


COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES

1982-1986

VOLUME V ELMIRA REGION



JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILE: 1932-1986

> ALLEGANY COUNTY CHEMUNG COUNTY SCHUYLER COUNTY STEUBEN COUNTY TOMPKINS COUNTY

OFFICE OF JUSTICE SYSTEMS ANALYSIS
BARRY SAMPLE, EXECUTIVE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING PATRICK LERNER LORI DRAPER CAROL RUSSO

FEBRUARY, 1988

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to Gerald Luciano for graphic designs he created for the publication and to the secretarial staff in the Office of Justice Systems Analysis, in particular to Joan Burgess and Carol Novak, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

CONTENTS

en grande de la companya de la comp	age(s)
INTRODUCTION	. 1
ORGANIZAT DN OF EACH PROFILE	. 7
Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Section III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	9 9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STATE PROFILE	13
COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE ELMIRA REGION	
Allegany County Chumung County Schuyler County Steuben County Tompkins County	
ILLUSTRATION	
NEW YORK STATE REGIONAL MAP	5
APPENDIXES	
APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES	A-1
Analyses Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Section III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	A-1 A-1 A-2 A-2 A-2

		Page(s)
APPENDIX B	DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986	B-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section II and Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparable to those in which the data were presin Section III.	ented
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1984 - 1985	C-1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-11 F-12 F-14 F-16
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
APPENDIX I	JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES	I-1
REFERENCES		R-1

^{*} Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.



INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the system's major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. Juvenile Offender processing data and more detailed data on alternative to incarceration programs have been incorporated into this edition. The 1986 data are compared to the 1982 data. In addition, the 1986 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities for both 1985 and 1986.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from both the "demographic and socioeconomic characteristics" and "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section II and Section III, respectively. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u>
<u>Profiles</u> publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- o Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- o Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.

ORGANIZATION OF THE PROFILES

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each of the counties in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each profile are described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 1 Population Demographics: 1980

Table 2 Educational Attainment of Population 25 Years

of Age or Older: 1980

Table 3 Population and Density Per Square Mile: 1982-1986

Table 4 Per Capita Income: 1982-1984

Table 5 Public High School Dropouts: 1981-82 to 1985-86

Table 6 Unemployment: 1984-1986

Table 7 Public Assistance: 1982-1986

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Table 2B Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru

June 1987

Table 2C Arrests for Selected Offenses

Table 2D Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs

Table 2E Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses

Table 3A Felony Indictments

Table 3B Felony Indictments: January thru June 1987

Table 4A Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor

Arrests

Table 4B Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

CIVINE	a Chi	TIME OUSTICE ACTIVITY - CONCINCED
Table		Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests
Table	5B	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests
Table Table		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table Table		Probation Department Activity Probation Revocations
Table	8A	Alternatives to Incarceration: Demonstration Projects
Table		Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Pretrial Release
Table	8C	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Community Service
Table	8D	Alternatives Bill Programs - Community Service Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Defender-Based Advocacy
Table	8E	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Specialized Alternatives
Table Table		County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
Table	10A-1	Admissions to State Prison
Table	10A-2	(New York State Profile) New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Table	10B	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
Table	10C	Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
Table	10A	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles)
Table	10B-1	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 (New York City and County Profiles)
Table	10B-2	The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC (New York City and County Profiles)

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table 11A Parole Supervision Cases
Table 11B Revoked and Returned Parolees

Table 12 Juvenile Offender Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1A

Table 1 Agency Funding Summary
Table 2 Department of Correctional Services
Table 3 Crime Victims Board
Table 4 Division of Criminal Justice Services
Table 5 Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 6 Division of Parole
Table 7 Division for Youth

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

Table 1B Table 1C	Facilities Operating Budget Summary Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary

^{*} Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.



STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Division of Criminal Justice Services
Executive Park Tower
Stuyvesant Plaza
Albany, New York 12203

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Law
The State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

New York State Police
Building 22
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Department of Correctional Services
Building 2
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Office of Court Administration
Alfred E. Smith State Office Building
PO Box 7025
Albany, New York 12225

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 Region MID-ATLANTIC
State Capital ALBANY
Square Miles 47,377

-3.7%

Population Percent Change 1970-1980

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
- Population	17,558,072	NA	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.6	NA	NA	NA
Sex: Male	8.339.421	NA	47.5%	NA
Fema le	9,218,651	NA	52.5%	NA
Race: White	13,960,868	NA	79.5%	NA
Non-White	2.752.114	NA	15.7%	NA
Unknown	845,090	NA	4.8%	NA
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,659,300	NA	9.5%	NA
Age: 9 and Under	2,320,804	NA	13.2%	- NA
10 to 15	1,721,835	NA	9.8%	NA
16 to 18	960,207	NA	5.5%	NA
19 to 24	1,842,534	NA	10.5%	NA
25 and Over	10.712.692	NA	61.0%	NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	10,712,692	100.0%	NA
8th Grade or Less	1,962,158	18.3%	, NA
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	1,653,751 3,651,739	15.4% 34.1%	NA NA
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	1,529,817 1,923,547	14.3% 18.0%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

			OPULATION 1982-19	86		<u> </u>
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	17,567,000	17,667,000	17,735,000	17,782,754	17,772,100	NA NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.8	372.9	374.3	375.3	375.1	AA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA 1	NCOME: 1982-1984		Income Rank
	1982	<u>1983</u>	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	12,228	13,063	14,341	NA

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 were not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	PUBLIC HIG	H SCHOOL DROP	<u> 0015: 1981-</u>	·82 10 1985-	86		
				School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	65,229 6.7%	60,311 6.5%	60,834 6.9%	55,880 6.5%	49,391 5.8%	NA
	FEIGEIL	0.7%	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%	3.0%	IIA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6 UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986

		JIMENI: 1904-1			Percent
		1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed					•
of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	583,455 7.2%	543,805 6.5%	526,363 6.3%	NA

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

	<u> </u>	PUBLIC ASS	IADIE /	32-1986			
							Percent Rank
		1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,285,535 7.3%	1,343,428	1,381,514 7.8%	1.383,399	1,363,126 7.7%	NA ·

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of C	rimes		1986 Crimes	
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	1,020,401	5,741.6	-9.6%
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	174,860	983.9	0.4%
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	1,909	10.7	-5.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	5,403	30.4	5.3%
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	91,376	514.2	-15.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	76,172	428.6	28.1%
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	845,541	4,757.7	-11.5%
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	216,157	1,216.3	-25.9%
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	516,492	2,906.2	-1.9%
- MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	112,892	635.2	-17.6%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arre	······································	1986 Arrests		
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	374,301	383,075	403,785	421,631	456,087	2,566.3	21.9%
FELONY	141,679	141,301	149,343	151,279	172,153	968.7	21.5%
VIOLENT	58,946	58,215	61,158	60,158	65,735	369.9	11.5%
OTHER	82,733	83,086	88,185	91,121	106,418	598.8	28.6%
MISDEMEANOR	232,622	241,774	254,442	270,352	283,934	1,597.6	22.1%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

· •			
	<u>Number</u> l	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
ARRESTS	233,061	4.3%	
FELONY ²	86,268	2.3%	
VIOLENT	31,282	-1.3%	
OTHER	54,986	4.4%	
MISDEMEANOR	146,793	5.5%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	1986 Arrests	n/ 01			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	1,420	8.0	-11.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,155	2,140	12.0	9.4%
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,231	19,713	22,706	127.8	13.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,554	19,802	22,241	22,945	24,830	139.7	27.0%
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,687	19,471	20,540	115.6	-16.1%
LARCENY ²	67,502	66,891	65,814	68,220	75,476	424.7	11.8%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arre	sts		1986 Arrests	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	48,226	53,768	66,982	74,199	91,624	515.5	90.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	34,373	38,441	48,097	52,662	74,938	421.7	118.0%
POSSESSION	18,110	23,827	30,556	34,394	49,535	278.7	173.5%
SALE	9,433	10,544	12,684	13,691	20,174	113.5	113.9%
OTHER	6,830	4,070	4,857	4,577	5,229	29.4	-23.4%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,853	15,327	18,885	21,537	16,686	93.9	20.5%
POSSESSION	7,053	7,252	8,881	10,747	8,596	48.4	21.9%
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,790	8,090	45.5	19.0%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests					•
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Licensed Drivers	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55,566	62,887	64,261	62,870	59,387	6.0	6.9%
FELONY	3,184	3,748	3,032	3,065	3,536	0.4	11.1%
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,229	59,805	55,851	5.6	6.6%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹
(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	46,674 100.0%	46,147 100.0%	47,961 100.0%	50,016 100.0%	55,810 100.0%	19.6%		
VIOLENT	24,537 52.6%	22,711 49.2%	22,820 47.6%	22,000 44.0%	21.833 39.1%	-11.0%		
OTHER	22,137 47.4%	23,436 50.8%	25,141 52.4%	28,016 56.0%	33.977 60.9%	53.5%		

¹ Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	31,062	17.4%	
VIOLENT	10,937	1.1%	
OTHER	20,125	28.7%	

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

² Preliminary data.

	:	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS	307,486 100.0%	336,313 100.0%	337,261 100.0%	363,264 100.0%	371,229 100.0%	20.7%			
CONVICTIONS	208,720 67.9%	233,893 69.5%	234.263 69.5%	251,609 69.3%	248,728 67.0%	19.2%			
ACQUITTALS	1,831 0.6%	2,119 0.6%	2,172 0.6%	2,261 0.6%	2,257 0.6%	23.3%			
DISMISSALS	87,013 28.3%	89,771 26.7%	91,177 27.0%	99,038 27.3%	110,615 29.8%	27.1%			
NOT PROSECUTED	7,612 2.5%	7,169 2.1%	6,630 2.0%	7,220 2.0%	6,602 1.8%	-13.3%			
OTHER	2,310 0.8%	3.361 1.0%	3,019 0.9%	3,136 0.9%	3,027 0.8%	31.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹
(Adults Only)

	:	-				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	118,988 100.0%	127,576 100.0%	126,882 100.0%	134,164 100.0%	142,148 100.0%	19.5%
CONVICTIONS	77,746 65.3%	86,370 67.7%	84,585 66.7%	89,207 66.5%	90,738 63.8%	16.7%
ACQUITTALS	1,304 1.1%	1,469 1.2%	1,527 1.2%	1.558	1,555 1.1%	19.2%
DISMISSALS	35,279 29.6%	34,826 27.3%	35,673 28.1%	38,400 28.6%	44,469 31.3%	26.0%
NOT PROSECUTED	3,961 3.3%	4,064 3.2%	4,303 3.4%	3,967 3.0%	3,977 2.8%	0.2%
OTHER	698 0.6%	847 0.7%	794 0.6%	1,032 0.8%	1,409 1.0%	101.9%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	208,720 100.0%	233,893 100.0%	234,263 100.0%	251,609 100.0%	248,728 100.0%	19.2%
FELONY	26,558 12.7%	32,121 13.7%	31,197 13.3%	33,669 13.4%	37,108 14.9%	39.7%
VIOLENT	11,719 5.6%	14,667 6.3%	13,671 5.8%	13,264 5.3%	13,671 5.5%	16.7%
OTHER	14,839 7.1%	17,454 7.5%	17,526 7.5%	20,405 8.1%	23,437 9.4%	57.9%
MISDEMEANOR	103,560 49.6%	112,772 48.2%	113,538 48.5%	121,797 48.4%	118,736 47.7%	14.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	78,602 37.7%	89,000 38.1%	89,528 38.2%	96,143 38.2%	92,884 37.3%	18.2%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Proliminary data

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86	
CONVICTIONS	77,746 100.0%	86,370 100.0%	84,585 100.0%	89,207 100.0%	90,738 100.0%	16.7%	
FELONY	25,657 33.0%	31,113 36.0%	30,191 35.7%	32,289 36.2%	35,690 39.3%	39.1%	
VIOLENT	11.719 15.1%	14,667 17.0%	13.671 16.2%	13.264 14.9%	13,671 15.1%	16.7%	
OTHER	13,938 17.9%	16,446 19.0%	16,520 19.5%	19,025 21.3%	22,019 24.3%	58.0%	
MISDEMEANOR	38,110 49.0%	39,913 46.2%	38,450 45.5%	39,976 44.8%	39,198 43.2%	2.9%	
LESSER OFFENSES	13.979 18.0%	15,344 17.8%	15,944 18.8%	16,942 19.0%	15,850 17.5%	13.4%	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.6	6.5				
LOCAL JAIL	26.6	26.8	29.4	31.4	33.1				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.5				
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	9.9	9.8	9.5				
FINES	32.5	33.0	33.0	31.3	28.6				
OTHER	22.2	21.1	19.0	18.8	18.8				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 68

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

				Sentences	as a Pero	cent of C	onviction	<u>s</u>		
		982 Misd & Lesser	-	983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	43.2	. NA	44.1	NA	43.7	NA	41.8	NA	43.4	NA
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.0	14.3	28.8	13.6	31.8	13.9	34.0	13.3	36.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	14.1	1.2	15.6	1.2	17.2	1.1
PROBATION	27.7	8.2	26.2	7.7	26.5	7.4	26.6	7.2	24.4	6.9
FINES	0.8	37.1	1.2	38.0	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.0	0.8	33.5
OTHER	1.2	25.3	1.2	24.2	. 1.1	21.7	1.1	21.5	1.0	21.9

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nu	mber of Cas	es		1986 Cases	
e de la companya de l	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	138,427	778.9	-8.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	156,142	878.6	17.0%
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	27,555	155.0	9.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	128.587	723.5	18.9%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	17,956	101.0	17.1%
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	8,849	49.8	-4.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	9,107	51.2	49.2%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	48,072	270.5	19.3%
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	6,904	38.8	1.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	41,168	231.6	23.0%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	112.858	124.048	133,821	143,609	156,149	878.6	38.4%
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	14,063	79.1	3.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	142,086	799.5	43.1%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	114,766	645.8	45.1%
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	7,209	40.6	2.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	107,557	605.2	49.3%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca		1986 Revocations		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 Probationers	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	7,814	50.0	56.0%
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	787	56.0	29.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	7,027	49.5	59.7%
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	3,502	24.6	70.6%
NEW CONVICTION	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	3,525	24.8	50.3%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8A

	ALTERNATIVE	E TO INCARCERATION	PROGRAMS:	DEMONSTRATION F	ROJECTS
	. -	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-	85 FY 1985-	-86 <u>FY 1986-87</u>
CLI	ENTS SERVED	2,541	3,1	10 16,2	227 17,532

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Tab	le 8B		Tab	ole 8C	
PRETRIAL RELEAS	E PROGRAM: 198	36	COMMUNITY SER	VICE PROGRAM:	1986
	Number	Percent		Number	<u>Percent</u>
CASES SCREENED	34,695	NA	CASES SENTENCED	1,338	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	20,500	100.0%	CASES DISCHARGED	881	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	7,933	38.7%	SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	662	75.1%

 $^{^{1}}$ Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D Table 8E

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY	PROGRAM:	1986	SPECIALIZED ALTE	RNATIVES: 1	986
	Number	<u>Percent</u>		Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	709	NA	CLIENTS SERVED	526	NA
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:			RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT	537	100.0%
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL	467	NA	ACCEPTED	367	68.3%
REPORTS PREPARED FOR COURT	269	100.0%			
REPORTS ACCEPTED	228	84.8%			

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	e <u>r of Admi</u>	1986 Admissions				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ADMISSIONS	*	190,388	196,447	210,186	234,452	1,319.2	NA	
UNSENTENCED	*	141,411	147,974	159,474	183,416	1,032.0	NA	
SENTENCED	*	48,977	48,473	50,712	51,036	287.2	NA	

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 98
COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,225	17,730	19,011	19,635	21,448	120.7	24.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,966	16,792	17.534	18,736	21,134	118.9	32.4%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	21,121	118.8	28.6%
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,656	12,956	15,056	84.7	33.1%
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,352	5,766	6,065	34.1	18.5%

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 10A-1
ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

		Numbe	r of Admiss	ions	· ·	1986 Adm.Rate	
	1982	1983	- 1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 1	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	19,090	107.4	50.0%
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	742	4.2	69.8%
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	50	.3	-19.4%
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	1 2,808	15.8	128.5%
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	445	430	356	244	365	2.1	-18.0%
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	158	.9	12.9%
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	33	.2	312.5%

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $\label{eq:table_10A-2} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON^1}$

			Number of	ments		1986 New Court Committments	
	1982 1983		1984 1985		1986 2	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	7,759	43.7	17.2%
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	87.4	938	5.3	4.3%
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	581	3.3	56.2%
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	3,574	20.1	5.8%
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	1,444	8.1	51.8%
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	649	3.7	10.6%
OTHER	435	459	524	574	573	3.2	31.7%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	3,228	18.2	161.4%
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	886	5.0	179.5%
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	2,342	13.2	155.1%
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	3,653	20.6	59.9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	294	1.7	10.9%

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 10B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		umber of I n State Pr	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,276	34,737	38,502	216.6	36.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ¹	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	26,024	146.4	30.8%
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	6,597	37.1	37.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	2,278	12.8	38.9%
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	10,666	60.0	14.6%
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	3,476	19.6	107.6%
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	1,450	8.2	18.5%
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	1,557	8.8	26.4%
DRUG FELONIES ²	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	6,008	33.8	127.0%
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	1,597	9.0	140.2%
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	4,411	24.8	122.6%
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,261	5,408	6,095	34.3	17.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	471	384	359	357	375	2.1	-20.4%

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

	1982	1983_	1984	1985	_1986
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634	198

 $^{^1}$ Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	·	Numbe	er of Parol	·····	1986 Paro lees		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	12,942	72.8	39.4%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	37,359	210.2	45.8%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	26,176	147.3	46.1%

 $^{^1}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numi	ber of Parol		1986 Revocations		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 Parolees	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	4,519	121.0	75.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS	8,65	826	907	1,186	1,403	37.6	62.2%
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	1,571	42.1	24.6%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	1,545	41.4	245.6%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

	٨					
			Number of Case	5	•	e ob
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	1,441	1,248	1,275	1,257	1,200	-16.7%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	664	496	447	505	429	-35.4%
DISPOSITIONS	1,419	1,213	1,105	1,019	1,021	-28.0%
CONVICTIONS	517	515	388	369	410	-20.7%
STATE FACILITY	257	251	158	147	142	-44.7%
LOCAL JAIL	34	40	24	40	33	-2.9%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	28	32	39	40	55	96.4%
PROBATION	183	166	133	124	171	-6.6%
OTHER	15	26	34	18	9	-40.0%
ACQUITTALS	7	5	7	10	10	42.9%
DISMISSALS	269	202	189	225	263	-2.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	139	70	73	54	60	-56.8%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	443	413	430	342	257	-42.0%
OTHER	44	8	18	19	21	-52.3%

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	442.5	698.8	88.9%	870.2	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 4 <u>1</u>	- V	NA		525.0
TOTAL	191,309.8	214,860.7	243,177.1	359,999.1	88.2%	322,918.1	356,608.3

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

1			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	32.7	572.0%	51.5	55.5
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	18,574.6	82.3%	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	3,697.5	472.0%	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ²	. · · ·			2,322.3	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ³	· '	311.8	873.3		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ¹	487.7	300.1	275.8	316.8	-35.0%	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8,002.6	23.7%	8,009.0	12,697.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program					200		
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	1,272.1	47.1%	3,622.1	3,443.8
Court Related	407.8	406.6	719.9	520.0	27.5%	626.1	767.1
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	223.3	24.9%	561.7	547.0
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	337.2	-10.6%	567.2	681.1
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	26.7	-70.7%	613.0	350.5
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative			593.9	236.5	, NA .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		1,420.5	1,585.7	1,351.0	NA	2,637.1	3,042.5	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	4,067.4	23.8%	4,150.2	4,150.2	
Indigent Parolee Program ³	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	1,593.1	26.5%	1,625.8	1,697.4	
Justice Assistance Act ⁴	· :	***	<u></u>	-	NA	3,379.7		
Juvenile Justice ⁵ and Delinquency Prevention	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	1,579.5	-44.6%	2,673.9	2,821.0	
Law Guardian Backup Center			2,001.0		NA NA		100.0	
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	11,247.6	11.8%	11,499.8	12,189.8	
Mobile Radio District Program ²		1,904.3	3,600.6	3,368.3	NA	4,288.3	1,270.0	
Narcotics Control ⁷				AGA 1889	. NA		11,539.0	
Nassau County Rape ⁶ Victim Services					NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30.0	
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		1,000.0	1,900.0	881.9	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0	
NYC School Security ⁹ Officer Program	•••				NA	415.0	250.0	
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5	
Offender Aid and Restoration Program ⁶					NA		50.0	
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2	
So Body Armour ¹⁰ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	309.8	403.0	-36.6%		487.0	
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,730.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹¹			2,499.4	2,347.9	NA	2,499.5	2,499.8	

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Approp	riations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	Expenditures FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Suffolk Re-Route ⁶ Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders					NA		260.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹²	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	48,471.4	29.4%	50,279.5	52,516.2
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹³		*. *		1,322.6	NA NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force 14	***	3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6
Community Patrol ⁹ Officer Program	, <u></u> -				, NA	100.0	150.0
Westchester Datafacs ⁶	-		***		NA		85.0
TOTAL	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1 -	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

² No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^4}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{5}}$ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ¹	529.4	668.9	706.1	798.4	50.8%	1,035.8	1,192.2
Parole Transitional ² Facilities	· :	37.1	285.9	430.8	NA	626.3	626.3
Employment Services ³ Project		•••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5

 $^{^{1}}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: 'NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Approp	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives	, .			2,336.9	NA .	3,045.9	3,312.8
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	4,750.7	493.9%	5,478.5	5,411.5
Direct Services	182.1	208.4	184.1	228.0	25.2%	273.8	266.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	5,218,4	60.2%	7,777.2	10,257.6
PINS Program ²					NA	1,000.0	2,505.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	37,861.3	32.3%	41,663.3	44,804.2
TOTAL	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8

 $^{^{1}% \}left(1\right) =0$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7
DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	(<u> </u>		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community-Based ² Organizations	,		324.8	318.0	NA	466.5	466.5
Community Care ³ Initiative	 				NA NA	500.0	500.0
Detention							
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	10,600.4	165.0%	6,770.3	9,900.0
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	25,101.8	173.5%	14,738.3	15,500.0
Job Development ⁴	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	697.6	583.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	1,838.8	52.4%	2,409.0	2,570.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	11,745.0	-5.1%	13,351.8	14,281.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	4,509.1	142.7%	6,496.4	6,018.0
Transitional			•				
Independent Living Support ⁵	-		. · · · · · · ·	87.8	NA	2,200.0	2,220.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	52,710.8	177.4%	28,100.0	26,300.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	77,307.5	162.1%	40,600.0	41,500.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{4}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{5}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity.	Staff		
DOCS Facilities	38,188	21,369		
DFY Facilities	2,027	2,760		
TOTAL	40,215	24,129		

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures					Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	445,537.8	525,228.2	598,305.9	602,846.5	35.3%	670,107.0	728,920.9	
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	76,135.5	30.2%	78,541.4	82,519.1	
TOTAL	503,998.1	589,291.8	670,294.8	678,982.0	34.7%	748,648.4	811,440.0	


TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Expenditures					
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
DOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	96,465.2	374,661.8	229,596.9	44,079.0		
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	2,366.7	10,897.3	4,503.5	4,573.2		
TOTAL	108,909.5	177,817.7	98,831.8	385,559.0	234,100.4	48,652.2		

COUNTY PROFILES
FOR THE
ELMIRA REGION

Allegany County Chumung County Schuyler County Steuben County Tompkins County



REGIONAL AGENCIES

Southern Tier East Regional Planning Development Board Fourth Floor, O'Neil Building State at Court Street Binghamton, New York 13901 New York State Division of Parole 65 Court Street, Room 502 Buffalo, New York 14202

New York State Police Troop A Headquarters 4525 West Saille Drive Batavia, New York 14020

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Court Street Belmont, New York 14813

Probation Department Court House Belmont, New York 14813

Public Defender's Office 93 North Main Street Wellsville, New York 14895-0511

Assigned Counsel Plan 85 North Main Street Wellsville, New York 14895-0511 Sheriff's Department 7 Court Street Belmont, New York 14813

Youth Bureau Room 207, Court House Belmont, New York 14813

County Court Court House Belmont, New York 14813

Family Court Court House Belmont, New York 14813

	Region	ELMIRA
	County Seat	BELMONT
	Square Miles	1,032
Population Percent	Change 1970-1980	11.4%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	51,742	47	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	50.1	54	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	25,427 26,315	NA NA	49.1% 50.9%	17 46
Race: White Non-White Unknown	51,210 403 129	NA NA NA	99.0% 0.8% 0.2%	8 57 44
Ethnicity: Hispanic	189	NA	0.4%	60
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	7,683 4,992 3,972 8,228 26,867	NA NA NA NA	14.8% 9.6% 7.7% 15.9% 51.9%	18 50 1 4 61

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	26,867	100.0%	61
8th Grade or Less	3,930	14.6%	46
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	4,665 10,251	17.4% 38.2%	25 29
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	4,361 3,660	16.2% 13.6%	11 31

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		POPU	<u> LATION 1982-198</u>	16		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	51,600	51,500	51,500	50,902	50,500	48
Density Per Square Mile	50.0	49.9	49.9	49.3	48.9	54

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	7,453	7,650	8,301	62

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

PUBLIC HIGH	SCHOOL DROP	<u> 0UTS: 1981-</u>	<u>-82 TO 1985-</u>	86		
	• .		School Year			Percent Rank
	<u>1981-82</u>	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u> 1985-86</u>	<u> 1985-86</u>
	•					
Number	114	100	95	96	74	
Percent	3.6%	3.3%	3.3%	3.4%	2.6%	58
	Number	<u>1981-82</u> Number 114	1981-82 1982-83 Number 114 100	School Year 1981-82 1982-83 1983-84 Number 114 100 95	School Year 1981-82 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85 Number 114 100 95 96	1981-82 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85 1985-86 Number 114 100 95 96 74

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6
UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986

	UNCHIELUT	"LNI. 1304-1	.300		
		1984_	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,665 8.7%	1,546 7.9%	1,625 8.1%	20

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

		PUBLIC ASSI	STANCE: 1982-	1986			1
	: :	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	4,071 7.9%	4,270 8.3%	4,527 8.8%	4,671 9.2%	4,625 9.2%	

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	:		Number of Cr	imes		1986 C Per 100 Popula	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,287	1,327	1,066	1,067	1,063	2,060.9	56	-17.4%
VIOLENT	68	51	45	59	72	139.6	43	5.9%
MURDER	0	1	. 4	2	2	3.9	17	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	3	7	· 3	2	3.9	55	-60.0%
ROBBERY	1	3	2	, 0	4	7.8	50	300.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	62	44	32	54	64	124.1	38	3.2%
PROPERTY	1,219	1,276	1,021	1,008	991	1,921.3	55	-18.7%
BURGLARY	426	457	338	321	372	721.2	26	-12.7%
LARCENY	763	791	663	674	595	1,153.6	58	-22.0%
MV THEFT	30	28	20	13	24	46.5	54	-20.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		ماسد، داهٔ	er of Arres			1986 Arres Per 100,00	0 ,
	1982		1984	1985	1986	<u>Populatio</u> <u>Rate</u> <u>Ra</u>	<u>n </u>
ARRESTS	596	688	606	566	630	1,247.5 8	0 5.7%
FELONY	102	162	125	118	167	330.7 5	6 63.7%
VIOLENT	18	.17	39	28	33	65.3 5	7 83.3%
OTHER	84	145	86	90	134	265.3 4	6 59.5%
MISDEMEANOR	494	526	481	448	463	916.8 5	8 -6.3%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	322	6.3%	
	FELONY ²	57	-29.6%	
	VIOLENT	18	12.5%	
	OTHER	39	-40.0%	•
	MISDEMEANOR	265	19.4%	

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	er of Arrest	s	-	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	w 21
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	0	1	1	4	· 0 ·	0.0 46	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	0	2	1	0	1,	2.0 56	NA ·
ROBBERY	Ó	1	1	O	0	0.0 59	NA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT		5	11	16	12	23.8 51	200.0%
BURGLARY	36	51	55	35	74	146.5 9	105.6%
LARCENY ²	90	133	84	100	78	154.5 61	-13.3%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

			Number of Arrests					1986 Ar Per 100 Popula		
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	·	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS		5	17	14	12	10		19.8	62	100.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)		3	8	1	3	3		5.9	62	0.0%
POSSESSION		3	8	1	3	3		5.9	61	0.0%
SALE		0 -	0	0	0	0.		0.0	57	NA
OTHER		0	0	0	0	0		0.0	47	· NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)		2	9	13	9	7		13.9	48	250.0%
POSSESSION		0 1	7	12	3	7		13.9	30	NA
SALE		, 2	2	1 .	6	0		0.0	56	-100.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES 1

(Adults Only)

							1986 Arrests Per 1,000	
			Number	of Arrests		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Licensed <u>Drivers</u>	9/ 01
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		139	177	202	158	180	5.8 46	29.5%
FELONY		16	26	14	4	6	0.2 52	-62.5%
MISDEMEANOR	•	123	151	188	154	174	5.6 43	41.5%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	32 100.0%	54 100.0%	51 100.0%	55 100.0%	56 100.0%	75.0%		
VIOLENT	2 6.3%	2 3.7%	8 15.7%	10 18.2%	8 14.3%	300.0%		
OTHER	30 93.8%	52 96.3%	43 84.3%	45 81.8%	48 85.7%	60.0%		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	33	28.9%		
VIOLENT	4	33.3%		
OTHER	29	26.1%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	1982	Felo:	Resulting f ny and Misdemea 1984		2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	383 100.0%	394 100.0%	425 100.0%	325 100.0%	375 100.0%	-2.1%
CONVICTIONS	239 62.4%	261 66.2%	268 63.1%	220 67.7%	268 71.5%	12.1%
ACQUITTALS	0.3%	0.0%	. 2 0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	-100.0%
DISMISSALS	143 37.3%	131 33.2%	152 35.8%	103 31.7%	105 28.0%	-26.6%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	0.0%	2 0.5%	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	2 0.5%	NA

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	74 100.0%	88 100.0%	105 100.0%	62 100.0%	88 100.0%	18.9%
CONVICTIONS	56 75.7%	68 77.3%	92 87.6%	47 75.8%	77 87.5%	37.5%
ACQUITTALS	0.0%	0 0.0%	1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA
DISMISSALS	18 24.3%	20 22.7%	12 11.4%	15 24.2%	10 11.4%	-44.4%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	NA NA

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
CONVICTIONS	239 100.0%	261 100.0%	268 100.0%	220 100.0%	268 100.0%	12.1%		
FELONY	37 15.5%	25 9.6%	42 15.7%	17 7.7%	32 11.9%	-13.5%		
VIOLENT	2 0.8%	3 1.1%	5 1.9%	2 0.9%	2 0.7%	0.0%		
OTHER	35 14.6%	22 8.4%	37 13.8%	15 6.8%	30 11.2%	-14.3%		
MISDEMEANOR	186 77.8%	198 75.9%	174 64.9%	142 64.5%	173 64.6%	-7.0%		
LESSER OFFENSES	16 6.7%	38 14.6%	52 19.4%	61 27.7%	63 23.5%	293.8%		

 $^{^{1}}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

•		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86	
CONVICTIONS	56 100.0%	68 100.0%	92 100.0%	47 100.0%	77 100.0%	37.5%	
FELONY	28 50.0%	24 35.3%	32 34.8%	16 34.0%	28 36.4%	0.0%	
VIOLENT	2 3.6%	3 4.4%	5 5.4%	2 4.3%	2 2.6%	0.0%	
OTHER	26 46.4%	21 30.9%	27 29.3%	14 29.8%	26 33.8%	0.0%	
MISDEMEANOR.	28 50.0%	41 60.3%	57 62.0%	29 61.7%	49 63.6%	75.0%	
LESSER OFFENSES	0 0.0~	3 4.4%	3 3.3%	2 4.3%	0.0%	NA .	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_ ²						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	3.3	0.8	2.6	1.4	1.1						
LOCAL JAIL	14.6	12.3	13.4	10.5	10.1						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.2	2.7	4.1	6.4	9.3						
PROBATION	18.8	20.3	24.3	17.3	21.6						
FINES	41.4	43.7	42.9	50.0	44.4						
OTHER	17.6	20.3	12.7	14.5	13.4						

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

			Sentences a	s a Perc	ent of Con	victions			
	1982 Mis Felony Les	sd &	983 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	984 Misd & Lesser		85 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100	0.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	21.6	NA 8.0	ŅĀ.	16.7	NA .	17.6	NA ·	9.4	NA
LOCAL JAIL	2.7 16	5.8 16.0	11.9	11.9	13.7	5.9	10.8	9.4	10.2
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	5.4	4.0 8.0	2.1	19.0	1.3	29.4	4.4	31.3	6.4
PROBATION	67.6	9.9 60.0	16.1	45.2	20.4	47.1	14.8	43.8	18.6
FINES	2.7 48	8.5 0.0	48.3	0.0	50.9	0.0	54.2	3.1	50.0
OTHER	0.0 -20	0.8 8.0	21.6	7.1	13.7	0.0	15.8	3.1	14.8

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	ber of Case	1986 Cases Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	347	398	410	460	604	1,196.0 20	74.1%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	167	201	208	202	240	475.2 56	43.7%
FAMILY COURT	39	45	43	36	55	108.9 56	41.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	128	156	165	166	185	366.3 54	44.5%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	, O	0	Ö.	0	0	0.0 51	
FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 44	NA NA
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 39	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	79	82	122	86	138	273.3 29	74.7%
FAMILY COURT	10	18	19	15	26	51.5 27	160.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	69	64	103	71	112	221.8 31	62.3%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	249	247	274	269	329	651.5 38	32.1%
FAMILY COURT	30	29	34	30	37	73.3 42	23.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	219	218	240	239	292	578.2 31	33.3%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	165	152	183	191	244	483.2 45	47.9%
FAMILY COURT	11	15	15	11	19	37.6 41	72.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	154	137	168	180	225	445.5 46	46.1%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

			Number	mber of Revocations			Per 1,00	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Probationers	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS		9	, 8	15	5	. 7	21.3	58	-22.2%
FAMILY COURT		2	0	3	· 2	2	54.1	36	0.0%
CRIMINAL COURT		7	8	12	3	5	17.1	60	-28.6%
TECHNICAL		3	· 5	9	2 '	4	13.7	55	33.3%
NEW CONVICTION		4	3	3	1	. 1	3.4	57	-75.0%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

			Numbe	r of Admis	eione		1986 Admiss Per 100 Populat	ions 0,000	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS		595	451	360	452	499	988.1	46	-16.1%
UNSENTENCE	D	342	331	267	382	419	829.7	36	22.5%
SENTENCED		253	120	93	70	80	158.4	53	-68.4%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

				•	•		1986 Inma Per 100, Populati	000	•
	1982	19	83	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> R	<u>ank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	35		38	35	35	35	69.3	37	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	26		26	26	26	28	55.4	45	7.7%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	25		23	22	23	32	63.4	34	28.0%
UNSENTENCED	8		12	11	11	20	39.6	26	150.0%
SENTENCED	17		11	11	12	12	23.8	32	-29.4%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \textbf{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

						1986 New Court Committment	S
			lumber of irt Commitm	nents		Per 100,00 Population	0
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate Ran	% Change <u>k 82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	8	1	4	 4 ,	. 2	4.0 62	-75.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	2	0	1	2	0	0.0 62	-100.0%
HOMICIDE	1	0	0	- 1	0	0.0 43	-100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	. 0	0	1.	0	0	0.0 51	NA .
ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	. 0	0.0 43	NA
BURGLARY	1	. 0	0	0	0	0.0 51	-100.0%
WEAPONS	. 0	, 0	. 0	0	0	0.0 25	NA
OTHER	0	. 0	0	1	0	0.0 37	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0 51	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 37	NA .
SALE	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0 43	NA
OTHER FELONIES	6	1	3	. 1	2	4.0 59	-66.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	1	0	0.0 32	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

		umber of In 1 State Pri				Under C on 12/3 Per 100	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100.000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	20	10	7	6	7	13.9	62	-65.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	5	3	3	.4	3	5.9	62	-40.0%
HOMICIDE	1	1	1	2	2	4.0	54	100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	2	1	0	0.0	60	-100.0%
ROBBERY	1	0	, ,0	0	o	0.0	55	-100.0%
BURGLARY	1	. 1	0	0	0	0.0	56	-100.0%
WEAPONS	.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	1	0	. 0	1	1	2.0	45	0.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	1	0	0	. 0	0	0.0	55	-100.0%
POSSESSION	4 · 1	0	0	0	0	0.0	43	-100.0%
SALE		0	0	0	0 .	0.0	49	. NA
OTHER FELONIES	13	6	3	1	3	5.9	60	-76.9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	1	1	1	1	2.0	12	0.0%

 $^{^{}m l}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 108-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	<u>1982</u>	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u>	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia. (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A.

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u> Kate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	6	10	15	6	6	11.9 54	0.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION 1	15	17	26	26	17	33.7 52	13.3%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	7	11	20	11 .	8	15.8 59	14.3%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Number o	f Parolees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983 1	984 1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2	0	0 1	0	0.0 52	-100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	· 0, ,	0 0	0	0.0 36	NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	0	0 1	0	0.0 49	-100.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	0	0 0	0 t	0.0 36	-100.0%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

		• •				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	0	0	1	. 0	0	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	1	· 0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	0	1	0	0	, NA
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	o	0	0	0	ŅA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	. O	0	0	0	NA
PROBATION	0	0	• 0.	. 0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	. 0	0	NA .
DISMISSALS	0	0	1	0	0	NA ·
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0	NA NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

	-	E	- 0/ Ol	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	- <u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	10.8	6.0	16.9	17.4	60.4%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.8	NA	0.0	4.5
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	58.5	65.7	66.1	76.8	31.3%	93.1	112.6
Division for Youth ³	101.2	105.3	143.9	325.9	222.0%	232.6	207.8
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	24.1	, NA	24.1	
TOTAL	170.5	177.0	236.9	471.0	176.2%	349.8	324.9

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

					Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	1	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹								
Coram Nobis	0.1	0.1	0.0	. 0.0	-100.0%			
Felons	10.6	6.0	16.8	15.9	49.7%			
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	NA			
State Readies ²	·			0.7	NA			·
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 1 <u>- 22</u> 1
TOTAL	10.8	6.0	16.9	17.4	60.4%		0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures Appr					ropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u> </u>	Y 86-87	FY 87-88	
Narcotics Control ¹				·	NA			4.5	
Neighborhood ² Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	0.0	26.1	NA				
Soft Body Armour ³ Reimbursement Program		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0.8	NA				
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.8	NA 1		0.0	4.5	

¹ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6
DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY_86-87	FY 87-88		
Classification ¹ Alternatives				0.0	NA	10.4	10.9		
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		3.3	12.3		
PINS Program ²				***	NA		5.8		
Regular State Aid	58.5	65.7	66.1	76.8	31.3%	79.4	83.5		
TOTAL	58.5	65.7	66.1	76.8	31.3%	93.1	112.6		

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	1		Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
Detention									
Non-Secure	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	NA	1.7	0.3		
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	28.0	32.9	32.9	28.4	1.3%	32.9	31.9		
Voluntary Agency Care	15.3	10.9	36.0	87.0	469.8%	81.3	34.3		
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	57.9	61.5	75.0	208.7	260.3%	116.7	141.3		
TOTAL	101.2	105.3	143.9	325.9	222.0%	232.6	207.8		

 $^{^{1}}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this county.

CHEMUNG COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop E Headquarters Box 343 1569 Rochester Road Canandaigua, New York 14424 New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 226 Lake Street Elmira, New York 14901

Probation Department 203-209 William Street Elmira, New York 14901

Public Defender's Office 210 Lake Street Elmira, New York 14901 Sheriff's Department 203-209 William Street Elmira, New York 14901

Youth Bureau 425 Pennsylvania Avenue Elmira, New York 14902

County Court County Court House Elmira, New York 14901

Family Court 203-209 William Street Elmira, New York 14901

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Elmira Police Department 317 East Church Street Elmira, New York 14901

*Population 25,000 and above.

		Region	ELMIRA
		County Seat	ELMIRA
		Square Miles	411
Population	Percent	Change 1970-1980	-3.8%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population		27	100.0%	NA
Density Pe	er Square Mile	237.6	20	NA NA	NA ·
Sex:	Male Female	46,913 50,743	NA NA	48.0% 52.0%	46 17
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	92,636 4,485 535	NA NA NA	94.9% 4.6% 0.5%	42 22 29
Ethnici	ity: Hispanic	868	NA .	0.9%	32
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	13,723 9,888 5,891 10,429 57,725	NA NA NA NA	14.1% 10.1% 6.0% 10.7% 59.1%	32 27 23 22 28

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	57,725	100.0%	28
8th Grade or Less	8,078	14.0%	51
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	9,496 24,216	16.5% 42.0%	36 3
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	8,215 7,689	14.2% 13.3%	38 33

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

POPULATION 1982-1986							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	•	Rank 1986
Population	95,500	94,200	93,500	91,992	90,500		29
Density Per Square Mile	232.4	229.2	227.5	223.8	220.2		21

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	9,835	10,395	11,342	27

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	PUBLIC HIG	H SCHOOL DROP	0012: 1881-	82 10 1985-	86		
	School Year					Percent Pank	
		<u>1981-82</u>	<u> 1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students		050					
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	258 4.7%	220 4.2%	240 4.9%	217 4.5%	246 5.3%	11

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLU	MENI: 1984-1	300		Percent
		1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	3,111 7.9%	3,214 8.3%	2,678 6.8%	36

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

		PUBLIC ASSISTA	ANCE: 1982-1	1986			
							Percent Rank
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	6,060 6.3%	7,584 8.1%	8,556 9.2%	7,964 8.7%	7,205 8.0%	3

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	****		Number of Cr	imes		1986 Ci Per 100 Populat	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	3,850	3,842	3,835	3,792	3,674	3,922.4	15	-4.6%
VIOLENT	209	152	167	175	194	207.1	34	-7.2%
MURDER	5	1	2	2	4	4.3	14	-20.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	11 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	13	18	18	19.2	16	63.6%
ROBBERY	48	26	32	27	31	33.1	26	-35.4%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	145	115	120	128	141	150.5	34	-2.8%
PROPERTY	3,641	3,690	3,668	3,617	3,480	3,715.3	13	-4.4%
BURGLARY	780	790	884	785	738	787.9	24	-5.4%
LARCENY	2,792	2,793	2,696	2,754	2,644	2,822.8	10	-5.3%
MV THEFT	69	107	88	78	98	104.6	27	42.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arre	sts		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	1,736	1,726	1,707	1,788	1,724	1,905.0 34	-0.7%
FELONY	419	385	376	415	386	426.5 28	-7.9%
VIOLENT	129	110	116	96	117	129.3 21	-9.3%
OTHER	290	275	260	319	269	297.2 33	-7.2%
MISDEMEANOR	1,317	1,341	1,331	1,373	1,338	1,478.5 33	1.6%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
•	ARRESTS	914	7.7%		
	FELONY2	177	-11.9%	•	
	VIOLENT	59	5.4%		
	OTHER	118	-18.6%		
	MISDEMEANOR	737	13.7%		

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES (Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arrest	s		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	4	1	0	1	1	1.1 42	-75.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1.	2	6	4	6	6.6 31	500.0%
ROBBERY	13	19	8	6	20	22.1 18	53.8%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	39	43	32	31	39	43.1 27	0.0%
BURGLARY	127	93	133	123	90	99.4 21	-29.1%
LARCENY ²	449	515	541	590	567	626.5 2	26.3%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Nun	ber	of Arres	ts		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	 1982	 1983		1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change - <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	36	34		12	13	18	19.9	61	-50.0%
CONTROLLED									
SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	15	17		4	8	15	16.6	59	0.0%
POSSESSION	.4	10		. 2	3	9	9.9	58	125.0%
SALE	10	6		2	2	4	4.4	45	-60.0%
OTHER	1	1		0	3	2	2.2	34	100.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	21	17		8	5	. 3	3.3	81	-85.7%
POSSESSION	9	. 4		2	4	1	1.1	60	-88.9%
SALE	12	13		. 6	1	2	2.2	50	-83.3%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

		1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Number of Arrests Drivers							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	424	407	339	318	333	5.5	51	-21.5%	
FELONY	57	41	31	44	. 52	0.9	14	-8.8%	
MISDEMEANOR	367	366	308	274	281	4.6	52	-23.4%	

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	409 100.0%	359 100.0%	343 100.0%	340 100.0%	250 100.0%	-38.9%		
VIOLENT	117 28.6%	96 26.7%	94 27.4%	76 22.4%	73 29.2%	-37.6%		
OTHER	292 71.4%	263 73.3%	249 72.6%	264 77.6%	177 70.8%	-39.4%		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		٠.	% Change	-			:	
	Number		Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>					
FELONY INDICTMENTS	114		-5.0%					
VIOLENT -	42		23.5%					
OTHER	72		-16.3%					

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1.
(Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86	
DISPOSITIONS	1,375 100.0%	1,552 100.0%	1,402 100.0%	1,503 100.0%	1,407 100.0%	2.3%	
CONVICTIONS	1,025 74.5%	1,159 74.7%	968 69.0%	1,126 74.9%	1,047 74.4%	2.1%	
ACQUITTALS	8 0.6%	10 0.6%	8 0.6%	7 0.5%	5 0.4%	-37.5%	
DISMISSALS	337 24.5%	375 24.2%	417 29.7%	366 24.4%	353 25.1%	4.7%	
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA	
OTHER	5 0.4%	8 0.5%	9 0.6%	4 0.3%	0.1%	-60.0%	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹
(Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
DISPOSITIONS	343 100.0%	415 100.0%	333 100.0%	314 100.0%	307 100.0%	-10.5%			
CONVICTIONS	312 91.0%	375 90.4%	300 90.1%	283 90.1%	276 89.9%	-11.5%			
ACQUITTALS	3. 0.9%	7 1.7%	3 0.9%	5 1.6%	2 0.7%	-33.3%			
DISMISSALS	25 7.3%	30 7.2%	28 8.4%	26 8.3%	28 9.1%	12.0%			
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA			
OTHER	3 0.9%	3 0.7%	2 0.6%	0 0.0%	1 0.3%	-66.7%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Felony and	Misdemeanor Ari	rests	•	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	1,025 100.0%	1,159 100.0%	968 100.0%	1,126 100.0%	1,047 100.0%	2.1%
FELONY	267 26.0%	304 26.2%	224 23.1%	197 17.5%	190 18.1%	-28.8%
VIOLENT	65 6.3%	65 5.6%	69 7.1%	45 4.0%	52 5.0%	-20.0%
OTHER	202 19.7%	239 20.6%	155 16.0%	152 13.5%	138 13.2%	-31.7%
MISDEMEANOR	611 59.6% -	644 55.6%	582 60.1%	762 67.7%	684 65.3%	11.9%
LESSER OFFENSES	147 14.3%	211 18.2%	162 16.7%	167 14.8%	173 16.5%	. 17.7%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
CONVICTIONS	312 100.0%	375 100.0%	300 100.0%	283 100.0%	276 100.0%	-11.5%		
FELONY	253 81.1%	286 76.3%	217 72.3%	190 67.1%	182 65.9%	-28.1%		
VIOLENT	65 20.8%	65 17.3%	69 23.0%	45 15.9%	52 18.8%	-20.0%		
OTHER	188 60.3%	221 58.9%	148 49.3%	145 51.2%	130 47.1%	-30.9%		
MISDEMEANOR	51 16.3%	78 20.8%	63 21.0%	83 29.3%	79 28.6%	54.9%		
LESSER OFFENSES	8 2.6%	11 2.9%	20 6.7%	10 3.5%	15 5.4%	87.5%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	9.6	8.6	9.1	6.3	7.2					
LOCAL JAIL	14.5	15.5	14.2	12.7	13.8					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.1	4.1	5.7	4.6	5.8					
PROBATION	19.4	17.2	16.3	16.8	18.9					
FINES	35.1	40.6	40.7	43.3	39.0					
OTHER	18.2	13.9	14.0	16.3	15.3					

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

		Sentences	as a Percent of Co	onvictions	
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	1983 Misd & Felony Lesser	1984 Misd & Felony Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%
STATE PRISON	36.7 NA	32.9 NA	39.3 NA	36.0 NA	39.5 NA
LOCAL JAIL	13.5 14.9	15.1 15.7	14.3 14.1	12.2 12.8	14.7 13.7
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	9.7 0.8	10.9 1.8	17.0 2.3	14.7 2.5	19.5 2.8
PROBATION	35.6 13.7	32.6 11.7	25.0 13.7	33.5 13.2	23.2 18.0
FINES	0.7 47.2	5.3 53.2	0.9 52.7	2.0 52.0	1.6 47.3
OTHER	3.7 23.4	3.3 17.7	3.6 17.2	1.5 19.5	1.6 18.3

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	ber of Case		Per 10	1986 Cases Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,401	1,739	1,808	1,837	2,012	2,223.2	3	43.6%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	802	850	894	1,100	1,114	1,230.9	8	38.9%
FAMILY COURT	176	162	213	215	229	253.0	13	30.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	626	688	681	885	885	977.9	, 7 .	41.4%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	91	96	75	122	64	70.7	23	-29.7%
FAMILY COURT	2	3.	7	2	3	3.3	42	50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	89	93	68	120	61	67.4	17	-31.5%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	331	395	366	350	379	418.8	4	14.5%
FAMILY COURT	73	85	76	100	94	103.9	2	28.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	258	310	290	250	285	314.9	5	10.5%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	1,095	1,147	1,205	1,189	1,271	1,404.4	1	16.1%
FAMILY COURT	142	159	171	176	202	223.2	2	42.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	953	988	1,034	1,013	1,069	1,181.2	5	12.2%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	752	839	839	892	949	1,048.6	2	26.2%
FAMILY COURT	74	95	76	108	108	119.3	2	45.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	678	744	763	784	841	929.3	2	24.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SDURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number of Revocations				1986 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86	
REVOCATIONS	45	59	61	66	72	56.6	21	60.0%	
FAMILY COURT	9	14	12	20	24	118.8	10	166.7%	
CRIMINAL COURT	36	45	49	46	48	44.9	22	33.3%	
TECHNICAL	28	36	37	38	39	36.5	11	39.3%	
NEW CONVICTION	, 8	9	12	. 8	9	8.4	45	12.5%	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

٣.	h10	00		

Та	ble 8B		Tab	Table 8C				
PRETRIAL RELEA	SE PROGRAM: 19	36	COMMUNITY SER	VICE PROGRAM:	1986			
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent			
CASES SCREENED	454	NA	CASES SENTENCED	32	NA			
CASES INTERVIEWED	302	100.0%	CASES DISCHARGED	15	100.0%			
RELEASED ¹	133	44.0%	SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	13	86.7%			
1 Program intervention directly responsible detained defendants.								

Ta	b	le	80

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
ADMISSIONS	1,036	1,130	1,077	1,095	1,293	1,428.7 19	24.8%	
UNSENTENCED	676	720	676	735	852	941.4 27	26.0%	
SENTENCED	360	410	401	360	441	487.3 5	22.5%	

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

•							1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY		78	78	78	92	92	101.7 13	17.9%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	•	74	83	80	81	91	100.6 9	23.0%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION		78	87	74	100	94	103.9 6	20.5%
UNSENTENCED		40	38	30	42	43	47.5 18	7.5%
SENTENCED		38	49	44	- 58	51	56.4 4	34.2%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

			umber of	ments		198 New C Committ Per 10 Popula	court ments 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate_	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	68	73	67	52	58	64.1	8	-14.7%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	34	29	31	27	26	28.7	5	-23.5%
HOMICIDE	1	3	1	2	2	2.2	21	100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	11	5	10	7 7	6	6.6	7	-45.5%
ROBBERY	6	4	5	. 1	4	4.4	20	-33.3%
BURGLARY	5	12	10	8	10	11.0	5	100.0%
WEAPONS	5	1	. 0	4	0	0.0	25	-100.0%
OTHER .	6	4	5	5	4	4.4	6	-33.3%
DRUG FELONIES4	1	8	4	0	2	2.2	36	100.0%
POSSESSION	1	. 2	0	0	1	1.1	32	0.0%
SALE	0	6	4	0	1	1.1	37	NA
OTHER FELONIES	33	36	28	24	28	30.9	5	-15.2%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	4	1	2	2.2	7	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 108-1}$ INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER ${\bf 31}^1$

	Nu ir	Number of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	182	175	193	172	184	203.3	5	1.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	92	96	111	109	116	128.2	5	26.1%
HOMICIDE	16	19	20	22	24	26.5	6	50.0%
SEX OFFENSE	20	21	31	29	25	27.6	3	25.0%
ROBBERY	20	17	17	17	13	14.4	16	-35.0%
BURGLARY	14	17	25	26	33	36.5	2	135.7%
WEAPONS	7	5	2	4	2	2.2	16	-71.4%
OTHER	15	17	16	11	19	21.0	3	26.7%
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	. 7	8	2	2	2.2	48	0.0%
POSSESSION	1	2	2	1	2	2.2	31	100.0%
SALE	1	5	6	1	0	0.0	49	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	84	71	70	57	63	69.6	3	-25.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	4	. 1	4	4	3	3.3	7	-25.0%

 $^{^{}m 1}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 108-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

DEDOCUT OF THURTES	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population		
	1982_	1983	1984	. 1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	46	62	35	52	57	63.0 6	23.9%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	102	129	120	114	131	144.8 9	28.4%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	67	85	62		83	91.7 10	23.9%

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	per of Parole	ees	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	15	16	22	18	19	21.0 6	26.7%
RULE VIOLATIONS	3	2	3	2	1	1.1 34	-66.7%
NEW ARRESTS	10	10	12	11	12	13.3 5	20.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	2	4	7	5	6 ,	6.6 10	200.0%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Table 12} \\ \text{JUVENILE OFFENDERS} \\ \text{ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS}^1 \end{array}$

	1					
			Number of Cases			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	0		0	, 0 .	0	NA NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	0	0	1	NA NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	, NA
PRISON	ō	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	, 0 ,	0	NA :
PROBATION	0	0	0,	. 0	0	NA
OTHER	. 0	0	0	0	0	NA NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	, 0	0	. .	NA
OTHER	. • • •	0	0	O	0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York New Y

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

	•	1	Expenditures			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88			
Dept. of Correctional Services	102.9	105.3	71.2	143.8	39.7%	0.0	0.0			
Crime Victims Board	43.5	41.9	49.3	36.5	-16.0%	14.0	76.8			
Division of Criminal Justice Services	113.1	128.5	174.2	143.8	27.2%	183.5	243.1			
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	311.0	329.7	348.4	367.2	18.1%	376.7	460.4			
Division for Youth ³	461.4	385.3	662.4	1,210.8	162.4%	797.0	780.0			
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	24.1	NA	24.1				
TOTAL	1,031.9	990.8	1,315.6	1,926.2	86.7%	1,395.2	1,560.3			

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{}m 4}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Expenditures		*	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
Board of Prisoners ¹									
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	NA	and the second s			
Felons	86.2	91.0	60.8	104.4	21.2%				
Parole Violators	14.1	12.7	9.3	9.5	-33.0%				
State Readies ²				28.2	NA	an en	. · · ·		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	2.6	1.6	1.0	1.7	-35.1%				
TOTAL	102.9	105.3	71.2	143.8	39.7%	0.0	0.0		

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

•			Approp	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	43.5	41.9	49.3	36.5	-16.0%	14.0	76.8
TOTAL	43.5	41.9	49.3	36.5	-16.0%	14.0	76.8

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
Crime Prevention ¹ and Protection		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	0.0	40.0		
Indigent Parolee Program ²	8.2	6.5	10.1	1.3	-84.5%				
Narcotics Control ³				, '==	NA		11.4		
Neighborhood ⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	25.0	0.0	NA				
Soft Body Armour ⁵ Reimbursement Program			0.0	14.3	NA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁶		·	0.0	0.0	, NA	29.3	30.9		
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	104.9	122.0	139.1	128.3	22.3%	154.2	160.8		
TOTAL	113.1	128.5	174.2	143.8	27.2%	183.5	243.1		

 $^{^{1}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁷ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	:	Appropriation:			
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Classification ¹ Alternatives	-			21.6	NA .	22.5	23.6	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	52.7	56.4	56.9	54.9	4.1%	56.9	61.3	
PINS Program ²					NA		41.1	
Regular State Aid	258.2	273.3	291.5	290.7	12.6%	297.3	334.4	
TOTAL	311.0	329.7	348.4	367.2	18.1%	376.7	460.4	

 $^{^{1}% \}left(1\right) =0$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	****		Appropr	oropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86¹</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	75.3	42.4	164.3	174.5	131.6%	123.4	127.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	14.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	56.0	62.2	62.2	58.8	4.9%	62.2	64.3
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	NA	50.0	48.1
Voluntary Agency Care	73.9	35.0	200.3	394.6	434.0%	259.3	240.1
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	242.1	232.0	235.7	579.8	139.5%	302.2	300.5
TOTAL	461.4	385.3	662.4	1,210.8	162.4%	797.0	780.0

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
	DOCS Facilities	1,679	776	
	DFY Facilities	7	7	
	TOTAL	1,686	783	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	s		Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	20,272.9	21,969.2	23,590.9	26,382.3	30.1%	26,227.6	27,915.7	
DFY Facilities	116.8	133.6	149.2	137.9	18.0%	161.3	162.1	
TOTAL	20,389.7	22,102.8	23,740.1	26,520.2	30.1%	26,388.9	28,077.8	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expendi		Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	4,900.9	3,449.5	2,240.6	10,590.9	262.0	650.0
DFY Facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	4,900.9	3,449.5	2,240.6	10,590.9	262.0	650.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

				Staff	
<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	_Total_	Security	Civilian
Elmira	MAXIMUM	1,679	776	511	265

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

•		Expenditures				Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Elmira	20,272.9	21,969.2	23,590.9	26,382.3	30.1%	26,227.6	27,915.7	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Elmira	4,900.9	3,449.5	2,240.6	10,590.9	262.0	650.0

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

		0		
<u>Facility</u>		Security ₁ Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>
Elmira Community				
Urban Home		ΛΙ	7	7

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Elmira Community Urban Home	116.8	133.6	149.2	137.9	18.0%	161.3	162.1

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Elmira Community Urban Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

SCHUYLER COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop E Headquarters Box 343, 1569 Rochester Road Canandaigua, New York 14424 New York State
Division of Parole
Room 502, 65 Court Street
Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 148 Watkins Glen, New York 14891 Sheriff's Department 918 North Franklin Street Watkins Glen, New York 14891

Probation Department
Box 269
111 Ninth Street
Watkins Glen, New York 14891

Youth Bureau Rural Urban Center Montour Falls, New York 14865

Public Defender's Office P.O. Box 181 Odessa, New York 14869 County Court Court House Watkins Glen, New York 14891

Family Court Court House Watkins Glen, New York 14891 Table 3

		 POPL	<u>JLATION 1982-1986</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986	Rank 1986
Population	17,600	17,600	17,700	17,511	17,400	61
Density Per Square Mile	53.5	53.5	53.8	53.2	52.9	53

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		
		:		Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	8,471	8,810	9,565	54

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

PUBLIC HI	<u>GH SCHOOL DROP</u>	<u> 1981 - OUTS:</u>	<u>82 TO 1985-</u>	86			
	School Year						
	1981-82	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>	
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School Number	68	14	29	28	27		
Percent	6.5%	1.4%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	53	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-1	986		
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	661 8.6%	591 7.5%	609 7.3%	30

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986										
							Percent Rank			
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986			
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	668 3.8%	825 4.7%	868 4.9%	845 4.8%	809 4.6%	21			

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

***********		lumber of C	1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population				
1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
377	363	359	307	310	1,745.0	59	-17.8%
5	8	3	14	7	39.4	62	40.0%
2	0	0	0	0	0.0	52	-100.0%
0	1	. 0	0	, O	0.0	59	NA
1	2	0	3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5.6	55	0.0%
2	5	3	11.	6	33.8	61	200.0%
372	355	356	293	303	1,705.6	59	-18.5%
121	137	100	97	119	669.9	34	-1.7%
238	205	247	186	172	968.2	60	-27.7%
13	13	9	10	12	67.5	45	-7.7%
	377 5 2 0 1 2 372 121 238	377 363 5 8 2 0 0 1 1 2 2 5 372 355 121 137 238 205	377 363 359 5 8 3 2 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 0 2 5 3 372 355 356 121 137 100 238 205 247	377 363 359 307 5 8 3 14 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 2 0 3 2 5 3 11 372 355 356 293 121 137 100 97 238 205 247 186	377 363 359 307 310 5 8 3 14 7 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 2 0 3 1 2 5 3 11' 6 372 355 356 293 303 121 137 100 97 119 238 205 247 186 172	377 363 359 307 310 1,745.0 5 8 3 14 7 39.4 2 0 0 0 0 0.0 0 1 0 0 0 0.0 1 2 0 3 1 5.6 2 5 3 11' 6 33.8 372 355 356 293 303 1,705.6 121 137 100 97 119 669.9 238 205 247 186 172 968.2	377 363 359 307 310 1,745.0 59 5 8 3 14 7 39.4 62 2 0 0 0 0 0.0 52 0 1 0 0 0 0.0 59 1 2 0 3 1 5.6 55 2 5 3 11' 6 33.8 61 372 355 356 293 303 1,705.6 59 121 137 100 97 119 669.9 34 238 205 247 186 172 968.2 60

 ${\tt SOURCE:} \quad {\tt Division \ of \ Criminal \ Justice \ Services, \ Uniform \ Crime \ Reporting \ System.}$

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numl	per of Arres	ets	ettering and the second	1986 Ar Per 100 Popula		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	294	304	327	338	331	1,902.3	35	12.6%
FELONY	.72	60	65	73	63	362.1	46	-12.5%
VIOLENT	18	15	18	26	19	109.2	33	5.6%
OTHER	54	45	47	47	44	252.9	54	-18.5%
MISDEMEANOR	222	244	262	265	268	1,540.2	29	20.7%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	147	-10.4%	
	FELONY ²	21	-32.3%	
	VIOLENT	6	-33.3%	
	OTHER	15	-31.8%	
	MISDEMEANOR	126	-5.3%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Panal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

			Numb	er of Arrests	1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	•			
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
MUR	DER	. 0		. 0.	. 0	0	0.0	46	NA
FOR	CIBLE RAPE	1	0	0	5	1 .	5.7	37	0.0%
ROE	BERY	1	. 0	3	3	2	11.5	31	100.0%
	RAVATED SAULT	6	8	1	4	4	23.0	53	-33.3%
BUR	IGLARY	27	18	20	17	12	69.0	49	-55.6%
LAR	CENY ²	40	27	30	44	42	241.4	51	5.0%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

	:				<u>Yumb</u>	er (of Arr	est:	5				 1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	 2. 2.
	198	2		1983			1984		198	<u> 35</u>		1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS		1		6			3			7		4	23.0	59	300.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)		0		1			1			3		3	17.2	58	NA
POSSESSION		0		1			1			2		3	17.2	52	NA
SALE		0		Ô			0			0		0	0.0	57	NA
OTHER		0		.0			0			1		0	0.0	47	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)		1		5			2			4			5.7	58	0.0%
POSSESSION		1		3			2			1	• .	- 1	5.7	57	0.0%
SALE		. 0		- 2			0			3		. 0	0.0	56	NA

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

		•						
		N	umber of Arre	sts		Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	7	79 114	118	89	103	8.4	26	30.4%
FELONY	1	.2 15	16	8	10	0.8	18	-16.7%
MISDEMEANOR		57 99	102	81	93	7.6	31	38.8%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	· ·	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	30 100.0%	22 100.0%	22 100.0%	28 100.0%	32 100.0%	6.7%			
VIOLENT	4 13.3%	3 13.6%	5 22.7%	9 32.1%	13 40.6%	225.0%			
OTHER	26 86.7%	19 86.4%	17 77.3%	19 67.9%	19 59.4%	-26.9%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	19	46.2%		. •	
VIOLENT	2	-71.4%			•
OTHER	17	183.3%			

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	198		% Change 82 vs 86		
DISPOSITIONS	182 100.0%	226 100.0%	217 100.0%	259 100.0%	267 100.0%		46.7%		
CONVICTIONS	141 77.5%	192 85.0%	166 76.5%	218 84.2%	224 83.9%		58.9%		
ACQUITTALS	2 1.1%	3 1.3%	2 0.9%	0.4%	0 0.0%		-100.0%		
DISMISSALS	39 21.4%	29 12.8%	46 21.2%	39 15.1%	43 16.1%		10.3%		
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0 0.0%	0.0%		. NA		
OTHER	0 0.0%	0.4%	2 0.9%	0.4%	0 0.0%		NA		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
DISPOSITIONS	55 100.0%	58 100.0%	35 100.0%	55 100.0%	59 100.0%	7.3%		
CONVICTIONS	40 72.7%	50 86.2%	32 91.4%	52 94.5%	52 88.1%	30.0%		
ACQUITTALS	2 3.6%	3 5.2%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-100.0%		
DISMISSALS	13 23.6%	4 6.9%	3 8.6%	3 5.5%	7 11.9%	-46.2%		
NOT PROSECUTED	0.0%	1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	NA		
OTHER	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	NA		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change <u>19</u>86 ² 1982 1983 1984 82 vs 86 1985 CONVICTIONS 141 192 166 218 224 58.9% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 13 10 14 20 53.8% 14 9.2% 6.0% 6.4% 7.3% 8.9% VIOLENT 0 2 NA 0.0% 0.5% 1.2% 1.4% 3.1% OTHER 13 8 11 13 0.0% 5.0% 3.2% 6.8% 4.8% 5.8% 106 **MISDEMEANOR** 98 142 159 144 46.9% 69.5% 74.0% 63.9% 72.9% 64.3% LESSER OFFENSES 30 36 45 60 100.0% 18.8% 30.1% 20.6% 21.3% 26.8%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	•		rcent of Convic		-	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	40 100.0%	50 100.0%	32 100.0%	52 100.0%	52 100.0%	30.0%
FELONY	13 32.5%	14 28.0%	10 31.3%	14 26.9%	18 34.6%	38.5%
VIOLENT	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	2 6.3%	3 5.8%	7 13.5%	NA NA
OTHER	13 32.5%	13 26.0%	8 25.0%	11 21.2%	11 21.2%	-15.4%
MISDEMEANOR	21 52.5%	34 68.0%	21 65.6%	34 65.4%	34 65.4%	61.9%
LESSER OFFENSES	6 15.0%	2 4.0%	3.1%	4 7.7%	0 0.0%	-100.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A
SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	1.4	1.0	2.4	1.4	3.1
LOCAL JAIL	9.9	5.7	3.0	7.8	7.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	5.0	8.9	6.0	5.5	9.8
PROBATION	24.1	28.6	22.9	26.6	17.4
FINES	46.8	47.4	55.4	50.0	52.2
OTHER	12.8	8.3	10.2	8.7	10.3

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

				Sentences	as a Perc	ent of C	onviction:	3		-
	***************************************	982 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	983 Misd & Lesser		84 Misd & Lesser	- 19 Felony	985 Misd & Lesser		2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	15.4	NA	14.3	NA	40.0	NA	21.4	NA	35.0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	23.1	8.6	21.4	4.5	0.0	3.2	14.3	7.4	0.0	7.8
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	7.7	4.7	42.9	6.2	60.0	2.6	57.1	2.0	45.0	6.4
PROBATION	46.2	21.9	21.4	29.2	0.0	24.4	7.1	27.9	20.0	17.2
FINES	7.7	50.8	0.0	51.1	0.0	59.0	0.0	53.4	0.0	57.4
OTHER	0.0	14.1	0.0	9.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	9.3	0.0	11.3
						1				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nun	ber of Case	s		1986 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate -	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	603	709	692	606	712	4,092.0	1	18.1%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	213	205	166	215	237	1,362.1	 5 :	11.3%
FAMILY COURT	91	80	48	65	62	356.3	5	-31.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	122	125	118	150	175	1,005.7	6	43.4%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	0	1	.0	2	2	11.5	44	,
FAMILY COURT	. 0		0	2	0	0.0	44	NA NA
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	2	11.5	28	NA NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	54	67	55	85	81	465.5	2	50.0%
FAMILY COURT	3 .	8	3	11	13	74.7	8	333.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	51	59	52	7.4	68	390.8	1	33.3%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	172	192	190	205	210	1,206.9	3	22.1%
FAMILY COURT	15	13	9	14	19	109.2	21	26.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	157	179	181	191	191	1,097.7	. 1	21.7%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	125	135	120	129	154	885.1	6	23.2%
FAMILY COURT	5	6	3	6	10	57.5	19	100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	120	129	117	123	144	827.6	6	20.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	: .	Number	of Revoca	tions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio		
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	11	4	14	9	12	57.1	26	9.1%
FAMILY COURT	1	0	,1	3	2	105.3	13	100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	10	4	13	6	10	52.4	30	0.0%
TECHNICAL	, 9 .	4	10	. 5	10	52.4	8	11.1%
NEW CONVICTION	1	,0	. 3	1	. 0	0.0	59	-100.0%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

Table 8C

	PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986	· ·	COMMUNITY S	ERVICE PROGRA	M: 198	5
· ·				Number		Percent
	There were no agencies in the county that received funding		CASES SENTENCED	41		NA
	for this type of program.		CASES DISCHARGED	39		100.0%
			SUCCESSFUL OUTCOM	E 36		92.3%

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Number	of Admis	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	342	321	199	323	207	1,189.7	34	-39.5%
UNSENTENCED	269	268	132	258	138	793.1	39	-48.7%
SENTENCED	73	53	67	65	69	396.6	19	-5.5%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 In Per 10 Popula	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	21	22	21	21	21	120.7	8	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	26	18	16	18	18	103.4	8	-30.8%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	18	9	15	23	16	92.0	9	-11.1%
UNSENTENCED	6	4	7	21	8	46.0	21	33.3%
SENTENCED	12	5	8	2	8	46.0	10	-33.3%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 10A
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

			New	Number of Court Comm		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	198 New C Committ Per 10 Popula	Court ments 00,000	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS		2	. 1	0	3	4	23.0	40	100.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³		0	1	0	. 0	3	17.2	23	NA
HOMICIDE .		0	1	0	0	0	0.0	43	NA
SEX OFFENSE		0		0	0	1	5.7	11	NA
ROBBERY		. 0	C	0		1	5.7	13	NA
BURGLARY		Q		0	. 0	. 1	5.7	20	NA
WEAPONS		0	C	0	0	0	0.0	25	NA
OTHER		0	C	0	. 0	0	0.0	37	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴		0	C	0	. • 0	. 0	0.0	51	NA
POSSESSION		0	C	0	0	. 0	0.0	37	NA NA
SALE		0	C	0	0	0	0.0	43	NA .
OTHER FELONIES		2	·	0	3	1	5.7	56	-50.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	;	0	C	0,	0	0	0.0	32	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

	Ni j:	Inmate Under Ct on 12/3: Number of Inmates Under Custody Per 100 in State Prison on December 31 Populat						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	8	5	2	4	. 4	23.0	60	-50.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	1	2	1	1	3	17.2	55	200.0%
HOMICIDE	1	2	1	1	. 0	0.0	60	-100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	0	0	1	5.7	45	NA ,
ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	1	5.7	30	NA
BURGLARY	0	0	0	0	1	5.7	42	NA
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	0	. 0	. 0	0	0	0.0	53	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	0	0	. 0	0	0.0	55	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	43	NA
SALE	0	0	0	. 0	0	0.0	49	NA
OTHER FELONIES	7	3	1	3	1	5.7	62	-85.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	33	NA

 $^{^{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u>	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	•
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	4	8	. 5·	6	5	28.7 27	25.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	11	15	17	18	16	92.0 21	45.5%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	7	12	. 12	11	14	80.5 13	100.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 118
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

	***************************************	Number	of Parol	ees	:	198 Revoca Per 1 Parol	tions ,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	1	1	1		1	5.7	43	0.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	36	NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	1	1	1	1	5.7	12	0.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	36	NA

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS

ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

			Number of Case	es	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N/ O
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	0	0		o	0	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	0	0	0	NA NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	0	0	0 ,	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	. 0:	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA NA
PROBATION		0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	, 0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	. 0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	. 0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0		0	NA
OTHER	0	. • O	0	0	. 0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1
LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

		E	Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	8.8	14.7	20.1	27.0	206.4%	0.0	0.0
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	35.6	39.5	40.4	46.3	30.1%	59.0	74.5
Division for Youth ³	85.1	84.3	66.3	172.5	102.6%	113.4	120.3
TOTAL	129.5	138.5	. 126.8	245.8	89.7%	172.4	196.5

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	NA .		
Felons	8.8	11.4	18.2	21.6	145.5%		
Parole Violators	0.0	3.1	1.5	2.8	NA	- -	,
State Readies ² .			,	2.5	NA		
TOTAL	8.8	14.7	20.1	27.0	206.4%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Narcotics Control ¹					NA		1.7
TOTAL				·			

 $^{^{1}}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropr	iations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				1.9	NA	10.4	10.9
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA ·	1,2	6.0
PINS Program ²			,	• •	NA NA		0.0
Regular State Aid	35.6	39.5	40.4	44.5	24.9%	47.4	57.6
TOTAL	35.6	39.5	40.4	46.3	30.1%	59.0	74.5

 $^{^{1}% \}left(1\right) =0$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	15.0	5.0	2.5	1.5	-90.3%	2.4	1.5
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	0.0	25.4
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	9.4	9.8	9.8	7.7	-17.3%	9.8	9.8
Voluntary Agency Care	28.3	36.9	23.3	62.8	121.7%	55.8	29.6
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	32.4	32.6	30.6	100.5	209.9%	45.4	54.0
TOTAL	85.1	84.3	66.3	172.5	102.6%	113.4	120.3

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	164	70	
DFY Facilities			
TOTAL	164	70	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Appropriations					
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	1,871.0	2,020.7	2,181.4	2,453.8	31.1%	2,506.9	2,707.7
DFY Facilities	··				0.0%		
TOTAL	1,871.0	2,020.7	2,181.4	2,453.8	31.1%	2,506.9	2,707.7

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	Appropriations			
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	294.6	110.4	28.9	433.8	517.0	350.5
DFY Facilities		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
TOTAL	294.6	110.4	28.9	433.8	517.0	350.5

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

			<u> </u>	Staff	
<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	_Total_	Security	Civilian
Monterey-Camp	MUMINIM	164	70	45	25

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Monterey-Camp	1,871.0	2,020.7	2,181.4	2,453.8	31.1%	2,506.9	2,707.7

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86		<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Monterey-Camp	294.6	110.4	28.9		433.8	517.0	350.5

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

STEUBEN COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop E Headquarters Box 343, 1569 Rochester Road Canandaigua, New York 14424 New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 672 Watkins Glen, New York 14819

> Probation Department P.O. Box 31 Bath, New York 14810

Public Defender's Office Box 525 12 West Pulteney Square West Bath, New York 14810

Assigned Counsel Plan
Box 525
12 West Pulteney Square
West Bath, New York 14810

Sheriff's Department Steuben County Jail Bath, New York 14810

Youth Bureau 149 Pine Street Corning, New York 14830

County Court Court House Bath, New York 14810

Family Court P.O. Box 708 County Office Building Bath, New York 14810

Region	ELMIRA
County Seat	BATH
Square Miles	1,396
opulation Percent Change 1970-1980	-0.3%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

•		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	99,217	26	100.0%	NA
Density Per	Square Mile	71.1	42	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	48,414 50,803	NA NA	48.8% 51.2%	29 34
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	97,635 1,356 226	NA NA NA	98.4% 1.4% 0.2%	21 41 47
Ethnicit	y: Hispanic	385	NA	0.4%	59
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	14,830 10,785 5,761 8,889 58,952	NA NA NA NA NA	14.9% 10.9% 5.8% 9.0% 59.4%	15 26 41 53 26

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	58,952	100.0%	26
8th Grade or Less	9,576	16.2%	34
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	10,088 23,592	17.1% 40.0%	29 11
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	8,264 7,432	14.0% 12.6%	43 36

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

POPULATION 1982-1986								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986		
Population	98,100	97,900	99,400	97,342	96,900	26		
Density Per Square Mile	70.3	70.1	71.2	69.7	69.4	44		

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

· <u></u>	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		
	1982	1983	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	9.420	10.062	10.747	36
7 CT Capita Tricome	0,740	10,005	101171	

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

-	PUBLIC HIG	H SCHOOL DROP	OUTS: 1981-	-82 TO 1985-	·86	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Percent
		School Year					
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number	259	261	245	208	250	
	Percent	3.6%	3.8%	3.7%	3.1%	3.9%	33

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

1	UNEMPLOYME	NT: 1984-1	1986		
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	2,953 7.5%	2,885 7.3%	3,175 7.8%	27

Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986										
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Percent Rank 1986			
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	5,016 5.1%	5,978 6.1%	6,313 6.4%	6,271 6.4%	6,377 6.6%	9			

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			1986 Ci Per 100 Populat					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	2,533	2,729	2,479	2,497	2,588	2,598.2	33	2.2%
VIOLENT	154	204	218	255	233	233.9	28	51.3%
MURDER	3	0	3	. 0	1	1.0	48	-66.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	12	7	6	8	9	9.0	46	-25.0%
ROBBERY	11	12	11	18	- 11	11.0	44	0.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	128	185	198	229	212	212.8	19	65.6%
PROPERTY	2,379	2,525	2,261	2,242	2,355	2,364.3	35	-1.0%
BURGLARY	674	670	581	539	595	597.3	48	-11.7%
LARCENY	1,623	1,770	1,613	1,611	1,660	1,666.5	37	2.3%
MV THEFT	82	85	67	92	100	100.4	28	22.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	-	Number of Arrests			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
ARRESTS	1,224	1,308	1,314	1,409	1,511	1,559.3 51	23.4%	
FELONY	225	265	255	296	331	341.6 52	47.1%	
VIOLENT	61	76	70	66	67	69.1 56	9.8%	
OTHER	164	189	185	230	264	272.4 44	61.0%	
MISDEMEANOR	999	1,043	1,059	1,113	1,180	1,217.8 48	18.1%	

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		Number ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	748	-5.0%	
	FELONY ²	183	5.8%	
	VIOLENT	39	39.3%	
	OTHER	144	-0.7%	
•	MISDEMEANOR	565	-8.0%	

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arrest:	s		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	1	0	2	1	1	1.0	44	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	7	4	2	. 4	4	4.1	47	-42.9%
ROBBERY	3	.6	6	4	6	6.2	43	100.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	14	17	19	22	23	23.7	52	64.3%
BURGLARY	90	101	79	63	. 77	79.5	44	-14.4%
LARCENY ²	221	316	296	285	272	280.7	44	23.1%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1
(Adults Only)

		Nun	nber of Arrest	s	1	1986 Arre Per 100,0 Populati	00 on
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate R	% Change ank 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	15	31	15	27	41	42.3	52 173.3%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	· · · · 7	26	5	10	25	25.8	51 257.1%
POSSESSION	6	18	3	10	22	22.7	45 266.7%
SALE	1	8	1	0	3 :	3.1	50 200.0%
OTHER	0	0	1	0	0	0.0	47 NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	8	5 j	10	17	16	16.5	43 100.0%
POSSESSION	4	5	. 7	15	14	14.4	27 250.0%
SALE	4	0	3	2	2	2.1	52 -50.0%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES

(Adults Only)

		Nun	nber of Arres		1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Orivers				
		1982	1983	1984_	<u>1985</u>	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		405	361	410	422	521	7.9	36	28.6%
FELONY		22	35	37	40	56	0.8	15	154.5%
MISDEMEANOR		383	326	373	382	465	7.0	36	21.4%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

						% Change
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	<u>1986</u>	82 vs 86
FELONY INDICTMENTS	125 100.0%	134 100.0%	131 100.0%	120 100.0%	159 100.0%	27.2%
VIOLENT	27 21.6%	31 23.1%	36 27.5%	34 28.3%	34 21.4%	25.9%
OTHER	98 78.4%	103 76.9%	95 72.5%	86 71.7%	125 78.6%	27.6%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELCHY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

•		Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	100	26.6%	
	VIOLENT	16	14.3%	
	OTHER	84	29.2%	

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
DISPOSITIONS	856 100.0%	1,075 100.0%	973 100.0%	986 100.0%	1.074 100.0%	25.5%			
CONVICTIONS	602 70.3%	791 73.6%	745 76.6%	707 71.7%	810 75.4%	34.6%			
ACQUITTALS	0.5%	2 0.2%	0.4%	7 0.7%	4 0.4%	0.0%			
DISMISSALS	248 29.0%	273 25.4%	219 22.5%	266 27.0%	254 23.7%	2.4%			
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0.1%	0 0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	NA			
OTHER	2 0.2%	8 0.7%	5 0.5%	5 0.5%	5 0.5%	150.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests									
		1982	_	1983		1984	,	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS		181 100.0%		206 100.0%		196 100.0%		194 100.0%	234 100.0%	29.3%
CONVICTIONS		153 84.5%		172 83.5%		171 87.2%		149 76.8%	175 74.8%	14.4%
ACQUITTALS		0.6%		1 0.5%		1 0.5%		5 2.6%	4 1.7%	300.0%
DISMISSALS		26 14.4%		29 14.1%		22 11.2%		37 19.1%	53 22.6%	103.8%
NOT PROSECUTED		0.0%		1 0.5%		0.0%		0.5%	0.4%	NA
OTHER		0.6%		3 1.5%		2 1.0%		2 1.0%	0.4%	0.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u> 1986</u> ²	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	602 100.0%	791 100.0%	745 100.0%	707 100.0%	810 100.0%	34.6%
FELONY	65 10.8%	89 11.3%	86 11.5%	69 9.8%	81 10.0%	24.6%
VIOLENT	18 3.0%	14 1.8%	25 3.4%	14 2.0%	9	-50.0%
OTHER	47 7.8%	75 9.5%	61 8.2%	55 7.8%	72 8.9%	53.2%
MISDEMEANOR	356 59.1%	411 52.0%	381 51.1%	355 50.2%	382 47.2%	7.3%
LESSER OFFENSES	181 30.1%	291 36.8%	278 37.3%	283 40.0%	347 42.8%	91.7%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
CONVICTIONS	153 100.0%	172 100.0%	171 100.0%	149 100.0%	175 100.0%	14.4%		
FELONY	62 40.5%	81 47.1%	74 43.3%	59 39.6%	70 40.0%	12.9%		
VIOLENT	18 11.8%	14 8.1%	25 14.6%	14 9.4%	9 5.1%	-50.0%		
OTHER	44 28.8%	67 39.0%	49 28.7%	45 30.2%	61 34.9%	38.6%		
MISDEMEANOR	89 58.2%	85 49.4%	89 52.0%	85 57.0%	100 57.1%	12.4%		
LESSER OFFENSES	2 1.3%	6 3.5%	8 4.7%	5 3.4%	5 2.9%	150.0%		

 $^{^{1}}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	5.0	3.5	3.6	2.3	1.4					
LOCAL JAIL	10.5	7.5	11.1	10.9	11.1					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.0	3.2	6.0	4.8	4.6					
PROBATION	13.3	13.9	10.9	10.6	9.8					
FINES	53.0	59.9	56.1	54.3	60.5					
OTHER	14.3	12.0	12.2	17.1	12.7					

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

				Sentences	as a Per	cent of C	onvictions	3	:	
		982 Misd & Lesser	•	983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	985 Misd & Lesser	1: Felony	986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	46.2	NÁ	31.5	NA	31.4	NA	23.2	NA	13.6	NA
LOCAL JAIL	13.8	10.1	11.2	7.0	9.3	11.4	18.8	10.0	11.1	11.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	20.0	2.0	21.3	0.9	32.6	2.6	40.6	0.9	38.3	0.8
PROBATION	20.0	12.5	34.8	11.3	24.4	9.1	15.9	10.0	34.6	7.0
FINES	0.0	59.4	1.1	67.4	1.2	63.3	0.0	60.2	2.5	66.9
OTHER	0.0	16.0	0.0	13.5	1.2	13.7	1.4	18.8	0.0	14.1

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000				
	1982	1983	<u> 1984</u>	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	775	824	862	1,034	1,058	1,091.8	26	36.5%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	672	610	591	760	894	922.6	17	33.0%
FAMILY COURT	213	157	141	191	259	267.3	20	21.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	459	453	450	569	635	655.3	23	38.3%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	156	149	209	256	240	247.7	6	53.8%
FAMILY COURT	124	132	169	152	152	156.9	4	22.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	32	17	40	104	88	90.8	15	175.0%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	217	250	227	196	227	234.3	47	4.6%
FAMILY COURT	37	44	42	32	52	53.7	25	40.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	180	206	185	164	175	180.6	46	-2.8%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	628	695	668	652	669	690.4	48	6.5%
FAMILY COURT	68	78	80	74	85	87.7	35	25.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	560	617	588	578	584	602.7	46	4.3%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	445	441	456	442	464	478.8	46	4.3%
FAMILY COURT	34	38	42	33	40	41.3	39	17.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	411	403	414	409	424	437.6	49	3.2%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	tions		Per 1,0	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Probationers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	31	28	35	38	40	59.8	17	29.0%
FAMILY COURT	7	3	7	6	6	70.6	28	-14.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	24	25	28	32	34	58.2	18	41.7%
TECHNICAL	17	12	21	24	27	46.2	7	58.8%
NEW CONVICTION	7	13	. 7	8	. 7	12.0	41	0.0%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B				Table 80	3		
 PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986			COMMUNITY	SERVICE	PROGRAM:	1986	-
<u>Number</u>	Percent				Number	<u>Pe</u>	r

	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	378	NA	CASES SENTENCED	4	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	238	100.0%	CASES DISCHARGED	2	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	. 77	32.4%	SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	0	0%

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986 DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	•	Numbe	r of Admis	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	1,012	989	818	937	1,096	1,131.1	37	8.3%
UNSENTENCED	848	798	612	757	840	866.9	30	-0.9%
SENTENCED	164	191	206	180	256	264.2	38	56.1%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100,000	
						<u>Population</u>	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	54	77	54	49	49	50.6 50	-9.3%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	65	60	61	66	66	68.1 32	1.5%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	67	59	62	57	59	60.9 35	-11.9%
UNSENTENCED	43	40	-33	43	37	38.2 29	-14.0%
SENTENCED	24	19	29	14	22	22.7 38	-8.3%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \mbox{New court commitments to state PRISON^1}$

			Number of urt Commitm	ents		New Commi Per	986 Court ttments 100,000 lation	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	30	27	23	24	15	15.	5 53	-50.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	15	10	11	11	4	4.	1 55	-73.3%
HOMICIDE	6	, 0	0	0	0	0,	0 43	-100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1	. 5	2	4	2	2.	1 39	100.0%
ROBBERY	1	1	2	0	0	0.	0 43	-100.0%
BURGLARY	6	. 4	2	5	2	2.	1 43	-66.7%
WEAPONS	0	0	0	. 0	0	0.	0 25	NA
OTHER	1	0.	. 5	2	0	0.	0 37	-100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	• 1	6	1	0	1	1.	0 48	0.0%
POSSESSION	. 0	1	0	. 0	0	0.	0 37	NA .
SALE	1	5	1	0	. 1	1.	0 39	0.0%
OTHER FELONIES	13	9	11	10	9	9.	3 45	-30.8%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1 ·	2	0	3	1	1.	0 20	0.0%

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state Prison on December ${\it 31}^1$}$

	1	Number of In in State Pr	nmates Unde	r Custody ember 31		Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	68	73	67	68	53	54.7	40	-22.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	32	37	40	44	38	39.2	33	18.8%
HOMICIDE	13	13	12	12	11	11.4	34	-15.4%
SEX OFFENSE	6	9	12	14	13	13.4	18	116.7%
ROBBERY	, 2	. 3	3	2	1	1.0	54	-50.0%
BURGLARY	8	11	, 8:	10	9	9.3	31	12.5%
WEAPONS	1	1	0	. 0	0	0.0	32	0.0%
OTHER	2	0	. 5	6	4	4.1	33	100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	8.	6	3	• 4	4.1	36	100.0%
POSSESSION	• 1	2	0	0	0 ,	0.0	43	-100.0%
SALE	1	6	6	3	. 4	4.1	27	300.0%
OTHER FELONIES	25	22	18	18	10	10.3	55	-60.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	9	6	3	3	1	1.0	22	-88.9%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

PERCENT OF INMATES	<u>1982</u>	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parolee	s		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO POPOLE	22	12	20	22	15	15.5 49	-31.8%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	42	38	42	53	53	54.7 40	26.2%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	26	22	31	38	34	35.1 46	30.8%

The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

			Number	of Parole	es		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	198	3	1984_	1985_	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	3		4	3	1	6	6.2 9	100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0		0 -	1	0	· 1	1.0 22	NA
NEW ARRESTS	3		4	1	. 1	3	3.1 14	0.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0		0	1	0	2	2.1 18	NA

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS

ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

	· · ·		 	Number of	Cases			W 01-
		1982	1983	1984	•	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS		1	3			0	. ¹	-100.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS		0	0	1		0	• •	NA .
DISPOSITIONS		1	0	C	١	1 ·	0	-100.0%
CONVICTIONS		0	0	C)	• 0	0	NA
PRISON	•	0	0	C	r'	0	. 0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	-	0	0			0	0	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION		0	0	. (0	0	NA
PROBATION		. 0	0			0	0	NA NA
OTHER		. 0	0	C		0	0	NA.
ACQUITTALS		0	0	Ċ		0	0	NA
DISMISSALS		1	0	C		1	. 0	-100.0%
NOT PROSECUTED		0	0	c) · · · · ·	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT		0	0		, ')	0	0	NA
OTHER		. 0	0	C)	0	0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

	·		Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	20.9	36.5	53.2	87.7	320.3%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	56.6	72.8	107.3	98.7	74.4%	89.4	115.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	176.7	192.1	209.8	232.7	31.7%	256.3	297.2
Division for Youth ³	314.0	316.3	306.6	851.8	171.3%	533.2	632.0
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	24.1	NA	24.1	
TOTAL	568.2	617.7	686.9	1,294.9	127.9%	903.0	1,045.2

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{}m 4}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹			•				
Coram Nobis	0.7	0.3	0.1	3.9	456.7%		· <u></u>
Fe lons	16.7	29.3	43.4	69.4	315.8%		
Parole Violators	3.2	6.7	8.2	7.6	139.0%		•
State Readies ²			-	6.3	NA	, - 	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	.0.3	0.2	1.4	0.4	39.2%		
TOTAL	20.9	36.5	53.2	87.7	320.3%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Narcotics Control ¹				'	· NA		9.2	
Neighborhood ² Preservation Crime Prevention Act	er en	0.0	20.2	11.6	NA		سب	
Soft Body Armour ³ Reimbursement Program			4.2	2.7	NA	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁴	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	15.0	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁵	56.6	72.8	82.9	. 84.5	49.2%	89.4	91.8	
TOTAL	56.6	72.8	107.3	98.7	74.4%	89.4	115.9	

 $^{^1}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives	·			15.1	NA NA	17.6	23.1
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	26.3	26.7	31.3	33.8	28.5%	36.7	33.2
PINS Program ²					NA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8.3
Regular State Aid	150.4	165.4	178.5	183.7	22.2%	202.0	232.6
TOTAL	176.7	192.1	209.8	232.7	31.7%	256.3	297.2

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7


DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		1	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Detention							· .	
Non-Secure	72.0	44.0	46.9	111.8	55.3%	70.5	71.2	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	48.3	37.6	53.8	33.7	-30.2%	62.6	71.5	
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	61.0	60.2	
Voluntary Agency Care	17.9	54.3	30.9	250.1	1,301.2%	108.8	191.2	
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	175.9	180.4	175.0	456.1	159.4%	230.4	237.9	
TOTAL	314.0	316.3	306.6	851.8	171.3%	533.2	632.0	

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this county.



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop C Headquarters Route 7, Box 300 Sidney, New York 13838 New York State Division of Parole Room 502, 65 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 326 County Court House Ithaca, New York 14850

Probation Department Building 8, Biggs Center 1283 Trumansburg Road Ithaca, New York 14850

Assigned Counsel Plan 305 Clinton House 103 West Seneca Street Ithaca, New York 14850 Sheriff's Department 779 Warren Road Ithaca, New York 14850

Youth Bureau 1287 Trumansburg Road Ithaca, New York 14850

County Court Court House Ithaca, New York 14850

Family Court Court House North Tioga Street Ithaca, New York 14850

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Ithaca Police Department 120 East Clinton Street Ithaca, New York 14850

^{*}Population 25,000 and above.

Region ELMIRA
County Seat ITHACA
Square Miles 477
Population Percent Change 1970-1980 13.0%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	87,085	30	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	182.6	24	NA NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	43,414 43,671	NA NA	49.9% 50.1%	5 58
Race: White Non-White Unknown	81,455 4,760 870	NA NA NA	93.5% 5.5% 1.0%	46 19 16
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,285	NA	1.5%	19
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	9,494 6,626 6,319 20,784 43,862	NA NA NA NA	10.9% 7.6% 7.3% 23.9% 50.4%	61 38 4 1 62

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	43,862	100.0%	62
8th Grade or Less	3,627	8.3%	62
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	4,805 12,948	11.0% 29.5%	61 61
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	6,559 15,933	15.0% 36.3%	22 1

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982	1983	1983 1984 1985 1986				
Population	88,000	88,100	87,900	88,150	87,600	31	
Density Per Square Mile	184.5	184.7	184.3	184.8	183.6	24	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	FER CAPITAL	NCOME: 1982-1984		Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	8,828	9,553	10,437	42

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

		School Year					Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	182 4.1%	153 3.5%	156 3.7%	216 6.0%	150 3.7%	39

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UNEMPLOY	MENT: 1984-19	386		
		1984	1985	_1986_	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,796 4.2%	1,603 3.7%	1,543 3,5%	61

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986							
		1982_	1983_	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	2,873 3.3%	3,100 3.5%	3,306 3.8%	3,410 3.9%	3,332 3.8%	28

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	:	1986 Cr Per 100 Number of Crimes Populat						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	5,009	4,480	4,607	5,047	4,408	5,006.0	7	-12.0%
VIOLENT	84	102	174	114	121	137.4	45	44.0%
MURDER	3	3	1	. 1	1	1.1	47	-66.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	18	12	20	10	8	9.1	44	-55.6%
ROBBERY	24	22	22	19	33	37.5	24	37.5%
AGGRAVATED Assault	39	65	131	84	79	89.7	48	102.6%
PROPERTY	4,925	4,378	4,433	4,933	4,287	4,868.5	6	-13.0%
BURGLARY	1,476	1,202	1,213	1,182	1,081	1,227.6	6	-26.8%
LARCENY	3,294	3,061	3,119	3,637	3,123	3,546.6	2	-5.2%
MV THEFT	155	115	101	114	83	94.3	29	-46.5%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

			Numb	er of Arres	ts		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	<i>a</i> . o.	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS		1,635	1,578	1,455	1,753	1,696	1,936.1	32	3.7%
FELONY		293	268	243	290	301	343.6	51	2.7%
VIOLENT		77	68	66	94	84	95.9	39	9.1%
OTHER		216	200	177	196	217	247.7	56	0.5%
MISDEMEANOR		1,342	1,310	1,212	1,463	1,395	1,592.5	23	3.9%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

	Number ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
ARRESTS	847	0.6%	
FELONY ²	181	19.9%	
VIOLENT	56	16.7%	
OTHER	125	21.4%	
MISDEMEANOR	666	-3.6%	

^l Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

9

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests					1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	4	2	2	1	0	0.0	46	-100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	. 7	3.	7	6	2	2.3	55	-71.4%
ROBBERY	7	· 8	5	13	8	9.1	34	14.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	22	24	23	19	18	20.5	55	-18.2%
BURGLARY	82	71	78	80	79	90.2	32	-3.7%
LARCENY ²	331	343	322	380	438	500.0	8	32.3%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	er of Arrests	s		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	000,	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	32	36	23	43	47	53.7	43	46.9%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	21	15	12.	26	35	40.0	42	66.7%
POSSESSION	13	8	7	13	16	18.3	50	23.1%
SALE	5	6	3	9	9	10.3	32	80.0%
OTHER	3	1	2	4	10	11.4	9	233.3%
MÁRIHUANA (PL 221)	11	21	11	17	12	13.7	49	9.1%
POSSESSION	9	14	9	16	. 8	9.1	49	-11.1%
SALE	2	7	2	1	4	4.6	38	100.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES 1

(Adults Only)

			Numbe	er of Arrest	3		Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	_	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		585	491	438	397	423	8.1	34	-27.7%
FELONY		15	20	7	5	10	0.2	53	-33.3%
MISDEMEANOR	. ************************************	570	471	431	392	413	7.9	28	-27.5%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A
FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and P	ercent of Felor	ny Indictments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	175 100.0%	137 100.0%	151 100.0%	137 100.0%	165 100.0%	-5.7%
VIOLENT	44 25.1%	30 21.9%	33 21.9%	38 27.7%	41 24.8%	-6.8%
OTHER	131 74.9%	107 78.1%	118 78.1%	99 72.3%	124 75.2%	-5.3%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
FELONY INDIC	TMENTS 98	12.6%		
VIOLENT	26	13.0%		
OTHER	72	12.5%		

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
DISPOSITIONS		1,058 100.0%	1.377 100.0%	1,223 100.0%	1,320 100.0%	1,372 100.0%	29.7%		
CONVICTIONS		614 58.0%	915 66.4%	744 60.8%	802 60.8%	706 51.5%	15.0%		
ACQUITTALS		5 0.5%	7 0.5%	7 0.6%	11 0.8%	0.1%	-80.0%		
DISMISSALS		427 40.4%	451 32.8%	467 38.2%	483 36.6%	638 46.5%	49.4%		
NOT PROSECUTED	•	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 0.4%	0 0.0%	NA		
OTHER		12 1.1%	4 0.3%	5 0.4%	19 1.4%	27 2.0%	125.0%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Number and I	Percent of Disp from Felony Ar	ositions rests		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	181 100.0%	220 100.0%	218 100.0%	237 100.0%	177 100.0%	-2.2%
CONVICTIONS	103 56.9%	136 61.8%	146 67.0%	157 66.2%	109 61.6%	5.8%
ACQUITTALS	3 1.7%	1.8%	4 1.8%	4 1.7%	0.0%	-100.0%
DISMISSALS	68 37.6%	80 36.4%	66 30.3%	66 27.8%	57 32.2%	-16.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.8%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	7 3.9%	0.0%	2 0.9%	8 3.4%	11 6.2%	57.1%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1986 ² 1982 1984 1983 1985 82 vs 86 915 744 CONVICTIONS 614 802 706 15.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 61 88 73 102 -11.5% 54 9.9% 9.6% 7.6% 9.8% 12.7% VIOLENT 12 18 11 20 8.3% 13 2.0% 2.0% 1.5% 2.5% 1.8% ÖTHER 49 62 82 70 41 -16.3% 8.0% 7.7% 8.3% 10.2% 5.8% MISDEMEANOR 264 332 312 313 241 -8.7% 43.0% 36.3% 41.9% 39.0% 34.1% LESSER OFFENSES 289 495 359 387 411 42.2% 47.1% 48.3% 58.2% 54.1% 48.3%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

			rcent of Convict			
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	103 100.0%	136 100.0%	146 100.0%	157 100.0%	109 100.0%	5.8%
FELONY	53 51.5%	69 50.7%	62 42.5%	78 49.7%	45 41.3%	-15.1%
VIOLENT	12 11.7%	18 13.2%	11 7.5%	20 12.7%	13 11.9%	8.3%
OTHER	41 39.8%	51 37.5%	51 34.9%	58 36.9%	32 29.4%	-22.0%
MISDEMEANOR	46 44.7%	61 44.9%	76 52.1%	77 49.0%	61 56.0%	32.6%
LESSER OFFENSES	4 3.9%	6 4.4%	8 5.5%	2 1 .3%	3 2.8%	-25.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A
SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹
(Adults Only)

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	2.3	1.3	1.6	3.5	2.0
LOCAL JAIL	10.1	6.0	9.3	7.7	6.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.3	2.5	1.6	2.6	1.0
PROBATION	10.9	11.1	12.5	15.0	8.8
FINES	57.7	63.7	53.0	47.1	61.3
OTHER	16.8	15.3	22.0	24.1	20.8

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

			· ·	Sentences	as a Per	cent of	Cor	viction	S		
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>			985 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	986 ² Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	23.0	NA	13.6	NA	16.4	NA		27.5	NA	25.9	NA
LOCAL JAIL	18.0	9.2	6.8	5.9	4.1	9.8		6.9	7.9	5.6	6.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	14.8	0.9	18.2	0.8	9.6	0.7		13.7	1.0	5.6	0.6
PROBATION	41.0	7.6	53.4	6.7	52.1	8.2		46.1	10.4	51.9	5.2
FINES	1.6	63.8	5.7	69.9	11.0	57.5		0.0	54.0	5.6	66.0
OTHER .	1.6	18.4	2.3	16.7	6.8	23.7		5.9	26.7	5.6	22.1

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	ber of Case	S		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	or 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	539	514	582	473	445	508.0	46 ,	-17.4%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	511	603	588	783	882	1,006.8	14	72.6%
FAMILY COURT	176	200	165	124	215	245.4	32	22.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	335	403	423	659	667	761.4	17	99.1%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	315	222	246	292	291	332.2	3	-7.6%
FAMILY COURT	18	17	4	1	6	6.8	33	-66.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	297	205	242	291	285	325.3	1	-4.0%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	130	190	170	196	175	199.8	54	34.6%
FAMILY COURT	25	41	26	22	28	32.0	50	12.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	105	149	144	174	147	167.8	53	40.0%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	420	479	530	613	627	715.8	55	49.3%
FAMILY COURT	88	79	63	53	48	54.8	53	-45.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	332	400	467	560	579	661.0	53	74.4%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	289	360	417	452	436	497,7	43	50.9%
FAMILY COURT	38	37	31	20	27	30.8	46	-28.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	251	323	386	432	409	466.9	42	62.9%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		<u></u>	Number	of Revocat	ions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio	000	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS		13	. 8	14	28	22	35.1	35	69.2%
FAMILY COURT		5	5	4	5	6	125.0	8	20.0%
CRIMINAL COURT		8	3	10	23	16	27.6	45	100.0%
TECHNICAL		. 7	1	6	. 17	12	20.7	25	71.4%
NEW CONVICTION	4	1	2	4	6	4	6.9	49	300.0%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

	Table 8B			Ta	ble 80			
Р	RETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986		 	COMMUNITY SE	RVICE	PROGRAM:	198€	<u> </u>
						Number		Percent
	There were no agencies in the		CASES S	ENTENCED		34		N.A
	county that received funding for this type of program.		CASES D	DISCHARGED		23		100.0%
			SUCCE	SSFUL OUTCOME		20		87.0%
	Table 8D			ĩ'a	ble 8E			
DEFE	NDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986			SPECIALIZED	ALTER	NATIVES:	1986	;
	There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.			There were n county that for this typ	receiv	ed fundi		
								· · ·

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

			Number of Admissions					198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	e/ Channa	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ADMISSIONS		1,220	1,157	1,140	1,226	1,112		1,269.4	28	-8.9%	
UNSENTENCED		1,084	1,028	1,015	1,103	1,014		1,157.5	14	-6.5%	
SENTENCED		136	129	125	123	98		111.9	57	-27.9%	

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inma Per 100,0 Populatio	000	4 6
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate R	<u>ank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	33	35	33	33	33	37.7	54	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	33	30	31	43	30	34.2	57	-9.1%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	29	28	30	38	22	25.1	55	-24.1%
UNSENTENCED	17	13	16	23	13	14.8	54	-23.5%
SENTENCED	12	15	14	15	9	10.3	55	-25.0%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table 10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

			umber of rt Commitme	ents		1986 New Court Committment Per 100,00 Population	s 0
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	<u>Rate</u> Ran	% Change k 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	15	8	11	20	28	32.0 30	86.7%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	8	2	3	8	16	18.3 21	100.0%
HOMICIDE	0	. 1.	2	, 0	. 1	1.1 36	NA
SEX OFFENSE	4	1	0	4	2	2.3 36	-50.0%
ROBBERY	1	0	. 1	0	2	2.3 27	100.0%
BURGLARY	. 3	0	0	3	8	9.1 9	166.7%
WEAPONS	0	0	0	.0	1	1.1 15	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	1	2	2.3 19	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	3	0	3	2	1	1.1 45	-66.7%
POSSESSION	0	0	1	1	1	1.1 30	NA
SALE	3	0	2	.1	0	0.0 43	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	3	6	5	10	9	10.3 41	200.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	. 0	0	0	2	2.3	100.0%

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state Prison on December 31^{1}}$

	Ni i	umber of I n State Pr	Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 0,000	% Change			
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	34	32	35	50	58	66.2	33	70.6%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	20	17	18	25	36	41.1	28	80.0%
HOMICIDE	2	3	6	6	6	6.8	42	200.0%
SEX OFFENSE	9	8	. 6	11	11	12.6	20	22.2%
ROBBERY	1	0	1	1.	. 3	3.4	41	200.0%
BURGLARY	. 7	5	4	5	12	13.7	17	71.4%
WEAPONS	, 1	. 1	. 1	1	1	1.1	26	0.0%
OTHER	0	0	. 0.	. 1	3	3.4	36	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	3	2	5	6	3	3.4	41	0.0%
POSSESSION	0	0	1	2	3	3.4	21	NA
SALE	3	2	4 .	4	0	0.0	49	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	9	13	11	19	17	19.4	35	88.9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2	0	1	0	2	2.3	11	0.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	-	•			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES		0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	.	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	17	11	10	8	21	24.0 33	23.5%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	36	32	25	27	38	43.4 46	5.6%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	21	15	19	17	31	35.4 45	47.6%

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		or Observe					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	5	6	3		4	4.6 18	-20.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	1	0	1	0	0.0 36	NA
NEW ARRESTS	4	5	3	4	4	4.6 2	0.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	. 1	0	0	0	0	0.0 36	-100.0%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS

ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

			Number of Cases	<u>.</u>		% Channa
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	4	0	· • • •	1	0	-100.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	2	0	0	. 0	0	-100.0%
DISPOSITIONS	2	. 0 '	. 0	0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-100.0%
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	, 0,	0	0	0	, ÑA .
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0	0	NA NA
PROBATION	O	. 0	O .	0	0	'NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0.	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA.
DISMISSALS	0	0	· 0 · ·	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	2	0	0	0	0	-100.0%
OTHER	0	0	0	, · · 0 .	. 0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	42.3	63.7	85.2	61.9	46.1%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	15.9	33.1
Division of Criminal Justice Services	2,6	0.0	18.1	23.6	796.2%	27.8	19.3
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	135.0	151.6	182.4	212.7	57.5%	348.8	258.9
Division for Youth ³	405.3	347.8	391.3	1,154.7	184.9%	545.2	630.4
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			80.0	23.8	NA	23.8	
TOTAL	585.3	563.1	756.9	1,476.7	152.3%	961.5	941.7

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	<u>iations</u>
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	35.8	26.0	28.3	48.2	34.5%		
Parole Violators	5.7	2.6	4.4	3.4	-40.7%		
State Readies ²		, 	·	9.7	NA	. ·	,
Community Treatment Programs ³	· '	34.6	52.0		NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.6	-26.0%	 .	***
TOTAL	42.3	63.7	85.2	61.9	46.1%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures								Appropriations		
Program	FY	82-83	· <u>F</u>	<u>Y 83-84</u> .		FY 84~85		FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program												
Comprehensive		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	NA	15.9	16.4	
Sexual Assault		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	NA	0.0	16.7	
TOTAL		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	NA NA	15.9	33.1	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropi	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Indigent Parolee Program ¹	2.6	0.0	2.4	5.5	107.9%		
Justice Assistance Act ²		400 400		• •	NA	10.0	-
Juvenile Justice ³ and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	NA	17.8	6.2
Narcotics Control ⁴			. 		NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13.1
Neighborhood ⁵ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	15.0	15.0	NA .		: · · · ·
Soft Body Armour ⁶ Reimbursement Program			0.7	0.0	NA		
TOTAL	2.6	0.0	18.1	23.6	796.2%	27.8	19.3

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-28. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{5}}$ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropi	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				6.3	NA	15.5	16.2
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	18.0	20.4	NA.	25.1	26.0
PINS Program ²		- 14			NA	106.1	5.8
Regular State Aid	135.0	151.6	164.4	186.0	37.7%	202.0	210.8
TOTAL	135.0	151.6	182.4	212.7	57.5%	348.8	258.9

 $^{^{1}% \}left(1\right) =0$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

² This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		<u> </u>	Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86¹</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	116.6	64.9	62.2	138.9	19.1%	83.2	84.7
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	14.3	14.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	43.2	44.9	49.3	37.6	-13.0%	49.4	81.4
Voluntary Agency Care	56.9	33.0	71.6	158.8	179.2%	91.0	105.9
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	188.6	204.9	208.2	819.5	334.5%	307.2	344.4
TOTAL	405.3	347.8	391.3	1,154.7	184.9%	545.2	630.4

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
	DOCS Facilities			
	DFY Facilities	93	176	
	TOTAL	93	176	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Expenditures	5		Appropi	riations
	. <u>F</u>	82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	0.0%		
DFY Facilities	3	3,991.1	4,205.7	4,501.2	4,767.0	19.4%	4,947.8	4,268.3
TOTAL	3	3,991.1	4,205.7	4,501.2	4,767.0	19.4%	4,947.8	4,268.3

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropr	iations
	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities		 .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
DFY Facilities	8.3	150.8	248.7	407.8	720.0	128.0
TOTAL	8.3	150.8	248.7	407.8	720.0	128.0

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>	Security ₁ Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>
Lansing Residential Center	II	45	77
MacCormick Secure Center ²	1	48	99
TOTAL		93	176

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Lansing Residential Center	1,665.2	1,785.7	1,997.0	2,095.0	25.8%	2,188.8	2,301.7
MacCormick ¹ Secure Center	2,325.9	2,420.0	2,504.2	2,672.0	14.9%	2,759.0	1,966.6
TOTAL	3,991.1	4,205.7	4,501.2	4,767.0	19.4%	4,947.8	4,268.3

¹ Currently operating as a secure center. Planned for conversion to a Level II facility during 1987-88. SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

 $^{^2}$ Currently operating as a secure center. Planned for conversion to a Level II facility during 1987-88.

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropr	iations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Lansing Residential Center	8.3	119.3	227.2	354.7	275.0	75.0
MacCormick ¹ Secure Center	0.0	31.6	21.5	53.1	445.0	53.0
TOTAL	8.3	150.8	248.7	407.8	720.0	. 128.0

 $^{^1}$ Currently operating as a secure center. Planned for conversion to a Level II facility during 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

Percent changes are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the percent change is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calendar year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

Rates are ranked from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the rank calculations do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates. Also, dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all <u>population-based rate calculations</u> presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual <u>NYS Crime and Justice</u> publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed drivers in New York State that was used to calculate the rate.of-DWI arrests per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this-section.

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

- NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)
- o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
- o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
 o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- New York State Statistical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the offense classification for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the State's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

DOCS capacity data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. DFY capacity data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

DOCS staff data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while DFY staff data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The operating budget and capital improvement budget expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforcesent agencies in New York State for a given year.	Victia-based: nurder forcible rape aggravated assault	Not applicable.	FBI Index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde-	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.
		Structure-based: burglary Event-based: robbery larceny (excluding aotor vehicle theft)		eeanors for the specified offenses.	
		Vehicle-based: sotor vehicle theft The unit of count is based on the sost serious offense in a crime event.			
Table 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS Table 2B FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1987 Table 2C ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony	Adult #	Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.
TODIC TO HIMEOTO THE DEPLOTED OF THE OLD		offense listed in Appendix E.	<u>.</u>		
Table 2D ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRU	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for drugs (New York State Penal Law - Sections 220 and 221).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DMI OFFENSES	Adult felony and eisdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Criminal Justice Sérvices, DWI Arrest and Processing Trends File.

⁽ Continued on the next page.)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
				T		
lable 3A	' FELGAY INDICTHENTS	Felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against	Adult : Juvenile Offender ::	Felony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	NYS Division of Criminal Justi Services, Indictment Statistic System.
			a defendant is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect indictments/SCI's that include at least			
			one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
	FELONY INDICTMENTS: January through June, 1987					
· .						
		•	-			
Table 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1969.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition is counted. Counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for	Adult +	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justic Jervices, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
able 40	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. "Other" includes cases disposed by civil procedure, abated by the death of a defendant, etc.		(Not applicable for acquittal, dismissal, and "other" dispositions.)	
able 5A	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the eost serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at	Adult ₽	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justic Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
able 5B	FELONY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reearks
				<u> </u>	
Table 68 SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS Table 68 SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1959.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the eost serious sentence in a aultiple sentence offense is counted. "Other" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoked (without fine).	Adult •	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdeaeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY	Intake cases received during a given year.	Complaint-based; each complaint is counted as one case.	Fasily Court: Adult F Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested for: Felony Offense Misdemeanor Offense	MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
	Investigations ordered during a given year.	Investigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need of Supervision (PINS) Criminal Court:	Lesser Offense - only Juvenile Deling Status Offense -	Family Court - Annual compilation of the "Monthly Report of Family Workload in Probation Department
	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	anly PINS	(Form No. DP-30). Criminal Court - Annual compilation of the "Monthly Report of
	Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for:	Criminal Workload in Probation Departments (Form No. DP-30A) fo
	Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year li.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Hisdeneanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling Status Offense - only PINS	investigation and pre-dispositio data, and the Probation Registrant System for all other data.
	Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 7B PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one			
					· .

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Offense Category

Offender Type

Unit of Count

Population

Table

TADIE BA ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION PROGRANS: Demonstration projects	Cases processed by "deanistration" projects during a given State fiscal year.	Case-based; if more than one case was opened for the sace client, each case is counted.	Adult & Juvenile Offenders **	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses	NVS Division of Frabation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 88 PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986	Cases processed by "classification/	•		Lesser Offenses	
Table BC CONMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1984	alternative bill projects during 1986.	•			
Table BD OFFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986				·	
Table 8E SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986		•			

(Continued on the next page.)

\mathbf{P}
1
Ż

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
					•
Table 9A JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS	Admissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses.	Admission based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted more than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult :	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced admissions: Misdemeanor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	NYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1985 Sheriffs' Annual Reports" included the following caveat: " The Sheriffs' Annual Reports represent self-
Table 9B JAIL & PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION	A census of both detained and sentenced insates in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criminal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Population is inmate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" populations.			reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practices among these various counties The Commission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another.*
	Insates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and The daily average of inmates under custody during a given year.				
	A census of beds in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and penitentiary census was taken on a different day during a given year.	Capacity is based on beds; the count differs by region: New York City Department of Correction counts reflect "beds at standard" (one insate per cell; 60 square feet per insate; and no more than 50 in a dorm). The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards. Non-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and dormitory beds. This count does	Not applicable.	Hot applicable.	

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
		-			
Table 10A-1 ADMISSIONS TO NEW YORK STATE PRISON	Adaissions to NYS prison during a given year.	Innate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult #	Felony Convictions	NYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 10A-2 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON Table 10A	New court commitments to NYS prison during a given year.	aost serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts way differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DOCS.
Table 10B INHATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISGN ON DECEMBER 31	A census of inmates under custody in 'NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 10C PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainees in NYS prison on December 31.	Detainee based.			
			J		
Table 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES	Inmates released to the NYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based (one person per case).	Adult # Juvenile Offender **	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdeeeanor Offenses	NYS Division of Parole. The increase in parole revocation
	Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).				resulting from new felony convictions reflects legislative change and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passage of legislation, incorporated into the Division's rules and regula-
	Parole supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.		-		tions effective November 1, 1984 authorizing the Parole Board to issue a final declaration of de-
Table 11B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoked and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				linquency for releases convicte of new felonies committed under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A fina declaration of delinquency strea lines the violation process in
					these cases by obviating the ne for a final revocation hearing. The streamlined process has resulted in an increased propor

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Branch and the second					
Table 12 JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Violent felony arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event.	Juvenile Offender **	Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions for	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offenders
	Violent felony indictaents and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information (SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted.			Arrests and Processing Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.
	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from violent felony arrests events.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition. Counts are based on the year of disposition for		-	
		acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, removed to family court, and "other." Only the most serious sentence in a			
		aultiple sentence disposition is counted.			

^{*} An adult is an offender who is 16 years of age or older at time of arrest.

^{**} A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of Section 125.25 of the Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to facily court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

APPENDIX B

DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popula		Population Per Squa		-	School	c High Dropouls		Monthly Uneap	Average loyed		Monthly A Recei Public As	ving sistance
COUNTY	Year	Number	Rank	Density	Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	283,400 283,866	12 12	541 542	14 14		3.8 3.4	37 45		4.2 4.2	56 56		2.9 2.9	44 44
ALLEGANY	1906 1985	50,500 50,902	49 47	 49 49	54 54		2.8 3.4	58 42	* • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8.1 7.9	20 20		9.2 9.2	1
BROHX	1986 1985	1,193,600 1,184,154	. .	28,419 28,194	3		12.1 12.3	2 2		8.4 9.1	16 12		.0	58 58
BROONE	1986 1985	210,600 212,443	18 18	296 298	19 19		4.0 4.1	30 33		5.9 5.9	42 42		3.7 3.5	29 34
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	85,300 85,335	32 32	65 65	47 47		3,8 4,4	38 28	· · ·	8.8 9.0	11 13		. 6.2 6.1	11 12
CAYUGA	1984 1985	79,900 79,857	35 35	115 115	32 32		5.0 4.6	12 23		7.7 7.8	28 24	•	5.5 5.8	14 15
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	143,100 144,230	23 23	134 136	28 28	•	3.9 4.1	34 34		8.0 7.6	24 26		7.6 7.0	5 7
CHEMUNB	1986 1985	90,500 91,992	29 26	220 224	21 21		5.3 4.5	11 27		8.3	36 17	•	8.0 8.7	3 2
CHEHANGO	1986 1985	50,000 49,963	49 49	56 56	52 52		3.8 3.9	35 36		6.8 7.2	37 34		3,3 3,2	34 38
CLINTON	1986 1985	81,200 81,571	34 33	 78 78	41 41		5.0 4.9	13 19		8.4 7.5	17 30		4.7 4.7	19 21
COLUMBIA	1985 1985	60,700 60,602	38 38	95 95	38 38		4.4 5.1	20 .14		4.3 4.5	55 53		3.3 3.6	37 32

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popul a	tion	# ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **			Density e Mile			c High Dropouts		Honthly Uneap				Public As	Averages iving ssistance	
COUNTY	Year	Number	Rank		Densi		Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	-	Percent	Percent Rank			Percent	Percent Rank	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	47,400 47,392	50 50			95 95	39 39	•	5.3 3.1	10 55		6.9 9.0	35 14			6.5 6.8	10 8	
DELAWARE	1986) 1985	47,100 46,888	5! 51			33 33	58 58		4.1 5.0	27 16		5.6 6.4	45 40		.•	3.3 3.6	35 33	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	256,800 255,106	15 15			319 317	18 18		4.2 4.5	26 26		3. 6	60 16			1.9	54 53	
ERIE	1986 1985	964,700 971,386	. 7 7			122 129	11 11		3.4 3.3	44		7.2 7.3	31 32			8.2 8.2		
ESSEX	1986 1985	36,300 36,364	54 54			20 20	- 60 60		3.2 5.0	4B 15		9.9 9.6	8			5.4 6.0	16 13	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	43,800 43,793	52 52			27 27	59 59		2.9 3.5	55 41		10.7 9.5	5			6.8 7.2	8 8 2	
FULTON	1986 1985	54,600 55,411	45 45			110 111	22 23		5.9 5.0	7 17		11.4 13.1	4			4.7	20 25	
GENESEE	1986 1985	58,800 59,053	41 41			119 119	31 31		3.4 3.3	45 50	-	8.6 7.9	13 22			2.8 2.8	47 46	
GREENE	1986 1985	42,100 41,476	- 53 . 53	• "		65 64	48 48		4.3 5.5	24 10		7.3 8.5	29 16	. -		2.5 2.8	50 47	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	5,000 4,985	62 62		•	3	62 62		3.2 2.8	47 59		12.4 11.9	1 3			2.8 3.2	45 37	
HERKINER	1986 1985	66,900 66,919	37 37		•	47 47	56 56		4.3	25 25		9.9 9.2	7 11	•		4.1 4.1	26 26	

		Popul al	tion		Population Per Squa				c High Dropouts		Honthly Unempl	-	•	Monthly A Recei Public As	ving
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber	Rank		Density	Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	90,500 88,583	28 29		71 70	- 42 . 43		5.7 4.6	8 24		11.4 12.3	3 2		5.9 6.6	13 10
KINGS	1986 1985	2,293,200 2,283,395	. 1		32,740 32,620	2 2		8.8 11.8	3 3		8.6 - 9.7	12 7		.0	58 58
LEWIS	1986 1985	25,300 25,165	59 59		20 20	61 61		2.2 3.0	61 57		9.5 9.3	9 10		4.6 4.4	22 24
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	58,500 58,328	42 42		93 92	40 40		2.2 3.0	60 58	•	7.1 5.7	32 44		3.0 2.8	42 45
MADISON	1986 1985	66,600 66,743	38 38		102 102	34 34		4.7 4.6	19 22		7.8 6.9	26 37		2.5 2.8	48 48
MONROE	1986 1985	702,600 704,876	9		1,060	10		4.0	29 21		5.0 4.3	51 55		7.0 6.7	7 9
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	52,100 52,527	46 46	4"	129 130	29 29		4.1 4.9	28 20		9.6 9.9	8		4.0 3.7	27 30
NASSAU'	1986 1985	1,323,000 1,331,343	- 4 - 4	•	4,610 4,639	6		2.1 2.3	62 61		4.0 · 4.2	58 57		1.3 1.4	56 56
NEW YORK	1986 1985	1,478,000 1,479,775	3 3	-	67,182 67,263	1		14.7 18.4	1		7.0 7.5	34 29		.0	58 58
NIAGARA	1986 1985	216,900 216,493	17 17		412 412	15 15	•	4.4	22 32		8. ? 9. 8	10 6		7.4 7.6	6 5
ONEIDA	1986 1985	248,500 250,734	16 16		204 206	22 22		5.0 4.3	14 30		6.3 6.3	40 3B		6.1 5.9	12 14

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popul a	tion	Population Per Squa		 		c High Dropouts		Monthly Unemp	Average loyed	**********	Monthly A Recei Public As	iving
COUNTY	Year	Number	Rank	Density			Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ONONDABA	1986 1985	463,200 465,317	10 10	590 593	13 13		4.0	31 37		6.4 5.2	39 50		4.9 4.9	10 10
ONTARIO	1984 1985	92,200 92,386	27 27	143 143	27 27		3.5 3.0	42 56		8.7 7.0	38 38		2.8 2.7	45 49
ORANGE	1986 1985	281,700 · 277,563	13 13	341 336	17 17		4.9 5.3	16 11		5.1 5.3	50 48		4.5	24 20
ORLEANS	1986 1985	38,900 39,140	55 55	99 100	35 36		3.2 3.1	49 53		9.5 10.1	15 4		5.5 5.6	15 16
OSWEBO	1984 1985	119,600 119,138	24 24	125 125	30		4.B 6.3	18		11.5 8.5	2 15		5.1 5.1	17 17
OTSEGO	1986 1985	59,200 59,369	40 40	59 59	51 51		3.2 3.4	51 48		5.5 5.5	46 46		3.1 3.0	39 42
PUTNAM	1986 1985	81,600 80,895	33 34	353 350	16 16		3.0 1.4	54 62	•	3.2 3.3	62 62	•	.4	57 57
QUEENS	198 6 1985	1,923,300 1,933,044	2 2	17,808 17,899	4		7.1 8.1	4		6.3 7.0	41 35		.0	58 58
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	151,700 151,352	21 21	232 231	20 20		4.3	23 29		5.1 5.2	49 49		3.8 3.6	30 29
RICHHOND	198 6 1985	374,600 373,871	11 11	6,349	5 5		5.9 7.4	6 5	•	5.3 5.8	48 43		.0	58 58
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	265,900 265,230	14 14	 1,519 1,516	8		2.8 2.6	56 60		4.1 4.1	57 58		2.5 2.7	49 50

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		D1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	Population		•		c High Dropouts	Henthly Uneap	•		Honthly A Recei Public As	iving
COUNTY	Year	Popul at Nusber	Rank		Per Squa Density			Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ST LAWRENCE	1986 1985	112,800 113,411	· 25 25		41 42	57 57		3.3 3.5	46 39	9.5 8.2	14		7.7 7.6	4 4
SARATOGA	1985 1985	164,100 161,590	20 20		- 203 199	23 23	,	3.1 3.4	52 47	5.4 5.4	47 47	•	1.5 1.7	5 5 55
SCHENECTADY	1984 1985	150,200 150,585	22 22		729 731	12 12	•	3.7 4.1	40 35	4.8 4.5	52 54		3.5 3.9	32 27
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	29,800 29,799	59 59		48 48	55 55		3.8 3.4	36 44	7.9 7.9	25 21		3.5 3.6	31 31
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	17,400 17,511	61 61		53 53	53 53		3.1 3.1	53 54	7.3 7.5	30 28		4.6	21 19
SENECA	1986 1985	32,100 32,765	57 57		98 100	37 35		3.6 3.8	38	8.3 7.9	19 23		2.3 2.2	51 52
STEUDEN	1986 1985	96,900 97,342	26 26		69 70	44 42	·	3.9 3.1	33 52	7.B 7.3	27 33		6.6	9 11
SUFFOLK	1988 1985	1,312,000 1,313,326	5 5		1,439 1,440	9		J.5 3.4	43 43	4.8 5.0	53 51		3.0 3.2	41 40
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	68,600 67,602	36 36		70 69	43 44		5.0 4.9	15 18	5.B 5.5	43 45		3.0 3.0	40 43
TIOGA	1986 1985	51,000 50,379	47 48		98 97	36 37		3.2 3.5	50 40	7.1 6.9	33 39		4.5 4.7	25 22
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	87,600 88,150	30 31		184 185	. 24 24		3.7 6.0	39	3.5 3.7	61 59		3.8 3.9	28 28

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

				Population			Public School D	•	 Hanthiy Unempi	oyed	Public A	Average# iving ssistance
COUNTY	Year	Popula Number	ation - Rank	Per Squa Density	re file Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
				2002169				118/1K				
ULSTER	1984 1985	164,200 163,774	19 19	145 145	2& 25		6.1 5.9	5 9	4.3 4.7	54 52	3.0 3.2	43 41
WARREN	1986 1985	55,500 55,640	44	63 63	49 50		4.8 5.2	17	8.1 7.6	21 27	1.9 2.4	53 51
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	56,900 56,752	43 43	98 98	46 46	*	5.5 5.3	9 12	5.7 6.4	44 . 41	3.1 3.3	38 35
HAYNE	1986 1985	88,000 87,551	30 31	145 145	25 26		4.4 6.1	21. 7	8.1 7.8	22 25	3.4 3.3	33 36
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	863,200 865,460	8	1,971 1,976	7 7		2.7 3.2	57 51	3.8	5 9 60	4.6	23 23
нуонтка	1986 1985	40,800 40,676	54 54	69 68	45 45	_	4.0 3.4	32 46	8.0 8.0	23 19	- 2.1 1.8	52 54
YATES	1988 1985	21,200 21,486	60 60	63 63	50 47		2.3 4.2	59 31	8.2 7.5	19 31	3.3 3.2	28 29
NEW YORK STATE	198 4 1985	17,772,100 17,782,754	na Na	375 375	NA NA	• -	5.8 6.5	HA NA	6.3 6.5	NA NA	7.7 7.8	NA HA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	7,262,700 7,254,239	NA NA	24,129 24,100	na Na		10.1	NA NA	7.4 8.1	NA NA	12.6 12.8	NA NA

⁴ The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs is not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

NOTE: Percents presented in this Table have been rounded to the first decimal place, however, the rank scores for percents were calculated using numbers with four (4) decimal places.

Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Department of Commerce, Department of Education, Department of Labor, Department of Social Services, and the US Bureau of Census.

TABLE 1 INDEX CRINE RATES PER 100,000 FOPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

•		TOTAL		JOIA	ENT INDEX CRINES				PROPERTY INDEX	CRINES
·		INDEX CRINES	Total	Hurder	Forcible Rape	Rabbery				rceny NV Theft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank Rate	Rank Rate	Rank Rate	Rank Rate Rank
ALBANY	1984 1985	4,540.7 10 4,530.6 11	344.6 · 15 309.4 18	3.8 18 1.7 38	31.8 8 21.0 18	103.1 15 92.5 14	205.8 21 4,196. 194.2 25 4,221.			
ALLEGANY	1984 1905	2,060.9 56 2,077.2 58	139.6 43 114.9 48	3.9 17 3.9 16	3.9 55 5.8 50	7.8 50 .0 60	124.1 38 1,921.1 105.1 \$3 1,962.3			
BRONX	1986 1985	8,131.2 2 7,506.3 3	2,319.9 2 2,158.2 2	34.3 i 28.8 i	53.5 3 49.9 1	1,235.9 3 1,184.0 3	996.2 1 5,811. 875.5 1 5,349.			
BROOME	1986 1985	2,936.5 29 2,984.5 31	76.7 54 62.6 60	2.3 32 .9 47	15.9 22 14.5 28	17.3 31 12.1 43	41.1 60 2,859.1 35.0 60 2,921.1			
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	2,558.5 35 2,546.0 44	132.2 47 120.5 47	2.3 33 .0 50	7.0 50 2.3 59	16.2 35 10.4 49	108.7 45 2,426.4 107.8 42 2,425.5			•
CAYUGA	1986 1985	2,312.3 49 2,169.8 55	144.1 41 196.2 32	1.2 46 2.5 28	12.4 32 16.1 25	16.2 36 16.1 36	114.3 42 2,168.2 161.5 28 1,973.2			
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	3,306.5 20 3,314.4 24	151.5 40 132.3 42	1.4 44 1.4 42	18.4 18 10.9 35	21.2 30 25.2 23	110.5 43 3,155.0 94.8 46 3,182.2			
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	3,922.4 15 4,044.8 13	207.1 34 186.7 34	4.3 14 2.1 34	19.2 16 19.2 23	33.1 26 28.8 22	150.5 34 3,715.3 136.5 33 3,859.1			
CHENANSO	1986 1985	2,221.8 51 2,800.3 35	133.0 46 163.8 36	2.0 35 2.2 32	11.9 33 36.6 4	.0 61 10.8 46	119.1 39 2,008.1 114.3 39 2,636.5			
CLINTON	1986 1985	2,436.9 39 2,694.9 38	308.3 19 374.8 11	3.7 19 1.2 44	7.4 48 11.1 34	6.2 54 14.8 39	291.0 11 2,128.6 347.6 B 2,320.1			
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	2,401.6 43 2,732.9 36	303.1 20 329.2 17	11.4 5 3.3 21	4.9 52 8.1 45	24.4 28 22.8 24	262.3 15 2,098.6 295.0 10 2,403.7			
CORTLAND	1984 1985	4,426.3 11 4,558.3 9	221.6 30 217.3 29	4.1 15 4.1 14	16.6 19 26.9 9	37.3 25 20.7 27	163.6 30 4,204.6 165.5 26 4,341.1			
DELAWARE	1986 1985	2,294.0 50 2,216.8 53	119.6 48 147.4 40	8.5 6 2.1 33	4.3 54 2.1 60	15.0 40 4.3 54	91.8 47 2,174.4 138.8 31 2,069.4			

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

1		TOT						ENT INDEX CR	IHES									RTY INDEX C	RINES		
		IND: Crii		Tati		Huri		Forcible	•	Robbe	ry	Agg. As	sault	Total	al	Burgl		Larce	•	AV Th	eft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3,242.6 3,126.4	24 28	352.9 330.6	13 16	2.4 2.0		14.2 14.6	25 27	109.8 74.1	12 16	227.5 240.0	18 17	2,889.7 2,795.8	25 30	855. 6 836.6	19 22	1,915.8 1,031.5	27 32	118.3 127.7	23 27
ERIE	1986 1985	4,591.7 4,532.9	9 01	575.3 528.1	6 7	4.4 4.4	13 12	33.9 34.9	6 6	178.2 166.0	6 8	358.8 322.8	7 9	4,016.4 4,004.8	11 12	1,127.6 1,150.3	10 11	2,443.0 2,448.5	13 12	445.8 406.0	7
ESSEX	1984 1985	2,200.0 2,175.7	52 54	148.8 157.6	39	0 2.7	52 24	5.4 8.2	51 44	5.4 2.7	56 59	157.9 144.0	31 30	2,039.2 2,019.1	54 54	765.0 736.5	25 34	1,246.9	5 6 58	27.2 62.5	62 51
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	2,343.0 2,860.2	47 34	159.1 158.9	39 39	.0 2.6		11.4 25.6	36 11	9.1 5.1	47 53	138.6 125.6	36 36	2,183.9 2,701.3	43 33	- 609.0 784.3	44 29	1,509.0 1,860.7	4 6 30	55.9 56.4	47 55
FULTON	1986 1985	2,950.6 3,435.6	28 20	97.7 94.1	52 53	1.8	38 50	10.7 5.3	38 52	14.0 12.4	37 42	69.3 76.3	52 49	2,852.9 3,341.6	28 19	689.2 1,009.7	32 15	2,030.4° 2,184.5	25 21	- 133,2 147,3	21 22
GENESE E	1986 1985	2,396.4 2,559.3	44 43	68.6 91.9	58 54	1.7		8.4 5.0	47 53	6.7 21.7	52 25	51.8 65.2	59 54	2,327.8 2,467.4	37 38	610.4 566.3	43 49	1,667.3 1,842.6	36 31	50.2 58.5	53 54
GREENE	1985 1985	2,508.3 2,592.1	37	359.4 360.6	12 10	.0 2.4		14.5 7.2	24 48	16.9 16.9	35 33	328.0 354.1	8	2,148.9 2,211.5	46 48	709.1 672.1	29 43	1,340.2 1,455.0	51 48	79.6 84.3	38 36
HAMILTON	1984 1985	1,950.3 3,277.0	5 7 25	61.6 101.8	61 50	.0.		.0 20.4	59 21	.0 20.4	61 29	61.6		1,888.7 3,175.2	57 23	451.7 1,302.7	59 7	1,398.0	49 36	41.1 142.5	58 24
HERKIHER	1984 1985	1,920.0 2,143.9	58 57	170.0 175.8	37 35	1.5 1.5	43 41	10.3 8.9	39 39	4.4 3.0	59 58	153.7 162.5	32 27	1,750.1 1,968.1	58 56	520.3 536.3	54 54	1,191.3 1,391.8	57 52	38.4 39.9	59 61
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	2,345.1 2,696.9	46 37	76.3 96.5	55 52	4.5 3.4	12 19	4.5 4.5	53 54	9.0 13.5	48 40	58.3 75.2		2,268.8 2,600.5	40 36	717.0 834.7	27 23	1,509.2 1,700.7	45 38	42.6 65.1	57 50
KINGS	1986 1985	8,020.8 7,594.5	3	2,257.6 2,077.9	3	21.4 20.5	3	56.3 58.8	2	1,278.2 1,221.3	2 2	901.7 777.3	3	5,763.1 5,516.6	3	1,781.0 1,761.8	3	2,828.2 2,717.0	9 8	1,153.9 1,036.9	3
FERIE	1984 1985	986.4 1,105.0	62 62	63.9 123.7	60 44	.0 4.0	52 15	.0 4.0	59 55	12.0 4.0	41 55	51.9 111.7	58 40	922.5 981.4	62 62	431.3 506.6	60 57	435.3 430.8	62 62	55.9 43.9	51 58
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	3,105.7 3,084.0	26 29	269.5 240.4	24 28	1.7		10.2 8.5	40 41	11.9 6.8	42 51	245.6 225.0	17 20	2,836.2 2,843.7	29 29	395.7 453.5	62 60	2,386.0 2,328.8	14 16	54.6 61.4	5 2 53

TABLE I INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

•		TOT						ENT INDEX C	RINES		_							RTY INDEX C	RIMES		
		ENDI Crii		Tot	al		der	Forcible	,	Robb	ery	Agg. As	saul t	Tot	al	Burgi	lary .	Larce	•		heft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1986 1985	2,410.9 2,243.2		105.8 68.5	51 59	1.5 1.5		20.9 10.4	14 36	4.5 17.9	58 32	79.0 38.7	50 59	2,305.1 2,174.8	38 49	554.3 595.4	52 48	1,682.2 1,499.0	34 - 46	48.5 80.4	
NONROE .	1986 1985	5,370.3 5,373.7	5 6	497.7 465.6	7 8	6.0 4.9		31.3 27.5	9	174.8 172.9	7	285.6 260.3	12 13	4,872.5 4,908.1		1,142.7 1,149.6	9 12	3,465.1 3,487.0	3	264.8 271.5	
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	1,604.8 1,696.0	61 61	75.2 78.9	56 57	1.9		.0 3.8	59 57	7.5 15.0	51 38	65.8 60.1	53 57	1,529.6 1,617.1	60 61	528.0 501.5	53 58	928.3 1,049.9	61 60	73.3 65.7	
HASSAU	1984 1985	3,263.7 3,465.2	23 19	239.2 215.7	27 30	2.8 2.3		10.2 10.3	41 37	124.9 117.2	. 10 11	101.2 85.9	46 48	3,024.5 3,249.6	22 21	678.1 704.7	38 32	1,847.7 2,022.8	29 24	498.7 522.1	
HEN YORK	1986 1985	14,315.6 13,623.0	1	2,698.6 2,657.0	i i	29.8 25.3		62.2 67.3	1 2	1,438.3 1,492.7		968.3 871.7	2 2	11,617.0 10,966.0	1 1	2,145.6 2,219.2	1	8,429.1 7,808.6	1	1,022.3 938.1	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	4,172.8 4,403.7	12 12	346.9 364.9	14 12	5.0 1.4		36.4 23.6	4	106.4 97.7	14 13	199.1 242.2	23 16	3,825.9 4,038.8	12 11	1,064.8 1,145.0	13 13	2,554.3 2,650.8	11 9	206.9 243.1	
ONEIDA	1985 1985	2,434.4 2,396.5		143.5 121.8	42 46	2.4 2.4		11.8 11.8	34 32	57.0 48.3	19 19	72.3 59.3	51 58	2,291.0 2,274.7	39 44	698.0 736.1	31 35	1,519.1 1,458.9	43 47	73.9 79.7	
ONOHDAGA	1986 1985	4,639.0 4,648.8	8 8	325.8 287.6	17 19	2. i 3. 4		24.7 29.0	10 7	133.7 130.8	9	165.3 124.3	29 37	4,312.3 4,361.3	8	1,169.7 1,278.4	8	2,971.3 2,915.3	5	171.3 167.5	
DNTARIO	1986 1985	2,789.4 2,905.3	32 32	224.3 197.1	29 31	3.3 1.1		15.2 20.6	23 19	29.3 17.3	27 33	176.6 158.1	26 29	2,565.4 2,708.3	31 32	646.B 726.6	38 28	1,848.2 1,905.9	28 27	70.4 75.8	
DRANGE	1985 1985	3,723.3 3,653.1	17 16	425.7 396.0	8	3.3 3.6		21.5 20.4	13 20	122.2	11 10	278.7 252.3	13 14	3,297.5 3,257.1	17 20	978.B 1,026.9	16 14	2,128.7 2,058.5	22 22	190.1 171.8	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	2,506.7 2,367.7		310.2 250.9	18 25	7.6 2.5		12.7 - 25.4	31 12	3B.1 20.3	23 30	251.7 210.6	16 22	2,196.6 2,108.9	42 51	607.6 434.0	45 61	1,525.4 1,608.9	42 42	6.6 6.6	
OSWEGO	1986 1985	2,541.8 2,373.2		114.8 110.5	50 49	1.7 .8		18.4 8.4	17 42	15.1 10.9	39 45	79.6 90.4	49 47	2,427.1 2,262.6	33 45	642.6 559.4	39 50	1,670.5 1,594.4	35 44	113.9 108.9	
OTSE60	1986 1985	2,187.7 2,359.9		73.4 98.4	57 51	3.3		3.3 10.0	57 38	5.0 11.7	57 44	45.0 73.4	54 51	2,114.3 2,261.5	49 46	480.2 615.4	57 47	1,590.7 1,601.1	39 43	43.4 45.0	

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

. • • <u> </u>		TOT					VIDLE	HT INDEX CI					•				PROPE	RTY INDEX C			
		IND CRI	HES	Tot		Huro	ler	Forcible	Rape	Robbi	ery	Agg. As	sault	Tota		Burgl		Larce	eny	IT VK	heft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAN	1986 1985	2,335.7 2,574.1		254.2 161.5		.0 6.2	52 6	3.7 13.6	56 29	40.7 9.9	22 49	207.8 131.7	20 34	2,081.5 2,412.6	52 40	663.8 798.0	36 27	1,272.1 1,447.3	54 49	145.6 166.4	
QUEENS	1986 1985	6,909.5 6,533.9	4	1,208.5 1,128.4	4	12.1 9.7	4	34.4 35.0	5 5	662.8 648.1	4	499.3 435.6	4 5	5,701.0 5,405.5	- 4 4	1,447.9 1,421.8	4 5	2,834.3 2,641.0	7	1,418.8 1,342.7	1
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	3,928.1, 3,706.9		295.7 279.1	22 20	2.6 2.6	28 25	22.3 21.6	12 17	75,4 55.0	17 18	195.4 199.9	24 23	3,632.5 3,427.7	15 17	1,084.3 982.3	11 16	2,371.2 2,253.5	15 19	177.0 192.0	
RICHHOND	1984 1985	5,073.5 5,138.0	6 7	723.9 699.2	5 5	7.5 7.0	. 0 5	20.1 19.8	15 22	263.3 - 267.7	5 5	433.1 404.7	5 6	4,349.6 4,438.8	. 7 7	1,051.2 1,248.2	14	1,970.4 1,898.5	26 29	1,327.8 1,292.1	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	2,929.3 3,127.1	30 27	· 289.2 265.3	23 22	.8 1.5	50 39	12.8 8.3	30 43	75.1 60.4	. 18 17	200. 5 195.1	22 24	2,640.1 2,861.8	30 28	713.9 759.6	28 32	1,780.4 1,902.6	30 28	145.7 199.6	18 12
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,992.0 2,866.5		137.B 84.2	44 55	.9	49 48	11.4	35 61	7.9 10.5	49 47	117.6 72.8	40 52	2,854.2 2,782.3	27 31	628.2 695.6	40 41	2,150.5 2,013.7	21 25	75.5 72.8	39 46
SARATOGA .	1984 1985	2,389.9 2,287.4	45 51	171.2 136.1	36 41	.6 1.9	51 37	13.1 8.7	29 40	16.9 15.6	34 37	140.5 109.8	35 41	2,218.8 2,151.3	41 50	600.3 639.1	47 44	1,544.B 1,424.8	41 50	73.7 87.4	40 35
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	3,500.7 3,352.9	19 22	214.2 190.3	32 33 .	1.3 2.0	45 35	9.9 13.2	42 30	88.4 75.3	16 15	114.4 99.8	41 44	3,284.5 3,162.5	18 24	1,078.5 953.7	12 17	2,057.2 2,042.9	24 23	150.8 145.9	17 19
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	2,188.0 2,406.2		118.6 72.3	49 58	.0	52 50	3.3	58 58	6.6	53 60	108.7 69.0	44 53	2,059.4 2,333.9	53 42	626.1 881.0	41 20	· 1,387.3	5 0 53	56.0 62.5	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,745.0 1,729.9		39.4 78.9	62 56	.0	52 50	.0	59 61	5.6 16.9	55 34	33.8 62.0	61 55	1,705.4 1,651.0	59 59	669.9 · 546.6	34 51	968.2 1,048.1	60 61	67.5 56.3	45 56
SENECA	1984 1985	3,266.7 3,342.6		299.7 272.0	21 21	3.0 6.0	25 7	9.1 24.2	45 13	15.1 -3.0	38 57	272.5 238.8	14 18	2,967.0 3,070.6	24 25	660.0 701.2	37 40	2,182.9 2,221.3	19 20	124.1 148.1	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	2,598.2 2,505.4		233.9 255.9	28 26	1.0	48 50	9.0 3.0	46 46	11.0 18.1	44 31	212.8 229.8	19 19	2,364.3 2,249.5	35 47	597.3 540.8	48 53	1,666.5	37 40	100.4	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,871.8 3,810.3	16 15	250.8 255.8	26 27	2.9 3.1	26 22	13.5 13.2	28 31	104.5 108.7	13 12	128.0 130.7	37 35	3,620.9 3,554.5	18 14	998.3 945.3	15 18	2,313.4 2,329.4		309.2 279.5	

		1011					VIOLE	NT INDEX C	RIHES	· ·							PROPE	RTY INDEX C	RINES		
State of the state		INDE Crii		Tota	1	Hur	ier	Farcible	e Rape	Robbe	ery	Agg. Ass	sault	Tota	al	Burg	lary	Larci	eny	MY The	eft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank
SULL IVAN	1986 1995	3,548.1 3,784.5	18 16	412.5 339.9	10 14	7.4 3.0	9 23	32.6 26.7	- 7 10	54.9 43.0	20 20	317.6 267.1	9 12	3,135.6 3,444.6	21 16	1,396.4 1,650.3	5. 4	1,565.6 1,614.7	40 41	173.6 179.6	15 14
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,698.0 1,764.0	60 59	197.2 122.2	35 45	3.9	14 50	13.8 3.9	27 56	11.8	. 43 56	167.6 114.3	28 38	1,500.8 1,641.8	61	424.0 431.6	61 62	986.1 1,131.3	59 59	90.7 78.0	31 43
TOHPKINS	1988 1985	5,006.0 5,726.4	7 5	137.4 129.3	45 43	1.1 1.1	47 45	9.1 11.3	44 33	37.5 21.6	24 26	89.7 95.3	48 45	4,868.5 5,597.1	6 2	1,227.6 1,341.1	6	3,546.6 4,126.6	2 2	94.3 129.3	29 26
ULSTER	1986 · 1985	2,859.2 3,019.0	31 30	376.0 352.5	11	3.7 4.3	20 13	16.6 23.9;		48.5 35.2	21 21	307.3 288.1	10 11	2,483.2 2,666.5	32 34	66 5.6 766.8	35 31	1,710.9 1,760.5	32 33	106.7 139.1	26 25
WARREN	1985 1985	3,270.7 3,408.9	21 21	64.7 50.3	59 61	1.8 0.	37 50	10.8 7.2	37 49	21.6	29 - 41	36.8 30.5	82 61	3,204.0 3,358.4	19 18	909.8 830.2	17 24	2,152.3 2,363.0	20 13	143.8 165.3	20 20
WASHINGTON	1984 1985	2,129.1 2,150.2	55 56	210.1 259.6	33 24	.0 5.3	52 8	16.0 5.3	21 51	10.7 5.3	45 52	193.4 243.6	25 15	1,919.1 1,898.7	56 58	503.8 535.1	55 55	1,329.8 · 1,274.7	52 55	85.5 88.9	33 34
NAYNE	1986 1985	3,188.1 3,132.5	25 26	216.6 260.7	31 23	.0	22 50	22.7 23.8	11 15	17.0 20.4	32 28	173.5 216.5	27 21	2,971.5 2,871.8	23 27	829.1 782.0	21 30	2,075.5 1,985.6		46.9 104.3	46 31
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	4,014.6 3,841.0	. 13 14	334.9 334.3	16 15	3.5 4.7	21 11	14.0 15.1	26 26	165.2 177.7	8	152.2 136.8	33 32	3,679.7 3,506.7	14 15	796.7 814.5	23 26	2,445.5 2,293.1	12 18	437.5 399.1	8
HAONING	1984 1985	2,565.0 2,562.1	34 41	422.6 533.1	9	2.5 4.9		7.4 7.4	49 47	2.5 7.4	60 50	410.3 513.4	å 4	2,142.4 2,029.0	47 53	798.5 682.9	22 42	1,267.8 1,235.6	55 57	76.2 110.5	37 29
YATES	1988 1985	2,418.1 2,560.5	41 42	83.5 46.4	53 62	.0	52 50	9.3 18.6	43 24	9.3 .0	46 60	65.0 27.8		2,334.5 2,514.1	36 37	603.4 732.9	46 36	1,484.8 1,739.5	33 35	46.4 41.7	55 60
NEW YORK STATE	198 <u>4</u> 1985	5,741.6 5,566.7	NA NA	983.9 928.6	NA NA	10.7 9.5	HA NA	30.4 31.9	NA NA	514.2 504.1	HA NA	428.6 383.0		4,757.7 4,638.1	NA NA	1,216.3 1,231.3	na Na	2,906.2 2,808.9	на На	635.2 598.0	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	8,866.7 8,392.9	NA NA	1,997.ä 1,883.4	NA NA	22.0 19.3	NA NA	49.3 54.0	NA 'NA	1,127.2	NA NA	798.9 701.9		6,869.3 6,509.5	NA NA	1,735.4 1,742.9	KA NA	3,938.0 3,661.0		1,195.9 1,105.6	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDENEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 PDPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

						FELONY A	RRESTS			uroorus	
		TOTA Arres		Tota	1	Viole		Othe		HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1985 1985	2,338.7 2,197.9	12 14	712.1 604.5	6 6.	251.6 194.8	7 9	460.5 409.7	7	1,626.7 1,593.4	21 20
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,247.5 1,111.9	60 62	330.7 231.8	56 62	45.3 55.0	57 58	265.3 176.8	46 62	916.B 880.1	58 60
KONE	1986 1985	3,611.0 3,284.8	2 2	2,065.8 1,775.8	2 2	021.0 770.3	2 2	1,244.B 1,005.4	2	1,545.2 1,509.0	28 28
BROOKE	1986 1985	1,842.5 1,676.2	. 37 . 39	397.5 388.3	35 29	114.3 109.2	28 27	283.2 279.1	40 29	1,445.0 1,287.9	36 39
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	1,756.2 1,587.9	41 44	336.5 288.3	55 56	72.7 86.8	55 52	263.8 221.5	48 56	1,419.7 1,299.6	30 37
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1,558.2 1,843.3	52 34	374.2 373.2	41 32	86.4 81.4	46 43	287.9 291.8	37 23	1,184.0	51 31
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	1,807.8 1,660.5	39 41	345.2 299.5	50 55	86.0 77.0	47 45	259.3° 272.6	50 53	1,462.6 1,361.0	34 35
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	1,905.0 1,943.6	34 29	426.5 451.1	28 21	129.3 104.4	21 32	297.2 346.8	33 15	1,478.5 1,492.5	33 29
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1,946.0 2,159.6	29 16	280.0 310.2	60 51	58.0 92.1	40 37	222.0 218.2	60 57	1,686.0	18 10
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,943.3 1,851.1	31 33	507.4 384.9	19 30	126.8 95.6	22 34	380.5 289.3	12 26	1,436.0 1,466.2	37 32
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	2,019.8 2,234.2	24 13	466.2 523.1	22 . 12	141.7 132.0	19	324.5 391.1	25 9	1,553.5 1,711.2	26 12
CORTLAND	1986 1985	2,865.0 2,964.6	5	514.8 403.0	17 25	177.2 111.8	1 3 26	337.6 291.2	23 25	2,350.2 2,561.6	4 2
DELAWARE	1986 1985	2,010.6 1,960.0	25 27	420.4 341.2	29 40	80.7 41.8	51 55	339.7 279.4	22 28	1,590.2 1,618.8	24 17

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY A	ARRESTS			WIRELE	AUDE
		TOTA Arres		Tota	1	Viole		Othe)r	MISDENE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,987.1	27 23	537.4 514.7	16 13	158.1 166.6	17 12	379.3 348.1	13 14	1,449.8 1,475.1	35 30
ERIE	1986	2,257.1	17	571.3	12	222.3	9	348.9	- 19	1,695.B	19
	1985	2,103.1	18	539.7	10	210.2	B	329.5	19	1,563.3	25
ESSEX	1986	2,283.7	16	584.0	11	93.7	40	490.4	ኔ	1,699.7	17
	1985	1,949.7	28	354.7	35	68.7	50	286.0	27	1,595.0	19
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	2,347.0 2,015.3	11 20	351.6 347.1	49 37	93.6 75.4	41 47	258.0 271.7	51 32	1,995.4	8 14
FULTON	1986	1,393.8	57	267.4	61	60.4	58	207.0	62	1,126.4	52
	1985	1,395.0	55	344.7	39	75,8	46	268.9	33	1,050.3	52
GENESEE	1986	1,932.0	33	386.1	38	107.1	35	278.9	43	1,545.9	27
	1985	1,757.7	35	328.5	44	84.7	42	243.8	48	1,429.2	34
GREENE	1986	2,147.3	23	551.1	14	121.1	24	429.9	9	1,596.2	22
	1985	1,675.7	40	424.3	23	120.6	22	303.8	21	1,251.3	41
HANILTON	1986	1,680.0	45	320.0	58	40.0	5 9	260.0	49	i,360.0	40
	1985	2,006.0	22	481.4	17	60.2	56	421.3	5	1,524.6	26
HERKIHER	1986 1985	1,409.6 1,386.8	56 56	366.2 272.0	44 59	86.7	45 54	279.5 207.7	42 60	1,043.3 1,114.8	55 50
JEFFERSON	1986	1,496.7	54	452.5	25	112.6	30	340.0	21	1,044.2	54
	1985	1,440.5	54	370.3	34	103.9	33	266.4	35	1,070.2	51
KINGS	1986 1985	2,837.5 2,405.5	6 8	1,597.3 1,377.7	3	770.4 678.5	3	826.9 699.2	3	1,240.2 1,027.8	46 54
LEWIS	1986	865.6	62	260.9	62	27.7	62	233.2	59	60 4.7	62
	1985	1,132.5	61	254.3	61	27.8	62	226.5	51	878.2	61
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	2,551.2 2,590.5	9 7	377.1 268.0	40 57	81.9 73.7	49 40	295.2 214.3	34 59	2,174.1 2,302.5	7

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY A	RRESTS			VICARUE	- 43100
		TOTA ARRES		Tota		Viole		Othe	er	HISDENE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HADISON	1986 1985	1,846.8 1,654.1	36 42	366.4 317.6	43 49	111.1 73.4	31 49	255.3 244.2	52 47	1,480.5 1,336.5	32 36
HONROE	1986 1985	2,285.5 2,059.5	15 19	555.4 485.3	13 15	196.0 178.5	11 11	359.4 306.9	17 20	1,730.1	16 23
KONTGOKERY	1986 1985	2,197.7 1,875.2	21 31	385.8 302.7	39 54	76.8 45.7	53 60	309.0 257.0	28 39	1,811.9	15 24
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,305.4 1,314.8	59 57	402.0 374.4	33 31	121.1 121.1	25 21	281.0 253.4	41 41	903.4 940.4	59 58
NEH · YORK	1986 1985	7,694.0 7,117.1	1	2,820.4 2,517.6	1	981.8 923.8	i 1	1,838.4 1,593.8	1	4,873.7 4,599.5	· į
NIAGARA	1986 1985	2,003.7 1,856.4	26 32	463.8 425.0	23 22	164.1	14 14	299.7 272.1	32 31	1,539.9 1,431.5	33 30
ONEIDA	1984 1985	1,427.0 1,289.0	55 59	339.6 322.3	54 48	,103.0 106.5	35 29	236.6 215.8	58 58	1,087.3 966.8	53 56
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,816.3 1,756.2	36 38	586.4 592.7	10 7	223.9 227.4	8 7	362.5 365.3	15 11	1,229.9 1,163.5	47 48
ONTARLO	1986 1985	2,287.4 1,978.7	14 26	393.7 337.7	36 41	102.0 85.5	37 41	291.8 252.2	36 43	1,893.7 1,640.9	9 16
DRANGE	1986 1985	2,472.8 2,195.5	10 15	636.1 577.2	7 8	203.1 181.2	10 10	433.1 395.9	8	1,836.7 1,618.4	12 18
DRLEANS	1986 1985	1,640.1 1,527.8	49 50	452.4 482.9	26 16	159.4 140.5	16 18	293.1 342.4	35 16	1,187.7 1,045.0	50 53
DSNEGO	1986 1985	1,721.6 1,556.2	43 47	340.3 335.7	53 42	92.0 90.7	42 39	248.3 245.1	55 46	1,381.3 1,220.4	39 46
DTSEGO .	1986 1985	2,174.0 2,343.0	22 9	354.7 370.6	48 33	54.1 119.6	61 23	300.7 251.0	30 44	1,819.3	13 7

[{] Continued on next page. }

TABLE 2A FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY A	RRESTS					
		TOTA ARRES		Tota		Viole	ent .		Othe		NISDEHE ARRES	its
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	
PUTNAH	1986 1985	1,970.6 1,567.5	28 46	409.3 326.3	30 45	109.1 66.8	34 53		300.2 259.6	31 37	1,561.3 1,241.1	25 44
QUEENS	1986 1985	1,666.7	46 52	926.2 709.9	4 5	374.8 308.9	4		551.4 401.0	5 7	740.5 744.0	61 62
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,655.9 1,568.5	47 45	357.9 325.7	47 46	116.0 118.9	27 24		241.9 206.8	57 61	1,299.0 1,242.8	43
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,563.5	50 51	418.5 570.3	8	291.8 295.8	. 6 5		326.7 274.4	24 30	945.0 934.0	56 59
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	1,137.6 1,294.7	61 58	368.9 345.7	42 38	81.2 93.5	50 36		287.7 252.2	38 42	768.7 949.0	- 60 57
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,212.8 2,006.9	20 21	329.8 324.5	- 57 47	75.4 77.6	54 44		254.4 246.9	53 45	1,883.0 1,682.4	10 13
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,222.4 2,286.0	18	405.2 397.3	31 28	91.4 105.8	44 30		313.8 291.5	27 24	1,817.2 1,888.7	14 9
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,737.7 1,528.7	42 49	400.1 350.0	34 36	91.9	43 35		308.3 255.7	27 40	1,337.6	42 47
SCHOKARIE	1986 1985	2,718.1 2,238.3	B 12	473.2 308.7	20 52	110.7 87.3	32 40		362.4 221.5	16 55	2,245.0 1,929.6	8
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,902.3 1,930.2	35 30	362.1 416.9	46 24	109.2 148.5	33 16	•	252.9 268.4	54 34	1,540.2 1,513.3	. 29 27
SENECA	1986 1985	2,317.8 2,279.9	13 11	470.4 457.8	21 19	96.6 91.6	38 38		373.8 366.2	14 10	1,847.4 1,822.1	11 11
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,559.3 1,447.5	51 53	341.6 304.1	52 53	69.1 67.9	56 51		272.4 236.3	44	1,217.8 1,143.4	48 49
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,647.5 1,687.5	48 38	403.3 398.8	32 26	136.7 141.9	20 17		246.5 257.0	45 39	1,244.2 1,288.7	45 38

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,006 POPULATION AND RATE KANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		707			-	FELONY A	RRESTS			urenew	TANDS
		TOTA ARRES		Tota		Yiole	int	Othe		MISDEMS ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULL I VAN	1984 1985	3,182.2 3,102.0	4 3	925.7 751.5	5 4	358.6 274.4	5 6	567.1 457.1	4	2,256.6 2,350.5	5 5
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,711.8 1,534.4	44 48	362.7 283.0	45 58	78.4 49.6	52 59	284.3 234.2	39 50	1,749.0 1,250.5	41 42
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	1,936.1 1,988.7	32 24	343.6 329.0	51 43	95.9 106.6	39 28	247.7 222.3	56 54	1,592.5 1,659.7	23 15
ULSTER	1986 1985	2,221.1 2,113.9	19 17	549.3 523.9	15 11	159.6 160.0	15 13	389.0 363.9	11 12	1,671.7 1,596.0	20 21
WARREN	1986 1985	3,282.9 2,949.3	3 5	594.4 478.1	9 1B	109.2 118.6	12 25	407.2 359.5	10 13	2,686.5 2,471.2	2
HASHINGTON	1986 1985	1,959.6 1,980.5	30 25	441.1 398.2	27 27	117.8 105.7	26 31	. 323.4 292.5	26 22	1,518.5 1,582.3	31 22
HAYNE	1986 1985	2,017.0 2,878.3	7 6.	458.0 456.9	24 20	113.6 123.4	29 20	344.3 333.5	20 18	2,359.1 2,421.4	3
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,794.5 1,730.9	40 37	513.0 490.B	19 14	154.7 150.3	18 15	358.3 340.5	18 17	1,281.5 1,240.0	44 45
HYONING	1986 1985	1,502.5 1,59B.0	53 43	291.7 317.1	59 50	83.3 56.5	48 57	20B.3 260.6	61 36	1,210.8	49 40
YATES	1986 1985	1,330.2 1,265.9	58 60	384.8 260.6	37 60	122.6 37.2	23 61	264.2 223.4	47 52	943.4 1,005.3	57 55
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	2,566.3 2,371.0	NA NA	968.7 850.7	na Na	349.9 338.3	NA NA	598.8 512.4	AA AH	1,597.4 1,520.3	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	3,579.8 3,212.5	NA NA	1,697.4	na Na	693.1 626.1	NA NA	1,004.3 831.8	NA NA	1,892.4 1,754.6	na Na

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE GANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 #

•		MURDE Arres	TS		FORCIBL ARRE	STS		ROBBE ARRES			AGG. ASS	TS		BURGI ARRES	ITS		LARCE ARRES	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	5.6 2.8	9 23		12.4 6.7			31.1 28.5	14 14		112.2 86.0	7 - 9		114.7 99.3	12 28		456.2 397.4	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	.0 7.9	46 4		2.0			.0	59 56		23.8 31.4	51 40		146.5 68.8	9 48		154.5 196.5	
BRONX	1986 1985	23.6 19.0	1 1		27.6 26.5			269.0 237.9	3		329.5 311.2	2 2		189.0 180.6	. 3	•	467.9 413.2	
BROOKE	1986 1985	2,4 2.8	24 22	•	8.5 5.2		•	14.7 11.3	23 26		33.2 30.1	38 42		78.3 86.1	45 37		432.2 354.9	
CATTARAUGUS ,	1986 1985	7.0	5 49		5.9 2.3			4.7 8.2	49 34		19.9 32.8	56 37		84.4 82.0	38 39		267.3 243.7	
CAYUGA	1984 1985	1.3 3.8	40 16		8.8 7.5			6.3 10.0	42 29		22.5 37.6	54 30		91.4 51.3	31 59		373.0 435.8	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	1.4	38 48		4.9 2.8			7.7 14.6			32.1 22.9	39 50		95.7 81.1	28 41		346.6 344.6	
CHEMUNG	1984 1985	1.1	42 45		6.6 4.3			22.1 6.5	18 41		43.1 33.7	27 35		99.4 133.7	21		626.5 641.4	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	2.0 2.0	27 32		.0			.0 4.0	59 47		12.0 18.0	60 57		64.0 108.1	53 18		318.0 354.3	
CLINTON	1986 1985	6.2 .0	6 49		4.9 1.2			7.4 9.8	39 31		54.2 31.9	1B 39	•	110.B 69.9	13 46		402.7 315.1	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	1.6	37 8		.0 1.7			13.2 9.9			84.0 62.7	10 17		84.0 135.3	40 8		303.1 348.2	

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

			MURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS	ROBBERY ARRESTS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	BURGLARY ARRESTS	LARCENY## ARRESTS
COUNTY		Year	Rate Rank	•	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
CORTLAND		1986 1985	4.2 13 .0 49	B.4 23 4.2 37	33.8 12 8.4 33	44.3 26 48.5 21	143.5 10 107.6 19	540.1 à 455.8 7
DELAWARE		1986 1985	.0 46 2.1 31	12,7 9 .0 56	8.5 36 2.1 54	29.7 44 46.9 25	89.2 33 108.8 17	398.5 25 393.9 19
DUTCHESS	."	1986 1985	3.5 15 1.6 37	5.8 36 5.5 29	21.8 20 26.7 16	67.B 14 76.4 11	95.8 27 96.4 31	391.4 24 365.7 21
ERIE		1986 1985	3.5 14 3.8 15	14.8 5 14.8 7	42.3 9 42.7 B	92.4 8 93.3 7	104.3 15 100.0 26	477.6 9 439.9 B
ESSEX		1986 1985	.0 45 .0 49	13,8 7 2,8 43	5.5 46 .0 56	41.3 28 27.5 45	170.8 6 112.7 15	366.4 29 286.0 41
FRANKLIN		1986 1985	.0 46 2,3 28	6.8 30 7.1 16	9.1 34 .0 56	27.4 46 25.1 48	89.0 34 100.5 25	299.1 40 294.6 38
FULTON		1986 1985	1.B 33 .0 49	3.7 50 .0 56	5.5 47 5.4 44	25.6 50 23.5 49	51.3 59 90.2 35	293.0 43 314.0 34
GENESEE		1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49	5.1 40 5.1 32	3.4 54 22.0 17	28.9 45 27.1 46	96.9 25 93.1 33	297.6 41 233.7 51
GREENE		1986 1985	.0 46 2.4 27	9.5 17 2.4 16	7.1 40 7.2 36	64.1 17 64.4 10	59.4 55 65.1 51	313.5 37 285.9 40
HAKILTON		. 1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49	.0 59 .0 56	.0 59 .0 56	.0 62 .0 62	160.0 7 220.7 1	320.0 34 200.6 55
HERKINER		1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49	4.5 45 3.0 41	4.5 50 4.5 45	31.4 40 22.4 54	80.7 41 53.8 58	219.7 52 215.2 52

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

			HURDE Arres	STS		ē	FORCIBLE ARRES	TS		ARR	BERY ESTS	i .	•		AGG. ASS	TS		BURGI ARRES		-		LARCE ARRES	
COUNTY		Year	Rate				Rate	Rank		Rate	R	ank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank				Rank
JEFFERSON		1986 1985	5.5 2.3	10 29			5.5 2.3	38 49		3. 10.		55 28			35.3 38.4	36 29		146.8 117.4	9 13			210.8 188.5	55 58
KINGS		1986 1985	17.3 16.1	3			18.2 21.6	4		 295. 249.		2 2			278.4 240.6	3		177.5 154.5	- 4 5			398.0 343.8	22 29
LEWIS		1986 1985	.0	46 49			4.0	48 56		4. 4.		52 48			7.9 4.0	61 60		35.6 83.4	82 38			110.7 151.0	62 62
LIVINESTON		1986 1985	3.4 6.9	16 7			3.4 1.7	52 51		5. 6.		48 38			46.1 42.7	25 27		68.3 54.9	50 57			428.3 426.9	18 11
MADISON		1985 1985	4.5 1.5	· 28			13.5 6.0	8 26		6. 9.		44 32			39.0 3.0	32 61		84.1 89.9	39 36			345.3 317.6	32 32
MONROE		1986 1985	6.1 4.0	7 13			13.8 12.2	6 10		47. 44.		7			48.2 43.6	24 26		101 .5 107.5	19 20			550.2 502.8	5 5
HONTGOKERY		1986 1985	1.9	31 34			1.9 5.7	57 28		5. 1.		45 55			30.7 22.8	41 51		57.4 60.9	57 53			268.7 359.8	46 23
NASSAU		1986 1985	2.0 3.0	26 21			2.6 2.5	54 44		33. 30.		13 12			49.4 47.3	22 23	•	53.6 59.9	58 55		• •	256 .5 255.7	50 46
NEW YORK		1986 1985	18.9 17.9	. 2			20.4 24.3	2 3		456. 406.		. 1 -			369.1 346.9	1		210.4 176.2	1			1,134.4 1,010.5	1
HIAGARA	•	. 1986 1985	1.8 2.8	32 25			10.6 5.1	13 31		25. 26.		17 15			65.9 63.3	16 16		103.7 109.5	17 16		-	391.4 356.6	23 24
DNEIDA		1986 1985	2.4 1.2	23 41			8.0 6.0	24 27		17. 11.		22 25			30.6 32.7	42 3B		88.5 93.3	35 32		•	334.0 258.0	33 45

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

		HURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS	(• •)	ROBBERY ARRESTS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	BURGLARY ARRESTS	LARCENY** ARRESTS
COUNTA	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	2.6 22 3.0 20	8.9 20 14.0 B		48.4 6 48.1 6	81.8 11 76.1 12	106.4 15 137.5 6	424.9 19 368.1 20
ONTÁRIO	1984 1985	1.1 43 2.2 30	4.3 46 8.7 17		11.9 29 2.2 53	30.4 43 28.1 44	80.3 42 86.0 50	387.2 26 325.8 31
ORANGE	1986 1985	2.9 20 1.8 35	9.2 19 11.5 11		40.5 10 21.6 18	88.0 9 88.3 8	96.9 26 99.8 27	467.2 11 407.8 14
ORLEANS	1986 1985	5.1 11 5.1 9	10.3 14 7.7 18.		12.9 27 2.6 50	79.7 12 63.9 14	92.5 29 130.3 10	295.6 42 296.4 36
OSWEGO	1986 1985	3.3 17 .B 47	7.5 25 3.4 40		4.2 5i 2.5 5i	25.9 48 - 31.1 41	98.7 23 96.5 30	267.6 47 282.0 43
OTSE60	1986 1985	.0 46 3.4 17	1.7 59 6.7 21		1.7 58 6.7 40	15.2 59 42.1 28	98.0 24 101.1 24	442.6 13 486.8 6
PUTNAM	1986 1985	.0 46 4.9 10	3.7 49 1.2 53		14.7 23 3.7 49	50.2 21 35.8 34	63.7 54 56.8 56	213.2 53 208.9 53
QUEEKS	1986 1985	8.2 4 7.7 6	12.6 10 11.0 12		142.7 4 109.2 4	141.1 5 124.8 4	91.7 30 80.0 43	300.2 39 254.1 48
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1.3 39 1.3 40	5.9 33 4.6 34		11.9 30 10.6 27	52.7 19 57.5 18	77.1 47 69.4 47	273.6 45 196.9 56
RICHHOND	, 1986 1985	5.9 B 7.8 5	11.2 12 10.7 13		86.5 5 84.3 5	124.1 6 124.9 5	98.4 36 104.8 23	260.8 49 282.5 42
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	.4 45 1.1 44	4.5 44 2.3 48		21.8 19 13.6 24	39.5 31 47.1 24	42.5 61 51.3 60	159.8 59 178.3 40

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

		HURD Arre			FORCIBLE ARRES			ROBBE ARRES				AGG. ASS			BURGLA ARREST	S	LARCEN ARREST	15
COUNTY	 Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1.8 2.6	36 26		7.1 .9	28 55		3.5 6.2	53 42		•	25.7 29.1	49 43		100.2 104.9	20 22	419.4 298.9	20 35
SARATOSA	1986 1985	1.2 3.1	41 18		9.8 4.3	16 36		7.9 7.4	37 35		· .	34.7 37.1	37 31		73.7 81.7	48 40	357.1 328.0	30 30
SCHENECTADY	1986 1986	2.0 1.3	28 39		5.3 6.6	39 39		29.3 29.2	15 13			26.0 25.9	47 47		67.2 60.4	52 54	316.9 296.2	36 37
6CHOHAR1E	1986 1985	.0	46 49		.0	59 56		6.7 .0	41 56	•		36.9 33.6	34 36		87.2 114.1	37 14	513.4 389.3	7 18
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	0			5.7 28.6	37 1		11.5 17.1	31 19			23.0 22.8	53 52	*	69.0 97.1	49 29	241.4 251.3	51 49
SENECA	1986 1985	3.1 .0	18 49		- 3,1 15,3	53	•	9.3 6.1	33 43			15.6 21.4	58 56		102.8 125.1	18 11	442.4 390.7	14 · 17
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1.0	44	• •	4.1 4.1	47 38		6.2 4.1	43 46			23.7 22.6	52 53		79.5 64.7.	44 52	280.7 292.8	44 39
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	2.0 2.8	29 24		5.9 6.0	32 25		26.9 30.5	16 11			48.9 53.8	23 20		77.6 78.4	46	200.7 176.5	57 61
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	2.9 4.4	19 12		19.0 16.3	3 5		35.0 34.0	11			169.1 122.8	4		202 .6 196.7	2 2	584.5 531.0	4 3
TIDGA	1986 1985	2.0 2.0	33 33		5.9 4.0	34 39		2.0	57 56			37.3 15.9	33 58		43.1 45.7	60 62	209.B 271.9	56 44
TONPKINS	1986 1985	.0	46 43		2.3 6.8	55 20		9.1 14.7	34 21			20.5	55 55		90.2 90.8	32 34	500.0 431.1	B 10

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 & - Continued

•	÷ .	HURI Arri	ESTS		FORCIBLI ARRE			ROBBI ARRES			AGG. ASS ARRES	15		BURGI ARRES	STS		AF	ARCENI RRESTS	6
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate		Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	1.8 3.1			7.3 13.4			21.3 16.5	21 20		74.9 64.7	13 13		79.8 107.5		:	439 407		15 13
WARREN	1986 1985	1.8			3.6 1.8			12.6	28 37		52.3 48.5	20 22		174.8 118.6			610 530		3
WASHINGTON	1786 1985	.0 1.8			7.0 .0			10.5 14.1	32 23		40.4 56.4	29 19		107.2 01.1			210 200		54 54
WAYNE	1986 1985	2,3 1.1	25 42		10.2 9.1	15 15		13.6 6.9	25 39		39.B 36.6	30		117.0 135.9			434 398		16 15
WESTCHESTER	1985 1985	2.0 3.8			7.4 6.6			42.4 38.6	8		66.B 63.6	15 15		68.2 67.6			370 361		2B 22
MADWINE	1986 1985	4.9			4.9			2.5 2.5			36.B 36.9	35 32		58.8 71.3			159 255		60 47
YATES	1986 1985	.0			9.4 4.7		•	.0	59 56		18.9	57 59		99.1 51.2	22 61		179 188		58 59
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	8.0 7.5			12.0 12.1	NA NA		127.8 110.9	NA NA		139.7 129.0	NA -		115.6 109.5			424 383		na Ka
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	15.8 14.4			18.4 19.7	NA NA		272.8 234.6	NA NA		261.2 237.7	NA NA	•	159.2 141.8			527 464		NA NA

^{*} NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult lie., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

^{##} Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NDTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

•		TOTA						SUBSTANC	E ARRES	STS						MARIHU	ana arri	STS	_		
		DRUE ARRES	TS		Tota	1		Posses				le		tal		Poss	ession			Sal	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	. •		Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	170.8 143.4	15 11	•	134.4 96.9	16 13		112.2 72.2	13		14.1 20.8		36. 46.		· ·	31. 34.				4.5 11.6	37 16
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	19.8 23.6	62 56		5.9 5.9	62 60	· -	5.9 5.9	61 56		.0		13. 17.			13. 5.		•	•	.0 11.8	56 15
BRONX	1984 1985 -	1,035.1 894.4	2		853.1 654.7	2		494.1 397.5	2		299.7 199.8		182. 239.			75. 101.				106.4 138.1	2 2
BROOME	1985 1985	08.2 56.5	28 34	•	88.3 35.3	29 34		36.5 23.5	35 39		26.1 9.5	12 30	19. 21.			12. 14.				7.1 7.1	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	51.6 38.7	- 45 46		30.5 17.6	47 49		28.1 14.1	41 46		i.2 2.3		21. 21.			16. 15.				4.7 5.9	36 36
CAYUGA	1986 1985	47.6 58.9	33 50		35.0 47.6	44 2B		22.5 28.8	46 31		10.0 15.0		12. 11.			5. 5.				7.5 6.3	22 33
CKAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	25.2 29.8	58 52		12.6 - 19.4.	61 48	•	9.B 6.9	59 55		2.1 11.6		12. 10.			9. 8.				2.8 2.1	46 53
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	19.9 14.1	61 61		16.6 8.7	59 58		9.9 3.3	58 61		4.4 2.2		3.; 5.			1. 4.			•	2.2 1.1	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	50.0 54.0	47 36		32.0 40.0	46 31		26.0 38.0	44 25	•	6.0 0.		18. 14.			14. 6.				4.0 8.0	42 28
CLINTON	1986 1985	118.2 105.4	20 20		98.5 74.8	20 20		89.9 66.2	17 16	•	8.2 8.6		19. 30.			13. 9.				6.2 20.8	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	100.5 92.4	25 23		72.5 54.5	26 26		44.5 34.7	29 26		18.1 14.9		29. 38.			16. 21.				11.5 16.5	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	42.2 90.7	53 25		23.2 38.0	54 33		14.8 29.5	55 30		2.1 8.4		19. 52.		7	ь. 27.				12.7 25.3	7
DELAWARE	1986 1985	46.7	51 39		27.6 21.3	- 49 47		27.6 10.7	42 49		.0 10.7		19. 27.			17. 19.				2.1 8.5	5î 26

⁽ Continued on next page.)

v v 2		TOTAL	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANCE ARRESTS	_:	**************************************	MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Possession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
DUTCHESS	1986	141.4 19	120.7 18	91.5 16	19.9 19	20.6 29	15.2 25	5.5 32
	1985	108.2 19	85.1 15	61.5 18	16.1 17	23.1 26	14.5 30	8.6 23
ERìÉ	1984	193.4 12	150.2 13	126.8 12	14.4 26	43.2 10	35.7 8	7.6 21
	1985	174.6 7	109.3 8	83.2 10	15.4 1B	65.3 7	61.4 5	3.9 48
ESSEX	1986	63.4 39	44.1 39	44.1 30	.0 57	19.3 35	19.3 12	.0 56
	1985	38.5 47	30.2 40	22.0 41	9.3 33	8.3 59	2.8 51	5.5 39
FRANKLIN	1984	107.3 22	89.0 22	86.8 19	2.3 52	18.3 39	11.4 42	6.8 25
	1985	82.2 27	34.3 35	32.0 27	2.3 4B	48.0 11	13.7 32	34.3 6
FULTON	1584	58.6 42	45.8 38	29.3 40	16.5 23	12.8 52	11.0 44	1.8 54
	1985	23.5 58	5.4 61	3.6 60	.0 53	19.0 39	9.0 45	9.0 20
GENESEE	1986	49.3 49	27.2 50	18.7 49	6.8 37	22.1 24	17.0 19	5.1 34
	1985	33.9 49	13.5 52	10.2 52	1.7 52	20.3 35	16.9 24	3.4 49
GREENE	1784	294.5 6	266.0 7	228.0 6	23.0 15	28.5 15	19.0 14	9.5 17
	1985	98.9 21	82.0 17	77.2 11	2.4 46	16.9 43	12.1 38	4.8 43
HAMILTON	1986 1985	160.0 17 60.2 32	180.0 10 · 60.2 24	140.0 8 40.1 24	.0 57 .0 53	.0 62 .0 62	.0 &1 .0 &2	.0 56 .0 58
HERKIMER	1986	22.4 60	17.9 57	9.0 60	9.0 35	4.5 59	4.5 59	.0 56
	1985	47.8 41	29.9 41	28.4 32	.0 53	17.9 40	12.0 40	6.0 34
JEFFERSON	1984	67.3 37	46.4 36	37.5 34	5.5 43	21.0 27	12.1 37	8.8 18
	1985	38.4 49	28.2 44	24.8 37	3.4 40	10.2 55	618 51	3.4 50
KINGS	1986	693.9 3	545.5 3	328.6 3	178.0 3	120.4 3	55.8 S	72.6 3
	1985	548.8 3	381.2 3	221.7 3	115.0 3	167.6 3	76.7 4	90.9 3
LENIS	1986	27.7 56	23.7 52	15.8 54	7.9 36	4.0 60	.0 61	4.0 43
	1985	27.8 53	11.9 54	7.9 54	.0 53	15.9 45	7.9 49	7.9 29
LIVINGSTON	1986	71.7 35	56.3 31	35.8 36	20.5 18	15.4 45	11.9 39	3.4 44
	1985	42.9 43	22.3 46	15.4 44	3.4 39	20.6 34	12.0 39	8.6 25

⁽ Continued on next page.)

		TOTAL				CONTROLLED	SUBSTANC	E ARRES	TS			-		MARIHUAN	A ARREST	5	·	
		DRUG ARRES	IS	Total			Possess	sion		Sal			tal	Posses			Sal	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	flate	Rank		Rate	Rank
MADISON	1984 1985	34.5 12.0	55 62	 21.0 3.0	55 62		1B.0 .0	51 62		3.0 3.0	51 43	13. 9.	5 50	7.5 9.0	52 46		6.0	30
MONROE	1986 1985	101.1 65.1	24 31	78.6 41.9	24 30 -		48.4 20.4	26 43		19.8 11.8	20 25	22. 23.		17.8 17.5	16 23		4.7 5.8	
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	174.7 121.8	13 16	147.B 99.0	14 12		140.1 91.4	11 8		.0 2.8	47 53	26. 22.		26.9 22.8	10 16		.0	
NASSAU	1986 1985	169.5 127.3	16 15	139.2 92.5	15 14		94.3 64.7	15 17		34.5 19.8	13 8	30. 34.		24.5 27.6	11 13		5.7 7.2	
HEW YORK	1988 1985	2,626.7 2,186.9	• 1 i	2,243.0 1,630.3	i 1		1,576.5 1,102.3	1		528.3 396.1	1	383. 556.		154.1 232.3	i 1		229.6 324.2	
NIASARA	1984 1985	96.8 56.4	26 35	80.7 42.0	23 29		44.7 28.2	28 33		30.0 9.3	32	16. 14.		12.0 8.8	38 47		4.1 5.5	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	67.2 49.9	38 38	52.3 39.1	33 32		34.6 23.1	39 40		16.1 14.0	24 22	14. 10.		12.9 9.6	33 44		2.0 1.2	
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	93.5 86.8	27 26	48.4 59.1	28 25		38.4 27.5	33 35		25.7 28.6	13	25. 27.		14.7 12.5	26 35		10.4 15.3	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	49.9 68.2	4B 29	38.0 54.1	43 27	*	34.7 31.4	38 28		2.2 20.6	53 12	. ii.		7.6 9.7	51 43		4.3 4.3	
ORANGE	1986 1985	198.1 141.0	10	177.8 139.4	8 6		156.9 104.1	- 9 6		13.8 24.1	29 9	20. 21.		17.7 16.9	17 25		2.5 4.7	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	51.4 23.0	46 59	28.3 10.2	48 57		12.9	56 51		12.9	30 53	23. 12.		15.4 7.7	24 50		7.7 5.1	
OSNE60	1986 1985	75.3 31.1	34 51	 46.0 11.8	37 55		21.7 5.9	47 57		22.6 5.9	16 36	29. 19.		19.2 10.9	13 41		10.0 8.4	
OTSEGO	1986 1985	52.4 79.2	44 28	33.8 32.0	45 37	-	11.8 27.0	57 36		16.9 5.1	- 22 37	18. 47.		8.4 32.0	50 10		10.1 15.2	

•		TOTAL	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	. Passession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR -	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
PUTKAN	1986	291.7 7	281.9 5	229.2 5	47.8 6	9.8 56	7.4 53	2.5 49
	1985	127.3 14	111.3 7	74.2 13	33.4 6	16.1 44	13.6 33	2.5 52
DUEENS	1984	420.5 4	324.5 4	189.5 7	118.4 4	96.0 5	56.4 4	39.6 6
	1985	289.3 4	181.5 5	115.8 5	53.3 4	106.7 5	47.4 6	59.3 5
RENSSELAER	1984	61.3 41	40.2 41	34.9 37	4.0 46	21.1 26	15.9 23	5.3 33
	1985	66.1 30	31.1 39	27.8 34	2.6 44	35.0 16	26.4 15	8.4 24
RICHHOND	1984	248.3 8	173.B 9	71.5 21	87.8 5	74.5 6	11.2 43	63.3 4
	1985	181.9 6	72.5 22	49.7 21	15.0 20	109.4 4	31.3 11	78.1 4
ROCKLAND	1984	147.0 10	123.7 17	87.3 18	27.1 11	23.3 20	10.9 45	12.4 10
	1985	130.1 13	108.2 9	68.2 15	33.9 5	21.9 30	12.8 34	9.0 19
ST. LAWRENCE	1986	87.8 29	67.4 30	62.9 23	3.5 48	20.4 29	17.7 18	2.7 47
	1985	119.0 17	81.1 18	75.8 12	1.8 51	37.9 15	29.1 12	B.B 22
SARATUGA	1986	83.5 31	70.1 27	61.5 24	6.7 39	13.4 51	7.3 55	6.1 29
	1985	91.6 24	66.8 23	48.3 22	17.9 16	24.8 23	12.4 36	12.4 14
SCHENECTADY	1986	70.6 36	51.3 34	41.9 31	5.3 44	19.3 34	10.7 46	8.7 19
	1985	53.1 37	31.2 38	21.9 42	8.0 34	21.9 29	17.9 22	4.0 47
SCHOHARIE	1986	40.3 54	23.5 53	14.8 53	6.7 38	16.8 42	10.1 47	6.7 26
	1985	23.5 57	13.4 53	10.1 53	3.4 41	10.1 56	6.7 52	3.4 51
SCHUYLER	1986	23.0 59	17.2 58	17.2 52	.0 57	5.7 58	5.7 57	.0 56
	1985	40.0 45	17.1 50	11.4 48	.0 53	22.8 28	5.7 55	17.1 9
SENECA"	1986	B1.0 32	56.1 32	46.7 27 3	6.2 40	24.9 19	18.7 15	6.2 27
	1985	45.8 42	33.6 36	30.5 29	3.1 42	12.2 50	12.2 37	.0 58
STEUDEN	1984	42.3 52	25.B 51	22.7 45	3.1 50	16.5 43	14.4 27	2.1 52
	1985	27.7 54	10.3 56	10.3 50	.0 53	17.5 42	15.4 26	2.1 54
SUFFOLK	1984	197.0 11	96.1 21	64.3 22	25.6 14	100.9 4	88.0 2	12.9 8
	1985	156.1 B	76.1 19	44.0 23	27.0 B	89.9 6	79.7 3	10.2 17

		101					CONTR	OLLED	SUBSTANC	E ARRE	STS							MARIH	ana arri	ESTS			
\		DRU ARRE	STS		Tota	i -			Posses	si on		Sal		. •	Tota	 l		Pos	ession		 :- :-	Sai	ę
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rack			Rate	Rank
SULL IVAH	1986 1985	172.0 153.8	14 10		157.4 102.1	11 11			100.4 85.8	14		3719 7.4	7 35		14.6 51.8	47 10	•	11. 45.				2.9 5.9	
TIDGA	1986 1985	78.4 41.7	33 - 44		49.0 29.8	35 42			27.5 23.8	43 38		15.7 2.0	25 50		29.4 11.7	13 51		11.				17.6 7.9	
TONPKINS	1986 1985	53.7 48.8	43 40		40.0 29.5	42 43			18.3 14.7	50 45		10.3 10.2	32 27		13.7 19.3	49 38		. 9. 18.				4.6	
ULSTER	1986 1985	116.9 109.3	21 18		99.3 82.4	19	•		84.7 59.2	20 19		10.4 19.5	31 14		17.7 26.9	41 22		13. 22.				4.3 4.9	
WARREN	1986 1985	198.2 133.0	9 12		155.0 104.2	12 10	-		144.1 93.5	10 7		9.0 9.0	34 28		43.2 28.8	9 19		61. 19.				1.8	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	63.3 19.4			43.9 15.9	40 51	•		38.7 12.3	32 47		3.5 3.5	49 38		19.3 3.5	33 61		12				7.0 .0	
WAYNE	1986 1985	101.1 98.2	23 22		78.4 74.2	- 25 21	•		55.7 58.3	25 20		21.6 12.6	17 23		22.7 24.0	22 24		12. 14.				10.2 9.1	14 18
WESTCHESTER	1984 1985	327. 5 252.1	5 5		280.9 195.0	6			236.7 157.6	4		27.6 21.1	10 10		46.6 57.1	8		35 43.				10.7 13.8	
WYONING	1986 1985	27.0 27.0	57 55		19.6 7.4	56 59			19.6	40 58		.0 2.5	57 45		7.4 19.7	57 36		7.				.0 4.9	
YATES	1986 1985	84.9 32.6			14.2 23.3	60 45			.0 4.7	- 62 59		14.2 18.6	27 15		70.8 9.3	7 57		14.				56.6 4.7	
NEW YORK STATE	1985	515.5 417.3	NA NA		421.7 296.1	na Na			278.7 193.4	NA NA		113.5 77.0	NÀ NA		93.9 121.1	RM AN	- ·	48				45.5 60.7	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	1,048.2 851.2			870.4 611.7	NA NA			559.7 393.0	NA Na		249.0 164.7	HA NA		177.8 239.5	na Na		76 102			-	100.9 137.1	

[🏄] Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State - Sections 220 and 221).

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ABULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS SY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 &

					DAT VE	RRESTS		
		Tal	al		Felony	,	Misdeaean	ıor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate F	Rank	Rate R	tank
ALBANY	1986 1985	9.6 11.:			.8	17 8	9.0 10.5	20 12
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	5.E 5.2	46		.2 .1	52 52		43 51
BRONX	1986 1985	4.1 4.	58	•	.0	5.5 61	4.1 4.4	54 54
BRODNE	1986 1985	4.7 5.0			.5 .6	36 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		54 55
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	11.1 9.8		•	1.2	3		16 24
CAYUGA	1986 1985	5.8 - 8.1			.4	39 29	5.4 7.6	46 33
СНАИТАИДИА	1986 1985	8.9 8.7			.9 .7	13 20	8.0 8.0	25 28
CHEMUNB	1986 · 1985	5.5 5.3	51 53		.9	14 15	4.6 4.5	52 54
CHENANGO	1986 1985	11.5 11.6			.7	25 16	10.5 10.3	13
CLINTON	1986 1985	9.4 12.1			.B	20 23	0.6 11.4	23
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	7.4 7.9			.4	41	6. 9 7.5	37 35

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

						DHI	ARRESTS		-
	•		Total			Felo	ony	Misdene	anor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	•	10.7 16.4	16		.1	5å 62	10.6 16.4	11
DELAWARE	1986 1995		7.2 8.0	39 34		.4	42 47	6.9 7.8	39 29
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		8.3 9.6	29 20		.7	23 18	7.6 8.9	32 21
ERIE	1986 1985		6.7	40	•	.5 .5	33. 36	6.3	41 42
ESSEX :	1986 1985		11.7 11.9	.10 11	•	.7	10 14	10.8 11.1	10 11
FRANKLIN	1986 1985		13.1 9.3	3 25		.6.	27 40	12.4 8.9	3 23
FULTON	1986 1985		5.9 6.3	45 46	•	.3 .5	46 31	5.6 5.7	44 45
GENESEE	1986 1985		10.2 7.4	17 22		.9	11 35	9.3 9.0	18 20
GREENE	1986 1985		8.1 7.2	32 41		.9 .3	12 44	7.3 6.8	34 39
HAMILTON	1986 1985	- ·	4.5 10.4	42 14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	.0 .5	62 33	6.5 10.1	40 15
HERKIMER	1986 1985		7.4 7.5	37 39		.8 .7	21 17	6.7 6.8	39 40

TABLE 2E DNI FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

										ING	ARRESTS		·		
					Tota	1	•			Felo	ny			Hisdene	anor
COUNTY	-	YEAR			Rate	Rank	•		-	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	•	1986 1985		•	6.2 7.7	43 38					28 25		-	5. s 7. 1	4 5 37
KINGS	•	1986 1985			2.6 3.2	60 59				, 0 , 0	60 59			2. å 3. 2	60 59
rehie		1986 1985			5.5 6.0	49 47	2			1.0	9			4.4 5.2	53 50
LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	-		11.6 13.6	11 4				.5 .5	35 34	•.		11.1 13.1	7
MADISON :		1986 1985			8.4 8.8	2B 26				.4	38 37			7.9 8.3	27 26
KONROE		1986 1985		-	5.5 5.5	50 50			•	,8 .7	22 19			4.7 4.8	51 53
HOXTGOXERY		1986 1985			14.1 9.4	2 24				1.3 .8	2 12			12.8 8.5	2 25
NASSAU		1986 1985			4.5 5.2	56 55					43 45			4.1	55
NEW YORK		1986 1985		•	3.1 3.1	59 60				.0	57 57			3.1 3.1	59 60
NIAGARA		1986 1985			8.0 8.2	35 29				.2	51 48			7. 8 8.0	30 27
ONEIDA		1986 1985			5.6 5.6	48 49		•		.3	45 50			5.3 5.4	48

TABLE 2E DHI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

		,							DMI	ARREST	5			
						Tota	1		 Fel	•			 Misdene	anor
COUNTY	. <u></u>	YEAR				Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	•		Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA		1986 1985				5. I 5. 4	52 51		.2	48 51			4.9 5.2	49 - 49
ONTAREO	••	1986 1985	·			12.0 10.1	5 10		1.7	1 2			10.4 8.9	14 22
ORANGE		1986 1785				9.0 B.0	24 35		1.1	. 7 6			7.9 7.0	26 38
ORLEANS		1986 1985				5.9 4.7	44 57		. 6 1. 1	31 5			5.4 3.6	47 59
OSWE60		1986 1985	•			8.3 8.1	31		.2				B.0 7.8	24 30
OTSEGO		1986 1985				11.9	8		.8	16		- •	11.1 13.2	8
PUTNAM		1986 1985			-	9.6 9.4	20 23		.l .l	54 56			9.5 9.3	17 18
QUEENS		1986 1985				1.9 2.0	62 62		.0				1.9 2.0	62 62
RENSSELAER		1986				9.1	23		.4	40			8.6	22
RICHNOND		1985				9.8 2.6	19 61		.0			•	9.5 2.6	17 61
ROCKLAND		1985				2.7	61 57		.6	30			2.7 3.9	- 61 58 -
ucoupulin		1985		•		6.3	45		.5	.30			5.7	46

TABLE 2E DHI FELONY AND HISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

				·	ING	ARRESTS		
		Tota	al	. <u> </u>	Felo	iny	 Hisdene	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	11.4 10.3	12 17	to the second	.8 .7	19 21	10.6 7.6	12 16
SARATUGA	1986 1985	11.8 13.0	9 7	•	.5	37 42	11.4 12.6	. 6
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	6.5 6.8	41 42		7	26 24	5.8 6.2	42 43
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	11.9 11.9	7 10		.2 .5	50 32	11.7	5 10
SCHUYLER :	1986 1985	8.4 7.4	26 40		.B	18 22	7.6 6.7	31 41
SENECA	1986 1985	9.9 10.4	18 15		1.2 1.2	1	9.8 9.2	21 19
STEUBEN	1988 1985	7.9 6.5	36 44		.B	15 27	7.0 5.8	36 44
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	9.1 10.4	22 16		.1	55 55	9.0	19 14
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	12.0 12.4	. å		1.0	8 26	11.0 11.7	. Q .
TIDGA	1986 1985	8.3 8.6	30 28		- 1.2 1.1	5	7.1 7.5	35 34
TOHPKINS	1996 1985	8.1 7.7	34 37		.2	53 54	7.9 7.6	2B 32

I Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DNI FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY.FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

					**			DMI	ARREST	S		
			Tota	1			-	Fel	ony		Hisde	Beanor .
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank				Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1995		8.1 8.1	33 31				.7			7. 7.	
WARREN	1986 1985		15.3 16.2	1 2				.3	44 53		14. 16.	
HASHINGTON	1986 1985		11.3 13.5	13 5				1.1 1.2			10. 12.	
NAYNE	1986 1985		12.4 13.2	4				.5 .4			11. 12.	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985		5.1 5.8	53 48				.2	49 49	•	4. 5.	
BALHOAR	1986 1985	1	8.4 9.2	27 30				å. 8.			7. 7.	9 29 3 36
YATES	1986 1985		4.6 5.4	55 52		•		1.0			4.	
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985		6.0 6.4	na Na				.4	NA NA		5. 6.	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		2.7 2.9	NA NA				.0			2. 2.	

NDTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -Section 1192).

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

EE! AN	V IN	MICTH	CHTC

					recuni	INDICINEN	15		
			lent		Ot	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Number	Percent			Percent
ALDANY	1986 1985	178 144	38.4 32.1		286 304	61.6 67.9		464 448	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	B 10	14.3 18.2		48 45	85.7 81.8		56 55	100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	3,650 3,738	49.1 56.4		3,778 2,895	50.9 43.6		7,428 6,633	100.0
BROOKE	1986 1985	131 149	27.3 26.9		349 405	72.7 73.1		480 554	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	25 20	22.1 22.0	•	88 71.	77.9 78.0		113 91	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	32 31	31.1 29.8		71 73	68.9 70.2		103 104	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	57 51	23.5 23.3		186 169	76.5 76.7		243 219	100.0
CHENUNG	1986 1985	73 76	29.2 22.4		177 264	70.8 77.8		250 340	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1984 1985	12 17	9.0 30.4		122 39	91.0 69.6		134 56	100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	42 37	28.2 28.9		107 91	71.8 71.1		149 128	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	11 31	21.2 34.1		41 60	78.8 65.9		52 91	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	23 20	24.7 15.5		70 109	75.3 84.5		93 129	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1984 1985	9	32.1 29.6		19 19	67.9 70.4		28 27	100.0 100.0

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					FELONY	INDICTHENTS			
		Vio	lent		Ot	her		To	ita)
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Nusber	Percent		Nuaber	Percen
DUTCHESS	1986	92	24.6		251	75.4		333	100.
	1985	80	21.4		293	78.6		373	100.
ERIE	1986	435	31.1	-	943	68.9		1,398	100.
	1985	473	31.5		1,028	68.5		1,501	100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	15 19	11.7 20.2		113 75	88.3 79.8		128 94	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	- 1986	12	23.1	· · ·	40	76.9	_	52	100.
	1985	14.	17.5		. 66	82.5		80	100.0
FULTON	1984 1985	27 13	32.9 15.7		55 70	67.1 84.3		82 83	100.
BENESEE	1986	22	19.6		90	80.4		112	100.
	1985	28	25.7		81	74.3		109	100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	23 22	27.7 ° 34.4		- 42	72.3 65.6		93 64	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1984 1985	3 1	18.8 16.7		i3 5	81.3 83.3		16	100.0
	1700	1				63.3		٥	100.0
HERKINER	1986 1985	10 15	12.2 24.2		72 47	87.8 75.8		02 62	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	66 65	22.1 23.4		233 213	77.9 76.6		299 278	100.0
KINGS	1986	4,514	52.9		4,025	47.1		8,539	100.0
AIRDS	1785	4,644	59.9		3,107	40.1		7,751	100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	9 5	25.0 13.2		27 33	75.0 86.8		36 38	100.0
		·							
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	43 47	16.4 22.1		219 166	83.6 77.9		262 213	100.0

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

1986 1985

OTSEGO

						FELONY	INDICTHENTS		•.	
		Vic	lent	•			her	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Husber	Percent	•			Percent		Kueber	Percent
HADISON	1984 1985	32 30	23.2 22.4			106 104	76.8 77.6		13B 134	100.0
HONROE	1996 1985	427 447	2 9.9 30.7			1,001 1,010	70.1 69.3		1,428	100.0
NONTGOHERY	1986 1985	21 15	19.4 18.3		• .	93 67	81.6 81.7		114 82	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	961 962	36.0 39.9			1,712	64.0 60.1	•	2,673 2,409	100.0
NEW YORK	- 1984 1985	4,202 4,416	59.3 63.6	1		2,885 2,523	40.7 36.4		7,097 6,939	100.0 100.0
WIAGARA	1986 1985	101 98	29.5 28.3			254 248	71.5 71.7		355 346	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	190 139	33.0 31.6			386 301	67.0 68.4		576 440	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	420 399	31.7 31.4			904 870	69.6		1,324 1,269	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1984 1985	63 49	23.7 22.9			203 165	76.3 77.1		266 214	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1984 1985	152 145	31.2 27.7			335 379	68.8 72.3		487 524	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS ·	1988 1985	30 52	30.3 35.9	•		69 93	69.7 64.1		99 145	100.0 100.0
DSWEGO	1986 1985	37 39	21.9 21.3			132 144	78.1 78.7		169 183	100.0 100.0

22.2 25.9 35 20 77.8 74.1 45 27 100.0 100.0

I Continued on next page.)

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					FELDNY	INDICTHENT	S		
		Vic	lent		Ot	her		To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	<i>.</i>	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
	* <u></u>			•					
PUTNAH	1986 1985	32 15	18.7 13.4		139 97	81.3 86.6		171 112	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986	3,297	43.8		4,223	56.2		7,520	100.0
	1985	2,964	50.5		2,911	49.5		5,875	100.0
RENSSELAER	1984	45	27.1		121	72.9		146	100.0
	1985	521	28.3		132	71.7		184	100.0
RICHHOND	1986	214	41.1		307	58.9		521	100.0
	1985	175	54.5		146	45.5		321	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986	84	19.7		342	80.3		426	100.0
	1985	79	18.0		361	82.0		440	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE .	1986	26	13.5		166			192	100.0
	1985	37	18.7		161	81.3		198	100.0
SARATOGA	1986	49	31.6		106	68.4		155	100.0
	1985	62	30.0		101	62.0		163	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	48	26.2		122	71.8		170	100.0
	1985	59	25.0		177	75.0		236	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986	9	18.8		39	81.3		48	100.0
	1985	. b	28.6		15	71.4		- 21	100.0
SCHUYLER	1984	13	40.6		19	59.4		32	100.0
The state of the s	1985	9	32.1		- 19,	67.9		28	100.0
SENECA	1986	13	17.3		62	82.7		75	100.0
	1985	. 7	17.9		32	82.1		. 39	100.0
STEUBEN	1986	34	21.4		125	78.4		159	100.0
•	1985	34	28.3		86	71.7		120	100.0
SUFFOLK	1986	817	29.2		1,983	70.8		2,800	100.0
	1985	971	32.0		2,061	68.0		3,032	100.0

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

FELONY INDICTHENTS

					-		rctuat	INVICINENT	a 		
	•		Vio	lent	-		Ot	her		To	ital
COUNTY	Year		Number	Percent			Huaber	Percent		Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		49	22.2 32.6	!		172 95	77.8 67.4		221 141	100.0 100.0
TIDGA	1986 1985		19	19.0 9.6			B1 66	81.0 70.4	-	100 73	100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		41 38	24.8 27.7		•	124 99	75.2 72.3		165 137	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985		-51 64	22.4 20.8			177 244	77.b 79.2		228 308	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985		11 13	26.8 26.5	•		36 30	73.2 73.5		41 49	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		18 25	25.7 32.9			52 51	74.3 67.1	•	70 76	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1984 1985		34 34	15.7 17.3			192 163	84.3 82.7		216 197	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985		499 569	35.1 39.7		-	924 864	64.9 60.3		1,423 1,433	100.0 100.0
BADHIK8	1984 1985		17 6	27.0 9.4			46 58	73.0 90.6		63 64	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	÷ .	15 7	29.4 13.7			36 44	70.6 86.3		51 51	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985		21,833 22,000	39.1 44.0		1,	33,977 28,016	60.9 56.0		55,810 50,016	100.0 100.0
HEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		16,115 16,102	44.9 53.0			19,755 14,291	55.1 47.0		35,870 30,393	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

		Canvi	ctions	-	Acqui	ttals	***********	Disai	ssals	*		secuted			ther			otal
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber	Percent			Percent		Number	Percent			Percent			Percent			Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	4,286 4,491	77.9 77.9		20 15	.4		1,165 1,230	21.2 21.3		3 6	.1- :1		28 25			5,502 5,767	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	268 220	71.5 67.7		0	.0		105 103	28.0 31.7		0	.0		2		•	375 325	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	23,735 24,651	66.2 70.5		330 349	1.0		10,486 8,674	29.2 24.8		1,172 1,181	3.3 3.4		149 131			35,872 34,986	100.0 100.0
BROOME	1986 1985	2,347 2,152	74.7 74.6		21 17	.7		678 635	21.6 22.0		0	.0		98 79			3,144 2,883	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUSUS	1986 1985	: 854 728	84.6 82.1		3	.4 .3		148 153	14.7 17.2		0	.0		4	.4		1,010 887	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	641 845	70.8 75.1		9 0	1.0		254 278	28.0 24.7		0	.0		2			908 1,125	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	1,514 1,522	74.3 75.6		13 10	.5		502 476	24.6 23.6		1	.0		9	• •		2,039 2,014	100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	1,047 1,126	74.4 74.9	-	5 7	.4 .5		353 366	25.1 24.4		0	.0	•	2	.3		1,407 1,503	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	524 597	77.9 - 75.5	*	0	.0		143 176	21.2 22.3		0 2	.0	-	6	.9 1.1		673 791	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	814 907	79.5 83.2		8	.8 .1	- 2	192 178	18.8 16.3		0	.0		10	1.0		1,024 1,090	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	571 666	58.6 61.2	ř.	1 2	.1		401 415	41.2 38.1		0	.0		1	.1 .4		974 1,089	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	576 744	56.5 67.1		4	.4		438 359	42.9 32.4		0	.0		2			1,020 1,109	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	466 476	74.9 72.8		1	.2		155 173	24.9 26.5		0	.0		0	0		622 654	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

		Convictio		Arau	ittals	 Ni*=i	ssals	 Not Per	secuted		nı	her		 7,	otal

COUNTY	Year 	Number Per	cent	Number	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Number	Percent		TedauH	Percent		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		59.9 82.0	. 8	.2	1,597 1,713	38.0 36.0	0 2	.0		81 87	1.9	•	4,201 4,753	100.0
ERIE	1986 1985		59.6 63.7	196 212		6,749 6,132	38.7 34.6	75 53	.4		21 43	.1	•	17,945 17,720	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985		75.0 77.4	0 2		139 117	24.i 21.2	0	.0		5 6	,9 1.1		576 553	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985		82.2 83.6	2 2		135 104	17.3 - 16.1	1 0	.1		1 0	.1		779 646	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985		80.B 79.3	10	.2	 120 142	18.5 20.0	3	.0		. 3 2	.5		647 709	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985		80.5 82.1	4	.5	156 123	18.8 17.2	1 2	.1		1 0	.1		830 714	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985		81.9 79.8	5 3	-	117 102	17.1 18.9	0	.0	*	2 3	.3		684 540	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985		82.6 80.4	0	-	8 9	17.4 19.6	0	.0		0	.0		46 46	100.0 100.0
HERKIHER	1986 1985		96.6 98.5	1	.2	75 64	12.6	0	.0		3	1.0	* .	597 619	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		85.9 86.5	. 1		128 113	13.0 12.5	i 2	.i .2		9	.9 .4	-	987 906	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	32,464 29,258	63.1 64.6	348 345		16,015 13,129	31.1 29.0	2,349 2,387	4.6 5.3		272 204	.5 .5		51,44B 45,323	100.0
LEHIS	1986 1985	-98 160	76.0 79.6	0	.0 .5	29 38	22.5 18.9	; • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.0		2 2	1.6		129 201	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	883 903	78.9 81.6	5 2	.4	235 169	20.5 17.5	0	.0		2 8	.7		1,145 1,082	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMFANOR ARRESTS (ADMITS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Contic

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

COUNTY Year		Conviction		Acquit	tals		Disais	sals	 Hot Pen	secuted		 	her		Tn	tal
COUNTY	Voor	Number Perc		Number			Number			Percent			Percent			Percent
COUNT	irai	nusuci Fert		unangi						1 61 66116		wangi	. El CEIIC			. 21 LEHL
MADISON	1986 1985		82.2 83.8	6 0	.7		144 124	16.6 16.0	- O O	.0		5 1	.6		870 773	100.0
MONROE	1986 1985	•	16.4 17.9	101 104	.9		5,750 5,218	49.6 46.7	282 212	2.4 1.9		86 71	.7	•	11,593	100.0
MONTGOHERY	1986 1985		90.9 37.9	4	. b		51 75	7.1 10.4	0 5	.0	•	10 3	1.4		715 721	100.0 100.0
MASSAU	1986 1985		79.8 95.9	122 95	- •9 •6		1,978 1,675	14.4 11.3	187 103	. 1.4		489 219	3.6 1.5		13,758 14,838	100.0 100.0
HEN YORK	1986 1985		66.9 59.4	401 375	.4		30,519 26,566	30.2 26.6	1,667 2,225	1.7 2.2		629 1,448	.B 1.4		101,019 99,895	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985		79.3 78.6	20 36	5 .9		737 775	20.0 20.2	0	.0		. 7 13	.2		3,691 3,844	100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985		93.1 93.2	7 6	.3		403 392	16.3 16.3	2 0	.1		7	.3		2,473 2,411	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1905	•	59.6 60.1	35 38	.5		2,524 2,468	38.9 37.8	3 2	.0		61 98	.9 1.5	· -	6,485 6,576	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1936 1985		82.0 81.7	7 14	.5 1.1		256 204	16.9 15.9	4 12	.3		7 5	.5 .4		1,519 1,281	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1985 1985		58.4 58.2	7 14	.1		1,885 2,102	40.0 40.0		1.		63 78	1.3 1.5		4,707 5,255	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1786 1985		76.3 67.6	0 12	.0 2.9	•	105 115	22.6 28.0		.7		. <u></u> 4 3	.7		465 410	100.0 100.0
OSNEGO	1986 1985		72.5 77.6	7	.5 .5		396 307	26.7 21.0	. i	.1		3 13	.2		1,492 1,464	100.0 100.0
OYSEGO	1986 1985		79.0 B0.3	4 5	.4 .5		188 190	20.2 18.0	0	.0		. 4 12	.4 1.1		933 1,058	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANDR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

							 		 		 _				
		Convi	ctions		Acquit		•	ssals	Not Pro	secuted	Ot	her 		To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Number			Number			Percent	Huaber	Percent	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
PUTNAH	1986 1985	719 665	61.3 61.3		2	.2	. 445 410	38.0 37.8	. 0	.0	6 7	.5	•	1,172 1,085	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	16,996 17,111	64.4 66.9		299 287	1.1	8,756 7,825	33.2 30.5	139 282	.5 1.1	195 119	.7		26,385 25,624	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,431	77.1 76.9		8	.4 .5	415 433	22.4 22.3	0	.0	1	.i .i		1,855 1,941	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	2,286 2,209	44.2 42.9		13 15	.3	2,246 2,255	43.4 43.8	584 631	11.3 12.3	46 36	.9 .7	*.	5,175 5,146	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	1,824	66.6 71.5		2 4	.1	791 761	32.5 27.7	- 0 0 0	.0	20 16	.8 .6		2,437 2,743	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,044 807	74.1 67.9		5 9	.4 .8	353 366	25.1 30.8	0	.0	6	.4 .5		1,408 1,188	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,297 2,356	74.3 76.5		9 9	.2	784 716	25.3 23.2	1 0	.0	5 5	.2		3,093 3,080	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,727 1,565	77.0 76.3	•	7 7	.3	475 448	21.2	2 5	.1	31 25	1.4		2,242 2,050	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	369 339	70.0 72.7		1	.2	149 120	28.3 25.8	0	.0	8 2	1.5		527 466	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	224 218	83.9 84.2		0	.0	, 43 39	16.1 15.1	0	.0	0	.0		267 259	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	358 293	65.6 62.2		1	.2	186 172	34.1 36.5		.0	1 3	.2		548 471	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	810 707	75.4 71.7		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.7	254 266	23.7 27.0	i	.i .i	 5 5	.5 .5		1,074 986	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	13,939 14,261	76.8 77.4		117 76	.6 .5	3,988 3,982	22.0 21.6	3 - 4	.0	96 91	.5 .5		18,143 18,434	100.0 100.0
														•	

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS &

сониту у		Convi	ctions		Acquit	tals		Disai	ssals		Not Pro	secuted	Ot	her 	10	otal
COURTY	Year	Number	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Nueber	Percent	Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	1,253 1,300	72.2 67.2		3 .	.3		470 626	27.1 32.4	•	0	.0	8 5	.5	1,736	
T106A	1986 1985	472 494	79.2 79.4		5 0	.0		116 125	19.5 20.1		0	.0	3 2	.5	596 622	
TONPKINS	1986 1985	706 802	51.5 60.8		1 11	.1		638 483	46.5 36.6		0 5	.0	27 19	2.0 1.4	1,372 1,320	
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,847 1,718	69.3		. 8 12	.3 .5		832 741	30.8 29.9		3	.1	10 7	.4	2,700 2,479	100.0 100.0
NARREN	1986 1985	1,103 1,111	71.9 72.9		2	.1	* .	428 402	27.9 26.4		0	.0	2	.2	1,934 1,524	100.0 100.0
KOTONIHEAN	1986 1985	545 597	80.0 81.0		0 2	.0		135 133	19.8 18.0		0	.0	1 5	.7	601 737	100.0 100.0
NAYNE	1986 1985	1,311	71.5 77.1		9	.5		511 366	27.9 22.3		0 2	.0	3	.2	1,834 1,642	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	8,423 8,192	72.1 72.3		55 71	.5 .6		2,824 2,846	24.2 25.1		11 <i>b</i> 67	1.0	 260 161	2.2 1.4	11,678 11,337	100.0 100.0
HACKLER	1986 1985	197 195	70.4 73.9	•	0	.4		81 67	28.9 25.4		. 0	.4	1	.4	280 264	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	156 144	85.7 84.2		0 2	1.2		25 23	13.7		0	.0	1 2	.5 1.2	182 171	100.0 190.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	248,728 251,609	67.0 69.3		2,257 2,261	.6		110,615 99,03B	29.B 27.3		4,402 7,220	1.8 2.0	3,027 3,136	.9	371,229 363,264	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	143,093 142,521	65.1 67.5		1,391 1,371	d		68,033 58,460	30.9 27.7		5,911 6,706	2.7 3.2	1,491 1,938	.7 .9	219,919 210,996	100.0 100.0

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

TABLE 4B DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1985

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

			Convi	ctions			Acqui	ttals		 Disnis	sals	 ,-	Not Pro	secuted		 6	ther		*****	To	tal
COUNTY 6		Year	Humber	Percent			Number	Percent		Number	Percent		Number	Percen	- t -	Number	Percent	٠		Number	Percent
ALBANY		1986 1985	1,302 1,255	80.7 79.3			19 11	1.2		282 300	17.5 19.0		3		2	8	.5 1.0			1,614 1,582	100.0
ALLEGANY		1986 1985	77 47	87.5 75.8			0 0	.0		10 - 15	11.4 24.2		0		0	 1 0	1.1		•	89 62	100.0 100.0
BRONX		1986 1985	13,254 13,187	64.7 67.9			293 296	1.4 1.5		6,182 5,193	30.2 26.8		670 677	3. 3.		82 736				20,481 19,412	100.0 100.0
BROOME		1986 1985	550 534	80.8 79.9			4 7	.6 1.0		105 108	15.4 - 16.2		0	•	0	22 19				186 846	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS		1986 1985	16B 117	85.7 90.7			1 2	.5 1.6		25 10	12.8 7.8		0			2				196 129	100.0 100.0
CAYUBA		1986 1985	181 206	84.6 82.1			2	.9		29 45	13.6 17.9		0	•	0	2				214 251	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA .		1986 1985	326 270	92.7 85.5			0	.0		67 46	17.0 13.6		0 -1	•		1 2	.5 .6	. •		394 339	100.0 100.0
CHENUNG	_	1986 1985	276 283	87.9 90.1			2 5	.7 1.6		20 26	7.1 8.3		0	•	0	. 0	.3 .0			307 314	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO,		1986 1985	72 107	79.1 87.0			0 3	.0 2.4		16 8	17.6 6.5		0	•		·				91 123	100.0 100.0
CLINTON		1986 1985	213 175	79.2 B7.9			B 1:	3.0		42 22	15.6 11.1		0		0	1	2.2 .5			269 199	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA		1986 1985	172 186	70.8 68.4			0	.4		70 79	28.9 29.0		0	•		1 6	.4 2.2			243 272	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND		1986 1985	144 132	88.3 78.1	-	•	0	.0	-	19 36	11.7 21.3		0	•	0	 . 1	.6			163 169	100.0
DELAWARE		1986 1985	107 92	87.0 78.0		• .	0 2	1.7		16 - 24	13.0 20.3		0	•	0	0	.0		-	123 118	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4B DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

				 		 				·						
COUNTY Year		Convic		 Acqui		 Dismis			Not Pros			Ot	her		To	otal
COUNTY		Number			Percent	Hueber			Husber			Kuaber	Percent		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	728 800	68.2 70.5	2 2	.2	323 315	30.2 27.8		0	.0		15 18	1.4		1,06B 1,135	
ERIE	1986 1985	2,780 2,738	57.3 59.5	96 89	2.0 1.9	1,888 1,707	38.9 37.1		74 44	1.5		- 14 66	.3 1.4	. •	4,852 4,600	
ESSEX	1986 1985	102 73	76.1 80.2	0	.0	29 17	21.6 18.7		0	.0		3 1	2.2 1.1		134 91	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	100 95	84.7 90.5	0 2	.0 1.9	161 8	13.6		. 0	.B		1 0	.0		118 105	
FULTON	1986 1985	:129 169	96.3 88.9	1 0	.7	4 20	3.0 10.5	• •	. 0	.0 .5		0	.0 .5		134 190	
GENESEE	1986 1985	107 131	98.4 92.9	0	.7	13	10.7 -5.7		1	.0 .7		0 1	.7	· .	121 141	100.0
GREENE	. 1986 1985	147	84.0 82.1	3 - 2	1.7	25 19	14.3 15.4		0	.0		0	.0 .8		175 123	100.0 100.0
· HAMILTON	1986 1985	16 11	100.0 73.3	. 0	.0	· 0	.0 26.7		0	.0		00	.0		16 15	100.0 100.0
HERKIMER	1986 1985	137 113	91.9 89.0	0	.0	 12 14	8.1 11.0		0	.0		0	.0		149 127	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	246 226	82.8 87.3	0	.0 1.2	49 21	16.5 8.3		i 2	.3 .8		1 3	.3 1.2		297 253	100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	18,550 17,440	61.6 64.0	324 323	1.1	9,347 7,460	31.1 27.4		1,711 1,882	5.7 6.9		168 2,006	6 7.4		30,100 27,229	
LEM18	1986 1985	46 39	95.8 90.7	. 0	.0 2.3	i 2	2.1 4.7		-· 00	.0	•	1	2.1		48 43	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	154 94	92.2 87.9	. 2·	1.2	11 10	6.6 9.3		0	.0	•	0 2	1.9	•	167 107	100.0

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

· DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

				~~~~~						 		 				
•	NNTY Year				Acqui				ssals	Not Pro		01	ther		Ţ	otal
COUNTY		Number				Percent			Percent	•	Percent	Husber	Percent		Number	Percent
MADISON	1986 1985	143 117	86.7 86.7		2	1.8		16 17	9.7 12.6	0	.0		1.8		165 135	
HONROE	1986 1985	1,710	63.2 64.6		39 51	1.4 2.0		643 595	23.B 23.5	274 209	10.1 8.3	39 250	1.4	•	2,705 2,530	100.0 100.0
MONTGOHERY	1986 1985	. 112 B9	94.9 80.9		1 2	.8 1.9		5 12	. 4.2 10.91	0 5	.0 4.5	0 7	.0 6.4		118 110	100.0
HASSAU	1986 1985	3,524 3,746	72.7 82.9		57 46	1.2	•	648 452	13.4 10.0	180 . 98	3.7 2.2	440 274	7.1 .6.1		4,849 4,518	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	20,399 21,778	56.1 60.3		312 318	.9 .9		14,667 13,172	40.3 36.4	758 647	2.1 1.8	228 870	.6 2.4		36,364 36,130	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1965	650 681	79.8 80.0		7 10	.9 1.2		154 156	18.9 18.3	O	.0	4	.5 .5		815 851	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	507 529	86.4 70.6		2	.5 .0		71 51	12.1 8.7	2 0	.0	4	.7		587 584	100.0 100.0
ONONDABA	1986 1985	1,474	72.9 72.8		10 18	.9 1.0		505 464	25.0 24.8	i 1	.0 .1	25 26	1.4		2,023 1,871	100.0
CNTARIO	1786 1785	271 202	93.4 88.6	. •	3	1.0		11 12	3.8 5.3	3	1.0 3.9	2 11	.7 4.8		290 228	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	761 890	67.8 68.8		4 7	.4		- 341 368	30.4 28.4	2 1	.2 .3	15 27	1.3		1,123 1,294	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	130 94	85.5 71.8		0	.0 7.6		18 24	11.8 18.3	1 2	.7 1.5	3	2.0 2.3		152 131	100.0 100.0
OSNEGD	1986 1985	248 244	87.6 92.1		3	1.1		31 12	11.0 4.5	0	.0		3.0		283 265	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	- 1986 1985	121 133	82.3 77.3		3 1	2.0		21 31	14.3 18.0	0	.0 .8	2 7	1.4 4.1	•	147 172	100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

			•	Canvi	ctions		•	ittals			Dismi	ssals			cuted		01	her		To	tal	
COUNTY		Year		Number	Percent			Percent		1		Percent			ercent	- •	- Number	Percent		Nuaber	Percent	
PUTNAN "		1786 1785		103 97	52.6 51.6		0· 2	.0 1.1	•		91 86	46.4 45.7		0	.0		2 3	1.0 1.6		196 188	100.0 100.0	
QUEENS		1986 1985		9,203 7,739	61.9 62.7		23B 209	1.6			5,201 4,138	35.0 33.5		105 177	.7 1.4		132 252	2.0		14,879 12,338	100.0 100.0	
RENSSELAER		1986 1985		319 332	81.4 81.4		<u>2</u> 1	.5			71 74	18.1 18.1		0	.0		0	.0		392 408	100.0 100.0	
RICHHOND		1986 1985		1,004 967	49.1 47.3		9	.4			865 887	42.3 43.4		130 152	6.4 7.4		38 193	1.9 8.9		2,046 2,046	100.0 100.0	
ROCKLAND		1986 1985		526 567	74.3 76.4		2 2	.3			175 171	24.7 23.0		0	.0		5 2	.7 .3	•	708 742	100.0 100.0	
ST. LAWRENCE		1986 1985		95 69	67.4 68.3		0	.0 1.0			44 29	31.2 28.7		0	.0		2 2	1.4		141 101	100.0 100.0	
SARATOGA		1986 1985		438 389	83.7 78.6		3 1	.6			80 103 -	15.3 20.8		0	.2		1 2	.2		523 495	100.0 100.0	
SCHENECTADY		1986 1985		414 374	82.8 81.1		4	.6 .9		•	. 71 69	14.2 15.0		3	.4		10 14	2.0 3.0		500 461	100.0 100.0	
SCHOHARIE		1986 1985		85 59	82.5 79.7		1 2	1.0 2.7			13 12	12.6 16.2	. •	Ö. O	.0		- 4 1	3.9 1.4		103 74	100.0 100.0	
SCHUYLER		1986 1985		52 52	88.1 94.5		0	.0			7	11.9 5.5		0	.0		. 0	0.		59 55	100.0 100.0	
SENECA		1986 1985		98 50	76.6 74.6		1	.8 1.5		**	29 16	22.7 23.9		0	.0		0	.0	-	128 67	100.0 100.0	•
STEUBEN	: ¹	1986 1985	 	175 149	74.8 76.8		4 5	. 1.7 2.6			53 37	22.6 19.1		1	.4 .5		. 1	.4 1.5		234 194	100.0 100.0	
SUFFOLK	•	1986 1985		3,638 3,774	79.7 B0.5	•	44 45	1.0			845 824	18.5 17.6		2	.0 .0		34 45	.7 1.0		4,563 4,688	100.0 100.0	

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY, ARRESTS (ADULTS DNLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

				 				 1		U.A. D							
			ictions	Acqui			Disai	55d15		Not Pros				her			otal
COUNTY	Year	Rusber	Percent	Number	Percent		Husber	Percent		Number I	ercent		Number	Percent		Husher	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	360 335	77.1 69.6	2	.4		194 144	22.3 29.9		0	.0		- Í 2	.2		467 481	100.0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	116 94	87.2 88.7	2 0	1.5	•	15 11	11.3		0	.0		0	.0	• •	133 106	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	109 157	61.6 66.2	0	.0 1.7		. 57 66	32,2 27.8		0 2	.0		11 10	6.2 4.2		177 237	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	464 434	74.2 74.3	4	.6		152 140	24.3 24.0		3 1	.5 .2		2 6	.3 1.0		625 584	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	: 221 179	72.5 75.2	0 2	.0		84 57	27.5 23.9		0	.0.		0	.0		305 238	100.0 100.0
NASHINGTON	1986 1985	135 125	82.8 86.2	0 2	1.4		27 14	16.6 9.7		0	.0	•	1 4	2.8		163 145	
WAYHE	1986 1985	217 · 234	80.7 91.1	0	.0		52 21	19.3 8.2	*	0	.0		0	.0		269 257	100.0 100.0
NESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2,711 2,767	76.7 79.1	30 43	.8 1.2		677 600	19.2 17.2		51 37	1.4		65 86	1.8 2.5	•	3,534 3,496	100.0
MADMINO	1986 1985	62 70		0	.0		7	10.0 7.9		0	.0	•	. 1 0	1.4		70 76	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	51 49	96.2 90.7	. 0	.0		1 4	1.9 7.4		0	.0		.1 1	1.9		53 54	100.0 100.0
HEN YORK STATE	1986 1985	90,738 87,207	63.8 66.5	1,555 1,558	1.1		44,469 38,400	31.3 28.6		3,977 3,967	2.8 3.0	•	1,409 4,999	1.0 3.7		142,148 134,164	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	62,419 61,115		1,178 1,155	1.1		3å,270 30,855	34.9 31.8		3,374 3,535	3.2 3.6		648 4,047	.6 4.2		103,886 97,172	100.0 100.0

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

TABLE 5A FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISGEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

#### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

			Felo												
				Vic	olent		Ot	her		Ni sde	neanor	Lesser D	ffenses	, To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber I	Percent		Percent		Nuaber	Percent			Percent	Nusber	Percent	Husber	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	313 · 288	7.3 6.4	82 61	1.9		231 227	5.4 5.1		1,939	45.2 44.5	2,034 2,203	47.5 49.1	4,286 4,491	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	32 17	11.9	2	.7		30 15	11.2		173 142	64.6 64.5	63 61	23.5 27.7	26B 220	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	4,987 4,353	21.0 17.7	2,249 2,184	9.5 8.9		2,738 2,169	11.5 9.6		12,800 13,109	53.9 53.2	5,948 7,189	25.1 29.2	23,735 24,651	100.0 100.0
BROOME	1986 1985 ;	315 266	13.4 12.4	67 71	2.9 3.3	•	248 195	10.6 9.1		1,577 1,448	67.2 67.3	* <b>455</b> 438	19.4 20.4	2,347 2,152	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	63 41	7.4 5.6	8	.9		55 · 37	6.4 5.1		507 490	59.4 67.3	. 284 197	33.3 27.1	854 728	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	B1 73	12.6 8.6	18 11	2.8 1.3		63 62	7.3		391 551	61.0 65.2	169 221	26.4 26.2	641 845	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	121 111	8.0 7.3	29 24	1.9 1.6		92 87	6.1 5.7		972 968	64.2 63.6	421 443	27.8 29.1	1,514 1,522	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	190 197	18.1 17.5	52 45	5.0 4.0		138 152	13.2 13.5		684 762	65.3 67.7	173 167	16.5 14.8	1,047 1,126	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO ,	1984 1985	18 23	3.4 3.9	5 6	1.0		13 17	2.5 2.8		275 351	52.5 58.8	231 223	44.1 37.4	524 597	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	63 55	7.7 6.1	7	.9		56 51	6.9 5.6		371 435	45.å 48.0	380 417	46.7 46.0	814 907	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	43 64	7.5 9.6	10 7	1.8 1.1		53 57	5.8 8.6		258 330	45,2 49.5	270 272	47.3 40.8	571 666	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1984 1985	73 72	12.7 9.7	13 13	2.3 1.7		60 59	10.4 7.9		217 268	37.7 36.0	296 404	49.7 54.3	576 744	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	23 24	4.9 5.0	2 10	2.1		21 14	4.5 2.9	•	25 <i>6</i> 248	54.9 52.1	187 204	40.1 42.9	466 476	100.0 100.0

TABLE SA FELDNY, HISDEHEAHOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDNY AND MISDEHEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & HISDEREAMOR ARRESTS .

					Fel															
				Total				lent		01	her		Hisde	Aeanor		Lesser 0	Iffenses		· To	ital
COUNTY	Ye				Percent			Percent		Nusber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	19 19	36		229 318	9.1 10.7		47 84	1.9		182 252	7.2 8.6	• .	1,132 1,260	45.0 42.8		1,154	45.9 46.5		2,515 2,947	100.0 100.0
ERIE	19 19			813 887	7.6 7.9		242 246	2.3 2.2		571 641	<b>5.</b> 3 5.7		3,496 3,930	32.7 34.8		6,395 6,463	59.7 57.3	•	10,704 11,280	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	19 19			25 22	5.0 5.1		2	.5 .9		23 18	5.3 4.2		199 222	46.1 51.9	· · · · ·	20B 1B4	48.1 43.0		<b>432</b> 428	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	19		:	44 42 -	6.9 7.8		8 8	.9 1.5		38 34	5.9 6.3		362 298	56.6 55.2		234 200	36.6 37.0		640 540	100.0 100.0
FULTON	19 19			44 68	8.4 12.1		13 13	2.5 2.3		31 55	5.9 9.8		238 254	45.5 45.2		241 240	46.1 42.7		523 562	100.0 100.0
6ENESEE	19 19			70 77	10.5 13.1		10 18	1.5 3.1		40 59	9.0 10.1		 259 269	38.8 45.9		339 240	50.7 41.0		668 586	100.0 100.0
GREENE .	19 19		-	45 26	8.0 6.0		10 6	1.8 1.4		· 35	6.3 4.6	-	274 263	48.9 61.0		241 142	43.0 32.9		560 431	100.0 100.0
HAHILTON	19 19			2	23.7 8.1		2 0	5.3 .0		7	18.4 8.1		23 23	60.5 62.2			15.8 29.7		38 37	100.0 100.0
HERKIHER ,	19 17			34 36	6.6 6.6		7	1.4		27 32	5.2 5.8		288 327	55.6 59.7		196 185	37.B 33.8		518 548	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	19 -19			161 131	19.0 16.7		34 27	4.0 3.4		127 104	15.0 13.3		399 408	47.1 52.0		28B 245	34.0 31.3		848 784	100.0
KINGS	19 19			6,394 5,566	19.7 19.0		3,254 2,953	10.0 10.1		3,140 2,613	9.7 8.9		11,560 10,943	35.6 37.4		14,510 12,749	44.7 43.6		32,464 29,258	100.0 100.0
LENIS	19 19			23 12	23.5 7.5		. 6 1	6.1	•	17 11	17.3 6.9		37 58	37.8 42.5	-	80 38	38.8 50.0		98 160	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	19 19			100 62	11.1		17 10	1.9		93 52	9.2 . 5.9		456 459	50.5 52.0		347 352	38.4 41.0		903 883	100.0 100.0

TABLE SA FELONY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1996 - Continued

PROTECTIONS	PERMITTING	ERDN	EL: UNA	ŧ.	MISCEMEANOR	ACCEPTE	

						 Felony												
-				Tota	1	Vic	ilent		Dt	her	* .	Misde	aeanor	Lesser [	Iffenses		Ta	otal
COUNTY		Year		Number	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nusber	Percent	Humber	Percent		Number	Percent
MADISON		1986 1985		66 88	9.2 13.6	16	2,2 2.8		50 70	7.0 10.8		427 327	59.7 50.5	222 233	31.0 36.0		715 64B	100.0 100.0
MONROE		1986 1985		760 841	17.9 15.1	272 267	5.1 4.8		688 574	12.8 10.3		2,307 2,670	42.9 47.9	2,107 2,061	39.2 37.0		5,374 5,572	100.0
HONTGOKERY		1784 1985		55 69	8.5 10.9	- 7 7	1.1		48 62	7.4 9.8		270 272	41.5 42.9	<b>325</b> 293	50.0 46.2		650 634	100.0 100.0
MASSAU		1984 1985	; -	1,678 1,515	15.3 11.9	584 543	5.3 4.3		1,094 972	10.0 7.6		4,527 6,099	41.2 47.8	4,777 5,133	43.5 40.3		10,982 12,747	100.0 160.6
NEW YORK		1986 1985		8,146 7,499	12.0 10.8	3,031 3,250	4.5 4.7		5,115 4,249	7.6 6.1		40,817 41,667	60.4 60.1	18,640 20,115	27.6 29.0		67,603 69,281	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA		1986 1985		135 169	4.6 5.6	33 39	1.1		102 130	3.5 4.3	7. 1	983 1,100	33.6 36.4	1,809 1,751	61.8 59.0		2,927 3,020	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA		1784 1785		241 215	11.7 10.7	97 75	4.2 3.7		154 140	7.5 7.0		1,045 1,080	50.9 53.8	768 711	37.4 35.4		2,054 2,006	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	-	1986 1985		929 834	24.1 21.1	261 248	6.8 6.8		586 586	17.3 14.0		1,534 1,539	39.7 39.0	1,399 1,577	36.2 39.9		3,862 3,950	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO .		1986 1985		22 <del>9</del> 179	18.4 17.1	33 34	2.7 3.3	•	196 145	15.7 13.9	•	<b>697</b> 562	54.0 53.7	319 305	25.6 29.2	•	1,245 1,046	100.0 100.0
ORANGE		1786 1785	-	277 378	10.1 12.4	59 96	2.1 3.1		21B 282	7.9 9.2		998 1,227	36.3 40.1	1,474 1,452	53.6 47.5		2,749 3,057	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS		1986 1985		60 74	16.9 26.7	14 16	3.9 5.8		46 58	13.0 20.9	·	201 153	56.6 55.2	94 50	26.5 18.1		355 277	100.0 100.0
OSWEGO		1984 1985		11B 120	11.0 10.6	19 28	1.8 2.5		99 92	9.2 8.1		452 513	42.0 45.2	505 503	47.0 44.3		1,975 1,136	100.0
OTSE60		1986 1985	*	37 44	5.0 5.2	7 8	.9		36 36	4.1 4.2		215 247	29.2 29.1	485 559	65.8 65.8		737 850	100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEHEANOR ARRESTS .

				Felony											
		Total			lent	-	ther		Nisde	neancr		Lesser (	ffenses	To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number Pe	rcent		Percent		Percent		Huaber	Percent		Husber	Percent	Number	Percent
PUTNAH	1986 1985	1 9	.1	0 2	.0	. 1 7	.1 1.1		203 232	28.2 34.9	•	515 424	71.6 63.8	719 665	100.0 100.0
DUEENS	1986 1985 .	5,028 3,511	29.6 20.5	1,767 1,281	10.4 7.5	. 3,261 2,230			7,081 7,724	41.7 45.1		4,887 5,876	28.8 34.3	16,996 17,111	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	102 138	7.1 9.2	19 37	1.3 2.5	101 83			577 558	40.3 37.4		752 797	52.6 53.4	1,431 1,493	100.0 100.0
RICHMOND	1986 1985 :	290 269	12.7 12.2	125 138	5.5 6.2	165 131			922 925	40.3		1,074 1,015	47.0 45.9	2,286 2,209	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	312 351	19.2 17.9	50 59	3.1 3.0	262 292		•	665 760	40.9 38.7		647 851	39.8 43.4	1,624 1,762	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	4	.4	1 0	.1	- 3 4	3 .5		468 371	44.8 46.0		572 432	54.8 53.5	1,044 807	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	98 94	4.3	21 30	1.3	77 64			818 728	35.4 30.9		1,381 1,534	60.1 65.1	2,297 2,356	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	138 166	8.0 10.6	35 41	2.0 2.6	103 125			803 717	46.5 45.8		786 682	45.5 43.6	1,727 1,565	100.0 100.0
SCHONARIE .	1986 1985	34: 28 '	9,2 8.3	2 8	.5 2.4	32 20			158 140	42.8 41.3		177 171	48.0 50.4	369 339	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	20 14	B. 9 6. 4	7 3	3.1 1.4	13 Lt			144 159	64.3 72.9		60 . 45	26.8 20.6	224 218	100.0 100.0
-SENECA	1986 1985	48 23	13.4 7.8	9	2.5 .3	39 22		•	213 191	59.5 65.2		97 79	27.1 27.0	358 293	100.0 100.0
STEUBEX	1985 1965	B1 69	10.0 9.8	9 14	1.1	, 72 55			382 355	47.2 50.2		347 283	42.8 40.0	810 707	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1985 1985	1,871 1,970	13.4 13.8	505 570	3.6 4.0	1,366 1,400			4,812 4,515	34.5 31.7		7,256 7,776	52.1 54.5	13,939 14,261	100.0

TABLE SA 👚 FELONY, MISDEHEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

					 Felony					 				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
			Tota	1	 Vic	lent		Ot	her	Misde	eeanor		Lesser O	)ffenses	<b>T</b>	otal
COUNTY	Year		Number	Percent	Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	•	Humber	Percent	Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		136 142	10.9	26 19	2.1 1.5		110 123	8.8 9.5	574 578	45.8 44.5	•	543 580	43.3 44.6	1,253 1,300	100.0 100.0
TIOSA	 1986 1985		61 49	12.9	7	1.5 1.8		54 40	11.4 8.1	30 <i>6</i> 297	64.8 60.1	•	105 148	22.2 30.0	472 494	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	:	54 102	7.6 12.7	13 20	1.8 2.5		41 82	5.8 10.2	241 313	34.1 39.0		411 387	58.2 48.3	706 802	
ULSTER	1986 1985		153 197	8.3 11.5	36 39	1.9 2.3		117 159	6.3 9.2	1,021 913	55.3 53.1		673 608	36.4 35.4	1,847 1,718	100.0 100.0
NARREN	1986 1985		33 33	3.0 3.0	11 6	1.0		22 27	2.0 2.4	507 499	46.0 44.9		563 579	51.0 52.1	1,103 - 1,111	100.0
NASHINGTON	1986 1985		34 48	6.2 8.0	· 7	1.3		27 44	5.0 7.4	304 200	55.8 46.9		207 269	38.0 45.1	545 597	100.0 100.0
HAYNE	1986 1985		127 117	9.7 9.2	23 16	1.8		104 101	7.9 8.0	752 699	57.4 55.2		<b>432</b> 450	33.0 35.5	1,311 1,266	
NESTCHESTER	1996 1985		1,17i 1,405	13.9 17.2	368 535	4.4 6.5		803 870	9.5 10.6	3,992 3,385	47.4 41.3		3,260 3,402	38.7 41.5	B,423 B,192	
нуонено	1986 1985		33 30	15.2 16.9	4 2	2.0 1.0		26 31	13.2 15.9	84 95	42.6 48.7		83 67	42.1 34.4	197 195	
YATES	1986 1985		35 39	22.4 27.1	9 5	5.8 3.5		26 34	16.7 23.6	87 75	55.8 52.1		34 30	21.8 20.8	156 144	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985		37,108 33,669	14.9	13,671 13,264	5.5 5.3		23,437 20,405	9.4 8.1	119,736 121,797	47.7 48.4		92,884 96,143	37.3 38.2	248,729 251,609	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		24,848 21,199	17.4 14.9	10,426 9,806	7.3 6.9	•	14,422 11,393	10.1 8.0	73,186 74,374	51.1 52.2		45,059 46,948	31.5 32.9	143,093 142,521	

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		 Felo	ony									*****		
			tal		olent	0	ther		Hisde	meanor		Lesser [			Te	otaì
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent	Number	Percent		Nu±ber	Percent			Percent		Number	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	303 278	23.3 22.2	82 61	4.9	221 217	17.0 17.3		862 888	66.2 70.8		137 89	10.5 7.1		1,302 1,255	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	28 16	36.4 34.0	2 2		26 14	33.8 29.8		49 29	63.6 61.7		0 2	.0 4.3		77 47	100.0 100.0
DRONX	1984 1985	4,934 4,314	37.2 32.7	2,249 2,184	17.0 16.6	2,685 2,130		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6,099 6,174	46.0 46.8		2,221 2,699	16.9 20.5		13,254 13,187	100.0 100.0
BROOME	1986 1985 :	297 254	54.0 47.6	67 71	12.2 13.3	230 183	41.8 34.3		237 248	43.1 46.4		16 32	2.9 4.0		550 534	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	58 36	34.5 30.8	. 8 4	4.8	50 32	29.8 27.4		107 70	63.7 59.8		3 11	1.8 9.4		168 117	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	70 66	3B.7 32.0	18 11	9.9	52 55	28.7 26.7	•	98 133	54.1 64.6		13 7	7.2 3.4		181 206	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	115 101	35.3 34.8	29 24	8.9 8.9	. 86 77	26.4 26.6		204 175	62.6 60.3		7 14	2.1 4.8		326 270	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNS	1986 1985	182 190	65.9 67.1	52 45	18.8 15.9	130 145	47.1 51.2		79 83	28. <i>6</i> 29.3	*	15 10	5.4 3.5		276 283	100.0 100.0
CHENANSO ,	1986 1985	16 20	22.2 18.7	5 6	6.9 5.6	11 14	15.3 13.1		47 79	65.3 73.8		9 8	12.5 7.5		72 107	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	59 47	27.7 26.9	7	3.3 2.3	52 43	24.4 24.6		140 115	65.7 65.7	-	14 13	6.6 7.4		213 175	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	40 57	23.3 30.6	10 7	5.8 3.8	30 50	17.4 26.9		108 111	62.8 59.7		24 18	14.0 9.7		172 186	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	54 51	37.5 38.6	13 13	9.0 9.8	<b>41</b> 38	28.5 28.8		80 75	55.6 56.8		10 6	6.9 4.5		144 132	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1985 1985	22 24	20.8 26.1	2 10	1.9	20 14	18.7 15.2		76 64	71.0 69.6		9 4	8.4° 4.3		107 92	100.0 100.0

TABLE 58

### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

				Fe	lony								•		
			tal		iolent		her		Hisde	neanor		Offenses		To	tal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		r Percent		Percent		Huober	Percent		r Percant		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	211 292	27.0 36.5		7 <b>5.5</b> 4 8.0	164 228	22.5 28.5		434 416	59.6 52.0	9			728 800	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	762 820	27.4 29.9	24 24		520 574	18.7 21.0		1,508 1,527	54.2 55.8	51 39			2,780 2,738	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	23 21	22.5 28.8		2 2.0 4 5.5	21 17	20.6 23.3		68 50	66.7 68.5	1	1 10.8 2 2.7		102 73	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	37	37.0 38.9		6 6.0 8 8.4	31 29	31.0 30.5		61 51	61.0 53.7		2 2.0 7 7.4		100 95	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	41 64	31.B 37.9		3 10.1 3 7.7	20 51	21.7 30.2		81 97	62.0 57.4		7 5.4 8 4.7		129 169	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985	45 69	42.1 52.7		0 9.3 B 13.7	35 51	32.7 38.9		54 62	50.5 47.3		7.5 0 .0		107 131	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	40 25	27.2 24.8		0 6.8 6 5.9	30 19	20.4 18.8		76 65	51.7 64.4	3			147 101	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	2	56.3 27.3		2 12.5 0 .0	7	43.8 27.3	•	7 8	43.8 72.7		0.0		. 16 11	100.0 100.0
HERKIHER ,	1986 1985	32 32	23.4 28.3		7 5.1 4 3.5	25 28	18.2 24.8		89 70	65.0 61.9				137 113	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	146 121	59.3 53.5		4 13.8 7 11.9	112 94	45.5 41.6		92 103	37.4 45.6		8 3.3 2 .9		246 226	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1786 1785	6,363 5,545	34.3 31.8	3,25 2,95		3,109 2,592	16.8 14.9		6,579 6,559	35.5 37.6	5,60 5,33			18,550 17,440	100.0 100.0
LEHIS	1786 1985	23 12	50.0 30.8		6 13.0 1 2.6	17 11	37.0 28.2		19 26	41.3		4 8.7 1 2.6		46 39	100.0 100.0
LIVINĠSTON	1986 1985	98 45	57.1 47.9		7 11.0 0 10.6	71 35	46.1 37.2		64 47	41.6		2 1.3 2 2.1		154 94	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS &

				Fel		 									*******	
		Tn			olent	 nt!	her		ži sáe	peanor		Lesser (	)ffenses		Ţg	otal
DOMETO						 ~~~~										
COUNTY	Year	Witwoer	Percent	HUGDER	Percent	luacer	Percent		Huaper	Percent		Hunder	Percent		nuader	Percent
MADISON	1786 1785	49 75	34.3 64.1	16 18	11.2 15.4	33 57	23.1 48.7		82 41	57.3 35.0		12 1	8.4		143 117	100.0
MONROE	1984 1985	907 800	53.0 49.0	272 267	15.9 16.3	635 533	37.1 32.6		643 674	37.6 42.5		140 140	7.4 8.6		1,710	100.0 100.0
KONTOONERY	1986 1985	50 66	44.6 74.2	7	6.3 7.9	43 59	30.4 66.3		56 20	50.0 22.5		3	5.4 3.4	· · · · · ·	112 89	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1984 1985	1,502 1,396	44.9 37.3	584 543	16.6 14.5	998 853	28.3 22.0		1,600 2,056	45.4 54.9		342 294	9.7 7.8		3,524 3,746	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 - 1985	8,097 7,458	39.7 34.2	3,631 3,250	14.9 14.9	5,066 4,208	24.B 19.3		8,970 9,850	44.0 45.2		3,332 4,470	16.3 20.5		20,399 21,77B	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	130 160	20.0 23.5	39 33	5.1 5.7	97 121	14.9 17.8		383 438	58.9 64.3		137 93	21.1 12.2		650 681	100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	216 191	42.6 36.1	87 75	17.2 14.2	129 116	25.4 21.9		271 302	53.5 57.1		20 36	3.9 6.8		507 529	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	987 795	60.2 58.3	261 248	17.7 18.2	626 547	42.5 40.1		511 496	34.7 36.4		76 72	5.2 5.3		1,474	100.0
ONTARIO ,	1986 1985	199 149	73.4 73.8	33 34	12.2 16.0	146	61.3 56.9		69 47	25.5 23.3		9	1.1 3.0		271 202	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	264 368	34.7 41.3	59 96	7.8 10.8	205 272	26.9 30.6		389 431	51.1 48.4	•	108 91	14.2 10.2		761 890	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	56 65	43.1 69.1	14		42 49	32.3 52.1	•	71 25	54.6 26.6		<b>4</b>	2.3 4.3		130 94	100.0 100.0
OSWEED	1986 1985	112 108	45.2 44.3	19 28	11.5	93 80	37.5 32.8		126 124	50.8 50.8	•	10 12	4.9	•	246 244	100.0 100.0
OTSE60	1986 1985	36 41	29.8 30.8	7 8		29 33	24.0 24.8		76 78	62.8 58.4		9 14	7.4 10.5		121 133	100.0 100.0

TABLE 58 FELONY, HISDEHEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

### CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

						ony								-					
			tal		Vic	lent		Oth	er			Hisde	seanor		Lesser (	lffenses		To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Number	Percent	Nue	ber	Percent			Kuaber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent
PUTNAN	1984 1985	1 8	1.0 8.2		0 2	.0 2.1		i 6	1.0			72 73	69.9 75.3		30 16	29.1 16.5		103 97	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	4,886 3,396	53.1 43.9		1,767 1,281	1= 7		119 115	33.9 27.3			3,00B 2,849	32.7 36.8		1,309 1,494	14.2 19.3		9,203 7,739	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	93 126	29.2 38.0		19 37	6.0 11.1		74 89	23.2 26.8	•		177 179	55.5 53.9		49 27	15.4 8.1		319 332	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1984 1985	281 : 266	28.0 27.5		125 138	12.5 14.3		156 128	15.5 13.2			473 497	47.1 51.4		250 204	24.9 21.1		1,004 967	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	297 335	56.5 59.1		50 59	9.5 10.4		247 276	47.0 48.7			177 173	33.7 30.5		52 59	9.9 10.4		526 567	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		4.2 4.3		1 0	1.1		3. 3	3.2 4.3			B0 59	84.2 85.5		11 7	11.6 10.1	•	95 69	100.0
SARATOGA	1984 1985	84 86	19.2 22.1		21 30	4.8 7.7		63 56	14.4 14.4			279 238	63.7 61.2		75 65	17.1 16.7		438 389	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1988 1985	137 156	33.1 41.7	÷	35 41	8.5 11.0		102 115	24.6 30.7		•	239 194	57.7 51.9	•	38 24	9.2 6.4	•	414 374	100.0
SCHONARIE ,	1986 1985	30 26	35.3 44.1		<b>2</b> B	2.4 13.6		28 18	32.9 30.5			48 29	56.5 49.2		7	8.2 6.8		B5 59	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1965	18 14	34.6 26.9		7 3	13.5 5.8		11 11	21.2 21.2			34 34	65.4 65.4	,	0	7.7		52 52	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	42 16	42.9 32.0		9 1	9.2 2.0		33 15	33.7 30.0			49 32	50.0 64.0		7 2	7.1 4.0		9B 50	100.0 100.0
STEUDEN	1986 1985	70 59	40.0 39.6		9 14	5. i 9. 4		61 45	34.9 30.2			100 85	57.1 57.0		5 5	2.9 3.4		175 149	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,555 1,670	42.7 44.3		505 570	13.9 15.1		050 100	28.9 29.1			1,417	39.0 36.7		666 719	18.3 19.1		3,638 3,774	100.0 100.0

TABLE 58 FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

# CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

					Felo	 υαγ	 			 							
		To	tal			olent	 Ot	her	• .	Hisde	aeanor		Lesser ü	Henses	To	otal	
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent	Number	Percent			Percent		Number	Percent	 Husber	Percent	
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	117 126	32.5 37.6		26 19	7.2 5.7	91 107	25.3 31.9		198 166	55.0 49.6		45 43	12.5 12.8	360 335	100.0 100.0	
TIOGA	1986 1985	55 46	47.4 48.9		7	6.0 9.6	4B 37	41.4 39.4		56 45	48.3 48.9		5 2	4.3 2.1	116 94	100.0 100.0	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	45 78	41.3 49.7		13 20	11.9 12.7	32 58	29.4 36.9		- 61 77	56.0 49.0		3 2	2.8 1.3	109 157	100.0 100.0	
ULSTER	1986 1995	137 : 170	29.5 39.2		36 39	7.8 7.0	101 131	21.8 30.2		273 226	58.8 52.1	. •	54 36	11.4	464 434	100.0 100.0	
WARREN	1986 1985	<b>30</b> <b>30</b>	13.6 16.8		11 6	5.0 3.4	19 24	8.6 13.4		166 132	75.1 73.7		25 17	11.3 9.5	221 179	100.0 100.0	
MOTOMINEAM	1986 1985	30 44	22.2 35.2		7 4	5.2 3.2	23 40	17.0 32.0		96 75	71.1 60.0		- 9 6	6.7 4.8	135 125	100.0	
HAYNE	1986 1985	91 95	41.9	•	23 16	10.6 6.8	68 79	31.3 33.8		115 134	53.0 57.3		11 5	5.1 2.1	217 234	100.0	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,043 1,261	38.5 45.6		368 535	13.6 19.3	675 726	24.9 26.2		1,456 1,311	53.7 47.4		212 195	7.8 7.0	2,711 2,767	100.0 100.0	
MACHINE "	1986 1985	26 28	41.9 40.0		4 2	6.5 2.9	22 26	35.5 37.1		35 41	54.5 58.6		1	1.6	62 70	100.0 100.0	
YATES	1986 1985	32 37	62.7 75.5		9 5	17.6 10.2	23 32	45.1 65.3		19 11	37.3 22.4		0	.0 2.0	51 49	100.0 100.0	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	35,490 32,269	39.3 36.2		13,671 13,264	15.1 14.9	22,019 19,025	24.3 21.3		39,198 39,976	43.2 44.8		15,850 16,942	17.5 19.0	90,73B 89,207	100.0 100.0	
HEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,564 20,980	39.4 34.3		10,426 9,806	16.7 16.0	14,138 11,174	22.7 19.3		25,134 25,932	40.3 42.4		12 ₁ 720 14,203	20.4 23.2	62,418 61,115	100.0 100.0	

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year 	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
ALBANY	1985	3.7	15.4	8.3	12.8	53.4	6.5	100.0
	1985	3.7	12.8	7.6	13.3	57.8	4.7	100.0
ALLEGANY	1986	1.1	10.1	7.3	21.6	44.4	13.4	100.0
	1985	1.4	10.5	6.4	17.3	50.0	14.5	100.0
DRONX .	1986	9.1	40.4	3.0	<b>8.2</b>	25.7	13.7	100.0
	1985	7.7	39.8	1.5	8.5	23.7	18.8	100.0
BROOME	1986	3.5	13.8	3.8	13.4	34.8	30.6	100.0
	1985	3.2	14.5	3.7	12.2	36.1	30.3	100.0
CATTARAUSUS	1986	1.2	12.6	2.1	9.3	64.6	10.2	100.0
	1985	1.4	14.7	1.9	7.1	64.4	10.4	100.0
CAYUGA	1986	6.2	19.3	3.7	5.3	46.0	19.3	100.0
	1985	3.1	17.4	3.9	3.9	47.7	24.0	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1786 1785	1.3	13.9 12.5	5.7 4.1	10.4 11.5	52.4 55.8	16.1 14.2	100.0 100.0
CHEKUNG	1986	7.2	13.8	5.8	18.9	39.0	15.3	100.0
	1985	6.3	12.7	4.8	16.8	43.3	14.3	100.0
CHENANGO	1986	1.3	12.8	4.2	11.6	49.0	21.0	100.0
	1985	1.5	11.4	4.9	15.1	44.9	22.3	100.0
CLINTON	1984	2.7	13.0	2.0	13.4	52.6	16.3	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.4	2.1	7.7	62.4	13.3	100.0
COLUKBIA	1984	4.6	20.3	3.9	9.3	53.6	9.4	100.0
	1985	5.7	19.2	5.0	7.7	53.8	8.7	100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	2.3 2.7	14.9 11.7	<b>4.2</b> 2.7	12.5 13.3	54.5 58.7	11.6 10.7	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1984	.4	12.4	3.6	<b>9.9</b>	52.1	21.5	100.0
	1985	.6	9.5	4.4	8.8	55.7	21.0	100.0

# SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
DUTCHESS	1986	1.9	15.9	4.3	11.5	57.3	9.1	100.0
	1985	2.2	14.8	5.5	11.1	59.7	6.8	100.0
ERIE	1986	3.1	17.5	3.3	13.5	40.7	21.9	100.0
	1985	3.1	19.3	2.6	13.5	40.1	22.3	100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	1.2	8.8 7.2	2.1 1.9	7.4 8.6	61.8 62.9	18.8 17.5	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986	.9	13.4	5,2	7.7	58.1	14.5	100.0
	1985	1.9	13.3	3,9	13.0	49.8	18.1	100.0
FULTON	1986	3.8	12.8	5.4	10.1	47.8	20.1	100.0
	1985	5.0 :	13.2	5.3	12.3	49.6	14.6	100.0
SENESEE.	1986	.4	6.6	9.4	19.1	54.8	10.6	100.0
	1985	3.2	8.0	8.0	21.8	49.3	9.6	100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	2.7 1.9	12.5 12.3	2.3	7.5 9.0	65.4 67.7	7.6 6.4	100.0 100.0
HARILTON	1986	2.6	7.9	23.7	7.9	52.6	5.3	100.0
	1985	2.7	16.2	.0	5.4	56.8	18.9	100.0
HERKINER	198 <u>6</u> 1985	1.0 1.5	15.1 14.4	1.9	8.2 6.8	62.4 60.6	14.5 14.6	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986	4.5	14.9	5.8	13.2	52.5	9.2	100.0
	1985	2.9	14.4	7.5	10.8	55.0	9.3	100.0
KINGS	1986	10.3	28.1	4.1	8.1	21.2	28.2	100.0
	1985	9.4	25.6	4.3	9.8	27.9	23.0	100.0
FENTS	1986	5.1	6.1	16.3	15.3	42.9	14.3	100.0
	1985	1.3	8.1	5.0	15.0	56.3	14.4	100.0
LIVINBSTON	1986	2.0	10.3	5.2	9.4	54.0	17.0	100.0
	1985	1.2	9.2	6.8	7.8	58.3	16.6	100.0

### SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
HADISON	1984	1.3	8.1	5.0	14.7	57.9	13.0	100.0
	1985	2.9	8.6	5.1	13.0	61.6	8.8	100.0
MONROE	1986	6.3	16.3	5.9	14.3	33.3	23.8	100.0
	1985	5.4	13.8	4.0	14.9	38.8	21.4	100.0
MONTGONERY	1986	1.7	10.6	2.9	10.3	59.7	14.8	100.0
	1985	1.9	7.8	4.6	8.2	59.1	16.4	100.0
NASSAU	1986	8.2	17.0	6.1	17.6	31.3	21.8	100.0
	1985	4.2	16.9	6.3	18.3	31.1	23.3	100.0
MEN YORK	1986	5.9	62.3	i.i	4.7	7.7	18.4	100.0
	1985	5.2	57.5	.8	4.6	9.1	22.7	100.0
MIAGARA	1986	2.2	20.2	2.4	10.7	50.1	14.5	100.0
	1985	2.2	22.0	2.8	10.9	48.8	13.3	100.0
ONEIDA	1986	4.3	15.6	3.5	9.9	53.0	13.7	100.0
	1985	3.2	16.5	3.7	11.8	52.7	12.1	100.0
ONONDASA	1986	7.4	16.6	3.7	21.3	31.1 v	20.1	100.0
	1985	6.9	15.0	3.6	17.8	35.1	21.4	100.0
OIRATKO	1986	3.4	13.2	<b>6.3</b>	9.3	52.6	15.3	100.0
	1985	4.6	14.8	5.0	7.7	55.3	12.6	100.0
ORANGE	1986	3.1	12.9	4.3	11.7	60.5	7.5	100.0
	1985	3.5	13.1	5.1	14.1	55.9	8.3	100.0
ORLEANS	198å	7.6	13.5	9.7	19.7	36.1	14.4	100.0
	1985	6.5	11.6	14.8	17.0	34.7	15.5	100.0
OSWEGO	1984	2.8	10.7	7.1	12.0	53.4	14.2	100.0
	1985	2.1	13.8	5.9	10.1	57.9	10.1	100.0
OTSE60	1986 1985	1.6	5.3 8.6	2.7 2.4	5.8 6.2	73.9 71.9	10.6 9.8	100.0 100.0

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

# SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year 	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
PUTNAM	1984	.0	5.1	1.1	11.3	79.3	3.2	100.0
	1985	.6	7.2	1.1	10.8	77.7	2.6	100.0
QUEENS	1986	14.7	28.4	6.2	11.1	18.2	21.4	100.0
	1985	9.4	34.2	3.7	10.5	24.7	17.4	100.0
RENSSELAER .	1986	2.0	18.3	2.3	9.9	52.2	15.4	100.0
	1985	2.3	14.2	3.3	13.1	53.1	13.9	100.0
RICHHOND	1986	7.3	24.8	1.7	11.6	23.1	31.5	100.0
	1985	5.6	21.4	1.7	13.0	32.5	25.8	100.0
ROCKLAND	1788	6.5	13.1	8.5	11.6	53.7	6.5	100.0
	1785	4.4 :	10.7	9.0	12.1	57.8	6.0	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	.2	6.6 5.9	1.4 1.2	4.6 4.6	62.5 61.3	24.7 26.8	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	1.1	12.2 9.6	1.6 1.1	<b>5.</b> 7 5.6	70.2 74.1	9.2 8.3	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	2.6	17.3	3.6	11.6	54.1	10.7	100.0
	1985	2.7	19.0	2.0	12.8	54.6	8.8	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986	1.4	9.8	7.6	7.0	66.7	7.6	100.0
	1985	3.5	4.1	4.1	5.3	72.3	10.6	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986. 1985	3.1 1.4	7.1 7.8	9.8 5.5	17.4 26.6	52.2 50.0	10.3 8.7	100.0
SENECA	1986	3.4	9.5	5.6	7.0	48.0	26.5	100.0
	1985	1.0	11.3	5.1	B.2	53.6	20.8	100.0
STEUREN	1986 1985	1.4	11.1 10.9	4.6 4.8	9.8 10.6	60.5 54.3	12.7 17.1	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986	3.9	11.0	<b>4.7</b>	13.2	46.6	20.6	100.0
	1985	4.3	7.7	5.1	13.3	55.7	11.7	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

				Local Jail				
COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
SULLIVAN	1986	2.7	23.5	3.9	13.2	44.4	12.3	100.0
	1985	3.5	19.7	4.1	13.9	47.0	11.8	100.0
FIOGA	1984	3.0	8.5	2.3	14.0	56.4	15.9	100.0
	1985	2.0	8.3	3.0	9.9	61.3	15.4	100.0
OMPKINS	1986	2.0	6.1	1.0	8.8	61.3	20.8	100.0
	1985	3.5	7.7	2.6	15.0	47.1	24.1	100.0
LSTER	1986	2.5	16.1	2.5	11.2	56.7	11.0	100.0
	1985	3.0	14.5	2.8	10.1	59.1	10.5	100.0
VARREN	1986 1985	1.0	14.8 14.0	.8 1.9	6.4 6.2	61.2 60.0	15.8 17.4	100.0
ASHINGTON	1786 1785	2.2	12.8 12.1	3.3 3.2	8.4 6.2	57.2 64.3	16.0 13.9	100.0 100.0
AYNE	1786	1.2	13.4	3.1	9.6	53.7	19.0	100.0
	1985	1.3	10.3	2.9	12.0	53.3	20.1	100.0
ESTCHESTER	1986	4.4	24.3	5.0	14.3	41.9	10.1	100.0
	1985	6.9	16.5	4.4	15.4	47.0	9.8	100.0
BAIKOY	1986	2.0	8.1	6.6	22.3	53.8	7.1	100.0
	1985	5.1	8.7	8.2	20.0	53.8	4.1	100.0
TES	1986	3.8	10.3	11.5	13.5	35.9	25.0	100.0
	1985	6.3	13.2	9.0	11.8	33.3	26.4	100.0
EN YORK STATE	1986	6.5	33.1	3.5	9.5	28.6	18.8	100.0
	1985	5.6	31.4	3.1	9.8	31.3	19.8	100.0
EW YORK CITY	1986	8.5	46.3	2.7	6.9	15.2	20.4	100.0
	1985	7.0	44.5	2.0	7.2	17.7	21.5	100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in cultiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

		State P	rison		Loca	l Jail	Local and Pr	Jail obation	Prob	ation	-	Fin	e <b>s</b>		. 0	ther	 Ta	tal
COUNTY	Year 	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cany	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv
<b>UTBUMA</b>	1986 1985	50.2 58.3	KA NA		1.3		31.9 25.3	6.4 6.4	16.3 15.3	12.5 13.2		.0	57.6 61.8	•	.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ALLEBANY	1986 1985	9.4 17.6	NA NA		9.4 5.9		31.3 29.4	6.4 4.4	43.B 47.1	18.6 14.9	•	3.1	50.0 54.2		3.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
BRONK	1986 1985	43.1 43.9	na Na		15.3 16.8		13.4	.2	27.3 31.1	3.1 3.6		.2	32.5 20.8		.7		100.0	100.0
BROOME	1984 1985	26.3 25.6	na Ra	:	10.2 12.8		21.0 21.1	1.2	38.4 36.5	9.5 8.8		2.5 .8	39.8 41.1		1.6 3.4		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	15.9 24.4	NA NA		15.9 17.1		14.3 19.5	1.1	<b>52.4</b> 39.0	5.8 5.2		1.6	69.7 68.3		.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CAYUBA	1986 1985	49.4 35.6	NA NA	•	19.8		 . 21.0 35.6	1.3	7.9 13.7	4.6 3.0		.0	52.7 52.2		.0 1.4		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	16.5 26.1	NA NA		17.4 19.0		38.0 27.9	2.9 2.2	22.3 25.2	9.6 10.4		3.3 2.7	56.6 60.0		2.5 .0		100.0	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	39.5 36.0	NA NA		14.7 12.2		19.5 14.7	2.8 2.5	23.2 33.5	18.0 13.2	• • •	1.6 2.0	47.3 52.0		1.6 1.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	38.9 39.1	HA NA		22.2 26.1		38.9 30.4	3.0 3.8	4.3	12.1 15.5		.0	50.8 46.7		.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CLINTÓN	1986 1985	34.9 30.9	NA NA		19.0 14.5		19.0 18.2	.5 1.1	25.4 30.9	12.4		1.6 3.6	56.9 66.2		.0 1.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	60.5 59.4	NA HA		18.8 14.1		11.6	3.2 3.7	6.3 7.3	7.3 7.8		.0 3.1	58.0 59.1		.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	17.8 27.8	NA NA		23.3 11.1		21.9 18.1	1.6	32.9 40.3	9.5 10.4		.0	62.4 65.0		4.1 2.8		100.0	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	8.7 12.5	NA NA		13.0 16.7		43.5 33.3	1.6 2.9	17.4 33.3	9.5 7.5	:	.0 4.2	54.9 58.4		17.4		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

	•	Stal	e Prison		Local		• .		Jail obation		Prob	ation		Fin	PS			her		Tot	tal	
COUNTY	Year	Felor Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Fel any Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	•	Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	20. 20.			9.2 8.9	16.6 15.5		27.1 32.9	2.1 2.2		42.B 37.0	8.3 7.9		.0	1.53 8.44		.4	10.0 7.4		100.0 100.0	100.0	
ERIE	1986 1985	41. 39.			13.3 14.0	17.9 18.7		17.3 10.4	2.1 2.0		27.1 34.4	12.4 11.7		5 .9	44.0 43.4		.5 .B	23.6 24.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	
ESSEX	1986 1985	20. 36.			9.1	8.4 7.1		20.0 9.1	1.0		40.0 45.5	5.4 6.7	-	.0	65.6 66.3		4.0	19.7 18.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	13. 23.			13.6 11.9	13.4 13.5		43.2 21.4	2.3 2.4		29.5 40.5	6.0 10.6		.0	62.4 54.0		.0 2.4	15.4 19.5		100.0	100.0	
FULTON	1986 1985	45. 41.			11.4 14.7	12.9 13.0		29.5 25.0	3.1 2.6	•	13.6 17.6	9.8 11.5		.0 1.5	52.2 54.3		.0	21.9 16.6		100.0 100.0	100.0	
GENESEE	1986 1985	4. 24.			1.4 3.9	7.2 8.6		64.3 39.0	3.0 3.3		28.6 32.5	16.9 20.2		.0 .0	61.2 56.8	*	1.4	11.7 11.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	
GREENE	1986 1985	33. 30.			13.3 26.9	12.4 11.4		24.4 3.8	.4 .5		26.7 34.6	5.8 7.4		2.2 3.8	70.9 71.9		.0	10.5 8.9		100.0	100.0 100.0	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	11. 33.			.0 66.7	10.3 11.8		77.B .0	6.9		11.1	6.9 5.9		.0	69.0 61.8		.0	6.9 20.6		100.0 100.0	100.0	
HERKIMER	1986 1985	14. 22.			26.5 22.2	14.3 13.9		26.5 19.4	1.0	. •	23.5 30.6	3.9 5.1		8.8 5.6	66.1 64.5		.0	15.5 15.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	
JEFFERSON	1986 1985 -	23 17			16.1 17.1	14,6 13.5	-	23.6 35.9	1.6		34.2 25.2	8.3		2.5 1.5	64.2 65.7		.0	11.4		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	
KINGS	1986 1985	52 49		•	9.7 B.7	32,6 29,6		17.1 19.0	9		19.8 21.3	5.2 7.1		.B 1.0	26.2 34.2		.4 6	35.1 28.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	
LEWIS	1986 1985	21 • 16			13.0 16.7	4.0 7.4		56.5 33.3	4.0 2.7		B.7 33.3	17.3 13.5	•	.0	56.0 60.8		.0	18.7 15.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	18. 17.			17.0 24.2	7.2 8.0		28.0 45.2	2.4 3.9		34.0 11.3	6.4 7.5	•	0.	60.8 62.7		1.0 1.8	21.3 17.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0	

#### SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

			Prison	·	Local			obation		ation		Fin	25		Ot	her			tal
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv
HADISON	1786 1985	13.6 21.6	NA Na		10.6 11.4	7.9 8.2	37.9 27.3	1.7 1.6	36.4 37.5	12.5 9.1		.0	63.8 71.3		i.5 2.3	14.2 9.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
MONROE	1986 1985	35.3 35.9	NA NA	•	13.3 11.5	16.9 14.2	23.9 27.8	2.0 2.1	26.5 23.3	11.6 13.4		.1 .4	40.6 45.4		.9 1.1	28.8 25.0		100.0	100.0
NONTGORERY	1986 1985	20.0 17.4	NA NA		16.4 11.6	10.1	23.6 34.8	1.0	38.2 31.9	7.7 5.3		1.9	65.0 66.0		.0 1.4	16.1 18.2		100.0 100.0	100.0
NASSAU	1988 1985	40.8 35.6	- NA NA	:	13.4 14.5	17.6 17.3	25.5 25.3	2.5 3.7	17.8 22.6	17.6 17.7		1.0 1.3	36.7 35.1		1.5	25.5 26.3		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
NEH YORK	1986 1985	48.9 48.3	NA NA		20.2 20.8	68.0 62.0	7.9 6.3	.2 .1	21.8 22.9	2.4		3.	8.7 10.2		1.0 1.2	20.8 25.3		100.0	100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	47.4 38.5	NA NA	1 -	17.0 24.3	20.3 21.9	5.2 3.6	2.3 2.7	27.4 33.1	9.9 9.6		1.5	52.4 51.7		- 1.5 .6	15.1 14.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	36.5 30.2	NA NA		12.4 16.3	16.0 16.5	22.8 23.7	.9	27.0 28.8	7.7 9.7		.8	60.0 59.0	•	.4 .5	15.4 13.5		100.0	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	30.6 32.9	NA NA		14.2 18.6	17.3 14.1	11.8 12.9	1.1	39.6 33.5	15.4 13.7	•	.6	40.7 44.4		3.1 1.8	25.5 26.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	19.3 26.8	NA NA		22.3 27.9	11.1 12.1	28.4 20.7	1.3	25.8 21.2	5.6 5.0		2.2 1.7	64.0 66.3		3.1 1.7	19.0 14.9		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	30.3 28.0	NA NA		8.3 5.0	13.4 14.2	29.2 26.5	1.5	30.7 39.4	7.4 10.4		.4	67.3 63.8		1.1 1.1	9.2 9.4		100.0	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1984 1985	45.0 24.3	NA NA		6.7 1.4	14.9 15.3	25.0 41.9	5.4 4.9	23.3 31.1	19.0 11.8		.0	43.4 47.3		.0 1.4	17.3 20.7	-	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
OSNE80	1984 1985	23.7 20.0	HA NA		10.2 15.0	10.B 13.7	33.9 40.8	3.8 1.8	28.0 21.7	0.01 8.8		.B 1.7	59.9 64.6		3.4 .8	15.6 11.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
OTSE60	1984 1985	32.4 22.7	NA NA		8.1 11.4	5.1 8.4	27.0 34.1	1.4	29.7 29.5	4.6 5.0	-1 1	.0	77.9 75.8		2.7 2.3	11.0 10.2		100.0	100.0 100.0

TABLE 60 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND HISDEREANOR AND LESSER DFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

		State			Local				obation			ation	-	Fin	es		Ot	her		To	tal
COUNTY	Year 	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser • Conv	-	Felony Cany	Aisd & Lesser Coav		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Cony
PUTNAM	1984 1985	.0	NA NA		.0	5.2 7.3	-	.0	1.1	•	100.0 55.6	11.1 10.2		.0	79.4 78.8		.0	3.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1984 1985	49.8 45.8	NA NA	•	7.3 9.8	37.3 40.5		19.3 15.2	.7 .7		22.6 27.2	6.2		.7 1.3	25.5 30.8	•	.4	30.3 21.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	27.5 25.4	NA NA		16.7 14.5	18.4 14.2		13.7 15.9	1.4		42.2 43.5	7.4 10.0		.0 .7	56.2 58.5		.0	16.6 15.4		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	57.6 46.1	NA NA	:	3.8 8.2	27.9 23.2		12.4 11.2	.2		25.2 31.2	9.7 10.5		.7 2.2	26.3 36.7		.3 1.1	36.0 29.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1988 1985	34.0 24.9	NA NA		8.3 2.6	14.3 12.4		29.5 37.6	3.5 2.8		27.9 34.8	7.8 7.1		.3	66.4 70.5		.0	8.1 7.2	•	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ST. LAURENCE	1986 1985	50.0 25.0	na Na	•	.0	6.6 6.0		50.0 50.0	1.3		.0	4.6		.0 25.0	62.7 61.5		.0	24.8 26.9		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	25.5 29.8	NA NA		20.4 26.6	11.8 8.9		28.6 18.1	.4		24.5 25.5	4.9		1.0	73.3 77.1		.0	9.6 B.7		100.0 100.0	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1988 1985	32.6 25.9	HA NA		11.6 12.7	17.0 19.8		23.9 13.3	1.9		31.2 46.4	9.9 8.9		.7 1.2	58.8 60.9		.6	11.6 9.7		100.0	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	14.7 42.9	NA NA		17.6 10.7	9.0 3.5		55.9 35.7	2.7 1.3		11.8 10.7	6.6 4.8		.0	73.4 78.8		.0	8.4 11.6		100.0 100.0	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	35.0 21.4	na Na		.0 14.3	7.8 7.4		45.0 57.1	6.4 2.0		20.0	17.2 27.9		.0	57.4 53.4		.0	11.3 9.3		100.0	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	25.0 13.0	NA NA		16.7 13.0	8.4 11.1		31.3 60.9	.4	•	22.9 13.0	4.5 7.8		2.1 .0	55.2 58.1		2.1	30.3 22.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
STEUDEN	1784 1785	13.8 23.2	KA NA		11.1 18.8	11.1		38.3 40.6	.a .9		34.6 15.9	7.0		2.5	66.9 60.2		.0 1.4	14.1 19.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	198ů 1985	29.3 30.8	NA NA		10.2 12.0	11.2 9.6		25.9 25.8	1.4		24.5 21.9	11.4 11.9	·	4.7 4.8	53.1 63.9		5.5 4.6	22.9 12.9	-	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

			State I		 Local	Jail		obation	 Prob	ation		Fin	ES		Ot	her	~~~~	Tol	tal
	COUNTY	Year 	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	* · · · · ·	Felony Cony	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser . Conv
	SULLIVAN	1986 1985	25.0 31.7	NA NA	16.2 12.0	24.4 20.6	21.3 23.9	1.8	36.0 30.3	10.4 11.9		1.5 1.4	49.6 52.6	•	.0	13.8 13.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	TIOGA	1986 1985	23.0 20.4	NA NA	4.9 14.3	9.0 7.6	9.8 24.5	1.2	60.7 34.7	7.1 7.2		1.6	64.5 67.6		.0 2.0	18.2 16.9		100.0	100.0
	TOMPKINS	1986 1985	25.9 27.5	NA NA	5.6	6.1 7.9	5.6 13.7	. b 1. 0	51.9 46.1	5.2 10.4		5.6	66.0 54.0		5.6 5.7	22.1 26.7		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
•	ULSTER	1986 1985	30.1 26.4	. NA NA	13.7 10.2	16.4 15.1	19.6 17.8	.9	34.6 44.7	9.0 5.6		1.3	61.7 66.7		.7	11.9		100.0 100.0	100.0
B-68	WARREM	1986 1985	33.3 18.2	NA NA	18.2 30.3	14.7 13.5	15.2 27.3	.4 1.1	33.3 24.2	5.6 5.7		.0	63.1 61.9		.0	16.3 17.9		100.0 100.0	100.0
	WASHINGTON	1986 1985	35.3 4.2	NA NA	23.5 43.8	12.1 9.3	14.7 12.5	2.5 2.4	26.5 39.6	7,2 3.3		0.	61.1 69.9		.0	17.0 15.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	WAYHE	1986 1985	12.6 13.7	NA NA	18.1 17.1	12.9 9.7	26.0 24.8	.7	38.6 41.9	6.5 9.0		4.7 1.7	59.0 58.6		.0	21.0 22.1		100.0	100.0 100.0
	WESTCHEBTER	1986 1985	31.9 40.2	na Na	9.7 5.2	26.6 18.9	25.4 17.2	1.7 1.8	32.5 36.8	11.3		.5 .4	48.6 56.7		.1	11.7 11.7		100.0 100.0	100.0
	AAOUINE	1986 1985	30.3	NA NA	20.0 15.2	6.0 7.4	26.7 24.2	3.0 4.9	40.0 27.3	19.2 18.5		3.0	63.5 64.2		.0	8.4 4.9		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	YATES	1986 1985	17.1 23.1	na Na	11.4 12.8	9.9 13.3	42.9 25.6	2.5 2.9	28.6 35.9	9.1 2.9		2.6	46.3 44.8		.0	32.2 36.2		100.0	100.0 100.0
	NEW YORK STAT	1985 1985	43.4 41.8	na Na	13.3 13.9	36.6 34.0	17.2 15.6	1.1	24.4 26.6	6.9 7.2		.8 .9	33.5 36.0		1.0 1.1	21.9 21.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	48.9 47.2	na Na	13.7 14.8	53.1 49.7	13.7 11.4	.4	22.6 25.0	3.6 4.1	· .	.5 .7	18.3 20.7		,7 .9	24. å 25. l		100.0 100.0	100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

• - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						INVESTIG	ATIONS					PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED	
		INTAKE +		Total		Family C		Criminal				Tota		Family C		Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank		Rate R	ank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
		**************************************						*						*****			*****
ALDANY	1986 1985	441.1 49 456.2 50		1,159.5 1,084.7	9	196.5 206.4	18 18	963.0 878.2	8			219.1 114.8	11 17	15.2 20.8	23 21	202.9 94.1	17 17
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,176.0 20 903.7 32		475.2 396.8	56 58	108.9 70.7	56 56	364.3 326.1	54 54	•		.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
DRONX	1986 1985	523.5 45 643.2 42		637.8 602.7	41 42	91.3 88.7	50 50	546.5 514.0	32 33			106.4 104.0	17 19	104.8 100.8	5 5	1.8 3.2	35 35
DROOME	1986 1985	1,364.3 15 1,388.1 12		889.5 919.8	19 12 -	256.2 291.8	7	633.3 627.9	26 20		•	1.9	50 51	1.9	43 40	.0	42 42
CATTARAUBUS	1986 1985	272.0 59 354.4 54		582.6 479.3	46 52	171.2 169.9	26 26	411.5 309.4	50 56			7.0 10.5	45 46	3.5 1.2	41 42	3.5 7.4	30 30
CAYUGA	1986 1985	543.2 43 632.4 43	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	455.6 398.2	57 56	102.6 81.4	<b>52</b> 52	352.9 316.8	<b>55</b> 55			1.3	51 52	.0	44	1.3	40 40
CHAUTAURUA	1985 1985	881.2 36 854.2 35		883.3 805.7	21 21	175.4 205.9	19 19	707.9 599.7	19 21			156.5 153.2	15 13	34.2 45.8	18 [*] 12	122.3 107.5	14 14
CHEHUXO	1986 1985	2,223.2 3 1,996.9 3		1,230.9 1,195.8	8 7	253.0 233.7	13 13	977.9 962.0	. 7 6			70.7 132.6	23 14	3.3 2.2	42 38	67.4 130.4	10 10
CHENAN60	1986 1985	288.0 56 264.2 59		728.0 820.6	35 20	74.0 76.1	55 55	654.0 744.6	24 15			40.0 30.0	32 35	40.0 36.0	14 17	.0	42 42
CLINTON	1985 1985	1,124.4 23 1,107.0 24		848.5 825.0	27 19	315.3 447.5	2	533.3 377.6	34 47			6.2 14.7	46 42	4.9 11.0	39 28	1.2 3.7	34 34
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	285.0 57 354.8 55		482.7 551.1	55 47	133.4 80.9	53 53	349.3 470.3	56 40			.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
CORTLAND	1986 1985	3,067.5 2 2,861.2 2	•	1,042.2 1,078.2	10 10	213.1 236.3	12 12	829.1 841.9	13 10			.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,817.4 5 1,546.2 7		626.3 443.6	43 55	208.1 87.4	51 51	418.3 356.2	49 50			229.3 219.7	<b>9</b> 8	229.3 219.7	3 2	.0	42 42

TABLE 74-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984 - Continued

							INVESTI	BAT LONS				PRE-D	ISPOSITION	SUPERVI	SION CASES	RECEIVED	)
		INTA	(Ε ±		Tota		Family (		Crisinal			Tot		Family (	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,066.2 1,081.9	27 26		576.3 632.3	47 41	139.4 126.2	37 37	436.9 506.1	47 34		69.7 50.2	24 27	40.9 36.8	13 15	28.8 13.3	27 27
ERIE -	1986 1985	585.6 550.8	42 48		530.0 580.6	52 45	79.9 110.8	42 42	450.1 469.8	46 41		.0		.0	44 44	.0	42 42
ESSEX	1986 1985	953.2 1,056.0	32 28		347.1 316.2	61 59	88.2 101.7	46 46	259.0 214.5	61 60		13.8 16.5		11.0 13.8	30 23	2.8	37 37
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	1,655.3 1,705.8	7 5		1,470.3 1,438.6	4	258.0 244.3	11 11	1,212.3 1,194.3	3		52.5 45.7	29 30	13.7 4.6	<b>25</b> 35	38.8 41.1	22 22
FULTOR	1986 1985	382.8 296.0	51 57		571.4 649.7	50 39	230.9 166.0	28 28	340.7 483.7	57 38		12.8 16.2	43 41	12.8 16.2	28 22	0	42 42
BENESEE	1986 1985	1,197.3 1,436.0	19	=	1,275.5 1,136.3	7	202.4 171.0	25 25	1,073.1 965.2	4 5	•	226.2 164.3	10 12	13.6 5.1	26 33	212.6 159.2	9
GREENE	1986 1985	1,311.2 1,138.0	17 23		420.4 294.1	59 61	85.5 50.6	<b>60</b>	. 334.9 243.5	. 59 58		235.2 4.8	8 49	4.8 4.8	40 34	230.4	42 42
HANILTON	1986 1985	20.0 20.1	62 62		360.0 140.4	60 62	20.0 20.1	62 62	340.0 120.4	58 62		.0		.0	44 44	.0	42 42
HERKIHER	1986 1985	191.3 173.3	61 61		693.1 484.2	38 50	301.9 245.1	10 10	381.2 239.1	53 59		34.4 6.0	37 48	6.0 3.0	34 37	28.4 3.0	36 36
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	1,985.7 1,793.8	4		856.5 746.2	26 28	69.4 63.2	57 57	788.1 683.0	15 18		.0		.0	44 44	.0	42 42
KINES	1986 1985	443.6 608.6	48 44		546.8 521.7	51 48	70.4 61.9	58 58	476.4 459.8	41		82.6 68.5	19 25	78.4 62.5	7 8	4.2 6.0	32 32
LEWIS	1986 1985	561.3 556.3	44 45		428.5 480.8	42 51	162. i 123. 2	<b>39</b>	466.4 357.6	44		55.3 123.2	28 15	7.9 7.9	<b>32</b> 30	47.4 115.2	12 12
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	911.3 756.1	33 36		- 762.8 764.6	31 26	143.3 166.3	27 27	619.5 598.3	27 22		47.8 25.7	38 30	47.8 24.0	10 20	.0 1.7	39 39

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						INVESTIGATIONS							PRE-DISPOSITION		SUPERVISION CASES		RECEIVED	
COUNTY		INTAKE •				otal	Family	Family Court		Criminal Court			Total		Family Court		Criminal Court	
	Year		Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HADISON	1986 1985	1,439.9 1,347.0	10		- 807 674		151.7 131.8		656.2 542.4	22 28	· •		60.1 64.4	26 26	.0	44 41	60.1 62.9	19 19
HOHROE	1986 1985	710.B 651.7	39 41		647 594		117.7 130.8		530.2 464.1	35 42			37.3 38.2	34 33	35.7 38.2	17 14	i.6 .0	42 42
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	335.9 297.0	53 56		717 580		255.3 211.3		462.6 369.3	45 48			5.8 13.3	47 43	5.8 13.3	35 24	.0	42 42
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,412.7 1,414.1	11 10		1,03B 1,071		160.8 177.6		878.0 894.3	11 7			316.6 269.3	4	5.2 6.2	37 32	311.4 263.1	3 3
KER AOKK	1986 1985	279.8 402.0	58 53		950 831		48.6 49.7		901.B 781.9	10 11			78.3 99.3	20 20	47.3 53.0	11 9	31.1 46.3	21 21
NIAGARA	1986 1985	724.3 962.2	30 38	•	616 667		136.5 147.3		479.9 520.1	39 32			.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
ONEIDA	1986 1985	725.6 727.9	37 37		900 742		203.2 163.9		697.0 578.3	20 27			70.8 29.1	<b>22</b> 36	5.6 2.0	36 36	65.2 27.1	24 24
ONOHDAGA	1986 1985	986.8 1,246.0	30 18		1,648 1,450		203.B 229.7		1,444.7	2 2		•	241.6 241.8	. 7 .	95.4 116.9	- 4	146.2 124.9	11 11
ONTARIO	1986 1985	506.5 726.3	47 38		885 798		104.1 110.4		780. <b>9</b> 688.4	16 17			5.4 10.8	48 45	.0	44	5.4 10.8	28 28
ORANGE	1986 1985	1,040.5 1,320.8	28 14		696 691		85.4 111.0		611.3 580.8	28 26			117.9 110.6	16 18	.0	44 - 44	117.9 110.6	13 13
ORLEANS	1986 1985	1,095.1 1,093.5	25 25		827 666		82.3 76.6		745,5 590.2	18 23			213.4 115.0	12 16	5.1 10.2	38 29	208.2 104.8	16 16
OSNESO	1986 1985	591.1 551.5	41 47		1,320 1,359		278.4 252.6		1,041.8 1,107.1	5 4			575.3 183.0	1 11 ·	382.1 .0	1 44	193.1 183.0	6
OTSEGO .	1986 1985	907.1 683.9	34 39		432 449		120.4 153.3		304.1 296.5	60 57			.0 203.8	51 9	.0	44	.0 203.8	5 5

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						INVESTI	SATIONS				•	PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVI	SION CASE	S RECEIVED	
		INTAKE :		Tota	1	Family (		Crininal	Court			Tota		Family	•	Criminal	
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAN	1986 1985	963.2 31 882.6 33		574.8 496.9	4B 49	78.4 96.4	47 47	496.3 400.5	38 46			29.4 38.3	3B 32	29.4 38.3	19 13	.0	42 42
QUEENS	1986 1985	335.9 54 446.1 52		492.5 397.4	- 54 57	65.0 53.3	59 59	427.5 344.0	48 52			74.0. 76.9	21 23	68.9 69.8	8 7	5.1 7.1	31 31
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,239.9 18 1,048.5 29		760.7 725.5	32 31	226.1 189.0	21 21	534.6 536.5	33 29			88.3 74.7	18 24	.0 3.3	44 36	88.3 71.4	18 18
RICHHOND	1986 1985	338.0 52 553.1 46		315.5 311.9	62 60	110.5 104.0	45 45	205.0 207.8	62 61			16.6 28.1	- 40 37	16.6 28.1	22 19	.0	42 42
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	638.2 40 677.1 40		675.1 639.4	39 40	106.1 112.4	40 40	549.0 527.1	31 30			360.7 416.6	2	232.4 240.9	2 1	128.2 175.7	8
ST. LANRENCE	1986 1985	1,719.9 6 1,413.4 11		1,039.0 871.2	11 14	132.1 90.8	49 49	906.9 780.3	9 12			195.9 191.3	13 10	19.6 13.2		177.3 178.1	7 7
SARATOGA	1986 1985	897.0 35 858.3 34		732.5 707.3	34 32	255.3 213.5	15 15	477.1 493.8	40 36			55.5 84.8	27 21	13.4 35.9	27 16	42.0 48.9	20 20
SCHENECTADY	198 <u>6</u> 1985	1,352.9 16 1,238.5 21		860.9 765.0	25 25	- 182.4 177.3	24 .24	678.4 507.7	21 24			273.6 231.8	5 7	12.6 11.3	29 27	261.0 220.5	4
SCHONARIE	1986 1985	291.9 55 275.2 58		610.7 476.5	45 53	140.9 137.6	33 33	449.8 338.9	43 53			3.4 10.1	49 47	.0		3.4 10.1	29 29
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	4,072.0 1 3,460.7 1		1,362.1 1,227.8	5 6 -	356.3 371.2	5 5	1,005.7 856.6	6 9			11.5 11.4	44 44	.0 11.4	44 26	11.5	42 42
SENECA	1986 1985	1,021.8 29 1,242.2 20		978.5 729.4	2I 30	376.9 299.1		501.6 430.3	36 44	•		183.0 280.8	14 3	9.3		174.5 280.8	2 2
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,091.B 26 1,062.2 27		922.6 780.8	17 23	267.3 196.2	20 20	655.3 584.5	23 25			247.7 263.0	<b>6</b> 5	156.9 156.2		90.8 106.8	15 15
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,170.8 21 1,299.1 17		2,105.6 2,396.4	1	129.3 126.2		1,976.3 2,270.3	1			44,4 50.0	31 28	44.4 50.0		.0	42 42

INVESTIGATIONS

PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED

[#] Intake occurs only in family court.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by anly two (2).

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

			PROBAT	ION SUPERVIS	ION CASI	ES RECEIVED			UNDEF	PROBAT		ISES ION DURI	ING THE YEAR	ŧ		UNDEF	PROBATI		ISES NO NOTEST	DECEMBER	31
		Tota		Family (		Criminal			Tota		Family C	Court	Criminal	Court		Tota		Family (	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALDANY	1984 1985	420.3 387.2	3	71.6 50.4	13 26	348.6 336.8	3		1,267.8 1,131.5	4 5	132.3 88.1	1'3 28	1,135.5 1,043.5	4 6		908.6 846.2	4 5	63.2 60.6	14 17	845.4 785.6	5 5
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	273.3 169.0	29 54	51.5 29.5	27 48	221.8 139.5	31 53		651.5 528.5	50 57	73.3 58.9	42 48	578.2 469.5	48 55		483.2 375.2	<b>45</b> 55	37.6 21.6	41 54	445.5 353.6	46 53
BRONX	1986 1985	322.6 300.7	12 16	22.1 20.4	50 55	300.4 280.4	7		1,049.4 995.4	8	42.9 45.4	60 57	1,026:5 950.0	8 7		819.4 752.9	· 7	23.2 21.0	54 55	795.2 731.8	7 7
PROOME	1988 1985	293.4 292.3	20 18	50.3 57.9	30 18	243.4 234.4	19 21		951.8 916.0	14	107.2 125.2	23 11	844.4 790.8	14 15		700.2 657.1	15 14	61.2 60.7	17 15	639.0 596.4	17 15
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	216.9 186.3	50 48	45.7 43.4	34 33	171.2 143.0	52 51		568.6 486.3	58 58	92.6 72.7	30 39	476.0 413.7	57 59		405. 6 351. 6	58 57 -	49.2 46.9	30 30	356.4 304.7	57 59
CAYUGA	1986 1985	137.7 146.5	61 58	26.3 26.3	55 50	111.4	61 58		404.B 404.5	62 62	50.1 55.1	57 52	356.7 349.4	62 62	•	286.6 269.2	62 62	17.5 23.8	57 50	269.1 245.4	62 61
CHAGTALQUA	1986 1985	277.4 275.3	26 27	43.3 54.1	38 22	234.1 221.2	22 28	•	761.0 685.0	36 40	98.5 102.4	29 22	662.5 582.4	34 44		529.0 479.8	28 38	53.8 54.8	24 21	475.2 425.0	37 42
CHENUNG	1986 1985	418.8 380.5	4 5	103.9 108.7	2 2	314.9 271.8	5 10		1,404.4 1,292.5	2 2	223.2 191.3	2	1,181.2 1,101.2	3		1,048.6 969.6	2 2	119.3 117.4	2 2	929.3 852.2	2
CHENANGO	1986 • 1985	350.0 320.2	7	92.0 44.0	3 31	258.0 276.2	16		842.0 718.5	29 34	126.0 86.1	17 29	716.0 632.5	29 32		528.0 492.4	39 37	56.0 34.0	21 39	472.0 458.3	40 33
CLINTON	1986 1985	259.9 185.1	36 49	22.2 33.1	57 44	237.7 152.0	20 49		863.3 755.2	25 30	57.9 77.2	51 36	805.4 677.9	19 27		703.2 600.7	14 23	20.9 35.6	55 37	<b>&amp;82.3</b> 5&5.2	10 19
COLUNDIA	1986 1985	248.8 260.7	43 30	64.3 54.5	17 21	184.5 206.3	45 31		764.4 704.6	35 35	126.9 108.9	15 19	637.6 595.7	40 39		561.9 518.1	34 32	72.5 64.4	9 12	489.3 453.8	38 35
CORTLAND	1986 1985	286.9 293.3	22 17	57.0 50.6	23 24	230.0 242.7	23 18		890.3 877.8	20 14	101.3 84.4	27 30	789.0 793.4	21 14		628.7 603.5	22 21	44.3 44.3	34 32	584.4 559.2	20 20
DELAWARE	1984 1985	191.1 183.4	56 50	38.2 27.7	43 49	152.9 155.7	55 47		589.1 543.0	56 54	61.6 55.5	. 48 51	526.5 507.6	54 51		437.4 398.8	54 52	31.8 23.5	45 51	405.5 375.4	51 50

		·.	PROBATI	ION SUPERVI	SION CASI	ES RECEIVED			UNDEI	R PROBAT			ING THE YEAR	•		UNDEI	R PROBATIC		ASES VISION ON	DECEMBER	31
•		Tota		Family (		Criminal			Tota		Family (	Court	Criminal	Court		Total	 al 	Family	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1786 1785	236.8 284.2	46 21	42.1 50.6	40 25	194.7 233.6	41 23		808.8 807.5	30 24	88.9 115.6	33 18	720.0 691.9	28 25		588.4 571.5	28 27	50.2 42.7	28 35	538.2 528.8	27 23
ERIE	1986 1985	278.6 276.0	25 25	51.3 44.3	30 39	227.3 231.7	26 24		723.2 670.5	41	100.5 81.0	28 32	622.7 589.5	43 42		435.7 443.1	55 48	52.8 50.4	25 26	382.9 392.6	53 48
ESSEX	1986 1985	159.8 140.2	59 59	33.1 30.2		126.7 110.0	59 60		506.9 475.7	59 59	55.1 49.5	52 55	451.8 426.2	58 58		371.9 346.5	59 58	27.5 22.0	49 53	344.4 324.5	58 55
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	326.5 317.4		73. l 54. B	10 20	253.4 262.6	. 17 . 12		945.2 874.6	15 15	119.7 121.0	20 17	826. <b>5</b> 753.5	17 18		692.6 628.0	17 18	47.9 54.8	31 20	634.7 573.2	18 18
FULTON	1986 1985	260.1 301.4		42.1 34.3	39 42	217.9 267.1	33 11		934.1 860.8	16 17	69.6 46.9	46 56	864.5 813.9	12 11		670.3 664.1	10 13	29.3 27.1	47 47	641.0 637.1	15 13
GENESEE	1986. 1985	394.6 425.0	2	59.5 67.7	21 8	335.0 357.3	4 2		1,331.6 1,227.7	3.	120.7 93.1	19 26	1,210.9 1,134.6	2	•	979.6 941.5	3 3	62.9 69.4	15 9	916.7 872.1	3 2
GREENE	1986 1985	249.4 137.4		57.0 36.2	22 40	192.4 101.3	42 61		622.3 576.2	51 52	71.3 72.3	43 41	551.1 503.9	51 52		415.7 378.5	57 54	42.B 14.5	36 62	372.9 364.1	54 51
HAHILTON	1984 1985	320.0 120.4		20.0 20.1	59 - 57	300.0 100.3	8 62		600.0 682.0	55 41	60.0 80.2	50 34	540.0 601.8	53 37		460.0 280.8	49 61	20.0 40.1	56 36	440.0 240.7	4B 62
KERKIHER	1986 1985	174.9 165.9	58 55	65.8 38.9	16 35	109.1 127.0	62 56		578.5 567.9	57 53	159.9 134.5	5 9	418.5 433.4	56 56		451.4 403.5	52 51	125.6 94.1	1 4	325.9 309.3	60 57
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	333.3 317.2	10 13	71.7 73.4	11	261.6 243.8	14 17		870.9 847.8	23 20	136.9 124.2	11 12	734.0 723.6	26 22		577.3 549.8	29 29	56.3 66.6	20 11	521.0 483.2	28 29
KINGS	1986 1985	246.7 247.4	44 35	27.4 19.8		219.3 227.6	32 25		977.3 910.7	11 12	46.6 39.9	59 59	930.8 870.8	10 10		744.1 734.6	. 9 10	24.3 20.1	52 58	719.7 714.5	8
LEWIS	1986 1985	213.4 202.7	51 45	39.5 43.7	42 32	173.9 159.0	51 45	*	691.7 786.8	46 27	102.8 155.0	26 5	588.9 631.8	47 33		502.0 480.8	42 38	47.4 63.6	32 14	454.5 417.2	44 45
LIVINGSTON	1988 1985	353.2 282.9		56.3 24.0	24 53	296.9 258.9	13		1,063.1 970.4	а <b>ў</b> <b>ў</b>	78.5 56.6	38. 50	984.6 913.8	9		723.5 709.8	10 11	54.6 18.9	23 59	690.9	14 10

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

en e			•			ION SUPERVI		ES RECEIVED	) 		UNDER	PROBAT		ISES SION DURI	ING THE YEAR			UNDE	PROBATI		ISES VISION ON	DECEMBER	31 -	
				Tota		Family (		Criminal			Tota		Family (		Criminal		,	Tota	1	Family (	ourt	Criminal	Court	
COUNTY			Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank -	Rate	Rank		te	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
HADISON			1984 1985	310.8 257.7	16 33	31.5 24.0	51 54	279.3 233.7	1 i 22		785.3 686.2	33	52.6 58.4	56 47	732.7 627.8	27 34		05.1 73.5	25 41	25.5 21.0	50 56	579.6 452.5	21 36	
MOHROE			1966 1985	319.0 289.0	14 20	32.5 37.9	49 37	284.5 251.1	10 15		952.6 829.8	13 23	78.4 75.2	39 38	874.2 754.6	11 17		61.0 31.6	20 17	40.7 45.B	40 31	620.3 585.8	19 17	
HONTGONES	8 <b>Y</b>		1984 1985	228.4 241.8	49 37	71.0 59.0	14 16	157.4 182.8	54 42		756.2 694.9	37 38	126.7 108.5	16 20	629.6 586.4	41 43		66.2 23.5	31 33	51.8 55.2	27 19	514.4 468.3	31 32	
NASSAU			1986 1985	340.1 363.1	9	35.4 45.4	46 29	304.7 317.7	6 5		1,171.6 1,021.1	7 7	89.1 102.2	34 24	1,083.4 918.9	8		99.6 26.3	5 " 6	42.9 52.4	35 23	856.7 773.9	6	
NEW YORK			1986 1985	213.4 207.3	52 44	17.0 18.3	59	196.4 188.9	40 38		881.1 849.7	22 18	37.3 38.0	62 61	843.8 811.6	15 12		86.3 66.9	16 12	12.7 20.3	61 57	673.6 646.7	11 12	
NIAGARA			1986 1985	276.2 278.5	27 24	39.6 38.8	41 36	236.5 239.7	21 19		723.8 654.5	40 46	69.6 62.4	45 44	654.2 592.2	38 41		59.7 48.5	50 47	24.9 30.0	51 45	434.8 418.5	50 44	
ONEIDA			1986 1985	257.9 219.4	39 42	49.5 36.3	31 39	208.5 183.1	38 41		766.2 738.2	34 32	77.3 72.6	40 40	688.9 665.8	32 28		36.4 03.7	37 35	37.4 27.5	42 46	499.0 476.2	35 31	
ONONDAGA			1986 1985	313.5 282.4	15 23	48.1 47.1	32 28	265.3 235.3	13 20	·	868.7 783.3	24 29	91.3 81.0	31 31	777.4 702.3	22 24		08.4 52.7	24 28	44.9 43.0	33 34	563.5 509.8	23 26	
ONTARIO			1986 1985	303.7 263.0	19 29	59.7 57.4	20 19	244.0 205.7	18 32		806.9 740.4	31 31	107.4	22 27	699.6 648.4	30 31		69.4 02.2	35 35	61.8 47.6	29	507.6 454.6	33 34	
ORANGE			1986 1985	305.4 345.9	17	7010	36 27	260.9 297.2	15 6		899.5 873.3	19 16	132.4 122.9	12 13	767.1 750.5	23 19		41.1 02.7	21 22	88.7 89.0	5 -	552.4 513.8	25 25	
ORLEANS			1986 1985	262.2 321.9	34 10	51.4 30.7	28 46	210.B 291.3	35 7	•	1,179.9	6	102.8 71.5	25 42	1,077.1	7 5	•	06.9 07.6	12 4	12.9 48.5	80 28	694.1 861.0	3	
OSVEGO			1986 1985	284.0 258.5	23 . 31	61.9 67.1	19	224.1 191.4	36 36		798.5 737.8	32 33	128.8	14	669.7 600.1	38 33	•	14.3 14.5	33 36	71.1	11 10	473.2 447.4	38 38	
OTSEGO.		•	1986 1985	135.1 158.3	62 56	1.7 15.2	62 62	133.4 143.2	58 50		665.5 672.1	49 42	54.1 57.0	55 47	611.5	44 35		98.5 28.9	44 30	11.8 52.2	62 24	474.7 476.7	30 38	

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED					UNDE	R PROBAT		ISES ION DUR	ING THE YEAR	ŧ .	UNDE	R PROBATI		ISES VISION OK	DECEMBER	/ <b>31</b> , -			
		Total		Family Co	ourt	Criminal	Court.	Tota		Family (	ourt	Criminal	Court	Tota	al	Family (	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate R	ink	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTHAH	178& 1785	259.8 249.7	37 34	34.3 58.1	47 17	225.5 191.6	29 35	731.6 644.0	39 47	77.2 105.1	41 21	654.4 539.0	36 49	555.1 475.9	35 40	41.7 43.3	38 33	513.5 432.7	32 39
QUEENS	1986 1985	191.7 172.0	55 53	15.6 17.0	61 60	176.1 155.0	48 48	613.1 532.5	53 56	39.0 38.5	61 60	573.3 494.0	49 53	464.8 419.2	48 50	15.7 24.1	59 49	449.2 395.2	45 47
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	270.9 330.4	30	84.4 74.0	6 5	186.6 256.4	44 14	1,009.2 915.1	10 11	156.9 121.6	ه 15	852.3 793.5	13 13	750.8 740.0	. · 8	86.4 72.7	7	664.5 667.3	15 11 -
RICHNOND	1986 1985	140.7 136.9	60 61	25.1 24.3	56 52	115.4 112.6	60 59	472.2 440.3	<b>61</b>	43.2 33.4	59 62	429.0 406.8	59 60	359.0 332.2	61 59	16.6 19.2	5B 60	342.5 314.0	59 56
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	268.9 339.0	32 8	91.8 125.9	4 1	177.1 213.0	47 29	850.3 847.9	27 19	226.8 237.9	1	623.5 610.0	42 36	567.9 592.9	31 24	97.8 135.4	1 .	470.1 447.5	41 37
ST. LAWRENCE	1984 1985		57 57	37.2 16.8	45 61	148.9	57 54	608.2 589.9	54 50	54.1 43.2	54 58	554.1 546.7	50 47	477.8 419.7	47 49	37.2 16.8	43 61	440.6 403.0	47 46
SARATOGA	1986 1985	204.8 . ' 173.3	53 52	<b>52.4</b> 35.9	26 41	152.3 137.4	56 55	489.3 414.6	60 61	85.3 53.2	36 54	404.0 361.4	61 61	361.4 289.0	60 60	54.8 33.4	32 42	306.5 255.6	61 60
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	273.6 266.3	28 28	44.6 59.8	37 13	229.0 206.5	24 30	851.5 832.1	26 22	103.9 141.4	24 6	747.7 690.6	25 26	597.9 576.4	26 26	52.6 59.1	26 18	545.3 517.3	26 24
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	255.0 177.9	41 51	26.8 20.1	54 56	228.2 157.7	25 46	714.8 630.9	49	60.4 53.7	49 53	654.4 577.2	37 45	523.5 459.7	40 43	23.5 33.6	53 41	500.0 426.2	34 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	465.5 485.4	2	74.7 62.8	8 - 11	390.8 422.6	1	1,206.9 1,170.7	- 5 4	109.2 79.9	21 35	1,097.7 1,090.7	5 4	085.1 736.7	6 9	57.5 34.3	19 38	827.6 702.4	6 9
SEHECA	1986 1985	246.1 192.3	45 47	71.7 51.9	12 23	174.5 140.4	49 52	704.0 702.0	45 36	177.6 160.1	3	526.5 521.9	55 50	439.3 448.6		71.7 103.B	10 3	367.6 344.9	55 54
STEUBEN	1986 1985	234.3 201.4	47 46	53.7 32.9	25 45	180.6 168.5	46 44	690.4 669.8		87.7 76.0	35 37	602.7 593.8	45 40	478.8 454.1	46 44	41.3 33.9	39 40	437.6 420.2	49 43
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	348.5 316.3	8 14	74.5 66.2	9 10	274.0 250.1	12 16	955.9 896.8	12 13	150.8 139.1	7 7	805.1 757.7	20 16	662.3 606.9	19 20	90.1 76.3	5 6	572.3 530.6	22 22

•	PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED							PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ASES SION DUR	ING THE YEAR	ŧ		UNDE	R PROBATI		SES 1510H ON	DECEMBER	31	
		Tota	ıl	Family (		Criminal	Court	Tota	ıl	Family (		Criminal	Court		Tota		Family (		Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	467.9 409.8	i 3	90.4 59.2	<b>5</b> 15	377.4 350.6	2	1,523.3 1,409.7	1	148.7 122.8	8 14	1,374.6 1,286.9	1		1,125.4 1,072.5	i	72.9 60.6	B 16	1,052.5 1,011.8	. i
TIOSA	1986 1985	282.4 234.2	24 38	88.6 59.5	15 14	213.7 174.7	34 43	 886.3 835.7	21 21	121.6 95.3	18 25	764.7 740.4	24 21		623.5 611.4	23 19	85.7 53.6	13 22	556.9 557.8	24 21
TOKPKINS	1984 1985	· 199.8 222.3	54 41	32.0 25.0	50 51	167.8 197.4	53 33	715.8 695.4	43 37	54.8 60.1	53 46	661.0 635.3	35 31		497.7 512.8	43 34	30.8 22.7	46 52	466.9 490.1	42 28
ULSTER	1984 1985	257.0 230.8	34 34	46.9 37.2	3B 33	210.1 193.6	37 34	722.3 640.5	42 48	81.0 67.2	37 43	641.3 573.4	39 46	•	566.4 465.3	32 42	49.3 33.0	29 43	517.1 432.3	30 40
WARREN	1986 1985	232.4 230.1	48. 40	45.0 39.5	. 35 34	187.4 190.5	43 37 -	618.0 560.7	52 55	70.3 80.9	44 33	547.7 479.9	<b>52</b> 54	•	427.0 384.6		28.8 25.2	48 48	398.2 359.5	<b>5</b> 2 52
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	302.3 213.2	19 43	128.3 88.1	1 1	174.0 125.1	50 . 57	667.8 583.2	48 51	188.0 156.8	3 4	479.8 426.4	56 57		458.7 355.9	51 56	100.2 49.3	3 27	358.5 306.6	5 <i>6</i> 58
WAYNE	1994 1985	269.3 291.3	31 19	63.6 68.5	18 7	205.7 222.7	39 27	845.5 783.5	28 28	138.6 121.1	10 16	704.8 662.5	30 29		588.6 579.1	27 25	69.3 75.4	12 7	519.3 503.7	29 27
WESTCHESTER .	1984 1985	254.4 258.0	40 32	30.1 33.7	52 43	226.3 224.3	28 26	904.8 805.9	18 25	62.0 61.9	47 45	842.8 744.0	16 20		703.8 647.4	13 15	34.3 32.5	46 44	669.5 614.9	13 14
MADUTHE	1986 1985	289.2 275.3	21 26	78.4 91.0	. <mark>7</mark>	210.8 184.4	36 40	740.2 666.2	38 45	142.2 125.4	9 10	598.0 540.9	46 48		517.2 452.4		58.8 63.9	- 1B . 13	458.3 388.4	43 49
YATES	1986 1985	264.2 246.7	39 22	37.7 60.5	44 12	226.4 186.2	27 39	915.1 805.2	17 26	89. 6 102. 4		825.5 702.8	18 23		712.3 642.3	11 16	42.5 51.2	37 25	669.8 591.1	12 16
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985	270.5 261.9	NA NA	38.0 37.3	an An	231.6 224.6	na Na	978.6 807.6	NA NA	79.1 75.1	NA NA	799. <b>5</b> 732.5	NA NA		645.8 608.0	NA NA	40.6 40.5	NA NA	605.2 567.5	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1995	232.4 222.1	NA NA	21.2 19.1		211.2 203.1	AH AH	850.4 787.1	NA NA	42.1 39.7	NA NA	BÓB.3 747.3	NA NA		650.7 619.0	HA NA	19.1	NA NA	631.6 597.7	na Na

^{*} The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PRODATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

							REVOCATI	ONS				
									Criminal	Court	1	
		Total	Į£ -	Family C	ourtes		Tot	al	Techni	cal	New Co	onv
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank	 Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	84.3 60.7	5 11	64.0 64.0	32 30		96.7 60.4	2 8	57.8 44.6	3 5	28.9 15.9	15 31
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	21.3 18.6	57 57	54.1 66.7	36 29		17.1 12.6	60 59	13.7 8.4	49 51	3.4 4.2	57 56
BRONX	1986 1985	80.9 75.3	<b>6</b> 5	37.1 89.2	44 20		82.7 74.7	5	65.1 65.4	2 3	17.5 9.2	30 47
BROOME	1986 1985	26.4 43.7	51 34	39.B 63.9	40 32		24.7 40.5	<b>52</b> 33	15.2 18.5	<b>45</b> 36	9.6 22.9	44 21
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	28.9 9.6	49 61	25.3 16.1	52 51		29.6 8.5	47 61	12.3	51 59	17.2 8.5	31 50
CAYUGA	1984 1985	89.2 99.1	. 1 1	100.0 68.2	17 27		87.7 103.9	1 2	28.1 28.7	20 22	59.6 75.3	2 i
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	40.4 48.6	41 25	35.5 74.3	46 26	• • •	41.1 44.0	36 26	26.4 21.4	22 29	14.B 22.6	35 20
CHENUNG	1984 1985	56.6 55.5	19 15	118.8 113.6	10 13		44.9 45.4	32 23	34.5 37.5	15 12	8.4 7.9	47 53
CHENANGO	1985 1985	87.9 55.7	2 14	95.2 46.5	20 41		86.6 57.0	3 10	55.9 44.3	4 6	30.7 12.7	11
CLINTON	1996 1985	20.0 22.7	59 56	21.3 63.5	54 33		19.9 18.1	57 56	12.2 16.3	53 42	7.6 1.8	51 59
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	59.2 49.2	17 24	77.9 75.8	25 - 25		54.3 44.3	17 25	54.3 33.2	5 17	.0 11.1	59 43

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

		2IA

										Criminal	Court**	ŧ	
		Tota	il t		Fasily (	ourt**		Tol	al	Techni		New C	onv
Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
1986 1985		47.8 55.3	27 16		104.2 125.0	15 8		42.8 47.9	34 19	26.7 29.3	21 21	16.0 18.6	3§ 27
1986 1985		25.3 15.2	54 59		69.0 .0	30 54		20.2 16.8	55 57	12.1 4.2	54 56	9.1 12.6	50 41
1986 1985		39.0 42.2	<b>43</b> 38		74.6 155.9	27 3		34.6 23.2	42 54	28.7 14.2	19 47	5.9 9.1	54 49
1986 1985		41.7 52.2	37 19		133.0 87.7	5 21		27.0 47.3	51 20	21.1 33.4	31 16	5.8 14.0	<b>55</b> 36
1986 1985		27.2 23.1	50 54	•	.0 111.1	59 14		39.5 12.9	<b>45</b> 58	24.4 .0	28 59	6.1 12.9	53 38
1986 1985		41.1 28.7	39 50		38.5 .0	42 54		41.4 33.3	35 43	24.9 15.2	27 45	16.6 18.2	33 29
1986 1985		54.9 50.3	22 21		105.3 76.9	13 24		50.8 48.8	22 16	8.5 2.2	57 58	42.4 46.6	4 2
1984 1985		44.7 42.8	35 35		140.8 36.4	4		35.1 43.3	41 28	22.5 28.4	30 23	12.6 14.9	37 33
1986 1985		54.9 62.8	- 13 9		33.3 200.0	49 2		49.0 43.1	10 29	47.4 14.4	7 46	21.6 28.7	25 11
1986 1985		.0	62 62		.0	59 54		.0	62 62	.0	61 59	.0	59 60
1986 1985	•	20.7 28.9	58 49		18.7 .0	56 54		21.4 37.9	54 38	10.7 37.9	56 10	10.7	42 60
	1988 1985 1988 1985 1988 1985 1988 1985 1988 1985 1988 1985 1988 1985 1988 1985	1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985	Year       Rate         1986       47.8         1985       55.3         1986       25.3         1985       15.2         1986       39.0         1985       42.2         1986       27.2         1985       52.2         1986       27.2         1985       23.1         1986       41.1         1985       28.7         1986       54.9         1985       50.3         1986       44.7         1985       42.8         1985       42.8         1985       62.8         1985       .0         1986       .0         1986       20.7	1986       47.8       27         1985       55.3       16         1986       25.3       54         1985       15.2       59         1986       37.0       43         1985       42.2       38         1986       41.7       37         1985       52.2       19         1986       27.2       50         1985       23.1       54         1986       41.1       39         1985       28.7       50         1986       54.9       22         1985       50.3       21         1986       44.7       35         1985       42.8       35         1985       42.8       35         1985       42.8       9         1985       42.8       9         1985       42.8       9         1985       42.8       0         1985       42.8       0         1985       42.8       0         1985       42.8       0         1986       42.7       58	Year       Rate       Rank         1986       49.8       27         1985       55.3       16         1986       25.3       54         1985       15.2       59         1986       39.0       43         1985       42.2       38         1986       41.7       37         1985       52.2       19         1986       27.2       50         1985       23.1       54         1986       41.1       39         1985       28.7       50         1986       54.9       22         1985       42.8       35         1985       42.8       35         1985       62.8       9         1985       0       62         1985       0       62         1986       20.7       58	Year         Rate         Rank         Rate           1786         49.8         27         104.2           1785         55.3         16         125.0           1786         25.3         54         69.0           1785         15.2         59         .0           1786         39.0         43         74.6           1785         42.2         38         155.9           1786         41.7         37         133.0           1785         52.2         19         87.7           1786         27.2         50         .0           1785         23.1         54         111.1           1786         41.1         37         38.5           1785         28.7         50         .0           1786         54.9         22         105.3           1785         50.3         21         76.9           1785         42.8         35         36.4           1785         42.8         35         36.4           1785         42.8         35         36.4           1786         44.7         13         33.3           1785         62.8	Year         Rate         Rank         Rate         Rank           1986         49.8         27         104.2         15           1985         55.3         16         125.0         8           1986         25.3         54         69.0         30           1985         15.2         59         .0         54           1986         39.0         43         74.6         27           1985         42.2         38         155.9         3           1986         41.7         37         133.0         5           1985         52.2         19         87.7         21           1986         27.2         50         .0         59           1985         23.1         54         111.1         14           1986         41.1         39         38.5         42           1985         28.7         50         .0         54           1986         54.9         22         105.3         13           1985         50.3         21         76.9         24           1985         42.8         35         36.4         44           1985	Year         Rate         Rank         Rate         Rank           1986         47.8         27         104.2         15           1985         55.3         16         125.0         8           1986         25.3         54         67.0         30           1985         15.2         59         .0         54           1986         37.0         43         74.6         27           1985         42.2         38         155.9         3           1986         41.7         37         133.0         5           1985         52.2         19         87.7         21           1986         27.2         50         .0         59           1985         23.1         54         111.1         14           1986         41.1         39         38.5         42           1985         28.7         50         .0         54           1986         54.9         22         105.3         13           1985         50.3         21         76.9         24           1986         44.7         35         140.8         4           1985	Year         Rate         Rank         Rate         Rank         Rate           1986         49.8         27         104.2         15         42.8           1985         55.3         16         125.0         8         47.9           1986         25.3         54         69.0         30         20.2           1985         15.2         59         .0         54         16.8           1986         39.0         43         74.6         27         34.6           1985         42.2         38         155.9         3         23.2           1986         41.7         37         133.0         5         27.0           1985         52.2         19         87.7         21         47.3           1985         52.2         19         87.7         21         47.3           1985         23.1         54         111.1         14         12.9           1985         23.1         54         111.1         14         12.9           1986         41.1         39         38.5         42         41.4           1985         28.7         50         .0         54         33.	Year         Rate         Rank         Rate         Rank         Rate         Rank         Rate         Rank           1986         49.8         27         104.2         15         42.8         34           1985         55.3         16         125.0         8         47.9         19           1986         25.3         54         69.0         30         20.2         55           1985         15.2         59         .0         54         16.8         57           1986         39.0         43         74.6         27         34.6         42         1985         42.2         38         155.9         3         23.2         54           1985         42.2         38         155.9         3         23.2         54           1986         41.7         37         133.0         5         27.0         51           1985         52.2         19         87.7         21         47.3         20           1986         27.2         50         .0         59         39.5         45           1985         23.1         54         111.1         14         12.9         58	Year         Rate         Rank         Rate <th< td=""><td>Year         Rate Rank         Rat</td><td>Year         Rate         Rank         Rate           1985         55.3         16         125.0         8         47.7         19         29.3         21         16.6         8.1           1986         37.0         43         74.6         27         34.6         42         28.7         19         5.9           1985         42.2         38         155.9         3         23.2         54         14.2         47         7.1           1986</td></th<>	Year         Rate Rank         Rat	Year         Rate         Rank         Rate           1985         55.3         16         125.0         8         47.7         19         29.3         21         16.6         8.1           1986         37.0         43         74.6         27         34.6         42         28.7         19         5.9           1985         42.2         38         155.9         3         23.2         54         14.2         47         7.1           1986

TABLE 78 - FRODATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

REVOCATIONS	ì
-------------	---

							 RETUCAT					
	•						 		Criminal	Court**	ŧ	
		Tota	11		Family 0		Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	onv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	198å 1985	4B.2 49.3	27 23		48.4 107.1	37 15	48.1 39.0	25 34	18.0 25.0	39 27	30.1 14.0	13 35
KINGS	1984 1985	51.7 36.9	26 43		12.2 13.2	58 52	53.6 38.0	18 37	13.0 7.3	50 52	40.7 30.6	6
LENIS	1986 1985	22.9 35.4	56 45		38.5 25.6	42 48	20.1 37.7	56 40	20.1 25.2	35 26	.0 12.6	59 42
LIVINGSTON :	1984 1985	80.3 53.0	8 19		130.4 121.2	6 10	76.3 48.8	8 16	15.6 16.9	43 41	60.7 31.9	1
MADISON	1986 1985	24.9 56.8	<b>55</b> 13	-	57.1 102.6	34 16	22.5 52.5	53 14	14.3 33.4	47 15	8.2 17.1	48 25
HONROE	1986 1985	31.7 29.7	46 48	•	39.9 56.6	38 36	30.9 27.1	43 51	16.9 17.3	42 39	14.0 9.8	36 46
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	86.3 46.6	3 27		197.0 122.8	1 9	64.0 32.5	12 44	15.2 6.5	44 53	48.8 26.0	3 15
NASSAU	1984 1985	31.2 45.8	47 29		34.3 53.7	48 38	30.9 45.0	44 24	12.3 20.0	52 33	18.6 24.9	29 16
NEW YORK	1984 1985	51.9 62.2	24 10		39.9 35.5	38 45	52.4 63.4	20 7	13.8 19.4	48 35	38.6 44.0	3
NIAGARA	1988 1985	54.1 45.9	23 28		125.8 125.9	- 7 7	46.5 37.4	28 41	17.8 15.6	41 43	28.9 21.0	16 22
ONEIDA	198 <b>6</b> 1985	59.9 54.0	15 17		192.7 126.4	2 6	45.0 46.1	31 21	18.7 19.8	37 34	26.3 26.4	17 14

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 # - Continued

	בעת ו	

						HE TOUR !	DITA				
						 		Criminal	Courtess	•	
	u u	Tota	1]#	Family	Court##	Tot	āl	Techni	cal	Nex C	onv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	80.8 80.7	7	118.2 100.8	11 17	76.4 78.3	7	75.5 75.3	1 2	.8 3.1	50 57
ONTARIO	1988 1985	84.7 86.3	<b>4</b> 3	101.0 94.1	16 19	82.2 85.1	5 3	41.9 43.4	13 7	40.3 41.7	7
ORANGE	198 <b>6</b> 1985	71.8 42.5	11 36	91.2 35.2		48.5 43.7	- 11 27	44.0 34.6	12 14	24.5 9.1	21 48
ORLEANS	1984 1985	45.8 36.3	33 44	125.0 .0		38.2 38.7	38 36	26.3 31.5	24 18	11.9 7.3	40 54
OSWEGO	1984 1985	40.8 23.9	40 53	13.0 12.2	57 53	 46.2 26.6	29 52	33.7 18.2	17 37	12.5 8.4	3B 51
OTSE60	1784 1785	25.4 27.6	53 51	.0	59 54	27.6 30.2	50 47	2.8 11.0	60 49	24.9 19.2	19 24
PUTNAH	1986 1985	48.6 40.3	28 39	63.5 47.1	33 40	46.8 39.0	27 35	20.6 20.6	33 32	26.2 18.3	18 28
QUEENS	1986 1965	46.5 37.9	31 42	32.7 38.9		47.4 37.8	26 39	6.3 4.6	58 55	41.1 33.2	5 7
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	44.4 18.1	36 58	92.4 59.8		35.6 11.7	40 60	26.3 7.2	23 50	9.3 2.5	45 58
RICHHOND	1988 1985	46.4 51.6	32 20	80.2 64.0		<b>42.9</b> 50.6	33 15	11.2 21.0	30 55	31.7 29.6	10
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	46.9 44.5	30 32	24.9 17.4		54.9 55.0	16 13	35.0 40.B	16 7	19.9 14.2	27 34

^{. (} Continued on next page. )

TABLE 7B PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

CE	ıınr	127	Int	10

							METOGRA					
					 		 •		Criminal	Courtee	1	
			Tota	11	Family I	Court * *	Tot	al	Techni		New (	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAHRENCE	1986 1985		26.2 34.4	52 46	98.4 40.8	18 42	19.2 33.9	58 42	14.4 21.0	46 31	4.8 12.9	56 38
SARATOGA	1986 1985	-	77.2 74.6	9	85.7 116.3	23 12	75.4 69.5	9	45.2 49.7	10 4	30.2 18.8	12 26
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		36.0 45.5	44 31	76.9 -117.4	26 11	30.3 30.8	46 46	20.5 15.4	34 44	9.8 15.4	43 32
SCHOHARIE :	1986 1985		56.3 26.6	20 52	55.6 .0	35 54	56.4 29.1	15 48	25.6 5.8	25 54	30.9 23.3	10 19
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		57.1 43.9	18 33	105.3 214.3	13 1	52.4 31.4	21 45	<b>52.4</b> 26.2	6 24	.0 5.2	59 55
BENECA	1986 1985		75.2 95.7	10 2	70.2 50.8	29 39	76.9 111.1	6 1	47.3 76.0	8	29.6 35.1	14 5
STEUBEN	1986 1985		59.8 58.3	16 12	70.6 81.1	28 23	58.2 55.4	14 12	46.2 41.5	9 8	12.0 13.8	39 37
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		45.3 42.3	34 37	20.2 25.2	55 49	50.0 45.4	24 22	29.0 25.0	18 25	21.0 19.6	26 23
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		51.7 49.3	25 22	39.2 60.2	41 34	53.0 48.3	19 18	44.5 37.9	11 10	8.5 10.3	46 45
TIOGA	1786 1785		68.6 47.5	12 26	112.9 83.3	12 22	61.5 42.9	13 30	38.5 34.9	14 13	23.1 8.0	<b>22</b> 52
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		35.1 45.7	45 30	125.0 94.3	- 8 18	27.6 41.1	49 32	20.7 30.4.	32 20	6.9 10.7	52 44

## REVOCATIONS

						 1.	-		 -		-	Criminal	Court**	ŧ	
				Tota	ılŧ		Family (	ourtes		Tot	al	Techni	cal	Nen (	onv
COUNTY	Year		F	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	•	•	<b>55.</b> 6 65.8	21 8		97.7 127.3	19 5		50.3 59.6	23 9	25.6 30.9	25 19	24.7 27.7	20. 12
WARREN	1986 1985			61.2 67.3	14		179.5 133.3	3 4		46.1 56.2	30 11	23.0 22.5	29 28	23.0 33.7	23 6
WASHINGTON	1984 1985			28.9 39.3	48 41	•	29.0 67.4	51 28		29.3 28.9	48 49	£9.3 4.1	38 57	11.0 24.8	41 17
WAYNE	1986 1985			41.7	38 40		65.6 28.3	31 47		37.0 41.4	39 31	17.7 17.2	40 40	19.3 24.1	28 18
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985			40.1 30.1	42 47		35.5 54.1	45 37		40.4	37 50	19.8 12.1	36 48	21.6 16.0	24 30
AAOUINE	1986 1985		•	16.6 14.8	60		34.5 .0	47 54		12.3 18.2	61 55	4.1 18.2	59 37	8.2	4B 60
YATES	1986 1985			15.5 23.1	61 54		.0	59 54		17.1 26.5	<b>59</b> 53	.0	61 59	17.1 26.5	32 13
NEWYORKSTATE	1984 1985			50.0 47.5	NA NA		56.0 56.5	HA NA		49.5 46.6	na Na	24.6 24.3	ra Na	24.8 22.3	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1984 1985			56.6 51.0	NA NA		30.1 40.6	NA NA		58.0 51.6	NA NA	22.7 22.0	NA NA	35.2 29.6	NA NA

[#] Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

^{##} Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

^{***} Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

NOTE: Drawatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 &

•								•			JAIL AN	D PENITEN	TIARY							
				Admi	ssions											Deceab	er 31 Popul	tion		
		Total		Unsente	nced	Senten	ced				orted icity	Average Popul	•		Tota		Unsenter		Sente	enced
COUNTY	Year 		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	1,362.4 1,259.4	22 25	935.4 860.3	28 32	427.0 399.1.	16 16		•	150. 153.		137.3 128.6			133.4 113.4	. 2 6	68.5 63.8	4 B	64.9 49.7	
ALLEGANY	• 1986 1985	988.1 888.0	46 48	829.7 750.5	36 42	158.4 137.5	53 54			69.: 68.		55.4 51.1	45 44		43.4 45.2	34 44	39.6 21.6	26 47	23.6 23.6	
BROOME	1986 1985	1,123.3	38 41	785.6 732.4	40 43	337.9 307.8	25 27			95.1 85.1		72.6		•	74.0 71.5	25 21	35.1 35.3	36 28	38.9 36.2	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	1,283.7	26 27	963.7 958.6	25 23	320.0 280.1	28 33			89. 89.		73.9 57.4			77.4 72.7	20 20	55.1 32.8	8 32	22.3 39.8	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1,249.1 1,457.6	30 17	851.1 940.4	39 33	398.0 517.2	18 6			77.		67.6 62.6		٠.	47.6 68.9	47 25	25.0 40.1	47 23	22.5 28.8	
CHAUTAUOUA	1986 1985	1,107.6	40 40	772.9 694.0	42 45	334.7 350.1	27 24			68.1 67.		60.8 60.3			68,5 49.9	31 41	50.3 35.4	13 27	18.2 14.6	
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	1,428.7 1,190.3	19 31	. 941.4 799.0	27 34	487.3 391.3	5 19			101. 100.		100.6 88.1			103.9 108.7	8	47.5 45.7	18 17	56. <b>4</b> 63.0	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1,026.0	43 35	<b>522.0</b> 662.5	53 49	504.0 480.4	7		-	66.0 66.0		56.0 56.0			44.0 34.0	49 55	16.0 20.0	53 51	28.0 14.0	
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,944.6 1,615.8	4 · 11	1,496.3 1,157.3	6 14	448.3 458.5	10 9			64. 63.		56.7 53.9			55.4 58.8	40 31	30.8 33.1	41 31	24.6 25.7	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	1,426.7 1,414.1	20 18	1,049.4 996.7	21 20	377.3 417.5	21 14			92. 95.		77.4 89.1	24 10		72.5 75.9	26 16	36.2 42.9	35 19	36.2 33.0	
CORTLAND	1986 1995	1,753.2 1,698.6	9 7	1,310.1 1,299.8	10 8	443.0 378.8	11 18			67.5 67.5		78.1 78.1		•	86.5 111.8	14	27.4 80.2	45 6	<b>59.</b> i 31. 7	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,023.4 819.0	44 52	687.9 569.4	48 51	335.5 249.5	26 37			63. 68.		44.6 34.1			23.4 44.8	57 46	19.1 27.7	50 41	4.2 17.1	
DUTCHESS	· 1986 1985	1,005.8 933.7	45 44	834.9 762.B	35 40	171.0 170.9	50 51			68.		61.5 56.8			52.6 58.0	43 33	39.7 42.3	25 21	12.9 15.7	

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE HANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

JAIL	AND	PENI	TENT	TARY

						Ada	issions				 										Decea	ber 31 Pop					
				Tota	1 .	Unsent	enced	Senten				Kepo: Capa	•		age D pulat	ion				Tot		Unsen	tence	d	Senter	ıced	
COUNTY			Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rati	e ,	Rank			ı	late	Rank	Rate	Ra		Rate	Rank	
ERIE			1986 1985	1,178.3 1,170.4	35 34	1,013.6 1,006.7	22 19	164.7 163 <u>.</u> 7	51 53			72.9 100.9			6.3 5.5	35 33				59.3 53.4		40. 37.		24 26	19.2 16.1	45 45	
ESSEX		•	1986 1985	1,038.6 750.7	42 54	776.9 539.0		261.7 211.7	39 43			66.1 66.0	41 41		4.i 3.0	53 57				24.8 33.0		16. 30.		<b>52</b> 36	8.3 2.8	56 57	
FRANKLII	N		1986 1985	1,456.6	17 21	995.4 949.9		461.2 424.7	12			125.6 125.6		; 9(	8.2 4.5	10 17	•			82.2 75.4	17 17	36. 36.		34 24	45.7 36.5	11 8	
FULTOR			1986 1985	1,315.0	23 9	470.7 505.3	55 55	844.3 1,174.9	1			.0			8.9 4.8	22 14				47.6 57.8		22. 23.		48 45	25.6 34.3	28 11	
GENESEE			1986 1985	1,481.3 1,297.1	16 23	1,088.4 982.2		392.9 315.0	20 25			71.4 23.7			2.7 7.4	47 51				71.4 64.3	28 28	37. 32.		33 20	34.0 32.2		
GREENE			1986 1985	2,156.8 1,697.4	 8 	1,408.6		748.2 532.8	3 4			128.3 130.2			0.3 4.4	14 18.				78.4 60.3	30	49.		14 30	28.5 26.5	24 28	
HAMILTO	<b>X</b>		1986 1985	1,260.0 1,624.9	29 10	820.0 1,103.3		440.0 521.6	12 5			80.0 80.2			0.0	40 54		•		40.0 120.4	51 5	B0.		57 5	40.0 40.1	14	
HERKINE	R		1986 1985	1,113.6	39 37	852.0 860.7		261.6 273.5	40 34			53.8 53.8			7.8 8.3	49 38				43.3 38.9	50 52	29. 29.		<b>43</b> 38	13.5 9.0	53 56	
JEFFERS	DN		1986 1985	1,217.4	22 23	848.8 764.3		368.7 417.7	24 13	٠.		77.3 74.5			1.7 3.4	31 25				<b>59.6</b> 65.5		29. 31.		44 35	29.8 33.9	22 12	
LENIS			1986 1985	632.4 906.0	56 45	482.2 766.9		150.2 139.1	56 55			90.9 91.4			9.5 3.7	54 52				51.4 47.7		31. 19.		38 53	19.8 27.8		
LIVINGS			1986 1985	1,665.5 1,549.9	11 15	1,196.2 1,171.0	11	469.3 378.9	8 20			95.6 96.0	17	8'	5.B 9.2	7				92.2 84.0		44. 53.	1	22 12	47.B 30.9	18	
MADISON	•		1986 1985	1,225.2	32 36	953.5 884.0	30	271.8 257.7	35 36			70.6 70.4			5.1 0.4	25 28				90.1 56.9	10 36	52. 20.		11 40	37.5 28.5	16 23	
HONROE			1986 1985	1,300.3 1,198.4	25 30	1,123.5 958.2		176.8 240.2	49 39			77.6 70.2			0.B B.9	21 29				97.1 79.6	13 15	63. 53.		6 11	23.5 26.2		

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

		***************	Adeissions		***************************************				er 31 Population	,
••		Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced	•	Reported Capacity	Average Daily Population	Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	1,525.9 15 1,593.5 12	1,155.5 16 1,041.4 17	370.4 23 552,1 3		184.3 3 189.5 2	120.9 5 91.4 8	120.9 4 B1.9 13	72.9 3 66.6 7	48.0 8 15.2 48
NASSAU	1986 1985	623.7 57 655.1 57	459.9 56 464.2 56	163.8 52 190.9 47		72.7 33 64.4 42	86.7 18 82.3 19	87.2 12 85.9 10	60.5 7 57.3 9	26.8 26 28.5 22
NIAGARA	1986 1985	1,135.5 36 1,223.6 29	867.2 29 921.0 27	268.3 37 302.6 29		97.7 15 97.9 14	87.1 17 84.5 16	75.6 24 69.7 23	46.1 20 35.1 29	29.5 23 34.6 10
DHEIDA	1986 1985	863.6 52 705.1 55	707.8 47 534.4 54	155.7 54 170.7 52		82.9 25 82.2 26	80.9 20 74.2 24	76.9 22 67.0 26	52.7 10 40.7 22	24.1 30 26.3 30
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,804.2 7 1,708.9 6	1,619.6 3 1,524.3 3	184.6 47 184.6 49		99.7 14 87.9 23	91.3 12 96.3 6	83.3 16 92.0 9	47.1 19 54.6 10	36.3 17 37.4 7
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1,671.4 10 1,381.2 20	1,190.9 12 975.3 22	480.5 6 405.9 15		97.6 16 96.3 15	93.3 11 85.5 13	90.0 11 85.5 11	31.5 39 20.4 50	58.6 3 64.9 1
DRANGE	1986 1985	1,563.7 13 1,360.4 22	1,337.6 9 1,140.2 15	226.1 42 212.2 42		94.8 19 96.2 16	90.9 13 80.0 20	85.9 15 73.5 19	49.7 15 51.2 14	36.2 19 22.3 37
ORLEANS	1985 1985	1,452.4 18 1,290.2 24	1,156.8 15 1,029.6 18	295.6 33 260.6 35		185.1 2 166.1 4	156.8 3 125.2 4	86.8 33 122.6 4	41.1 23 99.4 3	25.7 27 23.0 35
OSWEGO	1988 1985	1,070.2 41 1,129.8 38	796.0 3B 76B.0 37	274.2 34 361.8 22		90.3 26 80.6 27	.66.9 34 .60.4 36	54.3 41 57.9 34	38.5 28 27.7 42	15.9 50 30.2 20
075E60	1986 1985	790.5 54 904.5 46	567.6 51 616.5 50	223.0 44 288.0 32		37.2 55 37.1 54	49.0 4B 48.8 49	35.5 52 42.1 49	11.8 56 15.2 56	23.6 33 27.0 27
PUTNAM	1986 1985	915.4 49 892.5 47	763.5 43 760.2 41	152.0 55 132.3 57		49.0 51 49.4 50	47.8 50 49.4 48	44.1 48 39.6 50	20.8 49 21.0 49	23.3 36 18.5 41
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	942.0 47 864.2 50	716.5 46 668.6 46	225.4 43 195.4 46		73.8 31 75.3 30	47.5 51 50.5 45	51.4 44 37.0 53	30.3 42 21.1 48	21.1 43 15.9 46
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	732.2 55 699.8 56	416.7 57 403.B 57	315.5 30 296.0 31		30.9 55	37.2 56 36.2 55	33.5 53 32.8 57	16.9 51 20.0 52	16.5 49 12.8 53

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENTENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 4 - Continued

					•					 2		AIL AND	PENITEN	TIARY					•			-
•		*				Ada	issians			 						*	Decembe	r 31 Popul	ation			
				Tota		Unsent	enced	Sente	nced		Repor Capac		Average Popul			Tot	al	Unsente	nced	Sen	tenced	
COUNTY	. <u>.</u>	Yea	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		nk
ST. LAWRENCE		198 198		827.1 798.0	53 53	557.6 561.7	52 52	269.5 236.3			54.1 53.8	48 48	59.4 50.3			53.2 45.0	42 45	31.0 18.5	40 55	22. 26.		41
SARATOGA		· 198		<b>932.4</b> 836.7	48 51	753.8 662.8	45 48	178.5 173.9			42.7 43.3	53 52	56.7 53.2			56.1 39.0	39 51	32.3 21.7	37 46	23. 17.		31 42
SCHENECTADY		196 196		1,283.0 1,233.2	27 28	970.7 919.1	24 28	312.3 314.1	31 26		58.6 58.4	46 44	61.9 68.4		•	67.2 63.1		49.3 50.5	16 15	18. 12.		48 54
SCHDHARIE		198 198		1,375.8	21 39	1,067.1 889.3	20 29	308.7 211.4	32 44		70.5 70.5	36 36	53.7 50.3			70.5 43.6		36.9 30.2	32 37	33. 13.		<b>21</b> 52
SCHUYLER		198 198		1,189.7 1,844.6	34 3	793.1 1,473.4	39 4	396.6 371.2			120.7 119.9	8	103.4 102.8			92.0 131.3	9 2	46.0 119.9	21 2	46. 11.		10 55
SENECA		196 198		1,557.6	14 13	1,180.7 1,294.1	13	376.9 299.1			105.9 88.5	12 22-	87.2 79.4			81.0 70.2	18 22	37.4 42.7	31 20	43. 27.		13 26
STEUBEN		191 191		1,131.1 962.6	37 43	866.9 777.7	36 36	264.2 184.9			50.6 50.3	50° 49.	69.1 67.8			60.9 58.6	35 32	38.2 44.2	29 18	22. 14.		38 50
SUFFOLK		191 191		898.7 879.1	50 49	687.7 668.0	47	211.1 211.1	45 45		57.6 57.6	47 45	62.3 65.1			58.1 52.2	38 39	36.7 32.1	33 34	21. 20.		42 39
SULLIVAN		191 191		2,606.4 2,491.1	1	1,849.9	2	· 756.6 699.7	2		239.1 242.6	1	212.8 174.6			201.2 149.6	1° 1	153.1 127.2	i 1	48. 41.		7
TIOGA		191 191		1,225.5	31 32	754.9 786.0		470.4 399.0	7 17		109.B 111.2	11 10	72.5 77.4			76.5 69.5		25.5 37.7	46 25	51. 31.		6
TOHPKINS		19 19		1,269.4 1,390.8	28 19	1,157.5 1,251.3	10	111.9	54		37.7 37.4	54 53	34.2 40.8		· .	25.1 43.1	48	14.8 26.1	54 43	10. 17.		55 44
ULSTER		19 19	-	1,584.7 1,518.6	12 16	1,151.0 1,159.5		433.6 359.0			86.5 86.7	24 24	84.7 67.9			69.4 75.1	30 18	51.2 52.5	12 13	18. 22.		46 36
HARREN -		19 19		1,917.1 1,885.3	5 2	1,515.3 1,452.2		401.B 433.1			117.1 104.2	10 11 -	72.1 71.9			72.1 48.5		48.4 25.2	17 44	23. 23.		35 34

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

			•			JAIL AND	PENITENTIARY			
			Admissions				A		31 Population	
		Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced		Reported Capacity	Average Daily Fopulation	Tetal	Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year 	Kate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	<b>.</b>	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
WASHINGTON	- 1986 · 1985	1,302.3 24 1,245.8 26	864.7 31 812.3 33	437.6 13 433,5 10		87.9 23 95.2 19	87.9 15 84.6 15	94.9 7 52.9 36	38.7 27 19.4 54	56.2 5 33.5 13
WAYNE	· 1986 1985	1,814.8 6 1,787.5 5	1,378.4 B 1,318.1 7	436.4 14 469.4 B		65.9 43 56.0 46	75.0 26 66.2 32	77.3 21 59.3 40	54.5 <i>9</i> 29.7 39	22.7 37 20.6 38
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2,208.9 2 1,843.6 4	1,892.4 1 1,600.2 2	316.5 29 243.5 38	-	118.2 9 121.3 8	110.5 6 95.3 7	112.1 5 80.9 14	68.2 5 50.4 16	43.9 12 30.5 19
MADHINE	1986 1985	872.5 51 1,012.9 42	652.0 50 705.6 44	220.6 45 307.3 28	: 	44.1 52 44.3 51	39.2 55 41.8 53	29.4 54 34.4 54	14.7 55 14.8 57	14.7 51 19.7 40
YATES	1986 1985	1,787.7 8 1,591.7 14	1,551.9 4 1,354.4 6	235.8 41 237.4 40		179.2 4 176.9 3	160.4 2 153.6 2	132.1 3 125.7 3	117.9 2 97.7 4	14.2 52 27.9 24
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985	1,319.2 NA 1,182.0 - NA	1,032.0 NA 896.8 NA	287.2 NA 285.2 NA		120.7 HA 110.4 HA	118.4 MA 105.4 MA	11B.8 HA 105.3 NA	84.7 HA 72.9 HA	34.1 NA 32.4 NA
HENYORKCITY	1986 1985	1,458.1 NA 1,225.3 NA	1,129.1 HA 898.3 NA	329.0 NA 327.0 NA		178.4 NA 153.1 NA	177.3 NA 150.1 NA	101.8 KA 158.5 NA	139.1 NA 116.5 NA	42.7 NA 42.0 NA

SOURCE: MYS Commission of Correction.

^{*} Data are not reported by county for New York City - only for New York City as a whole.

Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an HOTE: increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

NEW COURT COMMITHENTS TO STATE PRISON

											/iolent	Felonies	,					~~~~~				Drug Fe	lonies			nt).	_	V41	. 71
				Tati	al	Tota	al	Homic		Sex Off	enses	Robb	ery	Burgl			ons		her	Tol	al	Posse	ssion	Sal		Other		Yout Offe	nders
	COURTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	
	ALBANY	1984 1985		74.1 72.9	6 5	25.1 21.5	10 12	2.8 1.4	15 31	4.9 3.9	14 17	4.2 4.6	21 13	7.1 7.0	16 15	1.8	10	4.2 1.8	B 27	11.6	10 5	6.4 8.8	7	5.3 12.0	13	36.3 29.9	4	1.1	19 36
	ALLEGANY	1986 1985		4.0 7.9	62 60	.0 3.9	62 51	.0 2.0	43 21	.0	51 47	.0	43	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 2.0	37 22	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	4.0 2.0	59 59	.0 2.0	32 13
	DRONX	1985 1985		167.4 136.1	2 2	105.6 94.9	. 2	14.9	1	7.5 7.3	5 5	53.0 44.4	2 2	12.8 10.1	- 2. 4	10.4 11.5	2	7.0 7.9	2 4	32.7 21.5	2 4	8.1 5.7	5 5	24.5 15.7	2 - 4	25.3 16.6	9 16	3.9 3.2	4 7
	DROOME	1986 1985		56.9 38.1	11 19	23.7 21.7	12 11	2.4	-20 34	8.2 3.8	9	3.3 3.8	24 15	9.0 9.9	11 6	.9 .5	18 19	1.9 2.8	24 15	5.7 .5	19 45	2.8	13 32	2.8 .5	24 41	27.0 15.5	7 20	.5 .5	28 38
B-90	CATYARAUGUS	1984 1985		11.7 11.7	57 56	3.5 3.5	56 54	1.2	35 36	1.2 1.2	46 43	.0 2.3	43 24	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	1.2	32 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0.	43 42	8.2 7.0	<b>4</b> 9 50	1.2	32 28
	CAYUGA	1986 1985		51.3 30.1	13 26	16.3	25 44	1.3	35 36	7.5 2.5	<b>4</b> 28	.0	43 40	5.0 3.8	22 30	.0	25 23	2.5	17 40	3.8	28 46	.0	37 32	3.8	20 42	26.3 17.5	8 12	5.0 6.3	2
	CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985		14.0 20.1	55 43	6.3 8.3	48 39	2.1 2.1	22 19	1.4	44 47	.7	41 39	2.i 4.2	42 28	.0	25 23	.0 1.4	37 31	.7 2.8	50 28	.0 1.4	37 - 22	.7 1.4	41 34	7.0 7.6	52 49	.0	32 21
	CHENUNG	1986 1985		64.1 56.5	8 9	28.7 29.4	5 4	2.2 2.2	21 17	6.6 7.6	. 3	4.4 1.1	20 37	11.0 8.7	5 11	.0 4.3	25 - 4	4.4 5.4	<b>6</b> 7	2.2	36 46	1.1	32 32	1.1	37 42	30.9 26.1	5 5	2,2 1.1	7 31
	CHENANGO	1986 1985	<b>)</b>	8.0 16.0	60 53	2.0 8.0	60 41	2.0	24 36	.0 2.0	51 31	.0	43 40	.0	Ši 52	.0	25 23	.0 4.0	37 6	4.0	51 20	.0 2.0	37 15	.0 2.0	43 28	4.0	55. 55	.0	32 41
	CLINTON	1986 1985		30.8 19.6	32 45	4.9	49 50	.0	<b>43</b> 36	1.2 2.5	45 29	2.5 1.2	25 35	2.5 1.2	40 50	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	3.7 1.2	29 43	.0	37 32	3.7 1.2	21 37	20.9 12.3	14 31	.0 1.2	32 25
	COLUMBIA	1986 1985		47.8 59.4	17 - 7.	11.5 18.2	35 19	3.3 1.7	10 28	4.9 5.0	13 8	.0 .0	43 40	1.6 8.3	48 12	.0	25 23	1.4	26 12	23.1 23.1	3	9.9 5.0	7	13.2 18.2	5 2	13.2 16.5	29 18	.0 1.7	32 17
	CORTLAND	1986 1985		40.1 54.9	25 10	6.3 16.9	47 20	.0	43 36 -	.0	51 47	.0 2.1	43 25	6.3 2.1	17 44	.0 4.2	25 5	,0 9,4	37 3	4.2	25 46	2.1	18 32	2.1	-29 42	27.4 27.4	4	2.1 10.6	9
	DELAVARE	1986 1985		10.6	58 62	2.1 2.1	59 58	.0	43 36	.0 2.1	51 30	2.1	30 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0 2.1	51 36	.0	<b>37</b> 32	.0 2.1	43 27	8.5	46 61	.0	32 41

HEN COURT CONHITHENTS TO STATE PRISON

		47		<b></b>	*****						Vialent	Felonie	5									Drug Fe						•	
				Tot.		Tat			cide	Sex Of		Rob	bery	Burg	lary	Wea	pons		her	Tol		Posse		Sal	9	Other Felor	nies	Yout! Offer	nders
COUNTY	Year		F	late			Rank		Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	-	Rate	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1 . <b>1</b>		1.4	42 31	13.2 13.3	30 27	.8	36 38	1.9	40 24	3.5 4.3	22 14	4.7 4.7	26 26	1.6	11 21	.B	34 34	1.6	40 40	.4	36 32	1.2	35 33	6.6 10.2	54 40	.0 .8	32 -35
ERIE	1986 1985			1.8	31 22	16.0 15.9	26 23	1.5 2.0	32 22	3.2 2.7	. 23 25	3.4 3.3	23 17	4.8 5.9	25 21	i.0	17 16	2.1 1.4	21 30	4,3 1.9	24 39	2.1 1.2	19 26	2.2	28 40	11.0 13.7	39 26	.6 .8	25 34
ESSER	1985 1985			6.5 4.7	<b>51</b> 35	2.8 8.3	57 40	2.8	16 36	.0 2.8	51 23	.0 5.5	43 12	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	13.8 13.8	27 25	.0 2.8	32 10
FRAHKLIH	1986 1985			3.7 5.1	56 34	4.6	53 43	4.6 2.3	4 15	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0 4.6	51 27	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	4.6	23 46	2.3	15 32	2.3	27 42	4.6 18.3	57 10	.0	32 41
FULTON 2	1986 1985			2. 1 6. 9	21 14	9.2 16.2	38 22	1.B 3.6	26 7	3.7 1.8	19 37	.0	43 40	3.7 9.0	30 10	.0	25 23	.0 1.8	37 25	5.5 9.0	20 10	1.8 .0	21 32	3.7 9.0	22 7	20.1 16.2	15 19	7.3 5.4	1 3
GENESEE	1986 1985			20.4	44 29	5.1 10.2	51 35	1.7	28 27	.0	51 47	.0 1.7	43 30	.0 3.4	51 35	.0 3.4	25 6	3.4	10 40	.0 3.4	<b>51</b> 25	.0	37 32	.0 3.4	43 18	13.4	28 42	1.7 3.4	13
GREENE	1986 1985			86.1 86.5	37 30	11.9 7.2	33 42	2.4	36 36	2.4 4.8	35 9	7.1	40	.0 2.4	51 41	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	2.4 7.2	35 15	2.4 4.8	14 8	.0 2.4	43 24	11.9 12.1	3& 32	.0 .0	32 41
HAMILTON	1986 1985			0.0 20.1	4 44	20.0	17 50	.0	43 36	20.0	1 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	80.0 20.1	i 8	.0	32 41
HERKINER	1986 1985		. 1	7.5 13.4	61 55	1.5 3.0	61 56	.0 1.5	43 30	1.5	43 47	1.5	43 33	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	1.5	42 46	.0	25 32	.0	43 42	4.5 10.5	58 38	.0	32 41
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		:	18.6	15 27	26.5 12.4	9 29	2.3	16	12.1 7.9	2 2	2.2	28 40	3.3 2.3	34 43	1.1	16 23	3.3	11 40 -	2.3	47 34	.0	37 32	1.1 2.3	3B 26	21.0 14.7	13 23	.0	32 41
LENIS Kings	1986 1985		į.	21.6 72.8 19.8	3 4 45	75.2 59.0	3 3	11.9	3 3 43	1.9	17 34 51	37.7 29.7	- 3 - 43	10.9 7.9	6 14 3	7.6 6.3	3 3 25	2.9 3.2	14 13	24.5 19.0	3 6 51	5.2 4.1	8 11 37	19.3	3 5 43.	19.7 13.4 7.9	17 27 50	2.2	8 22
LEWIS	1985 1985			7.9	59 33	10.2	60	.0 .0	36	.0 .0 5.1	47 12	.0	40 36	3.4	52 32	.0	23 23 - 25	.0	37 40 37	.0 4.0	21	.0	37 32 37	4.0 1.7	43. 15 32	4.0	56 22	.0 .0	32 41 12
FEETHOUSEN	1985			25.7	33	13.7	26	3.4	8	5.1	7	.0	40	3.4	33	.0	23	1.7	28	5.1	17	3.4	12	1.7	31	6.9	51	.0	41

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

					. Camera - a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		Felonies		an sub-sup-yen too due dan lah diri dib-dib-tips sub-too (			elonies		V11.73
			Total	Total	Homicide	Sex Offenses	Robbery	Burglary	Wéapons	Other	Total Poss	ession Sale	Other Felonies	Youthful Offenders
	COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rank Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
	MADISON	1986 1985	15.0 54 19.5 46	9.0 39 9.0 38	.0 43 .0 36	6.0 10 4.5 11	.0 43 1.5 32	3.0 34 3.0 38	.0 25 .0 23	.0 37 .0 40	1.5 41 .0 .0 46 .0		3.0 61 9.0 46	1.5 15 1.5 20
	HONROE	1986 1985	57.9 10 42.1 16	28.0 & 22.7 10	3.8 B 2.6 12	3.0 27 2.6 27	7.7 6 7.4 B	6.3 18 5.5 22	1.7 B -2.0 10	5.4 4 2.7 19	6.5 1B 2.3 2.7 30 .7		21.9 11 15.5 21	1.4 17 1.3 24
	NUNTGONERY	1985 1985	17.3 48 19.0 48	11.5 36 1.9 59	.0 43 .0 36	1.9 41 1.9 33	1.9 32 .0 40	1.9 45 .0 52	1.9 6 .0 23	3.8 9 .0 40	3.8 27 3.8 1.9 38 1.9		1.9 62 11.4 34	.0 32 3.8 4
	Hassau	1984 1985	47.7 18 36.9 19	25.5 9 : 20.3 15	2.0 23 2.3 14	1.1 47 1.2 41	10.4 5 7.9 7	B.2 13 6.6 16	1.9 7	2.0 22 1.3 33	8.5 14 2.0 6.3 15 1.2		12.8 32 7.1 45	.9 21 1.2 27
B-92	NEW YORK	1986 1985	278.1 1 229.7 1	134.2 1 125.5 1	13.7 2 12.2 2	3.1 26 4.2 14	77.5 1 68.8 1	16.0 1 15.0 1	13.5 1 15.3 1	- 10.3 1 9.9 1	77.3 1 20.2 51.4 1 11.8		62.3 2 49.4 1	4.3 3 3.4 5
	NIABARA	1984 1985	33.2 28 31.4 24	12.0 32 11.5 31	.9 37 1.8 24	.9 48 .9 45	4.6 18 3.7 16	4.1 28 3.2 36	.0 25 .5 20	1.4 30 1.4 32	2.8 32 1.4 2.8 29 .0		18.0 20 16.6 15	.5 30 .5 39
	ONEIDA	1986 1985	40.2 24 33.9 21	23.3 14 14.4 25	1.6 30 2.8 11	3.6 20 1.2 42	4.4 19 2.8 20	11.3 4 3.6 32	.B 21 1.2 13	1.6 27 2.9 18	2.4 34 .4 3.2 26 2.0		12.5 34 14.8 22	2.0 10 1.6 18
	ONONDAGA	1984 1985	53.3 12 52.9 11	27.2 7 29.0 7	3.9 7 2.1 18	3.9 18 5.6 6	7.3 8 6.9 9	8.2 12 9.5 8	1.5 12 1.5 12	2.4 18 3.4 9	5.0 21 2.2 3.7 22 1.3		20.1 16 18.5 9	1.1 18 1.7 15
	ONTARIO	1986 1985	49.9 14 50.9 12	22.8 15 20.6 15	.0 43 1.1 33	10.8 3 4.3 12	2.2 29 .0 40	2.2 41 9.7 7	2.2 5 .0 23	5.4 3 5.4 8	8.7 13 1.1 10.8 9 5.4		18.4 19 17.3 13	.0 32 2.2 12
	ORANGE	1986 1985	43.0 19 36.7 20	13.5 28 16.6 21	2.5. 1B 1.8 26	3.2 24 2.9 22	1.8 34 5.8 11	4.6 27 4.0 29	.0 25 .4 22	1.4 29 1.8 26	16.0 7 8.9 9.0 11 4.3		12.8 31 11.2 37	.7 24 .0 41
	ORLEANS	1986 1985	61.7 9' 40.9 17	18.0 22 15.3 24	2.6 17 .0 36	2.6 30 2.6 26	5.1 14 .0 40	5.1 21 12.8 2	.0 25 .0 23	2.6 16 .0 40	2.6 33 .0 2.6 31 .0		41.1 3 20.4 7	.0 32 2.6 11
	DSNEGO	1906 1985	21.7 41 23.5 38	6.7 46 9.2 36	1:7 29 .8 35	2.5 31 1.7 40	.8 28 .8 40	.8 50 5.0 25	.B 19 .0 23	.0 37 .8 38	.8 49 .0 .8 44 .8		14.2 25 12.6 29	.0 32 .8 33
	OTSEGO	1986 1985	27.0 36 15.2 54	6.8 43 5.1 48	3.4 9 .0 36	.0 51 1.7 39	1.7 37 1.7 31	1.7 47 1.7 48	.0 25 .0 23	.0 37 .0 40	6.8 16 1.7 5.1 18 3.4		11.8 37 3.4 58	1.7 14 1.7 16

			*******						Violent	Felonie										Drug Fe							
		To	tal	Tot	al	Honi		Sex Of	fenses	Rot	ibery	Burg	lary		pons		her	To	tal	Posse	ssion	Sal	e	Othe Felo	nies		ntui nders
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate			Rank
PUTNAM	1986 1985	28.2 22.3		4.9	52 49	1.2	34 - 32	.0	51 47	2.5 2.5	26 23	1.2	49 49	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	14.7	8 7	14.7 8.7	2	.0 2.5	43 21	0.6 6.2	47 52	.0	32 41
QUEENS	1986 1985	85.9 58.4	5 9	41.3 33.6	<b>4</b> 5	3.2 4.3	11 6	2.6 1.8	29 36	19.6 14.6	4	10.0 6.7	7 17	2.7 3.4	4 7	3.2 2.8	12 17	22.4 11.1	<b>5</b>	B.1 4.4	6 9	14.3 6.7	<b>4</b> 9	19.7 12.0	1B	2.5 1.8	5- 14
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	21.1 23.1	43 40	7.3 12.6	41 28	2.0 2.0	25 20	.7 2.0	50 32	.7 2.0	42 27	2.0 2.6	44 40	.7	23 15	1.3 3.3	31 11	1.3	44 42	.7 1.3	34 23	.7	42 42	12.5 9.3	33. 44	.0	32 41
RICHMOND	1986 1985	39.5 30.8		20.8 19.8	16 17	4.5 2.9	5 10	2.1 1.9	· 35	7.5 5.9	7 10	4.8 6.7	24 18	1.3 1.6	14 11	.5 .8	38 36	10.7	11 35	1.3	27 30	9.3 1.3	8 35	7.5 8.6	51 47	.3	27 40
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	42.5 31.7		16.5 11.7	24 30	.8 1.5	39 29	1.5	42 46	4.9 2.6	15 22	7.9 5.3	14 23	.8	22 23	.8 1.5	35 29	13.5 8.3	13	i.i 1.5	31 21	12.4 6.8	8	12.0 10.2	35 41	.4 1.5	31 19
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	18.6 18.5		4.4 5.3	54 47	.0	43 36	.9	49 47	.9 1.8	39 29	2.7 2.6	39 39	.0	<b>25</b> 23	.0	37 37	1.8 .0	37 45	1.B .0	23 32	.0	43 42	11.5 13.2	38 28	.0	22 41
SARATOGA .	1986 1985	17.1 19.2		6.7 10.5	45 34	.6	41 36	2.4 3.7	34 19	1.8 1.2	33 34	1.8 3.7	46 31	.0	25 23	.0 1.9	37 23	3.0 3.1	31 27	.0 1.2	37 25	3.0 1.9	<b>23</b>	6.7 5.0	53 54	.6 .6	26 37
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	24.0 24.6		13.3 10.4	29 33	.7	40 36	2.7 7.3	28 4	4.7 2.7	17 21	2.7	38 51	.0	25 23	2.7	15 40	1.3	43 41	1.3	28 32	1.3	43 36	9.3 11.3	44 36	1.3	32 23
SCHOHARIE	1985 1985	10.1 23.5		6.7 23.5	44	.0 6.7	43 4	3.4 3.4	22 20	.0	43 40	7 3.4 10.1	33 5	.0	25 23	.0 3.4	37 10	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	3.4	60 61	.0	32 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	23.0 17.1		17.2 .0	23 60	.0	43 36	5.7	11 47	5.7 .0	13 40	5.7	20 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0 .0	43 42	5.7 17.1	56 14	.0	32 41
SENECA	1985 1985	37.4 9.2		12.5° 3.1	31 55	3.1 .0	12 36	6.2	8 47	.0	43 40	3.1 3.1	35 37	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	J.1	30 46	3.1	11 32	.0	43 42	21.8 6.1	12 53	.0	32 41
STEUBEN	1986 1985	15.5 24.7		4.1 11.3	55 32	.0	43 36	2.1 4.1	39 15	.0	43 40	2.1 5.1	43 24	.0	25 23	.0 2.1	37 20	1.0	48 46	.0	37 32	1.0	39 42	9.3 10.3	45 39	1.0 3.1	20 8
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	42.0 44.8		18.4 21.4	20 13	1.6	31 23	2.4 2.9	33 21	6.3 8.1	11	6.1	19 19	.5 .5	24 18	1.6	28 24	9.9 8.1	12 14	1.2	29 20	8.7 6.5	10 10	12.9 14.2	30 24	.8 1.1	23 32

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

											Violent	Felonie						•		-	•	Drug Fe							
				Tot	-	Tot		Honi	cide	Sex Of		Rob	bery		lary	Wea	pans		her		tal	Posse		Sa			nies	Yout! Offer	nders
COUNTY	Yea			Rate			Rank		Rank	Rate			Rank		Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate	
SULLIVAN	198 198			65.6 93.2	7 3	24.0 28.1	11	2.9 3.0	13	7.3 8.9	6 1	5.8 3.0	12 19	2.9 5.9	37 20	1.5	13 23	4.4 7.4	7 5	16.0 25.1	6 2	4.4 8.9	9 2	11.7 16.3	7	23.3 37.0	10 2	1.5 3.0	16
TIOGA	, 198 198			29.4 25.8	<b>34</b> 32	7.8 6.0	40 45	.0	43 36	.0	51 .47	2.0 2.0	31 26	3.9	29 45	.0	25 23	2.0 2.0	23 21	3.9 2.0	26 37	.0 2.0	37 17	3.9	19 42	17.6 17.9	21 11	.0	32 41
TORPKINS	198 198			32.0 22.7	30 41	18.3 9.1	21 37	1.1	36 36	2.3 4.5	3á 10	2.3	27 40	9.1 3.4	9 34	1.1	15 23	2.3 1.1	1 <b>9</b> 36	i.i 2.3	45 33	1.1 1.1	30 28	.0 1.1	43 39	10.3 11.3	41 35	2.3	41
ULSTER	198 198			42.0 48.2	22 13	19.5 20.8	18 14	.6 1.8	42 25	4.3 4.3	16 13	4.9	16 18	4.9 7.9	23 13	1.8	9 17	3.0 3.1	13 14	6.7 4.3	17 19	1.8 1.8	22 19	4.9 2.4	15 23	14.0 22.0	26 6	1.8	11 26
NARREM O	198 198			19.0 10.8	47 57	7.2 3.6	42 52	.0	43 36	.0 2.6	2î 47	.0	43 28	3.6 1.8	31 46	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	.0 3.6	51 23	.0	37 32	.0 3.6	43 16	10.8 3.6	40 57	.0	32 41
WASHINGTON	N 198 198			15.8 5.3	52 61	5.3 3.5	50 53	1.8	27 36	.0 1.8	51 38	1.B	35 40	.0 1.8	51 -47	.0	25 23	1.8	25 40	1.8	. 38 46	.0	37 32	1.8 .0	. 31 42	8.8 1.8	46 60	.0	32 41
WAYNE	198 198			25.0 18.3	39 50	13.4 5.7	27 46	.0	43 36	2.3	37	1.1	38 36	9.1 2.3	10 42	.0	25 23	1.1	33 35	i.1 3.4	46 24	.0	37 32	1.1 3.4	36 17	10.2 8.0	42 48	.0 1,1	32 30
HESTCHESTE	ER 198 198			47.8 64.0	16	23.5 37.9	13 4	2.9 5.2	14 5	3.1 4.0	25 16	7.1	10 5	7.4 10.7	15 3	.B -3.1	20 8	2.2 2.9	20 15	7.4 8.4	15 12	2.9 3.2	12 14	4.5 5.2	17 12	16.5 16.5	23 17	.5 1.2	29 29
WYOHING	1 <b>9</b> 8 198			17.2 17.2	49 51	2.5 2.5	50 57	.0	43 13	2.5 .0	32 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0 2.5	51 32	.0	37 32	.0 2.5	43 22	14.7 12.3	24 30	.0	32 41
YATES	198 198			33.0 27.9	29 28	18.9 18.4	19 18	.0	43 36	4.7	15 47	.0	43 40	9.4 9.3	8	.0	25 23	4.7 9.3	5 2	4.7	22 46	.0	37 32	4.7	16 42	9.4 9.3	43 43	.0	32 41
HENYORKSTA				84.0	NA	43.7	NA	5,3	NA	3.3	NA	20.1	NA	8.1	NA	3.7	NA	3.2	NA	18.2	NA	5.0	NA	13.2	NA	20.6	NA	1.7	HA
NEWYORKCIT	198 TY 198 198	6	- ·.	60.7 147.3 115.4	NA NA NA	39.3 80.4 69.6	NA NA NA	4.9 10.1 9.2	NA NA NA	2.9 4.0 3.2	na Na Na	42.0 34.8	na Na Na	7.2 11.7 9.3	,na na na	7.6 8.0	NA NA NA	3.2 5.0 5.1	NA NA NA	3.4 35.3 23.0	NA NA NA	3.4 9.3 5.9	na Na Na	26.0 17.2	na Na Na	16.5 28.7 20.6	NA NA NA	2.9 2.1	na Na Na

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

												. V	iclent	Felonie	5							Drug Fe	lonies		~~~~					
				Tot	al -	Tot		Hoei	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Rabb	ery	Burg		Weap		Oth		Tota	ıl.	Posse	ssion		le		Ither eloni		Youth Offen	
	COUNTY	Year			Rank	Rate			Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank		Rank	F	late		Rate	Rank
	ALBANY .	1986 1985		239.2 250.5	4	128.4 131.0	4	22.6 20.4	10 10	26.8 29.2	4 2	36.3 40.2	5 5	22.9 22.9	7	6.0 5.6	5 6	13.8 12.7	- 6 7	40.6 48.3	4 3 ·	19.4 19.4	3 2	21.2 28.9	5 3		19.2 19.0	4 2	1.1 2.1	21 15
	ALLEGANY	1984 1985		13.9 11.8	62 61	5.9 7.9	62 59	4.0 3.9	54 53	.0 2.0	60 55	.0	55 52	.0 .0	56 54	.0	32 32	2.0 2.0	45 43	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51		5.9 2.0	80 82	2.0 2.0	12 18
	BRONX	1984 1985		474.7 432.7	2 2	367.5 348.4	2 2	92.5 83.8	2 2	32.5 29.1	, - <mark>1</mark>	168.0 165.7	2 2	31.2 26.7	3	23.8 24.1	2 2	19.5 19.2	4 2	62.7 50.2	2	16.3	3	46.3 36.5	2 2		9.7 29.8	8 14	4.9	4 7
	BROOME	1984 1985		133.3 109.7	13 13	81.1 72.0	11 12	14.2 11.8	25 29	16.6 13.2	13 9	21.3 21.2	12 13	21.8 18.4	8	1.4	24 28	5.7 6.6	22 20	10.0 5.2	20 29	4.7	15 27	5.2 2.8	22 31		12.2 12.0	6 12	.0	33 39
R Q S	CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985 -	-	29.3 31.6	57 54	15.2 15.2	57 53	2.3	<b>59</b> 59	4.7 5.9	51 40	5.9 8.2	28 24	1.2	55 54	.0	32 32	1.2	51 52	1.2 1.2	<b>54</b> 53	.0	43 42	1.2 1.2	46 47		2.9 14.1	4B 46	1.2	33 31
	CAYUGA	1986 1985		102.6 67.6	19 32	47.6 28.8	26 41	3.8 1.3	55 58	16.3 8.8	14 26	7.5 7.5	26 27	6.3	25 40	.0	32 32	8.8 5.0	11 28	5.0 3.8	31 39	.0 1.3	43 38	5.0 2.5	24 35		10.1 10.1	7	10.0 5.0	1
	CHAUTAUQUA	1984 1985		37.7 43.7	51 49	23.1 22.2	48 48	14.7 12.5	23 24	2.1 1.4	55 58	1.4	53 45	2.B 4.9	51 42	.0	32 32	2.1	44	2.8 9.7	46 15	.0 5.5	43 11	2.8 4.2	37 24		1.9 1.B	50 51	.0	33 42
	CHENUN6	1986 1985		203.3 187.0	<b>5</b>	128.2 118.5	5 5	26.5 23.9	6 6	27.6 31.5	, 3 1	14.4 18.5	16 14	36.5 28.3	2 2	2.2 4.3	16	21.0 12.0	8 3	2.2	48 47	2.2 1.1	31 41	.0 1.1	49 48		9.6 2.0	3	3.3 4.3	7 6
	CHENANGO	1984 1985	•	36.0 44.0	55 47	26.0 32.0	46 37	14.0 10.0	26 33	2.0 4.0	56 49	4.0 8.0	34 25	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	6.0 10.0	18 10	4.0	37 33	2.0 2.0	32 31	2.0 2.0	42 42		6.0 8.0	59 56	.0	33 42
	CLINTON	1986 1985		53.0 52.7	41 41	20.9 22.1	50 49	4.9 3.7	49 54	4.9° 7.4	. 49 32	3.7 6.1	35 29	-4.9 -3.7	45 47	.0	32 32	2.5 1.2	41 50	4.9 2.5	32 46	.0	43 42	4.9 2.5	25 37		!7.1 !7.0	20 20	.0 1.2	33 30
	COLUMBIA	1986 1985		95.6 97.4	. 24 16	36.2 41.3	36 28	B.2 6.3	39 42	19.8 16.5	7 6	1.6	50 40	4.9 9.9	44 29	.0 	32 32	1.6 5.0	47 29	39.5 33.0	5 6	14.8 6.6	5 9	24.7 26.4	4 5		9.8 23.1	34 26	.0	33 42
	CORTLAND	1986 1985		75.9 78.1	28 27	29.5 29.5	40 39	4.2 4.2	53 52	2.1	60 54	.0	55 52	8.4 2.1	33 50	6.3 6.3	4 5	10.5 14.8	10	6.3 2.1	28 49	4.2	17 30	2.1	41 51		5.9 10.1	11 7	4.2 6.3	5 1
	DELAWARE	1986 1985		23.4 17.1	59 60	12.7 10.7	60 58	4.2	<b>52</b> 56	6.4 6.4	40 37	2.1	- 46 52	0, 0. بر	. 56 54	.0	32 32	.0 2.1	53 41	.0 2.1	55 48	.0	43 42	.0 2.1	49 41		0.6 4.3	53 60	.0	33 42

INNATES UNDER CUSTODY

			-							۷	iolent	Felonie	 5							Drug Fe							
		Tol	tal	Tot		Hooi	cide	Sex Of		Robb	ery	Burg		Неар	ons .	Oth		Tot	al	Posse	ssion	Sa	le		er onies		nful nders
COUNTY	Year		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank		Rank		e Rank		Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	67.B 71.0	31 29	49.1 48.2	24 21	12.9 11.8	30 30	9.0 7.8	30 29	14.4 14.5	15 16	B. 2 9.4	34 · 30	1.6	21 24	3.1 3.5	33 38	4.7 3.9	35 36	1.6	39 40	3.1 2.7	33 36	14. 19.		.0	
ERIE	1986 1985	99.6 95.5	21 17	69.2 65.8	16 16	21.9 20.8	12	11.4 9.4	23 23	15.3 15.8	14 15	13.4 12.6	19 21	1.5	23 23	5.8 6.1	20 23	7.2 3.9	26 37	3.4 2.7	20 23	3.5 1.2	32 46	22 24		.8 1.0	
ESSEX	1986 1985	44.1 38.5	46 52	22.0 16.5	49 52	2.B .0	57 60	8.3 5.5	31 41	11.0	21 17	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	22. 19.		.0 2.8	33 10
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	70.8 79.9	30 25	32.0 : 36.5	39	13.7	28 34	8.8 6.9	38 35	4.6 4.6	32 36	.0 6.9	56 37	2.3 2.3	15 16	4.6	26 19	9.1 6.9	21 23	6.8 4.6	10 16	2.3 2.3	40 40	29. 34.		.0 2.3	<b>33</b> 12
P FULTON	1786 1795	89.7 83.0	26 22	38.5 39.7	34 31	20.1 18.0	15 12	7.3 7.2	34 33	.0	55 52	11.0 12.6	26 20	.0	<b>32</b> 32	1.8	53 45	11.0 9.0	18 16	1.8 1.8	36 34	7.2 7.2	15 14	31. 28.		9.2 5.4	2
GENESEE	1984 1985	56.1 64.3	39 34	28.9 40.6	41 29	10.2 8.5	35 37	1.7 3.4	58 53	1.7 8.5	49 23	6.8 10.2	38 27	3.4 5.1	10 7	5, i 5, 1	24 26	3.4 5.1	43 30	.0	<b>43</b> 42	3.4 5.1	34 20	20. 15.		3.4	<b>6</b> 8
GREENE	1988 1985	66.5 55.5		40.4 33.8	29 35	14.3 12.1	24 27	9.5 9.6	28 22	7.1 4.8	27 34	7.1 7.2	37 35	.0	32 32	2.4	<b>43</b> 52	7.1 7.2	27 22	4.8 4.8	14 14	2.4 2.4	39 38	19. 14.		.0	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	160.0 80.2	7 24	60.0 46.1	20 30	40.0 40.1	4	20.0	5 59	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	100. 40.		.0	33 42
HERKIHER	1988 1985	22.4 26.9		13.5 13.4	59 55	7.5 6.0	40 45	1.5 1.5	59 57	1.5 3.0	52 42	1.5 1.5	54 53	.0	32 32	1.5 1.5	48 48	1.5	53 55	1.5	40 42	.0	49 51	7. 13.		.0	
JEFFERSON	1988 1985	82.8 70.0		48.6 39.4	25 32	13.2 12.4	29 25	19.9 12.4	13 13	3.3 1.1	45 51	6.6 10.2	39 28	1.1	28 32	4.4 2.3	30 40	.0	55 42	.0	43 42	.0 3.4	49 27	34. 27.		.0 1.1	33 33
KINGS	198 <i>6</i> 1985	320.0 283.3	3	238.2 218.1	3	74.4 66.4	3	13.7 11.8	16 14	102.7 95.9	3	22.9 19.7	<u>6</u> 7	15.7 15.6	3	8.6 8.7	12 11	46.0 34.7	4	10.0 7.6	8	36.0 27.2	3	33. 28.		2.7 2.3	9 13
LEHIS	1988 1985	27.7 7.9		11.9	61 62	.0	60 60	.0	60 59	.0	55 52	11.9	22 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	4.0	38 34	.0	43 42	4.0	28 25	11.		.0	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	59.7 54.9		35.8 29.1	37 40	8.5 8.6	38 38	17.1 8.6	10 28	1.7	48 52	8.5 10.3	<b>32</b> 25	.0	32 32	.0 1.7	53 46	8.5 8.6	23 17	3.4 3.4	22 18	5, 1 5, 1	23 19	15 17		.0	33 42

INNATES UNDER CUSTODY

				******								Felonia								Drug Fe									
		To	tal	Tot	al .	Honi	cide		fenses		егу	Burg	lary	Weap	ons	Oth		To	tal	Posse	 ssion	Sa	ile	. 1	Other Felon		Youth Offen	ders	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank			Rank	Rate		
MADISON	1986 1985	37.5 40.5	52 50	27.0 24.0	43 45	4.5 4.5	51 51	10.5 10.5	24 17,	1.5 3.0	51 41	7.5 4.5	35 43	.0	32 32	3.0 1.5	39 47	1.5 1.5	52 51	0	43 42	1.5 1.5	45 45		7.5 13.5	57 47	1.5	16 25	
MONROE	1984 1905	139.8 129.5	12	93.7 87.8	9	22.2 20.3	11 11	12.7 10.8	19 16	26.8 28.2	7	17.1 16.2	13 12	4.3 4.1	7 10	10.7 8.2	9 13	10.1 6.7	19 26	3.8 2.3	18 28	6.3 4.4	18 22		34.6 33.5	12 11	1.4	18 23	
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	38.4 45.7	50 45	28.0 22.8	42 47	11.5 11.4	33 31	3.8 3.8	52 51	1:9	47 47	3.8 3.8	47 45	1.9	20 32	5.8 1.9	21 44	<b>3.8</b> 3.8	39 38	3.8 3.8	19 17	.0	49 51		5.8 13.3	61 49	.0 5.7	33 2	
NASSAU	1986 1985	114.1 98.8	16 15	77.2 : 69.0	12 13	15.0 13.6	22 23	5.4 5.0	46 44	31.1 27.8	7	18.6 17.7	10 10	2.6 1.7	12 18	4.5 3.2	29 35	17.2 13.5	13 13	3.0 2.4	29 25	14.1 11.1	11 10		18.5 14.9	37 43	1.3	19 24	
D NEW YORK	1985 1985	618.6	1	429.3 406.5	1	95.7 86.0	1	16.6 15.5	12	220.0 207.1	i 1	40.0 38.6	. 1	33.0 36.2	1	24.0 23.1	i 1	146.7 117.0	1	34.9 26.0	. i	111.3 91.0	. i		)2.2  0.1	. I	5.7 4.9	3 5	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	92.7 91.0		54.4 51.3	23 18	18.4 16.2	17 17	6.9 9.7	37 21	13.4 10.2	10 21	10.1 7.4	29 34	1.4 2.8	25 14	4.1 5.1	32 25	5.1 5.5	30 27	1.8 2.8	35 22	3.2 2.8	35 32		32.3 33.7	15 10	.9 .5	24 40	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	97.8 84.2		51.1	18 19	16.1 15.2	20 21	10.1 7.6	25 31	11.3 9.2	20 22	18.5 10.8	11 24	2.0 1.6	1B 19	6.4 6.8	17 19	B.5 7.6	24 20	3.2 3.2	27 20	5.2 4.4	21 23		22.5 23.5	26 25	2.4	10 17	
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	150.5 144.2		104.3 96.1	8	20.7 15.5	14 18	19.2 18.5	9 5	28.5 27.9	. 8	24.8 22.8	4 5	4.1 3.9	B .11	6.9 7.5	15 15	8.8 8.0	22 18	3.2 2.4	26 26	5.4 5.6	20 15		36.1 38.3	10 B	1.5	15 19	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	103.0 90.9		56.4 49.8	21 20	6.5 8.7	47 35	17.5 13.0	8 10	4.3 4.3	33 37	11.9 13.0	21 18	2.2	17 17	8.7	7 12	16.3 10.8	14 - 14	5.4 5.4	12 12	10.B 5.4	13 17		2 <b>9.3</b> 28.1	18 17	1.1 2.2	20 14	
ORANGE	1986 1985	114.3 106.8		65.0 68.1	17 15	17.7 17.7	18 15	12.4	21 18	18.1 23.4	13 11 -	12.1 10.8	20 23	7	31 30	4.3 5.0	31 27	27.7 19.6	7 8	12.1 7.2	- 6 7	15.6 12.6	10		20.9 20.5	31 30	.7	29 41	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	144.0 120.1		72.0 63.9	14 17	23.1 17.9	9 13	5.1 7.7	47 30	7.7 5.1	<b>25</b> 33	10.3 12.8	27 19	2.6	13 15	23.1 17.9	2	7.7 7.7	25 19	5.1 5.1	13 13	2.6 2.6	38 34		64.3 16.0	<b>5</b> 5	.0 2.6	33 11	
OSNEGO -	1986 1985	51.0 48.7		26.8 26.0	45 44	6.7 5.0	46 49	5.9 4.2	44 48	5.9 7.6	29 26	5.9 6.7	41 38	.0	<b>32</b> 32	2.5 2.5	40 37	3.3 2.5	44 44	1.7 1.7	38 35	1.7	44 50		20.9 19.3	32 32	.0 .B	33 35	
OTSEGO	1986 1985	47.3 43.8		16.9 15.2	56 54	6.8 5.1	44 48	5.1 6.7	48 36	3.4 1.7	<b>43</b> 49	1.7	<b>53</b> 52	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	11.8 6.7	17 25	3.4 3.4	24 19	6.4 3.4	17 28		1 <b>6.9</b> 20.2	39 31	1.7	14 22	

## INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

											ν.	ialent	Felonie								Drug Fel				215		V11		
			Tot	al	Tot	al	Hoai		Sex Of		Robb		Durg		Weap		Gth	:r	Tota	l	Posses	sion	Sa		Other Felor		Youth		
COUNTY	Year			Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate	Rank	
PUTNAH	1986 1985		63.7 51.9	35 42	23.3 23.5	47 46	12.3 11.1	31 32	2.5 3.7	54 52	3.7 2.5	36 44	3.7 3.7	4B 46	.0	32 32	1.2	49 39	27.0 22.3	B 7	20.8 12.4	2 4	6.1 9.9	19	13.5 6.2	47 57	.0	33 42	
QUEENS	1986 1985		187.1 159.1	6	125.1 117.4	<b>6</b>	29.0 27.7	5 5	9.4 8.6	29 27	52.9 48.8	4	20.4 18.2	9	5.4 6.5	. 4	9.1 7.7	13 14	32.0 18.3	6 10	11.5	7 8	20.4 11.5	- 6 9	26.9 21.3	21 29	3.1 2.0	8 16	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		60.6 58.1	36 35	40.2 44.9	31 26	18.5 17.8	16 14	2.6 4.0	53 50-	5.3 5.9	31 32	7.3 8.6	33	1.3	30 22	5.9 7.3	19 17	2.6 1.3	47 52	2.0 1.3	33 37	.7	48 51	17.8 11.9	38 50	.0	33 42	
RICHMOND	1986 1985		104.6 95.2	17 18	76.9 : 75.2	13 11	21.6 17.7	13 16	7.2 5.9	35 39	25.9 26.7	10 10	14.9 15.5	15 13	2.7 3.2	11 - 12	4.5 6.2	28 22	12.3 3.7	16 40	2.4 1.3	30 36	9.9 2.4	14 39	14.9 15.8	45 41	.5 .5	32 38	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		98.5 81.1	22 23	54.9 47.5	22 23	13.9 14.3	27 22	6.4 4.5	39 47	13.5 10.2	17 20	10.1 13.6	12 15	1.1	27 20	1.9 3.4	46 34	21.8 13.6	11 12	3.4 2.6	23 24	18.4 10.9	8 - 11	21.1 10.9	30 34	.8 1.1	2B 32	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		38.8	4B 51	20.4 19.4	51 51	6.2	48 43	6.2 5.3	42 43	3.5 2.6	39 43	3.5 4.4	4 P 4 4	.0	32 29	.9	52 52	1.B .9	50 54	1.8	37 42	.0	49 49	16.8 16.8	40 39	.9 1.B	25 21	
SARATOGA	1986 1985		43.9 45.8	47 44	26.8 30.3	44 38	7.3 7.4	41 38	9.8 9.9	27 20	3.7	37 48	4.9 B.7	46 32	.0	32 32	1.2 2.5	50 38	4.3	33 32	1.2	42 39	3.7 3.1	30 29	11.6 10.5	52 53	.6	31 37	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		57.9 54.5	38 40	39.3 34.5	32 34	2.7 1.3	58 57	14.0 12.6	15 11	9.3 10.6	24 18	6.6 6.6	40 39	2.0 1.3	19 21	5.3 2.0	23 42	2.0 2.0	49 50	1.3	41 42	.7 2.0	47 - 43	16.0 16.6	42 40	.7 1.3	30 27	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	•	50.3 57.0	43 36	40.3 47.0	30 24	6.7 6.7	45 41	16.8	11	3.4 6.7	44 28	10.1 13.4	30 16	.0	32 32	3.4 10.1	37	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	10.1 10.1	56 54	.0	33 42	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		23.0 22.8	60 56	17.2 5.7	55 60	.0 5.7	46	5.7 .0	45 59	5.7 .0	30 52	5.7 .0	42 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	5.7 17.1	62 38	.0	33 42	
SENECA	1985 1985	. *	37.4 18.3	53 57	18.7 12.2	54 57	9.3 6.1	36 44	6.2 .0	41 59	.0	55 52	3.1 3.1	50 49	.0	32 32	3.1	53 36	3.1	45 55	3.1	28 42	.0	49 51	15.6 6.1	43 58	.0	33 42	
STEUBEN	1984 1985		54.7 59.9	40 31	39.2 45.2	.33 25	11.4 12.3	34 26	13.4 14.4	18 8	1.0 2.1	54 46	9.3 10.3	31 26	.0	32 32	4.1 6.2	33 21	4.1 3.1	36 43	.0	43 42	4.1 3.1	27 30	10.3 18.5	55 35	1.0 3.1	22 9	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		116.8 112.7	14 12	70.8 68.3	15 14	15.9 15.3	21 19	9.8 9.1	26 25	23.1 22.2	11 12	15.9 16.2	11	1.1	29 26	5.0 4.3	25 31	22.0 19.3	10 9	3.4 3.1	25 21	18.7 16.1	7 7	23.0 23.8	25 24	1.0	23 28	

**B-99** 

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

		Releas To Par	role	Unde Supervi During	ision Year#	Und Superv On 1	ision 2/31	Tota	ıl	Rui Violat		Ne: Arres		New Fe Convict	tions
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	198 <b>6</b> 1985	8 <b>6.5</b> 70.8	4 5	229.0 175.5	4	166.9 142.3	4.	84.7 77.1	27 19	15.4 18.0	25 23	49.3 55.9	22 12	20.0 25.2	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	11.9 11.8	- 54 48	33.7 51.1	52 39	15.8 21.6		.0 38.5	52 42	 .0	38 32	.0 38.5	49 25	.0	
BRONX	1994 1985	191.4 181.3	1	549.8 535.8	1	384.4 361.1		112.3 126.7	10 P	38.5 40.3	5 6	31.1 36.1	38 27	<b>42.7</b> 50.3	
BROOKE	1984 1985	23.7 39.1	34 14	90.6 95.6	22 20	56.9 66.4	<b>26</b> 20	151.8 123.2	4 11	15.7 24.6	24 15	47.1 69.0	24 8	89.0 29.6	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	15.2 11.7	50 49	32.B 35.2	53 51	27.0 17.6		.0 33.3	52 44	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	33.3	36 18
CAYUGA	1986 1985	21.3 16.3	41 42	56.3 55.1	39 35	40.1 35.1	36 37	88.9 22.7	25 48	.0	36 32	66.7 22.7	11 37	22.2	
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	20.3 22.2	44 36	52.4 43.7	42 44	36.3 31.9		26.7 47.6	51 41	.0	36 32	.0 31.7	49 30	26.7 15.9	25 32
CHEMUNS	1986 1985	63.0 56.5	8	144.8 123.9	9 10	91.7 B0.4		145.0 157.9	4	7.6 17.5	34 24	91.6 96.5	5	45.8 43.9	10 7
CHENANGO	1986 1985	B.0 16.0	59 43	40.0 36.0	51 50	26.0 32.0		150.0 55.6	<b>5</b> 35	.0	34 32	50.0 55.6	20 13	100.0	1 35
CLINTON	1984 1985	29.6 29.4	24 24	73.9 67.4	28 28	48.0 44.1		83.3 72.7	29 27	16.7 36.4	23 9	50.0	20 43	16.7 36.4	35 12
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	47.8 23.1	11 33	82.4 61.1	26 31	62.6 34.7		40.0 54.1	4B 37	20.0	21 32	20.0 54.1	47 . 16	.0	

			PAR	DLE SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S 						EVOKED #	AND RETURNE	) PAROLEI	ES ##	v
		Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Unde Supervi On 12	sion		Tota	l .	Rul Violal		Nei Arre:		New F Convic	•
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	27.5 25.3	25 30	88.6 69.6	24 26	61.2 59.1	24 24	•	47.6 60.6	47 33	23.B .0	17 32	23.8 30.3	46 32	30.3	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	6.4 6.4	60 58	17.0 17.1	&1 59	17.0 10.7	58 59		.0	52 51	.0	36 32	0	49 43	.0	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	33.9 41.9	17 12	119.5 121.5	12 11	80.2 86.2	- 14 10		81.4 129.0	8 30	32.6 35.5	10 10	26.1 58.1	44 11	22.8 35.5	
ERIE-	1984 1985	31.8 34.5	20 17	94.7 100.6	19 17	66.0 62.5	20 22		98.5 116.7	22 12	9.9 19.4	32 22	60.2 80.9	13 5	29.5 16.4	
ESSEX	1986 1985	27.5 1.1	28 51	60.6 46.7	35 42	52.3 33.0	29 41		.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	0	
FRANKLIH	1986 1985	20.5 22.8	42 34	41.1 45.7	48 43	32.0 20.6	48 51		166.7	2 51	55.6 .0	3 32	111.1 .0	1 43	.0	
FULTON	1986 1985	27.5 30.7	29 23	71.4 63.2	29 30	47.6 43.3	31 29		76.9 28.6	35 46	.0	36 32	76.9	B 43	.0 28.6	
GENESEE	1986 1985	25.5 15.2	32 44	62.9 57.6	33 34	45.9 37.3	32 35		108.1 264.7	14	27.0 147.1	12 2	81.1 88.2	7	.0 29.4	
BREENE	1986 1985	16.6 9.6	47 53	40.4 38.6	50 46	35.6 24.1	43 48		.0 62.5	52 31	.0	36 32	.0 .0	49 43	.0 62.5	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	20.0	45 62	20.0	59 62	20.0	54 62		.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0	
HERKIMER	1986 1985	9.0 6.0	57 59	26.9 14.9	56 60	19.4 17.9	57 53		111.1	11. 51	55.6	3 32	55.6 .0	16 43	.0	

PARM F	CHPERVISION	CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

		Releases To Parole	Under Supervision During Year*	Under i Supervision On 12/31	Total		ule ations	Nex Arrests	Hew Felony Convictions
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank Rate	Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	22.1 38 28.2 26	59.6 36 54.2 37	37.5 40 38.4 33	111.1 62.5	11 31 20	0 36 B 20	37.0 31 41.7 24	74.1 4 .0 35
KINGS	1986 1985	117.9 3 104.1 3	319.4 3 300.7 3	218.9 3 202.4 3	104.7 104.7	20 33. 15 33.		26.4 43 28.3 33	44.6 12 42.8 9
LENIS	1986 1985	11.9 55 4.0 61	19.8 60 11.9 61	15.8 &0 7.9 &1	.0		0 36 0 32	.0 49 .0 43	.0 35
LIVINGETON	1986 1985	27.3 30 27.4 28	64.8 32 58.3 33	44.4 34 37.7 34	78.9 .0	34 26. 51	3 13 0 32	52.6 19 .0 43	.0 36 .0 35
MADISON	1986 1985	12.0 53 12.0 47	28.5 55 30.0 54	19.5 56 16.5 56	105.3		0 3å 0 32	105.3 2 .0 43	.0 36 .0 35
MONROE	1986 1985	61.8 7 60.9 7	171.5 7 155.6 7	123.3 7 109.4 7	@3.0 104.8	26 13. 14 24.		56.4 15 59.3 10	18.3 34 21.0 29
HONTGOKERY	1986 1985	23.0 36 15.2 45	46.1 44 36.2 49	36.5 41 22.8 49	.0 52.6	52 38	0 36 0 32	.0 49 52.6 17	.0 36 .0 35
NASSAU	1986 1985	30.5 22 26.4 29	86.8 25 74.1 24	61.2 23 56.0 25	114.9 96.3	8 26. 21 23.		40.9 27 30.4 31	47.9 7 42.6 11
NEW YORK	1986 1785	129.7 2 112.8 2	. 335.2 2 307.2 2	225.4 2 205.2 2	143.1 135.5	7 57. 6 44.		31.5 36 37.4 26	54.5 5 53.2 3
NIAGARA	1986 1985	45.6 12 47.1 11	125.9 11 121.0 12	77.5 16 80.4 12		35 11. 30 11.		40.3 28 45.8 22	25.6 28 7.5 34
ONEIDA	1986 1985	30.2 23 31.5 21	80.9 27 71.8 25	56.3 27 50.3 26	84.6 136.9	20 5. 5 33.		54.7 19 55.6 13	24.9 29 50.0 &

PAROLE	SUPERVISION	CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

		•	Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	ision	Unde Supervi On 12	sion		Total		Rule Violations		New Arrests		New Felony Convictions	
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ONOKDAGA	1986 1985		49.4 47.9	10 10	137.7 142.1	10	100.6 87.9	9		79.9 130.1	32 7	25.1 45.4	15 4	28.2 49.9	41 19	26.6 34.B	
ONTAR10	1986 1985		31.5 28.1	21 27	69.3 67.1	30 29	55.3 36.8	28 - 36	•	79.4 96.8	33 20	.0 16.1	36 25	31.7 48.4	35 20	47.6 32.3	
ORANGE	1986 1985		29.5 24.9	26 31	89.5 91.9	23 22	58.9 60.9	25 23		75.4 66.7	- 37 29	7.9 19.6	33 21	35.7 23.5	34 - 36	31.7 23.5	
ORLEANS	1986; 1985		33.4 - 33.2	1B 20	105.4 92.0	17 21	72.0 71.5	18 16	.•	73.2 27.8	39 47	24.4	16 32	.0 27.9	49 34	48.8 .0	
OSNEGO	1986 1985		21.7 18.5	39 40	53.5 47.8	41 - 41	41.8 31.9	35 43		31.3	50 · 51	.0	36 32	31.3	37 43	.0	
OTSEGO	1986 1985		B.4 6.7	58 57	23.6 32.0	57 52	20.3 15.2	53 59	.•	71.4 52.6	40 38	.0	36 32	71.4 52.6	9 17	.0	
PUTNAH	1986 1985	•	15.9 11.1	48 50	40.4 38.3	49 47	35.5 24.7	4 <b>4</b> 47		40.6 32.3	44 45	.0	36 32	.0 20.3	39 43	30.3 32.3	
QUEENS	1986 1985		68.2 64.8	5 6	194.6 183.0	5 5	136.2 125.8	5 6		107.1 115.1	15 13	34.2 36.5	8	28.9 35.9	40 28	44.1 42.7	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		32.3 28.4	19 25	99.5 99.8	18 18	67.9 67.4	19 19		106.0 125.8	16 10	13.2 13.2	27 28	46.4 79.5	25 6	46.4 33.1	. 9 19
RICHHOND	1986 1985		40.3 35.3	13 16	114.3 110.5	14 13	82.2 74.1	12 14		67.9 94.4	<b>42</b> 22	11.7 21.8	29 19	25.7 21.8	45 38	30.4 50.8	21 4
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		16.9 20.0	46 38	56.4 53.9	38 38	39.5 39.4	37 30		80.0 55.9	31 34	33.3 14.0	8 26	26.7 21.0	42 39	20.0 21.0	

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

		Relea To Pai		Unde Supervi During	sion	Undi Supervi On 1:	ision	 Tota	ıl .	Rul Violat		Ne: Arres		New Fr Convict	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	20.4 27.0	43 37	67.4 60.0	31 32	45.2 46.7	33 27	39.5 73.5	49 26	13.2	20 32	.0 14.7	49 42	26.3 58.8	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	4.9 5.0	62 60	12.8 17.9	62 58	8.5 8.0	62 60	190.5 103.4	1 16	.0 34.5	36 11	95.2 69.0	4 8	95.2 .0	
SCHENECTADY	1985 1985	26.0 33.9	31 19	94.5 97.0	20 19	61.9 68.4	22 - 18	105.6 102.7	17 18	21.1 6.8	20 31	42.3 75.3	26 7	42.3 20.5	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	13.4 13.4	52 46	30.2 20.1	54 56	23.5 16.8	<b>52</b> 55	.0 166.7	<b>52</b> 3 -	.0 166.7	36 1	.0	49 43		
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	28.7 34.3	27 18	92.0 102.8	21 16	80.5 62.8	13 21	62.5 55.6	43 35	.0	36 32	62.5 55.6	12 13 -	.0 .0	
SENECA	1986 1985	59.2 82.4	B 4	190.0 167.9	6	127.7 128.2	- 6 5	49.2	46 51	32.8 .0	9 32	16.4	48 43	.0.	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	15.5 22.6	49 35	54.7 54.4	40 36	35.1 39.0	46 31	113.2 18.9	9 49	18.9 .0	22 32	56.6 18.9	14 40	37.7 .0	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	37.8 31.1	15 22	106.5 83.5	16 23	74.6 68.6	17 17	104.5 - 81.1	21 25	22.9 13.7	19 27	36.5 24.6	33 35	45.1 42.8	
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	51.0 50.3	9	147.2 127.2	8	107.9 97.6	8	108.9	13 23	29.7 11.6	11 29	. 39.6 46.5	29 21	39.6 34.9	
TIDGA	1986 1985	23.5 9.9	35 52	56.9 37.7	37 4B	39.2 33.7	3B 40	69.0 52.6	41 38	.0 52.6	3£	0.94 0.	10 43	.0	
TOHPKINS	1986 1985	24.0 9.1	33 54	43.4 30.6	46 53	35.4 19.3	<b>45</b> 52	105.3 185.2	18 2	.0 37.0	36 7	105.3 148.1	2 1	.0	

DAROL E	CHECONTEINN	LACER

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

										**************************************												
		Releases To Parole		Under Supervision During Year*		Und Superv On 1			Total		Rule Violations		Nes Arres		New Felony Convictions							
COUNTY	Year	-	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Pank -		Sate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank					
ULSTER	1986 1985		39.0 36.6	- 14 - 15	112.1 105.6	15 15	79.8 73.3			97.8 69.4	23 28	10.9 23.1	31 18	48.9 34.7	23 29	30.0 11.6	17 33					
HARREN	1986 1985		21.6 7.2	40 56	48.6 50.3	43 40	30.6 27.0			.0 35.7	52 43	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 35.7	36 13					
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		5.3 8.8	61 55	21.1 19.4	59 57	12.3 15.9			166.7 90.9	2 24	.0 03.3	1 32	93.3 90.9	6 3	.0 .0						
HAYNE	1986 1985		22.7 17.1	37 41	61.4 68.5	34 27	38.6 38.8	39 32		74.1 16.7	38 50	.0	36 32	37.0 16.7	31 41	37.0 .0	19 35					
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	• .	36.1 40.0	16 13	115.2 109.0	13 14	82.9 78.8	11 13		89.5 1∜2.9	24 17	23.1 25.5	18 14	37.2 43.5	30 23	29.2 33.9	24 17					
MAONINE	1986 1985		7.8 24.6		44.1 41.8	45 45	19.6 34.4	55 39		55.6	45 51	.0	36 32	55.6 .0	16 43	.0	3£					
YATES	1986 1985		14.2 18.6		42.5 27.9	47 55	33.0 27.9			.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0 .0	49 43	.0	36 35					
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985		72.8 67.9	NA NA	210.2 195.5	NA NA	147.3 137.3	NA NA	•	121.0 116.1	NA NA	37.6 34.1	NA NA	42.1 41.3	NA NA	41.4 40.8	na Na					
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		115.2 104.4	NA NA	316.9 299.2	na Na	218.5 201.9	NA NA		114.9 119.1	NA NA .	39.8 38.2	NA NA	29.2 33.6	NA NA	45.8 47.3	na Na					

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

^{*} The total number of parclees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

^{**} The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS *

			ictions		•	ttals		Disnissals		Nat Prosec		cuted F		Removed To Family Court		Other			Total
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent		Percent			Percent			Percent			Percent		r Percent
ALDAHY	1986 1985	9 5	86.7 38.5	• ,		.0 .0	0	.0		0	.0		3	25.0 61.5		. 1 0	8,3 .0		2 100.0 3 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	0	HA NA		0	NA NA	0	ra Ah		0	NA NA		0	NA NA			NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	72 89	52.6 71.8		2 0	1.5	38 21	27.7 16.9		. 7 5			10 4	7.3 3.2		8 5	5.8 4.0	13 12	
BROOME	1986 1985	0	NA 100.0		0	NA .0	0	NA . O		0	NA .0		0	НА .0		0	NA .0		0 100.0 1 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	na Na		0	NA NA		0	NA Hạ		0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0
CAYUSA	1986 1985	Û	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA Na		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	, 0	NA 100.0		0	NA .0	0	NA . O		0	HA .O		0	NA .0		0	NA .0		0 100.0 1 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	•	0	na Na		0 100.0 0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	0	NA 100.0		0	NA .0	- 0 0	NA . O		0	NA .0		0	HA .0		0	NA .0		0 100.0 2 100.0
COLUHBIA	1986 1985	0	.0 NA		0	.O NA	0	. O NA		0	.O		1 0	0.001 AH		0	.O NA		1 100.0 0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	0			0	NA NA	0			.0	NA NA	-	0	NA KA		Ö	na Na		0 100.0 0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	0			0	. NA NA	0	NA NA		0	AN AN		0	HA NA		0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

			ctions		ttals		issals			ecuted	Remov Family		0	ther		To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent	Number	Percent	Number		Nual	er 1	Percent	•	Percent	Nusber			Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1786 1785	0 1	.0 25.0	0	.0 25.0	0.1	. 0 25.0		0	.0	1 1	100.0 25.0	0	.0		. 1	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	4 2	30.8 8.7	I 1	7.7 4.3	5 14	38.5 60.7		0	.0	2	15.4 17.4	1 2		•	13 23	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	AA AA	0	NA NA	· . · .	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0			0	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	0	NA .o	0	НА . 0	0	NA .0		0	NA .0	0 - 1	NA 100.0	0			0 1	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	0	AA AA	0	na Na	0	NA HA		0	NA NA	<b>0</b> 0	NA Na	0			0	100.0 100.0
BEKESEE	1986 1985	0	. NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na		0 0	NA NA	0	na Na	" 0 0			0	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	na Na	0.0	NA NA	. 0			0	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	na Na	0			. 0	100.0
HERKIMER	1986 . 1985	0	HA NA	0	na Ak	0	NA NA		0	na Na	0	na Na	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	2 0		0	. O NA	 0	.O NA		0	. O NA	· 0	.O NA	. 0			2	100.0
KINGS	1985 1985	138 92	. 32.8 24.7	2 4	.5 1.1	89 55	21.1 14.8		35 28	0.3 7.5	153 192	34.3 51.6	5 0			421 372	100.0 100.0
LEWIS	1986 1985	0		0	na Na	0	na Ha		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0			0	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	0	NA NA	- 0 0	NA NA	0	MA NA		0	NA NA	. 0	HA NA	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS #

		· -	Convi	ctions			ittals		ssals	*******		osecuted	Rem Famil	oved To Ly Court		her	-	Total	•.
COUNTY	Year			Percent			Percent		Percent			Percent		Percent		Percent		er Percent	i -
MADISON	1986 1985		i 0	100.0 NA		0	. O NA	0	. 0 NA		0	. O NA	·	) .0 ) NA	0	. O Na		1 100.0 0 100.0	
MOHROE *	1986 1985		- 1 6	33.3 25.0		0	.0	0 1	.0 4.2		0 2	.0 8.3	; i:			.0 12.5		3 100.0 24 100.0	
MONTGOMERY	1986 1985		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	. 0 0	NA NA		0	AA AA	· · · (		0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0	
NASSAU	1986 1985		8	53.3 50.0		0	.0	1 0	6.7		1 0	6.7	3	20.0 50.0	1 0	6.7		15 100.0 B 100.0	
NEW YORK	1986 1985		87 87	39.2 35.4	•	1	.5	93 93	41.9 40.2		11 16	5.0 6.5	30 35		0	1.2		22 100.0 46 100.0	
MIAGARA	1986 1985		0	66.7		0	.0	1	33.3 100.0		0	.0	(	• • •	0	.0		3 100.0 1 100.0	
ONEIDA	1986 1985		1	33.3 100.0		0	.0	0	.0		1 0	.0 22.2			.: 0	.0		3 100.0 1 100.0	
ONONDAGA •	1986 1985		<b>5</b> 8	55.6 53.3		0	.0	2	22.2 6.7		0	.0	2		,0 0	.0		9 100.0 15 100:0	
ONTARIO	1986 1985		0	na Na		0	na Na	0	HA HA		0	na Na	(		0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0	
ORANGE	1986 1985		0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	(		0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0	
ORLEANS	1986 1985		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	AN AN		0	NA NA	( (		0 0	NA KA		0 100.0 0 100.0	
OSWEED	1985 1985		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	(	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0	
OTSE60	1986 1985		0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	na Na	*	0	: NA NA	(		0	NA NA		0 100.0 0 100.0	

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS *

				ctions		-	ittals	 Dismi	ssals			secuted		Remov Family	Court		Oth	Br		otal
COUNTY	ar			Percent			Percent	Number	Percent		•	Percent	•		Percent	Nu		Percent		Percent
PUTNAK	86 85		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0 0	NA NA		0	HA NA		0	NA NA	0	
QUEENS	86 85		36 36	46.9 37.5		3	2.3 2.1	30 23	23.4 24.0		0	1.0		35 36	27.3 37.5		1	.0	128 78	
RENSSELAER .	86 85		0	NA NA		0		0	NA NA		0	na Na "		0	HA NA		0	NA NA	(	
RICHNOND	86 85	*	2 2	16.7 20.0		0	.0	. 3 5	25.0 50.0		3 2	25.0 20.0		, · 4 1	33.3 10.0	į	0	.0	12 10	
ROCKLAND	86 85		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	n •	0	na Na		0	na Na		0	na Na	0	
ST. LAWRENCE	86 85		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	· 0	NA NA		0	NA NA			NA NA		0	na Na	0	10010
SARATOBA	86 185		0	NA . O		0	NA .0	0	NA .0		. 0	NA .0		. 0	NA .0		0	NA 100.0	0	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	86 85		0	. O NA		:0	.0 NA	. 0	.O NA		0	, O NA			100.0 NA		0	.0 NA	· 1	
SCHOHARIE	186 185		0.	NA NA		0	AA An		NA NA		0			- 0 0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	
SCHUYLER	186 185		0	NA , Na	-	0	na Ha	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	HA NA		0	na Na	. 0	
SENECA	186 185		.0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA ·		Q Ú	na Na		0	NA NA		0	na Na	Ċ	
STEUDEN	18 <b>6</b> 185		0	HA .0		- O	NA .O	0	NA 100.0		0	NA .0		0	NA ·		0	NA .0	. 0	
SUFFOLK	786 785		9 16	36.4 30.2		1 0	4.5	1	4.5 1.9		0	.0		8 31	36.4 58.5		<b>4</b> 5	18.2 9.4	22 53	

#### JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS +

		Convictions	Acquittals	Disaissals	Not Prosecuted	Removed To Family Court Other	Total
COUNTY	Year	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent Number Percent	Number Percent
SULLIVAN	1986	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	O NA	AN O	O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0
T106A	1984	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 NA 0 NA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 NA 0 NA	0 100.0
TOHPKINS	1986	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O HA O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O HA O NA	0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	0 NA 1 100.0	0 NA 0 .0	0 AA 0 .0	0 .0	O NA O NA O .O O .G	0 100.0 1 100.0
WARREN	1986	О НА	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0
	1985	О АН	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0
HASHINGTON	1986	АК О	O NA	0 AA	O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0
	1985	АК О	O AA	A 0	O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0
WAYNE	1986	1 100.0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0 0 .0	1 100.0
	1985	0 NA	0 HA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA 0 NA	0 100.0
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	10 76.9 14 70.0	0 .0 1 5.0	0 .0 2 10.0	2 15.4 0 .0	i 7.7 0 .0 .0 3 15.0 0 .0	13 100.0 20 100.0
HAONING	1986 1985	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA	O NA O NA O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
YATES	1986	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986	410 40.2	10 1.0	263 25.8	60 5.9	257 25.2 21 2.1	1,021 100.0
	1985	369 36.2	10 1.0	225 22.1	54 5.3	342 33.6 19 1.9	1,019 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986	359 39.0	8 .9	253 27.5	56 6.1	232 25.2 14 1.5	921 100.0
	1985	307 36.2	7 .8	203 23.9	52 6.1	272 32.0 8 .9	849 100.0

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: •NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
ALBANY	1786 1785	87.5 100.0	.0	.0	12.5 .0	.0	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1984	NA	NA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	NA	100.0
BRONX	1986	37.5	12.5	8.9	38.9	4,2	100.0
	1985	31.5	14.6	7.9	42.7	3,4	100.0
BROOME	1986	NA	NA	NA	AA	MA	100.0
	1995	100.0	. 0	.0	. 0	.0	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1984	NA	na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
CAYUGA	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	HA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	NA	100.0
CHAUTAUDUA	1986	NA	NA	NA	HA	NA	100.0
	1985	100.0	. O	.O	. 0	.0	100.9
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	NA Na	NA NA	NA NA	na Na	NA NA	100.0
CHENANGO .	1986	NA	NA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	NA	100.0
CLINTON	1988	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	50.0	. O	. O	50.0	.0	100.0
COLUNDIA	1986	na	na	KA	NA	AA	100.0
	1985	Na	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
CORTLAND	1986	HA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Ka	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
DELAWARE	1986	NA	NA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	NA	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS A

COUNTY		ear	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
DUTCHESS	1	984 1985	NA •0	NA . 0	NA . 0	NA 100.0	NA .0	100.0 100.0
-ERIE	1	984 985	75.0 50.0	25.0 .0	.0	.0 50.0	.0	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	i 1	984 985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	HA NA	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN		1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	ra Na	na Na	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1	1986 1985	NA Ņa	NA Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
6ENESEE		1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	HA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
HANILTON	1	1986 1985	na Na	NA . NA	NA NA	na Na	na Na	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	<u>.</u>	1986 1985	na Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	, NA NA	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	.1	1986 1985	100.0 NA	.O NA	.o Na	.O Na	.O NA	100.0 100.0
KINES	)	1986 1985	29.0 37.0	8.7 12.0	20.3 17.4	39.1 30.4	2.9 3.3	100.0 100.0
LENIS		1986 1985	RA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	na Na	100.0 100.0
LIVINSSTON		1986 1985	NA NA	NA Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probatio	n Probation	Other	Total Convictions
MADISON	1984 1985	100.0 Na	.O Na	.O NA	.0 NA	.O Na	100.0 100.0
NONROE	1986 1985	100.0 16.7	.0	.0 66.7	.0	.0 .0	100.0 100.0
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	AA AA	NA Ha	NA NA	NA NA	na Na	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	62. <b>5</b> 50.0	12.5 .0	.0 .0	25.0 25.0	.0 25.0	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	39.1 52.9	2.3 12.6	3.4 1.1	55.2 29.9	.0 3.4	100.0 100.0
MIAGARA	1986 1985	100.0 NA	. O . AK	.0 Na	.0 NA	.0 Na	100.0 100.0
GNELDA	1985 1985	.0	.0	.0 100.0	100.0	.0	100.0 100.0
DNONDAGA	1984 1985	.0 50.0	20.0	.0	B0.0 50.0	.0	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	NA NA	na Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
ORANGÉ	.1986 1985	NA NA	na Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	NA Na	na Na	NA Na	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
OSHEGO	1986 1985	HA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	1988 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS *

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
PUTNAM	1986	NA	AR	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	Na	100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	20.0 36.1	0.3 5.6	25.0 2.8	45.0 47.2	1.7 8.3	100.0
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	50.0 50.0	.0	.0	50.0 50.0	.0	100.0 : 100.0
ROCKLAND	1984	KA	NA	HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	KA	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986	NA	MA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	NA	100.0
SARATOGA .	1986	NA	na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1984	NA	na	NA	NA	HA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	NA Na	NA NA	NA RA	HA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986	NA	NA	NA	H9	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
STEUDEN	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
SUFFOLK	1986	25.0	12.5	.0	50.0	12.5	100.0
	1985	18.8	12.5	37.5	18.8	12.5	100.0

TABLE 12B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS *

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail		Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	na Na	NA NA		NA NA	na Na	NA Na	100.0 100.0
TIOGA	1904 1985	NA NA	NA NA		NA NA	na Na	na Na	100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1984 1985	NA NA	NA HA		HA HA	na Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	NA . O	NA .0	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NA .0	NA .O	NA 100.0	100. <u>0</u> 100.0
WARREN	1786 1785	na Na	NA NA		na Na	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	AA AH	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	KA NA	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1984 1985	100.0 NA	.0 AK		.0 NA	. <b>0</b> NA	.O NA	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1984 1985	40.0 42.9	10.0		40.0 28.6	10.0 14.3	.0 14.3	100.0 100.0
MADMIHE	1986 1985	NA Na	NA NA		NA NA L	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
YATES	.1986 1985	NA HA	NA NA		na Na	NA NA	na Na	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	34.6 39.8	8.0 10.8		13.4 10.6	41.7 33.6	2.2 4.9	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	31.8 39.7	7.8 12.1		14.2 8.1	<b>44.0</b> 36.2	2.2 3.9	100.0 100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in aultiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

### APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1984 -1986

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) .

COUNTY		1984 Arrests	5	* **	1985 Arrests			1986 Arrest	.5		OF DISPOSED	
	Total	Felony	Hisdemeanor	Total	Felony	Hisdemeanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor
			***						*******			
ALBANY	88.2	84.3	89.8	91.2	67.2	92.7	79.1	73.0	91.4	86.0	91.3	87.9
ALLEGANY	57.6	61.6	54.5	58.3	55.9	58.9	52.4	44.3	55.3	56.0	52.9	56.9
BRONX	89.4	70.2	88.3	87.8	89.4	85.8	74.8	75.3	74.1	83.5	84.4	82.5
BROOME	82.1	84.3	81.4	90.7	79.9	81.0	71.3	67.3	72.5	77.9	77.1	78.1
CATTARAUGUS	67.8	40.5	69.1	70.0	65.4	71.1	41.1	53.7	62.8	66.1	59.5	67.5
CAYU6A	6B.4	72.3	67.5	76,2	80.2	75.1	52.0	51.8	52.1	66.2	69.0	<b>65.8</b>
CHAUTAUQUA	78.5	73, 1	79.7	82.3	77.8	83.3	70.9	48.4	71.5	77.1	72.9	78.0
CHENUNG	80.3	84.6	79.1	B1.3	79.0	81.9	69.1	62.7	71.0	76.9	75.4	77.4
CHENANGO	65.3	5B.9	66.6	70.7	74.2	70.1	51.2	48.6	63.3	45. 9	60.9	46.8
CLINTON	76.1	76.6	76.0	74.1	72.0	74.7	59.5	53.2	61.7	69.9	45.9	71.0
COLUMBIA	74.6	75.8	74.2	74.9	73.2	75.4	69.B	53.2 67.5	70.5	73.2	72.3	73.5
CORTLAND	82.8	85.1	82.5	80.5	78.5	80.8	62.2	58.2	63.1	75.1	72.4	75.5 75.6
DELAWARE	67.5	72.1	69.0	73.8	72.5	74.0	59.0		59.3			
DUTCHESS	85.2	62.1	84.0 86.1	86.2	72.3 94.0	27.0 27.0	67.5	58.1	39.3 70.0	67.3	66.8	67.5 81.2
ERIE	83.6	85.0	83.1	84.9	85.5	84.7	70.5	60.7		79.6	75.1	
ESSEX	73.9							73.2	69.5	79.5	81.1	78.9
RANKLIN		68.B	75.4	76.3	71.3	77.4	63.3	55.2	66.1	70.8	43.8	72.8
	75.7	76.2	75.6	74.1	73.0	74.3	68.5	63.6	69.3	72.5	70.9	72.9
FULTON	78.8	81.9	77.8	79.7	78.0	80.2	74.8	67.8	76.4	77.B	76.9	78.1
GENESEE	48.4	75.3	67.1	69.7	58.2	72.3	62.8	49.3	66.1	66.8	59.8	68.5
GREENE	75.9	71.6	77.7	77.7	75.6	78.4	69.5	64.7	71.1	73.9	70.1	75.3
HANILTON	68.8	88.9	66.2	50.0	83.3	39.5	47.6	50.0	47.1	54.8	73.5	50.5
HERKIHER	62.1	67.8	60.7	67.3	68.7	67.0	52.1	48.6	53.3	60.4	60.2	60.5
JEFFERSON	- 71.5	76.9	69.5	72.1	75.0	71.1	67.0	64.6	68.1	70.2	71.7	49.6
(INGS	96.3	86.9	85.4	82.3	83.6	B0.7	6.3	68.6	63.3	77.4	79.0	75.2
LENIS	61.7	68.8	59.9	65.3	81.3	60.6	47.5	54.5	44.4	58.9	48.0	55.9
LIVINGSTON	76.9	73.6	77.4	78.0	76.2	78.2	60.4	48.4	62.5	71.6	64.2	72.7
MADISON	73.7	76.2	73.2	72.4	67.9	73.4	64.5	59.4	65.7	69.9	67.1	70.5
MONROE	89.3	83.8	90.9	72.4	70.5	73.0	59.0	51.9	61.2	72.9	67.5	74.5
HONTGOHERY	72.9	77.5	71.9	72.1	76.1	71.3	58.2	52.7	59.3	67.2	67.8	67.1
NASSAU	88.6	90.9	B7.7	80.1	85.5	77.6	55.7	61.6	53.1	74.7	79.0	72.9
NEW YORK	90.9	90.9	90.9	90.2	89.4	90.4	79.4	77.5	B0.5	86.5	85.7	87.0
MAGARA	90.4	89.1	90.8	90.6	85.9	92.0	77.6	66.2	81.0	B5.8	79.9	87.6
PHEIDA	75.1	74.7	75.2	74.6	77.7	73.6	64.1	61.6	64.9	71.0	71.1	71.0
INONDAGA	81.4	74.9	- 84.3	78. Ź	71.0	81.9	54.9	46.8	58.8	71.2	63.9	74.7
DNTARIO	72.7	74.0	72.4	70.4	77,2	69.0	65.6	69.7	64.8	69.3	73.4	48.4
DRANGE	80.6	79.0	81.1	81.5	78.0	82.7	57.6	49.2	60.5	72.6	67.B	74.3
ORLEANS	49.4	75.9	67.8	70.7	78.3	67.2	55.6	54.0	56.3	65.3	49.2	63.9
OSWEGO	79.6	77.3	80.0	76.B	70.5	78.5	62.2	56.7	63.1	72.6	48.1	73.7
OTSEGO	71.6	63.9	73.1	77.6	75.0	79.1	62.9	50.5	53.4	70.9	55.7	71.7
PUTNAN	82.4	53.6	85.7	81.4	56.3	85.4	63.2	44.0	68.3	74.0	56.7	79.4

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS IABULTS CHILY) # - Continue

•				PERCENT DI	DISPOSITION	S REPORTED					AVERAGE PERC OF DISPOSE	
		1984 Arrest	5		1985 Arrest	5		1986 Arrest	.5		1984-86 ARRE	STS
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Ni sdeneanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Ní sdepeanor	Total	Felony	Mi sdegeanor
QUEENS	90.8	92.2	89.5	99.1	90.0	88.3	73.8	73.8	73.8	84.0	84.2	83.7
RENSSELAER	78.3	74.4	79.4	77.8	76.1	79.3	66.6	60.4	68.4	74.0	69.9	75.1
RICHMOND	92.1	92.8	91.7	90.5	92.4	99.3	76.3	78.9	74.6	1.69	87.8	85.0
ROCKLAND	74.B	76.0	74.3	75.8	79.0	74.7	56.0	53.5	57.1	69.3	69.1	69.4
ST. LAWRENCE	52.1	29.0	56.9	51.3	34.8	58.1	51.2	32.3	54.6	52.5	31.9	54.5
SARATOGA	78.1	76.7	78.3	84.6	80.5	85.5	76.3	66.8	78.4	79.7	74.4	80.8
SCHENECTADY	98.7	85.1	99.7	91.8	89.4	92.5	77.2	66.9	80.3	95.6	80.0	87.3
SCHOHARIE	56.9	70.5	54.3	70.9	78.3	69.7	62.0	46.0	61.1	63.2	70.7	61.8
SCHUYLER	70.9	70.8	71.0	74.3	74.0	74.3	68.3	66.7	68.7	71.2	70.6	71.3
SENECA	71.6	62.0	73.4	43.2	46.7	62.3	52.6	53.6	52.3	62.3	4.04	62.7
STEUBEN	71.9	80.8	69.9	73.4	71.6	73.9	63.3	58.6	64.6	49.3	69.4	69.3
SUFFOLK	86.5	88.0	86.0	83.1	83.9	B2.8	53.9	60.6	51.7	74.5	77.5	73.5
SULLIVAN	85.0	83.1	85.4	81.3	77.0	82.6	65.B	61.1	67.8	77.6	73.4	79.1
TIOGA	78.0	76.0	78.3	75.8	81.1	74.6	58.0	57.3	58.1	70.0	69.7	70.0
TOMPKINS	82.1	77.8	83.0	78.1	69.0	79.9	40.3	43.2	64.0	73.1	62.2	75.4
ULSTER	69.9	45.9	71.2	70.0	61.9	72.6	65.6	59.2	67.7	&B.4	62.2	70.4
WARREN	B7.5	89.5	87.1	89.7	88.0	90.0	80.2	80.1	80.2	85.7	85.6	85.7
WASHINGTON	63.0	61.9	63.3	62.9	63.3	62.8	56.9	61.B	55.4	60.9	62.3	40.5
WAYNE	62.3	40.9	62.6	6B.5	45.3	69.1	67.6	58.6	69.3	66.2	61.6	67.0
WESTCHESTER	67.7	69.0	67.2	74.4	77.5	73.1	65.5	70.8	63.3	69.2	72.5	67.9
WYOHING	42.0	45.1	36.2	43.4	63.6	38.4	33.0	42.9	30.6	39.5	57.5	35.1
YATES	70.5	83.3	67.8	40.7	82.1	55.1	57.4	58.5	57.0	62.2	71.3	59.5
NEW YORK STATE	95.3	86.9	84.4	83.8	85.1	83.1	49.6	70.3	69.2	79.2	80.3	78.6
NEW YORK CITY	89.5	89.7	89.4	97.8	87.8	87.8	74.6	73.8	75.2	83.5	83.3	83.7

^{*} This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1907. 'Interim' dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.


### APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS

#### **NEW YORK STATE**

### DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES





# APPENDIX E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

### VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES1

OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	all	D
Assault 1st ²	120.10	all	C
Aggravated Assault on			
Peace Officer2	120.11	00	В
Manslaughter 1st ²	125.20	all	В
Murder 2ŋd, <u>Attempted</u>	125.25		В
Rape 1st ²	130.35	a <u>ll</u>	В
Sodomy 1st ²	130.50	all	В
Sexual Abuse 1st	130.65	all	D
Agg. Sexual Abyse∠	130.70	all	В
Kidnapping 2nd ²	135.20	ÕÕ	В
Kidnapping 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	135.25	a11	В
Burglary 2nd2	140.25	all	C
Burglary 1st ²	140.30	all	В
Arson 2nd ²	150.15	a]]	В
Arson 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	150.20	a]]	B C
Robbery 2nd ²	160.10	a]]	C
Robbery 1st ²	160.15	a]]	В .
Intimidation 2nd4	215.16	all	D
Intimidation 1st ^{2,4}	215.17	all	В
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ²	265.02	04,05	D
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd ²	265.03	00	C.
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st ²	265.04	all	В
Crim. Use Firearm 2nd ²	265.08	all	C
Crim. Use Firearm 1st ²	265.09	all	В
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00	D

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd	125.25	all	A-1
Murder 1st, Including			
Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00,01,02,03	- D

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

 $^{^2}$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

⁴Effective 11/1/86.

### APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

#### DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

<u>Board of Prisoners</u>. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of <u>local assistance funding administered by DOCS</u>. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- o <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- State Readies: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

Community Treatment Programs. The Department of Correctional Services has in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

#### CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child, or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate; these funds are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

<u>Victim and Witness Assistance Program</u>. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and,
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological, and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Funding and Program Assistance.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapid increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971. When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing presentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging

defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays, and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organization Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

Law Guardian Back-Up Center. Statewide Youth Advocacy of Rochester has received a legislative appropriation to establish the Law Guardian Back-Up Center. This Center will provide technical assistance and training to law guardians practicing before family courts primarily outside of New York City. Training and legal research will cover JD, PINS, and abuse/neglect proceedings. Social work and legal assistance will also be provided. A brief bank and library will be developed, as will an inventory of dispositional alternatives and community-based programs. Updates will be provided on legal and legislative developments. Videotaped training to be used in rural counties will be developed. Staff will include two lawyers and one social worker.

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buying-money." In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Unit.

Narcotics Control. The federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 established a program of grants to State and local agencies for drug law enforcement. Under this Act, the State and localities are eligible for funds under the Narcotics Control Assistance Formula Grant Program. New York State is eligible for \$111,539,00 in federal fiscal year 1987. It is anticipated that the federal award for FY 1988 and FY 1989 will be for the same amount.

The New York State Legislature has identified the following priority areas: apprehension, prosecution and adjudication of persons who violate State and local controlled substances laws, as defined in the penal and public health laws; additional correctional resources for such persons; programs to eliminate the growth and production of controlled substances, programs for drug-dependent offenders; and demonstration programs to identify major offenders and move these offenders expeditiously through the judicial system.

The distribution of funds will be in the ratio of 39.61 between State agency programs and local government programs. These federal funds must be matched on a cash basis with one dollar in non-federal funds for every three dollars in federal funds.

Nassau County Service to Rape Victims Task Force. Advocacy services for victims of sexual assault include the following: hospital advocacy (support, information, referral, follow-up); criminal justice system advocacy; recruitment, supervision, and training of volunteers; newsletters; field placement for students; public information through public speaking and distribution of literature.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act. Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police. The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

New York City School Security Officer Program. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulation, workshops, and training seminars.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Offender Aid and Restoration of Western New York, Inc., (OAR/WNY). (OAR/WRY) delivers a wide variety of services to ex-offenders and their families. OAR/WRY conducts workshops that train ex-offenders in setting employment goals and completing applications. Ex-offenders learn about employer expectations and techniques for successful job interviews and job retention. The family services program delivers support and counseling to families before, during, and after the offender's incarceration and helps the family in making requests for assistance through social service agencies. The program seeks to address the needs of the ex-offenders in order to facilitate their successful reentry into society.

<u>Prisoners' Legal Services</u>. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie, and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities, and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984 to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

<u>Special Narcotics Court Program</u>. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized, and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and an enhanced level of criminal parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

<u>Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program</u>. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program

(S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

<u>Suffolk County Criminal Justice Coordinating Council Re-Route Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders</u>. Transitional services program designed to expedite the reintegration of ex-offenders into the community upon their release from Suffolk County Correctional facilities. A multi-service, multi-disciplinary, inter-agency approach including therapy, family counseling, supplementary education, job training, job placement, legal aid, needs-based payments, and linkages to services for areas outside the program's scope.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major Violent Offense Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

Transit Crime Interdiction Program. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

Transit Strike Force. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the

subway system. The crimes include homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies which are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners, and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

<u>Community Patrol Officer Program</u>. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

Westchester Datafacs. The Westchester Datafacs System is a regional network of nine photofacsimile transmitters placed strategically throughout the country to service the Criminal History Record Information requests of forty local police departments. The Criminal History Record Information, or "rap-sheet," is transmitted from the Criminal History Repository located at the Division of Criminal Justice Services in Albany to the requesting local police department. In turn, the information is then forwarded to the District Attorney and Court enabling the presiding judge to make a better informed bail/bond or release decision.

Information expedites the criminal justice process and serves to reduce the number of defendants detained in the County Jail facility. In this sense, the Datafacs System is truly an alternative to incarceration.

#### DIVISION OF PAROLE

Parole Resource Center. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

Parole Tra sitional Facilities. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve services performance.

#### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

"Classifications/Alternatives" Bill. The "Classifications/Alternatives" bill (Chapters 907 & 908, Laws of 1984) was enacted on August 6, 1984 to help reduce overcrowding pressures in the county jails, to facilitate more efficient and practical jail population management, and to support the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The statute reflects a unique and effective linkage of two distinct criminal justice issues to facilitate reduced reliance on confinement and more efficient utilization of that significant scarce resource -- jail beds. This legislation reduces the number of state mandated classification categories in local correctional facilities from twelve to four. However, in order for a county to take advantage of this reduced classification system, it must prepare and carry out a service plan (approved by the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives) for the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The service plan must be the collaborative product of a county advisory board composed of the key criminal justice decision makers, and must present a comprehensive overview of the local criminal justice system which serves to identify target populations, factors contributing to overcrowding, programmatic needs, and specific proposals for the use of state aid available under the bill. In fiscal year 1986-87, a total of \$3,050,000 was available to all counties and the City of New York. These funds are to be distributed according to a modified population-based formula outlined in the statute.

In the two and one-half years that have passed since enactment of this legislation, important and far-reaching changes have taken place in the activities and organization of local criminal justice systems. Almost eighty percent of the jurisdictions in New York State have taken advantage of the bill's provisions. Forty-four counties and the city of New York submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and have now implemented alternatives to incarceration programs. During State fiscal year 1986-87 (the second year of funding) a total of 67 programs were supported and operated consistent with the counties' service plans.

<u>Demonstration Projects</u>. These projects generally fall into one of four program models: community service, defendant-based advocacy, pre-trial, and offender rehabilitation/specialized services. In FY 1986-87 there were 31 such projects. During the current fiscal year, FY 1987-88, 28 of these 100% State funded projects are expected to provide services to more than 15,000 clients.

Demonstration projects are designed to reduce the incidence of incarceration through the use of community-based alternatives that emphasize public safety and cost effectiveness.

Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

### DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (continued)

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The January 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

Regular State Aid. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

#### DIVISION FOR YOUTH

Community Based Organization. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

Community Care Program. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism, and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successsful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

<u>Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

<u>Job Development</u>. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living Support. Gives the Division the authority and financial capability to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand this program. Statutory provisions, which were modified during 1985, permit the expansion of this program into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which DFY was formerly limited.

Special Delinquency Prevention Program. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk to help prevent unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

### DIVISION FOR YOUTH (continued)

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

<u>Voluntary Agency Care</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psychosocial and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

#### OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

<u>Salaries of District Attorneys</u>. Pursuant to County Law 700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs are targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories. Responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88.

#### APPENDIX G

### CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to NYC agencies that provide services citywide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the NYC and State profiles.

Table 1
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

Program			Appropriations				
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9
Division for Youth ³	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴			47.5	47.5	NA	52.5	
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,387.9	128,159.9	70.1%	94,355.2	109,970.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

Program		Appropriations					
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	14.8	10.9	NA	<b></b>	
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	9,699.7	132.8%		· .
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	284.9	1,659.7	NA	<b></b>	
State Readies ³		. <del> </del>		1,064.9	NA	·	
Community Treatment Programs		215.1	434.2		NA		
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	214.4	. NA		
TOTAL	4,167.3	5.411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0

I This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^4}$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,354.3	-5.2%	5,206.0	8,507.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	543.2	18.5%	700.3	1,203.1
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	140.5	26.8%	143.6	260.2
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA .	43.3	0.0
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3

 $^{^{1}}$  This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $^{^{2}}$  FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.0	55.6	NA			
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection		175.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	2,090.9	18.8%	2,133.0	2,133.0	
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	722.1	834.8	956.0	956.0	32.4%	1,028.0	1,069.0	
Justice Assistance Act ⁵		ا شعا	***	<b>40 An</b>	NA	1,013.6		
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	884.0	-10.1%	975.2	1,055.1	
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	6,624.0	18.0%	6,756.5	7,161.9	
Narcotics Control ⁷					· NA		4,006.9	
NYC School Security ⁸ Officer Program	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				NÁ	0.0	250.0	
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program	· •		0.4	0.0	NA		-	
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰		-	1,395.0	1,299.5	NA	1,440.0	1,400.0	
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	16,521.5	31.0%	16,789.5	17,433.0	
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹²		<b></b> .		1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5	
Transit Strike Force 13		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6	

Continued - Next Page

#### Table 4-Continued

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		:	Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Patrol ¹⁴ Officer Program			<b></b>	·	NA	100.0	150.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39.893.8	44,546.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

³ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^{5}}$  FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{\}mbox{8}}$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹² This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{13}}$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ²	398.0	511.4	477.0	516.8	29.8%	654.8	774.8
Parole Transitional ³ Facilities		37.1	172.9	309.1	NA	496.1	496.1
Employment Services ⁴ Project					NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9

 $^{^{}m 1}$  This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^{2}}$  This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{3}}$  This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{4}}$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ² Alternatives	÷.	<b></b>	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,130.9	NA NA	0.0	1,302.8
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.6	2,074.6	270.9%	1,664.3	2,511.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	1,478.9	53.8%	3,399.3	4,569.5
PINS Program ³					NA	631.7	1,314.6
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	12,065.3	35.2%	13,574.8	13,855.4
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9

 $^{^{1}}$  This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

² This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{}m 3}$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ²	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention		•					
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	2,191.8	131.7%	2,213.0	5,023.6
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	16,947.8	123.9%	9,723.5	9,043.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	516.6	27.3%	691.4	645.9
Special Delinguency Prevention Program	4,630.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Transitional Independent Living Support		. t .		69.7	NA	0.0	1,139.3
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	9,201.4	103.6%	0.0	0.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	25,024.4	127.8%	14,041.7	14.077.1
TOTAL	29,054.7	22.384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9

This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies 'n New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^3}$  Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

## APPENDIX H - STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the State profile.

Table 1
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

	<u> </u>		Expenditures		Appropr	iations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY_87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5
Division of Parole	· ·						
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	, NA	102.8	601.0
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project					NA		525.0
TOTAL	14,008.2	15,375.5	14,344.0	20,948.3	49.5%	53,930.6	69,232.2

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$  The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	51.5	55.5
Felons	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ³				0.0	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ⁴		0.0	0.0		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA.	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$  Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^3}$  This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	2,803.0	4,190.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program		•					
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	899.5	0.0
TOTAL	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $^{^2}$  FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		·	Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative		<b></b>	0.0	0.0	 NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection	,	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	695.0
Indigent Parolee Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	NA	597.8	628.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁵		. <del></del>	-		NA	1.611.6	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	0.0	-100.0%	1,088.9	1,078.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	0.0	-100.0%	,	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁷		-		<b></b>	NA		6,070.2
Meighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Prisoners' .egal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	0.0	60.1	-90.5%		487.0
Special Warrant Inforcement Inhancement Program ¹⁰	, , <del>, -</del>		0.0	0.0	NA	20.0	10.0

Continued - Next Page

### Table 4-Continued

#### DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Tanak Caina							
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	746.8	-26.3%	766.8	849.3
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$  This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

³ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁵ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83, and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

## Table 5

## DIVISION OF PAROLE STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ² Alternatives				0.0	NA	0.0	83.2
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	, NA	100.0	39.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	199.3
PINS Program ³					NA .	2.8	0.0
Regular State Aid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	279.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{2}}$  This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{3}}$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	Appropriations			
Frogram	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ²	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Care ³ Initiative		<b></b>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA	219.0	219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	1,951.4	5,131.7%	131.9	0.0
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	330.0	NA	274.5	0.0
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	12,092.2	60.9%	9,553.5	8,222.7
TOTAL	7,554.9	8.781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^2}$  The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{3}}$  This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

⁵ Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

# APPENDIX I JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES

## JUVENILE OFFENDER CRIMES¹

(as of 1/1/87)

AGE	OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
13-15	Murder 2nd	125.25	01,02	A-1
14-15	Murder 2nd ²	125.25	03	A-1
31	Kidnapping 1st ²	135.25	all	A-1
ŧı	Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
. 0	Manslaughter 1st	125.20	all	В
It	Rape 1st	130.35	01,02	В
11	Sodomy 1st	130.50	01,02	B B
11	Aggravated Sexual Abuse ³	130.70	all	В
11	Robbery 1st	160.15	all	В
31	Burglary 1st	140.30	all	В
u	Arson 2nd	150.15	00	В
n	Robbery 2nd	160.10	02	C
n	Assault 1st	120.10	01,02	C
11	Burglary 2nd	140.25	01	С

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 10.00(18).

 $^{^2}$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³Effective 9/1/81.

## REFERENCES

- Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u>. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.
- New York State Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.
- New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.
- New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. Resident Employment Status and the Civilian Labor Force: January 1984 October 1986.
- New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.
- New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.
- New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Justice Systems Analysis and the Office of Administrative Services.
- . New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).
- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.
- Report. March, 1987.
- New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.
- New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.
- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

## ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Each requester will receive one volume free of charge; a \$2.00 charge to cover postage and handling will be applied to each additional volume requested.

To order by phone, please call (518) 457-8381.

To order	by mail,	please p	lace a	check	mark	(	) next	to the	· volumes	you	would
like.							•				

	Volume	XI XX XI VIII VIII VIII	Capita Capita Elmira Lower Mid-Ho Mohawl New You Northe Roches	lo Regional Region Region Hudson Idea Valle Cork Citer Region Reg	on	ion	d Long	j Isl	and Ro	egion	
se fill	vo i ume		Ononda	aga, Si	iffolk,	, and	West	chest	er Co	untie	ss s)
Bureau	of Sta	itisti	cal Ser	rvices							
NYS Di Execut Stuyve	of Stavision ive Parsant Pl	of Cr k Tow aza	iminal er, 8tl	Justi		vices					
NYS Di Execut Stuyve Albany	vision ive Par sant Pl	of Cr k Tow aza	iminal er, 8tl	Justi		vices					
NYS Di Execut Stuyve Albany	vision ive Par sant Pl , New Y	of Cr rk Tow aza ork	riminal ver, 8tl	Justic n Floor	•						
NYS Di Execut Stuyve Albany NAME	vision ive Par sant PI , New Y	of Cr k Tow aza ork	riminal ver, 8tl	Justic	•						
NYS Di Execut Stuyve Albany NAME TITLE_ AGENCY	vision ive Par sant PI , New Y	of Cr rk Tow aza ork	riminal ver, 8tl	Justic	•						
NYS Di Execut Stuyve Albany NAME TITLE_ AGENCY	vision ive Par sant PI , New Y	of Cr rk Tow aza ork	riminal ver, 8tl	Justic	•						
NYS Di Execut Stuyve Albany NAME TITLE_ AGENCY ADDRES	vision ive Par sant PI , New Y	of Cr rk Tow aza ork	riminal ver, 8tl	Justic	•			ZIP			

 $^{^{1}}$ This charge does not apply to New York State agencies or officials.

