




COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES

1982-1986

VOLUME X
NORTHERN REGION



JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
JOHN J. POKLEMBA
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

111622

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILE: 1982-1986

Volume X
NORTHERN REGION

CLINTON COUNTY
ESSEX COUNTY
FRANKLIN COUNTY
JEFFERSON COUNTY
LEWIS COUNTY
ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by
New York State Division of

Criminal Justice Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

OFFICE OF JUSTICE SYSTEMS ANALYSIS
BARRY SAMPLE, EXECUTIVE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING PATRICK LERNER LORI DRAPER CAROL RUSSO

FEBRUARY, 1988

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS


We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to Gerald Luciano for graphic designs he created for the publication and to the secretarial staff in the Office of Justice Systems Analysis, in particular to Joan Burgess and Carol Novak, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

CONTENTS

	Page(s)
NTRODUCTION	1
RGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE	7
ection I Criminal Justice Agencies ection II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics ection III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity ection IV Local Assistance Funds ection V Correctional Facilities	9 9 9 10 11
IEW YORK STATE PROFILE	13
OUNTY PROFILES FOR THE NORTHERN REGION	
linton County ssex County ranklin County lefferson County ewis County t. Lawrence County	
ILLUSTRATION	
NEW YORK STATE REGIONAL MAP	5
APPENDIXES	
APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES	A-1
Analyses Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Section III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	A-1 A-1 A-1 A-2 A-2 A-2

		Page(s)
APPENDIX B	DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986	B-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section II and Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparable to those in which the data were pre in Section III.	sented
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1984 - 1985	C-1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-11 F-12 F-14 F-16
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
APPENDIX I	JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES	I-1
REFERENCES		R-1

 $[\]star$ Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.



INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the system's major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. Juvenile Offender processing data and more detailed data on alternative to incarceration programs have been incorporated into this edition. The 1986 data are compared to the 1982 data. In addition, the 1986 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities for both 1985 and 1986.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from both the "demographic and socioeconomic characteristics" and "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section II and Section III, respectively. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the Criminal Justice
Profiles publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- o Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- o Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.

ORGANIZATION OF THE PROFILES

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each of the counties in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each profile are described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 1 Population Demographics: 1980

Table 2 Educational Attainment of Population 25 Years

of Age or Older: 1980

Table 3 Population and Density Per Square Mile: 1982-1986

Table 4 Per Capita Income: 1982-1984

Table 5 Public High School Dropouts: 1981-82 to 1985-86

Table 6 Unemployment: 1984-1986

Table 7 Public Assistance: 1982-1986

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Table 2B Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru

June 1987

Table 2C Arrests for Selected Offenses

Table 2D Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs

Table 2E Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses

Table 3A Felony Indictments

Table 3B Felony Indictments: January thru June 1987.

Table 4A Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor

Arrests

Table 4B Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table	5A	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests
Table	5B	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests
Table Table		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table Table		Probation Department Activity Probation Revocations
Table	8A	Alternatives to Incarceration: Demonstration Projects
Table	8B	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Pretrial Release
Table	80	Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/
Table	8D	Alternatives Bill Programs - Community Service Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Defender-Based
Table	8E	Advocacy Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Specialized Alternatives
Table Table		County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
Table	10A-1	Admissions to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Table	10A-2	New Court Commitments to State Prison
Table	10B	(New York State Profile) Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
Table	100	December 31 (New York State Profile) Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
Table	10A	New Court Commitments to State Prison
Table	10B-1	(New York City and County Profiles) Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
Table	10B-2	December 31 (New York City and County Profiles) The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC (New York City and County Profiles)

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table 11A Parole Supervision Cases
Table 11B Revoked and Returned Parolees

Table 12 Juvenile Offender Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1	Agency Funding Summary
Table 2	Department of Correctional Services
Table 3	Crime Victims Board
Table 4	Division of Criminal Justice Services
Table 5	Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 6	Division of Parole
Table 7	Division for Youth

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

Table 1A Table 1B Table 1C	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary Facilities Operating Budget Summary Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A Table 2B Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff DOCS Facilities Operating Budget DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A Table 3B Table 3C	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff DFY Facilities Operating Budget DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

^{*} Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.



STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Division of Criminal Justice Services
Executive Park Tower
Stuyvesant Plaza
Albany, New York 12203

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Law
The State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

New York State Police
Building 22
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Department of Correctional Services
Building 2
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Office of Court Administration Alfred E. Smith State Office Building PO Box 7025 Albany, New York 12225

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 Region MID-ATLANTIC
State Capital ALBANY
Square Miles 47,377

Square Miles 47,377
Population Percent Change 1970-1980 -3.7%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	17,558,072	NA	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.6	, NA	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	8,339,421	NA NA	47.5%	NA.
	9,218,651		52.5%	NA.
Race: White Non-White	13,960,868 2,752,114	NA NA	79.5% 15.7%	NA NA
Unknown	845,090	NA	4.8%	NA
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,659,300	NA	9.5%	NA
Age: 9 and Under	2,320,804	NA	13.2%	NA
10 to 15	1,721,835	NĄ	9.8%	NA
16 to 18	960,207	. NA	5.5%	NA
19 to 24 25 and Over	1,842,534 10,712,692	NA NA	10.5% 61.0%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	10,712,692	100.0%	NA
8th Grade or Less	1,962,158	18.3%	NA
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	1,653,751 3,651,739	15.4% 34.1%	NA NA
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	1,529,817 1,923,547	14.3% 18.0%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982	1983	PULATION 1982-19 1984	1985_	1986	Rank 1986
Population	17,567,000	17,667,000	17,735,000	17,782,754	17,772,100	NA NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.8	372.9	374.3	375.3	375.1	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4
PER CAPITA INCOMF: 1982-1984

	PER CAPITA I	NCUME: 1982-1984		Income Rank
•	1982	<u>1983</u>	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	12,228	13,063	14,341	NA

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 were not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

:			1	School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u> 1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students							1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	65,229 6.7%	60,311 6.5%	60,834 6.9%	55,880 6.5%	49,391 5.8%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	JYMENI: 1984-1	.986	•	Percent
		1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	583,455 7.2%	543,805 6.5%	526,363 6.3%	NA

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

		PUBLIC ASS	SISTANCE: 198	32-1986			
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,285,535 7.3%	1,343,428 7.6%	1,381,514 7.8%	1,383,399 7.8%	1,363,126 7.7%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table I
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of C	rimes		1986 Crimes	
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	1,020,401	5,741.6	-9.6%
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	174,860	983.9	0.4%
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	1,909	10.7	-5.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	5,403	30.4	5.3%
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	91,376	514.2	-15.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	76,172	428.6	28.1%
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	845,541	4,757.7	-11.5%
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	216,157	1,216.3	-25.9%
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	516,492	2,906.2	-1.9%
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	112,892	635.2	-17.6%
						e e	

 ${\tt SOURCE:} \quad {\tt Division \ of \ Criminal \ Justice \ Services, \ Uniform \ Crime \ Reporting \ System.}$

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arre		1986 Arrests		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	374,301	383,075	403,785	421,631	456,087	2,566.3	21.9%
FELONY	141,679	141,301	149,343	151,279	172,153	968.7	21.5%
VIOLENT	58,946	58,215	61,158	60,158	65,735	369.9	11.5%
OTHER	82,733	83,086	88,185	91,121	106,418	598.8	28.6%
MISDEMEANOR	232,622	241,774	254,442	270,352	283,934	1,597.6	22.1%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

	Number ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
ARRESTS	233,061	4.3%	
FELONY ²	86,268	2.3%	
VIOLENT	31,282	-1.3%	
OTHER	54,986	4.4%	•
MISDEMEANOR	146,793	5.5%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES (Adults Only)

		Numbe		1986 Arrests			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	1. 420	8.0	-11.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,155	2,140	12.0	9.4%
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,231	19,713	22,706	127.8	13.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,554	19,802	22,241	22,945	24,830	139.7	27.0%
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,687	19,471	20,540	115.6	-16.1%
LARCENY ²	67,502	66,891	65,814	68,220	75,476	424.7	11.8%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 15 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arres	its			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	48,226	53,768	66,982	74,199	91,624	515.5	90.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	34,373	38,441	48,097	52,662	74,938	421.7	118.0%
POSSESSION	18,110	23,827	30,556	34,394	49,535	278.7	173.5%
SALE	9,433	10,544	12,684	13,691	20,174	113.5	113.9%
OTHER	6,830	4,070	4,857	4,577	5,229	29.4	-23.4%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,853	15,327	18,885	21,537	16,686	93.9	20.5%
POSSESSION	7,053	7,252	8,881	10,747	8,596	48.4	21.9%
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,790	8,090	45.5	19.0%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

		Numb	er of Arrest		1986 Arrests Per 1,000		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Licensed Drivers	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55.566	60,887	64,261	62,870	59,387	6.0	6.9%
FELONY	3,184	3,748	3,032	3,065	3,536	0.4	11.1%
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,229	59,805	55,851	5.6	6.6%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹
(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	1982	1983	_1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 88
FELONY INDICTMENTS	46,674 100.0%	46.147 190.0%	47.961 100.0%	50,016 100.0%	55,810 100.0%	19.6%
VIOLENT	24,537 52.6%	22,711 49.2%	22.820 47.6%	22.000 44.0%	21.833 39.1%	-11.0%
OTHER	22,137 47.4%	23,436 50.8%	25,141 52.4%	28,016 56.0%	33,977 60.9%	53.5%

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

المناقل بيورين والمجارية مسابع				
			% Change Jan-June	
		Number	86 vs 87	
	FELONY INDICTMENTS	31,062	17.4%	
	VIOLENT	10,937	1.1%	
	OTHER	20,125	28.7%	

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹
(Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	307,486 100.0%	336,313 100.0%	337,261 100.0%	363,264 100.0%	371,229 100.0%	20.7%
CONVICTIONS	208,720 67.9%	233,893 69.5%	234,263 69.5%	251,609 69.3%	248.728 67.0%	19.2%
ACQUITTALS	1.831 0.6%	2,119 0.6%	2.172 0.6%	2,261 0.6%	2,257 0.6%	23.3%
DISMISSALS	87,013 28.3%	89,771 26.7%	91,177 27.0%	99.038 27.3%	110.615 29.8%	27.1%
NOT PROSECUTED	7,612 2.5%	7,169 2.1%	6,630 2.0%	7,220 2.0%	6,602 1.8%	-13.3%
OTHER	2,310 0.8%	3,361 1.0%	3,019 0.9%	3,136 0.9%	3,027 0.8%	31.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 48
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	118,98 100.0			134,164 100.0%	142,148 100.0%	19.5%		
CONVICTIONS	77,74 65.3			89,207 66.5%	90,738 63.8%	16.7%		
ACQUITTALS	1,30 1.1			1.558 1.2%	1,555 1.1%	19.2%		
DISMISSALS	35,27 29.6			38,400 28.6%	44,469 31.3%	26.0%		
NOT PROSECUTED	3,96 3.3			3,967 3.0%	3,977 2.8%	0.2%		
OTHER	69 0.6			1,032	1,409	101.9%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	: '	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_ ²	% Change 82 vs 86	
CONVICTIONS	208,720 100.0%	233,893 100.0%	234,263 100.0%	251,609 100.0%	248,728 100.0%	19.2%	
FELONY	26,558 12.7%	32,121 13.7%	31,197 13.3%	33,669 13.4%	37,108 14.9%	39.7%	
VIOLENT	11,719 5.6%	14,667 6.3%	13,671 5.8%	13,264 5.3%	13,671 5.5%	16.7%	
OTHER	14,839 7.1%	17,454 7.5%	17,526 7.5%	20,405 8.1%	23,437 9,4%	57.9%	
MISDEMEANOR	103,560 49.6%	112,772 48.2%	113,538 48.5%	121,797 48.4%	118.736 47.7%	14.7%	
LESSER OFFENSES	78,602 37.7%	89,000 38.1%	89,528 38.2%	96,143 38.2%	92,884 37.3%	18.2%	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86		
CONVICTIONS	77,746 100.0%	86,370 100.0%	84,585 100.0%	89,207 100.0%	90,738 100.0%	16.7%		
FELONY	25,657 33.0%	31,113 36.0%	30,191 35.7%	32,289 36.2%	35,690 39.3%	39.1%		
VIOLENT	11,719 15.1%	14,667 17.0%	13,671 16.2%	13,264 14.9%	13,671 15.1%	16.7%		
OTHER	13,938 17.9%	16,446 19.0%	16,520 19.5%	19,025 21.3%	22,019 24.3%	58.0%		
MISDEMEANOR	38,110 49.0%	39.913 46.2%	38,450 45.5%	39,976 44.8%	39,198 43.2%	2.9%		
LESSER OFFENSES	13,979 18.0%	15,344 17.8%	15,944 18.8%	16,942 19.0%	15,850 17.5%	13.4%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1 (Adults Only)

		Sentences as	a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986_2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.6	6.5
LOCAL JAIL	26.6	26.8	29.4	31.4	33.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.5
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	9.9	9.8	9.5
FINES	32.5	33.0	33.0	31.3	28.6
OTHER	22.2	21.1	19.0	18.8	18.8

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 68

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions										
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser	Fe lony	984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE PRISON	43.2	NA	44.1	NA	43.7	NA	41.8	NA	43.4	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.0	14.3	28.8	13.6	31.8	13.9	34.0	13.3	36.6		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	.1.3	13.0	1.2	14.1	1.2	15.6	1.2	17.2	1.1		
PROBATION	27.7	8.2	26.2	7.7	26.5	7.4	26.6	7.2	24.4	6.9		
FINES	0.8	37.1	1.2	38.0	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.0	0.8	33.5		
OTHER	. 1.2	25.3	1.2	24.2	1.1	21.7	1.1	21.5	1.0	21.9		

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

	***************************************	Nu Nu	mber of Cas	es		1986 Cases	a/ Dl-u
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	138,427	778.9	-8.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	156,142	878.6	17.0%
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	27,555	155.0	9.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	128,587	723.5	18.9%
PRE-DISPOSITION							
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	17,956	101.0	17.1%
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	8,849	49.8	-4.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	9,107	51.2	49 2%
PROBATION							
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	48,072	270.5	19.3%
FAMILY COURT	6.830	6,608	6,219	6,630	6,904	38.8	1.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	41,168	231.6	23.0%
UNDER PROBATION ²	•			-			
SUPERVISION	112.858	124,048	133,821	143,609	156,149	878.6	38.4%
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	14,063	79.1	3.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	142,086	799.5	43.1%
UNDER PROBATION							
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	114,766	645.8	45.1%
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	7,209	40.6	2.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	107,557	605.2	49.3%

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revocat	1986 Revocations			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	7,814	50.0	56.0%
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	787	56.0	29.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	7,027	49.5	59.7%
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	3,502	24.6	70.6%
NEW CONVICTION	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	3,525	24.8	50.3%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE	TO INCARCERATION	PROGRAMS: DEMO	ONSTRATION PROJE	CTS
	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	FY 1986-87
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227	17,532

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Tab	le 88			Table 8C		
PRETRIAL RELEAS	E PROGRAM: 198	36	COMMUNITY	SERVICE PROGRAM:	1986	
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent	
CASES SCREENED	34,695	NA	CASES SENTENCED	1,338	NA	
CASES INTERVIEWED	20,500	100.0%	CASES DISCHARGED	881	100.0%	
RELEASED ¹	7,933	38.7%	SUCCESSFUL OUTC	OME 662	75.1%	

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

1	ab	le	8E	

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY	Y PROGRAM:	1986	SPECIALIZED ALTE	ERNATIVES: 1986	
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	709	NA	CLIENTS SERVED	526	NA,
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:			RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT	537	100.0%
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL	467	NA	ACCEPTED	367	68.3%
REPORTS PREPARED FOR COURT	269	100.0%			
REPORTS ACCEPTED	228	84.8%		4	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

		Numb	er of Admi	ssions		1986 Admissions		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86	
ADMISSIONS	*	190,388	196,447	210,186	234,452	1,319.2	NA	
UNSENTENCED	* .	141,411	147,974	159,474	183,416	1,032.0	NA	
SENTENCED	*	48,977	48,473	50,712	51,036	287.2	NA	

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,225	17,730	19,011	19,635	21,448	120.7	24.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,966	16,792	17,534	18,736	21,134	118.9	32.4%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	21,121	118.8	28.6%
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,656	12,956	15,056	84.7	33.1%
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,352	5,766	6,065	34.1	18.5%

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 10A-1
ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

		Numbe	r of Admiss	ions		1986 Adm.Rate Per 100,000 % Chang Population 82 vs	
	1982_	1983	1984_	1985	<u> 1986</u> ¹		% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	19,090	107.4	50.0%
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	742	4.2	69.8%
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	50	.3	-19.4%
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	2,808	15.8	128.5%
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	445	430	356	244	365	2.1	-18.0%
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	158	.9	12.9%
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	33	.2	312.5%

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 10A-2
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

			Number of urt Commit		1986 New Court Committments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	7,759	43.7	17.2%
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	938	5.3	4.3%
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	581	3.3	56.2%
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	3,574	20.1	5.8%
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	1,444	8.1	51.8%
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	649	3.7	10.6%
OTHER	435	459	524	574	573	3.2	31.7%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	3,228	18.2	161.4%
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	886	5.0	179.5%
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	2,342	13.2	155.1%
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	3,653	20.6	59.9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	294	1.7	10.9%

 $^{^1}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 10B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		Number of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,276	34,737	38,502	216.6	36.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES1	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	26,024	146.4	30.8%
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	6,597	37.1	37.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	2,278	12.8	38.9%
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	10,666	60.0	14.6%
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	3,476	19.6	107.6%
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	1.450	8.2	18.5%
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	1,557	8.8	26.4%
DRUG FELONIES ²	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	6,008	33.8	127.0%
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	1,597	9.0	140.2%
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	4,411	24.8	122.6%
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,261	5,408	6,095	34.3	17.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	471	384	359	357	375	2.1	-20.4%

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10C

PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634	198

 $^{^1}$ Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Parolees					1986 Parolees		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86		
RELEASES TO PAROLE		9.283	11,312	10,911	12,068	12,942	72.8	39.4%	
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	•	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	37,359	210.2	45.8%	
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31		17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	26,176	147.3	46.1%	

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Paro	1986 Revocations			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	4,519	121.0	75.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	1,403	37.6	62.2%
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	1.571	42.1	24.6%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	1,545	41.4	245.6%

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

			Number of Cas	es		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	1,441	1,248	1,275	1,257	1,200	-16.7%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	664	496	447	505	429	-35.4%
DISPOSITIONS	1,419	1,213	1,105	1,019	1,021	-28.0%
CONVICTIONS	517	515	388	369	410	-20.7%
STATE FACILITY	257	251	158	147	142	-44.7%
LOCAL JAIL	34	40	24	40	33	-2.9%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	28	32	39	40	55	96.4%
PROBATION	183	166	133	124	171	-6.6%
OTHER	15	. 26	34	18	9	-40.0%
ACQUITTALS	7	5	7	10	10	42.9%
DISMISSALS	269	202	189	225	263	-2.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	139	70	73	54	60	-56.8%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	443	413	430	342	257	-42.0%
OTHER	44	8	18	19	21	-52.3%

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY 1

(Thousands of Dollars)

	·	······································	Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	8.388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99.016.1	109,107.3
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	442.5	698.8	88.9%	870.2	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project				•	NA	-	525.0
TOTAL.	191,309.8	214,860.7	243,177,1	359,999.1	88.2%	322,918.1	356,608.3

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	32.7	572.0%	51.5	55.5
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	18,574.6	82.3%	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	3,697.5	472.0%	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ²				2,322.3	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ³	:	311.8	873.3		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ¹	487.7	300.1	275.8	316.8	-35.0%	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.7	15.542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0

Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Approp	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8,002.6	23.7%	8,009.0	12,697.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	1,272.1	47.1%	3,622.1	3,443.8
Court Related	407.8	406.6	719.9	520.0	27.5%	626.1	767.1
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	223.3	24.9%	561.7	547.0
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	337.2	-10.6%	567.2	681.1
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	26.7	-70.7%	613.0	350.5
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative		-	593.9	236.5	NA NA		· ·
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		1,420.5	1,585.7	1,351.0	NA	2,637.1	3,042.5
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	4,067.4	23.8%	4,150.2	4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Program ³	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	1,593.1	26.5%	1,625.8	1,697.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁴		·	an ee		NA	3,379.7	
Juvenile Justice ⁵ and Delinquency Prevention	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	1,579.5	-44.6%	2,673.9	2,821.0
Law Guardian Backup Center ⁶				***	NA		100.0
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	11,247.6	11.8%	11,499.8	12,189.8
Mobile Radio District Program ²		1,904.3	3,600.6	3,368.3	, NA	4,288.3	1,270.0
Narcotics Control ⁷		42 48	#####		NA		11,539.0
Nassau County Rape ⁶ Victim Services		:			NA		30.0
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		1,000.0	1,900.0	881.9	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYC School Security ⁹ Officer Program					NA	415.0	250.0
NYS Defenders' Association	. 442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Offender Aid and Restoration Program			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4)	NA		50.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Body Armour ¹⁰ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	309.8	403.0	-36.6%		487.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹¹			2,499.4	2,347.9	NA	2,499.5	2,499.8

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appror	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Suffolk Re-Route ⁶ Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders					NA		260.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹²	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	48,471.4	29.4%	50,279.5	52,516.2
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹³	***		***	1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force 14		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6
Community Patrol ⁹ Officer Program	<u></u>				NA	100.0	150.0
Westchester Datafacs ⁶		· ••• •••			NA	~~	85.0
TOTAL	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3

 $^{^1}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁴ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

⁹ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ¹	529.4	668.9	706.1	798.4	50.8%	1,035.8	1,192.2
Parole Transitional ² Facilities		37.1	286.9	430.8	NÁ	626.3	626.3
Employment Services ³ Project		•			NA,	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6
DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Appropriations					
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives	, 			2,336.9	NA.	3,045.9	3,312.8
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	4,750.7	493.9%	5,478.5	5,411.5
Direct Services	182.1	208.4	184.1	228.0	25.2%	273.8	266.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	5,218.4	60.2%	7,777.2	10,257.6
PINS Program ²		1 25 ma			NA	1,000.0	2,505.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	37,861.3	32.3%	41,663.3	44,804.2
TOTAL	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8

 $^{^{1}\ \}mbox{This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.}$

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations ·
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community-Based ² Organizations			324.8	318.0	NA	466.5	466.5
Community Care ³ Initiative				-	NA	500.0	500.0
Detention							
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	10,600.4	165.0%	6,770.3	9,900.0
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	25,101.8	173.5%	14,738.3	15,500.0
Job Development ⁴				•	NA	697.6	583.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	1,838.8.	52.4%	2,409.0	2,570.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	11,745.0	-5.1%	13,351.8	14,281.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1.857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	4,509.1	142.7%	6,496.4	6,018.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁵		·		87.8	NA	2,200.0	2,220.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	52,710.8	177.4%	28,100.0	26,300.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	77,307.5	162.1%	40,600.0	41,500.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{4}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	38,188	21,369	
DFY Facilities	2,027	2,760	
TOTAL	40,215	24,129	· •

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure		Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	445,537.8	525,228.2	598,305.9	602,846.5	35.3%	670,107.0	728,920.9
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	76,135.5	30.2%	78,541.4	82,519.1
TOTAL	503,998.1	589,291.8	670,294.8	678,982.0	34.7%	748,648.4	811,440.0


TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriation		iations	
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Tota 1	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
OOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	96,465.2	374,661.8	229,596.9	44,079.0
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	2,366.7	10,897.3	4,503.5	4,573.2
TOTAL	108,909.5	177.817.7	98,831.8	385,559.0	234,100.4	48,652.2

COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE NORTHERN REGION

Clinton County
Essex County
Franklin County
Jefferson County
Lewis County
St. Lawrence County



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop B Headquarters Route 86, Box 100 Raybrook, New York 12977 New York State Divison of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 137 Margaret Street Plattsburgh, New York 12901

Probation Department County Government Center Plattsburgh, New York 12901

Assigned Counsel Plan County Bar Association 62 Brinkerhoff Street Plattsburgh, New York 12901 Sheriff's Department 131 Margaret Street Plattsburgh, New York 12901

Youth Bureau 133 Margaret Street Plattsburgh, New York 12901

County Court County Court House Plattsburgh, New York 12901

Family Court 72 Clinton Street Plattsburgh, New York 12901 Region NORTHERN

County Seat PLATTSBURGH

Square Miles 1,043

Population Percent Change 1970-1980 10.7%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	80,750	33	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	77.4	41	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	41,035 39,715	NA NA	50.8% 49.2%	2 61
Race: White Non-White Unknown	76,991 2,885 874	NA NA NA	95.3% 3.6% 1.1%	40 26 15
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,435	NA	1.8%	16
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	11,489 8,074 5,582 13,535 42,070	NA NA NA NA	14.2% 10.0% 6.9% 16.8% 52.1%	30 34 7 2 60

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	42,070	100.0%	60
8th Grade or Less	8,660	20.6%	. 7
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	6,593 14,219	15.7% 33.8%	46 55
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	6,663 5,930	15.8% 14.1%	12 28

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

	1982	1983_	<u>1984</u>	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	80,600	80,500	80,900	81,571	81,200	34
Density Per Square Mile	77.3	77.2	77.6	78.2	77.9	41

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984		Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984_	1984
Per Capita Income	7,861	8,476	9,381	56

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

		School Year					
	•	<u> 1981-82</u>	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	1984-85	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	256 4.9%	219 4.4%	216 4.6%	218 4.9%	213 5.0%	13

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-19	986		1
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	2,574 7.5%	2.429 7.5%	2,795 8.4%	17

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986									
		1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986		
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	4,115 5.1%	4,087 5.1%	3,806 4.7%	3,835 4.7%	3,823 4.7%	19		

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number of Crimes				1986 Ci Per 100 Popula	0,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	2,326	2,306	2,136	2,186	1,976	2,436.9	39	-15.0%
VIOLENT	259	256	304	304	250	308.3	19	-3.5%
MURDER	2	1	2	1	3	3.7	19	50.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1.	6	13	9	6	7.4	48	500.0%
ROBBERY	19	13	7	12	5	6.2	54	-73.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	237	236	282	282	236	291.0	11	-0.4%
PROPERTY	2,067	2,050	1,832	1,882	1,726	2,128.6	48	-16.5%
BURGLARY	421	455	420	442	464	572.2	50	10.2%
LARCENY	1,601	1,552	1,368	1,376	1,231	1,518.1	4:4	-23.1%
MV THEFT	45	43	44	64	31	38.2	60	-31.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate [<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	1,742	1,801	1,624	1,510	1,578	1,943.3	31	-9.4%
FELONY	354	369	312	314	412	507.4	19	16.4%
VIOLENT	97	107	63	78	103	126.8	22	6.2%
OTHER	257	262	249	236	309	380.5	12	20.2%
MISDEMEANOR	1,388	1,432	1,312	1,196	1,166	1,436.0	37	-16.0%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

				<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
			ARRESTS	744	-2.6%	
			FELONY ²	167	-15.7%	
			VIOLENT	37	-17.8%	
			OTHER	130	-15.0%	
			MISDEMEANOR	577	1.9%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

	Number of Arrests					1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
MURDER	1	. 1	0	0	5	6.2	6	400.0%	
FORCIBLE RAPE	0	1	3	1	4	4.9	41	NA NA	
ROBBERY	16	13	6	8	6	7.4	39	-62.5%	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	31	4 Q	20	26	44	54.2	18	41.9%	
BURGLARY	105	66	78	57	90	110.8	13	-14.3%	
LARCENY ²	391	329	306	257	327	402.7	21	-16.4%	

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numi	:	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	% Chango		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	73	113	67	86	96	118.2 20	31.5%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	55	87	55	61	80	98.5 20	45.5%
POSSESSION	51	81	52	54	73	89.9 17	43.1%
SALE	2	6	2	7	5	6.2 41	150.0%
OTHER	2	0	1	0	2	2.5 33	0.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	18	26	12	25	16	19.7 32	11.1%
POSSESSION	16	- 13	9	. 8	11	13.5 31	-31.2%
SALE	2	13	3	17	5	6.2 28	150.0%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES (Adults Only)

		Ni.	mber of Arre	sts		Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 ensed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	45	4 566	542	556	437	9.4	21	-3.7%
FELONY	2	9 26	26	30	37	0.8	20	27.6%
MISDEMEANOR	42	5 540	516	526	400	8.6	23	-5.9%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	-	Number and Pe	ercent of Felon	y Indictments		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	156 100.0%	127 100.0%	128 100.0%	128 100.0%	149 100.0%	-4.5%
VIOLENT	39 25.0%	42 33.1%	21 16.4%	37 28.9%	42 28.2%	7.7%
OTHER	117 75.0%	85 66.9%	107 83.6%	91 71.1%	107 71.8%	-8.5%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		% Change		
	Number	Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	90	3.4%		
VIOLENT	22	4.8%		
OTHER	68	3.0%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

	ı			d Percent of Di Resulting from and Misdemeanor	1 ³		
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS		1,416 100.0%	1,231 100.0%	1,240 100.0%	1,090 100.0%	1,024 100.0%	-27.7%
CONVICTIONS		1,150 81.2%	1,032 83.8%	1,034 83.4%	907 83.2%	814 79.5%	-29.2%
ACQUITTALS		8 0.6%	8 0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	8 0.8%	0.0%
DISMISSALS		242 17.1%	186 15.1%	194 15.6%	178 16.3%	192 18.8%	-20.7%
NOT PROSECUTED)	0.1%	0.0%	7 0.6%	0 0.0%	0.0%	-100.0%
OTHER		15 1.1%	5 0.4%	5 0.4%	4 0.4%	10 1.0%	-33.3%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Number and Resulting	Percent of Disp from Felony Ar	ositions rests		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	306 100.0%	225 100.0%	253 100.0%	199 100.0%	269 100.0%	-12.1%
CONVICTIONS	258 84.3%	195 86.7%	224 88.5%	175 87.9%	213 79.2%	-17.4%
ACQUITTALS	3 1.0%	5 2.2%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%	8 3.0%	166.7%
DISMISSALS	34 11.1%	20 8.9%	26 10.3%	22 11.1%	42 15.6%	23.5%
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 · 0.0%	2 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA
OTHER	11 3.6%	5 2.2%	0.4%	1 0.5%	6 2.2%	-45.5%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	1982	1983	Misdemeanor Ar	1985	1986 ²	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	1,150 100.0%	1,032 100.0%	1,034 100.0%	907 100.0%	814 100.0%	-29,2%
FELONY	116 10.1%	61 5.9%	75 7.3%	55 6.1%	63 7.7%	-45.7%
VIOLENT	16 1.4%	10 1.0%	19 1.8%	4 0.4%	7 0.9%	-56.2%
OTHER	100 8.7%	51 4.9%	56 5.4%	51 5.6%	56 6.9%	-44.0%
MISDEMEANOR	642 55.8%	567 54.9%	541 52.3%	435 48.0%	371 45.6%	-42.2%
LESSER OFFENSES	392 34.1%	404 39.1%	418 40.4%	417 46.0%	380 46.7%	-3.1%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Kesulting f	rom Felony Arre	SIS		% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	258 100.0%	195 100.0%	224 100.0%	175 100.0%	213 100.0%	-17.4%
FELONY	102 39.5%	53 27.2%	70 31.3%	47 26.9%	59 27.7%	-42.2%
VIOLENT	16 6.2%	10 5.1%	19 8.5%	4 2.3%	7 3.3%	-56.2%
OTHER	86 33.3%	43 22.1%	51 22.8%	43 24.6%	52 24.4%	-39.5%
MISDEMEANOR	134 51.9%	133 68.2%	138 61.6%	115 65.7%	140 65.7%	4.5%
LESSER OFFENSES	22 8.5%	9 4.6%	16 7.1%	13 7.4%	14 6.6%	-36.4%

 $^{^{}m 1}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹
(Adults Only)

		Sentences a	s a Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	2.9	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.7
LOCAL JAIL	13.7	12.4	12.3	12.6	13.0
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.0	1.2	1.7	2.1	2.0
PROBATION	9.0	8.8	10.5	7.7	13.4
FINES	58.8	64.1	59.3	62.4	52.6
OTHER	14.8	11.4	13.9	• 13.3	16.3

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

	:			Sentences	as a Perc	ent of Co	onviction	S		
		982 Misd & Lesser		083 Misd & Lesser		084 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	28.4	NA	34.4	NA	30.7	NA	30.9	NA ·	34.9	NA
LOCAL JAIL	6.9	14.4	11.5	12.5	6.7	12.7	14.5	12.4	19.0	12.5
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	7.8	0.2	13.1	0.4	21.3	0.2	18.2	1.1	19.0	0.5
PROBATION	55.2	3.8	41.0	6.8	38.7	8.3	30.9	6.2	25.4	12.4
FINES	1.7	65.2	0.0	68.2	1.3	63.8	3.6	66.2	1.6	56.9
OTHER	0.0	16.4	0.0	12.2	1.3	14.9	1.8	14.1	0.0	17.7

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Num	ber of Case	s		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	832	899	957	903	913	1,124.4	23	9.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	714	651	722	673	689	848.5	27	-3.5%
FAMILY COURT	341	280	332	365	256	315.3	2	-24.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	373	371	390	308	433	533.3	34	16.1%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	9	6	13	12	5	6.2	46	-44.4%
FAMILY COURT	8	5	13	9	4	4.9	39	-50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	1	1	0	3	1	1.2	38	0.0%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	187	201	181	151	211	259.9	36	12.8%
FAMILY COURT	42	26	22	27	18	22.2	57	-57.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	145	175	159	124	193	237.7	20	33.1%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	603	596	605	616	701	863.3	37	16.3%
FAMILY COURT	124	96	63	63	47	57.9	51	-62.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	479	500	542	553	654	805.4	20	36.5%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	395	424	465	490	571	703.2	14	44.6%
FAMILY COURT	70	41	36	29	17	20.9	55	-75.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	325	383	429	461	554	682.3	10	70.5%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	***************************************	Number	of Revoca	tions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Revocat Per 1.0 Probatio	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	13	. 7	9	14	14	20.0	55	7.7%
FAMILY COURT	2	0	. 0	4	. 1	21.3	54	-50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	11	7	9	10	13	19.9	57	18.2%
TECHNICAL	5	3	7	9	8	12.2	52	60.0%
NEW CONVICTION	6	4	2	. 1:	5	7.6	50	-16.7%

Table 8A

				idi	DIE BA						
		ALTERNATIVE T	O INCARCE	RATION F	PROGRA	MS: DE	<u>IONSTRA</u>	TION PROJ	ECTS		
			FY 198	3-84	FY 1	984-85	FY	1985-86	FY 1986	<u>-87</u>	
		CLIENTS SERVED		0		0		0		33	
		SOURCE: NYS Divisio	n of Prob	ation an	nd Cor	rection	nal Alt	ernatives		· · · · ·	
							٠.				
		ALTERNATIVE TO INCA	RCERATION	PROGRAI	MS: CL	ASSIFI	CATION/	ALTERNATI	VES BILL	í	
						·····					12-11
		Table 8B						Tab	le 8C		
	PRETRIAL	RELEASE PROGRAM: 198	6				COMM	UNITY SER	VICE PROGRA	M: 19	36
									Number		Perce
	There w	ere no agencies in th	e .			CASES	SENTEN	CED	49		
	county for thi	that received funding s type of program.	I			CASES	DISCHA	RGED	36		100.
						SUC	CESSFUL	OUTCOME	27		75.
			,	-							
										1	
		T 1 1 . 00						T. (1- 05		
		Table 8D							ole 8E		
[<u>DEFENDER-BA</u>	SED ADVOCACY PROGRAM:	1986				SPE	CIALIZED	ALTERNATIVE	S: 19	36
	county	ere no agencies in th that received funding s type of program.					coun	ty that r	agencies i received fun e of program	ding	
				_		-		<u> </u>			

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

						198 Admiss	ions	
		Numbe	er of Admi	ssions	-	Per 10 Popula		a
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	1,593	1,498	1,767	1,318	1,579	1,944.6	4 ,	-0.9%
UNSENTENCED	1,041	968	1,076	944	1,215	1,496.3	6	16.7%
SENTENCED	552	530	691	374	364	448.3	10	-34.1%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inm Per 100 Populat	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	5	2 52	52	52	52	64.0	44	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	3	9 39	45	44	46	56.7	43	17.9%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	4	1 49	47	48	45	55.4	40	9.8%
UNSENTENCED	2:	9 16	18	27	25	30.8	41	-13.8%
SENTENCED	1	2 33	29	21	20	24.6	29	66.7%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \textbf{Table 10A}$ NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON 1

			lumber of	ents		198 New C Committ Per 10 Popula	ourt ments 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	29	22	20	16	25	30.8	32	-13.8%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	10	10	5	. 4	5	6.2	49	-50.0%
HOMICIDE	0	, 1 ,	0	o	, 0	0.0	43	, NA
SEX OFFENSE	3	3	1	2	1	1.2	45	-66.7%
ROBBERY	1	4	2	1	2	2.5	25	100.0%
BURGLARY	5	2	1 .	1	2	2.5	40	-60.0%
WEAPONS	1	0	0	0	0	0.0	25	-100.0%
OTHER	0	0	· 1	0	0	0.0	37	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	5.	2	, , 2	1	3	3.7	29	-40.0%
POSSESSION	0	2	1	, 0 .	0	0.0	37	NA
SALE	5	0	1	1	3	3.7	21	-40.0%
OTHER FELONIES	13	10	12	10	17	20.9	14	30.8%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	0	1	1	0	 0.0	32	-100.0%

 $^{^1}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state Prison on December 31}^{1}$

			nmates Unde	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	69	60	58	43	43	53.0	41	-37.7%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	34	32	27	18	17	20.9	50	-50.0%
HOMICIDE	. 4	5	. 5	3	4.	4.9	49	0.0%
SEX OFFENSE	15	13	8	6	4	4.9	49	-73.3%
ROBBERY	6	7	, 9	5	3	3.7	35	-50.0%
BURGLARY	6	4	3	3	. 4	4.9	45	-33.3%
WEAPONS	2	2	1	0	0	0.0	32	-100.0%
OTHER	1	. 1	1	1	2	2.5	41	100.0%
DRUG FELONIES ³	5	. 5	5	2	4	4.9	32	-20.0%
POSSESSION	0	2	1	Ü	0	0.0	43	, NA
SALE	5	3	4	2	4	4.9	25	-20.0%
OTHER FELONIES	28	23	25	22	22	27.1	20	-21.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2	0	1	. 1	0	0.0	33	-100.0%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole		198 Parol Per 10 Popula			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	17	30	18	24	24	29.6	24	41.2%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	54	61	61	55′	60	73.9	28	11.1%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	31	43	31	36	39	48.0	30	25.8%

The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Paro	lees		Revo Per	986 cations 1,000 olees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	6	1,	7	4,	5	6.	2 29	-16.7%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	2	2	1	1.	2 23	NA
NEW ARRESTS	. 5 *	0	5	0	3	3.	7 20	-40.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	1	0	2	1	1.	2 35	0.0%

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

			Number of Cases			% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	0	0	1	0		NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	1-	1	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0		0	2	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	٫٥ ,	0	0	2	0	NA
PRISON	0	0	0	1	Q	. NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PROBATION	9 0 9	0		1		NA
OTHER	. 0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	. 0	0	0	0	.	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NA NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	. 0.,	NA NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	41.7	43.9	40.6	61.5	47.6%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	28.2	0.0	NA	26.2	43.5
Division of Criminal Justice Services	30.2	18.5	21.5	34.2	13.2%	2.2	10.6
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	152.3	172.8	180.3	194.1	27.4%	186.9	258.4
Division for Youths ³	202.3	264.3	244.8	639.5	216.1%	353.8	419.4
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	23.9	NA	23.9	:
TOTAL	426.5	499.6	525.3	953.3	123.5%	593.1	731.8

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

 $^{^3}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.2	255.6%	****	one, and
Felons	39.2	41.8	35.9	49.6	26.5%	·	
Parole Violators	1.3	1.1	3.9	5.2	287.3%		
State Readies ²		·	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6.3	NA	, · · · 	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	1.0	0.5	0.7	0.2	-84.4%		·
TOTAL	41.7	43.9	40.6	61.5	47.6%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
- Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	43.5
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	28.2	0.0	NA	26.2	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	28.2	0.0	NA	26.2	43.5

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Crime Prevention ¹ and Protection	. · · · <u>-</u> - <u>-</u> -	0.0	0.0	15.7	- NA	0.0	0.0	
Indigent Parolee Program ²	30.2	18.5	21.5	18.5	-38.9%			
Justice Assistance Act ³	*				NA	2.2		
Narcotics Control ⁴					· NA	***	10.6	
Soft Body Armour ⁵ Reimbursement Program			0.0	0.0	· NA	-		
TOTAL	30.2	18.5	21.5	34.2	13.2%	2.2	10.6	

 $^{^{}m l}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

 $^{^3}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			- N OL	Appropriations		
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Classification ¹ Alternatives			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11.0	NA	14.3	15.1	
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	10.0	10.0	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	23.7	24.8	26.8	24.8	4.8%	0.0	26.0	
PINS Program ²	and the second s		,		NA	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	28.8	
Regular State Aid	128.6	148.0	153.5	158.3	23.1%	162.6	178.5	
TOTAL	153.3	172.8	180.3	194.1	27.4%	186.9	258.4	

 $^{^{1}\,}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	***************************************		Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	3.2	1.1	1.1	8.8	179.5%	6.5	4.2
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	56.4	62.9	52.4	35.8	-36.6%	47.4	48.1
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	20.0	18.1
Voluntary Agency Care	19.7	47.8	21.2	100.1	408.0%	36.6	80.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	123.0	152.6	170.1	494.8	302.1%	243.3	269.0
TOTAL	202.3	264.3	244.8	639.5	216.1%	353.8	419.4

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		Capacity	<u>Staff</u> .	
	DOCS Facilities	3,199	1,692	
•	DFY Facilities	20	23	
	TOTAL	3,219	1,715	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	37,184.2	44,047.9	50,778.0	53,327.7	43.4%	53,886.0	58,302.7
DFY Facilities	351.5	437.3	503.5	575.3	63.7%	576.6	663.2
TOTAL	37,535.7	44,485.2	51,281.5	53,903.0	43.6%	54,462.6	58,965.9

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi		Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	12,357.7	6,736.4	4,272.3	23,366.4	8,072.5	3,448.7
DFY Facilities	35.2	46.6	1.7	83.5	16.0	5.0
TOTAL	12,392.9	6,783.0	4,274.0	23,449.8	8,088.5	3,453.7

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

			-	Staff				
Facility	Security Level	Capacity		Security	Civilian			
Altona	MEDIUM	420	272	172	100			
Clinton	MAXIMUM	2,628	1,322	955	367			
Lyon Mountain	MINIMUM	151	98	67	31			
TOTAL		3,199	1,692	1,194	498			

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Appropriations					
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Altona ¹	0.0	3,639.4	6,821.1	7,532.5	NA	8,192.8	9,192.9
Clinton	37,184.2	39,815.1	41,170.6	42,925.2	15.4%	42,484.1	45,676.6
Lyon Mountain	0.0	593.4	2,786.2	2,870.0	NA	3,209.1	3,433.2
TOTAL	37,184.2	44,047.9	50,778.0	53,327.7	43.4%	53,886.0	58,302.7

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The facility opened in February of 1984.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	:	Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Altona ¹	9,433.0	3,511.7	1,416.3	14,361.0	1,036.0	0.0
Clinton	2,195.5	1,887.7	817.6	4,900.8	4,533.5	3,448.7
Lyon Mountain	729.3	1,336.9	2,038.4	4,104.6	2,503.0	0.0
TOTAL	12,357.7	6,736.4	4,272.3	23,366.4	8,072.5	3,448.7

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility opened in February of 1984.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>	Security ₁	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>
Adirondack Residential Center	IV	20	23

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 38

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Adirondack Residential Center	351.5	437.3	503.5	575.3	63.7%	576.6	663.2	


SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Adirondack Residential Center	35.2	46.6	1.7	83.5	16.0	5.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop B Headquarters Route 86, Box 100 Raybrook, New York 12977 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Government Center Elizabethtown, New York 12932 Sheriff's Department Court Street Elizabethtown, New York 12932

Probation Department County Court House Elizabethtown, New York 12932 Youth Bureau County Government Center Elizabethtown, New York 12932

Assigned Counsel Plan Box 305, 349 Champlain Avenue Ticonderoga, New York 12883 County Court Court House Elizabethtown, New York 12932

Family Court Court House Elizabethtown, New York 12932 Region NORTHERN

County Seat ELIZABETHTOWN

Square Miles 1,807

Population Percent Change 1970-1980 4.5%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	36,176	56	100.0%	MA
Density Per	Square Mile	20.0	60	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	17,705 18,471	NA NA	48.9% 51.1%	25 38
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	35,857 246 73	NA NA NA	99.1% 0.7% 0.2%	4 59 49
Ethnicit	y: Hispanic	233	NA	0.6%	43
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	4,830 4,008 2,163 3,130 22,045	NA NA NA NA	13.4% 11.1% 6.0% 8.7% 60.9%	44 56 26 58 11

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	22,045	100.0%	11
8th Grade or Less	3,966	18.0%	22
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	3,719 7,947	16.9% 36.0%	31 46
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	3,301 3,112	15.0% 14.1%	20 27

SCURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		POPUL	ATION 1982-1986			
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	36,400	36,500	36,700	36,364	36,300	56
Density Per Square Mile	20.1	20.2	20.3	20.1	20.1	60

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4
PFR CAPITA INCOME: 1982-1984

	PER CAPITA	NCUME: 1982-1984		Income
	1982	1983	1984	Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	8,686	9,254	10,109	48

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

	School Year						
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u> 1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	96 4.4%	93 4.6%	92 4.7%	92 5.0%	59 3.2%	48

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-19	986		
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,737 10.7%	1,551 9.6%	1,673 9.9%	6

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986									
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986		
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	2,164 5.9%	2,045 5.6%	2,258 6.2%	2,187 6.0%	1,965 5.4%	16		

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			lumber of Cr	imes		1986 Cr Per 100 Populat	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	923	937	829	801	811	2,208.0	52	-12.1%
VIOLENT	42	37	42	58	62	168.8	38	47.6%
MURDER	0	2	0	1	0	0.0	52	NA NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	3	1	3	2	5.4	51	-50.0%
ROBBERY	1	2	1	• 1	2	5.4	56	100.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	37	30	40	53	58	157.9	31	56.8%
PROPERTY	881	900	787	743	749	2,039.2	54	-15.0%
BURGLARY	367	373	260	271	281	765.0	25	-23.4%
LARCENY	499	517	506	449	458	1,246.9	56	-8.2%
MV THEFT	15	10	21	23	10	27.2	62	-33.3%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	928	688	755	709	829	2,283.7	16	-10.7%
FELONY	210	169	170	129	212	584.0	11	1.0%
VIOLENT	75	29	48	25	34	93.7	40	-54.7%
OTHER	135	140	122	104	178	490.4	6	31.9%
MISDEMEANOR	718	519	585	580	617	1,699.7	17	-14.1%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

		Number ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	292	-29.6%	
	FELONY ²	61	-42.5%	
	VIOLENT	14	40.0%	
	OTHER	47	-51.0%	
	MISDEMEANOR	231	-25.2%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests					1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
MURDER	1	4	3	0	0	0.0	46	-100.0%	
FORCIBLE RAPE	3	. 2	. 0	1	5	13.8	7	66.7%	
ROBBERY	1	2	3	. 0	2	5.5	46	100.0%	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	17	4	11	10	15	41.3	28	-11.8%	
BURGLARY	61	43	53	41	62	170.8	6	1.6%	
LARCENY ²	131	144	. 111	104	133	366.4	29	1.5%	

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

	•		Number of Arrests							rests 0,000 ution	% Change
		1982	1983		1984	1985	1986		Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS		40	19	•	17	14	23		63.4	39	-42.5%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)		35	1	5	12	11	16		44.1	39	-54.3%
POSSESSION		29	1	3 ,	12	8	16		44.1	30	-44.8%
SALE		6		2	. 0	, 3	0		0.0	57	-100.0%
OTHER		0)	0	0	0		0.0	47	NA NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)		5		4	5	3			19.3	35	40.0%
POSSESSION		3		4	4	1	7		19.3	12	133.3%
SALE		2		0	1	2	. • •		0.0	56	-100.0%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State -Sections 220 and 221).

		Numbe	er of Arrests			1986 Arrest Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	295	193	271	304	305	11.7 10	3.4%
FELONY	24	14,	17	19	24	0.9 10	0.0%
MISDEMEANOR	271	179	254	285	281	10.8 10	3.7%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	118 100.0%	89 100.0%	81 100.0%	94 100.0%	128 100.0%	8.5%			
VIOLENT	29 24.6%	22 24.7%	30 37.0%	19 20.2%	15 11.7%	-48.3%			
OTHER	89 75.4%	67 75.3%	51 63.0%	75 79.8%	113 88.3%	27.0%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	73	32.7%		
VIOLENT	15	36.4%		
OTHER	58	31.8%		

 $^{^{1}}$ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
DISPOSITIONS	601 100.0%	473 100.0%	568 100.0%	553 100.0%	576 100.0%	-4.2%			
CONVICTIONS	495 82.4%	371 78.4%	473 83.3%	428 77.4%	432 75.0%	-12.7%			
ACQUITTALS	2 0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	-100.0%			
DISMISSALS	99 16.5%	90 19.0%	93 16.4%	117 21.2%	139 24.1%	40.4%			
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	NA			
OTHER	5 0.8%	10 2.1%	2 0.4%	6 1.1%	5 0.9%	0.0%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

			Percent of Disp from Felony Ar			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u> 1986</u> ²	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	134 100.0%	136 100.0%	128 100.0%	91 100.0%	134 100.0%	0.0%
CONVICTIONS	114 85.1%	120 88.2%	115 89.8%	73 80.2%	102 76.1%	-10.5%
ACQUITTALS	1 0.7%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
DISMISSALS	19 14.2%	12 8.8%	12 9.4%	17 18.7%	29 21.6%	52.6%
NOT PROSECUTED	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	NA NA
OTHER	0 0.0%	4 2.9%	1 0.8%	1.1%	3 2.2%	NA

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	·	Felony and	esulting from Misdemeanor Arr		. 2 '	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	<u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	495 100.0%	371 100.0%	473 100.0%	428 100.0%	432 100.0%	-12.7%
FELONY	27 5.5%	34 9.2%	28 5.9%	22 5.1%	25 5.8%	-7.4%
VIOLENT	4 0.8%	3 0.8%	6 1.3%	4 0.9%	2 0.5%	-50.0%
OTHER	23 4.6%	31 8.4%	22 4.7%	18 4.2%	23 5.3%	0.0%
MISDEMEANOR	272 54.9%	203 54.7%	259 54.8%	222 51.9%	199 46.1%	-26.8%
LESSER OFFENSES	196 39.6%	134 36.1%	186 39.3%	184 43.0%	208 48.1%	6.1%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 ²	% Change 82 vs 86		
CONVICTIONS	114 100.0%	120 100.0%	115 100.0%	73 100.0%	102 100.0%	-10.5%		
FELONY	26 22.8%	32 26.7%	28 24.3%	21 28.8%	23 22.5%	-11.5%		
VIOLENT	4 3.5%	3 2.5%	6 5.2%	4 5.5%	2 2.0%	-50.0%		
OTHER	22 19.3%	29 24.2%	22 19.1%	17 23.3%	21 20.6%	-4.5%		
MISDEMEANOR	79 69.3%	75 62.5%	79 68 - 7%	50 68.5%	68 66.7%	-13.9%		
LESSER OFFENSES	9 7.9%	13 10.8%	8 7.0%	2 2.7%	11 10.8%	22.2%		

 $^{^{1}}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
CONVICTIONS	114 100.0%	120 100.0%	115 100.0%	73 100.0%	102 100.0%	-10.5%			
FELONY	26 22.8%	32 26.7%	28 24.3%	21 28.8%	23 22.5%	-11.5%			
VIOLENT	4 3.5%	3 2.5%	6 5.2%	4 5.5%	2 2.0%	-50.0%			
OTHER	22 19.3%	29 24.2%	22 19.1%	17 23.3%	21 20.6%	-4.5%			
MISDEMEANOR	79 69.3%	75 62.5%	79 68.7%	50 68.5%	68 66.7%	-13.9%			
LESSER OFFENSES	9 7.9%	13 10.8%	3 7.0%	2.7%	11 10.8%	22.2%			

 $^{^{1}}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

				Sentences	as a Perd	cent of Co	onviction	3		- 1 - Mark - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
		982 Misú & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser	19 Fe lony	984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		086 2 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	22.2	NA	11.8	NA	25.0	NA	36.4	- NA	20.0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	7.4	8.5	8.8	10.1	10.7	8.8	9.1	7.1	16.0	8.4
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	14.8	0.2	2.9	1.5	10.7	0.4	9.1	1.5	20.0	1.0
PROBATION	48.1	4.1	61.8	5.0	46.4	5.2	45.5	6.7	40.0	5.4
FINES	7.4	66.5	2.9	57.0	7.1	63.1	0.0	66.3	0.0	65.6
OTHER	0.0	20.7	11.8	25.4	0.0	22.5	, 0.0.	18.5	4.0	19.7

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

				÷		1986 Cas Per 100,	,000	
	1982	1983_	ber of Case		1986	Populat		% Change
	1902	1303	1904	1303	1300	Rate	Rank	82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	281	281	282	384	346	953.2	32	23.1%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	118	125	106	115	126 -	347.1	61	6.8%
FAMILY COURT	33	29	20	37	32	88.2	46	-3.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	85	96	86	78	94	259.0	61	10.6%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	17	6	1 -	6	5	13.8	42	-70.6%
FAMILY COURT	5	6	1	5	4	11.0	30	-20.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	12	O	0	ī	1	2.8	34	-91.7%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	70	63	57	51	58	159.8	59	-17.1%
FAMILY COURT	12	13	7	11	12	33.1	48	0.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	58	50	50	40	46	126.7	59	-20.7%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	211	199	187	173	184	506.9	60	-12.8%
FAMILY COURT	31	23	18	18	20	55.1	52	-35.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	180	176	169	155	164	451.8	59	-8.9%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	136	130	122	126	135	371.9	59	-0.7%
FAMILY COURT	10	11	7	8	10	27.5	49	0.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	126	119	115	118	125	344.4	58	-0.8%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Probationers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rar	% Change <u>k 82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	7	7	6	4	5	27.2 50	-28.6%
FAMILY COURT	1	1	1	2	0	0.0 59	-100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	6	6	5	2	5	30.5 46	-16.7%
TECHNICAL	3	2	3	0	4	24.4 23	33.3%
NEW CONVICTION	3	4	, 2	2	1.	6.1 53	-66.7%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Number	r of Admis	sions	****	1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	339	317	301	273	377	1,038.6 42	11.2%
UNSENTENCED	235	235	207	196	282	776.9 41	20.0%
SENTENCED	104	82	.94	77	95	261.7 39	-8.7%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 98
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

								1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population		% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank		% Change 82 vs 86	
REPORTED CAPACITY	24	24	24	24	24		66.1	41		0.0%	
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	16	14	19	12	16		44.1	53		0.0%	
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	6	12	16	. 12	9		24.8	56		50.0%	
UNSENTENCED	5	9	6	11	6		16.5	52		20.0%	
SENTENCED	1	3	10	1	3	•	8.3	56		200.0%	

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table 10A} \mbox{New Court commitments to state PRISON^1}$

			umber of rt Commitm	ents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	8	4	5	9	6	16.5 51	-25.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	3	1	2	3	1,	2.8 57	-66.7%
HOMICIDE	0	. 0	0 .	0	1	2.8 16	NA
SEX OFFENSE	1	1	0	1	0	0.0 51	-100.0%
ROBBERY	O	0	2	2	. 0	0.0 43	NA
BURGLARY	2	0	0	· 0	0	0.0 51	-100.0%
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 25	NA
OTHER	0	0	0,	0	0	0.0 37	, NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1	0	0	0	. 0	0.0 51	-100.0%
POSSESSION	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 37	NA
SALE	1	0,	. 0	0	0	0.0 43	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	4	3	3	5	5	13.8 27	25.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	1	0	0.0 32	NA

 $^{^1}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state Prison on December $\mathfrak{31}^1$}$

	N 1	umber of In	nmates Unde ison on Dec	Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula				
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	14	10	5	14	16	44.1	46	14.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	4	4	5	6	8	22.0	49	100.0%
HOMICIDE	0	• 0	0,	0	1	2.8	57	NA
SEX OFFENSE	1	2	2	2	3	8.3	31	200.0%
ROBBERY	0	. 1	2	4	4	11.0	21	NA
BURGLARY	3	1	1	0	0	0.0	56	-100.0%
WEAPONS	0	0	0	. 0	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	53	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	1	. 0	0	0	0	0.0	55	-100.0%
POSSESSION	0	0	.0	. 0	0	0.0	43	NA
SALE	$1_{i,j}$	0	0	0	0	0.0	49	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	. 8	6	0	7	8	22.0	29	0.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	.0	0	1	. 0	0.0	33	-100.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983_	<u>1984</u>	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES					
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Number	r of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	4	8	6	4	10	27.5 28	150.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION 1	14	14	17	17	22	60.6 35	57.1%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	6	11	13	12	19	52.3 29	216.7%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Nun	iber of Parc	olees		P	1986 vocations er 1,000 arolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Ra	te Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2	0	1	·	0		0.0 52	-100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	. 0	0	0	0	0		0.0 36	NA
NEW ARRESTS	2	. 0	. ' ' 0	, 0	. • •		0.0 49	-100.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	1		0		0.0 36	NA

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

			<u>'</u>	lumber of Cases		:	
	198	2	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS		0	1	0	0 ,	, 0 '	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS		0	, 0	0	0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS		0		0	0	0	NA
CONVICTIONS		0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON		0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL		0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL		0	0	. 0	0) 0	NA NA
PROBATION		0	. O :	0	0	0	NA
OTHER		0	0	, 0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS		0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS		0	0	0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED		0	.0	0	. 0	0	NA NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT		0	• 0	0	0	. 0	NA
OTHER		0	0	0.	0	0	, NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

		. [Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	F1 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	5.6	9.4	10.2	7.3	29.9%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	NA	0.0	55.9
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	5.3	6.2	3.7	NA	25.0	3.8
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	40.0	43.3	45.3	47.6	19.1%	51.5	78.3
Division for Youth ³	125.1	116.5	128.6	348.8	178.7%	187.4	230.0
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	10.0	NA NA	10.0	1 2
TOTAL	170.8	174.4	200.3	438.7	156.9%	274.0	368.0

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

⁴ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	***		Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹	· •						
Coram Nobis	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	-50.0%		
Felons	5.0	7.0	8,3	4.9	-3.1%		
Parole Violators	0.0	0.8	1.4	0.0	NA	. 	
State Readies ²				1.8	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.4	1.6	0.5	0.6	34.1%		<u></u> -
TOTAL	5.6	9.4	10.2	7.3	29.9%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85		Change 2 vs 85	FY_86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	NA	0.0	55,9
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	NA	0.0	55.9

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4
DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Appro	Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Prevention 1 and Protection		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	25.0	0.0
Indigent Parolee Program ²	0.0	5.3	2.8	2.1	NA		, v - t.
Narcotics Control ³	: 		-		, NA		3.8
Neighborhood ⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	3.4	1.6	NA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL	0.0	5.3	6.2	3.7	NA	25.0	3.8

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

 $^{^3}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^4}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing							
Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· NA	1.2	4.8
PINS Program ¹	***	1			NA		18.5
Regular State Aid	40.0	43.3	45.3	47.6	19.1%	50.3	55.0
TOTAL	40.0	43.3	45.3	47.6	19.1%	51.5	78.3

 $^{^{}m 1}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	7.7	2.4	1.7	4.7	-38.7%	2.4	4.0
Secure	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	18.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	0.1%	18.8	19.5
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	20.0	18.1
Voluntary Agency Care	23.1	26.7	32.0	85.6	270.2%	44.8	66.5
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	75.6	67.8	76.1	239.7	217.2%	101.5	122.0
TOTAL	125.1	116.5	128.6	348.8	178.7%	187.4	230.0

 $^{^{1}}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	544	352	
DFY Facilities			
TOTAL	544	352	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	8,450.1	9,242.7	10,073.0	10,921.6	29.2%	11,420.7	11,935.9
DFY Facilities					0.0%		
TOTAL	8,450.1	9,242.7	10,073.0	10,921.6	29.2%	11,420.7	11,935.9

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations	
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	1,918.0	1,177.7	2,006.9	5,102.5	531.4	531.0
DFY Facilities						
TOTAL	1,918.0	1,177.7	2,006.9	5,102.5	531.4	531.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

				Staff	
<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	Security	<u>Civilian</u>
Adirondack	MEDIUM	544	352	219	133

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropi	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Adirondack	8,450.1	9,242.7	10,073.0	10,921.6	29.2%	11,420.7	11,935.9

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		<u> </u>	Expenditures			Appropriati		
Adirondack 1,918.0 1,177.7 2,006.9 5,102.5 531.4 531	<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85		<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
	Adirondack	1,918.0	1,177.7	2,006.9	5,102.5	531.4	531.0	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division For Youth facilities located in this County.

FRANKLIN COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop B Headquarters Route 86, Box 100 Raybrook, New York 12977 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 63 West Main Street Malone, New York 12953

Probation Department Court House 63 West Main Street Malone, New York 12953

Public Defender's Office Box 46, 8 Harrison Avenue Malone, New York 12953 Sheriff's Department 3 Brewster Street Malone, New York 12953

Youth Bureau Court House 63 West Main Street Malone, New York 12953

County Court Court House 63 West Main Street Malone, New York 12953

Family Court Court House 63 West Main Street Malone, New York 12953

Region	NORTHERN
County Seat	MALONE
Square Miles	1,642
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	2.3%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	44,929	52	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	27.4	59	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	22,011 22,918	NA NA	49.0% 51.0%	23 40
Race: White Non-White Unknown	42,640 2,242 47	NA NA NA	94.9% 5.0% 0.1%	41 20 62
Ethnicity: Hispanic	178	NA	0.4%	55
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	6,505 5,263 3,022 4,881 25,258	NA NA NA NA	14.5% 11.7% 6.7% 10.9% 56.2%	25 48 10 19 52

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	25,258	100.0%	52
8th Grade or Less	6,464	25.6%	3
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	3,697 8,806	14.6% 34.9%	50 50
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	3,366 2,925	13.3% 11.6%	51 44

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		POPL	JLATION 1982-1986	L		
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986_	Rank 1986
Population	44,000	43,600	43,900	43,793	43,800	52
Density Per Square Mile	26.8	26.6	26.7	26.7	26.7	59

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

<u> </u>	PER CAPIT	A INCOME: 1982-1984			
	1982	1983	:	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	7,500	8,012		8,704	60

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86	
School Year	Percent Rank
<u>1981-82</u> <u>1982-83</u> <u>1983-84</u> <u>1984-85</u> <u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students	
Who Dropped Out of School Number 148 116 125 107 88	
Percent 4.1% 3.4% 3.9% 3.5% 2.9%	55

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-1	986		Percent
		1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	1,910 10.1%	1,830 9.5%	2,157 10.7%	5

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986										
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986			
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	2,788 6.3%	3,054 7.0%	3,099 7.1%	3,174 7.2%	2,985 6.8%	8			

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of Cr	imes		1986 Cr Per 100 Populat	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	1,262	1,351	1,172	1,116	1,031	2,343.0	47	-18.3%
VIOLENT	84	79	93	. 62	70	159.1	39	16.7%
MURDER	2	2	1	1	0	0.0	52	-100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	3 ·	4	3	10	5	11.4	36	66.7%
ROBBERY	6	5	7	2	4	9.1	47	-33.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	73	68	82	49	61	138.6	36	-16.4%
PROPERTY	1,178	1,272	1,079	1.054	961	2,183.9	43	-18.4%
BURGLARY	349	403	336	306	268	609.0	44	-23.2%
LARCENY	785	845	719	726	664	1,509.0	46	-15.4%
MV THEFT	44	24	24	22	29	65.9	47	-34.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arres	ts		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	898	852	897	883	1,028	2,347.0 11	14.5%	
FELONY	161	175	147	152	154	351.6 49	-4.3%	
VIOLENT	35	38	43	33	41	93.6 41	17.1%	
OTHER	126	137	104	119	113	258.0 51	-10.3%	
MISDEMEANOR	737	677	750	731	874	1,995.4 8	18.6%	

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

1		<u>Number</u> l	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
	ARRESTS	485	-2.4%	
	FELONY ²	83	36.1%	
	VIOLENT	22	37.5%	
	OTHER	61	35.6%	
	MISDEMEANOR	402	-7.8%	

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Popula</u> <u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	. 1	. 0	0	1	0	0.0	46	-100.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1	1	1	4	.3	6.8	30	200.0%
ROBBERY	5	0	3	. 0	4	9.1	34	20.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	10	16	.16	11	12	27.4	46	20.0%
BURGLARY	48	74	52	44	39	89.0	34	-18.7%
LARCENY ²	149	159	133	129	131	299.1	40 -	-12.1%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1
(Adults Only)

		Numbe	r of Arres		Per 100	1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	40	34	29	36	47,	107.3	22	17.5%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	24	17	18	15	39	89.0	22	62.5%
POSSESSION	23	12	18	14	38	86.8	19	65.2%
SALE	1	3	0,	1	1	2.3	52	0.0%
OTHER	0	2	0	0	0	0.0	47	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	16	17	11	21	8	18.3	39	-50.0%
POSSESSION	7	11	6	. 6	5	11.4	42	-28.6%
SALE	9	6	5	15	3	6.8	25	-66.7%

 $^{^{1}}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

		Numbe	er of Arrests			Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed <u>vers</u>	
ere ere	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	252	236	277	277	395	13.1	3	56.7%
FELONY	17	22	11	12	19	0.6	27	11.8%
MISDEMEANOR	235	214	266	265	376	12.4	3	60.0%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	72 100.0%	59 100.0%	72 100.0%	80 100.0%	52 100.0%	-27.8%			
VIOLENT	16 22.2%	20 33.9%	22 30.6%	14 17.5%	12 23.1%	-25.0%			
OTHER	56 77.8%	39 66.1%	50 69.4%	66 82.5%	40 76.9%	-28.6%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	_Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	45	114.3%		
VIOLENT	10	66.7%		
OTHER	35	133.3%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

				l Percent of Di Resulting from und Misdemeanor			
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	· .	596 100.0%	682 100.0%	658 100.0%	646 100.0%	779 100.0%	30.7%
CONVICTIONS		470 78.9%	517 75.8%	534 81.2%	540 83.6%	640 82.2%	36.2%
ACQUITTALS		3 0.5%	6 0.9%	0 0.0%	0.3%	2 0.3%	-33.3%
DISMISSALS		122 20.5%	157 23.0%	117 17.8%	104 16.1%	135 17.3%	10.7%
NOT PROSECUTED		0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	. NA
OTHER		0.2%	0.1%	6 0.9%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number and Percent of DispositionsResulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>				
DISPOSITIONS	99 100.0%	147 100.0%	110 100.0%	105 100.0%	118 100.0%	19.2%				
CONVICTIONS	90 90.9%	128 87.1%	97 88.2%	95 90.3%	100 84.7%	11.1%				
ACQUITTALS	0.0%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	2 1.9%	0 0.0%	NA				
DISMISSALS	9 9.1%	16 10.9%	10 9.1%	8 7.6%	16 13.6%	77.8%				
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	1 0.7%	0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	NA				
OTHER	0.0%	0.7%	3 2.7%	0 0.0%	0.8%	, NA				

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1986 2 1982 1983 1984 1985 82 vs 86 470 517 540 640 CONVICTIONS 534 36.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 158.8% 42 3.6% 6.6% 8.8% 7.8% 6.9% 100.0% VIOLENT 11 16 0.6% 2.1% 3.0% 1.5% 0.9% OTHER 14 23 31 34 38 171.4% 3.0% 4.4% 5.8% 6.3% 5.9% 298 **MISDEMEANOR** 289 298 289 362 25.3% 61.5% 57.6% 54.1% 55.2% 56.6% 164 198 200 234 42.7% LESSER OFFENSES 185 35.8% 37.1% 37.0% 34.9% 36.6%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86				
CONVICTIONS	90 100.0%	128 100.0%	97 100.0%	95 100.0%	100 100.0%	11.1%				
FELONY	15 16.7%	34 26.6%	45 46.4%	37 38.9%	37 37.0%	146.7%				
VIOLENT	3 3.3%	11 8.6%	16 16.5%	8 8.4%	6 6.0%	100.0%				
OTHER	12 13.3%	23 18.0%	29 29.9%	29 30.5%	31 31.0%	158.3%				
MISDEMEANOR	69 76.7%	84 65.6%	49 50.5%	51 53.7%	61 61.0%	-11.6%				
LESSER OFFENSES	6 6.7%	10 7.8%	3 3.1%	7 7.4%	2 2.0%	-66.7%				

 $^{^{1}}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2						
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
STATE PRISON	0.4	2.9	3.0	1.9	0.9						
LOCAL JAIL	12.1	11.4	10.7	13.3	13.6						
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.0	3.5	3.2	3.9	5.2						
PROBATION	8.5	9.1	9.7	13.0	7.7						
FINES	58.5	53.6	55.6	49.8	58.1						
OTHER	17.4	19.5	17.8	18.1	14.5						

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 68

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
(Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	1983 Misd & Felony Lesser	1984 Misd & Felony Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser					
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%					
STATE PRISON	11.8 NA	44.1 NA	34.0 NA	23.8 NA	13.6 NA					
LOCAL JAIL	17.6 11.9	2.9 12.0	12.8 10.5	11.9 13.5	13.6 13.6					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	29.4 2.0	20.6 2.3	23.4 1.2	21.4 2.4	43.2 2.3					
PROBATION	41.2 7.3	29.4 7.7	23.4 8.4	40.5 10.6	29.5 6.0					
FINES	0.0 60.7	0.0 57.4	0.0 61.0	0.0 54.0	0.0 62.4					
OTHER	0.0 18.1	2.9 20.7	6.4 18.9	2.4 19.5	0.0 15.6					

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Name	ber of <u>Case</u>	e e		1986 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	000	
						Populat	TOIL	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	782	744	756	747	725	1,655.3	7	-7.3%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	371	524	528	630	644	1,470.3	4	73.6%
FAMILY COURT	112	113	109	107	113	258.0	11	0.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	259	411	419	523	531	1,212.3	3	105.0%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	16	23	20	20	23	52.5	29	43.8%
FAMILY COURT	5	. 5	2	2	6	13.7	25	20.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	11	18	18	18	17	38.8	22	54.5%
PROBATION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	102	134	125	139	143	326.5	11	40.2%
FAMILY COURT	22	34	32	24	32	73.1	10	45.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	80	100	93	115	111	253.4	17	38.8%
2								
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	308	343	382	383	414	945.2	13	34.4%
FAMILY COURT	59	63	75	53	52	118.7	20	-11.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	249	280	307	330	362	826.5	17	45.4%
UNDER PROBATION								
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	208	257	244	275	299	682.6	17	43.8%
FAMILY COURT	28	43	29	24	21	47.9	31	-25.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	180	214	215	251	278	634.7	18	54.4%

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	12	12	13	11	17	41.1	38	41.7%
FAMILY COURT	1	2	2	0	2	38.5	42	100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	11.1	10	11	11	15	41.4	35	36.4%
TECHNICAL	. 8	6	7	5	9 :	24.9	27	12.5%
NEW CONVICTION	3	4	4	6	6	16.6	34	100.0%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions	Admiss Per 10	1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	631	608	672	603	638	1,456.6	17	1.1%
UNSENTENCED	372	374	450	416	436	995.4	23	17.2%
SENTENCED	259	234	222	186	202	461.2	9	-22.0%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	. :					Per	Inmates 100,000 ulation	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rat	e Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	54	56	55	55	55	125	.6 7	1.9%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	34	30	34	37	43	98	.2 10	26.5%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	33	16	26	33	36	82	.2 17	9.1%
UNSENTENCED	12	7	6	17	16	36	.5 34	33.3%
SENTENCED	21	9	20	16	20	45	.7 11	-4.8%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table 10A} \mbox{NeW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON}^{1}$

			lumber of <u>rt Commitm</u>	ents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	6	. 15	19	11	6	13.7 56	0.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	3	6	5	3	. 2 .	4.6 53	-33.3%
HOMICIDE	. 0	. 0	1	1	2	4.6 4	NA
SEX OFFENSE	0	1	1	. 0	0	0.0 51	NA
ROBBERY	1	1	0	0	0	0.0 43	-100.0%
BURGLARY	1	1	1 .	2	0	0.0 51	-100.0%
WEAPONS	1	2	0	0	. 0	0.0 25	-100.0%
OTHER	0	1	2	0	0	0.0 37	NA
DRUG FELONIES4	1	3	4.	0	2	4.6 23	100.0%
POSSESSION	0	1	1	. 0	1	2.3 15	NA
SALE	1	2	3	0	1	2.3 27	0.0%
OTHER FELONIES	1	6 °	9	8	2	4.6 57	100.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	, O ,	. 1	0	. 0	0.0 32	-100.0%

 $^{^1}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

		umber of 1 n State Pr	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
UNDER CUSTODY	24	24	27	35	31	70.8 30	29.2%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	13	13	15	16	14	32.0 39	7.7%
HOMICIDE	2	2	3	4	6	13.7 28	200.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1	2	3	3	3	6.8 38	200.0%
ROBBERY	,4	. 4	3	2	2	4.6 32	-50.0%
BURGLARY	5	2	1	3	0	0.0 56	-100.0%
WEAPONS	1	2	2	1	1	2.3 15	0.0%
OTHER	0	1	3	3	2	4.6 26	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	. 3 .	6	3	. 4	9.1 21	100.0%
POSSESSION	0	1	2	2	3	6.8 10	NA
SALE	2	2	4	1	1	2.3 40	-50.0%
OTHER FELONIES	, 7	8	3	15	13	29.7 17	85.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2-	0	3	1	0 -	0.0 33	-100.0%

 $^{^{}m 1}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES				•	
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	11	9	4	10	9	20.5 42	-18.2%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	25	25	21	20	18	41.1 48	-28.0%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	16	. 17	10	. 9	14	32.0 48	-12.5%

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Paro	ees			198 Revoca Per 1 Parol	tions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	٠.,	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	1	4	3	0	3		6.8	2	200.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	. O .	1		2.3	3	NA
NEW ARRESTS	1	2	1	. 0	2		4.6	1	100.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	2	. 2	0	0		0.0	36	NA

¹ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

-			Number of Cases			% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	82 vs 86	
FELONY ARRESTS	0	0	0	, 1 .	. 0	NA	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	. 0	0	0	0	. NA	
DISPOSITIONS	0	O	0	1	0 .	NA	
CONVICTIONS	0	Ø	0	0 , .	0	NA	
PRISON	0	0	O	0	0	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	NA	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	. 0	NA	
PROBATION	0	0	0	0	. 0	NA NA	
OTHER	0	ø	0	0	0	NA	
ACQUITTALS	0	. 0	0	0	0	NA	
DISMISSALS	0	0		0	0	NA	
NOT PROSECUTED	0.	0	0	0	0	NA	
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	· 1	0	NA	
OTHER	0	O	0	0	0	NA	

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Dept. of Correctional Services	5.3	8.5	28.4	44.3	732.1%	0.0	0.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	6.4	
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	90.9	99.4	102.9	105.2	15.8%	129.6	133.7	
Division for Youth ³	114.2	158.4	167.9	335.2	193.4%	217.5	230.9	
TOTAL.	210.6	266.4	299.3	484.7	130.2%	347.1	371.1	

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	NA		
Felons	4.2	7.6	26.5	39.4	837.3%		
Parole Violators	0.9	0.0	1.6	3.3	257.4%		,
State Readies ²				1.3	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.3	67.6%		· · · · · ·
TOTAL	5.3	8.5	28.4	44.3	732.1%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Indigent Parolee Program ¹	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	- -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Narcotics Control ²			*-		NA	-	6.4	
Neighborhood ³ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	:	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
TOTAL	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	6.4	

 $^{^1}$ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^3}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Intensive Supervision/							
Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2.9	11.6
PINS Program ¹		·	 -	7	NA	12.5	0.0
Regular State Aid	90.9	99.4	102.9	105.2	15.8%	114.3	122.2
TOTAL	90.9	99.4	102.9	105.2	15.8%	129.6	133.7

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Detention								
Non-Secure	0.6	0.5	0.2	3.1	432.1%	1.8	1.6	
Secure	1.5	1.3	0.1	7.2	374.1%	0.4	6.3	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	15.0	41.1	66.1	62.2	314.8%	77.6	80.7	
Voluntary Agency Care	18.1	29.2	15.3	38.5	112.8%	21.9	28.1	
Youth Development and Delinquency								
Prevention	79.1	86.3	86.2	224.2	183.6%	115.8	114.3	
TOTAL	114.2	158.4	167.9	335.2	193.4%	217.5	230.9	

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

		<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
	DOCS Facilities	901	486	
	DFY Facilities		•••	
	TOTAL	901	486	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
DOCS Facilities	1,288.4	2,767.7	3,047.5	3,341.4	159.3%	10,990.2	16,902.4	
DFY Facilities					0.0%		<u> شنب</u>	
TOTAL	1,288.4	2,767.7	3,047.5	3,341.4	159.3%	10,990.2	16,902.4	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropri	ations
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities .	630.5	371.9	12,727.6	13,730.0	32,573.4	441.0
DFY Facilities			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
TOTAL	630.5	371.9	12,727.6	13,730.0	32,573.4	441.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

				Staff			
<u>Facility</u>	Security Level	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	Security	Civilian		
Franklin	MEDIUM	700	388	241	147		
Gabriels-Camp	MINIMUM	201	98	68	30		
TOTAL		901	486	309	177		

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	·		Appropriations				
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Franklin ¹	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%	7,463.5	13,195.9
Gabriels-Camp	1,288.4	2,767.7	3,047.5	3,341.4	159.3%	3,526.7	3,706.4
TOTAL	1,288.4	2,767.7	3,047.5	3,341.4	159.3%	10,990.2	16,902.4

 $^{^{1}}$ The facility opened in July of 1986.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C


DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expendi	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>		FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Franklin ¹				12,299.9	12,299.9	31,225.2	0.0
Gabriels-Camp		630.5	371.9	427.7	1,430.1	1,348.3	441.0
TOTAL		630.5	371.9	12,727.6	13,730.0	32,573.4	441.0

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The facility opened in July of 1986.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division For Youth facilities located in this County.



REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop D Headquarters 241 Genesee Street Oneida, New York 13421 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 175 Arsenal Street Watertown, New York 13601

Probation Department 185 Arsenal Street Watertown, New York 13601

Assigned Counsel Plan
500 Marine Midland Bank Building
120 Washington Street
Watertown, New York 13601

Sheriff's Department 311 North Massey Street Watertown, New York 13601

Youth Bureau Box 42, 163 Arsenal Street Watertown, New York 13601

County Court Court House Watertown, New York 13601

Family Court 185 Arsenal Street Watertown, New York 13601

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Watertown Police Department
Room 102
245 Washington Street
Watertown, New York 13601

Population 25,000 and above.

	Region	NORTHERN
	County Seat	WATERTOWN
	Square Miles	1,273
Population	Percent Change 1970-1980	-0.4%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	88,151	29	100.0%	NA .
Density Per Square Mile	69.2	43	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	42,164 45,987	NA NA	47.8% 52.2%	49 14
Race: White Non-White Unknown	87,318 695 138	NA NA NA	99.1% 0.8% 0.2%	6 56 56
Ethnicity: Hispanic	375	NA	0.4%	54
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	13,671 9,771 5,228 8,506 50,975	NA NA NA NA	15.5% 11.1% 5.9% 9.6% 57.8%	6 28 30 42 44

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	50,975	100.0%	44
8th Grade or Less	8,499	16.7%	32
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	9,854 20,134	19.3% 39.5%	7 16
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	7,213 5,275	14.2% 10.3%	40 54

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		- 20	PULATION 1982-1986			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Rank 1986
Population	87,200	87,700	88,900	88,583	90,600	28
Density Per Square Mile	68.5	68.9	69.8	69.6	71.2	42

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

<u>'</u>	PER CAPITA I	NCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income
	1982	1983	1984	Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	8,970	9,465	10,321	46

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86

School Year	Percent Rank
<u>1981-82</u>	1985-86
Public High School Students	
Who Dropped Out of School Number 349 246 263 230 289 Percent 6.5% 5.0% 5.2% 4.6% 5.7%	8

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

				Percent Rank	
		1984	1985	1986	1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number	5.058	4.873	4.712	
of Civilian Labor Force	Percent	13.4%	12.3%	11.4%	3

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks

were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986									
		:					Percent Rank		
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1986		
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	4,815 5.5%	5,528 6.3%	6,068 6.8%	5,890 6.6%	5,375 5.9%	13		

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of Cr	imes		1986 Ci Per 100 Populai	0.000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	3,205	2,347	2,275	2,404	2,090	2,345.1	46	-34.8%
VIOLENT	154	95	76	86	68	76.3	55	-55.8%
MURDER	0	0	3	3	4	4,5	12	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	. 11	9	, 7	. 4	. 4 =	4,5	53	-63.6%
ROBBERY	26	11	6	12	8	9.0	48	-69.2%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	117	75	60	67.	52	58.3	57	-55.6%
PROPERTY	3,051	2,252	2,199	2,318	2,022	2,268.8	40	-33.7%
BURGLARY	1,075	767	667	744	639	717.0	27	-40.6%
LARCENY	1,908	1,439	1,500	1,516	1,345	1,509.2	45	-29.5%
MV THEFT	68	46	32	58	38	42.6	57	-44.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numb	per of Arres	ts		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	1,365	1,340	1,349	1,276	1,356	1,496.7	54	-0.7%
FELONY	366	337	351	328	410	452.5	25	12.0%
VIOLENT	109	75	93	92	102	112.6	30	-6.4%
OTHER	257	262	258	236	308	340.0	21	19.8%
MISDEMEANOR	999	1,003	998	948	946	1,044.2	54	-5.3%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

	<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
ARRESTS	739	8.8%		
FELONY ²	179	-2.2%		
VIOLENT	47	17.5%		e de la companya de l
OTHER	132	-7.7%		
MISDEMEANOR	560	12.9%		

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Number	of Arres	ts		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	0	3	5	2	· 5	5.5	10	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	5	5	2	5	_ 5.5	38	0.0%
ROBBERY	12	4.	3	9	3	3.3	55	75.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	56	35	32	34	32	35.3	36	-42.9%
BURGLARY	107	93	95	104	133	146.8	8	24.3%
LARCENY ²	194	199	204	167	191	210.8	55	-1.5%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Numb	er of Arrests			1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
· · · ·	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	64	65	40	34	61	67.3 37	-4.7%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	46	46	32	25	42	46.4 36	-8.7%
POSSESSION	34	44	26	22	34	37.5 34	0.0%
SALE	12	2	6	3	5	5.5 43	-58.3%
OTHER	0	0	0	.0	3	3.3 29	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	18	19	8	9	19	21.0 27	5.6%
POSSESSION	9	11	5	6	11	12.1 37	22.2%
SALE	9	8	3	3	8	8.8 18	-11.1%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State -Sections 220 and 221).

		Number	of Arrests			1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982_	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	405	387	386	454	370	6.2 43	-8.6%
FELONY	32	42	41	37	36	0.6 28	12.5%
MISDEMEANOR	373	345	345	417	334	5.6 45	-10.5%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY INDICTMENTS	248 100.0%	256 100.0%	283 100.0%	278 100.0%	299 100.0%	20.6%
VIOLENT	60 24.2%	64 25.0%	76 26.9%	65 23.4%	66 22.1%	10.0%
OTHER	188 75.8%	192 75.0%	207 73.1%	213 76.6%	233 77.9%	23.9%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	126	-6.7%			
VIOLENT	35	34.6%			
OTHER	91	-16.5%	· .	. :	· ·

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86	
DISPOSITIONS	936 100.0%	1,027 100.0%	918 100.0%	906 100.0%	987 100.0%	5.4%	
CONVICTIONS	813 86.9%	872 84.9%	772 84.1%	784 86.5%	848 85.9%	4.3%	
ACQUITTALS	6 0.6%	7 0.7%	3 0.3%	3 0.3%	0.1%	-83.3%	
DISMISSALS	105 11.2%	143 13.9%	126 13.7%	113 12.5%	128 13.0%	21.9%	
NOT PROSECUTED	3 0.3%	0.0%	4 0.4%	2 0.2%	1 0.1%	-66.7%	
OTHER	9 1.0%	5 0.5%	13 1.4%	4 0.4%	9 0.9%	0.0%	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	·		Percent of Dis from Felony A			
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	308 100.0%	303 100.0%	242 100.0%	253 100.0%	297 100.0%	-3.6%
CONVICTIONS	- 279 90.6%	259 85.5%	205 84.7%	226 89.3%	246 82.8%	-11.8%
ACQUITTALS	3 1.0%	5 1.7%	0.4%	3 1.2%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
DISMISSALS	21 6.8%	36 11.9%	23 9.5%	21 8.3%	49 16.5%	133.3%
NOT PROSECUTED	3 1.0%	0 0.0%	3 1.2%	2 0.8%	1 0.3%	-66.7%
OTHER	2 0.6%	3 1.0%	10 4.1%	0.4%	1 0.3%	-50.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1986 2 1982 1983 1984 1985 82 vs 86 CONVICTIONS 813 872 772 784 848 4.3% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 139 118 105 131 161 15.8% 17.1% 13.5% 13.6% 16.7% 19.0% VIOLENT 35 21 27 34 -2.9% 4.3% 2.4% 3.5% 3.4% 4.0% OTHER 104 97 78 104 127 22.1% 12.8% 10.1% 11.1% 13.3% 15.0% MISDEMEANOR 429 488 403 408 399 -7.0% 56.0% 52.2% 47.1% 52.8% 52.0% LESSER OFFENSES 245 266 264 245 283 17.6% 30.1% 30.5% 34.2% 31.3% 34.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 58

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
CONVICTIONS	279 100.0%	259 100.0%	205 100.0%	226 100.0%	246 100.0%	-11.8%		
FELONY	132 47.3%	108 41.7%	103 50.2%	121 53.5%	146 59.3%	10.6%		
VIOLENT	35 12.5%	21 8.1%	27 13.2%	27 11.9%	34 13.8%	-2.9%		
OTHER	97 34.8%	87 33.6%	76 37.1%	94 41.6%	112 45.5%	15.5%		
MISDEMEANOR	140 50.2%	146 56.4%	97 47.3%	103 45.6%	92 37.4%	-34.3%		
LESSER OFFENSES	7 2.5%	5 1.9%	5 2.4%	2 0.9%	8 3.3%	14.3%		

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1
(Adults Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2			
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
STATE PRISON	3.6	2.1	2.1	2.9	4.5			
LOCAL JAIL	14.1	16.9	17.1	14.4	14.9			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	5.9	4.9	6.3	7.5	5.8			
PROBATION	16.9	13.2	13.6	10.8	13.2			
FINES	50.2	53.2	52.7	55.0	52.5			
OTHER	9.3	9.7	8.2	9.3	9.2			

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	1983 Misd & Felony Lesser	1984 Misd & Felony Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser				
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%				
STATE PRISON	20.9 NA	15.3 NA	15.2 NA	17.6 NA	23.6 NA				
LOCAL JAIL	22.3 12.5	20.3 16.3	21.0 16.5	19.1 13.5	16.1 14.6				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	23.7 2.2	23.7 2.0	34.3 1.9	35.9 1.8	23.6 1.6				
PROBATION	32.4 13.7	35.6 9.7	27.6 11.4	25.2 8.0	34.2 8.3				
FINES	0.7 60.4	3.4 61.0	1.0 60.9	1.5 65.7	2.5 64.2				
OTHER	0.0 11.3	1.7 11.0	1.0 9.3	0.8 11.0	0.0 11.4				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nui	mber of Case	es		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	- 1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,997	1,961	1,636	1,589	1,799	1,985.7	4	-9.9%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	722	781	758	661	776	856.5	26	7.5%
FAMILY COURT	123	138	90	56	62	68.4	57	-49,6%
CRIMINAL COURT	599	643	668	605	714	788.1	15	19.2%
PRE-DISPOSITION								
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	89	0	. 0	. 0	, 0	0.0	51	-100.0%
FAMILY COURT	89	0	0	0	0	0.0	44	-100.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	O	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	340	323	320	281	302	333.3	10	-11.2%
FAMILY COURT	116	110	97	65	85	71.7	11	-44.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	224	213	223	216	237	261,6	14	5.8%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	790	783	777	751	789	870.9	8	-0.1%
FAMILY COURT	235	197	159	110	124	136.9	11	-47.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	555	586	618	641	665	734.0	14	19.8%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	460	457	470	487	523	577.3	29	13.7%
FAMILY COURT	87	62	45	59	51	56.3	20	-41.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	373	395	425	428	472	521.0	28	26.5%

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	tions	······································	1986 Revocat Per 1,0 Probatio	000	
	 1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	 Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	38	29	44	37	38	48.2	37	0.0%
FAMILY COURT	12	7	16	12	6	48.4	37	-50.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	26	22	28	25	32	48.1	36	23.1%
TECHNICAL	11	13	10	16	12	18.0	46	9.1%
NEW CONVICTION	15	9	18	9	20	30.1	16	33.3%

Table 8A

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86	FY 1986-87
CLIENTS SERVED	166	0	15	37
SOURCE: NYS Division o	of Probation a	and Corrections	l Alternatives.	-
ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCE	RATION PROGRA	MS: CLASSIFICA	TION/ALTERNATIV	ES BILL
			·	
Table 88			Table	e 8C
PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986			COMMUNITY SERV	ICE PROGRAM: 1986
There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.			There were no county that ref	agencies in the ceived funding of program.
			•	
Table 8D			Tabl	e 8E
DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 19	986		SPECIALIZED A	TERNATIVES: 1986
There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.	•		There were no county that refor this type	agencies in the ceived funding of program.
				<u> </u>

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	1,114	1.056	996	1,047	1,103	1,217.4 33	-1.0%
UNSENTENCED	690	615	634	677	769	848.8 34	11.4%
SENTENCED	424	441	362	370	334	368.7 24	-21.2%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 In Per 10 Popula	00,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	67	60	58	66	70	77.3	30	4.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	53	57	56	65	65	71.7	31	22.6%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	55	34	41	58	. 54	59.6	36	-1.8%
UNSENTENCED	19	13	22	28	27	 29.8	44	42.1%
SENTENCED	36	21	19	30	27	29.8	22	-25.0%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 10A
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

			Number of urt Commitm	nents	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 New Co Committe Per 100 Populat	ourt ments 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	27	17	19	26	. 44	48.6	15	63.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	14	6	9	11	24	26.5	8	71.4%
HOMICIDE	0	. 0	3	2	4	4.4	6	NA
SEX OFFENSE	1	2	3	7	11	12.1	2	1,000.0%
ROBBERY	1	2	1	0	2	2.2	28	100.0%
BURGLARY	7	2	2	2	3	3.3	34	-57.1%
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	: 1	1.1	16	NA
OTHER	5	0	0	· a	3	3.3	11	-40.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	2	0	1	2	1	1.1	47	-50.0%
POSSESSION	2	0	0	0	0	0,0	37	-100.0%
SALE	0	0	1	2	1	1.1	38	NA
OTHER FELONIES	11	9	9	13	19	21.0	13	72.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	. 0	, 2.	0 1	0	0	0.0	32	NA

 $^{^1}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state prison on december ${\tt 31}^1$}$

		Number of In			en e	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100,000 Population	st. /86 000 on_	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86	
UNDER CUSTODY	53	56	58	62	75	82.8 27	41.5%	
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	29	31	35	34	44	48.6 25	51.7%	
HOMICIDE	7	. 7	9	11	12	13.2 29	71.4%	
SEX OFFENSE	6	6		11	18	19.9 6	200.0%	
ROBBERY	2	3	4	1	3	3.3 45	50.0%	
BURGLARY	10	9	11	9	6	6.6 39	-40.0%	
WEAPONS	0	0	0	. 0	1	1.1 28	NA	
OTHER	4	. 6	. 4	2	4	4.4 30	0.0%	
DRUG FELONIES ³	3	1	2	3	0	0.0 55	-100.0%	
POSSESSION	2		0	0	0	0.0 43	-100.0%	
SALE	1	. 1	2	3	0	0.0 49	-100.0%	
OTHER FELONIES	21	22	20	24	31	34.2 13	47.6%	
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	. 0	2	1	1	0	0.0 33	NA	

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES					
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbo	er of Parole		198 Parol Per 10 Popula	0/ Channa		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	11	15	13	25	20	22.1	38	81.8%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	32	35	33	48	54	59.6	36	68.8%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	20	20	23	34	34	37.5	40	70.0%

The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbe	1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Parolees Parolees				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	3	 3	3	3	6	6.6 11	100.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	1	1	0	0.0 36	NA
NEW ARRESTS	2	1	1	2	2	2.2 31	0.0%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	2	1	0	4	4.4 4	300.0%

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS 1

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Number of	Cases		
		1982		1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	1	0		1,	0		1 1	NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS		0		0	0		1	NA
DISPOSITIONS		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 1	0	•	0 2	NA
CONVICTIONS		. 0		0	0		0 2	NA
PRISON		0		0	. 0	·	2	NA
LOCAL JAIL		0		0	0		0	NA
LOCAL.JAIL AND PROBATION		0		0	0		0 0	NA
PROBATION		0		0	0		0	NA
OTHER		0		0	, . 0		0 0	NA
ACQUITTALS		0		0	0	· ·	0	NA
DISMISSALS		0		1	0	(0 0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED		0		. 0.			0 '0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT		0		. 0	0	· •	o o	NA
OTHER		0		0	0	. 4	0 . 0	NA.

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix 1); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	74.5	96.8	97.3	,140.2	88.2%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	0.0	0.0	9.4	12.6	NA	43.3	49.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	19.3	418.4	17.9	11.0	-42.7%	0.0	144.7
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	199.7	214.8	215.7	253.8	27.1%	316.5	329.6
Division for Youth ³	92.0	105.2	84.1	539.0	485.8%	280.3	407.9
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.0	0.0	NA	24.0	
TOTAL	385.4	835.2	424.4	956.6	148.2%	664.0	931.1

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹			1,				
Felons	72.4	87.3	91.5	121.1	67.3%		-
Parole Violators	0.0	8.4	4.6	4.8	NA		
State Readies ²				12.3	NA		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	2.1	1.2	1.1	2.0	-4.1%		
TOTAL	74.5	96.8	97.3	140.2	88.2%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

				Approp	riations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	49.0
Domestic Violence	0.0	0.0	9.4	12.6	NA	43.3	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	9.4	12.6	NA	43.3	49.0

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Indigent Parolee Program ¹	0.0	1.2	3.8	4.8	NA			
Juvenile Justice ² and Delinquency Prevention	19.3	15.2	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0	
Mobile Radio District Program ³		402.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	
Narcotics Control ⁴					NA		9.2	
Neighborhood ⁵ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	11.1	5.9	NA			
Soft Body Armour ⁶ Reimbursement Program			3.0	0.3	NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁷		:	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	12.5	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁸	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	123.0	
TOTAL	19.3	418.4	17.9	11.0	-42.7%	0.0	144.7	

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

³ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^4}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{5}}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

⁷ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	·	w al	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Demonstration Projects	20.0	24.3	7.5	27.0	35.0%	27.0	27.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	25.3	25.5	27.6	30.5	20.8%	32.5	33.5
PINS Program ¹	-≪				NA	29.8	0.0
Regular State Aid	154.4	165.0	180.7	196.3	27.2%	227.2	269.1
TOTAL	199.7	214.8	215.7	253.8	27.1%	316.5	329.6

 $^{^{1}\ \}mbox{This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.}$

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.9	51.0%	0.5	0.8
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.7	NA	49.4	51.4
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	10.0	12.0
Voluntary Agency Care	53.5	67.8	46.7	181.9	240.0%	92.5	131.4
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	37.9	36.8	36.9	332.4	777.0%	127.9	212.2
TOTAL	92.0	105.2	84.1	539.0	485.8%	280.3	407.9

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	575	364	
DFY Facilities			
TOTAL	575	∆64	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

. '	•		Expenditure	S		Approp	riations
	FY 82-83	FY 83-04	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	4,016.7	6,787.9	10,181.7	10,899.3	171.3%	12,021.7	12,555.8
DFY Facilities			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	0.0%		
TOTAL	4,016.7	6,787.9	10,181.7	10,899.3	171.3%	12,021.7	12,555.8

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCs Facilities	8,314.0	9,863.8	1,897.2	20,074.9	1,459.8	344.0
DFY Facilities	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·			
TOTAL	8,314.0	9,863.8	1,897.2	20,074.9	1,459.8	344.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

					Staff	
<u>Facility</u>		Security Level	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	Security	<u>Civilian</u>
Watertown		MEDIUM	575	364	232	132

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		٠	Expenditures					Appropriations		
Facility		FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88		
Watertown		4,016.7	6,787.9	10,181.7	10,899.3	171.3%	12,021.7	12,555.8		

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	Approp	Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Watertown	8,314.0	9,863.8	1,897.2	20,074.9	1,459.8	344.0

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division For Youth facilities located in this County.

LEWIS COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop D Headquarters 241 Genesee Street Oneida, New York 13421 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 151 Lowville, New York 13367 Sheriff's Department 7514 State Street Lowville, New York 13367

Probation Department Room 305, Court House Lowville, New York 13367 Youth Bureau Court House Lowville, New York 13367

Public Defender's Office 5393 Bostwick Street Lowville, New York 13367 County Court Court House Lowville, New York 13367

Family Court Court House Lowville, New York 13367

		Region	NORTHERN
		County Seat	LOAAILTE
	•	Square Miles	1,283
Population	Percent	Change 1970-1980	5.9%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	25,035	59	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	19.5	61	NA	NÁ
Sex: Male Female	12,512 12,523	NA NA	50.0% 50.0%	4 59
Race: White Non-White Unknown	24,918 82 35	NA NA NA	99.5% 0.3% 0.1%	2 61 58
Ethnicity: Hispanic	80	NA ,	0.3%	61
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	4.197 3.023 1.607 2.433 13.775	NA NA NA NA	16.8% 12.1% 6.4% 9.7% 55.0%	1 58 14 38 54

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	13,775	100.0%	54
8th Grade or Less	2,651	19.2%	13
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	2,527 5,662	18.3% 41.1%	13 4
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	1,596 1,339	11.6% 9.7%	60 58

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

POPULATION 1982-1986										
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rank <u>1986</u>				
Population	24,800	24,800	25,000	25,165	25,300	59				
Density Per Square Mile	19.3	19.3	19.5	19.6	19.7	61				

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA	NCOME: 1982-1984		
				Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	7,424	7,829	8,645	61

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	PUBLIC HIGH	I SCHOOL DROP	OUTS: 1981-	82 TO 1985-	86		
			•		Percent Rank		
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	1983-84	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	68 3.5%	52 2.8%	47 2.6%	50 3.0%	36 2.2%	61

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-1	986	 	Percent
		1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	995 9.1%	1,061 9.3%	1,070 9.5%	9

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.
SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986									
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986		
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	925 3.7%	1.080 4.4%	1,093 4.4%	1,110 4.4%	1.158 4.6%	22		

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		1986 C Per 10 Popula	0,000	% Changa				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	270	272	211	277	247	986.4	62	-8.5%
VIOLENT	16	15	12	31	16	63.9	60	. 0.0%
MURDER	0	0	0	1	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	52	NA.
FORCIBLE RAPE	1	1	0	. 1	0	0.0	59	-100.0%
ROBBERY	5	1	. 2	1	3	12.0	41	-40.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	10	13	10	28	13	51.9.	58	30.0%
PROPERTY	254	257	199	246	231	922.5	62	-9.1%
BURGLARY	136	127	87	127	108	431.3	60	-20.6%
LARCENY	110	124	104	108	109	435.3	62	-0.9%
MV THEFT	8.	6	8	11	14	55.9	51	75.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

			Numb	per of Arres		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86	
ARRESTS		194	193	230	285	219	865.6 62	12.9%
FELONY		50	31	48	64	66	260.9 62	32.0%
VIOLENT		9	10	12	7	7	27.7 62	-22.2%
OTHER		41	21	36	57	59	233.2 59	43.9%
MISDEMEANOR		144	162	182	221	153	604.7 62	6.3%

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

4		<u>Number</u> l	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87		
	ARRESTS	113	20.2%		
	FELONY ²	21	16.7%		
	VIOLENT	6	NA		
	OTHER	15	-16.7%		
	MISDEMEANOR	92	21.1%		

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

			Number of Arrests						1986 Arrests Per 100,600 Population	
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate F	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER		0	0	0	0	0		0.0	46	NA '
FORCIBLE RAPE		. 1	1	1 .	0	1		4.0	48	0.0%
ROBBERY		0	• 1 ,	3	1	1		4.0	52	NA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT		, 1	5	1	1	2		7.9	61	100.0%
BURGLARY		13	11	15	21	9		35.6	62	-30.8%
LARCENY ²		33	25	.23	38	28		110.7	62	-15.2%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

		Num	ber of Arrest	<u>s</u>	1986 Arr Per 100, Populat	.000			
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS		2	5	7	7	7	27.7	56 ,	250.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)		1 1	3	3	3 3	6	23.7	52	500.0%
POSSESSION		1	, 3	2	2	4	15.8	54	300.0%
SALE		0	. 0	0	. 0	2	7.9	36	NA
OTHER		0	0	1	1	0	0.0	47	NA
MARIHUANA (PL 221)		1	2	4	. 4	1	4.0	60	0.0%
POSSESSION		1	1	2	2	0	0:0	61	-100.0%
SALE		0	1	2	2	1	4.0	43	NA

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State -Sections 220 and 221).

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES 1

(Adults Only)

			Numb	er of Arrests			1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982	-	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
OWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	84		76	96	99	92	5.5 49	9.5%
FELONY	9		7	10	14	16	1.0 9	77.8%
MISDEMEANOR	75		69	86	85	76	4.6 53	1.3%

Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192</u>).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86				
FELONY INDICTMENTS	50 100.0%	46 100.0%	37 100.0%	38 100.0%	36 100.0%	-28.0%				
VIOLENT	6 12.0%	10 21.7%	11 29.7%	5 13.2%	9 25.0%	50.0%				
OTHER	44 88.0%	36 78.3%	26 70.3%	33 86.8%	27 75.0%	-38.6%				

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87			1	- .
FELONY INDICTMENTS	18	63.6%				
VIOLENT	2	100.0%				
OTHER	16	60.0%				

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

					Res	ulting fro	Dispositions om or Arrests			
		1982	1	1983		1984	1985	1986 2		% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS		105 100.0%		127 100.0%		122 100.0%	201 100.0%	129 100.0%		22.9%
CONVICTIONS		78 74.3%		94 74.0%		99 81.1%	160 79.6%	98 76.0%	•	. 25.6%
ACQUITTALS		0 0.0%		2 1.6%		4 3.3%	1 0.5%	0.0%		NA
DISMISSALS		24 22.9%		24 18.9%		17 13.9%	38 18.9%	29 22.5%		20.8%
NOT PROSECUT	ED	0.0%		3 2.4%		1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0.0%		NA
OTHER		3 2.9%		4 3.1%		1 0.8%	1.0%	2 1.6%		-33.3%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change 82 vs 86				
DISPOSITIONS	41 100.0%	36 100.0%	33 100.0%	43 100.0%	48 100.0%	17.1%				
CONVICTIONS	39 95.1%	29 80.6%	27 81.8%	39 90.7%	46 95.8%	17.9%				
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	2 5.6%	4 12.1%	1 2.3%	0.0%	NA				
DISMISSALS	0.0%	1 2.8%	2 6.1%	2 4.7%	2.1%	NA				
NOT PROSECUTED	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	NA				
OTHER	2 4.9%	4 11.1%	0.0%	2.3%	1 2.1%	-50.0%				

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	***************************************	Felony an	d Misdemeanor	Arrests		% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u> 1986</u> ²	82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	78 100.0%	94 100.0%	99 100.0%	160 100.0%	98 100.0%	25.6%
FELONY	12 15.4%	7 7.4%	11 11.1%	12 7.5%	23 23.5%	91.7%
VIOLENT	2 2.6%	1.1%	1 1.0%	0.6%	6 6.1%	200.0%
OTHER	10 12.8%	6 6.4%	10 10.1%	11 6.9%	17 17.3%	70.0%
MISDEMEANOR	46 59.0%	46 48.9%	47 47.5%	68 42.5%	37 37.8%	-19.6%
LESSER OFFENSES	20 25.6%	41 43.6%	41 41.4%	80 50.0%	38 38.8%	90.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS

RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

(Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
CONVICTIONS	39 100.0%	29 100.0%	27 100.0%	39 100.0%	46 100.0%	17.9%			
FELONY	9 23.1%	7 24.1%	11 40.7%	12 30.8%	23 50.0%	. 155.6%			
VIOLENT	5.1%	1 3.4%	3.7%	1 2.6%	6 13.0%	200.0%			
OTHER	7 17.9%	6 20.7%	10 37.0%	11 28.2%	17 37.0%	142.9%			
MISDEMEANOR	28 71.8%	18 62.1%	16 59.3%	26 66.7%	19 41.3%	-32.1%			
LESSER OFFENSES	2 5.1%	4 13.8%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	4 8.7%	100.0%			

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹
(Adults Only)

		Sentences as a	Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	2.6	2.1	2.0	1.3	5.1
LOCAL JAIL	14.1	9.6	8.1	8.1	6.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	12.8	4.3	2.0	5.0	16.3
PROBATION	28.2	19.1	17.2	15.0	15.3
FINES	35.9	56.4	57.6	56.3	42.9
OTHER	6.4	8.5	13.1	14.4	14.3

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

				Sentences	as a Perc	cent of C	onviction	s		
	1: Felony	982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		985 Misd & Lesser		2 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	16.7	NA	28.6	NA	18.2	NA	16.7	NA	21.7	NA
LOCAL JAIL	16.7	13.6	0.0	10.3	27.3	5.7	16.7	7.4	13.0	4.0
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	33.3	9.1	28.6	2.3	9.1	1.1	33.3	2.7	56.5	4.0
PROBATION	33.3	27.3	42.9	17.2	45.5	13.6	33.3	13.5	8.7	17.3
FINES	0.0	42.4	0.0	60.9	0.0	64.8	0.0	60.8	0.0	56.0
OTHER	0.0	7.6	0.0	9.2	0.0	14.8	0.0	15.5	0.0	18.7

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Numl	per of Case	S		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENEO ¹	115	131	111	140	142	561.3	44	23.5%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	123	108	102	121	159	628.5	42	29.3%
FAMILY COURT	32	40	39	31	41	162.1	39	28.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	91	68	63	S 0	118	466.4	44	29.7%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION	15	10		21	14	£C 2	20	C 70
CASES RECEIVED	15	19	8	31	. 14	55.3	28	-6.7%
FAMILY COURT	11	10	6	2	2	7.9	32	-81.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	4	9	2	29	12	47.4	20	200.0%
PROBATION SUPERVISION	5 0			.		2012	F1	C 04
CASES RECEIVED	58,	62	50	51	54	213.4	51	-6.9%
FAMILY COURT	12	21	21	11	10	39.5	42	-16.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	46	41	29	40	44	173.9	51	-4.3%
UNDER PROBATION ²	100	100	104	100	. 47F	CO1 7	AC.	0.00
SUPERVISION	162	190	194	198	175	691.7	46	8.0%
FAMILY COURT	32	41	45	39	26	102.8	26	-18.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	130	149	149	159	149	588.9	51	14.6%
UNDER PROBATION								
SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	128	147	147	121	127	502.0	42	-0.8%
FAMILY COURT	20	27	28	16	12	47.4	32	-40.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	108	120	119	105	115	454.5	44	6.5%

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	:	Number	of Revoca	tions					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	3	3	. 4	7	4		22.9	56	33.3%
FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	1	` 1		38.5	42	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	3	3	4	6	3		20.1	56	0.0%
TECHNICAL	, 2	. · 3 ·	4	4	3		20.1	32	50.0%
NEW CONVICTION	1	. 0	0	2	. 0		0.0	59	-100.0%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table	8B			Table 8C
PRETRIAL RELEASE	PROGRAM: 198	16		 COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986
	Number	Percent		
CASES SCREENED	46	NA		There were no agencies in the
CASES INTERVIEWED	38	100.0%		county that received funding for this type of program.
RELEASED ¹	13	34.2%		
Program intervention con directly responsible for	the release	or was of these		
directly responsible for detained defendants.	the release	or was e of these		
directly responsible for	the release	or was of these		Table 8E
directly responsible for detained defendants.	the release	e of these		Table 8E SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986
directly responsible for detained defendants. Table	the release	e of these		
directly responsible for detained defendants. Table	the release 8D ACY PROGRAM: encies in thived funding	e of these 1986		

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

						1986 Admissi Per 100	ons ,000	
		Number	r of Admis	sions		 Populat	ion	<i>n</i> 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	225	225	212	228	160	632.4	56	-28.9%
UNSENTENCED	189	193	186	193	122	482.2	54	-35.4%
SENTENCED	36	32	26	35	38	150.2	56	5.6%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	
	<u>1982 1983 1984 1985 1986</u>	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86				
REPORTED CAPACITY	23	23	23	23	23	90.9 21	0.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15	12	13	11	10	39.5 54	-33.3%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	15	10	9	12	13	51.4 45	-13.3%
UNSENTENCED	11	6	0	5	8	31.6 38	-27.3%
SENTENCED	4,	4	9	7	5	19.8 44	25.0%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

		New C	Number of ourt Commi			1986 New Cou Committme Per 100, Populati	nts 000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate R	lank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	•	3 2	1	2	, s	19.8	45	66.7%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	·	1 2	0	. 0.	3	11.9	34	200.0%
HOMICIDE		0 , 0	0	0	. 0	0.0	43	NA
SEX OFFENSE	(0	0	0	0	0.0	51	NA
ROBBERY		2	0	0	c	0.0	43	NA
BURGLARY		ı o	. 0	. 0	3	11.9	3	200.0%
WEAPONS	1	0	0	0	0	0.0	25	NA NA
OTHER	,) . O	0	0	. 0	0.0	37	NA
DRUG FELONIES4		0	0	· · · · · 1	. 0	 0.0	51	NA
POSSESSION		0	0	0	0	0.0	37	NA
SALE		o	0	1	0	0.0	43	. NA
OTHER FELONIES		2 0	, 1	1	2	7.9	50	0.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	. (0	0	C	, 0 ,	0.0	32	 NA

The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

	Nu in	mber of Ir State Pri	mates Unde	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Per 100,000 Population	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	7	5	1	2	7	27.7 58	0.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	2	4	0	0	3	11.9 61	50.0%
HOMICIDE	0	0	0	១ "	0	0.0 60	NA
SEX OFFENSE	0	0		0	. O	0.0 60	. NA
ROBBERY	1	3	0	0	0	0.0 55	-100.0%
BURGLARY	1	1	0	0	3	11.9 22	200.0%
WEAPONS	0	. 0	0	0	0	0.0 32	NA
OTHER	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0 53	NA '
DRUG FELONIES ³	0	0	0	1	1	4.0 38	NA
POSSESSION	. 0	0	0	Ô	0	0.0 43	NA
SALE	0	0 '	0	1	• • • • •	4.0 28	NA
OTHER FELONIES	5	1	i	1	3 ,	11.9 51	-40.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	Ö	0	0	0.0 33	NA

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES				:	
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numbe	r of Parole	es	7	Pa Per	1986 rolees 100,000 ulation	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rat	e <u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
RELEASES TO PAROLE	2	1	, 2 · ·	1	3	11	.9 55	50.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	4	3		3	5	19	.8 60	25.0%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	2	3	2	2	4	15	.8 60	100.0%

The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Parol	lees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	w 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 52	NA
RULE VIOLATIONS	0 -	0	0	0	0	0.0 36	NA
NEW ARRESTS	0	0	0	0	0, ,	0.0 49	NA
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	. 0	0	0	0	0.0 36	NA

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

			umber of Cases	3		
	1982	1983_	1984	1985_	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	0	0	0	0	0	NA:
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	0	0	0	1	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA NA
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	• •	0 .	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
PROBATION	0	. 0 .	0	0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS		0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	. 0	0	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	16.4	9.6	11.6	26.3	60.5%	, 0.0	0.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	244.4	21.5	18.3	NA	0.0	1.9
Division of Probation ²							
and Correctional Alternatives	40.6	44.7	48.4	58.2	43.3%	76.8	73.1
Division for Youth ³	57.9	54.0	49.3	102.0	76.3%	63.3	79.5
TOTAL	114.8	352.7	130.8	204.8	78.3%	140.1	154.5

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	-		Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	16.1	5.7	8.2	23.9	48.1%		
Parole Violators	0.0	3.6	3.4	0.9	NA		
State Readies ²				1.4	NA ·		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	-42.9%	. •	
TOTAL	16.4	9.6	11.5	26.3	60.5%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Mobile Radio District Program ¹	•••	244.4	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ²			- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	: •••• ••••	NA		1.9
Neighborhood ³ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		0.0	20.0	18.0	NA NA		· ·
Soft Body Armour ⁴ Reimbursement Program		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1.5	0.3	NA		
TOTAL	0.0	244.4	21.5	18.3	NA	0.0	1.9

 $^{^{1}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^3}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		:	Expenditures			Approp	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives	• 	***		2.0	NA	10.4	10.9
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.6	2.4
PINS Program ²				***	NA	5.6	0.0
Regular State Aid	40.6	44.7	48.4	56.2	38.5%	60.2	59.8
TOTAL	40.6	44.7	48.4	58.2	43.3%	76.8	73.1

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	1.8	0.6	1.6	2.1	19.2%	2.3	1.8
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	14.3	15.1	15.1	11.9	-17.2%	15.1	. 15.1
Voluntary Agency Care	0.5	4.3	3.5	2.9	464.6%	2.8	1.4
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	41.2	34.0	29.1	85.0	106.4%	43.1	61.2
TOTAL	57.9	54.0	49.3	102.0	76.3%	63.3	79.5

 $^{^1}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this county.

ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop B Headquarters Route 86, Box 100 Raybrook, New York 12977 New York State Division of Parole 875 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Canton, New York 13617

Probation Department Room 300, Court House Canton, New York 13617

Assigned Counsel Plan 87 East Main Street Gouverneur, New York 13642 Sheriff's Department 5 Judson Street Canton, New York 13617

Youth Bureau Surrogate Court Building County Office Complex Canton, New York 13617

Family Court Court House Court Street Canton, New York 13617

County Court Court House Court Street Canton, New York 13617 Region NORTHERN

County Seat CANTON

Square Miles 2,728

Population Percent Change 1970-1980 1.7%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	114,254	24	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	41.9	57	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	56,532 57,722	NA NA	49.5% 50.5%	10 53
Race: White Non-White Unknown	112,997 1,068 189	NA NA NA	98.9% 0.9% 0.2%	11 51 53
Ethnicity: Hispanic	562	NA	0.5%	53
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	16,753 11,947 8,163 17,583 59,808	NA NA NA NA	14.7% 10.5% 7.1% 15.4% 52.3%	21 25 5 6 59

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION
25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	59,808	100.0%	59
8th Grade or Less	11,288	18.9%	18
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	10,005 21,940	16.7% 36.7%	32 42
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	8,607 7,968	14.4% 13.3%	36 32

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 3

		POPL	<u> JLATION 1982-198</u>	36		
	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	112,600	112,900	113,700	113,411	112,800	25
Density Per Square Mile	41.3	41.4	41.7	41.6	41.3	57

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

Table 4

	PER CAPITA	INCOME: 1982-1984	:	Income Rank
	1982	1983	1984	1984
Per Capita Income	7,788	8,316	9,034	58

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5

	TODLIC HIGH	SCHOOL DROP		School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1942-83</u>	1983-84	<u> 1984-85</u>	<u> 1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	335 4.4%	325 4.5%	280 4.1%	232 3.5%	219 3.3%	46

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6

	UNEMPLO	YMENT: 1984-19	986		
		1984	1985_	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	3,637 8.2%	3,583 8.2%	3,835 8.5%	14

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

Table 7

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986								
		1000	1002	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank	
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1982 7,213 6,4%	1983 8,191 7.3%	8,595 7.6%	8,674 7.6%	8,707 7.7%	<u>1986</u>	

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of C	rimes		1986 Ci Per 100 Populat	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INDEX CRIME	3,881	3,620	3,628	3,268	3,410	2,992.0	27	-12.1%
VIOLENT	119	75	128	96	157	137.8	44	31.9%
MURDER	• • 1	3	1	1	1	0.9	49	0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	8	. * * 9 }	0	13	11.4	35	225.0%
ROBBERY	13	9	13	12	9	7.9	49	-30.8%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	101	55	105	83	134	117.6	40	32.7%
PROPERTY	3,762	3,545	3,500	3,172	3,253	2,854.2	27	-13.5%
BURGLARY	875	871	909	793	716	628.2	40	-18.2%
LARCENY	2,789	2,586	2,501	2,296	2,451	2,150.5	21	-12.1%
MV THEFT	98	88	90	83	86	75.5	38	-12.2%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Table 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

		Numi	per of Arres	its		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ARRESTS	2,682	2,452	2,303	2,276	2,496	2,212.8 20	-6.9%
FELONY	421	411	400	368	372	329.8 57	-11.6%
VIOLENT	107	81	95	88	85	75.4 54	-20.6%
OTHER	314	330	305	280	287	254.4 53	-8.6%
MISDEMEANOR	2,261	2,041	1,903	1,908	2,124	1,883.0 10	-6.1%

TABLE 2B
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987
(Adults Only)

	Number ¹	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
ARRESTS	1,017	-16.4%	
FELONY ²	152	-10.6%	
VIOLENT	39	8.3%	
OTHER	113	-15.7%	
MISDEMEANOR	865	-17.4%	

¹ Preliminary data.

Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹
(Adults Only)

	Number of Arrests					1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 86
MURDER	0	1	0	3	2	1.8	36	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	2	2	1	. 1	. 8	7.1	28	300.0%
ROBBERY	6	6	, 4	7	4 , .	3.5	53	33.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	33	24	34	33	29	25.7	49	-12.1%
BURGLARY	122	116	124	119	113	100.2	20	-7.4%
LARCENY ²	548	471	434	339	472	418.4	20	-13.9%

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

 $^{^2}$ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES 1 (Adults Only)

	######################################	Num	ber of Arrest	s		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
DRUG ARRESTS	150	127	104	135	99	87.8 29	-34.0%
CONTROLLED	•						
SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	101	85	69	92	76	67.4 30	-24.8%
POSSESSION	86	77	61	86	71	62.9 23	-17.4%
SALE	13	5	5	2	4	3.5 48	-69.2%
OTHER	2	3	3	4	1	0.9 45	-50.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	49	42	35	43	23	20.4 29	-53.1%
POSSESSION	29	30	21	33	20	17.7 18	-31.0%
SALE	20	12	14	10	3	2.7 47	-85.0%

 $^{^1}$ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge ($\underline{Penal\ Law\ of\ New\ York\ State}$ -Sections 220 and 221).

	Nun	nber of Arrests		Licer			
1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate_	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
890	817	719	711	795	11.4	12	-10.7%
63	71	69	46	56	0.8	19	-11.1%
827	746	650	665	739	10.6	12	-10.6%
	890 63	1982 1983 890 817 63 71	1982 1983 1984 890 817 719 63 71 69	890 817 719 711 63 71 69 46	1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 890 817 719 711 795 63 71 69 46 56	Number of Arrests Per Lices Drive 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate 890 817 719 711 795 11.4 63 71 69 46 56 0.8	Number of Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate Rank 890 817 719 711 795 11.4 12 63 71 69 46 56 0.8 19

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	203 100.0%	227 100.0%	217 100.0%	198 100.0%	192 100.0%	-5.4%			
VIOLENT	45 22.2%	28 12.3%	18 8.3%	37 18.7%	26 13.5%	-42.2%			
OTHER	158 77.8%	199 87.7%	199 91.7%	161 81.3%	166 86.5%	5.1%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June 86 vs 87	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	55	-24.7%	
VIOLENT	11	22.2%	
OTHER	44	-31.2%	

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

			d Percent of Dis Resulting from and Misdemeanor	•		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86
DISPOSITIONS	1,806 100.0%	1,498 100.0%	1,191 100.0%	1,188 100,0%	1,408 100.0%	-22.0%
CONVICTIONS	1,318 73.0%	1,024 68.4%	798 67.0%	807 67.9%	1,044 74.1%	-20.8%
ACQUITTALS	8 0.4%	8 0.5%	9 0.8%	9 0.8%	5 0.4%	-37.5%
DISMISSALS	462 25.6%	458 30.6%	372 31.2%	366 30.8%	353 25.1%	-23.6%
NOT PROSECUTED	3 0.2%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%
OTHER	15 0.8%	8 0.5%	12 1.0%	6 0.5%	6 0.4%	-60.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 4B
DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1
(Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests									
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
DISPOSITIONS	260 100.0%	122 100.0%	114 100.0%	101 100.0%	141 100.0%	-45.8%			
CONVICTIONS	185 71.2%	82 67.2%	84 73.7%	69 68.3%	95 67.4%	-48.6%			
ACQUITTALS	3 1.2%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	1 1.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%			
DISMISSALS	66 25.4%	38 31.1%	30 26.3%	29 28.7%	44 31.2%	-33.3%			
NOT PROSECUTED	3 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	-100.0%			
OTHER	3 1.2%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	2 2.0%	2 1.4%	-33.3%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	1982		Misdemeanor Ar	<u> 1985</u>	1986 ²	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS	1,318 100.0%	1,024 100.0%	798 100.0%	807 100.0%	1,044 100.0%	-20.8%
FELONY	59 4.5%	14 1.4%	4 0.5%	4 0.5%	4 0.4%	-93.2%
VIOLENT	16 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	-93.7%
OTHER	43 3.3%	14 1.4%	4 0.5%	4 0.5%	3 0.3%	-93.0%
MISDEMEANOR	682 51.7%	528 51.6%	391 49.0%	371 46.0%	468 44.8%	-31.4%
LESSER OFFENSES	577 43.8%	482 47.1%	403 50.5%	432 53.5%	572 54.8%	-0.9%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1
RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS
(Adults Only)

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change 82 vs 86			
CONVICTIONS	185 100.0%	82 100.0%	84 100.0%	69 100.0%	95 100.0%	-48.6%			
FELONY	58 31.4%	13 15.9%	4 4.8%	3 4.3%	4 4.2%	-93.1%			
VIOLENT	16 8.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1.1%	-93.7%			
OTHER	42 22.7%	13 15.9%	4 4.8%	3 4.3%	3 3.2%	-92.9%			
MISDEMEANOR	120 64.9%	57 69.5%	74 88.1%	59 85.5%	80 84.2%	-33.3%			
LESSER OFFENSES	7 3.8%	12 14.6%	6 7.1%	7 10.1%	11 11.6%	57.1%			

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		Sentence	s as a Po	ercent of To	tal Convictions	
	1982	1983		1984	1985	1986 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	0.5	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.2
LOCAL JAIL	8.3	8.1		8.5	5.9	6.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.8	0.7		0.8	1.2	1.4
PROBATION	8.3	4.3		3.6	4.6	4.6
FINES	64.2	66.7		66.2	61.3	62.5
OTHER	16.8	20.1		20.8	26.8	24.7

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		385 Misd & Lesser	1: Felony	986 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	11.9	NA	7.1	NA	25.0	NA	25.0	NA	50.0	NA
LOCAL JAIL	23.7	7.5	28.6	7.8	0.0	8.6	0.0	6.0	0.0	6.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	22.0	0.9	7.1	0.6	25.0	0.6	50.0	1.0	50.0	1.3
PROBATION	39.0	6.9	57.1	3,6	25.0	3.5	0.0	4.6	0.0	4.6
FINES	1.7	67.1	0.0	67.6	25.0	66.4	25.0	61.5	0.0	62.7
OTHER •	1.7	17.6	0.0	20.4	0.0	20.9	0.0	26.9	0.0	24.8

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 7A
PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

		Nun	ber of Case	:S		Per 100	1986 Cases Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	1,577	1,646	1,696	1,603	1,940	1,719.9	6	23.0%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	1,086	1,067	1,075	988	1,172	1,039.0	11	7.9%
FAMILY COURT	80	94	89	103	149	132.1	49	86.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,006	973	986	885	1,023	906.9	9	1.7%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION								
CASES RECEIVED	145	180	208	217	221	195.9	13	52.4%
FAMILY COURT	7 ,	16	24	15	21	18.6	21	200.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	138	164	184	202	200	177.3	9	44.9%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	273	247	185	177	210	186.2	57	-23.1%
FAMILY COURT	36	36	29	19	42	37.2	45	16.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	237	211	156	158	168	148.9	57	-29.1%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	728	801	741	669	686	608.2	58	-5.8%
FAMILY COURT	70	67	67	49	61	54.1	54	-12.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	658	734	674	620	625	554.1	57	-5.0%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	554	556	492	476	539	477.8	47	-2.7%
FAMILY COURT	31	38	30	19	42	37.2	43	35.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	523	518	462	457	497	440.6	47	-5.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

 $^{^2}$ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	***************************************	Number	of Revoca	1986 Revocati Per 1,0 Probatio				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOCATIONS	18	28	40	23	18	26.2	49	0.0%
FAMILY COURT	0	2	3	2	. · 6 · .	98.4	18	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	18	26	37	21	12	19.2	54	-33.3%
TECHNICAL	14	13	19	13	9	14.4	42	-35.7%
NEW CONVICTION	, 4	13	18	8	3	4.8	55	-25.0%

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table	e 8B			Table 8C
PRETRIAL RELEASE	PROGRAM: 198	36		COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986
	Number	Percent		
ASES SCREENED	403	ŇA		There were no agencies in the county that received funding
ASES INTERVIEWED	252	100.0%		for this type of program.
1	107	66.3%		
RELEASED ¹ Program intervention condirectly responsible for detained defendants.	167 ntributed to r the release	or was	-	
Program intervention condirectly responsible for	ntributed to	or was		
Program intervention condirectly responsible for	ntributed to r the release	or was		Table 8E
Program intervention condirectly responsible for detained defendants.	ntributed to the release	or was of these		Table 8E SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986
Program intervention condirectly responsible for detained defendants.	ntributed to the release	or was of these		

Table 9A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions		1986 Admissions Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
ADMISSIONS	1,268	1,112	992	905	933	827.1 53	-26.4%
UNSENTENCED	891	771	683	637	629	557.6 52	-29.4%
SENTENCED	377	341	309	268	304	269.5 36	-19.4%

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Ir Per 10 Popula	000,00	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	53	54	50	61	61	54.1	48	15.1%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	52	56	58	57	67	59.4	41	28.8%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	42	48	47	51	60	53.2	42	42.9%
UNSENTENCED	20	24	23	21	35	31.0	40	75.0%
SENTENCED	22	24	24	30	25	22.2	41	13.6%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

 $\label{eq:table_10A} \mbox{NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON^1 }$

			umber of rt Commitme	ents	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	20	22	26	21	21	18.6 46	5.0%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	9	3	8	6	5	4.4 54	-44.4%
HOMICIDE	0	0	1	0	0	0.0 43	NA
SEX OFFENSE	1	0	4	0	1	0.9 49	0.0%
ROBBERY	3	1	0	2	1	0.9 39	-66.7%
BURGLARY	5	1	3	· 3	3	2.7 39	-40.0%
WEAPONS	0	5 1	0	0.	0	0.0 25	NA
OTHER	. 0	0	0	1	0	0.0 37	NA
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1	2	1	0	2	1.8 37	100.0%
POSSESSION	. • 0	1	0, .	0	2	1.8 23	NA
SALE	1	1	1	Q	0	0.0 43	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	9	12	14	15	13	11.5 38	44.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	5	3	0	1	0.9 22	0.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

² Preliminary data.

 $^{^3}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

A New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

 $\label{table 10B-1} \mbox{Inmates under custody in state prison on december ${\it 31}^1$}$

		umber of In n State Pri				Unde on 1 Per	mates r Cust. 2/31/86 100,000 lation	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	47	50	48	44	45	39.	9 48	-4.3%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	22	19	20	22	23	20.	4 51	4.5%
HOMICIDE	. 6	. 6	7	7	7	6.	2 48	16.7%
SEX OFFENSE	4	3	6	6	7	6.	2 42	75.0%
ROBBERY	5	· 3	1	3	4	3.	5 39	-20.0%
BURGLARY	7	6	5	5	4	3.	5 49	-42.9%
WEAPONS	0	1	1	1	0	0.	0 32	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	1	0.	9 52	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	3	3	1	2	1.	8 50	0.0%
POSSESSION	0	1	1	0	2	1.	8 37	NA
SALE	2	2	2	1	. 0	0.	0 49	-100.0%
OTHER FELONIES	21	23	21	19	19	16.	8 40	-9.5%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	2	5	4	2	1	0.	9 25	-50.0%

 $^{^{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

DEDOCUT OF THULTED	1982	1983_	<u>1984</u>	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Number	of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	20	20	20	25	23	20.4 43	15.0%
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	52	58	61	68	76	67.4 31	46.2%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	38	41	43	53	51	45.2 33	34.2%

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B
PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbe	r of Parole	ees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986	Rate Rank	% Change 82 vs 86
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2	5	3	. 5	3	2.7 49	50.0%
RULE VIOLATIONS	1	0	. 0	0	. 1	0.¢ ∠8	0.0%
NEW ARRESTS	0	3	2	. 1	0	.ປ 49	NA
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	2	1	4	2	1.8 27	100.0%

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS
ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

		Numb	er of Cases			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change 82 vs 86
FELONY ARRESTS	0	1	Ò	0	0	, NA
FELONY INDICTMENTS	0	1	0	0	0	NA
DISPOSITIONS	0	0	0	 . 0	0	NA
CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PRISON	0	0 .	0	0	0 .	NA
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0	0	0	0	0	NA
PROBATION	o ,	0	0	0	0	NA NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NA
DISMISSALS	.0	0	0	0	0	NA
NOT PROSECUTED	0	0	0	0	0	NA ·
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	· ·	0	0	· · · · · 0 .	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NA
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	NA

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the New York State Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

			xpenditures			Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	, 59.4	71.2	50.6	77.4	30.3%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	82.0	88.9
Division of Criminal Justice Services	0.0	562.5	31.8	127.1	NA	120.5	136.1
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	246.3	265.5	289.8	341.8	38.8%	361.7	417.8
Division for Youth ³	270.9	254.3	261.8	615.0	127.0%	360.9	387.9
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries ⁴			10.0	10.0	NA	0.0	
TOTAL	576.6	1,153.5	644.0	1,171.3	103.1%	925.1	1,030.7

This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropri	ations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Felons	55.6	65.4	38.8	58.6	5.3%		
Parole Violators	2.0	4.4	10.6	12.7	542.4%		-
State Readies ²	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			5.1	NA	- 198 44	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	1.8	1.4	1.2	1.0	-44.5%		
TOTAL	59.4	71.2	50.6	77.4	30.3%	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures		Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84 FY 84-85	% Change FY 85-86 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
· Victim and Witness Assistance Program					
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0 NA	82.0	88.9
TOTAL	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 NA	82.0	88.9

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4
DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Indigent Parolee Program ¹	0.0	1.6	1.8	1.5	NA		· 	
Mobile Radio District Program ²		560.0	30.0	30.0	NA	0.0	0.0	
Narcotics Control ³	· ·		<u></u>		na na		12.6	
Neighborhood ⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	0.9	0.0	0.0	NA			
Soft Body Armour ⁵ Reimbursement Program			0.0	1.7	NA			
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁶	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.0	NA	120.5	123.5	
TOTAL	0.0	562.5	31.8	127.1	NA .	120.5	136.1	

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

² No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

 $^{^6}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		: 		Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ¹ Alternatives				22.5	NA	31.2	32.8
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	14.4	20.6	22.2	25.1	74.1%	25.5	26.3
PINS Program ²		. 		, , .	NA.		41.1
Regular State Aid	231.8	244.9	267.6	294.1	26.9%	305.1	317.7
TOTAL	246.3	265.5	289.8	341.8	38.8%	361.7	417.8

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		01 01	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ¹	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	7.2	2.1	1.9	2.6	-63.9%	2.4	1.3
Secure	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	NA	0.0	0.5
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	76.5	70.5	70.5	39.8	-47.9%	70.5	73.4
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	187.1	181.7	189.4	572.1	205.7%	288.0	312.7
TOTAL	270.9	254.3	261.8	615.0	127.0%	360.9	387.9

 $^{^{1}}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY
(June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	542	355	
DFY Facilities		·	
TOTAL	542	355	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		es		Appropriations			
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	1,725.0	6,123.6	9,161.9	10,588.9	513.8%	11,537.1	12,099.2
DFY Facilities		***		·	0.0%	, .	
TOTAL	1,725.0	6,123.6	9,161.9	10,588.9	513.8%	11,537.1	12,099.2

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	Appropriations			
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
DOCS Facilities	5,032.1	8,204.2	942.1	14,178.4	3,901.3	0.0
DFY Facilities						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL	5,032.1	8,204.2	942.1	14,178.4	3,901.3	0.0

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1987)

				Staff	
<u>Facility</u>	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	Security	Civilian
0gdensburg	MEDIUM	542	355	236	119

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
0gdensburg	1,725.0	6,123.6	9,161.9	10,588.9	513.8%	11,537.1	12,099.2

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	ures	-	Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
0gdensburg	5,032.1	8,204.2	942.1	14,178.4	3,901.3	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division For Youth facilities located in this County.

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

Percent changes are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the percent change is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calendar year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

Rates are ranked from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the rank calculations do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates. Also, dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all <u>population-based rate calculations</u> presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual <u>NYS Crime and Justice</u> publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed drivers in New York State that was used to calculate the <u>rate of DWI arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

- o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)

- o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
 o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
 o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- o New York State Statistical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the offense classification for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the State's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

DOCS capacity data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. DFY capacity data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

DOCS staff data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while DFY staff data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

A

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
•			•		
Table 1 INDEX CRINES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in Hew York State for a given year.	Victia-baseds murder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-baseds burglary Event-based: robbery	Hot applicable.	FBI Index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	MYS Division of Criaical Justice Services, Unifore Criae Reportin System.
		larceny (excluding actor vehicle theft) Vehicle-based: actor vehicle theft			
		The unit of count is based on the cost serious offense in a crime event.			
Table 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS Table 2B FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1987 Table 2C ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	As arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult 8	Felony Arrests Hisdemeanor Arrests	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trands File.
Table 20 ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for drugs (New York State Penal Law - Sections 220 and 221).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E FELDNY AND MISDEMEAHOR ARRESTS FOR DWY OFFENSES	Adult felony and disdementor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DNI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Pfocessing Trends File.

f Continued on the next page.)

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reparks
Table JA	FELONY INDICTHENTS	Felony indictoents and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant owed in an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against	Adult 8 Juvenile Offender 48	Felony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	MYS Bivision of Crtainal Justi Services, Indicteent Statistic System.
			a defendant is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect indictments/SCI's that include at least			
			one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
Table 3B	FELONY INDICTMENTS: January through June, 1987					
	-					
<u> </u>				·		<u> </u>
Table 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and alsdeseagor arrest events which	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition is counted. Counts are based on the	Adult =	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdeweanor Offenses	MYS Bivisian of Crieinal Justi Jervices, Arrest and Processio Trends File.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	occurred after December 31, 1969.	year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for		Lesser Offenses	
Table 49	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony	acquittals, disaissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. "Other" includes cases disposed by civil		(Not applicable for acquittal, dismissal, and "other" disposi-	
		arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	procedure, abated by the death of a defendant, etc.		tions.)	
						•
Table SA	FELONY, MISSEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISOEMEANON ARRESTS	Econviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most	Adult b	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses	MYS Bivision of Criminal Justi Services, Arrest and Processin Trends Film.
		and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at		Lesser Offenses	
Table 58	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
		arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.				

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
•			•			
Table 6A Table 6B	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELOMY OR MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the east serious sentence in a aultiple sentence offense is counted. "Other" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoted (without fine).	Adult 0	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdebeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends Film.
			<u> </u>	**************************************	•	
Table 7A	PROBATION DEPARTHENT ACTIVITY	Intake cases received during a given year.	Complaint-based; each complaint is counted as one case.	Fasily Court: Adult & Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested for: Felony Offense	MYS Bivision of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
		Investigations ordered during a given year.	levestigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need of Supervision (PINS) Crininal Court:	Misdemeanor Offense Lesser Offense – only Juvenile Deling Status Offense –	Family Court - Annual compilation of the "Monthly Report of Family Workload in Probation Department
		Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult : Juvenile Offender ::	anly PINS	(Form No. OF-30). Criminal Court - Annual compila-
		Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for: Felony Offense	tion of the *Nonthly Report of Criminal Workload in Probation Departments (Form No. DP-30A) fo Investigation and pre-dispositio
		Probation supervision cases Eupervised during a given year ti.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			retany areane Hisdeneinor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling Status Offense - only PINS	data, and the Probation Registrant System for all other
		Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 78	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 8A	ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS	Cases processed by "deaonstration" projects during a given State fiscal year.	Case-based; if more than one case was opened for the same client, each case is counted.	Adult a Juvenite Offenders as	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses	NYS Bivision of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
	PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986 COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986	Cases processed by *classification/ alternative bill* projects during 1986:			Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	
	OFFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROBRAM: 1986 SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986					

(Continued on the next page.)

Þ

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 9A JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS	Admissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year	Admission based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted more than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult •	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses	MYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of
	for criminal, civil, and federal offenses.			Sentenced admissions: Misdemeinor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	Bata from 1985 Sheriffs' Annual Reports' included the following caveat: " The Sheriffs' Annual Reports represent self-
Table 9B JAIL & PEHITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION	A census of both detained and sentenced inmates in county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criminal,	Population is insate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" populations.			reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practices among these various counties The Commission advises caution
	and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:				when using data to compare one county to another.*
	Insates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and The daily average of insates				
	under custody during a given year. A census of beds in county/HYC	Capacity is based on bods; the count	Neb and fashin	Not continued to	
	jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and peniten- tiary cansus was taken on a different	differs by regions New York City Department of Correction	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
	day during a given year.	counts reflect "beds at standard" (one inmate per cell; 60 square feet per inmate; and no more than 50 in a dorm). The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.			
		Hon-New York City jail counts refiect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and doraitory beds. This count does			
		not include detention or holding rooms.			

P

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crise and Crisinal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
Table 10A-1 ADMISSIONS TO NEW YORK STATE PRISON	Admissions to HYS prison during a given year.	Inmate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult .	Felony Convictions	HYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 10A-2 NEW COURT CONNITHENTS TO STATE PRISON Table 10A	New court consitments to NYS prison during a given year.	aost serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E.	-		The "under custody" counts any differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DDCS.
Table 108 TIMATES UNDER CUSTODY TO STATE FRISON ON DECEMBER 31	A census of inmates under custody in NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				positions referses by outside
Table 10C PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainees in NYS prison on December 31.	Detainee based.			
Table 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES	Innates released to the NYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based long person per case).	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses	HYS Division of Parale. The increase in parale revocati
	Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year li.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).				resulting from new felony convi- tions reflects legislative chan and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passage legislation, incorporated into the Division's rules and regula
	Parole supervision cases under super- vision on Deceaber 31 of a given year.				tions effective November 1, 198 authorizing the Parote Board to issue a final declaration of de- linquency for releases convict
Table 11B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoked and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				of new felonies consitted under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A fin declaration of delinguency stre
					tines the violation process in these cases by obviating the ne for a final revocation hearing. The streamlined process has resulted in an increased propor

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
<u></u>		-	•	<u>-</u>	
Table 12 JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Violent felony arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event.	Juvenite Offender +6	Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions for	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offenders
	Violent felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Delendant-indicteent/superior court information (SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted.		Violent Febony Offenses.	Arrests and Processing Trands File and the Indictment Statistical System.
	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from violent felony arrests events.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition. Counts are based on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, removed to family court, and "other." Only the most serious sentence in a pultiple sentence disposition is counted.			

^{*} An adult is an offender who is 16 years of age or older at time of arrest.

^{**} A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony activ) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree aurder as defined in subdivisions one and two of Section 125.25 of the Penal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

APPENDIX B

DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		D 1			Population				c High Dropouts		Average loyed		Rece	Average# iving ssistance
COUNTY	Year	Popula Number	Rank		Per Squa Density			Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	283,400 283,886	12 12	•.	541 542	14 14		3.8	37 45	4.2 4.2	56 56		2.9 2.9	44
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	50,500 50,902	48 47		49 49	54 54	•	2.6 3.4	58 42	8.1 7.9	20 20		9.2 9.2	i 1
BRONX	1986 1985	1,193,600 1,184,154			28,419 28,194	3		12.1 12.3	2 2	8.4 9.1	16 12		.0	58 58
BROCHE	1986 1985	210,800 212,443	18 18		296 298	19 19		4.0 4.1	22 20	5.9 5.9	42 42		3.7 3.5	29 34
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	85,300 85,335	32 · 32		65 65	47 47		3.8 4.4	39 28	8.9 9.0	11 13		6.2 6.1	11 12
CAYUBA	1986 1985	79,900 79,857	35 35		115 115	32 32		5.0 4.6	12 23	7.7 7.8	28 24		5.5 5.8	14 15
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	143,100 144,230	23 23		134 136	28 28		3.9	34 34	8.0 7.6	24 26	•	7.6 7.0	5 7
CHEKUNG	1986 1985	90,500 91,992	29 28		220 224	21 21		5.3 4.5	11 27	6.6 8.3	36 17		8.0 8.7	3 2
CHEHANGO -	1986 1985	50,000 49,963	49 49		56 56	52 52		3.8 3.9	35 36	6.8 7.2	37 34		3.3 3.2	34 38
CLINTON	1986 1985	81,200 81,571	34 33		78 78	41		5.0 4.9	13 19	8.4 7.5	17 30		4.7 4.7	19 21
ATAKUJOS	1986 1985	60,700 60,602	39 39		95 95	38 38		4.4 5.1	20 14	4.3 4.5	. 55 53		3.3 3.6	37 32

SELECTED DENOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Danil.	Ain "	Population			c High Oropouts		Honthly Unexp	Average loyed		Monthly A Recei Public As	iving ssistance
СОПИТУ	Year	Popul a Nueber	Rank	Per Squa Density		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	. Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	47,400 47,392	50 50	95 95	39 39	5.3	10 55		6.9	35 14		4.5	10
DELAWARE	1986 ₁ 1985	47,100 46,888	51 51	33 33	58 58	4.1 5.0	27 16		5. 6 6. 4	45 40		3.3 3.6	35 33
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	256,800 255,106	15 15	319 317	18 18	 4.2 4.5	26 26		3.8 3.6	60 61		1.8	54 53
ERIE	1986 1985	964,700 971,386	7	922 929	11 11	3.4 3.3	44 19		7.2 7.3	31 32		9.2 8.2	2
ESSEX	1986 1985	36,300 36,384	56 56	20 20	04 04	3.2 5.0	48 15		9.9 9.6	. 6	- , v	5.4 6.0	16 13
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	43,800 43,793	52 52	27 27	59 59	2.9 3.5	55 41	•	10.7 9.5	5 9		6.8 7.2	8
FULTON	1986 1985	54,600 55,411	45 45	110 111	33 33	5.9 5.0	7 17		11.4 13.1	4		4.7 4.4	20 25
GENESEE	1986 1985	58,800 59,053	41 41	119 119	31 31	3.4 3.3	45 50	•	8.6 7.9	13 22		2.8 2.8	47 46
GREENE	1986 1985	42,100 • 41,476	53 53	85 84	48	4.3 5.5	24 10		7.3 8.5	29 16		2.5 2.8	50 47
IAMILTON	1986 1985	5,000 4,785	62 62	3	62 62	3.2 2.8	47 59		12.4 11.9	i 3		2.8 3.2	45 37
HERKIMER	1986 1985	46,900 46,919	37 37	47 47	56 56	4.3 4.6	25 25		9.9 9.2	7 11	-	4.1 4.1	26 26

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popula	Hinn	-	Population Per Squa		-		c High Dropouts	 Honthly Uneap	•	- 1.	Honthly A Recei Public As	ving ssistance
COUNTY	Year	Number	Rank		Density			Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	90,600 88,583	28 29		71 70	42 43		5.7 4.6	9 24	11.4 12.3	3 2	•	5.9 6.6	13 10
KINGS	1986 1985	2,293,200 2,283,395	1-1		32,760 32,620	2 2		0.8 11.8	3	8.6 9.7	12 7		.0	58 58
FERIS	1986 1785	25,300 25,165	59 59		20 20	61 61		2.2 3.0	61 57	9.5 9.3	. 9 10		4.6	22 24
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	58,600 58,328	42 42		93 92	40		2.2 3.0	60 58	7.1 5.7	32 44		3.0 2.8	42 45
HADISON	1984 1985	66,600 66,743	38 38	* v	102 102	34 34		4.7	19 22	7.0 6.9	26 37		2.5 2.8	48 48
MONROE	1986 1985	702,600 704,876	9		1,040	10 10		4.0 4.7	29 21	5.0 4.3	51 55		7.0 6.7	7 9
MONTGONERY	1984 1985	52,100 52,527	46 - 46		129 130	29 29		4.1 4.9	28 20	9.6 9.9	8 5	•	4.0 3.7	27 30
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,323,000 1,331,343	4	•	4,610 4,639	ė		2.1 2.3	62 61	4.0	58 57		1.3 1.4	55 56
NEN YORK	1986 1985	1,478,000 1,479,775	3		67,182 67,263	1 - 1		14.7 18.4	· · · 1	7.9 7.5	34 29		.0 .0	58 58
NIAGARA	1986 1985	216,900 216,493	17 17		412 412	15 15		4.4	22 32	8.9 9.8	10 6		7.4 7.6	6 5
ONEIDA	1986 1985	248,500 250,734	16 16		204 206	22 22		5.0 4.3	14 ₅ 30	6.9	40 38		6.1 5.9	12 14

SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Popul a			Population Per Squ			Puhlic School I			Konthly Uneap	Average loyed	 Honthly A Recei Public As	ving sistance
COUNTY	Year	Nueper ropura	Rank	•	Density	Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
DNDNDABA	1986 1985	463,200 465,317	10 10		590 593	13		4.0	31 37		8.4 5.2	39 50	4.9	18 18
ONTARIO	1986 1985	92,200 92,384	27 27		143 143	27 27		3.5 3.0	42 56		6.7 7.0	30	2.8 2.7	46 49
GRANĞE	1986 1985	201,700 277,563	13 13		341 336	17 17		4.9 5.3	16 11		5.1 5.3	S0 48	4.5	24 20
ORLEANS	1986 1985	3B, 900 39, 140	55 55		99 100	35 36		3,2 3,1	49 53	•	8.5 10.1	15 4	5.5 5.6	15 16
OSNEGO	. 1986 1985	119,600	24 24		125 125	30 20		4.8 6.3	18		11.5 8.5	2 15	5.1 5.1	17 17
OTSEGO	1986 1985	59,200 59,369	40 40		59 59	51 51		3.2 3.4	51 48		5.5 5.5	46 46	3.1 3.0	39 42
PUTHAK	1986 1985	81,600 80,895	33 34		353 350	16 16		3,0 1.4	54 62		3.2 3.3	52 62	.4	57 57
QUEENS	1986 1985	1,923,300 1,933,044	2 2		17,808 17,899	4		7.1 8.1	4		4.3 7.0	41 35	.0	58 58
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	151,700 151,352	21 21		232 231	20 20	•	4.3 4.3	23 2 9		5.1 5.2	49	3.8 3.8	30 29
RICHHOND	1986 1985	374,600 373,871	11 11		6,349 6,337	5 5		5.9 7.4			5.3 5.8	48 43	.0	58 58
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	265,900 265,230	- 14 - 14		1,519 1,516	8		2.8 2.6	56 60		4.1 4.1	57 58	2.5 2.7	49 50

SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		Don't	- 1:		Population Per Squa				c High Dropouts	Monthly Unempl		Monthly A Recei Public As	iving ssistance
COUNTY	Year	Popul a	ation Rank		Per aqua Density			Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
ST LAWRENCE	1984 1985	112,800 113,411	25 25		41 42	57 57		3.3 3.5	46 39	8.5 8.2	14 18	7.7 7.6	4
SARATOGA	. 1986 1985	144,100 141,590	20 20		203 199	23 23		3.1 -3.4	52 47	5.4 5.4	47. 4. 47.	1.5 1.7	55 55
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	150,200 150,585	22 22	•	729 731	12 12		3.7 4.1	40 35	4.9 4.5	52 54	3.5 3.9	32 27
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	29,800 29,799	59 58		48 48	55 55		3.8 3.4	36 44	7.9 7.9	25 21	3.5 3.6	31 31
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	17,400 17,511	61 61		53 53	53 53		3.i 3.i	53 54	7.3 7.5	30 28	4.8 4.8	21 19
SENECA	1986 1985	32,100 32,765	57 57		98 100	37 35		3.6 3.8	41 38	9.3 7.9	18 23	2.3 2.2	51 52
· STEUBEN	1984 1985	96,900 97,342	. 26 26		69 70	44 42		3.9 3.1	33 52	7.8 7.3	27 33	5.6 6.4	9 11
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,312,000 1,313,326	5 5		1,439	9 9	•	3.5 3.4	43 43	4.8 5.0	53 51	3.0 3.2	41 40
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	68,600 67,602	36 36		70 69	43 44		5.0 4.9	15 18	5.8 5.5	43 45	3.0 3.0	40 43
TIOGA	1986 1985	51,000 50,379	47 48	•	98 97	35 37		3.2 3.5	50 40	7.1 6.9	33 39	4.5 4.7	25 22
TOHPKINS	1986 1985	87,600 88,150	31 30		184 185	24 24		3.7 6.0	39 8	3.5 3.7	61 59	3.8 3.9	28 28

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Population Box Sou				c High Dropouts		Honthly Unespi				Average# iving ssistance
COUNTY		Year	Popula Number	Rank		Per Squa Density	Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	•	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ULSTER		1986 1985	· 164,200 163,774	19 19		145 145	26 25		6.1 5.9	5		4.3	54 52		3.0 3.2	43 41
WARREN		1986 1985	55,500 55,640	44		63 63	49 50		4.8 5.2	17 13		8.1 7.6	21 27		1.9 2.4	53 51
WASHINGTON		1986 1985	56,900 56,752	43 43		98 98	46 46		5.5 5.3	9 12		5.7 6.4	44 41		3.1 3.3	30 35
WAYNE		1986 1985	88,000 87,551	- 30 31		145 145	25 26		4.4 6.1	21 7		8.1 7.8	22 25		3.4 3.3	35 36
WESTCHESTER		1986 1985	863,200 865,460	8		1,971	7	•	2.7 3.2	57 51		3.8 3.7	59 60		4.6 4.4	23 23
HADHING		1986 1985	40,800 40,676	54 54		69 68	45 45		4.0 3.4	32 46		8.0 8.0	23 19		2.1 1.8	52 54
YATES		1984 1985	21,200 21,486	60 60		63 63	50 49		2.3 4.2	59 31		8.2 7.5	19 31		3.3 3.2	36 39
HEN YORK STATE	•	1986 1985	17,772,100 17,782,754	AK Na		375 375	na Na		5.8 6.5	na Na		6.3 6.5	na Na		7.7 7.8	na Ha
NEW YORK CITY		1986 1985	7,262,700 7,254,239	NA NA	-	24,129 24,100	NA NA		10,1 12,1	NA NA		7.4 8.1	на На		12.6 12.8	HA NA

⁴ The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs is not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce, Department of Education, Department of Labor, Department of Social Services, and the US Bureau of Census.

NOTE: Percents presented in this Table have been rounded to the first decisal place, however, the rank scores for percents were calculated using numbers with four (4) decisal places.

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 FOPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		TOTA						ENT INDEX C									PROPER	RTY INDEX C	RIKES		
		CKIN		Tata		, Hur		Forcibl	e Rape	Robb	ery	Agg. As		Tota		Burgl	lary	Larci	eny	at yk	heft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1984 1985	4,540.7 4,530.6	10 · 11	344.6 309.4	15 18	3.8 1.7	18 38	31.8 21.0	8 19	103.1 92.5	15 14	205.8 194.2		4,196.1 4,221.2	10 10	1,193.8 1,177.2	7. 10	2,828.3 2,845.9	0 7	174.0 178.1	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	2,060.9 2,077.2	56 58	139.4 114.9	43 48	3.9 3.9	17 16	3.9 5.8	55 50	7.8 .0		124.1 105.1	38 43	1,921.3 1,962.3	55 57	721.2 . 624.9	26 46	1,153.6 1,312.1	59 54	46.5 25.3	
BRONX	1986 1985	8,131.2 7,506.3	2	2,319.9 2,158.2	2 2	34.3 28.8		53.5 69.9	3 1	1,235.9 1,184.0	3	996.2 875.5		5,811.3 5,348.1	2 5	1,800.5 1,796.7	2	2,924.3 2,552.2	6 11	1,086.5	4
BROOME	1988 1985	2,936.5 2,984.5	29 31	76.7 62.6	54 60	2.3		15.9 14.5	22 28	17.3 12.1	31 43	41.1 35.0		2,859.9 2,921.9	26 26	443.2 519.0	58 56	2,307.9 2,302.5	18 17	88.8 100.4	32 32
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	2,558.5 2,546.0	35 44	132.2 120.5	47 47	2.3 .0		7.0 2.3	50 59	16.2 10.4	35 49	106.7 167.8		2,426.4 2,425.5	34 39	566.9 632.7	51 45	1,750.5 1,705.9	31 37	109.0 86.9	25 36
CAYUGA	1984 1985	2,312.3 2,169.8	49 55	144.1 196.2	41 32	1.2 2.5		12.4 16.1	32 25	16.2 16.1	36 36	114.3 161.5		2,168.2 1,973.5	45 55	488.3 493.1	56 59	1,597.9 1,394.8	38 51	82.0 85.7	35 37
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	3,306.5 3,314.4	20 24	151.5 132.3	40 42	1.4 1.4	44 42	18.4 10.9	18 · 35	21.2 25.2	30 23	110.5 94.8	43 46	3,155.0 3,182.2	20 22	704.8 727.4	30 37	2,359.4 2,339.6	16 14	90.7 115.2	30 28
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	3,922.4 4,044.8	15 13	207.1 186.7	34 34	4.3	14 34	19.2 19.2	1 Å 23	33. i 20. 8	26 22	150.5 136.5	34 33	3,715.3 3,858.1	13 13	787.9 637.3	24 21	2,822.0 2,937.6	10 5	104.6 83.2	
CHENANGO	1984 1985	2,221.8 2,800.3	51 35	133.0 163.8	46 36	2.0 2.2		11.9 36.6	33 4	.0 10.8	61 46	119.1 114.3	28 38	2,088.8 2,636.5	51 35	595.7 621.3	49 25	1,423.6	47 34	69.5 73.3	
CLINTON	1986 1985	2,436.9	39 38	308.3 374.8	19 11	3.7 1.2		7.4 11.1	48 - 34	6.2 14.8	54 39	291.0 347.6	11 B	2,128.6 2,320.1	48 43	572.2 544.9	50 52	1,518.1 1,696.3	44	38.2 78.9	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	2,401.6 2,732.9	43 36	303.1 329.2	20 17	11.4 3.3	5 21	4. 9 8. 1	52 45	24. 4 22. 8	28 24	262.3 295.0	15 10	2,098.6 2,463.7	50 41	611.0 756.1	42 33	1,422.4 1,575.8	48 45	65.2 71.7	48 47
CORTLAND	1984 1985	4,426.3 4,558.3	11	221.6 217.3	30 29	4.1 4.1		16.6 26.9	19 9	37.3 20.7	25 27	163.6 165.5		4,204.6 4,341.1	- g 9	903.i 922.8	18 . 19	3,218.7 3,273.4	4	82.9 144.8	34 23
DELAWARE	1986 1985	2,294.0 2,216.8	50 53	119.6 147.4	48 40	8.5 2.1		4.3 2.1	54 60	15.0 4.3	40 54	91.8 138.8		2,174.4 2,069.4	44 52	837.3 790.2	20 28	1,300.8 1,236.5	53 56	36.3 42.7	&1 59

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			TOTA						ENT INDEX (RTY INDEX CA	IHES	·	
			CRIH CRIH	ES	Tota	ıl	Hurd	er	Forcibl	e Rape	Robbi	ery	Agg. As:	sault	Tota	ıł	Burgl	ary	Larce	•	AV Th	
COUNTY		YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS		1986 1985	3,242.6 3,126.4	24 28	352.9 330.8	13 16	2.4 2.0	30 38	14.2 14.8		108.8 74.1	- 12 16	227.5 240.0	18 17	2,889.7 2,795.8	2 5 30	955.6 936.6	19 22	1,915.8	27 32	118.3 127.7	23 27
ERIE	· .	1986 1985	4,591.7 4,532.9	9 10	575.3 528.1	6	4.4	13 12	33.5 34.5		178.2 166.0	. 8	358.8 322.8	7	4,016.4 4,004.8	11	1,127.6 1,150.3	10 11	2,443.0 2,448.5	13 12	445.B 406.0	7
ESSEX		1986 1985	2,208.0 2,176.7	52 54	168.8 157.6	38 38	2.7	52 24	5.4 8.2		- 5.4 2.7	56 59	157.9 144.0	30 31	2,039.2 2,019.1	54 54	765.0 736.5	25 34	1,246.9	56 58	27.2 62.5	62 51
FRANKLIN		1986 1985	2,343.0 2,860.2	47 34	159.1 158.9	39 38	.0 2.6	52 26	11.4 25. <i>8</i>		9.1 5.1	47 53	138.6 125.6	36 36	2,183.9 2,701.3	43 33	609.0 784.3	44	1,509.0 1,860.7	46 30	45.9 56.4	47 55
FULTON		1986 1985	2,950.6 3,435.6	28 · 20	97.7 94.1	52 53	1.8 .0	38 50	10.7		16.0 12.4	37 42	69.3 76.3	52 49	2,852.9 3,341.6	28 19	689.2 1,009.7	32 15	2,030.4 2,184.5	25 21	133.2 147.3	21 22
GENESEE		1986 1985	2,396.4 2,559.3	44 43	68.6 91.9	50 54	1.7	41 50	8.4 5.0		6.7 21.7	52 25	51.8 65.2	59 54	2,327.8 2,467.4	37 38	610.4 566.3	43 49	1,667.3 1,042.6	34 31	50.2 58.5	.53 54
GREENE		1986 1985	2,508.3 2,592.1	37 39	359.4 380.6	12 10	.0 2.4	52 29	14.5		16.9 16.9	33 35	328.0 354.1	8 7	2,148.9 2,211.5	46 48	709.1 672.1	29 43	1,360.2 1,455.0	51 48	79.6 84.3	38 38
HANILTON		1985 1985	1,950.3 3,277.0	57 25	61.6 101.8	61 50	.0	52 50	. (20. <u>1</u>		.0 20.4	å1 29	61.1	56 56	1,888.7 3,175.2	57 23	451.7 1,302.7	59 7	1,394.0 1,730.1	49 36	41.1 142.5	58 24
HERKIHER		1984 1985	1,920.0 2,143.9	58 57	170.0 175.8	37 35	1.5 1.5	-43 -41	10.3 8.9		4.4 3.0	59 58	153.7 162.5	32 27	1,750.1	58 55	520.3 536.3	54 54	1,191.3	57 52	38.4 39.9	59 61
JEFFERSON		1986 1985	2,345.1 2,696.9	46 37	7 6.3 96.5	55 52	4.5 3.4	12 17	4.5 4.5		9.0 13.5	48 40	58.3 75.2		2,268.8 2,600.5	40 36	717.0 834.7	27 23	1,509.2 1,700.7	45 38	42.6 65.1	57 50
KINES		1986 1985	8,020.8 7,594.5	3	2,257.6 2,077.9	3	21.4 20.5	3	56.1 58.1		1,278.2 1,221.3	2 2	901.7 777.3	3	5,763. i 5,516. 6	3	1,781.0 1,761.8	3	2,828.2 2,717.8	8	1,153.9	3
LEWIS		1986 1985	986.4 1,105.0	62 62	63.9 123.7	60 44	.0 4.0	52 15	.(4.(12.0 4.0	41 55	51.9 111.7	58 40	922.5 981.4	62 62	431.3 506.6	57	435.3 430.8	62 62	55.9 43.9	51 58
LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	3,105.7 3,684.0	26 29	269.5 240.4	24 28	1.7	39 50	10.7 8.5		11.9 6.8	42 51	245.6 225.0	17 20	2,836.2 2,843.7	29 29	395.7 453.5	62 60	2,386.0 2,328.8	14 16	54.6 61.4	52 53

TABLE I INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

	-	TOTA						ENT INDEX C										INDEX C				
•		INDE Crim	ES	Tota	ıl .	Hur	der	Forcibl	e-Rape	Robb	ery	Agg. Ass	ault	Tota	ıl	Burgi	ary	Larci	eny	IT VK	heft	
COURTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Bank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Bank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
HADISON	1984 1965	2,410.9 2,243.2	42 · 52	105.9 48.5	51 59	1.5 1.5		20.9 10.4		4.5 17.9		79.0 38.7	59 59	2,305.1 2,174.8	38 49	554.3 595.4	52 48	1,492.2 1,499.0	34 46	48.5 80.4		
NONROE	1984 1985	5,370.3 5,373.7	5	497.7 465.6	- 7 B	6.0 4.9		31.3 27.5		174.8 172.9	7	285. <u>6</u> 260. 3	12 13	4,872.5 4,908.1	5 4	1,142.7 1,149.6	ु 12	3,465.1 3,487.0	3	264.8 271.5		
HONTGOKERY	1986 1985	1,604.b 1,696.0	61 61	75.2 78.9	56 57	1.9		.9 3.8		7.5 15.0		45.8 40.1	53 57	1,529.6 1,617.1	60 61	528.0 501.5	53 58	928.3 1,049.9	41 60	73.3 65.7		
KASSAU	1984 1985	3,263.7 3,465.2	23 19	239.2 215.7	27 30	2.8		10.2 10.3		124.9 117.2		101.2 85.9	46 48	3,024.5 3,249.6	22 21	678.1 704.7	33 39	1,847.7 2,022.8	29 24	498.7 522.1		
NEW YORK	1986 1985	14,315.4 13,623.0	1	2,698.6 2,657.0	1	29.0 25.3		62.2 67.3		1,439.3	1 1	969.3 871.7	2	11,617.0 10,966.0	. I	2,145.4 2,219.2	1	8,429.1 7,808.6	1	1,022.3 938.1		
NIAGARA	1985 1985	4,172.8 4,403.7	12 12	346.9 364.9	14 12	5.0 1.4		36.4 23.6		106.4	14 13	199.1 242.2	23 16	3,825.9 4,038.8	12 11	1,064.B 1,145.0	13 13	2,554.3 2,650.8	11 9	206.9 243.1		
ONEIDA	1984 1985	2,434.4 2,396.5	40	143.5 121.8	42 45	2.4 2.4		11.8 11.8		57.0 48.3	19 19	72.3 59.3	51 58	2,291.0 2,274.7	39 44	498.0 736.1	31 35	1,519.1 1,458.9	43 47	73.9 79.7		
ABADKOKO	1984 1985	4,638.0 4,648.8	9 8	325.8 287.6	17 19	2. i 3. 4		24.7 29.0		133.7 130.8	9	165.3 124.3	29 37	4,312.3 4,361.3	8	1,169.7 1,278.4	8	2,971.3 2,915.3	5	171.3 167.5		
CHTARIO	1986 1985	2,789.4 2,905.3	32 32	224.3 197.1	29 31	3.3 1.1		15.2 20.6		29.3 17.3	27 33	176.6 158.1	26 29	2,565.4 2,708.3	31 32	416.8 726.6	38 38	1,848.2 1,905.9	29 27	70.4 75.8		
ORANGE	1984 1985	3,723.3 3,653.1	17 16	425. 7 396.0	<u>8</u> 9	3.3 3.6		2!.5 20.4		122.2 119.4		278.7 252.3	13 14	3,297.5 3,257.1	17 20	978.8 1,026.9	16 14	2,128.7 2,058.5	22 22	190.1 171.8		
ORLEANS	1986 1985	2,504.7 2,367.7	39 49	310.2 258.9	18 25	7.4 2.5		12.7 25.4		38.1 20.3	23 30	251.7 210.6	16 22	2,194.4 2,108.9	42 51	607.6 434.0	45 - 61	1,525.4 1,608.9	42 42	63.6 66.0		
OSWEGO	1986 1985	2,541.8 2,373.2	36 48	114.8 110.5	50 49	1.7		18.4 8.4		15. i 10. 9		79.6 90.4	49 47	2,427.1 2,262.6	33 45	642.4 559.4	39 50	1,670.5 1,594.4	35 44	113.9 108.9		
OTSEGO	1984 1985	2,187.7 2,359.9	54 50	73.4 98.4	57 51	.0 3.3		10.0 1.3		5.0 11.7		65.0 73.4	54 51	2,114.3 2,261.5	49	480.2 615.4	57 47	1,590.7 1,601.1	•	43.4 45.0		

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTAL		Alori	ENT INDEX CAINES				PROPERTY INDEX C	RIKES
		INDEX CRINES	Total	Hurder	forcible Rape	Rabbery	Agg. Assault		irglary Larc	eny NV Theft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rato Bank	Rate Rank	Rale Rank Rate		Rank Rate Rank
PUTHAN	1986 1985	2,335.7 48 2,574.1 40	254.2 25 161.5 37	.0 52 6.2 6	3.7 56 13.6 29	40.7 22 9.9 49	209.8 20 131.9 34	2,081.3 52 663 2,412.6 40 798		
QUEENS	1986 1985	6,909.5 4 6,533.9 4	1,208.5 4 1,128.4 4	12.1 4 9.7 4	34.4 5 35.0 5	642.6 4 649.1 4	49 9.3 4 435.6 5	5,701.0 4 1,447 5,405.5 4 1,421		
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	3,928.i. 14 3,706.9 17	295.7 22 279.1 20	2.6 28 2.6 25	22.3 12 21.6 17	75.4 17 55.0 10	195.4 24 199.9 23	3,632.5 15 1,084 3,427.7 17 982		
RICHHOND	1984 1985	5,073.5 6 5,135.0 7	723.9 5 699.2 5	7.5 B 7.0 5	20,1 15 19.8 22	263.3 5 267.7 5	. 433.1 5 404.7 6	4,349.6 7 1,051 4,438.8 7 1,248		
ROCKLAND	1985 1985	2,929.3 3ô 3,127.1 27	. 289.2 23 265.3 22	.9 50 1.5 39	12,8 30 0.3 43	75.1 18 60.4 17	200.5 22 195.1 24	2,640.1 30 713 2,861.8 28 759		
ST. LANRENCE	1986 1985	2,992.0 27 2,866.5 33	137.8 44 84.2 55	.9 49 .9 48	11.4 35 .0 61	7.9 49 10.5 47	117.6 40 72.8 52	2,854.2 27 628 2,782.3 31 695		
SARATOSA	1984 1985	2,389.9 45 2,287.4 51	171.2 36 136.1 41	.å 51 1.9 37	13.1 29 8.7 40	16.9 34 15.6 37	140.5 35 109.8 41	2,218.8 41 600 2,151.3 50 639		
SCHENECTADY	1984 1985	3,500.7 19 3,352.9 22	214.2 32 190.3 33	1.3 45 2.0 35	9.9 42 13.2 30	88.6 16 75.3 15	114.4 41 99.8 44	3,286.5 19 1,078 3,162.5 24 953		
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	2,188.0 53 2,404.2 46	118.6 49 72.3 58	.0 52 .0 50	3.3 58 3.3 58	6.6 53 .0 60	108.7 44 69.0 53	2,069.4 53 626 2,333.9 42 881		
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,745.0 59 1,729.9 60	39.4 62 78.9 56	.0 52 .0 50	.0 59 .0 61	5.6 55 16.9 34	33.8 &1 &2.0 55	1,705.6 59 669 1,651.0 59 546		
SENECA	1985 1985	3,266.7 22 3,342.6 23	299.7 21 272.0 21	3.0 25 6.0 7	9.1 45 24.2 13	15.1 38 3.0 57	272.5 14 238.8 18	2,967.0 24 660 3,070.6 25 701	•	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	2,598.2 33 2,505.4 45	233.9 28 255.9 28	1.0 48 .0 50	9.0 46 8.0 46	11.0 44 18.1 31	212.8 19 229.8 19	2,364.3 35 597 2,249.5 47 540		
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	3,871.8 16 3,819.3 15	250.9 28 255.8 27	2.9 28 3.1 22	13.5 28 13.2 31	106.5 13 108.7 12	128.0 37 · 130.7 35	3,620.9 16 998 3,554.5 14 945		

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

ABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984

					FELDKY A	ARRESTS				*****
2.1		TOTAL ARRESTS	Tota		Viole		Othe	r	nisdene Arres	
COUNTY .	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	2,338.7 12 2,197.9 14	712.1 604.5	6	251.6 194.8	7 9	460.5 409.7	7	1,626.7 1,593.4	21 20
ALLEGANY	1986	1,247.5 40	330.7	56	65.7	57	265.3	45	918.8	58
	1985	1,111.9 62	231.8	62	55.0	58	176.8	62	880.1	60
BRONI	1984 1985	3,811.0 2 3,284.8 2	2,065.0 1,775.0	2 2	821.0 770.3	2 2	1,244.8 1,005.4	. 2	1,545.2 1,509.0	29 28
BROOME	1986	1,842.5 37	397.5	35	114.3	28	283.2	40	1,445.0	34
	1985	1,676.2 39	388.3	29	109.2	27	279.1	29	1,287.9	39
CATTARAUGUS	1986	1,756.2 41	336.5	55	72.7	55	243.8	48	1,419.7	39
	1995	1,587.9 44	288.3	56	86.8	52	221.5	56	1,299.6	37
CAYUGA	L986	1,558.2 52	374.2	41	86.4	46	287.9	37	1,184.0	51
	1985	1,843.3 34	373.2	32	81.4	43	291.8	23	1,470.1	31
CHAUTAURUA	1986	1,807.8 39	345.2	50	86.0	47	259.3	50	1,462.6	34
	1985	1,660.5 41	299.5	55	77.0	45	222.5	53	1,361.0	35
CKENUNG	1984	1,905.0 34	426.5	28	129.J	21	297.2	33	1,478.5	29
	1985	1,943.6 29	451.1	21	104.4	32	346.8	15	1,492.5	33
CHENANGO	1986	1,946.0 29	280.0	40	58.0	60	222.0	60	1,686.0	18
	1985	2,159.6 16	310.2	51	92.1	37	218.2	57	1,849.4	10
CLINTON	1984	1,943.3 31	507.4	19	126.8	22	380.5	12	1,434.0	37
	1985	1,851.1 33	384.9	30	95.6	34	289.3	26	1,466.2	32
COLUMBIA	. 1986 1985	2,019.8 24 2,234.2 13	466.2 523.1	22 12	141.7 132.0	19	324.5 391.1	25 9	1,553.5 1,711.2	26 12
CORTLAND	1986	2,865.0 5	514.8	17	177.2	13	337.6	23	2,350.2	. 4
	1985	2,964.6 4	403.0	25	111.8	26	291.2	25	2,561.6	. 2
DELAWARE	1986	2,010.6 25	420.4	29	80.7	51	339.7	22	1,590.2	24
	1985	1,960.0 27	341.2	40	61.8	55	279.4	28	1,618.8	17

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			-			FELONY A	ARRESTS				W*805	· eline
		TOTA ARRES		Tota	1	Viole	ent		Othe	er .	HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
				*******	•							
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,987.1 1,989.8	27 23	537.4 514.7	18	158.1 166.6	17 12		379.3 348.1	13 14	1,449.B 1,475.1	35 30
ER1E	1986	2,257.1	17	571.3	12	222.3	. 9		348.9	19	1,685.8	19
	1985	2,103.1	18	539.7	10	210.2	8		329.5	19	1,563.3	25
ESSEX	1986	2,283.7	16	584.0	11	93.7	40		490.4	6	1,699.7	17
	1985	1,949.7	28	354.7	35	48.7	50		286.0	27	1,595.0	17
FRANKLIN	1986	2,347.0	11	351.6	49	93.6	41		258.0	51	1,995.4	8
	1985	2,016.3	20	347.1	37	75.4	47		271.7	- 32	1,669.2	14
FULTON	1986	1,393.8	57	267.4	61	60.4	58		207.0	: 62	1,126.4	52
	1985	1,395.0	55	344.7	39	75.8	46		268.9	33	1,050.3	52
GENESEE	1986	1,932.0	33	386.1	38	107.1	35		278.9	43	1,545.9	27
	1985	1,757.7	35	328.5	44	84.7	42		243.8	48	1,429.2	34
GREENE	1986	2,147.3	23	551.1	14	121.1	24		429.9	9	1,596.2	22
*	1985	1,675.7	40	424.3	23	120.6	22		303.8	21	1,251.3	41
HANILTON	1986	1,680.0	45	320.0	58	40.0	59		260.0	49	1,360.0	40
	1985	2,006.0	22	481.4	17	60.2	. 56		421.3	5	1,524.6	26
HERKINER	1986	1,409.6	56	366.2	44	86.7	45		279.5	42	1,043.3	55
	1985	1,386.8	56 -	272.0	59	64.3	54		207.7	90	1,114.8	50
JEFFERSON	1986	1,496.7	-54	452.5	25	112.6	30		340.0	21	1,044.2	. 54
	1985	1,440.5	54	370.3	34	103.9	33	_ •	266.4	35	1,070.2	51
KINGS	1986	2,837.5	6	1,597.3	3	770.4	3		826.9	. 3	1,240.2	46
•	1985	2,405.5	8	1,377.7	. 3	678.5	3		699.2	3	1,027.8	54
LEHIS	1986	865.6	62	260.9	62	27.7	62		233.2	59	604.7	62
	1995	1,132.5	. 61	254.3	61	27.8	62		226.5	51	878.2	61
LIVINGSTON	1986	2,551.2	9	377.1	40	81.9	49		295.2	34	2,174.1	7
	1985	2,590.5	7	288.0	57	73.7	48		214.3	59	2,302.5	6

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTA			0.	FELONY A	RRESTS	 		HISDENE	enua
		ARRES		Tota	1	Viole		Oth	er	ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
AD I SON	1986	1,846.8	36	366.4	43	111.1	31	255.3	52	1,480.5	32
	1985	1,654.1	42	317.6	49	73.4	49	244.2	47	1,336.5	38
ADNROE	1986	2,285.5	15	555.4	13	196.0	11	359.4	17	1,730.1	18
	1985	2,059.5	19	485.3	15	178.5	11	306.9	20	1,574.2	23
IONTGOHERY	1984	2,197.7	21	385.8	39	76.8	53	309.0	28	1,811.9	1\$
	1985	1,875.2	31	302.7	54	45.7	60	257.0	38	1,572.5	24
IASSAU	1986	1,305.4	59	402.0	31	121.1	25	281.0	41	903.4	59
	1985	1,314.8	57	374.4	33	121.1	21	253.4	41	940.4	58
NEW YORK	1986 1985	7,694.0 7,117.1	1	2,820.4 2,517.6	1	981.8 923.8	1 1	1,838.6 1,593.8	1	4,873.7 4,599.5	1
IIASARA	1986	2,003.7	26	463.8	23	164.1	14	299.7	32	1,539.9	33
	1985	1,856.4	32	425.0	22	152.9	14	272.1	31	1,431.5	30
DNEIDA	1984	1,427.0	55	339.6	54	103.0	36	236.6	58	1,087.3	53
	1985	1,289.0	59	322.3	48	106.5	29	215.8	58	966.B	56
DNONDAGA	1986	1,816.3	3B	584.4	10	223.9	9	362.5	- 15	1,229.9	47
	1985	1,756.2	36	592.7	7	227.4	7	365.3	11	1,163.5	48
ONTARIO	1986 1985	2,287.4 1,978.7	14 26	393.7 337.7	36 41	102.0 85.5	37 41	291.8 252.2	36 43	1,893.7	9 15
RANGE	1984 1985	2,472.8 2,195.5	10 15	636.1 577.2	7 8	203.1 181.2	10 10	433.1 395.9	8	1,836.7 1,618.4	12 18
IRLEANS	1986	1,640.1	49	452.4	26	159.4	. 16	293.1	35	1,187.7	50
	1985	1,527.8	50	482.9	16	140.5	-18	342.4	16	1,045.0	53
SWEGO	1986	1,721.6	43	340.3	53	92.0	42	249.3	55	1,381.3	39
	1985	1,556.2	47	335.7	42	90.7	39	245.1	46	1,220.4	46
DTSEGO	1986 1985	2,174.0 2,343.0	22 9	354.7 370.6	48 33	54.1 119.6	61 23	360.7 251.0	30 44	1,819.3	13

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

	-				FELONY A	RRESTS					
		TOTA Arres		· Tota		Viol		Othe	ir į	HISDEHE ARRES	TS
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAM	1984 1985	1,970.6 1,567.5	28 46	40 9.3 326.3	30 45	109.1 66.8	34 53	 300.2 259.6	31 37	1,561.3 1,241.1	25 44
QUEENS	1986 1985	1,666.7	46 52	926.2 709.9	4 5	374.8 308.9	4	551.4 401.0	5 7	740.5 744.0	61 62
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,655.9 1,568.5	47 45	357.9 325.7	47 46	116.0 118.9	27 24	241.9 206.8	57 61	1,298.0 1,242.8	43
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,563.5 1,504.3	50 51	618.5 570.3	9	291.8 295.8	6 5	326.7 274.4	24 30	945.0 934.0	56 59
ROCKLAND	1936 1985	1,137.6	61 58	368.9 345.7	42 38	81.2 93.5	50 36	287.7 252.2	3B 42	769.7 949.0	60 57
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	2,212.8 2,006.9	20 21	329.8 324.5	57 47	75.4 77.6	54 44	254.4 246.9	53 45	1,883.0 1,682.4	10 13
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,222.4 2,286.0	1B 10	405.2 397.3	31 28	91.4 105.8	44 30	313.8 291.5	27 24	1,817.2 1,888.7	14 - 9
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,737.7 1,528.7	42 49	400.1 350.0	34 35	91.9 94.3	43 35	308.3 255.7	29 40	1,337.6 1,178.7	42 47
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	2,718.1 2,238.3	8 12	473.2 308.7	20 52	110.7 87.3	32 40	362.4 221.5	16 55	2,245.0 1,929.6	6
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,902.3 1,930.2	35 30	362.1 416.9	46 24	109.2 148.5	33 16	252.9 268.4	54 34	1,540.2 1,513.3	29 27
SENECA	1986 1985	2,317.8 2,279.9	13	470.4 457.8	21 19	96.6 91.6	38 38	373.8 366.2	14 10	1,847.4 1,822.1	11 11
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,559.3 1,447.5	51 53	341.6 304.1	52 53	69.1 67.8	56 51	272.4 236.3	44 49	1,217.8 1,143.4	48 49
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,647.5 1,687.5	4B 38	403.3 398.8	32 26	136.7 141.9	20 17	286.5 257.0	45 39	1,244.2	45 38

TABLE 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

						FELONY A	RRESTS				-Augo
		TOTA ARRES		Tota	1]	Viole	ent .	Othe	r	MISDEME ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	3,192.2 3,102.0	- 4 3	925.7 751.5	5 4	358.6 274.4	5 6	567.1 457.1	4 -	2,256.4 2,350.5	5 5
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,711.8 1,534.4	44 48	362.7 283.8	45 58	78.4 49.6	52 59	284.3 234.2	39 50	1,349.0 1,250.5	41 42
TOHPKINS	1986 1985	1,936.1 1,988.7	32 24	343.6 329.0	51 43	95.9 106.6	39 28	247.7 222.3	56 54	1,592.5	23 15
ULSTER	1986 1985	2,221.1 2,113.9	19 17	549.3 523.9	15 11	159.6 160.0	15 13	8.98 9.343.9	11 12	1,671.7 1,590.0	20 21
WARREN	1986 1985	3,282.9 2,949.3	3 5	594.4 478.1	9 18	189.2 118.6	12 25	407.2 359.5	10 13	2,686.5 2,471.2	2
HASHINGTON	1986 1985	1,959.4 1,980.5	30 25	441.1 398.2	27 27	117.8 105.7	26 31	. 323.4 292.5	26 22	1,519.5 1,582.3	31 22
HAYNE	1986 1985	2,817.0 2,878.3	7	458.0 456.9	24 20	113.6 123.4	29 20	344.3 333.5	20 18	2,359.1 2,421.4	3
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,794.5 1,730.9	- 40 37	513.0 490.8	18 14	154.7 150.3	18 15	359.3 340.5	18 17	1,281.5 1,240.0	44 45
HAOHING	1984 1985	1,502.5 1,598.0	53 43	291.7 317.1	59 50	83.3 56.5	49 57	208.3 260.6	61 36	1,210.9 1,280.9	49 40
YATES	1996 1985	1,330.2 1,265.9	58 60	386.B 260.6	37 60	122.6 37.2	23 61	264.2 223.4	47 52	943.4 1,005.3	57 55
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	2,566.3 2,371.0	na Na	948.7 850.7	NA HA	369.9 338.3	NA NA	598.8 512.4	NA NA	1,597.4 1,520.3	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	3,579.8 3,212.5	NA NA	1,697.4	- NA - NA	693.1 626.1	NA NA	1,004.3 831.8	HA NA	1,882.4 1,754.6	NA NA

NOTE: Drawatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE KANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 #

		HURDE Arres	TS			FORCIBLE ARRES	its .			ROBBE	TS	•	AGG. ASS	TS .		BURGL ARRES	15		LARCE ARRES	TS
COUNTY	Year	Rate 1	Rank			Rațe	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	5.6 2.8	9 23			12.4 6.7	11 22			31.1 28.5	14 14		112.2 86.0	7		114.7 99.3	12 28		456.2 397.4	12 16
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	.0 7.የ	46			2.0	56 56			.0	59 56		23.8 31.4	51 40		146.5 68.8	9 48		154.5 196.5	61 57
BRONX	1986 1985	23.6 19.0	i i			27.6 26.5	1 2			269.8 237.9	3		329.5 311.2	2 2		189.0 180.6	3 3		467.8 413.2	10 12
BROOME	1986 1985	2.4 2.8	24 22			8.5 5.2	22 30			14.7	23 26		33.2 30.1	39 42		78.3 86.1	45 37		432.2 354.9	17 25
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	7.0 .0	5 49			5.9 2.3	35 47			4.7 8.2	49		19.9 32.8	56 37		84.4 82.0	38 39		267.3 243.7	48 50
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1.3 3.8	40 16			8.8 7.5	21 19		-	6.3 10.0	42 29		22.5 37.6	54 30	•	91.4 51.3	31 59		373.0 435.8	27
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	1.4 .7	3B 48			4. 9 2. 8	43 42			7.7 14.6	38 22		32.1 22.9	39 50		95.7 81.1	28 41		346.6 344.6	31 28
CHENUNG	1986 1985	i.1 1.1	42 45	•	-,	6.6 4.3	31 35			- 22.1 6.5	18 41		43.1 33.7	27 35	-	99.4 133.7	21 9		626.5 641.4	2 2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	2.0 2.0	27 32			.0	59 14	-		4.0	59 47		12.0 18.0	60 57		64.0 108.1	53 18		318.0 354.3	35 26
CLINTON	1986 1985	6.2 .0	6 49			4.9 1.2	41 54			7.4 9.8	39 31		54.2 31.9	18 39		·110.8	13 46		402.7 315.1	21 33
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	1.6 6.6	37 B			.0 1.7	59 52			13.2 9.9	26 30		84.0 62.7	10 17		84.0 135.3	40 8		303.1 348.2	3B 27

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FDR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

		MURDER ARRESTS	FORCIBLE RAPE ARRESTS	RODBERY ARRESTS	AR	ASSAULT RESTS	BURGLARY ARRESTS	LARCENY ** ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4.2 13 .0 49	9.4 23 4.2 37	33.8 12 8.4 33	44 48	.3 26 .5 21	143.5 10 107.6 19	540.1 6 455.9 7
DELAWARE	1986 1985		12.7 9 .0 56	8.5 36 2.1 54	29 46		89.2 33 108.8 17	388.5 25 383.9 19
DUTCKE3S	1986 1985	3.5 15 1.6 37	5.8 36 5.5 29	21.8 20 25.7 16	67 76		95.8 27 96.4 31	391.4 24 365.7 21
ERIE	1986 1985	3.5 14 3.8 15	14.8 5 14.8 7	42.3 9 42.7 B	92 93		104.3 16 100.0 26	477.6 9 438.9 8
ESSEX	1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49	13.8 7 2.8 43	5.5 46 .0 56	41 27		170.8 6 112.7 15	- 366.4 29 286.0 41
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	.0 46 2.3 28	6.8 30 9.1 16	9.1 34 .0 56	27 25	.¢ 46 .1 48	89.0 34 100.5 25	299.1 40 294.6 39
FULTON	1986 1985	1.8 33 .0 49	3.7 50 .0 56	5.5 47 5.4 44	25 23		51.3 59 90.2 35	293.0 43 314.0 34
GENESEE	1986 1985	.0 46	5.1 40 5.1 32	3.4 54 22.0 17	28 27		96.9 25 93.1 33	297.6 41 233.7 51
GREENE	1986 1965	.0 46 2.4 27	9.5 17 2.4 46	7.1 40 7.2 36	64 84	.i i7 .4 10	59.4 55 45.1 51	313.5 37 285.9 40
HANILTON	. 1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49	.0 59 .0 56	.0 59 .0 54		.0 62 .0 62	160.0 7 220.7 1	320.0 34 200.6 55
HERKIHER	1986 1985	.0 46 .0 49	4.5 45 3.0 41	4.5 50 4.5 45		1.4 40 2.4 54	89.7 41 53.8 58	219.7 52 215.2 52

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1995 AND 1986 . - Coetinued

		HURDER ARKESIS	FORCIBLE HAPE ARRESTS	ROBBERY ARRESTS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS	Burglary Arrests	LARCENY®® ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
JEFFERSON	1996	5.5 10	5.5 38	3.3 55	35.3 36	146.8 0	210.9 55
	1985	2.3 29	2.3 49	10.2 28	38.4 29	117.4 13	188.5 58
KINGS	1986	17.3 3	10.2 4	295.4 2	278.4 3	177.5 4	398.0 22
	1985	16.1 3	21.8 4	249.8 2	240.6 3	156.5 5	343.8 29
LENIS	1986 1985	.0 45	. 4.0 48 .0 56	4.0 52 4.0 48	7.9 61 4.0 60	35.4 62 83.4 38	110.7 62 151.0 62
KOTEBNIVIJ	1986 1985	3.4 16 6.9 7	3.4 52 1.7 51	5.1 46 6.9 38	46.1 25 42.9 27	68.3 50 54.9 57	428.3 18 426.9 11
NADISON	1986	4.5 12	13.5 8	6.0 44	39.0 32	84.1 39	345.3 32
	1985	1.5 38	6.0 26	9.0 32	3.0 81	89.9 36	317.6 32
BORROK	1986	6.1 7	13.0 6	47.0 7	48.2 24	101.5 19	550.2 5
	1985	4.0 13	12.2 10	44.7 7	43.6 26	107.5 20	502.B 5
HONTSONERY	1986	1.9 31	1.9 57	5.0 45	30.7 41	57.6 57	268.7 46
	1985	1.9 34	5.7 28	1.9 55	22.8 51	60.9 53	359.8 23
NASSAU	1986	2.0 26	2.6 54	33.3 13	49.4 22	53.6 58	256.5 50
	1985	3.0 21	2.5 44	30.3 12	47.3 23	59.9 55	255.7 46
KEA AOKK	1986	18.9 2	20.4 2	456.0 1	369.1 1	210,4 1	1,134.4 1
	1985	17.9 2	24.3 3	405.1 1	346.9 1	176.2 4	1,010.5 1
ARABAIN	, 1986	1.8 32	10.6 13	25.4 17	65.9 16	103.7 17	391.4 23
	1985	2.8 25	5.1 31	26.8 15	63.3 16	109.5 16	356.6 24
ONEIDA	1986	2.4 23	8.0 24	17.3 22	30.6 42	68.5 35	334.0 33
	1985	1.2 41	6.0 27	11.6 25	32.7 38	93.3 32	259.0 45

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 0 - Continued

		nurder Arkests	FC	ORCIOLE RAPE ARRESTS	ROBBERY ARRESTS	AGG. ASSAULT ARRESTS		Burglary Arrests		LARCENY** ARRESTS
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	<u> </u>	Rate Nank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Rank		Rate Rank
ONDHDASA	1986 1985	2.6 22 3.0 20		8.9 20 14.0 B	48.4 6 48.1 6	81.0 11 74.1 12		106.4 15 137.5 6		424.9 19 348.1 20
ONTARIO	1986 1985	1.1 43 2.2 30		4.3 46 8.7 17	11.9 29 2.2 53	30.4 43 28.1 44		80.3 42 86.0 50	•	387.2 26 325.8 31
ORANGE	1984 1985	2.B 20 1.B 35		9.2 19 11.5 11	40.5 10 21.6 19	89.0 9 88.3 B		96.9 26 99.8 27		467.2 11 407.8 14
ORLEANS	1986 1985	5.1 11 5.1 9		10.3 14 7.7 18	12.9 27 2.6 50	79.7 12 63.9 14		92.5 29 130.3 10		295.6 42 296.4 36
OSNE60	1986 1985	3.3 17 .8 47		7.5 25 3.4 40	4,2 51 2.5 51	25.9 48 31.1 41	• • .	99.7 23 96.5 30		267.6 47 282.0 43
OTSE60	1985 1985	.0 46 3.4 17		1,7 58 6.7 21	1.7 58 6.7 40	 15.2 59 42.1 28		98.0 24 101.1 24		442.4 13 486.8 6
KANTUQ	1986 1985	.0 46 4.9 10		3.7 49 1.2 53	 14.7 23 3.7 49	50.2 21 35.8 34		63.7 54 56.9 56		213.2 53 208.9 53
QUEENS	1986 1985	8.2 6 7.7 6		12.6 !0 11.0 12	142.7 . 4 109.2 4	141.1 5 126.8 4		91.7 30 80.0 43		300.2 39 254.1 48
REHSSELAER	1984 1985	1.3 39 1.3 40	•	5.9 33 4.6 34	11.9 30 10.6 27	52.7 19 57.5 18		77.1 47 69.4 47		273.4 45 196.9 56
RICHHOND	1986 1985	5.9 8 7.8 5		11.2 12 10.7 13	86.5 5 84.3 5	124.1 4 124.9 5		88.4 36 104.8 23		240.8 49 282.5 42
ROCKLAND	1984 1985	.4 45 1.1 44		4.5 44 2.3 49	21.0 19 13.6 24	39.5 31 47.1 24		42.5 &1 51.3 &0		159.8 59 178.3 60

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 4 - Continued

		MURDE Arres	TS		FORCIBL ARRE	STS		ROBBI ARRES			AGG. ASS ARRES	TS		BURGI ARRES	ITS			LARCE ARRES	
COUNTY	Year		Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	· . •		Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1.8 2.6	36 26		7.1		er E	3.5 6.2			25.7 29.1	49 43		100.2 104.9	20 22			418.4 298.9	
SARATOBA	1986 1985	1.2 3.1	41 16		9.8 4.3			7.9 7.4			34.7 37.1	37 31		73.7 81.7	48 40			357.1 328.0	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	2.0 1.3	28 39		5.3 6.6			29.3 29.2	15 13		26.0 25.9	47 47		67.2 60.4	52 54			316.9 296.2	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	.0	46 49		.0			6.7			36.9 33.6	34 36		87.2 114.1	37 14			513.4 389.3	
SCHUYLER	1984 1985	.0	46 -49		5.7 28.6			11.5 17.1			23.0 22.8	53 52		69.0 97.1	49 29		. " .•	241.4 251.3	
SENECA	1986 1985	3.1	18 49		3.1 15.3			9.3 6.1	33 43		15.6 21.4	58 56		102.8 125.1	18 11			442.4 390.7	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1.0	44 46		4.1 4.1		 . •	6.2 4.1			23.7 22.6	52 53		79.5 64.7	44 52			280.7 292.8	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	2.0 2.8	29 24		5.9 6.0			26.9 30.5		•	48.9 53.8	23 20		77.6 78.4	46 44			200.7 176.5	
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	2.9 4.4	19 12		19.0 16.3			35.0 34.0			149.1 122.8	4	 -	202.6 196.7	2			584.5 531.9	
TIOGA	1986 1985	2.0 2.0	33 30		5.9 4.9			2.0			37.3 15.9	33 58		43.1 45.7	60 62			209.8 271.9	
TOHPKINS -	1986 1985	1.1	46 43		2.3 6.8			9.1 14.7	34 21	•	20.5 21.6	5 5		90.2 90.8	32 34			500.0 431.1	

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

		MURDI ARRES	STS		FORCIBLE ARRES				RODB ARRE	STS		AGG. ASS	TS		BURGL ARRES	TS		LARCI ARRE	ENY++ 315
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ÜLSTER	1986 1985	1.9 3.1	34 19		7.3 13.4	27 9			21.3 16.5			74.9 64.7	13 13		79.8 107.5	43 21		439.1 407.9	
HARREN	1986 1985	1.8	35 49		3.6 1.8	51 50			12.6 7.2			52.3 48.5	20 22		174.8 118.6	5 12		510.8 530.2	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	.0 1.8	46 36		7.0	29 56		•	10.5 14.1	32 23		40.4 56.4	2 9 19		107.2 81.1	14 42		210.9 200.9	
HAYNE	1986 1985	2.3 1.1	25 42		10.2 9.1	15 15			13.6 6.9			39.8 36.6	30		117.0 135.9	11 7		434.1 398.6	
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2.8 3.8	21 14		7.4 6.6	26 24			42.4 38.6	8		66.8 63.6	15 15		48.2 67.6	51 49		370.7 361.3	
WYONING	1986 1985	.0 4.7	46		4.9 2.5	42 45			2.5 2.5	56 52		36.8 36.9	35 32		58.8 71.3	56 45		159.3 255.7	
YATES	1986 1985	. 0 . 0	46 49		9.4 4.7	18 33	٠.		.0		. • .	18.9 9.3	57 59		99.1 51.2	22 61		179.2 186.2	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	8.0 7.5	HA NA		12.0 12.1	KA NA			127.8 110.9			139.7 129.0	NA NA		115.6 109.5	NA NA		424.7 383.6	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	15.8 14.4	NA NA		18.4 19.7	NA NA			272.8 234.6			261.2 237.7	na Na	•	159.2 141.8	NA NA		527.0 464.7	

^{*} NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

SOURCE: HYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

^{**} Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrests and Processing Trends File.

HOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2),

		TOTAL		LED SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Possessi on	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ALBANY	1986	170.B 15	134.4 16	112.2 13	14.1 28	36.3 11	31.8 9	4.6 37
	1985	143.4 11	96.9 13	72.2 14	20.8 11	46.5 13	34.9 9	11.6 16
ALLEGANY	1986	19.8 62	5.9 62	5.9 &1	.0 57	13.9 48	13.9 30	.0 56
	1985	23.6 56	5.9 60	5.9 56	.0 53	17.7 41	5.9 54	11.8 15
BRONX	1984	1,035.1 2	853.1 2	494.1 2	299.7 2	182.0 2	75.6 3	106.4 2
	1985	894.4 2	654.7 2	397.5 2	199.8 2	239.7 2	101.6 2	138.1 2
BROOME	1986	88.2 28	68.3 29	36.5 35	26.1 12	19.9 31	12.8 34	7.1 23
	1985	56.5 34	35.3 34	23.5 39	8.5 30	21.2 32	14.1 31	7.1 32
. CATTARAUGUS	1986	51.6 · 45	30.5 47	28.1 41	1.2 56	21.1 25	16.4 22	4.7 36
	1985	38.7 46	17.6 49	14.1 46	2.3 47	21.1 33	15.2 27	5.9 36
CAYUSA	1986	47.6 50	35.0 44	22.5 46	10.0 33	12.5 54	5.0 58	7.5 22
	1985	58.9 33	47.6 28	28.8 31	15.0 19	11.3 52	5.0 56	6.3 33
CHAUTAUQUA	1985	25.2 58	12.6 61	9.8 59	2.1 55	12.6 53	9.8 48	2.8 46
	1985	29.8 52	19.4 48	6.9 55	11.8 24	10.4 54	8.3 48	2.1 53
CHEMUNG	1986	19.9 61	16.6 59	9.9 58	4.4 45	3.3 61	1.1 &0	2.2 50
	1985	14.1 61	8.7 58	3.3 61	2.2 49	5.4 60	4.3 5B	1.1 57
CHENANGO	1984	50.0 47	32.0 46	26.0 44	6.0 42	18.0 40	14.0 29	4.0 42
	1985	54.0 36	40.0 31	38.0 25	.0 53	14.0 48	6.0 53	8.0 28
CLINTON	1984	118.2 20	98.5 20	89.9 17	6.2 41	19.7 32	13.5 31	6.2 28
	1985	105.4 20	74.8 20	66.2 16	B.6 29	30.6 18	9.8 42	20.8 8
COLUMBIA	1986	100.5 25	72.5 26	44.5 29	18.1 21	28.0 16	16.5 21	11.5 11
	1985	92.4 23	54.5 26	34.7 26	14.9 21	38.0 14	21.5 18	16.5 10
CORTLAND	1986	42.2 53	23.2 54	14.8 55	2.1 54	19.0 37	6.3 56	12.7 9
	1985	90.7 25	30.0 33	29.5 30	8.4 31	52.8 9	27.4 14	25.3 7
DELAWARE	1986	46.7 51	27.6 49	27.6 42	.0 57	19.1 36	17.0 20	2.1 51
	1985	49.1 39	21.3 47	10.7 49	10.7 26	27.7 20	19.2 20	8.5 26

		TOTAL TOTAL	CONTROLLED	SUBSTANCE ARRESTS	•		HARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Possession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
DUTCHESS	1986	141.4 19	120.7 18	91.5 16	19.9 19	20.6 28	15.2 25	5.5 32
	1985	108.2 19	85.1 15	61.5 18	16.1 17	23.1 26	14.5 30	8.6 23
ERIE	1984 1985	193.4 12 174.6 7	150.2 13 109.3 B	126.8 12 83.2 10	14.4 26 15.4 18	43.2 10 65.3 7	35.7 B	7.6 21 3.9 48
ESSEX	1986	63.4 39	44.1 39	44.1 30	.0 57	19.3 35	19.3 12	.0 56
	1985	39.5 47	30.2 40	22.0 41	9.3 33	8.3 59	2.8 61	5.5 39
FRANKLIN	1984	107.3 22	89.0 22	96.B 19	2.3 52	18.3 39	11.4 42	6.8 25
	1985	82.2 27	34.3 35	32.0 27	2.3 48	48.0 11	13.7 32	34.3 6
FULTON	1986	58.6 · 42	45.8 38	29.3 40	16.5 23	12.8 52	11.0 44	1.8 54
	1985	23.5 59	5.4 61	3.6 60	.0 53	18.0 39	9.0 45	9.6 20
GENESEE	1986	49.3 49	27.2 50	18.7 49	6.8 37	22.1 24	17.0 19	5.1 34
	1985	33.9 49	13.5 52	10.2 52	1.7 52	20.3 35	16.9 24	3.4 49
GREENE	1986	294.5 6	266.0 7	228.0 6	23.8 15	28.5 15	19.0 14	9.5 17
	1985	96.9 21	82.0 17	77.2 11	2.4 46	16.9 43	12.1 3B	4.8 43
HAMILTON	1988	160.0 17	150.0 10	160.0 8	.0 57	.0 62	.0 å1	.0 54
	1985	60.2 32	60.2 24	40.1 24	.0 53	.0 62	.0 å2	.0 58
HERKINER	1986	22.4 60	17.9 57	9.0 &0	9.0 35	4.5 59	4.5 59	.0 56
	1985	47.8 41	29.9 41	28.4 32	.0 53	17.9 40	12.0 40	6.0 34
JEFFERSON	1986	67.3 37	46.4 36	37.5 34	5.5 43	21.0 27	12.1 37	8.8 18
	1985	38.4 48	28.2 44	24.8 37	3.4 40	10.2 55	6.8 51	3.4 50
KINGS	1986 1985	693.9 3 548.8 3	545.5 3 381.2 3	328.6 3 221.7 3	178.0 3 115.0 3	128.4 3 167.6 3	55.8	72.6 3 90.9 3
LENIS	1986	27.7 56	23.7 52	15.8 54	7.9 36	4.0 60	.0 61	4.0 43
	1985	27.8 53	11.9 54	7.9 54	.0 53	15.9 45	7.9 49	7.9 29
LIVINESTON	1986	71.7 35	56.3 31	35.8 36	20.5 18	15.4 45	11.9 39	3.4 44
	1985	42.9 43	22.3 46	15.4 44	3.4 39	20.6 34	12.0 39	8.6 25

		1014						SUBSTANCI	E ARRE	STS		•						MARIHUAN	A ARRE	SIS			
		DRUG ARRES	i15		Tata	al		 Posses				Sa			Tota	1		Posses			-	Sal	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
								•				• •											74
MADISON	1986 1985	34.5 12.0	55 62		21.0 3.0	55 62	•	.0	51 62			3.0			13.5	50 58		7.5 9.0	52 46			0.6	
HONROE	1984 1985	101.1 65.1	24 31		78.6 41.9	24 30		48.4 20.4	26 43			19.8 11.8			22.5 23.3	23 25		17.8 17.5	16 23	•		4.7 5.8	
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985	174.7 121.8	13 16		147.8 99.0	14 12	•	140.1 91.4	11 0			3.8			26.9 22.8	17 27		26.9 22.8	10 16			.0 .0	
NASSAU	1986 1985	169.5 127.3	16 15		139.2 92.5	15 14		94.3 64.7	15 17			34.5 19.8			30.3 34.8	12 17		24.6 27.6	- 11 13			5.7 7.2	
NEM AOUK	1986 1985	2,626.7 2,186.9	· 1		2,243.0 1,630.3	1		1,576.5 1,102.3	- i	-		528.3 396.1	i 1		383.7 556.6	1		154.1 232.3	ŀ			229.6 324.2	1
NIAGARA	1984 1985	96.8 56.4	- 26 35		80.7 42.0	23 29		44.7 29.2	28 33			30.0 8.3			16.1	44 46		12.0 8.8	- 38 47			4.1 5.5	
ONEIDA	1984 1985	67.2 49.9	38 38		52.3 39.1	33		34.6 23.1	39 40			16.1 14.0			14.9 10.8	46 53		12.9	33 44			2.0 1.2	
CHONDAGA	1986 1985	93.5 86.8	27 26		68.4 59.1	26 25		38.4 27.5	33 35			25.7 29.6	13		25.0 27.7	18 21		14.7 12.5	26 35			10.4 15.3	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	49.9 68.2	48 29		39.0 54.1	. 43 27		34.7 31.4	38 28		•	2.2 20.6			11.9 14.1	55 47		7.6 9.7	51 43			4.3	
DRANGE	1986 1985	198.1 161.0	10 9		177.8 139.4	8 6		156.9 104.1	9 6			13.6 24.1	29 9		20.2 21.6	30 31		17.7 16.9	17 25			2.5 4.7	48 44
ORLEANS	1984 1985	51.4 23.0	46 59		28.3 10.2	4B 57		12.9 10.2	56 51			12.9			23.1 12.8	21 49		15.4 7.7	24 50			7.7 5.1	
OSNEGO	1986 1965	75.3 31.1	34 51		46.0 11.8	37 55		21.7 5.9	47 57			22.6 5.9			29.3 19.3	- 14 37		19.2 10.9	13 41			10.0 8.4	
OTSEGO	1986 1985	52.4 79.2	44 28		33.B 32.0			11.8 27.0	57 36		ż	16.9 5.1			18.6 47.2	3B 12		8.4 32.0	50 10			10.1 15.2	

B-26

		TOTAL		SUBSTANCE ARRESTS			MARIHUANA ARRESTS	
		DRUG ARRESTS	Total	Possession	Sale	Total	Possession	Sale
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
SULLIVAN	1985 1985	172.0 14 153.8 10	157.4 11 102.1 11	100.6 14 85.8 9	37.9 7 7.4 35	14.6 47 51.8 10	11.7 41 45.9 7	2.9 45 5.9 35
TIOGA	1985	78.4 33	49.0 35	27.5 43	15.7 25	29.4 13	11.8 40	17.6 7
	1985	41.7 44	29.8 42	23.8 38	2.0 50	11.9 51	4.0 59	7.9 30
TOMPKINS	1984	53.7 43	40.0 42	18.3 50	10.3 32	13.7 49	9.1 49	4.6 38
	1985	48.8 40	29.5 43	14.7 45	10.2 27	19.3 38	18.2 21	1.1 56
ULSTER	1784	116.9 21	99.3 19	84.7 20	10.4 31	17.7 41	13.4 32	4.3 40
	1985	109.3 18	82.4 16	59.2 19	19.5 14	26.9 22	22.0 17	4.9 42
WARREN	1984	198.2 9	155.0 12	144.1 10	9.0 34	43.2 9	41.4 6	1.0 55
	1985	133.0 12	104.2 10	98.5 7	9.0 28	28.8 19	19.8 19	9.0 21
WASHINGTON	1986	63.3 40	43.9 40	38.7 32	. 3.5 49	19.3 33	12.3 36	7.0 24
	1985	19.4 60	15.9 51	12.3 47	3.5 38	3.5 61	3.5 60	.0 58
WAYNE	1986	101.1 23	78.4 25	55.7 25	21.6 17	22.7 22	12.5 35	10.2 14
	1985	98.2 22	74.2 21	58.3 20	12.6 23	24.0 24	14.8 28	9.1 18
WESTCHESTER	1986	327.5 5	280.9 6	236.7 4	27.6 10	46.6 8	35.9 7	10.7 12
	1985	252.1 5	195.0 4	157.6 4	21.1 10	57.1 8	43.3 B	13.8 13
WYONING	1986	27.0 57	19.6 56	19.6 4B	.0 57	7.4 57	7.4 53	.0 56
	1985	27.0 55	7.4 59	4.9 58	2.5 45	19.7 36	14.8 29	4.9 41
YATES	1986	84.9 30	14.2 60	.0 62	14.2 27	70.B 7	14.2 28	56.6 5
	1985	32.6 50	23.3 45	4.7 59	18.6 15	9.3 57	4.7 57	4.7 45
NEW YORK STATE	1984	515.5 HA	421.7 NA	278.7 NA	113.5 NA	93.9 NA	48.4 HA	45.5 HA
	1985	417.3 NA	296.1 NA	193.4 NA	77.0 NA	121.1 NA	60.4 HA	60.7 NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986	1,048.2 NA	870.4 NA	559.7 HA	249.0 NA	177.B NA	76.9 NA	100.9 NA
	1985	851.2 NA	611.7 NA	393.0 NA	164.7 NA	239.5 NA	102.4 NA	137.1 NA

[#] Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State - Sections 220 and 221).

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increase as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 2E DWI FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 .

		•				DAI	ARRESTS			
•			Tata	ıl		Felo	ny .	**************************************	Hisdese	eanor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate .	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985		9.8 11.5	19 12		. B	17 8		9.0 10.5	20 12
ALLEGANY	1986 1985		5.8 5.2	46 54		.2	52 52		5.6 5.1	43 51
BRONI	1986 1985		4.1	58 58		.0	59 61	•	4.1	54 56
BROOME	1986 1985		4.7 5.0	54 56	, •	.5 .6	36 28		4.2 4.5	54 55
CATTARAUGUS :	1986 1985		11.1 9.6	15 21		1.2	3 9		9.9 8.7	16 24
CAYUGA	1986 1985		5.8 8.1	47 32		. 6	39 29	•	5.4 7.6	46 33
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	•	8.9 8.7	25 27		.7	13 20		8.0 8.0	25 28
CHEHUN6	1986 1985	•	5.5 5.3	51 53		.9	14 15		4.6 4.5	52 54
CHENANGO	1986 1985		11.2 11.0	14 13		7	25 16		10.5 10.3	13 13
CLINTON	1986 1985		9.4 12.1	21 9		.8	20 23		9.6 11.4	23
COLUMBIA	1986 1985		7.4 7.9	39 28		.4	41 41		6.9 7.5	37 35

TABLE 2E DNI FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 & - Continued

DWI ARRESTS

				 	 			·	
		Tota	1		Felo	υλ		Hi sdeac	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	•	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	10.7 16.4	16 1		.1	56 62		10.4 14.4	11
DELAYARE	1984 1985	7.2 B.0	39 34		.4	42 47	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.9 7.8	38 29
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	8.3 9.6	29 20		.7	23 18		7.6 8.9	32 21
ERIE	1986 1985	6.7 6.7	40 43		.5 .5	33 36		6.2 6.3	41 42
ESSEX :	1986 1985	11.7 11.8	10 11		.9 .7	10 14	•	10.8 11.1	10 11
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	13.1 9.3	3 25		.6	27 40		12.4 8.9	3 23
FULTON	1986 1985	5.9 4.3	45 46		.3	46 31		5.6 5.7	44 45
GENESEE	1986 1985	10.2 9.4	17 22		.9 .5	11 35		9.3 9.0	18 20
GREENE	1986 1985	8.1 7.2	32 41		.9	12 44		7.3 6.8	34 39
HANILTON	1984 1985	6.5 10.6	42 14		.0 .5	62 33		6.5 10.1	40 15
HERKINER	1986 1985	7.4 7.5	37 39		.8	21 17		6.7 6.8	39 40

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ABULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

							DRI	ARRESTS		•		
			Tota	1			 Felo	ιαγ			Hisdene	anor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank			Sate	Rank
***************************************		٠						~~~				
JEFFERSON	1986		4.2	43				28			5.4	45
	1985		7.7	38			.6	25			7.1	37
KIH6S .	1986		2.6	60			.0	60	•		2.6	- 60
	1985		7.2	59			.0	59			3.2	59
LENIS	1986		5.5	49			-1.0	9			4.6	53
	1985		6.0	47			.9	10			5.2	50
LIVINGSTON	1986	<u>.</u>	11.6	11			.5	35			11.1	7
	1985		13.4	4			.5 .5	34			13.1	4
HADISON	1986		8.4	28			. 4	38			7.9	27
;	1985		8.8	26			.4	37			8.3	26
MONROE	1986		5.5	50			.8	22			4.7	51
	1985		5.5	50			.7	19			4.8	53
NONTGOKERY	1986		14.1	2	٠.		1.3	2			12.8	2
	1985		9.4	24		•	.8	12			8.5	25
NASSAU	1986		4.5	54			.3	43			4.1	55
	1985		5.2	55			.3	45			4.9	52
HEN YORK	1986		3.1	59			.0	57			3.1	59
	1985		3.1	60		•	.1	57			3.1	60
NIAGARA	1986		8.0	35			.2	51			7.8	30
	1985		8.2	29			.2	48			B.0	27
ONEIDA	1986		5.6	48			.3	45	,		5.3	48
	1985		5.8	49			.2	50	•		5.4	48

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

Total Felony Risdex COUNTY YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate	
ONONDAGA 1986 1985 5.1 52 2 48 4.5 5.2 51 5.2 ONTARIO 1986 1985 10.1 18 1.2 2 8.5 ORANGE 1986 9.0 24 1.1 7 7.5 1985 9.0 35 1.0 6 7.0 ORLEANS 1986 1986 5.9 44 1.0 5 1.1 5 3.6 OSNEGO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.0 OSNEGO 1986 1985 0.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSEGO 1986 11986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1	anor
1985 5.4 51 .2 51 5.2 ONTARIO 1986 12.0 5 1.7 1 10.4 1985 10.1 18 1.2 2 8.5 ORANGE 1986 9.0 24 1.1 7 7.5 1985 0.6 35 1.0 6 7.0 ORLEANS 1986 5.9 44 .6 31 5.4 1985 4.7 57 1.1 5 3.6 OSNEGO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.0 1985 0.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5	Rank
1985 5.4 51 .2 51 5.2 ONTARIO 1986 12.0 5 1.7 1 10.4 1985 10.1 18 1.2 2 8.5 ORANGE 1986 9.0 24 1.1 7 7.5 1985 0.6 35 1.0 6 7.0 ORLEANS 1986 5.9 44 .6 31 5.4 1985 4.7 57 1.1 5 3.6 OSNEGO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.0 1985 0.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5	40
1985 10.1 18 1.2 2 8.5 ORANGE 1986 9.0 24 1.1 7 7.5 1985 8.6 35 1.0 6 7.0 ORLEANS 1986 5.9 44 .6 31 5.4 1985 4.7 57 1.1 5 3.6 OSWEGO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.0 1985 8.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 1.1 54 9.5 PUTNAM 1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	49 49
ORANGE 1986 9.0 24 1.1 7 7.5 1985 8.0 35 1.0 6 7.0 ORLEANS 1986 5.9 44 .6 31 5.4 1985 4.7 57 1.1 5 3.6 OSNEGO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.0 1985 8.1 33 .3 .3 43 7.8 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAN 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5 1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	14
1985 8.0 35 1.0 6 7.0 ORLEANS 1986 5.9 44 .6 31 5.4 1985 4.7 57 1.1 5 3.6 OSWESO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.0 1985 0.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSESO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5 1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	22
ORLEANS 1986 5.9 44 .6 31 5.4 1985 4.7 57 1.1 5 3.8 OSWEGO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.6 1985 9.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5 1995 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	- 26 38
1985 4.7 57 1.1 5 3.6 OSNEGO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.6 1985 0.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5 1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	
OSWEGO 1986 8.3 31 .2 47 8.6 1985 9.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5 1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	47 58
1985 0.1 33 .3 43 7.6 OTSEGO 1986 11.9 8 .8 16 11.1 1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5 1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	
1985 14.0 3 .8 13 13.2 PUTNAM 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5 1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	24 30
1985 14.0 3 .B 13 13.2 PUTNAN 1986 9.6 20 .1 54 9.5 1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	. 8
1985 9.4 23 .1 56 9.3	3
	17
QUEENS 1986 1.9 62 .0 59 1.5	18
1985 2.0 62 .0 50 2.0	62 62
REMSSELAER 1986 7.1 23 .4 40 8.6 1985 7.8 19 .2 46 7.5	22 17
	61
RICHMOND 1986 2.6 61 .0 61 2.6 1985 2.7 61 .0 60 2.7	åi
ROCKLAND 1986 4.4 57 .6 30 3.5	58
1985 6.3 45 .5 30 5.7	46

TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 & - Continued

DHI ARRESTS

	•	Tota	1		Felo	ny		Nisdene	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	11.4 10.3	12 17		.8	19 21		10.6 9.6	12 16
SARATOGA	1986 1985	11.0 13.0	7		.5	37 42	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11.4 12.6	6 6
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	6.5 6.8	41 42		.7	26 24		5.8 6.2	42 43
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	11.9 11.9	7 10		.2 .5	50 32		11.7 11.4	5 10
SCHUYLER :	1986 1985	8.4 7.4	26 40	•	.8 .7	18 22		7.6 6.7	31 41
SENECA	1986 1985	9.9 10.4	18 15		1.2 1.2	4		8.8 9.2	21 19
STEUBEN	1986 1985	7.9 6.5	36 44		.ū .b	15 27		7.0 5.8	36 44
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	9.1 10.4	22 16		.1	55 55		9.0 10.3	19 14
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	12.0 12.4	8		1.0	8 28		11.0 11.7	9
TIOGA	1986 1985	8.3 8.6	30 28		1.2 1.1	5 - 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.1 7.5	35 34
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	8.1 7.7	34 37		.2	53 54		7.9 7.6	28 32

TABLE 2E DNI FELDNY AND HISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY.FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

					 	DAI	ARRESTS			
		Tota	1			Felo	ony		Hisdeoe	anor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	8.1	33 31			.7	24 39		7.4	33 31
HARREN	1986 1985	15.3 16.2	1 2			.3 .1	44 53		14.9 16.1	1 2
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	11.3 13.5	13 5			1.1 1.2	8	•	10.2 12.3	15 7
HAYNE	1986 1985	. 12.4 13.2	4			.5 .4	34 . 38	•	11.9 12.7	-4 -5
HESTCHESTER	1985 1985	5.1 5.8	53 48	." .		.2	69 49		4.9 5.6	50 47
HACHINE	1985 1985	8.4 8.2	27 30	•		.8	32 11		7.9 7.3	29 36
YATES	1986 1985	4.6 5.4	55 52			. 6 1.0	. 29 7		4.0 4.4	57 57
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	6.0 6.4	NA NA			.4	HA NA		5.6 6.1	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	2.7 2.9	NA Na			.0	NA NA		2.7 2.9	NA NA

NOTE: Drawatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DNI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

[₹] Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Lam: State of New York - Section 1192).

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICIDENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

EEI OUV IN	REPERCUTO

			Vic	lent		e ol	her	·	To	ital
COUNTY	Year	·		Percent			Parcent		Number	Percent
ALBANY	1984		178	38.4	•	286	61.6	-	464	100.0
	1985		144	32.1		304	67.9		448	100.0
ALLEGANY	1984		. 8	14.3		48	85.7		56	100.0
	1985		10	18.2		45	81.8		55	100.0
BRONX	1986		3,650	49.1		3,778	50.9		7,428	100.0
	1985		3,738	55.4		2,895	43.6		6,633	100.0
BROOHE	1986		131	27.3		349	72.7		480	100.0
	1985		149	26.9		405	73.1		554	100.0
CATTARAUSUS	1986		25	22.1		88	77.9		113	100.0
	1985		20	22.0		71	78.0		91	100.0
CAYUGA	1984		32	31.1		71	6B.9		103	100.0
	1985		31	29.B		73	70.2		104	100.0
CHAUTAUDUA	1986		57.	23.5		186	76.5		243	100.0
	1995		. 51	23.3		169	76.7		219	100.0
Chenung	1986		73	29.2		177	70.8		250	100.0
	1985		76	22.4		264	77.6		340	100.0
CHENANGO	1986		12	9.0		122	91.0		134	100.0
	1985		17	30.4		39	69.6		56	100.0
CLINTON	1986		42	28.2		107	71.8		149	100.0
	1985		37	28.9		91	71.1		128	100.0
COLUMBIA	1986		11	21.2		41	78.8		52	100.0
	1985		31	34.1		60	65.9		91	100.0
CORTLAND	1986		23	24.7		70	75.3		93	100.0
	1985		20	15.5		109	84.5		129	100.0
DELAYARE	1986		ç	32.1		19	67.9	• * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	28	100.0
•	1985			29.6		19	70.4		27	100.0

I Continued on next page. 1

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

E £ }	UNA	180	FT	(HENT	C

					FELUNY	INDICIMENTS		- 1.	
		· Vic				her		Ya	ital
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Hunber	Percent		Husber	Percent
Allvancas	(20)		24.4		251	75 6		333	100.0
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	82 80	24.6 21.4	•	293 293	75.4 78.6		373	100.0
ERIE	1984	435	31.1		963	68.9		1,398	100.0
	1985	473	31.5		1,028	. 68.5	•	1,501	100.0
ESSEX	1984	15	11.7		113	BB.3		128	100.0
	1985	19	20.2		75	79.8		94	100.0
FRANKLIN	1986	12	23.1		40	76.9		52	100.0
	1985	14	17.5		66	82.5		. 80	100.0
FULTON	1986	27	32.9		55	67.1		82	100.0
	1985	13	15.7		70	84.3		83	100.0
BENESEE	1986	22	19.4		90	80.4		112	100.0
	1985	28	25.7		81	74.3		109	100.0
GREENE	1986	23	27.7		60	72.3		83	100.0
	1985	22	34.4		42	55.6		64	100.0
HANILTON	1986	3	18.8		13	81.3		15	100.0
	1985	1	16.7		5	83.3		£	100.0
HERKINER	1986 1985	10 15	12.2		72 47	87.8		82 62	100.0
	1703	10	24.2		97	75.8	•	01	100.0
JEFFERSON	1984 1985	85 85	22.1 23.4		233 213	77.9 76.6	•	299 278	100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	4,514 4,644	57.9 59.9		4,025 3,107	47.1 40.1		8,539 7,751	100.0 100.0
		7,011			31107			1,131	100.0
LENIS	1984 1985	9	25.0 13.2		27 33	75.0 86.8		36 38	100.0
								_	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	43 47	16.4 22.1		219 186	83. <u>6</u> 77. 9		262 213	100.0
) IWA	7.	4441		200	****		413	100.0

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHERTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				-		FELONY	INDICTHENTS			
		 Vic	lent			Út	her		To	ta)
COUNTY	Year	Nusber	Percent			Number	Percent		Number	Percent
								•		
HADISON	1986 1985	32 30	23.2 22.4			104 104	76.8 77.û		13B 134	100.0 100.0
MONROE	1986	427	29.9			1,001	70.1		1,428	100.0
	1985	447	30.7			1,010	69.3		1,457	160.0
HONTGOKERY	1986	21	18.4			93	81.6		114	100.0
	1985	15	18.3			67	81.7		82	100.0
HASSAU	1986	149	36.0			1,712	64.0		2,673	100.0
	1985	962	39.9			1,447	60.1		2,409	100.0
HEW YORK	1986	4,202	59.3			2,885	40.7		3,087	100.0
	1985	4,416	63.6			2,523			6,939	100.0
NIAGARA	1984	101	28.5			254	71.5		355	100.0
-	1985	98	28.3		• .	248	71.7		346	100.0
ONEIDA	1986	190	33.0	÷		. 384	67.0		576	190.0
	1985	139	31.6			301	6B.4		440	100.0
DNONDAGA	1986	420	31.7			904	68.3	•	1,324	100.0
	1985	399	31.4			870	4.84		1,269	100.0
ONTARIO	1984	63	23.7			203	76.3		266	100.0
	1985	49	22.9			165	77.1		214	100.0
ORANGE	1986	152	31.2			335	8.83		487	100.0
	1985	145	27.7			379	72.3		524	100.0
ORLEANS	1986	30	30.3			69	69.7		99	100.0
	1985	52	35.9			93	64.1		145	100.0
DSNEGO	1984	37	21.9			132	78.1		169	100.0
	1985	39	21.3			144	78.7		183	100.0
DTSEGO	1984	- 10	22.2			35	77.8		45	100.0
	1985	7	25.9			20	74.1		27	100.0

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Construed

1984 1985

SUFFOLK

				FELONY	INDICTHENTS	-		
		Vio	lent		her		To	ital
COUNTY	Year 	Hueber	Percent		Percent -		Kusber	Percent
PUTNAN	1986	32	18.7	139	91.3		171	100.0
rushan	1785	15	13.4	97	84.6		112	100.0
QUEENS	1986	3,297	43.B	4,223	56.2		7,520	100.0
	1985	2,964	50.5	2,911	49.5		5,875	100.0
RENSSELAER	1984	45 52	27.1	121 132	72.9 71.7		166 184	100.0 100.0
	- 1985 ·	- 52	28.3	132	/1		101	100.0
RICHHOND	1984 1985	214 175	41.1 54.5	307 146	58.9 45.5		521 321	100.0
ROCKLAND	1984 1985	84 79	19.7 18.0	342 361	82.0 80.3		426 440	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986	26	13.5	166	. 84.5		192	100.0
ore character	1985	37	18.7	161	81.3		198	100.0
SARATOGA	1986	49	31.6	106	68.4		155	100.0
	1965	62	38.0	101	62.0		163	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	48 59	28.2 25.0	122 177	71.8 75.0	•	170 236	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985	9	19.8 28.6	39 15	81.3 71.4		48 21	100.0 100.0
COUNT FO	4004			40			70	
SCHUYLER	1 986 1985	13	40.6 32.1	19 19	59.4 67.9		32 28	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986	13	17.3	62	82.7		75	100.0
	1985	- 7		32	82.1	•	39	100.0
STEUBEN	1986	- 34	21.4	125	78.6		159	100.0
	1985	34	28.3	86	71.7		120	100.0

29.2 32.0

817 971

(Continued on next page.)

2,800 3,032

100.0

70.8 68.0

1,983 2,061

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

FELONY INDICTHENTS

		·		 -						
		Vic	lent		01	her		:	To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Huaber	Percent		Nusher	Percent			Number	Percent
GULLIVAR	1986	49	22.2		172	77,8			221	100.0
•	1985	46	32.6		95	67.4			141	100.0
1064	1984	19	17.0		91	81.0			100	100.0
	1985	7	9.6		66	90.4			73	100.0
TOHPKINS	1986	41	24.8		124	75.2			165	100.0
-	1985	38	27.7		99	72.3			137	100.0
LSTER	1986	51	22.4		177	77.6			228	100.0
	1985	64	20.8		244	79.2	•	•	308	100.0
IARREN	1986	11	26.6		30	73.2			41	100.0
	1985	13	26.5		36	73.5			49	100.0
ASHINGTON	1986	18	25.7		52	74.3			70	100.0
	1985	25	32.9		51	67.1			76	100.0
IAYNE	1986	34	15.7		182	84.3			216	100.0
	1985	34	17.3		163	82.7			197	100.0
ESTCHESTER	1986	499	35. 1		924	64.9			1,423	100.0
	1985	569	39.7		864	60.3			1,433	100.0
YOMING	1986	. 17	27.0		46	73.0			à3	100.6
	1985	6	9.4		58	90.6			64	100.0
ATES	1986	15	29.4		36	70.6	•		51	100.0
	1985	7	13,-7		44	86.3			51	100.0
IEM YORK STATE	1984	21,833	39.1		33,977	60.9			55,810	100.0
	1985	22,000	44.0		28,016	56.0			50,016	100.0
HEN YORK CITY	1986	16,115	44.9		19,755	55.1			35,870	100.0
	1985	16,102	53.0		14,291	47.0			30,393	100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FRON FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

		Convic	tions	-		ttals		ssals		secuted		01	her		Te	otal
COUNTY	Year 	Nuaber	Percent			Percent	 	Percent		Percent	***	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
ULBANA	1986 1985	4,286 4,491	77.9 77.9		20 15	.4	1,165	21.2 21.3	3 6	.1		28 25	.5 .4		5,502 5,767	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	268 220	71.5 67.7		0	.0	105 103	28.0 31.7	0	.0		2	.5	*	375 325	
BRONX	1986 1985	23,735 24,651	66.2 70.5		349 349	1.0	10,486 ,8,674	29.2 24.8	1,172 1,181	3.3 3.4		149 131	.4		35,872 34,986	
BROOME	1986 1985	2,347 2,152	74.7 74.6		21 17	.7 .6	678 635	21.6 22.0	0	.0		98 79	3.1 2.7		3,144 2,883	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	: 854 729	84.6 82.1		4	.4	148 153	·14.7 17.2	0	.0		4 2	.4		1,010 887	
CAYUBA	1986 1985	641 845	70.B 75.1		9	1.0	254 278	28.0 24.7	0	.0		2 2	.2		906 1,125	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	1,514 1,522	74.3 75.6		10 12	.5	502 476	24:6 23.6	1 1	.0		9 5	. 2		2,039 2,014	100.0 100.0
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	1,047 1,126	74.4 74.9	* :	5 7	.5	366 353	25.1 24.4	0	.0		2	.1		1,407 1,503	100.0 100.0
CHEHANGO	1986 1985	524 597	77.9 75.5		9 7	.0	143 176	21.2	0 2	.0		6 9	.9 1.1		673 771	100.0 100.0
CLENTON	1986 1985	814 907	79.5 83.2		3	.8	192 178	16.3	 0	.0		10 4	1.0		1,024	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	571 656	58.6 61.2		1 2	.1	401 415	41.2 38.1	0 2	.0		1 4	.1		974 1,089	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	576 744	56.5 67.1		4	. 4 . 4	438 359	42.9 32.4	0	.0		2 2	.2		1,020 1,109	100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	466 476	74.9 72.8		1	2 .6	155 173	24.9 26.5	.0	.0 .		0	.0		622 654	

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMEANDR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

		Convi	ctions	 Acqu	ittals	 Disc	issals	 Not Pr	secuted	 01	her	~	7	otai
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent	Number	Percent	Nueber	Percent	Kueber	Percent		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	2,515 2,947	59.9 62.0	B 4	.2	1,597 1,713	38.0 36.0	. 0	.0	81 87	1.7 1.8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,201 4,753	
ERIE	1986 1985	10,704 11,280	59.6 63.7	196 212		6,949 6,132	38.7 34.6	75 53	.4	21 43	.1		17,945 17,720	
ESSEX	1986 1985	432 428	75.0 77.4	0 2	.0	139 117	24.1 21.2	0	.0	5	1.1		574 553	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	640 540	82.2 83.6	2 2		135 104	17.3 16.1	i	.1	1 0	.1		779 646	
FULTON	1986 1985	: 523 562	80.8 79.3	i 0	.2	120 142	18.5 20.0	0	.0	3 2	.5 .3		647 709	
BEHESEE	1986 1985	668 596	80.5 82.1	- 4 3	.5 .4	156 123	18.8 17.2	1 2	.1	10	.1		830 714	
GREENE	1986 1985	560 431	81.9 79.8	5 3	.7	117 162	17.1 18.9	0	.0	2 3	, 3 , 6	•	684 540	
HARILTON	1986 1985	38 37	92.6 80.4	0	.0	9	17.4 19.6	0	.0	0	.0		- 46 46	
HERKIHER	1986 1985	518 548	86.8 88.5	1 1	.2	. 75 64	12.6 10.3	0	.0	3	.5 1.0	•	597 619	
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	849 784	85.9 86.5	1 3	.1	128 113	13.0 12.5	i 2	.1	9	.9 .4		987 906	
KINGS	1986 1985	32,464 29,258	65.1 64.6	348 345		16,015 13,129	31.1 29.0	2,349 2,387	4.6 5.3 ·	272 204	.5 .5		51,448 45,323	
LEHIS	1986 1985	98 160	76.0 79.6	0	.0	29 38	22,5 18.9	0	.0	2 2	1.6		129 201	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	883 403	78.9 81.6	5 2	.4	235 189	20.5 17.5	0	.0	2	.2		1,145 1,082	

TABLE 14 PISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

		Conviction		Acqui	ttals		Disai	ssals	1	lot Pro	secuted		Other	 To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number Perc	ent	Number	Percent			Percent		lusber	Percent	Hua	ber Percent	Husber	Percent
HADISON	1986 1985		2.2 3.8		.7		144 124	16.6		0	.0		5 .6 1 .1	870 773	100.0 100.0
MONROE	1986 1985		5.4 7.9	101 104	.9		5,750 5,218	49.6 46.7		282 212	2.4 1.9		86 .7 71 .6	11,593 11,177	100.0 100.0
HONTGONERY	1986 1985).9 7.9	4	. å. . å.		51 75	7.1 10.4		Ò 5	.7		10 1.4 3 .4	715 721	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985		7.8 5.9	122 95	.9	•	1,978 1,675	14.4 11.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	187 103	1.4		189 3.6 218 1.5	13,758 14,838	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985		5.9 . <u>.</u> 7.4	401 375	.4		30,519 26,566	30.2 26.6		1,667 2,225	1.7 2.2		329 .3 148 1.4	101,019 99,895	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA -	1984 1985		7.3 3.6	20 s 36	.5 .9		737 775	20.0 20.2		0	.0	•	7 .2 13 .3	3,691 3,844	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985		5.1 3.2	7 6	.3	-	403 392	16.3 16.3		2	.1		7 .3	2,473 2,411	100.0 100.0
DHONDAGA	1986 1905		7.6	35 38	5		2,524 2,468	38.9 37.8		3 2	.0	•	61 .9 90 1.5	6,485 6,576	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985		2.0	7 14	.5 1.1		- 256 204	16.9 15.9		4	, Š		7 .5	i,519 1,281	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985		8.4 3.2	. 7 .14	.1		1,885 2,102	40.0 40.0		- 3 4	.i		63 1.3 78 1.5	4,707 5,255	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985		5.3 7.6	0 12	.0 2.9	•	105 115	22.6 28.0		1	.2		4 .9	465 410	100.0 100.0
OSHERO	1986 1985		2.5 7.6		.5 .5		39& 307	26.7 21.0		1 1	.1		3 .2 13 .9	1,482	100.0
OTSE60	1986 1985		7.0 0.3	4 5	.4	-	188 190	20.2 18.0		.0	.0		4 .4 12 1.1	933 1,058	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROK FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FCR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

		Convic		Acquit			ssals	Not Pro			her		Ta	otal
COUNTY	Year 	Number 1		Nusber i			Percent		Percent		Percent		Nueber	Percent
PUTNAH	1986 1985	719 865	61.3	2 3	.2	445 410	38.0 37.8	0	.0		.5		1,172 1,085	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	16,996 17,111	64.4 66.8	299 287	1.1	 8,756 7,825	33.2 30.5	139 282	.5 1.1	195 119	.7		26,385 25,624	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,431 1,493	77.1 76.9	8 9	.4	415 433	22.4 22.3	0	.0	1 6	.1		1,855 1,941	100.0 100.0
RICHNOND	1986 1985	2,286 2,209	44.2 42.9	13 - 15	.3	2,244 2,255	43.4 43.8	584 631	11.3	46 36	.7		5,175 5,146	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	1,824	86.8 71.5	2 4	.1	791 761	32.5 27.7	0	.0	20 16	. 8 . 6		2,437 2,743	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,044 807	74.1 67.9	5 7	.8	353 366	25.1 30.0	¢	 0.	6	A. E.		1,408	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,297 2,356	74.3 76.5	6 3	.2	784 716	25.3 23.2	1 0	.0	5 5	.2		3,093 3,080	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1786 1785	1,727 1,565	77.0 76.3	7	.3	475 448	21.2 21.9	2 5	.1	31 25	1.4		2,242 2,050	100.0 100.0
SCHOHAR1E	1706 1985	369 339	70.0 72.7		.2	149 120	28.3 25.8	0 1	.0	8	1.5		527 466	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	224 218	83.9 84.2	0	.0	43 39	16.1 15.1	0	.0	Õ 1	.0		267 259	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	358 293	65.6 62.2	1	.2	188 172	34.1 36.5	0 2	.4	1	.2		546 471	100.0 100.0
STEUDEN	1986 1985	810 707	75.4 71.T	- 4 7	.4	254 266	23.7 27.0	1	.1	5 5	.5 .5		1,074 986	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	198 <i>6</i> 1985	13,939 14,261	76.8 77.4	117 96	.6	3,988 3,982	22.0 21.6	, 3	.0	96 91	.5 .5	÷	18,143 18,434	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

		Convic	tions		Acquit	tals		Disai	ssals		Not Pro	secuted		0	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Kuaber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nusber	Percent		Nueber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1786 1785	1,253 1,300	72.2 67.2		5 3	.3		470 626	27.1 32.4		0	.0		8 5	.5 .3		1,736 1,935	100.0 100.0
TIOSA	1986 1985	472 494	79.2 79.4	•	5 0	.B		118 125	19.5 20.1		· 0	.0		3 2	.5 .3	,	596 622	100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	70å 802	51.5 60.8		1 11	.1 .8		639 493	46.5 36.6		. 0	0	. '	27 19	2.0 1.4		1,372 1,320	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,847 1,718	88.4 69.3			.3		832 741	30.8 29.9		. 3 1	.1		10 7	.4		2,700 2,479	100.0
HARREN	1986 1985	1,103	71.9 72.9		0 2	,0 .1	•	428 402	27.9 26.4		. 0 3	.0		3 6	.4		1,534 1,524	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	545 597	80.0 81.0		0 2	.0		135 133	19.8 18.0		: O O	.0		1 5	.1		681 737	100.0 100.0
MAYNE	1986 1985	1,311 1,266	71.5 77.1		9	.5		511 366	27.9 22.3		0 2	.0		3 4	.2		1,834 1,642	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	B,423 D,192	72.1 72.3		55 71	.5		2,824 2,846	24.2 25.1	**	116 67	1.0		260 161	2.2 1.4		11,678 11,337	100.0 100.0
MADMING	1986 1985	197 195	70.4 73.9		1 0	.0		- 81 - 67	28.9 25.4		0	.0		1	.4		280 264	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	156 144	85.7 84.2	. •	0 2	.0 1.2		25 23	13.7		0	.0		1 2	.5 1.2		192 171	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	248,728 251,609	67.0 69.3		2,257 2,261	.6		110,615 97,038	29.8 27.3		6,602 7,220	1.8 2.0		3,027 3,136	.B		371,229 363,264	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	143,093 142,521	65.1 67.5		1,391 1,371	.6		68,033 ,58,460	30.9 27.7		5,911 6,706	2.7 3.2		1,491 1,938	.7		219,919 210,996	100.0 100.0

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

		Canvi	ctions	 Acquit			Disais	cals		Not P	osecuted			n	.her	 To	otal -
DOMITY	V	~~~~~										*					
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	Number I	ercent.		Hunber	rercent		Nuabe	Percent			usber.	Percent	NUADER	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	1,302 1,255	80.7 79.3	 19 11	1.2		282 . 300	17.5 19.0			.2			8 16	.5 1.0	1,614	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	77 47	87.5 75.8	0	.0		10 15	11.4 24.2		(•		1 0	1.1 .0	88 62	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	13,254 13,187	64.7 67.9	293 296	1.4 1.5	. *	6,192 5,193	30.2 26.8	•	67 67				82 736	.4 3.8	20,481 19,412	100.0 100.0
BROOME	1986 1985	550 534	80.8 79.9	7	.6 1.0		105 108	15.4 16.2		- (22 19	3.2 2.8	183 833	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	168 117	85.7 90.7	1 2	.5 1.6		25 10	12.8						. 2	1.0	194 129	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1B1 206	84.6 82.1	 2 0	.9 .0		29 45	13.6 17.9		(2 0	.9	214 251	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	32å 290	82.7 85.5	- 0 1	.0		67 46	17.0 13.6		. (.0			1 2	.3	394 339	160.0 100.0
CHEHUK8	1986 1985	276 283	87.7 70.1	2 5	1.6		29 26	9.1 8.3						- 1 0	.3	307 314	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	72 107	79.1 87.0	0	.0 2.4		16 8	17.6 6.5		. (.0			3 5	3.3 4.1	91 123	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	213 175	79.2 87.9	8 1	3.0 .5		42 22	15.6 11.1		- (• • •		K	1	2.2	269 199	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	172 186	70.8 68.4	0	.0		70 79	28.8 29.0		(.0		•	i 6	.4 2.2	243 272	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	144 132	88.3 78.1	- 0 - 0	.0		19 36	11.7 21.3			0.0			0	.6	163 169	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	107 92	87.0 78.0	0 2	.0 1.7		16 24	13.0 20.3			0.0			0	.0	123 118	100.0 100.0

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

		Convi	ctions		Acqui	tals		Disai	ssals	 Not Pr	secuted	 Ot	her	 Ţc	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Number	Percent		Kuaber	Percent	Number	Percent	Kusber	Percent	Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	728 800	68.2 70.5		. 2 2	.2		323 315	30.2 27.8	0	.0	. 15 . 18	i.4 i.6	1,06B 1,135	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	2,780 2,738	27.3 59.5		98 89	2.0 1.9	• .	1,888 1,707	38.9 37.1	74 44	1.5	14 66	.3 1.4	4,852 4,600	
ESSEX	1986 1985	102 73	76.1 80.2		0	.0		29 17	21.6 18.7	0	.0	3 1	2.2 1.1	134 91	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	100 95	84.7 90.5		0 2	.0 1.9		16 B	13.6 7.6	1 0	.B	10	.0	118 105	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	:129 169	96.3 88.9		. 0	.7		. 4 20	3.0 10.5	0	.0	0	.0 .5	134 190	100.0 100.0
BENESEE	1986 1985	107 131	99.4 92.9		- 0 1	.0 .7		13	10.7 5.7	1	.e .7	- 0 1	.0 .7	12i 141	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	147 +101	84.0 82.1	÷ .	3 2	1.7		25 19	14.3 15.4	0	.0 .8	0	.0 .B	175 123	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	16 11	100.0 73.3		0	.0		0	.0 26.7	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.0	0 0	.0	16 15	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1986 1985	137 113	91.9 89.0		0	.0		12 14	8.1 11.0	0	.0 .0	0	.0	149 127	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	246 226	82.8 89.3		9	.0 1.2		49 21	16.5 8.3	1 2	.3	 1 3	.3 1.2	297 253	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	18,550 17,440	61.6 64.0		324 323	1.1 1.2		9,347 7,460	31.1 27.4	1,711 1,882	5.7 6.9	169 2,006	.6 7.4	30,100 27,229	100.0 100.0
LERIE	1986 1985	46 39	95.0 90.7		0 1	.0 2.3		i 2	2.1 4.7		.0	1	2.1 2.3	48 43	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1985 1985	154 94	92.2 97.9		2 1	1.2		11 10	6. g	. 0	.0	0 2	0	167 107	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS :

	-	Canvic	tions	******	Acquit	tals		Diseis	sals		Not Pro	secuted		Ot	her		 To	otal
COUNTY	Year 	Number	Percent		Nusber	Percent		Kusber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nuober	Percent		Number	Parcent
HADISON	1986 1985	143 117	86.7 86.7		2	1.8		16 17	9.7 12.6		0	.0		3 1	1.B .7		165 135	100.0 100.0
MONROE	1986 1985	1,710 1,634	63.2 64.6		51 39	1.4		643 595	23.8 23.5		274 209	10.1		39 250	1.4	•	2,705 2,530	100.0 100.0
NONTGONERY	1986 1985	. 112	94.9 80.9		1 2	.B 1.8		. 5 12	4.2 10.9 I			.0 4.5		7	0 - 6.4		118 110	100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	3,524 3,746	72.7 82.9			1.2		648 452	13.4 10.0		180 78	3.7 2.2		440 274	9.1 6.1		4,849 4,518	100.0 100.0
HEN YORK	1986 1985	20,399 21,778	56.1 60.3		312 318	.9		14,667 13,172	40.3 36.4		758 647	2. i i. 8		228 870	.6 2.4		36,364 36,138	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	650 681	79.8 80.0		7 10	.9 1.2		154 156	18.9 18.3		0	.0		4	.5 .5		815 851	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	507 529	86.4 90.6		2	.5 .0		71 51	12.1 8.7	•	2 0	.3		- , 4 4	7		587 584	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,474 1,363	72.9 72.8		18 18	.9 1.0		505 464	25.0 24.8		1	.0	-	25 26	1.2 1.4		2,023 1,871	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1984 1985	271 202	93.4 88.6		3	1.0		11 12	3.8 5.3		3	1.0 3.9		2 11	7 1.8		290 228	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	761 890	67.8 68.8		7	.4 .5		341 368	30.4 28.4		2 4	.2		15 29	1.3 2.2		1,123	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	130 94	85.5 71.8		0 10	.0 7.6		18 24	11.8		1 2	.7 1.5		3	2.0		152 131	100.0 100.0
OSHE60	1986 1985	248 244	87.6 92.1		3	1.1		31 12	11.0 4.5		0	.0		1 8	3.0		283 265	100.0 100.0
DTSE60	1986 1985	121 133	82.3 77.3		3 1	2.0 .6	- 80	21 31	14.3 18.0		1			2 7	1.4 4.1		147 172	100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

		Convi	ctions	 Acqui	ttals	 Disai	issals	 Not Pro	secuted	*********	Other		Tota	al
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		Percent		Percent		r Percent			Percent
PUTNAN	1996 1985	103	52.6 51.6	0 2	.0	91 86	46.4 45.7	0	.0		2 1.0 3 1.6		196 168	100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	9,203 7,739	61.9 62.7	238 209	1.6	5,201 4,138	35.0 33.5	105 177	.7 1.4	- 13 25		14 12	879 338	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	319 332	81.4 81.4	2	.5	71 74	18.1 18.1	. 0	.0		0 .0		392 408	100.0 100.0
RICHNOND	1986 1985	1,004 967	49.1 47.3		.4	96 5 967	42.3 43.4	130 152	6.4 7.4	3 16	B 1.9 3 8.9		04 <i>6</i> 046	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	526 567	74.3 76.4	2 2	.3	175 171	24.7 23.0	0	.0		5 .7 2 .3		708 742	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	95 69	67.4 68.3	0 1	1.0	44 29	31.2 28.7	0	.0		2 1.4 2 2.0		141 101	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	438 •389	83.7 78.6	3 1	.6	80 103	15.3 20.8	1 0	.2		1 .2 2 .4		523 495	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	414 374	02.8 81.5		.9	71 69	14.2 15.0	2 3	.7		0 2.0 4 3.0		500 461	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	85 59	92.5 79.7	1 2	1.0 2.7	. 13 12	12.6 16.2	0	.0		4 3.9 1 1.4		103 74	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	52 52	88.1 74.5	0	.0	7 3	11.9 5.5	· 0	.0		0 .0		59 55	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	98 50	76.6 74.6	1	.8 1.5	29 16	22.7 23.9	. 0	.0		0 .0		128 67	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	175 149	74.8 76.8	- 5	i.7 2.6	53 · 37	22.6 19.1	1	.4		1 .4 3 1.5		234 194	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,638 3,774	79.7 80.5	44 45	1.0 1.0	845 824	18.5 17.6	2 2	.0		4 .7 5 1.0		563 688	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

		Convi	ctions		Acquit		 Disai				secuted	 0	ther			otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Nusber		Husber				Percent	Nuaber	Percent			Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	360 335	77.1 69.6		2	.4	104 144	22.3 29.9		. 0	.0	. 1	.2		467 481	100.0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	116 94	87.2 88.7		2	1.5	15 11	11.3		0	.0	, 0	.0	•	133 106	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	. 109 157	61.6 66.2		0 4	.0 1.7	57 66	32.2 27.8	•	. 0 2	.0	11 10	5.2 4.2		177 237	
ULSTER	1986 1985	464 434	74.2 74.3		4	.6 .7	152 140	24.3 24.0		3 1	.5	2 6	1.0		625 584	
WARREN	1986 1985	: 221 179	72.5 75.2		0 2	.0	84 57	27.5 23.9		0	.0	0	.0		305 238	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	135 125	82.8 86.2		0 2	.0 1.4	27 14	16.6		0	.0	1 4	2.8		163 145	
MAYNE	1986 1985	217 234	80.7 91.1		0	.0	52 21	19.3 8.2		0	.0	0	.4		269 · 257	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	2,711 2,767	76.7 79.1		30 43	.8 1.2	677 600	19.2 17.2		51 37	. 1.4 1.1	68 86	1.8 2.5		3,534 3,496	100.0 100.0
MAONINE	1986 1985	62 70	88.5 92.1	-	0	.0	7 6	10.0 7.9		0	.0		1.4		70 76	
YATES	1986 1985	51 49	96.2 90.7		0	.0	1 4	1.9 7.4		0	.0	1	1.9 1.9		53 54	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	90,738 89,207	63.8 66.5		1,555 1,558	1.1	44,469 38,400	31.3 20.6		3,977 3,967	2.8 3.0	1,409 4,779	1.0 3.7		142,148 134,164	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1985 1985	62,418 61,115	60.1 62.9		1,174	1.1	36,270 30,855	34.9 31.8		3,374 3,535	3.2 3.6	64B 4,047	4.2		103,884 97,172	

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

				 Felony					_					
		Tota			olent:		her		Hisde	eneanor	_ Lesser (If fenses	To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Percent		Percent		Nusber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Number	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	313 288	7.3 6.4	82 61	1.9 1.4	231 227	5.4 5.1		1,939 2,000	45.2 44.5	2,034 2,203	47.5 49.1	4,286	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	32 17	11.9 7.7	2 2	.7	30 15	11.2 6.8		173 142	64.6 64.5	63 61	23.5 27.7	268 220	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	4,987 4,353	21.0 17.7	2,249 2,184	9.5 8.9	2,738 2,169	11.5 8.8		12,800 13,109	53.9 53.2	5,948 7,189	25.1 29.2	23,735 24,651	100.0 100.0
BROOME	198 <i>5</i> 1985	315 266	13.4 12.4	 67 71	2.9 3.3	248 195	10.6	*	1,577 1,448	67.2 67.3	455 438	19.4 20.4	2,347 2,152	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	63 41	7.4 5.6	B 4	.9 .5	55 37	6.4 5.1		507 490	59.4 67.3	284 197	33.3 27.1	854 728	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1984 1985	81 73	12.6 8.6	16 11	2.8 1.3	63 62	9.8 7.3		391 551	61.0 65.2	149 221	26.4 26.2	641 845	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUDUA	1984 1985	121 111	8.0 7.3	29 24	1.9	92 87	8.1 5.7		972 968	. 64.2 63.6	421 443	27.8 29.1	1,514 1,522	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	190 197	18.1 17.5	5 2 45	5.0 4.0	138 152	13.2 13.5		684 762	65.3 67.7	173 167	16.5 14.8	1,047 1,126	100.0 100.0
CHENANSO ,	1986 1985	. 1B 23	3.4 3.9	5 6	1.0	13 17	2.5 2.8		275 351	52.5 58.8	231 223	44.1 37.4	52 4 597	100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	63 55	7.7 6.1		.9	56 51	6.9 5.6		371 435	45.4 48.0	380 417	46.7 46.0	814 907	100.0 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	43 64	7.5 9.6	10 7	1.8 1.1	33 57	5.8 8.6		258 330	45.2 49.5	270 272	47.3 40.8	571 666	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	73 72	12.7 9.7	13 13	2.3 1.7	60 59	10.4 7.9		217 268	37.7 36.0	286 404	49.7 54.3	- 576 744	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1984 1985	23 24	4.9 5.0	2 10	2.1	21 14	4.5 2.9		256 248	54.9 52.1	187 204	40.1 42.9	466 476	100.0 100.0

TABLE SA FELONY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMERNOR ARRESTS .

						5011110	110110 1100		. , , , , , , , , , , , ,	110000011011111	***************************************	-			
				Felony			-							 	
		Tota			olent	 Ot	her			neanor		Lesser		To	otal .
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent		Percent			Percent			Percent	Hunber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	229 316	9.1 10.7	47 64	1.9	182 252	7.2 8.6		1,132 1,260	45.0 42.8		1,154 1,371	45.9 46.5	2,515 2,947	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	813 887	7.6 7.9	242 246		571 641	5.3 5.7		3,496 3,930	32.7 34.8		6, 395 6, 463	59.7 57.3	10,704 11,260	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	25 22	5.8 5.1	2 4		23 18	5.3 4.2		199 222	46. l 51. 9		20B 184	48.1 43.0	432 428	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	44 42	6.9 7.8			38 34	5.9 6.3		362 298	56,6 55.2		23 4 200	36.6 37.0	640 540	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1988 1985	44 69	B.4 12.1	13 13		31 55	5.9 9.8		239 254	45.5 45.2		241 240	46.1 42.7	523 562	100.0
GEHESEE	1984 1985	70 77	10.5 13.1	10 18		60 59	7.0 10.1		259 269	38.8 45.9		. 339 240	50.7 41.0	66B 5B6	100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	45 26	8.0 6.0	10 8		35 20	6.3 4.6 -	•	274 263	48.9 61.0		241 142	43.0 32.9	560 431	100.0
HAHILTON	1986 1985	2 å	23.7 8.1	2		3	18.4 8.1		23 23	60.5 62.2		. 11	15.8 27.7	38 37	100.0
HERKIHER ,	1986 1985	34 36	6.6 6.6	7	1.4	27 32	5.2 5.8		288 327	55.6 59.7		196 185	37.8 33.8	518 548	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	161 131	19.0 16.7	34 27		127 104	15.0 13.3		39 9 408	47.1 52.0		288 245	34.0 31.3	848 784	100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	6,394 5,566	19.7 19.0	3,254 2,953		3,140 2,613	9.7 8.9		11,560 10,943	35.6 37.4		14,510 12,749	44.7 43.5	32,464 29,258	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1986 1965	23 12	23.5 7.5	6 1	6.1 .6	17 11	17.3 6.9		37 56	37.8 42.5		38 B0	38.8 50.0	140 140	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	100 62	11.1 7.0	17 10		83 52	9.2 5.9		45 6 459	50.5 52.0	-	347 352	38.4 41.0	903 983	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM EFFORM A MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS A

					Felony												
		Tota	1			ilent		her			eanor		Lesser D			Total	1
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Nuaber			Percent		•	Percent			Percent	Hust	er f	ercent
MADISON	1986 1985	66 88	9.2 13.6		16 18	2.2	50 70	7.0 10.8		427 327	59.7 50.5		222 233	31.0 36.0		15 48	100.0 100.0
HONROE	1984 1985	960 841	17.9 15.1		272 267	5.1 4.8	688 574	12.8 10.3		2,307 2,670	42.9 ·47.9		2,107 2,061	39.2 37.0	5 ₁ ;		100.0 100.0
MONTGOMERY	1986 1985	55 69	8.5 10.9		7	1.1	48 62	7.4 9.8	•	270 272	41.5 42.9		325 293	50.0 46.2			100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985 :	1,678 1,515	15.3 11.9		584 543	5.3 4.3	1,094 972	10.0 7.8		4,527 6,099	41.2 47.8		4,777 5,133	43.5 40.3	10,5 12,7		100.0 100.0
HEH YORK	1986 1985	B,146 7,499	12.0 10.8		3,031 3,250	4.5 4.7	5,115 4,249	7.6 6.1		40,817 41,667	60.4		18,640 20,115	27.6 29.0	67,8 69,2		100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	135 169	4.6 5.6		33 39	i.i i.3	102 130	- 3.5 4.3		983 1,100	33.6 36.4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,809 1,751	61.8 58.0	2,5 3,0		100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1786 1795	241 215	11.7 10.7		87 75	4.2 3.7	154 140	7.5 7.0		1,045 1,080	50.9 53.8		768 711	37.4 35.4	2,0 2,0		100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	929 834	24.1 21.1	•	261 248	6.8 6.3	668 586	17.3 14.0		1,534 1,539	39.7 39.0		1,379 1,577	34.2 39.9	3,8 3,9		100.0 100.0
ONTARIO ,	1984 1985	229 179	18.4 17.1		33 34	2.7 3.3	196 145	15.7 13.9		697 562	56.0 53.7		319 305	25.6 29.2	1,7	45 46	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1765	277 378	10.1 12.4		59 96	2.1 3.1	218 282	7.9 9.2		998 1,227	36.3 40.1		1,474 1,452	53.8 47.5	2,7 3,0		100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985	60 74	16.9 26.7		14 16	3.9 5.8	46 59	13.0 20.9		201 153	56.6 59.2		94 50	26.5 18.1		55 77	100.0
OSKEGO	1964 1985	118 120	11.0 10.6		19 28	1.0 2.5	99 92	9.2 8.1		452 513	42.0 45.2		505 503	47.0 44.3	$\frac{1}{1}$		100.0 100.0
QTSE60	1988 1985	37 44	5.0 5.2		7 B	.9 .9	36 36	4.1 4.2		215 247	29.2 29.1		485 559	65.8		37 50	100.0 100.0

TABLE SA

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS #

				 Felony					شو هی پ آه په د د پ		,						
		Tota	ıl	 Vic	lent		01	her		Nisde	aeanor	•	Lesser ()ffenses		To	otal
COUNTY	Year 	Number	Percent	Nuaber	Percent -		Number	Percent		Husber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent
PUTNAM	1986 1985	. 1 . 9	.1 1.4	0	.0		1 7	.1 1.1		203 232	28.2 34.9		515 424	71.6 63.8		719 - 265	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985 .	5,028 3,511	29.5 20.5	1,767	10.4 7.5	•	3,261 2,230	19.2 13.0		7,081 7,724	41.7 45.1		4,887 5,876	28.8 34.3		16,996 17,111	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	102 138	7.1 9.2	19 37	1.3 2.5		83 101	5.8		577 558	40.3 37.4		752 797	52.6 53.4		1,431 1,493	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985 :	290 269	12.7 12.2	125 138	5.5 6.2		165 131	7.2 5.9		922 925	40.3 41.9	• .	1,074 1,015	47.0 45.9		2,286 2,209	100.0 105.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	312 351	19.2 17.9	50 59	3.1 3.0		262 292	16.1 14.9		865 760	40.9 39.7		647 851	39.8 43.4		1,624 1,962	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	4 4	.4	i 0	.1 .0		3	.3		46B 371	44.8 46.0		572 432	54.8 53.5		1,044 807	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1984 1985	98 94	4.3 4.0	21 30	.9 1.3		77 64	3.4 2.7		818 728	35.4 30.9		1,381 1,534	60.1 65.1		2,297 2,356	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	138 166	B. 0 10. 6	35 41	2.0 2.6		103 125	6.0 B.0		803 717	46.5 45.8		786 682	45.5 43.8		1,727 1,565	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE ,	1986 1985	34 28	, 9.2 8.3	2 8	5 2.4		32 20	8.7 5.9		158 140	42.8 41.3		177 171	48.0 50.4		359 359	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	20 14	B. 9 6. 4	7	3.1 1.4		13 11	5.8 5.0		144 159	64.3 72.9		60 45	26.B 20.6		224 218	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	48 23	13.4 7.8	9 1	2.5 .3		39 22	10.9 7.5	•	213 191	59.5 65.2		97 79	27.1 27.0	•	358 293	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	81 69	10.0 9.8	9 14	1.1		72 55	8.9 7.8		382 355	47.2 .50.2		347 263	42.8 40.0		B10 707	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	1,871 1,970	13.4 13.8	505 570	3.6 4.0		1,366	9.8 9.8		4,812 4,515	34.5 31.7		7,256 7,776	52.1 54.5		13,939 14,261	100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

			-	 Felony		 	-					 			
		Tota		 	lent	 - Ot	her			Hisda	eeenor	Lesser ()ffenses	Te	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber	Percent		Percent	Nuober	Percent			Huaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nasber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	136 142	10.9 10.9	26 19	2.1 1.5	110 123	8.8 9.5			574 578	45.8 44.5	543 580	43.3 44.6	1,253 1,300	100.0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	- 61 49	12.9	7	1.5 1.8	54 40	11.4 8.1			30 <i>6</i> 297	64.8 60.1	105 148	22.2 30.0	472 494	100.0 100.0
TOHPKINS	1986 1985	54 102	7.6 12.7	13 20	1.8 2.5	41 82	5.8 10.2			241 313	34.1 39.0	411 387	58.2 48.3	706 802	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	153 197	8.3 11.5	36 36	1.9	117 158	6.3 9.2			1,021 913	55.3 53.1	673 608	36.4 35.4	1,847 1,718	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	33 33	3.0	11 6	1.0	22 27	2.0 2.4		•	507 479	46.0 44.9	563 579	51.0 52.1	1,103 1,111	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	34 48	8.2 8.0	7	1.3	27 44	5.0 7.4			304 280	55.8 46.9	207 269	39.0 45.1	545 597	100.0 100.0
HAYHE	1984 1985	127 117	9.7 9.2	23 16	1.8	104 101	7.9 8.0			752 699	57.4 55.2	432 450	33.0 35.5	1,311 1,266	100.0
NESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,171 1,405	13.9 17.2	348 535	4.4 6.5	803 870	9.5 10.6	•		3,992 3,385	47.4	3,260 3,402	39.7 41.5	8,423 8,192	100.0 100.0
MADATKE	1986 1985	22 20	15.2 16.9	4 2	2.0 1.0	26 31	13.2 15.9			84 95	42.6 48.7	83 67	42.1 34.4	197 195	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	35 39	22.4 27.1	9 5	5.B 3.5	26 34	16.7 23.6			87 75	55.8 52.1	34 30	21.8 20.8	156 144	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1984 1985	37,108 33,669	14.9 13.4	13,671 13,264	5.5 5.3	23,437 20,405	9.4 B.1			118,736 121,797	47.7 48.4	92,884 96,143	37.3 39.2	248,728 251,609	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,848 21,199	17.4 14.9	10,426 9,806	7.3 6.9	14,422 11,393	10.1 8.0			73,186 74,374	51.1 52.2	45,059 46,949	31.5 32.9	143,093 142,521	100.0 100.0

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

				 Felo	ony	 		 		 				
			 tal	 Vic	olent	 01	her	Hisde	meanor	Lesser (1	otal	
COUNTY	Year		Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Percent	Nuaber	Percent	
ALBANY	1984 1985	303 278	23.3 22.2	B2 61	6.3 4.9	221 217	17.0 17.3	862 888	66.2 70.8	137 89	10.5 7.1	1,302 1,255		
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	28 16	36.4 34.0	2 2	2.6 4.3	26 14	33.8 29.8	49 29	63.6 61.7	0 2	4.3	77 47		
BRONX	1984 1985	4,934 4,314	37.2 32.7	2,249 2,184	17.0 16.6	2,685 2,130	20.3 16.2	6,099 6,174	46.0 46.8	2,221 2,699	16.8 20.5	13,254 13,187		
BROOME	1764 1985	297 : 254	54.0 47.6	67 71	12.2 13.3	230 183	41.8	237 248	43.1 46.4	 16 32	2.9 6.0	550 534		
CATTARAUGUS	198& 1985	59 36	34.5 30.8	8	4.8 3.4	50 32	29.8 27.4	107 70	63.7 59.8	3 11	1.0 9.4	168 117		
CAYUGA	1984 1985	70 66	38.7 32.0	. 18 11	9.9 5.3	52 55	28.7 26.7	98 133	54.1 64.6		7.2 3.4	181 206		
CHAUTAUOUA	1986 1985	115 101	35.3 34.8	29 24	B.9 B.3	86 77	26.4 26.5	204 175	62.6 60.3	7 14	2.1 4.8	326 290		
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	192 190	65.9 67.1	52 45	18.8 15.9	130 145	47.1 51.2	79 83	28.6 29.3	15 10	5.4 3.5	276 283		
CHENANGO .	1986 1985	16 20	22.2 18.7	5 6	6.9 5.6	11 14	15.3 13.1	47 79	65.3 73.8	9 8	12.5 7.5	72 107		
CLINTON	1984 1985	59 47	27.7 26.9	7	3.3 2.3	52 43	24.4 24.6	140 115	65.7 65.7	14 13	6.6 7.4	213 175		
COLUMBIA	1984 1985	40 57	23.3 30.6	10 7	5.8 3.8	30	17.4 26.9	108 111	62.8 59.7	24 18	14.0	172 186		
CORTLAND	1786 1785	54 51	37.5 38.6	13 13	9.0 9.8	41 38	28.5 28.0	80 75	55.6 56.8	10 6	6.9 4.5	144 132		
DELANARE	1986 1985	22 24	20. 6 26. 1	2 10	1.9	20 14	18.7 15.2	76 64	71.0 69.6	9 4	8.4 4.3	107 92		

TABLE SB FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS &

				 Felo	ıny	 										
		To	tal		lent	 Ot	her		Nisde	neanor		Lesser (lffenses		To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent	Huaber	Percent	Number	Percent		Nueber	Percent		Nueber	Percent .		Hugber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1984 1985	211 292	29.0 36.5	47 64	6.5 8.0	164 228	22.5 28.5	•	434 416	59.6. 52.0		83 92	11.4 11.5		729 800	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	762 820	27.4 29.9	242 246	9.7 9.0	520 574	18.7 21.0		1,508 1,527	54.2 55.8		510 391	18.3 14.3		2,780 2,738	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1988 1985	23 21	22.5 28.8	2	2.0 5.5	21 17	20.4		48 50	66.7 68.5		11 2	10.8 2.7		102 73	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	37 37	37.0 38.9	6 8	6.0 8.4	31 29	31.0 30.5		61 51	61.0 53.7		2 7	2.0 7.4		100 95	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	- 41 64	31.8 37.9	13 13	10.1 7.7	28 51	21.7 30.2		81 97	62.8 57.4		7 8	5.4 4.7	*	129 169	100.0 100.0
BENESEE	1986 1985	45 69	42.1 52.7	10 18	9.3 13.7	35 51	32.7 38.9		54 62	50.5 47.3		B 0	7.5 .0		107 131	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	40 25	27.2 24.8	10 6	6.8 5.9	- 30 19	20.4 18.8		76 65	51.7 64.4		31 11	21.1 10.9		147 101	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	3	56.3 27.3	2	12.5 .0	7	43.8 27.3		7 8	43.8 72.7	•	C	.0		16 11	100.0 100.0
HERKINER ,	1986 1985	32 32	23.4 28.3	7	5.1 3.5	25 28	18.2 24.8		89 70	65.0 61.9		16 11	11.7 9.7		137 113	100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	145 121	59.3 53.5	34 27	13.8 11.9	112 94	45.5 41.6		92 103	37.4 45.6		8 2	3.3		246 226	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	6,363 5,545	34.3 31.8	3,254 2,953	17.5 16.9	3,109 2,592	16.8 14.9	•	6,579 6,559	35.5 37.6		5,608 5,336	30.2 30.4		18,550 17,440	100.0 100.0
LENIS	1984 1985	23 12	50.8 50.8	. i	13.0 2.5	17 11	37.0 28.2		19 26	41.3		4	8.7 2.6		46 39	100.0 100.0
LIVINESTON	1984 1985	88 45	57.1 47.9	17 10	11.0 10.6	71 35	46.1 37.2		64 47	41.8 50.0		2 2	1.3		154 94	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

				Felo	ıny						-				 	
		To	tal	-	lent			her		Misde	neanor		Lesser ()ffenses	To	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number	Percent		Percent			Percent		Hueber	Percent		Huaber	Percent	Number	Percent
MADISON	1786 1785	49 75	34.3 64.1	16 18	11.2 15.4		33 57	23.1 48.7		82 41	57.3 35.0		12	8.4 .9	143 117	100.0 100.0
HOHROE	1988 1985	. 907 800	53.0 49.0	272 267	15.9 16.3		635 533	37.1 32.6		643 694	37.6 42.5	•	160 140	9.4 8.6	1,710	100.0 100.0
MONTGOMERY	1986 1985	50 66	44.5 74.2	7	6.3 7.9		43 59	38.4 66.3		56 20	50.0 22.5		4 3	5.4 3.4	 112 89	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,582 1,396	44.9 37.3	584 543	16.6 14.5		998 853	28.3 22.8		1,600 2,056	45.4 54.9		342 294	9.7 7.8	3,524 3,746	100.0 100.0
HEN YORK	1986 1985	8,097 7,458	39.7 34.2	3,031 3,250	14.9		5,066 4,208	24.8 19.3		8,970 9,850	44.0 45.2		3,332 4,470	16.3 20.5	20,399 21,778	100.0 100.0
NIASARA	1986 1985	130 160	20.0 23.5	39 33	5.1 5.7		97 121	14.9 17.0	•	303 438	58.9 64.3		137 83	21.1 12.2	650 681	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	216 191	42.6 36.1	87 75	17.2 14.2		129 116	25.4 21.9		271 302	53.5 57.1		20 36	3.9 6.8	507 529	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	887 795	60.2 58.3	261 240	17.7 18.2	. •	626 547	42.5 40.1	-	511 496	34.7 36.4	· 1	76 72	5.2 5.3	1,474 1,363	100.0 100.0
ONTARLO ,	1986 1985	199 149	73.4 73.8	33 34	12.2 16.8		166 115	61.3 56.9	•	69 47	25.5 23.3		2	1.1 3.0	271 202	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	264 368	34.7 41.3	59 96	7.8 10.8		205 272	26.9 30.4		389 431	51.1 48.4		10B 71	14.2 10.2	761 890	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1985 1985	56 65	43.1 69.1	14 16	10.8 17.0		42 49	32.3 52.1		71 25	54.6 26.6		3 4	2.3 4.3	130 94	100.0 100.0
OSWE60	1986 1985	112 108	45.2 44.3	19 28	7.7 11.5	•,	93 80	37.5 32.8		126 124	50.8 50.8		10 12	4.0 4.9	248 244	100.0 100.0
OTSE60	1986 1985	36 41	29.8 30.8	7 8	5.8 6.0		29 33	24.0 24.8		76 78	62.8 58.6		9 14	7.4 10.5	121 133	100.0 100.0

TABLE 58

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

				 Felo	ony									
			tal	 Vic	lent	 Ot	her		Nisde	eseanor	Lesser	Offenses	To	tal
COUNTY	Year		Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nuober	Percent		Number	Percent	Nuabe	r Percent	 Nuaber	Percent
PUTNAN	1984 1985	1 8	1.0 8.2	0 2	.0 2.1	1 6	1.0		72 73	69.9 75.3	3		103	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	4,886 3,396	53.1 43.9	1,767	19.2 16.è	3,119 2,115	33.9 27.3		3,008 2,849	32.7 36.8	1,30		9,203 7,739	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	93 126	29.2 38.0	19 37	6.0 11.1	 74 89	23.2 26.8		177 179	55.5 53.9	4) 2		319 332	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	281 ; 266	28.0 27.5	125 138	12.5 14.3	156 128	15.5 13.2		473 497	47.1 51.4	25 20		1,004 967	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	297 335	56.5 59.1	50 59	9.5 10.4	247 276	47.0 48.7		177 173	33.7 30.5	5 .		524 567	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	3	4.2	1 0	i.i .0	3	3.2 4.3		80 59	84.2 85.5	l		95 69	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	84 96	19.2 22.1	21 30	4.8 7.7	63 56	14.4 14.4		279 238	63.7 61.2	7: 6:		438 387	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	137 158	33.1 41.7	35 - 41	8.5 11.0	102 115	24.6 30.7		239 174	57.7 51.9	31		414 374	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE .	1984 1965	30 26	35.3 44.1	2 8	2.4 13.6	28 18	32.9 30.5	: .	48 29	56.5 49.2		7 8.2 4 6.8	85 59	100.0 100.3
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	18 14	34.6 26.9	7	13.5 5.8	11 11	21.2 21.2	:	34 34	65.4 65.4		0 .0 4 7.7	52 52	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1984 1985	42 16	42.9 32.0	. 9	7.2 2.0	33 15	33.7 30.0		49 32	50.0 64.0		7 7.1 2 4.0	98 50	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	70 59	40.0 39.6	9 14	5.1 9.4	61 45	34.9 30.2		100 85	57.1 . 57.0		5 2.9 5 3.4	175 149	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1985 1985	1,555 1,670	42.7 44.3	505 570	13.9 15.1	1,050 1,100	28.9 29.1		1,417 1,385	39.0 36.7	66 71		3,638 3,774	100.0 100.0

TABLE 5B

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

				 Felo	ony	 	**********	- .							
** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***			tal	 	olent	 Ot	her			eneanor		Offenses		· Tc	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent	Nusber	Percent			Percent		r Percent		Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	117 126	32.5 37.6	26 19	7.2 5.7	91 107	25.3 31.9		198 166	55.0 49.6		5 12.5 3 12.8		360 335	100.0 100.0
TIOGA	1986 1785	55 46	47.4 48.9	7	6.0 9.6	48 37	41.4 39.4		55 46	48.3 48.9		5 4.3 2 2.1		116 94	100,0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	45 78	41.3 49.7	13 20	11.9	32 58	29.4 34.9		61 77	58.0 47.0		3 2.8 2 1.3		109 157	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1784 1795	137 : 170	29.5 39.2	36 39	7.8 9.0	101 131	21.8 30.2		273 226	58.8 52.1		4 11.6 6 8.8		464 434	100.0
WARREN	1786 1985	20 20	13.6 16.8	11	5.0 3.4	19 24	8.6 13.4		166 132	75.1 73.7		5 11.3 7 9.5	*	221 179	100.0 100.0
NOTONIKEAN	1986 1985	30 44	22.2 35.2	7	5.2 3.2	23 40	17.0 32.0		96 75	71.1 60.0		9 6.7 6 4.9		135 125	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985	91 95	41.9 40.6	23 16	10.6 6.8	68 79	31.3 33.8		115 134	53.0 57.3		i 5.1 5 2.1		217 234	100.0 100.0
NESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,043 1,261	38.5 45.6	369 535	13.4 19.3	675 726	24.9 26.2		1,456 1,311	53.7 47.4	- 21 19			2,711 2,767	100.0 100.0
WYOHING	1986 1985	26 28	41.9 40.0	4 2	6.5 2.9	22 26	35.5 37.1		35 41	54.5 58.6		1 1.6		62 70	100.0 100.0
YATES	1786 1985	32 37	62.7 75.5	9 5	17.6 10.2	23 32	45. 1 65. 3	2	19 11	37.3 22.4		0 .0 1 2.0		51 49	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	35,690 32,269	39.3 36.2	13,671 13,264	15.1 14.9	22,019 19,025	24.3 21.3		39,198 39,976	43.2 44.8	15,85 16,94			90,738 89,207	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1984 1985	24,564 20,980	39.4 34.3	10,426 9,806	16.7	14,138 11,174	22.7 19.3		25,134 25,932	40.3 42.4	12,77 14,20			62,418 61,115	100.0 100.0

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
ALBANY	1986	3.7	15.4	B.3	12.8	53.4	6.5	100.0
	1985	3.7	12.8	7.6	13.3	57.8	4.7	100.0
ALLEGANY	1984	1.1	10.1	9.3	21.4	44.4	13.4	100.0
	1985	1.4	10.5	6.4	. 17.3	50.0	14.5	100.0
BRONK	1986	9.1	40.4	3.0	9.2	25.7	13.7	100.0
	1985	7.7	39.8	1.5	8.5	23.7	18.8	100.0
BROOME	1986	3.5	13.9	3.8	13.4	34.8	30.8	100.0
	1985	3.2	14.5	3.7	12.2	36.1	30.3	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1964	1.2	12.8	2.1	9.3	64.6	10.2	100.0
	1985	1.4 :	14.7	1.9	7.1	64.4	10.4	100.0
CAYUSA	1984	8.2	19.3	3.7	5.3	46.0	19.3	0.001
	1985	3.1	17.4	3.9	3.9	47.7	24.0	0.001
CHAUTAUDUA	1984	1.3	13.9	5.7	10.6	52.4	16.1	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.5	4.1	11.5	55.8	14.2	100.0
CHEMUNS	1986	7.2	13.8	5.8	18.9	39.0	15.3	100.0
	1985	6.3	12.7	4.6	16.8	43.3	16.3	100.0
CHENANGO	1984	1.3	12.8	4.2	11.6	49.Q	21.0	100.0
	1985	1.5	11.4	4.9	15.1	44.9	22.3	100.0
CLINTON	1986	2.7	13.0	2.0	13.4	52.8	16.3	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.6	2.1	7.7	62.4	13.3	100.0
COLUMBIA	1986	4.6	20.3	3.9	9.3	53.6	8.4	100.0
	1985	5.7	19.2	5.0	7.7	53.8	8.7	100.0
CORTLAND	1986	2.3	14.9	4.2	12.5	54.5	11. <i>6</i>	100.0
	1985	2.7	11.7	2.7	13.3	58.7	10.9	100.0
DELAWARE	1984 1985	.4	12.4 9.5	3.6 4.4	9.9 8.8	52.1 55.7	21.5 21.0	100.0 100.0

COUNTY	Year 	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
DUTCHESS	1986	1.9	15.9	4.3	11.5	57.3	9.1	100.0
	1985	2.2	14.8	5.5	11.1	59.7	6.8	100.0
ERIE .	1984	3.1	17.5	3.3	13.5	40.7	21.9	100.0
	1985	3.1	18.3	2.6	13.5	40.1	22.3	100.0
ESSEX	1986	1.2	8.8	2.1	7.4	61.8	18.8	100.0
	1985	1.9	7.2	1.9	8.6	62.9	17.5	100.0
FRANKLIN	1986	.9	13.6	5.2	7.7	58.1	14.5	100.0
	1985	1.9	13.3	3.9	13.0	49.8	18.1	100.0
FULTON	1986	3.8	12.8	5.4	10.1	47.8	20.1	100.0
	1985	5.0 :	13.2	5.3	12.3	47.6	14.6	100.0
BENESEE	1986 1985	3.2	4.6 8.0	9.4 8.0	10.1 21.8	54.8 49.3	10.4 7.6	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986	2.7	12.5	2.3	7.5	65.4	9.6	100.0
	1985	1.9	12.3	.7	9.0	67.7	8.4	100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	2.6 2.7	7.9 16.2	23.7	7.9 5.4	52.6 56.8	5.3 18.9	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1986	1.0	15.1	1.9	5.2	62.4	14.5	100.0
	1985	1.5	14.4	2.2	4.8	60.6	14.6	100.0
JEFFERSON	1986	4.5	14.9	5.8	13.2	52.5	9.2	100.0
	1985	2.9	14.4	7.5	10.8	55.0	9.3	100.0
KINGS	1986	10.3	28. i	4.1	8.1	21.2	28.2	100.0
	1985	9.4	25. 6	4.3	7.8	27.9	23.0	100.0
LENIS	1986	5.1	6.1	16.3	15.3	42.9	14.3	100.0
	1985	1.3	8.1	5.0	15.0	56.3	14.4	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1966	2.0	10.3	5.2	9.4	54.0	19.0	100.0
	1985	1.2	9.2	6.8	7.8	58.3	15.5	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
MADISON	1984	i.3	8.1	5.0	14.7	57.9	13.0	100.0
	1985	2.9	8.6	5.1	13.0	61.6	8.8	100.0
MONROE	1984	6.3		5.9	14.3	33.3	23.8	100.0
	1985	5.4	13.8	6.0	14.9	38.6	21.4	100.0
NONTGONERY	1984	1.7	10.6	2.9	10.3	. 59.7	14.8	100.0
	1985	1.9	9.8	4.6	8.2	59.1	16.4	100.0
NASSAU	1984	6.2	17.0	6.1	17.6	31.3	21.9	100.0
	1985	4.2	16.9	6.3	18.3	31.1	23.3	100.0
NEW YORK	1984 1985	5.9 5.2 :	62.3 57.5	1.1	4.7 4.6	7.7 9.1	18.4 22.7	100.0 100.0
MIAGARA	1986	2.2	20.2	2.4	10.7	50.1	14.5	100.0
	1985	2.2	22.0	2.8	10.9	48.8	13.3	100.0
ONEIDA	1984	4.3	15. <i>6</i>	3.5	9.9	53.0	13.7	100.0
	1985	3.2	16.5	3.7	11.8	52.7	12.1	100.0
ONDNDAGA	1984	7.4	16.6	3.7	21.3	31.1 (20.1	100.0
	1985	6.9	15.0	3.6	17.8	35.1	21.4	100.0
DNTARTO	1984	3.4	13.2	6.3	9.3	52.6	15.3	100.0
	1985	4.6	14.8	5.0	7.7	55.3	12.6	100.0
ORANGE	1984	3.1	12.9	4.3	11.7	60.5	7.5	100.0 .
	1985	3.5	13.1	5.1	14.1	55.9	9.3	100.0
ORLEANS	1986	7.6	13.5	8.7	19.7	36.1	14.4	100.0
	1985	6.5	11.6	14.8	17.0	34.7	15.5	100.0
OSWE60	1988	2.6	10.7	7.1	12.0	53.4	14.2	100.0
	1985	2.i	13.8	5.9	10.1	57.9	10.1	100.0
OTSE60	1986	1.6	5.3	2.7	5.8	73.9	10.6	100.0
	1985	1.2	8.4	2.4	6.2	71.9	9.8	100.0

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

COUNTY	Year	State Frison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
	-				***********	70.7	7.5	100 0
PUTNAK	1994 1985	.Q .6	5.1 7.2	1.1	11.3 10.8	79.3 77.7	3.2 2.6	100.0 100.0
DUEENS	1986	14.7	28.4	8.2	11.1	18.2	21.4	0.001
	1985	9.4	34.2	3.7	10.5	24.7	17.4	0.001
REHSSELAER	1984	2.0	19.3	2.3	9.9	52.2	15.4	109.0
	1985	2.3	14.2	3.3	13.1	53.1	13.9	100.0
RICHMOND	1986	7.3	24.B	1.7°	11.6	23. 1	31.5	100.0
	1985	5.4	21.4	1.7	13.0	32. 5	25.8	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986	8.5	13.1	8.5	11.8	53.7	8.5	109.0
	1985	4.4 :	10.7	9.0	12.1	57.9	8.0	169.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1984 1985	.2	4.6 5.9	1.4	4.8 4.6	62.5 61.3	24.7 28.0	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1984	. I.1	12.2	1.6	5.7	70.2	9.2	100.0
	1985	1.2	9.8	1.1	5.6	74.1	8.3	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	2.6	17.3	3.8	11.6	54.1	10.7	100.0
	1985	2.7	19.0	2.0	12.0	54.6	8.8	100.0
SCHUHARIE	1986	1.4	9.9	7.6	7.0	66.7	7.6	100.0
	1985	3.5	4.1	4.1	5.3	72.3	10.6	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986.	3.1	7.1	9.8	17.4	52.2	10.3	100.0
	1985	1.4	7.8	5.5	26.6	50.0	8.7	100.0
SENECA	1986	3.4	9.5	5.4	7.0	48.0	26.5	100.0
	1985	1.0	11.3	5.1	8.2	53.4	20.8	100.0
STEUBEN	1984	1.4	11.1	4.6	7.0	60.5	12.7	100.0
	1985	2.3	10.9	4.8	10.6	54.3	17.1	100.0
SUFFOLK	1984	3.9	11.0	4.7	13.2	46.6	20. 6	100.0
	1985	4.3	9.9	5.1	13.3	55.7	11. 7	100.0

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
SULLIVAN	1986	2.7	23.5	3.9	13.2	44.4	12.3	100.0
	1985	3.5	19.7	4.1	13.9	47.0	11.8	100.0
TIOSA	1986	3.0	8.5	2.3	14.0	56.4	15.9	100.0
	1985	2.0	8.3	3.0	9.9	61.3	15.4	100.0
TOMPKINS	1984	2.0	6.1	1.0	6.8	61.3	20.8	100.0
	1985	3.5	7.7	2.6	15.0	47.1	24.1	100.0
ULSTER	198& 1985	2.5 3.0	16.1 14.5	2.5 2.8	11.2 10.1	56.7 59.1	11.0 10.5	100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	1.0	14.B 14.0	.8 1.9	6.4 6.2	61.2 60.0	15.8 17.4	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1984 1985	2.2	12.8 12.1	3.3 3.2	6.2	57.2 64.3	16.0 13.9	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986	1.2	13.4	3.1	9.6	53.7	19.0	100.0
	1985	1.3	10.3	2.9	12.0	53.3	20.1	100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986	4.4	24.3	5.0	14.3	41.9	10.1	100.0
	1985	4.7	16.5	4.4	15.4	47.0	7.8	100.0
MACHINE	1986	2.0	8.1	6.6	22.3	53.8	7.1	100.0
	1985	5.1	8.7	B.2	20.0	53.8	4.1	100.0
YATES	1986	3.8	10.3	11.5	13.5	35.9	25.0	100.0
	1985	6.3	13.2	9.0	11.8	33.3	26.4	100.0
NEU YORK STATE	1986 1985	6.5 5.6	33.1 31.4	3.5 3.1	9.5 9.8	28.6 31.3	18.8 19.8	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986	8.5	46.3	2.7	6.9	15.2	20.4	100.0
	1985	7.0	44.5	2.0	7.2	17.7	21.5	100.0

^{*} These data reflect the anst serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions le.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Prison	· :	Local	Jail			obation	******		ation		Fin		Ot	ber		70	tal
COUNTY	Aest	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felany Canv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	ñisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv
ALBANY	1984 1985	50.2 58.3	NA NA		1.3 1.0	16.5 13.4		31.9 25.3	5.4 6.4		16.3 15.3	12.5 13.2		.0	57.6 61.8	.3 .0	4.9 5.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ALLEGAMY	1984 1985	9.4 17.6	na Na		9.4 5.9	10.2 10.8		31.3 29.4	6.4 4.4		43.8 47.1	18.4 14.8		3.1	50.0 54.2	3.1	14.8 15.8	-	100.0 100.0	100.0
PRONI	1985 1985	43.1 43.8	na Na		15.3 16.8	47.1 44.7		13.4 7.2	.2		27.3 31.1	3.1 3.4		.2	32.5 28.0	.7 .9	17.2 22.7		100.0	100.0 100.0
BROOME	1986 1985	26.3 25.6	Ka Na	:	10.2 12.8	14.3 14.7		21.0 21.1	1.2		39.4 36.5	9.5 8.8		2.5 .8	37.6 41.1	1.6 3.4	35.1 34.1	•	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	15.9 24.4	NA NA		15.9 17.1	12.4 14.6		14.3 19.5	1.1		52.4 39.0	5.8 5.2		1.6	69.7 68.3	.0	11.0 11.1		100.0 100.0	100.0
CAYU G A	1985 1985	49.4 35.6	NA NA	•	19.8 13.7	19.3 17.7		21.0 35.6	1.3		7.9 13.7	4.6 3.0		.0	52.7 52.2	0. 1.1	22.1 26.2		100.0 100.0	100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	16.5 26.1	na Na		17.4 18.0	13.6 12.0		38.0 27.9	2.9 2.2	•.	22.3 25.2	9.8 10.4		3.3 2.7	54.6 60.0	2.5 .0	17.3 15.3		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHENUNG	1996 1985	39.5 36.0	na Na	•	14.7 12.2	13.7 12.8		19.5 14.7	2.8 2.5		23.2 33.5	16.6 13.2	•	1.6	47.3 52.0	1.6 1.5	19.3 19.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	38.9 39.1	на На		22.2 26.1	12.5 10.8	•	38.9 30.4	3.0 3.8		.0	12.1 15.5		.0	50.8 46.7	.0 .0	21.7 23.2		100.0 100.0	100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	, 34.9 30.9	HA Na		19.0 14.5	12.5 12.4		19.0 18.2	.5 1.1		25.4 30.9	12.4 8.2		1.6	54.9 66.2	.0 1.8	.17.7 14.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
COLUNBIA	1984 1985	40.5 59.4	на Ан		18.6 14.1	20.5 19.8		11.6 17.2	3.2 3.7		9.3 6.3	9.3 7.8		.0 3.1	58.0 59.1	.0 .0	9.1 9.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1984 1985	17.9 27.8	NA Na		23.3 11.1	13.7 11.8		21.9 18.1	1.8 1.0		32.9 40.3	9.5 10.4		.0	62.4 65.0	4.1 2.8	12.7 11.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	8.7 12.5	KA NA		13.0 16.7	12.4 7.1		43.5 . 33.3	1.6 2.9		17.4 33.3	9.5 7.5		.0 4.2	54.9 58.4	17.4	21.7 22.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

			State				Local		 a	Local J nd Prob	ation		ation			ines		 	her			tal
COU	INTY	Year	Felony Canv	Misd & Lesser Conv			Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Fel:	ony :	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	· .		Misd y Lesse Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	•	Felony Cony	Hisd &
DUT	CHESS	1986 1985	20.5 20.6	NA Na			9.2 8.9	16.4 15.5		27.1 32.9	2.1	42.B 37.0	9.3 7.9			0 63. 0 66.		.4	10.0 7.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ERI	Ε	1986 1985	41.3 39.6	NA NA			13.3 14.0	17.9 18.7		17.3 10.4	2.1 2.0	27.1 34.4	12.4 11.7			5 44. 9 43.) 	.5 .8	23.6 24.1		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ESS	EX	1986 1985	20.0 36.4	NA NA			16.0 9.1	8.4 7.1		20.0 9.1	1.0 1.5	40.0 45.5	5.4 6.7			0 65.		4.0	19.7 18.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
FRA	HKTIH	1986 1985	13.6 23.8	NA NA			13.6	13.4 13.5		43.2 21.4	2.3	29.5 40.5	6.0 10.6			0 62. 0 54.		.0 2.4	15.6 19.5		100.0 100.0	100.0
ם FUL	TON	1986 1985	45.5 41.2	NA Na			11.4 14.7	12.9 13.0		29.5 25.0	3.1 2.6	13.6 17.6	9.8 11.5		1	0 52.5 5 56.3		.0	21.9 16.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
GEN	ESEE	1986 1985	4.3 24.7	NA Na	· .		1.4	7.2 8.4		64.3 39.0	3.3	20.6 32.5	16.9 20.2			0 61.1 0 56.1		1.4	11.7 11.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
GRE	ENE	1986 1985	33.3 30.8	NA NA		:	13.3 26.9	12.4 11.4		24.4 3.8	.4	26.7 34.6	5.8 7.4		3			.0	10.5 8.9		100.0 100.0	100.0
HAN	IILTON	1986 1985	33.3	NA NA			.0 66.7	10.3 11.8		77.8 .0	6.9	11.1	6.9 5.9			0 . 69.6 0 61.8		.0	20.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
HER	KIHER	1986 1985	14.7 22.2	NA NA			26.5 22.2	14.3 13.9		26.5 19.4	.2 1.0	23.5 30.6	3.9 5.1		8			.0	15.5 15.6		100.0 100.0	100.0
JEF	FERSON	1986 1985 •	23.6 17.6	, NA , NA			16. 1 17. 1	14.6 13.5		23.6 35.9	1.6 1.8	34.2 25.2	8.3 8.0		2 1			.0	11.4		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
KIN	16 S	1986 1985	52.3 49.4	HA Na			9.7 8.7	32.6 29.6		17.1 19.0	.9	19.8 21.3	5.2 7.1		1.	B 26.2		.4	35.1 28.2		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
LEN	is:	1986 1985	21.7 16.7	NA NA			13.0 16.7	4.0 7.4		54.5 33.3	4.0	8.7 33.3	17.3 13.5			0 56.0 0 60.8		.0	18.7 15.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
LIV	'INGSTON	1986 1985	18.0 17.7	. NA		Yest	19.0 24.2	7.2 8.0		28.0 45.2	2.4 3.9	34.0 11.3	6.4 7.6	•		0 60.1 0 62.		1.0 1.6	21.3 17.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

IBLE AB PERCENT OF FELDRY CONVICTIONS AND HISDEMEANDS AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ABULTS DNLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 - Continued

* SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

			Prison		Local			and Pr	Jail obation		•	ation		Fin	-	*************		hèr		ital
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Feloay Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	•		Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Cony	Hisd &	Felony Conv	Hisd &
MADISON	1986 1985	13.6 21.6	na · ka		10.6 11.4	7.9 B.2		37.9 27.3	1.7		36.4 37.5	12.5 9.1		.0	63.8 71.3		1.5 2.3	14.2 9.8	100.0 100.0	100.0
HOHROE	1986 1985	35.3 35.9	HA NA	•	13.3 11.5	16.9		23.9 27.8	2.0 2.1		26.5 23.3	11. £ 13.4		.1	40.4 45.4		.9 1.1	28.6 25.0	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
HOHTGONER	Y 1984 1985	20.0 17.4	KA HA		16.4 11.5	10.1		23.6 34.8	1.0		38.2 31.9	7.7 5.3		1.8	65.0 66.0		.0 1.4	16.1 18.2	 100.0 100.0	100.0
MASSAU	1984 1985	40.0 35.6	HA KA	:	13.4 14.5	17.6 17.3		25.5 25.3	2.5 3.7		17.8 22.6	17.6 17.7		1.3	36.7 35.1		1.5	25.5 26.3	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
HEN YORK	1984 1985	46.9 48.3	HA Na		20.2 20.8	- 68.0 62.0		7.9 6.3	.2		21.8 22.7	2.4 2.4		.3	8.7 10.2		1.0 1.2	20.8 25.3	100.0	100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	47.4 38.5	NA NA	•= -	17.0 24.3	20.3 21.9		5.2 3.4	2.3 2.7		27.4 33.1	9.9 9.6		1.5	52.4 51.7		1.5	15.1 14.0	 100.0 100.0	100.0
OKEIDA	1984 1985	36.5 30.2	NA NA	*	12.4 16.3	16.0 16.5		22.8 23.7	.9 1.3		27.0 28.8	7.7 9.7		. 0	60.0 59.0	•	.4 .5	15.4 13.5	100.0	100.0 100.0
CHOHDAGA	1984 1985	30.6 32.9	· NA		14.2 18.6	17.3 14.1		11.8 12.9	1.1		39. 6 33. 5	15.4 13.7		.6	40.7 44.4		3.1 1.8	25.5 26.6	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DNTARID	1986 1985	18.3 26.8	na Na		22.3 27.9	11.1 12.1		28.4 20.7	1.3		25.B 21.2	5.6 5.0		2.2 1.7	64.0 66.3		3.1 1.7	18.0 14.9	100.0	100.0 100.0
. ORANGE	1984 1985	30.3 28.0	HA HA		8.3 5.0	13.4 14.2		29.2 26.5	1.5 2.1		30.7 - 39.4	9.4		.4	67.3 63.8		1.1	8.2 9.4	100.0 100.0	100.0
ORLEANS	1984 1985	45.0 24.3	HA NA		6.7 1.4	14.9 15.3		25.0 41.9	5.4 4.9		23.3 31.1	19.0		.0	43.4 47.3		.0 1.4	17.3 20.7	100.0 100.0	100.0
OSNE60	1986 1985	23.7 20.0	HA.		10.2 15.0	10.8 13.7		33.9 40.8	3.8 1.8		28.0 21.7	0.01		.8 1.7	59.9 64.6		3.4	15.6 11.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
015E60	1984 1985	32.4 22.7	HA NA		8.1 11.4	5.1 8.4	•	27.0 34.1	1.4 .6		29.7 29.5	4.6 5.0	:	.0	77.9 75.8		2.7 2.3	11.0 10.2	100.0	100.0

B-67

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS *

		State Pi		Local			noitsdo			ation	Fin				her		otal
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	25.0 31.7	na Na	16.2 12.0	24.4 20.6	21.3	1.8		36.0 30.3	10.4 11.9	1.5 1.4	49.6 52.6	•	.0	13.8 13:2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
T106A	1984 1985	23.0 20.4	NA NA	4.9	9,0 7.6	7.8 24.5	1.2		60.7 34.7	7.1 7.2	1.6 4.1	64.5 67.6		.0 2.0	18.2	100.0 100.0	100.0
TONPKINS	1985 1985	25.9 27.5	AK AK	5.6 6.9	4.1 7.9	5.6 13.7	. 4 1.0		51.9 46.1	5.2 10.4	5.6	66.0 54.0		5.6 5.9	22.1 26.7	100.0 100.0	100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	.30.1 26.4	NA NA	13.7 10.2	16.4 15.1	19.6 17.8	.9	•	34.6 44.7	7.0 5.6	1.3 .5	61.7 66.7		.7 .5	11.9 11.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	33.3 18.2	NA NA	18.2	14.7 13.5	15. 2 27. 3	.4		33.3 24.2	5. 6 5. 7	.0	63.1 61.9		.0	16.3 17.9	100.0 100.0	100.0
NASHINGTON	1984 1985	35.3 4.2	NA NA	23.5 43.8	9.3	14.7 12.5	2.5 2.4		26.5 39.6	7.2 3.3	.0-	61.1		.0	17.0 15.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
HAYNE	1986 1985	12.6 13.7	na Na	18.1 17.1	12.9	26.0 24.8	.6 .7	· .	38.6 41.9	6.5 9.0	4.7 1.7	59.0 58.6	-	.9	21.0 22.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	31.7 40.2	AK AK	9.7 5.2	26.6 18.9	25.4 17.2	1.7		32.5 36.8	11.3 11.0	.5	48.6 56.7		.1	11.7 11.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
MACHINE	1986 1985	13.3 30.3	RA Na	20.0 15.2	5.0 7.4	26.7 24.2	3.0 4.9		40.0 27.3	19.2 18.5	3.0	63.5 64.2		.0	8.4 4.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
YATES	1786 1985	17.1 23.1	HA NA	11.4 12.8	9.9 13.3	42.9 25.6	2.5 2.9		28.6 35.9	9.1 2.9	.0 2.6	46.3 44.8		.0	32.2 36.2	100.0 100.0	100.0
HEW YORK STA	TE1984 1985	43.4 41.8	NA NA	13.3 13.9	36.6 34.0	17.2 15.6	1.1		24.4 26.6	6.9 7.2	.8 .9	33.5 36.0		1.0	21.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 160.0
HEW YORK CIT	¥ 1986 1985	48.9 47.2	na Na	 13.7 14.8	53.1 49.7	13.7 11.4	.4		22.6 25.0	3.8 4.1	.5	1B.3 20.7		.7	24. d 25. i	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types say be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

								INVESTI	GATIONS .				PRE-D	ISPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED	ì
		INTAK	Œ ª			Tota		Facily (Court	Criminal			· Tot		Family (Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year		Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	441.1 456.2	49 50			1,159.5 1,084.7	9	196.5 206.4	18 18	963.0 878.2	8		218.1 114.8	11 17	15.2 20.8	23 21	202.9 94.1	- 17 17
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,196.0 903.7	20 32			475.2 396.8	56 58	108.9 70.7	56 56	366.3 326.1	54 54		.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
BRONX	1986 1985	523.5 643.2	45 42			637.8 602.7	41 42	91.3 88.7	50 50	546.5 514.0	32 33		106.4 104.0	17 19	104.4 100.8	5 5	1.8 3.2	35 35
BROOME	1986 1985	1,364.3 1,388.1	15 12			887.5 919.8	19 12	256.2 291.8	7 7	633.3 627.9	26 20		1.9	50 51	1.9	43 40	.0	42 42
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	272.0 364.4	59 54			582.6 479.3	46 52	171.2 169.9	26 26	411.5 309.4	50 56		7.0 10.5	45 46	3.5 1.2	41 42	3.5 9.4	30 30
CAYUGA	1986 1985	563.2 632.4	43 43			455.6 398.2	57 56	102.6 81.4	52 52	352.9 316.8	55 55		.0 i.3	51 52	.0	44 44	.0 1.3	40 40
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	881.2 954.2	36 35		-	883.3 805.7	21 21	175.4 205.9	. 19 19	707.9 599.7	19 21		156.5 153.2	15 13	34.2 45.8	18 12	122.3 107.5	14 - 14
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	2,223.2 1,996.9	3	•		1,230.9 1,195.8	8 7	253.0 233.7	13 13	977.9 962.0	7.		70.7 132.6	23 14	3.3 2.2	42 38	67.4 130.4	10 10
CHENANGO	1986 1985	288.0 264.2	56 59			728.0 820.6	35 20	74.0 76.1	55 55	654.0 744.6	24 15		40.0 30.0	32 35	40.0 30.0	14 17	.0	42 42
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,124.4 1,107.0	23 24			848.5 825.0	27 19	315.3 447.5	2 2	533.3 377.6	34 47		6.2 14.7	46 42	4.9 11.0	39 28	1.2 3.7	- 34 34
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	285.0 354.8	57 55			482.7 551.1	55 47	133.4 80.9	53 53	349.3 470.3	56 40		.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
CORTLAND	1986 1985	3,067.5 2,861.2	2 2			1,042.2	10 10	213.1 236.3	12 12	829.1 841.9	13 10		.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,817.4 1,546.2	5 7			626.3 443.6	43 55	208.1 87.4	51 51	418.3 356.2	49 50	-	229.3 219.7	9 8	229.3 219.7	3 2	.0	42 42

TABLE 7A-1 PRODATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							INVESTI	BATIONS			•		PRE-D	ISPOSITION	SUPERVI	SION CASES	RECEIVED	1 .
		INTAK			Tota		Family (Court	Criminal	Court			Tot	al	Family (Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year		lank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,066.2	27 26		576.3 632.3	47 41	137.4 126.2	37 37	436.9 506.1	47 34			69.7 50.2	24 27	40.9 36.8	13 15	28.8 13.3	27 27
ERÎE	1986 1985	585.6 550.8	42 48		530.0 580.6	52 45	7 9.9 110.8	42 42	450.1 469.8	46 41			.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	42 42
ESSEX	1986 1985	953.2 1,056.0	32 28		347.1 316.2	61 59	88.2 101.7	46 46	259.0 214.5	61 60			13.8 16.5	42 40	11.0 13.8	30 23	2.8 2.8	37 37
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	1,655.3 1,705.8	7 5		1,470.3 1,438.6	4	258.0 244.3	11 11	1,212.3	3			52.5 45.7	2 9 30	13.7 4.6	25 35	38.8 41.1	22 22
FULTON	1986 1985	382.8 296.0	51 57		571.4 649.7	50 39	230.8 166.0	25 28	340.7 463.7	57 38			12.8 16.2	43 41	12.8 16.2	28 22	.0	42 42
SENESEE	. 1986 1985	1,197.3 1,436.0	19		1,275.5 1,136.3	7 8	202.4 171.0	25 25	1,073.1 965.2	4 5			226.2 164.3	10 12	13.6 5.1	26 33	212.6 159.2	9
GREENE	1986 1985	1,311.2 1,138.0	17 23		420.4 294.1	59 61	85.5 50.4	60 60	334.9 243.5	59 58			235.2 4.8	8 49	4.8 4.8	40 34	230.4	42 42
HAMILTON	1986 1985	20.0 20.1	62 62	•	360.0 140.4	60 62	20.0 20.1	62	340.0 120.4	58 62			.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
HERKINER	1986 1985	191.3 173.3	61 61		693.1 484.2	38 50	301.9 245.1	10 10	381.2 239.1	53 59			34.4 6.0	37 48	6.0 3.0	34 37	28.4 3.0	36 36
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	1,985.7 1,793.8	4		856.5 746.2	26 28	68.4 63.2		788.1 683.0	15 18			.0	51 53	.0	44	.0	42 42
KINGS	1986 1985	443.6 608.6	48 44		546.8 521.7	51 48	70.4 61.9		476.4 459.8	41			82.6 68.5	19 25	78.4 62.5	7 8	4.2 6.0	32 32
FERIS	1986 1985	561.3 556.3	44 45		628.5 480.8	42 51	162.1 123.2		466.4 357.6	44 49	•		55.3 123.2	28 15	7.9 7.9	32 30	47.4 115.2	12 12
LIVINESTON	1986 1985	911.3 756.1	38 38		762.8 764.6	31 26	143.3 166.3		619.5 598.3	27 22		•	47.B 25.7	38 30	47.8 24.0	10 20	.0 1.7	39 39

[{] Continued on next page. }

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							INVESTIG	GATIONS ·					PRE-D	ISPOSITIO	Y SUPERVI	GION CASE	S RECEIVED	
		INTAK			Tota		Family (Criminal	Court			Tot		Family (Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank			Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rațe	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1986 1985	1,439.9 1,347.0	10 13		807.8 674.2	30 35	151.7 131.8	35 35	656.2 542.4	22 28			60.1 64.4	26 26	.0 1.5	44	60.1 62.9	19 19
NONROE	1786 1785	710.8 651.7	39 41		647.9 594.9	40 43	117.7 130.8	36 36	530.2 464.1	35 42			37.3 38.2		35.7 38.2	17 14	1.6	42 42
HONTGONERY	1986 1985	335.9 297.0	53 56		717.9 580.7	36 44	255.3 211.3	17 17	462.6 369.3	45 48			5.8 13.3		5.8 13.3	35 24	.0	42 42
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,412.7 1,414.1	11 10		1,038.9 1,071.9	12 11	160.8 177.6	23 23	878.0 894.3	11 7			316.6 269.3	4	5.2 6.2	37 32	311.4 263.1	3
NEW YORK	1986 1985	279.8 402.0	58 53		950.4 831.5	15 18	48.6 49.7	61 61	901.8 781.9	10 11			78.3 99.3	20 20	47.3 53.0	11 9	31.1 46.3	21 21
NIAGARA	1986 1985	724.3 962.2	38 30		616.4 667.5	44 36	136.5 147.3	31 31	479.9 520.1	39 32	٠		0	51 53	.0	44	.0 .0	42 42
DNEIDA	1986 1985	725.6 727.9	37 37		900.2 742.2	18 29	203.2 163.9	29 29	697.0 578.3	20 27			70.8 29.1	22 36	5.6	34 39	65.2 27.1	24 24
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	986.8 1,246.0	30 18		1,648.5 1,450.6	2	203.8 229.7	14 14	1,444.7	2 2			241.6 241.8	. 7	95.4 116.9	6 4	146.2 124.9	11 11
ONTARIO	1986 1985	506.5 726.3	47 38		885.0 778.8	20 22	104.1 110.4	43 43	780.9 688.4	. 17			5.4 10.8	48 45	.0	44 44	5.4 10.8	28 28
DRANGE	1986 1985	1,040.5 1,320.8	28 14		696.8 691.7	37 33	85.6 111.0	41 41	611.3 560.8	28 26			117.9 110.6	16 18	.0	44	117.9 110.6	13 13
ORLEANS	1986 1985	1,095.1 1,093.5	25 25		827.8 666.8	29 37	82.3 76.6	54 54	745.5 590.2	18 23			213.4 115.0	12 16	5.1 10.2	38 29	208.2 104.8	16 16
DSMEGO	1986 1985	591.1 551.5	41 47		1,320.2 1,359.8	6 5	278.4 252.6	9	1,041.8	5 4			575.3 183.0	11	382.1 .0	1 44	193.1 183.0	6
OTSE60	1986 1985	907.1 683.9	34 39		432.4 449.7	58 54	128.4 153.3	30 30	304.1 296.5	60 57		•	.0 203.8	51 9	.0	44 44	.0 203.8	5 5

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

	RECEIVED	N CASES	SUPERVISI	SPOSITION	PRE-DI					GATIONS	INVESTIG			•					
Court	Criminal	rt	Family Co	1	Tota				Criminal		Family C		Total		KE +	INTA			
Rank	Rate	ank	Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rank		Year	·	COUNTY
42 42	.0	19 13	29.4 38.3	38 32	29.4 38.3			38 46	476.3 400.5	47 47	78.4 96.4	48 49	574.8 496.9		31 33	963,2 882.6	1986 1985		PUTNAN
31 31	5.1 7.1	8 7	68.9 69.8	21 23	74.0 76.9			48 52	427.5 344.0	59 59	65.0 53.3	54 57	492.5 397.4		54 52	335.9 446.1	1986 1985		QUEENS
18 18	88.3 71.4	44 36	.0 3.3	18 24	88.3 74.7			33 29	534.6 536.5	21 21	226.1 189.0	32 31	760.7 725.5		18 29	1,239.9 1,048.5	1986 1985		RENSSELAER
42 42	.0	22 19	16.6 28.1	40 37	16.6			62 61	205.0 207.8	45 45	110,5 104.0	62 60	315.5 311.9		52 46	338.0 553.1	1986 1985		RICHMOND
8	128.2 175.7	2 ·	232.4 240.9	2 1	360.7 416.6			30 31	569.0 527.1	40 40	106.1 112.4	39 40	675.1 639.4		40 40	638.2 677.1	1986 1985		ROCKLAND
7	177.3 178.1	21 25	18.4 13.2	13 10	195.9 191.3		-	9 12	906.9 780.3	49 49	132.1 90.8	11	1,039.0 871.2		i 1	1,719.9 1,413.4	1986 1985		ST. LAWRENCE
20 20	42.0 48.9	27 16	13.4 35.9	27 - 21	55.5 84.8			40 36	477.1 493.8	15 15	255.3 213.5	34 32	732.5 707.3		35 34	, 897.0 858.3	1986 1985		SARATOBA
4	261.0 220.5	29 27	12.å 11.3	5 7	273.5 231.8			21 24	678.4 587.7	24 24	182.4 177.3	25 25	860.9 765.0		16 21	1,352.9 1,238.5	1986 1985		SCHENECTADY
29 29	3.4 10.1	44	.0	49 47	3.4 10.1			43 53	469.B 338.9	33	140.9 137.6	45 53	610.7 476.5		55 58	291.9 275.2	1986 1985		SCHOHARIE
42 42	11.5	44 26	.0 11.4	44.	11.5 11.4			4	1,005.7 856.6	5 5	354.3 371.2	5 6	1,362.1 1,227.8		1	4,092.0 3,460.7	1986 1985		SCHUYLER
2 2	174.5 280.8	31 44	9.3	14 3	183.8 280.8	•		36 44	501.6 430.3	6	376.9 299.1	23 30	878.5 729.4		29 20	1,021.8 1,242.2	1986 1985		SENECA
15 15	90.8 106.8	3	156.9 156.2	6 5	247.7 263.0			23 25	655.3 584.5	20 20	267.3 196.2	17 23	922.6 780.8		26 27	1,091.B 1,062.2	1986 1985		STEUBEN
42 42	.0	12 10	44.4 50.0	31 28	44.4 50.0		 i l	i	1,976.3 2,270.3	38 38	129.3 126.2	i i -	2,105.6 2,396.4		21 17	1,170.8 1,299.1	1986 1985		SUFFOLK
	71.4 .0 .0 .0 128.2 175.7 177.3 178.1 42.0 48.9 261.0 220.5 3.4 10.1 11.5 .0 174.5 280.8 90.8 106.8	36 22 19 2 1 21 25 27 16 29 27 44 44 44 26 31 44	3.3 16.6 28.1 232.4 240.9 18.6 13.2 13.4 35.9 12.6 11.3 .0 .0 11.4 9.3 .0 156.9 156.2 44.4	24 40 37 2 1 13 10 27 21 5 7 49 47 44 44 14 3 6 5	74.7 16.6 28.1 360.7 416.6 195.9 191.3 55.5 84.8 273.5 231.8 3.4 10.1 11.5 11.4 183.8 280.8 247.7 263.0			29 62 61 30 31 12 40 36 21 24 43 53 44 44 23 25	536.5 205.0 207.8 569.0 527.1 906.9 780.3 477.1 493.8 678.4 587.7 469.8 338.9 1,005.7 856.6 501.6 430.3 655.3 584.5	21 45 45 40 40 49 49 49 15 15 15 24 24 33 33 5 5	189.0 110,5 104.0 106.1 112.4 132.1 90.8 255.3 213.5 182.4 177.3 140.9 137.6 356.3 371.2 376.9 299.1 267.3 196.2	31 62 60 39 40 11 14 34 32 25 45 53 5 6 23 30 17 23	725.5 315.5 311.9 675.1 639.4 1,039.0 871.2 732.5 707.3 860.9 765.0 610.7 476.5 1,362.1 1,227.8 878.5 729.4 922.6 780.8 2,105.6		29 52 46 40 40 6 11 35 34 16 21 55 58 1 1 20 26 27	1,048.5 338.0 553.1 638.2 677.1 1,719.9 1,413.4 897.0 858.3 1,352.9 1,238.5 291.9 275.2 4,092.0 3,460.7 1,021.8 1,242.2 1,091.8 1,062.2 1,170.8	1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985		RICHMOND ROCKLAND ST. LAWRENCE SARATOGA SCHENECTADY SCHOHARIE SCHUYLER SENECA STEUBEN

	•			INVESTIGATIONS		PRE-DISPOSITI	ON SUPERVISION CASE	S RECEIVED
		INTAKE .	Total	Family Court	Criminal Court	Total	Family Court	Criminal Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
SULLIVAN	1986	1,109.3 24	1,033.5 13	166.2 48	867.3 12	.0 51	.0 44	.0 42
	1985	958.6 31	869.8 15	94.7 48	775.1 13	.0 53	.0 44	.0 42
TIOGA	1986	1,402.0 12	845.1 28	345.1 3	500.0 37	35.3 36	23.5 20	11.8 26
	1985	1,308.1 15	859.5 17	430.7 3	428.8 45	43.7 31	29.8 18	13.9 26
TOMPKINS	1986	508.0 46	1,006.8 14	245.4 32	761.4 17	332.2 3	6.8 33	325.3 1
	1985	536.6 49	888.3 13	140.7 32	747.6 14	331.3 2	1.1 43	330.1 1
ULSTER	1986	1,373.9 14	930.0 16	126.7 44	803,3 14	23.1 39	.0 44	23.1 23
	1985	1,140.0 22	774.2 24	105.6 44	669.6 19	33.0 34	,0 44	33.0 23
WARREN	1786	1,539.7 8	869.5 24	396.4 4	472.1 42	36.0 35	36.0 16	1.8 38
	1785	1,450.4 8	868.1 15	384.6 4	483.5 39	48.5 29	46.7 11	.0 38
WASHINGTON	1986	1,149.4 22	572.9 49	184.5 16	388.4 51	14.1 41	14.1 · 24	.0 42
	1985	1,309.2 15	569.1 46	213.2 16	355.9 51	.0 53	.0 44	.0 42
WAYNE	1986	1,517.0 9	881.8 22	295.5 B	586.4 29	.0 51	.0 44	.0 42
	1985	1,594.5 6	753.8 27	258.1 B	495.7 35	.0 53	.0 44	.0 42
WESTCHESTER	1986	1,389.6 13	1,494.4 3	843.5 1	651.0 25	66.6 25	66.6 9	.0 41
	1985	1,244.5 19	1,608.9 2	895.1 1	713.7 16	84.3 22	84.1 6	.2 41
DATHORM	1986	254.9 60	492.6 53	110.3 34	382.4 52	39.2 33	36.8 15	2.5 25
	1985	216.3 60	661.3 38	132.8 34	528.6 30	22.1 39	7.4 31	14.8 25
YATES	1985	419.B 50	754.7 33	198.i 22	556.6 31	.0 51	.0 44	.0 33
	1985	456.1 51	674.9 34	101.5 22	493.3 37	4.7 50	.0 44	4.7 33
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985	778.9 NA	878.6 NA 863.4 NA	155.0 NA 156.4 NA	723.5 NA 707.0 NA	101.0 NA 94.9 NA	49.8 NA 47.9 HA	51.2 NA 47.1 NA
HEN YORK CITY	1986	389.4 NA	617.6 NA	70.1 NA	547.6 NA	AN 0.08	70.7 HA	9.3 NA
	1985	526.0	554.2 NA	63.7 NA	490.5 NA	An 8.08	67.0 NA	13.8 NA

^{*} Intake occurs only in family court.

NOTE: Drawatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

			PROBATI	OH SUPERVI	SION CASES	RECEIVED		UNDER	R PROBATI			ING THE YEAR	š		UNGEI	R PROBAT		ISES ISION ON	DECEMBER	i 31
		Tat	al	Family (Court	Crisinal	Court	Tota		Family (Criminal	Court		Total		Family !	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBAHY	1986 1985	420.3 387.2		71.6 50.4	13 26	348.6 336.8	- 3 4	1,267.8 1,131.5	4 5	132.3 88.1	13 28	1,135.5 1,043.5	4 6		908.6 846.2	4	63.2	14 17	845.4 785.6	5 5
ALLEGANY	1984 1985	273.3 169.0		51.5 29.5	27 48	221.8 139.5	31 53	651.5 528.5	50 57	73.3 58.9	42 48	578.2 469.5	48 55		483.2 375.2	45 55	37.6 21.6	41 54	445.5 353.6	46 53
BROHI	1984 1985	322.6 300.7		22.1 20.4	- 58 55	300.4 280.4	7 B	1,089.4 795.4	8	42.9 45.4	60 57	1,026.5 950.0	B 7		818.4 752.9	7 .	23.2 21.0	54 55	795.2 731.8	2 7
BROOME	1986 1985	293,4 292.3		50.3 57.9	30 18	243.4 234.4	19 21	951.6 916.0	14 10	107.2 125.2	23 11	844.4 790.8	14 15		700.7 657.1	15 14	61.2 60.7	17 15	639.0 596.4	17 15
CATTARAUGUS	1984 1985	216.9 186.3		45.7 43.4	34 33	171.2 143.0	52 51	568,6 486.3	58 58	92.4 72.7	39 39	476.0 413.7	57 59	•	405.6 351.6	58 57	49.2 46.9	30 30	356.4 304.7	57 59
CAYUGA	1986 1985	137.7 146.5		26.3 26.3	55 50	111.4	61 58	406.8 404.5	62 62	50.1 55.1	57 52	356.7 349.4	62 62		286.6 269.2	62 62	17.5 23.8	57 50	269.1 245.4	62 61
CHAUTAURUA	1988 1985	277.4 275.3		43.3 54.1	38 22	234.1 221.2	22 28	761.0 695.0	36 40	98.5 102.4	29 22	862.5 582.4	34 44		529.0 479.8	38 38	53.8 54.8	24 21	475.2 425.0	37 42
CHENUKG	1986 1985	418.8 380.5		103.9 108.7	2 2	314.9 271.8	5 10	1,404.4 1,292.5	2	223.2 191.3	2	1,181.2 1,101.2	3		1,048.6 969.6	2 2	119.3 117.4	2 2	929.3 852.2	2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	350.0 320.2		92.0 44.0	3 31	258.0 276.2	16	842.0 718.5	29 34	126.0 86.1	17 29	716.0 632.5	29 32		528.0 492.4	39 37	56.0 34.0	21 39	472.0 458.3	40 33
CLINTON	1984 1985	259.9 185.1		22.2 33.1	57 44	237.7 152.0	20 49	863.3 755.2	25 30	57.9 77.2	51 36	805.4 677.9	19 27		703.2 600.7	14 23	20.9 35.6	55 37	682.3 565.2	10 19
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	248.8 260.7	43 30	64.3 54.5	17 21	184.5 206.3	45 31	764.4 704.6	35 35	125.9 198.9	15 19	637.6 595.7	40 39		561.8 518.1	34 32	72.5 64.4	9 12	489.3 453.8	36 35
CORTLAND	1984 1985	286.9 293.3		57.0 50.6	23 24	230.0 242.7	23 18	890.3 877.8	20 14	101.3 B4.4	27 30	789.0 793.4	21 14		628.7 603.5	22 21	44.3 44.3	34 32	584.4 559.2	20 20
DELAWARE	1986 1985	191.1 183.4		38.2 27.7	43 49	152.9 155.7	55 47	588.1 563.0	56 54	61.6 55.5	48 51	526.5 507.6	54 51		437.4 398.8	54 52	31.8 23.5	45 51	405.5 375.4	51 50

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			· .	PROBAT	ION SUPE	RVISION CA	SES RECEIVE)						ING THE YEAR	•	UNDEF	PROBAT	CI ION SUPER	ASES VISION ON	DECEMBER	₹ 31
•			Tot			ly Court	Crimina	Court		Tota	al .	Family (Criminal		Tota		Family (Criminal	Court
COUNTY	. ' '. 	Year	Rate	Rank	Rat	e Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS		1984 1985	236.8 284.2			2.1 40 0.6 25	194.7 233.6	41 23		808.8 807.5	30 24	88.8 115.å	33 18	720.0 691.9	28 25	588.4 571.5	- 28 27	50.2 42.7	28 35	538.2 528.8	27 23
ERIE		1984 1985	278.6 276.0			1.3 29 4.3 30	227.3 231.7	26 24		723.2 670.5	41 43	100.5 81.0	28 32	622.7 589.5	43 42	435.7 443.1	55 48	52.8 50.4	25 26	382.9 392.6	53 48
ESSEX		1986 1985	159.8 140.2			3.1 48 0.2 47	126.7 110.0	59 60		506.9 475.7	59 59	55.1 49.5	52 55	451.8 426.2	59 58	371.9 346.5	59 58	27.5 22.0	49 53	344.4 324.5	58 55
FRANKLIN		1986 1985	326.5 317.4			5.1 10 1.8 20	253.4 262.6	17 12		945.2 874.6	15 15	118.7 121.0	20 17	826.5 753.5	17 18	628.6 628.0	17 18	47.9 54.8	31 20	634.7 573.2	19 18
FULTOX		1986 1985	260.1 301.4			2.1 39 1.3 42	217.9 267.1	33 11		934.1 860.8	16 17	69.6 46.9	46 56	864.5 813.9	12 11	670.3 664.1	18 13	29.3 27.1	47 - 47	641.0 637.1	16 13
GENESEE		1986 1985	394.6 425.0			7.5 21 7.7 B	335.0 357.3	2		1,331.6	2	120.7 93.1	19 26	1,210.9 1,134.6	2 2	979.6 941.5	3	62.9 69.4	15 9	916.7 872.1	3
GREENE		1986 1985	249.4 137.4			7.0 22 5.2 40	192.4 101.3	42 61		622.3 576.2	5 1 52	71.3 72.3	43 41	551.1 503.9	51 52	415.7 378.5	57 54	42.8 14.5	36 62	372.9 364.1	54 51
HANILTON		1986 1985	320.0 120.4	62		0.0 59 0.1 57	300.0 100.3	62		600.0 682.0	55 41	60.0 80.2	50 34	540.0 601.8	53 37	460.0 280.8	49 61	20.0 40.1	56 36	440.0 240.7	4B 62
HERKINER		1984	174.9	55	3	5.8 16 8.9 35	109.1 127.0	62 56	•	578.5 567.9	57 53	159.9	5 9	418.5 433.4	56 56	451.4 403.5	52 51	125.6	4	325.9 309.3	60 57
JEFFERSON		1986 1985	333.3 317.2	13	7	1.7 11 3.4 6	261.6 243.8	14		870.9 847.8		136.9 124.2	11	734.0 723.6	26 22	577.3 549.8	29 29	54.3 66.6	20 11	521.0 483.2	28 29
KINGS		1986 1985	246.7 247.4	35	. 1	7.4 53 9.8 58	219.3 227.6	32 25		977.3	11	46.6 39.9	59 59	930.8 870.8	10	744.1 734.6	9 10	24.3	52 58	719.7 714.5	8
LEWIS LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	213.4 202.7	45	4	9.5 42 3.7 32	173.9 159.0	51 45		691.7 786.8	46 27	102.8 155.0	26 5	588.9 631.8	47 33	502.0 480.8	42 . 38	47.4 63.6	32 14	454.5 417.2	44
rtatud2104		1986 1985	353.2 282.9			6.3 24 4.0 53	296.9 258.9	13		1,063.1 970.4	9	78.5 56.6	38 50	984.6 913.8	9	723.5 709.8	10 11	54.6 18.9	23 59	4.84.9 690.9	14 10

TABLE /A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

	•				4	ES RECEIVED		-	UNDE	R PROBAT		ASES SION DUI	RING THE YEAR	t #	•	UNDE	R PROBATI		ASES VISION ON	DECEMBER	1 31
		Tota	d ·	Family (ourt	Criminal	Court		Tat		Family (Criminal	Court		Tota		Family (Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1986 1985	310.8 257.7	16 33	31.5 24.0	51 54	279.3 233.7	11 22		785.3 686.2		52.4 58.4	56 49	732.7 627.8	27 34		605.1 473.5	25 41	25.5 21.0	50 56	579.6 452.5	21 36
HONROE	1986 1985	319.0 289.0	14 20	32.5 37.9	49 37	286.5 251.1	10 15		952.6 829.8	13 23	78.4 75.2	3B 38	874.2 754.6	11 17		661.0 631.6	20 17	40.7 45.8	40 31	620.3 585.8	19 17
MORTGONERY	1986 1985	228.4 241.8	49 37	71.0 59.0	14 16	157.4 182.8	54 42		756.2 694.9	37 38	126.7 108.5	16 20	629.6 586.4	41 43		555.2 523.5	33 31	51.8 55.2	27 19	514.4 468.3	31 32
NASSAU	1986 1985	340.1 363.1	9 6	35.4 45.4	46. 29	304.7 317.7	5		1,171.6	7. 7	88.1 102.2	34 24	1,093.4 918.9	6 8		899.6 826.3	5	42.9 52.4	35 23	856.7 773.9	4 4 6
NEW YORK	1784 1785	213.4 207.3	52 44	17.0 18.3	60 59	196.4 188.9	40 38		881.1 849.7	22 18	37.3 38.0	62 61	843.8 811.6	15 12		686.3 666.9	16 12	12.7 20.3	å1 57	673.6 646.7	11 12
NIAGARA	1988 1985	278.2 278.5	27 24	39.6 38.8	41 36	234.5 239.7	21 19		723.8 654.5	40 46	69.6 62.4		654.2 592.2	3B 41		459.7 448.5	- 50 47	24.9 30.0	51 45	434.B 418.5	50 44
ONEIDA	1986 1985	257.9 219.4	38 42	49.5 36.3	38 31	208.5 183.1	38 41		766.2 730.2		77 .3 72.6		688.9 665.6	32 28		5 36.4 503.7	37 35	37.4 27.5	42 46	479.0 476.2	35 31
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	313.5 292.4	15 23	48.1 47.1	32 28	265.3 235.3	13 20		968.7 783.3	24 29	91.3 81.0		777.4 702.3	22 24		609.4 552.7	24 28	44.9 43.0	33 34	563.5 509.8	23 26
DNTARIO	1984 • 1965	303.7 263.0	18 29	59.7 57.4	20 19	244.0 205.7	10 32		806.9 740.4	31 31	107.4 92.0		699.6 648.4	31 30		569.4 502.2	30 36	61.8 47.6	•	507.6 454.6	33 34
ORANGE	1986 1985	305.6 345.9	17 7	44.7 48.6	36 27	260.9 297.2	15 6		899.5 873.3	19 16	132.4 122.9	12 13	767.1 750.5	23 19		641.1 602.7	21 22	88.7 89.0	6 5	552.4 513.8	25 25
ORLEANS	1984 1985	262.2 321.9	34 10	51.4 30.7	28 46	210.8 291.3	35 7		1,179.9	. . 6	102.8 71.5		1,077.1 1,055.2	7 5		704.9 909.4	12 4	12.9 48.5	&0 28	694.1 861.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
OSWEGO	1986 1985	284.0 258.5	23 31	61.9 67.1	19	224.1 191.4	36 36		798.5 737.8	32 33	128.8 137.7	14 8	669.7 600.1	38 33		544.3 514.5	33 36	71.1 67.1	11 10	473.2 447.4	39 38
OTSE60	1986 1985	135.1 158.3	62 56	1.7 15.2	62 62	133.4 143.2	58 50		665.5 672.1		54.1 59.0	55 47	611.5 613.1	44 35		486.5 528.9	44 30	11.8 52.2	62 24	474.7 476.7	38 30

TABLE 7A-2 PRODUCTION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			PROBATI		SION CASE	S RECEIVED	· · · · ·		UNDER	R PROBATI	-		ING THE YEAR	. .	UN	DER PROBA		ASES VISION ON	DECEMBER	31
		Tota		Family (Court	Criminal			Tota		Family (Court	Criminal			otal	Family		Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAM	1986 1985	259.8 249.7	37 34	34.3 58.1	47 17	225.5 191.6	29 35		731.6 644.0	39 47	77.2 105.1	41 21	654.4 539.0	36 49	555 475		41.7 43.3	38 33	513.5 432.7	32 39
QUEENS	1986 1985	191.7 172.0	55 53	15.6 17.0	61 60	176.1 155.0	48 48		613.1 532.5	53 56	39.8 38.5	61 60	573.3 494.0	49 53	464 419		15.7 24.1	59 - 49	449.2 395.2	45 47
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	270.9 330.4	30 9	84.4 74.0	5	186.6 256.4	44 14		1,009.2 915.1	10 11	156.9 121.6	6 15	852.3 793.5	13 13	750 740		86.4 72.7	. 7 . 8	664.5 667.3	15 11 -
RICHNOND	1984 1985	140.7 136.9	60 61 :	25.1 24.3	56 52	115.6 112.6	60 59		472.2 440.3	61 60	43.2 33.4	59 62	429.0 406.8	59 60	359 332		16.6 18.2	58 60	342.5 314.0	59 56
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	268.9 339.0	32 8	91.9 125.9	4	177.1 213.0	47 29		850.3 847.9	27 19	226.8 237.9	1	623.5 610.0	42 36	56 7 582		97.8 135.4	4 1	470.i 447.5	41 37
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	185.2 155.1	57 57	37.2 16.8	45 61	148.9 139.3	57 54		508.2 589.9	54 50	54.1 43.2	54 58	554.1 546.7	50 47	477 419		37.2 16.8	43 - 61	440.6 403.0	47 46
SARATOGA	1986 1985	204.8 173.3	53 52	52.4 35.9	26 41	152.3 137.4	56 55		489.3 414.6	60 61	85.3 53.2	36 54	404.0 361.4	61 61	361 287		54.8 33.4	22 42	306.5 255.6	61 60
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	273.4 266.3	28 28	44.6 59.8	37 13	229.0 206.5	24 30		851.5 932.1	26 22	103.9	24 6	. 747.7 690.6	25 24 ·	597 576		52.6 59.1	26 18	545.3 517.3	26 24
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	255.0 177.9	41 51	26. 8 20. 1	54 56	228.2 157.7	25 46		714.8 630.9	44 49	60.4 53.7	49 53	654.4 577.2	37 45	523 459		23.5 33.6	53 41	500.0 426.2	34 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	465.5 465.4	2	74.7 62.8	8 11	390.8 422.6	1 1		1,206.9	5 4	109.2 79.9	21 35	1,097.7	5 4	885 736		57.5 34.3	19 38	B27.6 702.4	9
SENECA	1986 1985	246.1 192.3	45 47	71.7 51.9	12 23	174.5 140.4	49 52		704.0 702.0	45 36	177.6 180.1	4 3	526.5 521.9	55 50	439 448		71.7 103.8	10 3	367.6 344.9	55 54
STEUBEN	1986 1985	234.3 201.4	47 - 46	53.7 32.9	25 45	180.6 168.5	46 44		690. 4 669.8	47 44	87.7 76.0	35 37	602.7 593.8	45 40	478 454		41.3 33.9	39 40	437.6 420.2	49 43
SUFFOLK	1986 1785	34B.5 316.3	- 8 14	74.5 66.2	10°	274.0 250.1	12 16	•	955.9 896.8	12 13	150.8 139.1	. 7 7	805.1 757.7	20 16	- 662 606		90.1 76.3	5 6	572.3 530.6	22 22

⁴ The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases.

For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

							REVOCATI	ONS				
				 ********			~~~~~		Criminal	Courtes		
		Total	5	Facily C	ourt##		Tot	al	Techni	cal	Hen C	onv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	,	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	84.3 60.7	5 11	64.0 64.0	32 30		86.7 60.4	2 8	57.B 44.&	3 5	28.9 15.9	15 31
ALLESANY	1996 1985	21.3 18.6	57 57	54.1 66.7	36 29		17.1 12.6	60 59	13.7 0.4	49 51	3.4 4.2	. 57 56
BRONX	1984 1985	80.9 75.3	6 5	37.1 89.2	44 20		82.7 74.7	4 5	65.1 65.4	2	17.5 9.2	30 47
BROOME :	1986 1985	26. 4 43.7	51 34	39.8 63.9	40 32		24.7 40.5	52 33	15.2 18.5	- 45 36	9.6 22.0	44 21
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	28.9	49 61	25.3 16.1	52 51		29.6 8.5	47 61	12.3 .0	51 59	17.2 8.5	31 50
CAYUGA	1986 1985	89.2 99.1	- 1	100.0 68.2	17 27		87.7 103.9	1 2	. 28.1 28.7	20 22	59.6 75.3	2 1
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	40.4 48.6	41 25	35.5 74.3	46 26		41.1 44.0	36 26	26.4 21.4	22 29	14.8 22.6	35 20
CHEHUNG	1984 1985	56.6 55.5	19 15	118.8 113.6	10 13		44.9 45.4	32 23	36.5 37.5	15 12	8.4 7.9	47 53
CHENANGO	1984 1985	87.9 55.7	2 - 14	95.2 46.5	20 41		86.6 57.0	3 10	55.9 44.3	4	30.7 12.7	11 40
CLINTON	1986 1985	20.0 22.7	59 56	21.3 63.5	54 33		.19.9 18.1	57 56	12.2 16.3	53 42	7.6 1.8	51 59
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	50.2 49.2	17 24	77.9 75.8	25 25		54.3 44.3	17 25	54.3 33.2	5 17	.0	59 43

TABLE 76 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

REVOCATIONS

								hetoeni					
			-							Criminal	Courtes	ŧ	
		-	Tota	1] #		Family [Courtes	Tol	tal	Techni	cal	New C	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1984 1985		49.8 55.3	27 16		104.2 125.0	- 15 - 8	42.8 47.9	34 19	26.7 29.3	. 21 21	16.0 18.6	34 27
DELAVARE	1984 1985		25.3 15.2	54 59		69.0	30 54	20.2 16.8	-55 57	12.1 4.2	54 56	8.1 12.6	50 41
DUTCHESS	1984 1985		39.0 42.2	43 38		74.6 155.9	27 3	34.6 23.2	42 54	28.7 14.2	19 47	5.9 9.1	54 49
ERIE	1984 1985		41.7 52.2	37 19		133.0 87.7	5 21	27.0 47.3	51 20	21.1 33.4	31 16	5.8 14.0	55 36
ESSEX	1986 1985		27.2 23.1	50 54	•	.0 111.1	59 14	30.5 12.7	45 50	24.4 .0	28 59	6.1 12.9	53 38
FRANKLIN	1986 1985		41.1 28.7	39 50		38.5 .0	42 54	41.4 33.3	35 43	24.9 15.2	27 45	16.6 18.2	33 29
FULTON	1986 1985		54.9 50.3	22 21		105.3 76.9	13 24	50.8 48.8	22 16	8.5 2.2	57 58	42.4 46.6	4
BEKESEE	1986 1985		44.7 42.8	35 35		140.8 36.4	4 44	35.1 43.3	41 28	22.5 28.4	30 23	12.6 14.9	37 33
GREENE	1986 1985		64.9 62.8	. 13 9		33.3 200.0	49 . 2.	49.0 43.1	10 29	47.4 14.4	7 46	21.6 28.7	25 l 1
HAHILTON	1986 1985		.0	62 62		.0	59 54	.0	62 62	.0	61 59	.0	59 60
HERKINER	1986 1985		20.7 28.9	58 49	•	1B.7	56 54	21. 4 37. 9	54 38	10.7 37.9	5å 10	10.7	42 60

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

•				
DE	unc.	1 T	กมต	

					•=								
			-	,						Criminal	Courter	t	******
			Tota	al a	Family	Court##	•	Tot	al	Techni		New I	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		48.2 49.3	29 23	4B.4			49.1 39.0	25 34	18.0 25.0	39 27	30.1 14.0	13 35
KINGS	1984 1985		51.7 36.9	26 43	12.2 13.2			53.4 38.0	18 37	13.0 7.3	50 52	40.7 30.6	6
LEWIS	1986 1985		22.9 35.4	56 45	38.5 25.6			20.1 37.7	56 40	20.1 25.2	35 26	.0 12.6	59 42
LIVINGSTON :	1984 1985	-	80.3 53.0	B 18	130.4 121.2			76.3 48.6	- B - 61	15.6 16.9	43 41	40.7 31.9	1 8
MADISON	1986 1985		24.9 56.8	55 13	57.1 102.6			22.5 52.5	53 14	14,3 33,4	47 15	8.2 19.1	4B 25
HONROE	1986 1985		31.7 29.7	46 49	39.9 56.6			30.9 27.1	43 51	16.9 17.3	42 39	14.0 9.8	- 36 46
KONTGOKERY	1986 1985		86.3 46.6	3 27	° 197.0 122.8			64.0 32.5	12 44	15.2 6.5	44 53	48.8 26.0	3 15
NASSAU	1986 1985		31.2 45.8	47 29	34.3 53.7			30.9 45.0	44 24	12.3 20.0	52 33	18.6 24.9	29 16
MEH YORK	1984 1985		51.9 62.2	24 10	39.9 35.5			52.4 63.4	20 7	13.0 19.4	48 35	38.6 44.0	8
NIAGARA	1986 1985		54.1 45.9	23 28	125.8 125.9			46.5 37.4	28 41	17.6 15.6	41	28.9 21.8	16 22
ONEIDA	1986 1985		59.9 54.0	15 17	192.7 126.4			45.0 46.1	31 21	18.7 19.8	37 34	26.3 26.4	17 14

REVOCATIONS

								 	.ura				
										Crisinal	Courtes	ŧ	
•			Total	al#		Family (Court**	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA	1984 1985		80.B 80.7	7		11B.2 100.8	11 17	76.4 78.3	7	75.5 75.3	l 2	.8 3.1	58 57
ONTARIO	1986 1985	÷	84.7 86.3	4 3		101.0 74.1	16	82.2 85.1	5 3	41.9 43.4	13	40.3 41.7	7
DRANGE	1784 1785		71.8 42.5	11 36		91.2 35.2	22 45	68.5 43.7	11 27	44.0 34.6	12 14	24.5 9.1	21 48
ORLEANS	1986 1985		45.B 36.3	33 44		125.0 .0	8 54	38.2 38.7	26 28	26.3 31.5	24 18	11.9 7.3	40 54
OSWEGO	1986 1985		40.8 23.9	40 53	- _• ,	13.0 12.2	57 53	46.2 26.6	29 52	33.7 18.2	17 37	12.5 8.4	38. 51
OTSEGO	1986 1985		25.4 27.6	53 51		.0	59 54	27.6 30.2	50 47	2.8 11.0	60 49	24.9 19.2	19 24
PUTHAH	1984 1985		48.6 40.3	39 38		63.5 47.1	33 40	46.B 39.0	27 35	20.8 20.8	33 32	26.2 18.3	18 28
QUEENS	1986 1965		46.5 37.9	31 42		32.7 38.9	50 43	47.4 37.8	26 39	6.3 4.6	58 55	41.1 33.2	5 7
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		44.4 18.1	36 59		92.4 59.8	21 35	35.6 11.7	40 60	26.3 9.2	23 50	9.3 2.5	45 58
RECHHOND	1988 1985		46.4 51.6	32 20		B0.2	24 30	42.9 50.6	33 15	11.2 21.0	55 30	31.7 29.6	9 10
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		46.9 44.5	30 32		24.9 17.4	53 50	54.9 55.0	16 13	35.0 40.B	16 9	19.9 14.2	27 - 34

TABLE 7B PROBATION REVOCATIONS FER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

		•						REVOCATI	DNS				
	•									Criminal	Court	ŧ	
		Tota	i]ŧ		Family C	ourt##		Tot	al	Techni	cal	Нен С	onv
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1988 1985	26.2 34.4	52 46	•	98.4 40.8	18 - 42		19.2 33.9	58 42	14.4 21.0	46 31	4.8 12.9	56 38
SARATOSA	1986 1985	77.2 74.6	9		85.7 116.3	23 12		75.4 69.5	9 6	45.2 49.7	10	30.2 18.9	12 26
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	36.0 45.5	44 31	•	76.? 117.4	26 11		30.3	46 46	20.5 15.4	34 44	9.8 15.4	43 32
SCHOHARIE :	1986 1985	56.3 26.6	20 52		55. å . 0	35 54	•	56.4 29.1	15 48	25.6 5.8	25 54	30.8 23.3	10 19
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	57.1 43.9	18 33		105.3 214.3	13 1		52.4 31.4	21 45	52.4 25.2	ል 24	.0 5.2	59 55
SENECA	1986 1985	75.2 95.7	10 2		70.2 50.8	29 39		76.9 111.1	6	47.3 76.0	8	29.6 35.1	14 5
STEUBEN	1986 1985	59.8 58.3	16 12		70.6 81.1	28 23		58.2 55.4	14 12	46.2 41.5	9 8	12.0 13.8	39 37
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	45.3 42.3	34 37		20.2 25.2	55 49		50.0 45.4	24 22	29.0 25.8	18 25	21.0 19.6	26 23
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	51.7 49.3	25 22		39.2 60.2	41 34		53.0 40.3	19 18	44.5 37.9	11 10	8.5 10.3	46 45
TIOGA	1986 1985	68.6 47.5	12 26		112.9 83.3	12 22		61.5 42.9	13 30	38.5 34.9	14 13	23.i 8.0	22 52
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	35.1 45.7	45 30		125.0 94.3			27.6 41.1	49 32	20.7 30.4	32 20	6.9 10.7	52 44

⁽ Continued on next page.)

REVOCATIONS

				-	 : --				Criminal	Courtes		
			Tota	16	Family D	Courts	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New (Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	 Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985		55.6 65.8	21 8	97.7 127.3	19 5	50.3 58.6	23 9	25.6 30.9	25 19	24.7 27.7	20 12
WARREN	1985 1985		61.2 67.3	14	179.5 133.3	3	46.1 56.2	30 11	23.0 22.5	29 28	23.0 33.7	23 6
WASHINGTON	1986 1985		28.9 39.3	4B 41	28.0 67.4	51 28	29.3 28.9	48 49	18.3 4.1	38 57	11.0 24.8	41 17
HAYNE	1986 1985	* _	41.7 39.4	38 . 40	65.6 28.3	31 47	37.0 41.4	- 31 - 39	17.7 17.2	40 40	19.3 24.1	28 18
HESTCHESTER	1984 1985		· 40.1 30.1	42 47	35.5 54.1	45 37	40.4 28.1	37 50	18.8 12.1	36 48	21.6 16.0	24 30
BADAINE	1986 1985	•	16.6 14.8	60	34.5	47 54	12.3 18.2	61 55	4.1 18.2	59 37	8.2 .0	48 40
YATES	1986 1985		15.5 23.1	61 54	.0	59 54	17.1 26.5	59 53	.0	61 59	17.1 26.5	32 13
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985		50.0 47.5	na Na	56.0 56.5	NA NA	49.5 46.6	NA NA	24.6 24.3	na Na	24.8 22.3	na Na
HEN YORK CITY	1986 1985		56.6 51.0	HA NA	30.1 40.6	na Na	58.0 51.6	HA NA	22.7 22.0	HA NA	35.2 29.6	NA NA

^{*} Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

^{**} Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

^{***} Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases-supervised during the year.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 #

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

		***************************************	Admissions					31 Population	
		Total	Unsentenced Sen	enced	Reported Capacity	Average Daily Population	Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank Rate	Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	1,362.4 22 1,259.4 25	935.4 28 427. 860.3 32 399.		150.3 5 153.2 5	137.3 4 128.6 3	133.4 2 113.4 6	68.5 4 63.8 8	64.9 1 49.7 3
ULLEGANY	· 1986 1985	788.1 46 888.0 48	929.7 36 158. 750.5 42 137.		69.3 37 60.8 35	55.4 45 51.1 44	63.4 34 45.2 44	39.6 26 21.6 47	23.8 32 23.6 33
BROOKE	1986 1985	1,123.3 38 1,040.3 41	785.6 40 337. 732.4 43 307.		95.8 17 85.7 25	72.6 28 71.5 27	74.0 25 71.5 21	35.1 36 35.3 28	38.9 15 36.2 9
CATTARAUSUS	1986 1985	1,283.7 26 1,238.6 27	963.7 25 320 958.6 23 280		89.1 22 89.1 21	73.9 27 57.4 39	77.4 20 72.7 20	55.1 B 32.8 32	22.3 40 39.8 6
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1,249.1 30 1,457.6 17	851.1 33 398. 940.4 26 517.		77.6 28 77.6 29	67.6 33 62.6 35	47.6 47 68.9 25	25.0 47 40.1 23	22.5 39 28.8 21
CHAUTAUDUA	1985 1985	1,107.6 40 1,044.2 40	772.9 42 334 694.0 45 350		68.5 39 67.9 39	60.8 39 60.3 37	68.5 31 49.9 41	50.3 13 35.4 27	18.2 47 14.6 49
CHEHUNG	1986 1985	1,428.7 19 1,190.3 31	941.4 27 487. 797.0 34 391		101.7 13 100.0 13	100.6 9 98.1 11	103.9 6 108.7 8	47.5 18 45.7 17	56.4 4 63.0 2
CHENANGO	1986 1985	1,026.0 43 1,142.8 35	522.0 53 504 662.5 49 480		66.0 42 66.0 40	56.0 44 56.0 41	44.0 49 34.0 55	18.0 53 20.0 51	28.0 25 14.0 51
CLINTOH	1986 1985	1,944.6 4 1,615.8 11	1,496.3 6 44B 1,157.3 14 45B		64.0 44 63.7 43	56.7 43 53.9 42	55.4 40 58.8 31	30.8 41 33.1 31	24.6 29 25.7 32
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	1,426.7 20 1,414.1 18	1,049.4 21 377 996.7 20 417		92.3 20 95.7 18	77,4 24 89.1 10	72,5 26 75.9 16	36.2 35 42.9 19	36.2 18 33.0 14
CORTLAND	1986 1985	1,753.2 9 1,698.6 7	1,310.1 10 443 1,299.8 8 398		67.5 40 67.5 39	78.1 23 78.1 22	85.5 14 111.8 7	27.4 45 80.2 6	59.1 2 31.7 17
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,025.4 44 819.0 52	697.9 49 335 569.4 51 249		63.7 45 68.2 37	44.6 52 34.1 56	23.4 57- 44.8 46	19.1 50 27.7 61	4.2 57 17.1 43
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,005.B 45 933.7 44	834.9 35 171 762.8 40 170		68.6 36	61.5 38 56.8 40	52.6 43 58.0 33	39.7 25 42.3 21	12.9 54 15.7 47

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

					~==		issions			 	 					 		er 31 Papul			:	•
			,=	Tota		Unsent	enced	Senter			Capac		Average Popul	ation		Tota	-	Unsente	nced	Sent	enced	
COUNTY		Year	R	ate 	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran	ŧ	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
ERIE		1986 1985		178.3 170.4	35 34	1,013.6 1,006.7	22 19	164.7 163.7	51 - 53		72.9 100.9	32 12	66. 65.			59.3 53.4	37 37	40.1 37.4	24 25	19. 16.		_
ESSEX		· 1986 1985		038.6 750.7	42 54	776.9 539.0	41 53	261.7 211.7	39 43	· ·	66.0	41 41	44. 33.			24.8 33.0	56 56	16.5 30.2	52 36	8. 2.		
FRANKLIN		1986 1985		456.6 374.6	17 21	995.4 949.9		461.2 424.7	9 12		125.6 125.6	7	. 98. 84.			82.2 75.4	17 17	34.5 38.8	34 24	45. 36.		
FULTON		1986 1985		315.0 680.2	23 9	470.7 505.3	55 55	844.3 1,174.9	1		.0 .0	57 57	78.0 84.0			 47.6 57.8	46 35	22.0 23.5	48 45	25. 34.		1
GENESEE		1986 1985		481.3 297.1	16 23	1,088.4 982.2		392.9 315.0	20 25		71.4 23.7	34 56	52.7 47.			71.4 64.3	29 28	37.4 32.2	33 30	34. 32.		
GREENE		1986 1985		156.8 697.4	8 3	1,408.6 1,164.5	7 12	748.2 532.8	3		128.3 130.2	6 6	90.3 84.4			78.4 60.3	30	49.9 33.8	14 30	29. 26.		
HANILTON		1986 1985		260.0 624.9	29 10	820.0 1,103.3		440.0 521.6	12 5		80.0 80.2	27 28	40.			40.0 129.4	51 5	.0 80.2	57 5	40. 40.		
HERKINER		1986 1985		113.4 134.2	39 37	852.0 860.7		261.6 273.5	40 34		53.8 53.8	49 47	47.1 58.3			43.3 38.9	50 52	29.9 29.9	43 38	13. 9.		
JEFFERSON		1985 1985		217.4 181.9	33 33	848.8 764.3		368.7 417.7	24 13		77.3 74.5	30 31	71.7 73.			59.6 65.5	36 27	29.0 31.4	44 35	29. 33.		
LENIS		1984 1985		632.4 906.0	56 45	482.2 766.9		150.2 139.1	56 55		90.9 91.4	21 20	39.1 43.			51.4 47.7	45 43	31.6 19.9	38 53	19. 27.		
LIVINESTON		1986 1985		665.5 549.9	11 15	1,196.2 1,171.0		469.3 378.9	9 20		95.6 96.0	1B 17	105.1 89.1			92.2 84.0	8 12	53.1	22 12	47. 30.		τ
MADISON	•	1986 1985		225.2 141.7	32 36	953.5 884.0		271.8 257.7	35 36		70.6 70.4	35 33	75. '70.			90.1 56.9	10 36	52.6 28.5	11 40	37. 28.		
MONROE		1986 1985		300.3 198.4	25 30	1,123.5 958.2		176.8 240.2	49 39		77.6 70.2	29 34	80. 88.			B7.1 79.6	13 15	63.6 53.3	6 11	23. 26.		

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

				•	JAIL AND	PENLIENT LARY				
			Admissions					Deceab	er 31 Population	
•		Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced	Reported Capacity	Average Daily Population	· ·	Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced
COUNTY	Year 	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	1,525.9 15 1,593.5 12	1,155.5 16 1,041.4 17	370.4 23 552 ₂ 1 3	184.3 3 186.5 2	120.9 5 91.4 8		120.9 4 81.9 13	72.9 3 66.5 7	48.0 B 15.2 48
NASSAU	1986 1985	623.7 57 655.1 57	459.9 56 964.2 56	163.8 52 190.9 47	72.7 33 64.4 42	86.7 18 82.3 19	•	87.2 12 85.9 10	60.5 7 57.3 9	26.8 26 28.5 22
HIASARA	1986 1985	1,135.5 36 1,223.6 29	967.2 29 921.0 27	268.3 37 302.6 29	97.7 15 97.9 14	87.1 17 84.5 16	•	75.6 24 69.7 23	46.1 20 35.1 29	29.5 23 34.6 10
OXEIDA	1986 1985	863.6 52 705.1 55	707.8 47 534.4 54	155.7 54 170.7 52	82.9 25 82.2 26	80.9 20 74.2 24		76.9 22 67.0 26	52.7 10 40.7 22	24.1 30 26.3 30
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	1,804.2 7 1,708.9 6	1,619.6 3 1,524.3 3	184.6 47 184.6 49	99.7 14 87.9 23	91.3 12 96.3 6		83.3 16 92.0 9	47.1 19 54.6 10	36.3 17 37.4 7
ONTARID	1986 1985	1,671.4 10 1,381.2 20	1,190,9 12 975.3 22	480.5 6 405.9 15	97.6 16 96.3 15	93.3 11 85.5 13		90.0 11 85.5 11	31.5 39 20.6 50	58.6 3 64.9 1
ORANGE	178 6 1785	1,563.7 13 1,360.4 22	1,337.6 9 1,148.2 15	226.1 42 212.2 42	94.8 19 96.2 16	90.9 13 80.0 20	a .	85.9 15 73.5 19	49.7 15 51.2 14	36.2 19 22.3 37
ORLEANS	· 1986 1985	1,452.4 18 1,290.2 24	1,156.8 15 1,029.6 18	295.6 33 260.6 35	185.1 2 166.1 4	156.B 3 125.2 4		66.8 33 122.6 4	41.1 23 99.6 3	25.7 27 23.0 35
DSHEGO	1986 1985	1,070.2 41 1,129.8 38	796.0 38 768.0 37	274.2 34 361.8 22	80.3 24 80.4 27	66.7 34 60.4 36		54.3 41 57.9 34	38.5 28 27.7 42	15.9 50 30.2 20
OTSE60	1986 1985	790.5 54 904.5 46	567.6 51 616.5 50	223.0 44 288.0 32	37.2 55 37.1 54	49.0 48 48.8 49		35.5 52 42.1 49	11.8 56 15.2 56	23.6 33 27.0 27
PUTNAM	1986 1985	915.4 49 892.5 47	763.5 43 760.2 41	152.0 55 132.3 57	49.0 51 49.4 50	47.8 50 49.4 48		44.1 48 39.6 50	20.8 49 21.0 49	23.3 36 18.5 41
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	942.0 47 864.2 50	716.5 46 668.6 46	225.4 43 195.6 46	73.8 31 75.3 30	47.5 51 50.9 45		51.4 44 37.0 53	30.3 42 21.1 48	21.1 43 15.9 46
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	732.2 55 699.8 56	416.7 57 403.8 57	315.5 30 276.0 31	30.8 58 30.9 55	37.2 56 36.2 55		33.5 53 32.8 57	16.9 51 20.0 52	16.5 49 12.8 53

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

			Admissions		_				December 31 Pop	ulation		
		Total	Unsentenced	Sentenced	Repor Capac	ity Po	rage Daily opulation	Total		tenced	Senten	
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank Ra	te Rank		Rank Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	827.1 53 798.0 53	557.6 52 561.7 52	269.5 36 236.3 41	54.1 53.8		59.4 41 50.3 47	53.2 45.0	42 31. 45 18.		22.2 26.5	41 29
SARATOGA	· 1984 1985	932.4 48 836.7 51	753.8 45 662.8 48	178.5 48 173.9 50	42.7 43.3		54.7 42 53.2 43	56.1 39.0	39 32. 51 21.		23.8 17.3	31 42
SCHENECTADY	1985 1986	1,283.0 27 1,233.2 28	970.7 24 919.1 2B	312.3 31 314.1 26	58.4 58.4		61.9 37 68.4 30	67.2 63.1	32 49. 29 50.		18.0 12.6	48 54
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	1,375.8 21 1,100.7 39	1,047.1 20 889.3 29	308.7 32 211.4 44	70.5 70.5		53.7 46 50.3 46	70.5 43.6	29 36. 47 30.		33.6 13.4	21 52
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,189.7 34 1,844.6 3	793.1 39 1,473.4 4	396.6 19 371.2 21	120.7 119.9		03.4 g 02.8 5	92.0 131.3	9 46. 2 119.		46.0 11.4	10 55
SENECA	1986 1985	1,557.6 14 1,593.2 13	1,180.7 13 1,274.1 9	376.9 22 299.1 30	105.9 89.5		87,2 16 79,4 21	81.0 70.2	18 37. 22 42.		43.6 27.5	13 26
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,131.1 37 962.6 43	846.9 30 777.7 36	264.2 JB 184.9 48	50.4 50.3		68.1 32 67.8 31	60.9 58.6	35 38. 32 44.		27.7 14.4	38 50
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	898.7 50 879.1 49	687.7 49 668.0 47	211.1 46 211.1 45	57.4 57.4		62.3 36 65.1 34	58.1 52.2	38 36. 39 32.		21.3 20.1	42 39
SULL IVAN	1986 1985	2,606.4 i 2,491.1 i	1,849.9 2 1,791.4 1	756.6 2 699.7 2	239.1 242.6		12.8 1 74.6 1	201.2 168.6	1 153, 1 127.		48.1 41.4	7
TIDSA	1986 1985	1,225.5 31 1,185.0 32	754.9 44 786.0 35	470.6 7 399.0 17	109.B 111.2		72.5 29 77.4 23	76.5 89.5	23 25. 24 37.		51.0 31.0	6 16
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	1,269.4 28 1,390.0 19	1,157.5 14 1,251.3 10	111.9 57 139.5 54	37.7 37.4		34,2 57 48,8 50	25.1 43.1	55 14 48 26		10.3	55 44
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,584.7 12 1,518.6 16	1,151.0 17 1,159.5 13	433.6 15 359.0 23	86.5 86.7		84,7 19 87,9 12	69.4 75.1	30 51. 18 52.		18.3 22.6	46 36
WARREN	1986 1985	1,917.1 5 1,885.3 2	1,515.3 5 1,452.2 5	401.8 17 433.1 11	117.1 104.2		72.1 30 71.9 26	72.1 48.5	27 48 42 25		23.4 23.4	35 34

NEWYORKSTATE

NEWYORKCITY

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 . - Continued

						issions				r		A	04614				aber 31 Popu			
	UNITY	Tot		Unsent		Sente			Repor Capai	ity	Average Popul	ation		Tot	al	Unsent	enced	Senter	nced	
COUNTY		Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HASHINGTON		1986	1,302.3	24	864.7	31	437.6	13		87.9	23	87.9	15		94.9	. 7	38.7	27	56.2	5
		1985	1,245.8		812.3	33	433,5	. 10	٠	75.2	19	64.6			52.9	38	19.4	54	33.5	13
HAYNE		. 1986	1,814.8	6	1,378.4	8	436.4	; 14		65.9	43	75.0	26		77.3	21	54.5	9	22.7	37
		1985	1,787.5	5	1,318.1	7	469.4	8		54.0	46	66.2	32		59.3	40	29.7	39	20.6	39
HESTCHESTER		1986	2,208.9	2	1,892.4	1	316.5	29		118.2	9	110.5	é		112.1	- 5	68.2	5	43.9	12
		1985	1,843.6	4	1,600.2	- 2	243.5	38		121.3	8	95.3	7	••	80.9	14	50.4	16	30.5	19
MACHINE		1966	872.5	51	652.0	50	220.6	45		44.1	52	39.2			29.4	54	14.7		14.7	51
		1985	1,012.9	42	705.6	44	307.3	28		44.3	51	41.8	53		34.4	54	14.8	57	19.7	40
YATES		1986	1,787.7	8	1,551.9	4	235,8	41		179.2	4	160.4	2		132.1	3	117.9	2	14.2	52
		1985	1,591.7	14	1,354.4	6	237.4	. 40		176.9	. 3	153.6	2		125.7	3	97.7	4	27.9	24

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

1,317.2

1,458.1

1,225.3

1,182.0 - NA

1,032.0

B96.8

1,129.1

898.3

NA

287.2

285.2

329.0

327.0

1986

1985

1986

1985

HOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

120.7

110.4

178.4

153.1

NA

NA

NA

118.4

105.4

177.3

150.1

118.8

105.3

181.8

158.5

84.7

72.9

139.1

116.5

NA

34.1

32.4

42.7

42.0

NA

SOURCE: HYS Commission of Correction.

^{*} Data are not reported by county for New York City - only for New York City as a whole.

NEW COURT CONNITHENTS TO STATE PRISON

											/iclent	Felonie	Ś									Drug Fe							
				Tot		Tota		Hosic	ide	Sex Off	enses	Rob	bery	Burg	lary		pans	Ot	her	Tol		Posse		Sal	£ .	Othe Felo	nies	Youti Offer	nders
	COUNTY	Year		Rate		Rate		Rate,		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	
	ALBANY	1986 1985		74.1 72.9	6 5	25.1 21.5	10 12	2.0 1.4	1 5 31	4.9	14 17	4.2 4.6	21 13	7.1 7.0	16 15	1.8 2.6	10 9	4.2 1.8	8 27	11.6 20.8	10 5	6.4 8.8	7 3	5.3 12.0	i3 6	36.3 29.9	4 3	1.1	36 19
	ALLEGANY	1986 1985		4.0 7.9	62 60	.0 3.9	62 51	2.0	43 21	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 2.0	37 22	.0	51 46	·.0	37 32	.0	43 42	4.0 2.0	59 59	.0 2.0	32 13
	BRONX	1986 1985		167.4 136.1	2 2	105.6	2	14.9 13.7	- 1	7.5 7.3	5	53.0 44.4	2 2	12.8 10.1	2 4	10.4 11.5	2 2	7.0 7.9	2	32.7 21.5	2	8.1 5.7	5 5	24.5 15.7	2	25.3 16.6	9 16	3.9 3.2	4 7
	BROOKE	1986 1985		56.9 38.1	11 19	23.7	12 11	2.4	20 34	6.2 3.8	9 18	3.3 3.8	24 15	9.0 9.9	11	.9 .5	18 19	1.9	24 16	5.7 .5	19 45	2.8	13 32	2.8	24 41	27.0 15.5	7 20	.5 .5	28 38
B-90	CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985		11.7 11.7	57 56	3.5 3.5	54 54	1.2	35 36	1.2	46 43	.0 2.3	43 24	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	1,2	32 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	8.2 7.0	49 50	.0 1.2	32 28
	CAYUGA -	1984 1985		51.3 30.1	13 26	16.3	25 44	1.3	36 33	7.5 2.5	4 28	.0	43	5.0 3.8	22 30	.0	25 23	2.5 .0	17 40	3.8	28 46	.0	37 32	3,8	20 42	26.3 17.5	8 12	5.0 6.3	2 2
1	CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985		14.0 20.1	55 43	8.3 6.3	48 39	2.1 2.1	22 19	1.4	44	.7	41 39	2.1 4.2	42 26	.0	25 23	.0	37 31	.7 2.8	50 28	.0	37 22	.7 1.4	4 <u>1</u> 34	7.0 7.6	52 49	.0 1.4	32 21
: = İ	CHENUNS	1986 1985		64.1 56.5	8	28.7 29.4	5	2.2	21 17	6.6 7.6	. 7 3	4.4	20 37	11.0 8.7	5 11	.0 4.3	25 4	4.4 5.4	6	2.2	36 46 .	1.1	32 32	1.1	37 42	30.9	5 5	2.2 1.1	7 31
	CHENANGO	1986 1985	•	8.0 16.0	60 53	2.0 8.0	60 41	2.0	24 36	.0 2.0	51 31	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	0. 0.6	37 6	.0 4.0	51 20	.0 2.0	37 15	.0 2.0	43 28	6.0 4.0	55 55	.0	32 41
:	CLINTON	1984 1985		30.8 19.6	32 45	6.2 4.9	49 50	.0	43 36	1.2 2.5	45 29	2.5 1.2	25 35	2.5 1.2	40 50	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	3.7	29 43	.0	37 32	3.7 1.2	21 37	20.9 12.3	14 31	1.2	32 25
	COLUXBIA	1986 1985		47.8 59.4	17	11.5 18.2	35 19	3.3	10 28	4.9 5.0	13 8	.0	43 46	1.6 8.3	48 12	.0	25 23	1.6	26 12	23,1 23,1	3	9.9 5.0	- 3 7	13.2 18.2	5 2	13.2 16.5	29 18	.0 1.7	32 17
. (CORTLAND	1986 1985		40.1 54.9	25 10	6.3 16.9	47 20	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	.0 2.1	43 25	6.3 2.1	17 44	.0 4.2	25 5	9.4	37 3	4.2	25 46	2.1	18 32	2.1	29 42	27.4 27.4	4	2.1	9 1
•	DELAWARE	1986 1935		10.6	58 62	2, i 2, i	59 58	.0	43 36	, o 2. i	51 30	2.1	30 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0 2.1	51 36	0	37 32	.0 2.1	43 27	8.5	48 61	.0	32 41

NEW COURT CONMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

													Felonie	i									Drug Fe							
				٠	Tota	ì	Tat	al	Honi	cide	Sex Of			ery	Burg	lar"	Neag	ons	Oti	ier	 Tol	al	Posse		Sa	2	Othe Felo	nies	Youti Offer	nders
	COUNTY	ear		Ra	te)		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	_	Rate		Rate	
	DUTCHESS	985 1985		21 25		42 31	13.2 13.3	30 27	.8	38 36	1.9 2.7	40 24	3.5 4.3	22 14	4.7	28 26	1.6	11 21	.8 1.2	34 34	1.6	40 40	.4	36 32	1.2 1.6	35 33	6.6 10.2	54 40	.0	32 35
	ERIE	984 985		31 32		31 22	16.0 15.9	26 23	1.5 2.0	32 22	3.2 2.7	23 25	3.4 3.3	23 17	4.8 5.9	25 21	1.0	17 16	2.1	21 30	4.3 1.9	24 39	2.1 1.2	19 26	2.2	28 40	11.0 13.7	39 26	.6 .8	25 34
	ESSEX	984 985		16 24		51 35	2.8 8.3	57 40	2.B	16 36	.0 2.8	51 23	.0 5.5	43 12	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	13.8 13.8	27 25	.0 2.8	32 10
	FRANKLIN	986 985		13 25		56 34	4.6	53 43	4.8 2.3	15	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0 4.6	51 27	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	4.6	23 46	2.3	15 32	2.3	27 42	4.6 18.3	57 10	.0	32 41
B-91	FULTON	986 985		42 46		21 14	9.2 16.2	38 22	1.B 3.6	26 7	3.7 1.8	19 37	.0	43 40	3.7 9.0	30 10	.0	25 23	.0 1.8	37 25	5.5 9.0	20 10	1.8	21 32	3.7 9.0	22 7	20.1 16.2	15 19	7.3 5.4	1 3
•	GENESEE	783 785		20 27		44 29	5.1 10.2	51 35	1.7 1.7	28 27	.0	51 47	1.7	43 30	.0 3.4	51 35	.0 3.4	25 6	3.4 .0	10 40	.0 3.4	51 25	.0	37 32	. 0 3.4	43 16	13.4 10.2	28 42	1.7 3.4	13 6
	GREENE	1986 1985		26 26		37 30	11.9 7.2	33 42	2.4	19 36	2.4 4.8	35 9	7.1	9 40	.0 2.4	51 41	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	2.4 7.2	35 15	2.4 4.8	14 8	.0 2.4	43 24	11.9	36 32	.0	32 41
	HAHILTON	785		100 20		4	20.0	17 60	.0	- 43 36	20.0	1 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	- 37 - 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	80.0 20.1	8	.0	32 41
	HERKINER	986 985	٠.	7 13	.5	61 55	1.5 3.0	61 56	.0 1.5	43 30	1.5	43 47	.0 1.5	- 43 33	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	1.5	42 46	1.5	25 32	.0 .0	43 42	4.5 10.5	58 39	.0	32 41
	JEFFERSON	986 985		48 29	.6	15 27	26.5 12.4	B 29	4.4 2.3	. 6 16	12.1 7.9	2 2	2.2	28 40	3.3 2.3	34 43	1.1	16 23	3.3 .0	11 40	1.1 2.3	47 34	.0	37 32	1.1 2.3	3B 26	21.0 14.7	13 23	.0	32 41
	KINGS	986 985		121 92		3	75.2 59.0	3	11.9	3	4.1 1.7	17 34	37.7 29.7	2 2	10.9	. 14	7.6 6.3	3	2.9 3.2	14 13	24.5 19.0	9	5.2 4.1	8 11	19.3 14.9	3 5	19.7 13.4	17 27	2.2 1.4	8 22
	LEWIS	984 985		19 7	. B . 9	45 59	11.7	34 60	.0	43 36	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	11.9	3 52	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	.0 4.0	5 <u>1</u> 21	.0	37 32	4.0	43. 15	7.9 4.0	50 54	.0	32 41
	LIVINGSTON	986 985		30 25		22 23	10.2 13.7	37 26	.0 3.4	43 8	5.1 5.1	12 7	1.7	36 40	3.4 3.4	32 33	.0	25 23	.0 1.7	37 28	1.7 5.1	39 17	.0 3.4	37 12	1.7 1.7	32 31	17.1 6.9	22 51	1.7	12 41

B-92

									,	Violent	Felonie										Drug Fei		*******		Other		Youti	
			Tota	1	Tata	ıl	Hosi	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Robl	ery	Burg	lary		pons	Oti		Tal		Posses	ssion	Sal		Felor			nders
COUNTY	Year		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	
PUTNAN	1786 1785		28.2 22.3	35 42	4.9	52 49	1.2	34 32	.0	51 47	2.5 2.5	26 23	1.2	49 49	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	14.7	8 7	14.7 8.7	2	.0 2.5	43 21	8.6 6.2	47 52	.0	32 41
QUEENS	1984 1985		85.9 58.4	5 8	41.3 33.4	4 5	3.2 4.3	11:	2.6 1.8	29 3å	19.6 14.6	4	10.0 6.7	. · 7	2.7 3.4	4 7.	3.2 2.8	12 17	22.4 11.1	5 B	8.1 4.4	6 9	14.3 6.7	4 9	19.7 12.0	10 33	2.5 1.8	5 14
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		21.1 23.1	43 40	7.3 12.6	41 28	2.0 2.0	25 20	.7 2.0	50 32	2.0	42 27	2.0 2.6	44 40	.7	23 15	1.3	31 11	1.3	44	.7 1.3	34 23	.7	42 42	12.5 9.3	33 44	.0	32 41
RICHNOND	1984 1985		39.5 30.8	26 25	20.8 :19.6	16 17	4.5 2.9	5 10	2.1 1.9	- 38 35	7.5 5.9	. 7 10	4.8 6.7	24 18	1.3	14 11	.5	3 <u>6</u> 39	10.7	11 35	1.3	27 30	9.3 1.3	8 35	7.5 8.6	51 47	.5	27 40
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		42.5 31.7	20 23	16.5 11.7	24 30	.B 1.5	39 29	1.5 .8	42 46	4.9	15 22	7.9 5.3	14 23	.0	22 23	.8 1.5	35 29	13.5 8.3	9 13	1.1 1.5	31 21	12.4 6.8	8	12.0 10.2	35 41	1.5	31 19
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		18.6 18.5	46 49	4.4 5.3	54 47	.0	43 36	.9	49 47	1.8	39 29	2.7 2.6	39 39	.0	25 23	.0	37 37	1.8	37 45	1.8 .0	23 32	.0	43 42	11.5 13.2	38 28	.9	22 41
SARATOBA	1984 1985		17.1 19.2	50 47	6.7 10.5	45 34	.0	41 36	2.4 3.7	34 19	1.8	33 34	1.8 3.7	46 31	.0	25 23	.0 1.7	37 23	3.0 3.1	31 27	.0 1.2	37 25	3.0 1.9	23 30	6.7 5.0	53 54	.6.	26 37
SCHENECTADY	1985 1985		24.0 24.6	39 37	13.3 10.6	29 33	.7	40 36	2.7 7.3	28 4	4.7	17 21	2.7 .7	38 51	.0	25 23	2.7 .0	15 40	1.3 1.3	43 - 41	1.3	28 32	.0	43 36	9.3 11.3	44 36	1.3	32 23
SCHOHARIE	1986 1965	•	10.1 23.5	. 59 39	6.7 23.5	44	.0 3.7	43 4	3.4 3.4	22 20	.0	43 40	3.4 10.1	33 5	.0	25 23	.0 3.4	37 10	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	3.4	60 61	.0	32 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		23.0 17.1	40 52	17.2	23 60	.0	43 36	5.7	11 47	5.7 .0	13 40	5.7	20 52	.0	25 23	.0	37	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	5.7 17.1	56 14	.0	32 41
SENECA	1986 1985		37.4 9.2	27 58	12.5 3.1	31 55	3.1 .0	12 36	6.2 .0	8 47	.0	43 40	3.1 3.1	35 37	0	25 23	.0 .ú	37 40	3.1 .0	30 46	3.1	11 32	.0	43 42	21.8 6.1	12 53	.0	32 41
STEUBEN	1986 1985		15.5 24.7	53 36	4.1	55 32	.0	43 36	2.1 4.1	39 15	.0	43 40	2.1 5.1	43 24	.0	25 23	.0 2.1	37 20	1.0	48. 46	.0	37 32	1.0	39 - 42	9.3 10.3	45 39	1.0 3.1	20 8
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		42.0 44.8	23 15	18.4 21.4	20 13	1.6	31 23	2.4 2.9	33 21	6.3 8.1	11 6	6.0 6.1	19 19	.5 .5	24 18	1.6	28 24	9.9 8.1	12 14	1.2	29 20	8.7 6.5	9 10	12.9 14.2	30 24	.8 1.1	23 32

TABLE 10A MEN COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

NEW COURT CONNITHENTS TO STATE PRISON

											Felonie										Drug Fe	lonies						
			Tol		Tot			cide	Sex Of			bery	Burg	lary		pons	,	her		tal	Posse	ssion	Sal	e		nies		nders
COUNTY	Year			Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank		Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	
SUL' 1VAN	1986 1985		65.6 93.2	3	24.8 29.1	11 8	2.9 3.0	13	7.3 8.9	6 1	5.8 3.0	12 19	2.9 5.9	37 20	1.5	13 23	4.4 7.4	7 5	16.0 25.1	6 2	4.4 8.9	9 2	11.7 16.3	7 3	23.3 37.0	10	1.5 3.0	16 9
TIOGA	1986 1985		29.4 25.8	34 32	7.8 6.0	40 45	.0	43 36	.0 .0	.51 .47	2.0 2.0	31 26	3.9 2.0	29 45	.0	25 23	2.0	23 21	3.9 2.0	26 37	.0 2.0	37 17	3, 9	19 42	17.6 17.9	21 11	.0	32 41
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		32.0 22.7	30 41	18.3	21 37	1.1	36 36	2.3 4.5	3á 10	2.3 .0	27 40	9.1 3.4	9 34	1.1	15 23	2.3 1.1	19 36	1.1 2.3	45 33	1.1	30 28	.0 1.1	43 39	10.3	41 35	2.3	41
ULSTER	1986 1985		42.0 48.2	22 13	19.5 20.8	18 14	1.8	42 25	4,3 4,3	16 13	4.9	18 18	4.9 7.9	23 13	1.8	- 9 17	3.0 3.1	13 14	6.7 4.3	17 - 19	1.8	22 19	4.9	15 23	14.0 22.0	26 . 6	1.8 1.2	11 26
WARREN	1986 1985	· .	18.0 10.8	47 57	7.2 3.6	42 52	.0	43 36	3. &	21 47	.0 1.8	43 28	3.8 1.8	31 46	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0 3.6	51 23	.0	37 32	3.6	43 16 -	10.B 3.4	40 57	.0	32 41
WASHINGTON .	1986 1985		15.8 5.3	52 61	5.3 3.5	50 53	1.8	27 36	.0 1.8	51 38	1.8	35 40	.0 1.8	51 47	.0	25 23	1.8	25 40	1.8	38 46	.0	37 32	1.8	31 42	8.8 1.8	46 60	.0	32 41
WAYNE	1986 1985		25.0 18.3	38 50	13.6 5.7	27 46	.0	43 36	2.3	37 44	i.1 1.1	28 38	9.1 2.3	10 42	.0	25 23	1.1	33 35	1.1 3.4	46 24	.0	37 32	1.1 3.4	36 17	10.2 8.0	42 48	.0 1.1	32 30
WESTCHESTER	1994 1985		47.8 64.0	16 6	23.5 37.9	13 -4	2.9 5.2	14 . 5	3.1 4.0	25 16	7.1 11.9	10 5	7.4 10.7	15 3	.8 3.1	20 8	2.2 2.9	20 15	7.4 8.4	15 12	2.9 3.2	12 14	4.5 5.2	17 12	14.5 16.5	23 17	.5 1.2	29 29
HAOHINE	1986 1965		17.2 17.2	49 51	2.5 2.5	58 57	.0 2.5	. 43 13	2.5 .0	32 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0 2.5	51 52	.0	37 32	.0 2.5	43 22	14.7 12.3	24 30	.0.	32 41
YATES	1986 1985		33.0 27.9	29 28	18.7 18.6	19 18	.0	43 36	4.7	15 47	.0	43 40	9.4 9.3	8	.0	25 23	4.7 9.3	5 2	4.7	22 46	.0	37 32	4.7	16 42	9.4 9.3	43 43	.0	32 41
NEWYORKSTATE	1986 1985		84.0 60.7	NA NA	43.7 39.3	na Na	5.3 4.9	NA NA	3.3 2.9	NA NA	20.1 17.2	NA NA	8.1 , 7.2	NA NA	3.7 3.8	NA Ha	3.2 3.2	na Na	18.2 3.4	na Na	5.0 3.4	NA NA	13.2	na Na	20.6 16.5	na Na	1.7	NA NA
HENAOKKCTIA	1984 1985		147.3 115.4	NA NA	80.4 69.6	NA NA	10.1	NA NA	4.0 3.2	NA NA	42.0 34.8	na Na	11.7	NA NA	7.6 8.0	na Nā	5.0 5.1	na Na	35.3 23.0	NA NA	9.3 5.9	NA NA	26.0 17.2	na Na	28.7 20.6	NA NA	2.9 2.1	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank stores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

					`w								Felonie								Drug Fe				:				
			To		Tot			cide	Sex Of	fenses		ery	Burg	lary	Weap	ons	Oth		Tot		Posse	ssion	Sa	ile		Other Feloni	ies	Youth Offen	
COUNTY	Year			Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate		Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985		239.2 250.5	4	128,4 131.0	4	22.6 20.4	10 10	26.8 29.2	4 2	36.3 40.2	5 5	22.9 22.9	7	6.0 5.6	5 _.	13.8 12.7	å 7	40.6 48.3	4	19.4 19.4	3 2	21.2 28.9	5		69.2 69.0	4 2	1.1 2.1	21 15
ALLEGANY	1984 1985		13.9 11.8	62 61	5.9 7.9	62 59	4.0 3.9	54 53	.0 2.0	60 55	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	2.0 2.0	45 43	.0	55 55	.0 .0	43 42	.0	49 51		5.9 2.0	62 62	2.0	18
BRONX	1786 1785		474.7 432.7	2	367.5 348.4	2 2	92.5 83.8	2	32.5 29.1	1 3	168.0 165.7	2 2	-31.2 26.7	3	23.8 24.1	2 2	19.5	4 2	62.7 50.2	2 2	16.3 13.7	4	46.3 36.5	2 2		39.7 29.8	8 14	4.9	
BROOKE	1986 1985		133.3 109.7	13 13	81.1 ; 72.0	11 12	11.8	25 29	16.6 13.2	13	21.3 21.2	12 - 13	21.8 18.4	8	1.4	24 26	5.7 6.6	22 20	10.0 5.2	20 29	4.7 2.4	15 27	5.2 2.8	22 31		42.2 32.0	6 12	.0 .5	3
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985		29.3 31.6	57 54	15.2 15.2	57 53	2.3 1.2	59 59	4.7 5.9	51 40	5.9 8.2	28 24	1.2	55 54	.0	32 32	1.2	51 52	1.2	54 53	.0	43 42	1.2			12.9 14. i	48 46	1.2	2
CAYUGA	1986 1985		102.4 67.6	19 32	47.6 28.8	26 41	3.8 1.3	55 58	8.8 8.8	14 26	7.5 7.5	26 27	11.3 6.3	25 40	.0	32 32	8.8 5.0	11 28	5.0 3.8	39 31	.0 1.3	43 38	5.0 2.5	24 35		40.1 30.1	7 13	10.0 5.0	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985		37.7 43.7	51 49	23.1 22.2	48 48	84.7 12.5	23 24	2.1 1.4	55 58	1.4 2.1	53 45	2.B 4.9	51 42	.0 .0	32 32	2.1 1.4	44 49	2.B 9.7	46. 15	.0 5.5	43 11	2.8 4.2	37 24		11.9	50 51	.0	3
CHEMUNG	1986 1985		203.3 187.0	. 5 5	128.2 118.5	5 5	26.5 23.9	b 6	27.6 31.5	. i	14.4 18.5	16 14	36.5 28.3	2	2.2 4.3	16	21.0 12.0	8	2.2	48 47	2.2 1.1	31 41	1.1	49 48		69.6 62.0	3	3.3 4.3	
CHENAAGO	1986 1965)	36.0 44.0	55 47	25.0 32.0	46 37	14.0 10.0	33 33	2.0 4.0	56 49	4.0 8.0	34 25	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	6.0 10.0	18 10	4.0	37 33	2.0 2.0	32 31	2.0 2.0	42 42		6.0 8.0	59 56	.0	3
CLINTON	1986 1985		53.0 52.7	41 41	20.9 22.1	50 49	4.9 3.7	49 54	4.9 7,4	49 32	3.7 6.1	35 29	4.9 3.7	45 47	.0	32 32	2.5 1.2	41 50	4.9 2.5	32 45	.0	43 42	4.9 2.5	25 37		27.1 27.0	20 20	1.2	3
COLUMBIA	1986 1985		95.6 97.4	24 16	36.2 41.3	36 28	8.2 6.6	39 42	19.8 16.5	7. 6	1.6	50 40	4.9 9.9	44 29	.0	32 32	1.6 5.0	47 29	39.5 33.0	- 5 6	14.8 6.6	5	24.7 26.4	4 5		19.8 23.1	34 26	.0	3
CORTLAND	1986 1985		75.9 78.1	20 27	29.5 29.5	40 39	4.2	53 52	.0 2.1	60 54	0.	55 52	8.4 2.1	33 50	6.3 6.3	4 5	10.5 14.8	10 .4	6.3 2.1	28 49	4.2 2.1	17 30	2.1	41 51	-	35.9 40.1	11 - 7	4.2 6.3	
DELAWARE	1984 1985		23.4 17.1		12.7 10.7	60 58	4.2 2.1	52 56	6.4 6.4	40 - 37	2.1	46 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0 2.1	53 41 :	.0 2.1	55 48	.0	43 42	.0 2.1	49 41		10.6	53 60	.0	3

INNATES UNDER CUSTODY

												۷	iolent	Felonie	- 5	# = # = # = # = # = # = # = # = # = # =				*		Drug Fel	onies						 1
				Tot		Tot	al	Hooi	ide		fenses	Rabb		Burg	•	Неар	ons	Oth		Tota		Posses	ssion	Sal	le	Other Felon	ies	Youthf Offend	
	COUNTY	Year		Rate		Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Pate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank
	DUTCHESS	1986 1985		67.8 71.0	31 29	49.1 48.2	24 21	12.9 11.9	30 30	9.0 7.8	30 29	14.4 14.5	15 16	8.2 7.4	34 30	1.6	21 24	3.1 3.5	33 3B	4.7	35 36	1.6	39 40	3.1 2.7	36 33	14.0 18.0	46 36	.0	36 33
	ERIE	1986 1985		99.6 95.5	21 17	69.2 65.8	16 - 16	21.9	12 8	11.4	23 23	15.3 15.8	14 15	13.4 12.6	18 21	1.5	23 23	5.8 6.1	20 23	7.2 3.9	26 37	3.6	20 23	3.5 1.2	32 46	22.4 21.8	27 23	.B	26 34
	ESSEX	1986 1985		44.1 38.5	46 52	22.0 16.5	49 52	2.8	57 60	8.3 5.5	31 41	11.0 1.1	21 17	.0	.56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	5 5 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	22.0 19.2	29 33	.0 2.8	33 10
	FRANKLIN	1986 1985		70.8 79.9	30 25	32.0 : 36.5	22 28	13.7 9.1	28 34	6.8 6.9	38 35	4.6	32 36	0. ?.d	56 37	2.3 2.3	15 16	4.6 6.9	26 19	9.1 6.9	21 23	6.B 4.6	10 16	2.3 2.3	40	29.7 34.3	17	2.3	33 12
8-96	FULTON	1986 1985		89.7 83.0	26 22	38.5 37.7	34 31	20.1	15 12	7.3 7.2	34 33	.0	55 52	11.0 12.6	26 20	.0	32 32	.0 1.8	53 45	11.0 9.0	18 16	1.8	36 34	9.2 7.2	15 14	31.1 28.9	16 15	9.2 5.4	2 3
	GENESEE	1986 1905		56.1 64.3	39 31	28.9 40.6	41 29	10.2 8.5	35 37	1.7 3.4	5B 53	1.7 8.5	49 23	6.8 10.2	38 27	3.4 5.1	10 7	5. 1 5. 1	24 25	 3.4 5.1	43 30	.0	43 42	3.4 5.1	3 4 20	20.4 15.2	33 42	3.4 3.4	8
	GREENE	1986 1985		86.5 55.5	32 38	40.4 33.8	29 35	14.3	24 27	9.5 9.6	28 22	7.1 4.8	27 34	7.1 7.2	37 35	.0	32 32	2.4	43 52	7.1 7.2	27 22	4.8 4.8	14 - 14	2.4 2.4	38 38	19.0 14.5	36 45	.0	33 42
	HANILTON	1986 1965		160.0 80.2	7 24	60.0 40.1	20 30	40.0 40.1	4	20.0	5 59	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	100.0 40.1	2 6	.0	33 42
	HERKIHER	1786 1985	۱	22.4 26.9	61 55	13.5 13.4	59 55	7.5 6.0	40 45	1.5	59 57	1.5 3.0	52 42	1.5 1.5	54 53	.0	32 32	1.5 1.5	48 46	1.5	53 55	1.5	40 42	.0	49 51	7.5 13.4	58 48	.0	33 42
	JEFFERSON	1984 1985		82.8 70.0	27 30	48.6 - 38.4	25 32	13.2 12.4	29 25	19.9 12.4	13	3,3	45 51	6.6 10.2	39 28	1.1	28 32	4.4	30 40	.0 3.4	- 55 42	.0	43 42	.0 3.4	49 27	34.2 27.1	13 19	.0 1.1	33 33
	KINGS	1986 1985		320.0 283.3	3	238.2 218.1	3	74.4 66.4	3	13.7 11.8	16 14	102.7 95.9	3	22.9 19.7	6 7	15.7 15.6	. 3	8.6 8.7	12 11	46.0 34.7	3	10.0 7.6	8 6	36.0 27.2	3	33.1 28.2	14	2.7 2.3	13
	LENIS	1988 1985		27.7 7.9	58 62	11.9	61 62	.0	03 03	.0	40 59	.0	55 52	11.9	22 54	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	4.0	38 34	.0	43 42	4.0	28 25	11.9	51 61	.0	33 42
: 11 - -	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		59.7 54.9	37 39	35.8 29.1	37 40	8.5 8.6	36 38	17.1 8.6	10 28	1.7	48 52	8.5 10.3	32 25	.0	32 32	.0 1.7	53 46	8.5 8.6	23 17	3.4	22 18	5.1 5.1	23 19	15.4 17.1	44 - 37	.0	33 42

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

													Felonie		_						Drug Fe	lonies						
	Tota		tal		tal	-	icide		fenses	Robb	ery	Burg	lary	Weap	ons	Oth	er	To	tal	Posse	ssion	Si	ıle		r inies	Youth Offen		
COUNTY	 Year		Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate			Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1986 1985		37.5 40.5	52	27.0	43	4.5 4.5	51 51	10.5 10.5	24 17	1.5 3.0	51 41	7.5 4.5	35 43	.0	32 32	3.0 1.5	39 47	1.5 1.5	52 51	.0	43 42	1.5 1.5	45 45	7.5 13.5	57	1.5 1.5	16 25
HONROE	1986 1985		139.6 129.5				22.2 20.3	11 11	12.7 10.8	19 16	26.8 28.2	7	17.1 16.2	13 12	4.3 4.1	7	10.7 6.2	9 13	10.1 6.7	19 26	3.8 2.3	18 28	6.3 4.4	18 22	34.6 33.5		1.4	18 23
HONTGOHERY	1986 1985		38.4 45.7				11.5 11.4	33 31	3.8 3.8	52 51	1.9	47 47	3.B	47 45	1.9	20 32	5.8 1.9	21 44	3.8 3.8	39 38	3.8 3.8	19 - 17	.0	49 51	5.8 13.3		.0 5.7	33 2
NASSAU	1986 1985		114.1 98.8				15.0 13.6	22 23	5.4 5.0	46 44	31.1 27.8	7 9	18.6 17.7	10 10	2.6 1.7	12 18	4.5 3.2	29 35	17.2 13.5	13 13	3.0 2.4	29 25	14.1	11 10	18.5 14.9		1.3	19 24
HEW YORK	1984 1985		618.6	_	429.3 406.5		95.7 86.0	1	16.6 15.5	12	220,0 207.1	1	40.0 38.6	1	33.0 36.2	1	24.0 23.1	1	146.7 117.0	1	34.9 26.0	1.	111.8	. i	102.2 70.1		5.7 4.9	3 5
NIAGARA	1986 1985		92.7 91.0		54.4 51.3		18.4 16.2	17 17	6.9 9.7	37 21	13.4 10.2	18 21	10.1 7.4	29 34	1.4 2.8	25 14	4.1 5.1	32 25	5. i 5. 5	30 27	1.8	35 22	3.2 2.8	35 32	32.3 33.7	15 10	.9	24 40
ONEIDA	1985 1985		97.8 84.2		64.4 51.1	19 19	16.1 15.2	20 21	10.1 7.6	25 31	11.3	. 20 . 22	18.5 10.8	11 24	2.0 1.6	18 19	6.4 6.8	17 19	8.5 7.6	24 20	3.2 3.2	27 20	5.2 4.4	21 23	22.5 23.5	26 25	2.4	10 17
ONONDAGA	1984 1985		150.5 144.2		104.3 96.1		20.7 15.5	14 18	19.2 18.5	9 5	28.5 27.9	8	24.8 22.8	4 5	4.1	8	6.9 7.5	15 15	8.6 8.0	22 18	3.2 2.4	26 26	5.4 5.6	20 15	36, 1 38,3	10	1.5	15 19
ONTARIO	1986 1985	• .	103.0 90.9				8.5 8.7	47 35	19.5 13.0	8 10	4.3	33 37	11.9 13.0	21 18	2.2 2.2	17 17	11.9 9.7	7 12	16.3 10.8	14 14	5.4 5.4	12 12	10.B 5.4	13 17	29.3 28.1	18 17	1.1 2.2	20 14
ORANGE	1986 1985		114.3 105.8				17.7 17.7	18 15	12.4 10.4	21 18	18.1 23.4	13 11	12.1 10.8	20 23	.4	31 30	4.3 5.0	31 27	27.7 19.8	7 8	12.1 7.2	6	15.6 12.6	. 10 8	20.9 20.5	31 30	.7	29 41
ORLEANS	1986 1985		144.0 120.1	11 11	72.0 63.9		23.1 17.9	12	5.1 7.7	47 30	7.7 5.1	25 33	10.3 12.8	27 19	2.6 2.6	13 15	23.1 17.9	2 3	7.7 7.7	25 19	5.1 5.1	13	2.6 2.6	38 34	64.3 46.0		.0 2.6	33 11
OSXE60	1984 1985		51.0 48.7				6.7 5.0	45 49	5.9 4.2	44 46	5.9 7.6	29 26	5.9 6.7	41 38	.0	32 32	2.5 2.5	40 37	3.3 2.5	44 44	1.7 1.7	38 35	1.7 .8	44 50	20.9 19.3		.0 .B	33 35
OTSE60	1986 1985		47.3 43.8				6.8 5.1	44 48	5.1 £.7	48 36	3.4 1.7	43 49	1.7 1.7	53 52	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	11.8 6.7	17 25	3.4 3.4	24 19	8.4 3.4	17 28	16.9 20.2		1.7	14 22

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

												14410140																
										Vi		Felonies	i							Drug Fe					ither		Youth	
			ital	Tot		Hoaid	ide	Sex Dil	enses	Robbe	•	burgi	•	Heap		Othe		Tot	al	Posse	ssion		le	F	eloni		Offen	iers
COUNTY	Year .		Rank	Rate		ñate		Rate	Rank	Rate	-	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	R	late		Rate	
RANTUS	1985 1985	63.7 51.9		23.3 23.5	47 46	12.3 11.1	31 32	2.5 3.7	54 52	3.7 2.5	36 44	3.7	48 46	.0	32 32	1.2 2.5	49 39	27.0 22.3	8	20.8 12.4	2	6. i 9. 9	19		3.5 6.2	47 57	.0	33 42
QUEENS	1986 1985	187.1 159.1		125.1 117.4	6	29.0 27.7	5 5	9.4 8.6	29 27	52.9 46.8	4 4	20.4 18.2	9	5.4 6.5	6 4	8.1 7.7	·13	32.0 18.3	6 10	11.5 6.8	8	20.4	6 9		4.9 1.3	21 29	3.1 2.0	8 16
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	60. £ 58. 1		40.2 44.9	31 26	18.5 17.8	16 14	2.6 4.0	53 50	5.3 5.9	31 32	7.3 8.6	36 33	1.3	30 22	5.9 7.3	19 17	2.6 1.3	47 52	2.0 1.3	33 37	.7	48 51		7.8	38 50	.0	33 42
RICHHOND	1984 1985	104.6 95.2		76.9 : 75.2	13 11	21.6 17.7	13 16	7.2 5.9	35 39	25.9 26.7	10 10	14.9 15.5	15 13	2.7 3.2	11 12	4.5 6.2	28 22	12.3 3.7	16 40	2.4 1.3	30 36	9.9 2.4	14 39		4.9	45 41	.5 .5	32 38
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	98.5 81.1		54.9 47.5	22 23	13.9 14.3	27 22	6.4 4.5	39 47	13.5 10.2	17 20	18.1 13.6	12 15	1.1	27 20	1.9	46 34	21.8 13.6	11 12	3. 4 2. 6	23 24	18.4 10.9	8 11		81.1	30 34	.8 1.1	2B 32
ST. LAWRENCE	1985 1985	39.9 30.1		20.4 19.4	51 51	6.2 6.2	48 43	6.2 5.3	42 43	3.5 2.6	39 43	3.5 4.4	49 44	.9	32 29	.9 .0	52 52	1.8	50 54	1.8	37 42	.0	49		8.8 6.8	40 39	,9 1.8	25 21
SARATOGA	1986 1985	43.9 45.8		26.8 30.3	44 38	7.3 7.4	41 36	9.8 9.9	27 20	3.7 1.9	37 48	4.9 8.7	46 .32	.0	32 32	1.2 2.5	50 38	4.9 4.3	33 32	1.2	42 39	3.7 3.1	30 29		1.6 0.5	52 53	. 6 . 6	31 37
SCHERECTADY	1986 1985	57.9 54.5		39.3 34.5	32 34	2.7 1.3	58 57	14.0 12.6	15 11	9.3	24 18	6.6	40 39	2.0 1.3	19 21	5.3 2.0	23 42	2.0 2.0	49 50	1.3	41 42	.7 2.0	47 43		6.0 6.6	42 40	.7 1.3	30 27
SCHOHARIE	1986 . 1985	50.3 57.0		40.3 47.0	30 24	6.7 6.7	45 41	16.8 10.1	11	3.4 6.7	44 28	10.1 13.4	30 16	.0	32 32	3.4 10.1	37 9	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51		0.1	56 54	.0	33 42
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	23.0 22.8		17.2 5.7	55 60	.0 5.7	46 46	5.7	45 59	5.7	30 52	5.7	42 54-	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51		5.7 7.1	62 38	.0	33 42
SENECA	1986 1985	37.4 IR. 3		18.7 12.2	54 57	9.3 6.1	36 44	6,2 .0	41 59	.0	55 52	3.1 3.1	50 49	.0	32 32	.0 3.1	53 38	3.1 .0	≨5 55	3.1	28 42	.0	49 51		5.6 6.1	43 58	.0	33 42
STEUDEN	1986 1985	54.7 69.9		39.2 45.2	33 25	11.4 12.3	34 26	13.4 14.4	18 8	1.0 2.1	54 46	9.3 10.3	31 26	.0	32 32	6.2	33 21	4.1 3.1	36 43	.0	43 42	3.1	27 30		0.3 8.5	55 35	1.0 3.1	22 9
SUFFOLK	1984 1985	116.E 112.7		70.8 68.3	15 14	15.9 15.3	21 19	9.8 9.1	26 25	23.1 22.2	11 12	15.9 16.2	14 11	i.i i.i	29 26	5.0 4.3	25 31	22.0 19.3	10	3.4 3.1	25 21	18.7 16.1	7		3.0 3.8	25 24	1.0	23 28

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

											iolent	Felonie	5 							Drug Fe	lonies			0	ther		Youth	ful
		Tol	ial	Tot	al	Homic		Sex Of	fenses	Robb	•	Burg	lary	Weap	005	OLh		Tota	-	Posse	ssion		le		elon		Offen	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		late	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULL IVAN	1986 1985	150.1 176.0	10	97.5 84.3	10 10	24.8 20.7	8	27.7 23.7	2	11.7 10.4	19	10.2 13.3	28 17	1.5 3.0	22 13	11.7	B 6	23.3 34.0	9 5	7.3 8.9	9 5	16.0 25.1	9		7.9	9	1.5 1.5	17 26
TIOGA	1986 1985	72. 5 73.4	29 28	43.1 43.7	27 27	11.8 11.9	32 .28	5.9 6.0	43 38	9.B 6.0	22 31	11.8	23 14	.0	32 32	3.9 6.0	34 24	5.9 4.0	29 35	2.0 2.0	34 32	3.9 2.0	29 44		3.5 5.8	24 21	.0	33 42
TOHPKINS	1986 1985	66.2 56.7	33 37	41.1 28.4	28 42	6.8 6.8	42 40	12.6 12.5	20 12	3.4 1.1	41 50	13.7 5.7	17 - 41	1.1	26 27	3.4 1.1	36 51	3.4 6.8	41 24	3.4 2.3	21 29	.0 4.5	49 21		9.4	35 28	2.3	11 42
ULSTER	1984 1985	100.5 78.6	20 26	60.3 47.6	19 22	17.1 15.3	19 20	11.6	22 24	9.7 6.1	23 30	12, 8 12, 2	19 22	2,4	14 31	6.7 4.3	16 32	12.8 7.3	15 21	4.3 1.8	16 33	8.5 5.5	16 16		5.6 2.0	22 27	1.8	13 20
HARREN	1986 1985	39.6 35.9	49 53	. 19.8 19.8	52 50	3.6 3.6	56 55	7.2 5.4	36 42	3.6 3.6	28 38	5.4 7.2	43 36	.0	32 32	.0	53 52	3. 8 5. 4	40 28	.0	43 42	3.6 5.4	31 18		6.2 0.B	41 52	.0	33 42
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	31.6 17.6	56 58	19.3 12.3	53 56	8.B 5.3	37 47	1.8	57 56	3.5 3.5	40 39	1.8	52 51	.0	32 32	3.5	35 52	1.8	-51 55	.0	43 42	1.8	43 51		0.5 5.3	54 59	.0	33 42
WAYNE	1984 1985	50.0 45.7	44	34.1 . 27.4	38 43	6.8 6.9	43 39	8.0 6.9	32 34	3.4 4.6	42 35	11.4	24 48	.0 1.1	32 25	4.5 4.6	27 30	3.4 3.4	42 41	.0	43 42	3.4 3.4	33 26		2.5 4.8	49	.0	33 42
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	154.2 144.1	8	106.7 101.2	7	26.3 22.6	7	13.4	17 15	31.7 32.9	6	23.9 22.1	5 6	3.6 4.6	9	7.8 7.5	14 16	19.5 16.6	12 11	6.B	11 10	12.6 10.6	12 12		7.2 5.0	19 22	.8 1.3	27 29
HYONING	1986 1985	36.B 17.2	54 59	14.7 4.9	58 61	4.9	50 60	7.4 4.9	33 45	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	2.5	42 52	.0 2.5	55 45	.0	43 42	.0 2.5	49 36		2.1 9.8	28 55	.0	33 42
YATES	1986 1985	66.0 65.2	34 33	37.7 32.6	35 36	.0 4.7	50	4.7	50 46	.0	55 52	14.2 9.3	16 31	.0	32 32	18.9 14.0	5 5	4.7	34 31	4.7	43 15	4.7	26 51		3.6 7.9	23 18	.0.	33 42
NEWYDRKSTATE	1986 1985	216.6 195.3	NA NA	146.4 136.8	NA NA	37.1 33.4	NA NA	12.8 11.4	NA NA	60.0 57.2	na Na	19.6 17.9	na na	8.2 8.5	NA NA	8.8 8.4	NA NA	33.8 26.1	na Na	9.0 8.8	NA NA	24.8 19.4	na Na		4.3 0.4	NA NA	2.1 2.0	NA NA
NEWYORKCITY	1986 1985	373.2 333.3	NA Na	260.1 243.6	AR AH	67.0 60.4	HA HA	15.9 14.2	HA HA	120.1 113.9	NA NA	26.7 24.1	NA NA	17.1 18.1	NA NA	13.2	NA NA	63.8 48.1	na Na	16.1	NA NA	47.7 36.3	NA NA		5. <i>7</i> 8.6	na Na	3.6 3.0	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

_															
		ole	During	sion Year≇	Unde Supervi On 12	er isian 2/31		Tata	al		ions			Hem Fo Convict	tions
Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
1984 1985	84.5 70.8	4 -	229.0 195.5	4	166.9 142.3	4		84.7 99.1	27 19	15.4 18.0	25 23	49.3 55.9	22 12	20.0 25.2	
1984 1985	11.9 11.8	54 48	33.7 51.1	52 39	15.8 21.6	59 50		.0 38.5	52 42	.0	36 32	.0 38.5	49 25	.0	
1986 1985	191.6 181.3	- 1 1	549.8 535.9	1	384.6 361.1	- 1 1		112.3 126.7	10	38.5 40.3	- 5 . 6	31.1 36.1	38 27	42.7 50.3	14 5
1986 1985	23.7 39.1	34 14	90.6 95.6	22 20	56.9 66.4	26 20		151.8 123.2	4 11	15.7 24.6	24 15	47.1 69.0	24 8	89.0 29.6	3 23
1986 1985	15.2 11.7	50 49	32.8 35.2	53 51	27.0 17.6	50 54		33.3	52 44	.0	36 32	.0	49	.0 33.3	18 29
1986 1985	21.3 16.3	41 42	54.3 55.1	39 35	40.1 35.1	3& 37		88.9 22.7	25 48	.0	36 32	66.7 22.7	11 37	22,2	31 35
1986 1985	20.3 22.2	54 36	52.4 43.7	42 44	35.3 31.9	42 44		26.7 47.6	51 41	.0	36 32	.0 31.7	49 30	26.7 15.9	25 32
1984 1985	63.0 56.5	8	144.9 123.9	9 10	91.7 60.4	10 11		145.0 157.9	6	7.6 17.5	34 24	91.6 96.5	5 2	45.8 43.9	10 7
1986 1985	8.0 16.0	59 43	40.0 36.0	51 50	26.0 32.0	51 42		150.0 55.6	5 35	.0	34 32	50.0 55.6	20 13	100.0	1 35
1986 1985	29.4 29.4	24 24	73.9 67.4	28 28	48.0 44.1	30 28		83.3 72.7	29 27	16.7 36.4	23 9	50.0 .0	20 43	16.7 35.4	35 12
1984 1985	47.8 23.1	11 33	82.4 61.1	26 31	62.6 34.7	21 39		40.0 54.1	48 37	20.0	21 32	20.0 54.1	47 16	.0	36 35
	1984 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1985 1986 1985	To Par Year Rate 1985 86.5 1985 70.8 1984 11.9 1985 11.8 1985 191.3 1986 23.7 1985 39.1 1986 15.2 1985 11.7 1986 21.3 1985 22.2 1988 63.0 1985 22.2 1988 63.0 1985 56.5 1988 8.0 1985 56.5	1986 86.5 4 1985 70.8 5 1986 11.9 54 1985 11.8 48 1986 171.6 1 1986 23.7 34 1985 39.1 14 1986 15.2 50 1985 11.7 49 1986 21.3 41 1985 16.3 42 1986 20.3 54 1985 22.2 36 1986 83.0 6 1985 56.5 8 1986 80 59 1985 16.0 43 1986 29.6 24 1986 47.8 11	Releases Supervision To Parole During Year Rate Rank Rate 1985 86.5 4 229.0 1985 70.8 5 195.5 1986 11.9 54 33.7 1985 11.8 48 51.1 1986 1985 181.3 1 535.8 1986 23.7 34 90.6 1985.8 1985 39.1 14 95.6 1986 15.2 50 32.8 1985 11.7 49 35.2 1986 21.3 41 54.3 1985 16.3 42 55.1 1986 20.3 54 52.4 1985 22.2 36 43.7 1986 20.3 54 52.4 1985 36.5 8 123.9 1985 36.5 8 123.9 1985 36.5 8	Releases Supervision To Parole During Year* Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 86.5 4 229.0 4 1985 70.8 5 195.5 4 1986 11.9 54 33.7 52 1985 11.8 48 51.1 39 1986 191.6 1 549.8 1 1985 181.3 1 535.8 1 1986 23.7 34 90.6 22 1985 39.1 14 95.6 20 1986 15.2 50 32.8 53 1985 11.7 49 35.2 51 1986 21.3 41 56.3 39 1985 16.3 42 55.1 35 1986 20.3 54 52.4 42 1985 20.3 54 52.4 42 1985	Releases Supervision Supervision	Releases Supervision Supervision On 12/31 Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 86.5 4 229.0 4 16b.9 4 1985 70.8 5 195.5 4 142.3 4 1986 11.9 54 33.7 52 15.8 59 1985 11.8 48 51.1 37 21.6 50 1986 191.6 1 549.8 1 384.6 1 1986 23.7 34 90.6 22 56.9 26 1985 39.1 14 95.6 20 66.4 20 1986 25.7 34 90.6 22 56.9 26 1985 39.1 14 95.6 20 66.4 20 1986 15.2 50 32.8 53 27.0 50 1985 11.7 49	Releases Supervision Supervision Supervision Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1985 86.5 4 229.0 4 166.9 4 1985 70.8 5 195.5 4 142.3 4 1986 11.9 54 33.7 52 15.8 59 1985 11.8 48 51.1 39 21.6 50 1986 191.6 1 549.8 1 384.6 1 1986 23.7 34 90.6 22 56.9 26 1985 39.1 14 95.6 20 66.4 20 1986 23.7 34 90.6 22 56.9 26 1985 39.1 14 95.6 20 66.4 20 1986 15.2 50 32.8 53 27.0 50 1985 11.7 49 <td>Releases Supervision Supervision Supervision Tot Year Rate Rank Rate Rank</td> <td>Releases Supervision Supervision Supervision Total Year Rate Rank <t< td=""><td> Releases Supervision Supervision Total Violat </td><td>Releases To Parole Supervision During Year* Supervision In 12/31 Total Rule Violations Year Rate Rank R</td><td> Releases To Parole During Year On 12/31 Total Wiolations Airces </td><td> Releases Supervision Supervision Total Final Number /td><td> Releases</td></t<></td>	Releases Supervision Supervision Supervision Tot Year Rate Rank Rate Rank	Releases Supervision Supervision Supervision Total Year Rate Rank Rate Rank <t< td=""><td> Releases Supervision Supervision Total Violat </td><td>Releases To Parole Supervision During Year* Supervision In 12/31 Total Rule Violations Year Rate Rank R</td><td> Releases To Parole During Year On 12/31 Total Wiolations Airces </td><td> Releases Supervision Supervision Total Final Number /td><td> Releases</td></t<>	Releases Supervision Supervision Total Violat	Releases To Parole Supervision During Year* Supervision In 12/31 Total Rule Violations Year Rate Rank R	Releases To Parole During Year On 12/31 Total Wiolations Airces	Releases Supervision Supervision Total Final Number Number	Releases

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

			Releas To Par	ole	Unde Supervi Buring	ision Year t	Undervi Supervi On 1:	isian 2/31	Tat	al	Rul Violat		Nev Arres		New F	•
COUNTY	Yea	,	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	198 198		27.5 25.3	25 30	89.6 69.6	24 26	61.2 59.1	24 24	47.6 60.6		23.8	17 32	23.8 30.3	46 32	30.3 °0	34 22
DELAWARE	198 198		6.4	60 58	17.0 17.1	-61 59	17.0 10.7	58 - 59	.0		.0 .0	36 32	0	49 43	.0 .0	
DUTCHESS	198 198		33.9 41.9	17 12	119.5 121.5	12 11	80.2 86.2	14 10	81.4 127.0	30 8	32.4 35.5	10 10	26.1 58.1	44 11	22.8 35.5	30 14
ERIE	198 198		31.8 34.5	20 17	94.7 100.6	19 17	66.0 62.5	20 22	98.5 116.7	22 12	8.8 19.4	32 22	60.2 80.9	13	29.5 16.4	23 31
ESSEX	198 198		27.5 1.1	28 51	60.6 46.7	35 42	52.3 33.0	29 41	.0		.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0	36 35
FRANKLIN	198 198		20.5 22.8	42 34	41.1 45.7	48 43	32.0 20. <i>6</i>	48 51	166.7 .0	2 51	55.6 .0	3 32	111.1	1 43	.0 .0	36 35
FULTON	198 196		27.5 30.7	29 23	71.4 63.2	29 30	47.6 43.3	31 29	76.9 28.6		.0	36 32	76.9 .0	8 43	.0 26.6	36 25
GENESEE	198 198		25.5 15.2	32 44	62.9 57.6	33 34	45.9 37.3	32 35	108.1 264.7	14	27.0 147.1	12	81.1 89.2	7	.0 29.4	36. 24
GREENE	198 198		16.6 9.6	47 53	40.4 38.6	50 46	35.6 24.1	43 48	.0 62.5		.0	34 32	.0	49 43	.0 62.5	36 i
HAMILTON	198 198		20.0	45 62	20.0	59 62	20.0	54 62	.0		.0	35 37	.0	49 43	.0	
HERKIHER	198 198		9.0 5.0	57 59	26.9 14.9	56 60	19.4 17.9	57 53	111.1		55.6 .0	3 32	55.6	16 43	.0	

TABLE 11A

DADDLE	CHDECUTSIAN	CAPEC

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

			Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Undo Supervi On 12	ision 2/31		Tata	ıl	Rul Violat		Nex Arres		New Fo	•
COUNTY	Year	Re	ate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank -	Ra	te	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1984 1985		22.1 28.2	38 26	59.6 54.2	36 37	37.5 38.4	40 33		11.1 62.5	11 31	.0 20.8	36 20	37.0 41.7	31 24	74.1	
KINGS	1986 1985		117.9	3	319.4 300.7	3	218.9 202.4	2		04.7 04.7	20 15	33.7 33.6	7 12	26.4 28.3	43 33	44.6 42.8	
LEHIS	1986 1985		11.9	55 61	19.8 11.9	61 61	15.8 7.9	50 61		.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 .0	36 35
LIVINGSTON	1984 · 1985		27.3 27.4	30 28	58.3	33	44.4 -37.7	34 34		78.9 .0	34 51	26.3	13 32	52.6 .0	19 43	.0 .0	
MADISON	1986 1985		12.0 12.0	53 47	28.5 30.0	55 54	19.5 16.5	56 56	1	05.3 .0	18 51	.0	34 32	105.3	2 43	.0	
MONROE	1986 1985		8.14	7	171.5 155.6	7	123.3 109.4	7		88.0 04.8	26 14	13.3 24.6	26 16	56.4 59.3	15 10	18.3 21.0	
MONTGOHERY	1986 1985		23.0 15.2	36 45	46.1 36.2	44 49	36.5 22.8	41 49		.0 52.6	52 38	.0	36 32	.0 52.6	49 17	.0	36 35
NASSAU	1786 1985		30.5 26.4	22 29	86.8 74.1	25 24	61.2 56.0	23 25		14.9 96.3	8 21	26.1 23.3	14 - 17	40.9 30.4	27 31	47.9 42.6	7 11
NEW YORK	1794 1785		129.7 112.8	2	335.2 307.2	2 2	225.4 205.2	2 2		43.1 35.5	7 6	57.1 44.9	2 5	31.5 37.4	36 26	54.5 53.2	5 3
NIAGARA	1984 1985		45.4 47.1	12 11	125.9 121.0	11	77.5 80.4	16 12		76.9 64.9	35 30	11.0	30 30	40.3 15.8	28 22	25.6 7.5	
ONEIDA	1986 1995		30.2 31.5	23 21	80.9 71.8	27 25	56.3 50.3	27 26		84.6 38.9	20 5	5.0 33.3	35 13	54.7 55.6	18 13	24.9 50.0	29 6

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES **

						- -										
		Relea To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Vodi Supervi On 12	sion		Tota	al	Rul Violat		Nei Arres		New Fe Convict	•
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	ƙate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA	1786 1785	49.4 47.9	10 10	137.7 142.1	10 B	100.6 87.9	9 9		79.9 130.1	32 7	25.1 45.4	15 4	28.2 49.9	41 19	26.6 34.8	26 16
ONTARIO	1986 1985	31.5 28.1	21 27	69.3 67.1	30 29	55.3 36.8	28 36		79.4 96.8	33 20	.0 16.1	36 25	31.7 48.4	35 20	47.6 32.3	
DRANGE	1986 1985	29.5 24.9	26 31	89.5 71.9	23 22	58.9 60.9	25 23		75.4 66.7	37 29	7.9 19.6	33 21	35.7 23.5	34 36	31.7 23.5	20 27
ORLEANS	1986: 1985	33.4 33.2	18 20	105.4 72.0	17 21	72.0 71.5	18 16		73.2 27.8	39 47	24.4 .0	16 32	.0 27.8	49 34	48.8	6 35
DSNEGO	1986 1985	21.7 18.5	39 40	53.5 47.8	41 41	41.8 31.9	35 43		31.3	50 51	.0	36 32	31.3	37 43	.0	38 35
OTSEGO	1986 1985	B.4 6.7	58 57	23.6 32.0	57 52	20.3 15.2	53 59		71.4 52.6	40 38	.0	36 32	71.4 52.6	9 17	.0	
MAKTUS	1986 1985	15.9 11.1	48 50	40.4 38.3	49 47.	35.5 24.7	44 - 47		60.6 32.3	44 - 45	.0	38 32	30.3 .0	39 43	30.3 32.3	22 20
QUEENS	1986 1985	69.2 64.8	5 6	194.6 183.0	5 5	136.2 125.9	5 6	• .	107.1 115.1	15 13	34.2 36.5	6 8	28.9 35.9	40 28	44.1 42.7	13 10
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	32.3 28.4	19 25	99.5 99.8	18 18	67.9 67.4	19 19		106.0 125.8	14 10	13.2 13.2	27 28	46.4 79.5	25 6	46.4 33.1	9 19
RICHMOND	1986 1905	40.3 35.3	13 16	114.3 110.5	14 13	82.2 74.1	12 14		67.B 94.4	42 22	11.7 21.8	29 19	25.7 21.8	45 38	30.4 50.8	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	16.9 20.0	46 38	56.4 53.9	38 38	39.5 39.6	37 30		80.0 55.9	31 34	33.3 14.0	8 26	26.7 21.0	42 39	20.0 21.0	

⁽ Continued on next page.)

TABLE IIA

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES ..

		•	Relea: To Par		Unde Supervi During	ision Year¥	Und Superv On 13	ision		Tota	ıl	Rui Violat		Ne Arre		Nen Fe Convict	tions
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		20.4 22.0	43 37	67.4 60.0	31 32	45.2 46.7	33 27		39.5 73.5	49 26	13.2	28 32	.0 14.7	49 42	26.3 58.8	27
SARATOGA	1986 1985		4.9 5.0	62 60	12.8 17.9	62 58	9.5 9.0	62 60		190.5 103.4	1 16	.0 34.5	36 11	95.2 49.0	4 8	95.2 .0	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		26.0 33.9	31 19	94.5 97.0	20 19	61.9 68.4	22 18		105.6 102.7	17 18	21.1 6.8	20 31	42.3 75.3	- 26 · 7	42.3 20.5	
SCHOHARIE	1984 1985		13.4 13.4	52 46	30.2 20.1	54 56	23.5 16.8	52 55		.0 166.7	52 3	.0 165.7	36 1	.0	49 43	.0	36 35
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		28.7 34.3	27 18	92.0 102.8	21 16	80.5 62.8	13 21		62.5 55.6	43 35	.0	36 32	62.5 55.6	12 13	.0	3 <i>&</i> 35
SENECA	1986 1985		59.2 82.4	4	190.0 167.9	6	127.7 128.2	6 5	•	49.2	46 51	32.8 .0	9 32	16.4	48 43	.0	36 35
STEUBEN	1986 1985	•	15.5 22.6	49 35	54.7 54.4	40 36	35.1 39.0	46 31		113.2	9 49	18.9 .0	22 32	56.6 18.7	14 40	37.7 .0	18 35
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		37.8 31.1	15 22	106.5 83.5	16 23	74.6 69.6	17 17		104.5 81.1	21 25	22.9 13.7	19 27	36.5 24.6	33 35	45.1 42.8	11
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		51.0 50.3	9	147.2 127.2	8	107.9 97.6	8		108.9 93.0	13 23	29.7 11.8	11 29	. 39.6 46.5	29 21	39.Ł 34.9	16 15
TIOGA	1984 1985		23.5 9.9	35 52	56.9 37.7	37 48	39.2 33.7	38 40	t	69.0 52.6	41 3B	.0 52.6	36 3	0.94 0.	10 43	.0	
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		24.0 9.1	33 54	43.4 30.6	46 53	35.4 19.3	45 52		105.3 185.2	18 2	.0 37.0	36 7	105.3 148.1	2 1	.0	

07.001	r	CHECK	111C1	OH	PASES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES ##

										:					
		Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Unde Supervi On 1:	ision	Tota	ı	Rul Violat		Ne: Arres		Ken Fe Convict	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1984 1985	39.0 36.6	14 15	112.1 105.6	15 15	79.8 73.3	15 15	97.8 69.4	23 28	10.9 23.1	31 18	40.9 34.7	23 29	38.0 11.6	17 33
HARREN	1986 1985	21.6 7.2	40 56	48.6 50.3	43 40	30.6 27.0	49 46	.0 35.7	52 43	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 35.7	36 13
NOTENTIALER	1986 1985	5.3 9.8	61 55	21.1 19.4	58 57	12.3 15.9	61 57	166.7 70.9	2 24	83.3	1 32	83.3 90.9	. <u>6</u>	.0	36 35
HAYNE	1986 1985	22.7 17.1	37 41	61.4 68.5	34 27	38.6 38.6	39 32	74.1 16.7	38 50	.0	36 32	37.0 16.7	31 41	37.0 .0	19. 35
NESTCHESTER	1986 1985	36.1 40.0	16 13	115.2 109.0	13 14	82.9 78.8	11 13	89.5 102.7	24 17	23.1 25.5	18 14	37.2 43.5	30 23	29.2 33.9	24 17
RAOHINE	1986 1985	9.8 24.6	56 32	44.1 41.8	45 45	19.6 34.4	- 55 39	55.6 .0	45 51	.0	36 32	55.6 .0	16 43	.0	36 35
YATES	1986 1985	14.2 18.6	51 39	42.5 27.9	47 55	33.0 27.9	47 45	.0	52 51		36 32	.0	49 43	.0 .0	3& 35
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	72.8 67.9	NA NA	210.2 195.5	NA NA	147.3 137.3	NA NA	121.0 116.1	NA NA	37.6 34.1	NA NA	42.1 41.3	HA HA	41.4 40.8	NA NA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	115.2 104.4	NA NA	316.9 299.2	na Na	218.5 201.9	NA NA	114.9 119.1	NA NA	39.8 38.2	NA NA	29.2 33.6	NA NA	45.8 47.3	NA NA

NOTE: Drawatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

[•] The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

^{**} The total number of paroless revoked and returned during a given year.

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS .

	•	Convictions	Acquittals	Disnissals	Not Prosecuted	Removed To Facily Court	Other	Total
COUNTY		Number Percent	Humber Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	8 66.7 5 38.5	0 .0	0 .0 0 .0	0 .0	3 25.0 8 61.5	1 B.3 0 .0	12 100.0 13 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 HA 0 HA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	72 52.6 89 71.8	2 1.5 0 .0	38 27.7 21 16.9	7 5.1 5 4.0	10 7.3 4 3.2	8 5.8 5 4.0	137 100.0 124 100.0
BROOME	1986 1985	0 NA 1 100.0	0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 HA 0 .0	0 100.0 1 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	O NA O NA	0 NA 0 NA	0 NA 0 NA	0 NA 0 NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
CAYUGA	1986 1985	O NA O NA	O NA	O HA O NA	0 MA 0 MA	O NA O NA	0 NA 0 NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
СНАИТАИФИА	1986 1985	' 0 HA 1 100.0	0 NA 0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 100.0 1 100.0
СНЕНИНЕ	1986 1985	O NA	O NA	O NA O NA	0 NA 0 NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	0 NA 0 NA	O NA	O NA O NA	АИ О АИ О	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	0 NA 2 100.0	0 NA 0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 NA 0 .0	0 100.0 2 100.0
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	0 .0 0 NA	0 .0 0 NA	0 .0 AH	0 .0 0 NA	1 100.0 0 NA	0 .0 0 NA	1 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	O NA O NA	O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0
DELAVARE	1986 1985	O HA O NA	O HA	O NA O NA	0 NA 0 NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	0 100.0 0 100.0

			Convic			•	ttals	Dise	issals		osecuted	Resov Family	Court		01	her		Te	otal
COUNTY	Year		Nuaber				Percent	Number	Percent		Percent		Percent		Number	Percent		Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		0	.0 25.0		0	.0 25.0	0 t	.0 25.0	0	.0	i 1	100.0 25.0	•	0	.0		. 1	100.0 100.0
ERIE	1986 1985		4 2	30.B 8.7		i 1	7.7 4.3	5 14	38.5 60.9	0	.0	2 4	15.4 17.4		i 2	7.7 8.7	*	13 23	
ESSEI	1986 1985		0	NA NA	-	0 0	NA KA	- Q	NA NA	0	HA HA	0	NA NA		0	HĀ NA		0	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985		0	NA .0		0	NA .0	0	NA .0	- 0 0	NA .0	. O	NA 100.0		0	NA .0		0	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	na Ra	·· 0.	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985		- O	na Na		0	na Na	. Q ()	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	100,0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985		, 0	na Na		0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985		0	na Na	•	0	NA NA	0	AA AA	0	NA NA	- 0 0	NA NA		0	HA NA		0	100.0 100.0
HERKIHER	1986 1985	•	0	ra Na		0	na Na	0	AN AN	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	na Na		. 0	100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		2	100.0 NA		0	.O NA	0	.O NA	0.0	.O NA	0	.O		0	.O NA		2	
KINGS -	1986 1985		138 92	32.8 24.7		2 4	.5 1.1	89 55	21.1 14.8	35 28	8.3 7.5	153 192	36.3 51.6		5	1.2		421 372	100.0
LEWIS	1986 1985		0	. NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	na Na			100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA HA	0.0	na Na		0	KA KA		0	

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS #

			Convictions Number Percent			Acquittals			Disaissals		Not Prosecut		osecuted	Reco Fasi I		oved To ly Court		Other			Total	
COUNTY		Year				Number Percent			Nuaber	Percent		Number			Number	Percent		Number Percent			Number	Percent
HADISON		1986 1985		1 100.0 0 NA		0			0	. 0. NA		0	. O NA		Q 0	. O NA		0	. O HA	•	100	100.0 100.0
MONROE		1986 1985		1 33.3 6 25.0		0		-	0	.0 4.2		0 2	.0 8.3		2 12	66.7 50.0		0	.0 12.5	•	3 24	
MONTGOHERY		1986 1985		O NA O NA		0	na Na		. 0	NA NA		0	na Na		0	na Ka		0	NA NA		0	
NASSAU		1986 1985		B 53.3 4 50.0		0	.0		1 0	6.7 .0		0	6.7 .0		3	20.0 50.0	-	10	6.7	٠.	15 8	
NEW YORK		1986 1985	8			1	.5 .4		99 93	41.9 40.2		11 16	5.0 6.5	•	38 30	13.5 15.9		0 3	1.2		222 246	
NIAGARA		1986 1985		2 66.7	•	- O	.0		1	33.3 100.0		0	.0		0	.0		0	.0		3 1	100.0
ONEIDA		1986 1985		1 33.3 1 100.0		0	.0		0	.0		. 0	13.3 0.		1	.0		0	.0		3 1	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA		1986 1985		5 55.6 8 53.3		0	.0		2 1	22.2 6.7		0	.0		2	22.2 40.0		0	.0		9 15	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	· •	1985 1985		O NA		0	na Ak		0	AH AH		0	an An		0	na Na		0	na Na		0.0	100.0 100.0
ORANGE		1986 1985		AH 0 AN 0		0	AA AA		0	na Na		0	NA NA		0	na Na		0	NA NA		0	100.0
ORLEANS		1986 1985		AK O		0	an An		0	na Na		0	NA HA		0	na Na		0	NA NA		· · 0	100.0 100.0
- OSNEGO		1986 1985		AN O		0	na Na		- 0	na na		0	an An		0	na Na		0	na Na		0	100.0 100.0
015560		1986 1985		O NA		0	NA NA		0	HA HA		Q. O	NA NA		0	KA KA		0	NA NA		Q	100.0 100.0

B-109

^{4 6}milioned on next page. 1

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS *

			ictions	•	ttals			ssals		oseculed	Fazil	ved To y Court		her	- 1	stal
COUNTY	Year -		Percent		Percent			Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent	Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	0	NA NA	- 0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	na Na	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
TIOGA	1984 1985	0	na Na	0	NA NA		0	. KA NA	0	na Ha	, 0	NA NA	0	na Na		100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	na Ka		0	na Na	0	NA NA	0		0	NA NA	0	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	0 1	NA 100.0	0	NA .0		0	NA . O	0	NA .0	. 0		0	NA .0	0	100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	0	na Na	0	na Na	-	0	NA NA	0	HA HA	0		0	na Na	· 0.	100.0 100.0
KASHINGTON	1986 1985	0	KA HA	·	AK AK-		. 0	AH AN	0	AA AK	0	na Na	0	NA HA	0. 0	
HAYNE	1984 1985		100.0 HA	. 0	.O RA		0	. 0 . NA	0	.O NA	0	.0 NA	0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 0	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	10 14	76.9 70.0	0	.0 5.0		0	.0 10.0	2	15.4	1 3	7.7 15.0	0	.0	13 20	100.0 100.0
HADMINE	1986 1985	0	HA NA	0	NA NA		0	HA NA	0	HA HA	0	NA NA	0	NA HA	0	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	0	HA HA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	- NA NA	0		0	NA NA	0	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	410 369	40.2 36.2	10 10	1.0		263 225	25.8 22.1	60 54	5.9 5.3	257 342	25.2 33.6	21 19	2.1	1,021 1,019	100.0 100.0
HER ADUK CITA	1786 1985	359 307	39.0 36.2		.9		. 253 203	27.5 23.9	56 52	6.1	232 272		14 8	1.5	921 849	100.0 100.0

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS *

	COUNTY	Year 	State Facility	Local Jail	tocal Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
	ALBANY	1984 1985	87.5 100.0	.0	.0	12,5	.0	100.0 100.0
	ALLEGANY	1986 1985	NA Nà	HA NA	NA Na	NA NA	" NA NA	100.0
	BRONX	1964 1985	37.5 31.5	12.5 14.6	6.9 7.9	38.9 42.7	4.2 3.4	100.0 100.0
	BROOKE	1988 1985	NA 100.0	NA .0	NA .0	NA .0	на .0	100.0 100.0
B-111	CATTARAUGUS	1985 1985	NA :NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
-	CAYUGA	1984 1985	NA NA	NA NA	MA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	NA 100.0	NA .O	NA .0	NA .0	. NA .0	100.0 100.0
	CHEKUNG	1984 1985	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	CHENANGO	1984 1985	AA AA	NA NA	NA NA	NA HA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
	CLINTON	, 1984 1985	NA 59.0	HA .O	NA .0	NA 50.0	NA , o	100.0 100.0
	COLUMBIA	1986 1985	RA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	CORTLAND	1984 1985	NA NA	NA .	NA NA	na Na	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	DELAWARE	1984 1985	NA NA	NA NA	ha Na	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS #

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	frobation	Other	Total Convictions
DUTCHESS	1784	НА	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1785	. 0	. O	.o	100.0	• 0	100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	75.0 50.0	25.0 .0	.0 .0	.0 50.0	.0	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1986	HA	na	na	na	KA	100.0
	1985	Na	Na	na	Na	NA	100.0
FRANKLIH	1984	na	на	NA	AK	NA	100.0
	1985	Na	Ка	NA	AN	NA	100.0
FULTON	1986	HA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
3	1985	HA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
GENESEE	1985	na	na	NA	NA	HA	100.0
	1985	na	Ha	Na	NA	NA	100.0
GREENE	1986	NA	ha	NA	MA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	Na	NA	100.0
HANILTON	1986	NA	na	NA	HA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
HERKIMER	1986	HA	na	na	na	na	100.0
	1985	RA	Na	Na	Na	Na	100.0
JEFFERSON	, 1986	100.0	.O	.O	.o	.O	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	Ma	NA	100.0
Kinas	1986	29.0	8.7	20.3	39.1	2.9	100.0
	1985	37.0	12.0	17.4	30,4	3.3	100.0
LEUIS	1984	HA	NA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	Na	RA	100.0
FIAIHERION	1986	AK	AR	AA	NA	- NA	100.0
	1985	AN	AA	Na	NA	NA	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
MADISON	1984	190.0	.O	' .0	.0	.0	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0
HONROE	1986 1985	100.0 16.7	.0 16.7	.0 66.7	.0	.0	100.0 100.0
NONTGONERY	1986	ra	NA	NA	AH	na	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	AN	Na	100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	62.5 50.0	12.5	.0	25.0 25.0	.0 25.0	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1984	39.1	2.3	3.4	55.2	.0	100.0
	1985	52.9	12.6	1.1	27.9	3.4	100.0
NIAGARA	1996	100.0	.o	.0	.o	.O	100.0
	1965	NA	NA	Na	NA	Na	100.0
ONEIDA	1984 1985	.0 .0	.0	.0 100.0	100.0	.0	100.0 100.0
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	.0 50.0	20.0	.0	80.0 50.0	.0	100.0 100.0
DNTARIO	1986	NA	AH		NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	AH.	На	NA	NA	100.0
ORANGE	.1986	NA	NA	AN	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	AN	NA	NA	100.0
ORLEANS	1986	NA	AA	NA	NA	HA	100.0
	1985	NA	AA	HA	NA	NA	100.0
OSNE60	1986	NA	NA	ма	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	Na	NA	NA	100.0
OTSE60	1984	NA	MA	AM	NA	HA	100.0
	1985	Na	Na	AN	NA	NA	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS ..

					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
PUTHAN	1984	NA	NA	KA	HA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	Na	Na	100.0
QUEENS	1984	20.0	8.3	25.0	45.0	1.7	100.0
	1985	36.1	5.6	2.8	47.2	8.3	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986	KA	NA	NA	NA	na	100.0
	1985	NA	NG	HA	NA	Ha	100.0
RICHHOND	1984 1985	50.0 50.0	.0	.0	50.0 50.0	.0	100.0 : 100.0
ROCKLAND	1984 1985	NA Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA	ná Na	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986	na	NA	NA	NA	na	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	NA	Na	100.0
SARATOGA	1984	NA		HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Ha	Ан	HA	NA	NA	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1984	NA	АЯ	HA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Ан	HA	NA	NA	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986	NA	NA	NA	AK	HA	100.0
	1985	Na	HA	NA	AK	HA	100.0
CHUYLER	1984	NA	KA	HA	AN	KA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	AN	Na	100.0
SENECA	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	na	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	NA	Na	Na	100.0
TEUBEN	1986	na	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	Aa	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
UFFOLK	1984	25,0	12.5	.0	50.0	12.5	100.0
	1985	18.8	12.5	37.5	18.8	12.5	100.0
	1482	18.8	12.3	37.3	18.8	12.5	10

TABLE 12B SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

SENTENCES	PA	A	PERCENT	ΛF	TOTAL	CONVICTIONS	Ŀ

COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local	Jail	Local Jail and Probation	· .	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
SULLIVAN	1984 1985	HA HA	H		HA HA		на На	MA NA	100.0 100.0
T106A	1986 1985	HA NA	ne He		HA HA		HA NA	NA Na	100.0 100.0
TONPKINS	1986 1985	KA Ha	na Ki		ан На		na Na	HA HA	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1984 1985	NA . Ú	NA		АН .0		NA .0	NA 100. ú	100.0 100.0
VARREN	1984 1985	na Ka	HA HA		na Na		na Na	МА NA	100.0
IASH I NGTON	1986 1985	na Na	HA	r frankriger († 1922) Kristophologie († 1922) Kristophologie († 1922)	NA NA		NA -	NA NA	100.0 100.0
IAYHE	1984 1985	100.0 NA	. O Ne		0. АК		.O Na	.0 AN	100.0 100.0
IESTCHESTER	1986 1985	40.0 42.9	10.0		40.0 28.6		10.0 14.3	.0 14.3	100.0 100.0
IADAINE	1984 1985	NA NA	NA NA		NA HA		na Na	NA Na	100.0 100.0
/ATES	.1984 1985	NA NA	H 11	rio de la companya de	na Na		na Ha	NA NA	100.0 100.0
EN YORK STATE	1986 1985	34.6 39.8	8.0 10.6		13.4 10.8		41.7	2.2 4.9	100.0 100.0
HEN AGEN CITA	1986 1985	31.8 39.7	7.6 12.1		14.2 8.1		44.0 36.2	2.2 3.9	100.0 100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions le.q., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services tree Appendix Fl.

APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1984 -1986

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) .

		-			PERCENT O	F DISPOSITION	S REPORTED .			·			AVERAGE PERCI	
			1984 Arrest	5		1985 Arrest	5		1986 Arres	ls			1984-86 ARRES	
COUNTY		Total	Felony	Misdeneanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeaeanor		Total	Felony	Hisdearanor
			•											
ALBANY		88.2	84.3	89.8	91.2	67.2	92.7	79.1	73.8	81.4		84.0	81.3	87.9
ALLEGANY		57.6	61.6	54.5	58.3	55.9	56.9	52.4	44.3	- 55.3		56.0	52.9	56.9
BRONI		89.4	90.2	88.3	97.8	B9.4	85.8	74.8	75.3	74.1		83.5	84.4	82.5
ROOME		62.1	84.3	81.4	80.7	79.9	BI.O	71.3	67.3	72.5		77.8	77.1	78,1
CATTARAUGUS		67.8	60.5	69.1	70.0	65.4	71.1	61.1	53.7	62.8		66.1	59.5	67.5
АУИБА		69.4	72.3	67.5	76.2	80.2	75.1	52.0	51.8	52.1		46.2	49.0	45.8
HAUTAUQUA	-	78.5	73.1	79.7	82.3	. 77.8	83.3	70.9	48.4	71.5		77.1	72.9	78.0
HENUNG		80.3	64.6	79.1	91.3	79.0	81.9	49.1	62.7	71.0		74.9	75.4	77.4
HENANGO		45.3	50.9	66.6	70.7	74.2	70.1	51.2	4B.6	63.3		65.9	40.9	56.6
LINTON		76.1	76.6	76.0	74.1	72.0	74.7	59.5	53.2	61.7		69.9	65.9	71.0
OLUNBIA		74.6	75.8	74.2	74.9	73.2	75.4	49.B	47.5	70.5		73.2	72.3	73.5
ORTLAND		82.6	85.1	B2.5	80.5	78.5	89.8	62.2	58.2	43.1		. 75.1	72.4	75.6
ELAVARE		69.5	. 72.1	69.0	73.8	72.5	74.0	59.0	58.1	59.3		67.3	8.34	67.5
UTCHESS		65.2	E2.1	26.1	86.2	54.0	87.0	67.5	40.7	76.0		79.6	75.1	81.2
RIE		83.4	85.0	83.1	84.9	85.5	84.7	79.5	73.2	69.5		79.5	81.1	78,9
SSEI		73.9	69.8	75.4	76.3	71.3	77.4	63.3	55.2	66.1		70.8	43.8	72.8
RANKLIN		75.7	76.2	75.6	74.1	73.0	74.3	48.5	63.6	69.3		72.5	70.9	72.9
ULTON		78.8	81.9	77.8	79.7	78.6	80.2	74.8	67.8	75.4		77.8	76.9	78. !
ENESSE		48.4	75.3	67.1	69.7	58.2	72.3	62.8	49.3	66.1		46.8	59.8	48.5
REEHE		75.9	171.6	77.7	77.7	75.6	78.4	69.5	44.7	71.1		73.9	70.1	75.3
ANILTON		48.8	89.9	86.2	50.0	83.3	39.5	47.6	50.0	47.1		54.8	73.5	50.5
ERKIKER		62.1	67.8	60.7	67.3	49.7	67.0	52.1	48.6	53.3		60.4	40.2	60.5
EFFERSON		71.5	76.9	69.5	72.1	75.0	71.1	67.0	64.6	68.1		70.2	71.7	69.6
IK6S		86.3	86.9	85.4	82.3	93.6	60.7	66.3	6.86	63.3		77.4	79.0	75.2
ENIS		61.7	69.8	59.9	65.3	81.3	60.6	47.5	54.5	44.4		58.9	Δ8.0	55, 9
IVINGSTON		76.9	73.6	77.4	78.0	76.2	78.2	40.4	48.4	£2.5		71.6	64.2	72.7
ADISON		73.7	76.2	73.2	72.4	67.9	73.4	64.5	59.4	65.7		69.9	67.1	70.6
ICHROE	1	69.3	83.8	90.9	72.4	70.5	73.0	59.0	51.9	61.2		72.9		74.5
ONTGONERY		72.9	77.5	71.9	72.1	76.1	71.3	59.2	52.7	59.3		67.2	67.6	67.1
ASSAU .		65.6	90.9	87.7	80.1	85.5	77.6	55.7	61.6	53.1		74.7	79.0	72.9
EN YORK		90.9	90.9	90.9	90.2	39.4	90.6	79.4	77.5	B0.5		85.5	65.7	87.0
LA TONI. LABARA		90.4	89.1	90.8	90.5	85.9	92.0	77.6	66.2	B1.0	•	85.8	79.9	87.6
NEIDA		75.1	74.7	75.2	74.6	77.7	73.6	64.1	61.6	54.9		71.0	71.1	71.0
NDI!DAGA		B1.4	74.9	64.3	78.2	71.0	81.9	51.9	46.8	59.8		71.2	63.9	74.7
NTARIO		72.7	74.0	72.4	70.4	77.2	61.7 69.0	55.6	69.7	64.8		69.3	73.4	68.4
RANGE		72.7 80.6	79.0	91.1	81.5	78.0	82.7	57.6	49.2	50.5		72.6	67.B	74.3
									54.0				the state of the s	
RLEANS		49.4	75.9	67.8	70.7	78.3	<i>57.2</i>	55.6		55.3		65.3	69.2	63,9
SWEGO		79.6	77.3	80.0	76.8	79.5	78.5	62.2	. 58.7	63.1		72.6	48.1	73.7
TSEGO		71.6	33.9	73.1	77.5	75.9	79.1	42.9	50.5	53.4		70.9	\$4.7	71.7
HANTU		82.4	£3.£	67.7	91.4	76.3	65.4	43.2	44.0	- 58.3		74.6	56.7	79.4

APPENDIX C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND INE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS (ADULTS GIRLY) # - Continued

		- -		PERCENT OF	DISPOSITION	IS REPORTED		•			AVERAGE PERCI	
		1981 Arrests			1985 Arrest	\$		1986 Arrest	S	- · ·	1984-86 ARRE	_
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Misdeneanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Misdepeanor
CHECHO	90.8	02.2	89.5	89.1	00.0	89.3	73.0	73.9	73.8	84.0	84.2	81.7
QUEENS RENSSELAER	78.3	92.2 74.4	79.4	77.8	90.0 76.1	78.3	66.6	40.4	66.4	. 74.0	69.9	75.1
RICHMOND	92.1	92.8	91.7	90.5	92.4	89.3	76.3	78.9	74.6	86.1	37.8	85.0
ROCKLAND	74.8	76.0	74.3	75.8	79.0	74.7	56.0	53.5	57.1	69.3	49.1	69.4
ST. LAWRENCE	52.1	29.0	56.9	54.3	34.8	58.1	51.2	33.2	54.6	52.5	31.9	56.5
SARATOGA	78.1	76.7	78.3	84.6	80.5	85.5	74.3	86.8	78.4	79.7	74.4	86.8
SCHENECTADY	 98.7	85.1	89.7	91.8	89.4	92.5	77.2	66.9	80.3	95.6	80.0	87.3
SCHOHARIE	54.9	70.5	54.3	70.9	78.3	69.7	62.0	6.44	61.1	63.2	70.7	61.8
SCHUYLER	70.9	70.8	71.0	74.3	74.0	74.3	60.3	66.7	68.7	71.2	70.6	71.3
SENECA	71.6	62.0	73.4	63.2	44.7	£2.3	52.6	53.6	52.3	62.3	50.6	52,7
STEUBEN	71.9	80.8	69.8	73.4	71.6	73.9	۵3.3	58.5	64.6	69.3	69.4	69.3
SUFFOLK	85.5	68.0	98.0	83.1	63.9	82.8	53.9	6.06	51.7	74.5	77.5	73.5
SULLIVAN	85.0	83.1	85.4	81.3	77.0	82.4	45.8	61.1	67.B	77.6	73.4	79.1
TIOGA	78.0	76.0	78.3	75.8	81.1	74.£	58.0	57.3	58.1	70.0	69.7	70.0
TONPKINS	82.1	77.8	83.0	78.1	49.0	79.9	60.3	43, 2	64.0	73. 1	62.2	75.4
ULSTER	69.9	45.9	71.2	70.0	61.9	72.4	45.4	59.2	67.7	4E.4	62.2	70.4
HARREN	87.5	B9.5	87.1	89.7	88.0	90.0	80.2	80.1	80.2	85.7	65.6	85.7
WASHINGTON	63.0	51.9	63.3	62,9	63.3	62.8	56.9	41.8	55.4	60.9	62.3	60.5
WAYNE	62.3	40.9	62.6	48.5	65.3	69.1	67.6	58.6	69.3	66.2	61.6	67.0
WESTCHESTER	67.7	69.0	67.2	74.4	77.5	73.1	65.5	70.8	63.3	69.2	72.5	67.9
HADKING	42.0	85.1	36.2	43.4	63.6	38.4	33.0	42.9	30.4	39.5	57.5	35.1
YATES	70.5	83.3	67.B	60.7	82.1	55.1	57.4	50.5	57.0	62.2	71.3	59.5
NEW YORK STATE	35.3	86.9	84.4	83.8	85.1	83.1	69.6	70.3	59.2	79.2	86.3	78.6
HEN YORK CITY .	29.5	87.7	89.4	87.8	87.8	87.8	74.6	73.B	75.2	83.5	83.3	63.7

^{*} This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/DBTS data system as of July 1987. 'Interia' dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.


SOURCE: HYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES





APPENDIX E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES 1

OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- FELONY DIVISION CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	all D
Assault 1st ²	120.10	all C
Aggravated Assault on		
Peace Officer2	120.11	00 B
Manslaughter 1st ²	125.20	all B
Murder 2nd, <u>Attempted</u>	125.25	В
Rape 1st ²	130.35	all B
Sodomy 1st ²	130.50	all B
Sexual Abuse 1st	130.65	all D
Agg. Sexual Abyse ²	130.70	all B
Kidnapping 2nd ²	135.20	00 B
Kidnapping 1st, Attempted	135.25	all B
Burglary 2nd ²	140.25	all C
Burglary 1st ²	140.30	all B
Arson 2nd ²	150.15	all B
Arson 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	150.20	all B
Robbery 2nd ²	160.10	all B
Robbery 1st ²	160.15	all B
Intimidation 2nd4	215.16	all D
Intimidation 1st ^{2,4}	215.17	all B
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ²		04,05 D
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd ²	265.03	00 C
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st ²	265.04	a11 B
Crim. Use Firearm 2nd ²	265.08	
Crim. Use Firearm 1st ²	265.09	all C all B
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00 D
or the Suite i it cut in 130	~UU•14	J

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd Murder 1st, Including	125.25	all	A-1
Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	a11	A-1
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00,01,02,03	D

¹ Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

 $^{^2}$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

⁴Effective 11/1/86.

APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

<u>Board of Prisoners</u>. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- o <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- o <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- o <u>State Readies</u>: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

Community Treatment Programs. The Department of Correctional Services has in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child, or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate; these funds are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

<u>Victim and Witness Assistance Program</u>. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and,
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological, and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Funding and Program Assistance.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapid increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971. When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing presentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging

defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays, and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organization Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

Law Guardian Back-Up Center. Statewide Youth Advocacy of Rochester has received a legislative appropriation to establish the Law Guardian Back-Up Center. This Center will provide technical assistance and training to law guardians practicing before family courts primarily outside of New York City. Training and legal research will cover JD, PINS, and abuse/neglect proceedings. Social work and legal assistance will also be provided. A brief bank and library will be developed, as will an inventory of dispositional alternatives and community-based programs. Updates will be provided on legal and legislative developments. Videotaped training to be used in rural counties will be developed. Staff will include two lawyers and one social worker.

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buying-money." In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Unit.

Narcotics Control. The federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 established a program of grants to State and local agencies for drug law enforcement. Under this Act, the State and localities are eligible for funds under the Narcotics Control Assistance Formula Grant Program. New York State is eligible for \$111,539,00 in federal fiscal year 1987. It is anticipated that the federal award for FY 1988 and FY 1989 will be for the same amount.

The New York State Legislature has identified the following priority areas: apprehension, prosecution and adjudication of persons who violate State and local controlled substances laws, as defined in the penal and public health laws; additional correctional resources for such persons; programs to eliminate the growth and production of controlled substances, programs for drug-dependent offenders; and demonstration programs to identify major offenders and move these offenders expeditiously through the judicial system.

The distribution of funds will be in the ratio of 39.61 between State agency programs and local government programs. These federal funds must be matched on a cash basis with one dollar in non-federal funds for every three dollars in federal funds.

Nassau County Service to Rape Victims Task Force. Advocacy services for victims of sexual assault include the following: hospital advocacy (support, information, referral, follow-up); criminal justice system advocacy; recruitment, supervision, and training of volunteers; newsletters; field placement for students; public information through public speaking and distribution of literature.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police. The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

New York City School Security Officer Program. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulation, workshops, and training seminars.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Offender Aid and Restoration of Western New York, Inc., (OAR/WNY). (OAR/WRY) delivers a wide variety of services to ex-offenders and their families. OAR/WRY conducts workshops that train ex-offenders in setting employment goals and completing applications. Ex-offenders learn about employer expectations and techniques for successful job interviews and job retention. The family services program delivers support and counseling to families before, during, and after the offender's incarceration and helps the family in making requests for assistance through social service agencies. The program seeks to address the needs of the ex-offenders in order to facilitate their successful reentry into society.

<u>Prisoners' Legal Services</u>. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie, and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities, and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984 to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

<u>Special Narcotics Court Program</u>. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized, and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and an enhanced level of criminal parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

<u>Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program</u>. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program

(S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

<u>Program for Ex-Offenders</u>. Transitional services program designed to expedite the reintegration of ex-offenders into the community upon their release from Suffolk County Correctional facilities. A multi-service, multi-disciplinary, inter-agency approach including therapy, family counseling, supplementary education, job training, job placement, legal aid, needs-based payments, and linkages to services for areas outside the program's scope.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major Violent Offense Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

Transit Crime Interdiction Program. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

<u>Transit Strike Force</u>. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the

subway system. The crimes include homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies which are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners, and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

<u>Community Patrol Officer Program</u>. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

Westchester Datafacs. The Westchester Datafacs System is a regional network of nine photofacsimile transmitters placed strategically throughout the country to service the Criminal History Record Information requests of forty local police departments. The Criminal History Record Information, or "rap-sheet," is transmitted from the Criminal History Repository located at the Division of Criminal Justice Services in Albany to the requesting local police department. In turn, the information is then forwarded to the District Attorney and Court enabling the presiding judge to make a better informed bail/bond or release decision.

Information expedites the criminal justice process and serves to reduce the number of defendants detained in the County Jail facility. In this sense, the Datafacs System is truly an alternative to incarceration.

DIVISION OF PAROLE

<u>Parole Resource Center</u>. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

<u>Parole Transitional Facilities</u>. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve services performance.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

"Classifications/Alternatives" Bill. The "Classifications/Alternatives" bill (Chapters 907 & 908, Laws of 1984) was enacted on August 6, 1984 to help reduce overcrowding pressures in the county jails, to facilitate more efficient and practical jail population management, and to support the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The statute reflects a unique and effective linkage of two distinct criminal justice issues to facilitate reduced reliance on confinement and more efficient utilization of that significant scarce resource -- jail beds. This legislation reduces the number of state mandated classification categories in local correctional facilities from twelve to four. However, in order for a county to take advantage of this reduced classification system, it must prepare and carry out a service plan (approved by the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives) for the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The service plan must be the collaborative product of a county advisory board composed of the key criminal justice decision makers, and must present a comprehensive overview of the local criminal justice system which serves to identify target populations, factors contributing to overcrowding, programmatic needs, and specific proposals for the use of state aid available under the bill. In fiscal year 1986-87, a total of \$3,050,000 was available to all counties and the City of New York. These funds are to be distributed according to a modified population-based formula outlined in the statute.

In the two and one-half years that have passed since enactment of this legislation, important and far-reaching changes have taken place in the activities and organization of local criminal justice systems. Almost eighty percent of the jurisdictions in New York State have taken advantage of the bill's provisions. Forty-four counties and the city of New York submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and have now implemented alternatives to incarceration programs. During State fiscal year 1986-87 (the second year of funding) a total of 67 programs were supported and operated consistent with the counties' service plans.

<u>Demonstration Projects</u>. These projects generally fall into one of four program models: community service, defendant-based advocacy, pre-trial, and offender rehabilitation/specialized services. In FY 1986-87 there were 31 such projects. During the current fiscal year, FY 1987-88, 28 of these 100% State funded projects are expected to provide services to more than 15,000 clients.

Demonstration projects are designed to reduce the incidence of incarceration through the use of community-based alternatives that emphasize public safety and cost effectiveness.

Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (continued)

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The January 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

Regular State Aid. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

Community Based Organization. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

Community Care Program. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism, and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

<u>Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

Job Development. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living Support. Gives the Division the authority and financial capability to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand this program. Statutory provisions, which were modified during 1985, permit the expansion of this program into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which DFY was formerly limited.

Special Delinquency Prevention Program. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk to help prevent unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH (continued)

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

<u>Voluntary Agency Care</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psychosocial and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Salaries of District Attorneys. Pursuant to County Law 700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs are targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories. Responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88.

APPENDIX G

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to NYC agencies that provide services citywide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the NYC and State profiles.

Table 1

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23.553.9
Division for Youth ³	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴			47.5	47.5	NA	52.5	
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,387.9	128,159.9	. 70.1%	94,355.2	109,970.7

 $^{^1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{\}mathbf{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

<u>Program</u>	Expenditures					Appropriations	
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY_87-88
Board of Prisoners ²					•		
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	14.8	10.9	NA		
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	9,699.7	132.8%		
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	284.9	1,659.7	NA	-~	
State Readies ³	· · ·			1,064.9	NA		
Community Treatment Programs ⁴	· · · · ·	215.1	434.2	- :	NA NA		
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	214.4	NA	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL	4.167.3	5.411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Program			Appropriations				
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,354.3	-5.2%	5,206.0	8,507.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program	•						
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	543.2	18.5%	700.3	1,203.1
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	140.5	26.8%	143.6	260.2
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	43.3	0.0
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3

 $^{^{1}}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $^{^2}$ FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative		 -	0.0	55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection		175.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	2,090.9	18.8%	2,133.0	2,133.0
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	722.1	834.8	956.0	956.0	32.4%	1,028.0	1,069.0
Justice Assistance Act ⁵		•••			NA	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	884.0	-10.1%	975.2	1,055.1
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	6,624.0	18.0%	6,756.5	7,161.9
Narcotics Control ⁷					NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,006.9
NYC School Security ⁸ Officer Program	· .	. · ·	÷		NA	0.0	250.0
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.4	0.0	NA NA		
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰			1,395.0	1,299.5	NA	1,440.0	1,400.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	16,521.5	31.0%	16,789.5	17,433.0
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹²				1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force ¹³		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Patrol ¹⁴ Officer Program				.	NA	100.0	150.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

³ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^{5}}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{6}}$ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{^{10}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹² This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ²	398.0	511.4	477.0	516.8	29.8%	654.8	774.8
Parole Transitional ³ Facilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	37.1	172.9	309.1	NA	496.1	496.1
Employment Services ⁴ Project		- 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1.850.9	1,970.9

 $^{^{1}}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	***************************************		Approp	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ² Alternatives				1,130.9	NA	0.0	1,302.8
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.6	2,074.6	270.9%	1,664.3	2,511.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	1,478.9	53.8%	3,399.3	4,569.5
PINS Program ³					NA	631.7	1,314.6
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10.086.4	10.717.4	12,065.3	35.2%	13,574.8	13,855.4
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553,9

 $^{^1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ²	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Detention							
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	2,191.8	131.7%	2,213.0	5,023.6
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	16,947.8	123.9%	9,723.5	9,043.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	516.6	27.3%	691.4	. 645.9
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	4,630.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Transitional Independent Living Support			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	69.7	NA	0.0	1,139.3
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	9,201.4	103.6%	0.0	0.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	25,024.4	127.8%	14,041.7	14,077.1
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9

This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^2}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^3}$ Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

APPENDIX H STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the State profile.

APPENDIX H

Table 1
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	· .		Appropr	iations			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5
Division of Parole			· · · · ·				
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA **	102.8	601.0
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project				,	NA.		525.0
TOTAL	14,008.2	15,375.5	14,344.0	20,948.3	49.5%	53,930.6	69,232.2

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^{4}}$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

Table 2

DEPARIMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

•			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	51.5	55.5
Felons	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA NA	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA .	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ³		1	₹ =	0.0	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ⁴		0.0	0.0		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. NA	30,052.0	38,678.0

 $^{^{1}}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^3}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
STATEWIDE 1 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				<u>Appropriations</u>		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	2,803.0	4,190.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program	•					V		
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	899.5	0.0	
TOTAL	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0	

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

 $^{^2}$ FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appro	oriations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.0	0.0	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection	a - a - ^a	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	695.0
Indigent Parolee Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	NA	597.8	628.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁵			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	en en	NA	1,611.6	
Juvenile Justice 6 and Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	0.0	-100.0%	1,088.9	1,078.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁷				· · · · · · · · ·	NA		6,070.2
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	0.0	60.1	-90.5%	•	487.0
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0.0	NA	20.0	10.0

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	746.8	-26.3%	766.8	849.3
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

 $^{^2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 $^{^{3}}$ No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^{5}}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{7}}$ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^{8}}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

 $^{^{10}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ² Alternatives				0.0	NA	0.0	83.2
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	100.0	39.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA.	0.0	199.3
PINS Program ³		· 	. 	11	NA	2.8	0.0
Regular State Aid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	279.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 $^{^{2}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{^{3}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86 ²	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Care ³ Initiative		. · · · · ·			NA	219.0	219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	1.951.4	5,131.7%	131.9	0.0
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	330.0	NA	274.5	0.0
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	12,092.2	60.9%	9,553.5	8,222.7
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

 $^{^2}$ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^{4}}$ Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

 $^{^{5}}$ Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

APPENDIX I JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES

JUVENILE OFFENDER CRIMES¹

(as of 1/1/87)

AGE	OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
13-15 14-15	Murder 2nd Murder 2nd ² Kidnapping 1st ² Arson 1st Manslaughter 1st Rape 1st Sodomy 1st Aggravated Sexual Abuse ³ Robbery 1st Burglary 1st Arson 2nd Robbery 2nd Assault 1st	125.25 125.25 135.25 135.25 150.20 125.20 130.35 130.50 130.70 160.15 140.30 150.15 160.10	01,02 03 all all 01,02 01,02 all all all 00 02 01,02	A-1 A-1 A-1 B B B B B C C
li .	Burglary 2nd	140.25	01	C.

¹ Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 10.00(18).

 $^{^{2}}$ An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³Effective 9/1/81.

REFERENCES

- Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u>. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.
- New York State Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.
- New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.
- New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. <u>Resident Employment Status and the Civilian Labor Force: January 1984 October 1986</u>.
- New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.
- New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.
- New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Justice Systems Analysis and the Office of Administrative Services.
- . New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).
- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.
- Report. March, 1987.
- New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.
- New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.
- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Each requester will receive one volume free of charge; a \$2.00 charge to cover postage and handling will be applied to each additional volume requested.

To order by phone, please call (518) 457-8381. To order by mail, please place a check mark () next to the volumes you would like. Volume I New York State Volume II Binghamton Region Volume III Buffalo Region Volume IV
Volume V Capital Region Volume V Elmira Region
Volume VI Lower Hudson Region a
Volume VII Mid-Hudson Region
Volume VIII Mohawk Valley Region
Volume IX New York City Region
Volume X Northern Region Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region Volume XI Rochester Region Volume XII - Syracuse Region Volume XIII Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties) Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to: Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203 NAME TITLE AGENCY ADDRESS CITY____STATE___ZIP___ TELEPHONE NUMBER

 $^{^{}m 1}$ This charge does not apply to New York State agencies or officials.

