If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.

ţi,

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES



VOLUME IV CAPITAL REGION



JOHN J. POKLEMBA DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE



Ĵ

NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES JOHN J. POKLEMBA DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE 111616

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILE: 1982-1986

Volume IV

CAPITAL REGION

ALBANY COUNTY MONTGOMERY COUNTY RENSSELAER COUNTY SARATOGA COUNTY SCHENECTADY COUNTY SCHOHARIE COUNTY WARREN COUNTY WASHINGTON COUNTY

OFFICE OF JUSTICE SYSTEMS ANALYSIS BARRY SAMPLE, EXECUTIVE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING PATRICK LERNER LORI DRAPER CAROL RUSSO

FEBRUARY, 1988

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to Gerald Luciano for graphic designs ne created for the publication and to the secretarial staff in the Office of Justice Systems Analysis, in particular to Joan Burgess and Carol Novak, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

CONTENTS

1

5

INTRODUCTION

ORGANIZATION OF E	EACH PROFILE	7
Section II Demo Section III Crim Section IV Loca	minal Justice Agencies ographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics me & Criminal Justice Activity al Assistance Funds rectional Facilities	9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STATE PR	ROFILE	13

COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE CAPITAL REGION

Albany County Montgomery County Rensselaer County Saratoga County Schenectady County Schoharie County Warren County Washington County

ILLUSTRATION

NEW YORK STATE REGIONAL MAP

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A	EXPLANATORY	NOTES	A-1
	Analyses		A-1
	Section I	Criminal Justice Agencies	A-1
	Section II	Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics	A-1
	Section III	Crime & Criminal Justice Activity	A-2
	Section IV	Local Assistance Funds	A-2
	Section V	Correctional Facilities	A-2

Page(s)

APPENDIX B	DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986	B-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section II and Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparable to those in which the data were prese in Section III.	nted
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1984 - 1985	C - 1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-11 F-12 F-14 F-16
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
APPENDIX I	JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES	I - 1
REFERENCES		R-1

* Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the system's major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. Juvenile Offender processing data and more detailed data on alternative to incarceration programs have been incorporated into this edition. The 1986 data are compared to the 1982 data. In addition, the 1986 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities for both 1985 and 1986.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from both the "demographic and socioeconomic characteristics" and "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section II and Section III, respectively. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.

-3-

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u> <u>Profiles</u> publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.



ORGANIZATION OF THE PROFILES

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each of the counties in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each profile are described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 1 Population Demographics: 1980
Table 2 Educational Attainment of Population 25 Years of Age or Older: 1980
Table 3 Population and Density Per Square Mile: 1982-1986
Table 4 Per Capita Income: 1982-1984
Table 5 Public High School Dropouts: 1981-82 to 1985-86
Table 6 Unemployment: 1984-1986
Table 7 Public Assistance: 1982-1986

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Table 2B	Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru June 1987
Table 2C	Arrests for Selected Offenses
Table 2D	Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs
Table 2E	Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses
Table 3A Table 3B	Felony Indictments Felony Indictments: January thru June 1987
Table 4A	Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests
Table 4B	Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests

-9-

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Tab1e	5A	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests
Table	5B	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests
Table Table		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table Table		Probation Department Activity Probation Revocations
Table	8A	Alternatives to Incarceration: Demonstration Projects
Table	8B	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/
Table	8C ,	Alternatives Bill Programs - Pretrial Release Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Community Service
Table	8D	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Defender-Based Advocacy
Table	8E	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Specialized Alternatives
Table Table		County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
Table	10A-1	Admissions to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Table		New Court Commitments to State Prison
Table		(New York State Profile) Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
Table	10C	December 31 (New York State Profile) Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
Table	10A	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles)
Table	10B-1	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
Table	10B-2	December 31 (New York City and County Profiles) The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC (New York City and County Profiles)

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table	11A	Parole Supervision Cases
Table	11B	Revoked and Returned Parolees

Table 12 Juvenile Offender Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1	Agency Funding Summary	
Table 2	Department of Correctional Services	
Table 3	Crime Victims Board	
Table 4	Division of Criminal Justice Services	
Table 5	Division of Probation and Correctional Alternative	2S
Table 6	Division of Parole	
Table 7	Division for Youth	

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

Table 1A	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary
Table 1B	Facilities Operating Budget Summary
Table 1C	Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

^{*} Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.

NEW YORK STATE PROFILE

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

NEW YORK STATE

STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

> New York State Police Building 22 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Office of the Attorney General Department of Law The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

> Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Department of Correctional Services Building 2 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Office of Court Administration Alfred E. Smith State Office Building PO Box 7025 Albany, New York 12225

State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007

NEW YORK STATE

Region	MID-ATLANTIC
State Capital	ALBANY
Square Miles	47,377
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	-3.7%

.

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	17,558,072	NA	100.0%	NA
Density Per	Square Mile	370.6	NA	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	8,339,421 9,218,651	NA NA	47.5% 52.5%	NA NA
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	13,960,868 2,752,114 845,090	NA NA NA	79.5% 15.7% 4.8%	NA NA NA
Ethnicity	: Hispanic	1,659,300	NA	9.5%	NA
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	2,320,804 1,721,835 960,207 1,842,534 10,712,692	NA NA NA NA	13.2% 9.8% 5.5% 10.5% 61.0%	NA NA NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	10,712,692	100.0%	NA
8th Grade or Less	1,962,158	18.3%	NA
nigh School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	1,653,751 3,651,739	15.4% 34.1%	NA NA
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years cr more	1,529,817 1,923,547	14.3% 18.0%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

-17-

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 3 POPULATION 1982-1986 Rank 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1986

Population	17,567,000	17,667,000	17,735,000	17,782,754	17,772,100	NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.8	372.9	374.3	375.3	375.1	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		Table 4 INCOME: 1982-1984		
	1982	1983		Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	12,228	13,063	14,341	NA

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 were not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

		Table 5			
PUBLIC HIGH	SCHOOL	DROPOUTS:	1981-82	T0	1985-86

		School Year						
-		<u>1981-82</u>	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	1984-85	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>	
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	65,229 6.7%	60,311 6.5%	60,834 6.9%	55,880 6.5%	49,391 5.8%	NA	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6 UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986

					Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed	Number	583,455	543,805	526,363	NA
of Civilian Labor Force	Percent	7.2%	6.5%	6.3%	

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

	*	PUBLIC ASS	Table 7 ISTANCE: 198	32-1986		•	
		1982				1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,285,535 7.3%	1,343,428 7.6%	1,381,514 7.8%	1,383,399 7.8%	1,363,126 7.7%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

NEW YORK STATE

3

NEW YORK STATE

		a de la composition de	KNOWN TO T	HE POLICE		······································	
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Number of Crimes			
	1982	1983	1984	1985		Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	1,020,401	5,741.6	-9.6%
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	174,860	983.9	0.4%
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	1,909	10.7	-5.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	5,403	30.4	5.3%
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	91,376	514.2	-15.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	76,172	428.6	28.1%
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	845,541	4,757.7	-11.5%
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	216,157	1,216.3	-25.9%
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	516,492	2,906.2	-1.9%
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	112,892	635.2	-17.6%

Table 1

.

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Nun	ber of Arre	ests		1986 Arrests	
			<u>1984 1985 1986</u>		1986	Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	374,301	383,075	403,785	421,631	456,087	2,566.3	21.9%
FELONY	141,679	141,301	149,343	151,279	172,153	968.7	21.5%
VIOLENT	58,946	58,215	61,158	60,158	65,735	369.9	11.5%
OTHER	82,733	83,086	88,185	91,121	106,418	598.8	28,6%
MISDEMEANOR	232,622	241,774	254,442	270,352	283,934	1,597.6	22.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

 	(Adults Only)			· · · ·
	Number ¹	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
ARRESTS	233,061	4.3%		
FELONY ²	86,268	2.3%		
VIOLENT	31,282	-1.3%		
OTHER	54,986	4.4%		
MISDEMEANOR	146,793	5.5%		

TABLE 28

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres		1986 Arrests		
		1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	1,420	8.0	-11.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,155	2,140	12.0	9.4%
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,231	19,713	22,706	127.8	. 13.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,554	19,802	22,241	22,945	24,830	139.7	27.0%
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,687	19,471	20,540	115.6	-16.1%
LARCENY ²	67,502	66,891	65,814	68,220	75,476	424.7	11.8%

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

٦

NEW YORK STATE

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

			Nur	nber of Arres	sts	·	1986 Arrests	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	48	3,226	53,768	66,982	74,199	91,624	515.5	90.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	34	1,373	38,441	48,097	52,662	74,938	421.7	118.0%
POSSESSION	18	3,110	23,827	30,556	34,394	49,535	278.7	173.5%
SALE	9	9,433	10,544	12,684	13,691	20,174	113.5	113.9%
OTHER	. (5,830	4,070	4,857	4,577	5,229	29.4	-23.4%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13	3,853	15,327	18,885	21,537	16,686	93.9	20.5%
POSSESSION		7,053	7,252	8,881	10,747	8,596	48.4	21.9%
SALE		5,800	8,075	10,004	10,790	8,090	45.5	19.0%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

			Numb	er of Arrest		1986 Arrests Per 1,000		
		1983		1985	1986	Licensed Drivers	% Change 82 vs 86	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		55,566	60 887	64,261	62,870	59,387	6.0	6.9%
FELONY		3,184	3,748	3,032	3,065	3,536	0.4	11.1%
MISDEMEANOR		52,382	57,139	61,229	59,805	55,851	5.6	6.6%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS¹ (Adults and Juvenile Offenders) Number and Percent of Felony Indictments % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 82 vs 86 FELONY INDICTMENTS 46,674 46,147 47,961 50,016 55,810 19.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% VIOLENT 24,537 22,711 22,820 22,000 21,833 -11.0% 52.6% 49.2% 47.6% 44.0% 39.1% 23,436 OTHER 22,137 25,141 28,016 33,977 53.5% 47.4% 50.8% 52.4% 56.0% 60.9%

¹ Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 councies, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987²

(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

:		Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
•	FELONY INDICTMENTS	31,062	17.4%	
	VIOLENT	10,937	1.1%	
	OTHER	20,125	28.7%	

¹ Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

NEW YORK STATE

١

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

			d Percent of Di Resulting from and Misdemeanor	n		
		1983	1984		<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	307,486 100.0%	336,313 100.0%	337,261 100.0%	363,264 100.0%	371,229 100.0%	20.7%
CONVICTIONS	208,720 67.9%	233,893 69.5%	234,263 69.5%	251,609 69.3%	248,728 67.0%	. 19.2%
ACQUITTALS	1,831 0.6%	2.119 0.6%	2.172 0.6%	2,261 0.6%	2,257 0.6%	23.3%
DISMISSALS	87,013 28.3%	89.771 26.7%	91,177 27.0%	99,038 27.3%	110,615 29.8%	27.1%
NOT PROSECUTED	7,612 2.5%	7,169 2.1%	6,630 2.0%	7,220 2.0%	6.602 1.8%	-13.3%
OTHER	2,310 0.8%	3.361 1.0%	3,019 0.9%	3,136 0.9%	3,027 0.8%	31.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-24-

NEW YORK STATE

Table 48

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

	-		Percent of Disp from Felony Ar		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	118,988 100.0%	127,576 100.0%	126,882 100.0%	134,164 100.0%	142,148 100.0%	19.5%
CONVICTIONS	77,746 65.3%	86.370 67.7%	84,585 66.7%	89,207 66.5%	90,738 63.8%	16.7%
ACQUITTALS	1,304 1.1%	1,469 1.2%	1.527 1.2%	1.558 1.2%	1,555 1.1%	19.2%
DISMISSALS	35,279 29.6%	34,826 27.3%	35,673 28.1%	38,400 28.6%	44,469 31.3%	26.0%
NOT PROSECUTED	3,961 3.3%	4,064 3.2%	4.303 3.4%	3,967 3.0%	3,977 2.8%	0.2%
OTHER	698 0.6%	847 0.7%	794 0.6%	1.032 0.8%	1,409 1.0%	101.9%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	••••••		esulting from <u>I Misdemeanor Ar</u>	rests		e - Character
	1982		1984		<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	208,720 100.0%	233,893 100.0%	234,263 100.0%	251,609 100.0%	248,728 100.0%	19.2%
FELONY	26,558 12.7%	32,121 13.7%	31,197 13.3%	33,669 13,4%	37,108 14.9%	39.7%
VIOLENT	11,719 5.6%	14,667 6.3%	13.671 5.8%	13,264 5.3%	13,671 5.5%	16.7%
OTHER	14.839 7.1%	17,454 7.5%	17,526 7.5%	20.405 8.1%	23,437 9.4%	57.9%
MISDEMEANOR	103,560 49.6%	112,772 48.2%	113,538 48.5%	121,797 48.4%	118,736 47.7%	14.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	78,602 37,7%	89,000 38.1%	89,528 38.2%	96,143 38.2%	92,884 37.3%	18.2%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 58

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			rcent of Convic rom Felony Arre			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1 <u>986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	77,746 100.0%	86,370 100.0%	84,585 100.0%	89,207 100.0%	. 90,738 100.0%	16.7%
FELONY	25,657 33.0%	31,113 36.0%	30,191 35.7%	32,289 36.2%	35,690 39.3%	. 39.1%
VIOLENT	11,719 15.1%	14,667 17.0%	13,671 16.2%	13,264 14.9%	13,671 15.1%	16.7%
OTHER	13,938 17.9%	16,446 19.0%	16,520 19.5%	19,025 21.3%	22,019 24.3%	58.0%
MISDEMEANOR	38,110 49.0%	39,913 46.2%	38,450 45.5%	39,976 44.8%	39,198 43.2%	2.9%
LESSER OFFENSES	13,979 18.0%	15,344 17.8%	15,944 18.8%	16,942 19.0%	15,850 17.5%	13.4%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions 1986 ² 1982 1985 1983 1984 CONVICTIONS 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% STATE PRISON 5.5 6.1 5.8 5.6 6.5 LOCAL JAIL 26.6 26.8 29.4 31.4 33.1 LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION 2.5 2.8 2.9 3.5 3.1 PROBATION 10.7 10.2 9.9 9.8 9.5 . FINES 33.0 32.5 33.0 31.3 28.6 OTHER 22.2 19.0 21.1 18.8 18.8

Table 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS	S A PER	CENT OF	FELONY	CONVICTIONS ,
AND MISDEMEAN	IOR AND	LESSER	OFFENSE	CONVICTIONS
	(A	dult On	ly)	

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	<u>1982</u> Mise Felony Less	d &	983 Misd & Lesser	and the second s	984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100	.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	43.2	NA 44.1	NA	43.7	NA	41.8	NA	43.4	NA
LOCAL JAIL	16.5 28	.0 14.3	28.8	13.6	31.8	13.9	34.0	13.3	36.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6 1	.3 13.0	1.2	14.1	1.2	15.6	1.2	17.2	1,1
PROBATION	27.7 8	.2 25.2	7.7	26.5	7.4	26.6	7.2	24.4	6.9
FINES	0.8 37	.1 1.2	38.0	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.0	0.8	33.5
OTHER	1.2 25	.3 1.2	24.2	1.1	21.7	1.1	21.5	1.0	21.9

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK STATE

				•			
		Nu	mber of Cas	es	,	1986 Cases	0/ 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	138,427	778.9	-8.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	156,142	878.6	17.0%
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	27,555	155.0	9.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	128,587	723.5	18.9%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION			. · · · ·				
CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	17,956	101.0	17.1%
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	8,849	49.8	-4.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	9,107	51.2	49.2%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	48,072	270.5	19.3%
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	6,904		19.3%
						38.8	
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	41,168	231.6	23.0%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	156,149	878.6	38.4%
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	14,063	79.1	3.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	142,086	799.5	43.1%
UNDER PROBATION							
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	114,766	645.8	45.1%
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	7,209	40.6	2.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	107,557	605.2	49.3%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

• •

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

.

÷

PROBATION REVOCATIONS . 1986 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u> Number of Revocations % Change <u>82_vs_86</u> 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 REVOCATIONS 5,009 5,409 6,266 6,826 7,814 50.0 56.0% FAMILY COURT 610 661 754 657 787 56.0 29.0% CRIMINAL COURT 4,399 4,752 5,605 6,072 7,027 49.5 59.7% TECHNICAL 2,053 2,153 2,740 3,166 3,502 24.6 70.6% NEW CONVICTION 2,346 2,599 2,865 2,906 3,525 24.8 50.3%

TABLE 78

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

ALTERNATIVE	TO INCARCERATION	PROGRAMS: DEMO	STRATION PROJEC	CTS
•	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	<u>FY 1986-87</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227	17,532

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

PRETRIAL RELEASE	PROGRAM: 1986	
	Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	34,695	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	20,500	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	7,933	38.7%

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

	Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	709	NA
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:		
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL	467	NA
REPORTS PREPARED FOR COURT	269	100.0%
REPORTS ACCEPTED	228	84.8%

Table 8C				
COMMUNITY SERVIC	E PROGRAM:	1986		
	Number	Percent		
CASES SENTENCED	1,338	. NA		
CASES DISCHARGED	881	100.0%		
SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	662	75.1%		

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTER	RNATIVES: 1	986
	Number	<u>Percent</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	526	NA
RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT	537	100.0%
ACCEPTED	367	68.3%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

•

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

		Numb	<u>er of Admi</u>		1986 Admissions		
	1982	1983		1985_	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	*	190,388	196,447	210,186	234,452	1,319.2	NA
UNSENTENCED	*	141,411	147,974	159,474	183,416	1,032.0	NA
SENTENCED	*	48,977	48,473	50,712	51,036	287.2	NÁ

* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 98

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

		1983	1984	1985	1986	1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
0.000770							
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,225	17,730	19,011	19,635	21,448	120.7	24.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,966	16,792	17,534	18,736	21,134	118.9	32.4%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	21,121	118.8	28.6%
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,656	12,956	15,056	84.7	33.1%
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,352	5,766	6,065	34.1	18.5%

* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 10A-1

ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Numbe	<u>r of Admiss</u>	1986 Adm.Rate			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ¹	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	19,090	107.4	50.0%
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	742	4.2	69.8%
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	50	.3	-19.4%
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	2,808	15.8	128.5%
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	445	430	356	244	365	2.1	-18.0%
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	158	.9	12.9%
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	33	.2	312.5%

¹ Preliminary data.

ÿ

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

		NEW COURT	COMMITMENT	'S TO STATE	E PRISON ¹		
			Number of ourt Commit	monte		1986 New Court Committments	
	1982		1984	1985	1986 2	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	7,759	43.7	17.2%
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	938	5.3	4.3%
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	581	3.3	56.2%
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	3,574	20.1	5.8%
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	1,444	8.1	51.8%
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	649	3.7	10.6%
OTHER	435	459	524	574	573	3.2	31.7%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	3,228	18.2	161.4%
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	886	5.0	179.5%
SALE	918	1,256	1,401	1,618	2,342	13.2	155.1%
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	3,653	20.6	59,9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	294	1.7	10.9%

Table 10A-2

 1 The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

N

NEW YORK STATE

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31								
		lumber of I n State Pr		ler Custody cember 31		Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86		
	1982			1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>	
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,276	34,737	38,502	216.6	36.5%	
VIOLENT FELONIES ¹	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	26,024	146.4	30.8%	
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	6,597	37.1	37.0%	
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	2,278	12.8	·38.9%	
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	10,666	60.0	14.6%	
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	3,476	19.6	107.6%	
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	1,450	8.2	18.5%	
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	1,557	8.8	26.4%	
DRUG FELONIES ²	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	6,008	33.8	127.0%	
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	1,597	9.0	140.2%	
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	4,411	24.8	122.6%	
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,261	5,408	6,095	34.3	17.4%	
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	471	384	359	357	375	2.1	-20.4%	

Table 10B

¹ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10C

PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

	*******				······
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634	198

 1 Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK STATE

5

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numb	er of Parol		1986 Parolees	•	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	12,942	72.8	39.4%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	37,359	210.2	45.8%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	26,176	147.3	45.1%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 118

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Paro	ees	· · ·	1986 Revocations		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 Parolees	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	4,519	121.0	75.6%	
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	1,403	37.6	62.2%	
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	1,571	42.1	24.6%	
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	1,545	41.4	245.6%	

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.}$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.
NEW YORK STATE

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of Case	<u>is</u>	-	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	1,441	1,248	1,275	1,257	1,200	-16.7%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	664	496	447	505	429	-35.4%
DISPOSITIONS	1,419	1,213	1,105	1,019	1,021	-28.0%
CONVICTIONS	517	515	388	369	410	-20.7%
STATE FACILITY	257	251	158	147	142	-44.7%
LOCAL JAIL	34	40	24	40	33	-2.9%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	28	32	39	40	55	96.4%
PROBATION	183	166	133	124	171	-6.6%
OTHER	15	26	34	18	9	-40.0%
ACQUITTALS	7.	5	7	. 10	10	42.9%
DISMISSALS	269	202	189	225	263	-2,2%
NOT PROSECUTED	139	70	73	54	60	-56.8%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	443	413	430	342	257	-42.0%
OTHER	44	8	18	19	21	-52.3%

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3
Other Local Aid				· .			
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	442.5	698.8	88.9%	870.2	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project					NA		525.0
TOTAL	191,309,8	214,860.7	243,177.1	359,999.1	88.2%	322,918.1	356,608.3

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 4 The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

⁵ The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY_87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	32.7	572.0%	. 51.5	55.5
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	18,574.6	82.3%	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	646,4	731.3	1,648.7	3,697.5	472.0%	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ²	·		. 	2,322.3	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ³		311.8	873.3		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ¹	487.7	300.1	275.8	316.8	-35.0%	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

^{*3} This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		-	Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8,002.6	23.7%	8,009.0	12,697.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							•	
Comprehensive	864.5	845.2	1,401.9	1,272.1	47.1%	3,622.1	3,443.8	
Court Related	407.8	406.6	719.9	520.0	27.5%	626.1	767.1	
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	223.3	24.9%	561.7	547.0	
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	337.2	-10.6%	567.2	681.1	
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	26.7	-70.7%	613.0	350.5	
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

١

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative			593.9	236.5	NA		
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		1,420.5	1,585.7	1,351.0	NA	2,637.1	3,042.5
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	4,067.4	23.8%	4,150.2	4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Program ³	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	1,593.1	26.5%	1,625.8	1,697.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁴	·	· · · · ·	• • • •		NA	3,379.7	
Juvenile Justice ⁵ and Delinquency Prevention	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	1.579.5	-44.6%	2,673.9	2,821.0
Law Guardian Backup Center ⁶	2,043.4	2,/UJ.1	£,0J1.0 	1,3/3.3	-44.0%	2,0/3.3	100.0
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	11,247.6	11.8%	11,499.8	12,189.8
Mobile Radio District Program ²	· · · · ·	1,904.3	3,600.6	3,368.3	NA	4,288.3	1,270.0
Narcotics Control ⁷					NA		11,539.0
Nassau County Rape ⁶ Victim Services				· · · ·	NA	. · · · ·	30.0
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		1,000.0	1,900.0	881.9	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYC School Security ⁹ Officer Program	. · · ·		· ·		NA	415.0	250.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Offender Aid and Restoration Program ⁶		, 			NA		50.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft E Armour ¹⁰ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	309.8	403.0	-36.6%	• • •	487.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹¹			2,499.4	2,347.9	NA	2,499.5	2,499.8

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		-	Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Suffolk Re-Route ⁶ Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders	•	- - 	•		NA	`	260.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹²	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	48,471.4	29.4%	50,279.5	52,516.2
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹³	, 		-	1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force 14	· · · ·	3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6
Community Patrol ⁹ Officer Program		••• ••			NA NA	100.0	150.0
Westchester Datafacs ⁶	 .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	. 	85.0
TOTAL	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3

¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 2 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁴ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁵ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

⁹ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

- ¹⁰ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.
- ¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.
- ¹² This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ¹	529.4	668.9	706.1	798.4	50.8%	1,035.8	1,192.2
Parole Transitional ² Facilities		37.1	286.9	430.8	NA	626.3	. 626.3
Employment Services ³ Project	ан сайнаан албан алба Албан албан алб	ана се	• • • • • • •		NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5

 $^{1}% \left(1\right) =0$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				2,336.9	NA	3,045.9	3,312.8
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	4,750.7	493.9%	5,478.5	5,411.5
Direct Services	182.1	208.4	184.1	228.0	25.2%	273.8	266.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	5,218.4	60.2%	7,777.2	10,257.6
PINS Program ²		·			NA	1,000.0	2,505.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	37,861.3	32.3%	41,663.3	44,804.2
TOTAL	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY_82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 1	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community-Based ² Organizations			324.8	318.0	NA	466.5	466.5
Community Care ³ Initiative					NA	500.0	500.0
Detention							•
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	10,600.4	165.0%	6,770.3	9,900.0
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	25,101.8	173.5%	14,738.3	15,500.0
Job Development ⁴				· · · · · ·	NA	697.6	583.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	1,838.8	52.4%	2,409.0	2,570.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	11,745.0	-5.1%	13,351.8	14,281.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	4,509.1	142.7%	6,496.4	6,018.0
Transitional				•			
Independent Living Support ⁵		-+.	· · · ·	87.8	NA	2,200.0	2,220.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	52,710.8	177.4%	28,100.0	26,300.0
Youth Development							
and Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	77,307.5	162.1%	40,600.0	41,500.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 4 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 5 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	38,188	21,369	
DFY Facilities	2,027	2,760	
TOTAL	40,215	24,129	

TABLE 18

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		•	Expenditure	·····	Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	445,537.8	525,228.2	598,305.9	602,846.5	35.3%	670,107.0	728,920.9
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	76,135.5	30.2%	78,541.4	82,519.1
TOTAL	503,998.1	589,291.8	670,294.8	678,982.0	34.7%	748,648.4	811,440.0

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropr	iations
	FY. 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	96,465.2	374,661.8	229,596.9	44,079.0
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	2,366.7	10,897.3	4,503.5	4,573.2
TOTAL	108,909.5	177,817.7	98,831.8	385,559.0	234,100.4	48,652.2

COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE CAPITAL REGION

Albany County Montgomery County Rensselaer County Saratoga County Schenectady County Schoharie County Warren County Washington County

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Capital District Regional Planning Commission 214 Canal Square, 2nd Floor Schenectady, New York 12305 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Albany, NY 12207

Probation Department 35 Chapel Street Albany, New York 12207

Public Defender's Office 112 State Street Albany, New York 12207

Assigned Counsel Plan 35 State Street Albany, New York 12207 Sheriff's Department County Court House, Room 79 Albany, NY 12207

Youth Bureau 112 State Street Albany, New York 12207

County Court 16 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207

Family Court Pine and Lodge Streets Albany, New York 12207

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

Albany Police Department Morton Avenue & Broad Street Albany, New York 12207 Colonie Police Department Memorial Town Hall, Route 9 Newtonville, New York 12128

Guilderland Police Department Town Hall Avenue Guilderland, New York 12084

*Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Region CAPITAL County Seat ALBANY Square Miles 524 Population Percent Change 1970-1980 -0.3%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Ta	ble	1

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	285,909	12	100.0%	NA
6	Density Per Square Mile	545.6	14	NA	NA
	Sex: Male Female	135,291 150,618	NA NA	47.3% 52.7%	56 7
1	Race: White Non-White Unknown	262,779 21,573 1,557	NA NA NA	91.9% 7.5% 0.5%	48 14 30
	Ethnicity: Hispanic	3,025	NA	1.1%	25
	Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	33,813 25,512 16,068 36,892 173,624	NA NA NA NA	11.8% 8.9% 5.6% 12.9% 60.7%	57 16 52 11 13

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	173,624	100.0%	13
8th Grade or Less	25,888	14.9%	45
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	23,265 59,034	13.4% 34.0%	56 53
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	26,707 38,743	15.4% 22.3%	16 6

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

ALBANY COUNTY

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

ALBANY COUNTY

	Table 3 POPULATION 1982-1986							
	1982	1983	1984	1985		Rank 1986		
Population	284,500	285,000	285,600	283,866	283,400	12		
Density Per Square Mile	542.9	543.9	545.0	541.7	540.8	14		

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

	1		PER CAPI	Table TA INCOME	4 : 1982-1984		
. 1			1992		1983	1984	Income Rank 1984
Pe	er Capita	Income	12,175		13,143	14,360	10

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	· · ·			School Year			Percent Rank
		<u>1981-82</u>	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	613 4.6%	546 4.2%	500 4.0%	407 3,4%	462 3.8%	37

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6	
---------	--

	UNEMPLO)YMENT: 1984-1	986		
		1984		1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	6,481 4.6%	6,156 4.2%	6,249 4.2%	56

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

		PUBLIC ASS	Table 7 ISTANCE: 1982	-1986		an a	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	10,331 3.6%	9,460 3.3%	8,658 3.0%	8,294 2.9%	8,300 2.9%	44

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

ALBANY COUNTY

				CRIMES THE POLICE				
			Number of Cr	·imes		1986 Cu Per 100 Populat	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	12,915	12,462	11,994	12,974	12,993	4,540.7	10	0.6%
VIOLENT	1,039	959	953	886	986	344.6	15	-5.1%
MURDER	10	9	5	5	11	3.8	18	' 10.0%
FORCIBLE	60	59	71	60	91	31.8	8	51.7%
ROBBERY	320	268	330	265	295	103.1	15	-7.8%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	649	623	547	556	589	205.8	21	-9.2%
PROPERTY	11,876	11,503	11,041	12,088	12,007	4,196.1	10	1.1%
BURGLARY	3,345	3,070	3,120	3,371	3,416	1,193.8	7	2.1%
LARCENY	8,109	8,063	7,433	8,207	8,093	2,828.3	8	-0.2%
MV THEFT	422	370	488	510	498	174.0	14	18.0%

Table 1

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

		FEL		DEMEANOR AR s Only)	RESTS		
		Numb	er of Arres	its		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	6,400	6,313	5,922	6,239	6,628	2,338.7 12	3.6%
FELONY	1,679	1,702	1,693	1,716	2,018	712.1 6	20.2%
VIOLENT	635	626	582	553	713	251.6 7	12.3%
OTHER	1,044	1,076	1,111	1,163	1,305	460.5 7	25.0%
MISDEMEANOR	4,721	4,611	4,229	4,523	4,610	1,626.7 21	-2.4%

Table 2A

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

F	ELONY AND MISDEMEAN	DR ARRESTS: JANU (Adults Only)	ARY THRU JUNE 198	7	
		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
	ARRESTS	3,413	5.9%		
	FELONY	904	-7.4%		
an a	VIOLENT	335	-2.3%		
	OTHER	569	-10.1%		
	MISDEMEANOR	2,509	11.6%		

TABLE 2B

1 Preliminary data.

2 Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part-changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

ALBANY COUNTY

and the second second

		Numbe	er of Arrest	S		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	7	12	8	8	16	5.6	9	128.6%
FORCIBLE RAPE	24	27	29	19	35	12.4	11	45.8%
ROBBERY	123	122	126	81	88	31.1	14	-28.5%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	283	271	242	244	318	112.2	7	12.4%
BURGLARY	313	329	290	282	325	114.7	12	3.8%
LARCENY ²	1,148	1,192	1,123	1,128	1,293	456.2	12	12.6%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ALBANY COUNTY

	· ·	Numb	er of Arrest	ts	· · · · ·	1986 Ar Per 100 <u>Popula</u>	,000	
-	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	419	349	387	407	484	170.8	15	15.5%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE	200	200	20 Å	075	201	104.4	10	22.04
(PL 220)	286	220	224	275	381	134.4	16	33.2%
POSSESSION	183	148	165	205	318	112.2	13	73.8%
SALE	83	65	52	59	40	14.1	28	-51.8%
OTHER	20	7	7	11	23	8.1	16	15.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	133	129	163	132	103	36.3	11	-22.6%
POSSESSION	96	98	138	99	90	31.8	9	-6.2%
SALE	37	31	25	33	13	4.6	37	-64.9%

Table 2D

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES}^1 \\ (\text{Adults Only}) \end{array}$

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

			Numbe	r of Arrests			P L	86 Arrests er 1,000 icensed Drivers	
	•	1982	1983	1984		1986	Ra	te <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		2,017	2,060	1,821	1,996	1,729	: 9.	8 19	-14.3%
FELONY		125	131	120	161	145	0.	8 17	16.0%
MISDEMEANOR		1,892	1,929	1,701	1,835	1,584	9.	0 20	-16.3%

¹ Felcny and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Pe	rcent of Felor	ny Indictments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	441 100.0%	500 100.0%	398 100.0%	448 100.0%	. 464 100.0%	5.2%
VIOLENT	163 37.0%	191 38.2%	161 40.5%	144 32.1%	178 38.4%	9.2%
OTHER	278 63.0%	309 61.8%	237 59.5%	304 67.9%	286 61.6%	2.9%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	186	-28.2%		
VIOLENT	77	-17.2%		
OTHER	109	-34.3%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

ALBANY COUNTY

			d Percent of Dis Resulting from and Misdemeanor			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	5,760 100.0%	5,738 100.0%	5,208 100.0%	5,767 100.0%	5,502 100.0%	-4.5%
CONVICTIONS	4,224 73.3%	4,258 74.2%	3,946 75.8%	4,491 77.9%	4,286 77.9%	1.5%
ACQUITTALS	19 0.3%	16 0.3%	14 0.3%	15 0.3%	20 0.4%	5.3%
DISMISSALS	1,509 26.2%	1,453 25.3%	1,230 23.6%	1,230 21.3%	1,165 21.2%	22.8%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.0%	6 0.1%	3 0.1%	NA
OTHER	8 0.1%	11 0.2%	16 0.3%	25 0.4%	28 0.5%	250.0%

Table 4A

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

- **	٦	40
Tab	10	2 H
100		

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARREES $(Adults \ Only)$

			Percent of Dispo from Felony Arr			
		1983		1985	2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	1,459 100.0%	1,538 100.0%	1,325 100.0%	1.582 100.0%	1,614 100.0%	10.6%
CONVICTIONS	1,098 75.3%	1,203 78.2%	1,062 80.2%	1,255 79.3%	1,302 80.7%	18.6%
ACQUITTALS	17 1.2%	13 0.8%	14 1.1%	11 0.7%	19 1.2%	11.8%
DISMISSALS	339 23.2%	318 20.7%	239 18.0%	300 19.0%	282 17.5%	-16.8%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.2%	3 0.2%	3 0.2%	NA
OTHER	5 0.3%	4 0.3%	8 0.6%	13 0.8%	8 0.5%	60.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Re	Percent of Conv esulting from <u>Misdemeanor Ar</u> i			°' 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	4,224 100.0%	4,258 100.0%	3,946 100.0%	4,491 100.0%	4,286 100.0%	1.5%
FELONY	259 6.1%	312 7.3%	225 5.7%	288 6.4%	313 7.3%	20.8%
VIOLENT	83 2.0%	103 2.4%	86 2.2%	61 1.4%	82 1.9%	-1.2%
OTHER	176 4.2%	209 4.9%	139 3.5%	227 5.1%	231 5.4%	31.3%
MISDEMEANOR	1,854 43.9%	1,770 41.6%	1,742 44.1%	2,000 44.5%	1,939 45.2%	4.6%
LESSER OFFENSES	2,111 50.0%	2,176 51,1%	1,979 50.2%	2,203 49.1%	2,034 47.5%	-3.6%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the uncerreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Perc Resulting fro	cent of Convic om Felony Arre			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	1,098 100.0%	1,203 100.0%	1,062 100.0%	1,255 100.0%	1,302 100.0%	18.6%
FELONY	247 22.5%	304 25.3%	217 20.4%	278 22.2%	303 23.3%	22.7%
VIOLENT	83 7.6%	103 8.6%	86 8.1%	61 4.9%	82 6.3%	-1.2%
OTHER	164 14.9%	201 16.7%	131 12.3%	217 17.3%	221 17.0%	34.8%
MISDEMEANOR	751 68.4%	776 64.5%	750 70.6%	888 70.8%	862 66.2%	14.8%
LESSER OFFENSES	100 9.1%	123 10.2%	95 8.9%	89 7.1%	137 10.5%	37.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions						
•	1982		1984		<u>1986</u> 2			
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
STATE PRISON	4.1	5.0	4.3	3.7	3.7			
LOCAL JAIL	13.9	12.5	12.3	12.8	15.4			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.7	5.8	5.6	7.6	8.3			
PROBATION	12.8	11.4	11.9	13.3	12.8			
FINES	58.2	56.7	59.6	57.8	53.4			
OTHER	6.3	8.5	6.4	4.7	6.5			

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1 (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

ALBANY COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A	PERCENT OF	FELONY CONVICTIONS				
AND MISDEMEANOR	AND LESSER	OFFENSE CONVICTIONS				
(Adult Only)						

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions										
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser			984 Misd & Lesser			985 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		9 <u>86</u> Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	67.2	NA	67.6	NA		75.6	NA		58.3	NA	50.2	NA
LOCAL JAIL	4.2	14.6	1.9	13.3		1.8	12.9		1.0	13.6	1.3	16.5
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	20.1	3.7	26.6	4.2		16.9	4.9		25.3	6.4	31.9	6.4
PROBATION	8.1	13.1	3.8	12.0		5.3	12.3		15.3	13.2	16.3	12.5
FINES	0.0	62.0	0.0	61.2		0.0	63.2		0.0	61.8	0.0	57.6
OTHER	0.4	6.7	0.0	9.2		0.4	6.8		0.0	5.0	0.3	6.9

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

ALBANY COUNTY

		Num	ber_of_Case	1986 Case Per 100,0 <u>Populatic</u>					000 on	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	_1986_		<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,424	1,509	1,204	1,295	1,250		441.1	.49	-12.2%	
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	2,214	2,201	2,103	3,079	3,286		1,159.5	9	48.4%	
FAMILY COURT	370	372	305	586	557		196.5	18	· 50.5%	
CRIMINAL COURT	1,844	1,829	1,798	2,493	2,729		963.0	8	48.0%	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	167	166	206	326	618		218.1	11	270.1%	
FAMILY COURT	26	38	35	59	43		15.2	23	65.4%	
CRIMINAL COURT	141	128	171	267	575		202.9	7	307.8%	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	895	906	893	1,099	1,191		420.3	3	33.1%	
FAMILY COURT	130	120	103	143	203		71.6	13	56.2%	
CRIMINAL COURT	765	786	790	956	988		348.6	3	29.2%	
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	2,845	2,893	2,949	3,212	3,593		1,267.8	4	26.3%	
FAMILY COURT	283	232	221	250	375		132.3	13	32.5%	
CRIMINAL COURT	2,562	2,661	2,728	2,962	3,218		1,135.5	3	25.6%	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	1,987	2,056	2,113	2,402	2,575		908.6	4	29,6%	
FAMILY COURT	112	118	107	172	179		63.2	14	59.8%	
CRIMINAL COURT	1,875	1,938	2,006	2,230	2,396		845.4	5	27.8%	

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

ALBANY COUNTY

PROBATION REVOCATIONS										
		Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Probationers				
	1982		1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
REVOCATIONS	175	173	173	195	303	84.3 5	73.1%			
FAMILY COURT	18	20	16	16	24	64.0 32	33.3%			
CRIMINAL COURT	157	153	157	179	279	86.7 2	77.7%			
TECHNICAL	117	107	103	132	186	57.8 3	- 59.0%			
NEW CONVICTION	40	46	54	47	93	28.9 11	132.5%			

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

Tab	le	8A	
-----	----	----	--

ALTERNATIVE	TO INCARCERATION	PROGRAMS: DEMO	STRATION PROJE	CTS
	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	<u>FY 1986-87</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	532	543
			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

PRETRIAL RELEASE	PROGRAM: 1986	
	Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	1,852	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	238	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	45	18.9%

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

	Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	110	NA
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:		
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL	23	NA
REPORTS PREPARED FOR COURT	87	100.0%
REPORTS ACCEPTED	70	80.5%

Table 8C

COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS 1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population Number of Admissions % Change <u>82 vs 86</u> 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 <u>Rate</u> Rank ADMISSIONS 3,565 3,703 3,491 3,575 3,861 1,362.4 22 8.3% UNSENTENCED 2,519 935.4 2,642 2,416 2,442 2,651 28 0.3% SENTENCED 1,075 427.0 923 1,184 1,133 1,210 16 31.1%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION									
							1986 Inm Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982		1984	1985	1986		Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	397	408	397	435	426		150.3	5	7.3%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	309	366	354	365	389		137.3	4	25.9%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	290	320	329	322	378		133.4	2	30.3%
UNSENTENCED	168	152	203	181	194		68.5	4	15.5%
SENTENCED	122	168	126	141	184		64.9	1	50.8%

Table 9B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

ALBANY COUNTY

ALBANY COUNTY

	•		lumber of art Commitm	ients		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983_	1984		<u>1986</u> ²	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	233	267	221	207	210	74.1 6	-9.9%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	92	109	104	61	71	25.1 10	-22.8%
HOMICIDE	3	10	6	4	8	2.8 15	166.7%
SEX OFFENSE	12	13	19	11	14	4.9 14	16.7%
ROBBERY	23	37	35	13	12	4.2 21	-47.8%
BURGLARY	32	31	20	20	20	7.1 16	-37.5%
WEAPONS	5	3	6	8	5	1.8 10	0.0%
OTHER	17	15	18	5	12	4.2 8	-29.4%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	48	48	33	59	33	11.6 10	-31.2%
POSSESSION	13	17	7	25	18	6.4 7	38.5%
SALE	35	31	26	34	15	5.3 13	-57.1%
OTHER FELONIES	86	102	81	85	103	36.3 4	19.8%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	7	8	3	2	3	1.1 19	-57.1%

Table 10A NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

......

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ALBANY COUNTY

	INMATES U	INDER CUST	ODY IN STAT	E PRISON O	ON DECEMBER :	31 ¹		
<u></u>			nmates Unde ison on Dec			Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	Cust. 31/86 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	592	639	685	711	678	239.2	4	14.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	310	354	391	372	364	128.4	4	17.4%
HOMICIDE	44	52	58	58	64	22.6	10	45.5%
SEX OFFENSE	65	70	82	83	76	26.8	4	. 16.9%
ROBBERY	88	106	122	114	103	36.3	5	17.0%
BURGLARY	58	69	69	65	65	22.9	7	12.1%
WEAPONS	12	11	13	16	17	6.0	5	41.7%
OTHER	43	46	47	36	39	13.8	6	-9.3%
DRUG FELONIES ³	89	105	114	137	115	40.6	4	29.2%
POSSESSION	29	40	40	55	55	19.4	3	89.7%
SALE	60	65	74	82	60	21.2	5	0.0%
OTHER FELONIES	181	173	176	196	196	69.2	4	8.3%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	12	7	. 4	6	3	1.1	21	-75.0%

Table 10B-1

 1 Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 108-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

OCOOCHE OF THULFED	1982	1983_			
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%	1.8%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

		Number	<u>of Parole</u>	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
			1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	125	171	182	201	245	86.5 4	96.0%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	394	415	488	555	649	229.0 4	64.7%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	244	306	354	404	473	166.9 4	93.9%

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Number of Parolees					1986 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	55	41	45	55	55	n an	19.4 27	0.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	10	12	9	10	10		3.5 25	0.0%
NEW ARRESTS	29	13	25	31	32		11.3 22	10.3%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	16	16	11	14	13		4.6 32	-18,7%

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.}$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Ð

ALBANY COUNTY

Table 12

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{JUVENILE OFFENDERS} \\ \text{ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS}^1 \end{array}$

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of Cases					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
FELONY ARRESTS	8	9	10	20	9	12.5%	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	6	7	. 4	14	8	33.3%	
DISPOSITIONS	11	14	5	13	12	9.1%	
CONVICTIONS	7	10	5	5	8	14.3%	
PRISON	6	8	5	5	7	16.7%	
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	.0	0	NA	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	1	0	0	0	NA	
PROBATION	1	1	0	0	. 1	0.0%	
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA	
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	Ū	0	NA	
DISMISSALS	1	1	0	. 0 .	0	-100.0%	
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA	
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	2	2	0	8	3	50.0%	
OTHER	1	1	0	0	1	0.0%	

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

ALBANY COUNTY

		E	Appropriations				
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	305.6	338.2	397.4	314.8	3.0%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	35.0	35.3	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	184.7	48.9
Division of Criminal Justice Services	211.0	467.4	589.8	476.4	125.7%	2,281.2	590.1
Division of Parole	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	35.0	71.4
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	552.9	595.1	759.9	1,424.6	157.6%	1,428.8	1,689.0
Division for Youth ³	1,344.8	1,084.3	1,505.4	3,971.6	195.3%	2,583.8	2,652,5
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	0.0	NA	46.7	-
TOTAL	2,449.3	2,520.3	3,262.6	6,187.3	152.6%	6,560.2	5,051.8

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

⁴ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		·····	Appropri	ropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	0.3	0.9	4.5	2.7	801.7%		
Felons	222.4	233.1	210.8	134.5	-39.5%		
Parole Violators	76.8	85.4	146.0	120.6	57.0%		
State Readies ²				51.3	NA	· · · · ·	
Community Treatment Programs ³	_ _ _	14.0	32.3		NA		د د د مدینه
Prisoner Transfer ¹	5.0	4.9	3.8	5.7	-5.9%		
TOTAL	305.6	338.2	397.4	314.8	3.0%	0.0	0.0

 1 Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.
ALBANY COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	:	0/ 01	Appropr	iations
FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	184.7	0.0
35.0	35.3	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	48.9
35.0	35.3	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	184.7	48.9
	0.0 35.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 35.0 35.3 0.0 0.0	FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 0.0 0.0 0.0 35.0 35.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 35.0 35.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 35.0 35.3 0.0 0.0 -100.0% 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA	FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 FY 86-87 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 184.7 35.0 35.3 0.0 0.0 -100.0% 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 184.7

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Expenditures		·····	Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY_84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Crime Prevention ¹ and Protection		70.0	75.0	0.0	NA	12.0	10.0
Indigent Parolee Program ²	73	5.0	6.4	9,3	28.2%		
Justice Assistance Act ³		. .			NA	30.4	25.0
Juvenile Justice ⁴ and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	0.0	22.6	22.1	NA	19.2	24.0
Mobile Radio District Program ⁵	· ›	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	1,750.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁶				، ـــ	NA		50.7
Neighborhood ⁷ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		16.0	36.7	0.0	NA		-
Soft Body Armour ⁸ Reimbursement Program	· · · ·		0.0	4.1	NA		
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁹			25.0	0.0	NA	12.5	10.9

Continued - Next Page

ALBANY COUNTY

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	· <u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹⁰	203.8	376.4	424.1	440.8	116.3%	457.0	469.4
TOTAL	211.0	467.4	589.8	476.4	125.7%	2,281.2	590.1

 1 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

² Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

³ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 5 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

- ⁶ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.
- ⁷ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁸ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

⁹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

ALBANY COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		·	Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Parole Resource Centers ¹	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	35.0	71.4
Employment Services ² Project	· · · ·		,	an a	0.0		
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	35.0	71.4

 $^{1}\ \mbox{This program}$ is funded through the State Operations Budget.

² This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

ALBANY COUNTY

Table 6

•

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditures	-		Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				47.1	NA	50.8	53.3
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	88.5	563.1	NA	506.8	574.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	88.3	87.4	140.3	185.0	109.4%	172.9	240.9
PINS Program ²		⁻		·	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20.8
Regular State Aid	464.6	507.7	531.1	629.4	35.5%	698.3	799,9
TOTAL	552.9	595.1	759.9	1,424.6	157.6%	1,428.8	1,689.0

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

² This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

•

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 1	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Betention							
Non-Secure	309.1	119.1	217.9	639.8	107.0%	351.1	351.5
Job Development ²	· · · · ·			••• •••	NA	28.4	23.9
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	34.8	. 31.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	217.7	181.9	289.2	195.6	-10.1%	334.3	329.8
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	62.0	60.2
Transitional		· · · · · ·					
Independent Living Support ³		, , , ,		0.0	NA	90.0	100.0
Voluntary Agency Care	314.2	281.0	472.9	1,682.8	435.6%	918.0	999.9
Youth Development and Delinguency				•			
Prevention	503.8	502.3	525.5	1,453.4	188.5%	765.2	756.3
TOTAL	1,344.8	1,084.3	1,505.4	3,971.6	195.3%	2,583.8	2,652.5

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

ALBANY COUNTY

 ≤ 1

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

-				Cap	acity	<u>Sta</u>	f <u>f</u>		1 ¹	
			DOCS Facilities			· · ·				
			DFY Facilities		66		72			
			TOTAL		66		72			

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditure		Appropr	Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
DOCS Facilities		· <u></u>	·		0.0%	· · · · · ·		
DFY Facilities	1,342.7	1,497.6	1,792.8	1,983.2	47.7%	2,012.5	2,236.0	
TOTAL	1,342.7	1,497.6	1,792.8	1,983.2	47.7%	2,012.5	2,236.0	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	Appropr	Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	Total	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities					·	•
DFY Facilities	45.2	13.0	5.9	64.0	163.8	40.0
TOTAL	45.2	13.0	5.9	64.0	163.8	40.0

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

ALBANY COUNTY

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

Facility		Security Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>
Albany Community Residential Home		VI	9	13
Albany Community Urban Home		VI	7	9
Cass Residential Center		IV.	50	50
TOTAL			66	72

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures					
Facility	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY_87-88</u>	
Albany Community ¹ Residential Home				200.6	NA		260.1	
Albany Community ¹ Urban Home	259.6	315.6	428.7	212.3	-18.2%	415.9	211.1	
Cass Residential Center	1,083.1	1,182.0	1,364.1	1,570.3	45.0%	1,596.6	1,764.8	
TOTAL	1,342.7	1,497.6	1,792.8	1,983.2	47.7%	2,012.5	2,236.0	

¹ Prior to FY 1985-86, the budget for the Albany Community Residential Home was administered by the Albany Community Urban Home. The former Home houses females and the latter houses males.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

8]

ALBANY COUNTY

•

TABLE 3C

	······································	Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Facility	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Tota1	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Albany Community ¹ Residential Home			0.0	0.0	·	0.0	
Albany Community ¹ Urban Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	
Cass Residential Center	45.2	13.0	5.9	64.0	153.8	40.0	
TOTAL	45.2	13.0	5.9	64.0	163.8	40.0	

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

 1 Prior to FY 1985-86, the budget for the Albany Community Residential Home was administered by the Albany Community Urban Home. The former Home houses females and the latter houses males.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

÷

ρ

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 146 Market Street Amsterdam, New York 12010

Probation Department 5 Market Street Amsterdam, New York 12010

Assigned Counsel Plan 42 Division Street Amsterdam, New York 12010 Sheriff's Department Park Street Fonda, New York 12068

Youth Bureau County Office Building Annex Park Street Fonda, New York 12068

> County Court Court House Fonda, New York 12068

Family Court County Office Building Broadway Fonda, New York 12068 MONTGOMERY COUNTY

	Region	CAPITAL	
Co	ounty Seat	FONDA	
Squ	are Miles	404	
Population Percent Change	1970-1980	-4.4%	

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Ta	b٦	e	1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	53,439	46	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	132.3	29	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	25,532 27,907	NA NA	47.8% 52.2%	50 13
Race: White Non-White Unknown	52,424 434 581	NA NA NA	98.1% 0.8% 1.1%	24 54 14
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,590	NA	3.0%	13
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	7,026 5,085 2,625 4,557 34,146	NA NA NA NA	13.1% 9.5% 4.9% 8.5% 63.9%	46 49 60 59 5

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	34,146	100.0%	5
8th Grade or Less	7,229	21.2%	5
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	6,242 13,285	18.3% 38.9%	15 23
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	4,585 2,889	13.4% 8.5%	49 62

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

		POPU	Table 3 JLATION 1982-198	5		
		1983	1984		1986	Rank 1986
Population	53,000	52,900	53,100	52,527	52,100	46
Density Per Square Mile	131.2	130.9	131.4	130.0	129.0	29

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

	PER CAPITA	Table 4 INCOME: 1982-1984	•	
	1982		1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	9,605	10,261	11,243	29

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

				School Year		•	Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	1985-86
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	120 4.2%	126 4.4%	98 3.6%	133 4.9%	112 4.1%	28

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

	UNEMPLO	Table 6 (MENT: 1984-19	86		
				1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	2,742 10.8%	2,554 9.9%	2,501 9.6%	8

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

			Table 7 STANCE: 1982	-1986			
			1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,954 3.7%	2,008 3.8%	1,937 3.6%	1,933 3.7%	2,065 4.0%	27

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

					: 			
		1986 Cr Per 100, Number of Crimes Populat					.000 ion	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	933	942	915	903	854	1,604.8	61	-8.5%
VIOLENT	71	50	54	42	40	75.2	56	-43.7%
MURDER	1	2	0	0	. 1	1.9	36	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	3	0	1	2	о С	0.0	59	-100.0%
ROBBERY	18	8	8	8	4	7.5	51	-77.8%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	49	40	45	32	35	65.8	53	-28.6%
PROPERTY	862	892	861	861	814	1,529.6	60	-5.6%
BURGLARY	277	327	248	267	281	528.0	53	1.4%
LARCENY	542	513	554	559	494	928.3	61	-8.9%
MV THEFT	43	52	59	35	39	73.3	41	-9.3%
		•						

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres	1986 Arrest Per 100,000 Population	en generale en		
		1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Ran	% Change <u>k 82 vs 86</u> ·
ARRESTS	731	884	979	985	1,145	2,197.7 21	56.6%
FELONY	152	194	178	159	201	385.8 39	32.2%
VIOLENT	36	32	27	24	40	76.8 53	11.1%
OTHER	116	162	151	135	161	309.0 28	38.8%
MISDEMEANOR	579	690	801	826	944	1,811.9 15	63.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

				THOLE NO				
		FEL	ONY AND MISDEME	ANOR ARRESTS: (Adults Only	JANUARY THRU JUNE)	1987		
				<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 8</u> 7)		
			ARRESTS	481	-18.6%			
			FELONY ²	90	-14.3%			
· .			VIOLENT	24	20.0%			
			OTHER	66	-22.4%			
			MISDEMEANOR	391	-19.5%			

TABLE 2B

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests					Arrests 00,000 lation	
	1982	1983	1984			Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	1	1	2	- 1	1	1.9	31	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	3	0	0	3	1 -	1.9	57	-66.7%
ROBBERY	9	5	1	1	3	5.8	3 45	-66.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	16	14	9	12	16	30.2	41	0.0%
BURGLARY	18	55	45	32	30	57.6	5 57	66.7%
LARCENY	147	172	205	189	140	268.1	46	-4.8%

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

		Numb	er of Arrests		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982		1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	36	30	49	64	91	174.7 13	152.8%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	20	13	25	52	77	147.8 14	285.0%
POSSESSION	17	13	17	48	73	140.1 11	329.4%
SALE	1	. 0	8	0	2	3.8 47	100.0%
OTHER	2	0	0	4	2	3.8 28	0.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	16	17	24	12	14	26.9 17	-12.5%
POSSESSION	8.	8	10	12	14	26.9 10	75.0%
SALE	8	9	14	0	0	0.0 56	-100.0%

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arrest	s		1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	198	316	374	352	535	14.1 2	170.2%
FELONY	25	36	30	31	50	1.3 2	100.0%
MISDEMEANOR	173	280	344	321	485	12.8 2	180.3%

¹ Felony and misdemeanur arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

 f_{ij}

•

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	89 100.0%	151 100.0%	134 100.0% '	82 100.0%	114 100.0%	28.1%
VIOLENT	14 15.7%	22 14.6%	20 14.9%	15 18.3%	21 18.4%	50.0%
OTHER	75 84.3%	129 85.4%	114 85.1%	67 81.7%	93 81.6%	24.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	45	-11.8%	
VIOLENT	12	20.0%	
OTHER	33	-19.5%	

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>	
DISPOSITIONS		393 100.0%	558 100.0%	719 100.0%	721 100.0%	715 100.0%	81.9%
CONVICTIONS		325 82.7%	488 87.5%	633 88.0%	634 87,9%	650 90.9%	. 100.0%
ACQUITTALS		6 1.5%	1 0.2%	2 0.3%	4 0.6%	4 0.6%	-33.3%
DISMISSALS		61 15.5%	65 11.6%	78 10.8%	75 10.4%	51 7.1%	-16.4%
NOT PROSECUTED		1 0.3%	2 0.4%	3 0.4%	5 0.7%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
OTHER		0.0%	2 0.4%	3 0.4%	3 0.4%	10 1.4%	NA

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Ģ

	DISP	OSITIONS RESULTI (Adult	NG FROM FELONY s Only)	ARRESTS		
			Percent of Disp from Felony Ar			* <u> </u>
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	81 100.0%	93 100.0%	164 100.0%	110 100.0%	118 100.0%	45.7%
CONVICTIONS	71 87.7%	85 91.4%	153 93.3%	89 80.9%	112 94.9%	57.7%
ACQUITTALS	1 1.2%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%	2 1.8%	1 0.8%	0.0%
DISMISSALS	8 9.9%	4 4.3%	6 3.7%	12 10.9%	5 4.2%	-37.5%
NOT PROSECUTED	1 1.2%	2 2.2%	3 1.8%	5 4.5%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
OTHER	0 0.0%	2 2.2%	1 0.6%	2 1.8%	0 0.6%	NA

Table 4B

DESULTING FROM FELOWY ADDESTS

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table	2 5A
FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LE RESULTING FROM FELONY A (Adults	ND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests				
	1982	<u> 1983 </u>		1985	2 ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	325 100.0%	488 100.0%	633 100.0%	634 100.0%	650 100.0%	100.0%
FELONY	47 14.5%	64 13.1%	107 16.9%	69 10.9%	55 8.5%	17.0%
VIOLENT	1 0.3%	5 1.0%	7 1.1%	7 1.1%	7 1.1%	600.0%
OTHER	46 14.2%	59 12.1%	100 15.8%	62 9.8%	48 7.4%	4.3%
MISDEMEANOR	179 55.1%	175 35.9%	259 40.9%	272 42.9%	270 41.5%	50.8%
LESSER OFFENSES	99 30.5%	249 51.0%	267 42.2%	293 46.2%	325 50.0%	228.3%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY,	MISDEMEANOR, AND	LESSER	OFFENSE	CONVICTIONS	•
	RESULTING FROM	FELONY	ARRESTS		
	(Adult:	s Only)			

			rcent of Convic rom Felony Arre			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	71 100.0%	85 100.0%	153 100.0%	89 100.0%	112 100.0%	57.7%
FELONY	40 56.3%	61 71.8%	99 64.7%	66 74.2%	50 44.6%	25.0%
VIOLENT	1 1.4%	5 5.9%	7 4.6%	7 7.9%	7 6.3%	600.0%
OTHER	39 54.9%	56 65.9%	92 60.1%	59 66.3%	43 38.4%	10.3%
MISDEMEANOR	30 42.3%	21 24.7%	48 31.4%	20 22.5%	56 50.0%	86.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	1 1.4%	3 3.5%	6 3.9%	3 3.4%	6 5.4%	500.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	1.8	0.6	1.6	1.9	1.7				
LOCAL JAIL	18.8	13.9	12.8	9.8	10.6				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.3	6.4	5.2	4.6	2.9				
PROBATION	8.6	6.8	13.7	8.2	10.3				
FINES	43.7	55.3	49.8	59.1	59.7				
OTHER	22.8	17.0	16.9	16.4	14.8				

Table 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 68

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions										
	1982 Misd Felony Lesse		<u>1984</u> Misd & <u>Felony Lesser</u>	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser							
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0	4 100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%							
STATE PRISON	12.8 NA	4.7 NA	9.3 NA	17.4 NA	20.0 NA							
LOCAL JAIL	44.7 14.4	31.3 11.3	25.2 10.3	11.6 9.6	16.4 10.1							
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	21.3 1.4	35.9 1.9	24.3 1.3	34.8 0.9	23.6 1.0							
PROBATION	21.3 6.5	25.0 4.0	40.2 8.4	31.9 5.3	38.2 7.7							
FINES	0.0 51.1	1.6 63.4	0.9 59.7	2.9 66.0	1.8 65.0							
OTHER	0.0 26.6	1.6 19.3	0.0 20.3	1.4 18.2	0.0 16.1							

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

		Nam	ber of Case	S		1986 Case Per 100,0 Populatio	000		
	1982	1983	1984		1986		% Ch	nange <u>/s_86</u>	
INTAKE OPENED ¹	44	104	148	156	175	335.9	53 297	.7%	
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	214	330	346	305	374	717.9	36 74	.8%	
FAMILY COURT	68	109	121	1.1	133	255.3	17 95	5.6%	
CRIMINAL COURT	146	221	225	194	241	462.6	45 65	5.1%	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	7	15	15	7	3	5.8	47 -57	.1%	
FAMILY COURT	7	15	12	7	3	5.8	35 -57	.1%	
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	3	0	0	0.0	39	NA	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	70	104	151	127	119	228.4	49 70).0%	
FAMILY COURT	17	17	28	31	37	71.0	14 117	7.6%	
CRIMINAL COURT	53	87	123	96	82	157.4	54 54	.7%	
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	261	281	331	365	394	756.2	44 51	.0%	
FAMILY COURT	43	30	43	57	66	126.7	16 53	8.5%	
CRIMINAL COURT	218	251	288	308	328	629.6	54 50).5%	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	178	180	238	275	295	566.2	33 65	5.7%	
FAMILY COURT	14	15	26	29	27	51.8	27 92	2.9%	
CRIMINAL COURT	164	165	212	246	268	514.4	31 63	8.4%	

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

						1986 Revocat Per 1,	ions	
	1000		of Revocat		1000	<u>Probati</u>		% Change
					1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	10	21	23	17	34	86.3	2	240.0%
FAMILY COURT	3	, 7	8	7	13	197.0	1	333.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	, 7 -	14	15	10	21	64.0	4	200.0%
TECHNICAL	3	3	10	2	. 5	15.2	36	66.7%
NEW CONVICTION	4	11	5	8	16	48.8	2	300.0%

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

<u>а</u>,

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

COMMUNITY	SERVICE	PROGRAM:	1986	

Table 8C

	Number	Percent
CASES SENTENCED	70	NA
CASES DISCHARGED	28	100.0%
SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	24	85.7%

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

			COUNTY J	AIL ADMISS	IONS		•
		Numbe	<u>r of Admis</u>	sions		1986 Admissions Per 100,00 Population	0
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Ran	% Change <u>k 82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	739	937	909	837	795	1,525.9 15	7.6%
UNSENTENCED	550	581	466	547	602	1,155.5 16	9.5%
SENTENCED	189	356	443	290	193	370.4 23	2.1%

Table 9A

COUNTY INTE ADMISSIONS

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

	 	COUN	IT JAIL CA	PACITY AND	POPULATION		
						1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983		1985		<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	89	84	100	99	96	184.3 3	7.9%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	71	52	45	48	63	120.9 5	-11.3%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	55	58	36	43	63	120.9 4	14.5%
UNSENTENCED	43	43	29	35	38	72.9 3	-11.6%
SENTENCED	12	15	7	8	25	48.0 8	108.3%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B

COUNTY JALL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

			umber of rt Commita		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	21 QL	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	7	4	16	10	9	17.3 48	28.6%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	3	2	6	. 1	6	11.5 36	100.0%
HOMICIDE	2	1	1	C	0	0.0 43	-100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	0	. 1	1	ĩ	1	1.9 41	NA
ROBBERY	0	0	2	0	1	1.9 32	NA
BURGLARY	1	0	1	0	1	1.9 45	0.0%
WEAPONS		0	0	0	1	1.9 6	NA
OTHER	0	, 0 .	1	0	2	3.8 9	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	2	1	1	1	2	3.8 27	0.0%
POSSESSION	2	1	- 1	1	2	3.8 10	0.0%
SALE	0	0	Ö	0	0	0.0 43	NA
OTHER FELONIES	2	1	7	6	1	1.9 62	-50.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	2	2	0	0.0 32	NA

Table 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

 1 The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

 3 New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Table 10B-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

			nmates Unde		Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 <u>Popula</u>			
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	16	15	23	24	20	38.4	50	25.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	8	9	13	12	15	28.8	42	87.5%
HOMICIDE	5	5	6	6	6	11.5	33	20.0%
SEX OFFENSE	2	3	2	2	2	3.8	52	0.0%
ROBBERY	0	0	2	1	1	1.9	47	NA
BURGLARY	· · 1	1	2	2	2	3.8	47	100.0%
WEAPONS	0	. 0	0	0	· · · 1	1.9	20	NA
OTHER	0	0	1	1	3	5.8	21	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	2	2	2	2	3.8	39	0.0%
POSSESSION	2	2	2	2	2	3.8	19	0.0%
SALE	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	49	NA
OTHER FELONIES	6	4	7	7	3	5.8	61	-50.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	1	3	0	0.0	33	NA

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	······································		- 		
OFDOGUT OF ANUATED	1982	1983	1984	1985	
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	< 0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

		Number	of Parole	es		198 Parol Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	ees 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	7	4	6	8	12	23.0	36	71.4%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	20	16	18	19	24	46.1	44	20.0%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	12	12	11	12	19	36.5	41	58.3%

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Dīvision of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbe	er of Parol	ees			1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	0	1	1	1	0 1		0.0 52	NA
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	· 1	0	0	0		0.0 36	NA
NEW ARRESTS	0	0	1.	1	0		0.0 49	NA
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	-	0.0 36	NA

 $^{1}\,$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

		nber of Cases				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	. 0	0	0	<u>0</u>	0	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	0	0	• 0	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	. 0	0	<u>u</u>	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	Ó	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures					Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY_86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	47.9	105.1	90.6	87.4	82.4%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	18.0	30.1	18.9	NA	0.0	18.0
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	182.1	208.4	184.1	235.9	29.5%	293.0	277.6
Division for Youth 3	179.7	136.9	286.8	466.3	159.5%	362.1	276.0
TOTAL	409.8	468.4	591.6	808.6	97.3%	655.1	571.6

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

decis

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88		
Board of Prisoners ¹									
Felons	47.9	103.0	75.7	69.7	45.4%	,			
Parole Violators	0.0	2.1	14.5	13.6	NA				
State Readies ²				3.6	NA				
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	NA				
TOTAL	47.9	105.1	90.6	87.4	82.4%	0.0	0.0		

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

4

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Table 4

		(Tho	usands of Dol	lars)					
			Expenditures	enditures Appropriation					
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs_85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
Crime Prevention ¹ and Protection		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	8.0		
Indigent Parolee Program ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA				
Juvenile Justice ³ and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	4.9		
Narcotics Control ⁴			••••		NA		5.1		
Neighborhood ⁵ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		18.0	21.0	18.9	NA				
Soft Body Armour ⁶ Reimbursement Program			9.1	0.0	NA				
TOTAL	0.0	18.0	30.1	18.9	NA	0.0	18.0		

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

 1 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

² Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

³ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁵ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁶ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.
MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82_vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ¹ Alternatives				7.9	NA	10.4	10.9
Direct Services	182.1	208.4	184.1	228.0	25.2%	273.8	266.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	8.8	0.0
PINS Program ²			. '		NA		0.0
TOTAL	182.1	208.4	184.1	235.9	29.5%	293.0	277.6

 $^{1}\ \mbox{This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.}$

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Table 7

		LOCAL ASS	VISION FOR YOUND	RAM FUNDING			
······································			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86¹</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Detention							
Non-Secure	11.4	8.5	14.0	24.2	113.2%	17.1	13.3
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	26.0	25.5	25.5	29.5	13.2%	37.5	55.8
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	8.0	6.0
Voluntary Agency Care	53.3	16.1	165.7	188.5	253.9%	187.4	86.1
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	89.1	86.9	81.7	224.2	151.6%	112.1	114.8
TOTAL	179.7	136.9	286.8	466.3	159.5%	362.1	276.0

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this county.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

RENSSELAER COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Capital District Regional Planning Commission 2nd Floor, 214 Canal Square Schenectady, New York New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Troy, New York 12180

> Probation Department Court House Annex Troy, New York 12180

Public Defender's Office Court House Troy, New York 12180

County Court Court House Troy, New York 12180 Sheriff's Department 1504 Fifth Avenue Troy, New York 12180

Youth Bureau County Office Building Troy, New York 12180

Assigned Counsel Plan County Office Building Troy, New York 12180

Family Court Court House Troy, New York 12180

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Troy Police Department 55 State Street Troy, New York 12180

*Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

RENSSELAER COUNTY

County Seat TROY Square Miles 655			
Square Miles 655			
Population Percent Change 1970-1980 -0.4%			

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	151,966	21	100.0%	NA
Density Per	Square Mile	232.0	21	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	73,968 77,998	NA NA	48.7% 51.3%	32 31
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	146,213 5,110 643	NA NA NA	96.2% 3.4% 0.4%	34 28 32
Ethnicity	y: Hispanic	1,152	NA	0.8%	37
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	20,634 15,557 9,174 18,540 88,061	NA NA NA NA	13.6% 10.2% 6.0% 12.2% 57.9%	41 21 22 12 43

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	88,061	100.0%	43
8th Grade or Less	16,018	18.2%	20
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	13,938 32,844	15.8% 37.3%	42 39
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	13,093 12,217	14.9% 13.9%	26 29

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

RENSSELAER COUNTY

		PO	Table 3 PULATION 1982-1980	5		
	1982		1984		1986	Rank 1986
Population	151,200	151,500	152,200	151,352	151,700	21
Density Per Square Mile	230.8	231.3	232.4	231.1	231.6	20

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		able 4 NCOME: 1982-1984		
			1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	9,962	10,642	11,633	22

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	1983-84	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number	363	383	310	328	328	
	Percent	4.4%	4.9%	4.0%	4.3%	4.3%	23

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

<u></u>	UNEMPLO	<u>IYMENT: 1984-19</u>	386	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Percent Rank
		1984	1985_	1986	1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	3,785 5.5%	3,691 5.2%	3,687 5.1%	49

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

	i V		Table 7 STANCE: 1982	-1986	4		
		1982	1983		1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	5,714 3.8%	5,826 3.8%	5,722 3.8%	5,795 3,8%	5,527 3.6%	30

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

						1986 C		
		a	Number of Cr	imes		Per 10 <u>Popula</u>		an an an
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
INDEX CRIME	5,801	5,816	6,139	5,657	5,992	3,928.1	14	3.3%
VIOLENT	568	519	516	426	451	295.7	22	-20.6%
MURDER	5	3	4	4	4	2.6	28	-20.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	26	24	31	33	34	22.3	12	30.8%
ROBBERY	80	95	131	84	115	75.4	17	43.8%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	457	397	350	305	298	195.4	24	-34.8%
PROPERTY	5,233	5,297	5,623	5,231	5,541	3,632.5	15	5.9%
BURGLARY	1,790	1,880	1,972	1,499	1,654	1,084.3	11	-7.6%
LARCENY	3,212	3,176	3,354	3,439	3,617	2,371.2	15	12.6%
MV THEFT	231	241	297	293	270	177.0	13	16.9%

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

		Numb	per of Arres	sts		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	2,249	2,407	2,227	2,374	2,512	1,655.9 47	11.7%
FELONY	538	524	488	493	543	357.9 47	0.9%
VIOLENT	212	172	185	180	176	116.0 27	-17.0%
OTHER	326	352	303	313	367	241.9 57	12.6%
MISDEMEANOR	1,711	1,883	1,739	1,881	1,969	1,298.0 43	15.1%

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987 (Adults Only)

Number ¹	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
1,276	5.4%		
262	3.1%		
107	30.5%		
155	-9.9%		
1,014	6.0%		
-	1,276 262 107 155	Number Jan-June 86 vs 87 1,276 5.4% 262 3.1% 107 30.5% 155 -9.9%	Jan-June Number Jan-June 1,276 5.4% 262 3.1% 107 30.5% 155 -9.9%

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

			Number of arrests					1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>	
MURDER		6	3	3	2	2	1.3	39	-66.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE		5	4	4	7	9	5.9	33	80.0%
ROBBERY		13	18	33	16	18	11.9	30	38.5%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT		102	79	79	87	80	52.7	19	-21.6%
BURGLARY		146	171	134	105	117	77.1	47	-19.9%
LARCENY ²		314	332	368	298	415	273.6	45	32.2%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

	- 	Num	ber of Arrest	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>				
	1982	1983		1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	55	62	84	100	93	61.3	41	69.1%
CONTROLLED Substance (PL 220)	32	38	39	47	61	40.2	41	90.6%
POSSESSION	25	30	33	42	53	34.9	37	112.0%
SALE	5	4	· · · 1	4	6	4.0	46	20.0%
OTHER	2	4	5	1	2	1.3	41	0.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	23	24	45	53	32	21.1	26	39.1%
POSSESSION	17	15	35	40	24	15.8	23	41.2%
SALE	6	9	10	13	8	5.3	33	33.3%

Table 2D ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

1 Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E	
----------	--

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

							1986 Per		
			Numb	per of Arrest	<u>s</u>		Lice	nsed vers	84 OL
			1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		710	942	723	949	889	9.1	23	25.2%
FELONY		42	43	29	24	42	0.4	40	0.0%
MISDEMEANOR		668	899	694	925	847	8.6	22	26.8%

1 Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY	INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Ju	venile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984		1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	132 100.0%	136 100.0%	147 100.0%	184 100.0%	166 100.0%	25.8%		
VIOLENT	34 25.8%	52 38.2%	55 37.4%	52 28.3%	45 27.1%	32.4%		
OTHER	98 74.2%	84 61.8%	92 62.6%	132 71.7%	121 72.9%	23.5%		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 38

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		.1		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	143	88.2%				
VIOLENT	50	163.2%	ť			
OTHER	93	63.2%				

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		(Adults	On 1y)			
		Re	Percent of Di esulting from Misdemeanor	li e tr		
	1982	1983			1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	1,959 100.0%	1,841 100.0%	1,796 100.0%	1,941 100.0%	1,855 100.0%	-5.3%
CONVICTIONS	1,520 77.6%	1,343 72.9%	1,297 72.2%	1,493 76.9%	1,431 77.1%	-5.9%
ACQUITTALS	8 0.4%	8 0.4%	10 0.6%	9 0.5%	8 0.4%	0.0%
DISMISSALS	423 21.6%	479 26.0%	481 26.8%	433 22.3%	415 22.4%	-1.9%
NOT PROSECUTED	2 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
OTHER	6 0.3%	11 0.6%	8 0.4%	6 0.3%	1 0.1%	-83.3%

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

Table 4A

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

1

			Percent of Disp			
	1982		1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	490 100.0%	413 100.0%	370 100.0%	408 100.0%	392 100.0%	-20.0%
CONVICTIONS	390 79.6%	314 76.0%	300 81.1%	332 81.4%	319 81.4%	-18.2%
ACQUITTALS	4 0.8%	2 0.5%	3 0.8%	1 0.2%	2 0.5%	-50.0%
DISMISSALS	93 19.0%	95 23.0%	67 18.1%	74 18.1%	71 18.1%	-23.7%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	3 0.6%	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	-100.0%

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	1,520 100.0%	1,343 100.0%	1,297 100.0%	1,493 100.0%	1,431 100.0%	-5.9%
FELONY	136 8.9%	65 4.8%	92 7.1%	138 9.2%	102 7.1%	-25.0%
VIOLENT	27 1.8%	16 1.2%	31 2.4%	37 2.5%	19 1.3%	-29.6%
OTHER	109 7.2%	49 3.6%	61 4.7%	101 6.8%	83 5.8%	-23.9%
MISDEMEANOR	690 45.4%	594 44.2%	566 43.6%	558 37.4%	577 40.3%	-15.4%
LESSER OFFENSES	694 45.7%	684 50.9%	639 49.3%	797 53.4%	752 52.6%	8.4%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Resulting f	rom Felony Arre	<u>sts</u>		% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	<u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	390 100.0%	314 100.0%	300 100.0%	332 100.0%	319 100.0%	-18.2%
FELONY	124 31.8%	56 17.8%	81 27.0%	126 38.0%	93 29.2%	-25.0%
VIOLENT	27 6.9%	16 5.1%	31 10.3%	37 11.1%	19 6.0%	-29.6%
OTHER	97 24.9%	40 12.7%	50 16.7%	89 26.8%	74 23.2%	-23.7%
MISDEMEANOR	230 59.0%	219 69.7%	179 59.7%	179 53.9%	177 55.5%	-23.0%
LESSER OFFENSES	36 9.2%	39 12.4%	40 13.3%	27 8.1%	49 15.4%	36.1%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
		1983	1984	1985	<u> 1986 </u> 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	3.0	1.5	1.9	2.3	2.0					
LOCAL JAIL	18.8	17.6	17.2	14.2	18.3					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.3	2.3					
PROBATION	10.8	8.5	13.7	13.1	9.9					
FINES	45.6	54.7	48.0	53.1	52.2					
OTHER	18.3	14.3	15.5	13.9	15.4					

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	· ·	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions										
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	<u>1983</u> Misd & Felony Lesser	<u>1984</u> Misd & Felony Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser							
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%							
STATE PRISON	33.1 NA	30.8 NA	27.2 NA	25.4 NA	27.5 NA							
LOCAL JAIL	19.1 18.7	21.5 17.4	23.9 16.7	14.5 14.2	16.7 18.4							
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	8.8 3.1	3.1 3.4	13.0 2.9	15.9 2.0	13.7 1.4							
PROBATION	34.6 8.5	40.0 6.9	33.7 12.2	43.5 10.0	42.2 7.4							
FINES	2.2 49.9	3.1 57.3	1.1 51.6	0.7 58.5	0.0 56.2							
OTHER	2.2 19.9	1.5 14.9	1.1 16.6	0.0 15.4	0.0 16.6							

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

						1986 Ca Per 10		
		Nun	Populat	tion	- % Change			
	1982		1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,477	1,372	1,375	1,587	1,881	1,239.9	18	27.4%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	1,139	1,035	1,050	1,098	1,154	760.7	32	1.3%
FAMILY COURT	330	300	275	286	343	226.1	21	3.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	809	735	775	812	811	534.6	33	0.2%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	110	104	106	113	134	88.3	18	21.8%
FAMILY COURT	18	18	7	5	0	0.0	44	-100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	92	86	99	108	134	88.3	16	45.7%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	389	380	407	500	411	270.9	30	5.7%
FAMILY COURT	73	76	59	112	128	84.4	6	75.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	316	304	348	388	283	186.6	44	-10.4%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	1,092	1,117	1,194	1,385	1,531	1,009.2	24	40.2%
FAMILY COURT	157	156	139	184	238	156.9	6	51.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	935	961	1,055	1,201	1,293	852.3	44	38.3%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	737	787	885	1,120	1,139	750.8	8	54.5%
FAMILY COURT	80	80	72	110	131	86.4	7	63.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	657	707	813	1,010	1,008	664.5	15	53.4%

Table 7A

PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

	······································	Numbor	of Revocal	1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio				
	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>	1986		Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	51	46	30	25	68	44.4	31	33.3%
FAMILY COURT	15	19	11	11	22	92.4	21	46.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	36	27	19	14	46	35.6	23	27.8%
TECHNICAL	21	23	16	11	34	. 26.3	16	61.9%
NEW CONVICTION	15	.4	3	3	12	9.3	38	-20.0%

TABLE 7B

.

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

RENSSELAER COUNTY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

PRETRIAL RELEAS	E PROGRAM: 19	86
	Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	19	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	13	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	6	46.2%

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

1

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8C

COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED_ALTERNATIVES: 1986____

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

						1	198 Admiss Per 10	ions	
	·····	Numbe	<u>r of Admis</u>	sions			Popula		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,176	1,457	1,398	1,308	1,429		942.0	47	21.5%
UNSENTENCED	915	1,188	1,067	1,012	1,087		716.5	46	18.8%
SENTENCED	261	269	331	296	342		225.4	43	31.0%

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

		COUNT	Y JAIL CAP	ACITY AND	POPULATION		
· · ·						1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	104	99	102	114	112	73.8 31	7.7%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	76	68	82	77	72	47.5 51	-5.3%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	67	78	84	56	78	51.4 44	16.4%
UNSENTENCED	36	52	44	32	46	30.3 42	27.8%

40

24

32

21.1 43

3.2%

Table 9B DUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATIO

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

31

26

SENTENCED

RENSSELAER COUNTY

			Number of art Commitm	ents		198 New C Committ Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	ourt ments 0,000	
	1982	1983		1985	<u>1986</u> 2	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	43	15	19	35	32	21.1	43	-25.6%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	24	8	12	19	11	7.3	41	-54.2%
HOMICIDE	3	· 1	2	3	3	2.0	25	0.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	. 1	3	1	0.7	50	0.0%
ROBBERY	6	1	2	3	1	0.7	42	-83.3%
BURGLARY	11	3	. 5	4	3	2.0	44	-72.7%
WEAPONS	0	0	1	1	1	0.7	23	NA
OTHER	3	2	1	5	2	1.3	31	-33.3%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	4	0	0	2	2	1.3	44	-50.0%
POSSESSION	1	0	• 0	2	1	0.7	34	0.0%
SALE	3	0	0	0	· 1 ·	0.7	42	-66.7%
OTHER FELONIES	15	7	7	14	19	12.5	33	26.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA

Table 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

Table 10B-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

			nmates Und ison on De		Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	97	81	75	88	92	60.6	36	-5.2%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	69	61	61	68	61	40.2	31	-11.6%
HOMICIDE	23	24	25	27	28	18.5	16	21.7%
SEX OFFENSE	9	5	4	6	4	2.6	53	-55.6%
ROBBERY	7	8	8	9	8	5.3	31	14.3%
BURGLARY	18	13	14	13	11	7.3	36	-38.9%
WEAPONS	1	1	2	2	1	0.7	30	0.0%
OTHER	11	10	8	11	9	5.9	19	-18.2%
DRUG FELONIES ³	5	3	1	2	4	2.6	47	-20.0%
POSSESSION	1	1	1	2	3	2.0	33	200.0%
SALE	4	2	0	0	1	0.7	48	-75.0%
OTHER FELONIES	23	17	13	18	27	17.8	38	17.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	33	NA

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 108-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				**************************************
REDRENT OF INNATES	1982	1983	1984	1985	
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

		Numb	er of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	. 33	51	57	43	49	32.3 19	48.5%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	82	109	143	151	151	99.5 18	84.1%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	58	86	108	102	103	67.9 19	77.6%

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Numbe	er of Parol	ees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	•	1982	1983		1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹		3	4	11	19	16	10.5 16	433.3%
RULE VIOLATIONS		1	0	4	2	2	1.3 27	100.0%
NEW ARRESTS		1	. 3 .	6	12	7	4.6 25	600.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS		1	1	1	5	7	4.6 9	600.0%

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

				Number of Cases		·	W 01	
		1982		1984	1985		% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>	
FELONY ARRESTS		4	3	3	2	0	-100.0%	
FELONY INDICTMENTS		1	2	1	0	0	-100.0%	
DISPOSITIONS		3	4	1	Q	0	-100.0%	
CONVICTIONS		0	1	0	0	0	NA NA	
PRISON		0	1	0	0	0	NA	
LOCAL JAIL		0	0	0	0	0	NA	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION		0	0	0	0	0	NA	
PROBATION		0	0	0	0	0	NA	
OTHER		0	0	0	0	0	NA	
ACQUITTALS		0	0	Ø	0	0	NA	
DISMISSALS		1	0	0	0	0	-100.0%	
NOT PROSECUTED		0	а О с	0	0	0	NA	
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT		2	3	1	0	0	-100.0%	
OTHER		0	0	0	0	0	NA	

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

(Thousands of Dollars)									
	······································		Expenditures			Appropr	ations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>		
Dept. of Correctional Services	92.0	56.9	113.1	143.1	55.5%	0.0	0.0		
Crime Victims Board	42.7	72.8	81.6	26.7	-37.5%	. 92.4	93.7		
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	47.1	170.5	183.0	NA	655.7	171.2		
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	263.4	269.8	316.0	371.0	40.9%	430.9	440.5		
Division for Youth ³	717.7	801.6	883.6	1,949.5	171.6%	1,202.6	1,233.5		
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴	· · ·		10.0	24.1	NA	24.1			
TOTAL	1,115.8	1,248.2	1,574.9	2,697.3	141.7%	2,405.6	1,938.9		

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 4 The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	·		Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	0.8	1.0	4.0	3.1	288.7%		-
Felons	80.0	46.7	89.7	93.4	16.9%		
Parole Violators	6.9	7.7	19.4	33.2	380.7%		
State Readies ²				12.1	NA		· ·
Prisoner Transfer ¹	4.3	1.5	0.0	1.2	-71.9%		
TOTAL	92.0	56.9	113.1	143.1	55.5%	0.0	0.0

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

² This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

•

ĥ

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	18.5	37.9	46.2	0.0	-100.0%	29.3	34.0
Sexual Assault	24.3	35.0	35.4	26.7	10.0%	63.1	59.7
TOTAL	42.7	72.8	81.6	26.7	-37.5%	92.4	93.7
	,						

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Justice Assistance Act ¹			а С ал		NA		3.5
Juvenile Justice ² and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	37.1	33.0	44.3	NA	33.0	13.7
Mobile Radio District Program ³	• •• -	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	489.5	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁴		· • •			NA	~~	17.6
Neighborhood ⁵ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		10.0	11.4	0.0	NA		۰ ۲۰۰۰ به می
Soft Body Armour ⁶ Reimbursement Program			2.2	10.4	NA		۹۵ ۹۵ ۹۵ ۹۵ سمب
Target Crime 7 Initiative Program	0.0	0.0	123.9	128.3	NA	133.1	136.4
TOTAL	0.0	47.1	170.5	183.0	NA	655.7	171.2

 1 FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

² Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁵ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁶ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

7 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

RENSSELAER COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ¹ Alternatives			•	2.1	NA	31.2	32.8
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	19.2	21.3	32.6	44.0	128.7%	49.2	51.0
PINS Program ²		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			NA		0.0
Regular State Aid	244.1	248.5	283.4	324.9	33.1%	350.5	356.7
TOTAL	263.4	269.8	316.0	371.0	40.9%	430.9	440.5

 $^{1}\ \mbox{This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.}$

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY_85-86¹</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY_86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Detention								
Non-Secure	121.9	66.2	85.7	158.4	29.9%	102.9	114.6	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	102.2	105.1	105.1	85.2	-16.7%	97.4	108.8	
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	15.9	18.1	
Voluntary Agency Care	150.8	290.0	362.6	809.1	436.5%	532.0	531.4	
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	342.8	340.3	330.2	896.8	161.6%	454.4	460.6	
TOTAL	717.7	801.6	883.6	1,949.5	171.6%	1,202.6	1,233.5	

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

à.

TABL	F	1A	
INDE		10	

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

		<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>		: "	1
	DOCS Facilities					
DF	DFY Facilities	14	13			
	TOTAL	14	13			

TABLE 18

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Appropriations					
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities					0.0%	<u></u>	
DFY Facilities	212.0	237.4	249.7	238,2	12.4%	259.2	280.0
TOTAL	212.0	237.4	249.7	238.2	12.4%	259.2	280.0

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	Total	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities			. 		· · · · · ·	
DFY Facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	23.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	23.0

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

RENSSELAER COUNTY

TABLE 3A

	DIVISION FOR YOUTH		
FACILITY	SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY,	AND	STAFF
	(June 1987)		

<u>Facility</u>	Security ₁ Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
Troy Community Urban Home	VI	14	13	

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY_82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Troy Community Urban Home	212.0	237.4	249.7	238.2	12.4%	259.2	280.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

Troy Community			Expend		Appropriations		
	<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u> Total </u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
		0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0	30.0	23.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.
SARATOGA COUNTY

SARATOGA COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Capital District Regional Planning Commission 2nd Floor, 214 Canal Square Schenectady, New York 12305 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 40 McMaster Street Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Probation Department County Municipal Center Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Public Defender's Office Box 500A Seven Union Street Ballston Spa, New York 12020 Counsel to Indigent Defenders Box 500 A Seven Union Street Ballston Spa, New York 12020 Sheriff's Department County Jail Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Youth Bureau County Municipal Center 40 McMaster Street Ballston Spa, New York 12020

County Court Court House Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Family Court 31 Woodlawn Avenue Saratoga Springs, New York 12866

Family Court Box 115 Municipal Center Ballston Spa, New York 12020

	Region	CAPITAL	
	County Seat	BALLSTON SPA	
	Square Miles	810	
Population Percent	Change 1970-1980	26.3%	

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	153,759	20	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	189.8	23	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	75,149 78,610	NA NA	48.9% 51.1%	27 36
Race: White Non-White Unknown	151,277 2,169 313	NA NA NA	98.4% 1.4% 0.2%	22 40 48
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,002	NA	0.7%	42
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	23,628 17,813 8,947 15,281 88,090	NA NA NA NA	15.4% 11.5% 5.8% 9.9% 57.3%	9 19 40 34 47

Table 1 POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

		Percent	
	Number	Percent	Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	88,090	100.0%	47
Sth Grade or Less	11,045	12.5%	57
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	12,572 33,027	14.3% 37.5%	52 35
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	15,061 16,381	17.1% 18.6%	2 11

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

SARATOGA COUNTY

Table 3 POPULATION 1982-1986									
				1985	1986	Rank 1986			
Population	155,200	157,000	159,800	161,590	164,100	20			
Density Per Square Mile	191.6	193.8	197.3	199.5	202.6	23			

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		Table 4 INCOME: 1982-1984	a da anti-	
	1982			Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	10,436	11,197	12,200	17

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	I ODETO III		010101011	201 02 10 130	000		
	School Year						Percent Rank
		1981	<u>-82 1982-</u>	<u>83 1983-84</u>	1984-85	1985-86	<u> 1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	. 4		18 425 8% 4.0%		316 3.1%	52

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

	UNEMPLO	Table 6 MMENT: 1984-19	86		
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	4,322 6.0%	4,026 5.4%	4,088 5.4%	47

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

	1 1	PUBLIC ASSI	Table 7 STANCE: 1982	2-1986			
		<u>1982</u>	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	3,212 2.1%	3,162 2.0%	2,923 1.8%	2,779 1.7%	2,528 1.5%	55

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

SARATOGA COUNTY

						1.00	
		Number of Cr	imes		Per 10	0,000	
1982	1983	1984	1985		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
4,025	3,802	3,450	3,665	3,826	2,389.9	45	-4.9%
232	212	269	218	274	171.2	36	18.1%
3	. 0	1	3	1	0.6	51	-66.7%
12	18	19	14	21	13.1	29	75.0%
15	25	11	25	27	16.9	34	80.0%
202	169	238	176	225	140.5	35	11.4%
3,793	3,590	3,181	3,447	3,552	2,218.8	41	-6.4%
1,301	1,115	966	1,024	961	600.3	47	-26.1%
2,361	2,370	2,131	2,283	2,473	1,544.8	41	4.7%
131	105	84	140	118	73.7	40	-9.9%
	4,025 232 3 12 15 202 3,793 1,301 2,361	1982 1983 4,025 3,802 232 212 3 0 12 18 15 25 202 169 3,793 3,590 1,301 1,115 2,361 2,370	1982 1983 1984 4,025 3,802 3,450 232 212 269 3 0 1 12 18 19 15 25 11 202 169 238 3,793 3,590 3,181 1,301 1,115 966 2,361 2,370 2,131	4,0253,8023,4503,665232212269218301312181914152511252021692381763,7933,5903,1813,4471,3011,1159661,0242,3612,3702,1312,283	1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 4,025 3,802 3,450 3,665 3,826 232 212 269 218 274 3 0 1 3 1 12 18 19 14 21 15 25 11 25 27 202 169 238 176 225 3,793 3,590 3,181 3,447 3,552 1,301 1,115 966 1,024 961 2,361 2,370 2,131 2,283 2,473	Number of Crimes Per 10 Popular 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate 4,025 3,802 3,450 3,665 3,826 2,389.9 232 212 269 218 274 171.2 3 0 1 3 1 0.6 12 18 19 14 21 13.1 15 25 11 25 27 16.9 202 169 238 176 225 140.5 3,793 3,590 3,181 3,447 3,552 2,218.8 1,301 1,115 966 1,024 961 600.3 2,361 2,370 2,131 2,283 2,473 1,544.8	19821983198419851986RateRank4,0253,8023,4503,6653,8262,389.945232212269218274171.236301310.651121819142113.129152511252716.934202169238176225140.5353,7933,5903,1813,4473,5522,218.8411,3011,1159661,024961600.3472,3612,3702,1312,2832,4731,544.841

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

SARATOGA COUNTY

		Numb	er of Arre	sts		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	3,213	3,519	3,422	3,694	3,647	2,222.4 18	13.5%
FELONY	566	568	473	642	665	405.2 31	17.5%
VIOLENT	150	160	132	171	150	91.4 44	0.0%
OTHER	416	408	341	471	515	313.8 27	23.8%
MISDEMEANOR	2,647	2,951	2,949	3,052	2,982	1,817.2 14	12.7%

Table 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

	FEI	ONY AND MISDEM	1987	7				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>			
		ARRESTS	1. 1.	1,669	-7.9%			
		FELONY ²		255	-21.5%			
		VIOLENT		77	-14.4%			
		OTHER		178	-24.3%			
		MISDEMEANOR		1,414	-5.0%			

TABLE 2B

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

SARATOGA COUNTY

			(Adult:	s Only)			
		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	2	1	1	5	2	1.2 41	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	6	9	5	7	16	9.8 16	166.7%
ROBBERY	16	12	8	12	13	7.9 37	-18.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	73	46	53	60	57	34.7 37	-21.9%
BURGLARY	158	156	118	132	121	73.7 48	-23.4%
LARCENY ²	550	536	500	530	586	357.1 30	6.5%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SARATOGA COUNTY

	۳ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۱۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰	Numt	<u>per of Arrest</u>	:s		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	106	108	57	148	137	83.5	31	29.2%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	66	71	39	108	115	70.1	27	74.2%
POSSESSION	42	47	35	78	101	61.5	24	140.5%
SALE	.22	24	3	29	11	6.7	39	-50.0%
OTHER	2	0	1	1	3	1.8	35	50.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	40	37	18	40	22	13.4	51	-45.0%
POSSESSION	24	16	13	20	12	7.3	55	-50.0%
SALE	16	21	5	20	10	6.1	29	-37.5%

Table 2D ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

						1986 Arrests Per 1,000	
		Numb	er of Arrests	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Licensed Drivers	% Change
	1982		1984	1985		<u>Rate</u> Rank	82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	1,104	1,400	1,374	1,384	1,277	11.8 9	15.7%
FELONY	47	53	32	38	53	0.5 37	12.8%
MISDEMEANOR	1,057	1,347	1,342	1,346	1,224	11.4 6	15.8%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
		1983		1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	154 100.0%	130 100.0%	94 100.0%	163 100.0%	155 100.0%	0.6%	
VIOLENT	47 30.5%	51 39.2%	31 33.0%	62 38.0%	49 31.6%	4.3%	
OTHER	107 69.5%	79 60.8%	63 67.0%	101 62.0%	106 68.4%	-0.9%	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	 		% Change Jan-June		
		Number	<u>86 vs 87</u>		
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	69	-18.8%		
	VIOLENT	27	8.0%		
	OTHER	42	-30.0%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> _2	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	2,498 100.0%	2,758 100.0%	2,566 100.0%	3,080 100.0%	3,093 100.0%	23.8%		
CONVICTIONS	1,859 74.4%	2,083 75.5%	1,941 75.6%	2,356 76.5%	2,297 74.3%	23.6%		
ACQUITTALS	3 0.1%	3 0.1%	7 0.3%	3 0.1%	6 0.2%	100.0%		
DISMISSALS	629 25.2%	665 24.1%	616 24.0%	716 23.2%	784 25.3%	24.6%		
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	NA		
OTHER	7 0.3%	6 0.2%	2 0.1%	5 0.2%	5 0.2%	-28.6%		

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(Adu)	ts Only)			
			4		Percent of Disp from Felony An			4
			1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> _2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS			410 100.0%	423 100.0%	384 100.0%	495 100.0%	523 100.0%	27.6%
CONVICTIONS			322 78.5%	340 80.4%	321 83.6%	389 78.6%	438 83.7%	36.0%
ACQUITTALS			2 0.5%	1 0.2%	4 1.0%	1 0.2%	3 0.6%	50.0%
DISMISSALS			86 21.0%	79 18.7%	59 15.4%	103 20.8%	80 15.3%	-7.0%
NOT PROSECUTE	D		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	NA
OTHER			0 0.0%	3 0.7%	0 0.0%	2 0.4%	1 0.2%	NA

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
CONVICTIONS	1,859 100.0%	2,083 100.0%	1,941 100.0%	2,356 100.0%	2,297 100.0%	23.6%		
FELONY	61 3.3%	74 3.6%	59 3.0%	94 4.0%	98 4.3%	60.7%		
VIOLENT	11 0.6%	24 1.2%	12 0.6%	30 1.3%	21 0.9%	90.9%		
OTHER	50 2.7%	50 2.4%	47 2.4%	64 2.7%	77 3.4%	54.0%		
MISDEMEANOR	585 31.5%	649 31.2%	571 29.4%	728 30.9%	818 35.6%	39.8%		
LESSER OFFENSES	1,213 65.3%	1,360 65.3%	1,311 67.5%	1,534 65.1%	1,381 60.1%	13.9%		

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983		1985	2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
CONVICTIONS	322 100.0%	340 100.0%	321 100.0%	389 100.0%	438 100.0%	36.0%		
FELONY	53 16.5%	69 20.3%	55 17.1%	86 22.1%	84 19.2%	58.5%		
VIOLENT	11 3.4%	24 7.1%	12 3.7%	30 7.7%	21 4.8%	90.9%		
OTHER	42 13.0%	45 13.2%	43 13.4%	56 14.4%	63 14.4%	50.0%		
MISDEMEANOR	219 68.0%	208 61.2%	216 67.3%	238 61.2%	279 63.7%	27.4%		
LESSER OFFENSES	50 15.5%	63 18.5%	50 15.6%	65 16.7%	75 17.1%	50.0%		

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984		<u>1986</u> 2						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	1.3	1.4	0.9	1.2	1.1						
LOCAL JAIL	10.4	12.4	8.4	9.6	12.2						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.0	1.1	2.1	1.1	1.6						
PROBATION	3.3	4.2	4.3	5.6	5.7						
FINES	76.2	73.1	75.7	74.1	70.2						
OTHER	7.7	7.8	8.6	8.3	9.2						

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser	**************************************	985 Misd & Lesser		9 <u>86</u> 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	39.3	NA	40.5	NA	28.8	NA	29.8	NA	25.5	NA
LOCAL JAIL	32.8	9.7	. 28.4	11.8	20.3	8.0	26.6	8.9	20.4	11.8
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	19.7	0.4	23.0	0.3	32.2	1.2	18.1	0.4	28.6	0.4
PROBATION	4.9	3.2	5.4	4.1	15.3	4.0	25.5	4.8	24.5	4.9
FINES	1.6	78.8	2.7	75.7	1.7	78.1	0.0	77.1	1.0	73.3
OTHER	1.6	8.0	0.0	8.1	1.7	8.8	0.0	8.7	0.0	9.6

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SARATOGA COUNTY

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Num	ber of Case	<u>s</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Cases Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985		<u>Rate</u> Rar	% Change 1 <u>k 82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,099	1,220	1,255	1,387	1,472	897.0 35	33.9%
INVESTIGATION3 RECEIVED	994	1,088	1,073	1,143	1,202	732.5 34	20.9%
FAMILY COURT	241	278	351	345	419	255.3 15	5 73.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	753	810	722	798	783	477.1 40	4.0%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	189	190	161	137	91	55.5 27	-51.9%
FAMILY COURT	63	51	52	58	22	13.4 27	-65.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	126	139	109	79	69	42.0 21	-45.2%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	173	224	220	280	336	204.8 53	94.2%
FAMILY COURT	45	51	31	58	86	52.4 26	91.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	128	173	189	222	250	152.3 58	95.3%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	427	516	551	670	803	489.3 53	88.1%
FAMILY COURT	82	90	62	86	140	85.3 36	5 70.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	345	426	489	584	663	404.0 56	92.2%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	292	331	390	467	593	361.4 60	103.1%
FAMILY COURT	39	31	28	54	90	54.8 22	130.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	253	300	362	413	503	306.5 61	98.8%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SARATOGA COUNTY

		Ę	TABL PROBATION		ls			
		Number	of Revoca	tions		 1986 Revocat Per 1.0 Probatio	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
REVOCATIONS	25	35	38	50	62	77.2	16	148.0%
FAMILY COURT	8	- 11	7	10	12	85.7	23	50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	17	24	31	40	50	75.4	15	194.1%
TECHNICAL	7	17	21	29	30	45.2	17	328.6%
NEW CONVICTION	10	7	10	11	20	30.2	19	100.0%

TABLE 7B

1

SARATOGA COUNTY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 88

PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

	Table 80		
COMMUNITY	SERVICE	PROGRAM	1986

	Number	Percent
CASES SENTENCED	19	NA
CASES DISCHARGED	11	100.0%
SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	9	81.8%

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986____

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SARATOGA COUNTY

						<u>ition</u>	
19	8219	83 1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
ADMISSIONS 1,	349 1,3	390 1,16	6 1,352	1,530	932.4	48	13.4%
UNSENTENCED 1.	057 1,	010 86	7 1,071	1,237	753.8	45	17.0%
SENTENCED	292	380 299	9 281	293	178.5	48	0.3%

Table 9A COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

		COUNT	Y JAIL CA	PACITY AND	POPULATION			
			··			······································	1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	9/ Ch
	1982	1983	1984		1986		<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED	70	70	70	70	70		42.7 53	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	74	91	86	86	93		56.7 42	25.7%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	89	69	74	63	92		56.1 39	3.4%
UNSENTENCED	51	31	35	35	53		32.3 37	3.9%
SENTENCED	38	. 38	39	28	39		23.8 31	2.6%

Table 9B COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

Űż.

SARATOGA COUNTY

			lumber of art Commitme	ents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	2	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	23	34	19	31	28	17.1 50	21.7%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	8	17	8	17	11	6.7 45	37.5%
HOMICIDE	0	1	0	0	1	0.6 41	NA
SEX OFFENSE	3	2	5	6	4	2.4 34	33.3%
ROBBERY	2	3	1	2	3	1.8 33	50.0%
BURGLARY	3	10	2	6	3	1.8 46	0.0%
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	O	0.0 25	NA
OTHER	0	1	0	3	0	0.0 37	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	2	4	2	5	5	3.0 31	150.0%
POSSESSION	. 1	2	0	2	0	0.0 37	-100.0%
. SALE	1	2	2	3	5	3.0 23	400.0%
OTHER FELONIES	13	13	8	8	11	6.7 53	-15.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	1	1	1	0.6 26	NA

Table 10A NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

¢

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

SARATOGA COUNTY

Table 10B-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31^{1} .

			mates Unde ison on Dec		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 0,000	<i>61</i> 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	63	76	72	74	72	43.9	47	14.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	32	43	45	49	44	26.8	44	37.5%
HOMICIDE	14	13	12	12	12	7.3	41	-14.3%
SEX OFFENSE	5	6	11	16	16	9.8	27	220.0%
ROBBERY	6	8	6	3	6	3.7	37	0.0%
BURGLARY	5	13	13	14	8	4.9	46	60.0%
WEAPONS	0	0	0	, O , ,	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	2	3	3	4	2	1.2	50	0.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	5	4	7	8	4.9	33	300.0%
POSSESSION	1	3	0	2	2	1.2	42	100.0%
SALE	1	2	4	5	6	3.7	30	500.0%
OTHER FELONIES	28	28	22	17	19	11.6	52	-32.1%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	0	· 1	1	1	0.6	31	0.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $^2\,$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES					
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

		Number	of Parole	es			1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984			· · -	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	17	15	5	8	8		4.9 62	-52.9%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	51	44	39	29	21		12.8 62	-58.8%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	29	34	21	13	14		8.5 62	-51.7%

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

				<u> </u>	mbe	<u>er of</u>	Paro	lees				Revoc Per	86 ations 1,000 <u>lees</u>		
	198	2		1983		19	84	1	985		1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Chang <u>82 vs 8</u>	je <u>36</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹		4		3			2		3		4	2.4	1	0.0	2%
RULE VIOLATIONS		0		0			0		1		0	0.0	36	N	AY
NEW ARRESTS		2		2			1		2		2	1.2	4	0.0)%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS		2		1			1		0	. *	2	1.2	2	0.0	3%

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.}$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

SARATOGA COUNTY

	 		Number of Cases	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	0	1,	2	0	• 0	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	C	1	0	0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	1	0	· · · · 1	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	0	1	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	1	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER		0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	, 0 ,	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	· 0.	0	0	0	. 0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	1	0	NA

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · ·	E	xpenditures		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY_82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	82.7	102.9	77.3	154.3	86.5%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	32.2	134.6	150.6	NA	159.0	187.2
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	103.9	119.4	155.0	207.6	99.8%	231.4	280.7
Division for Youth ³	409.6	718.6	686.9	1,797.3	338.8%	1,130.2	1,166.2
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴		• •	10.0	24.1	NA	24.1	
TOTAL	596.3	973.2	1,063.8	2,333.9	291.4%	1,544.7	1,634.2

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 4 The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	77.9	95.5	67.3	129.2	65.8%	. 	· · · · ·
Parole Violators	2.9	5.6	9.0	11.7	301.5%		
State Readies ²				11.7	NA	· · · ·	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	1.9	1.9	1.0	1.6	-15.2%		
TOTAL	82.7	102.9	77.3	154.3	86.5%	0.0	0.0

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

SARATOGA COUNTY

Table 4 DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

			ousands of Do				
		: :	Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82_vs 85	FY_86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Crime Prevention ¹ and Protection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0.0	0.9	NA	0.0	0.0
Justice Assistance Act ²		· <u></u>		· · · · ·	NA	26.8	20.0
Juvenile Justice ³ and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	32.2	0.0	25.0	NA	0.0	12.4
Narcotics Control ⁴			·		NA	. 	19.4
Neighborhood ⁵ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	· · ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA		
Soft Body Armour ⁶ Reimbursement Program	· · · · ·	н -	10.7	3.5	NA		
Target Crime 7 Initiative Program	0.0	0.0	123.9	121.2	NA	132.2	135.4
TOTAL	0.0	32.2	134.6	150.6	NA	159.0	187.2

 1 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

² FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

³ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁵ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁶ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

7 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

SARATOGA COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 54-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ¹ Alternatives		· ·		22.8	NA	31.2	32.8
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.5	NA	23.0	26.1
PINS Program ²					NA	· · · · ·	11.7
Regular State Aid	103.9	119.4	155.0	173.3	66.7%	177.2	210.2
TOTAL	103.9	119.4	155.0	207.6	99.8%	231.4	280.7

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

² This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	1		Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u> 1	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	26.5	48.8	37.6	102.8	287.7%	65.3	62.3
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	86.2	96.8	100.9	89.3	3.6%	96.3	106.3
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	NA	92.5	90.3
Voluntary Agency Care	88.4	327.9	286.1	799.8	805.1%	521.4	508.8
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	208.5	245.0	262.4	765.3	267.0%	354.8	398.5
TOTAL	409.6	718.6	686.9	1,797.3	338.8% °	1,130.2	1,166.2

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	743	454	
DFY Facilities		,	
TOTAL	743	454	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	Si		Approp	riations
	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	9,187.1	12,613.9	13,088.7	13,329.6	45.1%	14,841.3	15,883.2
DFY Facilities				а ^с н и	0.0%	·	
TOTAL	9,187.1	12,613.9	13,088.7	13,329.6	45.1%	14,841.3	15,883.2

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	itures		Appropr	Appropriations	
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u> Total </u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
DOCS Facilities	1,352.2	2,233.9	576.3	4,162.4	953,7	756.0	
DFY Facilities					- 		
TOTAL	1,352.2	2,233.9	576.3	4,162.4	953.7	756.0	

SARATOGA COUNTY

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT	OF CORRECTIONAL	SERVICES
FACILITY SECURI	TY LEVEL, CAPACIT	Y, AND STAFF
	(June 1987)	

				Staff		
Facility	Security Level	Capacity		Total	Security	<u>Civilian</u>
Mt. McGregor	MEDIUM	449	4. 5	454	316	138
McGregor Camp ¹	MINIMUM	294		0	0	0
TOTAL		743		454	316	138

 1 The facility's staff is included in the staff reported for the Mt. McGregor facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES	
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET	
(Thousands of Dollars)	

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY_82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Mt. McGregor	9,187.1	12,613.9	13,088.7	13,329.6	45.1%	14,841.3	15,883.2
McGregor Camp ¹		. 		. .	NA		
TOTAL	9,187.1	12,613.9	13,088.7	13,329.6	45.1%	14,841.3	15,883.2

 1 The facility's budget is administered by the Mt. McGregor facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

SARATOGA COUNTY

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	Appropi	Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Mt. McGregor	1,352.2	2,233.9	576.3	4,162.4	953.7	756.0
McGregor Camp ¹	: 	. 	: 	0.0		· ·
TOTAL	1,352.2	2,233.9	576.3	4,162.4	953.7	756.0

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The facility's budget is administered by the Mt. McGregor facility.}$

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Capital District Regional Planning Commission 2nd Floor, 214 Canal Square Schenectady, New York 12305

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Judicial Building Schenectady, New York 12305

Probation Department County Office Building Schenectady, New York 12307

Assigned Counsel Plan 835 Union Street Schenectady, New York 12308

Public Defender's Office 615 State Street Schenectady, New York 12305

Sheriff's Department 320 Veeder Avenue Schenectady, New York 12307

Youth Bureau 620 State Street Schenectady, New York 12307

County Court 612 State Street Schenectady, New York 12305

Family Court 620 State Street Schenectady, New York 12307

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Schenectady Police Department 531 Liberty Street Schenectady, New York 12305 Town of Glenville Police Department 18 Glenridge Road Scotia, New York 12302

Town of Rotterdam Police Department 101 Princetown Road Rotterdam, New York 12306

*Population 25,000 and above.

¥.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

			Region	CAPITAL	
		Co	ounty Seat	SCHENECTADY	
•		Squ	are Miles	206	
Population	Percent	Change	1970-1980	-6.9%	

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	149,946	22	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	727.9	12	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	71,262 78,684	NÁ NA	47.5% 52.5%	53 10
Race: White Non-White Unknown	143,439 5,901 606	NA NA NA	95:7% 3.9% 0.4%	39 23 33
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,377	NA	0.9%	30
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	18,736 14,235 7,842 14,610 94,523	NA NA NA NA NA	12.5% 9.5% 5.2% 9.7% 63.0%	53 23 58 37 7

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	94,523	100.0%	7
8th Grade or Less	13,561	14.3%	50
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	13,371 35,700	14.1% 37.8%	53 32
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	14,597 17,293	15.4% 18.3%	14 12

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.
II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

		POP	Table 3 ULATION 1982-198	6		
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Rank 1986
Population	149,400	150,500	150,900	150,585	150,200	22
Density Per Square Mile	725.2	730.6	732.5	731.0	729.1	12

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		Table 4 INCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	12,185	12,951	14,214	11

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

				School Year	•		Percent Rank
		1981-82	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	383 4.6%	340 4.3%	365 4.9%	294 4.1%	264 3.7%	40

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

	UNEMPLO	Table 6 YMENT: 1984-19	386		
				1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	3,866 5.5%	3,175 4.5%	3,518 4.8%	52

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

		PUBLIC ASS	Table 7 SISTANCE: 1982	-1986			
		1982		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	8,162 5.5%	8,910 5,9%	6,462 4.3%	5,861 3.9%	5,238 3.5%	32

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Ē

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

			Number of Cr	1986 C Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	0,000			
	 1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	5,120	4,968	4,887	5,073	5,294	3,500.7	19	3.4%
VIOLENT	230	265	381	288	324	214.2	32	40.9%
MURDER	1	4	. 1	3	2	1.3	45	100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	11	21	37	20	15	9.9	42	36.4%
ROBBERY	108	129	169	114	134	88.6	16	24.1%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	110	111	174	151	173	114.4	41	57.3%
PROPERTY	4,890	4,703	4,506	4,785	4,970	3,286.5	18	1.6%
BURGLARY	1,971	1,441	1,480	1,443	1,631	1,078.5	12	-17.3%
LARCENY	2,667	2,998	2,762	3,091	3,111	2,057.2	24	16.6%
MV THEFT	252	264	264	251	228	150.8	17	-9.5%

Table 1	
INDEX CRIMES	
KNOWN TO THE POLICE	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	<u></u>	Numb	er of Arres		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	14 OI	
		1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	2,495	2,465	2,600	2,302	2,610	1,737.7 42	4.5%
FELONY	472	499	571	527	601	400.1 34	27.3%
VIOLENT	157	141	165	142	138	91.9 43	-12.1%
OTHER	315	358	406	385	463	308.3 29	47.0%
MISDEMEANOR	2,023	1,966	2,029	1,775	2,009	1,337.6 42	-0.7%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

	F	ELONY AND MISDEMEANO (R ARRESTS: JANUA Adults Only)	RY THRU JUNE 1	987	
	· · ·		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
		ARRESTS	1,367	8.0%		
		FELONY ²	328	7.5%		
		VIOLENT	77	8.5%		
		OTHER	251	7.3%		
		MISDEMEANOR	1,039	8.1%		

TABLE 2B

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

Table 2C ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only) 15 Pe

		Number of Arrests					1986 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	1	.1	2	2	3	2.0	28	200.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	6	5	21	10	8	5.3	39	33.3%
ROBBERY	33	26	43	44	44	29.3	15	33.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	61	48	48	39	39	26.0	47	-36.1%
BURGLARY	126	103	104	91	101	67.2	52	-19.8%
LARCENY ²	424	460	414	446	476	316.9	36	12.3%
							1	· · · · ·

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	55	78	72	80	106	70.6	36	92.7%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE	20	60	41	A-7	77	F1 0	n é	150 74
(PL 220)	30	60	41	47	77	51.3	34	156.7%
POSSESSION	19	45	20	33	63	41.9	31	231.6%
SALE	7	14	16	12	8	5.3	44	14.3%
OTHER	4,	1	5	2	6	4.0	27	50.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	25	18	31	33	29	19.3	34	16.0%
POSSESSION	16	14	18	27	16	10.7	46	0.0%
SALE	9	4	13	6	13	8.7	19	44.4%

Table 2D ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Ta	ple	2E	
la	ble	2E	

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

(Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arrests			1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Orivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	875	869	944	767	734	6.5 41	-16.1%
FELONY	58	69	87	71	74	0.7 26	27.6%
MISDEMEANOR	817	800	857	696	660	5.8 42	-19.2%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Ta	h	16	2	з.	A
1 4	υ			5	л

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	165 100.0%	198 100.0%	197 100.0%	236 100.0%	170 100.0%	3.0%
VIOLENT	69 41.8%	59 29.8%	62 31.5%	59 25.0%	48 28.2%	-30.4%
OTHER	96 58.2%	139 70.2%	135 68.5%	177 75.0%	122 71.8%	27.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		· .
FELONY INDICTMENTS	136	61.9%		
VIOLENT	41	70.8%		
OTHER	95	58.3%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		(Adu 1	ts Only)			
	, , ,		d Percent of Di Resulting from and Misdemeanor		·····	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	2,139 100.0%	2,150 100.0%	2,195 100.0%	2,050 100.0%	2,242 100.0%	4.8%
CONVICTIONS	1,512 70,7%	1,663 77.3%	1,654 75.4%	1,565 76.3%	1,727 77,0%	14.2%
ACQUITTALS	11 0.5%	4 0.2%	5 0.2%	7 0.3%	7 0.3%	-36.4%
DISMISSALS	602 28.1%	472 22.0%	514 23.4%	448 21.9%	475 21.2%	-21.1%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 0.2%	2 0.1%	NA
OTHER	14 0.7%	10 0.5%	22 1.0%	25 1.2%	31 1.4%	121.4%

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	·····	Resulting	from Felony Ar	rests		% Channe
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	368 100.0%	409 100.0%	395 100.0%	461 100.0%	500 100.0%	35.9%
CONVICTIONS	296 80.4%	346 84.6%	328 83.0%	374 81.1%	414 82.8%	39.9%
ACQUITTALS	7 1.9%	2 0.5%	2 0.5%	4 0.9%	3 0.6%	-57.1%
DISMISSALS	56 15.2%	56 13.7%	64 16.2%	69 15.0%	71 14.2%	26.8%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	3 0.7%	2 0.4%	NA
OTHER	9 2.4%	4 1.0%	1 0.3%	11 2.4%	10 2.0%	11.1%

Table 48

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER	OFFENSE CONVICTIONS*
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND M	IISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only	·)

		R	Percent of Conv esulting from <u>Misdemeanor Ar</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	1,512 100.0%	1,663 100.0%	1,654 100.0%	1,565 100.0%	1,727 100.0%	14.2%
FELONY	104 6.9%	114 6.9%	141 8.5%	166 10.6%	138 8.0%	32.7%
VIOLENT	37 2.4%	37 2.2%	26 1.6%	41 2.6%	35 2.0%	-5.4%
OTHER	67 4.4%	77 4.6%	115 7.0%	125 8.0%	103 6.0%	53.7%
MISDEMEANOR	743 49.1%	775 46.6%	729 44.1%	717 45.8%	803 46.5%	8.1%
LESSER OFFENSES	665 44.0%	774 46.5%	784 47 . 4%	682 43.6%	786 45.5%	18.2%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY,	MISDEMEANOR, AND	LESSER	OFFENSE	CONVICTIONS
	RESULTING FROM	FELONY	ARRESTS	
	(Adult:	s Önly)		

		Resulting f	rom Felony Arr	ests	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	296 100.0%	346 100.0%	328 100.0%	374 100.0%	414 100.0%	39.9%
FELONY	94 31.8%	110 31.8%	134 40.9%	156 41.7%	137 33.1%	45.7%
VIOLENT	37 12.5%	37 10.7%	26 7.9%	41 11.0%	35 8.5%	-5.4%
OTHER	57 19.3%	73 21.1%	108 32.9%	115 30.7%	102 24.6%	78.9%
MISDEMEANOR	181 61.1%	196 56.6%	164 50.0%	194 51.9%	239 57.7%	32.0%
LESSER OFFENSES	21 7.1%	40 11.6%	30 9.1%	24 6.4%	38 9.2%	81.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982		<u> 1984 </u>		2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	2.5	1.6	1.8	2.7	2.6
LOCAL JAIL	15.0	17.3	17.2	19.0	17.3
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.8	3.4	3.4	2.0	3.6
PROBATION	9.2	10.5	9.4	12.8	11.6
FINES	61.6	58.3	60.8	54.6	54.1
OTHER	8.8	9.0	7.4	8.8	10.7

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹ (Adults Only)

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity. 1

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

		Sentences	as a Percent of Co	onvictions	: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	1983 Misd & Felony Lesser	<u>1984</u> Misd & Felony Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	<u>1986</u> 2 Misd & Felony Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%
STATE PRISON	36.5 NA	22.8 NA	20.6 NA	25.9 NA	32.6 NA
LOCAL JAIL	7.7 15.6	12.3 17.7	9.9 17.9	12.7 19.8	11.6 17.8
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	26.9 1.1	28.1 1.5	34.0 0.5	13.3 0.7	23.9 1.9
PROBATION	25.0 8.0	35.1 8.7	32.6 7.3	46.4 8.9	31.2 9.9
FINES	1.0 66.1	1.8 62.4	2.1 66.2	1.2 60.9	0.7 58.8
OTHER	2.9 9.2	0.0 9.7	0.7 8.1	0.6 9.7	0.0 11.6

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

		N: m	ber of Case	e.		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982		1984	1985_	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
	<u></u>					<u> </u>	Natix	02 43 00
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,551	1,493	1,676	1,865	2,032	1,352.9	16	31.0%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	1,122	1,036	990	1,152	1,293	860.9	25	15.2%
FAMILY COURT	308	258	250	267	274	182.4	24	-11.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	814	778	740	885	1,019	678.4	21	25.2%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	377	287	249	349	411	273.6	5	9.0%
FAMILY COURT	19	13	9	17	19	12.6	29	0.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	358	274	240	332	392	261.0	3	9.5%
PROPATION								
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	387	439	398	401	411	273.6	28	6.2%
FAMILY COURT	110	128	94	90	67	44.6	37	-39.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	277	311	304	311	344	229.0	24	24.2%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	896	1,074	1,185	1,253	1,279	851.5	27	42.7%
FAMILY COURT	217	242	214	213	156	103.9	24	-28.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	679	832	971	1,040	1,123	747.7	24	65.4%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	635	786	852	868	898	597.9	26	41.4%
FAMILY COURT	114	119	123	89	79	52.6	26	-30.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	521	667	729	779	819	545.3	26	57.2%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

	- - -	Number	of Revocat	tions	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Probationers		00	
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	38	40	45	57	46	36.0	46	21.1%
FAMILY COURT	17	21	8	25	12	76.9	26	-29.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	21	19	37	32	34	30.3	47	61.9%
TECHNICAL	7	8	19	16	23	20.5	34	228.6%
NEW CONVICTION	14	11	18	16	11	9.8	44	-21.4%

TABLE 7B PROBATION REVOCATIONS

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

<u> </u>	PRETRIAL	RELEASE	PROGRAM:	1986		
			Number	-	<u>Percent</u>	
CASES	SCREENED		. 909	9	NA	
CASES	INTERVIEWED		414	1	100.0%	
RELI	EASED ¹		27) .	65.2%	

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8C

COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

						198 Admiss Per 10	ions 10,000	
	1982	<u>Numbe</u>	<u>r of Admiss</u>	<u>1985</u>	1986	<u> Popula</u> <u> Rate</u>	<u>tion</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,578	1,703	1,699	1,857	1,927	1,283.0	27	22.1%
UNSENTENCED	1,146	1,213	1,215	1,384	1,458	970.7	24	27.2%
SENTENCED	432	490	484	473	469	312.3	31	8.6%

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

		COUNT	Y JAIL CAP	PACITY AND	POPULATION			
			-		- - - -		1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	.
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	-	<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	88	88	88	88	88		58.6 46	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	64	68	83	103	93		61.9 37	45.3%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	57	49	97	95	101		67.2 32	77.2%
UNSENTENCED	51	34	62	76	74		49.3 16	45.1%
SENTENCED	6	15	35	19	27		18.0 48	350.0%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B _...

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

		1986 New Court Committments Number of New Court Commitments Population								1 0
	19	82	1983	1984	1985	1986 2		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS		31	23	23	37	36		24.0	39	16.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³		19	16	11	16	20		13.3	29	5.3%
HOMICIDE		0	1	0	0	1		0.7	40	NA
SEX OFFENSE		1	4	3	11	4		2.7	28	300.0%
ROBBERY		8	1	2	. 4	7		4.7	17	-12.5%
BURGLARY		2	7	4	1	4		2.7	38	100.0%
WEAPONS		5	, 1	1	0	Ũ		0.0	25	-100.0%
OTHER		3	2	. 1	0	4		2.7	15	33.3%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴		1	0	1	2	2		1.3	43	100.0%
POSSESSION		0	0	0	0	2		1.3	28	NA
SALE		1	0	1	2	0		0.0	43	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES		9	7	9	17	14		9.3	44	55.6%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS		2	0	2	2	0		0.0	32	-100.0%

Table 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

 1 The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

Table 108-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

			nmates Unde ison on Dec	<u></u>	Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula			
	1982			1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	87	76	67	82	87	57.9	38	0.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	58	59	50	52	59	39.3	32	1.7%
HOMICIDE	2	3	2	2	. 4 1	2.7	58	100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	. 7 .	10	10	19	21	14.0	15	200.0%
ROBBERY	21	15	14	16	14	9.3	24	-33.3%
BURGLARY	11	17	15	10	9	6.0	40	-18.2%
WEAPONS	10	6	4	2	3	2.0	19	-70.0%
OTHER	7.	8	5	3	8	5.3	23	14.3%
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	0	1	3	, 3 .	2.0	49	50.0%
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	2	1.3	41	NA
SALE	2	0	1	3	1	0.7	47	-50.0%
OTHER FELONIES	23	16	14	25	24	16.0	42	4.3%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	4	1	2	2	1	0.7	30	-75.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

SCOCUT OF THUSTO		1983	1984	1985	
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0,2%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

		Numb	er of Parol	205		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982				1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	55	49	37	51	39	25.0 31	-29.1%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	124	140	138	146	142	94.5 20	14.5%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	91	101	95	103	93	61.9 22	2.2%

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Parole	es	1986 Revocation Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>	1 1	
- -	1982	1983	1984		1986	<u>Rate</u> Ran	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	12	7	13	15	15	10.0 17	25.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	2	. 1	1	1	· 3	2.0 20	50.0%
NEW ARRESTS	8	5	7	11	6	4.0 26	-25.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	2	1	5	3	6	4.0 15	200.0%

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The total number of parelees revoked and returned during a given year.}$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

: :	1	,	,				
	<u> </u>			Number of C	ases		
	1982		1983	1984			% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	1		0	0	1	1	0.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	1		0	0	0	0	-100.0%
DISPOSITIONS	3		· 0 [·]	0	0	. 1	-66.7%
CONVICTIONS	3		0	. 0	0	0	-100.0%
PRISON	1		0	0	0	0	-100.0%
LOCAL JAIL	0		0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2		0	ана н ст. О	0	0	-100.0%
PROBATION	0		· · · · 0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0		0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0		0	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ŇA
DISMISSALS	0		0	0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0		0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0		0	0	0	1	NA
OTHER	-0		0	0	0	0	NA

Table 12

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{JUVENILE OFFENDERS} \\ \text{ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS}^1 \end{array}$

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

1

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

			Expenditures	······		Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>		
Dept. of Correctional Services	37.3	45.3	70.5	87.3	133.9%	0.0	0.0		
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	34.1	62.1		
Division of Criminal Justice Services	34.6	2.0	241.0	191.4	453.3%	213.8	179.6		
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	243.9	281.3	304.6	418.2	71.5%	403.5	455.5		
Division for Youth ³	515.8	626.2	977.4	1,390.8	169.6%	1,050.7	952.8		
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10.0	21.6	NA	26.6			
TOTAL	831.6	954.8	1,603.6	2,109.2	153.6%	1,728.6	1,650.0		

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

Table 1

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 4 The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Board of Prisoners ¹								
Felons	13.6	12.6	31.8	42.5	211.4%	1		
Parole Violators	22.0	32.0	37.4	35.4	61.0%			
State Readies ²	·		·	. 8.0	NA			
Prisoner Transfer ¹	1.7	0.8	1.4	1.4	-15.5%	••••••		
TOTAL	37.3	45.3	70.5	87.3	133.9%	0.0	0.0	

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropri	ations
<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	34.1	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	62.1
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	34.1	62.1
	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	% Change FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA	FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 FY 86-87 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 34.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 0.0

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY_86-87	Y 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative	. 		28.8	15.2	NA		
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		0.0	30.0	26.3	NA	22.0	10.0
Indigent Parolee Program ³	3.1	2.0	3.4	0.0	-100.0%		
Justice Assistance Act ⁴	, - -		. 		NA	18.2	
Juvenile Justice ⁵ and Delinquency Prevention	31.5	0.0	40.0	30.7	-2.5%	40.0	13.1
Narcotics Control ⁶					NA		18.7
Neighborhood ⁷ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	10.0	3.0	NA		
Soft Body Armour ⁸ Reimbursement Program	m		4.9	0.0	NA	, ¹	. · · · · ·

Continued - Next Page

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Expenditures				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Target Crime ⁹ Initiative Program	0.0	0.0	123.9	116.1	NA	133.5	137.8
TOTAL	34.6	2.0	241.0	191.4	453.3%	213.8	179.6

¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 2 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

- ³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.
- ⁴ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.
- ⁵ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.
- ⁶ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.
- ⁷ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.
- ⁸ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.
- This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

Table 5

.

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	·		Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ¹ Alternatives			· ·	24.6	NA	31.2	32.8
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.9	NA	0.0	0.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	25.2	27.9	34.9	26.1	3.6%	28.6	27.9
PINS Program ²					NA		8.3
Regular State Aid	218.7	253.5	269.8	291.7	33.4%	343.7	386.5
TOTAL	243.9	281.3	304.6	418.2	71.5%	403.5	455.5

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

² This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

<u>Out in a second of the second</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY_85-86</u> 1	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Detention							
Non-Secure	48.5	37.0	62.9	88.1	81.8%	55.1	66.1
Job Development ²				-	NA	80.5	73.1
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	105.2	127.6	122.6	94.1	-10.6%	103.7	89.4
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	10.0	10.0	45.0	NA	60.0	60.2
Voluntary Agency Care	97.5	192.0	526.0	506.6	419.8%	351.0	326.5
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	264.7	259.6	255.9	657.1	148.2%	400.3	337.6
TOTAL	515.8	626.2	977.4	1,390.8	169.6%	1,050.7	952.8

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

 					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>		
		DOCS Facilities	 *			
		DFY Facilities	14	14		
		TOTAL	14	14		

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations	
	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
DOCS Facilities		· ···			0.0%	· · · ·		
DFY Facilities	215.6	256.7	296.8	249.3	15.6%	251.2	274.8	
TOTAL	215.6	256.7	296.8	249.3	15.6%	251.2	274.8	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	tures		Approp	Appropriations	
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>		<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
DOCS Facilities			. 	·		ан 1 — Ан	
DFY Facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.2	20.0	
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.2	20.0	

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

	.			
Facility	Security ₁ _Level	Capacity	Staff	
Schenectady Community Urban Home	VI	14	14	

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures					Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Schenectady Community Urban Home	215.6	256.7	296.8	249.3	15.6%	251.2	274.8

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Schenectady Community Urban Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.2	20.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box H Schoharie, New York 12157

Probation Department Box 433, Court House Schoharie, New York 12157

Assigned Counsel Plan Main Street Schoharie, New York 12157 Sheriff's Department 360 Main Street Schoharie, New York 12157

Youth Bureau Development Agency Box 548 Schoharie, New York 12157

County Court Court House Schoharie, New York 12157

Family Court Court House Schoharie, New York 12157 SCHOHARIE COUNTY

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Region	CAPITAL
County Seat	SCHOHARIE
Square Miles	624
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	20.0%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1

Number Percent Number Rank Rank Percent 29,710 Population 58 100.0% NA 47.6 Density Per Square Mile 55 NA NA Sex: Male 14,549 NA 49.0% 24 Female 15,161 51.0% NA 39 98.4% Race: White 29,247 NA 20 Non-White NA 1.2% 364 43 Unknown 99 NA 0.3% 36 Ethnicity: Hispanic 1.1% 323 NA 23 9 and Under 3,835 NA 12.9% 48 Age: 59 2 10 to 15 3,003 NA 10.1% 16 to 18 2,246 NA 7.6% 19 to 24 13.2% 9 3,929 NA 25 and Over 16,697 NA 56.2% 53

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	16,697	100.0%	53
8th Grade or Less	3,023	18.1%	21
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	2,962 6,659	17.7% 39.9%	21 12
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	2,134 1,919	12.8% 11.5%	55 46

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

		POPU	Table 3 LATION 1982-1986			
			1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	29,600	30,100	30,300	29,799	29,800	58
Density Per Square Mile	47.4	48.2	48.6	47.8	47.8	55

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		able 4 NCOME: 1982-1984	1	
	1982	1983	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	7,894	8,184	9,004	59

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

PUBLIC	HIGH	SCHOOL	DROPOUTS:	1981-82	TO	1985-86

				School Year	· · ·	•	Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students						•	
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	82 4.2%	86 4.4%	69 3.8%	60 3.4%	67 3.8%	36

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

	UNEMPLO	Table 6 YMENT: 1984-198	36		а. — ¹
		1984		1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,044 8.1%	1,056 7.9%	1,065 7.9%	25

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

	PUBLIC	Table 7 ASSISTANCE: 198	32-1986			
	198	<u> </u>	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number 1,2 Percent 4.		1,230 4.1%	1,085 3.6%	1,057 3.5%	31

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of Crimes						
	1982	1983			1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	776	776	715	732	664	2,188.0	53	-14.4%
VIOLENT	45	23	30	22	36	118.6	49	-20.0%
MURDER	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	52	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	2	0	6	1	1	3.3	58	-50.0%
ROBBERY	2	2	2	0	2	6.6	53	0.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	41	21	22	21	33	108.7	44	-19.5%
PROPERTY	731	753	685	710	628	2,069.4	5 3	-14.1%
BURGLARY	221	220	215	268	190	626.1	41	-14.0%
LARCENY	490	509	453	423	421	1,387.3	50	-14.1%
MV THEFT	20	24	17	19	17	56.0	50	-15.0%

Table 1

•

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

.

<u>]]</u>

				(Adult	s Only)				
	· · ·		Numb	er of Arres	ts		Per	36 Arrests r 100,000 ppulation	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Ra	ate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS		556	547	652	667	810	2,71	18.1 8	45.7%
FELONY		135	75	105	92	141	47	73.2 20 •	4.4%
VIOLENT		21	13	21	26	33	11	10.7 32	57.1%
OTHER		114	62	84	66	108	36	62.4 16	-5.3%
MISDEMEANOR		421	472	547	575	669	2,24	45.0 6	58.9%

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987 (Adults Only)

	<u>Number¹</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
ARRESTS	312	-24.5%	
FELONY ²	41	-39.7%	
VIOLENT	9	-40.0%	
OTHER	32	-39.6%	
MISDEMEANOR	271	-21.4%	

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.
SCHOHARIE COUNTY

	·····				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Numbe	r of Arrests	3		1986 Ar Per 100 <u>Popula</u>	,000	
			1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	46	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	1	0	2	0	0	0.0	59	-100.0%
ROBBERY	0	· 1	0	0	2	6.7	41	NA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	9	5	5	10	11	36.9	34	22.2%
BURGLARY	33	24	26	34	26	87.2	37	-21.2%
LARCENY ²	69	121	119	116	153	513.4	7	121.7%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 2 Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

		Num	ber of Arrest	<u>s</u>	· · ·	1986 Arre Per 100,0 Populat)00 ion
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	X Change Rank <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	37	15	19	7	12	40.3	54 -67.6%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	30	11	6	4	7	23.5	53 -76.7%
POSSESSION	18	10	5	3	5	16.8	53 -72.2%
SALE	11	1	. 1	1	2	6.7	38 -81.8%
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0.0	47 ~100.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	7	4	13	3	5	16.8	42 -28.6%
POSSESSION	3	3	7	2	3	10.1	47 0.0%
SALE	4	1	6	1	2	6.7	26 -50.0%

Table 2D

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES}^1 \\ \text{(Adults Only)} \end{array}$

1 Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arrest:	3		Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	173	166	223	227	230	11.9	7	32.9%
FELONY	5	11	12	10	4	0.2	50	-20.0%
MISDEMEANOR	168	155	211	217	226	11.7	5	34.5%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984	1985		% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	18 100.0%	5 100.0%	4 100.0%	21 100.0%	48 100.0%	166.7%		
VIOLENT	6 33.3%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	6 28.6%	9 18.8%	50.0%		
OTHER	12 66.7%	2 40.0%	4 100.0%	15 71.4%	39 81.3%	225.0%		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		<u>_Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>			
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	21	5.0%			
	VIOLENT	4	100.0%			
	OTHER	17	-5.6%			

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

			d Percent of Dia Resulting from and Misdemeanor		- · · · ·	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> _2	82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	313 100.0%	302 100.0%	344 100.0%	466 100.0%	527 100.0%	68.4%
CONVICTIONS	248 79.2%	234 77.5%	238 69.2%	339 72.7%	369 70.0%	48.8%
ACQUITTALS	6 1.9%	4 1.3%	2 0.6%	4 0.9%	1 0.2%	-83.3%
DISMISSALS	55 17.6%	64 21.2%	102 29.7%	120 25.8%	149 28.3%	170.9%
NOT PROSECUTED	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
OTHER	3 1.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.6%	2 0.4%	8 1.5%	166.7%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	01310	(Adul	ts Only)	ARRESTS		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Percent of Disp from Felony Ar		······	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	83 100.0%	66 100.0%	73 100.0%	74 100.0%	103 100.0%	24.1%
CONVICTIONS	71 85.5%	55 83.3%	56 76.7%	59 79.7%	85 82.5%	19.7%
ACQUITTALS	2 2.4%	3 4.5%	1 1.4%	2 2.7%	1 1.0%	-50.0%
ŅISMISSALS	8 9.6%	8 12.1%	15 20.5%	12 16.2%	13 12.6%	62.5%
NOT PROSECUTED	1 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
OTHER	1 1.2%	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	1 1.4%	4 3.9%	300.0%

. Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. 1

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>				
CONVICTIONS	248 100.0%	234 100.0%	238 100.0%	339 100.0%	369 100.0%	48.8%				
FELONY	29 11.7%	21 9.0%	27 11.3%	28 8.3%	34 9.2%	17.2%				
VIOLENT	6 2.4%	5 2.1%	7 2.9%	8 2.4%	2 0.5%	-66.7%				
OTHER	23 9.3%	16 6.8%	20 8.4%	20 5.9%	32 8.7%	39.1%				
MISDEMEANOR	140 56.5%	114 48.7%	101 42.4%	140 41.3%	158 42.8%	12.9%				
LESSER OFFENSES	79 31.9%	99 42.3%	110 46.2%	171 50.4%	177 48.0%	124.1%				

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			rcent of Convic rom Felony Arre			% Change
	1982	1983		1985	1986 2	82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	71 100.0%	55 100.0%	56 100.0%	59 100.0%	85 100.0%	19.7%
FELONY	25 35.2%	19 34.5%	25 44.6%	26 44.1%	30 35.3%	20.0%
VIOLENT	6 8.5%	5 9.1%	7 12.5%	8 13.6%	2 2.4%	-66.7%
OTHER	19 26.8%	14 25.5%	18 32.1%	18 30.5%	28 32.9%	47.4%
MISDEMEANOR	41 57.7%	31 56.4%	30 53.6%	29 49.2%	48 56.5%	17.1%
LESSER OFFENSES	5 7.0%	5 9.1%	1 1.8%	4 6.8%	7 8.2%	40.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982		1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	4.0	2.6	3.4	3.5	1.4						
LOCAL JAIL	15.7	11.5	7.1	4.1	9.8						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	6.5	7.7	8.8	4.1	7.6						
PROBATION	6.5	9.8	8.0	5.3	7.0						
FINES	51.2	53.4	63.0	72.3	66.7						
OTHER	16.1	15.0	9.7	10.6	7.6						

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

					Sentences	s as a Per	cent of C	onviction	S	· · ·	•••••••
			982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		9 <u>86</u> 2 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON		34.5	NA	28.6	NA	29.6	NA	42.9	NA	14.7	NA
LOCAL JAIL		13.8	16.0	14.3	11.3	11.1	6.6	10.7	3.5	17.6	9.0
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION		44.8	1.4	47.6	3.8	51.9	3.3	35.7	1.3	55.9	2,.7
PROBATION		6,9	6.4	9.5	9.9	7.4	8.1	10,7	4.8	11.8	6.6
FINES		0.0	58.0	0.0	58.7	0.0	71.1	0.0	78.8	0.0	73.4
OTHER		0.0	18.3	0.0	16.4	0.0	10.9	0.0	11.6	0.0	8.4
				÷ .							

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

		Num	<u>ber of Case</u>		1986 Cases Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	78	78	35	82	87	291.9 55	11.5%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	144	142	149	142	182	610.7 45	26.4%
FAMILY COURT	32	30	40	41	42	140.9 33	31.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	112	112	109	101	140	469.8 43	25.0%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	0	4	11	3	1	3.4 49	NA
FAMILY COURT	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0 44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	0	4	11	3	1	3,4 33	NA
-	U U				•	0.4 00	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	35	66	62	53	76	255.0 41	117.1%
FAMILY COURT	4	10	. 7	6	8	26.8 54	100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	31	56	55	47	68	228.2 25	119.4%
UNDER PROBATION ²					.		•
SUPERVISION	132	157	176	188	213	714.8 40	61.4%
FAMILY COURT	14	13	15	16	18	60.4 49	28.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	118	144	161	172	195	654.4 25	65.3%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON							
DECEMBER 31	91	114	135	137	156	523.5 40	71.4%
FAMILY COURT	3	8	10	10	7	23.5 53	133.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	88	106	125	127	149	500.0 34	69.3%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

		Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocat Per 1,(<u>Probatic</u>	000	
		1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	5	3	5	5	12	56.3	24	140.0%
FAMILY COURT	2	0	0	0	1	55.6	35	-50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	3	3	5	5	11	56.4	24	266.7%
TECHNICAL	2	1	1	1	5	25.6	30	150.0%
NEW CONVICTION	1	2	4	4	6	30.8	13	500.0%

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

	Тa	b	le	: 8	SC.
--	----	---	----	-----	-----

COMMUNITY SERVICE	PROGRAM:	1986
	<u>Number</u>	Percent
CASES SENTENCED	21	NA
CASES DISCHARGED	14	100.0%
SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	14	100.0%

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correct anal Alternatives.

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

						1986 Admissions Per 100,000	
		Number	of Admis	sions		Population	M O
		1983	1984_	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	367	304	366	328	410	1,375.8 21	11.7%
UNSENTENCED	260	235	281	265	318	1,067.1 20	22.3%
SENTENCED	107	69	85	63	92	308.7 32	-14.0%

Table 9A COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

		а — ^с				1986 In Per 10 Popula	0,000	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
REPORTED CAPACITY	18	21	21	21	21	70.5	36	16.7%		
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	18	15	20	15	16	53.7	46	-11.1%		
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	26	14	19	13	21	70.5	29	-19.2%		
UNSENTENCED	13	10	13	9	.11	36.9	32	-15.4%		
SENTENCED	13	4	6	4	10	33.6	21	-23.1%		

Table 98 COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

		5 	New	Number of Court Comm			New Commit Per 1	1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population		
		1982				2	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS		6	. 8	8	7	3	10.1	59	-50.0%	
VIOLENT FELONIES ³		4	. 4	4	.7	2	6.7	44	-50.0%	
HOMICIDE		0	0	0	2	0	0.0	43	NA	
SEX OFFENSE		. 0	1	1	1	- 1	3.4	22	NA	
ROBBERY		. O	2	0	0	0	0.0	43	NA	
BURGLARY		3	, C) · · · · 1	3	1	3.4	33	-66.7%	
WEAPONS		0	C	0		0	0.0	25	NA	
OTHER		1	1	. 2	1	0	0.0	37	-100.0%	
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	•	0	1	. 0	а С	0	0.0	51	NÁ	
POSSESSION		0	C) . 0	0	0	0.0	37	NÁ	
SALE		0	1	. 0	0	0	0.0	43	NA	
OTHER FELONIES		2	3	4	0	1	3.4	60	-50.0%	
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS		0	. 0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA	

Table 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

SCHOHARIE_COUNTY

Table 108-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Number of Inmates Under Custody Per 100,000 in State Prison on December 31Population_									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
UNDER CUSTODY	11	13	15	17	15	50.3 43	36.4%			
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	7	9	8	14	12	40.3 30	71.4%			
HOMICIDE	1	1	0	2	2	6.7 45	100.0%			
SEX OFFENSE	1	2	2	3	5	16.8 11	400.0%			
ROBBERY	0	2	2	2	1	3.4 44	NA			
BURGLARY	3	2	2	4	3	10.1 30	0.0%			
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 32	NA			
OTHER	2	2	2	3	1	3.4 37	-50.0%			
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	. 1	0	0	0	0.0 55	NA			
POSSESSION	0	0	, O -	0	0	. 0.0 43	NA			
SALE	0	1	0	0	0	0.0 49	NA			
OTHER FELONIES	. 4	3	7	3	3	10.1 56	-25.0%			
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 33	NA			

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 2 Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PERCENT OF INMATES	1982		1984	1985	
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Numbe	r of Parole	es		P	1986 Parolees er 100,000 opulation	
		1983	1984	1985	1986	R	ate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	1	4	1	4	4		13.4 52	300.0%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	7	9	7	6	9	· ·	30.2 54	28.6%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	5	6	2	5	7		23.5 52	40.0%

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS.

				Nur	nber of	Paro	lees								
	_19	82	1	983	19	84	19	85	19	86	R	ate	Rank	% Chang <u>82 vs 8</u>	
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹		0		1		2		1		0		0.0	52	N	NA
RULE VIOLATIONS		0		0		2		1		0		0.0	36	N	NA
NEW ARRESTS		0		, 1		0		0		0		0.0	49	Ň	NA -
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS		0	•	0		0		. 0		0		0.0	36	N	NA.

 $^{1}\ {\rm The}\ {\rm total}\ {\rm number}\ {\rm of}\ {\rm parolees}\ {\rm revoked}\ {\rm and}\ {\rm returned}\ {\rm during}\ {\rm a}\ {\rm given}\ {\rm year}.$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

		1		1	Number o	f Cases			, 1 ,	1		
		1982	1	983_	198		198	5	198	<u>6</u>	% Cha <u>82 v</u> s	inge <u>s 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	4 - ¹	0		0		0		0		0		NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS		0		0		0		0		0		NA
DISPOSITIONS		0		0		0		0		0	•	NA
CONVICTIONS		0		0		0		0		0		NA
PRISON		0		0		0		0		0		NA
LOCAL JAIL		0		0		0		0		0		NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION		0		0		0		0		0		NA
PROBATION		0		0		0		0 .		0		NA
OTHER		0		0		0		0		0		NA
ACQUITTALS		0		0		0		0		0		NA
DISMISSALS		0		0		0		0		0		NA
NOT PROSECUTED		0		0	· · · ·	0		0		0		NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT		0		0		0		0		0		NA
OTHER		0		Ŏ		0		0		0		NA

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

(Thousands of Dollars) Expenditures Appropriations % Change Program FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 FY 86-87 FY 87-88 Dept. of **Correctional Services** 0.0 58.7 16.1 33.0 70,059.6% 0.0 0.0 Division of Criminal Justice Services 0.0 0.9 2.5 2.1 NA 3.0 15.7 Division of Probation² and Correctional Alternatives 31.3 39.8 40.2 48.3 54.5% 63.9 82.2 Division for Youth³ 52.4 80.3 89.5 219.4 319.1% 118.8 130.8 TOTAL 83.7 179.7 148.3 302.8 261.9% 185.6 228.8

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 1 LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
<u>Program</u> Board of Prisoners ¹	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Felons	0.0	53.4	13.9	27.1	NA			
Parole Violators	0.0	4.8	1.8	4.0	NA	-		
State Readies ²		. 		1.6	NA			
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.3	495.7%			
TOTAL	0.0	58.7	16.1	33.0	70,059.6%	0.0	0.0	

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Program

Indigent

and Delinquency Prevention

Neighborhood⁵ Preservation Crime

Prevention Act

TOTAL

Soft Body Armour⁶ Reimbursement Program

Narcotics Control⁴

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

FY 87-88

10.0

2.4

3.2

15.7

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars) Expenditures Appropriations % Change FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 85-86 FY 84-85 82 vs 85 FY 86-87 Crime Prevention¹ and Protection ___ 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 3.0 Parolee Program² 0.0 0.9 0.7 0.0 NA -Juvenile Justice³

0.0

0.0

1.8

2.5

0.0

0.0

2.1

2.1

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

0.0

_ _

_ _

3.0

1 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.9

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county. 2

3 Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

4 This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

5 This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

6 In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

		·····	Approp	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ¹ Alternatives	• •			6.8	NA	10.4	10.9
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing							
Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ŇA	1.2	7.2
PINS Program ²					NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.2
Regular State Aid	31.3	39.8	40.2	41.5	32.6%	52.3	60.0
TOTAL	31.3	39.8	40.2	48.3	54.5%	63.9	82.2

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH	
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING	
(Thousands of Dollars)	

	·		Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY_84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 1	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Detention							
Non-Secure	1.5	5.3	4.1	13.0	789.5%	11.1	3.3
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	13.8	17.7	17.7	19.6	41.9%	17.7	20.4
Voluntary Agency Care	0.0	16.0	23.0	47.6	0.0%	35.5	25.6
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	37.1	41.2	44.6	139.2	275.5%	54.5	81.6
TOTAL	52.4	80.3	89.5	219.4	319.1%	118.8	130.8

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

4	:	,	,		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>		:	•	
				DOCS Facilities	167	72				
				DFY Facilities						
				TOTAL	167	72				
					 •	 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		: 	Expenditure	<u>s</u>	······	Approp	riations
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	1,737.5	1,874.7	2,206.0	2,332.4	34.2%	2,598.4	2,641.5
DFY Facilities	· · · · ·		. 	-	0.0%		
TOTAL	1,737.5	1,874.7	2,206.0	2,332.4	34.2%	2,598.4	2,641.5

TABLE 1C

.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	Appropriations			
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	23.9	192.5	322.1	538.5	239.0	214.0
DFY Facilities				· ·		·
TOTAL	23.9	192.5	322.1	538.5	239.0	214.0

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

	6	· • •		-		Staff	· · · ·
Facility	Secur Leve		<u>Capacity</u>	-	<u>Total</u>	Security	<u>Civiliar</u>
Summit-Camp	MINI	MUM	167		72	46	26
SOURCE: NYS Departme	nt of Correction	al Services	3.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			TABLE 2B				
		FACIL	F OF CORRECTION ITY OPERATION nousands of Dol	BUDGET	S		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Expenditures			Approp	priations
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-88</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Summit-Camp	1,737.5	1,874.7	2,206.0	2,332.4	34.2%	2,598.4	2,641.5
SOURCE: NYS Departme	ent of Correction	nal Services	3				
			TABLE 2C		,		
		FACILITY (T OF CORRECTION CAPITAL INPROVE Dusands of Doll	MENT BUDGE			
		E	xpenditures			Approp	iations
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY_84</u>	<u>-85 FY 85</u>	-86	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Summit-Camp	23.9	192	2.5 32	2.1	538.5	239.0	214.0
SOURCE: NYS Departme	ent of Correction	al Service	e				

TABLE 2A DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations					
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Summit-Camp	1,737.5	1,874.7	2,206.0	2,332.4	34.2%	2,598.4	2,641.5

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

WARREN COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845

Probation Department County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845

Assigned Counsel Plan County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845 Sheriff's Department Municipal Building Lake George, New York 12845

Youth Bureau County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845

County Court County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845

Family Court County Municipal Center Lake George, New York 12845 WARREN COUNTY

Region	CAPITAL
County Seat	TOWN OF QUEENSBURY
Square Miles	882
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	11.0%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	54,854	44	100.0%	NA
Density Pe	r Square Mile	62.2	50	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	26,068 28,786	NA NA	47.5% 52.5%	54 9
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	54,343 436 75	NA NA NA	99.1% 0.8% 0.1%	5 55 60
Ethnici	ty: Hispanic	365	NA	0.7%	41
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	7,984 6,210 3,220 5,127 32,313	NA NA NA NA	14.6% 11.3% 5.9% 9.3% 58.9%	23 40 37 46 31

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	32,313	100.0%	31
8th Grade or Less	4,845	15.0%	44
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	5,224 12,087	16.2% 37.4%	39 36
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	4,879 5,278	15.1% 16.3%	18 14

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

WARREN COUNTY

· ·		POPL	Table 3 JLATION 1982-1986	5	4 ⁴ .	
· · · ·	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	54,900	55,100	55,500	55,640	55,500	44
Density Per Square Mile	62.2	62.5	62.9	63.1	62.9	49

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		able 4 NCOME: 1982-1984		· .
	1982		1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	9,821	10,567	11,624	23

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

				School Year	•		Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students	at some some some	200	940	170	100	100	
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	208 5.9%	246 6.7%	178 5.0%	180 5.2%	168 4.8%	17

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

	UNEMPLO	Table 6 YMENT: 1984-19	86	÷.,	. 4
			1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	2,029 8.3%	1,904 7.6%	2,123 8.1%	21

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

		T PUBLIC ASSIS	able 7 TANCE: 1982-	-1986			
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,690 3.1%	1,663 3.0%	1,599 2.9%	1,361 2.4%	1,048 1.9%	53

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population					
	1982	1983		1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	2,129	2,177	1,799	1,897	1,819	3,270.7	21	-14.6%
VIOLENT	71	55	22	28	36	64.7	59	-49.3%
MURDER	2	0	0	0	1	1.8	37	-50.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	4	3	4	6	10.8	37	50.0%
ROBBERY	6	10	6	7	12	21.6	29	100.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59	41	13	17	17	30.6	62	-71.2%
PROPERTY	2,058	2,122	1,777	1,869	1,783	3,206.0	19	-13.4%
BURGLARY	548	609	502	462	506	909.8	17	-7.7%
LARCENY	1,414	1,427	1,216	1,315	1,197	2,152.3	20	-15.3%
MV THEFT	96	86	59	92	80	143.8	20	-16.7%

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

WARREN COUNTY

			(Adult	s Only)			
		Numb	er of Arres	its		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	1,292	1,685	1,771	1,641	1,822	3,282.9 3	41.0%
FELONY	263	300	313	266	331	596.4 9	25.9%
VIOLENT	77	81	74	66	105	189.2 12	36.4%
OTHER	186	219	239	200	226	407.2 10	21.5%
MISDEMEANOR	1,029	1,385	1,458	1,375	1,491	2,686.5 2	44.9%

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987 (Adults Only)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-Jung 86 vs 87	
ARRESTS	960	12.1%	
FELONY ²	135	-11.2%	
. VIOLENT	27	-43.1%	
OTHER	108	9.1%	
MISDEMEANOR	825	17.2%	

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

		ARRES	TS FOR SELE (Adults	CTED OFFENS Only)	es ¹		
		Numbe	r of Arrest	s		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	1	0	0	0	1	1.8 35	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	1	2	1	2	3.6 51	-60.0%
ROBBERY	5	12	13	4	7	12.6 28	40.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	22	37	29	27	29	52.3 20	31.8%
BURGLARY	85	67	74	66	97	174.8 5	14.1%
LARCENY ²	260	253	309	295	339	610.8 3	30.4%

Table 2C

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

WARREN COUNTY

		Nu	mber of Arrests			1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982		<u> 1984 </u>	· · ·	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	45	82	36	74	110	198.2 9	144.4%
CONTROLLED			•				
SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	36	62	22	58	86	155.0 12	138.9%
POSSESSION	31	57	21	52	80	144.1 10	158.1%
SALE	3	4	1	5	5	9.0 34	66.7%
OTHER	2	1	0	1	1 -	1.8 36	-50.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	. 9	20	14	16	24	43.2 9	166.7%
POSSESSION	7	13	10	11	23	41.4 6	228.6%
SALE	2	7	4	5	1	1.8 55	-50.0%

Table 2D ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

1 Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

~ .			
Tab	10	25	
100	16	<u> </u>	

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

		-	Νυπ	ber of Arrest	5	۰ ۲۰ ۰ ۲۰ ۲۰ ۲۰ ۲۰	P L	86 Arrests er 1,000 icensed Drivers	
	1982	1	983	1984	1985	1986	Ra	te Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	369		734	812	673	639	15.	3 1	73.2%
FELONY	11		27	9	5	13	0.	3 44	18.2%
MISDEMEANOR	358		707	803	668	626	14.	91	74.9%

1 Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	40 100.0%	46 100.0%	48 100.0%	49 100.0%	41 100.0%		2.5%		
VIOLENT	11 27.5%	14 30.4%	7 14.6%	13 26.5%	11 26.8%		0.0%		
OTHER	29 72.5%	32 69.6%	41 85.4%	36 73.5%	30 73.2%		3.4%		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	35	40.0%		
	VIOLENT	9	28.6%		
	OTHER	26	44.4%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

.

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests				
		1983		1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	1,113 100.0%	1,442 100.0%	1,528 100.0%	1,524 100.0%	1,534 100.0%	37.8%
CONVICTIONS	799 71.8%	1.059 73.4%	1,135 74.3%	1.111 72.9%	1,103 71.9%	38.0%
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	3 0.2%	0.0%	2 0.1%	0 0.0%	NA NA
DISMISSALS	310 27.9%	380 26.4%	391 25.6%	402 26.4%	428 27.9%	38.1%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 0.2%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	4 0.4%	0.0%	2 0.1%	6 0.4%	3 0,2%	-25.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.
Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests % Change <u>1986</u> 2 1982 1983 1984 1985 82 vs 86 238 DISPOSITIONS 242 240 281 305 26,0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% CONVICTIONS 179 182 187 203 221 21.4% 75.2% 77.9% 72.2% 75.2% 72.5% ACQUITTALS 0 0 NA 0 2 2 0.0% 0.8% 0.0% 0.8% 0.0% DISMISSALS 58 51 78 57 84 44.8% 24.0% 21.3% 27.8% 23.9% 27.5% NOT PROSECUTED 0 0 0 0 NA 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% OTHER -100.0% 2 0 0 0 0 0.8% 0.0% 0,0% 0.0% 0.0%

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. 1

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS	
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	
(Adults Only)	

		: 				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	799 100.0%	1,059 100.0%	1.135 100.0%	1,111 100.0%	1,103 100.0%	38.0%
FELONY	29 3.6%	28 2.6%	28 2.5%	33 3.0%	33 3.0%	13.8%
VIOLENT	7 0.9%	10 0.9%	3 0.3%	6 0.5%	11 1.0%	57.1%
OTHER	22 2.8%	18 1.7%	25 2.2%	27 2.4%	22 2.0%	0.0%
MISDEMEANOR	480 60.1%	479 45.2%	531 46.8%	499 44.9%	507 46.0%	5.6%
LESSER OFFENSES	290 36.3%	552 52.1%	576 50.7%	579 52.1%	563 51.0%	94.1%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

WARREN COUNTY

Table 5B

FELONY,	MISDEMEANOR, AND	LESSER	OFFENSE	CONVICTIONS	
	RESULTING FROM	FELONY	ARRESTS		
	(Adult	s Only)	1		

	· · ·	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>				
CONVICTIONS	182 100.0%	187 100.0%	203 100.0%	179 100.0%	221 100.0%	21.4%				
FELONY	27 14.8%	26 13.9%	24 11.8%	30 16.8%	30 13.6%	11.1%				
VIOLENT	7 3.8%	10 5,3%	3 1.5%	6 3.4%	11 5.0%	57.1%				
OTHER	20 11.0%	16 8.6%	21 10.3%	24 13.4%	19 8.6%	-5.0%				
MISDEMEANOR	144 79.1%	135 72.2%	158 77.8%	132 73.7%	166 75.1%	15.3%				
LESSER OFFENSES	11 6.0%	26 13.9%	21 10.3%	17 9.5%	25 11.3%	127.3%				

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	-	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions										
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²							
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							
STATE PRISON	1.5	1,1	0.7	0.5	1.0							
LOCAL JAIL	18.9	15.1	15.1	14.0	14.8							
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.6	1.6	1.1	1.9	0.8							
PROBATION	7.1	4.3	4.7	6.2	6.4							
FINES	42.8	61.2	63.2	60.0	61.2							
OTHER	28.0	16.6	15.2	17.4	15.8							

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1 (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

WARREN COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1 AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
	······································	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser		<u>1983</u> Misd & Felony Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser		
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
STATE PRISON	41.4	NA	42.9	NA	28.6	NA	18.2	NA	33.3	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	31.0	18.4	10.7	15.2	39.3	14.5	30.3	13.5	18.2	14.7	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.3	1.3	21.4	1.1	21.4	0.6	27.3	1.1	15.2	0.4	
PROBATION	17.2	6.8	25.0	3.8	7.1	4.6	24.2	5.7	33.3	5.6	
FINES	0.0	44.4	0.0	62.9	0.0	64.8	0.0	61.9	0.0	63.1	
OTHER	0.0	29.1	0.0	17.1	3.6	15.5	0.0	17.9	0.0	16.3	

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

1

WARREN COUNTY

		Nem	ber of Case	S		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982		<u>1984</u>	1985	1986		Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	668	627	632	807	854	1,538.7	8	27.8%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	317	294	385	483	482	868.5	24	52.1%
FAMILY COURT	79	80	151	214	220	396.4	4	178.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	238	214	234	269	262	472.1	42	10.1%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	14	21	31	27	20	36.0	35	42.9%
FAMILY COURT	14	21	31	26	20	36.0	16	42.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	1	0	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	103	106	110	128	129	232.4	48	25.2%
FAMILY COURT	20	21	32	22	25	45.0	35	25.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	83	85	78	106	104	187.4	43	25.3%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	280	283	289	312	343	618.0	52	22.5%
FAMILY COURT	37	32	50	45	39	70.3	44	5.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	243	251	239	267	304	547.7	43	25.1%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	177	179	184	214	237	427.0	56	33.9%
FAMILY COURT	11	18	23	14	16	28.8	48	45.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	166	161	161	200	221	398.2	52	33.1%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

WARREN COUNTY

•		PI	ROBATION F	REVOCATION	S			
	<u></u>			· · · · ·		1986 Revocati Per 1,0		
			of Revocat			Probatio		% Change
	1982	1983	1984_	1985		<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	20	10	28	21	21	61.2	22	5.0%
FAMILY COURT	5	3	9	6	7	179.5	3	40.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	15	7	19	15	14	46.1	37	-6.7%
TECHNICAL .	1	З	12	6	7	23.0	33	600.0%
NEW CONVICTION	14	4	7	9	7	23.0	25	-50.0%
					······	·····		

TABLE 7B

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

WARREN COUNTY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8C

COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

WARREN COUNTY

		Numbe	<u>r of Admis</u>	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,356	1,418	1,084	1,049	1,064	1,917.1	5	-21.5%
UNSENTENCED	1,047	1,157	790	808	841	1,515.3	5	-19.7%
SENTENCED	309	261	294	241	223	401.8	17	-27.8%

Table 9A

.

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change
	1982	1983		1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	64	64	64	58	65	117.1 10	1.6%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	40	43	43	40	40	72.1 30	0.0%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	46	47	38	27	40	72.1 27	-13.0%
UNSENTENCED	21	19	22	14	27	48.6 17	28.6%
SENTENCED	25	28	16	13	13	23.4 35	-48.0%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

WARREN COUNTY

		New Co	Number of ourt Commitm	ents		1986 New Co Committm Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	ourt ments),000	
	 1982	1983_		1985	<u>1986</u> 2	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	9	11	8	6	10	18.0	47	11.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	6	6	1	2	4	7.2	42	-33.3%
HOMICIDE	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0	43	NA
SEX OFFENSE	2	1	1	0	2	3.6	21	0.0%
ROBBERY	0	3	0	1	0	0.0	43	NA
BURGLARY	4	1	0	1	2	3.6	31	-50.0%
WEAPONS	0	· . 1	0	0	0	0.0	25	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	37	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1	· 1	3	2	0	0.0	51	-100.0%
POSSESSION	0	0	1	0	0	0.0	37	NA
SALE	1	1	2	. 2	0	0.0	43	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	2	4	4	2	6	10.8	40	200.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA

Table 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

WARREN COUNTY

Table 108-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 311

	Nu	umber of In 1 State Pr	nmates Unde ison on Dee	Un on Pe	Inmates der Cust. 12/31/86 r 100,000 pulation			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Ra	<u>te Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	32	36	20	20	22	3	9.6 49	-31.2%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	18	20	9	11	11	1	9.8 52	-38.9%
HOMICIDE	3	3	2	2	2		3.6 56	-33.3%
SEX OFFENSE	3	4	3	3	4		7.2 36	33.3%
ROBBERY	4	6	1	2	2		3.6 38	-50.0%
BURGLARY	5	5	3	4	3		5.4 43	-40.0%
WEAPONS	2	2	0	. 0	0		0.0 32	-100.0%
OTHER	1	0	0	0	, 0		0.0 53	-100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	1	2	3	3	2		3.6 40	100.0%
POSSESSION	0	1	1	0	0		0.0 43	NA
SALE	1	. 1	2	3	2	•	3.6 31	100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	13	14	8	6	. 9	1	6.2 41	-30.8%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0		0.0 33	NA

1 Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies." 3

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

PERCENT OF INMATES	1982	1983	1984		1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

		Number	of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	13	10	15	4	12	21.6 40	-7.7%
UNDER PAROLE	32	31	37	28	27	48.6 43	-15.6%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	21	22	24	15	17	30.6 49	-19.0%

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Number	r of Parole	es		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	1	2	1	1	0	0.0 52	-100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	1	0	0	0	0	0.0 36	-100.0%
NEW ARRESTS	0	2	1	0	0	0.0 49	NA
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	1 1	0	0.0 36	NA

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.}$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

	·····			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		1982	1983	Number of Cases		1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS		1	1	0	1	0	-100.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS		ан сайна О	0	0	0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS		0	0	0	0	0	NA
CONVICTIONS		0	0	. 0	0	0	NA
PRISON		0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL		0	0	0	0	a 0 a	NA NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION		· 0 ·	Q	0	0	о О	NA
PROBATION		0	0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER		0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS		. 0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS		0	0	0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED		0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT		0	0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER		0	0	0	0	0	NA

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	12.1	11.5	31.9	48.9	302.7%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	NA	400.0	7.1
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	72.8	78.3	83.9	95.1	30.6%	130.7	148.3
Division for Youth ³	178.8	234.8	401.6	549.9	207.6%	335.3	332.5
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴	- 		0.0	0.0	NA	24.1	
TOTAL	263.7	324.6	527.4	693.9	163.1%	890.1	487.8

Table 1 LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹

(Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 4 The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

		LOCAL ASS	OF CORRECTION ISTANCE PROGR Susands of Dol	AM FUNDING			
<u></u>			Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY_85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	7.2	9,8	19.1	31.2	331.9%		
Parole Violators	1.5	1.0	12.2	16.5	969.9%		
State Readies ²		· · · ·		0.9	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	3.4	0.7	0.5	0.3	-91.8%		
TOTAL	12.1	11.5	31.9	48.9	302.7%	0.0	0.0

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

WARREN COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

WARREN COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		ν	Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Mobile Radio District Program		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	400.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ²	·			· ,	NA	, .	7.1
Soft Body Armour ³ Reimbursement Program	• • •		10.0	0.0	NA		
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	NA	400.0	7.1

 1 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

² This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

³ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

WARREN COUNTY

τ

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Program

Projects

TOTAL

PINS Program²

Regular State Aid

Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program

FY 87-88

2.4

--

118.3

130.7

10.0

10.8

5.8

121.6

148.3

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars) Expenditures Appropriations % Change 82 vs 85 FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 FY 86-87 Demonstration 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 10.0

0.0

83.9

83.9

0.0

95.1

95.1

NA

NA

30.6%

30.6%

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

0.0

--

72.8

72.8

0.0

78.3

78.3

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86¹</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Detention							
Non-Secure	10.8	10.9	3.5	25.9	139.1%	11.5	17.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	34.7	37.7	37.7	37.7	8.7%	45.0	64.7
Voluntary Agency Care	41.9	91.3	267.8	238.9	469.5%	156.3	116.5
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	91.3	94.9	92.5	247.4	170.9%	122.4	134.2
TOTAL	178.8	234.8	401.6	549.9	207.6%	335.3	332.5

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

WARREN COUNTY

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

 		 	······································	
		<u>Capacity</u>	Staff	
	DOCS Facilities			
	DFY Facilities	7	9	
	TOTAL	7	9	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
	FY_82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities				-	0.0%	د . معالمی ا	
DFY Facilities	117.0	127.4	141.8	128.9	10.2%	149.9	156.2
TOTAL	117.0	127.4	141.8	128.9	10.2%	149.9	156.2

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropri	ations
	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>		<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	. - • *	••••		ананананананананананананананананананан		, ^{, ,}
DFY Facilities	1.0	0,0	1.0	2.0	9.0	0.0
TOTAL	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	9.0	0.0

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

WARREN COUNTY

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

 <u>Facility</u>	Security ₁ _Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>
Glens Falls Community Urban Home	VI	7	9

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appropr	iations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Glens Falls Community Urban Home	117.0	127.4	141.8	128.9	10.2%	149.9	156.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	ures		Appropr	iations
Facility	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86		<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Glens Falls Community						~
Urban Home	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	9.0	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Annex Building Hudson Falls, New York 12828

Probation Department Gray's Corner Road Whitehall, New York 12887

Public Defender's Office 37 Maple Street Hudson Falls, New York 12023

Family Court Main Street Salem, New York 12865 Sheriff's Department East Broadway Salem, New York 12865

Youth Bureau Annex 1 Lower Main Street Hudson Falis, New York 12839

County Court Court House Hudson Falls, New York 12839

> Family Court 120 Main Street Whitehall, New York 12887

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Region	CAPITAL		
County Seat	HUDSON FALLS		
Square Miles	836		
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	3.9%		
		 	<u></u>

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	54,795	45	100.0%	NA
Density Per	Square Mile	65.5	47	NA	NA
Sex:	Male	27,238	NA	49.7%	7
	Female	27,557	NA	50.3%	56
Race:	White	53,429	NA	97.5%	26
	Non-White	1,046	NA	1.9%	36
	Unknown	320	NA	0.6%	24
Ethnicity	y: Hispanic	604	NA	1.1%	22
Age:	9 and Under	8,162	NA	14.9%	17
	10 to 15	6,386	NA	11.7%	39
	16 to 18	3,369	NA	6.1%	19
	19 to 24	5,198	NA	9.5%	44
	25 and Over	31,680	NA	57.8%	45

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	31,680	100.0%	45
8th Grade or Less	6,113	19.3%	12
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	5,782 12,339	18.3% 38.9%	16 22
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	4,177 3,269	13.2% 10.3%	52 55

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

WASHINGTON COUNTY

	:		POPI	Table 3 ULATION 1982-1986	х		
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population		55,000	55,300	56,100	56,752	56,900	43
Density Per Square Mile		65.8	66.1	67.1	67.9	68.1	46

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		able 4 NCOME: 1982-1984		
	1982			Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	8,470	8,883	9,765	51

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

		· · · · · ·		School Year	•		Percent Rank
		1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number	232	194	190	195	200	
who propped but of School	Percent	5.6%	4.9%	5.0%	5.3%	5.5%	9

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	<u> </u>	<u>YMENI: 1984-19</u>			Percent
		1984	1985	1986	Rank <u>1986</u>
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,475	1,607	1,478	44

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

		PUBLIC ASSI	Table 7 STANCE: 1982	2-1986			
		1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985 </u>	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	2,212 4.0%	2,209 4.0%	1,924 3.4%	1,887 3.3%	1,776 3.1%	38

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

			Number of Cr	imes	:	1986 C Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
INDEX CRIME	1,741	1,413	1,151	1,214	1,196	2,129.1	1	-31.3%
VIOLENT	196	162	121	146	118	210.1	1	-39.8%
MURDER	0	0	4	3	0	0.0	1	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	3	11	3	9	16.0	1	125.0%
ROBBERY	2,	6	9	3	6	10.7	1	200.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	190	153	97	137	103	183.4	1	-45.8%
PROPERTY	1,545	1,251	1,030	1,068	1,078	1,919.1	1	-30.2%
BURGLARY	465	378	305	301	283	503.8	1	-39.1%
LARCENY	1,010	841	691	717	747	1,329.8	1	-26.0%
MV THEFT	70	32	34	50	48	85.5	1	-31.4%

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

(Adults Unly)									
			Numb	er of Arres	sts		1986 Ar Per 100 <u>Popula</u>	,000	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS		902	1,065	1,087	1,124	1,115	1,959.6	30	23.6%
FELONY		202	262	218	226	251	441.1	27	24.3%
VIOLENT		66	51	57	60	67	117.8	26	1.5%
OTHER		136	211	161	166	184	323.4	26	35.3%
MISDEMEANOR		700	803	869	898	864	1,518.5	31	23.4%

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

	FELONY AND MISDEME	ANOR ARRESTS: JANUAR (Adults Only)	Y THRU JUNE 1	987	
 	 	<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
	ARRESTS	639	17.5%		
	FELONY ²	134	4.7%		
	VIOLENT	30	-18.9%		
	OTHER	104	14.3%		
	MISDEMEANOR	505	21.4%		

TABLE 2B

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

			(Adu its	un iy)				
		Numbe	er of Arrests	8		1986 A Per 10 Popul	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	0	0	· · 1	1	0	0.0	46	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	. 3	0	3	0	4	7.0	29	33.3%
ROBBERY	1	9	4	8,	6	10.5	32	500.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	39	26	18	32	23	40.4	29	-41.0%
BURGLARY	55	65	55	46	61	107.2	14	10.9%
LARCENY ²	139	142	117	114	120	210.9	54	-13.7%
: . ·								

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population							
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	17	34	32	11	36	63.3	40	111.8%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	13	16	15	9	25	43.9	40	92.3%
POSSESSION	12	9	11	7	22	38.7	32	83.3%
SALE	1	7	4	2	2	3.5	49	100.0%
OTHER	0	0	0	0	1	1.8	37	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	4	18	17	2	11	19.3	33	175.0%
POSSESSION	2	11	9	2	7	12.3	36	250.0%
SALE	2	7	8	0	4	7.0	24	100.0%

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arrests		.	Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	276	376	460	491	417	11.3	13	51.1%
FELONY	18	38	40	42	41	1.1	6	127.8%
MISDEMEANOR	258	338	420	449	376	10.2	15	45.7%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	39 100.0%	55 100.0%	64 100.0%	76 100.0%	70 100.0%	79.5%
VIOLENT	17 43.6%	15 27.3%	20 31.3%	25 32.9%	18 25.7%	5.9%
OTHER	22 56.4%	40 72.7%	44 68.8%	51 67.1%	52 74.3%	136.4%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	 	 · · · ·
FELONY INDICTMENTS	38	-7.3%		
VIOLENT	17	142.9%		
OTHER	21	-38.2%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A

		(Adu I	ts Only)					
		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	441 100.0%	598 100.0%	665 100.0%	737 100.0%	681 100.0%	54.4%		
CONVICTIONS	352 79.8%	493 82.4%	543 \$1 7%	597 81.0%	545 80.0%	54.8%		
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	4 0.7%	0 0.0%	2 0.3%	0 0.0%	NA		
DISMISSALS	89 20.2%	100 16.7%	112 16.8%	133 18.0%	135 19.8%	51.7%		
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA		
OTHER	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	5 0.8%	5 0.7%	1 0.1%	NA		

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	5.01	(Adu 1	ts Only)			
			Percent of Disp from Felony Ar			<u></u>
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	97 100.0%	188 100.0%	126 100.0%	145 100.0%	163 100.0%	68.0%
CONVICTIONS	78 80.4%	163 86.7%	110 87.3%	125 86.2%	135 82.8%	73.1%
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	3 1.6%	0 0.0%	2 1.4%	0 0.0%	NA
DISMISSALS	19 19.6%	21 11.2%	15 11.9%	14 9.7%	27 16.6%	42.1%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	0 0.0%	1 0.5%	0.0%	4 2.8%	1 0.6%	NA

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	· ·	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>		
CONVICTIONS	352 100.0%	493 100.0%	543 100.0%	597 100.0%	545 100.0%	54.8%		
FELONY	16 4.5%	45 9.1%	39 7.2%	48 8.0%	34 6.2%	112.5%		
VIOLENT	2 0.6%	10 2.0%	3 0.6%	4 0.7%	7 1.3%	250.0%		
OTHER	14 4.0%	35 7.1%	36 6.6%	44 7.4%	27 5.0%	92.9%		
MISDEMEANOR	208 59.1%	293 59.4%	280 51.6%	280 46.9%	304 55.8%	46.2%		
LESSER OFFENSES	128 36.4%	155 31.4%	224 41.3%	269 45.1%	207 38.0%	61.7%		

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 58

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	1982	1983	rom Felony Arre: 1984		<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	78 100.0%	163 100.0%	110 100.0%	125 100.0%	135 100.0%	73.1%
FELONY	15 19.2%	39 23.9%	33 30.0%	44 35.2%	30 22.2%	100.0%
VIOLENT	2 2.6%	10 6.1%	3 2.7%	4 3.2%	7 5.2%	250.0%
OTHER	13 16.7%	29 17.8%	30 27.3%	40 32.0%	23 17.0%	76.9%
MISDEMEANOR	55 70.5%	114 69.9%	73 66.4%	75 60.0%	96 71.1%	74.5%
LESSER OFFENSES	8 10.3%	10 6.1%	4 3.6%	6 4.8%	9 6.7%	12.5%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system work load.

² Preliminary data.

(Adults Only) Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions <u>1986</u> 2 1982 1983 1984 1985 CONVICTIONS 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% STATE PRISON 2.0 1.7 0.6 0.3 2.2 LOCAL JAIL 8.2 13.2 13.6 12.1 12.8 LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION 2.0 3.2 3.3 3.2 3.3 PROBATION 11.1 11.0 4.6 6.2 8.4 FINES 58.0 44.4 59.5 64.3 57.2 OTHER 19.0 26.2 18.4 13.9 16.0

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

WASHINGTON COUNTY

.
Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser	1 Felony	984 Misd & Lesser	<u>19</u> Felony	985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	37.5	NA	22.2	NA	7.7	NA	4.2	NA	35.3	NA
LOCAL JAIL	18.8	7.7	26.7	11.8	33.3	12.1	43.8	9.3	23.5	12.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	18.8	1.2	17.8	1.8	20.5	2.0	12.5	2.4	14.7	2.5
PROBATION	25.0	10.4	33.3	8.7	30.8	2.6	39.6	3.3	26.5	7.2
FINES	0.0	60.7	0.0	48.9	5.1	63.7	0.0	69.9	0.0	61.1
OTHER	0.0	19.9	0.0	28,8	2.6	19.6	0.0	15.1	0.0	17.0

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

						1986 Ca Per 100	,000	
		Nur	mber of Case	<u>S</u>		<u>Populat</u>	ion	% Change
		1983		1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	643	594	620	743	654	1,149.4	22	1.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	280	326	268	323	326	572.9	49	16.4%
FAMILY COURT	- 80	97	81	121	105	184.5	16	31.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	200	229	187	202	221	388.4	51	10.5%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								1 ¹
CASES RECEIVED	10	3	0	0	8	14.1	41	-20.0%
FAMILY COURT	10	.3	0	0	8	14.1	24	-20.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	0.	0	C	0	0	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION						•		
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	136	166	127	121	172	302.3	19	26.5%
FAMILY COURT	79	71	58	50	73	128.3	1	-7.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	57	95	69	71	99	174.0	50	73.7%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	280	319	344	331	380	667.8	15	35.7%
FAMILY COURT	99	98	· 101	89	107	188.0	3	8.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	181	221	243	242	273	479.8	50	50.8%
CRIMINAL COORT	101	221	243	242	213	475.0	50	50.0%
UNDER PROBATION				,				
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	153	217	210	202	261	458.7	51	70.6%
FAMILY COURT	27	43	39	28	57	100.2	3	111.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	126	174	171	174	204	358.5	56	61.9%

Table 7A

PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

		Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatic	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	13	11	16	13	11	28.9	57	-15.4%
FAMILY COURT	1	5	4	6	3	28.0	51	200.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	12	6	12	7	8	29.3	52	-33.3%
TECHNICAL	. 5	3	4	1	5	18.3	47	0.0%
NEW CONVICTION	7	3	8	6	3	11.0	47	-57.1%

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

PRETRIAL	RELEASE	PROGRAM:	1986	
		Number	<u>Percent</u>	
CASES SCREENED		49	7 NA	
CASES INTERVIEWED		170	5 100.0%	
RELEASED ¹		72	40.9%	
1				

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8CCOMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986There were no agencies in the
county that received funding
for this type of program.SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME0NA

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

		Numbe	r of Admis	:	198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	575	675	668	707	741		1,302.3	24	28.9%
UNSENTENCED	394	459	442	461	492		864.7	31	24.9%
SENTENCED	181	216	226	246	249		437.6	13	37.6%

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

						Per	Inmates 100,000 ulation	% Change
	 1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rat	<u>e Rank</u>	82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	38	50	50	54	50	87	.9 23	31.6%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	30	44	46	48	50	87	.9 15	66.7%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	37	24	58	30	54	94	.9 7	45.9%
UNSENTENCED	18	5	22	11	22	38	.7 27	22.2%
SENTENCED	19	19	36	19	32	56	.2 5	68.4%

Table 9B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 2 Rate NEW COURT COMMITMENTS 4 4 6 3 9 15.8 VIOLENT FELONIES ³ 1 2 2 2 3 5.3 HOMICIDE 0 1 1 0 1 1.8 SEX OFFENSE 0 0 0 1 0 0.0 ROBBERY 0 1 1 0 1 1.8 BURGLARY 1 0 0 1 0 0.0 WEAPONS 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 OTHER 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 0 0.0	<u>ation</u>	
COMMITMENTS 4 4 6 3 9 15.8 VIOLENT FELONIES ³ 1 2 2 2 3 5.3 HOMICIDE 0 1 1 0 1 1.8 SEX OFFENSE 0 0 0 1 0 0.0 ROBBERY 0 1 1 0 1 1.8 BURGLARY 1 0 0 1 0 0.0 WEAPONS 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 OTHER 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
HOMICIDE 0 1 1 0 1 1.8 SEX OFFENSE 0 0 0 1 0 0.0 ROBBERY 0 1 1 0 1 1.8 BURGLARY 1 0 0 1 0.0 0.0 WEAPONS 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 OTHER 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	52	125.0%
SEX OFFENSE 0 0 0 1 0 0.0 ROBBERY 0 1 1 0 1 1.8 BURGLARY 1 0 0 1 0 0.0 WEAPONS 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 OTHER 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	50	200.0%
ROBBERY 0 1 1 0 1 1.8 BURGLARY 1 0 0 1 0 0.0 WEAPONS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 OTHER 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	27	NA
BURGLARY 1 0 0 1 0 0.0 WEAPONS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 OTHER 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	51	NA
WEAPONS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 OTHER 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	35	NA
OTHER 0 0 0 0 1 1.8 DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	51	-100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴ 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	25	NA
	25	NA
POSSESSION 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	38	NA
	37	NA
SALE 0 0 0 0 1 1.8	31	NA
OTHER FELONIES 3 2 4 1 5 8.8	46	66.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS 0 0 0 0 0 0.0	32	NA

		Table 10	DA		
NEW C	OURT	COMMITMENTS	то	STATE	PRISON

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

 3 New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

			nmates Unde ison on Dec		· · ·	Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 0,000	
		1983		1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	7	9	12	10	18	31.6	56	157.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	3	4	6	7	11	19.3	53	266.7%
HOMICIDE	1	2	,3	3	5	8.8	37	400.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	1	1	1	1.8	57	0.0%
ROBBERY	0	1	2	2	2	3.5	40	NA
BURGLARY	1	0	. 0	1	1	1.8	52	0.0%
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	2	3.5	35	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	0	0	, O	1	1.8	51	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0	43	NA
SALE	0	. 0	0	0	1	1.8	43	NA
OTHER FELONIES	. 4	5	6	3	6	10.5	54	50.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	. 0	0.0	33	NA

Table 108-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1.	< 0.1%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

		PAR	OLE SUPERVI	ISION CASES	i		
	· · ·	Number	of Parolee	25		 1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	3	2	5	5	3	5.3 61	0.0%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	7	5	8	11	12	21.1 58	71.4%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	3	3	6	9	7	12.3 61	133.3%

Table 11A

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Number	of Parole	265		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	1	1	1	1	2	3.5 2	100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	. 0	1	1.8 1	NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	1	0	1	1	1.8 6	0.0%
NEW FELONY Convictions	0	0	1	. 0	0	0.0 36	NA

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

$\begin{array}{c} \text{JUVENILE OFFENDERS} \\ \text{ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS}^1 \end{array}$

	1982	1983	Number of Cases	1985	1986	% Change <u>82_vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	0	1	0	0	0	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	0	1	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	. 0	0	0	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	. 0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0		0	NA
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	Ο.	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	Ŭ.	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	а. О	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Table 1

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	21.7	48.7	47.8	60.2	177.3%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.2	3.3	11.9	13.0	6,830.9%	3.6	18.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	43.7	• 46.2	47.2	60.9	39.3%	68.3	86.1
Division for Youth ³	157.6	147.1	190.9	602.7	282.5%	348.9	391.1
TOTAL	223.2	245.3	297.8	736.8	230.2%	444.9	496.0

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceratica Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	· · · ·	:	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	21.2	46.0	43.2	53.7	153.3%		
Parole Violators	0.4	2.3	4.3	4.7	1,154.7%	· ·	
State Readies ²	· · · · ·			1.6	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	90.4%		
TOTAL	21.7	48.7	47.8	60.2	177.3%	0.0	0.0

 1 Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

÷.,.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	FY_84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Crime Prevention ¹ and Protection		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	10.0
Indigent Parolee Program ²	0.2	3.3	6.8	10.2	5,345.7%		• • • •
Justice Assistance Act ³				· •	NA	3.6	3.6
Narcotics Control ⁴	· •		·		NA	. ·	5.3
Soft Body Armour ⁵ Reimbursement Program	-		5.2	2.8	NA	. .	
TOTAL	0.2	3.3	11.9	13.0	6,830.9%	3.6	18.9

 1 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

² Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

 3 FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁵ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

		(The	ousands of Dol	lars)			
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· · · · · ·		Expenditures		<u> </u>	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				9.7	NA	12.0	12.6
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2.4	9.6
PINS Program ²	· · · · ·				NA		8.3
Regular State Aid	43.7	46.2	47.2	51.2	17.1%	54.0	55.6
TOTAL	43.7	46.2	47.2	60.9	39.3%	68.3	ox . 1

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

ξ.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u> 1	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY_87-88</u>	
Detention								
Non-Secure	7.8	6.8	13.3	24.1	211.1%	14.2	. 17.3	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	29.8	27.7	30.7	28.4	-4.5%	30.7	32.0	
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	10.0	12.0	
Voluntary Agency Care	36.5	18.4	52.9	287.3	686.4%	167.7	177.2	
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	83.5	94.3	94.0	262.8	214.8%	126.3	152.5	
TOTAL	157.6	147.1	190.9	602.7	282.5%	348.9	391.1	

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

WASHINGTON COUNTY

TA	BL	Ε	1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>		
DOCS Facilities	2,364	1,201		
DFY Facilities		. 		
TOTAL	2,364	1,201		

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	<u>s</u>		Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
DOCS Facilities	21,632.2	22,946.1	24,401.4	32,612.6	50.8%	37,481.1	41,028.3	
DFY Facilities				· · ···.	0.0%	· · ·		
TOTAL	21,632.2	22,946.1	24,401,4	32,612.6	50.8%	37,481.1	41,028.3	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropr	iations
	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	195.1	20,046.3	10,692.8	30,934.1	3,018.7	2,047.0
DFY Facilities				. .		
TOTAL	195.1	20,046.3	10,692.8	30,934.1	3,018.7	2,047.0

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

		ENT OF CORRECTIONAL S URITY LEVEL, CAPACITY (June 1987)		an An Anna Anna Anna An Anna Anna Anna A	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Staff	
Facility	Security Level	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	Security	<u>Civilian</u>
Great Meadow	MAXIMUM	1,464	706	490	216
Washington	MEDIUM	900	495	341	154
TOTAL		2,364	1,201	831	370

TABLE 2A

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 28

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Approp	riations			
Facility	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Great Meadow	21,632.2	22,946.1	24,207.4	23,151.4	7.0%	23,333.6	24,375.5
Washington ¹	0.0	0.0	194.0	9,461.1	NA	14,147.5	16,652.8
TOTAL	21,632.2	22,946.1	24,401.4	32,612.6	50.8%	37,481.1	41,028.3

¹ The facility opened in March of 1985.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

		(Thousand	s of Dollars)	· · ·				
		Expendi	tures		Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88		
Great Meadow	195.1	895.0	437.1	1,527.3	2,923.1	2,047.0		
Washington ¹	0.0	19,151.2	10,255.6	29,406.9	95.6	0.0		
TOTAL	195.1	20,046.3	10,692.8	30,934.1	3,018.7	2,047.0		

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

 $^{1}\,$ The facility opened in March of 1985.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

<u>Percent changes</u> are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the <u>percent change</u> is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calendar year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

<u>Rates</u> are <u>ranked</u> from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the <u>rank calculations</u> do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates. Also, dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all <u>population-based rate calculations</u> presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual <u>NYS Crime and Justice</u> publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed drivers in New York State that was used to calculate the <u>rate of DWI</u> <u>arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

- o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)
- o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
- o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
- o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- <u>New York State Statistical Yearbook: 1983-84</u> (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the <u>offense classification</u> for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the State's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

<u>DOCS capacity</u> data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. <u>DFY capacity</u> data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

<u>DOCS staff</u> data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while <u>DFY staff</u> data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

A-3

Table	Fopulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York State for a given year.	Victim-based: murder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-based: burglary Event-based: robbery larceny (excluding motor vehicle theft) Vehicle-based: motor vehicle theft The unit of count is based on the most serious offense in a crime event.	Not applicable.	FBI Index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.
Table 2A FELDNY AND NISDEMEANOR ARRESTS Table 2B FELDNY AND NISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1987 Table 2C ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult #	Felony Arrests Nisdemeanor Arrests	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2D ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for drugs (New York State Penal Law - Sections 220 and 221).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARKESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES	Adult felony and aisdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Pfocessing Trends File.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crige and Criginal Justice Activity - Continued

A-4

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reaarks
1						~
Table 3A	FELONY INDICTNENTS	Feleny indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted.	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	Felony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.
			The most serious charge placed against a defendant is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect			
· · · ·			indictments/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	-		
	FELONY INDICTMENTS:		listen tu uhtennik r.			
	January through June, 1987					
		L			J	
able 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1969.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition is counted. Counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for	Adult #	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Jervices, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
able 40	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. "Other" includes cases disposed by civil procedure, abated by the death of a defendant, etc.		(Not applicable for acquittal, dismissal, and "other" disposi- tions.)	
			F		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
able 5A	FELDNY, MISDEMEANDR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at	Aduìt ⊨	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Nisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
able 58	FELONY, MISCEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crise and Crisinal Justice Activity - Continued

Tahle		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reearks
Table 68 SEN	ITENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS ITENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR Ideheanor and lesser offense convictions	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the eost serious sentence in a sultiple sentence offense is counted. "Other" sentences include cases, for example, where a	Adu]t =	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justic Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
			license was suspended or revoked (without fine).			
				J	I	
Table 7A PRO	DBATION DEPARTHENT ACTIVITY	Intake cases received during a given year.	Complaint-based; each complaint is counted as one case.	Fasily Court: Adult = Juvenile Delinguent	Detained/Arrested for: Felony Dffense Nisdemeanor Offense	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
		Investigations ordered during a given year.	Investigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need of Supervision (PINS)	Lesser Offense - only Juvenile Deling	
		Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Criminal Court: Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	Status Offense – only PINS	Workload in Probation Departs (Form No. DP-30). Criminal Court - Annual compi
		Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for:	tion of the "Monthly Report o Criminal Workload in Probatio Departments (Form No. DP-30A)
		Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Nisdeceanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling Status Offense - only PINS	investigation and pre-disposi data, and the Probation Registrant System for all oth data.
		Probation supervision cases under supervision on Decesber 31 of a given year.				
Table 78 PR	IDDATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one	•		

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reaarks
			•	•		
Table 8A	ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: Demonstration projects	Cases processed by "demonstration" projects during a given State fiscal year.	Case-based; if more than one case was opened for the same client, each case is counted.	Adult # Juvenile Offenders ##	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Nisdemennor Offenses	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
	FRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986 Community Service Program: 1986	Cases processed by "classification/ alternative bill" projects during 1986.			Lesser Offenses	
Table 8D	OFFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986	•				
Table BE	SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986					

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section 111: Crise and Crisinal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
•					
Table 9A JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADHIS	SIDNS Admissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses.	Admission based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted more than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult =	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Misdemmon Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced admissions: Misdemeanor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	NYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1985 Sheriffs' Annua Reports' included the followin caveat: " The Sheriffs' Annual Reports represent self-
Table 90 JAIL & PENITENTIARY CAPAC Population	ITY AND A census of both detained and sentenced inmates in county/NVC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criminal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Population is insate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" populations.			reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practic among these various counties The Coemission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another.*
	Insates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and The daily average of inmates under custody during a given year.				
	A census of beds in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and peniten- tiary census was taken on a differen day during a given year.	counts reflect "beds at standard" (one innate per cell; 60 square feet per innate; and no more than 50 in a dorm).		Not applicable.	
		The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards. Non-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and dormitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms.			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section 111: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u>.</u>
Table 10A-1	ADMISSIONS TO NEW YORK STATE PRISON	Admissions to NYS prison during a given year.	Ineate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the adaissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult #	Felony Convictions	NYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 10A-2 Table 10A	NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON	New court cossitaents to NYS prison during a given ysar.	nost serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts may differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DOCS.
Table 10B	INKATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	A census of inmates under custody in NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 10C	PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainees in NYS prison on December 31.	Detainee based.		•	
<u>•</u>						
Table 11A	PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES	Inmates released to the NYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based/lone person per case).	Adult # Juvenile Dffender ##	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Nisdemeanor Offenses	NYS Division of Parole. The increase in parole revocat
		Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).		•		resulting from new felony conv tions reflects legislative cha and improved efficiency of the parole revacation process. A primary factor was the passage legislation, incorporated into the Division's rules and regul
		Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				tions effective November 1, 15 authorizing the Parole Board 1 issue a final declaration of o linguency for releasees convid
Table 118	REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoked and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				of new felonies committed under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A fi declaration of delinquency str
						lines the violation process i these cases by obviating the for a final revocation hearin The streamlined process has resulted in an increased prop
						tion of returns for new convi tions.

TAFLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crise and Crisinal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
Table 12 JUVENILE DFFENDERS	Violent felony arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event.	Juvenile Offender ##	Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions for Violent Felony Diffenses.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offenders Arrests and Processing Trends
	Violent felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information (SCI) based: each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted.		Violent relany prienses.	File and the Indictment Statistical System.
	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from violent felony arrests events.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition. Counts are based on the year of disposition for			
		acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, removed to family court, and "other." Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence disposition is counted.			

* An adult is an offender who is 16 years of age or older at time of arrest.

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix 1); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of Section 125.25 of the Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

APPENDIX B

.

DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SUCIDECUNDMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

			SELECTED DENOGRAPHI	SELECTED DENOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS							
		Population	Population Density Per Square Nile	Public High School Dropouts	Monthly Average Uneeployed	Nonthly Average# Receiving Public Assistance					
COUNTY	Year	Huaber Rank	Density Rank	Percent Percent Rank	Percent Percent Rank	Percent Percent Rank					
ALBANY	1986	283,400 12	541 14	3.8 37	4.2 56	2.9 44					
	1985	283,866 12	542 14	3.4 45	4.2 56	2.9 44					
ALLEGANY	1986	50,500 48	49 54	2.6 58	B.1 20	9.2 1					
	1985	50,902 47	49 54	3.4 42	7.9 20	9.2 1					
BRONX	1986	1,193,600 6	28,419 3	12.1 2	8.4 16	.0 58					
	1905	1,184,154 6	28,194 3	12.3 2	7.1 12	.0 59					
BROOME	1986	210,800 18	296 19	4.0 30	5.9 42	3.7 29					
	1985	212,443 18	298 19	4.1 33	5.9 42	3.5 34					
CATTARAUGUS	1986	85,300 32	65 47	3.8 38	8.8 11	. 4.2 11					
	1985	85,335 32	65 47	4.4 28	9.0 13	4.1 12					
CAYUGA	1986	79,900 35	115 32	5.0 12	7.7 28	5.5 14					
	1985	79,857 35	115 32	4.6 23	7.8 24	5.8 15					
CHAUTAUDUA	- 1986	143,100 23	134 28	3.9 34	8.0 24	7.6 5					
	1985	144,230 23	136 28	4.1 34	7.6 26	7.0 7					
CHEMUNG	1986	90,500 29	220 21	5.3 11	6.8 3 <i>k</i>	8.0 3					
	1985	91,992 28	224 21	4.5 27	8.3 17	8.7 2					
CHENANGO	1986 1985	50,000 49 49,963 49	56 52 56 52	3.8 35 3.9 36	6.8 37 7.2 34	3.3 34 3.2 3B					
CLINTCH	1986	81,200 34	76 41	5.0 13	8.4 17	4.7 19					
	1985	81,571 33	78 41	4.9 19	7.5 30	4.7 21					
COLUHBIA	1986	60,700 39	75 38	4.4 20	4.3 55	3.3 37					
	1985	60,602 39	75 38	5.1 14	4.5 53	3.6 32					

×.,

(Continued on next page,)

SELECTED DENDGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

12

B-2

				·.	SELECTED DEHOG	RAPHIC AND SOCIOECONDHI	C CHARACTERISTICS		-		-
		Population		Population Per Squa		Public High School Dropout		Monthiy Average Unemployed		Nonthly Av Receiv Public As	ving sistance
COUNTY	Year	Nuober Rank		Density	Rank	Percen Percent Rank		Percent Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985		0 0	95 95	38 38	5.3 1 3.1 5	0 5	6.9 35 9.0 14	•	6.5 8.8	10 8
DELAWARE	1986) 1985		1		58 58	4.1 2 5.0 1		5.6 45 6.4 40		3.3 3.6	35 33
DUTCHESS	1985 1985		5 5	319 317	10 18	4.2 2 4.5 2		3.8 60 3.6 61		1.9 1.9	54 53
ERIE	1986 1985		7 7	922 929	11 11	3.4 4 3.3 4	4 9	7.2 31 7.3 32		8.2 8.2	2 3
ESSEX	1986 1985		6 - 6	20 20	60 60	3.2 4 5.0 1	8	9.9 6 7.6 8		5.4 6.0	16 13
FRANKLIN	1986 1985		2 2	27 27	59 59	2.9 5 3.5 4		10.7 5 9.5 9		6.8 7.2	8
FULTON	1986 1985		5	110 111 -	33 33	5.9 5.0 1	7	11.4 4 13.1 1		4.7 4.4	20 25
GENESEE	1986 1985			119 119	31 31	3.4 4 3.3 5	5	B:6 13 7.9 22		2.8 2.8	47 46
GREENE	1986 1985		3	65 64	49 48	4.3 2 5.5 1		7.3 29 8.5 16		2.5 2.8	50 47
HANILTON	1986 1985		2 2	2 2	62 62	3.2 4 2.6 5	7 9	12.4 1 11.9 3		2.8 3.2	45 37
HERKINER	1986 1985		7 7	47 47	56 58	4.3 2 4.6 2	5	9.9 7 9.2 11		4.1 4.1	26 26

(Continued on next page,)

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONDHIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

R-3

			Popula	atinn		Population Per Squa			Public School		Montaly Unemp	Average loyed	Monthly A Recei Public As	vîng
COUNTY		Year	Number	Rank		Gensity			Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Renk	Percent	Percent Rank
JEFFERSON	•	1986 1985	90,600 88,583	28 29		71 70	42 43	•	 5.7 4.6	2. 24	11.4	32	5.9 6.6	13 10
KINGS		1986 1985	2,293,200 2,283,395	1		32,760 32,620	2 2		0.8 11.8	3	8.6 9.7	12 7	.0 .0	58 58
LEWIS		1986 1985	25,300 25,165	59 59		20 20	61 61		2.2 3.0	61 57	9.5 9.3	9 10	4.6	22 24
LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	58,600 58,328	42 42		93 92	40 40		2.2 3.0	60 58	7.1 5.7	32 44	3.0 2.8	42 45
NADISON		1986 1985	66,500 66,743	38 38		102 402	34 34		4.7 4.6	19 22	7.8	26 37	2.5 2.8	48 48
MONROE		1986 1985 ·	702,600 704,876	9		1,060 1,063	10 10		4.0	29 21	5.0 4.3	51 55	7.0 6.7	7 9
NONTGONERY		1986 1985	52,100 52,527	3å 46		129 130	29 29		4.1 4.9	28 20	9.6 9.9	8	4.0 3.7	27 30
NASSAU	•	1986 1985	1,323,000 1,331,343	4	•	4,610 4,639	6 6		2.1 2.3	62 61	4.0	58 57	1.3 1.4	56 56
NEW YORK	•	1986 1985	1,478,000 1,479,775	2]		67,182 67,263			14.7 18.4	1 1	7.0 7.5	34 27	.0 .0	58 58
NIAGARA		1986 1985	216,900 216,493	17 17		412 412	15 15		4.4	22 32	8.9 9.8	10 6	7.4	6 . 5
ONEIDA		1986 1985	248,500 250,734	16 16		204 206	22 22		5.0 4.3	14 30	6.3 6.9	40 38	6.1 5.9	12 14

SELECTED DENOGRAPHIC AND SDCIOECONONIC CHARACTERISTICS

(Continued on next page.)

4

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SDCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS											
		Popula	Population		Population Density Per Square Hile			Public High School Dropouts			Konthly Unesp			Rece	Honthly Average Receiving Public Assistance	
COUNTY	Year	Kunber	Rank			Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Perceat	Percent Rank	
OXDNDAGA	1986 1985	463,200 465,317	10 10	•	590 593	13 13		4.0	31 37		6.4 5.2	39 50		4.9	18 18	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	92,200 92,386	27 27	•	143 143	27 27		3.5 3.0	42 56		6.7 7.0	38 36		2.8 2.7	46 49	
ORANSE	1986 1985	281,700 277,563	13 13		341 336	17 17		4,9	16 11		5.1 5.3	50 48		4.5 4.7	24 20	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	· 38,900 39,140	55 55		99 100	35 36	•	3.2 3.1	49 53		8.5 10.1	15 4		5.5 5.6	15 16	
OSWEGO	1986 1985	119,600 119,138	24 24		125 125	30 30		4.8 6.3	18 6	•	11.5 8.5	2 15		5.1	17 17	
OTSEGO	1986 1985	59,200 59,349	40 40		59 59	51 51		3.2 3.4	51 48		5.5 5.5	46 46		3.1 3.0	39 42	
PUTNAN	1986 1985	81,600 60,895	33 34		353 350	16 16		3.0 1.4	54 62		3.2 3.3	62 62		.4	57 57	
RUEENS	1986 1985	1,923,300 1,933,044	2 2		17,800 17,899	- 4		7.1 8.1	4		6.3 7.0	41 35		0. 0.	58 58	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	151,700 151,352	21 21		232 231	20 20		4.3 4.3	23 29		5.1 5.2	49 47		3.6 3.8	30 29	
RICHHOND	1986 1985	374,600 373,871	11 11		6,349 6,337	S 5		5.9 7.4	6 5		5.3 5.8	4B 43		.0	58 58	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	265,900 265,230	14 14 %		1,519 1,516	8		2.8 2.6	56 60		4.1 4.1	57 58		2.5	49 50	
							•									

(Continued on next page.)

B-4

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONDMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 - Continued

B-5

		Population			Population Density Per Square Hile			Public High School Dropouts			Monthly Average Une≢ployed			Honthly Average# Receiving Public Assistance	
COUNTY	Year	 Nuzber	Rank		Density			Percent	Percent Rank		Percent Percent Rank			Percent	Percent Rank
ST LAWRENCE	1986 1985	112,800 113,411	25 25		41	57 57		3.3 3,5	46 39		8.5 8.2	14 18		7.7 7.5	4. 4
SARATOGA	1986 1985	164,100 161,590	20 20	ан санана са Селана санана	203 199	23 23		3.1 3.4	52 47		5,4 5,4	47 47		1.5 1.7	55 55
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	150,200 150,585	22 22		729 731	12 12		- 3.7 4.1	40 35		4.8 4.5	52 54		3.5 3.9	32 27
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	· 29,800 29,799	58 58	•	48 49	55 55	•	3.8 3.4	36 44		7.9 7.9	25 21		3.5 3.6	31 31
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	17,400 17,511	61 61	•	53 53	53 53		3.1 3.1	53 54		7.3 7.5	30 28		4.6 4.8	21 19
SENECA	1986 1985	32,100 32,765	57 57		98 100	37 35		3.6 3.8	41 38		8.3 7.9	18 23		2.3 2.2	51 52
STEUBEN	1986 1985	96 ₁ 900 97 ₁ 342	26 26		69 70	44 42		3.9 3.1	33 52		7.8 7.3	27 33		6.6 6.4	9 11
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,312,000 1,313,326	5 5		1,439	9 9		3.5 3.4	43 43		4.8 5.0	53 51		3.0 3.2	41 40
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	68,600 67,602	36 36		70 69	43 44		5.0	15 18		5.8 5.5	43 45		3.0 3.0	40 43
TIOGA	1986 1985	51,000 50,379	47 48		98 97	34 37		3.2 3.5	50 40		7.1 6.9	28 22		4.5	25 22
TONPKINS	1986 1985	87,600 88,150	31 30		184 185	24 24	А. Ч	3.7	39 8		3.5 3.7	61 59		3.8 3.9	29 28

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONDMIC CHARACTERISTICS

(Continued on next page.)

SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONDNIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		Population			Population Density Per Square Mile			Public High School Dropouts			Monthly Average Uneeployed			Honthly Average# Receiving Public Assistance	
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber	Rank		Density	Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	164,200 163,774	19 19		145 145	26 25	· -	6.1 5.9	5 9		4.3	54 52		3.0 3.2	43 41
WARREN	1986 1985	55,500 55,640	44 44		63	49 50		4.8 5.2	17 13		8.1 7.6	21 27		1.9 2.4	53 51
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	56,900 56,752	43 43		98 98	46 46		5.5 5.3	9 12		5.7 6.4	44 41		3.1	38 35
HAYNE	1986 1985	88,000 87,551	30 31		145 145	25 26		4.4	- 21 7		8.1 7.8	22 25		3.4 3.3	3 3
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	863,200 865,460	8		1,971 1,976	7		2.7	57 51		3.8 3.7	59 60		4.5 4.4	23 23
WAOHINE	1986 1985	40,800 40,676	54 54		69 68	45 45		4.0 3.4	32 46	· · · ·	8.0 8.0	23 19		2.1 1.8	52 54
YATES	1986 1985	21,200 21,486	04 04		63 63	50 49		2.3	59 31		8.2 7.5	19 31		3.3 3.2	36 39
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	17,772,100 17,782,754	NA NA		375 375	NA NA		5.8 6.5	na Na		6.3 6.5	na Na		7.7 7.0	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	7,262,700 7,254,239	NA NA		24,129 24,100	NA NA		10.1	NA NA		7.4 8.1	na Na		12.6 12.8	NA NA

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONDHIC CHARACTERISTICS

* The sonthly average number of persons in public assistance programs is not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

NOTE: Percents presented in this Table have been rounded to the first decimal place, however, the rank scores for percents were calculated using numbers with four (4) decimal places.

Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Department of Commerce, Department of Education, Department of Labor, Department of Social Services, and the US Bureau of Census.
TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 FOPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

B-7

		TOTAL					VIOLE	NT INDEX C	INES								PROPE	ITY INDEX CR	INES		
		INDEX		Tota		Nur	der	Forcible	Rape	Rabbi		Agg. As	sault	Tota		Burgl	ary	Larce	4	NV Th	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Raņk	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1965	4,540.7 4,530.6	10 11	344.6 309.4	15 18	3.8 1.7	18 38	31.0 21.0	8 18	103.1 92.5	15 14	205.8 174.2	21 25	4,196.1	10 10	1,193.8 1,177.2	7 10	2,828.3 2,865.9	8 7	174.0 178.1	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	2,060.9	56 58	139.6	43 48	3.9	17 16	3.9 5.B	55 50	7.8 .0	50 60	124.1 105.1	38 43	1,921.3	55 57	721.2	26 46	1,153.6 1,312.1	58 54	46 .5 25.3	
BRONX	1985 1985	8,131.2 7,506.3	2 3	2,319.9 2,158.2	2	34.3 28.8	1 1	53.5 69.9	3	1,235.9 1,184.0	3	996.2 875.5	1 1	5,811.3 5,348.1	2 5	1,800.5 1,796.7	2 2	2,924.3 2,552.2	é 11	1,086.5 999.3	
BROOME	1986 1985	2,936.5 2,984.5	29 31	76.7 62.6	54 60	2.3	32 47	15.9 14.5	22 28	17.3 12.1	31 43	41,1 35.0	60 60	2,859.9 2,921.9	26 26	463.2 519.0	58 56	2,307.9 2,302.5	18 17	88.8 100.4	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	2,558.5 2,546.0	35 -44	132.2 120.5	47 47	2.3	.33 50	7.0	50 59	16.2 10.4	35 49	106.7 167.B	45 42	2,426.4 2,425.5	34 39	566.9 632.7	51 45	1,750.5	31 37	109.0 86.9	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	2,312.3 2,169.8	49 55	144.1 196.2	41 32	1.2 -2.5	46 28	12.4 16.1	32 25	16.2	36 36	114.3 161.5	42 28	2,168.2 1,973.5	45 55	488 .3 493.1	56 59	1,597.9 1,394.8	38 51	82.0 85.7	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	3,306.5 3,314.4	20 24	151.5 132.3	40 42	1.4	44 42	18.4 10.9	18 35	21.2 25.2	30 23	110.5 94.8	43 46	3,155.0 3,182.2	20 22	704.B 727.4	30 37	2,359.4 2,339.6	16 14	90.7 115.2	
CHENUNG	1986 1985	3,922.4 4,044.B	15 13	207.1 186.7	34 34	4.3 2.1	14 34	19.2 19.2	16 23	33.1 28.8	26 22	150.5	34 33	3,715.3 3,858.1	13 13	787.9 837.3	24 21	2,822.8 2,937.6	10 5	104.6 83.2	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	2,221.8 2,800.3	51 35	133.0 153.8	.46 36	2.0 2.2	35 32	11.9 36.6	33 4	.0 10.B	61 46	119,1 114.3	39 39	2,088.8 2,636.5	51 35	595.7 821.3	49 25	1,423.6 1,741.8	47 34	69.5 73.3	
CLINTON	1984 1985	2,436.9 2,694.9	39 38	308.3 374.8	19 11	3.7 1.2	19 44	7.4 11.1	48 34	6.2 14.8	54 39	291.0 347.6	11 8	2,128.6 2,320.1	48 43	572.2 544.9	50 52	1,518.1 1,696.3	44 39	38.2 78.9	
COLUHBIA	1986 1985	2,401.6 2,732.9	43 36	303.1 329.2	20 17	11.4 3.3	5 21	4.9 8.1	52 45	24.4 22.8	28 24	262.3 295.0	15 10	2,098.6 2,403.7	50 41	611.0 756.1	42 33	1,422.4 1,575.8	48 45	65.2 71.7	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4,426.3 4,558.3	11 9	221.6 217.3	30 29	4.1 4.1	15 14	16.6 26.9	19 9	37.3 20.7	25 27	163.6 165.5	30 26	4,204.6 4,341.1	9	903.1 922.8	18 19	3,218.7 3,273.4	4	82.9 144.8	
DELANARE	1986 1985	2,294.0 2,216.8	50 53	119.6	4B 40	8.5 2.1	۶ 33	4.3	54 60	15.0 4.3	40 54	91.8 138.8		2,174.4	44 52	837.3 790.2	20 28	1,300.8	53 56	36.3	

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

B-8

		TOTA					VIOLE	ENT INDEX CF	RIMES	-							PROPER	ITY INDEX C	RIMES		
	INDE CR11		Tota		Hurs		Forcible	•	Robbi	ry	Agg. As	sault	Tot		Burg	ary	Larci	eny	NV T	lheft	
COUNTY	YEAR	ƙate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ranl
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3,242.6 3,126.4	24 28	352.9 330.6	13 16	2.4	30 36	14.2 14.6	25 27	108.8 74.1	12 16	227.5 240.0	1B 17	2,889.7 2,795.8	25 30	855.6 836.6	19 22	1,915.8 1,831.5	27 32	118.3 127.7	
ERIE	1985 1985	4,591.7 4,532.9	9 10	575.3 528.1	6 7	" 414 414	13 12	33.9 34.9	6 6	178.2 155.0	6	358.8 322.8	7 9	4,016.4 4,004.8	11 12	1,127.6 1,150.3	10 11	2,443.0 2,448.5	13 12	445.B 406.0	
ESSEX	1785 1785	2,208.0 2,176.7	52 54	168.8 157.6	38 38	.0 2.7	52 24	5.4 8.2	51 44	5.4 2.7	56 59	157.9 144.0	31 30	2,039.2 2,019.1	54 54	765.0 736.5	25 34	1,246.9	56 58	27.2 62.5	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	2,343.0 2,860.2	47 34	159.1 158.9	39 38	.0 2.6	52 26	11.4 25.6	36 11 -	9.1 5.1	47 53	138.5 125.6	36 36	2,183.9 2,701.3	43 33	609.0 784.3	44 29	1,509.0 1,860.7	46 30	45.9 56.4	
FULTON	1985 1985	2,950.6 3,435.6	28 20	97.7 94.1	52 53	1.8	38 50	10.7 5.3	38 52	16.0 12.4	37 42	69.3 76.3	52 49	2,852.9 3,341.6	28 19	689.2 1,009.7	32 15	2,030.4 2,184.5	25 21	133.2 147.3	
GENESEE	1986 1985	2,396.4 2,559.3	44 43	68.6 91.9	58 54	1.7	41 50	B.4 5.0	47 53	6.7 21.7	52 25	51.8 65.2	59 54	2,327.B 2,467.4	37 38	610.4 566.3	43 49	1,667.3 1,842.6	36 31	50.2 58.5	
GREENE	1986 1985	2,508.3 2,592.1	37 • 39	359.4 360.6	12 10	.0 2.4	52 29	14.5	24 48	16.9	33 35	328.0 354.1	B 7	2,148.9 2,211.5	46 48	709.1 672.1	29 43	i,360.2 1,455.0	51 48	79.6 84.3	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	1,950.3 3,277.0	57 25	61.6 101.8	61 50	.0	52 50	.0 20.4	59 21	.0 20.4	61 29	61.6 61.1	56 56	1,888.7 3,175.2	57 23 -	451.7 1,302.7	59 7	1,396.0 1,730.1	49 36	41.1 142.5	
HERKINER	1785 1985	1,920.0 2,143.9	58 57	170.0 175.8	37 35	1.5	43 41	10.3 8.9	39 39	4.4 3.0	59 58	153.7 162.5	32 27	1,750,1 1,968.1	58 55	520.3 536.3	54 54	1,191.3 1,391.8	57 52	38.4 39.9	
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	2,345.1 2,696.9	46 37	76.3 96.5	55 52	4.5 3.4	12 19	4.5 4.5	53 54	9.0 13.5	48 40	58.3 75.2	57 50	2,268.8 2,600.5	40 36	717.0 834.7	27 23	1,509.2 1,700.7	45 38	42.6 65.1	
KINGS	1986 1965	8,020.8 7,594.5	32	2,257.6	3	21.4	3	56.3 58.8	2 3	1,278.2 1,221.3	2 2	901.7 777.3	3	5,763.1 5,516.6	3	1,781.0 1,761.8	3	2,828.2 2,717.8	9 8	1,153.9 1,036.9	
LEWIS	1986 1985	986.4 1,105.0	62 62	63.9 123.7	60 44	.0 4.0	52 15	.0 4.0	5 7 55	12.0 4.0	41 55	51.9 111.7	58 40	922.5 981.4	62 62	431.3	60 57	435.3 430.8	52 62	55.9 43.9	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	3,105.7 3,084.0	26 29	269.5 240.4	24 28	1.7	39 50	10.2	40 41	11.9 6.8	42 51	245.6	17 20	2,836.2	29 29	395.7 453.5	62 60	2,386.0 2,328.8	14 16	54.6	

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTA					VIOL	ENT INDEX C	RINES	· .				•	•		PROPER	ITY INDEX C	RINES		
COUNTY	INDI CRII	IES	Tot		Hur		Forcible		Robbe	ery	Ágg. As	sault	Tota		Burg	lary	Larci	•	NV T	lheft	
COUNTY		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
HADISON	1986 1985	2,410.9 2,243.2	42 • 52	105.8 48.5	51 59	1.5 1.5	42 40	20.9 10.4	14 36	4.5 17.9	58 32	79.0 38.7	50 59	2,305.1 2,174.8	38 49	554.3 595.4	52 48	1,682.2	34 46	48.5 80.4	
NONROE	1986 1985	5,370.3 5,373.7	5 6	497.7 465.6	7 B	4.0 4.9	10 10	31.3 27.5	9 8	174.8 172.9	7 7	285.6 260.3	i2 13	4,872.5 4,908.1	5 6	1,142.7 1,149.6	9 12	3,465.1 3,487.0	J 3	264.8 271.5	
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	1,604.8 1,696.0	61 61	. 7 5.2 78.9	56 57	1.9		.0 3.B	59 57	7.5 15.0	51 38	65.8 60.1	53 57	1,529.6 1,617.1	60 61	528.0 501.5	53 58	928.3 1,049.9	61 60	73.3 65.7	
NASSAU	1986 1985	3,263.7 3,465.2	23 19	239.2 215.7	27 30	2.8 2.3	27 31	10.2 10.3	41 37	124.9 117.2	10 11	101.2 85.9	46 48	3,024.5 3,249.6	22 21	678.1 704.7	33 39	1,847.7 2,022.8	29 24	498.7 522.1	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	14 ,315. 6 13,623.0	1	2,698.6 2,657.0	1	29.8 25.3	2 2	62.2 67.3	- 1 2	1,638.3	. 1 1	968.3 871.7	2 2	11,617.0 10,966.0	1	2,165.6 2,219.2	- 1-	8 ,429,1 7,808.6	1	1,022.3 938.1	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	4,172.8 4,403.7	12 12	346.9 364.9	14 12	5.0 1.4	11 43	36.4 23.6	4 16	108.4 97.7	14 13	199.1 242.2	23 16	3,825.9 4,038.8	12 11	1,064.8 1,145.0	13 13	2,554.3 2,650.8	11 9	206.9 243.1	
DNEIDA	1986 1985	2,434.4 2,396.5	40 47	143.5 121.8	42 46	2.4 2.4	31 30	11.8 11.8	34 32	57.0 48.3	19 19	72.3 59.3	51 58	2,291.0 2,274.7	39 44	698.0 736.1	31 35	1,519.1 1,458.9	43 47	73.9 79.7	
ONONDABA	1986 1985	4,638.0 4,648.8	8 8	325.8 287.6	17 19	2.1 3.4	34 18	24.7 29.0	10 7	133.7 130.8	9 9	165.3 124.3	29 37	4,312.3 4,361.3	8 8	1,169.7 1,278.4	8	2,971.3 2,915.3	5	171.3 167.5	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	2,789.6 2,905.3	32 32	224.3 197.1	29 31	3.3 1.1	24 46	15.2 20.6	23 19	29.3 17.3	27 33	176.6 158.1	26 27	2,565.4 2,708.3	31 32	646.8 726.6	38 38	1,848.2 1,905.9	28 27	70.4 75.8	
ORANGE	1986 1985	3,723.3 3,653.1	17 16	425.7 396.0	8 9	3.3 3.6		21.5 20.4	13 20	122.2 119.6	11 10	278.7 252.3	13 14	3,297.5 3,257,1	17 20	978.8 1,026.9	16 14	2,128.7 2,058.5	22 22	190.1 171.8	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	2,506.7 2,367.7	38 49	310.2 258.9	18 25	7.6		12.7 25.4	31 12	38.1 20.3	23 30	251.7 210.6	16 22	2,196.6 2,108.9	42 51	607.6 434.0	45 61	1,525.4 1,608.9	42 42	63.6 66.0	
OSWEG0	1986 1985	2,541.8 2,373.2	36 48	114.B 110.5	50 49	1.7		18.4 8.4	17 42	15.1	39 45	79.6 90.4	49 47	2,427.1 2,262.6	33 45	642.6 559.4	39 50	1,670.5 1,594.4	35 44	113.9 108.9	
OTSEGO	1986 1985	2,187.7 2,359.9	54 50	73.4 98.4	57 51	.0 3.3		3.3 10.0	57 38	5.0		65.0 73.4	54 51	2,114.3	49 46	480.2 615.4	57 47	1,590.7	39 43	43.4 45.0	

(Continued on next page,)

в-9

TABLE I INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		1 TOTI INDI			·			ENT INDEX CI	RINES	· .							PROPE	RTY INDEX C	RIHES		
		CRI		Tota		Hurc		Forcible	e Rape	Robb		Agg. As		Tota		Burgl	ary	Larci	e n ¶	NV T	Theft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
PUTNAH	1786 1985	2,335.7 2,574.1	4B 40	254.2 161.5	25 37	.0 6.2	52 6	3.7 13.6	56 29	40.7 9.9	22 49	209.8 131.9	20 34	2,081.5 2,412.6	52 40	663.8 798.8	36 27	1,272.1 1,447.3		145.6 166.4	
QUEENS	1986 1985	6,909.5 6,533.9	4	1,208.5 1,128.4	4	12.1 9.7	4	34.4 35.0	5 5	662.8 648.1	4	499.3 435.6	4	5,701.0 5,405.5	4	1,447.9 1,421.0	4 5	2,834.3 2,641.0	7 10	1,418.8 1,342.7	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	3,928.1. 3,706.9	14 17	295.7 279.1	22 20	2.6 2.6	28 25	22.3 21.6	12 17	75.4 55.0	17 18	195,4 199,9	24 23	3,632.5 3,427.7	15 17	1,084.3 982.3	11 16	2,371.2 2,253.5	15 19	177.0 192.0	
RICHNOND	1986 1985	5,073.5 5,138.0	6 7	723.9 699.2	5 5	7.5 7.0	8 5	20.1 19.8	15 22	263.3 267.7	5 5	433.1	5 6	4,349.6 4,438.8	7	1,051.2 1,248.2	- 14 9	1,970.6 1,898.5	26 29	1,327.8 1,292.1	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	2,929.3 3,127.1	30 27	289.2 265.3	23 22	.8 1.5	50 39	12.8	30 43	75.1 60.4	18 17	200.5 195.1	22 24	2,640.1 2,861.8	30 28	713.9	28 32	1,780.4 1,902.6	30 28	145.7 199.6	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,992.0 2,866.5	27 33	137.B 84.2	44 55	.9	49 48	. 11.4 .0	35 61	- 7.9 10.5	49 47	117.6 72.8	40 52	2,854.2 2,782.3	27 31	628.2 695.6	40 41	2,150.5 2,013.9	21 25	75.5 72.8	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,389.9 2,287.4	45 - 51	171.2	36 41	.6 1.9	51 37	13.1 8.7	29 40	16.9 15.6	34 37	140.5 109.8	35 41	2,218.8 2,151.3	41 50	600.3 639.1	47 44	1,544.8 1,424.8	41 50	73.7 87.4	
SCHENECTADY	1985 1985	3,500.7 3,352.9	19 22	214.2 190.3	32 33	1.3 2.0	45 35	9.9 13.2	42 30	88.6 75.3	16 15	114.4 99.8	41 44	3,286.5 3,162.5	18 24	1,078.5 953.7	12 17	2,057.2 2,042.9	24 23	130.B 145.9	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	2,188.0 2,405.2	53 46	118.6 72.3	49 58	.0	52 50	3.3 3	58 58	6.6	53 60	108.7 69.0	44 53	2,069.4 2,333.9	53 42	626.1 881.0	41 20	1,307.3 1,390.5	50 53	56.0 62.5	
SCHUYLER	1786 1785	1,745.0 1,729.9	59 60	39.4 78.9	62 56	.0 .0	• 52 50	.0		5.6 16.9	55 34	33.8 42.0	61 55	1,705.6 1,651.0	59 59	669.9 • 546.6	34 51	968.2 1,048.1		67.5 56.3	
SENECA	1986 1985	3,266.7 3,342.6	22 23	299.7 272.0	21 21	3.0	25 7	9.1 24.2	45 13	15.1 3.0	38 57	272.5 238.8	14 18	2,967.0 3,070.6	24 25	660.0 701.2	37 40	2,182.9 2,221.3	19 20	124.1 148.1	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	2,598.2 2,505.4	33 45	233.9 255.9	2B 26	1.0	4 8 50	9.0 8.0	46	11.0 18.1	44 31	212.B 229.8	19 19	2,364.3 2,249.5	35 1 7	597.3 540.8	48 53	1,666.5 1,616.4	37 40	100.4 92.3	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,871.8 3,810.3	16 15	250.8 255.8	26 27	2.9 3.1	26 22	13.5	28 31	106.5		128.0 130.7	37 35	3,620.9 3,554.5	16 14	998,3	15 18	2,313.4 2,329.4	17	309.2 279.8	

(Continued on next page,)

B-10

.

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		TDT						NT INDEX CI									PROPE	RTY INDEX CA	IHES		
		INDE CR11	1ES	Tota	1	Huro	ier	forcible		Robbe	ery	Agg. As:	ault	Tot	al	Burgl	ary	Larci	•	KY TI	lhe i t
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rai
SULLIVAN	1986 1995	3,548.1 3,784.5	18 16	412.5	10 14	7.4	9 23	32.6 26.7	7 10	54.9 43.0	20 20	317.6	9 12	3,135.6 3,444.6	21 16	1, 396.4 1,650.3	5 4	1,565.6 1,614.7	40 41	173.6	
TIDGA	1986 1985	1,698.0 1,764.0	60 59	197.2 122.2	35 45	3.9 .0	14 50	13.8 3.9	27 56	11.0 3.9	43 56	167.6 114.3	28 38	1,500.8 1,641.8	61 60	424.0 431.6	61 62	986.1 1,131.3	59 59	90 .7 78.8	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	5,006.0 5,726.4	. 7 5	137.4 129.3	45 43	1.1 	47 45	9.1 11.3	44 33	37.5 21.6	24 26	89.7 95.3	48 45	4,868.5 5,597.1	6 2	1,227.6 1,341.1	6	3,546.6 4,126.6	2 2	94.3 129.3	
ULSTER	1986 · 1985	2,859.2 3,019.0	3 1 30	376.0 352.5	11 13	3.7 4.3	20 13	16.6 23.9:	20 14	48.5	21 21	307.3 288.1	10 11	2,483.2 2,666.5	32 34	665.6 766.8	35 31	1,710.9 1,760.5	32 33	106.7 139.1	
NARREN	1985 1985	3,270.7 3,408.9	21 21	64.7 50.3	59 61	1.8 .0	37 50	10.8 7.2	37 - 49	21.8 12.6	29 41	30.6 30.5	82 61	3,206.0 3,358.6	19 18	90 9. B 830. 2	17 24	2,152.3 2,363.0	20 13	143.8 165.3	
NASH1NGTON	1986 1985	2,129.1 2,158.2	55 56	210.1 259.6	33 24	.0 5.3	'52 ⁻8	16.0 5.3	21 51	10.7 5.3	45 52	183.4 243.6	25 15	1,919.1 1,898.7	56 58	503.8 535.1	55 55	1,329.8 1,274.7	52 55	85.5 88.9	
HAYNE	1985 1985	3,188.1 3,132.5	25 26	216.6	31 23	3.4	22 50	22.7 23.8	11 15	17.0 20.4	32 28	173.5	27 21	2,971.5 2,871.8	23 27	829.1 782.0	21 30	2,075.5 1,985.6	23 26	66.9 104.3	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	4,014.6 3,841.0	13 14	334.9 - 334.3	16 15	3.5 4.7	21 - 11	14.0 15.1	26 26	165.2 177.7	8 ბ	152.2 136.8	33 32	3,679.7 3,505.7	14 15	796.7 814.5	23 26	2,445.5 2,293.1	12 18	437.5 399.1	
WYOMING	1986 1985 -	2,565.0 2,562.1	34 41	422.6 533.1	9 6	2.5		7.4	48 47	2.5	60 50	410.3 513.4	6 4	2,142.4 2,029.0	47 53	798.5 682.9	22 42	1,267.0 1,235.6	55 57	76.2 110.5	
YATES	1986 1985	2,418.1 2,560.5	41 42	83.5 46.4	53 62	.0	52 50	9.3 18.6	43 24	.0	46 60	45.0 27.8	55 62	2,334.5 2,514.1	36 37	603.4 732.9	46 36	1,484.8 1,739.5	33 35	46.4 41.7	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	5,741,6 5,566.7	NA Ka	983.9 928.6	NA NA	10.7 9.5	NA NA	30.4 31.9	NA NA	514.2 504.1	NA Na	428.6 383.0	na Na	4,757.7 4,538.1	NA NA	1,216.3	na Na	2,904.2 2,808.9	NA Na	635.2 598.0	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	8,866.7 8,392.9	NÂ NA	1,997.5 1,883.4	NA NA	22.0 19.3	NA NA	49.3 54.0	NA NA	1,127.2	NA NA	798.9 701.9	NA NA	6,869.3 6,509.3	NA Na	1,735.4 1,742.9	NA NA	3,938.0 3,661.0	NA Na	1,195.9	

NDIE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

Source: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

B-11

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEHEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

	1014				FELDNY A	RRESTS				NICOCN	CANOD
	ARRES	TS		-						ARRES	STS
YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
1001	7 977 C	12	712.1	·	251 4	. 7		440 5	7	1 626 7	21
1985	2,197.9	14	604.5	6	194.8	9		409.7	6	1,593.4	20
1986	1,247.5	60	330.7	56	65.3	57		265.3	46	916.B	58
1985	1,111.9	62	231.8	62	55.0	59		176.8	62	880.1	60
1986	3,611.0	2	2,065.8	2	821.0	2		1,244.8	2	1,545.2	28
1482	3,284,8	2	1,//5.8	2	//0.3	. 2		1,005.4	- 2	1,504.0	29
1986	1,842.5	37	397.5	35	. 114.3	29		283.2	40	1,445.0	36
1403	1,0/0.2	. 34	388.3							1,207.9	5 37
1986	1,756.2	41	336.5 288 3	55 54	72.7	55 52		263.B	40 54	1,419.7	39 37
	•									•	
									37		51 31
	•			~~~							
1986		39 41		50 55	86.0 77.0	47 45			50 53		34 35
1001	•				100 7	-				•	
1985	1,905.0	29	426.5	28	124.3	21 32		297.2 346.8	55 15	1,478.5	33
1001	1 011 0	20	200 0	. 10	- 50 A	10		222 0	10	1 101 0	18
1785	2,159.6	16	310.2	51	92.1	37		218.2	57	1,849.4	10
1986	1.943.3	31	507.4	19	126.8	22		380.5	12	1.436.0	37
1985	1,851.1	33	384.9	3Q	95.6	34		269.3	26	1,466.2	32
1986	2,019.8	24	466.2	22	141.7	19		324.5	25	1,553.5	26
1985	2,234.2	13	523.1	12	132.0	19		391.1	9	1,711.2	12
1986	2,865.0	5	\$ 514.8	17	177.2	· 13		337.6	23	2,350.2	4
1985	2,964.6	4	403.0	25	111.8	76		291.2	25	2,561.6	2
1986 1985	2,010.6	25 27	420.4 341.2	29 40	80.7	51		339.7	22	1,590.2	24
	1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985	ARRES YEAR Rate 1986 2,338.7 1985 2,197.9 1985 1,117.9 1985 1,111.9 1985 1,247.5 1985 1,111.9 1986 1,247.5 1985 1,111.9 1986 1,842.5 1985 1,676.2 1986 1,756.2 1985 1,676.2 1985 1,675.2 1985 1,687.9 1986 1,867.8 1985 1,640.5 1985 1,943.3 1985 1,943.4 1985 1,943.3 1985 1,945.1 1986 1,945.3 1985 2,019.8 1985 2,234.2 1985 2,965.0 1985 2,964.6 1986 2,964.6	$$ 19842,338.71219852,197.91419851,247.56019851,111.96219853,284.8219853,284.8219851,676.23719851,676.23719851,587.94419861,558.25219851,660.54119861,905.03419861,905.03419861,943.33119861,943.33119861,943.33119861,943.33119861,943.33119852,019.82419852,234.21319862,865.0519852,744.6419862,010.625	ARRESTS Tota YEAR Rate Rank Rate 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 1986 1,247.5 60 330.7 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 1986 3,611.0 2 2,065.8 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 1985 1,676.2 37 388.3 1986 1,756.2 41 336.5 1985 1,587.9 44 286.3 1986 1,558.2 52 374.2 1985 1,643.3 34 373.2 1986 1,807.8 39 345.2 1985 1,943.6 29 280.0 1985 1,943.6 29 280.0 1985 1,943.3 31 507.4 1985 1,943.3 31 507.4 1985 1,943.3 31 507.4	ARRESTS Total YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 5 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 6 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 62 1985 1,111.9 62 2065.8 2 1985 3,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 1985 1,842.5 37 397.5 35 1985 1,676.2 39 388.3 29 1986 1,756.2 41 336.5 55 1985 1,587.9 44 268.3 56 1986 1,867.8 39 345.2 50 1986 1,905.0 34 426.5 28 1985 1,943.3 31 507.4 19 1985 1,943.3 31 507.4 19 1985 1	IDTAL ARRESTS Total Viale YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 4 251.6 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 6 194.8 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 62 55.0 1985 3,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 1985 3,284.8 2 1,775.8 2 770.3 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 144.3 1985 1,676.2 41 336.5 55 72.7 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 144.3 1986 1,660.5 41 299.5 55 77.0 1986 1,965.0 34 <t< td=""><td>ARRESTS Total Violent YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 5 251.6 7 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 6 194.8 9 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 62 55.0 58 1985 3,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1985 1,842.5 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 1985 1,676.2 39 388.3 29 109.2 27 1986 1,859.2 52 374.2 41 86.4 46 1985 1,660.5 41 299.5 55 77.6 45 1986 1,807.8 39 345.2 50 86.0 47 1985</td><td>TOTAL Total Violent YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 5 251.6 7 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 5 194.8 9 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 62 55.0 59 1985 1,111.9 62 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 1985 1,684.2 41 336.5 55 72.7 55 1986 1,687.8 39 345.2 50 86.4 46 1985 1,640.5</td><td>TOTAL ARRESTS Total Violent Othe YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 5 251.6 7 460.5 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 5 194.8 9 409.7 1986 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 265.3 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 62 55.0 59 176.8 1986 1,247.5 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 283.2 1985 1,676.2 39 368.3 29 109.2 27 279.1 1986 1,756.2 41 336.5 55 72.7 55 263.8 1985 1,587.9 44 288.3 56 66.8 52 221.5 1986 1,905.0 <td< td=""><td>TOTAL ARRESTS Total Violent Other YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 6 251.6 7 460.5 7 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 6 194.8 9 409.7 6 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 265.3 46 1985 1,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1,244.8 2 1985 3,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1,244.8 2 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 283.2 40 1985 1,676.2 41 336.5 55 72.7 55 263.8 48 1985 1,676.2 41 336.5 55 77.0 45 221.5 55 <</td><td>IDTAL ARRESTS Total Violent Other HISDER ARRESTS VEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate <tde< td=""></tde<></td></td<></td></t<>	ARRESTS Total Violent YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 5 251.6 7 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 6 194.8 9 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 62 55.0 58 1985 3,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1985 1,842.5 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 1985 1,676.2 39 388.3 29 109.2 27 1986 1,859.2 52 374.2 41 86.4 46 1985 1,660.5 41 299.5 55 77.6 45 1986 1,807.8 39 345.2 50 86.0 47 1985	TOTAL Total Violent YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 5 251.6 7 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 5 194.8 9 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 62 55.0 59 1985 1,111.9 62 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 1985 1,684.2 41 336.5 55 72.7 55 1986 1,687.8 39 345.2 50 86.4 46 1985 1,640.5	TOTAL ARRESTS Total Violent Othe YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 5 251.6 7 460.5 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 5 194.8 9 409.7 1986 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 265.3 1985 1,111.9 62 231.8 62 55.0 59 176.8 1986 1,247.5 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 283.2 1985 1,676.2 39 368.3 29 109.2 27 279.1 1986 1,756.2 41 336.5 55 72.7 55 263.8 1985 1,587.9 44 288.3 56 66.8 52 221.5 1986 1,905.0 <td< td=""><td>TOTAL ARRESTS Total Violent Other YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 6 251.6 7 460.5 7 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 6 194.8 9 409.7 6 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 265.3 46 1985 1,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1,244.8 2 1985 3,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1,244.8 2 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 283.2 40 1985 1,676.2 41 336.5 55 72.7 55 263.8 48 1985 1,676.2 41 336.5 55 77.0 45 221.5 55 <</td><td>IDTAL ARRESTS Total Violent Other HISDER ARRESTS VEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate <tde< td=""></tde<></td></td<>	TOTAL ARRESTS Total Violent Other YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 2,338.7 12 712.1 6 251.6 7 460.5 7 1985 2,197.9 14 604.5 6 194.8 9 409.7 6 1985 1,247.5 60 330.7 56 65.3 57 265.3 46 1985 1,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1,244.8 2 1985 3,611.0 2 2,065.8 2 821.0 2 1,244.8 2 1985 1,676.2 37 397.5 35 114.3 28 283.2 40 1985 1,676.2 41 336.5 55 72.7 55 263.8 48 1985 1,676.2 41 336.5 55 77.0 45 221.5 55 <	IDTAL ARRESTS Total Violent Other HISDER ARRESTS VEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate <tde< td=""></tde<>

COUNTY		TOTA	L			FELONY A				HISDENE	EANOR
		ARRES		Tota		Viole		Othe	-	ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
NUTCHEES	(00/	1,987.1	77	577 A			17	379.3	13	1.449.8	3
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,989.8	27 23	537.4 514.7	16 13	158.1 166.6	17	348.1	14	1,475.1	. 3
ERIE	1986	2,257.1	17	571.3	12	222.3	9	348.9		1,685.8	l
	1985	2,103.1	18	539.7	10	210.2	. 8	329.5	19	1,563.3	2
ESSEX	1986	2,283.7	16	584.0	11	93.7	40	490.4	6	1,699.7	
	1985	1,949.7	28	354.7	35	68.7	50	286.0	27	1,595.0	1
FRANKLIN	1986	2,347.0	11	351.6	49	93.6	41	258.0	51	1,995.4	
	1985	2,016.3	20	347.1	37	75.4	. 47	271.7	32	1,669.2	1
FULTON	1985	1,393.8	57	267.4	61	60.4	58	207.0	62	1,126.4	:
	1985	1,395.0	55	344.7	39	75.8	46	268.9	33	1,050.3	:
GENESEE	1986	1,932.0	33	384.1	38	107.1	35	278.9	43	1,545.9	:
	1985	1,757.7	35	328.5	. 44 .	84.7	42	243.8	48	1,429.2	
GREENE	1986	2,147.3	23	551.1	14	121.1	24	429.9	9	1,596.2	:
ો	1985	1,675.7	40	424.3	23	120.6	22	203.8	21	1,251.3	4
HAMILTON	1986	1,680.0	45	320.0	58	60.0	59	260.0	49	1,360.0	i
	1985	2,006.0	- 22	481.4	17	60.2	56	421.3	5	1,524.6	1
HERKINER	1986	1,409.6	56	366.2	44	86,7	45	279.5	42	1,043,3	
	1985	1,385.8	56	272.0	59	64.3	54	207.7	60	1,114.8	
JEFFERSON	1986	1,496.7	54	452.5	25	112.6	30	340.0	21	1,044.2	
	1985	1,440.5	54	370.3	34	103.9	33	266.4	35	1,070.2	. 5
KINGS	1986	2,837.5	6	1,597.3	3	770.4	3	B26.9	3	1,240.2	. 1
	1985	2,405.5	8	1,377.7	3	678.5	3	699.2	2	1,027.8	
LENIS	1986	865.5	62	260.9	62	27.7	62	233.2	59	604.7	4
	1985	1,132.5	61	254.3	61	27.8	62	226.5	51	878.2	. (
LIVINGSTON	1986	2,551.2	9	377.1	40	81.9	49	295.2	34	2,174.1	
	1985	2,590.5	7 1	288.0	57	73.7	49	214.3	59	2,302.5	

				•			FELONY A	RRESTS				
			TOTA Arres		Tota	1	Viole	nt	Othe	r	nisdehe Arres	
COUNTY		YEAR	Rate	Rank	, Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON		1986 1985	1,846.8 1,654.1	36 42	366.4 317.6	43 49	111.1	31 49	255.3 244.2	52 47	1,480.5 1,336.5	32 36
HONROE		1985 1985	2,285.5 2,059.5	15 19	555.4 485.3	13 15	196.0 178.5	11 11	359.4 306.9	17 20	1,730.1 1,574.2	16 23
KONTGONERY		1986 1985	2,197.7 1,875.2	21 31	385.8 302.7	39 54	76.B 45.7	53 60	309.0 257.0	20 38	1,811.9 1,572.5	15 24
NASSAU		1986 1985	1,305,4 1,314.8	59 57	402.0 374.4	33 -31	121.1 121.1	25 21	281.0 253.4	41 41	903.4 940.4	5 7 58
NEW·YORK		1986 1985	7,694.0 7,117.1	1 1	2,820.4 2,517.6	1	981.8 923.8	1 1	1,838.6 1,593.8	1 1	4,873.7 4,599.5	1
NIAGARA		1986 1985	2,003.7 1,856.4	26 32	463.8 425.0	23 22	164.1 152.9	14 14	299.7 272.1	32 31	1,539.9 1,431.5	33 20
DNEIDA		1986 1985	1,427.0 1,289.0	55 59	339.6 322.3	54 48	103.0 106.5	36 29	236.6 215.0	58 59	1,097.3 966.8	53 56
ONDNDAGA		1986 1985	1,815.3 1,756.2	38 36	586.4 592.7	10 7	223.9 227.4	8 7	362.5 365.3	15 11	1,229.9 1,163.5	47 48
DNTARIO		1986 1985	2,287,4 1,978.7	14 26	393.7 337.7	36 41	102.0 85.5	37 41	271.8 252.2	36 43	1,893.7 1,640.9	9 16
DRANGE		1986 1985	2,472.B 2,195.5	10 15	636.1 577.2	7 8	203.1 181.2	10 10	433.1 395.9	8 8	1,836.7	12 18
ORLEANS		1986 1985	1,640.1 1,527.8	49 50	452.4 482.9	26 15	159.4 140.5	16 18	293.1 342.4	35 16	1,187.7 1,045.0	50 53
DSWEGD		1986 1985	1,721.6 1,556.2	43 47	340.3 335.7	53 42	92.0 90.7	42 39	248.3 245.1	55 46	1,381,3 1,220.4	39 46
DTSEGD		1986 1985	2,174.0 2,343.0	22 9	354.7 370.6	48 33	54.1 119.6	61 23	300.7 251.0	30 44	1,819.3 1,972.4	13 7

(Continued on next page,)

B-14

		TOTA	It			FELONY A	RRESTS			MISDENE	ANDR
	ARRES		Tota		Viale		Othe	f	ARRES		
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank 	Rate	Ran
PUTNAM	1986 1985	1,970,6	28 46	409.3 326.3	30 45	109.1 66.B	34 53	300.2 259.6	31 37	1,561.3 1,241.1	2) 4
QUEENS	1986 1985	1,666.7 1,453.9	46 52	926.2 709.9	4	374.8 308.9	4	551.4 401.0	5 7	740.5 744.0	6 - 63
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,655.9 1,568,5	47 45	357.9 325.7	47 46	116.0 118.9	27 24	241.9 206.8	57 61	1,298.0 1,242.8	4
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,563,5 1,504,3	50 51	618.5 570.3	8 9	291.8 295.8	6 5	326.7 274.4	24 30	945.0 934.0	5 5
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	1,137,6	61 58	368,9 345,7	42 38	81.2 93.5	50 36	287.7 252.2	38 42	768.7 949.0	6 5
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,212.8 2,006.9	20 21	329.8 324.5	57 47	75,4 77,6	54 44	254.4 246.9	53 45	1,883.0 1,682.4	1
SARATOGA '	1986 1985	2,222.4 2,286.0	18 10	405.2 397.3	31 20	91.4 105.8	44 30	313.B 291.5	27 24	1,817.2 1,608.7	1
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,737.7 1,528.7	42 49	400.1 350.0	34 35	91.9 94.3	43 35	308.3 255.7	29 40	1,337.6 1,178.7	4) 4)
SCHUHARIE	1986 1985	2,718.1 2,238.3	8 12	473.2 308.7	20 52	110.7 87.3	32 40	362.4 221.5	16 55	2,245.0 1,929.6	l
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,902.3 1,930.2	35 30	362.1 416.9	46 24	109.2 148.5	33 16	252.9 268.4	54 34	1,540.2 1,513.3	21 21
SENECA	1985 1985	2,317.B 2,279.9	13	470.4 457.8	21 19	96.6 91.6	38 38	373.B 366.2	14 10	1,847.4 1,822.1	1 1
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,559.3 1,447.5	51 53	341.6 304.1	52 53	49.1 47.8	54 51	272,4 236,3	44 49	1,217.8 1,143.4	4
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,647.5	48 38	403.3 398.8	32 26	136.7 141.9	20 17	266.5	45 39	1,244.2 1,288.7	4

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTA	1			FELONY A	RRESIS				HISDEH	FANNO
COUNTY YE		ARRES		Tota	1	Viole			Othe	er.	ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	3,182.2	4 3	925.7 751.5	5	358.6 274.4	5		567.1 457.1	4	2,256.6	
		,									·	
TIDGA	1986 1985	1,711.8 1,534.4	44 48	362.7 283.8	45 58	78.4 49.6	52 59		284.3 234.2	39 50	1,349.0 1,250.5	- 4
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	1,936.1	32 24	343.6 329.0	51 43	95.9 106.6	39 28		247.7 222.3	56 54	1,592.5 1,659.7	2
ULSTER	1986 1985	2,221.1 2,113.9	19 17	549.3 523.9	15 11	159.6	15 13		389.8 363.9	11 12	1,671.7	2
ARREN	1986	3,282.9	3	596.4	9	189.2	12		407.2	10	2,686.5	
	1985	2,949.3	5	478.1	19	118.6	25		359.5	13	2,471.2	
VASHINGTON	1986 1985	1,959.6 1,980.5	30 25	441.1 398.2	27 27	117,8 105.7	26 31		. 323.4 292.5	26 22	1,518.5	3 2
KAYNE	1986 1985	2,817.0 2,878.3	7	458.0 456.9	24 20	113.6 123.4	29 20		344.3	20 18	2,359.1	
	1111	1010.0	·	100.7		113.4	10	•	00010	10	114111	
RESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,794,5 1,730,9	40 37	513.0 490.8	18 14	154.7 150.3	18 15		350.3 340.5	18 17	1,281.5 1,240.0	4
NYCHING	1986 1985	1,502.5 1,598.0	53 43	291.7 317.1	59 50	83.3 56.5	48 57		208.3 260.6	61 36	1,210.B 1,280.9	4
YATES	1986	1,330.2	58	386.8	37	122.6	23		264.2	47	943.4	
÷ .	1985	1,265.9	60	260.6	60	37.2	61		223.4	52	1,005.3	5
NEH YORK STATE	1986	2,566.3	NA	968.7	NA	369.9	NA		598.8	NA	1,597.6	۱
	1985	2,371.0	NA	850.7	HA	339.3	NA		512.4	ha	1,520.3	1
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	3,579,8 3,212.5	NA Na	1,697.4	NA	693.1 626.1	NA Na		1,004.3 831.8	NA NA	1,882.4 1,754.6	. 1

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 #

		KURDE	575		FORCIBL ARRE	515			ROBBER ARREST			AGG. ASS ARRES	TS		SURGL ARRES			LARCE	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	-	Rate	Rank				Rank			Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	5.6 2.8	9 23		12.4				31.1 28.5	14 14		112.2 86.0	7 9	• •	114.7 99.3	12 28		456.2 397.4	
ALLEGANY	1985 1985	.0 7.9	46		2.0				.0	59 56		23.8 31.4	51 40		145.5 68.8	9 48		154.5 196.5	
BRONX	1986 1985	23.6 19.0	1 1		27.6 26.5				269.8 237.9	2 2		329.5 311.2	2 2		189.0 180.6	2		467.8 413.2	
BROOME	1986 1985	2.4 2.8	24 22		0.5 5.2				14.7 11.3	23 26		33.2 30.1	38 42		78.3 86.1	45 37	•	432.2 354.9	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	7.0	5 49		5,9 2,3				4,7 8,2	49 34		19.9 32.8	56 37		84.4 82.0	39 38	-	267.3 243.7	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1.3 3.8	40 16		8.8 7.5	21 19			6.3 10.0	42 29		22.5 37.6	54 30		• 91.4 51.3	31 59	н	373.0 435.8	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	1.4	38 48		4.9 2.8			•	7.7	38 22		32.1 22.9	39 50		95.7 81.1	- 28 41		346.6 344.6	
CITEMUNG	1986 1985	1.1	42 45		6.6 4.3				22.1 6.5	18 41		43.1 33.7	27 35		99.4 133.7	21 9		626.5 641.4	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	2.0	27 32		.0 .10.0				.0 4.0	59 47		12.0 18.0	60 57		64.0 108.1	53 18		318.0 354.3	
CL INTON	1986 1985	6.2 .0	6 49		4.9 1.2				7.4 9.8	39 31		54.2 31.9	18 39		110.B 69.9	13 46		402.7 315.1	
COLUNDIA	1986 1985 -	1.6	37 8		.0 1.7				13.2 9.9	26 30		84.0 62.7	10 17		84.0 135.3	40 8		303.1 348.2	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

		MURDI ARRES			FORCIBLE ARRES	TS			ROBBER		•	AGG. ASSI ARREST	IS		BURGL ARRES				LARCE ARRES	TS	•
COUNTY	Year		Rank		Rate	Rank				Rank		Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank				Rank	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4.2	13 49		B.4 4.2	23 37			33.8 8.4	12 33		44.3 48.5	26 21		143.5 107.6				540.1 455.8	67	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	.0 2.1	46 31		12,7	9 56			8.5 2.1	36 54		29.7 46.9	44 25		89.2 108.8			•	388.5 383.9	25 19	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3.5	15 37		5.8 5.5	36 29			21.8 25.7	20 16		67.8 76.4	14 11		95.8 96.4	27 31			391.4 365.7	24 21	
ERIE	1984 1985	3.5 3.8	14 15		14.8 14.8	5 7	•		42.3 42.7	9 8		92.4 93.3	8 7		104.3 100.0		•		477.6 438.9	9 8	
ESSEX	1986 1985	.0 .0	46 • 49		13.8 2.8	7 43			5.5 .0	46 56		41.3 27.5	28 45		170.8 112.7	6 15			366.4 286.0	29 41	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	.0 2.3	46 28		6.B 9.1	30 16		•	9.1 .0	34 56		27.4. 25.1	46 48		87.0 100.5	34 25			299.1 294.6	40 39	
FULTON	1986 1985	1.B .0	33 49	•	3.7	50 56			5.5 5.4	47 44		25.6 23.5	50 49		51.3 90.2				293.0 314.0	43 34	
GENESEE	1986 1985	.0 .0	46 49		5.1 5.1	40 32			3.4 22.0	54 17		28.9 27.1	45 46		96.9 93.1				297.6 233.7	41 51	
GREENE	1986 1965	.0 2.4	46 27		9.5 2.4	17 46			7.1 7.2	40 36		64.1 84.4	17 - 10		59.4 65.1				313.5 285.9	37 40	
HAMILTON	. 1986 1985	.0 .0	46 49		0. 0.	59 56			0. 0.	59 56		0. 0.	62 62		160.0 220.7				320.0 200.6	34 55	
HERKIHER	1986 1985	.0 .0	46 47		4.5 3.0	45 41			4.5	50 45		31.4 22.4	40 54		80.7 53.8				219.7 215.2		

.

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

		••	HUR Arr	DER Ests	•			URCIBLE AKRES	15		۔ ۱		ROBBEN		•.	AGG. ASS ARRES			BURG ARRE				ARRE	ENY## Sts
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank			I		Rank		-	Ra		Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		5.5 2.3					5.5 2.3	38 49				3.3 10.2	55 28		35,3 38.4	36 29		146.8 117.4				210.8 188.5	
KINGS	1986 1985		17.3 16.1					18.2 21.8	4				95.4 49.8	2		278.4 240.6	3 3		177.5		· .		398.0 343.8	
LEVIS	1986 1995		.0					4.0	4B 56				4.0 4.0	52 48		7,9 4.0	61 60		35.6 83.4				110.7 151.0	
LIVINESTON	1984 1985		3.4					3.4 1.7	52 51				5.1 6.9	48 3B		46.1 42.9	25 27		48.3 54.9				428.3 426.9	
NADISON	1985 1985		4.5	12 - 38				13.5 6.0	8 25				6.0 9.0	44 32		39.0 3.0	32 61		84.1 89.9				345.3 317.6	
MONRDE	1986 1985		6.1 4.0					13.8 12.2	6 10				47.0 44.7	7 7		48.2 43.6	24 26		101.5 107.5				550,2 502.8	
HONTGOMERY	1985 1985		1.9					1.9	57 28				5.8 1.9	45 55		30.7 22.8	41 51		57.6 60.9				268.7 359.8	
NASSAU	1986 1985		2.0 3.0					2.6	54 44				33.3 30.3	13 12		49.4 47.3	22 23		53.6 59.9			x 11	256.5 255.7	
NE¥ YORK	1986 1985		18.9 17.9					20.4 24.3	2 3				56.0 06.1	· 1 1		369, 1 346, 9	1		210.4 176.2				1,134.4 1,010.5	
NIAGARA	, 1986 1985		1.8 2.9			•		10.6 5.1	13 31				25.4 26.8	17 15		65.9 63.3	16 16	· .	103.7 109.5				391.4 356.6	
DNEIDA	1986 1985		2.4					8.0 6.0	24 27				17.3 11.6	22 25		30.6 32.7	42 38		88.5 93.3			•	334.0 258.0	
																					•			

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

		HURDI	STS			ORCIBLE ARRES	15			ROBBE Arres			AGG. ASS Arres	IS		BURG ARRE				LARCI	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank				Rank	P		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
ONDNDAGA	1986 1985	2.6 3.0	22 20			8.7 14.0	20. B		•	48.4 48.1	ь - Ь		81.8 76.1	11 12		106.4 137.5				424.9 368.1	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1.1 2.2	43 30		. .	4.3 8.7	46 17			11.9 2.2	29 53		30.4 28.1	43 44	-	80.3 66.0			•	387.2 325.8	
DRANGE	1986 1985	2.8 1.8	20 35			9.2 11.5	19 11			40.5	10 18		88.0 88.3	9 8		96.9 99.8				467.2 407.8	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	5.1 5.1	11 9			10.3 7.7	14 18			12.9 2.6	27 50		. 79.7 63.9	12 14		92.5 130.3				295.6 296.4	
DSWE60	1986 1985	3.3 .B	17 • 47			7.5 3.4	25 40			4.2 2.5	51 51		25.9 31.1	48 41		98.7 96.5	23 30			267.6 282.0	
OTSE60	1986 1985	.0 3.4	46 17			1.7 6.7	58 21			1.7 6.7	58 40		15.2 42.1	59 28		98.0 101.1				442.6 486.8	
PUTNAN	1986 1985	.0 4.9	46 10			3.7 1.2	49 53			14.7 3.7	23 49		50.2 35.8	21 34		63.7 56.9				213.2 208.9	
RUEENS	1986 1985	8.2 7.7	4			12.6 11.0	10 12			142.7 109.2	4		141.1 126.8	5 4		91.7 80.0				300.2 254.1	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1.3	39 40			5.9 1.6	33 34			11.9 10.6	30 27		52.7 57.5	19 18		77.1 69.4				273.6 196.9	
RICHMOND	, 1986 1985	5.9 7.8	8 5			11.2	12 13			86.5 84.3	5 5		124.1 124.9	- 6 - 5j		88.4 104.8				260.B 282.5	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	.4 1.1	45 44			4.5 2.3	44 49			21.8 13.6	19 24		39.5 47.1	3! 24		42.5 51.3				159.8 178.3	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 4 - Continued

		MURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE Arrests	ROBBERY Arrests	AGG. ASSAULT Arrests	BURGLARY ARRESTS	LARCENY## ARRESTS
EOUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1.8 36 2.6 26	7.1 28 .9 55	3.5 53 6.2 42	25.7 49 29.1 43	100.2 20 104.9 22	418.4 20 298.9 35
SARATOGA	1986	1,2 41	9.8 16	7,9 37	34.7 37	73.7 48	357.1 30
	1985	3,1 18	4.3 36	7,4 35	37.1 31	81.7 40	328.0 30
SCHENECTADY	1786	2.0 28	5.3 39	29.3 15	26.0 47	67.2 52	316.9 36
	1785	1.3 39	6.6 23	29.2 13	25.9 47	60.4 54	296.2 37
SCHOHARIE	1986	.0 46	.0 59	6.7 41	36.9 34	87.2 37	513.4 7
	1985	.0 49	.0 56	.0 56	33.6 36	114.1 14	389.3 18
SCHUYLER	1986	.0 46	5.7 37	11.5 31	23.0 53	69.0 49	241.4 51
	1985	.0 49	28.6 1	17.1 19	22.8 52	97.1 29	251.3 49
SENECA	1986	3.1 1B	3.1 53	9.3 33	15.6 50	102.8 16	442.4 14
	1985	.0 49	15.3 6	6.1 43	21.4 56	125.1 11	390.7 17
STEUBEN	1986	1.0 44	4.1 47	6.2 43	23.7 52	79.5 44	280 .7 44
	1985	1.0 46	4.1 38	4.1 46	22.6 53	64.7, 52	292.8 39
SUFFOLK	1986	2.0 29	5.9 32	26.9 16	48,9 23	77.6 46	200.7 57
	1985	2.8 24	6.0 25	30.5 11	53,8 20	78.4 44	176.5 61
SULLIVAN	1986	2.9 19	19.0 3	35.0 11	169.1 4	202.6 2	584.5 4
	1985	4.4 12	16.3 5	34.0 10	122.8 6	196.7 2	531.0 3
TIOGA	1986	2.0 30	5.9 34	2.0 57	37.3 33	43.1 60	209.8 56
	1985	2.0 33	4.0 39	.0 56	15.9 58	45.7 62	271.9 44
TOKFKINS	1986	.0 46	2.3 55	9,1 34	20.5 55	90.2 32	500.0 B
	1985	1.1 43	6.8 20	14,7 21	21.6 55	90.8 34	431.1 10
	-						

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

		MURDER	5		FORCIBLI ARRE	STS	· · ·	RO9BE Akres	TS		AGG. AS Arre	STS			BURG	STS			LARC	- 1 A A
COUNTY	Year		ank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank			Rite	Rank
ULSTER	1985 1985		34 19		7.3 13.4	27 9		21.3 16.5	21 20		74.9				79.8 107.5				439.1 407.9	
WARREN	1986 1985		35 49		3.6 1.8	51 50		12.6	28 37		52.3 48.5				174.8 118.6				610.B 530.2	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		46 36		7.0	29 56		10.5 14.1	32 23		40.4 56.4				107.2 B1.1		-		210.9	
WAYNE	1986 1985	2.3 1.1	25 42		10.2 9.1	15 . 15		13.6	25 39		39.8 36.6				117.0 135.9				434.1 378.6	
WESTCHEGTER	1986 1985		21 14		7.4			42.4 38.6	8 የ		66.8 63.6		•	• • • •	63.2 67.6				370.7 361.3	
WYDHING	1986 1985		46 11	•	4.9 2.5	42 45		2.5 2.5	56 52		36.8 36.9				58.8 71.3			•	159.3 255.7	
YATES	1986 1985		46 49		9.4 4.7	18 33		.0 .0	59 56		18.9 9.3				99.1 51.2	22 61			179.2 186.2	
NEN YORK STATE	1986 1985		NA NA		12.0			127.8 110.9	NA NA		139.7 129.0				115.6				424.7 383.6	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		NA NA		18.4 19.7	NA NA		272.8 234.0	NA NA	•	261.2 237.7				159.2 141.8				527.0 464.7	

* NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

** Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two {2}.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

B-22

TABLE 20 ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS: AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		TOTAL	CON	TROLLED SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Possession	Sale	Totai	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ALBANY	1986	170.8 15	134.4 15	112.2 13	14.1 28	36.3 11	31.8 9	4.6 37
	1985	143.4 11	96.9 13	72.2 14	20.8 11	46.5 13	34.9 9	11.6 16
ALLEGANY	1984	19.8 62	5.9 62	5.9 61	.0 57	13.9 48	13.9 30	.0 55
	1985	23.6 56	5.9 60	5.9 56	.0 53	17.7 41	5.9 54	11.8 15
BRONX	1986	1,035.1 2	853,1 2	494.1 2	299.7 2	182.0 2	75.6 3	106.4 2
	1985	894.4 2	654.7 2	397.5 2	199.8 2	239.7 2	101.6 2	138.1 2
BROOME	1986	88.2 28	68.3 29	36.5 35	26.1 12	19.9 31	12.8 34	7.1 23
	1985	56.5 34	35.3 34	23.5 39	B.5 30	21.2 32	14.1 31	7.1 32
CATTARAUGUS	1986	51.6 45	30.5 47	28,1 41	1.2 56	21.1 25	16.4 22	4,7 36
	1985	38.7 46	17.6 49	14.1 46	2.3 47	21.1 33	15.2 27	5.9 36
CAYUGA	1986	47.6 50	35.0 44	22.5 46	10.0 33	12.5 54	5.0 58	7.5 22
	1985	58.9 33	47.6 28	28.8 31	15.0 19	11.3 52	5.0 56	6.3 33
CHAUTAUQUA	1986	25.2 58	12.6 61	9.8 59	2.1 55	12.6 53	9.8 48	2.8 46
	1985	29.8 52	19.4 40	6.9 55	11.8 24	10.4 54	8.3 48	2.1 53
CHEMUNG	1986	19.9 61	16.6 59	9.9 5B	4.4 45	3.3 61	1.1 60	2.2 50
	1985	14.1 61	8.7 58	3.3 61	2.2 49	5.4 60	4.3 5B	1.1 57
CHENANGO	1986	50.0 47	32.0 46	26.0 44	6.0 42	18.0 40	14.0 29	4.0 42
	1985	54.0 36	40,0 31	38.0 25	.0 53	14.0 48	6.0 53	8.0 28
CLINTON	1986	118.2 20	98.5 20	89.9 17	6.2 41	19.7 32	13.5 31	6.2 28
	1985	105.4 20	74.8 20	66.2 16	8.6 29	30.6 18	7.8 42	20.8 8
COLUXBIA	1986	100.5 25	72.5 26	44.5 29	18.1 21	28.0 16	16.5 21	11.5 11
	1985	92.4 23	54.5 26	34.7 26	14.9 21	38.0 14	21.5 18	16.5 10
CORTLAND	1985	42.2 53	23.2 54	14.8 55	2.1 54	19.0 37	6.3 56	12.7 9
	1985	90.7 25	38.0 33	29.5 30	8.4 31	52.8 9	27.4 14	25.3 7
DELAWARE	1986	46.7 51	27.6 49	27.6 42	.0 57	19.1 36	17.0 20	2.1 51
	1985	47.1 39	21.3 47	10.7 49	10.7 26	27.7 20	19.2 20	1.5 26

(Continued on next page.)

B-23

TABLE 2D

				TOT					CONTROLLED	SUBSTAN					_ ·				MARIHUA	NA ARRE	ITS		
				DRUI ARRES	STS		Tat	al		Posse	sion		Sal	e .		Tota	1		Posse			5a)	le
	COUNTY		YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
	DUTCHESS		1986 1985	141.4 108.2	19 19		120.7 85.1			91.5 61.5			19.9 16.1	19 17		20.6 23.1	28 26		15.2 14.5			5.5 8.6	
	ERIE		1986 1985	193.4 174.6	12 7		150.2 109.3			126.8 83.2			14.4 15.4	26 19		43.2 65.3	10 7		35.7 41.4	8	•	7.6 3.9	
	ESSEX		1986 1985	63.4 38.5	39 47		44.1 30.2			44.1 22.0	30 41		8.3 .0	57 33		19.3 8.3	35 59		19.3 2.8			.0 5.5	
	FRANKLIN	-	1985 1985	107.3 82.2			89.0 34.3		•	86.8 32.0	19 27		2.3	52 48		18.3 48.0	39 11		11.4 13.7			6.8 34.3	
B-24	FULTON		1986 1985	 58.6 23.5	· 42 58		45.8 5.4			29.3 3.6	40 60		16.5	23 53		12.B 18.0	52 39		11.0 9.0			1.8 9.0	
	GENESEE		1986 1985	49.3 33.9	49 49		27.2 13.5			18.7 10.2	49 52		6.8 1.7	37 52		22.1 20.3	24 35		17.0 16.9	19 24		5.1 3.4	
	BREENE		1986 1985	294.5 98.9	6 · 21	•	266.0 82.0			228.0 77.2	6 11		23.8 2.4	15 46		28,5 16.9	15 43	· ·	19.0 12.1	14 38		9.5 4.8	
	HAMILTON		1986 1985	160.0 60.2	17 32		160.0 60.2			160.0 40.1	8 24		.0 .0	57 53		.0 .0	62 62		.0 .0			.0 .0	
	HERKINER		1986 1985	22.4 47.8	60 41		17.9	- (9.0 28.4	60 32		9.0	35 53		4.5 17.9	59 40		4.5 12.0			.0 6.0	
	JEFFERSON		1985 1985	67.3 38.4	37 48		46.4 28.2			37.5 24.8	34 37		5.5 3.4	43 40		21.0 10.2	27 55		12.1 6.8	37 51		8.8 3.4	
	KINGS		1986 1985	693.9 548.8	- 3		565.5 301.2			328.6 221.7	2 7		178.0 115.0	2 3	•	128.4 167.6	3		55.8 76.7	5		72.6 90.9	
	LEWIS		1986 1985	27.7 27.8	56 53		23.7 11.9			15.8 7.9		•	7.9 .0	36 53		4.0 15.9	60 45		.0 7.9			4.0 7.9	
	LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	71.7 42.9	35 43	•	56.3 22.3			35.8 15.4	36 44		- 20.5 3.4	18 39		15.4 20.6	45 34		11.9 12.0		•	3.4 8.6	

TABLE 2D ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 PDPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS+ AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

B-25

TOTAL CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ARRESTS KARIHUANA ARRESTS DRUG _____ ------ARRESTS Total Possession Sale Total Possession Sale -----_____ -----------------------------COUNTY YEAR Rate Rank --------- ------------------ --------____ ----------HADISON 1985 34.5 55 21.0 55 18.0 51 3.0 -51 13.5 50 7.5 30 52 6.0 43 58 1985 12.0 62 3.0 62 62 3.0 9.0 9.0 46 .0 58 .0 NONROE 1986 101.1 24 78.6 24 48.4 26 19.0 20 22.5 23 17.8 16 4.7 35 25 1985 65.1 31 41.9 30 20.4 43 11.8 23.3 25 17.5 23 5.8 37 HONTGOMERY 1986 174.7 13 147.8 14 140.1 11 3.8 47 26.9 17 26.9 10 .0 56 1985 121.8 16 99.0 12 91.4 8 .0 53 22.8 27 22.8 16 .0 58 NASSAU 1986 169.5 16 139.2 15 94.3 15 34.5 30.3 12 24.6 11 8 5.7 31 1985 127.3 15 92.5 14 64.7 17 19.8 13 34.8 17 27.6 13 7.2 31 NEW YORK 1986 2,626.7 1 2,243.0 1,576.5 528.3 154.1 229.6 -1 1 1 383.7 1 1 ° 1 556.6 1985 2,186.9 - 1 1,630.3 1 1,102.3 ŧ 396.1 t 1 232.3 1 324.2 t NIAGARA 1986 96.8 23 44.7 26 B0.7 28 30.0 9 16.1 44 12.0 38 4.1 41 1985 35 29 56.4 42.0 28.2 33 32 9.3 14.3 46 8.8 47 5.5 38 ONEIDA 67.2 1986 38 52.3 33 34.6 39 16.1 24 14.9 45 12.9 33 2.0 53 • 39 1985 49.9 39.1 32 23.1 40 14.0 22 10.8 53 9.6 44 1.2 55 ONONDASA 1986 93.5 27 68.4 28 38.4 33 25.7 13 25.0 18 14.7 26 10.4 13 1985 26 59.1 25 27.5 35 86.8 29.6 7 27.7 21 12.5 35 15.3 11 **DNTARIO** 1986 49.9 48 38.0 43 34.7 38 2.2 53 11.9 55 51 4.3 7.6 39 29 1985 68.2 27 28 54.1 31.4 20.6 12 14.1 47 9.7 43 4.3 46 DRANGE 1986 198.1 10 177.8 8 156.9 9 13.8 29 17.7 20.2 30 17 2.5 -48 1985 161.0 9 139.4 6 104.1 6 24.1 9 21.6 31 16.9 25 4.7 44 ORLEANS 1986 51.4 46 28.3 48 12.9 55 12.9 30 23.1 21 15.4 24 7.7 20 1985 23.0 59 10.2 57 10.2 51 .0 53 12.8 49 50 7.7 5.1 40 OSNE60 1986 -34 37 75.3 46.0 21.7 47 22.6 16 13 29.3 -14 19.2 10.0 16 1985 51 31.1 11.8 55 5.9 57 5.9 36 19.3 37 10.9 41 8.4 27 OTSE60 1986 52.4 44 33.8 45 11.8 57 16.9 22 18.6 38 15 8.4 50 10.1 1985 79.2 28 32.0 37 37 27.0 36 5.1 47.2 12 32.0 10 15.2 12

TABLE 2D

ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTAL				CONTROLLED SUBSTA						-		MARIHUANA	ARRESTS	1 m - 1 - 1 - 1		
an an an an Aragan An an Aragan an Aragan		DRUG ARRESTS			tal		ession		Sal		Total			Possess			Sal	le
COUNTY	YEAR		ank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rank	· · .	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rant
PUTNAM	1786 1785	291.7 127.3	7 14	201. 111.		229.1 74.1			47.B 33.4	6	9,8 16.1	56 44		7.4 13.6	53 33	-	2.5	
QUEEKS	1988 1985	420.5 288.3	4 . 4 .	324. 181.		189. 115.			118.4 53.3	- 4 - 4	96.0 106.7	5 5	•	56.4 47.4	4 - 6 .	n n tu	39.6 59.3	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		41 30	40. 31.		34.			4.0 2.6	46 44	21.1 35.0	25 16		15.8 26.4	23 15	÷	5.3 8.6	
RICHNOND	1986 1985	248.3 181.9		173. 72.		71.1			87.8 15.0	5 20	74.5 109.4	6 4		11.2 31.3	43 11		63.3 78.1	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	147.0 130.1	18 13	123. 108.		87. 63.			27.1 33.9	11 5	23.3 21.9	20 30		10.9 12.8	45 34		12.4 9.0	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1965		29 17	67. 81.		62. 75.			3.5 1.8	48 51	20.4 37.9	27 15		17.7 29.1	18 12		2.7 8.8	
SARATOGA	1986 1985		31 24	70. 66.		61. 40.			6.7 17.9	39 16	13.4 24.8	51 23		7.3 12.4	55 36		6.1 12.4	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		36 37	51. 31.		41. 21.			5.3 8.0	44 34	19.3 21.9	34 29		10.7 17.9	46 22	•	8.7 1.0	
SCHOHARIE	1986 l 1985		54 57	23. 13.		16. 10.		•	6.7 3.4	-38 41	16.B 10.1	42 56		10.1 6.7	47 52		6.7 3.4	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		59 45	17. 17.		- 17. 11.			.0	57 53	5.7 22.8	58 28		5.7 5.7	57 55		.0 17.1	
SENECA	1986 1985		32 42	56. 33.		46. 30.			6.2 3.1	40 42	24.9	19 50		18.7	15 37		-6.2 .0	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	42.3 27.7	52 54	25. 10.		22. 10.			3.1	50 53	16.5 17.5	43 42		14.4 15.4	27 26		2.1 2.1	
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	197.0 156.1	11 8	96. 76.		64. 44.			25.6	14 B	100.9 89.9	4		88.0 79.7	2 3		12.9 10.2	

TABLE 2D

				TAL				ED SUBSTAN	E ARREST	S				· · · ·		 MARIHUA	IA. ARREST	15		
			ARE	UG Ests		Ĩota	1	 Posse			Sal			Tota		Posses			-	a] e
	COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
-	SULL I VAN	1986 1985	172.			157.4 102.1	11 11	100.6 85.8	14 9		37.9	7 35		14.6 51.8	47 10	11.7 45.9	41 7		2.9 5.9	
· ·	TIOGA	1986 1985	78. 41.			49.0 29.8	35 42	27.5 23.8	43 38		15.7 2.0	25 50		29.4 11.9	13 51	11.8 4.0	40 57		17.6 7.9	
	TOMPKINS	1986 1985	53. 40.			40.0 29.5	42 43	18.3 14.7	50 45		10.3 10.2	32 27		13.7 19.3	49 38	9.1 18.2			4.6	
	ULSTER	1986 1985	116. 109.			99.3 82.4	19 16	84.7 59.2	20 19		10.4 19.5	31 14		17.7	41 22	13.4 22.0			4.3 4.9	
B-27	WARREN	1986 1985	198. 133.			155.0 104.2	12 10	144.1 93.5	10 7		9.0 9.0	34 28-		43.2 28.8	9 19	41.4 19.8	- 6 19		1.8	
	WASHINGTON	1786 1985	63. 19.			43.9 15.9	40 51	38.7 12.3	32 47		3.5 3.5	49 3B		19.3 3.5	33 61	12.3 3.5			7.0	
	WAYNE	1986 1985	101. 98.			78.4 74.2	25 21	55.7 58.3	25 20		21.6 12.6	17 23		22.7 24.0	22 24	12.5 14.8	35 28		10.2 9.1	
	WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	327. 252.			280.9 195.0	6 4	236.7 157.6	4		27.6	10 10		46.6 57.1	8	35.9 43.3	7 8	-	10.7 13.8	
	WYONING	1986 1985	27. 27.			19.6	56 59	19.6	48 59		.0 2.5			7.4	57 36	7.4 14.8			.0 4.9	
	YATES	1986 1985	84. 32.			14.2 23.3	60 45	.0 4.7	62 59		14.2 18.6	27 15		70.B 9.3	7 57	14.2 4.7	28 57		56.6 4.7	
	NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	515. 417.			421.7 296.1	NA NA	278.7 193.4	NA NA		113.5 77.0	NA NA		93.9 121.1	NA NA	48.4 60.4	NA NA		45.5 69.7	
	NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	1,048. 851.			870.4 611.7	NA	559.7 393.0	NA NA		249.0 164.7	NA		177.8 239.5	NA NA	76.9 102.4			100.9 137.1	

* Felony and misdemeenor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State - Sections 220 and 221).

NDIE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SBURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

						DHI	ARRESTS			
		Total				Felc	iny		Ni sdene	anor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	9.8 11.5	19 12			.8 .9	17 8		9.0 10.5	20 12
ALLEGANY	1986 1965	5.8 5.2	46 54			.2 .1	52 52		5.6 5.1	43 51
BRONX	1986 1985	4.1	59 58			.0	50 61		4.1 4.4	56 56
BRODNE	1986 1985	4.7 5.0	54 58	•		.5 .4	36 - 28		4.2 4.5	54 55
CATTARAUGUS :	1986 1985	11.1	15 21			1.2	3.		9.9 8.7	16 24
CAYUGA	1986 1985	5.8 8.1	47 32			.4	39 29		5.4 7.5	46 33
CHAUTAUBUA	1986 1985	8.9 8.7	25 27	-		.9 .7	13 20		8.0 8.0	25 28
CHERUNG	1986 1985	5.5 5.3	51 53	•		.7	14 15	•	4.6 4,5	52 54
CHENANGO	1986 1985	11.2 11.0	14 13			.7	25 16		10.5 10.3	13 13
CLINTON	1986 1985	9.4 12.1	21 9			.8	20 23		8.6 11.4	23 9
COLUNBIA	1986 1985		38 38			.4	41 41		6.9 7.5	37 35

B-28

					 	 	ARRESTS		*******		
			Toti	1		Fel	ony			Ki sdear	eanor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rani
CORTLAND	1986 1985	•	10.7 16.4	16 1		.1	56 62			10.6 16.4	11 - 1
DELAVARE	1986 1985	- 	7.2 8.0	39 34	•	.4 .2	42 47			4.9 7.8	31
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		8,3 9.6	29 20		.7	23 18	•		7.6 8.9	3: 21
ERIE	1986 1985		6.7 6.7	40 43		.5 .5	33 36			6.2 6.3	4
ESSEX	1986 1985		11.7 11.8	10 11		.9 .7	10 14			10.8 11.1	1
FRANKL IN	1986 1985		13.1 9.3	3 25		.6 .4	27 40			12.4 8.9	2
FULTON	1986 1985	•	5.9 6.3	45 46		.3	46 31			5.6 5.7	. 4
GENESEE	1986 1985		10.2 9.4	17 22		, 9 . 5	11 35			9.3	1 2
GREENE	1986 1985		8.1 7.2	32 41		.9	12 44			7.3 6.8	2. 2
HANILTON	1986 1985		6.5 10.6	42 14		.0 .5	62 33			6.5 10.1	4
HERKIMER	1986 1985		7.4	37 39		.8 .7	21 17			6.7 5.8	3

DWI FELONY AND NISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

				*******		 ******	 		 ~~~~~	 	
				Tota	1		Fel	ony		Ni sdene	eanor
COUNTY	YEAR			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rar
JEFFERSON	1986			6.2	43			28		5.6	. 4
ACLLEVON	1985			7.7	38					7.1	
KINGS .	1986			2.6	60		.(2.8	-
•	1985			3.2	59		(59		3.2	:
LEWIS	1986			5.5	49		1.0			4.6	
a l	1985			6.0	47		. 9	10		5.2	
LIVINGSTON	1986			11.6	- 11		.5	35		11.1	
	1985			13.6	4		.5	34		13.1	
NADISON	1986		•	8.4	28		.4			7.9	
•	1985			8.8	26		1	37		8.3	1
MONROE	1986			5.5	50		.8	22		4.7	1
	1985			5.5	50	•	.7	-19		4.8	:
NONTGONERY	1986			14.1	2		1.3	2		12.8	
	1985			9.4	24		. 6	12		8.5	
NASSAU	1985			4.5	56		.3	43		4.1	1
	1985			5.2	55		3	45		4.9	;
NEW YORK	1986			3.1	59		.0	57		3.1	,
	1965			3.1	60		.1	57		3.1	. (
NIAGARA	1986			8.0	35		.1			- 7.8	
	1985			0.2	29		• 7	48		8.0	2
ONEIDA	1986			5.6	48		.3	45		5.3	
	1985			5.6	49		.7	50		5.4	1

22 DWI FELDNY AND MISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

· · · ·		Tota		-		Feli	•		Nisdene	eanor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		·	Rate	Rank		Rate	Ran
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	5.1 5.4	52 51			.2	48 51		4.9	- 4 4
DNTARIO	1986 1985	12.0	5 19	·		1.7	1 2		10.4 8.9	1
DRANGE	1986 1985	9.0 8.0	24 35			1.1 1.0	7 6		7.9	2 3
DRLEANS	1986 1985	5.9 4.7	44 57			.6 1.1	31 5		5.4	4
DSWEGO	1986 1985	8.3 8.1	31 33			.2	47 43		8.0 7.8	2 3
OTSEGO	1986 1985	11.9	B 3			.8	16 13		11.1 13.2	
PUTKAM	1986 1985	9.6 9.4	20 23			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	54 56		9.5 9.3	1
QUEENS	1986 1985	1.9 2.0	62 62		•	0. .0	59 58		1.9 2.0	6 6
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	9.1 7.8	23 19	•		.4	40 46		8.6 9.5	2
RICHNOND	1986 1985	2.6 2.7	61 61		· · ·	.0 .0	61 60		2.6 2.7	6
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	4.4	57 45			.6	30 30		3,9 5.7	- 5 -4

(Continued on next page.)

B-31

÷

TABLE 2E

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

			Tota	1			Feld	ny		Ki sdear	eanor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		11.4 10.3	12 17			.8 .7	19 21		10.6 7.6	12
SARATOGA .	1986 1985		11.8	9 7			.5 .4	37 42	•	11.4 12.6	6
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		6.5 6.8	41 42			.7	26 24		5.8 6.2	42 43
SCHOHAR1E	1986 1985		11.9	7 10			.2 .5	50 32		11.7	5 10
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		8.4 7.4	26 40			.8	18 22		7.6 6.7	31 41
SENECA	1986 1985	-	9.9 10.4	18 15			1.2 1.2	4		8.8 9.2	21 19
STEUBEN	1986 1985		7.9 6.5	36 44			.8 .4	15 27		7.0 5.8	36 44
SUFFOLX	1986 1985		9.1 10.4	22 16			.1 .1	55 55		9.0 10.3	19 14
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		12.0	6 8		•	1.0 .8	8 26		11.0 11.7	9 8
TIDGA	1986 1985		8.3	30 28	•		1.2	5		7.1	35 34
TONPKINS	1786 1985		8.1	34 37			.2	53 54		7.9	28 32

{ Continued on next page.}

B-32

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					 		 		
			Tota	1	Felc	ny .		Hisdene	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	а	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1985 1985		8.1 8.1	33 31	.7	24 39	•	7.4 7.7	33 31
WARREN	1986 1985		15.3 16.2	1 2	.3	44 53		14.9 16.1	1
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		11.3 13.5	13 5	1.1 1.2	6		10.2 12.3	15 7
WAYNE	1986 1905		12.4 13.2	4	.5 .4	34 38		11.9 12.7	4
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985		5.1 5.8	53 49	.2 .2	49 49	•	4.9 5.6	50 47
NYONING	1986 1985		8.4 8.2	27 30	. 6 . 8	32 11		7.9 7.3	29 34
YATES	1986 1985		4.6 5.4	55 52	.6 1.0	29 7		4.0 4.4	57 57
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985		6.0 6.4	NA NA	.4	NA NA		5.6 6.1	NA NA
 NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		2.7	NA NA	.0	NA NA		2.7	NA NA

* Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DXI offense [Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -Section 1192].

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2E

					FELONY	INDICTHENTS		-	
		Vie	ölent		01	her		Tc	atal
COUNTY -	Year	Number	Percent		Nueber	Percent		Nusber	Percen
ALBANY	1985 1985	178 144	38.4 32.1		286 304	61.6 67.9	•	464 44B	100. 100.
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	B 10	14.3 18.2		48 45	85.7 81.8	en an	58 55	100. 100.
BROXX	1986 1985	3,650 3,738	49.1 56.4		3,778 2,895	50.9 43.6		7,428 6,633	100. 100.
BRODHE	1986 1985	131 149	27.3 26.9	•	349 405	72.7 73.1		480 554	100. 100.
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	25 20	22. i 22. 0		88 71	77.9 78.0		113 91	100. 100.
CAYUGA	1984 1985	32 31	31.1 29.8		71 73	68.9 70.2		103 104	100. 100.
CHAUTAUDUA	1985 1985	57 51	23.5 23.3		186 168	76.5 76.7		243 219	100. 100.
CHENUNG	1984 1985	73 76	29.2 22.4		177 264	70.B 77.5		250 340	100.
CHENANGO	1986 1985	12 17	9.0 30.4		122 39	91.0 69.6		134 56	100. 100.
CLINTON	1786 1765	42 37	28.2 28.9		107 91	71.8 71.1		149 128	100. 100.
COLUNBIA	1986 1985	11 31	21.2 34.1		41 50	78.8 65.9		52 91	100. 100.
CORTLAND	1986 1985	23 20			70 109	75.3 84.5		93 129	100.
DELAVARE	1986 1985	9 8	32.1 29.6		19 19	67.9 70.4		28 27	100.

1

(Continued on next page.)

B-34

			lent		01	her		To	tal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Nusber	Percent	·	Nuaber	Percer
DUTCHESS	1986	62	24.6		251	75.4		333	100.
	1985	80	21.4		293	78.6		373	100
ERIE	1986	435	31.1	•	963	68.9		1,398	100
	1985	473	31.5	•	1,028	68.5		1,501	100
ESSEX	1986	15	11.7		113	88.3		128	100
	1985	19	20.2		75	79.8		94	100.
									• • • •
FRANKLIN	1986	12	23.1		40	76.9		52	100
	1985	. 14	17.5		66	82.5		80	100.
FULTON	1986	27	32.9		55	67.1		82	100
	1985	13	15.7		70	84.3		83	100.
SENESEE	1986	22	19.6		90	80.4		112	100.
	1985	2B	25.7		81	74.3		109	100.
BREENE	1986	23	27.7		- 60	72.3		83	100.
	1785 .	22	34.4		42	65.6		64	100.
HANILTON	1786	3	18.9		13	81.3		- 16	100.
	1985	1	16.7		5	B3.3		6	100.
HERKINER	1985	. 10	12.2		72	87.8		82	100.
	1985	15	24.2		47	75.8	· · · ·	62	100.
JEFFERSON	1986	66	22.1		233	77.9		299	100.
	1985	65	23.4		213	76.6		278	100.
KINGS	1986	4,514	52.9		4,025	47.1		8,539	100.
	1985	4,644	59.9		3,107	40.1		7,751	100.
LEWIS	1986	9	25.0		27	75.0		36	100.
	1985	5	13.2		33	86.8		38	100.
LIVINGSTON	1786	43	16.4		219	83.6		262	100
	1985	47	22.1		166	77.9		213	100.

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		Vio	lent	. 01	her	Τα	tal
COUNTY	Year	Nueber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nusber	Perce
hadisun	1986	32	23.2	105	76.8	13B	100
	1985	30	22.4	104	77.6	134	100
HONROE	1986	427	29.9	1,001	70.1	1,428	100
	1985	447	30.7	1,010	69.3	1,457	100
NONTGOHERY	1986	21	18.4	93	81.6	114	100
	1985	15	18.3	67	81.7	82	100
HASSAU	1986	961	36.0	1,712	64.0	2,673	100
	1985	962	39.9	1,447	50.1	2,409	100
NEW YORK	1986	- 4,202	59.3	2,885	40.7	7,087	100
	1985	4,416	63.6	2,523	36.4	6,939	100
NIAGARA	1986	101	28.5	254	71.5	355	100
	1985	98	28.3	248	71.7	346	100
DNEIDA	1986	190	33.0	386	67.0	576	- 100
	1985	139	31.6	301	68.4	440	100
DNDNDAGA	1786 1985	420 399	31.7 31.4	904 870	68.6	1,324 1,269	100 100
ONTARIO	1986	63	23.7	203	76.3	266	100
	1985	49	22.9	165	77.1	214	100
DRANGE	1986	152	31.2	335	68.8	407	100
	1985	145	27.7	379	72.3	524	100
DRLEANS	1986	30	30.3	69	69.7	99	100
	1985	52	35.9	93	64.1	145	100
DSWEGO	1986	37	21.9	132	78.1	169	100
	1985	39	21.3	144	78.7	183	100
OTSEGD	1986	10	22.2	35	77.B	45	100
	1985	7	25.9	20	74.1	27	100

(Continued on next page.)

B-36

		Via	lent		01	her		To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Nunber	Percent		Number	Percent		Number	Perce
4									
PUTNAN	1995	32	18.7		139	81.3		171	100
	1985	15	13.4		97	86.6		112	100
QUEENS	1986	3,297	43.8		4,223	56.2		7,520	100
****	1985 -	2,964	50.5		2,911	49.5		5,875	100
	••••				-,				
RENSSELAER	1986	45	27.1		121	72.9		166	100
	1985	52	28.3		132	71.7		184	100
RICHNOND	1986	214	41.1		307	50,9		521	100
#1CUUD#D	1985	175	54.5		146	45.5		321	100
	1764		0.10		.,			••••	
ROCKLAND	1986	84	19.7		342	80.3		426	100
	1985	 79	18.0		361	82.0		440	100
	1986	25	13.5		166	. 86.5		192	100
ST. LAWRENCE	1985	37	18.7		161	81.3		198	100
	1100								
SARATOGA	1986	49	31.6		105	68.4		155	100
	1965	62	38.0		101	62.0		163	100
SCHENECTADY	1986	48	28.2		122	71.8		170	100
PRIENEPINAI	1985	59	25.0		177	75.0		236	100
SCHOHARIE	1986	9	18.8		. 39	81.3		48	100
	1985	. ۵	28.6		15	71.4		21	100
SCHUYLER	1986	13	40.6	-	19	59.4		32	100
	1985	9	32.1			67.9		28	100
	-								
SENECA	1986	13	17.3		62	82.7		75	100
	1985	7	17.9		32	82.1		39	100
STEUDEN	1986	34	21.4		125	78.6		159	100
-	1985	34	28.3		86	71.7		120	100
		-,							
SUFFOLK	1986	817	29.2		1,983	70.8	•	2,800	100
	1985	971	32.0		2,061	68.0		3,032	100

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			****	FELUNT	INDICTHENTS	
			lent		ther	Total
COUNTY	Year		Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Number Percen
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	49 46	22.2 32.6	172 95		221 100. 141 100.
TIDGA	1986	19	19.0	81	81.0	100 100.
	1985	7	7.6	66	7ú.4	73 100.
TONPKINS	1986	41	24.B	124	75.2	165 100.
	1985	38	27.7	99	72.3	137 100.
ULSTER	1786	51	22.4	177	77.6	228 100.
	1985	64	20.8	244	79.2	308 100.
WARREN	1986	11	26.8	30	73.2	41 100.
	1985	13	26.5	36	73.5	47 100.
WAGHINGTON	1986	18	25.7	52	74.3	70 100.
	1985	25	32.9	51	67.1	76 100.
WAYNE	1986	34	15.7	192	84.3	216 100.
	1985	34	17.3	163	82.7	197 100.
WESTCHESTER	1986	499	35.1	924	64.9	1,423 100.
	1985	569	39.7	864	60.3	1,433 100.
NYDNING	1906	17	27.0	46	73.0	63 100.
	1985	6	9.4	58	90.6	64 100.
YATES	1986	15	29.4	36	70.6	51 100.
	1985	7	13.7	44	86.3	51 100.
NEW YORK STATE	1986	21,833	39.1	33,977	60.9	55,810 100.
	1985	22,000	44.0	28,016	56.0	59,016 100.
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	16,115 16,102	44.9	19,755 14,291	55.1 47.0	35,870 100. 30,393 100.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

				ctions	Acqui			Disai			Not Pros			Ot	her	Tc	stal
COUNTY		Year		Percent	Nusber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nuaber	· · · · ·		Kusber -	Percent	Number	Percen
ALBANY	· · · ·	1986 1985	4,286 4,491	77.9 77.9	20 15	.4 .3		1,165 1,230	21.2 21.3		2	.1		28 25	.5	5,502 5,767	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY		1986 1985	268 220	71.5 67.7	0 1	.0 .3		105 105	28.0 31.7		0 1	.0		2	.5	375 325	100.0 100.0
BRONX		1986 1985	23,735 24,651	66.2 70.5	330 349	.9		10,486 8,674	29.2 24.8		1;172 1,181	3.3 3.4		149 131	.4	35,872 34,986	100.0
BROONS		1996 1985	2,347 2,152	74.7 74.6	21	.7 .5		678 635	21.6		0	.0		98 79	3.1 2.7	3,144 2,883	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS		1986 1985	: 854 728	84.6 82.1	4	.4 .3		148 153	14.7		0 1	.0		4 2	.4 .2	1,010 887	100.(100.(
CAYUGA		1986 1985	641 845	70.8 75.1	9	1.0		254 278	28.0 24.7		0	.0		2	.2 .2	905 1,125	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA		1786 1785	1,514 1,522	74.3 75.6	13 10	.6 .5		502 476	24.6 23.6		1	.0 .0		9 5	.4	2,039 2,014	100.(100.(
CHENUNG	- 	1986 1985	1,047 1,126	74.4 74.9	5	.4 .5	•	353 366	25.1 24.4	a a A	0	.0	•	2	.i .3.	1,407 1,503	100.(100.(
CHENANGO		1986 1985	524 597	77.9 75.5	0 7	.0 .9		143 175	21.2 22.3		0 2	.0		6 9	.9 1.1	673 791	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	•	1986 1985	814 907	79.5 83.2	8	.8 .1		192 178	18.8 16.3		0	.0 .0		10 4	1.0	1,024 1,090	100.0 100.0
COLUNBIA	· _	1986 1985	571 666	58.6 61.2	1	.1 .2		401 415	41.2 38.1		0 2	.0 .2		- 1 4	,1 ,4	974 1,089	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND		1986 1985	576 744	56.5 67.1	4	.4 .4		438 359	42.9 32.4		0 0	.0		2 2	.2 .2	1,020 1,109	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE		1986 1985	466 476	74.9 72.0	· 1	.2		155 173	24.9 26.5		0	.0		0	.0	622 654	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEHEANDR ARRESTS (ADULTS DHLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							DISPOS	ITIONS RES	JLTING FR	ROM FELONY 6	HISDEMEAND	R ARKESTS				-	
		Convic			Acquit		 Disei	ssals	· · · ·	Not Pro	secuted			her			ital
COUNTY	Year	Nueber I			Number		Nusber	Fercent			Percent		Kueber	Percent		Nueber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	2,515 2,947	57.9 62.0	*	8 4	.2	1,597 1,713	38.0 36.0		0 2	.0		81 87	1.9 1.8	-	4,201 4,753	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	10,704 11,280	59.6 63.7		196 212	1.1 1.2	6,949 6,132	38.7 34.6		75 53	.4		21 43	.1 .2	•	17,945 17,720	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	432 428	75.0 77.4		0 2	.0 .4	139 117	24.1 21.2		0	.0		5	.9 1.1	-	576 553	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	640 540	82.2 83.6		2 2	•2	- 135 104	17.3		1	.1		1 0	.1		779 646	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	: 523 562	80.B 79.3		1 0	.2	120 142	18.5 20.0		- 0 3	.0 .4		3 2	.5 .3		6 47 709	100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985	668 586	80.5 92.1		4 3	.5	156 123	18.8 17.2		1	.1 .3		- I. - 0	.1		B30 714	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	560 1431	81,9 79,8		5 3	.7	117 102	17.1 18.9		0	.0 .2		2 3	• 2		684 540	100.0 100.0
HANILTON	1986 1985	38 37	82.5 80.4		0	.0 .0	8 9	17.4		0 0	.0		0 0	.0		46 46	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1986 1985	- 518 548	86.8 88.5		1	.2	75 64	12.6		0	.0		ę 2	.5		597 619	100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	848 784	85.9 86.5		- <u>1</u> 3	.1 .3	128 113	13.0 12.5		- 1	.1		9	.9 .4		987 505	100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	32,464 27,258	63.1 64.6		348 345	.7 .8	 16,015 13,129	31.1 29.0		2,349 2,387	4.6 5.3		272 204	.5 .5		51,448 45,323	100.0 100.0
LEHIS	1986 1985	98 160	76.0 79.6		0	.0 .5	29 38	22.5 18.9		0.	.0		2 2	1.6		129 201	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	903 883	78.9 81.6		52	.4	235 169	20.5 17.5		0	.0		2	.2 .7	• •	1,145	100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				 			UISPUS	CN111	FR	ON FELONY &	NI SUERERA	UN HRNEDIS	T 		 	
			victions	Acqui			Disai			Not Pro				her		otal
COUNTY	Year		r Percent	Nuaber				Percent		1	Percent			Percent		Percent
nadison	1986 1985	71 64		5 0	.7 .0		144 124	16.6 16.0		0 0	.0	•	5 1	.6	870 773	
NONROE	1986 1985	5,37 5,57		101 104	.9 .9		5,750 5,218	49.6 46.7		282 212	2.4 1.9		86 71	.7	11,593 11,177	
MONTGOMERY	1786 1785	65 63		4	. 6 . 6		- 51 75	7.1 10.4		0 5	.0 .7		10 3	1.4	715 721	
NASSAU	1986 1985	10,98 12,74		122 95	.9		1,978 1,675	14.4 11.3		187 103	- 1.4 .7		489 219	3.6 1.5	13,758 14,838	
NEW YORK	1786 1985	67,60 69,28		401 375	.4 .1		30,519 26,566	30,2 26.6		1,667	1.7 2.2		829 1,448	.8 1.4	101,019 99,895	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	2,92 3,02		20 36	.5 .9		737 775	20.0 20.2		0 0	.0		7 13	•2 •3	3,691 3,844	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	2,05 2,00		7 6	•3 •2		403 392	16.3 16.3		2	.1		7 7	.3	2 ,473 2,411	
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	3,86 3,95		35 38	.5 .8		2,524 2,468	38.9 37.8		- 3 . 2	.0		61 - 78	.9 1,5	6,485 6,576	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1,24	5 82.0 6 81.7	7 14	.5 1.1		256 204	16,9 15,9		4 12	.3.9		7 5	.5	1,519 1,281	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	2,74 3,05		7 14	.1		1,885 2,102	40.0 40.0		3 4	.1 .1		63 78	1.3 1.5	4,707 5,255	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	35 27		0	.0 2.9	•	105 115	22.6 28.0		1 3	.2 .7		4	.9	465 410	100.0 100.0
OSWE60	1986 1985	1,07 1,13		7 7	.5 .5		396 307	26,7		. 1 1	.1 .1		3 13	.2 .9	1,482 1,464	100.0
DTSE60	1986 1985	73 85		4	.4 .5	•	188 190	20.2 18.0	.¥ .	0 1	.0		4	•4 1•1	933 1,058	100.0 100.0

			ctions			Acqui	ttals		ssal s		rosecut		Oth		Ţ	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent			unber	Percent		Percent		r Perc	ent	Nusber		Number	Percent
PUTNAN	1986 1985	-719 665	61.3	•		23	.2	445 410	38.0 37.8		0	.0	8 7	.5 .6	1,172	
IUEENS	1986 1985	16,996 17,111	64.4 66.8			299 287	1.1	8,755 7,825	33.2 30.5	13 28		.5 .1	195 117	.7 .5	26,385 25,624	
ENSSELAER	1786 1985	1,431 1,493	77.1 76.9			8	.4	415 433	22.4 22.3		0	.0	1	.1 .3	1,855 1,941	
ICHHOND	1986 1985	2,286 2,209	44.2 42.9			13 15	.3 .3	2,246 2,255	43.4 43.8	58 63		.3	46 36	.9 .7	5,175 5,146	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	1,624	66.6 71.5			2	.1 .1	791 751	32.5 27.7		0 0	.0	20 16	.8 .6	2,437 2,743	
IT. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,044 807	74.1 67.9			5 9	. 4 . 8	353 366	25.1 30.8		0	.0	6	.4	1,408 1,188	100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,297 2,356	74.3 76.5			5	.2 .1	- 784 716	25 . 3 23.2		1	.0	5 5	•2 •2	31083 31080	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,727	77.0 76.3			7 7	.3	475 448	21.2 21.9		2	.1	31 25	1.4 1.2	2,242 2,050	
CHOHARIE	1986 1985	369 339	70.0 72.7			1	.2	149 120	28.3 25.8		0	.0 .2	8 2	1.5 .4	527 466	
ICHUYLER	1986 1985	224 218	83.9 84,2			0	.0 .4	43 39	16.1 15.1		0	.0	0 1	.0 .4	267 259	
ieneca	1986 1985	35 8 293	65.6 62.2			1 1	.2	186 172	34.1 36.5		0 2	•0 •4	13	•2 •6	546 471	
ITEUBEN	1986 1985	810 707	75.4 71.7			4	.4 .7	254 266	23.7 27.0		1	•1 •1	5 5	.5 .5	1,074 986	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	13,939 14,261	76.8 77.4		• "	117	.6	3,988 3,982	22.0	•	3	.0	96 91	.5 .5	18,143 18,434	

(Continued on next page.)

Ņ,
TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESIS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					-		DISPO	SITIONS RE	SULTING	FROM F	ELONY &	NISDENEAN	OR ARRESTS	i .				
9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Convic	tions		Acqui	ttals	 Disai	ssals			Nat Pro				ther			otal
COUNTY	Year	Nusber	Percent	• • •	Nuaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent				Percent			Percent			Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	1,253 1,300	72.2 67.2		5 3	.3	470 626	27.1 32.4			0 1	.0		8 5	.5		1,736	
TIOGA	1986 1985	472 494	79.2 79.4		-5 0	.8	116 125	19.5 20.1			0 1	.0		3 2	.5 .3	•	596 622	
TONPXINS	1986 1985	705 802	51.5 60.8		1 11	.1 .8	638 493	46.5 36.6			0 5	.0 .4		27 19			1,372 1,320	
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,847 1,718	69.3		B 12	.3 .5	832 741	30.8 29.9			3 1	.1		10 7			2,700 2,479	
HARREN	1985 1985	1,103	71.9 72.9		0 2	.0	428 402	27.9 26.4			0 3	.0 .2	•	3	,2 ,4		1,534 1,524	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON .	1986 1985	545 597	80.0 81.0		0	.0	135 133	19.8 18.0			0	.0		1	.1 .7		681 737	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1786 1785	1,311 1,266	71,5 77,1		9 4	.5 .2	511 366	27.9			0	.0		3	.2		1,834 1,642	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	8,423 8,192	72.1 72.3		55 71	.5 .6	2,824 2,846	24.2 25.1	-		116 67	1.0		260 161	2.2 1.4		11,678 11,337	100.0 100.0
HYDNING	1786 1785	197 195	70.4 73.9	•	1	.4 .0	81 67	28.9 25.4			0	.0		. 1	.4		280 264	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	156 144	85.7 84.2		0	.0	25 23	13.7 13.5			0 0	.0		1 2	.5 1.2		182 171	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	248,728 251,609	67.0 69.3		2,257 2,261	.6 .6	110,615 97,038	29.8 27.3			6,602 7,220	1.8 2.0		3,027 3,136	.8 .9		371,229 363,264	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	143,093 142,521	65.1 67.5		1,391 1,371	.6 .6	68,033 58,460	30.9 27.7			5,711 6,706	2.7 3.2		1,491 1,938	.7 .9		219,919 210,996	

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 48 DISPOS

						· .					DISPOS	ITIONS RES	ULTING FROM	H FELONY A	RESTS P			3			
				·	Convi	ctions			•	ttals	 Disni	ssals			secuted		0	ther		1	otal
COUNTY	- - 		fear			Percent				Percent	Nuøber	Percent			Percent	с. 	Nusber	Percent		Nusber	Percent
		· · ·						-													
ALBANY			1986 1985		1,302 1,255	80.7 79.3			19	1.2	282 300	17.5		3 3	.2		8 16	.5 1.0		1,614	100.0
ALLEGANY			1986 1985		77 47	87.5 75.8			0	.0 .0	10 15	11.4 24.2		0	.0		1	1.1 .0		88 62	
BRONX			1986 1985		13,254 13,187	64.7 67.9			293 296	1.4 1.5	6,182 5,193	30.2 26.8		670 677	3.3 3.5		82 736	.4 3.8		20,481 19,412	
BROOME			1986 1985		550 534	60.8 79.9			4	1.0	105 108	15.4 16.2		0	.0		22 19			681 668	
CATTARAUGUS	5		1986 1985		160 117	85.7 90.7	•		1 2	.5 1.6	25 10	12.8 7.8		0 0	.0		2	1.0		196 129	
CAYUGA			1986 1985		181 206	84.6 82.1			2 0	.9	29 45	13.6		0	.0 .0		2	.9 .0		214 251	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA			1986 1985		326 • 290	82.7 85.5			0	.0	67 46	17.0 13.6		0	.0 .3	-	· 1	.3		3 94 339	
CHENUNG			1986 1985		276 283	87.7 70.1			2 5	.7 1.6	28 28	9.1 8.3		0	.0 .0		- 1	.3		307 314	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO			1986 1985		72 107	79.1 87.0			0 3	.0 2.4	- 15 B	17.6		0 1	.0 .8		3 5	3.3 4.1		91 123	
CLINTON			1986 1985		213 175	79.2 87.9	~		8 1	3.0 .5	 42 22	15.6 11.1		0	.0		6	2.2 .5	······································	269 199	
COLUHBIA			1986 1985		172 186	70.B 68.4			0	.0	70 79	28.8		0 2	.0		1	.4 2.2		243 272	
CORTLAND	· ·		1986 1985		144 132	88.3 78.1			- 0 0	.0 .0	19 36	11.7 21.3		0	.0		0	.0		163 169	
DELAWARE			1986 1985		107 92	87.0 78.0			0 2	.0 1.7	16 24	13.0 20.3		0	.0 .0		0	.0	• •	123 118	

TABLE 48

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELGHY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				 -		 DISPOS	ITIONS RES	ULTING F	ROH FELONY ARR	ESTS #						
		Convic		Acqui	ttals -	Disai			Not Pros			Ot	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Husber			Percent		Percent		Nueber			Nu≊ber	Percent		Nusber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	728 800	68.2 70.5	2 	.2	323 315	30.2 27.8		0.0	.0	1	15 18	1.4 1.6		1,068	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	2,780 2,738	57.3 59.5	. 76 87	2.0 1.7	1,898 1,707	38.9 37.1		74 44	1.5 1.0		14 66	.3 1.4	•	4,852 4,600	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	102 73	76.1 80.2	0	.0 .0	29 17	21.6 18.7		0 - 0	.0		3 1	2.2 1.1	•	134 91	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	100 95	84.7 90.5	0	.0 1.9	16 - 8	13.6 7.6		1 0	.8		1	.0		[18 105	100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	129	96.3 88.9	1	.7 .0	4 20	3.0 10.5		0	.0 .5		0	.0 .5		134 190	100.0 160,0
GENESEE	1986 1985	107 131	88.4 92.9		.0 .7	13 B	10.7 5.7		· · · 1. 1	.8 .7		0	.0 .7		121 141	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1786 1985	147 (101	84.0 82.1	3 2	1.7 1.6	25 19	14.3		0	.0 .8		- 0 1	.0 .8		175 123	100.0 100.0
HANILTON	1986 1985	16	100.0 73.3	0	.0 .0	04	.0 26.7		0	.0		0	.0 .0		18 15	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1786 1985	137 113	91.9 89.0	· · · 0 0	.0	12 14	8.1 11.0		0 0	.0		0	.0		149 127	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	246 226	82.8 87.3	1 0	.0 1.2	- 49 21	16.5 8.3		1 2	.3 .8	•	1 3	.3 1.2		297 253	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	18,550 17,440	61.6 64.0	324 323	1.1 1.2	9,347 7,460	31.1 27.4		1,711 1,892	5.7 6.9		168 2,006	.6 7.4		30,100 27,229	100.0
LEWIS	1986 1985	46 39	95.8 70.7	0	.0 2.3	1 2	2.1 4.7		0	.0		1	2.1 2.3		48 43	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986	154 94	92.2 87.9	2	1.2	11 10	6.6 9.3		0	.0		0	.0 1.9	· ·	167 107	100.0 100.0

.

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			14. A	·		 DISPOS	ITIONS RESULT.	ING FROM	FELONY AR	RESTS #					
		Convic		•	uttals	 Dismi		· .	Not Pro			Ot	her	I	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number			Percent	Nueber				Percent	•		Percent	Nueber	Percent
MADISON	1786 1985	143 117	86.7 86.7	i		16 17	9.7 12.6		0	.0		3 1	1.8 .7	165 135	100.0 100.0
NONROE	1986 1985	1,710 1,634	63.2 64.6	35		643 595	23.8 23.5		274 209	10.1 8.3		39 250	1.4 9,9	2,705 2,530	
NONTGOMERY	1986 1985	. 112 89	94.9 80.9	- 1	.8 1.8	5 12	4.2 10.7		0 5	.0 4.5		0 7	.0 6.4	118 110	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	3,524 3,746	72.7 82.9	57 46		648 452	13.4 10.0		180 98	3.7 2.2		440 274	-9.1 6.1	4,849 4,518	100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	20,399 21,778	56.1 60.3	312 318		14,667 13,172	40.3 36.4		758 647	2.1 1.8		228 870	.6 2.4	36,364 36,138	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	650 681	79,8 80.0	7 10		154 156	18.9 18.3		0	.0		, 4 4	•5 •5	815 851	100.0 100.0
DNEIDA	1786 1985	507 •527	86.4 90.6	2 0		71 51	12.1 8.7		20	•0		4	.7	587 584	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,474 1,363	72.9 72.8	- 16 16		505 464	25.0 24.8		- 1	.0		25 26	1.2 1.4	2,023 1,871	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	271 202	93.4 88.6	3		11 12	3.8 5,3		3	1.0 3.7		2 11	.7 4.8	290 228	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	761 890	67.8 88.9		.4 .5	341 368	30.4 28.4		2 4	.2		15 29	1.3 2.2	1,123 1,294	100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	130 94	85.5 71.8	0 10		18 24	11.8 18.3		1	.7 1.5		3 3	2.0 2.3	152 131	100.0
OSWEGD	1986 1985	248 244	87.6 92.1	3	1.1	31 12	11.0 4.5		0	.0		1 8	.4 3.0	283 265	100.0 109.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985	121 133	82.3 77.3	3		21 31	14.3 18.0		0 1	.0 .6		2 7	1.4 4.1	147 172	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

(Continued on next page.)

.

TABLE 48

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			· · · ·					DISPOSI	TIONS RESI	ULTING FR	ON FELONY AF	RESTS #		·				
e e e e e e		Convi	ctions	·· .	Acqu	ittals		Disois				secuted		D	ther		Τo	tal
COUNTY	Year	Nueber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nuøber				Percent	ст. С	Nusber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent
PUTNAN	1986 1985	103 97	52.6 51.6		0	.0 1.1		91 86	46.4 45.7		0	.0		2.3	1.0 1.4		196 188	100.0 100.0
RUEENS	1986 1985	9,203 7,739	61.9 62.7		238 209	1.6		5,201 4,138	35.0 33.5		105 177	7		132 252	.9 2.0	•	14,879 12,338	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	319 332	81.4 81.4		2	.5		71 74	18.1 18.1		0	.0		0 1	.0 .2		392 408	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,004 967	49.1 47.3		9 9	.4		865_ 887	42.3 43.4		130 152	6.4 7.4		183 28	1.9 8.9		2,046 2,046	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	-526 567	74.3 76.4		2	.3		175 171	24.7 23.0		0 0	.0		5	.7 .3		708 742	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	95 69	67.4 68.3		0	.0 1.0		44 29	31.2 28.7		0 0	.0 .0		2	1.4 2.0		141 101	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1786 1785	438 •389	83.7 78.6		· 3	.6 .2		80 103 -	15.3 20.8		1	.2 .0		1	.2	•	523 - 495	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	414 374	82.8 81.1		3	•6 .9		71 69	14.2 15.0		2 3	.7		10 14	2.0 3.0		500 461	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	85 59	82.5 79.7		1	1.0 2.7	· _	13 12	12.6		0	0 ,0		4	3.9 1.4		103 74	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	52 52	88.1 94.5		0	.0 .0		73	11.9 5.5		0	.0 .0		0 0	.0		59 55	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	98 50	76.6 74.6			.8 1.5		29 16	22.7 23.9		0	.0 .0		0 0	.0 .0		128 67	100.0
STEUBEN	1786 1985	175 149	74.8 76.8		4	1.7 2.6		53 37	22.6 19.1		1	.4 .5		1 3	.4 1.5		234 194	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,638 3,774	79.7 80.5		44 45	1.0 1.0		845 824	18.5 17.6		2 2	.0 .0		34 45	.7 1.0		4,563 4,680	100.0 100.0

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			Canvi	ctions		Acai	ittals			Disai	ssals	 	Not Pr	osecuted	 	n	her		 Te	otai
-														*****		*******	******			
COUNTY	Year 		Nueber	Percent		Husber	Perce	ent 	· .	Huaber	Percent		Nusber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nueber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1786 1785	· ·	360 335	77.1 69.6		- 0		.4		104 144	22.3 29.9		0	.0 .0		1 2	.2		467 481	100.0 100.0
TIOSA	 1986 1985		116	87.2 88.7		2		.5		15 11	11.3 10.4		0 1	.0		0	.0 .7	•	133 106	100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		109 157	61.6 66.2		C 4		.0		57 66	32.2 27.B		0 2		· .	11 10	6.2 4.2		177 237	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985		464 434	74.2 74.3		. 4		•6 •7		152 140	24.3 24.0		3 1	.5 .2		. <mark>2</mark> ຣ	.3 1.0		625 584	100.0 100.0
NARREN	1986 1985		: 221 179	72.5 75.2		0		.0 .8		84 57	27.5 23.9		0	.0		0	0. 0.		305 238	100.0 100.0
MASHINGTON	1986 1985		135 125	82.9 86.2		0		.0 .4		27 14	16.6		0	.0		1	.6 2.8		163 145	100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985		217 • 234	80.7 91.1		0		.0 .4		52 21	19.3 8.2		0	.0		0	.0 .4		269 - 257	100.0 100.0
KESTCHESTER	1986 1985		2,711 2,767	76.7 79.1		30 43		.8 .2		677 600	19.2		51 37	1.4 1.1		65 86	1.8 2.5		3,534 3,496	100.0
NYONING	1986 1985		62 70	88.6 72.1		C		.0		7	10.0		0	.0 .0	•	1	1.4		70 76	100.0 100.0
YATES	 1986 1985		- 51 49	96.2 90.7		0		.0	•	1	1.9		0 O	.0		1	1.9		53 54	100.0 100.0
NEN YORK STATE	1986 1985	-	90,738 89,207	63.8 66.5		1,555 1,558		.1		44,469 38,400	31.3 28.6		3,977 3,967	2.8		1,409 4,999	1.0 3.7.		142,148 134,164	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		62,418 61,115	60.1 62.9		1,178		.1 .2		36,270 30,855	34.9 31.8		3,374 3,535	3.2 3.6		648 4,047	.5 4.2		103,886 97,172	100.0 100.0

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: HYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

ŧ A

TABLE 5A

FELONY, NISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND NISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

States and		**********		LUNVILIIUNG REGU	JULING FROM FELONI	a albuchennun mancolo) F	
			Felony					
		Total	Violent	Other	Ki s	deseanor	Lesser Offenses	Total
COUNTY	Year	Nusber Percent	Nuaber Percent	Nusber Percent	Nusbe	r Percent	Number Percent	Nusber Percent
ALUANY	1986 1985	313 7.3 288 6.4	82 I.9 61. 1.4	231 5.4 227 5.1	1,93 2,00		2,034 47.5 2,203 49.1	4,286 100.0 4,491 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	32 11.9 17 7.3	2.7 2.9	30 11.2 15 6.8	17 14		63 23.5 61 27.7	268 100.0 220 100.0
BRONX	1988 1985	4,987 21.0 4,353 17.7	2,249 9.5 2,184 8.9	2,738 11,5 2,169 8.8	12,80 13,10		5,948 25.1 7,189 29.2	23,735 100.0 24,651 100.0
BRODNE	1986 1985 -	315 13.4 266 12.4	67 2.9 71 3.3	248 10.5 195 9.1	1,57 1,44		455 17.4 438 20.4	2,347 100.0 2,152 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	63 7.4 41 5.6	8.9 4.5	55 4.4 37 5.1	50 49		284 33.3 197 27.1	854 100.0 728 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	81 12.6 73 B.6	18 2.8 11 1.3	63 9.8 62 7.3	39 55		169 26.4 221 26.2	641 100.0 845 100.0
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	121 B.O 111 7.3	29 1.9 24 1.6	92 6.1 87 5.7	97: 96(421 27.8 543 29.1	1,514 100.0 1,522 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1785	190 18.1 197 17.5	52 5.0 45 4.0	138 13.2 152 13.5	69 763		173 16.5 167 14.8	1,047 100.0 1,126 100.0
CHENANGD ,	1986 1985	18 3.4 23 3.9	5 1.0 6 1.0	13 2.5 17 2.6	275 35		231 44.1 223 37.4	524 100.0 597 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	63 7.7 55 6.1	7.9 4.4	56 6.9 51 5.6	37 13.		380 46.7 417 46.0	814 109.0 907 100.0
COLUMBIA	1786 1985	43 7.5 64 9.6	10 1.8 7 1.1	33 5,8 57 8,6	25 33	0 49.5	270 47.3 272 40.8	571 100.0 - 666 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	73 12.7 72 9.7	13 2.3 13 1.7	60 10.4 59 7.9	21 26	B 36.0	286 49.7 404 54.3	576 100.0 744 100.0
DELAWARE	1985 1985	23 4.9 24 5.0	2 .4 10 2.1	21 4.5 14 2.9	25 24		187 40.1 204 42.9	466 100.0 476 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS +

TABLE SA

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							 CONVIC	TIONS RESU	LTING FROM	FELONY &	MISDEHEANOR	ARRESTS	£		-		
					Felony		 										
		÷ .	Tatal		Vie	lent	 DŁ	her		Hisde	Aeanor		Lesser (ffenses		Ĩa	tal
COUNTY	Year		Number 1	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nueber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Nusber	Percent		Nunber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		229 316	9.1 10.7	47 64	1.9	182 252	7.2 8.6		1,132 1,260	45.0 42.8		1,154 1,371	45.9 46.5		2,515 2,947	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985		813 687	7.6 7.9	2 4 2 246	2.3 2.2	571 641	5.3 5.7		3,496 3,930	32.7 34.9		6,395 6,463	59.7 57.3		10,704	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1786 1785		25 22	5.8 5.1	2 4	•5 •7	23 19	5.3 4.2		199 222	46.1 51.9		208 194	48.1 43.0		432 428	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	•	44 42	6.9 7.8	6 9	.9	38 34	5.9		362 298	56.6 55.2		234 200	36.6 37.0		640 540	100.0
FULTON	1785 1785		44 68	8.4 12.1	13	2.5 2.3	31 55	5.9 7.8		239 254	45.5 45.2		241 240	46.1 42.7		523 562	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985		70 77	10.5 13.1	10 18	1.5 3.1	60 59	9.0 10.1		259 269	38.8 45.9		339 240	50.7 41.0		668 566	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985		45 26	8.0 6.0	10 6	1.9 1.4	35 20	6.3 4.6 -		274 263	48.9 61.0	•	241 142	43.0 32.9		560 431	100.0 100.0
HANILTON	1786 1785		2 9	23.7 8.1	2	5.3	7 3	18.4 B.1		23 23	60.5 62.2		6 11	15.8 29.7		38 37	100.0 100.0
HERKINER .	1986 1985		34 36	6.6 6.6	7 	1.4	27 32	5.2 5.8		288 327	55.6 59.7		196 185	37.B 33.B		518 548	100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		161 131	19,0 16,7	34 27	4.0 3.4	127 104	15.0 13.3		399 40e	47.1 52.0		288 245	34.0 31.3		848 764	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1995	•	6,394 5,566	19.7 19.0	3,254 2,953	10.0 10.1	3,140 2,613	7.7 8.9		11,560 10,943	35.6 37.4		14,510 12,749	44.7		32,464 27,258	100.0 100.0
LEWIS	1988 1955		23 12	23.5 7.5		6.1 .6	17 11	17.3 6.9		37 58	37.8 42.5		38 80	38.9 50.0		, 98 160	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		100 62	11.1 7.0	17 10	1.9	83 52	9.2 5.9		456 459	50.5 52.0		347 352	38.4 41.0		903 983	100.0 100.0
n de la composición d Composición de la composición de la comp				· ·			 н						·	-			

CONVICTIONS CESH TING FROM FELONY & MIGDEREAUOR ARRESTS .

						CONVICT	TONS RESU	TING FROM	FELONY &	MISDEMEANOR AR	RESTS #			•
			Fe	1 cay										
		Total		Violent		DLI	ier		Xi sde	\$21.0r	Lessor (Iffenses	Ţ	otal
COUNTY	řear	Nuxber Percei	it Nu	aber Percei		Nusber	Percent		Nusber	Percent	Nunber	Percent	Nunber	Percent
MADISON	1986 1985	66 9 88 13		16 2. 18 2.		50 70	7.0 10.8		427 327	59.7 50.5	222 233	31.0 36.0	715 640	100.0 100.0
KONROE	1986 1995	960 17 841 15		272 5 267 4		688 574	12.8		2,307 2,670	42.9 47.9	2,107 2,061	39.2 37.0	5,374 5,572	100.0 100.0
NONTGONERY	1986 1995	55 B 69 10		7 I. 7 I.		4B 62	7.4 9.8		270 272	41.5 42.9	325 293	50.0 46.2	650 634	100.0
NASSAU	1984 1985 ;	1,678 15 1,515 11		584 56 543 4		1,094 972	10.0 7.6		4,527 6,099	41.2 47.8	4,777 5,133	43,5 40,3	10,982 12,747	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1985 1785	8,146 12 7,499 10		,031 4, ,250 4,		5,115 4,249	7.6 6.1		40,817 41,667	60.4 60.1	18,640 20,115	27.4 29.0	67,603 69,281	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1786 1785	135 4 169 5		33 1. 39 1.		102 130	3.5 4.3		983 1,100	33.6 36.4	1,809 1,751	61.8 58.0	2,927 3,020	100.0
ONEIDA	1786 1985	241 11 215 10		87 4. 75 3.		154 140	7.5 7.0		1,045 1,090	50.9 53.8	768 711	37.4 35,4	2,054 2,005	100.0
DNONDAGA	1988 1965	929 24 834 21		261 6 248 6		668 206	17.3 14.8		1,534 1,539	39.7 39.0	1,399 1,577	36.2 39.9	3,862 3,950	100.0 109.0
ONTARIO ,	1986 1985	229 18 179 17		33 2 34 3		196 145	15.7 13.9		697 562	56.0 53.7	319 305	25.6 29.2	1,245	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1786 1765	277 10 378 12		59 2 96 3		21B 282	7.9 9.2		998 1,227	36.3 40.1	1,474 1,452	53.6 47.5	2,749 3,057	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	60 16 74 26		14 3 16 5	.9 .8 .	46. 58	13.0 20.9	-	201 153	56.6 55.2	94 50	26.5 18.1	355 277	100.0
OS¥EGD	1986 1985	118 11 120 10			.8 .5	99 92	9.2 8.1		452 513	42.0 45.2	505 503	47.0 44.3	1,075 1,136	100.0 100.0
OTSEGD	1986 1985	37 5 44 5	.0 .2		.9 .9	30 35	4.1		215 247	29.2 29.1	485 559	65.8 65.8	737 850	100.0 100.0

TABLE SA FELONY, HISDEHEAMOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

B-51

I Continued on next page.)

TABLE SA

FELONY, MISGEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			-			LUNYIL	ILUNS NESU	LIING PRU	A FELONY &	HISDEMEANDR ARRI	.515 4				
				Felony											
		Total		Vic	olent	DI	her		Kisde	aeanor	Lesser (lffenses		. To	stal
COUNTY	Year	Nusber l	ercent	Number	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Nusber	Percent
PUTNAK	1986 1985	9	.1 1.4	0 2	.0 .3	17	.1 1.1		203 232	28.2 34.9	515 424	71.6 63.8		719 665	100.0 109.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	5,02B 3,511	29.6 20.5	1,767 1,281	10.4 7.5	3,261 2,230	17.2 13.0		7,081 7,724	41.7 45.1	4,887 5,876	28.8 34.3		16,996 17,111	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	102 138	7.1 9.2	19 37	1.3 2.5	83 101	5.8 6.8		577 558	40.3 37.4	752 797	52. 6 53,4		1,431 1,493	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985 :	290 269	12.7 ⁻ 12.2	125 139	5.5 6.2	165 131	7.2 5.9		922 925	40.3 41.9	1,074 1,015	47.0 45.9		2,286 2,209	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1985 1985	312 351	19.2 17.9	50 59	3.1 3.0	262 292	16.1 14.9		665 760	40.9 38.7	647 851	39.8 43.4		1,624 1,762	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	4	.4 .5	1	.1	, 3 4	•3 •5		468 371	44.8 46.0	572 432	54.8 53.5		1,044 807	100.0
SARATOGA	1786 1795	98 94	4.3 4.0	21 30	.9 1.3	77 64	3.4 2.7		818 728	35.4 30.9	1,381 1,534	60.1 65.1		2,297 2,356	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	138 166	8.0 10.6	35 41	2.0 2.6	103 125	5.0 8.0		803 717	46.5 45.8	786 682	45.5 43.6	•	1,727 1,565	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1786 1985	34 28 '	9.2 8.3	2 B	.5 2.4	32 20	8.7 5.9		158 140	42.8 41.3	177 171	48.0 50.4		369 339	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	20 14	8.9	7 3	3.1 1.4	13 11	5.8 5.0		144 159	64.3 72.9	60 45	26.8 20.6		224 218	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	48 23	13.4 7.8	9 1	2.5 .3	39 22	10.9 7.5		213 191	59.5 65.2	97 79	27.1 27.0		358 293	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	81 69	10.0 9.8	9	1.1 2.0	72 55	8.9 7.6		382 355	47.2 50.2	347 263	42.8 40.0		B10 707	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,871 1,970	13.4 13.8	- 505 570	3.6	1,366	7.8 9.8		4,812 4,515	34.5 31.7	7,256	52.1 54.5		13,939	100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEWEANDR ARRESTS &

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 5A

FELGNY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

					 		ING FROM FELONY &	TRADUCTION AND					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		****	Fel	iny									
		Total		Violent	 Ot	her	Hisde	eeenor	Lesser (Iffenses		Tot	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number Percent	Nua	er Percent	Number	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Number	Percent	Nu	aber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	136 10.9 142 10.9		26 2.1 19 1.5	110 123	8.8 7.5	574 578	45.8 44.5	543 580	43.3 44.6	· 1	1253 1300	100.0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	61 12.9 47 9.9		7 i.5 9 l.8	54 40	11.4 8.1	305 297	64.B 60.1	105 148	22.2 30.0		472 494	100.0 100.0
TONPKINS	1986 1985	54 7.8 102 12.7		13 1.B 20 2.5	41 82	5.8 10.3	241 313	34.1 39.0	411 387	58.2 48.3		706 802	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	153 8.3 197 11.5	•	36 1.9 39 2.3	117 158	6.3 9.2	1,021 913	55.3 53.1	673 608	36.4 35.4		,847 ,718	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	33 3.0 33 3.0		11 1.0 6 .5	22 27	2.0 2.4	507 499	46.0 44.9	563 579	51.0 52.1		,103 ,111	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1786 1785	34 6.2 48 8.0		7 1.3 4 .7	27 44	5.0 7.4	304 280	55.8 46.9	207 269	38.0 45.1		545 597	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985	127 9.7 117 9.2		23 1.8 16 1.3	104 101	7.9 8.0	752 699	57.4 55.2	432 450	33.0 35.5		,311 ,266	100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,171 13.9 1,405 17.2		168 4.4 135 6.5	803 870	9.5 10.6	3,992 3,385	47.4	3,260 3,402	38.7 41.5		,423 ,192	100.0
WYONING	1986 1985	30 15.2 33 16.9		4 2.0 2 1.0	26 31	13.2 15.9	84 95	42.6 48.7	- B3 67	42.1 34.4		197 195	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	35 22.4 39 27.1		9 5.8 5 3.5	26 34	16.7 23.6	87 75	55.8 52.1	34 30	21.8 20.8		156 144	100.0 100.0
NEH YORK STATE	1986 1985	37,108 14.9 33,669 13.4	13, 13,		23,437 20,405	7.4 8.1	118,736 121,797	47.7 48.4	92,884 96,143	37.3 38.2		,728 ,609	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,848 17.4 21,199 14.9	10 ₁ 9 ₁		14,422 11,393	10.1 8.0	73,186 74,374	51.1 52.2	45 ₁ 059 46 ₁ 949	31.5		,093 ,521	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANDR ARRESTS

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 58

											TIONS RESULTING	S FRUM FELL	JAY AKKES	13 * 				
					Felo	ny								н 1911 - Эл				
		To	tal		Vio	lent		Dt	her		Hisd	eseanor		Lesser (lfenses		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber	Percent	Nua 	ber	Percent			Percent	-		Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent
ALBANY	1786 1785	303 279	23.3 22.2		82 61	6.3 4.9		221 217	17.0		862 • 998	66.2 · 70.8		137 BP	10.5 7.1		i,302 1,255	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	28 16	36.4 34.0		2 2	2.6 4.3		26 14	33.8 27.8		49 29	63.6 61.7		0	.0 4.3		77 47	
BRONX	1986 1985	4,934 4,314	37.2 32.7		249 184	17.0 16.6		2,685 2,130	20.3 16.2		6,099 6,174	46.0 46.8		2,221 2,699	16.8 20.5		13,254 13,187	100.0 100.0
BROOKE	1986 1985 :	297 254	54.0 47.6		67 71	12.2 13.3		230 183	41.8 34.3		237 248	43.1 46.4		16 32	2.9		550 534	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	58 36	34.5 30.8		8 4	4.8 3.4		50 32	27.8		107 70	63.7 59.8		- 3 11	1.8 7.4		168 117	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1786 1785	70 66	38.7 32.0		18 11	9.9 5.3		52 55	28.7		98 133	54.1 64.6	· ·	13 7	7.2 3.4	-	181 206	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1965 1985	115 101	35.3 34.8		29 24	8.9 8.3	•	86 77	26.4 26.5		204 175	62.6 60.3		7 14	2.1 4.8		326 290	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1985 1985	182 190	65.9 67.1		52 45	18.8 15.9		130 145	47.1 51.2		79 83	28.6		15 10	5.4 3.5		276 283	100.0 100.0
CHENANGD ,	1986 1985	16 20	22.2 18.7		5 6	6.9 5.6		11 14	15,3 13,1		47 79	65.3 73.8		9 8	12.5 7.5		72 107	100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	59 47	27.7 26.9		7 4 .	3.3		52 43	24.4 24.6		140 115	65.7 65.7		14 13	6.6 7.4		213 175	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	40 57	23.3 30.6		10 7	5.8 3.8		30 50	17.4 26.9		109 111	6 2.8 59.7		24 18	14.0 9.7		172 186	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1785 1985	54 51	37.5 38.6		13 13	9.0 . 9.8		41 38	28.5 28.8		80 75	55.6 56.8		10 6	6.9 4.5		144 132	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1965	22 24	20.6		2 10	1.7		20 14	18.7 15.2		76	71.0 69.6		Ŷ	8.4 4.3		107 92	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

TABLE 50

FELONY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARKESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

soncite .

									ONVICTIONS	RESULTIN	G FROM FELDNY	ARRESTS	; :				
					Felc	лу			-						,		
			tal			lent		her	•	Nisda	eeanor		Lesser (lfenses		Ĩ	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent		Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nuøber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	211 292	29.0 36.5		47 64	6.5 8.0	164 228	22.5 28.5		434 416	59.4 52.0		83 92	11.4 11.5		728 800	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	762 820	27.4 29.9		242 246	8.7 9.0	520 574	19.7 21.0		1,508 1,527	54.2 55.8		510 391	18.3 14.3		2,780 2,738	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	23 21	22.5 28.8		2	2.0 5.5	21 17	20.6 23.3		68 50	66.7 68.5		i1 - 2	10.8 2.7		102 73	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	37 ; 37	37.0 38.9		- 6 6	6.0 8.4	31 29	31.0 30,5		61 51	61.0 53.7		27	2.0 7.4		100 95	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	41 64	31.8 37.9		13 13	10.1 7.7	29 51	21.7 30.2		B1 97	62.8 57.4		7 8	5.4 4.7		129 169	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1965	45 69	42.1 52.7		10 18	9.3 13.7	51	32.7 38.9		54 62	50.5 47.3		8 \$	7.5		107 131	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1985 1985	40 25	27.2 24.8	•	10	6.8 5.9	30 19	20.4 18.8		76 65	51.7 64.4		31 11	21.1 10.9		147 101	100.0 100.0
HAHILTON	1986 1985	2	56.3 27.3		2	12.5	7	43.8 27.3		7 8	43.8 72.7		0 0	0. 0.		16 11	100.0 100.0
HERKINER ,	1985 1985	32 32	23.4 28.3		7	5.1 3.5	25 28	18.2 24.8		89 70	65.0 61.9		16 11	11.7 9.7		137 113	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	146 121	59.3 53.5		34 27	13.8 11.9	112 • 94	45.5 41.6		92 103	37.4 45.6		8 2	3.3		246 226	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	6,363 5,545	34.3 31.8	· ·	3,254 2,953	17.5	3,107 2,592	16.8 14.9		6,579 6,559	35.5 37.4		5,40B 5,336	30.2 30.8		18,550 17,440	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	23 12	50.0 30.8		6	13.0 2.6	17 11	37.0 28.2		19 26	41.3 66.7		4 1 1	8.7 2.5	• • •	46 39	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	88 45	57.1 47.9		17 10	11.0 10.6	71 -35	46.1 37.2		64 47	41,5 50.0		2	1.3 2.1		154 94	100.0 100.0

					TIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AR	REDIJ * 	**
			Felony				
		Total	Violent	Other	Misdeneanor	Lesser Offenses	Total
COUNTY	Year 	Nusber Percent	Nuober Percent	Rusber Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent
NADISON	1786	49 34.3	16 11.2	33 23.1	82 57.3	12 8.4	143 100.0
	1985	75 64.1	18 15.4	57 48.7	41 35.0	1 .7	117 100.0
HONROE	1986	707 53.0	272 15.9	635 37.1	643 37.6	160 9.4	1,710 100.0
	1985	800 49.0	267 16.3	533 32.6	694 42.5	140 8.6	1,634 100.0
KONTGOHERY	1986	50 44.6	7 6.3	43 38.4	56 50.0	8 5.4	112 100.0
	1985	66 74.2	7 7.9	59 66.3	20 22.5	3 3.4	B7 100.0
NASSAU	1786	1,582 44.9	584 16.6	998 28.3	1,600 45.4	342 9.7	3,524 100.0
	1785	: 1,396 37.3	543 14.5	853 22.8	2,056 54.9	294 7.B	3,746 100.0
NEW YORK	1986	8,097 39.7	3,031 14.9	5,066 24.8	8,970 44.0	3;332 16,3	20,399 100.0
	1985	7,458 34.2	3,250 14.9	4,208 19.3	9,650 45.2	4,470 20.5	21,778 100.0
NIAGARA	1986	130 20.0	33 5.1	97 14.9	383 58.9	137 21.4	650 100.0
	1985	160 23.5	39 5.7	121 17.8	438 64.3	83 12.2	681 100.9
ONEIDA	1786	216 42.6	87 17.2	129 25.4	271 53.5	20 3.9	507 100.0
	1785	191 36.1	75 14.2	116 21.9	302 57.1	36 6.8	529 100.0
DNONDAGA	1986	887 60.2	261 17.7	626 42.5	511 34.7	76 5.2	1,474 100.0
	1985	795 58.3	248 18.2	547 40.1	496 36.4	72 5.3	1,363 100.0
ONTARIO 🦟	1988	199 73.4	33 12.2	166 61.3	49 25.5	3 1.1	271 200.0
	1985	149 73.8	34 16.8	115 56.9	47 23.3	6 3.0	202 100.0
DRANGE	1986	264 34.7	59 7.8	205 26.9	389 51.1	10B 14.2	761 100.0
	1985	368 41.3	76 10.B	272 30.8	431 48.4	91 10.2	890 100.0
ORLEANS	1986	56 43.1	14 10.8	42 32.3	71 54.6	3 2.3	130 100.0
	1985	65 69.1	16 17.0	49 52.1	25 26.6	4 4.3	74 100.0
OSWEGO	1986	112 45.2	19 7.7	93 37.5	126 50.B	10 4.0	248 100.0
	1985	108 44.3	28 11.5	80 32.8	124 50.B	12 4.9	244 100.0
OTSEGO	1986	36 29.8	7 5.8	29 24.0	76 62.8	9 7.4	121 100.0
	1985	41 30.8	8 6.0	33 24.8	78 58.6	14 10.5	133 100.0
		[™] M [™] An					

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

					······						ong argorita	I FRUM FELUM	WUUC213 #			
						Felo	iay									· · · · ·
		•		Total		Via	lent		Other	-	Hisdo	Seanor		llenses	1	otal
COUNT	[¥	Year	Nueb	er Percent		Nuøber	Percent	Nust	er Percen		Kuaber	Percent		Percent	Kuaber	Percent
PUTNA	18	1986 1985		1 1.0 8 8.2		0	.0 2.1		i i. 6 - 6.		72 73	69.9 75.3	30 16	29.1 16.5	103 97	
QUEEN	IS	1986 1985	4,8 3,3			1,767	19.2 16.5	3,1 2,1			3,008 2,849	32.7 36.0	1,309 1,494	14.2 19.3	9,203 7,739	100.0 100.0
REKSS	ELAER	1986 1985	j E	13 29.2 26 30.0		19 37	6.0 11.1		74 23.: B9 26.1		177 179	55.5 53.9	49 27	15.4 8.1	314 332	
RICHN	IOND .	1986 1985	21 : 21	31 28.0 55 27.5		125 138	12.5 14.3		56 15.1 28 13.2		473 497	47.1 51.4	250 204	24.9 21.1	1,004 967	100.0 100.0
ROCKL	AND	1985 1985	2			50 59	9.5 10.4		47 47.0 76 48.1		177 173	33.7 30.5	52 59	9.9 10.4	524 567	100.0 100.9
ST. L	AWRENCE	1986 1985		4 4.2 3		1	1.1		3 3.1 3 4.1		80 59	84.2 85.5	- 11 7	11.4	95 59	100,0 100,0
SARAT	IDGA	1985 1985		84 19.2 86 22.1		21 30	4.0 7.7		63 14. 56 14.		279 238	63.7 61.2	75 65	17.1 16.7	438 389	100.0 100.0
SCHEN	IECTADY	1985 1985		37 33.1 56 41.7		35 41	8.5 11.0		02 24.1 15 30.7		239 194	57.7 51.9		9.2 5.4	414 374	
SCHOH	IARIE .	1986 1985		lo 35,3 26 44,1		2	2.4 13.6		28 32.9 18 30.5		48 29	56.5 49.2	7	8.2 5.8	85 59	100.0 100.0
SCHUY	ILER	1986 1965		18 34.6 14 26.9		- 1 - 3	13.5 5.8		11 21. 11 21.		34 34	65.4 65.4	0	.0 7.7	52 52	
SENEC	A ·····	1986 1965		12 42.9 16 32.0		9	9.2		33 33. 15 30.6		49 32	50.0 64.0	72	7.1 4.0	98 50	
STEUB	BEN	1985 1985		10 40.0 59 39.6		9	5.1 9.4		61 34.4 45 30.3		100 85	57.1 57.0	5	2.9 3.4	175 149	109.0 100.0
SUFFO	ILK	1986 1985	1,5 1,6			505 570	13.9 15.1	1,0 1,1			1,417 1,385	39.0 36.7	666 719	ia.3 19.1	3,638 3,774	

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

TABLE SB FELONY, MISGEMEANOK, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS IADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

TABLE 50 FELONY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS IADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				 Felc	λυς. 										
		To	ital	Vi	olent	(ther	Ni sde	heanor		Lesser O	lffenses		Te	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nueber	Percent	Nunber	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nusber	Percen
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	117 126	32.5 37.6	26 19	7.2 5.7	91 107		17B 166	55.0 49.6		45 43	12.5 12.8		360 335	
TIOĠA	1986 1985	55 46	47.4 48.9	- 7 9	6.0 9.6	48 37		56 46	48.3 48.9		5 2	4.3 2.1		116 94	
TONPKINS	1986 1985	45 78	41.3	13 20	11.9 12.7	32 58		51 77	56.0 49.0		32	2.8 1.3		109 157	100.(100.(
ULSTER	1786 1795	137 ; 170	29.5 39.2	36 39	7.8 9.0	101 131		273 226	58.8 52.1	•	54 36	11.6 8.8		464 434	100.0 100.0
HARREN	1986 1985	- <u>30</u>	13.6 16.8	11 6	5.0 3.4	- 19 24		166 132	75.1 73.7		25 17	11.3 7.5		221 179	100.(100.(
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	30 44	22.2 35.2	7	5.2 3.2	23 40		96 75	71,1 60.0		9 6	6.7 4.8		135 125	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1988 1985	91 75	41.9 40.6	23 16	10.6 6.8	66 79		 115 134	53.0 57.3	-	11 5	5.1 2.1		217 234	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,043 1,261	38.5 45.6	368 535	13.6 19.3	675 726		1,456	53.7 47.4		212 195	7.B 7.0		2,711 2,767	100. 100.
MAOWING '	1986 1985	26 28	41.9 40.0	4	6.5 2.9	22 26		35 41	56.5 58.6		- 1	1.6		62 - 70 -	100.(100.(
YATES	1986 1985	32 37	62.7 75.5	9 5	17.6	23 32		19	37.3 22.4		0 1	.0 2.0		51 49	100.(100.(
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	35,690 32,269	39.3 36.2	13,671 13,264	15.1 14.9	22,019 19,025		39,198 39,976	43.2 44.8		15,850 16,942	17.5 19.0		90,738 89,207	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,564 20,980	39.4	10,426	16.7 16.0	14,138		25,134 25,932	40.3		12,720 14,203	20.4 23.2	÷	62,418 61,115	100.(100.(

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Crizinal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-

B-59

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

				SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF	TOTAL CONVICTIONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	an an the second se	
COUNTY	Year	State Prison	tocal Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
ALBANY	1786 1785	3.7 3.7	15.4 12.0	8.3 7.6	12.0 13.3	53.4 57.8	6.5 4.7	100.0 200.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1.1 1.4	10.1 10.5	9.3 6.4	21.6 17.3	44.4 50.0	13.4 14.5	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1786 1785	9.1 7.7	40.4 39.8	3.0 1.5	8.2 8.5	25.7 23.7	13.7 18.8	100.0
DROOME	1786 1785	3.5 3.2	13.8 14.5	3.8 3.7	13,4 12,2	34.8 36.1	30.6 30.3	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1786 1785	1.2 1.4	12.6 14.7	2.1 1.9	9.3 7.1	64.6 64.4	10.2 10.4	100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	6.2 3.1	19.3 17.4	3.7 3.9	5.3 3.9	46.0 47.7	19.3 24.0	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	1.3 1.9	13.9 12.5	5.7 4.1	10.6	52.4 55.8	16.1 14.2	100.0 100.0
CHENUNG	1986 1985	7,2 6.3	13.8 12.7	5.8 4.6	18.9 16.8	39.0 43.3	15.3 14.3	100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1.3	12.8 11.5	4.2 4.9	11.6	49.0 44.9	21.0 22.3	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	2.7 1.9	13.0 12.6	2.0 2.1	13.4 7.7	52.6 62.4	16.3 13.3	100.0 100.0
CULUMBIA	1986 1985	4.6 5.7	20.3 19.2	3.9 5.0	9.3 7.7	53.6 53.0	8.4 8.7	100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	2.3 2.7	14.9 11.7	4.2 2.7	12.5 13.3	54.5 58.7	11.6 10.7	100.0
DELANARE	1986 1985	.4	12.4 9.5	3.6	9.9 8.8	52.1 55.7	21.5 21.0	100.0

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

ידאטנ	Year 	State Prison		Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation		Probation	· . 	Fines	Other		Total
ITCHESS	1986 1985	1.9 2.2		15.9 14.8	4.3 5.5		11.5 11.1		57.3 59.7	9.1 6.8	•	100.0 100.0
RIE	1986 1985	3.1 3.1		17.5 18.3	3.3 2.6		13.5 13.5		40.7 40.1	21.9 22.3		100.0
ISEX	1986 1985	1.2 1.9		8.8 7.2	2.1		7.4 8.6		61.9 62.9	18.8 17.5		100.0 100.0
RANKLIN	1986 1985	.9 1.7		13.6 13.3	5.2 3.9		7.7 13.0		58.1 49.8	14.5 18.1		100.0 100.0
ILTON	1986 1985	3.8 5.0 :		12.8 13.2	5.4 5.3		10.1 12.3		47.8 47.6	20.1 14.6		100.0 100.0
NESEE	1986 1985	.4 3.2		6.6 8.0	7.4 B.0	*	18.1 21.8		54, 9 49.3	10.6 7.6		100.0 100.0
EENE	1786 1985	2.7	• • • • •	12.5 12.3	2.3		7.5		65.4 67.7	7.6 8.4		100.0
HILTON	1986 1985	2.6 2.7		7.9 16.2	23.7		7.9 5.4		52.6 56.8	5.3 18.9		100.0 100.0
RKINER	1784 1785	1.0		15.1 14.4	1.9 2.2		5.2 6.8		62.4 60.6	14.5 14.6		100.0
FFERSON	1986 1985	4.5 2.9		14.9 14.4	5.8 7.5		13.2 10.8		52.5 55.0	9.2 9.3		100.0
NGS	1786 1785	10.3 9.4		28.1 25.6	4.1 4.3		8.1 7.8		21.2 27.9	28.2 23.0		100.0 100.0
iIS	1986 1985	5.1 1.3		6.1 8.1	16.3 5.0	•	15.3 15.0		42.9 56.3	14.3 14.4		100.0 100.0
VINGSTON	1986 1985	2.0		10.3 9.2	5.2 6.8		9.4		54.0 58.3	17.0 16.6		100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

(Continued on next page.)

. ÷ .

B-61

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FDR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DUNTY	Year 	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total ·
ADISON	1986	1.3	8.1	5.0	14.7	57.9	13.0	100.0
	1985	2.9	8.6	5.1	13.0	61.6	8.8	100.0
INROÉ	1986	6.3	16.3	5.9	14.3	33.3	23.8	100.0
	1985	5.4	13.8	6.0	14.9	38.6	21.4	100.0
ONTGONERY	1786 1785	1.7 1.9	10.8 7.8	2.9 4.6	10.3 8.2	59.7 59.1	14.8 16.4	100.0
ASSAU	1986 1985	6.2 4.2	17.0 16.9	6.1 6.3	17.6 18.3	31.3 31.1	21.0 23.3	100.0
EN YORK	1786	5.9	62.3	1.1	4.7	7.7	18.4	100 .0
	1985	5.2	57.5	.B	4.6	9.1	22.7 -	100.0
IAGARA	1984	2.2	20.2	2.4	10.7	50.1	14.5	100.0
	1985	2.2	22.0	2.8	10.9	48.8	13.3	100.0
HEIDA	1786	4.3	15.6	3.5	9.9	53.0	13.7	100.0
	1785	3.2	16.5	3.7	11.6	52.7	12.1	100.0
IONDAGA	1986 1985	7.4 6.9	16.6 15.0	3.7 3.6	21.3 17.8	31.1 v 35.1	20.1 21.4	100.0
ITARIO	1986	3.4	13.2	8.3	9.3	52.6	15.3	100.0
	1985	4.6	14.8	5.0	7.7	55.3	12.6	100.0
RANGE	1986	3.1	12.9	4.3	11.7	60.5	7.5	100.0
	1985	3.5	13.1	5.1	14.1	55.9	8.3	100.0
ILEANS	1786 1785	7.6 6.5	13.5 11.6	8.7 14.8	19.7 17.0	36.1 34.7	14.4	100.0
INEGO	1986 1985	2.6 2.1	10.7 13.B	7.1 5.9	12.0	53.4 57.9	14.2 10.1	100.0 100.0
SE60	1986	i.6	5.3-	2.7	5.8	73.9	10.6	100,0
	1985	1.2	8.6	2.4	6.2	71.9	9.8	100.0

B-62

				SENTENCES AS A PERCENT D	F IDIAL CURVICIIONS			
COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
PUTNAN	1986	.0	5.1	1.1	11.3	79.3	3.2	100.0
	1985	.6	7.2	1.1	10.8	77.7	2.6	100.0
QUEENS	1986	14.7	28.4	6.2	11.1	18.2	21.4	100.0
	1985	9.4	34.2	3.7	10.5	24.7	17.4	100.0
RENSSELAER	1786	2.0	18.3	2.3	9.9	52.2	15.4	100.0
	1985	2.3	14.2	3.3	13.1	53.1	13.9	100.0
RICHNOND	1986 1985	7.3 5.6	24.8 21.4	1.7 1.7	11.6 13.0	23.1 32.5	31.5 25.8	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986	6.5	13.1	8.5	11.6	53.7	6.3	100.0
	1985	4.4 :	10.7	7.0	12.1	57.8	6.0	160.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986	•2	6.6	1.4	4.6	62.5	24.7	100.0
	1985	•1	5.9	1.2	4.6	61.3	26.8	100.0
SARATOGA	1986	1.1	12.2	1.6	5.7	70.2	7.2	100.0
	1985	1.2	9.6	1.1	5.6	74.1	8.3	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	2.6	17.3	3.6	11.6	54.1	10.7	100.0
	1985	2.7	19.0	2.9	12.8	54.6	8.8	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1786	1.4	9.8	7.6	7.0	66.7	7.6	100.0
	1985	3.5	4.1	4.1	5.3	72.3	10.6	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986.	3.1	7.1	9.8	17.4	52.2	10.3	100.0
	1985	1.4	7.8	5.5	26.6	50.0	8.7	100.0
SENECA	1786	3.4	9.5	5.6	7.0	48.0	26.5	100.0
	1985	1.0	11.3	5.1	8.2	53.6	20.8	100.0
STEUBEN	1786	1.4	11.1	4.6	9.0	60.5	12.7	100.0
	1985	2.3	10.9	4.8	10.6	54.3	17.1	100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3.9 4.3	11.0 7.7	4.7	13.2 13.3	46.6 55.7	20.5 11.7	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				SENTENCES AS A PERCENT	OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS	-		
COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jaíl and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	2.7 3.5	23.5 19.7	3.9 4.1	13.2 13.9	44.4 47.0	12.3 11.8	100.0 100.0
TIOGA	1986 1985	3.0 2.0	8.5 8.3	2.3 3.0	14.0 7.9	56.4 61.3	15.9 15.4	100.0
TONPKINS	1985 1985	2.0 3.5	6.1 7.7	1.0 2.6	8.8 15.0	61.3 47.1	20.8 24.1	100 .0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	2.5 3.0	16.1 14.5	2.5 2.8	11.2 10.1	56.7 59.1	11.0 10.5	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	t.0 .5 :	14.8 14.0	.8 1.9	6.4 6.2	61.2 60.0	15.0 17.4	100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	2.2 .3	12.8 12.1	3.3 3.2	8,4 6,2	57.2 64.3	16.0 13.9	100.0
HAYNE	1986 1985	1.2 1.3	13.4 10.3	3.1 2.9	9.6 12.0	53.7 53.3	19.0 20.1	100.0 100.0
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	4.4 6.9	24.3 16.5	5.0 4.4	14.3 15.4	41.9 47.0	10.1 7.8	100.0 100.0
WYOHING	1986 1985	2.0 5.1	8.1 8.7	6.6 8.2	22.3 20.0	53.8 53.8	7.1	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	3.8 6.3	10.3 13.2	11.5 9.0	13.5 11.8	35.9 33.3	25.0 26.4	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	6.5 5.6	33.1 31.4	3.5 3.1	9.5 9.8	28.6 31.3	18.9 18.8	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	8.5 7.0	46.3 44.5	2.7 2.0	6.9 7.2	15.2 17.7	20.4 21.5	100.0 100.0

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentences dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-

TABLE 68

			Prison		Local		Local and Pr	obation		Prob	ation		Fines	-	Ot	her	To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	ñisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd &	Fela Con	Nisd I ny Lesser	ι.	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd Less Con
ALBANY	1986 1985	50,2 58.3	NA NA		1,3 1,0	16.5 13.6	 31.9 25.3	6.4 6.4		16.3	12.5 13.2		.0 57.6		. 0 . 3	6.9 5.0	100.0 100.0	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	9.4 17.6	NA NA	-	9.4 5.9	10.2 10.8	31.3 29.4	6.4 4.4		43.B 47.1	18.6 14.8		.1 50.0 .0 54.2		3.1	14.0 15.0	100.0 100.0	
BRONX	1986 1985	43.1 43.8	NA NA		15.3 16.8	47.1 44.7	13.4 7.2	.2 .3		27.3 31.1	3.1 3.6		.2 32.1 .2 28.6		.7	17.2 22.7	100.0 100.0	
BRODHE	1786 1785	26.3 25.6	NA Na	:	10.2 12.0	14.3 14.7	21.0 21.1	1.2		30.4 36.5	9.5 8.0		.5 39.6 .8 41.1		1.6 3.4	35.1 34.1	100.0 100.0	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	15.9	NA		15.9 17.1	12.4 14.6	14.3 19.5	1.1		52.4 39.0	5.8 5.2		.6 69.1 .0 68.3		.0	11.0 11.1	100.0 100.0	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	49.4 35.6	NA Na	•	19.8 13.7	19.3 17.7	21.0 35.6	1.3		7.9 13.7	4.6 3.0		.0 52.7 .0 52.2		.0	22.1 26.2	100.0 100.0	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	16.5 26.1	na Na	· · · ·	17.4 18.0	13.6 12.0	38.0 27.9	2.9 2.2		22.3 25.2	9.6 10.4	3	.3 56.6 .7 60.0		2.5	17.3 15.3	100.0	
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	39 .5 36.0	NA NA		14.7	13.7 12.8	19.5 14.7	2.8		23.2 33.5	18.0 13.2		6 47.3 0 52.0		1.6 1.5	18.3 19.5	100.0 100.0	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	, 38.9 39.1	NA NA		22.2 26.1	12.5 10.8	38.9 30.4	3.0 3.8		.0 4.3	12.1 15.5		.0 50.8 .0 46.7		.0 .0	21.7 23.2	100.0 100.0	
CLINTON	1986 1985	34.9 30.9	NA		19.0 14.5	12.5 12.4	19.0 18.2	.5 1.1		25.4 30.9	12.4 6.2		6 56.9 6 66.2		.0 1.8	17.7	100.0 100.0	
COLUXBIA	1986 1985	60.5 59.4	NA NA		18.6 14.1	20.5 19.8	11.6 17.2	3.2 3.7	-	9.3 6.3	9.3 7.0		.0 58.0 .1 59.1		.0 .0	9.1 9.6	100.0 100.0	
CORTLAND	1985 1985	17.8 27.8	NA NA		23.3 11.1	13.7 11.0	21.9 18.1	1.8 1.0		32.9 40.3	9.5 10.4		.0 62.4 .0 65.0		4.1 2.8	12.7 11.8	100.0 100.0	
DELAKARE	1984 1985	8.7 12.5	NA NA		13.0 16.7	12.4	 43.5 33.3	1.6		17.4	9.5 7.5		.0 54.9		17.4	21.7	100.0	

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS +

TABLE 60

PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

											SENT	ENCES AS A	PERCENT D	F CONVICTI	DNS +						· · · ·		
				State F				Local		 Local and Pr	obation		Prob	ation		Fin	62		Dt	her		Tol	tal
	COUNTY	Year		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv			Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	•	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv
	DUTCHESS	1985 1985		20.5 20.6	NA Na			9.2 6.9	16.6	 27.1 32.9	2.1		42.8 37.0	8.3 7.9		.0 .0	63.1 66.8		.4	10.0 7.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	ERIE	1986 1985		41.3 39.6	NA NA			13.3	17.9 18.7	17.3	2.1 2.0		27.1 34.4	12.4 11.7		.5 .9	44.0 43.4		.5 .8	23.6 24.1		100.0	100.0
	ESSEX	1986 1985		20.0 36.4	na Na			16.0 9.1	8,4 7.1	20.0 9.1	1.0 1.5		40.0 45.5	5.4		.0	65.6 66.3	•	4.0 .0	19.7 18.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	.FRANKLIN	1986 1985		13,6 23.8	NA NA	:		13.6	13.6 13.5	43.2 21.4	2.3 2.4	•	29.5 40.5	6.0 10.6	·	.0 .0	62.4 54.0		.0 2.4	15.6 19.5		100.0 100.0	100.0
B-65	FULTON	1986 1985		45.5 41.2	NA NA		•	11.4	12.9 13.0	29.5 25.0	3.1 2.6		13.6 17.6	9.8 11.5		• •0 1,5	52.2 56.3		.0	21.9 16.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	GENESEE	1986 1985		4.3 24.7	na Na	•		1.4	7.2	64.3 39.0	3.0 3.3		28.6 32.5	16.9 20.2		.0 .0	61.2 56.8		1.4	11.7 11.0		100.0	100.0 100.0
	GREENE	1986 1985		33.3 30,8	NA NA			13.3 26.9	12.4	24.4 3.8	.4 • • .5 •		26.7 34.6	5.8 7.4		2.2 3.8	70.9 71.9		.0 .0	10.5 8.9		100.0 100.0	100.0
	HANILTON	1986 1985		11.1 33.3	NA NA			.0 66.7	10.3 11.0	77.8	6.9 .0		11.1 .0	6.9 5.9		.0	61.8		.0 .0	6.9 20.6		100.0	100.0 100.0
	HERKINER	1986 1985		14.7 22.2	na Na			26.5 22.2	14.3 13.9	26.5 19.4	.2		23,5 30.6	3.9 5.1		2.8 5.6	66.1 64.5		.0	15.5 15.6		100.0	100.0 100.0
	JEFFERSON	1986 1985	•	23.6	na Na			16.1 19.1	14.6 13.5	23.6 35.9	1.6		34.2	8.3 8.0		2.5 1.5	64.2 65.7		.0 .8	11.4 11.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	KINGS	1986 1985		52.3 49.4	KA NA			9.7 8.7	32.6 29.6	17.1	.9 .8		14.0 21.3	5.2 7.1		.B 1.0	26.2 34.2		.4 .6	35.1 28.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	LEWIS	1986 1985		21.7 16.7	NA Na			13.0 16.7	4.0 7.4	56.5 33.3	4.0 2.7	, [,]	8.7 33.3	17.3 13.5	• .	.0 .0	54.0 60.8		.0	18.7 15.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		18.0 17.7	na Na	•		17.0 24.2	7.2 8.0	28.0 45.2	2.4 3.9	•	34.0 11.3	6.4 7.5	•	.0	60.8 62.7		1.0 1.5	21.3 17.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

TABLE 68 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND HISDEHEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

B-66

			******				*******	SENI	ENCES AS A P	ESTERI OF	LUNYILII				 		 	
		State F				al Jail	Local and Pr	obation	·	Proba			Fin	25	01	her	Ta	otal
OUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felon Conv	Nisd &	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		·Felony Conv	Nísd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd Lesse Conv
IAD I SON	1986 1985	13.6 21.6	NA Na		10. 11.		37.9 27.3	1.7		36.4 37.5	12.5 9.1		.0	63.8 71.3	1.5 2.3	14.2 9.8	100.0 100.0	
IONROE	1986 1985	35.3 35.9	NA NA		13.		23.9 27.8	2.0 2.1		26.5 23.3	11.6 13.4		.1 .4	40.6 45.4	.9	28.8 25.0	100,0 100.0	
IONTGOKERY	1986 1985	20.0 17.4	NA NA		16. 11.		23.6 34.8	1.0		38.2 31.9	7.7 5.3		1.8 2.9	65.0 66.0	.0 1.4	16.1 18.2	100.0 100.0	
IASSAU	1785 1785	40.8 35.6	NA NA	:	13. 14.		25.5 25.3	2.5 3.7		17.8 22.5	17.6		1.0	36.7 35.1	1.5	25.5 26.3	100.0 100.0	
IEH YORK	1986 1985	48.9 48.3	NA NA		20. 29.		7.9 6.3	.2 .1		21.8 22.9	2.4 2.4		.3 .3	8.7 10.2	1.0 1.2	20.8 25.3	100.0	
HAGARA	1786 1985	47.4 38.5	NA NA	ŧ .	17. 24.		5.2 3.6	2.3 2.7		27.4 33.1	9.9 9.6		1.5	52.4 51.7	1.5	15.1 14.0	100.0 100.0	
NEIDA	1986 1985	36.5	NA NA		12. 16.		22.8 23.7	.9 1.3		27.0 28.8	7.7 9.7		.8 .5	60.0 57.0	.4 .5	15.4 13.5	100.0 100.0	
INDNDAGA	1986 1985	30.6 32.9	NA NA	•	14. 18.		11.8 12.9	1.1 1.2		39.6 33.5	15.4 13.7		.6 .4	40.7 44.4	3.1 1.9	25.5 26.6	100.0	
INTARIO	1986 1985	18.3 26.8	na Na	· · ·	22. 27.		28.4 20.7	1.3		25.8 21.2	5.6 5.0		2.2	64.0 66.3	3.1 1.7	18.0 14.9	100.0 100.0	
DRANGE	1986 1985	30.3 28.0	HA NA		8. 5.		29.2 26.5	1.5 2.1		30.7 39.4	9.6 10.6		.4 .0	67.3 63.8	1.1 1.1	8.2 9.4	100.0 100.0	
DRLEANS	1986 1985	45.0 24.3	NA NA	•	6. 1.		25.0 41.9	5.4 4.9		23.3 31.1	19.0 11.8	•	.0 .0	43.4 47.3	.0 1.4	17.3 20.7	100.0 100.0	
ISNEGO	1986 1985	23.7 20,0	NA NA		10. 15.		33.9 40.8	3.8 1.8		28.0 21.7	10.0 8.8		.8 1.7	59.9 64.6	3.4 .8	15.6 11.2	100.0 100.0	
DTSEGO	1986 1985	32.4 22.7	NA NA		8. 11.		27.0 34.1	1.4		29.7 29.5	4.6 5.0		.0 .0	77.9 75.8	2.7 2.3	11.0 10.2	100.0 100.0	

#_____

TABLE 6B

PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND HISDEMEANDR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS DNLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

												SEN	TENCES AS	A PERCENT I	F CONVICTI	OKS ¥						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				State f				Local			Local and Pr	obation		Prot	ation -		Fin	25		Dt	her		To	tal
	COUNTY	Year		Felony Conv	hisd & Lesser Conv			Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd (Lesser Conv
	Puthan	1786 1785		.0 44.4	na Na			.0 .0	5.2 7.3		.0 .0	1.1 1.1		100.0 55.6	11.1 10.2		.0 .0	79 .4 78.8		.0 .0	3.2 2.6		100.0 100.0	100.0
	QUEENS	1986 1985		49.8 45.8	ha Na			7.3 9.8	37.3 40.5		19.3 15.2	.7 .7		22.6 27.2	6.2 6.2		.7	25.5 30.8		.4	30.3 21.8		100.0 100.0	100.(100.(
	RENSSELAER	1986 1985		27.5 25.4	NA NA			16.7 14.5	18.4 14.2		13.7 15.9	1.4 2.0		42.2 43,5	7.4 10.0		.0 .7	56.2 58.5		.0 .0	16.6		100.0 100.0	100.0
	RICHMOND	1986 1985		57.6 46.1	NA	:		3.8 8.2	27.9 23.2		12.4 11.2	.2 .4		25.2 31.2	9.7 10.5		.7 2.2	26.3 36.7		3 1.1	36.0 29.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
)).)	ROCKLAND	1786 1985 -		34.0 24.8	NA NA			8.3 2.6	14.3 12.4		29.5 37.6	3.5		27.9 34.8	7.8 7.1		.3	66.4 70.5		.0	8.1 7.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	ST. LAWRENCE	1985		50.0 25.0	NA NA	٠		.0 .0	6.6 6.0		50.0 50.0	1.3	• • •	.0 .0	4.6		.0 25.0	62.7 61.5		.0 .0	24.8 26.9		100,0 100.0	100.(100.(
	SARATOGA	1985 1985		25.5 29.8	na Na			20.4 26.6	11.8		28.6 18.1	₁4 4		24.5 25.5	4.9 4.8	•	1.0	73.3 77.1		.0	9.6 8.7		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	SCHENECTADY	1985 1985	•	32.6	NA NA			11.6	17.B 17.0		23.9	1.9		31.2	9.9	: • • • •	.7 1.2	58.8	•	0.	11.6 9.7		100.0	100.0
	SCHOHARIE Schuyler	1986 1985 1986		14.7 42.9 35.0	NA NA NA			17.6	9.0 3.5 7.8		55.9 35.7 45.0	2.7 1.3 6.4		11.8 10.7 20.0	6.6 4.8 17.2		.0 .0	73.4 78.8 57.4		0.	8.4 11.6 11.3		100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0
	SENECA	1985		21.4	NA NA			14.3	7.4 8.4		57.1 31.3	2.0		7.1	27.9		.0 .0 2.1	53.4		.0 .0 2.1	9.3 30.3		100.0	100.0
	STEUBEN	1985		13.0	NA		· · · ·	13.0	11.1		60.9 38.3	.1		13.0	7.B 7.0		2.5	58.1		.0	22.6		100.0	100.0
	SUFFOLK	1985 1986		23.2	KA			18.8 10.2	10.0	· · ·	40.6	.9		15.9	10.0 11.4		.0	60.2 53.1		5.5	18.8		100.0	100.0
		1985		30.8	NA			12.0	9.6		25.8	1.8		21.9	11.9	•	4.8	63.9		4.6	12.9		100.0	100.

B-67

TABLE 68

					*******		Local	Jail			*****	 ******					
		State			Local			obation		Proba	ation	Fin		DL	her		otal
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Nisd &	н <u>-</u>	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Hisd
	1986 1985	25.0 31.7	na Na		16.2	24.4 20.6	21.3 23.9	1.8	• • •	36.0 30.3	10.4	1.5 1.4	49.6 52.6	.0 .7	13.8 13.2	100.0 100.0	100
TIOGA	1986 1985	23.0 20.4	NA Nà		4.9	9.0 7.4	9.8 24.5	1.2		60.7 34.7	7.1 7.2	1.6	64.5 67.6	.0 2.0	18.2 16.9	100.0 109.0	
	1786 1785	· 25.9 27.5	NA Na		5.6	4.1 7.7	5.6 13.7	.6 1.0		51.9 46.1	5.2 10.4	5.6	66.0 54.0	5.6 5.9	22.1 26.7	109.0 100.0	-
	1986 1985	30.1 26.4	NA NA	۰. 	13.7 10.2	16.4 15.1	19.6 17.8	.9		34.6 44.7	9.0 5.6	1.3	61.7 66.7	.7	11.9 11.0	100.0 100.0	
HARREN	1985 1985	33.3 18.2	NA NA		18.2 30.3	14.7 13.5	15.2 27.3	- "4 1.1		33.3	5.8 5.7	.0	63.1 61.9	.0	16.3 17.9	100.0 100.0	
	1986 1985	35.3 4.2	NA NA		23.5 43.0	12.1 9.3	14.7 12.5	2.5 2.4		26.5 39.6	7.2 3.3	.0	61.1 69.9	.0 .0	17.0 15.1	100.0 100.0	
WAYNE	1986 1985	12.6	NA NA		18.1 17.1	12.9 9.7	26.0 24.8	.6 .7		38.6 41.9	6.5 9.0	4.7 1.7	59.0 58.6	.0	21.0 22.1	100.0 100.0	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	31.9 40.2	na Na		9.7 5.2	26.6 18.9	25.4	1.7 1.8		32.5 36.8	11.3	.5	48.6 56.7	.i .3	11.7 11.7	100.0 100.0	
	1986 1985	13.3 30.3	NA NA		20.0 15.2	6.0 7.4	26.7 24.2	3.0 4.7		40.0	19.2 18.5	.0	63.5 64.2	.0 .0	8.4 4.7	100.0 100.0	
YATES	1986 1985	17.1 23.1	NA NA		11.4 12.8	9.9 13.3	42.9 25.6	2.5 2.9		28.6 35.9	9.1 2.9	.0 2.6	46.3 44.8	.0	32.2 36.2	100.0 100.0	
NEW YORK STAT	E1986 1985	43.4 41.9	NA NA		13.3	36.6 34.0	17.2	1.1		24.4 26.6	6.9	.0	33.5 36.0	1.0 1.1	21.9 21.5	100.0 100.0	
NEW YORK CITY	1984 1985	48.9 47.2	NA NA		13.7 14.8	53.1 49.7	13.7	.4		22.6 25.0	3.6 4.1	.5 .7	18.3 20.7	.7	24.6	100.0 100.0	

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

1

					· · · · ·		INVESTI	GATIONS				SPOSITIC	IN SUPERVIS	SION CASES	S RECEIVED	}
		INTAK			Tota		Family (Court	Criminal	Court	Tota	1	Family (Crisinal	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
ALBANY	1986 1985	441.1 456.2	49 50		1,157.5 1,084.7	9 9	196.5 206.4	18 18	943.0 878.2	. 8 8	218.1 114.8	11 17	15.2 20.8	23 21	202.9 94.1	17
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,196.0 903.7	20 32		475.2 396.8	56 58	108.9 70.7	56 56	366.3 326.1	54 54	.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	
BRONX	1986 1985	523.5 643.2	45 42	•	637.8 602.7	41 42	91.3 88.7	50 50	546.5 514.0	32 33	106.4 104.0	17 19	104.6 100.8	5 5	1.8	
BROOME	1986 1985	1,364.3 1,300.1	15 12		889.5 919.8	19 12	256.2 291.8	7	633.3 627.9	26 20	1.9	50 51	1.9 1.9	43 40	.0 .0	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	; 272.0 354.4	59 54		582.6 479.3	46 52	171.2	26 26	411.5 307.4	50 56	7.0 10.5	45 46	3.5 1.2	41 42	3.5 9.4	3(
Cayuen	1986 1985	563.2 632.4	43 43		455.6 398.2	57 56	102.6 81.4	52 52	352.9 316.8	55 55	.0 1.3	51 52	.0 .0	44 44	.0 1.3	- 4(- 4(
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	881.2 854.2	36 35		883.3 805.7	21 21	175.4 205.9	19 19	707.9 599.7	19 21	156.5 153.2	15 13	3452 45.8	10 12	122.3 107.5	14
CHENUNG	1986 1985	2,223.2 1,996.9	3		1,230.9 1,195.8	8 7	253.0 233.7	13 13	977.9 962.0	.7 6	70.7 132.6	23 14	3.3 2.2	42 38	67.4 130.4	1) 1)
CHENANGO	1986 1985	288.0	56 59		728.0 820.6	35 20	74.0 76.1	55 55	654.0 741.6	24 15	40.0 30.0	32 35	40.0 30.0	14 17	.0	42
CLINTON	1986 - 1985	1,124.4 1,107.0	23 24		848.5 825.0	27 19	315.3 447.5	2	533.3 377.6	34 47	6.2 14.7	46 42	4.9	39 28	i.2 3.7	
COLUNBIA	1986 1985	285.0 354.8	57 55		482,7 551,1	55 47	133.4 80.9	53 53	349.3 470.3	56 40	.0	51 53	.0 .0	44 44	.0 .0	41
CORTLAND	1986 1985	3,067.5 2,861.2	2 2		1,042.2 1,078.2	10 10	213.1 236.3	12 12	829.1 841.9	13 10	.0 .0	51 53	.0	- 44 44	.0	4
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,817.4	5 7		626.3 443.6	43 55	208.1 87.4	51 51	418.3 356.2	49 50	229.3	9	229.3	3 2	.0	

B-70

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984 - Continued

•								INVESTI	GATIONS				PRE-DISPOSITIO	SUPERVI	SION CASES	RECEIVED	ł
			INTA			Tota	1 -	Family (Crisinal	Court		Total	Faaily	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY		Year		Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS		1986 1985	1,086.2 1,081.9	27 26		576.3 632.3	47 41	139.4 126.2	37 37	436.9 506.1	47 34		59.7 24 50.2 27	40.9 36.8		28.8 13.3	27 27
ERIE		1986 1985	585.6 550.8	42 48		530.0 580.6	52 45	79.9 110.8	42 42	450.1 469.8	46 41	•	.0 51 .0 53	.0		.0 .0	42 42
ESSEX		1986 1985	953.2 1,056.0	32 28		347.1 316.2	61 59	88.2 101.7	46 46	259.0 214.5	61 60		13.8 42 16.5 40	11.0 13.8		2.8 2.8	37 37
FRANKLIN		1986 1985	1,655.3 1,705.8	7 5		i,470.3 1,438.6	4	258.0 244.3	11	1,212.3	3 1		52.5 29 45.7 30	13.7 4.6	25 35	38.8 41.1	22 22
FULTON		1986 1985	382.8 296.0	51 57		571.4 649.7	50 39	230.8 166.0	28 28	340.7 483,7	57 38		12.8 43 16.2 41	12.8 16.2		.0	42 42
GENESEE		1986 1985	1,197.3	19 9		1,275.5	7 8	202.4	25 25	1,073.1 965.2	4 5		226.2 10 164.3 12	13.4 5.1		212.6 159.2	9
GREENE		1986 1985	1, 311.2 1,138.0	17 23		420.4 294.1	59 61	85.5 50.4	60 60	334.9 243.5	59 58		235.2 B 4.8 49	4.8 4.8		230.4	42 42
HANILTON		1986 1985	20.0 20.1	62 62		360.0 140.4	60 62	20.0 20.1	62 62	340.0 120.4	58 62		.0 51 .0 53	.0		.0	42 42
HERKIHER		1986 1985	191.3 173.3	61 61		683.1 484.2	38 50	301.9 245.1	10 10	381.2 239.1	53 59	•	34.4 37 6.0 48	6.0 3.0		28.4 3.0	36 36
JEFFERSON	,	1786 1985	1,985.7 1,793.8	_4 _4		856.5 746.2	26 28	68.4 63.2	57 57	788.1 683.0	15 18		.0 51 .0 53	.0 .0		.0	42 42
KINGS		1986 1985	443.6 608.6	48 44	•	546.B 521.7	51 48	70.4 61.9	58 58	476.4 459.8	41 43		82.6 19 69.5 25	78.4 62.5		4.2	32 32
LEWIS		1986 1985	561.3 556.3	44 45		628.5 480.8	42 51	162.1 123.2	39 39	466.4 357.6	44 47		55.3 28 123.2 15	7.9 7.9		47.4 115.2	12 12
LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	911,3 756.1	33 36		762.8 764.6	31 26	143.3 166.3		619.5 598.3	27 22		47.8 30 25.7 38	47.8		.0 1.7	39 39

B-71

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							INVEST	IGATION5				PRE	DISPOSITIO	ON SUPERVIS	SION CASES	RECEIVED)
		INTA			Tota		Fasily		Criminal	Court		-	Ital	Family (Court	Criminal	l Courf
COUNTY	Year		Rank	^	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
HADISON	1986 1985	1,439,9 1,347.0	10 13		807.8 674.2	30 35	151. 131.		656.2 542.4	22 28	•	60. 64.		.0 1.5		60.1 62.9	
NƏNROE	1986 1985	710.B 651.7	39 41		647.9 594.9	40 43	117. 130.		530.2 464.1	35 42		37. 38.		35.7 38.2	17 14	- 1.6	
NONTGONERY	1986 1985	335,9 297.0	53 56		717.9 580.7	36 44	255. 211.		462.6 369.3	45 48		5. 13.		5.8 13.3	35 24	.0 .0	
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,412.7 1,414.1	11 10		1,038.9 1,071.9	12 11	160. 177.		878.0 874.3	11 17		316.		5.2 6.2	37 32	311,4 263.1	- 3
NEW YORK	1986 1985	279.8 402.0	58 53		950.4 831.5	15 18	48. 49.		901.8 781.9	10 11		78. 99.		47.3 53.0	11 7	31.1 46.3	21 21
NIAGARA	1986 1985	724.3 962.2	38 30		616.4 667.5	44 36	136.		479.9 520.1	39 32			0 51 0 53	.0 .0		.0	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	725.6 727.9	37 37		900.2 742.2	18 29	203. 163.		697.0 578.3	20 27		70. 29.		5.6 2.0	36 39	65.2 27.1	24 24
ONDNDAGA	1786 1785	986.8 1,246.0	30 18		1,648.5 1,450.6	23	203.		1,444.7 1,220.9	2 2		241. 241.		95.4 116.9	4	146.2 124.9	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	506.5 726.3	47 38		885.0 798.8	20 22	104. 110.		780.9 688.4	16 17	· ·	5. 10.		.0		5.4 10.8	
DRANGE	1986 1985	1,040.5 1,320.8	28 14		696.B 691.7	37 33	85. 111.		611.3 580.8	28 26		117.		.0 .0		117.9 110.6	13
ORLEANS	1986 1985	1,095.1	25 25		827.8 666.8	29 37	82. 7£.		7 45.5 590.2	18 23		213. 115.		5.1 10.2	38 29	208.2 104.8	
OSHEGO	1986 1985	591.1 551.5	41 47		1,320.2 1,359.8	6 5	278. 252.		1,041.8 1,107.1	5 4		575. 183.		382.1 .0	1	193.1 183.0	
DTSEGO	1986 1985	907.1 683.9	34 39		432.4 449.7	58 54	128. 153.		304.1 296.5	60 57	· .	203.	0 51 8 9	.0 .0		.0 203.8	

B-72

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					×		INVESTI	GATIONS				•	PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	IDN CASES	RECEIVED	D
		INTA			Tota	1	Family (Court	Criminal	Court			Tota		Family (ourt	Crisinal	
E0UNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAN	1986	963.2 882.6	31 33		574.8 496.9	48 49	78.4	47 47	476.3	38 46	ر. ا	-	29.4 38.3	38 32	29.4	19 13	.0	
QUEENS	1986 1985	335.9 446.1	54 52		492.5 397.4	54 57	65.0 53.3	59 59	427.5	48 52	•		74.0 76.9	21 23	68.9 67.8	8 7	5.1	31
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,239.9	18 29		760.7 725.5	32 31	226.1 189.0	- 21 21	534.6 536.5	33 29			88.3 74.7	18 24	.0 3.3	44	88.3 71.4	18 18
RICHMOND	1986 1985	338.0 553.1	52 46		315.5 311.9	62 60	110.5 104.0	45 45	205.0 207.8	62 61			16.6	40 37	16.6	22 19	.0	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	638.2 677.1	40 40		675.1 639.4	39 40	106.1 112.4	40 40	569.0 527.1	30 31			360.7 416.6	2	232.4 240.9	2 1	128.2 175.7	9 8
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,719.9 1,413.4	6 11		1,039.0 871.2	11 14	132.1 90.B	49 49	906.9 780.3	9 12			195,9 191.3	13 10	18.6 13.2	21 25	177.3 178.1	7 7
SARATOGA	1986 1985	897.0 858.3	35 34		732.5 707.3	34 32	255.3 213.5	15 15	477.1 493.8	40 36			55.5 84.8	27 21	13.4 35.9	27 16	42.0 48.9	20 20
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,352.9	16 21		860.9 765.0	25 25	182.4 177.3	24 24	678.4 587.7	21 24			273.6 231.8	5 7	12.6	29 27	261.0 220,5	4
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	291.9 275.2	55 58		610.7 476.5	45 53	140.9 137.6	23 32	469.8 338.9	43 53			3.4 10.1	49 47	.0	44 - 44	3.4 10.1	29 29
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	4,092.0 3,460.7	1		1,362.1 1,227.8	5 6	356.3 371.2	- 5 5	1,005.7 856.6	6			11.5 11.4	44 44	.0 11.4	44 26	11.5	
SENECA	1986 1985	1,021,8 1,242.2	29 20		878.5 729.4	23 30	376.9 299.1	6.	501.6 430.3	36 44			183.8 280.8	- 14 - 3	7.3	31 44	174.5 280.8	2 2
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,091.B 1,062.2	26 27		922.6 780.9	17 23	267.3 196.2	20 20	655.3 584.5	23 25			247.7 263.0	6 5	156.9 156.2	4 3	90.8 106.8	15 15
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,170.8 1,279.1	21 17		2,105.6 2,396.4	i - 1	129.3 126.2	38 38	1,976.3	- 1 - 1			44.4	31 28	44.4 50.0	12 10	.0	

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

					· · · · · ·		INVESTI	GATIONS	· .		PRE-D	ISPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED)
		INT	AKE I			tal	Family (Criainal	Court	Tot		Family (lourt	Criminal	l Cou
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ra
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	1,109.3 958.6	24 31		1,033. 869.		166.2 94.7	49 48	867.3 775.1	12 13	.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,402.0 1,308.1	12 16		645. 859.		345.1 430.7	3	500.0 428.8	37 45	35.3 43.7	36 -31	23.5 27.8	20 18	11.B 13.9	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	508.0 536.6	46 49		1,006. 888.	9 14 3 13	245.4 140.7	32 32	761.4 747.6	17 14	332.2 331.3	3 2	6.8 1.1	33 43	325.3 330.1	
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,373.9 1,140.0	14 22		930. 774.		126.7 105.6	44 44	803.3 668.6	14 19	23.1 33.0	39 34	.0	44	23.1 33.0	
WARREN	1986 1985	1,538.7 1,450.4	8		868. 868.		396.4 384.6	4	472.1 483.5	42 39	36.0 48.5	35 29	36.0 46.7	16 - 11 -	.0 1.8	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	1,149.4 1,309.2	22 15		572. 569.		184,5 213.2	16 16	388.4 355.9	51 51	14.1	41 53	14.1	24 44	.0	
HAYNE	1986 1985	1,517.0 1,594.5	· • •		881. 753.		295.5 258.1	8	586.4 495.7	29 35	.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0 .0	
WESTCHESTER	1986 . 1985	1,387.6 1,244.5	13 19	. • 	1,494. 1,608.		843.5 895.1	1	651.0 713.7	25 16	66.6 84.3	25 22	66.6 84.1	9	.0 .2	
HYCHING	1986 1985	254.9 216.3	60 60		492. 661.		110.3 132.8	34 34	382.4 528.6	52 30	39.2 22.1	33 33	36.8 7.4	15 31	2.5 14.8	
YATES	1986 1985	419.8 456.1	50 51		754. 674.		198.1 181.5	22 22	556.6 473.3	31 37	.0 4.7	51 - 50	.0	44 44	.0 4.7	
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985	778.9 836.7	NA		878. 863.		155.0 156.4	NA NA	723.5	NA Ne	101.0 94.9	NA NA	49.8 47.9	na Na	51.2	
NEW YORK CITY	1986	389.4 526.0	NA		617. 554.	6 NA	70.1 53.7	NA	547.6	NA	80.0 80.8	NA	70.7	NA	9.3 13.8	

* Intake occurs only in family court.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

B-74

	на на селото на селот По селото на селото на По селото на		· · · · ·	PROBAT	ION SUPERVIS	SION CASE	S RECEIVED		UNDER	PROBAT	CA ION SUPERVIS		ING THE YEAR	1	UNDE	R PROBAT	C/ Ion Superv	ISES Vision on	DECEMBER	31
			Tota		Family (Criminal		Tota		Family (ourt	Criainal	Court	Tot		Family (Criminal	Court
COUN	TY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBA	NY.	1986 1985	420.3 387.2	3 4	71.6 50.4	13 26	348.6 336.8	3	1,267.8 1,131.5	4	132.3 88.1	13 28	1,135.5 1,043.5	······································	908.6 846.2	4	63.2 60.6	14 - 17	845.4 785.6	5 5
ALLE	GANY	1986 1985	273.3 169.0	29 54	51.5	27 4B	221.8 139.5	31 53	651.5 528.5	50 57	73.3 50.9	42 48	578.2 469.5	48 55	483.2 375.2	45 55	37.6	41 54	445.5 353.6	46 53
BRON	X	1986 1985	322.6 300.7	12 16	22.1 20.4	58 55	300.4 280.4	7 8	1,069.4 995.4	8 8	42.9 45.4	60 57	1,026.5 950.0	- 8 7	818.4 752.9	1 1	23.2 21.0	54 55	795.2 731.8	7 7
BRODI	he	1986 1985	293.6 292.3	20 18	50.3 57.9	30 18	243.4 234.4	19 21	951.6 916.0	14 10	107.2 125.2	23 11	844.4 790.8	14 15	700.2 657.1	15 14	61.2 60.7	17 15	639.0 596.4	17 15
CATT	ARAUGUS	1986 1985	216.9 186.3	50 48	45.7 43.4	34 33	171.2 143.0	52 51	568.6 486.3	58 58	92.6 72.7	30 39	476.0	57 59	405.6 351.6	58 57	49.2 46.9	30 30	356.4 304.7	57 59
CAYU	6A	1986 1985	137.7	61 58	26.3 26.3	55 50	111.4	61 58	406.8 404.5	62 62	50.1 55.1	57 52	356.7 349.4	62 62	286.6 269.2	62 62	17.5 23.8	57 50	269.1 245.4	62 61
CHAU	TAUQUA	1986 1985	277.4 275.3	26 27	43.3 54.1	38 22	234.1 221.2	22 28	761.0 685.0	36 40	98.5 102.6	29 22	66 2.5 582.4	34 44	527.0 477.8	38 39	53.8 54.8	24 21	47 5.2 425.0	37 42
CHEN	UNG	1986 1985	418.8 380.5	4	103.9 108.7	2	314.9 271.8	5 10	1,404.4 1,292.5	2 2	223.2	2	1,181.2	3	1,048.6 969.6	2 2	119.3 117.4	2 2 -	929.3 852.2	2 4
CHEN	ANGO	1986 1985	350.0 320.2	7 11	92.0 44.0	3 31	258.0 276.2	16 - 9	842.0 718.5	29 34	126.0 86.1	17 29	716.0	29 32	528.0 492.4	39 37	56.0 34.0	21 39	472.0 458.3	40 33
CLIN	TON	1786 1985	259.9 185.1	36 49	22.2 33.1	57 44	237.7	20 49	863.3 755.2	25 30	57.9 77.2	51 36	805.4 677.9	19 27	703.2 600.7	14 23	20.9 35.6	55 37	692.3 565.2	10 19
COLU	MBIA	1986 1985	248.8 260.7	43 30	64.3 54.5	17 21	184.5 206.3	45 31	764.4 704.6	35 35	126.9 108.9	15 19	637.6 595.7	40 39	561.8 518.1	34 32	72.5 64.4	9 12	487 .3 453.8	36 35
CORT	LAND	1986 1985	284.9 293.3	22 17	57.0 50.6	23 24	230.0 242.7	23 18	890.3 877.8	20 14	101.3 84.4	27 30	789.0 793.4	21 - 14	628.7 603.5	22 21	44.3 44.3	34 32	584.4 557.2	20 20
DELA	WARE	1986 1985	191.1 183.4	56 50	38.2 27.7	43 49	152.9	55 47	500.1 563.0	56 54	61.6 55.5	40 51	526.5 507.6	54 51	437.4 398.8	54 52	31.9 23.5	45 51	405.5 375.4	51 50
	** == = = = = + = = = = = = = = = = = =							-	 											

B-75

2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				ON SUPERVIS	ION CASE	ES RECEIVED	·					ING THE YEAR	+	UNDE	R PROBAT		ASES VISION ON	DECENBER	k 31
•		Tota		Family (Criminal		 Ţat	al	Family (Court	Criminal		Tol		Faaily		Criminal	l Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	236.8 284.2	46 21	42.1 50.6	40 25	194.7 233.6	41 23	808.8 807.5		88.8 115.6	33. 18	720.0 691.9	28 25	588.4 571.1		50.2 42.7	28 35	538.2 528.8	
ERIE	1786 1985	278.8 276.0	25 25	51.3 44.3	29 30	227.3 231.7	26 24	723.2 670.5		100.5 B1.0	28 32	622.7 589.5	43 42	435. 443.		52.8 50.4		382.9 392.6	53 48
ESSEX	1986 1985	159.8 140.2	59 59	33:1 30.2		126.7 110.0	59 60	506.9 475.7		55.1 47.5	52 55	451.8 426.2	50 58	371.5		27.5 22.0		344.4 324.5	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	326.5 317.4	11 12	73.1 -54.8	10 20	253.4 262.6	17 12	945.2 874.6		118.7 121.0	20 17	826.5 753.5	17 18	682.6 628.0		47.9 54.8		634.7 573.2	18 18
FULTON	1986 1985	260.1 301.4	35 15	42.1 34.3	39 42	217.9 267.1	33 11	934.1 860.8		69.6 46.9	46 56	864.5 813.9	12 11	670.3 664.1		29.3 27.1		641.0 637.1	16 13
GEHESEE	1986 1985	394.6 425.0	5 2	59.5 67.7	21 8	335.0 357.3	4	1,331.6		120.7 93.1	19 26	1,210.9 1,134.6	2	979.4 941.5		62.9 69.4		916.7 872.1	3 2
GREENE	1786 1785	249.4 137.4	42 60	57.0 36.2	22 40	192.4 101.3	42 61	622.3 576.2		71.3	43 41	551.1 503.9	51 52	415.7		42.8 14.5		372.9 364.1	54 51
HANILTON	1986 1985	320.0 120.4	13 62	20.0 20.1	59 57	300.0 100.3	8 62	600.0 682.0		60.0 80.2	50 34	540.0 601.B	53 37	460.0 280.1		20.0 40.1	56 36	440.0 240.7	48 62
HERKIHER	1986 1985	174.9	58 55	65.8 38.9	16 35	109.1 127.0	62 56	578.5 567.5		159,9 134,5	5	418.5 433.4	60 56	451.4 403.5		125.6 94.1	1 4	325.9 309.3	60 57
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	333.3 317.2	10 13	71.7 73.4	11 6	261.6 243.8	14 17	870.5 847.8		136.9 124.2	11 12	734.0 723.6	26 22	577.3 549.1		56.3 66.6		521.0 483.2	
KINGS	1986 1985	246.7 247.4	44 35	27.4 19.8		219.3 227.6	32 25	977.3 910.7		46.6 37.9	58 59	930.8 870.8	10 10	744. 734.		24.3 20.1	52 58	719.7 714.5	
LEWIS	1986 1985	213.4 202.7	51 45	39.5 43.7	42 32	173.9 159.0	51 45	691.1 786.1		102.8 155.0	26 5	588.9 631.8	47 33	502. 480.		47.4 63.6		454.5 417.2	
LIVINGSTON	1985 1985	353.2 282.9	6 22	56.3 24.0		296.9 258.9	9 13	1,063. 970.		78.5 56.6		984.6 913.8	7	723. 709.		54.6 18.9		668.9 690.9	

.

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FDR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

B-76

	•		PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS		ES RECEIVED			UNDER	PROBAT		ASES SION DURI	ING THE YEAR	1 -		UNDEI	R PROBAT		ISES VISION ON	DECENBER	2 31
		Tota		Family (Criminal	Court		Tota		Fazily (Crisinal		•	Tota		Family (Criminal	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HADISON	1986 1985	310.8 257.7	16 33	31.5 24.0		279.3 233.7	11 22	_	785.3 686.2	38 33	52.6 58.4	56 49	732.7 627.8	27 34		605.1 473.5	25 41	25.5 21.0	50 56	579.6 452.5	21 36
NONROE	1986 1985	319.0 289.0	14 20	32.5 37.9	49 37	286.5 251.1	10 15		952.6 829.8	13 23	78.4 75.2	39 38	874.2 754.6	11 • 17	•	661.0 631.6	20 17	40.7 45.8	40 31	620.3 585.8	19 17
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	228.4 241.8	49 ° 37	71.0 59.0	14 16	157.4 182.8	54 42		756.2 694.9	37 38	126.7 108.5	16 20	629.6 586.4	41 43		566.2 523.5	31 31	51.8 55.2	27 19	514.4 468.3	31 32
NASSAU	1986 1985	340.1 363.1	9 6	35.4 45.4	46 29	304.7 317.7	5		1,171.6	7 7	88.1 102.2	-34 24	1,083.4 918.9	. <mark>6</mark> В		899.6 826.3	5 6	42.9 52.4	35 23	856.7 773.9	4 6
NEW YORK	1986 1985	213.4 207.3	52 44	17.0 18.3	60 59	196.4 198.9	40 38		881.1 849.7	22 18	37.3 38.0	62 61	843.8 811.6	15 12		686.3 666.9	16 12	12.7 20.3	61 57	673.6 646.7	11 12
NIAGARA .	1986 1985	276.2 278.5	27 24	39.6 38.8	41 36	236.5 239.7	21 19		723.8 654.5	40 46	69.6 62.4	45 44	654.2 592.2	38 41		459.7 448.5	50 47	24.9 30.0	51 45	434.8 418.5	50 44
ONEIDA	1986 1985	257.9 217.4	38 42	49.5 36.3	31 39	208.5 183.1	38 41		7 66.2 738.2	34 32	77 .3 72.6	40 40	688.9 665.6	32 28		536.4 503.7	37 35	37.4 27.5	42 46	499.0 476.2	35 31
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	313.5 282.4	15 23	48.1 47.1	32 28	265.3 235.3	13 20		868.7 783.3	24 29	91.3 81.0	31 31	777.4 702.3	22 24		608.4 552.7	24 28	44.9 43.0	33 34	563.5 509.8	23 26
ONTARIO	1986	303.7 263.0	10 29	59.7 57.4	20 19	244.0 205.7	18 32		806.9 740.4	31 31	107.4 92.0	22 27	699.6 648.4	31 30		569.4 502.2	39 20	61.8 47.6	16 29	507.6 454.6	33 34
ORANGE	1986 1985	305.6 345.9	17 7	44.7 48.6	36 27	260.9 297.2	15 6		899.5 873.3	19 16	132.4 122.9	12 13	767.1 750.5	23 19		641.1 602.7	21 22	88.7 89.0	6 5	552.4 513.8	25 25
ORLEANS	1986 1985	262.2 321.9	34 10	51,4 30,7	28 46	210.8 291.3	35 7		1,179.9 1,126.7	6 6	102.B 71.5	25 42	1,077.1	7 5		706.9 909.6	12 4	12.9 48.5	60 28	694.1 861.0	3
OSWE60	1986 1985	286.0 258.5	23 31	61.9 67.1	19 9	224.1 191.4	30 36		798.5 737.8	32 33	128.8 137.7	14 8	669.7 600.1	33 38		544.3 514.5	36 33	71.1 67.1	11 10	473.2 447.4	38 38
OTSEGO"	1986 1985	135.1 158.3	62 56	1.7 15,2	62 62	133.4 143.2	58 50		665,5 672.1	49 42	54.1 59.0	55 47	611.5 613.1	44 35		486.5 528.9	44 30	11.8 52.2	62 24	474.7 476.7	38 30

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					N SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED			UNDER		ON SUPERVIS		ING THE YEAR	ł	UNDE	R PROBAT		ASES VISION ON	DECEMBER	; 31 .
			Tota		Family (ourt	Criminal	Cour t		Tota	ł	Family (Court	Crisinal	Court	Tota	-	Family		Criminal	
COUNTY	•	iear	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAH		1786 1785	259.8 249.7	37 34	34.3 58.1	47 17	225.5 171.6	29 35		731.6	39 47	77.2 105.1	41 21	654.4 539.0	36 49	555.l 475.9	35 40	41.7 43.3	38 33	513.5 432.7	32 39
QUEENS		1986 1985	191.7 172.0	55 53	15.6 17.0	61 60	176.1 155.0	4B 48		613.1 532.5	53- 56	39.8 38.5	61 60	573.3 494.0	49 53	464.8 419.2	48 50	15.7 24.1	59 49	449,2 395,2	45 47
RENSSELAER		1986 1985	270.9 330.4	30 9	84.4 74.0	6 5	186.6 256.4	44 14		1,009.2 915.1	10 11	158.9 121.6	6 15	852.3 793.5	13 13	750.8 740.0	8 8	86.4 72.7	7 8	664.5 667.3	15 11
RICHNOND		1986 1985	140.7 136.9	60 61	25.1 24.3	\$6 52	115.6	60 59		472.2 440.3	61 60	43.2 33.4	59 62	429,0 406.8	59 60	359.0 332.2	61 59	16.6 18.2		342.5 314.0	59 56
ROCKLAND		1986 1985	268.9 339.0	32 8	91.8 125.9	4	177.1 213.0	47 29	11. s	850.3 847.9	27 19	226.8 237.9	1 1	623.5 610.0	42 36	567.9 582.9	31 24	97.8 135.4	4 1	470.1 447.5	41 37
ST. LAWRENCE	-	1986 1985	186.2 156.1	57 57	37.2 16.8	45 61	148.9 139.3	57 54		608.2 589.9	54 50	54.1 43.2	54 58	554.1 546.7	50 47	477;8 419 . 7	47 49	37.2 16.8		440.6 403.0	47 46
SARATOGA		1986 1985	204.8 173.3	53 52	52.4 35.9	26 41	152.3	56 55		489.3 414.6	60 61	85.3 53.2	36 54	404.0 361.4	61 61	361.4 287.0	50 60	54.8 33.4	22 42	306.5 255.6	61 60
SCHENECTADY		1986 1985	273.6 266.3	28 28	44.6 57.8	37 13	229.0 206.5	24 30		851.5 832.1	26 22	103.9	24 6	747.7 690.6	25 26	597.9 576.4	26 25	52.6 59.1	26 18	545.3 517.3	26 24
SCHOHARIE		1986 1985	255.0 177.9	41. 51.	26.B 20.1	54 55	228.2 157.7	25 46		714.8 630.9	44 49	60,4 53,7	49 53	654.4 577.2	37 45	523.5 459.7	40 43	23.5 33.6		500.0 426.2	34 41
SCHUYLER		1986 1985	465.5 485.4	2 1	74.7 62.8	- 8 11	390.8 422.6	1 1		1,206.9 1,170.7	5 4	109.2 79.9		1,097.7 1,090.7	5 4	885.1 736,7		57.5 34.3	19 38	827.6 702.4	
SENECA		1986 1985	246.1 192.3	45 47	71.7 51.9	12 23	174.5 140.4	49 52		704.0 702.0	45 36	177.6 160.1	4	526.5 521.9	55 50	439.3 448.6		71.7 103.8	10 3	367±6 344.9	
STEUBEN		1986 1985	234.3 201.4	47 46	53.7 32.9	25 45	180.6 168.5	46 44		690.4 669.8	43 44	B7.7 76.0	35 37	602.7 593.8	45 40	478.8 454.1	46 44	41.3		437.6 420.2	
SUFFOLK		1986 1985	348.5 316.3	8 14	74.5 66.2	9 10	274.0 250.1	12 16		955.9 896.8	12 13	150.8 139.1	7 7	B05.i 757.7	20 16	662.3 606.9		90.1 76.3	5	572.3 530.6	

(Continued on next page.)

B-77

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

				PROBATI	DN SUPERVIS		S RECEIVED		UNDER				ING THE YEAR	ł .	UNDE	R PROBATII	CI In Super	ASES 11510N DN.	DECEMBER	31
			Tota		Family C	ourt	Crisinal		Tota		Family C		Criminal	Court	Tot		Family (Court	Criainal	i Court
COUNTY		 Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rale	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVA	N	1785 1785	467.9 409.8	- 1 3	90.4 59.2	5 15	377.6 350.6	2 3	1,523.3	1	148,7 122.8	B 14	1,374.6 1,286.9	1	1,125.4 1,072.5		72.9 60.6	B 16	1,052.5 1,011.8	
TIDGA		1986 1985	282.4 234.2	24 38	68.6 59.5	15 14	213.7 174.7	34 43	806.3 835.7	21 21	121.6	18 25	764.7 740.4	24 21	623.5 611.4		66.7 53.6	13 22	554.9 557.8	
TOMPKIN	5	1986 1985	199.8 222.3	54 41	32.0 25.0	50 51	167.0 197.4	53 33	715.8 695.4	43 37	54.8 60.1	53 46	661.0 635.3	35 31	497.7 512.8		30.8 22.7	46 52	466.9 490.1	
ULSTER		1986 1985	257.0 230.8	39 39	46.9 37.2	38 38	210.1 193.6	37 34	722.3 640.5	42 48	B1.0 67.2	37 43	641.3 573.4	39 46	566.4 465.3		49.3 33.0	29 43	517.1 432.3	
WARREN		1986 1985	232.4 230.1	48 40	45.0 39.5	35 34	187.4 190.5	43 37	618.0 560.7	52 55	70.3 80.9	44 33	547.7 479.9	52 54	427.0 384.6		28.8 25.2	48 48	398.2 359.5	
HASHING	TON	1986 1965	302.3 213.2	19 43	128.3 88.1	1 • 4	174.0 125.1	50 57	667.8 583.2	48 51	188.0 156.8	3 4	479.B 426.4	56 57	458.7 355.9		100.2 49.3	3 27	358 .5 306.6	
WAYNE		1986 1985	269.3 291.3	31 19	63.6 68.5	18 7	205.7	39 27	845.5 783.5	28 28	138.6 121.1	10 14	706.8 662.5	30 . 29	588.6 579.1		69.3 75.4	12 7	519,3 503,7	
WESTCHE	STER	1986 1985	256.4 258.0	40 32	30.1 33.7	52 43	226.3 224.3	28 26	904.8 805.9	18 25	62.0 61.9	47 45	842.8 744.0	16 20	703.8 647.4		34.3 32.5	44 44	669.5 614.9	
HYDNING	• .	1986 1785	289.2 275.3	21 26	78.4 91.0	7 - 3	210.8 184.4	36 40	740.2	38 45	142.2 125.4	9 10	598.0 540.9	46 48	517.2 452.4		58.8 63.9	18 13	458.3 388.4	
YATES		1986 1985	264.2 246.7	38 33	37.7 60.5	44 12	226.4 186.2	27 39	915.1 805.2	17 26	89.5 102.4	32 23	825.5 702.8	18 23	712.3 642.3		42.5 51.2	37 25	667.8 591.1	12 16
NEWYORK	STATE	1986 1985	270.5 261.9	Nâ NA	38.8 37.3	NA NA	231.6 224.0	NA NA	878.6 807.6	NA NA	79.1 75.1	NA NA	799 .5 732.5	NA NA	645.8 608.0		40.6	NA NA	605.2 567.5	
NEW YOR	K CITY	1986 1985	232.4 222.1	NA Na	21.2 19.1	NA NA	211.2 203.1	NA NA	850.4 787.1	NA NA	42.1 39.7	NA	808.3 747.3	na Na	650.7 619.0		19.1 21.2	NA NA	631.6 597.7	

* The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year. NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 resident's will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 resident's will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 resident's will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 resident's will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 resident's will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 resident's will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 resident's will cause the value of the rate to increase by 00, while an increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 resident's will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an i

SDURCE: NYS Division of Prohation and Correctional Alternatives.
		-						Crisical	Courtee	 ŧ	
		Tota	11	Facily C	ourtt#	Tot	al	Techni		Nex C	Conv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
ALBANY	1986 1985	84.3 60.7	5 11	64.0 64.0	32 30	86.7 60.4	2 8	57.8 44.6	3 5	28.9 15.9	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	21.3 18.6	57 57	54.1 65.7	36 29	17.1 12.6	60 59	13.7 8.4	49 51	3.4	
BRONX	1986 1985	B0.9 75.3	6 5	37.1 89.2	44 20	82.7 74.7	4 5	65.1 65.4	2. 3	17.5 9.2	
BROOME :	1986 1985	26.4 43.7	51 3§	39.8 63.9	40 32	24.7 40.5	52 33	15.2 18.5	45 36	9.6 22.0	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	28.9 9.6	49 61	25,3 16,1	52 51	29.6 8.5	47 61	12.3 .0	51 59	17.2 8.5	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	89.2 99.1	. 1	100.0 88.2	17 27	87.7 103.9	12	28.1 28.7	20 22	59.6 75.3	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	40.4 48.6	41 25	35.5 74.3	46 26	41.1 44.0	36 26	26.4 21.4	22 29	14.8 22.6	
CHENUNG	1986 1985	56.6 55.5	17 15	118.8 113.6	10 13	44.9 45.4	32 23	36.5 37.5	15 12	8.4 7.9	
CHENANGD	1986 1985	87.9 55.7	2 14	95.2 46.5	20 41	86.6 57.0	3 10	55.9 44.3	4	- 30.7 12.7	
CLINTON	1986 1985	20.0 22.7	59 56	21.3 63.5	54 33	17.7 18.1	57 56	12.2 16.3	53 42	7.6 1.9	
COLUNBIA	1986 1985	58.2 49.2	17 24	77.9 75.8	25 25	54.3 44.3	17 25	54.3 33.2	5 17	.0	

{ Continued on next page. }

B-79

TABLE 78 P

							REVOCAT	IONS				
									Criminal	Courtee	I	
		Tota	11	Fasi	ly Court≇	Ŀ	To	tal	Techni	cal	Nex (Conv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rat	e Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
CORTLAND	1786	49.8	27		1.2 15		42.8	34	26.7	21	16.0	
	1785	55.3	16	12	5.0 8		47.9	19	29.3	21	18.6	- 2
DELAWARE	1986 1985	25.3 15.2	54 59	- 6	7.0 30 .0 54		20.2	55 57	12.1	54 56	B.1 12.6	
	1703	. 13,2	31		+V ,J1		10.0	11	7.4	10	12.0	г
DUTCHESS	1986	39.0	43	7	1.6 27		34.6	42	28.7	19	5.9	5
	1985	42.2	38	1,5	5.9 3	•	23.2	54	14.2	47	9.1	. 4
ERIE	1786	41.7	37	13	3.0 5		27.0	51	21.1	31	5.8	5
:	1985	52.2	19	8	7.7 21		47.3	20	33.4	16	14.0	
ESSEX	1986	27.2	50		.0 59		30.5	45	24.4	28	6.1	
	1985	23.1	54	. 11	1.1 14		12.9	58	.0	59	12.9	. 3
FRANKLIN	1986	: 41.1	- 39	3	9.5 42		41.4	35	24.9	27	16.6	3
	1985	28.7	50		io 54		33.3	43	15.2	45	18.2	1
FULTON	1986	54.9	22	10	5.3 13		50.8	22	8.5	57	42.4	
	1985	50.3	21		5.9 24		48.8	16	2.2	58	46.6	
GENESEE	1986	- 44.7	35	14	0.8 4		35.1	41	22.5	30	12.6	÷
	1785	42.8	35	3	5.4 44		43.3	28	28.4	23	14.9	,
GREENE	1986	64.9	13		3.3 49		49.0	10	47.4	7	21.6	
	1985	62.8	9	20	D.Q 2		43.1	29	14.4	46	28.7	1
HAHILTON	1986	.0	62		.0 59		.0	62	.0	61	.0	
	1985	.0	62		.0 54		.0	62	.0	59	.0	1
HERKIKER	1986	20.7	58	1	8.7 56		21.4	54	10.7	56	10.7	
	1985	28.9	49		.0 54		37.9	38	37.9	10	.0	·

(Continued on next page.)

B-80

۱

					•			,	Criginal	Courters		
		Tota	1.		Family (lourt≇₹	Tol	al	Techni		New C	Conv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	48.2 47.3	29 23		48.4 109.1	37 15	48.1 39.0	25 34	18.0 25.0	39 27	30.1 14.0	1
KINGS	1986 1985	51.7 36.9	26 43		12.2 13.2	58 52	53.6 38.0	18 37	13.0 7.3	- 50 52	40.7 30.6	
LEWIS	1786 1785	22.9 35.4	56 45		38.5 25.6	42 48	20.1 37.7	56 40	20.1	35 26	.0	5 4
LIVINGSTON ;	1986 1985	23.0 80 .3	8 18		130.4	6 10	76.3 48.0	8- 16	15.6 16.9	43 41	60.7 31.9	
MADISON	1986 1985	 24.9 56.8	55 13		57.1 102.6	.34 16	22.5 52.5	53 14	14 . 3 33.4	47 15	8.2 19.1	4
MONROE	1986 1985	31.7 29.7	46 48		39.9 56.6	30 36	30.9 27.1	43 51	16.9 17.3	42 39	14.0 9.8	3
MONTGOHERY	1986 1985	96.3 45.6	3 27		197.0 122.8	- 1 9	64.0 32.5	12 44	13.2 6.5	44 53	4B.8 26.0	1
NASSAU	1986 1985	31.2 45.8	47 29	- /	34.3 53.7	48 38	30.9 45.0	44 24	12.3 20.0	52 33	18.6 24.9	2
NEW YORK	1986 1985	 51.9 62.2	24 10		39.9 35.5	38 45	52.4 63.4	20 7	13.8 19.4	48 35	38.6 44.0	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	54.1 45.9	23 28		125,8 125,9	- 7 7	46.5 37.4	28 41	17.6 15.6	41 43	28.7 21.8	1
ONEIDA	1986 1985	59.9 54.0	15 17		192.7 126.4	2 6	45.0 46.1	31 21	18.7 19.8	37 34	26.3 26.4	1

.

76 FROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 + - Continued

(Continued on next page,)

TABLE 78

TABLE 7B

				•			•			Crisinal	Courter	ŧ	
			Tati	al ē	Fasi	y Court	42	-	lotal	Techni	cal	New C	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rat	e Rar		Rat	e Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DNÖNDAGA	1786 1785		80.8 80.7	7 4	11		 	76 78		75.5 75.3	2	.8 3.1	58 57
ONTARIO	1986 1985		84.7 86.3	4	10		8	82 85		41.9	13 7	40.3 41.7	7
DRANGE	1786		71.8 42.5	11 36	9.	.2 2	2	68 43	5 11	44.0	12 14	24.5	21 48
DRLEANS	1786 1785		45.8 36.3	33 44	. 12		8	38 38		26.3 31.5	24 18	11.9	40 54
JSHEGO	1986 1985		40.8 23.9	40 53		5.0 S	i7 i3	46 26	2 29	33.7 18.2	17 37	12.5 8.4	3 5
DTSEGO	1986 1985		25.4	53 51		.0 5	9 4	27 30	6 50	2.8 11.0	-60 49	24.9	1
PUTNAN	1986 1985		48.6	28		1.5 3 1.1 4	3	46.		20.8 20.8	33 32	26.2	1
DVEENS	1986 1985		46.5	31 42			i0 3	47 37		6.3 4.6	58 55	41.1	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		44.4 18.1	36 58	··· 9:	2.4 . 2	21 15	35	6 40	26.3	23 50	9,3 2.5	4
RICHMOND	1986		46.4	32 20	8).2 2	14 50°	42	.9 33	11.2	55 30	31.7	-11
ROCKLAND	1986	- <u>-</u>	46.9	30	2	1.9 5	i3 i0	54 55	.9 16	35.0 40.B	16	19.9	23

					5 - F - F						Crisinal	Courter	ŧ	
			Tota	2] #		Family D	ourtee		Tot	.a]	Techni	cal	Nen (Jony
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rán
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		26.2 34.4	52 46		98.4 40.8	18 42		19.2 33.9	58 42	14.4 21.0	46 31	4.B 12.9	5 3
SARATOGA	1986 1985		77.2 74.6	9 6		85.7 116.3	23 12		75.4 68.5	P 6	45.2 49.7	10 4	30.2 18.8	1 2
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		36.0 45.5	44 31	•	76.9 117.4	26 11		30.3 30.8	46 46	20.5 15.4	34 44	9.8 15.4	4
SCHOHAR IE :	1986 1985		56.3 26.6	20 52		55.6	35 54		56.4 29.1	15 48	25.6 5.8	25 54	30.8 23.3	- 1
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		57.1 43.9	18 33		105.3 214.3	13 1		52.4 31.4	21 45	52.4	6 24	.0 5.2	5 5
SENECA	1986 1985		75.2 95.7	10 2		70.2 50.8	29 37		76.9 111.1	6	47.3 75.0	8 1	29.6 35.1	1
STEUBEN	1985 1985		59.8 58.3	16 12		70.6 81.1	28 23		58.2 55.4	14 12	46.2 41.5	9 8	12.0 13.8	3
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		45.3 42.3	34 37		20,2 25,2	55 49	•	50.0 45.4	24 22	29.0 25.8	18 25	21.0	2
SULL I YAN	1986 1985		51.7 49.3	25 22		39.2 50.2	41 34		53.0 48.3	19 18	44.5 37.9	11 10	8.5 10.3	4
F10GA	1906 1985		68.6 47.5	12 26		112.9 83.3	12 22		61.5 42.9	30 12	38.5 34.9	14 13	23.1 8.0	2
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		35.1 45.7	45 30		125.0	8 18		27.6	49 32	20.7 30.4	32 20	6.9	. 5 4

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

(Continued on next page.)

B-83

										Crisinal	Courtee	ŧ	
			Tota	a) e	Family	Court**		Tal	tal	Techni	cal	New (Canv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ra
ILSTER	1986		55.6	51	97.	7 19		50.3	23	25.6	25	24.7	
173154	1785		65.8	21 8	127.			58.5	9	30.9	19	27.7	
ARREN	1986		61.2	- 14	179.	5 3		46.1	30	23.0	29	23.0	
	1985		67.3	7	133.	34		56.2	11	22.5	28	33.7	
ASHINGTON	1985		28.9	48	28.			29.3	- 48	18.3	38	11.0	
	1985		39.3	41	67.	4 28	, [.]	28.9	49	4.1	· 57	24.8	
AYNE	1986		41.7	38	65.		-	37.0	39	17.7	40	19.3	
	1985		39.4	40	28.	3 47		41.4	31	17.2	4Ú	24.1	
ESTCHESTER	1986		40.1	42	35.			40.4	37	18.8	36	21.6	
	1985		30.1	47	54.	1 37		28.1	50	12.1	48	16.0	
HYOMING	1986		16.6	60	34.			12.3	61	4.1	59	8.2	
	1985		14.8	60	•	0 54		18.2	55	18.2	37	.0	
YATES	1986		15.5		. •			17.1	59	.0	61	17.1	
	1985		23.1	54	- -	0 54		26.5	53	.0	59	26.5	
NEWYORKSTATE	1786	-	50.0	NA	56,	O NA		49.5	NA	24.6	NA	24,8	
	1985		47.5	NA.	56.			46.6	KA	24.3	NA	22.3	
NEN YORK CITY	1906		56.6	NA	30.	I NA		58.0	NA	22.7	NA	35.2	
	1985		51.0	NA	40.			51.6	NA	22.0	NA	29.6	

* Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

*** Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year,

- NDTE: Dramalic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).
- SDURCE: NYS Division of Probalion and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 9

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 #

				Adaı	ssions			Repo	rted	Aver age	Daily					er 31 Popula	1110A 	**	
		Total		Unsente	nced	Senter	ced	Capa	•	Popula				Tota		Unsenter	iced	Senten	nced
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank 	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	Ran
ALBANY	1986 1985	1,362.4 1,259.4	22 25	935.4 860.3	28 32	427.0 399.1	16 15	150 . 3 153. 2		137.3 128.6	4			133.4 113.4	2	68.5 63.8	4 8	64.9 49.7	
ALLEGANY	• 1986 1985	988.1 888.0	46 48	829.7 750.5	36 42	158.4 137.5	53 56	69.3 68.8		55.4 51.1	45 44			63.4 45.2	34 44	39.6 21.6	26 47	23.8 23.6	
BRODME	1986 1985	1,123.3 1,040.3	38 41	785,6 732,4	40 43	337.8 307.8	25 27	95.8 85.7		72.6	28 27	•		74.0 71.5	25 21	35.1 35.3	35 28	38.9 36.2	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	1,283.7 1,238.6	26 27	963.7 958.6	25 23	320.0 260.1	28 33	87.1 87.1	22 21	73.9 57.4	27 39		• .	77.4 72.7	20 20	55.1 32.8	8 32	22.3 39.8	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1,249.1 1,457.8	30 17	851.1 940.4	33 26	398.0 517.2	18 6	77.6 77.6		67.6 62.6	33 35			47.6 68.9	47 25	25.0 40.1	47 23	22.5 28.8	
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	1,107.6 1,044.2	40 40	772.9 694.0	42 45	334.7 350.1	27 24	68.5 67.9		40.B 40.3	39 37			68.5 49.9	31 41	50.3 35.4	13 27	18.2 14.6	
CHENUNG	1986 1985	1,428.7 1,190.3	19 31	941.4 799.0	27 34	487.3 391.3	5 19	101.7 100.0		100.6 88.1	9 11			103.9 108.7	6 8	47.5 45.7	18 17	56.4 63.0	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1,026.0 1,142.8	43 35	522.0 662.5	53 49	504.0 480.4	4	66.0 68.0		56.0 56.0	- 44 - 41			44.0 34.0	49 55	16.0 20.0	53 51	28.0 14.0	
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,944.6 1,615.8	4 11	1,496.3 1,157.3	6 14	448.3 458.5	10 9	64.0 63.7		56.7 53.9	43 42			55.4 58.8	40 31	30.8 33.1	41 31	24.6 25.7	
COLUHBIA	1986 1985	1,426.7 1,414.1	20 18	1,049.4 996.7	21 20	377.3 417.5	21 14	92.3 95.7		77.4 89,1	24 10			72,5 75.9	26 16	36.2 42.9	35 19	36.2 33.0	
CORTLAND	1985 1985	1,753.2 1,698.6	9 7	1,310.1 1,299.8	10 8	443.0 398.8	11 18	67.5 67.5		78.1 78.1	23 22			86.5 111.8	14 7	27.4 80.2	45 6	59.1 31.7	
DELAWARE	1786 1785	1,023.4 819.0	44 52	687.9 569.4	48 51	335.5 249.5	26 37	63.7 68.2		44.6 34.1	52 56		•	23.4 44.8	57 46	19.1 27.7	50 41	4.2 17.1	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,005.8 933.7	45 44	834.9 762.8	35 40	171.0	50 51	68.1 68.6		61.5 56.8	38 40			52.6 58.0	43 33	39.7 42.3	25 21	12.9 15.7	

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

B-86

	•					JAIL AND				
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Adaissia	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Reported			ecember 31 Population	
		Total	Unsentenced			Capacity	Average Daily Population	Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year	Rate Ran	k Rate Ran			Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rar	ik Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ERIE	1986 1985	1,178.3 3 1,170.4 3				72.9 32 100.9 12	66.3 35 65.5 33		37 40.1 24 37 37.4 26	19.2 45 16.1 45
ESSEX	1785 1785	1,038.6 4				66.1 41 66.0 41	44.1 53 33.0 57		56 16.5 52 56 30.2 36	8.3 56 2.8 57
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	1,456.6 1 1,374.6 2				125.6 7 125.6 7	98.2 10 84.5 17		17 36.5 34 17 38.8 24	45.7 11 36.5 8
FULTON	1986 1985		3 470.7 5 9 505.3 5			.0 57 .0 57	78.8 22 84.8 14		86 22.0 48 55 23.5 45	25.6 28 34.3 11
GENESEE	1985 1985		6 1,088.4 1 3 982.2 2		· · · ·	71.4 34 23.7 56	52.7 47 47.4 51		28 37.4 30 28 32.2 33	34.0 20 32.2 15
GREENE	1986 1985		3 1,408.6 B 1,164.5 1	7 748.2 3 2 532.8 4		128.3 b 130.2 b	90.3 14 84.4 18		9 49.9 14 50 33.8 30	28.5 24 26.5 28
HAMILTON	1986 1985	1,260.0 1,624.9		7 440.0 12 6 521.6 5		80.0 27 80.2 28	60.0 40 40.1 54		5 60.2 5	40.0 14 40.1 5
HERKINER	1986 1985	1,113.6 1,134.2 3		2 261.6 40 1 273.5 34		53.8 49 53.8 47	47.8 49 58.3 38		50 29.9 43 52 29.9 38	13.5 53 9.0 56
JEFFERSON	1786 1785			4 368.7 24 9 417.7 13		77.3 30 74.5 31	71.7 31 73.4 25		36 27.8 44 27 31.6 35	29.8 22 33.9 12
LEWIS	1986 1985			4 150.2 56 B 139.1 55		90.9 21 91.4 20	39.5 54 43.7 52		15 31.6 38 13 19.9 53	17.8 44 27.8 25
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		1 1,176.2 1 15 1,171.0 1	1 469.3 B 1 378.9 20		95.6 18 96.0 17	105.8 7 87.2 9		B 44.4 22 12 53.1 12	47.8 9 30.9 18
MADISON	1986 1985		32 953.5 2 36 884.0 3			70.6 35 70.4 33	75.1 25 70.4 28		10 52.6 11 36 28.5 40	37.5 16 28.5 23
MONROE	1986 1985			B 176.B 49 4 240.2 39		77.6 29 70.2 34	86.8 21 68.9 29		13 63.6 6 15 53.3 11	23.5 34 25.2 31

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

					ssions											Deceal	ier 31 Popul	ation		
•		Tota		Unsente		Senter	iced		Repor Capac		Average Popul	ation			Tot		Unsenti		Sente	anced
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
IONTGOHERY	1786 1985	1,525.9 1,593.5	15 12	1,155.5 1,041.4	16 17	370.4 552,1	23 3	•	184.3 188.5	3	120.9 91.4	5 8			120.9 81.9		72.9 66.6		48.0 15.2	
YASSAU	• 1986 1985	623.7 655.1	57 57	459.9 464,2	56 56	163.8 190.9	52 47		72.7 64.4	33 42	86.7 82.3	18 19			87.2 85.9		60.5 57.3		26.8 28.5	
HAGARA	1986 1985	1,135.5	38 29	867.2 921.0	29 27	268.3 302.6	37 29		97.7 97.9		187.1 84.5	17 16	•		75.6 69.7		46.1 35.1		29.5 34.6	
DNEIDA	1986 1985	863.8 705.1	52 55	707.B 534.4	47 54	155.7 170.7	54 52		82.9 82.2	25 26	B0.9 74.2	20 24			76.9 67.0		52.7 40.7		24.1 26.3	
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	1,804.2 1,708.9	7	1,619.6 1,524.3	3	184.6 184.6	47 49		99 .7 87.9	14 - 23	91.3 96.3	12 6			83.3 92.0		47.1 54.6		36.3 37.4	
DNTARID	1986 1985	1,671.4 1,381.2	10 20	1,190.9 975.3	12 22	480.5 405.9	6 15		97.6 96.3	- 16 15 -	93,3 85.5	11 13			90,0 85,5		31.5 20.6		58.6 64.9	
DRANGE	1786 1785	1,563.7 1,360.4	13 22	1,337.6 1,148.2	9 15	226.1 212.2	42 42		94.8 96.2	19 16	90.9 80.0	13 20		•	85.9 73.5		49. 7 51.2		36.2 22.3	
DRLEANS	1986 1985	1,452.4 1,290.2	18 24	1,156.8 1,029.6	15 18	295.6 260.6	33 35		185.1	2 4	156.B 125.2				66.8 122.6		41.1 59.6		25.7 23.0	
DSWEGO	1986 1985	1,070.2 1,129.8	41 38	796.0 768.0	38 37	274.2 361.8	34 22		80.3 80.6	26 27	66.9 60.4	34 36		•	54.3 57.9		38.5 27.7		15.9 30.2	
DTSEGO	1986 1985	790.5 904.5	54 46	567.6 616.5	51 50	223.0 288.0	44 32		37.2 37.1	55 54	49.0 48.8	48 49			35.5 42.1		11.B 15.2		23.6 27.0	
PUTNAH	1986 1985	915.4 892.5	49 47	763.5 760.2	43 41	152.0 132.3	55 57		47.0 47.4	51 50	47.8 49.4	50 48			44.1 39.6		20.8 21.0		23.3 18.5	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	942.0 864.2	47 50	716.5 668.6	45 46	225.4 195.6	43 46		73.8 75.3	31 30	47.5 50.9	51 45			51.4 37.0		30.3 21.1		21.1 15.9	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	732.2 699.8	55 56	416.7 403.8	57 57	315.5 296.0	30 31		30.8 30.9	56 55	37.2				33.5 32.8		16.7		15.5 12.8	

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

B-87

B-88

				Adai	ssions					· · · ·			. ·				er 31 Popul	ation		
		Total		Unsente		Senten	ced			Repor Capac	ity-	Average Popula			Tota	1	Unsente	nced	Senter	
COUNTY	Year	Rate I			Rank	Rate	Rank	•		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
ST. LAHRENC e	1786 1785	827.1 798.0	53 53	557.6 561.7	52 52	269.5 236.3	36 41		•	54.1 53.8	48 48	59.4 50.3	41 47		53,2 45.0	42 45	31.0 18.5	40 55	22.2 26.5	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	932.4 836.7	48 51	753.8 662.8	45 48	178.5 173.9	48 50			42.7 43.3	53 52	56.7 53.2	42 43		56.1 39.0	39 51	32.3 21.7	37 46	23.B 17.3	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,283.0 1,233.2	27 28	970.7 919.1	24 2B	312.3 314.1	31 26 -			58.6 58.4	46 44	. 61.9 68.4	37 30 .		67.2 63.1	32 29	49.3 50.5	16 15	18.0 12.6	
SCHDHARIE	1988 1985	1,375.8 1,100.7	21 39	1,067.1 889.3	20 29	308.7 211.4	32 44			70.5 70.5	36 32	53.7 50.3	46 46		70.5 43.6	29 47	36.9 30.2	32 37	33.6 13.4	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,189,7 1,844.6	34 3 1	793.1 1,473.4	39 4	396.6 371.2	19 21			120.7	8 9	103.4 102.8	B 5		92.0 131.3	9 2	46.0 119.9	21 2	46.0	
SENECA	1986 1985	1,557.6		1,180.7 1,294.1	13	376.9	22 30			105.9 88.5	12 22	87.2 79.4	16 21		81.0 70.2	1B 22	37. <u>4</u> 42.7	31 20	43.6 27.5	
STEUBE	1986 1985	1,131.1 962.6	37 43	866.9 777.7	30 36	264.2 184.9	38 48			50.6 50.3	50 ⁻ 49-	68.1 67.8	32 31		60.9 58.6	35 32	38.2 44.2	27 18	22.7 14.4	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	898.7 879.1	50 49	687.7 668.0	49 47	211.1 211.1	46 45	-		57.8 57.6	47 45	62.3 65.1	. 36 34		58.1 52.2	3B 39	36.7 32.1	33 34	21.3 20.1	
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	2,606.4 2,491.1		1,849.9 1,791.4	2 1	756.6 699.7	2			239.1 242.6	1	212.B 174.6	1		201.2 168.6	. 1	153.1 127.2	1	48.1 41,4	
TIDGA	1985 1985	1,225.5 1,185.0	31 32	754.9 786.0	44 35	470.6 399.0	7 17			107.8		72.5	29 23		76.5 69.5	23 24	25.5 37.7	46 25	51.0 31.8	
TONPKINS	1986 1985	1,267.4 1,390.8		1,157.5 1,251.3	14 10	111.9 139.5	57 54			37.7 37.4		34.2 48.8	57 50		25.1 43.1	55 48	14.8 26.1	54 43	10.3 17.0	
VLSTER	1986 1985	1,584.7 1,518.6		1,151.0 1,159.5	17 13	433.6 359.0	-15 23			86.5 86.7	24 24	84.7 87.9	19 12		69.4 75.1	30 16	51.2 52.5	12 13	18.3 22.6	
WARREN .	1986 1985	1,917.1 1,885.3		1,515.3 1,452.2	5 5	401.8 433.1	17 11	•		117.1 104.2		72.1	30 26		72.1 48.5	27 42	48.4 25.2	17 44	23.4 23.4	

TABLE 9

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

					iahA	ssions											Berech	er 31 Popul	ation		
			Tot		Unsente	enced	Senten	ced		Repor Capac	ity	Average Fopula	ation			Tot	 al	Unsente	enced	Senten	
COUNTY		Year	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
WASHINGTON		1986 1985	1,302.3 1,245.8		- 864.7 812.3	31 33	437.6 433,5	13 10		87.9 75.2	- 23 19	87.9 84.6				94.9 52.9	7 36	38.7 19.4	27 54	56.2 33.5	5 13
WAYNE	•	1986 1985	1,814.8 1,787.5		1,379.4 1,318.1	9 7	436.4 469.4	14 8	•	65.9 56.0	43 46	75.0 66.2			•	77.3 50.3		54.5 29.7	9 39	22.7 20.6	37 38
WESTCHESTER		1986 1985	2,208.9 1,843.6		1,872.4 1,600.2	1 2	316.5 243.5	29 38		118.2 121.3	9 8	. 110.5 . 95.3	- 6 - 7	·		112.1 80.9	5 14	68.2 50.4	5 16	43.9 30.5	12 19
HADHINE		1986 1985	872.5 1,012.9		652.0 705.6	50 44	220.6 307.3	45 28		44.1 44.3	52 51	39.2 41.8	55 53			29.4 34.4	54 54	14.7 14.8	55 57	14.7 19.7	51 40
YATES		1986 1985	1,787.7 1,591.7		1,551.9 1,354.4	. 4 6	235.8 237.4	41 40	•	179 . 2 176.9	4 3	160.4 153.6	2 2			132.1 125.7	3	117.9 97.7	2 4	14.2 27.9	52 24
NEWYORKSTATE		1786 1985	1,319.2 1,182.0		1,032.0 896.B		287.2 285.2	HA Na		120.7 110.4	NA NA	118.4 105.4	NA HA			118.8 105.3	NA NA	84.7 72.9	NA NA	34.1 32.4	HA NA
NEWYORKCITY		1986 1985	1,458.1 1,225.3		1,129.1 898.3	NA Na	329.0 327.0	NA NA		178.4 153.1	NA Na	177.3 150.1	NA NA			181.8 158.5		139.1 116.5	NA Na	42.7 42.0	NA NA

* Data are not reported by county for New York City - only for New York City as a whole.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 59,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: MYS Consission of Correction.

B-89

TABLE 10A NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

β

90

-----٠ Drug Felonies Violent Felonies --------_____ Other Youthful Weapons Other Offenders Total Total Honicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Total Possession Sale Felonies ____ --------_____ -----____ ******* Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank COUNTY Rate Rank Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank --------------------------ALBANY 1986 74.1 6 25.1 10 2.8 15 4.9 14 4.2 21 7.1 16 1.8 10 4.2 8 11.6 10 6.4 -7 5.3 13 36.3 1.1 19 4 15 1.8 27 20.8 8.8 3 12.0 29.9 3 .7 36 1985 72.9 5 21.5 12 1.4 31 3.9 17 4.6 13 7.0 2.8 9 5 6 ALLEGANY 1986 62 62 43 .0 .0 43 51 25 37 ۰. 51 .0 37 .0 43 4.0 59 .0 32 4.0 .0 .0 51 .0 .0 .0 1785 60 51 2.0 21 47 40 .0 52 23 2.0 22 .0 46 .0 32 42 2.0 59 2.0 13 7.9 3.9 .0 .0 .0 .0 32,7 BRONX 1986 167.4 2 105.6 2 14.9 7.5 5 53.0 2 12.8 2 10.4 2 7.0 2 2 8.1 5 24.5 2 25.3 9 3.9 4 1 10.1 7.9 21.5 5.7 5 15.7 16.6 3.2 7 1985 136.1 . 2 94.9 2 13.7 7.3 5 44.4 2 11.5 2 4 4 4 16 1 4 27.0 .5 28 BROOKE 1986 56.9 11 23,7 12 2.4 20 6.2 9 24 9.0 .9 18 1.9 24 5.7 19 2.0 13 2.8 24 7 3.3 -11 19 45 32 41 15.5 20 .5 38 1985 38.1 .21.7 11 .9 34 3.8 18 3.8 15 9.9 .5 19 2.8 15 .5 .0 .5 4 32 CATTARAUGUS 1786 56 25 37 43 .0 11.7 57 3.5 1.2 35 1.2 46 .0 43 .0 51 .0 1.2 32 .0. -51 .0 .0 8.2 49 52 1985 11.7 56 3.5 54 .0 36 1.2 43 2.3 -24 .0 .0 23 .0 40 .0 46 .0 32 .Û 42 7.0 50 1.2 28 CAYUGA 1986 13 25 33 7.5 .0 43 22 .0 25 17 28 37 20 26.3 5.0 2 51.3 16.3 1.3 - 4 5.0 2.5 3.8 .0 3.B 8 1985 30.1 26 6.3 44 .0 36 2.5 28 .0 40 3.8 30 .0 23 .0 40 .0 46 .0 32 .0 42 17.5 12 6.3 2 CHAUTAUQUA 1986 14.0 55 6.3 48 2.1 22 1.4 - 44 .7 41 2.1 42 .0 25 .0 37 .7 50 .0 37 .7 41 7.0 52 .0 32 39 39 26 23 28 22 49 1985 43 2.1 19 47 .7 4.2 1.4 31 2.8 1.4 34 7.6 1.4 21 20.1 8.3 .0 .0 1.4 CHEMUNG 1986 28.7 21 20 11.0 25 2.2 36 32 37 30.9 2.2 7 64.1 8 5 2.2 6.6 -7 4.4 5 .0 4.4 1.1 1.1 5 6 1985 56.5 9 29.4 2.2 17 7.6 -3 1.1 37 8.7 11 4.3 5.4 7 .0 46 .0 32 42 25.1 - 5 1.1 31 -6 4 .0 CHENANGO 1986 60 24 .0 .0 43 51 .0 25 37 .0 51 37 43 55 .0 32 8.0 2.0 60 2.0 -51 .0 ۰. .0 .0 6.0 1985 16.0 53 8.0 41 .0 36 2.0 31 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 6.0 4.0 20 2.0 15 2.0 28 4.0 55 .0 41 6 .0 CLINTON 1986 32 .0 43 1.2 45 40 .0 21 20.9 32 30.8 6.2 49 2.5 25 2.5 .0 25 37 3.7 29 .0 37 3.7 14 50 29 35 50 23 43 32 37 12.3 31 25 1985 19.6 45 4.9 .0 36 2.5 1.2 1.2 .0 .0 40 1.2 .0 1.2 1.2 35 43 23.1 13.2 32 COLUMBIA 1986 47.0 17 11.5 3.3 10 4.9 13 .0 1.6 48 .0 25 1.6 26 4 9.9 3 13.2 5 29 .0 1785 59.4 7 18.2 19 1.7 28 5.0 8 .0 40 8.3 12 .0 23 3.3 12 23.1 3 5.0 7 18.2 2 16.5 18 1.7 17 CORTLAND 1986 40.1 25 6.3 -47 .0. 43 .0 -51 .0 43 6.3 17 .0 25 .0 37 4.2 25 2.1 19 2.1 29 27.4 2.1 Q 6 1995 36 25 32 42 27.4 54.9 10 16.9 20 .0 .0 47 2.1 2.1 44 4.2 5 9.4 3 .0 46 .0 .0 4 10.6 1 43 DELAWARE 1986 10.5 58 2.1 - 59 .0 43 .0 51 2.1 - 30 .0 51 .0 23 .0 37 .0 51 .0 37 .0 8.5 48 .0 32 52 40 2.1 32 2.1 27 41 1995 4.3 62 2.1 58 .0 36 2.1 30 .0 40 .0 .0 23 .0 36 .0 .0 61 .0

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

TABLE 10A

NEW COURT CONHITNENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

												· .						STATE PI				·		÷	-	- 1-				
				*****						1	liolent	Felonies										Drug Fe				Othe		Yout		
				Tota		Tata		Honic	ide	Sex Off	enses	Robb	er y	Burg	lary		pons	Oti	he r	Tot	al	Posse		Sal	5	Felo	nies		nders	
	COUNTY	Year		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		
	DUTCHESS	1986 1985		21.4 25.9	42 31	13.2 13.3	30 27	.8 .0	38 38	1.9 2.7	40 24	3.5 4.3	22 14	4.7	26 26	1.6	11 21	.8 1.2	34 34	1.6	40 40	.4	36 32	1.2	35 33	6.6 10.2	54 40	.0 .8	32 35	
	ERIE	1986 1985		31.8 32.2	31 22	16.0 15.9	26 23	1.5 2.0	32 22	3.2 2.7	23 25	3.4 3.3	23 17	4.8 5.9	25 21	1.0	17 16	2.1 1.4	21 30	4.3 1.9	24 39	2.1 1.2	19 26	2.2	28 40	11.0 13.7	39 26	.5 .8	25 34	
	ESSEX	1986 1985		16.5 24.7	51 35	2.8 8.3	57 40	2.8 .0	16 36	.0 2.8	51 23	.0 5.5	43 12	.0 .0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	· 37 40	.0	51 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0	43 42	1 3.8 13.8	27 25	.0 2.8	32 10	
	FRANKLIN	1986 1985		13.7 25.1	56 34	4.6	53 43	4.6	4	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0 4.6	51 27	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	4.6	23 46	2.3	15 32	2.3	27 42	4.6 18.3	57 10	.0	32 41	
B-91	FULTON	1786 1985		42.1 46.9	21 - 14	9.2 16.2	38 22	1.8 3.6	26 7	3.7 1.8	19 37	.0	43 40	3.7 9.0	30 10	.0 .0	25 23	.0 1.8	37 25	5.5 9.0	20 10	1.8	21 32	3.7 9.0	22 7	20.1	15 19	7.3 5.4	1 3	
	GENESEE	1986 1985		20.4 27.1	- 44 29	5.1 10.2	51 35	1.7 1.7	28 27	.0	51 47	.0 1.7	43 30	.0 3.4	51 35	.0 3.4	25 6	. 3.4	10 40	,0 3,4	51 25	.0	37 32	.0 3.4	- 43 16	13.6 10.2	28 42	1.7 3.4	13	
	GREENE	1986 1985		26.1 26.5	37 30	11.9 7.2	33 42	2.4	19 36	2.4 4.8	35 9	7.1 10	9 40	.0 2.4	51 41	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	2.4 7.2	35 15	2.4 4.8	14 18	.0 2.4	43 24	11.9 12.1	36 32	.0	32 41	
	HAMILTON	1906 1985		100.0 20.1	4 44	20.0	17 50	.0	43 36	20.0	1 47	.0 .0	43 40	.0 .0	51 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0	43 42	80.0 20.1	1 8	.0	32 41	
	HERKIMER	1786 1785	•••	7,5 13.4	61 55	1.5 3.0	61 56	.0 1.5	43 30	1.5	43 47	.0 1.5	43 33	.0 .0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	1.5	42 46	1.5	25 32	.0	43 42	4.5 10.5	58 38	.0	32 41	
	JEFFERSON	1986 1985		48,6 29,4	15 27	26.5 12.4	8 29	4.4	6 16	12.1 7.9	2 2	2.2	28 40	3.3 2.3	34 43	1.1	16 23	3.3 .0	11 40	1.1 2.3	47 34	.0	37 32	1.1 2.3	3B 26	21.0 14.7	13 23	.0	32 41	
	KINGS	1986 1985		121.6 92.8	3 . 4	75.2 59.0	3	11.9 10.0	3	4.1	17 34	37.7 29.7	3 3	10.9	6	7.6 6.3	3	2.9 3.2	14 13	24.5 19.0	9 2	5.2 4.1	8 11	19.3 14.9	3 5	19.7 13.4	17 27	2.2 1.4	8 22	
	LEWIS	1986 1985		19.8 7.9	45 59	11.9	34 60	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	11.9 .0	3 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	.0 4.0	51 21	.0	37 32	.0 4.0	43. 15	7.9 4.0	50 56	.0	32 41	
	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		30.7 25.7	33 33	10.2 13.7	37 26	.0 3.4	43 8	5.1 5.1	12 7	1.7	36 40	3.4 3.4	32 33	.0 .0	25 23	.0 1.7	37 28	1.7 5.1	39 17	.0 3.4	37 12	1.7 1.7	32 31	17.1 6.9	22 51	1.7 .0	12 41	

TABLE 10A NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

Violent Felonies Drug Felonies Other ----------------Youthful Sex Offenses Burglary Weapons Other Total Sale Felonies Offenders Total Total Homicide Robbery Possession -------------------------------------____ ******** -------------------------COUNTY Year Rate Rank --- -------------------- ------------MADISON 1986 15.0 54 9.0 39 .0 43 6.0 10 .0 43 3.0 36 .0 25 .0 37 1.5 41 .0 37 1.5 33 3.0 61 1.5 15 42 1985 19.5 46 9.0 38 .0 36 4.5 11 1.5 32 3.0 39 .0 23 .0 40 .0 46 .0 32 .0 9.0 46 1.5 20 HONROE 1986 27 18 6.5 18 2.3 16 18 21.9 11 1.4 17 57.9 10 28.0 Å 3.8 R 3.0 7.7 6 6.3 1.9 8 5.4 4 4.3 1995 42.1 16 22.7 10 2.6 12 2.6 27 7.4 ß 5.5 22 2.0 10 2.7 19 2.7 30 .7 31 2.0 29 15.5 21 1.3 24 NONTGOMERY 1986 17.3 48 11.5 36 43 1.9 41 32 1.9 45 1.9 3.8 -9 3.8 27 3.8 10 43 1.9 62 32 .0 1.9 6 .0 .0 1985 19.0 48 1.9 59 36 1.9 33 40 .0 52 .0 23 .0 40 1.9 38 1.9 18 .0 42 11.4 34 3.8 .0 .0 4 12.8 NASSAU 1986 47.7 18 25.5 9 2.0 23 1.1 47 10.4 5 8.2 13 1.9 7 2.0 22 8.5 14 2.0 20 6.4 12 32 . 9 21 1985 19 :20.3 15 2.3 14 1.2 41 7.9 7 4.6 16 .8 14 1.3 33 6.3 15 1.2 27 5.1 13 9.1 45 1.2 -27 36.9 B-92 NEW YORK 1986 62.3 278.1 134.2 13.7 2 3.1 26 77.5 16.0 1 13.5 10.3 77.3 20.2 57.2 2 4.3 3 1 1 1 1 1 - 1 1 -1 39.5 49.4 1985 229.7 1 125.5 12.2 2 4.2 14 68.8 1 15.0 1 15.3 1 9.9 1 51.4 Ŧ 11.8 t 4 3.4 5 1 1 1986 48 28 25 32 18.0 30 NIAGARA 33.2 28 12.0 32 .9 37 .9 4.6 18 4.1 .0 1.4 30 2.8 1.4 26 1.4 -34 20 .5 29 19 15 .5 39 1985 31.4 24 11.5 31 1.8 24 .9 45 3.7 16 3.2 36 .5 20 1.4 32 2.8 .0 32 2.8 16.6 DHEIDA 1986 40.2 24 23.3 30 3.6 20 19 11.3 .8 21 27 2.4 34 35 30 12.5 34 2.0 10 14 1.6 4.4. 4 1.6 .4 2.0 14.8 22 1985 33.9 21 14.4 25 2.8 1.2 42 2.8 20 3.6 32 1.2 13 2.8 10 3.2 26 2.0 16 1.2 38 1.6 18 11 DNONDAGA 18 1985 53.3 12 27.2 7 3.9 7 3.9 18 7.3 8 8.2 12 1.5 12 2.4 18 5.0 21 2.2 17 2.0 25 20.1 16 1.1 1985 52.9 11 29.0 7 2.1 18 5.6 6 6.9 9 9.5 8 1.5 12 3.4 9 3.7 22 1.3 24 2.4 25 18.5 9 1.7 15 1986 18.4 32 ONTARIO 49.9 14 22.8 15 .0 43 10.B 3 2.2 29 2.2 41 2.2 5 5.4 3 8.7 13 1.1 33 7.6 10 19 .0 12 12 23 17.3 13 1785 50.9 20.6 15 1.1 33 4.3 40 9.7 7 .0 5.4 8 10.8. 9 5.4 5.4 11 2.2 12 .0 6 DRANGE 1986 28 29 16.0 12.8 .7 24 43.0 19 13.5 2.5 18 3.2 24 1.8 34 4.6 27 .0 25 1.4 7 8.9 4 7.1 11 31 1985 36.7 20 16.6 21 1.8 26 2.9 22 5.8 11 4.0 27 22 1.8 26 9.0 11 4.3 10 4.7 14 11.2 37 .0 41 ٠¥. DRLEANS 1986 61.7 9 18.0 22 17 2.6 30 5.1 5.1 21 25 2.6 16 2.6 33 37 26 41.1 .0 32 2.6 14 .0 ٠Û 2.6 3 1985 40.9 17 15.3 24 .0 36 2.6 26 .0 40 12.8 2 .0 23 .0 40 2.4 31 .0 32 2.6 20 20.4 7 2.6 11 **OSWEGO** 1986 29 2.5 40 50 19 49 37 40 14.2 32 21.7 41 6.7 46 1.7 31 .8 .8 .B .0 37 .8 .0 .8 25 .0 1985 23:5 38 9.2 36 .6 35 1.7 40 .8 38 5.0 25 .0 23 .8 38 .8 44 .8 29 .0 42 12.6 29 .8 33 OTSE60 1786 27.0 36 6.8 43 3.4 9 .0 51 1.7 37 1.7 47 .0 25 .0 37 6.8 16 1.7 24 5.1 -14 11.8 37 1.7 14 1.7 1985 15.2 54 5.1 48 .0 36 39 1.7 31 1.7 48 .0 23 .0 4ú 5.1 18 3.4 13 1.7 32 3.4 58 1.7 16

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

NEW COURT CONNITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 10A

				******																						1.	1.1.1	
											Felonies										Drug Fel				Other		Youth	.r)
			Tot	al	Tota		Hosic	ide	Sex Of	fenses	Robi		Burg	lary		pons		her	Tot	al	Passes		Sal		Felor	ies	Offer	nders
	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank,	Rate	Rank							Rate				Rate	Rank	Rate				Rate	Rank	Rate	
			28.2 22.3	35 42	4.9 4.9	52 49	1.2	34 32	.0	51 47	2.5 2.5	26 23	1.2	47 47	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	14.7 11.1	B - 7	14.7 8.7	2	.0 2.5	43 21	8.6 6.2	47 52	.0	32 41
			85.9 58.4	5 8	41.3 33.6	4 5	3.2 4.3	11 6	2.6	29 36	19.6 14.6	4	10.0 6.7	7 17	2.7 3.4	4	3.2 2.8	12 17	22.4 11.1	5 8	8.1 4.4	6 9	14.3 6.7	4 7	19.7 12.0	18 33	2.5 1.8	5 14
			21.1 23.1	43 40	7.3 12.6	41 28	2.0 2.0	25 20	.7 2.0	50 32	.7 2.0	42 27	2.0 2.6	44 40	.7	23 15	1.3	31 11	1.3 1.3	44 42	.7 1.3	34 23	.7 .0	42 42	12.5 9.3	33 44	.0	32 41
			39.5 30.8	26 25	20.8 19.6	16 17	4.5 2.9	5 10	2.1 1.9	3B 35	7.5 5.9	7 10	4.8	24 18	1.3	14 11	.5	36 39	10.7 2.1	11 35	1.3 .8	27 30	9.3 1.3	8 35	7.5 8.6	51 47	.5 .3	27 40
			42.5 31.7	20 23	16.5 11.7	24 30	.8 1.5	39 29	1.5 .8	42 46	4.9 2.6	15 22	7.9 5.3	14 23	.8	22 23	.8 1.5	35 29	13.5 8.3	13	1.1	31 21	12.4	6 8	12.0 10.2	35 41	.4 1.5	31 19
			18.6 18.5	46 49	4.4	54 47	.0	43 36	.9 .0	49 47	.9 1.8	39 29	2.7 2.6	39 39	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .9	37 37	1.8	37 45	1.8 .0	23 32	.0 .0	43 42	11.5 13.2	38 28	.9 .0	22 41
			17.1	50 47	6.7 10.5	45 34	.6	41 36	2.4 3.7	34 19	1.8 1.2	33 34	1.8 3.7	46 31	.0	25 23	.0 1.9	37 23	3.0 3,1	31 27	.0 1.2	37 25	3.0	23 30	6.7 5.0	53 54	.6 .6	26 37
			24.0 24.6	39 37	13.3 10.6	29 33	.7 .0	40 - 36	2.7	2B 4	4.7 2.7	17 21	2.7	38 51	.0	25 23	2.7	15 40	1.3	43 41	1.3	28 32	.0 1.3	43 36	9.3 11.3	44 36	.0 1.3	32 23
		•	10.1 23.5	59 39	6.7 23.5	°.44 9	.0 6.7	43 4	3.4 3.4	22 20	.0	43 40	3.4 10.1	33 5	.0 .0	25 23	.0 3.4	37 10	.0	51 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0	43 42	3.4 .0	60 61	• .0 .0	32 41
			23.0 17.1	40 52	17.2 0	23 60	.0	43 36	5.7	11 47	5.7 .0	13 40	5.7	20 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	.0 .0	51 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0	43 42	5.7 17.1	56 14	.0	32 41
			37.4	27 58	12.5 3.1	31 55	3.1 .0	12 36	6.2 .0	8 47	.0	43 40	3.1 3.1	35 37	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	3.1	30 46	3.1 .0	11 32	.0 .0	43 42	21.8	12 53	.0 .0	32 41
			15.5 24.7	53 36	4.1 11.3	55 32	.0	43 36	2.1 4.1	39 15	.0	43 40	2.1 5.1	43 24	.0 .0	25 23	.0 2.1	37 20	1.0 .0	48 46	.0 .0	37 32	1.0 .0	39 42	9.3 10.3	45 39	1.0 3,1	20 8
			42.0 44.8	23 15	18.4 21.4	20 13	1.6	31 23	2.4 2.9	33 21	6.3 8.1	11 6	6.1	19 19	.5	'24 18	1.6 1.8	2B 24	9.9 8.1	12 14	1.2	29 20	8.7	9 10	12.9 14.2	30 24	.8 1.1	23 32
E	R	1986 1985 1985 1985 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1985 1985	1786 1786 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785 1786 1785 1786 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785	1786 28.2 1785 22.3 1785 22.3 1785 22.3 1785 28.4 1785 58.4 1785 58.4 1785 23.1 1785 23.1 1785 23.1 1785 23.1 1785 23.1 1785 30.8 1785 30.8 1785 30.8 1785 17.1 1785 18.5 1785 18.5 1785 19.2 1985 18.5 1785 19.2 1985 19.2 1985 19.2 1985 24.0 1985 23.0 1985 10.1 1785 23.0 1985 17.1 1986 37.4 1985 24.7 1986 15.5 1785 24.7 1986 <td>1986 28.2 35 1985 22.3 42 1985 22.3 42 1985 22.3 42 1985 58.4 8 1985 23.1 40 1986 39.5 26 1985 30.8 25 1985 30.8 25 1985 31.7 23 INCE 1986 17.1 50 1985 18.5 49 1985 18.5 47 1985 17.1 50 1985 19.2 47 1985 19.2 47 1985 10.1 59 1985 23.0 40 1985 17.1 52 1986 10.1 59 1985 17.1 52 1986 37.4 27 1985 9.2 58 1986 15.5 53 1986</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 1985 22.3 42 4.9 1985 58.4 8 33.6 1985 58.4 8 33.6 1985 58.4 8 33.6 1985 23.1 40 12.6 1986 39.5 26 20.8 1985 30.8 25 19.8 1985 30.8 25 19.8 1985 31.7 23 11.7 1985 18.6 46 4.4 1985 18.5 49 5.3 1985 18.5 49 5.3 1985 19.2 47 10.5 NDY 1986 17.1 50 6.7 1985 19.2 47 10.5 10.1 1985 24.0 39 13.3 1985 1985 10.1 59 6.7 19.8 1986 10.1 59</td> <td>1786 28.2 35 4.9 52 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 1785 58.4 8 33.4 5 1785 58.4 8 33.4 5 1785 23.1 40 12.4 28 1985 23.1 40 12.4 28 1985 39.5 26 20.8 16 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 ENCE 1986 18.6 46 4.4 54 1985 18.5 49 5.3 47 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 DV 1986 17.1 50 6.7 45 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 DV 1986 10.1 59 6.7 44 1985</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 1985 28.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 1986 39.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 INCE 1986 18.6 46 4.4 54 .0 1985 19.2 20 16.5 24 .8 .9 INP 1985 17.1 50 6.7 45 .6 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 <t< td=""><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1985 28.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 1985 18.6 46 4.4 54 .0 43 1985 18.5 47 5.3 47 .0 36 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 .0 36 1985 19.2 47</td><td>1786 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 11 2 32 .0 1786 95.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 1785 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 1786 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 1786 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 1986 37.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 1985 18.6 46 4.4 54 .0 43 .9 1985 18.5 47 5.3 47 .0 36 .0 1985 18.5 47 5.3 .0 36 .0 .0<!--</td--><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 23.1 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 25 .7 50 1985 37.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 1986 37.5 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 .8 46</td></td></t<><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 1986 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 1986 12.5 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 4.9</td><td>1996 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1985 38.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 18 36 14.6 4 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 1985 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 1986 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 42 4.9 15 1985 18.5</td><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 1986 39.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 1.6 4.9 15 7.9 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 .8 46 2.6</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 47 .0 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 1986 85.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 1985 59.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 18 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 42 2.0 44 .7 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 18 1.6 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 40</td><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 1986 85.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 1985 38.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 7 38 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 4.8 24 1.3 14 1985 39.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 1985 30.8 25 19.8 15 7.9 14 8 22 5.3 23 .0 23</td><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 47 .0 25 .0 1985 22.3 42 4.9 47 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 47 .0 23 .0 1985 35.4 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 27 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 2.8 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 40 .7 15 3.3 1985 30.6 25 19.6 17 2.7 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 7 15 3.3 3.3 1985 30.2 25 19.6 17 2.7 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 14 .8 22 .8 1986 31.7 23 11.7 30</td><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 1985 22.3 42 4.9 44 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 1985 38.4 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.4 29 19.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 7 2.8 17 78 1985 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 2.0 37 2.0 44 .7 15 3.3 11 1985 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 34 1985 30.8 25 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 <</td><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 1985 38.4 8 33.4 5 4.3 5 4.3 5 1.2 24 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 22.4 1985 23.1 40 12.6 26 20 20 27 2.6 44 .7 3.3 11.3 13 1.3 3.3 1.5 32 .1.7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 55 1.1 198 .6.7 18 1.6 11 .8 32 .1.5 1.1 1.9 .9 .9 .9</td><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 7 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 7 1985 58.4 42 54.3 5 4.3 6 1.8 64.6 4 67.7 7 4 3.2 12 2.4 27 2.6 17 5 3.4 7 3.1 1.3 44 1985 23.1 40 12.4 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 40 .7 15 3.3 11 1.3 44 1985 39.5 2.6 2.0 2.0 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 36 10.7 11 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30</td><td>1996 22.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 14.7 1995 22.3 42 4.9 12 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 11.1 7 8.7 1995 55.4 3 4.3 5 4.3 6 1.8 31.4 4 6.7 17 14 3.2 11 1.4 7 1.3 31 1.1 8 4.7 1.3 44 7.7 1.3 31 1.3 44 7 1.3 1.4 7.5 1.3 31 1.3 44 7 1.3 31 1.3 1.4 7.5 3.3 11 1.3 42 1.3 1.4 7.5 3.5 1.3 1.1 1.3 1.3 1.1</td><td>1986 26.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 14.7 2 4 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 47 1.4 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 17 14.7 8 14.4 9 1985 23.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 42 2.0 44 .7 23 1.3 31 1.3 44 .7 34 1985 33.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 5 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 5 6 10.7 11 1.3 27 14 8 22 .8 35 10.5 9 1.1 31 1.5 29 1.5 10 1.6 10</td><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 0.0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 0.0 23 0.0 37 14.7 8 14.7 2 .6 1985 22.3 42 4.9 5 1.2 49 .0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 2.4 5 6.1 6 11.1 7 6.7 4 2.5 1985 85.9 5 41.3 4 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 22.4 5 6.1 6 16.5 5 1985 23.1 40 12.6 20 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 44 .7 23 1.3 31 1.3 44 .7 34 .7 .7 50 .7 7 5.6 10.6 7.6 16 1.5 35 10.6 13 1.3 31 1.3 31 1.3 13 1.3 12.4 <</td><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>1986 22,2 35 4,9 52 1,2 34 .0 51 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 25 .0 47 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 47 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 47 2,5 21 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 40 11,1 7 8,7 4 2,5 21 4,4 1,6 4 1,6,0 7 2,7 4 3,2 12 1,6 4 1,6,0 7 2,7 4 3,2 11 8 4,4 9 4,7 9 1,2,0 1,4 1,5 31 1,1 8 4,4 9 4,7 9 1,2,0 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4</td><td>International International International<</td><td>1985 22.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 24 1.2 47 60 25 .0 37 14.7 6 14.7 7 4 2.5 24 47 .0 0 25 0 0 10 11.7 34 10 51 2.5 23 1.2 47 0 23 0 0 11.1 7 6 43 6.4 47 .0 0 11.1 8 14.7 7 4 2.5 2.6 0 7 2.7 4 3.2 11 2.6 27 2.6 1.7 13 14 7 34 7.7 42 12.5 33 11 1.3 44 7.7 34 7.7 42 42.5 33 1.1 1.3 14 7.7 35 1.3 31 1.3 34 7.7 34 7.7 42 42.5 33 1.1 1.3 14 .5 35 1.0.7 1.1 1.3 35 1.1 <t< td=""></t<></td></th<></td></td>	1986 28.2 35 1985 22.3 42 1985 22.3 42 1985 22.3 42 1985 58.4 8 1985 23.1 40 1986 39.5 26 1985 30.8 25 1985 30.8 25 1985 31.7 23 INCE 1986 17.1 50 1985 18.5 49 1985 18.5 47 1985 17.1 50 1985 19.2 47 1985 19.2 47 1985 10.1 59 1985 23.0 40 1985 17.1 52 1986 10.1 59 1985 17.1 52 1986 37.4 27 1985 9.2 58 1986 15.5 53 1986	1986 28.2 35 4.9 1985 22.3 42 4.9 1985 58.4 8 33.6 1985 58.4 8 33.6 1985 58.4 8 33.6 1985 23.1 40 12.6 1986 39.5 26 20.8 1985 30.8 25 19.8 1985 30.8 25 19.8 1985 31.7 23 11.7 1985 18.6 46 4.4 1985 18.5 49 5.3 1985 18.5 49 5.3 1985 19.2 47 10.5 NDY 1986 17.1 50 6.7 1985 19.2 47 10.5 10.1 1985 24.0 39 13.3 1985 1985 10.1 59 6.7 19.8 1986 10.1 59	1786 28.2 35 4.9 52 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 1785 58.4 8 33.4 5 1785 58.4 8 33.4 5 1785 23.1 40 12.4 28 1985 23.1 40 12.4 28 1985 39.5 26 20.8 16 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 ENCE 1986 18.6 46 4.4 54 1985 18.5 49 5.3 47 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 DV 1986 17.1 50 6.7 45 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 DV 1986 10.1 59 6.7 44 1985	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 1985 28.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 1986 39.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 INCE 1986 18.6 46 4.4 54 .0 1985 19.2 20 16.5 24 .8 .9 INP 1985 17.1 50 6.7 45 .6 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 <t< td=""><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1985 28.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 1985 18.6 46 4.4 54 .0 43 1985 18.5 47 5.3 47 .0 36 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 .0 36 1985 19.2 47</td><td>1786 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 11 2 32 .0 1786 95.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 1785 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 1786 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 1786 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 1986 37.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 1985 18.6 46 4.4 54 .0 43 .9 1985 18.5 47 5.3 47 .0 36 .0 1985 18.5 47 5.3 .0 36 .0 .0<!--</td--><td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 23.1 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 25 .7 50 1985 37.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 1986 37.5 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 .8 46</td></td></t<> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 1986 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 1986 12.5 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 4.9</td> <td>1996 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1985 38.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 18 36 14.6 4 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 1985 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 1986 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 42 4.9 15 1985 18.5</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 1986 39.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 1.6 4.9 15 7.9 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 .8 46 2.6</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 47 .0 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 1986 85.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 1985 59.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 18 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 42 2.0 44 .7 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 18 1.6 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 40</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 1986 85.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 1985 38.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 7 38 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 4.8 24 1.3 14 1985 39.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 1985 30.8 25 19.8 15 7.9 14 8 22 5.3 23 .0 23</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 47 .0 25 .0 1985 22.3 42 4.9 47 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 47 .0 23 .0 1985 35.4 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 27 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 2.8 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 40 .7 15 3.3 1985 30.6 25 19.6 17 2.7 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 7 15 3.3 3.3 1985 30.2 25 19.6 17 2.7 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 14 .8 22 .8 1986 31.7 23 11.7 30</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 1985 22.3 42 4.9 44 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 1985 38.4 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.4 29 19.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 7 2.8 17 78 1985 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 2.0 37 2.0 44 .7 15 3.3 11 1985 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 34 1985 30.8 25 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 <</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 1985 38.4 8 33.4 5 4.3 5 4.3 5 1.2 24 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 22.4 1985 23.1 40 12.6 26 20 20 27 2.6 44 .7 3.3 11.3 13 1.3 3.3 1.5 32 .1.7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 55 1.1 198 .6.7 18 1.6 11 .8 32 .1.5 1.1 1.9 .9 .9 .9</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 7 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 7 1985 58.4 42 54.3 5 4.3 6 1.8 64.6 4 67.7 7 4 3.2 12 2.4 27 2.6 17 5 3.4 7 3.1 1.3 44 1985 23.1 40 12.4 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 40 .7 15 3.3 11 1.3 44 1985 39.5 2.6 2.0 2.0 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 36 10.7 11 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30</td> <td>1996 22.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 14.7 1995 22.3 42 4.9 12 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 11.1 7 8.7 1995 55.4 3 4.3 5 4.3 6 1.8 31.4 4 6.7 17 14 3.2 11 1.4 7 1.3 31 1.1 8 4.7 1.3 44 7.7 1.3 31 1.3 44 7 1.3 1.4 7.5 1.3 31 1.3 44 7 1.3 31 1.3 1.4 7.5 3.3 11 1.3 42 1.3 1.4 7.5 3.5 1.3 1.1 1.3 1.3 1.1</td> <td>1986 26.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 14.7 2 4 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 47 1.4 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 17 14.7 8 14.4 9 1985 23.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 42 2.0 44 .7 23 1.3 31 1.3 44 .7 34 1985 33.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 5 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 5 6 10.7 11 1.3 27 14 8 22 .8 35 10.5 9 1.1 31 1.5 29 1.5 10 1.6 10</td> <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 0.0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 0.0 23 0.0 37 14.7 8 14.7 2 .6 1985 22.3 42 4.9 5 1.2 49 .0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 2.4 5 6.1 6 11.1 7 6.7 4 2.5 1985 85.9 5 41.3 4 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 22.4 5 6.1 6 16.5 5 1985 23.1 40 12.6 20 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 44 .7 23 1.3 31 1.3 44 .7 34 .7 .7 50 .7 7 5.6 10.6 7.6 16 1.5 35 10.6 13 1.3 31 1.3 31 1.3 13 1.3 12.4 <</td> <td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>1986 22,2 35 4,9 52 1,2 34 .0 51 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 25 .0 47 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 47 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 47 2,5 21 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 40 11,1 7 8,7 4 2,5 21 4,4 1,6 4 1,6,0 7 2,7 4 3,2 12 1,6 4 1,6,0 7 2,7 4 3,2 11 8 4,4 9 4,7 9 1,2,0 1,4 1,5 31 1,1 8 4,4 9 4,7 9 1,2,0 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4</td><td>International International International<</td><td>1985 22.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 24 1.2 47 60 25 .0 37 14.7 6 14.7 7 4 2.5 24 47 .0 0 25 0 0 10 11.7 34 10 51 2.5 23 1.2 47 0 23 0 0 11.1 7 6 43 6.4 47 .0 0 11.1 8 14.7 7 4 2.5 2.6 0 7 2.7 4 3.2 11 2.6 27 2.6 1.7 13 14 7 34 7.7 42 12.5 33 11 1.3 44 7.7 34 7.7 42 42.5 33 1.1 1.3 14 7.7 35 1.3 31 1.3 34 7.7 34 7.7 42 42.5 33 1.1 1.3 14 .5 35 1.0.7 1.1 1.3 35 1.1 <t< td=""></t<></td></th<></td>	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1985 28.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 1985 18.6 46 4.4 54 .0 43 1985 18.5 47 5.3 47 .0 36 1985 19.2 47 10.5 34 .0 36 1985 19.2 47	1786 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 1785 22.3 42 4.9 49 11 2 32 .0 1786 95.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 1785 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 1786 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 1786 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 1986 37.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 1985 18.6 46 4.4 54 .0 43 .9 1985 18.5 47 5.3 47 .0 36 .0 1985 18.5 47 5.3 .0 36 .0 .0 </td <td>1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 23.1 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 25 .7 50 1985 37.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 1986 37.5 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 .8 46</td>	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 23.1 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 25 .7 50 1985 37.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 1986 37.5 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 .8 46	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 1986 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 1986 12.5 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 4.9	1996 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1985 38.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 18 36 14.6 4 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 1985 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 1986 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 42 4.9 15 1985 18.5	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 1985 58.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 1986 39.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 1.6 4.9 15 7.9 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 .8 46 2.6	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 47 .0 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 1986 85.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 1985 59.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 18 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 42 2.0 44 .7 1985 30.8 25 19.6 17 2.9 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 18 1.6 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30 1.5 29 40	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 1986 85.9 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 1985 38.4 8 33.6 5 4.3 6 1.8 36 14.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 7 38 1986 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 4.8 24 1.3 14 1985 39.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 1985 30.8 25 19.8 15 7.9 14 8 22 5.3 23 .0 23	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 47 .0 25 .0 1985 22.3 42 4.9 47 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 47 .0 23 .0 1985 35.4 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.6 27 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 2.8 1985 23.1 40 12.6 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 40 .7 15 3.3 1985 30.6 25 19.6 17 2.7 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 7 15 3.3 3.3 1985 30.2 25 19.6 17 2.7 10 1.9 35 5.9 10 6.7 14 .8 22 .8 1986 31.7 23 11.7 30	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 1985 22.3 42 4.9 44 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 1985 38.4 5 41.3 4 3.2 11 2.4 29 19.6 4 6.7 17 3.4 7 2.8 17 78 1985 21.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 2.0 37 2.0 44 .7 15 3.3 11 1985 39.5 24 20.8 16 4.5 5 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 34 1985 30.8 25 20 16.5 24 .8 39 1.5 42 <	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 1985 38.4 8 33.4 5 4.3 5 4.3 5 1.2 24 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 22.4 1985 23.1 40 12.6 26 20 20 27 2.6 44 .7 3.3 11.3 13 1.3 3.3 1.5 32 .1.7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 55 1.1 198 .6.7 18 1.6 11 .8 32 .1.5 1.1 1.9 .9 .9 .9	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 7 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 40 11.1 7 1985 58.4 42 54.3 5 4.3 6 1.8 64.6 4 67.7 7 4 3.2 12 2.4 27 2.6 17 5 3.4 7 3.1 1.3 44 1985 23.1 40 12.4 28 2.0 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 40 .7 15 3.3 11 1.3 44 1985 39.5 2.6 2.0 2.0 2.1 38 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 .5 36 10.7 11 1985 31.7 23 11.7 30	1996 22.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 14.7 1995 22.3 42 4.9 12 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 11.1 7 8.7 1995 55.4 3 4.3 5 4.3 6 1.8 31.4 4 6.7 17 14 3.2 11 1.4 7 1.3 31 1.1 8 4.7 1.3 44 7.7 1.3 31 1.3 44 7 1.3 1.4 7.5 1.3 31 1.3 44 7 1.3 31 1.3 1.4 7.5 3.3 11 1.3 42 1.3 1.4 7.5 3.5 1.3 1.1 1.3 1.3 1.1	1986 26.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 .0 25 .0 37 14.7 8 14.7 2 4 1985 22.3 42 4.9 49 1.2 32 .0 47 2.5 23 1.2 49 .0 23 .0 47 1.4 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 17 14.7 8 14.4 9 1985 23.1 43 7.3 41 2.0 25 .7 50 .7 42 2.0 44 .7 23 1.3 31 1.3 44 .7 34 1985 33.5 26 20.8 16 4.5 5 5 7.5 7 4.8 24 1.3 14 5 6 10.7 11 1.3 27 14 8 22 .8 35 10.5 9 1.1 31 1.5 29 1.5 10 1.6 10	1986 28.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 0.0 51 2.5 26 1.2 49 0.0 23 0.0 37 14.7 8 14.7 2 .6 1985 22.3 42 4.9 5 1.2 49 .0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 2.4 5 6.1 6 11.1 7 6.7 4 2.5 1985 85.9 5 41.3 4 2.6 29 19.6 4 10.0 7 2.7 4 3.2 12 22.4 5 6.1 6 16.5 5 1985 23.1 40 12.6 20 20 2.0 32 2.0 27 2.6 44 .7 23 1.3 31 1.3 44 .7 34 .7 .7 50 .7 7 5.6 10.6 7.6 16 1.5 35 10.6 13 1.3 31 1.3 31 1.3 13 1.3 12.4 <	Image Image <th< td=""><td>1986 22,2 35 4,9 52 1,2 34 .0 51 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 25 .0 47 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 47 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 47 2,5 21 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 40 11,1 7 8,7 4 2,5 21 4,4 1,6 4 1,6,0 7 2,7 4 3,2 12 1,6 4 1,6,0 7 2,7 4 3,2 11 8 4,4 9 4,7 9 1,2,0 1,4 1,5 31 1,1 8 4,4 9 4,7 9 1,2,0 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4</td><td>International International International<</td><td>1985 22.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 24 1.2 47 60 25 .0 37 14.7 6 14.7 7 4 2.5 24 47 .0 0 25 0 0 10 11.7 34 10 51 2.5 23 1.2 47 0 23 0 0 11.1 7 6 43 6.4 47 .0 0 11.1 8 14.7 7 4 2.5 2.6 0 7 2.7 4 3.2 11 2.6 27 2.6 1.7 13 14 7 34 7.7 42 12.5 33 11 1.3 44 7.7 34 7.7 42 42.5 33 1.1 1.3 14 7.7 35 1.3 31 1.3 34 7.7 34 7.7 42 42.5 33 1.1 1.3 14 .5 35 1.0.7 1.1 1.3 35 1.1 <t< td=""></t<></td></th<>	1986 22,2 35 4,9 52 1,2 34 .0 51 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 25 .0 47 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 47 2,5 23 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 47 2,5 21 1,2 49 .0 253 .0 40 11,1 7 8,7 4 2,5 21 4,4 1,6 4 1,6,0 7 2,7 4 3,2 12 1,6 4 1,6,0 7 2,7 4 3,2 11 8 4,4 9 4,7 9 1,2,0 1,4 1,5 31 1,1 8 4,4 9 4,7 9 1,2,0 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,5 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4 1,4	International International<	1985 22.2 35 4.9 52 1.2 34 .0 51 2.5 24 1.2 47 60 25 .0 37 14.7 6 14.7 7 4 2.5 24 47 .0 0 25 0 0 10 11.7 34 10 51 2.5 23 1.2 47 0 23 0 0 11.1 7 6 43 6.4 47 .0 0 11.1 8 14.7 7 4 2.5 2.6 0 7 2.7 4 3.2 11 2.6 27 2.6 1.7 13 14 7 34 7.7 42 12.5 33 11 1.3 44 7.7 34 7.7 42 42.5 33 1.1 1.3 14 7.7 35 1.3 31 1.3 34 7.7 34 7.7 42 42.5 33 1.1 1.3 14 .5 35 1.0.7 1.1 1.3 35 1.1 <t< td=""></t<>

				********		•				· · ·	iolent	Felanie	1			_		•				Grug Fe							
				Tota		Tota	al l	Hosic	ide	Sex Of	enses		ery	Burgi	ary	Weap	ons	Otl	er	To		Posse		Sal		Othe Felo	nies	Youti	nder s
	COUNTY	Year		Rate I		ƙate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
	SULLIVAN	1986 1985		\$5.8 93.2	7 3	24.8 28.1	11 8	2.9 3.0	13	7.3 8.9	6 1	5.8 3.0	12 19	2.9 5.9	37 20	· 1.5	13 23	4.4 7.4	7 5	16.0 25.1	6 2	4.4 8.9	9 2	11.7 16.3	7 3	23.3 37.0	10 2	1.5 3.0	16 9
	TIDGA	1986 1985		29.4 25.8	34 32	7.8 6.0	40 45	.0 .0	43 36	.0 .0	51 . 47	2.0	31 26	3.9 2.0	29 45	.0	25 23	2.0 2.0	23 21	3,9 2.0	26 37	.0 2.0	37 17	3.9 .0	19 42	17.6 17.9	21 11	.0 .0	32 41
	TONPKINS	1986 1985	•	32.0 22.7	30 41	18.3 9.1	21 37	1.1 .0-	36 36	2.3 4.5	36 10	2.3	27 40	9.1 3.4	9 34	1.1	15 23	2.3 1.1	19 38	1.1	45 33	1.1 1.1	30 28	.0 1.1	43 39	10.3 11.3	41 35	2.3	6 41
	ULSTER	1986 1985		42.0 48.2	22 13	19.5 20.8	18 14	•6 1.8	42 25	4.3 4.3	16 13	4.9 3.1	' 16 18	4.9	23 13	1.B .6	9 17	3.0	13 14	6.7 4.3	17 19	1.8 1.8	22 19	4.9 2.4	15 23	14.0 22.0	26 6	1.8	11 26
B-94	WARREN	1986 1985		18.0 10.8	47 57	7.2 •3.6	42 52	.0	43 36	3.6 .0	21 47	.0 1.8	- 43 28	1.8 3.6	31 46	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	.0 3.6	51 23	.0 .0	37 32	.0 · 3.6	43 16	10.0 3.6	40 57	.0 .0	32 41
	WASHINGTON	1985 1985		15.8 5.3	52 61	5.3 3.5	50 53	1.8	27 36	.0 1.8	51 38	1.8	35 40	.0 1.8	51 47	.0	25 23	1.8	25 40	1,8 ,0	38 46	.0 .0	37 32	1.8	31 42	8.8 1.8	46 60	.0	32 41
	HAYNE	1985 1985		25.0 18.3	38 50	13.6	27 46	.0 .0	43 36	2.3	37 44	1.1 1.1	38 36	9.1 2.3	10 42	.0	25 23	1.1 1.1	33 35	1/1 3.4	46- 24	.0	37 32	1.1 3.4	36 17	10.2 8.0	42 48	.0 1.1	32 30
	WESTCHESTER	1986 1985		47.8 64.0	16 6	23.5 37.9	13	2.9 5.2	14 5	3.1 4.0	25 16	7.1 11.9	10 5	7.4 10.7	15 3	.B 3.1	20 8	2.2 2.9	20 15 -	7,4 8,4	15 12	2.9 3.2	12 14	4.5 5.2	17 12	16.5 16.5	23 17	.5 1.2	29 29
	HYDNING	1988 1985		17.2	49 51	2.5 2.5	58 57	.0 2.5	43 13	2.5	32 47	.0	43 40	.0 .0	51 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	.0 2.5	51 32	.0 .0	37 32	.0 2.5	43 22	14.7 12.3	24 30	.0	32 41
	YATES	1986 1985		33.0 27.9	29 28	18.9 18.4	19 18	.0	43 36	4.7	15 47	.0	43 40	9.4 7.3	8 7	.0	25 23	4.7 9.3	5 2	4.7	22 46	.0	37 32	4.7	16 42	9.4	43 43	0. 0.	32 41
	NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985		84.0 60.7	NA	43.7 39.3	na Na	5.3 4.9	NA NA	3.3 2.9	NA NA	20.1 17.2	NA NA	8.1 7.2	NA NA	3.7 3.8	NA NA	3.2 3.2	NA NA	18.2 3.4	NA NA	5.0 3.4	NA NA	13.2	NA NA	20.6 16.5	NA NA	1.7	NA NA
	NEWYORKCITY	1986 1985		147.3 115.4	na Na	80.4 69.6	NA NA	10.1 9.2	na Na	4.0 3.2	NA NA	42.0 34.8	KA NA	11.7 9.3	NA NA	- 7.6 8.0	KA NA	5.0 5.1	NA NA	35.3 23.0	NA NA	9.3	NA NA	26.0 17.2	NA NA	28.7 20.6	NA Na	2.9	NA NA

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 00,000 residents will cause the value of the value of the rate to increase by 00,0000 residents will cause the value of the val

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 108

INHATES UNDER CUSIDDY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

	· _											INHATES		CUSTODY														
		Tot		Tot		Homic		Sex Of		¥	iolent	Felonie	5	Weapo		 Üth		 Tot	*	Drug Fel	lonies	Sal		D	ther elonie		Youthf Offend	u 1
COUNTY	Year		Rank	Rate	*	Rate		Rate		Rate		 Rate		Rate		Ri	ate R		Rate									
ALBANY	1786 1785	239.2 250.5	- 4	128.4 131.0	4	22.6 20.4	10 10	26.8 29.2	4 2	36,3 40,2	5 5	22.9 22.9	7	6.0 5.6	5	13.8 12.7	6 7	40.6 48.3	4	19.4 19.4	3 2	21.2 28.9	5		9.2 9.0	4	1.1 2.1	21 15
ALLEGANY	1786 1785	13.9 11.8	62 61	5.9 7.9	62 59	4.0 3.9	54 53	.0 2.0	60 55	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	2.0 2.0	45 43	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51		5.9 2.0	60 62	2.0 2.0	12 18
BRONX	1986 1783	474.7 432.7	2	367.5 348.4	2	92.5 83.8	2	32.5 29.1	1	168.0 165.7	2 2	31.2 26.7	2 2	23.8 24.1	2	19.5 19.2	4	62.7 50.2	2	16.3 13.7	4 3	46.3 36.5	2		9.7 9.8	8 14	4.9	4 7
BROOME	1786 1785	133.3 109.7	13 13	81.1 : 72.0	11 12	14.2 11.8	25 29	16.6 13.2	13 9	21.3 21.2	12 13	21.8 18.4	8 8	1.4	24 28	5.7	22 20	10.0 5.2	20 29	4.7 2.4	15 27	5.2 2.8	22 31		2.2	6 12	.0 .5	33 39
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	29.J 31.6	57 54	15.2 15.2	57 53	2.3	59 59	4.7 5.9	51 40	5.9 8.2	28 24	1.2	55 54	.0	32 32	1.2	51 52	1.2 1.2	54 53	.0	43 42	1.2 1.2	46 47		2.9 4.1	48 46	.0 1.2	33 31
CAYUGA	1786 1785	102.6 67.6	19 32	47.6	26 41	3.B 1.3	55 58	16.3 B.9	14 26	7.5 7.5	26 27	11.3 6.3	25 40	.0 .0	32 32	8,8 5,0	11 28	5.0 3.B	31 39	.0 1.3	43 38	5.0 2.5	24 35		D.1 D.1	7 13	10.0 5.0	1
CHAUTAURUA	1786 1785	37.7 43.7	51 49	23.1 22.2	48 48	14.7 12.5	23 24	2.1 1.4	55 58	1.4 2.1	53 45	2.8 4.9	51 42	.0 .0	32 32	2.1 1.4	44 49	2.8 9.7	46 15	.0 5.5	43 11	2.8 4.2	37 24		1.9 1.8	50 51	.0 .0	33 42
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	203.3 187.0	5 5	128.2 118.5	5 5	26.5 23.9	6 6	27.6 31.5	3 1	14,4 18,5	16 14	36.5 28.3	2 2	2.2 4.3	16 9	21.0 12.0	8 3	2.2 2.2	48 47	2.2 1.1	31 41	.0 1.1	49 48		7.6 2.0	2 2	3.3 1.3	7
CHENANGO	1986 1985	36.0 44.0	55 47	26.0 32.0	46 37	14.0 10.0	26 33	2.0 4.0	56 49	4.0 8.0	34 25	.0 .0	56 54	.0	32 32	6.0 10.0	18 10	4.0 4.0	37 33	2.0 2.0	32 31	2.0 2.0	42 42		6.0 8.0	59 56	.0 .0	33 42
CLINTON	1986 1985	53.0 52.7	41 41	20:9 22.1	50 49	4.9	49 54	4,9 7,4	49 32	3.7	35 29	4.9 3.7	45 47	.0 .0	32 32	2.5 1.2	41 50	4,9	32 45	.0 .0	43 42	4.9 2.5	25 37		7.1 7.0	20 20	.0 1.2	20 22
Colundia	1988 1985	95.6 97.4	24 16	36.2 41.3	36 28	8.2 6.6	39 42	19.8 16.5	7 6	1.6 3.3	50 40	4.9 9.9	44 29	.0	32 32	1.6 5.0	47 29	39.5 33.0	5 6	14.8 6.6	5 9	24.7 26.4	4 5		7.8 3.1	34 26	.0	33 42
CORTLAND	1986 1985	75.9 78.1	28 27	29.5 29.5	40 39	4.2 4.2	53 52	а 2.1	60 54	.0	55 52	8.4 2.1	33 50	6.3 . 6.3	4 5	10.5 14.8	10 4	6.3 2.1	28 47	4.2 2.1	17 30	2.1	41 51		5.9 D.1	11 7	4.2 6.3	5 1
DELAWARE	1986 1985	23.4 17.1	59 60	12.7 10.7	60 58	4.2 2.1	52 55	6.4 6.4	40 37	2.1	46 52	.0	56 54	• .0 .0	32 32	.0 2.1	53 41	.0 2.1	55 48	.0	43 42	.0 2.1	49 41		0.6 4.3	53 60	.0 .0	33 42
																	•	•										

TABLE 108 INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

| • | | | | | |

 |

 |

 | | | | | 11000120 |

 | |
 | | - |
 | · · · · · · |
 | | |
 | | | :
 | · |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|---
--
--

--
--
--
--

--|---|--|---|--
--
---|---
--
---|---|---
--
---|---
--|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | |

 |

 |

 | | | ¥ | iolent | |

 | - |
 | | |
 | | Drug Fel
 | | | | | | |
 | | | | £1 |
| | | | Tot | al | |

 | Hoai c

 | ide

 | | | Robb | ery | | -

 | |
 | | | Tota
 | 1 | Posses
 | sian | |
 | Felo | nies | | |
| UNTY | Year | | | | Rate | Rank

 | Rate

 | Rank

 | Rate | Rank | Rate | Rank | Rate | Rank

 | Rate | Rank
 | | | Rate
 | Rank | Rate
 | Rant
 | |
 | | _ | Rate | Rank |
| JTCHESS | 1986
1985 | | 67.B
71.0 | 31
29 | 49.1
48.2 | 24
21

 | 12.9
11.0

 | 30
30

 | 9.0
7.5 | 30
. 29 | 14.4
14.5 | 15
16 | 8.2
7.4 | 34
30

 | 1.6 | 21
24
 | 3.1
3.5 | 3B
33 | 4.7
3.9
 | 35
36 | 1.6
 | 39
40 | 3.1
2.7 | 33
36
 | 14.0
18.0 | 46
36 | .0 | 36
33 |
| RIË | 1986
1985 | | 99.6
95.5 | 21
17 | 69.2
65.8 | 16
15

 | 21.9
20.8

 | 12
8

 | 11.4
9.4 | 23
23 | 15.3
15.8 | i4
15 | 13.4
12.6 | 18
21

 | 1.5
1.2 | 23
23
 | 5.8
6.1 | 20
23 | 7.2
3.9
 | 26
37 | 3.6
2.7
 | 20
23 | 3.5
1.2 | 32
46
 | | 27
23 | .B
1.0 | 26
34 |
| SSEX | 1986
1985 | | 44.1
38.5 | 46
52 | 22.0
16.5 | 49
52

 | 2.B
.0

 | 57
60

 | 8.3
5.5 | 31
41 | 11.0 | 21
- 17 | .0 | 58
54

 | .0
.0 | 32
32
 | .0 | 53
52 | .0
 | 55
55 | .0
 | 43
42 | .0 | 49
51 *
 | | 29
33 | .0
2.8 | 33
10 |
| RANKLIN | 1986
1985 | | 70.8
79.9 | 30
25 | 32.0
: 36.5 | 32
38

 | 13.7
9,1

 | 28
34

 | 6.9 | 38
35 | 4.6 | 32
36 | 0.
6.9 | 56
37

 | 2.3 | 15
16
 | 4.6 | 26
19 | 9.1
6.9
 | 21
23 | 6.B
4.6
 | 10
16 | 2.3 | 40
40
 | 29.7
34.3 | 17
9 | .0
2.3 | 33
12 |
| ULTON | 1988
1985 | | 89.7
83.0 | 26
22 | 38.5
39.7 | 34
31

 | 20.1
18.0

 | 15
12

 | 7.3
7.2 | 34
33 | .0 | 55
52 | 11.0
12.6 | 26
20

 | .0 | 32
32
 | .0
1.8 | 53
45 | 11.0
7.0
 | 18
16 | 1.8
1.9
 | 36
34 | 9.2
7.2 | 15
14
 | | - 16
15 | 9.2
5.4 | 2
3 |
| ENESEE | 1986
1985 | | 56.1
64.3 | 39
34 | 28.9
40.6 | 41
29

 | 10.2
8.5

 | 35
37

 | 1.7
3.4 | 58
53 | 1.7
8.5 | 49
23 | 6.B
10.2 | 38
27

 | 3.4
5.1 | 10
7
 | 5.1
5.1 | 24
26 | 3.4
5.1
 | 43
30 | .0
 | 43
42 | 3.4
5.1 | 34
20
 | | 33
42 | 3.4
3.4 | 8 |
| REENE | 1986
1985 | | 66.5
55.5 | 32
38 | 40.4
33.8 | 29
35

 | 14.3
12.1

 | 24
27

 | 9.5
9.6 | 28
22 | 7.1
4.8 | 27
34 | 7.1
7.2 | 37
35

 | .0 | 32
32
 | 2.4 | 43
52 | 7.1
7.2
 | 27
22 | 4.8
4.8
 | 14
14 | 2.4
2.4 | 39
38
 | 19.0
14.5 | 36
45 | .0
.0 | 33
42 |
| AHILTON | 1984
1965 | | 160.0
80.2 | 7
24 | 60.0
40.1 | 20
30

 | 40.0
40.1

 | - 4
.4

 | 20.0
.0 | 5
59 | .0
.0 | 55
52 | .0
.0. | 56
54

 | .0
.0 | 32
32
 | .0
.0 | 53
52 | •0
 | 55
55 | .0
 | 43
42 | .0
.0 | 49
51
 | 100.0
40.1 | 2
6 | .0 | 33
42 |
| ERKINER | 1986
1985 | , · · . | 22.4
26.9 | 61
55 | 13.5
13.4 | 59
55

 | 7.5

 | 40
45

 | 1.5
1.5 | 59
57 | 1.5
3.0 | 52
42 | 1.5
1.5 | 54
53

 | .0
.0 | 32
32
 | 1.5
1.5 | 4B
48 | 1.5
 | 53
55 | 1.5
 | 40
42 | .0
.0 | 49
51
 | 7.5 | 58
48 | .0 | 33
42 |
| EFFERSON | 1986
1985 | | 82.8
70.0 | 27
30 | 48,6
38,4 | 25
32

 | 13.2
12.4

 | 29
25

 | 19.9
12.4 | 6
13 | 3.3
1.1 | 45
51 | 6.6
10.2 | 39
28

 | 1.1 | 28
32
 | 4,4 | 30
40 | .0
3.4
 | 55
12 | .0
 | 43
42 | .0
3.4 | 49
21
 | 34.2
27.1 | 13
19 | .0
1.1 | 23
23 |
| INGS | 1986
1985 | | 320.0
283.3 | 3
3 | 238.2
218.1 | 3

 | 74.4
66.4

 | 3

 | 13.7
11.8 | 16
14 | 102.7
95.9 | 2
3 | 22.9 | 6
7

 | 15.7
15.6 | 3
 | 8.6
8.7 | 12
11 | 46.0
34.7
 | 3
4 | 10.0
7.6
 | 8 | 36.0
27.2 | - 4
 | 33.1
28.2 | 14
16 | 2.7
2.3 | 9
13 |
| EWIS | 1986
1985 | | 27.7
7.9 | 58
62 | . 11.9 | 61
62

 | .0

 | 60
60

 | .0 | 40
59 | .0 | 55
52 | 11.9 | 22
54

 | 0
. 0 | 32
32
 | .0 | 53
52 | 4.0
4.0
 | 38
34 | .0
.0
 | 43
42 | 4.0 | 28
25
 | 11.9
4.0 | 51
61 | .0
.0 | 33 -
42 |
| IVINGSTON | 1986
1985 | | 59.7
54.9 | 37
39 | 35.8
29.1 | 37
40

 | B.5
8.6

 | 38
36

 | 17.1
8.6 | 10
28 | 1.7 | 48
52 | 8.5
10.3 | 32
25

 | .0 | 32
32
 | .0 | 53
46 | 8.5
8.6
 | 23
17 | 3.4
3.4
 | 22
18 | 5.1
5.1 | 23
19
 | 15.4
17.1 | 44
37 | .0 | 33
42 |
| | TCHESS
IE
SEX
ANKLIN
ILTON
ILTON
INESEE
IEENE
IMILTON
IRKIMER
IFFERSON
INGS | TCHESS 1986 1785 1986 1785 1986 1785 1986 1785 1986 1785 1986 1785 1986 1785 1986 1785 1986 1985 1985 NESEE 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 NESEE 1986 1985 1986 INILTON 1986 1985 1985 INGS 1986 1985 1985 INIS 1985 INIS 1985 INIS 1986 1985 1985 | TCHESS 1986 1705 1985 18 1985 18 1985 SEX 1986 1985 1985 RNKLIN 1985 NUTON 1985 NESEE 1986 1985 1985 INESEE 1986 1985 1985 INILTON 1986 1985 1985 INILTON 1986 1985 1985 INGS 1985 INGS 1985 INIS 1985 INIS 1985 IVINGSTON 1986 | UNTY Year Rate TCHESS 1986 67.8 1985 1985 71.0 IE 1986 97.6 1985 95.5 95.5 SEX 1986 70.8 1985 95.5 38.5 ANKLIN 1986 70.8 1985 79.9 LTDN 1986 89.7 1985 83.0 INESEE 1986 56.1 1985 54.3 EENE 1986 56.1 1985 55.5 MILTON 1986 160.0 1985 55.5 MILTON 1986 22.4 1985 70.6 IRKIMER 1985 70.6 INGS 1985 22.9 INIS 1986 27.7 1985 7.9 7.9 VINGSTON 1986 59.7 | TCHESS 1986 57.8 31 1705 71.0 29 IE 1985 71.0 29 IE 1986 97.6 21 1785 95.5 17 SEX 1986 44.1 46 1985 38.5 52 RNKLIN 1986 70.8 30 1985 79.9 25 NLTON 1985 83.0 22 NESEE 1986 56.1 39 1985 83.0 22 NESEE 1986 56.1 39 INTON 1985 55.5 38 INTON 1985 55.5 38 INTITION 1986 160.0 7 1985 70.6 30 22.4 RKIHER 1986 22.4 61 1985 70.6 30 30 INDS 1985 70.6 30 INDS 198 | Total Total Total UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate TCHESS 1985 71.0 29 48.2 IE 1985 71.0 29 48.2 IE 1985 95.5 17 65.8 SEX 1985 95.5 17 65.8 SEX 1985 70.8 30 32.0 1985 79.7 25 36.5 52 ANKLIN 1985 79.7 25 36.5 LTDN 1985 83.0 22 39.7 NESEE 1986 56.1 39 28.9 1985 64.3 34 40.6 EENE 1986 56.5 32 40.4 1985 26.5 38 33.8 MILTDN 1986 26.4 33.8 MILTDN 1986 22.4 61 13.5 1985 26.9 55 13.4 <tr< td=""><td>UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank TCHESS 1985 67.8 31 49.1 24 1985 71.0 29 48.2 21 IE 1985 97.6 21 69.2 16 1985 95.5 17 65.8 16 SEX 1986 44.1 46 22.0 49 1985 38.5 52 16.5 52 ANKLIN 1986 70.8 30 32.0 39 1985 79.9 25 36.5 33 ILTON 1986 89.7 26 38.5 34 1985 83.0 22 37.7 31 INESEE 1986 56.1 39 28.9 41 1985 64.3 34 40.6 29 IEENE 1986 160.0 7 60.0 20 INTITON 1985 26.9 55 <td< td=""><td>Total Total Total Hoai UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate <td< td=""><td>Introduct Total Total Total Henicide UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate</td><td>Total Total Total Honicide Sex Df UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate</td><td>Total Total Total Honicide Sex Diffenses UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td></td<><td>Total Total Total Homicide Sex Difenses Robb UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate</td><td>Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Hunicide Sex Offenses Robbery UNTY Year Rate Rank Issa I</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Homicide Sex Diffenses Robbery Burgl UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank<!--</td--><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hosicide Ser. Offenses Robbery Burglary UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Ran</td><td>Total Total Total Total Honicide Sex Difenses Robbery Burglary Meap UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank<!--</td--><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hoaicide Sex Dffenses Robbery Burglary Meagons UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Homicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Heapons Other UHTY Year Rate Rank <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hosicide Sex Difesses Robbery Burglary Mespons Bther UNTY Year Bate Rank Rate Rank <td< td=""><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hoolcide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Other Total UNIY Year Rate Rant <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Healcide Sec Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Ether Total WHY Year Rate Rant Rate <td< td=""><td>Violant Felories Brow Felories Total Total Total Indicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burg Lery Neuglery Respons Biber Total Parses UNTY Year Bale Rant Bate Rant</td><td>Violent Felonies Brogenes Tital Brogenes</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felories Total Total Total Total Total Read Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felonies Total Total Total Malifée Ser Ølfensse Robbery Burg Lary Megons Other Total Passessim Sale UNTY Year Rate Rank Bate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Vicient Felories Programmed approximate the state frame f</td><td>Violent Fedantes Drug Fetantes Drug Fetantes Total Total Total Total Total Bate Rank Ba</td><td>Internal Internal Internal</td></td<></td></td<></td></td></td></td></td<></td></tr<> | UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank TCHESS 1985 67.8 31 49.1 24 1985 71.0 29 48.2 21 IE 1985 97.6 21 69.2 16 1985 95.5 17 65.8 16 SEX 1986 44.1 46 22.0 49 1985 38.5 52 16.5 52 ANKLIN 1986 70.8 30 32.0 39 1985 79.9 25 36.5 33 ILTON 1986 89.7 26 38.5 34 1985 83.0 22 37.7 31 INESEE 1986 56.1 39 28.9 41 1985 64.3 34 40.6 29 IEENE 1986 160.0 7 60.0 20 INTITON 1985 26.9 55 <td< td=""><td>Total Total Total Hoai UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate <td< td=""><td>Introduct Total Total Total Henicide UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate</td><td>Total Total Total Honicide Sex Df UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate</td><td>Total Total Total Honicide Sex Diffenses UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td></td<><td>Total Total Total Homicide Sex Difenses Robb UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate</td><td>Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Hunicide Sex Offenses Robbery UNTY Year Rate Rank Issa I</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Homicide Sex Diffenses Robbery Burgl UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank<!--</td--><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hosicide Ser. Offenses Robbery Burglary UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Ran</td><td>Total Total Total Total Honicide Sex Difenses Robbery Burglary Meap UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank<!--</td--><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hoaicide Sex Dffenses Robbery Burglary Meagons UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Homicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Heapons Other UHTY Year Rate Rank <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hosicide Sex Difesses Robbery Burglary Mespons Bther UNTY Year Bate Rank Rate Rank <td< td=""><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hoolcide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Other Total UNIY Year Rate Rant <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Healcide Sec Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Ether Total WHY Year Rate Rant Rate <td< td=""><td>Violant Felories Brow Felories Total Total Total Indicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burg Lery Neuglery Respons Biber Total Parses UNTY Year Bale Rant Bate Rant</td><td>Violent Felonies Brogenes Tital Brogenes</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felories Total Total Total Total Total Read Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felonies Total Total Total Malifée Ser Ølfensse Robbery Burg Lary Megons Other Total Passessim Sale UNTY Year Rate Rank Bate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Vicient Felories Programmed approximate the state frame f</td><td>Violent Fedantes Drug Fetantes Drug Fetantes Total Total Total Total Total Bate Rank Ba</td><td>Internal Internal Internal</td></td<></td></td<></td></td></td></td></td<> | Total Total Total Hoai UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate <td< td=""><td>Introduct Total Total Total Henicide UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate</td><td>Total Total Total Honicide Sex Df UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate</td><td>Total Total Total Honicide Sex Diffenses UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td></td<> <td>Total Total Total Homicide Sex Difenses Robb UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate</td> <td>Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Hunicide Sex Offenses Robbery UNTY Year Rate Rank Issa I</td> <td>Violent Felonies Total Total Homicide Sex Diffenses Robbery Burgl UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank<!--</td--><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hosicide Ser. Offenses Robbery Burglary UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Ran</td><td>Total Total Total Total Honicide Sex Difenses Robbery Burglary Meap UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank<!--</td--><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hoaicide Sex Dffenses Robbery Burglary Meagons UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Homicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Heapons Other UHTY Year Rate Rank <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hosicide Sex Difesses Robbery Burglary Mespons Bther UNTY Year Bate Rank Rate Rank <td< td=""><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hoolcide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Other Total UNIY Year Rate Rant <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Healcide Sec Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Ether Total WHY Year Rate Rant Rate <td< td=""><td>Violant Felories Brow Felories Total Total Total Indicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burg Lery Neuglery Respons Biber Total Parses UNTY Year Bale Rant Bate Rant</td><td>Violent Felonies Brogenes Tital Brogenes</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felories Total Total Total Total Total Read Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felonies Total Total Total Malifée Ser Ølfensse Robbery Burg Lary Megons Other Total Passessim Sale UNTY Year Rate Rank Bate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Vicient Felories Programmed approximate the state frame f</td><td>Violent Fedantes Drug Fetantes Drug Fetantes Total Total Total Total Total Bate Rank Ba</td><td>Internal Internal Internal</td></td<></td></td<></td></td></td> | Introduct Total Total Total Henicide UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate | Total Total Total Honicide Sex Df UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate | Total Total Total Honicide Sex Diffenses UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank | Total Total Total Homicide Sex Difenses Robb UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate | Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Hunicide Sex Offenses Robbery UNTY Year Rate Rank Issa I | Violent Felonies Total Total Homicide Sex Diffenses Robbery Burgl UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank </td <td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hosicide Ser. Offenses Robbery Burglary UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Ran</td> <td>Total Total Total Total Honicide Sex Difenses Robbery Burglary Meap UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank<!--</td--><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hoaicide Sex Dffenses Robbery Burglary Meagons UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Homicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Heapons Other UHTY Year Rate Rank <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hosicide Sex Difesses Robbery Burglary Mespons Bther UNTY Year Bate Rank Rate Rank <td< td=""><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hoolcide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Other Total UNIY Year Rate Rant <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Healcide Sec Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Ether Total WHY Year Rate Rant Rate <td< td=""><td>Violant Felories Brow Felories Total Total Total Indicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burg Lery Neuglery Respons Biber Total Parses UNTY Year Bale Rant Bate Rant</td><td>Violent Felonies Brogenes Tital Brogenes</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felories Total Total Total Total Total Read Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felonies Total Total Total Malifée Ser Ølfensse Robbery Burg Lary Megons Other Total Passessim Sale UNTY Year Rate Rank Bate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Vicient Felories Programmed approximate the state frame f</td><td>Violent Fedantes Drug Fetantes Drug Fetantes Total Total Total Total Total Bate Rank Ba</td><td>Internal Internal Internal</td></td<></td></td<></td></td> | Violent Felonies Total Total Hosicide Ser. Offenses Robbery Burglary UNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Ran | Total Total Total Total Honicide Sex Difenses Robbery Burglary Meap UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank </td <td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hoaicide Sex Dffenses Robbery Burglary Meagons UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td> <td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Homicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Heapons Other UHTY Year Rate Rank <</td> <td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hosicide Sex Difesses Robbery Burglary Mespons Bther UNTY Year Bate Rank Rate Rank <td< td=""><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hoolcide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Other Total UNIY Year Rate Rant <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Healcide Sec Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Ether Total WHY Year Rate Rant Rate <td< td=""><td>Violant Felories Brow Felories Total Total Total Indicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burg Lery Neuglery Respons Biber Total Parses UNTY Year Bale Rant Bate Rant</td><td>Violent Felonies Brogenes Tital Brogenes</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felories Total Total Total Total Total Read Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felonies Total Total Total Malifée Ser Ølfensse Robbery Burg Lary Megons Other Total Passessim Sale UNTY Year Rate Rank Bate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Vicient Felories Programmed approximate the state frame f</td><td>Violent Fedantes Drug Fetantes Drug Fetantes Total Total Total Total Total Bate Rank Ba</td><td>Internal Internal Internal</td></td<></td></td<></td> | Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hoaicide Sex Dffenses Robbery Burglary Meagons UHTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank | Violent Felonies Total Total Total Homicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Heapons Other UHTY Year Rate Rank < | Violent Felonies Total Total Total Hosicide Sex Difesses Robbery Burglary Mespons Bther UNTY Year Bate Rank Rate Rank <td< td=""><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Hoolcide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Other Total UNIY Year Rate Rant <</td><td>Violent Felonies Total Total Total Healcide Sec Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Ether Total WHY Year Rate Rant Rate <td< td=""><td>Violant Felories Brow Felories Total Total Total Indicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burg Lery Neuglery Respons Biber Total Parses UNTY Year Bale Rant Bate Rant</td><td>Violent Felonies Brogenes Tital Brogenes</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felories Total Total Total Total Total Read Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felonies Total Total Total Malifée Ser Ølfensse Robbery Burg Lary Megons Other Total Passessim Sale UNTY Year Rate Rank Bate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Vicient Felories Programmed approximate the state frame f</td><td>Violent Fedantes Drug Fetantes Drug Fetantes Total Total Total Total Total Bate Rank Ba</td><td>Internal Internal Internal</td></td<></td></td<> | Violent Felonies Total Total Hoolcide Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Other Total UNIY Year Rate Rant < | Violent Felonies Total Total Total Healcide Sec Offenses Robbery Burglary Meapons Ether Total WHY Year Rate Rant Rate <td< td=""><td>Violant Felories Brow Felories Total Total Total Indicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burg Lery Neuglery Respons Biber Total Parses UNTY Year Bale Rant Bate Rant</td><td>Violent Felonies Brogenes Tital Brogenes</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felories Total Total Total Total Total Read Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Violent Felonies Brug Felonies Total Total Total Malifée Ser Ølfensse Robbery Burg Lary Megons Other Total Passessim Sale UNTY Year Rate Rank Bate Rank Rate Rank</td><td>Vicient Felories Programmed approximate the state frame f</td><td>Violent Fedantes Drug Fetantes Drug Fetantes Total Total Total Total Total Bate Rank Ba</td><td>Internal Internal Internal</td></td<> | Violant Felories Brow Felories Total Total Total Indicide Sex Offenses Robbery Burg Lery Neuglery Respons Biber Total Parses UNTY Year Bale Rant Bate Rant | Violent Felonies Brogenes Tital Brogenes | Violent Felonies Brug Felories Total Total Total Total Total Read Rank Rate Rank | Violent Felonies Brug Felonies Total Total Total Malifée Ser Ølfensse Robbery Burg Lary Megons Other Total Passessim Sale UNTY Year Rate Rank Bate Rank Rate Rank | Vicient Felories Programmed approximate the state frame f | Violent Fedantes Drug Fetantes Drug Fetantes Total Total Total Total Total Bate Rank Ba | Internal Internal |

INNATES UNDER CUSTODY

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 PDFULATION AND RATE RANKS BY DEFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 108

													Felonie	5				•			Drug Fe							
			Tot		Tot	 al	Ros	cide	Sex Of		Robb	er y	Burg	larÿ	Weap		Oth	e r	Tota		Passe		Sa	le	Other Felor	ies	Youth Offen	
COUNTY	Yea		Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	-
NADISON	198 198		37.5 40.5	52 50	27.0 24.0	43 45	4.5 4.5	51 51	10.5	24 17	1.5	51 41	7.5 4.5	35 43	.0	32 32	3.0 1.5	39 47	1.5 1.5	52 51	0	43 42	1.5	45 45	7,5 13.5	57 47	1.5 1.5	
MONROE	198 198		139.8 129.5	12 10	93.7 87.8	9 9	22.2 20.3	1 1	12.7 10.8	19 16	26.8 28.2	9 7	17.1 16.2	13 12	4.3 4.1	7 10	10.7 8.2	9 - 13	10.1	19 26	3.8 2.3	18 28	6.3 4.4	18 22	34.6 33.5	12 11	1.4 1.6	1
MONTGONERY	198 198		38.4 45.7	50 45	20.8 22.8	42 47	11.5 11.4	21 32	3.8 3.8	52 51	1.9	47 47	3.8 3.8	47 45	1.9	20 32	5.8 1.9	21 44	3.8 3.8	39 38	3.8 3.8	19 - 17	0. 0.	49 51	5.8 13.3	61 49	.0 5.7	3
NASSAU	19B 178		114.1 78.8	16 15	77.2 : 69.0	12 13	15.0 13.6	22 23	5.4 5.0	46 44	31.1 27.8	7	19.6 17.7	10 10	2.6 1.7	12 18	4.5	29 35	17.2 13.5	13 13	3.0 2.4	29 25	14.1 11.1	11 10	18.5 14.7	37 43	1.3 1.5	1
NEW YORK	198 198		663.8 618.6	1	429.3 406.5	i 1	95.7 86.0	1	16.6 15.5	12 7	220.0 207.1	1	40.0 38.6	1	33.0 36.2	- 1	24.0 23.1	1 1	146.7 117.0	1	34.9 26.0	1	111.B 71.0	1	102.2 90.1	· 1 1	5.7 4.9	
J NIAGARA	198 198		92.7 91.0	25 19	54.4 51.3	23 18	18,4 16,2	- 17 - 17	6.9 5.7	37 21	13.4 10.2	18 21	10.1 7.4	29 34	1.4 2.8	25 14	4.1 5.1	32 25	5.1 5.5	30 27	1.8 2.6	35 22	3.2 2.8	35 32	32.3 33.7	15 10	.9 .5	24 40
ONEIDA	198 198		97.8 84.2	23 21	64.4 51.1	18 19	16.1 15.2	20 21	10.1 7.5	25 31	11.3	20 22	18.5 10.8	11 24	2.0	18 19	6.4 6.8	17 19	8.5 7-6	24 20	3.2 3.2	27 20	5.2 4.4	21 23	22.5 23.5	26 25	2.4 2.0	10 13
ONONDAGA	198 198		150.5 144.2	9 8	104.3 96.1	8	20.7 15.5	14 18	19.2 18.5	9 5	28,5 27.9	8 8	24.8 22.8	4	4.1 3.9	8 11	6.9 7.5	15 15	8.6 8.0	22 18	3.2 2.4	26 25	5.4 5.6	20 15	36.1 38,3	10 8	1.5 1.9	1) 19
ONTARIO	198 198		103.0 90.9	18 20	56.4 49.8	21 20	6.5 8.7	47 35	19.5 13.0	8 10	4.3 4.3	33 37	11.9 13.0	21 18	2.2 2.2	17 17	11.9 9.7	7 12	16.3 10.8	14 14	5.4 5.4	12 12	10.8 5.4	13 17	29.3 28.1	18 17	1.1 2.2	2 1
DRANGE	198 198		114.3 105.9	- 15 14	65.0 68.1	17 15	17.7 17.7	10 15	12.4 10.4	21 18	18.1 23.4	13 11	12.1 10.8	20 23	· .4 .7	31 30	4.3 5.0	31 27	27.7	7 8	12.1	6 7	15.6 12.6	10 8	20.9 20.5	31 30	.7 .4	2 4
ORLEANS	178 198		144.0 120.1	11 11	72.0 63.9	14 17	23.1 17.9	9 13	5.1 7.7	47 30	7.7 5.1	25 33	10.3 12.8	27 19	2.6 2.6	13 15	23.1 17.9	2 3	7.7 7.7	25 19	5.1 5.1	13 13	2.6 2.6	38 34	64.3 46.0	5 5	.0 2.6	3
OSWEGO	- 198 178		51.0 48.7	42 43	26.8 26.0	45 44	6.7 5.0	46 47	5.9 4.2	44 46	5.9 7.6	29 26	5.9 6.7	41 38	.0 .0	32 32	2.5 2.5	40 37	3.3 2.5	44 44	1.7 1.7	38 35	1.7 .8	44 50	20.9	32 32	.0 .B	3
OTSE60	198 198		47.3 43.8	45 48	16.9	56 54	6.8 5.1	44 48	5.1 £.7	48 36	3.4 1.7	43 49	1.7	53 52	.0	32 32	.0 .0	53 52	11.8 6.7	17 25	3.4 3.4	24 19	8.4 3.4	17 28	16.9	39 31	1.7	1

TABLE 108

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY DEFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

															CUSTODY													
		•											Felonie								Drug Fe				Gtł	er	Youth	hful
			Tota		Tot		Hoaic		Sex Of		Robb	ery	Burg		Weap	ns.	Oth		- Te	ital	Posse		Sa		Fel	onies	Offer	nders
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rat	e Rank 	Rate	Rar
PUTNAM	1986 1985		63.7 51.9	35 42	23.3 23.5	47	12.3	31 32	2.5 3.7	54 52	3.7 2.5	36 44	3.7 3.7	48 46	.0	32 32	1.2 2,5	49 39	27.0		20.8 12.4	2 4	6.1 9.9	19 13	13. 6.		.0	
QUEENS	1986 1985		187.1 159.1	6 7	125.1 117.4	. 6 6	29.0 27.7	5	9.4 8.6	29 27	52.9 48.8	4	20.4 18.2	9	5.4 6.5	6. 4	8.1 7.7	13 14	32.0 18.3		11.5 6.8	7 8	20.4 11.5	6 9	26. 21.		3.1 2.0	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		60.6 58.1	36 35	40.2 44.9	31 26	18.5 17.9	16 14	2.6 4.0	53 50	5.3 5.9	31 32	7.3 8.6	- 33 36	.7 1.3	30 22	5.9 7.3	19 17	2.1		2.0	33 37	.7 .0	48 51	17. 11.		.0	
RICHNOND	1986 1985		104.6 95.2	17 18	76.9 : 75.2	13 11	21.6 17.7	13 16	7.2 5.9	35 39	25.9 26.7	10 10	14.9 15.5	15 13	2.7 3.2	11 12	4.5 6.2	28 22	12.3 3.7		2.4 1.3	30 36	9.9 2.4	14 39	14. 15.		.5 .5	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		98.5 81.1	22 23	54.9 47.5	22 23	13.9 14.3	27 22	6.4 4.5	39 47	13.5 10.2	17 20	18.1 13.6	12 15	1.1 1.5	27 20	1.9 3.4	46 34	21.8 13.8		3.4 2.6	23 24	18.4 10.9	8 11	21. 18.		.8 1.1	
ST. LAWRENCE	1786 1985		39.9 38.8	48 51	20,4 19,4	51 51	6.2 6.2	48 43	6.2 5.3	42 43	3.5 2.6	39 43	3.5 4.4	49 44	.0 .9	32 29	.9	52 52	1.8		1.B .0	37 42	.9	49 49	16.		.9 1.8	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	•	43.9 45.8	47 44	26.8 30.3	44 39	7.3 7.4	41 36	9.8 9.9	27 20	3.7 1.9	37 48	4.9 8.7	46 32	.0 .0	32 32	1.2 2.5	50 38	4.9 4.3		1.2 1.2	42 39	3.7 3.1	30 29	11. 10.		.6 .6	
SCHENECTADY	1786 1985		57.9 54.5	38 - 40	39.3 34.5	32 34	2.7 1.3	58 57	14.0 12.6	15 11	9.3 10.6	24 18	6.6	40 39 -	2.0 1.3	19 21	5.3 2.0	23 42	2.0		1.3	41 42	.7 2.0	47 43	16. 16.		.7 1.3	
SCHOHARIE	1986 , 1985		50.3 57.0	43 36	40.3 47.0	30 24	6.7 6.7	45 41	16.8 10.1	11 19	3.4 6.7	44 28	10.1 13.4	30 16	.0 .0	32 32	3.4 10.1	37 .			.0 .0	43 42	.0	49 51	10. 10.		.0	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		23,0 22.8	60 56	17.2 5.7	55 60	.0 5.7	60 46	5.7 .0	45 59	5.7 .0	30 52	5.7 .0	42 54	.0 .0	32 32	.0 .0	53 52	• •(.0	43 42	.0	49 51	5. 17.		.0 .0	
SENECA	1986 1985		37.4 18.3	53 57	18.7 12.2	54 57	9.3 6.1	36 44	6.2 .0	41 59	.0	55 52	3,1 3,1	50 49	.0	32 32	.0 3.1	53 36	3.1		3.1	28 42	.0	49 51	15. 6.		.0	
STEUBEN	1986 1985		54.7 89.9	40 31	39.2 45.2	33 25	11.4 12.3	34 26	13,4 14,4	18 B -	1.0 2.1	54 46	9.3 10.3	31 26	.0	32 32	4.1 6.2	33 21	4.1		.0 0	43 42	4.1 3.1	27 30	10. 18.		1.0 3.1	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		116.8 112.7	14 12	70.B 68,3	15 14	15.9 15.3	21 19	9.8 9.1	26 25	23.1 22.2	11 12	15.9 16.2	14 11	1.1 1.1	29 26	5.0 4.3	25 31	22.0		3.4 3.1	25 21	18.7 16.1	7 7	23. 23.		1.0 1.3	

INNATES UNDER CUSTODY

TABLE 108 INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1906 - Continued

				,									INKATES							*****				-				
			Tot		Tot	ał	Hoeic	 .íde	Sex Of				Felonie Burgl		Weapo	005	Othe	er'	Tot		Drug Fe Posse				Other Felo		Youth Offen	
COUNTY	Year			Rank	Rate		Rate 	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate 	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate 	Ranl
SULLIVAN	1785 1785		150.1 176.0	10 6	87.5 81.3	10 10	24.8	8	27.7 23.7	- 2. - 4	11.7	19 19	10.2	28 - 17	1.5 3.0	22 13	11.7	8 6	23.3 34.0	9 5	7.3 8.9	9 5	16.0 25.1	9 6	37.9 56.2	: 9 4	1.5 1.5	
TIOGA	1986 1985		72.5 73.4	29 28	43.1 43.7	27 27	11.8	32 28	5.9 6.0	43 38	9.8 6.0	22 31	11.9	23 14	.0	32 32	3.9 6.0	34 24	5.9	29 35	2.0 2.0	34 32	3.9 2.0	29 44	. 23.5 25.8	24 21	.0	
TDMPKINS	1786 1785		66.2 56.7	33 37	41.1 28.4	28 42	6.8 6.8	42 40	12.6 12.5	20 12	3.4 1.1	41 50	13.7 5.7	17 41	1.1 1.1	26 27	3.4 1.1	36 51	3.4 6.8	41 24	3.4 2.3	21 29	.0 4.5	49 21	19.4 21.6	35 28	2.3	
ULSTER	1986 1985		100.5 76.8	20 26	60.3 47.6	19 22	17.1 15.3	19 20	11.6 9.2	22 24	9.7 6.1	23 30	12.8 12.2	19 22	2.4	14 31	6.7 4.3	16 ` 32	12.8 7.3	15 21	4.3 1.8	16 33	8.5 5.5	16 16	25.6 22.0		1.8	
HARREN	1986 1985		39.6 35.9	49 53	. 19.8 19.8	52 50	3.6 3.6	56 55	7.2 5.4	36 42	3.6	38 38	5.4 7.2	43 36	.0 .0	32 32	.0	53 52	3.6 5.4	40 28	.0 .0	43 42	3.6 5.4	31 18	16.2 10.B	41 52	.0 .0	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		31.6 17.6	56 58	19.3 12.3	53 56	8.8 5.3	37 47	1.8 1.8	57 56	3.5 3.5	40 39	1.8 1.8	52 51	.0 .0	32 32	3.5 .0	35 52	1.8	51 55	.0 .0	43 42	1.8 .0	43 51	10.5 5.3	54 59	.0*	
WAYNE	1986 1985		50.0 45.7	44 46	34.1 27.4	38 43	6.9	43 39	8.0	32 34	3.4	42 35	11.4	24 48	.0	32 25	4.5 4.6	27 30	3.4 3.4	42 41	.0	43 42	3.4 3.4	33 26	12.5 14.8	49 44	.0	
WESTCHESTER	1786 1785		154,2 144.1	8 9	106.7 101.2	7	26.3 22.6	7 7	13.4 11.4	17 15	31.7 32.9	6 6	23.9 22.1	5 6	3.6 1.6	9 8	7.8 7.5	14 16	19.5 16.6	12 11	6.8 6.0	11 - 10	12.6 10.6	12 12	27.2 25.0	19 22	1.3	
NADHING	1986 1985		36.B 17,2	54 59	14.7 4.9	58 61	4.9	50 60	7.4 4.9	33 45	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0 .0	32 32	2.5 .0	42 52	.0 2.5	55 45	• • • •	43 42	.0 2.5	49 36	22.1 9.8	28 55	.0	
YATES	1906 1965	•	66,0 65,2	34 33	37.7 32.6	35 36	.0 4.7	60 50	4.7	50 46	.0	55 52	14.2	16 31	.0 .0	32 32	18.9 14.0	5 5	4.7 4.7	34 31	.0 4.7	43 15	4.7	26 51	23.6 27.9	23 18	.0	
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985		216.6 195.3	NA Na	146.4 136.8	NA NA	37.1 33.4	NA NA	12.8 11.4	NA NA	60.0 57.2	NA NA	19.6 17.9	NA NA	8.2 8.5	NA NA	8.8 8.4	NA NA	33.B 26.1	na Na	9.0 6.3	NA NA	24.8 19.4	NA NA	34.3 30.4	NA NA	2.1 2.0	
NEWYORKCITY	1986 1985		373.2 333.3	NA NA	260.1 243.6	NA NA	67.0 60.4	NA NA	15.9	NA NA	120.1 113.9	NA NA	26.7 24.1	NA NA	17.1 18.1	NA NA	13.2 12.9	NA NA	63.0 48.1	NA NA	16.1	NA NA	47.7	NA Na	45.7	NA	3.6	

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to access by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 11B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

TABLE IIA PAROLE SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

			PAROLI	E SUPERVIS	ION CAS	ES					REVOKED A	ND RETURNED	PAROLEE	5 EF	
		Releas To Par		Uade Supervi During	sion Year¥	Unde Supervi On 12	sion 2/31	Tota	il	Rul Viola		Ner Arres		New Fe Convict	tions
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	86.5 70.8	4 5	229.0 195.5	4	166.9 142.3	4 4	B4.7 79.1	27 19	15.4 18.0	25 23	49.3 55.9	22 12	20.0 25.2	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	11.9 11.8	54 48	33.7 51.1	52 39	15.8 21.6	59 50	.0 38.5	52 42	.0	36 32	.0 38.5	49 25	.0 .0	
BRONX	1906 1785	191.6 181.3	1	549.8 535.8	1 1	384.5 361.1	1.	112.3 126.7	10	38.5 40.3	5 6	31.1 36.1	38 27	42.7 50.3	
BROOME	1786 1985	23.7 39.1	34 14	90.6 95.6	22 20	56.9 66.4	25 20	151.8 123.2	-4 11	15.7 24.6	24 15	47.1 69.0	24 B	89.0 29.6	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	15.2 11.7	50 49	32.8 35.2	53 51	27.0 17.6	50 54	.0 33.3	52 44	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 33.3	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	21.3	41 42	56.3 55.1	39 35	40.1 35.1	36 37	88.9 22.7	25 48	.0	36 32	46.7 22.7	11 37	22.2	
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	20.3 22.2	44 36	52.4 43.7	42 44	36.3 31.9	-42 44	26.7 47.6	51 - 41	.0	36 32	.0 31.7	49 30	26.7 15.9	
CHEHUNG	1786 1985	63.0 56.5	8	144.8 123.9	9 10	91.7 B0.4	10 11	145.0 157.9	6 4	7.6	34 24	91.6 96.5	5 2	45.8 43.9	
CHENANGO	1986 1785	8.0 16.0	59 43	40.0 36.0	51 50	26.0 32.0	51 42	150.0 55.6	5 35	.0 .0	36 32	50.0 55.6	20 13	100.0	
CLINTON	1986 1985	29.6	24 24	73.9 67.4	28 28	48.0 44.1	30 28	83.3 72.7	29 27	16.7 35.4	23 9	50.0 .0	20 43	16.7 35.4	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	47.8 23.1	11 11	82.4 61.1	26 31	62.6 34.7	21 38	40.0 54.1	48 37	20.0	21 32	20.0 54.1	47 16	.0	

(Continued on next page.)

B-100

TABLE IIA PAROLE SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE 11B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

						DLE SUPERVIS									ND RETURNED			
			- r	Relea: To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Und Superv On 1	ision		Tota	1	Ru Viola		Ner Arres		New Fe Convict	•
COUNTY		Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND		1986 1985		27.5 25.3	25 30	88.6 69.6	24 26	61.2 59.1	24 24		47.6	47 33	23.8 .0	17 32	23.8 30.3	46 32	.0 30.3	
DELAWARE	•	1986 1985	•	6.4 6.4	60 58	17.0 17.1	61 59	17.0 10.7	58 59		.0 .0	52 51	.0 .0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 .0	
DUTCHESS		1786 1985		33.9 41.9	17 12	119.5 121.5	12 11	80.2 86.2	14 10		81.4 129.0	B	32.6 35.5	10 10	26.1 58.1	44 11	22.8 35.5	30 14
ERIE		1986 1985		31.8 34.5	20 17	94.7 100.6	19 17	66.0 62.5	20 22		98.5 116.7	22 12	8.8 19.4	32 22	60.2 80.9	13 5	29.5 16.4	23 31
ESSEX		1986 1985		27.5 1.1	28 51	60.6 45.7	35 42	52.3 33.0	29 41		.0	52 51 -	.0 .0	36 32	.0 0	49 43	.0 .0	
FRANKLIN		1986 1985		20.5 22.8	42 34	41.1 45.7	4B 43	32.0 20.6	48 51		166.7	2 51	55.6 .0	3 32	111.1	1 43	.0 .0	
FULTON		1986 1985		27.5 30.7	29 23	71.4 63.2	29 30	47.6 43.3		•	76.9 28.6	35 46	.0 .Ú	36 32	76.9 .0	8 43	.0 28.4	
GENESEE		1986 1985		25.5 15.2	32 44	62.9 57.6	33 34	45.9 37.3	32 35		108.1 264.7	14	27.0	12	81.1 88.2	7 4.	.0 29.4	
GREENE		1986 1985		16.6 9.6	47 53	40.4 38.6	50 46	35.8 24.1	43 48		.0 62.5	52 31	.0 .0	36 32	.0 .0	49 43	.0 62.5	
HAHILTON	· · · ·	1986 1985		20.0	45 62	20.0	59 62	20.0 .0			.0 .0	52 51	.0 .0	36 32	.0 .0	49 43	.0 .0	
HERKIMER		1986 1985		9.0 6.0	57 59	26.9 14.9	56 60	19.4 17.9	57 53		111.1	11 51	55.6	3 32	55.6	16 43	.0	

TABLE 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE 118 REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

COUNTY		Releas		Unde	·····	Undi			******			***			******************	
COUNTY		To Par		Supervi During	sion	Supervi On 11	sion		Tota	1	Rul Violat		Ne) Arres		New Fe Convict	
	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	· • .	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	22.1 28.2	38 26	59.6 54.2	36 37	37.5 38.4	40 33		111.1 62.5	11 31	.0 20.8	36 20	37.0 41.7	31 24	74.1	
KIN6S	1986 1985	117.9 104.1	2 2	319.4 300.7	3	218.9 202.4	2 1		104.7 104.7	20 15	33.7 33.6	7 12	25.4 28.3	43 33	44.6 42.8	1
LEWIS	1986 1985	11.9 4.0	55 61	19.8 11.9	60 61	15.8 7.9	60 61		.0 .0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 .0	
LIVINGSTON	1986 · 1985	27.3 27.4	30 28	64.8 58.3	32 33	44.4 37.7	34 34		78.9 .0	34 51	26.3	13 32	52.8 .0	19 43	.0	
MADISON	1786 1785	12.0 12.0	53 47	28.5 30.0	55 54	19.5 16.5	56 56		105.3	18 51	.0.	36 32	105.3	2 43	0, 0, -	
NONROE	1786 1785	51.8 60.9	7 7	171.5 155.6	7	123.3 109.4	- 7 7		88.0 104,8	26 14	13.3 24.5	26 16	56.4 59,3	15 10	18.3 21.0	
IONTEDMERY	1986 1985	23.0 15.2	36 45	46.1 36.2	44 49 -	36.5 22.8	41 49		.0 52.6	52 38	.0	36 32	.0 52.6	49 17	.0 .0	
NASSAU	1786 1985	30.5 26.4	22 29	86.8 74.1	25 - 24	61.2 56.0	23 25		114.9 96.3	8 21	26.1 23.3	14 17	40.9 30.4	27 31	47.9 42.6	
NEN YORK	1986 1985	129.7 112.8	2 2	335.2 307.2	2	225.4 205.2	2 2		143.1 135.5	7 6	57.1 44.9	2 5	31.5 37.4	36 26	54.5 53.2	
NIAGARA	1786 1785	45.6 47.1	12 11	125.9 121.0	11 12	77.5 B0.4	16 12	*	76.9 54.9	35 30	11.0 11.5	30 30	40.3 45.8	28 22	25.6 7.5	
ONEIDA	1986 1995	30.2 31.5	23 21	80.9 71.8	27 25	56.3 50.3	27 26		84.6 138.9	28 5	5.0 33,3	35 13	54.7 55.6	18 13	24.9 50.0	

TABLE 118 REVOLED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES FER 1000 PAROLEES

TABLE 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

			PAROLE SUPERVISIO	N CASES				EVOKED A	ND RETURNED	PAROLEE	; #E	
		Releases To Parole	Under Supervisi During Ye		Tota	1	⁷ Rul Violat		New Arres		New Fe Convict	
COUNTY	Year 	Rate Ran		ank Rate Ran	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985		0 137.7 0 142.1	10 100.6 8 87.9	79.9 130.1	32 7	25.1 45.4	- 15 - 4	28.2 49.9	41 L9	26.6 34.8	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	31.5 2 28.1 2	• • • • •	30 55.3 21 27 36.8 3	79.4 96.8	33 20	.0 16.1	36 25	31.7 48.4	35 20	47.6 32.3	
ORANGE	1986 1985	29.5 2 24.9 3		23 58.9 21 22 60.9 21	75.4 66.7	37 29	7.9 19.6	33 21	35.7 23.5	34 36	31.7 23.5	
DRLEANS	1986 1985		B 105.4 0 92.0	17 72.0 1 21 71.5 1	73.2 27.8	39 47	24.4	16 32	.0 27.9	49 34	48.8 0	
OSWEGO	1786 1985		9 53.5 0 47.8	41 41.8 3 41 31.9 4	31.3	50 51		36 32	31.3 .0	37 43	.0 .0	
OTSE60	1986 1985		ie 23.6 i7 32.0	57 20.3 5 52 15.2 5	71.4 52.6	40 38	.0 .0	36 32	71.4 52.6	9 17	.0 .0	
PUTNAK	1986 1985		8 40.4 0 38.3	49 35.5 4 47 24.7 4	60.á 32.3	44 45	.0 .0	36 32	30.3	39 43	30.3 32.3	
QUEENS	1786 1785		5 194.6 6 183.0	5 136.2 5 125.8	107.1 115.1	15 13	34.2 36.5	6 8	28.9 35.9	40 28	44.1 42.7	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		9 99.5 25 99.8	18 67.9 1 18 67.4 1	106.0 125.B	16 10	13.2 13.2	27 28	46.4 79.5	25 6	46.4 33.1	
RICHMOND	1786 1985		3 114.3 8 110.5	14 82.2 1 13 74.1 1	67.8 94.4	42 22	11.7 21.8	29 19	25.7 21.8	45 38	30.4 50.8	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	16.9 4 20.0 3	6 56.4 8 53.9	38 39.5 3 38 39.6 3	80.0 55.9	31 34	33,3 14,0	8 26	26.7	42 39	20.0 21.0	

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE 118 REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

PAROL	E SUPERVISION CASES				REVOKED 4	ND RETURNED PAROLEES	3 ##
Releases To Parole	Under Supervision During Year*	Under Supervision On 12/31	· .	Total	Rule Violations	New Arrests	New Felony Convictions
Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Ran		Rate Rank	Rate Rank
20.4 43 22.0 37	67.4 31 60.0 32	45.2 33 46.7 27		39.5 4 73.5 2		.0 49 14.7 42	26.3 27 58.8 2
4.9 62 5.0 60	12.8 62 17.9 58	8.5 62 8.0 60		190.5 103.4 1	10 36 5 34.5 11	95.2 4 69.0 B	95.2 2 .0 35
26.0 31 33.9 19	94.5 20 97.0 19	61.9 22 68.4 18		105.6 1 102.7 1		42.3 26 75.3 7	42.3 15 20.5 30
13.4 52 13.4 46	30,2 54 20,1 56	23.5 52 16.8 55	- 1 1	.0 5 166.7	2 .0 36 3 166.7 1	.0 49 .0 43	.0 36 .0 35
28.7 27 34.3 18	92.0 21 102.8 16	80.5 13 62.8 21		62.5 4 55.6 3		62.5 12 55.6 13	.0 36 .0 35
57.2 B 82.4 4	190.0 6 167.9 6	127.7 6 128.2 5		47.2 4 .0 5		16.4 4B .0 43	.0 36 .0 35
15.5 49 22.6 35	54.7 40 54.4 36	35.1 46 39.0 31		113,2 18.9 4		56.6 14 18.9 40	37.7 18 .0 35
37.8 15 31.1 22	106.5 16 83.5 23	74.6 17 68.6 17		104.5 2 81.1 2		36.5 33 24.6 35	45.1 11 42.8 8
51.0 9 50.3 9	147.2 8 127.2 9	107.9 B 97.6 B		108.7 I 93.0 2		39.6 29 46.5 21	39.6 16 34.9 15
23.5 35 9.9 52	56.9 37 37.7 48	39.2 38 33.7 40		69.0 4 52.6 3		69.0 10 .0 43	.0 36 .0 35
24.0 33 7.1 54	43.4 46 30.6 53	35.4 45 19.3 52				105.3 2 148.1 1	.0 36 .0 35
	9.9 52 24.0 33	9.9 52 37.7 4B 24.0 33 43.4 46	9.9 52 37.7 4B 33.7 40 24.0 33 43.4 46 35.4 45	9.9 52 37.7 4B 33.7 40 24.0 33 43.4 46 35.4 45	9.9 52 37.7 4B 33.7 40 52.6 31 24.0 33 43.4 46 35.4 45 105.3 11	9.9 52 37.7 4B 33.7 40 52.6 3B 52.6 3 24.0 33 43.4 46 35.4 45 105.3 1B .0 36	9.9 52 37.7 4B 33.7 40 52.6 3B 52.6 3 .0 43 24.0 33 43.4 46 35.4 45 105.3 18 .0 36 105.3 2

			PAROL	E SUPERVIS	SION CASE	5	· ·		· .	F	REVOKED A	ND RETURNED	PARDLE	ES ##	
		Releas To Par	cle	Unde Supervi During	ision Yeart	Unde Supervi Da 12	sion 1/31	Tota	1	Rul Violat		Nev Arres		New Fe Eonvict	•
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Pate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	39.0 36.6	14 15	112.1 105.6	15 15	79.8 73.3	15 15	97.8 59.4	23 28	10.9 23.1	31 18	48.9 34.7	23 29	38.0 11.6	
WARREN	1986 1985	21.6	40 56	48.6 50.3	43 40	30.6 27.0	49 46	.0 35.7	52 43	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 35.7	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	5.3 8.8	61 55	21.1 17.4	58 57	12.3 15.9	61 57	166.7	2 24	83.3 0	1 32	83.3 90.9	6	.0 .0	
HAYNE	1986- 1985	22.7 17.1	37 41	61,4 68.5	34 27	38.6 38.6	39 32	74.1 16.7	38 50	.0	36 32	37.0 16.7	31 41	37.0 .0	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	36.1 40.0	16 13	115.2 109.0	13 14	82.9 78.8	11 13	87.5 102.7	24 17	23.1 25.5	18 14	37.2 43.5	30 23	29.2 33.9	
HADHING	1986 1985	9.8 24.6	5å 32	44.1 41.8	45 45	17.6 34.4	55 39	55.6 .0	45 51	.0	36 32	55.6 .0	16 43	.0 .0	
YATES	1986 1985	14.2 18.6	51 39	42.5 27.9	47 55	33.0 27.9	47 45	.0 .0	52 51	0. .0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 .0	34 35
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	72.8 67.9	NA NA	210.2 175.5	NA NA	147.3 137.3	NA NA	121.0 116.1	NA NA	37.6 34.1	NA NA	42,1 41.3	NA NA	41.4 40.8	
NEW YORK CITY	1906 1985	115.2 104.4	NA NA	316.9 299.2	NA NA	218.5 201.9	NA NA	114.9	NA NA	39.8 38.2	NA Na	29.2 33.6	na Na	45.8 47.3	
						•									

* The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision. ** The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given

year.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

١

100

B-106

		Convi	ctions		Acqui			ssals	Not Pr	osecuted		ed To Court		01	ther		Te	otal
COUNTY	Yea	Nueber	Percent			Percent		Percent	Number	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Nunber	Percent		Nunber	Percent
ALÐANY	198	8 5	66.7 38.5			.0	0	.0 .0		.0	3	25.0 61.5		1	8.3		12 13	
ALLEGANY	198 198	0	NA - NA		0 0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0		0	na Na		0	NA NA	•	0 0	
BRONX	198 198	72 89	52.6 71.8		2	1.5 .0	38 21	27.7 16.9	7	5.1 4.0	10 4	7.3 3.2		8 5	5.8 4.0		137 124	100.0 100.0
BROOME	198 198	0	NA 100.0		0	NA .O	0	NA .0	0	NA .0	0	NA .0	•		NA . 0		0 1	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUSUS	198 198	0	na Na		0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA	0		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	
CAYUEA	198 198	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na		0	na Na		0	100.0
CHAUTAURUA	198 198	· · · · 0	NA 100.0		0 0	NA . 0	0	NA . 0	0	NA . 0	. 0 0	NA . 0		0	NA . O		0	100.0
CHENUNG	198 198	0 0	NA NA		- 0 0	NA NA		NA	0.0		0	NA NA		0	NG NA		0 0	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	198 198	0	NA NA	÷.,	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0		0	na Na		0	na Na		0	
CLINTON	198 198	0 2	NA 100,0		0	NA . 0	0 0	Ka . 0	0	NA . 0	0	HA .0		0 0	NA .O		0 2	
COLUNDIA	198 198	0	.0 NA		0 0	. 0 NA	0	.0 Na	0	• -	. 1 0	100.0 NA		0	.0 Na		1 0	100.0
CORTLAND	198 198	0	NA NA		· 0	NA Na	0 0	NA NA	0	1	0 0	NA NA		0	n a Na	•	0	
DELANARE	198 198	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0 0	na Ka		0	NA		0	100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS #

TABLE 12A JUVENILE OFFENDER DISFOSITIONS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

									11	VENILE	OFFENDER		NS +	-				•		
			Convicti	ions		Acqui	ttals	 Disai		· .	Not Pro	secuted		oved To ly Court	o *	0	ther		To	ital
	COUNTY	Year	Nuaber Pe		i		Percent	Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Numbe	r Percent		Number	Percent		Nunber	Percent
	DUTCHESS	1986 1985	0 1	.0 25.0		0 1	.0 25.0	0	.0 25.0		0	.0		1 100.0 1 25.0	•	0	.0		1 4	100.0 100.0
	ERIE	1986 1985	3 2	30.8 8.7		1 1	7.7 4.3	5 14	38.5 60.9		0 0	.0		2 15.4 4 17.4		1	7.7 8.7	•	13 23	100.0 100.0
	ESSEX	1986 1985	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	· · · 0	NA NA		Q 0	NA NA		Q HA D NA	•	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
	FRANKLIN	1986 1985	··· 0. ···	NA . 0	·	0 0	NA . 0	0	NA .0		0	NA .0	i • •	0 NA 1 100.0		0	NA .0		0 1	100.9 100.0
R_107	FULTON	1986 1985	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0 0	NA		0	na Na		D NA D NA		0	NA NA		0	100.0
	GENESEE	1986 1985	0	NA Ka		0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA		0 0	. NA NA				0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
	GREENE	1986 1985	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	ha Na				0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
	HANILTON	1986 1985	0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	- NA NA		0	NA NA	·	D NA D NA		0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
•	HERKIHER	1986 1985	0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0 0	NA NA	1			0	NA NA		0	100.0
	JEFFERSON	1986 1985	2 0	100.0 NA		0	.0 Na	0	.0 NA		0	. O Na				0	.O Na		2 0	100.0 100.0
	KINGS	1986 1985	138 92	32.B 24.7		2 4	.5 1.1	89 55	21.1 14.8		35 28	0.3 7.5	15 19			5	1.2		421 372	100.0 100.0
	LENIS	1986 1985	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0 0	NA NA				0	NA NA	•	0 0	100.0 100.0
	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	0	NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA				0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
								 												1997 - 19

(Continued on next page.)

B-107

| | | | | • | | | | |

 | Acqui | ttals | | |
 | | | | |
 | Fanil
 | y Court | | | | | Te | otal | |
|------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
--
---|--|---|--

--
--|---|---|---|---
--
---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| COUNTY | | | Year | | | | | | Nu

 | nber | Percent | | |
 | | | Number | Percent |
 | Nuaber
 | Percent | | Number | Percent | | | | έ. |
| | | | | | | | | |

 | | | | | ••••
 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | • |
| HAD I SON | | | 1986
1985 | | | | 100.0
NA | |

 | 0
0 | .0
NA | | | 0
0
 | .O
Na | | 0 | .0
Na |
 |
 | | | • | •• | | 1 | 100.0 | |
| MONROE | | | 1986
1985 | | | | | |

 | 0 | | | | 0
1
 | .0
4.2 | • | 0 | 8.3
.0 |
 |
 | | | | •• | | 3
24 | | |
| MONTGONERY | | | 1986
1985 | | | • | NA
NA | |

 | 0 | | | | 0
0
 | NA
NA | | 0 | NA |
 | •
 | | | - | | | 0 | | |
| NASSAU | | | 1986
1985 | | | | | |

 | 0 | | | | 1
 | 6.7
.0 | | 1
0 | 6.7
.0 |
 |
 | | | 1 | 6.7
.0 | | 15
8 | | |
| NEW YORK | | | 1986
1985 | | | | | |

 | 1 | | | |
 | 41.9
40.2 | | 11
16 | 5.0
6.5 |
 |
 | | | - | | | 222
246 | | |
| NIAGARA | | | 1985
1985 | | | | 66.7
.0 | |

 | 0 | | | | l
1
 | 33.3
100.0 | | 0 | .0 |
 |
 | | | • | •• | | 3 | - 100.0
100.0 | |
| ONEIDA | | | 1986
1985 | | 1 | | | |

 | 0 | | | | 0
 | .0 | | 1 | 33.3 |
 | 1
 | 33.3
.0 | | • | | | 3 | 100.0
100.0 | |
| ONONDAGA | | 14
10 | 1986
1985 | | | | | |

 | 0
0 | | | | 2
 | 22.2
6.7 | | 0 | .0- |
 |
 | | | - | •• | | 9
15 | 100.0
100.0 | |
| ONTARIO | | | 1986
1985 | | | | NA
NA | |

 | 0 | | | | 0
 | NA
NA | | Û | NA |
 |
 | | | - | | • | 0 | | |
| DRANGE | | | 1986
1985 | | | • | na
Na | |

 | 0
0 | | | | 0
 | NA
NA | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
 | | | - | 1 | | 0 | 100.0
100.0 | |
| ORLEANS | | | 1986
1985 | | | | NA
NA | ~ |

 | 0 | | | | 0
0
 | NA
NA | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | 0 | 100.0 | |
| OSHEGO | | | 1986
1985 | | | | NA
NA | |

 | 0 | | | • - · | 0
 | na
Na | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | 0 | | |
| DTSE6D | | | 1986
1985 | | | | NA
NA | |

 | 0
0 | | | | 0
0
 | NA
NA | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | 0 | 100.0
100.0 | |
| | MAD I SON
MONROE
MONTGOHERY
NASSAU
NEW YORK
NIAGARA
ONE I DA
ONONDAGA
ONTAR I D
ORANGE
DRLEANS
OSHEGO | HAD I SON
MONRDE
MONRDE
MONTGONERY
NASSAU
NEW YORK
NIAGARA
ONE I DA
ONONDAGA
ONTARI D
ORANGE
ORLEANS
OSHEGO | HAD I SON
HONRDE
MONTGOHERY
NASSAU
NEW YORK
NIAGARA
ONE I DA
ONONDAGA
ONTARI D
ORANGE
DRLEANS
OSHEGO | HAD ISON 1986 HONRDE 1985 MONTGONERY 1985 MONTGONERY 1985 NASSAU 1985 NEW YORK 1986 1985 1985 NIAGARA 1985 ONE IDA 1985 ONNONDAGA 1986 1985 1985 ONTARIO 1986 1985 1985 ORLEANS 1986 1985 1985 OSWEGO 1986 0TSEE60 1986 | HAD ISON 1986 HONRDE 1985 MONTGONERY 1986 1985 1985 MONTGONERY 1986 1985 1985 NASSAU 1986 NASSAU 1985 NEW YORK 1986 1985 1985 ONE IDA 1985 ONE IDA 1985 ONONDAGA 1986 1985 1985 ONTARIO 1985 ORLEANS 1985 OSWEGO 1986 1985 1985 OTSEED 1986 | COUNTY Year Numbe HAD ISON 1986 1985 MONRDE 1985 1985 MONRDE 1986 1985 MONTGONERY 1986 1985 NASSAU 1985 1985 NASSAU 1986 8 NASSAU 1985 8 NASSAU 1985 8 NIAGARA 1985 8 NIAGARA 1985 8 NIAGARA 1985 8 NIAGARA 1985 8 ONE IDA 1985 8 ONONDAGA 1985 9 ONTARID 1985 1985 ORLEANS 1985 1985 OSWEGO 1986 1985 OTSEED 1986 1985 | COUNTY Year Number Pear NAD ISON 1986 1 1 NAD ISON 1985 0 1 MAD ISON 1985 0 1 MONROE 1985 0 1 MONTGONERY 1986 0 1 NASSAU 1985 0 0 NASSAU 1985 0 0 NASSAU 1985 0 0 NASSAU 1985 0 0 NASSAU 1986 87 1 NAGARA 1986 0 0 NIAGARA 1986 0 1 DNONDAGA 1986 5 1 DNONDAGA 1986 5 1 DNTARIO 1986 0 0 DRLEANS 1986 0 0 1985 0 0 1985 0 DRLEANS 1986 0 1985 0 | NAD1SDH 1986 1 100,0 1985 0 NA HDNRDE 1985 0 NA NASSAU 1986 8 53,3 NASSAU 1986 87 39,2 NASSAU 1986 87 39,2 NASSAU 1986 87 35,4 NIAGARA 1985 0 .0 DNEIDA 1985 1 100.0 DNONDAGA 1985 1 100.0 DNONDAGA 1985 0 NA DRARIO 1986 5 55.6 1985 0 NA 1985 0 DNONDAGA 1986 0 NA 1985 0 DRARE 1986 0 NA 198 | CDUNTY Year Number Percent NADISON 1985 0 NA HODROE 1985 0 NA HOHROE 1985 0 NA HOHROE 1985 0 NA HOHROE 1985 0 NA HOHROE 1985 0 NA MADISON 1985 0 NA HOHROE 1985 0 NA NASSAU 1986 8 53.3 NASSAU 1986 87 39.2 HEW YORK 1986 87 39.2 HIAGARA 1985 0 .0 NIAGARA 1985 1 100.0 ONEIDA 1986 5 55.6 1985 1 100.0 .0 ONNDAGA 1986 0 NA 1985 0 NA .0 ORAINBE 1986 0 NA 1985 <td< td=""><td>COUNTY Year Number Percent Nu NADISON 1986 1 100.0 NA HONRDE 1985 0 NA HONRDE 1985 0 NA HONRDE 1985 0 NA HONRDE 1985 0 NA HONTEDNERY 1985 0 NA NASSAU 1985 4 50.0 NEW YORK 1985 87 39.2 1985 87 35.4 NIAGARA 1985 0 0 DNE UDA 1985 0 0 DNE 1DA 1985 1 100.0 DNONDABA 1985 1 100.0 DNONDABA 1985 9 53.3 DNTAR10 1985 0 NA DRANGE 1985 0 NA DRLEANS 1986 0 NA DSHEGO 1986 0 NA</td><td>COUNTY Year Number Percent Number NADISDN 1985 0 MA 0 MOHRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MURDE 1985 0 MA 0 MOHRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MOHRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MOHRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MOHTEDHERY 1986 0 MA 0 NASSAU 1986 8 53.3 0 NASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 NIAGARA 1985 87 35.4 1 NIAGARA 1985 2 64.7 0 DNONDAGA 1985 1 100.0 0 DNONDAGA 1986 5 55.6 0 DNONDAGA 1986 0 NA 0 DRAREE 1986 0 NA 0 DRLEANS</td><td>COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent NADISON 1986 1 100.0 0 .0 NADISON 1985 0 NA 0 NA HONROE 1985 1 33.3 0 .0 HONROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 HONROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 MANTEOHERY 1986 0 NA 0 NA NASSAU 1985 4 50.0 0 .0 NASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 .5 1985 87 35.4 1 .4 NIAGARA 1986 2 66.7 0 .0 DNEIDA 1985 1 100.0 0 .0 ONNAGRA 1986 5 55.6 0 .0 DNUNDAGA 1986 0 NA 0 NA</td><td>COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent HADISON 1986 1 100,0 0 .0 HADISON 1985 0 NA 0 NA HONRDE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 HONRDE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 HONTEOMERY 1986 0 NA 0 MA NASSAU 1985 0 NA 0 MA NASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 .5 1985 87 35.4 1 .4 NIAGARA 1986 87 35.4 1 .4 NIAGARA 1985 0 .0 .0 .0 DNEIDA 1985 1 100.0 .0 .0 DNEIDA 1986 5 55.6 .0 .0 DNUNDAGA 1986 5 55.6 .0 .0</td><td>COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent Number MADISON 1985 0 MA 0 MA HONRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MA MADISON 1985 0 MA 0 MA HONRDE 1985 0 NA 0 MA MADISON 1985 0 NA 0 MA MADISON 1985 0 NA 0 MA MADISON 1985 8 53.3 0 .0 MADISON 1985 87 39.2 1 .5 MIAGRAA 1986 2 66.7 0 .0 DNONDAGA 1985 8 53.3 0 <t< td=""><td>CDUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent MAD1SDN 1986 1 100.0 0 .0 0 MAD1SDN 1986 1 33.3 0 .0 0 MADROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 1 MAROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 1 MATGOMERY 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MASSAU 1985 4 50.0 0 .0 1 MASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 .5 93 MAGRA 1985 2 66.7 0 0 1 NASAU 1986 2 2 6.7 0 0 1 NAGRAA 1985 0 .0 0 .0 1 1 ONTRIAN 1986 5 55.6 0 .0 2</td><td>LEULNTY Year Nunber Percent Nunber Percent Nunber Percent NADISON 1985 0 100.0 0 0 0 0 0 MADISON 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MONROE 1985 1 33.3 0 .0 0 .0 .0 .0 MONTEDHERY 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MA MASSAU 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MA MASSAU 1986 8 53.3 0 .0 1 6.7 MASSAU 1985 87 35.4 1 .4 99 40.2 NIAGARA 1985 0 .0 0 0 .0 1 100.0 DREI YORK 1985 1 100.0 0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0</td></t<><td>LCDUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent MAD ISDH 1986 1 100,0 0 .0 .0 .0 MAD ISDH 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MONROE 1985 6 25,0 0 .0 .0 .0 MONTEOMERY 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MASSAU 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MASSAU 1986 8 53,3 0 .0 1 4.2 MUNTEOMERY 1986 8 7 37,2 1 .5 93 41,9 1985 0 .0 0 .0 100.0 .0 .0 .0 NIAGARA 1986 2 66.7 0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 DINDAGA 1985 1</td><td>CEUNITY Year Number Percent Number</td><td>CEUNITY Year Number Percent Humber Percent Humber Percent Humber Percent HADISON 1985 0 HA 0<!--</td--><td>CEUNITY Year Number Percent Number</td><td>Convictions Acquittals Dississals Not Presecuted Fail EDUNTY Year Number Percent Number</td><td>LEGNITY Year Number Percent Number</td><td>Convictions Acquittais Bisalsals Not Presecuted Faily Court DOUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent</td><td>Convictions Acquittals Bisaisals Not Prosected Faily Court Ausber Faily Court DOURTY Year Munder Percent Number Percent <</td><td>Convictions Acquittats Disaisals Hot Presented Faily Court Other COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Per</td><td>Lowitzions Acquitzis Bississic Hot Prosecuted Failly Court Uther LOMIY Year Number Percent Number Percent</td><td>Convictions Acquitta's Bissisal's Hel Presented Failly Cort Other Taily Cort Other Present Muster Present Mus</td><td>Lowittias Regittals Biadisals Hot Presented Faily Court Ether Total COUTY Year Number Percent Number Perce</td></td></td></td<> | COUNTY Year Number Percent Nu NADISON 1986 1 100.0 NA HONRDE 1985 0 NA HONRDE 1985 0 NA HONRDE 1985 0 NA HONRDE 1985 0 NA HONTEDNERY 1985 0 NA NASSAU 1985 4 50.0 NEW YORK 1985 87 39.2 1985 87 35.4 NIAGARA 1985 0 0 DNE UDA 1985 0 0 DNE 1DA 1985 1 100.0 DNONDABA 1985 1 100.0 DNONDABA 1985 9 53.3 DNTAR10 1985 0 NA DRANGE 1985 0 NA DRLEANS 1986 0 NA DSHEGO 1986 0 NA | COUNTY Year Number Percent Number NADISDN 1985 0 MA 0 MOHRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MURDE 1985 0 MA 0 MOHRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MOHRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MOHRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MOHTEDHERY 1986 0 MA 0 NASSAU 1986 8 53.3 0 NASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 NIAGARA 1985 87 35.4 1 NIAGARA 1985 2 64.7 0 DNONDAGA 1985 1 100.0 0 DNONDAGA 1986 5 55.6 0 DNONDAGA 1986 0 NA 0 DRAREE 1986 0 NA 0 DRLEANS | COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent NADISON 1986 1 100.0 0 .0 NADISON 1985 0 NA 0 NA HONROE 1985 1 33.3 0 .0 HONROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 HONROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 MANTEOHERY 1986 0 NA 0 NA NASSAU 1985 4 50.0 0 .0 NASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 .5 1985 87 35.4 1 .4 NIAGARA 1986 2 66.7 0 .0 DNEIDA 1985 1 100.0 0 .0 ONNAGRA 1986 5 55.6 0 .0 DNUNDAGA 1986 0 NA 0 NA | COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent HADISON 1986 1 100,0 0 .0 HADISON 1985 0 NA 0 NA HONRDE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 HONRDE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 HONTEOMERY 1986 0 NA 0 MA NASSAU 1985 0 NA 0 MA NASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 .5 1985 87 35.4 1 .4 NIAGARA 1986 87 35.4 1 .4 NIAGARA 1985 0 .0 .0 .0 DNEIDA 1985 1 100.0 .0 .0 DNEIDA 1986 5 55.6 .0 .0 DNUNDAGA 1986 5 55.6 .0 .0 | COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent Number MADISON 1985 0 MA 0 MA HONRDE 1985 0 MA 0 MA MADISON 1985 0 MA 0 MA HONRDE 1985 0 NA 0 MA MADISON 1985 0 NA 0 MA MADISON 1985 0 NA 0 MA MADISON 1985 8 53.3 0 .0 MADISON 1985 87 39.2 1 .5 MIAGRAA 1986 2 66.7 0 .0 DNONDAGA 1985 8 53.3 0 <t< td=""><td>CDUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent MAD1SDN 1986 1 100.0 0 .0 0 MAD1SDN 1986 1 33.3 0 .0 0 MADROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 1 MAROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 1 MATGOMERY 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MASSAU 1985 4 50.0 0 .0 1 MASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 .5 93 MAGRA 1985 2 66.7 0 0 1 NASAU 1986 2 2 6.7 0 0 1 NAGRAA 1985 0 .0 0 .0 1 1 ONTRIAN 1986 5 55.6 0 .0 2</td><td>LEULNTY Year Nunber Percent Nunber Percent Nunber Percent NADISON 1985 0 100.0 0 0 0 0 0 MADISON 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MONROE 1985 1 33.3 0 .0 0 .0 .0 .0 MONTEDHERY 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MA MASSAU 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MA MASSAU 1986 8 53.3 0 .0 1 6.7 MASSAU 1985 87 35.4 1 .4 99 40.2 NIAGARA 1985 0 .0 0 0 .0 1 100.0 DREI YORK 1985 1 100.0 0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0</td></t<> <td>LCDUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent MAD ISDH 1986 1 100,0 0 .0 .0 .0 MAD ISDH 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MONROE 1985 6 25,0 0 .0 .0 .0 MONTEOMERY 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MASSAU 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MASSAU 1986 8 53,3 0 .0 1 4.2 MUNTEOMERY 1986 8 7 37,2 1 .5 93 41,9 1985 0 .0 0 .0 100.0 .0 .0 .0 NIAGARA 1986 2 66.7 0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 DINDAGA 1985 1</td> <td>CEUNITY Year Number Percent Number</td> <td>CEUNITY Year Number Percent Humber Percent Humber Percent Humber Percent HADISON 1985 0 HA 0<!--</td--><td>CEUNITY Year Number Percent Number</td><td>Convictions Acquittals Dississals Not Presecuted Fail EDUNTY Year Number Percent Number</td><td>LEGNITY Year Number Percent Number</td><td>Convictions Acquittais Bisalsals Not Presecuted Faily Court DOUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent</td><td>Convictions Acquittals Bisaisals Not Prosected Faily Court Ausber Faily Court DOURTY Year Munder Percent Number Percent <</td><td>Convictions Acquittats Disaisals Hot Presented Faily Court Other COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Per</td><td>Lowitzions Acquitzis Bississic Hot Prosecuted Failly Court Uther LOMIY Year Number Percent Number Percent</td><td>Convictions Acquitta's Bissisal's Hel Presented Failly Cort Other Taily Cort Other Present Muster Present Mus</td><td>Lowittias Regittals Biadisals Hot Presented Faily Court Ether Total COUTY Year Number Percent Number Perce</td></td> | CDUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent MAD1SDN 1986 1 100.0 0 .0 0 MAD1SDN 1986 1 33.3 0 .0 0 MADROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 1 MAROE 1985 6 25.0 0 .0 1 MATGOMERY 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MASSAU 1985 4 50.0 0 .0 1 MASSAU 1986 87 39.2 1 .5 93 MAGRA 1985 2 66.7 0 0 1 NASAU 1986 2 2 6.7 0 0 1 NAGRAA 1985 0 .0 0 .0 1 1 ONTRIAN 1986 5 55.6 0 .0 2 | LEULNTY Year Nunber Percent Nunber Percent Nunber Percent NADISON 1985 0 100.0 0 0 0 0 0 MADISON 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MONROE 1985 1 33.3 0 .0 0 .0 .0 .0 MONTEDHERY 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MA MASSAU 1986 0 NA 0 MA 0 MA MASSAU 1986 8 53.3 0 .0 1 6.7 MASSAU 1985 87 35.4 1 .4 99 40.2 NIAGARA 1985 0 .0 0 0 .0 1 100.0 DREI YORK 1985 1 100.0 0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 | LCDUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent MAD ISDH 1986 1 100,0 0 .0 .0 .0 MAD ISDH 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MONROE 1985 6 25,0 0 .0 .0 .0 MONTEOMERY 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MASSAU 1985 0 NA 0 NA 0 NA MASSAU 1986 8 53,3 0 .0 1 4.2 MUNTEOMERY 1986 8 7 37,2 1 .5 93 41,9 1985 0 .0 0 .0 100.0 .0 .0 .0 NIAGARA 1986 2 66.7 0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 DINDAGA 1985 1 | CEUNITY Year Number Percent Number | CEUNITY Year Number Percent Humber Percent Humber Percent Humber Percent HADISON 1985 0 HA 0 </td <td>CEUNITY Year Number Percent Number</td> <td>Convictions Acquittals Dississals Not Presecuted Fail EDUNTY Year Number Percent Number</td> <td>LEGNITY Year Number Percent Number</td> <td>Convictions Acquittais Bisalsals Not Presecuted Faily Court DOUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent</td> <td>Convictions Acquittals Bisaisals Not Prosected Faily Court Ausber Faily Court DOURTY Year Munder Percent Number Percent <</td> <td>Convictions Acquittats Disaisals Hot Presented Faily Court Other COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Per</td> <td>Lowitzions Acquitzis Bississic Hot Prosecuted Failly Court Uther LOMIY Year Number Percent Number Percent</td> <td>Convictions Acquitta's Bissisal's Hel Presented Failly Cort Other Taily Cort Other Present Muster Present Mus</td> <td>Lowittias Regittals Biadisals Hot Presented Faily Court Ether Total COUTY Year Number Percent Number Perce</td> | CEUNITY Year Number Percent Number | Convictions Acquittals Dississals Not Presecuted Fail EDUNTY Year Number Percent Number | LEGNITY Year Number Percent Number | Convictions Acquittais Bisalsals Not Presecuted Faily Court DOUNTY Year Number Percent Number Percent | Convictions Acquittals Bisaisals Not Prosected Faily Court Ausber Faily Court DOURTY Year Munder Percent Number Percent < | Convictions Acquittats Disaisals Hot Presented Faily Court Other COUNTY Year Number Percent Number Per | Lowitzions Acquitzis Bississic Hot Prosecuted Failly Court Uther LOMIY Year Number Percent Number Percent | Convictions Acquitta's Bissisal's Hel Presented Failly Cort Other Taily Cort Other Present Muster Present Mus | Lowittias Regittals Biadisals Hot Presented Faily Court Ether Total COUTY Year Number Percent Number Perce |

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS +

TABLE 12A

ij

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							•						J	IVENILE	OFFENDER D	ISPOSITIO)NS 🔹								
					onvici	ions			uittals		·****	Disai	ssals		Not Pros			Remov Family	Court			ther		1	lotal
	COUNTY	-	Year	Nua	ber I	ercent		Nuabe	r Perci			Number	Percent		Nunber	Percent		Nuzber	Percent		Nunber	Percent		Number	Percent
	PUTNAN		1986 1985		0 0	NA NA				NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA Na		0	NA NA		0	NA		0	
	QUEENS		1986 1985		60 36	46.9 37.5				2.3		30 23	23.4 24.0		0 1	.0 1.0		35 36	27.3 37.5		1	.8 .0		128 96	
	RENSSELAER		1986 1985		0	NA NA				na Na		0	na Na		0	NA NA		0	na Na		- 0 0	na Na		. Q	
	RICHMOND		1986 1985		2	16.7 20.0			0	.0 .0		3 5	25.0 50.0		32	25.0 20.0		- 4	33.3 10.0		- 0	.0		12 10	
B-109	ROCKLAND		1986 1985	•	0	NA NA				NA NA	-	0	na Na		- 0 -	NA NA		0	na Na		0	NA NA		0	
Ψ.	ST. LAWRENCE		1986 1985		0-	NA NA				HA HA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0 0	ka Na		0	na Na		0	
	SARATOGA		1986 1985	'	0	NA . O			0	NA .0		0	NA .0		0	NA .0		0	NA .C		0	NA 100.0		0	
	SCHENECTADY	1 	1985 1985		0 0	.O NA				.0 HA		0	.0 Na		0 0	.0 NA		1	100.0 NA	•	0	.0 Na		1	100.0
	SCHOHARIE	· . 1	1986 1985		0 0	NA NA				NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA. NA		0	NA NA		0	
	SCHUYLER		1986 1985		0	NA Na				HA HA		0 0	HA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	na Na		0 0	
	SENECA		1986 1985		0	NA NA				NA NA		0	NA NA		0 Ú	NA NA		0	NA. NA		0	NA Na		0	
· ·	STEUBEN		1984 1985		0	NA .O			0	NA . 0		0 1	NA 100.0		0	NA .0		0	NA . 0	•	- 0	NA . O	•	0 1	
-	SUFFOLK	· · ·	1986 1985		B 16	36.4 30.2			1	.0 -		1 1,	4.5 1.9		0	.0		8 31	36.4 58.5		4	18.2 9.4		22 53	

		_	Canvi	ctions		Acqui	ttals	Disai	ssals			secuted		Renov Fanily			Dt	her		Total
COUNTY	Year		Nuaber	Percent		Nunber	Percent	Hunber	Percent	,	Nuaber	Percent	. <u>-</u>	Nuober	Percent		Inber	Percent		r Percen
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		0	NA NA	· · ·	0 0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA		0.	NA HA		0	NA NA		 	NA • NA		0 100. 0 100.
TIOGA	1986 1985		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	. 0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	(0 100. 0 100.
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0 0	NA NA		D 100. D 100.
ULSTER	1986 1985		0	NA 100.0		0	NA . 0	0	NA . 0		0	NA . 0		0	NA . 0		0	NA .O	1	
WARREN	1986 1985		0 0	na Na	•	0	NA NA	Ŭ O	NA NA		. 0 0	NA NA		0	NA Ka		0	na Na		0 100. 0 100.
HASHINGTON	1986 1985		0	NA NA		0	-NA -NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		- 0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	(0 100. 0 100.
NAYNE	1986 1985		1	100.0 NA		0	.0 Na	0	0. Ha	-	0	.0 NA		0	.Q Na		0	.O Na	. 1	l 100. D 100.
NESTCHESTER	1986 1985		10 14	76.9 70.0		0 1	.0 5.0	0 2	.0 10.0		2 0	15.4 .0		. 1 	7.7 15.0		0	.0	13 20	
HADHING	1986 1985		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	•	0 0	HA HA		0 100. 0 100.
YATES	1986 1985		0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA Na		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0 100. 0 100.
NEH YORK STATE	1986 1985		410 369	40.2		10 10	1.0	263 225	25.8 22.1		60 54	5.9 5.3		257 342	25.2 33.6		21 19	2.1 1.7	1,021	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		359 307	39.0 36.2		8	.9 .8	253 203	27.5 23.9		56 52	6.1 6.1		232 272	25.2 32.0		14 8	1.5	921 B49	

JUVENILE DFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dispissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

B-110

JUVENILE OFFENDER SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 TABLE 12B

						SENTENCES AS A PR	RCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS +			1
	COUNTY	Year	State Facility		Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	-	Other	Total Convictions
	ALBANY	1986 1985	87.5 100.0		.0 .0	.0 .0	12.5	· ·	.0 .0	100.0 100.0
	ALLEGANY .	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA		NA NA	100.0 100.0
- 1	BRONX	1986 1985	37.5 31.5		12.5 14.6	6.9 7.9	38.9 42.7		4.2 3.4	100.0 100.0
	BROOME	1986 1985	NA 100.0		NA . 0	NA .0	XA .0		NA . 0	100.0 100.0
B-111	CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	NA ;NA		NA NA	NA NA	KA NA		NA NA	100.0 100.0
	CAYUGA	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA		NA NA	100.0
	CHAUTAUDUA	1984 1985	NA 100.0		HA .0	NA .0	NA . O	•	NA .0	100.0
	CHEMUNG	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA		na Ka	100.0 100.0
	CHENANGO	1986 1985	na Na	т. н. ".	na Na	NA NA	NA NA		NA NA	100.0 100.0
	CLINTON	1986 1985	NA 59.0		NA . 0	.0	NA 50.0		• NA	100.0
	COLUNBIA	1786 1785	NA NA	ی 	MA NA	HA NA	NA NA		NA NA	100.0
	CORTLAND	1786 1985	na Na		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA		NA NA	100.0 100.0
	DELAWARE	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NANA	NA NA	•	NA NA	100.0

{ Continued on next page. }

TABLE 128

COUNTY Year State Facility Local Jail and Probation Other	Total Convictions
DUTCHESS 1986 NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
1985 .0 .0 .0 .0 100.0 .0	100.0
ERIE 1984 75.0 25.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 1985 50.0 .0 .0 50.0 .0	100.0 100.0
ESSEX 1986 NA NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
1985 NA NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
FRANKLIN 1986 NA NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
1985 NA NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
FULTON 1986 NA NA NA NA NA 1 FULTON 1985 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	100.0 100.0
GENESEE1986NANANANA1985NAHANANANA	100.0 100.0
GREENE 1986 NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
1985 NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
HAMILTON 1986 NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
1985 NA HA NA NA NA	100.0
HERKINER 1986 NA NA NA NA	100.0
1985 NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
JEFFERSON , 1986 100.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	100.0
1985 NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
KINGS 1986 29.0 8.7 20.3 39.1 2.9 1985 37.0 12.0 17.4 30.4 3.3	100.0 100.0
LEVIS 1986 NA KA NA NA NA	100.0
1985 NA NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
LIVINGSTON 1986 NA NA NA NA NA	100.0
1985 NA NA NA NA NA	100.0

TABLE 128 SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			· · · ·	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL	CONVICTIONS #	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
MADISON	1986	100.0	. O	.0	.0	.0	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	Na	NA	Na	100.0
NONROE	1986	100.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	100.0
	1985	16.7	16.7	66.7	.0	.0	100.0
NONTGONE	ERY 1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	na	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0
NASSAU	1984	62.5	12.5	.0	25.0	.0	100.0
	1985	50.0	.0	.0	25.0	25.0	100.0
NEW YORK	K 1786 1985	39.1 52.9	2.3 12.6	3.4	55.2 29.9	.0 3.4	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986	100.0	.O	.0	.0	.0	100.0
	1985	Na	Na	Na	NA	NA	100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	.0 .0	.0 .0	.0 100.0	100.0	.0 .0	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	A 1984 1985	.0 50.0	20.0	.0 .0	80.0 50.0	.0 .0	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986	NA	NA	HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	Na	NA	NA	100.0
ORANGE	, 1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
ORLEANS	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	. 100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
OSWEGO	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1785	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
OTSEGD	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1965	NA	NA	Na	NA	NA	100.0

TABLE 128 SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			an a		· · ·			SENTENCES AS A	PERCENT OF TOT	AL CONVICTIONS #				ta ta s
COUNTY	· ·	Year	State Facili			Local Jail	2 	Local Jail and Probatio	n	Probation		Other		Total Convictions
PUTNAN		1986 1985	NA NA	•		na Na		NA Ha		NA Na		NA NA		100.0 100.0
QUEENS		1986 1985	20.0 36.1			9.3 5.6		25.0 2.8		45.0 47.2		1.7 8.3	• = 5	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER		1986 1985	NA NA			NA NA		NA NA		NA NA	-	NA NA		100.0 100.0
RICHHOND		1986 1985	50.0 50.0			.0 .0		.0		50.0 50.0		.0 .0		100.0 : 100.0
ROCKLAND		1984 1985	na Na			NA NA		NA NA		NA NA		NA NA		100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE		1986 1985	HA NA		- 	na Na		na Na		NA NA		NA NA		100.0 100.0
SARATOGA		1985 1985	NA NA			na Na		HA NA		NA NA		NA NA		100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY		1986 1985	NA HA			ka Na		KA NA		NA NA		NA NA		100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE		1786 1785	NA NA			NA NA		NA NA		NA NA		NA NA		100.0 100.0
* SCHUYLER		1986 1985	NA NA		•	NA NA		NA Na		na Na		NA NA	an an thai Suirtean	100.0 100.0
SENECA		1786 1785	NA NA			na Ha		NA HA		NA NA		NA NA		100.0 100.0
STEUDEN		1786 1785	NA			NA NA		RA NA		NA NA		NA XA		100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK		1986 1985	25.0 18.8	•		12.5 12.5		.0 37.5		50.0 18.8		12.5 12.5		100.0 100.0
			ан Тараан ал											

(Continued on next page.)

B-114
TABLE 128 SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 ~ Continued

		-		SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TO	AL CONVICTIONS F		
CDUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Dther	Total Conviction
SULLIVAN	1906 1985	- NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA. NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
TIDGA	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
TONPKINS	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	XA	100.0
ULSTER	1986	- NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	. 0	. O	. O	. O	100. 0	100.0
WARREN	1986	NA	HA	HA	NA	HA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	KA	NA	NA	100.0
WASHINGTON	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
WAYNE	1986	100.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	Na	Na	100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	40.0 42.9	10.0	40.0 28.6	10.0 14.3	.0 14.3	100.0 100.0
WYOHING	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA HA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0
YATES	.1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986	34.6	8.0	13.4	41.7	2.2	100.0
	1985	39.8	10.9	10.8	33.6	4.9	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	31.8 39.7	7.0 12.1	14.2 8.1	44.0 36.2	2.2 3.9	100.0

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

B-115

APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1984 -1986 APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1966 ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) *

		*****		•	PERCENT D	F DISPOSITION	S REPORTED					AVERAGE PERCI	
			1984 Arrest	5		1985 Arrest	5		1986 Arrest	5	-	1984-86 ARRE	ITS
	COUNTY	Total	Felony	Nisdeseanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeaeanor	Total	Felony	Ni sdeaeanor	Total	Felony	Nisdemeano
							•			•			
	ALBANY	88.2	84.3	87.8	91.2	67.2	92.7	79.1	73.B	81.4	86.0	81.3	87.9
	ALLEGANY	57.6	61.6	56.5	58.3	55.9	58.9	52.4	44.3	55.3	56.0	52.9	56.9
	BRONX	89.4	90.2	88.3	87.8	69.4	85.8	74.8	75.3	74.1	83.5	84.4	82.5
	BRODHE	B2.1	84.3	81.4	B0.7	75.9	B1.0	71.3	67.3	72.5	77.8	77.1	78.1
	CATTARAUGUS	67.B	60.5	69.1	70.0	65.4	71.1	61.1	53.7	62.8	66.1	57.5	67.5
	CAYUGA	68.4	72.3	67.5	76.2	80.2	75.4	52.0	51.8	52.1	66.2	68.0	45.8
	CHAUTAUDUA	78.5	73.1	79.7	82.3	77.B	B3.3	70.9	68.4	71.5	77.1	72.9	78.0
	CHENUNG	B0.3	84.6	79.1	81.3	79.0	81.9	59.1	62.7	71.0	76.9	75.4	77.4
	CHENANGO	65.3	58.9	66.6	70.7	74.2	70.1	51.2	48.6	63.3	45.9	60.9	66.8
	CLINTON	76.1	76.6	76.0	74.1	72.0	74.7	59.5	53.2	61.7	69.9	65.9	71.0
	COLUHBIA	74.6	75.8	74.2	74.9	73.2	75.4	69.8	57.5	70.5	73.2	72.3	73.5
	CORTLAND	82.8	85.1	82.5	80.5	78.5	B0.B	62.2	58.2	63.1	75.1	72.4	75.6
	DELAWARE	69.5	. 72.1	69.0	73.8	72.5	74.0	59.0	58.1	59.3	67.3	66.8	67.5
	DUTCHESS	85.2	62.1	86.1	86.2	54.0	87.0	67.5	60.7	70.0	79.6	75.1	81.2
	ERIE	83.6	85.0	83.1	84.9	85.5	84.7	70.5	73.2	69.5	79.5	B1.1	78.9
	ESSEX	73.9	68.8	75.4	76.3	71.3	77.4	63.3	55.2	66.1	70.8	43.B	72.8
	FRANKLIN	75.7	76.2	75.6	74.1	73.0	74.3	68.5	63.6	59.3	72.5	70.9	72.9
	FULTON	78,8 ·	B1.9	77.8	79.7	78.0	60.2	74.8	67.8	76.4	77.8	76.9	
	GENESEE	68,6	75.3	67.1	69.7	58.2	72.3	62.8	49.3		66.B		78,1
	GREENE	75.9	13.3	77.7	77.7					6å.1		59.8	68.5
	HAKILTON					75.6	78.4	69.5	64.7	71.1	73.9	70.1	75.3
	HERKINER	68.8	88.9	66.2	50.0	83.3	39.5	47.6	50.0	47.1	54.B	73.5	50.5
		62,1	67.B	60.7	67.3	68.7	67.0	52.1	48.6	53.3	60.4	60.2	60.5
	JEFFERSON	71.5	75.9	69.5	72.1	75.0	71.1	67.0	64.6	68.1 ·	70.2	71.7	49.6
	KINGS	86.3	86.9	85.4	82.3	83.6	80.7	66.3	68.6	63,3	77.4	79.0	75.2
	LEWIS	61.7	68.8	59,9	65.3	81.3	60.6	47.5	54.5	44.4	58.9	68,0	55.9
	LIVINGSTON	76.9	73.6	77.4	78.0	76.2	78.2	60.4	49.4	62.5	71.6	64.2	72.7
	MADISON	73,7	76.2	73.2	72.4	67.9	73.4	64.5	59.4	65.7	69.9	67.1	70.6
	MONROE	89.3	83.8	90.9	72.4	70.5	73.0	59.0	51.9	61.2	72.9	67.5	74.5
	HONTGONERY	72.9	77.5	71.9	72.1	76.1	71.3	58.2	52.7	59.3	67.2	67.8	67.1
	NASSAU	88.6	90.9	87.7	B0.1	85.5	77.6	55.7	61.6	53.1	74.7	79.0	72.9
	NEH YORK	90.9	90.9	90.9	90.2	89.4	90.6	79.4	77.5	80.5	85.5	85,7	87.0
-	NIAGARA	90.4	89.1	90.8	90,6	85.9	92.0	77.6	66.2	81.0	85.8	79,9	87.6
	DNEIDA	75.1	74.7	75.2	74.6	77.7	73.6	64.1	61.6	54.9	71.0	71.1	71.0
	ONONGAGA	81.4	74.9	64.3	78.2	71.0	81.9	54.9	46.9	58.8	71,2	63.9	74.7
	ONTARIO	72.7	74.0	72.4	70.4	77.2	69.0	65.6	69.7	64.8	69.3	73.4	68.4
	DRANGE	80.6	79.0	B1.1	81.5	78.0	82.7	57.6	49.2	60,5	72.6	67.B	74.3
	ORLEANS	69.4	75.9	67.8	70.7	78.3	67.2	55.4	54.0	56.3	65.3	69.2	63.9
	OSWEGO	79.6	77.3	80.0	76.8	70.5	78.5	62.2	58.7	63.1	72.6	69.1	73.7
5 -	OTSEGD	-71.6	43.9	73.1	77.5	75.0	- 79.1	£2.9	50.5	53.4	70.9	55.7	71.7
	PUTNAN	82.4	53.6	84.7	81,4	56.3	65.4	63.2	44.0	58.3	74.0	56.7	79.4
		. 4417		Uu. /	. 91. 7	36.9	04.7	80.1	75.0	02.3	/4.0	3D./	17.4

(Continued on next page,)

PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS (ADULTS DNLY) # - Continued APPENDIX C

				PERCENT OF	DISPOSITION	S REPORTED					AVERAGE PERC	
		1984 Arrest	i		1985 Arrest	5		1986 Arrest	5		1984-86 ARRE	STS -
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Hi sdeeeanor	Total	Felocy	Nisdemeanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeaeanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeneano
	· · · · ·				•		· · ·		· · · · ·			
IUEENS	90.B	92.2	89.5	99.1	90.0	88.3	73.8	73.B	73.8	84.0	84.2	83,7
ENSSELAER	78.3	74.4	79.4	77.8	76.1	.9.3	66.6	50.4	68.4	74.0	69.9	75.1
ICHNOND	92,1	92.8	91.7	90.5	92.4	89.3	76.3	78.9	74.6	86.1	87.8	85.0
OCKLAND	74.8	76.0	74.3	75.8	79.0	74.7	56.0	53.5	57.1	69.3	69.1	69.4
ST. LAWRENCE	52.1	29,0	56.9	54.3	34.8	59.1	51.2	32.3	54.6	52.5	31.9	56.5
ARATOGA	 78.1	76.7	78.3	84.6	80.5	85.5	76.3	66.8	78.4	79.7	74.4	80.8
CHENECTADY	88.7	85.1	89.7	91.8	89.4	92.5	77.2	66.9	80.3	95.6	80.0	87.3
CHOHARTE	56.9	70.5	54.3	70.9	78.3	69.7	62.0	46.0	61.1	63.2	70.7	61.8
CHUYLER	70.9	70.B	71.0	74.3	74.0	74.3	68.3	66.7	68.7	71.2	70.6	71.3
ENECA	71.6	62.0	73.4	63.2	66.7	62.3	52.6	53.6	52.3	62.3	60.6	62.7
TEUBEN	71.9	80.8	69.8	73.4	71.6	73.9	63.3	58.5	64.6	ė9.3	69.4	69.3
UFFOLK	86.5	68.0	B6.Û	83.1	83.9	82.8	53,9	60.6	51.7	74.5	77.5	73.5
ULLIVAN	85.0	. 83,1	85.6	81.3	77.0	82.6	65.B	61.1	67.8	77.6	73.4	79.1
106A	78.0	76.9	78.3	75.8	81.1	74.6	58.0	57.3	58.1	70.0	69.7	70.0
ONPKINS	82.1	77.8	B3.0	78.1	49.0	79.9	60.3	43.2	64.0	73.1	62.2	75.4
LSTER	69.9	65.9	71.2	70.0	61.9	72.6	65.6	59.2	67.7	68.4	62.2	70.4
ARREN	87.5	89.5	87.1	89.7	88.0	90.0	80.2	60.1	80.2	85.7	85.6	85.7
ASH1NGTON	63.0	51.9	63.3	62.9	63.3	62,8	56.9	61.8	55.4	60.9	62.3	60.5
AYNE	62.3	50.B	62.6	68.5	65.3	69.1	67.6	58.6	69.3	66.2	61.6	67.0
ESTCHESTER	67.7	. 69.0	67.2	74.4	77.5	73.1	65.5	70.B	63.3	69.2	72.5	67.9
YDHING	42.0	45.1	36.2	43.4	63.6	38.4	33.0	42.9	30.6	39.5	57.5	35.1
ATES	70.5	83.3	67.8	60.7	82.1	55.1	57.4	58.5	57.0	62.2	71.3	59.5
EW YORK STATE	95.3	86.9	84.4	83.8	85.1	83.1	69.6	70.3	69.2	79.2	80.3	78.6
IEW YORK CITY	89.5	89.7	87.4	87.8	87.8	87.8	74.6	73.8	75.2	83.5	83.3	83.7

* This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1987. 'Interim' dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

and a second second

C-2

APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS



D-1



0-2

APPENDIX E

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES¹

OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- FELONY DIVISION CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	all D
Assault 1st ² Aggravated Assault on	120.10	all C
Peace Officer2	120.11	00 B
Manslaughter 1st ²	125.20	all B
Murder 2nd, <u>Attempted</u>	125.25	В
Rape 1st ²	130.35	all B
Sodomy 1st ²	130.50	all B
Sexual Abuse 1st	130.65	all D
Agg. Sexual Abuse ²	130.70	all B
Kidnapping 2nd ²	135.20 135.25	00 B all B
Kidnapping 1st, <u>Attempted</u> Burglary 2nd ²	140.25	all C
Burglary 1st ²	140.30	all B
Arson 2nd ²	150.15	all B
Arson 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	150.20	all B all B
Robbery 2nd ²	160.10	all C
Robbery 1st ²	160.15	all B
Intimidation 2nd ⁴	215.16	all D
Intimidation 1st ^{2,4}	215.17	all B
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ²		4,05 D
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd ²	265.03	00 C all B
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st ² Crim. Use Firearm 2nd ²	265.04 265.08	all C
Crim. Use Firearm 1st ²	265.09	all B
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00 D

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd	125.25	all	A-1
Murder 1st, Including			
Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	a11	A-1
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00,01,02,03	D

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

 2 An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

⁴Effective 11/1/86.

APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

<u>Board of Prisoners</u>. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.

0

<u>State Readies</u>: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

<u>Community Treatment Programs</u>. The Department of Correctional Services has in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

<u>Crime Victims Compensation Awards</u>. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child, or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate; these funds are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

<u>Victim and Witness Assistance Program</u>. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and,
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological, and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

<u>Asset/Forfeiture Legislation</u>. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new too! to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Funding and Program Assistance.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapid increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971. When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing presentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

<u>Indigent Parolee Program</u>. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging

defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays, and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

<u>Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds</u>. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organization Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

<u>Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds</u>. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispusitional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

F-4

Law Guardian Back-Up Center. Statewide Youth Advocacy of Rochester has received a legislative appropriation to establish the Law Guardian Back-Up Center. This Center will provide technical assistance and training to law guardians practicing before family courts primarily outside of New York City. Training and legal research will cover JD, PINS, and abuse/neglect proceedings. Social work and legal assistance will also be provided. A brief bank and library will be developed, as will an inventory of dispositional alternatives and community-based programs. Updates will be provided on legal and legislative developments. Videotaped training to be used in rural counties will be developed. Staff will include two lawyers and one social worker.

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buying-money." In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

<u>Mobile Radio District Program</u>. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Unit.

<u>Narcotics Control</u>. The federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 established a program of grants to State and local agencies for drug law enforcement. Under this Act, the State and localities are eligible for funds under the Narcotics Control Assistance Formula Grant Program. New York State is eligible for \$111,539,00 in federal fiscal year 1987. It is anticipated that the federal award for FY 1988 and FY 1989 will be for the same amount.

The New York State Legislature has identified the following priority areas: apprehension, prosecution and adjudication of persons who violate State and local controlled substances laws, as defined in the penal and public health laws; additional correctional resources for such persons; programs to eliminate the growth and production of controlled substances, programs for drug-dependent offenders; and demonstration programs to identify major offenders and move these offenders expeditiously through the judicial system.

The distribution of funds will be in the ratio of 39.61 between State agency programs and local government programs. These federal funds must be matched on a cash basis with one dollar in non-federal funds for every three dollars in federal funds.

<u>Nassau County Service to Rape Victims Task Force</u>. Advocacy services for victims of sexual assault include the following: hospital advocacy (support, information, referral, follow-up); criminal justice system advocacy; recruitment, supervision, and training of volunteers; newsletters; field placement for students; public information through public speaking and distribution of literature.

<u>Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act</u> Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Folice. The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

<u>New York City School Security Officer Program</u>. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

<u>N.Y.S. Defenders' Association</u>. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulation, workshops, and training seminars.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

<u>Offender Aid and Restoration of Western New York, Inc., (OAR/WNY)</u>. (OAR/WRY) delivers a wide variety of services to ex-offenders and their families. OAR/WRY conducts workshops that train ex-offenders in setting employment goals and completing applications. Ex-offenders learn about employer expectations and techniques for successful job interviews and job retention. The family services program delivers support and counseling to families before, during, and after the offender's incarceration and helps the family in making requests for assistance through social service agencies. The program seeks to address the needs of the ex-offenders in order to facilitate their successful reentry into society.

<u>Prisoners' Legal Services</u>. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie, and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

<u>Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program</u>. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities, and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984 to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

<u>Special Narcotics Court Program</u>. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized, and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and an enhanced level of criminal parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

<u>Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program</u>. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program

(S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

<u>Suffolk County Criminal Justice Coordinating Council Re-Route Transitional</u> <u>Program for Ex-Offenders</u>. Transitional services program designed to expedite the reintegration of ex-offenders into the community upon their release from Suffolk County Correctional facilities. A multi-service, multi-disciplinary, inter-agency approach including therapy, family counseling, supplementary education, job training, job placement, legal aid, needs-based payments, and linkages to services for areas outside the program's scope.

<u>Target Crime Initiative Program.</u> The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major Violent Offense Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

<u>Transit Crime Interdiction Program</u>. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

<u>Transit Strike Force</u>. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the

subway system. The crimes include homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies which are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners, and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

<u>Community Patrol Officer Program</u>. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

<u>Westchester Datafacs</u>. The Westchester Datafacs System is a regional network of nine photofacsimile transmitters placed strategically throughout the country to service the Criminal History Record Information requests of forty local police departments. The Criminal History Record Information, or "rap-sheet," is transmitted from the Criminal History Repository located at the Division of Criminal Justice Services in Albany to the requesting local police department. In turn, the information is then forwarded to the District Attorney and Court enabling the presiding judge to make a better informed bail/bond or release decision.

Information expedites the criminal justice process and serves to reduce the number of defendants detained in the County Jail facility. In this sense, the Datafacs System is truly an alternative to incarceration.

F-10

<u>Parole Resource Center</u>. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

<u>Parole Transitional Facilities</u>. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

<u>Employment Services Project</u>. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve services performance.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

"Classifications/Alternatives" Bill. The "Classifications/Alternatives" bill (Chapters 907 & 908, Laws of 1984) was enacted on August 6, 1984 to help reduce overcrowding pressures in the county jails, to facilitate more efficient and practical jail population management, and to support the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The statute reflects a unique and effective linkage of two distinct criminal justice issues to facilitate reduced reliance on confinement and more efficient utilization of that significant scarce resource -- jail beds. This legislation reduces the number of state mandated classification categories in local correctional facilities from twelve to four. However, in order for a county to take advantage of this reduced classification system, it must prepare and carry out a service plan (approved by the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives) for the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The service plan must be the collaborative product of a county advisory board composed of the key criminal justice decision makers, and must present a comprehensive overview of the local criminal justice system which serves to identify target populations, factors contributing to overcrowding, programmatic needs, and specific proposals for the use of state aid available under the bill. In fiscal year 1986-87, a total of \$3,050,000 was available to all counties and the City of New York. These funds are to be distributed according to a modified population-based formula outlined in the statute.

In the two and one-half years that have passed since enactment of this legislation, important and far-reaching changes have taken place in the activities and organization of local criminal justice systems. Almost eighty percent of the jurisdictions in New York State have taken advantage of the bill's provisions. Forty-four counties and the city of New York submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and have now implemented alternatives to incarceration programs. During State fiscal year 1986-87 (the second year of funding) a total of 67 programs were supported and operated consistent with the counties' service plans.

<u>Demonstration Projects</u>. These projects generally fall into one of four program models: community service, defendant-based advocacy, pre-trial, and offender rehabilitation/specialized services. In FY 1986-87 there were 31 such projects. During the current fiscal year, FY 1987-88, 23 of these 100% State funded projects are expected to provide services to more than 15,000 clients.

Demonstration projects are designed to reduce the incidence of incarceration through the use of community-based alternatives that emphasize public safety and cost effectiveness.

<u>Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program</u>. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (continued)

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for probation sentence may be determined.

<u>PINS Adjustment Services Act</u>. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The January 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

<u>Regular State Aid</u>. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

F-13

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

<u>Community Based Organization</u>. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

<u>Community Care Program</u>. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism, and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

<u>Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The nonsecure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

<u>Job Development.</u> The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

<u>Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living</u> <u>Support</u>. Gives the Division the authority and financial capability to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand this program. Statutory provisions, which were modified during 1985, permit the expansion of this program into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which DFY was formerly limited.

<u>Special Delinquency Prevention Program</u>. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk to help prevent winecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH (continued)

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

<u>Voluntary Agency Care</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psychosocial and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

<u>Salaries of District Attorneys</u>. Pursuant to County Law 700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

<u>STOP Program - Drug Residence Project</u>. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs are targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories. Responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88.

APPENDIX G

٩

41

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to NYC agencies that provide services citywide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the NYC and State profiles.

ι

APPENDIX G

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)	SUMMARY

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	5,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19.270.1	23,553.9
Division for Youth ³	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴		 '	47.5	47.5	NA	52.5	
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,387.9	128,159.9	70.1%	94,355.2	109,970.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cucmo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

⁴ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

			Expenditures		<u> </u>	Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Bcard of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	14.8	10.9	NA	·	· · · ·
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	9,699.7	132.8%	· · ·	
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	284.9	1,659.7	NA		
State Readies ³				1,064.9	NA		
Community Treatment Programs ⁴		215.1	434.2		NA		
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	214.4	NA		
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	5,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES CITYWIDE^I LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

² The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 3 This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

APPENDIX G

١

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appror	Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,354.3	-5.2%	5,206.0	8,507.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program		•						
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	543.2	18.5%	700.3	1,203.1	
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	140.5	26.8%	143.6	260.2	
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	43.3	0.0	
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3	

 1 This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

² FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY_82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative			0.0	55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection		175.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	2,090.9	18.8%	2,133.0	2,133.0
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	722.1	834.8	956.0	956.0	32.4%	1,028.0	1,069.0
Justice Assistance Act ⁵					NA	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	884.0	-10.1%	975.2	1,055.1
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	6,624.0	18.0%	6,756.5	7,161.9
Narcotics Control ⁷					NA		4,006.9
NYC School Security ⁸ Officer Program	a de la companya de l			· · · · · ·	NA	0.0	250.0
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program	· · · · ·		0.4	0.0	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰		n e tan	1,395.0	1 200 F	ыA	1 440 0	1 400 0
Target Crime 11 Initiative Program	12,607.7	13,133.0	1,395.0	1,299.5	NA 31.0%	1,440.0 16,789.5	1,400.0
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹²		1		1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force ¹³		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Continued - Next Page

G-4

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Community Patrol ¹⁴ Officer Program		••••		• . • . •	NA	100.0	150.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁵ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

- ⁶ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.
- ⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.
- ⁸ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

- ¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.
- ¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.
- 12 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.
- ¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.
- ¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

ice le 5

.

•	DIVISION OF PAROLE	
CITYWIDE	LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING	
	(Thousands of Dollars)	

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Parole Resource Centers ²	398.0	511.4	477.0	516.8	29.8%	654.8	774.8
Parole Transitional ³ Facilities	•	37.1	172.9	309.1	NA	496.1	496.1
Employment Services ⁴ Project					NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	398.0	548,5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

 2 This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{\rm 4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 6

		PROBATION A					VES
CITY	IDE	LOCAL ASS	ISTA	NCE	PROGRAM	FUNDING	
		(Thousand	s of	Dol	lars)		

		Expenditures					Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88	
Classification ² Alternatives		د. ما ب		1,130.9	NA	0.0	1,302.8	
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.6	2,074.6	270.9%	1,664.3	2,511.7	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	1,478.9	53.8%	3,399.3	4,569.5	
PINS Program ³					NA	631.7	1,314.6	
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,085.4	10,717.4	12,065.3	35.2%	13,574.8	13,855.4	
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9	

 1 This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

G-7

Table 7

	Expenditures					Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u> 2	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Detention							
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	2,191.8	131.7%	2,213.0	5,023.6
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	16,947.8	123.9%	9,723.5	9,043.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	516.6	27.3%	691.4	645.9
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	4,630.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁴	- 	: 	а. – В. А. — — —	69.7	NA	0.0	1,139.3
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	9,201.4	103.6%	0.0	0.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	25,024.4	127.8%	14,041.7	14,077.1
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9

DIVISION FOR YOUTH CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

³ Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

⁵ The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

G-8

APPENDIX H

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the State profile.
			Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	Expenditures FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	- NA	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5
Division of Farole		· ·			~~		
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project			· · · · · ·		NA		525.0
TOTAL	14,008.2	15,375.5	14,344.0	20,948.3	49.5%	53,930.6	69,232.2

STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

⁴ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

⁵ The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

(Thousands of Dollars) Expenditures Appropriations % Change FY 82-83 Program FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 FY 86-87 FY 87-88 Board of Prisoners² Coram Nobis 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NÀ 51.5 5515 Felons 0.1 0.0 22,770.1 25,655.4 0.0 0.0 NA Parole Violators 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 2.936.4 6.615.3 State Readies³ - ----- -0.0 NA 3.528.0 5.551.8 Community Treatment Programs⁴ ÷ ---0.0 0.0 NA 400.0 400.0 ----Prisoner Transfer² 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 366.0 400.0 TOTAL 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 30,052.0 38,678.0

DEPARIMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 2

1 This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 3 This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	2,803.0	4,190.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program								
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	NA	899.5	0.0	
TOTAL	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0	

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

٩

Table 4

١.

			Approp	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative	·	а 1917 г. – Салан Салан Салан (1917) 1917 г. – Салан Салан (1917)	0.0	0.0	NA		
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Crime Prevention}^3\\ \text{and Protection} \end{array}$		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	695.0
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	NA	562-3	628.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁵					NA	1,611.6	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	0.0	-100.0%	1,088.9	1,078.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁷		· · · ·		- 🖌 🤺	NA		6,070.2
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	- - -	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	0.0	60.1	-90:5%		487.0
Special Warrant Enforcement							

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Continued - Next Page

APPENDIX H

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Targat Crima						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	746.8	-26.3%	766.8	849.3
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁵ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

⁹ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

APPENDIX H

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 5

٩

DIVISION OF PAROLE STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide servic. Statewide.

				Approp	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ² Alternatives				0.0	NA	0.0	83.2
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	100.0	39.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	199.3
PINS Program ³		*	a		NA	2.8	0.0
Regular State Aid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	279.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE^I LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

STATEWIDE ¹	DIVISION	FOR	YOUTH		
arstrutel	10041 100			00.44	CUND THO
STATEWIDE	LUCAL ASS	12198	CE PRU	GRAM	FUNDING
	Thousands				

			Expenditures	Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86²</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Community Care ³ Initiative					NA	219.0	219.0	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	1,951.4	5,131.7%	131.9	0.0	
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	330.0	NA	274.5	0.0	
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	12,092.2	60.9%	9,553.5	8,222.7	
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7	

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁴ Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

⁵ Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

APPENDIX I JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES

JUVENILE OFFENDER CRIMES¹

(as of 1/1/87)

AGE	OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY
13-15	Murder 2nd	125.25	01,02	A-1
14-15	Murder 2nd ²	125.25	03	A-1
11	Kidnapping 1st ²	135.25	all	A-1
11	Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
88	Manslaughter 1st	125.20	all	В
11	Rape 1st	130.35	01,02	B
и .	Sodomy 1st	130.50	01,02	B
μ	Aggravated Sexual Abuse ³	130.70	all	В
11	Robbery 1st	160.15	all	В
н	Burglary 1st	140.30	all	В
11	Arson 2nd	150.15	00	В
It	Robbery 2nd	160.10	02	С
11	Assault 1st	120.10	01,02	C
<u> </u>	Burglary 2nd	140.25	01	C

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 10.00(18).

 2 An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³Effective 9/1/81.

REFERENCES

Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u>. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.

New York State Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.

New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.

New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.

- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. <u>Resident Employment Status and the Civilian</u> <u>Labor Force: January 1984 - October 1986</u>.

New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.

New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Justice Systems Analysis and the Office of Administrative Services.

New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).

- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.

. <u>County Programming for Alternatives to Incarceration</u>, Third Annual Report. March, 1987.

New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.

New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.

- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of</u> <u>Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

R-1

ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Each requester will receive one volume free of charge; a \$2.00 charge to cover postage and handling will be applied to each additional volume requested.¹

To order by phone, please call (518) 457-8381.

To order by mail, please place a check mark () next to the volumes you would like.

	Volume	Í	New York State	
<u> </u>	Volume	II	Binghamton Region	
	Volume	III	Buffalo Region	
<u> </u>	Volume		Capital Region	
	Volume		Elmira Region	
	Volume		Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region	
	Volume	VII	Mid-Hudson Region	
	Volume		Mohawk Valley Region	
· · ·	Volume		New York City Region	
······	Volume		Northern Region	
	Volume		Rochester Region	
· · · ·	Volume		Syracuse Region	
	Volume		Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nas	sau.
<u> </u>			Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties	

Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to:

Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

TELEPHONE		-			J	` `	IAI	۲ <u>ـ</u>			4	. Ir			······
CITY						, C	TAT	Ē			. 7	IP			
	· · ·		· .							1					
ADDRESS		·									· · ·	-			
AGENCY			:			•								`	
TITLE	•		:			····.	·		:				-		
NAME				·	: 		·				:			<u> </u>	

¹This charge does not apply to New York State agencies or officials.

