100 52,527	46 46		129 130	29 29		4.1	28 20		9.6 9.9	8 5		4.0 3.7	27 30
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,323,000 1,331,343	4	•*	4,610 4,639	6	•	2.1	62 61		4.0 4.2	58 57		1.3	56 56
NEW YORK	1986 1985	1,478,000 1,479,775	3		67,182 67,263	1		14.7 18.4	1		7.0 7.5	34 29		.0	58 58
NIASARA 1	1986 1985	216,900 216,493	17 17		412 412	15 15		4.4	22 32		8.9 9.8	10		7.4 7.6	6 5
ONEIDA	1986 1985	248,500 250,734	16 16		204 206	22 22		5.0 4.3	14 30		6.3 6.9	40 38		6.1 5.9	12 14

#### SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SDCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popul a			Population Per Squa			c High Dropauts		Average loyed		Honthly A Recei Public As	iving ssistance
COUNTY	Year	Nuber	Rank		Density	Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ABADHDNO	1986 1985	463,200 465,317	10		590 593	13 13	4.0	31 37	6.4 5.2	39 50	•	4.9 4.9	19 18
ONTAR10	1986 1985	92,200 92,386	27 27		143 143	27 27	3.5 3.0	42 56	6.7 7.0	38 36		2.8	46 49
ORANGE	1986 1985	281,700 277,563	13 13		341 336	17 17	4.9	16 11	5.1 5.3	50 48		4.5	2 <del>4</del> 20
ORLEANS	1986 1985	38,900 39,140	<b>55</b> 55		99 100	35 36	3.2 3.1	49 53	8.5 10.1	15 4		5.5 5.6	15 16
OSNE60	1986 1985	119,600 119,138	- 24 24	<del>-</del>	125 125	30 20	4.8 6.3	19 6	11.5 8.5	2 15		5.1 5.1	17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17.
OTSEGO	1986 1985	59,200 59,369	40 40		59 59	51 51	3.2 3.4	51 48	5.5 5.5	46 46		3.1 3.0	39 42
PUTNAM	1986 1985	81,600 80,895	33 34		353 350	16 - 16	3.0 1.4	54 62	3.2 3.3	62 62		· .4	57 57
QUEENS	1986 1985	1,923,300 1,933,044	2 2		17,808 17,899	4	7.1 8.1	4	6.3 7.0	41 35		.0	58 58
RENSSELAER	-1986 1985	151,700 151,352	21 21		232 231	20 20	4.3 4.3	23 29	5.1 5.2	49 49		3.8	30 29
RICHHOND	1986 1985	374,600 373,871	11 11		6,349 6,337	5 5	5.9 7.4	5	5.3 5.8	48 43		.0	58 58
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	265,900 265,230	14 14		1,519 1,516	8	2.8 2.6	56 60	4.1	57 58		2.5 2.7	49 50

			Popul a	*	- W	Population Per Squa				c High Dropouts		Monthly Unemp	Average loyed		ionthly A Recei Public As	
COUNTY		Year	Nueber	Rank		Density	Rank	· .	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	P	ercent	Percent Rank
ST LAWRENCE	<b>-</b>	1986 1985	112,800 113,411	25 25		41	57 57		3.3 3.5	46 39	*. * • •	8.5 8.2	14 18		7.7 7.6	4
SARATOGA		1986 1985	144,100 141,590	20 20		203 199	23 23		3.1 3.4	52 47		5,4 5,4	47 47		1.5	55 55
SCHENECTADY		1986 1985	150,200 150,585	22 22		729 731	12 12		3.7 4.1	40 35		4.8 4.5	52 54		3.5 3.9	32 27
SCHOHARIE		1984 1985	29,800 29,799	59 59		48 48	<b>55</b> 55		3.8 3.4	36 44		7.9 7.9	25 21		3.5 3.6	- 31 31
SCHUYLER		1986 1985	17,400 17,511	61 61		53 53	53 53		3.1 3.1	53 54		7.3 7.5	30 28		4.6 4.8	2i 19
SENECA		1985 1985	32,100 32,765	57 57		9B 100	37 35		3.6 3.8	41 38		8.3 7.9	18 23		2.3 2.2	51 52
STEUBEN		1986 1985	96,900 97,342	24 26		69 70	. 44 42		3.9 3.1	33 52		7.8 7.3	27 33		6.6	9 11
SUFFOLK		1986 1985	1,312,000 1,313,326	<b>5</b>		1,439 1,440	9		3.5 3.4	43 43		4.8 5.0	53 51		3.0 3.2	. 41 40
SULLIVAN	•	1986 1985	68,600 67,602	36 36		70 69	43 44		5.0 4.9	15 18		5.8 5.5	43 45		3.0 3.0	40 43
TIDGA		1986 1985	51,000 50,379	47 48		90 97	34 37		3.2 3.5	50 40		7.1 6.9	39 33		4.5 4.7	25 22
TOHPKINS		1986 1985	 87,600 88,150	31 30		184 185	24 24		3.7 6.0	39 8		3.5 3.7	61 59		3.8 3.9	28 28

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

				Pons	ation		Population Per Squa				Public School	Dropouts			Average loyed			Average# iving ssistance
	COUNTY		Year	Nuaber	Rank		Density	Rank			Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
	ULSTER		1986 1985	164,200 163,774	19 19		145 145	2& 25			6.1 5.9	5 . 9		4.3	54 52		3.0 3.2	43
	WARREN		1986 1985	55,500 55,640	44 44		43 43	49 50			4.8 5.2	17 13		8.1 7.6	21 27		1.9 2.4	53 51
	WASHINGTON	•	1986 1985	56,900 56,752	43 43		98 . 98	46			5.5 5.3	9 12		5.7 6.4	- 44 <sub>.</sub>		3.1 3.3	38 35
	HAYNE		1984 1985	88,000 87,551	30 31		145 145	25 28	-		4.4	21 7		B.1 7.8	22 25		3.4 3.3	33 36
•	NESTCHESTER		1986 1985	863,200 865,460	- 8 - 8		1,971 1,976	7 7			2.7 3.2	57 51		3.8 3.7	59 60		4.6 4.4	52 52
	NAOHINE		1986 1985	40,800 40,676	54 - 54		<b>49</b> 48	· 45			4.0 3.4	32 46		8.0 9.0	23 19		2.1 1.8	52 54
	YATES		1986 1985	21,200 21,486			63 63	50 49			2.3 4.2	59 31		8.2 7.5	19 31	•	3.3 3.2	36 39
,	NEW YORK STATE		1986 1985	17,772,100 17,782,754	NA NA		375 375	NA NA			5.8 6.5	NA Ha		6.3 6.5	NA NA		7.7 7.8	" HA" HA
	NEW YORK CITY		1986 1985	7,262,700 7,254,239	NA .		24,129 24,100	NA NA			10.1	NA NA		7.4 8.1	NA NA		12.6 12.8	NA NA

The southly average number of persons in public assistance programs is not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Department of Commerce, Department of Education, Department of Labor, Department of Social Services, and the US Bureau of Census.

NOTE: Percents presented in this Table have been rounded to the first decimal place, however, the rank scores for percents were calculated using numbers with four (4) decimal places.

		TOTAL						LENT INDEX	CRIMES			•				-		RTY INDEX CI			
		INDEX		Tota	l		der	Forcib	le Rape	Robb	ery -	Agg. As	sault	Tota	ıl	Burg	lary	Larco		T VK	heft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rai		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank .	Rate	Raņk	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1988 1985		10 11	344.6 309.4	15 18	3.8 1.7		31. 21.		103.1 92.5	15 14	205.B 194.2	21 25	4,196.1 4,221.2	10 10	1,193.8 1,177.2		2,828.3 2,865.9	8	174.0 178.1	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	•	5 <b>6</b> 58	139.6	43 48	3.5 3.5		3. 5.		7.8		124.1 105.1	38 43	1,921.3	55 57	721.2 . 624.9		1,153.6 1,312.1	58 54	46.5 25.3	
BRONX	1986 1985	8,131.2 7,505.3	2 3	2,319.9 2,150.2	2 2	34.3 28.6		53. 49.		1,235.9 1,184.0		996.2 675.5	1	5,811.3 5,349.1	2 5	1,800.5 1,796.7	2 2	2,924.3 2,552.2	6 11	1,086.5 999.3	
BROOKE	1984 1985		29 31	76.7 62.6	54 60	2.J		-15. 14.		17.3 12.1	31 43	41.1 35.0	60 60	2,859.9 2,921.9	26 26	463.2 519.0	-	2,307.9 2,302.5	18 17	88.8 100.4	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985		35 14	132.2 120.5	47 47	2.3		7. 2.		16.2 10.4	35 49	106.7 107.8	45 42	2,426.4 2,425.5	34 39	566.9 632.7	51 45	1,750.5 1,705.9	31 37	109.0 86.9	
CAYUGA	1986 1985		19 55	144.1 196.2	41 32	1.2		- 12. 16.		16.2 16.1		114.3 161.5	<b>42</b> 26	2,168.2 1,973.5	45 55	488.3 493.1	56 59	1,597.9 1,394.8	→ 38 51	82.0 85.7	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	3,306.5 3,314.4	20 24	151.5 132.3	40 42	1.4		iB. 10.		21.2 25.2		110.5 94.8	43 46	3,155.0 3,182.2	20 22	704.8 727.4		2,359.4 2,339.4	16 14	90.7 115.2	
CHENUNG.	1986 1985	•	15 13	207.1 186.7	34 34,	4.3 2.1		19. 19.		33.1 28.8		150.5 136.5	34 33	3,715.3 3,858.1	13. 13	787.9 837.3	24 21	2,822.B 2,937.6	10 5	104.6 03.2	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	•	51 55	133.0 163.8	46 36	2.0 2.2		11. 36.		.0 10.8		119.1 114.3	39 39	2,088.8 2,636.5	51 35	595.7 821.3	49 25	1,423.6 1,741.8	47 34	69.5 73.3	
CLINTON	1986 1985	•	5 <b>9</b> 58	308.3 374.8	19 11	3.7 1.2		7. 11.		6.2 14.8		291.0 347.6	11 - 0	2,128.6 2,320.1	48 43	572.2 544.9	50 52	1,518.1 1,696.3	44 39	38.2 78.9	
COLUNBIA	1986 1965		13 36	303.1 329.2	20 17	11.4 3.3		4. 8.		24.4 22.8		262.3 295.0	15 10	2,098.4 2,463.7	50 41	611.0 756.1		1,422.4 1,575.8	48 45	45.2 71.7	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	•	11 9	221.6 217.3	30 29	4.1 4.1		16. 26.		37.3 20.7		163.6 165.5	30 26	4,204.6 4,341.1	9	903.1 922.8	18 19	3,218.7 3,273.4	4	82.9 144.8	
DELAWARE	1986 1985		50 53	119.6	4B 40	B.5 2.1		4.		15.0 4.3		91.8 138.8	47 31	2,174.4 2,069.4	44 52	837.3 790.2		1,300.8 1,236.5	53 56 -	36.3 42.7	

		TOT						ENI IHDEX CI	RIMES								PROPE	RTY INDEX CF	RIHES		-1 4
		INDI CRI		Tot	al	Hur	der	Forcible	•	Robbi	ery	Agg. As	sault	Tot		Burg	ary	Larce	ny .	HV Th	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3,242.6 3,126.4		352.9 330.6	13 16	2.4 2.0	36 36	14.2 14.6	25 27	108.8 74.1	12 16	227.5 240.0		2,889.7 2,795.8	25 30	855.6 836.6	19 22	1,915.8 1,831.5	27 32	118.3 127.7	23 27
ERIE	1986 1985	4,591.7 4,532.9	9 10	575.3 528.1	6 7	4.4 4.4	13 12	33.9 34.9	<b>6</b>	178.2 166.0	<b>å</b> 8	358.8 322.8	7	4,016.4 4,004.8	11 12	1,127.6 1,150.3	10 11	2,443.0 2,448.5	13 12	445.8 406.9	
ESSEX	1984 1985	2,208.0 2,176.7	52 54	168.8 157.6	39 38	.0 2.7	52 24	5.4 8.2	51 - 44	5.4 2.7	56 59	- 157.9 144.0		2,039.2 2,019.1	54 54	765.0 736.5	25 34	1,248.9	<b>56</b> 58	27.2 62.5	
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	2,343.0 2,860.2		159.1 158.9	39 38	.0 2.6	52 26	11.4 25.6	36 11	9.1 5.1	47 53	138.6 125.6		2,183.9 2,701.3	43 33	609.0 784.3	44 29	1,509.0 1,860.7	46 30	65.9 56.4	47 55
FULTON	1984 1985	2,950.6 3,435.6		97.7 94.1	52 53	1.8	38 50	10.7	38 52	16.0 12.4	37 42	69.3 76.3	52 49	2,852.9 3,341.6	28 19	689.2 1,009.7	32 15	2,030.4 2,194.5	25 21	133.2 147.3	21 22
BENESEE	1986 1985	2,396.4 2,559.3	44 43	68.6 91.9	58 54	1.7	41 50	8.4 5.0	47 53	6.7 21.7	52 25	51.8 65.2		2,327.8 2,467.4	37 38	610.4 566.3	43 49	1,667.3 1,842.6	36 31	50.2 58.5	53 54
GREENE	1986 1985	2,508.3 2,592.1		359.4 380.6	12 10	.0 2.4	52 29	14.5 7.2	24 48	16.9 16.9	33 35	328.0 354.1	8 7	2,148.9 2,211.5	46 48	709.1 672.1	29 43	1,360.2 1,455.0	51 48	79.6 84.3	38 36
HAHILTON	1984 1985	1,950.3 3,277.0		61.6 101.8		.0	<b>52</b> 50	.0 20.4	59 21	.0 20.4	61 29	61.6 61.1	56 56	1,888.7 3,175.2	57 23	451.7 1,302.7	59 7	1,394.0 1,730.1	49 36	41.1 142.5	58 24
HERKIHER	1984 1985	1,920.0 2,143.9	58 57	170.0 175.8		1.5 1.5		10.3 8.9	39 39	4.4 3.0	59 50	153.7 162.5	32 27	1,750.1 1,968.1	58 56	520.3 536.3	54 54	1,191.3 1,391.8	57 52	38.4 39.9	59 61-
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	2,345.1 2,696.9	46 37	76.3 96.5	55 52	4.5 3.4	12 19	4.5 4.5	53 54	9.0 13.5	48 40	58.3 75.2		2,269.8 2,600.5	40 36	717.0 834.7	27 23	1,509.2 1,700.7	45 38	42.6 65.1	57 50
KINGS	1986 1985	8,020.8 7,594.5	3 2	2,257.6 2,077.9	3	21.4 20.5	3	56.3 58.8	2 3	1,278.2 1,221.3	2 2	901.7 777.3	3	5,763.1 5,516.6	3	1,781.0 1,761.8	2	2,828.2 2,717.8	9	1,153.9	
LENIS	1986 1985	986.4 1,105.0	62 62	63.9 123.7	60 44	.0 4.0	52 15	.0 1.0	<b>59</b> 55	12.0 4.0	4 i 55	51.9 111.7	58 40	922.5 981.4	62 62	431.3 506.6	60 57	435.3 430.8	62 62	55.9 43.9	51 58
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	3,105.7 3,084.0		269.5 240.4		1.7	<b>39</b> 50	10.2 8.5	40 41	11.9 6.8	42 51	245.6 225.0		2,836.2 2,843.7	29 29	395.7 453.5	<b>62</b> 60	2,386.0 2,328.8	14 16	54.6 61.4	

TABLE : INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTAL						ENT INDEX C									PROPE	RTY INDEX C			
		INDEX CRIMES		Tota	ı <b>l</b>	Huro		Forcible	e Rape	Robbe	ery	Agg. As	sault	Tota	1 .	Burgl	ary	Larce		NV TI	
COUNTY	YEAR		ink	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HADISON	1986 1985		42 52	105.8 68.5	51 59	1.5 1.5	42 40	20.9 10.4		4.5 17.9	58 32	79.0 38.7	50 59	2,305.1 2,174.8	38 49	554.3 595.4	52 48	1,682.2 1,499.0	34 46	68.5 80.4	
HONROE	1984 1985	5,370.3 5,373.7	5	497.7 465.6	, 8	6.0 4.9	10 10	31.3 27.5	- <mark>9</mark> 8	174.8 172.9	7	285.6 260.3	12 13	4,872.5 4,908.1	5 å	1,142.7 1,149.6	9 12:	3,465.1 3,487.0	3	264.8 271.5	
HONTGOXERY	1986 1985		61 61	75.2 7B.9	56 57	1.9	20 29	.0 3.8		7.5 15.0	51 38	65.8 60.1	53 57	1,529.6	60 61	528.0 501.5	53 58	928.3 1,049.9	61 60	73. <b>3</b> 65.7	
NASSAU	1986 1985	•	23 19	239.2 215.7	27 30	2.8 2.3	27 31	10.2 10.3		124.9 117.2	10 11	101.2 85.9	46 48	3,024.5 3,249.6	22 21	678.1 704.7	33 39	1,847.7 2,022.8	29 24	498.7 522.1	6 6
NEW YORK	1986 1985	14,315.6 13,623.0		2,698.6 2,657.0	i 1	29.8 25.3	2 2	62.2 67.3	1 2	1,638.3 1,692.7	1 1	968.3 871.7	2 2	11,617.0 10,966.0	1	2,165.6 2,219.2	- <b>i</b> 1	8,429.1 7,808.6	1	1,022.3 938.1	5 5
HIRGARA	1986 1985	•	12 12	346.9 364.9	14 12	5.0 1.4	11 43	36.4 23.6	. 16,	108.4	14 13	199. i 242. 2	23 16	3,825.9 4,038.8	12 11	1,064.B 1,145.0	13 13	2,554.3 2,650.8	11 9	206.9 243.1	- 11 11
ONEIDA	1984 1985		40	143.5 121.8	42 46	2.4 2.4	30 30	11.8 11.8		57.0 48.3	19 19	72.3 59.3		2,291.0 2,274.7	39 44	698.0 736.1	31 35	1,519.1 1,458.9	43 47	73.9 79.7	
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	4,638.0 4,648.8	B B	325.8 287.6	17 19	2.1 3.4	34 18	24.7 29.0	10 7	133.7 130.8	9	165.3 124.3	29 37	4,312.3 4,361.3	8	1,169.7	8	2,971.3 2,915.3	5 6	171.3 167.5	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	•	32 32	224.3 197.1	29 31	3.3 1.1	24 46	15.2 20.6		29.3 17.3	27 33	176.6 158.1	26 29	2,565.4 2,708.3	31 32	646.8 726.6	3B 3B	1,848.2 1,905.9	28 27	70.4 75.8	
ORANGE	1986 1985	•	17 18	425.7 396.0	8	3.3 3.6	23 17	21.5 20.4		122.2 119.6	11 10	278.7 252.3	13 14	3,297.5 3,257.1	17 20	978.8 1,026.9	16 14	2,128.7 2,058.5	22 22	190.1 171.8	
ORLEANS	1988 1985	,	38 49	310.2 258.9	18 25	7.6 2.5		12.7 25.4		38.i 20.3	23 30	251.7 210.6	16 22	2,196.6 2,108.9	42 51	607.6 434.0	45 61	1,525.4 1,608.9	42 42	63.6 66.0	
OSWEGO	1986 1985	•	36 48	114.8 110.5	50 49	1.7		19.4 8.4		15.1 10.9	39 45	79.6 90.4	49 47	2,427.1 2,262.6	33 45	642.6 559.4	39 50	1,670.5 1,594.4	35 14	113.9 108.9	
OTSEGO	1986 1985		54 50	73.4 98.4	57 51	.0 3.3	52 20	3.3 10.0		5.0 11.7	57 44	65.0 73.4	54 51	2,114.3 2,261.5	49 46	480.2 615.4	<b>57</b> 47	1,590.7 1,601.1	39 43	43.4 45.0	

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOT/						ENT INDEX C										RTY INDEX CI	RINES		
		INDE CRII		Tota		Huro		Forcibl		Robb	ery	Agg. Ass		Tota		Burgl		Larci	eny	HV Th	neft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAH	1986 1985	2,335.7 2,574.1	4B · 40	254.2 161.5	25 37	.0 6.2	52 6	3.7 13.6	56 29	40.7		209.8 131.9	20 34	2,081.5 2,412.6	52 40	663.8 798.8	36 27	1,272.1 1,447.3	54 49	145.6 166.4	19 18
QUEENS	1984 1985	6,909.5 6,533.9	4	1,208.5 1,128.4	.4	12.1 9.7	4.	34.4 35.0	5 5	662.8 649.1	4	499.3 435.6	4	5,701.0 5,405.5	4	1,447.9 1,421.8	4 5	2,834.3 2,641.0	7 10	1,418.8 1,342.7	i i
REMSSELAER	1984 1985	3,928.1, 3,704.9	14 17	295.7 279.1	22 20	2.6	28 25	22.3 21.6	12 17	75.4 55.0		195.4 199.9	24 23	3,632.5 3,427.7	15 17	1,084.3 982.3	11 16	2,371.2 2,253.5	15 19	177.0 192.0	
SICHHOND	1984 1985	5,073.5 5,138.0	6 7	723.9 699.2	5 5	7.5 7.0	.B 5	20.1 19.8	15 22	263.3 267.7	5 5	433.1 404.7	<b>5</b> 6	4,349.6 4,438.8	7	1,051.2 1,248.2	14	1,970.4 1,898.5	26 29	1,327.8 1,292.1	2 2
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	2,929.3 3,127.1	30 27	289.2 265.3	23 22	.8 1.5	50 39	12.8 8.3	30 43	75.1 60.4	18 17	200.5 195.1	22 24	2,640.1 2,861.8	30 28	713.9 759.6	28 32	1,780.4 1,902.6	30 28	145.7 199.6	18 12
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,992.0 2,866.5	27 33	137.8 84.2	44 - 55	.9	49 48	11.4	35 61	7.9 10.5	49 47	117.6 72.8	40 52	2,854.2 2,782.3	27 31	628.2 695.6	40 41	2,150.5 2,013.9	21 25	75.5 72.8	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,389.9 2,287.4	45 - 51	171.2 136.1	36 41	.6 1.9	51 37	13.1 8.7	29 40	16.9 15.6	34 37	140.5 169.8	35 41	2,218.8 2,151.3	41 50	600.3 639.1	- 47 44	1,544.B 1,424.B	41 50	73.7 87.4	40 35
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	3,500.7 3,352.9	19 22	214.2 190.3	<b>32</b> 33	1.3 2.0	45 35	9.9 13.2	42 30	88.4 75.3	14 15	114.4 99.8	41 44	3,284.5 3,162.5	18 24	1,078.5 953.7	12 17	2,057.2 2,042.9	24 23	150.8 145.9	
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	2,188.0 2,406.2	53 46	118.6 72.3	49 58	.0	52 50	3.3 3.3	58 58	6.6		108.7 69.0	44 53	2,069.4 2,333.9	53 42	826.1 881.0	41 20	1,387.3 1,390.5	50 53	56.0 62.5	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,745.0	59 60	39.4 78.9	<b>62</b> 56	.0	<b>52</b> 50	.0		5.6 16.9	55 34	33.8 62.0	61 55	1,705.6 1,651.0	<b>59</b> 59	669.9 · 546.6	34 51	968.2 1,048.1	60 61	67.5 56.3	<b>45</b> 56
SENECA	1986 1985	3,266.7 3,342.6	22 23	299.7 272.0	21 21	3.0 6.0	25 7	9.1 24.2	45 13	15.1 3.0		272.5 238.8	14 18	2,967.0 3,070.6	24 25	660.0 701.2	37 40	2,182.9 2,221.3	19 20	124.1 148.1	22 21
STEUBEN	1986 1985	2,598.2 2,505.4		233.9 255.9	28 26	1.0 .ú	48 50	9.0 B.0		- 11.0 18.1	. 44 31	212.8 229.8	19 19	2,364.3 2,249.5	35 47	597.3 540.8	48 53	1,666.5 1,616.4	37 40	100.4 92.3	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,871.8 3,810.3	16 15	250.8 255.8	26 27	2.9 3.1	26 22	13.5 13.2	28 31	106.5 108.7		128.0 130.7	37 35	3,620.9 3,554.5	16 14	998.3 945.3	15 18	2,313.4 2,329.4	17 15	309.2 279.8	

		TOTA					VIDLE	ENT INDEX C									PROPE	RTY INDEX C			
		INDE Crin	ES	Tota		Huro		Forcible	e Rape	Robbe	ery	Agg. Ass	ault	Tota		Burgl	ary	Larce	•	HY YK	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rale	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1986 1995	3,548.1 3,784.5	18 16	412.5 339.9	10 14	7.4 3.0	9 23	32.6 26.7	7 10	54.9 43.0	20 20	317.6 267.1	. 9 12	3,135.6 3,444.6	21 16	1,396.4 1,650.3	5	1,565.6 1,614.7	40 41	173.6 179.6	15 14
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,898.0 1,764.0	60 59	197.2 122.2	35 45	3.9 .0	1 <i>6</i> 50	13.B	27 56	11.8	43 56	167.6 114.3	29 39	1,500.8 1,641.8	61 60	424.0 431.6	- 61 62	986.1 1,131.3	<b>59</b> 59	90.7 78.8	31 43 ·
TOHPKINS	1986 1985	5,004.0 5,726.4	7 5	137.4 129.3	45 43	1.1	47 45	9.1 11.3	44 33	37.5 21.6	24 26	89.7 95.3	48 45	4,868.5 5,597.1	6 2	1,227.6	6 6	3,546.6 4,126.6		94.3 129.3	29 26
ULSTER	1986 1985	2,859.2 3,019.0	31 30	376.0 352.5	11 13	3.7 4.3	20 13	16.6 23.9		48.5 36.2	21 21	307.3 288.1	10 11	2,483.2 2,666.5	32 34	665.6 766.8	<b>35</b> 31	1,710.9 1,760.5		106.7 139.1	26 25
HARREN	1986 1985	3,270.7 3,408.9	21 21	64.7 50.3	59 61	1.8	37 50	10.B 7.2		21.6 12.6		30.6 30.5	62 61	3,206.0 3,358.6	19 18	909.8 830.2	17 24	2,152.3 2,363.0		143.8 165.3	20 20
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	2,129.1 2,158.2	55 58	210.1 259.6	33 24	.0 5.3		16.0 5.3		10.7 5.3	45 52	183.4 243.6	25 15	1,919.1 1,898.7	54 58	503.8 535.1	<b>5</b> 5 55	1,329.8 1,274.7	<b>52</b> 55	85.5 88.9	33 34
HAYNE	1986- 1985	3,188.1 3,132.5	25 26	216.6 260.7	31 23	3.4 .0		22.7 23.8		17.0 20.4	32 28	173.5 216.5	27 21	2,971.5 2,871.8	23 27	829.1 782.0	30 31	2,075.5 1,985.6		46.9 104.3	46 31
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	4,014.6 3,841.0	13 14	334.9 334.3	16 15	3.5 4.7	21 11	14.0 15.1	26 26	165.2 177.7	8	152.2 136.8	33 32	3,679.7 3,506.7	14 15	796.7 814.5	23 26	2,445.5 2,293.1	12 18	437.5 399.1	8
HADHINE	1984 1985	2,565.0 2,562.1	34 41	422.6 533.1	9	2.5 4.9		7.4 7.4	49 47	2.5 7.4		410.3 513.4	6 4	2,142.4 2,029.0	47 53	798.5 682.9	22 42	1,267.8 1,235.6		76.2 110.5	37 29
YATES	1984 1985	2,418.1 2,560.5	41 42	83.5 46.4	53 62	.0		9.3 18.6	43 24	9.3		65.0 27.8	55 62	2,334.5 2,514.1	3 <i>6</i> 37	603.4 732.9	46 36	1,484.8 1,739.5		46.4 41.7	. 55 60
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	5,741.6 5,566.7	NA NA	983.9 928.6	NA NA	10.7 9.5	NA NA	30.4 31.9		514.2 504.1	NA NA	428.6 383.0	na Na	4,757.7 4,638.1	na Na	1,216.3 1,231.3	NA NA	2,906.2 2,808.9		635,2 598.0	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1 <b>986</b> 1985	9,866.7 8,392.9	NA NA	1,997.5 1,883.4	NA NA	22.0 19.3		49.3 54.0		1,127.2 1,108.2		798.9 701.9	NA NA	6,869.3 6,509.5	NA NA	1,735.4 1,742.9	NA NA	3,938.0 3,661.0		1,195.9 1,105.6	NA NA

NOTE: Drawatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,600 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2A FELDNY AND MISDEHEANOR ABULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984

						FELONY A	RRESTS				
		TOTA ARRES		Tota		Viole		Othe		HISDENE ARKES	
COUNTY Y	EAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
	986 985	2,338.7 2,197.9	12	712.1 604.5	6 6	251.6 194.6	7 9	440.5 309.7	7	1,626.7 1,593.4	21 20
	986 985	1,247.5 1,111.9	60 62	330.7 231.8	56 62	65.3 55.0	57 58	265.3 176.8	46 62	916.8 860.1	58 60
	986 985	3,611.0 3,284.8	2 2	2,065.8 1,775.9	2 2	821.0 770.3	2 2	1,244.8 1,005.4	2 . 2	1,545.2 1,509.0	28 29
	986 985	1,842.5 1,676.2	37 39	397.5 388.3	35 29	114.3 109.2	28 27	283.2 279.1	40 29	1,445.0	39 26
	986 985	1,756.2 1,587.9	41 44	334.5 288.3	55 56	72.7 66.8	55 52	263.8 221.5	48 56	1,419.7 1,299.6	38 37
	986 985	1,558.2 1,843.3	52 34	374.2 373.2	41 32	86.4 81.4	46 43	287.9 291.8	. 37 23	1,184.0 1,470.1	51 31
	988 985	1,807.8 1,660.5	39 41	345.2 299.5	50 55	86.0 77.0	47 45	259.3 222.5	50 53	1,462.6	34 35
	986 985	1,905.0	34 29	426.5 451.1	28 21	129.3 104.4	21 32	297.2 346.8	33 15	1,478.5 1,492.5	33 29
	986 985	1,966.0 2,159.6	29 16	280.0 310.2	60 51	58.0 92.1	40 37	222.0 218.2	60 57	1,686.0 1,849.4	1B 10
	986 985	1,943.3 1,851.1	31 33	507.4 384.9	19 30	126.8 95.6	22 34	380.5 289.3	12 26	1,434.0 1,466.2	37 32
	996 985	2,019.8 2,234.2	24 13	466.2 523.1	22 12	141.7 132.0	19 19	324.5 391.1	25 9	1,553.5 1,711.2	26 12
	986 985	2,865.0 2,764.6	5 4	514.8 403.0	17 25	177.2 111.8	13 26	337.6 291.2	23 . 25	2,350.2 2,561.6	4 2
	986 985	2,010.6 1,960.0	25 27	420.4 341.2	29 40	80.7 61.8	51 55	339.7 279.4	22 28	1,590.2 1,618.8	24 17

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				•	•	FELDNY A	RRESTS	•			AUSS
		TOTAL ARRESTS		Tota			nt -	Other		HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR		ink	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate I	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1984 1985		27 23	537.4 514.7	16 13	158.1 166.6	17 ·	379.3 348.1	13 14	1,449.8 1,475.1	35 30
ERIE	1986 1985	2,257.i 2,103.i	17 1,8	571.3 539.7	12 10	222.3 210.2	9	34B.9 329.5	19 19	1,685.8 1,563.3	19 25
ESSEX	1986 1985	2,283.7 1,949.7	16 28	584.0 354.7	11 35	93.7 68.7	40 50	490.4 286.0	6 27	1,499.7	17 19
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	2,347.0 2,016.3	11 20	351.6 347.1	49 37	93.6 75.4	41 47	258.0 271.7	51 32	1,995.4	8 14
FULTON	1985 1985	1,393.8 1,395.0	57 55	267.4 344.7	61 39	60.4 75.8	58 46	207.0 268.9	62 33	1,126.4 1,050.3	52 52
GENESEE	1986 1985	1,732.0	33 35	384.1 328.5	38 44	107.1 84.7	35 42	278.9 243.8	43 48	1,545.9 1,429.2	27 34
GREENE	1986 1985	2,147.3 1,675.7	23 40	551.1 424.3	14 23	121.1 120.6	24 22	429.9 303.8	9 21	1,594.2 1,251.3	22 41
HAHILTON	1986 1985	1,680.0 2,006.0	45 22	320.0 481.4	58 17	40.0 40.2	59 56	240.0 421.3	49 5	1,360.0 1,524.6	40 25
HERKIMER	1986 1985	1,409.6 1,386.8	56 56	366.2 272.0	44 59	86.7 64.3	45 54	279.5 207.7	42 60	1,043.3 1,114.8	55 50
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	1,496.7 1,440.5	54 54	452.5 370.3	25 34	112.6 103.9	33 30	340.0 266.4	21 35	1,044.2 1,070.2	54 51
KINGS	1986 1985	2,837.5 2,405.5		1,597.3 1,377.7	3	770.4 678.5	3	826.9 699.2	2 2	1,240.2 1,027.8	46 54
LENIS	1984 1985	865.6 1,132.5	62 61	260.9 254.3	62 61	27.7 27.8	62 62	233.2 226.5	59 51	604.7 878.2	62 61
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	2,551.2 2,590.5	9 7	377.1 268.0	40 57	81.9 73.7	49 48	295.2 214.3	34 59	2,174.1 2,302.5	7 6

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Cuntinued

						FELONY A	RRESTS			Menario	••••
		TOTA ARRES		Tota	1	Viole	nt	 Othe		MISDEME ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1986 1985	1,846.8 1,654.1	36 42	366.4 317.6	43 49	111.1	31 49	255.3 244.2	52 47	1,480.5 1,336.5	32 36
NONROE	1986 1985	2,205.5 2,059.5	15 19.	555.4 485.3	13 15	196.0 178.5	11 11	359.4 304.9	17 20	1,730.1 1,574.2	16 23
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	2,197.7 1,875.2	21 31	385.8 302.7	39 54	76.8 45.7	53 50	309.0 257.0	28 38	1,811.9 1,572.5	15 24
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,305.4 1,314.8	59 57	402.0 374.4	- 33 31	121.1 121.1	25 21	281.0 253.4	41 41	903.4 940.4	59 58
NEW YORK	1986 1985	7,694.0 7,117.1	1	2,820.4 2,517.6	1	981.8 923.8	1 1	1,838.6 1,593.8	1	4,873.7 4,599.5	1
NIAGARA	1986 1985	2,003.7 1,856.4	26 32	463.B 425.0	23 22	164.1 152.9	14 14	299.7 272.1	32 31	1,539.9 1,431.5	30 33
DNEIDA	1986 1985	1,427.0 1,289.0	55 59	339.6 322.3	54 48	103.0 106.5	36 29	236.6 215.8	58 58	1,087.3 966.8	53 54
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,816.3 1,756.2	38 38	584.4 592.7	10 7	223.9 227.4	8 7	362.5 365.3	15 11	1,229.9 1,163.5	47 48
ONTARIO	1986 1985	2,287.4 1,978.7	14 26	393.7 337.7	36 41	102.0 85.5	37 41	291.8 252.2	36 43	1,893.7 1,640.9	9
DRANGE	1986 . 1985	2,472.8 2,193.5	10 15	636.1 577.2	7 8	203.1 181.2	10 10	433.1 395.9	8 . 8	1,834.7 1,418.4	12 18
ORLEANS	1986 1985	1,640.1 1,527.8	49 50	452.4 482.9	26 16	159.4 140.5	16 18	293.1 342.4	35 16	1,187.7 1,045.0	50 53
OSWEGO	1986 1985	1,721.6 1,556.2	43 47	340.3 335.7	53 42	92.0 90.7	42 39	248.3 245.1	55 46	1,381.3 1,220.4	39 46
DTSEGO	1986 1985	2,174.0 2,343.0	22 9	354.7 370.6	22 43	54.1 119.6	61 23	300.7 251.0	30 44	1,819.3 1,972.4	13 7

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		*				FELONY A	RRESTS				
		TOTA ARRES		Tota	ıl -	Viole	int	Othe	:r:	HISDENE ARRES	315
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAM	1986 1985	1,970.6 1,567.5	28 46	409.3 326.3	30 45	109.1 8.84	34 53	300.2 259.6	31 37	1,561.3 1,241.1	25 44
QUEENS	1986 1985 .	1,666.7 1,453.9	46 52	928.2 709.9	4 5	374.8 308.9	4	551.4 401.0	<b>5</b> 7	740.5 744.0	61 62
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,655.9 1,568.5	47 45	357.9 325.7	47 46	116.0 118.9	27 24	241.9 206.8	57 61	1,298.0 1,242.8	43 43
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,543.5 1,504.3	50 51	618.5 570.3	8	291.8 295.8	6 5	326.7 274.4	24 30	945.0 934.0	54 59
RDCKLAND	1986 1985	1,137.6 1,294.7	61 58	36B.9 345.7	42 38	81.2 93.5	50 36	287.7 252.2	38 42	768.7 949.0	60 57
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,212.B 2,006.9	20 21	. 329.8 324.5	57 47	75.4 77.6	54 44	254.4 246.9	53 45	1,883.0 1,682.4	10 13
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,222.4 2,286.0	18 10	405.2 397.3	31 28	91.4 105.8	44 30	313.8 291.5	27 24	1,817.2 1,888.7	14 9
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,737.7 1,528.7	42 49	400.1 350.0	34 36	91.9 94.3	43 35	308.3 255.7	29 40	1,337.4	42 47
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	2,719.1 2,238.3	8 12	473.2 308.7	20 52	110.7 87.3	32 40	362.4 221.5	14 55	2,245.0 1,929.6	- 6 8
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,902.3 1,930.2	35 30	362.1 416.9	46 24	109.2 148.5	33 16	252.9 268.4	54 34	1,540.2 1,513.3	29 27
SENECA	1986 1985	2,317.8 2,279.9	13 11	470.4 457.8	21 19	76.6 71.6	38 38	373.6 366.2	14 10	1,847.4	11 11
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,559.3 1,447.5	51 53	341.6 304.1	52 53	69.1 67.8	56 51	272.4 236.3	44	1,217.8 1,143.4	48 49
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,647.5 1,687.5	48 39	403.3 398.8	32 26	136.7 141.9	20 17	266.5 257.0	45 39	1,244.2	45 38

		707				FELONY A	RRESTS				Michel	AUDD
		TOTA ARRES		Tota	1	Yiole	nt		Oth	 er	HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1986	3,182.2	4	925.7	.5	358.6	5		567.1	4	2,256.6	5
	1985	3,102.0	3	751.5	4	294.4	6		457.1	4	2,350.5	5
TIDGA	1986 1985	1,711.8 1,534.4	44 48	362.7 283.8	45 58	78.4 49.6	52 59		284.3 234.2	39 50	1,349.0 1,250.5	41 42
TOHPKINS	1986 1985	1,936.1 1,988.7	32 24	343.6 329.0	51 43	95.9 106.6	39 28		247.7 222.3	56 54	1,592.5	23 15
ULSTER	1986 1985	2,221.1 2,113.9	19 17	549.3 523.9	15 11	159.6 160.0	15 13		389.8 343.9	11 12	1,471.7 1,590.0	20 21
WARREN	1984 1985	3,282.9 2,949.3	3 5	594.4 478.1	- 9 18	189.2 118.6	12 25		407.2 359.5	10 13	2,686.5 2,471.2	2
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	1,959.8 1,980.5	30 25	441.1 398.2	27 27	117.8 105.7	26 31		. 323.4 292.5	26 22	1,518.5 1,582.3	31 22
HAYNE	1986 1985	2,817.0 2,878.3	- 7 6	458.0 456.9	24 20	113.6 123.4	29 20		344.3 333,5	20 18	2,359.1 2,421.4	3
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,794.5 1,730.9	40 37	513.0 490.8	18 14	154.7 150.3	18 15		358.3 340.5	18 17	1,281.5 1,240.0	44 45
WYONING	1986 1985	1,502.5 1,598.0	53 43	291.7 317.1	59 50	83.3 56.5	48 57		208.3 260.6	61 36	1,210.8 1,280.9	49 40
YATES	1986 1985	1,330.2 1,265.9	58 60	384.0 260.6	37 60	122.6 37.2	23 61		264.2 223.4	47 52	943.4 1,005.3	<b>57</b> 55
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	2,566.3 2,371.0	NA NA	968.7 850.7	NA NA	349.9 338.3	NA NA	-	598.8 512.4	NA NA	1,597.6 1,520.3	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	3,579.8 3,212.5	NA NA	1,697.4	HA NA	693.1 626.1	AN AN		1,004.3 831.8	NA NA	1,882.4	HA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Protessing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 &

		HURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS	ROBBERY ARRESTS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	BURGLARY ARRESTS	LARCENY®# ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year 	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ALBANY	1986	5.6 P	12.4 11	31.1 14	112.2 7	114.7 12	456.2 12
	1985	2.8 23	6.7 22	28.5 14	86.0 9	99.3 28	397.4 16
ALLEGANY	1986	.0 46	2.0 56	.0 59	23.8 51	146.5 9	154.5 61
	1985	7.9 4	.0 56	.0 56	31.4 40	68.8 48	196.5 57
BRONX	1986 1985 )	23.6 1 19.0 1	27.6 1 26.5 2	269.8 3 237.9 3	329.5 2 311.2 2	189.0 3 180.6 3	467.8 10 413.2 12
BROOKE	1986	2.4 24	8.5 22	14.7 23	33.2 38	78.3 45	432.2 17
	1985	2.8 22	5.2 30	11.3 26	30.1 42	86.1 37	354.9 25
CATTARAUGUS	1986	7.0 5	5.9 35	4.7 49	19.9 56	84.4 38	267.3 48
	1985	.0 49	2.3 47	8.2 34	32.8 37	82.0 39	243.7 50
САУИБА	1986 1985	1.3 40 3.8 16	0.B 21 7.5 19	6.3 42 10.0 29	22.5 54 37.6 30	· 91.4 31 51.3 59	373.0 27 435.8 9
CHAUTAUQUA	1986	1.4 30	4.9 43	7.7 38	32.1 39	95.7 28	346.6 31
	1985	.7 48	2.8 42	14.6 22	22.9 50	81.1 41	344.6 28
CHENUNG	1986	1.1 42	6.6 31	22.1 18	43.1 27	99.4 21	626.5 2
	1985	1.1 45	4.3 35	6.5 41	33.7 35	133.7 9	641.4 2
CHENANGO	1986	2.0 27	.0 59	.0 59	12.0 60	64.0 53	318.0 35
	1985	2.0 32	10.0 14	4.0 47	18.0 57	108.1 18	354.3 26
CLINTON	1986	6.2 6	4.9 41	7.4 39	54.2 18	110.B 13	402.7 21
	1985	.0 49	1.2 54	9.8 31	31.9 39	69.9 46	315.1 33
COLUMBIA	1986	1.6 37	.0 59	13.2 26	84.0 10	84.0 40	303.1 38
	1985	6.6 B	1.7 52	9.9 30	62.7 17	135.3 B	348.2 27

TABLE 2C

ABULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

		MURDER ARRESTS		FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS	ROBBERY ARRESTS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	BURGLARY ARRESTS		LARCENY•• ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank		Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Ra	te Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4.2 13 .0 49		3.4 23 4.2 37	33.8 12 8.4 33	44.3 26 48.5 21	143.5 10 107.6 19		40.1 6 55.8 7
DELAWARE	1986 1985	.0 46 2.1 31		12.7 9 .0 56	8.5 36 2.1 54	29.7 44 46.9 25	89.2 33 108.8 17		98.5 25 83.9 19
DUTCHESS	1986 1905	3.5 15 1.6 37	•	5.8 36 5.5 29	21.8 20 25.7 16	67.8 14 76.4 11	95.8 27 96.4 31		91.4 24 65.7 21
ER!E	1986 1985	3.5 14 3.8 15		14.8 5 14.8 7	42.3 9 42.7 8	92.4 8 93.3 7	104.3 16 100.0 26		77.6 9 38.9 8
ESSEX	1986 1985	.0 46		13.8 7 2.8 43	5.5 46 .0 56	41.3 28 27.5 45	170.8 6 112.7 15		66.4 29 86.0 41
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	.0 46 2.3 2B		6.8 30 7.1 16	9.1 34 .0 56	27.4 46 25.1 48	89.0 34 100.5 25		99.1 40 94.6 38
FULTON	1986 1985	1.8 33 .0 49		3.7 50 .0 56	5.5 47 5.4 44	25.6 50 23.5 49	51.3 59 90.2 35		93.0 43 14.0 34
GENESEE	1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49		5.1 40 5.1 32	3.4 54 22.0 17	28.9 45 27.1 46	96.9 25 93.1 33		97.6 41 33.7 51
GREENE	1986 1985	0 46 2.4 27		9.5 17 2.4 46	7.1 40 7.2 36	64.1 17 84.€ 10	59.4 55 65.1 51		13.5 37 85.9 40
HANILTON	. 1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49		.0 59 .0 56	.0 59 .0 56	.0 62 .0 62	160.0 7 220.7 i		320.0 34 200.6 55
HERKIHER	1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49		4.5 45 3.0 41	4.5 50 4.5 45	31.4 40 22.4 54	80.7 41 53.8 58		219.7 <b>52</b> 215.2 52

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 4 - Continued

		ARRES			FORCIBLE ARRES	ITS		ROBBI ARRES	STS		AGG. AS	STS		BURGI ARRES			ARRES	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
	1986 1985	5.5 2.3	10 29		5.5 2.3	3B 49		3.3 10.2			35.3 38.4			146.8 117.4	B 13		210.8 188.5	55 58
	1986 1985	17.3 16.1	3		18.2 21.8	4		295.4 249.8	2 2		278.4 240.6	3 3		177 <b>.5</b> 156.5	4 5	•	398.0 343.B	22 29
	1986 1985	.0	46 49		4.0	48 56		4.0	52 48		7.9 4.0			35.6 83.4	62 38		110.7 151.0	
	1986 1985	3.4	16		3.4 1.7	52 51		5.1 6.9	48 38		46.1 42.9	25 27		68.3 54.9	50 57		428.3 426.9	18 11
	1786 1785	4.5 1.5	12 - 38		13.5	8 26		6.0 9.0	44 32		39.0 3.0			84.1 89.9	39 36		345.3 317.6	32 32
	1986 1985	6.1 4.0	7 13		13.8 12.2	10	•	47.0 44.7	7		48.2 43.6			101.5 107.5	19 20		550.2 502.8	<b>5</b> 5
	1986 1985	1.9	31 34		1.9 5.7	57 28		5.8 1.9	45 55		30.7 22.8	. 41 51		57.6 60.9	<b>57</b> 53	_	248.7 359.8	46 23
	1986 1985	2.0 3.0	26 21	÷	2. 6 2. 5	54 44		33.3 30.3	- 13 12		49.4 47.3			53.6 59.9	58 55		256.5 255.7	50 46
	1986 1985	18.9 17.9	2 2		20.4 24.3	2 3		456.0 406.1	1		369.1 346.9			210.4 176.2	1 4		1,134.4 1,010.5	
	1986 1985	1.8 2.0	32 25		10.6	13 31		25.4 26.8	17 15		45.9 43.3			103.7 109.5	17 16		391.4 356.6	
	1986 1985	2.4 1.2	23 41		8.0 6.0	24 27		17.3 11.6	22 25		30.6 32.7			88.5 93.3	35 32		334.0 258.0	33 45

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

			MURDE ARRES			FORCIBL ARRE	S15			ROBBE ARRES			AGG. ASS	STS		DURGI ARRES	STS		LARCI ARRES	
COUNTY	Year			Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ONONDASA	198 198	<u>.</u>	2.6	22 20		8.9 14.0	20			48.4 48.1	6 6	-	81.8 76.1	11		106.4 137.5	15		424.9 368.1	19
ONTARIO	1981 1981		1.1 2.2	<b>43</b> 30		4.3 8.7				11.9	29 53	• .	30.4 28.1	43 44	•	80.3 66.0			387.2 325.8	
DRANGE	198 <i>0</i> 1 <i>9</i> 9:		2.8 1.8	20 35		9.2 11.5		•		40.5 21.6	10 18		88.0 86.3	9		96.9 99.8			- 467.2 407.8	
ORLEANS	198 <i>1</i> 198:		5.1 5.1	11		10.3				12.9	27 50		79.7 63.9	12 14		92.5 130.3			295.6 295.4	
OSWE60	198 198:		3.3	17 47		7.5 3.4				4.2	51 51		25.9 31.1	48 41 -		98.7 96.5			267.6 282.0	
OTSEGO	198 <i>i</i> 198:		.0 3.4	46 17		- 1.7 6.7				1.7	58 40		15.2 42.1	59 28		98.0 101.1	24 24		442.6 486.8	
PUTNAH	198 198		.0 4.9	4 <i>L</i> 10		3.7 1.2				14.7	23 49		50.2 35.8	21 34		63.7 56.9			213.2 208.9	
QUEENS	198 198		8.2 7.7	4 6	-	12.6 11.0				142.7	4 4		141.i 126.8	· 5	•	91.7 80.0	30 43		300.2 254.1	
RENSSELAER	198 198		1.3	39 40		5.9 4.6				11.9	30 27		52.7 57.5	19 18		77.1 69.4			273.6 196.9	
RICHNOND	. 198 198		5.9 7.8	8		11.2 10.7				86.5 84.3	5 5		124.1 124.9	. <u>.</u> 5		88.4 104.8			260.8 282.5	
ROCKLAND	198 198		.4 1.1	45 44		4.5			•	21.8 13.6	19 24		39.5 47.1	31 24		42.5 51.3			159.8 178.3	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

		HURDE ARRES	STS		FORCIBLE ARRES	STS		ROBBI ARRES	STS		AGG. ASS	15		BURGI ARRE	STS		LARCI ARRES	STS.
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	-	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1.8 2.6	36 26		7.1 .9			3.5 6.2			25.7 29.1	49 43		100.2 104.9			418.4 298.9	
SARATOGA	1984 1985	1.2 3.1	41 18		9.B 4.3	16 36		7.9 7.4			34.7 37.1	37 31		73.7 81.7	48 40		 357.1 328.0	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1986	2.0 1.3	28 39		5.3	<b>39</b> 23		29.3 29.2	15 13		26.0 25.9	47 47		67.2 60.4			316.9 296.2	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	.0	46 49		.0			6.7			36.9 33.6	34 36		87.2 114.1			513.4 387.3	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	.0	46		5.7 28.6			11.5	31 19		23.0 22.8	53 52		49.0 97.1			241.4 · 251.3	
SENECA	1986 1985	3.1	18 49		3.1 15.3			9.3 6.1	33 43		15.6 21.4	58 56		102.8 125.1	18 11		442.4 390.7	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1.0	44 46		4.1			6.2 4.1			23.7 22.6	52 53	•	79.5 64.7			280.7 292.8	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	2.0 2.8	29 24.		5.9 6.0			26.9 30.5	16 11		48.9 53.8	23 20		77.6 78.4			200.7 176.5	
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	2.9 4.4	19 12		19.0 16.3			35.0 34.0			149.1 122.8	4		202.6 176.7	2		584.5 531.0	
TIOGA	1986 1985	2.0	33 30		5.9 4.0			2.0			37.3 15.9	33 58		43.1 45.7	60 62		209.8 271.9	
TONPKINS	1986 1985	.0 1.1	46		2.3 6.8		•	9.1 14.7	34 21	*	20.5 21.6	55 55		90.2 90.8	32 34	•	500.0 431.1	

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RAYES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 a - Continued

		HURD Arre			FORCIBL ARRE				ROBBE ARRES			AGG. ASS				BURGL ARRES				LARCEN ARREST	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Ra	e	Rank		Rai		Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	1.B 3.1	34 19		7.3 13.4				21.3 16.5	21 20		74.9 64.7	13 13			19.8 17.5	43 21			39.1 07.9	15 13
HARREN	1986 1985	1.8	35 49		3.6 1.8				12.6 7.2	28 37		52.3 48.5	20 22			7 <b>4.8</b> 18.6	5 12			10.8 30.2	3
VASHINGTON	1986 1985	.0 1.B	46 36		7.0	29 56			10.5 14.1	32 23		40.4 56.4	29 19			7.2 11.1	14	•		10.9	54 54
WAYNE	1986 1985	2.3	25 42		10.2 9.1			•	13.6	<b>2</b> 5 39		39.B 36.6	33			17.0 35.9	11 7			34.1 98.6	16 15
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2.9 3.8	21 14		7.4 6.6				42.4 38.6	8 9		66.8 63.6	15 15			9.2 7.6	51 49			70.7 51.3	28 22
HADNING	1986 1965	.0 4.9	46		4.9	42 45			2.5 2.5	56 52		36.8 36.9	35 32			ia.8	56 45			59.3 55.7	60 47
YATÈS	1986 1985	.0	46 49		9.4 4.7	18 33			.0	<b>59</b> 56		18.9	57 59			19.1 11.2	22 61			7 <b>9.2</b> 86.2	58 59
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	8.0 7.5	NA NA		12.0 12.1				127.8 110.9	NA NA		 139.7 129.0	NA NA		1	5.6 9.5	NA NA			24.7 83.6	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	15.8 14.4	NA NA		18.4 19.7	NA NA			272.B 234.0	NA NA		261.2 237.7	NA NA			9.2	NA NA			27.0 64.7	NA NG

<sup>\*</sup> NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

<sup>##</sup> Arrests for the Index crime of "potor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NDTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

		TOTAL	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESTS
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Possession	Sale	Total	Possession Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank Rate Rank
ALBANY	1986	170.8 15	1 134.4 16	112.2 13	14.1 28	36.3 11	31.B 9 4.6 37
	1985	143.4 11	96.9 13	72.2 14	20.8 11	46.5 13	34.9 9 11.6 16
ALLEGANY	1984	19.8 62	5.9 62	5.9 41	.0 57	13.9 48	13.9 30 .0 56
	1985	23.6 56	5.9 60	5.9 56	.0 53	17.7 41	5.9 54 11.8 15
BRONZ	1986	1,035.1 2	853.1 2	494.1 2	299.7 2	182.0 2	75.6 3 106.4 2
	1985	894.4 2	654.7 2	397.5 2	199.8 2	239.7 2	101.6 2 138.1 2
BROOME	1986	88.2 28	68.3 29	36.5 35	26.1 12	19.9 31	12.8 34 7.1 23
	1985	56.5 34	35.3 34	23.5 39	8.5 30	21.2 32	14.1 31 7.1 32
. CATTARAUGUS	1984	51.6 · 45	30.5 47	28.1 41	1.2 56	21.1 25	16.4 22 4.7 36
	1985	38.7 · 46	17.6 49	14.1 46	2.3 47	21.1 33	15.2 27 5.9 36
CAYUGA	1986	47.6 50	35.0 44	22.5 46	10.0 33	12.5 54	5.0 58 7.5 22
	1985	58.9 33	47.6 2B	28.8 31	15.0 19	11.3 52	5.0 56 6.3 33
CHAUTAURUA	1984	25.2 58	12.6 61	9.8 59	2.1 55	12.6 53	9.8 48 2.8 46
	1985	29.8 52	19.4 48	6.9 55	11.8 24	10.4 54	8.3 48 2.1 53
CHEMUN6	1984	19.9 65	16.6 59	9.9 58	4.4 45	3.3 61	1.1 60 2.2 50
	1985	14.1 61	8.7 58	3.3 61	2.2 49	5.4 60	4.3 58 1.1 57
CHENANGO	1984	50.0 47	32.0 46	26.0 44	6.0 42	18.0 40	14.0 29 4.0 42
	1985	54.0 36	40.0 31	38.0 25	.0 53	14.0 48	6.0 53 8.0 28
CLINTON	1984	118.2 20	78.5 20	89.9 17	6.2 41	19.7 32	13.5 31 4.2 28
	1985	105.4 20	74.8 20	55.2 16	8.6 29	30.6 18	9.8 42 20.8 8
COLUMBIA	1984	100.5 25	72.5 26	44.5 29	19.1 21	28.0 16	16.5 21 11.5 11
	1985	92.4 23	54.5 26	34.7 26	14.9 21	38.0 14	21.5 18 16.5 10
CORTLAND	1986 1985	42.2 53 90.7 25	23.2 54 38.0 33	14.B 55 29.5 30	2.1 54 8.4 31	19.0 37 52.8 9	6.3 56 12.7 9 27.4 14 25.3 7
DELAWARE	1986	46.7 51	27.6 49	27.6 42	.0 57	19.1 36	17.0 20 2.1 51
	1985	49.1 39	21.3 47	10.7 49	10.7 26	27.7 20	19.2 20 8.5 26

		TOTAL	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Passession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
DUTCHESS	1986	141.4 19	120.7 18	91.5 16	19.9 19	20.6 2B	15.2 25	5.5 32
	1985	108.2 19	85.1 15	61.5 18	16.1 17	23.1 26	14.5 30	8.6 23
ERIE -	1986	193,4 12	150.2 13	126.8 12	14.4 26	43.2 10	35.7 8	7.6 21
	1985	174.6 7	109.3 8	83.2 10	15.4 18	65.3 7	61.4 5	3.9 48
ESSEX	1986	63.4 39	44.1 39	44.1 30	.0 57	19.3 35	19.3 12	.0 56
	1985	38.5 47	30.2 40	22.0 41	8.3 33	8.3 59	2.8 61	5.5 39
FRANKLIN	1986	107.3 22	89.0 22	86.8 19	2.3 52	18.3 39	11.4 42	6.8 25
	1985	82.2 27	34.3 35	32.0 27	2.3 48	48.0 11	13.7 32	34.3 6
FULTON	1986	58.6 42	45.8 38	29.3 40	16.5 23	12.8 52	11.0 44	1.8 54
	1985	23.5 58	5.4 61	3.6 60	.0 53	18.0 39	9.0 45	9.0 20
GENESEE	1986	49.3 49	27.2 50	18.7 49	6.8 37	22.1 24	17.0 19	5.1 34
	1985	33.9 49	13.5 52	10.2 52	1.7 52	20.3 35	16.9 24	3.4 49
GREENE	1986	294.5 6	266.0 7	228.0 6	23.8 15	28.5 15	19.0 14	9.5 17
	1985	98.9 21	82.0 17	77.2 11	2.4 46	16.9 43	12.1 38	4.8 43
HANILTON	1986 1985	160.0 17 60.2 32	160.0 10 60.2 24	160.0 8 40.1 24	.0 57 .0 53	.0 62	.0 61 .0 62	.0 56 .0 58
HERKIHER	1984	22.4 60	17.9 57	9.0 60	9.0 35	4.5 59	4.5 59	.0 56
	1985	47.8 41	29.9 41	28.4 32	.0 53	17.9 40	12.0 40	6.0 34
JEFFERSON	1985	67.3 37	46.4 36	37.5 34	5.5 43	21.0 27	12.1 37	9.9 18
	1985	38.4 48	28.2 44	24.8 37	3.4 40	10.2 55	6.8 51	3.4 50
KINGS	1986	693.9 3	565.5 3	328.6 3	178.0 3	128.4 3	55.8 5	72.6 3
LENIS	1985	548.8 3	381.2 3	221.7 3	115.0 3	167.6 3	76.7 4	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985 1986	27.7 5 <i>t</i> 27.8 53 71.7 35	23.7 52 11.9 54 56.3 31	15.8 54 7.9 54 35.8 36	7.9 36 .0 53 20.5 18	4.0 60 15.9 45	.0 61 7.9 49 11.9 39	4.0 43 7.9 29 3.4 44
FIATUMAINU	1985	42.9 43	22.3 46	15.4 44	3.4 39	20.6 34	12.0 37	6.6 25

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

	The state of the s	TOTAL	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Possession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
MADISON	1986	34.5 55	21.0 55	19.0 51	3.0 51	13.5 50	7.5 52	6.0 30
	1985	12.0 62	3.0 62	.0 62	3.0 43	9.0 58	9.0 46	.0 58
MONROE	1984	101.1 24	78.6 24	4B.4 26	19.8 20	22.5 23	17.8 16	4.7 35
	1985	65.1 31	41.9 30	20.4 43	11.8 25	23.3 25	17.5 23	5.8 37
MONTGOMERY	1988	174.7 13	147.8 14	140.1 11	3.8 47	26.9 17	26.9 10	.0 56
	1985	121.8 16	99.0 12	91.4 B	.0 53	22.8 27	22.8 16	.0 58
NASSAU	1986	169.5 16	139.2 15	94.3 15	34.5 B	30.3 12	24.6 11	5.7 31
	1985	127.3 15	92.5 14	64.7 17	19.B 13	34.6 17	27.6 13	7.2 31
NEW YORK	1986 1985	2,626.7 · 1 2,186.9 1		1,576.5 1 1,102.3 1	528.3 1 396.1 1	393.7 1 556.6 1	154.1 1 232.3 1	229.4 1 324.2 1
NIAGARA	1984	96.8 26	80.7 23	44.7 28	30.0 9	16.1 44	12.0 38	4.1 41
	1985	56.4 35	42.0 29	28.2 33	8.3 32	14.3 46	B.B 47	5.5 38
ONEIDA	1984	67.2 38	52.3 33	34.6 39	16.1 24	14.9 46	12.9 33	2.0 53
	1985	49.9 38	39.1 32	23.1 40	14.0 22	10.8 53	9.6 14	1.2 55
ONONDAGA	1986	93.5 27	68.4 28	38.4 33	25.7 13	25.0 IB	14.7 26	10.4 13
	1985	86.8 26	59.1 25	27.5 35	29.6 7	27.7 21	12.5 35	15.3 11
ONTARIO	1986	49.9 4B	3B.0 43	34.7 38	2.2 53	11.9 55	7.6 51	4.3 39
	1985	6B.2 29	54.1 27	31.4 28	20.6 12	14.1 47	9.7 43	4.3 46
ORANGE .	1986	198.1 10	177.8 B	156.9 9	13.8 29	20.2 30	17.7 17	2.5 48
	1985	161.0 9	139.4 b	104.1 6	24.1 9	21.6 31	16.9 25	4.7 44
ORLEANS	1986	51.4 46	28.3 48	12.9 56	12.7 30	23.1 21	15.4 24	7.7 20
	1985	23.0 59	10.2 57	10.2 51	.0 53	12.8 49	7.7 50	5.1 40
OSNEGO	1986	75.3 34	46.0 37	21.7 . 47	22.6 16	29.3 14	19.2 13	10.0 16
	1985	31.1 51	11.8 55	5.9 57	5.9 36	19.3 37	16.9 41	8.4 27
OTSEGO	1986	52.4 44	33.8 45	11.8 57	16.9 22	18.6 3B	B.4 50	10.1 15
	1985	79.2 28	32.0 37	27.0 36	5.1 37	47.2 12	32.0 10	15.2 12

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

B-26

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

		TOTAL					CONTROLLED	SUBSTANC	E ARRES	TS					 MARIHUAN	A ARRESTS		· ·	
		DRUG ARREST:	3		Tota	1		Posses		-	Sale		Tota		 Posses			Sa	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	lank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	172.0 153.8	14 10		157.4 102.1	11 11		100. <i>6</i> 85.8	14		37.9 7.4	7 35	14.6 51.8	47 10	11.7 45.9	41 7		2.9 5.9	
TIOGA	1986 1985	78.4 41.7	33 44		49.0 29.B	35 42		27.5 23.8	43 38		15.7 2.0	25 50	29.4 11.9	13 51	11.8 4.6	40 59		17.6 7.9	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	53.7 48.8	43. 40	* .	40.0 29.5	42		18.3 14.7	50 45		10.3 10.2	32 27	13.7 19.3	49 38	9.1 18.2	49 21	•	4.6 1.1	
ULSTER	1986 1985	116.9 109.3	21 18		99.3 82.4	19 16		84.7 59.2	20 19		10.4 19.5	31 14	17.7 26.9	41 22	13.4 22.0	32 17	*	4.3	
HARREN	1986 1985	198.2 133.0	9 12		155.0 104.2	12		144.1 93.5	10		9.0 9.0	34 28	43.2 28.8	9 19	41.4 19.8	6 19		1.B 7.0	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	63.3 19.4	40 60		43.9 15.9	40 51		38.7 12.3	32 47		3.5 3.5	49 38	19.3 3.5	33 61	12. <b>3</b> 3.5	36 60		7.0	
WAYNE	1986 1985	101.1 98.2	23 22		78.4 74.2	25 21	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	55.7 58 3	25 20		21.6 12.6	17 23	22.7 24.0	22 21	12.5 14.8	<b>35</b> 28		10.2 9.1	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1585	327.5 252.1	<b>5</b> 5		280.9 195.0	b .		236.7 157.6	4		27.6	10 10	46.6 57.1	B 8	35.9 43.3	7		10.7 13.8	
NAUNING	1986 1985	27.0 27.0	57 56		19.6 7.4	56 59		19.6	4B 58		.0 2.5	57 45	 7.4 19.7	57 36	7.4 14.8	53 29		.0 4.9	
YATES	1986 1985	84.9 32.6	30 50		14.2 23.3	60 45		.0 4.7	62 59		14.2 18.6	27 15	70.8 9.3	7 57	14.2 4.7	28 57		56.6 4.7	
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	515.5 417.3	NA - NA .		421.7 296.1	NA NA		278.7 193.4	HA NA		113.5 77.0	- NA NA	93.9 121.1	HA NA	48.4 60.4	na Na		45.5 60.7	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	1,048.2 851.2	NA NA		870.4 611.7	NA NA	•	559.7 393.0	na Ka		249.0 164.7	NA NA	177.B 239.5	NA- NA	76.9 102.4	NA NA		100.9 137.1	) NA

<sup>#</sup> Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State - Sections 220 and 221).

NDIE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increase as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 &

DWI ARRESTS

									nw.	nuncara				
					Tot	al	 		Felo	эпу			 Hisdean	anor
COUNTY		YEAR			Rate	Rank		Ral	e E	Kank			Rate	Rank
At DANIE		1001				*0			n					20
ALBAHY		1986 1985			9.8 11.5	19 12			.9	17 8			9.0 10.5	20 12
ALLEGANY		1986			5.8	46			.2	52			5.6	43
		1985			5.2	54			.1	52			5.1	51
BRONX		1986			4.1				.0	58			4.1	56
		1985		-	4.4	. 58			.0	61			4.4	56
BROOKE		1986	•		4.7				.5	36	•		4.2	54
	•	1985			5.0	54			ه.	28			4.5	55
CATTARAUGUS		1986			11.1				1.2	3			9.9	16
:		1985			9.6	21			.9	9			8.7	24
CAYUGA		1986			5.8			٠.	.4	39			5.4	46
		1985			8.1	32			.6	29			7.6	33
CHAUTAUQUA		1986			8.9				.9	13			8.0	25
		1985			8.7	27	•		.7	20			8.0	28
CHENUNG		1986			5.5	51			9	14			4,6	52
		1985			5.3	53			.7	15		~	4.5	54
CHENANGO		1986			11.2	14			.7	25			10.5	13
		1985			11.0	13			.7	16			10.3	13
CLINTON		1986			9.4	21			.8	20			8.6	23
		1995			12.1	Ģ			.7	23			11.4	9
COLUMBIA		1986			7.4				.4	41			6.9	37
		1985			7.9				.4	41			7.5	35
		1985			7.9	36			. 1	41			7.5	

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 4 - Continued

DWI ARRESTS

						HRNESIS	 	
		Tota	1		Felo	ony	 Misdene	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	10.7 16.4	16 1		.1.	5å 62	10.6 16.4	11 1
DELAYARE	1986 1985	7.2 B.0	39 34		.4	42 47	6.9 7.8	38 29
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	8.3 9.6	29 20		.7 .7	23 18	7.6 8.9	32 21
ERIE	1986 1985	6.7 6.7	40 43	. •	.5 .5	33 34	6.2 6.3	41 42
ESSEX	1986 1985	11.7 11.8	10 11		.7	10 14	10.8 11.1	10 11
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	13.1	3 25		.6.	27 40	12.4 8.9	3 23
FULTON	1986 1985	5.9 6.3	45 46		.3 .5	46 31	5.6 5.7	44 45
BENESEE	1988 1985	10.2 9.4	17 22		.9 .5	11 35	9.3 9.0	18 20
GREENE	1985 1985	8.1 7.2	32 41		.9	12 - 44	7.3 6.8	34 39
HAMILTON	1986 1985	6.5 10.6	42 14		.0 .5	62 33	4.5 10.1	40 15
HERKIHER	1986 1985	7.4 7.5	37 39		.B	21 17	6.7 6.8	39 40

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1985 # - Continued

100	A	DDE	C1	2

							<del>.</del> <b> </b>		
		Tota	ì		Felo	ny		Hisdeau	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
								•	
JEFFERSON	1986	6.2	43		.6	28		5.6	45
	1985	7.7	38	•	.6	25		7.1	37
KINGS	1986	7.6	60		.0	60		2.6	60
KINGS	1985	3.2	59		.0	59	•	3.2	59
TEA12	1986	5.5	49.		1.0	9		4.6	53
	1985	6.0	47		.9	10.		5.2	50
LIVINGSTON	1986	11.6	11		.5	35		11.1	7
	1985	13.6	4		.5	34		13.1	- 4
MADISON	1986	8.4	28		-4	38		7.9	
<b>.</b>	1985	8.8	26		44	37		8.3	26
NONROE	1986	5.5	50		.8	22		4.7	51
	1985	5.5	50	•	.7	19		4.8	53
NA ITARIJANI	4004	4.						40.0	
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	14.1 9.4	2 24		1.3	12		12.6 8.5	2 25
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1107	7.1	41		•0	12		0.4	23
NASSAU	1986	4.5	56		.3	43		4.1	55
	1985	5.2	55		.3	45		4.9	52
NED VAND	1001	• •				57			E0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	3.1 3.1	59 60		.0	57 57		3.1 3.1	59 60
	1100	<b>3.1</b>						3.1	50
NIAGARA	1986	8.0	- 35		.2	51		7.8	30
	1985	8.2	29	* *	.2	48		8.0	27
ONEIDA	1001		AD.			15			40
ONEIDA	1986 1985	5.6 5.6	48 49		.3	<b>45</b> 50		5.3 5.4	49 48
	. PMN	0.0	•••					₩. T	

( Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984 \* - Continued

	the second of th					ING	ARRESTS			
		· Tota	1		-	Felo	ny		Nisdéac	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	5.1 5.4	52 51			.2	48 51		4.9 5.2	49 49
ONTARIO	1986 1985	12.0 10.1	5 18	:		1.7	i 2		10.4 8.9	14 22
ORANGE	1986 1985	9.0 8.0	24 35	· . • • ·		1.1	7		7.9 7.0	28 38
ORLEANS	1986 1985	5.9 4.7	44 57	•		.6 1.1	3! 5		5.4 3.6	47 58
OSVE60 :	1986 1985	8.3 8.1	31 33			.2	47 43		8.0 7.8	24 30
OTSEGO	1986 1985	-11.9 14.0	3			.8	16 13		11.1 13.2	8
PUTNAN	1986 1985	9.6 9.4	20 23			.1.	54 56		9.5 9.3	17 18
DUCENS	1986 1985	1.9	62 62			.0	59 58	•	1.9 2.0	62 62
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	9.1 9.8	23 19			.4	40 46		8.4 9.5	22 17
RICHNOND	1986 1985	2.8 2.7	61 61			.0	61 60		2.4 2.7	16 16
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	4.4	57 45	•		.6	20 20		3.9 5.7	58 46

( Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 & - Continued

DWI ARRESTS

					 <b>_</b>				 	
			Tota				Felo	ny	Ni sdead	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	t en	Rate	Rank		-	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	•	11.4 10.3	12 17			.8	19 21	10.4	12 16
SARATOGA	1986 1985	•	11.8 13.0	9	•		.5 .1	37 42	11.4 12.6	- 6
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		6.5 6.8	41 42			.7	26 24	5.8 6.2	42 43
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985		11.9	7 01			.2 .5	50 32	11.7 11.4	. 5 10
SCHUYLER ;	1986 1985		8.4 7.4	26 40			.8 .7	18 22	7.6 6.7	31 41
SENECA	1986 1985		9.9 10.4	18 15			1.2	4	8.8 9.2	21 19
STEUBEN	1986 1985		7.9 6.5	36 44			.B	15 27	7.0 5.8	36 44
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		9.1 10.4	22 16			.l .l	55 55	9.0 10.3	19 14
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		12.0 12.4	8			1.0	8 26	- 11.0 11.7	<b>9</b> B
TIDGA	1986 1985		8.3 8.6	30 28			1.2	5 4	7.1 7.5	35 34
TORPKINS	1984 1985		8.1 7.7	34			.2 . i	53 54	7.9 7.6	28 32

( Continued on next page.)

						DNI	ARREST	S			·	
			Tota	1		 Felo	ny				Kisdeae	anor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank				Rate .	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985		8.1 8.1	33 31		.7	24 39				7.4	33 31
WARREN	1986 1985		15.3 16.2	1 2		.3	44 53				14.9 16.1	1 2
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		11.3 13.5	13 5	. *	1.1	6 3				10.2 12.3	15 7
NAYNE	1986 1985		12.4 13.2	4		.5	34 38			٠.	11.9 12.7	4 5
WESTCHESTER .	1986 1985		5). 1 5) 8	53 48		.2	49 49				4.9 5.6	50 47
WYOMING	1988 1985		B.4 B.2	27 30		å. 8.	32 11				7.9 7.3	29 36
YATES	1986 1985		4.6 5.4	<b>55</b> 52		1.0	29 7				4.0 4.4	57 57
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985		6.4	na Na		.4	KA Na		•		5.6 6.1	NA NA
HEM AOUK CITA	1986 1985		2.7 2.9	NA NA		.0	NA NA				2.7 2.9	HA NA

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DNI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

<sup>\*</sup> Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Lam: State of New York - Section 1192).

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE JA FELONY INDICIMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 198

FELONY INDICINENTS

			. Vì d	ilent		Ot	her	 To	ital	
COUNTY		Year	Husber	Percent		Number	Percent	Husber	Percent	
ALBANY		1986 1985	178 144	38.4 32.1		286 304	61.4 67.9	464 448	100.0 100.0	
ALLEGANY		1988 1985	B 10	14.3 18.2		48 45	85.7 81.8	5 <i>6</i> 55	100.0 100.0	
BRONX		1986 1985	3,650 3,738	49.1 56.4		3,778 2,895	50.9 43.6	7,428 6,633	100.0 100.0	
BROOKE	•	1986 1985	131 149	27.3 26.9		349 405	72.7 73.1	480 554	100.0	
CATTARAUGUS		1984 1985	25 20	22.1 22.0		88 71	77.9 78.3	113 91	100.0	
CAYUGA		1984 1985	32 31	31.1 29.8		- 71 73	68.9 70.2	103 104	100.0 100.0	
CHAUTAUQUA		1986 1985	57 51	23.5 23.3		186 169	76.5 76.7	243 219	100.0 100.0	
CHEHUNG		1984 1985	73 76	29.2 22.4		177 264	70.8 77.6	250 340	100.0 100.0	
CHENANGO		1986 1985	12 17	9.0 30.4		122 39	91.0 69.6	134 56	100.0 100.0	
CLINTON		1986 1985	42 37	28.2 28.9		107 91	71.8 71.1	149 129	100.0 100.0	
COLUMBIA		1986 1985	11 31	21.2 34.1		41 60	78.8 65.9	52 91	100.0 100.0	
CORTLAND		1984 1985	23 20	24.7 15.5		70 109	75.3 84.5	93 129	100.0 100.0	
DELAWARE		1984 1985	<b>9</b> 8	32.1 29.6	*	19 19	67.9 70.4	28 27	100.0 100.0	

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

FELONY INDICTMENTS	FELO	HY IN	DICT	1ENTS
--------------------	------	-------	------	-------

				 		¥		
		Vio	lent	Ot	her		Te	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Percent		Nuaber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	82 80	24.6 21.4	251 273	75.4 78.6		- 333 373	100.0 100.0
**	1703	09	71.4		70.0		. 313	100.0
ERIE	1986	435	31.1	943	48.9		1,398	100.0
	1985	473	31.5	1,028	68.5		1,501	100.0
ESSEX	1986	15	11.7	113	BB.3		128	100.0
•	1985	19	20.2	75	77.8		94	100.0
FRANKLIN	1984	12	23.1	40	76.9		52	100.0
	1985	14	17.5	99	82.5		80	100.0
FULTON	- 1984	27	32.9	55	67.1		82	100.0
	1985	12	15.7	70	84.3		63	100.0
BENESEE	1986	22	19.6	90	80.4		112	100.0
	1985	28	25.7	81	74.3		109	100.0
GREENE	1986	23	27.7	40	72.3		83	100.0
	1985	22	34.4	42	45.4		64	100.0
HAHILTON	1986	. 3	18.8	13	81.3		- 16	100.0
	1985	1	16.7	5	83.3		6	100.0
HERKIHER	1986	. 10	12.2	72	87.8		82	100.0
	1985	. 15	24.2	47	75.8		62	100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 -	66	22.1	233	77.9		299	100.0
	1785	65	23.4	213	76.6		278	100.0
KINGS -	1986	4,514	52.9	 4,025	47.1		8,539	100.0
	1985	4,644	59.9	3,107	40.1		7,751.	100.0
LEWIS	1985	9	25.0	27	75.0		36	100.0
	1985	5	13.2	33	84.8		38	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1984	43	16.4	219	83.4		262	100.0
	1985	47	22.1	166	77.9		213	100.0

( Continued on next page. )

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1983 AND 1986 - Continued

		*				FELDNY	INDICTHEN	15	•	
		•		lent	 		her		To	ital
COUNTY	Year			Percent	•		Percent		Nuaber	Persent
HADISON	1986 1985		32 30	23.2 22.4		106 104	76.8 77.6		138 134	100.0 100.0
NONROE	1984 1985		427 447	29.9 30.7		1,001 1,010	70.1 69.3		1,428 1,457	100.0 100.0
MONTGONERY	1984 1985		21 15	18.4 18.3		93 67	81.6		114 82	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985		961 962	36.0 39.9		1,712	64.0 60.1		2,673 2,409	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985		4,202 4,416	59.3 63.6		2,885 2,523	40.7 36.4		7,087 6,939	100.0
NIAGARA	1984 1985		101 98	28.5 28.3		254 248	71.5 71.7		355 346	100.0 100.0
DNEIDA	1986 1985		190 139	33.0 31.6		301	67.0 48.4		576 440	100.0 100.0
DNONDAGA	1986 1985		420 399	31.7 31.4		904 870	68.3 68.6		1,324 1,269	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1984 1985		63 49	23.7 22.9		203 165	76.3 77.1		265 214	100.0
ORANGE	1985 1985		152 145	31.2 27.7		335 379	68.8 72.3		497 524	100.0 100.0
DRLEANS	1986 1985		30 52	30.3 35.9		69 93	69.7 64.1		99 145	100.0 100.0
DSWEGO	1984 1985		37 39	21.9 21.3		132 144	78.1 70.7		16 <b>9</b> 163	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985		10 7	22.2 25.9		35 20	77.8 74.1	•	45 27	100.0 100.0

( Continued on next page. )

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

CEL	UNA	THATCTE	CHTC

•					 FELONY	INDICTHEN	TS		
		Via	lent		 01	her		To	tal
COUNTS	Year		Percent			Percent			Percent
PUTNAN	1986 1985	32 15	18.7 13.4		139 ·	81.3		171 112	100.0
QUEENS	1984	3,297	43.8		4,223	54.2		7,520	100.0
	1985	2,964	50.5		2,911	49.5	•	5,875	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986	- 45	27.1		121	72.9		166	100.0
	1985	52	28.3		132	71.7		194	100.0
RICHHOND	1986	214	41.1		307	58.9		521	100.0
	1985	175	54.5		146	45.5		321	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986	84	19.7		342	80.3		426	100.0
	1985	79	18.0		341	82.0		440	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986	26	13.5		166			192	100.0
	1985	37	18.7		161	81.3		198	100.0
SARATOGA	1988	, 49	31.6		106	68.4		155	100.0
	1985	62	38.0		101	62.0		163	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	48	28.2		122	71.8		170	100.0
	1985	59	25.0		177	75.0		236	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	9 6	18.8 28.6		39 15	81.3 71.4		48 21	100.0 100.0
	1703	. 6	70.0		13	///		21	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	13	40.6 32.1		19 19	59.4 67.9		32 28	100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	13 7	17.3 17.9		62 32	82.7 82.1		75 39	100.0 100.0
				•					
STEUBEN	1986 1985	34 34	21.4 28.3		 125 86	78.6 71.7		159 120	100.0 100.0
	•						•		
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	817 971	29.2 32.0		1,983 2,061	70.8 68.0		2,800 3,032	100.0 100.0
					-1-00			21.02	

( Continued on next page. )

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

FELONY INDICTHENTS

		Viq	lent		01	ther -		10	tal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Nuaber	Percent		· Number	
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_~~~~						******
SULLIVAN	1986	49	22.2		172	77.8		221	100.0
	1985	46	32.6		95	67.4		141	100.0
TIDGA	1986	19	19.0		81	81.0		100	100.0
	1985	7	9.6	•	66	90.4		73	100.0
IDHPKINS	1986	41	24.8		124	75.2		165	. 100.0
,	1985	38	27.7		99	72.3		137	160.0
JLSTER	1986	51	22.4		\$77	77.6		228	100.0
	1985	64	20.8		244	79.2		308	100.0
IARREN	1986	. 11	26.8		30	73.2		41	100.0
	1985	13	26.5		36	73.5		49	100.0
HASHINGTON	1986	18	25.7		52	74.3	•	70	100.0
	1985	25	32.9		51	67.1		76	100.0
WAYNE	1986	34	15.7		182	84.3		216	100.0
	1985	34	17.3		163	82.7		197	100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986	499	35.1		924	64.9		1,423	100.0
444,01544,011	1985	569	39.7		964	60.3		1,433	100.0
HYDNING	1986	17	27.0		- 4&	73.0		63	100.0
***************************************	1985	6	9.4		58	90.6		64	100.0
YATES	1986	15	29.4		36	70.6		51	100.0
,	1985	7	13.7		44	86.3		51	100.0
IEN YORK STATE	1986	21,833	39.1		33,977	60.9		55,810	100.0
	1985	22,000	44.0		28,016	56.0		59,016	100.0
HEN YORK CITY	1986	16,115	44.9		19,755	55.1		35,870	100.0
	1985	16,102	53.0		14,291	47.0		30,393	100.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS #

		Convic	tions	-	Ac	quitt	als	 D	isai	sals	 Not Pro	osecuted			Other	 1	otal.
COUNTY	Year	Number 1	Percent		Numb	er P	ercent	Nua	ber	Percent	- Nuaber	Percent		Nusbe	r Percent	Nueber	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	4,286 4,491	77.9 77.9			20 15	.4		165 230	21.2 21.3	3	.1		2 2		5,502 5,767	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	268 220	71.5 67.7			0	.0		105 103	28.0 31.7	0	.0			2 .5 0 .0	375 325	
BRONX	1984 1985	23,735 24,651	66.2 70.5			30 49	1.0	10, 8,	486 674	29.2 24.8	1,172 1,181	3.3 3.4		14		35,872 34,986	
BROOME	1986 1985	2,347 2,152	74.7 74.6			21 17	.7		678 635	21.6 22.0	0	.0				3,144 2,883	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	: 854 728	84.6 82.1			4 3	.4		148 153	14.7 17.2	0	.0			4 .4 2 .2	1,010 887	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	641 845	70.8 75.1			9	1.0		254 278	28.0 24.7	0	.0			2 . <b>2</b>	904 1,125	
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	1,514 1,522	74.3 75.6			13 10	.6		502 476	24.6 23.6	· i	.0			5 .4 5 .2	2,039 2,014	100.0 100.0
CHEXIF 1	1986 1985	1,047 1,126	74.4 74.9			5 7	.4 .5		353 366	25.1 24.4	0	.0			2 .i 4 .3	1,407 1,503	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	524 597	77.9 75.5	· • .		0	.0		143 176	21.2 22.3	0 2	.0			6 .9 9 1.1	673 791	
CLINTON	1986 1985	814 907	79.5 83.2			8	.8		192 178	18.8 16.3	0	.0	٠.	. 1	0 1.0 4 .4	1,024 1,090	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	571 666	58.6 61.2			1 2	.1		401 415	41.2 30.1	0	.0			1 .1	974 1,089	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	576 744	56.5 67.1			4 -	.4 .4		438 359	42.9 32.4	0	.0			2 .2	1,020 1,109	
DELANARE	1986 1985	466 476	74.9 72.8	· ·		14	.2 .6		155 173	24.9 26.5	0	.0			0 .0	622 654	

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS \*

		Convi	ctions	 •	ittals			issals			osecuted	-		Other			otal
COUNTY	Year 	Number	Percent		Percent			Percent	•		Percent			r Percent			Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	2,515 2,947	59.9 62.0	B 4	.2		1,597			0 2	.0		8			4,201 4,753	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	10,704 11,280	59.6 63.7	196 212			6,949 6,132			75 53	.4		2 4			17,945 17,720	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	432 428	75.0 77.4	0 2			139 117			0	.0			5 .9 6 1.1		576 553	100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	840 540	82.2 83.6	2 2			135 104			1 0	.1			1 .1		779 646	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	: 523 562	80.8 79.3	1 0	.2	•	120 142			0	.0	•		3 .5		647 709	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985	669 586	80.5 92.1	4 3	.5		123 123			1 2	.i			1. 1		830 714	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	560 431	81.9 79.8	5 3			117 102			0 1	.0			3 .6		684 540	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	38 37	82.6 80.4	0	.0		8			0	.0			0 .0		46 46	100.0 100.0
HERKIMER	1986 1985	518 540	86.8 88.5	. 1	.2		75 64			• 0	.0			3 .5 5 1.0	•	597 619	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	846 784	85.9 86.0	1 3	.1		128 113			i 2	2			9 .9		987 906	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	32,464 29,258	63.1 64.6	J48 345			16,015 13,129			2,349 2,387	4.6 5.3		27 20			51,448 45,323	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	98 160	76.0 79.6	0	.0 .5		29 38			0	.0			2 1.6		129 201	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	903 883	78.9 81.6	5 2	.4		235 189			0	.0			2 .2	•	1,145 1,082	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS #

•			victions		Acquit				ssals		secuted	 	01	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Huaber				Percent		Percent	•	Number	Percent		Nueber	Percent
MADISON	1 1986 1985	71: 64i			6 0	.7		144 124	16.6 16.0	0	.0		5	.6		870 773	
MONROE	1986 1985	5,37 5,57			101 104	.9		5,750 5,218	49.6 46.7	282 212	2.4 1.9		86 71	.7		11,593 11,177	
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	654 634			4	. b . b		51 75	7.1 10.4	0 5	.0		10 3	1.4		715 721	
NASSAU	1986 1985	10,98 12,74			122 95	.9		i,978 1,675	14.4	187 103	.1.4		4B9 218	3.6 1.5		13,758 14,838	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	67,60 67,28		•	401 375	.4		30,519 26,566	30.2 26.6	1,667 2,225	1.7 2.2		829 1,448	.8 1.4		101,019	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	2,92 3,02			20 36	.5 .9	. •	737 775	20.0 20.2	0	.0		- 7 13	.2	·	3,691 3,844	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	2,05 2,00			7 6	.3		403 392	16.3	2	.1		7	.3		2,473 2,411	100.0 100.0
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	3,86 3,95			35 39	.5		2,524 2,488	38.9 37.8	3 2	.0		61 98	. 9 1.5		6,485 6,576	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1,24			7	.5 1.1		254 204	16.9	4 12	.3		7 5	.5		1,519	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	2,74 3,05			·· 7	.1,		1,885 2,102	40.0	3 4	1		6 <b>3</b> 78	1.3		4,707 5,255	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	35 27			0 12	.0 2.9	•	105 115	22.6 28.0	1 3	.2		4	9 .7		465 410	
OSWEGO	1986 1985	1,07 1,13			7	.5 .5		39 <u>6</u> 307	26.7 21.0	1	.1	÷	3 13	.2		1,482 1,464	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985	73 85			4 5	.4		188 190	20.2 18.0	0	.0		4 12	1.1	•	933 1,058	

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

COUNTY         Year         Number         Percent         Number	7 195 119 1 6	.5 .6 .7 .5 .1	Number 1,172 1,085 26,385 25,624	Percent 100.0 100.0
COUNTY         Year         Number Percent         Number Percent	6 7 195 119 1 6	.5 .6 .7 .5 .1	1,172 1,085 26,385 25,624	100.0 100.0
BUEENS 1986 16,996 64.4 299 1.1 8,756 33.2 159 .5 1985 17,111 66.8 287 1.1 7,825 30.5 282 1.1  RENSSELAER 1986 1,431 77.1 8 .4 415 22.4 0 .0 .0 .1985 1,493 76.9 9 .5 433 22.3 0 .0 .0	7 195 119 1 6	.6 .7 .5	1,085 26,385 25,624	100.0
1985 17,111 66.8 287 1.1 7,825 30.5 282 1.1  RENSSELAER 1986 1,431 77.1 8 .4 415 22.4 0 .0 1985 1,493 76.9 9 .5 433 22.3 0 .0	1 6	.5	25,624	
1985 1,493 76.9 9 .5 433 22.3 0 .0 ,	b			
RICHMOND 1986 2.286 44.2 13 .3 2.246 43.4 584 11.3	112	.3	1,855 1,941	100.0 100.0
1985 2,209 42.9 15 .3 2,255 43.8 631 12.3	46 36	.7	5,175 5,146	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND 1986 1,624 66.6 2 .1 791 32.5 0 .0 1985 1,962 71.5 4 .1 761 27.7 0 .0		.B	2,437 2,743	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE 1986 1,044 74.1 5 .4 353 25.1 0 .0 1985 807 67.9 9 .8 366 30.8 0 .0		.5	1,40B 1,18B	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA 1986 2,297 74.3 6 .2 784 25.3 1 .0 1985 2,356 76.5 3 .1 716 23.2 0 .0		.2	3,093 3,080	100.0
SCHENECTADY 1986 1,727 77.0 7 .3 475 21.2 2 .1 1985 1,565 76.3 7 .3 448 21.9 5 .2		1.4 1.2	2,242 2,050	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE 1986 369 70.0 1 .2 149 28.3 0 .0 1985 339 72.7 4 .9 120 25.8 1 .2		1.5 .4	527 466	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER 1986 224 83.9 0 .0 43 16.1 0 .0 1985 218 84.2 1 .4 39 15.1 0 .0	0	.0	267 259	100.0 100.0
SENECA     1986     358     65.6     1     .2     186     34.1     0     .0       1985     293     62.2     1     .2     172     36.5     2     .4		.2	546 471	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN     1986     810     75.4     4     .4     254     23.7     1     .1       1985     707     71.7     7     .7     266     27.0     1     .1		.5 .5	1,074 986	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK     1986     13,939     76.8     117     .6     3,988     22.0     3     .0         1985       14,261       77.4       96       .5       3,982       21.6       4       .0		.5 .5	18,143 18,434	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS \*

		Convi	ctions		Acquitt		Disai	ssals		Not Prose	cuted	Oth	er		To	otal .
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Nuaber P	1		Percent		Number P	ercent	Number I	Percent		Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	1,253 1,300	72.2 67.2		5	.3	470 626	27.1 32.4		0	.0	8 5	.5 .3		1,736 1,935	
TIOGA	1986 1985	472 494	79.2 79.4		<b>5</b>	.8	116 125	19.5 20.1		0	.0	3 2	.5	- ·	596 622	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	70& 802	51.5 60.8		- 1 11	.1	638 493	46.5 36.6		0 5	.0	27 19	2.0 1.4		1,372 1,320	
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,847 1,718	69.3		8 12	.3 .5	832 741	30.8 29.9	*	3 1	.1	10 7	.4		2,700 2,479	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	1,103	71.9 72.9		0 2	.0	428 402	27.9 26.4		0	.0	3 6	.2		1,534 1,524	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON -	1986 1985	545 597	80.0 81.0		0 2	.0	135 133	19.8 16.0		0	.0	1 - 5	.1		631 737	100.0 100.0
HAYNE	1986 1985	1,311	71.5 77.1		P 4	.5	511 366	27.9 22.3		0 2	.0	 3 4	.2		1,834 1,642	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	8,423 8,192	72.1 72.3		55 71	.5	2,824 2,846	24.2 25.1		116 67	1.0	260 161	2.2 1.4		11,678 11,337	100.0 100.0
MADATHO	1986 1985	197 195	70.4 73.9	•	. 0 -	.4	81 67	28.9 25.4		0	.0	1	.4		280 264	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	156 144	85.7 84.2		0 2	1.2	25 23	13.7 13.5	e de la companya de l	0	.0	1 2	.5 1.2		102 171	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	248,728 251,609	67.0 69.3		2,257 2,261	. 6	110,615 97,038	29.8 27.3		6,602 7,220	1.B 2.0	3,027 3,136	.8		371,229 363,264	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	143,093 142,521	65.1 67.5		1,391 1,371	6	68,033 58,460	30.9 27.7		5,711 6,706	2.7 3.2	1,491	.7		219,919 210,996	100.0 100.0

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

P Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

		Canvi		-	Acquit			ssals		Not Pros		 . 01	her	 To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number			Number			Percent		Rusber		Humber	Percent	Number	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	1,302 1,255	BO.7 79.3		19	1.2	 282 300	17.5 19.0		3	.2	8 16	.5 1.0	1,614 1,582	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	77 47	87.5 75.8	*	0	.0	10 15	11.4 <sup>-</sup> 24.2		0	.0	i 0	1.1	88 62	
BRONX	1986 1985	13,254 13,187	64.7 67.9		293 296	1.4	6,182 5,193	30.2 26.8		670 677	3.3 3.5	82 736	.4 3.8	20,481 17,412	
BROOME	1986 1985	550 534	80.8 79.9		4 7	1.0	105 108	15.4 16.2		0	.0	22 19	3.2 2.8	681 668	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	168 117	85.7 90.7		1 2	.5 1.6	25 10	12.8 7.8		0	.0	2	1.0	194 129	
CAYUSA	1986 1985	181 206	84.6 82.1		2 0	.9	29 45	13.5		0 0	.0	2	.9	214 251	
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	326 · 290	82.7 85.5		0	.3	67 46	17.0 13.6		0 1	.0	1 2	.3	394 339	
CHEHUNG -	1986 1985	27& 283	89.9 90.1		2 5	1.6	 - 28 26	9.1 8.3		0	.0	1 0	.0	307 314	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	72 107	79.1 87.0			.0 2.4	16 8	17.6 6.5		0	. Ú.	3 5	3.3 4.1	91 123	
CLINTON	1986 1985	213 175	79.2 87.9		8	3.0 .5	 42 22	15.6 11.1			.0	6	2.2	269 199	
COLUNBIA	1986 1985	172 186	70.B 68.4		0	.0	70 79	28.8 29.0		0 2	.7	i 6	.4 2.2	243 272	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	144 132	88.3 78.1		0	.0	19 36	11.7 21.3		0	.0	0	.0	163 169	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	107 72	87.0 78.0		0 2	.0	16 24	13.0 20.3		0	.0	0	e. 0.	123 118	

TABLE 4B DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS \*

		Convic		 Acquit	tals	-	Disni			 Not Pro		 	Ot	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent	Number	Percent		-Nucher				Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	728 800	68.2 70.5	2 2	.2		323 315	30.2 27.8		0	.0		15 18	1.4 1.6		1,048 1,135	
ERIE	1986 1985	2,780 2,738	57.3 59.5	96 89	2.0 1.9		1,888 1,707	38.9 37.1		74 44	1.5 1.0		- 14 66	.3 1.4	•	4,852 4,600	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	102 73	76.1 80.2	0	.0		29 17	21.6 18.7		0	.0		3	2,2 1.1		134 91	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	100 95	84.7 90.5	0 2	.0		. 18 . 8	13.6 7.6		1 0	.0		1	.0		118 105	
FULTON	1986 1985	:129 169	96.3 88.9	1 0	.7		4 20	3.0 10.5		0	.0 .5		0	.0 .5		134 190	
GENESEE	1986 1985	107 131	88.4 92.9	0	.0		13 8	10.7 5.7		. 1	.8		0	.0 .7		121 141	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	147	84.0 82.1	3 2	1.7		25 19	- 14.3 <sub>-</sub> 15.4		0	.0 .B		0	.0 .8		175 123	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	16 11	100.0 73.3		.0		0	.0 26.7		0	.0		0	.0		16 15	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1986 1985	137 113	91.9 B9.0	0	.0		12 14	8.1 11.0		0	.0		0	.0		149 127	100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	246 226	82.8 87.3	0	.0 1.2		49 21	16.5 8.3		1 2	.3		1 3	1.2		297 253	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	18,550 17,440	61.6 64.0	324 323	1.1		9,347 7,460	31.1 27.4		1,711 1,882	5.7 6.9		168 2,006	.6 7.4	•	30,100 27,229	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	46 39	95.8 90.7	0	.0 2.3		1 2	2.1 4.7	, i	0	.0		1	2.1 2.3		48 43	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	154 94	92.2 87.9	2	1.2		- 11 - 10	6.6 9.3		0	.0		0 2	.0 1.9		167 197	100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

				-			 ********		 							
		Convictio			Acquit		 Dismis		Not Pros			- OŁ	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number Per			Number 1		Number		Number			Nuober	Percent		Number	Percent
MADISON	1986 1985		86.7 86.7		3	1.B .0	16 17	9.7 12.6	0 0	.0		3	1.8		165 135	
HONROE	1986 1985		63.2 64.6		39 51	1.4	643 595	23.8 23.5	274 209	10.1 8.3		39 250	1.4		2,705 2,530	100.0 100.0
HONTGONERY	1786 1985		94.9 80.9		1 2	.8 1.8	5 12	10.91	0 5	.0 4.5		0 7	.0 6.4		118 110	
HASSAU	1986 1985		72.7 82.9		57 46	1.2	648 452	13.4 10.0	180 78	3.7 2.2		440 274	9.1 6.1	•	4,849 4,518	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	*	56.1 60.3		312 318	.9	14,667 13,172	40.3	758 647	2.1 1.0		228 870	. 6 2. 4		36,364 36,138	100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985		79.8 80.0		7	.9 1.2	154 156	18.9	0	.0		4	.5 .5		815 851	100.0 100.0
DNEIDA	1986 1985		86.4 90.6		3	.5	71 51	12.1 8.7	2 0	.3		4	.7		587 584	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985		72.9 72.8		18 18	1.0	505 464	25.0 24.8	· i	.0		25 26	1.2 1.4		2,023 1,871	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	178å 1785		93.4 88.6		3	1.0	11 12	3.B 5.3	3	1.0 3.9		2 11	.7 4.8		290 228	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985		67.8 68.0		4 7	.4 .5	341 368	30.4 28.4	2 4	.2		15 29	1.3		1,123 1,294	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1984 1985		05.5 71.8		10	.0 7.6	18 24	11.8 18.3	1 2	.7 1.5	•	3	2.0 2.3	•	152 131	100.0 100.0
OSNEGO	1986 1985		97.6 92.1		3 1	1.1	31 12	11.0 4.5	0	.0		1 8	.4 3.0		283 265	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985		82.3 77.3		3 1	2.0	21 31	14.3 18.0	0	.0		2 7	1.4		147 172	100.0 100.0

TABLE 49 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1935 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

		Convict		 	Acquitt			Disai	7.7	 Not P				Ot	her		Te	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nuøber 1		-	Nu≢ber F			Nuaber	Percent	Nusbe	r Pe			Number	Percent		Humber	Percent
PUTNAM	1986 1985	103 97	52.6 51.6		0 2	.0		91 86	46.4 45.7		0	.0		2	1.0 1.6		196 188	
QUEENS	1986 1985	9,203 7,739	61.9 62.7		23B 207	1.6		5,201 4,138	35.0 33.5	- 10 17		.7 1.4		132 252	.9 2.0	•	14,879 12,338	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	319 332	81.4 81.4		2 1	.5	ч	71 74	18.1		0	.0	•	· · · · 0	.0		392 408	
RICHNOND	1986 1985	1,004 767	47.1		<b>9</b>	.4		86 <b>5</b> _ 887	42.3 43.4	13 15		6.4 7.4		38 183	1.9		2,046 2,046	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	526 567	74.3 76.4		2	.3		175 171	24.7 23.0		0	.0 .0		5 2	.7		708 742	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	95 69	67.4 68.3		0	.0 1.0		44 29	31.2 28.7		0	.0		2 2	1.4 2.0		141 101	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	438 389	83.7 78.6		- 3 1	. 2		B0 103	15.3 20.8		1 -	.2		1 2	.2		523 - 495	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	414 374	82.8 81.1		3 4	.6		- 71 69	14.2 15.0		2 3	.4 .7		10 14	2.0 3.0		500 461	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	85 59	82.5 79.7		1	1.0 2.7		13 12	12. <i>&amp;</i> 16.2		0	.0		4	3.9 1.4	•	103 74	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	52 52	88.1 74.5		0	.0		7	11.9 5.5		0	.0		0	.0		59 55	100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	98 50	76.6 74.6	•	i 1	.8 1.5		29 16	22.7 23.9	•	0	.0		0	.0		128 67	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	175 149	74.8 76.8		4 5	1.7 2.6		53 37	22.6 17.1		1	.4 .5		1 3	.4 1.5		234 194	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,638 3,774	79.7 80.5		44 45	1.0 1.0		845 824	18.5 17.6		2 2	.0		34 45	.7 1.0		4,563 4,688	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

				ictions	 		ttals	. ~	 Disai	ssals		 Not Pro	secuted		01	her		1	otal
COUNTY		ear		Percent		7	Percent		Husber	Percent	- ' '	Nucher	Percent		Number	Percent		Number	Percent
SULLIVAN		786 785	360 335	77.1 69.6		2	.4.		104 144	22.3		0	.0	•	i 2	.2		467 481	
TIOGA		786 785	116 94			2	1.5		 15 11	11.3 10.4		0	.0		0	.0	•	133 104	
TOMPKINS		184 185	109 157	61.5		0	1.7		57 66	32.2 27.8		0 2	.0		11 10	8.2 4.2		177 237	
ULSTER		184 185	464 434	74.2 74.3		- 4 4	.6		152 140	24.3 24.0		3	.5		. 2 6	.3 1.0		625 584	
WARREN		785	: 221 179	72.5 75.2		0 2	.0 .8		84 57	27.5 23.9		0	.0		0	.0		305 238	
HASHINGTON		186 185	135 125	82.8 86.2		0 2	.0 1.4		27 14	16.6		· 0	.0		1	. 6 2. B		. 163 145	
WAYNE		186 185	217 · 234	80.7 91.1		0	.0		52 21	19.3 8.2		0	.0		0	.0		269 257	100.0 100.0
NESTCHESTER		78 <b>6</b> 785	2,711 2,767	76.7 79.1		30 43	.B 1.2		677 600	19.2 17.2		51 37	1.4 1.1		<b>65</b> 86	1.8 2.5		3,534 3,496	
NYONING		186 185	62 70			0	.0		7 6	10.0 7.9		0	.0	•	1 0	1.4		70 76	
YATES		186 185	51 49			0	.0		1 4	1. <i>%</i> 7.4		0	.0		1	1.9 1.9		53 54	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	15	786 785	90,738 89,207	63.8 66.5		1,555 1,558	1.1		44,469 38,400	31.3 28.6		3,977 3,967	2.8 3.0		1,409 4,999	1.0 3.7		142,148 134,164	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY		786 785	62,418 61,115			1,176 1,155	1.1 1.2		36,270 30,855	34.9 31.0		3,374 3,535	3.2 3.6		649 4,047	4.2		103,886 97,172	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

TABLE SA FELONY, NISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

					-	Felony											********		-	
	<u>.</u>		Tota			-	ilent			her		•	Xisde	<b>Seanor</b>		Lesser D	Iffenses		, To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Number	Percent			Percent			Percent			Number	Percent		Number	Percent		Kuzber	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985		313 288	7.3 6.4		82 61	1.9		231 227	5.4 5.1			1,939 2,000	45.2 44.5		2,034 2,203	47.5 49.1		4,286 4,491	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985		32 17	11.9 7.7		2 2	.7		30 15	11.2 6.8			173 142	64.6 64.5		63 61	23.5 27.7		268 220	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1786 1785		4,987 4,353	21.0 17.7	• .	2,249 2,184	9.5 8.9		2,738 2,169	11.5 8.8			12,800 13,109	53.9 53.2		5,948 7,189	25.1 29.2		23,735 24,651	100.0 100.0
BROOKE	1985 1985	:	315 266	13.4 12.4		67 71	2.9 3.3		248 195	10.6			1,577 1,448	67.2 67.3		. 455 438	19.4 20.4		2,347 2,152	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985		63 41	7.4 5.6		, B	.9		55 37	6.4 5.1			507 490	59.4 67.3		284 197	33.3 27.1		854 728	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1984 1985	-	81 73	12.4 8.6		18 11	2.B 1.3		63 62	7.8 7.3			391 551	61.0 65.2		149 221	26.4 26.2		641 845	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985		121 111	8.0- 7.3		29 24	1.9		92 87	6.1 5.7			972 968	64.2 63.6	• . • .	421 443	27.8 29.1	•	1,514 1,522	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1786 1785		190 197	18.1 17.5		52 45	5.0 4.0	•	138 152	13.2 13.5			684 762	65.3 67.7	-	, 173 167	16.5 14.9		1,047 1,126	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985		- 18 : 23	3.4 3.9		5	1.0		13 17	2.5 2.5			275 351	52.5 58.8		231 223	44.1 37.4		524 597	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1786 1785		63 55	7.7 6.1		7	.4		56 51	6.9 5.6	· · · · ·		371 435	45.6 48.0		380 417	46.7 46.0		814 907	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1786 1985		43 64	7.5 9.6		10	1.8		33 57	5.0 8.6		_	258 330	45.2 49.5		270 272	47.3 40.8		571 666	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1984 1985		73 72	12.7 9.7		13 13	2.3 1.7		60 59	10.4			217 26B	37.7 36.0		286 404	49.7 54.3		. 576 744	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1988 1985		23 24	4.9 5.0		2 10	.4 2.1		21 14	4.5 2.9			256 248	54.9 52.1		187 204	40.1 42.9		466 476	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMFANDR ARRESTS |

						Felony										
			Tota				lent	 OLI			eeanor		Lesser (	Iffenses		ital
COUNTY	Year			Percent			Percent	Number	Percent		Percent		Nusber	Percent	Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		229 316	9.1 10.7		47 64	1.9	182 252	7.2 8.6	1,132 1,260	45.0 42.8		1,154 1,371	45.9 46.5	2,515 2,947	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1995		<b>813</b> 887	7.6 7.9		242 246	2.3 2.2	571 641	5.3 5.7	3,496 3,930	32.7 34.9		6,395 6,463	59.7 57.3	10,704 11,280	100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985		25 22	5.8 5.1		2	.5	23 19	5.3 4.2	199 222	46.1 51.9		208 184	48.1 43.0	 432 428	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	:	44 42	6.9 7.8		6 8	.9 1.5	38 34	5.9 4.3	362 298	56.6 55.2		234 200	36.6 37.0	640 540	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985		44 68	9.4 12.1		. 13 13	2.5 2.3	31 55	5.9 9.8	23B 254	45.5 45.2		241 240	46.1 42.7	523 562	100.0 100.0
BENESEE	1986 1985		70 77	10.5 13.1		10 18	1.5 3.1	60 59	9.0 10.1	259 269	38.8 45.9		339 240	50.7 41.0	668 586	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	•	45 <sup>°</sup> 26	8.0 6.0		. 10 6	1.8 1.4	35 20	6.3 4.6 -	274 263	48.9 61.0		241 142	43.0 32.9	560 - 431	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985		3	23.7 9.1		2	5.3	- 7 3	18.4 8.1	23 23	60.5 62.2			15.8 29.7	38 37	100.0 100.0
HERKIHER ,	1984 1995		. 34 36	6.6 6.6		7 4	1.4	27 32	5.2 5.9	288 327	55.6 59.7		196 185	37.8 33.8	518 548	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		161 131	19.0 16.7		34 27	4.0 3.4	127 104	15.0 13.3	399 408	47.1 52.0		288 245	34.0 31.3	848 764	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1995		6,394 5,566	19.7 19.0		3,254 2,953	10.0 10.1	3,140 2,613	9.7 8.9	11,560 10,943	35.6 37.4	•	14,510 12,749	44.7 43.5	32,464 29,259	100.0 100.0
LEHIS	1986 1985		23 12	23.5 7.5		1	6.1 .6	17 11	17.3	37 48	37.8 42.5		80 38	38.8 50.0	 98 160	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		100 62	11.1	-	17 10	1.9	83 52	9.2 5.9	456 459	50.5 52.0		347 352	38.4 41.0	903 883	100.0 100.0

TABLE SA FELONY, MISSEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS CHLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1996 - Continued

### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS :

			:_	Felony							-					
		Total			ilent		01	her	Hisde	neanor	Lesser D	ffenses		Tot	al	
COUNTY	Year	Number P		Nusber	Percent		Number	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent	
MADISON	1986 1985	66 80	9.2 13.6	16 18	2.2 2.8		50 70	7.0 10.8	427 327	59.7 50.5	222 233	31.0 36.0		715 648	100.0	
HONROE	1986 1985	960 841	17.9 15.1	272 267	5.1 4.8		688 574	12.8 10.3	2,307 2,670	<b>42.9</b> 47.9	2,107 2,061	39.2 37.0		5,374 5,572	100.0 100.0	
MONTGONERY	1986 1995	55 69	8.5 10.7	- 1 - 7	1.1		48 62	7.4 9.8	270 272	41.5 42.9	325 293	50.0 46.2		650 634	100.0	
NASSAU	1986 1985 :	1,678 1,515	15.3 11.9	584 543	5.3 4.3		1,094 972	10.0 7.6	 4,527 6,099	41.2 47.8	4,777 5,133	43.5 40.3		10,982 12,747	100.0 100.0	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	8,146 7,499	12.0 10.8	3,031 3,250	4.5		5,115 4,249	7.6 6.1	40,817 41,667	60.4 60.1	18,640 20,115	27.6 29.0		67,603 69,291	100.0 100.0	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	135 169	4.6 5.6	33 39	1.1		102 130	3.5 4.3	983 1,100	33.6 36.4	1,809 1,751.	61.8 58.0		2,927 3,020	100.0 100.0	-
ONEIDA	1986 1985	241 215	11.7 10.7	87 75	4.2 3.7		154 140	7.5 7.0	1,045 1,080	50.9 53.8	768 711	37.4 35.4		2,054 2,006	100.0 100.0	
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	929 834	24.1 21.1	261 248	6.8 6.3	i eg	648 586	17.3 14.8	1,534 1,539	39.7 39.0	1,399 1,577	36.2 39.9		3,862 3,950	100.0 100.0	
ONTARIO .	1984 1965	229 179	18.4 17.1	33 34	2.7 3.3		196 145	15.7 13.9	697 562	56.0 53.7	319 305	25.6 29.2		1,245 1,046	100.0 100.0	
ORANGE	1986 1985	277 378	10.1 12.4	59 96	2.1 3.1	•	21B 282	7.9 9.2	998 1,227	36.3 40.1	1,474 1,452	53.6 47.5	-	2,749 3,057	100.0 100.0	
ORLEANS	198 <b>6</b> 1985	60 74	16.9	14 16	3.9 5.8		46 59	13.0 20.9	201 153	56.6 55.2	. 94 50	26.5 18.1		355 277	100.0	
OSWEGO	1986 1985	118 120	11.0 10.6	19 28	1.8 2.5		99 72	9.2 8.1	452 513	42.0 45.2	505 503	47.0 44.3		1,075 1,136	100.0 100.0	
OTSEGO	1786 1985	37 44	5.0 5.2	7 8	.9		36 36	4.1	215 247	29.2 29.1	48 <b>5</b> 559	65.8 65.8		737 850	100.0 100.0	

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS \*

					Felony	-				 		 		***************************************		
			Total			olent			her	Hisda	aeanor	Lesser (	Iffenses		To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Nus	ber Percen	• t		Percent			Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
PUTNAN	1984 1985		1		0	.0		i 7	.1	 203 232	28.2 34.9	515 424	71.6 63.8		719 665	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1786 1985 .	5, 3,			1,767 1,281	10.4 7.5	.•	3,261 2,230	19.2 13.0	7,081 7,724	41.7 45.1	4,987 5,876	28.8 34.3		16,996 17,111	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1984 1985		102 7.1 139 9.2		19 37	1.3 2.5		83 101	5.8 6.8	<b>577</b> 558	40.3 37.4	752 797	52.6 53.4		1,431	100.0 100.0
RICHMOND	1986 1985		270 12. 269 12.		125 138	5.5 6.2		165 131	7.2 5.9	<b>922</b> 925	40.3	1,074	47.0 45.9		2,286 2,207	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		312 19.3 351 17.5		50 59	3.1 3.0		262 292	16.1 14.9	665 760	40.9 38.7	647 851	39.8 43.4		1,624	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	•	4		1 0	.1		3	.3	468 371	44.8	572 432	54.8 53.5	·	1,044 807	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1995	-	98 4.1 94 4.1		21 30	.9 1.3		- 77 64	3.4 2.7	818 728	35.4 30.9	1,381 1,534	60.1 65.1		2,297 2,356	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		138 8.0 166 10.0		35 41	2.0 2.8		103 125	0.6 8.0	903 717	46.5 45.8	786 682	45.5 43.6		1,727 1,565	100.0 100.0
SCHUHARIE .	1986 1985		34 9. 28 ' 8.		2 8			32 20	8.7 5.9	158 140	42.B 41.3	177 171	48.0 50.4	·	369 339	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		20 B.		7 3			13 11	5.6 5.0	144 159	64.3 72.9	60 45	26.8 20.6		224 218	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1984 1985		4B 13. 23 7.		9 1	2.5		39 22	10.9 7.5	213 191	59.5 65.2	97 79	27.1 27.0		358 293	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985		81 10. 69 9.		9 14	1.1 2.0		72 55	8.9 7.8	382 355	47.2 50.2	347 283	42.8 40.6		707	100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		971 13. 970 13.		505 570			1,366	9.8 9.8	4,812 4,515	34.5 31.7	7,256 7,776	52.1 54.5		13,939 14,261	100.0 100.0

TABLE SA FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS \*

		****		Felony														
		Total			oi ent			her		His	leseanor	Le	sser (	)ffenses		Tot	tal	
COURTY	Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	• .		Percent	•	Nuober	Percent	N	uaber	Percent	Nu	aber	Percent	
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	136 142	10.9 10.9	26 19	2.1 1.5		110 123	8.8 9.5		57- 57(			543 580	43.3 44.6		.253 ,300	100.0 100.0	
T105A	1986 1985	61 49	12.7	7	1.5		54 40	11.4 0.1		30 29			105 148	22.2 30.0		472 494	100.0 100.0	
TONPKINS	1986 1985	54 102	7.6 12.7	13 20	1.8 2.5		41 82	5.8 10.2		24 31			411 387	58.2 48.3		706 802	100.0	
ULSTER	1986 1985	153 197	8.3 11.5	36 39	-1.9 2.3		117 158	6.3 9.2		1,02 91			673 608	36.4 35.4	1	,847 ,718	100.0	٠.,
WARREN	1986 1985	33	3.0 3.0	11 6	1.0 .5		22 27	2.0 2.4		50°			543 579	51.0 52.1		,103 ,111	100.0 100.0	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	34 48	6.2 8.0	7	1.3		27 44	5.0 7.4		30- 280			207 269	38.0 45.1		545 597	100.0 100.0	
начне	1984 1985	127 117	9.7 9.2	23 16	1.8		104 101	7.9 8.0		75: 69:			432 450	33.0 35.5		,311 ,266	100.0	
WESTCHESTER	1984 1985	1,171	13.9 17.2	368 535	4.4 6.5		803 870	9.5 10.6		<b>3,99</b> 3,38			3,260 3,402	38.7 41.5		423 192	100.0 100.0	
HADHING	1986 1985	30 33	15.2 16.9	4 2	2.0 1.0		26 31	13.2 15.9		9:			83 67	42.1 34.4	•	197 195	100.0 100.0	
YATES	1986 1985	35 39	22.4 27.1	9 5			26 34	16.7 23.6		81 71			34 30	21.8 20.8		156 144	100.0 100.0	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	37,108 33,669	14.9 13.4	13,671 13,264			23,437 20,405	9.4 8.1		118,73 121,79			2,884 6,143	37.3 38.2		,728 ,609	100.0 100.0	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,848 21,199	17.4	10,426 9,806			14,422 11,393	10.1 8.0		73,18 74,37			5,059 6,949	31.5 32.9		,093 ,521	100.0 100.0	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SQURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE SB FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

					Felo	ony				 		.==##**				
		Ti	otal		Vic	olent			her	Hi	sdemeanor		Lesser (	If fenses	To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Nuaber	Percent			Percent	Nuab	r Percen		Kuaber	Percent	Number	Percent
ALBANY	1984 1985	303 278	23.3 22.2		82 61	6.3 4.9	5	221 217	17.0 17.3		52 66.: 38 70.		137 89	10.5 7.1	1,302 1,255	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	28 16	36.4 34.0		2	2.6 4.3		26 14	33.8 29.8		19 63.6 29 61.5		0 2	4.3	77 47	100.0 100.0
" DRONX	1986 1985	4,934 4,314	37.2 32.7		2,249 2,184	17.0 16.6		2,685 2,130	20.3 16.2	6,0 6,1			2,221 2,699	16.8 20.5	13,254 13,187	100.0
BROOME	1984 1985	297 ; 254	54.0 47.6		67 71	12:2 13.3	•	230 183	41.8		37 43.: 18 46		16 32	2.9 6.0	550 534	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	58 36	34.5 30.8		8 4	4.B 3.4		50 32	29.8 27.4		07 63.1 10 59.8		3 11	1.8 9.4	168 .117	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	70 66	38.7 32.0		18 11	9.9 5.3		52 55	28.7 26.7		78 54.1 33 64.6		13 7	7.2 3.4	181 206	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUDUA	1984 1985	115 101	35.3 34.8		29 24	8.9 8.3	• "	86 77	26.4 26.6		04 62.6 75 60.3		7 14	2.1 4.8	324 290	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	182 190	65.9 67.1		52 45	18.8 15.9		130 145	47.1 51.2		79 28.4 13 29.3		15 10	<b>5.4</b> 3.5	276 283	100.0
CHENANGO ,	1984 1985	16 20		: · · ·	5 4	6.9 5.6		· 11	15.3 13.1		17 65. 79 73.1		9	12.5 7.5	72 107	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	59 47	27.7 26.9		7	3.3 2.3		52 43	24.4 24.6		10 65.1 15 65.1		14 13	6.6 7.4	213 175	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA 1	1984 1985	40 57	23.3 30.6		10 7	5.8 3.8		30 50	17.4 26.7		08 62.0 11 59.1		24 18	14.0 9.7	172 186	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	54 51	37.5 38.6		13 13	9.0 9.8		41 38	28.5 28.8		30 55.0 75 56.1		10 6	6.9 4.5	144 132	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1984 1985	22 24	20.6 26.1		2 10	1.9		20 14	18.7 15.2		76 71.0 54 69.0		9 4	8.4 4.3	107 92	100.0 100.0

# CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS &

				 Felo	iny		• .			-		:				
		Tol			lent		Oth			Nisdec			r Offenses		To	tal
COUNTY	Ygar	Number			Percent		Number			Nuober	•		er Percent			Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	211 292	29.0 36.5	47 64	6.5 B.0		164 228	22.5 28.5		434 416	59.6 52.0		93 11.4 92 11.5		728 800	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	762 820	27.4 29.9	242 246	8.7 9.0		520 574	18.7 21.0		1,508 1,527	54.2 55.8		10 18.3 91 14.3		2,780 2,738	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	23 21	22.5 28.8	2 4	2.0 5.5		21 17	20.6		68 50	66.7 68.5	•	11 10.8 2 2.7		102 73	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	; 37 37	37.0 38.9	6 B	6.0 8.4	•	31 29	31.0 30.5	•	61 51	61.0 53.7		2 2.0 7 7.4	•	100 95	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1985 1985	41 64	31.8 37.9	13 13	10.1 7.7		28 51	21.7 30.2		B1 97	62.8 57.4	•	7 5.4 8 4.7		129 169	100.0 100.0
BENESEE	1986 1965	<b>45</b> 69	42.1 52.7	10 18	9.3 13.7		35 51	32.7 38.9		54 62	50.5 47.3		8 7.5 0 0		107 131	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	40 25	27.2 24.8	10 6	4.8 5.9		30 19	20.4 18.8		76 65	51.7 64.4		31 21.1 11 10.9		147 101	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1984 1985	3	56.3 27.3	2	12.5		7	43.B 27.3		7 8	43.8 72.7		0 .0		16 11	100.0
HERKINER ,	1986 1985	32 32	23.4 28.3	7	5. i 3. 5		25 26	18.2 24.8		89 70	65.0 61.9		16 11.7 11 9.7		137 113	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	146 121	59.3 53.5	34 27	13.8		112 74	45.5 41.6		92 103	37.4 45.6		8 3.3 2 .9		65.2 6.2	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1984 1985	6,363 5,545	34.3 31.8	3,254 2,953	17.5 16.9		3,109 2,592	16.8 14.9		6,579 6,559	35.5 37.6	5,8 5,3			18,550 17,440	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	23 12	50.0 30.8	6 1	13.0 2.6		17 11	37.0 28.2		19 26	41.3		4 8.7 1 2.6		46 39	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	88 45	57.1 47.9	17 10	11.0 10.6		71 35	46.1 37.2		64 47	41.6		2 1.3 2 2.1		154 94	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS \*

					 Fel	ony				 			*****					
			To	otal		olent			her		Nisde	neanor	•	Lesser (	)ffenses		Tot	al
COUNTY	Year		Number	Percent		Percent			Percent		Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Kual	er i	Percent
HADISON	1986 1985		49 75	34.3 64.1	16 18			33 52	23.1 48.7		82 41	57.3 35.0		12 1	8.4		43 17	100.0 100.0
HOHROE	1986 1985		907 800	53.0 49.0	272 267			635 533	37.1 32.6		643 694	37.6 42.5	. :	160 140	7.4 8.6	1 <sub>1</sub> , 1 <sub>1</sub> ,		100.0
HONTOOHERY	1986 1985		50 66	44.6 74.2	7			43 59	38.4 66.3		58 20	50.0 22.5			5.4 3.4		12 87	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	-:	1,582 1,396	44.9 37.3	584 543			998 953	28.3 22.8		1,600 2,056	45.4 54.9		342 294	9.7 7.8	3,1 3,1		100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985		8,097 7,458	39.7 34.2	3,031 3,250			5,066 4,208	24.8 19.3		8,970 9,850	44.0 45.2		3,332 4,470	16.3 20.5	20 , 21 ,		100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985		140 130	20.0 23.5	33 39		-	97 121	14.9 17.8		383 438	50.9 64.3		137 83	21.1 12.2		50 B1	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1984 1985		216 191	42.6 36.1	87 75			129 116	25.4 21.9		271 302	53.5 57.1		20 36	3.9 4.8		07 29	100.0 100.0
ONONBAGA	1986 1985		987 795	60.2 58.3	261 248			626 547	42.5 40.1		511 496	34.7 36.4		76 72	5.2 5.3			100.0 100.0
ONTARIO ,	1986 1985		199 149	73.4 73.8	33 34		,	166 115	61.3 56.9		69 47	25.5 23.3		2	1.i 3.0		71 02	100.0 100.0
DRANGE	1986 1985		264 368	34.7 41.3	59 98		1	205 272	26.9 30.6		389 431	`51.1 48.4		108 91	14.2 10.2		61 90	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1985 1985		<b>5</b> 6 65	43.1 69.1	14 18			42 49	32.3 52.1		71 25	54.6 26.6		- 4 - 4	2.3 4.3		30 94	100.0 100.0
OSKEGO	1986 1985		112 108	45.2 44.3	19 28			93 93	37.5 - 32.8		126 124	50.8 50.8		10 12	4.0		48 44	100.0 100.0
OTSE60	1984 1985		36 41	29.8 30.8	7 E			29 33	24.0 24.8		<b>76</b> 78	62.8 58.6		9	7.4 10.5		21 33	100.0 100.0

### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 4

					Felo	 ny											
		To				lent		0	ther		Misde	seanor	Lesser O	ffenses		In	tal
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent		Number	Percent		Nucher	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent .
PUTHAN	1986 1985	<b>1</b>	1.0 8.2		0 2	.0 2.1		i 6	1.0		72 73	69.9 75.3	30 16	29.1 16.5	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	103 97	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	4,886 3,396	53.1 43.9		1,767 1,281	19.2 16.6		3,119 2,115			3,008 2,849	32.7 36.8	1,309	14.2 19.3		9,203 7,739	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	93 126	29.2 38.0		19 37	8.0 11.1		74 89	23.2 26.8		177 179	55.5 53.9	49 27	15.4 8.1		319 332	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1984 1985	281 ; 266	28.0 27.5		125 138	12.5 14.3		156 128	15.5 13.2		473 497	47.1 51.4	250 204	24.9 21.1		1,004 767	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	297 335	56.5 59.1	•	50 59	9.5 10.4		247 276	47.0 48.7		177 173	33.7 30.5	52 59	9.9 10.4		52& 567	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	3	4.2 4.3		1 0	1.1	_	. 3			80 59	84.2 85.5	11 7	11.4 10.1		95 69	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	84 26	19.2 22.1		21 30	4.8 7.7		63 56		•	279 238	63.7 61.2	75 65	17.1 16.7		438 389	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1984 1985	137 156	33.1 41.7		35 41	8.5 11.0		102 115	24.6 30.7		239 194	57.7 51.9	38 24	9.2 4.4	• • .	414 374	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE .	1984 1985	30 26	35.3 44.1		2 8	2.4 13.6		. 28 18	32.9 30.5		48 29	56.5 49.2	7 4	8.2 5.8		85 59	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	19 14	34.6 26.9		·	13.5 5.8		11 11	21.2 21.2		34 34	65.4 65.4	0	.0 7.7		52 52	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	42 16	42.9 32.0		9 1	9.2 2.0		33 15			49 32	50.0 64.0	7 2	7.1 4.0		98 50	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	70 59	40.0 39.6		. 9 14	5.1 7.4		61 45			100 85	57.1 57.0	5 5	2.9 3.4		175 149	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,555 1,670	42.7 44.3		505 570	13.9 15.1		1,050 1,100			1,417 1,385	39.0 36.7	666 719	18.3 19.1		3,638 3,774	100.0 100.0

## CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

				 Felo	y			 							
		To	ital	 Víc	olent	 Ot	her		eseanor	- 1	.esser (	Iffenses		To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Percent	-	Nusber	Percent		Nusber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	117 126	32.5 37.6	26 , 19	7.2 5.7	91 107	25.3 31.9	198 166	55.0 49.6	•	45 43	12.5 12.8		360 335	100.0 100.0
TIOGA	1986 1985	55 46	47.4 48.9	, 7 9	6.0 9.6	48 37	41.4 39.4	56 46	48.3 48.9		5 2	4.3		116 94	100.0 100.0
TONPKINS	1986 1985	45 78	41.3 49.7	13 20	11.9	32 58	29.4 36.9	61 77	56.0 47.0		3 2	2.8 1.3		109 157	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1995	137 : 170	29.5 39.2	39 36	7.8 9.0	101 131	21.8 30.2	273 226	58.8 52.1	•	54 36	11.6		464 434	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	30 30	13.6 16.8	11 6	5.0 3.4	19 24	8.6 13.4	166 132	75.1 73.7		25 17	11.3 9.5		221 179	100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	30 44	22.2 35.2	7	5.2 3.2	23 40	17.0 32.0	96 75	71.1 60.0		6	6.7 4.8	•	135 125	100.0 100.0
HAYNE	1986 1985	91 95	41.9 40.6	23 16	6.01 8.8	68 79	31.3 33.8	115 134	53.0 57.3		11 5	5.1 2.1	•	217 234	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,043 1,261	38.5 45.6	349 535	13.6 19.3	675 726	24.9 26.2	1,456 1,311	53.7 47.4		212 195	7.8 7.0		2,711 2,767	100.0
HADNING ,	1984 1985	<b>26</b> 28	41.9 40.0	4 2	6.5 2.9	 22 26	35.5 37.1	35 41	56.5 58.6		. I	1.6		62 70	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	32 37	62.7 75.5	9 5	17.6 10.2	23 32	45.1 65.3	19 11	37.3 22.4		0 1	2.0		51 49	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	35,690 32,269	39.3 36.2	13,671 13,264	15.1 14.9	22,019 19,025	24.3 21.3	39,198 39,976	43.2 44.8		15,850 16,942	17.5 19.0		90,738 89,207	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,564 20,980	39.4 34.3	10,426 9,806	16.7 16.0	14,138 11,174	22.7 18.3	25,134 25,932	40.3 42.4		12,720 14,203	20.4 23.2		62,418 61,115	100.0 100.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

<sup>\*</sup> Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
ALBANY	1986 1985	3.7 3.7	15.4 12.8	8.3 7.6	12.8 13.3	53.4 57.8	8.5 4.7	100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1.1 1.4	10.1 10.5	9.3 6.4	21.6 17.3	44.4 · 50.0	13.4 14.5	100.0 100.0
DRONK	1986	9.1	40.4	3.0	8.2	25.7	13.7	100.0
	1985	7.7	39.8	1.5	8.5	23.7	18.8	100.0
BROOME	1786	3.5	13.8	3.8	13.4	34.8	30.6	100.0
	1985	3.2	14.5	3.7	12.2	36.1	30.3	100.0
CATTARAUSUS	1986	1.2	12.6	2.1	9.3	64.6	10.7	100.0
	1985	1.4 ;	14.7	1.9	7.1	64.4	10.4	100.0
CAYUGA	1986	6.2	19.3	3.7	5.3	46.0	19.3	100.0
	1985	3.1	17.4	3.9	3.9	47.7	24.0	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986	1.3	13.9	5.7	10.6	52.4	16.1	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.5	4.1	11.5	55.8	14.2	100.0
CHEMUNG	1986	7.2	13.8	5.8	18.9	39.0	15.3	100.0
	1985	4.3	12.7	4.6	16.8	43.3	16.3	100.0
CHENANGO	1985	1.3	12.8	4.2	11.6	49.0	21.0	100.0
	1985	1.5	11.4	4.9	15.1	44.9	22.3	100.0
CLINTON	1985	2.7	13.0	2.0	13.4	52.6	16.3	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.6	2.1	7.7	62.4	13.3	100.0
COLUMBIA	1986	4.6	20.3	3.9	9.3	53.6	8.4	100.0
	1985	5.7	19.2	5.0	7.7	53.9	8.7	100.0
CORTLAND	1986	2.3	14.9	4.2	12.5	54.5	11.6	100.0
	1985	2.7	11.7	2.7	13.3	50.7	10.9	100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	•4	12.4 9.5	3.6 4.4	9.9 8.8	52.1 55.7	21.5 21.0	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1.9	15.9 14.8	4.3 5.5	11.5 11.1	57.3 59.7	9.1 6.8	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	3.1	17.5 18.3	3.3 2.6	13.5 13.5	40.7 40.1	21.9 22.3	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	i.2 i.9	8.8 7.2	2.1 1.9	7.4 8.6	61.8 62.9	18.8 17.5	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	.9 1.9	13.6 13.3	5.2 3.9	7.7 13.0	58.1 49.8	14.5 18.1	100.0
FULTON	1984 1985	3.8 5.0	12.8 13.2	5.4 5.3	10.1 12.3	47.8 49.6	20.1 14.6	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1988 1985	.4 3.2	6.6 8.0	7.4 8.0	18.1 21.8	54.8 49.3	10.6 7.6	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	2.7 1.9	12.5 12.3	2.3 .7	7.5 9.0	65.4 67.7	7.6 8.4	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1788 1985	2.6 2.7	7.9 16.2	23.7	7.9 5.4	<b>52.</b> 6 56. 8	5.3 18.9	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1984 1985	1.0 1.5	15.1 14.4	1.9 2.2	5.2 6.8	62.4 60.6	14.5 14.6	100.0
JEFFERSON	1988 1985	4.5 - 2.9	14.9 14.4	5.8 7.5	13.2 10.8	52.5 55.0	9.2 9.3	100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	10.3 9.4	28.1 25.6	4.1 4.3	. B.1 B.P	21.2 27.9	28.2 23.0	100.0 100.0
LEHIS	1984 1985	5.1 1.3	6.1 8.1	16.3 5.0	15.3 15.0	42.9 56.3	14.3 14.4	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	2.0 1.2	10.3 9.2	5.2 6.8	9.4 7.8	54.0 58.3	17.0 15.6	100.0

B-61

TABLE AA SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS LADULIS ONLY! BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984 - Continued

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Frison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
PUTHAN	1986 1985	.0 .0	5.1 7.2	1.1 1.1	11.3 10.8	79.3 21.7	3.2 2.6	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	14.7 9.4	28.4 34.2	8.2 3.7	11.1 10.5	18.2 24.7	21.4 17.4	100.0 100.0
REHSSELAER	1984 1985	2.0 2.3	18.3 14.2	2.3 3.3	9.9 13.1	52.2 53.1	15.4 13.9	100.0 100.0
RICHMOND	1986 1985	7.3 5.6	24.8 21.4	1.7 - 1.7 - 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	11.6	2 <b>3.1</b> 32.5	7 <b>!.5</b> 23.8	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	8.5 1.4 :	13.1 10.7	8.5 9.0	11.6 12.1	53.7 57.8	6.5 6.0	100.0 160.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	.2	6.6 5.9	1.4	4.6	62.5 61.3	24.7 26.8	100.0 100.0
SARATOSA	1986 1985	1.1	12.2 9.6	1.6 1.1	5.7 5.6	70.2 74.1	9.2 8.3	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	2.6 2.7	17.3 19.0	3. <i>b</i> 2.0	11.6 12.8	54.1 54.8	10.7 8.8	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	1.4	9.8 4.1	7.6 4.1	7.0 5.3	66.7 72.3	7.8 10.6	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1988. 1985	3.1 1.4	7.1 7.8	9.8 5.5	17.4 26.6	52.2 50.0	10.3 8.7	0.00 0.001
SERECA	1986 1985	3.4 1.0	9.5 11.3	5.6 5.1	7.0 8.2	48.0 . 53.6	24.5 20.8	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1.4	11.1 10.9	4.6 4.8	7.8 10.6	60.5 54.3	12.7 17.1	0.001 0.001
SUFFOLK	1985 1985	3.9 4.3	11.0	4.7 5.1	13.2 13.3	46.6 55.7	20.6 11.7	100.0 100.0

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

## SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
SULLIVAN	1986	2.7	23.5	3.9	13.2	44.4	12.3	100.0
	1985	3.5	19.7	4.1	13.9	47.0	11.8	100.0
TIOGA	1986	3.0	8.5	2.3	14.0	56.4	15.9	100.0
	1985	2.0	8.3	3.0	9.9	61.3	15.4	100.0
TOXPKINS	1984	2.0	6.1	1.0	8.8	61.3	20.8	100.0
	1985	3.5	7.7	2.6	15.0	47.1	24.1	100.0
ULSTER	1986	2.5	16.1	2.5	11.2	56.7	11.0	100.0
	1985	3.0	14.5	2.8	10.1	59.1	10.5	100.0
HARREN	1986 1985	1.0	14.8 14.0	.B 1.9	6.4 6.2	61.2 60.0	15.8 17.4	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	2.2	12.8 12.1	3.3 3.2	8.4 6.2	57.2 64.3	16.0 13.9	100.0 100.0
WAYKE	1986 1985	1.2	13.4 10.3	3.1 2.9	9.6 12.0	53.7 53.3	19.0 20.1	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1786	4.4	24.3	5.0	14.3	41.9	10.1	100.0
	1785	6.9	16.5	4.4	15.4	47.0	7.8	100.0
HAOHING	1986	2.0	8.1	8.6	22.3	53.8	7.1	100.0
	1985	5.1	8.7	8.2	20.0	53.8	4.1	100.0
YATES	1986	3.8	10.3	11.5	13.5	35.9	25.0	100.0
	1985	6.3	13.2	9.0	11.8	33.3	26.4	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986	6.5	33.1	3.5	9.5	28.6 ·	18.8	100.0
	1985	5.6	31.4	3.1	9.8	31.3	18.8	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986	8.5	46.3	2.7	6.9	15.2	20.4	100.0
	1985	7.0	44.5	2.0	7.2	17.7	21.5	100.0

<sup>•</sup> These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

. SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

				State		 	Local		 Local and Pr	obation .			Prob	ation	-	Fir	125		Ot	her			tal
COU	NTY	Year 		Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Cany	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv			Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	•	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv
ALB	ANY	1986 1985		50.2 58.3	NA NA		1.3	18.5 13.6	31.9 25.3	6.4 6.4			16.3 15.3	12.5 13.2		.0	57.6 61.8		.3	6.9 5.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ALL	EGANY	1986 1985		9.4 17.6	NA NA		9.4 5.9	10.2 10.8	31.3 29.4	6.4 4.4			43.8 47.1	18.6		3.1 .0	50.0 54.2		3.1	14.8 15.8		100.0 100.0	100.0
BRO	NX	1985 1985		43.1 43.8	HA NA		15.3 16.8	47.1 44.7	13.4 7.2	.3			27.3 31.1	3.1 3.6		.2	32.5 28.8		.7	17.2 22.7		100.0 100.0	100.0
PRO	OHE	1986 1985		26.3 25.6	NA NA		10.2 12.8	14.3 14.7	21.0 21.1	1.2 1.3	•		38.4 36.5	9.5 0.8		2.5 .8	39.8 41.1		1.6	35.1 34.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
, CAT	TARAUGUS	1984 1985		15.9 24.4	NA NA		15.9 17.1	12.4 14.6	14.3 19.5	1.1			<b>52.4</b> 39.0	5.8 5.2		1.6	69.7 68.3		.0	11.0 11.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CAY	UGA	1984 1985		49.4 35.6	HA NA		19.8 13.7	19.3 17.7	21.0 35.6	1.3		•	9.9 13.7	4.6 3.0		.0	52.7 52.2		.0	22.1 26.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHA	UTAUQUA	1986 1985		16.5 26.1	AK NA		17.4 18.0	13.6 12.0	38.0 27.9	2.9 2.2			22.3 25.2	9.8 10.4		3.3 2.7	56.6 60.0		2.5	17.3 15.3		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHE	KUNG	1986 1985		39.5 36.0	NA NA		14.7 12.2	13.7 12.8	19.5 14.7	2.8 2.5			23.2 33.5	18.0 13.2	•	1.6	47.3 52.0		1.4	18.3 19.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHE	NANGO	1984 1985	- *  1.	38.9 39.1	NA NA		22.2 26.1	12.5 10.8	3B.9 30.4	3.0 3.8			.0 4.3	12.1 15.5		.0	50.8 46.7	• "	.0	21.7 23.2		100.0	100.0 100.0
CLI	нток	1986 1985		34.9 30.9	NA NA		19.0 14.5	12.5 12.4	19.0 18.2	.5 1.1			25,4 30.9	12.4 6.2		1.6 3.6	54.9 66.2		.0 1.8	17.7 14.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
COL	UHBIA	1986 1985		60.5 59.4	NA Na		18.6 14.1	20.5 19.8	11.6 17.2	3.2 3.7			9,3 6.3	7.3 7.8		.0 3.1	58.0 59.1		.0	9.1 9.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
COR	TLAND	1986 1985		17.8 27.8	NA Na		23.3 11.1	13.7 11.8	21.9 18.1	1.6 1.0			32.9 40.3	9.5 10.4		.0	<b>62.4</b> <b>65.0</b>		4.1 2.8	12.7 11.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DEL	AVARE	1986 1985		8.7 12.5	NA NA		13.0 16.7	12.4 9.1	43.5 33.3	1.6 2.9			17.4 33.3	9.5 7.5	- :	4.2	54.9 58.4		17.4	21.7 22.1		100.0 100.0	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

		State			Local			obation		Prob			 Fin			her			ital
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Hisd &		Felony Canv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Cany	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv			Misd & Lesser Conv
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	20.5 20.6	na Na		9.2 6.9	16.6 15.5	27.1 32.9	2.1 2.2		42.8 37.0	8.3 7.9		.0	63.1 66.8	.4	10.0 7.6		100.0	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	41.3 39.6	NA NA		13.3 14.0	17.9 18.7	17.3 10.4	2.1° 2.0		27.1 34.4	12.4 11.7	•	.5	44.0 43.4	.5 .8	23.6 24.1		100.0	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	20.0 36.4	NA NA		16.0 9.1	8.4 7.1	20.0 9.1	1.0 1.5		40.0 45.5	5.4 6.7		.0	65.6 66.3	4.0	19.7 18.5		100.0	100.0
FRANKLIN	1988 1985	13.6 23.8	NA NA		13.6	13.6 13.5	43.2 21.4	2.3 2.4		29.5 40.5	6.0 10.6		.0	62.4 54.0	.0 2.4	15.& 19.5		100.0	100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	45.5 41.2	NA NA		11.4 14.7	12.9 13.0	29.5 25.0	3.1 2.6		13.6 17.6	7.8 11.5		.0 1.5	52.2 56.3	.0	21.9 16.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985	4.3	NA NA	•	1.4	7.2 8.6	64.3 39.0	3.0 3.3		28.6 32.5	16.9 20.2		.0	61.2 56.8	1.4	11.7		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	33.3 30.8	NA NA		13.3 26.9	12.4 11.4	24.4 3.8	.5		26.7 34.6	5.8 7.4		2.2 3.8	70.9 71.9	.0	10.5 8.9	•	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	11.1 33.3	HA NA		.0 66.7	10.3 11.8	77.8 .0	6.9		11.1	6.9 5.9		.0	69.0 8.16	.0	6.9 20.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
HERKIHER	1986 1985	14.7 22.2	NA NA		36.5 22.2	14.3 13.9	26.5 19.4	1.0		23.5 30.6	3.9 5.1		8.8 5.6	66.1 64.5	.0	15.5 15.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985 •	23.6 17.6	NA NA		16.1 17.1	14.6 13.5	23.6 35.9	1.6 1.8		34.2 25.2	8.3 8.0		2.5 1.5	64.2 65.7	.0	11.4		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	52.3 49.4	NA NA		9.7 8.7	32.6 29.6	17.1 19.0	.9	 	19.8 21.3	5.2 7.1		.B 1.0	26.2 34.2	.4	35.1 28.2		100.0	100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	21.7 16.7	NA NA		13.0 16.7	4.0 7.4	56.5 33.3	4.0 2.7		8.7 33.3	17.3 13.5		.0	56.0 60.8	.0	18.7 15.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1965	18.0 17.7	NA NA		19.0 24.2	9.2 8.0	28.0 45.2	2.4 3.9	•	34.0 11.3	6.4 7.8		.0	60.8 62.7	1.0 1.6	21.3 17.8		100.0 100.0	100 <b>.0</b> 100.0

TABLE 69

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

			State I			 Local		Local and Pr	obation	*********		Probi		Fin	es	-		ther		ital
COUNTY	Year		Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv			Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Canv	Misd & Lesser Conv	•	Felony Conv	Hisd &	Felony Conv	Hisd &
MADISON	1986 1985		13.6 21.6	NA NA		10.6 11.4	7.9 8.2	37.9 27.3	1.7			36.4 37.5	12.5 9.1	.0	63.8 71.3		1.5 2.3	14.2 9.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
MONROE	1984 1985		35.3 35.9	HA Na		13.3 11.5	16.9 14.2	23.9 27.8	2.0			26.5 23.3 '	11.6	.1	40.6 45.4		1.1.		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
MONTGONERY	1984 1985		20.0 17.4	NA NA		16.4 11.6	10.1	23.6 34.8	1.0			38.2 31.9	7.7 5.3	1.8 2.9	65.0 66.0		.0 1.4	15.1 18.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1984 1985		40.8 35.6	NA Na	•	13.4 14.5	17.6 17.3	25.5 25.3	2.5			17.8 22.6	17.6 17.7	1.0 1.3	38.7 35.1		1.5 .7	25.5 26.3	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985		48.9 48.3	NA NA		20.2 20.8	68.0 62.0	7.9 6.3	.2 .1			21.8 22.9	2.4 2.4	.3	8.7 10.2		1.0 1.2	20.8 25.3	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
HIAGARA	1966 1985		47.4 38.5	KA NA	.1	17.0 24.3	20.3 21.9	5.2 3.6	2.3 2.7			27.4 33.1	9.9 9.6	1.5	52.4 51.7		1.5	15.1 14.0	100.0 100.0	100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985		36.5 30.2	NA NA		12.4 16.3	16.0 16.5	22.8 23.7	.9			27.0 28.8	7.7 9.7	. B . 5	60.0 59.0		.4	15.4 13.5	100.0 100.0	100.0
OHONDAGA	1986 1985		30.6 32.9	HA NA		14.2 18.6	17.3 14.1	11.8 12.9	i.i i.2			39.6 33.5	15.4 13.7	. 6 . 4	40.7		3.1 1.8	25.5 26.6	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	*	18.3 26.8	NA NA		22.3 27.9	11.1 12.1	28.4 20.7	1.3		-	25.8 21.2	5.6 5.0	2.2 1.7	64.0 66.3		3.1 1.7	18.0 14.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DRANGE	1986 1985		30.3 28.0	NA NA		8.3 5.0	13.4 14.2	29.2 26.5	1.5 2.1			30.7 39.4	9.6 10.6	.4	67.3 63.8		1.1 1.1	8.2 7.4	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985		45.0 24.3	NA NA		6.7 - 1.4	14.9 15.3	25.0 41.9	5.4 4.9			23.3 31.1	19.0	.0	43.4 47.3		.0	17.3 20.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
OSHEGO	1986 1985		23.7 20.0	NA Ka		10.2 15.0	10.8 13.7	33.9 40.8	3.8 1.8			28.0 21.7	10.0	.8 1.7	59.9 64.6		3.4 .B	15.6 11.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985		32.4 22.7	NA NA		8.1 11.4	5.1 8.4	27.0 34.1	1.4			29.7 29.5	4.6	.0	77.9 75.8		2.7 2.3	11.0 10.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

B-67

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

			State	Prison	 	Local	Jail	 	Local and Pr	Jail obation		Prob	ation	 Fin	 ES	 Dt1	her	 Tol	 tal
	COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv
	SULLIVAN	1986 1985	25.0 31.7	NA NA		16.2 12.0	24.4 20.6		21.3 23.9	1.8		36.0 30.3	10.4 11.9	1.5 1.4	49.6 52.6	.0	13.8 13.2	100.0 100.0	0.001 0.001
	TIOGA	1986 1985	23.0 20.4	na Na		- 4.9 14.3	9.0 7.6		9.8 24.5	1.2		60.7 34.7	7.1 7.2	1.6 4.1	64.5 67.6	.0 2.0	18.2 16.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	TOMPKINS	1986 1985	25.9 27.5	NA NA		5.6 6.9	6.1 7.9		5.6 13.7	1.0		51,9 46.1	5.2 10.4	5.6	66.0 54.0	5.6 5.9	22.1 25.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	ULSTER	1986 1985	30.1 26.4	na Na		13.7 10.2	16.4 15.1		19.6 17.8	9		34.6 44.7	9.0 5.6	1.3 .5	61.7 66.7	.7 .5	11.9 11.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
B-68	WARREN	1986 1985	33.3 18.2	NA NA		18.2 30.3	14.7 13.5		15.2 27.3	1.1		33.3 24.2	5.6 5.7	.0	63.1 61.9	.0	16.3 17.9	100.0	100.0
~	HASHINGTON	1986 1985	 35.3 4.2	NA NA		23.5 43.8	12.1 9.3		14.7 12.5	2.5 2.4	ч.	26.5 39.6	7.2 3.3	.0	61.1 69.9	.0	17.0 15.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	WAYNE	1986 1985	12.6	NA NA		18,1 17.1	12.9 9.7		26.0 24.8	7		38.6 41.9	6.5 9.0	4.7	59.0 58.6	.0	21.0 22.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	WESTCHESTER	1986 1965	31.9	AK .		9.7 5.2	26.6 18.9		25.4 17.2	1.7		<b>32.5</b> 36.8	11.3	.5 .4	48.6 56.7	.1	11.7 11.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	HAONING	1986 1985	13.3 30.3	na Na		20.0 15.2	6.0 7.4		26.7 24.2	3.0 4.9		40.0 27.3	19.2 18.5	2.0 .0	63.5 64.2	.0	8.4 4.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	YATES	1986 1985	17.1 23.1	NA NA		11.4 12.8	9.9 13.3		42.9 25.6	2.5 2.9		28.4 35.9	9.1 2.9	.0 2.6	46.3 44.8	.0	32.2 34.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	NEW YORK STAT	TE1986 1985	43.4 41.8	NA NA		13.3 13.9	36.6 34.0		17.2 15.6	1.1		24.4 26.6	6.9 7.2	.9	33.5 36.0	1.0	21.9 21.5	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	NEW YORK CITY	Y 1986 1985	48.9 47.2	na Na		13.7 14.8	53.1 49.7		13.7 11.4	.4		22.6 25.0	3.6 4.1	.5 .7	18.3 20.7	.7	24.6 25.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

<sup>\*</sup> These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

							INVESTIG	ATIONS			• *	PRE-D	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CAS	ES RECEIVED	)
		INTAK	E ŧ		Total	l 	Family C	ourt	Criminal	Court		Total	ıl	Family (	Court	Criainal	Court
COUNTY	Year		Rank		Rate	Rank.	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	441.1 456.2	49 50		1,159.5 1,084.7		196.5 204.4	10 18	963.0 878.2	8		218.1 114.8	11 17	15.2 20.8	23 21	202.9 94.1	17 17
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,196.0 903.7	20 32		475.2 396.8	56 58	108.9 70.7	56 56	366.3 326.1	* 54 54		.0	51 53	.0	44 - 44	.0	42 42
BRONX	1986 1985	523.5 643.2	45 42		637.B 602.7	41 42	91.3 88.7	50 50	546.5 514.0	32 33		106.4 104.0	17 19	104.6 100.8	5 5	1.8 3.2	35 35
BROOME	1986 1985	1,364.3 1,388.1	15 12		889.5 919.8	19 12	256.2 291.8	7	633.3 627.9	26 20	2"	1.9	50 51	1.9	43 40	.0	42 42
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	272.0 364.4	59 54		582.6 479.3	46 52	171.2 169.9	26 26	411.5 309.4	50 56		7.0 10.5	45 46	3.5 1.2	41 42	3.5 9.4	30 30
CAYUGA	1986 1985	563.2 632.4	43 43		455.6 398.2	57 56	102.å 81.4	52 52	352.9 316.8	- 55 55		.0 1.3	51 - 52	.0	44 44	.0	40 40
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	881.2 851.2	36 35	•	883.3 805.7	21 21	175.4 205.9	19 19	707.9 599.7	19 21		156.5 153.2	15 13	34.2 45.8	18 12	122.3 107.5	14 - 14
CHENUNG	1986 1985	2,223.2 i,996.9	3		1,230.9 1,195.8	8 7	253.0 233.7	13 13	977.9 962.0	. 7 6		70.7 132.6	23 14	3.3 2.2	42 38	67.4 130.4	10 10
CHENANGO	1986 1985	288.0 264.2	56 59		728.0 820.6	35 20	74.0 76.1	55 55	654.0 744.6	24 15		40.0 30.0	32 35	40.0 30.0	14 17	.0	42 42
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,124.4 1,107.0	23 24		848.5 925.0	27 19	315.3 447.5	2 2	533.3 377.6	34 47	• •	6.2 14.7	46 42	4.9 11.0	39 28	1.2 3.7	34 34
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	285.0 354.8	57 55		482.7 551.1	55 47	133.4 80.9	53 53	349.3 470.3	56 40		.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
CORTLAND	1986 1985	3,067.5 2,861.2	2 2		1,042.2	10 10	213.1 236.3	12 12	829.1 841.9	13 10 '	 	.0 .0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,817.4 1,546.2	5 7		626.3 443.6	43 55	208.1 87.4	51 51	418.3 356.2	49 50		 229.3 219.7	8	229.3 219.7	3 2	.0	42 42

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

	•				INVESTIG	ATIONS						PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVI	ION CASES	RECEIVED	<b>)</b>
		INTAKE *	Total		Family C	ourt	Criminal	Court				Tota		Family	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank				Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1786 1785	1,066.2 27 1,081.9 26	576.3 632.3	47 41	139.4 126.2	37 37	436.9 506.1	47 34				69.7 50.2	24 27	40.9 36.8	13 15	28.8 13.3	27 27
ERIE	1986 1985	585.6 42 550.8 48	530.0 580.6	52 45	79.9 110.8	42 42	450.1 469.8	46 41			•	.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
ESSEX	1986 1985	953.2 32 1,056.0 28	347.1 316.2	61 59	88.2 101.7	46 46	259.0 214.5	61 60				13.8 16.5	42 40	11.0 13.8	30 23	2.8 2.8	37 37
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	1,655.3 7 1,705.8 5	1,470.3 1,438.6	4	258.0 244.3	11 11	1,212.3 1,194.3	3	. <del>-</del>			52.5 45.7	29 30	13.7 4.6	25 35	38.8 41.1	22 22
FULTON	1986 1985	382.8 51 296.0 57	571.4 649.7	50 39	230.8 166.0	28 28	340.7 483.7	57 38				12.8 16.2	43 41	12.8 16.2	28 22	.0	42 42
GENESEE	1986 1985	1,197.3 19 1,436.0 9	1,275.5 1,136.3	7 8	202.4 171.0	25 25	1,073.1	4 5	•			226.2 164.3	10 12	13.6 5.1	26 33	212.6 159.2	9
GREENE	1986 1985	1,311.2 17 1,138.0 23	420.4 294.1	59 61	85.5 50.6	60 60	334.9 243.5	59 58				235.2 4.8	<b>8</b> 49	4.8 4.8	40 34	230.4	42 42
HAMILTON	1986 1985	20.0 62 20.1 62	340.0 140.4	60 62	20.0 20.1	62 62	340.0 120.4	58 62				.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
HERKIHER	1986 1985	191.3 61 173.3 61	683.1 484.2	38 50	301.9 245.1	10 10	381.2 239.1	53 59		-		34.4	37 48	6.0 3.0	34 37	28.4 3.0	36 36
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	1,785.7 4 1,793.8 4	 856.5 746.2	26 28	63.2	57 - 57	788.1 683.0	15 18				.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	
KINGS	1986 1985	443.6 4B 608.6 44	546.8 521.7	51 48	70.4 61.9	58 58	476.4 459.8	41 43				82.6 68.5	19 25	78.4 62.5	7 8	4.2 6.0	32 32
LEMIS	1986 1985	561.3 44 556.3 45	628.5 480.8	42 51	162.1 123.2	39 39	466.4 357.6	44 49				55.3 123.2	28 15	7.9 7.9	32 30	47.4 1.5.2	12 12
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	911.3 33 756.1 36	762.8 764.6	31 26	143.3 166.3	27 27	619.5 598.3	27 22				47.8 25.7	28 20	47.8 24.0	10 20	. 0 1.7	<b>39</b> 39

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

INTAKE # Total Family Court Criminal Court Total Family Court  COUNTY Year Rate Rank R	Rate Rank
COUNTY Year Rate Rank Rate	Rate Rank
1985 1,347.0 13 674.2 35 131.8 35 542.4 28 64.4 26 1.5	
HONRDE     1986     710.8     39     647.9     40     117.7     36     530.2     35     37.3     34     35.7       1985     651.7     41     594.9     43     130.8     36     464.1     42     38.2     33     38.2	7 1.6 42 1 .0 42
MONTGOHERY 1986 335.9 53 717.9 36 1255.3 17 462.6 45 5.8 47 5.8 1985 297.0 56 580.7 44 211.3 17 369.3 48 13.3 43 13.3	5 .0 42 4 .0 42
MASSAU 1986 1,412.7 11 1,039.9 12 160.8 23 878.0 11 316.6 4 5.2 1985 1,414.1 10 1,071.9 11 177.6 23 894.3 7 269.3 4 6.2	
NEW YORK 1986 279.8 58 950.4 15 48.6 61 901.8 10 78.3 20 47.3 1 1985 402.0 53 831.5 18 49.7 61 781.9 11 99.3 20 53.0	31.1 21 46.3 21
NIAGARA 1986 724.3 38 616.4 44 136.5 31 479.9 39 .0 51 .0 1985 962.2 30 667.5 36 147.3 31 520.1 32 .0 53 .0 4	
ONEIDA 1986 725.6 37 900.2 18 203.2 29 697.0 20 70.8 22 5.6 3 1985 727.9 37 742.2 29 163.9 29 578.3 27 29.1 36 2.0 3	
ONDNDAGA     1986     986.8     30     1,648.5     2     203.8     14     1,444.7     2     241.6     7     95.4       1985     1,246.0     18     1,450.6     3     229.7     14     1,220.9     2     241.8     6     116.9	146.2 11 1 124.9 11
ONTARID 1986 506.5 47 885.0 20 104.1 43 780.9 16 5.4 48 .0 4 1985 726.3 38 798.8 22 110.4 43 688.4 17 10.8 45 .0 4	5.4 28 10.8 28
	117.9 13 110.6 13
	3 208.2 16 7 104.8 16
OSKEGO 1986 591.1 41 1,320.2 6 278.4 9 1,041.8 5 575.3 1 382.1 1985 551.5 47 1,359.8 5 252.6 9 1,107.1 4 183.0 11 .0	1 193.1 6 1 183.0 6
	0 5 203.B 5

					INVESTIG	SATIONS			PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED	
		INTAKE #	Tota		Family C	Court	Criminal	Court	Tota		Family (	Court	Criminal	
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAH	1986 1985	963.2 31 882.6 33	574.8 496.9	48	78.4 96.4	47 47	496.3 400.5	38 46	29.4 38.3	38 32	29.4 38.3	19 13	.0	42 42
QUEENS	1986 1985	335.9 54 446.1 52	492.5 397.4	54 57	65.0 53.3	59 59	427.5 344.0	48 52	74.0 76.9	21 23	8.9 8.93	8 7	5.1 7.1	31 31
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,239.9 18 1,048.5 29	760.7 725.5	32 31	226.1 189.0	21 21	534.6 536.5	33 29	88.3 74.7	18 24	.0 3.3	44 36	88.3 71.4	18 18
RICHHOND	1986 1985	338.0 52 553.1 46	315.5 311.9	62 60	110.5 104.0	45 45	205.0 207.8	62 61	16.6 28.1	40 37	16.6 28.1	22 19	.0	<b>42</b> 42
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	638.2 40 677.1 40	675.1 639.4	39 40	106.1 112.4	40 40	549.0 527.1	31 30	360.7 416.6	2 1	232.4 240.9	2	128.2 175.7	8
ST. LAWRENCE	1786 1985	1,719.9 b 1,413.4 11	1,039.0 871.2	11	132.1 90.8	49 49	906.9 780.3	9 12	195.9 191.3	13 10	18.6 13.2	21 25	177.3 178.1	7
SARATOGA	1986 1985	, 897.0 35 858.3 34	732.5 707.3	34 32	255.3 213.5	15 15	477.1 493.8	40 36	55.5 84.8	27 21	13.4 35.9	27 16	42.0 48.9	20 20
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,352,9 16 1,238,5 21	860.9 765.0	25 25	- 182.4 177.3	24 24	678.4 587.7	21 24	273.6 231.8	5 7	12.6 11.3	29 23	261.0 220.5	4 .
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	291.9 55 275.2 58	610.7 476.5	45 53	140.9 137.6	- 33 33	469.8 338.9	43 53	3.4 10.1	49 47	.0	44 44	3.4 10.1	, 29 29
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	4,092.0 i 3,460.7 i	1,362.1 1,227.8	5 6	358.3 371.2	5 5	1,005.7 856.6	<u>1</u>	11.5 11.4	44	.0 11.4	44 26	11.5	42 42
SENECA	1986 1985	1,021.8 29 1,242.2 20	878.5 729.4	23 30	376.9 299.1	<u>6</u>	501.6 430.3	36 44	183.8 280.8	14	9.3	31 44	174.5 280.8	2
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,091.8 26 1,062.2 27	922.6 780.8	17 23	267.3 196.2	20 20	655.3 584.5	23 25	247.7 263.0	6 5	156.9 156.2	4 3	8.09 8.601	15 15
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,170.8 21 1,299.1 17	2,105.6 2,396.4	1	129.3 126.2	38 38	1,976.3 2,270.3	1	44.4 50.0	- 31 2B	44.4 50.0	12	.0	42 42

<sup>\*</sup> Intake occurs only in family court.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

•			PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ION CAS	ES RECEIVED		UNDE	PROBAT			ING THE YEAR	ŧ	UNDE	R PROBATION		ASES VISION ON	DECEMBER	: 31
-		Tota	ıl	Family (	Court	Criminal	Cour t	Tota	1	Family (		Criminal	Court	Tot	al	Family	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	420.3 387.2	3	71.6 50.4	13 26	348.6 336.8	3	1,267.8 1,131.5	. 4 5	132.3 88.1	13 28	1,135.5 1,043.5	4	908.6 846.2	- 4 5	63.2 60.6	14 17	845.4 785.6	<b>5</b> 5
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	273.3 169.0	29 54	51.5 29.5	27 48	221.8 139.5	31 53	651.5 528.5	50 57	73.3 58.9	42 48	578.2 469.5	48 55	483.2 375.2		. 37.6 21.6	41 54	445.5 353.6	46 53
BRONX	1984 1985	322.4 300.7	12 16	22.1 20.4	59 55	300.4 280.4	7 8	1,069.4 995.4	8	42.9 45.4	60 57	1,026.5 950.0	8 7	818.4 752.9	7	23.2 21.0	54 55	795.2 731.8	7 7
BROOME	1986 1985	293.6 292.3	20 18	50.3 57.9	30 18	243.4 234.4	19 21	951.6 916.0	14 10	107.2 125.2	23 11	844.4 790.8	14 15	700.2 657.1	15 14	61.2 60.7	17 15	639.0 596.4	17 15
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	216.9 186.3	50 48	45.7 43.4	-34 -33	171.2 143.0	<b>52</b> 51	568.6 486.3	58 58	92.6 72.7	30 39	476.0 413.7	57 59	405.6 351.6	58 57	49.2 46.9	30 30	354.4 304.7	57 59
CAYUGA	1986 1985	137.7 146.5	61 58	26.3 26.3	55 50	111.4 120.2	61 58	406.8 404.5	62 62	50.1 55.1	<b>57</b> 52	356.7 349.4	62 62	286.6 269.2	62 62	17.5 23.8	57 50	269.1 245.4	62 61
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	277.4 275.3	26 27	43.3 54.1	38 22	234.1 221.2	22 28	761.0 685.0	36 40	98.5 102.6	29 22	662.5 582.4	34 . 44	529.0 479.8	39 38	53.8 54.8	24 21	475.2 425.0	37 42
CHENUNG	1988 1985	418.8 380.5	<mark>4</mark> ,	103.9 108.7	2 2	314.9 271.8	5 10	1,404.4 1,292.5	2 2	223.2 191.3	2 2	1,181.2 1,101.2	3 3	1,048.å 969.å	2 2	119.3 117.4	2 2	929.3 852.2	2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	350.0 320.2	7 11	92.0 44.0	3 31	258.0 278.2	16	842.0 718.5	29 34	126.0 86.1	17 29	716.0 632.5	29 32	528.0 492.4	39 37	56.0 34.0	21 39	472.0 458.3	40 33
CLINTON	1986 1985	259.9 185.1	34 49	22.2 33.1	57 44	237.7 152.0	20 49	863.3 755.2	25 30	57.9 77.2	51 36	805.4 677.9	19 27	703.2 600.7	14 23	20.9 35.6	55 37	682.3 565.2	10 19
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	248.8 260.7	43 30	64.3 54.5	17 21	184.5 206.3	45 31	764.4 704.6	35 35	126.9	15 19	637.6 595.7	40 39	561.8 518.1	34 32	72.5 64.4	9 12	489.3 453.8	38 35
CORTLAND	1986 1985	286.9 293.3	22 17	57.0 50.6	23 24	230.0 242.7	23 18	890.3 877.8	20 14	101.3 84.4	27 30	789.0 793.4	21 14	628.7 603.5	22 21	44.3 44.3	34 32	584.4 559.2	20 20
DELAWARE	1984 1985	191.1 183.4	56 50	38.2 27.7	43 49	152.9 155.7	55 47	588.1 563.0	56 54	61.6 55.5	48 51	526.5 507.6	54 51	437.4 390.8	54 52	31.8 23.5	45 51	405.5 375.4	51 50

			PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ION CAS	SES RECEIVED		UNDE	R PROBAT	C/ ION SUPERVIS	ASES SION DUR	ING THE YEAR	ŧ		UNDE	PROBATIC		ISES Ision on	DECEMBER	1 <b>31</b>
•		Tota		Family C	ourt	Criminal	Court	Tota		Family (	Court	Criminal	Court		Tota		Family (	ourt	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	236.8 284.2	46 21	42.1 50.6	40 25	194.7 233.6	41 · 23	808.B 807.5		88.6 115.6	33 18	720.0 671.9	28 25		588.4 571.5	28 27	50.2 42.7	28 35	538.2 520.9	27 23
ERIE	1986 1985	278.6 276.0	25 25	51.3 44.3	29 30	227.3 231.7	26 24	723.2 670.5	41 43	100.5 81.0	- 28 32	622.7 589.5	43 42		435.7 443.1	55 48	52.8 50.4	25 26	382.9 392.6	. 53 48
ESSEX	1986 1985	159.9 140.2	59 59	33.1 30.2	· 48	126.7 110.0	59 60	506.9 475.7	59 59	55.1 49.5	52 55	451.8 426.2	. 58 58		371.9 346.5	59 58	27.5 22.0	49 53	344.4 324.5	58 55
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	326.5 317.4	11 12	73.1 54.8	10 20	253.4 262.6	. 17 12	945.2 874.6	15 15	118.7 121.0	20 17	826.5 753.5	17 18		682.6 628.0	17 18	47.9 . 54.8	31 20	63 <b>4.7</b> 573.2	18 18
FULTON	1986 1985	260.1 301.4	35 15	42.1 34.3	39 42	217.9 267.1	33 11	934.1 860.8	16 17	69.6 46.9	46 56	864.5 813.9	12 11		670.3 664.1	18 13	29.3 . 27.1	47 - 47	641.0 637.1	16 13
GENESEE	1986 1985	394.6 425.0	<b>5</b> 2	59.5 67.7	21 8	335.0 357.3	4 2	1,331.6 1,227.7	3	120.7 93.1	19 26	1,210.9 1,134.6	2 2		979.6 941.5	3 3	62.9 69.4	15 9	916.7 872.1	3 2
EREENE	1986 1985	249.4 137.4	42 60	57.0 36.2	22 40	192.4 101.3	42 61	622.3 576.2		71.3 72.3	43 41	551.1 503.9	51 52		415.7 378.5	57 54	42.8 14.5	36 62	372.9 364.1	54 51
HARILTON	1984 1985	320.0 120.4	13 62	20.0 20.1	59 57	300.0 100.3	B 62	600.0 682.0		60.0 80.2	50 34	540.0 601.8	53 37		460.0 280.8	49 61	20.0 40.1	56 36	440.0 240.7	48 62
HERKIHER	1786 1985	174.9 165.9	56 55	65.8 38.9	16 35	109.1 127.0	62 56	570.5 567.9		159.9 134.5	5 9	418.5 433.4	60 56		451.4 403.5	52 51	125.6 94.1	1 4	325.9 309.3	- 60 57
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	333.3 317.2	10 13	71.7 73.4	11	261.6 243.8	14 17	870.9 847.8		136.9 124.2	11 - 12	734.0 723.6	26 22		577.3 549.8	29 29	56.3 86.6	20 11	521.0 483.2	28 29
KINGS	1986 1985	246.7 247.4	44 35	27.4 19.8	53 58	219.3 227.6	32 25	977.3 910.7	11 12	46.6 39.9	58 59	930.8 870.8	10 10		744.1 734.6	9 10	24.3 20.1	52 58	719.7 714.5	B B
LEWIS	1984 1985	213.4 202.7	51 45	39.5 43.7	42 32	173.9 159.0	51 45	691.7 786.8	46 27	102.8 155.0	26 5	580.9 631.8	47 33	•	502.0 480.8	42 38	47.4 63.6	32 14	454.5 417.2	44 45
LIVINGSTON	1984 1985	353.2 282.9		56.3 24.0		294.9 258.9	9 13	1,063.1 970.4	9	78.5 56.6	38 50	984.6 913.8	<b>9</b> 9		723.5 709.8	10 11	54.6 18.9	23. 59	668.9 690.9	14 10

			PROBATI	ON SUPERVI	SION CASES	RECEIVED	I	UNDE	R PROBAT			ING THE YEAR	1		UNDE	R PROBATI	C ON SUFER		DECEMBER	31
		Tota	1	Family	Court	Criminal	Court	Tot		Family C	ourt	Criminal	Court	. •	Tota	ai	Family		Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HADISON	1986 1985	310.8 257.7	16 33	31.5 24.0	51 54	279.3 233.7	11 22	785.3 686.2	33 39	52.6 58.4	56 49	732.7 627.8	27 34	-	805.1 473.5	25 41	25.5 21.0		579.6 452.5	21 36
HONROE	1986 1985	319.0 289.0	14 20	32.5 37.9	49 37	284.5 251.1	10 15	952.6 829.8	13 23	78.4 75.2	38 39	874.2 754.6	11 17		661.0 631.6		40.7 45.8	40 31	620.3 585.8	19 17
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	228.4 241.8	- 49 37	71.0 59.0	- 14 16	157.4 182.8	54 42	756.2 694.9	37 38	128.7 108.5	16 26	629.6 586.4	41 43	-	566.2 523.5	33 31	51.8 55.2		514.4 468.3	31 32
NASSAU	1988 1985	340.1 363.1	9	35.4 45.4	46 - 29	304.7 317.7	6 5	1,171.6	7 7	BB.1 102.2	34 24	1,083.4 918.9	<b>.</b> 8		899.6 826.3	5 6	42. P 52. 4	35 23	856.7 773.9	4
NEW YORK	1784 1785	213.4 207.3	52 44	17.0 18.3	60 59	176.4 188.9	40 38	881.1 849.7	- 22 18	37.3 38.0	62 61	843.8 811.6	15 12		686.3 666.9	16 12	12.7 20.3		673.6 646.7	11 12
NIAGARA	1984 1985	276.2 278.5	27 24	39.6 38.0	41 36	236.5 239.7	21 19	723.8 654.5	40 46	69.6 62.4	45 44	654.2 592.2	38 41		459.7 448.5	50 47	24.9 30.0	51 45	434.8 418.5	50 44
ONEIDA	1986 1985	257.9 219.4	38 42	49.5 36.3	31 39	208.5 183.1	38 41	766.2 738.2	34 32	77.3 72.6	40 40	688.9 665.6	32 28		534.4 503.7	37 35	37.4 27.5	42 46	499.0 476.2	35 31
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	313.5 282.4	15 23	48.1 47.1	32 28	265.3 235.3	13 20	868.7 783.3	24 29	91.3 81.0	31 31	777.4 702.3	22 24		608.4 552.7	24 28	44.9 43.0	33 34	563.5 509.8	23 26
ONTARIO	1986 • 1985	303.7 263.0	18 29	59.7 57.4	20 19	244.0 205.7	10 32	806.9 740.4	31 31	107.4 92.0	22 27	679.6 648.4	31 30		569.4 502.2	30 34	61.8 47.6		507.6 454.6	33 34
ORANGE	1984 1985	305.6 345.9	17 7	44.7 48.6	36 27	260.9 297.2	15 6	899.5 873.3	19 16	132.4 122.9	12 13	767.1 750.5	23 19		641.1 602.7	21 22	88.7 89.0	6 5	552.4 513.8	25 25
ORLEANS	1984 1985	262.2 321.9	34 10	51.4 30.7	28 46	210.B 291.3	35 7	1,179.9 1,126.7	6	102.8 71.5	25 42	1,077.1 1,055.2	7 5		706.9 909.6	12 4	12.9 48.5		894.1 861.0	3
OSWEGO	1984 1985	284.0 258.5	23 31	61.9 67.1	19 9	224.1 191.4	30 36	798.5 737.8	32 33	128.8 137.7	14 8	649.7 600.1	38 33		544.3 514.5	36 33	71.1 67.1	11 10	473.2 447.4	28 28
OTSEGO.	1986 1985	135.1 158.3	62 56	1.7 15.2	62 62	133.4 143.2	<b>58</b> 50	665.5 672.1	49 42	54.1 59.0	55 47	611.5 613.1	44 35		486.5 528.9		11.8 52.2		474.7 476.7	30 38

			PROBA	TION SUPERVI	SION CASI	ES RECEIVED		UNDE				ING THE YEAR	•	UNDEF	PROBATI	CI On Super	NSES VISION ON	DECEMBER	31 .
		To	tal	Family	Court	Criminal	Court	;ata	11	Family (		Criminal	Court	Tota		Family (	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAN	1986 1985	259. 249.		34.3 58.1	47 17	225.5 191.6	29 35	731.6 644.0	39 47	77.2 105.1	41 21	654.4 539.0	36 49	555.1 475.9	35 40	41.7 43.3	38 33	513.5 432.7	32 39
DNEEKS	1986 1985	191. 172.		15.6 17.0		176.1 155.0	48 48	613.1 532.5	53 56	39.8 38.5	61 60	573.3 494.0	49 53	464.8 419.2	4B 50	15.7 24.1	59 49	449.2 395.2	45 47
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	270. 330.		84.4 74.0		186.6 256.4	44 14	1,009.2 915.1	10	158.9 121.6	6 15	852.3 793.5	13 13	750.8 740.0	9 8	86.4 72.7	7 8	664.5 667.3	15 11 -
RICHKOND	1986 1985	140. 136.		25.1 24.3	56 52	115.6 112.6	60 59	472.2 440.3	61 60	43.2 33.4	59 62	429.0 406.8	59 60	357.0 332.2	61 59	16.6 18.2	5B 60	342.5 314.0	59 56
ROCKLAND	1988 1985	268. 339.		91.8 125.9		177.1 213.0	47 29	850.3 847.9	27 19	224.8 237.9	1	623.5 610.0	42 36	567.9 582.9	31 24	97.8 135.4	4	470.1 447.5	- 41 37
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	186. 156.		37.2 16.8		148.9 139.3	57 54	608.2 589.9	54 50	54.1 43.2	54 - 58	554.1 54£.7	50 47	477.8 419.7	47 49	37.2 16.8	43 61	440.6 403.0	47 46
SARATOGA	1984 1985	204. 173.		52.4 35.9		152.3 137.4	56 55	489.3 414.6	60 61	85.3 53.2	36 54	404.0 361.4	61 61	361.4 289.0	60 60	54.8 33.4	22 42	306.5 255.6	61 60
SCHENECTADY	1984 1985	273. 266.		44.6 59.8		229.0 206.5	24 30	851.5 832.1	26 22	103.9 141.4		747.7 690.6	25 26 •	597.9 576.4	26 26	52.6 59.1	26 18	545.3 517.3	26 24
SCHOHARIE	1984 -1985	255, 177.		26.8 20.1		228.2 157.7	25 46	714.8 630.9	44	60:4 53.7	49 53	654.4 577.2	37 45	523.5 459.7	40 43	23,5 33.6	53 41	500.0 426.2	34 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	465. 485.		74.7 62.8		390.8 422.6	1	1,206.9	5 4	109.2 79.9		1,097.7 1,090.7	5 4	885.1 736.7	<u>6</u> 9	57.5 34.3	19 38	827.6 702.4	6 9
SENECA	1996 1985	246. 192.		71.7 51.9		174.5 140.4	49 52	704.0 702.0	45 36	177.6 180.1	3	526.5 521.9	<b>55</b> 50	439.3 448.6	53 46	71.7 103.8	10	367.6 344.9	55 54
STEUBEN	1986 1985	234. 201.		53.7 32.9		180.6 168.5	46 44	690.4 669.8		87.7 76.0		602.7 593.8	45 40	 478.8 454.1	46 44	41.3	39 40	437.6 420.2	49 43
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	34B. 316.		74.5 66.2		274.0 250.1	12 16	955.9 896.8	12 13	150.8 139.1		805.1 757.7	20 16	662.3 606.9	19 20	90.1 76.3	5 6	572.3 530.4	22 22

<sup>\*</sup> The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

HOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

										REVOCATI	ONS				
							 -			 		Criminal	Court	F1	
					Tot	al =	Fa	mily C	ourt::	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	onv.
COUNTY		Year	•	:	Rate	Rank	F	late	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY		1986 1985			84.3 60.7			64.0 61.0	32 30	86.7 60.4	2 8	57.8 44.6	3 5	28.9 15.9	15 - 31
ALLEGANY		1986 1985			21.3 18.6			54.1 66.7	36 29	17.1 12.6	60 59	13.7 8.4	49 51	3.4 4.2	57 56
BRONX		1986 1985			80.9 75.3			37.1 89.2	44 20	- 82.7 74.7	4 5	65.1 65.4	2 3	17.5 9.2	30 47
BROOME :		1986 1985			26.4 43.7			39.8 63.9	40 32	24.7 40.5	52 33	15.2 18.5	45 36	9.6 22.0	44 21
CATTARAUGUS	*	1986 1985			28.9 9.6		•	25.3 16.1	52 51	29.6 8.5	47 61	12.3	51 59	17.2 8.5	31 50
CAYUGA		1986 1985			89.2 99.1	1		100.0 68.2	17 27	87.7 103.9	1 2	28.1 28.7	20 22	59.6 75.3	2
CHAUTAURUA		1986 1985		-	40.4 48.6			35.5 74.3	46 26	41.1 44.0	36 26	26.4 21.4	22 29	14.8 22.6	35 20
CHEHUNG		1986 1985			56.6 55.5			118.8	10 13	44.9 45.4	32 23	36.5 37.5	15 12	B.4 7.9	47 53
CHENANGO		1986 1985			87.9 55.7	2 14		95.2 46.5	20 41	86.6 57.0	3 10	55.9 44.3	4	30.7 12.7	11 40
CLINTON		1986 1985			20.0 22.7	59 56		21.3 63.5	54 33	19. <i>9</i> 18.1	57 56	12.2 16.3	53 42	7.6 1.8	51 59
COLUMBIA		1986 1985			59.2 49.2			77.9 75.8	25 25	54.3 44.3	17 25	54.3 33.2	5 17	.0 11.1	59 43

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

TABLE 7B PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 • - Continued

/ REVOCATIONS

									•		Criminal	Court##	•	
				Tota	114		Family (	our t * *	Tol	ta]	Techni	cal	New C	Conv
COUNTY		Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND		1986 - 1985		49.8 55.3	- 27 - 16		104.2 125.0	15 8	42.8 47.9	34 19	26.7 29.3	. 21 21	16.0 18.6	34 27
DELAVARE		1986 1985		25.3 15.2	54 59		69.0	30 54	20.2 16.8	55 57	12.1 4.2	54 56	8.i 12.6	50 41
DUTCHESS		1986 1985		39.0 42.2	43 38		74.6 155.9	27 3	34.6 23.2	42 54	28.7 14.2	19 47	5.9 9.1	54 49
ERIE	:	1986 1985		41.7 52.2	37 19		133.0 87.7	5 21	27.0 47.3	51 20	21.1 33.4	31 16	5.8 14.0	55 36
ESSEX		1984 1985		. 27.2 23.1	50 54	•	.0 111.1	59 .14	30.5 12.9	<b>45</b> 58	24.4	28 59	6.1 12.9	53 38
FRANKLIN	. :	1986 1985		41.1 28.7	39 50		38.5 .0	42 54	41.4 33.3	35 43	24.9 15.2	27 45	16.6 18.2	33 29
FULTON		1986 1985		54.9 50.3	22 21		105.3 76.9	13 24	50.B 48.R	22 16	9.5 2.2	57 58	42.4 46.6	4 2
BENESEE		1986 1985		44.7 42.8	35 35	•	140.B 36.4	44	35.1 43.3	41 28	22.5 28.4	30 23	12.6 14.9	37 33
GREENE		1986 1985		64.9 62.8	6 12		33.3 200.0	49	43.1	10 29	47.4 14.4	7 46	21.6 28.7	25 11
HANILTON		1986 1985		.0	62 52		.0	59 54 -	.0	62 62	.0	61 59	.0	59 60
HERKINER		1986 1985	. : •	20.7 28.9	58 49		18.7 .0	56 54	21.4 37.9	54 38	10.7 37.9	56 10	10.7	42 60

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

REVOCATIONS

								÷ .	Criminal Court**	+	
			Tota	ıl#_	Family	Courtes	To	tal	Technical	New C	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate Rank .	Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		48.2 47.3	29 23	4B.4 109.1	37 15	48.1 39.0	25 34	18.0 39 25.0 27	30.1 14.0	13 35
KINGS	1984 1985		51.7 36.9	26 43	12.2 13.2		53.6 38.0	18 37	13.0 50 7.3 52	40.7 30.6	6 7
LENIS	1986 1985	• • •	22.9 35.4	56 45	38.5 25.6		20.1 37.7	56 40	20.1 35 25.2 26	.0 12.6	59 42
LIVINGSTON :	1984 1985	•	80.3 53.0	8 10	130.4 121.2	8 10	76.3 40.8	B 16	15.6 43 16.9 41	60.7 31.9	i 8
MADISON	1984 1985		24.9 56.8	55 13	57.1 102.6	34 16	· 22.5 52.5	53 14	14.3 47 33.4 15	8.2 19.1	48 25
MONROE	1986 1985		31.7 29.7	46 40	39.9 56.6	3B 36	30.9 27.1	43 51	16.9 42 17.3 39	14.0 9.8	36 46
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985		86.3 46.6	3 27	197.0 122.8	1 9	64.0 32.5	12 44	15.2 44 6.5 53	48.8 26.0	3 15
NASSAU	1986 1985		31.2 45.8	47 29	34.3 53.7	48 38	30.9 45.0	44 24	12.3 52 20.0 33	18.6 24.9	29 16
NEW YORK	1986 1985		51.9 62.2	24 10	39.9 35.5		52.4 63.4	20 7	13.8 48 19.4 35	39.6 44.0	3
NIAGARA	1986 1985		54.1 45.9	23 28	125.8 125.9	7 7	46.5 37.4	28 41	17.6 41 15.6 43	28.9 21.8	16 22
ONEIDA	1986 1985		59.9 54.0	15 17	192.7 126.4	2 6	45.0 46.1	31 21	18.7 37 19.8 34	26.3 26.4	17 14

PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued TABLE 78

							REVOCATI	ONS			* **	
									Crisinal	Courtes	P	
		Tat	al #		Family	Courter	Tot	al	rechni.	cal	New C	onv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Bank
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	80.8 80.7	7		118.2 100.8	11 17	76.4 78.3	7. 4	75.5 75.3	i 2	.8 3.1	58 57
ONTARIO	1986 1985	84.7 86.3	3		101.0 94.1	16 19	82.2 85.1	5 3	41.9 43.4	13 7	40.3 41.7	. 7
DRANGE	1986 1985	71.8 42.5	11 36		91.2 35.2	22 45	68.5 43.7	11 27	44.0 34.6	12 14	24.5 9.1	21 48
ORLEAKS .	1986 1985	45.8 36.3	33 44		125.0 .0	8 54	38.2 38.7	38 36	26.3 31.5	24 18	11.9 7.3	40 54
OSKEGO	1986 1985	40.8 23.9	40 53		13.0 12.2	57 53	46.2 26.6	29° 52	33.7 18.2	17 37	12.5 8.4	38 51
OTSE60	1986 1995	25.4 27.6	53 51		.0	59 54	/27.6 30.2	50 47	2.8 11.0	60 49	24.9 19.2	19 24
PUTNAN	1986 1985	4B.6 40.3	28 39		63.5 47.1	33 40	46.8 - 39.0	27 35	20.8 20.6	33 32	26.2 18.3	18 28
QUEENS	1986 1965	46.5 37.9	- 31 42		32.7 38.9	50 43	47.4 37.8	26. 39	6.3 4.6	58 55	41.1 33.2	5 7
RENSSELAER	1985 1985	44.4 18.1	36 58		92.4 59.8	21 35	35.6 11.7	40 60	26.3 9.2	2 <b>3</b> 50	7.3 2.5	45 56
RICHNOND	1986 1985	46.4 51.6	32 20	•	80.2 64.0	2 <b>4</b> 30	42.9 50.6	33 15	11.2 21.0	55 30	31.7 29.6	9 10
ROCKLAND	198å 1985	46.9 44.5	30 32		24.9 17.4	53 50	54.9 55.0	16 13	35.0 40.8	16 9	19.9	27 34

( Continued on next page. )

## REVOCATIONS

			-					<del>-</del>			Criminal	Courtes	F		
			Tota	14		Family C	ourtes		Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	onv	
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
ST. LAWRENCE	1988		26.2	52		98.4	18		19.2	58	14.4	46	4.8	56	
SIN PHOUPHUR	1985		34.4	46		40.B	42		33.9	42	21.0	31	12.9	38	
SARATOGA	1986		77.2	9		85.7	23		75.4	9	45.2	10	30.2	12	
	1985		74.6	Ь		116,3	. 12		68.5	6	49.7	4	18.8	26	
SCHENECTADY	1984 1985		36.0 45.5	44. 31		76.9 117.4	26 11		30.3 30.8	46 46	20.5 15.4	34 44	9.B 15.4	43 32	
					•				•						
SCHOHARIE :	1986 1985		56.3 26.6	20 52		55.6	35 54		56.4 29.1	15 48	25.6 5.8	25 54	30.8 23.3	10 19	
SCHUYLER	1986		57.1	10		105.3	13		52.4	21	52.4	-6	0	59	
	1985	•	43.9	33		214.3	1		31.4	45	26.2	24	5.2	55	
SENECA	1986		75.2	10		70.2	29		76.9	6	47.3	8	29.6	14	
	1985		95.7	2		50.8	39		111.1	1	76.0	. 1	35.1	5	
STEUBEN	1986 1985		59.8 58.3	16 12		70.6 81.1	2B - 23		58.2 55.4	14 12	46.2 41.5	9 8	12.0 13.8	39 37	
פוורכהו ע														•	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		45.3 42.3	34 37		20.2 25.2	55 49		50.0 45.4	24 22	29.0 25.8	, 18 25	21.0 19.6	26 23	
SULLIVAN	1986		51.7	25		39.2	41		53.0	19	44.5	11	8.5	46	
	1985		49.3	22		40.2	34		48.3	10	37.9	10	10.3	45	
T106A	1985 1985		68.6 47.5	12 26		112.9 83.3	12 22		61.5 42.9	13 30	38.5 34.9	14 13	23.1 8.0	22 52	
									•						
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		35.1 45.7	45 30		125.0 94.3	8 18		27.6 41.1	49 32	20.7 30.4	32 20	6.9 10.7	52 44	
							• "								

## REVOCATIONS

						~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~				Criminal	Courtess		
		 Tot	al ŧ		Family C	ourtes	•	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	onv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	55.6 65.8	21 8		97.7 127.3	19. 5		50.3 58.6	23 9	25,6 30.9	25 19	24.7 27.7	20 12
MARREN	1986 1985	61.2 67.3	14		179.5 133.3	3		46.1 56.2	. 30 11	23.0 22.5	29 28	23.0 33.7	23 6
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	28.9 39.3	48 41		28.0 67.4	51 29		29.3 28.9	48 49	18.3 4.1	38 57	11.0 24.8	41 17
WAYNE	1986 1985	41.7 39.4	3B 40		65.6 28.3	31 47		37.0 41.4	39 31	17.7 17.2	40 40	19.3 24.1	28 18
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	40.1 30.1	42 47		35.5 54.1	<b>45</b> 37		40.4 28.1	37 50	10.9 12.1	36 48	21.6 16.0	24 30
HYONING	1986 1985	16.6 14.8	60 60		34.5 .0	47 54		12.3 18.2	61 55	4.1 18.2	59 37	8.2	48 60
YATES	1986 1985	 15.5 23.1	61 54		.0	59 54		17.1 26.5	59 53	.0 .0	<b>61</b> 59	17.1 26.5	32 13
NEWYORKSTATE	1988 1985	50.0 47.5	NA NA		56.0 56.5	NA NA		49.5 46.6	NA NA	24.6 24.3	HA Ha	24.8 22.3	HA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1786 1785	56.6 51.0	NA NA		30.1 40.6	NA NA		58.0 51.6	NA NA	22.7 22.0	NA NA	35.2 29.6	na Na

<sup>\*</sup> Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 +

JAIL AND PE	NIT	FNT	IASY
-------------	-----	-----	------

		-	Admissions				•	Decembe	- 31 Population	
		Total	Unsentenced	ntenced	-	Reported Capacity	Average Daily Population	Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year 	Rate Rank	Rate Rank R	e Rank		Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	1,362,4 22 1,259,4 25		7.0 16 9.1. 16		150.3 5 153.2 5	137.3 4 128.6 3	133.4 2 113.4 6	69.5 4 63.8 8	64.9 1 49.7 3
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	988.0 48		0.4 53 7.5 56		69.3 37 68.8 35	55.4 45 51.1 44	63.4 34 45.2 44	39.6 26 21.6 47	23.8 32 23.6 33
BROOME	1986 1985	1,123.3 38 1,040.3 41		7.8 25 7.8 27		95.8 17 85.7 25	72.6 28 71.5 27	74.0 25 71.5 21	35.1 36 35.3 28	38.9 15 36.2 9
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	1,283.7 26 1,238.6 27		0.0 28 0.1 33		89.1 22 89.1 21	73.9 27 57.4 39	77.4 20 72.7 20	55.1 8 32.8 32	22.3 40 39.8 6
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1,249.1 30 1,457.5 17		8.0 18 7.2 6		77.6 28 77.6 29	67.6 33 62.6 35	47.6 47 68.9 25	25.0 47 40.1 23	22.5 39 28.8 21
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	1,107.6 40 1,044.2 40		4.7 27 0.1 24		68.5 38 67.9 38-	60.8 39 60.3 37	68.5 31 49.9 41	50.3 13 35.4 27	18.2 47 14.6 49
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	1,428.7 19 1,190.3 31		7.3 5 1.3 19	. S	101.7 13 100.0 13	100.6 9 88.1 11	103.9 6 108.7 8	47.5 18 45.7 17	56.4 4 63.0 2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1,026.0 43 1,142.8 35		4.0 4 10.4 7		66.0 42 66.0 40	56.0 44 56.0 41	44.0 49 34.0 55	16.0 53 20.0 51	28.0 25 14.0 51
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,944.6 4 1,615.8 11		8.3 10 8.5 9		64.0 44 63.7 43	56.7 43 53.9 42	55.4 40 58.8 31	30.8 41 33.1 31	24.6 29 25.7 32
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	1,426.7 20 1,414.1 18		7.3 21 7.5 14		92.3 20 95.7 18	77.4 24 89.1 10	72.5 26 75.9 16	36.2 35 42.9 19	36.2 18 33.0 14
CORTLAND	1986 1985	1,753.2 9 1,698.6 7		3.0 11 78.8 18		67.5 40 67.5 39	78.1 23 78.1 22	86.5 14 111.8 7	27.4 45 80.2 6	59.1 2 31.7 17
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,023.4 44 819.0 52		5.5 24 19.5 37		63.7 45 68.2 37	44.6 52 34.1 56	23.4 57 44.8 46	19.1 50 27.7 41	4.2 57 17.1 43
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,005.8 45 933.7 44		1.0 50 10.9 51		68.6 36	61.5 38 56.8 40	52.6 43 58.0 33	39.7 25 42.3 21	12.9 54 15.7 47

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

									Ada	issions				 	··					 -	Deceab	er 31 Popul				•
							Tot		Unsent		Senten	ced			Repor Capac	ity	Average Popula	ition		Tota		Unsente		Sente		
	COUNTY	· -			Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank				Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani	ķ .
	ERIE				1986 1985		1,178.3 1,170.4	- 35 34	1,013.6 1,006.7	22 19	164.7 163 <u>.</u> 7	51 53			72.9 100.9	32 12	66.3 65.5	35 33		59.3 53.4	37 37	40.1 37.4	24 26	19.2 16.1		
	ESSEX				1986 1985		1,038.6 750.7	42 54	776.9 539.0		261.7 211.7	39 43	-		66.0	41 41	44.1 33.0	53 57		24.8 33.0	56 56	16.5 30.2	52 36	8.3 2.8		
	FRANKLIN				1986 1985		1,456.6	17 21	995.4 949.9		461.2 424.7	9 12			125.6 125.6	7	98.2 84.5	10 17	•	82.2 75.4	17 17	38.5 38.8	34 24	45.7 36.5		i 8
	FULTON				1986		1,315.0		470.7		844.3	1			.0	57	: 78.8	22	•	47.6	46	22.0	48	25.6		
	GENESEE				1985		1,680.2	16	505.3 1,088.4		1,174.9	20			.0	57 34	84.8 52.7	14 47		57.8 71.4	35 28	23.5 37.4	45 30	34.3 34.0		
					1985		1,297.1	23	982.2		315.0	25			23.7	56	47.4	51		64.3	28	32.2	33	32.2	2 15	
	GREENE		*		1986 1985	. •	2,156.8 1,697.4	B 3	1,408.6 1,164.5		748.2 532.8	3			128.3 130.2	P .	90.3 84.4	14 18		78.4 60.3	30 19	49.9 33.8	14 30	28.5 26.5		
	HAHILTON				1986 1985		1,260.0 1,624.9	29 10	820.0 1,103.3		440.0 521.6	12 5			80.0 80.2	2 <i>1</i> 20	40.0 40.1	40 54		40.0 120.4	51 5	.0 80.2	<b>57</b>	40.0 40.1		
<b>*</b>	HERKINER				1986 1985		1,113.6		852.0 860.7		261.6 273.5	40 34			53.8 53.8	49 47	47.8 58.3	49 38		43.3 38.9	50 52	29.9 29.9	43 38	13.5 9.0		
	JEFFERSON				1986 1985	-	1,217.4	33 33	848.8 764.3		368.7 417.7	24 13			77.3 74.5	30 31	71.7 73.4	31 25		59.6 65.5	36 27	29.0 31.6	44 35	29.1 33.9		
	LEWIS				1986 1985		632.4 906.0		482.2 766.9		150.2 139.1	5& 55			90.9 91.4	2i 20	39.5 43.7	54 52		51.4 47.7	45 43	31.6 19.9	38 53	19.6		
	LIVINGSTON				1986 1985		1,665.5	H	1,176.2 1,171.0	11	469.3 378.9	8 20	•		95.6 96.0	18	105.8	7		92.2 84.0		44.4 53.1	22 12	47.1 30.5	9 9	9
	MADISON				1785		1,225.2		953.5		271.8	35	7		70.6	35	75.1	25		90.1	10	52.6	11	37.		
	*			•	1985		1,141.7	36	884.0		257.7	36			70.4	33	70.4	28		56.9	36	28.5	40	28.5		
	HONROE				1986 1985		1,300.3		1,123.5 958.2		176.8 240.2	49 39			77.6 70.2	29 34	80.8 68.9	21 29		87.1 79.6	13 15	63.6 53.3	11 11	23.5 26.5		

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

			Admissions	-					r 31 Population	
		Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced		Reported Capacity	Average Daily Population	Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	1,525.7 15 1,593.5 12	1,155.5 16 1,041.4 17	370.4 23 552,1. 3		184.3 3 188.5 2	120.9 5 91.4 8	120.9 4 81.9 L3	72.9 3 66.6 7	48.0 B
NASSAU	1986 1985	623.7 57 655.1 57	459.9 56 464.2 56	163.8 52 190.9 47		72.7 33 64.4 42	86.7 18 82.3 19	87.2 12 85.9 10	60.5 7 57.3 9	26.8 26 28.5 22
NIAGARA	1986 1985	1,135.5 36 1,223.6 29	867.2 29 921.0 27	268.3 37 302.6 29		97.7 15 97.9 14 :	87.1 17 84.5 16	75.6 24 69.7 23	46.1 20 35.1 29	29.5 23 34.6 10
DNEIDA	1986 1985	863.6 52 705.1 55	707.8 47 534.4 54	155.7 54 170.7 52		82.9 25 82.2 26	80.9 20 74.2 24	76.9 22 67.0 26	52.7 10 40.7 22	24.1 30 26.3 30
ONONDAGA	198 <b>6</b> 1985	1,804.2 7 1,708.9 8	1,619.6 3 1,524.3 3	184.6 47 184.6 49		99.7 14 87.9 23	91.3 12 96.3 6	83.3 16 92.0 9	47.1 19 54.6 10	36.3 17 37.4 7
ONTARIO	1986 1995	1,671.4 10 1,381.2 20	1,190.9 12 975.3 22	480.5 & 405.9 15		97.6 16 96.3 15	93.3 11 95.5 13	90.0 11 85.5 11	31.5 39 20.6 50	58.6 3 64.9 1
DRANGE	1986 1985	1,563.7 13 1,360.4 22	1,337.6 9 1,148.2 15	226.1 42 212.2 42		94.8 19 96.2 16	90.9 13 80.0 20	85.9 15 73.5 19	49.7 15 51.2 14	36.2 19 22.3 37
ORLEANS	1986 1985	1,452.4 18 1,290.2 24	1,156.8 15 1,027.6 18	295.6 33 260.6 35		195.1 2 166.1 4	156.8 3 125.2 4	66.8 33 122.6 4	41.1 23 99.6 3	25.7 27 23.0 35
DSWEGO	1986 1985	1,070.2 41 1,129.8 38	796.0 3B 768.0 37	274.2 34 361.8 22		80.3 26 80.6 27	66.9 34 60.4 36	54.3 41 57.9 34	38.5 28 27.7 42	15.9 50 30.2 20
OTSE60	1986 1985	790.5 54 904.5 46	567.6 51 616.5 50	223.0 44 288.0 32		37.2 55 37.1 54	49.0 48 48.8 49	35.5 52 42.1 49	11.B 56 15.2 56	23.6 33 27.0 27
PUTHAN	1988 1985	915.4 49 892.5 47	763.5 43 769.2 41	152.0 55 132.3 57		49.0 51 49.4 50	47.8 50 49.4 48	44.1 48 39.6 50	20.8 49 21.0 49	23.3 36 18.5 41
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	942.0 47 864.2 50	716.5 46 668.6 46	225.4 43 195.6 46	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	73.8 31 75.3 30	47.5 51 50.9 45	51.4 44 37.0 53	30.3 42 21.1 48	21.1 43 15.9 46
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	732.2 55 699.8 56	416.7 57 403.8 57	315.5 30 296.0 31		30.8 56 30.9 55	37.2 56 36.2 55	33.5 53 32.8 57	18.9 51 20.0 52	16.5 49 12.8 53

B-88

<sup>· (</sup> Continued on next page. )

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 4 - Continued

.1411	ΔΝΝ	PENITENTIARY

		***********	Admissions		an dia 12 ya 36 din 12 m m m m m m m 44 m 44 ay m				 		31 Population	
		Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced		Reported Capacity	•	lation	Total		Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Ran	k Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	1,302.3 24 1,245.8 26	864.7 31 812.3 33	437.6 13 , 433,5 10			23 87.9 9 84.6		94.9 52.9	7 36	38.7 27 19.4 54	56.2 5 33.5 13
WAYNE	1986 1985	1,814.8 6 1,787.5 5	.1,378.4 8 1,318.1 7	436.4 14 469.4 8		65.9 4 56.0 4			77.3 50.3	21 40	54.5 9 29 <sub>3</sub> 7 39	22.7 37 20.6 38
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2,208.9 2 1,843.4 4	1,892.4 i 1,600.2 2	316.5 29 243.5 38		118.2	9 110.5 8 95.3		112.1 80.9	5 14	6B.2 5 50.4 16	43.9 12 30.5 19
RADHING	1986 1985	872.5 51 1,012.9 42	652.0 50 705.6 44	220.6 45 307.3 28		44.1 5 44.3 5			29.4 34.4	54 54	14.7 55 14.8 57	14.7 51 19.7 40
YATES	1986 1985	1,787.7 8 1,591.7 14	1,551.9 4 1,354.4 6	235.B 41 237.4 40		179.2 176.9	4 160.4 3 153.6		132.1 125.7	3	117.9 2 97.7 4	14.2 52 27.9 24
NEHYORKSTATE	1986 1985	1,319.2 NA 1,182.0 - NA	1,032.0 NA 896.8 NA	287.2 NA 285.2 NA			118.4 105.4		118.8 105.3	na Na	B4.7 NA 72.9 NA	34.1 NA 32.4 NA
NEWYORKCITY	1984 1985	1,458.1 NA 1,225.3 NA	1,129.1 NA 898.3 NA	329.0 NA 327.0 NA		178.4 X 153.1 N	ia 177.3		181.8 158.5	NA NA	139.1 NA 116.5 NA	42.7 NA 42.0 NA

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

<sup>\*</sup> Data are not reported by county for New York City - only for New York City as a whole.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TARLE 100

NEW COURT CONKITHENTS TO STATE PRISON

				******					·	. 1	Violent	Felonie										Drug Fel							
					tal	To	tal	Hoai	cide	Sex Of	enses	Rob	bery	Burg	lary	Heap	ONS	•	her	Tol	al	Posses		Sal	e	Other Felor	nies	Youth Offer	nders
	COUNTY	Year			Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank -	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
	ALBANY	1986 1985		74.1 72.9		25. i 21. 5		2.B 1.4	15 31	4.9	14 17	4.2	21 13	7.1 7.0	16 15	1.8 2.8	10 9	4.2 1.8	8 27	11.6 20.8	10 5	6.4 8.8	7	5.3 12.0	13	36.3 29.9	4	1.1	19 36
	ALLEGANY	1786 1785		4.0 7.9		.0 3.9		.0 2.0	43 21	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 2.0	37 22	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	4.0 2.0	<b>59</b> 59	.0 2.0	32 13
	BRONX	1986 1985		167.4 136.1	2	105.6		14.9 13.7	1	7.5 7.3	<b>5</b> 5	- 53.0 44.4	- 2 2	12.8 10.1	2 4	10.4 11.5	2 2	7.0 7.9	2	32.7 21.5	2	8.1 5.7	- 5 5	24.5 15.7	2	25.3 16.6	9	3.9 3.2	4 7
	BROOME	1986 1985		56.9 38.1	11 19	23.7 :21.7		2.4	20 34	6.2 3.8	18	3.8 3.3	24 15	9.0	11 5	, 9 , 5	-18 19	1.9	24 15	5.7 .5	19 45	2.8	13 32	2.8 .5	24 41	27.0 15.5	7 20	.5 .5	28 38
R-QO	CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985		11.7		7.5 3.5		1.2	35 36	1.2	46 43	.0 2.3	43 24	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	1.2	32 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	8.2 7.0	49 50	.0 1.2	32 28
	CAYUGA	1986 1985		51.3 30.1		16.3		1.3	38 33	7.5 2.5	4 28	.0	43 40	5.0 3.8	22 30	.0	25 23	2.5	17 40	3.9	28 46	.0	37 32	3.B	20 42	26.3 17.5	8 12	5.0 6.3	2 2
	CHAUTAUGUA	1986 1985		14.0 20.1		8.3 8.3		2.1 2.1	22 19	.0	44.	.7	41 39	2.1 4.2	42 28	.0 .0	25 23	.0 1.4	37 31	.7 2.8	50 28	.0 1.4	37 22	.7 1.4	41 34	7.0 7.6	52 49	.0 1.4	32 21
	CHEHUNG	1984 1985		64.1 56.5	8	2B.7 29.4		2.2 2.2	21 17	6.6 7.6	7 3	4.4 1.1	20 37	11.0 8.7	5 11	.0 4.3	25 4	4.4 5.4	6 7	2.2	36 46	1.1	32 32	1.1	37 42	30.9 26.1	5 5	2.2 1.1	7 31
	CHENANGO	1986 1985	• .	8.0 16.0		2.0 B.0		2.0	24 36	2.0	. 51 31	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0 6.0	37 6	4.0	51 20	.0 2.0	37 15	.0 2.0	43 28	4.0 4.0	55 55	.0	32 41
	CLINTON	1986 1985		30.8 19.6		4.9		.0	43 36	1.2 2.5	45 29	2.5 1.2	25 35	2.5 1.2	40 50	.0	25 23	.0	37 40.	3.7 1.2	29 43	.0	37 32	3.7 1.2	21 37	20.9 12.3	14 31	.0 1.2	32 25
	COLUMBIA	1986 1985		47.8 59.4		11.5 18.7		3.3 1.7	10 28	4.9 5.0	13 8	.0	43 40	1.6 B.3	48 12	.0	25 23	1.6 3.3	26 12	23.1 23.1	3	9.9 5.0	. 7	13.2 18.2	5 2	13.2 16.5	29 18	.0 1.7	32 17
	CORTLAND	1986 1985		40.1 54.9		6.3 16.9		.0	43 36	.0	51 47	.0 2.1	43 25	6.3 2.1	17 44	.0 4.2	25 5	.0 9.4	37 3	.0	25 46	2.1	18 32	2.1	29 42	27.4 27.4	4	2.1 10.5	9
	DELAWARE	1988 1935		10.6		2.1		.0	43 36	2.1	51 30	2.1	30 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	2,1	51 36	.0	37 32	.0 2.1	43 27	8.5 .0	48 61	.0	32 41

NEW COURT CONMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

				-								Violent	Felonie	5									Drug Fe	lonies						
					Tot		Tat	al	Hosi	cide	Sex Of		Rob	bery	Burg	lary	Vea	pons		her	Tol	al	Posse		Sal	9	Other Felo	ni es	Youti Offe	
	COUNTY	Year			Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	-	Rate		Rate	
	DUTCHESS	1986 1985	-		21.4 25.9	42 31	13.2 13.3	30 27	.6	38 36	1.9	40 24	3.5 4.3	22 14	4.7	26 26	1.6	11 21	.8 1.2	34 34	1.6	40 40	.4	3 <u>6</u> 32	i.2 1.6	35 33	6.6 10.2	- 54 40	.0	32 35
	ERIE	1986 1985			31.8 32.2	31 22	16.0 15.9	28 23	1.5 2.0	32 22	3.2 2.7	23 25	3.4 3.3	23 17	4.8 5.9	25 21	1.0	17 16	2.1 1.4	21 30	4.3 1.9	24 39	2.1 1.2	19 26	2.2	28 40	11.0 13.7	37 26	. 6 . 8	25 34
	ESSEX	1986 1985			16.5 24.7	51 35	2.8 8.3	57 40	2.B	16 36	.0 2.8	51 23	.0 5.5	43 12	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0.	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	13.8 13.6	27 25	.0 2.8	32 10
	FRANKLIN	1986 1985			13.7 25.1	56 34	4.6	53 43	4.6	4 15	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0 4.6	51 27	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	4.6	23 46	2.3	15 32	2.3	27 42	4.6 18.3	57 10	.0	32 41
R-91	FULTON	1986 1985			42.1 46.9	21 14	9.2 16.2	38 22	1.8 3.6	26 7	3.7 1.8	19 37	.0	43 40	3.7 9.0	30 10	.0	25 23	.0° 1.8	37 25	5.5 9.0	20 10	1.8	21 32	3.7 9.0	22 7	20.1 16.2	15 19	7.3 5.4	1. 3.
	BENESEE	1986 1985			20.4 27.1	44 29	5.1 10.2	51 35	1.7 1.7	28 27	.0	- 51 37	1.7	43 30	3.4	51 35	.0 3.4	25 6	3.4	10 40	.0 3.4	51 25	.0	37 32	.0 3.4	43 18	13.6 10.2	28 42	1.7 3.4	13 6
	GREENE	1986 1985	-		26.1 26.5	37 30	11.9 7.2	33 42	2.4	36 19	2.4 4.8	35 9	7.1	9 40	.0 2.4	51 41	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	2.4 7.2	35 15	2.4 4.8	14 B	.0 2.4	43 24	11.9 12.1	36 32	.0	32 41
	HANILTON	1986 1985	. =		100.0 20.1	4 44	20.0	17 60	.0	43 36	20.0	1 17	.0	43. 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	0 .0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	80.0 20.1	1 B	.0	32 41
	HERKIHER	1986 1985		-	7.5 13.4	61 55	1.5 3.0	61 56	.0 1.5	43 30	1.5	43 47	.0 1.5	43 33	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	1.5	42 46	1.5	25 32	.0	43 42	4.5 10.5	58 39	.0	32 41
	JEFFERSON	1786 1985			48.6 29.4	15 27	26.5 12.4	B 29	4.4 2.3	6 16	12.1 7.9	2 2	2.2	28 40	3.3 2.3	34 43	1.1	16 23	3.3 .0	11 40	1.1 2.3	47 34	.0	37 32	1.1	38 26	21.0 14.7	13 23	.0 .0	32 41
	KINGS	1986 1985			121.6 92.8	3 4	75.2 59.0	3 3	11.9 10.0	3	4.1 1.9	17 34	37. <i>1</i> 29.7	3	10.9 7.9	- 14	7.6 6.3	3	2.9 3.2	14 13	24.5 19.0	3	5.2 4.1	B 11	19.3 14.9	3 5	19.7 13.4	17 27	2.2 1.4	8 22
	LENIS	1986 1985		•	19.B 7.9	45 59	11.9	34 60	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	11.9	3 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	4.0	5 i 21	.0	37 32	4.0	43 15	7.9 4.0	50 56	.0	32 41
	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985			30.7 25.7	33 33	10.2 13.7	37 26	.0 3.4	43 8	5.1 5.1	12 7	1.7	36 40	3.4 3.4	33 33	.0	25 23	.0 1.7	37 28	1.7 5.1	39 1.7	.0 3.4	37 12	1.7 1.7	32 31	17.1 6.9	22 51	1.7	12 41

						Violent Felonies  Total Homicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Weapons Other			Drug Fe		~~~~																		
•				Tot	al	Tot							hery	Burg	lary	Keag		ati	her	To	tal	Posse	ssion	Sa	l e	Other Felo			nders
COUN	TY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HADI	SON	1986 1995		15.0 19.5	54 46	9.0 9.0	28 28	.0	43 36	6.0 4.5	10 11	.0 1.5	43 32	3.0 3.0	38 38	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	1.5	41 46	.0	37 32	1.5	33 42	3.0 9.0	61 46	1.5 1.5	15 20
HONR	0E	1986 1985		57.9 42.1	10 16	28.0 22.7	8 10	3.8 2.8	B -12	3.0 2.4	27 .'7	7.7 7.4	8	6.3 5.5	-18 22	1.9	8 10	5.4 2.7	4	6.5 2.7	18	2.3 .7	16 31	4.3 2.0	1B 29	21.9 15.5	11 21	1.4	17 24
HONT	GONERY	1986 1985		17.3 19.0	48 48	11.5	36 59	.0	43 36	1.9	41 33	1.9	32 40	1.9	45 52	1.9	6 23	3.B .0	9 40	3.8 1.9	27 38	3.8 1.9	10 18	.0	43 42	1.9	62 34	.0 3.8	32 4
NASS	AU .	1986 1985		47.7 36.9	18 19	25.5 : 20.3	9 15	2.0 2.3	23 14	1.1	47 41	10.4	5 7	8.2 8.6	13 16	1.9	7 14	2.0 1.3	22 33	8.5 6.3	14 15	2.0 1.2	20 27	6.4 5.1	12 13	12.8	32 45	.9 1.2	21 27
NEH S	YORK	1986 1985		278.1 229.7	1	134.2 125.5	1	13.7	2	3.i 4.2	26 14	77.5 6B.8	1	16.0 15.0	i	13.5 15.3	1 - 1	10.3 9.9	1	77.3 51.4	1 .	20.2 11.8	1	57.2 39.5	. 1	62.3 19.4	2	4.3 3.4	<b>3</b> 5
NIAG	ARA	1985 1985		33,2 31,4	28 24	12.0	32 31	.9 1.8	37 24	.9	48 45	4.6 3.7	18 16	4.1 3.2	28 36	.0	25 20	1.4	30 32	2.8 2.8	32 29	1.4	26 32	1.4	34 19	18.0 16.6	20 15	.5	39
ONEI		1986 1985		40.2 33.9	24 21	23.3 14.4	14 25	1.6 2.8	30 11	3.6 1.2	20 42	4.4	19 20	11.3 3.6	4 32	.8 1.2	21 13	1.6 2.8	27 18	2.4 3.2	34 26	.4 2.0	35 16	2.0 1.2	30 38	12.5 14.8	34 22	2.0 1.6	10 18
DNON	DAGA	1986 1985		53.3 52.9	12 11	27.2 29.0	7	3.9 2.1	7 18	3.9 5.6	18	7.3 6.9	9	8.2 9.5	12 8	1.5 1.5	12 12	2.4 3.4	18 9	5.0 3.7	21 22	2.2 1.3	- 17 24	2.8 2.4	25 25	20.1 18.5	16. 9	1.1 1.7	18 15
ONTA	RIO	1986 1985	•	49.9 50.9	14 12	22.8 20.6	15 15	1.1	43 33	10.B	3 12 .	2.2	29 40	2.2 9.7	41 7	2.2	5 23	5.4 5.4	3	8.7 10.8	13	1.1 5.4	9 23	7.8 5.4	10 11	18.4 17.3	19 13	.0 2.2	32 12
DRAN	GE	1986 1985		43.0 36.7	19 20	13.5 16.6	28 21	2.5 1.8	18 26	3.2 2.9	24 22	1.8 5.8	34 11	4.6	27 29	.0	25 22	1.4 1.8	29 26	9.0	- <b>7</b> 11	8.9 4.3	4 10	7.1 4.7	11 14	12.8 11.2	31 37	.7	24 41
ORLE	ANS	1986 1985		61.7 40.9	9 17	18.0 15.3	22 24	2.6	17 36	2.6	30 26	5.1	14 40	5.1 12.8	21 2	, 0 . 0	25 23	2.6	16 40	2.6 2.6	33 31	.0	37 32	2. 8 2. 6	26 20	41.1 20.4	7	.0 2.6	32 11
OSHE	60	1986 1985		21.7 23.5	41 38	6.7 9.2	46 36	1.7	29 35	2.5 1.7	31 40	8.	40 38	.8 5.0	50 25	.8	19 23	.0 .8	37 38	.e	49 44	.0 .0	37 29	.B	40 42	14.2 12.6	25 29	.0	32 33
OTSE	GO	1986 1985		27.0 15.2	36 54	6.8 5.1	43 48	3.4	36	.0 1.7	51 . 39	1.7	37 31	1.7	47 48	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	6.B 5.1	16 18	1.7	24 13	5.1 1.7	14 32	11.8 3.4	37 58	1.7	14 16

			-								Felonie	s S									Drug Fe							
			Tot	al	. To	tal	Honi	cide	Sex Of	fenses		bery	Burg	lary	Kea	pons	Ot	her	To		Posse	ssion	Sal	e		nies		nders
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank		Rank	. Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	
KANTUP	1904 1985		20.2 22.3	35 42	4.9 4.9	52 49	1.2	34 32	.0	51 47	2.5 2.5	26 23	1.2	49 49	.0	25 23	0	37 40	14.7 11.1	0 7	14.7 8.7	2	.0 2.5	43 21	8.6 6.2	47 52	.0	32 41
QUEENS	1986 1985		95.9 58.4	. 5 8	- 41.3 33.6	<b>4</b> 5	3.2 4.3	11	2.6 1.8	29 36	19.6 14.6	4	10.0 6.7	. 7 . 17	2.7 3.4	4 7	3.2 2.8	12 17	22.4 11.1	5 8	8.1 4.4	<u>6</u> 9	14.3 6.7	4 9	19.7 12.0	18	2.5 1.8	5 14
RENSSELAER	1984 1985		21.1 23.1	43 40	7.3 12.6	41 28	2.0 2.0	25 20	.7 2.0	50 32	.7 2.0	42 27	2.0 2.6	44	.7	23 15	i.3 3.3	31 11	1.3	4.9 4.2	.7 1.3	34 23	.7	42 42	12.5 9.3	33 44	.0	32 41
RICHHOND	1986 1985		39.5 30.8	26 25	20.8 19.6	16 17	4.5	5 10	2.1 1.9	3B 35	7.5 5.9	7 10	4.8 6.7	24 18	1.3 1.6	• 14 11	.5	3& 39	10.7 2.1	11 35	1.3	27 30	9.3 1.3	8 35	7.5 8.6	51 47	.5	27 40
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		42.5 31.7	20 23	16.5 11.7	24 30	.8 1.5	39 29	1.5 .8	42 46	4.9	15 22	7.9 5.3	14 23	.8	22 23	.0 1.5	35 29	13.5 8.3	9 13	1.1	31 21	12.4 6.8	<b>6</b>	12.0 10.2	35 41	1.5	31 19
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		18.6 18.5	46 49	4.4 5.3	54 47	.0	43 36	.9	49 47	1.8	39 29	2.7 2.6	39 39	.0	25 23	.0	37 37	1.B .0	37 45	1.8	23 32	.0 .0	43 42	11.5 13.2	38 28	.0	22 41
SARATOGA	1986 1985		17.1 17.2	50 47	6.7 10.5	45 34	.6	41 36	2.4 3.7	34 19	1.8 1.2	33 34	1.8 3.7	- 46 31	0	25 23	.0 1.9	37 - 23	3.0 3.1	31 27	1.2	37 25	3.0 1.9	20 23	6.7 5.0	53 54	.6	26 37
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	_	24.0 24.6	39 37	13.3 10.6	29 33	.7	40 36	2.7 7.3	28 4	4.7 2.7	17 21	2.7	38 51	.0	25 23	2.7	15 40	1.3	43 41	1.3	28 32	.0 1.3	43 36	9.3 11.3	44 36	.0 1.3	32 23
SCHOHARIE	1986 1965	•	10.1 23.5	59 39	6.7 23.5	44	.0 6.7	43	3.4 3.4	22 20	.0	43 40	3.4 10.1	33 5	.0	25 23	.0 3.4	37 10	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	3.4	60 61	.0	32 41
SCHUYLER	1984 1985		23.0 17.1	40 52	17.2	23 60	.0	43 36	5.7	11 47	5.7	13 40	5.7 .0	20 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	5.7 17.1	56 14	.0	32 41
SENECA	1988 1985		37.4 9.2	27 5B	12.5 3.1	31 55	3.1	12 36	6.2	8 47	.0	43 40	3.1 3.1	35 37	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	3.1	30 46	3.1	11 32	.0	43 42	21.8 6.1	12 53	.0	32 41
STEUBEN	1986 1985		15.5 24.7	<b>53</b> 36	4.1 11.3	55 32	.0	43 36	2.1 4.1	39 15	.0	43 40	2.1 5.1	43 24	.0	25 23	.0 2.1	37 20	1.0	48 46	.0	37 32	.0	39 42	9.3 10.3	45 39	1.0 3.1	20 B
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		42.0 44.8	23 15	19.4 21.4	20 13	1.6	31 23	2.4 2.9	33 21	6.3 8.1	11 6	6.0 6.1	19 19	.5 .5	24 18	1.8	28 24	9.9 B.1	-12 14	1.2 1.5	29 20	8.7 6.5	9 10	12.9 14.2	30 24	.B 1.1	23 32

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

											Felonie										Drug Fe							
-			Tota			Total Homicide			Sex Of	fenses		bery	Burg	lary		ons	Oti	ner	Tot	al	Posse		Sal	t	Othe Felu	nies		nders
	COUNTY	Year	Rate		Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
	SULLIVAN	1986 1985	65.6 93.2	7	24.8 28.1	11 .	2.9 3.0	13	7.3 8.9	6 1 -	5.8 3.0	12 19	2.9 5.9	37 20	1.5	- 13 23	4.4 7.4	7 5	16.0 25.1	5 2	4.4 8.7	9 2	11.7	7	23.3 37.0	10 2	1.5 3.0	16 P
	TIOGA	1986 1985	29.4 25.8	34 32	7.8 6.0	40 45	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	2.0 2.0	31 26	3.9 2.0	29 15	.0	25 23	2.0 2.0	23 21	3.9 2.0	26 37	0 2.0	37 17	3.9	17 42	17.6 17.9	21 11	.0	32 41
	TOMPKINS	1986 1985	32.0 22.7	30 41	18.3 7.1	21 37	.0	36 36	2.3 4.5	30 10	2.3	27 40	9.1 3.4	9 34	1.1	15 23	2.3	19 34	1.1	45 33	1.1 1.1	28 20	.0 1.1	43 39	10.3 11.3	41 35	2.3	6 41
	ULSTER	1986 1985	42.0 48.2	22 13	19.5 20.8	18 14	1.8	42 25	- 4.3 4.3	16 13	4.9 3.1	16	4.9	23 13	1.8	9 17	3.0 3.1	13 14	6.7 4.3	17 19	i.8 1.8	22 19	4.9 2.4	15 23	14.0 22.0	26 6	1.8 1.2	11 26
B-94	WARREN	1986 1985	18.0 10.8	47 57	7.2 3.6	42 52	.0	43	3.5	21 47	.0 1.8	43 28	3.6 1.8	31 44	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0 3.6	51 23	.0	37 32	.0·	43 16	10.8 3.6	40 57	.0	32 41
	WASHINGTON	1986 1985	15.B 5.3	52 61	5.3 3.5	50 53	1.8	27 36	.0 1.8	51 38	1.8	35 40	.0 1.8	51 47	.0	25 23	8.1	25 40	1.B .0	39 46	.0	37 32	1.8	31 42	8.8 1.8	46 60	.0	32 41
	WAYNE	1986 1985	25.0 18.3	38 50	13.6 5.7	27 46	.0	43 36	2.3 1.1	37 44	1.1	36 36	9.1 2.3	10 42	.0	25 23	1.1	33 35	1.1 3.4	46 24	.0	37 32	- 1.1 3.4	36 17	10.2 8.0	42 48	.0	32 30
	HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	47.8 64.0	16	23.5 37.9	13 4	2, 9 5. 2	14	3.1 4.0	25 16	7.1 11.9	10 5	7.4 10.7	15 3	.8 3.1	20 8	2.2 2.9	20 15	7.4 8.4	15 12	2.9 3.2	12 14	4.5 5.2	17 12	16.5 16.5	23 17	.5 1.2	29 29
	MAOKINB	1986 1985	17.2 17.2	49 51	2.5 2.5	58 57	.0 2.5	43 13	2.5	32 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	2.5	51 32	.0 .0	37 32	.0 2,5	43 22	14.7 12.3	24 30	.0	32 41
	YATES	1986 1985	33.0 27.9	29 28	18.9 18.6	19 18	.0	43 36	4.7	15 47	.0	43 40	9.4 9.3	8	.0	25 23	4.7 9.3	5 2	4.7	22 46	.0	37 32	4.7	16 42	9.4	43 43	.0	32 41
	NEHYORKSTATE	1984 1985	 84.0 60.7	NA NA	43.7 39.3	NA NA	5.3 4.9	NA NA	3.3 2.9	NA NA	20.1 17.2	AA AA	8.1 7.2	NA NA	3.7 3.8	NA Ná	3.2 3.2	NA NA	18.2 3.4	NA HA	5.0 3.4	NA NA	13.2	na Na	20.6 16.5	NA NA	1.7	NA HA
	NEWYORKCITY	1984 1985	147.3 115.4	NA HA	80.4 69.6	NA NA	10.1 9.2	NA NA	4.0	NA KA	42.0 34.8	NA NA	11.7	NA NA	7.6 8.0	NA NA	5.0 5.1	NA NA	35.3 23.0	na Na	9.3 5.9	NA NA	26.0 17.2	NA NA	28.7 20.6	NA NA	2.9	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY

-									******		V	iolent	Felonie	5							Drug Fe			٠٠.				
			Tot	al -	Tot	al	Hoai	cide	Sex Of		Robb	ery	Burg	-	Weap		Oth	er	Tot	al	Posse	ssion	Sal	.E .	Other Feloni	25	Youth! Offend	
COUNTY	 Year		Rate		Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985		239.2 250.5		128.4 131.0	4 4	22.6 20.4	10 10	26.8 29.2	4 2	36.3 40.2	5 5	22.9 22.9	7 <del>1</del>	6.0 5.6	5 6	13.8 12.7	7	40.6 48.3	4.3	19.4 19.4	3 2	21.2 28.9 -	5 3	69.2 69.0	4 2	1.1 2.1	21 15
ALLEGANY	1786 1785		13.9 11.8	62 61	5.9 7.9	62 59	4.0	54 53	2.0	40 55	.0	55 52	Q.	56 54	.0	32 32	2.0 2.0	45 43	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	5.9 2.0	60 62	2.0 2.0	12 18
BRONI	1986 1985		474.7 432.7	2	367.5 348.4	2 2	92.5 93.8	2 2	32.5 29.1	1 3	168.0 165.7	2 2	31.2 26.7	3	23.8 24.1	- 2 2	19.5 19.2	4 2	82.7 50.2	2 2	16.3	4 3	46.3 36.5	2 2 2	39.7 29.8	8 14	4.9	4 7
BROONE	1986 1985		133.3 109.7	13 13	81.1 : 72.0	11 - 12	14.2 11.8	25 29	16.6 13.2	13	21.3 21.2	12 13	21.8 18.4	8 B	1.4	24 28	5.7 6.6	22 20	10.0 5.2	20 29	4.7 2.4	15 27	5.2 2.8	22 31	42.2 32.0	6 12	.0	33 39
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985		29.3 31.6	57 54	15.2 15.2	57 53	2.3	59 59	4.7 5.9	51 40	5.9 B.2	28 24	1.2	55 54	.0	32 32	1.2	<b>51</b> 52	1.2	54 - 53	.0	43 42	1.2	46 47	12.9 14.1	4B 46	.0	33 31
CAYUGA	1986 1985		102.6 67.6	19 32	47.6 28.8	26 41	3.8 1.3	55 58	8.8	14 25	7.5 7.5	26 27	11.3	25 40	.0	32 32	8.8 5.0	11 28	5.0 3.8	31 - 39	1.3	43 38	5.0 2.5	24 35	40.1 30.1	7	10.0 5.0	1 4
CHAUTAURUA	1984 1985		37.7 43.7	51 49	23.1 22.2	48 48	14.7 12.5	23 24	2.1 1.4	55 58	1.4 2.1	<b>53</b> 45	2.8 4.9	51 42	.0	32 32	2.1	44 49	2.8 9.7	46 15	.0 5.5	43 11	2.8 4.2	37 24	11.9 11.9	50 51	.0	33 42
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	-	203.3 187.0	5 5	128.2 118.5	5 5	26.5 23.9	6	27.6 31.5	3	14.4 18.5	16 14	36.5 28.3	2 2	2.2 4.3	16	21.0 12.0	3	2.2	48 47	2.2 1.1	31 41	.0 1.1	49 48	69.6 62.0	3 3	3.3 4.3	7
CHENANGO	1986 1985		36.0 44.0	55 47	26.0 32.0	46 37	14.0 10.0	26 33	2.0 4.0	56 49	4.0 8.0	34 25	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	6.0 10.0	18 10	4.0	37 33	2.0 2.0	32 31	2.0 2.0	42 42	6.0 B.0	59 56	.0	33 42
CLINTON	1986 1985		53.0 52.7	41 41	20'. 9 22. 1	50 49	4.9 3.7	49 54	4.9 7.4	49 32	3.7 6.1	35 - 29	4.9	45 47	.0	32 32	2.5 1.2	41 50	4.9 2.5	32 45	.0	43 ±	4.9 2.5	25 37	27.1 27.0	20 20	.0 1.2	30 33
COLUMBIA	1984 1985		95.6 97.4	24 16	36.2 41.3	36 28	8.2 6.6	39 42	19.8 16.5	7	1.6 3.3	50 40	4.9 9.9	44 29	.0	32 32	1.6 5.0	47 29	39.5 33.0	5 6	14.8	5 9	24.7 26.4	4 5	19.8 23.1	34 26	.0	33 42
CORTLAND	1986 1985		75.9 78.1	28 27	29.5 29.5	40 39	4.2 4.2	53 52	.0 2.1	60 54	.0	55 52	8.4 2.1	33 50	6.3 6.3	4 5	10.5 14.8	10 4	6.3 2.1	28 49	4.2	17 30	2.1	41 51	35.9 40.1	11 7	4.2 6.3	5 1
DELAWARE	1986 1985		23.4 17.1	59 60	12.7 10.7	40 58	4.2 2.1	52 56	6.4 6.4	40 37	2.1	46 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0 2.1	53 41	.0 2.1	55 48	.0	43 42	, 0 2.1	49 41	10.6	53 60	.0	33 42

INNATES UNDER CUSTODY

	•								-				γ	iolent	Felonie	;	-						Drug Fe								
	-					Total Total			Henic		Sex Off		Robb		Burg	•	Неар	005	Oth		Tota	1	Posse		Sai	e		Other Feloni		Youth!	ders
C0	UNTY		Year		Rate				Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank		Rate		Rate	
טט	TCHESS		1984 1985		67.B 71.0	31 29	49.1 48.2	24 21	12.9 18	30 30	7.0 7.6	30 29	14.4 14.5	15 16	8.2 9.4	34 30	1.6	21 24	3.1 3.5	32 38 -	4.7	35 36	1.6	39 40	3.1 2.7	33		14.0 18.0	46 36	.0	35 35
ER	IE		1986 1985		99.6 95.5	21 17	69.2 65.8	16 16	21.9 20.8	12 8	11.4	23 23	15.3 15.8	14 15	13.4 12.6	18 21	1.5	23 23	5.8 6.1	20 23	7.2 3.9	26 37	3.6 2.7	20 23	3.5 1.2	32 46		22.4 24.8	27 23	.B 1.0	26 34
ES	SEI		1988 1985		44.1 38.5	46 52	22.0 16.5	49 52	2.B	57 60	8.3 5.5	31 41	11.0	21 17	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51		22.0 19.2	29 33	.0 2.8	33 10
FR	ANKL1H		1986 1985		70.8 79.9	30 25	32.0 36.5	33 39	13.7	28 34	6.8 6.9	38 35	4.6	32 36	.0 6.9	56 37	2.3 2.3	15 16	4.6 6.9	26 19	9.1 6.9	21 <sup>-</sup> - 23	6.B	10 16	2.3	40		29.7 34.3	17	.0 2.3	33 12
B-96	LTON		1986 1985		89.7 83.0	26 22	3B.5 39.7	34 31	20.1 18.0	15 12	7.3 7.2	34 33	.0	55 52	11.0 12.6	26 20	.0	32 32	.0 1.8	53 45	11.0 9.0	18 16	1.8	36 34	9.2 7.2	15 14		31.1 28.9	16 15	9.2 5.4	2· 3
	NESEE		1985 1985		56.1 64.3	39 34	28.9 40.6	41 29	10.2 B.5	35 37	1.7 3.4	58 53	1.7 8.5	49 23	6.8 10.2	38 27	3.4 5.1	10 7	5.1 5.1	24 25	3.4 5.1	43 30	.0	43 42	3.4 5.1	34 20		20.4 15.2	33 42	3.4 3.4	. 6 B
GR	EENE		1986 1985		66.5 55.5	32 38	40.4 33.8	29 35	14.3 12.1	24 27	9.5 9.6	28 22	7. i 4. 8	27 34	7.1 7.2	37 35	.0	32 32	2.4	43 52	7.1 7.2	27 22	4.8 4.8	14 14	2.4 2.4	39 38		19.0 14.5	36 45	.0	33 42
AH	HILTON		1986 1985		160.0 80.2	7 24	40.0 40.1	20 30	40.0 40.1	4	20.0	5 57	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43	.0	49 51	1	100.0 40.1	2	.0	-33 42
HE	RKIHER		1986 1985	•	22.4 26.9	- 61 55	13.5 13.4	59 55	7.5 6.0	40 45	1.5 1.5	59 57	1.5 3.0	52 42	1.5	54 53	.0	32 32	1.5 1.5	48 48	1.5	53 55	1.5	40 42	.0	49 51		7.5 13.4	58 48	.0	33 42
JE	FFERSON		1986 1985		82.8 70.0	27 30	48.6 38.4	25 32	13.2 12.4	29 25	19.9 12.4	13	3.3 1.1	45 51	6.6 10.2	39 28	1.1	28 32	4.4 2.3	30 40	.0 3.4	55 42	.0	43 42	.6 3.4	49 27		34.2 27.1	13 19	.0 1.1	<b>33</b>
. KI	NGS		-1986 1985		320.0 283.3	3	238.2 218.1	3	74.4 66.4	3	13.7 11.8	16 14	102.7 95.9	3	22.9 19.7	. b	15.7 15.6	. 2 3	8.6 8.7	12 11	46.0 34.7	3	10.0 7.6	8	36.0 27.2	3		33.1 28.2	14 16	2.7 2.3	9 13
LE	KIS	,	1986 1985		27.7 7.9	58 62	-11.9 .0	61 62	.0	60 60	.0	60 59	.0	55 52	11.9	22 54	.0	32 32	Ø. 0,	53 52	4.0	38 34	.0	43 42	4.0	28 25		11.9	51 61	.0	33 42
LI	VINGSTOR		1986 1985	÷ .	59.7 54.9	37 - 39	35,8 29,1	37 40	8.5 8.6	36 38	17.1 8.6	10 28	1.7	48 52	8.5 10.3	32 25	.0	32 32	.0 1.7	53 46	8.5 8.6	23 17	3.4 3.4	22 18	5.1 5.1	23 19		15.4 17.1	44 37	.0	33 42

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

														Felonie								Drug Fe	lonies			D. 1		V13	
				To		Tot	al	Homi	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Robb	ery	Bur g	lary	Weap		Oth		Tot	al .	Posse	ssion	5a		Oth Fel	er onies	Youth Offen	
COUNTY		Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rati	Rank	Rate	Rank
HADISON		1986 1985		37.5 40.5	<b>52</b> 50	27.0 24.0	43 45	4.5 4.5	51 51	10.5 10.5	24 17	1.5 3.0	51 41	7.5 4.5	35 43	.0	32 32	3.0 1.5	39 47	1.5 1.5	52 51	0	43 42	1.5	45 45	7.: 13.:		1.5	16 25
MONROE		1986 1985		139.8 129.5	12 10	93.7 87.8	9 9	22.2 20.3	11 11	12.7	19 1£	26.B 28.2	7	17.1 16.2	13	4.3 4.1	7 10	10.7 5.2	9 13	10.1 6.7	19 26	3.8 2.3	18 28	6.3 4.4	18 22	34.6 33.5		1.4	18 23
HONTGONERY		1986 1985		38.4 45.7	50 45	28.8 22.8	42 47	11.5	31 33	3.8	52 51	1.9	47 47	3.8	47 45	1.9	20. 32	5.8 1.9	21 44	3.8 3.8	<b>39</b>	3.8 3.8	19 17	0	49 51	<b>5.</b> 1		.0 5.7	33 2
NASSAU		1986 1985		114.1 98.8	15 15	77.2	12 13	15.0 13.6	22 23	5.4 5.0	46 44	31.1 27.8	7 9	18.6 17.7	10 10	2.6 1.7	12 18	4.5 3.2	29 35	17.2 13.5	13 13	3.0 2.4	29 25	14.1	11 10	18.5 14.5		1.3	19 24
B NEW YORK		1985 1985		683.B 618.6	1	429.3 406.5	1	95.7 96.0	i 1	16.6 15.5	12 7	220.0 207.1	<u>i</u>	40.0 38.6	1	33.0 . 35.2	i 1	24.0 23.1	1 1	146.7 117.0	1	34.9 26.0	1 1	111.8 91.0	1	102.2		5.7 4.9	3 5
NIAGARA		1986 1985		92.7 91.0	25 19	54.4 51.3	23 18	18.4 16.2	17 17	6.9 9.7	37 21	13.4 10.2	18 21	10.1 7.4	29 34	1.4 2.8	25 14	4.1 5.1	32 25	5.1 5.5	30 27	1.B 2.8	35 22	3.2 2.8	<b>35</b> 32	32.3 33.7		.9 .5	24 40
ONEIDA		1986 1985		97.8 84.2		64.4 51.1	18 19	16.1 15.2	20 21	10.1 7.6	25 31	11.3 9.2	20 22	18.5 10.8	11 24	2.0 1.6	18 19	6.4 6.9	17- 19	8.5 7.6	24 20	3.2 3.2	27 20	5.2 4.4	21 23	22.5 23.5		2.4	10 17
DNONDAGA		1986 1985		150.5 144.2		104.3 96.1	-8	20.7 15.5	14 18	19.2 18.5	9 5	28.5 27.9	8	24.8 22.8	<b>4</b> 5	4.1 3.9	B 11	6.9 7.5	15 15	8. <i>6</i> 8.0	22 18	3.2 2.4	26 26	5.4 5.6	20 15	36.1 38.3		1.5 1.9	15 19
ONTARIO		1986 1985	•	103.0 90.9		56.4 49.8	21 20	6.5 8.7	47 35	19.5 13.0	8 10	4.3 4.3	33 37	11.9	21 18	2.2 2.2	17 17	11.9 8.7	. 7 12	16.3 10.8	14 14	5.4 5.4	12 12	10.B 5.4	13 17	27.3 28.1		1.1 2.2	20 14
ORANGE		1986 1985		114.3 106.8		65.0 68.1	17 15	17.7 17.7	18 15	12.4 10.4	21 18	18.1 23.4	13 11	12.1	20 23	7	31 30	4,3 5,0	31 27	27.7 19.8	7 8	12.1 7.2	- 6 7	15.6 12.6	10	20.5 20.5		.7	29 41
ORLEANS		1986 1985		144.0 120.1	" 11 11	72.0 63.9	. 14 17	23.1 17.9	9 13	5.1 7.7	47 30	7.7 5.1	25 33	10.3 12.8	27 19	2.6 2.6	13 15	23.1 17.9	2 3	7.7	25 19	5.1 5.1	13 13	2.6	38 34	44.3 46.0		.0 2.6	33 11
OSNEGO	-	1986 1985		51.0 48.7		26.8 26.0	45 44	6.7 5.0	46 49	5.9 4.2	44	5.9 7.6	29 26	5.9 6.7	41 38	.0	<b>32</b> 32	2.5 2.5	40 37	3.3 2.5	44 44	1.7 1.7	38 35	1.7 .8	44 50	20.1 19.		.0 .8	33 35
OTSEGO		1986 1985		47.3 43.8		16.9 15.2	56 54	8.B 5.1	44 48	5.1 6.7	48 36	3.4 1.7	43 49	1.7 1.7	53 52	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	11.8 6.7	17 25	3.4 3.4	24 19	B.4 3.4	17 28	16.9 20.1		1.7 1.7	14 22

B-98

•											iolent	Felonie								Drug Fe								
		1	otal	Tot		Hoaic		Sex Of		Robb	•	Burg	lary	Heap	ons	Oth		Tota		Posse	ssion	Sa	le	Fe	her Ionies		Youthf Offend	ders
COUNTY	Year	Rati	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank		Rank	Ra	te Ra	ink	Rate	
PUTNAM	1986 1985	63. 51.		23.3 23.5	47	12.3 11.1	31 32	2.5 3.7	54 52	3.7	36 44	3.7	48 46	.0	32 32	1.2 2.5	49 39	27.0 22.3	. B 7	20.8 12.4	2 - 4	6.1	19			47 57	.0	33 42
QUEENS	1985 1985	187. 159.		125.1 117.4	6 6	29.0 27.7	5 5	9.4 8.6	29 27	52.9 48.8	4	20.4 18.2	9	5.4 6.5	6 4	8.1 7.7	13 14	32.0 18.3	8 10	11.5	- 7 8	20.4 11.5	- 6 9	26 21		21 29	3.1 2.0	8
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	60.6 58.		40.2 44.9	31 26	18.5 17.8	16 14	2.6 4.0	53 50	5.3 5.9	31 32	7.3 8.6	36 33	1.3	30 22	5.9 7.3	19 17	2.6 1.3	47 52	2.0 1.3	33 37	.7	48 51			38 50	.0	33 42
RICHHOND	1986 1985	104. 95.		76.9 : 75.2	13 11	21.6 17.7	13 16	7.2 5.9	35 39	25.9 26.7	10 10	14.9 15.5	15 13	2.7 3.2	11 12	4.5 6.2	28 22	12.3 3.7	16 40	2.4 1.3	30. 36	9.9 2.4	14 39			45 41	.5 .5	32 38
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	98.: 81.		54.9 47.5	22 23	13.9 14.3	27 22	€.4 4.5	39 47	13.5 10.2	17 20	18.1 13.6	12 15	1.i 1.5	27 20	1.7 3.4	46 34	21.8 13.6	11 12	3.4 2.6	23 24	18.4 10.9	. 8 11			30 34	.B 1.1	28 32
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	39.1 30.1		20.4 19.4	51 51	6.2 6.2	48 43	6.2 5.3	42 43	3.5 2.6	39 43	3.5 4.4	49 44	.9	32 29	.9	52 52	1.B .9	50 54	1.8	37 42	.9	49 49			40 39	1.9	25 21
SARATOGA	1986 1985	43.4 45.1		26.8 30.3	44 38	7.3 7.4	41 38	9.8 9.9	27 20	3.7 1.9	37 48	4.9 B.7	46 32	.0	32 32	1.2 2.5	50 38	4.9	33 32	1.2	42 39	3.7 3.1	30 29	11 10		52 53	.6 .6	31 37
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	57. 54.		39.3 34.5	32 34	2.7 1.3	58 57	14.0 12.6	15 11	9.3 10.6	24 18	6.6	40 39	2.0 1.3	19 21	5.3 2.0	23 42	2.0	49 50	1.3	41 42	.7 2.0	47 43			42 40	.7 1.3	30 27
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	50.3 57.0		40.3 47.0	30 24	6.7 6.7	45 41	16.8 10.1	11 19	3.4 6.7	44 28	10.1	30 16	.0	32 32	3.4 10.1	37 9	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51			56 54	.0	33 42
SCHUYLER	1985 1985	23. 22.		17.2 5.7	55 60	.0 5.7	60 46	5.7 .0	45 59	5.7	30 52	5.7 .0	42 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	5 17		62 38	.0	33 42
SENECA	1986 1985	37. 18.		18.7 12.2	54 57	9.3 6.1	36 44	6.2 .0	41 59	.0	55 52	3.1	50 49	.0	32 32	.0 3.1	53 36	3.1	45 55	3.1	28 42	.0	49 51			43 58	.0	33 42
STEUBEN	1986 1985	54. 69.		39.2 45.2	33 25	11.4 12.3	34 26	13.4 14.4	1B 8	1.0 2.1	54 46	9.3	31 26	.0	32 32	4.1 6.2	33 21	4.1 3.1	36 43	.0	43 42	4.1 3.1	27 30	10 18		55 35	1.0 3.1	22 P
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	116.		70.B 68.3	15 14	15.9 15.3	21 19	9.8 9.1	26 25	23.1 22.2	11	15.9 16.2	14 11	1.1	29 26	5.0 4.3	25 31	22.0 19.3	10	3.4 3.1	25 21	18.7 16.1	7 7	23 23		25 24	1.0 1.3	23 28

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

				DEE 301 EKY13						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ELOKED N	IN METOWNER	, , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		Relea To Pa	505	Unde Supervi During	sion Year≇	Unde Supervi On 12	sion	Tat		Ru Violal		Nei Arres		New Fe Convict	,
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	84.5 70.8	- 4. 5	229.0 195.5	4	166.9 142.3	4	87.7 99.1	27 19	15.4 18.0	- 25 23	49.3 55.9	22 12	20.0 25.2	32 26
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	11.9	54 48	33.7 51.1	52 39	15.8 21.6	59 50	.0 38.5	52 42	.0	36 32	.0 38.5	49 25	.0	36 35
BRONX	1986 1985	191.6 181.3	1	549.8 535.8	1	384.6 361.1	i 1	112.3 126.7	10	38.5 40.3	5 ბ	31.1 36.1	38 27	42.7 50.3	14 5
BROOME	1986 1985	23.7 39.1	34 14	90.6 95.6	22 20	56.9 66.4	26 20	151.8 123.2	- 4 - 11	15.7 24.6	24 15	47.1 69.0	24 8	89.0 29.6	. 3 23
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	15.2 11.7	50 49	32.8 35.2	53 51 -	27.0 17.6	50 54	33.3	52 44	.0	36 32	.0	49	.0 33.3	36 18
CAYUGA	1988 - 1985	21.3 16.3	41 42	56.3 55.1	39 35	40.1 35.1	36 37	8B.9 22.7	25 48	.0	36 32	66.7 22.7	11 37	22.2	31 35
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	20.3 22.2	44 36	52.4 43.7	42 44	36.3 31.9	42 44	26.7 47.6	51 41	.0 .0	36 32	.0 31.7	49 30	26.7 15.9	25 32
CHENUNG	1986 1985	63.0 56.5		144.8 123.9	9 10	91.7 80.4	10 11	145.0 157.9	5 4	7.6 17.5	34 24	91.6 96.5	<b>5</b> 2	45.8 43.9	10 7
CHEHANGO	1986 1985	8.0 16.0		40.0 36.0	51 50	26.0 32.0	51 42	150.0 55.6	5 35	.0 .0	36 32	50.0 55.6	20 13	100.0	1 35
-CLINTON.	1986 1985	29.6 29.4	24 24	73.9 67.4	28 28	48.0 44.1	30 30	83.3 72.7	29 27	16.7 36.4	23 9	50.0	20 43	16.7 36.4	35 12
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	47.8 23.1		82.4 61.1	26 31	62.6 34.7	21 38	40.0 54.1	48 37	20.0 .0	.21 32	20.0 54.1	47 16	.0	36 35

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

( Continued on next page. )

COUNTY

CORTLAND

DELAHARE

DUTCHESS

ERIE

ESSEX

FRANKLIN

FULTON

GENESEE

GREENE

HAMILTON

HERKIHER

Year

1986 1985

1986 1985

1986 1985

1986 1985

1984 1985

1984

1985

1986

1985

1986

1985

1986

1985

1986

1985

1986

1985

20.5

22.8

27.5

30.7

25.5

15.2

16.4

7.6

20.0

-.0

9.0

6.0

42

29

32

44

47

53

45

62

57

59

41.1

45.7

71.4

63.2

62.9

57.6

40.4

38.6

20.0

26.9

14.9

.0 62

48

43

29

30

33

34

50

59

56

40

32.0

20.6

47.6

43.3

45.9

37.3

35,6

24.1

19.4

17.9

20.0 54

.0 ' 62

48

51

31

29

32

35

43

48

57

53

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

55.6

.0

.0

27.0

147.1

.0 36

.0 32

.0 36

.0 32

55.6

.0

3

32

36

32

12

2

. 3

32

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES ##

111.1

76.9

81.1

88.2

.0

.0

.0 49

.0

55.6

.0

43

.0 43

- 8

.0

Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Unde Supervi On 12	sion		Tota	1	Rul Violat		Ne Arre		New Fa Convict	•
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
29.5	25	88.6	24	61.2	24		47.6	47	23.8	17	23.6	46	.0	36
25.3	30	69.6	26	59.1	24		60.6	33	.0	32	30.3		30.3	22
å.4	60	17.0	61	17.0	58	•	.0	52	.0	36	. 0	49	.0	36
6.4	58	17.1	59	10.7	59		0	51	.0	32	0	43	.0	35
33.9	17	117.5	12	80.2	14		81.4	. 30	32.6	10	26.1	44	22.8	30
41.9	12	121.5	11	86.2	10		129.0	8	35.5	10	58.1	11	35.5	14
31.8	20	94.7	19	66.0	20		98.5	22	8.8	. 32	60.2	13	29.5	23
34.5	17	100.6	17	62.5	22		116.7	12	19.4	22	80.9	5	16.4	. 31
27.5	28	60.6	35	52.3	29		.0	52	.0	36	.0	- 49	.0	36
1.1	51	46.7	42	33.0	41		.0	51	.0	32	.0		.0	35

166.7

76.9

28.6

108.1

264.7

.0 52

.0 52

.0 51

.0

111.1

62.5

.0 51

- 2

14

31

11

51

( Continued on next page. ) -

.0 36

.0

.0

29.4

.0

.0 36

.0 35

.0 36

.0

62.5

20.6

35

36

25

36

24

36

35

TABLE 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAPOLEES \*\*

			~~					
		Releases To Parole	Under Supervision During Year*	Under Supervision On 12/31	Total	Rule i Violations	New Arrests	New Felony Convictions
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
JEFFERSON	1984	22.1 38	59.6 36	37.5 40	111.1 11	.0 34	37.0 31	74.1 4
	1985	28.2 26	54.2 37	38.4 33	62.5 31	20.8 20	41.7 24	.0 35
KINGS	1984	117.9 3	319.4 3	219.9 3	104.7 20	33.7 7	26.4 43	44.6 12
	1985	104.1 3	300.7 3	202.4 3	104.7 15	33.6 12	28.3 33	42.8 9
LENIS	1986 1985	11.9 55 4.0 61	19.8 60 11.9 61	15.8 60 7.9 61	.0 52 .0 51	.0 36 .0 32	.0 49 .0 43	.0 36
LIVINGSTON	1786	27.3 30	64.8 32	44.4 34	78.9 34	26.3 13	52.6 19	.0 36
	1785	27.4 28	58.3 33	37.7 34	.0 51	.0 32	.0 43	.0 35
RO21 DAK	1986 1985	12.0 53 12.0 47	28.5 55 30.0 54	19.5 56 16.5 56	105.3 18 .0 51	.0 34	105.3 2 .0 43	.0 36
HOHROE	1986	61.8 7	171.5 7	123.3 7	88.0 26	13.3 26	56.4 15	18.3 34
	1985	60.9 7	155.6 7	109.4 7	104.8 14	24.6 16	59.3 10	21.0 29
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	23.0 36 15.2 45	46.1 44 36.2 49	36.5 41 22.8 49	.0 52 52.6 38	.0 36 .0 32	.0 49 52.6 17	.0 35
NASSAU	1986	30.5 22	86.B 25	61.2 23	114.9 B	26.1 14	40.9 27	47.9 7
	1985	26.4 29	74.1 24	56.0 25	96.3 21	23.3 17	30.4 31	42.6 11
NEW YORK	1984	129.7 2	335.2 2	225.4 2	143.1 7	57.1 2	31.5 36	54.5 5
	1985	112.8 2	307.2 2	205.2 2	135.5 6	44.9 5	37.4 26	53.2 3
NIAGARA	1984	45.6 12	125.9 11	77.5 16	76.9 35	11.0 30	40.3 28	25.6 28
	1985	47.1 11	121.0 12	80.4 12	64.9 30	11.5 30	45.8 22	7.5 34
ONEIDA	1984	30.2 23	80.9 27	56.3 27	84.6 28	5.0 35	54.7 18	24.9 29
	1995	31.5 21	71.8 25	50.3 26	130.9 5	33.3 13	55.6 13	50.0 6

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES \*\*

-			1 7311	JEE JUIENTI	SION CUST					·	IC. FORED H	IID BETURNET	I HADLES		
		Relea To Pa	ses	Unde Supervi During	sion Year≇	Unde Supervi On 12	er sion	Tota	al	Rul Violat		Ne: Arres		New Fe Convict	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	49.4 47.9	10 10	137.7 142.1	10	100.6 87.9	9	79.9 130.1	32 7	25.1 45.4	15	28.2 49.9	41 19	26.6 34.8	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	31.5 28.1	21 27	69.3 67.1	30 29	55.3 34.8	28 36	79.4 96.8	33 20	.0 16.1	36 25	31.7 48.4	35 20	47.6 32.3	
DRANGE	1986 1985	29.5 24.9	26 31	89.5 91.9	23 22	59.9 60.9	25 23	75.4 66.7	37 29	7.9 19.6	33 21	35.7 23.5	34 36	31.7 23.5	20 27
ORLEANS	1986: 1985	33.4 33.2	18 20	105.4 92.0	17 21	72.0 71.5	18 13	73.2 27.8	39 47	24.4	16 32	.0 27.9	49 34	48.8	4 35
OSNEGO	1986 1985	21.7 18.5	39 40	53.5 47.8	41 41	41.9 31.9	35 43	31.3	50 51	.0	36 32	31.3	37 43	.0	
OTSE60	1985 1985	8.4 6.7		23.6 32.0	<b>57</b> 52	20.3 15.2	53 58	71.4 52.6	40 38	.0	36 32	71.4 52.6	9 17	.0	
PUTHAM	1786 1785	15.9 11.1		40.4 38.3	49 47	35.5 24.7	44 47	60.6 32.3	- 44 45	0	36 32	30.3 .0	39 43	30.3 32.3	22 20
QUEENS	1986 1985	69.2 64.8		194.6 183.0	5 5	136.2 125.8	5 6	107.1 115.1	15 13	34.2 36.5	6 " . 8	28.9 35.9	40 28	44.1 42.7	13 10
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	32.3 28.4		99.5 99.8	18 18	67.9 67.4	19 19	106.0 125.8	16 10	13.2 13.2	27 28	46.4 79.5	25 6	46.4 33.1	9 19
RICHMOND	1986 1985	40.3 35.3		114.3 110.5	14 13	82.2 74.1	12 14	67.9 94.4	42 22	11.7 21.8	29 19	25.7 21.8	45 38	30.4 50.8	21
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	16.9 20.0		56.4 53.9	38 38	39.5 39.6	37 30	80.0 55.9	31 34	33.3 14.0	8 26	26.7 21.0	42 39	20.0 21.0	
															•

( Continued on next page. )

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES \*\*

		Releas To Par	ole	Unde Supervi During	ision Year¥	Unde Supervi On 1:	ision 2/31	Tota		Rul Violat	ions	Ne: Arres		New Fo	
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAMRENCE	1986 1985	20.4 22.0	43 37	67.4 60.0	31 32	45.2 46.7	33 27	39.5 73.5	49 26	13.2	28 32	.0 14.7	49 42	26.3 58.8	27
SARATOGA	1984 1985	4.9 5.0	62 60	12.8 17.7	62 58	8.5 8.0	62 60	190.5 103.4	1 16	.0 34.5	36 11	95.2 69.0	<b>4</b> 8 -	95.2 .0	
SCHENECTADY	1988 1985	26.0 33.9	31 - 19	94.5 97.0	20 19	61.9 68.4	22 18	105.6 102.7	17 18	21.1 4.8	20 31	42.3 75.3	26 7	42.3 20.5	
SCHOHARIE	1986 · 1985	13.4 13.4	- 52 46	30.2 20.1	54 56	23.5 16.8	52 55	.0 166.7	52 3	0 165.7	38 1	.0	49 43	.0	
SCHUYLER	1985 1985	29.7 34.3	27 18	92.0 102.8	21 <sup>-</sup> 16	80.5 62.8	13 21	62.5 55.6	43 - 35	.0 .0	36 32	62.5 55.6	12 13	.0	
SENECA	1986 1985	59.2 82.4	B 4	190.0 167.9	6 6	127.7 128.2	5	49.2 .0	46 51	32.8 .0	9 32	16.4	48 43	.0	
STEUBEN	1984 1985	15.5 22.6	49 35	54.7 54.4	40 36	35.1 39.0	46 31	113.2 18.9	9 49	18.9	22 32	56.6 18.9	.14 40	37.7 .0	18 35
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	37.8 31.1	15 22	106.5 83.5	16 23	74.6 68.6	. 17 17	104.5 81.1	21 25	22.9 13.7	19 27	36.5 24.6	33 35	45.1 42.8	
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	51.0 50.3	9	147.2 127.2	8 9	107.9 97.6	8	108.9 93.0	13 23	29.7 11.6	11 29	39.6 46.5	29 21	39.6 34.9	16 15
TIOGA	1906 1985	23.5 9.9	35 52	56.9 37.7	37 48	39.2 33.7	38 40	69.0 52.6	41 38	.0 52.6	36 3	69.0	10 43	.0	
TOMPKINS	1984 1985	24.0 9.1	33 54	43,4 30.6	46 53	35.4 19.3	45 52	105.3 185.2	18 2	.0 37.0	36 7	105.3 148.1	2 1	.0	

( Continued on next page. )

TABLE 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES \*\*

		Relea To Pa		Und Superv During	sion	Und Superv On 1	ision	Tota	/ il	Rul Violat		Nev Arres		New Fe Convict	•
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Mate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	hank 	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	39.0 36.6		112.1 105.6	15 15	79.8 73.3	15 15	97.8 69.4	23 28	10.9 23.1	31 18	48.9 34.7	23 29	38.0 11.6	
HARREN	1986 1985	21.6 7.2		48.6 50.3	43 40	30.4 27.0	49 46	.0. 35.7	52 43	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 35.7	36 13
HOTONIHEAM	1986 1985	5.3 8.8		21.1 17.4	58 57	12.3 15.9	61 57	166.7	2 24	93.3 .0	i 32	83.3 90.9	3	.0	34 35
HAYNE	1986 1985	22.7 17.1	37 41	61.4 6B.5	34 27	38.8 38.8	39 32	74.1 16.7	38 50	.0	36 32	37.0 16.7	31 41	37.0 .0	19 35
NESTCHESTER	1986 1995	36.1 40.0	16 13	115.2 109.0	13 14	82.9 78.8	11 13 -	89.5 102.9	24 17	23.1 25.5	18 14	37.2 43.5	30 23	29.2 33.9	24 17
MADATNO	1986 1985	9.8 24.6		44.1 41.8	45 45	19.6 34.4	55 39	55.6 .0	45 51	.0 .0	36 32	55.6 .0	16 43	.0	
YATES	1784 1785	14.2 18.6		42.5 27.9	47 55	33.0 27.9	47 45	.0	52 51	.0	36 32	0.0	49 43	.0	
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	72.8 67.9		210.2 195.5	NA NA	147.3 137.3		121.0 116.1	NA KA	37.6 34.1	NA NA	<b>42.1</b> 41.3	na Na	41.4 40.8	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	115.2 104.4		316.9 299.2	NA NA	218.5 201.9	NA NA	114.9 119.1	NA NA	39.8 36.2	na Na	29.2 33.6	na Na	45.8 47.3	

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

<sup>\*</sup> The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

<sup>##</sup> The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year..

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS #

			•	Canv	ictions		Arn:	ittals.			Disni		 Nat Pro	secuted	Remo Famil	ved To			n <del>i</del>	her		T,	ıtal
СОИНТУ		ar	•		Percent		Number	Perce		. No	ınber	Percent		Percent	Nuaber	Perc	 ent		Number	Percent	•	Number	Percent
ALBANY	19	86 85	•	0 5	66.7 38.5		(	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.0		0	.0	0	.0	3	2	5.0 1.5		1 0	8.3		12	100.0
ALLEGANY		86 85		0	NA NA				NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0		NA Na		0	NA NA		0	100.0
BRONX		86 85		72 89	52.6 71.8			2 1 )	.5		38 21	27.7 16.9	. 7 5	5.1 4.0	10 4		7.3 3.2	-	B 5	5.8 4.0		137 124	100.0 100.0
BROOME		86 85		0	NA 100.0		. (	) )	NA . O		0	NA . O	0	NA .0	0		NA .0	•	· 0	NA .0		0	100.0
CATTARAUGUS		86 85		: - 0	NA NA		. (		NA NA		0. 0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA	0		NA NA		0	- NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA		86 85		- 0 0	NA NA		- 4		na Na		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	- 0 0		NA NA		0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA		86 85		0	NA 100.0		(	) · )	NA -		0	NA .0	0	NA .0	0		NA .0		0	NA O		0	100.0
СНЕНИНВ		86 85			na Na		(		na Na		0	NA NA	- 0 0	NA NA	0		NA NA		0	NA NA	• .	0	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO		85		0	na Na				NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0		NA NA		- 0	na Na		0	100.0 100.0
CLINTON		86 85		0 2	NA 100,0		• 4		NA .0		0	NA . O	0 0	NA . O	0		NA .0		0	NA .0		0 2	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA		86 85		0	G.	S			.O NA		0	. 0 NA	0	.O NA	1 0		0.0 NA		0	.O Na		10	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND		86 85		0					na Na		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0		NA NA		0	NA NA	•	. 0	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE		986 185		- 0 0	NA NA			)	na Na		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0		NA Na		0	NA NA		0	100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

				ctions		•	vittals			issals			osecuted		Family	ved To v Court		Otl			otal
COUNTY	Year			Percent			Perce	ent	Kuaber		ent	•	Percen			Percent		Number-	Percent		Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		0 1	.0 25.0	-			.0 5.0	·		.0 5.0	0	•1	0	1 1	100.0 25.0	:	0	.0	1	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1984 1985	•	4 2	30.8 8.7				7.7 4.3	14		8.5 0.9	0			2	15.4 17.4		i 2	7.7 8.7	13 23	
ESSEX	1986 1985		0	NA NA			0	NA NA	(	) )	NA NA	0	N N		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	
FRANKLIH	1986 1985		0	NA .0		· _ (	-	HA .0	.(		NA .0	0	- N		<u>.</u> 0	NA 100.0		0	NA .0	0	
FULTON	1986 1985	;	0	NA NA		. (	0	NA Na	(	) )	NA NA	0	N - N		0	NA NA		0.	NA NA	0	
GENESEE	1986 1985		0	na Na			0	NA NA	. (	)	NA NA	0	√ N		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	
GREENE	1986 1985		. O	NA NA			0	HA NA		)	na Na	0	N N		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	
HAMILTON	1986 1985		0	. NA NA		(	0 0	NA NA	(	)	na Na	0	H		. 0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	
HERKINER	1986 1985		0	NA NA			0	NA NA		) )	na Na	0	N.		0	NA NA			na Na	0	
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		2 0	100.0 NA			0 0	.0 Na		) - ()	.0 AK	0	N		. O	.O Na		0	.O NA	2	
KINGS	1986 1985		13B 92	32.9 24.7			2 4	.5 1.1			1.1 4.8	35 28			153 192	36.3 51.6		5 0	1.2	421 372	
LEWIS	1986 1985		0	NA NA			0	NA NA		)	NA NA	. 0			0	na Na		- 0 0	na Na		
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		0	HA HA			0 0	na Na		•	NA NA	0	H		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS :

		Convictions	Acquittals	Dismissals	Not Prosecuted	Removed To Family Court	Other	Total
COUNTY	Year	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent
HADISON	1986 1985	1 100.0 0 NA	0 .0 0 NA	0 .0 0 NA	0 .0 0 NA	0 .0 0 NA	0 .0 0 NA	1 100.0° 0 100.0°
HONROE	1984 1985	1 33.3 6 25.0	, 0 .0 0 .0	0 .0 1 4.2	0 .0. 2 8.3	2 66.7 12 50.0	0 .0 3 12.5	3 100.0 24 100.0
HONTGOKERY	1596 1985	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 - NA 0 ' NA	AK O	о на О на	" 0 NA 2 D NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	8 53.3 4 50.0	0 .0	1 6.7 0 .0	1 6.7	3 20.0 4 50.0	1 6.7	15 100.0 8 100.0
HER AOKK	1986 1985	87 39.2 87 35.4	1 .5 1 .4	93 41.9 99 40.2	11 5.0 16 6.5	30 13.5 39 15.9	0 .0 3 1.2	222 100.0 246 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	2 66.7 0 .0	0 .0	1 33.3 1 100.0	0 .0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	3 100.0 1 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	' 1 33.3 1 100.0	0 .0	0 .0	1 33.3 0 .0	1 33.3 0 .0	0 .0	3 100.0 1 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	5 55.6 8 53.3	0 .0 0 .0	2 22.2 1 6.7	0 .0	2 22.2 6 40.0	0 .0	9 100.0 15 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	О НА О НА	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 NA 0 NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
OSHEGO	1986 1985	O NA O NA	A	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 NA 0 NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985	0 NA 0 NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS

					ictions	•	ttals ·	Disæis			osecuted	Remove		0	ther	T	otal
	COUNTY		Year		Percent		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Nucher	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	PUTRAN		1986 1985	0 0	NA NA	0	Na	0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA	0 0_	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	
	DUEENS		1986 1985	80 36		3 2	2.3	30 23	23.4 24.0	0	.0 1.0	35 36	27.3 37.5	1 0	.0	128 96	
	RENSSELAER	-	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	
	RICHMOND		1986 1985	2		0	.0	3	25.0 50.0	. 3		4	33.3 10.0	0	.0	12 10	
100	ROCKLAND		1986 1985	: 0	NA NA	0	NA NA	- 0 0	AK AK	0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	
<b>ز</b>	ST. LAWRENCE		1986 1985	0		0	HA HA	0	NA NA	0	****	0	na Na	0	NA NA	- · 0	
	SARATOGA		1986 1985	0	NA .0	0	NA .0	0	NA :0	0		· 0 0 ·	HA .0	0	NA 100.0	0	
	SCHENECTADY	i	1986 1985	0		0	.O NA	0	.O NA	0		1 0	100.0 NA	0	.O NA	1	
	SCHOHARIE	•	1986 1985	0		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	
	SCHUYLER		1986 1985	0		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0		0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA	0.00	
	SENECA		1986 1985	0		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 0	NA NA	0	na Na		
	STEUBEN		1984 1985	0		0	NA .O	0	NA 100.0	0		0	.0 .0	0		0	
	SUFFOLK		1986 1985	8		1 0	4.5	1	4.5 1.9	0	_	B 31	36.4 58.5	4 5	18.2 9.4	22 53	

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

			ictions		ittals		ssals		osecuted	Family	red To Court	DE	her	Tot	al
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	<b>0</b>	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0 (1	NA NA	0 0	NA NA	0	iha - Na	0	na Na	0	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	0	NA 100.0	0	NA .0	0	NA . O	0	NA . O	0	NA .O	0	NA .o	0	100.0
HARREN	1986 1985	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA HA	0	HA HA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
HASHINGTON	1986 1985	0	NA NA	- 0 0	na -Na	0	NA NA	\$ 0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
HAYNE	1986 1985	1.0	100.0 NA	0	.O NA	0	.O	0	.0 NA	0	.0 HA	0	.O Na		100.0 100.0
NESTCHESTER	1986 1985	10 14	76.9 70.0	0	.0 5.0	0 2	10.0	2	15.4	·	7.7 15.0	0 0	.0	13 20	100.0 100.0
MADHING	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	· - 0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na	· 0	NA NA	0	NA NA	", <b>0</b> ·	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	198 <u>6</u> 1985	410 369	40.2 36.2	10 10		263 225	25.8 22.1	60 54	5.9 5.3	257 342	25.2 33.6	21 19	2.1 1.9	1,021 1,019	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	359 307	39.0 36.2		. 9 . B	253 203	27.5 23.9	56 52	6.1 6.1	232 272	25.2 32.0	14 8	1.5	921 849	100.0 100.0

<sup>\*</sup> Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, disaissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

	COUNTY	Year	State Facility		Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
	ALBANY	1988 1985	87.5 100.0		.0	.0	12.5	.0	100.0 100.0
	ALLEGANY	1986 1985	NA Na	•	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
	BRONX	1985 1985	37.5 31.5		12.5 14.6	6.9 7.9	38.9 42.7	4.2 3.4	100.0 100.0
	BROOME	1984 1985	NA 100.0		- NA .0	NA . O	AA .0	NA . O	100.0
B-111	CATTARAUGUS	- 1984 1985	NA NA		AA Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100,0 100.0
-	CAYUGA	1988 1985	NA - HA		NA NA	NA Na	na Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	NA 100.0		. NA .0	NA . O	NA .O	HA .0	100.0 100.0
	CHEMUNG	1984 1985	HA NA		NA Na	na Na	NA Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	CHENANGO	1986 1985	HA RA		NA Na	NA Ha	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	CLINTON	, 1986 1985	59.0		NA .O	NA .0	NA 50.0	NA .0	100.0 100.0
	COLUMBIA	1986 1985	NA NA		AA Ak	HA NA	, - NA NA	AA AA	100.0 100.0
	CORTLAND	1986 1985	- KA NA		NA Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
	DELAWARE	1984 1985	NA NA		NA NA	KA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0

<sup>(</sup> Continued on next page. )

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUN	łTY	Year 	State Facility		l Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
DUTC	CHESS	1986 1985	NA .o		NA .0	NA . O	NA 100.0	NA .0	100.0 100.0
ERIE		1986 1985	75.0 50.0	2:	.0	.0	.0 50.0	.0	100.0 100.0
ESSE	1	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	HA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
FRAN	IKLIN	1984 1985	NA NA		NA THE STATE OF TH	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
B-112	ron	1984 1985	NA ŅA		NA NA	HA NA	NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
GENE	ESEE	1986 1985	NA NA		NA HA	NA NA	na Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
GREE	ENE	1984 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
- HAHI	ILTOX	1986 1985	. NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
HERK	(IHER	1986 1985	AN AN		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
JEFF	FERSON	, 1986 1985	100.0 NA		.O Na	.O NA	.0 NA	.0 Na	100.0 100.0
KING	<b>35</b>	1986 1985	29.0 37.0			20.3 17.4	39.1 30.4	2.9 3.3	100.0 100.0
FEAT	IS	1988 1985	NA NA		NA NA	HA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
LIVI	INGSTON	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
MADISON	1786 1785	100.0 NA	. O NA	.0 NA	. 0 NA	.0 NA	100.0
HONROE	1984 1985	100.0 16.7	.0 16.7	.0 66.7	.0	.0	100.0 100.0
MONTGOHERY	1986 1985	NA Na	ra Na	HA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	62.5 50.0	12.5 .0	.0	25.0 25.0	.0 25.0	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1984 1985	39.1 52.9	2.3 12.6	3.4 1.1	55.2 29.9	.0 3.4	100.0 100.0
HIAGARA	1984 1985	100.0 NA	. O NA	. O Na	, <b>0</b> NA	. 0 Ка	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1988 1985	.0	.0	.0 100.0	100.0	.0	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	.0 50.0	20.0 .0	.0	80.0 50.0	.0	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	NA NA	NA Na	NA NA	AA AA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	.1786 1785	NA NA	NA Ka	NA NA	NA NA	HA NA	100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	NA Na	NA Na	NA NA	NA Na	NA Na	. 100.0 100.0
OSWE60	1984 1985	NA RA	na Ka	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
OTSE60	1986 1985	NA Ka	NA HA	NA Na	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
		, <b>NA</b> 	HA		NA NA	NA	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUNTY	Year 	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
PUTNAN	1986	NA	NA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	NA	100.0
QUEENS	1986	20.0	8.3	25.0	45.0	1.7	100.0
	1985	36.1	5.6	2.8	47.2	8.3	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986	NA	MA	NA	NA	na	100.0
	1985	NA	HA	NA	NA	Na	. 100.0
RICHHOND	1984 1985	50.0 50.0	.0	.0	50.0 50.0	.0 .0	100.0 : 100.0
RDCKLAND	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986	NA	NA	AA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	AA	NA	NA	100.0
SARATOGA	1984	NA	NA	АИ	. NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	АИ	NA	NA	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1984	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986	NA	na	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	Na	NA	100.0
SENECA	1986	NA	NA	MA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	MA	NA	NA	100.0
STEUDEN	1986	NA	NA	HA	NA	HA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	HA	100.0
SUFFOLK	1986	25.0	12.5	.0	50.0	12.5	100.0
	1985	18.8	12.5	37.5	18.8	12.5	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS :

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation Probation Other	Total Convictions
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA HA NA NA NA	100.0 100.0
TIDGA	1994 1985	NA Ka	NA NA	NA NA NA HA	100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1984 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA NA	100.0 100.0
ÜLSTER	1986 1985	NA .0	NA .0	NA NA NA .0 100.0	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	NA RA	NA .	NA NA NA NA	. 100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1984 1985	NA Na	NA NA	NA NA NA NA	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985	100.0 NA	. O NA	.0 .0 .0 NA NA NA	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1984 1985	40.0 42.9	10.0	40.0 10.0 .0 28.6 14.3 14.3	100.0 100.0
HAONING	1986 1985	NA Na	NA -	NA NA NA NA	100.0 100.0
YATES	.1786 1785	HA HA	NA **	NA NA NA NA	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	34.6 39.8	8.0 10.8	13.4 41.7 2.2 10.8 33.6 4.9	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1988 1985	31.8 39.7	7.8 12.1	14.2 44.0 2.2 8.1 36.2 3.9	100.0 100.0

<sup>\*</sup> These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

### APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1984 -1986 APPENDIX C

					PERCENT OF	DISPOSITIONS	REPORTED		·			AVERAGE PERCI	
			1984 Arrest	5		1985 Arrests			1986 Arrest	ts		1984-86 ARRES	
COUNTY		Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Risdemeanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor
ALBANY		88.2	84.3	89.0	91,2	67.2	92.7	79.1	73.B	81.4	0.68	81.3	87.9
ALLEGANY		57.6	61.6	56.5	5B.3	55.9	58.9	52.4	44.3	55.3	56.0	52.9	56.9
BRONX		87.4	90.2	88.3	87.8	89.4	85.8	74.8	75.3	74.1	83.5	84.4	82.5
BROOME		82.1	84.3	B1.4	80.7	79.9	B1.0	71.3	67.3	72.5	77.8	77.1	78.1
CATTARAUGUS		47.8	60.5	69.1	70.0	65.4	71.1	61.1	53.7	62.8	66.1	59.5	67.5
CAYUGA		68.4	72.3	67.5	76.2	B0.2	75.1	52.0	51.8	52.1	45.2	49.0	65.8
CHAUTAUQUA		78.5	73.1	79.7	82.3	77.8	83.3	70.9	68.4	71.5	77.1	72.9	78.0
CHENUNG		B0.3	84.6	79.1	81.3	79.0	81.9	49.1	42.7	71.0	76.9	75.4	77.4
CHENANGO		65.3	58.9	66.6	70.7	74.2	70.1	61.2	48.6	63.3	65.9	40.9	86.B
CLINTON		76.1	76.6	76.0	74.1	72.0	74.7	59.5	53, 2	61.7	69.9	45.9	71.0
COLUMBIA		74.6	75.8	74.2	74.9	73.2	75.4	49.B	57.5	70.5	73.2	72.3	73.5
CORTLAND		82.8	85.1	82.5	B0.5	78.5	80.8	62.2	58.2	63.1	75.1	72.4	75.6
DELANARE		49.5	. 72.1	69.0	73.8	72.5	74.0	59.0	5B.1	59.3	47.3	8.88	67.5
DUTCHESS		65.2	62.1	26.1	86.2	£4.0	87.0	67.5	60.7	70.0	79.6	75.1	81.2
ERIE		83.6	85.0	B3.1	84.9	85.5	B4.7	70.5	73.2	69.5	79.5	81.1	78.9
ESSEX		73.9	68.8	75.4	76.3	71.3	77.4	43.3	55.2	66.1	70.8	43.8	72.8
FRANKLIN		75.7	76.2	75.6	74.1	73.0	74.3	48.5	63.6	69.3	72.5	70.9	72.9
FULTON		78.8	81.9	77.8	79.7	79.0	80.2	74.8	67.8	75.4	77.8	76.9	78.1
GENESEE		4.84	75.3	67.1	69.7	58.2	72.3	62.8	47.3	66.1	66.B	59.8	68.5
GREENE ,		. 75.9	71.6	77.7	77.7	75.6	78.4	49.5	64.7	71.1	73.9	70.1	75.3
HAMILTON		8.8	88.9	66.2	50.0	83.3	39.5	47.6	50.0	47.1	54.8	73.5	50.5
HERKINER		62.1	67.8	60.7	67.3	69.7	67.0	52,1	48.6	53.3	60.4	60.2	60.5
JEFFERSON		71.5	76.9	69.5	72.1	75.0	71.1	67.0	64.6	68.1	70.2	71.7	69.6
KINGS		86.3	86.9	B5.4	82.3	83.6	B0.7	66.3	6.86	63.3	77.4	79.0	75.2
LEWIS		61.7	49.8	59.9	45.3	81.3	60.6	47.5	54.5	44.4	58.9	0.84	55.9
LIVINGSTON		76.9	73.6	77.4	78.0	76.2	78.2	60.4	49.4	62.5	71.6	64.2	72.7
MADISON		73.7	76.2	73.2	72.4	67.9	73.4	64.5	59.4	65.7	69.9	67.1	70.6
MONROE	٠.,	59.3	83.8	90.9	72.4	70.5	73.0	59.0	51.9	61.2	72.9	67.5	74.6
HONTGOHERY		72.9	77.5	71.9	72.1	76.1	71.3	58.2	52.7	59.3	67.2	67.8	67.1
NASSAU		88.6	90.9	B7.7	80.1	86.5	77.6	55.7	61.6	53.1	74.7	79.0	72.9
NEW YORK		90.9	90.9	90.9	70.2	89.4	90.6	79.4	77.5	80.5	85.5	85.7	87.0
NIAGARA		90.4	89.1	90.8	90.6	85.9	92.0	77.6	66.2				
ONEIDA		75.1	74.7							81.0	85.8	79.9	87.6
ONDNEAGA		73.1 81.4		75.2	71.6	77.7	73.4	64.1	- 61.6	54.9	71.0	71.1	71.0
ONTARIO			74.9	84.3	?8.2	71.0	81.9	54.9	46.8	59.0	71.2	63.9	74.7
		72.7	74.0	72.4	70.4	77.2	0.73	65.6	69.7	64.8	69.3	73.4	68.4
ORANGE		B0.6	79.0	81.1	81.5	78.0	B2.7	57.6	49.2	60.5	72.6	67.8	74.3
ORLEANS		69.4	75.9	67.8	70.7	78.3	67.2	55.6	54.0	56.3	65.3	69.2	63.9
OSWEGO		79.6	77.3	80.0	76.8	70.5	78.5	62.2	58.7	63.1	72.6	48.1	73.7
OTSEGO		71.6	53.9	73.1	77.6	75.0	79.1	42.9	50.5	53.4	70.9	£5.7	71.7
PUTNAN		82.4	£3.£	85.7	81.4	56.3	85.4	63.2	44.0	69.3	74.6	56.7	79.4

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) # - Continued

				PERCENT OF	DISPOSITION	S REPORTED		-			AVERAGE PERCI	
	1984 Arrests			1985 Arrests			1986 Arrests				1984-86 ARRE	="
COUNTY	Total	Felony	hisdeaeanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	llisdeneanor
QUEENS	90.B	92.2	89.5	99.1	90.0	88.3	73.8	73.9	73.8	84.0	84.2	83.7
RENSSELAER	70.5	74.4	79.4	77.8	75.1	79.3	66.6	50.4	68.4	74.0	69.9	75.1
RICHMOND	92.1	92.8	91.7	90,5	92.4	89.3	76.3	78.9	74.6	86.1	87.8	85.0
ROCKLAND	74.8	76.0	74.3	75.8	79.0	74.7	56.0	53.5	57.1	69.3	69.1	49.4
ST. LAWRENCE	52.1	29.0	56.9	54.3	34.B	58.1	51.2	32.3	54.6	52.5	31.9	54.5
SARATOGA	78.1	75.7	78.3	84.6	80.5	85.5	76.3	66.8	78.4	79.7	74.4	80.8
SCHENECTADY	88.7	95.I	89.7	91.8	89.4	92.5	77.2	66.9	80.3	95.6	80.0	87.3
SCHOHARIE	54.9	70.5	54.3	70.9	78.3	69.7	62.0	46.0	61.1	63.2	70.7	61.8
SCHUYLER	70.9	70.8	71.0	74.3	74.0	74.3	68.3	66.7	68.7	71.2	70.6	71.3
SENECA	71.6	62.0	73.4	43.2	66.7	62.3	52.6	53.6	52.3	62.3	60.6	52.7
STEUBEN	71.9	80.8	69.8	73,4	71.6	73.9	63.3	58.6	64.6	69.3	69.4	69.3
SUFFOLK	86.5	88.0	B6.0	B3.1	63.9	82.8	53,9	60.6	51.7	74.5	77.5	73.5
SULLIVAN	85.0	83.1	85.4	81.3	77.0	82.6	45.8	61.1	67.8	77.6	73.4	79.1
TIOGA	78.0	76.0	7B.3	75.8	B1.1	74.6	58.0	57.3	58.1	70.0	69.7	70.0
TOMPKINS	82.1	77.B	83.0	78.1	49.0	79.9	60.3	43.2	64.0	73.1	62.2	75.4
ULSTER	69.9	65.9	71.2	70.0	61.9	72.6	65.6	59.2	67.7	62.4	62.2	70.4
WARREN	87.5	89.5	87.1	89.7	88.0	90.0	E0.2	60.1	80.2	85.7	85.6	85.7
WASHINGTON	63.0	61.9	63.3	62.9	63.3	62.8	55.9	61.8	55.4	60.9	42.3	40.5
WAYNE	62.3	50.B	62.6	48.5	85.3	69.1	67.6	58.6	<b>69.</b> 3	66.2	61.6	67.0
WESTCHESTER	67.7	49.0	67.2	74.4	77.5	73.1	65.5	70.B	63.3	69.2	72.5	67.9
WYDHING	42.0	45.1	36.2	43.4	63.6	38.4	33.0	42.9	30.6	39.5	57.5	35.1
YATES	70.5	83.3	67.8	40.7	82.1	55.1	57.4	58.5	57.0	62,2	71.3	59.5
NEW YORK STATE	<b>35.</b> 3	86.9	84.4	83.8	85.1	83.1	69.6	70.3	69.2	79.2	80.3	78.6
HEN YORK CITY	E9.5	89.7	89.4	87.8	87.8	87.8	74.6	73.B	75.2	83.5	83.3	83.7

<sup>\*</sup> This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1907. 'Interio' dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.


SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

### APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS

# NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES





APPENDIX E
VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

### VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES1

OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	all	D
Assault 2nd		all	C
Assault 1st <sup>2</sup>	120.10	dii	C
Aggravated Assault on	100 11	00	B
Peace Officer2	120.11	00	В
Manslaughter 1st <sup>2</sup>	125.20	all	B B
Murder 2nd, <u>Attempted</u>	125.25	3 7	B
Rape 1st <sup>2</sup>	130.35	all	В
Sodomy 1st <sup>2</sup>	130.50	all	В
Sexual Abuse 1st	130.65	all	D B
Agg. Sexual Abyse <sup>2</sup>	130.70	all	В
Kidnapping 2nd <sup>2</sup>	135.20	00	В
Kidnapping 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	135.25	all	В
Burglary 2nd2	140.25	all	C .
Burglary 1st <sup>2</sup>	140.30	all	В
Arson 2nd <sup>2</sup>	150.15	all	В
Arson 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	150.20	all	В
Robbery 2nd <sup>2</sup>	160.10	all	С
Robbery 1st <sup>2</sup>	160.15	all	В
Intimidation 2nd <sup>4</sup>	215.16	all	D
Intimidation 1st <sup>2,4</sup>	215.17	all	В
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd <sup>2</sup>		04,05	D
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd <sup>2</sup>	265.03	00	C
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st <sup>2</sup>	265.04	all	В
Crim. Use Firearm 2nd <sup>2</sup>	265.08	all	Č
Crim. Use Firearm 1st <sup>2</sup>	265.09	all	В
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00 .	Ď
J Ja., J		• •	

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd	125.25	all	A-1
Murder 1st, Including			
Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd <sup>3</sup>	265.02	00,01,02,03	Đ.

<sup>1</sup> Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Effective 11/1/86.

### APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

#### DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

<u>Board of Prisoners</u>. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- o <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- o <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- o <u>State Readies</u>: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

Community Treatment Programs. The Department of Correctional Services has in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

#### CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child, or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate; these funds are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

<u>Victim and Witness Assistance Program</u>. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and,
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological, and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Funding and Program Assistance.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapid increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971. When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing presentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

<u>Indigent Parolee Program</u>. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays, and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organization Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

Law Guardian Back-Up Center. Statewide Youth Advocacy of Rochester has received a legislative appropriation to establish the Law Guardian Back-Up Center. This Center will provide technical assistance and training to law guardians practicing before family courts primarily outside of New York City. Training and legal research will cover JD, PINS, and abuse/neglect proceedings. Social work and legal assistance will also be provided. A brief bank and library will be developed, as will an inventory of dispositional alternatives and community-based programs. Updates will be provided on legal and legislative developed. Staff will include two lawyers and one social worker.

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buying-money." In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Unit.

### <u>DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES</u> (continued)

Narcotics Control. The federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 established a program of grants to State and local agencies for drug law enforcement. Under this Act, the State and localities are eligible for funds under the Narcotics Control Assistance Formula Grant Program. New York State is eligible for \$111,539,00 in federal fiscal year 1987. It is anticipated that the federal award for FY 1988 and FY 1989 will be for the same amount.

The New York State Legislature has identified the following priority areas: apprehension, prosecution and adjudication of persons who violate State and local controlled substances laws, as defined in the penal and public health laws; additional correctional resources for such persons; programs to eliminate the growth and production of controlled substances, programs for drug-dependent offenders; and demonstration programs to identify major offenders and move these offenders expeditiously through the judicial system.

The distribution of funds will be in the ratio of 39.61 between State agency programs and local government programs. These federal funds must be matched on a cash basis with one dollar in non-federal funds for every three dollars in federal funds.

Nassau County Service to Rape Victims Task Force. Advocacy services for victims of sexual assault include the following: hospital advocacy (support, information, referral, follow-up); criminal justice system advocacy; recruitment, supervision, and training of volunteers; newsletters; field placement for students; public information through public speaking and distribution of literature.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act. Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police. The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

New York City School Security Officer Program. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

# DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulation, workshops, and training seminars.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Offender Aid and Restoration of Western New York, Inc., (OAR/WNY). (OAR/WRY) delivers a wide variety of services to ex-offenders and their families. OAR/WRY conducts workshops that train ex-offenders in setting employment goals and completing applications. Ex-offenders learn about employer expectations and techniques for successful job interviews and job retention. The family services program delivers support and counseling to families before, during, and after the offender's incarceration and helps the family in making requests for assistance through social service agencies. The program seeks to address the needs of the ex-offenders in order to facilitate their successful reentry into society.

<u>Prisoners' Legal Services</u>. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie, and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

# <u>DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES</u> (continued)

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities, and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984 to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

<u>Special Narcotics Court Program</u>. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized, and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and an enhanced level of criminal parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

<u>Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program</u>. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program

# <u>DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES</u> (continued)

(S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

<u>Suffolk County Criminal Justice Coordinating Council Re-Route Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders</u>. Transitional services program designed to expedite the reintegration of ex-offenders into the community upon their release from Suffolk County Correctional facilities. A multi-service, multi-disciplinary, inter-agency approach including therapy, family counseling, supplementary education, job training, job placement, legal aid, needs-based payments, and linkages to services for areas outside the program's scope.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major Violent Offense Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

Transit Crime Interdiction Program. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

<u>Transit Strike Force</u>. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the

# <u>DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES</u> (continued)

subway system. The crimes include homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies which are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners, and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

<u>Community Patrol Officer Program</u>. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

Westchester Datafacs. The Westchester Datafacs System is a regional network of nine photofacsimile transmitters placed strategically throughout the country to service the Criminal History Record Information requests of forty local police departments. The Criminal History Record Information, or "rap-sheet," is transmitted from the Criminal History Repository located at the Division of Criminal Justice Services in Albany to the requesting local police department. In turn, the information is then forwarded to the District Attorney and Court enabling the presiding judge to make a better informed bail/bond or release decision.

Information expedites the criminal justice process and serves to reduce the number of defendants detained in the County Jail facility. In this sense, the Datafacs System is truly an alternative to incarceration.

### **DIVISION OF PAROLE**

Parole Resource Center. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

<u>Parole Transitional Facilities</u>. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve services performance.

#### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

"Classifications/Alternatives" Bill. The "Classifications/Alternatives" bill (Chapters 907 & 908, Laws of 1984) was enacted on August 6, 1984 to help reduce overcrowding pressures in the county jails, to facilitate more efficient and practical jail population management, and to support the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The statute reflects a unique and effective linkage of two distinct criminal justice issues to facilitate reduced reliance on confinement and more efficient utilization of that significant scarce resource -- jail beds. This legislation reduces the number of state mandated classification categories in local correctional facilities from twelve to four. However, in order for a county to take advantage of this reduced classification system, it must prepare and carry out a service plan (approved by the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives) for the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The service plan must be the collaborative product of a county advisory board composed of the key criminal justice decision makers, and must present a comprehensive overview of the local criminal justice system which serves to identify target populations, factors contributing to overcrowding, programmatic needs, and specific proposals for the use of state aid available under the bill. In fiscal year 1986-87, a total of \$3,050,000 was available to all counties and the City of New York. These funds are to be distributed according to a modified population-based formula outlined in the statute.

In the two and one-half years that have passed since enactment of this legislation, important and far-reaching changes have taken place in the activities and organization of local criminal justice systems. Almost eighty percent of the jurisdictions in New York State have taken advantage of the bill's provisions. Forty-four counties and the city of New York submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and have now implemented alternatives to incarceration programs. During State fiscal year 1986-87 (the second year of funding) a total of 67 programs were supported and operated consistent with the counties' service plans.

<u>Demonstration Projects</u>. These projects generally fall into one of four program models: community service, defendant-based advocacy, pre-trial, and offender rehabilitation/specialized services. In FY 1986-87 there were 31 such projects. During the current fiscal year, FY 1987-88, 28 of these 100% State funded projects are expected to provide services to more than 15,000 clients.

Demonstration projects are designed to reduce the incidence of incarceration through the use of community-based alternatives that emphasize public safety and cost effectiveness.

<u>Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program</u>. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

# <u>DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES</u> (continued)

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-80, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The January 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

Regular State Aid. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

## **DIVISION FOR YOUTH**

Community Based Organization. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

Community Care Program. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism, and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

<u>Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

<u>Job Development</u>. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living Support. Gives the Division the authority and financial capability to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand this program. Statutory provisions, which were modified during 1985, permit the expansion of this program into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which DFY was formerly limited.

<u>Special Delinquency Prevention Program</u>. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk to help prevent unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

# DIVISION FOR YOUTH (continued)

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

<u>Voluntary Agency Care</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psychosocial and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

## OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

<u>Salaries of District Attorneys</u>. Pursuant to County Law 700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs are targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories. Responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88.

## APPENDIX G

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to NYC agencies that provide services citywide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the NYC and State profiles.

Table 1

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9
Division of Probation <sup>2</sup> and Correctional Alternatives	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9
Other Local Aid	•						
District Attorney Salaries <sup>4</sup>			47.5	47.5	NA	52.5	-
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,387.9	128,159.9	70.1%	94,355.2	109,970.7

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Beard of Prisoners <sup>2</sup>							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	14.8	10.9	NA .		
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	9,699.7	132.8%		
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	284.9	1,659.7	NA		
State Readies <sup>3</sup>				1,064.9	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Community Treatment Programs <sup>4</sup>	· · · · ·	215.1	434.2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NA		
Prisoner Transfer <sup>2</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	214.4	NA		
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	-		Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards <sup>2</sup>	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,354.3	-5.2%	5,206.0	8,507.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	543.2	18.5%	700.3	1,203.1
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	140.5	26.8%	143.6	260.2
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	43.3	0.0
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$  This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture <sup>2</sup> Initiative			0.0	55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention <sup>3</sup> and Protection		175.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	0.0	0.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1.893.0	2,024.5	2,090.9	18.8%	2,133.0	2,133.0
Indigent Parolee Program <sup>4</sup>	722.1	834.8	956.0	956.0	32.4%	1,028.0	1,069.0
Justice Assistance Act <sup>5</sup>	:			·	NA	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice <sup>6</sup> and Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	884.0	-10.1%	975.2	1,055.1
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	6,624.0	18.0%	6,756.5	7,161.9
Narcotics Control <sup>7</sup>		. 1	***	-	NA		4,006.9
NYC School Security <sup>8</sup> Officer Program		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	0.0	250.0
Soft Body Armour <sup>9</sup> Reimbursement Program	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		0.4	0.0	NA		
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>10</sup>			1,395.0	1,299.5	NA NA	1,440.0	1,400.0
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>11</sup>	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	16,521.5	31.0%	16,789.5	17,433.0
Transit Crime Interdiction Program <sup>12</sup>	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force 13		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6

Continued - Next Page

#### Table 4-Continued

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	-		Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Patrol <sup>14</sup> Officer Program			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA	100.0	150.0
JOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7

<sup>1</sup> This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

<sup>3</sup> No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>7</sup> This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

<sup>12</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

<sup>14</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers <sup>2</sup>	398.0	511.4	477.0	516.8	29.8%	654.8	774.8
Parole Transitional <sup>3</sup> Facilities		37.1	172.9	309.1	NA NA	496.1	496.1
Employment Services <sup>4</sup> Project	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA .	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE. NYS Division of Parole.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
CITYVIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification <sup>2</sup> Alternatives		<b></b>		1,130.9	NA	0.0	1,302.8
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.6	2,074.6	270.9%	1,664.3	2,511.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	1,478.9	53.8%	3,399.3	4,569.5
PINS Program <sup>3</sup>		· ·			NA	631.7	1,314.6
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	12,065.3	35.2%	13,574.8	13,855.4
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropri	iations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 <sup>2</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention			•				
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	2,191.8	131.7%	2,213.0	5,023.6
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	16,947.8	123.9%	9,723.5	9,043.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	516.6	27.3%	691.4	645.9
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	4,630.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Transitional Independent Living Support <sup>4</sup>				69.7	NA	0.0	1,139.3
Voluntary Agency Care <sup>5</sup>	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	9,201.4	103.6%	0.0	0.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	25,024.4	127.8%	14,041.7	14,077.1
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

# APPENDIX H STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the State profile.

Table 1 .

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5
Division of Parole	'	'			·		
Division of Probation <sup>2</sup> and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0
Division for Youth <sup>3</sup>	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries <sup>4</sup>	370.0	397.5	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	896.0
STOP Program - Drug <sup>5</sup> Residence Project	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		. <u></u>		NA		525.0
TOTAL	14,008.2	15,375.5	14,344.0	20,948.3	49.5%	53,930.6	69,232.2

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	-		Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners <sup>2</sup>							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	51.5	55.5
Felons	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	NA	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies <sup>3</sup>	<b></b> ,			0.0	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs <sup>4</sup>	. <del></del> .	0.0	0.0	· 	NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer <sup>2</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0

<sup>1</sup> This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards <sup>2</sup>	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	2,803.0	4,190.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						•	
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	899.5	0.0
TOTAL	821.7	1.088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0

<sup>1</sup> This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		1	Expenditures	·		Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture <sup>2</sup> Initiative		- <del>-</del>	0.0	0.0	NA		
Crime Prevention <sup>3</sup> and Protection	<del></del>	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	695.0
Indigent Parolee Program <sup>4</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	NA	597.8	628.4
Justice Assistance Act <sup>5</sup>					NA	1,611.6	
Juvenile Justice 6 and Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	0.0	-100.0%	1,088.9	1,078.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control <sup>7</sup>			•		NA		6,070.2
Neighborhood <sup>8</sup> Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	• 540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour 9 Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	0.0	60.1	-90,5%		487.0
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program <sup>10</sup>			0.0	0,0	NA	20.0	10.0

Continued - Next Page

#### Table 4-Continued

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

7							
Target Crime Initiative Program <sup>11</sup>	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	746.8	-26.3%	766.8	849.3
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>7</sup> This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

<sup>10</sup> This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	· · · · · · <del>· · · · · · · · · · · · · </del>		Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification <sup>2</sup> Alternatives			<del>é</del> nen	0.0	NA	0.0	83.2
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>NA</b>	100.0	39,5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	0.0	199.3
PINS Program <sup>3</sup>		-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ŇA	2.8	0.0
Regular State Aid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	279.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{2}}$  This program was implemented in FY 1985-86..

This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 <sup>2</sup>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Care <sup>3</sup> Initiative	·			*** ***	NA	219.0	219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	1,951.4	5,131.7%	131.9	0.0
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	330.0	NA	274.5	0.0
Voluntary Agency Care <sup>5</sup>	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	12,092.2	60.9%	9,553.5	8,222.7
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

# APPENDIX I JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES

## JUVENILE OFFENDER CRIMES<sup>1</sup>

(as of 1/1/87)

AGE	OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
13-15 14-15	Murder 2nd Murder 2nd <sup>2</sup> Kidnapping 1st <sup>2</sup> Arson 1st Manslaughter 1st Rape 1st Sodomy 1st Aggravated Sexual Abuse <sup>3</sup> Robbery 1st Burglary 1st Arson 2nd Robbery 2nd Assault 1st Burglary 2nd	125.25 125.25 135.25 150.20 125.20 130.35 130.50 130.70 160.15 140.30 150.15 160.10 120.10	01,02 03 all all 01,02 01,02 all all all 00 02 01,02 01,02	A-1 A-1 A-1 B B B B C C

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 10.00(18).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Effective 9/1/81.

#### REFERENCES

- Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u>. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.
- New York State Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.
- New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.
- New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. <u>Resident Employment Status and the Civilian Labor Force: January 1984 October 1986</u>.
- New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.
- New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.
- New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Justice Systems Analysis and the Office of Administrative Services.
- . New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).
- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.
- Report. March, 1987.
- New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.
- New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.
- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

### ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Each requester will receive one volume free of charge; a \$2.00 charge to cover postage and handling will be applied to each additional volume requested.

To order by phone, please call (518) 457-8381.

To order by mail, please place a check mark ( ) next to the volumes you would

Volume I	New York State
Volume I Volume II Volume III Volume IV Volume V Volume VI Volume VIII Volume IX Volume X Volume XI Volume XII Volume XIII Volume XIII Volume XIII Volume XIII	Binghamton Region
Volume III	Buffalo Region
Volume IV	Capital Region
Volume V	Elmira Region
Volume VII	Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region Mid-Hudson Region
Volume VIII	Mohawk Valley Region
Volume IX	New York City Region
Volume X	Northern Region
Volume XI	Rochester Region
Volume XII	Syracuse Region
Volume XIII	Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nas
	Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties
	e and address below and mail this form to:
Bureau of Statisti	cal Services iminal Justice Services er, 8th Floor
Bureau of Statisti NYS Division of Cr Executive Park Tow Stuyvesant Plaza	cal Services iminal Justice Services er, 8th Floor
Bureau of Statisti NYS Division of Cr Executive Park Tow Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York	cal Services iminal Justice Services er, 8th Floor
Bureau of Statisti NYS Division of Cr Executive Park Tow Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York	cal Services iminal Justice Services er, 8th Floor
Bureau of Statisti NYS Division of Cr Executive Park Tow Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York NAME TITLE	cal Services iminal Justice Services er, 8th Floor
Bureau of Statisti NYS Division of Cr Executive Park Tow Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York NAME	cal Services iminal Justice Services er, 8th Floor

TELEPHONE NUMBER

 $<sup>\</sup>cdot^1$ This charge does not apply to New York State agencies or officials.

