




COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES

1982-1986

VOLUME VIII
MOHAWK VALLEY REGION



JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

111620

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by
New York State Division

of Criminal Justice Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES NEWYORK STATE

NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILE: 1982-1986

Volume VIII

MOHAWK VALLEY REGION

FULTON COUNTY
HAMILTON COUNTY
HERKIMER COUNTY
ONEIDA COUNTY

OFFICE OF JUSTICE SYSTEMS ANALYSIS
BARRY SAMPLE, EXECUTIVE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES
RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING PATRICK LERNER LORI DRAPER CAROL RUSSO

FEBRUARY, 1988

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS


We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to Gerald Luciano for graphic designs he created for the publication and to the secretarial staff in the Office of Justice Systems Analysis, in particular to Joan Burgess and Carol Novak, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

CONTENTS

	Pa	ge(s)
INTRODUCTION		. 1
ORGANIZATION	OF EACH PROFILE	7
Section I Section II Section III Section IV Section V	Criminal Justice Agencies Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Local Assistance Funds Correctional Facilities	9 9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STAT	TE PROFILE	13
COUNTY PROFIL	LES FOR THE MOHAWK VALLEY REGION	
Fulton County Hamilton Cour Herkimer Cour Oneida County	nty nty	
	ILLUSTRATION	
NEW YORK STAT	TE REGIONAL MAP	5
	APPENDIXES	
APPENDIX A	EXPLANATORY NOTES	A-1
	Analyses Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Section III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	A-1 A-1 A-2 A-2 A-2

			Page(s)
APPENDIX	В	DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986	B-1
		Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section II and Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparable to those in which the data were presin Section III.	sented
APPENDIX	C _i	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1984 - 1985	C-1
APPENDIX	D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
		Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX	Ε	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX	F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
		Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-11 F-12 F-14 F-16
APPENDIX	G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX	Н	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
APPENDIX	I	JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES	I - 1
REFERENC	ES		R-1

^{*} Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.



INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the system's major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. Juvenile Offender processing data and more detailed data on alternative to incarceration programs have been incorporated into this edition. The 1986 data are compared to the 1982 data. In addition, the 1986 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities for both 1985 and 1986.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from both the "demographic and socioeconomic characteristics" and "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section II and Section III, respectively. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u> <u>Profiles</u> publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- o Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- o Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.

ပိုာ

ORGANIZATION OF THE PROFILES

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each of the counties in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each profile are described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 1 Population Demographics: 1980

Table 2 Educational Attainment of Population 25 Years of Age or Older: 1980

Table 3 Population and Density Per Square Mile: 1982-1986

Table 4 Per Capita Income: 1982-1984

Table 5 Public High School Dropouts: 1981-82 to 1985-86

Table 6 Unemployment: 1984-1986

Table 7 Public Assistance: 1982-1986

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Table 2B Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru

June 1987

Table 2C Arrests for Selected Offenses

Table 2D Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs

Table 2E Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses

Table 3A Felony Indictments

Table 3B Felony Indictments: January thru June 1987

Table 4A Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor

Arrests

Table 4B Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

CRIME	& CRIM	MINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued
Table		Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests
Table	5B	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests
Table Table		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table Table		Probation Department Activity Probation Revocations
Table	8A	Alternatives to Incarceration: Demonstration Projects
Table	88	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Pretrial Release
Table	80	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Community Service
Table	8D	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Defender-Based Advocacy
Table	8E	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Specialized Alternatives
Table Table		County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
Table	10A-1	Admissions to State Prison
Table	10A-2	(New York State Profile) New Court Commitments to State Prison
Table	10B	(New York State Profile) Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
Table	10C	December 31 (New York State Profile) Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
Table	10A	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles)
Table	10B-1	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 (New York City and County Profiles)
Table	108-2	The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC
		(New York City and County Profiles)

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table 11A Parole Supervision Cases
Table 11B Revoked and Returned Parolees

Table 12 Juvenile Offender Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions


SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1		Agency Funding Summary
Table 2	·	Department of Correctional Services
Table 3	}	Crime Victims Board
Table 4	ļ	Division of Criminal Justice Services
Table 5	;	Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 6	i	Division of Parole
Table 7		Division for Youth

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

Table 1A Table 1B Table 1C	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary Facilities Operating Budget Summary Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

^{*} Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.



STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Division of Criminal Justice Services
Executive Park Tower
Stuyvesant Plaza
Albany, New York 12203

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Law
The State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

New York State Police
Building 22
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Department of Correctional Services
Building 2
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Office of Court Administration Alfred E. Smith State Office Building PO Box 7025 Albany, New York 12225

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 Region MID-ATLANTIC
State Capital ALBANY
Square Miles 47,377
Population Percent Change 1970-1980 -3.7%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Numbe	Number r Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Populat	ion 17,558,	072 NA	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square M	ile 37	0.6 NA	'nА	NA
Sex: Ma	ale 8,339,	421 NA	47.5%	NA
Fema	ale 9,218.	651 NA	52.5%	NA
Race: Wh	ite 13,960,	868 NA	79.5%	NA
Non-Wh	ite 2,752,	114 NA	15.7%	NA
Unkno	own 845,	090 NA	4.8%	NA
Ethnicity: Hispan	nic 1,659,	300 NA	9.5%	NA
Age: 9 and Uno	der 2,320.	804 NA	13.2%	NA
10 to	15 1,721,	835 NA	9.8%	NA
16 to	18 960.	207 NA	5.5%	NA
19 to	24 1,842,	534 NA :	10.5%	NA
25 and 0v	er 10.712.	692 NA	61.0%	NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	10,712,692	100.0%	NA
8th Grade or Less	1,962,158	18.3%	· NA
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	1,653,751 3,651,739	15.4% 34.1%	NA NA
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	1,529,817 1,923,547	14.3% 18.0%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		P0	<u> PULATION 1982-19</u>	186		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	17,567,000	17,667,000	17,735,000	17,782,754	17,772,100	NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.8	372.9	374.3	375.3	375.1	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

<u> </u>	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	12,228	13,063	14,341	NA

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 were not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL OROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

				School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	1983-84	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	65,229 6.7%	60,311 6.5%	60,834 6.9%	55,880 6.5%	49,391 5.8%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	583,455 7.2%	543,805 6.5%	526,363 6.3%	<u> 1380</u>

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986							
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,285,535 7.3%		1,381,514 7.8%	1,383,399 7.8%	1,363,126 7.7%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of C	rimes		1986 Crimes	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	1,020,401	5,741.6	-9 6%
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	174,860	983.9	0.4%
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1.777	1,688	1,909	10.7	-5.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	5,403	30.4	5.3%
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	91,376	514.2	-15.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	76,172	428.6	28.1%
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	845,541	4,757.7	-11.5%
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	216,157	1,216.3	-25.9%
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	516,492	2,906.2	-1.9%
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	112,892	635.2	-17.6%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	- Num	ber of Arre	sts	-	1986 Arrests	
1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
374,301	383,075	403,785	421.631	456,087	2,566.3	21.9%
141,679	141,301	149,343	151,279	172.153	968.7	21.5%
58,946	58,215	61,158	60,158	65,735	369.9	11.5%
82,733	83,086	88.185	91.121	106,418	598.8	28.6%
232,622	241,774	254,442	270,352	283,934	1,597.6	22.1%
	374,301 141,679 58,946 82,733	1982 1983 374,301 383,075 141,679 141,301 58,946 58,215 82,733 83,086	1982 1983 1984 374,301 383,075 403,785 141,679 141,301 149,343 58,946 58,215 61,158 82,733 83,086 88,185	374,301 383,075 403,785 421,631 141,679 141,301 149,343 151,279 58,946 58,215 61,158 60,158 82,733 83,086 88,185 91,121	1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 374,301 383,075 403,785 421,631 456,087 141,679 141,301 149,343 151,279 172,153 58,946 58,215 61,158 60,158 65,735 82,733 83,086 88,185 91,121 106,418	1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Per 100,000 Population 374,301 383,075 403,785 421,631 456,087 2,566.3 141,679 141,301 149,343 151,279 172,153 968.7 58,946 58,215 61,158 60,158 65,735 369.9 82,733 83,086 88,185 91,121 106,418 598.8

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		Number ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	233,061	4.3%	
	FELONY ²	86,268	2.3%	
	VIOLENT	31,282	-1.3%	
	OTHER	54,986	4.4%	
	MISDEMEANOR	145,793	5.5%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES 1

(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres	ts	·	1986 Arrests	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
MÜRDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	1,420	8.0	-11.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,155	2,140	12.0	9.4%
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,231	19,713	22,706	127.8	13.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,554	19,802	22.241	22,945	24,830	139.7	27.0%
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,687	19,471	20,540	115.6	-16.1%
LARCENY	67,502	66,891	65,814	68,220	75.476	424.7	11.8%

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

	:	Nun	ber of Arre	sts		1986 Arrests	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	48,226	53,768	66,982	74,199	91,624	515.5	90.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	34.373	38,441	48,097	52,662	74,938	421.7	118.0%
POSSESSION	18,110	23,827	30,556	34,394	49,535	278.7	173.5%
SALE	9,433	10,544	12,684	13,691	20.174	113.5	113.9%
OTHER	6,830	4,070	4,857	4,577	5,229	29.4	-23.4%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13.853	15,327	18,885	21,537	16,686	93.9	20.5%
POSSESSION	7,053	7,252	8,881	10.747	8,596	48.4	21.9%
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,790	8,090	45.5	19.0%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of Arrests					1986 Arrests Per 1,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Licensed Drivers	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55,566	60,887	64,261	62,870	59,387		6,0	6.9%
FELONY	3,184	3,748	3,032	3,065	3,536		0.4	11.1%
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,229	59,805	55,851		5.6	6.6%

Fe lony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense ($\underline{\text{Vehicle and Traffic Law:}}$ State of New York - Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	46,674 100.0%	46,147 100.0%	47,961 100.0%	50,016 100.0%	55,810 100.0%	19.6%		
VIOLENT	24,537 52.6%	22,711 49.2%	22.820 47.6%	22,000 44.0%	21,833 39.1%	-11.0%		
OTHER	22,137 47.4%	23,436 50.8%	25,141 52.4%	28,016 56.0%	33,977 60.9%	53.5%		

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS 1: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987 2

(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

		<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		ı	
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	31,062	17.4%			
	VIOLENT	10,937	1.1%			
	OTHER	20,125	28.7%			

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

² Preliminary data.

	Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS	307,486 100.0%	336,313 100.0%	337,261 100.0%	363,264 100.0%	371,229 100.0%	20.7%			
CONVICTIONS	208,720 67.9%	233.893 69.5%	234,263 69.5%	251,609 69.3%	248,728 67.0%	19.2%			
ACQUITTALS	1,831 0.6%	2,119 0.6%	2,172 0.6%	2,261 0.6%	2.257 0.6%	23.3%			
DISMISSALS	87,013 28.3%	89,771 26.7%	91.177 27.0%	99,038 27.3%	110.615 29.8%	27.1%			
NOT PROSECUTED	7,612 2.5%	7,169 2.1%	6.630 2.0%	7,220 2.0%	6.602 1.8%	-13.3%			
OTHER	2,310 0.8%	3,361 1.0%	3,019 0.9%	3,136 0.9%	3,027 0.8%	31.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 48
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Resulting	from Felony Ar	rests		9/ Charan
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	118,988 100.0%	127,576 100.0%	126,882 100.0%	134,164 100.0%	142,148 100.0%	19.5%
CONVICTIONS	77,746 65.3%	86,370 67.7%	84.585 66.7%	89,207 66.5%	90,738 63.8%	16.7%
ACQUITTALS	1,304 1.1%	1,469	1.527 1.2%	1,558 1.2%	1,555 1.1%	19.2%
DISMISSALS	35,279 29.6%	34,826 27.3%	35,673 28.1%	38,400 28.6%	44,469 31.3%	26.0%
NOT PROSECUTED	3,961 3.3%	4,064 3.2%	4.303 3.4%	3,967 3.0%	3,977 2.8%	0.2%
OTHER	698 0.6%	847 0.7%	794 0.6%	1,032 0.8%	1.409 1.0%	101.9%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Changi 82 vs 8		
CONVICTIONS	208,720 100.0%	233,893 100.0%	234,263 100.0%	251,609 100.0%	248.728 100.0%	19.2%		
FELONY	26,558 12.7%	32,121 13.7%	31,197 13.3%	33,669 13.4%	37,108 14.9%	39.7%		
VIOLENT	11,719 5.6%	14,667 6.3%	13,671 5.8%	13,264 5.3%	13.671 5.5%	16.7%		
OTHER	14.839 7.1%	17,454 7.5%	17,526 7.5%	20,405 8.1%	23,437 9.4%	57.9%		
MISDEMEANOR	103,560 49.6%	112,772 48.2%	113,538 48.5%	121,797 48.4%	118,736 47.7%	14.7%		
LESSER OFFENSES	78,602 37.7%	89,000 38.1%	89,528 38.2%	96,143 38.2%	92,884 37.3%	18.2%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	77,746 100.0%	86,370 100.0%	84,585 100.0%	89,207 100.0%	90,738 100.0%	16.7%
FELONY	25,657 33.0%	31,113 36.0%	30,191 35.7%	32,289 36.2%	35,690 39.3%	39.1%
VIOLENT	11,719 15.1%	14,667 17.0%	13,671 16.2%	13,264 14.9%	13,671 15.1%	16.7%
OTHER	13.938 17.9%	16,446 19.0%	16,520 19.5%	19,025 21.3%	22,019 24.3%	58.0%
MISDEMEANOR	38,110 49.0%	39,913 46.2%	38,450 45.5%	39,976 44.8%	39,198 43.2%	2.9%
LESSER OFFENSES	13.979 18.0%	15.344 17.8%	15.944 18.8%	16,942 19.0%	15,850 17.5%	13.4%

 $^{^{1}}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A $\begin{tabular}{lll} {\bf Table 6A} \\ {\bf SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS}^1 \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & &$

	<u></u>	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.6	6.5					
LOCAL JAIL	26.6	26.8	29.4	31.4	33.1					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.5					
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	9.9	9.8	9.5					
FINES	32.5	33.0	33.0	31.3	28.6					
OTHER	22.2	21.1	19.0	18.8	18.8					

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 68

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	19 Felony	982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser	19	984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		986 ² Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0% .	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	43.2	NA	44.1	NA	43.7	NA	41.8	NA	43.4	NA
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.0	14.3	28.8	13.6	31.8	13.9	34.0	13.3	36.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	14.1	1.2	15.6	1.2	17.2	1.1
PROBATION	27.7	8.2	26.2	7.7	26.5	7.4	26.6	7.2	24.4	6.9
FINES	0.8	37.1	1.2	38.0	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.0	0.8	33.5
OTHER	1.2	25.3	1.2	24.2	1.1	21.7	1.1	21.5	1.0	21.9

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nu	mber of Cas	es		1986 Cases	
	1982	1983	1984.	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	138,427	778.9	-8.7%
INVESTIGATIONS							
RECEIVED	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	156,142	878.6	17.0%
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	27,555	155.0	9.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	128,587	723.5	18.9%
PRE-DISPOSITION							
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15.154	16,883	17,956	101.0	17.1%
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	8,849	49.8	-4.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	9,107	51.2	49.2%
PROBATION							
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	48.072	270.5	19.3%
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	6,904	38.8	1.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	41,168	231.6	23.0%
UNDER PROBATION ²							
SUPERVISION	112.858	124,048	133,821	143,609	156,149	878.6	38.4%
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	14,063	79.1	3.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	142,086	799.5	43.1%
UNDER PROBATION							
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	114,766	645.8	45.1%
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	7,209	40.6	2.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	107,557	605.2	49.3%

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca		1986 Revocations		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 Probationers	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	7,814	50.0	56.0%
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	787	56.0	29.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	7,027	49.5	59.7%
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	3,502	24.6	70.6%
NEW CONVICTION	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	3,525	24.8	50.3%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE	TO INCARCERATION	PROGRAMS: DEM	ONSTRATION PROJEC	TS
	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	FY 1986-87
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227	17,532

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Tab I	e 8B		Table 8	BC .	
PRETRIAL RELEASE	PROGRAM: 198	6	COMMUNITY SERVICE	PROGRAM: 1	986
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	34,695	NA .	CASES SENTENCED	1,338	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	20.500	100.0%	CASES DISCHARGED	881	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	7,933	38.7%	SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	662	75.1%
Tabl			Table 8		
DEFENDER-BASED ADVO	CACY PROGRAM:	1986	SPECIALIZED ALTE	RNATIVES: 1	986
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	709	NA	CLIENTS SERVED	526	NA
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:			RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT	537	100.0%
INFORMATION AND REFERRA	L 467	NA -	ACCEPTED	367	68.3%
REPORTS PREPARED FOR CO	URT 269	100.0%			
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR				

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

		Numb	er of Admi	ssions		1986 Admissions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	* ,	190,388	196,447	210,186	234,452	1,319.2	NA
UNSENTENCED	*	141,411	147,974	159,474	183,416	1,032.0	NA
SENTENCED	*	48,977	48,473	50,712	51,036	287.2	, NA

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 98
COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,225	17,730	19,011	19,635	21,448	120.7	24.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,966	16,792	17,534	18,736	21,134	118.9	32.4%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	21,121	118.8	28.6%
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,656	12,956	15,056	84.7	33.1%
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,352	5,766	6,065	34.1	18.5%

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 10A-1
ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

		Numbe	r of Admiss	ions	<u> </u>	1986 Adm.Rate	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ¹	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 36
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14.848	15,570	19,090	107.4	50.0%
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	742	4.2	69.8%
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	50	.3	-19.4%
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1.223	1,497	1,907	2,808	15.8	128.5%
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	445	430	356	244	365	2.1	-18.0%
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	158	.9	12.9%
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	33	.2	312.5%

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $\label{eq:table_10a-2} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON^1}$

			Number of urt Commit	ments	:	1986 New Court Committments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86	
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%	
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	7,759	43.7	17.2%	
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	938	5.3	4.3%	
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	581	3.3	56.2%	
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	3,574	20.1	5.8%	
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	1,444	8.1	51.8%	
WEAPONS	587	718	633	6.77	649	3.7	10.6%	
OTHER	435	459	524	574	573	3.2	31.7%	
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1,235	1,623	1.877	2,218	3,228	18.2	161.4%	
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	886	5.0	179.5%	
SALE	918	1,265	1,401	1.618	2,342	13.2	155.1%	
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	3,653	20.6	59.9%	
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	294	1.7	10.9%	

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 108

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		umber of I n State Pr	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100,000	9/ Channa			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,276	34,737	38,502	216.6	36.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES 1	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	26,024	146.4	30.8%
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	6,597	37.1	37.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	2,278	12.8	38.9%
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	10,666	60.0	14.6%
BURGLARY	1.674	2,462	2,961	3,188	3,476	19.6	107.6%
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	1.450	8.2	18.5%
OTHER	1,232	1,257.	1,361	1,489	1,557	8.8	26.4%
DRUG FELONIES ²	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	6,008	33.8	127.0%
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	1,597	9.0	140.2%
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	4,411	24.8	122.6%
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,261	5,408	6,095	34.3	17.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	471	384	359	357	375	2.1	-20.4%

 $^{^{}m l}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

	·	 			
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u>	1986
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634	198

 $^{^{}m l}$ Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	·	Numb	er of Parol	1986 Parolees			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9.283	11,312	10,911	12,068	12.942	72.8	39.4%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	37,359	210.2	45.8%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	26,176	147.3	46.1%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Parol		1986 Revocations		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 Parolees	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	4,519	121.0	75.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	1,403	37.6	62.2%
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	1,571	42.1	24.6%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	1.545	41.4	245.6%

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

			Number of Cases	.	· '	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	1,441	1,248	1,275	1.257	1,200	-16.7%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	564	496	447	505	429	-35.4%
DISPOSITIONS	1,419	1,213	1,105	1,019	1,021	-28.0%
CONVICTIONS	517	515	388	369	410	-20.7%
STATE FACILITY	257	251	158	147	142	-44.7%
LOCAL JAIL	34	40	24	40	33	-2.9%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	28	32	39	40	55	96.4%
PROBATION	183	166	133	124	171	-6.6%
OTHER	15	26	34	18	9	-40.0%
ACQUITTALS	7	5	7	10	10	42.9%
DISHISSALS	269	202	189	225	263	-2.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	139	70	73	54	60	-56.8%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	443	413	430	342	257	-42.0%
OTHER	44	8	18	19	21	-52.3%

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Dept. of Correctional Services	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0	
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99.016.1	109,107.3	
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5	
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	32.851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8	
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3	
Other Local Aid								
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	442.5	698.8	88.9%	870.2	896.0	
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project	. · ·		,		· NA		525.0	
TOTAL	191,309.8	214,860.7	243,177.1	359,999.1	88.2%	322,918.1	356,608.3	

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY, 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Board of Prisoners ¹								
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	32.7	572.0%	51.5	55.5	
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	18,574.6	82.3%	22,770.1	25,655.4	
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	3,697.5	472.0%	2,936.4	6,615.3	
State Readies ²				2,322.3	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8	
Community Treatment Programs ³		311.8	873.3	•••	, NA	400.0	460.0	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	487.7	300.1	275.8	315.8	-35.0%	366.0	400.0	
TOTAL	11.326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0	

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

•			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8,002.6	23.7%	8,009.0	12,697.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program								
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401,9	1,272.1	47.1%	3,622.1	3,443.8	
Court Related	407.8	406.6	719.9	520.0	27.5%	626.1	767.1	
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	223.3	24.9%	561.7	547.0	
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	337.2	-10.6%	567.2	681.1	
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	26.7	-70.7%	613.0	350.5	
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4
DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Oollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		593.9	236.5	NA		-
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		1,420.5	1,585.7	1,351.0	, NA	2,637.1	3,042.5
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	4,067.4	23.8%	4,150.2	4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Program ³	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	1,593.1	26.5%	1,625.8	1,697.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁴			•	•	NA ·	3,379.7	
Juvenile Justice ⁵ and Delinquency Prevention	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	1,579.5	-44.6%	2,673.9	2,821.0
Law Guardian Backup Center ⁸	: ****			•.	NA		100.0
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	11,247.6	11.8%	11,499.8	12,189.8
Mobile Radio District Program ²		1,904.3	3,600.6	3,368.3	NA NA	4,288.3	1,270.0
Narcotics Control ⁷					, NA		11,539.0
Nassau County Rape ⁶ Victim Services					NA		30.0
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,000.0	1,900.0	881.9	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYC School Security ⁹ Officer Program		 	'. 		, NA	415.0	250.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	63,0.0	797.5
Offender Aid and Restoration Program				 .	NA NA	, ,	50.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2.207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour ¹⁰ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	309.8	403.0	-36 6%		487.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3.386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹¹			2,499.4	2,347.9	NA	2,499.5	2,499.8

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Suffolk Re-Route ⁶ Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA		260.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹²	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	48,471.4	29.4%	50,279.5	52,516.2
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹³				1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force 14		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6
Community Patrol ⁹ Officer Program	· . ••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	NA	100.0	150.0
Westchester Datafacs ⁶	- -		. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		NA NA	→ ₩	85.0
TOTAL	80,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

² No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^4}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{5}}$ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{8}}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

 $^{^{13}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Parole Resource Centers ¹	529.4	668.9	706.1	798.4	50.8%	1,035.8	1,192.2	
Parole Transitional ² Facilities		37.1	286.9	430.8	NA	626.3	626.3	
Employment Services ³ Project					NA	700.0	700.0	
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5	

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		···	Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives	·			2,336.9	NA	3,045.9	3,312.8
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	4,750.7	493.9%	5,478.5	5,411.5
Direct Services	182.1	208.4	184.1	228.0	25.2%	273.8	266.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	5,218.4	60.2%	7,777.2	10.257.6
PINS Program ²					NA	1,000.0	2,505.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	37,861.3	32.3%	41,663.3	44,804.2
TOTAL	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8

 $^{^{\}rm l}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community-Based ² Organizations		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	324.8	318.0	NA NA	466.5	466.5
Community Care ³ Initiative					NA	500.0	500.0
Detention							
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	10,600.4	165.0%	6,770.3	9,900.0
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	25,101.8	173.5%	14,738.3	15,500.0
Job Development ⁴	· ·	· · · ·			NA	697.6	583.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	1,838.8	52.4%	2,409.0	2,570.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	11,745.0	-5.1%	13,351.8	14,281.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	4,509.1	142.7%	6,496.4	6,018.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁵	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			87.8	NA	2,200.0	2,220.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	52,710.8	177.4%	28,100.0	26,300.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	77,307.5	162.1%	40,600.0	41,500.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
	DOCS Facilities	38,188	21,369	
	OFY Facilities	2,027	2,760	
	TOTAL	40,215	24,129	

TABLE 18

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
DOCS Facilities	445,537.8	525,228.2	598,305.9	602,846.5	35.3%	670,107.0	728,920.9		
OFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063,6	71,988.9	76,135.5	30.2%	78,541.4	82,519.1		
TOTAL	503,998.1	589,291.8	670,294.8	678,982.0	34.7%	748,648.4	811,440.0		

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	96,465.2	374,661.8	229,596.9	44,079.0	
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	2,366.7	10,897.3	4,503.5	4,573.2	
TOTAL	108,309.5	177,817.7	98,831.8	385,559.0	234,100.4	48,652.2	

COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE MOHAWK VALLEY REGION

Fulton County Hamilton County Herkimer County Oneida County FULTON COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop G Headquarters 504 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York 12211 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Room 211, County Office Building Johnstown, New York 12095

Probation Department 64 East Fulton Street Gloversville, New York 12078

Public Defender's Office 35 East State Street Gloversville, New York 12078

Assigned Counsel Plan 8 Freemont Street Gloversville, New York 12078 Sheriff's Department Route 29 and Harrison Street Johnstown, New York 12095

Youth Bureau County Building Johnstown, New York 12095

County Court Court House Johnstown, New York 12095

Family Court County Building Johnstown, New York 12095 Region MOHAWK VALLEY

County Seat JOHNSTOWN

Square Miles 497

Population Percent Change 1970-1980 4.8%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	55,153	43	100.0%	NA
r Square Mile	111.0	33	NA	NA
Male Female	26,457 28,696	NA NA	48.0% 52.0%	47 16
White Non-White Unknown	54,369 693 91	NA NA NA	98.6% 1.3% 0.2%	18 42 54
ty: Hispanic	305	, NA	0.6%	46
9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	7,929 5,801 3,020 4,825 33,578	NA NA NA NA	14.4% 10.5% 5.5% 8.7% 60.9%	29 45 54 56 12
	r Square Mile Male Female White Non-White Unknown ty: Hispanic 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24	Population 55,153 r Square Mile 111.0 Male 26,457 Female 28,696 White 54,369 Non-White 693 Unknown 91 ty: Hispanic 305 9 and Under 7,929 10 to 15 5,801 16 to 18 3,020 19 to 24 4,825	Number Rank	Number Rank Percent Population 55,153 43 100.0% r Square Mile 111.0 33 NA Male 26,457 NA 48.0% Female 28,696 NA 52.0% White 54,369 NA 98.6% Non-White 693 NA 1.3% Unknown 91 NA 0.2% ty: Hispanic 305 NA 0.6% 9 and Under 7,929 NA 14.4% 10 to 15 5,801 NA 10.5% 16 to 18 3,020 NA 5.5% 19 to 24 4,825 NA 8.7%

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	33,578	100.0%	12
8th Grade or Less	6,581	19.6%	9
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	7,009 12,554	20.9% 37.4%	1 38
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	4,307 3,127	12.8% 9.3%	54 59

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

POPULATION 1982-1986										
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986				
Population	55,100	55,600	56,200	55,411	54,600	45				
Density Per Square Mile	110.9	111.9	113.1	111.5	109.9	33				

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

·	PER CAPITA 1	INCOME: 1982-1984		
	1982	1983	1984	Income Rank 1984.
Per Capita Income	9,099	9,631	10,487	41

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	 PUBLIC HIC	SH SCHOOL DROP	<u> </u>	<u>82 10 1985-</u>	86			
			School Year					
		<u>1981-82</u>	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>	
Public High School S Who Dropped Out of S	Number	151	178	182	156	181		
	Percent	4.4%	5.4%	5.5%	5.0%	5.9%	7	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6 UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986

	ONETH CO	IMEN1: 1964-1:	500		Percent Rank
		1984	1985	1986_	1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number	3,008	3,300	2,844	
	Percent	11.8%	13.1%	11.4%	4

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

		FODETC A33	ISTANCE: 198	2-1380			Percent Rank
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number	2,412	2,351	2,143	2,444	2,568	
	Percent	4.4%	4.2%	3.8%	4.4%	4.7%	20

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of Cr	1986 C Per 10 Popula	W. Channe			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	2,222	2,011	2,253	1,936	1,661	2,950.6	28	-25.2%
VIOLENT	69	110	116	53	55	97.7	52	-20.3%
MURDER	1	0	2	0	1	1.8	38	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	3	10	6	3	6	10.7	38	100.0%
ROBBERY	14	15	10	7	9	16.0	37	-35.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	51	85	98	43	39	69.3	52	-23.5%
PROPERTY	2,153	1,901	2,137	1,883	1,606	2,852.9	28	-25.4%
BURGLARY	538	475	602	569	388	689.2	32	-27.9%
LARCENY	1,524	1,341	1,446	1,231	1,143	2,030.4	25	-25.0%
MV THEFT	91	85	89	83	75	133.2	21	-17.6%

 ${\tt SOURCE:} \quad {\tt Division \ of \ Criminal \ Justice \ Services, \ Uniform \ Crime \ Reporting \ System.}$

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	630	653	865	773	761	1,393.8 57	20.8%
FELONY	182	150	221	191	146	267.4 61	-19.8%
VIOLENT	47	42	59	42	33	60.4 58	-29.8%
OTHER	135	108	162	149	113	207.0 62	-16.3%
MISDEMEANOR	448	503	644	582	615	1,126.4 52	37.3%

TABLE 2B
FELCNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
	ARRESTS	457	22.8%		
	FELONY ²	93	34.8%		
	VIOLENT	19	18.8%		
	OTHER	74	39.6%		
	MISDEMEANOR	364	20.1%		

 $^{^{\}mathrm{l}}$ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES 1

(Adults Only)

	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population							,000		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
MURDER	3	1	3	0	1		1.8	33	-66.7%	
FORCIBLE RAPE	2	3 '	0	0	2		3.7	50	0.0%	
ROBBERY	2	10	4	3	. 3		5.5	47	50.0%	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	18	, 9	10	13	14		25.6	50	-22,2%	
BURGLARY	66	54	71	50°	28		51.3	59	-57.6%	
LARCENY ²	106	130	239	174	160		293.0	43 .	50.9%	

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests						1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	. <u> </u>	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
DRUG ARRESTS		18	. 11		58	13	32	58.6	42	77.8%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)		11		ļ.,	35	3 ,	25	45.8	38	127.3%
POSSESSION		8	2		8	2	16	29.3	40	100.0%
SALE		2			26	0	9	16.5	23	350.0%
OTHER		1	2		1	1	. 0	0.0	47	-100.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)		7	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	23	10	7	12.8	52	0.0%
POSSESSION		3			2	5	6	11.0	44	100.0%
SALE		4	, ()	21		1	1.8	54	-75.0%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

		Numbe	er of Arrest	s		1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	146	172	204	221	208	5.9 45	42.5%
FELONY	19	13	13	19	9	0.3 46	-52.6%
MISDEMEANOR	127	159	191	202	199	5.6 44	56.7%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).

 $\label{eq:table 4A} \mbox{DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS}^1 \\ \mbox{(Adults Only)}$

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS	534 100.0%	525 100.0%	641 100.0%	709 100.0%	647 100.0%	21.2%			
CONVICTIONS	414 77.5%	426 81.1%	497 77.5%	562 79.3%	523 80.8%	26.3%			
ACQUITTALS	2 0.4%	4 0.8%	0 0.0%	0.0%	1 0.2%	-50.0%			
DISMISSALS	114 21.3%	88 16.8%	143 22.3%	142 20.0%	120 18.5%	5.3%			
NOT PROSECUTED	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 0.4%	0 0.0%	NA			
OTHER	4 0.7%	7 1.3%	1 0.2%	2 0.3%	3 0.5%	-25.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS	144 100.0%	134 100.0%	176 100.0%	190 100.0%	134 100.0%	-6.9%			
CONVICTIONS	119 82.6%	120 89.6%	154 87.5%	169 88.9%	129 96.3%	8.4%			
ACQUITTALS	2 1.4%	0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%	-50.0%			
DISMISSALS	21 14.6%	9 6.7%	21 11.9%	20 10.5%	4 3.0%	-81.0%			
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	NÁ			
OTHER	2 1.4%	4 3.0%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	-	Re	Percent of Convesulting from Misdemeanor Ar			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	414 100.0%	426 100.0%	497 100.0%	562 100.0%	523 100.0%	26.3%
FÉLONY	32 7.7%	39 9.2%	75 15.1%	68 12.1%	44 8.4%	37.5%
VIOLENT	11 2.7%	12 2.8%	17 3.4%	13 2.3%	13 2.5%	18.2%
OTHER	21 5.1%	27 6.3%	58 11.7%	55 9.8%	31 5.9%	47.6%
MISDEMEANOR	210 50.7%	210 49.3%	201 40.4%	254 45.2%	238 45.5%	13.3%
LESSER OFFENSES	172 41.5%	177 41.5%	221 44.5%	240 42.7%	241 46.1%	40.1%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
CONVICTIONS	119 100.0%	120 100.0%	154 100.0%	169 100.0%	129 100.0%	8.4%		
FELONY	28 23.5%	39 32.5%	67 43.5%	64 37.9%	41 31.8%	46.4%		
VIOLENT	11 9.2%	12 10.0%	17 11.0%	13 7.7%	13 10.1%	18.2%		
OTHER	17 14.3%	27 22.5%	50 32.5%	51 30.2%	28 21.7%	64.7%		
MISDEMEANOR	79 66.4%	73 60.8%	76 49.4%	97 57.4%	81 62.8%	2.5%		
LESSER OFFENSES	12 10.1%	8 6.7%	11 7.1%	8 4.7%	7 5.4%	-41.7%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹
(Adults Only)

:		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	3.4	3.5	4.4	5.0	3.8						
LOCAL JAIL	15.7	14.6	11.7	13.2	12.8						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.4	6.3	5.4	5.3	5.4						
PROBATION	13.3	12.4	15.7	12.3	10.1						
FINES	56.3	54.2	53.3	49.6	47.8						
OTHER	9.9	8.9	9.5	14.6	20.1						

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions											
	19	982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser	-	2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE PRISON	43.8	NA:	38.5	NA	29.3	NA	41.2	NA	45.5	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	18.8	15.4	179	14.2	17.3	10.7	14.7	13.0	11.4	12.9		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	12.5	0.5	33.3	3.6	18.7	3.1	25.0	2.6	29.5	3.1		
PROBATION	21.9	12.6	10.3	12.7	33.3	12.6	17.6	11.5	13.6	9.8		
FINES	3.1	60.7	0.0	59.7	0.0	62.8	1.5	56.3	0.0	52.2		
OTHER	0.0	10.7	0.0	9.8	1.3	10.9	0.0	16.6	0.0	21.9		

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	ber of Case	e		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	190	178	157	164	209	382.8	51	10.0%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	233	276	314	360	312	571.4	50	33.9%
FAMILY COURT	65	. 72	77	92	126	230.8	28	93.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	168	204	237	268	186	340.7	57	10.7%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	17	8	11	9	7	12.8	43	-58.8%
FAMILY COURT	17	8	11	. g	7	12.8	28	-58.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	0	 O	0	0 .	. 0	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	106	141	167	167	142	260.1	35	34.0%
FAMILY COURT	14	16	10	19	23	42.1	39	64.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	92	125	157	148	119	217.9	33	29.3%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	377	382	448	477	510	934.1	42	35.3%
FAMILY COURT	36	28	, 26	26	38 :	69.6	46	5.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	341	354	422	451	472	864.5	- 33	38.4%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	241	281	310	368	366	670.3	18	51.9%
FAMILY COURT	12	16	7	15	16	29.3	47	33.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	229	265	303	353	350	641.0	16	52.8%

 $^{^\}mathrm{l}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	and the second s	Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio	% Olar	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	11	14	24	24	28	54.9	12	154.5%
FAMILY COURT	1	-5	4	2	4	105.3	13	300.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	10	9	20	22	24	50.8	13	140.0%
TECHNICAL	3	1	0	1 ,	4	8.5	57	33.3%
NEW CONVICTION	7	8	20	21	20	42.4	5	185.7%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admiss	ions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	582	569	910	931	718	1,315.0 23	23.4%
UNSENTENCED	229	233	317	280	257	470.7 55	12.2%
SENTENCED	353	336	593	651	461	844.3 1	30.6%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 98
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED1 CAPACITY	0	0	0	, , ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	0	0.0 57	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	20	29	37	47	43	78.8 22	115.0%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	29	23	34	32	26	47.6 46	-10.3%
UNSENTENCED	13	8	22	13	12	22.0 48	-7.7%
SENTENCED	16	15	12	19	14	25.6 28	-12.5%

 $^{^{1}}$ Facility closed. Inmates are housed in Montgomery County Jail.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_loss} \mbox{Table 10A}$ NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE \mbox{PRISON}^1

			Number of urt Commitm	nents			198 New Committe Per 10 Popula	ourt ments 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2		Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	14	14	19	25	23		42.1	21	64.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	7	4	8	9	5		9.2	38	-28.6%
HOMICIDE	3	0	. 0	2 2	1		1.8	26	-66.7%
SEX OFFENSE	2	2	1	1 .	2		3,7	19	0.0%
ROBBERY	0	0	1	0	0		0.0	43	, NA
BURGLARY	1	2	6	5	2		3.7	30	100.0%
WEAPONS	.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	. 0	0		0.0	25	NA
OTHER	1	0	0	1	0		0.0	37	-100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	0	1	3	5	3	•	5.5	20	NA
POSSESSION	0	. 0	. 1	0	1		1.8	21	NA
SALE	0	1	2	5	2		3.7	22	NA
OTHER FELONIES	6	8	8	9	11		20.1	15	83.3%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	1	0	3	. 4		7.3	1	300.0%

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state Prison on December 31^1}$

	Nu ir	umber of In 1 State Pri	mates Unde son on Dec	Inma Under (on 12/3 Per 100 Popula				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	36	37	25	46	49	89.7	26	36.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	24	24	22	22	21	38.5	34	-12.5%
HOMICIDE	10	10	10	10	11	20.1	15	10.0%
SEX OFFENSE	5	. 7	5	4	. 4	7.3	34	-20.0%
ROBBERY	3	2	1	0	0	. 0.0	55	-100.0%
BURGLARY	3	4	6	7	6	11.0	26	100.0%
WEAPONS	. 0	Ö	0	. 0	0	0.0	32	NA.
OTHER	. 3	1	0	1	, 0.	0.0	53	-100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	1	3	5	6	11.0	18	NA
POSSESSION	. 0	0	. 1 -	1 1	1	. 1.8	36	. NA
SALE	Ō	1	2	4	5	9.2	15	NA
OTHER FELONIES	10	11	0	16	17	31.1	16	70.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2	1	0	3	5	9.2	2	150.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES	,				
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole	es		198 Parol Per 10 Popula	ees 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	10	8	10	17	15	27.5	29	50.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	22	19	25	35	39	71.4	29	77.3%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	11	15	18	24	26	47.6	31	136.4%

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

			N	umbe	r of	Paro	lees		:		198 Revoca Per 1 Paro	tions	
	198	32_	 1983		198	34	1	985	,	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹		1	1			1		1		3	5.5	3,5	200.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS		0	1			0		0		0	0.0	36	NA
NEW ARRESTS		1	0			0		0		3	5.5	8	200.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS		0	. 0			1		1		. 0:	0.0	36	NA

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize l}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Table 12} \\ \text{JUVENILE OFFENDERS} \\ \text{ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS}^1 \end{array}$

		Num				
	0 0 0 1 S 0	1986	% Change 82 vs 86			
FELONY ARRESTS	0	0	0	1	0	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	0	0	0	, NA
DISPOSITIONS	0		0	0	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	. 0	.0	0	0		NA
LOCAL JAIL	0		0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	. 0	0	0	. 0	. 0	NA NA
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	, NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0 '	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0 ,	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	0	0,	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0		0	NA NA
OTHER	0	0.	0	0	0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY 1

(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations					
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Dept. of Correctional Services	20.0	25.0	31.9	89.6	349.1%	0.0	0.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	14.5	
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	69.2	77.6	90.0	110.1	59.1%	142.3	149.3	
Division for Youth ³	135.1	156.4	207.4	441.6	226.9%	295.7	255.8	
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴	. ·		10.0	24.1	NA	24.1		
TOTAL	224.2	258.9	339.3	665.4	196.8%	462.1	419.5	

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

⁴ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.3	NA		
Felons	18.5	23.9	26.3	66.4	258.6%		
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	3.4	10.6	NA		
State Readies ²	·			10.7	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.7	17.9%		
TOTAL	20.0	25.0	31.9	89.6	349.1%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{}m l}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

 $\label{thm:continuous} Table \ 3 \ is \ not \ presented \ because \ there \ were \ no \ local \ assistance \ program \ funds \ awarded \ to \ agencies \ that \ provide \ services \ in \ this \ county.$

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Justice Assistance Act1					. NA	· 	2.5
Juvenile Justice ² and Delinquency Prevention	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	,NA	0.0	6,2
Narcotics Control ³		·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA		5.8
Neighborhood ⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	·	·
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	14.5

 $^{^1}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^3}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^4}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing				10.0		20.0	20.5
Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	NA .	30.0	28.5
PINS Program ¹					NA		5.8
Regular State Aid	69.2	77.6	90.0	99.2	43.4%	112.3	115.0
TOTAL	69.2	77.6	90.0	110.1	59.1%	142.3	149.3

 $^{^{1}\,}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u> 1	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	22.4	16.9	13.1	24.0	7.1%	22.4	13.3
Secure	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	26.3	28.7	28.7	22.3	-15.0%	28.7	29.9
Voluntary Agency Care	15.0	35.8	80.9	155.6	937.2%	120.4	90.9
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	71.4	74.8	84.6	239.7	235.8%	124.2	121.7
TOTAL	135.1	156.4	207.4	441.6	226.9%	295.7	255.8

 $^{^{1}}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	Staff		
DOCS Facilities				
DFY Facilities	285	362		
TOTAL	285	362		

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	S		Approp	riations
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	·	: -			0.0%		.
DFY Facilities	6,028.9	6,626.7	8,628.1	10,403.4	72.6%	10,770.8	11,967.0
TOTAL	6,028.9	6,626.7	8,628.1	10,403.4	72.6%	10,770.8	11,967.0

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	·			t			
DFY Facilities	1,585.0	1,150.9	308.1	3,044.0	546.5	188.0	
TOTAL	1,585.0	1,150.9	308.1	3,044.0	546.5	188.0	

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>	Security ₁ Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>
Gloversville Community Urban Home	VI		10
Nueva Vista Residential Center	, IV	60	59
Tryon School ²	II .	170	211
Tryon Girls Dual ³ Level Program	11,1	48	82
TOTAL		285	362

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

 $^{^{2}}$ Capacity includes 150 permanent beds and 20 temporary beds. This facility houses only boys.

³ Capacity of 80 planned for September of 1987.

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Expenditures			Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88		
Gloversville Community Urban Home	105.7	123.1	146.5	127.8	20.9%	162.6	161.9		
Nueva Vista Residential Center ¹	1,105.1	1,265.7	1,383.0	1,613.9	46.0%	1,644.9	1,774.5		
Tryon School	3,924.9	4,328.9	4,782.9	5,011.2	27.7%	5,037.5	6,091.0		
Tryon Secure Center - Boys ²	0.0	0.0	1,337.0	: ' 	NA	2,804.1			
Tryon Secure Center - Girls ³	893.2	909.0	978.7	· · · ·	-100.0%	1,121.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Tryon Girls Dual ⁴ Level Program	. 			3,650.5	NA	3,925.8	3,939.6		
TOTAL	6,028.9	6,626.7	8,628.1	10,403.4	72.6%	10,770.8	11,967.0		

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Tryon School facility.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

 $^{^2}$ This facility was operational only during FY 1984-85. FY 1986-87 appropriations for this facility were transferred to the Tryon Girls Dual Level Program.

 $^{^3}$ This facility was merged in FY 1985-86 with the Tryon Girls Dual Level Program. FY 1986-87 appropriations for this facility were transferred to the Tryon Girls Dual Level Program.

 $^{^4}$ This facility was created in FY 1985-86. The FY 1986-87 appropriations were originally appropriated for the Tryon Secure Center for boys and the Tryon Secure Center for girls.

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Gloversville Community Urban Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0
Nueva Vista Residential Center ¹	0.0	0.0	13.0	13.0	34.3	93.0
Tryon School ²	1,585.0	1,150.9	295.1	3,031.0	512.2	52.0
Tryon Secure Center - Boys ²	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Tryon Secure Center - Girls ³	0.0	0.0	——	. 0.0	0.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tryon Girls Dual ⁴ Level Program		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0.0		30.0
TOTAL	1,585.0	1,150.9	308.1	3,044.0	546.5	188.0

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Tryon School facility.


SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This facility was operational only during FY 1984-85.

 $^{^{}m 3}$ This facility was merged in FY 1985-86 with the Tryon Girls Dual Level Program.

 $^{^4}$ This facility was created in FY 1985-86. The FY 1986-87 appropriations were originally appropriated for the Tryon Secure Center for boys and the Tryon Secure Center for girls.



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police
Troop G Headquarters
504 Loudon Road
Loudonville, New York 12211

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office General Delivery Wells, New York 12190 Sheriff's Department Hamilton City Jail Lake Pleasant, New York 12108

Probation Department P.O. Box 102 Indian Lake, New York 12842 Youth Bureau
Box 112
Cooperative Extension Service
Lake Pleasant, New York 12108

Indigent Defendant Program County Clerk's Office Lake Pleasant, New York 12108 County Court PO Box 3007 Indian Lake, New York 12842

Family Court Chambers Indian Lake, New York 12842 Region

MOHAWK VALLEY

County Seat

LAKE PLEASANT

Square Miles

1,721

Population Percent Change 1970-1980

6.8%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	5,034	62	100.0%	NA
Density Pe	r Square Mile	2.9	62	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	2,508 2,526	NA NA	49.8% 50.2%	6 57
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	5,011 16 7	NA NA NA	99.5% 0.3% 0.1%	1 62 59
Ethnici	ty: Hispanic	12	NA	0.2%	62
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	603 535 297 338 3,261	NA NA NA NA	12.0% 10.6% 5.9% 6.7% 64.8%	56 62 34 62 3

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	3,261	100.0%	3
8th Grade or Less	474	14.5%	47
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	592 1,286	18.2% 39.4%	18 17
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	545 364	16.7% 11.2%	7 49

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	5,000	4,900	4,900	4,985	5,000	62
Density Per Square Mile	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	62

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	8,810	9,362	10,388	44

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1381-82 TO 1985-86

	School Year						
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u> 1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	6 1.9%	5 1.7%	4 1.5%	8 2.8%	9 3.2%	47

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLU	IMENT: 1984-19	100		
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthl, Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	355 14.0%	302 11.9%	328 12.4%	1

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor

Table 7

		PUBLIC ASSIS	STANCE: 198	2-1986			
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	167 3.3%	159 3.2%	189 3.9%	162 3.2%	142 2.8%	45

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs '- only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		h	lumber of C	1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
NDEX CRIME	131	148	128	161	95	1,950.3	57	-27.5%
VIOLENT	3	7	9	5	3	61.6	61	0.0%
MURDER	1	1	1	. • 0	0	0.0	52	-100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	0	0	0		0	0.0	59	NA NA
ROBBERY	0	0	0	. 1	0	0.0	61	NA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	2	6	8	3	3	61.6	. 56·	50.0%
PROPERTY	128	141	119	156	92	1,888.7	57	-28.1%
BURGLARY	44	73	45	64	22	451.7	59	-50.0%
LARCENY	80	64	64	85	68	1,396.0	49	-15.0%
MV THEFT	4	4	10	7	2	41.1	58	-50.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests						4. 0 1
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	92	84	77	100	84	1,680.0	45	-8.7%
FELONY	28	23	9	24	16	320.0	58	42.9%
VIOLENT	5	5	1	3	3	60.0	59	-40.0%
OTHER	23	18	8	21	13	260.0	49	-43.5%
MISDEMEANOR	64	61	68	76	68	1,360.0	40	6.3%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

			<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
		ARRESTS	24	-31.4%	
		FELONY ²	4	-50.0%	
		VIOLENT	0	-100.0%	
		OTHER	4	-20.0%	
		MISDEMEANOR	20	-25.9%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arrests	:		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	0	1	0	0	0	0.0	46	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	0	. 0	0	0	0	0.0	59	NA
ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	59	NA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	1	2		0	. 0	0.0	62	-100.0%
BURGLARY	10	6	0	11	8	160.0	7	-20.0%
LARCENY ²	10	8	7	10	16	320.0	34,	60.0%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arrest	:	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	10	4	1	3	8	160.0 17	-20.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 22C)	6	0	1	3	8	160.0 10	33.3%
POSSESSION	6	0	1	2	8 ,	160.0 8	33.3%
SALE	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.0 57	NA.
OTHER	0	. 0	0	1	0	0.0 47	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	4.	· 4	0	. • 0	. 0	0.0 62	-100.0%
POSSESSION	4	3	0	0	0	0.0 61	-100.0%
SALĘ	0	1	0	. 0	0	0.0 56	NA

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	er of Arrests			1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82_vs_86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	33	28	34	42	26	6.5 42	-21.2%
FELONY	2 '	3	0	2	0	0.0 62	-100.0%
MISDEMEANOR	31	25	34	40	26	6.5 40	-16.1%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

			Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
FELONY INDIC	TMENTS	13 100.0%	14 100.0%	10 100.0%	6 100.0%	16 100.0%	23.1%			
VIOLENT		1 7.7%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	3 18.8%	200.0%			
OTHER		12 92.3%	11 78.6%	10 100.0%	5 83.3%	13 81.3%	8.3%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	6	-33.3%	
	VIOLENT	0	-100.0%	
	OTHER	6	0.0%	

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

			Percent of Dis Resulting from nd Misdemeanor			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	58 100.0%	50 100.0%	55 100.0%	46 100.0%	46 100.0%	-20.7%
CONVICTIONS	51 87.9%	33 66.0%	45 81.8%	37 80.4%	38 82.6%	-25.5%
ACQUITTALS	1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
DISMISSALS	6 10.3%	16 32.0%	9 16.4%	9 19.6%	8 17.4%	33.3%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0	АМ
OTHER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA NA

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	21 100.0%	23 100.0%	11 100.0%	15 100.0%	16 100.0%	-23.8%
CONVICTIONS	19 90.5%	13 56.5%	6 54 . 5%	11 73.3%	16 100.0%	-15.8%
ACQUITTALS	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA
DISMISSALS	2 9.5%	10 43.5%	5 45.5%	4 26.7%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	NA

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			Misdemeanor Ar		2	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u>	1986	<u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	51 100.0%	33 100.0%	45 100.0%	37 100.0%	38 100.0%	-25.5%
FELONY	7 13.7%	4 12.1%	1 2.2%	3 8.1%	9 23.7%	28.6%
VIOLENT	0 0.0%	1 3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2 5.3%	, NA
OTHER	7 13.7%	3 9.1%	2.2%	3 8.1%	7 18.4%	0.0%
MISDEMEANOR	34 66.7%	18 54.5%	22 48.9%	23 62.2%	23 60.5%	-32.4%
LESSER OFFENSES	10 19.6%	11 33.3%	22 48.9%	11 29.7%	6 15.8%	-40.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
CONVICTIONS	19 100.0%	13 100.0%	6 100.0%	11 100.0%	16 100.0%	-15.8%		
FELONY	7 36.8%	4 30.8%	1 16.7%	3 27.3%	9 56.3%	28.6%		
VIOLENT	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0.0%	2 12.5%	NA		
OTHER	7 36.8%	3 23.1%	1 16.7%	3 27.3%	7 43.8%	0.0%		
MISDEMEANOR	12 63.2%	9 69.2%	5 83.3%	8 72.7%	7 43.8%	-41.7%		
LESSER OFFENSES	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1 (Adults Only)

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	<u> 1983</u>	1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	0.0	6.1	2.2	2.7	2.6
LOCAL JAIL	15.7	0.0	15.6	16.2	7.9
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.9	6.1	0.0	0.0	23.7
PROBATION	11.8	12.1	2.2	5.4	7.9
FINES	60.8	66.7	73.3	56.8	52.6
OTHER	7.8	9.1	6.7	18.9	5.3

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

				Sentences	as a Perc	ent of C	onviction:	3		·
		982 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		983 Misd & Lesser		84 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser	19 Fe lony	986 2 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	0.0	NA	50.0	, NA	100.0	NA	33.3	NA	11.1	NA
LOCAL JAIL	28.6	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.9	66.7	11.8	0.0	10.3
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	14.3	2.3	25.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.8	6.9
FROBATION	57.1	4.5	25.0	10.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	5.9	11.1	6.9
FINES	0.0	70.5	0.0	75.9	0.0	75.0	0.0	61.8	0.0	69.0
OTHER	0.0	9.1	0.0	10.3	0.0	6.8	0.0	20.6	0.0	6.9

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to OCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Numb	er of Cases			1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	0	0,	. 4	1	1.	20.0	62	NA
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	8	9	5	7	18	360.0	60	125.0%
FAMILY COURT	0	2.	2	1	1	20.0	62	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	8	7		6 ·	17	340.0	58	112.5%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	51	NA
FAMILY COURT	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	0.0	44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION						·		
SUPERVISION - CASES RECEIVED	7	8	3	6	16	320.0	13	128.6%
FAMILY COURT	1	2	2	1	1	20.0	59	0.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	6	6	1	. 5 -	15	300.0	8	150.0%
UNDER PROBATION ²								
SUPERVISION	26	28	30	34	30	600.0	16	15.4%
FAMILY COURT	5	3	. 5	4	3	60.0	50	-40.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	21	25	25	30	27	540.0	8	28.6%
UNDER PROBATION								
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	20	27	28	14	23	460.0	49	15.0%
FAMILY COURT	1	3	3	2	1	20.0	56	0.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	19	24	25	12	22	440.0	48	15.8%

Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

			1986		
Number	of Revocation	s	Revocat Per 1, <u>Probati</u>	000	
<u> 19821983</u>	1984 1	985 1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS 0 0	0	0 0	0.0	62	NA
FAMILY COURT 0 0	0	0 0	0.0	59	NA
CRIMINAL COURT 0 0	0	0 0	0.0	62	NA
TECHNICAL 0 0	0	0 . 0	0.0	61	NA
NEW CONVICTION 0 0	0	0 0	0.0	59	NA 1

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	61	. 44	42	81	63	1,260.0	29	3.3%
UNSENTENCED	37	33	26	55	41	820.0	37	10.8%
SENTENCED	24	11	16	26	22	440.0	12	-8.3%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

							1986 Ir Per 10 Popula	00,000	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	 Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY		4		5	1 4	4	80.0	27	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION		3	· () ;	2 2	3	60.0	40	0.0%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION		6		2	L 6	2	4,0.0	51	-66.7%
UNSENTENCED		1		2	L 4	0	0.0	57	-100.0%
SENTENCED		5	, () (2	, 2	40.0	14	-60.0%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_loss} \mbox{Table 10A}$ NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE \mbox{PRISON}^1

			Number of urt Commit	nents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_2	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	0	3	2	1	5	100.0 4	NA
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	0	2	0	0	1	20.0 17	NA
HOMICIDE	. 0	2 1	0	. 0	0	0.0 43	NA
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	. 0	0	1	20.0 1	NA
ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 43	NA
BURGLARY	0 -	0	0	0.	0	0.0 51	. NA
WEAPONS	0	0	.0	0	0 -	0.0 25	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	. 0	0	0.0 37	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	, 0	0	0	. 0	0	0.0 51	s NA
POSSESSION	0	. 0	0	. 0	0 '	0.0 37	NA
SALE	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 43	, NA
OTHER FELONIES	0	1	2	1	4	80.0 1	NA
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	. • 0	0	0 -	· 0·	0	0.0 32	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

	Nu in	mber of Ir State Pri		Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100,000 Population		4. 01		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	2	3	4	4	8	160.0	7	300.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	1	2	2	2	3	60.0	20	200.0%
HOMICIDE	1	2	2	2	2	40.0	4	100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	0 -	0 1	0	. 0	1	20.0	5	NA
ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	55	NA
BURGLARY	0	0.1	0	0	0	0.0	56	NA
WEAPONS	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	. 0	0.0	53	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	0	0	0	0 ·	0.0	55	NA.
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	43	NA
SALE	0.	0	, 0	0	0	0.0	49	NA
OTHER FELONIES	0	1	2	2	5	100.0	2	NA
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	. 0	0	0	0	0.0	33	-100.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES					
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Parolees						1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	4	, 0 .	. 0	0	1		20.0	45	-75.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	4	3	. 0	0	1		20.0	59	-75.0%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	3	0	0	. 0	1		20.0	54	-66.7%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Number of Parolees					1986 Revocatio Per 1,00 Parolees	00
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate Ra	% Change ink 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	. 1	1	0	0	0		0.0 5	52 -100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	. 0	0	0	. 0		0.0 3	S6 NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	0	0	0	0		0.0 4	-100.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	1	0	0	0		0.0 3	B6 NA

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

			umber of Cases			
	1982_	1983	1984_	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	1	·	0	0	0	-100.0%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	: 0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	1	0	0	0	0	-100.0%
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	0,	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	ΝΑ
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	NA NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	. 0.	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	1	0	0	0	0	-100.0%
OTHER	0	0	0	0	. 0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY 1

(Thousands of Dollars)

	1	E		Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	4.7	. 1.5	3.2	6.4	36.1%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	NA	0.0	0.5
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	7.3	7.8	5.0	4.8	-34.7%	5.9	5.5
Division for Youth ³	14.6	14.3	14.2	28.7	96.5%	18.1	14.7
TOTAL	26.6	23.6	22.5	43.3	62.8%	24.0	20.7

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

⁵ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	4.7	0.4	3.2	5.1	9.3%	·	
Parole Violators	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	NA		
State Readies ²	: ***			1.3	NA ·	·	
TOTAL	4.7	1.5	3.2	6.4	36.1%	0.0	. 0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Narcotics Control ¹					NA NA		0.5
Soft Body Armour ² Reimbursement Program	·		0.0	3.5	NA		
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	NA	0.0	0.5

 $^{^{1}}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Oollars)

			Appropriations				
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
PINS Program ¹					NA	· ·	0.0
Regular State Aid	7.3	7.8	5.0	4.8	-34.7%	5.9	5.5
TOTAL	7.3	7.8	5.0	4.8	-34.7%	5.9	5.5

 $^{^{1}\ \}mbox{This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.}$

Table 7


DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY_85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	NA	0.0	0.2
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.3	-12.0%	6.0	0.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	8.6	8.3	8.2	23.2	170.0%	12.1	14.5
TOTAL	14.6	14.3	14.2	28.7	96.5%	18.1	14.7

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this county.



REGIONAL AGENCIES

Herkimer-Oneida Counties
Comprehensive Planning Department
Oneida County Office Building
800 Park Avenue
Utica, New York 13501

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop D Headquarters 241 Genesee Street Oneida, New York 13421

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 588 Herkimer, New York 13350 Sheriff's Department 240 North Main Street County Court House Herkimer, New York 13350

Probation Department P.O. Box 791 Herkimer, New York 13350

Youth Bureau Box 471, Court House Herkimer, New York 13350

Assigned Counsel System of Herkimer County 48 Morgan Street Ilian, New York 13325 County Court Court House Herkimer, New York 13350

Family Court Court House Herkimer, New York 13350 Region

MOHAWK VALLEY

County Seat

HERKIMER

Square Miles

1,417

Population Percent Change 1970-1980

-1.0%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	66,714	36	100.0%	NA
Density Po	er Square Mile	47.1	56	NA .	, NA
Sex:	Male Female	32,247 34,467	NA NA	48.3% 51.7%	37 26
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	66,327 302 85	NA NA NA	99.4% 0.5% 0.1%	3 60 61
Ethnic	ity: Hispanic	259	NA	0.4%	58
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	9,595 6,825 3,962 6,326 40,006	NA NA NA NA	14.4% 10.2% 5.9% 9.5% 60.0%	28 36 29 45 16

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	40,006	100.0%	16
8th Grade or Less	7,647	19.1%	15
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	7,218 15,346	18.0% 38.4%	20 28
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	5,629 4,166	14.1% 10.4%	41 53

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	66,600	67,000	67,500	66,919	66,900	37
Density Per Square Mile	47.0	47.3	47.6	47.2	47.2	56

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	8,976	9,387	10,237	47

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	POBLIC IIId.	1 SCHOOL DROP	ī	School Year	00	=	Percent Rank
		<u>1981-82</u>	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	192 4.3%	150 3.5%	148 3.7%	184 4.6%	169 4.3%	25

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO'	YMENT: 1984-1	986		
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	2,537 8.6%	2,713 9.2%	2,974 9.9%	7

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986									
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986		
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	2,262 3.4%	2,491 3.7%	2.643 3.9%	2.770 4.1%	2,754 4.1%	26		

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number of Crimes						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,767	1,554	1,477	1,451	1,299	1,920.0	58	-26,5%
VIOLENT	100	105	100	119	115	170.0	37	15.0%
MURDER	2	. 0 .	· 3	1	1 1	1.5	43	-50.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	2	2	9	6	. 7	10.3	39	250.0%
ROBBERY	8	9	2	2	3 .,	4.4	59	-62.5%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	88	94	86	110	104	153.7	32	18.2%
PROPERTY	1,667	1,449	1,377	1,332	1,184	1,750.1	58	-29.0%
BURGLARY	506	484	403	363	352	520.3	54	-30.4%
LARCENY	1,108	918	933	942	806	1,191.3	57	-27.3%
MV THEFT	53	47	41	27	26	38.4	59	-50.9%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	796	820	861	928	943	1,409.6	56	18.5%
FELONY	147	175	174	182	245	366.2	44	66.7%
VIOLENT	42	39	54	43	58	86.7	45	38.1%
OTHER	105	136	120	139	187	279.5	42	78.1%
MISDEMEANOR	649	645	687	746	698	1,043.3	55	7.6%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		Number ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	490	16.9%	
	FELONY ²	107	-2.7%	
	VIOLENT	27	-6.9%	
f	OTHER	80	-1.2%	
	MISDEMEANOR	383	23.9%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES 1

(Adults Only)

			Numbe	r of Arrest	S		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate		% Change 82 vs 86	
MURDER		1	0	1	0	, O,	0.0	46	-100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE		1	2	3	2	3	4.5	45	200.0%
ROBBERY		1	1	4	. · · · 3 · ·	3	4.5	50	200.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT		13	13	10	15	21	31.4	40	61.5%
BURGLARY		51	79	65	36	54	80.7	41	5.9%
LARCENY ²		127	151	146	144	147	219.7	52	15.7%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1
(Adults Only)

	-	Numb	er of Arrest	:	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	% Charima	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	30	18	20	32	15	22.4 60	-50.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	24	12	16	20	12	17.9 57	-50.0%
POSSESSION	16	12	13	19	5	9.0 60	-62.5%
SALE	6	0	2	0	6	9.0 35	0.0%
OTHER	2	0	1	1	0	0.0 47	-100.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	6	. 6 °	4	12	3	4.5 59	-50.0%
POSSESSION	6	5	. 4	8	3 •	4.5 59	-50.0%
SALE	- ' O	1	0	4	0	0.0 56	NA .

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES (Adults Only)

			1986 Arres Per 1,000 Licensed Number of Arrests Drivers						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Ra	ite Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	254	215	314	323	323	, , 7,	4 37	27.2%	
FELONY	24	19	20	30	34	0.	8 21	41.7%	
MISDEMEANOR	230	196	294	293	289	6.	7 39	25.7%	

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Pe	ercent of Felon	y Indictments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	41 100.0%	58 100.0%	60 100.0%	62 100.0%	82 100.0%	100.0%
VIOLENT	13 31.7%	17 29.3%	12 20.0%	15 24.2%	10 12.2%	-23.1%
OTHER	28 68 3%	41 70.7%	48 80.0%	47 75.8%	72 87 ₋ 8%	157.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987 1 (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

FELONY INDICTMENTS 40	66.7%
VIOLENT 8	100.0%
OTHER 32	60.0%

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS	468 100.0%	471 100.0%	541 100.0%	619 100.0%	597 100.0%	27.6%			
CONVICTIONS	397 84.8%	396 84.1%	480 88.7%	548 88.5%	518 86.8%	30.5%			
ACQUITTALS	0.2%	0.0%	2 0.4%	0.2%	1 0.2%	0.0%			
DISMISSALS	65 13.9%	67 14.2%	58 10.7%	64 10.3%	75 12.6%	15.4%			
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	NA			
OTHER	5 1.1%	8 1.7%	1 0.2%	6 1.0%	3 0.5%	-40.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests									
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
DISPOSITIONS		108 100.0%	110 100.0%	131 100.0%	127 100.0%	149 100.0%	38.0%		
CONVICTIONS		93 86.1%	90 81.8%	117 89.3%	113 89.0%	137 91.9%	47.3%		
ACQUITTALS		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%	0.0%	NA		
DISMISSALS		15 13.9%	15 13.6%	12 9.2%	14 11.0%	12 8.1%	-20.0%		
NOT PROSECUTED		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA		
OTHER	•	0.0%	5 4 . 5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	NA		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	1982		Resulting from <u>Hisdemeanor Ar</u> 1984	rests 1985	2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	397 100.0%	396 100.0%	480 100.0%	548 100.0%	518 100.0%	30.5%
FELONY	23 5.8%	33 8.3%	27 5.6%	36 6.6%	34 6.6%	47.8%
VIOLENT	6 1.5%	10 2.5%	3 0.6%	4 0.7%	7 1.4%	16.7%
OTHER	17 4.3%	23 5.8%	24 5.0%	32 5.8%	27 5.2%	58.8%
MISDEMEANOR	238 59.9%	203 51.3%	• 298 62.1%	327 59.7%	288 55.6%	21.0%
LESSER OFFENSES	136 34.3%	160 40.4%	155 32.3%	185 33.8%	196 37.8%	44.1%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
CONVICTIONS	93 100.0%	90 100.0%	117 100.0%	113 100.0%	137 100.0%	47.3%			
FELONY	19 20.4%	31 34.4%	23 19.7%	32 28.3%	32 23.4%	68.4%			
VIOLENT	6 6.5%	10 11.1%	3 2.6%	4 3.5%	7 5.1%	16.7%			
OTHER	13 14.0%	21 23.3%	20 17.1%	28 24.8%	25 18.2%	92.3%			
MISDEMEANOR	68 73.1%	47 52.2%	91 77.8%	70 61.9%	89 65.0%	30.9%			
LESSER OFFENSES	6 6.5%	12 13.3%	3 2.6%	9.7%	16 11.7%	166.7%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	<u>1986</u> ²						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	1.0	2.0	0.6	1.5	1.0						
LOCAL JAIL	16.9	17.2	20.4	14.4	15.1						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.3	2.5	1.5	2.2	1.9						
PROBATION	9.1	10.4	8.5	6.8	5.2						
FINES	55.7	55.8	58.3	60.6	62.4						
OTHER	15.1	12.1	10.6	14.6	14.5						

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions										
	***************************************	982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE PRISON	17.4	NA	24.2	NA	11.1	NA	22.2	NA	14.7	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	43.5	15.2	36.4	15.4	22.2	20.3	22.2	13.9	26.5	14.3		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.3	2.1	15.2	1.4	7.4	1.1	19.4	1.0	26.5	0.2		
PROBATION	26.1	8.0	18.2	9.6	40.7	6.6	30.6	5.1	23.5	3.9		
FINES	4.3	58.8	6.1	60.3	14.8	60.9	5.6	64.5	8.8	66.1		
OTHER	4.3	15.8	0.0	13.2	3.7	11.0	0.0	15.6	0.0	15.5		

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	ber of Cas		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	000 on		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	72	121	116	116	128	191.3	61	77.8%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	289	325	317	324	457	683.1	38	58.1%
FAMILY COURT	146	182	184	164	202	301.9	10	38.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	143	143	133	160	255	381.2	53	78.3%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	10	5	2	4	23	34.4	37	130.0%
FAMILY COURT	10	5	2	2	. 4	6.0	34	-60.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	.0	0	. 0	2	19	28.4	25	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	95	112	101	111	117	174.9	58	23.2%
FAMILY COURT	17	27	20	26	44	65.8	16	158.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	78	85	81	85	73	109.1	62	
CRIMINAL COOK	76	93	. 01		/3	103.1	02	-6.4%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	287	337	354	380	387	578.5	47	34.8%
FAMILY COURT	76	83	88	90	107	159.9	5	40.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	211	254	266	290	280	418.5	62	32.7%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	225	253	269	270	302	451.4	52	34.2%
FAMILY COURT	56	68	64	63	84	125.6	1	50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	169	185	205	207	218	325.9	60	29.0%

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocati Per 1.0 Probatio	00	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	4	6	12	11	8	20.7	60	100.0%
FAMILY COURT	3	1	0	, 0	2	18.7	56	-33.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	1	5	12	11	6	21.4	50	500.0%
TECHNICAL	1	5	12	11	3	10.7	53	200.0%
NEW CONVICTION	. 0	0	. 0	. 0	3	10.7	40	NA

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

	Tab le	e 8B	
PRETRIAL	RELEASE	PROGRAM:	1986

	Number	Percent
CASES SCREENED	142	NA
CASES INTERVIEWED	125	100.0%
RELEASED ¹	33	26.4%

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8C

	COMMUNITY	SERVICE	PROGRAM:	1986
			Number	Percent
CASES	SENTENCED		35	NA
CASES	DISCHARGED		17	100.0%
SUC	CESSFUL OUTC	OME	13	76.5%

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis:	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	710	657	729	759	745	1,113.6	39	4.9%
UNSENTENCED	516	436	541	576	570	852.0	32	10.5%
SENTENCED	194	221	188	183	175	261.6	40	-9.8%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 In Per 100 Popula	0,000	:	
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rate	Rank		% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	36	39	36	36	36	53,8	49		0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	32	34 -	31	39	32	47.8	49		0.0%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	28	18	31	26	29	43.3	50		3.6%
UNSENTENCED	15	11	14.	20	20	29.9	43		33.3%
SENTENCED	13	7	17	6	9	13.5	53		-30.8%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

			Number of urt Commitm	ents		198 New C Committ Per 10 Popula	ourt ments 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	4	. 6	2	9 ,	5	7.5	61	25.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	3	5	, 0 :	2	1	1.5	61	-66.7%
HOMICIDE	2	0	0	1	0	0.0	43 .	-100.0%
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	0	0	1	1.5	43	NA
ROBBERY	0	1	. 0	1	. 0	0.0	43	NA
BURGLARY	1	4	0	0	0	0.0	51	-100.0%
WEAPONS	. 0 .	. 0	, 0	0	0	0.0	25	NA NA
OTHER	0	. 0	0	. 0		0.0	37	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	0	0	0	0	1	1.5	42	NA ·
POSSESSION	0	. 0	0	0	1	1.5	25	NA
SALE	, 0	. 0	0	, 0	0	0.0	43	NA
OTHER FELONIES	1	1	2	7	, 3 .	4.5	58	200.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	. 0	0	0	0	0.0	32	NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

			nmates Unde		Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	9	14	13	18	15	22.4	61	66.7%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	. 8	12	10	9 '	9	13.5	59	12.5%
HOMICIDE	3	3	3	4	. 5	7.5	40	66.7%
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	0	1	1	1.5	59	0.0%
ROBBERY	1	2	2	2	1	1.5	52	0.0%
BURGLARY	1	4	4	1.	1	1.5	54	0.0%
WEAPONS	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	. NA
OTHER	2	2	1	1	1	1.5	48	-50.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	0	0	. 0	1	1.5	53	NA
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	1	1.5	40	NA
SALE	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	49	NA
OTHER FELONIES	. 1	2	, 3.	9	5	7.5	58	400.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	33	NA

 $^{^\}mathrm{l}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	0.1%	< 0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	er of Parolee	s	:	198 Parol Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	ees 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	4	4	1	4	6	9.0	57	50.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION 1	7	9	9	10	18	26.9	56	157.1%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	5 ;	8	6	12	13	19.4	57	160.0%

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 118
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

						1986		
		Num	ber of Parole	ees		Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u>	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	1	1	0	0	2	3.0	11	100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	1	. 1	. 0	0	. 1	1.5	3	0.0%
NEW ARRESTS	0	Ö	0.	0.	1	1.5	16	NA
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	· . 0	0	0.0	36	NA

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

	,		ber of Cases			% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	0	1	0	0	0	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	• 0	0	0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	1	0	0	0	0	-100.0%
CONVICTIONS	1	0	0	0	0	-100.0%
PRISON	1	0	0	0	.0	-100.0%
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
PROBATION	Q	. '0	0	0	0	NA NA
OTHER	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	NA NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0 .	. 0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	0	. 0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	. 0 .	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	. 0	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0.	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1
LÜCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

<u>Program</u>			Appropriations				
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.8	0.9	41.6	59.5	7,471.8%	0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	12.4	12.2	17.1	2.1	-83.1%	24.9	17.1
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	56.2	63.4	75.0	88.0	56.6%	98.8	127.2
Division for Youth ³	190.9	177.6	225.4	485.2	154.1%	261.1	314.5
TOTAL	260.3	254.0	359.1	634.8	143.9%	384.8	458.8

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

 $^{^2}$ On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

 $^{^3}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

<u>Program</u>	Expenditures					Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Board of Prisoners ¹								
Felons	0.0	0.0	34.7	48.2	NA	· · · · · ·	:	
Parole Violators	0.1	0.4	6.8	7.0	6,590.5%			
State Readies ²				3.0	NA	· · · ·		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.7	0.5	0.1	1.3	89.3%			
TOTAL	0.8	0.9	41.6	59.5	7,471.8%	0.0	0.0	

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

T ⊹le 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Justice Assistance Act ¹		ساب		, I	NA	7.9	5.7
Juvenile Justice ²							
and Delinquency Prevention	12.4	6.2	9.8	0.0	-100.0%	17.0	5.2
Mobile Radio District Program ³		6.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁴		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	NA		6.1
Soft Body Armour ⁵ Reimbursement Program	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7.3	2.1	NA		
TOTAL	12.4	12.2	17.1	2.1	-83.1%	24.9	17.1

FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{3}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				7.5	NA NA	11.8	12.4
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2.4	8.4
PINS Program ²	1 May Man				NA	5.4	5.8
Regular State Aid	56.2	63.4	75.0	80.5	43.3%	79.2	100.6
TOTAL	56.2	63.4	75.0	88.0	56.6%	98.8	127.2

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

<u>Program</u>			Appropriations				
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	2.1	0.5	0.1	1.1	-48.7%	0.3	0.9
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	19.9	12.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	45.6	45.7	50.5	45.4	-0.4%	48.5	51.0
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	55.0	40.0	NA	5.0	6.0
Voluntary Agency Care	31.3	17.9	0.0	89.4	186.2%	24.0	80.9
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	111.9	113.5	119.8	309.2	176.3%	163.4	163.7
TOTAL	190.9	177.6	225.4	485.2	154.1%	261.1	314.5

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth,

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this county.

ONEIDA COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Herkimer-Oneida Counties Comprehensive Planning Department 800 Park Avenue Utica, New York 13501

New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

New York State Police Troop D Headquarters 241 Genesee Street Oneida, New York 13421

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Utica, New York 13501

Probation Department Court House Utica, New York 13501

Public Defender's Office Court House, Elizabeth Street Utica, New York 13501

> County Court Court House Utica, New York 13501

Sheriff's Department Law Enforcement Building Oriskany, New York 13424

Youth Bureau County Office Building 800 Park Avenue Utica, New York 13501

Assigned Counsel Plan 231 Genesee Street Utica, New York 13501

Family Court Court House Utica, New York 13501

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Rome Police Department 301 North James Street Rome, New York 13440 City of Utica Police Department 413 Oriskany Street West Utica, New York 13502

^{*}Population 25,000 and above.

Region MOHAWK VALLEY

County Seat UTICA

Square Miles 1,219

Population Percent Change 1970-1980 -7.2%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	253,466	15	100.0%	NA
Density Pe	er Square Mile	207.9	22	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	122,243 131,223	NA NA	48.2% 51.8%	38 25
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	243,022 8,943 1,501	NA NA NA	95.9% 3.5% 0.6%	37 27 23
Ethnic	ity: Hispanic	2,619	NA	1.0%	28
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	34,325 26,485 14,665 26,885 151,106	NA NA NA NA	13.5% 10.4% 5.8% 10.6% 59.6%	42 14 43 24 22

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	151,106	100.0%	22
8th Grade or Less	29,008	19.2%	14
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	23,945 56,497	15.8% 37.4%	41 37
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	21.802 20.005	14.4% 13.2%	35 34

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

:		POP	ULATION 1982-198	36		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	251,900	252,800	253,900	250,734	248,500	16
Density Per Square Mile	206.6	207.4	208.3	205.7	203.9	22

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

·	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	9,946	10,461	11,443	26

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL OROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

					Percent Rank		
		1981-82	<u> 1982-83</u>	1983-84	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	725 4.7%	701 4.8%	601 4.3%	567 4.3%	658 5.0%	14

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986										
		1984	1985	1986_	Percent Rank 1986					
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	7,066 6.7%	7,211 6.9%	6,594 6.3%	40					

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986										
		1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986			
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	12,551 5.0%	14,355 5.7%	14,507 5.7%	14.893 5.9%	15,133 6.1%	12			

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number of Crimes					1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	7,894	7,113	6,289	6,101	6,194	2,434.4	40	-21.5%
VIOLENT	253	287	294	310	365	143.5	42	44.3%
MURDER	4	7	7	6	6	2.4	31	50.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	24	27	20	30	30	11.8	34	25.0%
ROBBERY	123	123	115	123	145	57.0	19	17.9%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	102	130	152	151	184	72.3	51	80.4%
PROPERTY	7,641	6,826	5,995	5,791	5,829	2,291.0	39	-23.7%
BURGLARY	2.671	2,342	1,873	1,874	1,776	698.0	31	-33.5%
LARCENY	4,620	4,180	3,849	3,714	3,865	1,519.1	43	-16.3%
MV THEFT	350	304	273	203	188	73.9	39	-46.3%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

									•
	v		Numb	er of Arres	sts		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	-	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS		3,030	3,171	3,082	3,232	3,546	1,427.0	55	17.0%
FELONY		709	836	747	808	844	339.6	54	19.0%
VIOLENT		216	274	280	267	256	103.0	36	18.5%
OTHER		493	562	467	541	588	236.6	58	19.3%
MISDEMEANOR		2,321	2,335	2,335	2,424	2,702	1,087.3	53	16.4%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		<u>Number¹</u>	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	1,675	-5.8%	
	FELONY ²	343	-21.0%	
	VIOLENT	116	-18.3%	
	OTHER	227	-22.3%	
	MISDEMEANOR	1,332	-0.9%	

^l Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES (Adults Only)

	·	Number of Arrests				1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	2	6	5	3	6 ,	2.4	23	200.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	6 ·	13	13	15	20	8.0	24	233.3%
ROBBERY	42	. 38	40	29	43	17.3	22	2.4%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	62	67	73	82	76	30.6	42	22.6%
BURGLARY	256	304	237	234	220	88.5	35	-14.1%
LARCENY ²	663	633	645	647	830	334.0	33	25.2%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	116	137	104	125	167	67.2 38	44.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	55	81	63	98	130	52.3 33	136.4%
POSSESSION	37	51	54	58	- 86	34.6 39	132.4%
SALE	12	28	7	35	40	16.1 24	233.3%
OTHER	6	2	2	5	4	1.6 40	-33.3%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	61	56	41	27	37	14.9 46	-39.3%
POSSESSION	46	39	33	24	32	12.9 33	-30,4%
SALE	15	17	8	3	5	2.0 53	-66.7%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES (Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arrest	s		Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 ensed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	720	751	774	914	915	5.6	48	27.1%
FELONY	42	58	30	32	42	0.3	45	0.0%
MISDEMEANOR	678	693	744	882	873	5.3	48	28.8%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense ($\underline{\text{Vehicle and Traffic Law:}}$ State of New York - Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY INDICTMENTS	490 100.0%	499 100.0%	423 100.0%	440 100 - 0%	576 100.0%	17.6%
VIOLENT	174 35.5%	151 30.3%	147 34.8%	139 31.6%	190 33.0%	9.2%
OTHER	316 64.5%	348 69.7%	276 65.2%	301 68.4%	386 67.0%	22.2%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

			Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
		FELONY INDICTMENTS	271	-7.2%		
		VIOLENT	82	-21.9%		
		OTHER	189	1.1%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

			d Percent of Di Resulting from and Misdemeanor	r. Territoria		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	2,279 100.0%	2,426 100.0%	2.331 100.0%	2,411 100.0%	2,473 100.0%	8.5%
CONVICTIONS	1,877 82.4%	2,065 85.1%	1,933 82.9%	2,006 83.2%	2,054 83.1%	9.4%
ACQUITTALS	14 0.6%	5 0.2%	8 0.3%	6 0.2%	7 0.3%	-50.0%
DISMISSALS	383 16.8%	353 14.6%	383 16.4%	392 16.3%	403 16.3%	5.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	2 0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.1%	0.0%
OTHER	3 0.1%	3 0.1%	6 0.3%	7 0.3%	7 0.3%	133.3%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS	589 100.0%	674 100.0%	596 100.0%	584 100.0%	587 100.0%	-0.3%			
CONVICTIONS	512 86.9%	605 89.8%	523 87.8%	529 90.6%	507 86.4%	-1.0%			
ACQUITTALS	5 0.8%	3 0.4%	4 0.7%	0 0.0%	3 0.5%	-40.0%			
DISMISSALS	68 11.5%	64 9.5%	65 10.9%	51 8.7%	71 12.1%	4.4%			
NOT PROSECUTED	. 2 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.3%	0.0%			
OTHER	0.3%	2 0.3%	4 0.7%	4 0.7%	4 0.7%	100.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		· .	Percent of Conv Resulting from d Misdemeanor Ar			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	1,877 100.0%	2,065 100.0%	1,933 100.0%	2,006 100.0%	2.054 100.0%	9.4%
FELONY	290 15.5%	293 14.2%	267 13.8%	215 10.7%	241 11.7%	-16.9%
VIOLENT	85 4.5%	92 4.5%	82 4 . 2%	75 3.7%	87 4.2%	2.4%
OTHER	205 10.9%	201 9.7%	185 9.6%	140 7.0%	154 7.5%	-24.9%
MISDEMEANOR	961 51.2%	1,141 55.3%	1,081 55.9%	1.080 53.8%	1,045 50.9%	8.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	626 33.4%	631 30.6%	585 30.3%	711 35.4%	768 37.4%	22.7%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>				
CONVICTIONS	512 100.0%	605 100.0%	523 100.0%	529 100.0%	507 100.0%	-1.0%				
FELONY	255 49.8%	255 42.1%	225 43.0%	191 36.1%	216 42.6%	-15.3%				
VIOLENT	85 16.6%	92 15.2%	82 15.7%	75 14.2%	87 17.2%	2.4%				
OTHER	170 33.2%	163 26.9%	143 27.3%	116 21.9%	129 25.4%	-24.1%				
MISDEMEANOR	233 45.5%	327 54.0%	274 52.4%	302 57.1%	271 53.5%	16.3%				
LESSER OFFENSES	24 4.7%	23 3.8%	24 4.6%	36 6.8%	20 3.9%	-16.7%				

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	3.9	3.8	3.5	3.2	4.3
LOCAL JAIL	21.1	18.9	18.2	16.5	15.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.0	4.3	4.7	3.7	3.5
PROBATION	14.0	14.4	14.2	11.8	9.9
FINES	48.7	50.8	50.8	52.7	53.0
OTHER	8.4	7.7	8.6	12.1	13.7

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

		Sentence	es as a Percent of	Convictions	
	1982 Misd Felony Lesse		1984 Misd & Felony Lesser		1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%
STATE PRISON	25.2 NA	27.0 NA	25.5 NA	30.2 NA	36.5 NA
LOCAL JAIL	20.3 21.2	15.4 19.5	15.7 18.6	16.3 16.5	12.4 16.0
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	17.9 1.4	22.2 1.4	25.5 1.4	23.7 1.3	22.8 0.9
PROBATION	33.1 10.5	33.1 11.3	31.8 11.3	28.8 9.7	27.0 7.7
FINES	2.8 57.1	2.4 58.9	1.5 58.6	0.5 59.0	0.8 60.0
OTHER	0.7 9.8	0.0 9.0	0.0 10.0	0.5 13.5	0.4 15.4

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

	: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Num	ber of Case	S		1986 Cases Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,230	1,475	1,628	1,825	1,803	725.6 37	46.6%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	1,613	1,832	1,777	1.861	2,237	900.2 18	38.7%
FAMILY COURT	353	395	458	411	505	203.2 29	43.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,260	1,437	1,319	1,450	1,732	697.0 20	37.5%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	114	118	76	73	176	70.8 22	54.4%
FAMILY COURT	4	10	9	5	14	5.6 36	250.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	110	108	67	68	162	65.2 18	47.3%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	545	632	634	550	641	257.9 38	17.6%
FAMILY COURT	84	87	107	91	123	49.5 31	46.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	461	545	527	459	518	208.5 38	12.4%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	1,539	1,725	1,844	1,851	1,904	766.2 43	23.7%
FAMILY COURT	162	160	177	182	192	77.3 40	18.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,377	1,565	1,667	1,669	1,712	688.9 38	24.3%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	1,093	1,210	1,301	1,263	1,333	536.4 37	22.0%
FAMILY COURT	73	70	91	69	93	37.4 42	27.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,020	1,140	1,210	1,194	1,240	499.0 35	21.6%

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 78
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	ations		1986 Revocat Per 1. Probati	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	61	85	81	100	114	59.9	15	86.9%
FAMILY COURT	19	21	20	23	- 37	192.7	2	94.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	42	64	61	77	77	45.0	29	83.3%
TECHNICAL	19	19	23	33	32	18.7	35	68.4%
NEW CONVICTION	23	45	38	44	45	26.3	14	95.7%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B					Table	8C		
 PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986				COMMUNI	TY SERVIC	E PROG	RAM: 1	986
There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.				county	ere no aç that rece s type of	ived fi	and ing	
				1				
					1		:	
Table 80					Table	8E		
 DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986				SPECIA	LIZED ALT	ERNATI	/ES: 1	986
						Numb	per	Percent
There were no agencies in the county that received funding		C	LIENTS	SERVED			74	NA
for this type of program.		R	ECOMME	NDATIONS	TO COURT		89	100.0%
			ACCEP	TED			74	83.1%
 entra anno de la composição de la compos	•	_						

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

			Numbe	r of Admis	sions		198 Admiss Per 10 Popula	ions 0,000	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS		1,636	1,868	1,855	1,768	2,146	863.6	52	31.2%
UNSENTENCED		1,364	1,436	1,423	1,340	1,759	707.8	47	29.0%
SENTENCED	:	272	432	432	428	387	155.7	54	42.3%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 98
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Ir Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	*1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	152	165	152	206	206	82.9	25	35.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	137	167	188	186	201	80.9	20	46.7%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	150	160	169	168	191	76.9	22	27.3%
UNSENTENCED	96	82	92	102	131	52.7	10	36.5%
SENTENCED	54	78	77	66	60	24.1	30	11.1%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

			lumber of irt Commit	nents	·	1980 New Co Committe Per 100 Populat	ourt ments 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	67	72	76	85	100	40.2	24	49.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	33	42	37	36	58	23.3	14	75.8%
HOMICIDE	. 4	5	5	7	4	1.6	30	0.0%
SEX OFFENSE	4	6	4	3,	. 9	3.6	20	125.0%
ROBBERY	7	8	7	7	11	4.4	19	57.1%
BURGLARY	13	16	15	9	28	11.3	4	115.4%
WEAPONS	. 1	3	0	. 3	2	0.8	21	100.0%
OTHER	4	4	6	7	4	1.6	27	0.0%
DRUG FELONIES4	5	4	11	8	6	2.4	34	20.0%
POSSESSION	0	0	5	5	1	0.4	35	NA
SALE	5	4	6	3	. 5	2.0	30	0.0%
OTHER FELONIES	26	24	24	37	31	12.5	34	19.2%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	3	2	4	4	5	2.0	10	66.7%

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

		lumber of I in State Pr	nmates Und	er Custody cember 31		Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 00,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	161	174	193	211	243	97.8	23	50.9%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	98	115	125	128	160	64.4	18	63.3%
HOMICIDE	26	30	34	38	40	16.1	20	53.8%
SEX OFFENSE	17	18	19	. 19	25	10.1	25	47.1%
ROBBERY	20	20	22	23	28	11.3	20	40.0%
BURGLARY	25	30	35	27	46	18.5	11	84.0%
WEAPONS	2	5	1	4	5	2.0	18	150.0%
OTHER	8	12	14	17	16	6.4	17	100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	10	10	18	19	21	8.5	24	110.0%
POSSESSION	. 1	1	. 4	8	8	3.2	27	700.0%
SALE	9	9	14	11	13	5.2	21	44.4%
OTHER FELONIES	49	46	46	59	56	22.5	26	14.3%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	4	3	4	5	· 6	2.4	10	50.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES		*			
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	73	73	56	79	75	30.2 23	2.7%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	167	180	160	180	201	80.9 27	20.4%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	107	104	101	126	140	56.3 27	30.8%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

							and the second second
		Numb	er of Paro	lees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	16	25	12	25	17	6.8 28	6.3%
RULE VIOLATIONS	3	3	1	6	1	0.4 35	-66.7%
NEW ARRESTS	11	17	7	10	11	4.4 18	0.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	. 2	. 5	4	9	5	2.0 29	150.0%

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

		Numi	ber of Ca:	ses		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	0	1	0		4 . 1,	. NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	1	. 1		2 2	NA
DISPOSITIONS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1		1 3	200.0%
CONVICTIONS	0	0	1		1	NA :
PRISON	0	. ,0	1		0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	, 0 ·	0		0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	. 0	0		0	NA
PROBATION	0	0	0	() 1	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	. (0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0		0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	(0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	(1,	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	(1	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	. (0	NA NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

		[xpenditures			Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	272.5	169.8	151.7	296.3	8.7%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	13.2
Division of Criminal Justice Services	31.2	162.0	197.5	189.6	508.2%	201.8	211.2
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	405.6	457.8	484.1	584.5	44.1%	646.0	805.4
Division for Youth ³	801.2	858.7	1,059.9	2,465.6	207.7%	1,545.7	1,465.4
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		10.0	21.6	N A	12.5	
TOTAL	1,510.4	1,648.3	1,903.2	3,557.6	135.5%	2,406.0	2,495.3

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		·	Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY, 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	,0.0	0.0	1.4	1.6	NA	<u> </u>	 .
Felons	133.7	153.6	112.9	211.9	58.5%		· · · · ·
Parole Violators	24.2	13.1	35.0	41.5	71.7%	·	
State Readies ²				38.6	NA NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	114.6	3.1	2.5	2.7	-97.6%	. · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL	272.5	169.8	151.7	296.3	8.7%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				<u>Appropriations</u>			
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	13.2
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	13.2

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Prevention ¹ and Protection	**** ****	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	45.0	0.0
Indigent Parolee Program ²	2.8	0.0	3.2	4.4	56.6%		, .
Justice Assistance Act ³	, ·				NA NA	10.6	16.7
Juvenile Justice ⁴ and Delinquency Prevention	28.4	18.0	16.9	10.3	-63.7%	5.8	19.5
Mobile Radio District Program ⁵		5.0	26.9	8.9	NA NA	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁶	· · · · · ·				NA		26.6
Neighborhood ⁷ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		29.0	22.7	14.1	NÁ		
Soft Body Armour ⁸ Reimbursement Program		• '	3.1	22.2	NA		
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program			0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹⁰	0,0	110.0	124.7	129.6	ŅA	140.5	148.5
TOTAL	31.2	162.0	197.5	189.6	508.2%	201.8	211.2

¹ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

 $^{^3}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{5}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^{6}}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{7}}$ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁸ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5.

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Approp	riations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				38.1	NA NA	45.0	74.1
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	55.1	55.5	76.5	96.5	75.1%	107.6	149.8
PINS Program ²		·			NA NA		102.3
Regular State Aid	350.4	402.3	407.6	449.9	28.4%	493.3	479.3
TOTAL	405.6	457.8	484.1	584.5	44.1%	646.0	805.4

This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropri	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	12.8	4.3	40.2	45.2	253.6%	41.4	. 29.7
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	0.0	0.0	17.1	28.8	. NA	52.9	52.1
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	170.1	188.9	176.9	201.7	18.5%	215.9	243.5
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	123.0	30.0	NA	70.0	66.2
Transitional Independent Living Support ²		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.0	NA NA	140.0	0.0
Voluntary Agency Care	110.7	172.9	200.3	814.7	635.8%	370.9	373.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	507.5	492.7	502.5	1,345.2	165.0%	654.7	700.9
TOTAL	801.2	858.7	1,059.9	2,465.6	207.7%	1,545.7	1,465.4

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	1,222	616	
DFY Facilities	74	66	
TOTAL	1,296	682	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	S		Appropi	iations
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	0.0	1,791.6	7,118.1	8,148.1	NA	12,441.5	21,613.6
DFY Facilities	1,486.2	1,557.7	1,852.0	2,103.1	41.5%	1,909.0	1,990.6
TOTAL	1,486.2	3,349.3	8,970.1	10,251.2	589.8%	14,350.5	23,604.2

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	0.0	725.0	0.0	725.0	18,411.0	65.0	
DFY Facilities	15.7	30.6	33.0	79.3	73.0	0.0	
TOTAL	15.7	755.5	33.0	804.3	18.484.0	65.0	

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

				Staff	
Facility	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	<u>Security</u>	Civilian
Mid-State	MEDIUM	1,222	61	6 417	199

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations		
Facility	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85		FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Mid-State ¹	0.0	1,791.6	7,118.1	8,148.1	NA	,	12,441.5	21,613.6	

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility opened in January of 1984.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

				Expenditures			Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>			FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Mid-State ¹			0.0	725.0	0.0	725.0	18,411.0	65.0

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility opened in January of 1984.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>	Security ₁ Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Annsville Residential Center	IA	60	62	
Utica Community Urban Home	ΛΙ	14	4	
TOTAL		74	66	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Annsville Residential Center	1,259.5	1,288.1	1,533.2	1,829.3	45.2%	1,613.2	1,807.9
Utica Community Urban Home	226.7	269.6	318.8	273.8	20.8%	295.8	182.7
TOTAL	1,486.2	1,557.7	1,852.0	2,103.1	41.5%	1,909.0	1,990.6

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	· 	Expend		Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Annsville Residential Center	15.7	30.6	33.0	79.3	70.0	0.0
Utica Community Urban Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
TOTAL	15.7	30.6	33.0	79.3	73.0	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

<u>Percent changes</u> are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the <u>percent change</u> is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calendar year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

Rates are ranked from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the rank calculations do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates. Also, dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all population-based rate calculations presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual NYS Crime and Justice publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed drivers in New York State that was used to calculate the <u>rate of DWI arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

- o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)
- o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
- o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
- o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- o New York State Statistical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the <u>offense classification</u> for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the State's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

<u>DOCS capacity</u> data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. <u>DFY capacity</u> data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

<u>DOCS staff</u> data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while <u>DFY staff</u> data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

H

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

		•			
Table	Fapulation	Unit of Count	Olfender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
<u> </u>		Ţi	_ ₁	· .	-
Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York	Victia-based: aurder forcible rape	Not applicable.	FBI Index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde-	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reportin
	State for a given year.	aggravated assault Structure-based: burglary Event-based: robbery		meanors for the specified offenses.	System.
		larceny (excluding motor vehicle theft)			
		Vehicle-based: motor vehicle theft			
		The unit of count is based on the most serious offense in a crime event.			
Table 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for	Adult •	Felony Arrests Misdeeeanor Arrests	MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing
Table 2B FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1997	year.	an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least			Trends file.
Table 2C ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES		one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	•		
Table 20 ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year	An arrest event.	•		NYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and
	that include at least one charge for drugs likew York State Penal Law - Sections 220 and 221).				Processing Trends File.
Table 2E FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARKESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES	Adult felony and eisdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year		•		NYS Division of Criainal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and
	that include at least one charge for a DMI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section				Processing Trends File.
	1192).				

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 3A	FELONY INDICTMENTS	Felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against a defendant is counted except for	Adult • Juvenile Offender ••	Fetony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.
			violent felony counts which reflect indictaents/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
Table 3B	FELONY INDICTRENIS: January through June, 1987					
<u>-</u>						
Table 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANDR ARKESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemennor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1989.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition is counted. Counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for	Adult *	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Jervices, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 4B	DISFOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1959.	acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. "Other" includes cases disposed by civil procedure, abated by the death of a defendant, etc.		(Not applicable for acquittal, dismissal, and "other" dismosi- tions.)	
						-
lable SA	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the aost serious charge is counted except for viotent felony counts which reflect	Adult 0	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Frocessing Trends File.
Table 5B	FELONY, MISGEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony carrest events that occurred after	conviction events that include at least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
		December 31. 1969.		*		

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Eriminal Justice Activity - Continued

lable		Fogulation	Unit of Count	Olfender Type	Offense Calegory	Source & Resarks
£						
Table 6A	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR MISGENEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and sisdemeanor arrest events	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence.	Adult #	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
		that occurred after December 31, 1959.	offense is counted. "Other" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoked (without fine).			
	نجا <u>ي مي دو يوني ميونون و دون ده مي نمي نه</u> بين مي مي مي نمونون بين مي مي مي مي مي المي المي المي المي المي		I	<u> </u>	 	
Table 7A	PROPATION DEPARTHENT ACTIVITY	Intake cases received during a given year.	Coeplaint-based; each coaplaint is counted as one case.	Fasity Courts Adult = Juvenite Celinouent	Detained/Arrested for: Felony Offense Hisdeseanor Offense	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
		Investigations ordered during a given year.	Investigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Heed of Supervision (PIHS) Crisinal Court:	Lesser Offense - only Juvenite Deling Status Offense -	Family Court - Annual compilation of the *Monthly Report of Family
		Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	only PINS	Workload in Probation Departmen (Form No. DP-30). Criminal Court - Annual compila
		Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for: Felony Offense	tion of the "Monthly Report of Criminal Workload in Probation Departments (Form No. DP-30A) fo investigation and pre-disposition
		Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year li.e., probation cases received during a given year		*	Hisdeseanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling	data, and the Probation Registrant System for all other
		plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Status Offense - only PINS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	rational design of the second	Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 3t of a		÷		
		given year.				
Table 78	FROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one			1 1 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		• .		·		

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
•					
Table 8A ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION PROSRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS	Cases processed by "demonstration" projects during a given State fiscal year.	Case-based; if more than one case was opened for the same client, each case is counted.	Adult & Juvenile Offenders &	Arrests or Convictions for: Felany Offenses Misdeseanor Offenses	MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 88 FREIRIAL HELEASE PROGRAM: 1986 Table 8C COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986	Cases processed by "classification/ alternative bill" projects during 1986.			Lesser Offenses	•
Table 80 OFFENSER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM; 1986					

P

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Fogelation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
t						
Table 9A	JAIL & PENTIENTIARY APPISSIONS	Adelssions of both detained and sentenced invales to county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criainal, civil, and federal offenses.	Adeission based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted aure than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult •	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Hisdemeanor Arrests Lesser Diffenses Sentenced admissions; Hisdemeanor Conv. Lesser Diffense Conv.	NYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1985 Sheriffs" Annual Reports" included the following caveat: " The Sheriffs' Annual Reports represent self-
Table 9B	JAIL & FEHITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION	A census of both detained and sentenced inaates in county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criainal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Population is inmate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" populations.			reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practices among these various counties The Commission advises caution when using data to compare une county to another."
		Insates under custody on Beceater 31 of a given year, and The daily average of innates under custody during a given year.				
		A census of beds in county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and peniten- tiary census was taken on a different	Capacity is baseé on beds; the count differs by region: New York City Department of Correction	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
		day during a given year.	counts reflect "beds at standard" lone invale per cell; 60 square feet per invate; and no more than 50 in a dorm). The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.			
			Hon-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and doraitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms.			

A-8

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Fopulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Oflense Category	Source & Regards
1					
Table 10A-1 ADMISSIONS TO NEW YORK STATE PRISON	Admissions to HYS prison during a given year.	Innate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the adolssions or under custody population. Only the	Adult •	Felony Convictions	HYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 10A-2 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON Table 10A	Hew court cossitaents to MYS prison during a given year.	aost serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts aay differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DOCS.
Table 108 INNATES UNDER CUSTOPY IN STATE FRISON ON DECEMPER 31	A census of invales under custody in NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				pour tereases of soca.
1351e 10C PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainees in HTS prison on Geceaber 31.	Detainer based.			
Experience of the second secon			1 -		Andreas de la company de la co
Table ITA PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES	Inmates released to the MYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based lone person per casel.	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	Convictions for: Felony Offenses	NYS Division of Parole.
	Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Hisdemeanor Offenses	The increase in parole revocation resulting from new felony convictions reflects legislative change and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passage of legislation, incorporated into the Bivision's rules and regula-
	Farole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				tions effective November 1, 1984 authorizing the Parole Board to issue a final declaration of de-
Table 118 REVOKED AND RETURNED PAPOLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoled and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				linquency for releasees convicte of new felonies committed under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A fina declaration of delinquency strea
					lines the violation process in these cases by obviating the ner for a final revocation hearing. The streamlined process has resulted to an increased propor-
					tion of returns for new convic- tions.

TABLE 1: Characteristics of Data Presented in Section 111: Crise and Crisinal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Fopulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
<u> </u>	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
Table 12 JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Violent felony arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event.	Juvenile Offender 46	Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions for	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offenders Arrests and Processing Trends
	Violent felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information (SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted.		Vibrent Ferbily Offenses.	File and the Indictment Statistical System.
	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from violent felony arrests events.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition: Counts are based on the year of disposition for acquittals, disaissals, not prosecuted, resoved to family court, and "other."			• • • • • • • •
		Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence disposition is counted.			

^{*} An adult is an offender who is to years of age or older at time of arrest.

¹¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony actis) (see Appendix 1); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree aurder as defined in subdivisions one and two of Section 125.25 of the Fenal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

APPENDIX B

DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

SELECTED DENDGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECUNUMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1904

SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popula	ıtion	 Population Per Squa		School	c High Drupouts	-	Monthly Uneap	loyed		Monthly A Recei Public As	ving . sistance
COUNTY	Year .	Number	Rank		Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	283,400 283,986	12 12	541 542	14 -14	3.8 3.4	37 45		6.2 4.2	5å 5å		2.9 2.9	44 44
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	50,500 50,902	48 47	49 49	54 54	2.5 3.4	58 42		8.1 7.9	20 20		9.2 9.2	1
BROHX	1986 1985	1,193,600 1,184,154	š :	28,419 28,194	3	12.1 12.3	2 2		8.4 9.1	14 12		.0	58 53
BROOME	1984 1985	210,800 212,443	18 18	296 298	19 19	4.0 4.1	30 33		5.9 5.9	42 42		1.7 3.5	29 34
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	85,300 85,335	32 32	85 85	47 47	3.8 4.4	38 28		8.8 9.0	11 ⁻ 13	-	. 6.2 6.1	- 11 12
CAYUGA	1984 1985	79,900 79,857	35 35	115 115	32 32	5.0 4.6	12 23		7.7	28 24		5.5 5.8	14 15
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	143,100 144,236	23 23	134 136	28 28	 3.9	34 34		9.0 7.6	24 26		7.4 7.0	5°
CHEMUNG	1984 1985	90,500 91,992	29 28	220 224	21 21	 5.3 4.5	11 27		8.8	36 17		8.0 8.7	3 2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	50,000 49,963	49 49	56 56	52 52	3.8 3.9	39 32		6.8 7.2	37 34		3.3 3.2	34 38
CLINTON	1986 1985	81,200 81,571	34 33	78 78	41 41	5.0 4.9	13		8.4 7.5	17 30		4.7 4.7	19 21
COLUNBIA	1984 1985	. 60,700 60,602	39 39	95 95	38 38	4.4 5.1	20 14		4.3 4.5	55 53		3.3 3.6	37 32

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Sec. 1	Population		•	Population Density Per Square Mile		Public High School Dropouts		*	Honthly Average Unemployed		Monthly A Recei Public As	iving
COUNTY	Year	 Nasber	Rank		Density			Percent	Percent	•	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	47,400 47,392	50 50		95 95	39 39		5.3 3.1	10 55		6.9 9.0	35 14	6.5 6.8	£0 8
DELAWARE	1986 ₁ 1985	47,100 46,888	51 51		33 33	59 58		4.1 5.0	27 16		5. b 6. 4	45 40	3.3	35 33
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	256,800 255,106	15 15		319 317	18 - 18		4.2 4.5	26 26		3.8 3.6	04 14	1.E 1.9	54 53
ERIE	1986 1985	964,700 971,386	7 . 7 .		922 929	11 11		3. ŝ . 3. 3	44 49		7.2 7.3	31 32	8.2 8.2	2 3
ESSEX	1986 1985	36,300 36,364	54 54		20 20	60 66		3.2 5.0	48 15		9.6	6	5.4 6.0	16 13
FRANKLIN	1986 1905	43,800 43,793	52 52		27 27	59 59		2.9 3.5	55 41	•	10.7 9.5	5 9	 4.8 7.2	8
FULTON	1986 1985	54,600 55,411	45 45		110 111	33 33		5. 9 5.0	· 7		11.4 13.1	4	 - 4.7 . 4.4	20 25
GENESEE	1984 1985	58,800 59,053	41 41		119 119	31 31		3.4 3.3	45 50		8.6 7.9	13 22	2.8 2.8	47
GREEKE	1986 1985	42,100 41,476	53 53		65 64	48 48		4.3 5.5	24 10		7.3 8.5	29 16	2.5 2.8	50 47
HANILTON	1986 1985	5,000 4,985	62 62		3	62 62	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3.2 2.8	47 59		12.4 11.9	1 3	2.8 3.2	45 37
HERKINER	1986 1985	 66,900 66,919	37 37		47 47	56 56		4.3	25 25		9.9 9.2	. 7 11	4.1 4.1	26 26

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popul a	itian	 Population Per Squa	•		c High Dropouts	Honthly Unexp	Average loyed		Average# iving ssistance
COUNTY	Year	Number	Rank	Density	Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	90,600 88,583	28 29	71 70	42 43	5.7 4.6	8 24	11.4	3 2	5.9 8.6	13 10
KINGS	1986 1985	2,293,200 2,293,395	1 1	32,760 32,620	2 2	8.8 11.8	3	8.5 9.7	12 7	.0	58 58
LEWIS	1986 1985	25,300 25,165	59 59	20 20	61 61	2.2 3.0	61 57	9.5 9.3	9 10	4.4	22 24
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	58,600 58,328	42 42	93 92	40 40	2.2 3.0	60 58	7.1 5.7	32 44	3.0 2.8	42 45
MADISON	1986 1985	66,600 66,743	38 - 38	102 102	34 34	4.7	19 22	7.8 6.9	26 37	2.5 2.8	48
HONROE	1986 1985	702,600 704,876	9	1,040 1,043	10 10	4.0	· 29 21	5.0 4.3	51 55	7.0 6.7	7 9
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	52,100 52,527	46 46	129 130	29 29	4.1 4.9	28 20	9.6	. 8	4.0 3.7	27 30
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,323,000 1,331,343	4 4	4,610 4,639		2.1 2.3	62 61	 4.0 4.2	59 57	1.3	56 56
HEM AOUK	1986 1985	1,478,000 1,479,775	. 3.	67,182 67,263	1	14.7 18.4	1	7.0 7.5	34 29	.0 .0	58 58
NIAGARA	1986 1985	216,900 216,493	17 17	412 412	15 15	4.4 4.2	22 32	8.9 9.8	10 4	7.4 7.6	6 5
ONEIDA	1986 1985	248,500 250,734	16 16	204 206	22 22	5.0 4.3	14 30	6.9	40 38	6.1 5.9	12 14

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

			Popul a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Population Per Squa		<u>.</u>		c High Dropouts	Konthly Uneap	•	 Monthly A Recei Public As	ving ssistance
COUNTY		Year	Number	Rank	Density			Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
DNDNDAGA		1986 1985	463,200 465,317	10 10	590 593	13 13		4.0	31 37	6.4 5.2	39 50	4.9	18 18
ONTARIO :		1986 1985	92,200 92,386	27 27	143 143	27 27		3.5 3.0	42 56	6.7 7.0	36 38	2.8 2.7	46 49
ORANGE		1986 1985	281,700 277,563	13 13	341 336	17 17		4.9 5.3	16 11	5.1 5.3	50 48	4.5 4.7	24 20
ORLEANS		1986 1985	38,900 39,140	55 55	99 100	35 34		3.2 3.1	49 53	8.5 10.1	13 4	5.5 5.6	15 16
OSWEGO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 1985	119,600 119,138	24 24	125 125	30 30		4.B 6.3	18 6	11.5 8.5	2 15	5.1 5.1	17 17
OTSEGO		1986 1985	59,200 59,369	40 40	59 59	51 51		3.2 3.4	53 49	5.5 5.5	46	3.1 3.0	39 42
PUTNAK		1986 1985	81,600 60,895	33 34	353 350	16 16		3.0 1.4	54 62	3.2 3.3	62 62	.4 .4	57 57
DUEENS		1986 1985	1,923,300 1,933,044	2	17,808 17,899	4		7.1 8.1		6.3 7.0	41 35	.0	58
RENSSELAER		1984 1985	151,700 151,352	21	232 231	20 20		4.3 4.3	23 29	5.1 5.2	49	3.6 3.8	30 29
RICHMOND		1986 1985	374,600 373,871	11 11	6,349 6,337	5 5		5.9 7.4	6 5	5.3 5.8	48 43	.0 .0	58 58
ROCKLAND	÷	1986 1985	265,900 265,230	14 , - 14	1,519 1,516	8 8		2.8 2.6	56 60	4.1	57 58	2.5 2.7	- 49 50

SELECTED DENOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popul at i	ion		on Density ware Hile	School	c High Dropouts		Honthly Uneapl	oyed	Honthly A Recei Public As	ving
COUNTY	Year		Rank			Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
ST LAWRENCE	1986 1995	112,800 113,411	25 25	41 42		3.3 3.5	46 39		8.5 8.2	14 18 -	7.7 7.6	4 4
SARATOGA -	1986 1985	164,100 161,590	20 20	203 199		3.1 3.4	52 47		5.4 5.4	- 47 47	1.5 1.7	5 5 55
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	150,200 150,585	22 22	729 731		3.7 4.1	40 35		4.8 4.5	52 54	3.5 3.9	32 27
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	29,800 29,799	58 58	46 46		3.8 3.4	36 44		7.9 7.9	25 21	3.5 3.6	31 31
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	17,400 17,511	61 61	51 51		3.1	53 54		7.3 7.5	30 28	4.6	21 19
SENECA	1986 1985	32,100 32,7å5	57 57	9E		3.6 3.8	41 3B	•	8.3 7.9	18 23	2.3 2.2	51 52
STEUBEN -	1986 1985	96,900 97,342	26 26	69 70		3.9	33 52		7.8	27 33	6.6 6.4	9 11
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	1,312,000 1,313,326	5 5	1,439 1,446	9	3.5 3.4	43 43		4.8 5.0	53 51	3.0 3.2	4 <u>1</u> 40
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	68,600 67,602	36 36	7(64		5.0 4.9	15 18		5.8 5.5	43 45	3.0 3.û	40 43
T106A	1986 1985	51,000 50,379	47 48 °	. 97		3.2 3.5	50 40		7.1 6.9	39 33	4.5	25 22
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	87,600 88,150	31 - 30	184 185		3.7 6.0	39 B		3.5 3.7	61 59	3.8 3.9	78 78

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

	Year	-	-	Population		Public School Dr			Monthly (oyed		Honthly A Recei Public As	ving
		Popul a	tion	Per Squar	re Hile		ercent			Percent	2		Percent
COUNTY	Year	Nuober	Rank	Density	Rank	Percent	Rank		Percent	Rank		Per cent	Kank
ULSTER	1706 1785	164,200 163,774	19 19	145 145	26 25	6.1 5.9	5 9		4.3	54 52	•	3.0 3.2	43 41
WARREN	1986 1985	55,500 55,640	44 44	63 63	49 50	4.8 5.2	. 17 13		B.1 7.6	21 27		1.9	53 51
NASHINGTON	1986 1985	56,900 56,752	43 43	79 · 98	46 46	5.5 5.3	9 12		5.7 6.4	44		3.1 3.3	30 35
HAYNE	1986 1985	88,000 87,551	30 31	145 145	25 26	4.4 6.1	21 7		8.1 7.8	22 25		3.4 3.3	33 36
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	863,200 865,460	6	1,971 1,976	7 7	2.7 3.2	57 51		3.8 3.7	59 60		4.6	23 23
HADRING	1984 1985	40,800 40,676	54 54	68 68	45 45	4.0 3.4	32 46	•	8.0	23 19		2.1 1.8	52 54
YATES	1986 1985	21,200 21,486	04 04	63 63	50 49	2.3 4.2	59 31		8.2 7.5	19 31		3.3 3.2	36 39
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	17,772,850 17,782,754	NA NA	375 375	na Na	5.9 6.5	na Na		6.3 6.5	NA NA		7.7 7.8	HA HA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	7,262,700 7,254,239	na Na	24,129 24,100	NA Ná	10.1 12.1	HA HA		7.4 8.1	NA:		12.4 12.8	RA NA

^{*} The conthly average number of persons in public assistance programs is not reported by county for the live New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce, Department of Education, Department of Labor, Department of Social Services, and the US Bureau of Census.

NOTE: Percents presented in this Table have been rounded to the first decimal place, however, the rank scores for percents were calculated using numbers with four (4) decimal places.

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 FUFULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

																		ITY INDEX CI	IHES	-	
	CKINE	5	Tota	l .	. •	Murd	er	Forcible	. Kape	ƙabb	ery	Agg. As	sauit	Lot	al .	Burgl	ary		•	NY TI	heft
YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Ŗ.	ate	Rank	Rate	fiank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Aank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
1984 1985	4,540.7 4,530.8	10 11	344.6 309.4	15 18		3.8	18	31.0 21.0	8 18	103.1 72.5	15 14	205.8 194.2	21 25	4,196.1	10	1,193.8 1,177.2	7 10	2,828.3 2,865.9	9	174.0 178.1	
1988 1785	2,060.9 2,077.2	56 58	139.6	43 48		3.9 3.9	17 16	3.9 5.8	55 50	7.8	50 40			1,921.3	55 57	721.2 624.9	26 46	1,153.6 1,312.1	50 54	46.5 25.3	
1986 1985	8,131.2 7,503.3	3	2,319.9	2		34.3 28.6	1	53.5 69.9	3 1	1,235.9 1,184.0	3			5,811.3 5,349.1	2 5	1,800.5 1,796.7	2 2	2,924.3 2,552.2	11 11	1,086.5 999.3	
1986 1985	2,936.5 2,984.5	29 31	76.7 62.6	54 60		2.3	32 47		22 23	17.3 12.1	43 31			2,859.9 2,921.9	26 26	463.2 519.0	56 58	2,307.9 2,302.5	18 17	88.6 160.4	
1986 1985	2,558.5 2,546.0	35 44	132.2 120.5	47 47		2.3	33 50	7.0 2.3	50 59	- 18.2 10.4	35 49	106.7 167.8	45 42	2,428.4 2,425.5	34 39	566.9 632.7	51 45	1,750.5 1,705.9	31 37	10 9.0 8å.9	
1984 1985	2,312.3 2,169.8	49 55	144.1 196.2	41 32	-	1.2	4& 28	12.4 16.1	32 25	16.2	39 39			2,160.2 1,973.5		488.3 493.1	56 59	1,597.9 1,394.8	38 51	02.0 85.7	
1986 1985	3,306.5 3,314.4	20 24	151.5 132.3	40 42		1.4	44 42		18 35	21.2 25.2	30 23	110.5 94.8	43 46	3,155.0 3,162.2	20 22	704.0 727.4	- 30 37	2,359.4 2,339.4	16 14	90.7 115.2	
1986 1985	3,922.4 4,044.8	15 13	207.1 185.7	34 34		4.3	14 34			33.1 28.8	26 22	150.5 135.5	34 33	3,715.3 3,858.1	1 3 13	707.9 837.3	24 21	2,822.8 2,937.6	10 5	104.6 83.2	27 . 39
1986 1955	2,221.8 2,600.3	51 35	133.0 153.9	46 36		2.0	35 32		11	.0 10.8	61 46	119.1 114.3	39 39	2,089.8 2,636.5	51 35	595.7 621.3	49 25	1,423.6 1,741.8	47 - 34	69.5 73.3	
1988 1985	2,436.9 2,594.9	39 39	308.3 374.8	19 11		3.7 1.2	19 11		48 34	8.2 14.8	54 39	291.0 347.6	11	2,128.6 2,320.1	48 43	572.2 541.9	50 52	1,518.1 1,696.3	44 39	38, 2 78.9	
1984 1965	2,401.6 2,732.9	43 36	303.1 329.2	20 17		11.4 3.3	5 21		52 45		20 24			2,098.å 2,463.7	50 11	611.0 756.1	42 33	1,422.4 1,575.8	48 45	65.2 71.7	
1984 1985	4,426.3 4,558.3	11 9	221.6 217.3	30 29		4.1	15 14		9 -	37.3 20.7	25 27			4,204.6 4,341.1	9	903.1 922.8	. 18 19	3,218.7 3,273.4	4 - 4	82.9 144.8	
1986 1965	2,294.0 2,216.8	50 53	119.6 147.4	48 40		8.5 2.1	22 7		54 60	15.0 4.3	40 54 ·					837.3 790.2	20 28	1,300.8 1,238.5	- 53 5á	36.3 42.7	
	1984 1985 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985	1806 CAINIO YEAR Rate 1984 4,540.7 1965 4,530.4 1985 2,060.9 1985 2,077.2 1986 2,936.5 1985 2,984.5 1986 2,558.5 1985 2,586.0 1986 2,312.3 1986 2,312.3 1986 3,306.5 1986 3,306.5 1986 3,22.4 1986 4,044.8 1986 2,221.8 1986 2,436.9 1986 3,584.9 1986 3,588.3 1986 4,426.3 1986 4,426.3 1986 3,558.3	1984 4,540.7 10 1965 4,530.8 11 1984 2,060.9 56 1785 2,077.2 58 1985 7,505.3 3 1986 2,936.5 29 1985 2,984.5 31 1986 2,558.5 35 1986 2,312.3 49 1985 2,169.8 55 1986 3,306.5 20 1785 3,314.4 24 1986 3,922.4 15 1986 3,306.5 20 1785 3,314.4 24 1986 3,922.4 15 1986 3,922.4 15 1986 2,21.8 51 1985 2,54.9 39 1986 2,436.9 39 1986 2,436.9 39 1986 2,401.6 43 1965 2,732.9 36 1986 4,426.3 11 1985 4,558.3 9	TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL	TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL	TRUET CKINES Total	Total Nural	Total Nurder	Total	The color of the	TROET Total Nurder Forcible Hape Robbot	Total Nurder Forcible Rape Robbery	Total Morder Forcible Rape Robbery Agg. Ass	The Table Table	Trans. Total Norder Forcible Name Robbery Agg. Sessuit Total Total Norder Forcible Name Rate Rank Rate	THOSE Califies Total Nurder Forcible Rape Robbery Agg. Assault Total	THOSE Total Norder Forciste Rape Robbery Agg. Assault Total Eurgi YEAR Rate Rank Rate				

TABLE 1 - INDET CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTA						ENT INDEX C						•				RTY INDEX C	RINES		
		ER IN		Tota		Nur	der	Forcibl	e Rape	Robb	ery	Agg. Ass	saul t	Tot	al	Burg	lary	Larc		I VN	heft
COUNTY	YEAR	fiate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	flank	Rate	Hank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	kank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	3,242.6 3,126.4	24 28	352.9 330.6	13 16	2.4 2.0	30	14.2		109.8 74.1	12 14	227.5 240.0	18 17	2,889.7 2,795.8	25 30	955.4 934.4		1,915.8 1,831.5		118.3 127.7	
ERIE	1984 1985	4,591.7 4,532.9	9 10	575.3 528.1	<u> </u>	4.4	13 12	33.9		178.2 188.0	8	358.8 322.0	7	4,016.4 4,004.8	11- 12	1,127.4 1,150.3		2,443.0 2,448.5		445.8 406.0	
ESSEI	1985 1985	2,208.0 2,176.7	52 54	148.8 157.6	39 38	2.7	52 24	5.4 8.2		5.4 2.7	54 59	157.9 144.0	31 30	2,039.2 2,019.1	54 54	745.0 734.5		1,244.9		27.2 62.5	
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	2,343.0 2,860.2	47 34	159.1 158.9	39 39	.0 2.6	52 26	11.4 25.6		9.1 5.1	47 53	138.6 125.6	36 36	2,183.9 2,701.3	43 31	609.0 784.3		1,509.0 1,860.7		65.9 56.4	
FULTON	1984 1985	2,950.& 3,435.&	28 20	97.7 94.1	52 53	1.8		10.7 5.3	38 52	16.0 12.4	37 42	69.3 76.3	52 49	2,852.9 3,341.6	28 19	689.2 1,009.7	32 15	2,030.4 2,184.5		133.2 147.3	
GEHESEE	1984 1965	2,396.4 2,559.3	44 43	68.6 91.9	58 54	1.7		8.4 5.0		6.7 21.7	52 25	51.0 65.2	59 51	2,327.8 2,467.4	37 38	610.4 566.3	43 49	1,667.3 1,842.6		50.2 58.5	
GREENE	1985 1985	2,508.3 2,592.1	37 39	359.4 360.6	12 10		52 29	14.5 7.2		14.9 14.9	33 35	328.0 354.1	- g 7	2,148.9 2,211.5	46 48	709.1 672.1	29 43	1,360.2 1,455.0		79.4 84.3	
HANILTON	1984 1985	1,950.3	57 25	41.4 101.8	41 50	.0		.0 20.4		.0 20.4	61 29	61.6 61.1	56 56	1,888.7 3,175.2	57 23	451.7 1,302.7	59 7	1,394.0 1,736.1		41.1 142.5	
HERKINER	1984 1985	1,920.0 2,143.9	58 - 57	170.0 175.B	37 35	1.5 1.5	43 41	10.3 8.9		4.4 3.ú	59 58	153.7 162.5	32 27	1,750.1 1,969.1	59 56	520.3 536.3	54 54	1,191.3 1,391.8		38.4 39.9	
JEFFERSON	1985 1985	2,345.1	46 37	76.3 96.5	55 52	4.5 3.4	12 19	4.5 4.5		9.0 13.5	48 40	58.3 75.2		2,268.8 2,660.5	40 36	717.0 834.7	27 23	1,509.2 1,700.7		42.4 65.1	
KINGS	1986 1985	8,020.8 7,594.5	3 2	2,257.6 2,077.9	3	21.4 20.5	3. 3	54.3 58.8		1,278.2 1,221.3	2 2	901.7 777.3	3	5,743.1 5,514.4	3	1,781.0		2,828.2 2,717.8		1,153.9 1,036.9	
LEVIS	1984 1985	986.4 1,165.6	62 62	63.9 123.7	60 44	.0 4.0	52 15	.0		12.0 4.0	41 55	51.9 111.7	58 40	922,5 981.4	\$2 \$2	431.3 506.6		435.3 430.8		55.9 43.9	
LIVINGSTON	1985 1985	3,105.7 3,684.0	24 29	269.5 240.4	24 28	1.7	39 39	10.2 8.5		11.9	42 51	245.6 225.0	17 20	2,834.2 2,843.7	29 29	395.7 453.5		2,386.0 2,320.8		54.6 61.4	

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 PGPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		1014						ENT INDEX C										RTY INDEX C	RINES		
		INDE	ES	Tot	ai	Hur	der	forcibl	e Rape	Robb	ery	Agg. Ass	ault	Tota	ıl	Burg	lary	Larc		AV TI	
COUNTY	YEAR	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1984 1965	2,410.9 2,243.2	42 52	105.8 68.5	51 59	1.5 1.5	42	20.9 10.4		4.5 17.9	5 <u>9</u> 32	79.0 38.7	50 59	2,305.1 2,174.8	38 49	554.3 595.4	52 48	1,482.2 1,499.0		88.5 80.4	
NONROE	1984 1985	5,370.3 5,373.7	5 6	497.7 465.6	7 8	6.0 1.9		31.3 27.5		174.8 172.9	. 1	285.4 240.3	12 13	4,872.5 4,968.1	5 6	1,142.7 1,149.6	12	3,465.1 3,487.0	3	264.8 271.5	
HONTGOKERY	1985 1985	1,604.b 1,696.û	61 61	75.2 78.9		1.9		3.8		7.5 15.0		45.8 40.1	53 57	1,529.6	60 61	529.0 501.5	53 58	928.3 1,049.9		73.3 65.7	
NASSAU	1984 1985	3,263.7 3,465.2	23 19	239,2 215,7	27 30	2.8 2.3		10.2		124.9 117.2		101.2 85.9	46 48	3,024.5 3,249.6	22 21	678,1 704.7	33 39	1,847.7 2,022.8		498.7 522.1	
HEW YORK	1984 1985	14,315.6 13,623.0	1	2,698.6 2,657.0	- 1	29.8 25.3		62.2 67.3		1,638.3 1,692.7	i I	948.3 871.7	2 2	11,617.0 10,968.0	1 1	2,165.6 2,219.2	1	8,429.1 7,868.6	1	1,022.3 938.1	
HIAGARA	1988 1985	4,172.8 4,403.7	12 12	. 346.9 . 364.9	14 12	5.0 1.4		36.4 23.6		106.4 97.7	. 14 13	199.1 242.2	23 16	3,825.9 4,038.8	12 11	1,044.8 1,145.0	13 13	2,554.3 2,650.8		206.9 243.1	
ONEIDA	1984 1965	2,434.4 2,396.5	40 ···	143.5 121.8	42 46	2.4 2.4		11.8		57.0 48.3	19 19	72.3 59.3	51 58	2,291.0 2,274.7	. 39 44	698.0 736.1	31 35	1,519.1 1,458.9	43	73.9 79.7	
CHONDAGA	1984 1965	4,638.0 4,648.8	8 8	325.8 207.6	17 19	2.1 3.4		24.7 29.0		133.7 130.8	g g	165.3 124.3	29 37	4,312.3 4,361.3	8	1,169.7 1,278.4	6 . 8	2,971.3 2,915.3	5	171.3 167.5	
DNTAR10	1986 1985	2,789.6 2,905.3	32 32	224.3 197.1	29 31	3.3 1.1		15.2 20.6		29.3 17.3	27 33	174.6 158.1	26 27	2,565.4 2,768.3	31 32	646.8 726.6	28 28	1,848.2		70.4 75.8	
ORANGE	1984 1985	3,723.3 3,653.1	17 18	425.7 396.0	9	3.3 3.6		21.5 20.4		122.2 119.6		278.7 252.3	-13 14	3,297.5 3,257.1	17 20	978.8 1,026.9	16 14	2,128.7 2,058.5		190.1 171.8	
ORLEANS	1988 1985	2,506.7 2,367.7	38 49	310.2 258.9		7.6 2.5		12.7 25.4		38.1 20.3	23 30	251.7 210.6	16 22	2,194.4 2,108.9	42 51	807.6 434.0		1,525.4 1,608.9		63.6 66.0	
OZKEPO	1984 1985	2,541.0 2,373.2	36 48	114.8 110.5		1.7 .8		18.4 8.4		15.1 10.9		79.6 56.4	49 47	2,427.1 2,262.6	33 45	642.6 559.4		1,470.5 1,594.4		113.9 168.9	
015660	1984 1995	2,187.7 2,359.9	54 50	73.4 98.4		0. 3.3		3.3 16.0		5.0 11.7		65.0 73.4	54 51	2,114.3 2,261.5	- 49 -46	480.2 615.4		1,590.7 1,601.1		43.4 45.6	

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

•		TOTAL					Atore	NT INDEX C				**					PROPER	ITY THDET C	RINES -		
		INDEX CRIMES		Tota	ıl	Nurd	ler	Forcible		Robbi		Agg. As		Tota	1	Burg	lary	Larci	eny	nv Th	ieft
COUNTY	YEAR		ank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTHAN	1984 1985	2,335.7 2,574.1	46 40	254.2 161.5	25 37	.0 6.2	52 6	3.7 13.6	56 29	40.7 9.9	22 49	209.8 131.9		2,081.5 2,412.6	52 40	663.8 798.8	36 27	1,272.1	54 49	145.6 166.4	19 18
QUEENS	1984 1985	6,909.5 6,533.9	4 .	1,208.5	4	12.1 9.7	4	34.4 35.0	5 5	882.8 848.1	4	499.3 435.6	4 5	5,701.0 5,405.5	4	1,447.9 1,421.8	4 5	2,834.3 2,641.0	. 10	1,418.8 1,342.7	1
RENSSELAER	1985 1985		14 17	295.7 279.1	22 20	2.6 - 2.6	2B 25	22.3 21.6	12 17	75.4 55.0	.17 18	195.4 199.9		3,432.5 3,427.7	15 17	1,084.3 982.3	11 16	2,371.2 2,253.5	15 19	177.0 192.0	13 13
RICHMOND	1984 1985	5,073.5 5,138.0	7	723.9 699.2	5 5	7.5 7.0	5 S	20.1 19.8	15 22	263.3 267.7	5 5	433.1 404.7	5 6	4,349.6 4,438.8	7	1,051.2	14 9	1,970.6 1,898.5	24 29	1,327.8 1,292.1	2 2
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		30 · 27	289.2 285.3	23 22	.8 1.5	50 39	12.8 8.3	. 30 43	75.1 60.4	18 17	200.5 195.1	22 24	2,640.1 2,861.8	30 28	713.9 759.6	28 32	1,780.4 1,902.6	30 28	145.7 199.6	10 12
ST. LAWRENCE	1988 1985	•	27 33	137.B 84.2	44 55	.9	49 48	11.4 .0	35 61	7.9 10.5	49 47	117.6 72.8		2,854.2 2,762.3	27 31	628.2 695.6	40 41	2,150.5 2,013.9	21 25	75.5 72.8	38 46
SARATOGA .	1985 1985		45 51	171.2 136.1	36 41	4 1.9	. 51 37	13.1 8.7	29 40	14.9 15.4	34 37	140.5 169.8		2,218.8 2,151.3	41 50	600.3 639.1	47 44	1,544.8 1,424.9	41 50	73.7 87.4	40 . 35
SCHENECTADY	1988 1985	3,500.7 3,352.9	19 22	214.2 190.3	22 25	1.3 2.0	45 35	9.9	42 30	98.6 75.3	16 15	114.4 99.8	41 44	3,284.5 3,162.5	18 24	1,078.5 953.7	12 17	2,057.2 2,042.9	24 23	150.8 145.9	17 19
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	•	53 46	118.6 72.3	49 58	.0	52 50	3.3 3.3	58 58	6.6 0.	53 60	- 108.7 69.0	44, 53	2,049.4 2,333.9	53 42	626.1 881.0	41 20	1,387.3 1,390.5	50 53	54.0 62.5	50 52
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	•	59 60	39.4 78.9	62 56	.0	52 50	.0	59 41	5. å 16. 9	55 34	33.8 62.0		1,705.4 1,651.0	59 59	669.9 546.6	34 51	948.2 1,048.1	60 61	67.5 56.3	45 56
SENECA	1984 1965		22 23	299.7 272.0	21 21	3.0 6.0	25 7	9.1 24.2	45 13	15.1 3.0	38 57	272.5 238.8		2,967.0 3,070.6	24 25	660.0 761.2	37 40	2,182.9 2,221.3	19. 20	124.1 148.1	22 21
STEUBEN	1984 1985		33 45	233.9 255.9	28 26	1.0	48 50	9.0 8.0	46	11.0 18.1	44 31	212.8 229.8	19 19	2,364.3 2,249.5	35 47	597.3 540.8	48 53	1,666.5	37 40	100.4 92.3	29 33
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	•	16 15	250.8 255.8	26 27	2.9	28 22	13.5	28 31	104.5 108.7	. 13 - 12	128.0 130.7		3,620.9 3,554.5	16 14	998.3 945.3	. 15 16	2,313.4 2,329.4	17 15	309.2 279.8	9 9

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIMS RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1965 AND 1986

		101						ENT INGEX CI										RTY INDEX CI	INES		
		INGS CRII	IES.	Tota	al	Hur	der	Forcible	Rape	Robbi	ery -	Agg. As	sault	Tota	i	Burg	ary	Larci	•	WA IP	ieft
COUNT!	YEAR	Rale	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank .	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1984 1995	3,548.1 3,784.5	18	412.5 339.9		7.4 3.0		32.6 26.7	7 10	54.9 43.0	20 20	317.6 267.1		3,135.6 3,444.6	21 16	1,396.4	5 1	1,565.6 1,614.7	40	173.6 179.6	15 14
1106A	1984	1,698.0	40	197.2	35	3.9	16	13.8	27	11.8	43	167.6	28	1,500.6	61	424.0	41	984.1	59	90.7	31
	1985	1,764.0	59	122.2	45	.0	50	3.9	56	3.9	56	114.3	39	1,641.8	46	431.6	42	1,131.3	59	78.8	43
TORPKINS	1984	5,004.0	7	137.4	45	1.1	47	9.1	44	37.5	24	89.7	48	4,868.5	6	1,227.6	b	3,544.4	2	94.3	29
	1985	5,724.4	5	129.3	43	1.1	45	11.3	33	21.6	26	95.3	45	5,597.1	2	1,341.1	. Ł	4,126.6	2	129.3	Zá
ULSTER	1984	2,859.2	31	376.0	. 11	3.7	20	16.6	20	48.5	21	307.3	- 10	2,483.2	32	665.6	35	1,710.9	32	104.7	26
	1985	3,019.0	30	352,5	13	4.3	13	23.9	14	36.2	21	288.1	11	2,464.5	34	744.8	- 31	1,740.5	33	139.1	25
WARREN	1988	3,270.7	21	64.7	59	1.8	37 -	10.8	37	21.4	29	30.6	62	3,204.0	19	909.B	-17	2,152.3	20	143.8	20
	1985	3,468.9	21	50.3	. 61	.0	50	7.2	49	12.6	41	30.5	61	3,350.4	18	830.2	25	2,363.0	13	165.3	20
WASHINGTON	1986	2,129.1	55	210.1	33	.0	52	16.0	21	10.7	- 45	183.4	25	1,919.1	54	503.8	55	1,329.0	52	85.5	33
	1985	2,158.2	55	259.4	24	- 5,3	. 8	5.3	51	5.3	52	243.6	15	1,898.7	58	535.1	55	1,274.7	55	88.9	34
WAYNE	1986	3,188.1		216.6		. 3.4		22.7	11	17.0	32	173.5	27	2,971.5	23	829.1	21	2,075.5	23	\$6.9	45
	1985	3,132.5	26	260.7	23	.0	50	23.8	15	20.4	28	216.5	21	2,871.8	27	782.0	30	1,985.4	26	104.3	31
WESTCHESTER	1986	4,014.6	13	334.9		3.5		14.0	26	165.2	8	152.2		3,679.7	14	796.7	23	2,445.5	12	437.5	8
	1985	3,841.0	44	334.3	15	4.7	11	15.1	26	177.7	6	136.8	- 32	3,505.7	15	814.5	26	2,293.1	18	399.1	. 8
HYDH1KG	1984	2,565.0	34	422.6		2.5		7.4	49	2,5	40	410.3		2,142.4	47	798.5	22	1,267.8		76.2	37
	1985	2,562.1	41	533.1		- 4.9	. 9	7.1	47	7.4	5ú	513.4	4	2,029.0	53	682.9	42	1,235.4	57	110.5	29
YATES	1986	2,418.1	41	83.5		.0		9.3	43	9.3	46	45.0		2,334.5	34	603.4	45	1,484.8	33	46.4	55
	. 1965	2,566.5	42	46.4	62	.0	50	18.4	24		60	27.8	62	2,514.1	37	732.9	36	1,739.5	- 35	41.7	40
UCU VODU STATE			114	222.0	***																
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	5,741.4 5,566.7	na Ka	983.9 928.6		10.7 9.5		30.4 - 31.9	NA NA	514.2 504.1	na Na	428.6 383.0		4,757.7 4,538.1	NA NA	1,216.3	HA NA	2,904.2 2,808.9		435.2 598.0	NA Na
שלט אטטא בוזיי	1001	0.011.3			ii a													•			
NEM AOUR CITA	1,986 1,985	8,866.7 8,392.9	HA HA	1,997.5 1,883.4	HA Ha	22.0 19.3		- 49.3 54.0	HA HA	1,127.2	NA Na -	798.9 701.9		1.948,4 2.902,4	NA NA	1,735.4	HA. Na	3,938.0 3,661.0		1,195.9 1,105.6	NA HA
		•		•						.,	****			-,				-,	••••	.,	••••

NDIE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEHEANDE ABULT ARREST RATES PER 160,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1904

		***				FELONY A	RRESTS			W1CDC-07	1100
		-101A ARRES		lota		Viole		Othe	ır	HISDENE ARKES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1984 1985	2,338.7 2,197.9	12 14	712.1 604.5	. 6	251.6 194.6	7	460.5 409.7	7 6	1,626.7 1,593.4	21 20
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,247.5	60 62	330.7 231.8	56 62	45.3 55.0	57 58	265.3 176.8	46 62	914.8 880.1	58 60
BRONI	1986 1985	3,611.0 3,284.8	2 2	2,065.8 1,775.8	2	821.0 770.3	2 2	. 1,244.8 1,005.4	2	1,545.2 1,509.0	28 28
BROOKE	1986 1985	1,842.5 1,676.2	37 39	397.5 388.3	35 29	114.3 109.2	28 27	283.2 279.1	40 29	1,445.0 1,287.9	36 39
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	1,756.2 1,587.9	41 44	334.5 286.3	55 56	72.7 66.8	55 52	263.8 221.5	48 58	1,419.7	39 37
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1,558.2 1,843.3	52 - 34	374.2 373.2	41 32	86.4 61.4	46 43	287.9 291.8	. 37 23	1,184.0 1,470.1	51 31
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	1,807.8 1,660.5	39 41	345.2 299.5	50 55	86.0 77.0	47 45	259.3 222.6	50 53	1,462.6	34 35
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	1,905.0 1,943.6	34 29	426.5 451.1	28 21	129.3 104.4	21 32	297.2 346.8	33 15	1,478.5 1,492.5	33 29
CHENAMGO	1986 1985	1,944.0 2,159.4	29 16	280.0 310.2	60 51	58.0 92.1	40 37	222.0 218.2	60 57	1,886.0 1,849.4	18 10
CLINTON	1984 1985	1,943.3 1,851.1	23 31	507.4 384.9	19 30	126.8 95.6	22 34	380.5 269.3	12 26	1,436.0	37 32
COLUNBIA	1986 1985	2,019.8 2,234.2	24 .13	466.2 523.1	22 12	141.7 132,0	19 19	324.5 391.1	25 9	1,553.5 1,711.2	26 12
CORTLAND	1984 1985	2,865.0 2,964.6	5 4	514.8 403.0	17 25	177.2 111.9	13 26	337.6 291.2	23 25	2,350.2 2,561.6	4 2
DELAWARE	1984 1985	2,010.6 1,960.0	25 27	420.4 341.2	29 40	80.7 61.8	51 55	339.7 279.4	22 28	1,590.2 1,618.8	24 17

Clontinued on next page. 1

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY A	RRESTS			***************************************	
		TOTA ARRES		Tota	1	Viole	nt	Othi	st 	HISDENE Arres	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,987.1 1,989.8	27 23	537.4 514.7	16	158.1 166.6	17 12	379.3 348.1	13 14	1,449.8 1,475.1	35 30
ERIE	1986	2,257.1	17	571.3	12	222.3	9	348.9	19	1,685.8	19
	1985	2,103.1	18	539.7	10	210.2	B	329.5	19	1,563.3	25
ESSEX	1986	2,203.7	1 &	584.0	11	- 93.7	40	490.4	6	1,499.7	17
	1965	1,949.7	2 B	354.7	35	68.7	50	286.0	27	1,595.û	19
FRANKLIN	1984	2,347.0	11	351.6	49	93.6	41	258.0	51	1,995.4	8
	1985	2,616.3	20	347.1	37	75.4	47	271.7	32	1,669.2	14
FULTON	1984 1985	1,393.8 1,395.0	57 55	267.4 344.7	61 39	60.4 75.8	58 46	207.0 268.9	42 33	1,126.4	52 52
GENESEE	1988	1,732.0	33	386.1	38	107.1	35	278.9	43	1,545.9	27
	1985	1,757.7	35	328.5	44	84.7	42	243.8	48	1,429.2	34
GREENE	1986	2,147.3	23	551.1	14	121.1	24	429.9	9	1,596.2	. 22
	1985	1,675.7	40	424.3	23	126.6	22	303.8	21	1,251.3	41
HAMILTON	1986 1985	1,680.0 2,006.0	45 22	320.0 481.4	58 - 17	60.0 60.2	59 55	240.0 421.3	49	1,360.0 1,524.6	40 26
HERKIHER	1984	1,409.6	56	366.2	44	86.7	45	279.5	42	1,043.3	55
	1985	1,386.8	56	272.0	59	64.3	54	207.7	60	1,114.6	50
JEFFERSON	1986	1,496.7	54	452.5	25	112. á	33	340.0	21	1,044.2	54
	1985	1,449.5	54	370.3	34	103. 9	30	266.4	35	1,070.2	51
KINGS	1986 1985	2,837.5 2,405.5	6	1,597.3 1,377.7	3	770.4 578.5	3 3	826. 9 699.2	- 3 2	1,240.2 1,027.8	46 54
LEWIS	1986	845.4	62	260.9	62	27.7	62	233.2	59	404.7	62
	1985	1,132.5	61	254.3	61	27.8	62	226.5	51	878.2	61
LIVINGSTON	1988 1985	2,551.2 2,590.5	9 7	377.1 268.0	40 57	81.9 73.7	49 48	295.2 214.3	34 59	2,174.1 2,302.5	7

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY A	KRESTS			RICACUE	- 1100
		TOTA ARRES		lota		Viole		üth	-	NISDEME ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	fiate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1986 1985	1,846.B 1,654.1	36 42	366.4 317.6	43 49	111.1 73.4	31 49	255.3 244.2	- 52 -47	1,480.5 1,336.5	32 36
HOHROE	1986 1985	2,285.5 2,059.5	15 19	555.4 405.3	. 13 15	196.0 178.5	11 11	359.4 306.9	17 20	1,730.1 1,574.2	16 23
MONTGOMERY	1984 1985	2,197.7 1,875.2	21 31	385.8 302.7	39 54	76.0 45.7	53 60	309.0 257.0	28 38	1,811.9	15 24
NASSAU	1984 1985	1,305.4 1,314.8	59 57	402.0 374.4	31 33	121.1 121.1	25 21	281.0 253.4	41 41	903.4 946.4	59 58
NEW YORK	1986 1985	7,694.0 7,117.1	1	2,820.4 2,517.6	- 1 1	981.8 923.8	, <u>i</u>	1,838.6 1,593.8	1 1	4,873.7 4,599.5	- 1 1
NI AGARA	1984	2,003.7 1,856.4	26 32	463.8 425.0	23 22	164.1 152.9	14 14	299.7 272.1	32 31	1,539.9 1,431.5	30 33
DHEIDA	1986 1985	1,427.0 1,269.0	55 59	339.8 322.3	54 48	103.0 104.5	36 29	234.4 215.8	58 58	1,087.3	53 56
DHOHDAGA	1986 1985	1,816.3	3 9 36	584.4 592.7	10 7	223.9 227.4	8	342.5 365.3	15 11	1,229.9	47 48
ONTARIO	1986 1985	2,287.4 1,978.7	14 26	393.7 337.7	36 41	102.0 85.5	37 41	291.8 252.2	36 43	1,893.7	9 16
DRANGE	1986 1985	2,472.8 2,195.5	10 15	636.1 577.2	7 B	203.1 181.2	10 10	433.1 395.9	8 8	1,834.7 1,618.4	12 18
ORLEANS	1986 1995	1,840.1 1,527.8	49 50	452.4 482.9	26 16	159.4 140.5	- 16 18	293.1 342.4	35 16	1,187.7 1,045.0	50 53
OSHEGO	1986 1985	1,721.4 1,556.2	43 47	340.3 335.7	53 42	92.0 96.7	42 39	248.3 245.1	55 46	1,381.3 1,220.4	39 46
OTSEGO	1988 1985	2,174.0 2,343.0	22 9	354.7 370.6	48 33	54.1 119.6	61 23	300.7 251.0	30 44	1,819.3 1,972.4	13

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARKEST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY A	KRESIS			W1685	
* <u>.</u>		TOTA ARRES		Tota	i	Viole	nt	Othe	:r	HISDENE ARKES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank
PUTHAN	1986 1985	1,970.4	28 46	409.3 326.3	- 30 45	1.701	34 53	300.2 259.6	31 37	1,561.3	25 44
DUEENS	1986 1985	1,666.7 1,453.9	4 <i>6</i> 52	926.2 709.9	4 5	374.8 308.9	- 4	551.4 401.0	5 7	740.5 - 744.0	61 62
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,655.9° 1,568.5	47 45	357.9 325.7	47 46	0.611 9.811	27 24	241.9 206.8	57 61	1,298.0 1,242.8	43 43
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,543.5 1,504.3	50 51	818.5 576.3	8	291.8 295.8	6 5	326.7 274.4	24 30	945.0 934.0	54 59
ROCKLAND	. 1986 1985	1,137.4	61 59	368.9 345.7	42 38	B1.2 93.5	50 36	287.7 252.2	38 42	768.7 949.0	60 57
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,212.B 2,006.9	20 21	329.8 324.5	57 47	75.4 77.6	54 44	254.4 246.9	53 45	1,883.0 1,682.4	10 13
SARATOGA	1984 1985	2,222.4 2,286.0	1B 10	405.2 397.3	31 28	91.4 105.6	44 30	313.8 291.5	27 24	1,817.2 1,888.7	14
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,737.7 1,528.7	42 49	400.1 350.0	34 35	91.9 94.3	43 35	308.3 255.7	29 40	1,337.4	42 47
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	2,718.1 2,238.3	B 12	473.2 308.7	20 52	110.7 87.3	32 40	342.4 221.5	14 55	2,245.0 1,929.4	å B
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,902.3 1,930.2	35 30	362.1 416.9	46 24	109.2 148.5	33	252.9 268.4	54 34	1,540.2 1,513.3	29 21
GENECA	1986 1985	2,317.8 2,279.9	13 11	470.4 457.8	21 19	96.6	38 38	373.8 366.2	14	1,847.4 1,822.1	11 11
STEUBEN	1986 1995	1,559.3 1,447.5	51 53	341.6 364.1	52 53	- 69.1 67.8	56 51	272.4 236.3	44	1,217.8	48 49
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,647.5	4B 39	403.3 398.8	32 26	136.7 141.9	20 17	266.5 257.0	. 45 39	1,244.2 1,200.7	45 38

TABLE 2A FELDHY AND MISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE KANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

						FELONY A	ERESTS				TANDS.
		IDTAL ARREST		Iota		Viole		Oths		HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Aank	Rate	Rank	Hate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1986	3,102.2	4	925.7	5	358.6	5	567.1	. 4	2,258.6	5
	1985	3,102.0	3	751.5	4	294.4	6	457.1	4	2,350.5	5
T106A	1984	1,711.8	44	362.7	45	78.4	52	284.3	26	1,349.0	41 42
	1985	1,534.4	48	283.8	58	49.6	59	234.2	50	1,250.5	12
TOHPKINS	1984 1985	1,934.1	32 24	343.6 329.0	51 43	95.9 104.6	39 38	247.7 222.3	56 54	1,592.5 1,659.7	23 15
ULSTER	1986	2,221.1	. 19	549.3	15	159.6	15	389.0	11	1,671.7	20
	1985	2,113.9	17	523.9	ii -	140.0	12	363.9	12	1,590.0	21
WARREN	1984 1985	3,282.9	3 5	594.4- 478.1	9 18	189.2 118.6	12 25	407.2 359.5	10 13	2,686.5 2,471.2	2
	1785	2,949.3		1/0.1	. 10	110.0	23		13	2,1/1.2	3
VASHINGTON	1986 1985	1,959.4 1,980.5	30 25	441.1 398.2	27 27	117.8 105.7	26 31	. 323.4 292.5	26 22	1,518.5 1,582.3	31 22
WAYNE	1986	2,817.0	7	458.0	24	113,4	29	344.3	20	2,359.1	3
	1985	2,678.3	6	456.9	20	123.4	20	333.5	18	2,421.4	4
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,794.5	40 37	513.0 490.8	18 14	154.7 150.3	18 ' 15	358.3 340.5	18	1,281.5 1,240.0	44 45
		.,	٠.	11015		10010		510.5	••	1,210.0	
NAOW1NG	1986 - 1985	1,502.5 1,598.0	53 43	291.7 317.1	59 50	83.3 51.5	48 57	208.3 260.6	41 34	1,210.8 1,280.9	49
YATES	1986	1,330.2	58	384.8	- 37	122.6	23	261.2	47	943.4	57
	1985	1,265.9	40	260.6	£0	37.2	61	223.4	52	1,005.3	55
NEW YORK STATE	1984	2,566.3	NA	968.7	HA	369.9	NA	598.8	HA	1,597.4	HA
	1985	2,371.0	HA	B50.7	HA	339.3	HU	512.4	HA	1,520.3	na
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	3,579.8 3,212.5	NA HA	1,697.4	NA NA	693.1 626.1	HA HA	1,004.3 831.8	NA NA	1,882.4 1,754.6	NA NA
		-1-1-10		.,			****	551.0	****	1,701.0	****

NOTE: Drawatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Ectainal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE KANNS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 .

		MURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE ARRES	STS	RODLERY ARRESTS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	Burglary Arresis	LARLEN ARRESI	is
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Hank	fiate	Rank
ALBANY	1984 1985	5.6 9 2.8 23	12.4 6.7		31.1 14 28.5 14	112.2 7 86.0 9	114.7 12 99.3 28	456.2 397.4	12 16
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	.0 4b 7.9 4	2.0		.0 59 .0 58	23.8 51 31.4 40	146.5 9 68.8 48	154.5 196.5	å1 57
BRONX	1986 1965	23.6 I 19.0 I	27.4 26.5		249.B 3 237.9 3	329.5 2 311.2 2	189.0 3 180.6 3	467.8 413.2	10 12
BROOKE) 1986 1985	2.4 24 2.6 22	8.5 5.2		14.7 23 11.3 26	33.2 38 30.1 42	70.3 45 86.1 37	432.2 354.9	17 25
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	7.0 5 .0 49	5.9 2.3		4.7 49 8.2 34	19.9 56 32.8 37	84.4 38 82.0 39	267.3 243.7	48 50
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1.3 40 3.8 16	8.8 7.5		6.3 42 10.0 29	22.5 54 37.6 30	91.4 31 51.3 59	373.0 435.8	27
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	1.4 38 .7 48	4.9 2.8		7.7 38 14.6 22	32.1 39 22.9 50	95.7 28 81.1 41	346.4 344.6	31 28
CHENUNG	1986 1985	1.1 42 1.1 45	6.6 4.3		22.1 18 6.5 41	43.1 27 33.7 35	99.4 21 133.7 9	626.5 641.4	2 2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	2.0 27 2.0 32	.0 10.0		.0 59 4.0 47	12.0 40 18.0 57	64.0 53 108.1 18	318.0 354.3	35 26
CLINTON	1986 1985	6.2 6 .0 49	4.9	41 54	7.4 39 9.8 31	54.2 18 31.9 39	110.B 13 69.9 46	402.7 315.1	21 33
COLUMBIA	1986 1965	1.6 37 6.6 8	.0 1.7	59 52	13.2 26 9.9 30	84.0 10 62.7 17	84.0 40 135.3 8	303.1 348.2	38 27

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 109,000 POPULATION AND RATE RAINS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 e - Continued

			DER	* .	FORCIBLE ARRES	15		AODBE AERES	15		AGG. ASS ARRES	TS	BURGL Arres	iIS		LARCI ARRES	S15
COUNTY	Year	Rale	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		fiate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4.2			B. 4 4. 2	23 37		33.8 8.4	12 33		44.3 48.5	26 21	143.5 107.6	10 19		540.1 455.8	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	.0 2.1			12.7	9 56		8.5 2.1	36 54		29.7 46.9	44 25	89.2 108.8	33 17	•	388.5 383.9	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3.5 1.6			5.8 5.5	36 29		21.8 25.7	20 16		67.0 76.4	14	 95.0 96.4	27 31	•	391.6 365.7	
ERIE	1986 1985	3.5 3.6			14.8 14.8	5 7		42.3 42.7	9		92.4 93.3	a 7	104.3 100.0	16 26		477.6 438.9	
ESSEI	1988 1985	. () .	46		13.8	13		5.5 .0	46 56		41.3 27.5	28 45	170.8 112.7	6 15		386.4 286.6	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	.0 2.3			6.B 9.1	30 16		9.1	34 56		27.4 25.1	46 48	89.0 100.5			299.1 294.6	
FULTON	1986 1965	1.6			3.7	50 56		5.5 5.4	47		25.4 23.5	50 49	51.3 90.2	59 35		293.0 314.0	
GENESEE	1986 1985). 	146		5.1 5.1	40 32		3.4 22.6	54 17		28.9 27.1	45 46	96.9 93.1	33 35		297.6 233.7	
GREENE	1986 1965	2.	46		9.5 2.4	17		7.1 7.2	40 36		64.1 64.4	17 10	59,4 50.1			313.5 285.9	
HANTLTON	 1985 1995	•	0 4 0 4		.0 .6	59 56		.0 .ú			.0 .0		140.0 220.7			320.0 200, <i>t</i>	
HERKINER	1986 1985		0 4 ů 4		4.5 3.0	45 41		- 4.5 4.5			31.4 22.4	40 54	80.7 53.8			219.7 215.2	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

		MUKDER ARKESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE 'ARRESIS	ROBBERY AKRESIS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	Burglary Arrests	LARCEHY ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	flate Rank	Rate Nank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
JEFFERSON	1984	5.5 10	5.5 38	3.3 55	35.3 38	146.8 B	210.8 55
	1985	2.3 29	2.3 49	10.2 78	38.4 29	117.4 13	189.5 58
KINGS	1984	17.3 3	18.2 4	295.4 2	278.4 3	177.5 4	398.0 22
	1985	16.1 3	21.8 4	249.8 2	240.6 3	156.5 5	343.8 29
LEWIS	1986 1965	.0 46	4.0 4B .0 56	4.0 52 4.0 48	7.9 41 4.0 40	35.6 62 83.4 38	110.7 42 151.0 42
LIVINGSTON	1984	3.4 16	3.4 52	5.1 40	46.1 25	48.3 50	428.3 18
	1985	6.9 7	1.7 51	6.9 38	42.9 27	54.9 57	426.9 11
MADISON	1986	4.5 12	13.5 B	6.0 44	39.0 32	84.1 39	345.3 32
	1985	1.5 38	6.0 26	9.0 32	3.0 61	89.9 36	317.6 32
MONROE	1986	6.1 7	13.8 &	47.0 7	48.2 24	101.5 19	550.2 S
	1985	4.0 13	12.2 10	44.7 7	43.6 26	107.5 20	502.8 S
HONTGOHERY.	1986	1.9 31	1.9 57	5.8 45	30.7 41	57.4 57	248.7 44
	1985	1.9 34	5.7 28	1.9 55	22.8 51	60.9 53	359.8 23
NASSAU	1986	2.0 26	2.6 54	33.3 13	49.4 22	53.4 58	256.5 50
	1985	3.0 21	2.5 44	30.3 12	47.3 23	59.9 55	255.7 46
HEN YORK	1986	18.9 2	20.4 2	456.0 1	369.1 1	210.4 1	1,134.4 i
	1985	17.9 2	24.3 3	496.1 1	346.9 1	176.2 4	1,010.5 i
NIAGARA	1984	1.8 32	10.6 13	25.4 17	65.9 16	103.7 17	391.4 23°
	1985	2.8 25	5.1 31	26.8 15	63.3 16	109.5 16	355.6 24
DHEIDA	1986	2.4 23	B.0 24	17.3 22	30.6 42	88.5 35	334.0 33
	1985	1.2 41	6.0 27	11.6 25	32.7 39	93.3 32	258.0 45
	-						

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

		HURDER ARKESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS	ROBDE ARRES	TS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	ARE	GLARY ESIS	LARCENY & ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate Rank
DHDHDAGA	1986 1985	2.6 22 3.0 20	8.9 20 14.0 8	48.4 48.1	6 - 6	81.8 11 76.1 12	106. 137.		424.9 19 368.1 20
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1.1 43 2.2 30	4.3 46 8.7 17	11.9	29 53	30.4 43 28.1 44	80. 66.		397.2 26 325.8 31
ORANGE	1986 1985	2.8 20 1.8 35	9.2 19 11.5 11	40.5 21.6	10 18	88.3 B	96. 99.		447.2 11 407.8 14
ORLEANS	1986 1985	5.1 11 5.1 9	10.3 14 7.7 18	12.9 2.6	27 50	79.7 12 63.9 14	92. 130.		295.6 42 296.4 36
DSVE60	1984 1985	3.3 17 .8 · 47	7.5 25 3.4 40	4.2 2.5	51 51	25.9 48 31.1 41	98.		267.4 47 282.0 43
OTSE60	1986 1985	.0 46 3.4 17	1.7 58 6.7 21	1.7 6.7	58 10	15.2 59 42.1 20	- 98. 101.		442.6 13 486.8 6
MANTUP	1984 1985	.0 46 4.9 10	3.7 49 1.2 53	14.7 3.7	23 49	50.2 21 35.8 34	63. 56.		213.2 53 208.9 53
QUEENS	1986 1985	8.2 4 7.7 6	12.6 10 11.0 12	142.7 109.2	*	141.1 5 126.8 4	91. 80.		300.2 39 251.1 48
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1.3 39 1.3 40	5.9 33 4.6 34	11.9	30 27	52.7 19 57.5 18	77. 69.		273.4 45 196.9 56
RICHKOND	. 1984 1985	5.9 B - 7.8 S	11.2 12 10.7 13	96.5 84.3	5 5	124.1 6 124.9 5	88. 104.		260.8 49 282.5 42
ROEKLAND	1986 1985	.4 45 1.1 44	4.5 44 2.3 48	21.8 13.6	19 24	39.5 31 47.1 24	42. 51.		159.8 59 178.3 60

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES FER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

		HURDE ARKES	IS -		ARRES			ROBSE ARRES	iIS		AGG. ASS ARRES	IS		BURGL AKRES	15			LARCE ARRES	STS
COUNTY	Year		Rank		ate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1.8	36 26		7. i . 9	28 55		3.5 6.2	53 42		25.7 29.1	49 43		100.2 104.9	20 22			418.4 298.9	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	1.2 3.1	41 18:		9.8 4.3	16 36		7.9 7.4			34.7 37.1	37 31		73.7 81.7	48 40			357.1 328.0	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1986	2.0 1.3	28 39		5.3	39 23		29.3 29.2			26.0 25.9	- 47 47		67.2 60.4	52 54			316.9 296.2	36 37
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	.0	44 49		.0	59 56		6.7	41 56		36.9 33.6	34 36		87.2 114.1	37 14			513.4 389.3	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	. 0.	46		5.7 28.6	37 1		11.5 17.1	31 19		23.0 22.8	53 52		49.0 97.1	49 29				51 . 49
SENECA	1984 1985	3.1	18 19	• .	3.1 15.3	53 6		9.3 6.1			15.6 21.4	58 54		102.8 125.1	16 11			442.4 390.7	14 17
STEUBEN	1986 1585	1.0	44 46		4.1	47 38		6.2 4.1	43 46		23.7 22.6	52 53		79.5 64.7	44 52	•		280.7 292.8	44 39
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	2.0 2.8	29 24		5.9 6.0	32 25		26.9 30.5			48.9 53.8	23 20		77.6 78.4	46 44		•	200.7 176.5	
SULE I VAN	1986 1985	2.9 4.4	19 12		19.0. 16.3			35.0 34.0			149.1 122.8	4		202.6 196.7	2 2			584.5 531.0	
TIOGA	1986 1985	2.0 2.0	33 30		5.9 4.0	34 39		2.0			37.3 15.9	33 58		43.1 45.7	60 52			209.B 271.9	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	.0 1.1	46		2.3 6.8	55 20		9.1 11.7	34 21		20.5 21.6	55 55		90.2 90.8	32 34			500.0 431.1	

ABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

		HUKDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS	ROBBERY AKRESIS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	BURGLARY ARRESTS	LARCENY** ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ULSTER	1986	1.8 34	7.3 27	21.3 21	74.9 13	79.8 43	439.1 15
	1985	3.1 19	13.4 9	16.5 20	64.7 13	107.5 21	407.9 13
WARREN	1986 1985	1.9 35 .0 49	3.	12.4 28 7.2 37	52.3 20 48.5 22	174.8 5 118.6 12	610.B 3 530.2 4
RAZHINSTON	19 3 5	.0 46 1.8 36	7.0 29 .0 56	10.5 32 14.1 23	40.4 29 56.4 19	107.2 14 81.1 42	210.9 54 260.9 54
WAYNE	1986	2.3 25	10.2 LS	13.6 25	39.8 30	117.0 11	434.1 16
	1985	1.1 42	9.1 15	6.9 39	36.6 33	135.9 7	398.6 15
WESTCHESTER	1986	2.8 21	7.4 26	42.4 B	66.8 15	68.2 51	370.7 28
	1985	3.8 14	6.6 24	38.6 9	63.6 15	67.6 49	361.3 22 .
NADATHE	1984	.0 46 ··	4.9 42	2.5 56	36.9 35	58.8 56	159.3 60
	1985	4.9 11	2.5 45	2.5 52	36.9 32	71.3 45	255.7 47
YATES	1984 1985	.0 46	9,4 18 4.7 33	.0 59 .0 56	18.9 57 9.3 59	99.1 22 51.2 61	179.2 58 186.2 57
	" .						
HEN YORK STATE	1986	8.0 NA	12.0 NA	127.8 NA	139.7 NA	115.6 HA	424.7 NA
	1985	7.5 NA	12.1 NA	110.9 NA	129.0 NA	109.5 HA	383.6 NA
HEW YORK CITY	198 <i>6</i>	15.8 NA	18.4 NA	272.0 NA	261.2 NA	157.2 NA	527.0 NA
	1985	14.4 HA	19.7 NA	234.0 HA	237.7 NA	141.8 NA	464.7 NA

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult live, persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Crieinal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

¹⁴ Arrests for the Index crise of 'sofor vehicle theft' are reported as 'larceny' because it is not possible to extract autor vehicle larcenies from the Arrests and Processing Trends File.

MUTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increase as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2D ADULT ARKEST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS* AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		191AL ERUG	CONTROLL	ED SUBSTANCE APRESTS			MARIHUANA ARKESTS	
		ARRESTS	Total	Passession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate flank . Ra	
ALBANY	1984	170.B 15	134.4 16	112.2 13	14.1 28	36.3 11	31.8 9	4.6 37
	1985	143.4 11	96.9 13	72.2 14	20.8 11	46.5 13	34.9 9	11.6 16
ALLEGANY	1984	19.8 62	5.9 62	5.9 &1	.0 57	13.9 48	13.9 30	.0 56
	1985	23.6 56	5.9 60	5.9 \$&	.0 53	17.7 41	5.9 54	11.8 15
BRONX	1984 1985	1,035.1 2 B94.4 2	853.1 2 654.7 2	494.1 2 397.5 2	299.7 2 199.8 2	182.9 2 239.7 2		06.4 2 38.1 2
BROOME	1984	88.2 28	68.3 29	36.5 35	26.1 12	19.9 31	12.9 34	7.1 23
	1985	55.5 34	35.3 34	23.5 39	8.5 30	21.2 32	14.1 31	7.1 32
. CATTARAUGUS	1984	51.6 · 45	30.5 47	28.1 41	1.2 54	21.1 25	16.4 22	4.7 36
	1985	38.7 · 46	17.6 49	14.1 46	2.3 47	21.1 33	15.2 27	5.9 36
CAYUGA	1984	47.4 50	35.0 44	22.5 46	10.0 33	12.5 54	5.0 58	7.5 22
	1965	58.9 33	47.6 28	28.8 31	15.0 19	11.3 52	5.0 56	6.3 33
CHAUTAURUA	1986	25.2 5B	12.6 61	9.8 59	2.1 55	12.8 93	9.8 48	2.8 46
	1965	29.8 52	19.4 4B	6.9 55	11.8 24	10.4 54	8.3 48	2.1 53
CHEMUNG	1986	19.9 61	16.6 59	9.9 5B	4.4 45	3.3 &1	1.1 60	2.2 50
	1985	14.1 61	8.7 58	3.3 61	2.2 49	5.4 &0	4.3 50	1.1 57
CHENANGO	1984	50.0 47	32.0 46	26.0 44	6.0 42	18.0 40	14.0 29	4.0 42
	1985	54.6 36	40.0 31	30.0 25	.0 53	14.0 48	6.0 53	B.0 2B
CLÍNTON	1984	118.2 20	9B.5 20	89.9 17	6.2 41	19.7 32	13.5 31	6.2 28
	1985	105.4 20	74.8 20	55.2 15	8.6 29	30.6 18	9.8 42	20.8 B
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	100.5 25 92.4 23	72.5 26 54.5 26	44.5 29 34.7 26	18.1 21 14.9 21	29.0 16 38.0 14		11.5 11 16.5 10
CORTLAND	1986 1985	42.2 53 90.7 25	23.2 51 38.0 33	14.8 55 29.5 30	2.1 54 8.4 31	19.0 37 52.8 9		12.7 9 25.3 7
DELAWARE	1986	46.7 51	27.6 49	27.6 42	.0 57	19.1 3b	17.0 20	2.1 51
	1965	49.1 39	21.3 47	16.7 49	10.7 26	27.7 20	19.2 20	8.5 26

TABLE 2D ADULT ARKEST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS AND KATE RAINS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTAL	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESIS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Passession	Sale	Total	Passession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate fiank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
DUTCHESS	1986	141.4 19	120.7 18	91.5 16	19.9 19	20.6 28	15.2 25	5.5 32
	1985	108.2 19	85.1 15	61.5 18	16.1 17	23.1 26	14.5 30	8.6 23
ERIE	1984	193.4 12	150.2 13	126.8 12	14.4 26	43.2 10	35.7 B	7.6 21
	1985	174.6 7	109.3 8	83.2 16	15.4 18	65.3 7	61.4 S	3.9 48
ESSEI	1986 1985	36.5 47 m	44.1 39 36.2 40	44.1 30 22.0 41	.0 57 8.3 33	19.3 35 8.3 59	19.3 tž 2.8 či	.0 56 5.5 39
FRANKLIN	1985	107.3 22 82.2 27	89.0 22 34.3 35	86.8 19 32.0 27	2.3 52 2.3 48	18.3 39 48.0 11	11.4 42 13.7 32	6.8 25 34.3 6
FULTON	1986	58.4 42	45.8 38	29.3 40	16.5 23	12.8 52	- 11.0 44	1.B 54
	1985	23.5 58	5.4 61	3.6 60	.6 53	18.0 39	9.0 45	9.0 20
GENESEE	1986	49,3 49	27.2 50	19.7 49	6.8 37	22.1 24	17.0 19	5.1 34
	1985	33,9 49	13.5 52	10.2 52	1.7 52	20.3 35	16.9 24	3.4 49
GREENE	1984	294.5 6	266.0 7	228.0 6	23.8 15	28.5 15	19.0 14	9.5 17
	1995	96.9 21	82.0 17	77.2 11	2.4 46	16.3 43	12.1 38	4.8 43
HAMILION	1984	160.0 17	160.0 10	160.0 8	.0 57	.0 62	.0 61	.0 56
	1985	60.2 32	60.2 24	40.1 24	.0 53	.0 62	.0 62	.0 58
HERKIMER	1984	22.4 60	17.9 57	9.0 60	9.0 35	4.5 59	4.5 59	.0 56
	1985	47.8 41	29.9 41	28.4 32	.0 53	17.9 40	12.0 40	6.0 34
JEFFERSON	1986	67.3 37	46.4 36	37.5 34	5.5 43	21.0 27	12.1 37	8.8 19
	1965	38.4 40	28.2 44	24.8 37	3.4 40	10.2 55	6.8 51	3.4 50
KINGS	1986	693.9 3	565.5 3	328.6 3	178.0 3	129.4 3	55.0 5	72.4 3
	1985	548.6 3	301.2 3	221.7 3	115.0 3	167.6 3	76.7 4	90.9 3
LENIS	1984	27.7 56	23.7 52	15.8 54	7.9 36	4.0 40	.0 &1	4.0 43
	1985	27.8 53	11.9 54	7.9 54	.0 53	15.9 45	7.9 49	7.9 29
LIVINESTOR	1984	71.7 35	56.3 31	35.0 36	20.5 1B	15.4 45	11.9 39	3.4 44
	1965	42.9 43	22.3 46	15.4 44	3.4 39	26.6 34	12.0 39	8.6 25

B-25

I Continued on next page. 1

TOTAL

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ARRESTS

MARIHUANA ARRESTS

		TOTAL	•			CONTROLL	ED SUBSI	ANCE ARR	ESIS						 MARIHUAI		is		
		DRUG AKRES			tal			5£5510N		 Sale		-	Tota	1	 Posse		*********		le
COUNTY	YEAR		Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rank	Æ	ate	Rank	Rate	frank		Rale	ftank
SULL IVAN	1985 1985	172.0 153.8	14 16	157. 102.			100 85			37.9 7.4) 35		14.4 51.8	47 10	11.7 45.9	41		2.9 5.9	
TIOGA	1984 1985	78.4 41.7	33 44	49. 29.			27 23			15.7 2.0	25 50		29.4 11.9	13 51	11.8 4.0	40 59		17.6	
TOMPKINS	1984 1985	53.7 48.8	43 40	40. 29.			18 14			10.3 10.2	32 27		13.7 19.3	49 38	9.1 18.2	49 21		4.6	
ULSTER	1986 1985	116.9	21 18	99. 82.			84 59			10.4 19.5	31 14		17.7	41 22	13.4 22.0	32 17		4.3 4.9	
WARREN	1986 1985	133.0	9 12	155. 101.			144 93		-	9.0 9.0	34 28		43,2 28.8	9 19	 41.4 19.8	6 19		1.8 9.0	
NASHINGTON	1984 1985	43.3 19.4	40 40	43. 15.			3B 12			3,5 3.5	49 38		19.3 3.5	33 61	12.3 3.5	36 50		7.0 .0	
WAYNE	1986 1985	101.1 98.2	23 22	78. 74.			55 58			21.6 12.6	17 23		22.7 24.0	22 24	12.5 14.8	35 28		10.2	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1585	327. 5 252.1	5 5	280. 195.			23 <i>6</i> 157			27.6	10 10		46.6 57.1	8	35.9 43.3	7		10.7 13.8	
NYONING	1986 1965	27.0 27.0	57 55	19. 7.			19	. 6 46 . 9 59		.0 2.5	57 45		7.4 19.7	57 36	7.4 14.8	53 29		.0 4.9	
YATES	1986 1985	 84.9 32.6	30 50	14. 23.				.0 62 .7 59		14.2	27 15		70.8 9.3	7 57	14.2 4.7	28 57		56.6 4.7	
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	515.5 417.3	HA HA	421. 296.			278 193			113.5 77.0	NA Na		93.9 121.1	HA HA	48.4 60.4	na Na		45.5 60.7	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	1,048,2 851.2	HA He	870. 611.			559 393			249.0 164.7	NA NA		177.B 239.5	NA NA	76.9 102.4	HA Kiñ		100.9 137.1	

[•] Felony and easdeaeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State - Sections 220 and 221).

MOTE: Gramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively saall changes in absolute numbers increase as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 .

						DMI	ARRESTS			
		Tota	1			feli	ıny	1 y		eanor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		-	Rate	ƙank 		Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	9.8 11.5	19 12			.a .9	17 8		9.0 16.5	20 12
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	5.8 5.2	46 54			.2	52 52		5.6 5.1	43 51
BRONI	1984 1985	. 4.1 4.4	59 58		•	.0 .0	58 61		4.1	54 54
BROOKE	1986 1985	4.7 5.0	54 56			.5 .6	36 28		4,2 4.5	54
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	11.1	15 21			1.2	3 9		9.9 8.7	16
CAYUGA	1986 1985	5.8 8.1	47			.4	39 29		5.4 7.6	46
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	8.9 8.7	25 27	-		.9 .7			8.0 8.0	25
CHEHUNG	1984	5.5	51			.9	. 14		4.6	28 52
CHENANGO	1985	5.3	53 14	•		.7	15 25		4.5 10.5	
CLINTON	1985	9.4	13			.7	20		10.3	23
COLUMBIA	1985 1986	12.1 7.4	20 8			.7	23		11.4	. 37
	1985	7.9	36			4	41		7.5	35

TABLE 2E ONL FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED GRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

					- Dat	ARREST	S _e		
		Tota	 }		Felo	ny		 Hisdene	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank		 Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986	10.7	16		.1	54		10.4	. 11
PORTEMINO	1985	16.4	1		.0	62		16.4	1
DELAWARE	1987	7.2	28		. 4	42		4.9	38
	1985	8.0	34		.2	47		7.8	29
DUTCHESS	1984	8.3	29		.7	23		7.6	32
putchesa	1985	9.6	20		- ''.	. 18		8.9	21
	1100	•••			••				*1
ERIE	1984	6.7	40		.5	33		6.2	41
	1985	6.7	- 43		.5	36		6.3	42
	1 <u>1 </u>								
ESSEI	1986	11.7	.10		.9	10		10.8	10 -
-	1985	11.8	11		.7	- 14		11.1	11
FRANKLIN	1986	13.1	3		۵.	27		12.4	3
	1985	9.3	25		.1	40		8.9	23
FULTON	1986	5.9	45		.3	46		5.4	44
	1985	6.3	46		.5	31		5.7	45
רבאברב	1001				_				
GENESEE	1986 1985	10.2 9.4	17 22		.9	11		9.3	18
		7.1	22		.5	35		9.0	20
GREENE	1986	8.1	32		. 9	12		7.3	34
	1985	7.2	41		.3	44		6.8	39
									••
HAMILTON	1986	4.5	42		.0	62		8.5	-40
	1985	10.6	14		.5	33		10.1	15
HERKINER	1001		**		_				
HERN THER	1986 1985	7.4 7.5	37 39		. B . 7	21		4.7	38
	1144	1.4	. 37		.,	17		6.8	40

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARKEST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 4 - Continued

						DW	I ARRES	IS			
			Inta	1		Fe	ìony			Misden	eanor
COUNTY	YEAR	•	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1986		6.2	43			å 2B			5.4	45
ACLI Puenti	1985		7.7	38	•	•				7.1	37
KINGS	1984		2.6	60						2.6	60
	1985		3.2	59			Ú 59			3.2	59
			_ 1								
LEVIS	1986		5.5	49		1.				4.6	53
	1985		4.0	47		•	9 10			5.2	50
LIVINGSTON	1986		11.4	11		•	5 35			11.1	7
FILTINGALON	1995		13.6	- 4		•				13.1	4
	-								•		
MADISON	1984		8.4	26			4 .38			7.9	27
•	. 1985		9.8	24		•	37			8.3	26
MONROE	1986		5.5	50		•				4.7	51
	1985		5.5	50		•	7 19			4.8	53
HONTGOMERY	1984		14.1	2		1.	3 2			12.8	2
HERT GUILLET	1985		9.4	24		•				8.5	25
			•••			. •	•				
NASSAU	1984		4.5	58			3 43			4.1	55
	1985		5.2	55			3 45			4.9	52
NEW YORK	1986		3.1	59		•				3.1	59
	1985		3.1	- 6ú			1 57			3.1	60
NIAGARA	1986		8.0	35			2 51			7.8	30
utunuuu	1995		8.2	- 33 29						8.0	30 27
				• *		•	10				. **
ADIBNO	1988		5.4	48			3 45			5.3	48
	1985		5.6	49						5.4	48

- (Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E GMI FELONY AND MISGEMEANOR ADULT ARKEST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED GRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 & - Continued

				DWI ARRESTS	
		Total		Felony	Hisdeneanor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	•	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	5.1 52 5.4 51		.2 40 .2 51	4.9 49 5.2 49
ONTARIO	1986 1985	12.0 5 10.1 18		1.7 I 1.2 2	10.4 14 8.9 22
DRANGE	1996 1985	9.9 24 8.0 35		1.1 7	7.9 26 7.0 38
ORLEANS	1784 1785	5.9 44 4.7 57		.6 31 1.1 5	5.4 47 3.6 50
DSVE60	1984 1985	8.3 31 8.1 33		.2 47 .3 43	9.0 24 7.8 30
015660	1986 1985	11.9 B 14.0 3		.B 14	11.1 8 13.2 3
PUTNAM	1986 1985	9.4 20 9.4 23		.1 54 .1 56	9.5 17 9.3 10
QUEEKS -	1984 1985	1.9 62 2.0 62		.0 59 .0 58	1.9 62 2.0 62
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	9.1 23 9.8 19		.4 40	8. b 22 9. 5 17
ONOKHOLR	1986 1985	2.6 61 2.7 61		.0 60	2.4 61
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	4.4 57 6.3 45		.4 30 .5 30	2.7 61 3.9 58 5.7 46

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARKEST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

					DAT	ARRESTS			
		Tota	1		 Felo	iny	 	Hisdead	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	11.4 10.3	12 17		.0 .7	19 21		10.6 9.6	12 16
SARATOGA	1986 1985	11.8 13.6	9		.5 .4	37 42		11.4 12.6	6 6
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	6.5 6.8	41 42		.7 .6	26 24		5.0 6.2	42 43
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	11.9 11.9	7 10		.2 .5	50 32		- 11.7 11.4	10
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	8.4 7.4	26 40		.B .7	10 22		7.6 6.7	- 31 41
SENECA	1984 1985	9.9 10.4	1B 15		1.2	- 4 1		9.8 9.2	21 19
STEUBEN	1986 1985	7.9 6.5	34 44		8. 3.	15 27		7.0 5.8	36 44
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	9.1 10.4	22 16		.l. .i	55 55		9.0 10.3	19 14
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	12.0 12.4	8		1.0	8 26		11.0 11.7	8
TIDGA	1984 1985	8.3 8.6	30 28		1.2	5 1		7.1 7.5	35 34
TONPKINS	1984 1985	8.1 7.7	34 37	•	.2	53 54		7.9 7.6	28 32

I Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND WISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FUR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

					CAI	ARRESTS		
		Tota	1		Felo	ıuk	Ni sdest	2001
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	•	Kate	Rank	Kate	Rank
ULSTER	1985	8.1 B.1	31		.7 .4	24 39	7.4 7.7	33 31
WARREN	1986 1985	15.3 16.2	<u>.</u> . 2		.3 .1	44 53	14.9 16.1	1 2
KASHINGTON	1904 1985	11.3 13.5	13 5		1.1 1.2	å 3	10.2 12.3	15 7
HAYNE	1984 1985	12.4 13.2	4		.5	34 38	11.9 12.7	- 4 5
MESTCHESTER	1986 1985	5.1 5.8	53 48		.2	49 49	4.9 5.6	50 47
HADMINE	1984 1985	8.4 8.2	27 30		B.	11 32	7.9 7.3	29 36
YATES	4891 2891	4.6 5.4	ა5 52		1.0	29 7	4.0, 4.4	57 57
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	6.0 6.4	NA NA		.4	NA Na	5.6 6.1	NA HA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	2.7 2.9	_ KA Nà		.0	KA NA	2.7 2.9	HA Ak

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DNI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

[•] Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -Section 1192).

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICINENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

				FELONY	INDICIMENT	S	-	
		Vic	stent	Ot	her		To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Hueber	Percent		Percent		Number	Percent
ALBANY	1982 1984	178 144	38.4 32.1	286 304	61.6 67.9		444 448	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	8 10	14.3 18.2	48 45	85.7 81.8		56 55	100.0 160.0
BROHX	1984 1985	3,650 3,738	49.1 56.4	3,778 2,895	50.9 43.4		7,428 6,633	100.0
BROOME	1984 1985	131 149	27.3 28.9	349 405	72.7 73.1		480 554	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	25 20	22.1 22.0	88 71	77.9 78.0		41 112	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	32 31	31.1 29.8	71 73	68.9 70.2		103 104	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	57 51	23.5 23.3	186 169	76.5 76.7		243 219	100.0 100.0
CHENUNG	1986 1985	73 76	29.2 22.4	177 264	70.8 77.6	•	250 340	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	12 17	9.0 30.4	122 39	91.0 69.6		134 56	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1984 1985	42 37	28.2 28.9	107 91	71.8 71.1		149 128	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	11 31	21.2 34.1	41 60	78.8 65.9		52 91	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1984 1985	23 20	24.7 15.5	70 109	75.3 84.5		93 129	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	9 8	32.1 29.6	19 19	67.9 70.4		28 27	100.0 100.0

FELONY INDICINENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 3A

FELUNY	Tun	ILI	ΠĿ	KI	٥
DL	her				

		Vio	lent	-	ום	her	To	ital
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Number	Percent		Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	82 80	24.4 21.4	· · · · .	251 293	7 5.4 78.6	333 373	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1964 1985	435 473	31.1 31.5		943 1,628	68.9 66.5	1,398 1,501	100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	15 19	11.7 20.2		113 75	88.3 79.8	128 94	100.6 160.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	12 14	23.1 17.5		40 66	78.9 82.5	52 80	100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	27 13	32.9 15.7		55 7ú	67.1 84.3	82 83	100.0 100.0
SENESEE	1986 1985	22 28	19.4 25.7		90 81	80.4 74.3	112 109	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1985 1985	23 22	27.7 34.4		60 42	72.3 65.6		100.0
HOTLIKAH	1986 1985	3	18.8 16.7		13 5	81.3 83.3	16	100.0
HERKIMER	1986 1985	10 15	12.2 24.2		72 17	87.8 75.0	82 62	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	88 65	22.1 23.4		233 213	77.9 75.6	299 278	100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	4,514 4,644	52.9 59.9		4,025 3,107	47.1 40.1	8,539 7,751	100.0 100.0
LEHIS -	1986 1985	9 5	25.0 13.2		27 33	75.0 86.6	36 38	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1985	43 47	14.4 22.1		219 166	83.6 77.9	262 213	100.0 100.0

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY	INDICIHENTS	:		-
		- ,	Vic	lent	 	- 01	her		To	tal
COUNTY	Year			Percent		Husber	Percent		Kuaber	Percent
HADISON	1984 1985		32 30	23.2 22.4		104 104	76.8 77.6		138 134	100.0 160.0
HONROE	1986 1985		- 427 447	29.9 30.7		1,001	70.1 69.3		1,428 1,457	100.0 160.0
NONT GOMERY	1986 1985		21 15	18.4 18.3		93 67	81.4 81.7		114 82	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985		941 942	34.0 39.4		1,712 1,447	64.0 60.1		2,673 2,409	100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985		4,202	59.3 63.6		2,885 2,523	40.7 34.4		7,087 6,939	100.0
II AGARA	1986 1985		101 98	28.5 26.3		254 248	71.5 71.7		355 346	100.0 160.0
DNEIDA	1984 1985		190 139	33.0 31.6		384 301	67.0 68.4		57 <i>6</i> 440	100.0
NONDAGA	1985 1985		420 399	31.7 31.4		904 870	68.3 68.6		1,324	100.0
DITARIO	1984 1985		63 49	23.7 22.9		203 165	76.3 77.1		266 214	100.0
DRANGE	1986 1985		152 145	31.2 27.7		335 379	68.8 72.3		487 524	100.0 100.0
DRLEANS	- 1986 1985		30 52	30.3 35.9		93 93	69.7 64.1		99 145	100.0 100.0
)SWEGO	1986 1985		37 39	21.9 21.3	e e	132 144	78.1 78.7		169 163	100.0 100.0
)TSE60	1984 1985		10 7	22.2 25.9		35 26	77.8 74.1		45 27	100.(100.(

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					FELOHY	INDICIREN	TS		
		Vid	lent		01	her		Ic	ital
COUNTY	Year 		Percent			Percent		Husber	Percent
WANT	1984 1985	32 15	18.7 13.4		139	81.3 84.4		171 112	100.0 100.0
UEENS	1986 - 1985	3,297 2,964	43.8 50.5		4,223 2,911	54.2 49.5		7,520 5,875	100.0 100.0
ENSSELAER	1986 1985	45 52	27. i 28. 3	•	121 132	72.9 71.7		166 184	100.0 100.0
TCHHOND	1984 1985	214 175	41.1 51.5		307 146	59.9 45.5	· • .	521 321	100.0 100.0
OCKLAND	1984 1985	84 79	19.7 18.0		342 361	80.3 92.ú		426 440	100.0 100.0
T. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	26 37	13.5 18.7		166 161	. 86.5 81.3		192 198	100.0
ARATOGA	1984 1965	 . 49 62	31.6 38.0		106	68.4 62.0		155 163	100.0
CHENECTADY	1986 1985	48 59	28.2 25.0		122 177	71.8 75.0		170 236	100.0 100.0
CHOHAR1E	1986 1985	9	18.8 28.4		39 15	81.3 71.4		48 21	100.0 100.0
CHUYLER	1986 1985	8 13	40.4 32.1		19 19	59.4 67.9		32 26	100.0
ENECA	1986 1985	13 7	17.3 17.9		52 32	82.7 82.1		75 39	100.0
TEUDEN	1986 1985	34 34	21.4		125 86	78.4 71.7		159 126	100.0 100.0
UFFOLK	1986 1985	817 971	29.2 32.0		1,983	70.8 68.0		2,800 3,032	100.0 100.0

I Continued on next page.)

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICINENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY	INDICTHEN	IS	 	
			lent				her		Ic	ital
COUNTY	Year		Percent				Percent		Humber	Percent
SULL IVAN	1986	49	22.2			172	77.8		221	100.0
	1985	46	32.6			95	67.4		141	100.0
*****								•	100	
1106A	1986	19	19.0			81	81.0		100 73	100.0
	1985	1	9.6			- 66	90.4		. 13	100.0
TORPKINS	1985	41	24.8			- 124	75.2		165	100.0
	1985	_ 2B	27.7			99	72.3		- 137	160.0
III 6760	1001	51	22.4			177			228	100.0
ULSTER	1986	64	22.4			211	77.6		308	100.0
	1985	61	20.8			_ 211	79.2		208	100.0
YARREN	1986	. 11	26.8	٠		-30	73.2		41	100.0
	1985	13	24.5			36	73.5		49	10ú.0
WASHINGTON .	1986	10	25.7		• .	52	-71.3		70	100.0
auniii ii	1985	25	32.9			51	67.1		76	100.0
Wayne	1986	34	15.7			182	84.3		216	100.0
	1985	34	17.3			163	82.7		197	100.0
NESTCHESTER .	1986	499	35.1			074	44.0		1 42T	100.0
MEDICHEDIEK .		549	39.7			924	64.9		1,423	100.0
	1985	307	37.1			864	60.3		1,433	100.0
KYOHING	1986	17	27.0			46	73.0		43	100.0
	1985	å	9.4			59	90.6		64	100.0
WATER			5n 4							
YATES	1984	15 7	29.4			36	70.4		51	100.0
	1985	,	13.7			- 44	86.3		51	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986	21,833	39.1			33,977	60.9		55,810	100.0
	1905	22,000	44.0			28,016	56.0		50,016	100.0
NCH AUDA LIIA	. (001	11 115	44.0			10 755	ėc i		75 074	100 0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	16,115 16,102	44.9			19,755	55.1		35,870	100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMFANGE ARRESTS I

		Convi			•	tals		ssals		osecuted	 -	her			otal
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber	Percent		ber	Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent			Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	4,286	77.9 77.9		20 15	.4	1,165 1,230	21.2 21.3	 3 6	.1	28 25	.5 .4		5,502 5,767	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	268 220	71.5 67.7		0	.0	105 103	28.0 31.7	0	.0	2	.5 .0	_	375 325	
BRONI	1986 1995	23,735 24,651	46.2 70.5		330 349	1.0	10,484 8,474	29.2 24.8	1,172 1,181	3.3 3.4	149 131			35,872 34,986	
BROOME	1986 1965	2,347 2,152	74.7 74.6		21 17	.7	678 635	21.6 22.0	O Ü	.0	98 79	3.1 2.7		3,144 2,883	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	854 726	84.6 82.1		4	.4	148 153	14.7 17.2	. 0	.0	4 2	.4		1,010 887	100.0 100.0
САУИБА	1986 1985	841 845	70.8 75.1		9	1.0	254 278	28.0 24.7	0	.0	2 2	.2		904 1,125	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	1,514 1,522	74.3 75.6		13 10	.5	502 476	24.6 23.6	1	.0	9 5	.4		2,039 2,014	100.0 100.0
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	1,047	74.4 74.9		5 7	.4	353 366	25.1 24.4	0	.0	2	.1		1,407 1,503	100.0 100.0
: CHENANGO	1986 1985	524 597	77.9 75.5		0.7	.0	143 176	21.2 22.3	0 2	.0	- <u>å</u>	. 9 1.1		673 791	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	814 -907	79.5 83.2		8 1	.8	192 178	18.8 16.3	0	.0	10	-1.0 -4		1,024	
CDLUMBIA	1986 1985	571 655	58.6 61.2		1 2	.1 .2	401 415	41.2 38.1	, 0 2	.9	1 4	.1 .4		974 1,089	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	576 744	56.5 67.1		4	.4 .4	438 359	42.9 32.4	0 Ú	.0	2 2	.2		1,020 1,109	
DELAWARE .	1986 1965	464 476	74.9 72.8		Ĭ 4	.2 .6	155 173		0	.0	0	.0		622 654	

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANDR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS	KESULI IND	FROW FER	DHAFU	ISDENEAHUK	ANKESIS .	

		Convi	ctions		Acquat	tals	- Disc	issals	Hot fr	osecuted	 -	Other			otal
COUNTY	Year	Hueber	Percent		Number I			fercent		Percent		r fercent			Percent
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	2,515 2,947	59.9 62.0		8	.2	1,597 1,713		0	.0	8 8	1 1.9	-	4,201 4,753	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	10,704 11,280	59.6 63.7		198 212	1.1	6,949 6,132		75 53		- 2 4			17,945 17,720	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	432 428	75.0 77.4		0 2	.0	139 117	24.1 21.2	0	.0		5 .9 6 1.1		574 553	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIH	1986 1985	840 540	62.2 63.6		2 2	.3	135 104		. 1	.0		i .i		779 646	100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	523 562	80.8 79.3		· 1 -	.2	120 142		0	.0		3 .5 2 .3		647 709	100.0 100.0
BENESEE	1986 1985	586 586	80.5 92.1		4	.5 .4	154 123		. 1	.1		1 .1. 0 .0		830 714	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	560 · 431	81.9 79.8		5	.7	117 102	17.1 18.9		.0		2 .3 3 .6		684 540	100.0 100.0
HANILTON	1986 1985	3B 37	82. & 80. 4		0	.0	- B		0			0 .0		46 46	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1984 1985	518 548	84.8 88.5			.2	75 64			.0 .0		3 .5 6 1.0		597 619	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	94B 784	85. 9 84.5		1 3	.1	128 113		1 2	.1		9 .9		987 906	100.0 109.0
KINGS	1986 1985	32,464 29,258	63.1 64.6		348 345	.7 . .8	14,015 13,129		2,349 2,387		27 20			51,448 45,323	100.0 100.0
LEVIS	1986 1985	9B 160	75.0 79.6		0	.0 .5	29 38		0			2 i.6 2 i.0		129 201	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1905	903 863	78.9 91.6	 •	5 2	.4	235 169		0			2 .2 8 .7		1,145 1,082	100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

		Convic			•	iittals		ssals	Hot Pro	secuted	 Ot	her		otal
COUNTY	(ear	Humber I				Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent
MOSIDAN	1986 1985	715 648	82.2 83.8	•		.7	144 124	16.6 16.0	0	.0	5 I	. 6	870 773	
NONROE	1986 1985	5,374 5,572	46.4		101 104		5,750 5,218	49.6 46.7	282 212	2.4 1.9	84 71	.7 .6	11,593 11,177	
KONTGONERY	1986 1985	650 634	90.9 97.9		(6.	51 75	7.1 10.4	0 5	.0	- 10 3	.4	715 721	
NASSAU	1986 1985	10,982 12,747	79.8 85.9		127 95		1,978 1,675	14.4 11.3	187 103	1.4	487 218	3.6 1.5	13,758 14,838	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	67,603 69,281	66.9 69.4		401 375		30,519 26,566	30.2 26.6	1,467 2,225	1.7 2.2	829 1,448	.8 1.4	101,019 99,895	
N1AGARA	1988 1985	2,927 3,020	79.3 78.6		20 34		737 775	20.0 20.2	0	.0	7 13	.2	3,691 3,844	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	2,054 2,006	83.1 83.2		7 8	.3	403 392	16.3 16.3	2 0	.1	7 7	.3	2,473 2,411	
ONONDAGA	1786 1785	3,862 3,950	59.6 60.1		35 36		2,524 2,468	38.9 37.8	3	.0	14 98	, 9 1.5	6,485 6,576	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1,245 1,046	82.0 81.7		14		25& 204	16.9 15.9	4 12	.3	- 7 5	.4	1,519 1,281	
ORANGE	1986 1985	2,749 3,057	58.4 50.2		14	• • •	1,885 2,102	40.0 40.0	3 4	.1	43 78	1.3	4,707 5,255	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	355 277	76.3 67.6		17		105 115	22.6 28.0	. 1	.7	4	.7	465 410	
OSWEGO	1984 1985	1,075 1,136	72.5 77.6		7		398 307	26.7 21.9	. 1	.1	3 13	.2	1,482 1,464	
OTSEGO	- 1986 1985	737 850	79.0 80.3		4	.4	188 190	20.2 18.0	. 0 . 1	.0 .1	4 12	.4 1.1	933 1,058	

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FEIONY AND MISSENCANOR ASSESTS (ADMITS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISFOSITIONS	OCCUR TING	CDUM	CELCUY I	MICCEMEANOR	ACCCCTC .
#151051110N5	RESULTING	FHUR	TELLINI L	MISBEREHNUN	HUUFDID .

		Convict		•	ittals		aissals		 Hot Pro			ther		otal
CCUNTY	Year	Number F			Percent		r Perc	ent	Nusber			Percent		Percent
PUTKAN	1986 1985	719 665	61.3 61.3	2	.2	44		8.0 7.8	0	.0	6 7	.5 .6	1,172 1,085	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	16,996 17,111	44.4	299 287		8,75 7,82		3.2 0.5	139 262	.5 1.1	195 119	.7	26,385 25,624	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,431 1,493	77.1 76.9	. 9	.4	41 43		2.4 2.3	0	.0	1 6	.1	1,855	100.0 100.0
RICHHONS	1986 1985	2,284 2,209	44.2 42.9	13 15		2,26 2,25		3.4 3.8	584 631	11.3 12.3	46 36	.7	5,175 5,146	100.0 100.0
ROCKL AND	1986 1985	1,624	86.6 71.5	2		75 76		2. 5 7.7	0	.0	20 16	.a .b	2,437 2,743	100.0 100.6
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,044 807	74.1 67.9	5		3 5		5.1 0.8	0	.0	.	.4	1,408 1,188	100.0 100.0
SARATO6A	1986 1985	2,297 2,356	74.3 76.5			76 71		5.3 3.2	- 1	.0	5 5	.2	3,093 3,080	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,727 1,565	77.0 76.3	7	.3	47 44		1.2	- 2 5	.1	31 25	1.4	2,242 2,050	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	369 339	70.0 72.7	1	.2	14 17		8.3 5.8	0	.0	8	1.5	527 466	100.0 166.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	224 218	83.9 84.2	0	.0	.4 3		5.1	0	.0	0	0 .4	267 259	100.0 100.0
SEHECA	1986 1985	358 293	65.6 62.2	1	.2	18 17		4.1 5.5	0 2	.0	1	.2 .6	546 471	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	810 707	75.4 71.7	4		25 28		3.7	1 1	.1	.5 5	.5	1,074 986	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	13,939 14,261	76.8 77.4	117 96		3,98 3,98		2.0	3 4	.0	96 91	.5 .5	18,143 18,434	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A 🖖 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISCUSSIONS	DECID TAKE	FORM	CELONY I	WICOCREAMOR.	ADDCCTC .

		Convi	ctions	•	Acquill		Disais	sals	Not fro	secuted	Othe	er .			otal
COUNTY	Year	Nusber	Percent		Nuaber P			Percent		Percent	Number f			Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	1,253 1,300	72.2 67.2		5 3	.3	470 626	27.1 32.4	. 0.	.0	0 5	.5		1,736	100.0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	472 494	79.2 79.4		5 0	.B	116 125	19.5 20.1	0	.0	3 2	.5 .3	-	596 622	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	70& 802	51.5 60.8		1 11	. i . B	493 493	46.5 36.6	0 5	.0	27 19	2.0 1.4		1,372 1,320	
ÜLSTER	1986 1985	1,847 1,718	69.3		8 12	.5	832 741	30.B 29.9	3 1	1	10	3		2,700 2,479	100.0 100.0
MARREN	1986 1985	1,103	71.9 72.9		0 2	.0	428 402	27.9 26.4	0 3	.0	. 3	.2		1,534 1,524	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	545 597	80.0 81.0		0 2	.0	135 133	19.8 18.0	0	.0	1 5	.1		481 737	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985	1,311 1,266	71.5 77.1		·	.5 .2	511 366	27.9 22.3	0	0. 1.	3	.2		1,834	100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	8,423 8,192	72.1 72.3		55 71	.5	2,824 2,846	24.2 25.1	116 67	1.0 .6	260 161	2.2 1.4		11,678 11,337	100.0 100.0
MAONING	1986 1985	197 195	70.4 73.9		1	.4	81 67	28.9 25.4	0	.0	1	.4		280 264	100.0
YATES	1986 1985	156 144	85.7 84.2		<u></u> 2	.0 1.2	25 23	13.7 13.5	0	.0	1 2	.5 1.2		182 171	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	248,728 251,609	67.0 69.3		2,257 2,261	. b . b	,615 ,038	29.8 27.3	8,602 7,220	1.8 2.0	3,027 3,136	.9		371,229 363,264	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1965	143,093 142,521	65.1 67.5		1,391 1,371	۵. ۵.	1,033 1,460	30.9 27.7	5,911 6,706	2.7 3.2	1,491 1,938	.7		219,919 210,996	

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Crisinal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS LABOURTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

DICCOCKTIONS		

		Convic		 •	ittals	 	Disei	 55als		secuted			lher		To	ital	
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber i			Percent			Percent		Percent		Kusber	Percent		Husber	Percent	
ALBAHY	1986 1985	1,302 1,255	80.7 79.3				282 300	17.5 19.0	. 3	.2		į			1,614 1,582	100.0 160.0	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	77 47	87.5 75.8				10 15	11.4 24.2	- 0 0	.0			1.1		88 62	100.0 100.0	
BROHI	1986 1985	13,254 13,187	64.7 67.9	293 296			6,192 5,193	30.2 26.6	670 677	3.3 3.5		73			20,481 19,412	100.0 100.0	
BROOME	1986 1985	550 534	80.8 79.9	4 7			105 108	15.4 16.2	_ 0 0			2:			184	100.0	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	·168 117	85.7 90.7 .	1 2	.5 1.6		25 10	12.8	- 0 0	.0		-	1.0	• ,	194 129	100.0 100.0	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	181 204	B1.4 82.1	2	.9		29 45	13.6	0	.0		· - (.0		214 251	100.0 100.0	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	324 290	82.7 85.5	0	.0		£7 46	17.0 13.6	. 0 1	.0		. :	.3		394 339	100.0 100.0	
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	274 203	87.9 90.1	- 2 5			28 26	9. i 8. 3	0	.0			.0		307 314	100.0 100.0	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	72 107	79.1 87.0	0	• • •		- 1å 8	17.6 6.5	0	.0			3.3 5 4.1		91 123	100.0 100.0	
CLINTON	1986 1965	213 175	79.2 87.9	8 1	3.0 .5		42 22	15.6	0	.0		-	2.2		269 199	100.0 100.0	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	172 186	70.8 48.4	0	.0		70 79	28.8 29.0	0	.7			1 .1 2.2		243 272	100.0 100.0	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	144 132	98.3 78.1	0	.0		- 19 36	11.7	0	.0	•		0. O		163 169	100.0 100.0	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	107 92	87.0 78.0	0			16 24	13.0 20.3	Ó	.0			0.0		123 118	100.0	

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS	SCCIN TINC	CODM	CEL DRY	enecète.	
HISPINS HIRINS	FF 501 1 LMS	₽ 1411 75	11331	ARRESIS	

			victions	 . •	ittals		ssals		Not Pro	secuted	 0	lher		otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent		Percent		Husber	Percent	Number	Percent		Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	72 80		2 2	.2	323 315	30.2 27.9		0	.0	15 18	1.4	1,069	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	2,780 2,730		96 89	2.0 1.9	1,888 1,707	38.9 37.1		74 44	. 1.5 1.0	14 66	.3 1.4	4,852 4,800	
ESSEX	1986 1985	10 7:		0	.0	 29 17	21.6 18.7		0	.0	3	2.2	134 91	
FRANKLIH	1984 1985	10i 9:		0 2	0 1.9	å1 9	13.6 7.6		1 0	.0	1 0	.8 .0	118 105	
FULTON	1986 1985	129		1 0	.7 .0	" 4 20	3.0 10.5	- *		.5	0	.0 .5	134 190	
GENESEE	1986 1985	10		_ 0 1	.0 .7	13 8	10.7 5.7		1	.9	0		121	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	14: -16:		3 2		25 19	14.3		. 0	.0 .8	0	.0	175 123	100.0 100.0
HANILTON	- 1986 1995	. 40		0	.0 .0	0	.0 26.7		. 0	.0	0	.0	18 15	190.0 100.0
HERKIKER	1986 1785	13 11:		0	.0	. 12 . 14	8.1 11.0		0	.0	0	.0	149 127	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	24 22		0		49 21	16.5		1 2	.3	1 3	.3 1.2	297 253	100.0 - 100.0
KINES	1986 1985	18,55 17,440		324 323	í.1 1.2	9,347 7,460	31.1 27.4		1,711 1,882	5.7 6.9	168 2,006	. b 7.4	30,100 27,229	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	4,		· 0	.0 2.3	1 2	2. i 4. 7		0	.0	 1	2.1	48 43	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	15 9		2 1	1.2	11 10	6.6 9.3		0	.0	0	.0 1.7	167 107	100.0

TABLE 46 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AGRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

								DISPOS	ITIONS RE	SULT ING	FRON	FELONY AR	RESIS .				
			clions	 	•	ttals		 	ssals			Not Pro			lher		otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent			Percent				Percent		Percent		Percent
MADISON	1986 1985	143 117	86.7 86.7		3 0	1.8		16	9.7			0	.¢	3	1.8	165 135	
MOHROE	1986 1985	1,710 1,634	63.2 64.6		39 51	- 1.4 _. 2.0		843 595	23.8 23.5			274 209	10.1 9.3	39 250	1.4 9.9	2,705 2,530	
HONTGOMERY	1986 1985	112 89	94.9 80.9		1 2	.B		- 5 12	4.2 10.7 i	-		0 5	1.5	7	.0 6.4	118 110	
NASSAU	1986 1985	3,524 3,746	72.7 82.9		57 46	1.2 1.0		648 452	13.4 10.0			180 98	3.7 2.2	440 274	1.9	4,849 4,518	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	20,399 21,778	56.1 60.3		318	.9		14,667 13,172	40.J 36.4			758 647	2. i 1. 8	228 870	2.4	36,364 36,138	100.0 100.0
HIAGARA	1986 1985	650 681	79.8 80.0		7	1.2		154 156	18.9 18.3			0	.0	4	.5	815 851	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	507 -529	86.4 90.6		0 2	.5		71 51	12.1 8.7			2 0	.3	4	.1 .1	507 584	100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,474	72.9 72.8		19 18	1.0		505 464	25.0 24.0			1	.0 .1	25 26	1.2	2,023 1,871	·100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	271 292	93.4 89.6		3	1.0		11 12	3.8 5.3			. 3	1.0 3.9	2 11	.7 4.8	290 228	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	761 1890	67.9 68.8		7	.4 .5	-	341 369	30.4 28.4			2	.3	15 29	1.3 2.2	1,123 1,294	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1984 1985	130 94	85.5 71.8		0 10	.0		18 24	11.6 18.3			1 2	.7 1.5	3	2.0 2.3	152 131	100.0 100.0
OSNEGO	1986 1985	248 244	87.6 92.1		i	1.1		31 12	11.0			0	.0	1 8	3.0	283 265	100.0 100.0
OTSE60	1986 1985	133	82.3 77.3		. 3	2.0		21 31	14.3 18.0			0	.0. .6	2	1.4 4.1	147 172	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4B DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS CADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

•		Convict		 Acquit	tals	 Disei			oseculed			her		otal
COUNTY	Year	Number f		Number	Percent		Percent		Percent			Percent	Huaber	fercent
PUTNAN	1986 1985	103 97	52.6 51.6	0 2	.0	91 88	46.4 45.7	0	.0		2 3	1.0	196 188	
QUEENS	1984 1985	9,203 7,739	61.9 62.7	238 209	1.6	5,201 4,13B	35.0 33.5	105 177	.7 1.4		132 252	2.0	14,879 12,336	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	319 332	81.4 81.4	· . 2	.5	71 74	18.1 18.1	. 0	.0		0	.0	392 408	
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,004 967	49.1 47.3		.4	865. 867	42.3 43.4	130 152	6.4 7.4		183 28	1.9 0.9	2,046 2,046	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	526 567	74.3 76.4	2 2	.3	" 1 75 171	24.7 23.0	0			5 2	.7	708 742	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	95 69	67.4 68.3	. 0	1.0	44 29	31.2 20.7	0	.0		2 2	1.4 2.0	141 101	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	438 -389	83.7 78.6	- 3·	.2	80 103 ·	15.3 20.8	10	.2		1 2	.2	523 495	
SCHENECTADY	1985 1985	414 374	82.8 81.1	3 4	. b . 9	71 69	14.2 15.0	2	.7	-	10 14	2.0 3.0	500 461	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	85 59	82.5 79.7	1 2	. 1.0 2.7	13 12	12.6 16.2	0	.0		4	3.9 1.4	103 74	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	52 52	88.1 94.5	0	.0	 7 3	11.9 5.5	0	.0		0	.0	59 55	
SENECA	1986 1965	98 50	76.6 74.6	1	.8 1.5	29 16	22.7 23.9	0	.0		0 0	.0 .6	. 128 67	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	175 149	74.8 76.8	. 4	1.7 2.8	53 37	22. <i>ఓ</i> 19.1	1	.4		. 1	.4 1.5	234 194	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,638 3,774	79.7 80.5	44 45	1.0	845 824	18.5 17.6	2 2	.0		34 45	.7 1.0	4,563 4,688	

INBLE 4B - DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

RECORCITIONS	CEPHI TIME	CDOM CCI DO	ACCECTO .

		Convi	ctions	•	ttals	Disai	ssals.	Hot Prosi	cuted	01	her	Ţ,	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Percent	Number	Percent	Nuober i	ercent	Number	Percent	Husber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	· 332 290	77.1 69.6	2	.4	104 144	22.3 29.9	0	.0	1 2	.2	467 481	
TIOGA	1986 1985	116 94	87.2 89.7	2 0	1.5	15 11	11.3 10.4	0 1	.0	0 1	.0	133 106	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	109 157	61.6 66.2	0	.0 1.7	57 66	32.2 27.8	0 2	.0	11 10	6.2 4.2	177 237	
ULSTER	1986 1985	464 434	74.2 74.3	. 4	. b . 7	152 140	24.3 24.0		.5 .2	2 &	.3 1.0	625 584	
WARREN	1986 1985	: 221 179	72. 5 75.2	0 2	. 0 . B.	84 57	27.5 23.9	0	.0	0	.0	305 238	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	135 125	82.8 86.2	0 2	.0 1.4	27 14	16.6 9.7		.0 .0	1 4	2.8	163 145	
WAYNE	1986 1985	217 · 234	80.7 91.1	0	.0	52 21	19.3 8.2	0	.0	0	.0	269 257	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2,711 2,767	76.7 79.1	30 43	.8 1.2	677 600	19.2 17.2	51 37	1.4	65 86	i.8 2.5	3,534 -3,496	
WYOMING	1986 1985	62 70	88.4 92.1	0	.0	7 6	10.0 7.9	0	.0 .0	1 0	1.4	76 76	
YATES	1986 1985	51 49	96.2 90.7	0	.0	1 4	1.9	0- 0	.0 .0		1.9	53 54	
HEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	90,738 87,207	63.8 66.5	1,555 1,558	1.1 1.2	44,469 38,490	31.3 28.6	3,977 3,967	2.8 3.0	1,409 4,999	1.0 3.7	142,14B 134,164	
HEN YORK CITY	1986 1985	62,418 61,115	60.1 62.9	1,176 1,155	1.1	36,270 30,855	34.9 31.8	3,374 3,535	3.2 3.6	648 4,047	4.2	103,886 97,172	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONAL MISSEMPANCE ARRESTS

				Felony					·				
		Total		Vi	olent		her		meanor	Lesser O		. 1	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number			Percent		Percent		Percent	Number	Percent	Hu a ber	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	313 288	7.3 6.4	82 81		231 227	5.4 5.1	1,939 2,000	45.2 44.5	2,034 2,203	47.5 49.1	4,286 4,491	
ALLEGANY	1986 1965	32 17	11.9 7.7	2 2		30 15	11.2 6.8	173 142	64.6 64.5	63 61	23.5 27.7	26B 220	
BRONX	1984 1965	4,987 4,353	- 21.0 17.7	2,249 2,184	9.5 8.9	2,738 2,169	11.5 8.9	12,800 13,109	53.9 53.2	5,948 7,189	25.1 29.2	23,735 24,651	
BROOME	1986 1985	315 266	13.4 12.4	67 71	2.9 3.3	248 195	10.6 9.1	1,577 1,448	67.2 67.3	455 438	19.4 20.4	2,347 2,152	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	63 41	7.4 5.6	B 4	.9 .5	55 37	6.4 5.1	507 499	59.4 67.3	284 197	33.3 27.1	854 728	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	B1 73	12.6	18 11		63 62	7.8 7.3	391 551	61.0 65.2	149 221	26.4 26.2	641 845	
CHAUTAUDUA	1986 1985	121 111	8.0 7.3	29 24	1.9	92 87	6.1 5.7	972 968	64.2 63.6	421 443	27.8 29.1	1,514 1,522	
CHENUNG	1984 1985	190 197	18.1 17.5	. 52 45		138 152	13.2 13.5	684 762	65.3 67.7	173 167	16.5 14.8	1,047 1,126	
CHENANGO	1986 1965	18 23	3.4 3.9	5 6		/13 17	2.5 2.8	275 351	52.5 58.8	231 223	44.1 37.4	524 597	
CLINTON	1986 1985	63 55	7.7 6.1	7	.9	56 51	6.9 5.6	371 435	45.4 48.0	380 417.	46.7 46.0	814 967	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	43 64	7.5 9.6	10 7		33 57	5.8 8.4	258 330	45.2 49.5	270 272	47.3 40.8	571 666	
CORTLAND	1984 1985	73 72	12.7 9.7	13 13		60 59	10.4 7.9	217 268	37.7 36.0	286 404	49.7 54.3	576 744	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	23 24	4.9 5.0	2 10		21 14	4.5 2.9	25& 248	54.9 52.1	187 204	40.1 42.9	466 476	

TABLE SA FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

LUNDICATUME	CECHI TING	EDUN EELUNA	ŧ.	MICREVEAUND	ADDECTO	

				Fe	ony		 	. ,	 		 		· .		
		Total			Viole	 ent 	 OL	her	Hisda	e e a nor	Lesser D	ffenses		Tol	Lal
COUNTY	Year	Number F				Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	229 316	9.1 10.7		47 64	1.9	182 252	7.2 B.6	1,132 1,260	45.0 42.8	1,154 1,371	45.9 46.5		2,515 2,947	100.0
ERIE	1988 1995	813 897	7.6 7.9		242 246	2.3 2.2	571 641	5.3 5.7	3,496 3,930	32.7 34.8	6,395 £,463	59.7 57.3		10,704 11,260	100.0
ESSEX	1984 1985	25 22	5.8 5.1		2	.5 .9	23 18	5.3 4.2	 199 222	46.1 51.9	20B 1B4	48.1 43.0		432 429	100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	44 42 -	6.9 7.8		8	.9 1.5	38 34	5.9	362 278	56.6 55.2	23 4 200	36.6 37.0		640 540	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1988 1985	- 44 68	8.4 12.1		13	2.5 2.3	31 55	5.9 9.8	238 254	45.5 45.2	241 240	46.1 42.7		523 562	100.0 100.6
BENESEE	1984 1985	70 77	10.5 13.1		10 18	1.5 3.1	60 59	9.0 10.1	259 269	38.8 45.9	339 240	50.7 41.0		668 586	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	. 45 26	8.0 6.0		10	1.8 1.4	35 20	6.3 4.6 -	274 263	48.9 61.0	241 142	43.0 32.9		560 431	100.0 100.0
HAHILTON	1986 1985	9 3	23.7 8.1		2	5.3	7 3	18.4 8.1	23	60.5 62.2	6 11	15.8 29.7		38 37	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1984 1955	34 36	6.6 6.6		7	1.4	27 32	5.2 5.8	288 327	55.6 59.7	196 185	37.8 33.8		518 548	100.0
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	161 131	19.0 16.7		34 27	4.0 3.4	127 104	15.0 13.3	399 408	47.1 52.0	288 245	34.0 31.3		848 764	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1995	6,394 5,566	19.7 19.0		254 953	10.0 10.1	3,140 2,613	9.7 8.9	11,560 10,943	35.4 37.4	14,510 12,749	44.7 43.5		32,464 29,259	100.0 100.0
LEWIS	1988 1753	23 12	23.5 7.5		6	6.1	17 11	17.3 6:9	37 58	37.8 42.5	38 89	38.8 50.0		98 160	100.0 160.6
LIVINGSTON	1984 1985	100 62	11.1 7.0		17 10	1.9	83 52	9.2 5.9	456 459	50.5 52.0	347 352	38.4 41.6		903 863	100.0 100.0

CONDUCTIONS RESULTING FROM FELOUY A MISSEMFAMOR AFRESTS

				Falcay		 	******	*******						-
		Total			lent	 Dt	her		Misde	searor	Lessor O	lfenses	Tat	al
COUNTY	Year	Number Per			Percent		Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percest
MADISON	1986 1995	åå 88	9.2 13.6	16 18	2.2	50 70	7.0 10.8		427 327	59.7 50.5	222 233	31.0 36.0	715 648	100.0
MONROE	1986 1985		17.9 15.1	272 267	5.1 4.8	688 574	12.8 10.3		2,307 2,670	42.9 47.9	2,107 2,661	39.2 37.0	5,374 5,572	100.0 100.0
HONTGOHERY	1984 1995	55 69	8.5 10.9	7	1.1	4B 62	7.4 9.8		270 272	41.5 42.9	325 293	50.0 46.2	650 634	100.0
NASSAU	1984 1985		15.3 11.9	584 543	5.3 4.3	1,094 972	10.0 7.6		4,527 6,099	41.2 47.8	4,777 5,133	43.5 40.3	10,982 12,747	100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	•	12.0 10.8	3,031 3,250	4.5 4.7	5,115 4,249	7.6 6.1		40,817 41,667	60.4 60.1	18,640 20,115	27.6 29.0	67,803 69,281	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	135 169	4.6 5.6	38 33	1.1	102 130	3.5 4.3		983 1,100	33.4 36.4	1,809 1,751	61.8 58.0	2,927 3,020	100.0 100.0
ONE I DA	1986 1995		11.7 10.7	87 - 75	4.2	15 4 140	7.5 7.0		1,045 1,090	50.9 53.8	768 711	37.4 35.4	2,054 2,006	100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1965		24.1 21.1	261 248	6.B	848 882	17.3 14.8		1,534 1,539	39.7 37.0	1,399 1,577	36.2 39.9	3,862 3,950	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1965		18.4 17.1	33 34	2.7 3.3	196 145	15.7 13.9		697 562	54.0 53.7	319 305	25.6 29.2	1,245 1,046	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1965		10.1 12.4	59 96	2.1 3.1	218 282	7.9 9.2		998 1,227	36.3 40.1	1,474 1,452	53.6 47.5	2,749 3,057	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1984 1985		16.9 26.7	14 16	3.9 5.8	46 59	13.0 20.9		201 153	56.6 55.2	94 50	26.5 18.1	355 277	100.0 100.0
OSNE60	1986 1985		11.0 10.6	19 20	1.8 2.5	99 92	9.2 8.1		452 513	42.0 45.2	505 503	47.0 44.3	1,075 1,136	100.0
OTSE60	1984 1985	37 44	5.0 5.2	. 7 B	.9	30 36	4.1 4.2		215 247	29.2 29.1	485 559	65.8 65.8	737 850	100.0 100.0

TABLE 5A FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR AKRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1786 - Continued

CONVICTIONS	OCCUPATING.	EDUR	CCLORY 1	MICRERCAURS	POSSCIE

				Felony							·		
		Total			olent	0	ther	Nisde	Peanor	Lesser	Offenses	. 10	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number 1			Percent	Kuaber	Percent	Nuzber	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
PUTNAK	1984 1985	i	1.4	0 2	.0	I 7	.1	203	28.2 34.9	515 424	71.4 63.8	719 &65	100.0
QUEEKS -	1986 1985	5,028 3,511	29.6 20.5	1,767 1,281	10.4 7.5	3,261 2,230		 7,081 7,724	41.7 45.1	4,887 5,876		16,996 17,111	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	102 138	7.1 9.2	19 37	1.3 2.5	83 101	5.8 6.8	577 558	40.3 37.4	752 797	52.6 53.4	1,431 1,493	100.0 100.0
RICHMOND	1986 1995	290 269	12.7 12.2	125 138		165 131		922 925	40.3	1,074 1,015		2,284 2,209	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	312 351	19.2 17.9	50 59	3.1 3.0	262 292		665 760	40.9 38.7	647 851	39.8 43.4	1,624 1,952	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1984 1965	4	.5	- 1 0		- 4		468 371	44.8 46.0	572 432		1,044 807	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1995	98 94	4.3 4.0	21 30	.9 1.3	" 77 84		819 728	35.4 30.9	1,381 1,534	60.1 65.1	2,297 2,356	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	138 166	B.0 10.6	35 41		103 125		803 717	46.5 45.8	786 682		1,727 1,565	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	34 28 '	9.2 0.3	2		32 26		15B 140	42.8 41.3	177 171	48.0 50.4	349	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1984 1985	20 14	B. 9 6. 4	7		13 11		144 159	64.3 72.9	80 45	26.8 20.6	224 218	100.0 105.0
SENECA	1986 1985	48 23	13.4 7.8	9 1	2,5	39 22		213 191	59.5 65.2	97 79	27.1 27.0	358 293	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	69 81	10.0 7.8	9 14	1.1 2.0	72 55		382 355	47.2 50.2	347 263	42.8 40.0	B10 707	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,871 1,970	13.4 13.8	505 570		1,366 1,400		1,812 1,515	34.5 31.7	7,256 7,776		13,939 14,261	100.0 100.0

TABLE 5A FELGNY, MISDEHEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISGEMEANOR ARRESTS

				Felony	•								
		Tota		Vi	olent		her	Ni sde	meanor	Lesser (If fenses	I	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent	liuaber	Percent		Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	136 142	10.9 10.9	26		110 123	8.8 9.5	574 578	45.8 44.5	543 580	43.3 44.6	1,253 1,300	
T106A	1984 1985	61 49	12.9			54 40	11.4	30å 297	64.B 60.1	105 14B	22.2 30.0	472 494	
TOMPKINS	1996 1985	54 102	7.6 12.7	13 20		41 82	5.8 10.2	241 313	34.1 39.0	. 411 387	58.2 48.3	70 <i>0</i> 802	
ULSTER	1984 1985	153 197	8.3 11.5	36 39		117 158	6.3 9.2	1,021 913	55.3 53.1	673 608	36.4 35.4	1,847	
HARREN	1986 1985	33 33	3.0 3.0			22 27	2.0 2.4	507 499	46.0	543 579	51.0 52.1	1,103 1,111	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	34 48	6.2 B.0			27 44	5.0 7.4	304 280	55.8 46.9	207 269	38.0 45.1	545 597	
НАУНЕ	1986 1985	127 117	9.7 9.2	23 16		104 101	7.9 B.0	752 699	57.4 55.2	432 450	33.0 35.5	1,311 1,268	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,171 1,405	13.9 17.2	361 535		803 870	9.5 10.6	3,992 3,385	47.4 41.3	3,240 3,402	38.7 41.5	8,423 8,192	
MADKINE	1986 1985	22 20	15.2 16.9			26 31	13.2 15.9	84 95	42.6 48.7	83 67	42.1 34.4	197 195	
YATES	1986 1985	35 39	22.4 27.1			26 34	16.7 23.6	87 75	55.8 52.1	34 30	21.8 20.8	158 144	
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	37,108 33,669	14.9 13.4	13,67 13,26		23,437 20,405	9.4 B.1	118,736 121,797	47.7 48.4	92,884 96,143	37.3 38.2	248,728 251,609	
NEW YORK CITY	1988 1985	- 24,848 21,199	17.4 14.9	10,42 9,80		14,422 11,393	10.1 8.0	73,186 74,374	51.1 52.2	45,059 45,949	31.5 32.9	143,09: 142,52	

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE SB FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1965 AND 1986

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS *

				 Felo	ony			 			*				
			otal		olent		her		Hisde	aeanor		Lesser (To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent		Percent		Nuaber	Percent			Percent	Number	Percent
ALBANY	1984 1985	303 279	23.3 22.2	82 61	6.3 4.9	221 217	17.0 17.3		862 888	66.2 70.8		137 89	10.5 7.1	1,302 1,255	100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	28 16	36.4 34.0	2 2	2.6 4.3	26 14	33.8 29.8	-	49 29	63.6 61.7		. 0 2	.0 4.3	77 47	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	4,934 4,314	37.2 32.7	2,249 2,184	17.0 16.6	2,685 2,130	20.3 16.2		6,099 6,174	46.0 46.8		2,221 2,699	14.8 20.5	13,254 13,187	100.0
BROOME	1984 1985	297 254	54.0 47.6	67 71	12.2 13.3	230 183	41.0 34.3		237 248	43.1 46.4		16 32	2.9 6.0	550 534	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	58 34	34.5 30.8	8 4	4.8 3.4	50 32	29.8 27.4		107 70	63.7 59.8			1.8 9.4	168 117	100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	70 66	38.7 32.0	18 11	9.9 5.3	52 55	28.7 26.7	-	98. 133	54.1 64.6		13 7	7.2 3.4	181 206	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	11 5 101	35.3 34.8	29 24	8.9 8.3	86 77	26.4 26.6		204 175	62.6 60.3		. 7 14	2.1 4.8	326 290	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1906 1905	182 190	45.9 67.1	52 45	18.8 15.9	130 145	47.1 51.2		79 83	28.6 29.3		15 10	5.4 3.5	276 283	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1	22.2 18.7	5 6	6.9 5.6	11 14	15.3 13.1		47 79	65.3 73.8		9 8	12.5 7.5	72 107	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1985 1985	59 47	27.7 26.9	7 4	3.3 2.3	52 43	24.4 24.6		140 115	65.7 65.7		14 13	6.6 7.4	213 175	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1965	40 57	23.3 30.6	10 7	5.8 3.8	30 50	17.4 26.9		108 111	62.B 59.7		24 18	14.0 9.7	172 186	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	54 51	37.5 38.6	13 13	9.0 9.8	4i 38	28.5 28.8		80 75	55.4 56.8		10 6	6.9 4.5	144 132	100.0 100.ç
DELAKARE	1986 1985	22 24	20.6 26.1	2 10	1.9 10.9	20 14	18.7 15.2		76 64	71.0 69.6		9 4	8.4 4.3	107 92	100.0

TABLE 58 FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS DNLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

					Felo	ny	 					• • • • • •			 		
			tal	•	Vio	lent		her		Hisde	neanor		Lesser 0	li fenses	To	tal	
COUNTY	Year		Percent			Percent		Percent		Huaber	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	211 292	29.0 36.5		47 64	6.5 8.0	164 228	22.5 28.5		434 416	59.6 52.0		83 92	11.4	728 800	100.0 100.0	
ERIE	1986 1965	762 620	27.4 29.9		242 246	8.7 9.0	520 574	18.7 21.0		1,50B 1,527	54.2 55.8		510 391	18.3 14.3	2,780 2,738	100.0 100.0	
ESSEX	1984 1985	23 21	22.5 28.8		. 2 4	2.0 5.5	21 17	20.4 23.3		68 50	66.7 68.5		11 2	10.B 2.7	102 73	100.0 100.0	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	37 37	37.0 38.9		6 6	6.0 8.4	31 29	31.0 30.5		61 51	61.0 53.7		2	2.0 7.4	100 95	100.0	
FULTON	1986 1985	4 L 64	31.B 37.9		13	10.1 7.7	28 51	21.7 30.2		81 97	62.8 57.4		7 B	5.4 4.7	129 169	100.0 100.0	
GENESEE	1986 1965	45 69	42.1 52.7		10 18	9.3 13.7	35 51	32.7 38.9		54 62	50.5 47.3		8	7.5	107 131	100.0 100.0	
GREENE	1986 1985	40 25	27.2 24.8		10 6	6.8 5.9	30 19	20.4 18.8		76 65	51.7 64.4		31 11	21.1 10.9	147 101	100.0 100.0	
HAMILTON	1984 1985	2 8	56.3 27.3		2 ,,	12.5 .0	7	43.8 27.3	÷	7 8	43.8 72.7		0	.0	16 11	100.0 100.0	
HERKIMER	1986 1985	32 32	23.4 28.3		7	5.1 3.5	25 28	18.2 24.8		89 70	65.0 61.9		16 11	11.7 9.7	137 113	100.0 100.0	
JEFFERSON	1988 1985	146 121	59.3 53.5		34 27	13.8 11.9	112 94	45.5 41.6		92 103	37.4 45.6		8 2	3.3	246 226	100.0 100.0	
KINGS	1986 1965	6,363 5,545	34.3 31.8		3,254 2,953	17.5 16.9	3,109 2,592	16.8 14.9		6,579 6,559	35.5 37.6		5,608 5,336	30.2 30.4	18,550 17,440	100.0 100.0	
LENIS	1984 1985	23 12	50.0 30.8		6	13.0 2.6	17 11	37.0 28.2		19 26	41.3		4	8.7 2.4	46 39	100.0 100.0	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	88 45	57.1 47.9		17 10	11.0 10.6	71 35	46.1 37.2		64 47	41.4 50.0		2 2	1.3 2.1	154 94	100.0 100.0	

TABLE 50 FELONY, MISDEHEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS LADULIS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 4

				Felc	iny	 		 		-			 	
		Tot	al	Vic	lent	 Dt	her	Nisde	seanor		Lesser 0	ffenses	To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Humber		Nuaber	Percent	Humber	Percent	Huaber	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
MAD I SON	1986 1985	49 75	34.3 64.1	16 19	· 11.2	33 57	23.1 48.7	82 41	57.3 35.0		12	B.4 .9	143 117	100.0 100.0
MONROE	1986 1985	907 800	53.0 49.0	272 267	15.9 16.3	635 533	37.1 32.6	643 694	37.6 42.5		140 140	9.4 B.6	1,710 1,634	- 100.0 160.0
MONTGOHERY	1986 1985	50 66	44.6 74.2	7	6.3 7.9	43 59	38.4 66.3	56 20	50.0 22.5		6 3	5.4 3.4	112 89	100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,582 1,396	44.9 37.3	584 543	16.6 14.5	998 853	28.3 . 22.8	1,600 2,056	45.4 54.9		342 294	9.7 7.8	3,524 3,746	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1985 1985	0,097 7,458	39.7 34.2	3,031 3,250	14.9 14.9	5,046 4,208	24.8 19.3	8,970 9,650	44.0 45.2		3,332 4,470	16.3 20.5	20,399 21,778	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	190 120	20.0 23.5	33 33	5.1 5.7	97 121	14.9 17.8	383 438	58.9 64.3		137 83	21.1 12.2	650 681	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	216 191	42.6 36.1	87 75	17.2 14.2	129 116	25.4 21.9	271 302	53.5 57.1		20 35	3.9 4.8	507 529	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1984 1965	887 795	60.2 58.3	261 248	17.7 18.2	626 547	42.5 . 40.1	511 496	34.7 36.4		76 72	5.2 5.3	1,474 1,363	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	199 149	73.4 73.8	33 34	12.2 16.8	146 115	61.3 56.9	69 47	25.5 23.3		3	1.1 3.0	271 202	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	264 368	34.7 41.3	59 96	7.8 10.8	205 272	26.9 30.8	389 431	51.1 49.4		10B 91	14.2 10.2	761 890	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	56 65	43.1 49.1	14 16	10.8 17.0	42 49	32.3 52.1	71 25	54.6 26.6		3 4	2.3 4.3	130 94	100.0 100.0
OSWEGO	1986 1985	112 108	45.2 44.3	19 28	7.7 11.5	80 93	37.5 32.0	126 124	50.8 50.8		10 12	4.0 4.9	248 244	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985	- 36 41	29.6 30.8	7 9	5.8 6.0	29 33	24.0 24.8	76 78	62.8 58.6		. · · 9	7.4 10.5	121 133	100.0 100.0

TABLE 56 FELONY, MISGEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ABULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1785 AND 1986 - Continued

CONVICTIONS	RECHI TING	EBUH EELUMA	ARRESTS #

				 Felo	iay			 				 	
		To	tal	 	lent	 Ot	her	Nisde	seanor	Lesser	iffenses	_ To	tal
COUNTY	Year		Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Kucher	Percent		Percent	Number	Percent
PUTNAN	1984 1985	1 8	1.0	0 2	.0	1 6	1.0 6.2	72	69.9 75.3	30 16	29. i 16. 5	103 97	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1984 1985	4,886 3,396	53.1 43.9	1,767	19.2 16.6	3,119 2,115	33.9 27.3	3,008 2,849	32.7 36.8	1,309	14.2 19.3	9,203 7,739	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 - 1985	93 126	29.2 38.0	19 37	6.0 11.1	74 B9	23.2 26.8	177 179	55.5 53.9	49 27	15.4 8.1	319 332	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1984 1985	281 266	28.0 27.5	125 138	12.5 14.3	156 128	15.5 13.2	473 497	47.1 51.4	250 204	24.9 21.1	1,004 967	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	297 335	56.5 59.1	50 59	9.5 10.4	247 276	47.0 48.7	177 173	33.7 30.5	52 59	9.9 10.4	526 567	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	- 4. 3	4.2	1 0	1.1	3	3.2 4.3	80 59	84.2 85.5	11 7	11.4 10.1	95 69	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1786 1785	84 86	19.2 22.1	21 30	7.7	63 56	14.4 14.4	279 238	63.7 61.2	75 65	17.1 16.7	43B 389	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1985 1985	137 156	33.1 41.7	35 41	8.5 11.0	102 115	24.6 30.7	239 194	57.7 51.9	38 24	9.2 6.4	414 374	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	30 26	35.3 44.1	2 B		28 18	32.9 30.5	48 29	54.5 49.2	7 4	8.2 6.8	85 59	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1965	18 14	34.6 26.9	7		11 11	21.2	34 34	65.4 65.4	0	.0 7.7	52 52	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1965	42 16	42.9 32.0	9	2.0	33 15	33.7	47 32	64.0	7 2	4.0	98 50	100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	70 59	40.0 39.6	14	9.4	45	34.9 30.2	100 85	57.0	5		175 149	100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,555 1,670	42.7	505 570	13.9 15.1	1,050 1,100	28.9 29.1	1,417 1,385	39.0 36.7	666 719	19.1	3,638 3,774	100.0

TABLE 58 FELDITY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDITY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

. CONVICTIONS RESIDITING FROM FELONY ARRESTS &

				Fel	ony				-	,				
			Total		olent		her	Misde	heanor	Lesser (If fenses		To	otal
COUNTY	Year		er Pércent		Percent		Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Husber	Percent
SULLIVAN -	1986 1985		17 32.5 26 37.6	26 17		91 107	25.3 31.9	198 165	55.0 47.6	45 43	12.5 12.8	· •	360 335	
TIOGA	1986 1985		55 47.4 46 48.9	7	6.0 9.6	48 37	41.4 39.4	56 46	48.3 48.9	5 2	4.3		116 94	
TOMPKINS	1986 1905		45 41.3 78 49.7	13 20	11.7	32 58	29.4 36.9	61 77	56.0 47.0	3 2	2.8 1.3		109 157	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1995		37 29.5 70 39.2	36 39	7.8 9.0	101 131	21.8 30.2	273 226	58.0 52.1	54 36	11.6 8.8		464 434	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985		36 13.6 36 16.8	· 11	5.0 3.4	19 24	8.6 13.4	166 132	75.1 73.7	25 17	11.3 9.5		221 179	100.0 100.0
MASKINGTON	1986 1985		30 22.2 44 35.2	7	5.2 3.2	- 23 40	17.0 32.0	96 75	71.1 60.0	 6	6.7 4.9		135 125	100.0
NAYNE	1986 1985		91 41.9 95 40.6	23 16	10.6	å8 79	31.3 33.0	115 134	53.0 57.3	11 5	5.1 2.1		217 234	100.0 100.0
NESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,0 1,2		368 535	13.6 19.3	675 726	24.9 26.2	1,456 1,311	53.7 47.4	212 195	7.8 7.0		2,711 2,767	100.0 100.0
MACHINE	1986 1985		26 41.9 28 40.0	4 2	4.5 2.9	22 26	35.5 37.1	35 41	56.5 58.6	1	1.6		62 70	
YATES	1986 1995		32 62.7 37 75.5	9		23 32	45.1 65.3	19 11	37.3 22.4	0	.0 2.0		51 49	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	35,8 32,2		13,671 13,264	15.1 14.9	22,019 19,025	24.3 21.3	39,190 39,976	43.2 44.8	15,850 16,942	17.5 19.0		90,738 89,207	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,5 20,5		10,426 9,896	16.7 16.0	14,138 11,174	22.7 18.3	25,134 25,932	40.3 42.4	12,720 14,203	20.4 23.2		62,418 61,115	

[•] Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

Config. . Ave a reserve to the first state of the forest of the fire of the configuration of the file.

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
ALBANY	1988	3.7	15.4	8.3	12.9	53.4	8.5	100.0
	1985	3.7	12.8	7.4	13.3	57.8	4.7	100.0
ALLEGANY	1986	i.i	10.1	9.3	21.6	44.4	13.4	100.0
	1985	1.4	10.5	6.4	17.3	50.0	14.5	100.0
BRONT	1986 1985	7.7	40.4 39.B	3.0 1.5	8.2 0.5	25.7 23.7	13.7 18.8	100.0 100.0
BROOKE	1986	3.5	13.8	3.8	13.4	34.8	30.8	100.0
	1985	3.2	14.5	3.7	12.2	36.1	30.3	160.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	1.2	12. <u>6</u> 14.7	2.1	9.3 7.1	64.6 64.4	10.2 10.4	100.0 100.0
CAYUSA	1986	6.2	19.3	3.7	5.3	46.0	19.3	100.0
	1985	3.1	17.4	3.9	3.9	47.7	24.0	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986	1.3	13.9	5.7	10.6	52.4	16.1	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.5	4.1	11.5	55.8	14.2	100.0
СНЕНИНО	1986	7.2	13.8	5.8	19.9	39.0	15.3	100.0
	1985	6.3	12.7	4.6	16.8	43.3	16.3	160.0
CHENANGO	1984 1985	1.3	12.8 11.4	4.2 4.9	11.6	49.0 44.9	21.0 22.3	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1984 1985	2.7 1.9	13.0 12.6	2.0 2.1	13.4	52. 6 62. 4	16.3 13.3	100.0 160.0
COLUMBIA	1986	4.6	20.3	3.9	9.3	53.A	8.4	100.0
	1985	5.7	19.2	5.0	7.7	53.0	9.7	160.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	2.3	14.9	4.2 2.7	12.5 13.3	54.5 58.7	11.6 10.9	100.0 100.0
DELANARE	1984	.4	" 12.4	3. <i>à</i>	9.9	52.1	21.5	100.0
	1985	.6	9.5	4.4	8.8	55.7	21.0	100.0

TABLE &A SENIENCES AS A PERCENT OF IDIAL CONVICTIONS (ADULIS ONLY) BY SENIENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

COUNTY	Year	State Frison	Local Jail	- Local Jasi and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
			***************************************		************			
DUTCHESS	1986	1.9 2.2	15.9 14.8	4.3 . 5.5	11.5 11.1	57.3 59.7	9.1 8.8	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1984 1985	. 3.1	17.5 18.3	3.3 2.6	13.5 13.5	40.7 40.1	21.9 22.3	100.0 160.0
ESSEI	1986 1985	1.2	8.8 7.2	2.1 1.9	7.4 8.8	61.0 62.9	18.8 17.5	100.0 160.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	.9 1.9	13.4	5.2 3.9	7.7 13.0	58.1 49.8	14.5 18.1	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1984 1985	3.8 5.0	12.8 13.2	5.4 5.3	10.1 12.3	47.8 49.6	20.1 14.6	100.0
GENESEE	1984 1985	.4 3.2	8.6 8.0	9.4 8.0	18.1 21.8	54.8 49.3	10.6 9.6	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1984 1985	2.7 1.9	12.5 12.3	2.3	7.5 9.0	65.4 67.7	9. L 8. 4	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1984 1985	2. b 2. 7	7.9 16.2	23.7	7.9 5.4	52.6 56.8	5.3 19.9	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1984 1985	1.0 1.5	15.1 14.4	1.9 2.2	5.2 6.8	67.4 60.6	14.5 14.6	100.0 160.6
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	4.5 2.9	14.9 14.4	5.8 7.5	13.2 10.8	52 . 5 55. u	9.2 9.3	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1984 1985	- 10.3 9.4	28.1 25.6	4.1 4.3	8.1 9.8	21.2 27.9	28.2 23.0	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	5.1 1.3	8.1 8.1	16.3 5.0	15.3 15.0	42.9 56.3	14.3 14.4	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1784 1985	2.0 1.2	10.3 9.2	5.2 6.8	9.4 7.0	54.0 58.3	19.0 15.6	100.0 100.0

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
MADISON	1985	1.3	8.1	5.0	14.7	57.9	13.0	100.0
	1985	2.9	8.6	5.1	13.0	61.6	8.8	100.0
MONROE	1984	6.3 5.4	13.8	5.9 6.0	14.3 14.9	33.3 38.6	23.8 21.4	100.0 100.0
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	1.7	10.6 9.8	2.9 4.6	10.3 8.2	59.7 59.1	14.8 16.4	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1984 1985	6.2 4.2	17.0 16.9	6.3	17.6 18.3	31.3 31.1	21.6 23.3	100.0
HEN YORK	1986	5.9	62.3	1.1	4.7	7.7	19.4	100.0
	1985	5.2	57.5	.B	4.6	9.1	22.7	100.0
NIASARA	1984	2.2	20.2	2.4	10.7	50.1	14.5	100.0
	1985	2.2	22.0	2.8	10.9	48.8	13.3	100.0
DHEIDA	1984	4.3	15.6	3.5	9.7	53.0	13.7	100.0
	1985	3.2	16.5	3.7	11.8	52.7	12.1	100.0
DHONDAGA	1984 1985	7.4	16.6 15.0	3.7 3.6	21.3 17.8	31.1 4	20.1	100.0 100.0
ONTAR10	1986 1985	3.4	13.2 14.8	4.3 5.0	9.3 7.7	52.8 55.3	15.3 12.6	100.0 100.0
DRANGE	1984	3.1	12.9	4.3	11.7	60.5	7.5	100.0
	1985	3.5	13.1	5.1	13.1	55.9	8.3	100.0
ORLEANS	1986	7.6	13.5	8.7	19.7	36.1	14.4	100.0
	1985	6.5	11.6	14.8	17.0	34.7	15.5	100.0
OSNEGO	1984	2.6	10.7	7.1	12.0	53.4	14.2	100.0
	1985	2.1	13.8	5.9	10.1	57.9	10.1	100.0
015E60	1984 1985	1.6 1.2	5.3 8.6	2.7 2.4	5.8 6.2	73.9 71.9	10.8 9.8	100.0

TABLE SA SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF 101AL LUNYICTIONS LADULTS ONLY BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 - Continued

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
PUTNAN	1984 1985	.0	5.1 7.2	1.1	11.3 10.8	79.3 77.7	3.2 2.6	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1984 1985	14.7 9.4	28,4 34.2	6.2 3.7	11.1	18.2 24.7	21.4 17.4	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986	2.0	10.3	2.3	9.9	52.2	15.4	100.0
	1985	2.3	14.2	3.3	13.1	53.1	13.9	100.0
RICHNOND	1984	7.3	24.8	1.7	11.6	23.1	31.5	100.0
	1985	5.6	21.4	1.7	13.0	32.5	25.8	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986	6.5	13.1	8.5	11.6	53.7	6.5	100.0
	1965	1.4	10.7	7.0	12.1	57.0	6.0	160.0
ST, LAWRENCE	1984	.2	å.å	1.4	4.6	62.5	24.7	100.0
	1985	.1	5.9	1.2	4.6	61.3	26.8	100.0
SARATOGA	1984 1985	1.1	12.2 9.6	1.6 1.1	5.7 5.6	70.2 74.1	9.2 8.3	100.0 100.0
SCHERECTADY	1986	2.6	17.3	3. 6	11. <i>6</i>	54.1	10.7	100.0
	1985	2.7	19.0	2. 0	12.8	54.6	0.8	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1984	1.4	9,8	7.6	7.0	66.7	7.4	100.0
	1985	3.5	4.1	4.1	5.3	72.3	10.6	100.0
SCHUYLER	1784.	3.1	7.1	9.8	17.4	52.2	10.3	100.0
	1985	1.4	7.8	5.5	26.6	50.0	8.7	100.0
SENECA	1986	3.4	9.5	5. å	7.0	48.0	26.5	100.0
	1985	1.0	11.3	5. l	8.2	53.4	20.8	100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1.4	J1.1 10.9	4.6 4.8	9.8 10.6	60.5 54.3	12.7 17.1	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986	3.9	11.0	4.7	13.2	46.6	20.6	100.0
	1985	4.3	9.9	5.1	13.3	55.7	11.7	100.0

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Úther	Tolal
SULL I VAN	1984	2.7	23.5	3.9	13.2	44.4	12.3	100.0
	1985	3.5	19.7	4.1	13.9	47.0	11.8	160.0
1106A	1986	3.0	8.5	2.3	14.0	56.4	15.9	100.0
	1985	2.0	8.3	3.0	9.9	61.3	15.4	100.0
TONPKINS	1986	2.0	6.1	1.0	6.8	61.3	20.8	100.0
	1985	3.5	7.7	2.6	15.0	47.1	24.1	100.0
ULSTER	1984	2.5	16.1	2.5	11.2	56.7	11.0	100.0
	1985	3.0	14.5	2.8	10.1	59.1	10.5	100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	l.0 .5	14.8 14.0	.8 1.9	6.4 6.2	61.2	15.8 17.4	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1984 1985	2.2	12.8 12.1	3.3 3.2	8.4 6.2	57.2 64.3	16.0 13.9	100.0 100.0
HAYNE	1986 1985	1.2	13.4 10.3	3.1 2.9	9.6 12.0	53.7 53.3	19.0 20.1	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1984	4.4	24.3	5.0	14.3	41.9	10.1	100.0
	1985	6.9	16.5	4.4	15.4	47.0	9.8	100.0
BATHOLA	1986	2.0	8.1	6.6	22.3	53.8	7.1	100.0
	1985	5.1	8.7	8.2	20.0	53.8	4.1	100.0
YATES	1986 1985	3.B	10.3 13.2	11.5 9.0	13.5 11.8	35.9 33.3	25.0 26.4	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986	6.5	33.1	3.5	9.5	28.8	18.8	100.0
	1985	5.6	31.4	3.1	9.8	31.3	18.8	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986	8.5	46.3	2.7	6.9	15.2	20.4	100.0
	1985	7.0	44.5	2.0	7.2	17.7	21.5	100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

			State		 Local		 Local and Pr	obation		Prob	ation	Fin	es		Ot	her		otal
COUNTY	Year 		Felony Conv	Aisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cany	Nisd & . Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cany	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Misd & Lesser Conv
- ALBAHY	1984 1985		50.2 58.3	HA HA	1.3 1.0	16.5 13.6	31.9 25.3	6.4		14.3 15.3	12.5 13.2	.0	57.6 61.8	7	.0	6.9 5.0	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1984 1985		9.4 17.6		9.4 5.9	10.2 10.8	31.3 29.4	6.4		43.8 47.1	18.4 14.8	3.1	50.0 54.2		3,1	14.8 15.6	100.0	100.0 160.0
BRONX	1984 1985		43.1 43.8	HA Ka	15.3 16.8	47.1	13.4 7.2	.2		27.3 31.1	3.1 3.6	.2	32.5 20.0		.7 .9	17.2 22.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
BROONE	1984 1985		26.3 25.6	NA NA	10.2 12.8	14.3	21.0 21.1	1.2		38.4 36.5	7.5 8.8	2.5 .8	39.8 41.1		1.6 3.4	35.1 34.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985		15.9 24.4	NA Na	15.9 17.1	12.4 14.6	14.3 17.5	1.1		52.4 39.6	5.8 5.2	i.6 .0	69.7 68.3	•.	.0	11.0 11.1	100.0 100.0	100.0
CAYUGA	1984 1985		49.4 35.6	NA Na	19.8 13.7	19.3 17.7	21.0 35.6	1.3		9.9 13.7	4.8 3.0	0	52.7 52.2		.0 1.4	22.1 26.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1984 1985		16.5	ан Ка	17.4 18.0	13.4 12.0	30.0 27.9	2.9		22.3 25.2	9.4 10.4	3.3 2.7	58.4 60.0		2.5 .0	17.3 15.3	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985		39.5 36.0	HA NA	14.7 12.2	13.7 12.8	19.5 14.7	2.8 2.5	"•	23.2 33.5	18.0 13.2	1.6	47.3 52.0		1.6 1.5	18.3 17.5	100.0	100.0 100.0
CHENAKGO	1986 1985		39.1 39.1	NA NA	22.2 26.1	12.5 10.8	38.9 30.4	3.0 3.8		.0 4.3	12.1 15.5	.0	50.8 46.7		.0	21.7 23.2	100.0 100.0	100.0
CLINTON	1984 1985		34.9 30.9	HA KA	19.0 14.5	12.5 12.4	19.0 18.2	.5		25.4 30.9	12.4 6.2	1.6	54.9 66.2		.0 1.8	17.7 14.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 150.0
COLUNBIA	1984 1965		60.5 59.4	HA NA	18.6 14.1	20.5 19.9	11.6 17.2	3.2 3.7		9.3 6.3	9.3 7.8	.0 3.1	58.0 59.1		.0	9.1 9.6	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1984 1985	ar.	17.8 27.8	NA NA	23.3 11.1	13.7 11.8	21.9 18.1	1.6 1.0		32.9 40.3	9.5 10.4	.0	62.4 65.0		4.1	12.7 11.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1988 1985		8.7 12.5	HA HA	13.0 16.7	12.4 9.1	43.5 33.3	1.4		17.4 33.3	9.5 7.5	.0 4.2	54.9 58.4		17.4	21.7 22.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

				State				Local			obation			ation	Fin			her		Tot	
	COUNTY	Year		Felony Conv	Misd & Lessor Conv		F	elony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Canv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Fe C	Lony onv	Misd & Lesser Conv
	DUTCHESS	1984 1985		20.5 20.6	HA HA			9.2 6.9	16.6 15.5	27.1 32.9	2.1 2.2		42.B 37.0	8.3 7.9	.0	63.1 66.8	.4	10.0 7.6		00.0 00.0	100.0 100.0
	ERIE	1986 1995		41.3 39.6	. NA	-		13.3 14.0	17.9 18.7	17.3 10.4	2.1 2.0		27.1 34.4	12.4 11.7	.5 .9	44.0 43.4	.5	23. a 24. i		00.0 00.0	100.0 160.0
	ESSEI	1986 1985		20.0 36.1	na Na			16.0 9.1	8.4 7.1	20.0 9.1	1.0 1.5	. •	40.0 45.5	5.4 6.7	· .0	45.4 5.44	4.0	19.7 18.5		00.0 00.0	100.0 100.0
	FRANKLIN	1988 1985		13.4 23.8	na Na			13.6	13,6 13.5	43.2 21.4	2.3		29.5 40.5	6.0 10.6	.0	62.4 54.0	2.4	15.6 19.5		00.0 00.0	100.0
B-65	FULTON	1986 1985		45.5 41.2	NA NA			11.4	12.9 13.0	29.5 25.0	3.1 2.6		13.6 17.6	.9.8 11.5	.0 1.5	52.2 56.3	.0	21.9 16.6		00.0	100.0 160.0
-	BENESEE	1985 1985	-	4.3 24.7	RA NA			1.4 3.9	7.2 8.6	64.3 39.0	3.0 3.3		28.6 32.5	14.9 20.2	.0	61.2 56.8	1.4	11.7 11.0		00.0	100.9 100.0
	GREENE	1986 1985		33.3 30.8	NA NA			13.3	12.4 11.4	24.4 3.8	.4		26.7 34.6	5.8 7.4	2.2 3.8	70.9 71.9	.0	10.5 8.9		00. 0 00.0	100.0
	HAMILTON	1986 1985		11.1 33.3	HA NA			.0 66.7	10.3 11.8	77.8 .0	8.9 .0		11.1	6.9 5.9	.0	89.0 8.18	0.	6.9 20.6		00.0	100.0 100.0
	HERKIMER	1986 1985		14.7 22.2	NA NA			26.5 22.2	14.3	26.5 19.4	.2 1.0		23.5 30.6	3.9 5.1	9.9 5.6	66.1 64.5	.0	15.5 15.6		00.0	100.0 100.0
	JEFFERSON	1986 1985		23.6 17.6	na Na			16.1	14.6 13.5	23.6 35.9	1.6		34.2 25.2	8.0 8.0	2.5 1.5	64.2 65.7	.0 B.	11.4 11.0		00.0	100.0 100.0
	KINGS	1985 1985		52.3 49.4	NA NA			9.7 8.7	32.4 29.6	17.1 19.0	.9		19.8 21.3	5.2 7.1	.8 1.0	26.2 34.2	.4	35.1 20.2		00.0 00.0	100.0 100.0
	LEWIS	1984 1985		21.7 16.7	NA NA			13.0	4.0 7.4	56.5 33.3	4.0 2.7		9.7 33.3	17.3 13.5	.0	56.0 60.8	.0	18.7 15.5		00.0	100.0
	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		18.0 17.7	na Na			19.0 21.2	9.2 8.0	28.0 45,2	2.4 3.9		34.0 11.3	6.4 7.5	.0	60.8 62.7	1.0 1.6	21.3 17.8		00.0 00.0	100.0 100.0

8-66

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

		State			Local		and Pr	Jail obation			Prob	ation		Fin			her	To	ıtal ,
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		-	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Canv	hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Canv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Misd & Lesser Conv
MADISON	1986 1985	13.6 21.6	NA NA		10.6 11.4	7.9 8.2	37.9 27.3	1.7			36. 6 37.5	12.5 9.1		.0	63.8 71.3	1.5 2.3	14.2 9.8	100.0 100.0	100.0
HONROE	1986 1985	35.3 35.9	na Na		13.3 11.5	14.2	23. 9 27.8	2.0 2.1			26.5 23.3	11.4 13.4		.1	40.4 45.4	9. 1.1	28.8 25.0	100.0	100.0 100.0
NONTGONERY	1984 1985	20.0 17.4	na Na		16.4 11.6	10.1 9.6	23.6 34.8	1.0			38.2 31.9	7.7 5.3		1.8 2.9	65.0 66.0	.0 1.4	14.1 18.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	40.8 35.4	NA NA	:	13.4 14.5	17.4 17.3	25.5 25.3	2.5 3.7			17.8 22.6	17.6 17.7		1.0	36.7 35.1	1.5	25.5 26.3	100. 0 100.6	100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	48.9 48.3	NA NA		20.2 29.8	68.0 62.0	7.9 6.3	.1			21.8 22.9	2.4		.3	8.7 10.2	1.0 1.2	20.6 25.3	100.0	100.0
HIAGARA	1986 1985	47.4 38.5	NA NA	•	17.0 24.3	20.3 21.9	5.2 3.3	2.3 2.7			27.4 33.1	9.9 9.6		1.5	52.4 51.7	1.5	15.1 14.0	100.0 100.0	100.0
DNEIDA	1986 1985	36.5 30.2	HA NA		12.4 16.3	14.0 16.5	22.8 23.7	.9 1.3			27.0 28.8	7.7 9.7		.8 .5	60.0 59.0	.4	15.4 13.5	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
- OHONDAGA	1986 1985	30.6 32.9	HA NA		14.2 18.6	17.3 14.1	11.0 12.9	1.1			39.4 33.5	15.4 13.7		. 6	40.7 44.4	 3.1 1.8	25.5 26.6	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DHTARIO	1986 1985	19.3 26.8	HA HA	• •	22.3 27.9	11.1 12.1	28.4 20.7	1.7			25.8 21.2	5. 6 5. 0		2.2 1.7	64.0 66.3	3.1 1.7	18.0 14.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DRANGE	1986 1985	30.3 28.0	ан Би		B.3 5.0	13.4 14.2	29.2 26.5	1.5 2.1	-		30.7 39.4	9.4		.4	67.3 63.8	1.1	8.2 9.4	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DRLEAMS	1984 1985	45.0 24.3	HA NA		6.7 1.4	14.9 15.3	25.0 41.9	5.4 4.9			23.3 31.1	19.0		.0	43.4	.0	17.3 20.7	100.0	100.0 100.0
OSNEGO	1986 1985	23.7 20.0	HA HA		10.2 15.0	10.8 13.7	33.9 40.8	3.8 1.8			28.0 21.7	10.0 a.a		.8 1.7	59.9 64.6	3.4 .8	15.6 11.2	100.0	100.0 160.0
OTSEGO	1984 1985	32.4 22.7	NA NA		8.1 11.4	5.1 8.4	- 27.0 34.1	1.4			29.7 29.5	4.8 5.0		.0	77.9 75.8	2.7 2.3	11.0 10.2	100.0	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

		State			Local		-	Local and Pr	obation		Frab	ation		Fin	es			her		Total
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felany Cany	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felo Coa	Misd & ny Lesser e Conv
PUTHAN	1986 1985	.0 11.1	na Na		.0	5.2 7.3		.0	1.1		100.0 55.6	11.1	÷ .	0	79.4 78.8		.0	3.2 2.6	100 160	
QUEENS	1986 1985	49.8 45.8	NA NA		7.3 9.8	37.3 40.5		- 19.3 15.2	.7		22.6 27.2	6.2 6.2		.7 1.3	25.5 30.8	•	.4	30.3 21.8	100 100	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	27.5 25.4	NA NA		16.7 14.5	18.4 14.2		13.7 15.9	1.4		42.2 43.5	7.4 10.0		.0	54.2 58.5		.0	16.6 15.4	100 100	
RICHMOND	1988 1985	57.6 46.1	HA NA	•	3.8 8.2	27.9 23.2		12.4 11.2	.2		25.2 31.2	9.7 10.5		2.2	26.3 35.7		.3 1.1	36.8 29.2	100 100	
ROCKLAND	1984 1985	34.0 24.8	HA NA		8.3 2.6	14.3 12.4		29.5 37.6	3.5 2.8		27.9 34.8	7.8 7.1		.3	88.4 70.5		.0	8.1 7.2	100 100	
ST. LAWRENCE	1985 1985	50.0 25.0	NA NA	• -	.0	6.6		50.0 50.0	1.3		.0.	4.6		.0 25.0	62.7 61.5		.0	24.8 26.9	100	
SARATOGA	1984 1985	25.5 29.8	na na		20.4 28.6	11.8 8.9		28.6 18.1	.4		24.5 25.5	4.9 4.8		- 1.0	73,3		.0	9.6 8.7	100 100	
SCHENECTADY	1984 1985	37.4 25.9	на На		11.6 12.7	17.8 19.8		23.9 13.3	. 1.9		31.2 45.4	9.9		.7 1.2	58.B 60.9		0. 4.	11.8	100 100	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	14.7 42.9	NA NA		17.4 10.7	9.0 3.5		55.9 35.7	2.7 1.3		11.B 10.7	6.6 4.8		.0	73.4 78.8		.0	8.4 11.6	100 100	_
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	35.0 71.4	NA NA		.0 14.3	7.8 7.4		45.0 57.1	4.4 2.0		20.0 7.1	17.2 21.9		.0	57.4 53.4		.0	11.3 9.3	100 100	
SENECA.	1986 1995	25.0 13.0	NA NA		16.7 13.0	8.4 11.1		31.3 60.9	1.6		22.9 13.0	4.5 7.8		2.1	55.2 58.1		2.1	30.3 22.6	100 100	
STEUBER	1986 1985	13.6 23.2	- HA Na		11.1 19.8	11.1 10.0		38.3 40.6	.8		34.6 15.9	7.0 10.0		2.5	66.9 60.2		.0 1.4	14.1 18.8	100 100	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	29.3 30.8	HA HA		10.2 12.0	11.2 9.4		25.9 25.8	1.4 1.8	•	24.5 21.9	11.4		4.7 4.8	53.1 63.9		5.5 4.6	22.9 12.9	100 100	

SENIENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

		State Fr		••••••	Local		Local and Pr	obation		Prob		Fine		Ott			otal
County	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Cony	Felony Conv	Ausd & Lesser Conv			Misd & Lesser Conv	Felany Canv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd \$
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	25.0 31.7	na Na		14.2	24.4 20.6	21.3 23.9	1.8 1.6		36.0 30.3	10.4 11.9	1.5 1.4	49.6 52.6	.0	13.8 13.2	100.0 109.0	
TIOGA	1985 1985	23.0 20.4	NA NA		4.9 14.3	9.0 7.6	9.8 24.5	1.2		60.7 34.7	7.1 7.2	1.6 4.1	64.5 67.6	.0 2.0	18.2 14.9	160.0 109.0	
TOMPKINS	1984 1965	25.9 27.5	NA NA		5. å 6. 9	6.1 7.9	5.6 13.7	.6 1.0		51.9 46.1	5.2 10.4	5.6	84.0 54.0	5.6 5.9	22.1 26.7	100.0 100.0	
ULSTER	1984 1985	30,1 26,4	AA NA		13.7 10.2	14.4 15.1	19.6 17.8	.9		34.6 44.7	9.0 5.6	1.3 .5	61.7 66.7	.3	11.9	100.0 109.0	
NARREN I I I I I I I	1986 1985	33.3 18.2	na Na		18.2 30.3	14.7 13.5	15.2 27.3	.4		33.3 24.2	5.8 5.7	.0	63.1 61.9	 .0	14.3 17.9	100.0 100.0	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	35.3 4.2	HA HA		23.5 43.8	12.1 9.3	14.7 12.5	2.5 2.4		26.5 39.6	7.2 3.3	.0	61.1 69.9	.0	17.0 15.1	100.0 100.0	
HAYNE	1985 1985	12.6 13.7	NA Na		18.1 17.1	12.9 9.7	26.0 24.8	.7		3B.6 41.9	4.5 9.0	4.7 1.7	59.0 58.6	.0	21.0 22.1	100.0 100,0	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1965	31.9 40.2	NA - NA		9.7 5.2	26.6 19.9	25.4 17.2	1.7 1.8		32.5 36.8	11.3	.5 .4	48.4 56.7	.1	11.7 11.7	100.0 100.0	
MAONINE_	1986 1985	13.3 36.3	NA Ha		20.0 15.2	6.0 7.4	26.7 24.2	3.0 4.9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	40.0 27.3	19.2 18.5	2.0 0.	63.5 64.2	.0	8.4 4.9	100.0 100.0	
YATES	1986 1985	17.1 23.1	KA Na		11.4	9.9 13.3	42.9 25.6	2.5 2.9		20.6 35.9	9.1 2.9	.0 2.6	46.3 44.8	.0	32.2 36.2	100.0 100.0	
NEW YORK STA	ATE1986 1785	43.4 41.8	NA IVA		13.3 13.9	36.6 34.0	17.2 15.6	1.1	•	24.4 26.6	6.9 7.2	.B	33.5 36.0	1.0 1.1	21.9 21.5	100.0 100.0	
HEN YORK CI	1984 1995	48.9 47.2	NA NA		13.7 14.8	53.1 49.7	13.7 11.4	.4		22.6 25.0	3.4	.5 .7	18.3 20.7	.7 .9	24.6 25.1	100.0 100.0	

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

							INVESTIE	SATIONS	<u> </u>			PRE-L	15P0511101	SUPERVI:	SION CASE	RECEIVED	1
		INTAK			Total		Family (Criminal			Tol		Family (Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	441.1 456.2	49		1,159.5 1,084.7	9	194.5 205.4	18	943.0 878.2	8 .		218.1 114.6	11	15.2 20.8	23 21	202.9 94.1	17 17
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,196.0 903.7	20 32		475.2 396.8	56 58	108.9 70.7	54 54	366.3 326.1	54 54				.0	44	.0	42 42
BRONI	1986 1985	523.5 643.2	45 42		637.8 602.7	41 42	91.3 88.7	50 50	546.5 514.0	32 33		106.4 104.0		104.6 100.8	5 5	1.8 3.2	35 35
BROOME	1986 1985	1,364.3	15 12		889.5 919.8	19 12	254.2 291.8	7	633.3 627.9	28 20		1.9		1.9 1.9	43 40	.0	42 42
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	272.0 364.4	59 54		582.6 479.3	46 52	171.2 169.9	24 24	411.5 309.4	50 54).0 10.5		3.5 1.2	41 42	3.5 9.4	30 30
CAYUGA	1986 1985	563.2 632.4	43 43		455.6 398.2	57 56	102.6 81.4	52 52	352.9 316.8	55 55		. û 1. 3		.0	- 44 44	.0 1.3	40 40
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	881.2 851.2	36 35		883.3 805.7	21 21	175.4 205.9	19 19	707.9 599.7	19 21		156.5 153.2		34.2 45.8	18 - 12	122.3 107.5	14 14
CHENUNG	1986 1985	2,223.2 1,996.9	3		1,230.9 1,195.8	8 7	253.0 233.7	13 13	977.9 962.0	7		70.7 132.8		3.3 2.2	42 38	67.4 130.4	10 10
CHENANGO	1986 1985	200.0 261.2	56 59		728.0 820.6	35 20	74.0 76.1	55 55	654.0 744.6	24 15		40.0 30.0		40.0 30.0	14 17	.0 .0	42 42
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,124.4 1,107.0	23 24		848. 5 925.0	27 19	315.3 447.5	2 2	533.3 377.6	34 47		6.2 [4.7		4.9 11.0	39 20	1.2	34 34
COLUNBIA	1986 1985	285.0 354.8	57 55		482.7 551.1	55 47	133.4 80.9	53 53	349.3 470.3	56 40		0.		.0	44 44	.0	42 62
CORTLAND	1986 1985	3,067.5 2,861.2	2 2		1,042.2 1,078.2	10 10	213.1 236.3	12 12	829.1 841.9	13 10		.0		.0	44 44	, 0 . 0	42 42
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,817.4 1,546.2	5 7		626.3 443.6	43 55	208.1 67.4	51 51	418.3 356.2	49 50		229.3 219.7		229.3 219.7	3 2	.0	42 42

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION NATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					INVESTI	6AT LONS			PRE-DI	ISPOSTT10	SUPERVI	SION CASE	s RECEIVED)
		INTALE .		Total	Family		Crisinal		Tota		Family (Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year 	Rate Rank		ate Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	fate	Ƙank	Rale	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,086.2 27 1,081.9 28		576.3 47 632.3 41			436.9 506.1	47 34	49.7 50.2	24 27	40.9 34.8	13 15	28.8 13.3	27 27
ERIE	1986 1985	585.6 42 550.8 48		530.0 52 580.6 45			450.1 469.8	46 41	.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	48 42
ESSEX	1986 1985	953.2 32 1,058.0 28		347.1 &1 316.2 59		46	259.0 214.5	61 60	13.8 16.5	42 40	11.0 13.8	30 23	2.8	37 37
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	1,655.3 7 1,705.8 5		470. 3 4	258.0 244.3	11	1,212.3 1,194.3	3 3	52.5 45.7	29 30	13.7 4.6	25 35	38.8 41.1	22 22
FÜLTON	1984	382.8 51 296.0 57		571.4 50 549.7 39		28 28	340.7 483.7	57 38	12.8 16.2	43 41	12.8 16.2	26 22	.0	42 42
GENESEE	1986 1985	1,197.3 19 1,436.0 9		275.5 7 136.3 8	202.4 171.0	25 25	1,073.1 945.2	4 5	226.2 164.3	10 12	13.4 5.1	26 33	212.6 159.2	9
GREENE	1986 1985	1,311.2 17 1,138.0 23		420.4 59 294.1 61		04 04	334.9 243.5	59 58	235.2 4.8	. B 49	4.8 4.8	40 34	230.4	42 42
HANILTON	1986 1985	20.0 62 20.1 62		360.0 60 140.4 62		62 62	340.0 120.4	58 62	.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
HERKINER	1986 1985	191.3 61 173.3 61		683.1 38 184.2 50		10 10	381.2 239.1	53 59	34.4 6.0	37 48	6.0 3.0	34 37	28.4 3.0	36 36
JEFFERSON	1985 1985	1,985.7 1,793.8		856.5 26 746.2 28		57 57	788.1 683.0	15 18	.0 .0	51 53	.0 .0	44	.0	42 42
KINGS	1984 1985	443.6 48 668.6 44		546.8 51 521.7 48		58 58	476.4 459.8	41 43	82.6 68.5	19 25	78.4 62.5	7 8	4.2 6.0	32 32
LEWIS	1984 1985	561.3 44 556.3 45		628.5 42 480.8 51		39 39	466.4 357.6	44 49	55.3 123.2	28 15	7.9 7.9	30 30	47.4 115.2	12 12
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	911.3 33 756.1 36		762.8 31 764.6 26		27 27	619.5 598.3	27 22	47.8 25.7	38 30	47.B 24.ŭ	10 20	.0 1.7	39 39

TABLE 7A-1 PRODATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISFOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							INVESTIGA	TIONS				PRE-D	ISPOSITION	SUPERVI	SION CASES	RECEIVED	
		intal	E 1		Tota		Family Co	urt	Criminal	Court		Tot	 al	Family (Court	Criainal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HADISON	1986 1985	1,439.9 1,347.0	10		807.8 674.2	30 35	151.7 131.8	35 35	656.2 542.4	22 28		60.1 64.4	26 26	.0 - 1.5	44 41	60.1 62.9	19
HONROE	1984 1985	710.B 651.7	39 41		647.9 594.9	40 43	117.7 130.8	36 36	530.2 464.1	35 42		37.3 38.2	34 33	35.7 38.2	17 14	1.6	42 42 -
NONTGONERY	1966 1985	335.9 297.0	53 56		71 7.9 580.7	36 44	255.3 211.3	17 17	462.6 369.3	45 48		5.0 13.3	47 43	5.8 13.3	35 24	.0 .0	42 42
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,412.7 1,414.1	11 10		1,038.9 1,071.9	12 11	160.B 177.6	23 23	878.0 894.3	11 7		316.6 269.3	.4	5.2 6.2	37 32	311.4 263.1	3
NEW YORK	1986 1985	279.8 402.0	58 53		950.4 831.5	15 16	48.6 49.7	61 61	901.8 781.9	10 11		78.3 99.3	20 20	47.3 53.0	11.	31.1 46.3	21 21
HIAGARA	1986 1985	724.3 962.2	30 38		616.4 667.5	44 36	134.5 147.3	31 31	479.9 520.1	39 32		.0	51 53	.0 .0	44 44	.0	42 - 42
DNEIDA	1986 1985	725.6 727.9	37 37		900,2 742.2	18 29	203.2 163.9	29 29	697.0 578.3	20 27		70.8 29.1	22 36	5.6 2.0	36 39	65.2 27.1	24 24
OKOHDAGA	1986 1995	988.8 1,246.0	30 18		1,648.5 1,450.6	3	203.8 229.7	14 14	1,444.7	2 2		241.6 241.8	. 7	95.4 116.9	4	146.2 124.9	11 11
ONTARIO	1986 1985	506.5 726.3	47 38		885.0 798.8	20 22	104.1 110.4	43 43	780.9 688.4	1& 17		5.4 10.8	48 45	.0	44 44	5.4 19.8	28 28
ORANGE	1986 1985	1,040.5 1,326.8	28 14		696.8 691.7	37 33	85.4 111.0	41 41	611.3 580.8	28 26		117.9 110.6	16 18	.0 .0	. 44 44	117.9	13 13
DRLEANS	1986 1965	1,095.1 1,093.5	25 25		827.8 666.B	29 37	82.3 76.6	54 54	745.5 590.2	. 18 23		213.4 115.0	12 16	5.1 10.2	38 29	208.2 104.8	16
OSNEGO	1986 1985	591.1 551.5	41 47		1,320.2 1,359.8	8 5	278.4 252.6	9	1,041.8 1,107.1	5 4		575.3 183.0	1 . 11	382.1 .0	l 44	193.1 183.0	.
DTSE60	1986 1985	907.1 683.9	34 39		432.4 449.7	58 54	128.4 153.3	30 30	304.1 296.5	60 57		.0 203.8	51 9	.0 .û	44 44	.0 203.8	5 5

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 PDPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		INVESTIGATIONS							PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED	!				
			INTAKE • Total Family Court Criminal Cour					Court		Tota		Family C		Criminal				
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	ĥate	Rank	Rate	Kank
PUTHAN	1986 1985	963.2 882,6	31 31			574.8 496.9	48	78.4 96.4	47	496.3 400.5	38 46		29.4 38.3	38 32	29.4 38.3	19 13	.0	42 42
QUEENS	1986 1985	335.9 446.1	54 52			492. 5 397.4	54 57	65.0 53.3	59 59	427.5 344.0	48 52		74.0 76.9	21 23	68. 9 69.8	· 8 7	5. i 7. i	31 31
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,239.9 1,648.5	18 29			740.7 725.5	21 25	226.1 189.6	21 21	534.6 536.5	- 33 29		88.3 74.7	18 24	3.3	44 36	88.3 71.4	18 18
RICHNOND	1986 1985	338.0 553.1	52 46			315.5 311.9	62 60	110.5 104.0	45 45	205.0 207.8	62 61		16.6 29.1	40 37	16.6 28.1	22 19	.0 .0	42 42
ROCKLAND	1984 1985	638.2 677.1	40			675.1 639.4	39 40	105.1 112.4	40 40	569.0 527.1	30 31		360.7 416.6	2	232.4 240.9	2 1	128.2 175.7	8
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,719.9	- 6 11			1,039.0 871.2	1J 14	132.1 96.8	49 49	906.9 780.3	. 9 12		195.9 191.3	13 10	10.6 13.2	21 25	177.3 178.1	7
SARATOGA	1986 1985	897.0 858.3	35 34			732.5 707.3	34 32	255.3 213.5	15 15	477.1 493.8	40° - 36		55.5 84.8	27 21	13.4 35.9	27 16	42.0 48.9	20 20
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,352.9 1,238.5	16 21			860.9 765.0	25 25	. 182.4 177.3	24 24	678.4 587.7	- 21 24		273.4 231.8	5 7	12.4 11.3	29 27	261.0 220.5	4 -
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	291.9 275.2	55 58			610.7 476.5	45 53	140.9 137.6	33 33	469.0 338.9	43 53		3,4 10.1	49 47	.0	44 44	3.4 10.1	29 29
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	4,092.0 3,460.7	1 1			1,362.1 1,227.8	5 6	354.3 371.2	5 5	1,005.7 856.6	. 9		11.5 11.4	44 44	.0 11.4	44 26	11.5	42 42
SENECA	1986 1985	1,021.B 1,242.2	29 20			878.5 729.4	23 30	376.9 299.1	6	501.6 430.3	38 44		183.8 280.8	14	9.3 .0	31 44	174.5 280.8	2 2
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,091.8 1,062.2	26 27			922.6 780.8	17 23	267.3 196.2	20 20	655.3 584.5	23 25		247.7 263.0	- 6 5	156.9 156.2	4 3	90.B 106.8	15 15
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,170.8 1,299.1	21 17			2,105.6 2,396.4	i 1	129.3 126.2	38 38	1,974.3	<u>1</u>		44.4 50.6	31 28	44.4 50.0	12 10	.0	42 42

							INVESTIC	AT LONS				PRE-DI	SPOS I T LOI	SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED)
		INTAKE			Tota		Family (Criainal	Court	•	Tota		Family C	our t	Criminal	
COUNTY	ïear 		ank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		24 31		1,033.5	13 15	165.2 94.7	48 48	867.3 775.1	12 13		.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
TIOGA	1984 1985	•	12 16		845.1 859.5	28 17	345.1 430.7	3 ,	500.0 428.8	37 45		35.3 43.7	36 31	23.5 29.8	20 18	11.8 13.9	26 26
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		46 49		1,006.8 88B.3	14 13	245.4 140.7	32 32	761.4 747.6	17 14		332.2 331.3	3 2	6.8 1.1	33 _. 43	325.3 330.1	- 1 1
ULSTER	1986 1985	•	14 22		930.0 774.2	16 24	124.7 105.4	44	803.3 868.6	14 19		23.1 33.0	39 34	.0	44	23.1 33.0	23 23
WARREN	1986 1985	1,538.7 1,450.4	8 8		868.5 668.1	24 16	39 6.4 384.6	4	472.1 483.5	42 39		36.0 48.5	35 29	36.0 46.7	16 11	.0 1.B	38 38
WASHINGTON	1984 1985		22 15		572.9 569.1	49 46	184.5 213.2	16 16	388.4 355.9	51 51		14.1	41 53	14.1	24 44	.0	42 42
HAYHE	1984 1985	1,517.0 1,594.5	8		881.8 753.8	22 27	295.5 258.1	8	586.4 495.7	29 35		.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985		13 19		1,494.4 1,608.9	3 2	843.5 895.1	1	651.0 713.7	25 16		86.6 84.3	25 22	66.6 84.1	9	.0	41 41
HACHING	1986 1965		60 60		492.6 661.3	53 38	110.3 132.8	34 34	382.4 528.6	52 30		39.2 22.1	33 39	36.8 7.4	15 31	2.5 14.8	25 25
YATES	1986 1985		50 51		754.7 674.9	33 34	198.1 181.5	22 22	556.6 493.3	31 37		.0 4.7	51 50	.0	44	.0 4.7	33 33
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985		NA NA		878.6 863.4	na Na	155.0 156.4	NA - NA	723.5 707.0	HA HA		101.0 94.9	NA NA	49.B 47.9	на На	51.2 47.1	NA NA
NEW ADUK CITA	1986 1985		NA NA	٠	617.6 554.2	NA NA	70.1 63.7	na Na	547.6 490.5	na Na		80.0 80.8	NA NA	70.7 67.0	KA NA	7.3 13.8	. NA NA

^{*} Intake occurs only in family court.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

	· : <u>.</u> .		FROORT	ON SUPERVIS	SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED					ION SUPERVE		ING THE YEAR		UNDEI	R PROBATI	CA ON SUPERV	RO NOTELY	DECEMBER	31
		l'ot :		Family (Criainal		Tot		Family		Criminal		Tota		Family C		Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBAHY	1984 1985	420.3 387.2	3 4	71.6 50.4	13 26	348.4 334.8	3	1,267.0 1,131.5	4 5	132.3 88.1	28 13	1,135.5 1,043.5	4 6	908.4 846.2	4 5	43.2 60.6	14 17	845.4 765.6	5
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	273.3 169.0	29 54	51.5 29.5	27 48	221.8 139.5	31 53	651.5 528.5	50 57	73.3 50.9	42 48	578.2 469.5	48 55	483.2 375.2	45 55	37.6 21.6	41. 54	445.5 353.6	46 53
BRONK	1986 1985	322.å 300.7	12 16	22.1 20.4	58 55	300.4 280.4	7	1,049.4 995.4	8	42.9 45.4	60 57	1,028.5 950.0	8	018.4 752.9	7	23.2 21.0	54 55	795.2 731.8	7
BROOME	1984 1985-	293.6 292.3	20 18	50.3 57.9	30 18	243.4 234.4	19 21	951.4 916.0	14 10	107.2 125.2	23 11	844.4 790.8	14 15	700.2 657.1	15 14	41.2 60.7	17 15	639.0 596.4	17 15
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	216.9 166.3	50 48	45.7 43.4	34 33	171.2 143.0	52 51	568.6 486.3	59 58	92.6 72.7	39 30	476.0 413.7	57 59	405.4 351.4	58 57	49.2 46.9	30 30	356.4 304.7	57 59
CAYUGA	1984 1985	137.7 146.5	61 58	26.3 26.3	55 50	111.4 120.2	61 58	404.8 404.5	62 62	50.1 55.1	57 52	356.7 349.4	62 62	284.6 269.2	62 62	17.5 23.8	57 50	269.1 245.4	62 61
CHAUTAURUA	1984 1985	277.4 275.3	28 27	43.3 54.1	38 22	234.1 221.2	22 28	761.0 695.0	36 40	98.5 102.6	29 22	662.5 582.4	34 44	529.0 479.8	39 38	53.8 54.8	24 21	475.2 425.0	37 42
CHENUNG	1985 1985	418.9 386.5	4 5	103.9 1ú8.7	2	314.9 271.8	5 10	1,404.4 1,292.5	2	223.2 191.3	2 2	1,181.2 1,101.2	3	1,048.6 969.6	2	119.3 117.4	2 2	929.3 852.2	2 4
CHENANGO	1986 1985	350.0 320.2	7 11	92.0 44.0	31	258.0 276.2	16	842.0 718.5	29 34	126.0 86.1	17 29	716.0 632.5	29 32 -	528.0 492.4	39 37	54.0 34.0	21 39	472.0 458.3	40.* 33
CLINTON	1984 1985	259.9 185.1	36 49	22.2 33.1	5) 44	237.7 152.0	20 49	063.3 755.2	25 30	57.9 77.2	51 36	805.4 677.9	19 27	703.2 600.7	14 23	20.9 35.6	55 37	402.3 565.2	10 19
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	248.8 260.7	43 30	64.3 54.5	17 21	184.5 204.3	45 31	764.4 704.6	35 35	126.9 108.9	15 19	637.6 595.7	40 39	561.8 510.1	34 - 32	72.5 64.4	12	489.3 453.8	36 35
CDRTLAND	1984 1985	284.9 293.3	22 17	57.0 50.6	23 24	230.0 242.7	23 18	890.3 877.8	20 14	101.3 84.4	27 30	789.0 793.4	21 14	628.7 603.5	22 21	44.3 44.3	34 32	584.4 559.2	20 20
DELAWARE	1984 1985	191.1 183.4	56 50	38.2 27.7	43 49	152.9 155.7	55 47	588.1 563.0	56 54	61.6 55.5	48 51	526.5 507.6	54 51	437.4 398.8	54 52	31.8 23.5	45 51	405.5 375.4	51 50

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			PRODAT		SION CAS	ES RECEIVED	l 						ING THE YEAR	· t .	UNDE	R PROBATI	ION SUPERI	ISES Islan on	DECEMBER	(31
		Tota		Family		Criminal			Tota	l	Family (ourt	Criminal	Court	lot		Family (Criminal	
COUNTY	 Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	236.8 284.2	48 21	42.1 50. <i>8</i>		194.7 233.6	. 41 23		808.8 807.5	30 24	88.8 115.4	18 33	720.0 691.9	20 25	588.4 571.5		50.2 42.7	28 35	538.2 528.8	27 23
ERIE	1984 1985	278.4 276.0	25 25	51.3 44.3		227.3 231.7	26 24		723.2 670.5	- 41 ° 43	100.5 B1.0	28 32	622.7 589.5	43 42	435.7 443.1	55 48	52.8 50.4	25 26	302.9 392.6	53 48
ESSEI	1984 1985	159.8 140.2	59 59	33.1 30.2		128.7 110.0	59 60		506.9 475.7	59 59	55.1 49.5	52 55	451.8 426.2	58 58	371.9 346.5		27.5 22.0	49 53	344.4 324.5	- 50 55
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	326.5 317.4	11 12	73.1 54.8		253.4 262.6	17 12		945.2 874.6	15 15	118.7 121.0	20 17	826.5 753.5	17 18	692. 6 628.0	17 18	47.9 54.8	31 20	634.7 573.2	18 18
FULTON	1986 1985	260.1 301.4	35 15	42.1 34.3		217.9 267.1	33 11		934.1 840.8	16	69.6 46.9	44 56	864.5 813.9	12 11	670.3 664.1	18 13	29.3 27.1	47 47	641.0 637.1	16 13
6ENESEE	1984 1985	394.6 425.0	5 2	59.5 67.7		335.0 357.3	4 2		1,331.6 1,227.7	3	120.7 93.1	19 26	1,210.9 1,134.6	2	979.6 941.5	3	62.9 69.4	15 9	918.7 872.1	3 2
GREENE	1986 1985	249.4 137.4	42 60	57.0 36.2		192.4 161.3	42 61	- · -	622.3 576.2	51 52	71.3 72.3	43 41	551.1 503.9	51 52	415.7 378.5	57 54	42.8 14.5	36 62	372.9 354.1	54 51
HANILTON	1984 1985	320.0 120.4	13 62	20.0 20.1		300.0 100.3	8 62		600.0 682.0	55 41	60.0 80.2	50 34	540.0 601.8	53 37	460.0 280.8	49 61	20.0 40.1	56 36	440.0 240.7	4B 62
HERKINER	1984 - 1985	174.9 165.9	58 55	45.6 30.5		109.1 127.0	62 56		578.5 567.9	57 53	159.9 134.5	5 9	418.5 433.4	60 56	451.4 403.5		125.6 94.1	1 4	325.9 309.3	60 57
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	333.3 317.2	10	71.7 73.4		261.6 243.0	14 17		870.9 847.8	23 20	136.9 124.2	11 12	734.0 723.6	26 22	577.3 549.8	29 29	56.3 66.6	20 11	521.0 483.2	28 29
KINGS	1986 1985	246.7 247.4	44 35	27.4 19.8		219.3 227.6	32 25		977.3 910.7	11 12	46.6	58 59	930.8 970.8	10 10	744.1 734.6	9 10	24.3 20.1	52 58	719.7 714.5	8
LEWIS	1985 1985	213.4 202.7	51 15	39.5 43.7		173.9 159.0	51 45		691.7 786.8	46 27	192.8 155.0	28 5	500.9 631.8	47 33	502.0 480.8	42 38	47.4 63.6	32 14	454.5 417.2	44 45
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	353.2 282.9	22	56.3 24.0		294.9 258.9	13		1,063.1 970.4	9	78.5 54.6	20 20	984.4 913.8	9	723.5 709.8		54.6 18.9	23 59	6,093 6,093	. 14 10-

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED

CASES

UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION DURING THE YEAR .

CASES

DECEMBER 31

UNDER PROBATION SUFERVISION ON

TABLE 74-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					SION CAS	ES RECEIVED	·)		UNDE	R PROBAT	C IION SUPERVI		ING THE YEAR	? •		UNDE	R PROBATI	C ON SUPER	ASES VISION ON	DECEMBER	t 31
		lot		Family	Court	Criminal			Tot		Family	Cour t	Crisinal			Tot		Family (Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAN	1986 1985	259.8 249.7	37 34	34.3 58.1	47 17	225 .5 191.6	29 35		731.6 644.0	39 47	77.2 105.1	41 21	654.4 539.0	36 49		555.1 475.9		41.7 43.3	33 38	513.5 432.7	32 39
QUEENS	1984 1985	191.7 172.0	55 53	15.6 17.0	14 04	176.1 155.0	48 48		613.1 532.5	53 56	39.0 38.5	61 60	573.3 - 494.0	- 53		464.8 419.2		15.7 24.1	59 49	. 449.2 395.2	45 47
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	270.9 330.4	30 9	84.4 74.0	. 6 5	186.6 256.4	44 14 -		1,009.2 915.1	10 11	156.9 121.6	å 15	852.3 793.5	13 13		750.8 740.0		86.4 72.7	7 9	664.5 667.3	15 11
RICHNOND	1986 1965	140.7 136.9	.60 .61	25.1 24.3	56 52	115.6 112.6	60 59		472.2 440.3	61	43.2 33.4	59 62	429.0 406.8	59 60		359.0 332.2		16.6 18.2	58 60	342.5 314.0	59 56
ROCKLAND	1984 1985	268.9 339.0	32 8	91.8 125.9	- 1	177.1 213.0	47 29		850.3 847.9	27 19	228.8 237.9		623.5 610.0	42 38		547.9 582.9		97.8 135.4	1.	470.1 447.5	41 37
ST. LAWRENCE	1984 1985	186.2 156.1	57 57	37.2 16.8	45 81	148.9 139.3	57 54		60B.2 589.9	54 50	54.1 43.2	54 58	554.1 546.7	50 47		477.8 419.7		37.2 16.8	43 61	440.6 403.0	47 46
SARATOGA	1984 1985	204.8 173.3	53 52	52.4 35.9	26 41	152.3 137.4	56 55		489.3 414.6	60 61	85.3 53.2	36 54	404.0 361.4	61 61		361.4 289.0		54.8 33.4	22 42	304.5 255.4	14 04
SCHENECTADY	1984 1985	273.4 266.3	28 28	44.5 59.8	37 13	229.0 206.5	30 24		851.5 932.1	26 22	103.9 141.4	24 6	74 7.7 690.6	25 26 ·	-	597.9 574.4		52.6 59.1	26 18	545.3 517.3	26 24
SCHOHARIE	1985 -1985	255.0 177.9	41 51	26.8 20.1	54 55	228.2 157.7	25 46	•	714.0 630.9	44 _ 49	60.4 53.7	49 53	654.4 577.2	37 45		523.5 459.7	40 43	23.5 33.6	53 41	500.0 426.2	34 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	465.5 465.4	2 1	74.7 62.0	11	390.8 422.4	1		1,206.9 1,170.7	5	109.2 79.9	21 35	1,097.7 1,090.7	5		885.1 738.7	9	57.5 31.3	38 19	827.4 702.4	- 5
SENECA	1986 1985	246.1 192.3		71.7 51.9	12 23	174.5 140.4	49 52		704.0 702.0		177.4 180.1	3	526.5 521.9	55 50		439.3 448.6	46	71.7 103.8	3	367.6 344.9	55 54
STEUDEN	1984 1985	234.3		53.7 32.9		180.6 168.5	46		690.4 669.8	47	87.7 76.0	35 37	602.7 593.8	45 40		478.8 454.1	44	41.3 33.9	39 40	437.6 420.2	49 43
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	348.5 - 316.3	14	74.5 66.2	9 10	274.0 250.1	12 16		955.9 895.8	13	150.0 139.1	7	805.1 757.7	20 14		662.3 666.9		90.1 76.3	5 6	572.3 530.6	22 22

the total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on Becember 31) plus those received during the year.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one 111 in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 76 FROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

D.C.	Inc	AT	1	

						 NEYULAT					
		-		-		 		Criminal	Court	i .	
		Tota	ili .	Facily (Courter	To	al	Techni	cal	lien (Conv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Aate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	84.3 60.7	5 11	64.0 64.0	- 32 30	86.7 60.4	2 8	57.8 44.6	3 5	28.9 15.9	15 31
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	21.3 18.6	57 57	54.1 - 66.7	36 29	17.1 12.6	60 - 59	.13.7 8.4	49 51	3.4 4.2	57 -56
BRONX	1984 1985	80.9 75.3	5	37.1 89.2	44 20	82.7 74.7	4 5	65.1 65.4	2	17.5 9.2	30 47
BROONE	1986 - 1985	26.4 43.7	51 34	39.8 63.9	40 32	24.7 40.5	52 33	15.2 18.5	45 36	9.6 22.0	44 21
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	28.9 9.6	.49 <u>.</u> 61	25.3 16.1	52 51	29.6 8.5	47 61	12.3	51 59	17.2 8.5	31 50
CAYUGA	1986 1985	89.2 99.1	1	 100.0 &B.2	17 27	87.7 103.9	1 2	28.1 28.7	20 22	59.6 75.3	2
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	40.4 48.6	41 25	35.5 74.3	46 26	41.1 44.0	36 26	26.4 21.4	22 29	14.8 22.6	35 20
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	56.6 55.5	19 15	118.8 113.6	10 13	44.9 45.4	32 23	36.5 37.5	15 12	8.4 7.9	47 53
CHENANGO	1984 1985	87 9 55./	2 14	95.2 46.5	20 41	86.6 57.0	10	55.9 44.3	4	30.7 12.7	11 40
CLINTON	1986 1985	20.0 22.7	59 56	21.3 43.5	54 33	19.9 10.1	57 56	12.2 16.3	53 42	7.6 1.0	51 59
COLUMBIA	1986 1965	58.2 49.2	17 24	77.9 75.8	25 25	54.3 44.3	17 25	54.3 33.2	5 17	.0 11.1	59 43

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 76 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

D	C11	ഹ	TI	UNC

								Criminal	Courtes	•	
		Tota	ıl i	Family (Courtee		otal	Techni	cal	New C	Солу
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	49.8 55.3	27 16	104.2 125.0	15 8	42. 47.		26.7 29.3	21 21	16.0 18.6	34 27
DELAWARE	1986 1985	25.3 15.2	54 59	0.94 0.	30 54	20. 16.		12.1	54 56	8.1 12.6	50 41
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	39.0 42.2	- 43 38	74.6 155.9	27 3	34. 23.		20.7 14.2	-19 47	5.9 9.1	54 49
ERIE	1984 1985	41.7 52.2	37 19	133.0 87.7	5 21	27. 47.		21. i 33. 4	31 16	5.8 14.0	55 36
ESSEX	1986 1985	27.2 23.1	50 54	.0	59 14	30. 12.		24.4 .0	28 59	6.1 12.9	53 38
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	41.1 28.7	39 50	38.5 .0	42 54	41. 33.		24.9 15.2	27 45	16.6	33 29
FULTOR	1786 1785	54.9 50.3	22 21	105.3 76.9	13 24	50. 48.		8.5 2.2	57 58	42.4 46.6	4 2
GENESEE	1984 1985	44.7 42.8	35 35	140.8 36.4	44	35. 43.		22.5 28.4	30 23	12.6 14.9	37 33
GREENE	1986 1985	64.9 62.8	13 9	33.3 200.0	49	43.		47.4 14.4	7 46	21.6 28.7	25 11
HAMILTON	1986 1985	.0	62 62	.0	59 54		0 62 0 62	 .0	61 59	.0	59 60
HERKIHER	1986 1985	20.7 28.9	58 49	1B.7	56 54	21. 37.		10.7 37.9	54 10	10.7 .0	42 60

(Continued on next page.)

REVOCATIONS

											Criminal	Courtes		
				Tot	al i		Facily (Courtee	lo	tal	Techni	cal	Neu C	Conv
COUNTY		Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
	-													~
JEFFERSON		1988		48.2	29		48.4	.37	48.1	25	18.0	39	30.1	13
		1985		47.3			109.1	15	39.0	34	25.0	27	14.0	35
KINGS		1986		51.7	26		12.2	58	53.6	18	13.0	50	40.7	6
		1985		36.9			13.2	52	38.0	37	7.3	52	30.6	9
LEWIS		1986		22.9	56		38.5	42	20,1	56	20.1	35	.0	59
		1985		35.4	45		25.6	4 B	37.7	40	25.2	26	12.6	42
LIVINGSTON		1986		80.3	8		130.4	6	76.3	8	15.6	43	60.7	1
		1985		53.0	18		121.2	10	48.6	16	16.9	41 -	31.9	
MADISON	- '.	1986		24.9	. 55		57.1	34	22.5	53	14.3	47	8.2	48
		1985		56.8	13		102.6	lò -	52.5	14	33.4	15	19.1	25
MONROE		1986		31.7	46		39.9	38	30.9	43	16.9	42	14.0	36
		1985		29.7	48		56.6	36	27.1	51	17.3	. 39	9.8	46
MONTGONERY		1986		86.3	3	,	197.0	1	64.0	12	15.2	44	48.8	3
		1985		45.6	27		122.8	. 9	32.5	44	6.5	53	25.0	15
HASSAU		1986		31.2			34.3	48	30.9	44	12.3	52	18.6	29
		1985		45.8	29		53.7	38	45.0	24	20.0	33	24.9	16
NEW YORK		1986		. 51.9			39.9	38	52.4	20	13.8	46	38.6	8
		1985		62.2	10		35.5	45	63.4	7	19.4	35	44,6	3
NIAGARA		1936		54.1	23		125.8	7	46.5	28	17.6	- 41	28.9	16
		1985		45.9	28		125.9	7	37.4	41	15.6	43	21.8	22
ONEIDA		1986		59.9	15		192.7	. 2	45.0	31	18.7	37	26.3	17
		1985	-	54.0	17		126.4	6	46.1	21	19.8	34	26.4	14

TABLE 78 PRODATION REVOCATIONS FER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 . - Continued

REVOCATIONS

				 		-	HEVULAT	บทว				
					-				Criminal	Courtes	ę.	
		Tata	1] #	Family (Courtes		Tol	ál	Techni	cal	New C	ony
COURTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		ƙale	Rank	Rale	Rank	Rate	Rank
DNONDAGA	1984 1985	80.8 80.7	7	118.2 100.8	11 17		76.4 78.3	7 .	75.5 75.3	1 2	.8 3.1	58 57
ONTARIO	1986 1985	84.7 86.3	3	101.0 94.1	16 19		82.2 85.1	5	41.9 43.4	13	40.3 41.7	. 7 4
DRANGE	1986 1985	71.8 42.5	11 36	91.2 35.2			48.5 43.7	11 27	44.0 34.6	12 14	24.5 9.1	21 48
ORLEANS	1986 1985	45.8 36.3	33 44	 125.0 .0	8 54		38.2 38.7	3B 3&	26.3 31.5	24 18	11.9	40 54
OSKEGO	1984 1985	40.8 23.9	40 53	13.0 12.2	57 53		46.2 26.6	29 52	33.7 10.2	17 37	12:5 B.4	38 51
015860	1984 1985	25.4 27.6	53 51	.0			27.5 30.2	50 47	2.8 11.0	60 49	24.9 19.2	19 24
PUTNAK	1986 1985	48.6 40.3	28 39	63.5 47.1	33 40		46.B 39.0	27 35	20.6 20.6	33 32	26.2 18.3	18 28
QUEENS	1986 1985	46.5 37.9	31 42	32.7 38.9	50 43		47.4 37.8	26 39	6.3 4.6	58 55 -	41.1 33.2	5 7
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	44.4 18.1	36 58	92.4 59.8	21 35		35.6 11.7	40 60	26.3 9.2	23 50	7,3 2.5	45 58
RICHMOND	1985 1985	46.4 51.6	32 20	80.2 64.0	24 30		42.9 50.6	33 15	11.2	55 30	31.7 29.6	9 10
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	46.9 44.5	30 32	24.9 17.4	53 50		54.9 55.0	16 13	35.0 40.8	16	19.9 14.2	27 34

TABLE 78 PROPATION REVOCATIONS FER 1000 PRODATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1985 4 - Continued

REVOCATIONS

						 ML TOURT					
								Criminal	Court	1	
		Tota	al i	Fani	y Courte	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New (Conv
COUNTY	Year	Rete	Rank	Rat	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LANRENCE	1986 1985	26.2 34.4	52 46		.4 18 .8 42	19.2 33.9	58 42	14.4 21.0	46 31	4.8 12.9	56 38
SARATOGA	1986	77.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 8	.7 23	75.4	9	45.2	10	30.2	
	1985	74.6	. 6	110	.3 12	68.5	- 6	49.7	4	18.8	26
SCHENECTADY	1986	36.0	44		.9 26	 30.3	46	20.5	34	9.8	
	1785	45.5	31-		.4 11	30.B	46	15.4	44	15.4	32
SCHOHARIE	1986	56.3	- 20	. 51	.6 35	56.4	15	25.6	25	30.8	10
	1965	26.6	52		.0 54	29,1	48	5.0	54	23.3	19
SCHUYLER	1986	57.1	18	10	.3 13	52.4	21	52.4	. 6	.0	59
	1985	43.9	33	21	.3 1	31.4	45	26.2	- 24	5.2	55
SENECA	1986	75.2	10	7(.2 29	76.9	. 6	47.3	. 8	29.6	14
	1965	95.7	2	5	.8 39	111.1	1	76.0	1.	35.1	5
STEUBEN	1986	59.8	18	- 70	. 6 26	58.2	14	46.2	9	12.0	- 39
	1985	58.3	12	. 8	.1 23	55.4	12	41.5	8	13.8	37
SUFFOLK	1986	45.3	31	2	.2 55	50.0	24	29.0	18	21.0	26
	1985	42.3	37		.2 49	45.4	22	25.8	25	19.6	
SULLIVAN	1986	51.7	25	3	.2 41	53.0	19	44.5	11	8.5	46
	1985	49.3	22	51	.2 34	48.3	19	37.9	10	10.3	
T106A	1986	68.6	12	111	.9 12	61.5	13	38.5	14	23.1	22
	1985	47.5	26		.3 22	42.9	30	34.9	- 13	8.0	52
TOMPKINS	1986	35.1	45	12	i.0 8	27.6	49	20.7	32	6.9	52
	1985	45.7	30		.3 18	41.1	32	30.4	20	10.7	

(Continued on next page.)

E-E	บทก	'AT	I GH	c

									Criminal	Courtes	ł	
		Tota	į i		Family	Courtee	Tot	al-	Techni	cal	New C	Conv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Hate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	55.6 65.8	21 8		97.7 127.3	19 5	50.3 58.6	23 9	25.6 30.9	25 19	24.7 27.7	20 12
WARREN	1986	61.2	14	-	179.5	3	46.1	30	23.0	29	23.0	23
	1985	67.3	7		133.3	4	56.2	. 11	22.5	28	33.7	- 6
HASHINGTON	1986	28.9	48		28.0	51	29.3	48	19.3	38	11.0	- 41
	1985	39.3	41		67.4	28	28.9	49	4.1	57	24.8	17
KAYNE	1986	41.7	38		65.6	31	37.0	39	17.7	40	19.3	28
	1985	39.4	40		28.3	47	41.4	31	17.2	40	.24.1	18
HESTCHESTER	1984	40.1	42		35.5	45	40.4	37	18.8	36	21.6	24
	1985	30.1	47		54.1	37	28.1	50	12.1	48	16.0	30
HAOKIKE	1986	16.6	60		34.5		12.3	61	4.1	59	8.2	48
	1985	14.8	60		.0	54	18.2	55	18.2	37	.0	40
YATES	1986	15.5	61		.0		17.1	59	.0	61	17.1	32
	1985	23.1	54		.0	54	26.5	53	.0	59	26.5	- 13
NEWYORKSTATE	1984	50.0	NA		56.0	NA	49.5	- NA	24.6	NA	24.8	NA
	1985	47.5	HA		56.5	MA	46.6	NA	24.3	NA	22.3	NA
NEW YORK CITY	1984	56.6	NA		30.1	NA	58.0	NA	22.7	HA	35.2	NA
	1985	51.0	NA		40.6	NA	51.6	NA	22.0	HA	29.6	AK

^{*} Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

^{**} Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

^{***} Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

NOTE: Bramatic change: ...nk scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENTIENTIARY ADMISSIBHS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 #

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

							ISSIONS						•					ber 31 Popul	ation		
				Tota		Unsent	enced	Sente	nced		Repo Eapa	rity	Average Popul	at ion		Tot		Unsent		Senter	
COUNTY	<u> </u>	Yea		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY		198 198	6-	1,352.4 1,259.4	22	935.4 860.3	28	427.0 399.1	16		50.3 153.2	5 5	137.3 128.6	4		133.4 113.4	2	68.5 63.6	4	64.9 49.7	- 1 3
ALLEGANY		198 198		988.1 888.0	46 48	829.7 750.5		158.4 137.5			69.3 8.83	37 35	55.4 51.1			63.4 35.2	. 34 44	39.6 21.6	26 47	23.8 23.6	
BROOME		198 198		1,123.3	38 41	785.4 732.4		337.9 307.8	25 27		95.8 85.7	17 25	72.4 71.5			74.0 71.5	25 21	35.1 35.3	34 28	38,9 36.2	
CATTARAUGUS		198 198		1,283.7 1,238.6	26 27	963.7 958.6		320.0 260.1	28 33		89.1 89.1	22 21	73.9 57.4	27 39		77.4 72.7	20 20	55.1 32.8	8 32	22.3 39.8	
CAYUGA	-	198 198		1,249.1	30 17	851.1 940.4		398.0 517.2			77.6 77.6	28 29	67.6 62.6			47.6. 68.9	47 25	25.0 40.1	47 23	22.5 28.8	
CHAUTAUQUA		198 198		1,107.6		772.9 694.0		334.7 350.1			68.5 67.9	28 28	60.8 60.3			48.5 49.9	31 41	50.3 35.4	13 27	18.2 14.6	
CHEMUNG		198 198		1,428.7 1,190.3		941.4 799.0		407.3 391.3			101.7 100.0	13 13	100.6 88.1			103.9 108.7	6 B	47.5 45.7	18 17	56.4 63.0	
CHENANGO		198 198		1,026.0 1,142.8		522.0 662.5		504.0 480.4			66.0 66.0	42 40	56.0 56.0			44.0 34.0	. 49 55	16.0 20.0	53 51	28.0 14.0	
CLINTON		198 198		1,944.4 1,615.8		1,498.3 1,157.3		448.3 450.5			64.0 63.7	44 43	54.7 53.9	43 42		55.4 58.0	40 31	30.8 33.1	41 31	24.6 25.7	
COLUMBIA		198 198		1,426.7 1,414.1		1,049.4 596.7		377.3 417.5			92.3 95.7	20 18	77.4 89.1	24 10		72.5 75.9		36.2 42.9	35 19	36.2 33.0	
CORTLAND		196 196		1,753.2 1,698.6		1,310.1 1,299.8		443.0 398.0			67.5 67.5	40 39	78.1 78.1			86.5 111.8		27.4 80.2	45	59.1 31.7	
DELAVARE		198 198		1,023.4 819.0		687.9 569.4		335. 5 249.5			63.7 69.2	45 37	44.6 34.1	52 56		23.4 44.8	57 46	19.1 27.7	50 41	4.2 17.1	
DUTCHESS		198 198		1,005.8 933.7		834.9 762.8		171.0 170.9			48.1 4.84	39 38	61.5 56.8			52.4 58.0		39.7 42.3	25 21	12.9 15.7	

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

				Adaiss										Deceat	ier 31 Popul			
		Total		Unsentenc		Sentence	d -		Eapac Eapac	ity	Average Popula	tion	lo	al	Unsente		Sente	enced
COUNTY	Year		Rank		lank	Rate #	ank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Pate	Rank
ERIE	1986 1985	1,178.3 1,170.4	35 34	1,013.6 1,006.7	22 19	164.7 163.7	51 53	•	72.9 160.9	32 12	44.3 65.5	35 33	59. 53.		40.1 37.4	24 26	19.2 16.1	
ESSEI	1984 1985	1,038.4 750.7	42 54	776.9 539.0	41 53	261.7 211.7	39 43		68.0	41 41	- 44.1 33.0	53 57	24.i 33.i		16.5 30.2	52 36	8.3 2.8	
FRANKLIN	1988 1985	1,456.6 1,374.6	17 21	995.4 949.9	23 25	461.2 424.7	. 9 12		125. å 125. å	1	98.2 84.5	19 17	B2.		34.5 39.8	34 24	45.7 36.5	
FULTON	1984 1985	1,315.0 1,680.2	23 9	470.7 505.3	55 55	844.3 1,174.9	1		.0	57 57	78.0 84.9	22 14	47. 57.1		22.0 23.5	4B 45	25.6 31.3	
GENESEE	1984 1985	1,481.3 1,297.1	16 23	1,088.4 982.2	19 21	392.9 315.0	20 25		71.4 23.7	34 56	52.7 47.4	47 51	71. 64.		37.4 32.2	33 30	34.0 32.2	
GREENE	1986 1985	2,156.B 1,697.4	3 8	1,408.6 1,164.5	7 12	748.2 532.8	3		128.3 130.2	6	90.3 84.4	14 18	78. 60.		49.9 33.8	14 30	28.5 26.5	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	1,260.0 1,624.9	29 10.	820.0 1,103.3	37 16	440.0 521.6	12 5		80.0 80.2	2 <i>1</i> 28	60.0 40.1	40 54	40.0 120.		.0 8ŭ.2	57 5	40.0	
HERKIMER	1988 1985	1,113.6 1,134.2	39 37	852.0 840.7	31 31	261.6 273.5	40 34		53.8 53.8	49 47	47.8 58.3	49 38	43.: 38.		29.9 29.9	43 38	13.5 9.0	
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	1,217.4 1,181.9	72 72	848.8 764.3	34 39	368.7 417.7	24 13		77.3 74.5	30 31	71.7 73.4	31 25	59. 65.		29.8 31.8	44 35	29.8 33.9	
LENIS	1986 1985	632.4 906.0	56 45	482.2 766.9	54 38	150.2 139.1	56 55		90.9 91.4	21 20	39.5 43.7	54 52	51. 47.		31.6 19.9	38 53	19.8 27.8	
LIVINGSTON	1988 1985	1,665.5 1,549.9	11 15	1,196.2 1,171.0	11	469.3 378.9	8 20		95.6 96.0	18 17	105.B 89.2	7 9	92. 84.		44.4 53.1	22 12	47.8 30.9	
MADISON	1985 1985	1,225.2 1,141.7	32 36	953.5 884.0	26 30	271.8 257.7	35 34		70.6 70.4	35 33	75.1 70.4	25 28	90. 56.		52.4 28.5	11 40	37.5 28.5	
HONROE	198 6 1985	1,300.3 1,198.4	25 30	1,123.5 958.2	18 24	176.B 240.2	49 39		77.6 70.2	- 29 34	80.8 48.9	21 29	87. 79.		63.6 53.3	11	23.5 26.2	

B-8

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENTIENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

											IAIL AND	PENLTENI	lary						
				Ads	155ions			 		6		A	0.:1	 	Decesh	ier 31 Papul			
		· lo	tal	Unsent	enced	Sente	nced			Kepor Capac	ity .	Average Popula	tion	Iot	al	Unsente		Sente	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rale	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Rank	Rate	Rank
NONTGONERY	1984 1985	1,525. 1,593.		1,155.5 1,041.4		370.4 552,1	23			184.3 168.5	3 2	120.9 91.4	5 8	120.9 81.9	4 13	72.9 66.6	3	48.0 15.2	
HASSAU	1986 1985	623. 655.		459.9 464.2		163.9 190.9				72.7 64.4	33 42	86.7 82.3	18 19	87.2 85.9	12 10	60.5 57.3	7 9	26.8 28.5	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	1,135. 1,223.		867.2 921.0		26B.3 302.6				97.7 97.9	. 15 14	87.1 84.5	17 16	75.6 69.7	24 23	46.1 35.1	20 29	29.5 34.6	
DHEIDA	1986 1985	863. 705.		707.8 534.4		155.7 170.7	54 52			82.9 82.2	25 26	80.9 74.2	20 24	76.9 67.0	22 26	52.7 40.7	10 22	24.1 26.3	
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,804. 1,708.		1,619.6 1,524.3		184.6 184.6				99. 7 87.9	14 23	91.3 96.3	12 6	83.3 92.0	16 9	47.1 54.6	19 10	36.3 37.4	17 7
ONTARIO	1986 1995	1,471. 1,381.		1,190.9 975.3		480.5 405.9				97.6 96.3	16 15	93.3 85.5	- 13 11	90.0 85.5	11 11	31.5 20.4	39 50	58.6 64.9	
DRANGE	1984 1985	1,563. 1,360.		1,337.6		22å.1 212.2	42 42			94.B 94.2	15	90.9 80.0	13 20	85.9 73.5	15 19	49.7 51.2	15 14	36.2 22.3	19 37
ORLEANS	1986 1985	1,452. 1,290.		1,156.0 1,029.6		295. 6 260.6	33 35			165.1	2	156.8 125.2	3 4	86.8 122.6	33 4	41.1 99.6	23 3	25.7 23.0	
OSNEGO	1786 1785	1,070. 1,129.		796.0 768.0		274.2 361.8			-	80.3 80.4	26 27	46.9 60.4	34 36	51.3 57.9	41 34	38.5 27.7	28 42	15.9 30.2	
OTSEGO	1985 1985	790. 904.		567.6 616.5		223.0 289.0				37.2 37.1	55 54	49.0 48.8	4B 49	35.5 42.1	52 49	11.8 15.2	5& 5&	23. <u>6</u> 27.0	
PUTHAN	1986 1985	915. 892.		763.5 769.2		152.0 132.3				49.0 49.4	51 59	47.8 - 49.4	50 4B	44.1 39.6	49 50	20.8 21.0	49 49	23.3 18.5	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	942. 864.		716.5 668.6		225.4 195.6				73.8 75.3	31 30	47.5 50.9	51 45	51.4 37.0		30.3 21.1	42 48	21.1 15.9	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	732. 699.		416.7 403.8		315.5 296.0				30.8 30.9	54 55	37.2 34.2	56 55	33.5 32.8		16.9 20.0	51 52	14.5 12.8	

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 160,000 POPULATION AND RATE RAIKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

				-						JAIL AHD	PENITEN	TIARY								
				Ada	issions			 					-	 		er 31 Popul				
		Tot	il	Unsent	enced	Sente	nced		Repo Capa	tity	Average Popul	ation		lota	-	Unsente			enced	
COUNTY	Year	Kale	Rank	fiale	Pank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rale	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	827.1 798.0	53 53	557.6 581.7		269.5 236.3			54.1 53.8	48 48	59.4 50.3	41 47		53.2 45.0	42 45	31.0 18.5	40 55	22. 26.		41 29
SARATOGA	1986 1985	932.4 836.7	48 51	753.9 662.8		178.5 173.9			42.7 43.3	53 52	56.7 53.2	42 43		54.1 39.0	39 51	32.3 21.7	37 46	23. 17.		31 42
SCHENECIADY	1986 1985	1,283.0 1,233.2	27 28	970.7 919.1		312.3 314.1	31 26		58.4 58.4	46 44	61.9 68.4	37 30		67.2 63.1	32 29	49.3 50.5	16 15	18. 12.		48 54
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	1,375.B 1,100.7	2ġ	1,067.1 889.3		308.7 211.4	32 44		70.5 70.5	36 32	53.7 56.3	46		70.5 43.6	29 47	36.9 30.2	32 37	33. 13.		21 52
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,189.7 1,844.6	34 3	793.1 1,473.4	39 4	396.6 371.2	19 21		120.7 119.9	9	103.4 102.8	8 5		92.0 131.3	2	46.0 119.9	21 2	- , 46. 11.		10 55
SENECA	1986 1985	1,557.4 1,593.2	14 13	1,180.7 1,291.1	13	374. 9 299. 1	22 30		105.9 89.5	12 22	87.2 79.4	16 21		81.0 70.2	18 22	37.4 42.7	31 20	43. 27.		13 26
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,131.1 962.6	37 43	846.9 777.7		264.2 184.9	38 48		50.8 50.3	50 ⁻ 49	68.1 67.6	32 31		60.9 58.6	35 32	38.2 44.2	29 18	22. 14.		38 59
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	898.7 679.1	50 49	887.7 668.0		211.1 211.1	45		57.6 57.6	47 45	62.3 65.1	36 34		58.1 52.2	39 39	34.7 32.1	33 34	21. 20.		42 39
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	2,606.4 2,491.1	1	1,849.5 1,791.4		758.6 699.7	2		239.1 242.6	i i	212.8 174.6	1		201.2 168.6	1	153.1 127.2	. i	48. 41.		7 · 4
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,225.5 1,165.0	31 32	754.9 786.0	. 35	470.6 399.0			109.B 111.2	11 16	72.5 77.4	29 23		76.5 69.5	23 24	25.5 37.7	4 <i>6</i> 25	51. 31.		16
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	1,269.4 1,390.8	28 19	1,157.5 1,251.3	10	111.9			37.7 37.4	54 53	34.2 48.8	57 50		25.1 43.1	55 48	14.8 26.1	54 43	10. 17.		55 44
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,594.7 1,518.6		1,151.0 1,159.5	- 13	433.4 359.0	15 23		84.5 86.7	24 24	. 84.7 87.9	19 12		69.4 75.1	30 16	51.2 52.5	13	18. 22.		46 36
WARREN	1986 1985	1,917.1 1,995.3	2	1,515.3 1,452.2		401.8 433.1	17		117.1 104.2	10 11	72.1 71.9	30 26		72.1 49.5	27 42	48.4 25.2	17 44	23. 23.		35 34

B \$6 88

B-89

TAPLE 9

SOURCE: MYS Cossission of Correction.

^{*} Data are not reported by county for New York City - only for New York City as a whole.

HOIE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE TOA NEW

NEW COURT CONNITHENTS TO STATE PRISON

												Felonie	5									Drug fe							
				Tot	al .	Ţal			cide	Sex Of		Rob	bery	Burg	lary .		pons	Oti	her	Tot	al	Posse	sion	Sal		Other Felon		Youth Difen	
1.0	COUNTY	Yea		Rate		Rate	Rank	fiate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate		Kate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	
	ALBAHY	196 198		74.1 72.9	6 5	25.1 21,5	10 12	2.8 1.4	15 31	4.9 3.9	14 17	4.2 - 4.6	21 13	7.1 7.0	16 15	1.8	10	4.2 1.8	8 27	11.6	10 5	4.4 8.8	7 3.	5.3 12.0	13	36.3 29.9	4	1.1	19 36
	ALLEGANY	198		€.0 7.9	62 60	.0 3.9	62 51	.0 2.0	43 21	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 2.0	37 22	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	4.0 2.0	59 59	.0 2.0	32 13
	BRONI	198		167.4 136.1	2 2	105.4	· 2	14.9	1	7. 5 7.3	5 5	53.0 44.4	2 2	12.6 10.1	2	10.4	2 2	7.0 7.9	2	32.7 21.5	2	8.1 5.7	5	24.5 15.7	2	25.3 16.6	- 9 . 16	3.9 3.2	4 7
	BROOME	198 198		56.9 38.1	11 19	23.7 21.7	12	2.4	20 34	6.2 3.8	9 18	3.3	24 15	9.0 9.9	11	.9 .5	18 19	- 1.9 2.8	24 15	5.7	19 45	2.8	35 13	2.8	24 41	27.0 15.5	7 20	· .5	28 33
B-90	CATTARAUGUS	198		11.7 11.7	57 56	3.5 3.5	54 54	1.2	35 36	1.2	46. 43	.0 2.3	43 24	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	1.2	32 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0 .0	43 42	8.2	49 50	.0 1.2	32 28
	CAYUGA	198 198		51.3 36.1	13 26	16.3 6.3	25 44	1.3	33 36	7.5 2.5	4 26	.0	43 40	5.0 3.8	22 30	.0	25 23	2.5 .0	17 4ú	3.8	2B 46	.0 .0	37 32	3.8 .0	20 - 42	26.3 17.5	8 12	5.0 6.3	2 2
:	CHAUTAUQUA	198 198		14.0 20.1	55 43	6.3 8.3	48 39	2.1	22 19	1.4	44	.7	41 39	2.1 4.2	42 28	.0	25 23	.0	37 31	.7 2.8	50 28	.0	37 22	.7 1.1	41 34	7.0 7.6	52 49	.0 1.4	-32 21
	CHEHUNG	198		64.1 56.5	. B	28.7 29.4	5 6	2.2	21 17	6.6 7.6	7	4,4 1.1	20 37	11.0 9.7	5 11	.0	25 4	4.4 5.4	6	2.2	36 46	1.1	32 32	1.1	37 42	30.9 26.1	5 5	2.2 1.1	7 31
	CHENANGO	198 198		0.8 0.41	60 53	2.0 B.0	60 11	2.0	24 36	.0 2.0	51 31	.0 .0	43 40	.0 .û	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 6	.0 1.0	51 20	.0 2.0	37 15	.0 2.0	- 43 28	6.0 4.0	55 55	.0	32 41
	CLINION	198		30.8 19.5	32 45	6.2 4.9	49 50	.0	43 36	1.2 2.5	45 27	2.5 1.2	25 35	2.5 1.2	40 50	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	3.7	29 43	.0 .ú	37 32	3.7 1.2	21 37	20.9 12.3	14 31	.0 1.2	32 25
	ATEKUJO	198 198		47.8 59.4	17 7	11.5 18.2	35 19	3.3 1.7	10 28	4.9 5.0	13 . 8	.0	43 46	1.4	48 12	.0	25 23	1.6	26 12	23.1 23.1	4	9.9 5.0	. 3 _	13.2 18.2	5 2	13.2 16.5	29 18	.0 1.7	32 17
-	CORTLAND	198 198		40.1 54.9	25 10	6.3 16.9	47 20	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	.0 2.1	43 25	2.1	17 44	.0	25 5	.0 9.4	37	4.2	25 46	2.1	18 32	2.1	29 42	27.4 27.4	6. 4	2.1	9
	DELAWARE	198		10.8	59 62	2.1 2.1	59 58	.0	43 36	.0 2.1	51 30	2.1 .0	30	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	. 37 - 40	.0 2.1	51 36	.0	37 32	.0 2.1	43 27	8.5	48 61	.0	32 41

									/101ent	Felonie	5									Orug Fei							
		Tol		Tcl.		Ноэг	c1d5	Sex Of	enses	Rot	per y	Burg	lary		pons		her	Tot	al	Passes		Sa	12 -	Other	1125	Voutl	nder s
COUNTY -	Year	Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		ƙate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	21.4 25.9	42 31	13.2 13.3	30 27		38 36	1.9	40 24	3.5 4.3	22 14	4.7 4.7	28 28	1.6	11 21	.0 1.2	34 34	1.6	40 40	.4	34 32	1.2	35 33	4.6 10.2	54 40	. 0 . B	32 35
ERIE	1984 1985	31.9 32.2	31 22	16.0 15.9	25 23	.i.5 2.0	32 22	3.2 2.7	23 25	3.4 3.3	23 17	4.8 5.9	25 21	1.0	17 16	2.1 1.4	21 30	4.3 1.9	24 39	2.1	19 26	2.2	28 40	11.0 13.7	39 26	. š . 8	25 34
ESSEX	1988 1985	16.5 24.7	51 35	2.8 8.3	57 40	2.B .0	36 16	.0 2.8	5i 23	.0 5.5	43 12	.0 .0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 . ù	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	13.8 13.6	27 25	.0 2.0	32 10
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	13.7 25.1	56 31	4. b 6. 9	53 43	4.6	4 15	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0 4.6	51 27	.0	25 23	0 . ŭ	37 40	4.6	23 46	2.3 .0	15 32	2.3	27 42	4.6 18.3	57 10	.0	32 41
FULTON	1988 1985	42.1 46.9	21 14	9.2 16.2	38 22	1.8 3.6	26 7	3.7	19 37	.0	43 40	3.7 9.0	10 30	.0	25 23	.0 B.1	37 25	5.5 9.0	20 10	1.8	21 32	3.7 9.0	22 7	20.1 15.2	15 19	7.3 5.4	2 I
GENESEE	1986 1985	20.4 27.1	44 29	5.1 10.2	51 35	1.7	28 27	.0	51 47	.0 1.7	43 30	.0 3.4	51 35	.0 3.4	25 6	3.4	10	.0 3.4	51 25	.0	37 32	.0 3.4	43 16	13.4 10.2	28 42	1.7 3.4	13 6
GREENE	1986 1985	26.1 26.5	37 30	7.2	33 42	2.4	19 -36	2.4 4.8	35 9	7.1	9 40	.0 2.4	51 41	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	2.4 7.2	35 15	2.4 4.8	14 8	.0 2.4	43 24	11.9 12.1	34 32	.0	32 41
HANILTON	1986 - 1985	 100.0 20.1	44	20.0	17 60	.0	43 36	20.0	1 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .0	37 10	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 - 42	80.0 20.1	8	.0	32 41
HERKINER	. 1984 1985	7.5 13.4	61 55	1.5 3.0	61 55	1.5	43 30	1.5 .0	43 47	.0 1.5	43 33	.0	51 52	.0 .6	25 23	.0 .ú	37 40	1.5	42 46	1.5	25 32	.0	43 42	4.5 10.5	58 39	.0	32 41
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	48.6 29.4	15 27	26.5 12.4	9 29	4.4 2.3	6 16	12.1 7.9	2	2.2	28 40	3.3 2.3	34 43	.0	. 16. 23	3.3	11 40	1.1	47 34	.0 .0	37 32	1.1	38 26	21.0 14.7	13 23	.0 .0	141
KINGS	1986 1995	121.6 92.8	3	75.2 59.0	3	11.9 10.6	2 3	1.9	17 34	37.7 29.7	. 3 2	10.9 7.9	6 14	7.6 6.3	3 3	2.9 3.2	14 13	24.5 19.0	3	5.2 4.1	8 11	19.3 14.9	3 5	19.7 13.4	17 27	2.2 1.4	8 22
LENIS	1984 1985	19.8	45 59	11.7	34 60	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	11.9	3 52	.o	25 23	.0 .ů	37 40	.0 1.0	51 21	.0	37 32	.0 4.0	. 43 15	7.9 4.0	50 54	.0	32 41
LEVINGSTON	1984 1985	30.7 25.7	33 33	10.2 13.7	37 26	,0 3.4	43 8	5.1 5.1	12 7	1.7	36 40	3.4 3.4	32 33	.0	25 23	.0 1.7	37 28	1.7 5.1	39 17	.0 3.4	37 12	1.7	32 31	17.1 6.9	22 51	1.7	12 41

TABLE TOA KEN COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES FER TOO,000 FORWLATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

								_						, count															
										Viotent	Felonie	5									Drug Fe	lonies			Othe		Youth		
			Tol		To	lal		cide	Sex Of			bery	Burg	lary .		pans	Oti	ne r	Tat	al	Posse	ssion		le	Felo	nies	Offer	nders	
	COUNTY	Year		Rank		Rank		Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	
	MADISON	1986 1995	15.0 19.5	51 46	9.0 9.0	30 39	.0	43 36	6.0 4.5	10 11	.0	43 32	3.0 3.0	34 38	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	1.5	41 46	.0	37 32	1.5	33 42	3.0 9.0	61 45	1.5	15 20	
	HONROE	1986 1995	57.9 - 42.1	10 16	28.0 22.7	10	3.8 2.6	9 12	3.0 2.8	27 27	7.7 7.4	8	6.3 5.5	18 22	1.9 2.0	8 10	5.4 2.7	4 19	6.5 2.7	18 30	2.3	16 31	4.3 2.0	18 29	21.9 15.5	11 21	1.4	17 24	
	HONTSOMERY	1986 1985	17.3 19.0	48 48	11.5	36 59	.0	43 36	1.9	41 33	1.9	32 40	1.9	. 45 52	1.9 .6	6 23	3.8 .0	9 10	3.8 1.9	27 38	3.8 1.9	10 18	.0	43 42	1.9	62 34	.0 8.5	32 4	
	KASSAU	1984 1985	47.7 36.9	18 19	25.5 · 20.3	9. 15	2.0 2.3	23 14	1.1	47 41	10.4 7.9	5 7	9.2 4.6	13 16	1.9 .B	7 14	2.0 1.3	22 33	8.5 6.3	14 - 15	2.0 1.2	20 27	6.4 5.1	12 13	12.0 9.1	32 45	1.2	21 27	
B 100	HEH YORK	1986 1985	278.1 229.7	1 1	134.2 125.5	i 1	13.7 12.2	2	3.1 4.2	26 14	77.5 69.8	. i	16.0 15.0	1 - 1	13.5 15.3	ŀ	10.3 9.9	1	77.3 51.4	- i	20.2	1	57.2 39.5	1 1-	62.3 19.4	2 1	4.3 3.4	3 5	
	MIAGARA	1986 1965	33.2 31.4	28 24	12.0 11.5	32 31	1.8	37 24	.9	48 45	4.6 3.7	18 15	4.1 3.2	28 34	.0 .5	25 20	1.4	30 32	2.9 2.8	32 29	1.4	2 <u>6</u> 32	1.4 2.8	34 19	18.0	20 15	.5 .5	38 30	
	ONEIDA	1984 1985	40.2 33.9	24 21	23.3 14.4	14 25	1.8	30	3.6 1.2	20 42	4.4 2.8	19 20	11.3 3.6	4 32	.8 1.2	21 13	1.6 2.8	27 18	2.4 3.2	34 26	.4 2.0	35 16	2.0 1.2	38 30	12.5 14.8	34 22	2.0 1.6	10 18	
	DNONDAGA	1986 1985	53.3 52.9	12 11	27.2 29.0	7	3.9 2.1	7 18	3.9 5.6	18	7.3 6.9	8	8.2 9.5	12 8	1.5	12 12	2.4 3.4	18 9	3.,°	21 22	2.7 1.3	17 24	2.8 2.4	25 25	20.1 18.5	16 9	1.1	1B 15	
	ONTARIO	1984 1985	49.9 50.9	14	22.8 20.6	- 15	.0 1.1	43 33	10.5	3 12	2.2	29 40	2.2 9.7	41. 7	2.2	5 23	5.4 5.4		8.7 10.8	13	1.1 5.4	33 6	7.6 5.4	10 11	18.4 17.3	19 13	.0 2,2	32 12	
	DRANGE	1986 1985	43.0 36.7	19 20	13.5 16.6	28 21	2.5 1.8	18 26	3.2 2.9	24 22	1.8 5.8	34 11	4.6	27 27	.0	25 22	1.4	29 26	16.0	7	8.9 4.3	4 10	7.1 4.7	11 14	12.8 11.2	31 37	.7	24 41	
	ORLEANS	1986 1965	61.7 40.9	9 17	18.0 15.3	22 21	. 2.6		2. å 2. å	- 30 - 30	5. i . 0	14	5. l 12. 8	21	.0	25 23	2. š	16 40	2.6	31 33	.0 .û	37 32	2.6	28 20	41.1 20.4	3	.0 2.6	32 11	
	OSNEGO	1984 1985	21.7 23.5	41 38	6.7 9.2	- 46 36	1.7 .6	29 35	2.5 1.7	31 40	.8	40 38	.0 5.0	50 25	.8	19 23	.0	37 38	.8 .8	49 44	.0 .B	37 29	.8 .0	40 42	14.2 12.6	25 29	.0 .9	32 33	
	OTSEGO	1986 1985	27.0 15.2		6.8 5,1	- 43 48	3.4	9	.0 1.7	51 39	1.7	37 31	1.7	47 48	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	6.8 5.1	16 16	1.7 3,4	24 13	5.1 1.7	14 32	11.8 3.4	37 58	1.7	-14 16	

												Felonie	 s									Drug Fe							
				Tati		Tot	al	licai	cıde	Se: Off			bery	Burgl	ary	Kea	paas	Ot	her	Tal		Posse		Sal	e	Othe Felo	nies	Yout! Offer	nders
COUNTY	Year	· 2 .	R	ale	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
PUTNAN	1984 1985		2	8.2 2.3	35 42	4.9	52 49	1.2	34 32	.0	51 47	2.5 2.5	26 23	1.2 1.2	49 49	.0	25 23	.0	. 37 40	14.7	8 7	14.7 8.7	2 4	.0 2.5	43 21	8.6 6.2	47 52	.0	32 11
QUEENS	1986 1985			15.9 iB.4	5 B	41.3 33.6	4 5	3.2 4.3	11 6	2.6 1.8	29 36	19.6 14.6	4	10.0	7 17	2.7 3.4	4 7	J.2 2.8	12 17	22.4 11.1	5 8	B.1	6 9	14.3	4. . 9	19.7 12.0	18 33	2.5 1.8	5 14
RENSSELAER	1988 1985			!1.1 !3.1	43 40	7.3 12.6	41 28	2.0 2.0	25 20	.7 2.0	50 32	2.0	42 ⁻ 27	2.0 2.6	44 40	.1	23 15	1.3 3.3	31 11	1.3	44	.7 1.3	34 23	.7	42 42	12.5 9.3	33 44	.0	32 41
RICHMOND	1986 1985	-		19.5 10.8	26 25	20.8 :19.6	16 17	4.5 2.9	5 10	2.1 1.9	38 35	7.5 5.9	7 10	4.8 6.7	24 19	1.3	14 11	.5 .8	34 34	10.7 2.1	11 35	1.3	27 30	9.3	8 35	7.5 E.4	51 47	.5 .3	27 40
ROCKLAND	1986 1965			12.5 11.7	20 23	16.5 11.7	24 30	.B 1.5	39 29	1.5	42 46	4.9 2.6	15 22	7.9 5.3	14 23	.8	22 23	.8 1.5	35 29	13.5 8.3	12	1.1 1.5	31 21	12.4 6.8	8	12.0 10.2	35 41	.4 1.5	31 19
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		-	8.6 8.5	46 49	4.4 5.3	54 47	.0 .0	43 36	.9	49 47	.9 1.8	39 29	2.7 2.6	39 39	0	25 23	.0 .9	37 37	1.0	37 45	1.8	23 32	.0	43 42	11.5 13.2	38 28	.0	22 41
SARATOGA	1984 1985			7.1	50 47	6.7 10.5	45 34	.6	41 36	2.4 3.7	34 19	1.8	33 34	1.8 3.7	46 31	.0	25 23	.0 1.9	37 23	3.0 3.1	31 27	.0 1.2	37 25	3.0 1.9	23 30	6.7 5.0	53 54	.6	26 37
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985			14.0 14.6	39 37	13.3 10.4	29 33	.7	40 36	- 2.7 7,3	28	4.7 2.7	17 21	2.7 .7	38 51	.0	25 23	2.7 .ů	15 40	1.3	- 43 41	1.3	28 32	1.3	43 36	9.3 11.3	44 35	.0 1.3	32 23
SCHOHARIE	1986 1965	•		0.1	59 39	8.7 23.5	44	.0 6.7	43	3.4 3.4	22 20	.0	43 40	3.4 10.1	33 5	.0	25 23	.0 3.4	37 10	.0	51 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0	43 42	3.4	60 61	.0	32 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985			7.1	40 52	17.2 .0	23 60	.0	43 36	5.7	11. 47	5.7	13	5.7 .0	20 52	.0	25 23	.0 .ú	37 49	0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0 .0	43 42	5.7 17.1	56 14	.0	32 41
SENECA	1985 1985			9.2	27 58	12.5 3.1	31 55	3.1 .0	12 36	4.2 .0	47 .	.0	43 40	3.1	35 37	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	3.1 .0	30 46	3.1	11 32	.0	43 42	21.8 6.1	12 53	.0	32 41
STEUBEN	1984 1985			15,5 14.7	53 36	4.1	55 32	.0	43 36	2.1 4.1	39 15	.0	43	2.1 5.1	. 43, 24	.0	25 23	.0 2.1	37 20	1.0	48 46	.0	37 32	.0	39 42	9.3 10.3	45 39	1.0 3.1	20 B
SUFFOLK	1986 1985			12.0 14.8	23 15	18.4 21.4	20 13	1.6 1.9	31 23	2.4	33 21	6.3 8.1	11 6	4.0 4.1	19 19	.5 .5	24 18	1.6 1.9	28 24	9.9 8.1	12 14	1.2	29 20	3.7 6.5	10	12.9 14.2	30 24	.e	23 32

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE FRISON

							·				Felonie								-		Drug Fe	lonies						
			Iot		Iol		Hoai	cide	Sex Of	enses	Rob	pery	Burg	lary	Hea	ons		her	To	tal	Posse		Sa	le		1125	Offe	hful nders
CON		tear	Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rale		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SUL	LIVAN	1986 1985	65.6 93.2	2	24.8 28.1	11 8	2.9 3.0	13	7.3 8.9	- å 1	5.B 3.0	- 12 19	2.9 5.9	37 20	1.5	13 23	4.4 7.4	7 5	16.0 25.1	6 2	4.4 8.7	9 2	11.7	7	23.3 37.6	10	1.5 3.0	16
110	GA	1985 1985	29.4 25.8	34 32	7.B 8.0	40 45	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	2.0 2.0	31 26	3.9 2.0	29 45	.0	25 23	2.0 2.0	23 21	J. 9 2. 0	26 37	2.0	37 17	3.9 .0	19 42	17.6	2i 11	.0	32 41
TON	PKINS	1986 1905	32.0 22.7	30 41	18.3 9.1	- 21 37	.1.1	36 36	2.3 4.5	3a 10	2.3	27 40	9.1 3.4	9 34	1.1	15 23	2.3 1.1	19 36	1.1 2.3	45 33	l.1 1.1	30 28	.0	43 39	10.3 11.3	41 35	2.3	<u>6</u> 41
ULS	TER	1984 1985	42.0 48.2	22 13	19.5 20.8	18 14	.6 1.9	42 25	4.3	16 - 13	4.9 3.1	. 18 . 81	4.9 7.9	23 13	1.8	9 . 17	3.0 3.1	13 14	6.7 4.3	17 19	1.8	. 22 19	4.9	15 23	14.0 22.0	26 6	1.8	11 26
B - 94	REH	1986 1965	18.0 10.6	47 57	7.2 3.6	42 52	.0	43 36	3.4	21 47	.0	43 28	3.5 1.6	31 46	.0	25 23	.0 .ù	37 40	.0	51 23	.0 .0	37 37	.0 ·	43 16	10.8 3.6	40 57	.0	32 41
WAS	HINGTON	1986 1985	15.8 5.3	52 61	5.3 3.5	50 53	1.B	27 36	.0 1.8	51 38	1.8	35 40	.0	51 47	.0	25 23	1.8	· 25	1.8	38 46	.0 .0	37 32	1.8	31 42	9.6 1.8	46 60	· .0	32 41
WAY	NE -	1985 1985	25.0 18.3	38 50	13.4 5.7	27 45	.0	43 36	2.3 1.1	37 44	. J.I 1.1	36 38	9.1 2.3	10 42	.0	25 23	i.i 1.1	33 35	1.1 3.4	-46 24	.0	37 32	1.1 3.4	36 17	10.2 8.0	42 48	.0	32 30
NES	TCHESTER	1996 1985	47.8 64.0	16 6	23.5 37.9	13	2.9 5.2	14 5	3.1 4.0	25 16	7.1 11.9	10 °	7.4- 10.7	i5 3	.8 3.1	20 8	2.2 2.9	20 15	7.4 8.4	15 12	2.9 3.2	12 14	4.5 5.2	- 17 12	16.5 16.5	23 17	:5 1.2	29 29
MAG	nin6	1984 1965	17.2 17.2	49 51	2.5 2.5	58 57	.0 2.5	43 13	2.5 .0	32 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .ú	37 40	2.5	51 32	.0 Ú.	37 - 32	.0 2.5	43 22	14.7 17.3	24 30	.0	32 41
YAT	ES	1986 1985	33.0 27.9	29 28	18.9 18.6	19 18	.0 .0	43 36	4.7	15 47	.0	43 40	9.4 9.3	9	.0	25 23	4.7 9.3	5 2	4.7	22 46	û	. 37 32	4.7	16 42	9.4 9.3	43 43	.0	32 41
NEW	YORKSTATE	1986 1985	84.0 60.7	NA NA	43.7 39.3	na Na	5.3 4.9	na Ha	3.3 2.9	NA NA	20.1 17.2	NA NA	0.1 7.2	NA NA	3. <i>1</i> 3.8	HA NA	3.2 3.2	na Na	10.2 3.4	NA NA	5.0 3.4	HA HA	13.2	NA NA	20.4 14.5	NA NA	1.7	NA HA
HEN	YORKCITY	1984 1985	147.3	NA NA	80.4 69.6	KA NA	10.1	HA HA	4.0 3.2	NA NA	42.0 34.8	NA NA	11.7 9.3	RA Na	7.6 8.0	HA HA	5.0 5.1	NA Na	35.3 23.0	NA NA	9.3 5.9	NA NA	26.0 17.2	HA NA	28.7 20.6	NA NA	2.9 2.1	NA Na

HOIE: Drasalic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

INNATES UNDER CUSTODY

														Felpnie								Drug Fe					.	ú	, ,
					tal	Tot			cide	Sex Of	fenses	Robb	•	Burg		Reap	cos	Oth	er	Tota	1	Passe	ssion	Sa	ie	Other	ies	Youth Offen	ders
COUN	117	YEAR			Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank -	Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	
AL BA	HY	1984 1985		239.2 259.5		128.4	4	22.6 20.4	10 10	26.8 29.2	4 2	36.3 40.2	5 5	22.9 22.9	- 7	6.0 5.6	5 6	13.8 12.7	6 7	40.6 48.3	4 3.	19.4 19.4	3 2	21.2 28.9	5	69.2 69.0	4 2	1.1 2.1	2! 15
ALLE	GANY	1986 1985		13.9 11.8		5.9 7.9	42 59	4.0 3.9	54 53	.0 2.0	60 55	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	25 25	2.0 2.0	45 43	.0 .0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 -51	5.9 2.0	60 62	2.0 2.0	12 18
BRON	1	1988 1985		474.7 432.7		367.5 348.4	2	92.5 83.8	2 2	32.5 29.1	3	168.0 165.7	2	31.2 26.7	3	23.0 24.1	. 2 . 2	19.5 19.2	4 2	62.7 50.2	2 2	14.3 13.7	4 3	46.3 36.5	2 2	39.7 29.8	8 14	4.9 4.3	4 7
BADO	HE	1986 1985		133.3 109.7		81.1 72.0	11 12	14.2 11.8	25 29	18.6	13	21.3 21.2	12 13	21.8 18.4	8	- 1.4 .9	24 28	5.7 6.6	22 20	10.0 5.2	20 29	1.7 2.4	15 27	5.2 2.8	22 31	42.2 32.0	- 6 12	.0 .5	33 39
은 CATT 유	ARAUGUS	1986 1985		27.3 31.6		15.2 15.2	57 53	2.3 1.2	59 59	4.7 5.9	51 40	5.9 8.2	28 24	1.2	55 54	.0	32 32	1.2	51 52	1.2 1.2	54 53	.0 .0	43 42	1.2	46 47	12.9 14.1	4B 46	.0 1.2	33 31
CAYU	IGA .	1984 1985		102.6 67.6		47. 8 28. 8	26 41	3.8 1.3	55 58	16.3	- 14 26	7.5 7.5	26 27	6.3	25 40	.0	32 32	9. 9 5. 0	11 28	5.0 3.8	38 31	.0.	43 36	5.0 2.5	24 35	49.1 30.1	- 7 - 13	10.0 5.0	1
CHAL	TAUQUA	1984 1985		37.7 43.7		23.1 22.2	48 48	14.7 12.5	23 24	2.1 1.1	55 58	1.4 2.1	53 45	2.8 4.9	51 42	.0 .0	32 32	2.1 1.4	44 49	2.B 9.7	46 15	.0 5.5	43 11	2.8 4.2	37 24	11.9 11.8	50 51	.0.	33 42
CHEN	HUNG .	1986 1785	-	203.3 18).0		128.2 118.5	5 5	26.5 23.9	6	27.4 31.5	3 i	14.4 18.5	16 14	36.5 28.3	2 2	2.2 4.3	16	21.0 12.0	3	2.2 2.2	48 47	2.2 1.1	31 41	.0 i.i	49	49.6 62.0	3	3.3 4.3	7
CHEN	ANGO	1986 1985	• • .	36.0 44.0		26.0 32.0	48 37	14.0 10.0	26 33	2.0 4.0	56 49	4.0 B.0	34 25	.0	54 54	.0	32 32	6.0 10.0	18 10	4.0 4.0	37 33	2.0 2.0	32 31	2.0	42 42	6.0 8.0	59 58	.0 .0	33 42
CLIN	HOT	1/83 1984		53.0 52.7		2019 22.1	50 49	4.9	49 54	4.9 7.4	49 32	3.7 6.1	35 29	4.9 3.7	45 47	.0	32 32	2.5 1.2	41 50	4.9 2.5	32 45	.0 .0	43 42	1.9 2.5	25 37	27.1 27.0	20 20	.0 1.2	20 32
COLU	IHB1A	1984 1985		95.6 97.4		36.2 41.5	28 28	8.2 6.6	39 42	15. 9 16. 5	7 6	1.6	50 40	4.9 9.9	44 27	.0 .0	32 32	1.6 5.0	47 29	39.5 33.0	5 6	14.8	5 9	24.7 26.4	4 5 .	19.B 23.1	34 26	.0	33 42
CORT	LAND	1986 1985		75.9 78.1		29.5 29.5	40 39	4.2 4.2	53 52	.0 2.1	60 54	.0	5 5 52	8.4 2.1	33 50	6.3 6.3	4 5	10.5 14.8	10	8.3 2.1	28 49	4.2 2.1	17 30	2.1	41 51	35.9 40.1	11 7	4.2 6.3	5 1
DELA	WARE	1984 1985		23.4 17.1		12.7 10.7	£0 58	4.2 2.1	52 53	6.4	40 37	2.1	46 52	.0	56 54	.0.	32 32	.0 2.1	53 41	.0 2.1	55 48	.0	43 42	.0 2.1	49 41	10.6	53 60	.0	33 42

IMMATES UNDER CUSTODY

										¥		Felonie	5							Drug Fe							
		To	tal	Tot		Hooi	cide	Sex Of	lenses	Robb	ery	Burg	lary	Heap	nns.	Oth	er	Tota	ıl	Posse	ssion	S	ıle	Other Felon	ies .	Youth Offen	ider s
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		fiate	Rank	Rate	Rank -	Rate		Rate	
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	67.8 71.0	31 29	49.1 48.2	24 21	12.9	30	9.0 7.6	30 29	14.4 14.5	15 - 16	B. 2 9. 4	34 30	1.6	21 24	3.1 3.5	38	4.7	35 36	1.6	39 - 40	3.1 2.7	33 34	14.0 18.0	46 36	.0,	
ERIE	1984 1985	99.6 95.5	21 17	69.2 65.8	16 16	21.9 20.8	12 0	11.4 9.4	23 23	15.3 15.8	14 15	13.4 12.6	18 21	1.5 1.2	23 23	5.8 6.1	26 23	7.2 3.9	26 37	3.6 2.7	20 23	3.5 1.2	32 46	22.4 24.8	27 23	.8 1.0	
ESSEI	1985 1965	44.1 38.5	46 52	22.0 16.5	49 52	2.B	57 60	8.3 5.5	31 41	11.0	21 17	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	· .0	4? 51	22.0 19.2	29 33	.0 2.8	33 10
FRANKLIN	1986 1965	70.8 79.9	30 25	32.0 36.5	39 33	13.7 9.1	28 34	6. B	38 35	4.6	36 32	.0	56 37	2.3	15 16	4.6	26 15	9.1	21 23	6, B - 4, 6	10	2.3 2.3	40 40	29. <i>7</i> 34.3	17	.0 2.3	33 12
R FULTON O	1984 1985	89.7 83.0	26 22	38.5 39.7	34	20.1 18.0	15 12	7.3 7.2	34 -	.0	55 52	11.0 12.6	26 70	.0	32 32	.0 1.8	53 45	11.0 9.0	16 16	1.8	36 34	9.2 7.2	15 14	31.1 28.9	14 15	9.2 5.4	3
GENESEE	1986 1985	56.1 64.3	39 34	28.9 40.6	41 29	10.2 8.5	35 37	1.7	58 53	1.7 9.5	49	6.8 16.2	38 27	3.4 5.1	10 7	5.1 5.1	24 25	3.4 5.1	43 30	.0	43 42	3.4 5.1	34 20	20.4 15.2	33 42	3.4 3.4	å
GREENE	1986 1985	66.5 55.5	32 38	40.4 33.8	29 35	14.3 12.1	24 27	9.5 9.6	28 22	7.1 4.8	27 34	7.1 7.2	37 35	.0	32 32	2.4 .0	43 52	7.1 7.2	27 22	4.8 4.8	14 14	2.4 2.4	38 39	19.0 14.5	3 <i>6</i> 45	.0	33 42
HAMILTON	1986 1965	180.0 80.2	7 24	80.0 46.1	20 30	40.0 40.1	4	20.0 .0	5 59	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	100.0 40.1	2	.0	33 42
HERKINER	1986 1965	22.4 26.9		13.5	59 55	7.5 6.0	40 45	1.5 1.5	59 57	1.5 3.0	52 42	1.5 1.5	54 53	.0	32 32	1.5 1.5	48 46	1.5	53 55	1.5	40 42	.0		7.5 13.4	58 48	.0	33 42
JFFFERSON	1986 1985	82.8 70.0	27 30	48.6 38.4	25 32	13.2 12.4	29 25	19.9 12.4	13	3.3 1.1	15 51	4.4 10.2	39 28	1.1	28 32	4.4	3 0 40	.0 3.4	55 42	.0 .0	43 42	.0 3.4	49 27	34.2 27.1	13 19	.0 1.1	33 33
KINGS	1984 1985	320.0 283.3	3	238.2 218.1	3 3	74.4 66.4	j.	13.7 11.8	16 14	102.7 95.9	3	22.9 19.7	ا 7	15.7 15.6	3	8.4 8.7	12 11	46.0 34.7	- 3	10.0 7.6	8	36.0 27.2	3 4	33.1 28.2	14 16	2.7 2.3	13
LEKIS	1986 1985	27.7 7.9	58 62	11.9	61 62	.0	60	.0	40 59	.0	55 52	9.11	22 51	.0 .0	32 32	.0	53 52	4.0 4.0	39 34	.0	43 42	4.0 4.0	28 25	11.9	51 61	.0	
LIVINGSTON	1984 1985	59.7 54.9	37 39	35.8 29.1	37 40	8.5 8.6	36 38	17.1 8.6	10 2B	1.7	48 52	8.5 10.3	32 25	.0	32 32	.0 1.7	- 53 46	8.5 8.4	23 17	3.4 3.4	22 13	5.1 5.1	23 19	15.4 17.1	44	.0	

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY

													Felonie			•					Drug Fel	anies			0.1			
			Tot	al	lot	al	Hoat	cide	Sex Of	lenses	Robb	ery	Burg	lary	Meab	ons	Oth	er	Tota	1	Posses	sion	Sal	l e	Other Feloni		Youthf Offend	ders
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank		Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	
HADISON	1984 1985		37.5 40.5	52 50	27.0 24.0	43 45	4,5 4,5	51 51	10.5 10.5	24 17	1.5 3.0	51 - 41	7.5 4.5	35 43	.0	32	3.0 1.5	39 47	1.5 1.5	52 51	.0	43 42	1.5	45 45	7.5 13.5	57 47	1.5	16 25
MONROE	1786 1905		139.8 129.5	12 10	93.7 87.8	9	22.2 20,3	11	12.7 16.8	19 16	26.8 28.2	9 7	17.1 16.2	13	4.3	- 7 10	10.7 5.2	13	10.1 6.7	19 26	3.8 2.3	18 28	4.4	18 22	34.6 33.5	12 11	1.4 1.6	18 23
MONTGONERY	1984 1985		38.4 45.7	50 45	28.8 22.8	42 47	11.5 11.4	31 31	3.8 3.6	52 51	1.9 1.9	47 47	3.8 3.8	47 45	1.9	20 32	5.8 1.9	21 44	3.8 3.8	3 9	3.0 3.6	19 17	.0	49 51	5.8 13.3	61 49	.0 5.7	33
NASSAU	1984 1985		114.1	16 15	77.2 69.0	12 13	15.0 13.6	22 23	5.4 5.0	46 44	31.1 27.8	7 9	18.6 17.7	10-	2. b 1. 7	12 18	4.5 3.2	29 35	17.2 13.5	13 13	3.0 2.4	29 25	14.1	11 10	18.5 14.9	37 43	1.5	19 24
P MER ADUK	1986 1985		618.6	1	429.3 406.5	1	95.7 86.0	. 1	16.6 15.5	12 7	220.0 267.1	1	40.0 38.6	1	35.2 35.2	1	24.0 23.1	1	146.7 117.0	1	34.9 26.0	- 1.	111.B 91.0	1	102.2 90.1	1	5.7 4.9	5
NIAGARA	1986 1985		92.7 91.0	25 19	54.4 51.3	23 18	18.4	17 17	6.9 5.7	37 21	13.4 10.2	18 21	10.1 7.4	29 34	1.4 2.8	25 14	4.1 5.1	32 25	5.1 5.5	30 27	1.8	35 22	3.2 2.8	35 32	32.3 33.7	15 10	.9 .5	24 40
ONEIDA	1986 1985		97.8 84.2	23 21	64.4 51.1	1B 19	16.1	20 21	10.1 7.6	25 31	11.3 9.2	20 22	18.5 10.8	11 21	2.0 1.6	18 19	6.4 6.8	17 - 19	8.5 7.6	24 20	3.2 3.2	27 20	5.2 4.4	21 23	22.5 23.5	26 25	2.4 2.0	10 17
DHOHDAGA	1986 1985		150.5 144.2	9 8	104.3 96.1	8	20.7 15.5	14 18	19.2 19.5	₽ 5 .	28.5 27.9	8	24.8 22.8	. 4 5	4, i 3, 9	8 11	6.9 7.5	15 15	8. <i>6</i> 8.0	22 18	3.2 2.4	26 25	5.4 5.6	20 15	36.1 38.3	10 8	1.5 1.9	15 19
ONTARIO -	1986 - 1985		103.0 90.9	1B 20	56.4 49.8	21 26	6.5 8.7	47 35	19.5 13.0	8 10	4.3	33 37	11.9 13.0	21 18	2.2 2.2	17 17	11.9 9.7	7 12	16.3 10.8	14	5.4 5.4	12 12	10.B 5.4	13 17	29.3 28.1	18 17	1.1	20 14
ORANGE	1986 1985		114.3 168.8	15 14	65.0 66.1	17 15	17.7 17.7	18 15	12.4 16.4	21 18	18.1 23.4	13 11	12.1 16.8	20 23	.4	31 30	4.3 5.0	31 27	27.7 19.8	7.8	12.1 7.2	6 7	15. á 12. á	10 8	20.9 20.5	31 30	.7 .4	29 41
ORLEANS	1986 1985		144.0 120.1	11 . 11	72.0 63.9	14 17	23.1 17.9	.13 å	5.1 7.7	47 30	7.7 5.1	25 33	10.3 12.8	27 19	2.6	13 15	23.1 17.9	2 3	7.7 7.7	25 19	5.1 - 5.1	13 13	2.6	38 34	44.3 46.0	5	.0 2.6	33 11
OSNEGO	1986 1985	-	51.0 46.7	42 43	26.B 26.0	45 44	5.7 5.0	46 49	5.9 4.2	44 46	5.9 7.6	29 26	5.9 6.7	41 38	.0 .0	32 32	2,5 2,5	40 37	3.3 2.5	44	1.7	38 35	1.7	44 50 °	20.9 19.3	32 32	0. ŭ.	33 33
DTSE60	1984 1985		47.3 43.8	45 48	- 15.9 - 15.2	56 54	6.8 5.1	44 49	5.1 £.7	48 36	3.4 1.7	43 49	1.7	53 52	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	11.8	17 25	3.4 3.4	24 19	8.4 3.4	17 - 28	16.9 20.2	39 31	1.7	14 22

											V	olent	Felonie								Drug Fel	onies							
				otal	Tol	al ·	Hoard	ide	Sex Off	enses	Robbs	•	Burg	•	Weapo	กร	OLh	er	Tota	1	Posses		Sal	e	Fe	her Ionies		Youth! Offend	er s
	COUNTY	Year		e Ranl	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		fiate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Re	le Ra	nk	Rate	Rank
	PUTHAN .	1986 1985	63. 51.		23.3 23.5	47	12.3 11.1	31 32	2.5 3.7	54 52	3.7 2.5	36 44	3.7 3.7	48 46	.0	32 32	1.2	49 39	27.0 22.3	- 8 7	20.B 12.4	2	6.1	19			47 57	.0	33 42
	RUEENS	1984 1985	187. 159.		125.1 117.4	6	29.0 27.7	5	9.4 8.6	29 27	52.9 48.8	4	20.4 18.2	9 °	5.4 6.5	6	8.1 7.7	13 14	32.0 18.3	<u>ا</u> 10	11.5 6.8	7 8	20.4 11.5	6 9			21 29	3.1 2.0	8
	RENSSELAER	1984 1985	10. 58.		40.2 44.9	31 26	18.5 17.8	16 14	2.6	53 50	5.3 5.9	31 32	7.3 8.6	36 33	.7 1.3	30 22	5.9 7.3	19 17	2.6	47 52	2.0	33 37	.7	48 51			38 50	.0	33 42
	RICHHOND	1986 1985	101. 95.		76.9 75.2	13 11	21.6 17.7	13 16	7.2 5.9	35 39	25.9 26.7	10 10	11.9	15 13	2.7 3.2	11 12	4.5 6.2	28 22	12.3 3.7	16 40	2.4 1.3	30 36	9.9 2.4	14 39			45 41	.5 .5	32 38
((S ROCKLAND	1986 1985	98. 81.		54.9 47.5	22 23	13.9 14.3	27 22	4.5	39 47	13.5 10.2	17 20	18.1 13.6	12 15	1.1 1.5	27 20	1.9	46 34	21.8 13.6	11 12	3.4 2.6	23 24	18.4 10.9	8			30 34	.8 1.1	28 32
	ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	39. 38.		20.4 19.4	51 51	6.2 6.2	48	6. 2 5. 3	42 43	3.5 2.6	39 43	3.5 4.4	49 44	.0	32 29	.9	52 52	1.8	50 54	1.8	37 42	.0	49 49			40 39	1.8	25 21
	SARATOGA	1986 1985	43. 45.		26.8 30.3	44 39	7.3	41 36	9.B 9.9	27 26	3.7 1.9	37 48	4.9 6.7	46 32	.0	32 32	1.2	50 38	4.9	33 32	1.2	42 39	3.7 3.1	30 29			52 53	۵. ۵.	31 37
	SCHENECTADY	1986 1965	57. 54.		39.3 34.5	32 34	2.7	58 57	14.0 12.6	15 11	9.3 10.6	24 18	6.0 6.6	40 39	2.0 1.3	. 21	5.3 2.0	23 42	2.0 2.0	49 50	1,3	41 42	.7 2.0	47 43			42 40	.7 1.3	30 27
	SCHOHAR1E	1986 1985	50. 57.		40.3 47.0	30 24	6.7 6.7	45 41	16.8 16.1	- 11	3.4 6.7	44 28	10.1 13.4	16 30	.0	32 32	3.4 10.1	37	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	10		56 54	.0	33 42
	SCHUYLER	1986 1965	23. 22.		17.2 5.7	55 60	.0 5.7	60 46	5.7 .6	45 59	5.7	30 52	5.7 .0	42 54	.0 .0	32 32	.0	53 52	.o.	55 55	.0 .0	43 42	.0	49 51	17		42 38	.0	33 42
	SEHECA	1988 1985	37. 18.		18.7 12.2	54 57	9.3 6.1	36 44	6.2	41 59	.0	55 52	3.1 3.1	50 49	.0	32 32	3.1	53 35	3.1	45 55	3.1	28 42	0.0	49 51			43 58	.0	33 42
	STEUBEN	1984 1985	54. 69.		39.2 45.2	33 25	11.4	34 26	13.4 14.4	81	1.0 2.1	54 46	9.3 10.3	31 26	.0	25 25	4.1 6.2	33 21	4.1 3.1	36 43	.0	43 42	4.1 3.1	27 30			55 35	1.0 3.1	22 9
	SUFFOLK	1984 1985	116. 112.		70.8 68.3	15 14	15.9 15.3	21 19	9.8 9.1	24 25	23.1 22.2	11 12	15.9 16.2	- 14 11	1.1	29 26	5.0 4.3	25 31	22.0 19.3	10	3.4 3.1	25 21	18.7 16.1	7			25 24	i.0 i.3	23 28

INNATES UNGER CUSTODY

		,											Felonie								Drug Fe							
			Tai		Tal			cide		fenses	Robb	ery	Burg	lary	Иеар	ons	Oth		. 1	otal	Posse		Sa	le	Other Felon	ies	Youth. Offen	ders
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank		Rank		Rank	ffate		Rate		Rate	Rank		e Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	
SULL I VAN	1984 1985		150.1 176.0	10 &	87.5 84.3	10	24.B 20.7	B 9	27.7 23.7	2	11.7 10.4	19	10.2	28 17	1.5	22 13	11.7	8	23. 34.		7.3 B.9	- 9 - 5	16.0 25.1	g .	37.9 56.2	9	1.5 1.5	17 26
TIOGA	1988 1985		72.5 73.4	29 28	43.1 43.7	27 21	11.8	32 28	5.9 6.0	43 38	9.8 6.0	22 31	11.8	23 14	.0	25 25	3.9 6.0	34 24	5.1 4.1		2.0 2.0	34 32	3.9 2.0	29 44	23.5 25.8	24 21	.0	33 42
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		66.2 56.7	33 37	41.1 28.4	28 42	6.8	42 40	12.6 12.5	20 12	3.4 1.1	41 50	13.7 5.7	17 41	1.1	26 27	3.4 1.1	36 51	5. 6.		3.4 2.3	21 29	.0 1.5	49 21	19.4 21.6	35 28	2.3	11 42
ULSTER	1986 1985		100.5 76.8	20 26	60.3 47.6	19 22	17.1 15.3	19	11.6	22 24	9.7 6.1	23 30	12.0 12.2	19 22	2.4	14 31	6.7	16	i2.		4.3	16 33	8.5 5.5	16 16	25.6 27.0	22 27	1.8	13 20
WARREN	1984 1985		39.6 35.9	49 53	19.8	52 50	3.6 3.6	5& 55	7.2 5.4	36 42	3.6 3.6	38 38	5.4 7.2	43 36	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	3. 5.		.0	43 42	3.6 5.4	31 18	16.2 10.8	41 52	.0	33 42
WASHINGTON	1988 1985 -		31.6 17.6	58 58	19.3 12.3	53 56	8.8 5.3	37 47	1.8	57 56	3.5 3.5	40 39	1.8	52 51	.0	32 32	J.5 .0	35 52	- 1.		.0	43 42	8.1 0.	43 51	10.5 5.3	54 59	.0	33 42
NAYNE	1986 1965		50.0 45.7	44	34.1 . 27.4	38 43	6.B 6.9	43 39	8.0 4.9	32 34	3.4 4.6	42 35	11.4	24 48	.0 1.1	32 25	4.5 4.6	27 30	J. 3.		.0 .0	43 42	3.4 3.4	33 26	12.5 14.8	49 44	.0	33 42
WESTCHESTER	1986 1995		154.2 144.1	8	106.7 101.2	7	26.3 22.6	7	13.4	17 15	31.7 32.9	 6	23.9 22.1	5 6	3.6 4.6	8	7.8 7.5	14	19. 16.		6.8 6.0	11 10	12.6 10.6	12 12	27.2 25.0	19 22	. <u>9</u> 1.3	27 29
MADATNE	1986 1985		36.B 17.2	54 59	14.7	58 61	4.9	50 50	7.4 4.9	33 45	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	2.5 .0	42 52	2.		.0	43 42	.0 2.5	49 36	22.1 9.8	28 55	.0	33 42
YATES	1986 1965		66.0 65.2	34 33	37.7 32.6	35 36	,0 4,7	60 50	4.7	50 46	.0	55 52	14.2 9.3	16 31	.0 .0	32 32	18.9 14.0	5 5	4. 1.		.0 4.7	43 15	4.7	26 51	23.6 27.9	23 18	.0	33 42
NEWYORKSTATE	1984 1985		216.6 195.3		146.4 136.8	NA NA	37.1 33.4	na Na	12.8 11.4	NA NA	40.0 57.2	HA NA	19.6 17.9	na Na	8.2 8.5	NA NA	8.8 8.4	HA NA	33. 26.		0.F 8.8	na na	24.B 19.4	NA NA	34.3 30.4	HA HA	2. i 2. û	AA AM
KENADUKCITA	1986 1965		373.2 333.3	na Na	260.1 242.6	NA NA	67.0 65.4	HA NA	15.9 14.2	ĤA HA	120.1 113.9	NA -	26.7 24.1	na Na	_17.1 18.1	NA NA	13.2 12.9	NA NA	43. 49.		16.1 11.6	NA NA	47.7 36.3	HA NA	45.7 36.4	NA NA	3. b 3. 0	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 11B

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES ...

		Relea: To Par	ole	Unde Supervi During	sion Year :	Unde Supervi On 12	sion	Yot	a i	Rui Violal		Hei Arre:		New Fe Convict	tions
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank .	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	86.5 70.8	4 -5	229.0 195.5	4	166.9 142.3	4	94.7 99.1	27 19	15.4 18.0	25 23	49.3 55.9	22 12	20.0 25.2	32 26
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	11.9	54 48	33.7 51.1	52 39	15.8 21.6	59 50	.0 30.5	52 42	.0	36 32	.0 38.5	49 25	.0	36 35
BRONX	1984 1985	191.6 181.3	1	549.8 535.8	1 1	304.6 . 361.1	- 1 1	112.3 126.7	10 9	38.5 40.3	5 6	31.1 36.1	38 27	42.7 50.3	14 5
BROOME	1986 1985	23.7 39.1	34 14	90.6 95.6	22 - 20	56.9 66.4	26 20	151.8 123.2	4	15.7 24.6	24 15	47.1 69.0	24 8	89.0 29.6	3 23
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	£5.2 11.7	50 49	32.B 35.2	53 51	27.0 17.6	50 54	.0 33.3	52 44	.0	3& 32	.0	49	33.3	18 34
CAYUGA	1986 1985	21.3 16.3	41 42	54.3 55.1	39 35	40.1 35.1	36 37	88.9 22.7	25 46	.0 .0	35 32	66.7 22.7	11 37	22.2 .0	31 35
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	20.3 22.2	44 36	52. 4 43.7	42 44	36.3 31.9	. 42 44	26.7 47.6	5i 41	.0	36 32	.0 31.7	49 30	26.7 15.9	25 32
CHENUNG	1986 1985	63.0 56.5	8	144.8 123.9	9 10	91.7 60.4	10 11	145.0 157.9	6 . 4	7.6 17.5	34 24	91.6 96.5	5 2	45.8 43.9	10 7
CHENANGO	1984 1985	8.0 16.0	59 43	40.0 36.0	-51 50	26.0 32.0	51 42	150.0 55.6	5 35	.0	36 32	50.0 55.6	20 13	100.0	1 35
CLINTON	1986 1985	29.4 29.4	24 24	73.9 67.4	28 28	48.0 44.1	30 28	83.3 72.7	29 27	16.7 36.4	23 9	50.0	20 43	16.7 35.4	35 12
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	47.8 23.1	11 33	82.4 61.1	26 31	62.6 34.7	21 - 38	40.0 54.1	4B 37	20.0	21 32	20.0 54.1	47- 16	.0	36 35

			PARO	LE SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S -						ENOKED	AND RET	JKNEI	PAROLEE	S 41	
		Releas To Far		Unde Supervi During	sion	Undo Supervi On 13	ision		Tota	.1	luß Vi ol at			Ner		New F Convic	•
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	ƙate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rai	e	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1984 1985	29.5 25.3	25 30	8.8 69.6	24 26	61.2 59.1	24 24		47,6 60.6	47 33	23.9	17 32		3.B 30.3	46 32	30.3	
DELAWARE	1984 1985	6.4 6.4	60 58	17.0 17.1	61 59	17.0 10.7	58 59		.0	52 51	 . ů	36 32	•"	.0	49 43	.0	
OUTCHESS -	1986 1985	33.9 41.9	17 12	117.5 121.5	12 11	80.2 86.2	14 10		81.4 129.0	. 8 30	32.6 35.5	10 10		26.1 58.1	44 11	22.8 35.5	
ERIE	1984 1985	31.8 34.5	. 20	94.7 100.6	19 17	66.0 62.5	20 22		98.5 116.7	22 12	8.8 19.4	32 22		10.2 10.9	13°	29.5 16.4	
ESSEX	1986 1985	27.5 1.1	28 51	60.6 46.7	35 42	52.3 33.0	29 41		.0	52 51	.0	38 32		.0	417 437	.0	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	20.5 22.8	42 34	41.1 45.7	48 43	32.0 20.6	48 51		146.7	2 51	55.6	32 32	11	.0	1 43	.0 .0	
FULTON	1986 1985	27.5 30.7	29 - 23	71.4 63.2	29 30	47.6 43.3	31 -29		76.9 28.6	35 46	.0 .0	36 32		.0	8 43	.0 28.6	
GENESEE	1984 1985	25.5 15.2	32 44	62.9 57.6	33 34	45.9 37.3	32 35		108.1 264.7	14	27.0 147.1	12 2		31.1 32.2	7 4	.0 29.4	
GREENE	1986 1985	16.6 9.6		40.4 38.6	50 46	35.6 24.1	43 48		.0 62.5	52 31	.0	36 32		.0	49 43	.0 62.5	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	20.0	45 62	20.0	59 62	_ 20.0 .ŭ	54 62	•	.0	52 51	.0 .v	38 32		.0	49 43	.0	
HERKIHER	1986 1985	9.0 6.0	57 59	26.9 14.9	56 60	19.4 17.9			111.1	11 51	55. 6	3 32	,	55.6 .0	16 43	.0	

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES .. Under Under Releases Supervision Supervision Rule Кен New Felony On 12/31 Total Convictions To Parole During Year+ Violations Arrests COUNTY Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rank Rank ƙate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate hate JEFFERSON 1986 22.1 38 59.6 36 37.5 40 111.1 11 . .0 36 37.0 31 74.1 1985 28.2 26 54.2 37 38.4 33 62.5 31 20.8 2û 41.7 24 .0 35 KINGS 1984 117.9 3 319.4 3 218.9 3 104.7 20 33.7 26.4 44.6 12 1985 202.4 15 33.6 42.8 104.1 3 300.7 3 3 104.7 12 28.3 33 9 LEWIS 1984 11.9 55 15.8 .0 36 19.8 60 60 .0 .0 .0 36 1985 4.0 61 11.9 61 7.9 61 .0 51 -.0 32 .0 Ú. 35 LIVINGSTON 1986 27.3 30 64.8 32 44.4 34 78.9 34 26.3 :3 52.6 19 .0 36 1985 27.4 28 58.3 33 37.7 34 .0 51 .0 32 .0 43 Ü. 35 KADISON 1986 12.0 53 54 105.3 28.5 55 19.5 18 .0 36 105.3 .0 36 1985 12.0 47 30.0 54 14.5 54 .0 32 .0 ů. 35 51 43 HONROE 1986 61.0 7 171.5 7 123.3 7 88.0 26 13.3 26 56.4 15 18.3 34 1985 60.9 155.6 109.4 104.8 14 24.6 16 59.3 21.0 29 1986 HONTGOHERY 23,0 36 46.1 44 36.5 41 .0 52 .0 36 .0 .0 36 1985 45 15.2 36.2 49 22.8 ЗÐ 32 35 52.6 52.6 .Û NASSAU 1986 30.5 22 61.2 8.48 25 23 114.9 26.1 14 40.9 27 47.9 7 1985 26.4 29 74.1 24 56.0 96.3 21 23.3 17 30.4 42.6 NEW YORK 1986 129.7 2 335.2 2 225.4 2 143.1 7 57.1 2 31.5 34 54.5 5 1935 112.8 307.2 2 205.2 135.5 44.9 37.4 53.2 3 NIAGARA 1986 45.6 12 125.9 77.5 11 16 76.9 35 11.0 30 40.3 25.6 28 28 1985 47.1 121.0 12 12 11 20.4 44.9 30 11.5 3ů 45.8 22 7.5 34 ONEIDA 1986 30.2 23 BO. 9 27 56.3 27 84.6 20 5.0 35 54.7 18 24.9 29 1995 31.5 21 71.8 25 50.3 26 138.9 -13 55.6 50.0 33.3 13

(Continued on next page.)

BASALE CHEFCHICIAN CAR	

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

			-									:					
			Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Und Superv On 1	ision	-	Ţot.	al	Ru Violal		Ner Arre:		New Fo Convict	•
COUNTY	Year	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank .	Rate	Rank
ONDNDAGA	1984 1995		49.4 47.9	10 10	137.7 142.1	10 8	100.6 87.9			79.9 130.1	32 7	25.1 45.4	15 4	28.2 49.9	41 19	26.6 34.8	
ONTARIO	1986 1985		31.5 28.1	21 27	69.3 67.1	30 29	55.3 36.8			7 9.4 96.8	33 20	.0 14.1	3& 25	31.7 48.4	35 20	47.6 32.3	
ORANGE	1986 1985		29.5 24.9	26 31	89.5 91.9	23 22	58.9 60.9			- 75.4 66.7	37 29	7.9 19.6	33 21	35. <i>7</i> 23.5	34 36	31.7 23.5	20 27
ORLEANS	1986 1985		33.4 33.2	18 20	105.4 92.0	17 21	72.0 71.5			73.2 27.8	39 47	24.4 .0	16 32	.0 27.9	49 34	48.B	8 35
OSNE60	1986 1985		21.7 18.5	39 40	53.5 47.8	41 41	-41.8 31.9			- 31.3 .0		.0	36 32	31.3	37 43	.0	
OTSE60	1984 1985		8.4 6.7	58 57	23.6 32.0	57 52	20.3 15.2			71.4 52.6	40 38	.0	36 32	71.4 52.6	9 17	.0	36 35
PUTNAN	1986 1985		15.9 11.1	48 50	40.4 38.3	49 47	35.5 24.7	44 47		60.6 32.3	44 45	.0 .0	36 32	30.3	39 43	30.3 32.3	22 20
QUEEN3	1984 1985		68.2 64.8	5 გ	194.6 183.0	5 5	136.2 125.8		-	107.1 115.1	15 13	34.2 36.5	8	28.9 35.9	40 28	44.1 42.7	13 10
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		32.3 28.4	- 19 25	99.5 99.8	10 18	67.9 67.4			106.0 125.8	16 10	13.2 13.2	27 28	46.4 79.5	25 6	46.4 33.1	
RICHHOND	1986 1985		40.3 35.3	118	114.3 110.5	14	82.2 74.1			67.8 94.4	42 22	11.7 21.8	29 19	25.7 21.8	45 38	30.4 50.8	21 4
ROCKLAND	1984 1985		16.9 20.0	46 38	56.4 53.9	38 38	39.5 39.6			80.0 55.9		33.3 14.0	8 26	26.7 21.0	42 39	20.0 21.0	
										-							

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 11A

	•		PAROL	E SUPERVIS	ION CASE	5					ENOKED V	ND RETURNED	PAROLEE	S 11	
		Releases To Parol	le .	Unde Supervi During	51 ON	Unde Supervi On 17	sjon	Tota	1	Rul Violat		Nei Arres		- New Fo	•
COUNTY	Year	Rate- R	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank	 Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	20.4 22.0	43 37	67.4 60.0	31 32	45.2 45.7	33 27	39.5 73.5	49 26	13.2	28 32	.0	49 42	26.3 58.8	27 2
SARATOGA	198 6 1985	4.9 5.0	62 60	12.8 17.9	62 50	8.5 8.0	62 60	190.5 103.4	1 16	.0 34.5	36 11	95.2 69.0	- 4 B	95.2 .û	2 35
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	26.0 33.9	31 19	94.5 97.0	20 19	61.9 68.4	22 18	105.6 102.7	17 19	· 21.1	20 31	42.3 75.3	26 7	42.3 20.5	15 30
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	13.4 13.4	52 46	30.2 20.1	54 56	23.5 16.8	52 55	.0 166.7	52 3	.0 144.7	36	.0	49 43	.0	36 35
SCHUYLER	1984 1785	28.7 34.3	27 18	92.0 102.B	21 16	80.5 62.8	13 21	62.5 55.6	43 35	.0	36 32	62. 5 55.6	12 13	.0 .ú	36 35
- SENECA	1986 1985	59.2 82.4	B 4	190.0 167.9	6	127.7 128.2	6 5	49.2	46 51	32.B .0	25 d	16.4	48 43	.0 .0	38 35
STEUBEN	1984 1985	15.5 22.6	49 35	54.7 54.4	40 36	35. l 39. ù	46 31	113.2 10.9	49	18.9	22 32	56.6 18.9	14 40	37.7 .0	18 35
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	37.8 31.1	15 22	- 106.5 83.5	16 23	74.6 68.6	17 17	104.5 81.1	21 25	22.9 13.7	19 27	36.5 24.6	33 35	45.1 42.8	11 8
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	51.0 50.3	9	147.2 127.2	8	107.9 97.6	8 B	108.9 93.0	13 23	29.7 11.6	11 29	39.6 46.5	29 21	39.6 34.9	18 15
TIOGA	198& 1985	23.5 9.9	35 52	56.9 37.7	37 4B	39.2 33.7	38 40	69.0 52.6	41 3B	.0 52.6	36 3	69.0 .0	10 43	.0 .0	36 35
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	24.0 9.1	33 54	43.4 30.6	46 53	35.4 19.3	45 52	105.3 185.2	18 2	.0 37.0	36 7	105.3 148.1	2 1	.0	36 35

⁽ Continued on next page.)

0.000 0	CHEERVICION	CAREE

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES ...

													and the second second			
				Super v	ision Yearl	Supervi	sion		Tota	ıl		ions				
Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rete	Rani		Sate	Rank	Rate	f.ank	Rate	ñank	Rate	Rani
													40.0		** *	
1985 1985		39.0 36.5	15	112.1	15 15	73.3	15		69.4	23 28	23.1	18	34.7	23 29	11.6	
1986		21.6	40	48.6	43	30.6	49.		.0	52	.0	34	.0	49	.0	
1985		7.2	56	50.3	40	27.0	46		33.7	43	0	32	.0	43	35.7	13
1986 1985		5.3 8.8	61 55	21.1 19.4	58 57	12.3 15.9	61 57	-	166.7 90.9	2 24	83.3	1 32	83.3 90.9	6 3		
1986		22.7	37	61.4	34 -	38.6	39		74.1	38	.0	36	37.0	31	37.0	19
1985	· .	17.1	41	48.5	27.	38.8	32		16.7	50	.0	32	16.7	41	.0	35
1986		36.1	16	115.2	13	82.9	11		89.5	24	23.1	18	37.2	30		
1482		40.0	12	104.0	. 14	/8.8	13		102.4	17	23.3	14	- 45.5	. 23	33.4	17
1986 1985		9.8 24.6	56 32	44.1 41.8	45 45	19.6 34.4	55 39		55.4 .0	45 51	.0 .0	36 32	55.6 .0	16 43	.0	
1986		14.2	51	42.5	47	33.0	- 47 -		.0	52	.0	36	.0	49		
1985		18.4	. 39	27.9	55 /	27.9	45		.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	35
1986 1785		72.8 67.9	NA NA	210.2 195.5	NA NA	147.3 137.3	HA NA		121.0 116.1	NA KA	37.6 34.1	NA NA	42.1 41.3	NA NA	41.4 40.8	
1986 1985		115.2	NA NA	316.9 299.2	NA NA	219.5 201.9	NA NA		114.9	NA NA	39.8 35.2	na Na	29.2 33.6	NA NA	45.8 47.3	
	1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985	1786 1785 1786 1785 1786 1785 1786 1785 1786 1785 1786 1785	To Par Year Rate 1986 39.0 1985 36.6 1985 7.2 1986 5.3 1985 8.8 1986 22.7 1985 17.1 1986 36.1 1985 40.0 1986 9.8 1985 24.6 1985 19.6 1986 14.2 1985 19.6	1786 36.1 16.1 1785 40.0 13 1786 21.6 40 1785 7.2 56 1786 5.3 61 1785 8.8 55 1786 22.7 37 1785 17.1 41 1786 36.1 16 1785 40.0 13 1786 7.8 56 1785 24.6 32 1786 39 1785 18.6 39 1785 18.6 39	Releases Superv To Parcle During Year Rate Rank Rate 1986 39.0 14 112.1 1985 36.6 15 165.6 1986 21.6 40 48.6 1985 7.2 56 50.3 1986 5.3 61 21.1 1985 8.8 55 19.4 1985 17.1 41 68.5 1986 36.1 16 115.2 1986 36.1 16 115.2 1986 14.2 51 42.5 1985 18.6 39 27.9 1986 72.8 NA 210.2 1985 67.9 NA 195.5 1986 115.2 NA 316.9	Year Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 39.0 14 112.1 15 1985 36.5 15 165.6 15 1986 21.6 40 48.6 43 1985 7.2 56 50.3 40 1986 5.3 61 21.1 58 1985 8.8 55 19.4 57 1986 22.7 37 61.4 34 1985 17.1 41 68.5 27 1986 36.1 16 115.2 13 1985 40.0 13 109.0 14 1985 40.0 13 109.0 14 1985 24.6 32 41.8 45 1985 14.2 51 42.5 47 1985 18.6 39 27.9 55 1986 72.8 NA 210.2 NA 1986	Releases Supervision Supervision	Releases Supervision Supervision On 12/31 Year Rate Rank Rank Rate Rank Rank Rate Rank Ra	Releases Supervision Supervision On 12/31 Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 39.0 14 112.1 15 79.8 15 1985 36.6 15 165.6 15 73.3 15 1986 21.6 40 48.6 43 30.6 49 1985 7.2 56 50.3 40 27.0 46 1985 8.8 55 19.4 57 15.9 57 1986 22.7 37 61.4 34 38.6 39 1985 17.1 41 68.5 27 38.8 32 1986 36.1 16 115.2 13 82.9 11 1985 40.0 13 109.0 14 78.8 13 1986 36.1 16 115.2 13 82.9 11 1985 40.0 13 1	Releases Supervision Supervision Dn 12/31 Total Year Rate Rank Rat	Releases Supervision Supervision On 12/31 Total Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 39.0 14 112.1 15 79.8 15 97.8 23 1985 36.6 15 105.6 15 73.3 15 69.4 28 1986 21.6 40 48.6 43 30.6 49 .0 52 1985 7.2 56 50.3 40 27.0 46 35.7 43 1986 5.3 61 21.1 58 12.3 61 166.7 2 1985 8.8 55 19.4 57 15.9 57 90.9 24 1986 2.7 37 61.4 34 38.6 39 74.1 38 1985 17.1 41 48.5 27 38.8 32 16.7 50 <tr< td=""><td>Releases Supervision Supervision Supervision Rul Year Rate Rank Rank Rank Rank Rank</td><td> Releases To Parcle During Year Dn 12/31 Total Violations </td><td>Releases Supervision Supervision During Yeart Supervision On 12/31 Rule Violations New Agree Year Rate Rank Rate</td><td> Releases To Parole During Year* Dn 12/31 Total Next Next</td><td> Releases Supervision Supervision Total Violations New Hen Free Free Puring Year Un 17/31 Total Violations Free Hen Free Puring Year Un 17/31 Total Violations Free Hen Fr</td></tr<>	Releases Supervision Supervision Supervision Rul Year Rate Rank Rank Rank Rank Rank	Releases To Parcle During Year Dn 12/31 Total Violations	Releases Supervision Supervision During Yeart Supervision On 12/31 Rule Violations New Agree Year Rate Rank Rate	Releases To Parole During Year* Dn 12/31 Total Next Next	Releases Supervision Supervision Total Violations New Hen Free Free Puring Year Un 17/31 Total Violations Free Hen Free Puring Year Un 17/31 Total Violations Free Hen Fr

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

[•] The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

^{••} The total number of paroless revoked and returned during a given year.

MUTHER F REFERRER RESPOSITIONS A

			tions	•	ittals		issals			osecuted		Family			her		otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent	- 1	Percent		Number	Percent			Percent		Percent		Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	8 5	&6.7 38.5	0	.0	0	.0	•	0	.0		3	25.0 61.5		9.3	12 13	100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	HA HA	0			0	NA NA	-	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	. 0 0	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	72 89	52.6 71.8	0		38 21			5	5.1 4.0		10	7.3 3.2	. 5	5.9 4.0	137 124	
BRODNE	1986 1985		HA 100.0	0	. O	0			0	NA .0		0	HA .û	0	NA U	- 1	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	na Na		0	NA NA		0	na Na	0	NA- NA	0	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	Ů	na na	0	NA NA	0			0	NA NA		0	NA NA	. 0	Ka Ka	0	100.0 100.0
СНАЦТАЦОЦА	1986 1985	0 i	NA 100.0	0	NA . 0	0			0	HA .0	• "	0	. NA	- 0 0	.0	· · · 0	100.0 100.0
CHENUNG	1986 1985	0	AA AA	0		Û			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AŅ A		0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0		0			0	NA NA		0	AK AA	0	HA NA	. 0	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	0 2	NA 100.0	0	NA . O	0	NA .0		0	NA .0		. 0	.0	0	NA .C	2	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	0	O. Na	0	- на	0			0	. O HA		0	100.0 Na	0	.0 AM	1 0	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	HA HA	0	na Na		0	na Na		0	HA NA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	HA HA	0	na An		Ů	HA NA		0	KA IIA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0

TABLE 12A

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS +

			Convi	ctions		ittals		issals		osecuted	 Remov Family	Court	Ott	ier		otal
COUNTY		Year	uaber	Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent
DUTCHESS		. 1986 1985	 0 1	.0 25.0	0 1	.0 25.0	- 0 I	.0 25.0	0	.0	1	100.0 25.0	0	.0	1 4	100.0 100.0
ERIE		1986 1985	4 2	30.8 8.7	- I	7.7 4.3	5 14	38.5 60.9	0	.0	2 4	15.4 17.4	1 2	7.7 8.7	13 23	100.0 100.0
ESSEX		1986 1985	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	RA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN		1986 1985	0	NA .0	0	NA .0	0	NA .0	0	NA .C	0	NA 100.0	0	NA .0	0 1	100.0 100.0
FULTON		1986 1985	0	NA Na	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na	· 0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
GENESEE		1986 1985	0	HA HA	0		. 0	. KA Na	. 0	na Na	0	HA HA	0	NA NA	0	100.0
GREENE		1986 1985	0	- NA Na	0	****	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	-	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0		0	NA NA	0 0	KA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0: 0:	100.0 100.0
HERKIMER		1986 1985	0	AA AA	0	KA KA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON		1986 1985	0	100.0 Na	0	.0 A%	. (j	.O NA	0	.o Na	0 0	NA	0	.O NA	2 0	100.0 100.0
KINGS		1986 1985	138 92	32.8 24.7	2		89 55	21.1 14.0	35 28	8.3 7.5	153 192	36.3 51.6	5 0	1.2	421 372	100.0 100.0
LENIS		1986 1985	0	- NA Nă	0		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	100.0
LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	0	HA NA	() ()	na Na	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	HA NA	0	NA HA	0	100.0 100.0

(Continued on next page.)

B-108

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

		Conviction		Acquittals			ssals		osecuted	Removed Family (Court	Ot	her		Tal	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number Ferc	ent	Number Percen	t	Number	Percent		Percent	Number f			Percent	N	umber	Percent
MADISON	1965 1985	i 10	0.0 KA	. О К О		0	. 0 NA	0	. O NA	0	.O NA	. 0	.0 NA		1 0	100.0 100.0
HONROE	1986 1985		3.3 5.0	0 .		0 1	.0 4.2	0 2	.0 8.3	2	66.7 50.0	0	.0 12.5		3 24	100.0 100.0
HONTGOHERY	1984 1985	0 0	NA NA	0 N		0	NA Na	0	NA NA		NA NA	0	na Na		0	100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985		3.3 0.0	0 .		1 0	6.7	<u>.</u> <u>1</u>	6.7 .0		20.0 50.0	1 0	6.7 .0		15 8	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985		9. 2 5. 4			99 93	41.9	11 16	5.0 6.5	30 39	13.5 15.9	0	.0 1.2		222 246	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	2 6 0	6.7 .0	0 .		1	-33.3 100.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	. 0 . 0		3 1	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985		3.3 0.0	0		0 0	.0 .0	1 0	33.3	1 0	33.3	0	.0		3	100.0 100.0
ONDNDAGA	1986 1985		5. b 3. 3	0 Ù		. 2 1	22.2 6.7	0 - û	.0	2 6	22.2 40.0	0	.0		9 15	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0 N		0	AA AA	0	NA NA -	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0 N		()- ()	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0 0	na Na		0	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	O Ü	NA NA	0 H		0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
05 \ E60	1986 1985	0 0	NA ····································	0 N		. ()	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
015680	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0 H		0	na Na	Û	NA NA	0 0	HA Na	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS #

			ctions	Acqui	ittals	Dism	issals		nsecuted	Family	ed To Court	Other		otal
CGUNTY	Year		Percent	Nucber 	Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent	r Percent		Percent
PUTNAN	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0 NA 6 NA	- 0 . 0	100.0 100.0
OUEENS	1986 1985	60 36	46.9 37.5	,	2.3 2.1	30 23	23.4 24.0	0	.0 1.0	35 36	27.3 37.5	1 .8	128 96	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		NA NA	0	NA NA		AH AK	. 0 Û	NA NA	0	NA NA	O NA O NA	0 0	
RICHMOND	1986 1985	2 2	16.7 20.0	- O Ů	.0	3	25.0 50.0	3 2	25.0 20.0	4	33.3 10.0	0.0	12 10	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	o 0	ne Na	0	NA NA	O NA O NA	0	-
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	. 0	NA NA	: 0 0	NA NA	. 0	na Na	0 NA 0 NA	0	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	.0	NA .O	0	NA .O	0	NA . U	- 0	KA .0	0	NA .0	0 NA 1 100.0	0	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	0	. 0 Na	0	.O NA	0	O. NA	0	.O NA	10.	100.0 NA	0 .0 0 NA	_ 1 0	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	NA NA	O NA O NA	0	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	0 0	NA NA	0	ан Ан	· .0	na Na	0 0	HA NA	0	NA NA	O NA O NA	0	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	ů Ú	NA NA	0	NA NA	O NA O NA	0	
STEUBEN	1984 1985	0	NA .O	0	NA .0	1	NA 100.0	0	NA . O	0	NA .ů	0 NA 0 .0	0	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	8 16	36.4 30.2	10	4.5	i 1	4.5 1.9	. O Ú	.0	31 8	36.4 58.5	4 18.2 5 9.4	22 53	

TABLE 12A

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

			ctions		•	ittals	 Disai	ssals		oseculed	Family	ed To Court		OLI	her		ntal
COUNTY	Yea		Percent			Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent	Nu		Percent	Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	198	Q 0	HA NA		0	NA NA	0 ()	NA NA	. 0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	na Na	0	
TIOGA	198	0	NA NA		0	NA HA	0	AA AA	0	Ka Ha	0	HA HA		0	NA NA	0	
TOMPKINS	196 196	0	na Na		0	HA HA	0	NA HA	0	NA NA	0	NA KA		0	na Pa	0	
ULSTER	198	0 1	NA 100.0		0 ù	NA .0		NA .O	0 Û	- NA	0	HA .O		0	HA .0	0	100.0 100.0
HARREN	198	0 0	NA HA		0	NA NA	0	na Na	Ú	NA NA	0	NA Na		0	NA NA	0 0	
WASHINGTON	198	- Q	. NA NA		0	HA - HA	0 0	KA NA	, 0 0	HA HA	0	NA NA		0	- NA NA	0	
WAYNE	198	1 0	100.0 NA		- 0 0	O. AH	0	.0 NA	0	.o NA	0	.0 NA		0	.0 NA	i 0	100.0 100.0
NESTCHESTER	196	10 14	76.9 70.0		0	.0 5.0	0 2	.0 10.0	2	15.4	1 3	7.7 15.0		0	.0	13 20	
MAONING	191	0	NA NA	-	0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0 0	NA NA	0	
YATES	196 196	0	NA Na		0	NA NA	0· 0	NA NA	0	HA NA	0	na Na		0	NA NA	0	
NEW YORK STATE	198	410 369	40.2 36.2		10 10		263 225	25.8 22.1	60 54		257 342	25.2 33.6		21 19	2.1	1,021	
HEN YORK CITY	191 191	359 307	39.0 36.2		· 8	.9	253 203	27.5 23.9	58 52		2 32 272	25.2 32.0		14	1.5	921 849	

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, disaissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SENTENCES AS-A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS *

COUNTY	Year	State Facility		Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
ALBANY	1986 1985	87.5 100.0	•	.0	.0	12.5 .0	.0 .0	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	NA NA		MA NA	NA NA	KA NA	AN AH	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	37.5 31.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.5 14.6	6.9 7.9	38.9 42.7	4.2 3.4	100.0 100.0
BROOME	1984 1985	NA 100.0		NA .0	NA .O	NR .O	NA . U	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	NA NA		NA Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	NA NA		- NA Na	NA NA	na Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1984 1985	NA 100.0		NA .0	NA .O	NA .0	NA .0	100.0 100.0
CHEMUN6	1984 1985	HA NA		NA Na	NA NA	HA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	HA NA		HA NA	NA NA	NA NA	HA NA	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	. 1986 1985	NA 50.0		NA .0	NA . O	NA 50.0	NA .0	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1984 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	HA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	HA NA	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1985 1985	na Na		NA NA	KA NA	NA NA	NA HA	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

	COUNTY		Year	ate Fac				al Jail	-		Local and Prob	pation	 		obation	 	 Other	-		Total onvictions
	DUTCHESS		1986 1985	Ni . 1))	•		NA .0			HI L			:	NA 100.0		HA . O			100.0 100.0
	ERIE		1986 1985	75.6 50:0				25.0 .0			, (,()			.0 50.0		.0			100.0
	ESSEI		1986 1965	N		,		NA NA			Ni Ri				NA NA		NA NA			100.0 100.0
	FRANKLIN		1985 1985	- R				HA HA		- ,	HI · N	A			na Na		na Na			100.0 100.0
B-112	FULTON		1986 1985	- N				NA NA			. H	A A			NA NA		NA NA			100.0 100.0
•	GENESEE		1984 1985	H. N	4			NA NA			Hi N				NA NA		NA NA			100.0 100.0
	GREENE		1986 1985	H	A -			NA NA			H				HA Na		NA NA			100.0 100.0
	HAMILTON		1984 1985	H				NA NA			Ri Ni				NA NA		NA NA			100.0 100.0
	HERKINER		1986 1985	H	A A			NA NA			- H				NA NA		NA NA			100.0 106.0
	JEFFERSON		1986 1985	100. N	0 A			.O NA			H				.O Na		.O NA			100.0 100.0
	KINGS		1986 1985	29. 37.	0 0			8.7 12.0			20. 17.	3 <i>-</i> 4			39.1 30.4		2.9 3.3			100.0 100.0
-	LEWIS		1984 1965	N	A A			NA NA			. H				NA NA		na Na			100.0 100.0
	LIVINESTON		1984 1985	Н	A A			NA NA			H	A A			NA NA		NA NA			100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUNTY	Year 	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Frobation	Other	Total Convictions
HAD150N	1984	100.0	, O	.O	.0	.0	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	Ан	100.0
HONROE	1986 1985	100.0 16.7	.0 16.7	.0 66.7	.0	.0 .0	100.0 100.0
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	-NA	NA Na	NA NA	NA	HA NA	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1984 1985	62.5 50.0	12.5	.0	25.0 25.0	.0 25.0	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986	39.1	2.3	3.4	55.2	.0	100.0
	1985	52.9	12.6	1.1	29.9	3.4	100.0
NIAGARA	1984	100.0	. O	.O	. O	.O	100.0
	1985	NA	Ha	Na ,	Na	Na	100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	.0	.0	.0 100.0	100.0	.0	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	.0 50.0	20.0 .0	.0	80.0 50.0	.0	100.0 109.0
ONTARIO	1986	NA	NA -	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
ORANGE	. 1986	NA	AA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	Na	100.0
ORLEANS	1986	NA	NA	KA	na	HA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	Na	Na	NA	100.0
DSNEGO -	1986	NA	AA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	Na	Na	NA	100.0
OTSE60	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	MA	100.0
	1985	Na	Na	Na	Na	NA	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUNTY	Year 	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
PUTNAN	198 å	na	NA	HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	Na	Na	NA	Na	100.0
DUEENS	1984	20.0	8.3	25.0	45.0	1.7	100.0
	1985	36.1	5.6	2.8	47.2	8.3	100.0
REHSSELAER	1986 1985	NA NA	NA - 1	NA NA	NA RA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	50.0 50.0	.0	.0 .	50.0 50.0	.0	100.0 190.0
ROCKLAND	198å	HA	AM	HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	AK	Na	NA	NA	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1988	NA	NA	NA	NA	AN	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	AN	100.0
SARATOGA	1984	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1984	NA	NA	HA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	HA	NA	NA	Na	NA	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1984	NA	NA	NA	NA	АН	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	АИ	100.0
SCHUYLER	1984	NA	NA	HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0
SENECA	1986	NA	AK	HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA	100.0
STEUBEN	1984	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	Na	Na	NA	100.0
SUFFOLK	1984	25.0	12.5	.0	50.0	12.5	100.0
	1985	18.8	12.5	37.5	18.8	12.5	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
SULL IVAN	1984 1985	NA NA	NA NA	HA NA	HA Ah	NA NA	100.0 100.0
TIDGA	1984 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA IIA	na Ka	NA Hà	100.0 106.0
TOMPKINS	1984 1985	NA NA	AN AN	AH AH	HA NA	NA NA	190.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1585	NA .0	NA .0	NA .0	NA . O	NA 100.0	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1984 1985	NA Na	HA NA	NA NA	NA Na	NA Na	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1984 1985	KA Hi	na Xa	NA "	MA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1984 1985	100.9 NA	. 0 НА	.O NA	.e Na	.O NA	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1984 1985	40.0 42.9	10.0	40.0 28.6	10.0 14.3	.0 14.3	100.0 100.0
HAGHING	1984 1985	NA HA	HA HA	NA Ha	NA Na	** XA	100.0 100.0
YATES	.1984 1965	HA	HA Ah	HA KA	NA Na	HA NA	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	34.6 39.8	8.0 16.8	13.4 10.8	41.7	2.2 4.9	100.0 100.0
HER YORK CITY	1986 1985	31.8 39.7	7.8 12.1	9.1 9.1	44.0 36.2	2.2 3.9	100.0 100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: HYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1984 -1986

AFFENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARKESTS, 1985 ARKESTS, AND 1986 ARKESTS AND THE AVERAGE FEACENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARKESTS LADULTS ONLY) .

							PERCENT O	F DISPOSITIONS	REPORTED			<u> </u>		AVERAGE PERC OF DISPOSE	
				-	1984 Arrest	s		1985 Arrests			1986 Arres	ls		1984-86 ARRE	
COUNTY			-	Total	Felony	Misdeaeanor	Intal	Felony	Misdebeanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeneanor	Total	Felony	hisdemeanor
albany				88.2	84.3	69.8	91.2	67.2	92.7	79.1	73.0	81.4.	86.0	81.3	87.9
ALLEGANY -				57.6	41.6	54.5	50.3	55.9	56.9	52.4	44.3	55.3	56.0	52.9	56.9
ERONX				89.4	90.2	88.3	87.8	89.4	85.B	74.8	75.3	74.1	83.5	84.4	82.5
ROOME				62.1	84.3	B1.4	80.7	79.9	81.Ú	71.3	67.3	72.5	77.8	77.1	78.1
ATTARAUBUS				47.8	40.5	49.1	70.0	45.4	71.1	61.1	53.7	52.B	46.1	59.5	67.5
AYUGA				68.4	72.3	67.5	76.2	80.2	75.1	52,0	51.8	52.1	46.2	49.0	45.8
AUQUATUAH				78.5	73,1	79.7	82.3	77.8	B3.3	70.9	88.4	71.5	77.1	72.9	78.0
CHEMUN6				85.3	64.6	79. L	B1.3	79.0	81.9	49.1	62.7	71.0	76.9	75.4	77.4
CHENANGO				45,3	58.9	66.6	70.7	74.2	70.1	51.2	48.8	63.3	45.9	60.9	66.8
LINTON				76.1	76.6	74.0	74.1	72.0	74.7	59.5	53.2	61.7	69.9	45.9	71.0
OLUMBIA -				74.6	75.a	74.2	74.9	73.2	75.1	69.B	57.5	70.5	73.2	72.3	73.5
ORTLAND				82.6	85.1	82.5	80.5	78.5	89.8	62.2	58.2	43.1	75.1	72.4	75.6
ELAHARE				49.5	. 72.1	49.0	73.8	12.5	74.0	59.0	58.1	59.3	67.3	6.64	67.5
UTCHESS			-	65.2	52.1	26.1	86.2	54.0	£7.0	67.5	60.7	76.0	79.6	75.1	81.2
RIE				83.6	85.0	B3.1	84.9	85.5	84.7	76.5	73.2	69.5	79.5	. B1.1	78.9
SSEI	-			73.9	6.83	75.4	76.3	71.3	77.4	43.3	55.2	66. l	70.8	43.8	72.8
RANKLIN				75.7	75.2	75.8	74.1	73.0	74.3	48.5	63.6	49.3	72.5	70.9	72.9
ULTON	· .			78.E ·	81.9	77.8	79.7	78.6	60.2	74.8	47.B	76.4	77.8	76.9	78.1
ENESSE				46.4	75.3	67.1	49.7	58.2	72.3	62.9	49.3	66.1	66.8	59.8	68.5
REENE				75.9	1 71.6	77.7	77.7	75.5	78.4	69.5	64.7	71.1	73.9	70.1	75.3
AHILTOH				48.8	89.9	66.2	50.0	83.3	39.5	47.6	50.0	47.1	54.0	73.5	50.5
ERI IKER				62.1	67.8	66.7	67.3	49.7	67.0	52.1	48.6	53.3	60.4	40.2	60.5
EFFERSON				71.5	76.9	69.5	72.1	75.0	71.1	67.0	44.6	68.1	70.2	71.7	69.6
IkGS				86.3	86.9	B5.4	82.3	63.6	60.7	66.3	8.86	63.3	77.4	79.0	75.2
ENIS				61.7	69.8	59.9	65. J	81.3	60.6	47.5	51.5	44.4	58.9	38.0	55.9
IVINGSION				76.9	73.6	77.4	78.0	76.2	78.2	60,4	49.4	62.5	71.6	64.2	72.7
AD150H				73.7	70.2	73.2	72.4	67.9	73.4	61.5	.59.4	65.7	49.9	67.1	70.6
DNAOE		•		67.3	83.8	90.9	72.4	70.5	73.6	59.0	51.9	61.2	72.9	67.5	74.6
ONTGOMERY				72.9	77.5	71.9	72.1	76.1	71.3	56.2	52.7	59.3	67.2	17.8	67.1
ASSAU				86.6	90.9	B7.7	BO. 1	85.5	77.6	55.7	£1.6	53.1	74.7	79.0	72.9
EN YGKK				90.9	90.9	90.9	90.2	84.4	9Ú. L	79.4	77.5	80.5	85.5	65.7	87.0
LABARA				90.4	B9.1	90.6	90.6	85.9	92.0	77.5	66.2	81.0	85.8	79.9	87.6
NEIDA				75.1	74.7	75.2	74.6	17.7	73.5	44.1					
NON:CAGA				B1.4	74.9	64.3	78.2	71.0	73.3 81.9	51.9	4:.6	54.9 59.8	71.0	71.1	71.0
NIAKIO				72.7	74.0	72.4	70.4	71.0	61.7 69.0	55.6	45.9	54.B	71.2	63.9	74.7
RANGE				E0.4	79.0	81.1	91.5	77.2 78.0	67.0 B2.7	57.6	69.7 49.2	51.8 59.5	69.3	73.4	4.63
SLEANS				69.4	75.9	67.8		78.3					72.6	67.8	74.3
SKEGO				79.6	77.3		70.7		67.2	55.6	54.0	54.3	65.3	44.2	43.9
15500						80.ú	76.8	70.5	78.5	62.2	56.7	63.1	72.6	1.84	73.7
				71.6	43.9	73.1	77.5	75.0	79.1	42.9	10.5	53.4	76.9	54.7	:1.7
HASSTU				82.4	13.1	81.7	81.4	56.3	65.4	53.2	44.0	49.3	74.E	56.7	79.4

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1905 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS (ABULTS GHLT) . - Continued

				. PERCENT O	F DISPOSITION	IS REPORTED			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED				
		1981 Arrests			1985 Arrests			1986 Arrests			1984-86 ARRESTS			
CONITY	Total	Felony	Misdeneanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeoeanor		
						• •								
GUEENS	90.B	92.2	89.5	99.1	90.0	89.3	73.8	73.8	73.8	84.0	84.2	83,7		
RENSSELAER	78.3	74.4	79.4	77.8	76.1	79.3	66.6	50.4	66.4	74.0	49.9	75.1		
R1CHNOND	92.1	92.8	91.7	90.5	92.4	89.3	76.3	78.9	74.6	86.1	87.8	85.0		
KOCKLAND	- 74,8	76.0	74.3	75.8	79.0	74.7	56.0	53.5	57.1	69.3	49.1	69.4		
ST. LAWRENCE	52.1	29.0	54.9	54.3	34.8	59.1	51.2	32.3	54.5	52.5	31.9	56.5		
SAFA196A	78.1	75.7	78.3	84.4	80.5	85.5	76.3	6.46	78.4	79.7	74.4	8ė.8		
SCHENECTADY	98.7	85.1	99.7	91.8	89.4	92.5	77.2	66.9	80.3	95.6	86.0	87.3		
SCHOHARIE	55.9	70.5	54.3	70.9	78.3	69.7	62.6	44.0	61.1	63,2	70.7	61.6		
SCHUYLER	70.9	70.8	71.Ú	74.3	74.0	74.3	68.3	46.7	48.7	71.2	76.6	71.3		
SENECA	71.6	62.0	73.4	63.2	. 66.7	62.3	52.ė	53.6	52.3	62.3	4.04	52.7		
STEUBEN	71.9	E0.8	49.9	73.4	71.6	73.9	ė3. 3	58.6	64.6	69.3	69.4	69.3		
SUFFOLK	84.5	65.0	96.0	83.1	63.9	82.8	53.9	6.06	51.7	74.5	77.5	73.5		
SULL IVAN	65.0	· 83.1	85.6	81.3	77.0	82.6	A5.8	61.1	67.8	77.6	73.4	79.1		
IIOGA	78.0	76.0	78.3	75.8	81.1	74.6	58.0	57.3	58.1	76.0	49.7	70.0		
TOMPKINS	B2.1	77.8	83.0	78.1	49.0	79.9	60.3	43.2	64.0	73.1	12.2	75.4		
ULSTER	69.9	65.9	71.2	70.0	61.9	72.6	65.6	59.2	67.7	42.4	\$2.2	70.4		
HARREN	87.5	89.5	87.1	89.7	88.0	90.0	80.2	BÓ. 1	80.2	85.7	85.4	85.7		
WASHINGTON	63.0	51.9	63.3	62.9	43.3	62.8	55.9	41.B	55.4	40.9	62.3	40.5		
HAYRE	62.3	40.8	62.6	48.5	45.3	69.1	67.6	58.6	69.3	46.2	61.6	47.0		
WESTCHESTER	67.7	69.0	67.2	74.4	77,5	73.1	65.5	70.8	63.3	69.2	72.5	67.9		
WYDHING	42.0	45.1	36.2	43.4	53.5	38.4	33.0	12.9	30.4	39.5	57.5	35.1		
YATES	70.5	93.3	67.8	66.7	82.1	55.1	57.4	5e.5	57.0	62.2	71.3	59.5		
NEW YORK STATE	35.3	86.9	94.4	83.8	85.1	83.1	49.4	70.3	69.2	79.2	80.3	78.6		
NEW YORK CITY	E9.5	89.7	89. 4	97.8	87.8	87.0	74.6	73.8	75.2	83.5	83.3	93.7		
									14.1	63.3	43.3	45.7		


I This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1907. 'Interio' dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.


SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES





APPENDIX E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES 1

OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	all	D
Assault 1st ²	120.10	all	C
Aggravated Assault on			
Peace Officer2	120.11	00	В
Manslaughter 1st ²	125.20	a11	В
Murder 2nd, <u>Attempted</u>	125.25		В
Rape 1st ²	130.35	all	В
Sodomy 1st ²	130.50	all	B B 3 D
Sexual Abuse 1st	130.65	all	D
Agg. Sexual Abyse ²	130.70	all	В
Kidnapping 2nd ²	135.20	. 00	B B C B B
Kidnapping 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	135.25	all	В
Burglary 2nd ²	140.25	all	C .
Burglary 1st ²	140.30	a 1.1	В
Arson 2nd∠	150.15	all	
Arson 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	150.20	all	В
Robbery 2nd ²	160.10	all	B C B
Robbery 1st ²	160.15	all	
Intimidation 2nd4	215.16	all	D B
Intimidation 1st ^{2,4}	215.17	all	
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ²	265.02	04,05	D
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd2	265.03	00.	C
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st ²	265.04	all	C B C B
Crim. Use Firearm 2nd ²	265.08	. all	C
Crim. Use Firearm 1st∠	265.09	all	
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00	. D

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd	125.25	all	A-1
Murder 1st, Including	. •		• .
Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00,01,02,03	D

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

 $^{^2}$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

 $^{^3}$ These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

⁴Effective 11/1/86.

APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

<u>Board of Prisoners</u>. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- o <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- o <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- State Readies: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

Community Treatment Programs. The Department of Correctional Services has in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child, or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate; these funds are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

<u>Victim and Witness Assistance Program</u>. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and,
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological, and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Funding and Program Assistance.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapid increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971. When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing presentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging

defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays, and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organization Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

Law Guardian Back-Up Center. Statewide Youth Advocacy of Rochester has received a legislative appropriation to establish the Law Guardian Back-Up Center. This Center will provide technical assistance and training to law guardians practicing before family courts primarily outside of New York City. Training and legal research will cover JD, PINS, and abuse/neglect proceedings. Social work and legal assistance will also be provided. A brief bank and library will be developed, as will an inventory of dispositional alternatives and community-based programs. Updates will be provided on legal and legislative developments. Videotaped training to be used in rural counties will be developed. Staff will include two lawyers and one social worker.

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buying-money." In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Unit.

Narcotics Control. The federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 established a program of grants to State and local agencies for drug law enforcement. Under this Act, the State and localities are eligible for funds under the Narcotics Control Assistance Formula Grant Program. New York State is eligible for \$111,539,00 in federal fiscal year 1987. It is anticipated that the federal award for FY 1988 and FY 1989 will be for the same amount.

The New York State Legislature has identified the following priority areas: apprehension, prosecution and adjudication of persons who violate State and local controlled substances laws, as defined in the penal and public health laws; additional correctional resources for such persons; programs to eliminate the growth and production of controlled substances, programs for drug-dependent offenders; and demonstration programs to identify major offenders and move these offenders expeditiously through the judicial system.

The distribution of funds will be in the ratio of 39.61 between State agency programs and local government programs. These federal funds must be matched on a cash basis with one dollar in non-federal funds for every three dollars in federal funds.

Nassau County Service to Rape Victims Task Force. Advocacy services for victims of sexual assault include the following: hospital advocacy (support, information, referral, follow-up); criminal justice system advocacy; recruitment, supervision, and training of volunteers; newsletters; field placement for students; public information through public speaking and distribution of literature.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police. The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

New York City School Security Officer Program. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulation, workshops, and training seminars.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Offender Aid and Restoration of Western New York, Inc., (OAR/WNY). (OAR/WRY) delivers a wide variety of services to ex-offenders and their families. OAR/WRY conducts workshops that train ex-offenders in setting employment goals and completing applications. Ex-offenders learn about employer expectations and techniques for successful job interviews and job retention. The family services program delivers support and counseling to families before, during, and after the offender's incarceration and helps the family in making requests for assistance through social service agencies. The program seeks to address the needs of the ex-offenders in order to facilitate their successful reentry into society.

<u>Prisoners' Legal Services</u>. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie, and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities, and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984 to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

<u>Special Narcotics Court Program</u>. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized, and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and an enhanced level of criminal parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

<u>Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program</u>. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program

(S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

<u>Program for Ex-Offenders</u>. Transitional services program designed to expedite the reintegration of ex-offenders into the community upon their release from Suffolk County Correctional facilities. A multi-service, multi-disciplinary, inter-agency approach including therapy, family counseling, supplementary education, job training, job placement, legal aid, needs-based payments, and linkages to services for areas outside the program's scope.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major Violent Offense Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

<u>Iransit Crime Interdiction Program</u>. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

Transit Strike Force. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime. Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the

subway system. The crimes include homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies which are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners, and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

<u>Community Patrol Officer Program</u>. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

Westchester Datafacs. The Westchester Datafacs System is a regional network of nine photofacsimile transmitters placed strategically throughout the country to service the Criminal History Record Information requests of forty local police departments. The Criminal History Record Information, or "rap-sheet," is transmitted from the Criminal History Repository located at the Division of Criminal Justice Services in Albany to the requesting local police department. In turn, the information is then forwarded to the District Attorney and Court enabling the presiding judge to make a better informed bail/bond or release decision.

Information expedites the criminal justice process and serves to reduce the number of defendants detained in the County Jail facility. In this sense, the Datafacs System is truly an alternative to incarceration.

DIVISION OF PAROLE

<u>Parole Resource Center</u>. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

<u>Parole Transitional Facilities</u>. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve services performance.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

"Classifications/Alternatives" Bill. The "Classifications/Alternatives" bill (Chapters 907 & 908, Laws of 1984) was enacted on August 6, 1984 to help reduce overcrowding pressures in the county jails, to facilitate more efficient and practical jail population management, and to support the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The statute reflects a unique and effective linkage of two distinct criminal justice issues to facilitate reduced reliance on confinement and more efficient utilization of that significant scarce resource -- jail beds. This legislation reduces the number of state mandated classification categories in local correctional facilities from twelve to four. However, in order for a county to take advantage of this reduced classification system, it must prepare and carry out a service plan (approved by the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives) for the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The service plan must be the collaborative product of a county advisory board composed of the key criminal justice decision makers, and must present a comprehensive overview of the local criminal justice system which serves to identify target populations, factors contributing to overcrowding, programmatic needs, and specific proposals for the use of state aid available under the bill. In fiscal year 1986-87, a total of \$3,050,000 was available to all counties and the City of New York. These funds are to be distributed according to a modified population-based formula outlined in the statute.

In the two and one-half years that have passed since enactment of this legislation, important and far-reaching changes have taken place in the activities and organization of local criminal justice systems. Almost eighty percent of the jurisdictions in New York State have taken advantage of the bill's provisions. Forty-four counties and the city of New York submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and have now implemented alternatives to incarceration programs. During State fiscal year 1986-87 (the second year of funding) a total of 67 programs were supported and operated consistent with the counties' service plans.

<u>Demonstration Projects</u>. These projects generally fall into one of four program models: community service, defendant-based advocacy, pre-trial, and offender rehabilitation/specialized services. In FY 1986-87 there were 31 such projects. During the current fiscal year, FY 1987-88, 28 of these 100% State funded projects are expected to provide services to more than 15,000 clients.

Demonstration projects are designed to reduce the incidence of incarceration through the use of community-based alternatives that emphasize public safety and cost effectiveness.

Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (continued)

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The January 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

Regular State Aid. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

Community Based Organization. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

Community Care Program. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism, and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

<u>Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

<u>Job Development</u>. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living Support. Gives the Division the authority and financial capability to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand this program. Statutory provisions, which were modified during 1985, permit the expansion of this program into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which DFY was formerly limited.

Special Delinquency Prevention Program. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk to help prevent unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH (continued)

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

<u>Voluntary Agency Care</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psychosocial and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Salaries of District Attorneys. Pursuant to County Law 700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs are targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories. Responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88.

APPENDIX G

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to NYC agencies that provide services citywide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the NYC and State profiles.

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY_87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9
Division for Youth ³	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴		· ·	47.5	47.5	NA	52.5	
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,387.9	128,159.9	70.1%	94,355.2	109,970.7

 $^{^{}m 1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

•			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	14.8	10.9	NA		·
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	9,699.7	132.8%		
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	284.9	1,659.7	NA		-
State Readies ³				1,064.9	NA		*
Community Treatment Programs ⁴		215.1	434.2		NA NA		
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	214.4	NA NA	- 1	
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 36-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,354.3	-5.2%	5,206.0	8,507.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	543.2	18.5%	700.3	1,203,1
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	140.5	26.8%	143.6	260.2
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	43.3	0.0
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3

 $^{^{}m 1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $^{^{2}}$ FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative			0.0	55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection	. :	175.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	2,090.9	18.8%	2,133.0	2,133.0
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	722.1	834.8	956.0	956.0	32.4%	1,028.0	1,069.0
Justice Assistance Act ⁵					NA	1,013.5	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	884.0	-10.1%	975.2	1,055.1
Major Offense Police Program	5,915.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	6,624.0	18.0%	6,756.5	7,161.9
Narcotics Control ⁷	+-				NA		4,006.9
NYC School Security ⁸ Officer Program	~-				NA	0.0	250.0
Soft Body Armour Reimbursement Program	, 		0.4	0.0	NA		
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰			1,395.0	1,299.5		1,440.0	1,400.0
Target Crime Initiative Program 11	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	16,521.5	31.0%	16,789.5	17,433.0
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹²		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,322.6	NA NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force 13		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Patrol ¹⁴ Officer Program					, NA	100.0	150.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37.897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{^{}m 3}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^{5}}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year

⁸ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{^{10}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹² This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
CITYWIDE 1 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropr	<u>iations</u>			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ²	398.0	511.4	477.0	516.8	29.8%	654.8	774.8
'Parole Transitional ³ Facilities	, '	37.1	172.9	309.1	NA	496.1	496.1
Employment Services ⁴ Project	,		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9

 $^{^{}m 1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 85-87	FY 87-88
Classification ² Alternatives		**	·	1,130,9	NA	0.0	1,302.8
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.6	2,074.6	270.9%	1,664.3	2,511.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	1,478.9	53.8%	3,399.3	4,569.5
PINS Program ³			,		NA	631.7	1,314.6
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	12.065.3	35.2%	13,574.8	13,855.4
TOTAL	10,446.8	11.893.7	12.508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23.553.9

 $^{^{1}}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

.0 835.2		% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
.0 835.2	2,191.8			
.0 835.2	2,191.8	401 701		
	• -	131.7%	2,213.0	5,023.6
.0 9,641.7	16,947.8	123.9%	9,723.5	9,043.0
.2 522.9	516.6	27.3%	691.4	645.9
.0 0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
	69.7	NA	.0.0	1,139.3
.9 3,728.1	9,201.4	103.6%	0.0	0.0
.1 10,628.5	25,024.4	127.8%	14,041.7	14,077.1
.1 25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9
	.2 522.9 .0 0.09 3,728.1	.2 522.9 516.6 .0 0.0 0.0 69.7 .9 3,728.1 9,201.4 .1 10,628.5 25,024.4	.2 522.9 516.6 27.3% .0 0.0 0.0 -100.0% 69.7 NA .9 3,728.1 9,201.4 103.6% .1 10,628.5 25,024.4 127.8%	.2 522.9 516.6 27.3% 691.4 .0 0.0 0.0 -100.0% 0.0 69.7 NA .0.0 .9 3,728.1 9,201.4 103.6% 0.0 .1 10,628.5 25,024.4 127.8% 14,041.7

 $^{^{1}}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^3}$ Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

 $^{^{\}rm 4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

⁵ The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

APPENDIX H

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the State profile.

Table 1
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5
Division of Parole							
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7
Other Local Aid					• .		
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, NA 1		525.0
TOTAL	14,008.2	15,375.5	14,344.0	20,948.3	49.5%	53,930.6	69,232.2

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	51.5	55.5
Felons	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA.	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ³			·	0.0	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ⁴		0.0	0.0		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	,0.0	0.0	0.0	, NA:	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0

 $^{^{}m l}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^3}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	2,803.0	4,190.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	899.5	0.0
TOTAL	821.7	1,088.1	1.078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $^{^2}$ FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative			0.0	0.0	NA		· ·	
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection		0.0	0.0	0:0	na Na	0.0	695.0	
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	NA NA	597.8	628.4	
Justice Assistance Act ⁵	·				NA	1,611.6		
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency	1 200 1	1 or 7 o			100.0	1 000 0	1 070 0	
Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	0.0	-100.0%	1,088.9	1,078.9	
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0	
Narcotics Control ⁷					NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6,070.2	
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0	
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5	
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2	
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	0.0	60.1	-90.5%		487.0	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰			0.0	0.0	NA	20.0	10.0	

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Tampat Cuina								
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	1,012.9	1.182.0	1,240.1	746.8	-26.3%	766.8	849.3	
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5	

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 $^{^{3}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^{5}}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{^{10}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

APPENDIX H

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures		أحاب وسينون	<u>Appropri</u>	<u>ations</u>
Y 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
			0.0	NA	0.0	83.2
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	100.0	39.5
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	199.3
		**	. · · ·	NA	2.8	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	279.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. • NA •	102.8	601.0
	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA	0.0 NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 0.0 NA 2.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA 0.0

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

³-This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures					Appropri	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ²	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Community Care ³ Initiative	- 	: •			NA	219.0	219.0	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	1,951.4	5,131.7%	131.9	0.0	
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	330.0	. NA	274.5	0.0	
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	12,092.2	60.9%	9,553.5	8,222.7	
TOTAL	7,554.9	8.781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7	

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^2}$ The FV 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁴ Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

 $^{^{5}}$ Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

APPENDIX I JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES

JUVENILE OFFENDER CRIMES¹

(as of 1/1/87)

AGE	OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
13-15 14-15	Murder 2nd Murder 2nd ² Kidnapping 1st ² Arson 1st Manslaughter 1st Rape 1st Sodomy 1st Aggravated Sexual Abuse ³ Robbery 1st Burglary 1st Arson 2nd Robbery 2nd Assault 1st Burglary 2nd	125.25 125.25 135.25 150.20 125.20 130.35 130.50 130.70 160.15 140.30 150.15 160.10 120.10	01,02 03 all all 01,02 01,02 all all all 00 02 01,02 01,02	A-1 A-1 A-1 B B B B B C C

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 10.00(18).

 $^{^2}$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³Effective 9/1/81.

REFERENCES

- Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u>. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.
- New York State Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.
- New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.
- New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. Resident Employment Status and the Civilian Labor Force: January 1984 October 1986.
- New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.
- New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.
- New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Justice Systems Analysis and the Office of Administrative Services.
- . New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).
- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.
- Report. March, 1987.
- New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.
- New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.
- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of Population</u>: 1980. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Each requester will receive one volume free of charge; a \$2.00 charge to cover postage and handling will be applied to each additional volume requested.

To order by phone, please call (518) 457-8381. To order by mail, please place a check mark () next to the volumes you would like. Volume I New York State Volume II
Volume III
Volume IV
Volume V
Volume VI
Volume VIII
Volume IX
Volume X
Volume XI
Volume XII
Volume XIII
Volume XIII
Volume XIII Volume II Binghamton Region Buffalo Region Capital Region Elmira Region Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region Mid-Hudson Region Mohawk Valley Region New York City Region Northern Region Rochester Region Syracuse Region Volume XIII Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties) Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to: Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203 NAME_____ TITLE AGENCY_____ ADDRESS CITY_____STATE___ZIP__ TELEPHONE NUMBER

 $^{^{}m l}$ This charge does not apply to New York State agencies or officials.

