




COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES

1982-1986

VOLUME VII MID-HUDSON REGION



JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILE: 1982-1986

Valume VII
MID-HUDSON REGION

COLUMBIA COUNTY
DUTCHESS COUNTY
GREENE COUNTY
ORANGE COUNTY
SULLIVAN COUNTY
ULSTER COUNTY

OFFICE OF JUSTICE SYSTEMS ANALYSIS
BARRY SAMPLE, EXECUTIVE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES
RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING PATRICK LERNER LORI DRAPER CAROL RUSSO

FEBRUARY, 1988

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been regroduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to Gerald Luciano for graphic designs he created for the publication and to the secretarial staff in the Office of Justice Systems Analysis, in particular to Joan Burgess and Carol Novak, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

CONTENTS

Pag	e(s)
INTRODUCTION	1
ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE	7. 7.
Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Section III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	9 9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STATE PROFILE	. 13
COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE MID-HUDSON REGION	
Columbia County Dutchess County Greene County Orange County Sullivan County Ulster County	
ILLUSTRATION	
NEW YORK STATE REGIONAL MAP	5
APPENDIXES	
APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES	A-1
Analyses Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Section III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	A-1 A-1 A-2 A-2 A-2

		Page(s)
APPENDIX B	DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986	8-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section II and Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparable to those in which the data were present Section III.	ented
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1984 - 1985	C-1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS .	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-11 F-12 F-14 F-16
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
APPENDIX I	JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES	I-1
REFERENCES		R-1

^{*} Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.



INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the system's major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. Juvenile Offender processing data and more detailed data on alternative to incarceration programs have been incorporated into this edition. The 1986 data are compared to the 1982 data. In addition, the 1986 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix b presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities for both 1985 and 1986.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from both the "demographic and socioeconomic characteristics" and "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section II and Section III, respectively. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the Criminal Justice Profiles publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- o Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- o Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.

5

ORGANIZATION OF THE PROFILES

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each of the counties in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each profile are described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 1 Population Demographics: 1980

Table 2 Educational Attainment of Population 25 Years

of Age or Older: 1980

Table 3 Population and Density Per Square Mile: 1982-1986

Table 4

Per Capita Income: 1982-1984 Public High School Dropouts: 1981-82 to 1985-86 Table 5

Table 6 Unemployment: 1984-1986

Public Assistance: 1982-1986 Table 7

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru Table 2B

June 1987

Table 2C Arrests for Selected Offenses

Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs Table 2D

Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses Table 2E

Table 3A Felony Indictments

Table 3B Felony Indictments: January thru June 1987

Table 4A Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Table 4B Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

CUTLIF	a CKII	TIME OUSTICE ACTIVITY - CONCINCED
Table	5A	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests
Table	5 B	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests
Table	6A	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions
Table	6B	Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table	7A	Probation Department Activity
Table	7B	Probation Revocations
Table	8A	Alternatives to Incarceration: Demonstration Projects
Table	8B	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Pretrial Release
Table	8C	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/
Table	8D	Alternatives Bill Programs - Community Service Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/
1	'	Alternatives Bill Programs - Defender-Based
Table	8E	Advocacy Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Specialized Alternatives
Table		County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions
Table	9B	County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
T. L.7.	108 1	Administra to Chata Duizan
		Admissions to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Table	10A-2	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Table	10B	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
Table	10C	December 31 (New York State Profile) Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31
		(New York State Profile)
Table	10A	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles)
Table	10B-1	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
Table	10B-2	December 31 (New York City and County Profiles) The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in
		State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC (New York City and County Profiles)
		the first control of the control of

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table 11A Parole Supervision Cases
Table 11B Revoked and Returned Parolees

Table 12 Juvenile Offender Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1	Agency Funding Summary	
Table 2	Department of Correctional Services	
Table 3	Crime Victims Board	
Table 4	Division of Criminal Justice Services	
Table 5	Division of Probation and Correctional Al	ternatives
Table 6	Division of Parole	
Table 7	Division for Youth	

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

Table 1A Table 1B Table 1C	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary Facilities Operating Budget Summary Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

^{*} Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.



STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Division of Criminal Justice Services
Executive Park Tower
Stuyvesant Plaza
Albany, New York 12203

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Law
The State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

New York State Police Building 22 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226 Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Department of Correctional Services
Building 2
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Office of Court Administration Alfred E. Smith State Office Building PO Box 7025 Albany, New York 12225

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007

Region	MID-ATLANTIC
State Capital	ALBANY
Square Miles	47,377
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	-3.7%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	17,558,072	NA	100.0%	NA
Density Per	Square Mile	370.6	NA	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	8,339,421 9,218,651	NA NA	47.5% 52.5%	NA NA
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	13,960,868 2,752,114 845,090	NA NA NA	79.5% 15.7% 4.8%	NA NA NA
Ethnicit	y: Hispanic	1,659,300	NA	9.5%	NA
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	2,320,804 1,721,835 960,207 1,842,534 10,712,692	NA NA NA NA	13.2% 9.8% 5.5% 10.5% 61.0%	NA NA NA NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	10,712,692	100.0%	NA
8th Grade or Less	1,962,158	18.3%	NA
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	1,653,751 3,651,739	15.4% 34.1%	NA NA
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	1,529,817 1,923,547	14.3% 18.0%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		PO	PULATION 1982-19	86		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	17,567,000	17,667,000	17,735,000	17,782,754	17,772,100	NA NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.8	372.9	374.3	375.3	375.1	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4
PER CAPITA INCOME: 1982-1984

	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		Income
	1982	1983	1984	Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	12,228	13,063	14,341	NA

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 were not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	PUBLIC HIGH	SCHOOL DROP	OUTS: 1981-	<u>-82 TO 1985-</u>	86		
				School Year	•		Percent Rank
		<u>1981-82</u>	<u>1982-83</u>	1983-84	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High Sch Who Dropped Out	Number Percent	65,229 6.7%	60,311 6.5%	60,834 6.9%	55,880 6.5%	49,391 5.8%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986							
	•	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986		
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	583,455 7.2%	543,805 6.5%	526,363 6.3%	NA		

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986									
		1982	1983_	1984_	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986		
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,285,535 7.3%	1,343,428 7.6%	1,381,514 7.8%	1,383,399 7.8%	1,363,126 7.7%	NA		

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	· · · · · ·		Number of C	rimes		1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population	
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986		% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	1,020,401	5,741.6	-9.6%
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	174,860	983.9	0.4%
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	1,909	10.7	-5.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	5,403	30.4	5.3%
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	91,376	514.2	-15.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	76,172	428.6	28.1%
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	845,541	4,757.7	-11.5%
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	216,157	1,216.3	-25.9%
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	516,492	2,906.2	-1.9%
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	112.892	635.2	-17.6%
		*					

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

1982		Nun	ber of Arre	sts	1986 Arrests			
	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86		
ARRESTS		374,301	383,075	403,785	421,631	456,087	2,566.3	21.9%
FELONY		141,679	141,301	149,343	151,279	172,153	968.7	21.5%
VIOLENT		58,946	58,215	61,158	60,158	65,735	369.9	11.5%
OTHER		82,733	83,086	88,185	91,121	106,418	598.8	28.6%
MISDEMEANOR	₹ .	232,622	241,774	254,442	270,352	283,934	1,597.6	22.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	233,061	4.3%	•
	FELONY ²	86,268	2.3%	
	VIOLENT	31,282	-1.3%	
	OTHER	54,986	4.4%	
	MISDEMEANOR	146,793	5.5%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arrest		1986 Arrests		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	1,420	8.0	-11.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,155	2,140	12.0	9.4%
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,231	19,713	22,706	127.8	13.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,554	19,802	22,241	22,945	24,830	139.7	27.0%
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,687	19,471	20,540	115.6	-16.1%
LARCENY ²	67,502	66,891	65,814	68,220	75,476	424.7	11.8%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arres	ts	:	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		
DRUG ARRESTS	48,226	53,768	66,982	74,199	91,624	515.5	90.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	34,373	38,441	48,097	52,662	74.938	421.7	118.0%
POSSESSION	18,110	23,827	30,556	34,394	49,535	278.7	173.5%
SALE	9,433	10,544	12,684	13,691	20,174	113.5	113.9%
OTHER	6,830	4,070	4,857	4,577	5,229	29.4	-23.4%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,853	15.327	18,885	21,537	16,686	93.9	20.5%
POSSESSION	7,053	7,252	8,881	10,747	8,596	48.4	21.9%
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,790	8,090	45.5	19.0%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{\text{Penal Law of New York State}}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES
(Adults Only)

		ı	Numb	er of Arrest		1986 Arrests Per 1,000		
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Licensed Drivers	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		55,566	60,887	64,261	62,870	59,387	6.0	6.9%
FELONY		3,184	3,748	3,032	3,065	3,536	0.4	11.1%
MISDEMEANOR		52,382	57,139	61,229	59,805	55,851	5.6	6.6%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u>.

<u>State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹
(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	46,674 100.0%	46,147 100.0%	47,961 100.0%	50.016 100.0%	55,810 100.0%	19.6%		
VIOLENT	24,537 52.6%	22,711 49.2%	22,820 47.6%	22,000 44.0%	21,833 39.1%	-11.0%		
OTHER	22,137 47.4%	23,436 50.8%	25,141 52.4%	28,016 56.0%	33,977 60.9%	53.5%		

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS 1: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987 2

(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan~June 86 vs 87		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	31,062	17.4%		
VIOLENT	10,937	1.1%		
OTHER	20,125	28.7%		

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

² Preliminary data.

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
DISPOSITIONS	307,486 100.0%	336,313 100.0%	337,261 100.0%	363,264 100.0%	371,229 100.0%	20.7%		
CONVICTIONS	208,720 67.9%	233,893 69.5%	234,263 69.5%	251,609 69.3%	248,728 67.0%	. 19.2%		
ACQUITTALS	1,831 0.6%	2,119 0.6%	2,172 0.6%	2,261 0.6%	2,257 0.6%	23.3%		
DISMISSALS	87,013 28.3%	89,771 26.7%	91,177 27.0%	99,038 27.3%	110,615 29.8%	27.1%		
NOT PROSECUTED	7,612 2.5%	7.169 2.1%	6,630 2.0%	7,220 2.0%	6.602 1.8%	-13.3%		
OTHER	2,310 0.8%	3,361 1.0%	3,019 0.9%	3,136 0.9%	3,027 0.8%	31.0%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
DISPOSITIONS	118,988 100.0%	127,576 100.0%	126,882 100.0%	134,164 100.0%	142,148 100.0%	19.5%		
CONVICTIONS	77,746 65.3%	86.370 67.7%	84,585 66.7%	89,207 66.5%	90,738 63.8%	16.7%		
ACQUITTALS	1,304	1,469 1.2%	1,527	1,558 1.2%	1.555 1.1%	19.2%		
DISMISSALS	35,279 29.6%	34,826 27.3%	35,673 28.1%	38,400 28.6%	44,469 31.3%	26.0%		
NOT PROSECUTED	3,961 3.3%	4,064 3.2%	4,303 3.4%	3,967 3.0%	3,977 2.8%	0.2%		
OTHER	698 0.6%	847 0.7%	794 0.6%	1,032 0.8%	1,409 1.0%	101.9%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Felony and	Misdemeanor Ar	rests		% Change
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u>	<u>1986</u> 2	82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	208.720 100.0%	233,893 100.0%	234,263 100.0%	251.609 100.0%	248,728 100.0%	15.2%
FELONY	26,558 12.7%	32,121 13.7%	31,197 13.3%	33,669 13.4%	37,108 14.9%	39.7%
VIOLENT	11.719 5.6%	14,667 6.3%	13,671 5.8%	13,264 5.3%	13,671 5.5%	16.7%
OTHER	14.839 7.1%	17,454 7.5%	17.526 7.5%	20,405 8.1%	23,437 9.4%	57.9%
MISDEMEANOR	103,560 49.6%	112,772 48.2%	113,538 48.5%	121,797 48.4%	118,736 47.7%	14.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	78,602 37.7%	89,000 38.1%	89,528 38.2%	96,143 38.2%	92,884 37.3%	18.2%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

			rcent of Convic rom Felony Arre		:	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	77,746 100.0%	86,370 100.0%	84,585 100.0%	89,207 100.0%	90,738 100.0%	16.7%
FELONY	25,657 33.0%	31,113 36.0%	30,191 35.7%	32,289 36.2%	35,690 39.3%	39.1%
VIOLENT	11,719 15.1%	14.667 17.0%	13,671 16.2%	13.264 14.9%	13,671 15.1%	16.7%
OTHER	13.938 17.9%	16.446 19.0%	16,520 19.5%	19.025 21.3%	22,019 24.3%	58.0%
MISDEMEANOR	38,110 49.0%	39,913 46.2%	38,450 45.5%	39,976 44.8%	39,198 43.2%	2.9%
LESSER OFFENSES	13,979 18.0%	15,344 17.8%	15,944 18.8%	16,942 19.0%	15,850 17.5%	13.4%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1 (Adults Only)

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total C	onvictions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.6	6.5
LOCAL JAIL	26.6	26.8	29.4	31.4	33.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.5
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	9.9	9.8	9.5
FINES	32.5	33.0	33.0	31.3	28.6
OTHER	22.2	21.1	19.0	18.8	18.8

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions										
	 <u>Fe</u>		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser			984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser	***************************************	986 ² Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	10	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	4	3.2	NA	44.1	NA		43.7	ΝA	41.8	NA	43.4	NA
LOCAL JAIL	. · 1	6.5	28.0	14.3	28.8		13.6	31.8	13.9	34.0	13.3	36.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	. 1	0.6	1.3	13.0	1.2		14.1	1.2	15.6	1.2	17.2	1.1
PROBATION	2	7.7	8.2	26.2	7.7		26.5	7.4	26.6	7.2	24.4	6.9
FINES		8.0	37.1	1.2	38.0		1.1	37.9	0.9	36.0	0.8	33.5
OTHER		1.2	25.3	1.2	24.2		1.1	21.7	1.1	21.5	1.0	21.9

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nu	mber of Cas	es		1986 Cases	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	138,427	778.9	-8.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	156,142	878.6	17.0%
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	27,555	155.0	9.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651.	125,718	128,587	723.5	18.9%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16.883	17,956	101.0	17.1%
FAMILY COURT	9.237	9.372	8,272	8,513	8,849	49.8	-4.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	9,107	51.2	49.2%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	48,072	270.5	19.3%
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	6,904	38.8	1.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	41,168	231.6	23.0%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	156,149	878.6	38.4%
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	14,063	79.1	3.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	142.086	799.5	43.1%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108.116	114.766	645.8	45.1%
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	7,209	40.6	2.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	107,557	605.2	49.3%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	r of Revoca		1986 Revocations	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	7,814	50.0	56.0%
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	787	56.0	29.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	7.027	49.5	59.7%
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	3,502	24.6	70.6%
NEW CONVICTION	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	3,525	24.8	50.3%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE	TO INCARCERATION	PROGRAMS: DEM	ONSTRATION PROJ	CTS
	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	FY 1986-87
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227	17,532

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Ta	able 8B		Tab1	e 8C	•
PRETRIAL RELEA	ASE PROGRAM: 198	36	COMMUNITY SERV	ICE PROGRAM:	1986
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	34,695	NA	CASES SENTENCED	1,338	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	20,500	100.0%	CASES DISCHARGED	881	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	7,933	38.7%	SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	662	75.1%
					

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

Table 8E

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY	PROGRAM:	1986	4.	SPECIALIZED ALTE	RNATIVES:	1986
	Number	Percent			Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	709	NA		CLIENTS SERVED	526	NA
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:				RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT	537	100.0%
	467	NA		ACCEPTED	367	68.3%
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL	407	MA				
REPORTS PREPARED FOR COURT	269	100.0%				
REPORTS ACCEPTED	228	84.8%				

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

		Numb	er of Admi	ssions		1986 Admissions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	*	190,388	196,447	210,186	234,452	1,319.2	NA
UNSENTENCED	*	141,411	147,974	159,474	183,416	1,032.0	NA
SENTENCED	*,	48,977	48,473	50,712	51,036	287.2	NA

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86		
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,225	17,730	19,011	19,635	21,448	120.7	24.5%		
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,966	16,792	17,534	18,736	21,134	118.9	32.4%		
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	21,121	118.8	28.6%		
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,656	12,956	15,056	84.7	33.1%		
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,352	5,766	6,065	34.1	18.5%		

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 10A-1
ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

				_			
	1982	1983	<u> 1984</u>	1985	1986 ¹	1986 Adm.Rate Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	19,090	107.4	50.0%
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	742	4.2	69.8%
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	50	.3	-19.4%
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	2,808	15.8	128.5%
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	445	430	356	244	365	2.1	-18.0%
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	158	.9	12.9%
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	33	.2	312.5%

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $\label{table 10A-2} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

			Number of urt Commit	:	1986 New Court Committments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	7,759	43.7	17.2%
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	938	5.3	4.3%
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	581	3.3	56.2%
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	3,574	20.1	5.8%
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	1,444	8.1	51.8%
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	649	3.7	10.6%
OTHER	435	459	524	. 574	573	3.2	31.7%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	3,228	18.2	161.4%
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	886	5.0	179.5%
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	2,342	13.2	155.1%
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	3,653	20.6	59.9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	294	1.7	10.9%

 $^{^1}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 10B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

	N 	umber of I n State Pr	nmates Unc	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,276	34,737	38,502	216.6	36.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ¹	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	26,024	146.4	30.8%
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	6,597	37.1	37.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	2,278	12.8	38.9%
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	10,666	60.0	14.6%
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	3,476	19.6	107.6%
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	1,450	8.2	18.5%
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	1,557	8.8	26.4%
DRUG FELONIES ²	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	6,008	33.8	127.0%
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	1,597	9.0	140.2%
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	4,411	24.8	122.6%
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,261	5,408	6,095	34.3	17.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	471	384	359	357	375	2.1	-20.4%

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634	198

 $^{^{1}}$ Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	12,942	72.8	39.4%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	37,359	210.2	45.8%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	26,176	147.3	46.1%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Paro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Revocations		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 Parolees	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	4,519	121.0	75.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	1,403	37.6	62.2%
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	1,571	42.1	24.6%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	1,545	41.4	245.6%

 $^{^{1}% \}left(1\right) =\left[1\right] \left(1\right) =\left[1\right$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS

ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

			Number of Case	s		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	1,441	1,248	1,275	1,257	1,200	-16.7%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	664	496	447	505	429	35.4%
DISPOSITIONS	1,419	1,213	1,105	1,019	1,021	-28.0%
CONVICTIONS	517	515	388	369	410	-20.7%
STATE FACILITY	257	251	158	147	142	-44.7%
LOCAL JAIL	34	40	24	40	33	-2.9%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	, 28	32	39	40	55	96.4%
PROBATION	183	166	133	124	171	-6.6%
OTHER	15	26	34	18	. 9	-40.0%
ACQUITTALS	7	5	7	10	10	42.9%
DISMISSALS	269	202	189	225	263	-2.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	139	70	73	54	60	-56.8%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	443	413	430	342	257	-42.0%
OTHER	44	8	18	19	21	-52.3%

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,90u.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66.557.8
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	442.5	698.8	88.9%	870.2	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project				**************************************	NA		525.0
TOTAL	191,309.8	214,860.7	243,177.1	359,999.1	88.2%	322,918.1	356,608.3

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

⁵ The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		:	Expenditures	:		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	32.7	572.0%	51.5	55.5
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	18,574.6	82.3%	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	645.4	731.3	1,648.7	3,697.5	472.0%	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ²				2,322.3	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ³	· ·	311.8	873.3		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ¹	487.7	300.1	275.8	316.8	-35.0%	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		·	Approp	<u>riations</u>
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8,002.6	23.7%	8,009.0	12,697.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							•
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	1,272.1	47.1%	3,622.1	3,443.8
Court Related	407.8	406.6	719.9	520.0	27.5%	626.1	767.1
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	223.3	24.9%	561.7	547.0
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	337.2	-10.6%	567.2	681.1
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	26.7	-70.7%	613.0	350.5
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative			593.9	236.5	NA	***	
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		1,420.5	1,585.7	1,351.0	NA	2,637.1	3,042.5
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	4,067.4	23.8%	4,150.2	4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Program ³	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	1,593.1	26.5%	1,525.8	1,697.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁴					NA	3,379.7	
Juvenile Justice ⁵ and Delinquency Prevention	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	1,579.5	-44.6%	2,673.9	2,821.0
Law Guardian 6 Backup Center			,	400 1000	NA		100.0
Major Offense Police Program	10.057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	11,247.6	11.8%	11,499.8	12,189.8
Mobile Radio District Program ²		1,904.3	3,600.6	3,368.3	, NA	4,288.3	1,270.0
Narcotics Control ⁷	:	·			NA		11,539.0
Nassau County Rape ⁶ Victim Services				4.	NA		30.0
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		1,000.0	1,900.0	881.9	NA.	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYC School Security ⁹ Officer Program		, , -		 ,	• NA	415.0	250.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Offender Aid and Restoration Program ⁶					NA		50.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour ¹⁰ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	309.8	403.0	-36.6%		487.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹¹			2,499.4	2,347.9	NA .	2,499.5	2,499.8

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Suffolk Re-Route ⁶ Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders					NA		260.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹²	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	48,471.4	29.4%	50,279.5	52,516.2
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹³	•	·		1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force ¹⁴		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA NA	3,353.9	3,474.6
Community Patrol ⁹ Officer Program	· · · · ·	. ·	·		NA.	100.0	150.0
Westchester Datafacs ⁶			 .		, NA	· ·	85.0
TOTAL	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

² No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^4}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

⁹ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

Table !

DIVISION OF PAROLE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ¹	529.4	668.9	706.1	798.4	50.8%	1,035.8	1,192.2
Parole Transitional ² Facilities		37.1	286.9	430.8	NA	626.3	626.3
Employment Services ³ Project					NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	. '		Expenditures		· .	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2,336.9	NA	3,045.9	3,312.8
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	4,750.7	493.9%	5,478.5	5,411.5
Direct Services	182.1	208.4	184.1	228.0	25.2%	273.8	266.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	5,218.4	60.2%	7,777.2	10,257.6
PINS Program ²		, 		-	NA	1,000.0	2,505.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	37,861.3	32.3%	41,663.3	44,804.2
TOTAL	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}mathrm{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community-Based ² Organizations			324.8	318.0	NA NA	466.5	466.5
Community Care ³ Initiative	·		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA NA	500.0	, 500.0
Detention							
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	10,600.4	165.0%	6,770.3	9,900.0
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	25,101.8	173.5%	14.738.3	15,500.0
Job Development ⁴			, . , 	· · · ·	NA	697.6	583.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	1,838.8	52.4%	2,409.0	2,570.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	11,745.0	-5.1%	13,351.8	14,281.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	4,509.1	142.7%	6,496.4	6,018.0
Transitional							
Independent Living Support ⁵	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			87.8	NA	2,200.0	2,220.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	52,710.8	177.4%	28,100.0	26,300.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	77,307.5	162.1%	40,600.0	41,500.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{4}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{5}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A
CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
.DOCS Facilities	38,188	21,369	
DFY Facilities	2,027	2,760	
TOTAL	40,215	24,129	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84~85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	445,537.8	525,228.2	598,305.9	602,846.5	35.3%	670,107.0	728,920.9
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	76,135.5	30.2%	78,541.4	82,519.1
TOTAL	503,998.1	589,291.8	670,294.8	678,982.0	34.7%	748,648.4	811,440.0

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropr	Appropriations	
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	96,465.2	374,661.8	229,596.9	44,079.0	
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	2,366.7	10,897.3	4,503.5	4,573.2	
TOTAL	108,909.5	177,817.7	98,831.8	385,559.0	234,100.4	48,652.2	

COUNTY PROFILES
FOR THE
MID-HUDSON REGION

Columbia County Dutchess County Greene County Orange County Sullivan County Ulster County



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop K Headquarters Box 3000 Poughkeepsie, New York 12603 New York State
Division of Parole
Suite 309, 80 Washington Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Hudson, New York 12534

Probation Department Court House Hudson, New York 12534

Public Defender's Office 401 State Street Hudson, New York 12534 Sheriff's Department 70 North Third Street Hudson, New York 12534

Youth Bureau 401 State Street Hudson, New York 12534

County Court County Court House Hudson, New York 12534

Family Court Court House Hudson, New York 12534 Region MID-HUDSON

County Seat HUDSON

Square Miles 638

Population Percent Change 1970-1980 15.5%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	59,487	39	100.0%	NA
Density Per	Square Mile	93.2	39	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	29,067 30,420	NA NA	48.9% 51.1%	28 35
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	57,038 2,236 213	NA NA NA	95.9% 3.8% 0.4%	36 24 34
Ethnicity	: Hispanic	538	NA	0.9%	31
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	7,712 6,091 3,255 4,857 37,572	NA NA NA NA	13.0% 10.2% 5.5% 8.2% 63.2%	47 42 55 60 6

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	37,572	100.0%	6
8th Grade or Less	7,341	19.5%	11
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	6,574 12,987	17.5% 34.6%	23 52
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	5,503 5,167	14.6% 13.8%	29 30

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		POPI	<u> </u>	5		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	59,900	60,800	61,200	60,602	60,700	39
Density Per Square Mile	93.9	95.3	95.9	95.0	95.1	38

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	9,425	9,878	10,829	35

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	School Year						
		1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number	. 147	196	163	160	138	
and bropped out or school	Percent	4.0%	5.8%	5.2%	5.1%	4.4%	20

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6 LINEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986

The second secon	UNEMPLU	MENT: 1904-19	100		
		1984_	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,553 5.6%	1,284 4.5%	1,237 4.3%	55

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

		PUBLIC ASSI	4 4				
							Percent Rank
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,939 3.2%	2,073 3.4%	2,168 3.5%	2,162 3.6%	1,974 3.3%	37

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

FORCIBLE RAPE		1984	1985	1986	<u>Popula</u>		
VIOLENT 19 MURDER FORCIBLE RAPE	3 1.651			1300	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER FORCIBLE RAPE	1,001	1,609	1,677	1,474	2,401.6	43	-19.6%
FORCIBLE RAPE	9 168	203	202	186	303.1	20	-6.5%
RAPE	1	1	2	7	11.4	5	600.0%
	4 4	6	5	3	4.9	52	-25.0%
ROBBERY 1	9 12	7	14	15	24.4	28	-21.1%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT 17	5 151	189	181	161	262.3	15	-8.0%
PROPERTY 1,63	4 1,483	1,406	1,475	1,288	2,098.6	50	-21.2%
BURGLARY 59	0 545	401	464	375	611.0	42	-36.4%
LARCENY 98	1 887	970	967	873	1,422.4	48	-11.0%
MV THEFT 6	3 51	35	44	40	65.2	48	-36.5%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

						1986 Arrests Per 100.000	
		Numb	er of Arre	sts		Population Population	9/ Ob.
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	1,292	1,386	1,360	1,354	1,226	2,019.8 24	-5.1%
FELONY	346	344	310	317	283	466.2 22	-18.2%
VIOLENT	100	91	90	80	86	141.7 19	-14.0%
OTHER	246	253	220	237	197	324.5 25	-19.9%
MISDEMEANOR	946	1,042	1,050	1,037	943	1,553.5 26	-0.3%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

	<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
ARRESTS	662	3.3%	
FELONY ²	148	-3.9%	
VIOLENT	53	17.8%	
OTHER	95	-12.8%	
MISDEMEANOR	514	5.5%	

^l Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population							
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	0	1	1	4	1	1.6	37	, NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	5	. 7	1	0	0.0	59	-100.0%
ROBBERY	9	7	5	8	8	13.2	26	-11.1%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	41	35	43	38	51	84.0	10	24.4%
BURGLARY	92	93	71	82	51	84.0	40	-44.6%
LARCENY ²	188	208	207	211	184	303.1	38	-2.1%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1
(Adults Only)

		ı	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	71	73	83	56	61	100.5	25	-14.1%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	43	51	48	33	44	72.5	26	2.3%
POSSESSION	31	25	28	21	27	44.5	29	-12.9%
SALE	7	21	18	9	11	18.1	21	57.1%
OTHER	5	5	2	3	6	9.9	12	20.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	28	22	35	23	17	28.0	16	-39.3%
POSSESSION	20	8	20	13	10	16.5	21	-50.0%
SALE	. 8	14	15	10	7	11.5	11	-12.5%

 $^{^{1}}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arrest	3		1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	316	414	366	319	302	7.4 38	-4.4%
FELONY	14	21	32	16	17	0.4 41	21.4%
MISDEMEANOR	302	393	334	303	285	6.9 37	-5.6%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 8		
100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% VIOLENT 31 17 23 31 11 29.2% 18.3% 21.7% 34.1% 21.2%		% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
29.2% 18.3% 21.7% 34.1% 21.2%	ONY INDICTMENTS	-50.9%
OTHER 75 76 83 60 41	IOLENT	-64.5%
70.8% 81.7% 78.3% 65.9% 78.8%	THER	-45.3%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	29	0.0%		
VIOLENT	10	150.0%		
OTHER	19	-24.0%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	862 100.0%	986 100.0%	980 100.0%	1,089 100.0%	974 100.0%	13.0%
CONVICTIONS	581 67.4%	661 67.0%	672 68.6%	666 61.2%	571 58.6%	-1.7%
ACQUITTALS	2 0.2%	9 0.9%	4 0.4%	2 0.2%	0.1%	-50.0%
DISMISSALS	271 31.4%	305 30.9%	299 30.5%	415 38.1%	401 41.2%	48.0%
NOT PROSECUTED	0.0%	4 0.4%	2 0.2%	2 0.2%	0.0%	NA NA
OTHER	8 0.9%	7 0.7%	3 0.3%	4 0.4%	0.1%	-87.5%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86					
DISPOSITIONS	252 100.0%	273 100.0%	208 100.0%	272 100.0%	243 100.0%	-3.6%					
CONVICTIONS	173 68.7%	191 70.0%	156 75.0%	186 68.4%	172 70.8%	-0.6%					
ACQUITTALS	2 0.8%	3 1.1%	3 1.4%	0.4%	0.0%	-100.0%					
DISMISSALS	71 28.2%	72 26.4%	48 23.1%	79 29.0%	70 28.8%	-1,4%					
NOT PROSECUTED	0.0%	3 1.1%	0 0.0%	2 0.7%	0.0%	NA					
OTHER	6 2.4%	4 1.5%	1 0.5%	4 1.5%	0.4%	-83.3%					

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Fe lony_and	d Misdemeanor A 1984	rrests 1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	581 100.0%	661 100.0%	672 100.0%	666 100.0%	571 100.0%	-1.7%
FELONY	51 8.8%	52 7.9%	36 5.4%	64 9.6%	43 7.5%	-15.7%
VIOLENT	12 2.1%	12 1.8%	4 0.6%	7 1.1%	10 1.8%	-16.7%
OTHER	39 6.7%	40 6.1%	32 4.8%	57 8.6%	33 5.8%	-15.4%
MISDEMEANOR	277 47.7%	340 51.4%	333 49.6%	330 49.5%	258 45.2%	-6.9%
LESSER OFFENSES	253 43.5%	269 40.7%	303 45.1%	272 40.8%	270 47.3%	6.7%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			cent of Convic			
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	173 100.0%	191 100.0%	156 100.0%	186 100.0%	172 100.0%	-0.6%
FELONY	48 27 . 7%	46 24.1%	30 19.2%	57 30.6%	40 23.3%	-16.7%
VIOLENT	12 6.9%	12 6.3%	2.6%	7 3.8%	10 5.8%	-16.7%
OTHER	36 20.8%	34 17.8%	26 16.7%	50 26.9%	30 17.4%	-16.7%
MISDEMEANOR	102 59.0%	130 68.1%	111 71.2%	111 59.7%	108 62.8%	5.9%
LESSER OFFENSES	23 13.3%	15 7.9%	15 9.6%	18 9.7%	24 14.0%	4.3%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total (Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	2.8	3.3	3.0	5.7	4.6
LOCAL JAIL	23.8	24.5	20.8	19.2	20.3
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.1	4.2	2.5	5.0	3.9
PROBATION	7.6	9.5	7.6	7.7	9,3
FINES	46.8	49.2	55.8	53.8	53.6
OTHER	15.0	9.2	10.3	8.7	8.4

These data refrect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions										
		082 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		19	984 Misd & Lesser	19	985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
STATE PRISON	31.4	NA	42.3	NA		55.6	. NA	59.4	NA	60.5	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	39.2	22.3	21.2	24.8		11.1	21.4	14.1	19.8	18.6	20.5	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	13.7	3.2	11.5	3.6		19.4	1.6	17.2	3.7	11.6	3.2	
PROBATION	15.7	6.8	19.2	8.7		11.1	7.4	6.3	7.8	9.3	9.3	
FINES	0.0	51.3	5.8	52.9		2.8	58.8	3.1	59.1	0.0	58.0	
OTHER	0.0	16.4	0.0	10.0		0.0	10.8	0.0	9.6	0.0	9.1	

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nur	mber of Case	S		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	429	396	254	215	173	285.0	57	-59.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	277	348	302	334	293	482.7	55	5.8%
FAMILY COURT	60	64	67	49	81	133.4	53	35.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	217	284	235	285	212	349.3	56	-2.3%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	2	1	2	0	0	0.0	51	-100.0%
FAMILY COURT	2	1	2	0	, 0	0.0	44	-100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	, O	. 0	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	131	. 165	132	158	151	248.8	43	15.3%
FAMILY COURT	34	34	27	33	39	64.3	17	14.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	97	131	105	125	112	184.5	45	15.5%
UNDER PROBATION ²			4					
SUPERVISION	345	403	410	427	464	764.4	34	34.5%
FAMILY COURT	58	65	54	66	77	126.9	15	32.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	287	338	356	361	387	637.6	45	34.8%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON							:	
DECEMBER 31	231	285	269	314	341	561.8	34	47.6%
FAMILY COURT	24	34	33	39	44	72.5	, 9	83.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	207	251	236	275	297	489.3	36	43.5%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from , the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Probationers				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	15	20	19	21	27	58.2	23	80.0%
FAMILY COURT	0	3	3	. 5	6	77.9	25	· NA
CRIMINAL COURT	15	17	16	16	21	54.3	21	40.0%
TECHNICAL	8	10	15	12	21	54.3	4	162.5%
NEW CONVICTION	7	7	1	4	0	0.0	59	-100.0%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Ta	h I	8E
ı u	u	Vι

PRETRIAL RELEASE	PROGRAM: 198	36
	Number	<u>Percent</u>
CASES SCREENED	275	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED .	196	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	32	16.3%

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8C

COMMUNITY SERVICE	PROGRAM:	1986
	Number	Percent
CASES SENTENCED	19	NA
CASES DISCHARGED	19	100.0%
SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	17	89.5%

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numb <u>e</u>	r of Admis	sions		1986 Admissic Per 100 Populat		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate F	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	959	994	878	857	866	1,426.7	20	-9.7%
UNSENTENCED	703	663	654	604	637	1,049.4	21	-9.4%
SENTENCED	256	331	224	253	229	377.3	21	-10.5%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 98
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 In Per 10 Popula	0,000	W. Observe
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	60	53	60	58	56	92.3	20	-6.7%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	36	47	58	54	47	77.4	24	30.6%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	36	35	68	46	44	72.5	26	22.2%
UNSENTENCED	18	18	44	26	22	36.2	35	22.2%
SENTENCED	18	17	24	20	22	36.2	18	22.2%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table 10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON^1 }$

			umber of rt Commitm	198 New C Committ Per 10 Popula	ourt ments 0,000			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	Rate_	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	15	21	19	36	29	47.8	17 _.	93.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	5	8	5	11	7	11.5	35	40.0%
HOMICIDE	1	. 0	0	1	2	3.3	10	100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1	5	3	3	3	4.9	13	200.0%
ROBBERY	3	2	0	. 0,	. 0	.0.0	43	-100.0%
BURGLARY	0	0	0	5	1	1.6	48	, NA
WEAPONS	0	1	0	0	, 0	0.0	25	NA
OTHER	0	0	2,	. 2	1	1.6	26	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	· 1	7	7	14	14	23.1	4	1,300.0%
POSSESSION	. 1	3	1	3	6	9.9	3	500.0%
SALE	0	4.	. 6	11	8	13.2	5	NA
OTHER FELONIES	9	6	7	10	8	13.2	29	-11.1%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0 1	0	0	1	0	0.0	32	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1}$ INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER ${\bf 31}^1$

	No ii	umber of In	nmates Unde	Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	W 01			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	25	. 30	36	59	58	95.6	24	132.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	10	13	16	25	22	36.2	36	120.0%
HOMICIDE	4	4	3	4	5	8.2	39	25.0%
SEX OFFENSE	2	6	9	10	12	19.8	7	. 500.0%
ROBBERY	3	2	. 1	2	. 1	1.6	50	-66.7%
BURGLARY	0	0	0	6	3	4.9	44	NA
WEAPONS	0	1	1	. 0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	,1	. 0	2	, 3 .	1	1.6	47	0.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	7	11	20	24	39.5	5	1,100.0%
POSSESSION	1	3	3	4	9	14.8	5	800.0%
SALE	1	4	8	16	15	24.7	4	1,400.0%
OTHER FELONIES	12	10	9	14	12	19.8	34	0.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	0	0		0	0.0	33	-100.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES					
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Immates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole	es			1986 Parolees Per 100,00 Population	_ '
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	_	Rate Rar	% Change nk 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9	11	10	14	29		47.8 11	222.2%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	26	25	31	37	50		82.4 28	92.3%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	14	21	23	21	38		62.6 21	171.4%

The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Parolees Parolees								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	2	2		3.3	48	-60.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	1	0	0	1 .		1.6	21	NA NA
NEW ARRESTS	4	0	1	2	1		1.6	47	-75.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	0	0	0	0		0.0	36	-100.0%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

	<u> </u>	·				
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	0	0	0	. 2	1	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	.0	1	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	0	0	0	1	NA
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
PRISON	Q	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	. 0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0 .	0	0	0	NA
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	. NA
ACQUITTALS	, 0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	, O	0	0	0	. 1	NA .
OTHER	. 0	0	0	0	0	NA.

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Dept. of Correctional Services	53.9	30.6	37.6	51.4	-4.8%	0.0	0.0	
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	90.1	0.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.5	NA	200.0	13.9	
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	69.3	76.7	76.8	91.5	32.0%	114.1	138.7	
Division for Youth ³	133.4	162.5	205.6	471.1	253.2%	307.0	286.3	
TOTAL	256.6	269.8	327.0	621.5	142.2%	711.2	438.9	

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	42.4	28.6	27.6	33.1	-22.0%		·
Parole Violators	2.3	1.5	9.3	5.7	148.5%	, · · ·	
State Readies ²	,			11.3	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	9.2	0.5	0.8	1.3	-85.9%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL	53.9	30.6	37.6	51.4	-4.8%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness ₁ Assistance Program ¹							
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	90.1	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	99.1	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ The one agency in this county that receives funding, provides services to crime victims/witnesses in both Columbia and Greene Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Indigent Parolee Program ¹	0.0	0.0	4.2	1.8	NA NA		
Juvenile Justice ² and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	5.1
Mobile Radio District Program ³		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	200.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁴		, 			NA NA		8.8
Soft Body Armour ⁵ Reimbursement Program			2.8	5.6	NA		
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.5	NA	200.0	13.9

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{3}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^4}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		·	Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives		***		12.1	NA	26.0	27.3
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	3.8	. 14.5
PINS Program ²					NA .		5.8
Regular State Aid	69.3	76.7	76.8	79.4	14.5%	84.4	91.1
TOTAL	69.3	76.7	76.8	91.5	32.0%	114.1	138.7

 $^{^{1}\ \}mbox{This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.}$

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		1	Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u> 1	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Deterition							
Non-Secure	2.7	3.7	5.6	7.5	174.7%	5.4	4.9
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	25.9	29.2	29.2	27.4	5.6%	29.2	. 29.2
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Voluntary Agency Care	17.6	34.1	54.0	142.4	709.5%	112.7	88.6
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	87.1	95.4	106.8	293.8	237.2%	159.6	163.5
TOTAL	133.4	162.5	205.6	471.1	253.2%	307.0	286.3

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
	DOCS Facilities	479	294	
	DFY Facilities	50	94	
	TOTAL	529	388	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

	·	Expenditures					
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	7,361.2	8,382.5	8,808.8	9,777.2	32.8%	9,833.1	10,428.5
DFY Facilities	2,768.1	2,757.1	2,849.9	2,880.4	4.1%	2,878.0	3,215.2
TOTAL	10,129.3	11,139.6	11,658.7	12,657.6	25.0%	12,711.1	13,643.7

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	Appropriations			
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	1,287.2	359.5	832.2	2,479.0	3,098.8	4,690.0
DFY Facilities	36.0	8.9	7.4	52.4	43.0	76.0
TOTAL	1,323.3	368.5	839.6	2,531.4	3,141.8	4,766.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

				Staff	
<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	Total	Security	Civilian
Hudson	MEDIUM	479	294	173	121

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures				Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>		FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Hudson		7,361.2	8,382.5	8,808.8	9,777.2	32.8%	9,833.1	10,428.5	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expendi	Appropi	·iations		
<u>Facility</u>		FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Hudson		1,287.2	359.5	832.2	2,479.0	3,098.8	4,690.0

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>		Security ₁ Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Brookwood Secure Center			50	94	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		•	Appropriations				
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Brookwood Secure Center	2,768.1	2,757.1	2,849.9	2,880.4	4.1%	2.878.0	3,215.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	 -	Expen	ditu <u>res</u>		Appropr	iations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Brookwood Secure Center	36.0	8.9	7.4	52.4	43.0	76.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

DUTCHESS COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop K Headquarters Box 3000 Poughkeepsie, New York 12603 New York State
Division of Parole
Suite 309, 80 Washington Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 10 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Probation Department Suite 200, Nelson House Annex 28 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Public Defender's Office Nelson House Annex 28 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601 Sheriff's Department 150 North Hamilton Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12602

Youth Bureau
County Office Building
22 Market Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

County Court Court House Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Family Court
Nelson House Annex
28 Market Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Poughkeepsie Police Department Municipal Building, Memorial Square Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Town of Poughkeepsie Police Department Route 44, Dutchess Turnpike Poughkeepsie, New York 12603

^{*}Population 25,000 and above

Region MID-HUDSON

County Seat POUGHKEEPSIE

Square Miles 804

Population Percent Change 1970-1980

10.2%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	245,055	16	100.0%	NA NA
Density Per Square Mile	304.8	18	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	121,328 123,727	NA NA	49.5% 50.5%	9 54
Race: White Non-White Unknown	221,833 20,374 2,848	NA NA NA	90.5% 8.3% 1.2%	53 10 13
Ethnicity: Hispanic	5,853	NA	2.4%	14
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	32,459 26,257 14,459 25,794 146,086	NA NA MA NA	13.2% 10.7% 5.9% 10.5% 59.5%	45 15 33 26 23

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	146,086	100.0%	23
8th Grade or Less	22,959	15.7%	39
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	20,063 50,888	13.7% 34.8%	55 51
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	24,638 27,621	16.9% 18.9%	5 10

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		<u> </u>	<u>JLATION 1982-1986</u>			nl-
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	248,700	250,900	253,100	255,106	256,800	15
Density Per Square Mile	309.3	312.1	314.8	317.3	319.4	18

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	12,033	12,830	14,040	12

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

				School Year	•	1	Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u> 1982-83</u>	1983-84	<u> 1984-85</u>	1985-86	1985-86
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number	618	618	587	629	567	
	Percent	4.1%	4.2%	4.2%	4.5%	4.2%	26

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6 UNFMPI OYMENT: 1984-198

UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986								
		1984	1985_	1986	Percent Rank 1986			
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	5,322 4.3%	4,508 3.6%	4,752 3.8%	60			

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986								
				-			Percent Rank	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986	
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number	5.980	5.837	5.451	4.871	4.610		
Reserving Fabrio Hospatalioc	Percent	2.4%	2.3%	2.2%	1.9%	1.8%	54	

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		· ·	Number of Crimes			1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population			
1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86		
INDEX CRIME	8,534	7,982	7,278	7,934	8,224	3,242.6	24	-3.6%	
VIOLENT	780	810	748	839	895	352.9	13	. 14.7%	
MURDER	5	9	. 5	5	6	2.4	30	20.0%	
FORCIBLE RAPE	19	38.	45	37	36	14.2	25	89.5%	
ROBBERY	284	312	201	188	276	108.8	12	-2.8%	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	472	451	497	609	577	227.5	18	22.2%	
PROPERTY	7,754	7,172	6,530	7,095	7,329	2,889.7	25	-5.5%	
BURGLARY	2,501	2,276	1,929	2,123	2,170	855.6	19	-13.2%	
LARCENY	4,908	4,523	4,308	4,648	4,859	1,915.8	27	-1.0%	
MV THEFT	345	373	293	324	300	118.3	23	-13.0%	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	e of Arres	sts	:			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	4,959	4,855	5,134	5,076	5,103	1,987.1	27	2.9%
FELONY	1,268	1,255	1,165	1,313	1,380	537.4	16	8.8%
VIOLENT	424	413	381	425	406	158.1	17	-4.2%
OTHER	844	842	784	888	974	379.3	13	15.4%
MISDEMEANOR	3,691	3,600	3,969	3,763	3,723	1,449.8	35	0.9%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

	<u>Number</u> l	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
ARRESTS	2,844	15.8%	
FELONY ²	711	6.4%	
VIOLENT	213	12.7%	
OTHER	498	4.0%	
MISDEMEANOR	2,133	19.3%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arrest	<u>s</u>		1986 Arres Per 100,00 Populatio	00 <u>on</u>
	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	1985	1986	Rate R	% Change ank <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	5	15	4	4	· . 9	3.5	15 80.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	9	16	17	14	15	5.8	66.7%
ROBBERY	104	67	68	68	56	21.8	20 -46.2%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	177	187	162	195	174	67.8	-1.7%
BURGLARY	256	259	233	246	246	95.8	27 -3.9%
LARCENY ²	892	805	903	933	1,005	391.4	12.7%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1 (Adults Only)

		N ₁	umber of Arr	ests		Per 100	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	322	234	311	276	363	141.4	19	12.7%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	227	154	228	217	310	120.7	18	36.6%
POSSESSION	148	116	169	157	235	91.5	16	58.8%
SALE	48	22	43	41	51	19.9	19	6.3%
OTHER	31	16	16	19	24	9.3	14	-22.6%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	95	80	83	59	53	20.6	28	-44.2%
POSSESSION	81	46	42	37	39	15.2	25	-51.9%
SALE	14	3,4	41	22	14	5.5	32	0.0%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State -Sections 220 and 221).

		Num	ber of Arrests			Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	1,490	1,680	1,782	1,647	1,435	8.3	29	-3.7%
FELONY	88	118	119	119	129	0.7	23	46.6%
MISDEMEANOR	1,402	1,562	1,663	1,528	1,306	7.6	32	-6.8%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	:	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	241 100.0%	279 100.0%	349 100.0%	373 100.0%	333 100.0%	38.2%			
VIOLENT	77 32.0%	55 19.7%	84 24.1%	80 21.4%	82 24.6%	6.5%			
OTHER	164 68.0%	224 80.3%	265 75.9%	293 78.6%	251 75.4%	53.0%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	187	29.9%		
VIOLENT	33	-13.2%		
OTHER	154	45.3%		

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

	 ÷					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	3,694 100.0%	4,284 100.0%	4,241 100.0%	4,753 100.0%	4,201 100.0%	13.7%
CONVICTIONS	2,084 56.4%	2,526 59.0%	2,581 60.9%	2,947 62.0%	2,515 59.9%	20.7%
ACQUITTALS	8 0.2%	7 0.2%	10 0.2%	0.1%	8 0.2%	0.0%
DISMISSALS	1,527 41.3%	1.671 39.0%	1,572 37.1%	1,713 36.0%	1,597 38.0%	4.6%
NOT PROSECUTED	0.0%	1 0.0%	0.0%	2 0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
OTHER	74 2.0%	79 1.8%	76 1.8%	87 1.8%	81 1.9%	9.5%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittal, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
DISPOSITIONS	894 100.0%	1,092 100.0%	1,022 100.0%	1,135 100.0%	1.068 100.0%	19.5%			
CONVICTIONS	502 56.2%	704 64.5%	658 64.4%	800 70.5%	728 68.2%	45.0%			
ACQUITTALS	4 0.4%	4 0.4%	5 0.5%	2 0.2%	2 0.2%	-50.0%			
DISMISSALS	367 41.1%	365 33.4%	342 33.5%	315 27.8%	323 30.2%	-12.0%			
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA			
OTHER	21 2.3%	19 1.7%	17 1.7%	18 1.6%	15 1.4%	-28.6%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1986 2 1982 1984 1985 1983 82 vs 86 CONVICTIONS 2.084 2.581 2.947 2,526 2,515 20.7% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 106 212 251 316 229 116.0% 5.1% 8.4% 9.7% 10.7% 9.1% VIOLENT 20 45 44 64 47 135.0% 1.0% 2.2% 1.8% 1.7% 1.9% 207 OTHER 86 167 252 182 111.6% 4.1% 7.2% 6.6% 8.0% 8.6% 1,132 **MISDEMEANOR** 1,290 1,227 1,260 1.8% 1,112 53.4% 51.1% 47.5% 42.8% 45.0% LESSER OFFENSES 1,024 1,103 1,371 866 1,154 33.3% 41.6% 40.5% 42.7% 46.5% 45.9%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
CONVICTIONS	502 100.0%	704 100.0%	658 100.0%	800 100.0%	728 100.0%	45.0%		
FELONY	101 20.1%	203 28.8%	236 35.9%	292 36.5%	211 29.0%	108.9%		
VIOLENT	20 4.0%	45 6.4%	44 6.7%	64 8.0%	47 6.5%	135.0%		
OTHER	81 16.1%	158 22.4%	192 29.2%	228 28.5%	164 22.5%	102.5%		
MISDEMEANOR	346 68.9%	435 61.8%	358 54.4%	416 52.0%	434 59.6%	25.4%		
LESSER OFFENSES	55 11.0%	66 9.4%	. 64 9.7%	92 11.5%	83 11,4%	50.9%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986_2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	1.4	2.1	2.8	2.2	1.9
LOCAL JAIL	18.1	15.7	14.6	14.8	15.9
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.3	5.1	5.8	5.5	4.3
PROBATION	10.4	10.4	11.5	11.1	11.5
FINES	53.9	60.0	59.4	59.7	57.3
OTHER	13.0	6.8	5.9	6.8	9.1

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	085 Misd & Lesser	***************************************	986 2 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	27.4	NA	24.5	NA 1	28.3	NA	20.6	NA	20.5	NA
LOCAL JAIL	22.6	17.8	13.2	15.9	8.0	15.4	8.9	15.5	9.2,	16.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	15.1	2.6	28.3	2.9	30.7	3.1	32.9	2.2	27.1	2.1
PROBATION	34.0	9.2	33.5	8.3	32.3	9.2	37.0	7.9	42.8	8.3
FINES	0.0	56.8	0.5	65.5	0.4	65.8	0.0	8.66	0.0	63.1
OTHER	0.9	13.6	0.0	7.4	0.4	6.5	0.6	7.6	0.4	10.0

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

						1986 Cases Per 100,000	
	Number of Cases					Population	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	3,101	2,395	2,587	2,760	2,738	1,066.2 27	-11.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	2,004	1,958	1,461	1,613	1,480	576.3 47	-26.1%
FAMILY COURT	293	344	317	322	358	139.4 37	. 22.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,711	1,614	1,144	1,291	1,122	436.9 47	-34.4%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	71	67	84	128	179	69.7 24	152.1%
FAMILY COURT	. 57	55	72	94	105	40.9 13	84.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	14	12	12	34	74	28.8 24	428.6%
PROBATION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	542	687	727	725	608	236.8 46	12.2%
FAMILY COURT	136	150	148	129	108	42.1 40	-20.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	406	537	579	596	500	194.7 41	23.2%
UNDER PROBATION2							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SUPERVISION	1,556	1,663	1,894	2,060	2,077	808.8 45	33.5%
FAMILY COURT	362	289	303	295	228	88.8 33	-37.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,194	1,374	1,591	1,765	1,849	720.0 41	54.9%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON							
DECEMBER 31	976	1,167	1,335	1,458	1,511	588.4 28	54.8%
FAMILY COURT	139	155	166	109	129	50.2 28	-7.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	837	1,012	1,169	1,349	1,382	538.2 27	65.1%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revocat	tions		1986 Revocati Per 1,0 Probatio	00	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	85	66	89	87	81	39.0	36	-4.7%
FAMILY COURT	35	22	22	46	17	74.6	27	-51.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	50	44	67	41	64	34.6	39	28.0%
TECHNICAL	42	28	46	25	53	28.7	19	26.2%
NEW CONVICTION	. 8	16	21	16	11	5.9	52	37.5%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B		Table	: 80	
PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986		COMMUNITY SERVI	CE PROGRAM:	1986
			Number	Percent
There were no agencies in the		CASES SENTENCED	41	· NA
county that received funding for this type of program.		CASES DISCHARGED	20	100.0%
		SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	14	70.0%
	-		-	

Ta	ble	: 8	D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rate	Rank		Change vs 86
ADMISSIONS	2,300	2,194	2,221	2,382	2,583	1,005.8	45		12.3%
UNSENTENCED	1,969	1,795	1,859	1,946	2,144	834.9	35		8.9%
SENTENCED	331	399	362	436	439	171.0	50		32.6%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

			±			1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	128	128	128	175	175	68.1 39	36.7%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	129	137	143	145	158	61.5 38	22.5%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	145	130	130	148	135	52.6 43	-6.9%
UNSENTENCED	99	82	109	108	102	39.7 25	3.0%
SENTEŅCED	46	48	21	40	33	12.9 54	-28.3%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

			Number of urt Commitm	nents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	•
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	42	57	67	66	55	21.4 42	31.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	19	34	28	34	34	13.2 30	78.9%
HOMICIDE	5	2	6	0	2	0.8 38	-60.0%
SEX OFFENSE	4	3	. 1	7	5	1.9 40	25.0%
ROBBERY	6	21	9	11	9	3.5 22	50.0%
BURGLARY	3	6	9	12	12	4.7 26	300.0%
WEAPONS	0	, 0	1	1	4	1.6 11	NA
OTHER	1	2	2	3	2	0.8 34	100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	4	2	5	4	4	1.6 40	0.0%
POSSESSION	2	1	3	0	1	0.4 36	-50.0%
SALE	2	, 1	2 2	4	, 3	1.2 35	50.0%
OTHER FELONIES	18	19	34	26	17	6.6 54	-5.6%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	2	0	2	0	0.0 32	-100.0%

 $^{^1}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

	Ni ir	umber of I n State Pr	nmates Unde		Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 0,000	N. O.	
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	152	161	184	181	174	67.8	31	14.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	92	116	122	123	126	49.1	24	37.0%
HOMICIDE	28	30	34	30	33	12.9	30	17.9%
SEX OFFENSE	17	16	15	20	23	9.0	30	35.3%
ROBBERY	29	48	43	37	37	14.4	15	27.6%
BURGLARY	7	12	20	24	21	8.2	34	200.0%
WEAPONS	2	1	, ` 2	. 3	4	1.6	21	100.0%
OTHER	9	9	8	9	8	3.1	38	-11.1%
DRUG FELONIES ³	. 7	9	12	10	12	4.7	35	71.4%
POSSESSION	4	4	6	3	4	1.6	39	0.0%
SALE	3	5	. 6 .	7	8	3.1	36	166.7%
OTHER FELONIES	51	33	49	46	36	14.0	46	-29.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2	3	1	2	Q	0.0	33	-100.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982_	1983	1984_	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES		1			
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	78	122	88	107	87	33.9 17	11.5%
UNDER PAROLE 1	199	260	277	310	307	119.5 12	54.3%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	138	189	203	220	206	80.2 14	49.3%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbe	r of Parol	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	198 Revoca Per 1 Parol			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	23	21	31	40	25	9.7	30	8.7%
RULE VIOLATIONS	10	, 7 .	8	11	, 10 -	3.9	10	0.0%
NEW ARRESTS	10	10	18	18	8	3.1	44	-20.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	3	4	5	11	7	2.7	30	133.3%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS

ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

				 			,			ı	
	, -	1982_	 1983	of Ca 1984	ses	19	85_	1986		% Change 82 vs 86	
FELONY ARRESTS		2	1.	2			4	3		50.0%	
FELONY INDICTMENTS		0	1	Q			2	0		NA	
DISPOSITIONS		1 .	4	1			4	1		0.0%	
CONVICTIONS		0 .	0	1			, 1	0		NA	
PRISON		0	0	1			0	, 0		NA	
LOCAL JAIL		0.	,0	. 0			0	0		: NA	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION		. 0	. 0	0			0	0		NA	
PROBATION		0	. 0	0			1	0		NA	
OTHER		0	0	0			0 -	. 0		NA	
ACQUITTALS		0	0	0			1	0		NA	
DISMISSALS		1	0	0			1	Ö		-100.0%	
NOT PROSECUTED		. 0	. 0	, ,0,			0	0		NA	
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT		0	2	. 0			1	. 1		NA	
OTHER		0	2	0			0	. 0		NA '	

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1
LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY 1
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		<u> </u>	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	101.7	90.0	109.2	197.2	93.9%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	37.7	46.3	64.1	48.2	27.9%	69.5	69.5
Division of Criminal Justice Services	62.6	173.7	285.2	271.6	334.1%	248.8	214.2
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	391.7	429.0	502.7	590.8	50.8%	659.2	781.3
Division for Youth ³	811.7	867.6	1,135.4	2,337.3	188.0%	1,519.4	1,361.3
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10.0	23.8	NA.	23.8	
TOTAL	1,405.4	1,606.6	2,106.7	3,469.0	146.8%	2,520.7	2,426.3

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{}m 4}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		·	Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	73.3	59.5	46.7	76.1	3.8%		
Parole Violators	27.3	30.2	61.4	106.8	291.7%		
State Readies ²				14.1	NA		·
Prisoner Transfer ¹	1.1	0.4	1.1	0.2	-83.4%		, -1
TOTAL	101.7	90.0	109.2	197.2	93.9%	0.0	.0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

					Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>F1</u>	86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program								
Comprehensive	37.7	46.3	64.1	48.2	27.9%		69.5	69.5
TOTAL	37.7	46.3	64.1	48.2	27.9%		69.5	69.5

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

<u>Program</u>			Appropriations				
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.0	20.4	· NA	,	
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		0.0	74.0	75.8	NA	60.0	25.0
Indigent Parolee Program ³	39.7	41.3	40.2	36.8	-7.2%	,	
Juvenile Justice ⁴ and Delinquency Prevention	22.9	6.4	40.0	0.0	-100.0%	52.6	0.0
Mobile Radio District Program ⁵		0.0	0.0	5.8	NA.	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁶					NA:	·	32.5
Neighborhood ⁷ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		15.0	0.0	0.0	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Soft Body Armour ⁸ Reimbursement Program			6.4	3.2	NA.		. _. . <u>.</u> .
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁹	1		0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	12.5

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Program		Appropriations					
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹⁰	0.0	111.0	124.7	129.6	NA	136.3	144.2
TOTAL	62.6	173.7	285.2	271.6	334.1%	248.8	214.2

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁵ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^6}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{7}}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	<u>riations</u>
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				25.8	NA	43.5	.£.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	53.5	58.5	85.3	96.5	80.2%	100.8	100.0
PINS Program ²			·		, NA	<u></u>	87.9
Regular State Aid	338.1	370.5	417.4	468.4	38.5%	514.8	547.6
TOTAL	391.7	429.0	502.7	590.8	50.8%	659.2	781.3

 $^{^{1}\,}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	25.0	20.2	15.6	41.3	65.7%	26.9	23.5
Secure	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	56.1	52.0	55.1	64.5	14.9%	75.8	. 78.7
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	134.5	152.0	136.1	121.8	-9.5%	145.2	151.7
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	61.0	50.0	NA NA	0.0	0.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ²				0.0	NA	16.9	0.0
Voluntary Agency Care	176.8	187.9	420.7	907.9	413.4%	655.2	521.9
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	415.4	455.5	446.9	1,151.9	177.3%	599.4	585.5
TOTAL	811.7	867.6	1,135.4	2,337.3	188.0%	1,519.4	1,361.3

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^{\}mathrm{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
	DOCS Facilities	4,911	2,728	
	DFY Facilities	174	234	
	TOTAL	5,085	2,962	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	69,086.1	75,386.9	79,178.9	87,786.9	27.1%	89,236.0	92,584.4
DFY Facilities	6,015.1	5,855.1	6,034.4	6,665.2	10.8%	7,087.8	7,112.9
- TOTAL	75,101.2	81,242.0	85,213.3	94,452.1	25.8%	96,323.8	99,697.3

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	2,073.7	1,820.0	3,010.4	6,904.1	7,220.5	3,010.0
DFY Facilities	987.7	351.4	173.0	1,512.1	117.5	1,742.2
TOTAL	3,061.3	2,171.5	3,183.4	8,416.2	7,338.0	4,752.2

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

			Staff				
<u>Facility</u>	Security Level	Security <u>Level</u> <u>Capacity</u>		Security	Civilian		
Beacon-Camp	MINIMUM	150	68	. · · 45	23		
Downstate	MAXIMUM	1.098	795	549	246		
Fishkill	MEDIUM	1,645	1,029	771	258		
Green Haven	MAXIMUM	1,968	836	584	252		
Green Haven Annex ¹	MEDIUM	50	0	0	0		
TOTAL		4,911	2,728	1,949	779		

 $^{^1}$ The facility's staff is included in the staff reported for the Green Haven facility. SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditures			Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Beacon-Camp	1,669.6	1,885.1	2,123.8	2,247.2	34.6%	2,455.1	2,561.4
Downstate	20,690.0	24,155.8	24,438.1	27,763.3	34.2%	26,665.1	29,086.9
Fishkill	25,326.5	26,022.5	27,972.5	31,067.4	22.7%	32,129.1	32,898.8
Green Haven	21,399.9	23,323.4	24,644.5	26,709.2	24.8%	27,986.6	28,037.4
Green Haven Annex ¹				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA	· ·	
TOTAL	69,086.1	75,386.9	79,178.9	87,786.9	27.1%	89,236.0	92,584.4

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Green Haven facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Approp	<u>Appropriations</u> <u>FY 86-87</u>			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88			
Beacon-Camp	76.0	51.5	317.3	444.8	347.0	48.0			
Downstate	536.6	46.9	90.7	674.2	0.0	253.0			
Fishkill	1,307.5	1,387.5	2,240.6	4,935.5	2,635.9	1,724.0			
Green Haven	153.6	334.1	361.9	849.6	4,237.6	985.0			
Green Haven Annex ¹			· 	0.0					
TOTAL	2,073.7	1,820.0	3,010.4	6,904.1	7,220.5	3,010.0			

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Green Haven facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>	Security ₁ _Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>
Dutchess Community Urban Home	,	14	13
Harlem Valley Secure Center ²	I · · ·	96	142
Parker Residential Center	II	50	66
Poughkeepsie Community Urban Home	۷I	14	13
TOTAL		174	234

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		·	Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dutchess Community Urban Home	183.4	242.1	267.8	234.0	27.6%	253.2	270.3
Harlem Valley ¹ Secure Center	4,233.4	3,978.7	3,919.8	4,428.1	4.6%	4,757.8	4,494.7
Parker Residential Center	1,383.0	1,382.6	1,605.8	1,737.4	25.6%	1,810.8	2,054.3
Poughkeepsie Community Urban Home	215.3	251.7	241.0	265.7	23.4%	266.0	293, 6
TOTAL	6,015.1	5,855.1	6,034.4	6,665.2	10.8%	7,087.8	7,112.9

Eventual capacity of 170 planned for 12/87. Additional funding of \$1,623,700 and 86 positions appropriated for expansion during 1987-88.

 $^{^2}$ Eventual capacity of 170 planned for 12/87. Additional funding of \$1,623,700 and 86 positions appropriated for expansion during 1987-88.


TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	Appropr	Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dutchess Community Urban Home	1.0	0.0	14.3	15.3	39.0	7.5
Harlem Valley ¹ Secure Center	963.6	351.4	133.5	1,448.6	40.0	1,729.7
Parker Residential Center	0.0	0.0	10.1	10.1	24.5	5.0
Poughkeepsie Community Urban Home	23.0	0.0	15.0	38.0	14.0	0.0
TOTAL	987.7	351.4	173.0	1,512.1	117.5	1,742.2

 $^{^{1}}$ Eventual capacity of 170 planned for 12/87. Additional funding of \$1,623,700 and 86 positions appropriated for expansion during 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940 New York State
Division of Parole
Suite 309, 80 Washington Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 309 Catskill, New York 12414

Probation Department Court House Catskill, New York 12414

Public Defender's Office Court House Catskill, New York 12414 Sheriff's Department 80 Bridge Street Catskill, New York 12414

Youth Bureau Box 910, HCR 3 Cairo, New York 12413

County Court Court House Catskill, New York 12414

Family Court Court House Catskill, New York 12414

	Region	MID-HUDSON
	County Seat	CATSKILL
	Square Miles	648
Population	Percent Change 1970-1980	23.3%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	40,861	53	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	63.1	49	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	19,848 21,013	NA NA	48.6% 51.4%	34 29
Race: White Non-White Unknown	39,259 1,357 245	NA NA NA	96.1% 3.3% 0.6%	35 29 22
Ethnicity: Hispanic	560	NA	1.4%	21
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	5,155 4,025 2,436 3,640 25,605	NA NA NA NA	12.6% 9.9% 6.0% 8.9% 62.7%	52 55 27 54 9

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	25,605	100.0%	9
8th Grade or Less	4,860	19.0%	17
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	4,681 10,414	18.3% 40.7%	14 7
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	3,137 2,511	12.3% 9.8%	58 57

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982	<u>1983</u>	<u> 1984 </u>	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	40,800	40,900	41,400	41,476	42,100	53
Density Per Square Mile	63.0	63.1	63.9	64.0	65.0	48

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		7
				Income Rank
	1982	<u>1983</u>	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	9,153	9,774	10,672	37

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	PUBLIC HIG	H SCHOOL DROP	<u>'0015: 1981-</u>	<u>-82 TO 1985-</u>	86			
		School Year						
		1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	<u>1984-85</u>	<u> 1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	
Public High School Students			1					
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	119 4.8%	119 5.1%	134 5.9%	118 5.5%	94 4.3%	24	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

·	UNEMPLO	JYMENI: 1984-19	986		
			4.		Percent Rank
		1984	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,654 9.5%	1,524 8.5%	1,323 7.3%	29

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986										
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986			
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,288 3.2%	1,412 3.5%	1,387 3.4%	1,160	1,034 2.5%	50			

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of Cri	1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population		w a.		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,386	1,173	1,200	1,076	1,040	2,508.3	37	-25.0%
VIOLENT	177	124	98	158	149	359.4	12	-15.8%
MURDER	1	3	1	1	0	0.0	52	-100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	10	2	3	6	14.5	24	20.0%
ROBBERY	12	, 11	11	, 7 - a	7	16.9	33	-41.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	159	100	84	147	136	328.0	8	-14.5%
PROPERTY	1,209	1,049	1,102	918	891	2,148.9	46	-26.3%
BURGLARY	495	400	422	279	294	709.1	29	-40.6%
LARCENY	671	602	633	604	564	1,360.2	51	-15.9%
MV THEFT	43	47	47	35	33	79.6	36	-23.3%

 ${\tt SOURCE:} \quad {\tt Division \ of \ Criminal \ Justice \ Services, \ Uniform \ Crime \ Reporting \ System.}$

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

			Numb	er of Arres	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population			
-	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
ARRESTS		802	639	704	695	904	2,147.3 23	12.7%
FELONY		237	207	215	176	232	551.1 14	-2.1%
VIOLENT		53	65	57	50	51	121.1 24	-3.8%
OTHER		184	142	158	126	181	429.9 9	-1.6%
MISDEMEANOR		565	432	489	519	672	1,596.2 22	18.9%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

	Number ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
ARRESTS	448	8.5%		
FELONY ²	92	-24.6%		
VIOLENT	25	0.0%		
OTHER	67	-30.9%		
MISDEMEANOR	356	22.3%		

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	1	2	1,	. 1	0	0.0	46	-100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	2	5	1	1	4	9.5	17	100.0%
ROBBERY	8	. 7	12	3	3	7.1	40	-62.5%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	42	28	26	35	27	64.1	17	-35.7%
BURGLARY	50	38	42	27	25	59.4	55	-50.0%
LARCENY ²	127	115	116	119	132	313.5	37	3.9%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1 (Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	52	23	32	41	124	294.5	6	138.5%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	39	18	21	34	112	266.0	7	187.2%
POSSESSION	28	13	19	32	96	228.0	6	242.9%
SALE	6	4	. 1	· 1	10	23.8	15	66.7%
OTHER	5	1	1	1	6	14.3	8	20.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13	5	11	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12	28.5	15	-7.7%
POSSESSION	, 6	4	7	5	8	19.0	14	33.3%
SALE	7		4	2	4	9.5	17	-42.9%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arrests	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 ensed ivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	211	192	223	217	249	8.1	32	18.0%
FELONY	9	11	14	10	27	0.9	12	200.0%
MISDEMEANOR	202	181	209	207	222	7.3	34	9.9%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Pe	ercent of Felor	ny Indictments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY INDICTMENTS	87 100.0%	66 100.0%	56 100.0%	64 100.0%	83 100.0%	-4.6%
VIOLENT	24 27.6%	24 36.4%	16 28.6%	22 34.4%	23 27.7%	-4.2%
OTHER	63 72.4%	42 63.6%	40 71.4%	42 65.6%	60 72.3%	-4.8%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
		FELONY INDICTMENTS	34	30.8%	•
		VIOLENT	13	18.2%	
		OTHER	21	40.0%	

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1986 2 1982 1985 82 vs 86 1983 1984 578 DISPOSITIONS 492 528 540 684 18.3% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% CONVICTIONS 403 383 428 431 560 39.0% 69.7% 77.8% 81.1% 79.8% 81.9% **ACQUITTALS** 0.0% 0.6% 0.9% 0.4% 0.4% 0.7% 106 102 117 -27.8% DISMISSALS 162 93 28.0% 21.5% 17.6% 18.9% 17.1% NOT PROSECUTED -100.0% 0.5% 0.0% 0.4% 0.2% 0.0% OTHER 3 3 -60.0% 0.9% 0.2% 0.6% 0.6% 0.3%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

	<u> </u>	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests									
	1982	1983_	1984_	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>					
DISPOSITIONS	157 100.0%	154 100.0%	146 100.0%	123 100.0%	175 100.0%	11.5%					
CONVICTIONS	99 63.1%	136 88.3%	120 82.2%	101 82.1%	147 84.0%	48.5%					
ACQUITTALS	3 1.9%	2 1.3%	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	3 1.7%	0.0%					
DISMISSALS	51 32.5%	16 10.4%	23 15.8%	19 15.4%	25 14.3%	-51.0%					
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	NA NA					
OTHER	4 2.5%	0 0.0%	3 2.1%	0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%					

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change <u>1986</u> 2 1982 1983 1984 1985 82 vs 86 CONVICTIONS 403 383 428 431 560 39.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 23 33 34 26 45 95.7% 5.7% 8.6% 7.9% 6.0% 8.0% VIOLENT 6 10 42.9% 1.7% 1.8% 2.1% 1.4% 1.8% OTHER 16 26 25 20 35 118.8% 4.0% 5.8% 6.8% 4.6% 6.3% 263 **MISDEMEANOR** 215 194 230 274 27.4% 53.4% 50.7% 53.7% 61.0% 48.9% LESSER OFFENSES 165 164 142 241 46.1% 156 40.9% 40.7% 38.3% 32.9% 43.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	99 100.0%	136 100.0%	120 100.0%	101 100.0%	147 100.0%	48.5%
FELONY	23 23.2%	31 22.8%	32 26.7%	25 24.8%	40 27.2%	73.9%
VIOLENT	7 7.1%	7 5.1%	9 7.5%	6 5.9%	10 6.8%	42.9%
OTHER	16 16.2%	24 17.6%	23 19.2%	19 18.8%	30 20.4%	87.5%
MISDEMEANOR	61 61.6%	89 65.4%	77 64.2%	65 64.4%	76 51.7%	24.6%
LESSER OFFENSES	15 15.2%	16 11.8%	11 9.2%	11 10.9%	31 21.1%	106.7%

 $^{^{1}}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions										
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	1.5	3.1	4.0	1.9	2.7						
LOCAL JAIL	9.9	11.0	10.3	12.3	12.5						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.5	2.9	1.4	0.7	2.3						
PROBATION	5.5	17.0	11.2	9.0	7.5						
FINES .	70.5	56.1	67.5	67.7	65.4						
OTHER	9.2	9.9	5.6	8.4	9,6						

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

				Sentences	as a Per	cent of C	onviction	3			
		1982 Misd & Felony Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser	4,	985 Misd & Lesser	-	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser	
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
STATE PRISON	26.1	NA	36.4	NA	50.0	NA	30.8	NA	33.3	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	17.4	9.5	18.2	10.3	0.0	11.2	26.9	11.4	13.3.	12.4	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	30.4	1.8	18.2	1.4	14.7	0.3	3.8	0.5	24.4	0.4	
PROBATION	21.7	4.5	27.3	16.0	32.4	9.4	34.6	7.4	26.7	5.8	
FINES	4.3	74.5	0.0	61.4	0.0	73.4	3.8	71.9	2.2	70.9	
OTHER	0.0	9.7	0.0	10.9	2.9	5.8	0.0	8.9	0.0	10.5	

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

				<u> </u>	·			····		
		Num	ber of Case	!S		Pe	986 Ca er 100 opulat	,000		
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
INTAKE OPERED 1	498	386	367	472	552	1,8	311.2	17	10.8%	
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	117	155	137	122	177		420.4	59	51.3%	
FAMILY COURT	15	22	14	21	36		85.5	60	140.0%	
CRIMINAL COURT	102	133	123	101	141	3	334.9	59	38.2%	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	7	0	8	2	99	,	235.2	8	1,314.3%	
FAMILY COURT	7	0	8	2	2		4.8	40	-71.4%	
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	97	2	230.4	4	NA	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	45	88	77	57	105		249.4	42	133.3%	
FAMILY COURT	13	. 15	18	15	24		57.0	22	84.6%	
CRIMINAL COURT	32	73	59	42	81	. 1	192.4	42	153.1%	
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	158	193	226	239	262	. •	522.3	51	65.8%	
FAMILY COURT	31	29	31	30	30		71.3	43	-3.2%	
CRIMINAL COURT	127	164	195	209	232		551.1	42	82.7%	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	105	149	182	157	175		415.7	57	66.7%	
FAMILY COURT	14	13	15	6	18		42.8	36	28.6%	
CRIMINAL COURT	91	136	167	151	157	3	372.9	54	72.5%	

Intake occurs only in family court.

The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	·		Number	of Revocat	tions		1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio	000	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS		10	12	10	15	17	64.9	18	70.0%
FAMILY COURT		2	4.	4	6	1	33.3	49	-50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT		8	8	6	9	16	69.0	16	100.0%
TECHNICAL		4	4	4	3	11	47.4	12	175.0%
NEW CONVICTION		4	4	2	6	5	21.6	30	25.0%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

leT.	ole 8B			Table 8C
Iai	71E 00			Table oc
PRETRIAL RELEAS	E PROGRAM: 198	36		COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986
	Number	Percent		
ASES SCREENED	262	NA		There were no agencies in the
CASES INTERVIEWED	102	100.0%		county that received funding for this type of program.
RELEASED ¹	15	14.7%		
Program intervention of directly responsible detained defendants.	contributed to or the release	or was e of these		
Tab	ole 8D			Table 8E
DEFENDER-BASED ADV	OCACY PROGRAM:	: 1986		SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986
	agencies in the eceived funding of program.			There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	632	533	668	704	908	2,156.8	3	43.7%
UNSENTENCED	448	382	494	483	593	1,408.6	7	32.4%
SENTENCED	184	151	174	221	315	748.2	3	71.2%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	.
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	50	50	50	54	54	128.3 6	8.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	21	21	31	35	38	90.3 14	81.0%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	24	21	37	25	33	78.4 19	37.5%
UNSENTENCED	13	12	26	14	21	49.9 14	61.5%
SENTENCED	. 11	. 9	11	11	12	28.5 24	9.1%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \mbox{New court commitments to state prison^1}$

			Number of urt Commitme	ents	·	1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_2	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	11	6	6	11	11	26.1 37	0.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	4	3	5	3	5	11.9 33	25.0%
HOMICIDE	2	1.	0 '	0	1	2.4 19	-50.0%
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	2	, 2	1	2.4 35	NA
ROBBERY	1	0	3	0	3	7.1 9	200.0%
BURGLARY	0.	2	0	1	0	0.0 51	NA
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 25	NA
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0.0 37	-100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	3	1	0	. 3	1	2.4 35	-66.7%
POSSESSION	3		0	2	1	2.4 14	-66.7%
SALE	0	0	0	1	0 ,	0.0 43	NA
OTHER FELONIES	4	2	1	5	5	11.9 36	25.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	. 0	0	, 0 ,	0	0	0.0 32	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state Prison on December 31^{1}}$

	None and a second se	Number of I in State Pr	nmates Unde	er Custody cember 31		Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 0,000	w 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	19	17	13	23	28	66.5	32	47.4%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	10	9	13	14	17	40.4	29	70.0%
HOMICIDE	6	5	6	5	6	14.3	24	0.0%
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	2	4	4	9.5	28	NA NA
ROBBERY	2	• 1	3	2	3	7.1	27	50.0%
BURGLARY	0	2	2	3	3	7.1	37	NA
WEAPONS	1	. 0	0	. 0	0	0.0	32	-100.0%
OTHER	1	1	0	O	1	2.4	43	0.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	3	4	0	3	3	7.1	27	0.0%
POSSESSION	. • 3	4	0	2	2	4.8	14	-33.3%
SALE	0	0	0	1	1	2.4	39	NA
OTHER FELONIES	6	4	0	6	8	19.0	36	33.3%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0	33	NA

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 108-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982_	1983_	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	< 0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
					,

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Number	of Parolees			1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
RELEASES TO PAROLE	5	12	0	4	7	16.6 47	40.0%	
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	14	23	20	16	17	40.4 50	21.4%	
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	11	20	12	10	15	35.6 43	36.4%	

 $^{^1}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbe	er of Parolees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984 198	35 1986	Rate Rank	% Changu 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹			1	. 1 0	0.0 52	-100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	. 0	0	1	0 0	0.0 36	NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	1	.0	0 0	0.0 49	-100.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	. 0	1 0	0.0 36	, NA

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

			Number of Ca	ses		% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	1	0	0	0	. 0	-100.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	1	0	0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	0	. 0	0	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
PRISON	. 0	0	0	0	0	NA .
LOCAL JAIL	0	. 0	0	0	0	ŇA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	. 0	NA
PROBATION	0	0 ,	. 0	0,	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	O	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0		0	0	0	NA NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA .
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	0	. • 0	NA NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			<u>Appropriations</u>				
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	10.1	16.0	33.4	35.1	248.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	60.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	1.0	1.5	8.1	5.2	407.2%	201.8	8.1
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	62.2	67.5	69.1	84.1	35.2%	103.7	109.7
Division for Youth ³	111.5	119.8	122.2	291.5	161.3%	218.2	179.6
TOTAL	184.8	204.9	232.8	415.9	125.0%	523.8	357.6

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		··	Expenditures	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· NA		·
Felons	7.8	16.0	24.2	23.9	206.3%		
Parole Violators	1.5	0.0	8.8	6.9	371.1%		
State Readies ²				4.0	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.8	0.0	, 0.4	0.3	-66.9%		·
TOTAL	10.1	16.0	33.4	35.1	248.5%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		·	Expenditures	Approp	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	36.1
Domestic Violence	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	24.1
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	60.3

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Indigent Parolee Program ¹	1.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	-100.0%		
Justice Assistance Act ²		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			NA	1.8	
Juvenile Justice ³ and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ŅA	0.0	3.2
Mobile Radio District Program ⁴	:	0.0	3.5	3.5	NA	200.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁵	· · ·	·			NA.		4.9
Neighborhood ⁶ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	0.0	0.0	· NA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Soft Body Armour Reimbursement Program			4.6	1.7·	NA	: : *** .	
TOTAL	1.0	1.5	8.1	5.2	407.2%	201.8	8.1

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

³ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{6}}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditures	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives		· 	: '	6.9	NA	10.4	10.9
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ŅA	2.4	. 0.0
PINS Program ²	·		****		NA		4.2
Regular State Aid	62.2	67.5	69.1	77.2	24.1%	90.9	94.6
TOTAL	62.2	67.5	69.1	84.1	35.2%	103.7	109.7

 $^{^{1}\,}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropri	ations_
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	NA	4.2	1.1
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	31.1	35.2	29.2	28.1	-9.6%	28.7	29.6
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	22.0	18.1
Voluntary Agency Care	18.2	13.7	17.2	65.2	259.2%	61.7	28.7
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	62.3	70.9	75.8	193.3	210.4%	101.6	102.2
TOTAL	111.5	119.8	122.2	291.5	161.3%	218.2	179.6

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	Staff	
	DOCS Facilities	1,620	909	
	DFY Facilities			
	TOTAL	1,620	909	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	S		Approp	riations
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	12,568.2	14,027.2	19,051.6	28,433.8	126.2%	29,385.5	31,442.7
DFY Facilities		-			0.0%		:
TOTAL	12,568.2	14,027.2	19,051.6	28,433.8	126.2%	29,385.5	31,442.7

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations	
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	6,624.0	16,613.0	435.0	23,671.9	1,632.1	510.0
DFY Facilities				***		
TOTAL	6,624.0	16,613.0	435.0	23,671.9	1,632.1	510.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

				Staff	
<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	Total	Security	Civilian
Coxsackie	MAXIMUM	920	520	339	181
Greene	MEDIUM	700	. 389 =	254	135
TOTAL		1,620	909	593	316

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Coxsackie	12,568.2	14,027.2	15,154.1	16,574.1	31.9%	16,732.3	17,950.7
Greene ¹	0.0	0.0	3.897.4	11,859.7	NA	12,653.3	13,492.1
TOTAL	12,568.2	14,027.2	19.051.6	28,433.8	126.2%	29,385.5	31,442.7

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility opened in October of 1984.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C


DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures					Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
Coxsackie	582.8	221.9	435.0	1,239.7	1,632.1	510.0		
Greene ¹	6,041.1	16,391.1	0.0	22,432.2	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	6,624.0	16,613.0	435.0	23,671.9	1,632.1	510.0		

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The facility opened in October of 1984.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940 New York State
Division of Parole
Suite 309, 80 Washington Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

Probation Department County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

Assigned Counsel Plan County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

County Court Court House Goshen, New York 10924 Sheriff's Department 40 Erie Street Goshen, New York 10924

Youth Bureau County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

Legal Aid Society
Box 328
County Office Building
Goshen, New York 10924

Family Court County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

Region	MID-HUDSON
County Seat	GOSHEN
Square Miles	826
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	17.1%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	259,603	13	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	314.3	17	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	128,214 131,389	NA NA	49.4% 50.6%	11 52
Race: White Non-White	237,359 17,990	NA NA	91.4% 6.9%	50 15
Unknown	4,254	NA	1.6%	8
Ethnicity: Hispanic	11,260	NA	4.3%	9
Age: 9 and Under	40.312	NA:	15.5%	5
10 to 15	28,711	NA	11.1%	13
16 to 18	14,935	NA .	5.8%	45
19 to 24	26,030	NA NA	10.0%	31
25 and Over	149,615	NA	57.6%	46

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	149,615	100.0%	46
8th Grade or Less	25,073	16.8%	31
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	23,542 55,787	15.7% 37.3%	44 40
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	22,958 22,348	15.3% 14.9%	17 23

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	:	POP	<u>ULATION 1982-198</u>	6		
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rank <u>1986</u>
Population	265,700	269,300	273,500	277,563	281,700	13
Density Per Square Mile	321.7	326.0	331.1	336.0	341.0	17
						and the second second

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

_			·-···	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		
							Income Rank
				1982	1983	1984	1984
. 1	Per Capita	Income		10,483	11,216	12,148	18

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	PUBLIC HIGH	SCHOOL DROP	<u> 0015: 1981-</u>	<u>82 10 1985-</u>	86		
				School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u> 1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	998 5.7%	948 5.5%	797 4.9%	869 5.3%	800 4.9%	16

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-19	186	4 (1)	
					Percent Rank
		1984	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	6,807 5.8%	6,440 5.3%	6,406 5.1%	50

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

		PUBLIC AS:	SISTANCE: 198	2-1986			
	1						Percent Rank
		1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u>	1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number	14.000	13.864	13.105	13.114	12.802	
Receiving Public Assistance	Percent	5.3%	5.1%	4.8%	4.7%	4.5%	24

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number of Crimes				1986 C Per 10 Popula		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	11,142	10,672	9,848	10,018	10,206	3,723.3	17	-8.4%
VIOLENT	1,057	1,107	1,053	1,086	1,167	425.7	8	10.4%
MURDER	10	11	9	10	9	3.3	23	-10.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	35	43	52	56	59	21.5	13	68.6%
ROBBERY	302	445	335	328	335	122.2	11	10.9%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	710	608	657	692	764	278.7	13	7.6%
PROPERTY	10,085	9,565	8,795	8,932	9,039	3,297.5	17	~10.4%
BURGLARY	3,286	3,101	2,698	2,816	2,683	978.8	16	-18.4%
LARCENY	6,285	5,983	5,610	5,645	5,835	2,128.7	22	-7.2%
MV THEFT	514	481	487	471	521	190.1	12	1.4%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	-	Numb	er of Arres	its		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	e/ OI
	1982	1983_	1984	<u>1985</u>	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	6,206	6,961	6,438	6,094	6,966	2,472.8 10	12.2%
FELONY	1,534	1,764	1,540	1,602	1,792	636.1 7	16.8%
VIOLENT	476	558	505	503	572	203.1 10	20.2%
OTHER	1,058	1,206	1,035	1,099	1,220	433.1 8	15.3%
MISDEMEANOR	4,672	5,197	4,898	4,492	5,174	1,836.7 12	10.7%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

 	<u> </u>	.,.,		
		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	3,610	11.5%	4
	FELONY ²	883	3.5%	
	VIOLENT	263	1.9%	
	OTHER	620	4.2%	
	MISDEMEANOR	2,727	14.3%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		r of Arrest	1986 Ar Per 100 Popula					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	8	13	7	5	8	2.8	20	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	16	19	20	32	26	9.2	19	62.5%
ROBBERY	88	102	103	60	114	40.5	10	. 29.5%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	199	210	223	245	248	88.0	9	24.6%
BURGLARY	337	357	257	277	273	96.9	26	-19.0%
LARCENY ²	1,093	1,159	1,144	1,132	1,316	467.2	11	20.4%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

		Num	per of Arres	ts		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	493	604	484	447	558	198.1	10	13.2%
CONTROLLED								
SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	393	503	392	387	501	177.8	8	27.5%
POSSESSION	309	390	319	289	442	156.9	9	43.0%
SALE	55	82	49	67	39	13.8	29	-29.1%
OTHER	29	31	24	31	20	7.1	17	-31.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	100	101	92	60	57	20.2	30	-43.0%
POSSESSION	79	83	76	47	50	17.7	17	-36.7%
SALE	. 21	18	16	13	7	2.5	48	-66.7%
		1						

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES
(Adults Only)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Numbe	Number of Arrests			Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	1,610	2,151	1,819	1,431	1,631	9.0	24	1.3%
FELONY	145	191	194	184	193	1.1	7	33.1%
MISDEMEANOR	1,465	1,960	1,625	1,247	1,438	7.9	26	-1.8%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Pe	ercent of Felor	ny Indictments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY INDICTMENTS	381 100.0%	390 100.0%	477 100.0%	524 100.0%	487 100.0%	27.8%
VIOLENT	117 30.7%	136 34.9%	132 27.7%	145 27.7%	152 31.2%	29.9%
OTHER	264 69.3%	254 65.1%	345 72.3%	379 72.3%	335 68.8%	. 26.9%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87				
FELONY INDICTMENTS	212	1.4%				
VIOLENT	57	-5.0%				
OTHER	155	4.0%				

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

			Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests								
		1982	. ,	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS		4,824 100.0%		5,083 100.0%	5,266 100.0%	5,255 100.0%	4,707 100.0%	-2.4%			
CONVICTIONS		2,896 60.0%		3,152 62.0%	3,049 57.9%	3,057 58.2%	2,749 58.4%	-5.1%			
ACQUITTALS		17 0.4%		8 0.2%	8 0.2%	14 0.3%	7 0.1%	-58.8%			
DISMISSALS		1,833 38.0%		1,840 36.2%	2,124 40.3%	2,102 40.0%	1,885 40.0%	2.8%			
NOT PROSECUTED		0.1%		4 0.1%	4 0.1%	4 0.1%	3 0.1%	-25.0%			
OTHER		74 1.5%		79 1.6%	81 1.5%	78 1 5%	63 1.3%	-14.9%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS		1,206 00.0%	1,202 100.0%	1,277 100.0%	1,294 100.0%	1,123 100.0%	-6.9%			
CONVICTIONS	(818 67.8%	785 65.3%	863 67.6%	890 68.8%	761 67.8%	-7.0%			
ACQUITTALS		7 0.6%	3 0.2%	2 0.2%	7 0.5%	4 0.4%	-42.9%			
DISMISSALS		352 29.2%	385 32.0%	392 30.7%	368 28.4%	341 30.4%	-3.1%			
NOT PROSECUTED		4 0.3%	3 0.2%	2 0.2%	4 0.3%	2 0.2%	-50.0%			
OTHER		25 2.1%	26 2.2%	18 1.4%	25 1.9%	15 1.3%	-40.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		1	Percent of Conv Resulting from d Misdemeanor Ar			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	2.896 100.0%	3.152 100.0%	3,049 100.0%	3,057 100.0%	2,749 100.0%	-5.1%
FELONY	217 7.5%	151 4.8%	322 10.6%	378 12.4%	277 10.1%	27.6%
VIOLENT	58 2.0%	48 1.5%	97 3.2%	96 3.1%	59 2.1%	1.7%
OTHER	159 5.5%	103 3.3%	225 7.4%	282 9.2%	218 7.9%	37.1%
MISDEMEANOR	1,513 52.2%	1,578 50.1%	1,317 43.2%	1,227 40.1%	998 36.3%	-34.0%
LESSER OFFENSES	1,166 40.3%	1,423 45.1%	1.410 46.2%	1,452 47.5%	1,474 53.6%	26.4%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
CONVICTIONS	818 100.0%	785 100.0%	863 100.0%	890 100.0%	761 100.0%	-7.0%			
FELONY	208 25.4%	143 18.2%	313 36.3%	368 41.3%	264 34.7%	. 26.9%			
VIOLENT	58 7.1%	48 6.1%	97 11.2%	96 10.8%	59 7.8%	1.7%			
OTHER	150 18.3%	95 12.1%	216 25.0%	272 30.6%	205 26.9%	36.7%			
MISDEMEANOR	515 63.0%	539 68.7%	459 53.2%	431 48.4%	389 51.1%	-24.5%			
LESSER OFFENSES	95 11.6%	103 13.1%	91 10.5%	91 10.2%	108 14.2%	13.7%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions										
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2							
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							
STATE PRISON	2.4	1.3	3.3	3.5	3.1							
LOCAL JAIL	16.9	14.4	12.6	13.1	12.9							
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.3	2.8	4.2	5.1	4.3							
PROBATION	12.3	10.9	13.2	14.1	11.7							
FINES	50.4	58.6	58.0	55.9	60.5							
OTHER	15.6	12.0	8.7	8.3	7.5							

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Sentences	as a Perd	cent of C	onviction	s		
		82 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser	19 Fe lony	84 Misd & Lesser	19	985 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	386 2 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	32.3	NA	27.2	NA	31.4	NA	28.0	NA	30.3	NA
LOCAL JAIL	11.1	17.4	13.9	14.4	10.9	12.8	5.0	14.2	8.3	13.4
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	16.6	1.2	29.1	1.5	23.9	1.9	26.5	2.1	29.2	1.5
PROBATION	38.7	10.2	27.8	10.1	31.4	11.0	39.4	10.6	30.7	9.6
FINES	0.9	54.4	1.3	61.5	2.5	64.6	0.0	63.8	0.4	67.3
OTHER	0.5	16.9	0.7	12.5	0.0	9.7	1.1	9.4	1.1	8.2

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nur	mber of Case	ıe.		1986 Cases Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	3,051	3,220	3,384	3,666	2,931	1,040.5 28	-3.9%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	1,983	2,143	2,108	1,920	1,963	696.8 37	-1.0%
FAMILY COURT	244	248	253	308	241	85.6 41	-1.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,739	1,895	1,855	1,612	1,722	611.3 28	-1.0%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	371	249	321	307	332	117.9 16	-10.5%
FAMILY COURT	0	1	1	0	0	0.0 44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	371	248	320	307	332	117.9 14	-10.5%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	722	751	885	960	861	305.6 17	19.3%
FAMILY COURT	105	120	123	135	126	44.7 36	20.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	617	631	762	825	735	260.9 15	19.1%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	1,903	1,980	2,142	2,424	2,534	899.5 9	33.2%
FAMILY COURT	218	234	257	341	373	132.4 12	71.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,685	1,746	1,885	2,083	2,161	767.1 15	28.2%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON							
DECEMBER 31	1,222	1,246	1,386	1,673	1,806	641.1 21	47.8%
FAMILY COURT	107	123	128	247	250	88.7 6	133.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,115	1,123	1,258	1,426	1,556	552.4 25	39.6%

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca		1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	60	92	98	103	182	71.8	14	203.3%
FAMILY COURT	17	15	22	12	34	91.2	22	100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	43	77	76	91	148	68.5	14	244.2%
TECHNICAL	. 31	58	64	72	95	44.0	14	· 206.5%
NEW CONVICTION	12	19	12	19	53	24.5	23	341.7%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B	Table 80		
PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986	COMMUNITY SERVICE	PROGRAM: 19	186
There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.	There were no agen county that receiv for this type of p	ed funding	3 - 2 - 2
		•	
Table 8D	Table 8E		
DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986	SPECIALIZED ALTER	NATIVES: 19	86
		<u>Number</u>	Percent
There were no agencies in the	CLIENTS SERVED	43	. NA
county that recieved funding for this type of program.	RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT	25	100.0%
	ACCEPTED	24	96.0%

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Number of Admissions					1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank		% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	4,770	4,428	3,605	3,776	4,405		1,563.7	13		-7.7%
UNSENTENCED	3,228	3,082	2,951	3,187	3,768		1,337.6	9		16.7%
SENTENCED	1,542	1,346	654	589	637		226.1	42		-58.7%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	:					1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	251	252	252	267	267	94.8 19 6.4%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	225	214	218	222	256	90.9 13 13.8%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	182	203	201	204	242	85.9 15 33.0%
UNSENTENCED	124	128	137	142	140	49.7 15 12.9%
SENTENCED	58	75	64	62	102	36.2 19 75.9%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

			Number of urt Commitm	nents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population		% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate	Rate Rank		
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	85	59	119	102	121	43.0	19	42.4%	
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	27	31	61	46	38	13.5	28	40.7%	
HOMICIDE	2	9	8	5	7.	2.5	18	250.0%	
SEX OFFENSE	5	1	9	8	9	3.2	24	80.0%	
ROBBERY	. 7	10	23	16	5	1.8	34	-28.6%	
BURGLARY	10	9	12	. 11	13	4.6	27	30.0%	
WEAPONS	1	0	3	1	0	0.0	25	-100.0%	
OTHER	2	2	6	5	4	1.4	29	100.0%	
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	22	11	31	25	45	16.0	7	104.5%	
POSSESSION	3	3	9	12	25	8.9	4	733.3%	
SALE	19	8	22	13	20	7.1	11	5.3%	
OTHER FELONIES	34	16	26	31	36	12.8	31.	5.9%	
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2	1	1	• 0	2	0.7	24	0.0%	

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

		umber of In	Under	/31/86 00,000				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	265	230	272	302	322	114.3	15	21.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	127	131	168	189	183	65.0	17	44.1%
HOMICIDE	32	39	45	49	50	17,.7	18	56.3%
SEX OFFENSE	19	18	25	29	35	12.4	21	. 84.2%
ROBBERY	50	46	59	65	51	18.1	13	2.0%
BURGLARY	15	19	26	30	34	12.1	20	126.7%
WEAPONS	3	. 0	3	2	. 1	0.4	31	-66.7%
OTHER	8	9	10	14	12	4.3	31	50.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	37	37	50	55	78	27.7	7	110.8%
POSSESSION	9	10	14	20	34	12.1	6	277.8%
SALE	28	27	36	35	44	15.6	10	57.1%
OTHER FELONIES	89	56	51	57	59	20.9	31	-33.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	12	. 6	3	1	2	0.7	29	-83.3%

 $^{^{}m 1}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES			. ————		
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	93	103	69	69	83	29.5 26	-10.8%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION 1	265	296	282	255	252	89.5 23	-4.9%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	193	213	186	169	166	58.9 25	-14.0%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Paro	lees		1986 Revocat Per 1 Parole	ions ,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	35	24	27	17	19	6.7	37	-45.7%
RULE VIOLATIONS	14	4	4	5	2	0.7	33	-85.7%
NEW ARRESTS	17	16	17	6	9	3.2	34	-47.1%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	4	4	6	6	* 8	2.8	20	100.0%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

			Number of C	ases		9/ Channe
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	1	2	1	8	3	200.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	2	1	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	NA
DISPOSITIONS	2	2	0	0	0	-100.0%
CONVICTIONS	1	2	0	. 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-100.0%
PRISON	0	0.	0	. 0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	1	0	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 0	NA
PROBATION	1	1	0	0	0	-100.0%
OTHER	0	0	0	0	. 0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0.	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	, 0	. • 0	· 0 .	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	1	0	0	0	. • • • •	-100.0%
OTHER	0	0	0	0	. 0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Ę	Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	101.6	234.0	243.0	418.5	312.1%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	39.0	40.9	60.4	45.7	17.0%	108.8	87.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	432.1	489.3	675.1	629.1	45.6%	709.6	1,148.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	344.9	403.0	504.1	607.0	76.0%	700.3	764.8
Division for Youth ³	649.4	914.3	980.7	2,753.8	324.1%	1,689.8	1,658.4
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴	,		10.0	23.7	NA	23.7	
TOTAL	1,567.0	2,081.7	2,473.3	4,477.8	185.8%	3,232.1	3,659.2

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

 $^{^3}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{}m 4}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	62.9	162.5	145.7	193.0	206.9%		,
Parole Violators	38.7	69.3	96.0	176.5	356.6%		
State Readies ²			,	49.0	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.0	2.3	1.3	0.0	NA .	40 no	
TOTAL	101.6	234.0	243.0	418.5	312.1%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	39.0	40.9	60.4	45.7	17.0%	108.8	87.3
TOTAL	39.0	40.9	60.4	45.7	17.0%	108.8	87.3

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Prevention ¹ and Protection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	45.0	10.0	NA	95.0	75.0
Indigent Parolee Program ²	5.4	3.6	1.6	6.8	25.8%		
Justice Assistance Act ³		10 fo		· -	NA	21.5	17.7
Juvenile Justice ⁴ and Delinquency Prevention	25.6	36.4	30.0	28.6	12.4%	11.0	0.0
Major Offense Police Program	274.4	290.9	311.2	323.7	18.0%	330.1	310.0
Mobile Radio District Program ⁵	₩₩	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	0.0	428.2
Narcotics Control ⁶	***				NA		48.0
Neighborhood ⁷ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	2.3	0.0	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Soft Body Armour ⁸ Reimbursement Program	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	40.5	15.4	. NA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program			63.8	70.9	NA	55.3	63.3

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹⁰	126.7	158.4	180.7	173.8	37.1%	196.6	206.8
TOTAL	432.1	489.3	675.1	629.1	45.6%	709.6	1,148.9

¹ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

³ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{5}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^6}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

⁹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			<u>Appropriations</u>				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives			. · · <u></u>	23.3	NA	46.1	48.4
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	39.7	41.5	79.3	101.7	156.2%	119.2	125.2
PINS Program ²		. ==			NA		20.8
Regular State Aid	305.2	361.6	424.8	482.0	58.0%	534.9	570.3
TOTAL	344.9	403.0	504.1	607.0	76.0%	700.3	764.8

 $^{^{1}% \}left(1\right) =0$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			<u>Appropri</u>	ations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	14.9	9.2	6.0	10.6	-28.7%	9.4	5.6
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	150.0	177.8	216.3	120.2	-19.9%	191.8	197.8
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	75.0	125.0	NA	123.0	120.4
Voluntary Agency Care	152.1	333.7	242.9	1,206.9	693.8%	712.9	659.8
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	332.3	393.6	440.6	1,291.0	288.5%	652.7	674.7
TOTAL	649.4	914.3	980.7	2,753.8	324.1%	1,689.8	1,658.4

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

YABLE 1A
CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	Staff	
	DOCS Facilities	1,288	839	
	DFY Facilities	105	153	
	TOTAL	1,393	992	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	21,117.9	22,899.5	24,799.6	24,916.6	18.0%	26,911.1	28,173.2
DFY Facilities	3,908.6	3,889.3	4,360.7	4,083.4	4.5%	4,784.2	5,110.5
TOTAL	25,026.5	26,788.8	29,160.3	29,000.0	15.9%	31,695.3	33,283.7

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	1,178.7	1,277.6	885.9	3,342.2	2,053.8	1,746.2	
DFY Facilities	15.7	187.6	126.3	329.6	488.0	234.0	
TOTAL	1,194.4	1,465.2	1,012.2	3,671.8	2,541.8	1,980.2	

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

				Staff	
Facility	Security Level	<u>Capacity</u>	Total	Security	Civilian
Mid~Orange	MEDIUM	707	455	312	143
Otisville	MEDIUM	581	384	256	128
TOTAL		1.288	839	568	271

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 28

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

Expenditures						Approp	Appropriations			
Facility				FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Mid-Orange				11,340.6	12,267.2	13,158.8	13,407.7	18.2%	14,478.2	15,313.7
Otisville				9.777.2	10,632.3	11,640.8	11,508.9	17.7%	12,432.9	12,859.5
TOTAL				21,117.9	22,899.5	24,799.6	24,916.6	18.0%	26,911.1	28,173.2

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit		Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Mid-Orange	276.4	897.6	570.6	1,744.6	864.8	890.2
Otisville	902.4	379.9	315.3	1,597.6	1,189.0	856.0
TOTAL	1,178.7	1,277.6	885.9	3,342.2	2,053.8	1,746.2

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>		Security ₁	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
Goshen S Center		. 1	85	132	
Middleto Reside	wn ntial Center	IV	20	21	
TOTAL			105	153	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Goshen Secure Center	3,395.5	3,348.6	3,782.7	3,458.6	1.9%	4,119.1	4,410.0
Middletown Residential Center	513.1	540.7	578.0	624.7	21.8%	665.1	700.5
TOTAL	3,908.6	3,889.3	4,360.7	4,083.4	4.5%	4,784.2	5,110.5

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Goshen Secure Center	0.0	173.0	118.3	291.3	484.0	234.0
Middletown Residential Center	15.7	14.6	8.0	38.3	4.0	0.0
TOTAL	15.7	187.6	126.3	329.6	488.0	234.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940 New York State
Division of Parole
Suite 309, 80 Washington Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Monticello, New York 12701

Probation Department County Government Center Monticello, New York 12701

Legal Aid Society
P.O. Box 979
26 Landfield Avenue
Monticello, New York 12701

Sheriff's Department Sullivan County Court House Monticello, New York 12701

Youth Bureau County Government Center 3 Liberty Street Monticello, New York 12701

County Court Court House Monticello, New York 12701

Family Court 222 Broadway Monticello, New York 12701

MID-HUDSON	Region
MONTICELLO	County Seat
976	Square Miles
23.9%	Population Percent Change 1970-1980

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	65,155	37	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	66.8	45	NA	NA
Sex: Male	32,934	NA	50.5%	3
Female	32,221	, NA	49.5%	60
Race: White	59,071	NA	90.7%	52
Non-White	4,951	NA	7.6%	13
Unknown	1,133	NA	1.7%	6
Ethnicity: Hispanic	2,656	NA	4.1%	10
Age: 9 and Under	8,312	NA	12.8%	51
10 to 15	6,088	NA	9.3%	43
16 to 18	3,747	NA	5.8%	46
19 to 24	6,015	NA	9.2%	48
25 and Over	40.993	NA	62.9%	8

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	40,993	100.0%	8
8th Grade or Less	8,745	21.3%	4
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	6,918 14,582	16.9% 35.6%	30 47
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	5,871 4,926	14.3% 12.0%	37 38

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		<u> </u>	OPULATION 1982-19	86		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	64,600	66,500	67,200	67,602	68,600	36
Density Per Square Mile	66.2	68.1	68.9	69.3	70.3	43

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		Income
	1982	1983	1984	Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	9,840	10,084	11,059	32

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	PUBLIC HIG	<u>H SCHOOL DROP</u>	<u>0015: 1981-</u>	<u> 82 10 1985-</u>	·86		
				School Year			Percent Rank
		<u> 1981-82</u>	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	164 4.6%	149 4.5%	163 5.1%	148 4.9%	149 5.0%	15

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLOY	MENT: 1984-19	86		
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,851 6.6%	1,613 5.5%	1,757 5.8%	43

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986										
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986			
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	2,075 3,2%	1,991 3.0%	1,940 2.9%	2,011 3.0%	2,079 3.0%	40			

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of Cr	imes		1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986	Rate^	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	2,904	2,654	2,577	2,550	2,391	3,548.1	18	-17.7%
VIOLENT	250	256	288	229	278	412.5	10	11.2%
MURDER	5	3	4	2	5	7.4	9	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	10	16	13	18	22	32.6	7	120.0%
ROBBERY	57	43	42	29	37	54.9	20	-35.1%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	178	194	229	180	214	317.6	9 .	20.2%
PROPERTY	2,654	2,398	2,289	2,321	2,113	3,135.6	21	-20.4%
BURGLARY	1,289	1,117	973	1,112	941	1,396.4	5	-27.0%
LARCENY	1,232	1,143	1,199	1,088	1,055	1,565.6	40	-14.4%
MV THEFT	133	138	117	121	117	173.6	15	-12.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Numb	er of Arres	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	2,629	2,607	2,484	2,097	2,183	3,182.2	4	-17.0%
FELONY	620	640	616	508	635	925.7	5	2.4%
VIOLENT	200	216	200	199	246	358.6	5	23.0%
OTHER	420	424	416	309	389	567.1	4	-7.4%
MISDEMEANOR	2,009	1,967	1,868	1,589	1,548	2,256.6	5	-22.9%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	1,217	20.4%	
	FELONY ²	312	11.8%	
	VIOLENT	117	11.4%	
	OTHER	195	12.1%	
	MISDEMEANOR	905	23.6%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

			Number of Arrests				Per 100	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER		4	2	1	3	2	2.9	19	-50.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE		8	8	11	11	13	19.0	3	62.5%
ROBBERY		27	28	25	. 23	24	35.0	11	-11.1%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT		93	101	100	83	116	169.1	4	24.7%
BURGLARY		156	132	108	133	139	202.6	2	-10.9%
LARCENY ²		574	520	445	359	401	584.5	4 ,	-30.1%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1
(Adults Only)

		Numi		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	164	110	172	104	118	172.0	14	-28.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	105	81	130	69	108	157.4	11	2.9%
POSSESSION	79	59	93	58	69	100.6	14	-12.7%
SALE	18	18	31	5	26	37.9	7	44.4%
OTHER	8	4	6	6	13	19.0	4	62.5%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	59	29	42	35	10	14.6	47	-83.1%
POSSESSION	54	26	29	31	8	11.7	41	-85.2%
SALE		3	13	4	2	2.9	45	-60.0%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

		Numbe	er of Arrests			Po L	86 Arrests er 1,000 icensed Drivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Ra</u>	te <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	648	841	751	580	567	12.0	0 6	-12.5%
FELONY	52	49	51	29	47	1.0	8 0	-9.6%
MISDEMEANOR	596	792	700	551	520	11.0	9	-12.8%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A
FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	1982	1983	<u>.</u>	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY INDICTMENTS	164 100.0%	203 100.0%		229 100.0%	141 100.0%	221 100.0%	34.8%
VIOLENT	30 18.3%	43 21.2%		38 16.6%	46 32.6%	49 22.2%	63.3%
OTHER	134 81.7%	160 78.8%		191 83.4%	95 67.4%	172 77.8%	28.4%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	145	43.6%	
VIOLENT	25	8.7%	
OTHER	120	53.8%	· •

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86				
DISPOSITIONS	2,152 100.0%	2,278 100.0%	2,151 100.0%	1,935 100.0%	1,736 100.0%	-19.3%				
CONVICTIONS	1,345 62.5%	1.475 64.7%	1,378 64.1%	1,300 .67.2%	1,253 72.2%	-6.8%				
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 0.2%	3 0.2%	5 0.3%	NA				
DISMISSALS	801 37.2%	792 34.8%	760 35.3%	626 32.4%	470 27.1%	-41.3%				
NOT PROSECUTED	1 0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0 0.0%	-100.0%				
OTHER	5 0.2%	10 0.4%	7 0.3%	5 0.3%	8 0.5%	60.0%				

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
		1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS		546 100.0%	534 100.0%	486 100.0%	481 100.0%	467 100.0%	-14.5%			
CONVICTIONS		361 66.1%	354 66.3%	331 68.1%	335 69.6%	360 77.1%	-0.3%			
ACQUITTALS		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 0.6%	0 0.0%	2 0.4%	. NA			
DISMISSALS		180 33.0%	179 33.5%	148 30.5%	144 29.9%	104 22.3%	-42.2%			
NOT PROSECUTED		0.0%	0 0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	NA			
OTHER		5 0.9%	1 0.2%	3 0.6%	2 0.4%	1 0.2%	-80.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
CONVICTIONS	1,345 100.0%	1,475 100.0%	1,378 100.0%	1,300 100.0%	1,253 100.0%	-6.8%			
FELONY	141 10.5%	131 8.9%	145 10.5%	142 10.9%	136 10.9%	-3.5%			
VIOLENT	25 1.9%	25 1.7%	19 1.4%	19 1.5%	26 2.1%	4.0%			
OTHER	116 8.6%	106 7.2%	126 9.1%	123 9.5%	110 8.8%	-5.2%			
MISDEMEANOR	570 42.4%	596 40.4%	570 41.4%	578 44.5%	574 45.8%	0.7%			
LESSER OFFENSES	634 47.1%	748 50.7%	663 48.1%	580 44.6%	543 43.3%	-14.4%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	361 100.0%	354 100.0%	331 100.0%	335 100.0%	360 100.0%	-0.3%
FELONY	131 36.3%	119 33.6%	123 37.2%	126 37.6%	117 32.5%	-10.7%
VIOLENT	25 6.9%	25 7.1%	19 5.7%	19 5.7%	26 7.2%	4.0%
OTHER	106 29.4%	94 26.6%	104 31.4%	107 31.9%	91 25.3%	-14.2%
MISDEMEANOR	189 52.4%	184 52.0%	163 49.2%	166 49.6%	198 55.0%	4.8%
LESSER OFFENSES	41 11.4%	51 14.4%	45 13.6%	43 12.8%	45 12.5%	9.8%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	2.5	2.8	2.1	3.5	2.7						
LOCAL JAIL	18.7	13.8	14.6	19.7	23.5						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.1	3.5	2.7	4.1	3.9						
PROBATION	13.3	11.0	14.2	13.9	13.2						
FINES	53.5	57.7	56.6	47.0	44.4						
OTHER	9.9	11.2	9.8	11.8	12.3						

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
	19 Felony	982 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	983 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	84 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
STATE PRISON	24.1	NA	32.1	NA	20.0	NA	31.7	NA	25.0	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	12.1	19.5	4.6	14.7	3.4	15.9	12.0	20.6	16.2	24.4	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	12.1	0.9	22.9	1.6	17.9	0.9	23.9	1.6	21.3	1.8	
PROBATION	49.6	9.1	39.7	8.2	57.2	9.2	30.3	11.9	36.0	10.4	
FINES	2.1	59.5	0.0	63.3	1.4	63.1	1.4	52.6	1.5	49.6	
OTHER	0.0	11.0	0.8	12.2	0.0	10.9	0.7	13.2	0.0	13.8	

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	ber of Case	s		1986 Case Per 100, Populatio	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> !	<u>lank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	627	550	636	648	761	1,109.3	24	21.4%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	637	675	639	588	709	1,033.5	13	11.3%
FAMILY COURT	76	99	55	64	114	166.2	48	50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	561	576	584	524	595	867.3	12	6.1%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	1	0	0	0	. 0	0.0	51	-100.0%
FAMILY COURT	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	0.0	44	, NA
CRIMINAL COURT	1	0	0	0	0	0.0	39	-100.0%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	270	296	273	277	321	467.9	1	18.9%
		-						
FAMILY COURT CRIMINAL COURT	44 226	60 236	32 241	40 237	62 259	90.4 377.6	5 2	40.9%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	601	746	848	953	1,045	1,523.3	2	73.9%
FAMILY COURT	94	101	89	83	102	148.7	8	8.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	507	645	759	870	943	1,374.6	2	86.0%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON	450	£77	C7 4	705	772	1 125 4		71 GV
DECEMBER 31	450	577	674	725		1,125.4	1	71.6%
FAMILY COURT	41	59	41	41	50	72.9	8	22.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	409	518	633	684	722	1,052.5	1	76.5%

I Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revocat	1986 Revocat Per 1, Probati				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	20	30	22	47	54	51.7	20	170.0%
FAMILY COURT	1	3	2	5	4	39.2	41	300.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	19	27	20	42	50	53.0	20	163.2%
TECHNICAL	5	16	16	33	42	44.5	5	740.0%
NEW CONVICTION	14	11	4 .	9	8	8.5	46	-42.9%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B		Table 8C
 PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986		COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986
		<u>Number</u> <u>Percent</u>
There were no agencies in the		CASES SENTENCED 9 NA
county that received funding for this type of program.		CASES DISCHARGED 3 100.0%
	•	SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME 0 0%
		
Table 8D		Table 8E
 DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986
There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.		There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 <u>Popula</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	2,218	2,103	2,085	1,684	1,788	2,606.4	1	-19.4%
UNSENTENCED	1,422	1,414	1,469	1,211	1,269	1,849.9	2	-10.8%
SENTENCED	796	689	616	473	519	756.6	2	-34.8%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inma Per 100, Populati	000	°/ Change
· · .	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> F	lank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	94	100	94	164	164	239.1	1	74.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	117	112	114	. 118	146	212.8	1	24.8%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	129	96	150	114	138	201.2	1	7.0%
UNSENTENCED	81	52	116	86	105	153.1	1	29.6%
SENTENCED	48	44	34	28	33	48.1	, 7 ·	-31.2%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table 10A} \textbf{.} \ \ \text{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

			Number of urt Commit	nents		1986 New Co Committm Per 100 Populat	ourt ments 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	44	63	32	63	. 45	65.6	7	2.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	13	30	10	19	17	24.8	11	30.8%
HOMICIDE	1	2	1	2	2	2.9	13	100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	2	6	4	6	5	7.3	6	150.0%
ROBBERY	3	8	. 0	2	4	5.8	12	33.3%
BURGLARY	2	5	3	4 ,	2	2.9	37	0.0%
WEAPONS	2	5	0	0	1	1.5	13	-50.04
OTHER	· 3	. 4	. 2	5	3	4.4	7	0.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	13	14	6	. 17	11	16.0	6	-15.4%
POSSESSION	5	2	2	, 6	3	4.4	9	-40.0%
SALE	, 8	12	4	11	8	11.7	7	0.0%
OTHER FELONIES	18	16	15	25	16	23.3	10	-11.1%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	3	1	, 2	1	1.5	16	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Preliminary data.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

			nmates Und			Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 00,000	W. O.L.
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	96	120	110	119	103	159.4	10	7.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	38	61	56	57	60	87.5	10	57.9%
HOMICIDE	11	13	14	14	17	24.8	8	54.5%
SEX OFFENSE	7	11	11	16	19	27.7	2	171.4%
ROBBERY	. 9	14	. 9	7	8	11.7	19	-11.1%
BURGLARY	2	6	9	. 9	7	10.2	28	250.0%
WEAPONS	2	· 7	4	2	1	1.5	22	-50.0%
OTHER	7	. 10	9	9	8	11.7	8	14.3%
DRUG FELONIES ³	20	22	18	23	16	23.3	9	-20.0%
POSSESSION	7	4	4	6	5	7.3	9	-28.6%
SALE	13	18	14	17	11	16.0	9	-15.4%
OTHER FELONIES	38	33	33	38	26	37.9	9	-31.6%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	4	. 3	1	1	1.5	17	NA

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: TNYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	'				
and the second s	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_
PERCENT OF INMATES					<u> </u>
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		<u>Numbe</u>	er of Parole	es		198 Parol Per 10 Popula	ees 00,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	27	25	25	34	35	51.0	9	29.6%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	72	74	75	86	101	147.2	8	40.3%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	49	50	52	66	74	107.9	8	51.0%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Parol	ees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	6	10	5	8	. 11	16.0 13	83.3%
RULE VIOLATIONS	2	4	4	1	3	4.4 11	50.0%
NEW ARRESTS	3 3	4	. 0	4	4	5.8 29	33.3%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	2	1		4	5.8 16	300.0%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $\label{eq:table 12} \mbox{JUVENILE OFFENDERS} \\ \mbox{ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS}^1$

	Number of Cases							
	1982	1983	1984 198	<u>1986</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
FELONY ARRESTS	0	0	0	0	NA			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	o :	0	NA NA			
DISPOSITIONS	0	0 .	0	0 0	NA.			
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0 0	NA.			
PRISON	0	0	0	0 0	NA			
LOCAL JAIL	0	· 0	0	0 0	NA			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0 .	0	0	0 0	NA.			
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	NA			
OTHER	0	0	0	0 0	NA NA			
ACQUITTALS	, . O	0	0	0 0	NA NA			
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	0 0	NA			
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0 0	NA			
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	. 0	0	0 0	NA			
OTHER	0	0	0	0	NA			

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

						Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	- <u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Dept. of Correctional Services	82.3	88.7	106.1	196.2	138.4%	0.0	0.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	4.3	73.5	15.8	39.8	817.6%	21.4	269.0	
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	140.3	161.6	183.0	204.9	46.1%	266.3	320.9	
Division for Youth ³	120.9	142.1	164.4	593.3	390.8%	258.7	400.4	
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	23.6	NA .	23.6	70	
TOTAL	347.8	465.9	479.2	1,057.8	204.2%	570.0	990.4	

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{}m 4}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Board of Prisoners ¹								
Felons	66.7	73.4	86.4	138.2	107.1%			
Parole Violators	13.9	13.4	18.6	37.6	170.0%			
State Readies ²				19.4	NA			
Prisoner Transfer ¹	1.6	1.9	1.0	1.0	-37.1%	-4	-	
TOTAL	82.3	88.7	106.1	196.2	138.4%	0.0	0.0	

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county. \\ \end{tabular}$

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	·		Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Indigent Parolee Program ¹	4.3	4.8	3.8	8.8	102.0%		
Juvenile Justice ² and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	62.5	12.0	23.7	NA	21.4	0.0
Mobile Radio District Program ³		6.2	0.0	1.0	NA	0.0	136.1
Narcotics Control ⁴			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·	NA		10.3
Soft Body Armour ⁵ Reimbursement Program			0.0	6.3	NA	. 	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁶	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	122.6
TOTAL	4.3	73.5	15.8	39.8	817.6%	21.4	269.0

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

³ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁵ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5.1	NA	11.6	12.2
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	17.5	19.2	19.7	21.8	24.7%	26.8	32.7
PINS Program ²				***	NA	12.5	5.8
Regular State Aid	122.8	142.3	163.3	178.0	45.0%	215.4	270.3
TOTAL	140.3	161.6	183.0	204.9	46.1%	266.3	320.9

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropri	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	6.2	4.3	4.1	42.1	579.4%	19.7	25.4
Secure	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	25.7	31.8	31.7	20.8	-19.1%	36.8	38.1
Voluntary Agency Care	27.9	27.2	37.5	205.7	636.1%	73.9	181.5
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	60.9	78.9	91.1	324.7	433.1%	128.3	155.4
TOTAL	120.9	142.1	164.4	593.3	390.8%	258.7	400.4

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>		
DOCS Facilities	1,583	925		
DFY Facilities	7	7		
TOTAL	1,590	932		
			 ·	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures					Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	12,465.2	13,498.8	15,459.9	21,995.0	76.5%	29,615.6	30,893.1
DFY Facilities	119.7	148.1	153.6	109.7	-8.3%	145.8	167.1
TOTAL	12,584.9	13,646.9	15,613.5	22,104.8	75.6%	29,761.4	31,060.2

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

	·	Expendi	Appropriations			
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	18,397.1	21,960.1	6,835.4	47,192.6	52,590.6	1,463.0
DFY Facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	18,397.1	21,960.1	6,835.4	47,192.6	52,590.6	1,463.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

				Staff			
<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	Security	<u>Civilian</u>		
Fallsburg-Annex ¹	MINIMUM	132	0	. 0	0		
Sullivan	MAXIMUM	644	457	313	144		
Woodbourne	MEDIUM	807	468	306	162		
TOTAL		1,583	925	619	306		

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility's staff is included in the staff reported for the Sullivan facility.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		·	Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Fallsburg-Annex ¹					NA NA	***		
Sullivan ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	7,737.3	NA	14,299.8	14,309.6	
Woodbourne	12,465.2	13,498.8	15,459.9	14,257.8	14.4%	15,315.8	16,583.5	
TOTAL	12,465.2	13,498.8	15,459.9	21,995.0	76.5%	29,615.6	30,893.1	

The facility opened in April of 1984. In FY 1983-84 and FY 1984-85 the facility's budget was administered by the Woodbourne facility; in FY 1986-87 the administration of the facility's budget was transferred to the Sullivan facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

 $^{^{}m 2}$ The facility opened in August of 1985.

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	ures		Appropi	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY_87-88
Fallsburg-Annex ¹	0.0	170.9	· ——	170.9		
Sullivan ²	16,850.2	21,607.0	6,660.8	45,118.0	51,546.5	638.0
Woodbourne	1,546.9	182.2	174.6	1,903.7	1.044.1	825.0
TOTAL	18,397.1	21,960.1	6,835.4	47,192.6	52,590.6	1,463.0

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility opened in April of 1984. In FY 1983-84 and FY 1984-85 the facility's budget was administered by the Woodbourne facility; in FY 1986-87 the administration of the facility's budget was transferred to the Sullivan facility.

 $^{^{2}}$ The facility opened in August of 1985.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>		Security _l <u>Level</u>	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Monticello Community Urban Home		VI	7	, 7	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Monticello Community Urban Home	119.7	148.1	153.6	109.7	-8.3%	145.8	167.1

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>		FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Monticello Community Urban Home		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

ULSTER COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940 New York State
Division of Parole
Suite 309, 80 Washington Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 285 Wall Street Kingston, New York 12401

Probation Department
P.O. Box 1800
County Office Building
Kingston, New York 12401

Public Defender's Office 285 Wall Street Kingston, New York 12401

Assigned Counsel Plan 285 Wall Street Kingston, New York 12401 Sheriff's Department Golden Hill Drive Kingston, New York 12401

Youth Bureau P.O. Box 1800 Kingston, New York 12401

County Court Court House Kingston, New York 12401

Family Court County Office Building Fair Street Kingston, New York 12401

			Reg	ion	MID-HUDS	NO
		Co	ounty S	Seat	KINGSTON	
		Squ	ıare Mi	iles	1,131	
opulation	Percent	Change	1970-1	980	12.0%	

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	158,158	19	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	139.8	25	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	77,668 80,490	NA NA	49.1% 50.9%	20 43
Race: White Non-White Unknown	148,634 7,641 1,883	NA NA NA	94.0% 4.8% 1.2%	43 21 11
Ethnicity: Hispanic	4,931	NA	3.1%	12
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	20,222 16,128 8,896 16,868 96,044	NA NA NA NA	12.8% 10.2% 5.6% 10.7% 60.7%	49 20 51 23 14

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	96,044	100.0%	14
8th Grade or Less	16,810	17.5%	23
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	15,060 33,670	15.7% 35.1%	45 49
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	15,178 15,412	15.8% 16.0%	13 16

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		POP	ULATION 1982-1986	3		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	159,200	160,700	162,700	163,774	164,200	19
Density Per Square Mile	140.8	142.1	143.9	144.8	145.2	26

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4
PER CAPITA INCOMF: 1982-1984

	PER CAPITA I	NCUME: 1982-1984	·	Income
	1982	1983	1984	Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	10,352	11,316	12,445	16

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	PUBLIC HIGH	SCHOOL DROP	<u> 1981-</u>	<u>-82 10 1985-</u>	86		
				School Year			Percent Rank
		<u>1981-82</u>	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number	598	479	441	484	503	
	Percent	6.3%	4.8%	5.1%	5.9%	6.1%	5

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-19	186		
					Percent Rank
		1984	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	_ Number Percent	4,315 5.5%	3,855 4.7%	3,644 4.3%	54

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

	·	PUBLIC ASSI	STANCE: 1982	2-1986			1
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	6,360 4.0%	5,969 3.7%	5,584 3.4%	5,176 3.2%	4,848 3.0%	43

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		. 1	Number of Cr	imes		1986 C Per 10 Popula	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	5,219	4,564	4,864	4,925	4,661	2,859.2	, 31 =	-10.7%
VIOLENT	339	331	446	575	613	376.0	11	80.8%
MURDER	4	6	3	7	6	3.7	20	50.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	25	24	35	39	27	16.6	20	8.0%
ROBBERY	44	59	60	59	79	48.5	21	79.5%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	266	242	348	470	501	307.3	10	88.3%
PROPERTY	4,880	4,233	4,418	4,350	4,048	2,483.2	32	-17.0%
BURGLARY	1,982	1,745	1,542	1,251	1,085	665.6	35	-45.3%
LARCENY	2,635	2,257	2,660	2,872	2,789	1,710.9	32	5.8%
MV THEFT	263	231	216	227	174	106.7	26	-33.8%

 ${\tt SOURCE:} \quad {\tt Division \ of \ Criminal \ Justice \ Services, \ Uniform \ Crime \ Reporting \ System.}$

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arre	sts	·····	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population %		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	3,258	3,299	3,326	3,462	3,647	2,221.1	19	11.9%
FELONY	802	820	824	858	902	549.3	15	12.5%
VIOLENT	225	296	253	262	262	159.6	15	16.4%
OTHER	577	524	571	596	640	389.8	11	10.9%
MISDEMEANOR	2,456	2,479	2,502	2,604	2,745	1,671.7	20	11.8%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

			<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
		ARRESTS	1,918	4.4%		
		FELONY ²	459	2.2%		
•		VIOLENT	129	0.8%		
		OTHER	330	2.8%		
		MISDEMEANOR	1,459	5.0%		

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	er of Arrest	:s		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	5	4	. 7	5	3	1.8	34	-40.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	12	9	18	22	12	7.3	27	0.0%
ROBBERY	27	30	30	27	35	21.3	21	29.6%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	79	85	102	106	123	74.9	13	55.7%
BURGLARY	195	190	163	176	131	79.8	43	-32.8%
LARCENY ²	590	390	571	668	721	439.1	15	22.2%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

	***************************************	Numb	er of Arrest	s		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u> 1986</u>	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	195	216	192	179	192	116.9 21	-1.5%
CONTROLLED							
SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	126	148	128	135	163	99.3 19	29.4%
POSSESSION	97	106	105	97	139	84.7 20	43.3%
SALE	21	30	16	32	17	10.4 31	-19.0%
OTHER	8	12	7	6	7	4.3 26	-12.5%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	69	68	64	44	29	17.7 41	-58.0%
POSSESSION	61	61	50	36	22	13.4 32	-63.9%
SALE	8	7	14	8	7	4.3 40	-12.5%
							1

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES (Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arrest			Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	802	1,193	898	906	914	8.1	33	14.0%
FELONY	38	70	59	48	79	0.7	24	107.9%
MISDEMEANOR	764	1,123	839	858	835	7.4	33	9.3%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	<u>1982</u> <u>1983-</u> <u>1984</u> <u>1985</u> <u>1986</u>	% Change 82 vs 86						
FELONY INDICTMENTS	218 100.0%	250 100.0%	252 100.0%	308 100.0%	228 100.0%	4.6%		
VIOLENT	51 23.4%	100 40.0%	63 25.0%	64 20.8%	51 22.4%	0.0%		
OTHER	167 76.6%	150 60.0%	189 75.0%	244 79.2%	177 77.6%	6.0%		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>			
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	108	-6.1%			
	VIOLENT	22	-37.1%			
	OTHER	86	7.5%			

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

			l Percent of Dis Resulting from and Misdemeanor	•				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u> 1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	2,165 100.0%	2,080 100.0%	2,194 100.0%	2,479 100.0%	2,700 100.0%	24.7%		
CONVICTIONS	1,572 72.6%	1,473 70.8%	1.536 70.0%	1,718 69.3%	1,847 68.4%	17.5%		
ACQUITTALS	10 0.5%	8 0.4%	4 0.2%	12 0.5%	8 0.3%	-20.0%		
DISMISSALS	562 26.0%	587 28.2%	647 29.5%	741 29.9%	832 30.8%	48.0%		
NOT PROSECUTED	10 0.5%	4 0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3 0.1%	-70.0%		
OTHER	11 0.5%	8 0.4%	6 0.3%	7 0.3%	10 0.4%	-9.1%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS		537 100.0%	546 100.0%	503 100.0%	584 100.0%	625 100.0%	16.4%
CONVICTIONS		384 71.5%	408 74.7%	366 72.8%	434 74.3%	464 74.2%	20.8%
ACQUITTALS		6 1.1%	4 0.7%	3 0.6%	4 0.7%	4 0.6%	-33.3%
DISMISSALS	•	130 24.2%	127 23.3%	131 26.0%	140 24.0%	152 24.3%	16.9%
NOT PROSECUTED		7 1.3%	3 0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	3 0.5%	-57.1%
OTHER		10 1.9%	4 0.7%	2 0.4%	5 0.9%	2 0.3%	-80.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1986 2 1982 1983 1985 1984 82 vs 86 1,847 1.572 1.473 CONVICTIONS 1.536 1.718 17.5% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 174 197 153 162 160 -12.1% 11.0% 10.4% 11.5% 11.1% 8.3% VIOLENT 27 41 39 36 33.3% 41 1.7% 2.8% 2.7% 2.3% 1.9% 147 119 7.7% OTHER 121 158 117 -20.4% 9.4% 8.2% 9.2% 6.3% MISDEMEANOR 811 805 913 813 1,021 25.9% 51.6% 54.7% 52.9% 53.1% 55.3% LESSER OFFENSES 587 506 563 608 673 14.7% 37.3% 34.4% 36.4% 36.7% 35.4%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

(Adults Only)

			rcent of Convic rom Felony Arre			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	384 100.0%	408 100.0%	366 100.0%	434 100.0%	464 100.0%	20.8%
FELONY	158 41.1%	145 35.5%	135 36.9%	170 39.2%	137 29.5%	-13.3%
VIOLENT	27 7.0%	41 10.0%	41 11.2%	39 9.0%	36 7.8%	33.3%
OTHER	131 34.1%	104 25.5%	94 25.7%	131 30.2%	101 21.8%	-22.9%
MISDEMEANOR	194 50.5%	220 53.9%	181 49.5%	226 52.1%	273 58.8%	40.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	32 8.3%	43 10.5%	50 13.7%	38 8.8%	54 11.6%	68.8%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A
SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986 2						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	3.1	4.1	3.5	3.0	2.5						
LOCAL JAIL	14.4	13.7	16.3	14,5	16.1						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.1	2.1	2.9	2.8	2.5						
PROBATION	7.5	8.6	8.8	10.1	11.2						
FINES	58.5	60.2	59.5	59.1	56.7						
OTHER	14.4	11.3	9.0	10.5	11.0						

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

		Sentences	as a Percent of Co	nvictions	
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	1983 Misd & Felony Lesser	1984 Misd & <u>Felony</u> <u>Lesser</u>	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%
STATE PRISON	27.6 NA	37.0 NA	33.1 NA	26.4 NA	30.1 NA
LOCAL JAIL	20.1 13.7	10.5 14.1	10.0 17.1	10.2 15.1	13.7 16.4
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	16.1 0.4	16.0 0.4	21.3 0.8	17.8 0.9	19.6 0.9
PROBATION	34.5 4.1	32.1 5.6	33.1 6.0	44.7 5.6	34.6 9.0
FINES	0.0 65.7	1.2 67.5	2.5 66.1	0.5 66.7	1.3 61.7
OTHER	1.7 16.0	3.1 12.4	0.0 10.0	0.5 11.8	0.7 11.9

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		M	ber of Case	Per 1	Cases 00,000 ation			
								% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,349	1,438	1,710	1,867	2,256	1,373.	9 14	67.2%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	923	858	902	1,268	1,527	930.	0 16	65.4%
FAMILY COURT	149	129	160	173	208	126.	7 44	39.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	774	729	742	1,095	1,319	803.	3 14	70.4%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	2	. 0	1	54	38	23.	1 39	1,800.0%
FAMILY COURT	. 0	0	1	0	0	0.	0 .44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	2	0	0	54	38	23.	1 26	1,800.0%
PROBATION				4				
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	302	343	319	378	422	257.	0 39	39.7%
FAMILY COURT	62	66	54	61	77	46.	9 33	24.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	240	277	265	317	345	210.	1 37	43.8%
UNDER PROBATION ²								
SUPERVISION	794	848	918	1,049	1,186	722.	3 39	49.4%
FAMILY COURT	118	124	107	110	133	81 .	0 37	12.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	676	724	811	939	1,053	641.	3 37	55.8%
UNDER PROBATION								
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	505	599	671	762	930	566.	4 32	84.2%
FAMILY COURT	58	53	49	54	81	49.	3 29	39.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	447	546	622	708	849	517.	1 30	89.9%

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	44	26	44	69	66	55.6	25	50.0%
FAMILY COURT	. 3	1	. 7	14	13	97.7	19	333.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	41	25	37	55	53	50.3	27	29.3%
TECHNICAL	18	12	23	29	27	25.6	28	50.0%
NEW CONVICTION	23	13	14	26	26	24.7	22	13.0%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

···			
	Table 8B		Table 8C
···	PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986		COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986
			<u>Number Percent</u>
	There were no agencies in the		CASES SENTENCED 17 NA
	county that received funding for this type of program.		CASES DISCHARGED 2 100.07
			SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME 1 50.0%
	Table 8D		Table 8E
	DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986	_	SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986
	There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.		There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.
		•	

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	2,958	2,838	2,596	2,487	2,602	1,584.7	12	-12.0%
UNSENTENCED	2,007	2,044	1,804	1,899	1,890	1,151.0	17	-5.8%
SENTENCED	951	794	792	588	712	433.6	15	-25.1%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Ir Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	000,00	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	142	148	142	142	142	86.5	24	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	113	114	130	144	139	84.7	19	23.0%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	113	122	157	123	114	69.4	30	0.9%
UNSENTENCED	81	84	112	86	, 84	51.2	12	3.7%
SENTENCED	32	38	45	37	30	18.3	46	-6.2%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table 10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

			umber of rt Commitm	ents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986 2	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	49	52	48	79	69	42.0 22	40.8%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	24	21	24	34	32	19.5 18	33.3%
HOMICIDE	4	3	2	3	. 1	0.6 42	-75.0%
SEX OFFENSE	2	3	3	7	7	4.3 16	250.0%
ROBBERY	5	5	4	5	8	4.9 16	60.0%
BURGLARY	11	7	13	. 13	8	4.9 23	-27.3%
WEAPONS	0	2	2	1	3	1.8 9	NA
OTHER	, 2,	. 1	0	5	5	3.0 13	150.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	5	5 .	- 6	7	11	6.7 17	120.0%
POSSESSION	4	1	1	3	3	1.8 22	-25.0%
SALE	1	4	5	4	8	4.9 15	700.0%
OTHER FELONIES	20	25	13	36	23	14.0 26	15.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	1	5	2	3	1.8 11	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

	No.	umber of Ir n State Pr	nmates Unde	Under on 12,	/31/86 00,000	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	121	126	112	129	165	100.5	20	36.4%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	60	67	70	78	99	60.3	19	65.0%
HOMICIDE	21	23	25	25	28	17.1	19	33.3%
SEX OFFENSE	6	8	9	15	19	11.6	22	216.7%
ROBBERY	12	13	12	10	16	9.7	23	33.3%
BURGLARY	12	14	17	20	21	12.8	19	75.0%
WEAPONS	0	2	3	. 1	4	2.4	14	NA
OTHER	9	7	4	7	11	6.7	16	22.2%
DRUG FELONIES ³	13	11	11	12	21	12.8	15	61.5%
POSSESSION	4	2	2	3	7	4.3	16	75.0%
SALE	9	9	9	9	14	8.5	16	55.6%
OTHER FELONIES	46	46	28	36	42	25.6	22	-8.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2	2	3	3	3	1.8	13	50.0%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES			0.00	0.404	
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		1986 Parole Per 100 Number of Parolees Populat							
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	56	51	54	60	64		39.0	14	14.3%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	148	152	157	173	184		112.1	15	24.3%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	101	103	113	120	131		79.8	15	29.7%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Num	ber of Parole	ees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	9	11	9	12	18	11.0 23	100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	3	2	0	4	2	1.2 31	-33.3%
NEW ARRESTS	5	8	7	6	9	5.5 23	80.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	1	2	, 2	7	4.3 17	600.0%

 $^{^{1}\,}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS

ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

			Nun	ber of Cases			
	1982	1983	3_	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	2		1	4	1	1	-50.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	· 2		2	0	1	0	-100.0%
DISPOSITIONS	4		0 ,	2	1	0	-100.0%
CONVICTIONS	3		0	0	1	0	-100.0%
PRISON	1,		0	.0	0	. 0	-100.0%
LOCAL JAIL	0		0	0	. 0	0	, NA
LOCAL JAIL	2		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0 2	0	-100.0%
PROBATION	0		0	0	0	0	NA NA
OTHER	. 0		0 -	0	1	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0		0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0		0	1	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	,0		0	0	. 0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0		0	1	0	. O	NA
OTHER	1		0	•0	0	0	-100.0%

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1
.LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

		a significant	Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	138.8	90.9	110.9	276.8	99.4%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	34.5	32.2	38.5	32.5	-5.7%	32.5	90.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	150.0	152.3	195.6	199.7	33.2%	165.0	398.8
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	142.7	184.4	211.5	259.1	81.5%	294.5	350.3
Division for Youth ³	391.7	420.3	462.3	983.9	151.2%	673.4	636.0
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	24.1	NA	24.1	
TOTAL	857.6	880.1	1,028.9	1.776.1	107.1%	1,189.5	1,475.5

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{}m 4}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	0.5	1.3	0.9	3.0	566.7%	 	man one
Felons	118.9	80.1	82.1	176.5	48,4%	***	
Parole Violators	16.5	8.3	27.1	67.1	306.0%		
State Readies ²			·	30.2	NA	, ,	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	2.9	1.2	0.7	0.0	-100.0%		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL	138.8	90.9	110.9	276.8	99.4%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY-86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	34.5	32.2	38.5	32.5	-5.7%	0.0	90.4
Court Related	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	32.5	0.0
TOTAL	34.5	32.2	38.5	32.5	-5.7%	32.5	90.4

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4
DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	· ·		Expenditures	:		Appropria	tions
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative			12.7	0.0	, NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	'
Indigent Parolee Program ²	33.6	18.0	26.2	26.0	-22.6%		· · ·
Mobile Radio District Program ³		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	0.0	205,4
Narcotics Control ⁴					NA		23.3
Neighborhood ⁵ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	,	
Soft Body Armour ⁶ Reimbursement Program			3.6	17.3	NA		
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	116.4	134.3	153.2	156.4	34.4%	165.0	170.0
TOTAL	150.0	152.3	195.6	199.7	33.2%	165.0	398.8

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

³ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

⁷ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

. Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Approp	riations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	18.3	NA	39.1	41.1
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	23.6	25.4	25.5	NA .	29.1	29.3
PINS Program ²	, 				NA		11.7
Regular State Aid	142.7	160.8	186.1	215.3	50.8%	226.3	268.2
TOTAL	142.7	184.4	211.5	259,1	81.5%	294.5	350.3

 $^{^{1}\,}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86¹</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	0.0	0.5	1.4	0.3	1,219.0%	1.3	0.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	24.3	23.5	27.5	32.5	33.8%	46.2	45.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	90.5	88.2	99.3	95.1	5.1%	118.0	128.2
Transitional Independent Living Support ²	· · ·			0.0	NA	38.0	29.5
Voluntary Agency Care	20.1	45.8	57.3	152.6	660.4%	135.1	73.5
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	256.8	262.4	276.8	703.5	174.0%	334.8	359.8
TOTAL	391.7	420.3	462.3	983.9	151.2%	673.4	636.0

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	Staff		
DOCS Facilities	2,124	1,530		
DFY Facilities	147	237		v - 1
TOTAL	2,271	1,767		

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	24,196.9	26,448.9	28,186.6	32,460.6	34.2%	46,400.3	46,106.6
DFY Facilities	5,614.3	6,177.7	6,336.2	6,915.8	23.2%	7,023.7	6,874.5
TOTAL	29,811.2	32,626.6	34,522.8	39,376.4	32.1%	53,424.0	52,981.1

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropr	opriations	
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	10,654.8	17,615.8	5,002.5	33,273,1	49,007.7	1,415.5	
DFY Facilities	163.3	115.6	137.3	416.1	29.0	408.0	
TOTAL	10,818.1	17,731.3	5,139.8	33,689.2	49,036.7	1,823.5	

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

				Staff			
<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	Tota	1 Security	Civilian		
Eastern	MAXIMUM	940	8	12 609	203		
Eastern Annex ¹	MEDIUM	150		0 0	0		
Shawangunk	MAXIMUM	512	4	05 274	131		
Wallkill	MEDIUM	522	3	13 172	141		
TOTAL		2,124	1,5	30 1,055	475		

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility's staff is included in the staff reported for the Eastern facility.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures					Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Eastern	16,082.9	17,408.0	18,848.4	19,865.0	23.5%	22,350.4	21,668.0
Eastern Annex ¹		· . ————————————————————————————————————			NA		
Shawangunk ²				3,170.7	NA	12,322.0	13,482.2
Wallkill	8,114.0	9,041.0	9,338.2	9,424.9	16.2%	11,727.9	10,956.4
TOTAL	24,196.9	26,448.9	28,186.6	32,460.6	34.2%	46,400.3	46,106.6

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Eastern facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

² The facility opened in March of 1986.

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	ures		Approp	Appropriations	
Facility	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Eastern	397.1	540.7	512.5	1,450.3	2,413.8	844.7	
Eastern Annex ¹		· 		0.0			
Shawangunk ²	2,732.2	16,883.1	4,418.8	24,034.1	44,544.4	18.0	
Wallkill	7,525.5	191.9	71.2	7,788.6	2,049.5	552.8	
TOTAL	10,654.8	17,615.8	5,002.5	33,273.1	49,007.7	1,415.5	

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Eastern facility.

 $^{^{2}}$ The facility opened in March of 1986.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>		Security ₁ Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>
Highland Detention ² Center			14	28
Highland Residential ³ Center		II	106	169
Kingston Community Urban Home		VI	7	10
Sojourner Truth Residential Center		IV	20	30
TOTAL			147	237

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This facility has not been assigned a security level.

 $^{^{3}}$ The Chodikee Residential Center merged with the Highland Residential Center in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Approp	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Chodikee Residential ¹ Center	2,666.8	2,718.9	2,473.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-100.0%	2,352.9	
Highland Detention Center	600.3	654.2	732.0	813.7	35.6%	1,023.8	852.3
Highland Residential ¹ Center	1,592.4	1,911.7	2,154.9	5,003.0	214.2%	2,490.2	4,973.3
Kingston Community Urban Home	109.8	139.9	143.6	150.9	37.4%	154.1	154.1
Sojourner Truth Residential Center	645.0	753.0	832.7	948.2	47.0%	1,002.7	894.8
TOTAL	5,614.3	6,177.7	6,336.2	6,915.8	23.2%	7,023.7	6,874.5

 $^{^1}$ The Chodikee Residential Center merged with the Highland Residential Center in FY 1985-86. The FY 1986-87 appropriations for Chodikee Residential Center were transferred to the Highland Residential Center.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations				
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
Chodikee Residential ¹ Center	0.0	0.0		0.0		The state of the s		
Highland Detention Center	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0		
Highland Residential Center	163.3	115.6	137.3	416.1	20.0	403.0		
Kingston Community Urban Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	5.0		
Sojourner Truth Residential Center	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	163.3	115.6	137.3	416.1	29.0	408.0		

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The Chodikee Residential Center was merged with the Highland Residential Center in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

<u>Percent changes</u> are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the <u>percent change</u> is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calendar year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

Rates are ranked from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the rank calculations do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates. Also, dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all <u>population-based rate calculations</u> presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual <u>NYS Crime and Justice</u> publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed drivers in New York State that was used to calculate the <u>rate of DWI arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

- o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)
- o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
- o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
 o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- New York State Statistical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the offense classification for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the State's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

DOCS capacity data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. DFY capacity data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

<u>DOCS staff</u> data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while <u>DFY staff</u> data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforceeent agencies in New York State for a given year.	Victia-based: purder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-based: burglary Event-based: robbery larceny (excluding autor vehicle theft) Yehicle-based: autor vehicle theft	Not applicable.	FBI Index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reportion System.
		The unit of count is based on the aost serious offense in a crime event.			
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		·
Table 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS Table 2B FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1987 Table 2C ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult #	Felony Arrests Hisdemeanor Arrests	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2D ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUSS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for drugs (New York State Penal Law - Sections 220 and 221).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Eriminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DNI OFFENSES	Adult felony and eisdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DWI offense Wehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Pfocessing Trends File.

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reaarks
able 3A	FELONY INDICTKENTS	Felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	Felony Indictaents/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	MYS Division of Criminal Justi Services, Indictment Statistic System.
			a defendant is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect indictments/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			listed in Appendix E.		-	
able 38	FELONY INDICIMENTS: January through June, 1987					
<u></u>				1		
			T		1	<u> </u>
able 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARKESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1969.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition is counted. Counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions	Adult #	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdeseanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criainal Just Jervices, Arrest and Processi Trends File.
			and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dispissals, not prosecuted,		(Not applicable for	
ahle 48	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony	and "other" dispositions. "Other" includes cases disposed by civil		acquittal, dismissal, and "other" disposi-	
		arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1959.	procedure, abated by the death of a defendant, etc.		tions.)	
able 5A	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were raposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and aisdemeanor arrest events that	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most	Adult +	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Just Services, Arrest and Processi Trends File.
		occurred after December 31, 1969.	serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at		Lesser Offenses	
ble 58	FELONY, MISDEHEADOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
		arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.				

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reearks
		4	.		
Table 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS Table 5B SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR MISGEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1959,	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence offense is counted. "Other" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoted (without fine).	Adult •	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdebeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTHENT ACTIVITY	Intake cases received during a given year.	Complaint-based; each complaint is counted as one case.	Fasily Court: Adult # Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested for: Felony Offense Misdemeanor Offense	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
	Investigations ordered during a given year.	Investigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need of Supervision (PINS) Criainal Court:	tesser Offense - only Juvenile Deling Status Offense -	Family Court - Annual compilation of the "Monthly Report of Family Norkload in Probation Department
	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult * Juvenile Offender **	only PINS	(Form Mo. DP-30). Criminal Court - Annual compila-
	Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for:	tion of the "Monthly Report of Criminal Workload in Probation Departments (Form No. DP-30A) for
	Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Misdeseanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling Status Offense - only PINS	investigation and pre-disposition data, and the Probation Registrant System for all other data.
	Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Papulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Table BA ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS	Cases processed by "deaonstration" projects during a given State fiscal year.	Case-based; if more than one case was opened for the same client, each case is counted.	Adult a Juvenile Offenders as	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemanor Offenses	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 88 FREIRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986 Table 8C COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1994	Cases processed by "classification/ alternative bill" projects during 1986.			Lesser Offenses	
Table 80 OFFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986 Table 8E SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986		**************************************			

(Continued on the next page.)

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarts
		· • · ·			
Table 9A JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS	Adeissions of both detained and sentenced innates to county/AYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses.	Admission based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted more than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult *	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced admissions: Misdemeanor Conv.	MYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1905 Sheriffs' Annual Reports" included the following caveat: " The Sheriffs'
Table 9B JAIL & PEHITEHTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION	A census of both detained and sentenced inmates in county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criminal, and lederal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Population is inmate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" populations.		Lesser Offense Conv.	Annual Reports represent self- reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practice among these various counties The Commission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another.*
	Insates under custody on Deceaber 31 of a given year, and The daily average of insates under custody during a given year.				
	A census of beds in county/XYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and peniten- tiary census was taken on a different	Capacity is based on beds; the count differs by region: New York City Department of Correction	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
	day during a given year.	counts reflect "beds at standard" (one ineate per cell; 60 square feet per ineate; and no more than 50 in a dora). The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.			
		Hon-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells lone bed per cell) and doraitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms.			

A

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

lable		Fopulation	Unit of Count	Oftender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
·			·	·	1	
Table 10A-1	ADMISSIONS TO HEW YORK STATE PRISON	Admissions to NYS prison during a given year.	Innate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult *	Felony Convictions	NYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 10A-2 Table 10A	NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON	New court consituents to NYS prison during a given year.	aost serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts may differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DDCS.
able 108	INHATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISEN ON DECEMBER 31	A census of invales under custody in NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				publications released by buch.
able 10C	PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainees in NYS prison on Gecember 31.	Detainee based.		• • •	
		 			<u> </u>	
able IIA	PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES	Inmates released to the NYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based-lone person per case).	Adult # Juvenile Offender **	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses	NYS Division of Parole. The increase in parole revoca
		Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous				resulting from new felony con tions reflects legislative ch and improved efficiency of th parale revocation process. A primary factor was the passag legislation, incorporated int
		Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				the Division's rules and requisions effective November 1, 1 authorizing the Parole Board issue a final declaration of
able IIB	REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoted and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				linquency for releasees convi of new felonies countiled und supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A f
						declaration of delinquency st lines the violation process i these cases by obviating the for a final revocation hearing
						The streamlined process has resulted in an increased pro- tion of returns for new convi- tions.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 12	JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Violent felony arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event.	Juvenile Offender **	Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions for	MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offenders
		Violent felony indictaents and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information (SCI) based; each defendant maked in an indictment/SCI is counted.		Violent Felony Offenses.	Arrests and Processing Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.
		Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from violent felony arrests events.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition. Counts are based on the year of disposition for acquittals, disaissals, not prosecuted,			
			removed to family court, and "other." Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence disposition is counted.			

[#] An adult is an offender who is 16 years of age or older at time of arrest.

⁺³ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix 1); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree wurder as defined in subdivisions one and two of Section 175.25 of the Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can majve original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

APPENDIX B

DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS
AND
CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

Public High

(Continued on next page.)

Monthly Average*

Receiving

Monthly Average

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

			Population		Public High School Dropouts		y Average ployed	Monthly Av Receiv Public Ass	ving
COUNTY	Year	Population Number Rank	Per Squa Density	Rank	Percent Percent Rank	Percent		Percent	Percent Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	47,400 50 47,392 50	95 95	39 39	5.3 10 3.1 55	6.9 9.0		6.5 6.8	10 9
DELAHARE	1986 ₁ 1985	47,100 51 46,888 51	33	58 58	4.1 27 5.0 16	5.6 6.4		3.3	35 33
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	256,800 15 255,106 15	319 317	18 18	4.2 26 4.5 26	3.8 3.6		1.8 1.9	54 53
ERIE -	1986 1985	964,700 7 971,386 7	922 929	11 11	3.4 44 3.3 - 49	7.2 7.3		8.2 8.2	2 3
ESSEX	1986 1985	36,300 56 36,384 56	20 20	60 60	3.2 4B 5.0 15	9.9 9.6		5.4 6.0	16 13
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	43,800 52 43,793 52	27 27	59 59	2.9 55 3.5 41	10.7 9.5		6.Q 7.2	8 6
FULTON	1986 1985	54,600 45 55,411 45	110 111	32 33	5.9 7 5.0 17	11.4 13.1		4.7 4.4	20 25
GENESEE	1986 1985	58,800 41 57,053 41	119 119	31 31 ~	3.4 45 3.3 50	8.6 7.9		2.8 2.8	47 46
GREENE	1986 1985	42,100 53 41,47£ 53	65 64	48 48	4.3 24 5.5 10	7.3 8.5		2.5 2.8	50 47
HAMILTON	1986 1985	5,000 62 4,985 62		62 62	3.2 47 2.8 59	12.4 11.9		2.8 3.2	45 37
HERKINER	1986 1985	66,900 37 66,919 37	47 47	56 - 56	4.3 25 4.6 25	9.9 9.2		4.1 4.1	26 26

SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popul	ation		Population Per Sou	n Density are Hile				c High Dropouts	Uneac	Average løyed		Honthly A Recei Public As	iving
COUNTY	Year	Number	Rank		•	Rank			Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	90,600 88,583	28 29		71 70	42 43			5.7	8 24	11.4 12.3	3		5.9 6.6	13 10
KINGS	1986 1985	2,293,200 2,283,395	1		32,760 32,620	2 2			8.8 11.8	3	8.6 9.7	12 7		.0	58 58
LENIS	1986 1985	25,300 25,165	5 9 59		20 20	61 61			2.2 3.0	61 57	9.5 9.3	9	•	4.6	22 24
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	58,600 58,328	42 42		93 92	40 40	-		2.2 3.0	60 58	7.1 5.7	32 44		3.0 2.8	42 45
MADISON	1986 1985	66,600 66,743	38 38		102 102	34 34			4.7 4.6	19 22	7.8 6.9	26 37		2.5 2.8	48 48
HONROE	1986 1985 •	702,600 704,876	9	•	1,060	10 10			4.0 4.7	- 29 21	5.0 4.3	51 55		7.0 6.7	7
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	52,100 52,527	46 46	•	129 130	29 29			4.1	28 20	9.6 9.9	8		4.0 3.7	27 30
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,323,000 1,331,343	4		4,610	6 6			2.1 2.3	62 61	4.0 4.2	58 57		1.3	56 56
NEW YORK	 1986 1985	1,478,000 1,479,775	3		67,182 67,263	. 1			14.7 18.4	1.	7.0 7.5	34 29		.0	58 58
NIAGARA	1984 1985	216,900 216,493			412 412	15 15		٠.	4.4 4.2	22 32	8.9 9.8	10		7.4 7.6	6 5
ONEIDA	1986 1985	248,500 250,734	16 16		20 4 206	22 22		•	5.0 1.3	14 30	6.3	40 38		6.1 5.9	12 14

SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		03-	4:		Population				c High Dropouts	 Uneap	Average -		Monthly A Recei Public As	ving sistance
COUNTY	Year	Popula Kusber	Rank		Per Squa Density			Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	463,200 465,317	10 10		590 593	13 13		4.0	31 37	6.4 5.2	39 50	•	4.9	18
ONTAR10	1986 1985	92,200 92,386	27 27		143 143	27 27		3.5 3.0	42 56	6.7 7.0	38 38		2.8 2.7	46 49
ORANGE	1986 1985	281,700 277,563	13 13		341 336	17 17		4.9° 5.3	. 16 11	5.1 5.3	50 48		4.5 4.7	24 20
ORLEANS	1986 1985	38,900 39,140	55 55		99 100	35 34		3.2 3.1	49 53	. 8.5 10.1	15 4		5.5 5.6	15 16
OSWEGO	1986 1985	119,600 119,138	24 24		125 125	20 20		4.8 6.3	19 6	11.5 8.5	2 15		5.1 5.1	17 17
OTSEGO	1986 1985	59,200 59,369	40 40		59 59	51 51		3.2 3.4	51 48	5.5 5.5	46 46		3.1 3.0	39 42
PUTHAN	1986 1985	81,600 80,895	33 34		353 350	16 16		3.0 1.4	54 62	3.2 3.3	62		.4	57 57
QUEENS	1986 1985	1,923,300 1,933,044	2 2		17,808 17,899	4		7.1 8.1	4. 4	6.3 7.0	41 35		.0	58 58
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	151,700 151,352	21 21	•	232 231	20 20		4.3 4.3	23 29	5.1 5.2	49 49		3.6 3.8	30 29
RICHNOND	1986 1985	374,600 373,871			6,349 6,337	5 5		5.9 7.4	6 5	5.3 5.8	4B 43		.0	58 58
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	265,900 265,230	14 14		1,519 1,516	8	-	2.8 2.6	56 60	4.1	57 58		2.5 2.7	49 50

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popul a	, ation	Populatio Per Squ				c High Dropouts	*****	Honthly / Uneaplo	oyed		Monthly A Recei Public As	pniv
COUNTY	Year	Hueber	Rank	Density			Percent	Percent Rank			Percent Rank	•	Percent	Percent Rank
ST LAWRENCE	1984 1985	112,800 113,411	25 25	41 42	57 57		3.3	46 39		8.5 8.2	14 18		7.7	4
SARATOGA	1986 1985	164,100 161,590	20 20	203 199	23 23		3.1 3.4	52 47		5.4 5.4	47 47		1.5	55 55
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	150,200 150,585	22 22	729 731	12 12		3.7 4.1	40 35		4.B 4.5	52 54	•	3.5 3.9	32 27
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	· 29,800 29,799	58^ 58	48 48	55 55	•	3.8 3.4	36 44		7.9 7.9	25 21		3.5 3.6	31 31
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	17,400 17,511	61 61	53 53 53	53 53		3.1 3.1	53. 54		7.3 7.5	30 28		4,6 4,8	21 19
SENECA	1986 1985	32,100 32,765	57 57	98 100	37 35		3.6 3.8	41 38		0.3 7.9	18 23		2.3 2.2	51 52
STEUBEN	1986 1985	96,900 97,342	26 26	69 70	44		3.9 3.1	33 52		7.8 7.3	27 33		6.4	9 11
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,312,000 1,313,326	5	1,439 1,440	9 .		3.5 3.4	43 43		4.8 5.0	53 51		3.0 3.2	41 40
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	68,600 67,602	- 36 36	70 69	43 - 44		5,0 4.9	1 5 18		5.8 5.5	43 45		3.0 3.0	40 43
TIOGA	1986 1985	51,000 50,379	47 48	98 97	36 37		3.2 3.5	50 40		7.1 6.9	33 39		4.5 4.7	25 22
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	87,600 88,150	31 30	. 184 185	24 24		3.7 6.0	39 8		3.5 3.7	61 59		3.9 3.8	28 28

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

						Population		 Public School I		,	Honthly Unemp	Average loyed		Rece	Average# iving ssistance
COUNTY		Year	Popula Number	Rank		Per Squar Density	Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ULSTER		1986 1985	164,200 163,774	19		145 145	2å 25	6.1 5.9	5 9		4.3	54 52		3.0 3.2	43 41
WARREN		1986 1985	55,500 55,640	44		£3 63	49 50	4.8 5.2	17 13		8.1 7.6	21 27		1.9	53 51
WASHINGTON		1986 1985	56,700 56,752	43 43		98 98	46 46	5.5 5.3	9		5.7 6.4	44 41		3.1 3.3	38 35
WAYNE	l .	1984 1985	88,000 87,551	31		145 145	25 26	4.4 6.1	21 7		B.1 7.8	22 25		3.4 3.3	33 36
KESTCHESTER		1986 1985	863,200 865,460	8		1,971 1,976	7	2.7 3.2	57 51		3.8 3.7	59 60		4.5 4.4	23 23
AAOHING		1986 1985	40,800 40,676	54 54		69 68	45 45	4.0 3.4	32 46		8.0 B.0	23 19		2.1 1.8	52 54
YATES		1986 1985	21,200 21,486	60 60		63 63	50 49	2.3 4.2	59 31		8.2 7.5	19 31		3.3 3.2	36 39
NEW YORK STATE		1986 1985	17,772,100 17,782,754	NA NA		375 375	NA NA	5.8 6.5	NA NA		6.3 6.5	NA NA		7.7 7.8	HA NA
NEW YORK CITY		1986 1985	7,262,700 7,254,239	na Na		24,129 24,100	NA NA	10.1 12.1	NA NA		7.4 8.1	na Na		12.6 12.8	NA NA

⁴ The monthly vaverage number of persons in public assistance programs is not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Department of Commerce, Department of Education, Department of Labor, Department of Social Services, and the US Bureau of Census.

NDTE: Percents presented in this Table have been rounded to the first decimal place, however, the rank scores for percents were calculated using numbers with four (4) decimal places.

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 FOPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		TOTAL						ENT INDEX C										ITY INDEX CI	RIHES		
		INDEX Crine		Tota	11	durc	ter	Forcible	e Rape	Robb	ery	Agg. Ass	aul t	Tota		Burg	lary	Larce	•	וד עוו	heft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	4,540.7 4,530.6	10 11	344.6 309.4	15 18	3.8 1.7	18	31.8 21.0		103.1	15 14	205. B 194. 2	21 25	4,196.1 4,221.2	10 10	1,193.8 1,177.2		2,828.3 2,865.9	8 7	174.0 178.1	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	2,060.9	56 58	139.6 114.9	43 - 48	3.9 3.9	. 17 16	3.9 5.8		7.B .0	50 80	124.1 105.1	38 43	1,921.3	55 57	721.2 624.9	26 46	1,153.6	58 54	46.5 25.3	
BRONX	1986 1985	8,131.2 7,506.3	2 3	2,319.9 2,158.2	2	34.3 28.8	i 1	53.5 69.9		1,235.9	3	996.2 875.5	1 -	5,811.3 5,348.1	2 5	1,800.5 1,796.7	2	2,924.3 2,552.2	6 11	1,086.5	
BROOME	1986 1985	2,936.5 2,984.5	29 31	76.7 62.6	54 60	2.3 .9	32 47	15.9 14.5		17.3 12.1	31 43	41.1 35.0	90 90	2,859.9 2,921.9	26 26	463.2 519.0	58 56	2,307.9 2,302.5	18 17	88.8 100.4	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	2,558.5 2,546.0	35 44	132.2 120.5	47 47.	2.3	33 50	7.0 2.3		16.2 10.4	35 49	106.7 107.8	45 - 42	2,426.4 2,425.5	34 39	565.9 632.7	51 45	1,750.5 1,705.9	31 37	109.0 86.9	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	2,312.3 2,169.8	49 55	144.1 196.2	41 32	1.2	48 28	12.4 16.1	32 25	16.2 16.1	36 36	114.3 161.5	42 28	2,168.2 1,973.5	45 55	488.3 493.1	58 59	1,597.9 1,394.B	38 51	82.0 85.7	•
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	3,306.5 3,314.4	20 · 24	151.5 132.3	40 42	1.4 1.4	44 42	18.4		21.2 25.2	30 23	110.5 94.8	43 46	3,155.0 3,182.2	20 22	704.8 727.4	30 37	2,359.4 2,339.6	16 14	90.7 115.2	
CHENUNG	1986 1985	3,922.4 4,044.8	15 13	207.1 186.7	34 34	4.3	14 34	19.2 19.2		33.1 28.8	26 22	150.5 135.5	34 33	3,715.3 3,858.1	13 13	787.9 837.3	24 21	2,822.8 2,937.6	10 5	104.6 83.2	
CHENANGO	1988 1985	2,221.8 2,800.3	51 35	133.0 153.8	46 36	2.0 2.2	35 32	11.9 36.6		0. 10.8	61 46	119.1 114.3	39 39	2,088.8 2,636.5	5 1 . 35	595.7 621.3	49 25	1,423.6	47 34	49.5 73.3	
CLINTON	1984 1985	2,436.9	38 39	308.3 374.8	19 11	3.7 1.2	19 44	7.4 11.1		6.2 14.8	54 39	291.Q 347.6	11 8	2,128.6 2,320.1	48 43	572.2 544.9	50 52	1,518.1 1,696.3	44 39	38.2 78.9	
COLUNBIA	1986 1985 -	2,401.6 2,732.9	43 36	303.1 329.2	20 17	11.4 3.3	5 21	4.9 8.1		24.4 22.8	28 24	262.3 295.0	15 10	2,098.4 2,463.7	50 41	611.0 756.1	42 33	1,422.4 1,575.8	48 45	65.2 71.7	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4,426.3 4,558.3	11 9	221.6 217.3	30 29	4.1	15 14	16.6 26.9		37.3 20.7	25 27	163.6 165.5	30 26	4,204.6	9	903.1 922.8	18 19	3,218.7 3,273.4	4	82.9 144.8	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	2,294.0 2,216.8	50 53	119.6	48 40	8,5 2.1	33 7	4.3		15.0 4.3	40 54	91.8 139.8	47 31	2,174.4 2,069.4	44 52	837.3 790.2	20 28	1,300.8 1,238.5	53 56	36.3 42.7	

		TOT						ENT INDEX C								•		RTY INDEX C	RIMES		
		INDI CRI		Tot		Hur	der	Forcible	Rape	Robb	ery	Agg. As	sault	Tot	al	Burgi	ary	Larci	•	KV TI	heft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3,242.6 3,126.4	24 28	352.9 330.6	13 16	2.4 2.0		14.2 14.6	25 27	108.8 74.1	12 16	227.5 240.0		2,889.7 2,795.8	25 30	855. 6 836.6	19 22	1,915.8 1,831.5	27 32	118.3 127.7	23 27
ERIE	1986 1985	4,591.7 4,532.9	9 10	575.3 528.1	6 7	4.4		33.9 34.9	6	178.2 166.0	8	358.8 322.8		4,016.4 4,004.8	11 12	1,127.6 1,150.3	10 11	2,443.0 2,448.5	13 12	445.8 406.0	7
ESSEX	1986 1985	2,208.0 2,176.7	52 54	168.8 157.6	38 39	.0 2.7		5.4 8.2	51 44	5.4 2.7	56 59	157.9 144.0		2,039.2 2,019.1	54 54	765.0 736.5	25 34	1,246.9	56 58	27.2 62.5	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	2,343.0 2,860.2	47 34	159.1 158.9	39 38	.0 2.6		11.4 25.6	36 11	9.1 5.1	47 53	138.4 125.6		2,183.9 2,701.3	43 33	609.0 784.3	44 29	1,509.0 1,860.7	46 30	65.9 56.4	47 55
FULTON	1988 1985	2,950.6 3,435.6	28 20	97.7 94.1	52 53	1.8		10.7 5.3	38 52	16.0 12.4	37 42	69.3 76.3		2,852.9 3,341.6	28 19	689.2 1,009.7	32 15	2,030.4 2,184.5	25 21	133.2 147.3	21 22
GENESEE	1986 1985	2,396.4 2,559.3	44	68.6 71.7	58 54	1.7		8.4 5.0	- 47 53	6.7 21.7	52 25	51.8 65.2		2,327.B 2,467.4	37 38	610.4 566.3	43 49	1,667.3 1,842.6	36 31	50.2 58.5	
BREENE	1986 1985	2,508.3 2,592.1	37 · 39	359.4 380.6	12 10	.0 2.4		14.5 7.2	24 48	14.9 16.9	33 35	328.0 354.1		2,148.9 2,211.5	46 48	709.1 572.1	29 43	1,360.2 1,455.0	51 48	79.6 84.3	38
HAMILTON	1986 1985	1,950.3 3,277.0	57 25	61.6 101.8	61 50	.0		.0 20.4	59 21	.0 20.4	61 29	61.6 61.1		1,888.7 3,175.2	57 - 23	451.7 1,302.7	59 7	1,394.0 1,730.1	49 36	41.1 142.5	
HERKINER	1986 1985	1,920.0 2,143.9	58 57	170.0 · 175.8	37 35	1.5 1.5		10.3 8.9	39 39	4.4 3.0	59 58	153.7 162.5		1,750.1 1,968.1	58 56	520.3 536.3	54 54	1,191.3 1,391.8	57 52	38.4 39.9	59 61
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	2,345.1 2,696.9	46 37	76.3 96.5	55 52	4.5 3.4		4.5 4.5	53 54	9.0 13.5	48 40	58.3 75.2		2,268.8 2,600.5	40 36	717.0 834.7	27 23	1,509.2 1,700.7	45 38	42.6 65.1	
KINGS	1985 1985	8,020.8 7,594.5	3 2	2,257.6 2,077.9	3	21.4 20.5		56.3 58.8	2 3	1,278.2 1,221.3	2 2	901.7 777.3		5,763.1 5,516.6	3	1,781.0 1,761.8	2 3	2,828.2 2,717.8	. 9 8	1,153.9 1,036.9	
LEHIS	1986 1985	986.4 1,105.0	62 62	63.9 123.7	60 44	4.0		.0 4.0	5 9 55	12.0 4.0	41 55	51.9 111.7		922.5 98i.4	62 62	431.3 506.6	60 57	435.3 430.8	62 62	55.9 43.9	
LIVINESTON	1986 1985	3,105.7 3,084.0		269.5 240.4	24 28	1.7		10.2 8.5	40 41	11.9	42 51	245.6 225.0		2,836.2 2,843.7	29 29	395.7 453.5	62 60	2,384.0 2,328.0	14 16	54.6 61.4	

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOT						ENT INDEX C	RINES								PROPE	RTY INDEX C	RINES			
		IND CRI	MES	Tat	al	Hur	der	Forcible		Robbi	ery	Agg. Ass	saul L	Tota	il ·	Burg	•	Larci	•	IT VII	ieft	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
HADISON	1986 1985	2,410.9 2,243.2		105.8 68.5	51 59	1.5 1.5		20.9 10.4	14 36	4.5 17.9	58 32	79.0 38.7		2,305.1 2,174.8	38 49	554.3 595.4	52 48	1,682.2 1,499.0	34 46	48.5 80.4	44 40	
HONROE	1984 1985	5,370.3 5,373.7	5 6	497.7 465.6	7 B	6.0 4.9	10 10	31.3 27.5	9	174.8 172.9	. 7 . 7 .	285.6 260.3	12 13	4,872.5 4,908.1	5. 6	1,142.7 1,149.6	9 12-	3,465.1 3,487.0	2	264.8 271.5		
HONTGOKERY	1986 1985	1,604.U 1,696.0		75.2 78.9	56 57	1.9		.0 3.8	59 57	7.5 15.0	51 38	65.8 60.1	53 57	1,529.6	60 14	528.0 501.5	53 58	928.3 1,049.9	. 61 60	73.3 65.7	41 49	
.NASSAU	1984 1985	3,263.7 3,465.2	23 19	239.2 215.7	27 30	2.8 2.3	27 31	10.2 10.3	41 37	124.9 117.2		101.2 85.9	46 48	3,024.5 3,249.6	22 21	678.1 704.7	37	1,847.7 2,022.8	29 24	498.7 522.1	6 .5	
NEW YORK	1984 1985	14,315.6 13,623.0		2,698.6 2,657.0	i . 1	29.8 25.3	2 2	62.2 67.3	1 2	1,638.3	- 1 1	968.3 871.7	2 2	11,617.0 10,966.0	ı İ	2,165.6 2,219.2	i 1	8,429.1 7,808.6	,1 1	1,022.3 938.1	5 5	
HIAGARA	1986 1985	4,172.8 4,403.7	12 12	346.9 364.9	.14 12	5.0 1.4		36.4 23.6	4 16	106.4 97.7	14 13	199.1 242.2	23 16	3,825.9 4,038.8	12 11	1,064.8 1,145.0	13 13	2,554.3 2,650.8	11 9	206.9 243.1	- 11 11	
DNEIDA	1986 1985	2,434.4 2,396.5	40 - 47	143.5 121.8	42 46	2.4 2.4		11.8 11.8	34 32	57.0 48.3	19 19	72.3 59.3		2,291.0 2,274.7	44 39	698.0 736.1	31 35	1,519.1 1,458.9	43 47	73.9 79.7	39 41	
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	4,638.0 4,648.8		325.8 287.6	17 19	2.1 3.4		24.7 29.0	10 7	133.7 130.8	:9 9	165.3 124.3	2 9 37	4,312.3 4,361.3	8	1,169.7 1,278.4	8 8	2,971.3 2,915.3	5	171.3 167.5		
ONTARIO	1984 1985	2,789.6 2,905.3		224.3 197.1	29 31	3.3 1.1		15.2 20.6	23 19	29.3 17.3	27 33	176.6 158.1	26 27	2,565.4 2,708.3	31 32	646.8 726.6	28 28	1,848.2 1,905.9	28 27	70.4 75.8		
ORANGE	1986 1985	3,723.3 3,653.1	17 18	425.7 396.0	8	3.3 3.6		21.5 20.4	13 20	122.2 119.6	11 10	278.7 252.3	- 13 14	3,297.5 3,257.1	17 20	978.8 1,026.9	16 14	2,128.7 2,058.5	22 22	190.1 171.8	12 16	
ORLEANS	1984 1985	2,50å.7 2,367.7	38 49	310.2 258.9	18 25	7.6		12.7 25.4	31 12	38.1 20.3	23 30	251.7 210.6	16 22	2,198.8 2,108.9	42 51	607.6 434.0	45 61	1,525.4 1,608.9	42 42	63.6 66.0		
DSNEGO	1986 1985	2,541.8 2,373.2		114.8 110.5	50 49	1.7 .8		18.4 8.4	17 42	15.1 10.9	39 45	79.6 90.4		2,427.1 2,262.6	33 45	642.6 559.4	39 50	1,670.5 1,594.4	35 44	113.9 108.9		
OTSE60	1986 1995	2,187.7 2,359.9		73.4 98.4		.0 3.3		3.3 10.0	57 38	5.0 11.7	57 44	45.0 73.4		2,114.3 2,261.5	49 46	480.2 615.4	57 47	1,590.7 1,601.1	39 43	43.4 45.0		

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTA						ENT INDEX C										RTY INDEX C	RIMES		
		INDI CRII	HES	Tot	al	Nur	der	Forcible	e Rape	Robb	ery	Agg. As	sault	Tota	ıl	Burgl	ary	Larc	•	IT VH	ieft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAM	1986 1985	2,335.7 2,574.1	48	254.2 161.5		.0		3.7 13.6	55 29	40.7	22 49	209.B 131.9	20 34	2,081.5 2,412.6	52 40	663.8 798.8	36 27	1,272,1 1,447.3	54 49	145.6 166.4	
QUEENS	1986 1985	6,909.5 6,533.9	4 .	1,208.5 1,128.4	4 ¹	12.1 9.7	4	34.4 35.0	5 5	662.8 648.1	4	499.3 435.6	4 5	5,701.0 5,405.5	. 4 4	1,447.9 1,421.8	4 5	2,834.3 2,641.0	7	1,419.8	1 1
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	3,928.1. 3,706.9	14 17	295.7 279.1	22 20	2.6 2.6		22.3 21.6	12 17	75.4 55.0		195.4 199.9	24 23	3,632.5 3,427.7	15 17	1,084.3 9 <u>02.3</u>	11 16	2,371.2 2,253.5	15 19	177.0 192.0	13 13
RICHMOND	1988 1985	5,073.5 5,138.0	ь 7	723.9 699.2	5 5	7.5 7.0	. 8 5	20.1 19.8	15 22	263.3 267.7	5 5	433.1 404.7	5. 6	4,349.6 4,438.8	7 7	1,051.2 1,248.2	14 - 9	1,970.4 1,898.5	26 29	1,327.8	2 · 2
ROCKLAND	1985 1985	2,929.3 3,127.1	30 27	289.2 265.3	23 22	.8 1.5		12.0 8.3	30 43	75.1 60.4	18 -17	200.5 195.1	22 24	2,640.1 2,861.8	30 28	713.9 759.6	28 32	1,780.4 1,902.6	30 28	145.7 199.6	
ST. LAWRENCE	1988 1985	2,992.0 2,866.5	27 33	137.8 84.2		.9		11.4		7.9 10.5		117.6 72.8	40 52	2,854.2 2,782.3	27 31	628.2 695.6	40 41	2,150.5 2,013.9	21 25	75.5 72.8	
SARATO6A	1986 1985	2,389.9 2,287.4	45 51	171.2 136.1	36 41	.6 1.9		13.1 8.7	29 40	16.9 15.6		140.5 109.8		2,218.8 2,151.3	41 50	600.3 639.1	47 44	1,544.8 1,424.8	41 50	73.7 87.4	40 35
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	3,500.7 3,352.9	19 22	214.2 190.3	32 33	1.3 2.0		9.9 13.2	42 30	88. <u>4</u> 75. 3		114.4 99.8		3,286.5 3,162.5	18 24	1,078.5 953.7	12 17	2,057.2 2,042.9	24 23	150.B 165.7	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	2,188.0 2,406.2		110.6 72.3		.0		3.3 3.3		6.6 0.		108.7	44 53	2,069.4 2,333.9	53 42	626.1 881.0	41	1,307.3 1,390.5		56.0 62.5	
SCHUYLER	198å 1985	1,745.0 1,729.9	59 60	39.4 78.9	62 56	.0		.0		5.6 16.9		33.8 62.0		1,705.6	59 59	669.9 · 546.6	34 51	968.2 1,048.1	60 61	67.5 56.3	
SENECA	1984 1985	3,266.7 3,342.6	22 23	299.7 272.0	21 21	3.0 6.0		9.1 24.2	45 13	15.1 3.0		272.5 238.8	14 18	2,967.0 3,070.6	24 25	660.0 701.2	37 40	2,182.9 2,221.3		124.1 148.1	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	2,598.2 2,505.4		233.9 255.9	28 26	1.0		9.0 8.0		11.0 18.1		212.B 229.8		2,364.3 2,249.5	35 47	597.3 540.9	48 53	1,666.5 1,616.4	37 40	100.4 92.3	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,871.8 3,810.3		250.8 255.8		2.9 3.1		13.5 -13.2		104.5 108.7		128.0 130.7		3,620.9 3,554.5	16 14	998.3 945.3	15 18	2,313.4 2,329.4		309.2 279.8	

		TOTA					VIOL	ENT INDEX CI	RIHES								PROPE	RTY INDEX C	RIHES		
		INDE CRII		Tota		Hurd		Forcible	•	Robbe	•	Agg. Ass	ault	Tota		Burg	lary	Larc	•	HV Th	eft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	3,548.1 3,784.5	18 14	412.5 339.9	10 14	7.4 3.0	9 23	32.6 26.7	· 7	54.9 43.0	20 20	317.6 267.1	9 12	3,135.6 3,444.6	21 16	1,396.4 1,650.3	5	1,565.6 1,614.7	40 41	173.6 179.6	15 14
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,698.0	60 59	197.2 122-2	35 45	3.9	16 50	13.8	27 56	11.9	43 56	167.6 114.3	28 38	1,500.8	61 60	424.0 431.6		986.1	59 59	90.7 78.8	31 43
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	5,006.0 5,726.4	7 5	137.4 129.3	45 43	Î.1 1.1	47 45	9.1 11.3	- 44 33	37.5 21.6	24 26	89.7 95.3	48 45	4,868.5 5,597.1		1,227.6 1,341.1	8 6	3,546.6 4,126.6	2 2	94.3 129.3	29 26
ULSTER	1986 · 1985	2,859.2 3,019.0	31 30	378.0 352.5	11 13	3.7 4.3	20 13	16.6 23.9		48.5 36.2	21 21	- 307.3 288.1	10 11	2,483.2 2,666.5	32 34	665.6 766.8		1,710.9 1,760.5	33 32	106.7 139.1	26 25
WARREN	1986 1985	3,270.7 3,408.9	21 21	64.7 50.3	59 61	1.8	37 - 50	10.8 7.2	37 49	21.à 12.6	29 41	30.6 30.5	62 61	3,204.0 3,358.4	19 18	909.B 830.2		2,152.3 2,363.0	20 13	143.8 185.3	20 20
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	2,129.1 2,158.2	55 56	210.1 259.6	33 24	.0 5.3	52 8	16.0 5.3	21 51	10.7 5.3	45 52	183.4 243.6	25 15	1,919.1	56 58	503.8 535.1	55 55	1,329.8	52 55	85.5 88.9	33 34
WAYNE	1986 1985	3,188.1 3,132.5	25 26	216.6 260.7	31 23	3.4	22 50	22.7 23.8	11 15	17.0 20.4	32 28	173.5 216.5	27 21	2,971.5 2,871.8	23 27	829.1 782.0	21 30	2,075.5 1,985.6	23 26	66.9 104.3	46 31
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	4,014.6 3,841.0	13 14	334.9 334.3	16 15	3.5 4.7	21 11	14.0 15.1	26 26	165.2 177.7	8	152.2 136.8	33 32	3,679.7 3,506.7	14 15	796.7 814.5	23 26	2,445.5 2,293.1	- 12 18	437.5 399.1	. 8
HAONIKE	1984 1935	2,565.0 2,562.1	34 41	422.6 533.1	- 9 . 6	- 2.5 4.9	29	7.4	49	2.5 7.4	60 50	410.3 513.4	6 4	2,142.4 2,029.0	47 53	798.5 682.9	22 42	1,267.8 1,235.6	55 57	76.2 110.5	37 29
YATES	1986 1985	2,418.1 2,560.5	41 42	83.5 46.4	53 62	.0	52 50	9.3 18.6	43 24	9.3	46 60	65.0 27.8	55 62	2,334.5 2,514.1	34 37	603.4 732.9		1,484.8 1,739.5	33 35	46.4 41.7	55 60
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	5,741.6 5,566.7	NA XA	983. 9 928.6	NA NA	10.7 9.5	NA NA	30.4 31.9	AA AK	514.2 504.1	na Na	428.6 383.0	NA NA	4,757.7 4,538.1	NA NA	1,216.3	- NA NA	2,906.2 2,808.9	na Na	635.2 598.0	HA NA
HEM ADUK CITA	1986 1985	8,846.7 8,392.9	NA NA	1,997.5 1,883.4	HA NA	22.0 19.3	NA NA	49.3 54.0	NA NA	1,127.2	HA NA	798.9 701.9	NA NA	6,869.3 6,509.5	na Na	1,735.4	NA NA	3,93B.0 3,661.0	na Na	1,195.9 1,105.6	- NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

ABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984

		1017	a .		-	FELDKY A	RRESTS			HISDENE	auno
		ARRES		Total Rate Rank		Viole		Othe		ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank .	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	2,338.7 2,197.9	12 14	712.1 604.5	. b	251.6 194.6	7. 9	440.5	7 &	1,626.7 1,593.4	21 20
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,247.5	60 62	330.7 231.8	5 <u>6</u> 62	45.3 55.0	57 58	265.3 176.8	46 62	916.8 880.1	58 60
BRONX	1986 1985	3,611.0 3,284.8	2 2	2,065.8 1,775.8	2 2	821.0 770.3	2	1,244.8 1,005.4	2	1,545.2 1,509.0	28 28
BROOKE	1986 1985	1,842.5 1,676.2	37 39	397.5 380.3	35 29	114.3 109.2	28 27	283.2 279.1	40 29	1,445.0 1,287.9	36 39
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	1,756.2 1,587.9	41 44	336.5 288.3	55 56	72.7 66.8	55 52	263.8 221.5	48° 56	1,419.7 1,299.6	38 37
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1,558.2 1,843.3	52 34	374.2 373.2	41 32	86.4 51.4	46 43	287.9 291.8	. 37 23	1,184.0	51 31
CHAUTAUDUA	1984 1985	1,807.8 1,660.5	39 41	345.2 299.5	50 55	86.0 77.0	47 45	259.3 222.6	50 53	1,462.6 1,361.0	34 35
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	1,905.0 1,943.6	34 29	426.5 451.1	28 21	129.3 104.4	21 32	297.2 346.8	33 15	1,478.5 1,492.5	33 29
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1,946.0 2,159.4	-29 16	280.0 310.2	60 51	58.0 92.1	60 37	222.0 218.2	60 57	1,686.0 1,849.4	18 10
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,943.3 1,851.1	21 21	507.4 384.9	30 19	126.B 95.6	22 34	380.5 289.3	12 26	1,436.0	37 32
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	2,019.8 2,234.2	24 13	466.2 523.1	22 12	141.7 132.0	19 19	324.5 391.1	25 9	1,553.5	26 12
CORTLAND	1986 1985	2,865.0. 2,964.6	5 4	514.8 403.0	17 25	177.2 111.8	13 26	337.6 291.2	23 25	2,350.2 2,561.6	4 2
DELAWARE	1986 1985	2,010.6	25 27	420.4 341.2	29 40	80.7 61.8	51 55	339.7 279.4	22 28	1,590.2 1,618.8	24 17

⁽ Continued on next page.)

ABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					FELONY A	ARRESTS				
		TOTAL ARRESTS	To	tal	Viole		Othe		HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR		ink Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		27 537. 23 514.		158.1 166.6	17 12	379.3 348.1	13 14	1,449.8 1,475.1	35 30
ERIE	1986 1985		17 571. 18 539.		222.3 210.2	9 8	348.9 329.5	19 19	1,563.3	19 25
ESSEX	1986 1985		16 584. 28 354.		93.7 6B.7	40 50	490.4 286.0	6 27	1,699.7 1,595.0	17 19
FRANKLIN	1984 1985		11 351. 20 347.		93.6 75.4	41 47	258.0 271.7	51 32	1,995.4 1,669.2	B 14
FULTON	1986 1985		57 267. 55 344.		60.4 75.8	58 46	207.0 268.9	82 33	1,128.4	52 52
GENESEE	1986 1985		33 386. 35 328.		107.1° 84.7	35 42	278.9 243.8	43 48	1,545.9	27 34
GREENE	1986 1985		23 551. 40 424.		121.1 120.6	24 22	429.9 303.8	9 21	1,596.2 1,251.3	22 41
HAMILTON	1986 1985		45 320. 22 481.		60.0 60.2	59 56	260.0 421.3	49 5	1,360.0 1,524.6	40 26
HERKIMER	1986 1985		56 366. 56 272.		86.7 64.3	45 54	279.5 207.7	42 60	1,043.3	55
JEFFERSON	1984 1985		54 452. 54 370.		112.6 103.9	32 30	340.0 266.4	21 35	1,044.2 1,070.2	54 51
Kings	1986 1985	2,837.5 2,405.5	6 1,597. 8 1,377.		770.4 678.5	3	826.9 699.2	3	1,240.2 1,027.8	46 54
LENIS	1986 1985		62 260. 61 254.		27.7 27.8	62 62	233.2 226.5	59 51	604.7 878.2	62 61
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	2,551.2 2,590.5	9 377. 7 288.		81.9 73.7	49 48	295.2 214.3	34 59	2,174.1 2,302.5	7
	1985	2,590.5	7 288.	0 57	73.7	48	214.3	59	2,302.5	

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY I	ARRESTS				
		TOTA ARRES		Tota		Viole	ent	Othe	:r	HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
								-			
MADISON	1986 1985	1,846.B 1,654.1	36 42	366.4 317.6	43 49	111.1 73.4	31 49	255.3 244.2	52 47	1,480.5 1,334.5	32 34
KONROE	1986 1985	2,285.5 2,059.5	15 19	555.4 485.3	13 15	196.0 178.5	11 11	359.4 306.9	17 20	1,730.1 1,574.2	16 23
HONTGOKERY	1986 1985	2,197.7 1,875.2	21 31	385.8 302.7	39 54	76.8 45.7	53 60	309.0 257.0	28 38	1,811.9	15 24
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,305.4	59 57	402.0 374.4	33 31	121.1 121.1	25 21	281.0 253.4	41 41	903.4 940.4	59 58
NEW YORK	1986 1985	7,694.0 7,117.1	1 1	2,820.4 2,517.6	1.	781.8 723.8	i 1 -	1,838.4 1,593.8	1	4,873.7 4,599.5	1
NIAGARA	1986 1985	2,003.7 1,856.4	26 32	463.8 425.0	23 22	164.1 152.9	14 14	299.7 272.1	32 31	1,539.9 1,431.5	33 30
ONEIDA	1986 1985	1,427.0 1,289.0	55 59	339.4 322.3	54 48	103.0 106.5	36 29	236.6 215.8	58 58	1,087.3 966.8	- 53 56
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,816.3 1,756.2	38 36	586.4 592.7	10 7	223.9 227.4	8 7	342.5 345.3	15 11	1,229.9 1,163.5	47 48
ONTARIO	1986 1985	2,287.4 1,978.7	14 26	393.7 337.7	36 41	102.0 85.5	37 41	291.8 252.2	36 43	1,893.7	9 16
DRANGE	198 <i>6</i> 1985	2,472.8 2,195.5	10 15	636.1 577.2	7 8	203.1 181.2	10 10	433.1 395.9	8	1,836.7 1,618.4	12 18
DRLEANS	1986 1985	1,640.1	49 50	452.4 482.9	26 16	159.4 140.5	16 18	293.1 342.4	35 16	1,187.7	50 53
OSHEGO	1986	1,721.6	43	340.3	53	92.0	42	248.3	55	1,381.3	39
OTSEGO	1985	1,556.2 2,174.0	47 22	335.7 354.7	42 48	90.7 54.1	39	300.7	30	1,220.4	46 13
•	1985	2,343.0	9	370.6	33	119.6	23	251.0	44	1,972.4	-7

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2A FELDNY AND MISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100.000 PDPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984 - Continued

						FELONY A	KRESTS				11200545	
	?	TOTA ARRES	115	Tota		Viole	nt		Othe		HISDENE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
KANTUP	1986 1985	1,970.6 1,567.5	28 46	409.3 326.3	30 45	109.1	34 53		300.2 259.6	31 37	1,561.3 1,241.1	25 44
QUEENS	1984 1985	1,666.7 1,453.9	46 52	926.2 709.9	4 5	374.8 308.9	4 ·		551.4 401.0	5 7	740.5 744.0	61 62
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,655.9 1,568.5	47 45	357.9 325.7	47 46	116.0	27 24		241.9 206.8	57 61	1,298.0	43 43
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,563.5 1,504.3	50 51	618.5 570.3	8	291.8 295.8	,6 5	•	326.7 274.4	24 30	945.0 934.0	56 59
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	1,137.6	61 59	368.9 345.7	42 38	81.2 93.5	50 36		287 .7 252.2	3B 42	768.7 949.0	60 57
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,212.B 2,006.9	20 21	329.8 324.5	- 57 47	75.4 77.6	54 44		254.4 246.9	53 45	1,883.0 1,682.4	10 13
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,222.4 2,286.0	18 10	405.2 397.3	31 28	91.4 105.8	44 30		313.8 291.5	27 24	1,817.2 1,898.7	14
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,737.7 1,528.7	42 49	400.1 350.0	34 35	91.9 94.3	43 35		308.3 255.7	29 40	1,337.4 1,178.7	42 47
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	2,718.1 2,238.3	B 12	473.2 308.7	20 52	110.7 87.3	32 40		362.4 221.5	16 55	2,245.0 1,929.6	6
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,902.3	35 30	362.1 416.9	46 24	109.2 148.5	33 16		252.9 268.4	54 34	1,540.2 1,513.3	29 27
SENECA	1986 1985	2,317.8 2,279.9	13 11	470.4 457.8	21 19	96.6 91.6	38 38		373.8 366.2	14 10	1,847.4 1,822.1	11 11
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,559.3 1,447.5	51 53	341.6 304.1	52 53	69.1 67.8	56 51		272.4 236.3	44 49	1,217.8 1,143.4	48 49
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,647.5 1,687.5	48 39	403.3 398.8	32 26	136.7 141.9	20 17		266.5 257.0	45 39	1,244.2	45 38

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2A FELDNY AND MISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

						FELONY A		•	MISDEMEANDR			
		TOTA ARRES		Tota	1]	Viole	ent	Oth	er	ARRES		
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
SULLIVAN	1988	3,182.2	4	925.7	5	358.6	5	567.1		2,256.4	5	
	1985	3,102.0	3	751.5	4	274.4	6	457.1	4	2,350.5	- 5	
TIDGA	1986 1985	1,711.8	44 48	362.7 283.8	45 58	78.4 49.6	52 59	284.3 234.2		1,349.0	41 42	
	1703	1,534.4	. 10	103.0	30	77.0	11	231.2	70	1,230.3	74	
TOHPKINS	1986	1,936.1	32	343.6	51	95.9	39	247.7	54	1,592.5	23	
	1985	1,988.7	24	329.0	43	106.6	28	222.3	54	1,659.7	15	
ULSTER	1986	2,221.1	. 19	549.3	15	159.6	15	389.8	11	1,671.7	20	
	1985	2,113.9	17	523.9	11	160.0	13	363.9	12	1,590.0	21	
WARREN	1986	3,282.9	3	594.4	9	189.2	12	407.2	10	2,686.5	2	
	1985	2,949.3	5	478.1	18	118.6	25	359.5	- 13	2,471.2	. 3	
WASHINGTON	1986	1,959.6	30	441.1	27	117.8	26	323.4	26	1,518.5	31	
	1985	1,980.5	25	398.2	27	105.7	21	292.5	22	1,582.3	22	
WAYNE	1986	2,817.0	7	458.0	24	113.6	29	344.3	20	2,359.1	. 3	
	1985	2,878.3	. 6	456.9	20	123.4	20	333.5	. 18	2,421.4	. 4	
WESTCHESTER	1986	1,794.5	40	513.0	18	154.7	18	358.3	18	1,281.5	44	
	1985	1,730.9	37	490.B	.: 14	150.3	15	340.5	17	1,240.0	45	
WYONING	1986	1,502.5	53	291.7	59	83.3	48	208.3	61	1,210.8	49	
	1985	1,598.0	43	317.1	50	56.5	57	260.6	36	1,280.9	40	
YATES	1986	1,330.2	58	386.8	37	122.6	23	264.2	47	943.4	57	
	1985	1,265.9	03	260.6	60	37.2	61	223.4	52	1,005.3	55	
NEW YORK STATE	1986	2,566.3	NA	968.7	NA .	369.9	NA	598.8	NA	1,597.6	Na	
	1985	2,371.0	NA	850.7	NA	339.3	NA	512.4	HA	1,520.3	NA	
NEW YORK CITY	1986	3,579.8	HA	1,697.4	NA -	693.1	NA	1,004.3	. NA	1,882.4	HA	
	1985	3,212.5	NA	1,457.9	HA	626.1	NA	B31.8	NA	1,754.6	HA	

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 #

		MURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE ARREST	r s .	ROBBEF ARREST	TS	AGG. ASS	its .	BURGLARY ARRESTS	LARCENY** ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	5.6 9 2.8 23	12.4 6.7	11 22	31.1 28.5	14 14	112.2 86.0	7 - 9	114.7 12 99.3 28	456.2 12 397.4 16
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	.0 46 7.9 4	2.0	56 56	.0	59 56	23.B 31.4	51 40	146.5 9 68.8 48	154.5 61 196.5 57
BRONX	1986 1985	23,6 1 19,0 1	27.6 26.5	1 2	269.8 237.9	2	329.5 311.2	2 2	189.0 3 180.6 3	467.8 10 413.2 12
BROOKE	1986 1985	2,4 24 2,8 22	8.5 5.2	22 30	14.7 11.3	23 26	33.2 30.1	38 42	78.3 45 86.1 37	432.2 17 354.9 25
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	7,0 5 .0 49	5.9 2.3	35	4.7 B.2	49 34	19.9 32.8	56 37	84.4 3B 82.0 39	267.3 48 243.7 56
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1.3 40 3.8 16	8.8 7.5	21 19	6.3 10.0	42 29	22.5 37.6	5 4 30	91.4 31 51.3 59	373.0 27 435.8 9
CHAUTAUBUA	1986 1985	1,4 38 .7 48	4.9 2.8	43 42	7.7 14.6	38 22	32.1 22.9	39 50	95.7 28 81.1 41	346.6 31 344.6 28
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	1.1 42 1.1 45	6.6	31 35	22.1 6.5	10 41	43.1 33.7	27 35	99.4 21 133.7 9	626.5 2 641.4 2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	2.0 27 2.0 32	.0	59 14	.0 4.0	59 47	12.0 18.0	60 57	64.0 53 108.1 18	318.0 35 354.3 26
CLINTON -	1986 1985	6.2 b	4.9	41 54	7.4 9.8	39 31	54.2 31.9	18 37	110.8 13 69.9 46	402.7 21 315.1 33
COLUMBIA	1994 1985	1.6 37	1.7	59 52	13.2 9.7	26 30	84.0 62.7	10 17	84.0 40 135.3 8	303.1 38 348.2 27

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

		MURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS	RODBERY ARRESTS		AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	Burglary Arrests		LARCENY ** ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate R	nnk -	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rat	te Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4.2 13 .0 49	8.4 23 4.2 37	33.8 8.4	12 33	44.3 26 48.5 21	143,5 10 107.6 19		40.1 6 55.8 7
DELAWARE	1986 1985	.0 46 2.1 31	12.7 9 .0 56	8.5 2.1	36 54	29.7 44 46.9 25	89.2 33 10B.B 17		88.5 25 83.9 19
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3.5 15 1.6 37	5.8 34 5.5 29	21.8 25.7	20	67.8 14 76.4 11	95.8 27 96.4 31		91.4 24 65.7 21
ERIE	1986 1985	3.5 14 3.8 15	14.8 5 14.B 7	42.3 42.7	9	92.4 8 93.3 7	104.3 16 100.0 26		77.6 9 38.9 8
ESSEX	1986 1985	.0 46	13.8 7 2.8 43		46 56	41.3 28 27.5 45	170.8 6 112.7 15		66.4 29 86.0 41
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	.0 46 2.3 28	6.8 30 7.1 16	9.1 .0	34 56	27.4 46 25.1 48	89.0 34 100.5 25		99.1 40 94.6 39
FULTON	1986 1985	1.8 33 .0 49	3.7 50 .0 56		47 44	25.6 50 23.5 49	51.3 59 90.2 35		93.0 43 14.0 34
GENESEE	1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49	5.1 40 5.1 32	3.4 22.0	54 17	28.9 45 27.1 46	96.9 25 93.1 33		97.6 41 33.7 51
GREENE	1986 1985	0 - 46 2.4 27	9.5 17 2.4 46	7.1 7.2	40 - 36	64.1 17 E4.4 10	59.4 55 65.1 51		13.5 37 85.9 40
HAMILTON	. 1986 1985	.0 46	.0 59 .0 56	.0	59 56	.0 62 .0 62	160.0 7 220.7 1		20.0 34 200.6 55
HERKIHER	1986 1985	.0 45	4.5 45 3.0 41	4.5 4.5	50 45	31.4 40 22.4 54	80.7 41 53.8 58		219.7 52 215.2 52

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,600 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

• ,		HURDI Arres	STS		FORCIBLE AKRES	its		ROBBE ARRES		AGG. ASS	STS		BURGL ARRES			LARCEI ARRES	TS
COUNTY	 Year:		Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	5.5 2.3	10 29	-	5.5 2.3	38 49		3.3 10.2	55 - 28	35,3 38,4	36 29		146.8 117.4	B 13	•	210.8 188.5	55 58
KINGS	1986 1985	17.3 16.1	3		18.2 21.8	4 4		295.4 249.8	2 2	278,4 240.6	3		177.5 156.5	4 5		398.0 343.8	22 29
LEWIS	1986 1995	.0	46 49		4.0	48 56		4.0	52 48	7.9 4.0	61 60		35.6 83.4	42 38		110.7 151.0	62 62
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	3.4 6.9	16		3.4	52 51		5.1 6.9	48 38	46.1 42.9	25 27		68.3 54.9	50 57		428.3 426.9	18 11
MADISON	1986 1985	4.5 1.5	12 · 38		13.5 6.0	8 26		6.0 9.0	44 32	39.0 3.0			84.1 89.9	36 36		345.3 317.6	32 32
HONROE	1986 1985	6.1 4.0	7 .13		13.8	6 10		47.0 44.7	7	48.2 43.6	24 26		101.5 107.5	19 20		550.2 502.8	5 5
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	-1.9. 1.9	31 34		1.9 5.7	57 28		5.8 1.9	45 55	30.7 22.8	. 41 51		57.6 60.9	57 53		248.7 359.8	. 44 23
NASSAU	1986 1985	2.0 3.0	26 21		2.6 2.5	54 44		33.3 30.3	13 12	49.4 47.3			53.6 59.9	58 55		256.5 255.7	50 46
NEW YORK	1986 1985	18.9 17.9-	2		20.4 24.3	2 3		456.0 406.1	1	369.1 346.9	1		210.4 176.2	1 4		1,134.4	1
NIAGARA	. 1986 1985	1.8 2.8	32 25		10.6	13 31		25.4 26.8	17 15	65.9 63.3	16 15		103.7 109.5	17 14		391.4 356.6	23 24
ONEIDA	1986 1985	2,4 1,2	23 41		8.0 6.0	24 27		17.3 11.6	22 25	30.6 32.7	42 38	•.	88.5 93.3	35 32		334.0 258.0	33 45

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 a - Continued

		HURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE ARRESTS	i	ROBBERY AKRESTS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	BURSLARY ARRESTS	LARCENY## ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank		lank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
DNDNDAGA	1986	2.6 22	8.9	20	48.4 6	81.8 11	106.4 15	424.9 19
	1985	3.0 20	14.0	B	48.1 6	76.1 12	137.5 6	368.1 20
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1.1 43 2.2 30	4.3 8.7	46	11.9 29 2.2 53	30.4 43 28.1 44	80.3 42 66.0 50	387.2 26 325.8 31
ORANGE	1986 1985	2.8 20 1.8 35	9.2 11.5	19	40.5 10 21.6 18	88.3 8	96.9 26 99.B 27	467.2 11 407.8 14
ORLEANS	1986	5.1 11	10.3	14	12.9 27	79.7 12	92.5 29	295.6 42
	1985	5.1 9	7.7	18	2.6 50	63.9 14	130.3 10	296.4 36
OSKE60	1986	3.3 17	7.5	25	4.2 51	25.9 48	98.7 23	267.6 47
	1985	.B · 47	3.4	40	2.5 51	31.1 41	96.5 30	282.0 43
OTSEGO	1986	.0 46	1.7	58	1.7 58	15.2 59	98.0 24	442.6 13
	1985	3.4 17	6.7	21	6.7 40	42.1 28	101.1 24	486.8 6
PUTNAH	1986	.0 46	3.7	49	14.7 23	50.2 21	63.7 54	213.2 53
	1985	4.9 10	1.2	53	3.7 49	35.8 34	56.9 56	208.9 53
DUEENS	1986	8.2 4	12.6	10	142.7 4	141.1 5	91.7 30	300.2 39
	1985	7.7 6	11.0	12	109.2 4	126.8 4	80.0 43	254.1 4B
RENSSELAER	1986	1.3 39	5. P	33	11.9 30	52.7 19	77.1 47	273.6 45
	1985	1.3 40	4. 6	34	10.6 27	57.5 18	69.4 47	196.9 56
RICHMOND	, 1986	5.9 8	11.2	12	86.5 5	124.1 6	88.4 36	250.8 49
	1985	7.8 5	10.7	13	84.3 5	124.9 5	104.8 23	282.5 42
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	.4 45 1.1 44	4.5 2.3	44	21.8 19 13.6 24	39.5 31 47.1 24	42.5 61 51.3 60	159.8 59 178.3 60

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 4 - Continued

		HURDER ARRESTS		FORCIBLE ARRES	575		ROBBI ARRE	SIS	AGG. ASS	TS	BURGL ARRES	TS		LARCE	ENY## STS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Ran	ik 🖖 📜 👢	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		3 6 26	7.1	2B 55	**	3.5 6.2		25.7 29.1	49 43	100.2 104.9	20 22		418.4 298.9	
SARATOGA	1986 1985		11 8	9.8 4.3			7.9 7.4		34.7 37.1	37 31	73.7 81.7	48 40	•	357.1 328.0	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		28	5.3 6.6			29.3 29.2	15 13	26.0 25.9	47 47	67.2 60.4	52 54		316.9 296.2	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985		16	.0			6.7		36.9 33.6	34 36	87.2 114.1	37 14		513.4 389.3	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	.0	16	5.7 28.6	37 i		11.5 17.1		23.0 22.8	53 - 52	69.0 97.1	49 29		241.4 · 251.3	51 47
SENECA	1986 1985		18 19	3.1 15.3			9.3 6.1		15.6 21.4	58 56	 102.8 125.1	19 11	•	442.4 390.7	
STEUBEN	1986 1985		14	4.1			6.2 4.1		23.7 22.6	52 53	79.5 64.7	44 52	•	280.7 292.8	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		29 24	5.9 6.0			26.9 30.5		48.9 53.8	23 20	77.6 78.4	46 44		200.7 176.5	
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		19 12	19.0 16.3	3 5		35.0 34.0		169.1 122.8	4 6	202.6 196.7	2 2		584.5 531.0	
TIOGA	1986 1985		33	5.9 4.0			2.0		37.3 15.9	33 58	43.1 45.7	60 62		209.B 271.9	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		16 13	2.3 6.8			9.1 14.7		20.5 21.6	55 55	90.2 90.8	32 34	•	500.0 431.1	

⁽ Continued on next page.)

		MURDER ARRESTS				FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS					ROBBE ARRES		AGG. ASS ARRES					BURGLARY ARRESTS			LARCENY ARRESTS		ŧ
COUNTY	Year	 Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank				Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Ran	
ULSTER	1986 1985	1.8	34 19			7.3 13.4					21.3 16.5	21 20		74.9 64.7				79.8 107.5	43. 21		439.1 407.9		15 13
WARREN	1986 1985	1.8	35 49			3.6 1.8					12.6	28 37		52.3 48.5				174.8 119.6	5 12		610.8 530.2		3 4
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	.0 1.8	46 36			7.0					10.5	23 22		40.4 56.4				107.2 Bl.1	14 42		210.9 200.9		54 54
WAYNE	1986 1985	2.3 1.1	25 42			10.2					13.6	25 39		39.8 36.6				117.0 135.9	11 7		434.1 398.6		16 15
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2.8 3.8	21 - 14			7.4 6.6					42.4 38.6	8		66.8 63.6				48.2 67.6	51 19		370.7 361.3		28 22
MADMINE	1986 1985	0 4.9	. 46 11			4.9 2.5					2.5 2.5	56 52		36.8 36.9				58.8 71.3	56 45		159.3 255.7	; 6 7 .4	60 47
YATES	1986 1985	.0				9.4 4.7					.0	59 56		18.9 9.3				99.1 51.2	22 61		179.2 186.2		58 59
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	8.0 7.5	NA NA			12.0 12.1					127.B 110.9	NA Na		139.7 129.0				115.6 109.5	na Na		424.7 383.6		na - Na
NEW YORK CITY	1984 1985	15.8 14.4	NA NA			18.4 19.7					272.8 234.0	NA NA		261.2 237.7				159.2 141.8	na Na		527.0 464.7		na Na

^{*} NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemenor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

^{##} Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increase as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

		TOTAL		SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Possession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
YTHUO	PRAY	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ALBANY	1984	170.8 15	134,4 16	112.2 13	14.1 28	36.3 11	31.8 9	4.6 37
	1985	143.4 11	96.9 13	72.2 14	20.8 11	46.5 13	34.9 9	11.6 16
ALLEGANY	1984	19.8 62	5.9 62	5.9 61	.0 57	13.9 48	13.9 30	.0 56
	1985	23.6 56	5.9 60	5.9 56	.0 53	17.7 41	5.9 54	11.8 15
BROXX	1988	1,035.1 2	953.1 2	494.1 2	299.7 2	182.0 2	75.6 3	105.4 2
	1985	894.4 2	654.7 2	397.5 2	199.8 2	239.7 2	101.6 2	138.1 2
BROOME	198å	88.2 28	68.3 29	36.5 35	26.1 12	19.9 31	12.8 34	7.1 23
	1985	56.5 34	35.3 34	23.5 39	8.5 30	21.2 32	14.1 31	7.1 32
CATTARAUGUS	1988	51.6 45	30.5 47	28.1 41	1.2 56	21.1 25	16.4 22	4.7 36
	1985	38.7 46	17.6 49	14.1 46	2.3 47	21.1 33	15.2 27	5.9 36
CAYUGA	1988	47.6 50	35.0 44	22.5 46	16.0 33	12.5 54	5.0 58	7.5 22
	1985	58.9 33	47.6 28	28.8 31	15.6 19	11.3 52	5.0 56	6.3 33
CHAUTAUQUA	1986	25.2 58	12.6 61	9.8 59	2.1 55	12.6 53	9.8 48	2.8 46
	1985	29.8 52	19.4 48	6.9 55	11.8 24	10.4 54	8.3 48	2.1 53
CHENUNG	1984	19.9 61	16.6 59	9.9 58	4.4 45	3.3 61	1.1 60	2.2 50
	1985 -	14.1 61	8.7 58	3.3 61	2.2 49	5.4 60	4.3 58	1.1 57
CHENANGO	1986	50.0 47	32.0 46	26.0 44	6.0 42	18.0 40	14.0 29	4.0 42
	1985	54.0 36	40.0 31	38.0 25	.0 53	14.0 4B	6.0 53	8.0 28
CLINTON	198 6	118.2 20	98.5 20	89.9 17	6.2 41	19.7 32	13.5 31	8.2 28
	1985	105.4 20	74.8 20	66.2 16	B.6 29	30.6 18	9.8 42	20.8 8
COLUMBIA -	3984	100.5 25	72.5 24	44.5 29	18.1 21	28.0 16	16.5 21	11.5 11
	1985	92.4 23	54.5 26	34.7 26	14.9 21	38.0 14	21.5 18	16.5 10
CORTLAND	1986	42.2 53	23.2 54	14.8 55	2.1 54	19.0 37	6.3 56	12.7 9
	1985	90.7 25	38.0 33	29.5 30	8.4 31	52.8 9	27.4 14	25.3 7
DELAWARE	1986	46.7 51	27.6 49	27.6 42	.0 57	19.1 36	17.0 20	2.1 51
	1985	49.1 39	21.3 17	10.7 49	10.7 26	27.7 20	19.2 20	8.5 26

				OTAL						OLLED	SUBSTANC	E ARRES	STS	-							HARIHUAN	A ARRESI	S .			
			ARI	RUG Rests			To	ital			Posses	sion			Sali	e 		Tot		 	Passes	sion	-		Sale.	
	COUNTY	 YEAR	Rate	Rai			Rate	Ran	k		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	e. A	lank
	DUTCHESS	1986 1985	141. 108.		19 19		120. 85.				91.5 61.5	16			19.9	19		20.6 23.1	28 26		15.2 14.5	25 30			5.3 3.6	32 23
	ERIE	1986 1985	193. 174.		7		150. 109.		3		126.8 83.2	12 10			14.4 15.4	. 26 18		43.2 65.3	10 7		35.7 61.4	8 ·			7.6	21 48
	ESSEX	1986 1985	43. 38.		39 17		44. 30.				44.1 22.0	30 41			9.3	57 33		19.3 8.3	35 59		19.3 2.8	12 61		5	.0 5.5	56 39
	FRANKLIN	1986 1985	107. 82.		2 2 27		89. 34.				86.8 32.0	19 27			2.3 2.3	52 4B		18.3 48.0	39 11		11.4	42 32	*		3.8 1.3	25 6
B-24	FULTON	1986 1985	58. 23.		12 i8		45. 5.				29.3 3.6	40 60			16.5	23 53		12.8 18.0	52 39		11.0 9.0	44 45			1.8 7.0	54 20
	GENESEE	1986 1985	49. 33.		19 19		27. 13.				-18.7 10.2	49 52			6.8 1.7	37 52	•	-22.1 20.3	24 35		17.0 16.9	19 24			5. 1 5. 4	34 49
	GREENE	1986 1985	294. 98.	. 5 . 9	6 21		266. 82.		7 7 -		228.0 77.2	6 11			23.8 2.4	15 46	·	28.5 16.9	15 43		19.0 12.1	14 38			1.5 1.8	17 43
	HAHILTON	1986 1985	160. 60.		1 7 32		160. 60.				160.0 40.1	8 24			.0	57 53		.0			.0	61 62			.0	56 53
	HERKIMER	1986 1985	22. 47.		50 11		17. 29.				9.0 28.4	60 32			9.0	35 53		4.5 17.9			4.5 12.0	5 9 40			.0	56 34
	JEFFERSON	1986 1985 -	67. 38.		37 18		46. 28.				37.5 24.8	34 37			5.5 3.4	43 40		21.0 10.2	27 55		12.1 6.8	37 51			8.8 3.4	18 50
	KINGS	1986 1985	693 548		3		545. 381.		3		328.6 221.7	3			178.0 115.0	2	•	129.4 167.6	3		55.8 76.7	5 4			2.6	3
7	LEWIS	1986 1985	27 27		56 53	-	23. 11.		2 14		15.8 7.9	54 54			7.9	36 53		4.0 [5.9			.0 7.9	41 49			4.0 7.9	43 29
	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	71. 42.		35 43		56. 22.				35.8 15.4	36 44	•		20.5 3.4	18 39		15.4 20.6	45 34		11.9 12.0	39 39	•		3.4	44 25

⁽ Continued on next page.)

B-25

		TOTAL		_	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANC	E ARRESTS					KARIHUANA	ARRESTS			
		DRUG ARRESTS		Total		Passes		Sal	Б	 Total		 Possess	i on		Sale	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank		Rate Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank	. R	Rate	Rank
PUTNAK	1986 1985	291.7 7 127.3 14		281.9 5 111.3 7		229.2 74.2	5 13	47.8 33.4	6	9.8 16.1	56 44	7.4 13.6	53 33		2.5 2.5	49 52
QUEENS	1984 1985	420.5 4 288.3 4		324.5 4 181.5 5		189.5 115.8	7 5	118.4 53.3	4	96.0 106.7	5 5	56.4 47.4	4 6	•	39.6 59.3	- 5
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	61.3 41 66.1 30		40.2 41 31.1 39		34.9 27.8	37 34	4.0	46 44	21.1 35.0	26 16	15.8 26.4	23 15		5.3 8.6	33 24
RICHMOND	1986 1985	248.3 8 181.9 6		173.8 9 72.5 22		71.5 49.7	21 21	87.8 15.0	5 20	74.5 109.4	6	11.2 31.3	43 11		63.3 78.1	4
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	147.0 18 130.1 13		123.7 17 108.2 9		87.3 68.2	18 15	27.1 33.9	- 11 5	23.3 21.9	20 30	10.9 12.8	45 34		12.4 9.0	10 19
ST. LAHRENCE	1986 1985	87.8 29 119.0 17		67.4 30 81.1 18		62.9 75.8	23 12	3.5 1.8	48 51	20.4 37.9	29 15	17.7 29.1	18 12		2.7 B.B	47 22
SARATOGA	1986 1985	83.5 31 71.6 24		70.1 27 66.8 23		61.5 40.3	24 22	6.7 17.9	39 16	13.4 24.8	51 23	7.3 12.4	55 36		6.1 12.4	29 14
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	70.6 36 53.1 37		51.3 34 31.2 38		41.9	31 42	5.3 8.0	44	19.3 21.9	34 29	10.7 17.9	46 22		B.7	19 47
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	40.3 54 23.5 57		23.5 53 13.4 53		16.8 10.1	53 53	6.7 3.4	38 41	16.8 10.1	42 56	10.1 6.7	47 52		6.7 3.4	26 51
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	23.0 59 40.0 45		17.2 58 17.1 50		17.2 11.4	52 48	.0	57 53	· 5.7	58 28	5.7 5.7	57 55		.0 17.1	56 9
SENECA	1984 1985	B1.0 32 45.8 42	•	56.1 32 33.6 36		46.7 30.5	27 29	6.2 3.1	40 42	24.9 12.2	19 50	18.7 12.2	15 37		6.2 .0	27 58
STEUBEN	1986 1985	42.3 52 27.7 54		25.8 51 10.3 56		22.7 10.3	45 50	3.1	50 53	16.5 17.5	43 42	14.4 15.4	27 26		2. i 2. i	52 54
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	197.0 11 156.1 B		96.1 21 76.1 19		64.3 44.0	22 23	25.6 27.0	14	100.9 87.9	4	88.0 79.7	2 3		12.9 10.2	8 17

⁽ Continued on next page.)

		TOTAL	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANCE ARRESTS	- 	<u></u>	MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Passession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
SULLIVAN	1986	172.0 14	157.4 11	190.6 14	37.9 7	14.6 -47	11.7 41	2.9 45
	1985	153.B 10	102.1 11	85.8 9	7.4 35	51.8 10	45.9 7	5.9 35
TIOEA	1986	78.4 33	49.0 35	27.5 43	15.7 25	29.4 13	11.8 40	17.6 7
	1985	41.7 44	29.8 42	23.8 38	2.0 50	11.9 51	4.0 59	7.9 30
TOHPKINS	1984	53.7 43	40.0 42	18.3 50	10.3 32	13.7 49	9.1 49	4.6 38
	1985	48.8 40	29.5 43	14.7 45	10.2 27	19.3 38	18.2 21	1.1 56
ULSTER	1986	116.9 21	99.3 19	84.7 2D	10.4 31	17.7 41	13.4 32	4.3 40
	1985	109.3 18	82.4 16	59.2 19	19.5 14	26.9 22	22.0 17	4.9 42
WARREN	1986	198.2 9	155.0 12	144.1 10	9.0 34	43.2 9	41.4 6	1.8 55
	1985	133.0 12	104.2 10	93.5 7	9.0 28	28.8 19	19.8 19	9.0 21
KASHINGTON	1986	63.3 40	43.9 40	39.7 32	3.5 49	19.3 33	12.3 35	7.0 24
	1985	19.4 60	15.9 51	12.3 47	3.5 38	3.5 61	3.5 60	.0 58
MAYNE	1988	101.1 23	78.4 25	55.7 25	21.6 17	22.7 22	12.5 35	10.2 14
	1985	98.2 22	74.2 21	58.3 20	12.6 23	24.0 24	14.8 28	9.1 18
WESTCHESTER	1986	327.5 5	280.9 6	236.7 4	27.6 10	46.6 8	35.9 7	10.7 12
	1985	252.1 5	195.0 4	157.6 4	21.1 10	57.1 8	43.3 8	13.8 13
WYOHING	1986	27.0 57	19.6 56	19.6 4B	.0 57	7.4 57	7.4 53	.0 56
	1985	27.0 55	7.4 59	4.9 58	2.5 45	19.7 36	14.8 29	4.9 41
YATES	1986	84.9 30	14.2 60	.0 62	14.2 27	70.8 7	14.2 2B	56.6 5
	1985	32.6 50	23.3 45	4.7 59	18.6 15	9.3 57	4.7 57	4.7 45
NEW YORK STATE	1984	515.5 NA	421.7 NA	278.7 NA	113.5 NA	93.9 NA	48.4 NA	45.5 NA
	1985	417.3 NA	296.1 NA	193.4 NA	77.0 NA	121.1 NA	60.4 NA	60.7 HA
NEW YORK CITY	1986	1,048.2 NA	870.4 NA	559.7 NA	249.0 NA	177.8 NA	76.9 HA	100.9 HA
	1985	851.2 NA	611.7 NA	393.0 NA	164.7 NA	239.5 NA	102.4 NA	137.1 NA

[#] Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State - Sections 220 and 221).

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 &

DWI ARRESTS

-				Tota	ıl		 Felo	ny		Hisdea	eanor
COUNTY -		YEAR		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ALBANY		1986 1985		9.0 11.5	19 12		.8	17		9.0 10.5	
ALLEGANY	•	1986 1985		5.8 5.2	46 54		.2	52 52		5.6 5.1	43
BRONX		1986 1985		4.1	58 58		.0	58 61		4.1 4.4	
BROOKE		1986 1985		4.7 5.0	54 56		.5	36 28		4.2 4.5	
CATTARAUGUS :		1986 1985		11.1	15 21		1.2	3		9.9 8.7	16 24
CAYUGA		1986 1985		5.0 8.1	47 32		.4	39 29		5.4 7.5	46 33
CHAUTAURUA		1986 1985		8.9 8.7	25 27		.9	13 20		8.0 8.0	25 28
CHEHUNG		1986 1985		5.5 5.3	51 53		.9	14 15		4.6 4.5	52 54
CHENANGO		1986 1985		11.2 11.0	14 13		.7	25 14		10.5 10.3	
CLINTON		1986 1985		9.4 12.1	21 7		.B	20 23		8.6 11.4	
COLUMBIA		1986 1985		. 7.4 7.9	36 36		.4	41		6.9 7.5	

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 • - Continued

					DWI	ARRESTS			
		Tota	1	 	Feli	ony	.77444.0.0	nabe if	eanor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986	10.7	16		.1	56		10.4	11
	1985	16.4	- 1		.0	62		16.4	1
DELAWARE .	1986 1985	7.2 8.0	39 34		.4	42 47		6.9 7.8	38 29
DUTCHESS	1985	8.3	29		.7	- 23		7.8	32
•	1985	9.4	20		.7	18		B.9	21
ERIE	1986 1985	6.7 6.7	40 43		.5 .5	33 34		6.2 6.3	41 42
	1703	Q. /	13			. 30		0.3	14
ESSEX	1984 1985	11.7 11.8	10 11		.9 .7	. 10 14		10.8 11.1	10 11
FRANKLIN	1986	13.1	3		.6	27		12.4	3
	1985	9.3	25		.4	40		8.9	23
FULTON	1986 1985	5.9 6.3	45 46		.3 .5	46 31		5.6	44 45
	1763	0.3	- 10			31		5.7	17
BENESEE	1986 1985	10.2 9.3	17 22		.9 .5	11 35		9.3 9.0	1B 20
GREENE	1984	8.1	32		.9	12		7.3	34
	1985	7.2	41		.3	44		6.8	39
HANILTON	1986 1985	6.5 10.6	42 14		.0 .5	62 33		6.5 10.1	40 15
HERKIHER	1986 1985	7.4 7.5	37 39		.B	21 17		6.7 6.8	39 40

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 4 - Continued

			• .					DAI	ARREST	S			
			-	Tota	1	 	 	Fel	ony		 	 Misdene	anor
COUNTY		YEAR		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
												•	
JEFFERSON		1986 1985		6.2 7.7	43 38			.6				5.6 7.1	45 37
KINGS	•	1986		2.6	60			.0	.60			2.6	60
		1985		3.2	59			.0				3.2	59
LENIS		1986		5.5	49			1.0	9			4.6	53
		1985		6.0	47.			.9	10			5.2	50
LIVINGSTON		1986		11.6	11			.5	35			11.1	7
		1985		13.6	4			.5	34			13.1	4
KADISOŅ		1986		8.4	28	Ż.		.4	38			7.9	27
;		1985		8.8	26			.4	37			8.3	26
HONROE		1986		5.5	50			.8	22			4.7	51
nomina.		1985		5.5	50			.7	19			4.B	53
HONTGONERY		1986		14.1	. 2			1.3	2			12.8	. 2
HORIVONENI		1985		9.4	24			.8	12			8.5	25
NASSAU		1986		4.5	56			.3	43			4.1	55
unsonu	•	1985		5.2	55			.3	45			4.9	52
NEW YORK		1986		3.1	59			.0	57			3.1	59
HER TOWN		1985		3.1	60			.1	57			3.1	60
NIAGARA		1986		8.0	35			2	51			7.8	30
итнонии		1985	-	B.2	29			.2 .2	48			8.0	27
ONEIDA		1986		5.6	40				15			6.7	10
UNEIVH		1985		5.6	48 49			.3	45 50			5.3 5.4	48 48

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

							 	DAI	ARRESTS		 	
				Tota	1		 	Felo	ony	 	 Nisdead	anor
COUNTY	_	YEAR		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ONDNDAGA		1986 1985		- 5.1 5.4	52 51			.2	48 51		4.9 5.2	49 49
ONTARIO		1986 1985		12.0 10.1	5 18			1.7	1 2		10.4 8.9	14 22
DRANGE		1986 1985		9.0 B.0	24 35			1.1 1.0	7 6		- 7.9 7.0	26 38
DRLEANS		1986 1985		5.9 4.7	44 57				31 5		5.4 3.6	47 58
OSWEGO :		1986 1985		8.3 8.1	31 33	٠,		.2	47 43		8.0 7.8	24 30
DTSE60		1985 1985		11.9 14.0	3			.9 .8	16 13	•	11.1 13.2	8 3
PUTNAK		1986 1985		9.4 9.4	20 23			.1	54 56		9.5 9.3	17 18
QUEENS		1986 1985		1.9	62 62			.0	59 58		1.9	62 62
RENSSELAER		1986 1985		9.1 9.8	23 19			.4	40 45		8. 6 7. 5	22 17
RICHKOND		1984 1985		2.6	61 61			.0	61 60		2.6 2.7	61 61
ROCKLAND		1986 1985		4.4 6.3	57 45			. 6 . 5	30 30		3.9 5.7	58 46

DWI ARRESTS

					 		unnear	-		
			Tota		 -	Felo	ony		Hi sdear	anor
COUNTY	YEAR		ƙate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		11.4 10.3	12 17		.0	19 21		10.6 9.6	12 16
SARATOGA	1986 1985		11.B 13.0	9 7		.5	37 42		11. <i>A</i> 12. <i>6</i>	6
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		6.5 6.8	41 42		.7 .6	26 24		5.8 6.2	42 43
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985		11.9 11.9	7 10		.2 .5	50 32		11.7 11.4	5 10
SCHUYLER	1984 1985		8.4 7.4	26 40		.8	1B 22		7.6 6.7	31 41
SENECA	1986 1985	•	9.9 10.4	18 15		1.2	4		8.8 9.2	21 19
STEUBEN	1986 1985	2	7.9 6.5	36 44	•	.8	15 27		7.0 5.0	36 44
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		9.1 10.4	22 16		.1 .1	55 55		9.0 10.3	19 14
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		12.0 12.4	å 8		1.0	8 26		11.0	9 8
TIDGA	1986 1985		8.3 8.6	30 28		1.2	5		7.1 7.5	35 34
TORPKINS	1986 1985		8.1 7.7	34 _. 37		.2 .1	53 54	•	7.9 7.6	

- GH1	ADDECTO	

			Tota	1		Felo	iny -		Nisdead	anor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985		8.1 8.1	33 31		.7	24 39		7.4 7.7	- 33 31
HARREN	1984 1985		15.3 16.2	1 2		.3	44 53		14.9 16.1	1 2
HASHINGTON	1986 1985		11.3	13 5		1.1	9		10.2 12.3	15 7
NAYNE	1986 1985		12.4 13.2	4		.5	34 38		11.9 12.7	4 5
WESTCHESTER :	1986 1985	•	5.1 5.8	53 48		.2	49 49		4.9 5.6	50 47
BHINDYN	1984 1985		8.4 8.2	27 30		. B	32 11		7.9 7.3	29 36
YATES	1986 1985		4.& 5.4	55 52		1.0	29 7		4.0 4.4	57 57
HEN YORK STATE	1986 1985		6.0 6.4	NA HA		.4	NA NA		5.6 6.1	NA NA
HEN YORK CITY	1986 1985		2.7	na Na		.0	HA NA		2.7 2.9	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DNI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

[#] Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York ~ Section 1192).

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1988

FFI	ONY	TNDI	CTH	FUTS

					LECOUL	INDICINER	13		
	·		lent		Ot	her		Tr	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent	•		Percent		Number	Percent
ALBANY	1988 1985	178 144	38.4 32.1		286 304	61.6 67.9		464 448	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	B 10	14.3 18.2		48 45	85.7 81.8		56 55	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	3,650 3,738	49.1 56.4		3,778 2,895	50.9 43.6		7,428 6,633	100.0 100.0
BROOME	1986 1985	131 149	27.3 26.9		349 405	72.7 73.1		480 554	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	25 20	22.1 22.0		88 71	77.9 78.0		113 91	100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	32 31	31.1 29.8		71 73	68.9 70.2		103 104	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	57 51	23.5 23.3		186 169	76.7 76.7		243 219	100.0 100.0
СНЕМИМБ	1986 1985	73. 76	29.2 22.4		177 264	70.B 77.5		250 340	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	12 17	9.0 30.4		122 39	91.0 69.6		1 34 56	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1984 1985	42 37	28.2 28.9		107 91	71.8 71.1		149 128	100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	. 11	21.2 34.1		41	78.8 65.9		52 91	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1984 1985	23 20	24.7 15.5		70 109	75.3 84.5		93 129	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	9 B	32.1 29.6		19 19	67.9 70.4		28 27	100.0 100.0

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

CCI	nuv	INDICT	DIRCHE

				 FELONY	INDICIMENT	i		
			lent	 Ot	her		To	ital
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1988 1985	B2 80	24.6 21.4	251 293	75.4 78.6		333 373	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1984 1985	435 473	31.1 31.5	963 1,028	68.9 68.5		1,398 1,501	100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	15 19	11.7 20.2	113 75	88.3 79.8		128 94	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	12 14	23.1 17.5	40 88	76.9 82.5		52 80	100.0
FULTON	1984 1985	27 13	32.9 15.7	55 70	67.1 84.3		82 83	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985	22 28	19.6 25.7	90 81	80.4 74.3		112 109	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	23 22	27.7 34.4	60 42	72.3 65.6		83 64	100.0 100.0
MOTILIAH	1986 1985	3	18.8 16.7	13 5	81.3 83.3		16 6	100.0 100.0
HERKIHER	1986 1985	10 15	12.2 24.2	72 47	87.8 75.8	•	82 62	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	66 65	22.1 23.4	233 213	77 .9 76.6		299 278	100.0 100.0
Kings	1985	4,514 4,644	52.9 59.9	4,025 3,107	47.1 40.1		8,539 7,751	100.0
LEWIS	1986 1985	9 5	25.0 13.2	27 33	75.0 86.8		36 38	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	43 47	16.4 22.1	217 146	83.6 77.9		262 213	100.0

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continue

		•			FELONY	INDICTHENTS	:		
			Vic	lent	 Ot	her		To	ital
COUNTY	Year		Number	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Husber	Percent
						71.0		170	100.0
MADISON	1986 1985		32 30	23.2 22.4	106 104	76.B 77.6		138 134	100.0
NONROE	1984		427	29.9	1,001	70.1		1,428	100.0
	1985		447	30.7	1,010	69.3		1,457	100.0
HONT GOHERY	1984		21	18.4	93	81.6		114	100.0
	1985		15	.18.3	67.	81.7		82	100.0
KASSAU	1986		961	36.0	1,712	64.0		2,673	100.0
	1985		962	39.9	1,447	60.1		2,409	100.0
HEW YORK	1986		4,202	59.3	 2,885	40.7		7,087	100.0
	1985		4,416	63.6	2,523	36.4		6,939	100.0
HIAGARA	1986		101	28.5	254	71.5		355	100.0
	1985		98	28.3	248	71.7		346	100.0
DNEIDA	1986		190	33.0	389	67.0		576	100.0
	1985		139	31.6	301	68.4		440	100.0
DNDNDAGA	1986		420	31.7	904	68.3		1,324	100.0
	1985		399	31.4	870	63.6	*	1,269	100.0
ONTARIO	1986		82	23.7	203	74.3		266	100.0
	1985		. 49	22.9	165	77.1		214	100.0
ORANGE	1986		152	31.2	335	68.8		487	100.0
	1985		145	27.7	379	72.3		524	100.0
ORLEANS	1986		30	30.3	49	69.7		99	100.0
	1985		52	35.9	93	64.1		145	100.0
OSVEGO	1986		37	21.9	132	78.1		169	100.0
	1985		39	21.3	144	78.7		163	100.0
DTSEGO	1986		10	22.2	35	77.8		45	100.0
	1985		· 7.	25.9	20	74.1		27	100.0

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

1986 1985

1986 1985

STEUBEN

SUFFOLK

		Vic	lent	0	ther	 To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Husber	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
НАИТИЧ	198& 1985	32 15	18.7 13.4	139 97		171 112	100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	3,297 2,964	43.8 50.5	4,223 2,911		7,520 5,875	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	4 5 52	27.1 28.3	121 132		166 184	100.0
RICHMOND	198 <u>6</u> 1985	214 175	41.1 54.5	307 146		521 321	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	84 79	19.7 18.0	342 361		426 440	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	26 37	13.5 18.7	166 161		192 198	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA -	1986 1985	49 62	31.6 38.0	105 101		155 163	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1984 1985	48 59	28.2 25.0	122 177		170 236	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	۹ 6	18.8 28.6	39 15		48 21	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	13 9	40.6 32.1	19 19		32 28	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	13 7	17.3 17.9	62 32		75 39	100.0

34 34

817 971 21.4 28.3

29.2 32.0 FELONY INDICTHENTS

125 86

1,983

78.4 71.7

70.8 68.0 159 120

2,800 3,032 100.0 100.0

100.0 100.0

⁽ Continued on next page.)

FELONY INDICINENTS

		Vio	lent	Ot	her		Ţc	ital -
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	49 46	22.2 32.6	172 95	77.8 67.4		221 141	100.0
	170J	7.0	32.0	7.0	61.1		171	100.0
T106A	1986 1985	19 7	19.0 9.6	. 81 . 66	81.0 90.4		100 73	100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	41 38	24.8 27.7	124 99	75.2 72.3	• •	165 137	100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	51 64	22.4 20.8	177 244	77.6 79.2		228 308	100.0
	1107		20.0	211				
JARREH	1986 1985	11 13	26.8 26.5	30 36	73.2 73.5		41	100.0
ASHINGTON	1984 1985	18 25	25.7 32.9	52 51	74.3 67.1		70 76	100.0
MAYNE	1986 1985	34 34	15.7 17.3	182 163	84.3 82.7		216 197	100.0
ESTCHESTER	1986	499	35.1	924	64.9		1,423	100.0
	1985	549	39.7	B64	60.3		1,433	100.0
ANING	1986 1985	17 6	27.0 9.4	46 58	73.0 90.6		63 64	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	15 7	29.4 13.7	36 44	70.6 86.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	51 51	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986	21,833	39.1	33,977	60.9		55,810	100.0
	1985	22,000	44.0	28,016	56.0		50,016	100.0
HEN YORK CITY	1986 1985	16,115 16,102	44.9 53.0	19,755 14,291	55.1 47.0		35,870 30,393	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS DNLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

PROTEINGER				

		 Co	nvictions	 Acoui	ttals		Disai	ssals		Not Pro	secuted	 Ot	her		 To	 otal
D. 1117.11										~~~~~						
DUNTY	- Ye.		er Percent	Hunder	Percent		Number	Percent		NUADER	Percent	Musoer	Percent		HUEDER	Percen
.BANY	19 19			20 15	.4		1,165	21.2 21.3		3	1 .	28 25	.5		5,502 5,767	
LEGANY	19 19		68 71.5 20 67.7	0	.0 .3		105 103	28.0 31.7		0	.0	2.0	.0	•	375 325	
OHX	19 19			330 349	.9 1.0		10,486 8,674	29.2 24.8		1,172	3.3 3.4	149 131	.4		35,872 34,986	
OOKE	19 19			21 17	.7		678 635	21.6 22.0		0	.0	. 98 79	3.1 2.7		3,144 2,983	100.0
TTARAUGUS	19 19		54 84. 5 28 82.1	4 3	.4		148 153	14.7 17.2		0	.0	4 2	.4		1,010 887	100. 100.
YUGA	19		41 70.8 45 75.1	9 0	1.0		254 278	28.0 24.7		0	.0	2 2	.2		906 1,125	100. 100.
AUQUA	19 19			13 10	.6	•	502 476	24.6 23.6		i	.0	9 5	.4		2,039 2,014	100.
EHUNG	19 19	•		5 7	.5		353 . 366	25.1 24.4		. 0	.0	2	.1		1,407 1,503	100. 100.
ENANGO	1 19 19		77.9 197 75.5	0 7	.0		. 143 176	21.2 22.3		0 2	.0	<u>6</u> 9	1.1		673 791	100. 100.
INTON	19 19		79.5 707 83.2	8	.8		192 178			0	.0	10 4	1.0		1,024 1,090	100. 100.
LUNBIA	19 - 19		58.6 666 61.2	1 2	.1		401 415	41.2 38.1		0 2	.0	i 4	.1		974 1,089	100. 100.
RTLAND	19 19		i76 56.5 144 67.1	4	.4		438 359	42.9 32.4		0	.0	2 2	.2		1,020	100. 100.
LAWARE	19 19		166 74.9 176 72.8	1 4	.2 .6	a	155 173	24.9 26.5	•	0	.0	0	.0		622 654	

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

		Convic	tions		Acquitt	als		ssals	Not Pro	secuted	•	01	her		T	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nucber	Percent		Number P	ercent		Percent .	Number	Percent		Number	Percent			Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	2,515 2,947	59.9 62.0		8	.2	1,597 1,713	38.0 36.0	0 2	.0		81 87	1.9		4,201 4,753	
ERIE	1986 1985	10,704 11,280	59.6 .63.7	-	196 212	i.1 i.2	6,949 6,132	38.7 34.6	75 53	.4		21 43	.1	•	17,945 17,720	
ESSEX	1986 1985	432 428	75.0 77.4		. 0	.0	139 117	24.1 21.2	0 0	.0		5 6	.9 1.1		576 553	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	640 540	82.2 83.6		2 2	.3	135 104	17.3 16.1	1 0	.1		10	.1		779 646	
FULTON	1986 1985	: 523 562	80.8 79.3		1 0	.2	120 142	18.5 20.0	0	.0		3 2	.5		647 709	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985	668 586	80.5 92.1		4	.5	156 123	18.8 17.2	1 2	.1		1	.1		830 714	
GREENE	1986 1985	560 431	81.9 79.8		5	.7	117 102	17.1 18.9	 0	.0		2	.3		884 540	100.0 100.0
HAHILTON	1986 1985	38 37	82.6 80.4		0 0	.0	8	17.4 19.6	0	.0		0	.0		46 46	
HERKIHER	1986 1985	518 548	86.8 88.5		1 1	.2	75 64	12.6 10.3	0	.0		3	.5 1.0		597 619	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	849 784	85.9 86.5		i 3	.1	128 113	13.0 12.5	1 2	.1		9 4	.9		987 906	
KINGS	1986 1985	32,464 29,258	63.1 64.6		348 345	.7 .8	16,015	31.1 29.0	2,349 2,387	4.6 5.3		272 204	.5	V	51,448 45,323	
LEWIS	1986 1985	98 160	76.0 79.6		- 0 1	.0	29 38	22.5 18.9	0	.0		2 2	1.6		129 201	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	903 883	78.9 81.6		5 2	.4. .2	235 189	20.5 17.5	0	.0		2 B	.2		1,145 1,082	

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS DNLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

			ctions	•	ittals				ssals		osecuted			her			otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent			Nusber			Fercent		-	Percent		Nuober	
MADISON	1986 1985	715 648	82.2 83.8	6	.7			144 124	16.6 16.0	0	.0		5	.6		870 773	
ONROE	1986 1985	5,374 5,572	46.4 49.9	101 104	.9			5,750 5,218	49.6 46.7	282 212	2.4		86 71	.7		11,593 11,177	100.0 100.0
IONT60KERY	1984 1985	650 634	90.9 87.9	4	.6			51 75	7.1 10.4	. 0 5	.0	•	10 3	1.4		715 721	100.0 100.0
ASSAU	1986 1985	10,982 12,747	79.8 85.9	122 95	.9			1,978	14.4 11.3	187 103	. 1.4		489 218	3.6 1.5	-	13,758 14,638	
EN YORK	1984 1985	67,603 69,281	66.9 69.4	401 375	.4			30,519 26,566	30.2 26.6	1,667 2,225	1.7 2.2		829 1,448	.8 1.4		101,019 99,895	
IAGARA	1986 1985	2,927 3,020	79.3 78.6	20 36	.5			737 775	20.0 20.2	0	.0		7 13	.3		3,691 3,844	100.0 100.0
NEIDA	1986 1985	2,054 2,006	83.1 83.2	7 6	.3	•		403 392	16.3	2	.1		7	.3		2,473 2,411	100.0 100.0
NONDAGA	1986 1985	3,862 3,950	59.6 60.1	35 38	.5			2,524 2,468	38.9 37.8	3 2	.0		å1 98	.9 1.5		6,485 6,576	100.0 100.0
NTARIO	1986 1985	1,245 1,046	82.0 81.7	7 14	.5 1.1			256 204	16.9	4 12	.3		- 7 5			1,519 1,281	100.0 100.0
RANGE	1986 1985	2,749 3,057	58.4 58.2		.1			1,885 2,102	40.0	. 3 4	.1		63 78	1.3		4,707 5,255	100.0
irleans	1986 1985	355 277	76.3 67.6	- 0 12			•	105 115	22. å 28. 0	1 3	.7		. 4 3	.7		465 410	100.0 100.0
SNEGD	1986 1985	1,075 1,136	72.5 77.6	7	.5 .5			39& 307	26.7 21.0	1	.1	. •	3 13	.2		1,482 1,464	100.0
TSE60	1986 1985	737 850	79.0 80.3	4 5	.4 .5			188 190	20.2 18.0	0	.0		4	.4 1.1		933 1,058	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELCHY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

			ctions	 Acquit			ssals	•		secuted	Ot	her		Ţ	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	Number			Percent			Percent		Percent		Number	Percent
PUTNAN	1986 1985	719 865	61.3 61.3	2 3	.2 .3	445 410	38.0 37.8		0	.0	6 7	.5		1,172 1,085	
QUEENS	1986 1985	16,996 17,111	64.4 66.8	299 287	1.1 1.1	B,756 7,825	33.2 30.5		139 282	.5 1.1	195 119	.7		26,385 25,624	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,431 1,493	77.1 76.9	8	.4 .5	415 433	22.4 22.3		0.0	.0	1 6	.1		1,855 1,941	
RICHNOND	198£ 1985	2,286 2,209	44.2 42.9	13 15	.3	2,246 2,255	43.4 43.8		584 631	11.3 12.3	46 36	.7	-	5,175 5,146	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	1,624	66.6 71.5	- 2 4	.1	791 761	32.5 27.7		0	.0	 20 16	.6		2,437 2,743	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,044 807	74.1 67.9	5 9	.4	353 366	25.1 30.8		0	.0	6	.4 .5		1,408 1,188	
SARATO6A	1986 1985	2,297 2,356	74.3 76.5		.1	784 716	25.3 23.2		i 0	.0	5 5	. 2		3,093 3,080	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,727 1,565	77.0 76.3	7	.3	475 448	21.2 21.9		2 5	.1	31 25	1.4		2,242 2,050	
SCHOHAR 1E	1986 1985	369 339	70.0 72.7	1 4	.2	149 120	28.3 25.8		0	.0	8 2	1.5		527 466	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	224 218	83.9 84.2	0	.0 .4	43 39	16.1 15.1		0 0	.0	. 0 1	.0		267 259	
SEHECA	1986 1985	358 293		, <u>1</u>	.2	186 172	34.1 36.5		0 2	.0	1 3	.2 .6		546 471	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	810 707	75.4 71.7	7	.7	254 266	23.7 27.0	,	1	1	5 5	.5 .5		1,074 986	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	13,939 14,261		117 96	.6 .5	3,988 3,982	22.0 21.6		3	.0	96 91	.5 .5		18,143 18,434	

· DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS #

		Convi	ctions	 	ttals			issals	-	Not Pro	secuted		Ot	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Percent			Percent	•	Number	Percent		Nusber	Percent		Nueber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	1,253 1,300	72.2 67.2	5 3	.3		470 626	27.1 32.4		0	. 0 . 1		8	.5		1,736 1,935	
TIOGA	1786 1785	472 494	79.2 79.4	5 0	.8		116 125			0	.0		3 2	.5	•	596 622	
TOHPKINS	1986 1985	708 802	51.5 60.8	1 11	.1		838 493			0 5	.0		27 19	2.0 1.4		1,372 1,320	
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,847 1,718	48.4 49.3	8 12	.5		832 741	30.8 29.9		3 1	.1		10 7	.4		2,700 2,479	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	1,111	71.9 72.9	0	.0 .1		428 402	27.9 26.4		0	.0		3	4		1,534 1,524	
HASHINGTON	1986 1985	545 597	B0.0 B1.0	0 2	.0		· 135			0	.0	•	1 5	.7		681 737	
HAYHE	1986 1985	1,311 1,266	71.5 77.1	9	. 5 . 2		511 366	27.9 22.3		0	.0			.2		1,834 1,642	100.0 100.0
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	8,423 8,192	72.1 72.3	55 71	.5		2,824 2,846			11 <i>h</i> 67	1.0 .6		260 161	2.2		11,478 11,337	
HAONING	1986 1985	197 195	70.4 73.9	0	. 4		81 67	28.9 25.4		0	4		1 1	.4		280 264	
YATES	1986 1995	156 144	85. <i>7</i> 84.2	0 2	.0 1.2		25 23			0	.0		1 2	1.2		182 171	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	248,728 251,609	67.0 69.3	2,257 2,261	.6	•	110,615 99,038	29.8 27.3		6,602 7,220	1.8		3,027 3,136	.9		371,229 363,264	100.0
NEH YORK CITY	1986 1985	143,093 142,521	65.1 67.5	1,391	. 6 . 6		68,033 56,460			5,911 6,706	2.7 3.2		1,491 1,938	.7		219,919 210,996	

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

	Canv	ictions	Acquittals	Dismi	ssals	Not Prosecuted		Other	Tol	ial
**	ear Number	Percent	Number Percent	Huaber	Percent	Number Percent	Nusb	er Percent	Number	Percent
	786 1,302 785 1,255		19 1.2 11 .7	282 300	17.5 19.0	3 .2 3 .2		8 .5 16 1.0	1,614 1,582	100.0 100.0
	786 77 785 47	87.5 75.8	0 .0	10 15	11.4 24.2	0 .0		1 1.1 0 .0	88 62	100.0 100.0
	786 13,254 785 13,187	64.7 67.9	293 1.4 296 1.5	6,182 5,193	30.2 26.8	670 3.3 677 3.5	7.	32 .4 36 3.8	20,481 19,412	100.0 100.0
	786 550 785 534	80.8 79.9	7 1.0	105 108	15.4 16.2	0 .0		22 3.2 19 2.8	183 833	100.0
	786 168 785 117	85.7 90.7	1 .5 2 1.6	25 10	12.8 7.8	0 .0		2 1.0	196 129	100.0 100.0
	786 181 785 206	84.6 82.1	2 .9	29 45	13.6 17.9	0 .0		2 .9	214 251	100.0 100.0
	785 326 785 290		0 .0	67 46	17.0 13.6	0 .0		1 .3 2 .6	394 339	100.0
	286 276 285 283		2 .7 5 1.6	28 26	9.1 8.3	0 .0		i .3 0 .0	307 314	100.0 100.0
	785 72 785 107	79.1 97.0	0 .0 3 2.4	16 8	17.6 6.5	0 .0 1 .8		3 3,3 5 4.1	91 123	100.0 100.0
	786 213 785 175		8 3.0 1 .5	42 22	15.6 11.1	0 .0		6 2.2 1 .5	269 199	100.0 100.0
	786 172 785 186		0 .0	70 79	29.8 29.0	0 .0 .7		1 .4 6 2.2	243 272	100.0 100.0
	786 144 785 132		0 .0	19 36	21.7 21.3	0 .0		0 .0	163 169	100.0 100.0
	786 107 785 92		0 .0 2 1.7	1	13.0 20.3	0 .0		0 .0	123 118	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

		Convictions	Acquittals		issals	Hot Prosecuted	Other	Total
COUNTY	Year	Number Percent	Number Percent		Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent
DUTCHESS	1786 1785	728	2 .2 .2	323 315	30.2 27.9	0 .0	15 1.4 . 18 1.4	1,068 100.0 1,135 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	2,780 57.3 2,738 59.5	96 2.0 89 1.9	1,888 1,707		74 1.5 44 1.0	14 .3 66 1.4	4,952 100.0 4,600 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	107 76.1 73 80.2	0 .0	29 17	21.6 18.7	0 .0	3 2.2 1 1.1	134 100.0 91 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	100 84.7 95 90.5	0 .0	16 B	13.6 7.6	1 .B .0	1 .8	118 100.0 105 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	129 96.3 169 88.9	1 .7 0 .0	4 20	3.0 10.5	0 .0	0 .0 1 .5	134 190.0 190 100.0
BENESEE	1986 1985	107 BB.4 131 92.9	0 .0	13 8	10.7 5.7	1 .8	0 .0 1 .7	121 100.0 141 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	147 84.0 101 82.1	3 1.7 2 1.6	25 19	14.3 15.4	0 .0	0 .0 1 .8	175 100.0 123 100.0
HANILTON	1986 1995	16 100.0 11 73.3	0 .0	0	.0 26.7	0 .0	0 .0	14 100.0 15 100.0
HERKINER	1986 1985	137 91.9 113 89.0	0 .0	. 12 14	8.1 11.0	0 .0	0 .0	149 100.0 127 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	246 82.8 226 89.3	0 .0 3 1.2	49 21	16.5 8.3	1 .3 2 .8	1 .3 3 1.2	297 100.0 253 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	18,550 61.6 17,440 64.0	324 1.1 323 1.2	9,347 7,460	31.1 27.4	1,711 5.7 1,882 6.9	168 .6 2,006 7.4	30,100 100,0 27,229 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	46 95.8 39 90.7	0 .0 1 2.3	1 2	2.1 4.7	0 .0	1 2.1 1 2.3	48 100.0 43 100.0
LIVINESTON	1986 1985	154 92.2 94 87.9	2 i.2 i .9	11	6.6 9.3	0 .0	0 .0 2 1.9	167 100.0 107 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS *

		Convicti		-	Acquil	tals	 Disei	ssals		secuted	0	ther		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number Pe			llumber	Percent	Number	Percent		Percent	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
MADISON	1986 1985	143 117	86.7 86.7		3	1.8	16 17	9.7 12.6	0	.0	3	1.8		165 135	
HONROE	1986 1985	1,710 1,634	63.2 64.6		39 51	1.4 2.0	643 595	23.8 23.5	27 4 209	10.1 B.3	39 250	1.4 9.9		2,705 2,530	100.0 100.0
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	. 112 87	94.9 80.9		i 2	8 1.8	5 12	4.2 10.9 t	0 5	.0 4.5	. 0 7	.0 6.4		118 110	
NASSAU	1986 1985	3,524 3,746	72.7 82.9		57 46	1.2 1.0	648 452	13.4 10.0	180 98	3.7 2.2	440 274	9.1 6.1		4,849 4,518	100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	20,399 21,778	56.1 60.3		312 318	.9	14,667 13,172	40.3 36.4	758 647	2.1 1.8	228 870	.6 2.4		36,364 36,138	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	650 681	79.8 80.0		7 10	.9 1.2	154 156	18.9 18.3	0	.0	4	.5 .5		815 851	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	507 -529	86.4 90.6			.5	71 51	12.1 8.7	2	.3	4	.7		587 584	100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,474 1,363	72.9 72.8		81 81	.9 1.0	505 464	25.0 24.8	1 1	.0	25 26	1.2		2,023 1,871	100.0 100.0
DNTARIO	1986 1985	271 202	93.4 88.6			1.0 1.3	11 12	3.8 5.3	3	1.0 3.9	2 11	.7 4.8		290 228	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	761 890	67.8 68.8		17	.4 .5	341 368	30.4 28.4	2	.2 .3	15 29	1.3 2.2		1,123 1,294	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1786 1785	130 94	85.5 71.8		0 10	.0 7.6	18 24	11.8 18.3	1 2	.7 1.5	3	2.0 2.3		152 131	100.0 100.0
OSHEGO	1986 1985	248 244	87.6 92.1		3 1	1.1	31 12	11.0 4.5	0	.0	1 8	.4 3.0		283 265	100.0
DTSE60	1986 1985	121 133	82.3 77.3		3 1	2.0 .6	21 31	14.3 18.0	0	.0	2 7	1.4	•	147 172	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

		Convi	ctions	 	Acquitt	als	 	Disgi	ssals		 Not Pres	ecuted	 	Ot	her		Ir	otal
CDUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	N	usber P	ercent		Number	Percent		Nuaber 	Percent	N	lusber	Percent		Nu≉ber	Percent
PUTNAM	1986 1985	103 97	52.6 51.6		0 2	.0 1.1		91 86	46.4 45.7		0	.0		2	1.0		196 188	
QUEENS	1986 1985	9,203 7,739	61.9 62.7		23B 209	1.6		5,201 4,138	35.0 33.5		105 177	.7 1.4		132 252	.9 2.0		14,879 12,338	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	319 332	81.4 81.4		2	.5		71 74	18.1 18.1		0	.0		0	.0		392 408	
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,004	49.1 47.3		9	.4		865 <u>.</u> 867	42.3 43.4		130 152	6.4 7.4		38 193	1.9 8.9		2,046 2,046	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	-526 567	74.3 76.4		2	.3		175 171	24.7 23.0		0	.0		5 2	.7		708 742	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	95 69	67.4 68.3		0	.0 1.0		- 44 29	31.2 28.7		0	.0		2	1.4 2.0		141 101	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	438 •389	83.7 78.6		3 1	. 6 . 2		80 193 -	15.3 20.8		10	.2		1 2	.2		523 - 495	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	414 374	82.8 81.1		3	.6		71 69	14.2 15.0		2. 3	.4		10 14	2.0 3.0		500 461	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	85 59	82.5 79.7		1 2	1.0 2.7		13 12	12.6 16.2		0	.0		4	3.9 1.4		103 74	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	52 52	88.1 94.5	•	0	.0		7	11.9		0	.0		0	.0		59 55	
SENECA	1986 1985	98 50	76.6 74.6		1	.8 1.5		29 16	22.7 23.9		0	.0		0	.0		128 67	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	175 149	74.8 76.8		4 5	1.7		53 37	22.6 17.1		· 1	.4		1	.4 1.5		234 194	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,638 3,774	79.7 80.5		44 45	1.0		845 824	18.5 17.5	•	2 2	.0		34 45	.7 1.0	•	4,563 4,688	

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

		Convic	i on 5		Acquit	tals	Dismis	5als	Not Prose	cuted		Other	 To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Huaber l	ercent		Number	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nucher F	ercent	Numbi	r Percent	Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	360 335	77.1 69.6		. 2 0	.4	104 144	22.3 29.9	0	.0		1 .2	467 481	100.0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	116 94	87.2 89.7		2	1.5	15 11	11.3	0	.0		0 .0 1 .9	 133 106	100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	109 157	61.6 66.2		0	.0 1.7	57 66	32.2 27.8	0 2	.0		1 6.2 0 4.2	177 237	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	464 434	74.2 74.3		4	.å .7	152 140	24.3 24.0	3 1	.5		2 .3 6 1.0	625 584	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	: 221 179	72 .5 75.2		0 2	.0	84 57	27.5 23.9	0	.0		0 .0	305 238	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	135 125	82.8 86.2		· . 0 2	1.4	27 14	16.6	0 0	.0 .0		1 .6 4 2.8	163 145	100.0 100.0
HAYNE	1986 1985	217	80.7 91.1		0	.0	52 21	19.3 8.2	0	.0		0 .0 i .4	269 257	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2,711 2,767	76.7 79.1	•	30 43	.8 1.2	677 600	19.2 17.2	51 37	1.4 . 1.1		5 1.8 6 2.5	3,534 3,496	100.0
HAONING	1986 1985	62 70	88.6 92.1		0	.0	. 7 6	10.0 7.9	0 · - 0	.0	•	1 1.4 0 .0	70 76	100.0
YATES	1985 1985	51 49	96.2 90.7		0	.0	1 4	1.9	. 0	.0		i 1.9 i 1.7	53 54	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	90,738 89,207	63.8 66.5		1,555 1,558	i. l 1.2	44,469 38,400	31.3 28.6	3,977 3,967	2.B 3.0	1,40 4,99		142,148 134,164	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	62,418 61,115	60.1 62.9		1,176 1,155	1.1	36,270 30,855	34.9 31.8	3,374 3,535	3.2 3.6	4,04		103,886 97,172	100.0 100.0

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM EFLONY & MISDEMFANDS ARRESTS

			Felony									-
		Total	Vio	lent	Ot	her	Hisdo	eneanor	Lesser Offenses		. To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number Percent	Number	Percent	Nuzber	Percent	Number	Percent	Number Percent		Number	Pércent
ALBANY	1786 1985	313 7.3 288 6.4	82 61	1.9 1.4	231 227	5.4 5.1	1,939 2,000	45.2 44.5	2,034 47.5 2,203 49.1		4,286 4,491	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1784 1985	32 11.9 17 7.7	2 2	.7	30 15	11.2 6.8	173 142	64.6 64.5	63 23.5 61 27.7		268 220	100.0 100.0
BROHX	1986 1985	4,987 21.0 4,353 17.7	2,249 2,184	9.5 8.9	2,738 2,169	11.5 8.8	12,800 13,109	53.9 53.2	5,948 25.1 7,189 29.2		23,735 24,651	100.0 100.0
BROONE	1986 1985	315 13.4 266 12.4	67 71	2.9 3.3	24B 195	10.6 9.1	1,577 1,448	67.2 67.3	455 19.4 438 20.4		2,347 2,152	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1988 1985	63 7.4 41 5.6	B 4	.9	55 37	6.4 5.1	507 490	59.4 67.3	284 33.3 197 27.1	• • •	854 728	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1988 1985	81 12.6 73 8.6	18 11	2.8 1.3	- 63 62	9.8 7.3	391 551	61.0 65.2	169 26.4 221 26.2		641 845	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1985 1985	121 8.0 111 7.3	29 24	1.9	72 87	6.1 5.7	972 968	64.2 63.6	421 27.8 443 29.1		1,514 1,522	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	190 18.1 197 17.5	52 45	5.0 4.0	138 152	13.2 13.5	68 4 762	65.3 67.7	173 16.5 167 14.8		1,047 1,126	100.0
CHENANGO ,	1984 1985	18 3.4 23 3.9	5 6	1.0	13 17	2.5 2.6	275 351	52.5 58.8	231 44.1 223 37.4		524 597	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	63 7.7 55 6.1	7	.4	. 56 51	6.9 5.6	371 435	45.6 48.0	380 46.7 417 46.0		814 907	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	43 7.5 64 9.6	10 7	1.8 1.1	33 57	5.8 8.4	258 330	45.2 49.5	270 47.3 272 40.8		571 666	100.0
CORTLAND	1984 1985	73 12.7 72 9.7	13 13	2.3 1.7	60 59	10.4 - 7.9	217 268	37.7 36.0	286 49.7 404 54.3		576 744	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	23 4.9 24 5.0	2 10	.4 2.1	21 14	4.5	25å 248	54.9 52.1	187 40.1 204 42.9		466 476	100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & HISDEREAMOR ARRESTS .

				Felony													
		Total			lent		Oth	er		Hisde	TORESA		Lesser U	ffensos		Tot	tal
COUNTY	Year	Humber P	ercent		Percent	No	iøþer	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent	Nu	ber .	Percent
DUTCHESS	1988 1985	229 316	9.1 10.7	47 64	1.9		182 252	7.2 8.6		1,132 1,260	45.0 42.8		1,154 1,371	45.9 46.5		515 947	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	813 887	7.6 7.9	242 246	2.3		571 - 641	5.3 5.7	•	3,496 3,930	32.7 34.8		6,395 £,463	59.7 57.3		704 260	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1984 1985	25 22	5.8 5.1	. 2 4	.5		23 19	5.3 4.2		199 222	46.1 51.9		208 194	4B.1 43.0		432 428	100.0
FRANKLIN	1984 1985 ;	44	6.9 7.8	8	.9 1.5		34 34	5.9 6.3		362 298	56.6 55.2		234 200	38.6 37.0		640 540	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1984 1985	44 68	8.4 12.1	13	2.5 2.3		31 55	5.9 9.8		238 254	45.5 45.2		241 240	46.1 42.7		523 562	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1984 1985	70 77	10.5 13.1	10 18	1.5 3.1		. 40 59	9.0 10.1		259 269	38.8 45.9		339 240	50.7 41.0		668 566	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	45 26	8.0 6.0	10 6	1.8		35 20	6.3 4.6 -		274 263	48.9 61.0		241 142	43.0 32.9		560 431	100.0 100.0
HAHILTON	1986 1985	9	23.7 8.1	2	5.3		7	18.4 8.1		23 23	60.5 62.2		- 6 11	15.8 29.7		38 37	100.0 100.0
HERKIMER ,	1986 1995	34 36	6.6 6.6	7 4	1.4		27 32	5.2 5.8		288 327	55.6 59.7		19 <i>6</i> 185	37.8 33.8		518 548	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	161 131	19.0 16.7	34 27	4.0 3.4		127 104	15.0 13.3		399 408	47.î 52.0		288 245	34.0 31.3		848 764	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1995	6,394 5,566	19.7 19.0	3,254 2,953	10.0 10.1		3,140 2,613	9.7 B.9		11,560 10,943	35.6 37.4	• .	14,510 12,749	44.7 43.5		464 258	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	23 12	23.5 7.5	. 6 1	6.1		17 11	17.3 6.9		37 58	37.8 42.5		38 80	38.8 50.0	•	. 98 041	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	100 62	11.1	17 10	1.9		83 52	9.2 5.9		456 459	50.5 52.0		347 352	38.4 41.0		903 883	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANCR ARRESTS #

		******************	Felony		•		
		Total	Violent	Other	Misdeasanor	Lesser Offenses	Total
COUNTY	Year	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent
HADISON	1986	66 9.2	16 2.2	50 7.0	427 59.7	222 31.0	715 100.0
	1985	88 13.6	18 2.8	70 10.B	327 50.5	233 36.0	648 100.0
MONROE	1986	960 17.9	272 5.1	698 12.8	2,307 42.9	2,107 39.2	5,374 100.0
	1985	841 15.1	267 4.8	574 10.3	2,670 47.9	2,061 37.0	5,572 100.0
HONTGOHERY	1986	55 8.5	7 1.1	48 7.4	270 41.5	325 50.0	650 100.0
	1995	69 10.7	7 1.1	62 9.8	272 42.9	293 46.2	634 100.0
NASSAU	1986	1,478 15.3	584 5.3	1,094 10.0	4,527 41.2	4,777 43.5	10,982 100.0
	1985 :	1,515 11.9	543 4.3	972 7.6	6,099 47.8	5,133 40.3	12,747 100.0
NEW YORK	1986	8,146 12.0	3,031 4.5	5,115 7.6	40,817 60.4	18,640 27.6	67,603 100.0
	1985	7,499 10.8	3,250 4.7	4,249 6.1	41,667 60.1	20,115 29.0	69,281 100.0
NIAGARA	1986	135 4.6	33 1.1	102 3.5	983 33.4	1,809 61.8	2,927 100.0
	1985	169 5.6	39 1.3	130 4.3	1,100 34.4	1,751 58.0	3,020 100.0
OHEIDA	1986	241 11.7	87 4.2	154 7.5	1,045 50.9	768 37.4	2,054 100.0
	1985	215 10.7	75 3.7	140 7.0	1,080 53.8	711 35.4	2,006 100.0
DNONDAGA	1984	929 24.1	281 8.8	668 17.3	1,534 39.7	1,399 34.2	3,862 100.0
	1965	834 21.1	248 8.3	586 14.8	1,539 39.0	1,577 39.9	3,750 100.0
ONTARIO ,	1986	229 18.4	33 2.7	196 15.7	697 56.0	319 25.6	1,245 100.0
	1965	179 17.1	34 3.3	145 13.9	562 53.7	305 29.2	1,046 100.0
ORANGE	1986	277 10.1	59 2.1	210 7.9	998 36.3	1,474 53.6	2,749 100.0
	1985	378 12.4	96 3.1	202 9,2	1,227 40.1	1,452 47.5	3,057 100.0
ORLEANS	1984	60 16.9	14 3.9	46 13.0	201 56.6	94 26.5	355 100.0
	1985	74 26.7	16 5.8	58 20.9	153 55.2	50 18.1	277 100.0
OSWEGO	1986	11B 11.0	19 1.8	99 9.2	452 42.0	505 47.0	1,075 100.0
	1985	120 10.6	28 2.5	92 8.1	513 45.2	503 44.3	1,136 100.0
OTSEGO .	1986	37 5.0	7 .9	30 4.1	215 29.2	485 65.8	737 100.0
	1985	44 5.2	8 .9	36 4.2	247 29.1	559 65.8	850 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANDR ARRESTS 🔹

			-		 Felony											
			Tota	1		lent		01	her		Hisde	aeanor	Lesser ()ffenses	To	otal
COUNTY	ear 			Percent		Percent		Number	Percent		Nuzber	Percent	Nusbér	Percent	Number	Percent
PUTNAN	786 985		i 7	.1 1.4	.0 2	.0		17	.1 1.1		203 232	28.2 34.9	515 424	71.6 63.8	719 665	100.0 100.0
DUEENS	986 985		5,028 3,511	29.6 20.5	1,767	10.4 7.5	•	3,261 2,230	19.2 13.0		7,081 7,724	41.7 45.1	4,887 5,876	28.8 34.3	16,996 17,111	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	986 985		102 138	7.1 9.2	19 37	1.3 2.5		83 101	5.8 6.8		577 558	40.3 37.4	752 797	52.& 53.4	1,431	100.0
RICHHOND	986 985	:	290 269	12.7 12.2	125 138	5.5 6.2		165 131	7.2 5.9		922 925	40.3	1,074 1,015	47.0 45.9	2,286 2,209	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	986 985		312 351	19.2 17.9	50 59	3.1 3.0		262 292	14.9		665 760	40.9 38.7	647 851	39.8 43.4	1,524 1,762	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	984 985		4	.4	1 0	.1		. 3	.3 .5	•	468 371	44.8 46.0	572 432	54.8 53.5	1,044 807	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	986 985	·	98 94	4.3	21 30	.9		77 64	3.4 2.7			35.4 30.9	1,381 1,534	60.1 65.1	2,297 2,35&	100.0
SCHENECTADY	984 985		138 166	8.0 10. <i>6</i>	35 41	2.0 2.8		103 125	8.0		803 717	46.5 45.8	786 682	45.5 43.6	1,727 1,565	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE ,	986 985		34 28	, 9.2 8.3	2 8	.5 2.4		32 20	8.7 5.9		158 140	42.8 41.3	177 171	4B.0 50.4	369 339	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	986 985		20 14	8.9 6.4	- 7 3	3.1 1.4		13 11	5.8 5.0		144 159	64.3 72.9	60 45	26.8 20.6	224 218	100.0 100.0
SENECA	986 985		48 23	13.4 7.8	9	2.5 .3	-	39 22	10.9 7.5	•	213 191	59.5 65.2	97 79	27.1 27.0	358 293	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	984 985		81 69	10.0 9.8	9 14	1.1 2.0		72 55			382 355	47.2 50.2	347 283	42.8 40.0	B10 707	100.0
SUFFOLK	986 985 -		1,871 1,970	13.4 13.8	505 570	3.6 4.0		1,386	9.8 9.8		4,812 4,515	34.5 31.7	7,256 7,776	52.1 54.5	13,939 14,261	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISSEMEANOR ARRESTS *

	•	*******		Felony										<i></i>	
		Tota			ol en t	 	her		Hisde	eeanor		Lesser ()ffenses		Total
COURTY	Year		Percent		Percent	Number	Percent		Nuaber	Percent			Percent	Nuabe	r Percent
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	136 142	10.9 10.9	26 19	2.1 1.5	110 123	8.8 9.5		574 578	45.8 44.5	•	543 580	43.3 44.6	1,25 1,30	3 100.0 0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	61 49	12.9 9.9	7	1.5 1.8	54 40	11.4 8.1		306 297	64.B 60.1		105 148	22.2 30.0	47	
TONPKINS	1986 1985	54 102	7.6 12.7	 13 20	1.8	41 82	5.8 10.2		241 313	34.1 39.0		411 387	58.2 48.3	70 80	
ULSTER	1986 1985	153 197	8.3 11.5	36 39	1.9 2.3	117 158	6.3 9.2		1,02: 913	55.3 53.1		673 608	36.4 35.4	1,84 1,71	
HARREN	1986 1985	33 33	3.0 3.0	i1 6	1.0	22 27	2.0 2.4		507 499	46.0 44.9		583 579	51.0 52.1	1,10 1,11	
HASHINGTON	1986	34 48	6.2 8.0	7 4	1.3	27 44	5.0 7.4		304 280	55.8 46.9		207 269	38.0 45.l	54 59	
HAYNE	198 <i>6</i> 1985	127 117	9.7 9.2	23 16	1.8 1.3	104 101	7.9 8.0		752 699	57.4 55.2		432 450	33.0 35.5	1,31 1,26	
WESTCHESTER	1988 1985	1,171	13.9 17.2	389 535		803 870	9.5 10.6		3,992 3,385	47.4 41.3		3,260 3,402	38.7 41.5	8,42 8,19	
нуонтне	1988 1985	33 30		- 4 2		26 31	13.2 15.9		84 95	42.6 48.7		- 83 67	42.1 34.4	15 15	
YATES	1988 1985	35 39		9 5	5.8 3.5	26 34	16.7 23.6		87 75	55.8 52.1		34 30	21.8 20.8	15 14	
NEW YORK STATE	1985 1985	37,108 33,669		13,671 13,264	5.5 5.3	23,437 20,405	9.4 8.1	• • •	118,736 121,797	47.7 48.4		92,884 96,143	37.3 38.2	248,77 251,60	
HEN YORK CITY	1988 1985	24,848 21,199	17.4 14.9	10,426 9,806		14,422 11,393	10.1 B.0		73,186 74,374	51.1 52.2		45,059 45,949	31.5 32.9	143,09 142,52	

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

				 Felo	ony				 			-				
		To	tal	 Vic	olent	-		her	Hisda	eaeanor		Lesser O	lf fenses		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Nuzber	Percent		Number	Percent		Number	Percent
ALBANY	1984 1985	303 279	23.3 22.2	82 61	4.9		221 217	17.0 17.3	 862 998	66.2 70.8		- 137 B9	10.5 7.1	· .	1,302 · 1,255	100.0
ALLEGANY	1786 1985	28 16	36.4 34.0	- 2 2	2.6 4.3		26 14	33.8 29.8	4 P 29	63.6 61.7		0 2	4.3		77 47	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	4,934 4,314	37.2 32.7	2,249 2,184	17.0 16.6		2,685 2,130	20.3	6,099 6,174	46.0 46.8		2,221 2,699	16.8 20.5		13,254 13,187	100.0
BROOME	1986 1985	297 254	54.0 47.6	67 71	12.2 13.3		230 183	41.8 34.3	237 248	43.1 46.4		1 <i>6</i> 32	2.9		550 534	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	58 36	34.5 30.8	B 4	4.8		50 32	29.8 27.4	107 70	63.7 59.8		3 11	1.8 9.4		168 117	100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	70 66	38.7 32.0	18 11	9.9 5.3		52 55	28.7 26.7	98 133	54.1 64.6		13 7	7.2 3.4		181 206	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1984 1985	115 101	35.3 34.8	29 24	8.9 8.3		86 77	26.4 26.6	204 175	62.6 60.3		. 7 14	2.1 4.8		326 290	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1984 1985	182 190	65.9 67.1	52 45	18.8 15.9		130 145	47.1 51.2	79 83	28.8 29.3	-	15 10	5.4 3.5		276 283	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO ,	1986 1985	15 20	22.2 18.7	- 5 6	6.9 5.6		. 11 14	15.3 13.1	47 79	65.3 73.8		9	12.5 7.5		72 107	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	59 47	27.7 26.9	7	3.3 2.3		52 43	24.4 24.6	140 115	65.7 65.7		14 13	6.6 7.4		213 175	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1984 1965	40 57	23.3 30.4	10 7	5.8 3.8		30 50	17.4 26.9	10B 111	62.8 59.7		24 18	14.0 9.7		172 186	100.0
CORTLAND	1786 1785	54 51	37.5 38.6	13 13	9.0 9.8		41 38	28.5 20.8	B0 75	55.4 56.8		10 6	6.9 4.5	• .	144 132	100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	22 24	20.6 26.1	2 10	1.7		20 14	18.7 15.2	76 64	71.0 69.6		, 9 4	B.4 4.3		107 92	100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS *

		*******		 Felo	ony							 				
			tal		olent	~~~~~		ther			seanor	Lesser ()ffenses		Total	
COUNTY	Year		Percent	•	Percent			Percent			Percent	Number	Percent	Nuabe	r Fercent	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	211 292	29.0 36.5	47 64	6.5 8.0		164 228	22.5 28.5		434 416	59.6 52.0	83 92	11.4 11.5	72 B(
ERIE	1986 1985	762 820	27.4 29.9	242 246	8.7 9.0		520 574	18.7		1,508 1,527	54.2 55.8	510 391	18.3 14.3	2,78 2,73		
ESSEX	1984 1985	23 21	22.5 28.8	2	2.0 5.5		21 17	20.6 23.3		68 50	66.7 68.5	11 2	10.B 2.7	10		
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	; 37 37	37.0 39.9	8	8.4		31 29	30.5 31.0		" 61 " 51	61.0 53.7	2 7	2.0 7.4	i(0 100.0 5 100.0	
FULTON	1986 1985	41 64	31.8 37.9	13 13	10.1 7.7		28 51	21.7 30.2		81 97	62.8 57.4	 7 8	5.4 4.7	. 12 16		
GENESEE	1986 1985	45 69	42.1 52.7	10 18	9.3 13.7		35 51	32.7 38.9		- 54 62	50.5 47.3	0	7.5 .0	10 13		
GREENE	1986 1985	40 25	27.2 24.8	10 6	6.8 5.9			20.4 18.8	•	76 65	51.7 64.4	- 31 11	21.1 10.9	14		
HAMILTON	1906 1985	9	56.3 27.3	2	12.5		7	43.8 27.3			43.B 72.7	 0	.0	1	6 100.0 1 100.0	
HERKINER ,	1986 1985	32 32	23.4 28.3	· 7	5. ; 3. 5		25 26	18.2 24.8		89 70	65.0 61.9	1 <i>b</i>	11.7 9.7	13		
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	146 121	59.3 53.5	34 27	13.8 11.9		112 94	45.5 41.6		92 103	37.4 45.6	8 2	3.3 .9	24 22		
KINGS	1986 1985	6,363 5,545	34.3 31.8	3,254 2,953	17.5 16.9		3,109 2,592	16.8 14.9		6,579 6,559	35.5 37.å	5,608 5,336	30.2 30.6	18,55 17,44		
LEHIS	1986 1985	23 12	50.0 30.8	6 1	13.0 2.6	٠	17 11	37.0 28.2		19 26	41.3	4	8.7 2.6		6 100.0 9 100.0	
LIVINGSTON	1984 1985	88 45	57.1 47.9	17 10	11.0 10.6		71 35	46.1 37.2		-64 -47	41.6 50.0	2 2	1.3 2.1	!	4 100.0 4 100.0	

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

					Felony					-									
		Total			Violent				her		Misde	Misdemeanor		Lesser (Offenses		Total		
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent			Percent		Number	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Kunber	Percent	
HADISON	1786 1785	49 75	34.3 64.1		16 18	11.2 15.4		33 57	23.1 48.7		82 41	57.3 35.0		12 1	8.4		143 117	100.0 100.0	
HONROE	1986 1985	907 800	53.0 49.0		272 267	15.9 16.3		635 533	37.1 32.6		643 694	37.6 42.5		160 140	9.4 B.6		1,710	100.0 100.0	
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	50 66	44.6 74.2		7	6.3 7.9		43 59	38.4 66.3		56 20	50.0 22.5		6 3	5.4 3.4		112 89	100.0 100.0	
NASSAU	1986 1985 :	1,582 1,396	44.9 37.3		584 543	16.6 14.5		998 853	28.3 22.8		1,600 2,056	45.4 54.9		342 294	9.7 7.8		3,524 3,746	100.0	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	8,097 7,458	39.7 34.2		3,031 3,250	14.9 14.9		5,066 4,208	24.8 19.3		8,970 9,650	44.0 45.2		3,332 4,470	14.3 20.5		20,399 21,778	100.0 100.0	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	130 160	20.0 23.5		33 33	5.1 5.7	•	97 121	14.9 17.8	* ,	383 438	58.9 64.3		137 83	21.1 12.2		650 681	100.0 100.0	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	216 191	42.6 36.1		87 75	17.2 14.2		129 116	25.4 21.9		271 302	53.5 57.1		20 36	3.9 6.B		507 . 529	100.0	
ONONDAGA	1984 1985	887 795	60.2 58.3		261 248	17.7 18.2		626 547	42.5 40.1		511 496	34.7 36.4		76 72	5.2 5.3		1,474 1,363	100.0 100.0	
ONTARIO ,	1986 1985	199 149	73.4 73.8		33 34	12.2 16.8		166 115	61.3 56.9		47	25.5 23.3		. 6	3.0		271 202	100.0	
DRANGE	1986 1985	264 368	34.7 41.3		59 96	10.8		205 272	26.9 30.6		389 431	51.1 48.4		10B 91	14.2 10.2		761 890	100.0	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	54 65	43.1 69.1		14 16	17.0		42 49	32.3 52.1		71 25	54.6 26.6		3	4.3		130 94	100.0	
OSHEGO	1986 1985	112 108	45.2 44.3		19 28	11.5		93 80	37.5 32.8		126 124	50.8 50.8		10 12			248 244	100.0	
OTSE60	1986 1985	36 41	29.8 30.8		7	5.8 6.0		29 33	24.0 24.8		76 78	62.8 58.6		9	7.4 10.5		121 133	100.0 100.0	

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

						Felony			-					•				
			Total			olent		. 01	her		Nisde	seanor	•		seer Offenses		To	ital
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent		Nuøber	Percent		Kusber	Percent			Percent		Number	Percent
MANTUS	1986 1985	i 8	1.0 8.2		0 2	.0 2.1		i 6	1.0		72 73	69.9 75.3		30 16	29.1 16.5		103 · 97	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	4,886 3,396	53.1 43.9		1,767	19.2 16.6		3,119 2,115	33.9 27.3		3,00B 2,849	32.7 36.8		1,309 1,494	14.2 19.3		9,203 7,739	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	93 126	29.2 38.0		19 37	6.0 11.1		74 89	23.2 26.8		177 179	55.5 53.9		49 27	15.4 8.1		319 332	100.0 100.0
RICHMOND	1984 1985	281 266	28.0 27.5		125 138	12.5 14.3		158 128	15.5 13.2		473 497	47.1 51.4		250 204	24.9 21.1		1,004 967	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	.1986 1985	297 335	56.5 59.1		50 59	9.5 10.4		247 276	47.0 48.7		177 173	33.7 30.5		52 59	9.9 10.4	•	526 567	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	3	4.2		1 0	1.1		3	3.2 4.3		80 59	84.2 85.5		11 7	11.6		95 69	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	84 86	17.2 22.1		21 30	4.8 7.7		63 56	14.4 14.4		279 238	63.7 61.2		75 65	17.1 16.7		438 389	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	137 156	33.1 41.7		35 41	8.5 11.0		102 115	24.6 30.7		239 194	57.7 51.9		38 24	9.2 6.4		414 374	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE .	1984 1985	30 26	35.3 44.1		2 B	2.4 13.6		28 18	32.9 30.5	-	48 29	56.5 49.2		7	8.2 6.8		85 59	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1965	- 18 14	34.6 26.9		7	13.5 5.8		11 11	21.2 21.2		34 34	65.4 65.4		0	,0 7,7		52 52	100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	42 16	42.9 32.0		9	7.2 2.0		33 15	33.7 30.0		49 32	50.0 64.0		7 2	7.1 4.0		98 50	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	70 59	40.0 39.6		9 14	5.1 9.4		61 45	34.9 30.2	•	100 85	57.1 57.0		5 5	2.9 3.4		· 175 149	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,555 1,670	42.7 44.3		50S 570	13.9 15.1		1,050 1,100	28.9 29.1		1,417	39.0 36.7		666 719	18.3 19.1		3,638 3,774	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

					Felony													
			Total			Violent		Other			Misdemeanor			Lesser (]ffenses	. •	. To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent		Nusber	Percent		Nusber	Percent		Kuaber	Percent		Nusber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	117 126	32.5 37.6		26 19	7.2 5.7	•	91 107	25.3 31.9		198 166	55.0 49.6		45 43	12.5 12.8		360 335	100.0 100.0
TIOGA	1986 1985	55 46	47.4 48.9		7 9	6.0 7.6		4B 37	41.4 39.4		56 46	48.9		5 2	4.3 2.1		116 94	100.0 100.0
TOHPKINS	1984 1985	45 78	41.3 49.7		13 20	11.9 12.7		32 58	29.4 36.9		61 77	56.0 49.0		3 2	2.8 1.3		109 157	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1995	137 170	29.5 39.2		39 36	7.B 9.0		101 131	21.8 30.7		273 226	58.8 52.1	- -	54 36	11.5 8.8		464 434	100.0 100.0
HARREN	1986 1985	30 30	13. <i>6</i> 16.8		. ii 6	5.0 3.4		19 24	9.6 13.4		166 132	75.1 73.7		25 17	11.3 9.5		221 179	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	30 44	22.2 35.2		7 4	5.2 3.2		23 40	17.0 32.0		96 75	71.1 60.0		9 . 6	6.7 4.8		135 125	100.0 100.0
HAYNE	1984 1985	91 95	41.9		23 16	10.4 6.8		68 79	31.3 33.8		115 134	53.0 57.3		11 5	5.1 2.1		217 234	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,043 1,261	38.5 45.6		348 535	13.6		675 726	24.9 26.2		1,456 1,311	53.7 47.4		21 2 195	7.8 7.0		2,711 2,767	100.0 100.0
HADKING '	1984 1985	26 28	41.9		4 2	6.5 2.9		22 26	35.5 37.1		35 41	56.5 58.6		i 1	1.6		62 70	100.0 100.0
YATES	1786 1985	32 37	62.7 75.5			17.4 10.2	•	23 32	45.1 65.3		19 11	37.3 22.4		0	.0 2.0		51 49	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	35,690 32,269	39.3 36.2		13,671 13,264	15.1 14.9		22,019 19,025	24.3 21.3	-	39,198 39,976	43.2 44.8		15,850 16,942	17.5 19.0		90,738 89,207	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,564 20,980	39.4 34.3		10,426 9,806	16.7 16.0		14,138 11,174	22.7 18.3		25,134 25,932	40.3 42.4		12,720 14,203	20.4 23.2		62,418 61,115	100.0 100.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

[#] Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
ALBANY	1986	3.7	15.4	8.3	12.8	53.4	6.5	100.0
	1985	3.7	12.8	7.6	13.3	57.8	4.7	100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1.1 1.4	10.1 10.5	9.3 6.4	21.6 17.3	44.4 50.0	13.4 14.5	100.0
BRONI	1986	9.1	40.4	3.0	8.2	25.7	13.7	100.0
	1985	7.7	39.8	1.5	8.5	23.7	18.8	100.0
BROOKE	1984 1985	3.5 3.2	13.8 14.5	3.8 3.7	13.4 12.2	34.8 36.1	30.6 30.3	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986	1.2	12.6	2.i	9.3	64.6	10.2	100.0
	1985	1.4 :	14.7	1.9	7.1	64.4	10.4	100.0
CAYUGA	1986	6.2	19.3	3.7	5.3	46.0	19.3	100.0
	1985	3.1	17.4	3.9	3.9	47.7	24.0	100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1986	1.3	13.9	5.7	10.6	52.4	16.1	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.5	4.1	11.5	55.8	14.2	100.0
CHENUNG	1986	7.2	13.8	5.8	18.7	39.0	15.3	100.0
	1985	6.3	12.7	4.6	16.8	43.3	16.3	100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1.3 1.5	12.8 11.4	4.2 4.9	11.6 15.1	49.0 44.9	21.0 22.3	100.0
CLINTON	1986	2.7	13.0	2.0	13.4	52.6	16.3	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.6	2.1	7.7	62.4	13.3	100.0
COLUMBIA	1986	4.6	20.3	3.9	9.3	53.4	8.4	100.0
	1985	5.7	17.2	5.0	7.7	53.8	8.7	100.0
CORTLAND	1986	2.3	14.9	4.2	12.5	54.5	11.6	100.0
	1985	2.7	11.7	2.7	13.3	58.7	10.9	100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	• 1	12.4 9.5	3.6 4.4	9.9 8.8	52.1 55.7	21.5 21.0	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	1.9	15.9 14.8	4.3 5.5	11.5 11.1	57.3 59.7	9.1 6.8	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1984	3.1	17.5	3.3	13.5	40.7	21.9	100.0
	1985	3.1	18.3	2.6	13.5	40.1	22.3	160.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	1.2	8.8 7.2	2.1 1.9	7.4 8.6	61.8 62.9	18.8 17.5	100.0
FRANKLIN	1786	.9	13.6	5.2	7.7	58.1	14.5	100.0
	1785	1.9	13.3	3.9	13.0	49.8	18.1	100.0
FULTON	1986	3.8	12.8	5.4	10.1	47.8	20.1	100.0
	1985	5.0 :	13.2	5.3	12.3	49.6	14.6	100.0
BENESEE	1984	.4	6.6	9.4	18.1	54.8	10.6	100.0
	1985	3.2	8.0	8.0	21.8	49.3	7.6	100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	2.7	12.5 12.3	2.3 .7	7.5 9.0	65.4 67.7	9.6 6.4	100.0 100.0
HANILTON .	1986 1985	2.6 2.7	7.9 16.2	23.7	7.9 5.4	52.6 56.8	5.3 18.9	100.0 100.0
ERKIHER	1986	1.0	15.1	1.9	5.2	62.4	14.5	100.0
	1985	1.5	14.4	2.2	6.8	60.6	14.6	100.0
EFFERSON	1984	4.5	14.9	5.8	13.2	52.5	9.2	100.0
	1985	2.9	14.4	7.5	10.8	55.0	9.3	100.0
(INGS	1986	10.3	28.1	4.1	8.1	21.2	28.2	100.0
	1985	9.4	25.6	4.3	7.8	27.9	23.0	100.0
EXIS	1984	5.1	6.1	16.3	15.3	42.9	14.3	100.0
	1985	1.3	8.1	5.0	15.0	56.3	14.4	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986	2.0	10.3	5.2	9.4	54.0	17.0	100.0
	1985	1.2	7.2	6.8	7.8	58.3	14.6	100.0

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
MADISON	1988 1985	1.3	B.1 9.6	5.0 5.1	14.7 13.0	57.9 61.6	13.0 8.8	100.0 100.0
HONROE	1986 1985	6.3 5.4	16.3	5.9 4.0	14.3 14.9	33.3 38.6	23.8 21.4	100.0 100.0
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	1.7	10.6 7.8	2. <i>9</i> 4.6	10.3 8.2	59.7 59.1	14.8 16.4	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1784	6.2	17.0	6.1	17.6	31.3	21.8	100.0
	1985	4.2	16.9	6.3	18.3	31.1	23.3	100.0
NEW YORK	1984	5.9	62.3	1.1	4.7	7.7	18.4	100.0
	1985 \	5.2 :	57.5	.B	4.6	9.1	22.7	100.0
NIAGARA	1984 1985	2.2 2.2	20.2 22.0	2.4 2.8	10.7	50.1 48.8	14.5 13.3	100.0 100.0
DNEIDA	1984	4.3	15.6	3.5	9.9	53.0	13.7	100.0
	1985	3.2	16.5	3.7	11.8	52.7	12.1	100.0
DHDNDAGA	1986 1985	7.4 6.9	16.6 15.0	3.7 3.6	21.3 17.8	31.1 · 35.1	20.1 21.4	100.0
DHTARIO	1986	3.4	13.2	6.3	9.3	52.6	15.3	100.0
	1985	4.6	14.8	5.0	7.7	55.3	12.6	100.0
ORANGE	1984	3.1	12.9	4.3	11.7	60.5	7.5	100.0
	1985	3.5	13.1	5.1	14.1	55.9	8.3	100.0
ORLEANS	1984	7.6	13.5	8.7	17.7	36.1	14,4	100.0
	1985	6.5	11.6	14.8	17.0	34.7	15.5	100.0
OSNEGO	1986	2.6	10.7	7.1	12.0	53.4	14.2	100.0
	1985	2.1	13.8	5.9	10.1	57.9	10.1	100.0
OTSE60 ·	1986 1985	1.8 1.2	5.3 8.6	2.7 2.4	5.8 6.2	73.9 71.9	10.6 9.8	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Frison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
MANTUP	1984 1985	.0	5.1 7.2	1.1 1.1	11.3 10.8	79.3 77.7	3.2 2.6	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986	14.7	28.4	6.2	11.1	18.2	21.4	100.0
	1985	9.4	34.2	3.7	10.5	24.7	17.4	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986	2.0	18.3	2.3	9.9	52.2	15.4	100.0
	1985	2.3	14.2	3.3	13.1	53.1	13.9	100.0
RICHNOND	1986	7.3	24.8	1.7	11.6	23.1	31.5	100.0
	1985	5.6	21.4	1.7	13.0	32.5	25.8	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986	6.5	13.1	8.5	11.6	53.7	6.5	100.0
	1985	4.4 :	10.7	9.0	12.1	57.8	6.0	100.0
ST. LANRENCE	1986 1985	.2	6.6 5.9	1.4 1.2	4.6 4.6	62.5 61.3	24.7 26.8	100.0 100.0
SARATOSA	1986 1985	1.i i.2	12.2 9.6	1.6	5.7 5.6	70.2 74.1	9.2 8.3	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	2.6	17.3	3.6	11.6	54.1	10.7	100.0
	1985	2.7	19.0	2.0	12.8	54.6	8.8	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986	1.4	9.8	7.6	7.0	66.7	7.6	100.0
	1985	3.5	4.1	4.1	5.3	72.3	10.6	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986.	3.1	7.1	9.8	17.4	52.2	10.3	100.0
	1985	1.4	7.8	5.5	26.6	50.0	8.7	100.0
SENECA	1988	3.4°	9.5	5.6	7.0	48.0	26.5	100.0
	1985	1.0	11.3	5.1	8.2	53.6	20.8	100.0
STEUBEN	1984	1.4	11. <u>1</u>	4.6	9.B	60.5	12.7	100.0
	1985	2.3	10.9	4.8	10.&	54.3	17.1	100.0
SUFFOLK	1984	3.9	11.0	4.7	13.2	46.6	20.6	100.0
	1985	4.3	9.9	5.1	13.3	55.7	11.7	100.0

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
SULL IVAN	1986	2.7	23.5	3.9	13.2	44.4	12.3	100.0
	1985	3.5	19.7	4.1	13.9	47.0	11.8	100.0
TIDGA	1986	3.0	8.5	2.3	14.0	56.4	15.9	100.0
	1985	2.0	8.3	3.0	9.9	61.3	15.4	100.0
TOHPKINS	1986	2.0	6.1	1.0	8.8	61.3	20.8	100.0
	1985	3.5	7.7	2.6	15.0	47.1	24.1	100.0
ULSTER	1986	2.5	16.1	2.5	11.2	54.7	11.0	100.0
	1985	3.0	14.5	2.8	10.1	59.1	10.5	100.0
HARREN	1986 1985	1.0 .5 :	14.8 14.0	.B 1.9	6.4 6.2	61.2 60.0	15.8 17.4	100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	2.2 .3	12.8 12.1	3.3 3.2	8.4· 6.2	57.2 64.3	16.0 13.9	100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985	1.2	13.4 10.3	3.1 2.9	9.6 12.0	53.7 53.3	19.0 20.1	100.0 100.0
NESTCHESTER	1986 1985	4.4 6.9	24.3 16.5	5.0 4.4	14.3 15.4	41.9	10.1 9.8	100.0 100.0
RAOHINE	1986	2.0	8.1	6.6	22.3	53.8	7.1	100.0
	1985	5.1	.8.7	8.2	20.0	53.8	4.1	100.0
YATEŞ	1986	3.8	10.3	11.5	13.5	35.9	25.0	100.0
	1985	6.3	13.2	9.0	11.8	33.3	26.4	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	6.5 5.6	33.1 31.4	3.5 3.1	9.5 9.8	28.6 31.3	18.8 18.8	100.0
HEN YORK CITY	1986	8.5	46.3	2.7	6.9	15.2	20.4	100.0
	1985	7.0	44.5	2.0	7.2	17.7	21.5	100.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

			State i		-	Local		Local and Pr	Jail obation		ation	-	Fin			her	To	otal
COU	NTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Canv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Canv	Misd & Lesser Cony
ALB	ANY	1986 1985	50.2 58.3	NA NA		1.3 1.0	16.5 13.6	31.9 25.3	6.4 6.4	16.3 15.3	12.5 13.2		.0	57.4 61.8	.3	6.9 5.0	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ALL	EGANY	1984 1985	9.4 17.6	NA NA		9.4 5.9	10.2 10.8	31.3 29.4	6.4 4.4	43.8 47.1	18.4 14.8		3.1	50.0 54.2	3.1	14.8 15.8	100.0 100.0	
BRD	NX .	1986 1985	43.1 43.8	na na		15.3 16.8	47.1 44.7	13.4 7.2	.3	27.3 31.1	3.1 3.6		.2	32.5 28.8	.7	17.2 22.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
BRO	OKE	1986 1985	26.3 25.6	na Na	:	10.2 12.8	14.3 14.7	21.0 21.1	1.2	38.4 36.5	9.5 8.8		2.5	39.8 41.1	1.6 3.4	35. £ 34. 1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CAT	TARAUGUS	1986 1985	15.9 24.4	NA NA		15.9 17.1	12.4 14.6	14.3 19.5	1.1	52.4 39.0	5.8 5.2		1.6	69.7 68.3	.0	11.0	100.0	
CAY	UGA	1986 1985	49.4 35.6	na Na	•	19.8 13.7	19.3 17.7	21.0 35.6	1.3	9.9 13.7	4.6 3.0			52.7 52.2	.0 1.4	22.1 26.2	100.0 100.0	100.0
CHA	AUDUATU	1986 1985	16.5 26.1	NA NA		17.4 18.0	13.4 12.0	38.0 27.9	2.9	22.3 25.2	9.6 10.4		3.3 2.7	56.6 60.0	2.5	17.3 15.3	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHE	HUNG	1996 1985	39.5 36.0	NA NA		14.7 12.2	13.7 12.8	19.5 14.7	2.8 2.5	23.2 33.5	18.0 13.2	•	1.6 2.0	47.3 52.0	1.6	18.3 19.5	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHE	NANGO	1986 1985	38.9 39.1	NA NA		22.2 26.1	12.5 10.8	38.9 30.4	3.8 3.0	4.3	12.1 15.5		.0	50.8 46.7	.0	21.7 23.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CLI	HOTH	1986 1985	34.9 30.9	NA NA		19.0 14.5	12.5 12.4	19.0 18.2	.5 1.1	25.4 30.9	12.4		1.6 3.6	54.9 66.2	1.8	17.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
COL	LIBIA	1986 1985	60.5 59.4	NA NA		18.6 14.1	20.5 19.8	- 11.6 17.2	3.2 3.7	9.3 6.3	9.3 7.8		.0 3.1	58.0 59.1	.0 .0	9.1 9.6	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
COR	RTLAND	1986 1985	17.8 27.8	NA NA		23.3 11.1	13.7 11.8	21.9 18.1	1.6	32.9 40.3	9.5 10.4		.0	62.4 65.0	4.1 2.8	12.7 11.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DEL	AWARE	1986 1985	8.7 12.5	NA NA		13.0 16.7	12.4 9.1	43.5 33.3	1.6	17.4 33.3	9.5 7.5	•	.0 4.2	54.9 59.4	17.4	21.7 22.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS :

			State /		-	 Local		Local and Pro	bation			ation	-	Fia	es	 Ot	her			tal
COUNTY	Year		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser •Conv		Felony Cany	Misd & Lesser ' Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Misd & Lesser . Conv	•	Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv
DUTCHESS	1984 1985		20.5 20.6	NA NA		9.2 8.9	16.6 15.5	27.1 32.9	2.1 2.2		42.B 37.0	8.3 7.9		.0 .0	63.1 66.8	.4	10.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985		41.3 39.6	na Na		13.3 14.0	17.9 18.7	17.3 10.4	2.1 2.0		27.1 34.4	12.4 11.7		.5	44.0 43.4	.5 .8	23.6 24.1		100.0 100.0	100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985		20.0 36.4	NA NA		16.0 9.1	8.4 7.1	20.0 9.1	1.0 1.5		40.0 45.5	5.4 6.7		.0	65.6 66.3	4.0	19.7 18.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985		13.6 23.8	NA NA	:	13.6	13.6 13.5	43.2 21.4	2.3 2.4		29.5 40.5	6.0 10.6		.0	62.4 54.0	. 0 2, 4	15.6 19.5		100.0	100.0
FULTON	1988 1985		45.5 41.2	NA NA		11.4	12.9 13.0	29.5 25.0	3.1 2.6	÷	13.6 17.6	9.8 11.5		.0 1.5	52.2 56.3	.0	21.9 16.6		100.0	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1984 1985		4.3	AA NA	•	1.4 3.9	7.2 8.6	64.3 39.0	3.0		28.6 32.5	16.9 20.2		.0	61.2 56.8	1.4	11.7 11.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985		33.3 30.8	na Na		 13.3 26.9	12.4 11.4	24.4 3.8	.4		26.7 34.6	5.8 7.4		2.2 3.8	70.9 71.9	.0	10.5 8.9		100.0	100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985		11.1 33.3	NA NA		.0 66.7	10.3	77.8	6.9 .0		11,1	6.9 5.9		.0	69.0	0.	6.9 20.6		100.0 100.0	100.0
HERKIHER	1986 1985	٠	14.7 22.2	NA NA		26.5 22.2	14.3 13.9	26.5 19.4	1.0		23.5 30.6	. 3.9 5.1		8.8 5.6	66.1 64.5	.0	15.5 15.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	•	23.6 17.6	na Na		16.1 19.1	14.6 13.5	23.6 35.9	1.6 1.8		34.2 25.2	8.3 8.3		2.5 1.5	64.2 65.7	.0	11.4 11.0		100.0 100.0	100.0
KINGS	1986 1985		52.3 49.4	NA NA		9.7 8.7	32.6 29.6	17.1	.9		19.8	5.2 7.1		.8 1.0	26.2 34.2	4 .6	35.1 28.2		100.0	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985		21.7 16.7	NA NA		13.0 16.7	4.0 7.4	56,5 33,3	4.0 2.7		8.7 33.3	-17.3 13.5		.0	56.0 60.8	.0	18.7 15.5		100.0 100.0	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		18.0 17.7	NA NA		19.0 24.2	9.2 8.0	28.0 45.2	2.4 3.9		34.0 11.3	6.4 7.5	•	.0	60.8 62.7	1.0 1.6	21.3 17.8		100.0 100.0	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS *

		State I			Local				obation		ation		ines		her		Total
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Cony		Felony Conv	Nisd &	•	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felon Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Hisd & Lesser Conv
MADISON	1986 1985	13.6 21.6	NA NA		10.6 11.4	7.9 8.2		37.9 27.3	1.7	.36.4 37.5	12.5 9.1	•	63.8	1.5 2.3	14.2 9.8	100. 100.	
MONROE	1986 1985	35.3 35.9	HA NA		13.3 11.5	16.9 14.2		23.9 27.8	2.0 2.1	26.5 23.3	11.4			.9 1.1	28.8 25.0	. 100. 100.	
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	20.0 17.4	NA NA		16.4 11.6	10.1 9.6		23.6 34.8	1.0	38.2 31.9	7.7 5.3	1.		.0 1.4	16.1 18.2	100. 100.	
NASSAU	1986 1985	40.8 35.6	HA NA	:	13.4 14.5	17.6 17.3		25.5 25.3	2.5 3.7	17.8 22.6	17.6 17.7	 1. 1.		1.5 .7	25 .5 26.3	100. 100.	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	48.9 48.3	HA NA		20.2 20.8	68.0 62.0		7.9 6.3	.2	21.8 22.9	2.4 2.4			1.0 1.2	20.8 25.3	100.	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	47.4 38.5	- NA Na		17.0 24.3	20.3 21.9		5.2 3.6	2.3	27.4 33.1	9.9 9.6	 1.		- 1.5. .6	15.1 14.0	100.0 100.0	
DNEIDA	1986 1985	36.5 30.2	HA NA		12.4 16.3	- 16.0 16.5		22.B 23.7	.9	27.0 28.8	7.7 9.7		60.0 59.0	.4	15.4 13.5	100.	
ONDNDAGA	1986 1985	30.6 32.9	HA NA		14.2 18.6	17.3 14.1		11.8 12.9	1.1	39.6 33.5	15.4 13.7	-		3.1 1.8	25.5 26.6	100. 100.	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	18.3 26.8	NA NA		22.3 27.9	11.1 12.1		28.4 20.7	1.3	25.8 21.2	5.6 5.0	2. 1.		3.1 1.7	18.0 14.9	100. 100.	
ORANGE	- 1986 1985	30.3 28.0	NA NA		8.3 5.0	13.4 14.2		29.2 26.5	1.5	30.7 39.4	9.6 10.6	-	67.3 63.8	1.1	8.2 7.4	100. 100.	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	45.0 24.3	NA NA		6.7	14.9 15.3		25.0 41.9	5.4 4.9	23.3 31.1	17.0) 43.4) 47.3	.0 1.4	17.3 20.7	100.	
OSHEGO	1986 1985	23.7 20.0	KA KA		10.2 15.0	10.8		33.9 40.8	3.8 1.8	28.0 21.7	10.0	1.	B 59.9	3.4 .B	15.6 11.2	100. 100.	
018260	1986 1985	32.4 22.7	NA NA		8.1 11.4	5.1 8.4		27.0 34.1	1.4	29.7 29.5	4.6 5.0		77.9	2.7 2.3	11.0 10.2	100. 100.	

		State			Local	Jail		l Jail obation		Probi	tion		Fin	es	ot.	her	-	Tat	tal
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Cany	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	-	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Kisd & Lesser Conv
PUTNAN	1784 1785	.0 44.4	NA NA		.0	5.2 7.3	.0	1.1		100.0 55.6	11.1 10.2		.0	79.4 78.8	.0	3.2 2.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	49.8 45.8	NA NA		7.3 9.8	37.3 40.5	19.3 15.2	.7		22.6 27.2	6.2 6.2		.7 1.3	25.5 30.8	. 4	30.3 21.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	27.5 25.4	NA NA	·	16.7 14.5	18.4 14.2	13.7 15.9	1.4 2.0		42.2 43.5	7.4 10.0		.0	54.2 58.5	.0	16.6 15.4		100.0 100.0	100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	57.6 46.1	HA NA	:	3.B 8.2	27.9 23.2	12.4 11.2	.2		25.2 31.2	9.7 10.5		.7 2.2	26.3 36.7	.3 1.1	36.0 29.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1985 1985	34.0 24.B	NA NA		8.3 2.6	14.3 12.4	29.5 37.6	3.5 2.8		27.9 34.8	7.B 7.1		.0	66.4 70.5	.0	8.1 7.2		100.0 100.0	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1984 1985	50.0 25.0	na Na	•	.0	6.6	50.0 50.0	1.3 1.0	•	.0	4.6 4.6		.0 25.0	62.7 61.5	.0	24.8 26.9		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SARATOSA	1986 1985	25.5 29.8	na Na		20.4 26.6	11.9	28.6 18.1	.4		24.5 25.5	4.9 4.8		1.0	73.3 77.1	.0	9.6 8.7		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	32.6 25.9	NA NA		11.6 12.7	17.8 19.8	23.9 13.3	1.9		31.2 46.4	9.9 8.9		1.2	58.8 60.9	.6	11.6		100.0	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	14.7 42.9	HA HA		17.6 10.7	9.0 3.5	55. 9 35. 7	2.7 1.3		11.8	6.6 4.8		.0	73.4 78.8	Q.	8.4 11.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	35.0 21.4	na Na		.0 14.3	7.8 7.4	45.0 57.1	6.4 2.0		20.0 7.1	17.2 27.9		.0	57.4 53.4	.0	11.3		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	25.0 13.0	na Na		16.7 13.0	8,4 11.1	31.3 40.9	1.6		22.9 13.0	4.5 7.8		2.1	55.2 58.1	2.1	30.3 22.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	13.6 23.2	NA NA		11.1	11.1	38.3 40.6	.8 .9		34.6 15.9	7.0 10.0		2.5	66.9 60.2	.0 1.4	14.1 18.9		100.0	100.0
SUFFOLK	1985 1985	29.3 30.8	NA NA		10.2 12.0	11.2 9.6	25.9 25.8	1.4 1.8		24.5 21.9	11.4		4.7 4.8	53.1 63.9	5.5 4.6	22.9 12.9		100.0	100.0 100.0

TALLE 6D

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS #

				Prison		Local			Local and Pro	station	-	Prob		 Fin	<u>:</u>		Other	-	Tot	tal
	COUNTY	Year 	Felon Conv	Nisd : Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Cony	r fe	l ony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Cany	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felor Conv	Misd & y Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv
	SULLIVAN	1986 1985	25.0 31.			18.2 12.0	24.4 20.6		21.3	1.8		36.0 30.3	10.4 11.7	1.5	49.6 52.6		0 13.8 7 13.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	T106A	1986 1985	23.0 20.			4.9	9.0 7.6		9.8 24.5	1.2 .7		60.7 34.7	7.1 7.2	1.6 4.1	64.5 67.6	2.	0 18.2 0 16.9		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	TOHPKINS	1986 1985	25. 27.			5.6 6.9	6.1 7.9		5.6 13.7	.6 1.0		51.9	5.2 10.4	5.6	66.0 54.0	5. 5.			100.0 100.0	100.0
	ULSTER	1986 1985	30. 26.			13.7 10.2	16.4 15.1		19.6 17.8	.9		34.6 44.7	9.0 5.6	1.3 .5	61.7 66.7		7 11.9 5 11.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
B-68	WARREN	1984 1985	33. 18.			18.2 30.3	14.7		15.2 27.3	.4 1.1		33.3 24.2	5.6 5.7	.0	63.1 61.9		0 16.3 0 17.9		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	WASHINGTON	1986 1985	35. 4.			23.5 43.8	12.1 9.3		14.7 12.5	2.5 2.4		26.5 39.6	7.2 3.3	.0	61.1 69.9		0 17.0 0 15.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	HAYNE	1986 1985	12. 13.			18.1 17.1	12.9 9.7		26.0 24.8	.6		38.6 41.9	6.5 9.0	4.7	59.0 58.6		0 21.0 7 22.1		100.0 100.0	100.0
	WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	31. 40.			9.7 5.2	26.6 18.9		25.4 17.2	1.7 1.8		32.5 36.8	11.3	.5	48.6 56.7		1 11.7		100.0 100.0	100.0
	HAOHINE	1986 1985	13. 30.			20.0 15.2	6.0 7.4		26.7 24.2	3.0 4.7		40.0 27.3	19.2 18.5	.0 3.0	63.5 64.2		0 8.4 0 4.9		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	YATES	1786 1785	17. 23.			11.4 12.8	7.9 13.3		42.9 25.6	2.5 2.9		28.6 35.9	9.1 2.9	.0 2.6	46.3 44.8		0 32.2 0 36.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	NEW YORK STA	TE1986 1985	43. 41.			13.3 13.9	36.6 34.0		17.2 15.6	1.1		24.4 26.6	6.9 7.2	 .8	33.5 36.0	i. 1.			100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	NEW YORK CIT	Y 1986 1985	48. 47.			13.7 14.8	53.1 49.7		13.7 11.4	.4		22.6 25.0	3.6 4.1	.5	18.3 20.7		7 24.6 9 25.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

								INVESTI	GATIONS				P	RE-01	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	SION CASI	ES RECEIVED	
		INTA	(Ε #			Tota		Family		Criminal	Court			Tota		Family (ourt	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year 		Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rai		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	441.1 456.2	49 50			1,159.5 1,084.7	9 9	196.5 206.4		963.0 878.2	8			lB.1 14.8	11 17	15.2 20.8	23 - 21	202.9 94.1	17 17
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,196.0 903.7	20 32			475.2 396.8	56 58	108.9	56 56	366.3 326.1	54 54			.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
BRONX	1986 1985	523.5 643.2	45 42			637.8 602.7	41 42	91.3 86.7	50 50	546.5 514.0	32 33			06.4 04.0	17 19	104.6	. 5 5	1.8	35 35
BRODNE	1986 1985	1,364.3 1,388.1	15 12			889.5 919.8	19 12	256.2 291.8		633.3 627.9	26 20			1.9	50 51	1.9 1.9	43 40	.0	42 42
CATTARAUBUS	1986 1985	272.0 364.4	59 54			582.8 479.3	46 52	171.2 169.9	2å 24	411.5 309.4	50 56			7.0 10.5	- 45 46	3.5 1.2	41 42	3.5 9.4	30 30
CAYUGA	1986 1985	563.2 632.4	43 43			455.6 398.2	57 56	102.6 81.4		352.9 316.8	55 55			1.3	51 52	.0	44 44	.0 1.3	40 40
СНАЦТАЦВИА	1986 1985	881.2 854.2	36 35			883.3 805.7	21 21	175.4 205.9	19 19	707.9 599.7	19 21			6.5 3.2	15 13	34.2 45.8	18 12	122.3 107.5	14 - 14
СНЕНИНО	1986 1985	2,223.2 1,796.9	3		-	1,230.9	8 7	253.0 %33.7		977.9 962.0	7 4			70.7 32.6	23 14	3.3 2.2	42 38	67.4 130.4	, 10 10
CHENANGO	1986 1985	288.0 264.2	56 59			728.0 820.6	35 20	74.0 76.1	55 55	654.0 744.6	24 15			10.0 50.0.	. 32 35	40.0 30.0	14 17	.0	42 42
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,124.4 1,107.0	23 24			848.5 825.0	27° 19	315.3 447.5		533.3 377.6	34 47			6.2 14.7	46 42	4.9	39 28	1.2 3.7	34 34
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	285.0 354.8	57 55			482.7 551.1	55 47	133.4 80.9		349.3 470.3	56 40			.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0 .0	42 42
CORTLAND	1986 1985	3,067.5 2,861.2	2 2	•		1,042.2 1,078.2	10 10	213.1 236.3		829.1 841.9	13 10			.0	51 53	.0	- 44 44	.0 .0	42 42
DELÁMARE	1986 1985	1,817.4 1,546.2	5 7			626.3 443.6	41 55	208.1 87.4		418.3 356.2	49 50			29.3 19.7	9	229.3 219.7	3 2	.0	42 42

TABLE 7A-1

•								INVESTIE	SATIONS				PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVI	SION CASES	RECEIVED) .
		INTAI	Œŧ			Tota	1	Family (Criminal	Court		Tota		Family (Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,066.2	27 26			576.3 632.3	47 41	139.4 126.2	37 37	436.9 506.1	47 34		69.7 50.2	24 27	40.9 36.8	13 15	28.8 13.3	27 27
ERIE	1986 1985	585.6 550.8	42 48		•	530.0 580.6	52 45	79.9 110.8	42 42	450.1 469.8	46 41		.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
ESSEX	1986 . 1985	953.2 1,056.0	32 28			347.1 316.2	61 59	88.2 101.7	46 46	259.0 214.5	61 60		13.8 16.5	42. : 40	11.0 13.8	30 23	2.8 2.8	37 37
FRAKKLIN	1986 1985	1,655.3 1,705.8	. 7 5			1,470.3 1,438.6	4	258.0 244.3	11 11	1,212.3	. 3		52.5 45.7	29 30	13.7 4.6	25 35	38.8 41.1	22 22
FULTON	1986 1985	382.8 296.0	51 57			571.4 649.7	50 39	230.8 166.0	28 28	340.7 483.7	57 38		12.8 16.2	43 41	12.8 16.2	28 22	.0	42 42
BENESEE	1986 1985	1,197.3 1,436.0	. 19 9			1,275.5 1,136.3	7 8	202.4 171.0	25 25	1,073.1 965.2	4 5		226.2 164.3	10 12	13.6 5.1	26 33	212.6 159.2	9
GREENE	1986 1985	1,311.2 1,138.0	17 23			420.4 294.1	59 61	85.5 50.6	60 60	. 334.9 243.5	59 58		235.2 4.8	8 49	4.8 4.8	40 34	230.4	42 42
HAHILTON	1986 1985	20.0 20.1	62 62			360.0 140.4	60 62	20.0 20.1	62 62	340.0 120.4	58 62		.0	51 53	.0 .0	44 44	.0	42 42
HERKINER	1986 1985	191.3 173.3	61 61			693.1 484.2	38 50	301.9 245.1	10 10	381.2 239.1	.53 59		34.4 6.0	37 48	6.0 3.0	34 37	28.4 3.0	36 36
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	1,985.7 1,793.8	4			856.5 746.2	26 28	68.4 63.2	57 57	788.1 683.0	15 18		.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
KINGS	1986 1985	443.6 608.6	48 44			546.8 521.7	51 48	70.4 61.9	58 58	476.4 459.8	- 41 43		82.6 68.5	19 25	78.4 62.5	7 · 8	4.2 6.0	32 32
LEHIS	1986 1985	561.3 556.3	44 45			628.5 480.8	42 51	162.1 123.2	39 39	466.4 357.6	44		55.3 123.2	28 15	7.9 7.9	32 30	47.4 115.2	12 12
LIVINESTON	1986 1985	911.3 756.1	38 38			762.8 764.6	31 26	143.3 166.3	27 27	619.5 598.3	27 22		47.8 25.7	3B 30	47.8 24.0	10 20	.0 1.7	39 39

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							INVESTIE	SATIONS				PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED		
		INTAKE	4		Tota	1	Family (Court	Criminal	Court		Tota	1	Family C	ourt	Criminal	Court	
COUNTY	Year	Rate R	ank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
MADISON	1986 1985	1,439.9	10 13		807.8 674.2	30 35	151.7 131.8	35 35	656.2 542.4	22 28		60.1 64.4	26 26	.0 1.5	44 4 L	60.1 62.9	19 19	
HONROE	1986 1985	710.B 651.7	39 41		647.9 594.9	40 43	117.7 130.8	36 36	530.2 464.1	35 42		37.3 38.2	34 33	35.7 38.2	17 14	1.5	42 42	
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	335.9 297.0	53 56		717.9 580.7	36 44	255.3 211.3	17 17	462.6 369.3	45 48		5.8 13.3	47 43	5.8 13.3	35 24	.0	42 42	
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,412.7 1,414.1	11	•	1,038.9	12 11	160.8 177.6	23 23	878.0 894.3	11 7		316.6 269.3	4	5.2 6.2	37 32	311.4 263.1	3	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	279.8 402.0	58 53		950.4 831.5	15 18	48.6 49.7	18 . 18	901.8 781.9	10 11		78.3 99.3	20 20	47.3 53.0	11 - 7	31.1 46.3	21 21	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	724.3 962.2	38 30		616.4 667.5	44 36	136.5 147.3	. 31 31	479.9 520.1	39 32		.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	725.6	37 37		900.2 742.2	18 29	203.2 163.9	29 29	697.0 578.3	20 - 27		70.8 29.1	22 36	5.4 2.0	36 39	65.2 27.1	24 24	
ONDNDAGA	1986 1985	986.8 1,246.0	18 30		1,648.5	3	203.8 229.7	14 14	1,444.7	2		241.6 241.8	. 7	95.4 116.9	6 4	146.2 124.9	11 11	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	506.5 726.3	47 38		885.0 798.8	20 22	104.1 110.4	43 43	780.9 688.4	16 17	· • .	5.4 10.B	48 45	.0	44 44	5.4 10.8	28 28	
ORANGE	1986 1985	1,040.5	28 14		696.B 691.7	37 33	85.6 111.0	-41 -41 -	611.3 580.8	28 26		117.9 110.8	16 18	.0	44	117.9 110.6	13 13	
ORLEANS	1984 1985	1,095,1	25 25		827.8 656.8	29 37	82.3 76.6	54 54	745.5 590.2	18 23		213.4 115.0	12 16	5.1 10.2	38 29	208.2 104.8	16 16	
OSHE60	1986 1985	591.1 551.5	41 47		1,320.2 1,359.8	. 6 5	278.4 252.6	9	1,041.8	5 4		575.3 183.0	1 11	382.1	44	193.1 183.0	6 6	
OTSE80	1986 1985	907.1 883.9	34 39		432.4 449.7	58 54	128.4 153.3	30 30	304.1 296.5	60 57		.0 203.8	51 9	.0	44 44	.0 203.8	5 5	

				INVESTIGATIONS		PRE-DISPOSITION	SUPERVISION CASES	RECEIVED
		INTAKE *	Total	Family Court	Criminal Court	Total	Family Court	Criminal Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
PUTNAK	1986 1985	963.2 31 882.6 33	574.8 4B 496.9 49	78.4 47 96.4 47	496.3 38 400.5 46	29.4 38 38.3 32	29.4 19 38.3 13	.0 42 .0 42
QUEENS	1986 1985	335.9 54 446.1 52	492.5 54 397.4 57	65.0 59 53.3 59	427.5 48 344.0 52	74.0 21 76.9 23	60.9 B	5.1 31 7.1 31
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,239.9 18 1,048.5 29	760.7 32 725.5 31	226.1 21 189.0 21	534.6 33 536.5 29	88.3 18 74.7 24	.0 44 3.3 36	88.3 18 71.4 18
RICHHOND	1986 1985	339.0 52 553.1 46	315.5 62 311.9 60	110.5 45 104.0 45	205.0 62 207.8 61	16.6 40 28.1 37	16.6 22 28.1 19	.0 42 .0 42
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	: 638.2 40 677.1 40	675.1 39 639.4 40	106.1 40 112.4 40	569.0 30 527.1 31	360.7 2 416.6 1	232.4 2 240.9 1	128.2 8 175.7 B
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,719.9 6 1,413.4 11	1,039.0 11 871.2 14	132.1 49 90.8 49	906.9 9 780.3 12	195.9 13 191.3 10	18.6 21 13.2 25	177.3 7 178.1 7
SARATOGA	1986 1985	897.0 35 858.3 34	732.5 34 707.3 32	255.3 15 213.5 15	477.1 40 493.8 38	55.5 27 84.8 21	13.4 27 35.9 16	42.0 20 48.9 20
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,352.9 16 1,238.5 21	860.9 25 765.0 25	182.4 24 177.3 24	678.4 21 587.7 24	273.6 5 231.8 7	12.6 29 11.3 27	261.0 4 220.5 4
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	291.9 55 275.2 58	610.7 45 476.5 53	140.9 33 137.6 33	469.8 43 338.9 53	3.4 49 10.1 47	.0 44	3.4 29 10.1 29
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	4,092.0 1 3,460.7 1	1,362.1 · 5 1,227.8 · 6	356.3 5 371.2 5	1,005.7 & 856.6 9	11.5 44 11.4 44	.0 44 11.4 26	11.5 42 .0 42
SENECA	1986 1985	1,021.8 29 1,242.2 20	878.5 23 729.4 30	376.9 6 299.1 6	501.6 36 430.3 44	183.8 14 280.8 3	9.3 31 .0 44	174.5 2 280.8 2
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,091.B 26 1,062.2 27	922.6 17 780.8 23	267.3 20 196.2 20	655.3 23 584.5 25	247.7 6 263.0 5	156.9 4 156.2 3	90.8 15 106.8 15
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,170.8 21 1,299.1 17	2,105.6 i 2,376.4 i	129.3 38 126.2 38	1,976.3 1 2,270.3 1	44.4 31 50.0 28	44.4 12 - 50.0 10	.0 42 .0 42

						INVESTI	GATIONS					SPOSITION	SUPERVI	SION CASE	S RECEIVED)
		INTAKE	. t	Tota		Family (Court	Criminal			Tota	il -	Family (Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate F	lank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Pank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	1,109.3 958.6	24 31	1,033.5 869.8	13 15	166.2 94.7	48 48	867.3 775.1	12 13		.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,402.0 1,308.1	12 16	845.1 859.5	28 17	345.1 430.7	<u>3</u>	500.0 428.8	37 45		35.3 43.7	36 31	23.5 29.8	20 18	11.8 13.9	
TOMPKINS	1984 1985	50B.0 536.6	46 49	1,006.8 888.3	14 13	245.4 140.7	32 32	761.4 747.6	17 14		332.2 331.3	3 2	8.8 1.1	33 - 43	325,3 330,1	1
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,373.9 1,140.0	14 22	930.0 774.2	16 24	126.7 105.6	44	803.3 808.6	14 19		23.1 33.0	39 34	.0	44 44	23.1 33.0	23 23
WARREN	1984 1985	1,538.7 1,450.4	8	868.5 868.1	24 16	396.4 384.6	4	472.1 483.5	42 39		36.0 48.5	3 5 29	36.0 46.7	16 11	.0 1.8	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	1,149.4	22 15	572.9 569.1	49 46	184.5 213.2	16 16	388.4 355.9	51 51		14.1	41 53	14.1	24 44	.0	
WAYNE	1986 1985	1,517.0 1,594.5	9	881.8 753.8	22 27	295.5 258.1	8	586.4 495.7	29 35		.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,389.6 1,244.5	13 19	1,494.4 1,608.9	3 2	843.5 895.1	i 1	651.0 713.7	25 16		66.6 84.3	25 22	66.6 84.1	9 6	0 .2	41 41
HYORING	1986 1985	254.9 216.3	60 60	492.6 661.3	53 38	110.3 132.8	34 34	382.4 528.6	52 30		39.2 22.1	39	36.8 7.4	15 31	2,5 14.8	25 25
YATES	1986 1985	419.8 456.1	50 51	754.7 674.9	33 34	198.1 181.5	22 22	556.6 493.3	31 37		.0 4.7	51 50	.0	44 44	.0 4.7	33 33
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985	778.9 836.7	NA NA -	878.6 863.4	NA NA	155.0 156.4	NA NA	723.5 707.0	NA NA		101.0	KA NA	49.8 47.9	NA Na	51.2 47.1	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	389.4 526.0	NA -	617.6 554.2	NA NA	70.1 63.7	NA NA	547.6 490.5	NA NA		80.0	NA NA	70.7 67.0	NA NA	9.3 13.8	KA NA

^{*} Intake occurs only in family court.

NOTE: Orasatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increase as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

		÷ .	PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ION CASE	ES RECEIVED						ING THE YEAR	•	UNDEF	R PROBAT	CA ION SUPERV	ISES ISION ON	DECEMBER	31	
		Tota	al 	Family (ourt	Criminal		Tota	al ·	Family (Criminal		Tota		Family [Court	Criginal	Court	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank -	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
ALBANY	198 <u>4</u> 1985	420.3 387.2		71.6 50.4	13 26	348.6 336.8	3 4	1,267.8 1,131.5	4 5	132.3 88.1	13 28	1,135.5	. 4	908.6 846.2	4 5	63.2 60.6	14	845.4 785.6	5 5	
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	273.3 169.0		51.5 29.5	27 48	221.8 · 139.5	31 53	651.5 528.5	50 57	73.3 58.9	42 48	578.2 469.5	48 55	483.2 375.2	45 55	37.6 21.6	41 54	445.5 353.6	46 53	
BRONX	1986 1985	322.6 300.7	12 16	22.1 20.4	-58 55	300.4 280.4	7 B	1,069.4 995.4	. 8 	42.9 45.4	60 57	1,026.5 950.0	8 7	818.4 752.9	7	23.2 21.0	54 55	795.2 731.8	- 1 <mark>7</mark>	
BROOME	1986 1985	293.6 292.3		50.3 57.9	18 30	243.4 234.4	19 21	951.6 916.0	14	107.2 125.2	23 11	844.4 790.B	14 15	700.2 657.1	15 14	61.2 60.7	17 15	639.0 596.4	17 15	
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	216.9 186.3		45.7 43.4	34 33	171.2 143.0	52 51	568.6 486.3	58 58	92.6 72.7	30 39	476.0 413.7	57 59	 405.6 351.6	58 57	49.2 46.9	20 20	354.4 304.7	57 59	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	137.7 146.5	61 58	26.3 26.3	55 50	111.4 120.2	61 58	404.5	62 62	50.1 55.1	57 52	356.7 349.4	62 62	286.6 269.2	62 62	17.5 23.8	57 50	269.1 245.4	62 61	
CHAUTAUQUA	1984 1985	277.4 275.3		43.3 54.1	38 22	234.1 221.2	22 28	761.0 695.0	36 40	98.5 102.4	29 22	662.5 582.4	34 44	529.0 479.B	39 39	53.8 54.8	24 21	475.2 425.0	37 42	
CHENUNG	1986 1985	418.8 380.5		103.9 108.7	2	314.9 271.8	5 10	1,404.4 1,292.5	2 2	223.2 191.3	2	1,181.2 1,101.2	3	1,048.6 969.6	2	119.3 117.4	2	929.3 852.2	2	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	350.0 320.2	11	72.0 44.0	31 3	258.0 276.2	16	842.0 718.5		126.0 86.1	17 29	716.0 - 632.5	29 32	528.0 492.4	39 37	56.0 34.0	21 39	472.0 458.3	40 33	
CLINTON	1986 1985	259.9 185.1	49	22.2 33.1	57 44	237.7 152.0	20 49	863.3 755.2		57.9 77.2	51 36	805.4 677.9	19 27	703.2 600.7	23	20.9 35.6	55 37	482.3 565.2	10 19	
COLUHBIA	1986 1985	248.8 260.7	30	64.3 54.5	17 21	184.5 206.3	45 31	764.4 704.6		126.9 108.9	15 19	637.6 595.7	40 39	561.8 518.1	34 32	72.5 64.4	12	489.3 453.8	36 35	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	284.9 293.3		57.0 50.6	23 24	230.0 242.7	23 18	890.3 877.B		101.3 84.4	- 27 30	789.0 793.4	21 14	628.7 603.5	22 21	44.3	34 32	584.4 559.2	20 20	
DELAVARE	1986 1985	191.1 183.4		38.2 27.7	43 49	152.9 155.7	55 47	588.1 563.0	56 54	61.4 55.5	48 51	526.5 507.6	54 51	437.4 398.8	54 52	31.8 23.5	45 51	405.5 375.4	51 50	

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			PROBATI	IN SUPERVI	SION CASE	ES RECEIVED			UNDER	R PROBATI		ASES SION DUR	ING THE YEAR		UNDE	R PROBAT	C ION SUPER	ASES VISION ON	DECENSER	t 31
		Tot		Family 1		Criminal			Tota		Family (Criminal	Court	Tot		Family		Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	236.9 284.2		42.1 50.6	40 25	194.7 233.6	41 23		808.8 807.5	30 24	88.8 115.6	18 33	720.0 691.9	2B 25	588.4 571.5		50.2 42.7	28 35	538.2 528.8	27 23
ERIE	1984 1985	278.8 276.0		51.3 44.3	29 30	227.3 231.7	26 24		723.2 670.5	41 43	100.5 81.0	28 32	622.7 589.5	43 42	435.7 443.1		52.8 50.4	25 26	382.9 392.6	53 48
ESSEX	1986 1985	159.8 140.2		33.1 30.2	48 47	126.7 110.0	59 60		506.9 475.7	59 59	55.1 49.5	52 55	451.8 426.2	58 58	371.9 346.5		27.5 22.0	49 53	344.4 324.5	50 55
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	326.5 317.4		73.1 54.8	10 20	253.4 262.6	17 12		945.2 874.6	15 15	118.7 121.0	20 17	826.5 753.5	17 18	682.6 628.0		47.9 54.8	31 20	634.7 573.2	18 18
FULTOX	1986 1985	260.1 301.4		42.1 34.3	39 42	217.9 267.1	33 11		934.1 860.8	16 17	69.6 46.9	46 56	864.5 813.9	12 11	670.3 664.1		29.3 27.1	47 47	641.0 637.1	16 13
GENESEE	1984 1985	394.6 425.0		59.5 47.7	21 8	335.0 357.3	2		1,331.6	3	120.7 93.1	19 26	1,210.9	2 -	979.6 941.5	3	62.9 69.4	15 9	916.7 872.1	3 2
GREENE	1986 1985	249.4 137.4		57.0 36.2	22 40	192.4 101.3	42 61		622.3 576.2	51 52	71.3 72.3	43 41	551.1 503.9	51 52	415.7 378.5	57 54	42.8 14.5	36 62	372.9 364.1	54 51
HANILTON	1984 1985	320.0 120.4		20.0 20.1	59 57	300.0 100.3	8 62	*	600.0 682.0	55 41	60.0 B0.2	50 34	540.0 601.8	53 37	460.0 280.8		20.0 40.1	56 36	440.0 240.7	4B 62
HERKINER	1986 1985	174.9 165.9		65.8 38.9	16 35	109.1 127.0	62 56		578.5 567.9	57 53	159.9 134.5	5 9	418.5 433.4	60 56	451.4 403.5		125.6 94.1	4 -	325.9 309.3	60 57
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	333.3 317.2		71.7 73.4	11 6	261.6 243.8	14 17		870.9 847.8	23 20	136.9 124.2	11 12	734.0 723.6	26 22	577.3 549.8		56.3 66.6	20 11	521.0 483.2	28 29
KINGS	1986 1985	246.7 247.4		27.4 19.8	53 58	219.3 227.6	32 25		977.3 910.7	11 12	46.6 39.9	58 59	930.8 870.8	10 10	744.1 734.6		24.3 20.1	52 58	719.7 714.5	8
LEWIS	1986 1985	213.4 202.1		39.5 43.7	42 32	173.9 159.0	51 45		691.7 786.8	46 27	102.8 155.0	26 5	588,9 631,8	47 33	502.0 480.8		47.4 63.6	32 14	454.5 417.2	44 45
LIVINGSTON	198 6 1985	353.1 282.5		56.3 24.0	24 53	294.9 258.9	9 13		1,063.1 970.4	9 9	78.5 56.6	38 50	984.6 913.8	9 9	723.5 709.8		54.6 18.9	23 59	668.9 690.9	14 10

	• •		PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ilon cas	ES RECEIVED		UNDER	PROBATI		ASES SION DUR	ING THE YEAR	ŧ		UNDER	PROBATI	CA ION SUPERV	SES ISION ON	DECENBER	31
		Tota	ıl	Family (Court	Criminal	Court	Tota		Family (Criminal	Court	•	Tota		Family C	ourt	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1986 1985	310.8 257.7	16 33	31.5 24.0	51 54	279.3 233.7	11 22	785.3 686.2	33 39	52.6 58.4	56 49	732.7 627.8	27 - 34		405.1 473.5	25 41	25.5 21.0	50 56	579.6 452.5	21 36
KOHRDE	1984 1985	319.0 289.0	- 14 20	32.5 37.9	49 37	286.5 251.1	10 15	952.6 829.8	13 23	78.4 75.2	39 38	874.2 754.6	11 17		661.0 631.6	20 17	40.7 45.8	40 31	620.3 585.8	19 17
HONTGONERY	1984 1985	228.4 241.8	49 37	71.0 59.0	14 16	157.4 182.8	54 42	756.2 694.9	37 38	126.7 108.5	16 20	629.6 586.4	41 43		566.2 523.5	33 31	51.8 55.2	27 19	514.4 468.3	31 32
NASSAU	1986 1985	340.1 363.1	. ያ	35.4 45.4	46 29	304.7 317.7	6 5	1,171.6	7	88.1 102.2	34 24	1,083.4 918.9	6 8		899.6 826.3	5	42.9 52.4	35 23	856.7 773.9	4 6
NEW YORK	1984 1985	213.4 207.3	52 44	17.0 18.3	60 59	196.4 188.9	40 38	881.1 849.7	22 18	37.3 38.0	62 61	843.8 811.6	15 12		686.3 666.9	16 12	12.7 20.3	61 57	673.6 646.7	11 12
· NIAGARA	1984 1985	276.2 278.5	27 24	39.6 38.8	41 - 36	234.5 239.7	21 19	723.8 654.5	40 46	69.6 62.4	45 44	654.2 592.2	38 41		459.7 448.5	50 47	24.9 30.0	51 45	434.8 418.5	50 44
ONEIDA	1984 1985	257.9 219.4	3B 42	49.5 36.3	31 39	208.5 183.1	38 41	766.2 738.2	34 32	77.3 72.6	40 40	688.9 665.6	32 28		534.4 503.7	37 35	37.4 27.5	42 46	499.0 476.2	35 31
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	313.5 282.4	15 23	48.1 47.1	32 28	265.3 235.3	13 20	868.7 783.3	24 29	91.3 81.0	31 31	777.4 702.3	22 24		608.4 552.7	24 28	44.9 43.0	33 34	563.5 509.8	23 26
ONTARIO	1986 • 1985	303.7 263.0	18 29	59.7 57.4	20 19	244.0 205.7	18 32	806.7 740.4	31 31	107.4 92.0		699.6 648.4	31 30		569.4 502.2	39 20	61.8 47.6	. 16 29	507.6 454.6	33 34
DRANGE	1986 1985	305.6 345.9	17 7	44.7 48.6	36 27	260.9 297.2	15 6	899.5 873.3	19 16	132.4 122.9	12 13	767.1 750.5	23 19		641.1 602.7	21 22	88.7 89.0	6 5	552.4 513.8	25 25
DRLEANS	1984 1985	262.2 321.9		51.4 30.7	28 46	210.8 291.3	35 7	1,179.9 1,126.7	6	102.8 71.5		1,077.1 1,055.2	- 7 - 5		706.9 909.6	12 4	12.9 48.5	60 2B	861.0	2
· OSWEGO	1984 1985	284.0 258.5	31	61.9 67.1	. 9	224.1 191.4	30 36	798.5 737.8	32 - 33	128.8 137.7		669.7 600.1	3B - 33		544.3 514.5	36 33	71.1 67.1	11 10	473.2 447.4	38 38
OTSEGO *	1986 1985	135.1 158.3	62 56	1.7 15.2		133.4 143.2	58 50	665.5 672.1	49 42	54.1 59.0	55 47	611.5 613.1	35		486.5 528.7	30	11.8 52.2	62 24	474.7 476.7	30 38

			PROBAT	ION SUPERVI	SION CASI	ES RECEIVED			R PROBAT	ION SUPERVI		ING THE YEAR	1		UNDE	R PROBATI		ISES Ision on	DECEMBER	ł 31
		Tota		Family (Criminal		Tota	si -	Family (Court	Criminal			Tota		Family (Criminal	
COUNTY	Year.	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAM	1786 1785	259.8 249.7	37 34	34.3 58.1	47 17	225.5 191.6	29 35	731.6 644.0	39 47	77.2 105.1	41 21	854.4 539.0	38 49		555.1 475.9	35 40	41.7 43.3	33 3B	513.5 432.7	32 39
QUEENS	1986 1985	191.7 172.0	55 53	15.6 17.0	61 60	176.1 155.0	48 48	613.1 532.5	53 56	39.8 38.5	61 60	573.3 494.0	49 53		464.8 419.2	48 50	15.7 24.1	59 49	449.2 395.2	45 47
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	270.9 330.4	30 9	- 84.4 74.0	5	186.6 256.4	44 - 14	1,009.2 915.1	10 11	156.9 121.6	ն 15	852.3 793.5	13 13		750.8 740.0	8	86.4 72.7	7 8	664.5 667.3	15 11 -
RICHHONO	1984 1985	140.7 136.9	04 14 :	25.1 24.3	56 52	115.6 112.6	60 59	472.2 440.3	61 60	43.2 33.4	59 62	429.0 406.B	59 60		359.0 332.2	61 59	16.6 18.2	58 60	342.5 314.0	59 56
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	268.9 339.0		91.8 125.9	. 4	177.1 213.0	47 29	850.3 847.9	27 19	226.8 237.9	. 1	623.5 610.0	42 34		567.9 582.9	31 24	97.8 135.4	1	470.1 447.5	41. 37
ST. LAHRENCE	1984 1985 -	186.2 156.1		37.2 16.8		148.9 139.3	57 54	608.2 589.9	54 50	54.1 43.2	54 58	554.1 546.7	50 47		477.8 419.7	47 49	37.2 16.8	43 61	440.6	47 46
SARATOGA	1986 1985	204.8 173.3		52.4 35.9	26 41	152.3 137.4	58 55	489.3 414.6	- 60 61	85.3 53.2	34 54	404.0 361.4	- 61 - 61		361.4 289.0	60 60	54.8 33.4	22 42	306.5 255.6	61 60
SCHENECTADY	1988 1985	273.6 266.3	28 28	44.6 59.8	37 13	229.0 206.5	24 30	851.5 832.1	26 22	103.9	24 6	747.7 690.6	25 26		597.9 576.4	26 25	52.6 59.1	26 18	545.3 517.3	26 24
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	255.0 177.9		26.8 20.1	54 56	228.2 157.7	25 46	714.B 630.9	49	60.4 53.7	49 53	654.4 577.2	37 45		523.5 459.7	40 43	23.5 33.6	53 41	500.0 426.2	34 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	465.5 485.4	1	74.7 62.8	B 11	. 390.8 422.6	1	1,206.9 1,170.7	4	109.2 79.9	35	1,097.7 1,090.7	5 4		985.1 736.7	9	57.5 34.3	19 38	827.6 702.4	6 9
SENECA	1786 1785	246.1 192.3	47 .	71.7 51.9	23	174.5 140.4	49 52	704.0 702.0	36	177.6 160.1	3.	526.5 521.9	55 50		439.3 448.6	53 46	71.7 103.B	3	367.6 344.9	55 54
STEUBEN	1986 1985	234.3 201.4	46	53.7 32.9		180.6	46 44	690.4 669.8	44	87.7 76.0		602.7 593.8	45 40	•	478.8 454.1	46 . 44	41.3 33.9	39 40	437.6 420.2	49 43
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	348.5 316.3		74.5 66.2		274.0 250.1	12 16	955.9 896.8		150.8	7 7	805.1 757.7	20 16		662.3 606.9	19 20	90.1 76.3	5 6	572.3 530.6	22 22

TABLE 7A-2

^{*} The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE ZR. PROBATION SEVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND BATE BANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984

REVOCATIONS

									Criminal	Court***		
			Tota	114	Facily	Courter	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	onv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985		84.3 60.7	5 11	64.0		86.7 60.4	2 8	57.8 44.6	3 5	28.9 15.9	15 31
ALLEGANY	1986 1985		21.3 18.6	57 57	54.1 66.7		17.1 12.6	60 59	13.7 8.4	49 51	3.4 4.2	57 56
BRONX	1984 1985	•	. 80.9 75.3	5	37.1 89.2		B2.7 74.7	. 4 . 5	65.1 65.4	2 3	17 .5 9.2	30 47
BROOKE :	1986 1985		26.4 43.7	51 34	39.8 63.9		.24.7 40.5	52 33	15.2 18.5	45 36	9.6 22.0	44 21
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985		28.9 9.6	49 . 61	25.3 16.1	52 51	29.6 8.5	47 61	12.3	51 59	17.2 8.5	31 50
CAYUGA	1986 1985		89.2 99.1	1	100.0 68.2		87.7 103.9	1 2	20.1 29.7	20 22	59.6 75.3	2 1
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	40.4 48.6	41 25	35.5 74.3		41.1 44.0	36 26	26.4 21.4	22 29	14.8 22.6	35 20
CHEMUNG	1986 1985		56.6 55.5	19 15	118.8 113.6		44.9 45.4	32 23	36.5 37.5	15 12	8.4 7.9	47 53
CHENANGO	1984 1985		87. 9 55. 7	2 14	95.2 46.5		86.6 57.0	3 10	55.9 44.3	4 6	30.7 12.7	11 40
CLINTON	1985 1985		20.0 22.7	59 56	21.3 63.5		19.9 18.1	57 56	12.2 16.3	53 42	7.6 1.8	51 59
COLUMBIA	1986 1985		58.2 49.2	17 24	77.9 75.8		54.3 44.3	17. 25	54.3 33.2	5 17	.0 11.1	59 43

(Continued on next page.)

REVOCATIONS

								 			¬		
										Criminal 	Courtess		
			Tota	al#		Family (Court**	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985		49.8 55.3	27 16		104.2 125.0	15 8	42.8 47.9	34 19	26.7 29.3	. 21 21	16.0 18.6	
DELAWARE	1986 1985		25.3 15.2	54 59		69.0 .0	30 54	20.2 16.8	- 55 - 57	12.1 4.2	54 56	8.1 12.6	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		39.0 42.2	43 38		74.6 155.9	27 3	34.6 23.2	42 54	28.7 14.2	19 47	5.9 9.1	
ERIE :	1986 1985		41.7 52.2	37 19		133.0 87.7	5 21	27.0 47.3	51 20	21.1 33.4	31 16	5.B 14.0	55 36
ESSEX	1986 1985		27.2 23.1	50 54	٠,	.0 111.1	59 14	30.5 12.9	45 58	24.4 .0	28 59	6.1 12.9	53 38
FRANKLIN	1986 1985		41.1	39 50		38.5 .0	42 54	41.4 33.3	35 43	24.9 15.2	27 45	16.6 18.2	33 29
FULTON	1986 1985		54.9 50.3	22 21		105.3 76.9	13 24	50.B 48.8	22 16	8.5 2.2	57 50	42.4 46.6	4 2
GENESEE	1986 1985		44.7 42.8	35 35		140.B 36.4	4 44	35.1 43.3	41 28	22.5 28.4	30 23	12.6 14.9	37 33
GREENE	1986 1985		64.9 62.8	13 9		33.3 200.0	49	69.0 43.1	10 29	47.4 14.4	7 46	21.6 28.7	25 11
HAMILTON	1986 1985		.0	62 62		.0	59 54	.0	52 62	.0	61 59	.0	59 60
HERKINER	1986 1985		20.7 28.9	58 49		18.7	56 54	21.4 37.9	54 38	10.7 37.9	56 10	10.7	42 60

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 78 FROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

	เกม	

							 REYOURI					
									Criminal	Court **	i	
		•.	Tota]₹ -	Family	Courtes	Tol	al	Techni	cal	Nen l	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		48.2 47.3	29 23	48. 109.		48.1 39.0	25 34	18.0 25.0	39 27	30.1 14.0	
KINGS	1986 1985		51.7 36.9	28 43	12. 13.		53.6 38.0	18 37	13.0 7.3	50 52	40.7 30.6	
LENIS	1985 1985 ·		22.9 35.4	56 45	38. 25.		20.1 37.7	5 <i>b</i> 40	20.1 25.2	35 26	.0 12.6	
LIVINGSTON :	1986 1985		80.3 53.0	8 18	130. 121.		76.3 48.8	9 16	15.6 16.9	43 41	60.7 31.9	i
HADISON	1986 1985		24.9 56.8	55 13	57. 102.		22.5 52.5	53 14	14.3 33.4	47 15	8.2 19.1	48 25
MONROE	1985 1985		31.7 29.7	46 48	39. 56.		30.9 27.1	43 51	16.9 17.3	42 39	14.0 9.8	
HONTGONERY	1986 1985		86.3 46.6	3 27	197. 122.		64.0 32.5	12 44	15.2 4.5	44 53	48.8 26.0	
nassau	1986 1985	•	31.2 45.8	47 29	34. 53.		30.9 45.0	44 24	12.3 20.0	52 33	18.6 24.9	29 16
NEW YORK	1986 1985		51.9 62.2	24 10	39. 35.		52.4 63.4	20 7	13.8 19.4	4B 35	38.6 44.0	
NIAGARA	1986 1985		54.1 45.9	23 28	125. 125.		46.5 37.4	28 41	17.6 15.6	41 43	28.9 21.8	16 22
ONEIDA	1985 1985		59.9 54.0	15 17	192. 126.		45.0 46.1	31 21	18.7 19.8	37 34	26.3 26.4	17 14

⁽ Continued on next page.)

REVOCATIONS

								-			Criminal	Courtes	ŧ	
				Tota	ıl ŧ		Family C	ourt##	Tol	ial	Techni	cal	New (Conv
COUNTY	!	lear		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DNONDAGA		1984 1985		80.8 80.7	7		118.2	11 17	76.4 78.3	7 4	75.5 75.3	1 2	.8 3.1	58 57
ONTARIO		1986 1995		84.7 86.3	4 3		101.0 94.1	16 19	82.2 85.1	5 3	41.9 43.4	13 7	40.3	.7 4
ORANGE		1984 1985		71.8 42.5	11		91.2 35.2	22 46	68.5 43.7	11. 27	44.0 34.6	12 14	24.5 9.1	21 4B
ORLEANS .		1986 1985		45.8 36.3	33 44		125.0	B 54	38.2 38.7	3B 36	28.3 31.5	24 18	11.9	40 54
DSWEGO		1986 1985		40.B 23.9	40 53		13.0 12.2	57 53	46.2 26.6	29 52	33.7 18.2	17 37	12.5 8.4	38 51
OTSEGO		1986 1985		25.4 27.6	53 51		.0	59 54	27.6 30.2	50 47	2.8 11.0	- 60 49	24.9 19.2	19 24
HANTUP		1984 1985		48.6 40.3	28 39		63.5 47.1	33 40	46.B 39.0	27 35	20.6 20.6	33 32	26.2 18.3	18 28
QUEENS		1986 1985		46.5 37.9	31 42		32.7 38.9	50 43	47.4 37.8	26 39	6.3 4.6	58 53	41.i 33.2	5 7
RENSSELAER		1986 1985		44.4 18.1	36 58		92.4 59.8	21 35	35.6 11.7	40 60	26.3 9.2	23 50	9.3 2.5	45 58
RICHHOND		1986 1985		46.4 51.6	32 20	•	80.2 64.0	24 30	42.9 50.6	33 15	11.2 21.0	55 30	31.7 29.6	9
ROCKLAND		1986 1985		46.9 44.5	30 32		24.9 17.4	53 50	54.9 55.0	16 13	35.0 40.8	16 9	19.9 14.2	27 34

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

D.F.	บท	rat	T I D	NC

							KEYOUKII	4110				
					 				Criminal	Courtes		
			Tota	1±	Family C	cart	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New (Conv
COUNTY	Year	-	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		26.2 34.4	52 46	98.4 40.8	18 42	19.2 33.9	58 42	14.4 21.0	46 31	4.8 12.7	56 38
SARATOGA	1986 1985		77.2 74.6	6	85.7 116.3	23 12	75.4 68.5	9	45.2 49.7	10 4	30.2 18.8	12 26
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		36.0 45.5	44 31	76.9 117.4	26. 11	30.3 30.8	46 46	20.5 15.4	34 44	9.8 15.4	43 32
SCHOHARIE :	1984 1985		56.3 26.6	20 52	55.6	35 54	56.4 29.1	15 48	25.6 5.8	25 54	30.8 23.3	10 19
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		57.1 43.9	18 33	105.3 214.3	13	52.4 31.4	21 45	52.4 26.2	გ	.0 5.2	
SENECĂ	1986 1985		75.2 95.7	10 2	70.2 50.8	29 39	76.9 111.1	. 6	47.3 76.0	8 1	29.6 35.1	14 5
STEUBEN	1786 1765		59.8 50.3	16 12	70.6 81.1	28 23	58.2 55.4	14 12	46.2 41.5	9	12.0 13.8	. 39 37
SUFFOLK .	198å 1985		45.3 42.3	34 37	20.2 25.2	55 49	50.0 45.4	24 22	29.0 25.8	18 25	21.0 19.6	26 23
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	-	51.7 49.3	25 22	39.2 60.2	41 34	53.0 48.3	19 18	44.5 37.9	11 10	8.5 10.3	- 46 45
TIOGA	1986 1985		68.6 47.5	12 26	112.9 83.3	12 22	61.5 42.9	13 30	38.5 34.9	14 13	23.1 8.0	22 52
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		35.1 45.7	45 30	 125.0 94.3	8 18	27.6 41.1	49 32	20.7 30.4	32 20	6.9	52 44

⁽ Continued on next page.)

REVOCATIONS

		-						 					
										Criminal	Courte	f t	
			Tota	114		Family	Court	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New (Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985		55.6 65.8	21 8		97.7 127.3	19 5	50.3 58.6	23	25.6 30.9	25 19	24.7 27.7	20 12
WARREN	1985 1985		61.2 67.3	14		179.5 133.3	. 3	46.1 56.2	30 11	23.0 22.5	29 28	23.0 33.7	23 6
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		20.9 39.3	48 41	•	28.0 67.4	51 28	29.3 28.9	48 49	18.3 4.1	38 57	11.0 24.8	41 17
MAYNE	1986 1985		41.7 39.4	38 40		65.6 28.3	31 47	37.0 41.4	39 31	17.7 17.2	40 40	19.3 24.1	28 18
KESTCHESTER	1984 1985		40.1 30.1	42 - 47		35,5 54,1	45 37	40.4 28.1	37 50	18.8 12.1	36 48	21.6 16.0	24 30
HYONING	1986 1985		16.6 14.8	60 60		34.5 .0	47 54	12.3 18.2	61 55	4.1 19.2	59 - 37	8.2	4B 60
YATES	1986 1985		15.5 23.1	61 54		.0	59 54	17.1 26.5	59 53	.0	61 59	17.1 26.5	32 13
NEHYORKSTATE	1985 1985		50.0 47.5	na Na		56.0 56.5	HA NA	49.5 46.6	NA NA	24.6 24.3	NA NA	24.8 22.3	na Na
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		56.6 51.0	NA NA		30.1 40.6	NA Na	58.0 51.6	NA NA	22.7 22.0	_ NA NA	35.2 29.6	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. Por example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

^{*} Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

^{##} Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

^{***} Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 #

-3411	BND	PENITENTIARY

						ssions				r			n				 31 Popul			
			Tota		Unsente		Senten			Repor Capac	ity	Average Popul	ation		Tat	al	Unsente		Senti	enced
COUNTY		Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
	- 														-					
ALBANY		1986	1,362.4	22	935.4	28	427.0	16		150.3	5	137.3	4.		133.4	2	68.5	4	64.9	
		1985	1,259.4	25	860.3	32	399.1.	16		153.2	5	128.6	3		113.4	. 6	92.B	8	49.	7 3
ALLEGANY		1986	988.1	46	829.7	36	158.4	53.		69.3	37	55.4	45		63.4	34	39.6	26	23.8	3 32
		1985	888.0	48	750.5	42	137.5	54		8.8	35	51.1	44		45.2		21.6	47	23.6	
					•		` . 													
BROOME		1986 1985	1,123.3	38 41	785.4 732.4	40 43	337.8 307.8	25 27		95.8 85.7	17 25	72.6			74.0 71.5	25 21	35.1 35.3	36 28	38.9 36.2	
		1103	1,010.3	71	732.4	73	307.0	ΣI		03.7			41		71.3	71	33.3	20	30.4	. 1
CATTARAUGUS		1986	1,283.7	26	963.7	25	320.0	28		89.1	22	73.9	27		77.4	20	55.1	8	22.3	40
		1985	1,238.6	Ż 7	958.6	23	280.1	33		87.1	- 21	57.4	39		72.7	20	32.8	32	39.8	3 6
CAYUGA		1986	1,249.1	30	851.1	33	398.0	18		77.8	- 2B	67.6	33		47.6	47	25.0	47	22.5	i 39
CHIUCH		1785	1,457.6	17	940.4	26	517.2	6		77.6	29	62.6	35		68.9	47 25	40.1	23	28.8	
			-1				••••	-					-				.,,,,			
CHAUTAUDUA		1986	1,107.6	40	772.9	42	334.7	27		68.5	38	60.8	39		48,5	31	50.3	13	18.7	
		1985	1,044.2	40	694.0	45	350.1	24		67.9	30-	40.3	37		49.9	41	35.4	27	.14.6	49
CHEMUNG		1986	1,428.7	 19	941.4	27	487.3	5		101.7	13	100.6	9		103.9	. 6	47.5	18	56.4	. 4
		1985	1,190.3	31	799.0	34	391.3	19		100.0	.13	88.1	- 11		108.7	8	45.7	17	43.0	
											•									
CHENANGO		1986 1985	1,028.0	43 35	522.0 662.5	53 49	504.0 480.4	4		66.0 66.0	42 40	56.0 56.0			44.0	49	16.0	53	28.0	
		1703	1,142.8	33	007.7	. 47	100.1	′		00.0	10	20.0	- 41		34.0	55	20.0	51	14.0	51
CLINTON		1986	1,944.6	4	1,496.3	6	448.3	10		64.0	44	56.7	43		55.4	40	30.8	- 41	24.6	29
		1985	1,615.8	11	1,157.3	14	458.5	ð		63.7	43	53.9	42		58.0	31	33.1	31	25.7	32
COLUMBIA		1986	1,426.7	20	1,049.4	.21	377.3	21		92.3	20	77.4	24		72.5	26	36.2	75	36.2	2 18
COCOUDIA		1985	1,414.1	18	996.7	20	417.5	14		72.3 95.7	18	89.1	10		75.9	-16	42.9	35 19	33.0	
								•		,-•.			•••					••		
CORTLAND		1986	1,753.2	9	1,310.1	10	443.0	11		67.5	40	78.1	23		86.5	14	27.4	45	59.1	
		1985	1,698.6	7	1,299.8	. 8	398.8	18		67.5	39	78.1	. 22		111.8	7	80.2	Ь	31.7	7 17
DELAWARE		1986	1,023.4	44 .	687.9	48	335.5	26		63.7	45	44.6	. 52	•	23.4	57	19.1	50	4.2	2 57
*		1985	819.0	52	569.4	51	249.5	37		68.2	37	34.1			44.8		27.7	41	17.	
BUTBUEBB		1001	1 AAE D	4.0	074.0	4,5	194 4	5 0			••		70					4-		
DUTCHESS		1986 1985	1,005.B 933.7	45 44	834.9 762.B	35 40	-171.0 170.9	50 51		1.84 6.84	39 36	61.5 56.8			52.6 58.0	43 33	39.7 42.3	25 21	12.9 15.7	

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

				Adaiss	ions		 							Deceab	er 31 Popul	ation		
			Total	Unsentenc	ed Senter	nced		Capac	•	Average Popula	tion		Total		Unsente	nced	Senten	_
COUNTY		Year	Rate Ra	nk Rate R	ank Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ERIE		1986 1985	1,178.3	35 1,013.6 34 1,006.7	22 164.7 19 163.7	51		72.9 100.9	32 12	44.3 65.5	35 33		59.3 53.4	37 37	40.1	24 26	19.2 16.1	
ESSEX		· 1986 1985		42 776.9 54 539.0	41 261.7 53 211.7	39 43		66.1 66.0	- 41 41	44.1 33.0	53 57		24.8 33.0		16.5 30.2	52 36	8.3 2.8	54 57
FRANKLIN		1986 1985	•	17 995.4 21 949.9	23 461.2 25 424.7	. 9 12		125.6 125.6	7	98.2	10 17	•	82.2 75.4	17 17	38.8 39.8	34 24	45.7 36.5	11
FULTON		1986 1985	1,315.0 1,680.2	23 470.7 9 505.3	55 844.3 55 1,174.9	1 1		.0	57 57	78.8 84.8	22 14		47.6 57.8	46 35	22.0 23.5	48 45	25.6 34.3	28 11
BENESEE		1986 1985		16 1,088.4 23 982.2	19 392.9 21 315.0	20 25		71.4 23.7	34 56	52.7 47.4	47 51		71.4 64.3	28 28	37.4 32.2	32 30	34.0 32.2	
GREENE		1986 1985	2,156.B 1,697.4	3 1,408.6 B 1,164.5	7 748.2 12 532.8			128.3 130.2	f .	90.3 84.4	14		78.4 60.3	19 30	49.9 33.6	14 30	28.5 26.5	24 28
HANILTON	* .	1986 1985	1,624.9	29 820.0 10 1,103.3	37 440.0 16 521.6	5		80.0 80.2	27 28	40.0 40.1	. 40 54		40.0 120.4	51 5	.0 80.2	57 5	40.0 40.1	14 5
HERKIHER		1986 1985	1,134.2	39 852.0 37 860.7	32 261.6 31 273.5			53.8 53.8	47	47.8 58.3	49 38	-	43.3 38.9	50 52	29.9 29.9	43 38	13.5 9.0	53 56
JEFFERSON		1986 1985	1,181.9	33 848.8 33 764.3	34 368.7 39 417.7	24 13		77.3 74.5	30 31	71.7 73.4	31 25		59.6 65.5	27	29.8 31.6	44 35	29.B 33.9	12
LEHIS		1986 1985	906.0	· · -	54 150.2 38 139.1	55		90.9 91.4	21 20	39.5 43.7	54 52		51.4 47.7	43	31.6 19.9	38 53	19.8 27.8	25
LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	1,549.9	11 1,196.2 15 1,171.0	11 469.3 11 378.9	20		95.4 96.0	18 17	105.8 89.2	7 9		92.2 84.0	12	44.4 53.1	22. 12	47.8 30.9	- 18
MADISON		1986 1985	1,141.7	32 953.5 36 884.0	26 271.8 30 257.7	36		70.6 70.4	35 33	75.1 70.4	25 28		90.1 56.9		52.6 28.5	11 40	37.5 28.5	23
MONROE		1986 1985		25 1,123.5 30 958.2	18 176.8 24 240.2			77.6 70.2	29 34	80.8 68.9	21 29		87.1 79.6	13 15	63.6 53.3	11	23.5 26.2	

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

				Ade	ssions			 											er 31 Papul	ation		
		Tota		Unsent		Senten	ced			Report Capac	ity -	Average Popul	ation				Tota		Unsente	nced	Sente	inceq
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		R	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank				ate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	1,525.9 1,593.5	15 12	1,155.5 1,041.4	16 17	370.4 552,1	23 3			184.3 188.5	3 2	120.9 91.4					120.9 81.9	4	72.9 66.6	3 7	48.0 15.2	
NASSAU	· 1986 1985	623.7 655.1	57 57	459.9 464.2	56 56	163.8 190.9	52 47			72.7 64.4	33 42	86.7 82.3					87.2 85.9	12 10	60.5 57.3	7 9	26.8 28.5	
NIÁGARA	1986 1985	1,135.5 1,223.6	36 29	867.2 921.0	29 27	268.3 302.5	37 29			97.7 97.9	15 14	87.1 84.5		·•		. t.	75.6 69.7	24 23	46.1 35. i	20 29	29.5 34.6	
DNEIDA	1986 1985	863.6 705.1	52 55	707.8 534.4	47 54	155.7 170.7	54 52			82.9 82.2	25 26	80.9 74.2					76.9 67.0	22 26	52.7 40.7	10 22	24.1 26.3	
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,804.2 1,708.9	7	1,619.6 1,524.3	,3 ,3	184.4 184.6	47 49			99.7 87.9	14 23	91.3 96.3					83.3 92.0	- 16 - 9	47.1 54.6	19 10	36.3 37.4	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1,671.4	10 20	1,190.9 975.3	12 22	480.5 405.9	6 15	J.		97.6 96.3	16 -15 -	93.3 85.5					90.0 85.5	1! 11	31.5 20.6	39 50	58.6 64.9	
DRANGE	1986 1985	1,563.7 1,360.4	13 22	1,337.6 1,148.2	9 15	226.1 212.2	42 42			94.8 96.2	19 16	90.9 B0.0					85.9 73.5	15 19	49.7 51.2	15 14	36.2 22.3	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	1,452.4 1,290.2	18 24	1,156.8 1,029.6	15 18	295.6 260.6	35 35			185.1 166.1	2	156.8 125.2					66.8 122.6	33 4	41.1 99.6	23 3	25.7 23.0	
OSWEGO	1986 1985	1,070.2 1,129.8	41 38	795.0 768.0	38 37	274.2 361.8	34 22		•	80.3 80.4	26 27	66.9 60.4					54.3 57.9	41 34	38.5 27.7	28 42	15.9 30.2	
OTSEGO	1986 1985	790.5 904.5	54 46	567.6 616.5	51 50	223.0 288.0	44 32			37.2 37.1	55 54	49.0 48.8					35.5 42.1	52 49	11.8 -15.2	56 56	23.6 27.0	
PUTHAK	1986 1985	915.4 892.5	49 47	763.5 769.2	43 41	152.0 132.3	55 57			49.0 49.4	51 50	47.8 49.4			-	•	44.1 39.6	48 50	20.B 21.0	49 49	23.3 18.5	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	942.0 864.2	47 50	716.5 668.6	46 46	225.4 195.6	43 46		-	73.8 75.3	31 30	47.5 50.9					51.4 37.0	44 53	30.3 21.1	42 48	21.1 15.9	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	732.2 699.8	55 56	416.7 403.8	57 57	315.5 296.0	31 30			30.8 30.7	5å 55	37.2 36.2					33.5 32.8	53 57	16.9	51 52	16.5 12.8	

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

			**** ***********			2011		 		
			Admissions			Occupied	A 5-11	Decemb	er 31 Population	
		Total	Unsentenced	* Sentenced		Reported Capacity	Average Daily Population	Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
		dan dan san dan san san san dan dan dan dan dan		*******						
ST. LAWRENCE	198 <i>6</i> 1985	827.1 53 798.0 53	557.6 52 561.7 52	269.5 36 236.3 41		54.1 4B 53.8 48	59.4 41 50.3 47	53.2 42 45.0 45	31.0 40 18.5 55	22.2 41 26.5 29
SARATOGA	1986	932.4 48	753.8 45	178.5 48		42.7 53	56.7 42	56.1 39	32.3 37	23.8 31
omm von	1985	836.7 51	662.8 48	173.9 50		43.3 52	53.2 43	39.0 51	21.7 46	17.3 42
SCHENECTADY	1986	1,283.0 27	970.7 24	312.3 31		58.6 46	61.9 37	67.2 32	49.3 16	18.0 48
	1985	1,233.2 28	919.1 - 2B	314.1 26		58.4 44	68.4 30	63.1 29	50.5 15	12.6 54
SCHOHARIE	1986	1,375.8 21	1,067.1 20	308.7 32		70.5 36	53.7 46	70.5 29	36.9 32	33.6 21
	1985	1,100.7 39	889.3 29	211.4 44		70.5 32	50.3 46	43.6 47	30.2 37	13.4 52
SCHUYLER	1986	1,189.7 34	793.1 39	396.6 19		120.7 B	103.4 8	92.0 9	46.0 21	46.0 10
	1985	1,844.6 3	1,473.4	371.2 21		f19.9 - 9	102.8 5	131.3 2	119.9 2	11.4 55
SENECA	1986	1,557.6 14	1,180.7 13	376.9 22		105.9 12	87.2 16	81.0 18	37.4 31	43.6 13
	1985	1,593.2 13	1,294.1 9	299.1 30		89.5 22-	79.4 21	70.2 22	42.7 20	27.5 26
STEUBEN	1986	1,131.1 37	B66.9 30	264.2 38		50.6 50	68.1 32	40.9 35	38.2 29	22.7 38
	1985	962.6 43	777.7 36	184.9 48		50.3 49.	67.8 31	58.6 32	44.2 18	14.4 50
SUFFOLK	1986	898.7 50	687.7 49	211.1 46		57.4 47	62.3 36	58.1 38	36.7 33	21.3 42
	1985	879.1 49	668.0 47	211.1 45		57.6 45	65.1 34	52.2 39	32.1 34	20.1 39
SULLIVAN	1986	2,606.4 1	1,849.9 2	- 756.6 2 699.7 2		239.1 1	212.8	201.2 1	153.1 1	48.1 7
	1985	2,491.1	1,791.4	699.7 2		- 242.6 1	174.6	168.6 1	127.2	41.4 4
TIOGA	1986	1,225.5 31	754.9 44	470.6 7		109.8 11	72.5 29	76.5 23	25.5 46	51.0 6
	1985	1,185.0 32	786.0 35	399.0 17		111.2 10	77.4 23	69.5 24	37.7 25	31.8 16
TOMPKINS	1986	1,269.4 28	1,157.5 14	111.9 57		37.7 54	34.2 57	25.1 55	14.8 54	10.3 55
	1985	1,390.8 19	1,251.3 10	139.5 54		37.4 53	48.8 50	43.1 48	26.1 43	17.0 44
ULSTER	1986	1,584.7 12	1,151.0 17	433.6 15		86.5 24	84.7 19	69.4 30	51.2 12	18.3 46
	1985	1,518.6 16	1,159.5 13	359.0 23	÷	B6.7 24	87.9 12	75.1 16	52.5 13	22.6 36
WARREN	1986	1,917.1 5	1,515.3 5	401.B 17		117.1 10	72.1 30	72.1 27	48.6 17	23.4 35
	1965	1,885.3 2	1,452.2 5	433.1 11		104.2 11	71.9 26	48.5 42	25.2 44	23.4 34

B-89

SOURCE: MYS Commission of Correction.

^{*} Data are not reported by county for New York City - only for New York City as a whole.

HOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

												Felonie								*********		Drug Fe							
				Tot	al	Tot	al	Hoai		Sex Of	fenses		bery	Burg	lary	Wea	005		her	Tol		Posse	ssion	Sal	le	Other Felor	ni es	Yout Offer	nders
	DNTY	Year		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
ALI	BANY	1986 1985		74.1 72.9	6 5	25.1 21.5	10 12	2.8 1.4	15 31	4.9	14 17	4.2 4.6	21 13	7.1 7.0	16 15	1.8	10 9	4.2	8 27	11.6	10 5	6.4 8.8	7	5.3 12.0	13	34.3 29.9	4 3	1.1	19
ALI	EGANY	1986 1985		4.0 7.9	62 60	.0 3.9	62 51	.0 2.0	43 21	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 2.0	37 22	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	4.0	59 59	.0 2.0	32 13
BRI	NX .	1986 1985		167.4 136.1	2	105.6 94.9	2 2	14.9	1 ·	7.5 7.3	5 5	53.0 44.4	2 2	12.8 10.1	2	10.4	2 2	7.0 7.9	2	32.7 21.5	2 4	8.1 5.7	5 5	24.5 15.7	2	25.3 16.6	9 16	3.9 3.2	- 4 7
BRO	OME	1986 1985		56.9 38.1	11 19	23.7 :21.7	12 11	2.4	20 34	6.2 3.8	· 9 18	3.3	24 15	9.0 9.9	11 5	.9	18 19	1.9 2.8	24 15	5.7 .5	19 45	2.8	13 32	2.8	24 41	27.0 15.5	7 20	.5 .5	28 38
R CAT	TARAUGUS	1984 1985		11.7 11.7	57 56	3.5 3.5	56 54	1.2	35 36	1.2	46 43	.0 2.3	43 24	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	1.2	32 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	8.2 7.0	49 50	1.2	32 28
-	TUGA	1986 1985		51.3 30.1	13 26	16.3	25 44	1.3	36 33	7.5 2.5	4 28	.0	- 43 40	5.0 3.8	22 30	.0	25 23	2.5	17 40	3.8	28 46	.0	37 32	.0 3.8	20 42	26.3 17.5	8 12	5.0 6.3	2 2
CHI	MUTAUDUA	1986 1985 -		14.0 20.1	55 43	6.3 8.3	48 39	2.1 2.1	22 19	1.4	44 47	.7	41 39	2.1 4.2	42 28	.0	25 23	.0 1.4	37 31	.7 2.8	50 28	.0 -1.4	37 22	.7 1.4	41 34	7.0 7.6	52 49	.0 1.4	32 21
CHI	ENUNG	1986 1985		64.1 56.5	B 9	28.7 29.4	5 6	2.2 2.2	21 17	6.6 7.6	7	4.4. 1.1	20 37	11.0	5 11	4.3	25 4	4.4 5.4	7	2.2	36 46 .	1.1	32 32	1.1	37 42	30.9 26.1	5 5	2.2	7 31
	NANGO	1986 1985	•	8.0 16.0	60 53	2.0 8.0	60 41	2.0	24 36	.0 2.0	51 31	.0	43 40	.0 .0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 å.0	37 6	.0 4.0	51 20	.0 2.0	37 15	2.0	43 28	6.0 4.0	55 55	.0	32 41
CL	NTON	1986 1985		30.B 19.6	32 45	6.2 4.9	49 50	.0	43 36	1.2 2.5	45 29	2.5 1.2	25 35	2.5 1.2	40 50	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	3.7 1.2	29 43	.0 .0	37 32	3.7 1.2	21 37	20.9 12.3	14 31	.0 1.2	32 25
COL	AIBHU	1986 1985		47.8 59.4	- 17 7	11.5 18.2	35 19	3.3 1.7	10 28	4.9 5.0	13	.0	43 40	1.6 8.3	- 4B 12	.0	25 23	1.6	26 12	23.1 23.1	4	9.9 5.0	3 · 7	13.2 18.2	5 2	13.2 16.5	29 18	.0 1.7	32 17
CO	RTLAND	1986 1985		40.1 54.9	25 10	6.3 16.9	47 20	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	2.1	43 25	6.3 2.1	17 44	.0 4.2	25 5	.0 9.4	37 3	4.2	25 46	2.1	18 32	2.1	29 42	27.4 27.4	6 4	2.1 10.6	9
DEI	AHARE	1986 1935		10.6	58 62	2.1 2.1	59 58	.0	43 36	.0 2.1	51 30	2.1	30 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 36	.0	37 32	.0 2.1	43 27	8.5	48 61	.0 .p	32 41

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

											Vialent	Felonie	5									Drug Fe				011-		_	Г., Т
				- Tot	al	Tat		Hooi	 cide 	Sex Of	fenses		pery	Burg	lary		ans		her		tal	Passe		52	le	Other Felo			nders
C:	OUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	
ום	UTCHESS	1986 1985		21.4 25.9	42 31	13.2 13.3	30 27	8 .0	38 36	1.9 2.7	40 24	3.5 4.3	22 14	4.7	28 28	1.6	11 21	.8 1.2	34 34	1.6	40 40	.4	36 32	1.2 1.6	35 33	6.6 10.2	54 40	.0	32 35
E	RIË "	1984 1985		31.B 32.2	31 22	16.0 15.9	26 23	1.5 2.0	32 22	3.2 2.7	23 25	3.4 3.3	23 17	4.8	25 21	1.0	17 16	2.1 1.4	20 21	4.3	24 39	2.1 1.2	19 26	2.2 .6	28 40	11.0	39 26	.6 .8	25 34
E	SSEX	1984 1985		16.5 24.7	51 35	2.8 8.3	57 40	2.8	16 36	2.8	51 23	.0 5.5	43 12	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	13.8 13.8	27 25	2.8	32 10
F	RANKL1N	1986 1985		13.7 25.1	58 34	4.6 - 6.9	53 43	1.6 2.3	4 15	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0 4.6	51 27	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	4.6	23 46	2.3	15 32	2.3	27 42	4.6 18.3	57 10	.0	32 41
3 F	ULTON	1984 1985		42.1 46.9	21 14	9.2 16.2	38 22	1.8	26 7	3.7 - 1.8	19 37	.0	43 40	3.7 9.0	30 10	.0	25 23	.0 1.8	37 25	5.5 9.0	20 10	1.8	21 32	3.7 9.0	22 7	20.1 16.2	15 19	7.3 5.4	1 3
6	ENESEE	1986 1985		20.4 27.1	44 29	5.1 10.2	51 35	1.7	28 27	.0	51 47	.0 1.7	43 30	.0 3.4	51 35	.0 3.4	25 6	3.4	10 40	,0 3,4	51 25	.0	· 37 32	.0 3.4	43 18	13.6 10.2	28 42	1.7 3.4	13 6
G	REENE	1986 1985		26.1 26.5	37 30	11.9 7.2	33 42	2.4	19 36	2.4 4.8	35 9	7.1 .0	9 40	.0 2.4	51 41	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	2.4 7.2	35 15	2.4 4.8	14 8	.0 2.4	43 24	11.9 12.1	36 32	.0	32 41
_ Н	AMILTON	1986 1985		100.0 20.1	4 44	20.0	17 60	.0	43 36	20.0	47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	0	43 42	80.0 20.1	i 8	.0	32 41
H	ERKIHER	1986 1985	• •	7.5 13.4	61 55	1.5 3.0	61 56	.0 1.5	43 30	1.5	43 47	1.5	43 33	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	1.5	42 46	1.5	25 32	.0 .0	43 42	4.5 10.5	58 39	.0	32 41
J	EFFERSON	1986 1985		48.6 29.4	15 27	26.5 12.4	8 29	4.4 2.3	16	12.1 7.9	2	2.2	28 40	3.3 2.3	34 43	1.1	16 - 23	3.3	.11 40	1.1 2.3	47 34	.0	37 32	1.1 2.3	38 26	21.0 14.7	13 23	.0	32 41
. K	INGS	1986 1985		121.6 92.8	3 4	75.2 59.0	3	11.9 10.6	3	4.1	17 -34	37.7 29.7	3	10.9 7.9	6 14	7.6 6.3	2	2.9	14 13	24.5 19.0	3 6	5.2 4.1	8 11	19.3 14.9	3 5	19.7 13.4	17 27	2.2 1.4	8 22
L	EHIS	1986 1985		19.8 7.9	45 59	11.9	34 60	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	11.9	3 52	.0	- 25 23	.0	37 40	.0 4.0	51 21	.0	37 32	.0 4.0	43. 15	7.9 4.0	50 56	.0	32 41
. L	IVINESTON	1986 1985		30.7 25.7	33 33	10.2 13.7	37 26	.0 3.4	43 8	5.1 5.1	12	1.7	36 40	3.4 3.4	33 32	.0	25 23	.0 1.7	37 . 28	1.7 5.1	- 39 17	.0 3.4	37 12	1.7 1.7	32 31	17.1 6.9	22 51	1.7	12 41

NEW COURT CONNITHENTS TO STATE PRISON ...

							****				Felonie	15									Drug Fe							
			Tol		Tot		Honi		Sex Of			bery	Burg	lary		ons		her	Tol			ssion	Sal	e	Other Felor		Yout Offer	nders
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	
HADISON	1986 1985		15.0 19.5	54 46	9.0 9.0	39 38	.0	43 36	6.0 4.5	10 11	.0 1.5	43 32	3.0 3.0	34 38	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	1.5	41 46	.0	37 32	1.5	33 42	3.0 9.0	61 46	1.5 1.5	15 20
HONROE	1986 1985	-	57.9 42.1	10 16	28.0 22.7	<u>ا</u> 01	3.8 2.6	8 12	3.0 2.6	27 27	7.7 7.4		6.3 5.5	18 22	1.9 2.0	8 10	5.4 2.7	4 19	6.5 2.7	1B 30	2.3	16 - 31	4.3	18 29	· 21.9	11 21	1.4	17 24
HONTGOMERY	1986 1985		17.3 19.0	48 48	11.5	36 59	.0	43 36	1.9	41 33	1.9	32 40	1.9	45 52	1.9	6 23	3.8 .0	9 40	3.8 1.9	27 38	3.8 1.9	10 18	.0	43 42	1.9	62 34	.0 3.8	32 4
NASSAU	1986 1985		47.7 36.9	18 19	25.5 : 20.3	9	2.0 2.3	23 14	1.1	47 41	10.4	5 7	8.2 6.8	13 16	1.9	- 7 14	2.0	22 33	8.5 6.3	14 15	2.0 1.2	20 27	6.4 5.1	12	12.8 9.1	32 45	.9 1.2	21 27
NEW YORK	1986 1985		278.1 229.7	. i	134.2 125.5	1 1	13.7 12.2	2 2	3.1 4.2	26 14	77.5 69.8	. 1 1	16.0 15.0	. i	13.5 15.3	1	10.3	1	77.3 51.4	1	20.2 11.8	1 1	57.2 39.5	i i	62.3 49.4	2 i	4.3 3.4	3 5
NIAGARA	1986 1985		33.2 31.4	28 24	12.0	32 31	.9 1.8	37 24	.9	48 45	4.6 3.7	18 16	4.1 3.2	2B 36	.0	2'5 70	1.4	30 32	2.8 2.8	32 29	1.4	26 32	1.4 2.8	34 19	18.0 16.6	20 15	.5 .5	30 39
ONEIDA	1986 1985	1	40.2 33.9	24 21	23.3 14.4	14 25	1.6 2.8	30 11	3.6 1.2	20 42	4.4 2.8	19 20	11.3	4 32	.8 1.2	21 13	1.6 2.8	27 18	2.4 3.2	34 26	.4 2.0	35 16	2.0 1.2	38 30	12.5 14.8	34 22	2.0	10 18
DNONDAGA	1986 1985		53.3 52.9	12 11	27.2 29.0	7	3.9 2.1	7 18	3.9 5.6	18	7.3 6.9	8	8.2 9.5	12 8	1.5	12 12	2.4 3.4	18 9	5.0 3.7	21 22	2.2 1.3	17 24	2.8 2.4	25 25	20.1 18.5	16 9	1.1 1.7	18 15
ONTARIO	1986 1985	-	49.9 50.9	14 12	22.8 20.6	15 15	1.1	43 33	- 10.8 4.3	3 12	2.2	29 40	2.2 9.7	41 7	2.2	5 23	5.4 5.4	3	8.7 10.8	13 9	1.1 5.4	9 22	7.6 5.4	10 11	18.4 17.3	19 13	.0 2.2	32 12
DRANGE	1986 1985		43.0 36.7	19 20	13.5 16.6	28 21	2.5 1.8	18 26	3.2 2.9	24 22	1.8 5.8	34 11	4.6	27 29	.0	25 22	1.4	29 26	16.0 7.0	. 7 . 11	8.9 4.3	10	7.1	11 14	12.8 11.2	31 37	.7	24 41
ORLEANS	1986 1985		61.7 40.9	9 17	18.0 15.3	22 24	2. b :0	17 36	2.6 2.6	3ù 26	5.1	14 40	5.1 12.8	21	.0	25 23	2.6 .0	16 40	2.6 2.6	33 31	.0	37 32	2.6 2.6	26 20	41.1	3 - 7	.0 2.6	32 11
OSNE60	1986 1985		21.7 23.5	41 38	6.7 9.2	46 36	1.7	29 35	2.5 1.7	31 40	.8	40 38	.8 5.0	50 25	.0	19 23	.0	37 38	.8	49 44	.0	37 29	.B	40. 42	14.2 12.6	25 29	9. °	32 33
OTSEGO	1986 1985		27.0 15.2	36 54	6.8 5.1	43 48	.3.4	9 36	1.7	51 39	1.7	37 31	1.7	47 48	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	6.8 5.1	16 18	1.7 3.4	24 13	5.1 1.7	14 32	11.8 3.4	37 58	1.7	14 16

Violent Felonies

93

Drug Felonies

TAPLE 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

																		. STATE (-	
										1	Vialent	Felonie		•								Drug Fe							
				Tot		Tot		Homi		Sex Of	fenses	Robl	•	Burgl	ary'		pons	Ot	her	, Tol		Posse		Sal	ę	Othe Felo		Yout! Offer	nders
	COUNTY	Year			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
	SULL IVAN	1986 1985		65.6 93.2		24.8 28.1	11	2.9 3.0	13	7.3 8.9	. 6 1	5.B 3.0	12 19	2.9 5.9	37 20	1.5	13 23	4.4 7.4	7 5	16.0 25.1	6 2	4.4 8.9	9	11.7	7	23.3 37.0	10 2	1.5	16
	TIOGA	1986 1985	*	29.4 25.8	34 32	7.8 6.0	40 45	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	2.0	31 26	3.9	29 45	.0	25 23	2.0 2.0	23 21	3.9 2.0	26 37	.0 2.0	37 17	3.9	19 42	17.6 17.9	21 11	.0	32 41
	TONPKINS	1986 1985		32.0 22.7	30 41	18.3 9.1	21 37	1.1	36 36	2.3 4.5	3 a 10	2.3	27 40	9.1 3.4	9 34	1.1	15 23	2.3 1.1	19 34	i.1 2.3	45 33	1.1	28 20	.0 1.1	43 39	10.3 11.3	41 35	2.3	6 41
	ULSTER	1986 1985		42.0 48.2	22 13	19.5 20.8	18 14	.6 1.8	42 25	4.3 4.3	16 13	4.9 3.1	' 16 18	4.9 7.9	23 13	1.8	9 17	3.0 3.1	13 14	6.7 4.3	17 19	1.8 1.8	22 19	4.9	15 23	14.0 22.0	26 6	1.B 1.2	11 26
B-94	WARREN	1986 1985		18.0 10.8	47 57	7.2 3.6	42 52	.0	43 36	3.6 .0	21 47	.0 1.8	43 28	3.6	31 46	.0	25 23	. 0 . 0	37 40	.0 3.6	51 23	.0	37 32	.0 ·	43 16	10.B 3.6	40 57	.0	32 41
-	WASHINGTON	1986 1985		15.8 5.3	52 61	5.3 -3.5-	50 53	1.8	27 36	.0 1.8	51 38	1.8	35 40	.0 1.8	51 47	.0	25 23	1.8	25 40	1.8	38 46	.0	37 32	1.8	31 42	8.8 1.8	46 60	.0	32 41
	WAYNE	1986 1985		25.0 18.3	38 50	13.6 5.7	27 46	.0	43 36	2.3 1.1	37 44	1.1	36 36	9.1 2.3	10 42	.0	25 23	1.1	33 35	1.1 3.4	46 24	.0	37 32	1.1 3.4	36 17	10.2 8.0	42 48	.0 1.1	30 32
	HESTCHESTER	1986 1985		47.8 64.0	16 6	23.5 37.9	13	2.9 5.2	14 5	3.1 4.0	25 16	7.1 11.9	10 5	7.4 10.7	15 3	.8 3.1	20 8	2.2	20 15	7.4 8.4	15 12	2.9 3.2	12 14	4.5 5.2	17 12	16.5 16.5	23 17	.5 1.2	29 29
	HAONING	1986 1965		17.2 17.2		2.5 2.5	58 57	.0 2.5	43 13	2.5 .0	32 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	0	25 23	.0 .0	37 - 40	.0 2.5	51 32	.0	37 32	.0 2.5	43 22	14.7	24 30	.0	32 41
	YATES	1986 1985		33.0 27.9	29 28	18.9 18.6	19 18	.0	43 - 36	4.7	15 47	.0	43 40	9.4 9.3	8 9	.0	25 23	4.7 9.3	5 2	.0	22 46	.0	37 32	4.7	16 12	9.4 9.3	43 43	.0	32 41
	NEWYORKSTATE	1984 1985		84.0 60.7	NA NA	43.7 39.3	NA NA	5.3	na Ha	3.3 2.9	NA NA	20.1 17.2	NA NA	8.1 7.2	NA NA	3.7 3.8	NA NA	3.2 3.2	NA NA	18.2 3.4	NA NA	5.0 3.4	NA NA	13.2 .0	NA NA	20.6 16.5	NA NA	1.7 1.6	NA NA
	NEWYORKCITY	1986 1985		147.3 115.4		80.4 69.6	NA NA	10.1	AA AK	4.0	NA NA	42.0 34.8	NA NA	9.3	na Na	7.6 8.0	NA NA	5.0 5.1	NA NA	35.3 23.0	NA NA	9.3 5.9	KA NA	26.0 17.2	na Na	28.7 20.6	NA NA	2.9 2.1	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 108

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

												, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ialent	Felonie	5							Drug Fel	onies				har	 v-	uthfu	
				otal		Tota		Hoai	cide	Sex Of	ł enses	Robb	ery	Burg	lary	Weap	ons	Othe		Tota		Posse	sion	Sa	le		her lonies		fende	
COUNTY	ear ear			e Ra	nk	Rate			Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		te Ran		te A	iank
ALBANY	986 985		239.: 250.:			128.4 131.0		22.6 20.4	10 10	26.8 29.2	4 2	36.3 40.2	- 5 5	22.9 22.9	7	6.0 5.6	5 6	13.8	6	40.6 48.3	4	19.4 19.4	3	21.2 28.9	5 3				.1	21 15
ALLEGANY	788 785		13. ¹		62 61	5.9 7.9	62 59	4.0 3.9	54 53	.0 2.0	60 55	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	2.0	45 43	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51		.9 6		.0	12 18
BRONI	 986 985		474. 432.			367.5 348.4	2 2	92.5 83.8	2 2	32.5 29.1	1	168.0 165.7	2 2	31.2 26.7	2 2	23.8 24.1	2 2	19.5 19.2	4 2	62.7 50.2	2 2	16.3 13.7	4 3	46.3 36.5	. 2 2		.7 .8 I		.9 .3	4 7
BROOHE	986 985		133. 109.		13 13 ;	81.1 72.0	11 12	14.2 11.8	25 29	16.6 13.2	13	21.3	13	21.8 18.4	8	1.4	24 28	5.7 6.6	22 20	10.0 5.2	20 29	4.7 2.4	15 27	5.2 2.8	22 31		.2 .0 1		.0 .5	33 39
CATTARAUGI	985 985		29. 31.		57 54	15.2 15.2	57 53	2.3 1.2	59 59	4.7 5.9	51 40	5.9 8.2	28 24	1.2	55 54	.0	32 32	1.2	51 52	1.2	54 53	.0	43 42	1.2	46 47		.9 4		.0 .2	33 31
CAYUGA	986 985		102. 67.		19 32	87.8 28.8	28 41	3.8 1.3	55 58	16.3 8.8	14 26	7.5 7.5	26 27	11.3 6.3	25 40	.0	32 32	8.B 5.0	11 28	5.0 3.B	31 39 -	1.3	43 38	5.0 2.5	24 35	4(3(7 10 3 5	.0	1
CHAUTAUDU	985 985	-	37. 43.		51 49	23.1	48 48	14.7 12.5	23 24	2.1 1.4	55 58	1.4	53 45	2.8 4.9	51 42	.0	32 32	2.1	44 49	2.8 9.7	4 <i>6</i> 15	.0 5.5	43 11	2.8 4.2	37 24		.9 5		.0 .0	33 42
CHEMUNG	986 985		203. 187.		5 5	128.2 118.5	5 5	26.5 23.9	6	27.6 31.5	3 1	14.4 18.5	16 14	36.5 28.3	2	2.2 4.3	- 16	21.0 12.0	. B . 2	2.2 2.2	48 47	2.2	31 41	0. 1.1	49 48				.3	7 6
CHENANGO	986 985		36. 44.		55 47	26.0 32.0	46 37	14.0	26 33	2.0 4.0	56 49	4.0 8.0	34 25	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	6.0 10.0	18 10	4.0 4.0	37 33	2.0 2.0	32 31	2.0 2.0	42 42		.0 5		.0	33 42
CLINTON	984 985		53. 52.		41 41	2019 22.1	50 49	4.9 3.7	49 54	4.9 7.4	49 32	3.7 6.1	35 29	3.7	45 47	.0	32 32	2.5 1.2	41 50	4.9 2.5	32 45	.0	43 42	4.9 2.5	25 37		.0 2		.0 .2	- 30 - 33
COLUMBIA	986 985		95. 97.		24 16	36.2 41.3	36 28	8.2 6.6	39 42	19.8 16.5	7 6	1.6 3.3	50 40	4.9 9.9	44 29	.0	32 32	1.6 5.0	47 29	37.5 33.0	5 6	14.8 6.6	5 9	24.7 26.4	4 5		.B 3		.0	33 42
CORTLAND	986 985		75. 78.		28 27	29.5 29.5	40 39	4.2 4.2	53 52	.0 2.1	60 - 54	.0	55 52	8.4 2.1	33 50	6.3 6.3	- 5 .	10.5 14.8	10 4	6.3 2.1	28 49	4.2	17 30	2.1	41 51	· 35	.1		.2	5 1
DELAWARE	786 785		- 23. 17.		59 60	12.7 10.7	60 58	4.2 2.1	52 56	6.4 6.4	40 37	2.1	46 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0 2.1	53 41 :	.0 2.1	55 48	.0	43 42	.0 2.1	49 41		.6 5		.0	33 42

INNATES UNDER CUSTODY

														Felonie								Drug Fel					*		
				Tot	-	Tota	al ·	Honi	çide	Sex Of		Robb	ery	Burg		Жеар	ons	Oth	er	Tota	1	Posses	sion	Sa		Other Felor		Vouth: Offen	ders
COUNTY	<u></u> .	Year			Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	
DUTCHESS		1986 1985		67.B 71.0	31 29	49.1 48.2	24 21	12.9 11.8	30 30	9.0 7.8	30 29	14.4 14.5	15 16	8.2 9.4	34 30	1.6	21 24	3.1 3.5	33 38	4.7	35 36	1.6	39 40	3.1 2.7	36 - 33	14.0 18.0	46 36	.0	33 36
ERIE		1986 1985		99.6 95.5	21 17	69.2 65.8	16	21.9 20.8	12 8	11.4 9.4	23 23	15.3 15.8	14 15	13.4 12.6	18 21	1.5	23 23	5.8 6.1	20 23	7.2 3.9	26 37	3.6 2.7	20 23	3.5 1.2	32 46	22.4 24.8	27 23	.B	26 34
ESSEX		1986 1965		44.1 38.5	46 52	22.0 16.5	49 52	2.8	57 60	8.3 5.5	31 41	11.0	21 17	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	22.0 19.2	29 33	.0 2.8	33 10
FRANKLIN		1986 1985		70.B 79.9	30 25	32.0 36.5	33 39	13.7 9.1	28 34	6.8 6.9	38 35	4.6 4.6	32 36	.0 6.9	56 37	2.3 2.3	15 16	4.6 6.9	26 19	9.1 6.9	21 23	6.B 4.6	10 16	2.3 2.3	40 40	29.7 34.3	17	.0 2.3	33 12
P FULTON		1986 1985		89.7 83.0	26 22	38.5 39.7	34 31	20.1 18.0	15 12	7.3 7.2	34 33	.0	55 52	11.0 12.6	26 20	.0	32 32	.0 1.8	53 45	11.0	18 16	1.8	36 34	9,2 7.2	15 14	31.1 28.9	16 15	9.2 5.4	3
GENESEE		1986 1985		56.1 64.3	39 34	28.9 40.6	41 29	10.2 8.5	35 37	1.7 3.4	58 53	1.7 8.5	49 23	6.8 10.2	38 27	3.4 5.1	10 7	5.1 5.1	24 25	3.4 5.1	43 30	.0	43 42	3.4 5.1	34 20	20.4 15.2	33 42	3.4 3.4	8
GREENE		1986 1985	-	66.5 55.5	32	40.4 33.8	29 35	14.3 12.1	24 27	9.5 9.6	28 22	7.1 4.8	27 34	7.1 7.2	37 35	.0	32 32	2.4	43 52	7.1 7.2	27 22	4.B 4.8	14	2.4 2.4	39 38	19.0 14.5	36 45	.0	33 42
HAMILTON		1986 1985		160.0 80.2		40.0 40.1	20 30	40.0 40.1	4	20.0	5 59	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	100.0 40.1	2	.0 .0	33 42
HERKIHER		1984 1985	•	22.4 26.9	61 55	13.5 13.4	59 55	7.5 6.0	40 45	1.5 1.5	59 57	1.5 3.0	52 42	1.5 1.5	54 53	.0	32 32	1.5 1.5	48 48	1.5	53 55	1.5	40 42	.0	49 51	7.5 13.4	58 48	.0	33 42
JEFFERSON	-	1986 1985		82.8 70.0		48.6 38.4	25 32	13.2 12.4	29 25	19.9 12.4	6 13	3.3 1.1	45 51	6.6 10.2	39 28	1.1	28 32	4.4	30 40	.0 3.4	5 5 42	.0	43 42	.0 3.4	49 27 -	34.2 27.1	13 19	.0 1.1	33 33
KINGS		1986 1995		320.0 283.3		238.2 218.1	3	74.4 66.4	3	13.7 11.8	16 14	102.7 95.9	3	22.9 19.7	- 6 7	15.7 15.6	3	8.6 8.7	12 11	46.0 34.7	3 4	10.0 7.6	8	36.0 27.2	3	33.1 29.2	14 16	2.7 2.3	9 13
LEWIS		1988 1985		27.7 7.9		11.9	61 62	.0	90 99	.0	60 59	.0	55 52	11.9	22 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	4.0	38 34	.0 .0	43 42	4.0	28 25	11.9 4.0	51 61	.0	33 42
LIVINGSTO	H .	1986 1985		59.7 54.9	37 39	35.8 29.1	37 40	8.5 8.6	36 38	17.1 8.6	10 28	1.7	48 52	8.5	32 25	.0	32 32	.0 1.7	53 46	8.5 8.6	23 17	3.4 3.4	22 19	5.1 5.1	23 19	15.4 17.1	44 37	.0	33 42

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY

												iolent	Felonie								Drug Fe	100162			544			
			Tot		Tota	al	Honi	cide	Sex Of		Robb	ery	Burg	lary	Weap	ons	Oth	er	Tot	al .	Posse			le	Other Felon		Youthi Offend	iers
COUNTY	Year		Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
HADISON	1786 1985		37.5 40.5	52 50	27.0 24.0	43 45	4.5 4.5	51 51	10,5 10,5	24 17	1.5	51 41	7,5 4.5	35 43	.0	32 32	3.0 1.5	39 47	1.5 1.5	52 51	0	43- 42	i.5 1.5	45 45	7.5 13.5	57 47	1.5	16 25
MONROE	1986 1985		139.8 129.5	12 10	93.7 87.8	9 . 9	22.2 20.3	11 11	12.7 10.8	19 16	26.8 28.2	9 7	17.1 16.2	13 12	4.3	7 10	10.7 8.2	- 9 13	10.1	19	3.8 2.3	18 28	6.3 4.4	18 22	34.6 33.5	12 11	1.4	18 23
HONTGOKERY	1986 1985		38.4 45.7	50 45	28.8 22.8	42 47	11.5 11.4	33 31	3.8	52 51	1.9	47 47	3.8 3.8	47 45	1.9	20 32	5.8 1.9	21 44	3.8 3.8	39	3.8 3.8	19 17	.0	49 51	5.B 13.3	61 49	.0 5.7	33 2
NASSAU	1986 1985		114.1 98.8	16 15	77.2 : 69.0	12 13	15.0 13.6	22 23	5.4 - 5.0	46	31.1 27.8	7	18.6 17.7	10 10	2.6	12 16	4.5 3,2	29 35	17.2 13.5	13 13	3.0 2.4	29 25	14.1	11 10	18.5 14.9	37 43	1.3 1.5	19 24
B NEW YORK	1986 1985		8.284 4.814	1	429.3 406.5	i i	95.7 86.0	" 1 1	16.6 15,5	12 7	220.0 207.1	1	40.0 38.6	1 1	33.0 36.2	1	24.0 23.1	1 1	146.7 117.0	- i	34.9 26.0	1 1	111.8 91.0	i 1	102.2 90.1	1	5.7 4.9	3 5
NIAGARA	1986 1985		92.7 91.0	25 19	54.4 51.3	23 18	18.4 16.2	17 17	6.9 5.7	37 21	13.4 10.2	18 21	10.1 7.4	29 34	1.4 2.8	25 14	4.1 5.1	32 25	5.1 5.5	30 27	1.8	35 22	3.2 2.8	35 32	32.3 33.7	. 15 10	.5	24 40
ONEIDA	1784 1785		97.8 94.2	23 21	64.4 51.1	18 19	16.1 15.2	20 21	10.1 7.6	25 31	11.3 9.2	20 . 22	18.5	11 24	2.0 1.6	18 19	6.4	- 17 19	9.5 7.6	24 20	3.2 3.2	27 20	5.2 4.4	21 - 23	22.5 23.5	26 25	2.4 2.0	10 17
DNONDAGA	1986 1985		150.5 144.2	9	104.3 - 96.1	8	20.7 15.5	14 18	19.2 18.5	9 5	28.5 27.9	8	24.8 22.8	. 4 5	4.1 3.9	- 11	5.9 7.5	15 15	8.8 6.0	22 18	3.2 2.4	25 25	5.4 5.6	20 15	36.1 38.3	10 8	1.5 1.9	15 19
ONTARIO .	1986 1985	1	103.0 90.9	1B 20	56.4 49.8	21 20	6.5 8.7	47 35	19.5 13.0	8 10	4.3	33 37	11.9	21 18	2.2 2.2	- 17 17	11.9 9.7	.7 12	16.3 10.8	. 14 14	5.4 5.4	12	10.8 5.4	13 17	29.3 28.1	18	1.1	20 14
DRANGE	1986 1985		114.3 106.8	15 14	65.0 68.1	17 15	17.7 17.7	18 15	12.4 10.4	21 18	18.1 23.4	13 11	12.1 10.8	20 23	.7	31 30	4.3 5.0	31 27	27.7 19.6	7 B	12.1 7.2	. 6	15.6 12.6	10 8	20.9 20.5	31 30	.7	29 41
ORLEANS	1986 1985		144.0 120.1	- 11 11	72.0 63.9	14 17	23.1 17.9	9 13	5.1 7.7	47 30:	7.7 5.1	25 33	10.3 12.8	27 19	2.6	- 13 15	23.1 17.9	2 3	7.7 7.7	25 19	5. i 5. i	13 13	2.6	3B 34	64.3 46.0	5 5	.0 2.6	33 11
OSWEGO	1986 1985		51.0 48.7	42 43	26.8 26.0	45 44	6.7 5.0	46 49	5. 9 4. 2	44 48	5.9 7.6	29 26	5.9 6.7	41 38	.0	32 32	2.5 2.5	40 37	3.3 2.5	44 44	1.7 1.7	38 35	1.7 .8	44 50	20.9	32 32	.0 .8	33 35
OTSE60	1986 1985		47.3 43.8	45 48	16.9 15.2	56 54	6.8 5.1	44 48 -	5.1 6.7	4B 36	3.4 1.7	43 49	1.7	53 52	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	11.8 6.7	17 25	3.4 3.4	24 19	8.4 3.4	17 28	16.9 20.2	39 31	1.7	14 22

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

				-			~				٧	ialent	Felonie	5			+				Drug Fe							
			Tot	al	Tot		Hoai	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Robb	•	Burg	•	Neap	ons .	Othe	er	Tota	ıl	Posse	ssion	Sa	le		r nies	Youth Offen	
COUNTY	Year	_		Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAN	1984 1985		63.7 51.9	35 42	23.3 23.5	47 46	12.3	31 32	2.5 3.7	54 52	3.7 2.5	36 44	3.7 3.7	48	.0	32 32	1.2	49 39	27.0 22.3	8 7	20.B 12.4	2 4	6.1 9.9	19	13.5		.0	33 42
QUEENS	1986 1985		187.1 159.1	- 6 7	125.1 117.4	6	29.0 27.7	5 5	9.4 8.6	29 27	52.9 48.8	4	20.4 18.2	9	5.4 6.5	6 4	8.1 7.7	13 - 14	32.0 18.3	6 10	11.5	7 8	20.4 11.5	6 9	26.9 21.3		3.1 2.0	8 16
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		60.6 58.1	36 35	40.2	31 26	18.5 17.8	16 14	2.6 4.0	53 50	5.3 5.9	31 32	7.3 8.6	36 33	.7	30 22	5.9 7.3	19 17	2.6	47 52	2.0 1.3	33 37	.7	48 51	17.8 11.9		.0	33 42
RICH(IDND	1986 1985		104.6 95.2	17 18	76.9 : 75.2	13 11	21.6° 17.7	13 16	7.2 5.9	35 39	25.9 26.7	-10 10	14.9 15.5	15 13	2.7 3.2	11	4.5 6.2	28 22	12.3	16 40	2.4	36 30	9.9 2.4	14 39	14.9 15.8		.5	38 38
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		98.5 81.1	22 23	54.9 47.5	22 23	13.9 14.3	27 22	4.5	39 47	13.5 10.2	17 20	18.1 13.6	12 15	1.1 1.5	27 20	1.9 3.4	46 34	21.B 13.6	11 12	3.4 2.6	23 24	18.4 10.9	 11	21.1 18.9		.8 1.1	28 32
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		39.9 38.8	48 51	20.4 19.4	51 51	6.2 6.2	4B 43	6.2 5.3	42 43	3.5 2.6	39 43	3, 5 4, 4	49 44	. 9	32 29	.9	52 52	1.8	50 54	1.8	37 42	.9	49 49	16.8 16.8		.9 1.9	25 21
SARATOGA	1986 1985		43.9 45.8	47 44	26.8 30.3	44 38	7.3 7.4	41 38	9.8 9.9	27 20	3.7 1.9	37 48	4.9 8.7	46 32	.0	32 32	1.2 2.5	50 38	4.9 4.3	33 32	1.2	42 39	3.7 3.1	30 29	11.4 10.5		.6 .6	31 37
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		57.9 54.5	3B 40	39.3 34.5	32 34	2.7 1.3	58 57	14.0 12.6	15 11	9.3	24 18	6.6	40 39	2.0 1.3	19 21	5.3 2.0	23 42	2.0 2.0	49 50	1.3	41 42	.7 2.0	47 43	16.0 16.6		.7 1.3	30 27
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	•	50.3 57.0	43 36	40.3 47.0	30 24	6.7	45 41	16.8 10.1	11 19	3.4 6.7	44 28	10.1 13.4	- 30 16	.0	32 32	3.4 10.1	37 9	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	10.1 10.1	56 54	.0	33 42
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		23.0 22.8	60 56	17.2 5.7	55 60	.0 5.7	60 46	5.7 .0	45 59	5.7	30 52	5.7	42 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	5.7 17.1		.0	33 42
SENECA	1986 1985		37.4 18.3	53 57	18.7 12.2	54 57	9.3 6.1	36 44	6.2 .0	41 59	.0	55 52	3.1	50 49	.0	32 32	.0 3.1	53 36	3.1	45 55	3.1	28 42	.0	49 51	15.6 6.1	43 58	.0	33 42
STEUDEN	1986 1995		54.7 69.9	40 31	39.2 45.2	33 25	11.4	34 26	13.4 14.4	18 8	1.0	54 46	9.3 10.3	31 26	.0	32 32	4.1 6.2	33 21	4.1 3.1	36 43	.0	43 42	4. i 3. i	27 30	10.3 19.5		1.0 3.1	22 9
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		116.8 112.7	14	70.B 68.3	15 14	15.9 15.3	21 19	9.8 9.1	26 25	23.1 22.2	11 12	15.9 16.2	14 11	1.1	29 26	5.0 4.3	25 31	22.0 19.3	10 9	3.4 3.1	25 21	18.7 16.1	7 7	23.0 23.8		1.0	23 28

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

				,						٧	iolent	Felonie	5							Drug Fe							
		Tota	al	Tota	al	Kosi		Sex Of	,	Robb		Burg		Heap	งกร	Oth		Tota		Posse	sion	Sa		Othe Felo		Youth Offen	
COUNTY	Year .	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate	Rank
SULL IVAN	1986 1985	150.1 176.0	10	87.5 84.3	10 10	24.8 20.7	8	27.7 23.7	2	11.7 10.4	19 19	10.2 13.3	28 17	1.5 3.0	22 13	11.7		23.3 34.0	9 5	7.3 8.9	9	16.0 25.1	9 6	37.9 56.2		1.5 1.5	17 26
TIOGA	1986 1985	72.5 73.4	29 28	43.1 43.7	27 27	11.8	32 28	5.9 6.0	43 38	9.8 6.0	22 31	11.8	23 14	.0	32 32	3.9 6.0	34 24	5.9 4.0	29 35	2.0 2.0	34 32	3.9 2.0	29 44	23.5 25.8		.0	33 42
TONPKINS	1986 1985	66.2 56.7	33 37	41.1 28.4	28 42	6.8	42 40	12.6 12.5	20 12	3.4 1.1	41 50	13.7 5.7	17 41	1.1	26 27	3.4 1.1	36 51	3.4 6.8	41 -24	3.4 2.3	21 29	.0 4.5	49 21	19.4 21.6		2.3	11
ULSTER	1986 1985	100.5 78.8	20 26	60.3 47.6	19 22	17.1 15.3	19 20	11.6	22 24	9.7 6.1	23 30	12.8 12.2	19 22	2.4	14 31	6.7 4.3	1 <i>6</i> 32	12.8 7.3	15 21	4.3	33 16	8.5 5.5	16 16	25.6 22.0		1.B 1.8	13 20
WARREN	1986 1985	39.6 35.9	49 53	19.8	52 50	3.6 3.6	56 55	7.2 5.4	36 42	3.6 3.6	38 38	5,4 7.2	43 36	 . ù	32 32	.0	53 52	3.6 5.4	40 28	.0	43 42	3.6 5.4	31 - 18	16.2 10.8		.0	33 42
HASHINGTON	1986 1985	31.6 17.6	56 58	19.3 12.3	53 56	8.8 5.3	37 47	1.8	57 56	3.5 3.5	40 39	1.8 1.8	52 51	.0	32 32	3.5	35 52	1.8	51 55	.0	43 42	1.8	43 51	10,5 5,3		.0	33 42
HAYNE	1986 1955	50.0 45.7	44	34.1 . 27.4	38 43	6.8 6.9	43 39	8.0 6.9	32 34	3.4 4.6	42 35	11,4	24 48	.0 1.1	32 25	4.5	27 30	3.4 3.4	42 41	.0	43 42	3.4 3.4	33 26	12.5 14.8		.0	33 42
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	154.2 144.1	8	106.7 101.2	7	26.3 22.6	. 7	13.4 11.4	17 15	31.7 32.9	6 6	23.9 22.1	5 6	3.6 4.6	9 8	7.8 7.5	14 16	19.5 16.6	12 11	6.B 6.0	11 10	12.6 10.6	12 12	27.2 25.0		.8	27 29
HACHINE	1986 1985	36.8 17.2	54 59	14.7	58 61	4.9	50°	7. 4 4.9	33 45	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	2.5	42 52	.0 2.5	55 45	.0	43. 42	.0 2.5	49 36	22.1 9.8		.0	33 42
YATES	1985 1985	66.0 65.2	34 33	37.7 32.6	35 36	.0 4.7	60 50	4.7	50 46	.0	55 52	14.2 9.3	16 31	.0	32 32	18.9 14.0	5 5	4.7 4.7	34 31	, 0 4, 7.	43 15	4.7	26 51	23.6 27.9		.0	33 42
NEWYDRKSTATE	1988 1985	216.6 195.3	AA AA	146.4 136.8	NA NA	37.1 33.4	NA NA	12.8 - 11.4	NA NA	60.0 57.2	NA NA	19.6 17.9	NA NA	8.2 8.5	NA NA	8.8 8.4	NA NA	33.8 26.1	NA NA	9.0 B.8	NA Na	24.B	NA NA	34.3 30.4	HA NA	2.1 2.0	NA NA
NEWYORKCITY	1986 1985	373.2 333.3	NA NA	260.1 243.6	NA NA	67.0 60.4	- NA NA	15.9 14.2	NA NA	120.1 113.9	NA NA	26.7 24.1	na Na	17.1 18.1	NA NA	13,2	NA -	63.8 48.1	NA NA	16.1 11.8	NA NA	47.7 36.3	NA NA	45.7 38.6	na Na	3.6	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

			MOLE DOLLKITOTON ONDE					
		Releases To Parole	Under Supervision During Year	Under Supervision On 12/31	Total	Ruie Violations	Жен Arrests	New Felony Convictions
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ALBANY	1986	84.5 4	229.0 4	166.9 4	84.7 27	15.4 25	49.3 22	20.0 32
	1985	70.8 5	195.5 4	142.3 4	99.1 19	18.0 23	55.9 12	25.2 26
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	11.9 54 11.8 48	33.7 52 51.1 39	15.8 59 21.6 50	.0 52 38.5 42	.0 36 .0 32	.0 49 38.5 25	.0 36
BRONX	1984	191.6 1	549.8 I	384.6 1	112.3 10	38.5 5	31.1 38	42.7 14
	1985	181.3 1	535.B I	361.1 1	126.7 9	40.3 6	36.1 27	50.3 5
BROOKE	1984	23.7 34	90.6 22	56.9 26	151.8 4	15.7 24	47.1 24	89.0 3
	1985	39.1 14	95.6 20	66.4 20	123.2 11	24.6 15	69.0 8	29.6 23
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	15.2 50 11.7 49	32.8 53 35.2 51	27.0 50 17.6 54	.0 52 33.3 44	.0 36	.0 49 .0 43	33.3 18
CAYUGA	1988	21.3 41	56.3 39	40.1 36	88.9 25	.0 36	66.7 11	22.2 31
	1985	16.3 42	55.1 35	35.1 37	22.7 4B	.0 32	22.7 37	.0 35
CHAUTAUQUA	1988	20.3 44	52.4 42	36.3 42	26.7 51	.0 36	.0 49	26.7 25
	1985	22.2 36	43.7 44	31.9 44	47.6 41	.0 32	31.7 30	15.9 32
CHEHUNG	1784	63.0. 6	144.8 9	91.7 10	145.0 6	7.6 34	91.6 5	45.8 10
	1785	56.5 8	123.9 10	80.4 11	157.9 4	17.5 24	96.5 2	43.9 7
CHENANGO	1986	8.0 59	40.0 51	26.0 51	150.0 5	.0 34	50.0 20	100.0 1
	1985	16.0 43	36.0 50	32.0 42	55.6 35	.0 32	55.6 13	.0 35
CLINTON	1984	29.6 24	73.9 28	48.0 30	83.3 29	16.7 23	50.0 20	16.7 35
	1985	29.4 24	67.4 28	44.1 28	72.7 27	36.4 9	.0 43	35.4 12
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	47.8 11 23.1 33	82.4 26 61.1 31	62.6 21 34.7 38	40.0 4B 54.1 37	20.0 21 .0 32	20.0 47 54.1 16	.0 36

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

										•					
		Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Und Superv On 1	ision	Tota	1	Rul Violat		Ner Arres		New Fr Convict	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	29.5 25.3	25 30	88.6 69.6	24 26	61.2 59.1		47.6 60.6	47 33	23.8	17 32	23.8 30.3	46 32	.0 30.3	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	6.4 6.4	60 58	17.0 17.1	61 59	17.0 10.7		.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0	
DUTCHESS	1988 1985	33.9 41.9	17 12	119.5 121.5	12 11	80.2 84.2		81.4 129.0	30 B	32.6 35.5	10 10	26.1 58.1	44	22.8 35.5	30 14
ERIE	1988 1985	31.8 34.5	20 17	94.7 100.6	- 19 17	66.0 62.5		98.5 116.7	22 12	8.8 19.4	32 22	60.2 80.9	13 5	29.5 16.4	23 31
ESSEX	1986 1985	27.5 1.1	28 51	80.8 46.7	35 42	52.3 33.0		.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 .0	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	20.5 22.8	-42 -34		48 43	32.0 20.6		166.7	2 51	55.6 .0	3 32	111.1	. <u>1</u>	.0 .0	
FULTON .	1986 1985	27.5 30.7	29 23	71.4 63.2	29 30	47.6 43.3		76.9 28.6	35 46	.0	36 32	76.9 .0	8 43	.0 28.8	
BENESEE	1985 -	25.5 15.2	32 44	82.9 57.6	33 34	45.9 37.3		108.1 264.7	14	27.0 147.1	12 2	81.1 88.2	. 7 4	.0 29.4	36 24
GREENE	1986 1985	16.6 9.6	47 53	40.4 38.6	50 46	35.6 24.1		.0 62.5	52 31	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 62.5	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	20.0	45 62	20.0	59 62	20.0		.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0	
HERKIHER	1986 1985	9.0 6.0	57 59	26.9 14.9	56 60	19.4 17.9		111.1	11 51	55.4	3 32	55.6	16 43	.0	
					*										

TABLE 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

			, ,,,,,	LL 001 LK131											
		Releas To Par	ole	Unde Supervi During	ision Year∉	Unde Supervi On 12	sion /31	Tota	-	Rul Violat	ions	Nex Acres	its	New Fo	tions
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	22.1 28.2	38 26	59.8 54.2	36 37	37.5 38.4	40 33	111.1 62.5	11 31	.0 20.8	36 20	37.0 41.7	31 24	74.1 .0	
(INGS	1984 1985	117.9 104.1	3	319.4 300.7	3	218.9 202.4	3	104.7 104.7	20 15	33.7 33.6	7 12	26.4 . 28.3	43 33	44.6 42.8	
EWIS	1986 1985	11.9 4.0	55 61	19.8 11.9	06 16	15.9 7.9	60 61	.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0	
LIVINGSTON	1984 · 1985	27.3 27.4	30 28	64.8 58.3	32 33	44.4 37.7	34 34	78.9 .0	34 51	26.3	13 32	52.6	19 43	.0 .0	
MADISON	1986 1985	12.0 12.0	53 47	28.5 30.0	55 54	19.5 16.5	56 56	105.3	18 51	.0	32 34	105.3	2 43	· .0	
HONROE	1986 1985	61.B 60.9	7	171.5 155.6	7	123.3 109.4	• 7: 7	88.0 104.8	26 14	13.3 24.6	24 16	56.4 59.3	15 10	18.3 21.0	
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	23.0 15.2	36 45	46.1 36.2	44 49	36.5 22.0	41 49	.0 52.6	52 38	.0	36 32	.0 52.6	49 17	.0 .0	
YASSAU	1986 1985	 30.5 26.4	22 29	86.8 74.1	25 24	61.2 56.0	23 25	114.9 96.3	8 21	26.1 23.3	14 17	40.9 30.4	27 31	47.9 42.6	
IEN YORK	1786 1785	129.7 112.8	2 2	335.2 307.2	2 2	225.4 205.2	2 2	143.1 135.5	. 7 . 6	57.1 44.9	2 5	31.5 37.4	36 26	54.5 53.2	
VIAGARA	1984 1985	45.6 47.1	12 11	125.9 121.0	11 12	77.5 80.4	16 12	 - 76.9 64.9	3 5	11.0 11.5	30 30	40.3 45.8	28 22	25. è 7. ŝ	
ONEIDA	1988 1995	30.2 31.5	23 21	80.9 71.8	27 25	56.3 50.3	27 26	84.6 138.9	28 5	5.0 33.3	35 13	54.7 55.6	18 13	24.9 50.0	

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

		Relea: To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Unde Supervi On 12	sion	Tota	1	Rul Violat		Ne:		New Fo Convict	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ONDNDAGA	1986 1985	49.4 47.9	10 10	137.7 142.1	10 B	100.6 87.9	• 9 •	79.9 130.1	32 7	25.1 45.4	15 4	28.2 49.9	4 <u>1</u> 19	26.6 34.8	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	31.5 28.1	21 27	68.3 67.1	30 29	55.3 36.8	28 36	79.4 96.8	33 20	.0 16.1	36 25	31.7 48.4	35 20	47.8 32.3	
DRANGE	1986 1985	29.5 24.9	26 31	89.5 91.9	23 22	58.9 60.9	25 23	75.4 66.7	37 29	7.9 19.6	33 21	35.7 23.5	34 36	31.7 23.5	
ORLEANS	1986: 1985	33.4 33.2	19 20	105.4 92.0	17 21	72.0 71.5	18 16	73.2 27.8	39 47	24.4	16 32	.0 27.3	49 34	48.9 .0	
OSWEGO	1986 1985	21.7 18.5	39 40	53.5 47.8	41 41	41.8 31.9	35 43	31.3	50 51	.0	36 32	31.3	37 43	0 .0	
OTSE60	1786 1785	B. 4 6.7	58 57	23.6 32.0	57 52	20.3 15.2	53 59	71.4 52.5	40 38	.0	36 32	71.4 52.6	9	.0 .0	
PUTNAN	1786 1785	15.9 11.1	48 50	40.4 38.3	49 47	35.5 24.7	44	60.6 32.3	44 45	.0	36 32	30.3	39. 43	30.3 32.3	
DUEENS	1984 1985	64.8	5	194.6 183.0	5	134.2 125.9	5 ბ	107.1 115.1	15 13	34.2 36.5	6	28.9 35.9	40 28	44.1 42.7	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	32.3 28.4	19 25	99.5 99.8	18 18	67.9 67.4	19 19	106.0 125.8	16 10	13.2 13.2	27 28	46.4 79.5	25 6	46.4 33.1	
RICHHOND	1986 1985	40.3 35.3	13 16	114.3 110.5	14 13	82.2 74.1	12 14	67.8 94.4	42 22	11.7 21.8	29 19	25.7 21.8	45 38	30.4 50.8	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	16.9 20.0	46 38	56.4 53.9	38	39.5 39.6	37 30	80.0 55.9	31 34	33.3 14.0	8 26	26.7 21.0	42 39	20.0 21.0	

TABLE LIA

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

		Relea To Pa		Unde Supervi During	sion Year*	Undi Supervi On 1:	ision	ĩot	al	Ru Viola	ions	Nei Arres		Nem Fi Convict	•
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Renk .	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	20.4 22.0	43 37	67.4 60.0	31 32	45.2 46.7	33 27	39.5 73.5		13.2	28 32	.0 14.7	49 42	26.3 58.8	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	4.9 5.0	62 60	12.8 17.9	62 58	8.0	62 60	190.5 193.4		.0 34.5	3 <i>6</i> 11	95.2 69.0	4 8	95.2 .0	_
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	26.0 33.9	31 19	94.5 97.0	20 19	61.9 69.4	22 18	105.6 102.7		21.1 6.8	20 31	42.3 75.3	26 7	42.3 20.5	
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	13.4 13.4	52 46	30.2 20.1	5 4 56	23.5 16.8	52 55	.0 166.7		.0 165.7	36 1	.0	49 43	.0	
SCHUYLER	1984 1985	28.7 34.3	27 18	92.0 102.8	21 16	80.5 62.8	13 21	62.5 55.6		.0	36 32	62.5 55.6	12 13	.0	
SENECA	1984 1985	59.2 82.4	8 4	190.0 167.9	ь -	127.7 128.2	6 5	47.2		32.8 .0	9 32	16.4	48 43	.0	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	15.5 22.6	49 35	54.7 54.4	40 36	35.1 39.0	46 31	113.2		18.9	22 32	56.6 18.9	14 40	37.7 .0	18 35
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	37.8 31.1	15 22	106.5 83.5	16 23	74.6 68.6	17 17	104.5 81.1		22.9 13.7	19 27	36.5 24.6	33 35	45.1 42.8	11 8
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	51.0 50.3	9	147.2 127.2	8	107.9 97.6	8	108.9 93.0		29.7 11.6	11 29	. 39.6 46.5	29 21	39.6 34.9	14 15
TIOGA	1984 1985	23.5 9.9	35 52	56.9 37.7	37 4B	39.2 33.7	38 40	69.0 52.6		.0 52.6	36 3	69.0	10 43	.0	
TOMPKINS	1984 1985	24.0 9.1	33 54	43.4 30.6	46 53	35.4 19.3	45 52	105.3 185.2		.0 37.0	36 7	105.3 148.1	2	.0	

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

	•															
	· .		Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Und Superv On 1		Tota	1	Rul Violal		Ne: Arres		Hen F Convic	
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Sate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985		39.0 36.6	14 15	112.1 105.6	15 15	79.E 73.3		97.8 69.4	23 28	10.9 23.1	31 18	48.9 34.7	23 29	39.0 11.8	
WARREN	1984 1985		21.6 7.2	40 56	48.6 50.3	43 40	30.8 27.0		.0 35.7	. 52 43	.0 .0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 35.7	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		5.3 8.8	61 55	21.1 19.4	58 - 57	12.3 15.9		166.7 90.9	2 24	83.3	1 32	83.3 90.9	6 3	.0	
HAYNE	1986- 1985		22.7 17.1	37 41	61.4 68.5	34 27	38.8 38.8		74.1 15.7	38 50	.0	36 32	37.0 16.7	31 41	37.0 .0	
WESTCHESTER	1984 1985		36.1 40.0	16 13	115.2 109.0	13 14	82.9 78.6		89.5 102.9	24 17	23.1 25.5	18 14	37.2 43.5	30 23	29.2 33.9	
HAONING	1986 1985		9.8 24.6	56 32	44.1 41.8	45 45	19.6 34.4		55. 6	45 51	.0 .0	36 32	55.6 .0	16 43	.0	
YATES	1986 1985		14.2 18.8	51 39	42.5 27.9	47 55	33.0 27.9		.0	52 51	.ú .0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985		72.8 67.9	NA NA	210.2 195.5	na Na	147.3 137.3		121.0 116.1	AA AN	37.8 - 34.1	na Na	42.1 41.3	na Na	41.4 40.8	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		115.2 104.4	HA NA	316.9 299.2	NA NA	218.5 201.5		114.9 119.1	NA NA	39.8 38.2	na Na	29.2 33.6	NA NA	45.8 47.3	

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

[•] The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

¹² The total number of paroless revoked and returned during a given year.

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS :

			ctions		ttals			ssals		secuted	Family	red To Court	· .		ther		Tı	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent			Percent		Percent		Percent	Ŋ		Percent		Number	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	9 5	66.7 38.5	- 0 0	0		0	.0	0	.0	3	25.0 61.5		1	B.3		12 13	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA			NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	· .	0		•	0	
BRONX	1986 1985	72 89	52.6 71.8	2	1.5		39 21	27.7 16.9	7 5	5.1 4.0	10 4	7.3 3.2		- 5	5.8 4.0		137 124	
BROOME	1986 1985	0	NA 100.0	0	NA .0		0	NA .0	0	NA . O	0	NA .0	· _	0	NA .0			
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	: 0	na Na	0	NA -NA	-	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA Na		0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	0	na Na	0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	- NA- NA		0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	· 0	NA - 100.0	0	NA .O		0	NA . O	0	NA . O	0	NA .O		0	NA .0		0	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	· 0 0	NA NA		0	. NA NA		0	100.0
HENANGO	1986 1985	0	HA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na		0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	0 2	NA 100.0	0	NA .0		0	NA . O	0	NA . O	0	NA .0		0	NA 0		0 2	100.0 100.0
COLUNBIA	1986 1985	. O	. O NA	0	. O NA		0	. 20 . NA	0	.0 NA	. 1	100.0 NA		0	. O NA		1 0	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0 0	na Na		0	KA Na		0	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA - NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS *

		Convictions	Acquittals	Dismissals	Not Prosecuted	Removed To Family Court	Other	Total
COUNTY	Year	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent
DUTCHESS	198 6 1985	0 .0 1 25.0	0 .0 1 25.0	0 , 0 1 25.0	0 .0	1 100.0 1 25.0	0 .0 . 0	1 100.0 4 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	4 30.8 2 8.7	1 7.7 1 4.3	5 38.5 14 60.9	0 .0	2 15.4 4 17.4	1 7.7 2 8.7	13 100.0 23 100.0
ESSEX	1986	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	D NA	D NA	0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1984	0 NA	0 HA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 100.0
	1985	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	1 100.0	0 .0	1 100.0
FULTON	1986	0 NA	O NA	0 NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	0 NA	O NA	0 NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
GENESEE	1986	АН 0	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	АК 0	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 NA	O NA	0 100.0
BREENE	1986	' O NA	O NA	0 НА	0 NA	O NA	0 HA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	O NA	0 НА	0 NA	O NA	0 NA	0 100.0
HAHILTON	1986	O NA	O NA	0 NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	O NA	0 NA	O HA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
HERKIHER	1986 1985	0 AA 0 AA	AK O	0 AA 0 AA	O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986	2 100.0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	2 100.0
	1985	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	138 32.8 92 24.7	2 .5 4 1.1	89 21.1 55 14.8	35 9.3 26 7.5	153 36.3 192 51.6	5 1.2	421 100.0 372 100.0
LEWIS	1986 1985	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986	O NA	O NA	0 HA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	O NA	0 HA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0

				victions			ittals		issals		secuted	 Remov Family	Court	,	Oti			Total	
	COUNTY	Year		r Percent			Percent		Percent		Percent	Number			Number			er Per	
	HADISON	1986 1985		I 100.0		0		0	.0 AM	 0 	. O NA	 0	. 0 NA		0	.O NA			100.0 100.0
	KONROE	1986 1985		1 33.3 6 25.0		0		0	.0 4.2	0 2	8.3	2 12	66.7 50.0		0	.0 12.5			100.0 100.0
	HONTGOHERY	1986 1965		0 NA 0 NA		· ()		. 0		 0	NA NA	· 0.	NA NA		0 0	na Na			100.0 100.0
	NASSAU	1986 1985		8 53.3 4 50.0		· 0		1 0	6.7	1 0	6.7 .0	1	20.0 50.0		1	.0			100.0 100.0
R_ 108	NEW YORK	1986 1985	8	7 39.2 7 35.4		· 1	.5 .4	93 99	41.9 40.2	11 16	5.0 6.5	39 30	13.5 15.9		0	.0 1.2	22 24		100.0 100.0
~	NIAGARA	1986 1985		2 66.7 0 .0		. 0		1	33.3 100.0	0	.0	0	.0		0 0	.0			100.0
	ONEIDA	1985 1985		1 33.3 1 100.0		0		0	.0	i 0	33.3	1	.0 33.3		0	.0 .0			100.0 100.0
	ONONDAGA	1986 1985		5 55.6 8 53.3		0	• • •	2 L		0 0	.0	2 6	22.2 40.0		0	.0			100.0 100.0
	ONTARIO	1986 1985		0 NA 0 NA			NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na		0	NA NA			100.0 100.0
	DRANGE	1985 1985		O NA O NA	-	0	*	0		0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA		0	na Na			100.0 100.0
	ORLEANS	1986 1985		O NA O NA		. (0		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0.	NA NA			100.0 100.0
	OSWEGO	1986 1985		O NA		- () ()		0		0	NA NA	0	. NA Na		0	na Na			100.0 100.0
	OTSE60	1986 1985		O NA O NA		- 0		0	NA HA	0 0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA		0	na Na			100.0 100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

	_		_	Convic			ittals			ssals			secuted	Remove Family	Court	-	Oti		 Tota	al
COUNTY		Year	j	Number			Percen	t i		Percent	N		Percent	Nucber			Number		er P	Percent
PUTNAN		1986 1985		0	NA NA	0	N/		0	NA NA		. 0	NA NA	- 0 0	NA NA		0	na Na	0	100.0
BUEENS		1986 1985		80 80	46.9	3,2			30 23	23.4 24.0		0	1.0	 35 36	27.3 37.5	-	1 -0 -	.B	28 96	100.0
RENSSELAER		1986 1985		. 0 0	NA NA	 0			0	NA NA		0 0	NA NA	0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	100.0
RICHMOND		1986 1985		2	16.7	0			J	25.0 50.0		3 2	25.0 20.0	1	33.3 10.0		- Q 0	.0	12 10	100.0
ROCKLAND		1986 1985		: 0 0	na Na	0			0	NA * NA	•	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	•	0 0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE		1986 1985		0	NA NA	0			0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA		1986 1985		0	NA . O	0			0	NA . O	•	Q 0	NA . O	0	NA .0		0	NA 100.0	0	100.0
SCHENECTADY		1986 1985	-	0	. O NA	0			0	. Û NA		0	.O NA	1 0	100.0 NA		0	.O NA	0	100.0
SCHOHAR 1E		1986 1985		0	NA NA	· 0	.,,		. 0	NA NA		0	na Na	0	NA NA		. 0 0	NA NA	0	100.0
SCHUYLER		1986 1985		0	NA NA	· 0			0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	na Na	0	100.0 100.0
SENECA		1986 1985		0	NA NA	0	Ni Ni		. O	NA NA		0	na Na	0	- NA NA		0	NA HA	0	100.0 100.0
STEUBER		1986 1985		0	HA .0	0			0	NA 100.0		0	NA .O	0	NA ·		0	NA .0	0	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK		1986 1985		8 16	36.4 30.2	0	4.		- 1	4.5		0	.0	31 8	36.4 58.5		4 5	18.2 9.4	22 53	100.0 100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

				tions				ttals		 Disai	ssals	_		Not Pro			 Family	ved To v Court	 Dŧ	her	 To	tal
COUNTY	lear 			Percen	t ,		nber	Perc			Percen	t	- ,	Number		ıt.		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		0	H.			0		NA - NA	0	H - N			0		NA NA	0	HA NA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
7106A	1986 1985		0	. N			0		NA NA	0	H			0		IA IA	0	HA HA	0	HA NA	0	100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		0	Ni Ni			- 0 0		na Na	0	N			0		ia ia	0	NA NA	0	NA Na	0	100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985		0 1	N 100.			0		NA . O	0	. N			0		ia . o	0	NA .0	0	NA . U	0	100.0 100.0
HARREN	1986 1985		0	N.			- 0 0		NA NA	0	H		-	0		IA IA.	0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
HASHINGTON	1986 1985		0	N N			0		NA -NA	0				0		la la	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
MAYNE	1986 1985		1	100.			0		.O NA	0	H			0	. • N	O IA	0	.0 - NA	0	.0. AM	-1 0	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985		10 14	76. 70.			0 1		.0 5.0	. 0	10.			0	15.	4	3	7.7 15.0	0	.0	13 20	100.0 100.0
HADATKOAN	1986 1985		- 0	H			0		NA NA	0	" N		•	. 0		iA IA	0	na Na		na Ha	. 0	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985		0	H			0		NA NA	Ç 0	N			0		ia Ia	0	na Na	. 0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985		410 369	40. 36.	2		10 10		1.0	263 225	25. 22.			60 54	5. 5.		257 342	25.2 33.6	21 19	2.1 1.9	1,021	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		359 307	39. 36.			- 8 _.		.9 .8	. 253 203	27. 23.			56 52	6. 6.		232 272	25.2 32.0	14 8	1.5	921 849	100.0 100.0

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS *

COUNTY	Year 	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
ALBANY	1784 1985	87.5 100.0	.0	.0	12.5	.0	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986	NA	на	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	На	Na	NA	NA	100.0
BRONX	1984	37.5	12.5	6.9	38.9	4.2	100.0
	1985	31.5	14.6	7.9	42.7	3.4	100.0
BROOME	1986	NA	HA	NA	МА	NA	100.0
	1985	100.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	'NA 'NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0
CAYUGA	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	na	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	Na	NA	Na	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1984	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	100.0	. O	.0	. O	.0	100.0
CHEMUNG	1784	AK	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1785	NA	NA	NA	NA	HA	100.0
CHENANGO	1986	- NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	NA	100.0
CLINTON	. 1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	59.0	. O	.0	50.0	.0	100.0
COLUMBIA	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	Na	NA	NA	100.0
CORTLAND	1786	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1785	NA	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
DELAYARE	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0

⁽ Continued on next page.)

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

	COUNTY	 Year	State Facility	·		Local Jail	-	Local Jail and Probation		Probation	 Other	 Total Convictions
	DUTCHESS	1984 1985	NA .0			NA . O		NA . O		NA 100.0	NA .0	100.0 100.0
	ERIE	1986 1985	75.0 50.0		~	25.0		.0		.0 50.0	.0	100.0 100.0
	ESSEX	1986 1985	NA NA			na Na	•	NA NA		NA NA	"NA NA	100.0 100.0
	FRANKLIN	1986 1985	NA NA			NA NA		NA NA		na Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
B-112	FULTON	1986 1985	MA Ma			NA NA		NA		na Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	GENESEE	1986 1985	na Ha			NA NA		NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	GREENE	1984 1985	HA NA			na Na		NA NA	•	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	HAHILTON	1986 1985	NA NA			NA NA		HA NA		AK AA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	HERKINER	1986 1985	HA NA			NA NA		NA NA		AK AK	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	JEFFERSON	. 1986 1985	100.0 NA			.O NA		.0 KA		.C NA	.0 KA	100.0 100.0
	KINGS	1986 1985	29.0 37.0			8.7 12.0		20.3 17.4		39.1 30.4	2.9 3.3	100.0 100.0
	LERI2	1986 1985	na Na			NA NA		NA NA		NA NA	 NA NA	100.0 100.0
	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	na Na			NA NA		NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS #

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
MADISON	1786	0.001	. O	.0	.0	.0	100.0
	1785	An	Na	Na	NA	АЯ	100.0
KONROE	1986 1985	100.0 16.7	.0 16.7	.0 66:7	.0	.0	100.0 100.0
HONTGOMERY	1986	NA	NA	HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	62.5 50.0	12.5	.0	25.0 25.0	.0 25.0	100.0
NEW YORK	1986	39.1	2.3	3.4	55.2	.0	100.0
	1985	52.9	12.6	1.1	29.9	3.4	100.0
NIAGARA	1986	100.0	.0	.O	.0	.o	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	Na	NA	Na	100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	.0	.0	.0 100.0	100.0	.0	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	.0 50.0	20.0	.0	80.0 59.0	.0	100.0
OLIRATIO	1 984	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
DRANGE	. 1986	NA	AA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Ax	Na	NA	Na	100.0
ORLEANS	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	Na	NA	NA	100.0
CSNEGO	1986	Ka	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
OTSEGO	1986	HA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	HA	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0

TABLE 128

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
PHANTUP	1786	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1785	NA	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0
QUEENS	1786	20.0	8.3	25.0	45.0	1.7	100.0
	1785	36.1	5.6	2.8	47.2	8.3	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	50.0 50.0	.0	.0	50.0 50.0	.0	100.0: 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986	NA	na	NA	NA	na	100.0
	1985	Na	Na	NA	NA	An	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1984	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
SARATOGA	1984	NA	NA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	Na	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	NA	na	NA	NA	Na	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	HA	Na	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1984	NA	na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	Na	100.0
SCHUYLER	.1986	na	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	AA NA		100.0 100.0
STEUDEN	1984	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0
SUFFOLK	1986	25.0	12.5	.0	50.0	12.5	100.0
	1985	10.8	12.5	37.5	18.8	12.5	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS :

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
SULLIVAN	1986	NA	NA	NA	na	HA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	Na	100.0
TIOGA	1984	NA	NA	на	na	KA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	на	Na	NA	100.0
TOMPKINS	1986	NA	NA	na	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	Na	NA	NA	100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	NA . O	.D	АК 0	NA . O	NA 100.0	100.0 100.0
Harren	1986 1985	AH AH	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0
WASHINGTON	1986	NA	NA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Ka	NA	Na	NA	100.0
WAYNE	1986	100.0	.O	.0	.O	. O	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	Na	100.0
WESTCHESTER	1984 1985	40.0 42.9	10.0	40.0 28.6	10.0 14.3	.0 14.3	100.0 100.0
HAOHING	1986	HA	NA	н	na	NA	100.0
	1985	HA	Na	н	Na	NA	100.0
YATES	.1986	NA	NA	HA	MA	NA	100.0
	1985	HA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
HEN YORK STATE	1986	34.6	8.0	13.4	41.7	2.3	100.0
	1985	39.8	10.8	10.6	33.6	4.9	160.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986	31.8	7.8	14.2	44.0	2.2	100.0
	1985	39.7	12.1	8.1	36.2	3.9	100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in aultiple sentence dispositions le.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1984 -1986

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) .

			 		PERCENT O	F DISPOSITION	S REPORTED	 				AVERAGE PERCE	
			1984 Arrest	5		1985 Arrests	.		1986 Arrest	s - ·	-	1984-86 ARRES	its
COUNTY	_	Total	 Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Misdeseanor	Total	Felony	Kisdemeanor
ALBANY		98.2	84.3	89.8	71.2	87.2	92.7	79.1	73.8	91.4	86.0	81.3	67.9
ALLEGANY		57.6	61.6	54.5	58.3	55.9	56.9	52.4	44.3	55.3	56.0	52.9	56.9
BRONX		B9.4	90.2	88.3	87.8	89.4	85.8	74.8	75.3	74.1	83.5	84.4	92.5
BROOME		82.1	B4.3	81.4	80.7	79.9	81.0	71.3	67.3	72.5	77.8	77.1	78.1
CATTARAUGUS		- 67.B	40.5	49.1	70.0	45.4	71.1	1.14	53.7	52.8	66.1	59.5	67.5
CAYUGA	•	68.4	72.3	67.5	76.2	80.2	75.1	52.0	51.8	52.1	66.2	69.0	45.8
CHAUTAUDUA		78.5	73.1	79.7	92.3	77.8	83.3	70.9	68.4	71.5	77.1	72.9	78.0
CHEMUNG		Bû.3	64.6	79.1	81.3	74.0	81.9	49.1	62.7	71.0	76.9	75.4	77.4
CHENANGO		45.3	58.9	66.6	70.7	74.2	70.1	51.2	48.5	63.3	45.9	40.9	46.8
CLINTON		76.1	76.6	76.0	74.1	72.0	74.7	59.5	53.2	61.7	49.9	45.9	71.0
COLUMBIA		74.6	75.8	74.2	74.9	73.2	75,4	49.8	47.5	70.5	73.2	72.3	73.5
CORTLAND		82.8	85.1	B2.5	80.5	78.5	89.8	62.2	58.2	63.1	75.1	72.4	75.6
DELAWARE		49.5	. 72.1	69.0	73.8	72.5	74.0	59.0	58.1	59.3	67.3	66.3	67.5
DUTCHESS		95.2	62.1	26.1	86.2	54.0	87.0	67.5	60.7	70.0	79.6	75.1	B1.2
ERIE		83.6	85.0	B3.1	84.9	85.5	84.7	79.5	73.2	69.5	79,35	81.1	78.9
ESSEX		73.9	69.0	75.4	76.3	71.3	77.4	43.3	55.2	66.1	70.8	43.8	72.8
FRANKLIN		75.7	76.2	75.8	74.1	73.0	74.3	48.5	63.6	69.3	72.5	70.9	72.9
FULTON		78.B ·	B1.9	77.8	79.7	78.0	80.2	74.8	67.B	76.4	77.8	76.9	78.1
GENESSE		48.6	75.3	67.1	49.7	58.2	72.3	62.8	49.3	66.1	8.84	59.8	48.5
GREENE		75.9	71.6.	77.7	77.7	75.6	78.4	49.5	64.7	71.1	73.9	70.1	75.3
HANILTON		68.8	88.9	66.2	50.0	63.3	39.5	47.6	50.0	47.1	54.8	73.5	50.5
HERKIMER		62.1	67.9	60.7	67.3	68.7	67.0	52.1	48.6	53.3	60.4	40.2	60.5
JEFFERSON		71.5	76.9	69.5	72.1	75.0	71.1	67.0	64.6	68.1	70.2	71.7	69.6
KINGS		86.3	86.9	85.4	B2.3	B3.6	80.7	66.3	4.83	63.3	77.4	79.0	75.2
LEKIS		61.7	8.86	59.9	65.3	81.3	4.04	47.5	54.5	44.4	58.9	0.88	55.9
LIVINGSTON		76.9	73.6	77.4	78.0	74.2	78.2	60.4	49.4	62.5	71.6	64.2	72.7
MADISON		73.7	76.2	73.2	72.4	67.9	73.4	64.5	59.4	65.7	69.9	67.1	70.6
HONROE		69.3	83.8	90.9	72.4	70.5	73.0	59.0	51.9	61.2	72.9	47.5	74.6
MONTGOMERY		72.9	 77.5	71.9	72.1	76.1	71.3	56.2	52.7	59.3	67.2	67.B	67.1
NASSAU		88.6	90.9	87.7	80.1	85.5	77.6	55.7	£1.6	53.1	74.7	79.0	72.9
NEW YORK		90.9	90.9	90.9	90.2	89.4	90.6	79.4	77.5	B0.5	85.5	85.7	87.0
NIASARA		90.4	89.1	90.6	90.6	85.9	92.0	77.6	66.2	81.0	85.B	79.9	67.b
DNEIDA		75.1	74.7	75.2	74.6	77.7	73.6	64.1	61.6	44.9	71.0	71.1	71.0
ONONGAGA		81.4	74.9	84.3	78, 2	71.0	81.9	54.9	46.8	59.0	71.2	63.9	74.7
ONTARIQ		72.7	74.0	72.4	70.4	77,2	69.0	45.6	69.7	64.8	69.3	73.4	4.83
ORANGE		60.4	79.0	81.1	91.5	78.0	82.7	57.6	49.2	50.5	72.6	67.B	74.3
DALEANS		69.4	75.9	67.8	70.7	78.3	67.2	55.6	34.0	56.3	65.3	49.2	63,9
CSWEGO -		79.6	77.3	80.0	76.8	70.5	78.5	62.2	58.7	63.1	72.6	49.1	73.7
OTSEGO		71.6	43.9	73.1	77.5	75.0	79.1	£2.9	50.5	53,4	70.9	55.7	71.7
PUTKAN		82.4	£3,£	64.7	81.4	56.3	85.4	63.2	44.6	69.3	74.6	55.7	79.4
		4.1	6616	6485	77.7	30.0	Bu-7	2012	77.2	35.3	17.0	1011	11.3

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARKESTS, 1985 ARKESTS, AND 1986 ARKESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARKESTS (ADULTS CHLY) . - Continued

	•				PERCENT O	F DISPOSITION	NS REPORTED					AVERAGE PERC OF DISPOSE	
			1984 Arrest	5		1985 Arres	ls		1986 Arres	ts .		1984-86 ARRE	
COUNTY	1	otal	Felony	Misdeaeanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Xisdemeanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeceanor
QUEENS	ç	0.8	92.2	89.5	99.1	90.0	89.3	73.8	73.8	73.8	84.0	64.2	83.7
RENSSELAER		8.3	74.4	79.4	77.8	76.1	78.3	66.6	50.4	66.4	74.0	69.9	75.1
RICHHOND		2.1	92.8	91.7	90.5	92.4	89.3	76.3	78.9	74.6	86.1	87.8	85.0
ROCKLAND		4.8	76.0	74.3	75.8	79.0	74.7	56.0	53.5	57.1	69.3	49.1	69.4
ST. LAWRENCE		2.1	29.0	54.9	54.3	34.B	58.1	51.2	32.3	54.5	52.5 °	31.9	56.5
SARATOGA		8.1	75.7	78.3	84.6	80.5	85.5	76.3	66.8	78.4	79.7	74.4	80.8
SCHENECTADY		8.7	85.1	89.7	91.8	87.4	92.5	77.2	86.7	80.3	95.6	80.0	87.3
SCHOHARIE		5.9	70.5	54.3	70.9	78.3	69.7	62.0	0.46	61.1	63.2	70.7	41.8
SCHUYLER	7	0.9	70.B	71.0	- 74.3	74.0	74.3	88.3	66.7	68.7	71.2	70.6	71.3
SENECA	. 7	1.6	62.0	73.4	43.2	66.7	62.3	52.₺	53.6	52.3	62.3	40.6	52.7
STEUBEN	7	1.9	80.8	69.8	73.4	71.6	73.9	63.3	58.4	64.6	69.3	69.4	69.3
SUFFOLK		5.5	88.0	86.0	83.1	63.9	82.8	53.9	60.6	51.7	74.5	77.5	73.5
SULL IVAN	8	5.0	B3.1	85.6	81.3	77.0	82.6	65.8	41.1	67.B	77.6	73.4	79.1
TIOGA	7	8.0	76.0	78.3	75.8	81.1	74.6	58.0	57.3	58.1	70.0	69.7	70.0
TOMPKINS	E	2.1	77.8	83.0	78.1	49.0	79.9	80.3	43.2	84.0	73.1	62.2	75.4
ULSTER		9.9	65.9	71.2	70.0	61.9	72.6	65.6	59.2	67.7	6P.4	62.2	70.4
WARREN		17.5	89.5	87.1	89.7	88.0	90.0	20.2	E0.1	80.2	85.7	65.6	85.7
WASHINGTON		3.0	61.9	63.3	62.9	63.3	62.8	55.9	61.8	55.4	60.9	62.3	60.5
HAYNE	ł	2.3	40.8	62.8	48.5	65.3	69.1	67.6	58.6	69.3	66.2	41.6	47.0
WESTCHESTER		7.7	· 69.0	67.2	74.4	77.5	73.1	65.5	70.8	63.3	49.2	72.5	67.9
HYDHING	4	2.0	45.1	36.2	43.4	63.6	38.4	33.0	42.9	30.4	39.5	57.5	35.1
YATES	7	0.5	83.3	67.8	60.7	82.1	55.1	57.4	50.5	57.0	62,2	71.3	59.5
NEW YORK STATE		5.3	86.9	84.4	83.8	85.1	83.1	69.6	70.3	59.2	79.2	60.3	78.6
HEN YORK CITY		9.5	89.7	89.4	87.8	87.8	87.0	74.6	73.8	75.2	83.5	83.3	83.7

^{*} This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBTS data system as of July 1907. 'Interia' dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.

SGURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

APPENDIX D


NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS

NEW YORK STATE

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES



4 3



APPENDIX E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES1

OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	all	D
Assault 1st ²	120.10	all	C
Aggravated Assault on			
Peace Officer2	120.11	00	В
Mans-laughter 1st ²	125.20	all	В
Murder 2nd, Attempted	125.25		В
Rape 1st ²	130.35	all	В
Sodomy 1st ²	130.50	all	В
Sexual Abuse 1st	130.65	all	D B B B
Agg. Sexual Abuse ²	130.70	all	В
Kidnapping 2nd ²	135.20	00	В
Kidnapping 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	135.25	all	В
Burglary 2nd2	140.25	all all	C
Burglary 1st ²	140.30	all	В
Arson 2nd ²	150.15	all	В
Arson 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	150.20	all	В
Robbery 2nd ²	160.10	all	C
Robbery 1st ²	160.15	all	В
Intimidation 2nd4	215.16	all	D
Intimidation 1st ^{2,4}	215.17	all	В
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ²	265.02	04,05	D _i
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd2	265.03	00	C .
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st ²	265.04	all	В
Crim. Use Firearm 2nd ²	265.08	all	Č
Crim. Use Firearm 1st ²	265.09	all .	В
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00	D

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd	125.25	all	A-1
Murder 1st, Including			
Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00,01,02,03	D

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

 $^{^2}$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

⁴Effective 11/1/86.

APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

<u>Board of Prisoners</u>. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- o <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- o <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- o <u>State Readies</u>: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

Community Treatment Programs. The Department of Correctional Services has in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child, or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate; these funds are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

<u>Victim and Witness Assistance Program</u>. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and,
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological, and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Funding and Program Assistance.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapid increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971. When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing presentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays, and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:--

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organization Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

Law Guardian Back-Up Center. Statewide Youth Advocacy of Rochester has received a legislative appropriation to establish the Law Guardian Back-Up Center. This Center will provide technical assistance and training to law guardians practicing before family courts primarily outside of New York City. Training and legal research will cover JD, PINS, and abuse/neglect proceedings. Social work and legal assistance will also be provided. A brief bank and library will be developed, as will an inventory of dispositional alternatives and community-based programs. Updates will be provided on legal and legislative developments. Videotaped training to be used in rural counties will be developed. Staff will include two lawyers and one social worker.

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buying-money." In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for - Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Unit.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

Narcotics Control. The federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 established a program of grants to State and local agencies for drug law enforcement. Under this Act, the State and localities are eligible for funds under the Narcotics Control Assistance Formula Grant Program. New York State is eligible for \$111,539,00 in federal fiscal year 1987. It is anticipated that the federal award for FY 1988 and FY 1989 will be for the same amount.

The New York State Legislature has identified the following priority areas: apprehension, prosecution and adjudication of persons who violate State and local controlled substances laws, as defined in the penal and public health laws; additional correctional resources for such persons; programs to eliminate the growth and production of controlled substances, programs for drug-dependent offenders; and demonstration programs to identify major offenders and move these offenders expeditiously through the judicial system.

The distribution of funds will be in the ratio of 39.61 between State agency programs and local government programs. These federal funds must be matched on a cash basis with one dollar in non-federal funds for every three dollars in federal funds.

Nassau County Service to Rape Victims Task Force. Advocacy services for victims of sexual assault include the following: hospital advocacy (support, information, referral, follow-up); criminal justice system advocacy; recruitment, supervision, and training of volunteers; newsletters; field placement for students; public information through public speaking and distribution of literature.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act. Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police. The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

New York City School Security Officer Program. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulation, workshops, and training seminars.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Offender Aid and Restoration of Western New York, Inc., (OAR/WNY). (OAR/WRY) delivers a wide variety of services to ex-offenders and their families. OAR/WRY conducts workshops that train ex-offenders in setting employment goals and completing applications. Ex-offenders learn about employer expectations and techniques for successful job interviews and job retention. The family services program delivers support and counseling to families before, during, and after the offender's incarceration and helps the family in making requests for assistance through social service agencies. The program seeks to address the needs of the ex-offenders in order to facilitate their successful reentry into society.

<u>Prisoners' Legal Services</u>. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie, and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

<u>DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES</u> (continued)

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities, and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984 to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

<u>Special Narcotics Court Program</u>. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized, and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and an enhanced level of criminal parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

<u>Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program</u>. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

(S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

<u>Program for Ex-Offenders</u>. Transitional services program designed to expedite the reintegration of ex-offenders into the community upon their release from Suffolk County Correctional facilities. A multi-service, multi-disciplinary, inter-agency approach including therapy, family counseling, supplementary education, job training, job placement, legal aid, needs-based payments, and linkages to services for areas outside the program's scope.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major Violent Offense Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

<u>Iransit Crime Interdiction Program</u>. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

<u>Transit Strike Force</u>. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the

<u>DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES</u> (continued)

subway system. The crimes include homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies which are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners, and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

<u>Community Patrol Officer Program</u>. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

Westchester Datafacs. The Westchester Datafacs System is a regional network of nine photofacsimile transmitters placed strategically throughout the country to service the Criminal History Record Information requests of forty local police departments. The Criminal History Record Information, or "rap-sheet," is transmitted from the Criminal History Repository located at the Division of Criminal Justice Services in Albany to the requesting local police department. In turn, the information is then forwarded to the District Attorney and Court enabling the presiding judge to make a better informed bail/bond or release decision.

Information expedites the criminal justice process and serves to reduce the number of defendants detained in the County Jail facility. In this sense, the Datafacs System is truly an alternative to incarceration.

DIVISION OF PAROLE

Parole Resource Center. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

<u>Parole Transitional Facilities</u>. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve services performance.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

"Classifications/Alternatives" Bill. The "Classifications/Alternatives" bill (Chapters 907 & 908, Laws of 1984) was enacted on August 6, 1984 to help reduce overcrowding pressures in the county jails, to facilitate more efficient and practical jail population management, and to support the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The statute reflects a unique and effective linkage of two distinct criminal justice issues to facilitate reduced reliance on confinement and more efficient utilization of that significant scarce resource -- jail beds. This legislation reduces the number of state mandated classification categories in local correctional facilities from twelve to four. However, in order for a county to take advantage of this reduced classification system, it must prepare and carry out a service plan (approved by the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives) for the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The service plan must be the collaborative product of a county advisory board composed of the key criminal justice decision makers, and must present a comprehensive overview of the local criminal justice system which serves to identify target populations, factors contributing to overcrowding, programmatic needs, and specific proposals for the use of state aid available under the bill. In fiscal year 1986-87, a total of \$3,050,000 was available to all counties and the City of New York. These funds are to be distributed according to a modified population-based formula outlined in the statute.

In the two and one-half years that have passed since enactment of this legislation, important and far-reaching changes have taken place in the activities and organization of local criminal justice systems. Almost eighty percent of the jurisdictions in New York State have taken advantage of the bill's provisions. Forty-four counties and the city of New York submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and have now implemented alternatives to incarceration programs. During State fiscal year 1986-87 (the second year of funding) a total of 67 programs were supported and operated consistent with the counties' service plans.

<u>Demonstration Projects</u>. These projects generally fall into one of four program models: community service, defendant-based advocacy, pre-trial, and offender rehabilitation/specialized services. In FY 1986-87 there were 31 such projects. During the current fiscal year, FY 1987-88, 28 of these 100% State funded projects are expected to provide services to more than 15,000 clients.

Demonstration projects are designed to reduce the incidence of incarceration through the use of community-based alternatives that emphasize public safety and cost effectiveness.

<u>Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program</u>. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

<u>DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES</u> (continued)

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The January 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

Regular State Aid. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

Community Based Organization. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

Community Care Program. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism, and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

<u>Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

Job Development. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living Support. Gives the Division the authority and financial capability to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand this program. Statutory provisions, which were modified during 1985, permit the expansion of this program into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which DFY was formerly limited.

<u>Special Delinquency Prevention Program</u>. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk to help prevent unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH (continued)

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

<u>Voluntary Agency Care</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psychosocial and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

<u>Salaries of District Attorneys</u>. Pursuant to County Law 700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs are targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories. Responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88.

APPENDIX G

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to NYC agencies that provide services citywide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the NYC and State profiles.

			Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1.850.9	1,970.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9
Division for Youth ³	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	: 		47.5	47.5	NA	52.5	· · · · · ·
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,387.9	128,159.9	70.1%	94,355.2	109,970.7

 $^{^{1}}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	·		Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	14.8	10.9	. NA		
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	9,699.7	132.8%	· · · · ·	
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	284.9	1,659.7	NA		
State Readies ³	·			1,064.9	NA		
Community Treatment Programs ⁴		215.1	434.2	·	NA	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	214.4	NA		
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		Appropriations		
<u>Program</u>	FY_82-85	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,354.3	-5.2%	5,206.0	8,507.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	543.2	18.5%	700.3	1,203.1
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	140.5	26.8%	143.6	260.2
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	. 43.3	0.0
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3

 $^{^{1}}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $^{^{2}}$ FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	********	·	Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative			0.0	55.6	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection		175.0	0.0	.0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1.893.0	2,024.5	2,090.9	18.8%	2,133.0	2.133.0
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	722.1	834.8	956.0	956.0	32.4%	1,028.0	1,069.0
Justice Assistance Act ⁵	·				NA	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	884.0	-10.1%	975.2	1,055.1
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	6,624.0	18.0%	6,756.5	7,161.9
Narcotics Control ⁷					NA		4.006.9
NYC_School Security ⁸ Officer Program	,			, -	NA	0.0	250.0
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program			0.4	0.0	NA		
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰			1,395.0	1,299.5	NA	1,440.0	1,400.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	16,521.5	31.0%	16,789.5	17,433.0
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹²				1,322.6	NA NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force 13		3.500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Patrol ¹⁴ Officer Program					NA	100.0	150.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{^{3}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁵ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹² This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	:		Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ²	398.0	511.4	477.0	516.8	29.8%	654.8	774.8
Parole Transitional ³ Facilities		37.1	172.9	309.1	, NA	496.1	496.1
Employment Services ⁴ Project				**************************************	NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9

 $^{^{}m 1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 4}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

•			Expenditures			Appropi	-iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ² Alternatives				1,130.9	NA	0.0	1,302.8
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.6	2,074.6	270.9%	1,664.3	2,511.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	1,478.9	53.8%	3,399.3	4,569.5
PINS Program ³		- 	: *****		NA	631.7	1,314.6
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	12,065.3	35.2%	13,574.8	13,855.4
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9

 $^{^1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{}m 3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
CITYWIDE 1 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	:		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ²	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention					• 1		
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	2,191.8	131.7%	2,213.0	5,023.6
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	16,947.8	123.9%	9,723.5	9,043.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	516.6	.27.3%	691.4	645.9
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	4,630.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁴			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	69.7	NA	0.0	1,139.3
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	9,201.4	103.6%	0.0	0.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	25,024.4	127.8%	14,041.7	14,077.1
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

APPENDIX H STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the State profile.

Table 1
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	n NA	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5
Division of Parole				·	***		
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	102.8	601.0
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	: 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	NA		525.0
TOTAL	14,008.2	15,375.5	14,344.0	20,948.3	49.5%	53,930.6	69,232.2

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

⁴ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	51.5	55.5
Felons	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ³				0.0	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ⁴		0.0	0.0		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^3}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	2,803.0	4,190.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program	•						
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	899.5	0.0
TOTAL	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0

 $^{^{1}}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $^{^2}$ FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		Appropriations		
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative			0.0	0.0	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	695.0
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	NA ·	597.8	628.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁵		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·		NA	1,611.6	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	0.0	-100.0%	1,088.9	1,078.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁷		330.0		u.u	NA		6,070.2
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour 9 Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	0.0	60.1	-90.5%		487.0
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰			0.0	0.0	NA	20.0	10.0

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	746.8	-26.3%	766.8	849.3
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

³ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^5}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 85-87	FY 87-88
Classification ² Alternatives			: : : :	0.0	NA	0.0	83.2
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. NA	100.0	39.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	199.3
PINS Program ³					NA	2.8	0.0
Regular State Aid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	279.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

² This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ²	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Care ³ Initiative					NA	219.0	219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	1,951.4	5,131.7%	131.9	0.0
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	330.0	NA	274.5	0.0
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	12,092.2	60.9%	9,553.5	8,222.7
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^2}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁴ Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

 $^{^{5}}$ Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

APPENDIX I JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES

JUVENILE OFFENDER CRIMES¹ (as of 1/1/87)

AGE	OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
13-15	Murder 2nd Murder 2nd ² Kidnapping 1st ² Arson 1st Manslaughter 1st Rape 1st Sodomy 1st Aggravated Sexual Abuse ³ Robbery 1st	125.25 125.25 135.25 150.20 125.20 130.35 130.50 130.70 160.15	01,02 03 all all all 01,02 01,02 all all	A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1 B B B B
11 11	Burglary 1st Arson 2nd Robbery 2nd	140.30 150.15 160.10	all 00 02	B B C
11	Assault 1st Burglary 2nd	120.10 140.25	01,02 01	C C

¹ Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 10.00(18).

 $^{^2}$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³Effective 9/1/81.

REFERENCES

- Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u>
 <u>Statistical Yearbook</u>. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.
- New York State Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.
- New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.
- New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. Resident Employment Status and the Civilian Labor Force: January 1984 October 1986.
- New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.
- New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.
- New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Justice Systems Analysis and the Office of Administrative Services.
- . New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).
- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.
- Report. March, 1987.
- New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.
- New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.
- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Each requestar will receive one volume free of charge; a \$2.00 charge to cover postage and handling will be applied to each additional volume requested.

To order by phone, please call (518) 457-8381. To order by mail, please place a check mark () next to the volumes you would like. New York State Volume I Volume II Binghamton Region Volume II Binghamton Region
Volume III Buffalo Region
Volume IV Capital Region
Volume V Elmira Region
Volume VI Lower Hudson Region
Volume VII Mid-Hudson Region
Volume VIII Mohawk Valley Region
Volume IX New York City Region
Volume X Northern Region
Volume XI Rochester Region
Volume XIII Syracuse Region
Volume XIII Major Metropolitan Ar Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region Volume XIII Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties) Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to: Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203 NAME_____ TITLE AGENCY

TELEPHONE NUMBER

ADDRESS_____

CITY____STATE___ZIP___

¹This charge does not apply to New York State agencies or officials.

