If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.

L,



#### **REPORTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS**

OF THE

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

OF THE

UNITED STATES

HELD IN

WASHINGTON, D.C.

March 15, 1988

and

September 14, 1988

ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

**:TOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE** 

OF THE

UNITED STATES COURTS

1988

117124

## 117/24

#### **REPORTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS**

OF THE

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

#### OF THE

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### **HELD IN**

WASHINGTON, D.C.

March 15, 1988

and

September 14, 1988

#### ANNUAL REPORT

#### OF THE

#### DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

#### OF THE

## UNITED STATES COURTS NCJRS

1988

MAY 10 1989

## ACQUISITIONS

ġ

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, DC 20402

#### 117124

#### U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this separighted material has been granted by

Public Domain/Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright.owner.

## REPORTS

## OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE

## JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE

### **UNITED STATES**

1988

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

## March 15, 1988

Ρ	a	qe

Call of the Conference	1
Report of the Director of the Administrative	
Office of the United States Courts	4
Judicial Business of the Courts	4
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.	5
Executive Committee	5
Notification	6
Jurisdiction of Committees; Procedures	
for Assembling Agendas	6
Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates	
in Death Penalty Habeas Corpus Cases	7
Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1988	7
Committee on the Administrative Office	7
Committee on the Administration of the	
Bankruptcy System.	8
Resolution	8
Additional Judgeships	9
Delineation of Responsibilities for	
Closing Bankruptcy Cases.	9
Committee on the Bicentennial of the	
Constitution	10
Committee on the Budget	10
Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1988	10
Funding New Magistrates	10
Reassignment of Certain Costs in the	
"Salaries and Expenses" Appropriation	11
Budget Decentralization	11
Committee on Codes of Conduct	11
Committee on Court Security	12
United States Marshals Service	12
Committee on Criminal Law and Probation	
Administration.	13
Sentencing Guidelines Training	13
Transfer of Jurisdiction and Supervision of	40
Persons on Supervised Release	13
Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1984	14

Committee on Defender Services	14
Appointments and Payments	14
Budget Requests-Federal Public Defenders	15
Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates	15
Death Penalty Resource Centers	17
Advice of Counsel Prior to Pretrial Services	
Interview	18
Guidelines.	19
Resolution.	20
Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction	21
Diversity of Citizenship Jurisdiction	21
Appeals of Civil Actions	23
Habeas Corpus.	23
Civil RICO.	23
	23 24
Product Liability	
Asbestos Information Act	24
Berne Convention Implementation Act	24
State Justice Institute	25
Committee on Intercircuit Assignments.	25
Committee on the Judicial Branch	25
Committee on Judicial Ethics	25
Committee on Judicial Improvements	26
Automation	26
Computer-Assisted Legal Research	26
Videotaping Court Proceedings	27
Travel Regulations for Justices and Judges	27
United States Claims Court	29
Increases in Fee Schedules	29
Amendments to the Jury Selection	
and Service Act	30
Juror Qualification Questionnaire	30
Machine Readable Qualification	
Questionnaire	30
Places of Holding Court	31
Committee on Judicial Resources.	31
Judgeship Vacancies	31
Quality Step Increases	32
Retention of Staff Upon the Death	
or Incapacity of a Judge	32
Additional Court Reporters	33
Classification of Supporting Personnel.	33
Court Interpreters Act	33
Board of Certification	34
	-04

Committee on the Administration of	
the Federal Magistrates System	34
Cost-of-Living Adjustments for	
Part-time Magistrates	34
Magistrates' Seal of Office	35
Changes in Magistrate Positions	35
Committee on Pacific Territories	38
Committee on Rules of Practice and	
Procedure	39
Rules Amendments	39
Committee on Space and Facilities	39
Long-Range Planning	39
Courthouse Management	40
Proceedings under Judicial Conduct and	
Disability Act	40
Memorial Resolutions	43
Elections	46
Funding	46
Release of Conference Action	46

いたちかい あたまち いためあい おかえい いたかなかい しょうかい あいち いたいちゅう ちょういんかい

Para

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

## September 14, 1988

Call of the Conference	49
Report of the Director of the Administrative	
Office of the United States Courts	52
Judicial Business of the Courts.	52
Report of the Director of the Federal	
Judicial Center	53
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.	54
Executive Committee	54
Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1988	54
Appropriations for the Fiscal Years 1989 and 1990	55
United States Claims Court	56
Miscellaneous Actions	57
Committee on the Administrative Office	57
Investigative Services by the	
Administrative Office	57
Indemnification of Judicial Officers	
and Employees Sued in their	
Official Capacities	58
Committee on the Administration of the	
Bankruptcy System	59
Intercircuit Assignments of	
Bankruptcy Judges	59
Selection and Appointment of	
Bankruptcy Judges	60
Recall to Service of Retired	
Bankruptcy Judges	61
Bankruptcy Administrator Regulations	61
Additional Judgeships	61
Memorial Resolution	62
Committee on the Bicentennial of the	
Constitution	63
Partial Funding of International	
Appellate Judges Conference	63

Committee on the Budget	63
Supplemental Appropriations	
for the Fiscal Year 1989	63
Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1990	64
Registry Fees	64
Implementing Judiciary Salary Plan	
Modifications in the Fiscal Year 1989	65
Budget Decentralization	66
United States Claims Court	67
Committee on Codes of Conduct	67
Codes of Conduct	67
Committee on Court Security	68
Electronic Monitoring of Courtrooms	•••
for Security Purposes	68
Weapons in Courtrooms	68
Committee on Criminal Law and Probation	00
Administration	68
HIV Guidelines	68
Drug Testing for Probationers.	69
Sentencing Guidelines Report	69
Committee on Defender Services	69
Appointments and Payments	69
Budget Requests - Federal Public Defender	09
	70
Organizations	70
Grant Requests - Community Defender	72
Organizations	. –
Death Penalty Resource Centers	73
Funding Priorities	75
Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates	75
Guidelines.	76
Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction	76
United States Claims Court	76
Diversity of Citizenship Jurisdiction.	76
Foreign Product Liability	77
Product Liability	77
Aviation Accidents	78
Jurisdiction of the Federal Circuit	78
Judicial Review of Veterans' Claims	78
Export Administration Act	79
Removal of Alien Terrorists.	79
Committee on Intercircuit Assignments	79
Committee on International Appellate	
Judges Conference of 1990	80
Committee on the Judicial Branch.	80

Committee on Judicial Ethics	81
Committee on Judicial Improvements	81
Automation	81
Release and Sale of Court Data	83
Videotaping Court Proceedings	83
Videoconferencing of Arraignments	
and Habeas Corpus Cases	84
Miscellaneous Fee Schedules	84
Travel Regulations for Justices and	
Judges	84
Places of Holding Court	85
Cornmittee on Judicial Resources	85
Additional Judgeships	85
Fiscal Year 1990 Budget Requests for	
Supporting Personnel	89
Additional Court Reporters	89
Federal Employee Salary Offset Program	89
Deferral of Repayment of Student Loans	90
Judiciary Salary Plan Modifications	90
Retirement Annuities for Court Reporters	91
Committee on the Administration of	
the Federal Magistrates System	92
Salaries of Part-Time Magistrates	92
Changes in Magistrate Positions	93
Committee on Pacific Territories	102
Committee on Rules of Practice and	
Procedure	103
Local Rules	103
Appellate Rules	103
Criminal Rules	103
Committee on Space and Facilities	104
Courtroom Sizes	104
Day Care Centers	104
Resolution	104
Memorial Resolutions	105
Pretermission of Terms of the	
Courts of Appeals	111
Funding	111
Release of Conference Action	111

# Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States

March 15, 1988

Washington, D.C. 1988

#### JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES 28 U.S.C. 331

#### § 331 Judicial Conference of the United States

The Chief Justice of the United States shall summon annually the chief judge of each judicial circuit, the chief judge of the Court of international Trade, and a district judge from each judicial circuit to a conferenc.) at such time and place in the United States as he may designate. He shall preside at such conference which shall be known as the Judicial Conference of the United States. Special sessions of the conference may be called by the Chief Justice at such times and places as he may designate.

The district judge to be summoned from each judicial circuit shall be chosen by the circuit and district judges of the circuit at the annual judicial conference of the circuit held pursuant to section 333 of this title and shall serve as a member of the conference for three successive years, except that in the year following the enactment of this amended section the judges in the first, fourth, seventh, and tenth circuits shall choose a district judge to serve for two years and the judges in the third, sixth, ninth, and District of Columbia circuits shall choose a district judge to serve for two years and the judges in the third, sixth, ninth, and District of Columbia circuits shall choose a district judge to serve for three years.

If the chief judge of any circuit, the chief judge of the Court of International Trade, or the district judge chosen by judges of the circuit is unable to attend, the Chief Justice may summon any other circuit or district judge from such circuit or any other judge of the Court of International Trade, as the case may be. Every judge summoned shall attend and, unless excused by the Chief Justice, shall remain throughout the sessions of the conference and advise as to the needs of his circuit or court and as to any matters in respect of which the administration of justice in the courts of the United States may be improved.

The Conference shall make a comprehensive survey of the condition of business in the courts of the United States and prepare plans for assignment of judges to or from circuits or districts where necessary. It shall also submit suggestions and recommendations to the various courts to promote uniformity of management procedures and the expeditious conduct of court business. The Conference is authorized to exercise the authority provided in section 372(c) of this title as the Conference, or through a standing committee. If the Conference elects to establish a standing committee. It shall be appointed by the Chief Justice and all petitions for review shall be seviewed by that committee. The Conference or standing committee may hold hearings, take sworn testimony, issue subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum, and make necessary and appropriate orders in the exercise of its authority. Subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum shall be issued by the clerk of the Supreme Court or by the clerk of any court of appeals, at the direction of the Chief Justice or his designee and under the seal of the court, and shall be served in the manner provided in rule 45(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or any agency thereof. The Conference may also prescribe and modify rules for the exercise of the authority provided in section 372(c) of this title. All judicial officers and employees of the United States shall promptly carry into effect all orders of the Judicial Conference or the standing committee established pursuant to this section.

The Conference shall also carry on a continuous study of the operation and effect of the general rules of practice and procedure now or hereafter in use as prescribed by the Supreme Court for the other courts of the United States pursuant to law. Such changes in and additions to those rules as the Conference may deem desirable to promote simplicity in procedure, fairness in administration, the just determination of litigation, and the elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay shall be recommended by the Conference from time to time to the Supreme Court for its consideration and adoption, modification or rejection, in accordance with law.

The Attorney General shall, upon request of the Chief Justice, report to such conference on matters relating to the business of the several courts of the United States, with particular reference to cases to which the United States is a party.

The Chief Justice shall submit to Congress an annual report of the proceedings of the Judicial Conference and its recommendations for legislation.

## REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 15, 1988

The Judicial Conference of the United States convened on March 15, 1988, pursuant to the call of the Chief Justice of the United States issued under 28 U.S.C. 331. The Chief Justice presided and the following members of the Conference were present:

First Circuit:

Chief Judge Levin H. Campbell Chief Judge Juan M. Perez-Gimenez, District of Puerto Rico

Second Circuit:

Chief Judge Wilfred Feinberg Chief Judge John T. Curtin, Western District of New York

Third Circuit:

Chief Judge John J. Gibbons Chief Judge William J. Nealon, Jr., Middle District of Pennsylvania

Fourth Circuit:

Chief Judge Harrison L. Winter Judge Frank A. Kaufman, District of Maryland

Fifth Circuit:

Chief Judge Charles Clark Chief Judge L. T. Senter, Jr., Northern District of Mississippi Sixth Circuit:

Chief Judge Pierce Lively Chief Judge Phillip Pratt, Eastern District of Michigan

Seventh Circuit:

Chief Judge William J. Bauer Judge Sarah Evans Barker, Southern District of Indiana

Eighth Circuit:

Judge Gerald W. Heaney\* Chief Judge John F. Nangle, Eastern District of Missouri

Ninth Circuit:

Chief Judge James R. Browning Chief Judge Robert F. Peckham, Northern District of California

Tenth Circuit:

Chief Judge William J. Holloway Chief Judge Sherman G. Finesilver, District of Colorado

Eleventh Circuit:

Chief Judge Paul H. Roney Chief Judge Sam C. Pointer, Jr., Northern District of Alabama

District of Columbia Circuit:

Chief Judge Patricia M. Wald Chief Judge Aubrey E. Robinson, Jr., District of Columbia

<sup>\*</sup> Designated by the Chief Justice in place of Chief Judge Donald P. Lay, who was unable to attend.

Federal Circuit:

Chief Judge Howard T. Markey

Court of International Trade:

Chief Judge Edward D. Re

Circuit Judges Boyce F. Martin, Jr. and Robert S. Vance, District Judge Richard M. Bilby, and Senior District Judge Walter T. McGovern attended all or some of the sessions of the Conference. Circuit Executives Steven Flanders (Second Circuit), Samuel W. Phillips (Fourth Circuit), Lydia Comberrel (Fifth Circuit), James A. Higgins (Sixth Circuit), Collins T. Fitzpatrick (Seventh Circuit), June L. Boadwine (Eighth Circuit), Francis L. Bremson (Ninth Circuit), Eugene J. Murret (Tenth Circuit), and Norman E. Zoller (Eleventh Circuit), and Circuit Executive-designates Vincent Flanagan (First Circuit) and John Hehman (Third Circuit) were also present.

Congressman Neal Smith, Chairman of the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary and Related Agencies, attended the Conference briefly and spoke on matters pending in the Congress of interest to the judiciary. The Attorney General of the United States, Honorable Edwin Meese III, and Solicitor General Charles Fried addressed the Conference on matters of mutual interest to the Department of Justice and the Conference. Circuit Judge Thomas J. Meskill, a member of the Conference's Budget Committee, briefed the Conference on the status of the judiciary's appropriations requests.

L. Ralph Mecham, Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, attended the sessions of the Conference, as did James E. Macklin, Jr., Deputy Director; William R. Burchill, Jr., General Counsel; Robert E. Feidler, Legislative and Public Affairs Officer; Karen K. Siegel, Chief, Office of the Judicial Conference Secretariat; and David A. Sellers, Public Information Officer. Judge John C. Godbold, Director of the Federal Judicial Center, also attended the sessions of the Conference. Noel Augustyn (Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice), Richard Schickele (Staff Counsel to the United States Supreme Court), and Judy B. Sloan (Judicial Fellow) were also present.

3

The Director of the Federal Judicial Center, Judge Godbold, presented a report on the activities of the Center.

## REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, L. Ralph Mecham, submitted to the Conference a brief report summarizing the workload of the federal judiciary during the calendar year 1987.

#### JUDICIAL BUSINESS OF THE COURTS

Mr. Mecham reported that during 1987, the number of cases appealed to the 12 regional courts of appeals rose nearly three percent to 35,700, due primarily to a substantial increase in appeals of state prisoner petitions. Although terminations increased four percent this year, they did not keep pace with filings and, consequently, the pending caseload rose two percent to 26,894 on December 31, 1987. Filings in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit rose more than 18 percent to 1,406. The largest increases were in appeals from the Merit Systems Protection Board and from the U.S. district courts. Dispositions declined 30 percent, dropping below the level of filings. As a result, the pending caseload climbed seven percent to 674.

In the United States district courts, the number of civil filings declined for the second consecutive year, dropping more than four percent to 233,292 (an average of 406 civil cases per authorized judgeship). The largest decreases were in cases involving recovery of overpayments of veterans' benefits, asbestos personal injury product liability suits, and marine contract actions. Civil terminations declined at a faster rate than filings (down eight percent), resulting in a one percent increase in the pending caseload. On December 31, 1987, there were 247,107 civil cases pending in the district courts.

Criminal filings continued to increase, rising more than four percent to 44,335 (an average of 77 criminal cases per authorized judgeship). The increase in criminal filings was due primarily to substantial rises in prosecutions under the Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act and for fraud. Criminal dispositions rose six percent but remained below the level of filings. Accordingly, the pending caseload climbed nearly five percent to 26,454 on December 31, 1987. The rate of increase in the number of bankruptcy petitions slowed considerably during 1987. Total bankruptcy filings rose only eight percent to 574,849, compared to a 28 percent increase a year ago. Non-business bankruptcies rose ten percent, while business bankruptcies rose only one percent. Despite a 29 percent increase in terminations, the pending caseload grew five percent, reaching a record level of 819,726 on December 31, 1987.

Mr. Mecham also reported that as of March 1, 1988, there were 11 vacancies among the 168 judgeship positions authorized for the United States courts of appeals and 34 vacancies among the 575 authorized judgeship positions in the United States district courts.

#### JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

A written statement filed with the Conference by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation indicated that during the six-month period ended December 31, 1987, the Panel centralized 122 civil actions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407. Of that number, 46 were transferred for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with 76 actions originally filed in the transferee districts. The Panel denied transfer of 16 actions.

Since its creation in 1968, the Panel has centralized 16,173 civil actions for pretrial proceedings in carrying out its statutory responsibilities.

#### **EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

The Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference reported that, since the last session of the Conference in September, 1987, the Executive Committee had addressed the following matters on the Conference's behalf:

#### NOTIFICATION

The Report of the Proceedings of the September 1987 Judicial Conference indicates that, in connection with its consideration of the report of the Committee to Study the Judicial Conference, the Conference approved the following principle (Conf. Rpt., p. 58):

When the Administrative Office recommends to a committee that a request submitted by a judge or court be rejected, the committee chairman should consider directing that the judge or court be notified in sufficient time to submit responsive material; similarly, when a committee votes to reject the request of a judge or court, the chairman should consider notifying the requester promptly.

Responding to the suggestion that the Judicial Conference intended to require notification rather than merely to suggest it, the Executive Committee established the following interim policy:

When the Administrative Office recommends to a committee that a request submitted by a judge or court be rejected, the Director should notify the judge or court promptly; similarly, when a committee votes to reject the request of a judge or court, the chairman should notify the requester promptly, unless there are compelling reasons for not doing so.

At its March 15, 1988, session, the Judicial Conference made the interim policy permanent.

#### JURISDICTION OF COMMITTEES; PROCEDURES FOR ASSEMBLING AGENDAS

Among the duties assigned the Executive Committee by the Judicial Conference in September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 57), were to review the jurisdiction of each Conference committee, and to establish and publish procedures for assembling agendas so that interested courts and judges will know how to get matters before the Conference and its committees.

On January 6, 1988, the Executive Committee published a report detailing the jurisdiction of each Conference committee, a list of all committee members (with their terms), and procedures for assembling agendas. Under the procedures, courts and judges desiring to have matters considered by the Conference may transmit their requests, in writing, to the Director of the Administrative Office (Attention: Office of the Judicial Conference Secretariat). The Director is delegated the responsibility of assigning matters to the appropriate committee and notifying the requesting court or judge of the committee assignment. If jurisdictional questions arise between committees, they will be resolved on a priority basis by the Executive Committee.

#### ALTERNATIVE HOURLY COMPENSATION RATES IN DEATH PENALTY HABEAS CORPUS CASES

In September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., pp. 94-95), the Judicial Conference approved a special alternative maximum rate of \$75 per hour, for both in- and out-of-court time, for representation in death penalty habeas corpus cases in the Northern, Central, and Eastern Districts of California. Effective November 6, 1987, the Executive Committee agreed to extend the \$75 rate, in death penalty habeas corpus cases only, to the Southern District of California. See "Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates", infra p. 15.

#### APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1988

The Executive Committee approved a spending plan for the appropriation "Salaries and Expenses" and supplemental appropriations requests for certain judicial accounts, both for the fiscal year 1988. See also "Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1988", <u>infra p. 10</u>. Since expenditure levels vary from one month to the next, the plan was approved for the second quarter of the fiscal year, through March 31, 1988. An updated financial plan will be submitted to the Executive Committee in April, 1988.

#### COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

The Committee on the Administrative Office reported that, at its organizational meeting, the Director and his senior staff provided a full and comprehensive educational briefing on the operations and programs of the Administrative Office. The Committee plans to meet in April, 1988, to develop an implementing strategy for its role in providing advice and support to the Administrative Office and in monitoring the agency's general performance.

7

#### COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE BANKRUPTCY SYSTEM

#### RESOLUTION

Noting the superior effort of the Director of the Administrative Office and members of his staff in obtaining the enactment of legislation which sets the salary of bankruptcy judges and a ceiling on the salary of full-time United States magistrates at 92 percent of the salary paid United States district judges, the Judicial Conference adopted the following resolution:

> Whereas, salary adjustments allowed bankruptcy judges and United States magistrates during 1987 were grossly inadequate with relation to the services performed by these judicial officers; and

> Whereas, L. Ralph Mecham, Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts recognized the need to provide salary relief to bankruptcy judges and magistrates and solicited the support of the Judicial Conference of the United States to petition Congress for a more equitable salary increase; and

> Whereas, at its March 1987 meeting the Judicial Conference unanimously resolved to seek immediate salary increases for bankruptcy judges and magistrates; and

> Whereas, Robert E. Feidler, Legislative and Public Affairs Officer of the United States Courts and Denis Hauptly, counsel of that office, successfully achieved passage of legislation authorizing salary increases for bankruptcy judges and United States magistrates equivalent to 92 percent of the salary of United States District Judges;

> Now therefore, be it resolved, that the Judicial Conference of the United States Committee on the Administration of the Bankruptcy System does hereby express to L. Ralph Mecham, Director, Robert E. Feidler, Legislative and Public Affairs Officer, and

Denis Hauptly, counsel, of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, its deep appreciation and sincere gratitude for their initiative and untiring efforts in obtaining for bankruptcy judges and United States magistrates substantial pay increases and particularly recognizes the diligence and vigilance of Denis Hauptly throughout the entire legislative process;

Be it further resolved, that the Judicial Conference adopts this resolution as its own and orders it recorded in the Proceedings of the Conference.

### ADDITIONAL JUDGESHIPS

Due to an unusual increase in bankruptcy filings, the Conference voted to recommend that Congress authorize one additional bankruptcy judgeship for the Eastern District of Texas.

#### DELINEATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR CLOSING BANKRUPTCY CASES

In order to provide guidance to bankruptcy judges and clerks in the delineation of responsibilities for closing bankruptcy cases in the aftermath of the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-598) and the Bankruptcy Judges, United States Trustees, and Family Farmer Bankruptcy Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-554), the Conference adopted the following policy statement:

> The United States trustee program is being phased in across the country region by region. By statute, United States trustees appoint case trustees and supervise their performance. This includes the auditing of trustee reports and fees and the monitoring of trustee fiduciary responsibilities under the Bankruptcy Code and Rules.

> When a United States trustee region becomes operational, it is expected that the United States trustee will assume, as soon as practicable, the full range of responsibilities contemplated by statute, including the auditing of case trustees' final reports and proposed orders of distribution. Absent unusual

circumstances, the court in the exercise of its judicial discretion to close a case should be able to rely on the certification of the United States trustee, in the U.S. trustee's official capacity and as an officer of the court, that the case trustee has properly and fully administered the estate. Staff of the clerk's office should not be used routinely to perform or duplicate United States trustees' responsibilities.

#### COMMITTEE ON THE BICENTENNIAL OF THE CONSTITUTION

The Committee on the Bicentennial of the Constitution reported on its participation in the Bicentennial effort.

#### COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET

#### **APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1988**

The Committee on the Budget reported that the fiscal year 1988 appropriation for the judiciary was signed into law as part of the government-wide "continuing resolution" (Public Law 100-202). New budget authority for the judiciary totals \$1,329,934,000, as compared to the fiscal year 1988 request of \$1,460,678,000 and the fiscal year 1987 appropriations of \$1,259,708,000.

In February, 1988, the Executive Committee authorized the Director to submit a fiscal year 1988 supplemental appropriations request of \$38,550,000 for the "Salaries and Expenses" appropriation, \$10,853,000 for the "Defender Services" appropriation, \$1,697,000 for "Court Security", and \$3,033,000 for the "Administrative Office" account.

#### FUNDING NEW MAGISTRATES

Under previous arrangements for funding new magistrate positions, months would frequently pass before the positions could be filled, particularly during periods of continuing resolutions when new appropriations were unavailable. On the recommendations of the Magistrates and Budget Committees, the Judicial Conference agreed to make funding of new magistrate positions a priority, and authorized the Director of the Administrative Office to proceed at the beginning of each fiscal year with a determination as to whether the costs of the new positions could be absorbed and funding made available through reprogramming without disturbing ongoing programs.

#### REASSIGNMENT OF CERTAIN COSTS IN THE "SALARIES AND EXPENSES" APPROPRIATION

From time to time, Congress funds court projects while simultaneously reducing Administrative Office requests for personnel and funds necessary to support the same court projects. A typical example of this funding conflict is automation, where significant Administrative Office resources are expended for computer installation and operation in In order to permit the Administrative Office to continue the courts. carrying out these duties performed directly for the courts in connection with specific and definable projects without diverting resources from other ongoing projects, the Budget Committee authorized the Administrative Office to devise and implement a procedure permitting such services to be charged to the courts' appropriation, so long as the action complies with the law and congressional intent, and is cleared in advance with the Appropriations Committees of the Congress. The Budget and Executive Committees of the Judicial Conference are subsequently to be advised of any expenditures to be treated in this manner.

#### BUDGET DECENTRALIZATION

The Judicial Conference was advised that the pilot project for budget decentralization has been under way in the five pilot courts (Second Circuit Court of Appeals, and Southern New York, Western Washington, Northern California, and Arizona district courts) since the beginning of this fiscal year. Allocations of resources to the pilot courts are at the same levels as allocations planned for nonparticipating courts.

#### COMMITTEE ON CODES OF CONDUCT

The Committee on Codes of Conduct reported that since its last report, the Committee had received 32 written inquiries and issued 27 advisory responses. The Chairman also responded to 34 telephone inquiries that did not require reference to the Committee.

#### COMMITTEE ON COURT SECURITY

#### UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE

The Committee on Court Security was established by the Judicial Conference in September, 1987, to oversee all court security matters. Among the most important of the new Committee's duties was to consider and make recommendations to the Conference on H.R. 3551, 100th Congress, the proposed "United States Marshals Service Act of 1987".

The Judicial Conference has previously supported certain aspects of H.R. 3551, including provisions to authorize the Attorney General to appoint "interim" United States marshals (March 1982 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 19); to permit the Attorney General to set fees for the service of process (March 1981 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 20); and to govern contracts for security guard or "perimeter security" services for court facilities (see the judiciary's annual budget requests since February, 1982). On the other hand, responding to a proposal which would have repealed 28 U.S.C. 569, under which the United States marshals "may, in the discretion of the respective courts, be required to attend any session of court", the September 1986 Conference (Conf. Rpt., p. 58) opposed any change in 28 U.S.C. 569.

After careful consideration, and noting that H.R. 3551 preserves intact the language of 28 U.S.C. 569, the Judicial Conference unanimously voted to support the bill, subject to two amendments developed by the Court Security Committee in coordination with the Director of the United States Marshals Service. First, the legislation should be amended specifically to include the following:

§ 566. Powers and duties

(a) It is the primary role and mission of the United States Marshals Service to provide for the security and to obey, execute and enforce all orders of the United States District Courts, the United States Courts of Appeals and the Court of International Trade.

In addition, observing that the bill would amend 28 U.S.C. 755 to permit bailiffs -- currently subject to a salary limit of \$6 a day to be paid only for actual attendance on days when the court is in session or the judge or jury is present -- to be paid up to the rate of a GS-5 "from funds appropriated to the Courts", the Conference recommended that the amendment to section 755 be limited to eliminating the obsolete provision which establishes the \$6 per day bailiff payment. As a result, bailiffs' salaries would not be fixed by statute and the judiciary's budget would be unaffected.

#### COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW AND PROBATION ADMINISTRATION

#### SENTENCING GUIDELINES TRAINING

In recognition of the fact that successful implementation of guideline sentencing depends substantially on training of the bench and bar, the Judicial Conference endorsed the Committee's suggestion that the district courts continue their guideline training efforts and sponsor programs that will also educate the bar, whose knowledge of guideline procedures is essential to effective implementation.

## TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION AND SUPERVISION OF PERSONS ON SUPERVISED RELEASE

The Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-473) provides authority for courts to impose periods of supervised release following incarceration sentences. 18 U.S.C. 3583. While a period of supervised release serves many of the same purposes previously served by parole supervision, the period of supervision is set by the court at sentencing instead of by the Parole Commission, and responsibility for setting and modifying the conditions of release, and revoking supervision for a violation of the terms of release, rests with the sentencing court.

Section 3605 of title 18 permits courts to transfer jurisdiction of a supervised releasee to another court, as has been the case with probationers for many years. Upon being advised of the economic and administrative advantages of transfer of jurisdiction of persons under supervised release to the district where they are being supervised, the Judicial Conference voted to encourage courts mutually to consent to such transfers as provided by 18 U.S.C. 3605, particularly when a violation of the conditions of supervised release has occurred in the district of supervision.

#### COMPREHENSIVE CRIME CONTROL ACT OF 1984

At its September 1985 (Conf. Rpt., pp. 60-61) and March 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 26) sessions, the Judicial Conference endorsed amendments to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 (Title II of the Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1984, Public Law 98-473). While some of these proposals have been enacted in the Criminal Fines Improvement Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-185) and the Sentencing Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-182), others have not.

On the Committee's recommendation, the Conference reendorsed an amendment to 18 U.S.C. 3563(a) to provide for exceptions to the requirement that, if probation is imposed for a felony, the sentence must include a fine, restitution, community service, or any combination of the three. In the Conference's view, there are limited cases in which this inflexible requirement would be counterproductive, and sentencing courts should have the authority to suspend the three mandatory conditions in circumstances where the defendant cannot comply with any of them.

In addition, the Conference agreed to recommend an amendment to title 18 to provide for monies to be made available from the Treasury to refund bail which had been erroneously forfeited and deposited in the Treasury. Section 3150(a) of title 18, which had previously provided this authority, was inadvertently omitted with the passage of Public Law 98-473.

#### COMMITTEE ON DEFENDER SERVICES

#### APPOINTMENTS AND PAYMENTS

The Committee on Defender Services submitted to the Conference a report on appointments and payments under the Criminal Justice Act (CJA) during the fiscal year 1987. The report indicated that of the \$87,858,000 appropriated for the implementation of the CJA in the fiscal year 1987, \$78,358,000 will be required to meet projected obligations, leaving a balance of \$9,500,000 available for use in the fiscal year 1988.

During the fiscal year 1987, approximately 62,327 persons were represented under the CJA, compared to 59,324 persons during the fiscal year 1986, an increase of 5.1 percent. Of the 62,327 persons represented, 33,400, or 53.6 percent, were represented by federal public and community defender organizations, compared to 53.1 percent in the fiscal year 1986 and 52.4 percent in the fiscal year 1985.

The Conference authorized the Director of the Administrative Office to transmit the report to all chief judges, to all federal defender organizations, and to others who may request copies.

#### **BUDGET REQUESTS - FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDERS**

The Conference approved the supplemental budget request for the fiscal years 1988 and 1989 in the amounts of \$30,128 and \$40,655, respectively, for the Federal Public Defender Organization for the District of Colorado.

#### ALTERNATIVE HOURLY COMPENSATION RATES

Subsection (d)(1) of the Criminal Justice Act, as amended (18 U.S.C. 3006A(d)(1)), establishes hourly maximum rates of attorney compensation of \$60 per hour for time expended in court, and \$40 per hour for time reasonably expended out of court. The subsection also authorizes the Judicial Conference to establish an alternative hourly compensation rate, not to exceed \$75 per hour, if the Conference determines that a higher rate is justified for a circuit or for particular districts within a circuit.

Effective with services performed on or after October 1, 1987, and subject to the availability of funds, the September 1987 Judicial Conference (Conf. Rpt., pp. 94-95) approved a temporary special alternative maximum rate of \$75 per hour, for both in- and out-of-court time, for representation in death penalty habeas corpus cases in the Northern, Central, and Eastern Districts of California; effective November 6, 1987, the Executive Committee extended the temporary alternative rate to the Southern District of California. See also "Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates in Death Penalty Habeas Corpus Cases", supra p. 7.

On the recommendation of the Defender Services Committee, and subject to the availability of funds, the Judicial Conference established a special alternative rate of \$75, for both in- and out-of-court time, for representation in federal habeas corpus death penalty cases only, at all court locations in the following districts:

> Arizona California (Northern, Eastern, Central, and Southern) Idaho Kentucky (Eastern and Western) Montana Nevada Ohio (Southern) Oregon Pennsylvania (Western) Tennessee (Eastern, Middle, and Western) Washington (Eastern and Western)

In addition, subject to the availability of funds, the Judicial Conference established general alternative rates for both in- and out-of-court time in the amounts indicated for the following districts and court locations:

District

District	Amount/Location
Alaska	\$75
Arizona	\$70 [Phoenix and Tucson]
California, Central	\$75
California, Eastern	\$75 [Sacramento and Fresno]
California, Northern	\$75
California, Southern	\$75
District of Columbia	\$75
Hawaii	\$70
Michigan, Eastern	\$75 [Detroit]
Nevada	\$60 [Las Vegas and Reno]
New Jersey	\$75
New Mexico	\$75 [Las Cruces]
New York, Eastern	\$75
New York, Southern	\$75
Oregon	\$60 [Portland]
Washington, Western	\$75 [Seattle]

Amount/Location

Since the projected cost of implementing the recommended special and general alternative rates is \$9,765,000 in the fiscal year 1988, and \$13,265,000 in the fiscal year 1989, the Defender Services Committee recommended priorities for implementation of the alternative rates and funding of death penalty resource center/community defender organizations (see "Death Penalty Resources Centers", below), which the Conference approved.

The Conference also amended the guideline on establishment of alternative hourly rates (paragraph 2.22(A)(2) of the <u>Guidelines for the</u> <u>Administration of the Criminal Justice Act</u>) to incorporate additional criteria, specifically provide for the establishment of special death penalty alternative rates, and exempt special death penalty rate applications from the requirement of conducting a survey.

#### DEATH PENALTY RESOURCE CENTERS

In order to address the adequacy of resources for providing representation in death penalty habeas corpus cases, a number of federal circuits and districts are taking steps to create cooperative resource center programs to provide assistance, guidance, information, and other services to eligible individuals and appointed attorneys involved in death penalty litigation at both the federal and state stages of the proceedings. The Defender Services Committee anticipates that state and other non-federal sources will ultimately provide about half the funding for these organizations, with the remainder to be furnished through grants under the Criminal Justice Act.

To date, the district courts of four states have agreed to designate resource centers as community defender organizations through the amendment of their district court plans to implement the CJA. These four proposed resource center/community defender organizations have submitted, and the Conference approved, the following grant requests for the fiscal years 1988 and 1989. The requests were approved subject to the availability of funds, and contingent upon each proposed organization obtaining the state and other non-federal funds which it had indicated were necessary to finance the state component of its proposed activities, and also contingent upon final approval of all necessary CJA plan amendments.

#### Criminal Justice Act Grants

	FY 1988	FY 1989
Georgia Appellate Practice and Educational Resource Center, Inc. [GA-N, M, & S]	\$104,444	\$267,275
Mississippi Capital Defense Resource Center [MS-N & S]	\$ 82,175	\$195,950
North Carolina Death Penalty Resource Center [NC-E, M, & W]	\$ 33,915	\$ 87,760
Capital Representation Resource Center of Tennessee [TN-E, M, & W]	\$ 86,307	\$167,634
TOTAL	\$306,841	\$718,619

A modified version of the "Grant and Conditions" which "traditional" community defender organizations are required to execute prior to receipt of grant funds was approved for use in conjunction with grants to death penalty resource center/community defender organizations and will be included as Appendix D of the <u>Guidelines</u>. Minor amendments to clauses 8 and 14 of the "Grant and Conditions" used by the traditional community defenders were approved as well.

#### ADVICE OF COUNSEL PRIOR TO PRETRIAL SERVICES INTERVIEW

The federal defenders have requested that the Judicial Conference amend the <u>Guidelines for the Administration of the Criminal Justice</u> <u>Act</u> to provide that, prior to being interviewed by a pretrial services or probation officer, a financially eligible defendant subject to proceedings under 18 U.S.C. 3142 <u>et seq.</u>, should be afforded the opportunity to consult with counsel.

The Committee on Defender Services reported that, particularly with the advent of guideline sentencing, it shares the defenders' views that eligible defendants should be afforded an opportunity to confer with counsel prior to the pretrial services interview. However, the Committee believes that further consideration is warranted before an amendment to the <u>Guidelines</u> can be proposed, and it will seek the views of the Judicial Conference Committees on Criminal Law and Probation Administration and on the Administration of the Magistrates System on this matter. In the interim, the Committee recommended, and the Conference approved, the following statement for dissemination to the courts:

> The Judicial Conference recognizes the importance of the advice of counsel for persons subject to proceedings under 18 U.S.C. 3142 <u>et seq.</u>, prior to their being interviewed by a pretrial services or probation officer. Accordingly, the Conference encourages districts to take the steps necessary to permit the furnishing of appointed counsel at this stage of the proceedings to financially eligible defendants, having due regard for the importance of affording the pretrial services officer adequate time to interview the defendant and verify information prior to the bail hearing.

#### **GUIDELINES**

The Conference approved the following amendments to the Guidelines for the Administration of the Criminal Justice Act:

- Numerous technical amendments to paragraphs 2.01, 2.12, and 2.22 to conform to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-473), the Criminal Fine Improvements Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-185), and the Sentencing Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-182).
- 2. An amendment to paragraph 3.12 (C) to authorize the court to grant exceptions to the requirement for commercial duplication of transcripts in multi-defendant CJA cases, with respect to accelerated transcript services.
- 3. Amendments to paragraphs 2.27 (A) and 3.12 (A) concerning the appropriate forms for use in connection with payment for court authorized transcripts in CJA cases.
- 4. An amendment to paragraph 2.26 to eliminate the "1 hour travel time" rule.

#### RESOLUTION

In acknowledgement of his substantial contributions to the work of the Judicial Conference, the Conference adopted the following resolution honoring Judge Thomas J. MacBride:

On November 20, 1987, the Honorable William H. Rehnquist, Chief Justice of the United States, with sincere regret, acceded to Judge Thomas J. MacBride's request that he be relieved as Chairman of the United States Judicial Conference Committee to Implement the Criminal Justice Act.

The Chief Justice and the Judicial Conference acknowledge with deep appreciation Judge MacBride's long years and varied and valuable contributions in positions of leadership in the federal judiciary.

Over the course of his judicial tenure, Judge MacBride served as:

- o Chief Judge of the Eastern District of California from 1967 to 1979.
- o A member of the United States Judicial Conference Committee on the Administration of the Probation System from 1967 to 1968.
- o A member of the United States Judicial Conference from 1975 to 1978.
- o A member of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court from 1979-1980.
- o A member of the United States Judicial Conference Committee to Implement the Criminal Justice Act from 1969-1987.
- Chairman of the United States Judicial Conference Committee to Implement the Criminal Justice Act from 1979-1987.

In his capacity as a member and as Chairman of the Committee to Implement the Criminal Justice Act. Judge MacBride led the Federal Defender program through a period of remarkable but required growth necessitated by an increase in criminal appointments of counsel from approximately 30,000 in 1969 to more than 60,000 in 1987. During the period of his service on the CJA Committee the first Federal Defender organizations were created and their numbers grew to 66 headquarters and branch offices, providing representation in 47 federal judicial districts and 11 federal circuits. Even in times of particular fiscal austerity. Judge MacBride succeeded in securing the funds needed to ensure effective assistance of counsel and to build and maintain the exemplary Federal Defender program, which has enjoyed a reputation for providing a consistently outstanding level of representation and professional service to those charged with federal crimes and unable to afford counsel. His efforts also contributed to the funding and support of private panel attorneys, experts and others providing representational services under the Criminal Justice Act in each of the 94 federal judicial districts and 12 courts of appeals.

In addition, Judge MacBride devoted enormous energies, over a nine-year period, to the task of bringing about needed amendments to the Criminal Justice Act in the areas of attorney compensation and training.

Judge MacBride's commitment, enthusiasm, leadership, boundless energy, personal sacrifice and devotion to the administration of the federal judiciary, to the Federal Defender and assigned counsel program and to the cause of equal access to justice have earned and received the respect and admiration of all with whom he has served.

#### COMMITTEE ON FEDERAL-STATE JURISDICTION

#### DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP JURISDICTION

Title IV of H.R. 3152, 100th Congress, the proposed "Court Reform and Access to Justice Act of 1987", contains two important provisions of interest to the judiciary: (1) the creation of multi-party, multi-forum jurisdiction; and (2) restrictions on diversity jurisdiction.

A. Although its provisions are complex, H.R. 3152 would permit the consolidation in federal district court of civil actions "arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of related transactions or occurrences", in two general circumstances. Jurisdictional criteria for consolidation would be met where any party is a citizen of one state and any adverse party is a citizen of another state (minimal diversity), and if (1) a substantial part of the acts giving rise to the action occurred in two or more different states; or (2) any defendant resides in one state and (a) any other defendant resides in a different state, or (b) a substantial part of the acts giving rise to the action occurred in any other state. In order further to ensure that jurisdiction applies only to cases falling within the large mass-injury situation, this jurisdiction could be invoked only in an action for injury to person or property, and only then in three basic situations: (1) where 25 persons are alleged to have incurred damages of at least \$50,000 per person; (2) where five persons are alleged to have incurred damages of at least \$10,000 per person and the plaintiff cannot join all proper defendants to the claim in a state court, but can do so under this section; or (3) where five persons are alleged to have incurred damages of at least \$10,000 per person, and any party to a civil action is subsequently sued in another court on a claim arising out of the same transaction.

The Conference approved in principle creation of federal jurisdiction that would rely on minimal diversity to consolidate multiple litigation in state and federal courts of cases involving personal injury or property damage and arising out of a single event, provided it is coupled with provisions that would otherwise narrow diversity jurisdiction. Although the Conference has repeatedly called for the abolition of diversity jurisdiction in its present form (see paragraph B, below), H.R. 3152, by contrast, would redirect diversity jurisdiction to serve a purpose that state courts are not able to serve, i.e., to facilitate the consolidation of scattered actions arising out of the same occurrence and thereby to promote more expeditious and economical disposition of such litigation.

B. At its September 1987 session (Conf. Rpt., p. 72), and without departing from prior recommendations to adopt more extensive restrictions on diversity of citizenship jurisdiction or to abolish it altogether, the Judicial Conference agreed to recommend that 28 U.S.C. 1332 be amended to increase the amount in controversy required to establish diversity jurisdiction from a sum that exceeds \$10,000 to a sum that exceeds \$50,000. Observing that H.R. 3152 includes language to increase the jurisdictional amount to \$50,000, the Conference agreed to

22

support that provision. The Conference also supported a recommendation of the Department of Justice to amend 28 U.S.C. 1332(c) to provide that a corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of any state in which it is licensed or registered to do business. This objective standard would substantially reduce the extent of diversity litigation involving corporations.

#### APPEALS OF CIVIL ACTIONS

Title VII of H.R. 3152, 100th Congress, would substantially revise the existing statutory provisions governing civil and criminal appeals. Except to reaffirm its prior approval of elimination of the <u>Enelow-Ettelson</u> doctrine (September 1987 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 70), the Conference voted to oppose a major revision at this time of the provisions of title 28 governing appeals. The subject matter of Title VII of H.R. 3152 was referred for further study to the Advisory Committee on Appellate Rules and the Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction.

#### HABEAS CORPUS

The Conference, by a split vote, declined to approve a recommendation that, except for certificate of probable cause provisions previously disapproved in March, 1986 (Conf. Rpt., pp. 29-30), it support H.R. 1333, 100th Congress, the proposed "Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987".

#### **CIVIL RICO**

In September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., pp. 75-76), the Judicial Conference reaffirmed an earlier recommendation that Congress promptly take steps to narrow significantly the scope of 18 U.S.C. 1964(c), which provides for civil actions under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO).

While H.R. 2983, 100th Congress, would not effect a significant narrowing of the scope of civil RICO, some positive impact on the federal civil RICO caseload could be expected from enactment of the legislation. Consequently, the Conference voted to support H.R. 2983, insofar as it would (a) enact a requirement that a defendant be convicted of "predicate acts" as a prerequisite to civil liability in certain cases under the Act; (b) eliminate treble damages and punitive damages in certain cases; and (c) provide for exclusive federal jurisdiction of civil damages

actions under the Act (an appropriate limitation, since many of the predicate acts involve violation of federal criminal statutes exclusively enforced by federal courts).

#### PRODUCT LIABILITY

The Conference returned to the Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction for further consideration a recommendation on H.R. 1115, 100th Congress, dealing with product liability issues.

#### ASBESTOS INFORMATION ACT

H.R. 2693, 100th Congress, would require a building owner who files a civil action relating to asbestos materials used in construction to attach to the complaint, to the extent not inconsistent with state law, regulations, or rule of court, detailed information concerning the nature and installation of the materials. Although observing that the bill may be considered by the Advisory Committee on Civil Rules for a determination of its impact on federal pleading, the Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction recommended that the Judicial Conference support an amendment to the legislation to remove an ambiguity by providing that its pleading provisions will apply to a state court unless that state has by statute, regulation, rule, or order of court expressly provided otherwise. The Conference agreed to support the amendment.

#### BERNE CONVENTION IMPLEMENTATION ACT

S. 1301, H.R. 1623, and H.R. 2962, 100th Congress, are designed to effect adherence by the United States to the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works. The bills would amend the copyright laws in title 17 of the United States Code by omitting the requirement that notice of copyright be fixed to published works and, in the case of S. 1301, also omitting the requirement that a copyrighted work be registered before an action for infringement may be brought.

While taking no position on these bills, the Conference did agree to advise the Congress that, to the extent the bills delete the requirement of registration of a copyright as a prerequisite to litigation, there is likely to be increased difficulty in trying copyright cases.
#### STATE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

The Judicial Conference endorsed the reauthorization and funding of the State Justice Institute. See also March 1987 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 19.

#### **COMMITTEE ON INTERCIRCUIT ASSIGNMENTS**

The Committee on Intercircuit Assignments reported that during the period August 15, 1987, through February 1, 1988, the Committee had recommended 64 intercircuit assignments to be undertaken by 52 judges. Of this number, one was a retired associate justice of the United States Supreme Court, 17 were senior circuit judges, 11 were active circuit judges, 17 were senior district judges, four were active district judges, one was a senior judge of the Court of International Trade, and one was an active judge of the Court of International Trade.

Of the 64 assignments approved, 35 judges undertook 44 assignments to the courts of appeals, and 18 judges undertook 20 assignments to the district courts.

#### COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIAL BRANCH

The Committee on the Judicial Branch reported on its activities in anticipation later this year of the impaneling of the Commission on Executive, Legislative and Judicial Salaries, the Commission's report to the President and, in early 1989, President Reagan's transmittal to Congress of his pay recommendations. The work of the Judicial Conference in support of judicial salary increases during this cycle is particularly important because, under existing law, this opportunity will mark the last occasion for meaningful salary reform (other than on an annual cost-of-living basis) until 1993. The Committee intends to submit to the 1988 Salary Commission documentation of the judiciary's compensation needs, focusing upon the significant erosion in purchasing power of judicial salaries since the "base line" year of 1969, when the mechanism of the Federal Salary Act of 1967, 2 U.S.C. 351 <u>et seq.</u>, first became operational.

## COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ETHICS

The Committee on Judicial Ethics reported that it has completed review of annual financial reports submitted for calendar year 1986 and continues to process responses to inquiry letters, initial, and termination reports. The Committee approved the automation of the processing of financial disclosure reports. The resultant system will enable more timely, accurate, and responsive assessments of filings and closing of cases.

#### **COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL IMPROVEMENTS**

#### AUTOMATION

The Committee on Judicial Improvements reported on the status of automation activities in the courts.

The appellate information management system (NewAIMS) is operational in three circuits, and implementation in five additional circuits is under way. The bankruptcy court automation project (BANCAP) and the full civil docketing system (CIVIL) have been developed by the Federal Judicial Center, pilot tested, and transferred to the Administrative Office for implementation. The first version of the criminal full docketing system (CRIMINAL), which is being developed by the Administrative Office, was released to the pilot courts in January, 1988.

In order to cope with the training demands of implementing these full docketing systems as soon as possible, a BANCAP training and support center was approved in the Western District of Texas last year. The Committee reported that, in light of the transfer of CIVIL from the Judicial Center to the Administrative Office for implementation, and the anticipated completion of CRIMINAL by the end of the fiscal year, the Committee had approved the establishment of a similar CIVIL/CRIMINAL training center in the District of Arizona.

The judiciary hopes to make a major office automation procurement award late this fiscal year or early in the fiscal year 1989. Consequently, the judiciary is seeking \$45,000,000 for office automation in the fiscal year 1989. The Committee will establish priorities for the installation of office automation equipment at its next meeting in June, 1988.

#### COMPUTER-ASSISTED LEGAL RESEARCH

Among the most frequent of requests received by the Administrative Office is one for access to computer-assisted legal research (CALR) in judges' chambers. While it has long been contemplated that CALR would become available in chambers under the office automation program (see above, "Automation"), the Conference was advised that a good number of chambers are presently equipped with hardware, either government-provided or privately owned, which without upgrading is capable of accessing CALR through purchase of modems and installation of telephone lines. Under favorable contract terms with the Mead Data Central Corporation, LEXIS can therefore be immediately installed in appropriately equipped chambers at relatively minor expense without waiting for the office automation plan to commence. (Since the judiciary's contract with the West Publishing Company does not include these favorable terms, WESTLAW cannot be made available in chambers at this time.)

On the recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference approved guidelines for the expansion of CALR into chambers. Under the guidelines, the chambers of judicial officers (circuit and district judges, including senior judges, bankruptcy judges, and full-time United States magistrates) would be eligible to receive LEXIS if the existing hardware can access it without upgrade, provided that the judicial officer can identify \$1,000 of lawbook continuation/subscription material to be cancelled; an additional \$500 of continuation/subscription material would need to be cancelled for each additional personal computer in chambers to be accessed. Certain exemptions are built into the guidelines to ensure that hard copies remain available at all locations.

# VIDEOTAPING COURT PROCEEDINGS

The Judicial Conference authorized the videotaping of the trial in In re Washington Public Power Supply System Securities Litigation, MDL 551 (all cases), provided that the videotape does not constitute the official court record and there is no public access to the tapes.

### TRAVEL REGULATIONS FOR JUSTICES AND JUDGES

In order to allow district judges to be reimbursed for attending the investiture ceremonies of bankruptcy judges and United States magistrates in their districts, and to reimburse bankruptcy judges and magistrates for attending investiture ceremonies for district judges, bankruptcy judges, and magistrates, the Judicial Conference amended subparagraph B.1.e.(2) of the travel regulations for justices and judges to read as follows: (2) District Judge, Bankruptcy Judge, or Magistrate. Only a circuit justice, the chief judge of the Court of Appeals (and such circuit judges as designated by the chief judge), district judges of the same district court, and bankruptcy judges or magistrates of the same district court (with the approval of the chief district judge) may receive reimbursement of travel expenses to attend the investiture of a newlyappointed district judge, bankruptcy judge, or magistrate.

The Conference also approved the following new paragraph D.1.c. to the travel regulations in order to permit judicial officers to use purchase orders to procure lodging, or lodging and meals:

c. Payment of Lodging, or Lodging and Meals, by Purchase Order. Judges who are required to attend Judicial Conference Committee meetings or the Circuit Judicial Conferences and must obtain more costly lodging than would otherwise have been obtained may have their lodging procured directly by the use of a purchase order. Such lodging procured in this manner shall be done by purchase order issued by the Clerk of Court. When such direct billing procedures are used, a per diem allowance of \$33 may be claimed, or the traveler may itemize meals and other allowable subsistence expenses up to a daily maximum of \$50. This method of procurement may also be used to procure meals when billings for attendees at the meeting or conference are based on the American Plan. In this instance, only a minimal daily subsistence allowance should be authorized, generally not in excess of \$5.

Nothing in this subparagraph shall authorize purchase orders and/or reimbursement exceeding the maximum level of reimbursement authorized by subparagraphs 1.a. and 1.b. of this paragraph, except that for the purposes of this subparagraph, United States bankruptcy judges and magistrates may elect the alternative expense allowance level established in subparagraph 1.a.3. Use of a purchase order under the new regulation (1) would entitle subordinate judicial officers to receive lodging and meals having an actual expense of subsistence not to exceed 150 percent of the established per diem rate for the location or up to \$150 per day, whichever is higher (an option Article III judges currently enjoy); and (2) would, in some locations, allow travelers to avoid the payment of costly local lodging taxes.

# UNITED STATES CLAIMS COURT

The United States Claims Court was created in 1982 as an Article I court in the judicial branch. Last year, the Chief Judge of the Claims Court proposed that the Court be removed from the Article III system and placed "under its own administration, like other Article I courts". At its September 1987 session (Conf. Rpt., p. 68), the Judicial Conference determined not to object to the creation of an Article I Claims Court outside of the judicial branch.

S. 1608, 100th Congress, the "United States Claims Court Improvement Act of 1987", would amend title 28 "as it pertains to the structure of the United States Claims Court." Although the Conference was not unsympathetic to the Claims Court's desire to be independent of the Judicial Conference and the Administrative Office for administrative purposes, the Conference was of the view that further fact-finding was necessary to determine whether S. 1608 could achieve this goal without adversely affecting the availability of resources for the remainder of the judiciary. The Conference accordingly voted to refer the bill to the Executive Committee, for a determination of the appropriate committee(s) with jurisdiction to conduct this further fact-finding.

#### **INCREASES IN FEE SCHEDULES**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1930(b), the Judicial Conference amended the Schedule of Additional Fees for the United States Bankruptcy Courts to establish a \$500 fee for filing a petition ancillary to a foreign proceeding under 11 U.S.C. 304. The Conference also approved an amendment to the preamble to the bankruptcy fee schedule to expand the exemption from payment for services rendered "on behalf of the United States" to include services rendered "to bankruptcy administrators appointed under Public Law 99-554, section 302(d)(3)(I)."

# AMENDMENTS TO THE JURY SELECTION AND SERVICE ACT

In September, 1985 (Conf. Rpt., pp. 83-84), and in September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 96), the Judicial Conference recommended that Congress approve several technical amendments to the Jury Selection and Service Act, 28 U.S.C. 1861 et seq. At this session, the Conference agreed to support an additional amendment to the Act to eliminate the automatic excuses from service now granted to members of fire and police departments and to public officials.

## JUROR QUALIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE

In response to the suggestion of a chief district judge that the current version of the juror qualification questionnaire does not enable information regarding Hispanic ethnicity to be gathered satisfactorily, the Judicial Conference revised the questionnaire to inquire as follows:

To assist in ensuring that all people are represented on juries, please indicate which of the following applies to you. Nothing disclosed will affect your selection for jury service.

Black

White

American Indian

Asian

\_\_Other (specify)

Are you Hispanic? Yes No

# MACHINE READABLE QUALIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE

In March, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 39), the Judicial Conference authorized the District of New Mexico to use a machine readable form of the juror qualification questionnaire previously approved by the Conference under 28 U.S.C. 1869(h). In September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 96), the Conference authorized the expanded use of the questionnaire in the Middle District of Florida, the Western District of Texas, and such other districts as the Committee on Judicial Improvements designates. The Committee advised the Conference that it had approved the participation in the optical scanner experiment of the Central District of California, the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York, and the Northern District of West Virginia.

The Judicial Conference agreed to grant discretion to the courts participating in the experimental test of optical scanning equipment to use the equipment officially to process juror qualification questionnaires.

# PLACES OF HOLDING COURT

Under 28 U.S.C. 462, the Director of the Administrative Office, with the approval of the appropriate circuit judicial council, may provide chambers for circuit judges only at statutorily designated places of holding district court or elsewhere in federal facilities. When a circuit judge resides and maintains his or her official station in a community with no federal facilities or with federal facilities filled to capacity, the judiciary must go to Congress to seek affirmative legislation to have that community designated as a place of holding district court in order that private leased space may be acquired for circuit judge chambers.

Since the existing statutory scheme has the potential of defeating both congressional and Judicial Conference policy against the proliferation of places of holding court, the Judicial Conference voted to recommend that 28 U.S.C. 462(c) be amended to permit the Director of the Administrative Office to furnish chambers for circuit judges at any location, with the approval of the judicial council of the circuit.

#### COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL RESOURCES

#### JUDGESHIP VACANCIES

Judgeship vacancies place significant burdens on the courts by increasing the workloads of those judges available for duty and diminishing the courts' ability to discharge their responsibilities. Accordingly, the Judicial Conference:

- 1. Noted the adverse effect on the courts and litigants attributable to vacancies in Article III judgeship positions and considers all such vacancies "judicial emergencies".
- 2. Urged all judges nearing retirement to notify the President and the Administrative Office as far in

advance as possible of a change in status -- if possible, six to twelve months before the contemplated date of change in status.

 Agreed that greater effort should be made to publicize the deleterious effect such vacancies have on the administration of justice.

# QUALITY STEP INCREASES

The Judiciary Salary Plan (JSP) authorizes an increase in a step within a pay grade as a means of recognizing exceptional service by an employee. The JSP permits an employee to receive such a "quality step increase", or QSI, no more than once every three years.

Noting that the executive branch, which has a similar provision in its personnel regulations, allows an employee to be awarded a QSI not more than once a year, the Conference amended the JSP to provide that "No more than one quality step increase may be granted to an employee within a period of 52 consecutive calendar weeks." The Conference premised its approval on full compliance with existing JSP requirements that limit such increases to exceptional performance of all major duties in a sustained manner that substantially exceeds the normal requirements for the position, and gives promise of continuing.

The Conference recognized that there may be a natural tendency routinely to grant quality step increases, particularly in small staff situations where the judge or supervisor is in constant contact with staff. That practice is to be avoided, for if annual QSIs are awarded automatically, their function as rewards for exceptional performance will be lost, and the judge or supervisor will be deprived of this valuable management tool for encouraging exceptional performance.

# RETENTION OF STAFF UPON THE DEATH OR INCAPACITY OF A JUDGE

Current policy authorizes the automatic retention of chambers staff of a deceased or incapacitated judge for 30 days, with an extension for an additional 60 days upon request by the court. Since the need for continued staff in these circumstances depends on a number of factors characteristic of the court, <u>e.g.</u>, geographical distribution of court facilities, procedures used in case allocations when a judgeship is

vacant, etc., the Conference voted to allow for the extension of staff, in 90- to 120-day increments beyond the current 90-day maximum, upon certification by the affected court to the Director of the Administrative Office that such additional staff resources are necessary.

#### ADDITIONAL COURT REPORTERS

The Judicial Conference approved an additional court reporter position in the Eastern District of Virginia.

# CLASSIFICATION OF SUPPORTING PERSONNEL

On the recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference approved the reclassification of deputy circuit librarians from JSP-12 to JSP-13, and the reclassification of secretaries to United States magistrates from JSP-10 to JSP-11. The Conference declined to increase the salaries of principal secretaries to metropolitan district court chief judges.

With regard to the procedures for classifying principal secretaries to circuit chief judges at the JSP-12 level (see September 1987 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 65), the Conference agreed that:

- 1. Upon relinquishment of the office of a circuit chief judge, the principal secretary to that former circuit chief judge who has been increased in grade to a JSP-12 due to the assignment of exceptional circuit-wide duties, shall be reduced to the grade level of a circuit judge's secretary, at that step of the grade that would otherwise have been achieved absent the promotion to JSP-12.
- 2. The criterion to be used to assure adequate experience when a circuit chief judge's secretary is to be promoted to the grade of JSP-12 is three years as secretary to a circuit judge.

# COURT INTERPRETERS ACT

At its September 1982 session (Conf. Rpt., p. 73), the Judicial Conference recommended amendments to the Court Interpreters Act, 28 U.S.C. 1827. S. 1867, 100th Congress, includes an additional series of amendments to the Act, upon which the Conference took the following positions:

- 1. Opposed a requirement that eight unspecified languages be certified for interpreter services in the courts. Discretion on the part of the judiciary, both as to which languages should be so certified, and how many, is desirable.
- Opposed a proposal to require, in every instance, electronic sound recording of interpretations in court proceedings. The decision to record interpretations should be left to the discretion of the presiding judicial officer.
- 3. Supported a proposal that prepayment for interpreting services may be made at the court's discretion, with the court assigning costs to, and collecting costs from, the parties.
- 4. Supported a proposal to provide a schedule of uniform fees for interpreting services.

# BOARD OF CERTIFICATION

The Judicial Conference agreed to propose legislation to eliminate the Board of Certification, 28 U.S.C. 332, and the certification function. The standards for the position of circuit executive contained in section 332(f), <u>i.e.</u>, experience in administrative and executive positions, familiarity with court procedures, and special training, would be retained.

# COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE FEDERAL MAGISTRATES SYSTEM

# COST-OF-LIVING ADJUSTMENTS FOR PART-TIME MAGISTRATES

Heretofore, the cost-of-living adjustments which have been administratively applied automatically to full-time magistrates have not applied automatically to part-time magistrates; rather, a specific resolution of the Conference has been necessary to approve application of cost-of-living increases to the salaries of part-time magistrates. Almost invariably, those same adjustments, in percentage terms, have been forthcoming, through separate Conference action. Finding no reason for excluding part-time magistrates from the cost-of-living adjustment

「「「」」という日本に、「ない」ない、「ない」へいた思います。

granted automatically to full-time magistrates (and other government employees), the Conference agreed that part-time magistrates, including those in combination positions who perform part-time magistrate duties for additional compensation, shall henceforth be granted the same cost-of-living adjustments (in percentage terms) as are extended to full-time magistrates, effective at the same time as the adjustments for full-time magistrates. The adjustments in the salaries of part-time magistrates will be implemented by the Director of the Administrative Office unless otherwise directed by the Judicial Conference.

### MAGISTRATES' SEAL OF OFFICE

Under 28 U.S.C. 638(c), the Director of the Administrative Office "shall furnish to each United States magistrate . . . an official impression seal in a form prescribed by the [Judicial] conference." The Conference approved the Committee's recommendation that the seal of the district court be prescribed as the seal for magistrates' use.

# CHANGES IN MAGISTRATE POSITIONS

After consideration of the report of the Committee and the recommendations of the Director of the Administrative Office, the district courts, and the judicial councils of the circuits, the Conference approved the following changes in salaries and arrangements for full-time and part-time magistrate positions. Unless otherwise indicated, these changes are to be effective when appropriated funds are available.

# FIRST CIRCUIT

Massachusetts:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Cape Cod National Seashore for an additional four-year term but decreased the salary of the position from \$5,335 to \$4,269 per annum.

Maine:

Converted the part-time magistrate position at Bangor to a full-time position.

#### THIRD CIRCUIT

New Jersey:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Atlantic City for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$2,134 per annum.

Pennsylvania, Eastern:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Allentown from \$4,269 to \$7,588 per annum.

#### FOURTH CIRCUIT

West Virginia, Southern:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Beckley/ Bluefield for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$36,250 per annum.

#### SIXTH CIRCUIT

Tennessee, Western:

Authorized a part-time magistrate position at Jackson at a salary of \$21,225 per annum.

#### EIGHTH CIRCUIT

lowa, Southern:

Continued the part-time magistrate positions at Des Moines and Burlington for additional four-year terms at the currently authorized salaries of \$36,250 and \$4,269 per annum, respectively.

South Dakota:

ALL AND A DESCRIPTION OF A

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Rapid City for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$36,250 per annum.

36

# NINTH CIRCUIT

# Alaska:

- 1. Authorized a part-time magistrate position at Anchorage at a salary of \$36,250 per annum;
- Continued the part-time magistrate position at Juneau for an additional four-year term and increased the salary of the position from \$3,201 to \$9,722 per annum;
- Continued the part-time magistrate position at Ketchikan for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$2,134 per annum; and
- 4. Continued the part-time magistrate position at Kodiak for an additional four-year term and increased the salary of the position from \$2,134 to \$3,201 per annum.

# Arizona:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Grand Canyon National Park from \$24,070 to \$27,390 per annum.

California, Central:

- Continued the part-time magistrate position at Santa Barbara for an additional four-year term but decreased the salary from \$9,722 to \$7,588 per annum;
- 2. Continued the part-time magistrate position at Oxnard (or Ventura) for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$9,722 per annum; and
- 3. Continued the part-time magistrate position at Barstow (or Victorville) for an additional four-year term and increased the salary from \$4,269 to \$7,588 per annum.

Idaho:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Coeur d'Alene from \$9,722 to \$16,127 per annum.

Oregon:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Pendleton from \$3,201 to \$4,269 per annum.

### TENTH CIRCUIT

New Mexico:

- 1. Authorized a third full-time magistrate position to serve the district at Albuquerque;
- Continued the part-time magistrate position at Clovis (or Portales or Roswell) for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$5,335 per annum; and
- Continued the part-time magistrate position at Sante Fe for an additional four-year term and increased the salary from \$2,134 to \$4,269 per annum.

Oklahoma, Eastern:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Muskogee for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$36,250 per annum, but discontinued the position upon the appointment of the new full-time magistrate at Muskogee or on October 1, 1988, whichever comes first.

Oklahoma, Western:

Authorized a part-time magistrate position at Altus at a salary of \$2,134 per annum.

#### **COMMITTEE ON PACIFIC TERRITORIES**

The Committee on Pacific Territories reported on its activities to secure enactment of legislation previously supported by the Judicial Conference to authorize certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit from certain cases decided by the High Court of American Samoa (September 1987 Session, Conf. Rpt., pp. 96-97) and to permit federal judges to sit in the courts of countries with which the United States has a Compact of Free Association (March 1987 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 39). The Committee also reported that the United States will host the Judicial Conference of the South Pacific in Hawaii in the Spring of 1989.

# COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

#### RULES AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure reported that on August 1, 1987, in the absence of congressional action, amendments to the Civil, Criminal, and Bankruptcy Rules approved by the Conference at its September 1986 session (Conf. Rpt., pp. 67-68) took effect. Amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence, also approved by the Conference in September, 1986, took effect on October 1, 1987, failing congressional action.

The Office of the Law Revision Counsel, U.S. House of Representatives, identified a number of technical errors in some of these amendments. After reviewing these errors, the Committee submitted to the Conference appropriate amendments to correct them, accompanied by Advisory Committee Notes explaining that they are purely technical in nature. The Conference approved the amendments, and transmitted them to the Supreme Court for consideration, with the recommendation that they be approved by the Court and transmitted to the Congress pursuant to law.

#### COMMITTEE ON SPACE AND FACILITIES

#### LONG-RANGE PLANNING

Recognizing the need to establish long-range planning for the courts' space and facilities requirements, the Judicial Conference voted to direct the courts to develop such long-range plans for facilities and space requirements. The Conference also directed the Committee on Space and Facilities to review and approve instructions to be submitted by the Administrative Office for the courts' use in developing the plans.

#### COURTHOUSE MANAGEMENT

On the recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference approved a pilot program in which a court assumes the responsibility of managing its courthouse under a delegation of authority from the General Services Administration, under the following conditions:

- An assessment of each building's condition will be performed and used in making decisions on the terms of delegations of authority and funding arrangements that may be established.
- Each pilot court must have adequate staff and otherwise be prepared to manage its building before the delegation of authority is effected.
- Each pilot court that assumes buildings management responsibilities will work with the Administrative Office to develop a method for evaluation of the results of the pilot.
- All delegations of authority for the courts are to be signed by the Director of the Administrative Office in accordance with his statutory responsibilities, when he is satisfied that it is proper to do so.

The Northern District of Alabama, which is currently managing its new courthouse under a delegation of authority from GSA, will continue to do so as part of this pilot program. The Committee recommended, and the Conference approved, the Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and the District Courts in Southern Florida and Western Washington as potential participants in the pilot program.

# PROCEEDINGS UNDER JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITY ACT

The Judicial Conference, by unanimous vote, authorized the Secretary of the Conference to execute and issue to the Speaker of the House of Representatives a certificate providing as follows:

#### CERTIFICATE

# TO THE SPEAKER, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

The Judicial Conference of the United States, acting pursuant to section 331 of title 28, United States Code, does hereby certify as follows:

1. On February 11, 1988, the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit certified to the Judicial Conference, as provided by 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(7)(B), that United States District Judge Walter L. Nixon, Jr., of the Southern District of Mississippi has engaged in conduct which might constitute one or more grounds for impeachment under Article I of the United States Constitution.

2. The Judicial Conference has exercised its authority under 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(8) to consider the certificate of the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit. In so doing, the Judicial Conference had before it certified official records of judicial proceedings manifesting as follows:

> On March 31, 1986, Judge Nixon was convicted of two counts of violating section 1623 of title 18, United States Code.

> On January 19, 1988, Judge Nixon's conviction became final upon denial of a petition for writ of certiorari by the Supreme Court of the United States.

3. Pursuant to Rule 1 of the Rules for Processing of Certificates from Judicial Councils that a Judicial Officer Might Have Engaged in Impeachable Conduct, the Judicial Conference considers no additional investigation by the Conference itself to be appropriate. It is apparent that the certification by the Judicial Council is premised entirely upon a judgment of conviction in a criminal case and that the judgment has become final. As provided in 28 U.S.C. 372(c)(8), the Judicial Council that Judge Nixon has engaged in conduct which might constitute one or more grounds for impeachment under Article I of the Constitution.

4. Consideration of the impeachment of Judge Nixon may be warranted.

There are attached the certificate of the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit to the Judicial Conference, a letter, dated February 26, 1988, to the Judicial Conference of the United States from the Acting Chief Judge of the Fifth Circuit, and certified copies of the judgment and commitment order of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi entered on March 31, 1986; the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals of the Fifth Circuit on April 30, 1987, affirming the judgment of the District Court, supported by opinions issued on April 30, 1987, affirming the judgment and on September 8, 1987, denying Judge Nixon's petition for rehearing and suggestion for rehearing en banc; and an order of the Supreme Court of the United States, dated January 19, 1988, denying the petition for writ of certiorari filed by Judge Nixon.

Executed this 15th day of March, 1988.

L. Ralph Mecham\* Secretary

\*The Chief Justice and Judge Charles Clark were not present and did not participate in the deliberations of the Judicial Conference with respect to the subject matter of this certificate. Judge L. T. Senter, Jr., though present, did not participate.

#### MEMORIAL RESOLUTIONS

Noting the death of Judge Carl McGowan, the Judicial Conference adopted the following resolution:

> The Judicial Conference of the United States notes with sadness the death of Carl McGowan on December 23, 1987, in Washington, D.C.

> Appointed in 1963 by President John F. Kennedy, Carl McGowan served with distinction as a member of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit for almost 25 years, completing his last sitting with the Court only a few months before his death. He acted as Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for several months in 1981, just prior to taking senior status. In his two-and-one-half decades on the Court, Judge McGowan authored nearly 500 opinions, all of them illumined by clear reason, lucid expression, sure wisdom, and often a surprising wit. His patrician intelligence was a perfect match for his democratic soul. Judge McGowan's service on the Court spanned a time of turbulence and change for the country and for the Court. The issues he dealt with included the Watergate prosecutions, the Nixon tapes, the Pentagon Papers, the redefining of the rights of criminal defendants, the restructuring of administrative law, and key challenges to separation of powers principles. At all times he was a revered colleague steadfastly moving the Court toward common around.

> Born in Hymera, Indiana, Carl McGowan was a Dartmouth and Columbia Law School graduate, a World War II naval officer, a distinguished private practitioner, a Professor of Law at Northwestern University Law School, and Counsel to Governor Adlai Stevenson of Illinois. A master of politics and government, he was the quintessential legal realist; a precisionist in thought and word, his scholarly pragmatism permeated the Court's jurisprudence throughout his tenure.

Outside the Court, Judge McGowan maintained a lively presence in the wider world of his profession. He was a member of the governing Councils of the American Law Institute and the Administrative Conference of the United States. He also served as the United States Judicial Conference liaison to the Administrative Conference and on several of the Judicial Conference's key committees. In his latter years, he worked hard to bring order into the chaos of law clerk recruitment practices. He was also Chairman of the American Bar Association's Committee on Standards of Judicial Administration, and a Director of the Salzburg Seminar. A model of intellectual brilliance, invincible spirit, startling wit, impregnable integrity and a beloved colleague and mentor, he will be sorely missed in our ranks.

The members of the Judicial Conference convey their deepest sympathies to Judge McGowan's family and request that this Resolution be sent to his widow, Jody McGowan, as a sign of our affection and respect.

Noting the death of Judge Edward Weinfeld, the Conference adopted the following resolution:

The United States Judicial Conference notes with deep sadness the death of Judge Edward Weinfeld on January 17, 1988 in New York City. Judge Weinfeld had served on the bench of the Southern District of New York since August 14, 1950 and was, at the time of his death, the senior active district judge in the United States.

During his long tenure he had earned the reputation in his home community and throughout the nation as a trial judge without peer. The scholarship and depth of thought of his opinions were such that they were generally regarded as authoritative and ranked in persuasiveness with the decisions of appellate courts. His habit of starting the work day at dawn became legendary. The combination of his high professional competence, industry and courtesy caused him to be widely admired by lawyers and judges.

Judge Weinfeld was born in New York City on May 14, 1901. He was educated in the New York City public school system and attended New York University Law School directly out of high school, receiving his LL.B. at the age of 20 in 1921, and an LL.M. in 1922. He practiced as a single practitioner from 1924 to 1950. His pre-judicial career included wide public service. He was Chief Counsel of the New York State Legislative Committee to investigate bondholders committees, a delegate to the New York State Constitutional Convention of 1938, and served under Governor Herbert H. Lehman as New York State's first Thereafter he acted as Housing Commissioner. Director of War Housing for the New York State War Council, and was President of the National Housing Conference and of the National Association of Housing Officials. He was extremely active in the affairs of his university, serving as President of the New York University Board of Trustees of the Law Center Foundation and as a life member of the Board of Trustees of New York University, from which he received an honorary LL.D. in 1970.

Judge Weinfeld served as a member of the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation for the 10-year period 1968-1978 and was appointed by the Chief Justice to serve as a member of the Commission on the Bankruptcy Laws of the United States from 1971 to 1973. He was Chairman of the Judicial Conference Committee on the Administration of the Bankruptcy System from 1967 to 1979 and had been a member of the Committee since 1956.

Within the weeks before his death, Judge Weinfeld became the first recipient of the Henry J. Friendly Medal awarded by the American Law Institute and was selected by the Mayor of New York City to receive the LaGuardia Medal, New York City's highest award. The members of the Judicial Conference convey their sympathy to his widow Lillian, his daughters Ann and Fern and his grandchildren, and ask that this Resolution be sent to them as a mark of the Conference's profound respect and high esteem.

# ELECTIONS

The Conference reaffirmed the Executive Committee's elections to membership on the Board of the Federal Judicial Center of Judge David D. Dowd of the Northern District of Ohio (vice District Judge David Mazzone) and Judge J. Clifford Wallace of the Ninth Circuit (vice Justice Anthony Kennedy). The Conference also elected Judge Collins J. Seitz of the Third Circuit to membership on the Board of Certification for Court Executives, vice Judge Jack Miller.

#### FUNDING

All of the foregoing recommendations which require the expenditure of funds were approved by the Conference subject to the availability of funds, and subject to whatever priorities the Conference might establish for the use of available resources.

#### RELEASE OF CONFERENCE ACTION

The Conference authorized the immediate release of matters considered at this session where necessary for legislative or administrative action.

Chief Justice of the United States

Chief Justice of the United States Presiding

March 24, 1988

# Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States

September 14, 1988

Washington, D.C. 1988

# REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

September 14, 1988

The Judicial Conference of the United States convened on September 14, 1988, pursuant to the call of the Chief Justice of the United States issued under 28 U.S.C. 331. The Chief Justice presided and the following members of the Conference were present:

First Circuit:

Chief Judge Levin H. Campbell Chief Judge Frank H. Freedman, District of Massachusetts

Second Circuit:

Chief Judge Wilfred Feinberg Chief Judge John T. Curtin, Western District of New York

Third Circuit:

Chief Judge John J. Gibbons Chief Judge William J. Nealon, Jr., Middle District of Pennsylvania

Fourth Circuit:

Chief Judge Harrison L. Winter Judge Frank A. Kaufman, District of Maryland

Fifth Circuit:

Chief Judge Charles Clark Chief Judge L. T. Senter, Jr., Northern District of Mississippi

49

Sixth Circuit:

Chief Judge Albert J. Engel Chief Judge Philip Pratt, Eastern District of Michigan

Seventh Circuit:

Chief Judge William J. Bauer Judge Sarah Evans Barker, Southern District of Indiana

Eighth Circuit:

Chief Judge Donald P. Lay Chief Judge John F. Nangle, Eastern District of Missouri

Ninth Circuit:

Chief Judge Alfred T. Goodwin Chief Judge Robert F. Peckham, Northern District of California

Tenth Circuit:

Chief Judge William J. Holloway Chief Judge Earl E. O'Connor, District of Kansas

Eleventh Circuit:

Chief Judge Paul H. Roney Chief Judge Sam C. Pointer, Jr., Northern District of Alabama

District of Columbia Circuit:

Chief Judge Patricia M. Wald Chief Judge Aubrey E. Robinson, Jr., District of Columbia Federal Circuit:

Chief Judge Howard T. Markey

Court of International Trade

Chief Judge Edward D. Re

Circuit Judges Richard S. Arnold, Stephanie K. Seymour, and Harlington Wood, Jr.; District Judges Richard M. Bilby, Juan M. Perez-Gimenez, William W. Schwarzer, and Morey L. Sear; Senior District Judge Walter T. McGovern; and Claims Court Judge Loren A. Smith attended all or some of the sessions of the Conference. Circuit Executives Steven Flanders (Second Circuit), John Hehman (Third Circuit), Samuel W. Phillips (Fourth Circuit), Lydia Comberrel (Fifth Circuit), James A. Higgins (Sixth Circuit), Collins T. Fitzpatrick (Seventh Circuit), June L. Boadwine (Eighth Circuit), Francis L. Bremson (Ninth Circuit), Eugene Murret (Tenth Circuit), Norman E. Zoller (Eleventh Circuit), and Linda Finkelstein (District of Columbia Circuit), and Circuit Executivedesignate Vincent Flanagan (First Circuit) were also present.

The Attorney General of the United States, Dick Thornburgh, and Solicitor General Charles Fried addressed the Conference on matters of mutual interest to the Department of Justice and the Conference.

L. Ralph Mecham, Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, attended the sessions of the Conference, as did James E. Macklin, Jr., Deputy Director; William R. Burchill, Jr., General Counsel; Robert E. Feidler, Legislative and Public Affairs Officer; Karen K. Siegel, Chief, Office of the Judicial Conference Secretariat; and David A. Sellers, Public Information Officer. Judge John C. Godbold and Charles W. Nihan, Director and Deputy Director of the Federal Judicial Center, also attended the sessions of the Conference, as did Noel Augustyn (Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice) and Richard Schickele (Staff Counsel to the United States Supreme Court).

# REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, L. Ralph Mecham, submitted to the Conference the Annual Report of the Director for the year ended June 30, 1988. The Conference authorized the Director to release the Annual Report immediately in preliminary form and to revise and supplement the final printed edition.

# JUDICIAL BUSINESS OF THE COURTS

Mr. Mecham reported that during the year ended June 30, 1988, the number of cases appealed to the 12 regional courts of appeals rose almost seven percent to 37,524, due to increases in all sources of appeals except civil cases involving the U.S. government. Dispositions, while increasing by four percent, still did not reach the level of filings, so pending cases increased by six percent to 27,644 on June 30, 1988. Filings in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit fell four percent to 1,296, due primarily to a decrease in Merit Systems Protection Board appeals. Dispositions declined 15 percent, but still outnumbered filings. As a consequence, the pending caseload fell six percent during the year, to 658.

In the United States district courts, the number of civil filings increased after two years of declines in filings. The year's increase, which was less than one percent, reflected a level of 239,634 new civil cases. The largest increases were in social security disability cases and recovery of defaulted student loans. The number of civil cases disposed of almost equaled filings this year, resulting in an increase in the pending caseload of less than one-half of one percent. On June 30, 1988, there were 244,242 civil cases pending in the district courts.

Criminal case filings continued the increase begun in 1981 with a three percent increase. Case filings rose to 44,585, which is an average of 78 new criminal cases for each district court judgeship. Criminal case terminations, which had been on the rise for the last seven years, decreased less than one percent this year. As a result, the pending caseload increased almost 10 percent to 27,733 on June 30, 1988.

52

Bankruptcy petitions filed increased almost six percent to 594,567. This is substantially less than last year's 17 percent increase and the record increase of 31 percent in 1986. Nonbusiness filings rose 10 percent this year while business filings fell by nearly 17 percent. Terminations increased over 22 percent, but fell short of filings by almost 7,000 petitions. On June 30, 1988, the pending bankruptcy caseload increased one percent over the previous year, to 815,497.

Mr. Mecham also reported that as of September 14, 1988, there were 10 vacancies among the 168 judgeship positions authorized for the United States courts of appeals, and 27 vacancies among the 575 authorized judgeship positions in the United States district courts.

# REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER

The Director of the Federal Judicial Center, Judge John C. Godbold, reported to the Conference on behalf of the Judicial Center on the following matters:

- o The Annual Report of the Center is in a new format, designed to be more readable and to serve as a better means of communicating information to the members of the Conference and to other readers.
- Training for guideline sentencing is on hold until the Supreme Court decides the guideline sentencing case pending before it (<u>United States v. Mistretta</u>, 682 F. Supp. 1033 (W.D. Mo. 1988), <u>cert. granted</u>, 43 Cr.L. 4065, No. 87-1904, June 13, 1988); after that decision, there will be a reassessment of what additional training, if any, is needed.
- o The weighted caseload study of district courts is under way in 29 courts. A time and weighted caseload study of bankruptcy courts has been requested by Congress, and in turn requested of the Judicial Center by the Judicial Conference Committee on the Administration of the Bankruptcy System. The design of the study is completed and has been pilot tested by six judges. The first wave of testing is expected to begin in October.

o With respect to small computers, the Judicial Center is pursuing ways to acquaint judges and their staffs with the capacity of computers obtained for inchambers use, ways to assist judges in determining what services they want from their in-chambers computers, ways to list software available to accomplish purposes desired by judges, and ways to assist judges in their acquisition of software.

# JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

A written statement filed with the Conference by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation indicated that during the period July 1, 1987 through June 30, 1988, the Panel centralized 862 civil actions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407. Of that number, 358 were transferred for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with 504 actions originally filed in the transferee districts. The Panel denied transfer of 25 actions.

Since its creation in 1968, the Panel has centralized 16,788 civil actions in pretrial proceedings in carrying out its statutory mandate.

# EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

The Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference reported that, since the last session of the Conference in March, 1988, the Executive Committee had addressed the following matters on the Conference's behalf:

# APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1988

On April 15, 1988, the Executive Committee approved a spending plan for the appropriation "Salaries and Expenses" for the third quarter of the fiscal year 1988, and a revised listing of supplemental appropriations requests for certain judicial accounts. Language-only supplementals (no new appropriations) were also authorized for submission to Congress (a) to provide for reimbursement of administrative expenses of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 by the United States Claims Court from the special fund established to pay judgments awarded under the Act; (b) to provide the judiciary with authority to transfer funds between the "Salaries and Expenses" appropriation for the courts and the appropriation for the Administrative Office for services performed on behalf of the courts by the Administrative Office; and (c) to provide for salary increases for the Deputy Director of the Federal Judicial Center and the circuit executives to Level IV of the Executive Schedule. The Executive Committee also agreed to implement during the fiscal year 1988 Conference-approved recommendations to establish four death penalty resource centers (subject to congressional approval of a reprogramming request) and, effective April 15, 1988, to provide for the payment under the Criminal Justice Act of \$75 per hour for in- and out-of court attorney time in death penalty habeas corpus cases.

Subsequent events relating to non-CJA funding necessitated Executive Committee or Judicial Conference reconsideration of the funding levels for each of the four resource centers whose implementation had been authorized by the Executive Committee in April, 1988. Two of the centers (Mississippi and North Carolina) chose to delay their start-up dates until the fiscal year 1989 and to present revised funding requests for regular Judicial Conference consideration in September, 1988. See "Death Penalty Resource Centers", infra pp. 73-74.

On July 19, 1988, the Executive Committee approved a spending plan for the "Salaries and Expenses" appropriation for the fourth quarter of the fiscal year 1988, and approved the fourth quarter FY 1988 expenditure from the "Defender Services" appropriation of approximately \$130,000 to fund the remaining two death penalty resource centers whose implementation previously had been authorized (Georgia and Tennessee), and an additional death penalty resource center (Louisiana). The \$130,000 authorization was contingent upon congressional approval, subsequently granted, of a request for reprogramming of the necessary funds.

On August 24, 1988, the Executive Committee reallocated certain fourth quarter automation expenditures, and authorized the expenditure of an additional \$1,700,000 in FY 1988 funds, previously intended to be carried over into the fiscal year 1989, for the purchase of Unisys computer hardware.

# APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEARS 1989 AND 1990

In July, 1988, in accordance with its usual practice, the Committee on the Budget compiled budget estimates for the fiscal year 1990 to include all judiciary requirements. However, taking into account congressional realities in a period of severe fiscal restraints, the Budget Committee voted to present for Judicial Conference approval an "alternative" budget, below the total level of funding requested by elements within the judiciary, to increase chances of a successful presentation of the request to the Congress.

At its August 24, 1988 meeting, the Executive Committee addressed the question of whether the Budget Committee had exceeded its jurisdictional mandate in proposing the alternative budget, and concluded that while the Budget Committee should advise the Conference on the level of appropriations that should be sought, the recommendation on where actual cuts should occur should be made by the Executive Committee, pending further consideration of the matter by the full Judicial Conference. The Executive Committee requested that the chairman of the Budget Committee meet with the chairs of the committees affected by a less than fully funded budget (the "line" chairs), to agree on an alternative submission for presentation to the Executive Committee and the Conference. Such a consensus was reached, and approved by the Executive Committee on September 13, 1988 and the Conference on September 14, 1988. See "Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1990", infra p. 64.

The Executive Committee requested that a similar process to that followed with respect to the FY 1990 budget submission (i.e., "line" chairs and the Budget Committee fashioning a consensus for presentation to the Executive Committee) be followed for spending plan decisions on execution of the fiscal year 1989 budget.

# UNITED STATES CLAIMS COURT

In March, 1988 (Conf. Rpt., p. 29), the Judicial Conference voted to refer S. 1608, 100th Congress, the "United States Claims Court Improvement Act of 1987", to the Executive Committee, for further referral to the appropriate Conference committee(s) to determine whether the legislation would allow the Claims Court to be independent of the Judicial Conference and the Administrative Office for administrative purposes without adversely affecting the availability of resources to the judiciary. See also September 1987 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 68. The Executive Committee referred S. 1608 jointly to the Budget Committee for a review of budgetary considerations, and to the Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction for a review of the appropriate place of the Claims Court in the federal system. See also "United States Claims Court", infra pp. 67, 76.

The Executive Committee declined a request from the Chief Judge of the Claims Court for full membership on the Judicial Conference pending final Conference resolution of this matter.

#### MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS

The Executive Committee agreed to the appointment of a subcommittee of the Executive Committee to study increases in fees as a means of increasing funds available for judiciary needs: referred to the Committee on the Judicial Branch an American Bar Association resolution on evaluation of federal judges; approved a recommendation of the Committee on the Administration of the Bankruptcy System for the creation of an additional bankruptcy judgeship in the District of Alaska. and authorized transmittal of implementing legislation to the Congress; advised the Chief Justice of its consensus that, as a policy matter, circuits should have the option of electing either active or senior district judges to membership on the Judicial Conference; authorized the Administrative Office to seek amendments to leave-sharing legislation to provide for judicial branch administration of a leave-sharing program for judiciary employees; and recommended that the Chief Justice appoint an ad hoc committee to review recommendations from other Judicial Conference committees concerning the introduction of "cameras in the courtroom".

#### COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

# INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

In the last few years, the Administrative Office has received an average of seven complaints per year from court employees, the General Accounting Office, and others, alleging waste, fraud, or abuse by judicial branch employees. The matters in question have been forwarded to the appropriate court of appeals or district court with an offer of agency assistance. The Director of the Administrative Office asked the Committee to consider whether this investigative assistance should continue.

On the recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference authorized the Director to continue to provide investigative assistance, with available resources, to a circuit judicial council or court, upon request of the council or chief judge of the court in which the services are to be performed. The delivery of these investigative services will be under the direct supervision of the Director or Deputy Director, and does not affect any services required to be provided by statute.

# INDEMNIFICATION OF JUDICIAL OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES SUED IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES

After studying the problems of judicial liability and indemnification in the wake of Forrester v. White, 484 U.S. \_\_, 108 S.Ct. 538 (1988), and Westfall v. Erwin, 484 U.S. \_\_, 108 S.Ct. 580 (1988), the Committee recommended and the Judicial Conference approved the following guidelines for indemnification of judicial officers and employees to be published in Volume I-C of the <u>Guide to Judiciary Policies and</u> Procedures:

#### Section V

#### Indemnification

5.1 The Administrative Office may indemnify the defendant judiciary officer or employee for any verdict, judgment, or other monetary award which is rendered against such officer or employee, provided that the conduct giving rise to the verdict, judgment, or award was taken within the scope of employment and that such indemnification is in the interest of the United States, as determined by the Director or his or her designee.

5.2 The Administrative Office may settle or compromise a personal damages claim against a judiciary officer or employee by the payment of available funds at any time, provided the alleged conduct giving rise to the personal damages claim was taken within the scope of employment and that such settlement or compromise is in the interest of the United States, as determined by the Director or his or her designee.

5.3 Absent exceptional circumstances as determined by the Director or his or her designee, the Administrative Office will not entertain a request either to agree to indemnify or to settle a personal damages claim before entry of an adverse verdict, judgment, or award.

5.4 To request indemnification to satisfy a verdict, judgment, or award entered against an officer or employee, the officer or employee shall submit a written request, with appropriate documentation including copies

of the verdict, judgment, award, or settlement proposal if on appeal, to the General Counsel, who shall thereupon submit the request with a recommended disposition to the Director for decision.

5.5 Any payment under this section either to indemnify an officer or employee or to settle a personal damages claim shall be contingent upon the existence of adequate appropriated funds available for the operations of the employing court or office.

The Conference also voted to support H.R. 4358, 100th Congress, and its successor, H.R. 4612, bills to make the Federal Tort Claims Act the exclusive remedy for common law tort claims against federal officers and employees.

# COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE BANKRUPTCY SYSTEM

# INTERCIRCUIT ASSIGNMENTS OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGES

The Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-353) authorizes the temporary transfer of an active bankruptcy judge to any other judicial district upon the approval of the judicial council(s) of the circuit(s) involved. 28 U.S.C. 155(a). To facilitate the assignment of bankruptcy judges across circuit lines and to provide accurate statistical information on the effectiveness of intercircuit assignments in the bankruptcy system, the Judicial Conference approved the following guidelines for intercircuit assignments of bankruptcy judges:

- 1. A bankruptcy judge has responsibility to provide assistance, first, to the district in which the judge is appointed, second, to the other judicial districts within the judge's own circuit, and third, to judicial districts outside the judge's circuit.
- The assignment of bankruptcy judges from their official duty stations determined by the Judicial Conference shall be on the basis of the need of the borrowing court as certified by the chief judge of the borrowing circuit.

- The chief judge of the borrowing circuit shall be entitled to full access to all pertinent information concerning the borrowed bankruptcy judge and to discuss the assignment with the judges of the lending district or circuit.
- 4. A circuit lending a bankruptcy judge on an intercircuit assignment may not concurrently borrow a bankruptcy judge from another circuit.
- 5. A circuit borrowing a bankruptcy judge on an intercircuit assignment may not concurrently lend a bankruptcy judge to another circuit.
- The "lender-borrower" rules may be relaxed in situations in which a bankruptcy judge has been disqualified, of retired bankruptcy judges who have been recalled into active service pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 155(b), or in other situations if approved by the affected circuit councils.
- 7. On an intercircuit assignment, bankruptcy judges may take either a law clerk <u>or</u> a secretary; reimbursement for additional supporting personnel is not permitted. The judicial district to which a bankruptcy judge is temporarily assigned is expected to furnish any additional supporting personnel needed.
- 8. The circuit borrowing a bankruptcy judge from a district in another circuit shall forward a copy of the certificate of need, the consent of the lending circuit to intercircuit assignment, and an order setting forth the term of the temporary assignment to the chief district and bankruptcy judges of the lending district and to the Director of the Administrative Office who shall compile statistics on intercircuit assignments.

# SELECTION AND APPOINTMENT OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGES

At its September 1984 session (Conf. Rpt., pp. 70-71), the Judicial Conference approved regulations for the selection and appoint-

ment of bankruptcy judges. At this session, to reflect a change in the law made by the Bankruptcy Judges, United States Trustees, and Family Farmer Bankruptcy Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-554), the Conference amended section 1.01 of the regulations ("Minimum Qualifications") to provide that admission to the bar of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico constitutes eligibility for service as a bankruptcy judge.

# RECALL TO SERVICE OF RETIRED BANKRUPTCY JUDGES

Regulations governing the ad hoc recall to service of retired bankruptcy judges were adopted by the Judicial Conference in March, 1985 (Conf. Rpt., p. 22), and amended in September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 82).

At the suggestion of a chief circuit judge, the Judicial Conference amended section 7 of the regulations to provide that a chief circuit judge considering the recall of a retired bankruptcy judge from another circuit is permitted to have full access to all pertinent information concerning the retired bankruptcy judge, and to discuss the recall assignment with the judges of the circuit and district where the retired bankruptcy judge had served. The Conference also approved a technical amendment to section 8 of the recall regulations to correct an internal inconsistency arising from the September, 1987 amendment.

# BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATOR REGULATIONS

On recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference amended the Regulations Governing the Establishment, Duties, and Functions of Bankruptcy Administrators, approved by the Conference in September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 81), (a) to confirm that a bankruptcy administrator's oversight responsibility, as it relates to monitoring the activities of creditors' and equity security holders' committees, is not dependent on prior court authorization, except as to convening and presiding at creditors' committee meetings (section 2.01(d)(3)); and (b) to authorize the bankruptcy administrator to monitor the sufficiency of applications for interim compensation and reimbursement of actual, necessary expenses (section 2.01(i)(5)).

#### ADDITIONAL JUDGESHIPS

Due to unusual increases in bankruptcy filings, the Conference voted to recommend that Congress authorize one additional bankruptcy judgeship for the Western District of Texas, and to ratify the Executive
Committee's recommendation that Congress authorize one additional bankruptcy judgeship for the District of Alaska. See also "Miscellaneous Actions", supra p. 57.

### MEMORIAL RESOLUTION

Noting the death of Bankruptcy Judge G. William Brown, a member of the Bankruptcy Committee, the Conference adopted the following resolution:

The Judicial Conference of the United States with great sadness notes the death of Chief Bankruptcy Judge G. William Brown on August 13, 1988.

During his six years on the bench of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Kentucky, Judge Brown was greatly admired by judges and attorneys alike. In addition to his duties as the Chief Judge of a busy court, he assumed many important responsibilities on behalf of the national bankruptcy system. He had served with uncommon distinction since 1984 on the Committee on the Administration of the Bankruptcy System. An active and dedicated member, Judge Brown was serving as Chairman of two subcommittees and was a member of two others at the time of his death. He performed additional service as a member of a special task force to improve the efficiency of the bankruptcy courts. In all these undertakings, he exhibited an unerring perceptiveness, a quiet dedication to duty, and consistently wise judgment.

Judge Brown, a native of Louisville, served for two years in the United States Marine Corps. He received both a Bachelor of Science in Law and a Juris Doctor degree from the University of Louisville. Prior to his appointment as a bankruptcy judge in 1982, Judge Brown practiced law for nineteen years, during seventeen of which he also served as an exemplary standing chapter 13 trustee.

Judge Brown was the embodiment of integrity, dedication, and excellence. On the bench, he was always in control of the courtroom but was unfailingly courteous and open minded. These qualities were recognized recently by the Louisville Bar Association which honored his contribution to the judicial system by naming him Judge of the Year for 1987.

The judges of the Judicial Conference of the United States convey their deepest sympathy to Judge Brown's children, Julie, Stephen, and Christina, and request that this resolution be sent to them in recognition of our profound respect and abiding esteem.

### COMMITTEE ON THE BICENTENNIAL OF THE CONSTITUTION

# PARTIAL FUNDING OF INTERNATIONAL APPELLATE JUDGES CONFERENCE

The Conference approved a proposal of the Bicentennial of the Constitution and International Appellate Judges Conference Committees, to allocate \$300,000 from the judiciary's bicentennial appropriation to the International Appellate Judges Conference Committee. These funds will be used toward the expenses of the Fifth International Appellate Judges Conference, scheduled to be held in Washington, D.C., in September, 1990.

### COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET

# SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1989

As of the Judicial Conference session on September 14, 1988, the judiciary's appropriations act for the fiscal year 1989 had not yet been approved. However, based upon House and Senate Appropriations Committee actions to date, it was apparent that appropriations would fall considerably short of the minimal funding levels identified by the Executive Committee as required to maintain current services. Accordingly, the Conference authorized the Director of the Administrative Office to submit to the Congress a request for supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year 1989 for "pay costs", and program supplementals for "Salaries and Expenses", "Defender Services", "Fees of Jurors and Commissioners", and "Court Security". The Conference also authorized the Director to amend the requests because of any new legislation, action taken by the Judicial Conference, or any other reason the Director considers necessary and appropriate.

# APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1990

As noted at pages 55-56 ("Appropriations for the Fiscal Years 1989 and 1990"), the Executive Committee concurred in the Budget Committee's judgment that submission of a less than fully funded budget request for the fiscal year 1990 could increase the judiciary's chances of a successful presentation of that request to the Congress. Consequently, it was agreed that both total requirements, and an "alternative" level, would be presented. The Executive Committee further agreed that the recommendation to the Conference on where actual cuts should occur should be made by the Executive Committee, pending Conference establishment of a priority-setting mechanism within the judiciary.

With regard to the FY 1990 submission, the Executive Committee requested that the Budget Committee and the "line" committee chairs affected by a less than fully funded budget, fashion an alternative submission for presentation to the Executive Committee and the Conference. Such a consensus was fashioned and, by unanimous vote, the Executive Committee and the Conference concurred in a revised alternative budget submission for the fiscal year 1990. This alternative budget would fully fund all activities at least at the fiscal year 1988 level and, in addition, include all additional positions requested but funded at 25 percent, anticipating delays in hiring. New program initiatives which are included are also funded at 25 percent of annual costs. This budget does defer requesting funds to implement an ungraded salary system for law clerks (see also "Implementing Judiciary Salary Plan Modifications in the Fiscal Year 1989", infra pp. 65-66).

The Director of the Administrative Office was authorized to amend the **bud**get estimates because of new legislation, action taken by the Judicial Conference, or for any other reason the Director considers necessary and appropriate.

#### REGISTRY FEES

On the recommendations of the Budget, Bankruptcy, and Judicial Improvements Committees, the Judicial Conference amended the miscellaneous fee schedules for the appellate, district, and bankruptcy courts to assess a charge of up to three percent for the handling of registry funds, contingent upon language being included in legislation to make these fee revenues available directly for use by the judiciary.\* Such charges will be assessed from interest earnings, and in

<sup>\*</sup>Such authority was subsequently granted in Public Law 100-459, the judiciary's appropriations act for the fiscal year 1989.

accordance with a detailed fee schedule to be issued by the Director of the Administrative Office after consultation with the Clerks' Advisory Committee and the Chairmen of the Judicial Conference Committees on Judicial Improvements and Bankruptcy Administration. The Director was empowered to exempt certain registry items from any fees altogether and to assess charges at any level lower than three percent should he deem a higher charge inappropriate.

# IMPLEMENTING JUDICIARY SALARY PLAN MODIFICATIONS IN THE FISCAL YEAR 1989

A. The Judicial Conference agreed to implement in the fiscal year 1989 the following Judiciary Salary Plan (JSP) modifications approved by the Conference at its September 1987 (Conf. Rpt., pp. 62-65) and March 1988 (Conf. Rpt., pp. 32-33) sessions:

- increases to JSP-12 for principal secretaries to chief circuit judges after three years as secretary to the chief, and upon a showing of exceptional circuitwide duties;
- reclassification of secretarial positions in senior staff attorneys' offices to JSP-7 and JSP-9, court recorder operator positions to JSP-8, intake positions in clerks' offices to JSP-8, probation and pretrial services clerical positions to JSP-7, deputy circuit librarians to JSP-13, and magistrates' secretaries to JSP-11;
- substitution of one year for the three-year service requirement at the next lower salary level for promotion of career law clerks to grade JSP-13, and increase in the target grade level of career law clerks to JSP-14; and
- o modification to the quality step increase plan to provide that such increases may be approved annually (provided that such increases require exceptional performance of all major duties in a sustained manner that substantially exceeds the normal requirements of the position and gives promise of continuing).

Reprogramming authority will be requested from Congress, as necessary.

B. At its March 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 8) and September 1987 sessions (Conf. Rpt., pp. 62-63), the Conference voted to remove the present JSP-9, JSP-11, and JSP-12 salaries for "elbow" law clerks, pro se law clerks, and staff attorneys from the graded JSP, and pay new appointees to these positions \$25,400, \$33,000, and \$36,500, respectively, subject automatically to any future comparability increases. As is customary with Conference approval of matters requiring the expenditure of additional resources, approval was "subject to the availability of funds", and the September 1987 Conference (Conf. Rpt., p. 63) specifically noted that the ungraded system would be funded in the fiscal year 1989 "only to the extent that these increases are included in the fiscal year 1989 budget for this purpose." Since Congress will not fund the system in FY 1989, and since the projected cost of the ungraded system exceeds \$13,000,000 -- an amount far too great to be absorbed, given the current budgetary constraints -- the Conference declined to implement the ungraded system during the fiscal year 1989.

### BUDGET DECENTRALIZATION

In September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 77), the Judicial Conference approved implementation of a five-court, three-year pilot budget execution decentralization project. At this session, the Conference continued its expansion of the role of local courts in managing their operating budgets by approving two complementary budget decentralization proposals. The first, a pilot project authorizing appellate and district courts to formulate annual financial plans utilizing balances in annual allocations and 75 percent of the savings from positions held vacant in order to purchase equipment or make other necessary expenditures, was approved for the remainder of the fiscal year 1988 and the fiscal year 1989, for Eleventh Circuit courts only. The second proposal would allow any court organization to elect to keep a position or positions vacant for the time required to accumulate from foregone salary payments sufficient funds to purchase personal computers. Effective October 1, 1988, this "Personal Computer Purchases with Personnel Lapses Program", as approved, establishes a salary level of \$20,700 to calculate the savings regardless of the grade of the unfilled position, and reserves 25 percent of the savings for a contingency fund for the judiciary's emergency requirements.

# UNITED STATES CLAIMS COURT

In September, 1987 (Conf. Rpt., p. 68), the Judicial Conference determined not to object to the creation of an Article I United States Claims Court outside of the judicial branch. However, as noted <u>supra p</u>. 56 ("United States Claims Court"), the March 1988 Conference (Conf. Rpt., p. 29) referred S. 1608, 100th Congress, a bill intended to establish an independent Claims Court, to the Executive Committee, for further referral to determine whether the legislation would allow the Claims Court to be independent of the Judicial Conference and the Administrative Office without adversely affecting the availability of resources to the judiciary. The Executive Committee referred the legislation jointly to the Budget and Federal-State Jurisdiction Committees.

On recommendation of the Budget Committee, the Judicial Conference voted to recommend that there be no change in the United States Claims Court budget process, and that the Claims Court's budget should remain in the judiciary's "Salaries and Expenses" appropriation. The Conference also agreed that the Claims Court should have more representation in the Judicial Conference system than it has at present. See also "United States Claims Court", infra p. 76.

### COMMITTEE ON CODES OF CONDUCT

The Committee on Codes of Conduct reported that since its last report, the Committee had received 32 written inquiries and issued 28 advisory responses. The Chairman also responded to 59 telephone inquiries that did not require reference to the Committee.

#### CODES OF CONDUCT

In September, 1986 (Conf. Rpt., pp. 66-67), the Judicial Conference revised the Code of Conduct for United States Judges to remove gender-specific language. At this session, the Conference struck additional gender-specific references in the Code for Judges and in the "Commentary" and "Compliance" sections, and made other technical changes to the Code. The Conference also approved revisions to the Codes of Conduct for Clerks of Court, Probation Officers, Circuit Executives, Staff Attorneys, and Law Clerks, to eliminate gender-specific language, and to make "practice of law" and "participation in professional organizations" provisions uniform. All Codes of Conduct will henceforth appear in a new Volume II of the <u>Guide to Judiciary Policies and Proce-</u> dures retitled, "Codes of Conduct for Judges and Judicial Employees". Certain Judicial Conference resolutions are published in the binder containing the Code of Conduct for United States Judges (Vol. II of the <u>Guide</u>, noted above). The Conference rescinded resolutions "B" (relating to judges serving as officers or directors of educational, religious, civic, and charitable organizations; see October 1971 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 68) and "G" (relating to the taking of photographs and the broadcasting of judicial proceedings; see March 1979 Session, Conf. Rpt., pp. 24-25), on the basis that such matters are more comprehensively covered by Canons 4, 5, and 3A(7) of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges.

#### COMMITTEE ON COURT SECURITY

### ELECTRONIC MONITORING OF COURTROOMS FOR SECURITY PURPOSES

At the request of the United States Marshals Service, and on recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference approved a proposal to improve judicial security substantially by allowing the Marshals Service to monitor courtroom proceedings by closed circuit video equipment. No tape recordings may be made.

The Conference considered, and declined to approve, a suggested amendment to Canon 3A(7) of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, to add a fourth exception "for security surveillance" to the prohibition on cameras in the courtroom.

#### WEAPONS IN COURTROOMS

In order to improve court security, the Judicial Conference voted to recommend that each court issue an order regulating the possession of firearms or weapons in the courtroom.

### COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW AND PROBATION ADMINISTRATION

#### **HIV GUIDELINES**

The Judicial Conference authorized the Committee to promulgate to probation and pretrial services officers guidelines for investigating and supervising offenders who have been exposed to the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The guidelines call for case plans for supervision of individuals exposed to HIV; supervision standards; instructions concerning self-disclosure of HIV infection to third parties; use of public health auspices and an individual's consent to disclose infection status to third parties; notification to the U.S. Marshal when a violator's warrant is issued and to the health care provider or the halfway house or jail facility; use of consent forms; disclosure of HIV exposure to the court during the pretrial and presentence investigation phases; dissemination of educational material on HIV; and development of an HIV resource officer in each probation and pretrial services office.

#### DRUG TESTING FOR PROBATIONERS

H.R. 4406, 100th Congress, would amend title 18, United States Code, to provide for mandatory random drug testing of federal probationers. On the Committee's recommendation, the Conference voted to recommend against enactment of the bill, on the ground that existing law is adequate to permit testing of probationers where there is a reasonable suspicion or previous history of drug abuse.

#### SENTENCING GUIDELINES REPORT

28 U.S.C. 994(o) provides that certain organizations, including the Judicial Conference, must at least annually submit to the United States Sentencing Commission "a written report commenting on the operation of the Commission's guidelines, suggesting changes in the guidelines that appear to be warranted and otherwise assessing the Commission's work." It would appear that the first of these reports is due on November 1, 1988. However, in view of the uncertainty of the constitutionality of the guidelines, and the judiciary's relatively little experience with guideline sentencing to date, the Judicial Conference endorsed the filing of a limited annual report to the Commission.

# COMMITTEE ON DEFENDER SERVICES

#### APPOINTMENTS AND PAYMENTS

The Committee reported that in the first half of the fiscal year 1988, approximately 30,000 persons were represented under the Criminal Justice Act, compared to approximately 28,000 in the first half of the fiscal year 1987, an increase of 7.1 percent. Of these 30,000 persons represented, 16,956, or 56.5 percent, were represented by federal public and community defender organizations. This represents a 7.6 percent increase over the 15,765 cases received during the same period in the fiscal year 1987.

# BUDGET REQUESTS -FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS

The Conference approved supplemental budget requests for federal public defender organizations for the fiscal year 1989 as follows:

California, Eastern	\$ 217,194
Colorado	53,513
Connecticut	34,218
Florida, Northern	155,296
Florida, Middle	231,185
Kansas	81,156
Maryland	121,856 '
Minnesota	66,574
New Jersey	74,270
New Mexico	52,041
North Carolina, Eastern	112,234
Oregon	72,126
Pennsylvania, Middle (proposed separate	
organization)	114,957
Tennessee, Middle	86,088
Texas, Southern	45,425
Washington, Western/Alaska	103,109
West Virginia, Southern	18,300
TOTAL	\$1,639,542

The Conference also approved budget requests for the fiscal year 1990 for the federal public defender organizations as follows:

Arizona	\$1,442,773
California, Northern	1,894,555
California, Eastern	1,547,413
California, Central	2,593,699
Colorado	873,242
Connecticut	597,702
Florida, Northern	582,614
Florida, Middle	1,627,567
Florida, Southern	2,869,010
Hawaii	866,762

\*Of this amount, \$103,452 is for costs associated with the establishment of a branch office in Hyattsville,

Illinois, Central & Southern/	
Missouri, Eastern	844,244
Kansas	679,051
Louisiana, Eastern	661,030
Maryland	1,310,120 *
Massachusetts	530,439
Minnesota	516,366
Missouri, Western	736,091
Nevada	1,019,454
New Jersey	1,218,345
New Mexico	896,683
North Carolina, Eastern	840,562
Ohio, Northern	528,928
Oklahoma, Eastern, Northern,	
& Western	745,582
Oregon	1,021,682
Pennsylvania, Middle	645,603
Pennsylvania, Western	631,879
Puerto Rico	594,562
South Carolina	428,699
Tennessee, Middle	599,931
Tennessee, Western	342,394
Texas, Southern	1,697,895
Texas, Western	1,494,015
Virgin Islands	762,635
Washington, Western/Alaska	1,342,90
West Virginia, Southern	<u>310,538</u>
TOTAL	\$35,295,029

Observing that the Fifth Circuit Judicial Council had approved the establishment of a federal public defender organization for the Northern District of Texas, the Conference also approved funding for this proposed organization for the fiscal years 1989 and 1990 in the amounts of \$973,545 and \$873,037, respectively.

The Committee will entertain requests for supplemental funding if workload increases or other factors warrant reconsideration of funding needs.

<sup>\*</sup>Of this amount, \$101,737 is for costs associated with the establishment of a branch office in Hyattsville.

NOTE: As a result of the Conference's approval of the submission of a less than fully funded budget request for the fiscal year 1990, the Defender Services appropriations request for FY 1990 was reduced by \$3,000,000. The projected savings will be generated through savings in personnel compensation and transcript costs.

# GRANT REQUESTS -COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS

The Conference approved supplemental sustaining grants for the fiscal year 1989 for the following community defender organizations:

Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc., California, Southern	\$175,195
Federal Defender Program, Inc., Georgia, Northern	116,827
Legal Aid & Defender Assn. of Detroit, Federal Defender Division, Michigan, Eastern	77,746
The Legal Aid Society of New York, Federal Defender Services Unit, New York, Eastern & Southern	265,530
TOTAL	\$ 635,298

The Conference also approved sustaining grants for the fiscal year 1990 for the six community defender organizations as follows:

Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc., California Southern	\$2,372,147
Federal Defender Program, Inc., Georgia, Northern	921,560
Federai Defender Program, Inc., Illinois, Northern	1,159,793
Legal Aid & Defender Assn. of Detroit, Federal Defender Division, Michigan, Eastern	1,107,382

72

The Legal Aid Society of New York, Fedl. Defender Services Unit, New York, Eastern & Southern	3,731,855
Defender Assn. of Philadelphia, Federal Court Division, Pennsylvania, Eastern	1,061,061
TOTAL	\$10,353,798

The Committee will consider requests for supplemental sustaining grants if workload increases or other factors warrant reconsideration of the approved sustaining grants.

# DEATH PENALTY RESOURCE CENTERS

The Conference approved sustaining grants for the fiscal year 1989 for 12 death penalty resource center/community defender organizations as follows:

Alabama Capital Representation Resource Center [Alabama]	\$235,840
Arizona Death Penalty Resource Center [Arizona]	180,000
California Appellate Project [California (N), (E), (C), & (S)]	545,693 *
Volunteer Lawyers Resource Center of Florida, Inc. [Florida (N), (M), & (S)]	827,500
Georgia Appellate Practice and Educationai Resource Center [Georgia (N), (M), & (S)]	267,275
Kentucky Capital Litigation Center [Kentucky (E) & (W)]	212,760

<sup>\*</sup>This funding level was approved subject to resolution of details relating to the structure of the organization in a manner deemed satisfactory to the Defender Services Committee.

Loyola Death Penalty Resource Center [Louisiana (E), (M), & (W)]	147,730
Mississippi Capital Death Penalty Center [Mississippi (N) & (S)]	223,950
North Carolina Death Penalty Resource Center [North Carolina (E), (M), & (W)]	100,060
Capital Post-Conviction Project [Oklahoma (N), (E), & (W)]	588,823
South Carolina Death Penalty Resource Center [South Carolina]	112,740
Texas Appellate Practice and Educational Resource Center [Texas (N), (E), (W), & (S)]	912,600
TOTAL	\$4,354,971

The requests were approved contingent upon each organization securing the state and other non-CJA funds\* which it had indicated were necessary to finance the state component of its activities, and also contingent upon final approval of all necessary CJA plan amendments. The Conference also (a) delegated to the Defender Services Committee the authority to approve revised grant requests of resource centers in amounts not to exceed the amount originally approved by the Judicial Conference for a particular fiscal year plus the amount of "start-up" costs, if any, approved for an earlier fiscal year; (b) approved an amendment to clause 16 of the "Grant and Conditions for Death Penalty Resource Center/Community Defender Organizations" concerning the expenditure of funds for expert services; and (c) approved for incorporation into the Guidelines for Administration of the Criminal Justice Act (CJA Guidelines), at paragraph 2.01 G, several measures contained in an American Bar Association resolution concerning representation in death penalty federal habeas corpus cases.

<sup>\*</sup>With respect to the majority of such organizations, the proportion of CJA to non-CJA funding is approximately 60/40.

### FUNDING PRIORITIES

In recognition of the possibility that the "Defender Services" appropriation might be insufficient to fund fully the anticipated level of CJA activities, the Conference established the following priorities for that appropriations account:

First priority category: Federal defender organizations, including traditional federal public and community defender organizations, as well as death penalty resource center/community defender organizations.

Second priority category: Panel attorney compensation.

<u>Third priority category</u>: Special alternative attorney compensation rates for death penalty cases.

Fourth priority category: General alternative attorney compensation rates.

ALTERNATIVE HOURLY COMPENSATION RATES

Subsection (d)(1) of the Criminal Justice Act, as amended (18 U.S.C. 3006A(d)(1)), establishes hourly maximum rates of attorney compensation of \$60 per hour for time expended in court, and \$40 per hour for time reasonably expended out of court. The subsection also authorizes the Judicial Conference to establish an alternative hourly compensation rate, not to exceed \$75 per hour, if the Conference determines that a higher rate is justified for a circuit or for particular districts within a circuit.

Effective with services performed on or after the date funds are available for implementation, the Conference (a) established a special alternative rate of \$75, for both in- and out-of-court time, for representation in federal habeas corpus death penalty cases only, at all court locations in the Northern District of Ohio, and the Middle and Southern Districts of Florida; and (b) extended the general alternative attorney compensation rate of \$60 per hour for in- and out-of-court time which it had previously established for Portland, Oregon (March 1988 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 16), to all court locations in the District of Oregon.

#### GUIDELINES

The Conference approved the following amendments to the Guidelines for the Administration of the Criminal Justice Act:

- 1. Amendments to paragraphs 2.30 and 3.06, and Appendices E and F, regarding interim payment of compensation under the CJA.
- 2. An amendment to paragraph 4.03 concerning federal defenders' discretionary authority to obtain investigative, expert and other services.

The Conference also disapproved a proposed amendment to paragraph 4.02 of the <u>Guidelines</u> recommending that the courts of appeals consider salary parity between federal public defenders and United States attorneys.

#### COMMITTEE ON FEDERAL-STATE JURISDICTION

### UNITED STATES CLAIMS COURT

As noted <u>supra</u> pp. 56 and 67, the Executive Committee referred to the Federal-State Jurisdiction Committee the question of the appropriate place of the United States Claims Court in the federal system. On the Committee's recommendation, the Conference unanimously voted to recommend to the Congress that the Claims Court remain as a part of the judiciary within the policy structure of the Judicial Conference and the existing administrative structure.

The Conference deferred action until its March meeting on two specific recommendations to increase the Claims Court's participation in the Judicial Conference system, i.e., to designate the Chief Judge of the Federal Circuit to represent the Claims Court on the Conference, and to recommend that the Chief Justice consider the appointment of a Claims Court judge to the Committee on Judicial Resources.

#### DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP JURISDICTION

28 U.S.C. 1332(a)(2) gives the district courts diversity jurisdiction over actions between citizens of a state and citizens or subjects of a foreign state; 28 U.S.C. 1332(a)(3) covers actions between citizens of different states in which citizens or subjects of a foreign state are parties. Diversity jurisdiction exists under these provisions even though the alien may have been admitted to the United States as a permanent resident.

There is no reason why actions involving persons who are permanent residents of the United States should be heard by federal courts merely because one of them remains a citizen or subject of a foreign state or has not yet become a citizen of the United States. Accordingly, the Conference agreed to recommend that Congress amend 28 U.S.C. 1332(a) to treat a permanent resident alien as a citizen of the state of his or her domicile.

### FOREIGN PRODUCT LIABILITY

S. 1996 and H.R. 3662, 100th Congress, would, among other things, enlarge the jurisdiction of the federal courts by modifying the complete diversity removal requirement of 28 U.S.C. 1441(b) to a minimal diversity removal requirement, for cases brought by a foreign claimant against a domestic defendant for injuries sustained abroad relating to the manufacture, purchase, sale, or use of a product outside the United States. Since there is no demonstrated need that justifies departure from existing diversity rules in such cases, the Conference opposed this aspect of the bills. The Conference also voted to advise Congress of its concern that the choice of law rule set out in the bills -- the law of the place of injury, in this case a foreign country -- would be impractical and difficult to administer.

#### PRODUCT LIABILITY

H.R. 115, 100th Congress, as reported by the House Committee on Energy and Commerce, would amend the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2051 <u>et seq.</u>) by adding, <u>inter alia</u>, provisions establishing standards for manufacturer and product seller liability, standards for punitive damages, periods of limitations, workers compensation offsets, and mandatory mediation. While most of the matters addressed in the legislation raise questions of legislative policy, some bear on judicial workload and the ability of trial judges to manage litigation, upon which comment by the judiciary would be appropriate.

On the recommendation of the Committee, the Conference agreed to recommend that Congress amend H.R. 1115 to give trial judges discretion to forego mediation in appropriate cases and to bifurcate a trial for the determination of punitive damages; to clarify that the same jury may be used in both phases of a bifurcated proceeding; and to bar removal of claims under the Act from state to federal court.

# AVIATION ACCIDENTS

S. 473, 100th Congress, would regulate interstate commerce by providing for uniform standards of liability applicable to general aviation accidents. The bili, and its House companion, generally raise questions of legislative policy for the Congress. Of concern to the judiciary, however, is the fact that the legislation would extend federal jurisdiction to all general aviation accidents without reference to diversity of citizenship and without regard to the amount in controversy, and with an unlimited right of removal from state to federal court. Since this expansion is both unwarranted and unnecessary, the Conference opposed this enlargement of federal jurisdiction. In addition, to reduce the burden on federal courts and to preserve plaintiffs' choice of forum, the Conference voted to recommend that the bills be amended to bar removal of claims under the Act from state to federal court.

### JURISDICTION OF THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

The Judicial Conference endorsed an amendment to 28 U.S.C. 1292(d), proposed by the Department of Justice, to give the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit exclusive jurisdiction to entertain an appeal from an interlocutory order of a district court granting or denying, in whole or in part, a motion to transfer an action to the United States Claims Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1631.

### JUDICIAL RÉVIEW OF VETERANS CLAIMS

The Judicial Conference has consistently voted to recommend that review of decisions on veterans' claims for benefits should be conferred exclusively upon the Board of Veterans' Appeals or upon a new executive branch Article I court, noting that appellate review of the decisions of the Board of Veterans' Appeals, or a new executive branch court, by the district courts, courts of appeals and the Supreme Court, is most undesirable in view of the potential impact on the caseloads of these courts. The Conference has also noted that if Article III judicial review were deemed to be appropriate, it should be limited to constitutional issues and questions of statutory interpretation. <u>E.g.</u>, September 1982 Session, Conf. Rpt., p. 65.

S. 2292, 100th Congress, focuses on review of regulations promulgated by the Veterans Administration and would provide review in the courts of appeals only of the validity of VA regulations governing loans, grants, or benefits. The regulations could be reviewed directly under the Administrative Procedure Act or by a claimant in a benefit proceeding; in the latter case, the validity of the regulation could be reviewed by a court of appeals, but court review would not extend to the claimant's entitlement to benefits and factual issues would not be subject to review "unless they raise a constitutional issue."

Since the approach taken in S. 2292 is consistent with prior Conference recommendations on judicial review of VA decisions in benefit cases, the Conference endorsed this legislation in principle.

# EXPORT ADMINISTRATION ACT

H.R. 3973, 100th Congress, would provide for review in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit of certain civil penalty orders of the Secretary of Commerce under the Export Administration Act, 50 U.S.C. App. 2401-2420. Finding judicial review of such civil penalty orders to be unobjectionable, the Conference agreed to support such judicial review in principle.

# **REMOVAL OF ALIEN TERRORISTS**

The Office of Management and Budget requested the views of the Judicial Conference on a Department of Justice draft bill to amend the immigration laws to provide a new method of removing from the United States aliens involved in terrorist acts. The bill would create a special court composed of five sitting district judges to hear proceedings for removal of alien terrorists (as defined in the bill), with multiple interlocutory appeals outside the main operation of the district court structure, to deal with a perceived special problem with international terrorists in the United States. Since no justification for this departure from traditional exclusion and deportation procedures has been demonstrated, the Conference opposed the legislation as placing an undue burden on the federal courts. The Conference also voted to recommend that OMB review the draft legislation carefully in view of the serious constitutional questions it raises.

#### COMMITTEE ON INTERCIRCUIT ASSIGNMENTS

The Committee on Intercircuit Assignments reported that during the period February 1, 1988, through July 31, 1988, the Committee had recommended 50 intercircuit assignments to be undertaken by 44 judges. Of this number, one was a retired associate justice of the United States Supreme Court, 10 were senior circuit judges, 13 were active circuit judges, 12 were senior district judges, five were active district judges, two were senior judges of the Court of International Trade, and two were active judges of the Court of International Trade.

Of the 50 assignments approved, 30 judges undertook 34 assignments to the courts of appeals, and 16 judges undertook 16 assignments to the district courts.

# COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL APPELLATE JUDGES CONFERENCE OF 1990

The International Appellate Judges Conference Committee reported on its activities in preparation for the International Appellate Judges Conference, tentatively set for September, 1990. The Committee is working in close cooperation with the Committee on the Bicentennial of the Constitution.

#### COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIAL BRANCH

The Committee on the Judicial Branch reported that it has fixed its priority attention squarely upon the forthcoming review by the Commission on Executive, Legislative, and Judicial Salaries of pay rates for judges, the Congress, and Presidential appointees in the executive branch. Under the Federal Salary Act of 1967, as amended (2 U.S.C. 351, et seq.), the Commission must report to the President by December 15 as to the results of its review and its recommendation of the appropriate salary level for each office under its purview. The President then submits to Congress in early January his recommendations with respect to the exact rates of pay which he deems advisable for the covered offices and positions. These recommendations become the lawful salary rates for the affected positions unless both Houses of Congress enact a joint resolution of disapproval (which would have to be signed into law by the President) within the 30-day period commencing on the date when the President's pay recommendations are transmitted to Congress. This opportunity will mark the last occasion for meaningful salary reform (other than on an annual cost-of-living basis, if and when allowed by Congress), until 1993 at the earliest.

The Committee has been active in focusing the attention of White House officials on the judiciary's salary plight. Interested private groups, including the Federal Judges Association, the American Bar Association, the American College of Trial Lawyers, Common Cause, the corporate legal counsel, and the National Conferences of Bankruptcy Judges and of United States Magistrates, promise to be active in the effort to achieve meaningful salary relief.

# COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ETHICS

The Committee on Judicial Ethics reported that, as of June, 1988, the Committee had received 2,220 financial disclosure reports and certifications for the calendar year 1987, including 981 reports and certifications from judicial officers and 1,239 reports and certifications from judicial employees.

# COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL IMPROVEMENTS

# AUTOMATION

A. The Committee on Judicial Improvements reported that it had unanimously approved the Long Range Plan\* for Automation in the United States Courts (1989 Update). In so doing, it reviewed the status of automation in the courts and the uncertainty of the current budgetary situation, and reaffirmed the following automation priorities:

1. In order to eliminate the substantial maintenance costs for the judiciary's aging DEC-10 mainframe computers, systems that off-load applications from the DEC-10s will receive top priority for development and implementation.

2. Recognizing that the Bankruptcy Court Automation Project (BANCAP) will be of inestimable value to the overburdened and paper-intensive bankruptcy courts, the second priority is the implementation of BANCAP.

3. Third in priority is implementation of other integrated case management (ICMS) systems in the appellate and district courts, and office automation to the extent funding is available. The data communications pilot project, and probation office automation follow.

In accordance with these priorities, the Committee selected courts for implementation of the CIVIL, CRIMINAL, and BANCAP systems for the fiscal year 1989 and early in the fiscal year 1990, resources permitting.

B. In 1987, the Court Administration Committee approved a comprehensive program to provide office automation at all levels of the judiciary over a three-year period, at a cost of approximately \$120,000,000. Although the judiciary has sought funds to implement this

\*Formerly Five-Year Plan for Automation in the United States Courts.

program, Congress has not yet provided them. The judiciary remains fully committed to the comprehensive office automation program. However, until additional funding is forthcoming, in order to ensure that the limited available resources are disbursed equitably, the Committee adopted the following priorities for the emergency and scheduled replacement of office automation equipment:

- Top priority for office automation equipment is the emergency replacement of word processing equipment in chambers that is certified by the judge to be failing and impossible to maintain (e.g., parts or service are unavailable, or machine cannot be kept in operation).
- 2. Any office automation funds available above the amount required for emergency replacement will be used to replace equipment for judges' secretaries on a machineby-machine, court-by-court basis, starting with courts with the oldest average age of equipment in chambers.
- 3. Any additional funds for office automation may be used to provide one personal computer to be shared by law clerks in chambers where no government-furnished personal computers are available for law clerks.

New judicial officers, and those qualifying for purchase of office automation equipment under these priorities, will receive allocations of \$6,000 if they have a single secretary, \$10,000 if they have two secretaries, and \$16,000 if they have three secretaries. They may purchase IBM-AT compatible personal computers from the judiciary-wide office automation contract when it is awarded in the fiscal year 1989 or, alternatively, may elect to purchase locally, so long as (a) applicable procurement statutes and regulations are complied with, and (b) the equipment meets the specifications set by the Committee. The \$6,000, \$10,000, and \$16,000 allocations do not apply with respect to personal computers purchased under the decentralized budgeting pilot program, the Eleventh Circuit's program for management of financial resources, or the Personal Computer Purchases with Personnel Lapses program (see "Budget Decentralization", supra pp. 66-67).

#### RELEASE AND SALE OF COURT DATA

The judiciary generates a large volume of data which is of considerable interest and value to the bar and litigants, to the media, to scholars and government officials, to commercial enterprises, and to the general public. The courts and the Administrative Office are frequently requested to release or sell court data to individuals and organizations outside the court family, including a growing volume of requests from credit agencies and other commercial organizations desiring bankruptcy case information for purposes of resale.

On recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference authorized an experimental program of electronic access for the public to court information in one or more district, bankruptcy, or appellate courts in which the experiment can be conducted at nominal cost, and delegated to the Committee the authority to establish access fees during the pendency of the program. Although existing law requires that fees collected in the experimental phase would have to be deposited into the United States Treasury, the fees charged for automated access services could defray a significant portion of the cost of providing such services, were the Congress to credit these fees to the judiciary's appropriations account in the future.

#### VIDEOTAPING COURT PROCEEDINGS

Under 28 U.S.C. 753, district judges may voluntarily use a variety of methods for taking the record of court proceedings, subject to guidelines promulgated by the Judicial Conference. At the request of a court that it be allowed to experiment with videotaping as a means of taking the official record, the Judicial Conference authorized an experimental program of videotaping court proceedings. Under the two-year experiment, which would include approximately six district courts (judges), in no more than two circuits, the courts of appeals would have to agree to accept as the official record on appeal a videotape in lieu of transcript or, in the alternative, the circuit must limit the production of transcript to be accepted on appeal to a very few pages. Participating judges would continue to utilize their present court reporting techniques (court reporter, electronic sound recording, etc.) during the experimental program.

The Conference designated the chair of the Committee on Judicial Improvements to seek approval of the Director of the Federal Judicial Center for the Judicial Center to design, conduct, and evaluate the experiment.

# VIDEOCONFERENCING OF ARRAIGNMENTS AND HABEAS CORPUS CASES

The Committee reviewed two proposals for closed circuit television communication between federal courts and local jails, and recommended that the Judicial Conference authorize an experimental videoconferencing program. The Conference authorized the experimental use of videoconferencing of initial appearances and arraignments ("not guilty" pleas only), and of prisoner civil rights and habeas corpus cases.

Although these programs will benefit the judiciary through increased regularity and flexibility in court scheduling and reduced security risks, they will also substantially reduce prisoner transportation costs. Accordingly, the chair of the Committee on Judicial Improvements will explore the availability of funds for implementation of the pilot programs.

# MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULES

In order to remedy an inadvertent omission when the miscellaneous fee schedules were comprehensively revised in 1987 (March 1987 Session, Conf. Rpt., pp. 11-17), the Conference added the following language to the miscellaneous fee schedules approved pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1913 (courts of appeals), 1914 (district courts), 1926 (U.S. Claims Court), and 1930 (bankruptcy courts):

11. [or 12, 6, or 18, respectively] The court may charge and collect fees, commensurate with the cost of printing, for copies of the local rules of court. The court may also distribute copies of the local rules without charge.

The Conference also corrected the reference in the Language to Clarify Reopened and Converted Bankruptcy Code Cases, which follows the numbered items in the bankruptcy fee schedule, from 28 U.S.C. 1930(b) to 28 U.S.C. 1930(a).

### TRAVEL REGULATIONS FOR JUSTICES AND JUDGES

In order to permit reimbursement of meal expenses incurred at a judicial officer's official station, if the meals are incidental to the judicial officer's attendance at, and necessary to full participation in, a meeting of a committee of the Judicial Conference, the Conference approved the following amendment to the travel regulations for justices and judges (Vol. III, Chapter 15, section D.1.a.(5) of the <u>Guide to Judiciary Policies</u> and Procedures) (underlined language to be inserted):

(5) A judge who is invited to attend a Circuit Judicial Conference or Judicial Conference committee meeting which is being held in the city where that judge's official station is located may be reimbursed for meals if such meals are incidental to the meeting, provided that the attendance of the judge at the meals is necessary to full participation in the business of the conference or committee meeting and that the judge is not free to partake meals elsewhere without being absent and missing essential formal discussions, lectures or speeches concerning the business of the conference or meeting. Reference to this paragraph D.1.a.(5) on any voucher claiming such meals will be considered sufficient documentation that the judge has met the criteria for payment of this item of subsistence. Under no other circumstances may judges claim subsistence at their official station.

# PLACES OF HOLDING COURT

The Judicial Conference voted to support the designation of Watertown as a place of holding court for the Northern District of New York, and the deletion of Malone as a place of holding court for the same district. The Conference also agreed to support S. 2340 and H.R. 4552, 100th Congress, bills to amend 28 U.S.C. 89(b) and (c) to move three counties from the Southern District of Florida to the Middle District of Florida.

#### COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL RESOURCES

### ADDITIONAL JUDGESHIPS

The Judicial Conference reviewed the results of the 1988 biennial survey of judgeship needs conducted by the Committee on Judicial Resources, and its predecessor Subcommittee on Judicial Statistics, and voted to recommend the creation of 14 additional judgeships in the United States courts of appeals, and 37 additional permanent judgeships and 22 additional temporary judgeships in the United States district courts. The Conference notes that the Congress has not as yet acted on the Conference recommendations for additional judgeships resulting from the 1986 biennial survey. The recommendations which follow include all of the judgeships recommended by the Judicial Conference in 1986 for the courts of appeals. The recommendations for the district courts include 49 positions recommended by the Judicial Conference in 1986, but exclude 7 positions recommended in 1986 because the courts' recent workloads no longer support the positions or the courts have withdrawn their requests. The Conference also recommends that four positions presently authorized to serve more than one district be converted to serve a single district, that one position authorized to serve three districts be converted to serve two districts, and that six temporary judgeships be made permanent.

The Conference voted to recommend the creation of additional judgeship positions in the following United States courts of appeals:

Third Circuit	
Fourth Circuit	4
Fifth Circuit	1
Eighth Circuit	2
Tenth Circuit	2
Eleventh Circuit	<u>3</u>
ΤΟΤΑL	14

The Conference also voted to recommend the creation of additional permanent and temporary judgeships in the following United States district courts:

#### First Circuit:

Massachusetts	 . 1 t/p*+1
	temp.

#### Second Circuit:

Connecticut	2
New York (N)	1 temp.
New York (E)	1
New York (S)	1
New York (W)	1 t/p

\*Existing temporary position to be made permanent.

# Third Circuit:

	New Jersey	3 3 + 1 temp. 1
Fourt	h Circuit:	
	Maryland	1 temp. 1 temp.
Fifth (	<u>Circuit:</u>	
	Louisiana (M). Louisiana (W) Mississippi (S) Texas (E). Texas (S). Texas (W)	1 temp. 1 1 1 temp. 3 1
Sixth	Circuit:	
	Michigan (W) Ohio (N). Ohio (S). Tennessee (E). Tennessee (M)	1 temp. 1 t/p + 1 temp. 1 + 1 temp. 1 1 temp.
Seve	nth Circuit:	
	Illinois (N) Illinois (C) Illinois (S) Indiana (N).	1 + 1 t/p 1 temp. 1 temp. 1 t/p
Eight	h Circuit:	
	Arkansas (E)	2 r/single district* 1 1 r/single district

\*Existing roving position between judicial districts to be redesignated.

Ninth	lowa (S) Missouri (E) Nebraska Circuit:	1 1 + 1 temp. 1 temp.
	California (N) California (E) California (C) Hawaii Nevada Oregon Washington (W).	2 1 temp. 6 1 temp. 1 temp. 1 1 t/p
Tenth	Circuit:	
	Kansas	1 temp. 1 2 + 1 temp. + 1 r/ single district + 1 r/dual district
Eleventh Circuit:		
	Alabama (N)	1 temp. 1 + 1 temp.*
ΤΟΤΑ	sL	37 + 22 temp. + 6 temps. to be made perma- nent + 5 rovers to be redesignated.

<sup>\*</sup>If, prior to enactment of judgeship legislation, the Congress should transfer three counties from the Southern to the Middle District of Florida, the recommendation would be for two additional permanent judgeships for the Middle District of Florida.

If the Congress were to eliminate diversity of citizenship jurisdiction, the request for district judgeships would be reduced from 59 (37 permanent and 22 temporary) to 13 (two permanent and 11 temporary).

> FISCAL YEAR 1990 BUDGET REQUESTS FOR SUPPORTING PERSONNEL

The Judicial Conference reviewed requests for fiscal year 1990 positions for circuit executives' staffs, for staff attorney offices, and for the national court library system, and took the following actions (subject to the availability of resources):

- 1. Agreed to the conversion of 12 temporary JSP-11 telecommunications coordinators in the circuit executives' offices to permanent positions, one in each circuit.
- 2. Approved an additional secretarial position for the circuit executives in the First and Third Circuits.
- 3. Approved the addition of two attorneys in excess of the one-to-one ratio of staff attorneys to judgeships, to enable the Tenth Circuit to staff a preargument conference program. An additional secretarial position was also approved.
- 4. Approved 38 additional positions (24 at JSP-9 and 14 at JSP-5) for the national court library system.

### ADDITIONAL COURT REPORTERS

The Conference approved two additional court reporter positions, one each in the Western District of New York and the Northern District of Texas.

### FEDERAL EMPLOYEE SALARY OFFSET PROGRAM

The Conference was informed that the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency had asked for the cooperation of the judiciary in identifying employees delinquent with respect to federally-insured loans and other debts (primarily student loans). Under the Debt Collection Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-365), the judiciary has procedures for offsetting the salaries of employees delinquent in debts covered by the Act. The Conference agreed to the request.

### DEFERRAL OF REPAYMENT OF STUDENT LOANS

Observing that initial salary offers for top law school graduates seem to be increasing yearly, the Conference endorsed a proposal to recommend that Congress amend 20 U.S.C. 1077(a)(2)(C) to include judicial law clerks as one of the occupations for which, during service, repayment of the principal on a federally-insured educational loan is waived and only the interest is paid.

### JUDICIARY SALARY PLAN MODIFICATIONS

On recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference approved the following modifications to the Judiciary Salary Plan:

- Reclassification of financial administrators from JSP-11 to JSP-12\*, financial assistants from JSP-7 to JSP-8, property & procurement administrators from JSP-10 to JSP-11, property & procurement assistants from JSP-7 to JSP-8, personnel specialists from JSP-11 to JSP-12\*, personnel assistants from JSP-7 to JSP-8, deputies in charge of divisional offices from JSP-13 to JSP-14\*, and docket clerks presently at JSP-7 to JSP-8.
- 2. Reclassification of two positions as Central Violations Bureau (CVB) administrators, JSP-11, one in each of the two national CVB service centers in the Western District of Texas and the District of Colorado. CVB classifications at locations other than at the two national centers would be discontinued.

<sup>\*</sup>Target grade levels meeting specific criteria; not all positions have the potential of being classified at this level.

 Establishment of a "Save Grade and Pay" plan for certain judiciary employees whose positions are abolished and who are qualified for, and have been selected for, lower graded positions in the judiciary.\*

# RETIREMENT ANNUITIES FOR COURT REPORTERS

By virtue of a recent amendment to title 5 of the United States Code, as interpreted by the Office of Personnel Management, court reporters who wish to receive a retirement annuity based upon "full-time" service (as opposed to part-time service and a resulting reduction in annuity) must either (a) work a scheduled tour of duty in the courthouse of 80 hours per pay period; or (b) maintain records of the actual hours worked on federal business and work a minimum of 2,080 hours per year on that business. In order that annuities not be reduced solely due to the lack of a regularly scheduled tour of duty if the reporter is paid a full salary as fixed by the Judicial Conference, the Conference recommended a legislative change to 28 U.S.C. 753(e) to define court reporters as "full-time" employees for annuity purposes if they are paid full-time salaries.

<sup>\*</sup>On October 6, 1988, the Executive Committee agreed that the plan would apply at this time only to estate administrators in the United States bankruptcy courts. At its December, 1988 meeting, the Committee on Judicial Resources will revisit the conditions and situations where the policy should apply, and make appropriate recommendations to the Conference in March, 1989.

### COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE FEDERAL MAGISTRATES SYSTEM

#### SALARIES OF PART-TIME MAGISTRATES

Observing that, effective October 1, 1988, the ceiling on the salary of full-time magistrates is 92 percent of the salary of a district judge, the Judicial Conference approved the following new salary structure for part-time magistrates:

Level .	Amount
1	\$2,264
2	4,117
3	6,176
4	8,234
5	10,293
6	12,351
7	14,410
8	16,468
9	20,585
10	24,702
11	28,819
12	32,936
13	37,053
14	41,170

Magistrate positions in current salary level 2 (\$2,134 per annum) will be placed in new salary level 1 (\$2,264 per annum); magistrate positions in current salary levels 7, 8, 9, 10, and 13 (\$9,722, \$11,858, \$13,992, \$16,127, and \$24,070 per annum, respectively) will be placed in levels 5, 6, 7, 8, and 10 of the new salary structure (\$10,293, \$12,351, \$14,410, \$16,468, and \$24,702 per annum, respectively); magistrate positions in current salary levels 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12 (\$3,201, \$4,269, \$5,335, \$7,588, \$18,380, and \$21,225 per annum, respectively) will receive a two percent cost-of-living adjustment, subject to review of the positions at future meetings of the Magistrates Committee; and the specific recommendations as to magistrate positions in former levels 14, 15, and 16 (\$27,390, \$31,719, and \$36,250 per annum, respectively), as set forth in "Changes in Magistrate Positions", below, were adopted by the Conference as noted below. These salary changes are effective October 1, 1988, subject to the availability of funds.

# CHANGES IN MAGISTRATE POSITIONS

After consideration of the report of the Committee and the recommendations of the Director of the Administrative Office, the district courts, and the judicial councils of the circuits, the Conference approved the following changes in salaries and arrangements for full-time and part-time magistrate positions. Unless otherwise indicated, these changes are to be effective when appropriated funds are available.

### SECOND CIRCUIT

New York, Northern:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Watertown from \$24,070 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

#### THIRD CIRCUIT

Pennsylvania, Eastern:

Authorized two additional full-time magistrate positions to serve the court at Philadelphia.

Pennsylvania, Middle:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at Wilkes-Barre for an additional eight-year term; and
- Continued the part-time magistrate position at Scranton for an additional four-year term, with a realigned salary of \$32,936 per annum.

Pennsylvania, Western:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Johnstown for an additional four-year term with a realigned salary of \$2,264 per annum.

Virgin Islands:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Christiansted from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

93

# FOURTH CIRCUIT

# Maryland:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at Baltimore which is due to expire on October 9, 1989, for an additional eight-year term; and
- Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Upper Marlboro from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

North Carolina, Eastern:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at Raleigh for an additional eight-year term;
- Continued the authority of the clerk of court at Raleigh to perform magistrate duties for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized aggregate compensation of a clerk of a large district court (JSP-16); and
- 3. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Wilmington from \$5,335 to \$7,740 per annum.

South Carolina:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at Columbia which is due to expire on May 15, 1989, for an additional eight-year term; and
- Maintained the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Florence at the current salary level, with a realigned salary of \$28,819 per annum.

Virginia, Eastern:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Richmond for an additional four-year term and increased the salary from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Virginia, Western:

Continued the full-time magistrate positions at Roanoke and Charlottesville, which are due to expire on September 20, 1989, for additional eight-year terms.

West Virginia, Southern:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Beckley/Bluefield from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

# FIFTH CIRCUIT

Louisiana, Western:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Lafayette (or Opelousas) from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Texas, Southern:

- 1. Continued the part-time magistrate position at Galveston for an additional four-year term and increased the salary from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum; and
- 2. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at McAllen (or Brownsville) from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Texas, Western:

- 1. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at San Antonio from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum; and
- Maintained the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Pecos at the current salary level, with a realigned salary of \$32,936 per annum.

95

#### SIXTH CIRCUIT

Kentucky, Eastern:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Covington from \$7,588 to \$12,351 per annum.

Kentucky, Western:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Hopkinsville from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Michigan, Eastern:

Continued the full-time magistrate position at Detroit which is due to expire on May 18, 1989, for an additional eight-year term.

Michigan, Western:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Marquette from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

# SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Illinois, Northern:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Rockford from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Illinois, Southern:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at East St. Louis for an additional eight-year term; and
- 2. Authorized a new part-time magistrate position at East St. Louis at the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Indiana, Northern:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at Hammond for an additional eight-year term;
- Converted the part-time magistrate position at South Bend to full-time status;
- Continued the part-time magistrate position at South Bend for an additional four-year term, or until a full-time magistrate is appointed at that location; and
- 4. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at South Bend from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum, pending conversion of the position to full-time status.

Indiana, Southern:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at Indianapolis which is due to expire on September 30, 1989, for an additional eight-year term; and
- 2. Maintained the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Terre Haute at the current salary level, with a realigned salary of \$5,442 per annum.

# **EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

Arkansas, Eastern:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Jonesboro for an additional four-year term with a realigned salary of \$2,264 per annum.
Arkansas, Western:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Hot Springs from \$13,992 to \$21,650 per annum.

lowa, Southern:

- 1. Converted the part-time magistrate position at Des Moines to full-time status; and
- Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Des Moines from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum, pending conversion of the position to full-time status.

Minnesota:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at St. Paul for an additional four-year term and increased the salary from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Missouri, Eastern:

- 1. Authorized an additional full-time magistrate position to be located at either Cape Girardeau or St. Louis; and
- 2. Discontinued the part-time magistrate position at Cape Girardeau upon the filling of the new full-time magistrate position.

South Dakota:

- Maintained the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Rapid City at the current salary level, with a realigned salary of \$37,053 per annum; and
- Maintained the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Pierre at the current salary level, with a realigned salary of \$28,819 per annum.

98

### NINTH CIRCUIT

### Alaska:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Anchorage from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

### Arizona:

Maintained the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Grand Canyon National Park at the current salary level, with a realigned salary of \$28,819 per annum.

### California, Northern:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at San Francisco which is due to expire on September 6, 1990, for an additional eight-year term;
- Converted the part-time magistrate position at Monterey (or Salinas) to a full-time magistrate position at San Jose or Monterey;
- 3. Continued the part-time magistrate position at Monterey (or Salinas) at the current salary level, with a realigned salary of \$32,936 per annum, for an additional four-year term, or until the appointment of the second full-time magistrate at San Jose; and
- 4. Changed the location of the part-time magistrate position at Monterey (or Salinas) to Monterey (or San Jose).

California, Central:

Continued the full-time magistrate position at Los Angeles which is due to expire on April 22, 1989, for an additional eight-year term.

California, Southern:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at El Centro from \$31,719 to \$37,053 per annum.

Idaho:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Coeur d'Alene (or Moscow) for an additional four-year term with a realigned salary of \$16,468 per annum.

### Montana:

Discontinued the part-time magistrate position at Glasgow upon the expiration of the current term on March 31, 1989.

### Oregon:

- 1. Authorized a new part-time magistrate position at Medford at a salary of \$5,442 per annum; and
- 2. Continued the part-time magistrate position at Bend for an additional four-year term and increased the salary from \$2,134 to \$3,265 per annum.

Washington, Western:

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate position at Seattle which is due to expire on September 30, 1989, for an additional eight-year term;
- 2. Continued the full-time magistrate position at Tacoma for an additional eight-year term;
- 3. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Tacoma (or Mt. Rainier National Park) from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum; and
- 4. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Olympic National Park from \$27,390 to \$32,936 per annum.

### TENTH CIRCUIT

### Colorado:

 Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Grand Junction from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum; and  Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Colorado Springs from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Kansas:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Leavenworth for an additional four-year term with a realigned salary of \$12,351 per annum.

New Mexico:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Las Cruces from \$21,225 to \$28,819 per annum.

Oklahoma, Western:

- 1. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Lawton from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum; and
- 2. Continued the part-time magistrate position at Oklahoma City for an additional four-year term with a realigned salary of \$21,650 per annum.

Utah:

- 1. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Salt Lake City from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum; and
- 2. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Monticello (or Moab) from \$2,134 to \$4,354 per annum.

Wyoming:

Discontinued the part-time magistrate position at Worland upon the expiration of its current term in July, 1989.

### ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

Florida, Northern:

Continued the part-time magistrate position at Panama City for an additional four-year term with a realigned salary of \$12,351 per annum.

Florida, Middle:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Ft. Myers from \$2,134 to \$28,819 per annum.

Georgia, Northern:

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Rome from \$36,250 to the new maximum salary of \$41,170 per annum.

Georgia, Middle:

Maintained the salary of the part-time magistrate position at Columbus at the current salary level, with a realigned salary of \$37,053.

Georgia, Southern:

Continued the full-time magistrate position at Augusta for an additional eight-year term.

#### **COMMITTEE ON PACIFIC TERRITORIES**

The Committee on Pacific Territories reported on its activities in planning the Eighth South Pacific Judicial Conference, to be held on the Island of Kauai, Hawaii, April 30 through May 3, 1989. The tentative Conference theme is "Law and Fundamental Rights: A Pacific Perspective".

### COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

### LOCAL RULES

The Judicial Conference authorized the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure to undertake a study of local rules of the district courts. That study is under way. The Committee noted, however, that there is no uniform numbering system for federal district court local rules. Since there are many advantages of such a system, <u>e.g.</u>, to help the bar in locating rules applicable to a particular subject, and to ease the incorporation of local rules into indexing services and the Westlaw and LEXIS computer services, the Conference approved and urged each district court to adopt a Uniform Numbering System for its local rules, patterned upon the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

### APPELLATE RULES

The Committee submitted to the Conference proposed amendments to Rules 4 ("Appeals as of Right - When Taken"), 26 ("Computation and Extension of Time"), and 27 ("Motions") of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, together with Committee Notes explaining their purpose and intent. The Conference approved the amendments for transmission to the Supreme Court for consideration, with the recommendation that they be approved by the Court and transmitted to the Congress pursuant to law.

### CRIMINAL RULES

The Committee submitted to the Conference proposed amendments to Rules 11 ("Pleas"), 32.1 ("Revocation or Modification of Probation"), and 40 ("Commitment to Another District") of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, to add appropriate references to "terms of supervised release", a new type of sentence created by the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, 18 U.S.C. 3583. The proposed amendments were accompanied by Committee Notes explaining their purpose and intent. The Conference approved the amendments for transmission to the Supreme Court for consideration, with the recommendation that they be approved by the Court and transmitted to the Congress pursuant to law.

#### COMMITTEE ON SPACE AND FACILITIES

#### COURTROOM SIZES

Under the United States Courts Design Guide, approved by the Judicial Conference in March, 1984 (Conf. Rpt., p. 8), the construction of courtrooms larger than specified standards requires Conference approval. In order to accommodate large numbers of multi-litigant and multi-defendant trials in the Southern District of New York, the Conference approved a request from the Judicial Council of the Second Circuit to permit construction of courtrooms larger than the current standards in a new courthouse in lower Manhattan.

### DAY CARE CENTERS

In response to the requests of several courts for funds to join with other federal agencies in sharing the start-up costs and on-going space costs for day care centers, the Conference approved the discretionary participation of courts in the Federal Day Care Center Program, within available funding.

#### RESOLUTION

Noting 50 years of public service by Judge Oren Harris, the Judicial Conference adopted the following resolution:

The Judicial Conference of the United States notes with appreciation, respect and admiration fifty years of public service by

#### THE HONORABLE OREN HARRIS

Senior United States District Judge for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas.

In his five decades of dedicated service to his Country, Judge Harris served as prosecuting attorney for the Thirteenth Judicial District of the State of Arkansas from 1936-1940, and as a member of the United States House of Representatives from the Fourth District of Arkansas from 1941-1966. During his tenure in Congress, he served this Nation with great distinction as Chairman of the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives. Thereafter, he was appointed United States District Judge for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas on October 12, 1965, and entered on duty February 3, 1966. Judge Harris served as Chief Judge for the Western District of Arkansas from February 3, 1967 to December 20, 1973. He served as the district judge representative to the Judicial Conference of the United States from the Eighth Circuit from 1971-1974; he was a member of the Executive Committee from 1972-1974; the Advisory Committee on Civil Rules from 1971-1978; the Budget Committee from 1971-1983; and the Committee on the Judicial Branch from 1979-1987. He elected senior status on February 3, 1976.

Judge Harris has made innumerable contributions to the public good, especially while a member of the United States Congress and on the federal bench. We are proud to acknowledge his long, distinguished career and ask that this Resolution be sent to him as a mark of our respect and esteem.

#### MEMORIAL RESOLUTIONS

Noting the death of Judge Oscar H. Davis, the Conference adopted the following resolution:

The Judicial Conference of the United States with great sadness notes the death of Circuit Judge Oscar H. Davis of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on June 19, 1988.

Judge Davis succumbed to a long series of illnesses over the past several years. He sat with the court regularly until the month before his death, and, even in his many periods of hospitalization and convalescence, continued to write judicial opinions. In addition to carrying a full caseload with the Federal Circuit and keeping his work current, he frequently sat as a visiting judge with the U. S. Courts of Appeals in Washington and New York. He was recently honored by the Federal Circuit Bar Association on the occasion of the fiftieth anniversary of his admission to the bar.

Judge Davis was nominated by President Kennedy for the Court of Claims in 1962, and he

continued in office as a circuit judge when the Federal Circuit was created in 1982. He served in the Solicitor General's office from 1949 until his elevation to the bench, and as Acting Solicitor General in 1953 and 1956. He argued 45 cases in the Supreme Court and was counsel in 111 others.

Judge Davis was a graduate of Harvard College and Columbia University School of Law. He also was in private practice for several years, was an attorney in the Claims Division of the Department of Justice, and served as a captain in the Army Air Corps from 1942-46.

The members of the Judicial Conference of the United States convey their deepest sympathy to Judge Davis' survivors and request that this resolution be sent to them in recognition of our profound respect and abiding esteem

Noting the death of Judge J. Skelly Wright, the Conference adopted the following resolution:

With deep sadness, the United States Judicial Conference notes the death of Judge J. Skelly Wright on August 6, 1988. Judge Wright served on the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana from 1948-1962, and on the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit from 1962 to 1987, serving as its Chief Judge from 1978 to 1981. He was also a member of the Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals from 1981 to 1987, and its Chief Judge from 1982 to 1987.

Judge Wright's career spanned half a century of domestic turbulence and change. A graduate of Loyola University Law School in New Orleans, Louisiana, he practiced law in his home town for fifteen years before becoming United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana. Appointed by President Truman to the United States District Court, he won a national reputation in the early 1960s for his firm implementation of the United States Supreme Court's desegregation decisions, carried out in the face of an unparalleled cam-

paign of personal harassment and abuse. On the Circuit bench in the District of Columbia, he wrote nearly 1,000 opinions, many involving the most fundamental issues of the time, each one reflecting his jewel-bright mind, his forthrightness, his intense preoccupation with individual justice and his boundless humanity. Never in his long judicial career did he hesitate to confront and resolve difficult questions of law or theory when necessary to assure fairness and equal protection of the law. The lowliest citizen, the weak and powerless, were his special constituency. Judge Wright's combination of careful scholarship and courageous determination to make the law a working force in the lives of ordinary people placed him in the ranks of premier jurists of our country. He decided landmark cases involving the legal rights of students consigned to educational "tracks" in urban ghetto schools, of exploited consumers and poor tenants facing eviction, of comatose patients whose relatives would deny them blood transfusions, along with the most complex administrative cases that are the daily fare of the District of Columbia Circuit. He was well known as a fast and proficient opinion writer--he never kept his colleagues or litigants waiting. During all his years on the Court, he wrote and lectured at law schools--his wise humanity a continuous delight and illumination to generations of students, his own opinions gracing the casebooks they studied.

Judge Wright was an inspiring colleague, defying pomposity or arrogance, insisting always on bringing us back to the problem at hand, to the plight of the real people in the case awaiting our verdict. A modest man, he was in Justice Brennan's words, "more embarrassed than happy with praise." Yet, in his work, he exhibited raw courage of a kind that made him a lustrous adornment to the federal bench. He will be sorely missed.

The members of the Judicial Conference convey their sympathies to Judge Wright's widow, Helen Wright, to his son James Skelly Wright, Jr., and to his sisters and brother, and ask that this resolution be sent to them as a mark of our profound respect and affection for Judge Wright. Noting the death of Judge Luther M. Swygert, the Conference adopted the following resolution:

Senior Circuit Judge Luther M. Swygert of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit in Chicago, Illinois was born on February 7, 1905 in Miami County, Indiana, the son of Irvin and Katharine Hoover Swygert. He died March 16, 1988 after serving as a federal judge for 45 years.

He was educated in a one-room country school for the first five grades. Judge Swygert attended the University of Notre Dame and graduated magna cum laude from its law school in 1927. He was admitted to the Indiana Bar and practiced law in Michigan City and Hammond, Indiana for four years. He described those early years as extremely difficult, saying that he was broke and nearly starving most of the time. In 1931, he was appointed deputy prosecuting attorney of Lake County, Indiana.

Soon thereafter, he married Mildred Kercher and they became the parents of two children, Robert, who died at age 13, and Michael, now a distinguished legal scholar, author and professor of law at Stetson University in St. Petersburg, Florida. In 1969, Judge Swygert's first wife died, and he married Mrs. Gertrude Pancoe.

In 1934, Judge Swygert became an Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Indiana, which office he held until 1943 when President Franklin D. Roosevelt nominated him as a United States District Judge for the Northern District of Indiana. He was the first Democrat appointed to the federal bench from Indiana since the Civil War. He served as chief district judge until 1961 when President John F. Kennedy nominated him to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Judge Swygert served as its chief judge from 1970 to 1975. He became senior circuit judge in July 1981, but continued to serve the Seventh Circuit as well as other courts of appeals until he became ill in 1987. Over the years, Judge Swygert served on various committees of the Judicial Conference of the United States, including: the Committee on Uniform Admissions to District Courts and Courts of Appeals, the Committee to Study and Consider the Problem of Venue and Jurisdiction of the District Courts, the Committee on the Revision of the Laws, the Committee on Habeas Corpus and the Subcommittee on Federal Jurisdiction. He was elected to the Judicial Conference as the Seventh Circuit's District Judge Representative in 1961 and also served as Circuit Chief Judge Representative from 1970 to 1975.

Throughout his career, Judge Swygert was known for his strong, ably expressed views in support of individual civil rights. He came from a liberal family and was proud to consider himself a liberal.

He was an enthusiastic and active alumnus of his college and law school, the University of Notre Dame, and served on its law school faculty as an adjunct professor. He also served as a member of its Alumni Board of Directors and on the Board of Visitors of the Valparaiso University School of Law. In addition, he was a teacher for the National Institute for Trial Advocacy and for the Department of Justice. Judge Swygert served as the first Judge-in-Residence at Washington and Lee University School of Law. At that time he lectured, researched and wrote. In 1984, he conducted an innovative seminar entitled "Language and the Law" during the fall term of school at Valparaiso University School of Law. Judge Swygert received doctor of laws degrees from Valparaiso University in 1964, the University of Notre Dame in 1969 and Stetson University School of Law in 1982.

He was active in preserving the Indiana Dunes along the shore of Lake Michigan, which culminated in the establishment of the Indiana Dunes National Seashore Park. He loved nature and enjoyed hiking in the Dunes and other parks.

Judge Swygert was an active innovator in judicial administration. He was the first to provide

written instructions to jurors to help them answer their own questions. Based on his experience in the United States Attorney's office and as a district judge, he championed a rule that required cases reversed for a new trial to be sent to a different trial judge unless the court of appeals or the lawyers representing all the parties wanted it retried by the original judge. Later, at his suggestion, the appellate panels were randomly selected. As circuit chief judge, he was always concerned about collegiality and promoting a spirit of judicial family. This included outings for his colleagues, welcoming parties for new staff, and even playing Santa Claus for the Seventh Circuit family Christmas Party.

Judge Swygert once commented that when he came to the Seventh Circuit in 1961, there were only about three hundred appeals filed a year, whereas during the following twenty-five years, the number increased eightfold. Concerning the problems spawned by such caseloads he said:

I think judges ought to have a lot of time to reflect, to let things develop . . . anything to get the subconscious and the unconscious into operation. There is pressure that defeats that very process, and we are apt to get into a mechanical, routine way of thinking. I deplore it.

Concerning the work of judging, he also said:

I think there is a similarity between the monastic kind of existence and judging. While judges can't be cloistered, there ought to be that kind of devotion and commitment to their jobs.

Concerning the work of the opinion writer, he said:

One thing that happens . . . is that once you start to write an opinion and get into it, sometimes you change your mind. I think the possibility of the writer of the opinion changing his mind is high -- much higher than the other people in agreement. Judge Swygert once remarked that much of the happiness and lifelong satisfaction he had found in the legal profession derived from having encountered within it everyday so many stimulating minds and personalities. But Judge Swygert himself was indeed a superior example of those traits. He was a widely read, broadly educated, and genuinely cultured man. He was also one with abundant humor, compassion, and goodwill. We may well conclude by quoting Chief Judge William J. Bauer's statement when he announced Judge Swygert's death: "The bench has lost a tremendous man and the United States has lost a great jurist."

### PRETERMISSION OF TERMS OF THE COURTS OF APPEALS

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 48, the Conference approved the pretermission of terms of the following United States Courts of Appeals during the calendar year 1989: the Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit at Asheville, North Carolina; the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit at Los Angeles, California; and the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit at Wichita, Kansas and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

### FUNDING

All of the foregoing recommendations which require the expenditure of funds for implementation were approved by the Conference subject to the availability of funds, and subject to whatever priorities the Conference might establish for the use of available resources.

### **RELEASE OF CONFERENCE ACTION**

The Conference authorized the immediate release of matters considered at this session where necessary for legislative or administrative action.

Elingound

October 24, 1988

Chief Justice of the United States Presiding

### INDEX

	Page
Additional Bankruptcy Judgeships	9,57,6ï
Additional Judgeships	85
Administrative Office, U. S. Courts	
Appropriations for FY 1988	10
Committee on; Report of	7,57
Courthouse Management	40
Indemnification of Judicial Officers and Employees	
Sued in their Official Capacities	58
Investigative Services by the Administrative	
Office	57
Judicial Business of the Courts :	4,52
Magistrates:	
Cost-of-Living for Part-time	35
Funding New	10
Notification	6
Report of the Director	4,52
Resolution	8
Salaries and Expenses Appropriation;	
Reassignment of certain costs	11
American Bar Association Resolutions:	
On evaluation of judges	57
On representation in death penalty habeas	
corpus	74
Appeals of Civil Actions.	23
Appellate Rules.	103
Advisory Committee on	23
Appointments and Payments, Criminal Justice Act	14,69
Appropriations (see Budget)	
Arraignments and Habeas Corpus Cases;	
Videoconferencing of	84
Asbestos Information Act	24
Automation	26
Expenditures for	55
Funding priorities	82
In-chambers computers	54
Long Range Plan for U. S. Courts	81
Personal Computer Purchases with	
Personnel Lapses Program	66
Aviation Accidents.	78

Bankruptcy Courts:	
Fee schedules for	29,64
Weighted caseload study	53
Bankruptcy Rules	39
Bankruptcy System:	
Additional Judgeships	9,57,61
Bankruptcy Administrator Regulations	61
Caseload study, Federal Judicial Center	53
Committee on; Report of	8,59
Delineation of Responsibilities for	
Closing Bankruptcy Cases	9
Intercircuit Assignments of Bankruptcy Judges	59
Memorial Resolution.	62
Recall to Service of Retired Bankruptcy Judges	61
Resolution	8
Selection and Appointment of	
Bankruptcy Judges	60
Berne Convention Implementation Act	24
Bicentennial of the Constitution:	
Committee on; Report of	10,63
International Appellate Judges Conference;	•
Partial Funding of	63,80
Boards:	,
Certification:	
Election to	46
Elimination of	34
Federal Judicial Center; Election to	46
Brown, G. William; Memorial Resolution	62
Budget:	
Alternative budget proposals	56,64
Appropriations for Criminal Justice	,
activities; Funding priorities for.	75
Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1988	7,10,54
Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1990	64
Appropriations for the Fiscal Years 1989	-
and 1990	55
Committee on; Report of	10,63
Decentralization	11,66
Implementing Judiciary Salary Plan Modifications	,
in the Fiscal Year 1989	65
Funding New Magistrates.	10
Reassignment of Certain Costs in the	
"Salaries and Expenses" Appropriation	11
Registry Fees	64
······································	

114

a, na sha da barar na barar karar karar

Budget (Cont'd.)	
Supplemental Appropriations for the Fiscal	
Year 1989	63
United States Claims Court	67
Budget Requests - Federal Public Defenders	15,70
Cameras in the courtroom:	
Ad hoc committee on	57
Electronic Monitoring of Courtrooms for	
Security Purposes	68
Cases cited:	
Forrester v. White	58
United States v. Mistretta	53
Washington Public Power Supply System	
Securities Litigation, MDL 551	27
Westfall v. Erwin	58
Central Violations Bureau; Reclassification of	
administrators of	90
Circuit Executives:	
Code of Conduct for	67
Salaries of	55
Civil Appeals	23
Civil Rules:	
Advisory Committee on	24
Amendments to	39
Claims Court:	
Federal Circuit; Jurisdiction of the	78
Improvement Act of 1987	29,56,67
Representation in Conference system	56,67,76
Clerks of Courts: Codes of Conduct for	67
Codes of Conduct:	
For Judges and Judicial Employees.	67
Committee on; Report of	11,67
Community Defender Organizations -	
Grant Requests	18,72
Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1984	14
Computer-Assisted Legal Research	26
Conduct and Disability Act; Proceedings under	40
Court Data; Release and Sale of	83
Court Interpreters Act	33
Court Reporters:	00.00
Additional	33,89
Retirement Annuities for	91

Court Security:	
Committee on; Report of	12,68
Electronic Monitoring of Courtrooms	
for Security Purposes	68
Marshals Service	12
Weapons in Courtrooms	68
Courthouse Management	40
Courtrooms:	
Electronic Monitoring of	68
Sizes of.	104
Weapons in	68
Courts of Appeals; Pretermission of Terms of the	111
Criminal Fines Improvement Act	14
Criminal Justice Act (see also "Defender Services")	
Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates under	75
• •	14,69
Appointments and Payments	14,09
· · · ·	
Corpus Cases; Alternative Hourly	
Grants for Death Penalty Resource Centers	18
Guidelines 17,18	3,19,74,76
Criminal Law and Probation Administration:	
Committee on; Report of	13,68
Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1984	14
Drug Testing for Probationers	69
HIV Guidelines	68
Sentencing Guidelines Report	69
Sentencing Guidelines Training	13
Transfer of Jurisdiction and Supervision of	
Persons on Supervised Release	13
Criminal Rules	39,103
Data; Release and Sale of Court	83
Davis, Oscar H.; Memorial Resolution	105
Day Care Center Program; Federal	104
Death Penalty:	
Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates for	7,15,75
Representation in federal habeas corpus cases:	.,,.
ABA resolution on	74
Resource Centers.	17,55,73
Decentralization; Budget	11,66
Defender Services:	11,00
Advice of Counsel Prior to Pretrial	
Services Interview	18
Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates.	7,15,75
Appointments and Payments	14,69

Defender Services (Cont'd.)	
Budget Requests - Federal Public Defenders	15,70
Committee on; Report of	14,69
Death Penalty Resource Centers	17,55,73
Funding Priorities	75
Grant Requests - Community Defender	
Organizations	72
Guidelines 17,1	8,19,74,76
Resolution	20
Design Guide; U. S. Courts.	104
Diversity:	
Impact on request for additional judgeships	89
In Aviation Accidents	78
In Foreign Product Liability	77
Of Citizenship Jurisdiction	21,76
Drug Testing for Probationers.	69
Elections:	
Board of Certification	46
Board of the Federal Judicial Center	46
Electronic Monitoring of Courtrooms	
For Security Purposes	68
Ethics (see Judicial Ethics)	
Evidence Rules	39
Executive Committee:	
Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates in	
Death Penalty Habeas Corpus Cases	7
Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1988	7,10,54
Appropriations for the Fiscal Years 1989	
and 1990	55
Claims Court; U. S	29,56
Elections	46
Jurisdiction of Committees; Procedures for	
Assembling Agendas	6
Miscellaneous Actions	57
Notification	6
Export Administration Act	79
Federal Circuit:	
Jurisdiction of the	78
Represent Claims Court on Conference	76
Federal Employee Salary Offset Program	89
Federal Judicial Center:	
Board of; Election to	46
Deputy Director; Salary of	55
Report of the Director	53
Videotaping Court Proceedings; Experiment on	83

Federal-State Jurisdiction:	
Appeals of Civil Actions	23
Asbestos Information Act	24
Aviation Accidents	78
Berne Convention Implementation Act.	24
Civil RICO.	23
Claims Court.	76,78
Committee on; Report of	21,76
Diversity of Citizenship Jurisdiction	21,76
Export Administration Act	79
Foreign Product Liability	77
Habeas Corpus	23
Jurisdiction of the Federal Circuit	78
Product Liability	24,77
Removal of Alien Terrorists	79
State Justice Institute	25
Veterans' Claims; Judicial Review of	78
Fees:	
Bankruptcy fee schedule	29,84
Miscellaneous Fees Schedules	84
Registry Fees	64
Release and Sale of Court Data.	83
Study increases in	57
Fiedler, Robert E.; Resolution	8
Financial Disclosure (see Judicial Ethics)	
Firearms in courtrooms	68
Foreign Product Liability	77
Funding of Conference actions	46,111
General Services Administration	40
Guidelines:	
Criminal Justice Act 17,18	3,19,74,76
HIV Guidelines to Probation and Pretrial	
Services Officers	68
Intercircuit Assignments of Bankruptcy Judges	59
Sentencing Guidelines	13,69
Habeas Corpus:	
Alternative Hourly Compensation Rates in Death	
Penalty Cases	7,15,75
Death Penalty Resource Centers	17
Reform Act of 1987	23
Videoconferencing of Arraignments and	84
Harris, Oren; Resolution in appreciation of	104
Hauptly, Denis; Resolution	8
Impeachment; Certificate of Consideration of	41

Indemnification of Judicial Officers and Employees	
Sued in their Official Capacities	58
Integrity and Efficiency; President's Council on	89
Intercircuit Assignments:	
Bankruptcy Judges; Guidelines for	59
Committee on; Report of	25,79
International Appellate Judges Conference of 1990:	
Committee on; Report of	80
Partial Funding of	63
Investigative Services by the Administrative	
Office	57
Judges:	
Bankruptcy:	
Additional	9,57,61
Intercircuit Assignment of	59
Recall to Service of Retired	61
Selection and Appointment of	60
Chambers for Circuit.	31
Computers in chambers	27,54
Evaluation of; ABA resolution on	57
Principal Secretary to Chief	33
Staff of Deceased or Incapacitated;	
Retention of	32
Travel Regulations for Justices and	27,84
Judgeship Vacancies	31,53
Judicial Branch: Committee on; Report of	25,80
Judicial Business of the Courts	4,52
Judicial Conference of the U.S.:	•••
Call of	1,49
Funding of Actions of	46,111
Jurisdiction of Committees	6
Membership on.	56,57
Procedures for Assembling Agendas	6
Release of Action	46,111
Judicial Conference of the South Pacific.	39,102
Judicial Councils; Places of Holding Court	31
Judicial Ethics:	
Committee on; Report of	25,81
Judicial Improvements:	,_
Amendments to the Jury Selection and	
Service Act.	30
Automation	26,81
Claims Court; U.S.	29
Committee on; Report of	26,81
	£0,01

Judicial Improvements (Cont'd.)	
Computer-Assisted Legal Research.	26
Fee Schedules	29,84
Juror Qualification Questionnaire	<b></b> 30
Machine Readable Qualification Questionnaire	30
Places of Holding Court	31,85
Release and Sale of Court Data	83
Travel Regulations for Justices and Judges	27,84
Videoconferencing of Arraignments and	
Habeas Corpus Cases	84
Videotaping Court Proceedings	27,83
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation:	
Report of	5,54
Judicial Resources:	-,- ·
Additional Court Reporters	33,89
Additional Judgeships	85
Board of Certification	34
Classification of Supporting Personnel.	33
Committee on; Report of	31,85
Court Interpreters Act	33
Deferral of Repayment of Student Loans	90
Federal Employee Salary Offset Program	89
Fiscal Year 1990 Budget Requests for	
Supporting Personnel	89
Judgeship Vacancies	31
Judiciary Salary Plan Modifications	65,90
Quality Step Increases	32,65
Retention of Staff Upon the Death or	02,00
Incapacity of a Judge	32
Retirement Annuities for Court Reporters	91
Judiciary Salary Plan.	32
Implementing Modifications in	64,65
Modifications to	90
Jurisdiction:	
Conference Committees.	6
Diversity of Citizenship	21
Juror Qualification Questionnaire	30
Jury Selection and Service Act; Amendments to	30
Law Clerks:	00
Code of Conduct for	67
Deferral of Repayment of Student Loans	90
Service requirements for; Modification of	65
Upgraded salary system for	66
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	

# Legislation:

•

Asbestos Information Act   24     Bail Forfeited; Refund of   14     Berne Convention Implementation Act   24     Board of Certification; Elimination of   34     Civil Actions; Appeals in   23     Civil RICO   23     Claims Court Improvement Act of 1987   29,56     Consumer Product Safety Act;   33     Amendment to the   77     Court Interpreters Act   33     Court Reform and Access to   33     Justice Act of 1987   21     Drug Testing for Probationers   69     Export Administration Act   79     Foreign Product Liability   77     Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987   23     Jury Selection and Service Act;   30     Leave-sharing for judiciary employees   57     Marshals Service Act of 1987   12     Places of Holding Court   31,85     Product Liability   24     Bail ender of the end officers and   59     Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial   78     Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit   33     MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution   20 <th>American Samoa; Decisions</th> <th></th>	American Samoa; Decisions	
Bail Forfeited; Refund of.   14     Berne Convention Implementation Act.   24     Board of Certification; Elimination of   34     Civil Actions; Appeals in   23     Civil RICO.   23     Claims Court Improvement Act of 1987.   29,56     Consumer Product Safety Act;   77     Amendment to the   77     Court Interpreters Act.   33     Court Reform and Access to   33     Justice Act of 1987.   21     Drug Testing for Probationers   69     Export Administration Act.   79     Foreign Product Liability.   77     Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987.   23     Jury Selection and Service Act;   30     Leave-sharing for judiciary employees   57     Marshals Service Act of 1987.   12     Places of Holding Court   31,85     Product Liability   24,77     Tot claims against federal officers and   59     weterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial   78     Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit   33     Magistrates:   20     Computer-Assisted Legal Research for   27 </td <td>of the High Court of</td> <td>38</td>	of the High Court of	38
Berne Convention Implementation Act.24Board of Certification; Elimination of34Civil Actions; Appeals in23Civil RICO23Claims Court Improvement Act of 198729,56Consumer Product Safety Act;77Amendment to the77Court Interpreters Act33Court Reform and Access to33Justice Act of 198721Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act79Foreign Product Liability77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 198723Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 198712Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and24,77Tort claims against federal officers and20Marshals, Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:20Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Cari, Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution43	Asbestos Information Act	24
Berne Convention Implementation Act.24Board of Certification; Elimination of34Civil Actions; Appeals in23Civil RICO23Claims Court Improvement Act of 198729,56Consumer Product Safety Act;77Amendment to the77Court Interpreters Act33Court Reform and Access to33Justice Act of 198721Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act79Foreign Product Liability77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 198723Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 198712Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and24,77Tort claims against federal officers and20Marshals, Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:20Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Cari, Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution43	Bail Forfeited; Refund of	14
Civil Actions; Appeals in23Civil RICO.23Claims Court Improvement Act of 198729,56Consumer Product Safety Act;33Amendment to the77Court Interpreters Act.33Court Reform and Access to31Justice Act of 1987.21Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act.79Foreign Product Liability.77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987.23Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees.57Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and69wenployees59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time32Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution43	Berne Convention Implementation Act	24
Civil Actions; Appeals in23Civil RICO.23Claims Court Improvement Act of 198729,56Consumer Product Safety Act;33Amendment to the77Court Interpreters Act.33Court Reform and Access to31Justice Act of 1987.21Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act.79Foreign Product Liability.77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987.23Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees.57Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and69wenployees59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time32Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution43	Board of Certification; Elimination of	34
Civil RICO.23Claims Court Improvement Act of 1987.29,56Consumer Product Safety Act;77Amendment to the77Court Interpreters Act.33Court Reform and Access to33Justice Act of 1987.21Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act.79Foreign Product Liability.77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987.23Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees.57Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability.24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution.20Magistrates:77Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time.92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution.43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution.43		23
Claims Court Improvement Act of 198729,56Consumer Product Safety Act;77Amendment to the77Court Interpreters Act33Court Reform and Access to31Justice Act of 198721Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act79Foreign Product Liability77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 198723Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 198712Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and employees59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial Review of78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates: Changes in Positions35,93 Committee on; Report ofCost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Cari; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		23
Consumer Product Safety Act;77Amendment to the77Court Interpreters Act33Court Reform and Access to33Justice Act of 1987.21Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act79Foreign Product Liability77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987.23Jury Selection and Service Act;30Amendments to30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and24,77mendmest sin Second deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:24Changes in Positions35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time32Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution43		29,56
Amendment to the77Court Interpreters Act33Court Reform and Access to33Justice Act of 1987.21Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act79Foreign Product Liability77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987.23Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time32Seal of Office33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution43		•
Court Interpreters Act33Court Reform and Access toJustice Act of 1987		77
Court Reform and Access toJustice Act of 1987		33
Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act79Foreign Product Liability77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 198723Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 198712Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Drug Testing for Probationers69Export Administration Act79Foreign Product Liability77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 198723Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 198712Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		21
Export Administration Act79Foreign Product Liability77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 198723Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 198712Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Foreign Product Liability.77Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987.23Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Habeas Corpus Reform Act of 1987.23Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Jury Selection and Service Act;30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees.57Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Amendments to30Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 198712Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution8		
Leave-sharing for judiciary employees57Marshals Service Act of 198712Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		30
Marshals Service Act of 1987.12Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and24,77Tort claims against federal officers and59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Places of Holding Court31,85Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and99Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Product Liability24,77Tort claims against federal officers and employees59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial Review of78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Changes in Positions35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Tort claims against federal officers and employees59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial Review of78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:20Changes in Positions35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		-
employees59Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial Review of78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates: Changes in Positions35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		,
Veterans' Administration Decisions; Judicial Review of	-	59
Review of78Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:20Changes in Positions35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8	Veterans' Administration Decisions: Judicial	
Librarians; Reclassification of deputy circuit33MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution8	•	78
MacBride, Thomas J.; Resolution20Magistrates:35,93Changes in Positions35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Magistrates:35,93Changes in Positions35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Changes in Positions35,93Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Committee on; Report of34,92Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8	-	35.93
Computer-Assisted Legal Research for27Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Part-time34Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		-
Funding of New10Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Salaries of Part-time92Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		10
Seal of Office35Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Secretaries to; Reclassification of33Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Marshals Service, U. S.12,68McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution.43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8	Secretaries to: Reclassification of	
McGowan, Carl; Memorial Resolution.43Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution8		
Mecham, L. Ralph; Resolution		
	Metropolitan Chief Judges; Secretaries to	33

Multidistrict Litigation:	
Judicial Panel on; Report of ,	5,54
Washington Public Power Supply System	
Securities Litigation, MDL 551	27
Nixon, Walter L., Jr	41
Notification	6
Pacific Territories:	
Committee on; Report of	38,102
Personal Computer Purchases with	
Personnel Lapses Program	66,82
Places of Holding Court	31,85
President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency	89
Pretermission of Terms of the Courts of Appeals	111
Pretrial Services:	
Advice of Counsel Prior to Interview	18
HIV Guidelines to Officers	68
Probation Administration (see "Criminal Law and")	
Probation Officers:	
Code of Conduct for	67
HIV Guidelines to	68
Procedures for Assembling Agendas	6
Proceedings under Judicial Conduct and	_
Disability Act.	40
Product Liability	24,77
Quality Step Increases	32
Modification to Judiciary Salary Plan	65
Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act	23
Release of Conference Action	46,111
Retirement Annuities for Court Reporters	91
Rules of Practice and Procedure:	
Amendments to	39
Appellate Rules	103
Advisory Committee on	23
Civil Rules; Advisory Committee on	24
Committee on; Report of	39,103
Criminal Rules	103
Local Rules:	100
Fees for copies of	84
Uniform Numbering System	103
Salaries:	
Circuit Executives.	55
Commission on Executive, Legislative and	00
Judicial.	25,80
Deputy Director, Federal Judicial Center	55

Salaries (Cont'd.)	
Federal Public Defenders	76
Magistrates:	
Part-time	34,92
Salaries and Arrangements for	35,93
Salaries and Expenses Appropriation	11
Salary Offset Program; Federal Employee	89
Save Grade and Pay Plan	91
Seal of Office; Magistrates	35
Secretaries:	
Magistrates'; Reclassification of	33
Principal to chief circuit judge	33,65
Principal to metropolitan chief judge	33
Reclassification of miscellaneous positions	65
Security (see Court Security)	
Sentencing:	
Commission; Guidelines report to	69
Guidelines Training	13,53
Reform Act of 1984	13,14
Sentencing Act of 1987	14
Space and Facilities:	
Committee on; Report of	39,104
Courthouse Management	40
Courtroom Sizes.	104
Day Care Centers	104
Long-Range Planning	39
Staff Attorneys:	
Code of Conduct for	67
Upgraded salary system for	66
State Justice Institute	25
Student Loans; Deferral of Repayment of	90
Supporting Personnel:	
Classification of	33
Fiscal Year 1990 Budget Requests for	89
Quality Step Increase Plan.	32,65
Reclassification of: JSP Modifications	90
Retention of, Upon Death or	
Incapacity of Judge	32
Save Grade and Pay Plan	91
Swygert, Luther M.; Memorial Resolution	108
Terrorists; Removal of Alien	79
Travel Regulations for Justices and Judges	27,84
Trustee Program; Policy Statement on	. 9
Uniform Numbering System; Local Rules	103

Veterans' Administration	78
Veterans' Claims; Judicial Review of	78
Videoconferencing of Arraignments and	
Habeas Corpus Cases	84
Videotaping Court Proceedings	27,83
Weapons in Courtrooms	68
Weinfeld, Edward; Memorial Resolution	44
Wright, J. Skelly; Memorial Resolution	106

# ANNUAL REPORT

1

# OF THE

# DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

### OF THE

### UNITED STATES COURTS

1988

L. Ralph Mecham Director

### TABLE OF CONTENTS ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U. S. COURTS

JUDICIAL BUSINESS	1
U.S. Courts of Appeals	1
Cases Under Submission	6
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	7
U.S. District Courts	7
Civil Workload	7
Criminal Workload	13
Speedy Trial Compliance	18
Trials	18
Cases and Motions Under Advisement	19
Weighted Filings Per Authorized Judgeship	20
Petit Jurors	20
Grand Jurors	24
Three-Judge Court Hearings	25
Passport and Naturalization	25
Other Federal Courts	26
Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals	26
Special Court Established Under the	
Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973	26
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation	27
U. S. Claims Court	28
U. S. Court of International Trade	28
Criminal Justice Act Appointments	29
Bankruptcy Courts	30
U.S. Magistrates	33
Probation	38
Investigative Reports	42
Drug, Alcohol, and Psychiatric Aftercare	43
Pretrial Services	45
JUDICIAL APPROPRIATIONS AND PERSONNEL	48
Fiscal Year 1988 Appropriations and Expenditures	48
Fiscal Year 1989 Appropriation Estimates	
and Allowances	48
Personnel	50
Status of Article III Judgeship Positions	50
Status of Bankruptcy Judge Appointments	50
Status of Magistrate Positions and Appointments	53

# Page

LEGISLATION AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS	56
Legislative Actions	56
Sentencing Related Bills	56
Fine Collection	56
Race to the Courthouse	57
Mandatory Supreme Court Jurisdiction	57
Salary Adjustment Developments	57
Childhood Vaccine	59
Legislative Proposals	59
Bankruptcy Judge and Magistrate Retirement	59
Judicial Branch Improvements Act of 1987	60
Judgeship Bill	61
Federal Court Study Act	61
Voir Dire by Attorneys	62
Diversity of Citizenship Jurisdiction Amendments	62
Judicial Office Building	63
Bankruptcy Judgeships	63
Judicial Review of Veterans' Claims for Benefits	64
Anti-Drug Abuse	64
Rule of Eighty	64
Public Affairs Activities	65
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE ACTIVITIES	66
Mission and Resources	66
Changes to the Administrative Office Organization	66
Office of the Judicial Conference Secretariat	68
Office of Planning and Evaluation	69
Reorganization of the Administrative	
Services Division and Elevation of the	
Facilities Branch to Division Level	69
Court Administration Division	70
Reorganization of ADP Responsibilities	
in the Administrative Office	70
Court Services	71
Automatic Data Processing	71
Courts of Appeals	71
District Courts	72
Bankruptcy Courts	72
Automated Court Financial	<b></b> -
Management System	73
New Central Accounting System	73
Probation/Pretrial Information	
Management Systems	73

# Page

Communications Systems	74
Court Facilities	75
Changing Relationship With GSA	75
Long Range Planning Guidelines	75
Automated Management Information System	75
Review of Judicial Space Standards	75
Experiment to Operate Courthouses	76
Defining Roles and Relationships	
Among the Judicial Family	76
Conversion of the Guide to Judiciary Policies	
and Procedures to Standard (8 1/2" x 11") Size .	76
Office Automation.	77
Procurement Bulletin	77
Program Operations	77
Bankruptcy	77
U.S. Trustee System Transition	77
Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure	78
Bankruptcy Administrator Program	79
Chapter 12	80
Bankruptcy Clerks Manual	80
Bankruptcy Work Measurement Updates	80
Budget Decentralization	81
Central Violations Bureaus	82
Computer-Assisted Legal Research	82
Court Interpreters Program	82
Defender Services	84
Death Penalty Habeas Representation	84
Project to Expedite CJA Payments	85
Training Program on Sentencing Guidelines	85
Federal Defender Administrative	
and Automation Conference	85
Electronic Sound Recording.	86
Equal Employment Opportunity	86
Financial and Management Audits	87
FERS and its Impact	87
Implementation of an Automated System	
for Financial Disclosure and Assumption	
of the Function by the Administrative Office	88
Magistrates	88
Statistical Reporting System	88
Support Services Provided to Magistrates	89

# Page

Assistance to Magistrates Concerning	
Choice of Retirement Systems	89
Probation	90
Sentencing Guidelines Implementation	90
Electronic Monitoring.	91
Supervision Study	91
Work Measurement	92
Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (JSAS)	92
REPORT OF COMPLAINTS AND ACTION TAKEN	
UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTION 372(c)	96
REPORT OF FEES AND EXPENSES AWARDED	
UNDER THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT	100
The Equal Access to Justice Act	100
Amendment to the 1987 Report	100
Summary and Analysis of 1988 Data	102

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Page

Chart

Appeals Commenced and Pending, 1983 through 1988	5
Civil Cases Commenced, 1983 through 1988	11
Types of Civil Cases Commenced, 1988	12
All Criminal Cases Commenced, Terminated,	
and Pending, 1983 Through 1988	16
Criminal Cases Commenced by Offense, 1988	17
Unweighted and Weighted Filings, 1988	21
U.S. District Courts, Petit Juror Service, 1988	23
Bankruptcy Petitions Commenced, 1983 through 1988	32
Duties Performed by U.S. Magistrates, 1988	36
Persons Under Supervision of the Federal Probation System	
by Type of Supervision on June 30, 1988	40
Personnel in the U.S. Judiciary	52
Administrative Office of the United States Courts,	
Organization Chart	67

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

### Table

### Page

# **U.S. Courts of Appeals**

S-1	Appeals Filed by Circuit Involving Administrative	
	Agency Decisions	109
S-2	Appeals Disposed of After Oral Hearing	
	1984 Through 1988	110
S-3	Appeals Terminated on the Merits,	
	1987 and 1988	111
S-4	Total Case Participations In Appeals Terminated	
	on The Merits	112
S-5	Type of Opinion or Order Filed in Appeals	
	Terminated on the Merits	112
S-6	Appeals Pending by Circuit and Length of	
	Time as of June 30, 1988	113
S-7	Appeals Under Submission More Than Three	
	Months on June 30, 1987 and 1988	113

### U.S. District Courts Civil

S-8	Civil Cases Filed by Origin 1979	
	Through 1988	114
S-9	Recovery of Overpayments and Enforcement	
	of Judgment Cases Filed	115
S-10	Social Security Cases Commenced	117
S-11	Product Liability Cases Commenced by	
	Nature of Suit	119
S-12	Civil Cases Pending by Nature of Suit as of	
	June 30, 1984 Through 1988	121
S-13	Civil Cases Pending Three Years or More	
	on June 30, 1987 and 1988	122
S-14	Civil Cases Pending Three Years or More by	
	Basis of Jurisdiction and Nature of Suit	123

Table

# Criminal

S-15	Criminal Defendants Convicted and Not	
0.0	Convicted, 1987 and 1988	125
S-16	Status of Criminal Defendants With Cases	
	Pending	126
S-17	Criminal Defendants Whose Cases Were	·
	Dismissed Pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C.	
	Section 3162(a)	127
S-18	Defendant Terminations Within Speedy Trial	
	· ·	127

# Other

S-19	Trials Completed in District Courts	
	1977 Through 1988	128
S-20	District Judges Reporting On Cases and Motions	
	Held Under Advisement Over 60 Days	128
S-21	Weighted and Unweighted Filings Per Authorized	
	District Judgeship, 1984 Through 1988	129
S-22	Three-Judge District Court Hearings By	
	Nature of Suit, 1983 Through 1988	129
S-23	Passport Applications and Petitions for	
	Naturalization 1970, and 1980 Through 1988	130
S-24	Cumulative Summary of Actions Subjected to	
	TItle 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 Proceedings	130
S-25	Cases Transferred by Order of the Judicial	
	Panel on Multidistrict Litigation	131

# U.S. Magistrates

Matters Handled by Full-Time, Part-Time	
and Combination Magistrates	132
Misdemeanor Defendants Disposed of by	
U.S. Magistrates 1984 Through 1988	133
Districts With the Highest Number of	
Misdemeanors Disposed of by Magistrates	133
	and Combination Magistrates Misdemeanor Defendants Disposed of by U.S. Magistrates 1984 Through 1988 Districts With the Highest Number of

# Table

# Probation

S-29	Probationers Received From Judges by	
	Length of Term and From U.S. Magistrates	134
S-30	Persons Received for Supervision by Offense	134
S-31	Persons Removed From Each Type of	
	Supervision for Violation 1984 Through 1988	135

## TABLE OF CONTENTS **APPENDIX TABLES**

Page

139

140

141

145

149

Table	
	Supreme Court of the United States
A-1	Cases on Docket, Disposed of, and Remaining on Dockets At Conclusion of October Terms, 1983 through 1987
	U.S. Courts of Appeals
В	Appeals Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, by Circuit
B-1	Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, by Circuit
B-1A	Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, by Nature of Suit or Offense
B-2	Petitions for Review on Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court, Commenced, Terminated, and Pending
B-3	Source of Appeals and Original Proceedings

0-0	Source of Appeals and Onginal Troceedings	
	Commenced, by Circuit 1984 Through 1988	150
B-3A	Source of Appeals in Civil and Criminal Cases	153
B-4	Median Time Intervals in Cases	
	Terminated After Hearing or Submission by Circuit	155
B-5	Appeals Terminated on the Merits, by Circuit	158
B-5A	Appeals Terminated by Procedural Judgments,	
	by Circuit	162
B-6	Appeals Filed, Terminated, and Pending, by Circuit	166
B-7	Nature of Suit or Offense of Appeals Arising	
	trom the U.S. District Courts, by Circuit	168

## U.S. District Courts Civil

С	Civil Cases Commenced, Terminated,	
	and Pending, 1987 and 1988	172
C-1	Civil Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending	176
C-2	Civil Cases Commenced, by Basis of	
	Jurisdiction and Nature of Suit	180
## Page

C-2A	Civil Cases Commenced, by Nature of Suit,	184
C-3	1984 through 1988Civil Cases Commenced, by Nature of Suit	104
00	and District	187
C-3A	Civil Cases Pending, by Nature of Suit and District	195
C-3B	Civil Cases Terminated, by Nature of Suit and District.	203
C-4	Civil Cases Terminated, by Nature of Suit and Action	
	Taken	211
C-4A	Civil Cases Terminated, by District and Action Taken	214
C-5	Time Intervals from Filing to Disposition of Civil Cases	
	Terminated, by District and Method of Disposition	216
C-5A	Time Intervals From Filing to Disposition of Civil	
	Cases Terminated, by Nature of Suit and Method	
	of Disposition	220
C-5B	Time Intervals from Filing to Disposition of Civil Cases	
	Terminated, by Nature of Suit and Method	
	of Disposition (For Only: Land Condemnation,	
	Prisoner Petitions, and Deportation Reviews)	222
C-6	Civil Cases Pending, by District and Length of Time	223

## Trials

C-7	Civil and Criminal Trials Completed, by District	225
C-8	Length of Civil and Criminal Trials Completed,	
	by Nature of Suit or Offense.	229
C-9	Civil and Criminal Trials Terminated, Requiring 20	
	or More Days	231
C-10	Time Intervals from Issue to Trial of Civil Cases	235

## Criminal

D	Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending,	
	1987 and 1988	237
D-1	Cases Commenced and Terminated, by District	241
D-1	Defendants Commenced and Terminated, by District	249
D-2	Cases Commenced, by Major Offense, 1984	
	Through 1988	257
D-2	Defendants Commenced, by Major Offense,	
	1984 Through 1988	261

D-3	Cases Commenced (excludes transfers), by Offense and District	265
D-3	Defendants Commenced (excludes transfers), by Offense and District	205
D-4	Defendants Disposed Of, by Type of Disposition	210
	and Offense	281
D-5	Defendants Sentenced After Conviction, by Offense.	285
D-6	Median Time Intervals From Filing to Disposition	
	of Defendants Disposed Of, by District	293
D-7	Disposition of All Defendants Showing Type of	
	Sentence, by District	297
D-8	All Cases Pending, by Major Offense and District	305
D-9	All Triable Criminal Defendants Pending,	
	by Major Offense and District	313
D-10	Status of Defendants in Criminal Cases Pending,	
	by District	321
D-11	Defendants Terminated in Cases Subject to the	
	Speedy Trial Act.	325
D-12	Median Time From Conviction to Sentencing for	
	Defendants Convicted	327

## Federal Probation System

E	Persons Under Supervision, by District,	
	1984 through 1988	329
E-1	Persons Received for and Removed From	
	Supervision	331
E-2	Persons Under Supervision, by District	337
E-3	Persons Under Supervision, by District and Offense	340
E-4	Probationers Received From Judges for Supervision,	
	by District and Length of Term (Excludes	
	Reinstatements and Transfers)	346
E-5	Persons Received for Supervision, by District	
	and Offense (Excludes Reinstatements and	
	Transfers).	349
E-6	Probationers Removed After Completion of Terms	
	Imposed by Judges (Excludes Reinstatements	
	and Transfers)	355
E-7	Persons Removed from Supervision Without Violation	
	and With Violation Showing Violation Type	
	(Excludes Reinstatements, Re-releases, and	
	Deceased)	358

xiii

രുത്തും പാര്യം പ്രവിസം

## **Bankruptcy Courts**

F	Bankruptcy Petitions Commenced,	
	Terminated, and Pending, by District	360
F-2	Business and Nonbusiness Bankruptcy Code	
	Petitions Commenced, by Chapter of the	
	Bankruptcy Code	364
F-8	Adversary Proceedings Commenced, Terminated,	
	and Pending Under the Bankruptcy Code	368

## **Other Federal Courts**

G-1	U.S. Court of International Trade	
	Summary of All Cases Filed and Terminated	370
G-2	U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	
	Appeals Filed, Terminated, and Pending	371
G-3a	Report of the U.S. Claims Court for the Year	
	Ended September 30, 1988	372
G-3b	Report of the U.S. Claims Court for the Year	
	Ended September 30, 1988	373

## **Pretrial Services**

H-1	Cases Activated	374
H-2	PSA Interviews and Type of Bail Report	378
H-3	Release and Detention at the Initial Hearing	382
H-4	Detention Hearings	386
H-5	Conditions Imposed at the Initial Hearing	390
H-6	Defendants Released on Supervision	394
H-7	Detention Summary	398
H-8	Bail Violations Summary	402

## **Grand and Petit Jurors**

J-1	Grand Juror Service	406
J-2	Petit Juror Service on Days Jurors Were	
	Selected for Trial	408

## **Federal Defender Organizations**

K-1	Summary of Representations, by District	412
	U.S. Magistrates	
M-1	Misdemeanor Cases: Defendants Disposed Of, by Nature of Offense (Excludes Petty Offenses)	417
M-1A	Misdemeanor Cases: Defendants Disposed Of, by Nature of Offense (Petty Offenses)	421
M-2	Misdemeanor Cases:	421
M-2A	Defendants Disposed Of, by Type of Disposition Misdemeanor Cases:	425
	Defendants Disposed Of, by Type of Disposition (Petty Offenses)	427
M-3	Matters Disposed Of, Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C.	- <b>T</b> 6 1
	Section 636(A)	430
M-4	Criminal Proceedings and Cases Disposed Of, Pursuant to TItle 28 U.S.C.	
	Sections 636(B) and (C)	434
M-4A	Civil Proceedings and Cases Disposed Of, Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Sections	
	636(B) and (C)	438
M-5	Evidentiary Proceedings Conducted, Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Sections 636(B) and (C)	442
	Other	
P-1	Passport Applications, Petitions for Naturalization,	
	and Aliens Naturalized	446
V-1	U.S. District Courts, Service of Visiting Judges	447
V-2	U.S. Courts of Appeals, Service of Visiting Judges	454
X-1	Weighted and Unweighted Filings Per Authorized	458
X-1A	Judgeship (All Criminal Cases)	400
7 X 17 X	Troightod and Ormolyhtod Fillingo For AddionZou	

X-5	Class Action Civil Cases Commenced, by Basis of Jurisdiction and Nature of Suit	466

Payments Under the Criminal Justice Act for the	
Fiscal Year 1987	471

## ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS 1988

TO: The Chief Justice of the United States, Chairman, and Members of the Judicial Conference of the United States

This report was prepared in accordance with Title 28, United States Code, Section 604(a)(3). It summarizes the business of the Federal courts and the activities of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts for the twelve-month period ended June 30, 1988. This report contains the following subdivisions:

- Judicial Business
- Judicial Appropriations and Personnel
- Legislation and Public Affairs
- Administrative Office Activities
- Report of Complaints and Action Taken Under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c)
- Report of Fees and Expenses Awarded Under the Equal Access to Justice Act of 1980

All references to "year" in this report apply to the twelve-month period ended June 30 of the year specified unless stated otherwise.

## JUDICIAL BUSINESS

## **U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS**

In 1988, appeals filings in the regional U.S. courts of appeals rose 7 percent to 37,524, continuing the rising trend reported throughout this decade. The national total represents 722 new filings per authorized three judge panel, compared to 676 last year. Filings increased in 11 of the 12 courts of appeals with 8 reporting significant increases of 5 percent or more. The District of Columbia reported the largest rise in fillings (up 22 percent) due to a 57 percent jump in administrative agency appeals. Most of this increase occurred in appeals from the Federal Labor Relations Authority (up 296 cases) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (up 175 cases). In contrast, filings in the Second Circuit declined 2 percent due to a drop in U.S. civil appeals (down 104 cases). Summary data for appeals are provided in Tables 1 and 2. Detailed statistics by circuit appear in the B series of Appendix Tables. Additional summary data are provided in Supplemental Tables S-1 through S-7.

	1981 through 1988								
		Comm	enced						
Year	Author- ized Judge- ships	Number	Cases Per Panel	Termi- nated	Pend- ing				
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	132 132 132 132 156 156 156	26,362 27,946 29,630 31,490 33,360 34,292 35,176 37,524	599 635 673 716 642 659 676 722	25,066 27,984 28,660 31,185 31,387 33,774 34,444 35,888	21,548 21,510 22,480 22,785 24,758 25,276 26,008 27,644				
Percent C 1988 ove 1987	•	6.7	6.7	4.2	6.3				

Table 1									
Appeals Commenced, Terminated, and Pending									
1981 through 1988									

**NOTE:** Excludes the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

There were 32,686 appeals filed from district court decisions in 1988, an increase of 6 percent over last year. The total number of appeals from other sources (administrative agencies, bankruptcy appeals, and original proceedings) was also up this year by 11 percent or 460 cases. The most significant growth in filings occurred in appeals of criminal cases, which jumped 14 percent (752 appeals) over last year. Criminal appeals had been rising at a rate of less than 3 percent per year since 1982. One possible factor which may have contributed to this increase in appeals of criminal cases is the implementation of new sentencing guidelines which became effective November 1, 1987. There have been a large number of district court opinions which have held some guideline issues unconstitutional while others have held the guidelines constitutional. Many of these rulings have been appealed. In addition, the statute which established the Sentencing Commission and the authority for the guidelines, also provided for appeals of sentences issued under the guidelines as well as appeals of convictions. While data on the exact number of appeals of sentences are difficult to identify, there is evidence that they are responsible for some portion of the increase in criminal appeals. During the past eight months, approximately 200 appeals were reported as relating to a sentence issued under the guidelines.

Source	1987	1988	Percent Change
Total*	35,176	37,524	6.7
U.S. District Courts			
Criminal	5,260	6,012	14.3
Civil - Total Prisoner Petitions	25,538 8,488	26,674 9,256	4.4 9.0
U.S. Civil	4,490	4,248	-5.4
Private Civil	12,560	13,170	4.9
Other Appeals			
Bankruptcy	1,040	1,153	10.9
Administrative Agency Original Proceedings	2,723 615	3,043 642	11.8 4.4
	515	042	- <b></b> - <b>-</b>

Table 2 Source of Appeals 1987 and 1988

\*Excludes the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Administrative agency appeals rose 12 percent primarily due to the increase in filings reported in the District of Columbia Circuit. (See Supplemental Table S-1.) Appeals from rulings of the district courts on petitions of state and Federal prisoners also grew substantially this year, up 9 percent to a total of 9,256. Nearly 30 percent of this increase occurred in the Third Circuit, with most of that court's growth involving petitions originating in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Appeals from civil cases, other than prisoner petitions, increased 2 percent in 1988, while bankruptcy appeals grew 11 percent.

The number of appeals terminated this year climbed 4 percent to 35,888. Eight of the 12 courts of appeals reported higher terminations this year. As with filings, dispositions of prisoner petitions accounted for a large portion of the rise in terminations. In 1988, there were 9,156 state and Federal prisoner petitions disposed of, which was 21 percent more than last year. In contrast, dispositions of appeals of administrative agency decisions were 19 percent lower this year.

Appeals terminated on the merits increased 4 percent to 19,178, comprising 53 percent of all dispositions. Within the merits category, appeals disposed of after submission without oral argument rose 7 percent from 8,991 terminations in 1987 to 9,580 in 1988. Dispositions in this category have increased every year since 1985 and now account for half of all appeals terminated on the merits. The largest increases in appeals terminated after submission without oral argument were reported in the Third Circuit (up 312 cases) and the Fifth Circuit (up 229 cases). Appeals terminated on the merits after oral argument increased only slightly (less than 1 percent) in 1988 to 9,598 dispositions. The percentage of cases decided after oral argument varied widely among the individual courts ranging from a high of 82 percent in the Second Circuit to a low of 30 percent in the Fifth Circuit. See Supplemental Table S-2, for additional information on appeals disposed of after oral hearing, and S-3 for more data on appeals terminated on the merits.

Supplemental Table S-4 provides data on total case participations in appeals terminated on the merits. Of the 58,714 case participations reported during 1988, 83 percent were recorded by resident active circuit judges; the same percentage as a year ago. The proportion of case participations by resident senior circuit judges and by visiting judges also remained steady at 10 percent and 7 percent, respectively. Approximately half of all opinions or orders filed in cases terminated on the merits are written, unsigned and reasoned. Reasoned opinions expound on the law as applied to the facts of an individual case and explain the rationale upon which final judgment is based. Written



# APPEALS COMMENCED AND PENDING 1983 THROUGH 1988

opinions signed by an individual judge accounted for another 38 percent of all opinions or orders. Supplemental Table S-5 provides a summary, by circuit, of the type of opinion or order filed.

There were 14,012 appeals terminated procedurally during 1988, up 6 percent over last year. Procedural dispositions by judges climbed 8 percent, while procedural dispositions by court staff rose 4 percent. For appeals procedurally terminated by judges, the largest increase was in dispositions for jurisdictional defects (up 302 cases). The largest increase in procedural terminations by court staff was recorded for defaulted cases (up 350 cases). Defaults occurred because the appellant failed to prosecute the appeals in a timely fashion, failed to comply with Federal or local rules, or failed to abide by a court order.

The number of appeals pending nationwide reached 27,644 on June 30, 1988, up 6 percent from last year. All but three of the circuits reported an increase in their pending caseloads. The number of appeals pending one or more years declined more than 10 percent to 4,984, but still accounted for 18 percent of the total pending caseload. Supplemental Table S-6 provides by circuit, the length of time appeals had been pending in the courts of appeals on June 30, 1988.

### Cases Under Submission

Judges of the 13 courts of appeals and the Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals reported 1,028 cases under submission over 90 days for the guarter ended June 30, 1988. This was a decrease of 135 cases or 12 percent from the 1,163 cases reported for the same guarter in 1987. Cases awaiting additional memoranda, briefs, or an opinion in another case are not included in this report. Included are cases in which the proposed opinion is being circulated to panel members for comment, or a concurring or dissenting opinion is being written. The Ninth Circuit had the largest number of cases under submission with 191 cases. Four of the courts of appeals reported an increase in the number of cases held under submission at the end of June 1988, compared to the previous year when six courts of appeals reported increases. Eight courts reported a decrease; and two courts remained constant. The greatest decrease was in the Tenth Circuit which reported 57 fewer cases than in 1987. Data for each circuit are provided in Supplemental Table S-7.

## **U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

The number of appeals filed in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit declined 4 percent this year to 1,296 compared to 1,351 last year. Leading the decrease was a drop of 21 percent in appeals from decisions of the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB). Even with the drop, appeals from the MSPB accounted for more than 40 percent of the appeals filed in the court this year. Filings from all but one other source increased this year, with appeals from district courts up 22 percent to 301. Requests for writs of mandamus and other petitions for review fell from 63 last year to 36 this year.

The number of cases terminated by the court declined 15 percent this year to 1,337. This reduced level of case closings resulted from a decrease of 25 percent in terminations of MSPB appeals. Terminations still exceeded filings, therefore, the number of appeals pending in this court on June 30 dipped to 658 from 699 at the end of last year. Appendix Table G-2 contains detailed data for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

#### **U.S. DISTRICT COURTS**

#### Civil Workload

In 1988, civil filings and civil terminations rose marginally, less than one percent compared to 1987. The number of civil cases filed and terminated declined in 1987, but this year's increases are indicative of a slight but steady turnabout. The civil pending caseload increased at a rate equal to that of last year, which was also less than one percent. Civil filings increased by 449 cases, from 239,185 in 1987 to 239,634 in 1988. There were 417 filings per authorized judgeship. The number of civil cases terminated increased by 752 cases, from 238,001 in 1987 to 238,753 in 1988. The average number of dispositions per authorized judgeship was 415. The civil pending caseload rose from 243,361 to 244,242, or 425 pending cases per authorized judgeship. Table 3 summarizes the civil workload, highlighting two of the most significant categories of civil cases, recovery of overpayments and enforcement of judgments and social security claims, from 1979 to 1988. Those two categories are discussed below. Detailed data on civil cases filed. terminated, and pending are shown in Appendix Tables C through C-6. Additional summary data are provided in Supplemental Tables S-8 through S-14.

	{	 						
Year	Author- ized Judge- ships	Total	Cases Per Judge- ship	Recovery and Enforce- ment Cases	Social Security Cases	All Other Cases	Termi- nated	Pend- ing
1979 1980 1981 1983 1983 1984 1985 1985 1987* 1988	516 515 515 515 575 575	154,666 168,789 180,576 206,193 241,842 261,485 273,670 254,828 239,185 239,634	300 327 350 400 470 508 476 443 416 417	9,254 15,588 18,161 30,048 41,213 46,190 58,160 40,824 24,233 18,676	9,942 9,043 9,780 12,812 20,315 29,985 19,771 14,407 13,338 15,152	135,470 144,158 152,635 163,333 180,314 185,310 195,739 199,597 201,614 205,806	143,323 160,481 177,975 189,473 215,356 243,113 269,848 266,765 238,001 238,753	177,805 186,113 188,714 205,434 231,920 250,292 254,114 242,177 243,361 244,242
Percent Ch 1988 over 1987	ange 0.0	0.2	0.2	-22.9	13.6	2.1	0.3	0.4

Table 3 U.S. District Courts Civil Cases Filed, Terminated, and Pending During the Twelve Month Periods Ended June 30, 1979 through 1988

\*Figures revised slightly from last year's publication.

A breakdown of civil filings by jurisdiction reveals increases in cases involving the U.S. government as the defendant, federal question, diversity of citizenship, and local question (cases filed in the U.S. territorial courts). Only cases involving the U.S. government as a plaintiff decreased, from 42,683 to 37,728 or 12 percent. Those filed against the U.S. increased from 29,396 to 31,348, a rise of 7 percent. In total, suits involving private matters increased from 167,106 to 170,558. Nearly 60 percent of all private cases filed in 1988 cite a federal question in controversy. Federal question suits rose from 99,393 to 101,710. Matters deriving jurisdiction from diversity of citizenship increased from 67,125 to 68,224 cases, up 2 percent over last year. Table 4 is a summary of the number of civil cases filed, by jurisdiction, during each year ended June 30, 1979 through 1988.

#### Table 4 U.S. District Courts Civil Cases Filed By Jurisdiction During the Twelve Month Periods Ended June 30, 1979 through 1988

		U.S. Cases		Private Cases			
				Fad	Diver-		
				Fed-	sity	1	
				eral	of	Local	
		Plain-	Defend-		Citizen-	Juris-	
Year	Total	tiff	ant	tion	ship	diction	
4070	454.000	04.000	04.007	00.004	04.404	4 4 4 4	
1979	154,666	31,003	24,837	63,221	34,491	1,114	
1980	168,789	39,810	23,818	64,928	39,315	918	
1981	180,576	37,598	24,247	72,514	45,444	773	
1982	206,193	48,868	26,905	79,197	50,555	668	
1983	241,842	59,922	35,881	87,935	57,421	683	
1984	261,485	64,814	47,053	92,062	56,856	700	
1985	273,670	79,371	38,117	94,467	61,101	614	
1986	254,828	60,779	31,051	98,747	63,672	579	
1987	239,185	42,683	29,396	99,393	67,125	588	
1988	239,634	37,728	31,348	101,710	68,224	624	
	Percent Change 1988 over						
1987	.2	-11.6	6.6	2.3	1.6	6.1	

Fluctuations in the number of filings for recovery of overpayments of veterans' benefits (VA cases), defaulted student loans, and social security disability insurance claims have determined whether the civil filings caseload will rise or fall from year to year. In 1988, VA cases decreased from 21,029 to 14,187 (33 percent). In contrast, defaulted student loan cases increased from 2,061 to 3,541 (72 percent), and social security disability cases increased from 10.035 to 11.412, or 14 percent. The combined totals of all of the cases filed in these categories comprise 42 percent of all civil cases involving the U.S. government and 12 percent of the entire civil filings caseload. Since 1982, cases involving claims for Social Security benefits and cases involving the recovery of overpayments and enforcement of judgments have accounted for 23 percent of all civil filings. These cases reached a peak level of 77,931 civil filings (28 percent of the total) in 1985 and dropped to 33,828 in 1988. Large declines in these two types of cases were responsible for the decline in total cases filed in 1986 and 1987, just as significant gains in these categories produced large increases in earlier years. Exclusive of these two case types, civil filings have increased steadily throughout

the 1980's. In 1986 and 1987, when total civil filings fell significantly, filings exclusive of social security and recovery cases increased by 2 and 1 percent, respectively. Supplemental Tables S-9 and S-10 provide additional data, by district, on recovery of overpayments and enforcement of judgment filings and Social Security Act filings.

In addition to the rise in defaulted student loan cases and social security disability income claims, there was also a sizable increase in asbestos personal injury product liability cases. They increased by 2,939 filings, from 7,776 to 10,715. Even though asbestos filings increased significantly, almost one-fifth or 1,933 filings were reopened cases, with more than 1,500 reopened in the Northern District of Ohio. Other notable increases occurred in matters withdrawn from bankruptcy courts (up 845 cases to 1,441); prisoner civil rights petitions (up 705 cases to 24,421); matters related to interstate commerce (up 627 cases to 1,694); and labor suits filed under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act or ERISA (up 625 cases to 6,704).

There were significant decreases in filings for several case types. The most outstanding, aside from the drop in VA cases, were personal injury product liability excluding asbestos (from 5,478 to 4,542); negotiable instruments (from 4,265 to 3,585); and marine personal injury (from 3,641 to 3,061). Supplemental Table S-11 highlights detailed data on product liability filings in 1988. Additional information on civil filings by case type, in 1987 and 1988, is provided in Table C-2.

The rise in civil terminations from 238,001 in 1987 to 238,753 in 1988, was highlighted by increases in dispositions for asbestos product liability cases and social security disability insurance cases. Disposition activity in both areas increased from 3,369 to 7,901 cases and 9,414 to 10,673 cases, respectively. The largest decrease in terminations was in VA cases, which fell from 24,678 to 16,051. Though not as great in number, there were also significant decreases in marine contract cases (from 4,727 to 3,749); labor management relations cases (from 3,658 to 3,170); and marine personal injury cases (from 4,255 to 3,818).

The median time from filing to disposition, excluding land condemnation cases, prisoner petitions, and deportation reviews, remained constant at eight months from 1987 to 1988. The lowest median time, three months, was recorded for recovery of overpayments and diversity real property cases. Excluding those cases terminated in the U.S. territorial courts, the longest median time for any single category was 16 months for private antitrust suits.





Civil cases pending as of June 30, 1988 increased from 243,361 to 244,242, less than one-half percent. The largest decreases in the pending caseload were in VA cases (from 7,378 to 5,517); personal injury product liability, excluding asbestos (from 9,769 to 8,994); and marine personal injury (from 4,848 to 4,087). Pending asbestos personal injury product liability cases increased far more than any other type, from 19,467 to 22,358. There were also significant increases in social security disability insurance cases (from 9,476 to 10,217); prisoner civil rights petitions (from 20,965 to 21,639); and habeas corpus petitions (from 8,025 to 8,580). See Supplemental Table S-12 for more information on civil cases pending by nature of suit.

The number of civil cases pending three years or more as of June 30, 1988 was 21,487, or 9 percent higher than last year. Threeyear-old pending cases comprised 9 percent of the overall pending caseload. Almost one-fourth of all three-year-old pending cases were asbestos personal injury product liability suits. Such cases increased 14 percent, from 4,440 to 5,063. Other large categories included prisoner civil rights (1,917); personal injury product liability excluding asbestos (1,908); land condemnation (1,184); employment civil rights (1,004); and securities, commodities, and exchanges (1,003). More data on three-year-old pending cases are provided in Appendix Table C-6 and Supplemental Tables S-13 and S-14.

#### Criminal Workload

Criminal case filings continued to grow in 1988, as they have throughout the 1980's (see Table 5). Total case filings reached 44,585 this year, but the 3 percent increase was the smallest since 1984. The number of criminal filings per authorized judgeship rose to 78. Cases filed this year involved 61,119 defendants, 4 percent more than in 1987. The ratio of defendants per case remained at approximately 1.4. Detailed data by district for criminal cases and defendants can be found in the D Tables of the Appendix.

The overall increase in criminal filings this year resulted from substantial increases in several offense categories. Cases involving drug related offenses overall rose by 16 percent to 10,291. Cases involving non-prescription drugs such as heroin and cocaine rose by 17 percent while those cases related to marihuana violations increased by 13 percent. In 11 districts, filings involving non-prescription drugs comprised more than 25 percent of the courts' criminal filings. Since 1984, drug cases have risen by nearly 84 percent. Filings for immigration offenses were also up substantially this year, by 16 percent, to

		Commenced*			
	Author- ized		Cases Per		
	Judge-		Judge-	Termi-	Pend-
Year	ships	Number	ship	nated	ing
1981	516	31,328	61	30,221	15,866
1982	515	32,682	63	31,889	16,659
1983	515	35,913	70	33,985	18,587
1984	515	36,845	72	35,494	19,938
1985	575	39,500	69	37,139	22,299
1986	575	41,490	72	39,328	24,453 **
1987	575	43,292	75	42,287	25,263 **
1988	575	44,585	78	42,115	27,733
	Percent Change 1988 over				
1987	0.0	3.0	4.0	-0.4	9.8

Table 5 Criminal Cases Filed, Terminated, and Pending 1981 through 1988

\*Includes transfers.

\*\*Revised

1,894. More than 60 percent of these filings were in the border districts of California, Southern; Texas, Southern; Texas, Western; Florida, Southern and in Puerto Rico. Related cases involving passport fraud rose from 144 cases last year to 354 cases this year, while cases of false claims and statements increased 21 percent to 1,672. The bulk of the filings for passport fraud came from Puerto Rico, which accounted for 58 percent of these cases. Sex offenses, which represent a very small portion of the overall criminal caseload, with only 511 filings, rose 43 percent this year. Since 1984, sex offenses prosecuted in the district courts have more than tripled.

There were also several offense categories with substantial declines this year. Forgery cases declined 31 percent to 1,145, continuing the decrease reported last year. There were also 186 fewer embezzlement cases filed this year, down 8 percent to 2,067, which was contrary to last year's 11 percent growth. Cases involving postal fraud, which rose significantly last year, were lower by 21 percent in 1988. See Appendix Tables D, D-1, D-2, and D-3 for additional data on criminal filings in district courts.

Criminal case terminations had increased progressively throughout this decade. In 1988, however, total case closings fell slightly to 42,115. The majority of the case closings this year related to drug laws, drunk driving and traffic, fraud, or larceny and theft. Most (5,084) of the case dispositions for defendants charged with traffic or drunk driving violations were in Georgia, Middle (1,625); Hawaii (1,565); Virginia, Eastern (1,197) and Washington, Western (683). In each of these districts, drunk driving or traffic offense violators accounted for more than 40 percent of the terminations. Most of the terminations in Hawaii were dismissals or transfer of cases from the district court statistical system to the CVB system.

There were 52,791 defendants in the 42,115 cases closed this year. More than 81 percent (42,902) of these defendants were convicted. This conviction rate is almost identical to the rate reported last year. Guilty pleas (36,917) accounted for 86 percent of the convictions and jury verdicts accounted for almost 10 percent. (See Appendix Table D-4.) Imprisonment sentences were imposed in 52 percent of the convictions. The average term for regular prison sentences was 65.9 months. Probation sentences averaged 34 months for the 16,057 defendants who were sentenced to a term of supervision without a prison sentence. Approximately 10 percent of the defendants, mostly drunk driving and traffic violators, were fined. (See Appendix Table D-5.)

The average time from filing to disposition for all defendants whose cases were closed this year was 3.6 months. Jury trials required the greatest length of time with a median of 5.7 months, while dispositions by court trial showed a median of less than 1 month. Appendix Table D-6 provides, by district, median time from filing to disposition of a defendant's case.

Overall, the number of cases pending this year increased nearly 10 percent to 27,733 reflecting increases from 50 to 140 percent in 9 districts. Cases pending increased in 73 district courts yet decreased in 20. The overall increase resulted from the decrease in the number of cases closed this year (less than 1 percent compared with the 7 percent increase last year) coupled with a 3 percent increase in the volume of fillings. There were 41,809 defendants in pending cases, Of this total, 47 percent were reported as triable. Defendants awaiting sentencing increased 26 percent this year and accounted for 15 percent of the defendants pending on June 30. The number of fugitives in cases pending was up 10 percent to 15,079 from 13,761 last year. More than a third of the defendants in cases pending were fugitives. (See Supplemental Table 16.) Appendix Table D-8 provides, by district and major

# ALL CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING

## 1983 THROUGH 1988



## CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED BY OFFENSE 1988

TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES: 43,503



\*Escape from custody, aiding or abetting an escape, failure to appear in court, and bail jumping.

Note: All figures exclude transfers.

offense category, the number of cases pending this year. Appendix Table D-10 provides data on the status of pending defendants in U.S. district courts.

#### Speedy Trial Compliance

This year, only five cases were dismissed pursuant to Speedy Trial sanctions (Title 18 USC Section 3161(a)). In Vermont, one defendant's case was dismissed during the First Interval (from arrest to indictment) with prejudice (W/P). New York, Eastern; New York, Western; Florida, Southern and California, Eastern each had one case dismissed without prejudice (W/O) during the Second Interval (from indictment to trial). The number of defendants whose cases were dismissed under the Speedy Trial sanctions decreased 15 cases or 75 percent this year from 20 cases reported in 1987.

Of the 17,599 defendants to whom Interval I applied, 96 percent were indicted or informations were filed in 30 days or less after arrest. Defendants to whom Interval II applied totaled 48,674 of which 95 percent or 46,323 defendants trial began or plead guilty in 70 days or less. (Supplemental Table 18.) Appendix Table D-11 provides by district, the number of defendants to whom Interval I or Interval II of the Speedy Trial Act applied and the number of defendants whose cases were processed in compliance with those intervals.

There are no statutory time limits (or sanctions) for Interval III, the time from conviction to sentencing. However, the Committee on the Administration of the Criminal Law of the Judicial Conference has recommended a 45 day limit for this interval. This year, of the 42,793 convictions for defendants to whom Speedy Trial applied, nearly half of the defendants were sentenced in 45 days or less. For those whose sentencing time exceeded 45 days, the median sentencing time was 66 days. The overall median for all defendants was 46 days, 4 days more than last year. Included in this sentencing period is time for study and observation, presentence report preparation or apprehension of fugitives. Appendix Table D-12 shows the median time for defendants in compliance with and exceeding the recommended 45 day sentencing period.

#### Trials

For the purposes of this report, a trial is considered to be a contested proceeding before a court or jury where evidence is introduced. During 1988, a total of 19,901 trials were completed by U.S. district judges, virtually unchanged from last year. Civil trials (jury and nonjury) dropped by 626 (5 percent), but criminal trials climbed by 542 trials (8 percent), offsetting the decline in civil trials. Of the 7,365 criminal trials, 4,150 (56 percent) were tried by jury, the largest number of jury trials since 1977. The ratio of jury trials continues to rise slowly and is now 48 percent of all trials. Data on the number of civil and criminal trials completed in the U.S. district courts from 1977 through 1988 are provided in Supplemental Table S-19.

Trials lasting 4 days or more decreased during the year, dropping 4 percent from 5,137 in 1987 to 4,924 in 1988. Trials which lasted 4 to 9 days decreased by 157 and the remaining long trials (those lasting 10 to 19 days, and those 20 days and over) decreased by 38 and 18, respectively. Trials which continued for 20 days or more dropped during the year to 204 trials; more than 52 percent of these were civil trials. The majority of long trials involved drug (23 trials) and fraud offenses (32 trials). A total of 96 out of the 97 longest criminal trials were held before a jury, with New York, Southern again reporting the longest criminal trial, an extortion, racketeering, and threats case which lasted 169 days. The longest civil trial was a nonjury personal injury/product liability case which lasted 185 days.

During 1988, the overall median time interval from issue to trial in civil cases completed in the U.S. district courts remained unchanged for the eighth straight year at 14 months, with the median for nonjury trials increasing to 14 and the median for jury trials declining to 14. Detailed trials data for the U.S. district courts are provided in Appendix Tables C-7 through C-10.

#### **Cases and Motions Under Advisement**

For the quarter ended June 30, 1988, U.S. district court judges reported a total of 2,629 cases and motions under advisement over 60 days. This figure is 3 percent above the number reported for the same quarter in 1987 and does not include matters awaiting additional memoranda or briefs or cases assigned to a special master. For this quarter, 690 judges (including 156 senior judges) reported, an increase of 1 percent over the number of judges reporting for the same quarter last year. Forty-eight percent of the reporting judges had cases and motions under advisement over 60 days for an average of eight per judge.

The circuits with the largest numbers of cases and motions under advisement were the Sixth, the Seventh, and the Eleventh. As shown in Supplemental Table S-20, a total of 438 cases and motions had been held more than one year on June 30, 1988.

#### Weighted Filings Per Authorized Judgeship

While raw filings remained virtually the same at 467 per judgeship in 1988, weighted filings rose slightly (1 percent) and also reached 467 per judgeship. (See Supplemental Table S-21). The individual districts ranged from a low of 214 weighted filings per judgeship in Vermont to a high of 957 in Iowa, Southern. Asbestos personal injury product liability suits accounted for more than half of Iowa, Southern's weighted filings. Nationally, the overall increase in weighted filings occurred because rises in higher weighted cases such as asbestos suits (up 2,939 cases) and drug prosecutions (up 1,421 cases) more than offset declines in low weighted cases such as recovery of overpayments of veterans' benefits (down 6,842 cases).

Appendix Tables X-1 (includes all civil and criminal cases) and X-1A (excludes misdemeanor cases) provide, by district, weighted and unweighted filings per authorized judgeship. For a further discussion of the weighted caseload and its history in the Federal courts, see the **1980** Annual Report of the Director.

#### Petit Jurors

As shown in Table 6, total petit jury trial days declined less than 1 percent in 1988 to 44,224 after several years of increases. Contrary to this decline, the total number of juror days (which includes days serving on trials, selection days, and travel days) climbed 3 percent to 750,679. Just under 50 percent of these juror days were needed for selection of jurors. The remainder were primarily jurors who were continuing service on a previously selected jury. Of those jurors present for jury selection, 30 percent were selected while 36 percent were challenged. Nearly 34 percent of those present for jury selection were not selected or challenged. This was a slight increase over the percentage reported in 1987, but approximately the same as that reported in 1986. The not selected or challenged category includes many jurors summoned to ensure sufficient jurors for anticipated challenges which were, in fact, never exercised. The average number of jurors present for jury selection rose to almost 33 this year compared to 31 and 32 in 1987 and 1986, respectively. Table 6 provides a summary of petit juror activity for the last six years.

#### UNWEIGHTED AND WEIGHTED FILINGS 1988

#### TOTAL UNWEIGHTED FILINGS: 266,952



Note: Excludes territories and all misdemeenor criminal cases and criminal transfers, Percentages may not add to 100.0% due to rounding.

	1983 thro	ough 1988				
Service	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Overall Juror Service						
Jury Trial Days	37,589	39,572	40,289	<b>41,945</b>	44,511	44,224
Percent Criminal	44.0	42.4	42.7	45.9	42.9	44.0
Percent Civil	56.0	57.6	57.3	54.1	57.1	56.0
Juror Days	640,577	666,942	676,140	705,819	732,039	750,679
Percent Selected or Serving	64.6	64.6	65.4	65.9	66.7	65.3
Percent Challenged Percent Not Selected,	16.0	16.5	16.9	16.9	17.3	17.6
Serving or Challenged	19.4	18.9	17.7	17.2	16.0	17.1
Average Jurons Per Day of Trial	17.0	16.9	16.6	16.8	16.4	17.0
Jury Selection Day					<u>_</u>	
Jurors Present for Volr Dire	316,821	328,355	328,360	338,022	344,863	362,304
Percent Selected	30.1	30.1	30.4	30.5	31.1	29.9
Percent Challenged	32.4	33.6	34.8	35.2	36.8	36.4
Percent Not Selected or Challenged	37.4	36.3	34.8	34.3	32.1	33.7
Number of Juries Selected	9,769	10,162	10,257	10,567	11,074	11,078
Average Jurors Present for Jury Selection	32.4	32.3	32.0	32.0	31.1	32.7

#### Table 6 National Petit Juror Service 1983 through 1988

\*Each juror is counted for each day serving, waiting at the courthouse to serve, or in travel.

\*\* Includes jurors in travel status,

Note: Dashes indicate comparable data not available.

Appendix Table J-2 summarizes, by district, jury selection day activity during 1988. Attention continues to focus on the not selected or challenged category. Despite the overall increase in the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged, 41 districts improved (reduced) their percentage in this category. The largest improvement (27 percentage points) was reported in West Virginia, Northern because of the concentrated efforts of the courts' judges. These efforts led to the use of the multiple voir dire method of selecting jurors whenever possible; the calling of smaller panels of jurors for jury selection; and fewer last minute cancellations of jury selections, all of which improved petit juror usage. Four other districts improved their percentage in the not selected or serving category by at least 10 percentage points.

In an effort to further reduce the number of jurors not selected or challenged, many courts have enacted local rules allowing the assessment of juror costs when cases settle after prospective jurors have arrived at court. During 1988, a total of 38 districts assessed juror costs on at least one occasion. A total of \$99,472 covering the costs of 2,004 jurors was returned to the general revenue funds of the U.S. Treasury.

#### **United States District Courts**

# PETIT JUROR SERVICE

Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

Note: Thirty-three people are pictured because the average number of jurors present for jury selection in 1968 was 32.7.



NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED = 33.7%

South Carolina reported the highest percentage of jurors selected (62 percent) due, in large part, to its extensive use of multiple voir dire. This is illustrated by the fact that out of 339 juries selected in this district, only 11 trials (3 percent) began on the same day the jury was selected. In sharp contrast, because of a large number of highly publicized trials and last minute cancellations of jury selections, New York, Southern reported the lowest percentage of jurors selected with 19 percent. Further juror data, including estimated juror expenditures, will appear in the **1988 Grand and Petit Juror Service in the U.S. District Courts** report.

#### Grand Jurors

Grand juror activity declined for the fourth year in 1988, partly because U.S. attorneys continue to make more efficient use of the system. The number of grand juries serving declined to 764, compared to 787 serving last year. As a result, there were decreases of 2 percent in the number of sessions convened, jurors serving and hours in session. The number of cases filed by indictment in district courts dropped by 236 (1 percent), which was the first decrease since 1980. Despite these declines, the number of defendants indicted this year rose 1 percent as 3.58 defendants were indicted per session; this is the highest average since 1978. A 16 percent rise in drug cases, many of which have multiple defendants, appears to have contributed to the overall increase in defendants indicted per session. Table 7 provides a summary of grand jury activity for the last eight years.

		Jurons		Hou	Hours		Proceedings Commenced		
ļ					{			lictment	Indicted
Year	Juries Sessions Serv- Con- Year ing vened	Average Per Total Session	Total	Average Per Session		Delen- dants	Average Per Session		
1001	700								
1981	738	10,997	219,860	20.0	58,278	5.3	17,245	27,367	2.49
1982	739	10,508	210,213	20.0	55,569	5.3	18,462	29,385	2.80
1983	732	11,157	222,980	20.0	58,769	5.3	20,108	31,742	2.85
1984	741	11,804	232,844	19.7	61,425	5.2	20,866	33,781	2.86
1985	762	11,584	228,785	19.8	61,435	5.3	21,670	35,121	3.03
1986	792	11,364	223,866	19.7	60,506	5.3	23,372	37,757	3.32
1987	787	11,011	216,285	19.6	59,335	5.4	24,090	38,322	3.48
1988,	764	10,817	211,610	19.6	58,109	5.4	23,854	38,716	3.58

Table 7 National Grand Juror Statistics 1981 through 1988

Appendix Table J-1 summarizes, by district, grand jury activity during 1988. The overall decrease in grand jury activity was due, in large part, to substantial declines in the number of sessions convened in New York, Southern (down 104 sessions) and Massachusetts (down 90 sessions). Both of these districts reported declines of more than 24 percent in the number of criminal cases filed which explains their large drop in grand jury usage. New York, Southern still recorded the most activity, however, with 929 sessions convened, 17,981 jurors in session, and 3,772 hours in session. Together, the three busiest districts in terms of the number of grand jury sessions (New York, Southern; Florida, Southern; and New York, Eastern) accounted for more than 20 percent of all the grand jury sessions convened during 1988.

#### **Three-Judge Court Hearings**

Title 28 U.S.C. Section 2284 requires that a district court of three judges be designated by the chief judge of the circuit to hear cases challenging the apportionment of congressional districts or statewide legislative bodies and other actions required by statute. Designation of a three-judge district court is often requested for cases involving voting and other civil rights issues. The number of three-judge court hearings has dropped dramatically since legislation was passed in January 1975 and in August 1976 limiting requirements for designation of three-judge In 1975, there were 267 hearings, while there were only 15 courts. hearings by three-judge district courts in 1988. This year's total represents a slight decline from the 20 hearings reported in 1987. As in prior years, the majority of hearings in 1988 involved voting rights issues. Hearings in reapportionment cases rose from one in 1987 to five in 1988. See Supplemental Table S-22 for information on hearings over the last six years.

#### Passport and Naturalization

The number of passport applications accepted by the U.S. district courts has followed a consistent pattern of decline for the past 18 years. The declining numbers are due to the fact that most processing of passports is done in specially designated post offices, regional passport offices and state courts. In 1988, a total of 9 district courts processed 692 passport applications. Compared to the survey of 1987, an additional 4 district courts processed passport applications; however, the total number processed was down slightly (less than 1 percent) from the 696 applications reported in the prior year. The Western District of Michigan processed 523 applications or 76 percent of all passports processed by U.S. district courts in 1988.

During the past year, the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) continued its goal of encouraging and facilitating certification of citizenship. All U.S. district courts are designated as naturalization courts and are empowered to award U.S. citizenship. In 1988, a total of 243,039 petitions were filed for naturalization and 252,742 aliens were naturalized in the U.S. district courts. Compared to 1987, petitions for naturalization rose 11 percent (up 23,346 petitions), while the number of persons naturalized decreased by 8,143 or 3 percent.

Supplemental Table S-23 summarizes passport and naturalization statistics for selected years between 1970 and 1988. Appendix Table P-1 provides this data by district for 1988.

#### **OTHER FEDERAL COURTS**

#### **Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals**

The Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals (TECA) began operating on December 22, 1971. This court litigates all appeals from the U.S. district courts in cases arising under Section 4(e) of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1971, the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975, and the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977.

Although TECA's workload remained light in 1988, filings increased slightly over last year. There were 17 appeals filed during 1988 compared to only 11 appeals in 1987. In contrast, terminations dropped substantially, down 22 cases to 10 appeals. Since filings outnumbered terminations, the pending caseload nearly doubled, rising to 16 appeals on June 30, 1988.

# Special Court Established Under the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973

The Special Court was established by the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 (Rail Act). Under the Rail Act, the court's primary role is to determine the value of properties transferred by seven principal bankrupt railroads and numerous other transferor railroads in the Northeast and Midwest regions. The Rail Act also provides exclusive jurisdiction over certain civil actions enumerated in Section 209(e).

In August 1981, the Northeast Rail Service Act of 1981 (NRSA) was enacted as Subtitle E of Title XI of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981. Section 1152(a) of NRSA provides additional exclusive jurisdiction in the Special Court. A considerable amount of litigation speedily arose and, in May 1982, pursuant to Section 1152(d) of NRSA, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation assigned three additional judges to the Special Court to handle litigation under NRSA. In October 1986, the Conrail Privatization Act was enacted as Subtitle A of Title IV of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986. Subsections 4025(b) and 4033(c)(1)(A) provide additional exclusive jurisdiction in the Special Court. The court is presently divided into two panels of three judges each, with one member of the Court sitting on both panels. The General Panel exercises jurisdiction over the cases arising under NRSA and under the Conrail Privatization Act.

In proceedings arising under its original and exclusive jurisdiction, the Special Court reported 1 case filed and 9 cases terminated during the year, leaving 18 civil actions and 8 miscellaneous matters pending as of June 30, 1988. The one new case filed during 1988 was based on jurisdiction under Section 1152(a) of NRSA. Also, all 18 civil actions pending are NRSA cases.

#### Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation

The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation acted upon 862 civil actions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 during the twelve-month period ended June 30, 1988. The Panel transferred 358 cases originally filed in 62 different district courts to 23 transferee districts for inclusion in coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with 504 actions already pending in the transferee districts. The Panel denied transfer of 44 actions.

Since creation of the Panel in 1968, there have been 16,788 civil actions centralized for pretrial proceedings. As of June 30, 1988, a total of 14,636 actions had been remanded for trial, reassigned within the transferee district or terminated in the transferee court. At the end of this year, there were 2,152 actions pending throughout 35 transferee district courts.

Supplemental tables S-24 and S-25 provide statistics on the number of cases transferred since the Panel was created, and the flow of cases into and out of the districts during the current year and cumulative since 1968. Information on specific cases may be obtained from the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

#### U. S. Claims Court

During the year ended September 30, 1988, filings in the U.S. Claims Court dropped 6 percent (down 52 cases) to 763. Nearly all of the overall drop in filings was due to a 26 percent decline in tax suits (down 51 cases). In contrast, terminations rose 14 percent from 669 in 1987 to 764 this year. Since terminations matched the level of filings, the pending caseload remained virtually the same. A total of 1,910 complaints were pending on September 30, 1988.

The 764 complaints disposed of by the Claims Court represented 28,033 claimants and members of the class. Excluding cases in which no given amounts were claimed, the total amount claimed was \$6.291.214,347. Of the cases terminated, the Claims Court rendered judgments for claimants in the sum of \$93.835.366; of that total. \$20,807,946 carried interest. A number of these judgments were based on settlements between the parties. Also, the Claims Court rendered judaments for the United States on counterclaims or offsets in the amount of \$2,157,429. Under its non-monetary jurisdiction, the court disposed of 2 tax exempt and 14 contract cases seeking injunctive or declaratory relief. Each January, pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Section 791(c), the Clerk of the Claims Court transmits to the Congress a report on the business of the Court showing the names of the claimants, the nature of the claims, and the disposition thereof. Appendix Tables G-3a and G-3b provide summary data on the workload in the United States Claims Court for the year ended September 30, 1988.

#### U.S. Court of International Trade

During the year ended September 30, 1988, filings in the U.S. Court of International Trade declined nearly 26 percent (down 387 cases) to 1,118. Terminations dropped at a faster rate (down 70 percent) falling from 9,858 in 1987 to 2,931 in 1988. The substantial decline in terminations was concentrated in reappraisement actions which involve the value of imported goods. Reappraisement terminations dropped 89 percent from 7,996 in 1987 to 860 this year. Another 63 terminations involved protest actions which concern the classification of imported goods. All the terminated reappraisements and protests were filed prior to the Customs Court Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-417, 94 STAT. 1727) which replaced the U.S. Customs Court with the U.S. Court of International Trade. Since the passage of that Act, all filings (including what were formerly called reappraisements and protests) have been classified as "civil actions." The court terminated 2,008 civil actions (up 262 cases) during 1988. As of September 30, 1988, there were no reappraisements or protests still pending on the court's docket. Overall, the pending caseload dropped 24 percent to 5,735. Appendix Table G-1 summarizes the workload in the Court of International Trade.

#### **CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT APPOINTMENTS**

During 1988, there were approximately 66,560 appointments of counsel under the Criminal Justice Act. This represents an increase of 5 percent over the 63,687 appointments in 1987. Federal defenders were appointed in 36,264 of these cases (54 percent), which was a rise of 5 percent over the 34,502 appointments reported last year. Appointments of private attorneys rose 4 percent this year to 30,296. Table 8 provides a summary of representations by Federal Defender Organizations for the last five years.

Representations	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	Percent Change 1988/1987
Offices in Operation	40	41	40	40	40	-
Total Representations						
Cases Opened Cases Closed Pending on June 30	29,077 28,398 7,940	29,585 29,147 8,378	31,744 31,191 8,931	34,502 33,676 9,757	36,264 34,769 11,252	5.1 3.2 15.3
Criminal Representations						
Cases Opened Cases Closed Pending on June 30	19,113 18,630 4,759	19,307 18,978 5,088	20,975 20,749 5,314	22,242 21,787 5,769	23,354 22,188 6,935	5.0 1.8 20.2
Other Representations*						
Cases Opened Cases Closed Pending on June 30	9,964 9,768 3,181	10,278 10,169 3,290	10,769 10,442 3,617	12,260 11,889 3,988	12,910 12,581 4,317	5.3 5.8 8.2

Table 8 Representations by Federal Defender Organizations 1984 through 1988

\*Includes appeals, probation/parole revocation hearings, and motions to correct or reduce sentence.

The overall rise in representations by Federal defender organizations resulted from 5 percent increases in both criminal representations and in assignments for representations of appeals, probation/parole revocations, and motions to correct or reduce sentence. California, Eastern reported the largest increase in case openings with 360 more cases than last year. California, Southern's 7,396 openings still represent one-fifth of all Federal defender openings nationwide. While there was a rise of 6 percent in terminations of "other" representations, the increase in criminal case closings was only 2 percent with California, Southern again reporting the largest number of closings (7,113). As a result, total cases pending in Federal defender organizations rose 15 percent to 11,252 on June 30, 1988. Pending criminal representations alone climbed 20 percent to 6,935 and accounted for 62 percent of all cases pending.

### **BANKRUPTCY COURTS**

In 1988, petitions filed under the Bankruptcy Code rose 6 percent to 594,567. The increase this year was less dramatic than two years ago, when new cases jumped 31 percent, or last year when they rose 17 percent. Non-business cases continued to rise in 1988, but by only 10 percent compared to 19 percent last year. These cases have climbed 87 percent since 1984. In contrast, there was a significant drop in business bankruptcy petitions which fell 17 percent in 1988. This was the first decline in business bankruptcies since 1984. Tables 9 and 10 summarize bankruptcy filings for the past several years. District and chapter data are found in Appendix Tables F, F-2, and F-8.

The chief source of this year's increase in filings was Chapter 7 personal liquidations which were up 10 percent over last year. These non-business Chapter 7 cases accounted for 64 percent of all new bankruptcy filings. There were also 10 percent more Chapter 13 non-business cases filed in 1988. Business liquidations under Chapter 7 declined 18 percent, while Chapter 11 business reorganizations were lower by 15 percent this year. There were 3,099 business filings under Chapter 12, which provides debt adjustment relief to family farmers. This new Chapter of the Bankruptcy Code was in effect for only seven months last year; during that time there were 4,448 filings.

A recent increase in the number of bankruptcy judges contributed to a large increase in the number of bankruptcy petitions terminated this year. The 587,574 cases closed was 22 percent above last year's total and nearly 230,000 more than were terminated in 1986. Even with this increase, however, the number of terminations remained about 7,000 below the number of filings. This resulted in an increase of 1 percent in the number of bankruptcy petitions pending on June 30, 1988.

Table 9 Bankruptcy Petitions Filed, Terminated and Pending 1983 through 1988

		Filings			
Year	Total	Non- Business	Busi- ness	Termi- nations	Pending
1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988		304,916 281,755 297,885 401,575 478,988* 526,066	69,818 62,520 66,651 76,281 82,290* 68,501	298,715 304,014 333,158 358,224 481,351 587,574	537,306 577,567 608,945 728,577 808,504 815,497
Percent 1988 ov 1987	er	9.8	-16.8	22.1	0.9

\*Revised

Table 10									
Filings, by Chapter of the Bankruptcy Code									
1983 through 1988									

		Chapter						
Year	Total	7	11	12*	13	Other		
1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	374,734 344,275 364,536 477,856 561,278 594,567	251,319 232,778 244,647 332,675 397,924 ** 423,789	21,206 20,023 21,420 24,442 22,564 18,889	- - 4,448** 3,099	102,201 91,460 98,452 120,726 136,300 148,771	8 13 17 13 42 19		

\* Chapter 12, which deals with family farmer debt adjustment, became effective November 26, 1986.

\*\* Revised


### BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS COMMENCED 1983 THROUGH 1988

#### **U.S. MAGISTRATES**

U.S. magistrates disposed of 471,056 cases and other matters in 1988, an increase of one percent over last year. Compared to 1987, two of the four general areas where magistrates provide significant services to the U.S. district courts showed slightly increased activity ("Preliminary Proceedings" and "Additional Duties"). One area showed a marked increase in activity ("Civil Consent Cases"). In contrast, one of the areas showed a slight decrease in activity ("Trial Jurisdiction Cases"). Summary data on the workload of the magistrates for 1977 and 1983 through 1988 are provided in Table 11. Supplemental Table S-26 provides data on the number of matters disposed of by full-time and part-time magistrates. Additional data on the workload of magistrates by district are provided in Appendix Tables M-1 through M-5.

During 1988, magistrates disposed of 89,996 misdemeanor cases, a 6.2 percent decrease from the previous year. Those cases resulted in 61,121 convictions and 28,875 dismissals or acquittals. A total of 12,838 misdemeanor trials were completed, including 275 jury trials. The misdemeanor caseload included 76,578 petty offense cases and 13,418 misdemeanor cases above the level of a petty offense.

Supplemental Table S-27 shows the number of misdemeanor cases disposed of by U.S. magistrates from 1984 through 1988. Supplemental Table S-28 indicates the ten districts with the highest numbers of misdemeanor cases disposed of by magistrates during 1988.

The number of preliminary proceedings conducted by U.S. magistrates in felony cases during the year increased by 6.9 percent, from 134,091 last year to 143,352 this year. Arrest warrant applications considered by magistrates increased from 12,926 in 1987 to 14,032 in 1988; the number of requests for summonses increased from 2,057 to 2,376; and search warrant applications rose from 11,744 to 14,246, an increase of 21.3 percent over the previous year.

The number of initial appearances before magistrates in felony cases increased from 45,571 last year to 47,956 for 1988. This number includes 43,159 appearances under rules 5, 9, and 40 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, 2,349 material witness appearances and 2,448 probationer appearances. Magistrates reported 6,665 applications for review of bail determinations during the year, a 6.7 percent decrease from the 7,140 applications reported last year. Magistrates also conducted 11,935 detention hearings during the year, an increase of 22.9 percent from the 9,708 hearings reported last year.

		1977 ar	id 1983 throu	<i>i</i> gh 1988				
Activity	1977	1983	1964	1985	1986	1987	1966	Percent Change 1987/1988
Trial Jurisdiction Cases	103,061	93,513	84,475	90,757	92,269	95,988	89,9961	-6.2
Wednesday Other Theory Dates offered			44.070		40.000	40.000	40.440	
Misdemeanors Other Than Petty Offences Petty Offences	17,181 85,880	14,504 79,009	11,276 73,199	13,779 76,978	12,298 79,971	12,896 83,092	13,418 76,578	4.0
				•				
Preliminary Proceedings	105,677	102,450	109,337	120,143	131,070	134,091	143,352	6.9
Search Warrants	5,203	6,555	7,372	9,776	11,202	11,744	14,246	21.3
Arrest Warrants/Summonses	20,467	12,010	12,401	13,178	14,384	14,983	16,408	9.5
Inkial Appearances	44,210	40,108	40,209	44,379	45,385	45,571	47,956	5.2
Detention Hearings	••	••	••	4,167	9 444	9,708	11,935	22.9
Bail Reviews	7,975	8,408	8,579	8,051	6,876	7,140	6,665	-6.7
Preliminary Examinations	5,502	4,681	4,854	4,922	5,588	6,104	6.805	11.5
Grand Jury Relums	521	3,179	3,466	3.816	4,188	4,110	4,259	3.6
Arraignments	21,799	22,995	23.646	24.826	27,734	28,827	29,569	2.6
Other	••	4,514	8,810	7,028	6,269	5,904	5,509	-6.7
Additional Duties	74,676	165,506 *	179.807 *	205.692 *	226.575	231,029	231,834	03
Criminal ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	17,986	29,252	29,957	32,670	40,311 *	41,515	38,884	-6.3
Motions (A)	7,301	18,991	20,637	22,668	26,687	28,379	26,031	-8.3
Motions (B)	••	2,339	2,182	2,098	2,731	2,871	2,678	-6 7
(Evidentiary Hearings)		916	997	1,146	1,337	1,452	(1,355)	-6.7
Pretnal Conferences	4,787	3,529	2,900	2,837	3,017	3,622	3,462	-4 4
Calendar Calls	••	700	546	1,142	1,727	1,666	1,679	0.8
Other	5,898	2,777	2,695	2,779	4,812	3,525	3,679	4 4
Civil	48,175	118,574 *	132,784	154,085	162,389 *	162,512	167,485	-3.1
Pratrial Conterences	22,787	29.695	33.207	36.695	40.419	45,167	48,359	7.1
Motions (A)	17.687	65,742	72,424	84,529	92.047	94.722	95,953	13
Motions (B)	17,007	7.071	6,401	6,968	7.623	7,777	7,655	-1.6
(Evidentiary Hearings)		863	1.091	1,298	1,023	1,532	1,784	16.4
Social Security	3,449	6,588						8.1
Special Masterships	546	545	10,534 599	14,101 684	11,646	6,714 1,509	7,258	-19.6
Calendar Calis	240	912			1,079			05
Other	3.706	7.158	967 7.561	1,289 8,521	2,174 6.163	2,173 2,918	2,184	5.6
					-,			
Prisoner Litigation	8,515	14,817	18,157	20,235	23,875	27,002	25,464	-5.7
(Evidentiary Hearings)	••	(1,099)	(1,249)	(1,132)	(1,127)	(1,201)	(1,263)	5.2
State Habeas	4,208	5,632	5,450	6,172	6,820	7,184	7,103	-1.1
Federal Habeas	1,529	2,350	2,345	2,290	2,499	2,589	2,542	-1.8
Civil Rights	2,778	10,561	10,362	11,773	14,556	17.229	15,819	-8 2
Civil Consent Cases	**	3,127	3,546	3,717	4,960	4,970	5,903	18.8
Without Trial		2.237	2.697	2.924	3.976	4.008	4.914	22.6
Jury Trial	3252	307	2,697	308	3,976	459	550	19.8
Non-Jury Trial	325-	583	544	485	612	503	439	-12.7
the start of the s		363	044	-65-3	012	503		- 14

## Table 11 Matters Disposed of by U.S. Magistrates 1977 and 1983 through 1988

..

nan manan manan manan manan karan karan dari dari dari karan karan karan karan karan karan karan karan karan ka

Revised. Not separately reported.

1 Workload statistics represent a reporting rate of 95%. This figure includes vacant position months.

2 Includes both jury and non-jury trials.

Preliminary examinations conducted by magistrates increased from 6,104 in 1987 to 6,805 during 1988. This total includes 4,549 preliminary hearings held pursuant to rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, preliminary hearings for 914 probationers under rule 32.1, and 1,342 preliminary hearings pursuant to rule 40.

During the year, magistrates conducted 29,569 arraignments under rule 10 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, a 2.6 percent increase from the 28,827 arraignments conducted last year. Magistrates accepted returns following 4,259 separate grand jury sessions.

Magistrates also reported a total of 5,509 other duties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(a), including 2,698 judgment debtor hearings, 837 applications for administrative inspection warrants, 825 requests for orders of entry, and 212 disposition proceedings.

In 89 of the 92 districts covered by the Federal Magistrates Act, magistrates reported 38,884 "additional duties" in criminal cases pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(b). This represents a decrease of 6.3 percent from the 41,515 such duties completed last year. Magistrates reviewed 28,709 contested pretrial motions in 80 districts, including 20.680 non-case-dispositive motions decided by written orders, 5.351 non-case-dispositive motions decided by full written opinions, and 2,678 case-dispositive motions in which they submitted proposed findings and recommendations pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(b)(1)(B). Magistrates in 59 districts conducted a total of 1,355 evidentiary hearings, and magistrates in 45 districts conducted a total of 3.462 criminal pretrial conferences or omnibus hearings during the year. Magistrates also completed 5,358 other duties in criminal cases under authority of 28 U.S.C. Section 636(b). These included final probation revocation hearings, calendar calls, voir dire of prospective jurors for felony trials, and the issuance of writs.

During 1988, magistrates in all 92 districts covered by the Federal Magistrates Act reported "additional duties" in non-prisoner civil cases pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(b) and completed 167,486 such matters during the year. This represents a 3.1 percent increase over the 162,512 matters handled last year. Magistrates in 91 districts reviewed 103,608 contested pretrial motions in civil cases including 83,735 non-case-dispositive motions decided by written orders, 12,218 non-case-dispositive motions decided by full written opinions, and 7,655 case-dispositive motions in which they submitted proposed findings and recommendations to the court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636 (b) (1)(B). Magistrates in 72 districts conducted 1,784 evidentiary hearings



in non-prisoner cases during the year, an increase of 16.4 percent from the 1,532 handled last year. Magistrates in 88 districts conducted 48,359 pretrial conferences in civil cases assigned to district judges, including 16,665 initial pretrials, 12,989 discovery conferences, 11,428 conferences, and 7,277 final pretrial conferences. settlement Magistrates in 46 districts completed 1,213 special master references during the year, a 19.6 percent decrease from the 1,509 references handled in 1987. The special master references included 254 E.E.O.C. (Title VII) cases, 57 cases referred to determine damages, and 172 references to determine attorney's fees. Magistrates in 79 districts handled 7,258 Social Security appeals, an increase of 8.1 percent from the 6,714 handled last year. In addition, magistrates handled 2,184 civil calendar calls. Magistrates also handled 3,080 other civil matters which included 375 I.R.S. enforcement proceedings, selected 511 civil juries for district judges, presided over 613 naturalization proceedings and completed 1.581 other civil matters assigned to them by district judges.

During 1988, magistrates in 83 districts submitted reports and recommendations in 25,464 prisoner cases, a 5.7 percent decrease from the 27,002 reports submitted last year. This year's total included 9,385 reports filed after an initial review of the petition, 14,816 following a full review, and 1,263 following a full review and evidentiary hearing by the magistrate. The prisoner caseload handled by magistrates during the year included 2,542 Federal habeas petitions, 7,103 state habeas petitions, and 15,819 civil rights cases.

During 1988, magistrates in 76 districts disposed of 5,903 civil cases with the consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c). This represents an 18.8 percent increase over the 4,970 cases disposed of by magistrates last year. The 5,903 consent cases included 550 jury trials, 439 non-jury trials, and 4,914 cases terminated without a trial.

#### PROBATION

During 1988, the number of persons received for supervision (excluding transfers) in the Federal Probation System declined for the first time in over six years. The 37,974 persons received this year was 1 percent below the 38,486 reported in 1987 (see Table 12). The number of persons removed from supervision (excluding transfers) continued to increase, up 4 percent, to 35,992, but remained below the number received. As a result, the number of persons under supervision on June 30, 1988 rose 3 percent to 75,414. Detailed data concerning the probation workload is provided in Appendix Tables E through E-7. Summary data is provided in Tables 12 and 13, and further detail is provided in Supplemental Tables S-29 through S-31.

#### Table 12 Persons Under Supervision of the Federal Probation System 1981 through 1988

		Received	1	Rer	noved	Persons
Year	Total	Total Less Trans- fers	Total Less PTD* Cases	Total	Total Less Trans- fers	Under Super- vision on June 30
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	36,723 38,773 41,019 42,456 42,812 45,788 46,698 46,258	29,575 31,531 33,784 34,582 35,199 37,583 38,486 37,974	27,561 29,518 31,614 32,407 32,930 35,506 37,010 37,974	43,550 39,678 39,177 38,811 39,862 42,131 42,916 44,276	36,550 32,439 32,151 31,134 32,249 33,926 34,704 35,992	59,016 58,373 60,180 63,092 65,999 69,656 73,432 75,414
Percent Cl 1988 over 1987	-	-1.3	2.6	3.2	3.7	2.7

\*Pretrial Diversion

The decrease in persons received for supervision this year was due to a policy change related to the collection of data on pretrial diversion cases. In May 1987, the collection of data on pretrial diversion cases was transferred from the probation reporting system to the pretrial services reporting system. Pretrial diversion cases have accounted for approximately 2,000 new probation cases each year in this decade through 1986. As a result of the reporting change, the number of pretrial diversion cases received by probation offices dropped to zero in 1988. Exclusive of pretrial diversion cases, the combined total of all other types of cases was up 3 percent. Persons received, exclusive of pretrial diversion cases, lose in every type of supervision except probation imposed by judges, which declined 6 percent this year to 15,799 new cases (see Table 13). The number of probationers received on terms imposed by U.S. magistrates rose slightly by 1 percent to 8,180. Persons received for supervision upon release from institutions (parole, mandatory release, military and special parole) continued to rise significantly. The total for 1988 reached 13,995, an increase of 1,800 (15 percent) over 1987. Mandatory releasees received was up by 22 percent this year to 4,148. Persons received on military parole terms rose 17 percent to 482, while the number on special parole terms increased 14 percent to 2,435. Parolees received for supervision increased 11 percent this year to 6,930. The four types of release supervision were responsible for 37 percent of all persons received this year, up from 32 percent last year.

	Received		Under			
Type of Supervision	1987 Num- ber	1988 Num- ber	Per- cent Change	1987 Num- ber	1988 Num- ber	Per- cent Change
Total	38,486	37,974	-1.3	73,432	75,414	2.7
Judge Probation	16,726	15,799	-5.5	42,386	43,489	2.6
Magistrate Probation	8,089	8,190	1.1	11,213	11,395	1.6
Pretrial Diversion	1,476	-	-	1,425	194	-86.4
Parole	6,250	6,930	10.9	11,679	12,484	6.9
Mandatory Release	3,399	4,148	22.0	2,040	2,460	20.6
Military Parole	412	482	17.0	547	655	19.7
Special Parole	2,134	2,435	14.1	4,142	4,737	14.4

Table 13 Persons Under Supervision of Federal Probation System by Type of Supervision 1987 and 1988

## PERSONS UNDER SUPERVISION OF THE FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM BY TYPE OF SUPERVISION ON JUNE 30, 1988

TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONS UNDER SUPERVISION: 75,414



As a result of the increase in institution releasees received, the number of persons under supervision at the end of the year reached 75,414, a 3 percent increase over the 73,432 reported last year. This upward trend occurred even with an increase of 4 percent in the total removed from supervision. The number of persons under supervision for each category increased this year except pretrial diversion. The largest increase occurred in the number of mandatory releasees, which rose by 420 (21 percent) to 2,460. The number of military parolees rose by 108 (20 percent) to 655. There were also 595 (14 percent) more persons serving special parole terms under supervision when the year ended. The number of parolees increased this year with 805 (7 percent) more persons under supervision. Probationers under supervision from U.S. magistrates rose by a small margin of 2 percent to 11,395.

The decrease in persons received for supervision imposed by judges was reflected in each length of probation term classification. (See Supplemental Table S-29). The largest decrease, 13 percent was reported in the number of probationers who received terms of 1 to 12 months. The number received with supervision terms of 13 to 24 months fell more than 2 percent and continued the reduction that began in 1985.

Supplemental Table S-30 shows that drug offenders composed more than 31 percent of all persons received for supervision this year. The 11,488 drug offenders received was 9 percent above the 10,522 received last year. Within this group, narcotic offenders accounted for 6,281 or 17 percent of all persons received this year. The number of persons received for fraud offenses accounted for 17 percent of all persons received this year.

Appendix Table E-7 provides data on the number of persons removed from each type of supervision with and without violations during the twelve month period ended June 30, 1988. The number of persons removed from supervision this year without violation rose to 24,805 from 24,213 last year. This increase was due mainly to the increase in the number of persons that successfully completed their full term of supervision. The number of persons removed to inactive status or whose terms were revoked following violation rose to 7,282 this year or 23 percent of all persons removed from supervision. This violation rate was just slightly above the 22 percent reported last year. Each type of supervision increased in the number of persons removed for violations (excluding pretrial diversion). The rate of Federal parole violations

climbed to 39 percent and the rate for special parole terms climbed to 36 percent. (See Supplemental Table S-31).

#### Investigative Reports

Probation offices prepared 145,439 reports during the year, a 2 percent increase over the 142,356 reports prepared during the year ended June 30, 1987. (See Table 14.) All types of investigations increased (ranging from 1 to 16 percent increases) except presentence investigations, collateral reports and postsentence investigations. Pre-release investigations for Federal or military institutions were up nearly 16 percent while parole revocation reports rose almost 14 percent. Presentence investigations decreased 2 percent to 36,737 (down 563 reports), collateral reports decreased by 5 percent or 1,490, and postsentence reports for institutions were down 3 percent. These reductions appear to be linked to delays in case processing experienced during the transition to guideline sentencing.

Type of Investigation	1987	1988	Percent Change
Total	142,356	145,439	2.2
Presentence Collateral for Another District Postsentence for Institution Pretransfer (Probation and Parole) Alleged Violation (Probation and Parole) Pre-release for a Federal or Military Institution Special Regarding a Prisoner in Confinement Furlough and Work-Release Reports for Bureau of Prison Institutions Supervision Reports Parole Revocation	37,300 30,120 1,388 8,787 15,316 8,620 7,018 6,389 25,760 1,658	36,737 28,630 1,342 9,368 16,456 9,955 7,098 6,692 27,272 1,889	-1.5 -4.9 -3.3 6.6 7.4 15.5 1.1 4.7 5.9 13.9

Table 14 Investigative Reports by Probation Officers 1987 and 1988

#### Drug, Alcohol, and Psychiatric Aftercare

The Probation Division began providing contract treatment services to drug dependent Federal offenders on October 1, 1979. Since that time, the number of offenders receiving both contract and noncontract drug treatment has increased dramatically. From September 1984 to March 1988, the overall increase has been 103 percent. Treatment services are provided to Federal offenders by contract with local agencies, or on a noncontract basis when the probation office can provide the service, there are free local services, or the individual can pay for his own treatment. Table 15 reflects the rapid growth in drug aftercare clients during the past 6 years.

Drug Aftercare Clients 1983 through 1988								
		Years Ended September 30						
Type of Service	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988*		
Contract	2,365	2,945	2,710	3,844	5,016	5,562		
Non- Contract	2,370	2,638	3,800	4,158	5,363	5,793		
Total	4,735	5,583	6,510	8,002	10,379	11,355		



\*As of March 31, 1988.

The Probation Service uses a three-phase urine collection and testing system to determine if an offender has refrained from drug usage. During fiscal year 1987, there were 296,000 urine specimens tested, and it is projected that by the end of fiscal year 1988, approximately 360,000 specimens will have been tested.

In 1987, Congress gave the Director of the Administrative Office the authority to contract for treatment services for alcohol dependent Federal offenders. There are now over 3,100 clients in treatment as part of the aftercare program. (See Table 16.)

Table 16 Alcohol Aftercare Clients 1987 and 1988						
Type of Service	1987	1988*				
Contract Non-Contract	536 2,407	596 2,522				
Total	2,943	3,118				

#### \*As of March 31, 1988

As a result of the additional alcohol treatment cases, the total number of persons in treatment as of March 31, 1988, was 14,473. Finally, Congress also granted the authority in December 1987, for the Director of the Administrative Office to contract for psychiatric and/or psychological treatment services for non-aftercare clients. This authority will undoubtedly result in further increases in the number of Federal offenders involved in aftercare treatment. Since no additional funds were allocated by Congress to pay for these services, the Probation Division is drawing from the aftercare appropriation on a limited and restricted basis in order to provide emergency mental health services.

#### PRETRIAL SERVICES

Pretrial services must be available in each judicial district to assist judicial officers in making appropriate bail release or detention decisions concerning criminal defendants and to supervise and monitor defendants on bail release. As of June 30, 1988, there were 89 districts submitting reports on pretrial services. The District of Columbia has pretrial services authorized under separate authority and is not included in this report.

In January of 1987, a new pretrial services (PSA) data collection information system was implemented. In May of 1987, the statistical reporting of pretrial diversion cases (deferred prosecutions) was transferred from the Federal Probation Sentencing and Supervision Information System (FPSSIS) to the Pretrial Services Act Information System (PSAIS). This change has resulted in an increase in the number of pretrial diversion cases shown in the PSAIS and a corresponding decrease in such cases reported in FPSSIS.

The total number of PSA cases activated increased to 34,749 this year, a rise of 14 percent over the 30,436 reported in 1987. This increase is mainly attributed to improvements in case reporting by newly established independent PSA offices in the districts of New Jersey; Texas, Southern; Missouri, Eastern; Arizona; New Mexico, and Florida, Middle. With the transfer of pretrial diversion cases (PTD's) from the FPSSIS to PSAIS, the number of such cases activated increased from 597 last year to 1,932 this year (up 224 percent). Appendix Table H-1 provides data for PSA and PTD cases activated.

Of the 34,749 cases activated, 30,769 (89 percent) included cases in which the defendant was interviewed by a pretrial services officer (PSO). There were 1,921 (6 percent) cases where the defendant refused to be interviewed and 2,058 (6 percent) cases in which the defendant was unavailable for interview at the time of the pretrial investigation. PSO's prepared a total of 32,839 bail reports in 1988. Of these reports, 29,004 (88 percent) were prebail reports. Another 3,373 (10 percent) consisted of postbail reports and 462 (1 percent) consisted of other types of bail reports. (See Table 17.) Appendix Table H-2 provides data on PSA interviews and types of bail reports prepared.

Category	1987	1988	Percent Change
Total Pretrial Cases Activated	30,436	34,749	14.2
Prebail Postbail Other	25,698 3,312 1,426	29,004 3,373 2,372	12.9 1.8 66.3
Pretrial Diversion Cases Activated*	597	1,932	223.6

#### Table 17 Summary of Pretrial Reports 1987 and 1988

\* Responsibility for pretrial diversion reports was transferred from the probation offices to the pretrial services offices in May 1987.

This year, there were 17,643 defendants (or 51 percent of all defendants) released at the initial hearing compared to 18,512 defendants (or 61 percent of all defendants) last year, a decrease of 5 percent in total defendants released. Of the total released, 13,611 defendants (39 percent of all defendants) were released on PSA supervision as a condition of release. This compares with the 28 percent released on PSA supervision last year. There were 8,395 defendants (6 percent) held pending a detention hearing. Another 1,945 defendants (6 percent) were detained as a result of the detention hearing held on the same day of the initial hearing. Some 3,714 defendants (11 percent) were unable to make bail at the initial hearing. There were 1,419 defendants (4 percent) temporarily detained and 750 defendants (2 percent) detained with no bail set. In addition, 798 defendants pled guilty at the initial hearing. Appendix Tables H-3 and H-6 provide data on release and detention at the initial hearing.

During 1988, there were 10,256 defendants (30 percent) whose detention hearing was held following the initial hearing. As a result of these hearings, 74 percent (7,625 defendants) were ordered detained by the judicial officer. Almost half of these defendants (49 percent or 3,745) were detained because of a risk of flight. Another 37 percent (2,799) of these defendants were detained because there was a risk of flight and they posed a danger to the community or witness. About 13 percent of

these defendants were detained because of a danger to the community only and less than 2 percent because of a danger to a witness(es). Appendix Table H-4 provides data on decisions made at detention hearings for cases activated.

Appendix Table H-5 provides data on release conditions and detention status conditions imposed by judicial officers at the initial hearing for cases activated. With regard to release conditions, more than one condition of release may be imposed on a defendant at the initial hearing. On the other hand, only one condition is imposed by the iudicial officer for defendants with no bail set or with detention status (i.e. detained pending a detention hearing or temporarily detained to permit revocation, deportation or exclusion). In 1988, there were 15,637 defendants (45 percent) with personal recognizance and/or unsecured bonds as at least one condition of their release. Other release conditions imposed on defendants at the initial hearing included: PSA supervision (39 percent); restrictive (26 percent); cash bonds (10 percent); surety bonds (9 percent); collateral bonds (6 percent); third party custody, restrictive, and treatment (4 percent); and treatment (2 percent). There were 1.982 defendants (6 percent) with no bail set and 18.526 defendants (53 percent) with detention status conditions imposed.

Total detention costs for 1988 were \$27,729,277. Defendants detained after the initial hearing period until adjudication, accounted for 70 percent (or \$19,343,704) of the total detention costs. Defendants detained from adjudication of a case to surrender or execution of a sentence accounted for 27 percent of the total detention costs and defendants detained before the initial hearing accounted for 3 percent of the total detention costs for cases closed with data reported.

In 1988, a total of 21,952 cases were closed of which 15,199 defendants (69 percent) were released on bail. Of those released, 1,296 defendants (9 percent) committed a total of 1,616 bail violations. Re-arrest violations (felonies and misdemeanors) accounted for 22 percent of the total violations this year compared to the 29 percent reported last year. Defendants that failed to appear (FTA's) before a judicial officer accounted for 23 percent of total violations, while technical violations (failure to report, use of drugs and other) accounted for 55 percent. Appendix Table H-8 provides data on defendants released on bail who committed violations of release conditions.

#### JUDICIAL APPROPRIATIONS AND PERSONNEL

#### FISCAL YEAR 1988 APPROPRIATIONS AND EXPENDITURES

Once again, the Judiciary began the fiscal year without an appropriations bill enacted by the Congress. A continuing appropriations bill was approved by the President on September 30, 1987, (Public Law 100-120) which allowed the Judiciary to operate at the "current rate", i.e., the funding and personnel levels approved for fiscal year 1987. On December 22, 1987, the President signed another continuing appropriations bill (Public Law 100-202) which provided full-year funding for the Judiciary for fiscal year 1988. Section 101 of this Public Law provided funding which amounted to \$1,307,448,000 for the Judiciary (exclusive of the Supreme Court). In addition, \$50,532,000 in unobligated balances was carried forward into 1988 for obligation and \$18,000,000 in civil filling fees and bankruptcy noticing fees were estimated to be collected and would be available to offset obligations. As a result, total spending authority for the Judiciary for 1988 was \$1,375,980,000 (exclusive of the Supreme Court).

Fiscal year 1988 supplemental funding requests totalling \$56,042,000 were submitted to the Congress. Included were funding for the January 1988 pay raise (\$12,742,000), health benefit increases (\$4,215,000), and the government's contribution to FERS (\$9,923,000). Also included were program supplementals of \$29,162,000 which would fully fund the Judiciary's 1988 workload. However, it is unlikely that this supplemental request will be approved by Congress. Working on that assumption, the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference has approved fiscal year 1988 spending plans which only allow for the spending of the currently available amount of \$1,375,980,000. Table 18 displays the current funding availability and the supplemental requests by account for fiscal year 1988.

# FISCAL YEAR 1989 APPROPRIATION ESTIMATES AND ALLOWANCES

On June 15, 1988, the full House approved the Judiciary's appropriations bill for 1989 totalling \$1,394,624,000 (exclusive of the Supreme Court). To avoid a point of order, the House excluded funding for the Court Security appropriation but set aside the 1988 amount (\$40,853,000) for inclusion in the final appropriations bill. The amounts shown on Table 19 for the House Allowance include Court Security as well as an estimated \$10.5 million in civil filing and bankruptcy noticing fees collections and \$1.7 million in the Fees of Jurors and Commis-

Table 18 Statement of Appropriation Accounts for the Judiciary (Exclusive of the Supreme Court), Fiscal Year 1988 (Amounts in Thousands of Dollars)

			emental vriations		
Appropriation Accounts	Budget Authority 1988	Pay Costs	Other	Total Budget Authority	
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit:					
Salaries and Expenses	\$ 7,430	\$ 125	\$ 570	\$ 8,125	
Court of International Trade:					
Salaries and Expenses	7,768	110	332	8,210	
Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services:					
Salaries and Expenses	1,134,744*	24,550	14,000	1,173,294	
Defender Services (Criminal Justice Act)	94,600**	953	9,900	105,453	
Fees of Jurors and Commissioners	48,870** 40,853	-	- 1,697	48,870 42,550	
Total for Courts of Appeals, District Courts,					
and Other Judicial Services	1,319,067	25,503	25,597	1,370,167	
Administrative Office, U.S. Courte:					
Salaries and Expenses	31,167	920	1,865	33,952	
Federal Judicial Center:	ł			1	
Salaries and Expenses	10,548	222	798	11,568	
Grand Total	\$1,375,980	\$26,880	\$29,162	\$1,432,022	

. ..

Includes "unobligated balances" of \$35,297 thousand and \$18,000 thousand in filing and noticing fees. Includes "no-year" funds in the amount of \$9,500 thousand for Defender Services and \$5,735 thousand for Fees of Jurors and Commissioners.

Table 19
Comparative Statement of Total Budget (Obligational) Authority for Fiscal Year 1988
and Budget Estimates and Amounts Approved for Fiecal Year 1989
(Amounts in Thousands of Dollars)

Appropriation Accounts	Budget Authority 1968 *	1989 Budget Estimates	House Allowance	Senate Appropriations Committee
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit: Salaries and Expenses	\$ 7,430	\$ 8,700	\$ 8.227	\$ 7,566
		• •,•••	• •,	• 7,000
Court of International Trade				
Salaries and Expenses	7,768	8,1 <del>64</del>	8,159	7,889
Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services:				
Salaries and Expenses.	1,134,744	1,396,013**	1,155,835	1,117,268
Detender Services (Criminal Justice Act)	94,600	137,815	109,900	86,478
Fees of Jurors and Commissioners	48,870	48,200***	45,893	45,300
Court Security	40,853	56,980	40,053	41,423
Total for Courts of Appeals, District Courts,				
and Other Judicial Services	1,319,067	1,639,008	1,385,482	1,290,469
Administrative Office, U.S. Courts: Salaries and Expenses	31,167	41,970	34.22	33.087
	01,107			00,007
Federal Judicial Center:	ł		1	
Salaries and Expenses	10,548	12,109	11,581	10,669
Grand Total	\$1,375,980	\$1,709,951	\$1,447,677	\$1,349,680

•

••

Excludes supplemental appropriation requests. Includes \$10,500 thousand in filing and noteing fees. Includes "no-year" funds in the amount of \$1,700 thousand. •••

sioners account which is expected to be carried forward into 1989 for obligation. Thus, the amount made available by the House for 1989 is \$1,447,677,000, an increase of 5.2 percent over total funding available in 1988, but \$262,274,000 less than the amount requested.

The Senate Appropriations Committee approved an amount of \$1,349,680,000 on June 20, 1988, which is \$26,300,000 less than the amount available for 1988. There have been assurances from the Committee staff that the Conference Committee will increase the allowance to take into consideration the unobligated balances available in 1988 as well as the differences in the fee collections between 1988 and 1989. Considering these facts, the Judiciary can expect to receive 1989 appropriations which, when considering inflation, cost-of-living increases, rent and other mandatory increases, will still fall considerably below funding needed to maintain court operations at 1988 levels without providing for projected workload increases in 1989.

#### PERSONNEL

On June 30, 1988, the total number of individuals on the payroll of the Federal Judiciary (exclusive of the Supreme Court) was 20,743. This was more than 6 percent above the number on June 30, 1987. With the bankruptcy workload continuing to rise during the year, deputy clerk positions in the bankruptcy courts increased almost 12 percent over 1987 levels. Table 20 provides a detailed summary of the personnel in the Judiciary on June 30, 1987 and 1988.

#### Status of Article III Judgeship Positions

On June 30, 1988, there were 10 vacancies among the 168 judgeship positions authorized for the U.S. courts of appeals. There were 28 vacancies among the 575 positions authorized for the U.S. district courts. One of the positions has been vacant since it was authorized by legislation of July 1984. In addition to the active judges, there were 48 senior court of appeals judges and 178 senior district court judges providing service to the courts. (See Table 21.)

#### Status of Bankruptcy Judge Appointments

The Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act of 1984 authorized the U.S. courts of appeals to appoint a total of 232 bankruptcy judges to 14-year terms of office. The Act extended the term of office of bankruptcy judges serving on July 10, 1984 (the date of enactment), until October 1, 1986, or four years after the judge was last

On June 30, 1987 and 1988				
Personnel	1987	1988		
Total Personnel*	19,352	20,743		
Judges:				
Circuit	154	156		
District	532	544		
National Courts	22	24		
Territorial Courts	4	4		
Retired - Resigned	299	306		
Total	1,011	1,034		
		1,034		
Circuit Executives	10	9		
Staff to Circuit Executives	77	82		
District Court Executives	6	5		
Staff to District Court Executives	7	9		
Secretaries to Active Judges	936	955		
Secretaries to Retired Judges	180	206		
Court Secretaries and Other				
Court Staff	87	99		
Law Clerks to Active Judges	1,709	1,721		
	221	386		
Law Clerks to Retired Judges				
Senior Staff Attorneys	11	11		
Supervisory Staff Attorneys	17	21		
Staff Attorneys	135	132		
Total Personnel for Clerks' Offices	4,255	4,482		
Total	7,651	8,118		
Marcham of Dechation Otaffa				
Members of Probation Staffs:	4 070	0.040		
Probation Officers	1,879	2,046		
Probation Officer Assistants	24	23		
Pretrial Services Officers	123	189		
Probation Clerks	1,219	1,310		
Pretrial Services Clerks	66	104		
Total	3,311	3,672		
Members of Bankruptcy Statis:				
Judges	252	293		
Secretaries to Bankruptcy Judges	240	286		
Law Clerks to Bankruptcy Judges	264	313		
Clerks	3,209	3,566		
Bankruptcy Administrator & Staff	0,200	30		
Total	3,965	4,488		
		.,		
U.S. Magistrates	451	452		
Secretaries to Magistrates	275	286		
Legal Assistants to Magistrates	254	282		
Clerical Assistants to Magistrates	30	24		
Federal Public Defenders and Assistants	215	304		
Staff to Federal Public Defenders	245	239		
Court Criers	34	25		
Court Reporters	587	589		
Supporting Personnel of the		000		
National Courts	200	108		
Miscellaneous Personnel in the				
District of Columbia	2	1		
Librarians	186	187		
Nurses	2	107		
Court Interpreters	29	34		
Members of the Staff of the		34		
Administrative Office	Een			
	569	568		
Members of the Staff of the		108		
Members of the Staff of the Federal Judicial Center	117			
Members of the Staff of the Federal Judicial Center Members of the Judicial Panel on				
Members of the Staff of the Federal Judicial Center Members of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Lifigation	10	10		
Members of the Staff of the Federal Judicial Center, Members of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Liftgetion Land Commissioners	10 185	192		
Members of the Staff of the Federal Judicial Center Members of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation Land Commissioners Jury Commissioners	10 185 20	192 18		
Members of the Staff of the Federal Judicial Center, Members of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Liftgetion Land Commissioners	10 185	192		

#### Table 20 Personnel in the Federal Judiclary On June 30, 1987 and 1988

\*Exclusive of Suprema Court personnel.

ŀ

#### PERSONNEL IN THE U.S. JUDICIARY ON JUNE 30, 1988

#### TOTAL PERSONNEL: 20,743



- \* Circuit executives, court reporters, interpreters, land commissioners, and librarians.
- \*\* Circuit, district and other Federal judges.
- \*\*\* Secretaries, law clerks, staff attorneys, and criers for circuit and district judges.

NOTE: Excludes the U.S. Supreme Court. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

	U.S. Courts of Appeals*			U.S. District Courts		
Year	Author- ized Judge- ships	Vacan- cies	Senior Judges	Author- ized Judge- ships	Vacan- cies	Senior Judges
1981	132	9	45	516	41	149
1982	132	7	54	515	20	163
1983	144	4	55	515	25	175
1984	144	2	52	515	16	185
1985	168	22	59	575	75	191
1986	168	11	41	575	40	156
1987	168	13	51	575	43	167
1988	168	10	48	575	28	178
1900	100	10	40	575	20	170

#### Table 21 Status of Judgeship Positions on June 30, \$981 through 1988

\*Beginning in 1983, positions in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit are included.

appointed to office whichever is last. As of June 30, 1988, a total of 227 judges had been appointed to 14-year terms by the courts of appeals. The Supplemental Appropriations Bill for 1987 provided funding for the 52 additional bankruptcy judgeship positions which were authorized in Public Law 99-554. The process of appointing judges for these new positions is nearing completion with 49 judges having completed the appointment process as of June 30, 1988.

#### **Status of Magistrate Positions and Appointments**

The Division of Magistrates continued to administer and monitor the magistrate merit selection and appointment process to ensure compliance with the procedures mandated by the Federal Magistrate Act of 1979 and the regulations promulgated by the Judicial Conference. During the year, 54 full-time magistrates were appointed, including 25 reappointments. Of the 29 new appointments, 12 were for newly created positions. During the same period, 43 individuals were appointed to part-time magistrate positions, including 33 reappointments. Of the 10 new appointments, 2 were for newly created positions. Among the new appointments made during the year, the average age of the full-time appointees was 43, and that of the part-time magistrates was 41. New full-time magistrates had been members of the bar for an average of 14 years; part-time magistrates averaged 15 years of bar membership. The bar membership requirement was waived for one of the part-time magistrates. Of the new full-time magistrates, 12 had been in private practice, 4 had prior experience as part-time magistrates, 1 had served as clerk of a district court, 1 had served as a state court judge, 6 had served as assistant U.S. attorneys, 4 had been attorneys with the Federal government, and 1 had been an attorney with a state government.

The Judicial Conference authorized creation of 2 new full-time positions during the year, expanding the number of full-time positions from 292 to 294. The Conference increased the number of part-time positions from 165 to 167. The number of combination clerk of court/ magistrate positions was reduced from 10 to 9. Five magistrates served as recalled magistrates pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Section 636(h). Table 22 provides a summary of the number of magistrate positions authorized by the Judicial Conference since 1972.

#### Table 22 U.S. Magistrate Positions Authorized by the Judicial Conference 1972 through 1988

	1972 through 1988					
Year		Total	Full- Time	Part- Time	Combi- nation	
1972	Spring	561	90	455	16	
	Fall	572	103	452	17	
1973	Spring	567	103	447	17	
	Fall	542	112	414	16	
1974	Spring	541	112	411	18	
	Fall	482	130	336	16	
1975	Spring	487	133	337	17	
	Fall	482	143	322	17	
1976	Spring	482	150	316	16	
	Fall	483	159	306	18	
1977	Spring	487	164	305	18	
	Fall	484	166	300	18	
1978	Spring	487	176	290	21	
	Fall	486	187	278	21	
1979	Spring	488	196	271	21	
	Fall	485	201	264	20	
1980	Spring	488	204	263	21	
	Fall	495	210	263	22	
1981	Spring	490	217	253	20	
	Fall	<b>489</b>	219	250	20	
1982	Spring	483	223	241	19	
	Fall	482	228	238	16	
1983	Spring	476	238	225	13	
	Fall	478	248	219	11	
1984	Spring	457	253	191	13	
	Fall	462	262	188	12	
1985	Spring	467	272	183	12	
	Fall	468	277	180	11	
1986	Spring	467	280	177	10	
	Fall	465	284	171	10	
1987	Spring	467	292	165	10	
	Fall	466	292	165	9	
1988	Spring	470	294	167	9	

#### LEGISLATION AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

#### LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS

#### Sentencing Related Bills

The Sentencing Guidelines were submitted to Congress on April 13, 1987, by the Sentencing Commission and went into effect on November 1, 1987. The Judicial Conference had favored a one-year delay in the date of implementation of the guidelines. In response to our request, Senator DeConcini (D-AZ) introduced S. 1676 and Congressman Synar (D-OK) introduced H.R. 3307, both of which would have delayed implementation of the guidelines for one year. H.R. 3307 as reported by the House Judiciary Committee was amended to provide for a nine-month delay. This bill was brought to the House floor on October 6, 1987, and defeated 231-183, thus killing efforts to extend the implementation date of the guidelines.

After the defeat of H.R. 3307, miscellaneous amendments approved by the Judicial Conference and relating to sentencing were transmitted to Congress. The amendments included the elimination of guidelines for petty offenses, the specific provision authorizing appointment of counsel for a violation of supervised release for those financially unable to obtain adequate representation, the procedure for appellate review of a sentence imposed by a magistrate and the addition of psychiatric aftercare to the aftercare program. These amendments were incorporated into S. 1822, making miscellaneous changes in the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984. On December 7, 1987, the legislation was signed into law (Pub. L. No. 100-182; 101 Stat. 1266).

#### **Fine Collection**

On December 11, 1987, the President signed the Criminal Fine Improvement Act of 1987, a bill which made miscellaneous changes in Title 18 related to fine collection (Pub. L. No. 100-185; 101 Stat. 1279). The Act included authorization for the Director of the Administrative Office to establish procedures and mechanisms for the receipt of fines, the redefinition of petty offense, modification or remission of a fine by government petition only, authorization for the Judicial Branch to receive and disburse restitution payment, and other matters related to the imposition of a fine.

#### Race to the Courthouse

The Congress has considered legislation for a number of years to deal with forum shopping problems associated with judicial review of administrative agency decisions. Under the then existing law, the judicial forum for review of such decisions was in the U. S. court of appeals in which a petition for review was first filed. The result was a chaotic race to the courthouse by the parties as each attempted to obtain review in a forum deemed the most favorable. Congress enacted a bill to provide for a random selection process to be administered by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to designate the reviewing court in those instances where petitions for review were filed in more than one court of appeals within a specified time. The President signed the bill on January 8, 1988 (Public Law No. 100-236).

#### Mandatory Supreme Court Jurisdiction

Public Law 100-352 was signed by the President on June 27, 1988. The law effectively eliminates the mandatory jurisdiction of the U.S. Supreme Court, replacing the same with certiorari jurisdiction. The remaining fragment of mandatory jurisdiction concerns appeals from three-judge district courts, a rather infrequent event. The passage of this legislation completes a process begun with the Evarts Act in 1891, by which the Supreme Court has gradually been given control over its own docket.

#### Salary Adjustment Developments

The Administrative Office is closely following the prospects for adjustment in the compensation of Federal judges and judicial officers. These prospects are proceeding on two distinct tracks.

First, the Commission on Executive, Legislative and Judicial Salaries, which is empaneled every four years and includes two appointees by the Chief Justice of the United States, will come into being on October 1, 1988, with a mandate to report to the President by December 15 on its recommendations for adjustment in the salaries of senior-level Federal officials, including justices and judges. Under the Federal Salary Act of 1967, as amended, the President will then submit with his budget message in early 1989 any recommendations to Congress which he sees fit to make with respect to these pay levels, based upon the Salary Commission's report. Such recommendations become effective as the legal rates of pay for the affected offices as of the first pay period commencing 30 days after submission of the President's

recommendations, unless Congress enacts and the President signs a joint resolution disapproving or modifying his recommendations.

The Judicial Conference Committee on the Judicial Branch, which advises the Conference on matters of judicial compensation and benefits, is preparing its submission to the Salary Commission in support of judicial pay increases. Since 1968-1969, when the Federal Salary Act adjustment mechanism was first implemented, the salaries of Federal judges have actually decreased in purchasing power because of their failure to keep pace with inflation over this 20-year period. The last adjustment in judicial pay levels, implemented on March 1, 1987, pursuant to the 1985 Federal Salary Act amendments, resulted in only modest salary increases and failed to close the gap between these pay levels and the escalating cost-of-living.

Apart from Salary Commission review under the Federal Salary Act, adjustments in judicial pay may also occur, when affirmatively ratified by Congress, by operation of the Executive Salary Cost-of-Living Adjustment Act, 28 U.S.C. Section 461. This mechanism is geared specifically to annual increases in the cost-of-living. Congressional approval for judges to receive whatever increase results to General Schedule employees as of January 1, 1989, under 5 U.S.C. Section 5305 is contained in the Judiciary Appropriation Act, 1989, H.R. 4728, as passed by both House and Senate. Nevertheless, as of this report date, it was uncertain whether Congress would allow any such adjustment to operate with respect to salaries above the \$72,500 "pay cap" for level V of the Executive Schedule. The Senate amended the Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government Appropriation Act. 1989, H.R. 4775, to authorize a four percent cost-of-living increase to all affected Federal officers and employees except Members of Congress. Nevertheless, H.R. 4775 as passed by the House failed to authorize such increase in any pay level above \$72,500. Deliberations were proceeding in conference between the Senate and House of Representatives as of the closing date for this report.

Meanwhile, progress was made this year on salary improvement for certain judicial officers. In the continuing appropriations resolution for fiscal year 1988, H.J. Res. 395, provision was made to amend Title 28, United States Code, to fix salaries of U. S. bankruptcy judges at 92 percent of a district judge's salary and to designate this same 92 percent level as the statutory maximum for full-time U. S. magistrates, subject to the administrative fixing of their actual rates by the Judicial Conference. These increases in bankruptcy judge and magistrate pay were to become effective on October 1, 1988. This same resolution also raised the pay ceiling for the Administrative Office's Deputy Director and three additional positions from level V to level IV of the Executive Schedule.

The Judicial Conference, in accord with its position adopted in September 1987, continues to press for a similar upgrading of salary levels for circuit executives, the Deputy Director of the Federal Judicial Center, and three remaining Administrative Office positions. Provisions to accomplish this goal have been included in H.R. 4807 as reported by the House Judiciary Committee on August 2, 1988. Generally, similar provisions are included or expected to be included in S. 1482, the Senate counterpart to H.R. 4807. In addition, parallel efforts are being made to enact these provisions in appropriations bills. Final action is hoped for near the end of the 100th Congress.

#### Childhood Vaccine

Public Law 100-203 was signed by the President on December 22, 1987, and included provisions which had the effect of removing the new Childhood Vaccine jurisdiction from the U. S. district courts and placing it in the U. S. Claims Court. Such placement, it was thought, would avoid certain Constitutional questions inherent in the original legislation and would provide a central forum for development of this new area of the law.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS

#### **Bankruptcy Judge and Magistrate Retirement**

On March 3, 1988, the Senate approved S. 1630. That bill would provide a new retirement system for magistrates and bankruptcy judges whereby those judicial officers at age 65 and after fourteen years of service would receive a pension equal to the salary of the office at the time they left it. The bill as passed by the Senate does not require a contribution. On July 11, 1988, the House amended S. 1630 to include the provisions of a somewhat different House proposal. The House bill would require a 3 percent contribution for 15 years. It would provide that the pension of a judicial officer would be reduced by 2 percent for every year under age 65 the person was at the time they left office. It would allow full vesting only after fifteen years. It is expected that the differences will be resolved and that the bill will be passed this Congress.

#### Judicial Branch Improvements Act of 1987

The Judicial Branch Improvements Act of 1987 was transmitted to Congress on behalf of the Judicial Conference on May 7, 1987. This so-called "housekeeping" bill consisted of 6 titles and over 25 separate proposals of the Judicial Conference. It was the vehicle to place before Congress a substantial part of the Judicial Conference's legislative program. The Judicial Conference's proposals were introduced in the Senate by Senator Heflin as S. 1482. Congressman Kastenmeier introduced his own version of an omnibus judicial improvements bill as H.R. 3152, which contained a substantial number of the Conference's proposals, as well as some new provisions.

Hearings on this omnibus judicial improvements "housekeeping" bill have been completed in both the Senate and the House Committees on the Judiciary. All extant Judicial Conference positions deemed appropriate for an omnibus bill through the March 1988 meeting have been presented to both Judiciary Committees for consideration in the context of this legislation.

On May 12, 1988, the House Judiciary Subcommittee on Courts, Civil Liberties, and the Administration of Justice reported a bill that was subsequently introduced as H.R. 4807. This legislation was pending at the Full House Judiciary Committee level at the close of the reporting period. With the exception of a few minor points, H.R. 4807 adopts a broad range of proposals consistent with Judicial Conference positions, including titles on the Rules Enabling Acts (title I), arbitration (title II), jurisdictional reform, including elimination of diversity jurisdiction (title III), Federal Judicial Center amendments (title IV), abolition of the Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals (title V), amendments relating to the jurisdiction of the Federal Circuit (title VI), State Justice Institute amendments (title VII), court interpreter amendments (title VIII), jury selection and service amendments (title IX), and some fifteen miscellaneous provisions (title X).

At the end of this reporting period, the Senate Subcommittee on Courts and Administrative Practice was in the final stage of preparations to consider S. 1482 and appropriate Judicial Conference recommendations adopted at its September 1987 and March 1988 meetings. It is anticipated that the Senate will adopt a number of Conference recommendations not included in H.R. 4807; for example, repeal of section 140 of Public Law 97-92 barring annual cost-of-living adjustments in pay for Federal judges except as specifically authorized by Congress; provisions for experimental jury selection procedures; exemption of bankruptcy judges and magistrates from the Leave Act; and travel reimbursement for non-judicial officers and employees. While the content of a final version is not settled at this point, it is anticipated that action will be completed on an omnibus judicial improvements bill this Congress.

#### Judgeship Bill

Legislation authorizing the creation of 56 new district court judgeships and 13 court of appeals judgeships was submitted to the Congress in March of 1987. However, the Judiciary Committee Chairmen in both houses declined to introduce the legislation. With a presidential election year imminent in which the incumbent could not be a candidate, and given that the Congress was controlled by the Democrats and the White House by the Republicans, judgeship legislation never had a realistic chance of passage.

#### Federal Court Study Act

Three bills -- S. 951, H.R. 1929; and H.R. 3227 -- are pending in the 100th Congress that would create a commission to study various aspects of the Federal courts. S. 951 and H.R. 1929 as introduced were substantially the same bills and proposed a broad based inquiry into the operation of the Federal courts and their inter-relationship with the state court systems. S. 951 has been reported by the Senate Committee on the Judiciary and was pending on the Senate calendar at the end of the reporting period. Amendments were adopted by the Judiciary Committee to conform the bill to recommendations of the Judicial Conference to deal with concerns that the activities of the Commission might interfere with the ongoing operation of the courts. As reported, S. 951 is supported by the Judicial Conference.

H.R. 3227, introduced by Congressman Rodino, is a narrower bill that focuses solely on the effective operation of the Federal courts. The Judicial Conference has voted to endorse this legislation if it is amended to provide for appointment of an appropriate number of Commission members from the Federal Judiciary by the Chief Justice. No action had been taken on H.R. 3227 in the House Committee on the Judiciary at the end of this reporting period. S. 953 and S. 954, introduced by Senator Heflin, would amend the Federal civil and criminal rules of procedure to require the U. S. district courts in single party cases to permit the attorneys for the plaintiff and defendant a minimum of 30 minutes each to conduct an oral examination of the prospective jury; in multiple party cases, each side must be given 10 additional minutes for each additional party, except that the total time required to be allowed shall not exceed one hour per side. Similar legislation has been introduced in the House by Congressman Buechner (H.R. 3461 and H.R. 3462). Hearings were held in the Senate Committee on the Judiciary on July 15, 1987, with a representative of the Judicial Conference testifying in opposition.

Attorney voir dire continues to be a matter of substantial interest to Senator Heflin even though he had not actively moved to process his bill as of July 1, 1988. Judicially led efforts to improve voir dire, including appropriate participation by attorneys, are ongoing.

#### **Diversity of Citizenship Jurisdiction Amendments**

A number of proposals relating to diversity of citizenship jurisdiction have been considered this Congress.

First, a significant proposal to use a "minimal" diversity concept to consolidate and bring into Federal court claims arising out of a major single-event mass disaster was approved by the Judicial Conference in its March 1988 meeting. Subsequently, the proposal was adopted by the House Judiciary Subcommittee on Courts, Civil Liberties, and the Administration of Justice as a part of an omnibus judicial improvements package. It was pending before the full House Judiciary Committee in H.R. 4807 at the end of the reporting period.

Second, Congressman Kastenmeier's omnibus judicial improvements legislation introduced in August 1987 (H.R. 3152) contained provisions to reduce the scope of diversity jurisdiction by raising the jurisdictional amount from \$10,000 to \$50,000; by redefining corporate citizenship to include any State in which the corporation does business; and by providing that representative parties shall be deemed to be citizens of the State of the represented individual, e.g., a deceased, infant, or incompetent. The Judicial Conference supported each of these proposals to reduce diversity jurisdiction, except it recommended an objective standard that a corporation be deemed a citizen of any State in which it is licensed or otherwise registered to do business. On May 12, 1988, the House Judiciary Subcommittee on Courts, Civil Liberties, and the Administration of Justice adopted an amendment to eliminate diversity of citizenship jurisdiction, except in very limited circumstances. The bill as amended by the Subcommittee was subsequently introduced in the House as H.R. 4807 and was pending in the full House Committee on the Judiciary at the end of the reporting period.

The only issues pending in the Senate concerning diversity are the \$50,000 jurisdictional amount and the representative parties proposal. These two recommendations are not controversial and may be included in any Senate version of the omnibus judicial improvements bill.

#### **Judicial Office Building**

The 99th Congress authorized a study to be made by the Architect of the Capitol, in consultation with the Chief Justice, to find the most cost effective development plan for the construction of a judicial office building near Union Station in Washington, D.C. Legislation sponsored by Senator Moynihan (D-NY) to authorize the building and provide for the construction to begin passed the Senate on June 7, 1988 (S. 1934). Hearings have been completed on the subject in the House Committee on Public Works and Transportation, which is expected to complete action on the bill in August 1988. Final congressional action is expected before the end of the 100th Congress. No appropriated funds will be required since the bill calls for a modified lease-purchase arrangement.

#### Bankruptcy Judgeships

The House Judiciary Committee reported legislation on June 28, 1988, which would add bankruptcy judgeships to Alaska, Colorado, Kansas, Eastern Kentucky and Eastern Texas. On June 16, the Senate Appropriations Committee had amended legislation to include new bankruptcy judgeships for Alaska, Arizona and Colorado. The Judicial Conference had requested the additional judgeships in Alaska, Colorado and Eastern Texas.

#### Judicial Review of Veterans' Claims for Benefits

Veterans currently lack the right to appeal to the Federal Courts, denials of claims for benefits by the Veterans Administration Board of Veterans Appeals. Legislation to provide for judicial review of denials of veterans' claims for benefits has been introduced in both houses since 1975. This bill has passed the Senate on four occasions, but has never passed the House. The current bill that embraces judicial review of veteran appeals is S. 11, introduced by Senator Cranston (D-CA).

The Judicial Conference has consistently opposed the legislation for the last 25 years and has also recommended that, if review is provided, initial review should be to an Executive Branch entity, with any further appellate review in the courts limited to Constitutional issues and statutory interpretations. It is estimated that approximately 4,000 new cases would be filed in the courts in the first year if the legislation were enacted as presently drafted. S. 11 was considered by the full Senate on July 11, 1988, and passed the Senate by a vote of 86-11.

Chairman Montgomery (D-MS) of the House Committee on Veterans' Affairs, is opposed to judicial review of veterans' claims for benefits. In the past, he has held off pressure for action. Hearings in the House are tentatively scheduled for September. Representatives of the Judicial Conference have been invited to testify.

#### Anti-Drug Abuse

Various bills were under consideration in the summer of 1988 concerning drug abuse. These bills would include substantial resources for the Judicial Branch to deal with what would be expected to be a significant increase in cases. Resources were also included in these bills for an enhanced aftercare program run through probation offices.

#### **Rule of Eighty**

Bills were introduced in both houses which would permit Article III judges to take senior status when their age was at least 60 and their age and years of service equaled 80 or more. The leadership of both Judiciary subcommittees with jurisdiction over the legislation promised hearings in the summer of 1988.

#### PUBLIC AFFAIRS ACTIVITIES

A full scale internal and external public affairs program was in operation in 1988 within the Office of Legislative and Public Affairs. Internally, the office published "Congressional News", a newsletter on the affairs of Congress that impact on the Judiciary; provided courts and senior Administrative Office staff with advice on media relations; supplied monthly judicial vacancy status reports to the courts; reviewed Administrative Office publications; and monitored media coverage of court-related issues.

Externally, the office responded to written and oral public information and media inquiries concerning the Judiciary and Judicial Conference; issued press releases in connection with Judicial Conference-sponsored testimony before Congress; handled media relations for semi-annual appearances and contacts for court officials; and performed public speaking duties.

In 1988-89, the office intends to expand and fine-tune its internal and external communications. In doing so, the office expects to respond more directly to the needs of the Judiciary, media and public.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE ACTIVITIES

#### MISSION AND RESOURCES

The Judicial Conference Committee on the Administrative Office held its first meeting on January 28 and 29, 1988. The Director briefed the Committee on the purpose, structure, and goals of the Administrative Office. He summarized the mission of the Office as being to provide support and services to the Federal courts, and to implement the policies of the Judicial Conference. He cited goals for the Administrative Office including improving service performance and quality, modernizing both the agency and court management systems and planning capabilities, improving communication with judicial officers and staff, decentralizing appropriate operating functions, and eliminating regulatory impediments to effective court management.

The Director stated that, although the responsibilities of the Administrative Office are increasing in scope and complexity, the resultant workload requirements have been met mostly by greater efficiency and not by additional resources. In 1983, each Administrative Office employee supported about 29 court employees. In 1988, 34 court employees were supported by each Administrative Office employee, an increase of 17 percent. In 1983, the Administrative Office budget was 3 percent of the Judiciary's budget. Assuming approval of the requested 1988 supplemental appropriation, the percentage would have fallen to In terms of personnel, the Administrative Office staff was 3.5 2.5. percent of the Judiciary total in 1983, but only 2.8 percent in 1988. Mr. Mecham noted a number of steps taken to redirect resources to fund essential programs. Among them have been strict limitations on travel, purchase of supplies, equipment, and training, and a freeze on hiring new employees. Seventy vacancies have necessarily gone unfilled since early spring of 1988. These actions have put a strain on program performance. Although current efforts to redirect existing resources have been successful, in the long term, support to the courts will suffer unless solutions to the resource shortage are found.

#### CHANGES TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE ORGANIZATION

During the last year, there have been several changes in the organization of the Administrative Office. The most significant of these changes are highlighted below. The current organization chart for the Agency is provided on the following page.



AO authorized organization, June 1988
#### Office of the Judicial Conference Secretariat

Pursuant to Title 28, Section 331 of the United States Code, the fundamental purpose of the Judicial Conference is to make policy with regard to the administration of the United States courts. In September 1987, based on a comprehensive study of the Conference, its committee network and responsibilities, the committee structure was changed. Several committees were continued; some were combined and new committees were created, resulting in twenty-one committees and four advisory committees to address and advise the Conference on a wide variety of subjects dealing with court administration.

The expanded role of the Executive Committee, as the "senior executive arm" of the Conference, and the demands for better organization, more openness and support to the Conference and its committees, led the Director, as Secretary to the Judicial Conference, to establish the Office of the Judicial Conference Secretariat in October 1987. The Secretariat coordinates administrative support to the Chief Justice, the Conference itself, and the Executive Committee, and insures that quality staff support is provided to all other Conference committees and activities as needed.

The Chief Justice has sole authority to make committee appointments. To assist him in carrying out this responsibility, the Conference directed that a survey be distributed throughout the Judiciary asking judges to submit their interests in and preferences for committee service. The Director, and the Conference Secretariat, worked with liaisons appointed by each circuit chief judge to review the surveys and formulate recommendations. Based on these recommendations, in late 1987, the Chief Justice made 263 committee appointments, only 105 of whom were incumbents.

Under the new system approximately one-third of committee membership could turn over each year. Thus, the Judicial Conference Secretariat will maintain a permanent working file of recommendations and preferences for committee service, for reference during the annual appointments process.

## Office of Planning and Evaluation

The Office of Planning and Evaluation was established by the Director on April 6, 1988. The essential purpose of the new office is to assist in the delivery of support services to the Judiciary through enhanced planning, coordination, and resource management. In addition, the office periodically conducts reviews of the ongoing programs of the Administrative Office and develops and implements the Judiciary's work measurement systems. The Director assigned responsibility for ensuring the integrity and effectiveness of the agency's audit and review program to the new office which is staffed with existing positions.

# Reorganization of the Administrative Services Division and Elevation of the Facilities Branch to Division Level

In order to improve the Administrative Office's assistance to the courts in the management of contracting, procurement, property management, printing, records management, and facilities management, these programs became the responsibility of two divisions instead of one.

The former Facilities Branch of the Administrative Services Division was elevated to the Space and Facilities Division. The division will have three branches: Space and Project Management; Planning and Analysis; and Facilities Operations. This was an appropriate response to the creation of a Space and Facilities Committee of the Judicial Conference. Furthermore, creating a full-fledged division to administer facilities management permits a higher level of attention and resources for this sensitive and complex program which must be coordinated with the General Services Administration.

The remaining functions of the Administrative Services Division were assigned to the Contracts and Services Division, with three new branches: Contracts; Procurement and Property; and Printing, Mail and Records Management. These functions have been expanding dramatically in scope and volume, due to the impact of automation and court growth. The span of program responsibility in each of the two new divisions now is functionally more cohesive, and better able to receive the degree of time and attention required.

## **Court Administration Division**

On October 1, 1987, the Director established the Court Administration Division by combining four offices: the Clerks Division, the Office of Court Reporting and Interpreting Services, the Office of Library and Legal Research Services, and the Jury Systems and Speedy Trial Matters unit.

The consolidation provides a greater range of services and assistance to district court executives, clerks of court, and other supporting personnel. The new division is composed of three separate branches: Court Programs, Court Operations, and Computer Assisted Legal Research and Library Services.

The division is responsible for assisting the Director in coordinating all administrative matters relating to the office of the clerk of court. The division serves as liaison for all matters relating to court administration to ensure that resources are equitably provided to the courts, to identify, develop, and evaluate effective procedures, and to disseminate procedures and other pertinent information to the courts. The division also administers the Judiciary's circuit library and computer-assisted legal research programs, and provides staff support to various Judicial Conference Committees.

# Reorganization of ADP Responsibilities in the Administrative Office

In recognition of the growing importance of automated systems to the operation of the Administrative Office and the need for program managers to have systems responsibility and to integrate the automated systems into their functional areas, the Director approved an ADP reorganization plan submitted by the Assistant Directors to transfer the responsibility for certain automated systems and associated resources to the program managers. The Business Systems Section of the Systems Services Division, which provides programming and analytical support for the financial, personnel and payroll systems, was transferred to the Assistant Director for Administration. The Statistical Systems Section of the Systems Services Division was transferred to the Statistical Analysis and Reports Division. The Systems Services Division retained responsibility for functions that cross organizational lines and which can be addressed more readily by centralized support staff, such as systems programming, data base administration, computer operations and personal computer support. The Systems Services Division will continue to be responsible for automation in the courts. However, a stronger role

in overall coordination and evaluation of the court automation program is contemplated for the program divisions under the Assistant Director for Program Management.

# COURT SERVICES

## Automatic Data Processing

The Administrative Office continued with its endeavor to provide automated support to the courts. During the past year, 29 new computers were installed in appellate, district and bankruptcy courts. These computers consisted of both Motorola and Unisys models. The Unisys computers support the full electronic docketing systems in the courts.

In compliance with the decision of the Judicial Conference's Committee on Judicial Improvements, the primary objective of automation within the Judiciary continues to be the removal of systems from the DEC-10 computers in Washington. This priority initiative continues to be closely followed by automation of the bankruptcy courts.

During the year, personnel from 28 courts received basic systems administrator training. Responsibility for this training was transferred to the Administrative Office by the Federal Judicial Center in January 1988. The newsletter, SA Essay, commenced publication in July 1987. This newsletter is intended to keep systems administrators aware of changes or new developments which may affect the operation and management of their computer systems.

Personnel from 17 courts received training in the implementation and use of the Administrative Systems (attorney roll, court reporter, inventory and personnel) which serve courts of appeals, district courts and bankruptcy courts.

# **Courts of Appeals**

During the year, the Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Sixth and Seventh Circuits began implementing the Appellate Information Management System (AIMS), bringing the total number of courts using the system to seven. Approximately 150 persons from the seven courts received training which ranged from introductory material to advanced training on special features of the system. Two users' group meetings, with attendance from the seven appellate courts, were conducted to share information learned during the implementation and operation of the system. Plans for the next year call for implementation of AIMS in the Eighth Circuit, followed by the Eleventh, District of Columbia, and the Fifth Circuits.

#### **District Courts**

The Civil Full Docketing Case Management System was transferred to the Administrative Office from the Federal Judicial Center in January 1988. Four courts were trained and began implementation, bringing the total number using the system to five. The Civil and Criminal Docketing systems were merged into one Civil/Criminal System in May 1988; with four courts currently testing the Criminal portion of the system. A training center was established in the District of Arizona to assist with Civil/Criminal implementation. The training center in the Western District of Texas is being expanded to accommodate this training as well. The first meeting of the Civil/Criminal users' group was held in late June 1988. Priority will be given to eliminating the current Civil and Criminal workload on the DEC-10. Nine courts are scheduled for initial training and implementation during the next year.

Expansion of the Financial and Jury automated systems continued during the year. Twenty-one courts received training and began implementing the system. This brings the total number of courts using the system to 38. Changes were made which allow electronic reporting directly to the Department of the Treasury rather than the paper reports which were used in the past. Continued expansion is planned throughout the next year with 10 courts being targeted for implementation.

During the year, personnel from 16 courts were trained and began implementing the STARS/INDEX System (SIRS), bringing the total number of courts using this application to 30. SIRS is now operational in all but one of the courts formerly using the Speedy Trial Act Reporting System (STARS) on the DEC-10. The final court will be trained and begin implementation in September 1988. Current plans are to remove the application from the DEC-10 in December 1988.

## **Bankruptcy Courts**

The Bankruptcy Court Automation Project (BANCAP) System was transferred to the Administrative Office from the Federal Judicial Center in February 1988. Seventeen courts received training and have implemented or are expected to implement the system during fiscal year 1989. A training center was established in the Western District of Texas to assist with training and implementing of the system. Twenty courts are scheduled to begin training and implementing the system during the next year. During the year, the Financial System was modified to accommodate the needs of the bankruptcy courts and released as the Bankruptcy Financial System. The initial release was made in November 1987. Three courts are now using this system. Expansion will continue during the next year.

#### Automated Court Financial Management System

The Administrative Office continued with the implementation of the Automated Court Financial Management System during the past year. As of June 30, 1988, 38 courts have been trained on the use of the system and are in various stages of implementation. Currently, 25 courts are fully operational on the system and 20 district courts are transmitting their financial data automatically to the Central Accounting System maintained at the Administrative Office. Enhancements completed during the past year include fully automating the Statement of Accountability Report, AO 274, the archiving of case-related records, and the automatic preparation of vouchers (SF 1166s) for disbursement of deposit and registry funds.

#### New Central Accounting System

In 1986, the Administrative Office entered into a contract to produce financial software packages to replace the current accounting system. The Administrative Office continues to modify and test the system to ensure that it meets the Judiciary's needs. Parallel testing of the system, using the Administrative Office and the Federal Judicial Center appropriations, is scheduled to begin on October 1, 1988, with full implementation to start October 1, 1989.

## **Probation/Pretrial Information Management Systems**

In June 1988, the U.S. Sentencing Commission distributed its software package (ASSYST) for guideline calculations to each probation office headquarters. Earlier, the Commission supplied one personal computer to each district on which to run the program. Additional personal computers are needed for all probation offices to take advantage of this helpful utility as well as to provide increased word processing capability for preparation of guideline presentence reports and data processing for local management reports.

73

Existing data elements of the Federal Probation Sentencing and Supervision Information System (FPSSIS) were reviewed by the Sentencing Commission, the Statistical Analysis and Reports Division, and the Probation Division with the result that data collection for six items has been discontinued. FPSSIS requirements will continue to be reviewed as experience with guideline sentencing accrues.

The automation needs of probation and pretrial services offices have continued to expand. In response to the needs, the Committee on Automation Needs for U.S. Probation and Pretrial Services Offices, a group of representatives from probation and pretrial services offices throughout the country, continues to work with the Probation Division to evaluate data requirements as well as define automation needs and initiatives. These include exploring ways for the probation system to access data being collected in various databases within the court. Access would assist in streamlining pretrial and probation case management, such as determining the status of pending cases and tracking payments of court-ordered obligations.

#### **Communications Systems**

The Administrative Office continued the activities associated with acquiring nation-wide data communications for the Judiciary. Activities by the Mitre Corporation, under contract for systems engineering and network monitoring, included development of a cost and alternative architecture study and a draft request for proposals which has been submitted to industry for comment. Forthcoming comments will be incorporated into a final request for proposals which is expected to be released during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 1988, with a contract award expected during fiscal year 1989. Proposals were received from industry to furnish a value-added-network to support continued Courtran communications. These proposals are currently being evaluated with a contract award being expected during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 1988.

The Administrative Office continued with the implementation of the Telephone Services Program with the conversion of telephones under lease from vendors other than American Telephone & Telegraph Co. As of June 30, 1988, less than 600 telephone instruments remain to be purchased. This activity will continue during fiscal year 1989.

## **Court Facilities**

#### Changing Relationship With GSA

The study conducted for the Judiciary in 1987 by the National Academy of Public Administration documented the need for the Judiciary to take a more aggressive role in managing its own space program and to establish a new relationship with the General Services Administration (GSA), the executive branch agency responsible for housing the Federal Judiciary. The Director of the Administrative Office and the Administrator of the GSA have signed a Memorandum of Understanding establishing a planning process involving both GSA and the Judiciary, and defining relationships for funding space and facility projects.

## Long Range Planning Guidelines

The Judicial Conference, at the recommendation of the Space and Facilities Committee, has directed every court to develop long-range space plans within guidelines to be developed by the Administrative Office staff. Those guidelines will be drafted for review within the Judiciary and tested in a representative number of courts by December 1988.

## Automated Management Information System

In order to assist in carrying out increased planning responsibility and to monitor on-going space projects, the Space and Facilities Division has begun the design of an automated management information system. The completed system will automate the long-range planning process and identify the budgetary resources needed to satisfy the requirements; and provide an automated project status and inventory of Judicial space. The system will be implemented in phases over the next 18 months, with usable portions available by January 1989. The system will be designed to be useful and accessible for local courts as well.

#### **Review of Judicial Space Standards**

Under the direction of the Space and Facilities Committee, the Division has also initiated an indepth review of the current Judicial space standards. The National Institute for Building Sciences is developing a project plan for review by the Committee in January 1989. The study is expected to take 12 to 18 months in order to consider fully the views of the entire court family. The results of the study will be presented to the Judicial Conference for its consideration.

#### **Experiment to Operate Courthouses**

The Judicial Conference approved a recommendation of the Space and Facilities Committee that four courts be permitted to experiment with operating their own courthouses under a delegation of operating authority from the GSA. The experiment is to be monitored closely to evaluate the benefits to the Judiciary of assuming this responsibility. The Administrative Office staff is assisting the four local courts in developing an evaluation process and will monitor the pilot project for the Space and Facilities Committee. The Northern District of Alabama has been operating its courthouse in Birmingham for almost 12 months. The three additional courts involved in the program are the district courts in Southern Florida (Miami) and Western Washington (Seattle), and the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals (Atlanta).

#### Defining Roles and Relationships Among the Judicial Family

As the Judiciary's more aggressive space and facilities management program evolves, it will become necessary to define more exactly not only the respective roles of the Judiciary and the GSA, but also to define more exactly the responsibilities within the court family for carrying out a comprehensive program. The Administrative Office, working closely with the several court advisory groups, is developing a number of alternatives for assigning space and facilities program responsibilities among the individual courts, the Circuit Judicial Councils, and the Administrative Office. The alternatives will be presented to the Space and Facilities Committee for their consideration at its January 1989 meeting.

## Conversion of the Guide to Judiciary Policies and Procedures to Standard (8 1/2" x 11") Size

The conversion of the 12-volume Guide to Judiciary Policies and Procedures to standard (8 1/2" x 11") size is under way. Volume III, the Judges' Manual, was the first to be issued in the larger size, in March 1988. Volume I, the Administrative Manual, is nearing completion and will be distributed soon. Volume II, the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, also is being revised for distribution later this year. The remaining volumes address specific matters such as bankruptcy, probation and court reporting, and will be issued as they are completed by the originating Administrative Office divisions.

The conversion will decrease the total number of pages in the Guide and thus make filing and storing easier. Text and exhibits will no

longer have to be reduced to fit on the smaller 6" x 9" pages. Format will be standardized for easier reference. Outdated information will be revised and any obsolete material removed.

The revised Guide volumes will be distributed as they are completed. The entire conversion is expected to last through the end of 1989.

#### Office Automation

During the year, the Administrative Office continued its efforts to complete a competitive procurement for Judiciary-wide standardized office automation equipment. A request for proposals was completed and issued to private industry. Responses were received in mid-October 1987. Evaluation of proposals is in progress. Measured in terms of offers received, industry response was significantly larger than anticipated and significantly larger than previous agency procurements. This extra evaluation effort has delayed contract award which is now expected to occur during the first quarter of fiscal year 1989.

## Procurement Bulletin

In an effort to assist court personnel who deal with procurement and contracting issues, the Contracts and Services Division is producing a quarterly publication entitled, "The Procurement Bulletin". The Bulletin includes articles on new procurement and property management procedures, recommended equipment, and GSA supply and contracts information. Other subjects covered in the Bulletin are printing, mail, records management, lawbooks, furniture, copiers and contracts. An "Information Exchange" section encourages readers to share costsaving ideas, information on new equipment, and noteworthy experiences with equipment, supplies, and vendors.

#### **PROGRAM OPERATIONS**

## Bankruptcy

## U. S. Trustee System Transition

The Bankruptcy Judges, United States Trustees, and Family Farmer Bankruptcy Act of 1986 expanded the U. S. trustee system of bankruptcy estate administration nationwide and established it as a permanent organization within the Department of Justice. The 1986 Amendments established 21 U. S. trustee regions and directed that the program expand into these regions in two chronological phases. Eleven of the 21 regions are in the first phase, which were required to be operational by August 23, 1987. The remaining ten regions are considered part of the second phase and must be operational no later than November 25, 1988.

According to the Executive Office for United States Trustees, Phase I of their expansion program was completed by the statutory deadline. However, many of the regions were not fully staffed, and at least one certified district had no supervisory resident staff for some months after certification. Phase II certification is proceeding in the remaining districts. As of June 30, 1988, U. S. trustees have been named to 20 of the 21 regions.

By statute, when the program becomes effective in a region, the U. S. trustee assumes responsibility for all estates in Chapter 7, 11, 12, and 13 cases filed on or after November 26, 1986. One year after the program is effective, the U. S. trustee will assume responsibility over all bankruptcy cases that were filed before November 26, 1986, and still pending, except cases in which a final report has been filed or a plan has been confirmed. In June 1987, the Bankruptcy Committee authorized the release of interim transition guidelines to facilitate the initial phases of transition to the U. S. trustee system. In order to facilitate the assumption of responsibility by trustees for the supervision of estates in cases filed prior to November 26, 1986, supplemental guidelines have been developed and distributed to the courts and trustees.

#### **Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure**

The Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules continues to work on a preliminary draft of revised Bankruptcy Rules. Extensive revisions are necessary as a result of the 1986 Bankruptcy Amendments, which extended the U. S. trustee program to a nationwide system, expanded the powers of the trustees, and created Chapter 12 for the relief of family farmers. It is anticipated that the draft will be released for public comment in October 1989.

## **Bankruptcy Administrator Program**

The transition provisions of the 1986 Bankruptcy Act deferred implementation of the U. S. trustee program in the states of North Carolina and Alabama for a period of time not to extend beyond October 1, 1992. During this time, supervision of the administration of estates in the six districts of the two states will be the responsibility of the Judiciary.

The Judicial Conference has adopted regulations concerning the establishment of the bankruptcy administrator program, including the selection and appointment of bankruptcy administrators. The courts of appeals for the circuits involved are authorized, under Judicial Conference regulation, to appoint a bankruptcy administrator in each program district to a five-year term of office. Bankruptcy administrators have been appointed by the respective courts of appeals. The bankruptcy administrators, in turn, have appointed their staffs, and all six administrator program offices are now fully operational.

The bankruptcy administrator program has been developed to meet the statutorily delineated estate administration oversight and trustee supervision requirements specified in the transition provisions of the 1986 Bankruptcy Act. Bankruptcy administrators, and individuals in the employ of administrators, are not part of the staffs of the bankruptcy judges or bankruptcy clerks. Rather, bankruptcy administrators serve as independent, non-judicial officers of the Judiciary. The administrators are under the general supervision of the appointing courts of appeals.

The regulations promulgated by the Judicial Conference specify a variety of duties relating to the supervision of trustees and estates. These include but are not limited to: (1) establishing, maintaining, and supervising panels of private trustees in Chapter 7 cases: (2) supervising the performance of Chapter 11 and 12 trustees; (3) monitoring the activities of debtors in possession and creditors' committees in Chapter 11 cases; (4) supervising the performance of Chapter 13 trustees and prescribing such periodic and annual reports from trustees as may be necessary; (5) monitoring and, when appropriate, submitting comments to the court with respect to -- (a) applications for the employment of professionals filed under 11 U.S.C. Section 327, (b) applications for compensation and reimbursement of expenses filed under 11 U.S.C. Section 330, and (c) plans filed in Chapter 11, 12, and 13 cases. Bankruptcy administrators, in discharging their statutory and regulatory responsibilities, act in the public interest. Bankruptcy administrators also work and cooperate with the appropriate U.S. attorney, judicial council of the appointing court of appeals (including the bankruptcy judge and

clerk), and other governmental agencies to insure the efficient administration of estates and the integrity of the bankruptcy system.

#### Chapter 12

The Bankruptcy Judges, United States Trustees, and Family Farmer Bankruptcy Act of 1986 provided a new operational bankruptcy relief chapter for family farmers--Chapter 12. This new chapter, which is modeled closely after Chapter 13, provides for a standing trustee to serve in every case with the debtor to act as a debtor in possession and continue to operate the farm.

The Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules drafted interim rules in order to provide guidance to the bench and bar pending completion of the rules revision process. Reports to date indicate the chapter to be operating well and there have been no significant problems noted in its implementation. During the year, there were 3,099 Chapter 12 cases filed. This relief chapter, which was enacted to address what Congress perceived to be a short-term problem, will expire on October 1, 1993.

# Bankruptcy Clerks Manual

With the assistance of the clerks and their deputies in the courts, the Court Administration Division has given its highest priority to developing a program manual for bankruptcy clerks. The manual contains a comprehensive review of the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and the Bankruptcy Rules as they relate to the operation of the clerk's office, as well as guidance on the procedures which bankruptcy clerks should follow in discharging their multiple tasks.

#### Bankruptcy Work Measurement Updates

The Court Administration Division has devoted considerable effort to refine and adjust on an interim basis the work measurement formula used to allot staff to Bankruptcy Clerks' offices. Based primarily on comments from clerks, adjustments to the formula application have been drafted which: provide credit for divisional offices; provide explicit credit for the number of judges in a court; change the noticing adjustment for noticing performed outside the clerk's office; and eliminate the deviation factor. When approved by the relevant parties, the impact of these proposed changes will be a reallocation among the bankruptcy courts of the overall number of deputy clerks positions authorized by the existing formula. While it is cost neutral, it provides for a more rational distribution of personnel throughout the court system.

## **Budget Decentralization**

In September 1987, the Judicial Conference approved a threeyear pilot budget decentralization program for the courts of appeals, district courts, and bankruptcy courts. The five courts involved in the pilot program are: the District of Arizona; the Northern District of California; the Western District of Washington; the Southern District of New York; and the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

The pilot courts were issued operating budgets by the Administrative Office for fiscal year 1988. These budgets included funding for personnel compensation, operation and maintenance, and space and facilities.

A majority of the courts are generating fund balances as a result of lapses in personnel compensation. In most cases, the courts are requesting reprogramming authority to use these balances to purchase office automation equipment. Currently, the courts are developing estimates for their fiscal year 1989 operating budgets.

The Administrative Office has contracted with the National Academy of Public Administration (NAPA) to establish a basis for evaluation of the program. The evaluation plan intends to assess the impact of the program through:

- 1. Interviews with selected officials (judges, administrative officials in the pilot courts, Administrative Office personnel);
- 2. Surveys by questionnaire to assess changes in operating behavior of the pilot courts;
- 3. Comparison of budget, accounting, and program data for the period immediately preceding the pilot to that generated during the pilot, and comparison of the same data with the courts not involved in the project; and
- 4. Determining the implications of expanding the pilot to be a national system for managing court resources.

#### **Central Violations Bureaus**

The Court Administration Division, in conjunction with other units of the Administrative Office, has begun the implementation of the Judicial Conference policy to consolidate almost all of the Central Violations Bureaus into only two located in Denver and San Antonio. The consolidation promises to save staff resources and promote a higher collection rate. Consolidation is proceeding according to schedule.

#### **Computer-Assisted Legal Research**

The program of placing general purpose Computer-Assisted Legal Research (CALR) terminals throughout the Judiciary for shared usage has been completed. These 285 terminals are located in 239 cities where there are courthouses or other court offices. Beginning in March 1988, a new program of placing CALR software, both Lexis and Westlaw, in chambers having existing compatible personal computer equipment needing no upgrades, was commenced. The provision of modems and telephone lines for this equipment was not considered to be an upgrade. Under the guidelines approved by the Judicial Conference, in order for a judicial officer to qualify for this software, \$1,000 in lawbook continuation cancellations (\$500 extra needed for each additional package) must be designated. It is expected that 350 to 400 chambers will be using CALR software by the end of this fiscal year, and over \$400,000 of lawbook cancellations will be made under this program.

## Court Interpreters Program

The Court Administration Division worked with the Federal Court Interpreters Advisory Board in developing its final report to the Director. The Board, composed of representatives of the U.S. attorneys, federal public defenders, court administrators, Federal staff court interpreters, academic training programs, and interpreter professional organizations, elaborated proposals on interpreter compensation, codes of conduct, certification issues, and training. The Division gathered the annual interpreter use by language statistics which appear in Table 23 and worked with courts which were having trouble recruiting qualified interpreters because the rates of compensation seemed inadequate.

As Reported District Court		As Reported District Cour	
Languages	Number of Times Used	Languages	Number of Times Used
Spanish Haitian Creole Cantonese Italian Arabic French Hebrew Farsi Korean Portuguese Mandarin Ortuguese Mandarin German Thai Urdu Yoruba Navaho Tagalog Greek Japanese Hindi Vietnamese Russian Punjabi Chinese Apache Sign Armenian	39,874 619 336 210 210 173 175 135 129 119 103 96 91 87 86 82 70 68 67 64 53 42 38 32 32 28	Nepalese	7 7 5 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1
Yiddish Dutch Ibo	27 20 15 14	As Reported I Bankruptcy Co	
Gujarati Pushtu Serbo Croatian Laotian Pakistani	14 12 12 9 8	Languages Sign Mandarin	Times Used

# Table 23 Interpreter Use by Language Calendar Year 1987

## **Defender Services**

#### **Death Penalty Habeas Representation**

The Defender Services Division has continued efforts to facilitate the appointment of counsel to represent state death sentenced prisoners in Federal habeas corpus proceedings. As noted in the Director's annual report for 1987, Division personnel have participated in meetings of several of the death penalty representation task forces which were established by the U.S. courts of appeals at the urging of the Defender Services Committee (then the CJA Committee). One of the most significant products of the work of these task forces was the concept of creating death penalty resource centers to be designated as community defender organizations and funded through grants under subsection (g)(2)(B)(ii) of the Criminal Justice Act.

These death penalty resource center/community defender organizations will monitor the status of death penalty cases pending in the districts they serve. The centers will recruit attorneys to represent death-sentenced Federal habeas petitioners and will provide assistance and expert advice to attorneys assigned in such cases. In addition, each center will represent a number of death-sentenced petitioners directly. Finally, each center will receive non-CJA funds to support work it will perform on behalf of death-sentenced inmates whose cases are in the state court-phase of the litigation.

The Division has assisted the Defender Services Committee in reviewing funding requests from 13 prospective resource centers, developed a "model addendum" for use by U. S. district courts in amending their CJA Plans to authorize the establishment of death penalty resource center/community defender organizations and drafted special terms and conditions to govern the CJA grants to resource centers. Division personnel also spoke and conducted workshops at an American Bar Association death penalty resource planning conference attended by Federal and state judges, public defenders and other persons involved in efforts to assure representation for persons sentenced to death.

Finally, the Division provided assistance to the Defender Services Committee in reviewing requests for special alternative attorney compensation rates for death penalty representation. To date, special rates have been established for 18 judicial districts, and the Committee will recommend that the Judicial Conference approve special rates for an additional 3 districts.

## **Project to Expedite CJA Payments**

In the last half of 1987, pilot projects were established in several districts (Central California; Southern New York; New Mexico and Eastern Virginia) to test procedures for expediting payments to attorneys and experts providing services under the Criminal Justice Act. The procedures being tested require clerks' offices to enter all fiscal and statistical data from the CJA vouchers, via modems, into the Administrative Office's automated CJA Claims System. Payment information is taken from this system and checks are issued by the Administrative Office within three days after the receipt of the payment data. Based upon a preliminary evaluation the procedures appear to be successful. This evaluation will be relayed to judges and clerks of court and their views on implementing the procedures nationwide will be solicited. In the interim, courts wishing to begin employing the new procedures on a voluntary basis will be permitted to do so.

## Training Program on Sentencing Guidelines

In cooperation with the Defender Services Division and the Training Subcommittee of the Federal Defender Advisory Committee, the Federal Judicial Center has developed a video program and written materials for use by the courts in presenting training programs on the sentencing guidelines for private attorneys who are members of CJA panels. The Division is coordinating the distribution of the training package. The Division anticipates that about 6,000 attorneys will participate in this program for an estimated total cost of \$140,000.

## Federal Defender Administrative and Automation Conference

In February 1988, the Defender Services Division conducted a conference in Washington, D.C., for persons serving as administrative assistants and branch office secretaries in Federal defender organization offices. Topics covered at the conference included budget and grant preparation, automation trends in the Judiciary, equipment acquisition procedures, and the Division's case management and statistical reporting program. Conference participants received extensive "hands on" word processing training as well as lectures on orientation to computers, the operating system for microcomputers, data communications, care and maintenance of computers, and future automation applications.

## **Electronic Sound Recording**

The number of district judges using electronic sound recording equipment to record their proceedings rather than using stenotype or shorthand reporters increased to 64 active and senior judges in 27 districts. In addition, 118 bankruptcy judges in 42 districts have elected to use recording equipment in lieu of contract court reporters. The judges and parties have found that using recording equipment with a trained operator preparing log notes, makes it possible to obtain accurate transcripts on a timely basis, with considerable cost savings accruing to the courts. Funding to improve the quality of magistrates records by using operators to produce the record has not been available.

## **Equal Employment Opportunity**

In prior years, pursuant to a Judicial Conference resolution, an analysis and evaluation of the implementation of equal employment opportunity plans in the Federal courts was included in the Director's Annual Report to the Judicial Conference submitted at the September Conference session. At its September 1986 session, the Judicial Conference adopted revisions to the Judiciary Equal Employment Opportunity Program that, among other things, changed the annual reporting period to the 12-month period ending September 30 and required the Director to submit a report on the Program to the March Conference session. Accordingly, an analysis and evaluation of the Program is no longer included in the Director's Annual Report submitted at the September Conference session. A report on the Program covering the period from June 1, 1986 through September 30, 1987, was submitted at the March 1988 Conference session. The next annual report on the Program covering the 12-month period ending September 30, 1988, will be submitted to the Conference at its March 1989 session.

#### Financial and Management Audits

The Audit Branch of the Financial Management Division was realigned in April 1988 to include financial auditor positions transferred from the former Office of Audit and Review. The primary mission of the Audit Branch is to perform financial audits of the courts. These audits include both cyclical financial audits and special audits. The cyclical audits are performed to provide assurance to court management that fiscal operations are sound. The special audits are requested by the court when there is a change of clerk or financial deputy clerk. These audits are performed to ensure accountability for financial operations. Special audits also include fund verifications such as large registry funds.

During the calendar year 1987, the Audit Branch (and its predecessor organization) performed 60 financial audits of the district, bankruptcy, and appeals courts. Of these 60 audits, approximately 15, or 25 percent, were special audits requested by the courts. Consequently, the current cycle for performing cyclical financial audits is approximately once every four years. Recommendations in these audits addressed management control, collections and deposits, disbursement of appropriated funds, procurement and property control, and non-appropriated funds. There were recommendations made as follows: management control (139), collections and deposits (175), disbursement of appropriated funds (33), procurement and property control (38), and non-appropriated funds (21).

Management audits were conducted in three U. S. district courts and seven U. S. bankruptcy courts in fiscal year 1988. In addition, one U. S. district court probation department was audited. Officials of the courts audited requested each review.

## FERS and its impact

As a result of Public Law 99-335 which established the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS), all employees covered under the Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS) were given a six month open season from July 1 to December 31, 1987, to make an irrevocable decision to transfer to FERS. The Judiciary had approximately 10,000 employees who were eligible to transfer to FERS of which 274 elected to be covered, amounting to a little better than 3 percent transferring to FERS.

A high percentage of Judiciary employees have taken advantage of the tax deferred voluntary savings created by the FERS Act. Each year, there will be two opportunities for employees to join the savings plan or change their deduction. Employees covered under FERS may place some of their savings in a common stock fund account or a fixed income (bond) fund account in addition to the government securities fund. Employees covered under CSRS may only invest monies in the government securities fund. Beginning in 1988, employees participating in the savings plan are eligible to make loans against their own contributions for financial hardships, educational needs, the purchase of a primary residence, and medical expenses.

## Implementation of an Automated System for Financial Disclosure and Assumption of the Function by the Administrative Office

In late 1987, the Administrative Office assumed responsibility for processing the Financial Disclosure Reports of judicial officers and judicial employees subject to the Ethics in Government Act. Prior to that time, the processing was handled in the Chambers of the Committee Chairman. Substantive review of the Reports continues to be the responsibility of the Judicial Ethics Committee members, all of whom are Article III Judges.

To ensure timely filing of Financial Disclosure Reports and to more effectively track the flow of over 5,000 reports and related documents through the review process, an automated system was developed. With this system, at any give time, information can be obtained on whether or not a Report has been received and where it is in the review process. The automated system permits the Judicial Ethics Committee to promptly notify any filer who misses a deadline, thus providing for more timely filing of Reports and amendments.

#### Magistrates

#### Statistical Reporting System

The Administrative Office is statutorily assigned the responsibility of collecting statistical data on the workload of the U. S. magistrates. In the past year, the Division of Magistrates has developed an efficient automated system to collect and maintain this data. The old DEC mainframe processing system was replaced by a database system for microcomputers. The computers compile information quickly and can generate tables and reports. The new system became fully operational at the beginning of statistical year 1988. Through the year, the system was enhanced to permit the Division to answer special information requests from individual courts and the U.S. Sentencing Commission. Next year, the Division will explore the feasibility of providing magistrates with the capability of transmitting their statistical data directly to the Division by electronic means.

#### Support Services Provided to Magistrates

During the year, the Committee on the Administration of the Federal Magistrates System approved 10 additional law clerks for magistrates. A temporary law clerk was also authorized and funded for a recalled magistrate. A total of 287 permanent law clerk positions have been authorized for magistrates and 276 have been funded.

At its March 1988 session, the Judicial Conference increased the maximum grade of magistrates' secretaries from JSP-10 to JSP-11. Implementation of the increase is subject to the availability of appropriated funds.

The Magistrates Division continues to perform general oversight duties relating to the daily administration of the Federal Magistrates System. Those duties include assisting magistrates with the administrative and procedural matters which arise in establishing and maintaining their offices. The Division also maintains a system for reimbursement of the secretariai, clerical and miscellaneous office expenses of part-time magistrates.

# Assistance to Magistrates Concerning Choice of Retirement Systems

The Division of Magistrates continued to assist magistrates in choosing retirement systems best-suited to their needs. Magistrates had been covered under the same Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS) which applied to Federal employees generally. When magistrates became subject to social security taxes in 1984, however, some magistrates elected to participate in a modified version of the CSRS plan. In 1987, CSRS benefits for magistrates were enhanced to equal the benefits provided bankruptcy judges and members of Congress. The passage of the new Federal Employees' Retirement System (FERS) afforded magistrates the opportunity to remain in their existing retirement plan or to transfer to FERS.

Because magistrates' coverage under CSRS is different from most other Federal employees, specialized information was prepared by the Division to assist magistrates in deciding which retirement system to choose. The Division used computer software to furnish magistrates with projected benefits under the different retirement plans.

#### Probation

#### Sentencing Guidelines Implementation

The Probation Division assisted the Federal Judicial Center and the U.S. Sentencing Commission in providing a national training program on the new sentencing guidelines for personnel in the Federal judicial system. The initial phase of the program provided training to at least one judge and one probation officer from each district. The program's second phase involved in-court training programs which were conducted at the district court level. Personnel from the Administrative Office, including the Probation Division and the Office of General Counsel, provided instruction. Probation Division staff are continuing to provide training locally in the various district courts. In addition, one staff member was loaned to the U.S. Sentencing Commission for four months to participate in developing a guideline telephone assistance service. Probation staff from 16 districts also assisted the Commission by field testing the ASSYST computer program for guideline application.

During the past year, the Probation Division published "Presentence Investigation Reports Under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984" which has been designated Publication 107. This manual was produced under the auspices of the Committee on the Administration of the Probation System of the Judicial Conference, and provides guidance to probation officers on the preparation of presentence reports under the Sentencing Reform Act. In conjunction with the revision of the presentence monograph, a task force composed of representatives from the district courts, the Probation Division, and the Federal Judicial Center developed a new and comprehensive model for the financial investigation of Federal defendants. This task force produced a "Financial Investigation Handbook," and implemented a nationwide training program under the sponsorship of the Federal Judicial Center which trained at least one probation officer from each district who later provided instruction at the district level.

The Administrative Office and the U.S. Sentencing Commission entered into an agreement to facilitate implementation of the sentencing guidelines. The purpose of the agreement was to define working relationships and protocols between the Administrative Office and the Sentencing Commission, and to coordinate implementation of the guidelines. In addition, the Administrative Office and the Sentencing Commission reached a second agreement which defined the documentation to be provided by the Federal district courts. Title 28 U.S.C. Section 994(w) gives the Commission authority to request information and documents necessary in order to prepare reports and recommendations for Congress. After discussions with the Criminal Law and Probation Administration Committee and with the Administrative Office, the Sentencing Commission requested that probation officers provide guideline worksheets, the presentence report, the statement of reasons for imposing sentence, any plea agreement, and the judgment of conviction for each defendant sentenced under guidelines.

## **Electronic Monitoring**

The U.S. probation offices in the Central District of California and the Southern District of Florida are conducting an 18-month Community Control Project which commenced in January 1988 on electronic monitoring of persons released from prison. According to the Mission Statement of the Interagency Agreement between the Administrative Office, the judicial districts involved, the U.S. Parole Commission, and the Bureau of Prisons, probation offices in the above districts will attempt to determine whether community control with electronic monitoring is a viable alternative to community treatment center placement for a select group of persons released directly from prisons. The pilot project involves a maximum daily average of 100 inmates paroled directly from Federal institutions to the districts. Selected inmates have their parole dates advanced, and spend 2-4 months of initial supervision under home detention/electronic monitoring. Funding for the electronic monitoring service (EMS) is being provided by the Bureau of Prisons. The U.S. Parole Commission is directing the evaluation of this project.

## Supervision Study

In July 1986, the Committee on the Administration of the Probation System approved a study of current supervision practices. The Probation Division has completed the study, and reported its findings to the Criminal Law and Probation Administration Committee at its June 1988 meeting. Analysis of the data, collected between November 1986 and March 1987, on 600 cases from 8 districts, was provided by the Federal Judicial Center. The Center plans to release a report in the near future based on this study.

There has been growing interest within the Judiciary and the Congress concerning the age, relevance, and accuracy of the Judiciary's work measurement formulas. This concern, added to the impact of new legislation, automation advances and the changing judicial environment, necessitates updating the formulas. In response, the Office of Planning and Evaluation developed an approach to review and revise work measurement formulas over a 36 to 48 month period. The Office will conduct work measurement studies of circuit, district, and bankruptcy court clerks' offices, probation offices, and pretrial services offices. The project will be staffed by Administrative Office and court staff, and will make use of advisory committees and outside consultants.

## Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (JSAS)

There has been a steady decrease in JSAS participation by newly appointed judges since 1982 (Table 24). Actual participants have declined from 687 in 1982 to 570 in 1988. This represents a decrease from 78.5 percent of the total eligible to 53 percent of the total. The number of annuitants has remained relatively constant since 1979, with a deviation of only 1 percent change noted.

The JSAS undisbursed balance (Table 25) has increased steadily through the years and annual reviews by outside parties have continued to find it sound actuarially. The investment holdings, par amounts, and interest rates are listed in Table 26.

		Judicial Officials	Survivor Annuitants					
Year	On the Partic Year Roll ipatin		Per- cent- age	Num- ber*	Aver- age Annuity			
1981	838	683	82	195	11,156			
1982	875	687	79	197	12,197			
1983	889	680	77	198	12,862			
1984	908	668	74	185	14,435			
1985	941	661	73	197	13,587			
1986	999	653	65	197	14,543			
1987	1,036	586	57	192	16,112			
1988	1,064	570	53	194	17,812			

# Table 24 Judicial Survivors' Annuities System 1981 through 1988

\* Spouses only. Children receiving an annuity: 10 (1983); 9 (1984); 11 (1985); 8 (1986); and 3 (1987).

Table 25
Judicial Survivors' Annuities System
Receipts, Disbursements, and Balances
1981 through 1988
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Judicial Survivors' Annuities Fund	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
	1901	1902	1963	1904	1965	1900	1967	1900
Balance July 1:								
investments (face amount)	\$56,076	\$63,387	\$71,069	\$78,171	\$88,042	\$98,397	\$109,261	\$116,046
Undisbursed Balance	370	176	185	224	251	193	304	154
Balance July 1	56,446	63,563	71,254	78,395	88,293	98,590	109,565	116,200
Receipts:								
Direct Appropriation								
(P.L. 95-26)	616	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Salary Deductions	1,889	2,485	2,237	2,244	2,342	2,392	2,522	2,61
Agency Contributions	1,889	2,485	2,237	2,244	2,342	2,392	1,420	
Service Credit Payments	19	20	15	8	20	24	220	2
Interest on Investments	4,053	6,031	6,939	7,993	9,314	10,696	11,474	12,55
Discounts on Investments (net)	983	-343	-1,374	379	-552	-1,242	-1,824	-2,564
Repayments of Accrued Interest	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Receipts	9,449	10,678	10,054	12,868	13,466	14,262	13,812	12,62
Disbursements:								
Payments to Annuitants	2,175	2,502	2,557	2,570	2,622	2,751	2,983	3,283
Death Claims	4	52	32	5	26	4	4	
Refunds	38	27	77	131	235	243	4,120	277
Accrued Interest Purchases	115	406	246	264	286	289	70	219
Total Disbursements	2,332	2,987	2,912	2,970	3,169	3,287	7,177	3,788
Balance June 30:								
Investments (face amount)	63.387	71,069	78,171	88.042	98.397	109.261	116,046	124,79
Undisbursed Balance	176	185	225	251	193	304	154	24
Balance June 30	63,563	71,254	78,396	88,293	98,590	109,565	116,200	125,039

Interest Rate	Type of Investment	Par Amount
4-1/4 percent	U.S. Treasury Bonds 08/15/87-92	\$ 722,000
4-1/8 percent	U.S. Treasury Bonds 05/15/89-94	167,000
3-1/2 percent	U.S. Treasury Bonds 02/15/90	188,500
8-1/2 percent	U.S. Treasury Bonds 05/15/94-99	1,738,000
3 percent	U.S. Treasury Bonds 02/15/95	51,000
7 percent	U.S. Treasury Bonds 05/15/93-98	195,000
3-1/2 percent	U.S. Treasury Bonds 11/15/98	113,500
8-1/2 percent	MK Bonds 05/15/94-99	2,571,000
8-3/8 percent	MK Bonds 08/15/95-00	46,552,000
8-1/4 percent	MK Bonds 05/15/00-05	1,144,000
7-5/8 percent	MK Bonds 02/15/02-07	550,000
11-3/4 percent	MK Bonds 02/15/01	2,676,000
11-3/4 percent	MK Bonds 02/15/05-10	866,000
13-1/8 percent	MK Bonds 5/15/01	1,480,000
13-3/8 percent	MK Bonds 8/15/01	3,134,000
15-3/4 percent	MK Bonds 11/15/01	8,223,000
14-1/4 percent	MKBonds 2/15/02	3,342,000
10-3/4 percent	MK Bonds 2/15/03	2,125,000
11-1/8 percent	MK Bonds 8/15/03	3,542,000
11-7/8 percent	MK Bonds 11/15/03	4,383,000
12-3/8 percent	MK Bonds 5/15/04	3,229,000
13-3/4 percent	MK Bonds 8/25/04	3,794,00
11-5/8 percent	MK Bonds 11/15/04	3,874,000
12 percent	MK Bonds 5/15/05	17,112,000
9-3/4 percent	MK Bonds 2/15/06	13,023,000
Total Inve	stment Holdings (Par Value)	\$124,795,000

Table 26 Judicial Survivors' Annuity Fund Investment Holdings as of June 30, 1988

## REPORT OF COMPLAINTS AND ACTION TAKEN UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTION 372(c)

Any person alleging that a Federal judge or magistrate has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts, or that such officer cannot discharge all the duties of the office because of physical cr mental disability, may file a complaint with the clerk of the appropriate court of appeals or national court (Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c)). Such complaints are initially reviewed by the chief judge of the court, who may dismiss the complaint if it is not in compliance with the filing provisions of Section 372(c); directly related to the merits of a judicial decision; or frivolous; chief judges may also conclude the proceeding if corrective action has been taken. Otherwise, they shall appoint a special committee to investigate the allegations in the complaint on behalf of the judicial council. The judicial councils are granted power to take appropriate action, except that in no circumstances may the council order the removal from office of a judge appointed to serve during good behavior under Article III of the Constitution.

The disposition of complaints is not judicially reviewable on appeal (as provided by Section 372(c)(10)). The complainant or the judicial officer may, however, petition the judicial council for review of any order of a chief judge dismissing a complaint. Petition may also be made to the Judicial Conference for review of judicial council orders issued after special committee investigation. The Conference is permitted to act on such petitions directly or to establish a standing committee to take final action on its behalf. In July 1982, the Chief Justice appointed the Judicial Conference Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders, consisting of three judges, who act for the Conference in its review responsibility under Section 372(c)(10).

During 1988, the number of complaints filed against judicial officers declined 10 percent from 237 in 1987 to 213. The overall decrease is due, in large part, to the substantial decline in complaints filed in the Ninth Circuit, (down 19 complaints). In contrast, the number of complaints filed in the Sixth Circuit nearly doubled from 15 in 1987 to 28 in 1988. Although the Ninth Circuit reported a significant decline in the number of complaints filed, it still had the largest number of complaints (34) primarily because it has the largest number of judicial officers. Conversely, no complaints were filed in the U.S. Court of International Trade. Table 27 summarizes judicial complaint activity from 1983 through 1988.

		Percent Change					
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1988/1987
Filed	149	177	104	312	237	213	-10.1
Concluded	129	161	215	280	244	222	-9.0
By Chief Judges	99	107	187	227	208	182	-12.5
Dismissed	90	191	179	218	198	173	-12.6
Corrective Action Taken	7	5	7	8	8	4	-"
Withdrawn	2	1	1	1	2	5	-**
By Judicial Councils	30	54	28	53	36	40	11.1
Dismissed	30	53	27	53	35	37	5.7
Action Taken	-	1	1	-	-	3	
Referred to Judicial Conference	-	-	-	-	1	-	-**
Pending on June 30	32	48	27	59	52	43	-17.3

#### Table 27 Judicial Complaints Filed, Concluded, and Pending 1983 through 1988

Revised

\* Percent not calculated on fewer than 10 cases.

Each individual complaint filed may involve multiple allegations against numerous court officials. As in prior years, the allegation cited most often (in 90 complaints) was that a judicial officer acted in a prejudicial or biased manner. Eighty-eight alleged abuse of judicial power and 50 alleged "other" conduct that was detrimental to the effective and expeditious administration of justice. Almost all of these "other" allegations, however, were outside the jurisdiction of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c) because they were directly related to the merits of a court's decision in the original case. Table 28 provides, by circuit, judicial complaint activity during 1988.

The number of complaints concluded during 1988 also declined but at a slower rate than filings. The courts concluded 222 complaints, down 9 percent from a year ago. Since terminations outnumbered filings, the pending caseload dropped 17 percent. There were 43 complaints pending on June 30, 1988.

As in prior years, the overwhelming majority of complaints (182 or 82 percent) were concluded by the chief judges. They dismissed 173 of the complaints, ruling that 144 were directly related to the merits of the original case; 18 were frivolous; and 11 were not in conformance with the statute. Five complaints were withdrawn by the complainant and, in the other four, appropriate action had already been taken.

The remaining 40 complaints were disposed of by the judicial councils of the circuits. Thirty-four of these complaints reached the judicial councils by way of petition for review, while the other six were referred to the councils by the chief judge after a special investigative committee had been appointed under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c) (4)(A). The councils dismissed 37 of the 40 complaints. In one case, the council privately censured the judicial officer. In the other two cases, the council ordered other appropriate action which consisted of a private warning.

One petition for review of a complaint which had been referred to the Judicial Conference Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders under Section 372(c)(10) was pending as of July 1, 1987. During 1988, the Committee concluded this complaint by reprimanding the judicial officer. The Judicial Conference also acted directly on another matter which was referred by the Fifth Circuit Judicial Council under Section 372(c)(7)(B)(i). As provided in Section 372(c)(8), the Conference therein certified to the House of Representatives that consideration of impeachment of U. S. District Judge Walter L. Nixon, Jr., of the Southern District of Mississippi, may be warranted.

	Carsula										National Court					
Burnery of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	tat	2rd	24	-mn	38h	<b>fan</b>	70	an	<b>se</b> h	10m	110	22	СП
amplaining Panaling on July 1, 1987	<b>1</b> 2'		1	0	5	2	2		4	0	1	18	12	,	o	٥
mpisinis filed	213	2	9	7	27	50	IJ	17		16	18	34	15	10	5	0
Otherate Completing About																
Added	1 1															
Croul	55			-	2			12			-	17	,		1	
	151	•			16			ň	2	10		ž			•	•
National Courts	6	•	•	•	10	•	•		4				14	*	:	•
Barkupicy Judges	24	•	•	÷	ś				ż	:	•	;	•			•
		•	•	'	•	1	3		ž	- 1	:	3		1	•	•
Maget and	43	•	•	•	4	1	2	'	2	3		9	3	\$	·	•
Nature of Allegations																
Mental Datability	10	•	•		3	1	1	2	•		1		1	1		
Physical Deabler	2		•	•	ī						1					
Demeanor	12					1			1				1	2 (		
Abuse of Judicial Power					23	i	4	6	1	6		26	i.			- 1
Freudice/Best	10				10		10	13		- 2	11	10	10			-
Conflict of Interest	19		:	-				1			1	2		- : !		
8 they Compton	21				- 1			2				6			•	•
Untur Decisional Delay	20	•		•		•	•					;		· · ;		•
incompetence/agiect	7	•	•	•	1	•			4	3		;		- 11		•
Chur	50	ż	i	3	3	3	:	à.	13			÷	3	2	1	
apisinis Concluded	222	,		4	31		14	27	25	14	15	47		14		0
Action By Chief Judges						· ·									. <u> </u>	
Comptaint Domissed														- i		
Not in Conformity With Statute	11			•			,	1	•	2		3				
Directly Related to Decision		•	,	•	•	·	•	•		•	,	-	•		•	•
or Procedural Ruling	144	2	1	3	13	7	6	19	14	6	13	37	6	14	3	•
Finolous	18	•	٠	1	•	•	4	•		2	•	4		•	1	•
Appropriate Action Already Taken	4	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	-	•	3	1	•	•	
Complaint Withdrawn	5	•	•	•	3			•	1	•	•		1	- !	•	•
SIEROIN	182	2	2	5	16	7	12	20	22	10	13	47		- 14	4	٥
Action By Judicial Councils																
Deacted Chief Detrict Judge to														1		
Take Action (Magetrates only)		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Centiled Deabling	• • •	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Requested Voluntary Retirement	• •	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•
Ordered Temporary Suspension														- 1		
of Case Assignments	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠		•	•	•	• 1	•	٠
Privately Centurid	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	٠	•	•	•	•	•
Publicly Censured	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	٠	•	•	• 1	•	•
Demised the Complane	37		2	•	15	2	2	7	3	1	z		3	• 1	•	
Referred Compleint to Judicial Conference	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Scholal	40	D	5	٥	15	2	2	7	з	4	2	0	3	0	0	0
mplaints Pending on June 30, 1968	43		0	2	1	3	1	0	7	2	2	6	16	3	1	0

T Report of Completing Field and Action Taken Under Authority of Tile 26 U.S.C. Section 372(c)

CC - U.S. Claims Court CIT - Court of Internation al Trache

Revised

legations against numerous judicial of eri

# REPORT OF FEES AND EXPENSES AWARDED UNDER THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT

# THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT

The Equal Access to Justice Act, reauthorized by Public Law 99-80, 99 Stat. 183. lessens the financial burden on private parties who prevail against the U.S. Government in agency adversary adjudications or non-tort civil actions, by authorizing the award of attorney fees and expert witness fees. The Act also covers outlays for any study, analysis, engineering report, test, or project necessary in building a case. To be eligible for such an award, the party must prevail and meet certain financial requirements of the statute. Even when the private party prevails against the U.S. Government and is determined to be financially eligible, the attorney fees and other expenses requested can be denied if the U.S. Government can demonstrate that its position was "substantially justified". Applications for fees may also be denied if the court finds that the private litigant used delaying tactics or if special circumstances exist which would make an award unjust. This is the seventh report submitted by the Director in accordance with Title 28, U.S.C. Section 2412(d)(5).

## AMENDMENT TO THE 1987 REPORT

After the 1987 Report was published, data were received on 14 additional fee determinations made prior to June 30, 1987. All 14 cases involved the Department of Health and Human Services and, in each case, the court granted attorney fees. These cases are included in Table 29, and raised the total number of equal access applications terminated during 1987 to 496. The Federal courts granted nearly 81 percent of the applications and awarded a total of \$3,878,033 to the successful applicants.

Year	Total	Applications	Applications	Total
	Applications	Denied	Granted	Awarded
1983	141	93	48	\$778,502
1984	296	130	166	\$1,417,211
1985	577	157	420	\$1,912,768
1986	672	108	564	\$2,567,910
1987	496	95	401	\$3,878,033
1988	498	96	402	\$1,994,299
Percent Change 1988/1987	0.4	1.1	0.2	-48.6

Table 29 Decisions on Equal Access to Justice Act Applications . 1983 Through 1988

\*Revised

## SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS OF 1988 DATA

During 1988, the number of equal access applications disposed of by the Federal courts stabilized. There were 498 dispositions in 1988, only slightly above (less than 1 percent) the 496 dispositions in 1987. Approximately 85 percent (421) of the terminated applications were decided in the U.S. district courts. Three districts, New Jersey (85 applications); Pennsylvania, Eastern (33 applications); and New York, Western (31 applications) accounted for 30 percent of all equal access dispositions. The U.S. Courts of Appeals decided 67 equal access applications with the Eighth Circuit terminating the most (18 applications). The U.S. Claims Court disposed of 9 applications and the U.S. Court of International Trade disposed of 1 application. Table 30 summarizes equal access activity during 1988.

Although the U.S. Supreme Court did not dispose of any equal access applications, the court did grant certiorari in <u>Pierce v. Under-wood</u>, 108 S.Ct. 2541 (1988), to determine circumstances under which the U.S. Government must pay attorney fees to winning plaintiffs and what "special factors" may justify increasing those fees beyond the statutory cap of \$75 per hour. The court held that if the U.S. Government is not substantially justified in its position it is liable for attorney fees. "Substantially justified" was interpreted to mean a position which has basis in both law and fact and could satisfy a reasonable person. The court also held that in order to preserve the Act's \$75 per hour cap, "special factors" cannot be of broad and general application. Therefore, factors such as "novelty and difficulty of the issues," "the work and ability of the counsel," and "the undesirability of the case" do not qualify as "special" since they are applicable to a broad spectrum of litigation.

Once again, the vast majority of equal access applications (448 or 90 percent) were filed against the Department of Health and Human Services. Nearly all of these cases continue to involve the termination of disability benefits under the Social Security Act. The Federal courts awarded attorney fees in 85 percent of these cases because they ruled that the Social Security Administration had terminated a recipient's disability benefits without substantial justification. Twenty-four other agencies were involved in at least one equal access decision.

Approximately 68 percent (338 applications) of the actions concluded this year were original applications under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 2412(d)(1)(A), after judgment in a civil case against the United States Government. Another 23 percent (117 applications) were original applications under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 2412(d)(3) after the court

		Applicat	ions Denled		Applications Gran	ed	Nature and Amount of Awards					
Courl/Agency	Appii- cations	Number	Total Fees and Expenses Claimed	Number	Total Fees and Expenses Claimed	Totai Amount Awarded	<u>A</u>	8	c	D		
Total	498	96	\$1,447,434	402	\$2,549,298	\$1,994,299	\$1,967,035	\$8,160	\$3,605	\$15,499		
S. Courts of Appeals												
Dept. of the Army	2	2	50,400	-		-	-		-			
Dept. of Commerce	2	2	124,035	-	-	-	-		-			
Dept of Defense	1	1	8,032	•	-	-	-	-	÷			
Dept. of Health and												
Human Services	41	26	169,212	15	72,987	56,394	54,856	-	-	1,53		
Dept. of the interior	2	- 1	-	2	140,671	74,000	74,000	-	-			
Dept. of Justice	2	2	25,000	-	•	-	-	-	-			
Dept. of Labor	1	1	36,000	-	•	-	-	-	•			
Dept. of Transportation	3	2	26,000	1	9,400	9,400	9,400	-	•			
Dept. of the Treasury	1	1	19,000	-	-	- 1	-	-	-			
Drug Enforcement Agency	1	1	-**	-		-	-	-	-			
Environmental Protection Agency	2	1	65,183	t (	30,000	30,000	30,000	-	•			
Internal Revenue Service	2	1	8,802	1	5,500	5,500	5,500	•	-			
Interstate Commerce Commission	1	•	-	1	71,561	67,046	67,046	-	-			
National Labor Relations Board	3	2	180,002	1	12,000	12,000	12,000	-	-			
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	1	-	-	1	60,514	60,514	59,810	•	•	70		
Office of Personnel Management	1	1		-	•	•	-	•	-			
Securities Exchange Commission	1 1	-	-	1	62,418	62,418	59,457	-	•	2,96		

Table 30 Decisions on Applications for Equal Access to Justice Act Awards By Type of Court and Agency 1988
				(continu	ed)		·			
		Applicatio	ns Denied	A	plications Granted		Nati	ire and Amour	t of Awa	ds •
Court/Agency	Appli- cations	Number	Total Fees and Expenses Claimed	Number	Total Fees and Expenses Claimed	Total Arnount Awarded	•	В	c	0
J.S. Claims Court	1									
Dept. of the Air Force	2	-	-	2	40,927	36,039	35,570			469
Dept. of the Army	2	2	244,525	- 1			- 1	-	•	-
Dept. of Energy	1	l •	-	1	97,702	22,500	22,500	-	-	
Dept. of the interior	2	1	32,882	1	418,759	311,000	311,000	-	-	
Dept. of the Navy	1 1	-	-	1	9,449	9,449	9,449	-	-	-
General Services Administration	1	1	147,553	-	-	•	- 1	•	-	•
U.S. Court of International Trade		[					ł			
Dept. of the Treasury	1	1	14,000		-	-	-		-	-
U.S. District Courts										
Dept. of Agriculture	3	2	22,810	1	52,907	8,760	4,606	-		4,154
Dept. of Health and Human Services	407	40	127,442	367	1.041,755	887,037	881,788	-	-	5,249
Dept. of the interior	4	3	22,903	1	112,109	41,292	29,527	8,160	3,605	
Dept. of Labor	1 1	} -	-	1	49,689	40,000	40,000	-	•	
Environmental Protection Agency	1	1 -	-	1	:10,000	30.000	30,000	-	-	
Immigration and Naturalization Service	1 1	-	-	1	228,185	228,185	227,761	-	-	424
Office of Workers	1	}					1			
Compensation Program	1	- I	-	1	2,765	2,765	2,765	-		
Office of Personnel Management	2	2	111,939	- 1	-			-		
Small Business Administration	1 1	[ 1	11,714	-		-	-	-	-	

#### Table 30 Decisions on Applications for Equal Access to Justice Act Awards By Type of Court and Agency 1988 (continued)

\*\* A = Attorney Fees

B - Study, Analysis, Engineering Report, Test, or Project

C - Expert Wilness Feas

D = Other, including photocopying costs, telephone expenses, and transportation costs.

\*\* Petitioner did not specify an amount in the request for attorney fees.

reviewed an agency decision. Five equal access decisions were made after a petition for leave to appeal an administrative agency's fee determination had been filed pursuant to Title 5 U.S.C. Section 504(c)(2). In all five cases, the administrative agency had denied attorney fees. The court affirmed the agency's denial of attorney fees in two cases and reversed in three.

Thirty-eight applications involved an appeal of a lower court decision. The appellate court affirmed the lower court's decision in its entirety in 25 cases and reversed in 11 cases. In the other 2 cases, the appellate court affirmed the granting of an award but reduced the amount awarded. Cases remanded to the lower court for further proceedings are not included in this report.

Federal courts granted 402 (81 percent) requests for attorney fees and other expenses, awarding a total of \$1,994,299. This is <u>not</u> the amount actually received by successful applicants, however, because many awards are appealed. As in prior years, the vast majority (nearly 99 percent) of the total amount awarded was for attorney fees. The courts also awarded \$15,499 for other expenses such as travel and photocopying costs; \$3,605 for expert witness fees; and \$8,160 for the preparation of a report.

Compared to 1987, the total number of awards during 1988 was virtually the same; however, the total amount awarded dropped nearly 49 percent. The substantial decline in the total amount awarded is due to two very large awards (totalling \$2,166,849) granted during 1987. During 1988, the largest award concerned a contract action filed against the Department of the Interior. Pursuant to a stipulated agreement, the court awarded the successful applicant \$311,000. In another case, the court awarded \$228,185 because the applicants were successful in changing the Immigration and Naturalization Service's practices and patterns of conducting searches and making arrests. No other awards were in excess of \$100,000.

Of the 96 denied applications, nearly two-thirds (63 applications) were denied because the court found the U.S. position "substantially justified" as reasonable in law and fact. Fourteen were denied because the applicant was not the prevailing party; 8 because the application was not filed in a timely fashion; 4 because the court did not have jurisdiction to hear the request; 4 because special circumstances made an award unjust; 2 because the applicant should have filed under another feeshifting statute; and 1 because the applicant was previously granted an award and that original award was held to be sufficient.

Two of the four "special circumstances" cases were denied because the parties had agreed to settle the case with both parties paying their own attorney fees. In another case, the application was denied because the court held the Equal Access to Justice Act does not apply to pro se representations. The fourth application was denied because the applicant did not properly support the request. The applicant failed to itemize attorney fees and other expenses and, consequently, there was nothing on which the court could base an award.

# SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

# ANNUAL REPORT

# OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

### OF THE

UNITED STATES COURTS

**TWELVE MONTH PERIOD** 

ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

During	the Tw	eive Mo.	nth P	eriod	Endec	June	30, 1	A98						
							Circu	t					_	
Agency	Total	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	
Total	3,043	1,066	60	160	160	185	269	278	145	111	368	110	111	
Benefits Review Board		11	8	3	27	80	13	100	11	19	2	1	9	
Bonneville Power Authority	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	
Commodity Futures Trading Commission	14	•		,	_	_	1	_	•	_		_	_	
Department of Interior	10	8	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	i	-	-	
Department of Labor		3	-	1	13	-	7	2	2	2	10	13	-	
Department of Transportation	33	19	-	1	-	-	2	-	1	1	3	3	3	
Environmental Protection Agency	184	116	1	5	4	,	39	2		_	6	3	1	
Federal Aviation			-	3		•		-		-	-	-	-	
Administration	28	4	1	-	1	-	3	2	1	-	11	1	4	
Federal Communications Commission	86	76	_	3	_	_	-	1	_		5	_	1	
Federal Energy Regulatory			-	Ŧ		-	-		_	_			•	
Commission	377	267	6	5	6	4	56	5	2	3	10	13	-	
Federal Labor Relations Authority	440	389	1		3	10	1	5	_	-	15	2	10	
Federal Mine Safety			•	•	Ŭ	••	•				10	•	10	
& Health Review Commission	15	11	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	•	-	-	-	
Federal Reserve System Immigration and Naturalization	32	16	-	13	-	-	-	••	2	-	-	-	1	
Service	183	3	6	13	2	6	54	3	2	3	76	7	8	
Interstate Commerce														
Commission	77	31	-	5	-	1	10	4	6	7	6	2	5	
Board	491	25	15	75	60	30	23	91	50	30	63	10	19	
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	20	4	4	1	3	1	1	1	1	-	1	3	-	
Occupational Safety and Health Administration	18,	10	-	1	4	1	1	_	-	1	_	-	-	
				•	•	•	•	_	-	•		-	-	
Office of Workers Compensation Program	57		2	_	2	5		4	15	-	11	4	14	
Railroad Retirement Board	14	5	-	-	2	2	-	i	-	2	-	1	1	
U.S. Tax Court	512	32	13	36	29	40	47	48	38	37	119	44	29	
Other*	102	32	3	11	4	4	11	5	5	8	12	3	6	

# Table 5-1 U.S. Courts of Appeals Appeals Filed by Circuit Involving Administrative Agency Decisions During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

Includes all agencies involved in less than ten appeals during the twelve month period.

#### TABLE S-2 U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS APPEALS DISPOSED OF AFTER ORAL HEARING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1984 THROUGH 1988

CIRCUIT	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE 1988/ 1987
TOTAL	9,061	9,246	9,893	9,511	9,598	0.9
DC	501	445	398	478	460	-3.8
FIRST	371	385	364	384	416	8.3
SECOND	988	991	984	922	904	-2.0
THIRD	537	600	567	480	534	11.3
FOURTH	731	702	869	769	818	6.4
FIFTH	816	847	762	785	708	-9.8
SIXTH [	1,047	1,180	1,070	1,151	1,205	4.7
SEVENTH	776	730	845	759	713	-6.1
EIGHTH	597	710	654	728	653	-10.3
NINTH	1,415	1,329	1,660	1,611	1,692	5.0
TENTH	546	434	535	495	521	5.3
ELEVENTH	736	893	1,185	949	974	2,6

	1		AFTER HEAR		AFTER SUBMISSION ON BRIEFS				
CIRCUIT	TOTAL	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL	EN BANC	PANEL	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL	EN BANC	PANEL
TOTAL	19,178	9,598	50.0	92	9,506	9,580	50.0	25	9,555
	903	460	50.9	6	454	443	49.1	7	43
IRST	666	416	62.5	1	415	250	37.5	-	250
ECOND	1,107	904	81.7	-	904	203	18.3	-	203
HIRD	1,572	534	34,0	4	530	1,038	66.0	-	1,03
OURTH	1,910	818	42.8	15	803	1,092	57.2	4	1,08
IFTH	2,343	708	30.2	16	692	1,635	69.8	1	1,63
IXTH	2,337	1,205	51.6	6	1,199	1,132	48.4	-	1,13:
EVENTH	1,142	713	62.4	6	707	429	37.6	1	42
І GHTH	1,183	653	55.2	12	641	530	44,8	-	53
INTH	2,700	1,692	62.7	8	1,684	1,008	37.3	1	1.00
ENTH	1,229	521	43.4	8	513	708	57,6	5	70
LEVENTH	2,086	974	46.7	10	964	1,112	53.3	6	1,10

#### TABLE S-3 U.S. COURIS OF APPEALS APPEALS TERMINATED ON THE MERITS (DISPOSED OF AFTER ORAL HEARING OR SUBMISSION ON BRIEFS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

Revised TABLE S-3 U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS APPEALS TERMINATED ON THE MERITS (DISPOSED OF AFTER ORAL HEARING OR SUBMISSION ON BRIEFS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987

			AFTER HEAR			AFTER SUBMISSION ON BRIEFS				
CIRCUIT	TOTAL	TOTAL	PERCENT OF Total	EN BANC	PANEL	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL	EN BANC	PANEL	
TOTAL	18,502	9,511	51.4	73	9,438	8,991	48.6	15	8,976	
DC	948	478	50.4	4	474	470	49.6	-	470	
FIRST	601	384	59.0	1	383	267	41.0	-	267	
SECOND	1,218	922	75.7	1	921	296	24.3	-	296	
THIRD	1,206	480	39.8	7	473	726	60.2	1	725	
FOURTH	1,685	769	45.6	12	757	916	54,4	,	915	
FIFTH	2,191	785	35.6	4	781	1,406	64.2	6	1,400	
SIXTH	2,206	1,151	52.2	7	1,144	1,055	47.8	-	1,055	
SEVENTH	1,135	759	66.9	θ	751	376	33.1	-	376	
EIGHTH	1,399	728	52.0	10	718	671	48.0	ı	670	
NINTH	2,606	1,611	61.8	7	1,604	995	38.2	-	995	
1ENTH	1,243	495	39.8	6	489	748	60.2	2	746	
ELEVENTH	2,014	949	47.1	6	943	1.065	52.9		1,061	

		CASE PARTICIPATIONS BY									
		RESIDENT	ACTIVE	RESIDENT	SENIOR		TING	PERCENT BY			
CIRCUIT	TOTAL	NUM- BER	PER- CENT+	NUM- BER	PER- CENT+	NUM- BER	PER- CENT*	SENIOR AND VISITING JUDGES			
TOTAL	58,714	48,712	83.0	5,763	9,8	4,239	7.2	17.0			
HISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST SECOND THIND	2,826 2.001 3,321 4,754	2,550 1,719 2,536 3,742	90.2 85.9 76.4 78.7	74 52 498 712	2.6 2.6 15.0 15.0	202 230 287 300	7.1 11.5 8.6 6.3	9.0 14.1 23.6 21,3			
FOURTH F1FTH SIXTH SEVENTH	5,885 7,217 7,083 3,483	4,956 6,648 5,719 3,121	84.2 92.1 80.7 89.6	575 386 781 188	9.8 5.3 11.0 5.4	354 183 583 174	6.0 2.5 8.2 5.0	15.8 7.9 18.3 10.4			
EIGHTH NINTH TENTH ELEVENTH	3,634 8,322 3,778 6,410	2,932 6,694 2,651 5,444	80.7 80.4 70.2 84.9	485 1,189 524 299	13.3 14.3 13.9 4.7	217 439 603 667	6.0 5.3 16.0 10.4	19.3 19.6 29.8 15.1			

#### TABLE S-4 U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS TOTAL CASE PARTICIPATIONS IN CASES TERMINATED ON THE MERITS (AFTER ORAL HEARING OR SUBMISSION) DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

\* ALL PERCENTS MAY NOT ADD UP TO 100,00 DUE TO ROUNDING.

#### TABLE S-S U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS TYPE OF OPINION OR ORDER FILED IN CASES TERMINATED ON THE MERITS (AFTER ORAL HEARING OR SUBMISSION ON BRIEFS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		TYP	E OF OPINION	OR ORDER	
CIRCUIT	TOTAL TERMINATED ON THE MERITS	ORAL	WRITTEN, SIGNED	WRITTEN, UNSIGNED, REASONED*	WRITTEN, UNSIGNED, WITHOUT COMMENT
TOTAL	19,178	155	7,226	9,414	2,383
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST. SECOND THIRD.	666 1,107		340 382 435 700	307 284 665 35	256 1 837
FOURTH. FIFTH SIXTH SEVENTH	2,343 2,337	  149	384 1,079 533 654	1,525 1,209 1,616 354	1 55 39 134
EJGHTH NINTH TENTH ELEVENTH			534 986 618 581	479 1,410 587 943	170 304 24 562

 INCLUDES ONLY OPINIONS AND ORDERS WHICH EXPOUND THE LAW AS APPLIED TO THE FACTS OF THE CASE AND DETAIL THE JUDICIAL REASONS UPON WHICH THE JUDGMENT IS BASED.

		Length of Time Pending									Length of Time Pending						
		1 to 3	4 to 6	7 to 9	10 to 12	Greater One Y											
Circuit	Total	Months	Months	Months	Months	Number	Percent										
Total	27,644	11,256	5,134	3,607	2,663	4,984	18.0										
District of Columbia	2,121	592	422	316	187	604	28.5										
First	779	377	159	68	78	97	12.5										
Second	820	522	127	78	48	45	5.5										
Third	1,256	780	249	91	53	83	6.6										
Fourth	1,957	1,014	412	243	147	141	7.2										
Fifth	2,588	1,175	549	355	232	277	10.7										
Sixth	2,919	1,208	583	423	311	394	13.5										
Seventh	1,782	745	350	275	158	254	14.3										
Eighth	1,518	678	338	236	139	127	8.4										
Ninth	6,590	2,271	1,064	829	747	1,679	25.5										
Tenth	2,350	650	313	287	286	814	34.6										
Eleventh	2,964	1,244	568	406	277	469	15.8										

#### Table S-6 U.S. Courts of Appeals Appeals Pending by Circuit and Length of Time As of June 30, 1988

Table S-7 Appeals Under Submission More Than Three Months on June 30, 1987 and 1988

			1988					
			Mon	ths Under	Submissio	n		
Circuit	1987	Total	3 - 6	6 - 9	9 - 12	Over 12		
Total	1,163	1,028	626	238	77	87		
10tai	1,100	1,020	020	200		01		
Courts of Appeals								
Federal	37	33	25	5	-	3		
Columbia	71	63	32	21	4	6		
First	26	20	12	4		4		
Second	27	52	37	11	3	1		
Third	21	16	11	3	2	-		
Fourth	90	49	35	13	_	1		
Fifth	30	41	37	3	-	1		
Sixth	108	126	61	24	23	18		
Seventh	168	168	101	49	4	14		
Eighth	42	67	51	12	3	1		
Ninth	231	191	106	54	16	15		
Tenth	183	126	65	24	17	20		
Eleventh	129	76	53	15	5	3		
TECA*	0	0	-	-	-	-		

\*TECA - Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals

	·····	1979	3 through	h 1988			
Year	Total Filings	Orig- inal	Re- movals From State Courts	Remands From Courts of Appeals	Re- opens	Trans- fers <sup>1</sup>	Appeals From Magis- trate Judgments?
1979     1980     1981     1982     1983     1984     1985     1986     1988	154,666 168,789 180,576 206,193 241,842 261,485 273,670 254,828 239,185 239,634	138,080 149,369 159,172 183,098 215,212 232,727 242,884 221,830 202,785 201,328	8,923 10,177 11,475 13,230 15,702 16,037 17,439 19,680 21,070 20,591	1,303 1,110	3,944 4,745 5,474 5,698 6,315 7,872 8,417 8,629 10,257 12,190	3,012 3,521 3,485 2,921 3,363 3,433 3,728 3,409 3,773 4,126	- 34 54 69 113 92 116 109 148
Percent of 1988 Total	100.0	84.0	8.6	0.5	5.1	1.7	.1

# Table S-8 U.S. District Courts Civil Cases Filed by Origin

 $\frac{1}{2}$  Also includes transfers under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. Initial data collection in 1981.

DU	KING THE D	NELVE MONTH	PERIOD ENDE	ED JUNE 30, 3	1988
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	MEDICARE	STUDENT	VETERANS' BENEFITS	OTHER
TOTAL	18,676	87	3,541	14,187	861
DC	84	8	63	3	10
157	341	2	104	215	20
ME MA NH RI PR	67 112 26 41 95	- 1 1 - -	- 9 1 6 88	66 73 20 34 2	1 - 9 4 1 5
2ND	388	10	202	123	53
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	95 36 111 88 54 4	1 1 1 6 1 -	36 19 66 59 18 4	51 15 23 2 32 32	7 1 21 21 3
3RD	429	4	219	147	59
DE NJ PA.E PA.M PA.M YI	18 125 92 22 171 1		9 94 62 12 42	8 8 5 3 123	1 23 22 6 6 6 1
4TH	1,705	1	301	1,241	162
MD NC,E NC,H SC.H VA,E VA,H HV,N HV,S	256 232 259 218 465 89 116 20 50		69 47 48 29 37 60 2 2 7	183 183 211 185 303 16 104 18 38	4 2 4 125 13 9 - 5
5TH	1,907	8	352	1,479	68
LA, E LA, H MS, N HS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	122 36 118 56 130 424 177 375 469	1 2 1 2 1 1 1	39 7 23 36 68 90 26 3 60	76 28 92 19 58 308 129 368 401	6 1 - 2 26 21 4 7
6тн	1,689	15	326	1,232	116
KY,E KY,H HI,E DH,N DH,S TN,E TN,M TN,H	42 52 531 195 330 284 94 77 84	1 1 3 7 - 1 2	11 14 135 20 48 48 26 23 1	27 33 370 174 235 225 56 44 68	4 25 1 44 12 9 13

# TABLE S-9 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS RECOVERY OF DVERPAYHENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT CASES FILED DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

(Continued on page 116.)

DU	IRING THE T	HELVE MONTH	PERIOD ENDE	D JUNE 30,	1988
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	MEDICARE	STUDENT LOANS	VETERANS' BENEFITS	OTHER
7TH	1,812	8	273	1,382	149
IL.N IL.C IL.S IN.N	868 142 138 128	3	155 1 11 39	699 137 120 80	11 3 7
IN,S MI,E MI,W	239 176 121	1 - 3	40 20 7	129 145 72	69 11 39
8TH	1,181	6	407	733	35
AR,E AR,H IA,N IA,S MD,E MD,H ND,H ND SD	47 20 57 178 221 247 249 78 36 48		29 16 23 97 101 51 39 28 15 8	12 2 31 77 117 188 205 45 16 40	6 1 3 4 3 6 2 5 5 -
9TH	6,633	13	511	6,012	97
AK AZ CA.N CA.E CA.C CA.S HI HJ NV NV NV NA.E	34 610 2,014 56 2,828 153 39 65 53 102 183 92	1 1 4 4 1	4 97 211 48 11 37 - 11 10 21 25 -	25 453 1,798 3 2,803 113 37 52 38 80 152 92	5 59 4 10 2 2 5 1 4 4
WA, W GUAM	402	-	36	364	2
WMI	=	-	-	-	-
10TH	713	2	128	535	48
CD KS DK,N DK,E DK,H UT HY	56 135 183 64 48 132 78 17	1	52 18 14 13 5 8 18	2 115 163 22 38 121 57 17	1 6 29 5 3 2
11TH.,	1,794	10	655	1,085	44
AL,N AL,M FL,S FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,S	218 69 80 195 600 245 226 94 67		102 13 50 52 184 75 152 16 11	108 54 27 138 410 152 64 78 54	8 2 3 5 11 10 - 2

#### TABLE S-9 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS RECOVERY DF DVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT DF JUDGMENT CASES FILED DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

116

	DURING THE	THELVE P	IONTH P	ERIDD ENDE	D JUNE 30	1988	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HIA	BL	DINC E DINN	SSID	RSI	DTHER
						NU.	
TOTAL	15,152	217	62	11,412	3,044	415	2
DC	140	11	-	17	102	10	-
1ST	616	3	1	541	59	12	-
ME	55	-	-	40	13	2	-
MA	72	1	-	31	35	2 5 5	
NH RI	81 13	1	_	66 12	Ĩ	2	-
PR	395	1	1	392	î	-	-
2ND	1,170	28	1	856	245	40	
<b>CT</b>	108	14	-	64	28	2	-
	.97	1	-	63	25		
NY,E	450	6	-	381	54	9	-
MY,S	302	4	1	224	64		-
NY,E NY,S NY,H	183	3	-	117	51 23	12	-
VT	30	-	-	7	23		-
3RD	972	7	••	766	179	20	-
DE	23	-	-	19	4	-	-
NJ PA,E PA,N	312		-	271	36	5 8 5 2	
PA, E	202	1 2 4	-	121 72	72 31	5	-
	110 324	1	-	282	36	2	
PA, H VI	1		-	1	~	-	-
4TH	1,998	55	1	1,601	310	31	-
	175			133	34		
MD	89	-	-	67	17	2	
Million Marca and	52	1	-	36	12	5	-
NC N	120	-		82	38	-	-
SC YA,E	313	- - 3 1	-	298	14	1	-
YA, E		<u>+</u>	-	54	30	3	-
VA.H HY,N	568 106	3	-	463	95 29		-
WY,S	484	46	1	75 393	41	1 3 7 1 3	-
	1 1					3	
5TH	1,153	12	1	752	320	68	
LA, E	177	1	-	131	36	2	
LA H	60	1	-	39	18	27	-
LA,H HS,N	324 67	3	-	190 49	124 12	5	-
NS. S	143		1	99	27	16	-
MS, S TX, N	102	1 1 1 3	-	67	25	- 9	-
TYE	103	ī	-	57	41	4	-
TX,S	74	3	-	46 74	14	11	-
14,8	103	ī	-		23	5	-
6TH	3,247	18	46	2,726	416	41	
KY, E	825 129	-	39	702	82	2	-
KY, H	129	-	2	87	36	47	-
MI,E MI,H	718 290	2	<u>_</u>	621 262	87 25	á	-
UT	603	7	3	489	95	9	_
DHC S	546	772	2 1 3 1	465	61	12	
TN.E	71		-	54 30	13	2	-
18,8	42	-	-	30	11	1	
TN,H	23	-	-	16	6	1	-

#### TABLE 8-10 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Social Security Cases Commenced During the Theive Month Period Embed June 30, 1988

HIA ALL CLAIMS FOR HEALTH INSURANCE BENEFITS (MEDICARE) UNDER TITLE XVIII, PART A, OF THE SOCIAL Security Act, as amended. Also includes claims by mospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc. for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 USC 1395FF. (B)).

BL ALL CLAIMS FOR 'BLACK LUNG' BENEFITS UNDER TITLE IV, PART 8, OF THE FEDERAL COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT OF 1969 (30 USC 923).

DIMC ALL CLAIMS FILED BY INSURED MORKERS FOR DISABILITY INSURANCE BENEFITS UNDER TITLE II OF The social security ACT, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability (42 USC 405 (G)).

(Continued on page 118.)

	DURING THE	IMELVE I	IONTH PI	ERIOD ENDE	D JUNE 30,	1988	
CIRCUIT	1	T		DINC	[	1	
AND DISTRICT		1		DIHN			
DISIRICI	TOTAL	HIA	BL	STHM	SSIB	RSI	OTHER
7TH	652	12	1	454	162	23	
IL.N	212	2	_	128	77	5	
11.0	212 43	1	-	28	11	3	-
TI S	60	2	-	38	17	3	-
18,8 TN:5	67	3		50 120	11 17	3 6 5 1	2
IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	146	li	-	63	25	ĩ	
HI,H	34	3	-	27	4	-	-
8TH	1,449	39	3	1,053	313	41	-
AR, E	410	3		383	22	2	-
AR, H	117 111 119	35	-	56	25	î	-
IA. N	111	-	-	47	62	2	-
IA, S HH	119	-	-	79	35	5	-
MR. F.	183 181	1 1		109 129	66 38	12	
MD.E MD.N	240	-	2	204	32		-
NE	51	1	1	28	16	6	-
ND SD	13 24	1	-	7 11	5 12	2125724611	
30	}	-	-	11	14	1	-
9TH	1,703	5	5	1,149	474	68	2
AK	11	1	-	2	7	1	-
AZ CA, N	116	-		92	19	.5	-
CA, N	289 268	-	1	157 142	112 113	13	
CA.C	349	1	1	266	71	10	-
CA, E CA, C CA, S H1	128	1	-	84	38	1 5 19 13 10 4	1
H1	5	-	-	2	3	-	-
ID MT	53 65	1	-	37 42	9 21	1	-
NV	1 12	-	-		<u></u>	î	-
QR	186		1	144	-5 41	6 1 1 4 3	
WA, E	92	-	-	78	10	4	-
HA.H GUAH	129	- 2	3	97	25	-	-
NMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10TH	423	1	_	291	109	22	-
CD KS	45 76	1	-	16 53	27 17	1 6	
NM	75 35 67		-	58	16	ī	-
OK,N	35	-	-	27	16 7 12	1	-
DK, N DK, E DK, H	67 78	-		47 55	12	1 8 1 3	-
UT	36	-	-	26	22	3	-
ΗΥ	11	-	-		i	ĩ	-
11TH	1,629	26	3	1,206	355	39	-
AL N	468			395	68	*	
AL, N AL, H	158		-	ĩĩĩ	45	5 2 8 1 12	
AL.S 1	134		-	111 76	45 50	8	-
EL.N.	84	-	12	73 191		1	-
FL.S	250 122	23	4	60	45 33	12	-
FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, M	207	-	~	152	53 23	2	-
GA, M	109	3	-	84	23	6 2 2 1	-
GA, S	97	3	-	64	29	1	

#### TABLE S-10 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS SOCIAL SECURITY CASES COMMENCED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

DINN ALL CLAINS FILED FOR NIDDNS OR WIDDNERS INSURANCE BENEFITS BASED ON DISABILITY UNDER TITLE II of the social security act, as amended. (42 USC 403 (g)).

SSID ALL CLAIMS FOR SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME PAYMENTS BASED UPON DISABILITY FILED UNDER TITLE XVI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, AS AMENDED.

RSI ALL CLAIMS FOR RETIREMENT (OLD AGE) AND SURVIVORS BENEFITS UNDER TITLE II DF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, AS AMEMDED. (42 USC 405 (G)).

OTHER OTHER SOCIAL SECURITY-ALL CLAINS NOT COVERED BY ONE OF THE OTHER CATEGORIES PROCEEDING.

\_ \_ \_

·		DOKING I	HE IMELVE MUN	IN PERIO	JS ERUED JU	NE 30, 198	AND 1985			
	l						PER	SONAL INJ	URY	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	JUNE 30, 1987 Total	JUNE 30, 1988 Total	CONTRACT ACTIONS	TORTS TO LAND	PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	AIRLINE	MARINE	HOTOR VEHICLE	ASBESTOS	DTHER
TOTAL	15,151	17,140	480	84	410	185	101	623	10,715	4,542
DC	55	70	4	-	1	-	-	9	5	51
157	696	518	21	-	15	6	8	25	130	313
МЕ НА NH RI PR	76 501 59 47 13	55 317 44 68 34	2 13 1 2 3		- 8 1 2 4	- 1 1 3	5 2 1	13 1 11	38 30 17 45	15 247 23 16 12
2ND	1,808	989	52	2	27	8	6	40	535	319
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	508 296 338 406 249 11	179 201 154 324 113 18	6 5 31 4 1	2	2 9 10 5 1	1 3 1 -		7 13 13 5 2	56 160 54 195 69 1	108 35 65 69 29 13
3RD	1,295	3,201	52	7	57	13	8	86	2,200	778
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	15 163 893 106 104 14	25 161 2,734 117 134 30	3 11 17 2 17 2	23	8 34 7 8	2722	26	4 12 46 9 7 8	15 48 2,093 26 18	3 76 528 71 80 20
4TH	1,108	1,129	39	7	34	10	3	41	712	283
MD NC,E NC,H SC.H YA,E YA,H HV,N NY,S	265 44 22 37 187 374 77 21 81	242 73 18 41 204 344 61 23 123	8 1 3 11 6 7 1 - 2		3 3 1 7 3 3 1 10	4 		11 1 4 2 5 2 15	121 63 11 11 118 291 28 4 65	95 6 15 63 38 24 14 28
5TH	3,769	2,239	60	14	70	26	44	99	1,234	692
LA, E LA, H HS, N HS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	299 83 291 66 495 521 1,534 409 71	230 80 179 254 271 202 870 249 104	5 5 10 5 6 4 11 4	1 2 1 2 3 3 2 -	13 2 11 3 6 9 4 11 11	7 1 1 2 3 2 6 4	7 1 4 - 26 1 1	15 3 17 7 15 14 11 10 7	68 31 30 9 182 85 689 115 25	114 38 104 23 55 82 131 93 52
6тн	2,053	3,912	53	14	27	36	3	70	3,086	623
KY,E KY,H HI,E HI,N OH,S TH,E TH,E TH,H TH,H	41 55 377 62 1,066 224 120 55 33	60 87 641 52 2,634 197 106 86 49	- 3 20 6 10 6 4 2 2	1 3 1 7 7	-7345-233	1 26 2	1	5 7 8 1 14 6 15 8 6	26 41 320 1 2,536 88 29 35 10	27 29 259 39 65 97 47 33 27

TABLE S-11	
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	
PRODUCT LIABILITY CASES COMMENCED BY NATURE OF SUIT	
DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988	

(Continued on page 120.)

TABLE S-11	
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	
PRODUCT LIABILITY CASES COMMENCED BY NATURE OF SUIT	
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 198	8

CIRCUIT	JUNE 30,	JUNE 30,		TORTS	PERSONAL		PE	SONAL INJ	URY	
AND DISTRICT	1987 TOTAL	1988 TOTAL	CONTRACT ACTIONS	LAND	PROPERTY	AIRLINE	MARINE	MOTOR Vehicle	ASBESTOS	OTHER
7TH	652	508	34	7	21	4	3	36	100	303
IL,W	287	208	14	1	7	2	1	18	65	100
11, C	35 138	42		2	1	2	-	4	12	24 70
IL,S IN,N	45	1 40	23	2	6	1	-	3	25	70
IN.S	72	62	5	з	4	-	2	4	6	27 38 26
WI.E WI.H	53 22	42	3	ī	1 2	1	-	3	8	26
	845	1	26				-		2	18
8TH		1,546		13	43	10	1	46	1,042	365
AR, E AR, H	104 88	87 68	1	1 3	7	1	ī	63	35 25	36 29
IA, N	16	28	3	1	3	-	-	3	-	15
IA,S MN	56 102	906 114	7	2	111	1 3	-	2	876	19
ND.E	194	99	3	i	4	1	-	3 11	10 7	72
МО.И	118	87	4	3	3	2	-	4	9	62
NE	115 20	119 20	<u>4</u>	2	43	-	-	6 1	79 1	19 78 72 62 26 13
SD	32	18	2	:	3	1	-	-	-	13
9TH	1,446	1,450	64	10	52	35	16	52	915	306
AK	23 42	39 133	15	2	4 2	3	1	5	1	22
ĈĂ, N	505	50	4	-	ĉ	3	5	1	96 8	20
CA. E	37	28	5	1	2		1	ź	-	20 17
CA.C CA.S	126 22	348 49	12	2	10	7 2	3	15	257	42 35
ИГ	311	501	3	+	-	4	2	2 2	477	15
ID	21 50	33 51	2	1	2	3 1	-	3	.7	13
M7	31	27	-		5 2	3	-	2	15 6	15 13 27 12
DK	62	98	4 2	3	5	4	1	5 2	24	52
HA,E HA,H	67 148	20 73	23	1	35	-	3	2	8 13	27
GUAH	1	- 1	-	-	-	-	÷	-	-	
WMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1014	501	565	42	7	34	25	1	51	146	259
CD KS	68 92	84 76	4 9	1	6 8	9	-	12	34	18 43
ин і	55 43	45	4	-	2	4	-	5	4	26
DK,N DK,E	43 23	117 43	5	1	3	-	1	6	83	18
DK.H	165	138	9	-	1 9	ŝ	Ξ	11	2 13	29 91
ا ۲۰۰٬۰۰۱	34	40	7	1	4	3	-	3	13	22
f¥	21	22	-	-	1	4	-	1	4	12
1178	923	1,013	33	3	29	12	8	68	610	250
AL,H	52 14	50 13	6 1	-	4 2	-	-	10 1	8	22
NL,S }	83	212	2	-	3	1	3	1	184	18
FL.H	24 202	21 207	2	ĩ	1	1	3	2 11	2 130	10
ε <b>ι,s</b> [	141	150	10	÷	37	5	2	18	46	51 62
5A.H (	251	69	5	1	5	-	-	18	16	17
A.H	42	47	-	ĩ	5 3 1	ĩ	2	4	23 201	17 17
	/			-	1	-		•		

Nature of Suit	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	Percent Change 1988 1987
Total	250,292	254,114	242,177	243,361	244,242	0.4
U.S. Cases	81,526	77,588	57,864	54,263	54,844	1.1
Recovery of Overpayments and Enforcement of						
Judgments	18,5??	22,417	13,228	9,019	7,650	-15.2
Other Contract	4,318	5,022	4,993	4,329	3,950	-8.8
Land Condemnation	2,796 4,317	2,247 4,307	1,740 4,725	1,969 4,587	1,907	-3.1
Tort Actions	4,015	3,883	4,070	4,281	4,964 4,333	8.2 1.2
Antitrust	28	29	27	30	26	-13.3
Civil Rights:						
Accommodations	39	27	26	32	42	31.3
Employment	1,369	1,410	1,565	1,758	1,807 13	2.8
Voting	64	18 65	15 61	15 52	40	-13.3
Other Civil Rights	942	880	894	905	876	-3.2
Prisoner Petitions: Motions to Vacate						
Sentence	1,047	1,063	1,054	1,138	1,419	24.7
Habeas Corpus	1,103	2,540	1,127	957	997	4.2
Civil Rights	717 258	755 211	68? 209	596 172	633 231	6.2 34.3
Forfeiture and Penalties	2,745	3,658	2,701	2,919	3,392	16.2
Labor Suits	1,344	1,154	1,123	1.137	1,093	-3.9
Social Security Laws	29,900	20,302	12,047	12,926	13,976	8.1
Tax Suits	3,364	2,964	2,947	2,979	2,876	-3.5
Environmental Matters	574	638	649	691	748	8.2
Act	539 3,507	521 3,477	468 3,513	451 3,320	407 3,464	-9.8 4.3
Private Cases	168,766	176,526	184,313	189,098	189,398	0.?
Contract	37,684	38,544	40,409	40,574	39,830	-1.8
Real Property Federal Employers' Liability	2,602	2,770	3,033	3,103	3,086	-0,5
Act	2,423	2,686	3,174	3,267	3,106	-4.9
Injury	6,060	6,219	6,390	7,417	7,240	-2.4
Marine Personal Injury	6,050	5,674	5,271	4,828	4,073	-15,6
Airplane Personal Injury	805	627	648	1,101	1,104	0.3
Assault, Libel, and Slander	1,026	972	868	830	762	-8.2
Medical Malpractice Personal Injury Product	1,517	1,700	1,714	1,650	1,415	-14.2
Liability	18,457 8,959	21,935	26,043	28,991	31,038	7.1
Other Personal Injury Personal Property	4,463	9,266 4,281	9,006 4,307	8,758 4,230	9,049 4,049	3.3
Antitrust	2,001	1,886	1,570	1,380	1,143	-17.2
Bankruptcy Appeals Civil Rights:	2,533	3,488	3,163	3,153	2,848	-9.7
Accommodations	294	268	229	245	295	20.4
Employment	11,832 249	10,777	10,853	10,509	9,998	-4.º 19.5
Voting	249	279 195	241 164	221 158	264 148	-6.3
Other Civil Rights	12,151	12,229	11,929	11,457	11,109	-3.0
Interstate Commerce Prisoner Petitions	729	686	640	765	1,055	37.9
Habeas Corpus	6,434	6,569	6,849	7,068	7,583	7.3
Clvil Rights	17,517	18,737	19,502	20,369	21,008	3.1
Mandamus and Other	98	107	127	144	153	6.3
Labor Suits Copyright, Patent and	9,637	9,848	10,498	10,503	10,554	0.5
Trademark	5,082	5,140	5,297	5,443	5,661	4.0
Securities, Commodities	4,505	4,896	5,027	5,143	4,714	-8.3
		9.030	7,361	77147	44414	

#### Table S-12 U.S. District Courts Civil Cases Pending by Nature of Suit As Of June 30, 1984 through 1988

		On Ju	ne 30, 1987	aud 1888		·····	
Circuit and District	Pending June 30, 1987	Pending June 30, 1988	Percent Change*	Circuit and District	Pending June 30, 1987	Pending June 30, 1988	Percent Change*
Total ,	19,782	21,487	8.6	7th Cir	1,464	1,342	-8.3
DC	221	298	34.8	IL, N IL, С	675 112	687 64	1.8 -42.9
Let Cir	2,353	2,850	12.6	IL, S IN, N	81 198	81 165	-16.7
МЕ МА	51 2,085	17 2,392	-66.7	IN, S WI, E	310 84	287 57	-7.4 -32.1
NH	89	75	-15.7	WI, W	4	1	-75.0
RI	8 120	12 154	50.0 28.3	Sth Cir	416	520	25.0
2nd Cir	3,088	3,160	2.3	AR, E	35 5	<b>43</b> 1	22.9 -80.0
ст	848	782	-7.8	AR, W IA, N	25	28	12.0
СТ NY, N	511	604	18.2	IA, S	69 76	79 90	14.5 18.4
NY, E	428	450 969	5,1 -0,7	MN MO, E	91	112	23.1
NY, S NY, W	313	347	10.9	MO, W	73	103	41,1
VT	12	8	-33,3	NE	13	33	153.8
3rd Cir	918	1,022	11.3	ND	24 5	29 2	20.8 -60.0
DE	79	100	26.6	9th Cir	3,249	4,013	23.5
NJ	360	407	13.1	AT	99	123	24.2
PA, E	135	165 34	22.2 13.3	AK AZ	147	164	11.6
PA, M PA, W	186	220	18.3	CA, N	378	473	25.1
VI	128	96	-25.0	CA, E	252	270	7.1
	1			CA. C	316	536	69.6
4th Cir	1,185	1,403	18.4	CA, S	179	364 647	103.4 29.9
	416	478	15.2	HI 1D	498	50	-13.8
MD	415	11	-59.3	MT	87	168	93.1
NC, M	6	7	16.7	NV	171	179	4.7
NC, W	19	14	-26.3	OR	16	13	-18.8
SC	40	50	25.0	WA, E	105	109	3.8 -23.0
VA, E	289	561	94.1	WA, W	113 827	87 827	-23.0
VA, W	68 299	89 184	30.9 -38.5	GU	3	3	
WV, N WV, S	288	101	-59.1		{		}
Sth Cir	3,703	3,967	7.1	10th Cir	629	620	-1.4
	<u> </u>			<u>co</u>	117	141	20.5 -0.7
LA, E	183	110	-39.9 7.1	KS NM	153	152 74	-2,6
LA, M LA, W	140 106	150 77	-27.4	OK, N	53	61	15.1
MS N	99	92		OK. E	11	6	-45.5
MS, N	1,436	1,096	-23.7	OK, E	i 42	47	11.9
TX, N	160	239	49.4	UT	170	132 7	-44.9
TX, E	369 1,007	768 1,244	108.1	WY	'	,	1 -
TX, S TX, W	203	191	-5.9	11th Cir	692	561	-18.9
6th Cir	1,864	1,931	3.6	AL, N	26 12	29 19	11.5 58.3
KY, E	135	94	-30.4	AL, M AL, S	45	40	-11.1
KI, E KY, W	262	250	-4,6	FL, N	38	36	-5.3
MI. E	263	253	-3.8	FL, M	249	162	-34.9
MI, W	92	79	-14,1	FL, S	158	153	-3.2
он, м	391	531	35,8	GA, N	80	88	10.0
он, 5	368	332	-9,8 53,1	GA, M GA, S	78	33 1	-83.3
TN, E TN, M	32	49 50	16,3			•	
TN, W	278	293	5.4		1		Ì
	I		L	µ	l		L

#### Table S-13 U.S. District Courts Civil Cases Pending Three Years or More On June 30, 1987 and 1988

\* Percent change computed where base is 10 or more.

				TABLE S-14					
				U.S. DISTRICT COURTS					
C1V11	CASES	PENDING	з	YEARS DR MORE, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTI	<b>DN 4</b>	Á ND	NATURE	D۶	SUIT
				LAND CONDEMNATION CASES EXCLUDED				-	
				AS OF					

	THREE YEAR OLD U.S. CASES CASES PENDING			PRIVATE CASES			
NATURE OF SUIT	JUNE 30, 1987		PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	L DCAL JURISDICTION
TOTAL CASES	18,684	20,303	902	1,408	8,244	9,664	85
CONTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	2,503	2,688	358	66	348	1,900	16
INSURANCE	322	351 194	1	15	19	315	1
MILLER ACT	50 169	70 157	46	2	24	87	1
RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS Defaulted student Loams	32 25 70	29 24 164	10 24 164	:	1	1	1
OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	1,630	1,697	48	34	112	1,489	12
REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS, TOTAL	432	334	107	64	36	126	3
FORECLOSURE	185 24 71	119 25 69	84 1	4 4 15	17	22 16 34	1
OTHER REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS	152	123	15	42	10	54	2
TORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	7,276	8,621	22	333	574	7,638	54
PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY, TOTAL	6,966 5,614	8,293		314	475	7,445	52
AIRPLANE. Marine. Motor Venicle.	52 22 60	41 16 46	=	3	5	38 10 45	:
ASBESTOSOTHER	4,440	5,063	-	6 21	102 41	4,955 1,743	:
OTHER PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	1,352	<u>1,322</u>		283	326		52
MARINE	220 177 72	212 168 57	7	13 19 3	150 3 7	38 123 47	23
ASSAULT, LIBEL AND SLANDER. FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIBILITY ACT MEDICAL MALPRACTICE. OTHER	123 168 463	138 168 438	-	3 51 87	135 3 19	111 310	- 3 22
PERSONAL PROPERTY DANAGE, TOTAL FRAUD INCLUDING TRUTH IN LENDING DTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	310 130 180	328 159 169	15	19 1 18		193 87 106	
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, TOTAL	8,460	8,648	415	945	7,285	-	3
ANTITRUST	279	234	1	-	233	-	-
BANKRUPTCY, YÖTAL Appeal (28 USC 158)		232	3	2	227	<u>-</u>	
HITHDRAHAL (28 USC 157)	28	162	1	-	161	-	-
BANKS AND BANKING	31	23	,	1	13	-	-
CIVIL RIGHTS, TOTAL	2,413	2,222	55		1,997		
EMPLOYMENT ACCOMMODATIONS	1,089 24 28	1,004 12 32	26 1	87 3	891 8 28	Ξ	:
DTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	1,254	1,150	28	76	1,044	-	-
COMMERCE (ICC RATES, ETC.). ECGNOMIC STABILIZATION ACT. Environmental Maiters.	36 7 158	28 # 173	2	- 3 33	26 5 74	Ē	:

#### TABLE 5-14 U.S. DISTRICT COUPTS CIVIL CASES PENDING D YEARS OR NORE BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT ILAND CONCEMNATION CASES EXCLUDED) A S OF JUNC 30, 1948

AATURE DF SUIT     JUNE 30. 1987     PLAINTIFF     DEFENDANT     FDDERAL OUESTIDN     DIVERSITY OF CITZENSHIP     SC. CITZENSHIP       ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED     3     6     -     4     -     -       DEPORTATION		THREE Y CASES		U.S. C	ASES	PRIVATE CASES		
0 GEPORTATION.   3   6   -   6   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	NATURE OF SUIT			PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT			
PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL.   2.242   2.242   -   12   -   -     MAREAS CORUS.   232   32   -   12   -   -   -     MAREAS CORUS.   233   16   -   8   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED							
HABASAS CORPUS.   298   127   -   18   308   -     HABDAMS AND DIFHAL.   23   16   -   6   6   -   -     FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL.   81   94   93   3   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	DEPORTATION	3	6	-		-	-	
MABASA CORPUS.   298   127   -   16   -   6   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL	2.262	2.292	- 1	124	2.167	-	
MAADAMUS AND DIFER.   23   16   -   6   -   6   -   6   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	HOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE						-	
CIVIL RICHTS.   1,909   1,917   -   45   1,851   -     FORFETTURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL   81   94   93   3   -   -   -     ACATCUTYRE AND PENALTY, TOTAL   1   2   4   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	MANDANUS AND DTHER.			1 -			-	
AGDICULTURAL ACTS.   1   2   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -				- 1		1,851	-	
F0DD AND DRUG ACT.   11   26   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL	81		93				
All TRAFFIC RECULATIONS.   1   1   1   -   -   -     OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY ADD HEALTH ACT.   2   2   -   -   -   -     OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS.   66   61   55   3   -   -   -     LABOR LAME, TOTAL.   66   73   29   1   7   143   -   -     FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.   220   201   1   7   193   -   -     ALGOM HANGEMENT RELATIONS ACT.   220   201   1   7   193   -   -     ALGOM LABOR ALTONAND ODISCLOSURE ACT.   320   201   1   7   193   -   -     ALGOM LANDER TOTAL   220   21   1   7   193   -   -   26   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS.   66   61   56   3   -   -     LABOR LAME, TOTAL.   66   61   56   3   -   -     FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.   220   1   7   14   243   -     - 641   67   29   1   43   -   -   -   -     - 650   73   29   1   7   193   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -<	AIR TRAFFIC REGULATIONS	1	1			{ _	-	
LAGR LAMS, TOTAL 641 659 47 14 643 -   FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 68 73 13 143 -   LABOR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT 34 28 4 - 24 -   NABOR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT 34 28 4 - 24 -   OTHER LABOR ATANGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT 18 19 - - 19 -   OTHER LABOR LITICATION 321 334 13 6 319 - -   ORDERITY RIGHTS, TOTAL 418 455 - 2 413 -   PROTECTED PAPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL 418 456 - - 65 -   PARTINT 418 457 - 2 413 - -   PROTECTED PAPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL 258 261 - 2 277 -   FALTENT NUMBERT 258 261 - 2 277 - -   SECURITY LAS, TOTAL 252 215 2 213 - -   SOCIAL SECURITY LASS LOWER SERVICES 171 155 2 133 - -						-	-	
fAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.   68   73   25   1   43   -     LABOR MARAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT.   34   28   4   -   24   -     RALLAN LABOR ACT.   18   9   -   -   19   -     OTHER LABOR LITIGATION   220   21   17   193   -     OTHER LABOR STANDERNY REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT.   18   19   -   -   19   -     OTHER LABOR LITIGATION   213   344   13   6   319   -   -   6   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	UTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS,		÷1	58	3	-	-	
LABOP MANGEMENT RELATIONS ACT. 185P MANGEMENT REPORT NO AND DISCLOSUME ACT. 185P MANGEMENT REPORT NO AND DISCLOSUME ACT. 185 MANGEMENT REPORT NO AND DISCLOSUME ACT. 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	LABOR LANS, TOTAL							
1.6358 MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT   34   28   4   -   24   -     0THER LABOR ACT   18   19   -   -   19   -     0THER LABOR LITIGATION   321   334   13   6   319   -     0THER LABOR LITIGATION   -   -   16   19   -   -   16   -   -   16   -   -   16   -   -   16   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT				1		-	
0THER LABOR LITIGATION.   321   323   13   6   319   -     PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL.   -   418   465   -   2   423   -     CDPWTCHD   RECHARKS, TOTAL.   -   258   281   -   2   279   -     FRADEMARK.   258   281   -   2   279   -   -   6   -   -   6   -   -   6   -   -   6   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	A858 MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT	34			-		-	
PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TDTAL					-		-	
CD=00 COM*   67   66   -   -   64   -   -   64   -   -   64   -   -   65   256   281   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   98   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   - <t< td=""><td>VIMER LABOR LITIGATION</td><td>321</td><td>334</td><td>13</td><td>•</td><td>319</td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>	VIMER LABOR LITIGATION	321	334	13	•	319	-	
PATENT   258   281   -   2   279     TALDEMARK   93   98   -   -   98   -     SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES.   844   1,003   255   5   973   -     SOCIAL SECURITY LAWS, TOTAL   252   215   2   213   -   -     MELTIM INSURACE.   14   -   6   -   -   -   -     DISABLITY INSURACE.   171   155   2   153   -   -   -     SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOMM.   55   4   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL							
TRADEMARK.   93   98   -   -   98   -     SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES.   844   1,003   25   5   973   -     SOCIAL SECURITY LANS, TOTAL.   252   213   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -							:	
SOCIAL SECURITY LAMS, TOTAL. 252 215 2 213 -   HEALTH INSURANCE 14 6 - 6 -   DISABILITY INSURANCE 171 155 2 153 -   DISABILITY INCOME 56 45 - -   DITREMAN AND SURVIVORS BENEFITS 56 45 - -   STATE REAPORTIONNEWI SUITS 2 2 - 2   USTOMER CHALLENGE 194 191 51 138 -   USTOMER CHALLENGE 2 2 - 2 -   USTOMER CHALLENGE 194 191 51 138 -   USTOMER CHALLENGE 23 21 - 1 -   DONESTIC TRUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES 23 21 - 1   DITHER STATUTORY ACTIONS 616 722 61 175 466   OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS 13 10 - 1 -		13		-			~	
HEALTH INSURANCE.   14   6   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES	864	1,003	25	5	973	+	
BLACK LUNG CASES.   171   155   2   153     DISABLITY INCOME   56   45   -   -     SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME   56   45   -   -     STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS.   5   4   -   -   -     STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS.   174   191   51   138   -   -     USTATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS.   2   -   -   2   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	SOCIAL SECURITY LAWS, TOTAL					<u>.</u>		
DJSABILITY INSURANCE	HEALTH INSURANCE	14	6			-	-	
SUPDLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME. 36 45 - 45 - -   RETIRENTA AND SURVIVORS BENEFITS. 5 4 - 45 - -   STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS. 2 2 - - 2 -   AS SUITS. 194 191 51 138 - -   USTORE CHALLENGT. 194 191 51 138 - -   USTORE CHALLENGT. 2 2 - 2 1 -   USTORE CHALLENGT. 23 2 - 2 1 -   DITHER STATUTES 23 2 - 1 20 -   OTHER STATUTES 616 722 61 175 466   OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL. 13 10 - - 1		171	155			-	-	
DTHER	SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME					- 1	-	
2 2 - 2 -   TAX SUITS				-		1 -	-	
TAX SUITS		-	,	_	,	-	-	
UUTOMER CHALLENGE     2     2     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -						2	-	
REECON 0F INFORMATION ACT						1 -	:	
0THER STATUTORY ACTIONS	FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT	45	54	-		1	-	
DTHER ACTIONS. TOTAL     13     10     -     1       DOMESTIC RELATIONS     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     - <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>							-	
DOMESTIC RELATIONS	ALLER STATOLORT ACTIONS	619	122	•1	1/5	***	-	
HSANITY	OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL	13	10	-		1		
		-		-	-	-	-	
				-	-	-	-	
				-	-	1	-	

1907 800 1	300		
Method of Disposition	1987	1988	Percent Change
Total Defendants	54,168	52,791	-2.5
Total Not Convicted	10,226	9,889	-3.3
Percent of Defendants	1,8.9	18.7	-
Dismissed	8,784	8,368	-4.7
Court	446	453	1.6
Jury	996	1,068	7.2
		1,000	
Total Convicted	43,942	42,902	-2.4
Percent of Defendants	81.1	81.3	-
By Plea of Guilty	37,816	36,917	-2.4
Nolo Contendere	624	597	-4.3
By Court	1,371	1,267	-7.6
By Jury	4,131	4,121	-0.2
By dury	4,101	-,	-0.2
Type sentence:			
Fine only	4,368	4,087	-6.4
Other	4,308	285	37.7
Imprisonment	23,344	22,473	-3.7
Regular Sentence <sup>1</sup>	17,556	17,605	0.3
1 – 12 months	2,661	3,070	15.4
13 - 35 months	3,850	3,721	-3.4
36 - 59 months	3,942	3,291	-16.5
60 months and over	7,103	7,523	5.9
Average Sentence	65.4	65.9	-
Split sentence	4,524	4,014	-11.3
Indeterminate	1,257	848	-32.5
YCA or $YO^2$	7	6	-14.3
Probation Term (Months)	16,023	16,057	0.2
1 - 12	3,903	4,239	8.6
13 - 24	2,517	2,621	4.1
25 - 36	4,355	4.420	1.5
37 and over	5,248	4,777	9.0
Average Term	35.2	34.0	-

#### Table S-15 U.S. District Courts Criminal Defendants Convicted and Not Convicted 1987 and 1988

 Includes Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act sentences.
Youth Corrections Act and Youth Offenders (Repealed Pub. L. 98-473, effective 10-12-84).

.

Status	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	Percent Change 1988/ 1987
Total	28,951	32,620	36,314	37,401	41,809	11.8
Non-Triable						
Non-Triable defendants - Total <sup>1</sup>	12,471	13,579	14,145	13,560	14,902	9.9
Percent of total pending	43.1	41.6	38.9	36.2	32.4	-
Fugitive defendants ~ never arrested, summoned and/or arraigned	11,538	12,131	13,180	13,141	14,396	9.6
Other <sup>2</sup>	933	1,448	965	419	506	20.8
Triable Defendants	l					
Triable defendants - Total	12,197	13,865	16,247	18,783	19,831	4.0
Percent of total pending	42.1	42.5	44.7	50.2	47.4	5,6
Defendants Tried						-
Defendants tried or pied guilty and awaiting sentencing - Total	4,283	5,176	5,922	5,058	6,393	26.4
Percent of total pending	14.8	15.9	16.3	13,5	15.3	-
Fugitives after trial or guilty plea and before sentencing	459	551	650	620	683	10.2

### Table S-16 U.S. District Courts U.S. District Courts Status of Criminal Defendants with Cases Pending on June 30, 1984 through 1988

Includes defendants who are mentally incompetent and dismissals pending by the U.S. Attorney. Includes defendants who were not classified under an excludable delay status category and delays under Title 18 U.S.C. Section 3151(H). Includes defendants whose prosecutions were deferred or sentenced under Title 21 U.S.C. Section 844(b)(1).

	1987				1988			
		rst rval	Second Interval		First Interval		Second Interval	
District	W/P	W/0	W/P	w/0	W/P	w/0	W/P	w/o
Massachusetts	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
New York, Eastern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
New York, Western	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	1
Pennsylvania, Western	- 1	-	1	-		-	-	-
Vermont	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Tennessee, Middle	-		-	1	-	-	-	-
Illinois, Southern	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Arkansas, Western	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Missouri, Eastern	-	-	-	3	-		-	-
Florida, Southern	1	-	-	7	-	-	-	1
California, Eastern	-	-			-		-	1
Total	1		3	16	1	-	-	4

# Table S-17 U.S. District Courts Criminal Defendants Whose Cases Were Dismissed Pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. Section 3162(a) in 1987 and 1988

W/O - Without Prejudice W/P - With Prejudice

Table S-18 U.S. District Courts Defendant Terminations within Speedy Trial Intervals One or Two 1986 through 1988

Intervals	1986	1987	1988
First Interval:			·····
Total Defendants	17,198	19,830	17,599
Defendants Indicted in 30 Net Days or Less Following Arrest Percent of Total	16,664 96.9	19,129 96.5	16,839 95.7
Second Interval: Total Defendants	47,192	50,494	48,674
Defendants Tried in 70 Net Days or Less Following Indictment Percent of Total	45,243 95.9	47,817 94.7	46,323 95.2

		Civil			Criminal			
Year	Total Trials	Total	Non- jury	Jury	Total	Non- jury	Jury	
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982	18,827 18,851 18,563 19,825 21,239 21,397	11,605 11,515 11,764 13,191 14,697 14,753	7,792 8,326 8,348 9,254 10,047 10,074	3,813 3,189 3,416 3,937 4,650 4,679	7,222 7,336 6,799 6,634 6,542 6,644	2,661 3,344 3,132 3,216 2,962 3,076	4,561 3,992 3,667 3,418 3,580 3,568	
1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	21,345 20,830 20,729 20,242 19,985 19,901	14,689 14,374 14,254 13,276 13,162 12,536	9,712 9,037 8,817 8,054 7,597 7,088	4,977 5,337 5,437 5,222 5,565 5,448	6,656 6,456 6,475 6,966 6,823 7,365	3,003 2,823 2,778 3,066 2,912 3,215	3,653 3,633 3,697 3,900 3,911 4,150	
Percent C 1988 ov 1987		-4.8	-6.7	-2.1	7.9	10.4	6.1	

#### Table S-19 Trials Completed in the U.S. District Courts 1977 through 1988

Table S-20 District Judges Reporting on Cases and Motions Held Under Advisement Over 60 Days on June 30, 1988

	Judg	res		Cases and Motions			
Circuit	Total Reporting	With Cases Over 60 Days	Total Over 60 Days	60 Days to 6 Months	6 Months to 1 Year	Over 1 Year	
Total	690	328	2,629	1,545	646	438	
District of Columbia First Second Third Fourth Fifth Sixth Seventh Eighth Ninth Tenth Eleventh	18 28 67 71 56 74 69 51 55 102 34 65	12 16 35 38 22 37 33 26 19 40 13 37	73 85 283 211 65 247 601 383 75 175 78 353	28 34 179 140 45 152 318 213 51 123 47 215	28 20 70 54 61 127 96 21 36 24 97	17 31 34 17 8 34 156 74 3 16 7 41	

1984 through 1988									
Case Filings	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988				
Authorized Judgeships	511	571	571	571	571				
Total Weighted	496	453	461	461	467				
Civil	443 53	401 52	408 53	408 53	413 54				
Total Unweighted	555	521	491	465	467				
Civil Criminal	510 45	478 43	445 46	417 48	418 49				

#### Table S-21 U.S. District Courts Weighted and Unweighted Filings Per Authorized District Judgeship 1984 through 1988

\*Criminal filings include only felonies and exclude transfers. The territorial courts of Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, and the Virgin Islands are excluded.

Nature of Suit	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Total	27	35	10	19	20	15
Reapportionment <sup>1</sup>	9	17	2	1	1	5
Civil Rights	16	16	8	15	19	10
Discrimination	1	1	-	-	-	-
Education	-	-	-	-	-	1
Penal code and prisoner petitions	1	-	-	-	-	-
Voting and election laws <sup>1</sup>	14	15	8	15	17	8
Welfare Constitutionality of other state	-	-	-	-	1	-
statutes	-		-	-	1	1
All Other	2	2	-	3	-	-

Table S-22 Three-Judge District Court Hearings By Nature of Suit 1983 Through 1988

<sup>1</sup>Two voting rights cases in 1986, 1987 and 1988 were considered on briefs without hearing; in 1988 one reapportionment case was considered on briefs.

#### Table S-23 Passport Applications and Petitions for Naturalization 1970, and 1980 through 1988 Pass-Petitport ions Applicafor Aliens tions Natural-Natural-Year Processed\* ization ized 1970 ... 473,270 94,660 89,025 1980 ... 23,803 150,646 133,721 1981 ... 18,650 145,339 161,177 1982 ... 15,018 158,146 141,252 1983 ... 11,800 172,877 166,121 1984 ... 9,603 218,616 171,750 1985 ... 2,584 279,781 236,099 1986 ... 1,996 293,252 252,679

 Excludes passports processed by regional U.S. passport offices, U.S. post offices, and state courts.

219,693

243,039

260,885

252,742

696

692

1987 ...

1988 ...

Table S-24								
Cumulative Summary of Actions Subjected to								
Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 Proceedings								
1968 through 1988								

Actions	As of July 1, 1987	1988	As of June 30, 1988
Transferred	9,917	358	10,275
Originally Filed in Transferee Districts	<b>6,0</b> 09	504	6,513
Total Subjected to Section 1407 Proceedings	<b>15,9</b> 26	862	16,788
Terminated by Transferee Courts or Remanded by the Panel	(14,069)	(567)	(14,636)*
Total Presently Pending and Subjected to Section 1407 Proceedings	1,857	-	2,152

 Includes a total of 2,612 actions which have been remanded by the Panel and 161 actions re-assigned to transferor judges within the transferee districts.

<u></u>	12 Moni June 3	hs Ended 10, 1938	Cumul 1968-	tive		e from september 1		the Ended 10, 1988	Cumu) 1968-		Total
Circuit and District	Into District	Out of District	into District	Out of District	Total Pending In Transferse District		into Diatrict	Out of District	Into District	Out of District	Pending In Transferee District
Total	358	358	10,275	10,275	2,152	71h Cir	3	31	525	B01	41
DC	1	3	1,953	109	108	IL, N	3	15	428	\$57	35
1at Cir	12	2	205	345	366	IL, C	1	1	-	32	:
ME				11	-	IN, N IN, 8		5	82	48 52	3
MA	5	1	151 27	232 36	93	WI, E WI, W	:	3	5	80 34	-
RJ	-	-	-	39	- 1	6		-			-
PR	7	-	27	27	273	Rh Ch	52	10	277	#11	95
2nd Cir	86	56	1,828	1,394	567	AR, E AR, W	1 1	1	2	24	:
CT	21	6	232	86 60	314	I IA, N	:	-	3	31 43	-
NY, N NY, E	0	\$	779	240	28	1A, S MN	-	1	48	456	
NY, 5 NY, W	56	38 2	615	889 66	225	NO, E NO, W	41 11		58 163	60 84	45 50
νт	-	ī	-	53	-	NE	-	4	-	50	-
ard Cir	27	34	902	<b>54</b> 8	153	ND SD	:	1	-	34	:
DE	-	-	28	40	33	#th Cir	19	108	1,198	2,392	220
NJ PA, E	1 23	11 22	70 700	248 442	10	AK	-			50	
PA, M	-	1	17	74	11	AZ	-	34	14	117	-
PA, W	3	:	87	138	30	CA, N CA, E	7	9 3	340	608 147	43
						CA, C	12	28	457	921	101
44h Cir	39		173	488	96	CA, 5 HI	1	5	30	62 96	41
MD	39	1	140	143 28	91	1D	:	21	2	54	-
NC. M	-	-	3	42	- [	MT NV	-	3	125	20	3
NC, W SC	-	1	47	34 73	: [	OR	-	1	6	95 50	-
VA, E	-	2	35	101	5	WA, E WA, W	-	ĩ	207	117	24
VA, W WV, N	-	2	1	19	: 1	GU	-	•	-	1	-
WV, S	-	ī	47	42	1			-	-		-
545 CH	17	31	483	896	153	10th Cir	31	L	1,427	501	128
LA, E	2	1	228	141	1	CO	25	;	70 1,186	161 96	77
LA, M	-	5	5	45	- 1	NM	:	-	1	42	-
MS, N	-	-	9 -	67 16	2	OK, N OK, E	-	-	13 7	30	21
MS, 5 TX, N	14	4	127		137	OK, W	7	1	143	61	30
TX, E	-	4	1	151		UT	-	3	7	95 7	-
TX, S TX, W	1	13	105	230 85	13	11th Cir	-	43	183	553	13
5th Cir	70	23	1,021	907	212	•					
			·····			AL, N AL, M	:	10	34	115	:
КҮ, Е КҮ, W	5	1	53 80	51 48	27	AL, 8 FL, N	-	-	1	14 15	2
МІ, Е	34	6	83	269	110	FL, M	-	3	6	99	1
мі, w он, N	2	1	2 104	47	41	FL, B GA, N	:	20	112	247	12
OH, S	29	i i	688	176	34	GA. M	-	÷	-	*	-
TN, E TN, M	2	2	2	82 26	- 1	GA, S	-	I	-	36	-
TN, W	-	-	6	- 44	- [	4				1	
<u>l</u>											

#### Table S-25 Cases Transferred By Order Of The Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation 1988 And Cumulative From September 1968

During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988									
Activity	Total	Full-* Time	Part- Time	Combina- tion					
Trial Jurisdiction Cases	89,996	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Misdemeanors Other Than									
Petty Offenses	13,418	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Petty Offenses	76,578	45,650	30,676	252					
	, i	{	, -						
Preliminary Proceedings	143,352	131,296	11,229	827					
Search Warrants	14,246	13,297	883	66					
Arrest Warrants/Summonses	16,408	15,001	1,339	68					
Initial Appearances	47,956	43,649	4,024	283					
Detention Hearings	11,935	10,942	922	71					
Bail Reviews	6,665	6,019	626	20					
Preliminary Examinations	6,805	6,172	591	42					
Grand Jury Returns	4,259	4,011	200	48					
Arraignments	29,569	27,077	2,283	209					
Other	5,509	5,128	361	20					
Additional Duties	231,834	220,232	8,868	2,734					
Criminal	38,884	37,759	924	201					
Motions (A)	26,031	25,396	573	62					
Motions (B)	2,678	2,621	57	0					
Evidentiary Hearings	1,355	1,295	59	1					
Pretrial Conferences	3,462	3,340	90	32					
Calendar Calls	1,679	1,579	97	3					
Other	3,679	3,528	48	103					
Civil	167,486	158,099	7,130	2,257					
Pretrial Conferences	48,359	45,715	2,136	508					
Motions (A)	95,953	90,501	4,018	1,434					
Motions (B)	7,655	7,426	201	28					
Evidentiary Hearings	1,784	1,666	92	26					
Social Security	7,258	6,988	265	5					
Special Masterships	1,213	1,156	55	2					
Calendar Calls	2,184	2,067	104	13					
Other	3,080	2,580	259	241					
Prisoner Litigation	25,464	24,374	814	276					
(Evidentiary Hearings)	(1,263)	(1,222)	(37)	(4)					
State Habeas	7,103	6,897	166	40					
Federal Habeas	2,542	2,417	121	4					
Civil Rights	15,819	15,060	527	232					
Civil Consent Cases	5,903	5,794	106	3					
Without Trial	4,914	4,814	88	2					
Jury Trial	550	540	9	1					
Non-Jury Trial	439	430	9	0					

#### Table S-26 Matters Handled by Full-Time, Part-Time, and Combination Magistrates During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

\* The total number of matters handled by full-time magistrates includes 493 total matters which were handled by recalled magistrates.

Offenses	1984 thr 1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Total	84,475	90,757	92,269	95,988	89,996
Misdemeanors other than Petty Offenses	11,276	13,779	12,298	12,896	13,418
Traffic Theft Food/Drug Fraud Other Petty Offenses	6,104 1,477 1,086 876 1,733 73,199	7,919 1,854 851 857 2,298 76,978	7,237 1,457 1,209 1,093 1,302 79,971	7,624 1,413 1,278 1,099 1,482 83,092	7,141 1,540 2,004 1,352 1,381 76,578
Traffic Immigration Hunting/Fishing/Camping Mail Drunk/Disorderly Food/Drug Other	48,906 10,720 3,283 284 2,610 782 6,614	52,630 9,686 3,369 192 2,451 733 7,917	52,011 12,501 3,444 231 3,321 860 7,603	56,763 10,281 2,914 183 2,799 888 9,264	50,402 10,483 3,213 235 2,874 1,128 8,243

#### Table S-27 Misdemeanor (Defendants) Disposed of by U.S. Magistrates From 1984 through 1988

Table S-28 Districts with the Highest Number of Misdemeanors Disposed of by Magistrates During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

Districts	Total	Traffic	Immigration	Other
Maryland	9,338	8,378	_	960
Virginia, Eastern	7,213	5,802	7	1,404
Texas, Western	5,523	3,435	1,462	626
Kentucky, Western	4,344	3,454	<i>´</i> –	890
North Carolina, Eastern	4,077	3,473	-	604
Hawaii	4,055	3,275	-	780
Washington, Western	3,261	2,280	-	981
Texas, Southern	3,046	216	2,599	231
California, Eastern	2,838	1,253	81	1,504
Oklahoma, Western	2,702	2,491	-	211

		Probationers Received from Judges								
1985 1986 1987	Total	1-12 Months	13-24 Months	25-36 Months	37 Months & More	Mag. Cases Received (ALL)				
1984	13,344	851	2,489	4,658	5,346	7,582				
1985	13,723	903	2,269	4,739	5,812	7,662				
1986	14,266	677	2,189	4,900	6,500	8,590				
1987	15,131	755	2,150	5,126	7,100	8,089				
1988	14,105	656	2,097	4,648	6,704	8,180				
Percent Change	1988									
Over 1987	-6.8	-13.1	-2.5	~9.3	-5.6	1,1				

#### Table S-29 U.S. District Courts Probationers Received from Judges and U.S. Magistrates by Length of Term (Totals Only) 1984 – 1988

# Table S-30U.S. District CourtsPersons Received for Supervision by Offense1987 and 1988

Number	Percent		
		Number	Percent
37,231	100.0	36,672	100.0
2,174	5.8	2,185	6,0
3,225	8.7	3,008	8.2
2,398	6.4	2,147	5,9
6,367	17.1	6,245	17.0
364	1.0	362	1.0
2,322	6.2	1,921	5,2
10,522	28.3	11,488	31.3
3,138	8.4	3,613	9.9
5,829	15.7	6,281	17.1
1,555	4.2	1,594	4.3
1,409	3.8	1,264	3.4
4,591	12.3	4,588	12.5
1,418	3.8	1,037	2.8
2,441	6.6	2,427	6.6
	3,225 2,398 6,367 364 2,322 10,522 3,138 5,829 1,555 1,409 4,591 1,418	3,225   8,7     2,398   6.4     6,367   17.1     364   1.0     2,322   6.2     10,522   28.3     3,138   8.4     5,829   15.7     1,555   4.2     1,409   3.8     4,591   12.3     1,418   3.8	3,225   8.7   3,008     2,398   6.4   2,147     6,367   17.1   6,245     364   1.0   362     2,322   6.2   1,921     10,522   28.3   11,488     3,138   8.4   3,613     5,829   15.7   6,281     1,555   4.2   1,594     1,409   3.8   1,264     4,591   12.3   4,588     1,418   3.8   1,037

	1984		1985		1986		1987		1988	
	No.	Rate								
Total	5,269	18.8	5,863	20,2	6,321	20.5	6,706	21.7	7,282	22.
Judge Probation U.S. Magistrate	1,757	15.9	2,035	17.7	2,154	17.8	2,416	19.8	2,505	19.
Probation	880	14.0	999	15.2	1,156	16.7	1,292	18,5	1,441	20.
Pretrial Diversion	70	3.4	91	4.4	55	2.6	88	4,5	30	2.
Federal Paroly	1,934	36.7	2,038	38.1	2,086	37.7	2,007	38.5	2,148	39.
Mandatory Release	276	14.5	308	14.3	385	15.3	421	15.0	535	15.
Military Parole	14	5.5	13	5,5	10	4.5	29	9.1	43	12.
Special Parole	338	27.0	379	31.6	475	33.5	453	31,2	580	35.

Table S-31 U.S. District Courts Persons Removed from Each Type of Supervision for Violation 1984 - 1988

# APPENDIX I

# DETAILED STATISTICAL TABLES

## ANNUAL REPORT

# OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

## OF THE

# UNITED STATES COURTS

# TWELVE MONTH PERIOD

# ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

#### Table A-1 Supreme Court of the United States Cases On Docket, Disposed of, and Remaining On Dockets At Conclusion of October Terms 1983 through 1987

			-	
Cases	Total	Origi- nal	Paid	In Forma Pauperis
1983 Cases on Docket	5,100	13	2,688	2,394
	4.140	7	2,148	1,985
Disposed of	960	11	540	409
Remaining on Dockets				
Cases on Docket	5,006	15	2,575	2,416
Disposed of	4,261	8	2,175	2,078
Remaining on Dockets	745	7	400	338
1985 Cases on Docket Disposed of Remaining on Dockets	5,158 4,275 883	10 2 8	2,571 2,095 476	2,577 2,178 399
1996 <sup>*</sup> Cases on Docket Disposed of Remaining on Dockets	5,123 4,349 774	12 1 11	2,547 2,105 442	2,564 2,243 321
1987 Cases on Docket Disposed of Remaining on Dockets	5,268 4,387 881	16 5 11	2,577 2,131 446	2,675 2,251 424

		Oct	ober T	erms	
Cases	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Argued during term Disposed of by full	184	175	171	175	167
opinions	174	159	161	164	151
curiam opinions	6	11	10	10	9
Set for re-argument Granted review this	4	5	1	1	7
term Reviewed and decided	149	185	187	167	180
without oral argument Total to be available for argument at outset	86	82	103	113	95
of following term	80	87	101	91	105

\* Revised

139

#### TABLE B. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS APPEALS COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDING JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

		FILINGS		ד	ERMINATIO	rs 🛛	PENDING				
CIRCUIT	1 <b>9</b> 87	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT		
TOTAL	35,176	37,524	6.7	34,444	35,888	4.2	26,008	27,644	6.3		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	1,583	1,925	21.6	1,966	1,663	-15.4	1,859	2,121	14.1		
FIRST	1,110	1,239	11.6	1,092	1,176	7.7	716	779	8.8		
SECOND	3,008	2,942	-2.2	3,008	2,942	-2.2	820	820			
THIRD	2,595	2,933	13.0	2,579	2,985	15.7	1,308	1,256	~4.0		
DURTH	2,886	3,203	11.0	2,760	3,198	15.9	1,952	1,957	.3		
IFTH	4,301	4,331	.7	4,174	4,295	2.9	2,552	2,588	1.4		
SIXTH	3,817	3,831	.4	3,762	3,937	4.7	3,025	2,919	-3.5		
SEVENTH	2,173	2,409	10.9	2,340	2,123	-9.3	1,496	1,782	19.1		
IGHTH	2,209	2,387	8.1	2,150	2,142	4	1,273	1,518	19.2		
INTH	5,652	6,334	12.1	5,092	5,642	10.8	5,898	6,590	11.7		
ENTH	1,967	2,066	5.0	1,857	1,991	7.2	2,275	2,350	3.3		
LEVENTH	3,875	3,924	1.3	3,664	3,794	3.5	2,834	2,964	4.6		

#### TABLE B-1. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT, DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

					CASES TERMINATED								
CIRCUIT AND								PROCEDUR MINATIO			HINATIONS THE MERIT		
	CASES		CASES COMMENC	ED		CASES DISPOSED DF BY					AFTER	AFTER SUB-	CASES
NATURE OF PROCEEDING	JULY 1 1987	TOTAL	DRIG- INAL	REIN- STATED=	TOTAL	CONSOL-	TOTAL	BY JAIDGE	BY STAFF	TOTAL	BRAL	NISSION IN BRIEFS	JUNE 30 1988
ALL CIRCUITS	26,008	37,524	37,014	510	35,888	2,698	14,012	5,422	8,590	19,178	9,598	9,580	27,644
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISOMER PETITIONS DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISOMER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	4,324 1,147 3,483 3,807 9,693 780 2,601 173	6,012 1,962 4,248 7,294 13,170 1,153 3,043 642	5,948 1,921 4,198 7,155 13,002 1,143 3,007 640	64 41 50 139 168 10 36 2	5,284 1,864 4,522 7,292 12,506 1,104 2,625 691	352 139 1,278 92	1,337 702 1,660 3,793 4,899 452 1,060 89	571 289 442 2,371 1,200 107 389 53	766 413 1,218 1,422 3,699 345 691 36	3,493 1,095 2,510 3,260 6,329 560 1,241 590	2,123 165 1,316 640 4,190 367 754 43	1,370 930 1,194 2,720 2,139 193 447 547	5,052 1,245 3,209 3,809 10,357 829 3,019 124
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	1,859	1,925	1,925	-	1,663	295	465	168	297	903	460	443	2,121
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISOMER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISOMER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS. ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	78 61 499 33 242 9 915 22	140 78 323 38 244 2 1,066 34	140 78 323 38 244 2 1,066 34		105 78 497 43 253 10 642 35	1 92 3 27 1 140	9 15 115 45 45 4 241 8	5 6 47 5 21 1 80 3	4 9 68 3 44 3 161 5	65 62 290 32 161 5 261 27	46 3 1.27 5 &1 3 191 4	19 59 163 27 80 2 70 23	24 233
FIRST CIRCUIT	716	1,239	1,228	11	1,176	38	472	104	368	666	416	250	779
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	182 9 131 36 318 9 30	24 209 76 540 19	23 208 75 537 18	4 1 1 3 1 -	245 22 211 81 544 17 48	- 2 - 19 - 3	86 9 62 41 240 8 25 1	10 1 11 23 48 3	76 8 51 18 192 5 17	145 13 147 40 285 9 20 7	114 3 39 24 215 5 15	31 10 108 16 70 4 5	11

#### TABLE 8-1. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS CASES COMMENCED, TERMIHATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT, DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	1	1			CASES TERNINATED								
								ROCEDUR			MINATIONS THE NERIT		
	CASES		CASES			CASES DISPOSED						AFTER	CASE
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	PENDING JULY 1 1987	TOTAL	DRIG- INAL	REIN- STATED=	TOTAL	DF BY CONSOL- IDATION	TOTAL	BY JUDGE	BY Staff	TETAL		SUB- MISSION ON BRIEFS	PENDI
SECOND CIRCUIT	820	2,942	2,788	154	2,942	293	1,542	340	1,162	1,107	904	203	
CRIMINAL	241	539	517	22	529	105	133	17	116	291	237	54	
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	35	97	87	10	112	2	70	32	34	40	21		
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	83	312	299	13	341	35	181	30	151	125	108	17	
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	124	532 1,188	480	52 50	505 1,181	117	373 647	190 78	183 569	125	77	48 35	
BANKRUPTCY	1 12	1,100	1,126	30	1,101	5	29	5	24	417	25		
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	45	180	174	ī	182	22	107	21	79	53	52	1	
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	5	23	29	-	30	-	2	-	2	28	2	26	1
THIRD CIRCUIT	1,308	2,933	2,879	54	2,985	221	1,192	541	651	1,572	534	1,038	1,2
CRIMINAL	244	410	408	2	430	26	112	52	60	292	81	211	1 :
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	59	144	136		149	5	47	15	32	97	11		
DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	131	262	255	7	281	19	85	25	60	177	57		
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	160	654 1,182		13	632 1,179	120	412 432	242	170	212 627	23		
SANKRUPTCY	54	77	76	1	104	20	39	5	34	49	27		
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	116	160	157	3	167	22	61	35	28	84	36	48	
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	3	44	44	-	31	1	•	3	1	34	•	30	ļ
FOURTH CIRCUIT	1,952	3,203	3,200	3	3,195	306	982	445	537	1,910	818	1,092	1,9
CRIMINAL	279	423	422	1	400	79	76	12	64	245	172	73	
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	122	267	267	-	238	18	- 39	12	26	181	36	165	
OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	205	295	294	1	299	24 23	99	17	82	176	107	69	
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	714	897	898 332	1	927 986	147	143 277	300	43 230	561	448 3990	513 172	
BANKRUPTCY	1 24	225	225	-	131	10	74	ií	230	47	390	13	
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	119	185	185	-	188	3	67	34	35	116	50		
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS		27	27	-	27	2	5	4	ĩ	22	1 1	21	
FIFTH CIRCUIT	2,552	4,331	4,202	129	4,295	322	1,630	595	1,035	2,343	704	1,635	2,9
CRININAL	315	636	621	15	515	20	125	30	<b>9</b> 5	370	139	231	1.
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	46	116	110	6	105	2	42	12	Ĵ	61	2		
STHER U. S. CIVIL	188	333	327	6	314	22	94	27	67	198	71		
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	626	1,102		34	1,304	25	642	341	301	637	45		
BTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,154	1,672		48	1,631	212	556	124	432	863	393		
BANKRUPTCY	136	135	131 258	4	151 202	21 19	103	17	-47	66	22 31		
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	130	68	67	1	73	19	103	43	<u>60</u> 3	80	31		
where we should be the state of	1 1		<del>،</del> ج		13		i • i		3	1 95	. 2	63	1
#### TABLE 8-1. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Cases Commenced, Terninated, and Pending, by Circuit, During the Thelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

								CASES	TERMINAT	ED			
								ROCEDUR			HINATIONS THE MERIT		
CIRCUIT AND	CASES PENDING		CASES COMMENCI			CASES DISPOSED OF BY					AFTER	AFTER SUB-	CASES PENDING
NATURE OF PROCEEDING	JULY 1 1987	TOTAL	DRIG- INAL	REIN- STATED®	TOTAL	CONSOL- IDATION	TOTAL	BY JUDGE	BY STAFF	TOTAL	DRAL HEARING	MISSION On Briefs	JUNE 30 1988
SIXTH CIRCUIT	3,025	3.831	3,813	18	3.937	246	1.354	510	844	2.337	1,205	1,132	2,919
CRININAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	384 114	439 172	437 172	2	451 187	34	108 48	33 14	75 34	309 131	231 13	78	372
DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY	442 595 1,161 78	533 895 1,428 63	529 891 1,423 63	4 5 -	541 960 1,425 79	31 23 121 2	175 343 560 27	44 243 129 5	131 100 431 22	335 594 744 50	163 104 548 43	172 490 196 7	434 530 1,164 62
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PRDCEEDINGS	242 9	278	275 23	3	267 27	26 1	89	41	48 3	152 22	99 4	53 18	253 5
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	1,496	2,409	2,391	18	2,123	131	850	412	438	1,142	713	429	1,782
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY	250 109 138 248 574 53	396 240 157 514 856 64	396 233 156 507 855 64	- 7 1 7 1	286 193 174 462 777 73	11 9 10 6 79 7	90 84 61 244 288 24	37 37 24 168 110 8	53 47 37 76 178 16	185 100 103 212 410 42	150 19 75 61 332 37	35 81 28 151 78 5	360 156 121 300 653 44
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	119 5	145 37	143 37	2 -	123 35	9 -	56 3	26 2	30 1	58 32	39	19 32	141 7
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	1,273	2,387	2,384	3	2,142	234	725	405	320	1,183	653	530	1,518
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTUE DOLLAGE CIVIN	257 59 165 184	305 234 348 581	305 233 347 581	- 1 1 -	300 190 271 509	57 3 22 22	37 87 90 252	14 68 25 217	23 19 65 35	206 100 159 235	153 14 89 66	53 86 70 169	256
DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY Administrative Afpeals Original proceedings	515 52 40 1	682 94 111 32	681 94 111 32	1 - -	690 81 74 27	121 6 3 -	186 25 39 9	57 6 10 8	129 19 29 1	383 50 32 18	273 33 22 3	110 17 10 15	65 77

#### TABLE B-1. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT, DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

					[			CASES	TERMINAT	ED			
								RECEDUR			MINATION THE MERI		
CIRCUI. AND Nature of	CASES PENDING JULY 1		CASES COMMENC	ED REIH-		CASES DISPOSED DF BY CONSOL-		BY	BY		AFTER ORAL	AFTER SUB- MISSION	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
PROCEEDING	1987	TOTAL		STATED	TOTAL	IDATION	TOTAL	JUDGE	STAFF	TOTAL		ON BRIEFS	
WINTH CIRCUIT	5,898	6,334	6,288	46	5,642	287	2,655	1,161	1,4%4	2,700	1,692	1,008	6,590
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISOMER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISOMER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY	1,029 252 942 605 2,158 223	1,148 247 866 766 2,544 208	1,141 244 859 758 2,533 205	7 3 7 8 11 3	942 225 958 679 1,887	57 5 48 4 114 11	310 117 478 461 946 91	284 60 131 342 240 24	26 57 347 119 706 67	575 103 432 214 827 105	394 30 306 61 656	181 73 126 153 171	1,235 274 850 692 2,315 224
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	617 72	365 187	362 186	<b>6</b> 1	207 529 215	45	224 28	64 16	160 12	260 184	84 148 13	21 112 171	450
TENTH CIRCUIT	2,275	2,066	2,042	24	1,991	137	625	187	438	1,229	521	708	2,350
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL. PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	261 117 290 274 1,094 83 131 25	260 149 226 358 775 95 110 93	260 149 221 358 759 95 107 93	- 5 16 - 3	274 145 233 319 750 75 87 108	8 1 27 1 90 5 4	43 44 57 169 260 27 23 23 2	9 9 7 78 67 7 10	34 35 50 91 173 20 13 2	223 100 149 149 400 43 60 105	161 17 64 22 203 15 35 4	62 83 85 127 197 28 25 101	12 28 31 1,11 10 15
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,834	3,924	3,874	50	3,794	188	1,520	514	1,006	2,086	974	1,112	2,96
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	804 164 269 481 947 63 91 15	1,014 194 384 879 1,177 106 111 59	1,003 189 380 864 1,164 106 109 59	11 5 4 15 13 - 2	807 220 402 871 1,203 110 116 65	12 13 20 17 111 4 8 3	208 100 163 505 442 40 43 19	68 22 54 222 113 8 12	140 78 109 283 329 32 31 4	587 107 219 349 650 64 65 43	245 16 110 104 422 39 36 2	342 91 109 245 228 27 29 41	13 25 48 92 5 8

. INCLUDES ONLY APPEALS REINSTATED AFTER BEING TERMINATED DUE TO A PROCEDURAL DEFAULT.

NOTE: TABLE INCLUDES REDPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

#### TABLE B TA. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE, IN APPEALS ARISING FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE INELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

					<b></b>					ER INAL	ED		ſ
								ROCEDUR			RMINATIC THE HER		
NATURE OF Suit or	CASES PENDING JULY 1		CASES OMMENCE	D REIN-		CASES DISPOSED OF 8Y CONSOL -		BY	BY		AFTFR ORAL	AFTER SUB- MISSION	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
OFFENSE	1987	TOTAL	INAL	STATED.	TOTAL	IDATION	TOTAL	JUDGE	STAFF	TOTAL		ON BRIEFS	1988
TOTAL CASES													
				462	91,468	2,290	12,391	4,873	7,518	16,787	8,434	8,353	23,672
TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES	4,324	6,012	5,948	64	5,284	454	1,337	571	766	3,493	2,123	1,370	5,052
TOTAL CIVIL CASES	18.130	26,674	26,276	398	26,184	1,836	11,054	4,302	6,752	13,294	6,311	6,983	18,620
U.S. CASES	4.630	6,210	6,119	91	6,356	419	2,362	731	1,631	3,605	1,481	2,124	4,454
U.S. PLAINTIFF	673	838	826	12	911	101	403	93	310	407	258	149	600
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS. DTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. CONDEMNATION OF LAND. DTHER REAL PROP.ACTIONS. TORT ACTIONS CIVIL RIGHTS:	19 72 38 55 15	38 67 20 88 19	38 66 20 84 19	1 - 4 -	33 78 38 86 25	4 10 3 1 2	17 29 16 59 18	4 7 27 27 3	13 22 14 32 15	12 39 19 26 5	9 21 12 11 3	3 18 7 15 2	24 61 20 57 9
EMPLOYMENT. DIHER CLVIL RIGHIS FORFEITURE AND PEMALTY. FAIR LABDR STAND. ACT SECURCOMMOD., & EXCHG TAX SUITS.	58	56 39 120 37 49 24 72	56 39 117 36 49 22 72	- 3 1 - 2	66 52 139 50 40 34 79	9 8 10 6 14 6	32 17 58 21 21 12 36	4 9 2 2 1 8	28 13 49 19 19 11 28	25 27 71 23 13 8 37	17 17 44 19 12 8 21	8 10 27 4 1 1 -	36 27 87 23 33 18 51
ALL OTHER	136	209	208	1	191	22	67	20	47	102	64	38	154
U.S. DEFENDANT	3,957	5,372	5,293	79	5,475	318	1,959	638	1,321	3,198	1,223	1,975	3,854
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS CIVIL RIGHTS:	87 68 417	127 106 379	126 105 374	1 1 5	113 83 452	11 9 27	50 36 178	10 6 61	40 30 117	52 38 247	33 22 160		101 91 344
EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS:	208 309	300 391	296 386	4 5	294 410	6 22	125 164	46 51	79 113	163 224	64 99	99 125	214 290
MOTIONS TO VACATE SEN HABEAS CORPUS PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS DIHER PRIS. PETITIONS LABOR SUITS	500 286 228 133 43	856 524 335 247 54	839 512 325 245 54	17 12 10 2 -	760 511 345 248 55	27 10 20 10	248 232 147 75 10	85 110 60 34 3	163 122 87 41 7	485 269 178 163 41	77 52 17 19 31	408 217 161 144 10	596 299 218 132 42
SOCIAL SECURITY LAMS TAX SUITS. ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS FREEDOM OF INFOR. ACT ALL OTHER.	719 192 75 116 576	992 264 70 108 619	982 263 69 107 610	10 1 1 1 9	985 265 92 147 715	28 15 33 26 70	239 129 22 38 264	52 18 7 10	187 111 15	718 121 37 83 379	272 79 35 32 231	446 42	726 191 53

		1716 0.1	. 01514			TING THE	INCLAF	MUNIH	PERIOD	ENDED	JUNE 30,	1988	
		}			i			(	CASES T	ERMINAT	LED		
								OCEDUF			RHINATIO THE MER		
NATURE DF Suit DR Dffense	CASES PENDING JULY 1 1987			REIN-	TOTAL	CASES DISPOSED OF BY CONSOL- IDATION		BY JUDGE	BY STAFF	TOTAL	AFTER DRAL HEARING	AFTER SUB- MISSION DN BRIEFS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30 1988
PRIVATE CASES				307	19,798	1,417	8,692	3,571	5,121	9,689	4,830	4,859	14,166
FEDERAL QUESTION	10,270	15,901	15.652	249	15,682	984	7,090	3,244	3,846	7,608	3,397	4,211	10,489
MARINE CONTRACT DTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. EMPLOYERS LLABILITY ACT MARINE INJURY. DTHER TORT ACTIONS ANTITRUST CIVIL RIGHTS:	117 276 57 184 280 273	150 383 91 243 466 274	147 379 89 235 459 270	3 4 2 8 7 4	151 343 68 233 379 287	34 36 6 29 34 43	52 156 22 86 155 102	9 34 1 10 47 35	43 122 21 76 108 67	65 151 40 118 190 142	54 105 27 62 115 124	11 46 13 56 75 18	116 316 80 194 367 260
ENPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS:	1,137 1,820	1,412 2,519	1,392 2,492	20 27	1,470 2,459	134 207	541 955	125 294	416 661	795 1,297	471 710	324 587	1,079 1,880
HABEAS CORPUS PRISONER CUVIL RIGHTS OTHER PRIS. PETITIONS LABOR MGAT. RELAT. ACT. OTHER LABOR CPYRGHI, PATENT & TROMRK SECUR., COMMOD. & EXCMG CONST. OF STATE STATS ALL OTHER	1,642 2,109 53 325 510 214 375 71 827	3,107 4,070 114 425 684 301 443 101 1,118	3,040 3,999 113 419 679 297 440 100 1,102	67 71 6 5 4 3 1 16	3,081 4,078 128 425 650 294 470 83 1,083	49 86 4 29 61 49 54 7 122	1,757 1,969 66 167 247 133 223 22 437	1,425 899 47 31 62 25 54 6 140	332 1,070 19 136 185 108 169 16 297	1,275 2,023 58 229 342 112 193 54 524	463 174 3 170 254 91 156 38 380	812 1,849 55 59 88 21 37 16 144	1,668 2,101 39 325 544 221 348 89 862
DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	3,198	4,504	4.450	54	4,055	423	1,583	322	1,261	2,049	1,407	642	3,647
INSURANCE DIHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS PERSONAL INJURY - PROD.	539 1,357 128	640 1,774 145	637 1,756 143	3 18 2	672 1,794 142	82 180 13	235 775 55	51 144 20	184 631 35	355 839 74	265 590 46	90 249 28	507 1,337 131
LIABILITY DTHER PERSONAL INJURY PERS. PROP. DAMAGE ALL OTHER	391 623 159 1	860 881 189 15	853 859 187 15	7 22 2 -	391 846 201 9	39 79 30 -	130 306 79 3	16 75 16	114 231 63 3	222 461 92 6	161 278 65 2	61 183 27 4	860 658 147 7
GENERAL LOCAL JURISDICTION	32	59	55	4	61	10	19	5	14	32	26	6	30
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS PRISOMER PETITIONS ALL OTHER	2 3 12 3 12	17 2 29 3 8	16 2 26 3 8	1 	6 4 29 5 17		4 2 11 1 1	2 2 1	2 - 10 1 1	2 2 12 4 12	2 2 11 		13 1 12 1 3

IABLE B-IA. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Cases commenced, terminato, and pending, by nature of suit or defense, in appeals Arising from the U.S. District courts during the thely we month period ended june 30, 1988

								Ċ	ASES T	ERHINA	ED		
		CASES						CCEDUR MINATI			ERMINATIC N THE MER		
NATURE DF SUII OR DFFENSE	CASE! PENDING JULY 1 1987		CASES OMMENCE DRIG- INAL	RETN-	TOTAL	CASES DISPOSED OF BY CONSOL- IDATION	TOTAL	BY JUDGE	BY STAFF	IOTAL	AFTER DRAL HEARING	AFTER SUB- HISSION ON BRIEFS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30 1988
TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES	4,324	6,012	5.948	64	5,284	454	1,337	571	766	3,493	2,123	1,370	5,052
GENERAL OFFENSES				ł									
HOMICIDE, TOTAL	37	54	54	-	46	1	9	6	3	36	28	8	45
MURDER, FIRST DEGREE OTHER HOMICIDE	25 12	27 27	27 27	-	26 20	1 -	4 5	3	1 2	21 15	15 13	62	26 19
ROBBERY, TOTAL	192	221	219	2	236	21	46	29	17	169	90	79	177
BANK DTHER ROBBERY	176 16	203 18	201 18	2 -	217 19	19 2	39 7	25 4	14 3	159 10	86	73	162 15
ASSAULT	58	80	77	3	68	3	21	7	14	44	31	13	70
BURGLARY	3	8	8	-	7	1	1	1	-	5	1	4	4
LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL	174	188	188	-	217	14	55	23	32	148	85	63	145
INTERSTATE COMMERCE TRANSPORTATION. ETC. OF	35	33	33	-	40	2	11	1	10	27	11	16	28
STOLEN PROPERTY POSTAL OTHER LARCENY AND THEFT	50 24 65	51 25 79	51 25 79		62 28 87	8 1 3	14 4 26	8 3 11	6 1 15	40 23 58	27 15 32	13 8 26	39 21 57
EMBEZZLEMENT	86	70	70	-	93	5	18	7	11	70	51	19	63
FRAUD, TOTAL	782	1,023	1,014	9	954	92	277	118	159	585	371	214	851
INCOME TAX. POSTAL AND INTERSTATE	170	214	211	3	210	14	57	25	32	139	86	53	174
WIRE, RADIO, ETC LENDING AND CREDIT FALSE CLAIMS & STATMNTS OTHER FRAUD	298 66 91 157	335 107 125 242	333 105 124 241	2 2 1 1	318 103 125 198	31 7 7 33	92 35 34 59	48 13 14 18	44 22 20 41	195 61 84 106	135 33 52 65	60 28 32 41	315 70 91 201

IABLE 8-1A. U.S. COURIS OF APPEALS Cases commenced. Terminated, and pending, by nature of suit or offense, in appeals Arising from the U.S. District courts guring the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

								(	ASES T	ERMINA	ED		1
			CASES					INCEDUS			RHINATIO		
NATURE OF	CASES		CASES DHHENCE	0		CASES DISPOSED						AFTER	CASES
SULT OR DFFENSE	JULY 1 1987			REIN- STATED*	TOTAL	OF BY CONSOL- IDATION	TOTAL	BY JUDGE	BY STAFF	TOTAL	AFTER ORAL HEARING	SUB- MISSION ON BRIEFS	PENDING JUNE 30 1988
AUTO THEFT	22	37	37	-	37	-	15	•	11	22	12	10	22
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	98	142	141	1	135	8	36	13	23	91	48	43	105
SEX OFFENSES	37	70	70	_	50	-	12	+	8	38	27	11	57
DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT, TOTAL	1,935	2,977	2,937	40	2,393	200	617	261	356	1,576	919	657	2,519
DAPCA WARIHJANA DAPCA NARCOTICS DAPCA CONTROL.SUBSTANCE	460 1,246 229	614 2,049 314	608 2,018 311	6 31 3	520 1,607 266	44 133 23	144 412 61	63 171 27	81 241 34	332 1,062 182	192 609 118	140 453 64	554 1,688 277
MISC. GENERAL OFFENSES TOTAL	603	702	696	6	681	65	140	54	86	476	301	175	624
BRIBERY Extortion, Racketeering	28	37	37	-	43	2	11	3	8	30	19	11	22
AND THREATS	217 8	135 27	134	1	187 11	33	27	10	17 2	127	102	25 3	16
KIDNAPPING FIREARMS, MEAPONS	10 213	20 297	20 293	-	277	- 18	3	1 23	2	6 204	5	1 100	23
ESCAPE	41 26	73 25	73		50 23	1 2	15	7	8	34	17	17	) 6.
DRUNK DRIVING/TRAFFIC. DTHER MISCELLANEDUS	21	25	25	-	31	5	6	3		20	11	9	2
GENERAL OFFENSES	39	63	63	-	50	•	14	4	10	32	25	7	5:
SPECIAL OFFENSES						(							
INHIGRATION LANS	57	78	78	-	58	2	10	3	7	46	25	21	1 7
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FEDERAL STATUTES, TOTAL	240	362	359	3	309	42	80	41	39	187	134	53	29
AGRICULTURAL ACTS ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS.	18 22	37 14	37 14	-	24 21	12	2	23	-	10 18		62	3
NATIONAL DEFENSE LANS	20	20	20	-	31	1	8	5	3	22	13	9	1 1
CIVIL RIGHTS	34	14 51	14 51	-	32 53	10 11	4		3	18		35	1
EXPLOSIVES/NON-VESSEL	28	48	46	2	35		10		- 4	35 21	14	7	
OTHER	73	178	177	1	113	4	46	21	:5	63	42	21	

#### TABLE 8-1A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE, IN APPEALS ARISING FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

• INCLUDES ONLY APPEALS REINSTATED AFTER BEING TERMINATED DUE TO A PROCEDURAL DEFAULT.

NOTE: TABLE INCLUDES REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

#### Table B-2 U.S. Courts of Appeals Petitions for Review on Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court Commenced, Terminated, and Pending During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

		,					·						
Circuit		1					Circuit			_			
and	Pending		Tes	minated		Pending		Pending		Ter	minated		Pending
Nature of	July 1,			I		June 30,	Nature of	July 1,	·				June 30,
Proceeding	1987	Filed	Granted	Denied	missea	1988	Proceeding	1987	Filed	Granted	Denied	missed	1988
Total	987•	2,837	142	2,428	95	1,229	6th Circuit	83*	340	13	338	4	68
10.41	301	2,001	144	2,920		1,220			340				
Criminal	258	744	19	662	2	319	Criminal	14	68	2	69	1	10
U.S. Civil.	148	395	36	366	2	139	U.S. Civil.	30	26	1	48	_	7
Private Civil	520	1,614	81 0	1,332	20	701	Private Civil	36	229	9	208	2	46
Admin. Appeals	61	84	6	68	1	70	Admin. Appeals	3	17	1	13	1	5
		1	[										
DC Circuit	25	107	10	102	-	20	7th Circuit	134	187	11	161	2	147
						1							
Criminal	3	17	- 1	13	-	7	Criminal	40	41	2	41	-	38
U.S. Civil	14	37	7	43	-	1	U.S. Civil	15	24	1	24	*	14
Private Civil	2	34	2	27	-	1	Private Civil	72	120	8	95	2	87
Admin. Appeals	6	19	1	19	-	5	Admin. Appeals	7	2	-	1	-	8
			1 .								0.07		
1st Circuit	17•	70	4	61	1	21	8th Circuit	25	231	10	207	2	37
Criminal	5	27	_	24	1	7	Criminal	4	59	2	55	-	6
Criminal			2	24 6	1		U.S. Civil.	7	41	á	36	1	7
U.S. Civil	4	8			-							1	
Private Civil	8	35	2	31	-		Private Civil	13	127	4	112		
Admin. Appeals	-	í -	-	-	-	-	Admin. Appeals	1	4	-	4	-	1
Ind Circuit	94	219	12	193	1	107	9th Circuit	335	344	14	268		397
											82		102
Criminal	20	60	3	53	-	24	Criminal	92	93	1	82 69	-	
U.S. Civil	5	38	1	30	-		U.S. Civil	40	88	5		-	54
Private Civil	63	117	8	104	1	67	Private Civil	177	143	8	106	-	206
Admin. Appeals	6	4	- 1	б	-	4	Admin. Appeals	26	20	-	11	-	35
\$rd Circuit	49	260	14	232	7	56	10th Circuit	99	135	8	86	1	139
											25	_	60
Criminal	6	69	2	69	-		Criminal	44	53	4	33	-	60
U.S. Civil	11	39	5	37	-		U.S. Civil	11	18	1	10		18
Private Civil	32	149	1 7	123	7		Private Civil	40	60	2	42	1	
Admin. Appeals	- 1	3		3	-	-	Admin. Appeals	4	4	1	1	-	6
4th Circuit	41	277	16	215	-	87	ith Circuit	47	338	15	261	3	106
	·	1				1			T				
Criminal	10	68	1	49	~		Criminal	16	109	1	99	-	
U.S. Civil	3	27	2	22	-		U.S. Civil	5	19	4	14	1	
Private Civil	26	178	11	141	-		Private Civil	22	208	10	146	2	
Admin. Appeals	2	4	2	3	-	1	Admin. Appeals	4	2	-	2	-	4
5th Circuit	38	329	15	304	4	44							1
		1				1							
Criminal	4	80	1	75	-	8	1	1					
U.S. Civil	3	30	3	27	-			l	1				ł
Private Civil	29	214	10	197	4	32	H		[	]			1
Admin. Appeals	2	5	1	5	-	· 1	11						1
	I	L	1			1	<u>  </u>	L	I	I			1

Note: Administrative Appeals include applications for enforcement or petitions for review of orders of an Administrative Board or Agency. • Adjusted total.

SOURCE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
TOTAL APPEALS	31,490	33,360	34,292	35,176	37,524
US DISTRICT CDURTS BANKRUPTCY ADMIN. AGENCIES, TOTAL US TAX CT FCC FCC FTC NLRB ICC INS DTHER ADMIN. AGENCIES ORIG. PROC	26,606 987 3,045 467 114 162 352 13 557 142 222 222 490 526 852	28,560 1,046 3,179 574 129 200 228 7 630 136 197 443 635 575	<b>29</b> ,425 977 <b>3</b> ,187 458 57 206 353 353 7 561 253 157 414 721 703	30,798 1,040 2,723 436 76 154 219 4 561 97 74 273 829 615	32,686 1,153 3,043 512 53 86 377 4 491 184 77 183 1,076 642
DC CIR	1,337	2,114	1,871	1,583	1,925
US DISTRICT COURT BANKRUPTCY US TAX COURT NERB ADMIN. AGENCIES DRIG. PRDC	704 26 3 23 537 44	1,271 24 15 26 732 46	933 10 8 30 858 32	868 5 39 38 601 32	823 2 32 25 1,009 34
1ST CIR	1,050	1,051	1,186	1,110	1,239
ME MA RI BANKRUPTCY US TAX COURT NLRB. ADMIN AGENCIES DRIG. PROC	60 498 85 105 218 27 9 5 30 13	73 509 85 93 190 38 5 17 24 17	77 507 87 110 309 23 8 15 32 18	86 426 86 96 341 21 4 14 21 15	121 479 62 94 395 19 13 15 32 9
2ND CTR	2,945	2,844	2,932	Э,008	2,942
CT NY, E NY, E NY, S YT BANKRUPTCY US TAX COURT NLRB ADMIN AGENCIES DRIG, PROC	196 189 736 1,151 226 43 102 26 83 101 92	231 208 639 1,124 214 39 148 44 91 70 36	224 205 712 1,213 190 56 95 41 75 85 36	230 246 710 1,266 65 56 68 75 39	228 223 622 1,353 205 37 65 36 75 69 29
3RD CIR	2,506	2,499	2,468	2,595	2,933
DE NJ. PA.E. PA.H. VI. BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT. NLRB. ADMIN AGENCIES. DRIG. PROC.	117 507 721 231 448 113 64 24 49 171 61	80 500 809 265 488 94 53 36 55 95 24	67 510 795 246 443 130 65 20 64 100 28	106 556 731 249 520 112 91 31 93 77 29	129 618 948 363 477 117 77 29 60 71 44

TABLE B 3. UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS Source of Appeals and Original Proceedings Commenced, by Circuit for the theive month Periods Ended Jun. 30, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, And 1988

SOURCE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
4TH CIR	2,338	2,747	2,799	2,886	3,203
MD	433	478	517	586	658
NC.E		271	285	312	306
NC. M	90	157	122	134	159
NC.H		176	169	156	176
SC		257	250	239	272
VA.E	605	699	741	793	753
VA, H	147	184	202	212	173
HV, N		137	74	58	100
WV, S		130	133	142	169
BANKRUPTCY	69	67	86	88	225
US TAX COURT	43	30	29	17	40
NLRB	31	33	37	33	30
ADMIN AGENCIES	92	1111	129	94	115
ORIG. PROC		17	25	22	27
5TH CIR	3,612	3,456	3,837	4,301	4,331
LA, E	629	665	717	750	740
LA, H	200	132	171	163	161
LA, H	272	339	383	432	344
MS, N	116	88	92	94	128
MS,S	151	196	225	203	213
TX, N	570	546	533	595	608
ΤΧ,Ε	189	145	171	351	312
TX,S	554	599	616	831	881
ТХ, М	439	385	440	409	472
BANKRUPTCY		66	111	147	135
US TAX COURT	45	27	46	30	47
NLRB	18	22	36	16	23
ADMIN AGENCIES		168	190	203	199
ORIG. PROC	118	79	105	77	68
6TH CIR	2.995	3.176	3.618	3.817	3,831
KY, E	238	207	244	252	307
КҮ, Щ	180	204	263	296	274
Мі,Е	689	735	915	978	1,003
HI, W	130	169	168	201	267
OH, N	473	483	538 468	537 473	517
OH, S	342	380			462
TN, E	221	210	251 181	247	235
TN, M	160	183 166	209	211 230	206 196
	99		209		
BANKRUPTCY		94		86	63
US TAX COURT	45 110	66 109	33 94	28	48 91
NLRB				102	
	113 29	130 40	141 33	138 38	139 23
ORIG. PROC	1 27	<b>4</b> 0	22	30	23

## TABLE B 3. UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS Source of Appeals and Original Proceedings Commenced, by Circuit For the Thelve Month Periods Ended Jun. 30, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, and 1988

. .

SOURCE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
7TH CIR	2,254	2,265	2,278	2,173	2,409
IL,N	907	836	900	879	930
IL.C	179	157	207	170	203
1L,S	165	189 202	192 207	161	197 232
IN, N	199 175	215	182	149	201
N1,E	164	192	192	202	196
MI, M	197	149	127	176	204
BANKRUPTCY	58	96	74	72	64
US TAX COURT	43	58 67	41 51	39 51	38 50
MLR8	84	71	82	62	57
OR1G, PROC	24	33	23	26	37
8TH CIR	1,838	2,018	2,053	2,209	2,387
AR.E	257	293	269	261	345
AR. H	84	95	89	117	90
IA, N	72	58	59	61	96
IA,S	125	116	149 241	127 308	133 319
MD, E	219	263 393	395	394	379
MO, H	238	300	307	389	429
NE	108	132	133	211	182
ND	61	56	75	53	66
SD	81	109	125	114	111
BANKRUPTCY	65	65 19	67 31	86 1.9	94
NLRB	41	36	28	14	30
ADMIN AGENCIES	39	45	37	28	44
ORIG, PROC	57	38	48	27	32
9TH CIR	5,204	5,303	5,291	5,652	6,334
AK	55	120	120	120	107
AZ CA.N	399 589	364 751	346 752	470 711	552 815
CA, N	230	226	227	322	336
CA, C,	1,124	1,172	1,205	1,348	1,430
CA.S	304	278	248	258	282
H1	136	161	127	165	662
ID אד	89 120	107 158	90 98	96 131	110 143
NY	197	194		311	275
DR	300	294		353	338
HA, E	143	107		85	112
HA , H	319	297	ند	355	359 35
NHI	3	3	12	17	15
NMI. BANKRUPTCY.	203	209	165	209	208
US TAX COURT	118	202	119	109	119
NLRB	106	129 389	107 389	107 295	63 186
DRIG. PRDC	240	116	160	142	187
10TH CIR	1,922	1,964	2,030	1,967	2,066
CØ	371	435	354	328	360
KS	292	254	310	321	273
NH	224	188	160	172	236 139
ΟΚ,Ν ΟΚ,Ε	128	125 90	138	162	143
DK, H	281	353	382	375	398
ហា	147	144	132	130	128
WY	93	106	128	<b>88</b>	91
BANKRUPTCY US TAX COURT	69 41	85 30	68	62 29	95 44
NLRB.	13	3	8	ii	10
ADHIN ÁGENCIES	91	75	72	57	56
DRIG. PRDC	70	70	133	103	93
11TH CIR	3,489	3,923	3,929	3,875	3,924
AL, N	321	407	414	466	379
AL.H	202	175	183	184	182
AL, S	203	] 174	184	181	156 213
FL, N	149	214	217 670	202 633	702
FL, M	583 849	987	1,010	1.027	1,117
GA, N	514	602	558	546	524
GA, M	157	142	174	123	178
GA.S	227	191	207	216	197
BANKRUPTCY	121	101	133	108	106
US TAX COURT NLRB	43	36	48	35 14	19
RLND					
ADMIN AGENCIES	42	65	53	75 65	63

TABLE B 3. UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS Source of Appeals and Original proceedings commenced, by cinculi for the thelve month periods ended JUN. 30, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, and 1988

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS MELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS

#### TABLE 8-3A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Source of Appeals in Civil and Criminal Cases FROM U.S. District Courts During the Twelve Month Period Endoed June 30, 1988

CIRCUIT	APPEA	LS CONNI	ENCED	APPEAL	S DISPO	SED OF
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL
TOTAL	32,686	26,674	6,012	31,468	26,184	5,284
DC	823	683	140	976	871	105
1ST	1,151	849	302	1,103	853	245
ME	121	91	<b>30</b> 111	97 478	70 374	27 104
MA NH	479	368 51	11	66	60	6
R1 PR	94 395	60 279	34 116	97 365	67 287	30 78
2ND	2,668	2,129	539	2,668	2,139	529
ст	228	201	27	239	208	31
NY,N NY,E	223 622	198	25 223	220	191	29 194
NY, 5	1,353	1,127	226	1,358	1,126	232 32
NY,H VT	205 37	177 27	28 10	216 41	30	11
3RD	2,652	2,242	410	2,671	2,241	430
DE	129	106	23 103	133	110 476	23 101
NJ PA,E	618 948	515 810	138	577 928	767	161
PA, M	363 477	324	39 69	362 546	336	26 87
PA,H VI	117	79	38	125	95	30
4TH	2,766	2,343	423	2,850	2,450	400
HD	658	554	104	648	549	99 38
NC,E	306 159	276	30 32	330 148	292	23
NC, H	176 272	127	49 27	157 277	116 248	41 29
SC YA, E	753	629	124	830	714	116
YA, M	173 100	158 78	15 22	218 78	195 61	23 17
WV.N WV.S	169	149	20	164	150	14
5TH	3,859	3,223	636	3,869	3,354	515
LA, E	740	675	65	739	665	74 14
LA, H	161 344	147 327	14 17	160 399	146 380	19
MS.N	128	115	13	120	110	10
MS,S TX,N	213 608	194	19 137	222 595	201 482	21 11 <b>3</b>
TX,E	312	276 721	36	332 921	313 797	19 124
TX,S TX,H	881 472	297	160 175	381	260	121
6TH	3,467	3,028	439	3,564	3,113	451
KΥ,Ε KY,Ν	307 274	265 237	42 37	273 296	234 271	39 25
MI,E	1,003	\$81	122	1,024	874	150
HI,H	267 517	231	36 52	211 566	180 524	31 42
OH,N DH,S	462	412	50	511	456	55
TN, E TN, M	235 206	206	29 22	269 185	223 165	46 20
TN,H	196	147	49	229	186	43

#### TABLE 8-3A. U.S. CDURTS DF APPEALS SDURCE DF APPEALS IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES FROM U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIDD EMOED JUNE 30, 1988

CIRCUIT	APPEA	LS COMME	NCED	APPEAL	S DISPOSE	D ØF
AND	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL	TOTAL	CIVIL	RININAL
7TH	2,163	1,767	396	1,892	1,606	286
IL,N	930	732	198	804	664	140
1L.C	203	158	45 13	190	157 168	33 14
IL,S IN,N	197 232	192	40	188	161	27
IN,S	201	178	23	160	144	16 37
WI,E WI,H	196 204	144	52 25	189	142 170	19
8TH	2,150	1,845	305	1,960	1,660	300
AR, E	345	327	18	268	249	19
AR, H IA, N	90 96	84	<b>6</b> 21	<b>99</b> 72	87 58	14
IA, 5	133	122	11	130	114	16
MN	319 379	234 313	85 66	291 339	232 276	59 63
MO, W	429	387	42	418	356	62
NE	182	164	18	175 56	157	18 15
ND SD	111	84	11 27	112	90	22
9TH	5,571	4,423	1,148	4,691	3,749	942
AK	107	85	22	100	81	19
AZ CA,N	552 815	410 691	142 124	434 718	331 624	103
CA.E	336	263	73	277	204	73
CA,C CA,S	1,430	1,152	278 140	1,351 249	1,093	258 82
HI	662	616	46	178	138	40
ID	110	93	17 34	95 125	76 110	19
MT	275	203	72	287	216	15 71
GR	338	255 82	83 30	355 114	273 101	82 13
HA,E HA,H	112 359	287	72	354	294	60
GUAH	35	22	13	38	27	11
NMI	15	13	2	16	14	2
10TH	1,768	1,508	260	1,721	1,447	274
CD KS	360	311 232	49 41	366 292	318 258	48 34
NM	236	185	51	193	144	49
OK,N DK,E	139 143	109 123	30 20	152 112	111 93	41 19
OK,W	398	355	43	384	341	43
UT	128 91	10 <del>9</del> 84	19	122 100	103 79	19 21
11TH	3,648	2,634	1,014	3,503	2,696	807
AL.N	379	357	22	427	397	30
AL, H AL, S	182 156	159	23 37	171	156 134	15 32
FL.N	213	141 525	72	219	163	56
FL, M FL, S	702	525 605	177 512	676 1,014	521 629	155 385
GA, N	524	420	104	477	405	72
GA, M	178	157	21	150	127	23
GA, S	197	151	46	203	164	39

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

		FRDM FI NDTICI APPEAL FILING BRII	E OF L TO LAST	FROM F LAST BI TO HEAI DR SUBMIS	RIEF RING	FROM HI To F Dispos	HAL	TO F	BMISSION INAL SITION	APPE FI	FILING CE OF AL TO NAL SITI <b>ON</b>	FIMAL POSIT APPE	COURT T
CIRCUIT	TOTAL CASES	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTY	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTY	CASE	INTY
				, <u> </u>	ALL CA	SES							
TOTAL	19,178	14,239	4.7	15,288	2.8	9,598	2.7	9,580	1.0	17,347	10.1	17,347	23.5
DISTRICT DF COLUMBIA FIRST	903 666 1,107 1,572 1,910 2,343 2,337 1,142 1,183 2,700 1,229 2,086	325 633 993 1,270 845 1,709 1,970 919 921 2,002 803 1,849	7.1 4.6 3.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 5.5 5.5	541 660 1,046 1,347 905 1,776 2,116 977 952 2,208 850 1,910	1.5 1.6 .9 2.5 2.2 1.6 4.3 2.6 3.6 3.6 6.3 2.2	460 416 904 534 818 708 1,205 713 653 1,692 521 974	3.0 2.7 2.6 2.9 1.6 4.4 3.4 2.5 3.3 3.5	443 250 203 1,038 1,092 1,635 1,132 429 530 1,008 708 1,112	.5 2.2 .3 1.5 1.2 1.0 .6 1.2 .9 1.8	615 639 1,026 1,454 1,772 2,195 2,163 1,052 1,133 2,256 1,064 1,978	11.4 9.3 6.5 8.0 11.1 11.0 9.9 14.5 15.3 11.1	615 639 1,026 1,454 1,772 2,195 2,163 1,052 1,133 2,256 1,064 1,978	23.5 24.6 20.9 20.4 17.6 22.4 24.6 27.2 21.2 27.8 29.9 23.7
				Pi	RISONER	PETITION	s			1		·	
TOTAL	4.455	2,744	4.4	2,744	2.6	805	2.7	3,650	1.1	4.455	7.8	4,455	18.4
DISTRICT DF COLUMBIA FIRST SECOND FOURTH FOURTH SIXTH SEVENTH EIGHTH MINTH TEMTH ELEVENTH	94 53 165 309 742 698 725 312 335 317 249 456	23 53 162 224 69 368 616 213 187 268 137 424	6.7 4.6 4.3 3.3 4.2 5.3 4.0 5.3 4.5 4.2 5.7	23 53 162 224 69 348 616 213 187 268 137 424	3.2 1.5 .7 1.9 1.6 1.6 3.2 2.9 2.6 4.8 4.1 2.0	8 27 95 34 64 47 117 80 80 91 39 120	5.3 2.1 .5 1.1 2.8 3.0 1.9 4.6 3.2 2.4 2.7 4.0	86 26 67 275 678 651 608 232 255 226 210 336	.5 3.1 .2 .4 1.6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.4 1.0 1.8	96 53 165 309 742 698 725 312 335 317 249 456	9.9 8.7 7.1 5.9 5.6 6.6 7.6 8.9 5.8 14.9 10.2 11.1	94 53 165 309 742 698 725 312 335 317 249 456	17.2 16.4 20.7 16.5 13.8 18.5 17.7 19.3 15.5 25.6 18.7 22.7

TABLE B4. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Median time intervals in cases terminated after hearing or submission, by circuit During the thelve month period ended June 30, 1988

		FROM F NDTIC APPEA Filing BRI	E ØF L TO LAST	FRDM F LAST BI TO HEAI OR SUBMIS	RIEF RING	FROM HI To Fi Dispo:		FROM SUE TO FI DISPOS	NAL	FROM F NDTIC APPEA FIN DISPDS	AL TO	FROM FIL LOMER ( FINAL POSITI APPEL COL	COURT T DIS- ION IN LLATE
CIRCUIT	TOTAL CASES	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTY	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTY	CASE	1877	CASE	INTY
					OTHER	CIVIL		·····					
TOTAL	8,839	7,811	4.5	7.811	3.0	5,504	2.8	3,333	1.1	8,839	10.9	8,839	29.6
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST SECOND THIRO FOURTH FIFTM SEVENTH EIGHTH NINTH EIGHTH EIGHTH ELEVENTH ELEVENTH	45: 432 542 804 738 1,061 1,079 513 542 1,259 549 869	250 429 531 727 523 981 1,020 488 490 1,124 435 813	7.0 4.1 3.3 3.2 4.4 4.2 4.7 3.9 3.2 6.5 6.1 4.9	250 429 531 727 523 981 1,020 488 490 1,124 435 813	1.2 1.7 1.1 2.7 2.3 5.7 2.7 3.9 3.6 11.9 2.7	208 254 490 352 497 464 711 407 342 962 267 532	3.5 2.6 1.2 2.3 2.7 3.2 1.6 4.6 3.5 2.7 4.0 3.7	243 178 52 452 241 597 368 106 180 297 282 337	.5 2.4 .2 .3 1.4 1.4 1.2 .8 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.9	451 432 542 804 738 1.061 1.079 513 542 1.259 549 869	11.8 8.8 6.6 7.1 9.5 8.9 12.5 11.3 10.8 14.7 22.1 10.9	451 432 542 804 738 1.061 1.079 513 542 1.259 549 869	27.6 29.1 23.5 23.4 29.5 31.0 34.6 34.6 32.4 39.3 26,7
A					CRINI	IAL		······				·····	
TOTAL	3,493	3,189	5.7	3,189	2.2	2,123	2.3	1,370	1.1	3,493	10.7	3,493	18,8
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST SECOND FOUNTH FOUNTH SEVENTH SEVENTH NINTH TENTH ELEVENTH	65 145 291 245 370 309 185 206 575 223 587	49 142 273 276 217 304 286 177 196 522 198 549	7.3 6.9 3.9 4.0 4.9 5.7 6.6 5.6 3.6 6.4 6.0 7.2	49 142 273 276 217 304 286 177 196 522 198 549	1.1 1.1 .8 2.4 2.2 1.0 2.5 1.8 3.8 3.3 2.7 1.6	46 114 237 81 172 231 150 153 394 161 245	1.6 2.9 .4 .7 2.3 2.1 1.6 4.1 3.2 2.4 2.9 2.9	19 31 54 211 73 231 78 35 53 181 62 342	.5 1.5 .2 1.4 1.1 1.3 .7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8	65 145 291 292 245 370 309 185 206 575 223 587	9.6 11.9 6.0 7.4 8.6 11.4 12.4 10.8 13.8 12.7 11.6	65 145 291 292 245 370 309 185 206 575 223 587	15.0 21.2 15.7 16.5 14.9 15.8 20.8 22.5 16.8 23.0 19.4 19.1

TABLE B4. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS MEDIAN TIME INTERVALS IN CASES TERMINATED AFTER HEARING OR SUBMISSION, BY GIRCUIT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD EMDED JUNE 30, 1988

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

		FROM FILING NOTICE OF Appeal to Filing Last Brief		FROM FILING LAST BRIEF TO HEARING OR SUBMISSION		FROM HEARING TO FINAL DISPOSITION		FROM SUBHISSION TO FINAL DISPOSITION		FROM FILING NOTICE OF Appeal to Final Disposition		FINAL POSIT	COURT TI DIS- IDN IN LLATE
CIRCUIT	TOTAL CASES	CASE	INTY	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV	CASE	<b>Ļ</b> NTV	CASE	INTY	CASE	INTY
				ADHI	NISTRATI	VE AGENC	Y						
TOTAL	1,241	-	-	1,021	3.2	754	2.7	487	1.0	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST. SECOMO THIRD. FOURTH. FIFTM. SIXTM. SEVENTH. EIGHTH. NINTH. TENTM. ELEVENTH.	261 20 53 84 116 80 152 58 32 260 60 65			211 20 53 73 60 45 143 57 29 205 47 58	1.7 1.2 1.0 2.6 1.9 2.3 5.8 3.0 4.6 3.8 8.0 2.5	191 15 52 36 50 31 99 39 22 148 35 36	3.2 2.3 .7 1.5 2.9 2.9 2.8 4.4 2.6 3.6 2.6	70 5 1 48 66 49 53 19 10 10 112 25 29	.5 .7 2.0 .3 1.2 1.0 2.7 1.0 .8 1.0 .7 1.7				
					BANKRU	IPTCY							
TOTAL	560	495	4.3	495	3.1	367	2.4	193	1.4	560	11.0	560	20.3
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST SECOND FOURTH FOURTH SIXTH SEVENTH EEVENTH FONTH FENTH FENTH ELEVENTH	5 9 28 49 47 66 50 42 50 105 43 66	3 9 27 43 36 56 48 41 48 83 33 63	9.0 4.4 3.1 3.7 3.5 4.5 3.2 2.8 5.9 6.0 4.7	3 9 27 43 36 56 48 41 48 88 33 36 3 36 3	.9 .8 1.2 2.7 2.1 .7 6.0 2.5 3.7 12.0 2.5	3 5 25 27 34 22 43 37 33 84 15 39	1.5 2.3 1.1 3.0 2.2 2.5 1.6 3.3 2.5 1.7 1.7	2 4 3 22 13 44 7 5 17 21 28 27	.2 2.9 .0 .3 .8 1.5 .9 .6 1.4 .9 2.1 2.4	5 9 28 49 47 66 50 42 50 42 50 105 63 66	13.4 9.2 6.2 7.3 8.2 6.1 12.6 11.1 10.4 14.4 24.7 9.6	5 9 28 49 47 66 50 42 50 105 43 66	26.3 15.7 14.8 15.7 16.2 13.4 21.5 20.5 16.5 24.7 32.5 19.6

#### TABLE B4. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS MEDIAN TIME INTERVALS IN CASES TERMINATED AFTER MEARING DR SUBMISSION, BY CIRCUIT During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	TOTAL	PERCENT		TER	MINATIONS O	IN THE MERS	TS		
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	OF TOTAL TERMI- NATIONS	TOTAL	AFFIRMED/ ENFORCED	DISMISSED	REVERSED	REMANDED	OTHER	PERCENT REVERSED*
ALL CIRCUITS	35,888	53.4	19,178	14,953	1,016	2,664	432	113	14.2
CRIMINAL	5,284	66.1	3,493	3,053	72	297	61	10	8.5
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	1,864	58.7	1,095	882	105	83	21	4	7.6
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	4,522	55.5	2,510	1,772	106	541	85	6	21.6
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	7,292	46.1	3,360	2,641	325	344	43	7	10.2
DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	12,506	50.6	6,329	4,828	268	1,102	124	7	17.4
BANKRUPTCY	1,104	50.7	560	417	25	88	22	8	15.7
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	2,625	47.3	1,241	832	86	176	76	71	14.2
BRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	691	85.4	590	528	29	33	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	1,663	54.3	903	595	102	163	41	2	18.4
CRIMINAL	105	61.9	65	50	4	9	2	-	13.8
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	) 78	79.5	62	47	10	5	-	-	8.1
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	497	58.4	290	202	25	53	10	-	18.3
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	43	74.4	32	21	1	9	1	-	28.1
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	253	63.6	161	104	15	35	7	-	21.7
BANKRUPTCY	10	50.0	5	5	-	-	-	-	) -
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	642	40.7	261	145	43	50	21	2	19.2
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	35	77.1	27	21	*	2	-	-	-
FIRST CIRCUIT	1,176	56.6	666	534	13	106	11	2	16.1
CRIMINAL	245	59.2	145	124	2	16	3	-	11.0
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	22	59.1	13	13	-	-	-	-	1 -
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	211	69.7	147	105	2	37	3	-	25.2
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	81	49.4	40	33	1	6	-	-	15.0
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	544	52.4	285	228	8	45	Э	1	15.8
BANKRUPTCY	17	52.9	9	8	-	-	1	-	1
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	48	41.7	20	16	-	2	1	1	10.0
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	8	87.5	7	7	-	-	-	-	- 1

#### 8-5. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Appeals terminated on the merits, by circuit During the thelve month period ended June 30, 1988

	TOTAL	PERCENT		TER	MINATIONS D	IN THE MERI	TS		
CIRCUIT AND NATURE DF PRDCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	OF TOTAL TERMI- NATIONS	TOTAL	AFFIRMED/ ENFORCED	DISMISSED	REVERSED	REMANDED	OTHER	PERCENT REVERSED#
SECOND CIRCUIT	2,942	37.6	1,107	855	66	160	24	2	14.6
CRIMINAL	529	55.0	291	234	18	31	7	1	10.7
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	112	35.7	40	34	3	-	1	-	- 1
DTHER U. S. CIVIL	341	36.7	125	104	3		3	-	12.0
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	505	24.8	125	86	14	21	4	-	16.8
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,181	35.3	417	305	21	81	,	1	19.4
BANKRUPTCY.	62	45.2	28	20	4	4	-	-	14.3
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	182	29.1	53	44	3	6	-	-	11.3
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	30	93.3	28	26	-	2	-	-	-
THIRD CIRCUIT	2,985*2	52.7	1,572	1,244	102	204	18		13.4
CRIMINAL	430	67.9	292	272	2	16	2	-	5.5
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	149	65.1	97	76	16		ī	-	4.1
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	281	63.0	177	121	10	43	3	-	24.3
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	632	33.5	212	146	49	11	Ā	2	5.2
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1.179	53.2	627	494	20	104	8	1	16.6
BANKRUPTCY	108	45.4	49	38	2			-	18.4
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	167	50.3	84	68	1	14	-	1	16.7
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	39	87.2	34	29	2	3	-	-	-
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,198	59.7	1,910	1,595	43	206	56	10	10.6
CRIMINAL	400	61.3	245	228	2	12	3	-	4.9
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	238	76.1	181	168	1	6	6	-	3.3
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	299	58.9	176	128	2	37	9	-	21.0
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	927	60.5	561	489	22	36	12	2	6.4
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	986	57.0	562	452	,	88	13	-	15.7
BANKRUPTCY	131	35.9	47	34	1	6	6	-	12.8
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	188	61.7	116	83	2	16	7	8	13.8
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	29	75.9	22	13	4	5	-	-	-
FIFTH CIRCUIT	4,295	54.6	2,343	1,864	132	291	53	3	12.7
CRIMINAL	515	71.8	370	313	17	29	9	2	7.8
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	105	58.1	61	50	2	9	-	-	14.8
DTHER U. S. CIVIL	314	63.1	198	160	6	23	9	-	11.6
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	1,304	48.8	637	489	75	63		1	9.9
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,631	52.9	863	669	25	149	20	- 1	17.3
BANKRUPTCY	151	43.7	66	55	5	4	2	-	6.1
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	202	39.6	80	64	1	11	4	-	13.8
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	73	93.2	68	64	1	3	-	-	-

## B-5. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Appeals terminated on the merits, by circuit During the thelve month period ended jume 30, 1988

	B-5. U.S. C	DURTS OF APPE	ALS
			S, BY CIRCUIT
DURING THE	THELVE MON	TH PERIOD END	DED JUNE 30, 1988

	TOTAL	PERCENT		TER	MINATIONS O	IN THE MERI	TS		
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	OF TOTAL TERMI- NATIONS	TOTAL	AFFIRMED/ ENFORCED	DISMISSED	REVERSED	REMANDED	OTHER	PERCENT REVERSED#
SIXTH CIRCUIT	3,937	59.4	2,337	1,930	18	348	40	1	14.9
CRIMINAL	451	68.5	309	269	1	33	6	-	10.7
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	187 541	70.1 61.9	131 335	120 257	3	8 64	13	-	6.1
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	960	61.9	594	525	1	66	13	-	19.1 11.1
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1.425	52.2	744	589	9	138	B	_	18.5
BANKRUPTCY	79	63.3	50	34	í	14	ĩ	-	28.0
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	267	56.9	152	119	-	22	10	1	14.5
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	27	81.5	22	17	2	3	-	~	-
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,123	53.8	1,142	854	98	156	28	6	14.0
CRIMINAL	286	64.7	185	162	-	15	6	2	8.1
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	193	51.8	100	52	32	9	3	4	9.0
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	174	59.2	103	83	1	16	3	-	15.5
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	462	45.9	212	140	55	17	-	-	8.0
DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY	777	52.8	410	318	?	75	8	-	18.3
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	73 123	57.5 47.2	42 58	24 44	1	13 10	<b>†</b>	-	31.0 17.2
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	35	91.4	32	31	-	10	-		17.2
						<u>^</u>			
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	2,142	55.2	1,183	914	116	129	18	6	11.0
CRIMINAL	300	68.7	206	190	2	13	-	1	6.3
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	190	52.6	100	.74	21	.3	2	-	3.0
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	271	58.7	159	103	9	42 14	2 5 3	-	26.4
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	509 690	46.2	235 383	155 310	63 19	14	3	1	6.0 12.0
BANKRUPTCY	81	61.7	363	310	19	40	<i>.</i>	1	8.0
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	74	43.2	32	21	-		1	<u> </u>	18.8
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	27	66.7	18	15	2	ĭ	2	-	

#### 8-5. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Appeals terminated on the merits, by circuit During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	TOTAL	PERCENT		TER	MINATIONS O	IN THE MERI	TS		
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	OF TOTAL TERMI- NATIONS	TOTAL	AFFIRMED/ ENFORCED	DISMISSED	REVERSED	REMANDED	OTHER	PERCENT REVERSED
NINTH CIRCUIT	5,642	47.9	2,700	2,002	86	452	85	75	17.5
CRIMINAL	942	61.0	575	487	5	66	13	4	11.5
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	225	45.8	103	88	1	11	3	-	10.7
DTHER U. S. CIVIL	958	45.1	432	268	18	125	15	6	28.9
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	679	31.5	214	172	8	30	2	2	14.0
DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,887	43.8	827	611	25	163	25	3	19.7
BANKRUPTCY	207	50.7	105	67	1	24	5	8	22.9
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	529	49.1	260	144	21	21	22	52	8.1
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	215	85.6	184	165	7	12	-	-	- 1
TENTH CIRCUIT	1,991	61.7	1,229	911	153	141	23	1	12.5
					7	24	5	-	10.8
CRIMINAL	274	81.4	223	187		19	2	-	19.0
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	145	69.0	100	66	13	30		-	20.1
DTHER U. S. CIVIL	233	63.9	149	95	19		5 3 5 1		9.4
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	319	46.7	149	105	27	14	2	-	11.0
THER PRIVATE CIVIL	750	53.3	400	277	74	44	?	-	7.0
BANKRUPTCY	75	57.3	43	33	6	3	1		11.7
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	87	69.0	60	44	6	7	2	1	11.7
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	108	97.2	105	104	1	-	-	-	
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	3,794	55.0	2,086	1,655	87	308	35	1	15.0
CRIMINAL	807	72.7	587	537	12	33	5	-	5.6
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	220	48.6	107	92	3	9	3	-	8.4
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	402	54.5	219	146	10	56	7	-	25.6
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	871	40.1	349	280		57	3	-	16.3
	1.203	54.0	650	471	34	134	11	-	20.6
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,203	60.0	66	53	4	7	2	-	10.6
BANKRUPTCY	110	56.0	65	40		11	4	1	16.9
	65	66.2	43		6	1	-	-	- 1
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	67	00.2	43						l

\* PERCENT NOT SHOWN WHERE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES TERMINATED ON THE MERITS IS LESS THAN 10. NO PERCENTAGES OF CASES Reversed or denied has been computed for original proceedings because of their difference from appeals, nor have they been included in the percentage of total appeals reversed.

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

#### B-SA. U.S. COUNTS OF APPEALS APPEALS TERMINATED BY PROCEDURAL JUDGMENTS, BY CIRCUIT During the twelve month period ended jume 30, 1988

			PROCEDURAL TERMINATIONS										
	TOTAL				BY .	JUDGES					8Y	STAFF	
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	CRDSS Appeals	TOTAL	JURIS DEFECTS	FRAP 42+	DEFAULT	CPC DENIAL	ÖTHER	TOTAL	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	BTHER
ALL CIRCUITS	35,888	14,276	264	5,422	2,075	542	273	1,142	1,390	8,590	4,900	3,225	465
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	5,284 1,864 4,522 7,292 12,506 1,104 2,625 691	1,339 703 1,694 3,801 5,098 459 1,093 89	2 1 34 8 199 7 13 -	571 289 442 2,371 1,200 107 389 53	194 114 188 771 684 47 77 -	161 27 57 49 159 13 76	31 20 21 76 108 3 14	1,142	185 128 176 333 249 44 222 53	766 413 1,218 1,422 3,699 345 691 36	448 94 857 296 2,537 237 431	274 302 306 1,087 1,056 94 106	44 17 55 39 106 14 154 36
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	1,663	465	-	168	28	72	4	-	64	297	215	27	55
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BankruptCy Administrative Appeals DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	105 78 497 43 253 10 642 35	9 15 115 8 65 4 241 8	-	5 6 47 5 21 1 80 3	1 4 7 5 4 7 7	3 17 10 42			1 23 5 1 29 3	4 9 68 3 44 3 161 5	4 4 39 2 32 2 132 -	- 12 7 1 3 -	1 17 1 5 - 26 5
FIRST CIRCUIT	1,176	472	-	104	56	4	6	19	19	368	223	129	16
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	245 22 211 81 544 17 48 8	86 9 62 41 240 8 25 1		10 1 11 23 48 3 8 -	5 1 8 31 2 6 -	1 - - 2 - 1 -		- 19 - -	4 	76 8 51 18 192 5 17 1	37 1 32 4 136 1 12 -	36 7 15 14 52 3 2	3  4  1 3 1

## B-5A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS APPEALS TERMINATED BY PROCEDURAL JUDGMENTS, BY CIRCUIT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

		l	<b>i</b> :				PRO	CEDURAL	TERMINA	TIDNS			
	TOTAL				ΒΥ.	JUDGES					BY	STAFF	
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	CROSS APPEALS	TOTAL	JURIS DEFECTS	FRAP 42#	DEFAULT	CPC DENIAL	OTHER	TOTAL	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	DTHE
SECOND CIRCUIT	2,942	1,598	56	380	12	11	-	99	258	1,162	591	531	40
CRIMINAL	529	133	-	17	2	-	-	-	15	116	48	63	5
DTHER U. S. CIVIL	112	70	5	32	1	3	_	-	31 24	38	4	33	1
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	505	375	2	190	2		-	99	89	151 183	92 13	57 167	2
THER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,181	691	44	78	3	8	-	·	67	569	374	189	6
BANKRUPTCY	62	30	1	5	ī	-		-		24	17	7	_
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	182	111	4	28	] –	-	-	-	28	79	43	15	21
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	30	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	7
THIRD CIRCUIT	2,985	1,192	-	541	305	25	17	130	64	651	287	345	19
CRIHINAL	430	112	-	52	23	4	5	-	20	60	42	11	
J. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	149	47	-	15	14	-	-	-	1	32	7	23	;
THER U. S. CIVIL RIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	281	85		25	17	4	-		4	60	34	26	
DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	632 1,179	412	[ ]	242	102 138	12	37	130	7	170	19	149	:
BANKRUPTCY	108	39	1 -	100	136	12	-	-	92	266	149	115	-
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	167	61	1 -	33	9	4	2	_	18	28	18	15 6	
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	39	4	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	-	
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,198	988	6	445	152	25	1	203	64	537	429	95	13
CRIMINAL	400	76	-	12	7	1	_		4	64	50	13	
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	238	39	-	13	13		-	-	-	26	19	-5	
THER U. S. CIVIL	299	101	2	17	10	4	-	-	3	82	67	13	5
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	927	343	1 -	300	78	1	-	203	18	43	28	13	
DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	986	281	4	47	34	7	1	-	.5	230	199	30	
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	185	69	_	18 34	7	10	-	-	13 17	56 35	40	15	
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	29	5	-	4	-	10	-	-	4	1	20	<b>6</b> -	
FIFTH CIRCUIT	4,295	1,661	31	595	402	17	9	120	47	1,035	384	580	7
CRIMINAL	515	125	_	30	23	1		_	6	95	53	37	
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	105	42	- 1	12	11	ī		-	-	30	14	25	
DTHER U. S. CIVIL	314	98	4	27	27	-	-	-	-	67	37	28	
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	1,304	643	1	341	207	4	2	120	8	301	43	248	10
THER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,631	579	23	124	106	6	6	-	6	432	200	196	3
BANKRUPTCY.	151	65	1	17	14	-	-	-	3	47	18	21	
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	202	105	2	<b>43</b>	14	5	1	-	23	60	29	25	
ALIGINAL FRUCEEDIRUS	1 13	1 *		1 1	- 1	-	-	-	1	3	- 1	-	:

	[		1	[		······································	PRC	CEDURAL	TERMINA	TIONS		· <u>···</u> ·	
	TOTAL	[	[		BY .	JUDGES					BY	STAFF	
CIRCUIT AND NATURE DF PRDCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	CROSS APPEALS	TOTAL	JURIS DEFECTS	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	CPC DENIAL	OTHER	TOTAL	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	DTHER
SIXTH CIRCUIT	3,937	1,401	47	510	307	13	-	119	71	844	567	259	18
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	451 187 541 960 1,425 79 267 27	108 49 178 346 600 27 89 4	- 1 3 40 - -	33 14 44 243 129 5 41 1	26 13 30 120 103 3 12 -	2 - 1 - 9 1 -		119	5 1 13 4 17 1 29 1	75 34 131 100 431 22 48 3	50 8 104 34 328 19 24 -	25 26 27 66 102 3 10 -	- - 1 14 3
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,123	879	29	412	142	14	76	41	139	438	362	69	7
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	286 193 174 462 777 73 123 35	91 84 63 244 311 26 57 3	1 - 23 - 23 - 1 -	37 37 24 168 110 3 26 2	6 12 8 58 54 2 2 2	1 1 	2 9 31 23 1 1	- - 41 - -	2B 15 7 32 29 4 22 2	53 47 37 76 178 16 30 1	46 17 36 54 166 16 27 -	1 30 1 22 12 - 3 -	6 - - - 1
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	2,142	744	19	405	49	20	10	67	259	320	255	49	16
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL. PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	300 190 271 509 690 81 74 27	38 87 95 252 197 25 41 9	1 	14 68 25 217 57 6 10 8	2 4 2 17 17 2 5 -	- 1 1 1 14 2 1 -	2 4 3 1 -	- - - - - - - -	10 63 18 129 25 2 4 8	23 19 65 35 129 19 29 1	19 11 55 20 117 14 19 -	4 8 4 13 11 5 4	- 6 2 1 - 6 1

#### B-5A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS APPEALS TERMINATED BY PROCEDURAL JUDGMENTS, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

#### 8-5A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Appeals terminated by procedural judgments, by circuit During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

			l I				PR	CEDURAL	TERMINA	TIDNS			
	TOTAL	Ì			BY .	JUDGES				1	BY	STAFF	
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	CROSS APPEALS	TOTAL	JURIS DEFECTS	FRAP 42#	DEFAULT	CPC DENIAL	OTHER	TOTAL	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	DTHE
WINTH CIRCUIT	5,642	2,674	19	1,161	345	263	107	151	295	1,494	844	508	14:
CRIMINAL	942 225 958 679	310 117 481 461	- 3	284 60 131 342	55 22 41 104	164 21 12 34	18 8 28	 151	67 9 70 25	26 57 347 119	6 2 235 21	16 52 96 93	1
DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,887 207 529 215	961 91 225 28	15 - 1	240 24 64 16	100 10 13	39 4 9	40 2 3		25 61 8 39 16	706 67 160 12	463 45 72	93 212 19 یک	3
TENTH CIRCUIT	1,991	640	15	187	15	52	27	63	30	438	250	139	4
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	274 145 233 319 750 75 87 108	43 44 57 169 273 29 23 2 2	- - 13 2 -	9 9 7 78 67 7 10 -	2 	3 1 7 3 3 3 3 2 5 -	4 2 6 14 - 1	- - 63 - - -	2 4 - 6 8 4 6 -	34 35 50 91 193 20 13 2	14 5 39 12 153 19 8 -	8 24 5 69 30 1 2 -	1
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	3,794	1,562	42	514	262	26	16	130	80	1,006	493	494	1
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	807 220 402 871 1,203 110 116 65	208 100 173 507 468 41 46 19	- 10 26 1 3	65 22 54 222 113 8 12 15	44 17 35 75 82 7 2	1 2 8 - 15 -	- 1 2 9 - 4	130	23 2 11 15 7 1 6 15	140 78 109 283 329 32 31	79 12 87 46 220 28 21	60 65 22 233 100 4 10	1 1 4 9 -

\* VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL UNDER RULE 42(B), FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REDPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

	DURING	THE THELVE	MONTH PERI	OD ENDED JUN	IE 30, 198	8
	F11	INGS	TERMI	NATIONS	PEN	IDING
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT D TOTAL
ALL CIRCUITS	37,524	100.0	35,888	100.0	27,644	100.0
CRIMINAL	6,012	16.0	5,284	14.7	5,052	18.3
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	1,962	5.2	1,864	5.2	1,245	4.5
DTHER U. S. CIVIL	4,248	11.3	4,522	12.6	3,209	11.6
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	7,294 13,170	19.4 35.1	7,292	20.3 34.8	3,809	13.8 37.5
BANKRUPTCY	1,153	3.1	1,104	3.1	829	3.0
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	3,043	8.1	2,625	7.3	3,019	10.9
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	642	1.7	591	1.9	124	0.4
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	1,925	100.0	1,663	100.0	2,121	100.0
CRIMINAL	140	7,3	105	6.3	113	5.3
L. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	75	4,1	78	4.7	61	2.9
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	323	16.8	497	29.9	325	15.3
PRIV, PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	38	2.0 12.7	43 253	2.6 15.2	28 233	1.3 11.0
RANKRIPTCY	2	0.1	10	0.6	1	11.0
BANKRUPTCY ADHINISTRATIVE APPEALS	1,066	55.4	642	38.6	1,339	63.1
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	34	1.8	35	2.1	21	1.0
FIRST CIRCUIT	1,239	100.0	1,176	100.0	779	100.0
CRIMINAL	302	24.4	245	20.8	239	30.7
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	24	1.9	22	1.9	11	1.4
OTHER U. S. CIVIL	209	16.9	211	17.9	129	16.6
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	540	6.1 43.6	81	6.9 46.3	31 314	4.0 40.3
BANKRUPTCY	19	1.5	17	1.4	11	1.4
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	60	4.B	48	4.1	42	5.4
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	9	0.7	B	0.7	2	0.3
SECOND CIRCUIT.,	2,942	100.0	2,942	100.0	820	100.0
CRIMINAL	539	18.3	529	18.0	251	30.6
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	97	3.3	112	3.8	20	2.4
OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	312	10.6	341	11.6	54	6.6 18.4
DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	532	18.1 40.4	505	17.2 40.1	151 282	34.4
BANKRUPTCY	65	2.2	62	2.1	15	1.8
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	180	6.1	182	6.2	43	5.2
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	29	1.0	30	1.0	4	0.5
THIRD CIRCUIT	2,933	100.0	2,985	100.0	1,256	100.0
CRIMINAL	410	14.0	430	14.4	224	17.8
J. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	144	4.9	149	5.0	54	4.3
THER U. S. CIVIL	262	8.9	281	9.4	112	8.9
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	654	22.3 40.3	632 1,179	21.2 39.5	182 544	14.5 43.3
SANKRUPTCY	77	2.6	108	3.6	23	1.8
DMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	160	5.5	167	5.6	109	B.7
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	44	1.5	39	1.3	8	0.6
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,203	100.0	3,198	100.0	1,957	100.0
CRIMINAL	423	13.2	400	12.5	302	15.4
J. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	267	8.3	238	7.4	151	7.7
THER U. S. CIVIL	295	9.2	299	9.3	201	10.3
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS ITHER PRIVATE CIVIL	899 882	28.1 27.5	927 986	29.0 30.8	413 610	21.1 31.2
SANKRUPTCY	225	7.0	131	4.1	158	8.1
DMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	185	5.8	188	5.9	116	5.9
DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	27	0.8	29	0.9	6	0.3
FIFTH CIRCUIT	4,331	100.0	4,295	100.0	2,588	100.0
RIMINAL	636	14.7	515	12.0	436	16.8
J. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	116	2.7	105	2.4	57	2.2
DTHER U. S. CIVIL	333	7.7	314	7.3	207	8.0
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	1,102	25.4 38.6	1,304	30.4	424 1,195	16.4 46.2
SANKRUPTCY	1,672	30.6	1,631 151	38.0	1,170	2.5
	269		202	4.7	203	7.8
DMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	407	6.2				

TABLE B-6. U.S. COURIS UF APPEALS APPEALS FILED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	DURING	THE THELVE H	IONTH PERI	OD ENDED JU	(E 30, 19	88
	FI	.1 NGS	TERMI	NATIOHS	PE	NDING
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
SIXTH CIRCUIT	3,831	100.0	3,937	100.0	2,919	100.0
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	439 172 533 895 1,428 63 278 23	11.5 4.5 13.9 23.4 37.3 1.6 7.3 0.6	451 187 541 960 1,425 79 267 27	11.5 4.7 13.7 24.4 36.2 2.0 6.8 0.7	372 99 434 530 1,164 62 253 5	3.4 14.9 18.2 39.9 2.1 8.7
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,409	100.0	2,123	100.0	1,782	100.0
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISDHER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISDNER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	396 240 157 514 856 64 145 37	16.4 10.0 6.5 21.3 35.5 2.7 6.0 1.5	286 193 174 462 777 73 123 35	13.5 9.1 8.2 21.8 36.6 3.4 5.8 1.6	360 156 121 300 653 44 141 7	20.2 8.8 6.8 16.8 36.6 2.5 7.9 0.4
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	2,387	100.0	2,142	100.0	1,518	100.0
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS DTMER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTC. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	305 234 348 581 682 94 111 32	12.8 9.8 14.6 24.3 28.6 3.9 4.7 1.3	300 190 271 509 690 81 74 27	14.0 8.9 12.7 23.8 32.2 3.8 3.5 1.3	262 103 242 256 507 65 77 6	17.3 6.8 15.9 16.9 33.4 4.3 5.1 0.4
NINTH CIRCUIT	6,334	100.0	5,642	100.0	6,590	100.0
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,148 247 866 766 2,544 208 368 187	18.1 3.9 13.7 12.1 40.2 3.3 5.8 3.0	942 225 958 679 1,887 207 529 215	16.7 4.0 17.0 12.0 33.4 3.7 9.4 3.8	1,235 274 850 692 2,815 224 456 44	18.7 4.2 12.9 10.5 42.7 3.4 6.9 0.7
TENTH CIRCUIT	2,066	100.0	1,991	100.0	2,350	100.0
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PRDCEEDINGS	260 149 226 358 775 95 110 93	12.6 7.2 10.9 17.3 37.5 4.6 5.3 4.5	274 145 233 319 750 75 87 108	13.8 7.3 11.7 16.0 37.7 3.8 4.4 5.4	247 121 283 313 1,119 103 154 10	10.5 5.1 12.0 13.3 47.6 4.4 6.6 0.4
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	3,924	100.0	3,794	100.0	2,964	100.0
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS. DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,014 194 384 879 1,177 106 111 59	25.8 4.9 9.8 22.4 30.0 2.7 2.8 1.5	807 220 402 871 1,203 110 116 65	21.3 5.8 10.6 23.0 31.7 2.9 3.1 1.7	1,011 138 251 489 921 59 86 9	34.1 4.7 8.5 16.5 31.1 2.0 2.9 C.3

## TABLE 8-6. U.S. COURTS DF APPEALS APPEALS FILED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REDPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

	1	[					CIRCUIT						
NATURE OF SUIT DR DEFENSE	TOTAL	D.C.	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH	FIFTH	SIXTH	SEVENTH	EIGHTH	HINTH	TENTH	ELEVENTH
TOTAL CASES	32,686	823	1,151	2,668	2,652	2,766	3,859	3,467	2,163	2,150	5,571	1,768	3,648
TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES	6,012	140	302	539	410	423	636	439	396	305	1,148	260	1,014
TOTAL CIVIL CASES	26,674	683	849	2,129	2,242	2,343	3,223	3,028	1,767	1,845	4,423	1,508	2,634
U.S. CASES	6,210	401	233	409	406	562	449	705	397	582	1,113	375	578
U.S. PLAINTIFF	838	26	38	69	69	6B	79	83	25	72	162	35	112
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS. DTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. CONDEMNATION OF LAND DTHER REAL PROP.ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS CIVIL RIGHTS:	38 67 20 88 19		3 1 2 1 1	- 4 - 4 - 4	1 - 6 -	2 2 3 1 2	7 14 2 5 3	6 11 3 7 1		6 6 3 13 1	9 13 5 17 4	- 3 - 8 7	4 9 22 -
EMPLOYMENT. OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS FORFEITURE AND PENALTY. FAITE LABOR.STAND. ACT OTHER LABOR SECUR., COMMOD., & EXCHG TAX SUITS ALL OTHER	56 39 120 37 49 24 72 209	1 - - 2 23	2 3 11 3  2 9	4 5 9 4	2 4 8 7 7 1 9 23	13 - 9 5 1 - 9 21	3 - 10 6 3 4 6 16	9 3 5 6 - 13 15	3 6 - 2 - 1 3	1 3 - 4 1 3 23	7 31 2 15 2 15 35	1	6
U.S. DEFENDANT	5,372	375	195	340	337	494	370	622	372	510	951	340	466
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS Tort Actions Civil Rights:	127 106 379	5 1 10		17 5 24	1 5 23	2 2 30	16 10 29	16 6 28		10 7 20	32 34 114	7 23 43	7
EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS:	300 391	52 42	5 14			37 21	21 19	19 34		15 44	74 76	10 22	22 31
MUTICHS TO VACATE SEN MABEAS CORPUS PRISOMER CIVIL RIGHTS OTHER PRIS. PETITIONS LABOR SUITS SDCIAL SECURITY LAHS TAX SUITS ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS FREEDOM OF INFOR. ACT ALL OTHER	856 524 335 247 54 992 264 70 108 619	14 13 37 14 11 8 1 7 48 112	17 	57 29 11 ~ 3 70 13 6 4	60 43 38 3 4 60 6 4 6 31	105 3 10 149 3 79 18 4 4 27	80 23 9 4 77 34 2 9 33	81 57 32 9 255 30 1 2 50		97 96 32 9 1 92 25 8 3 51	90 103 48 6 10 120 61 28 13 142	54 52 34 9 1 22 17 6 6 34	134 33 23 4 3 78 31 1 6 47

TABLE B-7. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE OF APPEALS ARISING FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	[	<u> </u>					CIRCUIT						
NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE	TOTAL	D.C.	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH	FIFTH	SIXTH	SEVENTH	EIGHTH	NINTH	TENTH	ELEVENTH
PRIVATE CASES	20,464	282	616	1.720	1,836	1,781	2,774	2,323	1,370	1,263	3,310	1,133	2.056
FEDERAL QUESTION	15,901	191	442	1,399	1.418	1,373	2,152	1,932	1,201	1,018	2,352	753	1,670
MARINE CONTRACT DTHER CONTRACT ACTIDNS. EMPLOYERS LIABILITY ACT MARINE INJURY DTHEF TORT ACTIONS ANTITRUST	150 383 91 243 466 274	- 11 4 - 20 7	7 8 3 8 12 7	31 25 17 9 32 33	5 20 31 10 15 37	5 20 2 5 22 12	41 44 7 172 66 17	2 24 14 7 34 34	- 12 2 3 78 22	- 11 2 1 13 5	23 129 7 24 127 70	- 30 2 1 12 12	36 49 - 3 35 18
CIVIL RIGHTS: EMPLOYMENT DTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISOMER PETITIONS:	1,412 2,519	16 36	88 103	114 258	86 201	105 136	180 266	215 351	142 198	89 167	127 418	84 127	166 258
HABEAS CORPUS PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS OTHER PRIS. PETITIONS LABOR MGHT. RELAT. ACT. DTHER LABOR CPYRGHT.PATENT & TROMRK SECUR., COMMOD. & EXCHG CONST. DF STATE STATS ALL OTHER	3,107 4,070 114 425 684 301 443 101 1,118	9 28 1 25 6 2 - 24	38 38 - 13 27 6 17 7 60	361 168 3 65 69 65 15 109	266 384 1 50 71 17 70 11 143	321 506 72 19 43 8 16 6 75	412 684 6 19 38 16 48 2 134	404 491 - 86 111 16 28 15 100	172 338 4 41 60 27 26 3 73	201 376 4 15 36 5 15 8 70	360 403 3 109 145 88 82 25 212	159 186 13 10 22 14 24 4 53	404 468 7 25 52 29 50 5 65
DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	4,504	91	174	321	360	408	622	391	169	245	957	380	386
INSURANCE DTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS. PERSONAL INJURY - PROD.	640 1,774 145	6 32 5	15 82 9	33 184 13	69 136 4	48 181 11	76 231 15	80 155 6	27 127 4	. 47 95 10	118 214 14	51 162 36	70 175 18
PERSUMAL INJURY - PRUS. LIABILITY DTHER PERSONAL INJURY PERS. PRDP. DAMAGE ALL OTHER	860 881 189 15	6 39 3 -	16 50 2 -	10 63 17 1	44 93 14	44 103 15 6	84 179 36 1	42 93 15 -	5 4 2 -	21 46 24 2	513 61 36 1	36 80 13 2	39 70 12 2
GENERAL LOCAL JURISDICTION	59	-	-	-	58	-		-		-	1	-	-
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS PRISONER PETITIONS ALL OTHER	17 2 29 3 8				17 2 29 3 7								

TABLE B-7. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Nature of suit or offense of appeals arising from the U.S. District courts, by circuit During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

							CIRCUIT						
NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE	TOTAL	D.C.	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH	FIFTH	SIXTH	SEVENTH	EIGHTH	NINTH	TENTH	ELEVENTH
TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES	6,012	140	302	539	410	423	636	439	396	305	1,148	260	1,014
GENERAL OFFENSES								[					
HOMICIDE, TOTAL	54	-	2	1	6	6	2	-	4	8	18	4	3
MURDER, FIRST DEGREE Other Homicide	27 27	-	-2	- 1	42	4 2	2	-	4	26	9 9	1 3	1 2
ROBBERY, TOTAL	221	1	10	8	19	12	16	16	21	18	51	11	38
BANK Other Robbery	203 18	1 -	6 4	8 -	12 7	12	15 1	16 -	21	17 1	47	11	37 1
ASSAULT	80	-	1	7	6	7	8	4	2	2	30	8	5
BURGLARY	8	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	1	-	3
LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL	188	1	3	20	13	19	17	13	13	8	56	8	17
INTERSTATE COMMERCE TRANSPORTATION, ETC. DF	33	-	1	7	4	3	2	2	5	1	2	2	•
STOLEN PROPERTY POSTAL DTHER LARCENY AND THEFT	51 25 79	1		5 1 7	3 1 5	3 1 12	7 4	227	1 7	214	25 9 20	2 1 3	3
EMBEZZLEMENT	70	7	3	7	3	•	10	13	4	-	10	-	9
FRAUD, TOTAL	1,023	5	49	110	113	57	113	95	67	48	190	63	113
INCOME TAX	214	-	16	21	11	6	23	25	16	4	52	11	29
FASIAL AND INTERSTATE HIRE, RADIO, ETC LENDING AND CREDIT FALSE CLAINS & STATHNIS OTHER FRAUD	335 107 125 242	1 1 1 2	22 3 - 8		51 2 18 31	16 4 9 22	29 24 22 15	38 9 10 13	12	13 7 9 15	14 29	25 14 2 11	7
AUTO THEFT	37	-	1	1	2	Э	9	2	2	7	2	1	7
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	142	2	5	16	5	6	13	14	15	5	22	9	30

#### TABLE B-7. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS NATURE OF SUIT OR DFFENSE OF APPEALS ARISING FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

							CIRCUIT						
NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE	TOTAL	D.C.	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH	FIFTH	SIXTH	SEVENTH	EIGHTH	NINTH	TENTH	ELEVENTH
SEX OFFENSES	70	6	1	1	8	16	5	1		11	17	2	2
DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND Control Act, total	2,977	74	173	271	159	202	323	182	158	138	510	105	682
DAPCA MARIHUANA Dapca Narcotics Dapca Control.substance	614 2,049 314	7 63 4	58 106 9	10 247 14	17 108 34	12 176 14	129 127 67	34 135 13	18 130 10	24 93 21	136 313 61	20 64 21	149 487 46
MISC. GENERAL OFFENSES Total	702	11	21	69	47	74	72	74	52	42	119	40	81
BRIBERY	37	2	1	16	7	2	4	1	3	-	1	-	-
EXTORTION, RACKETEERING AND THREATS GAMBLING, LOTTERY FIREARNS, MEAPONS ESCAPE PERJURY. DRUNK DRIVING/TRAFFIC OTHER MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFENSES	135 27 20 297 73 25 25 63	- - - 1 - 1 - 1	7 - 11 1 -	32 1 2 10 3 - - 5	13 - - 16 6 2 1	3 1 30 9 7 6 15	13 1 2 32 9 1 3 7	10 8 2 40 2 2 1 8	15 - 20 4 - -	1 5 3 25 7 - -	14 3 8 51 17 4 11	7 7 14 8 1 1	20 1  42 7 7 2 2
SPECIAL OFFENSES													
INHIGRATION LAHS	78	-	4	3	2	1	20	-	- 1	-	43	2	3
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL STATUTES, TOTAL	362	33	29	25	26	16	28	24	58	16	79	7	21
AGRICULTURAL ACTS ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS. NATIONAL DEFENSE LANS CIVIL RIGHTS WITNESS INTIMIDATION. EXPLOSIVES/NON-VESSEL OTHER	37 14 20 14 51 48	29 - - - -	- 5 3 10 6 5	- 8 - 4 2 11	- 1 3 2 5 5 10	2 1 - 6 2 5	- - - 4 6 17	- 3 - 2 3 2 14	- - - 10 7 41	- 1 2 6 5	5 - 8 1 4 10 51	1 - - - -	- 1 2 2 3 2 11

#### TABLE 8-7. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS NATURE OF SUIT OR DFFENSE OF APPEALS ARISING FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

NDTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS

# TABLE C

#### U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

		FILINGS	_	T	ERMINATIONS			PENDING	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PER100 ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCEN CHANGE
DTAL	239,185	239,634	0.2	238,001	238,753	0.3	243,361	244,242	0.4
DC	3,564	3,513	-1.4	2,999	3,926	30.5	4,475	4,062	-9.2
157	7,872	7,916	0.6	8,454	8,114	-4.0	11,560	11,362	-1.7
ME MA MH RT PR	857 3,754 570 752 1,939	736 3,435 582 726 2,437	-14.1 -8.5 2.1 -3.5 25.7	965 4,089 633 697 2,070	837 3,822 609 704 2,142	-13.3 -6.5 -3.8 1.0 3.5	740 7,488 713 667 1,952	639 7,101 686 689 2,247	-13.7 -5.2 -3.8 3.3 15.1
2ND	20,448	19,720	-3.6	19,375	19,566	1.0	26,350	26,504	0.6
CT NY, N NY, E NY, S NY, H NT	2,824 1,483 4,533 9,784 1,479 345	2,435 1,584 4,372 9,454 1,564 311	-13.8 6.8 -3.6 -3.4 5.7 -9.9	2,395 1,416 4,185 9,586 1,456 337	2,900 1,414 4,468 9,259 1,219 306	21.1 -0.2 6.8 -3.4 -16.3 -9.2	4,286 2,971 5,502 11,091 2,158 342	3,821 3,141 5,406 11,286 2,503 347	-10.9 5.7 -1.8 1.8 16.0 1.5
3RD	20,383	23,184	13.7	19,233	21,351	11.0	18,722	20,555	9.8
DE NJ PA,E PA,M YI	726 5,451 8,102 1,957 3,201 946	721 5,730 10,545 2,014 3,404 770	-0.7 5.1 30.2 2.9 6.3 -18.6	649 5,092 7,694 1,982 3,105 711	685 5,696 9,030 1,991 3,165 784	5.5 11.9 17.4 0.5 1.9 10.3	783 5,911 6,209 1,433 2,969 1,417	819 5,945 7,724 1,456 3,208 1,403	4.6 0.6 24.4 1.6 8.0 -1.0

		FILINGS		Т	ERMINATIONS			PENDING	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIGO ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIDD ENOED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIOD ENDED JUME 30, 1988	PERCENT
4TH	18,142	19,343	6.6	18,303	18,359	0.3	15,917	16,901	6.2
HD	3,548	3,750	5.7	3,858	3,931	1.9	3,955	3,774	-4.6
NC.E	1,632	1,704	4.4	1,653	1,625	-1.7	1,066	1,145	7.4
NC.M	870	1,194	37.2	929	979	5.4	602	817	35.7
NC.H	1,091	1,127	3.3	1,034	1,009	-2.4	777	895	15.2
SC	3,608	3,578	-0.8	3,400	3,608	6.1	2,790	2,760	-1.1
VA.E	3,415	3,473	1.7	3,388	3,015	-11.0	2,522	2,980	18.2
VA, H	1,929	2,134	10.6	1,730	1,852	7.1	1,713	1,995	16.5
WV.N	541	557	3.0	656	770	17.4	1,043	830	-20.4
MV,S	1,508	1,826	21.1	1,655	1,570	-5.1	1,449	1,705	17.7
5TH	30,303	30,073	-0.8	31,529	31,517	0.0	38,358	36,914	-3.8
LA.E	6,385	5,851	-8.4	6,453	6,526	1.1	5,354	4,679	-12.6
LA, N	1,079	1,229	13.9	1,080	1,092	1.1	1,432	1,569	9.6
LA, H	2,946	3,416	16.0	2,988	3,149	5.4	3,563	3,530	7.5
MS.H	1,107	1,042	-5.9	1,195	1.267	6.0	1,557	1,332	-14.5
MS,S	2,405	2,000	-16.8	2,109	3.298	56.4	5,369	4,071	-24.2
ΤΧ.Ν	4,748	4,848	2.1	4,630	5,280	14.0	5,269	4,837	-8.2
TX.E	3,300	3,069	-7.0	3,562	2,408	-32.4	5.024	5,685	13.2
TX, S	5,661	5,715	1.0	5,496	5.518	-15.1	7,999	8,196	2.5
ТХ,Н	2,672	2,903	8.6	3,016	2,979	-1.2	2,791	2,715	-2.7
6TH	26,129	27,588	5.6	27,221	27,008	-0.8	26,519	27,0 <del>9</del> 9	2.2
<b>ΧΥ.Ε</b>	2.082	2,320	11.4	2,161	2,260	4.6	1,929	1,989	3.1
КҮ, И	1.642	1,534	-6.6	1,798	1,710	-4.9	2,157	1,981	-8.2
MI.E	6,828	6.813	-0.2	8,374	7,049	-15.8	5,355	5,119	-4.4
MI,W	1,972	1,998	1.3	2.291	2.035	-11.2	2,191	2,154	-1.7
OH.N	5,266	6,535	24.1	4,559	5.649	23.9	6.244	7,130	14.2
OH.S	3,775	3.427	-9.2	3,796	3.742	-1.4	3.957	3,642	-8.0
	1,983	2.071	4.4	1,778	1.866	4.9	1,757	1.962	11.7
TN,E	1,324	1,666	25.8	1.234	1.380	11.8	1,174	1.460	24.4
TN,M	1,324	1,000	-2.6	1,230	1,317	7.1	1,755	1,662	-5.3

#### TABLE C U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

# TABLE C

## U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

		FILINGS		τ	ERMINATIONS			PENDING	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE
7TH	21,550	19,876	-7,8	20,740	20,422	-1.5	17,732	17,186	-3.1
IL,N	11,380	10,492	-7.8	11,262	10,389	-7.8	7,528	7,631	1.4
IL.C	1,466	1,275	-13.0	1,339	1,357	1.3	1,437	1,355	-5.7
IL,S IN,N	1,756 2,119	1,501	-14.5	1,395 2,057	1,759 2,187	26.1 6.3	1,914 2,394	1,656 2,162	-13.5 -9.7
IN, S	2,288	2,143	-6.3	2,161	2,243	3.8	2,751	2,651	-3.6
WI,E	1,571	1,419	-9.7	1,574	1,380	-12.3	1,268	1,307	3.1
WI, W	970	1,091	12,5	952	1,107	16.3	440	424	-3.6
8TH	16,070	16,820	4.7	15,523	15,462	-0.4	15,217	16,575	8.9
AR, E	2,236	2,421	8,3	1,906	2,124	11.4	2,017	2,314	14.7
AR, H	983	969	-1.4	980	1,049	7.0	772	692	-10.4
IA, N	844	773	-8.4	776	780	0.5	750	743	-0.9
IA, S	1,321	2,265	71.5	1,168	1,314	12.5	1,574	2,525	60.4
MH MD,E	2,160 3,003	2,202 2,894	1.9 -3.6	2,553 2,696	2,207	-13.6 2.1	2,076 2,754	2,071 2,896	~0.3 5.2
MD, H	2,807	2,815	0.3	2,789	2,918	4.6	2,873	2,770	-3.6
NE	1,763	1,538	-12.8	1,666	1,417	-15.0	1,545	1,666	7.8
ND	452	424	-6.2	477	400	-16.2	443	467	5.4
SD	501	519	3.6	512	501	-2.2	413	431	4.4

# TABLE C

## U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIDDS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

		FILINGS		Т	ERMINATIONS			PENDING	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE	PERID3 ENDED JUNE 30, 1987	PERIDD ENDED June 30, 1988	PERCENT CHANGE
9TH	41,055	37,107	-9.6	39,466	38,597	-2.2	34,533	37,043	-3.9
AK	742	771	3.9	736	850	15.5	1,109	1,030	-7.1
AZ	3.038	3.411	12.3	2,887	3.026	4.8	2,508	2,893	15.4
CA.N	7,942	6.443	-18.9	7,285	7.340	0.8	6,314	5,417	-14.2
CA, E	2,474	2.444	-1.2	2.531	2,720	7.5	2,858	2,582	-9.7
CA.C	13.146	11,820	-10.1	12,001	12,437	3.6	10,456	9,839	-5.9
CA.S	2.137	1.995	-6.7	2.242	1,836	-18.1	2,457	2,616	6.5
НІ	1.223	1.278	4.5	939	1.391	48.1	2,522	2,409	-4.5
ID	815	707	-13.3	955	755	-21.0	848	800	-5.7
MT	1.022	1.017	-0.5	1,035	914	-11.7	1.450	1.553	7.1
MV	1.719	1,658	-3.6	1,865	1.680	-9.9	1,904	1,882	-1.2
OR	2,252	2,192	-2.7	2,281	1.925	-15.6	1.673	1.940	16.0
MA, E	1.158	775	-33.1	1.076	848	-21.2	1,152	1,079	-6.3
HA.H	3,260	2,469	-24.3	3.486	2.740	-21.4	2.334	2,063	-11.6
GUAM	93	78	-16.1	99	80	-19.2	896	894	-0.2
NMI	35	49	40.0	48	55	14.6	52	46	-11.5
	12.650	12,153	-3.9	13,456	12,930	-3.9	12.232	11,455	-6.4
10TH	12,050	12,193	-3.7	13,450	12,730	-9.7	LAJADA	11,499	•
co	2.249	2,181	-3.0	2,570	2,156	-16.1	1.971	1.996	1.3
KS	2.154	2,181	1.3	2,407	2,165	-10.1	2.309	2,325	0.7
NM	1,627	1,641	0.9	1.496	1.607	7.4	1.766	1.800	1.9
DK.N	1.101	1.246	13.2	1,171	1,101	-6.0	1.064	1,209	13.6
DK.E	730	731	0.1	767	740	-3.5	388	379	-2.3
OK, H	2,861	2,487	-13.1	3,006	2.855	-5.0	2.095	1.727	-17.6
		1.262	-7.8	1.531	1.791	17.0	2.210	1.681	-23.9
ហ	1,369	424	-24.2	508	515	1.4	429	338	-21.2
MY	559	424	-29.2	508	515	1.4		330	
11TH	21,018	22,341	6.3	21,702	21,501	-0.9	17,746	18,586	4.7
AL.H	2,966	3.040	2.5	3.095	3.049	-1.5	1,958	1,949	-0.5
AL, M	1,195	1,573	31.6	1.152	1,397	21.3	846	1,022	20.8
AL.S	1.173	1,378	17.5	1.140	1.234	8.2	1,193	1.337	12.1
FL.N	1.035	1,286	24.3	1.034	1,246	20.5	1,008	1,048	4.0
FL.M	4,661	4.733	1.5	4,766	4,657	-2.3	3.835	3,911	2.0
FL.S	4.290	4,518	5.3	4.633	4.371	-5.7	3,511	3.658	4.2
GA.N	3,507	3.456	-1.5	3,733	3.341	-10.5	3,257	3.372	3.5
GA, M	1.017	1.079	6.1	934	1.061	13.6	1,261	1.279	1.4
VA, D	1,174	1,278	8.9	1.215	1.145	-5.8	877	1,010	15.2

	т	DTAL CIV	IL CASES		ບ	.s. civi	CASES		PRIV	ATE CIVI	L CASES	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM- MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM- Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM- MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988
TOTAL	243,361	239,634	238,753	244,242	54,263	69,076	68,495	54,844	189,098	170,558	170,258	189,398
DC	4,475	3,513	3,926	4,062	1,965	1,249	1,443	1,771	2,510	2,264	2,483	2,291
1\$T	11,560	7,916	8,114	11,362	2,193	2,737	2,621	2,309	9,367	5,179	5,493	9,053
ME MA NH RI PR	740 7,488 713 667 1,952	736 3,435 582 726 2,437	837 3,822 609 704 2,142	639 7,101 686 689 2,247	221 1,062 106 113 691	303 731 163 144 1,396	313 823 168 140 1,177	211 970 101 117 910	519 6,426 607 554 1,261	433 2,704 419 582 1,041	524 2,999 441 564 965	428 6,131 585 572 1,337
2ND	26,350	19,720	19,566	26,504	4,196	4,149	4,224	4,121	22,154	15,571	15,342	22,383
CT NY,M NY,E NY,S NY,M YT	4,286 2,971 5,502 11,091 2,158 342	2,435 1,584 4,372 9,454 1,564 311	2,900 1,414 4,463 9,259 1,219 306	3,821 3,141 5,406 11,286 2,503 347	444 455 1,612 1,243 351 91	523 305 1,425 1,315 482 99	515 326 1,585 1,345 359 94	452 434 1,452 1,213 474 96	3,842 2,516 3,890 9,848 1,807 251	1,912 1,279 2,947 8,139 1,082 212	2,385 1,088 2,883 7,914 860 212	3,369 2,707 3,954 10,073 2,029 251
3RD	18,722	23,184	21,351	20,555	2,578	3,463	3,427	2,614	16,144	19,721	17,924	17,941
DE NJ PA,E PA,H VI	783 5,911 6,209 1,433 2,969 1,417	721 5,730 10,545 2,014 3,404 770	685 5,696 9,030 1,991 3,165 784	819 5,945 7,724 1,456 3,208 1,403	88 998 497 293 529 173	113 1,053 755 529 984 29	117 1,003 725 508 919 155	84 1,048 527 314 594 47	695 4,913 5,712 1,140 2,440 1,244	608 4,677 9,790 1,485 2,420 741	568 4,693 8,305 1,483 2,246 629	735 4,897 7,197 1,142 2,614 1,356

 TABLE C 1. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

 CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMIMATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	זד	TAL CIV	IL CASES		U	.s. CIVI	L CASES		PRIV	ATE CIVI	L CASES	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	CDH- MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM- MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988
4TH	15,917	19,343	18,359	16,901	3,978	6,581	6,091	4,468	11,939	12,762	12,268	12,433
MD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,H	3,955 1,066 602 777 2,790 2,522 1,713	3,750 1,704 1,194 1,127 3,578 3,473 2,134	3,931 1,625 979 1,009 3,608 3,015 1,852	3,774 1,145 817 895 2,760 2,980 1,995	641 257 157 232 1,100 374 490	1,024 576 458 472 1,683 623 824	996 554 363 402 1,642 575 689	669 279 252 302 1,141 422 625	3,314 809 445 545 1,690 2,348 1,223	2,726 1,128 736 655 1,895 2,850 1,310	2,935 1,071 616 607 1,966 2,440 1,163	3,105 866 565 593 1,619 2,558 1,370
WV,N WV,S	1,043 1,449	557 1,826	770 1,570	830 1,705	363 364	214 707	303 567	274 504	680 1,085	343 1,119	467	556 1,201
5TH	38,358	30,073	31,517	36,914	6,388	7,086	6,618	6,856	31,970	22,987	24,899	30,058
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	5,354 1,432 3,563 1,557 5,369 5,269 5,024 7,999 2,791	5,851 1,229 3,416 1,042 2,000 4,848 3,069 5,715 2,903	6,526 1,092 3,149 1,267 3,298 5,280 2,408 5,518 2,979	4,679 1,569 3,830 1,332 4,071 4,837 5,645 8,196 2,715	560 196 552 198 389 1,123 703 1,540 1,127	790 239 1,291 252 431 1,231 501 1,260 1,091	860 194 334 234 434 1,184 479 1,103 1,296	490 241 1,009 216 386 1,170 725 1,697 922	\$,794 1,236 3,011 1,359 4,980 4,146 4,321 6,459 1,664	5,061 990 2,125 790 1,569 3,617 2,568 4,455 1,812	5,666 898 2,315 1,033 2,864 4,096 1,929 4,415 1,683	4,189 1,328 2,821 1,116 3,685 3,667 4,960 6,499 1,793
6TH	26,519	27,588	27,008	27,099	6,466	8,443	8,668	6,241	20,053	19,145	18,340	20,858
KY,E KY,H MI,E DH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,M	1,929 2,157 5,355 2,191 6,244 3,957 1,757 1,774 1,775	2,320 1,534 6,813 1,998 6,535 3,427 2,071 1,666 1,224	2,260 1,710 7,049 2,035 5,649 3,742 1,866 1,380 1,317	1,989 1,981 5,119 2,154 7,130 3,642 1,962 1,460 1,662	713 519 1,163 687 1,226 1,157 359 219 423	1,341 394 2,044 651 1,443 1,407 484 259 420	1,228 496 2,149 753 1,317 1,553 410 313 449	826 417 1,058 585 1,352 1,011 433 165 394	1,216 1,638 4,192 1,504 5,018 2,800 1,398 955 1,332	979 1,140 4,769 1,347 5,092 2,020 1,587 1,407 804	1,032 1,214 4,900 1,282 4,332 2,189 1,456 1,067 868	1,163 1,564 4,061 1,569 5,778 2,631 1,529 1,295 1,268

TABLE C 1. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	TOTAL CIVIL CASES				U.S. CIVIL CASES				PRIVATE CIVIL CASES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM- Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM- MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM- Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30 1988
7TH	17,732	19,876	20,422	17,186	3,549	4,782	5,023	3,308	14,183	15,094	15,399	13,878
IL,N IL,C	7,528	10,492	10,389	7,631	1,092	1,848	1,862	1,078 257	6,436 1,083	8,644	8,527	6,553 1,098
IL,S IN,N	1,914 2,394	1,501 1,955	1,759 2,187	1,656 2,162	375 544	445 405	487 495	333 454	1,539 1,850	1,056 1,550	1,272 1,692	1,323 1,708
IN,S MI,E NI,W	2,751 1,268 440	2,143 1,419 1,091	2,243 1,380 1,107	2,651 1,307 424	724 341 119	845 494 402	811 518 410	758 317 111	2,027 927 321	1,298 925 689	1,432 862 697	1,893 990 313
8TH	15,217	16,820	15,462	16,575	3,627	5,534	5,177	3,984	11,590	11,286	10,285	12,591
AR, E	2,017	2,421	2,124	2,314	506	883	672	717	1,511	1,538	1,452	1,597
AR,H IA,N IA,S	750	969 773 2.265	1,049 780 1,314	692 743 2,525	200 226 498	358 354 555	353 324 550	205 256 503	572 524 1,076	611 419 1,710	696 456 764	487 487 2,022
MN MD.E	2,076	2,202	2,207	2,071 2,896	531 544	555 844 789	842 744	533 589	1,545	1,358	1,365	1,538
MD,H NE	2,873 1,545	2,815	2,918	2,770	553 319	956 384	944 375	565 328	2,320	1,859	1,974	2,205
ND SD	443 413	424 519	400 501	467 431	169 81	232 179	226 147	175 113	274 332	192 340	174 354	292 318

TABLE C 1. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988
	Т	OTAL CIV	IL CASES	5	U	.S. CIVI	L CASES		PRIVATE CIVIL CASES				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JUWE 30, 1987	COM MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	CON MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	PENDING JUNE 30, 1987	COM Menced	TERNI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988	
9TH	38,533	37,107	38,597	37,043	12,395	14,721	15,310	11,806	26,138	22,386	23,287	25,237	
AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S HI ID NY OR MA, E	1,109 2,508 6,314 2,858 10,456 2,457 2,457 2,457 2,457 2,457 1,904 1,904 1,673 1,152	771 3,411 6,443 2,444 11,820 1,995 1,278 707 1,017 1,658 2,192 775	850 3,026 7,340 2,720 12,437 1,836 1,391 755 914 1,680 1,925 848	1,030 2,893 5,417 2,582 9,839 2,616 2,409 800 1,553 1,882 1,940 1,079	335 673 2,265 852 3,682 876 387 331 389 319 418 446	253 1,198 3,220 741 5,218 954 232 290 334 420 630 317	294 1,081 3,536 799 5,621 784 312 317 306 333 540 417	294 790 1,949 794 3,279 1,046 307 304 417 406 508 346	774 1,835 4,049 2,006 6,774 1,581 2,135 517 1,061 1,585 1,255 706	518 2,213 3,223 1,703 6,602 1,041 1,046 417 683 1,238 1,562 458	556 1,945 3,804 1,921 6,816 1,052 1,052 1,079 438 608 1,347 1,385 431	736 2,103 3,468 1,788 6,560 1,570 2,102 496 1,136 1,476 1,432 733	
HA,H	2,334	2,469	2,740	2,063	613	888	943	558	1,721	1,581	1,797	1,505	
GUAM	896	78	80	894	802	14	18	798	94	64	62	96	
NHI	52	49	55	46	7	12	9	10	45	37	46	36	
10ТН	12,232	12,153	12,930	11,455	2,515	3,434	3,322	2,627	9,717	8,719	9,608	8,828	
Св	1,971	2,181	2,156	1,996	352	438	435	355	1,619	1,743	1,721	1,641	
KS	2,309	2,181	2,165	2,325	597	839	766	670	1,712	1,342	1,399	1,655	
NH	1,766	1,641	1,607	1,800	497	548	562	483	1,269	1,093	1,045	1,317	
OK,N	1,064	1,246	1,101	1,209	188	371	300	259	876	875	801	950	
OK,E	388	731	740	379	111	263	270	104	277	468	470	275	
OK,H	2,095	2,487	2,855	1,727	365	552	572	345	1,730	1,935	2,283	1,382	
UT	2,210	1,262	1,791	1,681	285	314	281	318	1,925	948	1,510	1,363	
HY	429	424	515	338	120	109	136	93	309	315	379	245	
11TH	17,746	22,341	21,501	18,586	4,413	6,897	6,571	4,739	13,333	15,444	14,930	13,847	
AL,N	1,958	3,040	3,049	1,949	523	913	975	461	1,435	2,127	2,074	1,488	
AL,M	846	1,573	1,397	1,022	173	354	333	194	673	1,219	1,064	828	
AL,S	1,193	1,378	1,234	1,337	191	359	289	261	1,002	1,019	945	1,076	
FL,N	1,008	1,286	1,246	1,048	409	644	666	387	599	642	580	661	
FL,M	3,835	4,733	4,657	3,911	980	1,630	1,567	1,043	2,855	3,103	3,090	2,868	
FL,S	3,511	4,518	4,371	3,658	944	1,408	1,309	1,043	2,567	3,110	3,062	2,615	
GA, N	3,257	3,456	3,341	3,372	590	854	786	658	2,667	2,602	2,555	2,714	
GA, M	1,261	1,079	1,061	1,279	394	367	340	421	867	712	721	858	
GA, S	877	1,278	1,145	1,010	209	368	<b>306</b>	271	668	910	839	739	

TABLE C 1. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, YERNINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

					1955		
NATURE OF SUIT			U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASE	5
	1987	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	LOCAL JURISDICTION
TOTAL CASES	239,185	239,634	37,728	31,348	101,710	68,224	624
CONTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	69,606	62,811	22,545	858	7,090	32,210	108
INSURANCE	7,503		68	149	685	6,973	10
MARINE	3,678	3,465	68	28	3,176	182	11
MILLER ACT	1,654	1,475	621		854		-
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS	4,265	3,585	1,236	70	403	1,874	2
RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGHENTS	1.143	948	653	75	42	177	1
DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS	2.061	3,541	3,532	9	- 1	-	-
VETERANS' OVERPAYMENT	21,029	14,187	14,187	-	-	-	-
OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	28,273	27,725	2,180	527	1,930	23,004	84
REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS, TOTAL,	11,586	12,209	6,590	776	785	4,004	54
CONDEMNATION OF LAND	564	558	458	29	52	18	1
FORECLOSURE	9.021	9,582	5,830	426	376	2,924	26
RENT, LEASE, AND EJECTMENT	421	475	113	17	54	257	4
TORTS TO LAND	462	453	10	54	83	303	3
OTHER REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS	1,118	1,141	179	250	190	502	20
TORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	42,977	44,961	200	3,056	9,464	31,930	311
PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	39,173	41,148	94	2,762	£,478	29,512	302
PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY, TOTAL	14,153	16,166	_	104	2,236	13,800	26
A1RPLANE	151	185		2	16	167	-
MARINE	99	101	-	1	65	35	-
MOTOR VEHICLE	649	623	-	11	36	570	6
ASBESTOS	7,776	10,715	-	20	1,942	8,753	
DTHER	5,478	4,542	-	70	177	4,275	20

#### TABLE C 2. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

#### TABLE C 2. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT During the twelve month periods ended june 30, 1987 and 1988

	1	L			1988		
NATURE OF SUIT			U.S. (	ASES		PRIVATE CASES	s
	1987	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	LOCAL JURISDICTION
OTHER PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL		24,982	94	2,658	6,242	15,712	276
AIRPLANE	719	762	-	104	92	563	3
MARINE	3,641	3,061	11	102	2,413	530	5
MOTOR VEHICLE.	7,137	7,264	35	666	249	6,243	71
ASSAULT, LIBEL AND SLANDER.	815	814	- 1	62	188	557	7
FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT	2,437	2,540	-	97	2,443		
MEDICAL HALPRACTICE	1,785	1,567		623	76	862	6
OTHER	8,486	8,974	48	1,004	781	6,957	184
PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE, TOTAL	3,804	3,813	106	294	986	2,418	
FRAUD INCLUDING TRUTH IN LENDING	1,743		37	29	598	1,027	
OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	2,061	2,120	69	265	388	1,391	7
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, TOTAL	114,942	119,514	8,388	26,655	84,364	78	29
ANTITRUST	785	682	20	8	654	-	
SANKRUPTCY. TOTAL	4.705	5.558	105	67	5.383	-	-
APPEAL (28 USC 158)	4,109	4,117	95	59	3,963		
WITHDRAMAL (28 USC 157)	596	1,441	13	8	1,420	-	-
SANKS AND BANKING	520	529	154	78	297	-	-
IVIL RIGHTS, TOTAL	19.805	19.323	625	1.732	16,966	•	_
VOTING	214	347	11	9	327	-	-
EMPLOYMENT	8,993	8,563	488	906	7,169	-	-
ACCOMMODATIONS	323	322	16	22	284	-	-
WELFARE	158	129	2	30	97	-	-
OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	10,117	9,962	108	765	9,089	-	-
COMMERCE (ICC RATES, ETC.)	1.067	1.694	89	16	1.589	-	-
CONOMIC STABILIZATION ACT	17	28	2	6	20		-
WVIRONMENTAL MATTERS	703	889	353	177	359	-	-

					1988		
NATURE DF SUIT	1		U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASES	i
	1987	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	LDCAL JURISDICTION
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED			1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DEPORTATION	172	105	-	98	7	-	-
PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL	37,351	38,839	-	5,130	33,695	-	14
MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	1,678	2,071		2,071 1,867	9.867		13
MANDANUS AND DTHER.	589	600	-	330	270	_	-
CIVIL RIGHTS	23,716	24,421	-	862	23,558	-	1
FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL	3,493		3,702	171			
AGRICULTURAL ACTS	105	98 869	55 832	43	-	-	
FOOD AND DRUG ACT	98	110	108	2		-	-
DCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT	30	29	26	3	-	-	-
OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS	2,589	2,767	2,681	86	-	-	-
LABOR LAWS, TOTAL		12,688	1,000	183	11,505		
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.	1,469		633	26	733	-	-
LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACTLABOR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT	3,323	2,880	97 43	*2	2,741		-
RAILWAY LABOR ACT.	260	237	-3	6	228		_
DTHER LABOR LITIGATION	7,462	8,009	224	108	7,677	-	-

#### TABLE C 2. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT During the thelve month periods ended June 30, 1987 and 1988

contraction and the second sec

					1988		
NATURE OF SUIT			U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASE	5
	1987	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	LOCAL JURISDICTIO
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED				*			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL	5,518		22	21	6,016		
COPYRIGHT	1,994	2,243	,		2,243	-	-
PATENT	1,129 2,395	1,163 2,653	10 12	21	1,132 2,641	-	-
SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES	3,021	2,638	183	16	2,439	-	-
SOCIAL SECURITY LAWS, TOTAL	13,338	15,152	156	14,996	-		
HEALTH INSURANCE.	212	217	-	217	-	-	•
BLACK LUNG CASES DISABILITY INSURANCE	75	62 11.412	152	58 11.260	-	-	
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME	2,609	3,044		3,044	- 1	+	-
RETIREMENT AND SURVIVORS BENEFITS	358	415	-	415	-	-	•
0THER	49	2		2	-	-	
STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS	3	7	-	-	7	-	-
TAX SUITS.	2,785	2,555	752	1,789	-	-	1
CUSTOMER CHALLENGE	40	27 344		27 307	37	-	
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES	318	316		12	283	16	
DTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS	8,098	8,208	1,218	1,821	5,107	62	
OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL	74	139	5	3	7	2	12
DEMESTIC RELATIONS	4	9	-	-	-	-	
INSANITY	-	-	-	_	-	-	
PROBATE	70	129	-	3		- 2	11

#### TABLE C 2. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Civil Cases Commenced, by basis of jurisdiction and nature of suit During the thelve month periods ended june 30, 1987 and 1988

NATURE OF SUIT	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE* 1988/1987
TOTAL CASES	261,485	273,670	254,828	239.185	239.634	0.2
CUNTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	58,231	102,642	88,352	69,606	62,811	-9.8
INSURANCE. MARINE. MILLER ACT. NEGDTIABLE INSTRUMENTS.	6,211 5,031 1,283 4,760	6,851 5,037 1,623 4,122	7,558 5,198 1,711 4,221	7,503 3,678 1,654 4,265	7,885 3,465 1,475 3,585	5.1 -5.8 -10.8 -16.0
RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS	1,353 3,098 41,739	1,788 10,552 45,820	1,681 4,637 34,506	1,143 2,061 21,029	948 3,541 14,187	-17.1 71.8 -32.5
OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	24,756	26,849	28,840	28,273	27,725	-1.9
REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS, TOTAL	9,192	10,118	10,674	11,586	12,209	5.4
CONDEMNATION OF LAND. Foreclosure Rent, lease, and ejectment. Torts to land. Other Real property actions.	508 6,660 400 502 1,122	670 7,465 394 489 1,100	477 8,110 458 512 1,117	564 9,021 421 462 1,118	558 9,582 475 453 1,141	-1.1 6.2 12.8 -2.0 2.1
TORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	37,522	41,593	42,326	42,977	44,961	4.6
PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	33,473	37,560	38,248	39,173	41,148	5.0
PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY, TOTAL AIRPLANE Marine Motor yehicle Asbestos Other	9,677 371 133 652 2,788 5,733	12.507 278 112 612 4,239 7,266	12,459 216 93 656 5,463 6,031	14,153 151 99 649 7,776 5,478	16,166 185 101 623 10,715 4,542	14.2 22.5 2.0 -4.0 37.8 -17.1
DTHER PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL AIRPLANE MOTOR VEHICLE ASSAULT, LIBEL AND SLANDER FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT MEDICAL MALPRACTICE. OTHER	23.796 748 4,455 6,502 988 1,914 1,782 7,407	25.053 613 4,367 6,776 910 2,221 1,779 8,387	25,789 738 4,095 6,958 800 2,635 1,911 8,652	25,020 719 3,641 7,137 815 2,437 1,785 8,486	24,982 762 3,061 7,264 814 2,540 1,567 8,974	-0.2 6.0 -15.9 1.8 -0.1 4.2 -12.2 5.8

#### TABLE C-2A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT During The 12 Month Periods Ended June 30, 1984 Through 1988

NATURE OF SUIT	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE* 1988/1987
PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE, TOTAL	4,049	4,033	4,078	3,804	3,813	0.2
FRAUD INCLUDING TRUTH IN LENDING	1,842	1,816	1,806	1,743	1,693	-2.9
OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	2,207	2,217	2,272	2,061	2,120	2.9
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, TOTAL	126,255	119,160	113,392	114,942	119,514	4.0
ANTITRUST	1,124	1,082	877	785	682	-13.1
BANKRUPTCY, TOTAL	4.645	6.331	4,561	4,705	5,558	18.1
APPEAL (28 USC 158)	4,157	4,857	3,590	4,109	4,117	0.2
HITHDRAMAL (28 USC 157)	488	1,474	971	596	1,441	141.8
BANKS AND BANKING	313	343	518	520	529	1.7
CIVIL RIGHTS, TOTAL	21,219	19,553	20,125	19,805	19,323	-2.4
VUTING	259	281	194	214	347	62.1
EMPLOYMENT	9,748	8,082	9,174	8,993	8,563	-4.8
ACCOMMODATIONS	291	253	230	323	322	-0.3
HELFARE	183	180	164	158	129	18.4
DTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	10,738	10,757	10,366	10,117	9,962	-1.5
COMMERCE (ICC RATES, ETC.)	1.000	912	922	1.067	1,694	58.8
ECONOMIC STABILIZATION ACT	24	22	12	17	28	64.7
ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS	671	652	641	703	889	26.5
DEPORTATION	193	185	199	172	105	-39.0
PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL	31,107	33,468	33,765	37,351	38,839	4.0
MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	1,427	1,527	1,556	1,678	2,071	23.4
HABEAS CORPUS	10,254	11,939	10,724	11,368	11,747	3.3
MANDAHUS AND DTHER	570	554	643	589	600	1.9
CIVIL RIGHTS	18,856	1.9,448	20,842	23,716	24,421	3.0
FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL	3,708	4,908	3,480	3,493	3,873	10.9
AGRICULTURAL ACTS	74	144	155	105	98	-6.7
FDOD AND DRUG ACT	411	668	613	671	869	29.5
AIR TRAFFIC REGULATIONS	112	126	136	98	110	12.2
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT	106	101	97	30	29	-3.3
OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS	3,005	3,869	2,479	2,589	2,767	6.9

#### TABLE C-2A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Civil Cases Commenced, by nature of Suit During the 12 Month Periods Ended June 30, 1984 through 1988

NATURE OF SUIT	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE+ 1988/1987
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED						
LABOR LANS, TOTAL	11,821	11,749	12,839	12,759	12,688	-0,6
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT	1,479	1,288	1,405	1,469	1,392	-5,3
LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT	3,920	3,559	3,681	3,323	2,880	-13.3
LABOR MAWAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT	304	223	280	245	170	-30.6
RAILWAY LABOR ACT	224	247	286	260	237	-8.9
DTHER LABOR LITIGATION	5,894	6,432	7,187	7,462	8,009	7.3
PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL	5,298	5,412	5,681	5,518	6,059	9.8
C0PYRJGHT	2,102	2,113	2,198	1,994	2,243	12.5
PATENT	1,010	1,155	1,105	1,129	1,163	3.0
TRADEMARK	2,186	2,144	2,378	2,395	2,653	10.8
SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES	3,142	3,266	3,059	3,021	2,638	-12.7
SOCIAL SECURITY LAWS, TOTAL	29.985	19.771	14.407	13.338	15.152	13.6
HEALTH INSURANCE	578	402	336	212	217	2.4
BLACK LUNG CASES	59	95	93	75	62	-17.3
DISABILITY INSURANCE	24.215	15,403	10.778	10.035	11.412	13.7
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME	4,495	3,344	2.670	2,609	3.044	16.7
RETIREMENT AND SURVIVORS BENEFITS	527	393	463	358	415	15.9
OTHER	111	134	67	49	2	-95.9
STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS	7	2	10	з	7	-
TAX SUITS	3.855	2.966	2.750	2.785	2.555	-8,3
CUSTOMER CHALLENGE.	7	40	57	40	27	-32.5
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT	479	565	505	444	344	-22.5
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES	302	327	336	318	316	-0.6
DTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS	7,355	7,606	8,645	8,098	8,208	1.4
OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL	285	157	84	74	139	87.5
DOMESTIC RELATIONS	_	5	6	4	•	
	94	2	•		,	
INSANITY.	34	-	-	-		1
PROEATE		153	78	70	129	84.3
OTHER	191	152	78	70	129	}

#### TABLE C-2A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Civil Cases Commenced, by Nature of Suit During the 12 Month Periods Ended June 30, 1984 through 1988

\*PERCENT NOT COMPUTED WHERE BASE IS LESS THAN 10

		UNITED STATES CASES PRISONER PETITIONS															
									PRIS	OWER PE	TITIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TDTAL U.S. CIVIL	CON- Tract	LAND		TORT Actions	ANTI TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS			FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABDR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURIT		ALL OTHER
TOTAL	239,634	69,076	23,403	487	6,879	3,256	28	2,357	2,071	1,867	862	330	3,873	1,183	15,152	2,568	4,760
DC	3,513	1,249	124	7	8	73	8	176	8	59	84	44	9	25	140	18	466
157	7,916	2,737	495	13	764	137	-	72	73	8	12	-	249	61	616	62	175
ME MA NH RI	736 3,435 582 726	303 731 163 144	73 176 38 59	1 3	76 18 3	14 85 4 13		8 47 3	16 25 -	15-	5	-	29 165 2	4 30 12	55 72 81	4 31 2	14 71 15 25 50
2ND	2,437	1,396		-	667 232	21 257	- 2	10 162		-	-	-	10 43 394	11 101	13 395 1,170	8 17 176	25 50 539
CT	2,435	523 305	112	-	18	26		13		49	11 2		68 29	15	108	27	60 23
NY,E NY,S NY,H	4,372 9,454 1,564 311	1,425 1,315 482 99		3	88 20 54	122 66 20	1 1	45 77 15	99	62	1 36 2	19	159 59 68	30 27 10	450 302 183	65 49 21	128 296 26
VТ ЗRЭ	23,184	77 3,463		-	20 254	-	1	8 176	117	115	1 112		11 150	5 107	30 972	2 162	6 280
DE NJ PA.E PA.H	721 5,730 10,545 2,014	113 1,053 755 529		14	21 83 21 50	9 138 100 16	- - - 1	6 50 68 14	29 42	1	ī	-	7 59 50 16	31 36 5	23 312 202 110	4 74 31 10	12 93 85
PA, H PA, H YI	3,404	984 29	309	29	50 78 1	32 5	-	38	27	11		-	15	32 32	324	42 1	35 41 14

#### TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	PRIVATE CASES																
	[						[					PRISO	ER PETI	TIONS			1
CIRCUII AND DISTRIC	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL Injury	MOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL Injury	DTHER PERSDNAL Injury	OTHER TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	CON- Merce	HABEAS CORPUS		MAN- DAMUS AND DTHER	CDPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	170,558	39,408	4,843	2,443	3,048	7,175	25,626	3,413	654	16,966	1,589	9,880	23,559	270	6,016	11,505	14,163
DC	2,264	542	38	88		392	376	42	7	162	1	29	134	15	70	311	137
1ST	5,179	1,219	166	96	168	294	1,065	99	22	599	36	112	279	3	261	312	448
ME MA NH R1 PR	433 2,704 419 582 1,041	90 603 106 194 226	3 22 14 8 119	27 63 - 6	8 126 2 5 27	20 131 45 61 37	455	3 66 9 4 17	4 14 	34 330 42 85 108	1 33 1 1	15 60 19 2 16	88 133 16 30 12	$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{1}}$	15 171 23 19 33	18 236 12 14 32	27 261 42 34 84
2NC	15,571	4,782	133	393	274	470	1,653	403	82	1,462	242	782	1,318	3	966	1,289	1,319
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S YY,M YI	1,912 1,279 2,947 8,139 1,082 212	530 151 689 3,221 131 60	34 7 20 62 8 2	25 115 101 124 26 2	10 1 76 183 4	72 58 149 144 27 20	256 360 628 130	123 13 65 172 26 4	11 	128 327 581	19 65 37 68 52 1	57 93 226 312 88 6	176 206 79 556 281 20	1 2	74 44 205 593 40 10	97 70 366 694 58 4	167 72 229 749 83 19
3RD	19,721	4,545	182	506	217	1,576	4,479	317	94	1,430	170	866	2,002	13	491	1,186	1,647
DE P.A., E P.A., N P.A., N YI	608 4,677 9,790 1,485 2,420 741	84 1,332 2,074 230 711 114	3 24 58 22 19 56	2 26 389 14 74 1	1 59 135 1 16 5	65 363 835 150 82 81	461 3,356 194 194	86 154 30 32	2 26 40 5 21	509 565 107	4 103 41 10 12	44 215 254 134 192 27		22277	47 203 126 38 73 4	17 290 504 86 278 11	86 546 541 76 212 186

#### TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

		UWITED STATES CASES PRISONER PETITIONS															
									PRIS	DNER PET	ITIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TDTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CON- TRACT	LAND CONDEM- Nation		TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST		MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS Corpus	CIVIL RIGHTS		FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX	ALL OTHER
4TH	19,343	6,581	2,226	59	737	265	3	215	222	47	29	19	276	93	1,998	152	244
HD. HC,E NC,H NC,H SC VA,E	3,750 1,704 1,194 1,127 3,578 3,473	1,024 576 458 472 1,683 623	331 328 302 250 635 132	1 1 	5 2 4 578 578	22 5 7 56 73	1	27 18 11 17 54	20 15 23 16		2 2 5 6		57 42 36 22 28 46	2 6 6 16	175 89 52 120 313 91	38 7 5 11 13 44	47 21 15 8 17 63
VA, H HV, N HV, S 5TH	2,134 557 1,826 30,073	824 214 707 7.086	128 46 74 2,586	13 3	2	3 14	-	3	11	. 8	3		27 5 2 13 353		568 106 484 1,153	14 5 15 305	25 13 35 465
LA, E LA.M LA, M MS.N MS.S TX, N	5,851 1,229 3,416 1,042 2,000 4,848	790 239 1,291 252 431 1,231	218 92 169 76 153 619	6  16 15 1	136 43 644 48 28 38	64 9 35 12 34 34	-	25 10 17 55	3:	3 2 3 1 7 1 4 - 2 - 3 34			1 37 - 5 - 21 - 3 - 9 5 86	10 2 9 4 2 15	177 60 324 67 143 102	42 6 19 5 10 73	37 12 25 15 22 102
ТХ.Е ТХ,S ТХ,H 6TH	3,069 5,715 2,903 27,588	501 1,260 1,091 8,443	197 550 512 2,296	5	27	47 97	-	- 68 - 41	70	0 12 2 72	1 23	i 1	2 9 - 143 8 40 9 319	32 11	74 103	16 95 39 232	51 136 65 390
KY,E KY,H MI,E MI,H OH,N OH,S TH,E TH,H TH,K	2,320 1,534 6,813 1,998 6,535 3,427 2,071 1,666 1,224		60 83 763 263 352 314 192 102 102	3 1 3 3 1 9 2 4 4 2 12 2 10	8 16 16 16	5 14 5 65 5 7 3 72 5 40 7 42		- 10 - 11 - 74 - 10 1 31 - 10 - 11 - 11 - 12		7 3 73 7 3 8 1 6 4 2	- 3 3 26 1 - 3 1 4 62 2 -		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34 34 7 49 27 9	129 718 290 603 546 71 42	15 15 74 14 35 38 15 12	41 16 81 24 65 68 58 19 18

#### TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMERCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended June 30, 1988

		PRIVATE CASES PRISONER PETITIONS															
												PRISO	IER PETT	TIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CDN- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	FELA		MOTOR VEHICLE Personal Injury	OTHER Personal Injury	OTHER TDRT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	COM- MERCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN- Damus And Other	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
4TH	12,762	2,919	154	251	96	799	1,723	229	38	1,010	181	798	2,729	43	321	633	838
MD., NC,E NC,H SC., VA,E VA,H WY,H WY,S	2,726 1,128 736 655 1,895 2,850 1,310 343 1,119	537 150 135 170 628 757 232 68 242	29 8 3 3 6 26 30 4 15	52 2 2 10 29 4 150	• 6  20 29  1	183 29 14 31 218 145 70 26 83	91 26 67 342 439 108 57	11 7 14 43 43 27 6	9 2 3 7 9 3 2 1	65 59 87 148 226 107	18 2 130 11 9 10 1 	127 123 65 51 106 192 67 25 42	564 518 193 105 116 617 455 51 110	11 17 2 1 4 - 2 6	88 21 29 37 43 62 17 17 7	195 23 26 24 50 103 56 27 129	197 62 45 126 178 108 33 41
5TH	22,987	5,277	398	87	1,600	820	3,638	511	69	2,203	230	1,313	3,140	49	463	734	2,455
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, M	5,061 990 2,125 790 1,569 3,617 2,568 4,455 1,812	985 176 449 195 441 1,156 240 1,133 502	141 25 52 10 27 49 21 41 32	24 14 3 1 9 11 8 17	21 335 8 34 1 98	191 30 104 111 158 55 63 47 61	132 373 120 418 320 971 433	13 42 19 40 77 21 105	8 2 2 3 20 3 20 9	80 186 77 156 426 183 480	1 8 23 147 10	246 62 92 35 69 291 120 271 127	1,026 313 228 143 78 337 337 547 131	9 4 - 3 - 7 6 20 -	51 5 22 4 11 164 21 129 56	102 35 71 11 41 116 61 206 91	193 91 154 41 69 442 402 824 239
6TH	19,145	3,088	153	287	90	653	3,068	308	49	2,192	196	1,063	2,887	16	527	1,467	1,101
KY,E KY,W MI,E DH,S TH,S TN,H TN,H	979 1,140 4,769 1,347 5,092 2,020 1,587 1,407 804	278 194 1,038 174 481 298 294 198 133	16 11 49 7 24 7 22 11 11	8 20 119 15 68 31 20 3 3	17 26 1 16 3 3	63 61 101 29 100 63 135 56 45	119 983 88 2,712 259 199 469	25 61 17 53 40 46 33	- 15 4 13 1 1 3	105 492 165 593 329 162 119	41 30 7 53 21 1	257 96 146 161 76 78	285 650 520 113 316 427 273	- 1 1 - 1 2 5	43 169 34 110 87 22 26	37	64 54 269 72 207 193 114 78 50

#### TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY HATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRI	
CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE	OF SUIT AND DISTRICT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD	ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

								UN	ITED STA	TES CASE	S						
									PRIS	INER PET	TITIONS						T
CIRCUI" AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CON- TRACT	LAND CONDEM- NATION		TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL	MDTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS		FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
714	19,876	4,782	1,957	10	601	150	2	144	125	228	146	56	217	65	652	193	236
IL,N IL,C IH,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	10,492 1,275 1,501 1,955 2,143 1,419 1,091	1,848 343 445 405 845 494 402	913 156 143 144 279 190 132	2 - 2 1 - 5	90 40 29 103 191 80 68	58 13 9 14 25 22 9	2	80 9 5 13 18 11 8	50 17 13 10 15 14 6	58  56 1 62 3 48	19 3 36 2 37 9 40	6 40 6 4	13	24 8 9 7 9 6 2	212 43 60 67 146 90 34	74 18 11 10 19 49 12	106 23 24 20 22 16 25
8TH	16,820	5,534	1,566	19	925	164	5	141	156	277	82	28	182	70	1,449	161	309
AR,E AR,H IA,N IA,S IN,E MO,E ND,H SD 9TH	2,421 969 773 2,265 2,202 2,894 2,815 1,538 424 519 37,107	883 358 354 555 844 789 956 384 232 179 14,721	63 33 70 232 286 354 299 117 55 57 7,496	8 	290 145 121 112 24 6 4 98 100 25 420	13 17 6 9 29 29 15 17 11 18 853	1  2 2     	21 10 4 8 20 32 14 19 6 7 7	18 4 8 15 15 29 11 7 4 289	- - 105 2 160 1 1 7 370	1 - 2 2 19 3 45 2 - 8 91		50	4 7 2 5 15 18 7 9 3 -	410 117 111 119 183 181 240 51 13 24 1,703	16 9 5 36 29 25 16 14 5 667	28 13 12 34 63 33 78 17 14 17 14 17
АК IZ., H CA, E CA, C CA, S HI ID NT NT NT NA, E NA, E NA, M SUAM HMI	771 3,411 6,443 2,444 11,820 1,995 1,278 707 1,017 1,658 2,192 775 2,469 78 49	253 1,198 3,220 741 5,218 954 232 290 334 420 630 317 888 14 12	75 671 2,290 131 2,995 223 64 79 86 116 219 104 43 3 2	71-456212-	17 65 16 19 26 17 17 69 97 5 42 13 13	29 70 130 57 245 106 40 18 29 28 28 28 17 53 3 3	- - 1 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	7 38 106 49 113 45 4 5 5 5 14 13 10 36 - 3	5 18 43 43 87 12 5 3 23 23 23 23 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 14 17 3 276 36 1 - - 9 8 1 2 2 2	1 7 16 7 43 4 1 		33 45 72 39 255 299 51 14 6 22 45 24 65 24 5 1 1	10 34 44 17 67 24 6 3 3 4 15 18 4 21 -	11 116 289 268 349 128 53 65 12 128 128 128 128 128 128 128	29 37 87 45 205 27 13 16 15 105 19 27 41 1	35 56 106 55 552 31 18 24 16 55 40 20 60 20 60

TABLE C 3. U.S.	DISTRICT COURTS
CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY	HATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT
DURING THE THELVE MONTH	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	}								PI	RIVATE C	CASES						
												PRISO	ER PETI	TIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	FELA		MOTOR YEHICLE Personal Injury	OTHER Personal Injury	OTHER Tort Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	COM MERCE	HABEAS	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN- DAMUS AND DTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL OTHER
7TH	15,094	2,766	2,814	223	29	412	975	237	45	1,851	112	592	1,830	18	579	1,508	1,103
IL, N IL, C IL, S IN, N IN, S HI, E HI, H	8,644 932 1,056 1,550 1,298 925 689	1,794 10B 112 138 296 223 95	2,459 9 305 20 5 8	105 39 31 17 23 8	10 1 11 3 3		376 77 211 105 97 67 42	96 30 21 27 31 15 17	30 3 1 4 - 3 4	159 89 192 186	103 	143 41 53 141 105 65 44	392 207 319 315 187 132 278	4 8 2 - 3 - 1	399 20 16 23 32 70 19	986 101 104 75 111 96 35	586 100 38 106 134 78 61
атн	11,286	2,084	171	188	33	441	2,004	239	3Z	1,136	40	664	2,260	23	301	641	1,029
AR, E AR, H IA, N HN HD, E HD, N SD 9TH	1,538 611 419 1,710 1,358 2,105 1,155 1,154 192 340 22,386	223 182 96 171 331 508 302 135 61 75 5,682	33 25 19 15 25 12 21 12 21 12 4 5	20 5 4 23 21 2 22 80 3 8 262	8 2 1 5 16 1 - - - -	14 31 34 138 60 42 6 22	257 129 157 34	17 14 22 26 51 31 30 7 12	3 1 	66 42 77 157 233 165 109 13	3 4 9 4 6 2 4 -	187 16 17 35 47 108 176 51 2 23 1,717	70 411 583 275 2 34	2 5 12 2 - 2	31 5 7	29 13 18 30 175 186 142 34 9 5 2,362	71 58 61 73 196 114 151 187 42 76 2.013
АК АZ CA. H CA. E CA. S HI HT NY DR NA. E HA. H GUAM WMI	518 2,213 3,223 1,703 6,602 1,041 1,044 417 683 1,238 1,562 458 1,562 458 1,561 643 37	198 375 722 274 1,980 344 188 114 186 374 389 71 453 9 5	11 25 33 12 59 31 9 13 28 22 20 2 2 20 2 2 20 2		30 1 46 2 97 39 40 	10 51 20 18 79 15 13 23 23 31 29 41 11 11 11	43 222 92 72 558 81 547 69 138 75 151 21 21 97	17 21 69 31 148 31 148 31 21 21 21 21 35 5 33	2 12 43 4 51 55 1 22 22 56 8	35 104 407 180 572 90 41 33 35 106 164 32 149 4	5 256 21 152 9 2 5 4 4 14 14 1	31 269 240 156 412 87 39 14 33 97	38 841 381 599 102 32 46 68 52 303 197 157 160	1 12 2 2 2 2 	16 68 237 54 686 61 22 10 10 23 52 11	34 79 555 144 973 102 39 19 36 64 4148 25 139	47 128 315 125 606 114 46 33 51 110 193 34 154 40 17

TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT	COURTS
CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF	
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD EN	DED JUNE 30, 1988

					_			U	ITED STAT	TES CAS	ES						
									PRIS	DNER PE	TITIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL		LAND Condem- Nation	OTHER REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO Vacate Sentence	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	DAMUS	FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR Suits	SDCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
10TH	12,153	3,434	1,054	53	607	194	-	114	131	123	27	77	117	47	423	200	267
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT HY	2,181 2,181 1,641 1,246 731 2,487 1,262 424	438 839 548 371 263 552 314 109	83 238 220 107 52 222 101 31	12 4 10 11	16 233 89 131 61 41 26 10	20 35 10 38 25 22		39 23 20 6 2 8 16	18 7 20	63 3 7	4 5 2 7 1	2 75 	20 20 23 11 7 17 14 5	18 5 6 3 - 4 7 4	45 76 75 35 67 78 36 11	54 22 12 19 2 59 27 5	56 40 31 30 9 51 35 15
11TH	22,341	6,897	2,225	47	762	237	2	242	303	96	45	21	635	100	1,629	240	313
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, S	3,040 1,573 1,378 1,286 4,733 4,518 3,456 1,079 1,278	913 354 359 644 1,630 1,408 854 367 368	256 119 117 239 736 293 253 109 103	1 1 35 - 2	8 20 13 197 245 88 44 62 85	6 11 20 73 60 18 12		32 200 7 14 37 53 55 12	6 27 22 57 103 45	7	1	1	25 10 20 27 73 330 106 17 27	10 5	468 158 134 84 250 122 207 109 97	31 1 4 10 67 63 41 19 4	17 8 18 12 54 128 62 6 8

	- ··-								PF	RIVATE C	ASES						
		[										PRISD	ER PETI	TIONS			[
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CUN <del>.</del> TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTER VEHICLE Personal Injury	PERSONAL	OTHER TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	CDH- MERCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN- DAMUS AND DTHER	CDPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL DTHER
10TH	8,719	2,488	193	123	3	400	1,032	245	26	1,172	43	476	903	16	177	375	1,047
СВ, КS, ЖМ,	1,743 1,342 1,093	439 333 294	27 16 15	37 18 9		32 66 52	188 136 125	51 25	7 3 2	265 197 222	8 5 6	69 55 67	126 195 148	- 6 2	89 21 21	157 48 24	269 189 80
DK,N DK,E DK,H UT	875 468 1,935 948	272 123 684 258	15 27 15 61	6 7 5	1	39 47 122 22	155 69 234 71	71	216	97 45 176	6 1 8 8	52 33 169 23	35 80 124 180	2231	9 6 13 15	48 8 42 41	93 23 216 134
HY	315	85 4,016	22 10 164	26 15 19		20	54	. 8	1	122 48 1,790	1 54	8	12	- 49	3	7	43
AL,N AL,M AL,S	2,127 1,219 1,019	542 179 258	35 14 28		1	91 35 24	35	i 14	3	326 324 53	1	260 122 104	440		37	152 23 33	83 26 40
FL,N FL,N FL,S	642 3,103 3,110	160 743 1,108	9 30 28	3	10	16	35	5 4 5 41 76	20	76	2 8 26	93 321 249	152 773 329	11 12	19 127 199	18 136 197	46 258 297
GA, N GA, M GA, S	2,602 712 910	729 118 179	5 10	9 3 1	-	- 185 - 53 - 67	233 87 263	8 98 7 12	13	321 89 59	-	189 75 55	179	4	126 12		204 41 31

#### TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

•

								U	TTED STA	TES CASI	S						
									PRIS	DNER PET	ITIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL		LAND CONDEM- NATION		TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS			FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL DTHER
TOTAL	244, 242	54,844	11,600	1,907	4,964	4, 333	26	2,778	1,419	997	633	231	3, 392	1,093	13,976	2,904	4,591
DC	4,062	1,771	159	1	11	199	3	258	35	34	71	27	14	28	359	19	553
1ST	11,362	2,309	322	34	373	364	-	94	37	6	11	-	121	63	606	73	205
HE NA	639 7,101 686	211 970 101	160	5 26 3	62 38 1	22 288 12		8 52 5	5 12	4	- 5	-	12 73 6	3 41 9	36 120 28	5 50 4	24 101 15
RI PR	689 2,247	117 910	30 85	-	2 270	10 32	-	7 22	20	1	5 1	-	12 18	28	18 404	6 8	24 41
2ND	26,504	4,121	524	13	267	449	3	242	119	65	- 44	19	336	119	1,182	284	455
CT NY,K NY,E NY,S NY,M VT	3,821 3,141 5,406 11,286 2,503 347	452 434 1,452 1,213 474 96	57 212 133 40	5 	20 41 130 22 35 16	40 58 220 105 24 2	- 1 1 1	26 13 56 112 26 9		5 6 34	5 6 29 29	2 6 10	58 25 119 70 52 12	15 21 35 33 11	100 148 420 283 197 34	41 20 95 92 34 2	49 34 119 207 38 8
3RD	20,555	2,614	257	74	180	327	1	159	73	46	62	5	110	77	877	125	241
DE NJ PA,E PA,H VI	819 5,945 7,724 1,456 3,208 1,403	84 1,048 527 314 594 47	105 44 18 81	3 17 32	9 87 6 36 41 1	10 157 86 16 48 10		1 48 64 7 39	22	1	53		4 48 31 9 13 5	- 25 19 2 30 1	20 390 170 75 221 1	6 50 20 6 34 9	15 83 62 23 39 19

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS DF JUNE 30, 1988

									P	RIVATE C	ASES						
	ļ											PRISO	ER PETI	TIDNS			<u> </u>
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Private Civil	CDN- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	COM- Merce	HABEAS CORPUS		MAN- DAMUS AND DTHER	CDPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	189,398	39,830	3,086	3,106	4,073	7,240	43,368	4,049	1,143	21,784	1,055	7,583	21,006	153	5,661	10,554	15,707
DC	2,291	498	33	15	-	339	445	49	25	226	2	12	165	8	66	225	183
15T	9,053	1,464	108	149	268	339	3,819	137	45	1,012	33	69	400	4	264	378	564
ME	428	99	5	33	11	24	85	6	7	36	1	7	54	-	14	24	22
HA	6,131	841	31	112	209	180	2,962	102	28	527	29	40		1	203	277	361
MH RI	545	127	15 12	-	37	49 43	231 132	57	3		Ţ	1 3	18 33	1	22	11 18	53 40
PR	1,337	232	45		38	43	409	17		316	2	12		2		48	88
					•••			_			-						
210	22,383	5,864	161	606	409	662	4,090	530	163	2,405	110	792	2,321	11	999	1,245	2,014
ст	3,369	891	37	28	15	106	1,091	128	13	452	10	28		2	88	114	261
NY,N	2,707	246	16	205	-		664	29			20	142		1	65	114	132
NY,E	3,954	743	21	175	105			88	29		25	179		4	182	313	291
₩¥,5 ₩¥,М	10,073	3,681	70 15	147	276 12		1,117 398	249 33	95 14		31 24	299		4	603 52	591 109	1,203
YT	251	68	2		12				3		-	5	24	-	9	105	26
	{				_										1		
3RD	17,941	3,676	169	487	221	1,347	4,958	340	153	1,615	104	473	1,382	6	482	877	1,651
DE	735	122	3	5	3	72	83	13	4	48	4	28	165	-	96	19	70
NJ	4,897	1,283	25	34	66	350	677	100	28	634	68	179	540	1	204	221	487
PA,E	7,197	1,220	34	333	105			121	62		16	145		2	85		461
PA, H	1,142	180	16	10	2				19		.3	48		1	24		74
РА, <b>Н</b> VI	2,614	683 188	26 65	104	25 20						13	66	199	2	68		259 300
******	1,326	100			20	1/6	505	¥.				L'	·		1"	17	

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY WATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

								Uł	ITED STAT	TES CAS	ES						
									PRIS	ONER PE	TITIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL		LAND CONDEH- NATION		TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST		MOTIONS TO Vacate Sentence	HABEAS CORPUS		DAHUS	FORFEIT- URES AND PEHAL- TIES	LABOR Suits	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL DTHER
4TH	16,901	4,468	816	169	467	267	3	202	156	28	21	4	195	75	1,709	141	215
HD NC,E HC,H SC VA,E VA,H HV,N HV,S	3,774 1,145 817 895 2,760 2,980 1,995 & 30 1,705	663 279 252 302 1,141 422 625 274 504	129 106 105 100 226 68 33 26 23	2 38 14 90	63 1 1 2 383 4 3 4 3 4 4	71 20 5 65 54 25 5 14		34	39 12 9 23 14 32 8 6 13	3 5 	1 3 - 5 1 3	1	47 33 29 10 22 26 15 8 5	9 6 2 2 6 6 14 15 12	124 49 70 118 373 91 444 77 363	40 7 6 10 10 21 21 12 14	57 16 10 9 16 32 28 21 26
5TH	36,914	6,856	2,130	340	670	426	-	317	248	130	32	12	335	107	1,247	373	489
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, H 6TH	4,679 1,569 3,830 1,332 4,071 4,837 5,685 8,196 2,715 27,099	490 241 1,009 216 386 1,170 725 1,697 922 6,241	81 70 91 52 82 588 110 784 272 1,041	1 20 26 5 6 261 15	40 41 469 28 19 34 12 19 8	13 39 13 39 33 18 85 127		6 14 5 26 46 20 98	56 15 7 4 56 11 59 63				16 8 3 4 80 11 152 45 285	3 10 3 1 18 6 47 14	171 68 266 54 171 110 174 109 124 2,470	31 9 42 9 10 80 19 134 39 277	28 16 26 15 25 95 35 178 71 456
KY, E KY, N MI, E DH, N DH, S TN, E TN, M TN, M	1,989 1,981 5,119 2,154 7,130 3,642 1,962 1,460 1,662	826 417 1,058 585 1,352 1,011 433 165 394	42 57 212 138 197 106 158 41 90	3 2 16 1 6 18	9 14 191 119 22	24 65 14 75 39 32		16 66 19 48					69 21 59 4 43 30 23 7 27	6 23 7 44 34 8	142 418 306 590 446 75 30	24 40 58 21 61 40 14 7 12	57 29 75 38 88 58 61 22 28

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

									PF	RIVATE C	ASES						
												PRISON	ER PETI	TIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Private Civil	CDN- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	HOTOR Vehicle Personal Injury		OTHER TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	CDM- MERCE	HABEAS CORPUS		HAN- DAHUS AND DTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL DTHER
4TH	12,433	2,423	154	322	96	665	3,458	197	54	1,043	151	542	1,696	16	291	575	750
HD NC, E NC, H	3,105 866 565	536 138 98	19 7 3	54 1 2	28 11	170 26 9	1,121 112 39	41 8 6	14 4 7	274 74 47	11 3 116	79 90 36	327 300 104	2 8	79 14 35	162 18 24	188 52 39
NC.H SC VA.E VA.N	593 1,619 2,558 1,370	161 464 389 286	2 37 16 38	5 1 15 48	17 26	22 150 83 80	82 345 1,226 187	11 28 24 33	10 5 6		8 7 5 1	42 99 108 51	61 125 324 308	2 1 1	40 44 36 19	23 44 64 64	49 92 107 113
NV, N NV, S	556 1,201	10 <del>9</del> 242	11 21	5 191	2 12	43 82	92 254	7 39	1 3		-	16 21	94 53	2	20 4	3 <del>9</del> 137	59 51
5TH	30,058	5,729	366	103	2,172	918	8,922	660	125	3,092	167	1,470	2,810	30	465	865	2,164
LA.E LA.H LA.H MS.N	4,189 1,328 2,821 1,116	721 258 538 219	88 23 54 14	29 3 14 2	991 49 638 14	175 38 140 116	263 560	21 62	9 5 2 1	178 252	2	124 36 109 60	615 283 220 253	3 1 	27 12 25	72 43 83 17	175 113 123 42
MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S	3,645 3,667 4,960	567 1,109 297	33 43 23 64	2 12 12 13	31 2 150	173 65 67	2,222 429 3,202	58 99 37	3 39 11 35	234 500 250	18 93 7 26	111 258 178 485	105 222 429	- - 1 21	9 137 12 187	53 138 64 296	66 521 220 710
тх,н	6,499 1,793	1,537 453	24	16	2	54	209	40	20	364	11	109	120	-	48	99	194
6TH	20,858	3,457	171	400	139			402	88	2,988	124	723	2,446	11	497	1,557	1,297
KY,E KY,W HI,E	1,163 1,564 4,061	342 341 861 214	31 16 27	14 91 110 18	22 31	86 82	276 1,038	40 70	3 2 19	173 470	2 20 18 5	61 63 141 111	237 464	2 - - 1	14 41 130 30	75 77 362 137	72 79 238 90
NI,H DH,W DH,S 7N,E	1,569 5,778 2,631 1,529	587 361 335	8 35 14 20	100 33 23	24	111 76 147	2,807 506 255	80 50 52	29	834 529 192	30 15 1	143 112 31	105 351 275	1 1 1	109 91 23	463 269 70	320 211 98
TH.M TN.H	1,295 1,268	194 222	9 11	5					11	135 271		41 20		1	26 33	41 63	85 104

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

								U	ITED STAT	TES CAS	ES						
								ļ	PRIS	DNER PE	ITIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CON- Tract	LAND Condem- Nation	OTHER REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS	CIVIL RIGHTS	HAN- DAMUS AND DTHER	PENAL-	LABOR Suits	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
7TH	17,186	3,308	699	16	404	184	3	171	77	120	127	47	145	59	821	204	231
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H	7,631 1,355 1,656 2,162 2,651 1,307 424	1,078 257 333 454 758 317 111	329 48 53 55 133 59 22	2 - 1 9 1 - 3	40 49 15 111 112 45 32	63 18 15 16 39 25 8	2 - - 1 -	92 12 3 13 30 12 4	23 13 5 8 11 16 1	27 1 39 - 48 1 4	11 2 64 2 41 3 4	8 29 10	19	23 6 4 7 10 6 3	212 55 65 167 229 85 8	81 21 11 16 32 36 7	79 26 17 31 50 18 10
8TH	16,575	3,984	731	61	603	195	2	146	94	111	53	10	153	52	1,331	188	254
AR, E AR, H IA, N HA, S HO, E HO, K ND SD	2,314 692 743 2,525 2,071 2,896 2,770 1,666 467 431	717 205 256 503 533 589 565 328 175 113	39 13 37 107 89 173 134 80 38 21	10 5 1 6 9 25 - 1 3 1	158 54 70 121 22 7 9 82 61 19	15 13 11 20 25 27 19 25 21 19	1	21 7 6 7 26 30 20 16 6 7	10 1 4 7 11 25 24 6  6	- - 1 60 - 47 1 - 2	2 -2 1 19 4 19 1 -5		11 2 10 15 23 38 20 24 8 2	4 6 3 5 9 10 5 7 3 -	409 84 93 167 130 188 196 40 10 14	15 8 6 14 44 33 33 20 10 5	22 12 13 32 58 27 38 25 15 12
9TH AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S HI ID NY OR MA, E MA, N GULM MHI	37,043 1,030 2,893 5,417 2,582 9,839 2,616 2,409 800 1,553 1,882 1,882 1,079 2,063 894 46	11,806 294 790 1,949 3,279 1,046 307 304 417 406 508 346 558 798 10	3,624 67 214 1,008 102 1,454 183 56 52 63 75 106 87 151 4 2	937 	528 32 56 26 22 28 24 32 84 136 11 36 19 21 1	1,110 466 81 1755 83 264 159 53 27 42 60 27 27 27 64 4 2 27	9  11 12 4 4      11 	525 8 47 115 50 122 65 6 5 12 12 5 6 16 16 16 16 18 39 2 4	230 5 14 38 35 57 13 8 1 2 23 12 23 12 4 16 2 2 -	2 6 12 3 119 27 2 - 9 8 8 -	77 2 7 15 4 31 4 2 2 8 8 - 1 1 1 -		980 31 35 74 51 224 322 66 21 33 21 38 15 48 15	221 6 36 24 15 24 13 6 6 6 18 10 2 2 11 11	1,647 13 106 252 286 345 134 18 46 57 12 192 99 87 -	775 28 46 95 61 224 45 177 28 24 90 25 41 49 25 41 49 2	947 54 78 99 60 347 45 26 25 29 51 36 25 65 3 4

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

									PI	IVATE C	ASES					·	
										[		PRISO	ER PETI	TIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Private Civil	CDN- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL INJURY	DTHER PERSONAL Injury	OTHER Tort Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	CDM- Merce	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN- Damus And Other	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL DTHER
7TH	13,878	2,557	1,015	275	49	480	1,757	317	93	2,406	61	390	1,635	9	485	1,138	1,201
IL,N IL,C IL,S	6,553 1,098 1,323	1,336 134 132	748 9 8	97 59 30	16 1 19	138 43 52	720 128 395	112 30 27	63 10		54 1	111 41 38	436 185 351	17	304 18 17	587 98 118	625 104 37
IN,N IN,S MI,E	1,708 1,893 990	207 448 239	197 33 14	18 55 16	2 4 7	103 11	160 222 105	44 74 20	4 7 7		1 4 1	66 92 33	333 142 116	1	26 48 63	92 140 86	120 201 90
ні,н 8тн	313 12,591	61 2,271	6 161	260	- 47	9 479	37 2,790		2 56	57 1,393	40	9 566	72 2,335	18	9 277	17 630	24 951
AR.E AR.H IA.N	1,597 487 487	205 137 117	25 19 21	15 4	7		113		5		22	235 11 12	46		10 10 15	17 12 24	61 35 69
IA,S HNN HKD,E	2,022 1,538 2,307	205 351 526	16 23 12	52 30 2	1 3 25	52 49 133	1,019 253 340	39 34 71	8 20 7	202 336	4 10 5	40 29 89	80 393	22	19 98 53	40 148 175	74 200 138
MU, N NE ND	2,205 1,338 292	343 192 122	23 12 5	21 115 10	3 1 	47 16	297	36 9	7 6 2	122	7 3 7	91 43 -	221 1	12 1 - 1	37 24 5	137 59 10	152 159 25 48
SD 9TH	318 25,237	73 5,973	5 397	7 329	487	18 394			223		187	1,119		16	1,221	2,148	
AK AZ CA.N	736 2,103 3,468	258 396 713	16 18 46	- 8 7	1	54	230	32		125	4 1 34	20 161 149	759	2 9 2	17 55 210	57 81 440	64 151 467
CA, E CA, C CA, S	1,788 6,560 1,570	271 1,829 491	16 56 33	20 149 3	3 106 91	21 68 20	104 871 102	35 149 31	5 74 11	230 661 145	10 93 8	136 228 52	528 97 37	1 1 -	45 617 74	139 807 121	224 754 351
HI ID MT	2,102 496 1,136	255 149 300 402	21 23 50 34	11 65 11	-	· 34 · 45	72	- 13 - 36	2	46 54	2 2 6 6	48 7 47 71	66 90		33 12 20 22	60 27 63 90	32 56
NV DR MA,E MA,H	1,476 1,432 733 1,505	402 331 133 434	18 5 14	11 11 11 30	26	38 15	169	37	16 7	175	13	105	167 294	1		120	165 49
GUAH	96 36	7	47	-		1			1	. 6	-		2		1		28

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

			UNITED STATES CASES PRISONER PETITIONS														
						<u> </u>			PRIS	ONER PE	FITIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL		LAND CONDEM- NATION		TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST		HOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS		DAHUS	FDRFEIT- URES AND PENAL~ TIES	LABOR SUITS	SDCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
10TH	11,455	2,627	532	90	414	195	-	128	74	81	16	72	120	55	380	203	267
CO KS DK,N DK,E DK,E UT UT	1,996 2,325 1,800 1,209 379 1,727 1,681 338	355 670 483 259 104 345 318 93	53 159 85 43 11 108 60 13	5 2 19 2 2 25 21 14	17 147 72 82 22 29 38 7	42 34 42 7 9 21 31 9		40 31 24 4 - 5 23 1	9 8 23 9 6 12 5 2	12 56 1 4 - 6 2	2 1 3 - - 5 1	2 70 - - -		16 13 6 2 - 6 10 2	24 75 126 31 40 52 23 9	62 20 12 25 2 40 36 6	46 44 47 26 4 30 44 26
11TH	18,586	4,739	765	57	543	307	2	238	185	52	31	13	598	81	1,347	242	278
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S	1,949 1,022 1,337 1,048 3,911 3,658 3,372 1,279 1,010	461 194 261 387 1,043 1,043 658 421 271	79 25 48 87 210 116 94 53 53		4 6 88 186 87 41 48 75	27 8 14 32 106 74 21 15 10	1 - - 1 -	24 13 9 17 39 47 63 14 12	16 5 17 18 28 56 29 11 5	3 3 - 7 7 28 3 1	3145495-	- 1 5 6	15 7 19 27 68 299 132 18 13	8 1 3 8 29 10 10 6	240 115 122 70 236 97 161 220 86	24 2 7 12 70 60 44 20 3	13 7 9 14 56 110 49 13 7

#### TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

	T		PRIVATE CASES														
	{		<u> </u>		[				[	[		PRISO	ER PETI	TIONS		[	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Private Civil	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	HOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL Injury	DTHER PERSDHAL INJURY	OTHER TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	COM- Merce	HABEAS	CIVIL RIGHTS	HAN- DAHUS AND OTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL DTHER
107H	8,828	2,485	214	145	7	365	1,281	260	47	1,379	36	287	724	4	154	355	1,085
CD KS	1,641 1,655 1,317	425 409 336 288	24 40 25 23	35 20 11		33 79 67	235	62 35	11 13 6	298 322 261 112	475	45 39 79 40	74 150 170 34	1 2	66 24 12 18	126 61 26 43	240 193 90 117
DK,H DK,E DK,H U?	950 275 1,382 1,363	73 484 392	10 48 32	5 3 49	1	37 29 70 39	44 213 140	6 50 33	13	27 156 171 32	1 5 10	16 53 8	49 50 193	1	9	4 37 54	10 199 210
ну 111н	245 13,847	78 3,433	12 137	14 15	178	11 508		-	1 71	32	40	7 1,140	2,051	20	2 460	560	26 1,073
AL,H AL,N AL,S	1,488 828 1,076	362 125 210	19 12 15 12		2 43	22	30 254	12 15	52	180	5	176 125 128	293 266	-	30 3 1	104 12 24	58 12 32 65
FL.N FL.H FL.S GA.N	661 2,868 2,615 2,714	151 668 894 750	28 19 10	3	51 66	34	523 244 463	51 59 102	11 22 20	103 315 285 387	1 15 10	86 250 136 121	188 222	267	11 102 170 119	24 124 139 78	273 338 226
GA, H GA, S	858 739	144 129	19 3	21	7	61 44			4	148 68	-	51 37	188 117	5	17 7	30 25	45 24

#### TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE DF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS DF JUNE 30, 1985

TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT	COURTS
CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF	SUIT AND DISTRICT
DURING THE THELVE HONTH PERIOD END	ED JUNE 30, 1988

		UNITED STATES CASES															
			OTHER MOTIONS MAN-FORFEIT-														
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL Cases	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL		LAND CONDEM- Nation	REAL PROP-	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	TO	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	DAHUS	URES AND PENAL-	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURIT		ALL DTHER
TOTAL	238,753	68,495	25,151	549	6,502	3,204	32	2,341	1,790	1,828	825	270	3,400	1,227	14,102	2,678	4,596
DC	3,926	1,443	244	۲	8	109	8	224	3	80	102	35	12	20	49	17	525
157	8,114	2,621	492	21	637	128	-	79	51	6	10	1	257	86	623	72	1.58
HE	837 3,822	313 823	77 154	5 12	78 31	6 68	=	12 52	19	3		1	36 171	4 47	69 133	2 41	7 90
NH RI PR	609 704 2,142	168 140 1,177	38 62 161	3 - 1	5 - 523	9 16 29	-	2 8 5		-	52	-	3 7 40	15 9 11	80 14 327	2 6 21	11 13 37
2ND	19,566	4,224	767	6	262	260	1	135	156	127	56	38	377	128	1, 125	217	1169
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,N YT	2,900 1,414 4,468 9,259 1,219 306	515 326 1,585 1,345 359 94	97 49 382 176 52 11	1 1 2 1 1	29 35 116 14 47 21	26 31 85 87 26 5		14 11 43 56 7 4	2 63	11	2	3	64 22 162 80 41 8	18 19 37 35 10 9	100 91 464 315 131 24	26 27 72 74 15 3	56 29 141 318 18 7
3RD	21,351	3,427	641	13	224	267		151	106	116	107	9	147	102	959	281	304
DE NJ PA.E PA.M PA.M VI	685 5,696 9,030 1,991 3,165 784	117 1,003 725 508 919 155	156 120 33 300	4 3 3	18 80 31 33 61	89		7 56 44 16 28	43	1 104 9	2	-	5 59 44 16 18 5	- 34 29 9 28 2	27 312 183 109 327 1	2 81 57 15 34 92	7 89 79 30 49 50

#### TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the Thelve North Period Ended June 30, 1988

		PRIVATE CASES														
											PRISO	ER PETI	TIONS		<u> </u>	[
TDTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PRDP- ERTY				OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	BTHER TORT ACTIONS			COM~ MERCE			HAN- DAHUS AND GTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL OTHER
170,258	40,152	4,860	2,604	3,803	7,352	23,588	3,594	891	17,772	1,299	9,365	22,922	261	5,798	11,454	14,543
2,483	586	42	17	1	393	444	47	7	181	2	39	118	12	54	383	157
5,493	1,224	167	82	196	281	1,167	114	28	750	36	117	238	3	280	328	482
524 2,999 441	78 614 121	3 23 7	28 50	-	124	701	60	21 1	343 57	- 35 1	16 64 22	20	2 - 1	12 186 18	18 234 13	34 286 29 46
965	232	123	-	28	26	105	21	3	232	-	14	19	-	32	43	\$7
2,385	427 143	31 5	33 131	4	94 38	768	103 14	10	294 124	24 49	71 67	193 217	1	78 40	82 94	1,261 172 60
2,883 7,914 860 212	712 3,183 98 48	23 39 8 4	68 135 30 2	183	154 21	480	147 16	59 4	564	30 69 40 3	315	554	1 2 1	209 585 46 8	358 715 56 4	215 730 65
17,924	4,458	165	563	239	1,552	2,885	312	100	1,441	166	812	1,994	12	480	1,181	1,564
568 4,693 8,305 1,483 2,246 629	107 1,351 2,020 215 686 79	4 37 50 17 22 35	1 90 385 14 73	56 165 2	359 807 169 89	457 1,808 202 168	80 144 30 35	25 49 7 18	489 595 107	74 58 19 15	186 266 124	418 759 382 306	4 1 1 5 -	53 211 135 28 50	303 500 96	555 563 65
	PRIVATE CIVIL 170,258 2,483 5,493 554 2,999 441 564 965 15,342 2,385 1,048 2,385 1,048 2,283 7,914 840 212 17,924 568 4,693 8,305 1,483	PRIVATE CIVIL         CON- TRACT           170,252         40,152           2,483         586           5,493         1,224           524         78           2,999         614           441         121           564         179           965         232           15,342         4,611           2,385         427           1,088         143           2,885         712           914         3,183           8 212         48           17,924         4,458           568         107           4,693         1,351           8,305         2,020           1,453         215	PRIVATE CIVIL         COM- TRACT         PRDP- ERTY           170,252         40,152         4,860           2,483         586         42           5,493         1,224         167           524         78         3           2,799         614         23           441         121         9           564         179         9           965         232         123           15,342         4,611         110           2,385         427         31           1,048         143         5           2,883         712         23           7,914         3,183         39           860         98         8           212         48         4           17,924         4,458         165           568         107         4           163         1351         37           8,305         2,020         50           1,483         215         17           2,246         686         22	PRIVATE CIVIL         CON- TRACT         PRDP- ERTY         FELA           170,258         40,152         4,860         2,604           2,483         586         42         17           5,493         1,224         167         82           524         78         3         28           2,999         614         23         50           441         121         9         -           564         179         9         4           965         232         123         -           15,342         4,611         110         399           2,385         427         31         33           1,048         143         5         131           2,883         712         23         68         300           212         48         4         2         135           566         107         4         1         4,655         563           568         107         4         1         4,655         385           5305         2,020         50         385         1,7         14           4,453         137         90         385         <	PRIVATE CIVIL         CON- TRACT         PRDP- ERTY         PERSONAL FELA         PERSONAL INJURY           170,258         40,152         4,860         2,604         3,803           2,483         586         42         17         1           5,493         1,224         167         82         196           524         78         3         28         6           2,999         614         23         50         154           441         121         9         -         -           564         179         9         4         8           965         232         123         -         28           15,342         4,611         110         399         251           2,385         427         31         33         4           1,048         143         5         131         3           2,883         712         23         68         56         239           212         48         4         2         -         11           4,693         1,951         37         90         56         2.305         2.39           568         107         4<	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TDTAL PRIVATEREAL CDN- TRACTREAL PRDP- ERTYMARINE FELAMOTBR VEHICLE INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL INJURYOTHER PERSONAL ACTIONSANTI- ANTI- TRUST170,25840,1524,8602,6043,8037,35223,5883,5948912,443586421713934444775,4931,224167821962811,16711428524783286211867344112194788141564179948638712-565232123-282610521315,3424,6111103992514661,832340882,38542731334947681031001,0441435131334991442124842	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	TDTAL PRIVATE CVVIL         REAL CDH- TRACT         REAL PERSONAL ERTY         MARINE FELA         MOTOR WERICLE PERSONAL INJURY         OTHER PERSONAL INJURY         OTHER TORT INJURY         OTHER TORT ANTI- TRUST         OTHER CIVIL CUH- REACT         PRISONER PETI CUVIL COM- HABEAS         CIVIL COM- HABEAS         COM- HABEAS         CIVIL COM- HABEAS         COVIL COM- HABEAS         CIVIL COM- HABEAS         COVIL COM- HABEAS         CIVIL COM- HABEAS         COVIL COM- HABEAS         CIVIL COM- HABEAS         COVIL COM- HABEAS         CIVIL COM- HABEAS         COVIL HABEAS           170, 258         40, 152         4,860         2,604         3,803         7,352         23,588         3,594         891         17,772         1,299         9,365         22,922           2,443         586         42         17         1         393         444         47         7         181         2         39         118           5,493         1,224         167         82         196         281         1,167         114         28         750         36         117         238           5,493         1,224         167         82         196         281         1,167         114         28         750         36         117         238           5,493	TDTAL PRIVATE         COM- PREAL TRACT         REAL PPROP- FELA         MARINE PERSONAL INJURY         OTHER VEHICLE PERSONAL INJURY         OTHER TOTHER INJURY         OTHER TOTHER ANTI- INJURY         OTHER ANTI- CIVIL TRUST         PRISUMER PETITIONS COM- HABEAS         MAR- DAMUS AND OTHER           170,258         40,152         4,860         2,604         3,803         7,352         23,588         3,594         891         17,772         1,299         9,365         22,922         261           2,483         586         42         17         1         393         444         47         7         181         2         39         118         12           5,493         1,224         167         82         196         281         1,167         114         28         750         36         117         238         3           524         78         3         28         6         21         186         7         3         38         -         16         72         2         0         1         220         1         35         64         104         -         17         222         0         1         33         5         14         19         -         1         22	TDTAL PCIVIL         REAL CON- CIVIL         MARINE PERSONAL TRACT         MARINE PERSONAL FELA         MATINE VEHICLE INJURY         OTHER PERSONAL INJURY         OTHER TOTHER INJURY         ANTI- CIVIL ACTIONS         CUM- RIGHTS         MAR- COPVS         COPVRICHT AMD ACTIONS           170,258         40,152         4,860         2,604         3,803         7,352         23,588         3,594         891         17,772         1,299         9,345         22,922         241         5,798           2,443         586         42         17         1         393         444         47         7         181         2         39         118         12         54           5,493         1,224         167         82         196         281         1,167         114         28         750         36         117         238         3         280           524         78         3         28         6         21         186         7         3         38         -         16         72         2         12           544         121         9         -         -         47         88         14         1         57         1         22         20         1	TDTAL PRIVATE         REAL COM- CVIL         REAL PERSIMAL TRACT         MARINE PERSIMAL PERSIMAL PERSIMAL         HOTOR VEHICLE INJURY         OTHER OTHER INJURY         OTHER INJURY         Injury         Injury <thinjury< th="">         Injury         <thinjury< th=""></thinjury<></thinjury<>

#### TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

								U	ITED STA	TES CAS	ES						
		TOTAL LAND DTHER MOTIONS MAN- FORFEIT-															
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL		LAND COHDEM- NATION	REAL PROP-	TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL	TO	HABEAS CORPUS		DAHUS	URES AND PENAL-	LABOR Suits	SOCIAL Security	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
4TH	18,359	6,091	2,171	149	660	244	2	212	208	43	23	13	234	137	1,613	139	243
HD HC,E HC,H SC VA,E VA,E HY,N HY,S	3,931 1,625 979 1,009 3,608 3,015 1,852 770 1,570	996 554 363 402 1,642 575 689 303 567	329 322 245 214 669 140 116 47 89	1 2 11 13 105	117 4 1 4 519 2 4 4 5	59 18 4 54 62 12 8 22	1	66 18 15 11 10 68 10 4 10	24 14 21 18 40 7	2 1 1 27 2	3 1 4 		66 29 19 23 14 31 30 8 14	11	179 86 44 90 323 79 432 85 292	37 11 5 8 35 12 9 21	54 24 10 12 17 53 38 13 22
5TH	31,517	6,618	2,901	51	593	272	-	262	228	119	49	1	7 299	75	1,052	273	421
LA, E LA, H HS, N HS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	6,526 1,092 3,149 1,267 3,298 5,280 2,408 5,518 2,979	860 194 834 234 434 1,184 479 1,103 1,296	268 85 151 63 167 636 238 505 788	1 15 3 - 27 5	151 34 273 48 36 19 28 8	29		4 14 3 9 61 11 78	5 11 4 11 56 10	1 	1 3 		36 10 25 3 14 6 6 3 104 6 36	2 9 1 5 15 1 18	34 263 74 136 92 89 86	40 4 13 4 9 73 15 72 43	45 9 34 9 25 93 32 115 59
6TH	27,008	8,668	2,322	71	670	254	1	. 241	171	236	5 124	2	3 244	153	3,492	284	375
KY, E KY, H MI, E DH, N DH, S TN, E TN, H TN, H	2,260 1,710 7,049 2,035 5,649 3,742 1,866 1,380 1,317	1,228 496 2,149 753 1,317 1,553 410 313 449	278 363 356 196 105	4 11 3 2 5	74 21 20 138 303 23	17 62 19 60 33 24		- 81 - 81 - 81 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 9			1 3 5 23 1 2 3 - 7 40 2 - 2 -		1 63 17 1 46 - 8 - 21 2 34 - 20 7 15	8 38 43 32 5 5	228 856 328 537 577 61	21 24 91 23 33 43 17 14 18	47 16 81 39 53 61 36 15 26

			PRIVATE CASES														
												PRISON	ER PETI	TIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	FELA	HARIKE PERSONAL Injury	NOTOR VEHICLE Personal Injury	OTHER PERSONAL Injury	DTHER Tort Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	CDM- MERCE	HABEAS CORPUS		HAN- DAMUS AND DTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL OTHER
4TH	12,268	2,471	127	185	107	815	1,575	256	89	1,149	76	837	2,561	34	245	576	762
HD NC,E NC,H SC,H SC, VA,E VA,H	2,935 1,071 616 607 1,966 2,440 1,163	564 151 119 190 575 713 207	20 8 1 6 22 29 12	76 1 	25 15 1 18 39	35 16 28 256 128 72	35 55 395 195 147	10 6 10 53 26	45 1 2 3 16 13	59 66 82 157 232 127	21 2 17 8 13 14 1	166 134 79 54 111 145 54	608 506 202 91 132 528 332	12 11 1 1 3	63 24 22 18 36 54 7	168 29 18 23 39 74 61	190 32 32 37 131 177 92
HV,N HV,S	467 1,003	100 252	14 15	5 37	1	34 67	180	28	4	49 75	-	45	57 105	2 4	12	39 125	23 48
5TH LA, E LA, H HS, N HS, S TX, N	24,899 5,666 898 2,315 1,033 2,864 4,096	5,352 1,133 130 447 252 515 1,216	401 150 19 54 11 28 43	108 12 3 16 2 1 9	2,195 1,311 21 472 12 50	205 39 112 160 68	713 98 521 191 1,538 775	233 17 55 23 47 90	1 5 5	353 92 201 92 223 404	141 5 1 1 7 101	237 55 85 48 59 261	922 319 156 220 109 299	59 14 3 - 6 - 14	15 9 10 145	567 114 20 41 12 26 113	2,283 194 64 156 38 86 537
ТХ,Е ТХ,S ТХ,H 6TH	1,929 4,415 1,683 18,340	188 1,036 435 3,220	33 31 32 136	19 21 25 275	114 214 1 78	51	434	82 43	23 14	431 258	5 11 10 186	110	867 131		58	38 155 48 1,506	264 692 252 1,100
KY, E KY, M MI, E DH, N DH, S TN, E TN, M TN, M	1,032 1,214 4,900 1,282 4,332 2,189 1,455 1,067 868	280 177 1,144 192 452 355 295 206 119	11 14 38 11 15 8 20 9 6	13 18 119 13 72 22 15 1 2	11 23 13	59 101 41	250 79: 131 7 2,11 26: 1 210 5 9	20 3 85 9 20 7 48 1 42 0 29 6 40	44 20 11	129 621 533 533 1396 173 5129	41 39 8 51 16 3 8	100 225 72 119 168 78 78	248 604 360 114 380 311 285	1 1 1 1 1	28 186 31 105 69 24 35	59 56 581 110 368 214 54 36 28	70 62 296 70 208 176 91 76 51

#### TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERHINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

#### TABLE C 38. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		UNITED STATES CASES															
									PRIS	DNER PET	TITIONS			[			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL Cases	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL		LAHD Condem- Nation	DTHER REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS		DAHUS	ORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
7TH	20,422	5,023	2,052	16	810	142	2	150	112	215	141	31	211	65	602	240	234
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S MI,E MI,M	10,389 1,357 1,759 2,187 2,243 1,380 1,107	1,862 440 487 495 811 518 410	929 210 190 161 237 196 129	- - 11 - 3	95 51 64 150 236 122 92	66 15 15 8 21 11 6	1	78 7 21 20 12 5	37 13 19 13 17 7 6	50 3 66	20 3 31 1 34 8 44	5  15  6  5	14	29 7 9 8 9 2 1	209 61 45 66 101 83 37	112 39 9 18 43 10	107 18 24 17 32 18 18
8TH	15,462	5,177	1,577	29	913	172	3	124	123	314	85	27	164	72	1,126	115	329
AR, E AR, H IA, M MO, E NO, M ND SD	2,124 1,049 780 1,314 2,207 2,752 2,918 1,417 400 501	672 353 324 550 842 744 944 375 226 147	67 34 80 212 314 354 282 282 52 53	2 - 2 5 9 1 6 1 -	279 135 120 125 19 2 4 113 102 14	14 8 5 23 42 23 17 17 10 13		5 8 9 17 31 11 15 3 6	5	- 1 112 3	- 3 20 3 59 1 - 3	- 1 - - - 17 - - 1	8 3 9	4 4 1 8 12 15 11 8 4 2	222 132 74 109 162 160 186 44 19 18	9 3 4 28 20 26 9 10 2	34 13 11 34 59 35 97 16 14 16
9TH AZ CA,E CA,E CA,C HI ID HI NY OR MA,E KJ.M GUAM	38,597 850 3,026 7,340 2,720 12,437 1,836 1,391 755 914 1,680 1,925 848 2,740 80	15,310 294 1,081 3,536 799 5,621 784 312 317 306 3333 540 417 943 18	8,494 104 639 2,593 119 3,525 266 105 855 78 123 217 147 483 7	- 24 13 10 1 1 - - 6 11 1 1 4 8 2	379 10 33 22 31 25 7 9 90 7 37 26 34 1	19 36 18 64		18 33 111 63 103 32 13 5 10 11 11	5 16 31 25 76 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	1 15 19 289 22 289 22 	- 8 16 31 3 3 - - - 1 3 1 1		915 12 50 72 34 264 196 63 70 7 22 43 25 56	249 11 18 39 23 64 17 4 4 5 9 12 7 35 1	1,636 6 103 282 313 395 71 5 35 44 4 4 114 129 135	600 43 36 100 37 201 25 14 13 8 51 18 26 26 22	917 51 44 91 58 387 35 27 21 30 62 36 20 20 52

#### TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended June 30, 1988

			PRIVATE CASES														
											[	PRISD	IER PETT	TIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TDTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	FELA	MARINE Personal Injury	MOTOR Vehicle Personal Injury	DTHER Personal Injury	OTHER TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	CDH- MERCE	HABEAS CORPUS		MAN- DAHUS AND DTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
7TH	15,399	2,831	2,818	430	38	439	1,001	245	64	1,924	105	578	1,806	15	537	1,455	1,113
IL,N IL,C IL,S	8,527 917 1,272	1,826 126 89	2,438	72 16 282	11 2 15		264 116 215	116 31 9	39 3 3	1,035 132 72	92 1 2	157 34 54	404 217 320	8 1 3	389 18 8	920 90 90	605 93 58
1N,N IN,S WI,E	1,692 1,432 862	176 312 200	325 39 1	10 41 8	235	102 70 19	147 149 68	24 40 13	3 11 3	271 176 154	5 4 1	128 101 59	296 197 102	2	20 25 56	93 145 86	90 117 87
NI,N 8th	697 10,285	102 2,091	125	1 181	- 34	19 464	42	12 247	2 49	84 1,159	34	45 604	270 2,100	1 22	21 301	31 704	63 977
AR,E AR,H IA,N	1,452 696 456	237 190 132	25 16 12	725	6 3 1			22 17 14	5 - 2	274 60 49	10 1 2	135 17 16	381 51 69		11 15 12	35 17 21	80 61 81
IA,S HN HO,E	764 1,365 2,008	128 339 474	10 14 12	27 35	_ 9 14	118	319	16 23 55	- 4 7 11	69 148 217	- 4 4	46 39 101			22 109 45	30 216 191	59 211 105
NO,H NE ND SD	1,974 1,042 174 354	331 123 56 81	16 11 1	14 83 4	1	66 43 5 28	62 30	8	12 7 - 1	115 10	8 3 1	141 84 4 21	260 7	15 1 -	40 31 10	148 39 4 3	123 157 34 66
9TH	23,287	6,216	262	236	499		-		155		249	1,639		-	1,310	2,496	-
AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S	556 1,945 3,804 1,921 6,816 1,052	205 351 843 336 2,231 343	11 22 27 28 47 29	- 2 7 11 135 1	24 1 61 5 106 104	36 21 24 76	148 494 97 372	19 70 27 170	1 13 26 12 65 8	113 415 208	14 3 44 24 134 5	37 259 264 145 391 64	689 412 650 104	6 3	9 73 218 55 726 56	71 85 591 160 974 95	50 125 308 136 667 130
HI ID MT NY DR	1,079 438 608 1,347 1,385	287 118 187 445 345	16 8 17 9 17	2 30 8 12	39 2 - 3 21	31 33 24	71 119 124	19 29 38	1 1 4 6 7	68 36 40 99 159	1 3 - 2 12	25 17 21 116 77	57 34 269		21 5 7 14 42	68 26 43 67 123	73 42 44 122 166
HA,E HA,N GUAM NHI	431 1,797 62 46	74 436 11 4	3 17 2 9	3	1	14 26	50	4 34	10	41	- 6 1	64	110 185	2	6	26 165 2	34 178 38

								U	ITED STAT	TES CAS	ES						
									PRIS	DNER PE	TITIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL		LAND CONDEN- Nation		TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORFUS		DAMUS AND	FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR Suits	SDCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL DTHER
10TH	12,930	3,322	1,131	58	674	206	1	108	103	90	18	50	86	46	299	190	262
C0 K5 NH	2,156 2,165 1,607	435 766 562	81 207 283		23 264 94	29 31	1	33 18 12	16	38		48	10	14 9 3	34 55 46	52 24 12	64 40 32
DK,N DK,E DK,N UT	1,101 740 2,855 1,791	300 270 572 281	100 64 242 103	2 2 16	90 91 76 24	36 33		6 2 17 15			2		16	4	21 45 57 30	11 3 43 35	26 9 44 30
MY	515 21,501	136 6,571	51 2,359	9	12 666	10	-	5	4	115	36	- 17	3	4 94	11 1,526	10 250	17 259
AL,H	3,049 1,397	975 333 289	285 123 104	- 4	8 17 11	5	-	35 14		2	7	1	26	14	499 142	23	18 7 13
AL,S FL,H FL,H FL,S	1,234 1,246 4,657 4,371	666 1,567 1,309	274 274 773 344	1	207 221 85	24 65	-	7 33 50	28 59		2 1	-	17 14 67 221	3 23 28	102 72 211 115	15 62 65	13 7 49 111
GA, N GA, M GA, S	3,341 1,061 1,145	786 340 306	237 130 89	4	19 43 52	24 16	1	37 10	43	29		-	69 - 10 - 26	10 7	224 86 75	54 13 6	31 7 16

## TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

<u> </u>			PRIVATE CASES														
												PRISO	IER PETI	TIONS			[
CIACUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	FELA		HOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL INJURY		DTHER TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	CDM MERCE	HABEAS CORPUS		MAN- DAMUS AND DTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
10TH	9,608	2,763	330	110	5	469	1,008	254	47	1,215	38	446	780	15	182	330	1,616
C0 KS OK.N OK.E OK.M UT HY	1,721 1,399 1,045 &01 470 2,283 1,510 379	435 381 263 305 128 874 280 97	29 26 24 29 24 91 91	33 8 8 3 8 7 21 22	2	46 80 57 37 44 147 30 28	147 113 80 54 292 70	49 29 30 9 76 20	14 8 3 1 8 4	282 251 192 93 49 196 109 43	11 5 2 6 1 5 7 1	51 51 75 40 26 173 24 6	114 132 150 35 82 116 121 30		72 26 18 10 7 16 26 7	141 40 27 38 6 37 32 9	272 189 78 89 27 243 673 45
11TH	14,930	3,929	177	15	160	548	1,126	344	68	1,694	51	1,408	3,166	54	480	619	1,091
AL, N AL, S FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, N GA, S	2,074 1,064 945 580 3,090 3,062 2,555 721 839	617 161 275 98 685 1,030 691 144 228	36 11 33 12 31 34 5 8 7	1  3 1   4 3 3 3	1 	10	31 62 37 211 5 202 2 25	13 33 5 33 59 116	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 29 14 4 1	269	7 2  1 5 27 8 1	223 104 79 95 384 230 158 94	415 216 162 810 384 416	- 1 4 - 13 9 19 7	19 2 4 15 134 205 85 10 6	155 25 31 12 112 166 78 19 21	91 30 44 51 258 323 223 36 35

#### TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

# TABLE C4. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERNINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND ACTION TAKEN (LAND CONDEWNATION CASES OMITTED) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	}								
						DURING DR AFTER TRIAL			
NATURE OF SUIT	TOTAL	NO COURT ACTION	TOTAL	BEFORE PRETRIAL	DURING DR AFTER PRETRIAL	TOTAL	NDN- JURY	JURY	PERCENT REACHING TRIAL
TOTAL CASES	238,140	79,590	158,550	114,727	32,205	11,618	5,698	5,920	4.9
UNITED STATES CASES	67,946	28,037	39,909	35,045	3,553	1,311	1,139	172	1.9
CONTRACT ACTIONS NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS Recovery of overpayments and	1,449	602	847	688	133	26	24	2	l
ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS Other contracts Real property	19,825 3,877 6,502	14,269 1,896 2,007	5,556 1,981 4,495	1,483	78 387 263	16 111 72	13 94 67	3 17 5	2.9
TORT ACTIONS MARINE, PERSONAL INJURY MOTOR VEHICLE, PERSONAL INJURY OTHER PERSONAL INJURY OTHER TORTS	115 674 1,923 492	39 249 522 196	76 425 1,401 296	765	19 139 397 61	12 84 239 28	12 81 214 25	- 3 25 3	10.4 12.5 12.4 5.7
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES ANTITRUST	32	5	27	25	1	1	-	1	3.1
CIVIL RIGHTS EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS DEPORTATION PRISONER PETITIONS	1,345 996 117	343 274 56	1,002 722 61	592	204 93 4	164 37 1	141 33 1	23	12.2 3.7 0.9
MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE HABEAS CORPUS MANDAMUS AND OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	1,790 1,828 270 825 10	369 356 71 182	1,421 1,472 199 643 10	1,456 184 609	13 15 10 20 1	10 1 5 14	8 1 5 11	2	0.6 0.1 1.9 1.7
LIQUOR FORFEITURES OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS. FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT OTHER LABOR LITIGATION SELECTIYE SERVICE ACT	3,390 685 542 2	1,094 244 174	2,296 441 368 2	1,926 295 303	232 124 44	138 22 21 1	127 19 20 1	11 3 1	3.2
SOCIAL SECURITY LAMS HEALTH INSURANCE BLACK LUNG DISABILITY INSURANCE SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME RETIREMENT AND SERVICES BENEFITS.	229 63 10,673 2,730 383	55 9 2,029 633 85	174 54 8,644 2,097 298	51 8,272 2,010 284	7 3 336 82 14	- - 36 5 - 1	- - 32 4 -	- 4 1 -	- 0.3 0.2 - 4.2
DTHER TAX SUITS ALL DTHER U.S. ACTIONS	24 2,678 4,477	868 1,410	24 1,810 3,067	1,295	2 366 505	149 117	1 102 103	47 14	4.2 5.6 2.6

#### TABLE C4. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND ACTION TAKEN (LAND CONDEMNATION CASES DMITTED) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		1	COURT ACTION						
	1					DURING OR AFTER TRIAL			
NATURE OF SUIT	TOTAL	ND COURT ACTION	TOTAL	BEFORE PRETRIAL	DURING DR AFTER PRETRIAL	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	PERCENT REACHING TRIAL
FEDERAL QUESTION	102,430	28,339	74,091	53,470	14,893	5,728	3,044	2,684	5.6
CONTRACT ACTIONS MARINE MILLER ACT DTHER CONTRACTS REAL PROPERTY TORT ACTIONS EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT MARINE, PERSONAL INJURY OTHER PERSONAL INJURY OTHER TORTS ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES BANKUPTCY SUITS ANTITRUST CIVIL RIGHTS EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS HABEAS CORPUS MANDAMUS AND OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT ANTAC	3,468 707 2,913 3,195 3,481 1,213 5,523 891 7,710 10,062 9,348 261 22,922 2,922 3,018 7,771	1,543 349 1,001 193 751 759 619 402 1,586 237 2,013 2,375 1,689 53 4,927 227 976 2,957	1,925 358 1,912 540 1,853 2,476 2,862 811 3,937 654 5,697 7,687 7,659 208 17,995 438 2,042 4,814	1,249 245 1,321 443 723 1,034 769 483 3,616 402 2,762 4,520 7,458 196 15,989 237 1,482 3,512	548 88 444 73 903 1,155 1,950 239 185 191 1,886 1,935 97 4 1,133 149 470 1,034	128 25 27 24 227 287 143 89 136 61 1,049 1,232 104 8 73 52 90 268	101 23 90 00 18 27 108 35 61 111 24 614 481 98 614 481 98 6550 27 76 63 189	27 2 57 6 200 179 108 28 25 37 435 751 435 751 6 2 323 25 27 79	3.7 3.5 5.0 3.3 8.7 9.0 4.1 7.3 2.5 6.8 13.6 12.2 1.1 3.8 7.8 3.4 3.4 2.4
COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	2,212 1,122 2,464	927 347 910	1,285 775 1,554	927 440 1,084	293 227 381	65 108 89	42 54 61	23 54 28	2.9 9.6 3.6
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES ALL OTHER FEDERAL QUESTION	281 9,866	84 3,454	197 6,412		32 1,476	7 516	6 255	1 261	2.5 5.2

#### TABLE C4. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND ACTION TAKEN (LAND CONDEMNATION CASES OMITTED) During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

			[	COURT ACTION						
	]	1			DURING DR AFTER TRIAL					
NATURE OF SUIT	TOTAL	ND COURT ACTION	TOTAL	BEFORE PRETRIAL	DURING DR AFTER PRETRIAL	TOTAL	NON- Jury		PERCENT REACHING TRIAL	
DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	67,258	22,878	44,380	26,073	13,748	4,559	1,508	3,051	6.8	
CONTRACT ACTIONS INSURANCE WEGDTIABLE INSTRUMENTS OTHER CONTRACTS REAL PROPERTY TORT ACTIONS MARINE, PERSONAL INJURY MOTOR VEHICLE, PERSONAL INJURY OTHER FERSONAL INJURY OTHER TORTS ALL OTHER DIVERSITY LOCAL JURISDICTION	6,871 1,875 24,244 4,030 606 6,987 20,137 2,142 66 506	2,199 679 8,546 933 207 2,358 7,128 811 17 336	4,672 1,196 15,698 3,097 399 4,629 13,009 1,631 49 170	2,731 908 9,930 2,707 161 1,980 6,743 872 41 139	1,386 224 4,339 289 180 1,984 4,798 542 6 11	555 64 1,429 101 58 665 1,468 217 2 20	209 41 784 60 16 103 217 78 - 7	23 645 41 42 562 1,251 139 2	8.1 3.4 5.9 2.5 9.6 9.5 7.3 8.9 3.0 4.0	
CONTRACT ACTIONS	74	43	31 11	25 10	11 1	5	2		8.1	
TORT ACTIONS MOTOR VEHICLE, PERSONAL INJURY OTHER PERSONAL INJURY OTHER TORTS PRISONER PETITIONS	70 193 13	51 139 6	11 19 19 54 7	13 40 5	1 2 5 1	4 9 1	1 3 1	3	5.7 4.7 7.7	
HABEAS CORPUS MANDAMUS AND OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	17	12 - -	5 - -	5 - -	111	- - -				
DOMESTIC RELATIONS INSANITY ALL OTHER LOCAL	3 103	3 - 60	~ _ 43	- - 41	- 2			-		

#### TABLE C 4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND ACTION TAKEN (Land Condemnation Cases omitted) During the thelve month period ended June 30, 1988

	{		COURT ACTION								
}	1	}	DURING DR AFTER TRIA								
CIRCUIT	1	NO	1	1	DURING			1	PERCENT		
AND		COURT	1	BEFORE	OR AFTER	]	NON-	[	REACHING		
DISTRICT	TOTAL	ACTION	TOTAL	PRETRIAL	PRETRIAL	TOTAL	JURY	JURY	TRIAL		
								1			
TOTAL	238,140	79,590	158,550	114,727	32,205	11,618	5,698	5,920	4.9		
DC	3,911	1,746	2,165	1,735	237	193	95	98	4.9		
1ST	8,091	2,380	5,711	3,831	1,410	470	200	270	5.8		
ME	832	364	468	260	178	30	13	17	3.6		
ME	3,810	1,634	2,176	1,510	465	201	80	121	5.3		
MA	604	102	502	218	236	44	17	31	7.9		
MH		168	536	150	286	100	45	55	14.2		
<u>ai</u>	704						45	46	4.3		
PR	2,141	112	2,029	1,693	245	91	*2		4.3		
2ND	19,557	9,099	10,458	6,090	3,678	690	320	370	3.5		
ст	2,897	1,737	1,160	990	52	118	56	62	4.1		
CT	1,414	661	753	437	209	107	43	64	7.6		
NY, N	1 122	1 381			1,344	127	64	63	2.8		
NY,E	4,467	1,384	3,083	1,612	1 1 1 1	237	111	126	2.6		
NY,S	9,256	4,974	4,282	2,185	1,860			26	5.2		
NY,H	1,218	174	1,044	777	204	63	37	29			
VT	305	169	136	89	,	38	,	27	12.5		
3RD	21,334	5,328	16,006	11,668	3,257	1,081	473	608	5.1		
DE	684	196	488	403	38	47	23	24	6.9		
NJ	5,692	1,599	4,093	2,385	1,372	336	255	81	5.9		
PA, E	9,024	1,443	1 7.581	5,980	1,162	439	87	352	4.9		
PA, H	1,988	77	1,911	1,330	452	129	57	72	6.5		
	3,162	1,516	1,646	1,316	224	106	42	64	3.4		
PA,H VI	784	497	287	254	9	24	9	15	3.1		
4TH	18,207	6,147	12,060	8,426	2,581	1,053	474	579	5.8		
MD	3,925	901	3,024	2,313	505	206	91	115	5.2		
NC S	1,623	795	828	713	59	56	35	21	3.5		
NC, E	979	299	680	532	121	27	10	17	2.8		
NC,M			565	294	209	62	21	41	6.2		
NC,H	1,008	443				245	84	161	6.8		
sc	3,606	973	2,633	1,574	814	242					
VA,E	3,004	1,278	1,726	971	455	300	170	130	10.0		
¥A, H	1,839	943	896	626	174	96	46	50	5.2		
MY,H	665	152	513	444	52	17	5	12	2.6		
HV,S	1,558	363	1,195	959	192	44	12	32	2.8		
5TH	31,463	9,708	21,755	15,913	3,972	1,870	1,018	852	5.9		
LA, E	6,526	136	6,390	4,057	1,753	580	374	206	8.9		
LA, H	1,091	169	922	828	45	49	33	16	4.5		
LA, H	3.147	1,259	1,888	1,396	271	221	126	95	7.0		
MS,N	1,252	483	769	499	166	104	45	59	8.3		
MS,S	3,295	2,204	1,091	556	304	231	90	141	7.0		
	5,280	433	4,847	4,626	52	169	98	71	3.2		
TX, N	2,381	707	1,674	967	533	174	83		7.3		
TX, E	2,301	2 664	2,956	1,935	817	204	101	103	3.7		
TX,S	5,512	2,556	1 1 220	1,732	31	138	68	70	4.6		
тх,ы	2,979	1,761	1,218	1,049	اد ا		1		Į		
6TH	26,933	6,746	20,187	13,433	5,697	1,057	444	613	3.9		
KY,E	2,234 1,706	519	1,715	1,364	266	85	38	47	3.8		
кү,н	1,706	259	1,447	866	499	82	42	40			
MI,E	7,046	798	6,248	4,714	1,293	241	58	183	3.4		
MI,W	2,024	521	1,503	1,316	147	40	18	22			
OH, N	5,645	1,393	4,252	1,579	2,524	149	67	82	2.6		
OH,S	3,739	1,519	2,220	1,658	443	119	61	58	3.2		
TN,E	1,860	550	1,310	760	387	163	55	108			
	1 1,000	1 200	1 79370								
	1 1 22 -	1 170		1 776	75		i ≜n		1 6.7		
TN, M	1,365	470 717	895 597	729	75 63	91 87	40 65	51 22	6.7		
TABLE C 4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS											
----------------------------------------------------	----										
CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND ACTION TAK	EN										
(LAND CONDEMNATION CASES OMITTED)											
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 198	8										

	1				COU	RT ACTION			
		ł				DURI	NG OR AF	TER TRI	AL
CIRCUIT		ND COURT			DURING	[			PERCENT
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	ACTION	TOTAL	BEFORE	DR AFTER PRETRIAL	TOTAL	NON JURY	JURY	REACHING TRIAL
7TH	20,404	5,603	14,801	11,229	2,907	665	336	329	3.3
IL,N	10,388	3,042	7,346	5,884	1,216	246	133	113	2.4
IL,C	1,356	395	961	680	221	60	31	29	4.4
IL,S	1,759	335	1,424	1,188	149	87	35	52	4.9
IN,N IN,S	2,176 2,243	256 627	1,920	1,267 1,142	574 418	79 56	43	36 17	3.6
WI,E	1,380	693	687	544	63	80	41	39	5.8
НΙ,Η	1,102	255	847	524	266	57	14	43	5.2
8TH	15,429	5,641	9,788	7,309	1,519	960	482	478	6.2
AR, E	2,119	967	1,152	897	38	217	141	76	10.2
AR, H	1,047	138	909	796	11	102	49	53	9.7
IA, N	780	164	616	521	69	26	16	10	3.3
IA, S	1,312	491	821	543	221	57	31	26	4.3
MN MD,E	2,201 2,743	820 1,290	1,381 1,453	698 1,112	614 107	69 234	36 100	33 134	3.1 8.5
ND, K	2,917	681	2,236	1,898	226	112	34	78	3.8
NE	1,410	640	770	557	126	87	48	39	6.2
ND	399	202	197	111	63 44	23 33	14		5.8
SD		248	253	176			13	20	6.6
9TH	38,494	17,510	20,984	16,599	2,802	1,583	858	725	4.1
AK	850	443	407	369	17	21	13	8	2.5
AZ CA,N	3,000	930 4,600	2,070 2,725	1,998	29 61	43 133	28 83	15 50	1.4 1.8
CA, E	7,325 2,706	1,368	1,338	1,137	149	52	33	19	1.9
CA,C	12,434	5,210	7,224	4,912	1,450	862	428	434	6.9
CA,5	1,836	695	1,141	1,042	48	51	29	22	2.8
HI ID	1,391 749	885 46	506 703	319 472	128 195	59 36	41 14	18 22	4.2 4.8
MT	903	282	621	280	306	35	15	20	3.9
NY	1,678	331	1,347	1,187	110	50	33	17	3.0
DR MA,E	1,921 838	1,439 324	482	300 340	84 131	98 43	35 34	63	5.1
HA, H	2,738	917	1,821	1,642	86	93	65	28	5.1 3.4
GUAM	79	25	54	46	3	5	5	-	6.3
NMI	46	15	31	24	5	2	2	-	4.3
10TH	12,867	2,965	9,902	6,240	2,995	667	273	394	5.2
co	2,141	32	2,109	1,254	764	91	46	45	4.3
KS	2,164	849	1,315	827	374	114	39	75	5.3
NM DK,N	1,592 1,099	725	867 873	611 735	174	82 48	52 23	30 25	5.2 4.4
DK,E	738	264	474	325	94	55	15	40	7.5
OK,W	2,838	637	2,201	739	1,303	159	38	121	5.6
UT	1,790	19	1,771	1,605	93	73	44	29	4.1
MY	505	213	292	144	103	45	16	29	8.9
11TH	21,450	6,717	14,733	12,254	1,150	1,329	725	604	6.2
AL, N	3,042	475	2,567	1,945	405	217	104	113	7.1
AL, H	1,393	77 439	1,316	966	97	253 122	202	55	18.2
AL,S FL,N	1,233	325	920	520 827	152	122 50	81 23	41 27	9.9 4.0
FL,M	4,653	616	4.037	3,734	122	181	101	80	3.9
FL,S	4,341	2,082	2,259	1,979	112	168	98	70	3.9
GA, N GA, M	3,341	1,821	1,520	1,314	26	180	57	123	5.4
GA, M	1,057	391 491	666 654	538 431	72 121	56 102	22 37	34 65	5.3 8.9
	.,	7/1			***				

#### TABLE C-5. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TEMNINATED, BY DISTRICT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION (EXCLUDES: LAND CONDEMNATION, PRISOMER PETITIONS, AND DEPORTATION REVIENS INTERVALS SHOWN ARE FOR THE MEDIAN TIME AND FOR THE RANGE OF THE MIDDLE BO PERCENT OF THE CASES.) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

					WALKS IN	C 1995:			KIUU CRU	20 30	40 30	1700								
	Т	OTAL	CASES		NO CI	OURT	ACTIO	N					C	DURT	ACTION	٠				
									BEFO	RE PRI	ETRIAL	-		NG OR Retri	AFTE	2		TRIA		
			INTE Monti				INTE Monti				INTER				INTER				INTER	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	HUMBER QF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	HE-	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- Cent More Than	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MORE THAN
TOTAL	200,754	2	8	29	71,873	1	6	26	87,372	1	7	25	30,908	6	16	36	10,601	7	19	44
DC	3,518	2	,	32	1,587	2	8	31	1,514	2	8	34	230	7	12	29	187	7	15	36
15T	7,662	2	10	41	2,286	2	7	32	3,531	2	7	42	1,383	8	18	45	462	,	24	60
ME MA MH RI PR	725 3,615 561 675 2,086	22122	10 13 8 9 6	37 56 35 19 24	336 1,583 99 165 103	121111	<b>4</b> 9334	19 38 10 10 12	184 1,380 190 127 1,650	22122	7 13 3 6 5	27 61 11 15 17	175 454 227 283 244	9 9 6 7 8	21 25 20 12 20	45 62 37 20 35	30 198 45 100 89	10 12 22 7 7	18 31 35 13 23	38 73 55 23 38
2ND	17,068	2	10	37	8,347	2	8	33	4,507	2	8	35	3,551	6	18	43	663	•	25	57
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	2,548 1,117 4,055 8,159 912 277	23222	16 16 10 8 10 10	47 41 32 37 39 24	1,675 527 1,277 4,542 163 163	3 3 1 2 1 2	19 10 6 4 9	54 29 21 30 10	711 283 1,347 1,587 511 68	2 3 2 1 2 1	9 15 7 7 10 9	34 42 28 37 30 21	48 205 1,307 1,800 183 8	10 13 6 6 8 -	19 28 17 17 23	48 52 39 43 55	114 102 124 230 55 38	10 15 11 8 4 9	28 28 28 22 34 15	57 60 51 48 72 24
3RD	18,171	1	7	25	5,016	1	6	19	8,988	1	5	21	3,149	5	13	33	1,018	5	15	42
DE PA.E PA.H PA.H YI	515 5,062 7,952 1,257 2,634 751	1 2 1 2 1 4	10 8 6 8 7 14	33 29 20 20 28 43	141 1,527 1,425 59 1,391 473	1 2 2 1 1 4	5 5 3 4 12	17 17 12 31 20 33	294 1,919 4,963 640 927 245	1 1 1 2 5	10 6 4 5 8 14	38 23 17 13 27 47	37 1,309 1,135 441 218 9	10 6 9 -	20 14 11 11 21	42 35 28 24 40	43 307 429 117 98 24	9 4 6 3 10 9	20 21 12 12 25 36	47 48 26 33 43 71

#### TABLE C-5. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION (EXCLUDES: LANO CONDENNATION, PRISONER PETITIONS, AND DEPORTATION REVIENS INTERVALS SHOWN ARE FOR THE MEDIAN TIME AND FOR THE RANGE OF THE MIDDLE BO PERCENT OF THE CASES.) DURING THE THELYE MOMTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	Т	OTAL C	CASES		NO C	OURT /	CTIO	1					C	OURT /		4				
									BEFO	RE PRE	TRIA	-		NG OR Retri <i>i</i>		2		TRIA	-	
			INTE Mont	RVALS HS≈			INTE/ Monti			TIME IN	INTER				INTE				INTER	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT HORE THAN	HUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MDRE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	HE- DIAN	10 PER- CENT HORE THAN	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN
4TH	14,485	2	7	24	5,063	1	5	17	5,944	1	6	22	2,498	5	12	32	980	5	12	32
HD NC, E NC, H SC YA, E YA, E YY, S 5TH LA, E LA, M LA, M	3,072 943 681 836 3,343 2,252 1,442 545 1,371 26,389 5,325 707 2,887	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	8 6 4 6 7 5 8 16 9 10 9 10	34 19 19 17 19 12 26 49 25 32 24 37 29 34	757 588 276 400 954 941 674 132 341 8,574 128 147 1,193	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 2	6 4 3 4 4 4 9 7 9 11 2 9 13	20 14 12 11 10 11 26 45 23 40 5 37 29	1,656 268 271 180 1,343 572 509 345 800 12,660 12,660 3,144 492 1,224	1 2 1 2 2 1 1 3 2 2 2 2 3	7 9 4 6 4 5 16 8 8 7 8 8	33 19 13 15 16 52 21 25 18 30 23	472 47 117 197 802 449 173 52 189 3,556 1,605 39 267	9 10 7 5 6 4 5 15 9 7 7 7 16 12	19 21 13 11 11 11 7 12 29 17 16 13 36 22	43 52 22 20 2 <del>9</del> 12 33 64 36 35 30 48 30	187 40 17 59 244 290 86 16 41 1,599 448 29 203	10 9 10 5 6 4 7 17 10 8 7 4 12	22 16 18 14 12 7 15 31 15 31 18 21 16 35 24	52 32 29 30 24 13 32 47 25 45 39 54 38
MS,N MS,S TX,N TX,E TX,S TX,H 6TH	973 3,116 4,598 1,867 4,318 2,598 22,724	232122	13 20 8 10 11 8	34 70 25 32 36 34 28	439 2,163 406 488 1,989 1,621 5,939	2422	10 22 9 7 9 6	31 78 24 22 28 37 24	299 472 3,981 783 1,452 813 10.240	2 1 2 1 2 2 1	9 10 7 8 9 5	28 24 24 34 34 30 24	157 284 51 440 683 30 5,569	11 12 6 1 9 6	21 19 17 15 21 14	38 36 45 31 45 34 33	78 197 160 156 194 134	12 10 11 2 12 6	25 19 22 14 30 16 20	42 51 41 33 61 66 50
КҮ, Е НІ, Е НІ, Н ОН, N ОН, S ТИ, Е ТИ, Н ТИ, N	1,850 1,341 6,086 1,578 5,384 3,118 1,457 985 925	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	7 13 8 11 14 11 9 9 13	30 38 22 32 26 33 25 25 48	461 226 694 419 1,333 1,364 491 354 597	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1	8 6 3 6 8 7 6 5 10	23 27 13 24 24 25 22 22 38	1,044 558 3,912 976 1,400 1,213 449 491 197	1 2 2 4 1 2 1 1 1	5 9 7 10 7 11 6 8 14	20 34 18 30 22 27 23 22 45	263 487 1,245 145 2,504 430 377 63 55	11 8 4 15 6 10 7 9 16	23 18 13 25 21 23 12 18 31	54 42 31 39 26 48 30 36 52	82 70 235 38 147 111 140 77 76	9 9 10 17 7 8 6 13	23 23 19 35 18 27 13 15 39	56 71 39 61 42 61 29 32 71

#### TABLE C-5. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION (Excludes: Land Condemation, prisomer petitions, and deportation reviews INTERVALS SHOWN ARE FOR THE MEDIAN THE AND FOR THE RANGE OF THE NODLE & O PERCENT OF THE CASES.) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	ז	DTAL	CASES		NO C	OURT /	CTIB	N					C	BURT A	ACTIO	N				
									BEFØ	RE PR	ETRIA	L		NG OR Retri		R		TRIA	L	
			INTE Hont	RVALS HS#		TIME IX	INTE Monti	RVALS HS#		TIME IN	INTER	RVALS HS#			INTE	RVALS HS#			INTE	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	KUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	NE- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	NE	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME-	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF Cases	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	1	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN
7TH	17,504	1	5	28	5,200	1	5	21	8,991	1	4	23	2,707	5	16	42	606	8	23	51
IL,N IL,C IL,S IL,S IN,M IN,S NJ,E NJ,H	9,714 1,087 1,267 1,735 1,820 1,198 683	1 1 2 2 2 1 1	4 10 13 9 11 7 4	22 37 28 32 38 30 14	2,941 299 309 226 609 652 164	1 1 2 3 1 1	4 8 5 7 11 5 2	14 44 20 25 30 25 6	5,361 581 766 898 747 407 231	1 2 2 1 1	3 8 13 7 5 6 3	15 24 28 24 22 23 3	1,176 152 126 534 410 62 247	4 9 6 11 12 3	13 23 20 17 24 21	39 42 35 42 65 51 19	236 55 66 77 54 77 41	8 17 13 8 11 6 5	25 21 20 30 23 9	54 50 41 43 55 43 17
8TH	12,150	2	,	25	4,976	1	7	21	4,966	2	7	21	1,422		17	33	786	9	19	36
AR, E AA, M IA, N IA, S MG, E MG, E NG, M NG, M SD	1,591 971 687 992 1,956 2,257 1,827 1,055 380 434	22221222122	8 7 10 8 7 9 6 8	22 21 24 32 28 25 26 24 30 21	821 125 157 427 776 1,152 523 591 195 209	2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1	9856478937	21 23 16 21 15 24 24 22 18 18	597 740 444 306 505 810 1,021 295 100 148	2222222112	6879797556	16 20 21 28 21 22 21 19 22 18	33 10 63 211 607 98 187 107 62 44	6 7 6 9 7 6 10 11 11 8	18 11 17 21 17 12 19 16 21 16	37 26 32 36 33 33 30 29 33 30	140 96 23 48 68 197 96 62 23 33	9 13 13 14 7 10 10 15 12	18 12 30 29 24 16 18 19 32 18	31 22 40 44 40 34 32 34 56 28
9TH	33,380	2	7	26	16,388	2	5	19	12,694	2	7	25	2,756	7	16	34	1,542	11	23	45
AK CA.N CA.E CA.E CA.S HI NT NT NY OR MA.E HA.H KA.H KA.H KA.H	761 2,005 6,572 1,874 11,535 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,335 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,712 1,535 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1,546 1	2122232323223 2232323223 223232323223	12 5 5 11 5 9 17 14 11 9 ? 1 11 8 9 10	41 26 19 30 21 30 46 32 32 32 32 19 32 25 21 30	414 880 4,371 1,020 5,096 666 870 45 266 284 1,281 297 860 23 15	3 1 2 2 1 3 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 1 3 1 2 2 2 1 3 1 2 2 2 1 3 1 2 2 2 1 3 1 2 2 1 3 1 2 2 1 3 1 2 2 1 3 1 2 1 2	11 3 5 8 4 5 20 3 8 6 5 7 8 4	38 17 14 26 13 20 44 15 25 23 16 31 22 19 15	310 1,060 2,009 657 4,144 947 278 407 240 839 198 200 1,339 42 24	222214322242241	12 3 7 11 5 12 10 10 7 9 9 8 8 17	42 30 24 28 16 31 48 22 25 29 24 27 24 18 32	17 28 60 146 1,446 128 184 304 104 77 120 86 3 5	10 14 10 3 9 5 8 11 2 8 10 -	19 27 18 20 15 22 15 19 18 25 14 13 19 -	38 48 37 41 29 39 34 42 38 51 25 30 30 -	20 37 132 51 849 51 59 34 41 93 41 93 41 92 5 2	6 14 11 14 11 11 10 10 17 7 8 11 	30 26 21 24 23 26 24 24 21 24 21 24 15 22 21 -	73 45 59 43 46 63 55 44 48 26 48 39 -

TABLE C-5. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION
(EXCLUDES: LAND CONDEMNATION, PRISONER PETITIONS, AND DEPORTATION REVIEWS
INTERVALS SHOWN ARE FOR THE MEDIAN TIME AND FOR THE RANGE OF THE MIDDLE 80 PERCENT OF THE CASES.)
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	T	OTAL (	CASES		ND C	DURT /	CTIO	N					c	OURT /	CTIO	4				
									BEFO	RE PRI	ETRIA	L.		NG OR Retrij		2		TRIA	-	
			INTE: Monti				INTE Monti	RVALS HS≠			INTER				INTER	RVALS HS#			INTE	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	1	10 PER- CENT MDRE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- Cent More Than	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- Cent Mori Thai
10TH	11,363	2	,	32	2,703	1	6	25	5,045	1	7	32	2,964	6	13	29	651	8	17	38
CB	1,912	1	8	23	30	1	2	5	1,031	1	4	15	760	6	14	30	91	11	21	37
KS	1,872 1,341		10 10	34 39	800 637	2	7	26 41	604 454	1	5	24 25	360 168	11	20 14	43 31	108	13	24 17	5) 3)
OK, N	1,010	1 2	10	23	220	1	÷	17	653	2	- á	21	89		22	31	48	1 7	18	- 4
GK,E	610	l ī	Š	12	244	l î	4	8	219	ī	3	B	93	ž	- ,	16	54	8	īī	1
DK	2,519	ī	8	20	554	Ĩ	4	11	507	ī	3	<u> </u>	1,299	5	10	23	159	1	16	3
UT	1,634	3	21	34	19	1	8	16	1,458	3	22	33	93	10 5	19	38	64	14	22	- 4
MY	465	2	7	18	199	2	5	14	119	2	6	19	102	5	,	20	45	6	9	1
11TH	16,340	2	7	24	5,794	1	6	22	8,292	1	6	21	1,123	6	12	29	1,131	5	14	3
AL, N	2,355	1	6	17	379	1	5	14	1,369	1	5	12	401	5	10	22	206	5	11	2
AL.M	863	1	5	12	73	1	1	4	526	1	4	12	96	5	•	17	168	3	6	1
AL, S	915	2	8	25	343	2	6	21	351	1	5	17	148	6	12	25	73	8	20	4
FL,N	946	1	5	20	289	1	4	14	572	2	4	17	38		16	35	47	12	24	4
FL,X	3,384	1	6	25	582	1	4	17	2,515	1	6	23	117		17	46	170	11	21	4
FL.S	3,509	1	7	25	1,668			23	1,567			22	111		14	34	163	5	16	19.03
GA, N	2,667	2		25	1,673	2		23	801	2		25	24		15	22	169	1 2	20 15	
GA, H	782	2	12	38 20	348		5	38 22	310 281		14	39 18	69 119		18	37 17	55	1 7	12	2
GA, S	1 373	1 4	•	20	737	1 <b>*</b>	1	44	401	-	•	**	1 113	•	10	11	1 80	1 '	**	

\* TIME INTERVAL COMPUTED ONLY WHERE THERE ARE 10 DR MORE CASES

#### TABLE C5A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION (EXCLUDES: LAND CONDEMANTION, PRISONER PETITIONS, AND DEPORTATION REVIEMS INTERVALS SHOWM ARE FOR THE MEDIAR TIME AND FOR THE RANGE OF THE WIDDLE BO PERCENT OF THE CASES.) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	1	DTAL (	CASES		NOC	OURT A	CT10	I]					Ċ	DURT A	CTIO	t				
									BEFO	RE PRE	TRIAL			NG DR Retria		2		TRIAL	-	
			INTE	RVALS HS#		TIME IN	INTER			TINE IN	INTER MONTH			TIME IN	INTER				INTE	RVALS HS#
	NUMBER	10 PER- CENT		10 PER- CENT	NUMBER	10 PER- CENT		10 PER- CENT	NUMBER	10 PER- CENT		10 PER CENT		10 PER- CENT		10 PER- CENT	WJMBER		1	10 PER- CENT
NATURE OF SUIT	DF CASES	LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	NORE Than	OF CASES	LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	NORE THAN	OF CASES	LESS	ME- DIAN	MORE Than	OF CASES	LESS THAN	NE DIAN	NORE THAN	OF CASES			MORE
TOTAL CASES	200,754	2	8	29	71,873	1	6	26	87,372	1	7	25	30,908	6	16	36	10,601	7	19	44
UNITED STATES CASES	63,116	1	5	22	27,003	1	4	17	31,342	1	6	21	3,491	5	14	35	1,280	7	19	47
NEGHTIABLE INSTRUMENTS RECEVERY OF DVERPAYMENTS AND	1,449	2	6	22	602	1	5	17	688	2	6	21	133	7	13	34	26	,	23	48
ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS Other contracts Real property	19,825 3,877 6,502	1 1 2	3 6 5	11 23 17	14,269 1,896 2,007	1 1 2	3 4 6	11 18 18	5,462 1,483 4,160	1 1 2	3 6 5	9 23 15	78 387 263	2 6 6	9 13 15	28 31 39	16 111 72	1 9 5	11 19 23	31 43 56
TORT ACTIONS Marine, personal injury Motor Venicle, personal injury Other Personal injury	115 674 1,897	3 3 3	12 10 13	37 24 36	35 249 522	2 2 3	12 8 11	35 20 30	45 202 759	3 2 3	11 7 9	29 21 32	19 139 377	9 7 8	22 13 17	41 32 36	12 84 239	15 9 17	33 17 24	37 31 49
OTHER TORTS	492	2	8 10	22 22	196 5	2	6	17	207 25	2	7 10	17 22	61 1	۲ _	16	28	28	10	16	37
CIVIL RIGHTS Employment	1.345	3	11	32	343	2	,	27	634	2	8	26	204	7	15	39	164	10	21	59
OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS Liquer Forfeitures	996 10	1	7	30 10	274	1	7	30	592 9	1 -	6 -	25	93	7	14	49	37	1 -	16	44 
DTWER FORFEITUME AND PENALTY SUITS. FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT Other Labor Standards act Selective Service Act Social Security Laws	3,390 685 542 2	2 2 1 -	6 8 6 	22 23 26	1,094 264 174	2 1 1	6 -	19 21 20	1,926 295 303 1	2 1 1 -	566	22 19 24	232 124 44	5 6 3 -	13 14 15	33 38 34	138 22 21 1	5	20 15	46 42 -
HEALTH INSURANCE BLACK LUNG DISABILITY INSURANCE	229 63 10,673	3	12 3 9	32 17 21	55 9 2,029	4	13 7	38	167 51 8,272	3	12 1 9	31 11 21	7 3 336	5	11	25			10	20
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME Retirement and survivor benefits. BTHER	2,730	3	9 11 17	24 22 47	633 85	3	, ,	24 25	2,010 284 21	3	9 11 16	24 22 41	82 14 2	5	17	33 24	-		Ξ	-
TAX SUITS	2,678	Ż	10 7	30 30	868 1,410	1	9 5	27 26	1,295 2,451	1	7	27 26	366 525	7	17 12	37 39	149 117	8 2	20 15	50 54
FEDERAL QUESTION	69,891	2	9	31	21,668	1	7	25	29,823	1	7	28	13,658	6	17	36	4,742	7	20	46
MARINE MILLER ACT DTWER CONTRACTS Real PROPERTY	3,468 707 2,913 733	2	8 7 8 5	29 18 25 20	1,543 349 1,001 193	2211	7 6 6 5	27 14 22 20	1,249 245 1,321 443	[ 1	6 6 7 4	24 18 23 15	548 88 444 73	6 5 5 4	15 11 14 13	34 28 31 31	128 25 147 24	5 6 8	22 11 17 18	36 33

#### TABLE C5A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION (EXCLUDES: LAND CONDEMNATION, PRISONER PETITIONS, AND DEPORTATION REVIEMS INTERVALS SHOWN ARE FOR THE MEDIAN TIME AND FOR THE ANGOE OF THE HIDOLE BO PERCENT OF THE CASES.) DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	T	DTAL C	ASES		NDC	DURT A	CTIO	í					C	DURT #	CTIO					
									BEFO	RE PRI	TRIA	L		NG OR Retria		1		TRIA	L.	
			INTER			TIME IN	INTER MONTH				INTER	RVALS HS+		TIME In	INTER				INTE Mont	RVALS
	NUMBER			10 PER- CENT MORE	NUMBER	10 PER- CENT LESS	NE-	10 PER CENT MORE	NUMBER DF	10 PER- CENT LESS		10 PER- CENT MORE	NUMBER OF	10 PER- CENT LESS		10 PER- CENT	NUKBER OF			10 PER- CENT MORE
NATURE OF SUIT	CASES	THAN	DIAN	THAN	CASES	THAN	DIAN	THAN	CASES	THAH	DIAN	THAN		THAN	DIAN	THAN	CASES	THAN	DIAN	THAN
TORT ACTIONS EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT Marine, personal injury Other personal injury Actions under statutes	2,604 3,195 1,593 1,213	4 4 2 2	14 14 11 10	30 31 29 30	751 719 495 402	3 3 2 2	9 13 10 8	27 31 28 25	723 1,034 665 483	2 3 1 1	17 10 8 7	28 27 25 27	903 1,155 295 239	7 8 6 8	15 17 17 17	33 31 32 38	227 287 138 89	5 9 8 7	18 22 19 19	33 38 35 47
ANTITAUST Civil Rights	891	3	16	51	237	2	13	55	402	3	10	43	191	7	26	60	61	9	35	67
EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT OTHER LABOR LITIGATION COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES ALL OTHER FEDERAL QUESTION DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	7,710 10,062 665 3,018 7,771 2,212 1,122 2,464 281 17,269 67,258	22231111	13 11 10 7 6 12 6 7 8	36 36 25 27 24 21 42 22 30 32	2,013 2,375 227 976 2,957 927 927 910 84 5,162	3121122111	10986559556	30 30 21 23 19 16 27 18 28 26	2,762 4,520 237 1,482 3,512 927 440 1,084 158 8,136	21312221111	10 7 10 7 6 5 8 5 5 6	31 29 23 21 18 38 18 26 32	1,886 1,935 149 470 1,034 293 227 381 32 3,315	7664547546	16 16 13 12 14 12 19 13 15 21	39 42 31 38 36 28 49 31 35 31	1,049 1,232 52 90 263 65 108 89 7 656	9 6 5 7 6 11 7 - 3	22 18 16 21 19 17 31 15 	34 61 44 52
CONTRACT ACTIONS			10	32	22,878	2	9	32	26,073	1	7	26	13,748	6	15	36	4,559	7	15	40
INSURANCE Megotiable instruments Other Contracts Real Property Tort Actions	6,871 1,875 24,244 4,030	2	9 7 8 3	27 26 28 19	2,199 679 8,546 933	2 2 2 1	7 6 6 4	23 23 23 19	2,731 908 9,930 2,707	2 2 1 1	8 5 6 3	23 24 23 11	1,386 224 4,339 289	565	13 13 14 14	33 31 35 34	555 64 1,429 101	7 6 7 8	15 17 19 21	33 41
MARINE, PERSONAL INJURY Hotor Vehicle, Personal Injury Other Personal Injury Other Torts All other Diversity	606 6,987 14,150 2,442 6,053	2	12 10 12 11 23	33 25 33 33 69	207 2,358 3,990 811 3,155	3 2 2 2 13	8 8 11 9 26	26 22 32 31 78	161 1,980 4,669 872 2,115	2 2 1 1 1	9 7 9 7 14	26 21 28 26 49	180 1,984 4,102 542 702	9 6 7 6 11	16 13 16 17 28	41 29 35 36 66	58 665 1,389 217 81	10 7 8 8 14	22 15 18 23 40	31 38 40
LDCAL JURISDICTION	489	3	17		324	3	15	39	134	2	27	50	11	4	25	48	20	17	36	66
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY TORT ACTIONS	74 33		15	49 26	43 22	1 4	11 8	31 22	25 10	1 1	15	49 58	1	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
NOTOR VEHICLE, PERSONAL INJURY Other Personal Injury Other Toats Domestic Relations Insamity	65 180 31 3	67	18 20 25	47 47 53	49 130 17 3	4 3 7 -	16 17 16	44 39 44	12 38 8 -	1 9 -	31 31 -	47 52 -	2 4 2 -				2 8 4 -			-
ALL DTK T. LOCAL	103	1	11	43	60	1	10	39	41	ī	24	43	- 2	-	-	=	=	-	-	-

.

#### TABLE C58. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION (FOR ONLY: LAND CONDEMNATION, ORISONER PETITIONS, AND DEPORTATION REVIEWS INTERVALS SHOWN ARE FOR THE MEDIAN TIME AND FOR THE ANGE OF THE MIDDLE 80 PERCENT OF THE CASES.) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	T	OTAL CA	SES	ND	COURT	ACTION	1			·····		C	OURT A	CTIO	N				
								8EFO	RE PRE	TRIAL			NG DR Retria		R		TRIAL		
			INTERVALS			INTER MONTH			TIME IN	INTER MONTH			TIME In	INTE MONT	RVALS HS#		TIME IN	INTER MONTH	
NATURE OF SUIT	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	10 PER- CENT MORE DIAN THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	LESS	1 1	10 PER- CENT More Than	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME-		NUMBER OF CASES		ME	10 PER- CENT HORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	HE-	
TOTAL CASES	37,999	1	5 25	7,888	1	6	26	27,620	1	5	20	1,405	6	21	54	1,086	7	20	49
UHITED STATES CASES	<u>5,379</u> 549	1 5	5 23 20 77	1,190		5 14	24 37	3,938 235		4 21	28 85	156 94	6 10	21 29	72	95 64	9 14	26 29	108 108
ACTIONS UNDER .ATUTES Deportation Prisoner Petitions	117	1	4 21	56	1	4	22	56	1	4	16	4	-	-	~	1	-	-	-
MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE Habeas corpus Nandahus and other Civil Rights	1,790 1,828 270 825	1 1 1	4 17 4 13 4 18 5 25	369 356 71 182	1	4 4 5 5	19 17 28 26	1,398 1,456 184 609	1 1 1	4434	16 12 12 19	13 15 10 20	1 1 4 6	12 7 12 30	29 39 20 62	10 1 5 14	1 - 4	6  25	20  74
FEDERAL QUESTION	32,585		5 25	6,681		6	26	23,666	1	5	20	1,248	6	20	51	990	_7	19	47
LAND CONDEMNATION Actions under Statutes Prisoner Petitions	46	1	5 31	10		,	45	19	3	•	23	13	1	1	26	4	-	-	-
HABEAS CORPUS Nandahus and dìher Civil Rights	9,348 261 22,922	1 1 1	5 20 2 15 6 28	1,689 53 4,927	1 1	5 2 6	21 14 28	7,458 196 15,989	1 1 1	5 2 5	19 14 22	97 4 1,133	4	14 21	41 52	104 8 873	3 - 7	17 19	44 47
DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP.	15	<u> </u>	4 14	Ļ	<u> </u>			11	1	3	10 10				-	1			
					1		-			3	10	. 1	-	-	-	· ·	-	-	-
LOCAL JURISDICTION Land Condemnation Prisoner Petitions	17	<u> </u>	1 7	12				5	<u>├</u>				<del>-</del>						
PAROLE COMMISSION REVIEM	17	1	1 7	1	1	1	7	5	-		-			-			-	-	-
CIVIL RIGHTS	í	- 1			1		-		<u> </u>	-	-						-	-	

\* TIME INTERVAL COMPUTED DRLY WHERE THERE ARE 10 OR HORE CASES

1-10-10

		AS DF .	RUNE 30,	1988		
			LENGTH	DF TIME P	ENDING	
CIRCUIT	TOTAL				3 YEARS	AND DVER
AND DISTRICT		LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1 TO 2 Years	2 TD 3 YEARS	NUMBER	PERCENT*
TOTAL	244,242	146,149	53,257	23,349	21,487	8.8
DC	4,062	2,181	820	763	298	7.3
15T	11,362	5,144	2,293	1,275	2,650	23.3
ME MA NH RI PR	639 7,101 686 689 2,247	433 2,395 355 502 1,459	145 1,453 153 122 420	44 861 103 53 214	17 2, <b>392</b> 75 12 154	2.7 33.7 10.9 1.7 6.9
2ND	26,504	13,113	7,066	3,165	3,160	11.9
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,S YT	3,821 3,141 5,406 11,286 2,503 347	1,600 1,315 2,941 5,872 1,148 237	1,030 813 1,417 2,999 726 81	409 409 598 1,446 282 21	782 604 450 969 347 8	20.5 19.2 8.3 8.6 13.9 2.3
3RD	20,555	13,656	4,116	1,761	1,022	5.0
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H Y1	819 5,945 7,724 1,456 3,208 1,403	463 3,572 5,906 1,114 1,963 638	191 1,391 1,257 195 662 420	65 575 396 113 363 249	100 407 165 34 220 96	12.2 6.8 2.1 2.3 6.9 6.8
4TH	16,901	11,307	3,095	1,096	1,403	8.3
HD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,H HV,S	3,774 1,145 817 895 2,760 2,980 1,995 830 1,705	2,163 884 682 633 2,113 1,825 1,364 377 1,266	738 192 111 211 479 461 409 151 343	395 58 17 37 118 133 133 133 118 87	478 11 7 14 50 561 89 184 9	12.7 1.0 .9 1.6 1.8 18.8 4.5 22.2 .5
5TH	36,914	20,008	8,793	4,146	3,967	10.7
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	4,679 1,569 3,830 1,332 4,071 4,837 5,685 8,196 2,715	3,492 819 2,465 718 1,459 3,054 2,275 3,990 1,736	868 411 999 339 894 1,028 1,665 2,027 562	209 189 289 183 622 516 977 935 226	110 150 77 92 1,096 239 768 1,244 191	2.4 9.6 2.0 6.9 26.9 4.9 13.5 15.2 7.0
6TH	27,099	18,062	4,940	2,166	1,931	7.1
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H TN,H	1,989 1,981 5,119 2,154 7,130 3,642 1,962 1,460 1,662	1,300 1,020 3,937 1,387 5,037 2,184 1,337 1,108 752	404 460 710 536 944 834 420 226 406	191 251 119 152 618 292 156 76 211	94 250 253 79 531 332 49 50 293	4.7 12.6 4.9 3.7 7.4 9.1 2.5 3.4 17.6

#### TABLE C 6. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TIME PENDING As of June 30, 1988

		AS UF .		F TINE P		
0100117	7074		LENGIN			AND OVER
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1 TO 2 Years	2 TO 3 Years	KUMBER	PERCENT*
711	17,186	10,270	3,862	1,712	1,342	7.8
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S MI,E WI,M	7,631 1,355 1,650 2,162 2,651 1,307 424	4,704 849 932 1,217 1,323 856 389	1,548 327 453 501 686 295 32	672 115 190 279 255 99 2	687 64 81 165 287 57 1	9.0 4.7 4.9 7.6 10.8 4.4 .2
8TH	16,575	10,943	3,645	1,467	520	\$.1
AR, E AR, H IA, N MH MO, E ND, N ND, SD	2,314 692 743 2,525 2,071 2,896 2,770 1,666 467 431	1,611 603 446 1,745 1,360 1,921 1,670 1,025 251 311	532 76 193 508 447 644 569 451 133 92	128 12 76 193 174 219 428 157 54 26	43 1 28 79 90 112 103 33 29 2	1.9 .1 3.1 4.3 3.9 3.7 2.0 6.2 .5
9TH	37,043	21,279	8,344	3,407	4,013	10.8
АК СА, N СА, E СА, E СА, S НІ НГ NY ОК НА, H GUJAM NHI	1,030 2,893 5,417 2,582 9,839 2,616 2,409 800 1,553 1,882 1,940 1,079 2,063 894 46	544 1,908 3,156 1,552 6,035 1,368 972 487 781 1,072 1,355 1,355 1,355 1,355 1,416 1,416 49 33	233 586 1,280 536 2,383 585 510 203 415 412 444 294 422 14 7	130 235 508 194 885 299 280 60 189 219 108 155 138 4 3	123 164 473 270 536 364 647 50 168 179 13 109 87 827 827 3	11.9 5.7 8.7 10.5 5.4 13.9 26.9 6.3 10.8 9.5 .7 10.1 4.2 92.5 6.5
10TH	11,455	7,379	2,495	961	620	5.4
СО KS DK,N QK,E ĐK,H UT MY	1,996 2,325 1,800 1,209 379 1,727 1,681 338	1,294 1,378 1,086 824 328 1,304 916 249	352 592 472 251 36 293 430 69	209 203 168 73 9 83 203 13	141 152 74 61 6 47 132 7	7.1 6.5 4.1 5.6 2.7 7.9 2.1
11TH.,	18,586	12,807	3,788	1,430	561	3.0
AL, N AL, H AL, S FL, N FL, S GA, N GA, S	1,949 1,022 1,337 1,048 3,911 3,658 3,372 1,279 1,010	1,560 814 920 698 2,576 2,568 2,134 723 814	278 159 283 221 781 718 852 357 139	82 30 94 93 392 219 298 166 56	29 19 40 36 162 153 88 33 1	1.5 1.9 3.0 3.4 4.1 4.2 2.6 2.6 .1

#### TABLE C 6. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TIME PENDING AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

\* PERCENT NOT COMPUTED WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN 10 CASES

#### CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT

#### DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (Other Civil Includes Trials of Miscellaneous Cases)

CIRCUIT		LAHD	CONDEMNAT	FION	6	THER CIVI	L		CRIMINAL	
DISTRICT	TOTAL	TOTAL	NDN Jury	JURY	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	TOTAL.	NON- Jury	JURY
TOTAL	19,901	49	29	20	12,487	7,059	5,428	7,365	3,215	4,150
DC	503	-	-	-	330	239	91	173	89	84
1ST	760	-	-	-	517	241	276	243	81	162
ME	51	-	-	•	20	6	14	31	12	19
MA	344	-	-	-	269	115	154	75	24	51
NH	69	-	- 1	-	46	23	23	23	15	8
RI	129		- 1	-	90	41	49	39	15	24
PR	167	-	- 1	-	92	56	36	75	15	60
2ND	1,739	1	1	-	1,115	608	507	623	284	339
ст	252	-	-	-	177	106	71	75	46	29
NY,N	142	-	- 1	-	110	46	64	32	16	16
NY,E	483	- 1	- 1	-	239	136	103	244	120	124
NY S	669	- 1	-	-	494	275	219	175	46	129
MY, H	109	-	ł –	-	45	29	16	64	33	31
vttv	84	1	1	-	50	16	34	33	23	10
3RD	1,694	5	4	1	1,186	550	636	503	219	284
DE	102	-	-	-	46	26	20	56	36	20
NJ	322	-	-	-	223	121	102	99	39	60
PA, E	709	1	1 -	1	573	240	333	135	56	79
PA.H	207		4	-	161	75	86	42	19	23
PA, H	237	-	- 1	-	141	78	63	96	57	39
¥I	117	-	- 1	-	42	10	32	75	12	63

#### CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT

#### DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (DTHER CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS CASES)

CIRCUIT		LAND	CONDEMNAT	ION	٩	THER CIVIL			CRIMINAL	
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TOTAL	NDN- JURY	JURY	TOTAL	NON Jury	,JURY	TOTAL	NON~ JURY	JURY
4TH	1,819	,	5	4	1,150	620	530	660	316	344
NO 1	296	1	1		197	97	100	95	39	59
MD	134		1 1	-	71	47	24	63	21	42
	101	1 -	1 -	_	42	27	15	59	22	37
NC.H	178		1	1	119	73	46	57	17	40
SC	244	23	3	<u> </u>	218	83	135	23	8	15
YA, E	575	1 -	1 1	-	327	203	124	248	159	89
VA, H	131	1	1 _	1	90	51	39	40	15	25
MY, N	64	1 1	_ ا	<u> </u>	28	18	10	36	14	22
WY.S	96	2	-	2	58	21	37	36	21	15
5TH	2,784	1	-	1	1,829	1,112	717	954	467	487
LA.E	463				396	211	185	67	23	44
LA, C	58	-		_	43	30	13	15	10	5
LA, H	225	1 -		_	198	121	77	27	10	17
MS,N	118	1	-	1	96	48	48	21	5	16
MS.S	198	1 1	-	-	158	84	74	40	17	23
TX.N	435	1 _		_	281	212	69	154	83	71
TX, E	210			-	182	78	104	28	7	21
TX, S	620	1 _		_ '	275	189	86	345	151	194
TX.H	457		-	-	200	139	61	257	161	96
6TH	1,597	5	3	2	1,117	556	561	475	157	318
KY.E	130		<u> </u>		75	43	32	55	14	41
KY, H	158	-	-	-	85	42	43	73	39	34
MI,E	413	-		-	318	119	199	95	28	67
MI, H	78	1	1	_	55	35	20	22	6	16
OH.N	198	2	1 i	1	151	65	86	45	15	30
OH, S	179	li	1 1	î	121	64	57	57	19	38
TN.E	143	1 -	-	-	iii	56	55	32	3	29
TN, H	123	1	1	-	101	50	51	21	3	18
TN.H	175		1 1	-	100	82	18	75	30	45
	115	<u>L</u>	L	·						

.

NOTE:INCLUDES TRIALS CONDUCTED BY DISTRICT AND APPELLATE JUDGES DNLY. ALL TRIALS CONDUCTED BY MAGISTRATES ARE EXCLUDED. Includes Hearings on tempdrary restraining orders and preliminary injunctions, hearings on contested motions and other contested proceedings in MHICH evidence is introduced.

\_\_\_\_\_

### CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT

#### DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (DTHER CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEDUS CASES)

CIRCUIT		LAND	CONDEMNAT	ION	C	THER CIVIL	L		CRIMINAL	
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	TOTAL	NDN Jury	JURY	TOTAL	NOM- Jary	JURY
3711		1	_	1	795	467	328	437	192	245
7TH	1,233	1		<u> </u>						
IL.N	534	-	-	-	336	210	126	198	92	106
IL.C	101	-	-	-	67	37	30	34	13	21
IL,S	108	-	-	-	74	30	44	34	18	16
IN,N	148	- 1	-	-	71	50	21	77	42	35
IN, S	141	1 -	-	-	101	81	20	40	23	17
NI.E	117	- 1	-	-		45	39	33	4	29
WI,W	84	.1	-	1	62	14	48	21	-	21
8TH	1,453	6	3	Э	989	585	404	458	172	286
					139	75	64	34	10	24
AR, E	173		1	-	97	46	51	24	13	11
AR, H	122	1	1	-	33	22	11	26	12	14
A, N	59	1 -	-		58	40	18	35	20	15
[A,S	93	-		-		50	42	58		52
4N	152	2	1	1	92			72	16	56
ND,E	281	2	1	1	207	112	95		23	43
40,H	195	-	-	-	129	74	55	66		
NE	172	1	-	1	134	98	36	37	18	19
D	42	-	-	-	20	13	7	22	14	8
SD	164	-	-	-	80	55	25	84	40	44
9TH	2,549	14	8	6	1,183	790	393	1,352	695	657
AK	56	_	_	-	21	14	7	35	8	27
Z	333	6	5	1	84	66	18	243	141	102
A.N	218	2	1 1	ź	129	77	52	87	26	61
	131	1	1 -	ī	58	37	21	72	29	43
A, E	655	i		i	384	243	141	270	119	151
CA,C	312	1 1		-	66	44	22	246	174	72
A,S		1 -	1 - 1	-	54	41	13	49	15	34
11	103	1 -	1 [	_	24	ii	13	20	5	15
D	44	1	1 -	-	33	21	12	29	3	26
ff	62	-	1 -	-	63	50	13	54		45
NY	117	- 1	-		104	60	44	119	91	28
OR	223	1 -		-		42		56	39	17
MA,E	110	3	2	1	51		28	67	35	32
MA, M	172	1	1	-	104	76	4.	4	1	3
GUAM	11	-	-	-	?	7	-	l i	1 1	ĩ
MI	2			-	1	ii		L	1	1

#### CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT

#### DURING THE TWELVE NUNTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (DTHER CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS CASES)

CIRCUIT AND	1	LAND	CONDEMNAT	ION		THER CIVIL		CRIMINAL		
DISTRICT	TOTAL	TOTAL	HON Jury	JURY	TOTAL	NGN- Jury	JURY	TOTAL	NON Jury	JURY
10Th	1,210	5	3	2	767	400	367	438	233	205
co	233	3	2	1	139	90	49	91	57 25	34
KS	201	- 1	- 1	-	152	87	65	) <b>49</b>	25	24
MH	222	1	1	-	93	60	33	128	71 27	57
OK, N	108	- 1	-	-	66	37	29	42		15
OK, E	104	- 1	- 1	-	66	28 37	38	34	20	18
OK, W	180	1 -	1 -	- 1	135		98	45	18	27
UT	105	- 1	- 1	-	68	43	25	37	11	26
MY	57	1	-	1	48	18	30	8	4	4
11TH	2,560	2	2	-	1,509	891	618	1,049	310	739
AL,N	310	2	2	~	260	153	107	48	22	26
AL, M	156	) -	- 1	-	113	61	52	43	11	32
AL, S	126	-	- 1	-	92	52	40	34	5	29
FL, N	212	-	-	-	72	47	25	140	66	74
FL, M	326	1 -	1 -	-	193	126	67	133	25	108
FL, S	799	- 1	- 1	-	318	237	81	481	117	364
GA, N	365	- (	- 1	-	252	122	130	116	42	74
GA.H	115	- 1	- 1	- 1	93	56	37	22	12	10
GA,5	145	- 1	- 1	- 1	116	37	79	32	1 10	22

NOTE:INCLUDES TRIALS CONDUCTED BY DISTRICT AND APPELLATE JUDGES DNLY. ALL TRIALS CONDUCTED BY HAGISTRATES ARE EXCLUDED. Includes hearings on temporary restraining orders and preliminary injunctions, hearings on contested motions and other contested proceedings in which evidence is introduced.

#### TABLE CB. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS LENGTH DF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY NATURE DF SUIT OR OFFENSE For the twelve month period ended June 30, 1988 (Includes trials of Miscellamedus Cases)

			N	ONJURY 1	RIALS				[		JURY T	RIALS			
WATURE OF SUIT DR OFFENSE	TOTAL ALL TRIALS	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 T0 9 Days	10 10 19 DAYS	20 DAYS AND DVER	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 TO 9 DAYS	10 TB 19 DAYS	20 DAYS AND DVER
TOTAL ALL TRIALS	19,901	10,303	6,964	1,700	739	775	92	33	9,598	1,277	2,306	1,991	3,345	508	171
TOTAL ALL CIVIL TRIALS	12,536	7,088	4,260	1,370	644	699	83	32	5,448	798	1,260	1,067	1,964	284	75
CONTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	2,735	1,714	1,007	346	147	188	21	5	1,021	135	254	187	365	62	18
INSURANCE MARINE. MILLER ACT. NEGDTIABLE INSTRUMENTS DTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	605 154 67 95 1,814	246 139 61 69 1,199	150 78 34 50 695	47 27 13 10 249	23 17 11 3 93	24 16 2 4 142	1 1 - 1 18	1 - 1 1 2	359 15 6 26 615	49 - 7 79	115 4 5 7 123	72 2 8 105	111 8 - 2 244	11 1 - 2 48	1 1 16
REAL PROPERTY, TOTAL	289	216	152	34	8	19	2	1	73	12	16	,	30	5	1
CONDEMNATION OF LAND Other Real Property	49 240	29 187	25 127	2 32	8	2 17	2	-1	20 53	2 10	4 12	3	11 19	5	ī
TORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	3,335	929	479	208	119	116	6	1	2,406	368	582	511	838	94	13
PERSONAL INJURY AIRPLANE ASBESTOS SSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER. EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. MARINE MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE.	57 43 80 208 369 681 1,575 322	22 6 21 25 144 136 397 178	10 3 11 11 67 80 187 110	2 	2 3 1 5 19 15 64 10	8 			35 37 59 183 225 545 1,178 144	5 7 6 37 39 97 158 19	11 10 11 39 69 171 246 25	6 2 9 38 61 117 237 41	9 12 27 66 53 150 471 50	4 5 5 3 3 10 58 6	1 1 - - 8 3
STATUTORY ACTIONS, TOTAL	5,754	3,820	2,248	758	364	373	53	24	1,934	274	408	360	728	121	43
ANTITRUST LAWS BANKRUPTCY CIVIL RIGHTS	90 122	51 104	26 83	9 11	6 1	8	1	1	39 18	1 2	<b>6</b>	3	14 6	12 1	8
EMPLOYMENT Other civil Rights Prisoner Petitions	1,195 1,379	747 698	265 410	208 147	139 65	115 64	16 9	4 3	448 681	32 87	89 152	107 119	188 289	28 26	4 8
MOTIONS TO VACATE HABEAS CORPUS CIVIL RIGHTS MANDAMUS, ETC FORFEITURE AND PENALTY LABOR LAMS FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT. LABOR MANAGEMENT	10 67 477 17 159 <b>95</b>	9 64 225 15 145 65	7 51 171 13 108 <b>28</b>	2 9 26 1 20 25	3 14 15 8	- 12 1 2 4	- 1 -	- 1 - -	1 3 252 2 14 30	- 94 1 2 5	- 73 1 5 7	45 5 11	- 1 39 - 2 7	1 - -	1
RELATIONS ACT	161 313	134 254	90 165	31 52	5 15	7 18	1 3	-	27 59	3 5	7 11	3 12	8 24	4 5	2

#### TABLE C8. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS LENGTH DF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY NATURE DF SUIT DR DFFENSE FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (INCLUDES TRIALS DF MISCELLANEDUS CASES)

	<u> </u>		N	URY	TRIALS						JURY T	RIALS			
NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE	TOTAL ALL TRIALS	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 TO 9 DAYS	10 TD 19 Days	20 DAYS AND DYER	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 TU 9 DAYS	10 T0 19 DAYS	20 DAYS AND OVER
PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS COPYRIGHT PATENT. TRADEMARK SECURITIES, COMMODITIES,	145 132 199	125 89 179	74 19 114	26 17 39	10 12 12	14 26 14	7	1 8 -	20 43 20	3 2 1	6 2 2	2 2 2	9 15 14	18 1	- 4 -
AND EXCHANGES SOCIAL SECURITY LAMS REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS CIVIL RICO	248 11 3 42 175	142 9 3 23 132	78 9 1 11 95	25 	14 	18 - - 2 10	6 - - 1	1	106 2 	- - 1 7	10 - - 4 9	12 	55 2 - 8 13	15 - 3	6 - 1
INTERSTATE COMMERCE Environmental Matters Other Statutory Actions	30 73 611	25 67 515	22 37 371	2 11 70	1 3 30	11 38	- 3 5	2	5 6 96	19	1 2 17	3 3 15	1 1 32		- - 7
DTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL TOTAL CRIMINAL TRIALS	423	409	374	24 330		3 76	9	1	14 4,150	9 479	- 1,046	924	3	2	96
HOMICIDE. Robbery. Assaut. Burglary. Larceny and Theft. Embezzlement.	68 273 138 25 330 150	24 113 44 13 149 63	19 101 36 10 131 51	3 10 6 3 11 6	- 2 1 - 2 3	2 			44 160 94 12 181 87	2 14 21 5 20 6	8 45 37 4 50 10	13 53 23 1 39 18	21 45 12 2 60 39	- 2 1 - 8 11	- - 4 3
FRAUD INCOME TAX OTHER FRAUD AUTO THEFT FURGERY COUNTERFEITING SEX OFFENSES DRUG LANS	237 851 61 174 105 119	69 322 24 99 44 61	56 249 22 86 36 50	9 42 1 10 4 5	2 12 1 2 3 5	1 15 1 1 1	1 4		168 52 <del>9</del> 37 75 61 58	7 48 4 14 8 8	17 95 9 25 16 18	27 106 13 14 10 9	96 200 11 20 25 23	15 56 2 2	6 24 - - -
MARIHNANA MARCDTICS CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES ESCAPE. Extortion, racketeering,	739 2,169 346 79	390 899 188 25	339 736 162 22	38 97 20 3	7 42 4	5 22 2	1 1 -	- 1 - -	349 1,270 158 54	56 121 16 12	100 345 39 20	92 300 38 13	87 436 51 7	10 52 10 2	4 16 4 -
AND THREATS. FIREARMS AND MEAPDNS MISC. GENERAL OFFENSES IMMIGRATION LAMS OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES	165 544 192 234 366	55 275 77 125 156	51 245 67 110 125	3 22 6 12 19	1 2 2 4	- 6 4 - 7	- - 1 1		110 269 115 109 210	6 49 20 25 17	15 106 13 39 35	10 56 22 29 38	54 49 45 15 83	15 5 11 - 22	10 4 4 1 15

NOTE:INCLUDES TRIALS CONDUCTED BY DISTRICT AND APPELLATE JUDGES ONLY. ALL TRIALS CONDUCTED BY MAGISTRATES ARE EXCLUDED. INCLUDES MEARINGS ON TEMPORARY RESTRINING ONDERS AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS, MEARINGS ON CONTESTED MOTIONS AND OTHER CONTESTED PROCEEDINGS IN MHICH EYIDENCE IS INTROVCED

#### Table C-9 U.S. District Courts Civil and Criminal Trials Terminated, Requiring 20 or More Days During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

			Number	Турс
	Real of the the tract	Notes of Decore News	Trial	of
District	Style of Case/Defendant	Nature of Proceeding	Days	Tria
	Civii C	ases		
NH	USA v Ottati & Goss Inc	Personal Injury-Product Liability		Non-j
rx,w	Bolton Etal v Tesoro Petr Etal.	Securities, Commodities, Exchange		Jury
L,N	Mirocenick v Cont Ill Corp Cipollone v Liggett Group	Securities, Commodities, Exchange		Jury
NJ CA,S	In Re: Nucorp Energy Securities	Personal Injury-Product Liability		Jury Jury
MA	Campbell v Zuckerman			Jury
W1,E	Milwaukee School Board v Wisconsin			Non-
10,E	Tiffany Corp v Siderugica	Contract		Non-
Γλ,Ν	No. Telecom Inc v Data Point Corp	Patent	67	Non-
SD	Bonine Etal v South Dakota Etal	Civil Rights	65	Jurv
(S	Kandy Man Sale v The Mediators Inc	Contract		Jury
NJ	J.I. Hass Co v Gilbane Bldg Co Phillips Etal v Grist Mill Co	Contract		Jury
CA,S	American Computech I v Nat'l Medical	Antitrust		Jury Jury
YA,E	Key Tronic Corp v Burroughs Corp.	Patent		Non-
CA.E	Nelson v Bennett	Antitrust-Electrical Equipment		Jury
OH,S	Thompson Etal v Midwest Foundation	Contract		Jury
(S	General Poly Corp v Allied Corp	Contract	42	Jury
∜A,₩	Odmark v Westside Bancorp Inc	Securities, Commodities, Exchange		Jury
<u>{5</u>	Graham v Wyeth Lab	Personal Injury-Product Liability		Jury
T	US Surgical Corp v Hosp Prod Int	Patent		Non-
PA,E	Versatile Metals v Union Corp Etal	Contract		Jury
(Y,E 11.W	Williams v Hall Etal	Personal injury Prisoner-Civil Rights		Jury Non-J
(S	Aguinaga v Morrell and Co	Labor/Management Relations Act		Jury
D	State of SD v Kansas City RR	Personal Injury		Jury
λΖ	Advanced Health v Baptist Hospital			Jury
CA,C	Hybritech Inc v Abbott Labs	Antitrust	37	Jury
CA,C	Murphy v Long Beach City		35	Jury
10,W	Independent FKT.A v TWA Inc	Railway Labor Act		Non-
IN	Nor West Cable Comm v St. Paul	Civil Rights		Jury
11	Kaiser Develop v Honolulu	Civil Rights		Jury
4J	United Pacific Ins v Borough Omaha Emply Betlerme v Omaha	Insurance		Non-J Jury
4A	Bordanaro v McLeod	Civil Rights		Jury
4N	Degidio v Perpich Etal	Civil Rights		Non-J
¥A,₩	Seven Gables Corp St v SRO	Antitrust		Jury
х,́S	Lloyd v Johan Manville Sales	Asbestos		Jury
11,E	Anderson v Mich Health Care Corp	Civil Rights, Jobs		Jury
:A,C	Picker Int'l Inc v Hurwitz	Contract		Jury
0	Johnson v Chilcott	Securities, Commodities, Exchange		Jury
L.M	Meriwether v Coughlin Gould, Gordon v Control Laser Corp	Prisoner Petitions-Habeas Corpus Patent		Jury Jury
L,S	Eugene Steele v Morgan Yacht Inc	Antitrust		Jury
Y.S	Olympia & York Etal v Insur Co Etal	Contract		Jury
IN	Northside Inc v Ford Motor Corp	Contract		Jury
A,S	USA v Blake Construction Co	Miller Act	27	Jury
A,W	J. Davis Eta) v Mason Co	Assault, Libel, and Slander		Jury
41, W	Senmed Inc v Allen Medical Inc	Patent		Jury
A,C	Four Seasons Solar P v Calif Solar	Copyright		Non-J
CA,C	Prudential Bache v Wilmington Fed	Contract		Jury Non-J
T	State of Colorado v Ioarado Mining	Environmental Matters		Non-J
A.W	Pittsburgh Nat'l Bank v Becket Assoc	Contract		Jury
X.N	Trans Western v Allstate Ins Etal.	Antitrust		Jury
L.N	Wilk v American Medical Assoc	Antitrust		Non-J
CA,N	Int'l Aquatics Equip v Hoffinger	Antitrust	25	Jury
A,N	Schwartz v Park Hills Assoc	Securities, Commodities, Exchange		Non-J
CA,C	MacDonald v McGinnis	Personal Injury	25	Jury

# Table C-9 U.S. District Courts Civil and Criminal Trials Terminated, Regulring 20 or More Days During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988 (continued)

District         Style of Case/Defendant         Nature of Proceeding         I           Civil Cases (continued)         Cluid Cases (continued)         Contract         Cont	Trial Davs	Type
VT		Trial
N1.       California Natural i v Nestle Hold       Contract         M1.W       Beasley v MI Dept of Trans       Civil Rights, Jobs         IA,S.       Nordbrook Etal v Egar Etal       Constitutionality of State Statutes         CA,N       Natl Assoc Etal v Elar Stat       Constitutionality of State Statutes         CA,C.       Jayfar v E I DuPoni Etal       Antitrust       Jobs         KS.       James M. Chancellor v The Boeing Co       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.         KS.       James M. Chancellor v The Boeing Co       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.         MA       Dedham Waler Co v Cumberland       Tort's To Land         MA       Mille v Tren of Hull, Mass.       Civil Rights.         NI,W.       Hawrin In c Steeclase Inc.       Patent         MA,E.       Bower v Gunker Mill Co       Enalts         MA,E.       Bower v Gunker Mill Co       Enalts         MA,W.       HUI Etal v Meneil Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         MA       US Etal v George Hyman Const       Miller Act         MA,S.       FDIC v United Central Bank       Banks and Banking         A,S.       FDIC v United Central Bank       Banks and Banking         CA,N       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA,S.		
NJ.       California Natural jv Nestle Hold       Contract         MJ.W.       Beasley V MI Dept of Trans       Civil Rights, Jobs         A.S.       Nordbrook Etal v Egar Etal       Constitutionality of States Statutes         CA,N       Natl Assoc Etal v Walters Etal       Constitutionality of States Statutes         CA,C       Jayfar v E I DuPoni Etal       Antitrust         S.       Jammes M. Chancellor v The Boeing Co       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.         CS.       Jammes M. Chancellor v The Boeing Co       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.         MA       Decham Waler Co v Cumberland       Tort's To Land         MA       Decham Waler Co v Cumberland       Tort's To Land         MA       Decham Waler Co v Cumberland       Civil Rights.         MA       Decham Waler Co v Noch Engr Co Inc.       Contract         MUL       Hawrin NcDimey v Montedoro Whilney       Patent         A.C       Bowser v Buker Hüll Co.       ERIS,         MA,W       US Heai Uscernos       Fails Advertising         A.S       Bower v Buker Hüll Co.       ERIS,         MA,W       Hül Etal v Meneill Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         MA       US Heai Uscernos       Fails Advertising         A.S       FDIC v United Centrai Benk <td< td=""><td>. 24</td><td>Jury</td></td<>	. 24	Jury
MI,W       Beasley v MI Dept of Trans       Civil Rights, Jobs         A.S.       Nordbrook Elai v Eigne Etal       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.         CA,N       Natl Assoc Etal v Walters Etal       Constitutionality of State Statutes         CA,C.       Jaynes M. Chancellor v The Boeing Co       Civil Rights, Jobs         CN,N.       Gen Elec Go V W.M. Smith Elec Co       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.         NA       Dedham Waler Co V Cumberland       Torts To Land         MA       Miller v Tern of Hull, Mass.       Civil Rights.         NY,F.       LiXI Realty Cor, v Incorp Wilage       Civil Rights         MI,W       Hawathy Monedoro Withey       Patent         MO,L.       Monsanto Co v Koch Engr Co Inc       Contract         MA,E.       Bower v Bunker Hill Co.       ERISA         MA,L.       Walt v Merell Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         VA,E.       Bower v Bunker Hill Co.       ERISA         MA,W       US Etal v George Hyman Const       Miller Act         VA,E.       US Healtware Ine v Blue Cross       False Advertising         A,S.       FDIC v United Central Bank       Banks and Bunking         A,S.       FDIC V United Central Bank       Banks and Bunking         A,S.       FDIC V United Central Bank		Jury
IAS.Nordbrook Etal v Elgar EtalFraud of Truth-In-Lending.CAN.Natl Assoc Etal v Walters EtalConstitutionality of State StatutesCA,C.Jayfar v E 1 DuPont EtalAntitrust.CS.James M. Chancellor v The Boeing Co.Ciwil Rights. Jobd.CS.Gen Elec Go v W.M. Smith Elec Co.Fraud of Truth-In-Lending.WADedham Waler Co v CumberlandTorts To LandMADedham Waler Co v Uncorp Village.Civil Rights.NY,F.LXII Realty Cor. v Incorp Village.Civil Rights.NI,h.Haworh In cv Steelcase IncPatent.MOLL.Monsanto Cov Koch Engr Co Inc.ContractCA,C.Marsh MeDirney v Montedoro WhitneyPatent.NA,E.Bower v Banker Hill Co.ERISABower v Banker Hill Co.ERISAMA,W.Hill Etal v Mereil Dow Phar EtalPersonal Injury-Product Liability.MA,W.Hill Etal v Mereil Bow Phar EtalPersonal Injury-Product Liability.MA,W.Hill Etal v Mereil Bow Phar EtalBanks and Banking.A,S.FDIC v United Central BankBanks and Banking.A,S.FDIC v United Central BankBanks and Banking.A,S.FDIC v United Central BankBanks and Banking.CA,N.Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/MonarchLabor/Management Report & DisclosureCA,S.In Ret Club Dev Mark if Yrop.Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801CA,S.Norsul Ol Etal v ReabhCivil Rights. Jobs.CA,S.Norsul Ot Personal Injury-Product Liability.CA,K.Bankruptey Appeals		Non-J
CA,N.Natl Assoc Etal v Walters EtalConstitutionality of State StatutesCA,C.Jayfar v E i DuPont EtalAntitrustCA,C.James M, Chancellor v The Boeing CoCivil Rights, JobsDK,N.Gen Etec Co v W.M. Smith Etec CoFraud of Truth-In-Lending.MADecham Waler Co V CumberlandTorts To LandMAMiller v Tr + n of Hull, Mass.Civil RightsMAMiller v Tr + n of Hull, Mass.Civil RightsMA.Molecham Waler Co V CumberlandCorts To LandMA.Miller v Steelcase IncPatentMO,E.Monsanto Co v Koch Engr Co Inc.ContractA,C.Mark McDilmey v Montedoro WhitneyPatentA,C.Mark McDilmey v Montedoro WhitneyPatentA,C.Mark McDumey v Montedoro WhitneyPatentA,C.Mark McDumey Montedoro WhitneyPatentA,C.Mark McDumey Montedoro WhitneyPatentA,C.Mark McMark Miller ActERISAA,A.Hill Etal v Mereil Dow Phar EtalPersonal Injury-Product LiabilityMAUS Etal v George Hyman ConstMiller ActA,S.FDIC v United Central Bark .Barks and BarkingA,S.FDIC v White Central BorkBarks and BarkingA,S.FDIC v White Central BorkLabor/Management Relations ActA,S.FDIC v White Central BorkContractA,S.FDIC v White Central BorkContractA,S.FDIC v White Central BorkPatentA,S.Notesu O ept Health & Reha'Civil Rights, Jobs </td <td></td> <td>Jury</td>		Jury
CA,C.       Jayfar v E I DuPont Etal       Antitrust         SS.       James M. Chancellor v The Boeing Co       Civil Rights, Joba         OK,N.       Gen Elec Co v W.M. Smith Elec Co       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.         MA       Dedham Water Co v Cumberland       Tort To Land         MA       Miller V Tr n of Hull, Mass.       Civil Rights.         NY,E       K.XI Realty Coc v Incorp Vilege       Civil Rights.         M(M.       Haworb The V Stelesse Inc       Patent         MO,L.       Monsanto Co v Koch Engr Co Inc       Contract         A.C.       Mark McDimey V Montedoro Wilney       Patent         XA.E.       Bower v Bunker Hill Co.       ERISA         WA,W       Hill Etal v Mereill Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         VA.E.       US Healthcare Inc v Blue Cross       False Advertising         A,S.       FDIC v United Gentral Bank       Banks and Banking         A,S.       FDIC v United Gentral Bank       Banks and Banking         CA,C.       Bender v Wholesale Retall Food       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA,S.       In Ret Club Dev w Mark IV Prop       Banks and Banking         CA,S.       In Ret Club Dev Mark IV Prop       Banks and Banking         CA,A.       Hardwicke, Harold Tev PrA/Mona		Non-J
KS		Jury
DR, N       Gen Elec Go V W.M. Smith Elec Co		Jury
MA.       Deckham Water Co v Cumberland       Torts To Land         MA.       Müler V Ter no f Hull, Mass.       Civil Rights         NY, F.       E.21 Realty Coc v Incorp Village       Civil Rights         NY, F.       Miker V Steelcase Inc.       Patent         MO, E.       Monstanto Co V Koch Engr Co Inc.       Contract         CA, C.       Marsh McDinney v Montedoro Whitney       Patent         XA, E.       Bower V Bunker Hill Co.       ERISA         MA, W.       Hill Edal v Meneil Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         MA.       US Etal v Reneil Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         MA.       US Healthcare Inc v Blue Cross.       False Advertising         A.S.       FDIC v United Central Bank       Banks and Banking         A.S.       FDIC v United Central Bank       Banks and Banking         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA, S.       Bender v Wholesale Retal Food       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA, S.       Bender v Wholesale Retal Food       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA, S.       Nords v Dept Health & Reha <sup>h</sup> Civil Rights.         T. Smith v Descret Med       Patent       Sochtast		Jury
MA.       Miller v Tren of Hull, Mass.       Civil Rights.         NY, F.       Lill Realty Cor, v Incorp Vilage       Civil Rights.         NY, F.       Haworth Inc v Steelcase Inc.       Patent.         MO, L.       Monsanto Co V Koch Engr Co Inc.       Contract.         SAC.       Marsh McDinmey V Montedoro Whitney.       Patent.         WA, E.       Bower V Bunker Hill Co.       ERISA         WA, E.       Bower V Bunker Hill Co.       ERISA         WA, W.       Hill Etal v Merell Dow Phar Etal.       Personal Injury-Product Liability.         DC       Ealy V Richardson-Merrell.       Personal Injury-Product Liability.         SA.       US Etal v George Hyman Const.       Miller Act.         AA, S.       FDIC v United Central Bank.       Banks and Bunking.         AA, S.       FDIC v United Central Bank.       Banks and Bunking.         AA, S.       FDIC v United Central Bank.       Banks and Bunking.         AA, S.       FDIC v United Central Bank.       Banks and Bunkuptey Appeals Rule 801         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold wark IV Prop.       Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801         AA, S.       FDIC v United Central Bank.       Contract         JK. W Ceparano, J v SFHC, Inc       Contract       Contract         L. Noresul Oli Etal v Texno In		Non-J
NY, F.       E.XII Realty Cor, vincorp Wilage.       Civil Rights         MI, K.       Hisworth Inc v Steclesse Inc       Patent         MO, E.       Mossanto Co v Koch Engr Co Inc.       Contract         CA, C.       Marsh McDiumey v Montedoro Whitney       Patent         NO, E.       Bower v Banker Hill Co.       ERISA         MA, W.       Hill Edi v Mereill Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         OC       Ealy V Richardson-Merreil.       Personal Injury-Product Liability         MA.       US Etal v George Hyman Const       Miller Act         A, S.       FDIC v United Central Bank       Banks and Banking         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PX/Monarch       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA, C.       Bender v Wholesale Relat Pood.       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA, S.       In Rev Club Dov Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptrey Appeals Rule 801         <		Jury
NI, W       Haworth Inc v Sicelcase Inc       Patent         MO, L.       Monsanto Co v Koch Engr Co Inc.       Contract         MO, L.       Monsanto Co v Koch Engr Co Inc.       Contract         MA, C.       Bower v Bunker Hill Co.       ERISA         WA, W.       Hill Etal v Merell Dow Phar Étal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         DC       Ealy v Richardson-Merrell.       Personal Injury-Product Liability         DC       Ealy v Richardson-Merrell.       Personal Injury-Product Liability         PA, E.       US Healthcare Inc v Blue Cross       False Advertsing         FA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Banks and Banking         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA, N.       Hardwicke, Harold Keta       Patent         CA, N.       Chubes v Beyt Health & Reha <sup>b</sup> Civil Rights, Jobs         CL, S.       Norsul Oll Eial v Texaco Inc       Age Discrimination         FL, N.       Worlds v Degt Health & Reha <sup>b</sup> Civil Rights, Jobs         CL, S.       Norsul Oll Eial v Texaco Inc       Patent		Jury
MO,L       Monsanio Co v Koch Engr Co Ine.       Contract         CA,C       Marsh McDimey v Montedoro Whitney.       Patent         WA,K.       Bower v Bunker Hül Co       ERISA         WA,K.       Hüll Etal v Meneil Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         DC       Ealy V Richardson-Merrell.       Personal Injury-Product Liability         MA.       US Etal v George Hyman Const       Müller Act         MA.       US Etal v George Hyman Const       Müller Act         A,S.       FDIC v United Central Benk       Banks and Banking         CA,N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA,S.       in Re: Club Dev y Mark IV Prop.       Banks and Banking         CA,S.       in Re: Club Dev y Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptex Appeals Rule 801         CA,S.       in Re: Club Dev y Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptex Appeals Rule 801         CA,S.       worlds v Dept Health & Reha <sup>h</sup> Civil Rights, Jobs         CL,N.       Worlds V Dept Health & Reha <sup>h</sup> Civil Rights, Jobs         CL,N.       Worlds V Maot Tech Inc.       Patent         A.       Smith V Bass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination.         A,Z.       Norsul Oli Etal V Texaco Inc       Age Discrimination.         A,		Non-Ji
CA.C.       Marsh McDimey v Moniedoro Whitney       Patent         WA.E.       Bower v Banker Hill Co.       ERISA         WA.F.       Bower v Banker Hill Co.       ERISA         WA.W.       Hill Etal v Mereill Dow Phar Etal       Personal Injury-Product Liability         DC       Ealy v Richardson-Merrell       Personal Injury-Product Liability         YA.E.       US Heal V George Hyman Const       Miller Act         PA.E.       US Healthcare Inc V Blue Cross       False Advertising         CA.N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA.C.       Bender v Wholesale Retall Food       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA.C.       Bender v Wholesale Retall Food       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA.S.       In Re: Club Dev v Mark IV Prop       Bankruptcy Appeals Rule 801         CA.S.       Norsul Oil Etal v Texaco Inc       Contract         T.       Smith v Descret Med       Patent         CLS.       Norsul Oil Etal v Texaco Inc       Contract         A.A.       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         A.A.       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         A.A.       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Patent         J.N.       Schachar Et		Jury
AYA,E		Non-J
WA,W.       Hüll Etal v Mereill Dow Phar Etal.       Personal Injury-Product Liability.         DC.       Ealy v Richardson-Mereill.       Personal Injury-Product Liability.         WA       US Etal v George Hyman Const.       Miller Act         WA       US Etal v George Hyman Const.       Miller Act         CA,E.       US Heatthcare inc v Blue Cross       False Advertising         CA,S.       FDIC v United Central Bank       Banks and Banking         CA,N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA,C.       Bender v Wholesate Retall Food       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA,S.       in Re: Club Dev v Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptcy Appeals Rule 801         CA,S.       Smith v Deseret Med       Patent         CH,N.       Worlds v Dept Health & Reha <sup>+</sup> Civil Rights. Jobs         CL,N.       Worlds v Dept Health & Reha <sup>+</sup> Civil Rights. Jobs         CA,E.       Mixing Equip v Innova Teeh Inc       Patent         CA,C.       Millionaire v Vandom       Contract         AA,C.       Jensen Volabdocp       Patent         A.R.       Schachne Etal v Amer Academy Etal       Antitrust-Sherman Act         LN.       Schachne Etal v Amer Academy Etal       Antitrust-Sherman Act <t< td=""><td></td><td>Jury</td></t<>		Jury
DC       Ealy v Richardson-Merrell.       Personal Injury-Product Liability.         VA.       US Etal v George Hyman Const.       Miller Act.         PA,E.       US Healthcare Inc v Blue Cross.       False Advertising.         PA,E.       Hardwicke, Harold Central Bank.       Banks and Bunking.         A,S.       FDIC v United Central Bank.       Banks and Bunking.         CA,N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch.       Labor/Management Relations Act.         CA,C.       Bender v Wholesale Retall Food.       Labor/Management Relations Act.         CA,S.       In Ret. Club Dev v Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801.         CA,S.       Smith v Deseret Med.       Patent.         T.       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech.       Age Discrimination.         CA,C.       Mixing Equip v Innova Tech Inc.       Patent.         A,A.       Schachar Etal v Amer Academy Etal       Antitrust-Sherman Act.         CA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Corp.       Patent.         CA,C.       Jensen v Horda Motor Co.       Personal Injury-Product Liability.         CA,C.       Jensen v Log Cauk Co.       Patent.         CA,C.       Jensen v Lolab Corp.       Civit Rights. Jobe.         CC.       Cox v Consolidated RR Corp.       Patent.         CA,C.       J		Jury
MA.       US Etal y George Hyman Const.       Miller Act.         PALE.       US Healthcare line v Blue Cross       False Advertising.         A.S.       FDIC v United Central Bank       Banks and Banking         CA,N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act.         CA,N.       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA,S.       Bender w Wholesale Retall Food.       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA,S.       In Re: Club Dev Wark (V Prop.       Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801         CA,S.       In Re: Club Dev Mark (V Prop.       Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801         CA,S.       Worlds V Dept Health & Reha <sup>h</sup> Civil Rights, Jobs         T.       Smith v Descret Med       Patent       Jobs.         T.N.       Worlds V Dept Health & Reha <sup>h</sup> Civil Rights, Jobs       Jobs.         T.A,S.       Norsul Oll Elal v Texaco Inc       Age Discrimination.       Patent         A,A.       Mixing Equip v Innova Tech Inc.       Patent .       Patent .         A,A.       Mixing Equip v Innova Tech Inc.       Patent .       Patent .         A,C.       Jobsef v Honda Motor Co.       Personal Injury-Product Llability .       Patent .         A,C.       Jobsef v Honda Motor Co. <td< td=""><td></td><td>Jury</td></td<>		Jury
PA,E       US Healthcare Inc V Blue Cross       False Adventising         A,S       FDIC V United Central Bonk.       Banks and Banking         CA,N       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA,N       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA,C       Bender v Wholesale Retall Food       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA,S       In Ret Club Dev v Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801         XK.W.       Ceparano, J v SFHC, Inc.       Contract         T       Smith v Descret Med       Patent         TL,S.       Norsul Oli Eial v Texaco Inc.       Age Discrimination.         Z,S.       Norsul Oli Eial v Texaco Inc.       Age Discrimination.         A,L.       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech.       Age Discrimination.         A,L.       Schachar Eial v Amer Academy Etal       Antitrust-Sherman Act         A,C.       Jensen Volab Cocp.       Patent         CJ,C.       Jensen Volab Cocp.       Patent         JT       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co.       Personal Injury-Product Llability.         JC.       Conx v Consolidated RR Corp.       Clvil Rights, Jobe         JC.       Wesley Theological E v US (Jypum       Torts to Land.		Non-ju
A.S       FDIC v United Central Benk       Banks and Banking         CA,N       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA,C       Bender v Wholesale Retall Food       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA,S       in Re: Club Dev v Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801         CA,S       in Re: Club Dev v Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801         Ch,K.       Ceparano, J v SFIC, Inc       Contract         T.       Smith v Deseret Med       Patent         LN.       Worlds v Dept Health & Reha <sup>h</sup> Civil Rights, Jobs         LA,S.       Norsul GU Elal v Texaco Inc       Age Discrimination         AA       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         AA,E.       Mixing Equip v Innova Tech Inc       Patent         AA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Corp       Patent         CA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Corp       Patent         CA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Corp       Patent         CC       Cox v Consolidated RR Corp.       Civil Rights, Jobe         DC       Cox v Consolidated RR Corp.       Civil Rights, Jobe         DE       Dragan v L.D. Caulk Co       Patent         XA,S.       Intec Systems v Medironic Inc.       Patent      <		Jury
CA,N       Hardwicke, Harold E v PYA/Monarch       Labor/Management Relations Act         CA,C       Bender v Wholesale Retail Food.       Labor/Management Report & Diaclosure         CA,S       in Re: Club Dav v Mark IV Prop.       Bankruptey Appeals Rule 801         CR,W.       Ceparano, J v SFHC, Inc.       Contract         T       Smith v Descret Med.       Patent         T       Worlds v Dept Health & Rehab       Civil Rights, Jobs.         CL,N.       Worlds v Dept Health & Rehab       Contract         A.S.       Norsul Oil Elat v Texaco Inc.       Contract         A.A.       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech.       Age Discrimination.         YA,E.       Mixing Equip v Innova Tech Inc.       Patent         A.C.       Millionaire v Vandom       Contract         A.C.       Jensen Volab Cocp.       Patent         ZA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Cocp.       Patent         JT       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co.       Personal Injury-Product Liability.         DC       Cox v Consolidated RR Corp.       Civil Rights, Jobs         DC       Wesiey Theological E v US Qypsum       Torts to Land.         DE       Dragan v L.D. Caulk Co.       Patent         XA,S.       Intec Systems v Medironic Inc.       Patent		Jury
CA.C       Bender v Wholesale Retall Food       Labor/Management Report & Disclosure         CA.S       in Ret. Club Dev v Mark (V Prop		Jury
CA,S		Jury
Dr. W       Ceparano, J v SFHC, Inc.       Contract         2T       Smith v Doseret Med       Patent         2L, N       Worlds v Dept Health & Rehab       Civil Rights, Jobs         L, N       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         A.       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         A.       Schachar Etal v Amer Academy Etal       Antitrust-Sherman Act         A.C.       Mülionaire v Vandom       Contract         CA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Corp       Patent         C.A.       Patent       Contract         CA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Corp       Patent         CA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Corp       Patent         C.       Contract       Patent         CA,C.       Jensen v Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co       Personal Injury-Product Liability         DC       Cox v Consolidated RR Corp       Civil Rights, Jobe         DC       Wesley Theological E v US Gypsum       Torts to Land         DE       Dragan v L.D. Caulk Co       Patent         X,A       Fireman's Fund Ina v Irving Sav       Negotiable Instruments         X,S       Ist Smail Bus Invest v Butler Etal       Securities Fraud         Alw       Harutkowicz v Handion       Civil Rights, Jobe <td></td> <td>Non-J</td>		Non-J
T.       Smith v Desret Med       Patent         FL,N       Worlds v Dept Health & Rehab       Civil Rights, Jobs         LS       Norsul Oli Elai v Texaco Ine       Contract         MA       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         MA       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         MA       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         MA       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination         MA       Smith v More Tech Ine       Patent         L,N       Schachar Etal v Amer Academy Etal       Antitrust-Sherman Act         A,C       Jensen v Iolab Cocp       Patent         JC       Jensen v Iolab Cocp       Patent         JT       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co       Personal Ingv-Product Liability         JC       Cox v Consolidated RR Corp       Civil Rights, Jobe         JC       Wesley Theological E v US Gypsum       Torts to Land         DE       Dragan v L.D. Caulk Co       Patent         X,A       Fireman's Fund Ins v Irving Sav       Negotiable Instruments         X,S       Ist Smith Bus Invest v Bulter Etal       Securities Fraud         Mk       Hartukowicz v Handion       Civil Rights, Jobe         H,N       Zamien Etal v City		Jury
L, N		Non-Ji
L.S		Non-Ji
AA       Smith v Mass Inst of Tech       Age Discrimination.         PA,E       Mixing Equip v Innova Tech Inc       Patent.         PA,E       Schachar Elal v Amer Academy Etal       Patent.         CA,C       Schachar Elal v Amer Academy Etal       Antitrust-Sherman Act         CA,C       Jensen v Iolab Cocp.       Patent         T       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co       Personal Intru-Product Liability         JC       Cox v Consolicited RR Corp.       Civil Rights, Joba         DC       Wesley Theological E v US Gypsum       Torts to Land         DE       Dregan v L.D. Caulk Co       Patent         XA,K       Fireman's Fund Ins v Irving Sav       Negotiable Instruments         XX,S.       Ist Smail Bus Invest v Buller Etal       Securities Fraud d.         Al,W.       Hartukowicz v Handion       Civil Rights, Jobe         DH,N       Zamien Etal v City of Cleveland       Civil Rights.         LN       Ellasen v Hamilton       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.		Non-J
PA,E       Mixing Equip v Innova Tech Inc	. 21	Jury
1.N       Schachar Etal v Amer Academy Etal       Antitrust-Sherman Act         CA,C.       Mülionaire v Vandom       Contract         CA,C.       Jensen v Iolab Cocp       Patent         Jensen v Iolab Cocp       Patent       Initrust-Sherman Act         UT       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co       Personal Injury-Product Liability         UT       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co       Personal Injury-Product Liability         DC       Cox v Consolidated RR Corp       Civil Rights, Jobe         DC       Wesley Theological E v US Gypsum       Torts to Land         DE       Dragan v L.D. Caulk Co       Patent         XA,F.       Intec Systems v Medironic Inc.       Patent         XX,N       Fireman's Fund Ins v Irving Sav       Negotiable Instruments         XY,S.       Ist Smail Bus Invest v Butler Etal       Securities Fraud d.         Al,W       Harlukowicz v Handion       Civil Rights, Jobe         HA,N       Zamien Etal v City of Cleveland       Civil Rights.         LN       Ellasen v Hamilton       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.		Jury
ZA,C       Müllionaire v Vandom       Contract         ZA,C       Jensen v Iolab Corp.       Patent         JT       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co       Patent         JT       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co       Patent         JG       Cox v Consolidated RR Corp.       Civil Rights, Jobe         JC       Wesiey Theological E v US Gypsum       Torts to Land         DE       Dragan v L.D. Caulk Co.       Patent         XA,E       Intec Systems v Medironic Inc.       Patent         XX,N       Fireman's Fund Ins v Irving Sav       Negotiable Instruments         XX,S       Isi Small Bus Invest v Buller Etal       Securities Fraud.         IA,W       Harlukowicz v Handion       Civil Rights, Jobe         HA,W.       Zamien Etal v City of Cleveland       Civil Rights, Lobe         LN       Ellasen v Hamilton       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.		Jury
ZA,C       Jensen v Iolab Cocp       Patent.         JT       R.V. Kloepfer v Honda Motor Co       Personal Injury-Product Liability         Cox v Consolidated RR Corp       Civil Rights, Jobe.         CC       Wesley Theological E v US Gypsum       Torts to Land.         DF       Dragan v L.D. Caulk Co       Putent         A,E.       Intec Systems v Medironic Inc.       Patent         XX,N       Fireman's Fund ins v Irving Sav       Negoliable Instruments         XX,S       Ist Smail Bus Invest v Builter Etal       Securities Fraud d.         di,W       Hartukowicz v Handion       Civil Rights, Jobs.         DH,N       Zamien Etal v City of Cleveland       Civil Rights.         LN       Ellasen v Hamilton       Fraud of Truth-In-Lending.	. 21	Jury
JT       R.V. Kloepfer v Hönda Motor Co		Non-J
CC		Jury
DE       Dregon v L.D. Caulk Co       Potent         A,E       Intec Systems v Medironic inc.       Patent         IX,N       Fireman's Fund Ins v Irving Sav       Negotiable Instruments.         X,S       Ist Smail Bus Invest v Buller Etal       Securities Fraud         II,W       Hartukowicz v Handion       Civil Rights, Jobe         HA,N       Zamien Etal v City of Cleveland       Civil Rights, Lobe         LN       Ellasen v Hamilton       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.		Non-Ju
DF       Dregan v L.D. Caulk Co       Potent         A,E       Intec Systems v Meditonic inc.       Patent         XX,N       Fireman's Fund Ins v Irving Sav       Negotiable Instruments         XX,S       Ist Smail Bus Invest v Builter Etal       Securities Fraud         Alw       Hartukowicz v Handion       Civil Rights, Jobe         HA,N       Zamien Etal v City of Cleveland       Civil Rights, Landing.         LN       Ellasen v Hamilton       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.	, 20	Jury
A, E.       intec Systems v Meditronic inc.       Patent.         YK, N.       Fireman's Fund ina v Irving Sav       Negotiable Instruments.         X, S.       Ist Smail Bus Invest v Buller Etal       Securities Fraud.         AI, W.       Hartukowicz v Hendion       Civil Rights, Jobe.         DH, N.       Zamien Etal v City of Cleveland       Civil Rights.         LN       Eliasen v Hamilton       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.	, 20	Non-Ju
X, N       Fireman's Fund Ins v Irving Sav	. 20	Jury
H.W. Harlukowicz v Handlon. Civil Rights, Jobs. DH,N. Zamien Etal v City of Cleveland Civil Rights. LN. Elusen v Hamilton. Fraud or Truth-In-Lending.	. 20	Non-Ju
H.W. Harlukowicz v Handlon Civil Rights, Jobs. DH,N. Zamlen Etal v City of Cleveland Civil Rights Linsen v Hamilton Fraud or Truth-In-Lending	. 20	Jury
H,N       Zamlen Etal v City of Cieveland       Civil Rights         L,N       Eliasen v Hamilton       Fraud or Truth-In-Lending		Non-Ji
L,N Eliasen y Hamilton Fraud or Truth-In-Lending		Jury
		Jury
L.N 1st Comics lnc v World Color Press Antitrust		Jury
CA,N EEOC v Pan Am Civil Rights, Jobs		Jury
A.C Betanzos v Huntsinger Securities, Commodities, Exchange		Jury
A,W Nat'l Union Fr Ins v Seafirst Corp Insurance		Jury
JT Union Pacific RR v St Tax Comm	. 20	Non-Ju
ALS Davis v Mobile Consortium	20	Non-Ji
Crittinal Cases		

NY, E	Ruggierc, Angelo	Intimidation witnesses, jurors	6 Jury
FL,M	Lehderrivas, Carlos E	Narcotics	B Jury
NY,S	Shakur, Hutulu	Robbery, Bank	5 Jury
MA	The Larouche Campaign	Intimidation witnesses, jurors	2 Jury
NJ	DiGillo, John	Fraud, Bankruptcy 75	5 Jury
NY,S	Coonan, James	Narcotics	l Jury

τ	ab	le	c.	-9	

## U.S. District Courts U.S. District Courts Civil and Criminal Trials Terminated, Requiring 20 or More Days During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988 (continued)

			Number oî	Туре
District	Style of Case/Defendant	Nature of Proceeding	Trial Days	of Trial
	Crimin	al Cases (continued)		
NY,E	Schwartz, Solomon			Jury
OH,N	Susacca, Salvatores			Jury
CA,S	Linder, James			Jury
MD	Klein, Gerald S			Jury
NY,E NY,S	Alexander, Andy F.			Jury Jury
IL.,N	Bailey, Forest			Jury
NY,S	Langela, Gennaro			Jury
N	Kepner, Thomas F			Jury
PA, W	Felanick, Daniel R., Sr	Fraud	. 43	Jury
ΤΧ,Ν	Helms, Carl Ray	Fraud, Postal		Jury
NY,F	Gallo, Joseph N			Jury
PA.F	Traitz, Stephen Jr.			Jury
KS	Daily, Sammy G.	Fraud, Postal	41	Jury
MN	Showalter, Donald			Jury
TX,S	Moody, Shearn	Fraud, Postal	39 38	Jury Jury
NY,S TX,W	Allred, William R.			Jury
FL,5	Estrada, Armando	Narcolics		Jury
11.8	Lopez, Osear	Racketeering, Ars(n	36	Jury
1L.N	Ogundipe, Folorunsho	Narcotics		Non-Jury
NY.S	Fuceino, Lwbolux		34	Jury
FL,M	Williams, Charles Champ			Jury
NY,S	Aiel., Elizabeth			Jury
MI,E	Labine, Robert C			Jury
IL,N	Fori, Jess			Jury.
AR.W	Miles, Robert Edward			Jury
WA, W	Smith, Jerry D.	Frauc, Postal	33	Jury
DC	Deaver, Michael K.			Jury
VA,E IL,N	Allegheny Bottling Co	Emberglement Bank	·· 32	Jury Jury
FL,M	Garrett, Leonard C.			Jury
MA	King, Christopher Everett			Jury
NY,S	Clark, David W.C.			Jury
CA.C	Semler, Monte Barry	Trading with the Enemy Act	. 31	Jury
PA, E	Jackson, Carl			Jury
TX,S	Wallace, Wilton			Jury
IL,N	Byrski, Martin	Extortion, Racketeering, & Threats	30	Jury
MD	Silvers, Steven A			Jury
NY,E	Londono, Miguel, This is a second second second second			Jury
КҮ.Е 11., N	Thicls, Leo D Chao, Vincente	Nepostics	·· 27 ·· 27	Jury Jury
CA,C	Brandon, Laurence			Jury
ні	Chang, Wallace Kau	Fraud, Postal		Jury
TX.N	Bryan, James G.			Jury
ΨТ	Nichols, Scott L.			Jury
MA	St. Michaels Credit Union	Fraud	25	Jury
TX,N	McCielland, Benjamin C	Explosives (Except on Vessels)		Jury
MI,E	Hoskow, Sanford			Jury
GA,N	Caraballolujan, Carlos			Jury
NJ	Zolp, Marshall A.			Jury
NJ	Biberfeld, Alfred G			Jury
WLE NV	Doerr, John Paul			Jury Jury
pc ,	Dorsey, Thomas E.			Jury
DC	Nofziger, Franklyn C.	Bribery	23	Jury
ŇY,N	NY Patriotic Society			Jury
NY,S	Farrell, Richard Edward			Jury

Table C-9
U.S. District Courts
Civil and Criminal Trials Terminated, Requiring 20 or More Days
During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988
(continued)

	(cont	Inued)		
District	Style of Case/Defendant	Nature of Proceeding	Number of Trial Days	Type of Triel
	Crimin	a) Cases (continued)		
Y,W	Hoskins, George W	Fraud, Postal	23	Jury
J	Fiorilla, John	Immigration Laws		Jury
Y,W	Alson, Benito Sr	Narcotics	23	Jury
I,E	Milton, Frederick A.,	Bribery		Jury
1, ₩	Jerkins, Joseph J	Fraud, Income Tax		Jury
fl,N	Hornak, Alexander G	Fraud, income Tax	23	Jury
N,.	Stelten, Norbert E	Fraud, Conspiracy to Defraud		Jury
1	Dickie, Jack L	Fraud	23	Jury
A,W	Beebe, Herman K. Sr	Embezziement, Bank		Jury
Y,E	Lawson, Carl Jr	Firearms & Weapons		Jury
H,N	Plickert, Thomas H	Narcotics	22	Jury
	Caliendo, Anthony Phillip	Racketeering, Prostitution	22	Jury
С	Fafowora, Steve	Narcotics		Jury
A	Frankhauser, Roy	Intimidation witnesses, jurors	21	Jury
Α	Wallen, Francis M	Fraud, Income Tax		Jury
Y,S	Gurary, Schnejer Z	Fraud, Income Tax	21	Jury
1,E	Juncaj, Luvigj	Narcotics	21	Jury
1,E	Herrhro, Juan C.	Narcotics	21	Jury
A.E	Batiz, Miguel Angel L.	Narcotics	21	Jury
0 ,	Wilson, Donald James	Maritime & Shipping Laws	21	Jury
K.N	Bonnett, Bruce	Fraud, Bank	21	Jury
L.M	Barker, Bill Ray	Firearms	21	Jury
L,S	Carballo, Pablo	Narcotles	21	Jury
	Spina, Joseph Peter	Narcolics	21	Jury
p	Luskin, Paul	Firearms	,. 20	Jury
A.N	Owen, Kenneth J	Narcotics	20	Jury
A,S	Devereaux, Diana K	Larceny & Theft, Trans Stolen Prop		Jury
	Holland, Edward H	Extortion, Racketeering, & Threats	20	Jury
D	Musson, William Compton	Narcotics	20	Jury
L,M	Gapphey, Donald G	Fraud, Postal	20	Jury
.s	Carcalse, Frank	Racketeering, Narcotics	. 20	Jury

	DU	RÎNG T	HE THE	LVE MO	NTH PERIO	D ENDE	D JUNE	30, 19	88			
	TO	TAL TR	IALS		NON	JURY T	RIALS		J	URY TR	IALS	
			INTER Months			TIME IN	INTER MONTHS	¥4L *		TIME IN	INTER MONTHS	¥AL ₽
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER DF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MDRE THAN	NUHBER DF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER DF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN
TOTAL	9,163	4	14	36	4,024	3	14	37	5,139	5	14	35
DC	186	3	11	27	97	2	10	26	89	5	11	32
1ST	405	8	22	58	132	8	23	55	273	9	22	59
ME MA NH RI PR	19 219 32 71 64	7 10 20 8 5	17 27 32 12 18	28 68 50 22 36	5 67 9 22 29	- 7 - 8 7	31 14 19	65 - 24 30	14 152 23 49 35	2 11 20 7 5	15 27 33 11 18	26 68 51 19 36
2ND	832	3	20	44	342	1	19	42	490	7	20	44
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	107 93 169 388 31 44	2 7 7 2 2 6	18 24 21 19 31 13	48 45 40 54 21	36 31 67 182 16 10	1 3 1 1	10 22 20 19 17 10	33 50 45 41 37 17	71 62 102 206 15 34	2 13 8 6 12 6	26 25 22 18 32 13	49 41 43 40 54 21
3RD	880	5	13	38	279	4	14	40	601	5	13	37
DE NJ PA,E FA,M PA,H VI	38 135 468 103 98 38	8 7 4 2 6 6	17 28 11 10 21 30	41 51 28 24 40 58	19 52 142 19 40 7	5 7 4 1 1	21 24 11 9 14	50 41 37 22 36	19 83 326 84 58 31	8 9 4 3 8 3	15 29 10 11 22 28	30 53 27 24 40 58
4TH	824	3	8	25	322	3	7	25	502	3	9	25
MD HC,E NC,H SC, YA,E YA,H HY,N	144 27 14 69 188 259 56 17 50	7 7 9 2 2 2 4 18 8	16 16 24 8 8 5 11 28 14	34 25 39 23 20 8 29 46 26	46 12 5 27 53 137 21 7 14	55-1424-1	12 17 9 9 5 7 11	29 28 26 22 8 25 26	98 15 9 42 135 122 35 10 36	7 7 3 1 3 5 16	16 13 	35 19 - 19 19 8 29 46 24
5TH	1,406	4	15	34	721	3	14	36	685	4	15	33
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	364 40 175 74 128 163 151 175 136	5 1 6 8 4 2 1 6 4	11 33 17 21 13 17 9 24 13	28 49 30 38 26 36 22 47 34	181 27 100 36 54 98 57 90 78	4 1 6 9 4 2 1 6 4	10 33 17 20 13 17 7 21	25 49 30 37 29 36 22 50 34	183 13 75 38 74 65 94 85 58	6 1 5 8 3 2 1 5 3	12 33 17 22 14 18 10 24 11	29 52 30 34 26 34 22 42 31
6TH	838	7	17	39	319	6	17	44	519	7	16	35
KY,E HI,E HI,H OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H TN,H	50 53 270 40 120 86 91 69 59	11 7 9 11 1 10 2 3 8	20 17 17 24 12 21 12 12 28	34 44 37 40 35 41 26 26 51	18 20 77 21 35 33 39 29 47	2 6 8 6 1 9 1 1 8	18 14 16 22 16 21 12 11 29	28 47 44 40 31 54 29 27 51	32 33 193 19 85 53 52 40 12	12 7 9 11 2 10 4 6 5	20 17 17 26 12 21 12 13 22	34 36 36 40 35 24 24 38

#### TABLE C 10. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM ISSUE TO TRIAL OF CIVIL CASES IN MMICH A TRIAL MAS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT DURING THE TMELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	DU	RING T	HE THE	LVE HOI	TH PERIO	D ENDE	D JUNE	30, 19	188			
	TO	TAL TR	IALS		NON	JURY T	RIALS		ر	URY TR	TALS	
			INTER MONTHS		]	TIME IN	INTER	VAL		TIME	INTER MONTHS	YAL
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER OF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER DF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MDRE THAN	NUMBER DF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN
718	536	3	17	43	241	3	16	43	295	4	17	42
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S MI,E WI,H	228 43 59 38 59 64 45	2 12 1 6 3 9 4	16 23 12 19 21 22 7	47 45 24 36 51 40 17	109 20 16 17 41 28 10	1 9 1 4 3 9 4	15 25 7 20 20 16 6	46 45 17 44 49 35 21	119 23 43 21 18 36 35	3 12 1 7 8 14 3	18 21 13 19 27 27 7	47 42 25 36 52 42 11
8TH	688	з	14	30	309	2	13	32	379	з	15	30
AR.E AR.H IA,N MN.E MD.H NE ND SD	118 88 23 39 72 147 76 64 14 14	1 2 12 1 5 2 5 8 11 2	14 10 29 17 24 9 16 18 22 14	27 16 46 27 42 24 25 35 35 35 27	56 37 12 24 31 61 31 28 7 22	12512356-2	13 11 24 13 25 8 17 16 	27 15 47 27 39 24 28 35 	62 51 11 15 41 86 45 36 7 25	2 2 19 1 5 1 5 8 -	14 10 30 19 23 10 15 20 	27 17 39 31 47 24 23 30 27
9TH	935	з	15	36	556	3	15	36	379	3	15	35
AK AZ CA, E, CA, C, CA, C, HI HI NY DR WA, E, WA, E, WA, H GUAM NH1	19 51 111 49 337 52 46 19 22 46 71 26 81 4 4	1 7 5 11 2 9 7 7 11 9 9 7 7 11 9 9 7 7 11 9 9 7 7 11 2 9 7 7 11 2 9 7 7 11 2 9 7 7 11 2 9 7 7 11 2 9 7 7 11 2 9 7 7 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	21 27 16 22 12 22 13 25 16 21 9 9 17 -	30 48 36 36 30 40 41 48 34 41 18 21 29 -	13 34 61 28 198 30 33 7 10 36 29 18 54 4 1	1 7 2 11 2 9 5 - 9 8 4 1 7 -	21 23 16 21 12 19 15 	30 48 44 44 29 36 44 - 34 41 19 21 21 27 -	6 17 50 21 139 22 13 12 12 12 10 42 27 -	- 12 9 10 1 6 7 9 9 15 3 - 8 -	300 16 22 13 23 12 23 13 21 21 21 21 21 7 -	- 46 34 34 31 41 36 48 20 36 18 30 -
10TH	591	5	13	32	225	5	14	33	366	6	13	30
CO., KS OK.N DK,E OK,H UT HY	99 93 77 51 53 118 58 42	59136784	16 19 12 15 9 13 17 6	39 42 29 28 16 27 36 12	50 28 44 22 15 20 34 12	58214561	16 17 12 16 7 11 19 5	35 41 29 26 21 25 58 7	49 65 33 29 38 98 24 30	59137765	17 20 13 11 9 13 15 7	39 42 28 14 27 30 14
11TH	1,042	4	12	29	481	З	12	31	561	5	12	29
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, M GA, S	190 74 67 39 155 192 182 59 84	3 3 5 12 6 2 8 6 7	7 7 11 20 16 11 18 14 11	18 13 35 37 38 33 32 35 24	91 30 38 16 92 115 59 22 18	3 3 4 8 5 1 8 1 7	8 6 11 19 15 10 17 14 9	20 14 38 29 27 36 32 36 26	99 44 29 23 63 77 123 37 66	2 2 7 12 6 3 8 9 7	7 7 12 22 18 14 18 14 18 14	12 13 28 39 44 26 32 30 19

TABLE C 10. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Time intervals from issue to trial of civil cases in which a trial was completed. By district During the the ve morth period ended June 30, 1988

NOTE:INCLUDES TRIALS CONDUCTED BY DISTRICT AND APPELLATE JUDGES ONLY. ALL TRIALS CONDUCTED BY MAGISTRATES ARE EXCLUDED. EXCLUDES THE FOLLOWING TRIALS: LAND COMDEMNATION, FORFEITURES AND PENALTY CASES, PRISONER PETITIONS (HABEAS CORPUS, MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE UNDER 28 U.S.C. 2255, HEARINGS ON EVIDENTIARY MATTERS], BANKRUPICY PETITIONS, AND THREE JUDGE COURT CASES.

\* TIME INTERVALS COMPUTED ONLY WHERE THERE ARE 10 OR MORE TRIALS.

CIRCUIT		FILINGS		TER	MINATIONS			PENDING	
AND DISTRICT	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE
TOTAL	42,156	43,503	3.2	41,088	40,986	3	25,263	27,733	9.8
DC	611	625	2.3	489	785	60.5	493	335	- 32.1
1ST	1,165	1,396	19.8	1,116	1,422	27.4	713	694	- 2.7
ME MA NH RI PR	160 419 32 61 493	177 317 42 92 768	10.6 - 24.4 31.3 50.8 55.8	150 406 34 59 467	175 341 42 76 788	16.7 - 16.0 23.5 28.8 68.7	130 315 18 46 204	129 303 18 62 182	8 - 3.8 - 34.8 - 10.8
2ND	2,641	2,454	- 7.1	2,308	2,454	6.3	2,982	2,990	.3
CT MY,N NY,E NY,S NY,M VT	185 194 765 1,149 260 88	219 239 735 871 294 96	18.4 23.2 - 3.9 - 24.2 13.1 9.1	177 213 637 929 257 95	208 224 754 880 298 90	17.55.218.4- 5.316.0- 5.3	183 143 1,057 1,352 175 72	189 169 1,049 1,327 177 79	$ \begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ 18.2 \\8 \\ - 1.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 9.7 \end{array} $
3RD	2,062	1,969	- 4.5	1,943	1,930	7	1,333	1,380	3.5
DE NJ PA,E PA,H PA,H VI	118 630 494 189 310 321	85 668 419 225 248 324	- 28.0 6.0 - 15.2 19.0 - 20.0 .9	100 607 461 187 293 295	95 623 405 223 266 318	- 5.0 2.6 - 12.2 19.3 - 9.2 7.8	43 411 338 128 152 261	30 463 355 132 135 265	$ \begin{array}{r} -30.2 \\ 12.7 \\ 5.0 \\ 3.1 \\ -11.2 \\ 1.5 \\ \end{array} $

#### TABLE D CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING IN THE DISTRICT COURTS During the Thelve Month Periods ended June 30, 1987 and 1988 (Excludes Transfers)

CIRCUIT		FILINGS		TE	RMINATIONS		[	PENDING	
DISTRICT	1987	1988	PÉRCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE
4TH	6,537	6,326	- 3.2	6,587	5,897	- 10.5	1,908	2,310	21.1
MD NC,E	958 1,150	803 1,211	- 16.2 5.3	1,010 1,129	834 1,163	- 17.4	4 <u>51</u> 192	432 229	- 4.2 19.3
NC.H	239	249	4.2	233	214	- 8.2	107	136	27.1
NC, N	399	341	- 14.5	370	334	- 9.7	130	133	2.3
SC	316	359	13.6	341	279	- 18.2	156	235	50.6
VA, E	2,942	2,771	- 5.8	2,970	2,577	- 13.2	618	799	29.3
VA, H	148	165	11.5	133	157	18.0	94	105	11.7
MV,N	179	191	6.7	178	158	- 11.2	86	116	34.9
₩V,S	206	236	14.6	223	181	- 18.8	74	125	68.9
5TH	4,726	4,821	2.0	4,492	4,144	- 7.8	3,059	3,705	21.1
LA, E	478	410	- 14.2	432	383	- 11.4	255	264	3.5
LA,H	68	58	~ 14.7	79	67	- 15.2	34	22	- 35.3
LA, H	177	208	17.5	192	180	~ 6.3	74	96	29.7
MS, H	95	96	1.1	77	89	15.6	50	59	18.0
MS.S	217	192	- 11.5	191	195	2.1	143	144	.7
ТХ,Н	738	676	- 8.4	708	560	- 20.9	387	496	25.2
TX, E	184	167	~ 9.2	200	136	- 32.0	65	97	49.2
TX.S	1,757	1,865	6.1	1,675	1,462	- 12.7	1,406	1,816	29.2
тх,н	1,012	1,149	13.5	938	1,072	14.3	645	711	10.2
6TH	2,879	3,318	15.2	3,060	2,922	- 4.5	1,508	1,887	25.1
<b>ΚΥ,Ε</b>	165	183	10.9	173	160	- 7.5	88	109	23.9
KY,H	463	601	29.8	441	474	7.5	167	300	79.6
MI,E	713	590	- 17.3	800	628	~ 21.5	473	431	- 8,9
MI,H	142	171	20.4	135	162	20.0	73	79	8.2
OH, N	376	470	25.0	368	418	13.6	238	294	23.5
OH,S	309	462	49.5	315	386	22.5	149	230	54.4
TH, E	195	212	8.7	235	167	- 28.9	63	93	47.6
TN.H	303	313	3.3	286	308	7.7	122	127	4.1
TN,H	213	316	48.4	307	219	- 28.7	135	224	65.9

#### TABLE D CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING IN THE DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988 (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS)

CIRCUIT		FILINGS		TER	MINATIONS			PENDING	
DISTRICT	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PÉRCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE
7TH	1,876	2,162	15.2	1,871	1,770	- 5.4	1,118	1,505	34.6
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H	605 236 190 237 388 124 96	797 216 219 234 420 141 135	31.7 - 8.5 15.3 - 1.3 8.2 13.7 40.6	648 197 200 224 389 124 89	480 201 204 214 414 137 120	- 25.9 2.0 2.0 - 4.5 6.4 10.5 34.8	616 114 66 117 71 92 42	932 125 82 141 69 101 55	51.3 9.6 24.2 20.5 - 2.8 9.8 31.0
8TH	2,433	2,143	- 11.9	2,286	2,051	- 10.3	957	1,033	7.9
AR,E AR,H IA,S MN MO,E NO,E NO SD 9TH	219 87 108 85 354 363 743 137 106 231 8,598	165 109 72 100 287 268 670 124 121 227 9,745	$\begin{array}{r} - 24.7 \\ 25.3 \\ - 33.3 \\ 17.6 \\ - 18.9 \\ - 26.2 \\ - 9.8 \\ - 9.5 \\ 14.2 \\ - 1.7 \\ 13.3 \end{array}$	197 96 91 327 336 685 125 107 229 8,433	167 89 81 269 248 657 134 100 219 9,705	$\begin{array}{c} -15.2 \\ -7.3 \\ -11.0 \\ -6.5 \\ -17.7 \\ -26.2 \\ -4.1 \\ 7.2 \\ -6.6 \\ -4.4 \\ 15.1 \end{array}$	65 18 52 42 169 146 234 93 53 85 6,992	66 37 54 182 164 236 84 71 92 7,054	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.5\\ 105.6\\ - 9.6\\ 28.6\\ 7.7\\ 12.3\\ .9\\ - 9.7\\ 34.0\\ 8.2\\ .9 \end{array} $
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C HI HI HT HA HA GUAM NMI	238 771 956 425 1,075 1,365 1,365 1,365 1,365 1,94 364 332 313 1,034 69 3	241 948 804 545 958 1,788 1,788 1,550 144 193 342 371 1,262 75 13	1.3 $23.0$ $-15.9$ $28.2$ $-7.2$ $31.0$ $19.5$ $-11.1$ $5$ $-6.1$ $11.7$ $50.5$ $22.1$ $8.7$ $333.3$	191 783 998 484 1,107 917 1,555 166 190 253 360 253 360 257 1,040 89 3	197 1,014 820 436 894 1,913 1,879 129 166 306 309 391 1,162 83 6	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1\\ 29.5\\ -17.8\\ -9.9\\ -19.3\\ 108.6\\ 20.8\\ -22.3\\ -12.6\\ 20.9\\ -14.2\\ 31.6\\ 11.7\\ -6.8\\ 100.0\end{array}$	84 752 825 1,149 1,932 889 43 85 288 184 174 301 26 5	90 692 810 356 1,317 1,788 549 59 114 317 259 258 415 18 12	$\begin{array}{c} 7.1 \\ -8.0 \\ -1.8 \\ 39.6 \\ 14.6 \\ -7.5 \\ -38.3 \\ 37.2 \\ 34.1 \\ 10.1 \\ 40.8 \\ 48.3 \\ 37.9 \\ -30.8 \\ 140.0 \end{array}$

TABLE D CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING IN THE DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988 (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS)

CIRCUIT		FILINGS		TE	RMINATIONS			PENDING	
AND DISTRICT	1987	1988	PERCENT	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCEN
10TH	2,427	2,472	1.9	2,396	2,202	- 8.1	1,078	1,317	22.2
co	392	446	13.8	400	438	9.5	193	193	
KS	423	369	- 12.8	441	337	- 23.6	176	204	15.9
NH	443	567	28.0	405	427	5.4	264	392	48.5
DK, N	196	171	- 12.8	1.82	152	- 16.5	89	110	23.6
DK,E	83	69	- 16.9	84	71	- 15.5	19	16	- 15.8
DK,H	587	493	- 16.0	582	476	- 18.2	178	186	4.5
uT	219	276	26.0	231	232		124	173	39.5
HY	84	81	- 3.6	71	69	- 2.8	35	43	22.9
11TH.,	6,201	6,072	- 2.1	6,107	5,704	- 6.6	3,122	3,523	12.8
AL.N	326	341	4.6	331	331		87	100	14.9
AL,N	264	282	6.8	242	234	- 3.3	74	111	50.0
AL.S	146	177	21.2	146	177	21.2	88	92	4.5
FL, N	251	294	17.1	202	263	30.2	165	188	13.9
FL, H	632	737	16.6	655	686	4.7	380	439	15.5
FL,S	1,409	1,476	4.8	1,355	1,318	- 2.7	1,905	2,091	9.8
GA, N	690	611	- 11.5	716	578	- 19.3	260	316	21.5
GA, H	2,162	1,884	- 12.9	2,158	1,867	- 13.5	62	69	11.3
GA,S	321	270	- 15.9	302	250	- 17.2	101	117	15.8

#### TABLE D CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING IN THE DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988 (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS)

NOTE: PERCENT CHANGE COMPUTED ON 10 OR HORE.

	PE	NDING JL	ILY 1, 19	87		-	COM	MENCED			
						01	RIGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	FELOKY	MISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	TOTAL CDM- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER#	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS
TOTAL	25,263	21,451	3,583	229	44,585	43,116	28,248	14,397	471	387	1,08
DC	493	483	8	2	636	<del>6</del> 08	460	145	3	17	1
1\$T	713	681	26	6	1,420	1,381	1,215	105	61	15	24
ME MA NH RI PR	130 315 18 46 204	124 303 17 43 194	6 9 1 3 7	- 3 3	181 334 43 94 768	176 311 40 91 763	124 278 39 83 691	51 30 1 8 15	1 3 - 57	1 6 2 1 5	1
2ND	2,982	2,601	353	28	2,519	2,440	2,039	358	43	14	6
CT NY,N HY,E NY,S NY,H YT	180 142 1,059 1,354 175 72	172 120 919 1,173 151 66	8 19 135 165 21 5	- 3 5 16 3 1	227 253 755 880 306 95	217 239 728 869 291 96	190 155 661 755 193 85	24 83 66 80 94 11	3 1 1 34 4 -	2 7 2 3 -	14
3RD	1,333	1,188	141	4	2,009	1,953	1,615	327	11	16	40
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	43 411 338 128 152 261	40 304 327 121 149 247	3 106 8 7 3 14	- 1 3 - - -	85 685 430 231 254 324	82 664 417 224 243 323	67 411 402 194 231 310	15 248 10 30 11 13	- 5 5 - 1 -	3 4 2 1 5 1	17 11 6

TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	.988	NE 30, 1	NDING JU	PE				HINATED	TER		
]							GS	ROCEEDIN	IGINAL P	D'R	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	OTHER*	MISDE- MEANOR	FELONY	TOTAL	TRANS- FERS		OTHER*	MISDE- MEANOR	FELONY	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMI- Nated
TOTAL	229	3,676	23,828	27,733	1,129	341	984	14,830	24,831	40,645	42,115
סם[	-	11	324	335	9	19	14	193	559	766	794
1ST	3	31	660	694	17	12	74	113	1,223	1,410	1,439
	3	10 10	119 290	129 303	75	1 3	1	49 31	124 303	174 338	182 346
	-	6	18 56	18 62	1 2 2	2 1	1	2	38	40 75	43 78
PR	-	5	177	182	2	5	68	25	690	783	790
2ND	31	298	2,661	2,990	57	23	58	460	1,913	2,431	2,511
ст	1	9 26	179 139	189 169	10 2	1	4	29 77	174 143	207 223	218 226
	5	98	946	1,049	11	9	37	109	629	745	765
NY,S	18 3	131 30	1,178 144	1,327 177	27 6	8	35 7	143 89	694 198	872 294	907 304
YT	-	4	75	79	ĩ		2	13	75	90	91
3RD	8	144	1,228	1,380	32	14	12	350	1,554	1,916	1,962
DE	- 5	1 113	29 345	30 463	3 10	1	1	18 247	75 368	94 618	98 633
NJ	3	10	342	355	10	3	5	11	386	402	413
PA.H	=	8	124	132	4	1	-	32	190	222	227
PA,H	-	2	133	135	5	3	1	15 27	247 288	263 317	271 320
¥I	-	10	255	265	2	1	2	27	288	317	320

#### TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	P	ENDING JU	ILY 1, 19	87			CB	MENCED			
						OF	RIGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	IGS		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	FELONY	HISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	TOTAL CUM- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANOR	OTHER=	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS
4TH	1,908	1,334	548	26	6,399	6,294	2,423	3,833	38	32	73
MD HC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H HY,N	450 191 107 130 155 618 97 86 74	323 136 105 119 136 264 94 84 73	118 44 2 11 19 348 3 2 1	9 11 - - 6 -	825 1,221 253 348 375 2,782 168 191 236	796 1,207 244 340 357 2,759 165 190 236	365 221 236 273 300 470 150 183 225	419 984 7 62 56 2,276 11 7 11	12 2 1 5 1 13 4 -	7 4 5 1 2 12 - 1	22 10 4 7 16 11 3 -
5TH	3,059	2,896	144	19	4,914	4,770	4,122	611	37	51	93
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, M 6TH	255 34 74 50 143 387 65 1,406 645 1,508	241 32 62 49 133 370 60 1,373 576 1,350	11 2 9 1 9 14 5 27 66 146	3 	411 58 213 100 203 695 170 1,901 1,163 3,421	407 58 206 95 191 672 165 1,836 1,140 3,283	369 54 149 94 183 629 146 1,656 842 2,469	28 2 51 7 39 19 176 289 795	10 2 6 1 1 4 - 4 9 19	3 - 2 1 1 4 2 2 9 35	1 
KY, E MI, E MI, H DH, N OH, S TN, E TN, H TN, H	88 167 473 73 238 149 63 122 135	86 100 438 69 229 132 58 107 131	2 66 26 4 9 17 3 15 4	- 1 9 - - - 2 - -	185 614 605 177 488 483 217 328 324	179 596 580 170 469 460 208 310 311	170 156 519 148 438 393 182 172 291	9 437 55 22 31 67 20 134 20		4 5 10 1 1 2 4 3 5	2 13 15 6 18 21 5 15 8

	TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT	COURTS
CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED	AND TERMINATED DURING THE THELVE	MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	988	NE 30, 1	NDING JU	PE				MINATED	TER		
					_		GS	ROCEEDIN	IGINAL P	OR	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRIC	DTHER*	MISDE- MEANOR	FELONY	TOTAL	TRANS- FERS	RE- Opensø	OTHER*	MISDE- MEANOR	FELONY	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMI- Nated
4TH	15	748	1,547	2,310	100	29	161	3,603	2,104	5,868	5,997
но	5	154	273	432	9	8	32	387	407	826	843
NC, E	1	52	176	229	20	3	16	984	160	1,160	1,183
HC.H	1	3	132	136	10	4	2	9	199	210	224
HC, F	1	52 3 7	125	133	11	1	6	70	257	333	345
ś	-	15	220	235	16	1	4	71	203	278	295
YA, E	6	506	287	799	24	11	96	2,052	418	2,566	2,601
YA.F	1	5	99	105	3	-	5	11	141	157	160
HY, H	-	5 2	114	116	3	1	-	8	149	157	161
HV,S	-	4	121	125	4	-	-	11	170	181	185
5TH	10	247	3,448	3,705	124	54	182	559	3,349	4,090	4,268
LA, E	2	11	251	264	19	5	17	41	320	378	402
LA.F	- !	3	19	22	3	- 1	Z	1	64	67	70
	- !	19	77	96	11	1	11	40	128	179	191
MS. H	-	-	59	59	2	2	2	3	82	87	91
HS,	- 1	4	140	144	7	1	z	13	179	194	202
TX.	2	22	472	496	26	1 3	12	49	496	557	586
TX,	= 1	12	85	97	2	2		14	120	134	138
TX.	5	126	1,685	1.816	29	25	45	153	1,239	1,437	1,491
тх,	ī	50	660	711	25	15	91	245	721	1,057	1,097
6TH	8	303	1,576	1,887	120	33	30	685	2,174	2,889	3,042
кү, в		1	108	109	4	2	-	11	147	158	164
KY,#	1	183	116	300	7	- 4	8	322	140	470	481
HI,E		27	401	431	19	10	12	59	547	618	647
HI,	- [	8	71	79	9	1	-	19	142	161	171
	- {	11	283	294	14	3	- 1	35	380	415	432
OH, S		25	205	230	16	2	- ]	62	322	384	402
TN, E	3	3	87	93	20	3	6	24	134	164	187
TH,F	ī	34	92	127	15	5	5	136	163	303	323
TN,H		11	213	224	16	3		17	199	216	235

TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	PEI	NDING JU	ILY 1, 19	87							
						OR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	TOTAL Com- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opense	TRANS- FERS
7TH	1,118	1,060	53	5	2,212	2,144	1,703	431	10	18	50
IL,N IL,C IL,S	616 114 66	590 105 63	26 7 3	2	809 220 224	793 216 214	713 183 181	80 32 32 15	- 1 1 1		12 4 5 12
IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	117 71 92 42	115 61 36 40	2 10 4 1	- 2 1	246 426 151 136	229 418 140 134	213 160 128 125	257 6 9	1 6	2111	12 6 10 1
8TH	957	865	87	5	2,196	2,120	1,606	493	21	23	53
AR,E AR,H IA,N	65 18 52	64 13 49	1 5 2	- - 1	174 114 79	163 107 70	148 82 62	11 25 6	4 - 2	22	9 5 7
IA,S MN MD,E	42 169 146	41 164 130	1 5 15		103 294 273	100 286 262	92 261 230	8 22 29 366	- 3 3	1 6	5 7 3 7 5 6
MD,H NE ND SD	234 93 53 85	103 89 50 82	51 3 1 3	1 2	676 132 123 228	666 124 118 224	299 115 105 212	300 7 12 7	2	3	821
9TH	6,992	5,228	1,674	90	10,033	9,651	5,216	4,247	188	94	288
AK	84	54	29	1	241	238	106	129	3		-
AZ CA,N	752 825	722 538 189	25 273 57	5 14 9	975 340 563	941 795 545	713 470 370	225 320 172	3 5 3	9	27 36 18
CA, E CA, C CA, S	255 1,149 1,932	1,099 1,618 84	44 276 802	6 38 3	1,087 1,800 1,552	980 1,774 1.547	921 842 135	59 796 1,412	136	18	89 12 2
HI ID MT	889 43 85	33 77 262	10 6 21	- 25	1,552 152 203 356	139 188 338	104 166 276	28 22 49	7	5	8 10 14
NV DR HA,E	288 184 174	175 158	21 7 12 107	2	399 480 1,296	367 463 1,250	341 426 278	25 35 958	13 1 2 14	4	28 9 34
WA,H GUAM NMI	301 26 5	194 22 3	107 3 2	1	1,296	1,250	58 10	17	1	- 1	1

#### TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Criminal cases commenced and terminated during the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

		TER	MINATED				PE	NDING JU	INE 30, 1	988	
	08	IGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS							
TOTAL TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	RE- OPENS#	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	FELDWY	MISDE- MEANDR	OTHER#	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
1,825	1,752	1,339	401	12	18	55	1,505	1,405	94	6	7тн
493 209 208 222 423 142 153	475 200 199 211 412 136 119	433 168 161 199 149 119 110	42 31 37 11 252 9 9	- 1 1 1 8 -	5 1 5 3 2 1 1	13 8 4 8 14 5 3	932 125 82 141 69 101 55	866 112 80 133 66 97 51	66 11 8 3 2 3	- 2 1 - 2 1	IL.A IL.C IN.S IN.S NI.E NI.H
2,120	2,027	1,468	534	25	24	69	1,033	932	89	12	8TH
173 95 84 91 281 255 674 141 105 221	164 89 79 87 268 242 651 134 97 216	148 61 62 78 243 202 274 126 85 189	13 26 15 % 23 38 374 7 9 20	3 2 2 2 3 1 3 7	3 - 2 - 1666 - 33	6 3 4 12 17 7 5 2	66 37 47 54 182 164 236 84 71 92	63 33 45 53 172 153 184 77 64 88	2 4 2 1 8 9 52 5 5 1	1	AR,E IA,K IA,K IA,S MD,E MD,H NE ND SD
9,971 235	9,649 195	4,532 97	4,784	333 3	56 2	266 38	7,054	5,628 49	1,322	104	9TH
1,035 462 919 1,944 1,892 136 174 327 324 396 1,182 84 6	1,011 813 436 883 1,910 1,877 122 162 304 306 389 1,153 82 6	729 459 291 792 740 124 79 132 234 265 306 220 61 3	257 329 131 87 971 1,746 20 52 36 78 927 19 2	3 25 14 199 7 9 10 18 5 5 6 2 1	137-11327423291-	36 21 35 26 25 31 13 25 21 13 21 15 5 20 1 -	902 810 255 1,317 1,788 549 59 114 317 259 258 415 18 12	446 541 266 1,264 1,572 83 53 103 284 246 245 247 17 12	43 258 88 47 160 465 5 11 26 13 10 156 1 -	3 11 2 6 56 1 1 - 7 3 12 - -	AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, C CA, S HI HI HI HI HA, E HA, E HA, H HA, H

TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	PI	ENDING JL	JLY 1, 19	87	COMMENCED								
				OTHER*		05	IGINAL P	IGS					
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor		TOTAL Com- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS		
10TH	1,078	860	202	8	2,539	2,452	1,758	672	22	20	67		
СD KS NM.N OK, R OK, H UT HY HY	193 176 264 89 19 178 124 35 3,122	148 152 245 87 18 97 90 31 2,897	44 24 17 1 1 79 32 4 201	1 - 2 1 - 2 2 - 24	460 380 575 177 72 503 290 82 6,287	445 366 567 170 62 489 272 81 6,020	277 226 520 164 61 244 196 70 3,622	166 140 38 6 1 243 68 10 2,380	2 	1 3 - 1 7 4 4 - 52	14 11 8 6 3 10 14 1 215		
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,N FL,M GA,N GA,N GA,S	87 74 88 165 380 1,905 260 62 101	81 50 85 143 355 1,811 239 54 79	6 24 3 22 22 76 20	- - 3 18 1 2 -	358 285 186 299 789 1,548 654 2,889 279	337 278 174 294 733 1,447 606 1,883 268	280 140 167 251 643 1,421 452 124 144	57 136 7 40 81 24 154 1,758 123	- 2 - 3 9 2 - 1 1	4 4 3 - 4 29 5 1 2	17 3 9 5 52 72 43 5 9		

TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		TER	MINATED			·····	PE				
	DR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS		}					
TOTAL TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	FELONY	HISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
2,300	2,186	1,403	739	44	16	98	1,317	1,119	190	8	10TH
460 352 447 156 75 495 241 74 5,886	437 335 426 152 64 473 230 69 5,661	243 178 366 129 59 218 153 57 3,213	186 152 43 22 4 250 71 11 2,409	8 57 17 1 1 5 6 1 39	1 2 1 7 3 2 - 43	22 15 20 4 19 9 5	193 204 392 110 16 186 173 43 3,523	160 183 365 109 16 113 134 39 3,300	33 21 24 1 72 35 4	-       	CG KS DK,N OK,H OK,E OK,H UT WY NY
345 248 182 276 730 1,362 598 1,882 263	330 230 174 263 683 1,293 573 1,867 248	271 108 160 210 589 1,236 400 109 130	57 117 14 46 83 53 167 1,755 117	2 5 7 11 4 6 3	14 3 25 7 2	14 14 5 13 44 44 20 15 13	100 111 92 188 439 2,091 316 69 117	95 70 92 176 413 2,009 297 59 89	5 40 	- 1 - 1 2 19 1 -	AL,N AL,M FL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S

### TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

PRIMARILY PETTY DFFENSES TRIED BY A JUDGE.
 INCLUDES APPEALS FROM MAGISTRATES, RE-OPENS AND REMANDS.

	PENC	DING JULY 1	, 1987	COMMENCED							
					OR						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	NON- Fugitive	FUGITIVE	TOTAL COM- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANDR	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS	
TOTAL	37,131	23,399	13,732	61,119	59,496	43,686	15,134	676	481	1,142	
DC	714	575	139	886	852	670	179	3	23	11	
157	1,178	910	268	1,982	1,930	1,758	111	61	28	24	
ME MA NH RI PR	203 528 24 73 350	138 425 22 52 273	65 103 2 21 77	205 583 50 137 1,007	200 548 47 133 1,002	148 511 46 125 928	51 34 1 8 17	1 3 - 57	1 18 2 2 5	4 17 1 2 -	
2ND	4,877	2,736	2,141	4,004	3,919	3,466	405	48	15	70	
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,M YT	350 231 1,853 2,102 255 86	200 124 993 1,177 204 38	150 107 860 925 51 48	357 367 1,333 1,432 380 135	347 353 1,301 1,420 365 133	320 265 1,209 1,297 256 119	24 87 91 89 100 14	3 1 1 34 9 -	2 - 8 2 3 -	8 14 24 10 12 2	
3RD	2,039	1,458	581	2,896	2,831	2,469	351	11	20	45	
DE NJ PA,E PA,H PA,H VI	65 709 569 178 217 301	56 543 421 100 160 178	9 166 148 78 57 123	111 968 741 310 370 396	107 945 723 303 358 395	92 679 707 267 343 381	15 261 11 36 14 14	- 5 5 - 1	4 6 2 1 6 1	- 17 16 6 6	

#### TABLE D-1 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		TERMINATED PENDING JUNE 30, 1988								
	OF	IIGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	35						]
TOTAL TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	RE- OPENS@	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	NON- FUGITIVE	FUGITIVE	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
56,441	54,657	37,389	16,019	1,249	420	1,364	41,809	26,730	15,079	TOTAL
1,137	1,102	820	257	25	26	9	463	378	85	BC
2,001	1,967	1,757	135	75	13	21	1,159	846	313	1ST
236 552 53	228 540	178 494 48	49 42	1 4	1 3	7 9	172 559	114 404	58 155	ME
122 1,038	50 118 1,031	109 928	2 7 35	2 68	2 2 5	1 2 2	21 88 319	17 69 242	4 19 77	
3,818	3,721	3,088	565	68	28	69	5,063	2,957	2,106	2ND
335 303 1,237 1,434 384 125	322 297 1,210 1,395 373 124	272 212 1,062 1,178 262 102	46 82 140 182 98 17	4 3 35 13 5	1 10 11 5 -	12 5 17 28 6 1	372 295 1,949 2,100 251 96	183 170 1,140 1,203 203 58	189 125 809 897 48 38	CT NY,N NY,E NY,E NY,S NY,H VT
2,820	2,763	2,344	402	17	21	36	2,115	1,496	619	3RD
139 878 736 320 363 384	134 856 723 315 355 380	114 572 702 273 334 349	19 281 13 41 19 29	1 3 8 1 2 2	2 11 3 1 3 1	3 11 10 4 5 3	37 799 574 168 224 313	28 583 427 104 164 190	9 216 147 64 60 123	DE PA,E PA,H PA,H PA,H

## TABLE D-1 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

19.1

পদা পেন্দ্রোগ

all de la serie de la serie
	PEND	ING JULY 1	, 1987			COM	MENCED			-
					0A	IGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	NUN- FUGITIVE	FUGITIVE	TOTAL COM- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS
4TH	2,690	1,754	936	7,712	7,600	3,571	3,980	49	34	78
HD	640	471	169	1,041	1,011	571	428	12	7	23
NC.E	293	179	114	1,340	1,323	335	986	2	4	13
NC.H	137	71	66	371	362	354	7	1	5	4
NC.H	260	191	69	463	454	376	68	10	1	8
SC	221	141	80	528	509	444	64	1	3	16
VA.E	744	373	371	3.072	3.048	638	2,394	16	13	11
VA.H	167	144	23	285	282	261	14	7	-	3
WV, N	111	90	21	239	238	231	7	-	1	-
HV,S	117	94	23	373	373	361	12	-	-	-
5TH	4,188	2,246	1,942	7,448	7,290	6,524	710	56	63	95
LA, E	338	185	153	627	623	579	30	14	3	1
LA.H	45	32	13	67	67	63	2	2	-	- 1
LA.H	151	138	13	448	440	375	53	12	2	6
MS.N	69	68	1	144	139	138	-	1	1	4
MS.S	174	132	42	279	266	257	7	2	2	11
TX,N	582	325	257	1.071	1.044	927	109	8	7	20
10.5.	132	109	23	286	280	261	19	-	3	3
TX,E		662	1,157	3,051	2.979	2.783	190	6	36	36
ТХ,S ТХ,H	1,819 878	595	283	1,475	1,452	1,141	300	11	9	14
6TH	2,377	1,849	528	4,835	4,677	3,845	808	24	45	113
KY.E	145	127	18	300	286	276	10	-	8	6
KY.H	299	237	62	758	736	295	438	3	5	17
MI,E	831	649	182	1,054	1,027	961	56	10	12	15
MI.H	92	60	32	270	263	239	24	-	1	6
0H.N	352	294	58	663	643	610	33	-	ī	19
	192	147	45	596	572	504	68	-	3	21
OH, S		76	<b>4</b> 5 9	269	257	231	20	6	7	5
TN,E	85			408	390	249	136	Š	3	15
TN,H	158	103	55		503	480	23		5	9
TN.H	223	156	67	517	503	400	23	-	2	, ,

TABLE D-1 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	0, 1988	ING JUNE 3	PEND				MINATED	TER		
1						SS	ROCEEDING	IGINAL P	OR	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRIC	FUGITIVE	NDN- FUGITIVE	TOTAL	TRANS- FERS	RE- Opensø	OTHER*	MI SDE- Meanor	FELONY	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMI- Nated
4TH	869	2,237	3,106	124	30	180	3,792	3,170	7,142	7,296
]н	160	429	589	12	8	32	408	632	1,072	1,092
NC,	113	228	341	29	3	16	985	259	1,260	1,292
NC,	59	156	215	14	- 4	3	10	262	275	293
HC,	53	159	212	11	1	12	78	409	499	511
s	97	225	322	22	1	6	105	293	404	427
VA,	298	623	921	26	12	100	2,162	595	2,857	2,895
· VA,	31	156	187	3	- 1	8	21	233	262	265
₩¥,	31	106	137	3	1	-	8	201	209	213
HV,	27	155	182	4	-	3	15	286	304	308
5TH	2,205	3,309	5,514	165	63	258	725	4,911	5,894	6,122
LA,	159	236	395	27	5	21	48	469	538	570
LA,	11	16	27	3	-	2	1	79	82	85
LA,	162	188	350	12	1	24	43 3	169	236	249
	1	82	83	2	2	2	3	121	126	130 ]
MS,	30	151	181	12	1	4	15	240	259	272
TX,	166	585	751	30	6	12	125	729	866	\$02
TX,	36	139	175	4	3	-	15	221	236	243
TX,	1,248	1,330	2,578	41 }	30	97	216	1,908	2,221	2,292
TX,	392	582	974	34	15	96	259	975	1,330	1,379
6TH	641	2,310	2,951	147	43	50	738	3,283	4,071	4,261
кү,	22	168	190	4	2	-	12	237	249	255
KY,	65	405	470	11	4	10	330	232	572	587
MI,	244	593	837	27	13	17	69	922	1,008	1,048
H1,	42	91	133	11	1	8	23	186	217	229
DH.	62	348	410	17	5	-	37	546	583	605
OH,	68	222	290	20	3	- 1	63	412	475	498
TH,	24	92	116	24	5	6	25	178	209	238
TN,	39	134	173	15	7	6	153	212	371	393
TN,	75	257	332	18	3	3	26	358	387	408

TABLE D-1 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE NONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

unterstrational balance of the second strategy of constraints and the second strategy of the second strategy of

- - . - -

	PEND	ING JULY 1	, 1987			COM	MENCED			
					OR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	SS		
CIRCUIT	:			TOTAL					~ ~	
AND		NON-		COM-			HISDE-		RE-	TRANS
DISTRICT	TOTAL	FUGITIVE	FUGITIVE	MENCED	TOTAL	FELONY	MEANOR	OTHER*	OPENS®	FERS
7TH	1,676	1,157	519	3,172	3,098	2,630	456	12	23	51
IL.N	946	602	344	1,276	1,257	1,163	92	2	7	12
IL.C	139	120	19	277	273	239	33	1	- 1	4
IL.S	112	76	36	289	278	245	32	1	6	5
IN,N	193	144	49	378	360	343	16	1	5	13
IN.S	98	76	22	554	546	277	268	1	2	6
WI,E	142	107	35	230	218	206	6	6	2	10
HI,H	46	32	14	168	166	157	9	-	1	1
атн	1,362	980	382	2,931	2,844	2,297	519	28	30	57
AR.E	84	65	19	245	233	215	13	5	2	10
AR H	33	31	2	151	143	115	28	-	2	6
IA, N	87	73	14	123	114	104	8	2	2	1 7
IA.S	62	33	29	124	121	113	8		-	
MN	251	187	64	455	447	415	27	5	1	
MD,E	219	182	37	387	375	338	34	3	6	1 5
HD,H	283	163	120	808	798	431	366	1	4	
NE	151	115	36	186	177	162	13	2	-	9
ND	77	44	33	158	147	132	14	1	9	
SD	115	87	28	294	289	272	8	9	4	1
9TH	9,570	5,866	3,704	13,024	12,610	7,771	4,499	340	109	305
АК	102	69	33	273	269	128	138	3	4	-
AZ	1,029	528	501	1,317	1,280	1,048	229	3	7	30
CA,N	1,087	891	196	1,223	1,177	825	345	7	9	37
CA.E	393	255	138	734	716	531	182	3	-	18
CA,C	1,646	1,016	630	1,658	1,537	1,475	62		26	95
CA,S	2,726	1,349	1,377	2,263	2,236	1,240	849	147	15	1
HI	988	586	402	1,660	1,653	235	1,418		5	2
ID {	51	47	4	202	189	145	31	13	5	8
HT	126	97	29	290	274	235	39	-	6	10
NV	541	445	96	555	534	463	58	13	1 1	17
OR	269	194	75	595	559	531	27	1	4	32
WA,E	176	114	62	481	464	427	35	2	8	
HA, H	405	245	160	1,665	1,619	406	1,066	147	12	34
GUAH	26	25	1	82	81	61	20	-		1
NMI	5	5	-	26	22	21	-	1	4	

TABLE D-1 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		TER	MINATED				PEND	ING JUNE 3	0, 1988	
	DR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	s	[					
TOTAL TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	NON- Fugitive	FUGITIVE	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
2,507	2,420	1,977	431	12	23	64	2,341	1,703	638	7тн
810 245 241 313 518 224 156	783 236 231 299 501 218 152	728 204 189 286 226 201 143	55 31 41 12 274 9 9	- 1 1 1 8 -	9 1 6 3 2 1 1	18 8 4 11 15 5 3	1,412 171 160 258 134 148 58	989 149 113 201 91 116 44	423 22 47 57 43 32 14	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H
2,844	2,732	2,111	589	32	29	83	1,449	1,052	397	втн
234 134 118 108 454 362 784 211 149 290	220 127 113 104 440 348 759 201 136 284	200 96 94 95 405 289 376 191 121 244	16 29 17 9 33 56 380 9 12 28	4 2 2 3 3 1 3 12	3-2-11666-774	11 7 3 4 13 8 19 10 6 2	95 50 92 78 252 244 307 126 86 119	76 48 74 53 182 199 197 81 51 91	19 2 18 25 70 45 110 45 35 28	AR, E AR, H IA, N IA, S MN, E MD, H ND SD
12,547	12,173 225	6,621 119	5,118	434	64 3	310 41	10,047	6,230	3,817	9TH AK
1,354 1,113 623 1,451 2,412 2,025 162 237 550 454 398 1,398 89 12	1,324 1,070 595 1,400 2,374 2,008 148 223 520 433 391 1,363 87 12	1,019 673 436 1,285 1,053 246 99 183 436 383 308 309 63 9	279 364 144 108 1,061 1,755 34 25 65 44 78 1,034 22 2	26 33 15 7 260 7 15 15 19 6 5 20 2	3 7 17 3 2 7 5 2 3 2 9 1 -	27 36 28 35 15 7 9 28 18 5 26 1 -	992 1.197 504 1.853 2.577 91 179 546 410 259 672 19 19	526 888 352 1,173 306 82 134 456 260 186 533 17 19	466 309 1,52 680 1,350 317 9 45 90 150 73 139 2 2	AZ CA, N CA, C CA, C CA, C CA, S HI HI HI HV BR HA, E HA, H NMI

# TABLE D-1 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	PEND	ING JULY 1	, 1987			CBM	MENCED			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		05	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	3S		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TŪTAL	NON- FUGITIVE	FUGITIVE	TOTAL COM- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANDR	OTHER*	RE- OPENS@	TRANS- FERS
10TH	1,384	907	477	3,293	3,202	2,490	686	26	23	68
со кs	291 209	177 112	114 97	562 477	547 461	379 319	166 142	2	1 5	14 11
NM ØK,N	339 122	192 89 22	147 33	796 264 106	788 256 95	737 248 94	42 8	9 - -	- 2 7	8 6 4
QK,E QK,H UT	26 202- 153	173 101	29 52	597 372	583 354	335 271	246 71	2 12	4	10 14
ΗΥ	42	41	1	119	118	107	10	1	-	1
11TH	5,076	2,961	2,115	8,936	8,643	6,195	2,430	18	68	225
AL,N AL,M	116 92	85 77	31 15	509 375	488 368	395 229	93 137	- 2	4	17
AL.S	112	79	33	360	346	339 420	7	- 3	_4	10 5
FL,N FL,M	239 595	116 363	123 232	469 1,181	464	1,027	85	9	5	55
FL,S	3,280 382	1,768	1,512 88	2,769	2,648	2,620	26 155	_2	43 5	78 43
GA, N GA, M GA, S	86 174	60 119	26 55	1,960 359	1,954	192 222	1,761 125	1	1 2	5 9

.....

# TABLE D-1 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		TER	MINATED				PEND	ING JUNE 3	0, 1988	
	0R	IGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS						
TOTAL TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	FELDNY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	NON- FUGITIVE	FUGITIVE	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
2,950	2,820	1,987	778	55	19	111	1,727	1,160	567	10TH
589 431 632 215 113 565 296 109 8,138	563 413 609 209 100 542 285 99 7,852	347 254 540 183 92 282 202 87 5,320	202 154 50 25 7 255 74 11 2,489	14 5 19 1 1 5 9 1 43	1 2 1 9 3 2 -	25 16 22 5 4 20 9 10 225	264 255 503 171 19 234 229 52 52 5,874	123 152 329 118 15 198 175 50 3,052	141 103 174 53 36 54 2 2,822	CD 
484 338 313 386 1,041 2,455 826 1,930 365	462 319 296 371 988 2,362 795 1,913 346	366 195 280 317 883 2,289 614 150 226	93 119 16 47 93 69 173 1,760 119	3 5 7 12 4 8 3 1	1 4 4 41 5 - 2	21 15 13 15 49 52 26 17 17	141 129 159 322 735 3,594 510 116 168	105 100 101 170 457 1,557 348 93 121	36 29 58 152 278 2,037 162 23 47	AL,N AL,M AL,S FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S

# TABLE D-1 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

PRIMARILY PETTY OFFENSES TRIED BY A JUDGE.
 INCLUDES APPEALS FROM MAGISTRATES, RE-OPENS AND REMANDS.

					•	
NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PER- CENT CHANGE 1988 OVER 1987 1
		[				
TOTAL	35,911	38,546	40,427	42,156	43,503	3.2
PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT INFORMATIONINDICTMENT WAIVED INFORMATIONOTHER	20,866 2,665 7,592	21,670 2,713 8,566	23,372 2,874 8,970	24,091 3,390 9,732	23,854 4,749 8,976	- 1.0 40.1 - 7.8
REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT	30	38	31	43	27	- 37.2
REMOVED FROM STATE COURT	20	30	6	20	23	15.0
REDPENED/REINSTATEDAPPEAL FROM U.S. MAGISTRATE	118	68	129 81	149	167	12.1
JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS	148	151 103	104	66 85	97	14.1
CONSENT BEFORE MAGISTRATE	4,059	5,012	4,718	4,410	5,353	21.4
RETRIAL ON MISTRIAL Retrial——Remand from appeals court	90 169	78 117	64 78	56 114	70 123	25.0 7.9
GENERAL DFFENSES		1				
HOMICIDE TOTAL	154	160	141	146	147	0.7
HURDER 1ST DEGREE	58	75	67	70	62	- 11.4
MURDER 2ND DEGREE	41	38	25	45	35	- 22.2
MANSLAUGHTER	55	47	49	31	50	61.3
ROBBERY, TOTAL	1,333	1,236	1,257	1,291	1,283	- 0.6
BANK	1,251	1,163	1,182	1,215	1,196	- 1.6
POSTAL	49	35	47	34	29	- 14.7
OTHER	33	38	28	42	58	38.1
ASSAULT	526	552	602	705	617	- 12.5

TABLE D-2 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Criminal cases commenced by major offense (excludes transfers) during the twelve month periods ended june 30, 1984 through 1988

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND DFFENSE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PER- CENT CHANGE 1988 DVER 1987 1
		1				
BURGLARY-BREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL	154	158	113	120	124	3.3
BANK	37	34	6	12	22	83.3
POSTAL	25	32	23	23	32	39.1
INTERSTATE SHIPHENTS	91	2	3 81	5 80	69	- 13.8
OTHER	91	90	21	80	67	- 13.0
LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL	3,397	3,571	3,590	3,453	3,531	2.3
8ANK	201	245	202	164	180	9.8
POSTAL.	495	504	563	559	541	- 3.2
INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS	228	200	178	236	196	- 17.0
DTHER U.S. PROPERTY	1,613	1,737	1,758	1,567 277	1,723	10.0 - 15.5
TRANSPORTATION, ETC., OF STOLEN PROPERTY	375	331 554	274 615	650	657	1.1
EMBEZZLEMENT, TOTAL	1,801	1,929	2,021	2,253	2,067	- 8.3
BAWK	974	956	905	994	794	- 20.1
POSTAL	311	348	383	430	484	12.6
OTHER	516	625	733	829	789	- 4.8
FRAUD, TOTAL	5,841	5,983	6,553	7,188	7,366	2.5
INCOME TAX	1,065	1,157	1,267	1,306	1,168	- 10.6
LENDING INSTITUTION	495	559	722	986	1,033	4.8
POSTAL.	1,341	1,446	1,225	1,570	1,246	- 20.6
VETERANS AND ALLDIMENTS	53 31	55	166	69 27	18	11.6 - 33.3
SDCIAL SECURITY	246	238	238	167	231	38.3
FALSE PERSONATION	46	36	33	43	35	- 18.6
NATIONALITY LAHS	141	102	167	111	116	4.5
PASSPORT FRAUD	105	78	125	144	354	145.8
FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS	1,295	1,308	1,403	1,377	1,672	21.4
OTHER	1,023	986	1,191	1,388	1,416	2.0
AUTD THEFT	289	300	338	253	293	15.8

TABLE D-2 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED BY MAJOR OFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE NONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1984 THROUGH 1988

NATURE DF PROCEEDINGS AND DFFENSE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PER- CEHT CHANGE 1988 DVER 1987 1
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING, TOTAL	1,968	2,118	2,368	2,135	1,674	- 21.6
TRANSPORTATION OF FORGED SECURITIES POSTAL FORGERY DTHER FORGERY COUNTERFEITING	165 112 1,223 468	156 117 1,446 399	103 165 1,736 364	120 104 1,425 486	55 108 982 529	- 54.2 3.8 - 31.1 8.8
SEX DFFENSES, TOTAL	163	266	286	358	511	42.7
SEXUAL ABUSE OTHER	117 46	128 138	99 187	124 234	170 341	37.1 45.7
DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT, TOTAL. ?.	5,606	6,690	7,893	8,870	10,291	16.0
MARIHUANA. NARCOTICS. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES	2,105 2,742 759	2,218 3,569 903	2,440 4,679 774	2,950 5,085 835	3,342 5,953 996	13.3 17.1 19.3
MISCELLANEDUS GENERAL OFFENSES, TOTAL	10,657	11,666	11,155	11,791	11,604	- 1.6
BRIBERY. DRUNK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC. ESCAPE.3 EXTORTION, RACKETEERING, AND THREATS. GAMBLING AND LOTTERY. KIDNAPPING. PERJURY. MEAPONS AND FIREARMS. OTHER.	156 7,226 804 446 41 77 133 1,666 108	194 8,103 781 494 26 63 142 1,671 192	158 7,359 753 411 61 60 158 1,919 276	187 7,917 821 399 41 57 157 1,921 291	192 7,653 876 409 70 55 133 2,023 193	$\begin{array}{r} 2.7\\ -3.3\\ 6.7\\ 2.5\\ 70.7\\ -3.5\\ -15.3\\ 5.3\\ -33.7\end{array}$

.

TABLE D-2 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED BY MAJOR DFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1984 THRDUGH 1988

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PER- CENT CHANGE 1988 DVER 1987 1
PECIAL DFFENSES						
IMMIGRATION LAWS	2,148	1,779	2,010	1,632	1,874	16.1
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	19	11	· 11	7	Э	-
FEDERAL STATUTES, TOTAL	1,855	2,127	2,089	1,954	2,098	7.4
AGRICULTURE/CDNSERVATION ACTS. ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS FODD AND DRUG ACT. MIGRATORY BIRD LAMS. MOTOR CARRIER ACT. NATIONAL DEFENSE LAMS. CIVIL RIGHTS.4 CONTEMPT. CUSTOMS LAMS. PDSTAL LAMS. DTHER.	259 77 56 67 114 103 69 63 214 758	413 60 41 54 84 80 103 55 80 239 918	324 45 77 38 77 117 80 46 80 243 962	224 73 60 43 50 77 105 69 75 215 963	294 70 41 31 61 119 91 54 119 224 994	$\begin{array}{r} 31.3 \\ - 4.1 \\ - 31.7 \\ - 27.9 \\ 22.0 \\ 54.5 \\ - 13.3 \\ - 21.7 \\ 58.7 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.2 \end{array}$

TABLE D-2 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED BY MAJOR OFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1984 THROUGH 1988

1 PERCENT CHANGE IS COMPUTED ON 10 OR MORE CASES.

2 THE COMPREHENSIVE DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT OF 1970 (PUBLIC LAW 91-513) BECAME EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 1971.

3 INCLUDES ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY, AIDING OR ABETTING AN ESCAPE, FAILURE TO APPEAR IN COURT AND BAIL JUMPING.

4 THESE INCLUDE CASES RENOVED FROM STATE COURTS UNDER PROVISION OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 28 U.S.C. 1443.

L

------

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PER- CENT CHANGE 1988 DVER 1987 1
FOTAL	49,765	53,060	55,886	57,543	59,977	4.2
PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT INFORMATIONINDICTMENT MAIVED INFORMATIONOTHER REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT REMOVED FROM TATE COURT REMOVED FROM U.S. MAGISTRATE JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS CONSENT BEFORE MAGISTRATE RETRIAL ON MISTRIAL RETRIAL ON MISTRIAL	33,781 2,974 7,906 40 21 139 156 172 4,222 123 231	35,121 3,011 8,990 51 30 81 152 132 5,248 92 152	37,757 3,120 9,439 41 6 140 87 108 4,981 87 120	38,322 3,701 10,272 60 21 206 69 90 4,565 74 163	38,716 5,476 9,555 30 23 196 67 113 5,546 93 162	$1.0 \\ 48.0 \\ - 7.0 \\ 9.5 \\ - 4.9 \\ - 2.9 \\ 25.6 \\ 21.5 \\ 25.7 \\ - 0.6 \\ $
GENERAL DEFENSES						
HOMICIDE TOTAL	207	188	170	176	194	10.2
MURDER 1ST DEGREE MURDER 2ND DEGREE MARSLAUGHTER	91 58 58	93 48 47	89 30 51	82 60 34	92 49 53	12.2 - 18.3 55.9
ROBBERY, TOTAL	1,715	1,561	1,545	1,547	1,523	- 1.6
BANK Postal Other	1,597 68 50	1,458 48 55	1,440 68 37	1,432 54 61	1,411 36 76	- 1.5 - 33.3 24.6
ASSAULT	592	612	657	777	682	- 12.2

TABLE D-2 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED BY MAJOR DFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1984 THROUGH 1988

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PER- CENT CHANGE 1988 DVER 1987 1
BURGLARYBREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL	198	205	144	154	146	- 5.2
BANK POSTAL INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER	46 30 3 119	41 41 2 121	6 31 4 103	16 33 8 97	27 37 1 81	68.8 12.1 - 16.5
LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL	4,328	4,432	4,352	4,189	4,178	- 0.3
BANK POSTAL. INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER U.S. PROPERTY TRANSPORTATION, ETC., OF STOLEN PROPERTY OTHER.	267 644 426 1,830 624 537	310 643 329 1,984 544 622	252 692 311 1,951 479 667	199 735 362 1,756 423 714	222 698 304 1,876 377 701	$ \begin{array}{r} 11.6 \\ - 5.0 \\ - 16.0 \\ 6.8 \\ - 10.9 \\ - 1.8 \end{array} $
EMBEZZLEMENT, TOTAL	1,932	2,114	2,204	2,515	2,206	- 12.3
BANK Postal Other	1,041 317 574	1,031 357 726	971 389 844	1,097 440 978	840 496 870	- 23.4 12.7 - 11.1
FRAUD, TOTAL	7,716	7,841	8,786	9,461	9,853	4.1
INCOME TAX LENDING INSTITUTION. POSTAL VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE. SOCIAL SECURITY FALSE PERSONATION. NATIONALITY LAHS. PASSPORT FRAUD. FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS. OTHER.	1,254 610 2,133 54 35 260 54 158 122 1,596 1,440	1,383 708 2,118 55 29 248 45 131 83 1,650 1,391	1,521 889 1,996 166 19 252 44 180 130 1,826 1,763	1,571 1,215 2,321 72 51 173 47 133 151 1,797 1,930	1,413 1,289 1,929 78 30 265 42 125 364 2,095 2,223	$\begin{array}{c} -10.1\\ 6.1\\ -16.9\\ 8.3\\ -41.2\\ 53.2\\ -10.6\\ -6.0\\ 141.1\\ 16.6\\ 15.2\end{array}$
AUTO THEFT	484	459	532	374	502	34.2

TABLE D-2 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Criminal defendants commenced by major offense (excludes transfers) during the thelve month periods ended june 30, 1984 through 1988

4.0

÷

.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PER- CENT CHANGE 1988 OVER 1987 1
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING, TOTAL	2,669	2,901	3,095	2,899	2,324	- 19.8
TRANSPORTATION OF FORGED SECURITIES POSTAL FORGERY OTHER FORGERY Counterfeiting.	238 183 1,539 709	272 197 1,854 578	144 242 2,129 580	163 147 1,786 803	75 145 1,260 844	- 54.0 - 1.4 - 29.5 5.1
SEX DFFENSES, TOTAL	188	315	308	391	530	35.5
SEXUAL ABUSE DTHER	139 49	155 160	105 203	137 254	177 353	29.2 39.0
DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT, TOTAL. <sup>2</sup> .	11,854	13,719	15,762	17,120	19,465	13.7
HARIHUANA Narcotics Controlled Substances	4,554 5,828 1,472	4,196 7,635 1,888	4,498 9,726 1,538	4,927 10,376 1,817	5,495 12,083 1,887	11.5 16.5 3.9
MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFENSES, TOTAL	11,969	13,041	12,445	12,768	12,776	0.1
BRIBERY. DRUMK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC. ESCAPE3. EXTORTION, RACKETEERING, AND THREATS GAMBLING AND LOTTERY. KIDDAPPING PERJURY. WEAPONS AND FIREARMS. OTHER.	211 7,319 855 1,015 174 121 158 1,995 121	295 8,292 833 1,021 121 90 168 1,999 222	236 7,567 804 847 244 92 191 2,170 294	280 8,034 879 657 131 83 188 2,180 336	275 7,795 912 736 261 77 160 2,345 215	- 1.8 - 3.0 3.8 12.0 99.2 - 7.2 - 7.2 - 14.9 7.6 - 36.0

TABLE D-2 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED BY MAJOR DFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1984 THROUGH 1988

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	PER- CENT CHANGE 1988 DVER 1987 1
PECIAL OFFENSES						
IMMIGRATION LAWS	3,171	2,663	2,855	2,297	2,512	9.4
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	28	17	16	12	9	-
FEDERAL STATUTES, TOTAL	2,714	2,992	3,015	2,863	3,077	7.5
AGRICULTURE/CONSERVATION ACTS. ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS FOUD AND DRUG ACT. MIGRATORY BIRD LANS. MOTOR CARRIER ACT. NATIONAL DEFENSE LANS. CIVIL RIGHTS 4. CONTEMPT. CUSTOMS LANS. POSTAL LANS. DTHER.	383 216 90 81 87 203 184 90 126 221 1,033	577 111 79 76 101 129 163 64 140 248 1,304	378 105 118 55 80 237 162 54 149 253 1,424	363 167 99 75 54 151 167 80 125 228 1,354	421 205 73 49 87 239 138 65 158 240 1,402	$\begin{array}{r} 16.0\\ 22.8\\ -26.3\\ -34.7\\ 61.1\\ 58.3\\ -17.4\\ -18.8\\ 26.4\\ 5.3\\ 3.5\end{array}$

TABLE D-2 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED BY MAJOR OFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1984 THRDUGH 1988

1 PERCENT CHANGE IS COMPUTED ON 10 DR MORE CASES.

2 THE COMPREHENSIVE DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT OF 1970 (PUBLIC LAW 91-513) BECAME EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 1971.

3 INCLUDES ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY, AIDING OR ABETTING AN ESCAPE, FAILURE TO APPEAR IN COURT AND BAIL JUMPING.

A THESE INCLUDE CASES REMOVED FROM STATE COURTS UNDER PROVISION OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 28 U.S.C. 1443.

					GENERAL I	OFFENSES				
CIRCUIT AHD DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	FORGERY AND Clunter- Feiting
TOTAL	43,503	147	1,283	617	124	3,531	2,067	7,366	293	1,674
DC	625	1	9	3	1	76	31	121	7	57
1ST	1, 396	2	15	13	1	81	56	482	4	40
ME MA NH RI PR 2ND	177 317 42 92 768 2,454	1 - - 1 1	3 9 - 3 53	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - 6	7 36 4 8 26 187	6 12 1 3 34 197	24 91 9 40 318 558	3 	3 13 1 23 97
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	219 239 735 871 294 96		8 15 19 8 2	1 - 5 8 2 1	1 5 -	7 22 52 60 44 2	36 16 42 69 32 2	58 53 154 202 74 17	- 	8 39 32 18 -
3RD	1,969	17	£7	27	44	199	146	508	4	116
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	85 668 419 225 248 324	- 2 - 2 13	1 8 8 11 31	3 2 1 3 18	- - - 1 43	13 85 21 12 17 51	4 54 24 12 45 7	20 223 131 62 52 20	3	46 31 10 22 7

# TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		GENERAL OFF	ENSES-CONT	INUED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
DAP	CA (DRUG)	LAWS	HEAPONS					[	1		1
MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- GRATIDN LAWS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAHS+	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
3,342	5,953	<del>9</del> 97	2,023	7,653	876	1,564	1,894	294	224	1,581	TOTAL
10	169	27	8	1	17	25	10	36	1	15	DC
35	241	14	70	43	11	46	189	1	5	47	1ST
17 5 	45 43 8 21 124	2 8 1 2	10 36 14 5 5	43	1 4 - 1 5	3 31 2 4 6	7 3 - 2 177	1		2 21 2 3 19	ME MA NH RI PR
42	736	17	88	28	25	149	67	4	56	121	2ND
- 2 14 5 19 2	43 45 294 290 41 23	1 3 5 2 -	29 7 16 20 5 11	23 	4 2 8 - 7	7 14 29 83 11 5	2 14 11 7 14 19	1 2	4 5 39 4 -	9 29 44 18 17 4	
58	270	63	65	51	29	132	34	9	10	120	3RD
5 21 11 12 - 9	22 53 77 36 37 45	2 6 29 10 16 -	6 9 30 6 7 7	- 29 - 13 - 9	1 - 9 10 4 5	3 49 26 11 17 26	1 2 1 - - 30	- - - 1 2	1 4 2 1 2 -	3 66 19 18 13 1	DE PA,E PA,M PA,W YI

#### TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

\_\_\_\_\_

			,		GENERAL	OFFENSES				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING
4TH	6,326	11	129	120	11	548	172	546	43	198
MD NC,E NC,M SC YA,E YA,H HV,N HV,S	803 1,211 249 341 359 2,771 165 191 236	23-2-4	42 9 30 23 11 9 4 1	8 11 3 17 2 76 - 1 2	- 22 - 3 - 1 3	71 79 30 26 33 295 7 7 4 3	25 16 13 19 34 30 8 11 16	102 37 38 75 64 142 26 19 43	3 2 1 7 8 5 4 5 8	7 25 36 34 40 37 6 8 5
5TH	4,821	5	73	57	6	335	198	819	24	201
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	410 58 208 96 192 676 167 1,865 1,149	- 1 - 2 1 1	8 2 1 7 3 19 7 10 16	3 1 6 2 6 10 1 21 7	- - - 1 - 3 2	38 5 24 8 3 59 4 63 131	21 10 17 15 13 36 9 40 37	109 21 64 28 62 129 60 176 170	6 - 1 1 7 1 3 4	36 4 13 5 15 41 8 33 46
6TH	3,318	1	89	44	4	606	229	641	83	168
KY,E KY,H MI,E DH,N DH,S TN,E TN,M TN,M	183 601 590 171 470 462 212 313 316	- - - - 1	17 9 8 3 21 13 10 3 5	3 19 10 - 1 2 1 5 3	- 1 - 1 1 -	8 334 57 4 43 71 34 34 21	7 11 42 28 36 27 27 27 27 27 27	20 47 156 50 113 110 34 55 56	5 4 2 40 12 10 8 2	11 7 32 11 26 18 12 24 27

TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		OFFENSES	SPECIAL				TINUED	ENSES-CON	GENERAL OFF		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	OTHER	POSTAL LAHS+	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	IMMI- GRATION LAHS	OTHER	ESCAPE	TRAFFIC	HEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	LAHS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	CA (DRUG) NAR- CDTICS	DAP MARI- HUANA
4TH	279	20	38	13	276	82	2,335	271	172	637	425
MD NC,E NC,H SC YA,E YA,E YA,S HV,S	14 115 6 18 27 71 15 4 9 169	9 1 	- 13 - 12 - - - 7	4 3 1 - - 5 - - 521	25 21 15 11 34 124 15 15 16 122	13 5 9 6 25 4 6 5	207 752 25 1,342 4 	37 16 13 10 35 88 47 8 17 325	91 9 6 7 3 40 4 1 11 199	104 28 40 34 190 15 95 88 550	39 64 5 16 9 270 1 12 9 9
LA,E LA,M LA,M MS,N MS,S TX,N TX,E TX,S TX,H 6TH	167 24 2 22 4 6 24 13 49 25 135	18 8 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 18	2 - - - - 1 3 16	921 9 - 3 43 2 329 129 13	122 18 2 12 7 22 14 7 19 21 120	195 4 1 5 1 3 63 6 85 27 65		125 13 7 8 6 15 60 5 134 77 218	16 5 1 10 26 18 58 65	80 2 7 8 19 110 18 185 121 526	15 12 2 9 28 646 193 163
КУ, Е КҮ, М МІ, Е МІ, Н ОН, N ТИ, Е ТИ, М	12 16 26 13 23 11 11 10 13	125-24-4-	1 9 2 1 1 1 - 1 -	2 - 5 3 1 - - - 2	7 11 28 10 23 11 11 11 8	11 4 21 1 9 3 2 5 9	- 18 1 1 - 12 9 89	17 14 53 12 49 21 14 12 26	7 14 6 3 1 1 3 7 7	39 34 116 14 73 124 25 14 87	15 47 19 17 8 20 8 4 25

# TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Criminal Cases Commenced (excludes transfers) during the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

1

- \_ \_\_

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		GENERAL	OFFENSES		+		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	FORGERY AND Counter- Feiting
7TH	2,162	4	40	15	3	209	181	597	22	115
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N NI,E WI,E BTH	797 216 219 234 420 141 135 2,143	- - 3 1 - - - - 20	11 2 2 1 10 11 3 71	2 - 3 1 8 1 - 68	2 - 1	112 10 37 14 16 13 7	104 21 9 14 13 9 11	243 61 93 81 47 36 36 36	2 1 5 8 2 - 4 13	61 3 8 21 12 4 6 95
AR, E AR, H IA, N IA, S MD, E MD, H ND SD 9TH	165 109 72 100 287 268 670 124 121 227 9,745	- - 2 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	12 1 3 6 13 8 18 6 2 2 477	- 4 1 - 1 - 14 42 135	1 3 - 1 - 3 - 31	12 10 3 5 10 16 19 9 10 26 648	9 6 2 21 5 31 4 8 6 419	36 23 20 41 54 68 78 48 27 21 1,407	6 2 1 1 1 1 25	13 16 1 10 13 9 20 6 6 6 1 235
AK AZ CA.E CA.C CA.S HI ID MT OR MA.E HA.H GUAM	241 948 804 545 998 1,788 1,550 144 193 342 371 471 1,262 75 13	- 23 3 1 6 2 1 1 9 - 3 2 1 - 3 2 1 - 3	4 32 50 63 168 33 1 3 5 22 61 6 26 26 3 -	- 26 2 4 18 19 7 4 25 7 8 1 9 5 -	- 335474 - 1 - 3 -	45 34 66 61 90 44 60 10 17 20 31 36 127 7 7	12 48 139 54 47 27 9 12 5 13 17 8 22 6 -	32 211 152 71 188 293 52 32 36 119 65 50 90 16 -	- 1 7 5 - 1 - 7 1 - 7 1 - 1 - 1	5 17 27 15 79 20 5 6 4 25 9 13 10 

TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		GENERAL OF	ENSES-CON	TINUED				SPECIAL O	FFENSES		
DAPI MARI- HUANA	CA (DRUG) NAR- CUTICS	LANS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	HEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- Gration Lams	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	PDSTAL LahS≠	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
87	312	28	112	211	45	89	9	3	14	66	7тн
5 22 10 10 35 3 2 57	104 46 20 49 22 34 37 381	9 3 2 1 6 - 7 55	56 10 11 9 11 11 4 120	14 5 187 1 4 352	12 5 1 2 18 2 5 5	46 9 5 10 13 5 1 98	5 1 - - 2 28	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6 3 1 1 3 -	17 5 3 11 18 8 4	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S MI,E MI,W 8TH
11 7 3 10 1 1 5 3 13 1,128	21 2 23 15 90 62 110 22 13 23 852	3 5 3 10 9 4 2 1 18 256	10 7 2 14 47 19 1 8 6 312	15 	8 	7 3 2 3 10 10 7 12 8 36 224	2 2 1 5 4 3 1 2 7 1 812	1 	3 2 2 2 1 - - -	10 1 5 	AR, E AR, H IA, N IA, S MN MD, H NE ND SD 9TH
32 269 28 21 29 549 37 1 4 4 14 22 114 22 2	9 54 111 39 148 94 39 33 30 60 149 78 5 -	14 12 26 27 11 76 4 2 - 8 27 24 19 6 6 -	23 30 22 22 40 27 5 9 11 23 23 41 34 1 1	20 82 18 2 3 1,303 15 3 14 - 1 653 2 1	1 32 9 30 35 - 3 11 14 2 8 1 -	5 45 222 11 43 22 8 8 14 10 13 3 11 6 3	8 93 39 435 2 12 5 3 13 67 23 10 -	27 2 - 2 - 4 1 12 14 4 1 27 7 - -	- 1 7 4 10 8 1 - - 10 - - 1 - - -	4 15 45 18 46 85 11 13 4 12 10 19 25 5 2	AK CA, N CA, E CA, E CA, C CA, S HI HD HD HD HA, E HA, E HA, E HA, M

TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

1949 0 1940 AV 1020-0

	[	ļ	·····	1	GENERAL	OFFENSES	·····-	r		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	RDBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	FORGERY AND Counter- Feiting
10TH	2,472	25	68	72	3	190	104	513	31	87
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,M UT WY 11TH	446 369 567 171 69 493 276 81 6,072	- 1 17 1 - 3 2 1 5	19 16 7 4 10 5 -	16 8 24 1 1 7 9 6 46	- - 1 - - 6	41 34 13 6 2 64 26 4 332	19 21 22 7 8 20 4 3 240	77 78 82 72 16 108 61 19 758	1 23 2 4 1 - 32	12 16 7 22 5 17 5 3 265
AL, N AL, S FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, S	341 282 177 294 737 1,476 611 1,884 270	- - 1 - 3 - 1 -	7 5 1 16 46 60 35 8 14	5 1  6 4 14 14 1 1	- - - 2 1 1	36 51 8 17 31 46 59 75 9	20 12 9 10 29 80 59 8 13	61 42 55 30 157 239 106 37 31	5 6 - 2 4 4 5 3 3	39 20 18 7 50 74 29 10 18

.

# TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Criminal Cases commenced (excludes transfers) during the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

.

		GENERAL OF	FENSES-CONT	INVED				SPECIAL	DFFENSES		
DA MARI- HUANA	PCA (DRUG) NAR- COTICS	LAHS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	HEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- GRATION LAMS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL Lahs*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
154	267	61	140	323	61	141	101	44	11	76	10TH
14 12 87 - 4 13 18 6 270	59 20 62 14 1 61 41 9 1,012	6 3 19 8 3 7 10 5 56	26 13 28 16 11 20 14 12 294	85 81 7 	9 23 12 3 	24 30 31 7 5 15 25 4 142	3 1 88 - 1 7 1 97	15 3 15 2 - 6 3 20	3 2 - 1 - 2 3 - 17	17 7 22 3 3 5 17 2 175	CO KS DK,N DK,N DK,H UT HY HY
21 8 20 16 55 124 13 6 7	24 18 23 105 246 447 92 16 41	13 	30 14 21 6 28 139 33 17 6	37 83 - 8 9 - 67 1,672 102	17 5 1 22 12 64 8 1 5	9 7 15 29 28 31 6 11	- - - - - - - - -	- 2 - 2 1 7 1 5 2	1 - - 2 3 2 2 2	16 7 55 19 50 35 14 4	, AL, N , AL, M , FL, N , FL, N , FL, S , GA, N , GA, S

#### TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIHINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

 CBSTRUCTING MAIL, MAILING NON-MAILABLE MATERIAL AND OTHER POSTAL REGULATIONS.

					GE	NERAL OFFEN	ISES	· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EHBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	AUTD THEFT	FURGERY AND CDUNTER- FEITING
TOTAL	59,977	194	1,523	682	146	4,178	2,206	9,853	502	2,324
DC	875	1	10	4	1	87	35	129	10	70
15T	1,958	3	17	14	1	126	61	601	4	53
HE MA NH PR 2ND	201 566 49 135 1,007 3,934	1 - - 2 3	4 9 - 4 75	- 3 - 1 10 19		9 59 4 10 44 222	6 17 1 3 34 214	29 161 9 62 340 762	3 1 - - - 10	7 20 1 25 137
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	349 353 1,309 1,422 368 133	- - 1 2 -	22 1 16 24 10 2	1 - 6 9 2 1	1  5  +	12 24 69 69 46 2	38 16 52 72 34 2	79 77 237 254 93 22	2 - 5 - 3 -	13 61 44 19
3RD	2,851	19	83	35	49	257	149	719	9	148
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M YI	111 951 725 304 3£4 396	- 2 - 2 - 15	1 12 8 8 14 40	3 2 - 3 3 24		13 107 33 15 24 65	5 55 24 12 46 7	27 288 230 81 73 20	- 5 - 4	- 48 43 21 28 8

#### TABLE D-3 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		GENERAL OFF	ENSES-CONT	INUED		<b></b>		SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
DAP	CA (DRUG)	LAHS CONTROL-	HEAPONS AND				IMMI-	AGRI-			CIRCUIT
MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	LED SUB- STANCES	FIRE ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	DTHER	GRATION LAWS		POSTAL LAWS#	OTHER	AND DISTRICT
5,495	12,083	1,888	2,345	7,795	912	2,259	2,512	421	240	2,419	TOTAL
13	326	39	8	1	17	41	10	50	1	22	DC
77	491	26	80	43	11	69	197	2	5	77	1ST
19 6 - 4 48	55 124 12 37 263	2 16 3 2 3	10 44 15 5 6	43	1 - 1 5	3 51 2 5 8	7 3 - 2 185	2		2 45 2 3 25	HE HA NH RI PR
53	1,603	58	129	28	25	252	112	4	56	166	2ND
- 2 16 6 25 4	91 110 602 716 47 37	2 6 35 9 6 -	38 8 33 32 6 12	23 4 1	4 2 8 - 7	29 19 73 94 30 7	2 22 29 8 22 29	1 2 - - 1	4 4 5 39 4 -	10 35 62 32 20 7	CT NY,H NY,E NY,S NY,M VT
83	545	120	76	52	31	162	63	13	10	228	3RD
6 30 14 17 1 15	34 131 176 54 94 56	2 17 59 15 27 -	9 9 36 6 7 9	30 	1 - 9 11 5 5	3 66 38 11 18 26	1 12 3 - 47	- - 1 4	1 4 2 1 2 -	5 125 50 30 17 1	DE PA.E PA.H PA.H YI

#### TABLE D-3 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIHINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

a kan kanan ana ang kanang kanan kanan

				······	GE	NERAL OFFE	ISES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO THEFT	FORGERY AND Counter- Feiting
4TH	7,634	14	159	126	12	611	180	724	96	278
MD NC,E NC,H SC YA,E YA,E WY,N WY,S	1,018 1,327 367 455 512 3,061 282 239 373	24 - 2 - 6	47 13 34 27 16 13 8 1 -	8 11 3 20 2 79 - 1 2	- 2 - 3 - 1 4	86 85 36 35 43 309 9 5 3	25 16 13 19 34 36 8 12 17	129 57 50 86 106 176 41 22 57	3 3 7 11 33 8 6 5 20	11 32 47 58 42 19 12 12
5TH	7,353	5	87	62	6	416	217	1,213	63	266
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, S	626 67 442 140 268 1,051 283 3,015 1,461	- 1 - 2 - 1 1	11 2 1 8 4 24 7 11 19	3 1 6 12 1 23 8	- - - 1 - 3 2	48 5 25 22 3 69 10 89 145	21 11 17 15 15 44 9 45 40	136 26 93 42 78 250 94 295 199	13 	46 5 18 53 9 55 59
6TH	4,722	2	103	50	5	680	243	843	126	254
KY,E KY,W MI,H DH,N DH,S TN,S TN,M TN,M	294 741 1,039 264 644 575 264 393 508	- - 1 - - 1	22 11 9 23 14 11 5 5	3 19 15 1 2 1 5 4	- 2 1 - 1 1 1 	13 341 84 53 79 35 39 32	7 12 42 31 36 27 33 30 25	28 75 233 70 136 119 41 68 73	23 4 2 61 13 11 8 4	25 10 37 15 33 20 12 33 69

TABLE D-3 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Criminal defendants commenced (excludes transfers) during the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

		GENERAL OFFI	ENSES-CONT	FINUED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
DAS MARI HUANA	NAR- COTICS	LANS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	HEAPONS And Fire- Arms	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- GRATIDH LAHS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL Lahs*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
502	1,178	238	314	2,341	88	315	20	66	20	352	4TH
39 73 8 25 11 311 2 12 21 1,606	217 77 107 70 77 317 44 117 152 1,110	109 15 6 11 3 51 18 10 15 381	46 21 14 13 43 96 50 12 19 398	207 752 	14 8 10 9 7 25 4 6 5 198	29 22 16 11 36 129 23 18 31 167	4 3 4 - 9 - - - 784	13 17 15 21 - 10	9 1 - - - 1 22	33 119 9 29 32 36 25 5 14 258	HD NC, M NC, M NC, M YA, E YA, E YA, R HV, N HV, S STH
45 183 6 28 43 17 1.017 267 249	163 3 15 14 38 194 49 442 192 1,119	27 10 2 20 48 48 112 114 98	16 8 7 17 92 5 163 82 250	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	4 1 5 1 3 66 6 85 27 66	30 2 14 7 23 24 7 39 21 233	12 - 7 - 3 62 2 525 173 20	5 1 - - 1 3 19	11 1 3 2 - 2 2 19	35 2 34 5 8 39 16 90 29 213	LA, E LA, M HS, N HS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H 6TH
23 55 38 40 8 23 15 7 40	73 81 351 43 140 196 47 31 157	9 24 18 8 1 3 5 20 10	18 16 57 12 62 28 16 13 28	- 18 1 1 - 12 9 89 -	11 4 22 1 9 3 2 5 9	12 16 79 13 47 16 12 24 14	2 - 531 9	292221	125 - 24 - 5 -	22 42 38 18 28 14 13 10 28	КҮ,Е КҮ,И МІ,Е МІ,М ОН,N ОН,S ТN,Е ТN,И

#### TABLE D-3 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

\_\_\_\_\_

					GE	NERAL OFFEI	NSES		<b>*</b>	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO THEFT	FORGERY AND Counter- Feiting
7TH	3,121	5	51	17	6	259	200	804	23	177
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S MI,E MI,H 8TH	1,264 273 284 365 548 220 167 2,874		16 2 3 1 10 16 3 90	2 5 1 8 1 - 76	5 - - - - 13	154 11 40 14 20 13 7	122 21 10 14 13 9 11	358 77 107 105 66 50 41 553	2 1 5 9 2 - 4 23	102 3 8 38 16 4 6 113
АR, E AR, H IA, N IA, S MN MD, E ND SD 9TH	235 145 116 121 448 381 802 177 156 293 12,719	- - - 2 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	14 4 3 8 16 10 23 7 2 3 534	- 4 1 - 15 49 150	1 3 - 2 - 7 7 37	15 13 3 6 17 22 24 12 13 33 773	9 6 2 23 6 31 4 8 6 446	64 30 26 43 74 103 94 65 31 23 1,853	9 7 4 1 - 1 - 35	15 19 1 10 17 11 24 8 7 1 323
АК АZ CA, N CA, E CA, C HI ID HT NY DR HA, H GUAM NHI	273 1,287 1,186 716 1,563 2,251 1,658 194 280 538 563 472 1,631 81 26	- 28 3 13 3 1 1 1 12 - 3 2 1 - 5	5 39 58 70 183 36 8 23 66 31 3 3	- 28 4 6 200 26 8 4 27 7 8 1 12 5 -	- 835 474 - 1 - 1 -	45 47 83 67 118 54 60 13 28 34 42 37 138 7 7	12 50 145 54 58 27 9 12 10 14 19 8 22 6	34 243 204 104 328 97 40 44 197 83 50 114 21 -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	5 28 30 20 118 25 5 9 7 34 18 13 11 -

#### TABLE D-3 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRININAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		OFFENSES	SPECIAL				INUED	ENSES-CON	GENERAL OFF		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	OTHER	POSTAL Laws*	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	IMMI- Gration Laws	DTHER	ESCAPE	TRAFFIC	HEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	LAHS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	NAR- COTICS	DAF HARI- HUANA
т	86	17	3	10	165	50	216	121	51	630	230
IL,N IL,C IL,C IN,S IN,S HI,E WI,H 8TH	22 7 3 12 28 8 6 92	8 3 - 1 1 4 - 13		5 2 1 - - 2 31	113 11 5 13 15 7 1 126	14 6 1 20 2 5 5		60 10 13 9 14 11 4 128	15 3 6 3 15 2 7 7 98	261 71 29 73 45 89 62 669	5 31 38 69 82 3 2 2 131
	13 5 19 12 17 15 2 5 4	4 - 2 2 - 1 - -	1 2 4 2 1 - 13	2 2 5 4 3 1 2 9 1	8 3 14 22 7 19 9 39	8 	15 	12 7 2 6 14 48 23 1 9 6	3 9 4 - 18 12 14 2 1 35	26 8 34 25 177 107 197 38 22 35	31 8 14 7 28 3 1 12 5 22
	569 4 21 65 24 80 120 11 17 6 14 14 19 19 166 6 2	48 - 1 9 4 10 12 1 - 10 - 10 - 1 - - -	148 45 3 - 2 7 1 21 26 5 2 27 9 -	1,006 8 118 9 99 100 520 3 12 7 7 3 19 67 31 10 -	314 50 25 15 66 38 11 8 15 36 13 3 14 6 9	161 1 33 12 9 30 36 - - 3 12 14 14 2 8 1 -	2,210 20 - 82 18 2 3 1,308 15 3 14 - 1 741 2 1	347 25 32 27 27 29 9 9 5 10 14 28 23 41 35 1 1	489 17 24 43 58 21 165 5 10 - 18 70 24 28 6 -	1,676 15 107 295 96 336 183 73 14 64 66 130 149 142 5 1	1,525 32 426 88 61 632 55 2 5 5 7 7 37 22 122 2 2 6

TABLE D-3 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMENAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE THELVE NONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

					GE	NERAL OFFE	NSES		1	r
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HUMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO THEFT	FORGERY AND CDUNTER- FEITING
10TH	3,225	34	80	76	3	204	109	620	47	122
CD KS DK,N DK,N DK,H UT HY	548 466 788 258 102 587 358 118	- 20 2 - 3 6 1	20 19 8 10 6 12 5 -	16 8 27 1 1 7 9 7		50 34 14 7 3 66 26 4	19 21 25 7 8 22 4 3	86 89 101 87 21 125 81 30	3  35  1 	13 32 8 29 7 22 7 4
11TH	8,711	5	234	53	7	385	255	1,032	56	383
AL,N AL,S FL,N FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,S	492 372 350 464 1,126 2,691 911 1,955 350	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	11 6 1 54 77 43 8 16	6 1 - 6 4 19 15 1 1	- - - - 3 1	42 52 10 21 36 58 74 83 9	20 13 11 11 30 88 60 9 13	67 49 86 47 197 335 145 46 60	8 6 - 4 7 19 3 5	48 23 35 10 59 139 37 13 13

TABLE D-3 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		GENERAL OFF	ENSES-CON	TINUED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
DAF MARI- HUANA	PCA (DRUG) HAR- COTICS	LAWS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	HEAPONS AND FIRE ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- GRATION LAMS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAHS#	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
240	491	154	156	323	67	182	148	51	12	106	10TH
35 17 133 1 7 14 21 12 786	102 45 119 37 2 110 61 15 2,245	13 6 33 42 12 22 18 8 136	27 15 34 16 15 20 17 12 338	85 81 ? - 128 20 2 2,014	11 27 12 3 - 11 2 1 1 39	25 51 33 8 8 15 33 9 233	4 1 133 - 1 8 1 111	15 3 18 3 - 7 5 32	3 3 1 2 3 - 17	21 12 27 3 4 6 29 4 250	CD KS NM DK,N DK,E OK,H UT HY HY
73 19 87 35 115 388 48 13 8	43 34 48 191 474 1,091 230 51 83	25 32 14 14 26 24 1 -	31 14 22 6 32 172 37 17 7	73 83 - 8 9 - 67 1,672 102	17 5 3 22 12 66 8 1 5	9 48 10 19 40 48 38 8 13	- 1 - 7 94 9 -	- 2 - 4 2 15 2 5 2	1 - - 2 3 2 2	18 16 5 46 29 63 49 20 4	AL, H AL, M FL, N FL, M FL, M FL, M GA, N GA, M

#### TABLE D-3 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

\* OBSTRUCTING WAIL, MAILING NON-MAILABLE MATERIAL AND OTHER POSTAL REGULATIONS.

.\_\_\_\_

.

			NOT	CONVICTED			CONV	ICTED AND	SENTENCED	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL DEFEN-		DIS-	ACQUI B1			PLEA OF	NOLO COX-	כםאע 8'	ICTED Y
	DANTS	TOTAL	MISSED*	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	GUILTY	TENDERE	COURT	JURY
TOTAL	52,791	9,889	8,368	453	1,068	42,902	36,917	597	1,267	4,121
GENERAL OFFENSES										
HOMICIDE	150	40	24	1	15	110	86	-	-	24
MURDER-FIRST DEGREE MURDER-SECOND DEGREE MANSLAUGHTER	45 42 63	12 16 12	8 6 10	- 1 -	4 9 2	33 26 51	21 20 45			12 6 6
ROBBERY	1,195	131	104	2	25	1,064	925	2	5	132
BANK Postal Other	1,100 29 66	104 4 23	83 4 17	2 - -	19 - 6	996 25 43	876 16 33	2 - -	5 - -	113 9 10
ASSAULT	599	163	126	8	29	436	334	•	22	76
BURGLARY	111	19	18	-	1	92	83	1	-	8
BANG. POSTAL. INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER.	25 34 - 52	4 6 - 9	4 6 - 8	-	- - 1	21 28 43	18 26 39	- 1 -		3 1 - 4

# TABLÉ D-4 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND UFFENSE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

			ток	CONVICTED			CON	FICTED AND	SENTENCED	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL DEFEN-		DIS-	ACQUI BY	TTED		PLEA DF	NOLD CON-	CDNV	
MAJURE UF UFFENSE	DANTS	TOTAL	MISSED*	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	GUILTY	TENDERE	COURT	JURY
LARCENY AND THEFT	3,865	706	603	38	65	3,159	2,866	33	82	178
BANK. POSTAL. INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER U.S. PROPERTY. TRANSPORT ETC, STOLEN PROP. DTHER.	189 736 302 1,693 266 679	11 76 30 362 37 190	68 21 317 29 162	- - 19 1 18	5 8 9 26 7 10	178 660 272 1,331 229 489	162 629 224 1,222 201 428	- 2 13 3 15	1 7 35 4 31	15 24 42 61 21
EMBEZZLEMENT	2,109	231	208	Э	20	1,878	1,800	14	8	56
BANK. POSTAL. OTHER	880 391 838	85 45 101	77 38 93	- 1 2	8 6 6	795 346 737	769 329 702	8 - 6	1 2 5	17 15 24
FRAUD	9,071	1,340	1,123	24	193	7,731	6,853	69	58	751
INCOME TAX LENDING INSTITUTION POJTAL. VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE SOCIAL SECURITY. FALSE PERSONATION NATIONALITY LAMS PASSPORT FRAUD. FALSE CLAIMS & STATEMENTS OTHER.	1,371 1,117 1,886 83 24 237 49 143 359 1,898 1,904	143 157 297 17 4 43 12 9 27 317 314	96 129 229 17 4 41 12 8 26 285 276	2 4 10 - - - 3 5	45 24 58 - 2 - 1 1 29 33	1,228 960 1,589 66 20 194 37 134 332 1,581 1,581	1,036 873 1,374 65 14 178 35 132 325 1,433 1,388	23 7 15 - 3 - 1 11 9	16 8 9 - 1 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 1 1 1 - - - 1 1 1 - - - - - - - - - - - 	153 72 191 5 12 2 2 2 129 182
AUTO THEFT	382	59	45	-	14	323	286	5	3	29

#### TABLE D-4 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND OFFENSE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

			TOK	CONVICTED			СОНУ	ICTED AND	SENTENCED	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL DEFEN-		DIS-	ACQUI BY			PLEA OF	NOLD COM-	CONV. 81	ICTED Y
	DANTS	TOTAL	MISSED*	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	GUILTY	TENDERE	COURT	JURY
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	2,070	293	254	3	36	1,777	1,654	12	7	104
TRANSPORT FORGED SECURITIES POSTAL FORGERY DTHEF FORGERY COUNTERFEITING	42 154 1,175 699	10 16 142 125	9 12 129 104		1 4 10 21	32 138 1,033 574	30 124 981 519	- - 9 3	- - 4 3	2 14 39 49
SEX OFFENSES	438	64	44	4	16	374	310	5	18	41
SEXUAL ABUSE DTHER	137 301	30 34	19 25	1 3	10 6	107 267	85 225	32	1 17	18 23
DRUG PREVENTION & CONTROL ACT	15,750	2,588	2,168	45	375	13,162	11,005	39	170	1,948
MARIHUANA DRUGS Controlled Substances	4,890 9,228 1,632	872 1,399 317	726 1,167 275	28 16 1	118 216 41	4,018 7,829 1,315	3,557 6,348 1,100	16 17 6	60 99 11	385 1,365 198
MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFENSE	11,653	3,230	2,782	301	147	8,423	6,769	384	766	504
BRIBERY. DRUMK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC. ESCAPE. EXTORT RACKETEERING THREATS GAMBLING AND LOTTERY. KIDNAPPING. PERJURY. HEAPONS AND FIREARMS OTHER.	211 7,433 775 666 198 48 123 1,962 237	32 2,369 188 113 41 11 31 353 92	20 2,070 181 92 37 9 18 281 74	275 2 1 - - 11 12	12 24 5 20 4 2 13 61 6	179 5,064 587 553 157 37 92 1,609 145	146 3,979 538 409 121 22 71 1,385 98		1 687 9 3 - 1 40 25	32 37 38 137 36 15 20 178 11

TABLE D-4 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND OFFENSE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	ļ		TOK	CONVICTED			CON	VICTED AND	SENTENCED	
	TOTAL			ACQUI				NOLD	כסאע B'	ICTED Y
NATURE OF OFFENSE	DEFEN- DANTS	TOTAL	DIS- MISSED#	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	PLEA OF GUILTY	CON- Tendere	COURT	JUR
SPECIAL OFFENSES						1	1			
IMMIGRATION LAWS	2,358	330	300	6	24	2,028	1,939	5	15	69
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	12	5	5	-	-	7	7	-	-	-
FEDERAL STATUTES	3,028	690	564	18	108	2,338	2,000	24	113	201
AGRI/CONSERV' ACTS	350	119	103	7	9	231	194	6	27	4
ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS	133	30	9	~	21	103	81		-	21
FOOD AND DRUG ACT	72	18	18	-	-	54	49	3	8	
MIGRATORY BIRD LAWS	49 80	21	20	1	-	28 70	15	1 4	0	
MOTOR CARRIER ACT NATIONAL DEFENSE LAWS	80	10	10		-		01		_	
CIVIL RIGHTS	135	79	58	1	20	56	40		2	1.
CONTEMPT.	55	16	15	1 î	20	39	29	-	ā	14
CUSTOMS LAWS	143	27	24	-	3	116	104	2	-	1
POSTAL LAHS.	358	15	14	i – i	ĩ	343	336	2	-	-
OTHER.	1,649	354	292	8	54	1,295	1,083	8	68	13

TABLE D-4 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND OFFENSE DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

INCLUDED IN THIS COLUMN ARE DEFENDANTS WHO HERE COMMITTED PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. 2902, OF THE NARCOTIC ADDICT REHABILITATION ACT OF 1966.

				TYPE S	ENTENCE						
			R	EGULAR S	ENTENCES	TO IMPR	ISONMEN	<b>F1</b>		ER SENTENC Imprisonme	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED	TOTAL Impris- Onment	TOTAL Regular	1 Thru 12 Months	13 Thru 35 Months	36 Thru 59 Months	60 MONTHS AND OVER	AVERAGE SENTENCE IN MONTHS2	SPLIT SEN- TENCE3	INDETER- MINATE4	Y.C.A. DR Y.D.5
TOTAL	42,902	22,473	17,605	3,070	3,721	3,291	7,523	65.9	4,014	848	6
GENERAL OFFENSES											
HOMICIDE	110	94	77	4	6	16	51	137.3	10	7	
HURDER-FIRST DEGREE Hurder-Second Degree Manslaughter	33 26 51	23 23 48	20 21 36	- - 4	2 - 4	5 3 8	13 18 20	127.0 225.2 91.8	1 1 8	2 1 4	-
R088ERY	1,064	990	844	8	58	75	703	150.3	23	121	2
BANK Postal Dther	996 25 43	932 22 36	791 21 32	7 1 -	47 2 9	68 1 6	669 17 17	152.8 159.0 81.7	21 2	118 1 2	2
ASSAULT	436	267	214	63	52	47	52	45.2	42	11	
BURGLARY	92	75	58	2	14	9	33	72.2	12	5	-
BANK POSTAL INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS DTHER	21 28 	20 21 	17 18 	- - 1	1 5 - 8	1 4 - 4	15 8 - 10	122.8 46.2 - 55.2	- 1 11	3 2 - -	

#### TABLE D-5 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED AFTER CONVICTION BY OFFENSE DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

			TYPE	SENTENCE				
		PROBA	TION					
TOTAL	1 THRU 12 MONTHS	13 Thru 24 Months	25 Thru 36 Months	37 HDNTHS AND Oyer	AVERAGE SENTENCE IN MONTHS	FINE DNLY	DTHER6	HATURE OF OFFENSE
16,057	4,239	2,621	4,420	4,777	34.0	4,087	285	TOTAL.
							[	GENERAL OFFENSES.
6		1	3	2	40.0	-	10	HOMICIDE.
2 1 3	- - -	- 1	2 1 -	- 2	33.0 36.0 46.0		8 2 -	MURDER-FIRST DEGREE. MURDER-SECOND DEGREE. MANSLAUGHTER.
72	1	2	15	54	55.5	-	2	ROBBERY.
62 3 7	1 -		11 4	50 3 1	57.5 60.0 36.0		2 - -	BANK. Postal. DTHER.
138	56	36	27	19	25.3	30	1	ASSAULT.
15	-	4	2	9	46.4	1	1	BURGLARY.
1 6 - 8	-		1 1	1 5 - 3	60.0 54.0 		1 -	BANK. POSTAL. Interstate shipments. Other.

#### TABLE D-5 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED AFTER CONVICTION BY DFFENSE DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 3C, 1988
				TYPE S	ENTENCE						
			R	EGULAR S	ENTENCES	TO IMPR	I SONMEN	<b>T1</b>		ER SENTENC Imprisonme	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED	TOTAL IMPRIS- ONMENT	TOTAL Regular	1 THRU 12 Months	13 Thru 35 Months	36 Thru 59 Honths	60 MONTHS AND DVER	AVERAGE SENTENCE IN MONTHS2	SPLIT SEN- TENCE3	INDETER- HINATE4	Y.C.A. OR Y.O.5
LARCENY AND THEFT	3,159	1,161	804	166	232	197	209	42.2	313	44	-
BANK POSTAL. INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER U.S. PROPERTY TRANSPORT ETC, STOLEN PROP. OTHER.	178 660 272 1,331 229 489	107 377 168 235 147 127	90 275 127 134 96 82	5 53 15 54 10 29	11 107 32 35 23 24	14 75 41 22 27 18	60 40 39 23 36 11	32.2 50.7 30.2 51.2	10 91 32 96 42 42	7 11 9 5 9 3	
EMBEZZLEMENT	1,878	512	266	61	82	72	51	35.7	225	21	-
BANK Postal Dther	795 346 737	227 78 207	113 33 120	23 10 28	34 14 34	39 6 27	17 3 31		105 42 78	9 3 9	
FRAUD	7,731	3,492	2,359	724	618	495	522	38.8	1,027	106	-
INCONE TAX LENDING INSTITUTION Postal. Veterans and allotments	1,228 960 1,589 66	565 494 824 -	312 317 562	110 52 86	97 117 164	58 79 149	47 69 163	31.0 37.5 50.6	235 162 229	18 15 33	-
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE SOCIAL SECURITY FALSE PERSONATION NATIONALITY LAMS PASSPORT FRAUD FALSE CLAIMS & STATEMENTS OTHER	20 194 37 134 332 1,581	13 59 19 38 75 662 743	10 37 15 32 47 512 515	- 12 3 22 24 318 97	3 9 4 6 8 82 128	2 11 7 2 7 61	5 5 2 8 51	28.9 13.5 28.0 17.0	2 21 6 24 134	1 - - 4 16 18	
AUTO THEFT	1,590 323	224	188	97 21	44	119 56	171 67	56.2 46.5	210 28	8	-

#### TABLE D-5 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED AFTER CONVICTION BY OFFENSE DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

				SENTENCE	TYPE			
					TION	PROBA		
NATURE OF OFFENSE	ØTHER6	FINE DNLY	AVERAGE Sentence In Months	37 MONTHS AND DYER	25 Thru 36 Honths	13 THRU 24 MONTHS	1 Thru 12 Months	TOTAL
LARCEN' AND THEFT.	15	282	31.5	446	419	327	509	1,701
BAHK. POSTAL. Interstate Shipments. Dther U.S. Property. Transport etc, Stolen Proj Other.	1 - 12 - 2	1 1 154 1 125	39.2 40.6 44.4 27.4 43.2 24.3	23 106 54 193 40 30	24 105 31 182 27 50	14 59 13 189 <del>6</del> 46	8 12 6 366 8 109	69 282 104 930 81 235
EMBEZZLEMENT,	5	20	40.3	523	454	222	142	1,341
BANK. POSTAL. OTHER.	3 1 1	4 5 11	42.1 35.1 40.8	238 65 220	192 99 163	75 68 79	56 30 56	561 262 518
FRAUD.	60	186	40.8	1,556	1,401	724	312	3,993
INCOME TAX. LENDING INSTITUTION. POSTAL. VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE. SDCIAL SECURITY. FALSE PERSDMATION. NATIONALITY LAMS. PASSPORT FRAUD, FALSE CLAIMS & STATEMENTS. DTHER.	4 4 - - 4 8 32 4	27 4 21 2 1 2 3 74 52	40.1 45.2 42.3 39.5 39.4 42.2 34.1 34.2 38.8 39.4 40.5	241 246 329 26 3 59 55 57 55 272 311	209 117 241 2 39 5 64 156 273 271	136 79 120 5 - 26 3 8 22 185 140	46 16 50 11 2 9 4 9 13 83 69	632 458 740 66 7 133 17 90 246 813 791
AUTO THEFT.	6	1	48.9	54	30	8	-	92

 TABLE D-5 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

 CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED AFTER CONVICTION BY DEFENSE DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

\_\_\_\_

				TYPE S	ENTENCE						
			F	EGULAR S	ENTENCES	TO IMPR	I SOHMEN'	T1		IER SENTENC	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED	TOTAL Impris- Onment	TOTAL REGULAR	1 Thru 12 Months	13 Thru 35 Months	36 Thru 59 Months	60 Months And Dver	AVERAGE SENTENCE IN MONTHS2	SPLIT SEN- TENCE3	INDETER- MINATE4	Y.C.A. OR Y.D.5
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	1,777	853	631	149	178	166	138	40.3	196	25	1
TRANSPORT FORGED SECURITIES POSTAL FORGERY OTHER FORGERY COUNTERFEITING	32 138 1,033 574	22 85 431 315	16 66 311 238	3 7 103 36	5 16 82 75	1 21 71 73	7 22 55 54	65.3 74.5 31.6 40.7	4 16 108 68	.2 3 12 8	
• SEX OFFENSES	374	215	165	10	22	40	93	97.5	33	15	2
SEXUAL ABUSE DTHER	107 267	90 125	74 91	6 4	7 15	18 22	43 50	111.4 86.2	5 28	11 4	2
DRUG PREVENTION & CONTROL ACT	13, 162	9,983	8,560	708	1,466	1,577	4,809	78.0	1,067	355	1
MARIHUANA DRUGS Controlled Substances	4,018 7,829 1,315	2,414 6,575 994	1,910 5,799 851	302 331 75	500 828 138	355 1,061 161	753 3,579 477	57.3 82.9 91.5	457 515 95	47 260 48	1
MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFENSE	8,423	2,569	1,993	471	505	383	634	56.7	498	78	-
BRIBERY. DRUNK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC. ESCAPE. EXTORT RACKETEERING THREATS GAMBLING AND LOTTERY. KIDNAPPING. PERJURY HEAPONS AND FIREARMS	179 5,064 587 553 157 37 92 1,609	76 275 523 401 55 29 55 1,113	49 127 450 327 31 26 40 914	10 105 188 16 10 	16 14 148 61 15 1 9 233	9 8 66 77 5 2 11 199	14 	55.6 8.6 23.3 95.2 20.6 243.6 37.4 63.3	23 148 50 61 23 - 14 166	4 - 23 13 1 3 1 3 3	
OTHER	145	42	29	- 9	8	6	6	38.7	13	-	-

# TABLE D-5 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED AFTER CONVICTION BY OFFENSE DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

				SENTENCE	Түре	·		
					TION	PROBA	·	
NATURE OF DEFENSE	OTHER6	FINE DNLY	AVERAGE SENTENCE IN MONTHS	37 Honths And Over	25 Thru 36 Months	13 Thru 24 Months	1 Thru 12 Months	TOTAL
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING.	3	11	39.0	328	310	189	83	910
TRANSPORT FORGED SECURITIE: Postal forgery. Other forgery. Counterfeiting.	- - 2 1	- 1 5 5	47.1 45.7 36.9 42.1	7 26 188 107	2 22 198 88	- 3 137 49	1 1 72 9	10 52 595 253
SEX OFFENSES.	4	1	43,5	73	50	19	12	154
SEXUAL ABUSE. DTHER.	2	- 1	43.2 43.6	8 65	3 47	1 18	3 9	15 139
DRUG PREVENTION & CONTROL ACT	48	89	33.4	960	671	296	1,115	3,042
MARIHUANA. DRUGS. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES,	15 28 5	53 27 9	26.9 41.1 35.6	315 539 106	271 320 80	136 126 34	814 214 87	1,536 1,199 307
MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFERSE	47	3,071	21.0	357	410	324	1,645	2,736
BRIBERY. DRUMK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC. ESCAPE. EXTORT RACKETEERING THREATS GAMBLING AND LOTTERY. KIDMAPPING. PERJURY. WEAPDNS AND FIREARMS. OTHER.	1 26 7 1 - 6 2 3 1	7 2,989 3 4 - 4 23 40	34.7 11.1 37.6 45.2 36.2 18.0 38.7 41.5 19.0	21 15 19 63 25 12 192 10	40 75 17 56 39 - 8 171 4	20 157 10 21 25 1 5 76 9	14 1,527 8 10 9 1 6 31 39	95 1,774 54 150 98 2 31 470 62

# TABLE D-5 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED AFTER CONVICTION BY DEFENSE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

REPORT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRA

				TYPE S	ENTENCE	_					
			F	REGULAR S	ENTENCES	G TO IMPR	ISONMEN	Γ1		IER SENTENC Imprisonme	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL Defendants Sentenced	TOTAL IMPRIS- ONMENT	TOTAL REGULAR	1 Thru 12 Months	13 Thru 35 Months	36 Thru 59 Months	60 Months And Over	AVERAGE SENTENCE IN MONTHS2	SPLIT SEN- TENCE3	INDETER- MINATE4	Y.C.A. OR Y.Q.5
SPECIAL OFFENSES											
IMHIGRATION LAWS	2,028	1,309	936	497	340	71	28	15.7	337	36	-
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	7	3	3	3	-		-	12.0	-	-	-
FEDERAL STATUTES	2,338	726	507	183	104	87	133	55.8	203	16	-
AGRICULTURAL ACTS	231	42	25	20	2	1	2	13.1	17	-	-
ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS	103	16	3	2	1	-	-	12.0	13	-	-
FUOD AND DRUG ACT	54	15	8	5	1	-	2	28.6	2	-	-
MIGRATORY BIRD LAWS MOTOR CARRIER ACT	28 70	20	13	-		3	- 3	42.9	4	-	
NATIONAL DEFENSE LANS	3	20	2	1	1	-	-	10.5	<u>-</u>	-	
CIVIL RIGHTS	56	30	20	8	4	3	5	218.8	10	-	
CONTEMPT	39	26	18	11	5	2	-	13.6	7	1	
CUSTOMS LAWS	116	31	22	15	1	4	2	29.0	7	2	-
POSTAL LAWS	343	43	28	16	3	6		31.9	15	-	-
OTHER	1,295	494	368	99	85	68	116	56.9	113	13	-

## TABLE D-5 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED AFTER CONVICTION BY OFFENSE DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

			Түрі	E SENTENCE				
		PROBA	TION					
TOTAL	1 Thru 12 Months	13 Thru 24 Months	25 Thru 36 Months	37 MONTHS AND DVER	AVERAGE Sentence In Months	FINE ONLY	OTHER6	NATURE OF OFFENSE
								SPECIAL OFFENSES.
637	102	121	264	150	35.8	29	53	IMHIGRATION LAWS.
3	1	-	2	-	28.0	1	-	LIQUER, INTERNAL REVENUE.
1,217	261	348	362	246	31.5	365	30	FEDERAL STATUTES.
126 33 28 9 41 1 22 9 62 281 605	52 3 3 2 - 4 3 8 66 117	37 11 8 2 8 	21 10 13 2 12 - - 4 2 19 81 198	16 9 4 2 19 1 3 2 14 30 146	24.1 35.8 33.0 30.7 43.3 54.0 28.6 29.3 33.5 27.6 33.7	62 54 11 12 9 - 3 4 23 17 170	1 - - 1 - 2 26	AGRICULTURAL ACTS. AMTITRUST YIDLATIONS. FOOD AND DRUG ACT. MIGRATORY BIRD LAMS. MOTOR CARRIER ACT. NATIONAL DEFENSE LAMS. CIVIL RIGHTS. CONTEMPT. CUSTOMS LAMS. POSTAL LAMS. DTHER.

#### TABLE D-5 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED AFTER CONVICTION BY OFFENSE DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

- INCLUDES SENTENCES OF MORE THAN 6 MONTHS WHICH ARE TO BE FOLLOWED BY A TERM OF PROBATION (MIXED SENTENCES).
   EXCLUDES SPLIT SENTENCES, INDETERMINATE SENTENCES, YOUTH
- EXCLUDES SPLIT SENTENCES, INDETERMINATE SENTENCES, YOUTH CORRECTIONS ACT/OFFENDER SENTENCES, AND LIFE SENTENCES INCLUDED IN THE CATEGORY OF OTHER.
- 3. A SPLIT SENTENCE IS A SENTENCE ON A 1 COUNT INDICTMENT OF 6 MONTHS OR LESS IN A JAIL TYPE INSTITUTION FOLLOHED BY A TERM OF PROBATION, 18 U.S.C. 3651. INCLUDED IN THESE FIGURES ARE MIXED SENTENCES INVOLVING CONFINEMENT FOR 6 MONTHS OR LESS ON 1 COUNT, TO BE FOLLOWED BY A TERM OF PROBATION ON 1 OR MORE OTHER COUNTS.
- 4. TITLE 18 U.S.C. 4205 B (1) AND (2).
- 5. TITLE 18 U.S.C. 5010 (8)(C).
- INCLUDES DEPORTATION, SUSPENDED SENTENCES, IMPRISONMENT FOR FOUR DAYS OR LESS OR FOR TI HE ALREADY SERVED, REMITTED AND SUSPENDED FINES, AND LIFE SENTENCES.

										-
CIDCUIT	TOT	AL	DISM	ISSED	PLEA OF	GUILTY	COURT	TRIAL	JURY	TRIAL
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MDS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MDS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN (MDS.)
TOTAL	52,791	3.6	8,367	3.6	37,514	3.4	1,720	.6	5,189	5.7
DC	1,070	3.5	110	3.4	800	3.1	34	6.5	126	5.7
1ST	1,864	4.1	237	4.2	1,395	3.6	13	3.3	219	6.4
ME	208	5.0	30	2.5	155	4.7	3	-	20	6.8
MA	504	4.8	68	7.4	372	4.5	6	-	58	7.6
NH	51	3.6	6		35	3.5	-	-	10	4.0
RI	111	4.7	9	-	77	4.4	-	- 1	25	6.1
PR	990	2.8	124	4.2	756	1.1	4	-	106	6.2
2ND	3,657	5.3	364	13.1	2,784	4.6	43	9.3	466	8.2
ст	314	5.2	30	5.0	248	4.6	15	10.3	21	6.8
NY,N	283	3.8	39	8.4	217	2.9	1	-	26	5.8
NY,E	1,195	5.5	89	13.0	910	4.9	14	6.5	182	7.9
NY, S	1,379	5.8	157	17.8	1,026	5.0	10	8.8	186	8.9
NY,H	366	3.4	26	11.8	297	2.4	1	-	42	10.8
YT	120	5.4	23	85.1	86	4.2	2	-	9	-
3RD	2,673	4.1	398	3.9	1,836	3.8	34	2.6	405	5.8
DE	132	3.8	34	2.4	64	3.2	2	-	32	5.2
¥J	844	4.3	110	4.6	623	3.9	16	1.3	95	8.1
PA, E	695	5.2	45	16.8	510	4.4	3	- (	137	6.5
PA.H	294	4.0	28	6.4	237	3.8	3	-	26	5.5
PA, H	352	3.9	83	3.5	212	3.8		-	57	5.2
¥I	356	3.1	98	2.3	190	3.2	10	4.1	58	3.5

# TABLE D-6 DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Median time intervals from filing to disposition of criminal defendants disposed of by district During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988 (ALL offenses reported)

CIRCUIT	тот	AL	DISM	ISSED	PLEA OF	GUILTY	COURT	TRIAL	JURY	TRIAL
AND DISTRICT	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN# (MDS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	HEDIAN* (MOS.)
4TH	6,656	2.4	1,436	.1	4,125	2.9	628	.1	466	4.8
MD	1,047	4.1	149	2.2	791	3.9	17	4.6	90	6.8
NC,E	985	.1	478	.1	434	.1	32	.1	40	5.8
HC,H	255	3.6	22	3.9	194	3.7	-	-	39	3.5
NC,W	451	3.4	61	3.4	325	3.0	4	-	61	5.2
SC	394	3.7	56	2.3	309	3.9	1	-	28	6.0
¥A,E	2,780	1.5	585	.8	1,491	1.7	569	.1	135	3.3
VA. H	256	5.5	31	6.1	191	5.2	1	-	33	6.5
HY.N	195	5.2	16	8.9	155	4.3	4	-	20	9.1
HV,S	293	4.3	38	5.3	235	4.0	-	-	20	6.0
5TH	5,764	3.5	959	4.4	4,188	3.3	84	3.5	533	5.0
LA, E	536	3.5	47	2.8	438	3.5	2	-	49	4.0
LA, M	§ 79	4.8	1 7	-	65	4.8	1	-	6	-
LA, W	231	3.3	44	3.7	167	3.1	52	-	15	6.3
MS.N	120	4.8	13	3.9	84	4.6	2	-	21	5.4
MS,S	242	5.0	39	5.6	181	4.5	- 1	-	22	5.7
TX, N	846	4.1	174	4.5	566	3.7	3	-	103	6.8
TX.E	211	3.9	40	7.3	139	2.9	- 1	-	32	9.2
TX, S	2,170	3.2	368	2.3	1,594	3.2	22	2.8	186	4.3
ТХ,Н	1,329	3.5	227	14.8	954	3.1	49	3.8	99	4.7
6TH	3,942	4.3	393	5.4	3,104	3.9	52	4.6	393	5.9
KY,E	242	5.3	39	10.4	154	4.8	1	-	48	6.1
KY, H	564	2.5	37	4.1	400	2.5	21	2.9	56	4.7
HI,E	988	4.9	93	9.8	792	4.6	16	5.1	87	5.9
MI,H	207	3.6	17	2.2	174	3.5	1		15	5.4
BH, N	546	5.0	25	7.5	485	4.9	3	-	33	7.3
OH.S	465	3.6	33	2.3	385	3.6	4		43	4,8
TN,E	203	3.7	18	6.9	148	3.4	l i	-	36	6.1
TN,M	367	3.8	50	5.7	292	3.6	3	-	22	6.2
TN.H	360	5.2	31	7.6	274	4.8	2	-	53	7.3
,			L						L	

### TABLE D-6 DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Median time intervals from filing to disposition of criminal defendants disposed of by district During the thelye month period ended june 30. 1988 (All offenses reported)

.....

## TABLE D-6 DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

CT DC1117	101	AL	DIS	ISSED	PLEA BF	QUILTY	COURT	TRIAL	JURY	TRIAL
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	MUMBER	HEDIAN# (NOS,)	NUMBER	HEDIAHA (HOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN+ (MOS.)	MUMBER	HEDIAN (HDS.)
71H	2,350	3.9	267	1.8	1,767	3.7	41	4.2	275	7.3
IL.N	784	4.4	21	9.2	627	3.9	12	7.8	124	8.9
IL.C	225	4.5	27	5.6	173	4.1	5	-	20	5.1
IL, S	231	2.4	41	1.9	173	2.4	2	-	15	5.8
IN, N	277	4.7	27	5.6	207	4.4	4	-	29	6.5
IN, S	469	1.4	108	.1	334	2.5	12	.1	15	6.3
WI.E	213	4.8	17	2.0	150	4.6	6	-	40	8.0
MI,H	151	3.7	26	2.1	103	3.8	-	-	22	4.5
8TH	2,663	3.8	369	2.1	1,919	3.7	27	2.5	334	<b>\$.</b> 7
AR. E	214	2.9	15	4.1	159	2.5	6	_	24	4.6
AR. N	126	2.9	6	-	91	2.5	1 1	-	28	7.4
IA. N	115	4.6	7	-	85	4.2	3	-	20	5.6
IA.5	98	3.1	17	2.7	64	3.1	1	-	16	3.7
HN	432	4.9	1 19	6.3	322	4.4	3	-		6.3
ND, E	352	4.0	56	5.5	236	3.4	4	-	56	6.0
NO.H	734	2.2	184	1.2	491	2.5	14	1.1	45	5.5
ME	198	5.0	23	3,8	158	5.0	2	-	15	6.0
MD	136	3.4	13	1.6	115	3.6	1	-	7	-
SD	258	4.5	29	4.1	1\$8	4.4	2	-	29	5.0
9TH	11,765	3.4	2,543	5.3	8,362	2.9	168	4.2	692	5.9
AK	217	3.2	58	2.9	128	2.8	1	-	30	3.9
AZ	1,223	4.2	322	72.0	793	3.4	7	-	101	5.7
CA, H	1,052	4.0	221	3.6	762	3.9	11	4.8	58	6.3
CA.E	588	4.1	76	5.9	462	3.6	5	-	45	10.9
CA,C	1,368	3.9	101	3.2	1,084	3.7	41	4.7	142	5.3
CA, S	2,283	2.0	151	5.6	2,067	1.6	15	6.5	50	5.8
HI	1,956	3.2	994	10.1	678	.8	22	3.1	62	6.5
ID	152	4.5	39	4.8	85	4.3	10	2.4	15	
MT	217	4.1	43	2.8	143	4.3	4	-	27	6.1
WY	490	5.8	86	7.4	352	5.2	1 3	-	49	8.8
OR	411	4.5	58	5.3	312	4.4	8	-	33	
MA,E	374	3.6	131	3.5	217	3.4		-	18	6.2
WA, H.,	1,337	.7	234	.7	1,012	.1	33	3.6	58	4.8
GUAM	85	2.1	19	14.7	62	.3	1 -	-	4	-
MM1	12	1.3	10	1.3	2	-	} -	-	1 -	-

#### MEDIAN TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988 (All Offenses Reported)

	TOT	AL	DISH	ISSED	PLEA OF	GUILTY	COURT	TRIAL	YRL	TRIAL
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER	MEDIAN= (MDS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN (MOS.)	MUMBER	HEDIAN+ (HDS.)	NUMBER	NEDIAN® (NUS.)	NUMBER	HEDIAN (MRS.)
10TH	2,782	3.4	526	Э.2	1,975	3.3	27	3.9	254	4.9
co	560	3.2	100	5.4	415	2.9	2	-	43	7.3
KS	409	3.5	122	2.3	248	4.0		-	30	4.3
NH	584	4.0	128	3.3	387	4.1	1	-	68	4.3
DK.N	208	4.1	20	4.5	163	3.7	( *	-	21	6.5
DK,E DK,H	106 537	2.4 2.3	23	1.9	62 433	2.2 2.1	1 :	-	21 37	3.8 3.7
σ	279	3.9	59	3.6	188	3.8	1 7	-	25	5.1
MY	99	3.3	10	4.6	79	2.9	l i	-	,	-
11TH	7,605	3.1	765	3.8	5,259	2.8	559	.1	1,022	5.2
AL, N	432	1.6	45	2.4	358	1.6	8	_	21	2.7
AL, M	317	3.1	57 22	3.4	209	3.1		-	42	3.8
AL, S	275	3.5	22	3.4	215	3.3	-	-	38	4.1
FL,N	359	3.2	53	1.8	225	3.1		-	73	4.3
FL.M   FL.S	955	3.9	62	4.8	745	3.7	5	-	143	4.8
GA, N	2,320 754	5.3 3.7	<b>35</b> 4 72	5.6 4.6	1,376	5.0 3.3	13	5.2 .1	553 95	5.9 5.8
GA, H	1,864	.1	45	5.5	1,335		468	.1	16	3.2
GA, S	329	2.8	55	1.6	222	2.7	11		1 41	4.5

# TABLE D-6 DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MEDIAN TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2968 (ALL OFFENSES REPORTED)

\* MEDIANS COMPUTED ONLY WHERE THERE ARE 10 DR HORE DEFENDANTS.

- <u></u>			NOT CON	VICTED			CONVIC	TED AND SE	NTENCED		TYPE SE	NTENCE
CIRCUIT	TOTAL DEFEN-		DIS-	ACQU	ITTED BY		PLEA OF	NOLO CON-		ICTED BY	FINE	1
DISTRICT	DANTS	TOTAL	MISSED	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	GUILTY	TENDERE	COURT	JURY	ONLY	OTHER
TOTAL	52,791	9,889	8,379	453	1,057	42,902	36,917	597	1,267	4,121	4,087	285
DC	1,070	134	111	3	20	936	791	9	31	105	4	6
1ST	1,864	275	238	4	33	1,589	1,393	2	9	185	78	13
ME MA NH	208 504 51	33 77 8	31 68 6	2	272	175 427 43	155 372 35	-	3 4	17 51 8	30 25	9
RI PR	111 990	12 145	9 124	2	3 19	99 845	76 755	1 1	- 2	22 87	3 20	4
2ND	3,657	449	365	6	78	3,208	2,780	4	37	387	90	41
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	314 283 1,195 1,379 366 120	34 43 113 195 40 24	30 39 157 27 23	- 3 2 1	4 21 36 12 1	280 240 1,082 1,184 326 96	245 217 909 1,026 297 86	3 - 1	15 1 11 8 - 2	17 22 161 150 29 8	3 16 21 20 15 15	1 9 15 10 5 1
3RD	2,673	493	399	5	89	2,180	1,828	8	29	315	88	14
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	132 844 695 294 352 356	43 129 70 32 97 122	34 110 45 28 83 99	- - - 4	9 18 25 4 14 19	89 715 625 262 255 234	64 619 508 237 210 190	- 4 2 - 2 -	2 15 3 - 6	23 77 112 22 43 38	10 55 14 4 4 1	1 3 6 1 - 3

TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DISPOSITION DF ALL DEFENDANTS SHOWING TYPE OF SENTENCE BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

							TYP	E SENTEN	ICE (CDN	TINUED)						
	REGUL	AR IMPR	ISONMEN	T (MONT	HS)			OTHER ST TO IMPRI				PROBATI	ON (MON	THS)		
TOTAL IMPRIS- DNMENT	TOTAL2	1 THRU 12	13 Thru 35	36 THRU 59	60 AND DVER	AVG. SEN- TENCE3	SPLIT SEN- TENCE4	INDE- TERMI- NATE5	YCA DR YD6	TOTAL	1 THRU 12	13 THRU 24	25 Thru 36	37 AND GVER	AVG. SEN- TENCE3	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
22,473	17,605	3,070	3,721	3,291	7,523	66.1	4,014	848	6	16,057	4,239	2,621	4,420	4,777	34.0	TOTAL
458	344	76	37	71	160	65.5	92	21	1	468	73	104	159	132	35.9	DC
714	638	87	92	102	357	88.1	75	1	-	784	113	175	379	117	33.1	1ST
105 205 24 73	86 167 22 63	13 42 1 12	16 42 7 6	12 27 7 13	45 56 7 32	75.2 51.1 82.7	19 38 2 10	1 1 1 1		40 188 19 23	5 48 1 7	13 78 8 9	10 51 6 2	12 11 4 5	36.0 26.2 33.8 28.0	ME MA NH RI
307 1,763	300	19 201	21 327	43 320	217 644	115.6 65.2	6 270	1 1	-	514 1,314	52 249	67 260	310 419	85 386	35.6	PR
156	1,492 117 87	14	31	320	39	48.6	39			120	15	23	34	48	44.0	CT
109 654 650 124	571 547 113	25 47 71 28	92 143 19	145 105 18	16 287 228 48	35.7 71.4 68.9 56.9	22 83 103 11	1 1 1	1 1 1 1	106 392 504 182	59 21 87 67	37 57 111 29	5 154 185 39	5 160 121 47	17.0 41.3 33.9 29.3	NY,H NY,E NY,S NY,H
70 1,175	57 971	16 159	5 178	10 202	26 432	89.5 67.3	12 204	1	1	10 903	103	3 202	2 249	5 349	43.2	ÝT ,3RD
60 276 378	50 214 340	5 42 15	7 39 80	2 44 90	36 89 155	79.0 63.6 70.1	10 62 38			18 381 227	3 53 14	4 71 16	2 136 37	9 121 160	37.7 37.1 50.2	DE
163 148 150	118 129 120	52 17 28	15 11 26	19 29 18	32 72 48	38.2 90.1 64.7	45 19 30			94 103 80	13 7 13	58 17 36	17 32 25	6 47 6	25.7 46.2 27.0	PA,M PA,W VI

#### TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DISPOSITION OF ALL DEFENDANTS SHOWING TYPE OF SENTENCE BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1986

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			NGT CON	VICTED			CONVIC	TED AND SEN	TENCED		TYPE SE	NTENCE
CIRCUIT AND	TOTAL DEFEN-		DIS-	ACQUI B			PLEA OF	NOLO CON-	CONVI	CTED	FINE	1
DISTRICT	DANTS	TOTAL	MISSED	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	GUILTY	TENDERE	COURT	JURY	ONLY	OTHER
4TH	6,656	1,648	1,438	114	96	5,008	4,095	30	514	369	783	28
MD NC, E NC, N SC VA, E VA, E HY, S	1,047 985 255 451 394 2,780 256 195 293	167 498 33 72 64 718 39 18 39	149 480 22 61 56 585 31 16 38	2 10 - - 102 - -	16 8 11 11 8 31 8 2 1	880 487 222 379 330 2,062 217 177 254	786 433 194 318 307 1,481 188 154 234	5 1 - 7 2 10 3 1	15 22 4 1 467 1 4	74 31 28 50 20 104 25 18 19	63 98 1 7 592 8 4 1	3 2 1 1 17 2 -
5TH	5,764	1,113	961	27	125	4,651	4,147	41	57	406	82	14
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, H 6TH	536 79 231 120 242 846 211 2,170 1,329 3,942	56 8 50 20 49 199 50 427 254 507	47 7 44 13 39 174 41 369 227 393	- 1 - 1 7 18 18	9 1 5 7 10 24 9 51 9 9	480 71 181 100 193 647 161 1,743 1,075 3,435	433 65 164 84 181 564 138 1,583 935 3,076	5 	2 1 4 2 - 2 15 31 34	40 5 10 14 12 79 22 134 90 297	10 1 6 - 10 1 10 44 133	- 2 1 1 6 4 3
KY,E KY,H HI,E DH,N DH,S TN,E TN,M TN,H	242 564 988 207 546 465 203 367 360	48 111 122 21 33 42 33 56 41	39 87 93 17 25 33 18 50 31	- 8 8 - 1 - 1 -	9 16 21 4 7 9 14 6 10	194 453 866 186 513 423 170 311 319	153 391 790 170 480 383 47 289 273	1 9 2 4 5 2 1 3 1	1 13 8 1 2 4 - 3 2	39 40 56 11 26 34 22 16 43	2 64 18 4 15 13 3 9 5	- 1 1 - -

TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DISPOSITION OF ALL DEFENDANTS SHOWING TYPE OF SENTENCE BY DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 33, 1988

	TYPE SENTENCE (CONTINUED)															
	REGUL	AR IMPR	ISONMEN	T (MONT	HS )			OTHER SE TO IMPRI				PROBATI	ON (MON	THS )		
TOTAL IMPRIS- ONMENT	TOTAL2	1 THRU 12	13 Thru 35	36 Thru 59	60 AND DVER	AVG. SEN- TENCE3	SPLIT SEN- TENCE4	INDE- TERMI- NATE5	YCA Dr YD6	TOTAL	1 THRU 12	13 Thru 24	25 THRU 36	37 AND Over	AVG. SEN- TENCE3	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
2,170	1,586	315	302	316	653	69.3	512	72	-	2,027	857	313	392	465	29.0	4TH
491 182 168 216 155 602 93 92 171	334 99 155 205 130 402 67 77 117	65 13 27 28 17 135 5 10 15	61 9 29 37 26 89 5 7 39	44 21 27 52 39 69 17 17 30	164 56 72 88 48 109 40 43 33	80.2 121.3 70.6 74.8 66.4 46.7 76.8 78.7 53.6	119 74 11 10 18 194 22 12 52	38 9 2 1 7 6 4 3 2		323 205 51 155 165 851 114 81 82	84 109 3 25 7 619 4 2 4	89 9 3 17 8 155 21 6 5	92 35 8 42 47 50 66 23 29	58 52 37 71 103 27 23 50 44	29.4 27.5 50.7 41.3 49.5 16.9 37.1 49.0 46.5	HD HC,E HC,H HC,H HC,H HC,H HC,H HC,N HC,S
3,024	2,449	416	571	479	983	60.7	521	53	1	1,531	116	173	519	723	44.2	5TH
251 45 70 71 126 479 113 1,178 691	225 43 42 58 95 407 98 903 578	36 11 4 2 11 40 5 191 116	69 10 11 19 13 65 17 263 104	42 10 5 21 18 84 17 181 101	78 12 22 16 53 218 59 268 257	51.0 50.4 75.1 50.0 68.1 85.7 92.2 45.3 65.3	24 28 13 28 50 15 269 92	2 - - 3 21 - 6 21		219 25 103 28 67 157 47 549 336	11 6 - 8 12 1 28 50	37 1 18 2 3 13 7 42 50	92 9 30 18 20 53 9 223 65	79 15 49 8 36 79 30 256 171	41.1 49.9 44.3 42.0 45.0 44.1 49.8 45.3 43.2	LA,E LA,H HS,N HS,N HS,S TX,N TX,E TX,S TX,H
2,014	1,554	251	435	364	504	55.1	376	83		1,285	355	303	376	251	30.0	6TH
159 156 636 87 267 298 125 132 154	134 114 477 71 205 224 93 94 142	19 17 80 14 14 31 15 26 35	18 32 191 19 53 42 15 20 45	32 24 108 14 60 63 21 19 23	65 41 98 24 78 88 42 29 39	95.0 49.5 41.4 49.4 61.1 66.2 66.4 44.6 44.0	22 42 114 14 41 70 26 36 11	3 		33 233 211 95 230 111 42 170 160	3 187 12 7 13 19 4 81 29	1 18 64 32 61 16 5 31 75	10 6 88 41 100 45 13 25 48	19 22 47 15 56 31 20 33 8	47.3 14.1 35.4 33.4 37.1 36.0 43.4 26.4 26.4 26.7	КҮ,Е КҮ,Н НI,Е ИI,Н ОН,N ОН,S ТК,Е ТК,Н

TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Disposition of All defendants showing type of sentence by district during the twelve month period ended juwe 30, 1988

.

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL Defen- Dants			ACQUI								
			B			PLEA OF	NOLD CON-	CONVI B		FINE	1
	TOTAL	DIS- MISSED	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	GUILTY	TENDERE	COURT	JURY	ONLY	OTHER
2,350	321	267	15	39	2,029	1,758	9	26	236	91	11
784	39	21	5	13	745	627	-	?	111	4	4
											-
										i	-
			6				ī	6	12	71	4
	22	17	-	5	191	150	-	6	35	1	3
151	30	26	-	4	121	96	7	-	18	1	-
2,663	445	369	6	70	2,218	1,852	67	31	268	29	12
214	19	15	1	3	195	167	2	5	21	1	-
			-				[ i				1
			-				1	3		1 1	3
			-					3		2	-
	63		-						49	4	1
			3	7	540	428	63	11	35	- 4	
198	29	23	1	5	169	157	1	1		1	1
136	17	13	-	4						3	<u> </u>
258	39	29	-	10	219	188	-	2	29	•	2
11,765	2,713	2,547	28	138	9,052	8,310	52	140	550	1,276	99
217	70	59	1	10	147	112	16	-	19	10	- 9
1,223											9
1,052			4							6 1	6
			-					32			6 3 46 2
2,300					2,125		Š	13		7	46
					943	877	1	20	45	728	2
	44	39	4	1	108	87	1	6	14	9	-
217	51	43	-	8	166	139					1
490	98		-								6
			1								1
			-								22
			<u>+</u>					47 			22 2
			-	<u>_</u>				-	-	1 =	-
	784 225 231 277 469 213 151 2,663 214 126 115 126 115 98 432 352 734 198 136 258 11,765 217 1,223 1,052 1,558 1,368 2,283 1,956 152 217	784         39           784         39           225         31           231         46           469         117           213         22           151         30           2,663         445           214         19           126         23           115         10           98         22           432         29           352         63           734         194           198         29           352         63           734         194           136         17           258         39           11,765         2,713           217         70           1,223         343           1,952         231           588         88           1,365         1,361           1,956         1,013           152         44           217         51           490         98           411         66           374         136           1,337         252           85	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Disposition of All defendants showing type of sentence by district during the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	TYPE SENTENCE (CONTINUED)															
		THS)	DK (MON	PROBATIC	F			OTHER SE To Impri			'HS )	r (Mont	SONMENT	AR IMPRI	REGUL	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	AVG. SEN- TENCE3	37 AND Over	25 Thru 36	13 THRU 24	1 THRU 12	TOTAL	YCA GR YD6	INDE- TERMI- NATE5	SPLIT SEN- TENCE4	AVG. SEN- TENCE3	60 AND DVER	36 Thru 59	13 THRU 35	1 THRU 12	TOTAL2	TOTAL IMPRIS- DNMENT
7TH	38.9	362	245	157	137	901	-	14	218	74.2	345	154	197	88	794	1,026
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	46.0 44.4 30.5 41.7 26.6 29.1 38.4	212 40 31 48 20 8 3	111 12 16 50 43 10 3	32 4 36 17 47 17 47	21 12 33 8 51 12 -	376 68 116 123 161 47 10		8 4 - 1 1 -	67 35 14 21 33 34 14	68.1 67.5 74.2 93.7 50.7 105.5 63.9	116 39 23 52 24 53 38	55 24 9 19 20 16 21	91 10 13 16 20 26 21	24 5 6 8 18 11 16	286 78 51 95 82 106 96	361 117 65 117 116 140 110
8TH AR, E AR, H IA, N IA, S MN NO, E ND SD 9TH	27.8 34.1 28.2 40.0 18.8 28.9 42.6 19.8 37.4 26.3 32.2 33.9	147 5 9 8 1 30 53 26 3 9 9	206 36 18 6 4 24 33 49 15 6 15 845	122 13 6 6 30 6 17 13 11 12 429	249 	724 54 53 21 23 62 76 297 67 27 67 27 44 3,319	1	34 	319 34 9 28 12 103 18 28 36 24 27 850	65.5 55.9 32.6 102.0 51.4 56.4 71.9 89.5 46.4 34.4 68.9 52.0	439 40 6 24 13 81 87 106 21 11 50 1,026	250 24 9 12 9 45 64 30 18 14 25 371	237 31 6 9 5 71 28 23 13 18 33 680	173 11 13 4 11 39 9 24 12 17 33 897	1,099 106 34 49 38 236 188 183 64 60 141 2,974	1,453 140 43 77 53 339, 208 239 100 85 169 4,358
	22.1 44.9 39.8 39.8 42.1 26.2 36.5 33.9 50.1 41.8 53.0 31.4 19.1 34.3 48.0	3 143 157 63 163 163 38 16 19 79 79 16 35 19 19	17 57 158 31 162 219 27 18 20 54 11 35 29 6 1	423 15 33 65 29 47 128 10 9 11 23 5 18 30 6 -	37 37 23 49 23 29 518 28 13 12 20 5 21 20 5 21 27 8 8 8	67 256 429 146 403 1,028 103 56 100 90 352 49 2	2	232 68 239 25 46 96 24 2 2 1 20 10 10	24 75 67 61 166 243 29 14 17 38 44 18 53 1 -	42.8 36.1 30.9 64.2 75.4 26.2 69.0 88.8 82.8 53.3 80.3 28.4 66.1	1,026 13 112 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	8 40 5 22 89 72 6 15 39 34 7 30 3 4 7 30 3	880 8 96 9 60 113 241 8 229 29 33 40 -	17 205 45 70 67 297 14 7 16 40 15 53 51 -	46 453 71 256 600 705 57 27 74 166 179 116 214 10	*,358 70 596 377 342 814 1,044 110 43 91 205 243 144 268 11 -

TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Disposition of All defendants shohing type of sentence by district during the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

			NOT CON	VICTED			CONVIC	TED AND SEN	TENCED		TYPE SE	NTENCE
CIRCUIT	TOTAL			ACQU	TTED	1		NOLO		ICTED BY		
AND DISTRICT	DEFEN- DANTS	TOTAL	DIS- MISSED	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	PLEA OF GUILTY	CDN- TENDERE	COURT	JURY	FINE DNLY	OTHER
10TH	2,782	580	526	5	49	2,202	1,961	14	22	205	218	12
CO KS NM OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	560 409 584 208 106 537 279 99	111 128 143 23 27 72 66 10	100 122 128 20 23 64 59 10	1 - - - 2	10 4 15 3 4 8 5 -	449 281 441 185 79 465 213 89	414 244 387 161 61 427 188 79	1 - 2 1 6 -	1 7 1 4 - 3 5 1	33 26 53 18 17 29 20 9	42 27 5 4 2 113 23 2	318
11TH	7,605	1,211	765	222	224	6,394	4,926	333	337	798	1,215	32
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, N GA, S GA, S	432 317 275 359 955 2,320 754 1,864 329	54 77 28 70 85 481 96 253 67	45 57 22 53 62 354 72 45 55	3 1 	6 19 6 14 22 120 20 9 8	378 240 247 289 870 1,839 658 1,611 262	355 209 214 225 745 1,374 549 1,057 198	3 - 1 - 2 25 278 24	5 8 - 5 4 30 9 269 7	15 23 32 59 121 433 75 7 33	13 27 1 23 17 17 47 1,051 19	1  1 2 14 10 2 2

	TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	
DISPOSITION OF ALL DEFENDANTS SHOWING	TYPE OF SENTENCE BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE N	MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	PECIN	AR IMPRI	CONVENT		UC 1		·	OTHER SE	NTENCER			PROBATI		THE		t
	REGUE	AA 19541	aunnen	. (11041	ns)			TO IMPRI				RUDAII	un (nun	(113)		[
DTAL MPRIS- HMENT	TOTAL2	1 THRU 12	13 THRU 35	36 Thru 59	60 AND DVER	AVG. SEN- TENCE3	SPLIT SEN- TENCE4	INDE- TERMI- NATE5	YCA Or YOS	TOTAL	1 THRU 12	13 THRU 24	25 Thru 36	37 AND OVER	AVG. Sen- Tence3	CIRCUIT AND DISTRIC
1,148	942	149	290	202	301	56.0	206	-	-	824	219	153	219	233	32.8	,.10TH
240 150	201 110	34 10	76 35 81	37 24 63	54 41	51.8 49.5	39 40	-	-	164 103	54 34 26	19 13	44 31	47 25	31.8 31.1	C
319 86 48	271 72 44	48 6 5	19 8	20 12	79 27 19	48.9 70.8 135.8	48 14 4		-	109 95 29	5 1	13 23 15 5	36 14 10	24 61 13	32.5 46.6 41.8	NM 8K, M
186 69 50	152 57 35	24 13 9	43 20 8	34	51 15 15	56.7 40.4 48.5	34 12 15		-	166 121 37	83 11 5	36 26 16	32 41 11	15 43 5	22.0 38.7 29.7	OK.)
3,170	2,762	258	375	450	1,679	86.0	371	37	-	1,977	719	230	412	616	31.2	11TH
169 95 138 205	140 74 104 176	22 23 1 13	27 12 12 13	36 19 24 40	55 20 67 110	58.7 46.0 90.3 96.2	29 21 34 29			195 118 108 60	75 57 1 11	18 13 6 11	38 38 31 20	64 10 70 18	31.2 23.3 50.5 35.4	AL,I AL,I FL,I
620 1,347 348	568 1,203 286	55 85 19	82 177 34	77 146 63	354 795 170	84.6 92.4 90.3	50 144 28	2 		231 461 253	26 41 54	11 37 77 40	52 171 49	116 172 110	42.4 39.5 38.8	FL,I FL,I
83 165	70 141	21 19	5 13	10 35	34 74	68.6 69.5	13 23	ĩ	-	475	428 26	13 15	8 5	26 30	10.2 33.3	GA,

TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DISPOSITION OF ALL DEFENDANTS SHOWING TYPE OF SENTENCE BY DISTRICT DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

1. INCLUDES DEPORTATION, SUSPENDED SENTENCES, IMPRISONMENT FOR FOUR DAYS OR

LESS OR FOR TIME ALREADY SERVED, REMITTED AND SUSPENDED FINES AND LIFE SENTENCES.

2. INCLUDES SENTENCES OF MORE THAN 6 MONTHS WHICH ARE TO BE FOLLOWED By a term of probation (Mixed Sentences).

3. NOT COMPUTED WHERE HUMBER IMPRISONED LESS THAN 25. EXCLUDES SPLIT SENTENCES INDETERMINATE SENTENCES AND YOUTH CORRECTIONS ACT/OFFENDER SENTENCES.

4. A SPLIT SENTENCE IS A SENTENCE ON A 1 COUNT INDICTMENT OF 6 MONTHS OR LESS IN A JAIL TYPE INSTITUTION, FOLLOWED BY A TERM OF PROBATION, 18 U.S.C. 3651. INCLUDED IN THESE FIGURES ARE MIXED SENTENCES INVOLVING CONFINEMENT FOR 6 MONTHS OR LESS ON 1 COUNT, TO BE FOLLOWED BY A TERM OF PROBATION ON 1 OR MORE OTHER COUNTS.

5. TITLE 18 U.S.C. 4205 B (1) AND (2).

6. TITLE 18 U.S.C. 5010 (B)(C).

					GENERAL	OFFENSES		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	AUTO Theft
TOTAL	27,733	90	773	314	83	1,836	922	4,678	229
DC	335	1	5	2	1	26	8	65	-
1\$T	694	2	8	6	2	53	27	138	3
ME	129 303	1	3	ī	1	5 30 1	4 14 1	17 66 5	1
NH RI PR	18 62 182	- 1	- 2	- 5		13	1 7	21 29	2
2ND	2,990	3	48	16	5	188	102	568	14
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	189 169 1,049 1,327 177 79	- - 2 1 -	5 2 10 26 3 2	2 1 5 7 1 -	1	7 12 70 82 16 1	17 4 34 41 5 1	50 34 161 259 52 12	- 2 8 1 2 1
3RD	1,380	12	41	12	38	110	59	326	6
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	30 463 355 132 135 265	- 1 1 10	1 5 5 3 10 17	- - 1 2 2 7	- 1 - 1 36	1 36 16 5 9 43	1 27 11 2 11 11 12	10 164 97 21 22 12	- 5 1 - -

### TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All criminal cases pending on June 30, 1988 by Major Offense and District

-

		GEI	NERAL OFFEN	SES-CONTI	NUED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY	DAP	CA (DRUG)	LAHS	HEAPONS		}						
AND COUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- GRATION LAWS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAHS#	DTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
1,067	2,519	6,026	918	1,436	1,271	1,891	1,030	1,372	114	112	1,052	TOTAL
18	9	129	22	9	-	8	13	1	4	-	14	DC
26	51	183	25	÷£	7	22	43	21	-	-	33	1ST
4 9 1	30 13 1	37 57 4	6 15 -	6 29 5	4 3 -	2 9 -	2 31 -	6 2 -	-	-	1 19 - 2	НЕ НА НН
12	3	16 69	3 1	2 2	-	5 6	37	12	-	-	2 11	RI PR
122	67	1,179	64	86	7	103	164	80	-	38	136	2ND
5 1 51 60 5	7 6 26 12 12 12 4	49 29 475 575 34 17	2 3 31 26 2 -	15 9 24 20 7 11	1 5 - 1 -	8 4 13 62 4 12	9 9 48 84 10 4	6 31 15 10 7 11		2 1 14 18 3 -	3 16 58 42 14 3	CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT
74	53	261	76	44	21	77	73	15	6	7	69	3RD
20 21 4 14 15	2 11 15 7 4 14	10 83 89 23 27 29	1 18 34 8 10 5	- 8 18 2 8 8 8	- 925 - 5	2 2 11 34 4 24	1 29 16 3 6 18	1 3 2 1 - 8	- 3 2 - 1	5-2-2-	38 14 11 5 1	DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI

#### TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ALL CRIMINAL CASES PENDING ON JUNE 30, 1988 BY MAJOR OFFENSE AND DISTRICT

and the second sec

					GENERAL	OFFENSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	RDBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUT THEF
4TH	2,310	7	66	45	3	186	62	289	34
MD	432	3	19	6	-	31	11	65	4
NC, E	229	3	5	3	-	36	8	23	l i
NC. M	136	-	18	ĩ	-	9	5	26	2
NC, H	133		8	9	-	10	2	29	1 1
SC	235		7		-	17	12	43	10
¥A, E	799	1	i i	26	1	76	12	53	2
YA, H	105	1 2	i i		-	2		15	Ĩ
WY, N	116	-	i	_	1	2	2	13	í
WV,S	125	_	<u> </u>	_	i	3	6	22	6
					-	-			-
5TH	3,705	3	36	27	3	146	79	535	28
LA, E	264	-	5	1	-	16	11	40	3
LA, M	22	-	-	~	-	-	2	11	-
LA, H	96	-	-	5	-	14	7	26	1
MS,N	59	-	5	1	-	3	7	17	-
MS,S	144		1	2	-	2	8	51	-
TX,N	496	-	9	1	-	39	15	84	6
TX, E	97		3	1	-	2	3	43	1
TX, S	1,816	2	8	13	1	29	16	173	11
ТХ, Ж	711	1	5	3	2	41	10	90	6
6TH	1,887	-	40	23	3	291	98	362	39
KY,E	109	-	6	2	-	6	4	16	5
KY, H	300	-	6	7	1	138	6	31	2
MI,E	431	-	2	6	1	33	15	94	2
MI,W	79	-	3	1	_	2	10	19	
OH, N	294	j – I	10	-	-	26	24	70	15
OH, S	230	-	7	1	1	29	11	59	-4
TN, E	93	-	i	-	-	27	8	15	i
TN.M	127	-	2	2	-	17	11	20	1 5 5
TN.H	224		3		-	13	- 9	38	ź

TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ALL CRIMINAL CASES PENDING ON JUNE 30, 1988 BY MAJOR DFFENSE AND DISTRICT

L

		OFFENSES	SPECIAL		i	GENERAL OFFENSES-CONTINUED											
CIRCUIT			AGRI-	IMMI-				HEAPONS AND	CONTROL-	CA (DRUG)		FORGERY					
AND DISTRICT	OTHER	POSTAL LANS*	CULTURAL ACTS	GRATION LAHS	OTHER	ESCAPE	TRAFFIC	FIRE-	LED SUB- STANCES	NAR- COTICS	MARI- HUANA	COUNTER- FEITING					
4TH	78	8	6	10	128	67	372	165	87	408	200	89					
ND	12 8	3-	1	4 1	11 9	8 3	63 34	21 11	32 6	96 31	35 35	7 12					
NC,M	3	-		1	6	8		7	6	28	3	13					
NC,W	9 7	- 3	_	-	32	2	3	6 24	6 2	24 26	6 26	15 14					
ŚC	27	2	1	1 3	- 32 - 44	11 22	271	47	25	88	73	21					
VA.H	8	-	4	5	9	2	1	31	5	9	4	3					
WA,H	1	-		-	8	4		3	ĩ	71	6	2					
HV,S	3	-	-	-	9	7	-	15	4	35	12	2					
5TH	115	5	5	514	91	455	12	228	183	517	627	96					
LA.E	12	1	1	20	13	5	-	8	17	72	27	12					
LA,H	-	-	-	- 1	*	2	-	2		1 3		7					
LA,H HS,N	1	= [	-	1	9	2 1		3 5	1	3	13 5	2					
	Ė	2	]	3	15	5		7	11	17	14	3					
TX.N	19	ī	2	20	8	86	1	39	26	89	31	20					
TX,E	4	= 1	- 1	2	5	2	-	5	8 1	9	7	2					
TX,S	59	-	2	370	20	307	4	113	55 64	205	404	24					
ТХ,Н	13	1	-	98	13	45	7	46	64	114	126	26					
6тн	63	8	5	18	72	53	23	138	44	407	126	74					
KY,E	3		-	-	7	6	-	8	6	24	10	6					
KY,H	10	7	2		12	2	2	9	13	30	27	2					
HI,E	12 3	<u>+</u>	1	14	16 5	17 3	1	38 5	6	125 10	32 9	12 3					
HI,H	15	ī	1	2	15	3		35	Ĩ	54	12	6					
DH,S	5	2	-	1	15	ő	2	13	3	60	12	13					
TN,E	1	=	-	-	4	ĩ	3	4	ī	18	-7	3					
TN.M	6	1	1	-	7	3	15	9	3	9	2	14					
TN,H	9	- 1	- 1	1	4 1	7	-	17	7	77	15	15					

#### TABLE D-B CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All Criminal Cases Pending on June 30, 1988 by Major Offense and District

<u></u>		GENERAL OFFENSES								
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	
7TH	1,505	3	34	7	1	127	89	370	16	
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S MI,E WI,M	932 125 82 141 69 101 55	1	13 2 1 1 8 7 2	3 - 1 1 1 1 -	1	92 4 6 13 1 8 3	72 6 1 4 3 2 1	235 26 18 34 15 24 18	1 3 9 1 -	
8TH	1,033	5	45	31	3	57	37	211	9	
AR, E AR, H IA, N MN MD.E MD.H NE ND SD	66 37 47 54 182 164 236 84 71 92		6 1 3 7 7 11 3 2 1	- 1 - 4 - 1 - 4 21		2 6 1 3 7 5 18 6 2 7 432	3 - - 13 2 12 2 3 2 2 3 2 232	12 8 11 16 26 38 49 23 19 9 1,020	3 1 - 1 2 1 - 2 2	
9TH AZ CA, N CA, C CA, C CA, S HI NY DR HA, C HA, M GUAM	7,054 90 692 810 356 1,317 1,788 549 549 549 549 514 317 259 258 415 18 12	41 	309 4 20 30 122 26 - 1 4 16 36 1 19 2 -	91 1 18 3 4 12 20 4 1 6 5 6 - 4 6 1	22 3 3 4 3 4 - - 1 - - 2 - -	*32 7 23 67 39 126 38 35 6 7 15 21 26 22 22 -	1 16 104 24 43 11 3 3 1 9 9 10 2 5 5 -	1,020 19 80 179 55 273 101 31 17 27 108 37 36 53 4 -	- 1 2 2 4 6 - - 5 1 - - 1 -	

,

TABLE D-© CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All Criminal Cases Pending on June 30, 1988 by Major Offense and District

			ALL CAIMIN					r				[
		GE	NERAL OFFEN	SES-CONTI	NUED		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY		CA (DRUG)	CONTROL-	WEAPONS				IMMI- GRATION	AGRI~ CULTURAL	POSTAL		CIRCUIT
CDUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	LED SUB- STANCES	FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	LAHS	ACTS	LAHS*	OTHER	DISTRICT
93	60	375	26	103	8	34	63	22	2	12	60	דד
68 - 5 11 5 3 1	15 20 9 7 7 2 -	233 32 14 38 11 32 15	12 4 1 2 1 2	67 7 7 5 9	1 4 - 1 - 1 1	11 6 2 2 6 2 5	39 6 7 2 2 -	18 1 - - 1 1			40 5 1 5 2 5 2	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H
45	38	259	32	70	46	54	41	11	9	1	29	8TH
3315888836 76	9 2 4 6 2 1 4 7	11 2 16 12 61 49 66 18 6 18	3111643526	5 4 3 4 11 23 8 1 7 4		3 1 2 2 21 6 9 4 4 2	2 2 2 2 3 8 3 6 5 8	2 1 2 1 3 1 1	1 - - 1 1 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		312159323-	, AR, E , AR, W , IA, N , HA, S , MD, E , MD, W , ND , ND , SD
243	796	1,065	251	243	632	546	186	549	58	23	293	9TH
1 11 35 9 106 21 3 2 1 29 8 13 4 -	14 209 38 14 79 371 17 1 2 13 12 11 14 14 1	2 61 121 49 246 282 22 22 3 28 43 43 42 105 60 1	7 8 28 31 27 90 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 32 4 9 9 -	4 26 19 21 42 35 1 4 10 12 16 23 28 28 1 1	5 70 11 2 4 413 1 1 6 - - - 116 1 -	107 25 13 32 306 - 13 13 17 4 28 - -	1 31 27 22 46 25 6 4 6 8 7 - 9 2 2	6 44 11 19 68 351 1 6 5 3 7 7 19 9 -	15 1 3 2 3 - 5 9 3 2 9 3 2 9 3 2 -	16255 - 2 - 11 - 1	3 13 39 15 71 88 6 3 2 15 4 4 4 28 1 1	AK 

### TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All criminal cases pending on june 30, 1988 by Major offense and district

CARAGE AND AND A COMPANY AND A COMP

					GENERAL	OFFENSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	RDBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	AUTO THEFT
10TH	1,317	11	51	30	-	89	30	298	31
CD KS DK.N DK,F UT HY	193 204 392 110 16 186 173 43	- 1 8 1 - - 1 -	14 7 5 3 2 10 8 2	3 4 10 		14 8 11 4 - 37 12 3	7 6 9 - 1 2 4 1	34 70 57 45 2 38 42 10	2 28 - 1 -
11TH	3,523	2	90	24	2	131	99	496	27
AL, N AL, M FL, S FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, M GA, M GA, S	100 111 92 188 439 2,091 316 69 117		3 2 1 7 14 32 14 2 15	2 1 		9 19 2 7 25 30 27 5 7	3 4 - 12 56 12 4 4	12 18 25 10 78 258 65 18 12	2 1 - 6 12 5 - 1

### TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All Criminal cases pending on june 30, 1988 by Major offense and district

		GE	NERAL OFFE	ISES-CONTI	NUED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY	DAP	CA (DRUG)	LAHS	HEAPONS					l			
AND CDUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- GRATION LAMS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAHS*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
41	104	203	43	67	78	84	50	65	11	2	29	10TH
4 6 14 1 7 3 -	13 8 55 2 - 7 16 3	35 22 62 13 1 32 31 7	34 128 165 4	16 8 17 7 6 7 5 1	19 15 9  23 12 -	6 37 29 4 - 6 1 1	8 5 12 5 - 7 11 2	8 	2 	- - - 1 -	5273 - 291	CO KS DK, N DK, N DK, N UT NY
146	388	1,040	65	239	65	388	106	66	8	8	133	11TH
10 9 2 27 65 16 3 6	9 3 18 18 54 256 14 3 13	13 11 15 55 141 697 76 11 21	2  3 11 33 6 1 2	16 9 5 4 15 149 31 9 1	3 22 - 3 7 - 2 5 23	10 9 27 16 274 12 7	2 2 4 9 17 53 14 1 4	- - - - - - - -			4 1 8 92 12 5 1	AL,N AL,M FL,M FL,M FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S

#### TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All Criminal Cases Pending on June 30, 1988 by Major Offense and District

 DBSTRUCTING MAIL, MAILING HON-MAILABLE MATERIAL AND DTHER POSTAL REGULATIONS.

					GENERAL OF	FENSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTD THEFT
TOTAL	19,831	79	523	205	52	1,177	576	3,533	156
DC	270	-	4	3	-	22	5	34	-
1ST	575	-	3	4	1	57	21	111	-
ME MA NH PR 2ND CT	64 333 14 39 125 2,213 116		1 - - 1 29 5	2 - 2 10 2	- - - 4	2 40 1 3 11 103 8	4 13 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	12 62 5 17 15 386 17	
NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	119 1,050 751 153 24		1 10 10 2 1	- 3 4 1 -	- 4 - -	4 44 38 8 1	1 28 19 3 -	18 183 119 46 3	- 5 - 1 -
3RD	876	6	32	6	21	55	21	242	1
DE NJ PA,E PA,M VI	13 392 191 59 81 140	1 - 1 - 4	- 5 2 3 7 15	- - 1 1 4	- - - 21	1 26 4 1 1 22	- 10 5 - 5 1	4 127 84 9 14 4	1

#### TABLE D-9. DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All Triable Criminal defendants pending on June 30, 1988 by Major Offense and District

T

-

		GE	NERAL OFFE	NSES-CONTI	NUED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY	DAF	CA (DRUG)	LAHS	WEAPONS								
AND COUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- GRATION LAMS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LANS≈	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
678	1,814	5,514	875	812	645	258	1,057	621	130	70	1,056	TOTAL
7	7	139	11	7	-	7	8	1	3	-	12	DC
10	20	173	17	27	6	4	66	13	-	-	42	157
2 3 1	7 9 -	20 68 3 12	2 14 	4 20 3	3 3 -	2	1 57 1	5			1 38 - 1	ME MA NH RI
4	2	70	-	-	-	2	7	8	-	-	ź	PR
67	26	1,045	57	64	1	6	202	22	-	21	101	2ND
3 39 21 4 -	2 12 2 10 -	36 68 490 419 21 11	- 6 39 8 4 -	7 3 30 20 2 2		- - 2 4 - -	20 9 88 55 27 3	2 4 6 4 3 3		1 	2 55 20 19	CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,M VT
32	38	176	46	19	10	14	73	5	5	4	70	3RD
- 10 8 6 2 6	- 9 6 1 16	8 76 35 2 33 22	- 12 18 4 8 4	- 4 8 1 2 4	- 6 - 4 - -	- - 3 5 3 3	50 12 1 1 9		3	****	- 48 3 15 3 1	DE PA.E PA.M PA.H VI

#### TABLE D-9, DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All Triable Criminal Defendants pending on June 30, 1988 by Major Offense and District

					GENERAL OF	FENSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTI
4TH	1,666	4	41	38	1	136	38	205	21
но	319	2	14	4		22	8	44	1
NC, E	157	ī	6	z	- 1	23	5	18	1 1
NC. M	70		8	l ī	-		2	10	
NC, H	137		Ā	3	-	9	2	26	4
sc	99		4	-	-	18	4	19	4
VA, E	615	1	E	23	1	58	10	45	1 -
YA. H	120		1	-	-	1	4	23	5
WV, N	74		1	- 1	- 1	2	-	1 7	1
WV, S	75	-	-	) -	- 1	3	3	13	5
5TH	2,591	2	28	19	3	101	54	503	34
LA, E	144		1	1		11	4	20	
LA, M	8	-	-	- 1	-	- 1	-	6	
LA, H	99		-	2	-	3	6	35	-
MS.N	38	-	4	1	-	2	1	11	
MS, S	108	-	-	2	-	1 1	6	38	1
TX,N	581	-	9	1	- 1	29	22	100	18
TX.E	106	-	2	1	-	7	2	43	1 2
TX,S	1,098	1	8	9	1	24	15	184	7
тх,н	409	1	4	2	2	24	8	66	1
6TH	1,582	1	28	16	2	208	55	231	28
KY.E	96	-	5	1	-	6	-	7	14
KY,H	356		5	6	1	123	5	41	1
MI,E	425	-	-	2	1	27	11	71	
MI,H	64	-	3	-	- 1	-	8	9	1 -
DH,N	220	1	8	-	-	16	13	55	7
OH,S	98	-	3	1	- 1	14	3	16	1 -
TN, E	38	-	1	-	- 1	4	2	3	2
TN,M	114	-	2	2		13	9	13	3
TN.H	171	-	1	4	l -	5	4	16	1

## TAELE D-9. DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All triable criminal defendants pending on june 30, 1988 by major offense and district

		GEI	NERAL OFFE	ISES-CONTI	NUED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING	DAP MARI- HUANA	CA (DRUG) NAR- COTICS	LAHS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	HEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- GRATION LAMS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAHS*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
67	107	392	76	100	258	7	74	6	23	5	67	4TH
2 9 2 13 7 16 15 3	20 12 5 4 59 2 3 1	84 26 34 39 19 108 11 52 19	33 6 1 5 1 20 3 1 6	13 5 3 5 11 35 11 3 14	43 33 - 3 - 178 1 -	3 1 1 - - -	10 6 5 - 4 26 14 3 6	1 - - - -	1 	3	11 3 2 13 4 20 11 11 2	HD NC, E NC, H NC, H SC VA, E VA, H HY, N
74	461	501	188	169	11	70	74	169	6	4	110	<b>5</b> TH
6 4 1 3 14 3 26 17 44	12 34 4 8 37 15 287 64 106	41 	5 1 2 12 67 5 44 52 51	4 2 2 61 2 72 24 67	- - - 4 7 13	- - 1 2 49 - 14 4 18	16 7 1 10 10 4 20 6 115	2 - 23 - 91 51 3	3	- - 2 1 - 1 4	10 	LA, E LA, H HS, H HS, N TX, N TX, S TX, H GTH
10 1 2 7 1 - 10 11	4 24 23 18 5 7 5 2 18	28 63 214 16 33 39 13 15 60	1 17 10 - 1 - 3 12 7	3 6 14 - 17 5 1 6 15	- - - 2 8 -	3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10 16 23 6 36 4 2 14 4		- - - - - -	- 1 1 1 - 1 -	4 45 14 2 16 2 - 4 22	KY,E HI,E HI,H OH,N OH,N TH,E TN,H

#### TABLE D-9. DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All triable criminal defendants pending on June 30, 1988 by Major Offense and District

					GENERAL OF	FENSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	AUTO Theft
7TH	1,151	2	22	7	4	70	64	266	6
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	650 110 73 148 77 66 27		11 - - 5 5 -	1 - 3 1 1 -	4 	55 2 3 6 - 3 1	54 4 - 3 2 - 1	169 26 7 33 12 3 10	- 1 3 - 1
8TH	651	2	22	19	1	38	14	139	2
AR, E AR, H IA, N MD, E MD, E ND, H ND, M SD	56 35 60 31 95 140 104 47 25 58		531-234212	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		2 4 2 1 7 3 8 3 1 7	3  - 3 2 5 1 	21 2 9 7 16 33 32 32 9 4 6	1
9TH	5,310	49	233	48	14	295	169	908	16
АК CA, N CA, E CA, E CA, S HI HI MY OR WA, E MA, H GUAM NHI	49 332 849 208 1,109 1,189 162 51 95 454 173 145 487 1 16	16 2 11 4 - - 6 - 7	3 8 26 11 105 28 - 2 14 19 1 13 -	- 6 1 2 10 15 1 1 4 3 2 - 2 - 1	124322111131	3 13 50 7 86 41 11 5 5 28 14 13 19 -	1 7 94 31 9 1 2 - 13 4 - 3 -	10 34 164 37 247 107 30 12 18 156 18 18 56 1 18	- 1 1 - 12 - - 1

# TABLE D-9. DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All triable criminal defendants pending on june 30, 1988 by Major Dffense and District

		OFFENSES	SPECIAL				NUED	SES-CONTI	NERAL OFFEN	GEI		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	OTHER	POSTAL LAWS*	AGRI→ CULTURAL ACTS	IMMI~ GRATION LAMS	OTHER	ESCAPE	TRAFFIC	WEAPONS AND Fire Arms	LAHS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	CA (DRUG) NAR- COTICS	DAP MARI- HUANA	FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING
7тн	31	8	1	1	89	11	3	55	19	293	122	77
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	18 4 1 2 3 3 -	8		1	72 5 2 6 2 2 -	3 1 - 1 3 1 2	3 - - - -	33 3 8 2 7 1 1	4 2 6 2 2 1 2	154 36 18 25 13 39 8	5 22 21 49 24 1 -	58  15 
8тн	26		8	+	30	9	4	35	17	217	44	20
AR, E AR, H IA, N IA, S MN MD, E ND SD	3 1 10 1 4 5 - 1 1 1 -		1 - - 3 1 - - 1 2	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1 2 1 12 2 3 1 5	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		1 3 1 2 3 15 4 1 3 2	1 1 1 1 6 4 - - 1 2	12 8 19 12 38 56 43 16 3 10	5 3 12 4 3 2 - 8 1 6	- 41232323
9тн	398	21	66	360	222	79	248	145	288	1,020	550	181
AK AZ CA, N CA, C CA, C CA, C HI HI NY NR NR NR NR NR NR	1 48 20 58 100 1 5 - - 16 2 1 142 - -		19 -2 1 3 6 -6 13 4 2 6 4 - -	6 27 7 10 60 230 - 2 1 1 1 3 8 5 - -	- 27 25 55 50 55 1 3 32 7 5 - 6	- 6 17 - 31 - 9 2 1 5 -	2 48 6 1 4 79 1 1 6 - 101 -	1 8 15 6 24 26 - 2 6 13 9 11 23 - 1	1 3 40 23 13 138 1 1 5 21 27 2 13 -	1 48 182 56 266 147 20 8 28 65 54 70 75 54	- 116 93 11 47 226 10 2 2 15 8 7 13 - -	1 8 25 4 75 15 1 4 1 34 1 7 4

## TABLE D-9. DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All triable criminal defendants pending on june 30, 1988 by major offense and district

					GENERAL DI	FENSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTI THEFT
10TH	724	10	23	22	-	30	15	143	24
CD KS NM OK,N DK,E OK,H UT WY	91 101 223 59 10 82 118 40	- 5 1 - 4	4 2 1 1 7 4 2	3 2 5 - 1 1 4 6	-	5 3 3 2 - 9 5 3	2 4 7 1 1 1	13 27 29 22 1 15 25 11	- 23 - 1 -
11TH	2,222	2	58	13	1	62	48	365	18
AL, H AL, M FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, N GA, S GA, S	103 46 74 136 329 1,152 247 62 73		2 1 - 3 9 22 8 - 13	2 1 - 2 - 5 3 -		6 7 1 3 9 14 16 4 2	2 - - 7 32 3 3 -	10 9 19 14 59 201 35 14 4	- - - 4 2 10 - 2

### TABLE D-9. DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All Triable criminal defendants pending on June 30, 1988 by Major offense and district

_		GE	NERAL OFFEN	ISES-CONTI	NUED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING	DAF MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	LAWS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	HEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	DTHER	IMMI- GRATIDN LAMS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAHS#	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
18	63	163	39	35	46	6	28	28	11	-	20	10TH
- 6 4 6 - 2 - 81	7 5 38 1 - 10 2 270	32 24 37 10 1 28 26 5 914	4 11 11 - 4 2 3 66	6 5 12 3 4 1 4 -	6 12 7 	4 1 - - 1 27	6 1 8 1 - 2 9 1 76	2 25 - - 1 9	1 		2 4 1 1 11 1 70	CO KS OK,N OK,N OK,E OK,W HY HY HY
8 5 2 12 37 7 3 2	19 3 9 12 58 146 20 - 3	19 2 17 62 126 535 99 30 24	8 16 4 9 21 7 1 -	10 3 2 2 8 49 12 3 -	3 13 - 5 - 2 1 20	4  7 2 13 1 	2 2 4 1 17 36 10 2 2		- +		8 	AL, M AL, M FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, S

#### TABLE D-9. DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ALL TRIAGLE CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS PENDING ON JUNE 30, 1988 BY MAJOR DEFENSE AND DISTRICT

DESTRUCTING MAIL, MAILING NON-MAILABLE MATERIAL AND DTHER POSTAL REGULATIONS.

### TABLE D-10. DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS STATUS OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES PENDING AS DF JUNE 30, 1988 BY DISTRICT

		NON.	TRIABLE	TRI	ED	TRIABLE
AND DISTRICT	TÜTAL PENDING	FUGITIVE	OTHER	AWAITING SENTENCE	FUGITIVE AFTER TRIAL	AMAITING TRIAL
TOTAL	41.809	14,396	506	6,393	683	19,831
x	463	75	1	107	10	270
1ST	1,159	298	10	261	15	575
1E	172 559	58 147	3	47 65 3	8	64 333 14
NH RI PR	21 88 319	19 70		30 116	7	39 125
2ND	5,063	1,982	39	705	124	2,213
тт	372	187	1	66 42	2	116
W,N W,E	295 1,949 2,100	774	22	68 446	35 75 5 6	1,050
WY,S WY,W /Т	251 96	43 32	1	50 33	5 6	153 24
3RD	2,115	599	78	542	20	876
DE	37	,	1	14	- 19	13 392
NJ PA.E	799 574	204 146	17 11	174 225	12 1	191
PA, H	168 224	64 59	26	45	- 1	59 81
PA,H VI	313	117	23	27	5	140

	TOTAL PENDING	NONTRIABLE		TRIED		TRIABLE
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT		FUGITIVE	OTHER	AHAITING SENTENCE	FUGITIYE AFTER TRIAL	AMAITING TRIAL
4TH	3,106	841	19	552	28	1,666
HD, HC,E HC,H SC, VA,E VA,E HY,H HY,S	589 341 215 212 322 921 187 137 182	154 112 58 52 93 286 29 30 27	2 1 5 1 6 2 2	108 71 85 17 126 7 30 30 78	6 1 1 4 12 2 1	319 157 70 137 99 615 120 74 75
5TH	5,514	2,144	11	707	61	2,591
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, H 6TH	395 27 350 83 181 751 175 2,578 974 2,951	156 9 161 1 29 157 35 1,205 391 628	2 - - 2 - 2 4 1 30	90 8 89 42 43 4 31 228 172 698	3 2 1 - 1 9 1 43 1 13	144 8 99 38 108 581 106 1,098 409 1,582
KY, E KY, N MI, E DH, N DH, S TN, E TN, M TN, M	190 470 837 133 410 290 116 173 332	22 65 236 40 61 66 24 39 75	417-24228	68 48 161 27 126 120 52 18 78	- 8 1 2 - -	96 356 425 64 220 98 38 114 171

#### TABLE D-10. DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS STATUS OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES PENDING AS OF JUNE 30, 1988 BY DISTRICT
# TABLE D-10. DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS STATUS DF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES PENDING AS DF JUNE 30, 1988 BY DISTRICT

		aunti	RIABLE	TR	TRIABLE AMAITING TRIAL 1,151 450 100 73 148 77 66 27 651 56 35 60 31 95 140 104 47 25 58 5,310 49 322 849 208 1,109 1,189 162 51 95 444 173	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Pending	FUGITIVE	OTHER	AMAITING SENTENCE	FUGITIVE AFTER TRIAL	
7TH	2,341	627	42	510	11	1,151
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E MI,M	1,412 171 160 258 134 148 58	417 20 47 56 43 32 12	35 1 - 2 2 - 2	304 38 40 51 12 50 15	6 2 - 1 - - 2	110 73 148 77 66
8TH	1,449	392	20	381	5	651
AR, E AR, M IA, N MN MO, E MD, M NE ND SD	95 50 92 78 252 244 307 126 86 119	17 2 18 24 69 44 110 45 35 28	4 1 - 1 4 - 7 3	16 12 14 22 86 55 93 34 19 30	2	35 60 31 95 140 104 47 25
9TH	10,047	3,526	223	697	291	5,310
AK AZ.N CA,E CA,C HI HI MY DR MA,E HA,H GUAM	1069921,1975041,6532,5776239117954641025967219	34 450 220 144 599 1,271 315 9 45 89 147 71 130 2	4 1 33 24 22 10 106 - 2 1 1 3 3 3 13	18 193 6 120 42 28 38 31 37 11 86 38 43 33	1 16 89 8 81 79 2 	332 849 208 1,109 1,189 162 51 95

		NON	TRIABLE	TR	IED	TRIABLE	
CIRCUIT AND TOTAL DISTRICT PENDING		FUGITIVE	OTHER	AMAITING SENTENCE	FUGITIVE AFTER TRIAL	AMAITING TRIAL	
10TH	1,727	529	5	431	38	724	
CD KS NH	264 255 503	131 99 167	-	32 51 106	10 4 7	91 101 223	
QK,N OK,E QK,H	171 19 234	44 4 30	1	58 5 114	-	59 10 82	
UT	229 52	54	22	55 10	-2	118 40	
11TH	5,874	2,755	28	802	67	2,222	
AL,N AL,H AL,S	141 129 159	36 29 54	- 227	2 52 25 27	=	103 46	
FL,N FL,M	322 735	144 271	4	124	4 8 7	74 136 329	
FL,S GA,N GA,M	3,594 510 116	2,003 151 23	10 3 -	395 98 31	34 11 -	1,152 247 62	
GA, S	168	44	-	48	3	62 73	

# TABLE D-10. DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS STATUS OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES PENDING AS DF JUNE 30, 1986 BY DISTRICT

A REPORT AND A REPORT OF A REPORT

	DURING	THE INELVE	MONTH PERIOL	ENDED JUNE 3	0, 1988	
	DEFENDA	NTS IN INTER	RVAL 1	DEFENDA	NTS IN INTER	IVAL 2
	ARRES 30	T TO INDICT	IENT	INDICTM TO TRIA	ENT OR APPEA L 70 Days Df	LESS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Defendants	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	TOTAL DEFENDANTS	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
TOTAL	17,599	16,839	95.7	48,674	46,323	95.2
DC	494	447	90.5	1,100	969	88.1
1ST	880	858	97.5	1,759	1,565	89.0
ME	57	50	87.7	196	196	100.0
MA	127	112	88.2	541	359	66.4
NH	25	25	100.0	51	51	100.0
RI	31	31	100.0	106	106	100.0
PR	640	640	100.0	865	853	98.6
2ND	2,033	1,880	92.5	3,397	3,197	94.1
CT	87	86	98.9	293	286	97.6
NY,N	130	127	97.7	253	253	100.0
MY,E	693	603	87.0	1,133	976	86.1
NY, S	861	815	94.7	1,276	1,257	98a5
NY, H	191	179	93.7	344	328	95.3
vr	71	70	98.6	98	97	99.0
3RD	867	839	96.8	2,389	2,341	98.0
DE	43	43	100.0	101	99	98.0
NJ	460	443	96.3	770	748	97.1
PA, t	37	34	91.9	667	666	99.9
PA, H	28	28	100.0	289	289	100.0
PA, H	58	57	98.3	284	283	99.6
YI	241	234	97.1	278	256	92.1
4TH	1,249	1,218	97.5	5,611	5,537	98.7
НD	140	139	99.3	969	963	99.4
NC, E	331	330	99.7	544	539	99.1
NC, M	40	40	100.0	270	270	100.0
NC, M	71	64	90.1	462	457	98.9
SC	33	32	97.0	361	356	98.6
VA.E	547	530	96.9	2,296	2,253	98.1
YA, H	29	26	89.7	238	230	96.6
HV,N	20	20	100.0	198	197	99.5
HV,S	38	37	97.4	273	272	99.6
5TH	2,429	2,314	95.3	5,202	4,798	92.2
LA, E	158	155	98.1	499	499	100.0
LA, M	20	20	100.0	74	73	98.6
LA.H	20	19	\$5.0	202	180	89.1
MS,N	32	32	100.0	114	111	97.4
MS,S	11	11	100.0	229	221	96.5
TX, N	210	204	97.1	750	732	97.6
TX,E	23	23	100.0	206	202	98.1
ŢX, S	1,279	1,251	97.8	1,878	1,612	85.8 93.4
TX,H	676	599	88.6	1,250	1,168	
6TH	859	784	91.3	3,913	3,724	95.2
KY, E	51	50	98.0	233	230	98.7
KY.H	201	196	97.5	509	484	95.1
MI.E	103	70	68.0	1,006	926	92.0
	47	43	91.5	201	187	93.0
MI,H	142	120	84.5	572	531	92.8
OH,N					463	99.4
OH,N OH,S	103	100	97.1	466		
OH,N OH,S TN,E	103 56	55	98.2	209	209	100.0
OH,N OH,S	103					

# TABLE D-11 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Defendants terminated in cases subject to the speedy trial act During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

·	DEFENDANTS IN INTERVAL 1 DEFENDANTS IN INTERVAL 2											
	ARREST	TO INDICTN	ENT	INDICTM	IENT DR APPEA	RANCE						
	30 L	DAYS OR LESS			L 70 DAYS OR	LESS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL DEFENDANTS	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	TOTAL DEFENDANTS	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL						
778	333	304	91.3	2,355	2,201	93.5						
IL,N IL,C IL,S IM,N IM,S, HI,E HI,H	178 18 2 37 39 57 2	154 18 2 34 38 56 2	86.5 100.0 100.0 91.9 97.4 98.2 100.0	794 229 216 296 461 211 148	672 216 200 294 461 210 148	54.6 94.3 92.6 99.3 100.0 99.5 100.0						
атн	457	451	98.7	2,554	2,493	97.6						
AR, E AR, H IA, N MN MO, E MG, H ND, SD	15 8 19 118 114 92 21 20	15 8 18 19 117 113 89 2 51 19	100.0 100.0 100.0 99.2 99.1 96.7 100.0 100.0 95.0	211 124 110 102 423 344 641 193 133 263	208 123 102 423 344 624 178 131 258	98.6 99.2 92.7 100.0 97.7 100.0 97.3 92.2 98.5 98.1						
9TH	4,018	3,849	95.8	10,901	10,110	92.7						
АК АZ CA.N CA.C CA.C HI HI NT NM HA.E HA.H GUAK NMI	14 677 371 99 642 1,49 79 37 33 134 131 108 225 19	13 643 300 89 625 1,432 79 37 32 132 132 132 125 105 218 19	92.9 95.0 80.9 89.9 97.4 98.8 100.0 100.0 97.0 98.5 95.4 97.2 96.9 100.0	194 1,256 1,051 551 1,412 2,058 1,513 1,513 125 195 467 427 328 1,252 68 4	193 1,249 687 527 1,239 1,933 1,511 123 195 464 378 314 1,225 68 4	99.5 99.4 65.4 95.6 87.7 93.9 99.9 98.4 100.0 99.4 100.0 95.7 95.7 95.7 97.8 100.0 100.0						
10TH.	812	745	91.7	2,285	2,271	99.4						
CB KS RH DK, E DK, E DK, H UT WY	203 93 261 28 11 197 3 16	181 61 252 28 11 194 2 16	89.2 65.6 96.6 100.0 98.5 66.7 100.0	461 289 458 189 91 491 218 88	456 285 458 185 91 490 218 88	98.9 98.6 100.0 97.9 100.0 99.8 100.0 100.0						
11тн [	3,168	3,150	99.4	7,208	7,117	98.7						
AL.N AL,M FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,S	58 33 44 1,28 1,365 204 1,144 162	58 33 29 44 120 1,359 202 1,144 161	100.0 100.0 96.7 100.0 93.8 99.6 99.0 100.0 99.4	414 262 274 316 921 2,072 794 1,876 279	409 262 256 314 899 2,052 784 1,864 277	98.8 100.0 93.4 97.4 97.6 99.0 98.7 99.4 99.3						

# TABLE D-11 DEFENDANTS, U.S, DISTRICT COURTS Defendants terminated in cases subject to the speedy trial act During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

			45 0	DANTS SENT Days or Le R Convict	SS	DEFENDANTS MORE THAN AFTER CON	SENTENCED 45 DAYS VICTION
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CONVICTED DEFENDANTS	MEDIAN	TOTAL	PERCENT DF TOTAL	MEDIAN	TOTAL	HEDIAN
TOTAL	42,793	46	21,091	49.3	1	21,702	66
	937	51	323	34.5	39	614	61
DC	}						
1ST	1,604	47	775	48.3	1	829	70
ME MA	185	80 44	47 248	25.4 53.4	1 36	138 216	91 62
NH	44	44 42	35 21	79.5	42	9 79	64 63
RI PR	811	53 34	424	21.0 52.3	41 1	387	70
2ND	3,189	68	758	23.8	33	2,431	83
CT	281	47	130	46.3 55.2	42 33	151 107	64 76
NY,N NY,E	239 1,085	42 77	132 204	18.8	3	881	85
NY,S NY,H	1,167 325	77 56	173 87	14.8	36 41	994 238	89 63
ŶT	92	74	32	34.8	ĩ	60	105
3RD	2,235	54	846	37.9	34	1,389	74
DE	90 728	38 56	76 241	84.4 33.1	33 38	14 487	50 71
NJ PA,E	638	60	196	30.7	37	442	86
PA.H PA.H	279 257	62 46	80 125	28.7	31 35	199 132	71 66
v1	243	42	128	52.7	28	115	74
4TH	5,018	32	3,015	60.1	1	2,003	72
HD	871 498	52 1	342 278	39.3 55.8	10 1	529 220	70 77
NC, E NC, M	239	58	81	33.9	19	158	70
NC, W	405 334	1 57	338 143	83.5 42.8	1	67 191	78 85
SC VA, E	2,023	1	1,622	80.2	1	401	72
VA.H HV.N	214 179	50 59	103	48.1 35.8	29	111 115	101 71
WV,S	255	56	44	17.3	39	211	59
5TH	4u472	42	2,484	55.5	32	1,988	63
LA, E	406	44	2'37	50.9	35	229	63
LA.H	71 173	52 63	14 54	19.7 31.2	42 35	57 119	56 74
MS,N	100 ]	65	31	31.0	3	69	87
MS,S TX,N	193 651	38 42	117 346	60.6 53.1	35	76 305	73 60
TX,E	164	42	83	50.6	1	81	99
ТХ, S ТХ, M	1,663 991	41 37	964 638	58.0 64.4	32 30	699 353	62 60
6.d	3,493	56	1,298	37.2	21	2,195	70
<b>ΚΥ,Ε</b> <b>ΚΥ,Η</b>	198	53	79	39.9	29	119	70
MI,E	453 864	28 64	299 133	66.0 15.4	41	154 731	76 70
MI.H	184	49 70	69	37.5	39	115	57
OH, N OH, S	540 431	59	148 106	27.4 24.6	2 39	392 325	83 67
TN.E	171	37 30	106	62.0	6	65	58
TN, M TN, K	314 338	56	248 110	79.0 32.5	14	66 228	69 70
Ļ	باحصد وسنبعه				L		

#### D-12 DEFENDANTS Median time from conviction to sentencing for criminal defendants convicted in the U.S. District courts during the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

<del>سینی ایک استین ویسی مسال مسین</del>			45 0	ANTS SENT AYS OR LE R CONVICT	SS	DEFENDANTS MORE THAN AFTER CON	SENTENCED 45 DAYS WICTIDH
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CONVICTED DEFENDANTS	MEDIAN	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL	MEDIAN	TOTAL	MEDIAN
7TH	2,057	51	756	36.8	1	1,301	62
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S MI,E HI,H	750 198 188 251 357 191 122	55 63 16 52 1 56 49	126 33 123 105 279 50 40	16.8 16.7 65.4 41.8 78.2 26.2 32.8	35 1 1 1 1 39 42	624 165 65 146 78 141 82	59 67 63 66 61 51
8TH	2,232	46	1,089	48.8	29	1,143	63
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MO,E ND,H ND SD	198 102 104 81 408 287 547 170 116 219	22 36 36 41 64 39 42 63 38 49	147 68 57 56 61 201 314 37 63 85	74.2 66.7 54.8 69.1 15.0 57.4 21.8 54.3 38.8	1 28 34 35 41 25 35	51 34 47 25 347 86 233 133 53 133	61 65 71 69 60 65 57 55
9TH	8,832	42	4,911	55.6	1	3,921	64
AK AZ CA, H CA, C CA, S HI HI NV HI NY HA.E HA.H GUAM NMI	149 870 812 499 1,252 1,907 953 102 157 390 354 240 1,080 65 2	29 46 49 45 45 45 45 56 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 1 1	108 432 363 232 617 1,005 823 29 94 41 119 160 843 43 2	72.5 49.7 46.5 49.3 52.7 86.4 28.4 59.9 10.5 33.6 66.2 100.0	1 35 1 1 35 1 1 1 32 27 1 1 1	41 438 449 267 635 902 130 73 63 349 235 80 237 22	57 58 64 58 59 62 71 85 63 62 65 78
10TH	2,180	48	993	45.6	30	1,187	59
CO KS MM OK.N OK.H UT HY	437 278 438 185 79 467 208 88	35 49 50 42 56 43 47	323 118 134 45 171 116 42	73.9 42.4 30.6 23.8 57.0 36.6 55.8 47.7	31 27 38 42 36 1 32 15	114 160 304 141 34 296 92 46	55 63 56 54 54 63 62 56
JITH	6,544	40	3,843	58.7	1	2,701	63
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, N GA, S	395 239 292 887 1,875 684 1,651 262	1 44 35 37 47 57 46 1 45	373 131 183 205 412 494 331 1,576 138	94-4 94-8 70.7 46.4 26.4 95.5 52.7	1 28 29 34 35 35 1 1 21	22 108 76 475 1,381 353 75 124	106 59 61 59 62 64 63 63 64

#### D-12 DEFENDANTS Median Time From Conviction To Sentencing for Criminal Defendants convicted in the U.S. District courts During The Thelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

PERSONS UNDE	R SUPERV	ENON BY	DISTRIC	r on Jun	E 30, 198	4 - 1988
Circuit and District	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	Percent Change 88/87
Total	63,092	65,999	69,656	73,432	75,414	2.7
<b>ЭС</b>	1,345	1,369	1,448	1,603	1,530	-4.6
1st Cir	1,555	1,642	1,882	2,027	2,060	1.6
ME	107	114	129	173	220	27.2
MA	\$33	878	960	967	938	-3.0
NH	127	103	117	123	128	4.1
RJ	111	122	144	151	181	19.9
PR	377	425	532	613	593	-3.3
2nd Cir	4,946	4,979	5,609	6,126	6,173	0.8
CT	541	580	586	605	641	6.0
CT	205	206	239	312	331	6.1
NY, E	1,901	1,936	2,269	2,419	2,445	1.1
NY, S	1,825	1,751	1,995	2,190	2,168	-1.0
NY, W	374	393	426	497	487	-2.0
YT	99	111	94	103	101	-1.9
3rd Cir	4,363	4,599	4,805	5,092	5,174	1.6
DE	149	155	129	129	123	-4.7
NJ	1,525	1,608	1.710	1,866	1,940	4.0
PA, E	1,561	1,657	1,754	1,789	1,736	-3.0
PA, M	345	332	317	336	362	9.8
PA, W	579	625	653	748	752	0.5
VI	204	222	242	224	254	13.4
4th Cir	6,708	6,863	7,492	7,722	8,035	4.1
MD	1,880	1,849	2,007	2,053	2,175	5.9
NC, E	761	824	908	884	849	-4.0
NC, M	565	543	580	604	594	-1.7
NC, W	699	762	788	779	764	-1.9
SC	861	918	\$01	982	985	0.3
VA, E	1,077	1,053	1,420	1,393	1,548	11.1
VA, ₩	384	402	361	402	436	3.9
₩V, N WV, S	173	220	307	368	417	13.3
5th Cir	8,982	9,087	9,195	9,352	9,376	0.3
		<u> </u>				
LA, E	715	831	876	955	1,026	7.4
LA, M	265	241	236 582	264 565	329 624	24.6
LA, W	461 278	512 291	258	229	257	12.2
MS, N MS, S	354	422	463	495	501	1.2
TX, N	1,678	1,700	1,677	1,749	1,620	-7.4
TX, E	432	463	422	454	465	2.4
TX, S	2,948	2,741	2,729	2,698	2,736	1.4
TX, W	1,851	1,886	1,952	1,943	1,818	-6.4
6th Cir	5,915	6,066	6,015	6,289	6,614	5.2
KY. E	419	395	396	413	379	-8,2
KY, E KY, W ML E	501	560	496	541	643	18.9
ML E	1,319	1,371	1,387	1,491	1,550	4,0
ML, W	361	359	380	385	385	1
он, N	912	\$12	914	936	989	5.7
OH, S	706	749	768	820	889	6.4
TN, E	556	577	554	526	564	7,2
TN, <b>H</b>	570	589	605 515	617 560	558 557	5,6 0,5
TN, W	571	554	- <del>2</del> 13		337	

# TABLE E. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS HINDER SUPERVENON BY DISTRICT ON JUNE 39, 1984 - 1988

PERSONS UNDE	R SUPERV	SION BY	DISTRICT	ON JOH	e 30, 198	1 - 1988
Circuit and District	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	Percent Change 88/87
7th Cir	4,107	4,414	4,453	4,765	5,000	4.9
IL, N	2,284	2,432	2,403	2,561	2,721	6.2
IL, C	351 258	368 296	358 316	372 335	405	8.9 -5.4
IL, S IN, N	300	332	364	402	459	14.2
IN, S	469	523	-554	590	583	-1.2
WI, E	316	316	299	320	331 189	3.4 2.2
WI, W	129	147	159	185	1	4.4
8th Cir	3,503	3,590	3,589	3,934	3,972	1.0
AR, E	420	396	392	438	417	-4.8
AR, W	186	187	217 98	252	225	-10.7
IA, N	144 202	133 184	172	142	198	19.7
IA, S	522	567	561	613	650	6.0
мо, Е	751	793	756	844	795	-5.8
MO, W	604	618	676	724	735	1.5
NE	219 164	298 135	312 152	345 154	368	6.7 5.2
ND	219	279	253	239	252	5.4
9th Cir	11,620	12,337	13,188	13,590	13,841	1.8
АК	227	212	193	207	197	-4.8
AZ	1,100	1,191	1,246	1,257	1,333	6.0
CA, N	1,711 989	1,989	2,144	2,190	2,184	-0.3 -2.5
CA, E CA, C	3,393	1,034 3,654	1,106	1,140 3,924	3,896	-0.7
CA, S	1,399	1,278	1,405	1,488	1,543	3.7
Н1	251	275	310	382	390	2.1
1D	250	257	260	285	286	0.4 -11.1
MT NV	283 504	277	270 529	314 652	783	14.8
OR	505	484	580	583	603	3.4
WA, E	245	234	273	224	224	
WA, W	682 80	698 84	781 107	785 129	870 142	10.8
GU NMI	1	1	-	-		-
10th Cir	3,188	3,386	3,446	3,556	3,614	1.6
co	736	797	786	831	891	7.2
KS	457	452	488	486	466	-4.1
NM	511	506 300	593	585 364	630 394	7.7
OK, N OK, E	289 241	260	316 210	214	199	-7.0
OK, W	510	536	534	545	513	-5.9
UT	320	401 134	405	412 119	402	-2.4
WY	124		114			
11th Cir	6,860	7,667	8,534	9,376	10,025	6.9
AL, N	913	\$97	831	778	804	3.3 -5.8
AL, M AL, S	272 251	260 309	283 340	329	310 474	15.3
FL, N	393	460	569	621	633	1.9
FL, N FL, M	1,294	1,487	1,665	1,847	2,041	10.5
FL, S GA, N	1,938 1,115	2,289	2,689 1,251	3,022 1,302	3,346	10.7
GA, M	356	417	482	622	649	4.3
GA, S	326	356	424	444	435	-2.0

.....

#### TABLE E. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS UNDER SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT ON JUNE 30, 1884 - 1988

	PERSONS				RECEIVED	FOR SUPER	VISION				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	UNDER SUPER- VISION JULY 1 1987	TOTAL Received	TOTAL LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE PROBA- TION	PRE- TRIAL DIVER -SION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	PAROLE	MANDA- Tory Release	MILI- TARY Parole	SPECIAL Parole	RECEIVED By TRANSFER
TOTAL	73.432	46.258	37.974	15.799		5.180	6.930	4.148	482	2.435	8.284
DC	1,603	1,033	871	213		127	384	99	3	45	162
1ST	2.027	1.229	1.041	549		21	213	116	22	120	188
ME MA NH RI PR	173 967 123 151 613	149 557 69 125 329	130 488 35 95 293	59 270 24 42 154		3 6 - 3 9	40 55 1 26 91	8 75 4 11 18	3 .7 1 3 8	17 75 5 10 13	19 69 34 30 36
2ND	6.126	3.641	2.848	1.410		304	392	367	34	341	793
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	605 312 2,419 2,190 497 103	343 157 1,336 1,472 273 60	289 124 927 1,220 239 49	178 75 404 613 116 24		5 15 97 117 69 1	33 14 170 142 23 10	51 7 137 154 15 3	2 3 9 11 7 2	20 10 110 183 9 9	54 33 409 252 34 11
3RD	5.091	2.772	2.275	1.171		359	356	194	30	165	497
DE NJ PA.E PA.M PA.M VI	129 1,866 1,789 336 748 223	88 1,074 836 254 381 139	68 813 734 216 309 135	33 355 386 139 160 98		10 234 71 31 13	13 95 101 30 84 33	10 82 73 9 20	1 10 9 3 7 -	1 37 94 4 25 4	20 261 102 38 72 4
4TH	7.722	5.342	4.437	1.485		1.793	703	237		147	905
HD NC,E HC,M SC VA,E VA,H	2,053 884 604 779 982 1,393 402	1,546 533 285 486 593 1,243 272	1,285 460 207 389 463 1,075 227	298 141 89 162 158 249 140	-	658 224 6 115 112 638 37	198 62 63 75 121 105 35	72 21 23 30 34 37 5	13 9 7 1 13 15 6	46 3 19 6 23 31 4	261 73 78 97 130 168 45
WV,N HV,S	257 368	143 241	130 201	98 151	-	3	23 21	5 8	3	1 14	13 40

#### TABLE E 1. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS RECEIVED FOR AND RENDVED FROM SUPERVISION DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

#### TABLE E 1. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS RECEIVED FOR AND REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

				REM	OVED FROM	SUPERVIS	LON			- PERSONS	
TOTAL RE- Moved	LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE PROBA- TIDN	PRE- TRIAL DIVER -SIDN	U.S. HAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	PAROLE	MANDA- TDRY RELEASE	MILI- Tary Parole	SPECIAL PAROLE	REMOVED By Trans- Fer	UNDER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
44.276	35.992	14.696	1.231	7.998	6.125	3.728	374	1.840	8.284	75.414	TOTAL
1,106	884	215	-	172	361	102	1	33	222	1,530	DC
1.196	1.048	543	77	48	161	112	14	93	148	2.060	157
102 586 64 95 349	93 535 54 81 285	53 284 33 33 140	4 50 3 2 18	8 15 4 8 13	13 56 4 18 70	6 6 11 22	3 7 1 - 3	6 56 3 9 19	9 51 10 14 64	220 938 128 181 593	HE MA NH RI PR
3.594	2.752	1.290	70	357	422	333	33	247	842	6.173	2ND
307 138 1,310 1,494 283 62	251 119 1,045 1,040 250 47	153 55 463 497 104 18	2 5 56 1 6	8 31 121 117 73 7	32 15 181 141 39 14	43 8 136 128 15 3	1 4 13 9 3 3	12 1 75 147 10 2	56 19 265 454 33 15	641 331 2,445 2,168 487 101	CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W YT
	2.236	1.054	145	382	334	175	26	120	453	5.174	3RD
94 1,000 889 221 377 108	82 794 774 163 318 105	35 322 424 86 127 60	3 83 3 12 44	15 199 102 30 36	14 92 101 19 67 41	11 67 68 8 21	1 8 3 5	3 23 68 5 17 4	12 206 115 58 59 3	123 1,940 1,736 369 752 254	DE PA,E PA,M PA,M PA,W YI
5.029	4.013	1.300	85	1.629	618	215	58	108	1.016	8.035	4TH
1,424 568 295 501 590 1,088 238 133 192	1,191 440 240 404 493 844 193 81 127	316 125 101 176 192 165 109 53 63	11 15 13 22 11 3 3 7	587 221 24 124 98 517 45 3 10	175 46 60 121 87 22 17 24	69 25 15 21 33 32 5 4 11	7 9 4 3 14 10 7 4	37 3 15 7 13 22 2 1 8	233 128 55 97 97 244 45 52 65	2,175	NC, E NC, E NC, H SC VA, E VA, E VA, M HV, N HV, S

#### TABLE E 1. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons Received for and removed from supervision During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

	PERSONS				RECEIVED	FOR SUPER	VISION				
	UNDER		1			U.S.					
	SUPER-		TOTAL		PRE-	MAGIS-				1	
CIRCUIT	VISION		LESS	JUDGE	TRIAL	TRATE		HANDA-	MILI-		RECEIVED
AND	JULY 1	TOTAL	TRANS-	PROBA-	DIVER	PROBA-		TORY	TARY	SPECIAL	BY
DISTRICT	1987	RECEIVED	FERS	TION	-SION	TION	PAROLE	RELEASE	PAROLE	PAROLE	TRANSFER
5TH	9,352	5,745	4.772	1.813		1.092	1.056	465	49	297	973
LA,E	955	623	568	223	-	178	96	47	3	21	55
LA, H	264	198	163	48	-	82	17	11	1	4	35
LA, H	565	419	366	138	-	174	28	17	5	4	53
MS,N	229	168	135 216	47	-	57 33	20	.9	2	=	33
MS,S TX,N	495	277 920	700	103 258	-	33 16	50 253	18 115	7	7 54	61 220
ΤΧ,Ε	454	282	159	63	-	14	45	30	2	5	123
TX,S	2.698	1.716	1,518	630	_	308	304	140	9	127	198
TX, H	1,943	1,142	947	303	-	230	243	78	18	75	195
6TH	6.289	4.236	3.603	1.509		832	624	427	51	160	633
KY,E	413	221	181	74	-	2	61	30	4	10	40
KY,H	541	630	580	107	-	350	79	26	5	13	50
MI,E	1,491	990	912	340	-	189	160	142	14	67	78
HI, H	385	234	178	96	فكبه	32	20	20	2	8	56
OH. N	936	516	452	284	-	15	76	58	8	11	64
0H,S	820	470	388	175	-	29	86	73	6	19	82
ΤΝ,Ε	526	374	306	109	-	87	72	25	6	7	68
TN.H	617	491	348	135	-	121	46	34	3		143
TN,H	560	310	258	189	-	7	24	19	3	16	52
7TH	4.765	2,682	2.289	1.361	<del></del>	211	334	223	29	131	393
IL.N	2,561	1,269	1,104	729	-	73	120	107	6	69	165
IL,C	372	221	192	104	-	16	40	21	3	8	29
IL, S	335	245	213	111	-	27	44	18	+	9	32
IN,N	402	276	229	152	-	7	44	14		12	47
IN,S WI,E	590 320	392 186	328 154	143 86	-	75	50 26	36 20	12 3	12 10	64 32
MI, M	185	93	69	36	-	Å	10	7	1	11	24
-					_	-			-	1	
8TH	3.935	2.352	1.978	902		168	459	267	28	154	374
AR, E	438	236	188	81	-	24	45	20	5	13	48 37
AR, H IA, N	253 142	153 116	116 95	60 53	-	26 4	16 21	10	2	4	37 21
IA, M IA, S	183	118	84	53 30	-	4	21	18	4	7	34
HN	613	399	340	134	=	23	72	58	7	49	59
MO.E	844	439	372	95	-	33	127	61	4	52	67
MQ.H	724	377	333	152	-	37	81	41	5	17	44
NE	345	203	179	129	-	8	27	12	ĩ	2	24
ND	154	106	91	57		6	15	8	2	3	15
SD	239	205	180	111	-	3	34	30	1	1	25

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TABLE E 1. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS RECEIVED FOR AND REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		<u></u>		REM	OVED FROM	SUPERVIS	IDN			PERSONS	
TOTAL RE- NOVED	LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE PROBA- TION	PRE- TRIAL DIVER -SION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	PAROLE	MANDA- TORY RELEASE	MILI- TARY PAROLE	SPECIAL PAROLE	REMOVED By Trans- Fer	UNDER SUPER- VISION JUNE 30 1988	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
5.721	4.546	1.741	154	1.049	919	412	40	231	1.175	9.376	5TH
552 133 360 140 271 1,049 271 1,678 1,267	444 96 269 107 212 846 189 1,325 1,058	171 35 106 31 88 386 92 492 340	37 22 14 48 1 9 30	86 32 114 55 46 54 19 351 292	80 16 15 13 39 215 47 256 238	44 10 17 5 19 107 23 120 67	5 - 3 1 4 3 2 9 13	21 1 2 33 5 88 78	108 37 91 33 59 203 82 353 209	1,026 329 624 257 501 1,620 465 2,736 1,818	LA, E LA, H HS, N HS, S TX, E TX, E TX, H
3.911	3.260	1.346	57	726	588	364	40	139	651	6.614	6тн
255 528 931 234 463 401 336 450 313	201 384 838 201 401 339 272 375 249	92 80 285 118 206 158 95 136 176	6 13 - - 3 2 1 30 2	8 213 167 38 43 24 92 130 11	54 42 185 25 72 85 51 40 32	30 24 114 12 56 57 28 28 28	- 3 10 5 10 3 3 4 2	9 9 77 3 11 10 2 7 11	54 144 93 33 62 62 64 75 64	379 643 1,550 385 989 889 564 658 557	KY,E KY,N MI,E NI,H DH,R TN,E TN,M
2.447	2.039	1.102	115	221	278	225	18	80	408	5.000	7тн
1,109 188 263 219 399 175 94	973 138 198 175 341 144 70	594 65 86 90 153 79 35	47 4 24 13 15 5 7	69 15 34 8 78 7 10	105 26 25 35 44 28 12	111 23 19 13 34 21 4	5 2 2 7 1 1	39 5 8 14 10 3 1	136 50 65 44 58 31 24	2,721 405 317 459 583 331 184	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S MI,E MI,M
2.315	1.948	820	122	210		251	20	126	367	3.972	8TH
257 181 88 103 362 488 366 180 98 192	215 134 57 84 322 432 317 151 71 165	73 67 26 38 115 141 136 90 35 99	47 5 2 8 25 17 1 7	19 22 7 31 32 49 31 7 5	47 15 10 13 61 135 59 19 19 21	21 17 7 15 64 52 33 8 6 28	121 - 434 212	7 2 1 9 39 44 19 - 2 3	42 47 31 19 40 56 49 29 27 27	417 225 170 198 650 795 735 368 162 252	AR, E IA, N IA, S NN WG, E ND, W ND SO

	PERSONS				RECEIVED	FOR SUPER	VISION				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	UNDER SUPER- VISION JULY 1 1987	TOTAL Received	TOTAL LESS TRAMS- FERS	JUDGE PRUBA- TION	PRE- TRIAL DIVER -SION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	PAROLE	MANDA- Tory Release	MILI- Tary Pardle	SPECIAL Parole	RECEIVED By TRANSFER
9TH	13.590	8.644	6.857	2.804	-	1.924	582	857	79	311	1,787
AX	207	116	100	38	-	49	7	4	1	1	16
AZ	1,257	936	739	275	-	242	84	92	9	37	197
CA.H	2,190	1,106	914	312	-	281	123	136	9	53	192
CA.E	1,140	729	540	148	-	199	84	71	11	27	189
CA, C	3,924	2,170	1.536	714	-	128	283	318	16	77	634
CA, C		1,429	1.254	495		513	104	91	14	47	175
CA, S	1,488				-					11	
HI	382	179	159	104	-	6	18	16	2		20
ID	285	149	107	58	-	25	13	6	2	3	42
MT	314	123	105	71	-	10	12	9	1	2	18
NV	682	651	538	233	-	220	43	26	4	12	113
OR	583	322	262	142	-	21	44	38	3	14	60
NA.E	224	157	121	71	-	21	12	7	4	6	36
MA.H	785	535	445	113	-	209	51	42	11	19	90
GUAN	129	42	37	30				ĩ		2	5
NMI		-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
10TH	3.556	2.249	1.869	792		437	316	206	29	89	380
								47			
CQ	831	543	439	132	-	154	71		8	27	104
KS	486	305	256	117	-	42	53	22	10	12	45
H	585	355	296	99	-	85	56	41	2	13	59
3K.N	364	236	206	117	-	11	36	29	-	13	30
DK.E	214	112	76	34	-	3	24	11	1	3 1	36
OK.H	545	345	290	113	-	67	58	37	5	10	55
UT	412	258	223	125	-	67	11	10	ī	9	55 35
MY	119	95	83	55	-	8	-7	- 9	ž	2	12
11TH	9.376	6.333	5.134	1.782	-	912	1.211	690	57	475	1.199
AL, N	778	514	433	163	-	126	90	28	3	23	81
AL.H	329	221	191	92	-	57	23	13	3	3	30
AL.S	411	252	219	133	-	14	47	20	1	4	33
FL,N	621	396	329	14	-	126	77	18		16	67
FL.M	1.847	1,202	875	266	-	117	258	114	19	101	327
FL.S	3.022	2,227	1.873	647	-	64	474	339	12	287	354
GA, N	1,302	823	633	264	_	124	137	81	1	19	190
			357	66	-	219	48	10	ž	1	72
а,н	622	429			-	65		10	1	14	45
GA.S	444	269	224	70	-	97	57	11	1	14 1	77

## TABLE E 1. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons received for and removed from supervision During the twelve month period ended jume 30, 1988

TABI	LE E 1. FE	EDERAL PROBA	TION SYST	EM
PERSONS REC	CEIVED FOR	AND REMOVED	FROM SUP	ERVISION
DURING THE	THELVE MON	NTH PERIOD E	ENDED JUNE	30, 1988

				REM	OVED FREM	SUPERVIS	LDN			PERSONS	
TOTAL RE- MOVED	LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE PROBA- TION	PRE- TRIAL DIVER -SION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	PAROLE	HANDA- TORY RELEASE	HILI- Tary Parole	SPECIAL PAROLE	REMOVED By Trans- Fer	UNDER SUPER- VISION JUNE 30 1988	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
8.393	6.788	2.788	243	1.883	823	736	60	255	1.605	13.841	9TH
126	95	33	3	43	5	5	3	3	31	197	Ak
860	709	318	32	154	86	91	7	21	151	1,333	••••• <i>k</i>
1,112	949	349	62	309	95	96	.7	31	163	2,184	CA, +
758	593	191	16	203	88	63	13 16	19	165	1,111	
2,198	1,901 964	861 346	53 7	275 433	321 67	283 71	1	92 39	297 410	3,896	CA,
1,374	129	78	ź	19	10	12	i	37	42	390	
148	103	51	15	23	4	5	i i i	i	45	286	
158	125	72	19	10	10	13	-	i	33	279	
550	431	130	2	232	35	23	2	7	119	783	
302	255	142		30	37	28	3	Ġ	47	603	
157	118	64	7	29	8	-6	-		39	224	
450	391	136	16	122	51	40	2	24	59	870	. Ж
29	25	17	-	1	6	-	1	-		142	AM .
- (	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NMI
2,191	1.701	686	78	362	279	195	19	82	490	3.614	10TH
				100		44			100		
483	374 277	118 132	11 30	108 33	62 39	24	5	26	109	891 466	
310	249	80	30	72	45	37	2	14 11	61	630	NN
206	152	72	ĩ	5	37	30	2	13	54	394	UK.N
127	88	41	3	12	18	10	1	3	39	199	DK, E
377	286	93	14	64	63	30	÷	22		513	OK.H
268	204	106	15	58	10	12	2	-1	64	402	UT
95	71	-44	-4	10	5		=	-	24	119	WY
5.684	4.777	1,411	85	959	943	608	45	326	907	10.025	117H
488	433	180	23	135	64	23	1	7	55	804	AL.N
240	210	60	1	105	19	13	Â.	á	30	210	AL.H
189	131	60	3	17	36	7	ż	ŝ	54	474	AL, S
384	300	80	1	133	56	17	2	11	84	633	FL,N
1,008	822	323	22	98	201	110	15	53	186	2,041	FL,M
1,903	1,675	720	1	68	342	332	9	203	228	3,346	FL,S
792	646	250	-	172	120	77	7	20	146	1,333	GA, N
402	336	81	18	172	44	6	4	9	56	649	GA, M
278	224	57	16	59	61	21	1	9	54	435	GA, S

		KSUNS UNDER	C SUPERVISION	BT DISTRI	LI KS UF JU	JHE 30, 1968		
		F.R.	ON COUL	RTS	F F	OM IN:	<u>STITUT</u>	<u>CONS</u>
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	JUDGE PROBA- TION	PRETRIAL DIVERSIDN	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	PAROLE	MARDA Tory Release	MILI- Tary Parole	SPECIAL PAROLE
TOTAL	75.414	43,489		11.395	12.484	2.460	655	4.737
DC	1.530	515		240	633	79	4	59
1ST	2.060	1.271	3	51	361		31	288
ME MA NH RI PR	220 938 128 181 593	112 604 91 116 348	1 1 1	5 21 3 6 16	58 106 16 33 148	5 37 - 5 8	7 7 2 3 12	32 163 15 17 61
2ND	6.173	3.834	7	496	904	252	45	635
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	641 331 2,445 2,168 487 101	479 222 1,489 1,255 320 69	- 3 4 - -	12 32 220 157 71 4	81 40 379 328 60 16	30 4 114 94 10	4 6 12 14 9	35 24 227 320 17 12
3RD	5.174	3.345	10	568	733	123	42	333
DE MJ PA,E PA,H PA,H VI	123 1,940 1,736 369 752 254	73 1,170 1,242 267 463 150	- 2 - 2	19 384 85 39 39 -	20 216 185 44 175 93	4 52 49 6 11 1	2 14 9 4 13 -	5 96 164 9 49 10
4TH	8.935	4.110	3	1.974	1.392	156		304
MD NC,E NC,M NC,M SC YA,E YA,M WY.N	2,175 849 594 764 985 1,548 436 267	920 479 345 453 503 569 296 194	- 1 1 - -	686 201 50 117 201 655 52 3	415 132 122 141 190 216 71 57	52 10 13 26 21 24 4	17 12 9 5 16 22 5	85 15 34 21 53 62 8 5
MV,S	417	331	-	9	48	2	6	21

#### TABLE E 2. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons under supervision by district as of june 30, 1988

		1			T			
	l	E.R.	ON COUL	TS	FF	IDM INS	TITUTI	DNS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	JUOGE PRDBA TION	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	PAROLE	MANDA Tory Release	MILI- TARY PARDLE	SPECIAL PAROLE
5TH	9.376	5.073	23	1.757	1.685	239	63	533
LA, E	1,026	557	,	237	155	19	2	47
LA.H	329	169	1	111	33	4	3	8
LA, H	624	372	6	156	62	,	8	11
HS,H	257	135	-	66	45	7	2 5 8	2 12
MS.S	501	312	1	79	81	11	5	12
TX.N	1,620	940	3	113	417	56	8	83
TX, E	465	296	-	48	80	19	6	16
7X. S	2,736	1,437	-	578	426	69	8	218
ТХ,Н	1,818	855	3	369	389	<del>4</del> 5	21	136
6TH	6.614	3.478	18	894	1.185	236	69	334
KY, E	379	224	-	8	115	8	з	21
KY.H	643	263	12	189	137	14	-	24
MI.E	1,550	813	1	253	240	85	15	143
MI,H	385	246	<u> </u>	65	43	10	2	19
OH, N	989	667	1	62	184	34	16	25
DH.S	889	571	<u></u>	43	482	48	10	35
TN, E	564	288	_	99	142	13	7	35 15
TN.M	658	382	4	152	79	12	ż	22
TN, N	557	424	-	23	63	12	5	30
7TH	5.000	3.649	19		528	128	28	265
1L,N	2,721	2,123	14	141	224	64	6	149
11.0	405	298	17	20	59	9	ž	13
1L.C IL.S	317	199	-	18	64	ź	3	22
IN.H	459	319	_	21	83	10		26
IN, S	583	362	-	79	88	17	12	25
ĤI,E	331	235	-	23	44	ii	2	16
WI,W	184	113	3	11	32	10	ĩ	14
8TH	3.972	2.439	29	333	713	133	34	287
AR. E	417	241	13	53	73	7	7	23
AR.H	225	155	2	25	23	4	i	15
	170	122	-	8	27	3	5	8
IA,H IA,S	198	120	-	10	42	3	÷.	13
MN	650	347	-	44	120	28	ś	106
MO.E	795	394	1	81	210	29	2 5 5 8	72
MO, H	735	456	1	83	127	25	ž	37
ME	348	285	2	20 7	41	7	3	
			-			-	ž	
MD	162	128	-	7	15	5	1	6

TABLE E 2. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons under supervision by district as of june 30, 1988

		FR	<u>0 M C B U</u>	RTS	FR		<u>TUTIT</u>	IONS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	JUDGE Proba- tion	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	PARGLE	MANDA Tory Release	MILI- Tary Parole	SPECIAL PARDLE
9TH	13.841	7.991	56_	2,979	1.501	563	103	648
AK	197	104	1	57	19	5	2	,
AZ	1,333	759	6	311	139	46	9	63
CA, N	2,184	1.081	12	637	223	113	14	104
CA.E	1.111	503	3	338	144	55	14	54
CA, C	3,896	2,521	3	509	471	182	23	187
CA, S	1,543	823	4	418	145	62	8	83
HI	390	300	-	16	33	14	4	23
ID	286	193	11	45	21	2	2	12
MT	279	220	2 5 1	15	28	10	1	3
NV	783	413	5	248	81	12	3	21 29
OR	603	395	1	70	77	26	5	29
MA,E	224	152	-	40	11	5	4	12
HA,H	870	405	8	273	98	30	14	42
GUAM	142	122	-	2	11	1	-	6
10TH	3.614	2.051	22		555	107	43	165
C0	891	4,18	4	240	146	30	14	39
KS	466	283	1	64	78	9	13	18
NM	630	307	16	139	109	19	5	35
GK, H	394	292	-	22	44	11	-	25 8 20
DK.E	199	141	1	12	33	3	1	8
OK, H	513	277	-	75	113	23	53	20
UT	402	251	-	104	17	8		15
WY	119	82	-	11	15	4	2	5
11TH	10.025	5.313		1.119	2.221	389	93	
AL, N	804	475	-	111	157	18	3	40
AL, M	310	189	1	53	45	8	3	11
AL, S	474	315	-	30	95	15	4	14
FL,N	633	245	-	112	203	15	10	48
FL,M	2,041	1,096	-	210	454	73	28	180
FL, S	3,346	1,695	-	134	805	190	16	506
GA, N	1,333	<b>רר</b> ד	-	177	273	49	11	46
GA, H	649	320	2	217	78	9	10	13
GA.S	435	201	1	75	111	11	8	28

#### TABLE E 2. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS UNDER SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1958

TOTAL 75.414 1.530	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY		EMBEZ~		4 1 000 M
	289				LARCENY	ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO THEFT
1.530		2.803	722	409	6.270	6.061	15.889	743
	40	125	31	44	146	73		11
2.060	3		13	<b>4</b>	195	150	411	15
220 938 128 181 593	1 - 2	3 30 4 2 9	3 4  6	1 3 - -	14 59 9 7 106	16 56 12 9 57	23 243 28 45 72	2 4 1 7 1
6.173	9	252	32	35		438	1.431	25
641 331 2,445 2,168 487 101	3311	30 10 104 88 17 3	2 21 7 2	1 7 21 2 4	50 22 245 169 66 5	66 35 156 130 43 8	161 83 587 459 122 19	3 1 12 7 2
5.174	34	176	55	38	468	370	1.362	32
123 1,940 1,736 369 752 254	1 2 - - 29	7 47 51 13 39 19	1 12 15 - 4 23	2 9 - 4 5 18	16 187 119 31 68 47	6 144 118 29 66 7	28 571 487 102 160 14	2 11 9 1 5 1
8.035	29	373			646	516	1.435	
2,175 849 594 764 985 1,548	6 2 3 4 - 9 4 -	144 40 53 28 37 62 6 2	23 16 4 10 15 14 1	14 1 3 15 6 8 4 1	166 95 62 61 128 25 15	157 52 49 48 78 62 20 22	300 174 117 172 212 261 82 41	14 6 2 5 29 3 4 2 17
2,1 8 5 7 9	75 49 94 64 85 48 36 67	75 6 49 2 94 3 64 4 85 - 48 9 36 4 67 -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

#### TABLE E 3, FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons under supervision by District and Offense as of June 30, 1988

		FFENSES	SPECIAL D				UED	SESCONTIN	RAL OFFENS	GENEI	
			LIQUOR,				HEAPONS	LAHS	CA (DRUG)	DAP	ORGERY
CIRCUI AND DISTRI	OTHER	POSTAL LANS#	INTER- NAL REVENUE	IMMI- GRATION LAMS	OTHER	TRAFFIC	AND FIRE- ARMS	CONTRL'D SUB- STANCES	WAR- COTICS	MARI- HUANA	AND DUNTER- EITING
	3,699	873	22	2.382	3.602	3.061	2.679	3.059	12.086	6.544	4.221
	21	13		2	59	39	75	175	330	39	115
157		7	1		103		73	49		190	120
	4	-	-	1	3	1	6	6	86	49	1
	25 7	2		17	58	1	42	32	254	91	27
	.7	-	-	-	5	3	14	±	24	14	1
•••••	12 28	32	ĩ	5 28	11 26	3 1	5	3	42 145	5 31	22 69
2HD	244	107	-	106	321		170	140	1.789	252	251
	26	10	-	6	19	3	39	25	142	34	21
NY	19	7	-	5	26	3	57	23	50	16	15
NY	129	44	-	45	160	ž	64	23 38 36	618	87	110
NY	48	31	-	45 40	95	4	36	36	867	72	76
NY	18	15		10	20	1	10	12	81	35	28
	4	-	-	-	1	-	15	6	31	8	1
3RD	219	135		24	321	75	112	327		. 194	290
	1	2	-	2	11	6	4	8	15	4	7
	90	63	-	15	126	42	30	68	372	78	73
PA	57	51	-	6	104	8	47	206	296	34	126
PA	19 39	5 13	-	-	33 25	9 10	6 23	14 31	54 184	15 43	34 34
PA	13	13	-	1	25	10	23	31	21	20	16
4TH	426	- 69	13	32	484	1.021	325	303	1.106	590	445
•••••	50	24	-	6	107	423	69	115	430	68	59
NC	49 16	12	-	6	44	129	31 35	6	54 70	86	46
NC	16 51	2	2	2	36 74	9 52	38 16	25 12	/0 67	24 57	70 75
	118	â	2	7	47	30	48	22	81	93	94
YA	30	ï	-	4	83	365	53	55	164	132	52
VA	39	-	1	í	36	13	46	18	68	47	21
HV	6	-	-	-	22		8	30	73	29	15
WV	17	4	-	-	35	-	16	20	99	54	13

TABLE E 3. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons under supervision by District and offense as of June 30, 1988

					GENERAL OF	FEWSES				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HUMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	
5TH	9.376	14	126	58	23	660	678	1.729		
LA.E	1,026	1	22	7	1	69	86	265	1	
LA, H	329	) -	4	-	2	28	40	70	3	
LA, H	624	2	3	5	2	46	<b>6</b> 2	167	12	
MS, N	257	- 1	8	1	1	13	15	56	17	
MS, S	501	1	9	14	1	39	50	121	7	
TX.N	1,620	3	25	6	-	120	137	400	16	
TX.E	465	1	10	1	4	40	44	125	11	
TX, S	2,736	3	28	15	8	164	121	259	15	
TX, H	1,818	3	17	,	4	141	123	266	7	
6тн	6.614	14	378	38	17	706	566	1.471	147	
KY,E	379	-	21	2	1	32	25	72	12	
KY, H	643	1	39		5	98	45	101	- 9	
MI,E	1.550	ī	74	•	2	158	107	354	30	
MI, N	385	ī	ii	-	-	28	56	105	2	
DH. N	989	3	87	4	1	91	86	234	25	
0H,S	889		81	2	Ā	89	102	208	22	
TN.E	564	3	28	Ĩ	7	52	43	119	20	
TN,M	658	3	18	ż	-	102	67	114	15	
TN, M	557	2	19	3	-	56	35	164	Ĩž	
7TH	5.000	10	127	31	15	615	520	1.432		
IL,N	2,721	6	54	15	2	438	269	821	32	
1L.C	405	2		3	ž	30	63	115	-1	
IL.S	317	1		ĩ	2 1 4	26	35	87	3	
IN.N	459	-	13	ī	Ā	41	36	132	20	
IN.S	583	2	24	3	2	36	47	116	8	
NI, E	331	-	ĩ٤	6		33	38	106	3	
HI,H	184	1	د آ	-	-	11	32	55	2	
8TH	3.972	30	166	78	32	331	351	961		
AR.E	417	1	15	4	4	45	33	112	14	
AR. H	225		6	4	i	18	18	63	9	
IA.N	170	-	3	i		11	12	59	-	
1A, S	198	-	18	ī	1	15	24	45	-	
MN	650	2	35	7	3	33	53	140	3	
MO, E	795	3	41	2	5	52	93	175	16	
MD. N	735	ĭ	35	3	5 2	68	64	178	10	
NE	368	2	5	1	1	23	18	120	3	
ND	162	3	3	17	9	19	11	37	2	
SD	252	18	5	38	6	44	25	32	1	

#### TABLE E 3. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons under supervision by district and offense as of june 30, 1988

\_\_\_\_\_

	GENE	RAL OFFEN	SES-CONTIN	UED				SPECIAL	DFFENSES		
DRGERY	DAP	CA (DRUG)	LANS	HEAPONS				LIQUER,			
AND DUNTER- EITING	HARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	CONTRL'D SUB- STANCES	AND FIRE ARMS	TRAFFIC	OTHER	IMMI GRATION LAMS	INTER- NAL REVENUE	POSTAL LANS#	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
504	1.247	1.078	416	352	. 193_	260	1.060		64	821	5TH
58	71	155	40	30	3	29	,	-	21	158	LA.E
23	15	10	9	7	3	6	5	1	- 4	99	LA,H
32	23	24	16	28	61	22 7	15	-	1	103	LA, H
26	14	13		10	56	.7	1	-	1	14	MS, N
44	47 102	25 220	28 97	28	25	22 49	2 136	3	4 9	31	MS, S
123 31	102	220	32	54 11	2	49 71	136	-	2	115 46	TX.N
76	711	323	36	121	12	21 50	627	-	15	102	TY'S
91	236	271	104	63	23	54	246	-	7	153	TX, S
481	473	747	276	293	296	328			75_	279	6TH
41	32	43	10	22	4	29	1	-	2	30	KY, E
31	62	41	25	27	77	32	ī	-	3	37	KY.#
94 ]	100	215	154	68	60	53	6	-	14	51	MI.E
22 69	42	36	5	15 68	1	18	4	-	11	28	MI,H
69	47	120	16	68	8	73	3	-	18	34	OH, N
46 35 57	80	119	31	30 19		31	1	-	8	26	DH, S
35	27 52	61	13 11	19	70 65	27 23	-	-	2 15	37 21	TN,E
86	31	53 59	11	35 14	2	42	•	-	15	15	TN /
					_		•	-			1
259	302	710	121	170		245	50		44	209	7th
136	100	370	45	79	10	156	38	-	24	126	IL,N
17	41	62	9 14	20	2	12 10	4		3	13	IL,C
44	48 32	46 69	10	14 16	1	16	-	-	-	18	IL,S
36	62	78	36	18	50	28	2	-	3	32	IN.S
6	12	58	5	11	3	11	2	_	11	8	
14	12 7	27	2	12	ĩ	12	=	-	-	3	HI.,
237	201	703	231	136	- 28	171	28	1	40	189	8TH
43	21	32	29	15	1	,	3	-	7	29	AR, E
26	12	14	10	12	12	7		-	2	7	AR, H
6	2	37	7	13	2	16	-	-	-	1	IA.N
	13	34	9	5	2		-	-	6	4	IA, S
31	40	183	36	25	3	21	<b>+</b>	1	5	25	
41	23 38	168	62 34	22 25	4	38 32	<b>±</b>	-	15	31	MD, E
53	38	134 81	34	25	1	32 13	(	-	-	46 22	NO,H
14	5	14	6	1	1	11	2	-	-	12	
	28			ž	1	15	-	-	1	12	SD.

#### TABLE E 3. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS UNDER SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND OFFENSE AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

					GENERAL OF	FENSES				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	
9TH	13.841	71	664	201	100	989	1.406	2,734		
АК С.А., N С.А., Е С.А., С Н. Н. П. NY NY NY NA, E HA, H	197 1,333 2,184 1,111 3,896 1,543 390 286 279 783 603 224 870	- 28 3 1 8 1 1 2 5	10 33 58 71 315 43 5 2 21 51 3 48	2 54 24 10 29 15 7 2 24 8 9 4 13	1 5 5 5 5 5 4 3 2 - 10 5 3 2 2	29 94 132 70 293 66 39 23 40 58 56 19 52	29 95 456 111 291 30 26 28 46 65 17 100	32 213 404 168 915 198 76 77 55 187 137 38 194	- = 1594-2112-3	
GUÂM 10TH	142 3.614	1 14	1	- 43	- 13	18 308	21	40	- 56	
C0 KS DK.N DK.H UK.H UT HY	891 446 630 394 199 513 402 119	3 2 5 - 1 3 -	38 8 13 5 3 19 11 2	9 3 16 - 5 5 5 5 5	3 1 2 - 1 2 4 33	54 32 54 41 19 54 49 5 649	92 33 63 46 16 36 33 8 666	184 103 87 105 67 126 113 15	5 6 2 14 12 15 2 -	
11TH AL, N AL, S FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, S	10,025 804 310 474 633 2,041 3,346 1,333 649 435	21 3 	269 31 8 10 14 59 42 69 18 18	4 3 2 3 16 13 10 5 1	2 1 1 10 4 2 2 4	. 71 29 35 33 119 110 144 72 36	56 27 37 43 113 170 155 39 26	1,731 135 66 119 113 458 517 321 126 76	18 7 6 5 25 12 32 2 6	

#### TABLE E 3. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons under supervision by district and defense as of june 30, 1988

-1

Т

		DFFENSES	SPECIAL				UED	SES-CONTIN	RAL OFFEN	GENE	
			LIQUOR.				HEAPONS	LARS	CA (DRUG)	DAP	ORGERY
CIRCUI AND DISTRI	OTHER	POSTAL Lans#	INTER- NAL REVENUE	IMMI- GRATION LAMS	OTHER	TRAFFIC	AND FIRE- ARMS	CONTRL'D SUB- STANCES	HAR Cotics	HARI- Huana	AND DUNTER- EITING
9тн	610	212	11	825	669	. 688	380	564	1.806	1.207	668
CA	22 49 72 44	1 15 28 24	1	1 90 20 44	5 94 83 59	11 49 144 102	5 54 33 38	3 37 103 <del>9</del> 5	21 155 337 105	19 219 150 92	6 40 78 67
CA	158 82 10 28 22	64 25 3 1 3	-	279 341 23	146 36 85 14 8	134 6 6 10	113 27 5 14 10	120 93 6 11 8	528 244 73 21 20	249 217 35 12 10	231 51 9 15 18
на	24 37 21 37	28 6 3 11		2 8 10 6	49 23 10 35	168 1 3 48	23 23 12 22	25 43 7 12	67 64 28 122	23 34 29 112	45 40 16 48
GU	4	50	-	1 93	22 166	1 170	1 176	1 169	21 	6 296	4 208
0K	233 73 25 63 8 11 19 25 9	22 9 7 1 1 1 9 -		93 14 4 57 1 2 11 3 1	44 25 34 8 14 18 18 5	50 41 30 1 1 9 33 5	29 27 32 22 6 28 15 17	40 20 21 31 11 29 11 6	138 86 80 49 10 70 34 16	52 13 46 22 11 32 13 17	41 28 18 40 15 39 23 4
11TH	372	. 57	2	97	475	457	412	288	1.840	1.643	643
AL, AL, FL, FL, FL, GA, GA,	24 5 11 33 63 114 61 50 11	10 4 	2	3 - - 31 55 4 3 1	39 25 21 28 116 101 69 58 18	71 43 2 57 40 6 43 149	45 15 29 22 60 150 48 28 15	34 7 9 17 66 86 45 18 6	85 27 65 142 422 868 151 22 58	63 28 52 110 315 901 82 25 67	108 15 73 12 113 182 78 23 39

TABLE E 3. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS UNDER SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND OFFENSE AS OF JUNE 30, 1988

				TERP	OF SUP	ERVISIO	IN (IN M	ONTHS)			l	PERCENT
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	1-6	7-11	12	13-23	24	25-35	36	37-59	60 AND QVER	AVG. TERM (MOS.)	TO BE Supervise 2 years 0r more
TOTAL	14.105	112	17	527	222	1.875	252	4,396	1,243	5,461	43	93.8
DC	190	3		16	7	22	2	78	15	47	38	86.3
1ST	502	10	11	62		161	3	167	13	78	32	84.1
ME MA NH	58 239 22	23	- 1 -	2 42 1	1	20 102 7	2	17 73 9	2 2 1	15 13 3	36 27 34	93.1 80.3 90.9
RI PR	41 142	23	-	7 10	2 3	12 20	ī	6 62	2 6	10 37	32 38	73.2 88.7
2ND	1.285	10		70	20	209	33	426	180	336	40	92.1
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	159 72 366 554 111 23	4 3 		11 14 5 31 9	5 1 12 2	27 37 38 91 14 2	1 	43 5 103 230 36	16 4 80 55 22 3	57 4 128 115 23 9	41 24 45 38 39 45	90.6 69.4 98.4 91.5 90.1 100.0
380	_1.047	11	3	45	20	188	15	281	103	381	41	92.5
DE NJ PA.E PA.M PA.M VI	32 318 322 134 148 93	- 2 4 1 -	3	1 16 10 8 5 5	2 2 5 7 1 3	1 35 20 67 17 48	1 3 4 - 3 4	8 112 49 35 50 27	10 41 37 3 11 1	9 107 190 10 60 5	44 42 49 28 44 29	90.6 93.7 93.2 85.8 95.3 91.4
4TH	1.298	6	1_	32	15	173	20	443		524	43	95.8
HD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,E HV,N HV,S	251 129 82 152 96 214 134 95 145	1 1 1 1 1		4 2 3 5 - 10 2 2 4	5	38 3 - 14 7 77 22 6	8 1 - - 4 3 - 3	108 35 4 45 31 70 80 22 48	23 9 2 3 7 13 5 10 12	64 79 71 83 50 34 19 53 71	40 51 55 47 48 34 36 49 47	96.Q 95.4 95.1 95.4 99.0 92.5 96.3 95.8 95.8

# TABLE E 4. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PROBATIONERS RECEIVED FROM JUDGES FOR SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TERM DURING THE THELYE NOWTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (EXCLUDES REINSTATEMENTS AND TRANSFERS)

				TER	OF SUP	ERVISI	DN (IN M	ONTHS )				PERCENT
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	1-6	7-11	12	13-23	24	25-35	36	37~59	60 AND Over	AVG. TERM (MDS.)	TO BE SUPERVISE 2 YEARS OR MORE
5TH	1.614	9	1	23	12	87	25	457	157	843	48	97.2
LA, E	207	- 1	-	4	8	21	10	78	7	79	43	94.2
LA, H	43	1	-	-	-	2	-	9	5	26	51	97.7
LA, H	132	1	-	3	-	15	1	29	2	81	48	97.0
MS, N	47	1	-	-	-	- 4	-	27	6	9	40	97.9
MS, S	86	1	-	2	1	1	3	17	3	58	51	95.3
TX,N	227	- 1	-	3	2	14	7	71	28	102	47	97.8
TX.E	60	-	-	1	-	5	-	9	3	42	52	98.3
TX, S	538	2	1	6	1	19	4	177	94	234	47	98.1
ТХ, М	274	3	-	4	-	6	-	40	,	212	53	97.4
6TH	_1.414_	11		60	43	307	43	504		347	38	91.9
KY, E	72	-	-	-	5	4	2	15	2	44	49	93.1
KY, H	100	_	_	11	ĩ	26	-	20	4	38	39	88.0
MI,E	314	3	_	- 16	4	79	8	125	33	56	37	95.9
MI,W	91	-	-	3	ī	18	3	44	7	15	37	95.6
OH, N	267	3	-	3	7	56	12	114	12	58	37	94.4
		2	_	5		19	12	67	11	53	41	94.6
DH, S	168 103	1	-	- 2		10	3	36	10	32	40	88.3
<b>TN</b> , E	113	1	-	57		17	3	26	15	44	42	89.0
ТН, М ТН, М	181	2	-	20	;	78	3	57	5	7	28	82.9
7TH	1.226	8	1	49		146	15	330	125	532	44	93.6
IL,M	638	3	-	14	1	34	4	148	94	340	49	97.2
IL.C	98	-	_	- 4	<u> </u>	3		20	5	66	51	95.9
IL.S	104	2	_		8	23	1	20	2	39	38	81.7
IN,N	147	î	_	Ś	ĭ	19	5	65	5	49	41	95.2
IN,S	134	i	1		5	38	2 5	43	15	17	34	88.1
WI,E	75	î	-	á	5	25	3	22	- <b>*</b>	7	30	81.3
WI,M	30	-	-	-	-		-	12	2	14	45	100.0
8TH	811	9	2	. 25	24	158	33	307	64	189	38	92.6
AR.E	80	-	-	-	6	4	16	47	5	2	34	92.5
AR.H	53	1	-	-	ĩ	11	1	26	5	ŝ	36	96.2
IA.N	50	-	-	-	ī	- 6	Ā	16	Ā	19	44	98.0
IA.S	28	-	1	2	ī	7	3	9	3	2	32	85.7
MN	129	2	-	2	ĩ	57	ī	50	3	8	30	92.2
M0,E	85	3		=	ī	3	-	28	9	41	47	95.3
MD.H	132	-	-	2	ī	ē	1	50	17	55	46	97.7
NE	106	1	-	12	=	20	ī	32	- 5	35	39	87.7
ND	53	2	-	2	3	10	-	21	ź	5	31	86.8
SD	95	-	1	5	Ĩ	26	6	28	11	14	34	89.5

TABLE E 4. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PROBATIONERS RECEIVED FROM JUDGES FOR SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TERM During the thelye month period ended june 30, 1988 (excludes reinstatements and transfers)

-

				TER	4 OF SUP	ERVISI	DN (IN M	OXTHS)				PERCENT
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	1-6	711	12	13-23	24	25-35	36	37-59	60 AND BVER	AVG. TERM (MDS.)	TO BE SUPERVISI 2 YEARS DR MORE
9TH	2.394	17	5	15	16	177	31	707	154	1.202	46	94.9
AK	34	- 1	-	5	1	12	-	8	2	6	32	82.4
AZ	234	2	1	ž	2	17	5	46	24	127	48	94.9
CA. N	262	2	ī		ī	19	3	62	17	153	49	96.9
CA, E	119	1 1			-		-	15		96	55	100.0
CA, C	565	3	_	19	4	25	7	193	38	274	46	95.0
CA, S	432	2	1	3	ī	26	2	201	7	189	45	98.4
HI	97	1	÷	1	<u></u>	- <b>*</b>	1	27	í	57	48	97.9
1D	56		-	1	1	2	<u>.</u>	20	7	17	40	92.9
10	70	1 2 2	-	4								
нт		1 4			1	12	52	22	5	19	38	90.0
NY	215	3	-	12	1	23	2	59	28	87	44	92.6
OR	122	- 1	1	1	1	2	-	7	4	106	56	97.5
WA, E	65	- 1	-	12	1	11	-	23	6	12	34	80.0
HA, H	94	-	1	3	-	8	3	21	11	47	47	95.7
GUĂH	29	-	-	13	-	1	-	3	-	12	34	55.2
10TH	737	5		32	16	114	17	213		250	<u>+2</u>	92.7
co	121	-	-	6	-	4	3	29	19	60	45	95.0
KS	114	1	-	2	1	16	-	52	8	34	41	96.5
NM	13		1	7	-	ii	-	32	ĩ	31	41	90.4
GK.N	105	1	-	ġ.	5	10	3	13	22	48	46	91.4
ØK, E	34	î	-	2		5	ĩ	11	5	9	39	91.2
QK,N	110	-	-	4	8	40	5	29		14	33	89.1
UT	116	1	-	3	ž	13	2	32	16	47	44	94.8
WI	54	1	-	5	2	15	2	15	16	*/7	35	
₩Υ	27	1	-	5	-	15	4	5	,	"	37	88.9
11TH	1.587	13	1	28	22	133	15	483	160	732	46	.96.0
AL, N	153	1	-	5	1	12	6	41	22	65	45	95.4
AL. M	88	2	-		-	15	_	48	5	14	36	93.2
AL. S	131	=	-		-	2	1	28	ŝ	97	53	100.0
FL, N	80	1	-	-	-	12	-	35	Ā	28	42	98.8
FL.M	239		-	3	5	11	-	60	29	131	49	96.7
FL.S	532	6	-	11	13	50	5	204	73	170	42	94.4
GA. N	236	2	1	- 5	3	17	1	52	15	140	49	95.3
GA.N	62	1		3	-	11		 ∳	15	36	47	98.4
	66	*	-	-	-	3	1	2	5	. 30 51	47 54	
GA, S	1	-	-	-	-	- 3	1	•	>	21	24	100.0

# TABLE E 4. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PROBATIONERS RECEIVED FROM JUDGES FOR SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TERM During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988 (Excludes reinstatements and transfers)

		ļ,			GENERAL	OFFENSES			
									}
AND							EMBEZ-		AUTO
DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	ZLEMENT	FRAUD	THEFT
TOTAL	36,672	116	1.395	428	246	3.008	2.147	6.245	362
DC	851	16	63	15	38		17	56	6
1ST	1.018	11	20			96	.50	228	7
ME	130	-	1	-	-	11	2	12	-
MA	466	1	13	1	-	32	16	142	3
жн	36	1 -	-	-	-	2	2	5	-
RI	94		-	-	-	4	3	25	4
PR	292	-	6	5	-	47	27	44	-
2ND	2.773	2	113	13	14	240	176	591	
ст	285	1	10	-	1	25	36	56	1
NY,H	124	] 1	3	-	3	8	10	34	1
NY,E	896	-	38	9	7	92	34	180	7
NY, S	1,192		58	4	1	78 36	67	262	-
NY,H VT	228	-	2	-	2	36	24 5	54 5	
		-		-	-	_	-	-	-
3RD	2.229			31	19	206	144	480	19
DE	66	- 1	6	1	-	10	5	14	1
NJ	808	-	17	6	4	76	59	213	7
PA,E	710	1	25	7	-	42	33	144	5
PA, M	210	- 1	6	-	2	19	15	56	ļ
РА, Н	303		17		5	27 32	26	44	÷
VI	132	5	12	13	8		6	9	1
4TH	4.315		143	62	28	294	162	551	42
MD	1,252	3	65	21	6	74	41	113	52
NC, E	455	1	10	12		38	13	41	2
IC, M	196	1	20	.2	2	22	13	29	-
Ю,Н	373	2	7	10	•	29	17	54	6 15 2 1
SC		- 1	15	4	*	28	28	89	15
<b>/A</b> , E	1,046		20	12	2	75 15	22	140	2
A, H	221	1	3 2	-	<b>+</b>	15		29 18	2
IV., N	131 201	- 1	1	1	1		12	15	9
WV,S	201	-	1	~	1	,	(	.20	9

TABLE E 5. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND OFFENSE, During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988 (excludes reinstatements and transfers)

	GENE	RAL OFFEN	SES-CONTIN	UED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING	DAP MARI- HUANA	CA (DRUG) NAR- COTICS	LAMS CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	HEAPONS AND FIRE ARMS	TRAFFIC	OTHER	IHMI- GRATION LANS	LIQUDR, INTER- NAL REVENUE	POSTAL LANS=	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
PELLING	HUANA	CUIICS	SIARLES		IRAFFIL	UINER	LANS	REVENUE	LANS	UINER	DISTRICT
_1.921	3.613	6.281	1.594	1.264	2,709	1.879	1.037		510	1.909	TOTAL
76	19	212	117	42	11	39	9		13	15	DC
68		270	22	40	2	50	21		5_	38	1ST
1 15 1 10 41	37 30 2 2 23	53 133 9 27 48	3 14 1 1 3	5 19 8 5 3		2 30 3 6 9	1			3 16 3 2 14	HA NH RI PR
92	112	916	68	72	2_	141		<u> </u>	61	112	
12 2 31 30 16 1	15 8 36 28 20 5	61 17 293 505 28 12	12 9 17 19 7 4	22 4 19 17 2 8		11 11 39 68 11 1	2 1 21 11 3 1		5 4 12 25 15	15 8 61 17 8 3	KY, N KY, N KY, E KY, S KY, H YT
138	88	453	134	45	43	129	8		95	108	3RD
2 35 41 29 22 9	1 43 21 9 7 7	12 148 170 22 92 9	2 27 84 6 15	2 8 20 4 10 1	3 28 5 7 -	5 52 42 18 5 7			1 45 38 5 6 -	1 37 28 11 19 12	DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI
201	318	620	167	150	986	338	13	<b>4</b>	33	195	4TH
21 25 24 30 47 29 6 13 6	47 39 9 23 41 104 18 15 22	197 27 35 38 48 132 40 34 69	77 11 4 5 42 10 9	36 10 10 8 23 28 26 5	442 154 43 22 314 11	73 52 9 67 19 64 27 10	15		13 7 - 1 5 - 2	17 19 4 25 50 46 21 6 7	

#### TABLE E S. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons received for supervision by district and offense, During the thelye month period ended june 30, 1988 (excludes reinstatements and transfers)

					GENERAL	OFFENSES			·
CIRCUIT									
AND					1		EMBEZ-		AUTO
DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	ZLEMENT	FRAUO	THEFT
			49	31	12	336	229	612	45
5TH	4.565	11							
LA, E	551	1	7	2	-	39	31	125	1
LA, H	156	-	-		1	13	9	13	2
LA, H	361	1 -	-	4	-	23	27	63	5
MS, N	132	- 1	1	1	1	3	8	28	6
MS, S	213	1	2	5	-	30	17	38	4
TX, H	669	-	1 <u>1</u> 7	3	2	55	43	132	12
TX.E	156	1	7	1	3	13	8	37	2
TX, S	1,426	4	15	12	3	73	34	88	12 2 8
тх,н	901	4	6	3	2	87	52	88	5
6TH	3.508		168	22	11	446	200	661	
KY,E	180	-	,	2	1	9	11	32	56
KY,H	570	-	17	9	3	167	18	46	6
MI,E	879		10	í.	2	70	37	200	17
MI,N	173	_	40	-	-	18	22	45	1 16
<b>F1</b> , <b>F</b> 1,	436		28	_	-	44	31	89	16
OH, W	384	1 -	43	2	2	47	20	91	
OH, S			13	3	3	21	21	39	14
TN,E	301	1			3	41	24	51	17
TN,H	335	2	6	2	-	45 25			3
ти,н	250	1	7	-	-	45	16	68	
7TH	2.236	4	65	10	6	244	214	619	26
IL,M	1,078	-	29	2 1 1	1	154	111	328	5 1 3 11 5 1
IL.C	191	i -	1	1	1	6	24	54	1
IL.S	210	1	2	1	1	29	22	50	3
IN.N	224	- 1	5	1	2	17	15	70	11
IN,S	323	1 1	14	3	1	16	22	61	5
WI,E	143	ī	13	2	-	20	9	34	1
HI.H	67	ī	1	-	-	2	11	22	-
8TH	1.920	22		49	21	160	142	391	36
AR, E	187	- 1	2	1	1	17	14	41	3
AR, H	113	- 1	2 4	3	2	11	7	25	8
IA,N	94	- 1	ġ.	-		- 9	5	29	1
IA, S	82		8	-	-	8	ź	15	-
MN	338	- 1	21	2	2	18	18	63	1
MO, E	366	-	18	2	7	29	33	65	1 14 7
	317	1	13	3	1	19	30	67	7
MO, W	168	2	3	3	î	ĩí	3	56	i
NE	89	2	2	5	6	14	ž	15	ī
ND		17	1	30		24	18	15	÷
SD	166	1 1/	1	30	-	£7	A0		

## TABLE E 5. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons received for supervision by district and offense, During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988 (excludes reinstatements and transfers)

.

	GENE	RAL OFFEN	SES-CONTIN	UED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
ORGERY AND COUNTER-	MARI-	CA (DRUG) HAR-	CONTROL-	HEAPONS And Fire			IMMI- Gratiun	LIQUOR, INTER- NAL	POSTAL		CIRCUIT
EITING	HUANA	COTICS	STANCES	ARMS	TRAFFIC	DTHER	LAHS	REVENUE	LAHS#	OTHER	DISTRICT
249	743	557	209	187	113		501	2_	40	528	5TH
27	38	80	13	22	2	10	3	-	18	132	LA.E
10	4	7	2	4	=	5	-	-	1	85	LA.H
13	15	13	4	12	\$7	12	) 5	-	-	78	LA,H
5	4	9		3	44	5	-	-	1	9	HS,N
21	16		15	11	15	10		2	5	13	MS, S
62	30 15	123 12	62 10	28 3	4	24	22	-	6	43 23	<u>TX</u> , M
47	455	162	59	69	ī	35	289	-	7	58	TX.E
	166	143	44	35	19	31	78	-	2	87	ТХ.н
							)				1
201	257	407	155	168		189	6_		38	155	6TH
20	22	21	6	10	-	19	-	-	-	13	KY,E
12	36 74	23	22	15	143	16	-	-	3	34	КҮ.М
45	74	134	91	40	43	45	- 1	-	3	34	HI,E
10	15	15	3	,	-	8	2	-	10	10	HI,H
31	22 41	71 59	.4	44	-	33		-	7	14	DH. M
12 13	41 16	30	15 5	11	aõ	16 11		-	3	9 21	DH.S
25	13	26	2	22	70	18		-	12	7	TN,H
33	18	28	3	7	1	23	4	-	-	13	тя, н
114	150	356	61	59	72	133	5	2	20	76	7TH
62	30	186	18	22	8	76	4	-	6	36	IL.N
ii	22 37	28	9	7		11	l -	-	4	5	IL,C
2)	37	22	6	9	8	10	-	-	2	5	IL,S
17	20	38		9		10	-	-	1	4	IN,N
17	34	36	20	6	47	14	1	2	1	22	IN,S
2	4	32 14	3	3	2	8	-	-	6	3	WI,E
3	-				-		-	-	-	_	1 .
	93	413	109	71	16		13		21	85	
17	13	25	16	,	-	4	2	-	4	15	AR, E
,	4	5	<u>+</u>	9	12	2	3	-	2	3	AR, H
2	2	23	5	7	1	5	-	~	2	2	IA, N
14	1 24	23 109	1 22	3 16	2	15		-	ź	1 9	IA,S
16	10	97	30	11	-	13	2	-	7	15	
23	7	76	19	14		17	4	-	ż	14	HO.H
8	5	40		3	-	10	1	-	-	-9	
-	5	12	3	3	-	7	1	-	-	6	HD
5	19	3	-	3	1	16	-	-	2	8	SD

#### TABLE E 5. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons received for supervision by district and dffense, During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988 (excludes reinstatements and transfers)

\_\_\_\_

					GENERAL	OFFENSES		<u>.</u>	<b></b>
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HONICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	AUTO Theft
9TH	6.491	31		98	61	398	445	1.015	13
<b>AK</b>	96	_	1	1	-	15	11	7	-
NZ	695	12	21	31	3	55	27	80	1
CA, N	892	=	28	13	44	49	151	160	ĩ
CA, E	502	1	46		4	26	39	64	ī
CA. C	1,429	3	247	12	2	95	75	302	3
CA, S	1,182	l ī	30	3	2	34	42	99	2
41	158	l i	-	ä		16	7	46	=
ID	106	2		ī	-	-9	13	26	-
м <b>Т</b>	104	i ā	i	7	1	11	6	24	-
W¥	503	1 1		i i	ā	23	14	88	-
OR	250	-	15 34	5	ī	27	13	38	3
HA, E	113	1 1		2	ī	- 8	- 5	14	ĩ
KA.H	424	ź	28	5	-	23	38	61	
GUAH	37	1 2		-	-	7			-
10TH	1.826		41	46	14	151	116	347	32
1010			TA						
ca	426	1	18	7	1	27	24	80	5
KS	252	i		i.	ī	17	8	43	ĩ
WH	291	í i	ŝ	17	Ā	20	25	20	2
DK.H	203	1 1	á	ī	i	22	22	48	Ŧ
DK,E	75		1	<b>.</b>	-	10		20	á
OK,H	282	-	2	5	-	27	18	75	ž
Л	215	2	5	5 5	3	23	10	50	ĩ
MY	82	:	í	7		-5	-1	11	-
11TH	4.940	6	116	45	22	340	252	694	
AL.N	422	1	14	4	2	37	16	43	13
AL.H	187	I I	3	ź	ī	15	11	32	3
NL,S	217	- 1	5	=	-	18	7	51	3
FL.N	317	-	á	7	1	22	12	30	3
FL, M	846	3	25	á.	- i	46	37	158	10
FL.S	1,785	i i	25 23	12	3	65	85	202	10 2
SA.N	599	1 1	23		Ă	67	60	106	10
SA, M	352	_	10	á	3	55	16	35	2
GA.S	215	1	5		2	15		37	2

#### TABLE <sup>®</sup>E 5. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons Received for Supervision by District and Offense, During the twelve month period ended June 30, 1988 (excludes reinstatements and transfers)

	GENE	RAL OFFEN	SES-CONTIN	UED	,			SPECIAL	OFFENSES		1
FORGERY	DAP	CA (DRUG)	LAHS	HEAPONS							1
AND COUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	OTHER	IHMI- GRATION LANS	LIQUDR, "INTER NAL REVENUE	POSTAL Lans+	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
276	721	¥91	290	145	431	333	480		114	290	9тн
-	17		2	2							
18	128	5 73	22	19	9 44	4 65	73	-	1 8	21	)AK
25 25 95 17	54	168	38	16	48	48	1 1	-	11	15 37	AZ
25	43	51	46	14	71	27	1		12	18	CA, N
95	57	216	56	42	33	62	44	<u> </u>	31	54	CA, E
1/1	262	169	63	13	1	23	338	-	20	63	CA.S
5	17 2	35 7	3	1	1	20	1	-	2	4	HI HI
6	2	4	3	1	11	6	7	~	-	ģ	ID
41	14	32	14	2 11	5 173		-	-	-	,	MT
15	12	31	25	13	1/3	29 13	1	-	19	20	HV
5	15	24	4	14	-	13	2	-	2	15	OR
20	95	66	ź ]	5	34	17	3	-	3	11	HA,E
-	3	7	1	-		~j		-	5	12 2	
	111	243	112	85	154		25				(
						<u>87</u>			32	125	10TH
16	20	63	24	15 17	57	19	2		11	36	1
12	10	49	12	17	29	19		-	11 5	14	
10 19	26	32	11	10	32	14	19	-	3	40	
17	9 2	32 3	20	11	-	3	-	-	ī	2	OK.N
20	19	38	11 22	3	-	3	-	-	-	3	ľ.K., E
	11	- 36 19	47	14	7	13	1	-	4	9	DK .H
5	14	Ť	5	7	24 5	13	3	-	5	17	ÚT
		•	1	'	,	5	-	-	-	5	•••••HY
	907	943	150	193	478	201	17		38		11TH
50	37	39	18	17	93	19					
5	14	13	4	5	47	25	1	-	5	12	••••AL,N
36	31	29	8	14	1	3	-	-	3	4	AL,H
1	29	67	5	6	75	14	-	-	-	5 31	AL, 5
55	146	214	26	19	22	37	-	-	8	26	••••FL,M ••••FL,M
89 44	574 40	463	56	98	2	46	11	-		45	FL,S
7	40 9	74 10	22	24	48	31	5	-	7	25	GA.N
16	27	34	7	37	152 38	11	-	-	2	27	GA.M
					36	* 1	-	-	4	6	GA,S

TABLE E 5. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Persons received for supervision by district and defense, During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988 (Excludes reinstatements and transfers)

٠

		DUI	RING TH	E THELV	E MONT	H PERIOD	ENDED	JUNE 30	, 1988	EXCLUD	ES REI	NSTATEMEI	NTS AND	TRANSF	ERS)		
		1	1-	12 MONT		13	-24 HOH		25	-36 MON		37-	-48 MON		OVE	R 48 MO	NTHS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MOS. COMP	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MOS. COMP	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MDS. CDMP	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MOS. Comp	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MOS. Comp
TOTAL	10.119	2.745	736	114	10	2.265	670	22	3.630	960	34	1.134	636		2.354	368.	59
DC	119	51	10	1	10	38	23	21	42	16	33	. 16	10	43	13	1	58
1ST	419	76	45	5	10	. 179	27	23	134	31	35	17		. 43	44	4	60
ME MA NH RI PR	48 226 30 24 91	17 24 5 2 28	5 24 1 3 12	- 2 1 - 2	11 11 12 2 10	29 119 13 7 11	13 8 2 - 4	21 23 23 23 23 22	10 58 8 12 46	2 8 1 2 13	33 35 35 35 35	2 6 1 - 8	2 4 1 - 2	39 44 43 44	2 19 7 2 14	2 - - 2	60 59 63 60 59
2ND	904	123	73	11	10	237	24	23	353	40	35	88	36	45	153	12	59
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	116 52 326 313 83 14	20 25 27 26 25	5 15 12 30 10 1	1 4 1 4 -	8 10 11 11 9 12	29 13 76 99 16 4	25557-	24 21 23 23 22 23 23	50 17 124 119 35 8	7 12 7 9 5 -	35 30 35 35 35 35 36	19 6 30 20 13 -	6 4 13 7 6 -	44 45 46 45	13 1 84 45 9 1	4 - 1 4 3 -	59 60 60 57 60
3RD	809	172	70	10	11	194	32	23	261	64	34			- 44	211	32	58
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,N VI	28 274 298 74 97 38	9 63 76 7 13 4	2 30 15 10 11 2	- 3 2 1 1	12 11 9 12 10 9	4 71 40 35 18 26	2 13 14 - 2 1	23 23 22 24 22 22 22	10 112 79 19 33 5	4 32 19 4 4 1	33 34 34 35 35 35 35	8 19 38 1 6 1	2 8 20 3 1	46 45 44 48 45 37	4 42 126 9 29 1	1 7 20 1 3	59 58 59 57 58 50
4TH		267	. 66	i	11	156	54	2	325		34	111	71		238	35	
MD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,E HY,N HY,S	223 84 65 119 114 112 80 49 50	82 27 25 40 17 35 15 16 10	19 4 	5	10 12 10 11 11 12 12	48 11 6 24 14 31 12 4 6	14 3 4 12 2 11 5 1 2	22 23 22 21 22 22 22 21 23 23 21	81 26 11 47 48 38 41 21 12	38 9 4 12 5 14 8 6 4	33 34 35 34 35 33 35 35 35 34	34 14 5 16 6 11 7 11 7	21 12 4 13 5 5 1 7 3	44354344364147	41 29 43 21 42 19 17 13	4 3 13 2 4 5 1 2 1	59 59 57 59 59 59 59 59 59 59

#### TABLE E 6. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PROBATIONERS REMOVED AFTER COMPLETION OF TERNS IMPOSED BY JUDGES Showing Original term imposed and average term completed (in months) During the thelve nonth period ended June 30, 1988 (excludes reinstatements and transfers)

100

			1-1	12 MONT	HS	13-	-24 HON	THS	25	-36 MONT	HS	37-	-48 MON		OVER	48 MO	NTHS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MOS. COMP	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MOS. Comp	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG NOS. Comp	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG NDS. Comp	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MOS. COMP
5TH	1.078	294	55	10	8	175	66	22	390	101	34	147	72	44	311	45	59
LA.E	111	41	5	1	9	18	,	22	50	16	34	12	10	42	26	5	59
LA,M LA,M	20 83	3 25	1 10		3	3 15	2	21 21	5 15	5	36 33	11	17	37 42	10 32	- 3	60 59
HS,N MS.S	28 51	1 10	2	-	9	5	-	24 24	15 14	- 3	36 34	3	15	44	3	- 2	56
TX, N	256	79	11	1	10	54	21	22	106	32	33	31	15	44	44	10	59 57
TX,E TX,S TX,H	72 272	10 60	3 18	13	11 7	6 32	2 11	21 22	39 94	3 18	35 33	9 57	4 19	45 45	15 71	9	59 58
тх,н	185	65	2	-	11	28	15	22	52	24	33	17	10	43	86	16	59
6TH	1,005	179	86	1	10	284	60	22	374		35	87	38	45	174	22	
KΥ,ἑ KY,H	69 62	13 13	3	-	11 10	26 13	4	22 23	12 22	4	33 35	7	4	45 44	21 12	1	62 56
MI, E	198	33	9	-	8	48 45	6 28	23 20	89 23	11 10	35 34	26	13	45 43	26	5 3 2	59 59
MI,N CH,N	93 156	16	14	-	11	34	4	23	61	2	35	12	52	46	35	4	59
DH, S TN, E	137 78	20 6	12 2	1	10	36 11	4	22 22	61 38	8 3	35 35	8 8	6 1	42 47	20 19	1	59 60
TN,H TN,H	81 131	15 14	13 17	1	11 11	17	2 2 7	23 21	20 48	3	35 34	6 10	42	43 46	25	5 1	58 57
7TH	815	189	63	13		148	47	22	266	60	34	101		44	237	25	59
IL.N	409	84	16	3		50	26	20	127	24	35	57	20	45	159	11	60
IL.C	52 72	14 32	3 16		8 10	10 21	-	24	13 21	4	34 32	10 3	73	44	16 11	3	58 60
IL.S IN.N	71	21	9	3	8	21	12	23	26	13	35	7	3	45	8	2	59
IN.S HI,E	128 55	25 9	14 5	3	10 11	39	2	23 24	41 24	10 3	33 35	18 5	9 1	43 45	16 17	1 5	58 59
ні,н	28	4	-	~	-	3	-	24	14	-	36	1	1	39	10	3	57
8TH	587	123	33	5	_11	160	36	22	241	50	34	56	18	45		14	59
AR, E AR, M	56 53	12	1	-	12	1 15	3	23 23	36	2 5 2	35 34	7 5	2 3	45	4	ī	60 58
IA, N	22	8	3	1	10	10	4	23 21	24	ž	34	NN	1	42 47	ป	1	60 57
IA, S MN	28 91	14 20	3	1	10	45	57	22	11 31	?	34	4	1 5 2 3	44	7	-	60
MD,E	103 105	21 13	6	1	11	6 18	23	22 23	36 58	E é	35 36	7 15		47 47	48 9	8	59 60
NE	50 23	?	5	-	12 12	22	32	23	9	4	32 35	6	1	45	8	1	59 60
SD	56	15	4	1	ii	19	7	20	22	6	33	ŝ		45	ē	ī	58

#### TABLE E 6. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PROBATIONERS REMOVED AFTER COMPLETION OF TERMS IMPOSED BY JUDGES Showing Griginal term imposed and average term completed (in Months) During the Thelve Month Period Emded June 30, 1988 (Excludes reinstatements and transfers)

#### TABLE E 6. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM Probationers removed After Completion of Terms imposed by Judges Shoning Original Term imposed and Average Term Completed (in Months) During The Twelve Month Period Emded Jung 30, 1988 (Excludes reinstatements and transfers)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l	1-1	2 MONT	HS	13-	-24 HON	THS	25-	-36 MON	THS	37-	-48 HON	THS	OVE	2 48 HD	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG HDS. CDMP	TOTAL	EARLY	AVG Hos. Comp	TUTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MDS. CDMP	TOTAL	EARLY TERM	AVG MDS. Comp	TOTAL		AVG MDS. COMP
9TH	1.719	716	105	19	10	297	172	21	610	237	34	220	167	43	487	121	58
AK AZ CA, E CA, E CA, E CA, S HI ID NY DR NY DR HA, H GUAM	26 204 211 112 553 160 45 37 45 80 87 43 85 15	9 119 80 46 214 77 16 9 20 25 54 7 39	5 19 12 5 16 14 5 1 8 4 5 1 6	1 - - 1 1 1 - - 2 1 4 - 2	12 11 12 9 10 9 11 12 10 10 7 12 10	3 64 22 10 76 2 2 2 6 12 12 19 3 21 1 3	48 10 6 41 21 - 9 7 15 -	21 23 23 22 22 24 21 22 24 21 24 22 24 22	11 63 62 241 61 18 18 18 18 18 19 20 16 3	3 33 17 24 85 25 7 5 3 5 13 5 11	34 33 34 32 34 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	3 24 34 14 60 25 4 6 7 12 18 6 7	2 18 31 9 42 24 4 2 3 9 15 17 7	4432331566422441-	4 34 81 47 160 26 16 10 6 24 26 13 35 5	6 3 3 3 7	555555560798099 5555555555555555555555555555555555
10TH	516	158	39		11	145		22	179	53	34	59	36	<del>44</del>	94	21	58
CG KS OK, N OK, E DK, N UT HY	90 103 58 47 33 78 72 35	23 22 10 14 12 17 48 12 400	4 12 1 4 1 4 8 5 91	3 1 - - 2 2 13	\$ 12 12 12 12 8 11 9 10	7 40 17 13 4 33 19 12 252	1 1 4 - 7 15 3	23 23 23 22 23 22 23 22 21 22 21 22 22	42 34 22 16 15 21 19 10 10	9 7 2 5 5 5 6 15 4 160	35 34 35 33 34 32 32 33 33	13 3 5 7 7 8 12 4 159	5 4 3 9 2	45 44 40 44 45 44 43 47 43	24 14 13 7 6 12 14 4 295	4 2 3 1 7 1	59 58 58 56 59 57 59 59
AL, N AL, S FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, M GA, S	145 47 40 65 227 460 167 60 41	39 4 20 38 38 193 42 8 18	2 4 2 9 14 43 11 4 2	22	4 8 7 11 11 10 12 11 12	20 10 3 12 38 118 32 15 4	11 2 1 5 12	21 22 24 22 22 23 23 23	58 19 8 25 93 183 39 6 14	13 87 14 3	34 36 33 35 32 33 34 33	21 3 13 14 21 57 22 2 6	14 8 41	46 39 44 41 44 43 39 46	34 11 14 5 61 59 63 33 15	3 2 5 11 4	58 60 55 55 55 58 59 60 57

× .

		NO VIOLATION							
TYPE OF SUPERVISION	TOTAL Renoved	TOTAL	PER- CENT	EARLY TERM		TERM EXPIRED		OTHER1	
				TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- Cent
TOTAL	32,087	24,805	77.3	4,933	15.4	19,504	60.8	368	1.1
FROM COURTS				1					
JUDGE PROBATION	12,876	10,371	80.5	2,748	21.3	7,372	57.3	251	1.9
J.S. MAGISTRATE PROBATION	7,215	5,774	80.0	813	11.3	4,910	68.1	51	0.7
PRETRIAL DIVERSION FROM INSTITUTIONS	1,104	1,074	97.3	73	6.6	1,001	90.7	0	0.0
FEDERAL PAROLE	5,466	3,318	60.7	826	15.1	2,457	45.0	35	0.6
ANDATORY RELEASE	3,461	2,926	84.5	15	0.4	2,899	83.8	12	0.3
ILITARY PAROLE	344	301	87.5	51	14.8	248	72.1	2	0.6
SPECIAL PAROLE TERM	1,621	1,041	64.2	407	25.1	617	38.1	17	1.0

 TABLE E 7.
 FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM

 PERSONS REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION MITHOUT YIOLATION AND MITH YIOLATION SHOWING VIOLATION TYPE

 DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (EXCLUDES REINSTATEMENTS, RE-RELEASES, AND DECEASED)
			HITI		LATI	0 N		
		TECHN	ICAL2	MII	IOR3	DLAN	14	
TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TYPE OF SUPERVISION
7,282	22.7	4,733	14.8	642	2.0	1,907	5.9	TOTAL
								FROM COURTS
2,505	19.5	1,684	13.1	181	1.4	640	5.0	JUDGE PROBATION
1,441	20.0	1,126	15.6	184	2.6	131	1.8	U.S. MAGISTRATE PROBATION
30	2.7	25	2.3	2	0.2	3	0.3	PRETRIAL DIVERSION
						1		FROM INSTITUTIONS
2,148	39.3	1,223	22.4	179	3.3	746	13.6	FEDERAL PAROLE
535	15.5	314	9.1	44	1.3	177	5.1	
43	12.5	26	7.6	6	1.7	11	3.2	MILITARY PAROLE
580	35.8	335	20.7	46	2.8	199	12.3	SPECIAL PAROLE TERM

TABLE E 7. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION MITHOUT VIOLATION AND WITH VIDLATION SHOWING VIOLATION TYPE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (EXCLUDES REINSTATEMENTS, RE-RELEASES, AND DECEASED)

1 DEPORTED, RELEASED TO MILITARY, HOSPITALIZED, RELEASED FROM SUPERVISION DURING APPEAL AND OTHER REMOVALS FOR Reasons not constituting a violation or new offense

2 VIOLATION OF THE CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION OTHER THAN CONVICTION FOR A NEW OFFENSE.

3 CONVICTION FOR MINOR OFFENSES SUCH AS DRUNK DRIVING, DISORDERLY CONDUCT, PETTY THEFT, TRAFFIC VIOLATION, ETC. MMEN SENTENCE IS 90 DAYS OR LESS IMPRISONMENT, OR DNE YEAR OR LESS PROBATION OR A FINE

4 INVOLVEMENT IN OR CONVICTION OF A NEW MAJOR OFFENSE, INCLUDING ABSCONDED FROM CUSTODY, ARRESTED ON ANOTHER Charge or convicted and sentenced to more than 90 days imprisonment, or more than one year probation

CIRCUIT		FILINGS		TE	RMINATIONS		1	PENDING	
AND DISTRICT	1987	1956	PERCENT	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE
TOTAL	561,278	594,567	5.9	481,351	587,574	22.1	808,504	815,497	.9
DC	1,077	1,126	4.5	1,114	1,118	.4	2,110	2,118	.4
1ST	7,544	9,010	19,4	7,634	6,540	-14.3	12,653	15,123	19.5
ME	818	930	13,7	864	785	-9.2	1,333	1,478	10.9
MA	2,649	2,977	12.4	2,643	2,855	5.0	3,726	3,848	3.3
NH	562	705	25.4	508	390	-23.2	939	1,254	33.5
RI	887	904	1.9	1,000	966	-3.4	832	770	-7.5
PR	2,628	3,494	33,0	2,619	1,544	-41.1	5,823	7,773	33.5
2160.,.	19,701	21,519	9.2	17,787	21,587	21.4	31,602	31,534	2
ст	2,218	2,384	7.5	2,329	2,345	.7	2.498	2,537	1.6
NY,N	3,394	3,964	16.8	2,065	5,128	148.3	6,805	5,641	-17.1
MY, E	5,779	6,000	3.8	5,783	5,816	.6	6,606	6,790	2.8
NY, S	3,758	4,115	9.5	2,682	3,110	16.0	7,068	8,073	14.2
NY, N	4,255	4,746	11.5	4,742	4,830	1.9	8,157	8,073	-1.0
VT	297	310	4.4	186	358	92.5	468	420	-10.3
3RD	20,795	22,294	7.2	19,114	21,803	14.1	42,531	43,022	1.2
DE	481	659	37.0	388	375	-3.4	1.387	1.671	20.5
NJ	8,096	8,277	2.2	6,308	7,361	16.7	17,725	18,641	5.2
PA,E	6,080	6,974	14.7	5,603	5,785	3.2	11,816	13,005	10.1
PA, H	2,085	2,087	1	2,230	2,579	15.7	2,782	2,290	-17.7
PA, H	4,018	4,282	6.6	4,585	5,703	24.4	8,679	7,258	-16.4
¥I	32	15	-53,1	- 1	· -	-	142	157	10.6

#### TABLE F U.S. DISTRICT COURTS BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

CIRCUIT		FILINGS		TE	RMINATIONS			PENDING	
AND			PERCENT		······	PERCENT	1		PERCEN
DISTRICT	1987	1988	CHANGE	1987	1988	CHANGE	1987	1988	CHANGE
4TH	35,273	37, 394	6.0	29,519	36,267	22.9	51,897	53,024	2.2
HD	6,335	7,553	19.2	6,008	7,079	17.8	9.086	9,560	5.2
NC, E	3,167	3,005	-5.1	1,715	3,683	114.8	5,558	4.880	-12.2
NC, M	2,698	2,781	3.1	2,329	1,812	-22.2	6,006	6,975	16.1
NC,H	2,393	2,266	-5.3	1,315	3,000	128.1	6,432	5,698	-11.4
sc	4,262	4,059	-4.8	2,597	4,045	55.8	6,559	6,573	.2
<b>VA,E</b>	9,721	10,553	8.6	9,097	10,691	17.5	9,266	9,128	-1.5
VA, H	3,932	4,120	4.8	4,321	3,286	-24.0	4,942	5,776	16.9
WV,N	866	912	5.3	748	853	14.0	1.252	1,311	4.7
HV,S	1,899	2,145	13.0	1,389	1,818	30.9	2,796	3,123	11.7
5TH	59,598	62,793	5.4	39,500	60,664	53.6	98,725	100,854	2.2
LA.E	5,769	5,702	-1.2	4,282	5,333	24.5	6,714	7.083	5.5
LA, H	2,240	1,905	-15.0	1,643	1,975	20.2	3,944	3,874	-1.8
LA. H	6,587	6,093	-7.5	4,054	7,146	76.3	14,929	13,876	-7.1
MS,N	2,398	2,512	4.8	1.772	2,127	20.0	2,287	2,672	16.8
MS, S	5,434	6,201	14.1	3,622	6,677	84.3	9,112	8,636	-5.2
тх.н	12,334	13,775	11.7	7,412	12,863	73.5	22,010	22,922	4.1
TX, E	2,321	2,881	24.1	1,362	2,316	70.0	3,878	4,443	14.6
TX, S	14,717	13,616	-7.5	9,747	14,904	52.9	24,779	23,491	-5.2
тх,н	7,798	10,108	29.6	5,606	7,323	30.6	11,072	13,857	25.2
6TH	72,428	80,752	11.5	63,882	75,318	17.9	106,264	111,698	5.1
KY,E	3,827	4,424	15.6	2,987	3, 105	4.0	7,971	9,290	16.5
КҮ,Н	6,028	5,975	9	7,528	5,897	-21.7	6,162	6,240	1.3
MI,E	9,593	10,994	14.6	8,261	9,857	19.3	9,586	10,723	11.9
MI,H	4,139	4,308	4.1	4,151	5,041	21.4	7,739	7,006	-9.5
OH, N	12,559	13,804	9.9	12,349	14,622	18.4	17,321	16,503	-4.7
DH,S	13,910	15,293	9.9	13,290	16,319	22.8	20,027	19,001	-5.1
TN, E	5,721	6,395	11.8	3,702	6,414	73.3	10,282	10,263	2
TN,M	6,351	8,131	28.0	4,041	4,321	6.9	10,122	13,932	37.6
TN.H	10,300	11,428	11.0	7,573	9,742	28.6	17,054	18,740	9.9

#### TABLE F U.S. DISTRICT COURTS BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

CIRCUIT	FILINGS			TE	RMINATIONS			PENDING	
AND			PERCENT			PERCENT			PERCENT
DISTRICT	1987	1988	CHANGE	1987	1988	CHANGE	1987	1988	CHANGE
7TH	58,476	58,720	.4	60,808	61,082	.5	74,309	71,947	-3.2
							21 251	2/ 0/2	
IL,N	21,980	21,883	5	25,787	21,971	-14.8	34,951	34,863 5,643	3 -31.3
IL,C	6,691	6,362	-4.9	6,224	8,935	43.6 6.5	8,216	2,083	3.2
IL,S	2,714	2,811	3.6	2,579	2,747	-8.1	7,188	8,197	14.0
IN,N	7,044	7,032	2	6,550	6,023	20.7	10.721	10.408	-2.9
IM,S	10,748	11,730	9.1	9,977	12,043 5,995	20.7	6,996	6.666	-4.7
HI,E	5,850	5,665	-3.2	5,505	3,368	-19.6	4,218	4,087	-3.1
HI,H	3,449	3,237	-6.2	4,186	2,300	-11.0	7,210	4,007	
8TH	37,728	37,361	-1.0	36,507	34,816	-4.6	46,420	48,965	5.5
AR.E	3.711	3,927	5.8	4,152	3,402	-18.1	7,181	7,706	7.3
AR, H	1.716	1.937	12.9	1,580	1,663	5.3	2,703	2,977	10.1
IA,N	2.885	2,191	-24.1	3,211	2,438	-24.1	3,162	2,915	-7.8
IA, S	3,301	2,925	-11.4	3,131	2.749	-12.2	3,117	3,293	5.6
MN	9.041	9,910	9.6	8.723	8,940	2.5	11.312	12,282	8.6
MO.E	4,580	5,062	10.5	3,333	4,299	29.0	5,222	5,985	14.6
MO.H	5,632	5,684	.9	6.156	5.691	-7.6	4.925	4,918	2
NE	3,911	3.350	-14.4	3,662	3,134	-14.4	4.654	4,870	4.6
ND	1,170	1,062	-9.2	1,063	1.206	13.5	1,464	1,320	-9.8
SD	1,781	1,313	-26.3	1,496	1,294	-13.5	2,680	2,699	.7
9TH	143.956	150,247	4.4	120,057	161,081	34.2	202.852	192,018	-5.4
2111	143,770				<u>`</u>				
AK	1.351	1,369	1.3	626	1,705	172.4	2,024	1,688	-16.6
AZ	10,437	12,737	22.0	4,407	7,714	75.0	16,658	21,681	30.2
CA, N	19,348	19,546	1.0	19,070	20,978	10.0	29,401	27,969	-4:9
CA, E	15,117	16,321	8.0	12,735	18,564	45.8	23,274	21,031	-9.6
CA, C	49,288	50,629	2.7	42,494	60,954	43.4	65,630	55,305	-15.7
CA, S	8,991	9,858	9.6	6,364	11,473	80.3	16,871	15,256	-9.6
ні	982	935	-4.8	742	1,326	78.7	2,303	1,912	-17.0
ID	3,929	3,852	-2.0	2,962	3,891	31.4	5,175	5,136	8
MT	1,738	1,768	1.7	1,308	1,505	15.1	2,435	2,698	10.8
NY	5,006	5,512	10.1	2,552	6,084	138.4	9,721	9,149	-5.9
OR	9,826	10,146	3.3	10,062	9,965	-1.0	9,651	9,832	1.9
WA,E	4,073	4,224	3.7	4,299	4,267	8	6,299	6,256	~.7
HA, H	13,817	13,287	-3.8	12,386	12,613	1.8	13,351	14,025	5.0
GUAH	50	61	22.0	49	40	-18-4	51	72	41.2
NMI	3	2	~33.3	1	2	100.0	8	8	

#### TABLE F U.S. DISTRICT COURTS BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIDDS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

CIRCUIT		FILINGS		TE	RMINATIONS			PENDING	
AND DISTRICT	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	1988	PERCENT CHANGE	1987	* 1988	PERCEN
10TH	47,780	49,896	4.4	34,210	49,604	45.0	59,322	59,614	.5
co	14,668	17.028	16.1	9,053	14,309	58.1	18,345	21.064	14.8
KS	7,451	7,032	-5.6	4,752	10,141	113.4	13,184	10,075	-23.6
NM	2,696	2,798	3.8	2,273	2,622	15.4	4,021	4,197	4.4
OK,N	4,000	3,926	-1.9	2,864	4,263	48.8	4,703	4,366	-7.2
DK,E	1,566	1,522	-2.8	1,742	1,683	-3.4	1,021	860	-15.9
OK,H	9,401	8,812	-6.3	7,831	9,227	17.8	7,772	7,357	-5.3
UTT	6,339	7,258	14.5	4,527	5,739	26.8	8,111	9,630	18.7
WY	1,659	1,520	8.4	1,168	1,620	38.7	2,165	2,065	-4.6
11TH	56,922	63,455	11.5	51,219	57,694	12.6	79,81 <del>9</del>	85,560	7.2
AL,N	11,429	12,405	8.5	10,775	10,910	1.3	17,868	19,363	8.4
AL,H	3,082	3,752	21.7	2,599	2,846	9.5	4,494	5,400	20.2
AL, S	1,976	2,242	13.5	1,490	2,447	64.2	3,448	3,243	-6.0
FL,X	1,519	1,635	7.6	979	1,313	34.1	2,108	2,430	15.3
FL,M	11,855	13,445	13.4	10,325	12,210	18.3	13,094	14.329	9.4
FL,S	4,471	4,963	11.0	3,828	4,509	17.8	5,411	5,865	8.4
GA,N	13,296	14,756	11.0	13,662	15,123	10.7	19,320	18,953	-1.9
GA, H	5,432	5,833	7.4	4,527	5,494	21.4	8,106	8,445	4.2
GA,S	3,862	4,424	14.6	3,034	2,842	-6.3	5,970	7,552	26.5

#### TABLE F U.S. DISTRICT COURTS BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Filings	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 12	CHAP. 13	TOTAL BUSINESS FILINGS*	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 12	CHAP. 13	TOTAL HON- BUSINESS FILINGS	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 13
TOTAL	594,567	423,789	18,889	3,099	148,771	68,501	40,909	16,620	3,099	7,854	526,066	382,880	2,269	140,917
DC	1,126	628	75	-	423	78	19	56	-	3	1,048	609	19	420
1ST	9,010	5,704	474	16	2,816	1,553	760	435	16	342	7,457	4,944	39	2,474
ME MA NH RI PR	930 2,977 705 904 3,494	766 2,307 594 840 1,197	26 250 47 26 125	+ - - 12	134 420 64 38 2,160	218 570 148 98 519	169 295 94 69 133	24 232 42 24 113	4 - - 12	21 43 12 5 261	712 2,407 557 806 2,975	597 2,012 500 771 1,064	2 18 5 2 12	113 377 52 33 1,899
2ND	21,519	17,357	995	33	3,129	2,228	1,115	936	33	139	19,291	16,242	59	2,990
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	2,384 3,964 6,000 4,115 4,746 310	2,151 3,220 5,030 3,276 3,396 284	71 118 220 480 95 11	24 - 6 3	162 601 750 355 1,249 12	185 616 376 716 280 55	117 390 166 244 158 40	62 116 204 463 83 8	24 	6 85 6 5 33 4	2,199 3,348 5,624 3,399 4,466 255	2,034 2,830 4,864 3,032 3,238 244	9 2 16 17 12 3	156 516 744 350 1,216 8
3RD	22,294	14,786	1,045	9	6,450	2,202	1,131	895	9	163	20,092	13,655	150	6,287
DE NJ PA,E PA,H PA,H VI	659 8,277 6,974 2,087 4,282 15	515 5,524 3,377 1,799 3,560 11	38 391 265 98 252 1	- 2 1 2 4	105 2,360 3,329 187 466 3	68 868 359 307 595 5	30 444 140 180 334 3	36 337 213 84 224 1	- 2 1 2 4 -	1 85 3 40 33 1	591 7,409 6,615 1,780 3,687 10	485 5,080 3,237 1,619 3,226 8	2 54 52 14 28	104 2,275 3,326 147 433 2

TABLE F-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS BUSINESS AND NONBUSINESS BANKRUPTCY CODE PETITIONS COMMENCED, BY CHAPTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

						(CONTINUED	1							
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Filings	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 12	СНАР. 13	TOTAL BUSINESS Filings*	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 12	CHAP 13	TOTAL NON- BUSINESS FILINGS	CHAP. 7	CHAP 11	. CHAP. 13
<b>4</b> TH	37,394	24,800	1,064	95	11,431	4,071	2,525	948	96	499	33,323	22,275	116	10,932
HD	7,553	4,993	356	9	2,195	503	178	310	9	6	7,050	4,815	46	2,189
NC, E	3,005	1,617	99	42	1,247	484	248	88	42	106	2,521	1,369	11	1,141
HC,H	2,781	679	60	6	2,035	327	186	56	6	78	2,454	493	4	1,957
NC,H	2,266	868	62 124	2 14	1,334	194 364	105	57	2	30 56	2,072	763	5	1,304
\$C VA.E	4,059	1,815	203	12	2,105 1,654	1.309	189 988	104 180	14 12	129	3,695 9,244	1,626	20 23	2,049 1,525
VA, H	4,120	3,421	73	12	620	539	389	72	6	72	3,581	3,032	1	548
HV.N	912	812	33	. i	64	117	79	30	3	5	795	733	3	59
HV,5	2,145	1,911	54	2	177	234	163	51	2	17	1,911	1,748	3	160
5TH	62,793	39,975	3,957	351	18,507	10,698	5,593	3,530	351	1,221	52,095	34,382	427	17,286
LA, E	5,702	4,141	291	3	1,267	473	266	196	3	8	5,229	3,875	95	1,259
LA, M	1,905	1,440	94	13	358	282	175	80	13	14	1,623	1,265	14	344
LA, H	5,093	4,273	244	141	1,435	1,236	731	237	141	127	4,857	3,542	7	1,308
HS,N	2,512	1,823	64	52	573	311	176	63	52	20	2,201	1,647	1	553
MS, S	6,201	3,281	156	2	2,761	149	58	88	2		6,052	3,223	68	2,761
TX, N	13,775	8,308	1,134	87	4,246	3,344	1,737	1,059	87	461	10,431	6,571	75	3,785
TX, E	2,881	2,033	273	10	545	798	452	249	10	87	2,083	1,581	24	478
<u>TX,S</u>	13,616	8,841	970	25	3,778	2,212	1,033	884	25	265	11,404	7,808	86	3,510
TX,H	10,104	5,835	731	18	3,524	1,893	965	674	18	236	8,215	4,870	57	3,288
\$TH	80,752	52,487	1,193	331	26,739	5,389	3,180	1,122	331	754	75,363	49,307	71	25,985
KY,E	4,424	3,744	57	16	607	610	472	57	16	65	3,814	3,272	-	542
KY,H	5,975	4,757	105	55	1,058	410	250	99	55	6	5,565	4,507	6	1,052
MI,E	10,994	8,619	247	62	2,066	737	380	231	62	64	10,257	8,239	16	2,002
MI,W	4,308	2,926	101	36	1,244	665	408	98	36	122	3,643	2,518	3	1,122
OH, N	13,804	10,761	183	34	2,822	926	588	176	38	124	12,878	10,173	7	2,698
OH, S	15,293	11,260	125	82	3,826	619	367	119	82	51	14,67:	10,893	6	3,775
TN, E	6,395	3,877	84		2,427	508	306	78	.6	117	5,887	3,571	6	2,310
TN,H	8,131	3,466	169	15	4,481	602	275	156	15	156	7,529	3,191	13	4,325
TN,H	11,428	3,077	122	21	8,208	312	134	108	21	49	11,116	2,943	14	8,159

#### TABLE F-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS BUSINESS AND HONBUSINESS BANKRUPTCY CODE PETITIONS COMMENCED, BY CHAPTER DF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988 (Continued)

						CONTINUE	07							
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL FILINGS	CHAP. 7	СНАР. 11	CHAP. 12	CHAP. 13	TDTAL BUSINESS FILINGS*	CHAP. 7	CHAP.	CHAP. 12	CHAP. 13	TOTAL NON- BUSINESS FILINGS	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 13
7TH	58,720	47,997	1,023	397	9,303	5,552	3,818	931	397	406	53,168	44,179	92	8,897
IL,N IL,C IL,S	21,883 6,362 2,811	15,693 5,736 2,246	387 70 56	19 82 53	5,784 474 456	1,394 959 680	894 770 487	370 64 54	19 82 53	111 43 86	20,489 5,403 2,131	14,799 4,966 1,759	17 6 2	5,673 431 370
IN,H IN,S WI,E	7,032 11,730 5,665	6,184 10,794 4,759	133 173 86	44 96 18	671 667 802	735 643 135	524 347 62	114 159 55	44 96 18	53 41 -	6,297 11,087 5,530	5,660 10,447 4,697	19 14 31	618 626 802
₩I,₩ 8TH	3,237 37,361	2,585 27,960	118 952	85 924	449 7,525	1,006 6,740	734 4,455	115 875	85 924	72 486	2,231	1,851 23,505	3	377 7,039
AR,E AR,H IA,N	3,927 1,937 2,191	1,730 1,369 2,008	80 85 66	50 13 66	2,067 470 51	387 280 843	183 146 684	72 76 65	50 13 66	82 45 28	3,540 1,657 1,348	1,547 1,223 1,324	8 9 1	1,985 425 23
IA,S MN MO,E MO,H	2,925 9,910 5,062 5,684	2,655 7,674 3,682 4,651	44 182 151 168	36 61 40 98	190 1,993 1,189 767	523 1,482 544 1,162	442 1,161 344 795	37 179 148 165	36 61 40 98	8 81 12 104	2,402 8,428 4,518 4,522	2,213 6,513 3,338 3,856	/ 3 3 3	182 1,912 1,177 663
NE ND SD	3,350 1,062 1,313	2,320 913 958	99 35 42	269 86 205	662 28 108	818 199 502	409 80 211	61 30 42	269 86 205	79 3 44	2,532 863 811	1,911 833 747	38 5	583 25 64
9TH	150,247	113,643	5,075	413	31,116	15,764	8,991	4,230	413	2,130	134,483	104,652	845	28,986
AK AZ CA.N CA.E CA.C CA.S HI	1,369 12,737 19,546 16,321 50,629 9,858 935	1,132 10,361 13,685 12,604 39,468 6,016 796	107 675 766 505 1,501 327 50	- 11 6 81 4 2	130 1,690 5,089 3,131 9,656 3,513 89	299 1,685 2,532 2,152 3,119 675 158	180 926 1,419 1,244 1,767 336 113	95 586 641 455 1,089 267 44	- 11 6 81 4 2	24 162 466 372 259 70	1,070 11,052 17,014 14,169 47,510 9,183 777	952 9,435 12,266 11,360 37,701 5,680 683	12 89 125 50 412 60	106 1,528 4,623 2,759 9,397 3,443 88
ID HT NV DR HA,E HA,H	3,852 1,768 5,512 10,146 4,224 13,287	2,655 1,438 3,930 7,803 3,480 10,221	98 99 260 136 163 383	82 71 59 88 4	1,017 160 1,318 2,148 493 2,679	500 447 357 1,411 916 1,496	217 244 100 808 575 1,051	90 88 235 133 157 346	82 71 4 59 88	111 44 18 411 96 95	3,352 1,321 5,155 8,735 3,308 11,791	2,438 1,194 3,830 6,995 2,905 9,170	8 11 25 3 6 37	906 116 1,300 1,737 397 2,584
GUAH	61 2	54	4	i	2	15 2	11	3	<u>i</u>	1	46	43	1	2,584

TABLE F-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS BUSINESS AND NONBUSINESS BANKRUPTCY CODE PETITIONS COMMENCED, BY CHAPTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (CONTINUED)

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL FILINGS	CHAP.	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 12	CHAP. 13	TOTAL BUSINESS FILINGS*	CHAP. 7	CHAP.	CHAP. 12	СНАР. 13	TOTAL NON- BUSINESS FILINGS	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP 13
10TH	49,896	40,122	1,380	394	7,999	9,614	6,934	1,277	394	1,008	40,282	33,188	103	6,991
CD KS NM DK.N	17,028 7,032 2,798 3,926	13,108 5,671 2,474 3,666	406 215 122 72 40	70 1.25 24 12 33	3,443 1,021 178 176 80	4,497 1,018 386 675 260	3,518 645 221 568 174	399 183 96 71 35	70 125 24 12 33	509 65 45 24 18	12,531 6,014 2,412 3,251 1,262	9,590 5,026 2,253 3,098	7 32 26 1	2,934 956 133 152 62
OK,E OK,H UT HY	1,522 8,812 7,258 1,520	1,369 7,920 4,597 1,317	158 292 75	86 16 28	648 2,353 100	1,246 1,284 248	947 730 131	157 269 67	86 16 28	56 269 22	7,566 5,974 1,272	1,195 6,973 3,867 1,186	5 1 23 8	592 2,084 78
11TH AL.N	63,455 12,405	38,330 5,136	1,656	135	23,333 7,108	4,612 735	2,388 388	1,385	135	703 202	58,843 11,670	35,942	271	22,630
AL,M AL,S FL,N	3,752 2,242 1,635	1,509 1,686 1,431	32 59 110	10 8 17	2,200 489 77	308 231 298	203 154 168	31 49 99	10 8 17	63 20 14	3,444 2,011 1,337	1,306 1,532 1,263	1 10 11	2,137 469 63
FL,M FL,S GA,N	13,445 4,963 14,756	11,916 4,194 7,270	534 349 307	6 1 3	989 419 7,176	896 557 1,078	410 287 520	432 269 272	6 1 3	48 	12,549 4,406 13,678	11,506 3,907 6,750	102 80 35	941 419 6,893
GA,H GA,S	5,833 4,424	3,148 2,040	69 57	55 13	2,561 2,314	310 199	169 89	59 51	55 13	27 46	5,523 4,225	2,979 1,951	10 6	2,534 2,268
1	NY,N PA,E SC	URES INCL SECTION 3 CHAPT 7 S CHAPTER 9 CHAPT 7 S	$ \begin{array}{rcl} 04 &=& 01 \\ 8 &=& 02 \\ &=& 01 \end{array} $	FOLLOWING NY,S PA,M WV,S TX,S	SECTION : SECTION : CHAPTER 9	304 = 04 304 = 01 9 = 01	DE	CHAPT 11 CHAPT 7 S SECTION 3	SB = 01 304 = 01					

### TABLE F-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS GUSINESS AND NONBUSINESS BANKRUPTCY CODE PETITIONS COMMENCED, BY CHAPTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (CONTINUED)

TX,S.... CHAPT 7 SB = 01 TX,S.... CHAPT 11 RR = 01 MI,M.... CHAPT 7 SB = 01 TN,E.... CHAPTER 9 = 01 CO..... CHAPT 7 SB = 01 AL,M.... CHAPT 7 SB = 01

367

## TABLE F-8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED, TERMINATEO, AND PENDING UNDER THE BANKRUPTCY CODE DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

DOKIN	IG THE THELVE P	NUNIH PERIOD	ENDED JONE 30	, 1988
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JULY 1, 1987	FILED	TERMINATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988
TOTAL	96,424	58,260	64,666	90,018
DC	380	88	159	309
157	2,087	1,635	1,536	2,186
ME	277	283	285	275
HA HH	867	629	648	848
KH	353 141	98 82	173 122	278 101
RI PR	449	543	308	684
	ί	[		
2ND	5,239	2,951	3,047	5,143
CT NY, N	533	306	217	622
NY, N	497	317	417	397 728
NY, E	614 3,052	622	508 1,425	2,872
NY,S	438	1,245	399	442
¥T	105	58	81	82
3RD	9,270	3,743	5,167	7,846
DE	92	95	45	142
NJ	2,941	1,144	1,329	2,756
PA,E PA,M	1,918 426	1,386	1,206 314	2,098 550
PA, H	3,882	680	2,273	2,289
YI	11			11
4TH	4.065	5,678	3,691	6,652
				1 / 07
MD	1,356 189	677 280	546 311	1,487 158
NC.E NC.M	248	178	148	278
NC.H	418	2,521	873	2,066
SC	510	352	297	565
¥A, E	983	817	861	939
VA. H	<b>5</b> 55	515	474	596
HY,N	177	83	5	255
WY,S	229	255	176	308
5TH	11,001	6,600	7,767	9,834
LA, E	1,090	448	402	1,136
LA, H	299	198	199	298
LA.H	795	474	654	615
НЗ,Я	382	238	250 354	370
MS.S TX,N	497 2,936	473 1,948	2,421	616 2,463
ΤΧ,Ε	875	479	934	420
TX, S	2,560	1,347	1,613	2,294
тх,н	1,567	995	940	1,622
6TH	11,859	6,761	7,716	10,904
<b>ΚΥ,Ε</b>	1,001	277	369	909
KY,H	359	827	738	448
MI,E	998	1,191	1,207	982
MI,W.,	1,517 1,725	704	922	1,299
OH, N	1,725	1,625	1,593	1,757
0H.S TN.E	2,154	933 451	1,739 614	1,348 1,566
TN, H	1,087	452	266	1,273
TN, H	1,289	301	268	1,273

# TABLE F~8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING UNDER THE BANKRUPTCY CODE DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

DURING	THE IMELVE HU	NIN PERIOD	ENDED JONE 30,	1700
CIRCUIT	PENDING	T	1	PENDING
AND	JULY 1,	1		JUNE 30,
DISTRICT	1987	FILED	TERMINATED	1988
DISTRICT	1 1/01			
7TH	6,454	4,711	5,434	5,731
IL,N	1,928	1,360	1,691	1,597
IL,C	1,644	(70	1,135	1,307
IL, S	180	342	281	241
IN, N	864	637	652	849
IN, S	933	770	762	941 565
WI.E	634 271	519 285	588 325	231
WI,W		205	525	
8TH	3,592	4,522	4,138	3,976
0111	0,572	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,	
AR, E	327	521	499	349
AR, H	460	235	267	428
IA, N	339	340	348	331
IA, S	321	318	233	406
MN	633	974	915	692
MO,E	396	516	513	399
MO, H	321	842	748	415
NE	493	458	313	638
ND	155	141	167	129
SD	147	177	135	189
				or 111
9TH	28,999	12,357	16,245	25,111
	414	202	275	341
AK	414	1,023	1 209	1,770
AZ	4,255	1,989	1,209 2,767 2,369	3,477
CA, N	5,377	1,029	2,369	4,037
CA,E CA,C	9,075	3,744	4,698	8,121
CA, S	1,849	743	1,213	1,379
HI	497	217	241	473
ID	729	344	682	391
MT	202	196	174	224
NY	1,050	396	331	1,115
OR	853	934	937	850
WA, E	846	309	392	763
HA, H	1,887	1,224	949	2,162
GUAM	7	6	8	5
NMI	2	1	-	3
		1		
10TH	7,255	4,463	4,978	6,740
<b>Cn</b>	1 200	1 102	1 100	1,311
<u>co</u>	1,390	1,103 685	1,182 929	1,047
KS	1,291	391	340	569
NM	518	345	800	858
OK,N	1,313	84	123	69
DK, E		601	727	1,018
BK,W	1,144	1,136	766	1,729
UT WY	1,355	1118	111	139
*****	1.52	1		
11TH	5,623	4,751	4,788	5,586
AL, H	555	811	866	500
AL, H	119	213	196	136
AL.S	437	191	218	410
FL.N	424	191	174	441
FL.M	1,557	1,248	1,019	1,786
FL,S	199	660	602	257
GA, N	1,947	795	1,167	1,575
GA, H	256	304	323	237
GA,S	129	338	223	244
		<u>.</u>		L

•

### Table G-1 U.S. Court of International Trade Summary of All Cases Filed and Terminated Twelve Month Period Ended September 30, 1987 and 1988

Trupa of Cone	1987	1988	Increase/ Decrease
Type of Case	1901	1.000	DAGEBE
Total Cases Pending at			
Beginning of Year	15,901*	7,548	-8,353
Total Cases Filed During Year	1 <b>,50</b> 5	1,118	-387
Total Terminated During Year	<b>9,8</b> 58	2,931	-6,927
Total Cases Pending at End of Year	7,548*	5,735	-1,813
Protests Pending at Beginning of Year	179	63	-116
Filed During Year Terminated During Year Pending at End of Year,	116 63	63 0	-53 -63
Reappraisements Pending at Beginning of Year	8 <b>,8</b> 56	860	-7,996
Filed During Year Terminated During Year Pending at End of Year	7,996 860	860 0	-7,136 -860
Civil Actions Pending at Beginning of Year Filed During Year Terminated During Year Pending at End of Year	6,866* 1,505 1,746 6,625*	6,625 1,118 2,008 5,735	-241 -387 262 -890

\*Revised

### Table G-2 U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit Appeals Filed, Terminated, and Pending During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

	D 1'			Terminati	ons		
Source of Appeal	Pending July 1, 1987	Filed	Total	By Judges	Other	Percent Reversed	Pending June 30, 1988
Total <sup>1</sup>	699	1,296	1,337	900	437	14.0	658
Board of Contract Appeals Court of International	45	84	69	52	17	14.0	60
Trade	28	56	43	32	11	38.0	41
U.S. Claims Court	67	143	138	110	28	18.0	72
Secretary of Commerce	0	2	2	0	2	-	0
U.S. District Courts International Trade	182	301	272	199	73	26.0	211
Commission	9	18	16	11	5	6.0	11
Merit Systems Protection Board Patent and Trademark	300	537	646	379	267	4.0	191
Offiçe	59	119	117	85	32	17.0	61
Writs <sup>2</sup>	9	36	34	32	2	-	11

<sup>1</sup> There were no appeals from decisions of the Secretary of Agriculture.

This category includes writs of mandamus, other extraordinary writs, petitions for permission to appeal and discretionary petitions for review.

	Octo	nding ober 1, 987	Pil	ed	Disp	æed	Pene Septem 19	ber 30,
Type of Case	Com- plaints	Plain- tiffs	Com- plaints	Plain- tiffs	Com- plaints	Plain- tiffs	Com- plaints	Plain- tiffs
Total Cases	1,911	22,591	763	32,523	764	28,033	1,910	27,081
Service Pay	76	88	53	53	37	37	92	104
Civilian Pay	104	15,423	54	31,763	49	27,134	109	20.052
Contract	696	730	371	398	283	294	784	834
Indian	32	5.045	7	20	2	9	37	5,056
Indian (transfer)	15	15	0	0	0	0	15	15
Patent	36	36	7	7	9	9	34	34
Property (taken)	109	230	40	48	50	56	99	222
Tax	695	873	142	143	242	402	595	614
Declaratory judgments			1					
(tax exempt)	5	5	1	1	2	2	4	4
Renegotiation	1	1	0	Û	D	0	1	1
Transportation	11	11	2	2	10	10	3	3
Miscellaneous	106	109	77	79	61	61	122	127
Oil Spill	4	4	2	2	3	3	3	3
Declaratory judgments			Į					
(contract)	8	8	7	7	14	14	1	1
Tris	13	13	0	0	2	2	11	11
Congressional								
Reference Cases <sup>1</sup>	5	5	0	0	0	0	5	5
Vaccine	-							
Compensation <sup>2</sup>	0	0	24	24	0	0	24	24

Table G-3s
 Report of the United States Claims Court for the Court Year Baded September 30, 1988

<sup>1</sup> Cases referred to the Chief Judge pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1492 for disposition by the court. These figures are not reflected in the totals above.

<sup>2</sup> These cases are deemed to be filed November 15, 1988, pursuant to General Order No. 20, issued September 30, 1988. Therefore, these figures are not reflected in the totals above.

 Table G-3b

 Report of the U.S. Claims Court for the Court Year Ended September 30, 1988

Amount	of Judgments

Number of complaints disposed of	764
Number of plaintiffs disposed of	28,033
Amount claimed	\$6,291,214,347
Judgments for plaintiffs	\$93,835,366
Amount of above judgments for plaintiff's carrying interest	\$20,807,946
Judgments on defendant's counterclaims or offsets	\$2,157,429
Amount of above judgments for defendant on its counterclaims or	
offsets carrying interest	\$287,842

Appeals to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

Total	211
Appeals pending October 1, 1987	84
Appeals filed October 1, 1987 to September 30, 1988	127
Appeals terminated October 1, 1987 to September 30, 1988	124
Affirmed	70
Reversed (including those in part)	31
Dismissed	23
Appeals pending September 30, 1988	87

			F	OR THE TH	ELVE NON	H PERIOD E	NDING J	UNE 30,1988			
			[						PRETR	TAL DIVERS	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	CRIMIHAL DEFENDANTS FILED1	PSA CASES ACTIVATED2	COMP- LAINTS	TYPI INDICT/ Infor	OF SUPER- CEDING	ASE MATERIAL WITNESS	OTHER	RULE 40 TRANSFERS DUT	CASES ACTIVATED	PRE CHARGED PTD3	POST CHARGED PTD4
TOTAL	49,658	34,749	18,333	15, <b>53</b> 7	224	327	328	2,801	1,932	1,763	169
1ST	1,828	1,629	946	606	43	18	16	94	83	75	8
ME MA NH RI PR	156 552 48 132 940	107 445 58 10 1,009	41 160 18 5 722	66 280 29 5 226	0 0 0 43	0 5 0 0 13	0 0 11 0 5	11 34 12 1 36	7 58 6 0 12	6 55 6 0 8	1 3 0 4
2ND	3,846	3,118	2,793	304	1	10	10	187	36	35	1
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	340 334 1,317 1,366 362 127	128 2 1,269 1,471 120 128	65 2 1,182 1,372 87 85	58 0 84 88 31 43	0 0 1 0 0	1 0 3 6 0 0	400420	9 0 103 68 5 2	9 0 5 4 10 8	9 0 5 4 10 7	0 0 0 0 0 1
3RD	2,744	1,948	966	954	0	2	26	126	176	156	20
DE NJ PA,E PA,H PA,H VI	103 905 715 285 356 380	84 309 667 98 397 393	46 181 250 18 137 334	36 118 411 77 258 54	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	2 9 6 3 1 5	3 48 33 7 34 1	5 84 8 13 66 0	4 72 8 13 59 0	1 12 0 0 7 0

TABLE H-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS# PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT CASES ACTIVATED

#### TABLE H-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS= PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT CASES ACTIVATED FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

			[						PRETA	TAL DIVERS	I N
CIRCUIT	CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS	PSA CASES	COMP-	TYPI INDICT/	SUPER-	C A S E MATERIAL		RULE 40 TRANSFERS	CASES	PRE CHARGED	POST CHARGED
DISTRICT	FILED1	ACTIVATED2	LAINTS	INFOR	CEDING	WITNESS	OTHER	דעם	ACTIVATED	PTD3	PTD4
4TH	5,150	2,354	886	1,420	22	7	19	153	253	232	21
MD	800	937	506	405	20	3	3	63	76	71	52
NC,E	560	221	55	164	1	0	1	14	32	30	
NC, H	347	251 380	84	166 254	0	0	1	14 38	14 14	.5	9 3 2
NC.H SC	411 499	113	121 46	62	ŏ	4	5	- 38 18	55	11 53	3
VA,E	1,666	113	0	0	ŏ	5	ō	18	26	26	6
VA. H	271	110	23	79	ŏ	ŏ	8	3	12	12	0 0
HV.N	230	40	7	33	ŏ	õ	ŏ	õ	13	13	ŏ
WV,S	366	302	44	257	ī	õ	õ	2	ii	11	õ
5TH	6,952	6,053	3,819	2,185	2	22	25	347	301	249	52
LA,E	592	588	232	351	0	3	2	42	52	35	17
LA, H	62	54	18	35	0	0	1	7	4	4	0
LA, H	418	190	40	147	0	1	2	13	11	11	0 2 5 6 0
MS,N	139	83	12	71	0	0	0	3	6	4	2
MS,S TX.N	264	251 781	71	178	0	°,	2	16	31	26	5
TX, E	969 274	202	349 57	428 141	1	ō	2	105 25	60 31	54 31	
TX.S	2.894	2,392	1,898	468	ó	13	13	74	72	58	14
тх, н	1,340	1,512	1,142	366	ŏ	4	õ	62	34	26	
6TH	4,490	2,985	1,170	1,710	56	5	44	149	205	198	7
KY, E	279	214	82	131	0	0	1	12	10	7	3
КҮ,Н	726	267	103	162	0	1	1	12	21	19	2
MI,E	997	1,300	609	607	56	4	24	46	120	120	0
HI,H	262	247	28	219	0	0	0	11	5	5	0
OH, N	641	346	124	220	0	°,	2	10	<u>o</u>	°,	°,
OH, S TN.E	560 232	276 179	81	188 133	0	0	7	16 29	0	0	0
TN.M	232	74	39 39	33	ŏ	ő	2	29	48	47	1
TN,H	495	82	37 65	17	ŏ	ö	6	ŝ	*° 0	*	ò
											<u> </u>

TABLE H-1
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS#
PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT
CASES ACTIVATED
FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

			F	OR THE TH	IELVE MONT	H PERIOD E	NDING J	UNE 30,1988			
									PRETR	IAL DIVERS	
CIRCUIT	CRIMINAL	PŞA		TYPE		ASE		RULE 40		PRE	POST
AND	DEFENDANTS	CASES	COMP-	INDICT/	SUPER-	MATERIAL		TRANSFERS	CASES	CHARGED	CHARGED
DISTRICT	FILED1	ACTIVATED2	LAINTS	INFOR	CEDING	WITNESS	OTHER	ເປັ	ACTIVATED	PTD3	PTD4
							<u> </u>				1
7TH	2,841	1,892	697	1,118	64	4	9	157	109	104	5
IL,N	1,244	857	446	365	40	4	2	79	46	45	1
IL.C	250	17	7	9	0	0	1	4	4	4	0
IL, S	274	170	16	154	D	ø	0	5	20	16	4
IN.N	366	315	61	252	0	0	2	23	9	9	0
IN.S	336	186	76	109	0	Q	1	18	13	13	0
HI.E	214	201	58	122	21	0	ō	14	Ö	0	0
HI.H	157	146	33	107	3.	Ó	3	14	17	17	Q
8TH	2,415	1,961	552	1,366	16	5	22	141	171	164	7
AR.E	219	178	20	158	0	0	0	22	32	30	2
AR, H	127	39	18	19	ŏ	ŏ	2	10	44	44	ō
IA, N	113	80	12	67	ŏ	1	āl			7	ō
IA, S	121	112	28	82	i	ĩ	õ	7	5	5	ŏ
MN	423	401	144	252	ō	ī		14	õ	ó	õ
M0,E	368	250	128	119	ŏ			20	32	32	ň
M0, H	450	451	109	331	10	ô		36	23	19	ž
NE	176	157	22	132	10 0	ŏ		15	16	16	ň
ND	145	166	55	96	5	ĭ	5	10	76	7	, i
SD	273	127	16	110	ő	ó	i	3	<b>\$</b>	1	ō
		1			v	-	-		+	•	-
9TH	10,018	5,147	3,065	1,895	3	111	72	516	205	180	25
AK	215	100	37	55	0	2	3	17	3	3	٥
AZ	1,254	1,275	938	320	0	0	17	79	47	38	9
CA, N	1,098	17	10	6	0	1	0	4	3	2	1
CA, E	685	609	470	115	0	17	7	61	0	0	0
CA, C	1,576	1,892	1,050	738	0	88	16	220	63	59	4
CA, S	2,040	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	7	0
HI	342	155	90	63	ō	ī	1	11 ]	ò	ò	Ó
ID	165	170	21	144	ž	ž	īl	24	19	19	ō
MT	271	71	33	38	õ	ō	ől	- i l	Ĩ3	13	ō
NV	503	479	213	242	ŏ	ŏ	24	68	20	11	ē
ØR	562	351	189	159	Ť	ŏ	2	24	10	Ť,	2
HA.E	464	5		~;	ô	ŏ	ō		16	16	ō
HA, H	747	i	7	ŝ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ī	4	1	õ
GUAH	76	18	*	9	ŏ	č	ĭ		õ	ā	ŏ
NHI	20	1	1	ó	ŏ	ŏ	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
9714	20	<b>_</b>	1			<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>		U	<u>v</u>

#### TABLE H-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS-PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT CASES ACTIVATED FOR THE THELVE NOWTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

									PRET	RIAL DIVERS	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS FILED1	PSA CASES ACTIVATED2	COMP- LAINTS	TYP INDICT/ INFOR	SUPER- CEDING	C <u>ASE</u> MATERIAL HITNESS	DTHER	RULE 40 TRANSFERS DUT	CASES ACTIVATED	PRE CHARGED PTD3	POST CHARGED PTD4
10TH	2,797	2,216	838	1,242	1	105	30	154	188	175	13
CO KS OK.N OK.E DK.H UT HY	454 353 761 254 98 442 328 105	392 188 830 219 96 206 252 33	189 27 437 35 10 79 46 15	194 160 275 183 86 124 202 18	0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 103 0 2 0 0 38	9015 10140 55	59 12 36 14 10 17 6 0	9 49 51 1 2 32 40 4 4	8 41 49 1 1 32 39 4 173	1 8 2 0 1 0 1 0
11TH AL.M AL.S FL.S FL.S GA.N GA.M GA.S	4,577 400 275 347 431 1,120 2,639 854 275 236	5,446 349 270 159 253 1,090 2,547 506 131 141	2,601 35 40 63 50 498 1,559 265 28 63	2,736 297 230 95 197 579 929 231 103 75	14 2 0 0 0 0 24 0 0 0	30 0 0 8 26 0 1	12 0 1 6 5 19 10 0 2	35 13 18 29 188 391 66 22 15	63 10 60 60 6 2 18 18	62 3 6 0 59 6 2 17 18	1 7 0 1 0 1 0 1 0

EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

1. INCLUDES PERSONS PROCEEDING BY INDICTMENT, INFORMATION OR CONSENT ON COMPLAINT AND PERSONS IN FUGITIVE STATUS. Excludes Petty, Traffic, escapes redpens, removals and complaints dismissed at the initial appearance.

2. A PSA CASE IS ACTIVATED ON EACH CRIMINAL DEFENDANT. THIS COLUMN MAY INCLUDE PERSONS COUNTED ONCE AS A PSA CASE, But named in more than one criminal filing.

3. NOT CHARGED IN U. 5. DISTRICT COURT.

4. CHARGED IN U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

#### TABLE H-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS# PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT PSA INTERVIENS AND TYPE OF BAIL REPORT FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

			INTER		TATUS			TYPE OF REPORT									
CIRCUIT	PSA			REFUSE		NOT		L	PRE	BAIL		POST	BAIL	OTHER		NO REP	ORT
AND	CASES			INTERV		INTERV		-		HITH	W/OUT						
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	RECOM.	RECOM.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
TOTAL	34,749	30,769	88.5	1,921	5.5	2,058	5.9	29,004	83.5	27,623	1,381	3,373	9.7	462	1.3	1,909	5.5
1ST	1,629	1,557	95.6	32	2.0	40	2.5	1,521	93.4	1,501	20	48	2.9	5	.3	55	3.4
ME	107	103	96.3	1	. 9	3	2.8	102	95.3	100	2	1	.9	3	2.8	1	.9
MA	445	422	94.8	8	1.8	15	3.4	398	89.4	396	2	21	4.7	0	.0	26	5.8
NH	58	39	67.2	15	25.9	4	6.9	49	84.5	40	9	4	6.9	2	3.4	3	5.2
RI	10	0	.0	0	.0	10	100.0	0	.0	0	ŝ	1	10.0	0	.0	9	90.0
PR	1,009	993	98.4	8	.8	8	.8	972	96.3	965	7	21	2.1	0	.0	16	1.6
2ND	3,118	3,050	97.8	37	1.2	31	1.0	2,915	93.5	2,849	66	103	3.3	30	1.0	70	2.2
ст	128	114	89.1	7	5.5	7	5.5	43	33.6	36	7	56	43.8	5	3.9	24	18.8
NY,N	2	2	100.0	0	.0	0	.0		100.0	1	1	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0
NY,E	1,269	1,268	99.9	1	.1	0	.0	1,253	98.7	1,250	3	10	.8	6	.5		
NY,5	1,471	1,457	99.0	11	7	3	.2	1,419	96.5	1,376	43	19	1.3	11	.7	22	1.5
NY,H	120	110	91.7	9	7.5	1	. 5	97	80.8	86	11	12	10.0	2	1.7	,	7.5
¥T	128	99	77.3	9	7.0	20	15.6	101	78.9	100	1	6	4.7	•	4.7	15	11.7
3RD	1,948	1,780	91.4	88	4.5	80	4.1	1,367	70.2	1,235	132	416	21.4	9	.5	156	8.0
DE	84	66	78.6	8	9.5	10	11.9	68	81.0	67	1	0	.0	0	.0	16	19.0
NJ	309	255	82.5	13	4.2	41	13.3	226	73.1	186	40	22	7.1	3	1.0	58	18.8
PA,E	667	644	96.6	11	1.6	12	1.8	509	76.3	461	48	147	22.0	2	.3	9	1.3
PA, M	98	90	91.8	4	4.1	4	4.1	76	77.6	73	3	17	17.3	0	.0	5	5.1
PA, H	397	365	91.9	25	6.3	7	1.8	259	65.2	227	32	119	30.0	1	.3	18	4.5
YI	393	360	91.6	27	6.9	6	1.5	229	58.3	221	8	111	28.2	3	.8	50	12.7

.

#### TABLE H-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS# PETTRIAL SERVICES ACT PSA INTERVIENS AND TYPE OF BALL REPORT FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

			INTER	VIEN S	TATUS			1			ТҮР			PORT			
CIRCUIT	PSA			REFUSE	D .	NOT			PRE	BAIL		POST	BAIL	OTHER		NO REP	ORT
AND	CASES	INTERV			IEM	INTERV		J		WITH	TU0/W				_		
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	RECOM.	RECON.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
4TH	2,354	2,182	92.7	81	3.4	91	3.9	1,695	72.0	1,619	76	264	11.2	51	2.2	344	14.6
MD	937	900	96.1	35	3.7	2	.2	835	89.1	835	0	58	6.2	2	.2	42	4.5
NC.E		210	95.0		.5	10	4.5	53	24.0	45	8	42	19.0	20	9.0	106	48.0
NC.H	251	244	97.2	6	2.4	1	.4	232	92.4	216	16	2	.8	6	2.4	11	4.4
NC.H	380	342	90.0	24	6.3	14	3.7	224	58.9	194	30		19.5	9	2.4	73	19.2
SC	113	106	93.8	0	.0	7	6.2	35	31.0	31	4	43	38.1	1	.9	34	30.1
VA, E		0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	۰.	0	0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0
YA, M		90	81.8	6	5.5	14	12.7	65	59.1	61	4	6	5.5	12	10.9	27	24.5
HV, N		6	15.0	1	2.5	33	82.5	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	.0	40	100.0
WV,S	302	284	94.0	8	2.6	10	3.3	251	83.1	237	14	39	12.9	1	.3	11	3.6
5TH	6,053	5,234	86.5	651	10.8	168	2.8	5,273	87.1	5,161	112	439	7.3	64	1.1	277	4.6
LA.E	588	567	96.4	18	3.1	3	.5	570	96.9	566	4	10	1.7	3	.5	5	.9
LA, H	54	47	87.0	4	7.4	3	5.6	50	92.6	50	0	2	3.7	2	3.7	0	.0
LA, H	190	182	95.8	3	1.6	5	2.6	181	95.3	171	10	4	2.1	0	.0	5	2.6
MS, N		81	97.6	1	1.2	1	1.2	69	83.1	67	2	13	15.7	0	.0	1	1.2
M5,5	251	229	91.2	7	2.5	15	6.0	154	61.4	127	27	43	17.1	9	3.6	45	17.9
TX, N	781	762	97.6	17	2.2	2	.3	739	94.6	735	<u>.</u>	29	3.7	6	.8	7	. 9
TX, E	202	193	95.5	4	2.0	5	2.5	154	76.2	147		20	9.9	23	11.4	5	2.5
TX, S		2,217	92.7	74	3.1	101	4.2	1,991	\$3.2	1,944	47	241	10.1	12	.5	148	6.2
тх,н	1,512	956	63.2	523	34.6	33	2.2	1,365	90.3	1,354	11	77	5.1	9	.6	61	4.0
6TH	2,985	2,730	91.5	102	3.4	153	5.1	2,656	89.0	2,494	162	240	8.0	28	.9	61	2.0
KY,E	214	195	91.1	9	4.2	10	4.7	191	89.3	187	4	20	9.3	2	. 9	1	.5 .7
KY, H	267	253	94.8	9	3.4	5	1.9	173	64.8	156	17	90	33.7	2	.7	2	.7
MI,E	1,300	1,267	97.5	28	2.2	5	.4	1,228	94.5	1,210	18	59	4.5	6	.5	7	-5
MI,H	247	240	97.2	1	- 4	6	2.4	238	96.4	238	0	1	. 4	6	2.4	2	
OH, N	346	251	72.5	32	9.2	63	18.2	303	87.6	276	27	20	5.8	2	6.	21	6.1
QH,S	276	214	77.5	11	4.0	51	18.5	255	92.4	244	11	5	1.8	5	1.8	11	4.0
TN, E	179	162	90.5	7	3.9	10	5.6	158	88.3	117	41	10	5.6	1	.6	10	5.6
TN,H	74	71	95.9	2	2.7	1	1.4	58	78.4	54		6	8.1	4	5.4	6	8.1
TN,H	82	77	93.9	3	3.7	2	2.4	52	63.4	12	40	29	35.4	0	.0	1	1.2

#### TABLE H-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS= PETTRIAL SERVICES ACT PSA INTERVIENS AND TYPE OF BALL REPORT FOR THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT	PSA	INTER	VIEN STATUS	INDT			BAIL	ΤΥΡ			PORT			107
AND	CASES ACTIVATED	INTERVIEHED TOTAL PCT.	INTERVIEH TOTAL PCT.	INTERVIEHED	TOTAL	PCT.	NITH RECOM.	W/OUT RECOM.	POST TOTAL	PCT.	OTHER TOTAL	PCT.	NO REP TOTAL	PCT.
7TH	1,892	1,553 82.1	81 4.3	258 13.6	1,321	69.8	1,290	31	200	10.6	36	1.9	335	17.7
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H		646 75.4 15 88.2 149 87.6 313 99.4 163 87.6 144 71.6 123 84.2	23 2.7 2 11.8 8 4.7 0 .0 17 9.1 18 9.0 13 8.9	188 21.9 0 .0 13 7.6 2 .6 6 3.2 39 19.4 10 6.8	439 11 152 310 151 140 118	51.2 64.7 89.4 98.4 81.2 69.7 80.8	431 9 144 308 143 140 115	8 2 8 2 8 0 3	82 4 15 5 22 49 23	9.6 23.5 8.8 1.6 11.8 24.4 15.8	19 0 0 4 8 5	2.2 .0 .0 2.2 4.0 3.4	317 2 3 0 9 4 0	37.0 11.8 1.8 .0 4.8 2.0 .0
8TH	1,961	1,802 91.9	78 4.0	81 4.1	1,730	88.2	1,496	234	144	7.3	15	.8	71	3.6
AR, E, AR, H, IA, N IA, S MD, E MD, H HD, M ND SD	39 80 112 401 250 451 157 166 127	173 97.2 36 92.3 74 92.5 101 90.2 385 96.0 232 92.8 437 96.9 128 81.5 146 88.0 90 70.9	5 2.8 1 2.6 5 6.3 4 3.6 15 3.7 13 5.2 13 2.9 11 7.0 5 3.0 6 4.7	0 .0 2 5.1 1 1.3 7 6.3 1 .2 5 2.0 1 .2 18 11.5 15 9.0 31 24.4	137 12 77 112 370 237 448 113 154 70	77.0 30.8 96.3 100.0 92.3 94.8 99.3 72.0 92.8 55.1	125 9 77 111 363 56 444 106 153 52	12 3 0 1 181 4 7 1 18 18	30 15 2 0 20 10 1 22 6 38	16.9 38.5 2.5 .0 5.0 4.0 .2 14.0 3.6 29.9	4 0 1 0 2 3 1 1	2.2 .0 1.3 .0 .7 .0 .4 1.9 .6 .8	7 12 0 0 8 3 0 19 4 18	3.9 30.8 .0 2.0 1.2 .0 12.1 2.4 14.2
9TH	5,147	4,104 79.7	401 7.8	642 12.5	4,314	83.8	4,146	168	579	11.2	65	1.3	189	3.7
AK AZ CA.E CA.C CA.S HI NT NY DR HA.H GUAM	100 1,275 609 1,892 0 155 170 71 479 351 5 4 18 1	79 79.0 943 74.0 17 100.0 247 40.6 1,686 89.1 0 118 76.1 148 87.1 68 95.8 470 98.1 301 85.8 5 100.0 4 100.0 18 100.0 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18 18.0 278 21.8 266 43.7 50 2.6 0 .0 8 5.2 12 7.1 1 1.4 5 1.0 3 .9 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 .00	76 883 13 469 1,737 0 131 159 39 450 337 1 337 1 3 16 0	76.0 769.3 76.5 77.0 84.55 93.59 954.9 96.00 758.9 96.00 758.0 84.55 93.59 96.00 758.0 93.59 96.00 93.59 96.00 93.59 96.00 93.59 93.59 96.00 93.59 96.00 93.59 96.00 93.59 93.59 96.00 93.59 93.59 93.50 93.59 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.00 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.50 93.00 93.50 93.50 93.00 93.50 93.00 93.50 93.00 93.00 93.50 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00 93.00	64 866 11 434 1,679 127 158 29 450 314 0 314 0 11	12 17 25 58 0 4 1 10 0 23 1 0 50	12 258 3 118 86 0 18 6 28 28 28 14 4 1 2 2 1	12.0 20.2 17.6 19.4 4.5 11.6 3.5 39.4 5.8 4.0 25.0 25.0 11.1 100.0	5 12 20 20 3 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	5.0 .9 .0 3.3 1.1 .0 1.9 1.2 2.8 .2 .0 .0 .0	7 122 49 0 3 3 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7.6936098800000

#### TABLE H-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS= Pertrial Services Act PSA Interviews and Type of Bail Report For the twelve month period ending June 30,1988

			INTER	VIEH S							<u> </u>			PORT			
CIRCUIT	PSA			REFUSE		NOT			PRE	BAIL		POST	BAIL	OTHER		NO REP	PORT
AND	CASES			INTERY		INTERY			[	HITH	H/OUT						
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	RECON.	RECOM.	TUTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
10TH	2,216	1,933	87.2	71	3.2	211	9.5	1,674	75.5	1,640	34	· 330	14.9	53	2.4	159	7.2
co	392	373	95.2	15	3.8	4	1.0	371	94.6	367	4	5	1.3	0	.0	16	4.1
KS	188	181	96.3	3	1.6	3	1.6	173	92.0	158	15	7	3.7	3	1.6	5	2,7
NM	830	791	95.3	23	2.8	16	1.9	652	78.6	647	5	157	18.9	11	1.3	10	1.2
OK.N	219	215	98.2	3	1.4	1	.5	218	99.5	218	0	1	.5	0	.0	0	
OK, E	96	77	80.2	9	9.4	10	10.4	80	83.3	79	1	0	.0	1	1.0	15	15.0
OK, H	206	168	81.6	11	5.3	27	13.1	157	76.2	151	6	6	2.9	28	13.6	15	7.3
υτ	252	99	39.3	6	2.4	147	58.3	18	7.1	15	3	131	52.0	10	4.0	93	36.5
₩Y	33	29	87.9	1	3.0	3	9.1	5	15.2	5	0	23	69.7	0	.0	5	15.2
11TH	5,446	4,844	88.9	299	5.5	303	5.6	4,538	83.3	4,192	346	610	11.2	106	1.9	192	3.5
AL, N	349	291	83.4	10	2.9	48	13.8	317	90.8	290	27	6	1.7	4	1.1	22	6.:
AL, M		258	95.6	1	.4	11	4.1	238	88.1	234	4	19	7.0	1	.4	12	4.4
AL, S	159	142	89.3	7	4.4	10	\$.3	137	86.2	136	1	8	5.0	11	6.9	3	1.9
FL, N	253	224	88.5	22	8.7	7	2.8	179	70.8	127	52 55	6	2.4	39	15.4	29	11.
FL, M	1,090	1,013	92.9	55	5.0	22	2.0	956	87.7	901	55	108	9.9	8	.7	18	1.
FL,S	2,547	2,200	86.4	171	6.7	176	6.9	2,140	84.0	1,964	176	356	14.0	25	1.0	26	1.
GA, N	506	466	92.1	30	5.9	10	2.0	392	77.5	383	9	86	17.0	8	1.6	20	4.0
GA, M	131	127	96.9	2	1.5	2	1.5	111	84.7	109	2	10	7.6	6	4.6	4	3.
GA, S	141	123	87.2	1	.7	17	12.1	68	48.2	48	20	11	7.8	4	2.8	58	41.1

\* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

#### TABLE H-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT (INCLUDES RUXE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\* RELEASE AND DETENTION AT THE INITIAL HEARING FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT	PSA CASES	RELEAS	ED1	DETAIN UNABLE MAKE B	T0	HELD I TEMPOR DETENT	ARY	DETAIN PENDIN HEARIN	IG DET	DH SAH	G HELD E DAY3	PLED GUILTY		DETAIN BAIL NOT SE	т
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TÖTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
TOTAL	34,664	17,643	50.9	3,714	10.7	1,419	4.1	8,395	24.2	1,945	5.6	798	2.3	750	2.2
1ST	1,607	636	39.6	52	3.2	411	25,6	430	26.8	37	2.3	14	. 9	27	1.7
ME MA NH RI	109 445 50 12	83 288 29 7	76.1 64.7 58.0 58.3	0 5 4 2	.0 1.1 8.0 16.7	2 2 3 0	1.8 .4 6.0	18 123 9 2	16.5 27.6 18.0 16.7	5 22 1	4.6 4.9 2.0 8.3	1 0 0	.9 .0 .0	0 5 4 0	.0 1.1 8.0 .0
PR	991	229 1,561	23.1	41 126	4.1 4.1	404 34	40.8	278 994	28.1	8 345	.8 11.2	13 9	1.3 .3	18 23	1.8 .7
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	3,092 137 23 1,219 1,454 128 131	84 7 537 807 67 59	61.3 30.4 44.1 55.5 52.3 45.0	3 2 5 69 44 3	2.2 8.7 .4 4.7 34.4 2.3	6 0 8 17 3 0	4.4 .0 .7 1.2 2.3 .0	36 8 534 354 12 50	26.3 34.8 43.8 24.3 9.4 38.2	4 2 130 199 0 10	2.9 8.7 10.7 13.7 .0 7.6	0 0 0 0 5	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 6.9	4 5 8 2 0	2.9 17.4 .6 1.6 .0
3RD	1,973	1,227	62.2	145	7.3	58	2,9	357	18.1	80	4.1	62	3.1		2.2
DE NJ PA.E PA.M PA.H VI	84 334 663 114 381 397	43 233 382 74 273 222	51.2 69.8 57.6 64.9 71.7 55.9	14 13 32 5 9 72	16.7 3.9 4.8 4.4 2.4 18.1	0 6 11 4 14 23	.0 1.8 1.7 3.5 3.7 5.8	14 50 148 23 81 41	16.7 15.0 22.3 20.2 21.3 10.3	11 25 13 0 0 31	13.1 7.5 2.0 .0 .0 7.8	2 0 54 6 0 0	2.4 .0 8.1 5.3 .0 .0	0 7 23 2 4 8	.0 2.1 3.5 1.8 1.0 2.0

#### TABLE H-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS= PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)== RELEASE AND DETENTION AT THE INITIAL HEARING FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES ACTIVATED	RELEASED1 TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED UNABLE TO HAKE BAIL TOTAL PCT.	HELD IN TEMPORARY DETENTION2 TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED PENDING DET HEARING TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED HEARING HELD ON SAME DAY3 TOTAL PCT.	PLED GUILTY TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED BAIL NOT SET TOTAL PCT.
4TH	2,472	1,553 62.8	92 3.7	48 1.9	496 20.1	61 2.5	107 4.3	115 4.7
HD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,E HY,N HY,S	907 255 270 384 132 36 124 49 315	532 58.7 184 72.2 170 63.0 219 57.0 60 45.5 14 38.9 93 75.0 26 53.1 255 81.0	29 3.2 4 1.6 12 4.4 20 5.2 10 7.6 4 11.1 9 7.3 1 2.0 3 1.0	2 .2 4 1.6 0 .0 23 6.0 8 6.1 0 .0 1 .8 6 12.2 4 1.3	183         20.2           50         19.6           80         29.6           59         15.4           39         29.5           12         33.3           17         13.7           16         32.7           40         12.7	23 2.5 9 3.5 6 2.2 4 1.0 12 9.1 2 5.6 1 .8 0 .0 4 1.3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38       4.2         4       1.6         2       .7         58       15.1         2       1.5         4       11.1         3       2.4         0       .0         4       1.3
5TH	6,111	2,555 41.8	1,342 22.0		1.078 17.6	101 1.7	433 7.1	130 2.1
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, M 6TH	595 53 199 89 266 767 203 2,406 1,533 3,037	387 65.0 44 83.0 136 68.3 72 80.9 188 70.7 365 47.6 106 52.2 788 32.8 469 30.6 2,081 68.5	14 2.4 0 .0 3 1.5 11 12.4 12 4.5 62 8.1 2 1.0 940 39.1 298 19.4 101 3.3	2 .3 0 .0 1 .5 0 .0 12 4.5 65 8.5 4 2.0 264 11.0 124 8.1 62 2.0	189         31.8           8         15.1           44         22.1           6         6.7           43         16.2           203         26.5           55         27.1           342         14.2           188         12.3           580         19.1	2 .3 0 .0 0 .0 6 2.3 14 1.8 33 16.3 26 1.1 20 1.3 125 4.1	0 .0 0 5.0 0 .0 0 .0 3 .4 417 27.2 13 .4	1 .2 1 1.9 5 2.5 0 .0 5 1.9 55 7.2 3 1.5 43 1.8 17 1.1 75 2.5
KY,E KY,H MI,E DH,X DH,X TN,E TN,M TN,H	218 283 1,311 254 356 284 159 84 88	131 60.1 180 63.6 1,000 76.3 190 74.8 240 67.4 179 63.0 117 73.6 34 40.5 10 11.4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	66 30.3 85 30.0 170 13.0 27 10.6 42 11.8 59 20.8 28 17.6 31 36.9 72 81.8	13 6.0 5 1.8 47 3.6 30 11.8 3 .8 6 2.1 6 3.8 10 11.9 5 5.7	1 .5 0 .0 1 .1 2 .8 8 2.2 1 .4 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

.

383

#### TABLE H-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\* RELEASE AND DETENTION AT THE INITIAL HEARING FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA Cases Activated	RELEASED1 TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED UNABLE TO MAKE BAIL TOTAL PCT.	HELD IN TEMPORARY DETENTION2 TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED PENDING DET HE&RING TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED HEARING HELD ON SAME DAY3 TOTAL PCT.	PLED GUILTY TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED BAIL NOT SET TOTAL PCT.
7TH	1,916	1,157 60.4	69 3.6	14 .7	514 26.8	51 2.7	46 2.4	65 3.4
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	829 29 189 329 184 205 151	488 58.9 9 31.0 142 75.1 232 70.5 119 64.7 99 48.3 68 45.0	12 1.4 1 3.4 11 5.8 4 1.2 12 6.5 10 4.9 19 12.6	1 .1 2 6.9 0 .0 2 .6 0 .0 7 3.4 2 1.3	247 29.8 13 44.8 30 15.9 62 18.8 35 19.0 48 33.2 59 39.1	39 4.7 3 10.3 0 .0 1 .3 3 1.6 3 1.5 2 1.3	29 3.5 0 .0 0 .0 2 1.1 15 7.3 0 .0	13 1.6 1 3.4 6 3.2 28 8.5 13 7.1 3 1.5 1 .7
8TH	2,000	1,240 62.0	118 5.9	62 3.1	452 22.6	36 1.8	24 1.2	68 3.4
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MG,E MG,E ND SD	182 49 87 111 419 256 434 159 161 142	145 79.7 35 71.4 65 74.7 76 68.5 233 55.6 162 63.3 250 57.6 109 68.6 103 64.0 62 43.7	13 7.1 2 4.1 2 2.3 10 9.0 25 6.0 49 19.1 12 2.8 2 1.3 1 .6 2 1.4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14 7.7 4 8.2 17 19.5 17 15.3 87 20.8 37 14.5 126 29.0 32 20.1 50 31.1 68 47.9	6 3.3 6 12.2 2 2.3 2 1.8 5 1.2 2 .8 2 .5 3 1.9 3 1.9 5 3.5	0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 10 2.4 1 .4 0 .0 10 6.3 1 .6 2 1.4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9TH	5,099	2,069 40.6	629 12.3	99 1.9	1,429 28.0	756 14.8	70 1.4	47 .9
АК СА. В. СА. В. СА. Е. СА. С. СА. S. HI. HI. DR. HY. HA. E. MA. H. GUAM. NHI.	92 1,242 77 575 1,727 163 161 89 466 356 23 22 18 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 9.8 55 4.4 2 2.6 3 .5 5 .3 2 2.3 60 12 13.5 1 .2 2 .6 1 4.3 0 .0 1 4.3 0 .0 1 5.6 0 .0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1.1 49 3.9 11 14.3 22 3.8 582 33.7 20 23.0 8 4.9 7 4.3 0 .0 7 1.5 36 10.1 2 8.7 10 45.5 1 5.6 0 .0	2 2.2 8 .6 94 5.9 9 5.5 3 1.9 0 .0 6 1.3 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 6 33.3 0 .0	3 3.3 6 .5 6 7.8 1 .2 3 .2 8 9.2 2 1.2 4 2.5 2 2.2 0 .0 11 3.1 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 1 100.0

TABLE H-3
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS=
PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT
(INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED) **
RELEASE AND DETENTION AT THE INITIAL HEARING
FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES ACTIVATED	RELEASED1 TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED UNABLE TO MAKE BAIL TOTAL PCT.	HELD IN TEMPORARY DETENTION2 TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED PENDING DET HEARING TOTAL PCT.	DETAINED HEARING HELD DN SAME DAY3 TOTAL   PCT.	PLED GUILTY TOTAL   PCT.	DETAINED BAIL NOT SET TOTAL   PCT.
10TH	2,251	1,147 51.0	111 4.9	33 1.5	787 35.0	136 6.0	10.4	27 1.2
CO NH OK,H OK,E OK,H UT HY 11TH	373 198 833 240 90 223 254 40 5,106	217 58.2 127 64.1 292 35.1 167 69.6 57 63.3 107 48.0 149 58.7 31 77.5 2,417 47.3	9 2.4 15 7.6 35 4.2 24 10.0 11 12.2 14 6.3 0 .0 3 7.5 929 18.2	3 .8 11 5.6 5 .6 8 3.3 1 1.1 4 1.8 0 .0 1 2.5 126 2.5	135 36.2 35 17.7 399 47.9 31 12.9 10 11.1 72 32.3 100 39.4 5 12.5 1,278 25.0	8 2.1 6 3.0 95 11.4 8 3.3 1 1.1 17 7.6 1 .4 0 .0 217 4.2	0 .0 0 .0 1 .1 0 .0 5 5.6 1 .4 3 1.2 0 .0 10 .2	1 .3 4 2.0 6 .7 2 .8 5 5.6 8 3.6 1 .4 0 .0 129 2.5
AL, N AL, S FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, S	337 287 183 274 1,004 2,255 507 118 141	264 78.3 245 85.4 87 47.5 145 52.9 456 45.4 815 36.1 261 51.5 84 71.2 60 42.6	4 1.2 14 4.9 6 3.3 14 5.1 53 5.3 773 34.3 34 6.7 12 10.2 19 13.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20         5.9           14         4.9           79         43.2           97         35.4           291         29.0           530         23.5           187         36.9           18         15.3           42         29.8	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 .0 2 .7 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 8 5.7	43 12.8 1 .3 1 .5 5 1.8 25 2.5 39 1.7 8 1.6 1 .8 6 4.3

EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

\*\* EXCLUDES RULE 40S TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

1. INCLUDES CASES DISMISSED

2. TEMPORARY DETENTION UNDER 3142(D). DEFENDANT CHARGED WITH A INSTANT OFFENSE WHILE ON PRETRIAL RELEASE FOR ANOTHER CHARGE, OR ON PAROLE OR PROBATION FOR A PRIOR CONVICTION OR DEFENDANT IS IN ILLEGAL ALIEN STATUS.

3. DETAINED PENDING DETENTION HEARING/HELD ON SAME DAY OF INITIAL HEARING.

#### TABLE H-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION HEARINGS\*\* (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\*\* FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

the second se										_						
CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFEND					1		R	EAS	ONS	FOR	DETE			
AND	CASES		ETENTION	MOTIONS		нітн	DETENT				DANGER		DANGER		FLIGH	
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	HEARIN		DETENTI		PRESUMP	DRDERE		FLIGHT		TO WIT		COMMUN		DANGE	
		TOTAL	PCT.	GOVT.	COURT	TION	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
TOTAL	34,664	10,256	29.6	9,291	969	6,262	7,625	74.3	3,745	49.1	125	1.6	956	12.5	2.799	35.7
							L.,						1			
1ST	1,607	457	28,4	440	18	329	372	81.4	123	33.1	3	.8	34	9.1	212	57.0
ME	109	23	21.1	12	11	7	18	78.3	1	5.6	0	.0	3	16.7	14	77.8
MA	445	135	30.3	133	2	100	91	67.4	30	33.0	1	1.1	22	24.2	38	41.8
NH	50	10	20.0	10	0	11	9	90.0	6	66.7	0	.0	1	11.1	2	22.2
RI	12	3	25.0	3	0	1		100.0	2	66.7	02	.0	) 0	.0	1	33.3
PR	991	286	28.9	282	5	210	251	87.8	84	33.5	2	.8	8	3.2	157	62.5
2ND	3,092	1,337	43.2	1,328	10	1,034	1,012	75.7	857	84.7	5	.5	27	2.7	123	12.2
ст	137	39	28.5	38	1	34	25	64.1	21	84.0	0	.0	1	4.0	3	12.0
HY, N	23	10	43.5	10	0	7	9	90.0	7	77.8	0	.0	0	.0	2	22.2
NY, E	1,219	664	54.5	662	3	621	597	89.9	587	98.3	) 3	.5	1	.2	6	1.0
WY, S	1,454	552	38.0	548	- 4 j	338	334	60.5	217	65.0	{ 1	.3	20	6.0	96	28.7
NY, H	128	12	9.4	10	2	8	6	50.0	4	66.7	0	.0	0	.0	2	33.3
YT	131	60	45.8	60	0	26	41	68.3	21	51.2	1	2.4	5	12.2	14	34.1
3RD	1,973	431	21.8	418	13	304	329	76.3	116	35.3	7	2.1	80	24.3	126	38.3
DE	84	25	29.8	24	1	13	19	76.0	17	89.5	0	.0	0	.0	2	10.5
NJ	334	74	22.2	70	4	55	57	77.0	30	52.6	1	1.8	5	8.8	21	36.8
PA, E	663	158	23.8	154	4	113	111	70.3	25	22.5	0	.0	22	19.8	64	57.7
PA, M	114	23	20.2	22	1	13	17	73.9	5	29.4	1	5.9	2	11.8	9	52.9
PA, H	381	81	21.3	78	3	98	72	88.9	17	23.6	1	1.4	39	54.2	15	20.8
VI	397	70	17.6	70	0	12	53	75.7	22	41.5	4	7.5	12	22.6	15	28.3

#### TABLE H-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS= PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION HEARINGS== (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)=== FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFEN						REAS	ONS FOR	DETENTI	<u>л н</u>
AND	CASES		DETENTION	MOTION		HITH	DETENTION		DANGER	DANGER TO	FLIGHT&
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED		IG HELD	DETENT		PRESUMP	ORDERED	FLIGHT	TO HITNESS	COMMUNITY	DANGER
		IUIAL	PCT.	GOVT.	COURT	TION	TOTAL PCT.				
4TH	2,472	554	22.4	501	53	400	403 72.7	133 33.0	4 1.0	81 20.1	185 45.9
MD	907	206	22.7	194	12	161	132 64.1	20 15.2	0.0	26 19.7	86 65.2
NC, E	255	58	22.7	51	7	30	33 56.9	14 42.4	0.0	3 9.1	16 48.5
NC, H	270	86	31.9	80	6	68	73 84.9	24 32.9	1 1.4	23 31.5	25 34.2
NC, H	384	63	16.4	56	7	40	57 90.5	23 40.4	1 1.8	12 21.1	21 36.8
SC	132	49	37.1	38	11	17	31 63.3	26 83.9	0.0	2 6.5	3 9.7
VA, E	36	14	38.9	11	3	3	10 71.4	5 50.0	0.0	0.0	5 50.0
VA, H	124	18	14.5	14	4	18	14 77.8	4 28.6	0.0	0.0	10 71.4
WV,N	49	16	32.7	16	0	13	16 100.0	11 68.8	0.0	3 18.8	2 12.5
HV,S	315	44	14.0	41	з	50	37 84.1	6 16.2	2 5.4	12 32.4	17 45.9
5TH	6,111	1,164	19.0	987	177	668	813 69.8	429 52.8	26 3.2	107 13.2	-251 30.9
LA, E	595	191	32.1	184	7	121	137 71.7	43 31.4	2 1.5	12 8.8	80 58.4
LA, H	53	8	15.1	8	0	4	4 50.0	3 75.0	0.0	0.0	1 25.0
LA, H	199	- 44	22.1	41	3	32	26 59.1	14 53.8	4 15.4	2 7.7	6 23.1
MS, N	89	6	6.7	6	0	4	5 83.3	2 40.0	0.0	1 20.0	2 40.0
MS, S	266	48	18.0	42	6	39	43 89.6	30 69.8	1 2.3	3 7.0	9 20.9
TX,N	767	214	27.9	192	22	94	127 59.3	54 42.5	5 3.9	26 20.5	42 33.1
TX,E	203	87	42.9	85	2	60	62 71.3	23 37.1	1 1.6	13 21.0	25 40.3
TX,S	2,406	359	14.9	255	104	197	285 79.4	178 62.5	9 3.2	39 13.7	59 20.7
ТХ, Н	1,533	207	13.5	174	33	117	124 59.9	82 66.1	4 3.2	11 8.9	27 21.8
6TH	3,037	695	22.9	583	112	461	555 79.9	224 40.4	13 2.3	93 16.8	225 40.5
KY, E	218	77	35.3	77	0	64	63 81.8	15 23.8	6 9.5	8 12.7	34 54.0
KY.H ]	283	89	31.4	85	4	67	66 74.2	21 31.8	1 1.5	20 30.3	24 36.4
MI.E	1.311	211	16.1	190	21	132	181 85.8	55 30.4	4 2.2	27 14.9	95 52.5
мі,н	254	58	22.8	57	ī	54	55 94.8	51 92.7	0.0	1 1.8	3 5.5
DH, N	356	44	12.4	41	3	23	39 88.6	25 64.1	1 2.6	5 12.8	8 20.5
OH, S	284	65	22.9	45	20	30	63 96.9	23 36.5	0.0	12 19.0	28 44.4
TN, E	159	34	21.4	30	- 4	25	28 82.4	11 39.3	0.0	6 21.4	11 39.3
TN, M (	84	40	47.6	32	8 (	30	28 70.0	13 46.4	0.0	5 17.9	10 35.7
TN,H	88	77	87.5	26	51	36	32 41.6	10 31.3	1 3.1	9 28.1	12 37.5

#### TABLE H-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION HEARINGS\*\* (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\*\* FOR THE TWELVE NONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFENC			· · · · ·		_		R	EAS	DHS	FOR			ON **	
AND DISTRICT	ACTIVATED		DETENTION IG HELD	DETENTI		HITH	ORDERE		FLIGHT		DANGER TO WIT		DANGER		FLIGH DANGE	
515111461		TOTAL	PCT.	GOVT.		TION	TOTAL		TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL		TOTAL	PCT.
										The second s			{			
7TH	1,916	560	29.2	539	21	284	400	71.4	148	37.0	11	2.8	77	19.3	164	41.0
IL.N	829	286	34.5	284	2	76	175	61.2	71	40.6	1	,6	31	17.7	72	41.1
IL,C	29	14	48.3	12	2	в	10	71.4	5	50.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	3	30.0
IL,S	189	30	15.9	30	0	16	25	83.3	11	44.0	1	4.0	7	28.0	6	24.0
IN, N IN, S	329	63 37	19.1 20.1	61 35	2	46 21	52 31	82.5 83.8	15 12	28.8 38.7	å	7.7	13	25.0	20 12	38.5 38.7
WI,E	205	69	33.7	61	8	57	52	75.4	14	26.9	ŏ	Ĵŏ	10	19.2	28	53.8
HI,H	151	61	40.4	56	5	60	55	90.2	20	36.4	4	7,3	8	14.5	23	41.8
8TH	2,000	485	24.3	441	44	329	366	75.5	193	52.7	19	5.2	49	13.4	105	28.7
AR, E	182	19	10.4	19	0	12	13	68.4	7	53.8	0	.0	1	7.7	5	38.5
AR, H	49	10	20.4	5	5	9	7	70.0	3	42.9	0	.0	1	14.3	3	42.9
IA, N IA. S	87 111	19	21.8 17.1	17 15	2	1 17	12 13	63.2	10 6	83.3	1	8.3		.0	17	8.3 53.8
MN	419	92	22.0	80	12	27	72	78.3	44	61.1	2	2.8	l i	5.6	22	30.6
MD, E	256	39	15.2	32	7	65	34	87.2	22	64.7	3	8.8	3	8.8	6	17.6
ма, ж	434	128	29.5	126	2	93	102	79.7	54	52.9	3	2.9	15	14.7	30	29.4
NE	159 161	33 53	20.8	31 50	2	21 37	31 42	93.9	19 23	61.3 54.8		3.2	6	19.4	5 16	16.1 38.1
ND SD	142	73	32.9 51.4	50	7	47	40	79.2	23 5	12.5	7	17.5	1	45.0	10	25.0
9TH	5,099		42.7	2,074	107	1,132	1.690	77.6	807	47.8	12	.7	179	10.6	692	
		<u> </u>		2,014												
AK	92	17	18.5	16	1	19	15	88.2	4	26.7	0	.0	2	13.3	9	60.0
AZ CA, N	1,242	378 35	30.4 45.5	357 35	21	242 22	255 30	67.5	166 19	65.1 63.3		.4	17 3	6.7	71 8	27.8
CA, E	575	403	70.1	387	16	94	332	82.4	205	61.7	1	.3	11	3.3	115	34.6
CA, C	1,727	706	40.9	690	18	324	596	84.4	206	34.6	4	.7	94	15.8	292	49.0
CA.S	87	42	48.3	36	6	22	36	85.7	22	61.1	1	2.8	2	5.6	11	30.6
HI	163	58	35.6	56	2	63	45	77.6	23	51.1	0	.0	4	8.9	18	40.0
ID MT	161 89	37 29	23.0 32.6	35 27	2	25 8	20 12	54.1	11	55.0 91.7		.0 .0	0	.0	9 1	45.0 8.3
WV	466	193	41.4	184	5	78	141	73.1	<u>9</u> 9	70.2	ĭ	.7	11	7.8	30	21.3
0R	356	249	69.9	222	27	214	185	74.3	31	16.8	3	1.6	31	16.8	120	64.9
HA, E	23	12	52.2	11	1	9	10	83.3	4	40.0	0	.0	2	20.0	4	40.0
HA, H	22 18	18	81.8	16	2	11	11	61.1	5	45.5	0	.0	2	18.2	4	36.4
GUAH	18	2	11.1	2	0	1	0	.00.0	1	.0	i i	50.0	0	.0	0	.0
		<u> </u>			~ ~	×	`									

		TABLE H-	4
	U	.S. DISTRICT	COURTS*
	P	RETRIAL SERVI	CES ACT
	D	ETENTION HEAR	INGS**
	(INCLUDES	RULE 40 TRAN	SFERS RECEIVED)***
FOR	THE THELVE	MONTH PERIOD	ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT AND	PSA CASES		DETENTION	HOTION		WITH	DETEN				DANGE		DANGE		FLIG	
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED		PCT.	DETENT GOVT.		PRESUMP	ORDER TOTAL	PCT.	FLIGH		TO HI TOTAL	PCT.		PCT.	DANG TOTA	
10TH	2,251	905	40.2	544	361	271	615	68.0	362	58.9	13	2.1	63	10.2	177	28.
cø	373	142	38.1	132	10	91	100	70.4	73	73.0	2	2.0	4	4.0	21	21.
KS	198	39	19.7	36	3	9	35	89.7	15	42.9	2	5.7	5	14.3	13	37.
NH	833	482	57.9	186	296	21	267	55.4	194	72.7	3	1.1	24	9.0	46	17.
OK,N	240	39	16.3	37	2	27	27	69.2	9	33.3	1	3.7	5	18.5	12	- 44.
OK, E	90	11	12.2	11 81	0	9		100.0 (	5	45.5	0	.0	2	18.2	4	36.
DK,W	223	86	38.6		5	76	76	88.4	18	23.7	2	2.6	6	7.9	50	65.
มา	254	101	39.8	56	45	36	94	93.1	46	48.9	2	2.1	17	18.1	29	30.
₩Υ	40	5	12.5	5	•	2	5	100.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	.0	2	40.
11TH	5,106	1,489	29.2	1,436	53	1,050	1,070	71.9	353	33.0	12	1.1	166	15.5	539	50.
AL.N	337	23	6.8	23	0	13	15	65.2	7	46.7	1	6.7	0	.0	7	46.
AL., M	287	16	5.6	16	0	14	10	62.5	4	40.0	0	.0	2	20.0	4	40.
AL, S	183	84	45.9	83	1	70	£4	52.4	13	29.5	2	4.5	7	15.9	22	50.
FL., N	274	109	39.8	107	2	90	97	89.0	30	30.9	0	.0	15	15.5	52	53.
FL., M	1,004	428	42.6	396	32	440	334	78.0	81	24.3	4	1.2	41	12.3	208	62.
FL, S	2,255	571	25.3	562	9	233	381	66.7	136	35.7	3	.8	52	13.6	190	<b>49</b> .
GA, N	507	195	38.5	192	3	147	136	69.7	54	39.7	2	1.5	43	31.6	37	27.
GA, H	118	20	16.9	20 37	0	18	17	85.0	5	29.4	0	.0	2	11.8	10	58.
GA, S	141	43	30.5	37	6	25	36	83.7	23	63.9	c	.0	1 4	11.1	1 9	25.

\*

\* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

\*\* INCLUDES DETENTION HEARINGS HELD FOLLOWING THE INITIAL HEARING ONLY.

.

\*\*\* EXCLUDES RULE 40S TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

#### TABLE H-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT CONDITIONS IMPOSED AT THE INITIAL HEARING (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES ACTIVATED	PERSONAL RECOG- NIZANCE	UN- SECURED BOND	CASH BUND1	COLL- ATERAL BOND	CORP- DRATE SURETY	PSA Super- Vision	3RD PARTY CUSTODY	REST- RICTIVE COND.	TREAT- MENT CDND.	REST& TREAT COND.	BAIL NOT SET	DETEN- TION STATUS2
TOTAL	34,664	4,679	10,958	3,607	1,925	3,203	13,490	1,263	9,013	670	1,230	1,982	18,526
157	1,607	174	305	160	137	13	625	50	606	4	42	72	1,205
ME MA NH RI PR	10 <del>9</del> 445 50 12 991	8 30 13 1 122	28 235 18 1 23	5 56 3 93	42 10 1 4 80	1 9 1 1	68 246 8 8 295	5 21 1 0 23	48 275 13 7 263	1 2 0 1 0	12 3 2 0 25	4 22 7 0 39	43 221 21 5 915
2ND	3,092	485	939	379	209	51	921	17	865	75	50	<u>60</u>	2,295
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT 3RD	137 23 1,219 1,454 128 131 1,973	1 0 160 303 14 7 164	43 5 385 420 48 38 919	16 2 21 265 43 32 208	18 0 92 86 9 4 193	13 2 10 18 7 1 37	88 3 201 553 16 60 742	3 0 2 10 0 2 224	44 4 257 496 10 54 395	5 0 36 33 0 1 44	13 0 2 29 2 4 81	9 4 12 20 4 11 96	68 19 1,204 891 15 98 792
DE NJ PA.E PA.M PA.H VI	84 234 663 114 381 397	27 35 39 27 6 30	14 170 317 42 212 164	2 28 97 10 49 22	21 17 34 10 15 96	0 13 5 4 12 3	8 99 263 41 86 245	1 2 13 3 9 196	4 65 121 25 53 127	0 6 10 2 14 12	0 5 19 6 45	1 11 62 3 7 12	44 135 263 43 162 145

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

#### TABLE H-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT CONDITIONS IMPOSED AT THE INITIAL HEARING (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES ACTIVATED	PERSONAL RECDG- NIZANCE	UN- SECURED BOND	CASH BOND1	COLL- ATERAL BOND	CORP- DRATE SURETY	PSA SUPER- VISION	3RD PARTY CUSTODY	REST- RICTIVE COND.	TREAT- MENT COND.	REST& TREAT COND.	BAIL NOT SET	DETEN- TION STATUS2
4TH	2,472	675	800	137	177	74	1,101	134	692	126	160	191	957
HD NC.H NC.H SC VA.E VA.F HY.K HY.S	907 255 270 384 132 36 124 49 315	462 76 96 5 4 17 2 4	118 95 43 175 35 9 60 22 243	58 9 24 11 14 4 11 4 2	30 32 22 41 18 2 21 1 10	25 6 10 12 15 3 3 0 2	309 193 181 240 65 7 71 10 25	51 33 19 19 5 1 4 0 2	78 156 45 57 33 5 62 10 246	100 1 12 4 3 0 4 0 2	95 8 34 13 1 5 0 3	82 7 69 15 4 0 6	337 92 157 124 79 23 31 35 79
5TH	6,111	538	1,423	870	343	1,141	2,:52	146	1,115	110	136	652	2,329
LA, E LA, H HS, N TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, S TX, H 6TH	595 53 199 246 767 203 2,406 1,533 3,037	20 5 61 4 125 178 10 69 66 275	369 40 68 42 44 99 99 387 275 1,723	12 0 17 6 9 53 20 507 246 219	70 1 9 23 6 86 1 105 42 118	7 1 15 10 16 83 4 763 242 77	98 47 96 71 17 392 89 671 571 1,644	53 0 10 5 21 16 27 14 88	31 33 33 6 36 130 32 428 386 1,276	53 0 2 0 8 4 25 18 17	13 0 2 0 2 52 37 19 11 99	3 2 8 0 15 93 6 75 450 127	316 11 65 10 96 385 152 866 423 1,195
KY,E KY,H MI,E DH,N DH,S TN,E TN,H	218 283 1,311 254 356 284 159 84 88	18 3 48 27 32 118 19 8 2	85 172 972 158 192 31 80 25 8	11 21 47 29 54 20 18 8 11	33 6 11 1 5 27 14 8 13	6 8 20 4 7 3 5 3 21	97 129 1,013 211 35 44 54 41 20	3 7 52 0 6 1 7 12 0	61 117 928 58 13 15 42 18 24	026014301	22 8 55 0 2 0 12 0	9 11 46 3 23 23 3 4 5	130 149 382 90 86 126 56 72 104

#### TABLE H-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETAIAL SERVICES ACT CONDITIONS IMPOSED AT THE INITIAL HEARING (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES ACTIVATED	PERSONAL RECOG- NIZANCE	UN- SECURED BOND	CASH BOND1	COLL- ATERAL BOND	CORP- DRATE SURETY	PSA SUPER- VISION	3RD Party Custody	REST- RICTIVE COND.	TREAT- HENT COND.	REST& TREAT COND.	BAIL NOT SET	DETEN- TION STATUS2
	1,916	291	819	177	76	45	938	85	295	50	18	,131	932
IL,N IL,C IL,S IM,N IM,S MI,E MI,M	829 .29 189 329 184 205 151	9 1 6 74 62 83 56	475 4 125 149 30 19 17	67 8 11 17 26 28 20	45 0 11 4 3 10 3	15 2 6 2 18 1 1 1	377 6 103 228 95 57 72 990	58 4 2 1 12 7 51	43 6 80 54 45 30 37 420	26 0 13 8 3 0 70	5 0 1 4 2 6 215	43 3 13 34 25 10 3 171	459 25 46 109 57 123 113 803
8TH AR,E AR,H IA,S MD.E MD,H ND,H SD	2,000 182 49 87 111 419 256 434 159 161 142	416 113 6 42 1 25 7 72 49 49 52	739 10 24 36 62 171 104 184 63 50 35	132 21 6 6 10 41 18 11 4 9 6	103 1 0 3 0 4 89 2 3 1 0	113 16 5 1 23 46 7 10 1 1 3	144 37 51 43 121 175 243 53 63 58	4 3 2 0 4 24 1 5 8	13 5 10 42 24 94 137 30 23 42	24 2 13 1 6 3 0 5 5 11	3 0 7 1 4 47 128 4 16 5	4 1 62 62 58 13 3 16	32 18 29 31 161 66 217 58 94 97
9TH AZ CA.N CA.E CA.C HI HI HY HA.E HA.H GLAM NHI	5,099 92 1,242 77 575 1,727 87 163 161 89 446 356 23 22 23 22 18 1	820 13 280 7 52 7 3 14 96 32 163 140 140 4 1 8 0	889 31 83 13 55 491 15 46 24 4 109 13 2 2 1 0	897 9 468 6 16 310 13 17 3 14 23 12 4 2 0 0	359 5 41 4 2 5 4 6 8 8 6 0 4 1 0	199 7 46 6 26 55 8 22 4 4 16 2 1 2 0 0	1,652 254 225 150 684 29 46 42 38 206 145 3 8 0 0	167 4 19 2 64 20 3 10 21 2 12 12 4 0 4 2 0	1,484 29 322 12 505 28 30 29 250 115 2 6 0 0	63 2 17 5 4 17 1 4 3 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0	159 1 17 3 15 74 1 1 1 1 9 3 18 7 0 0 0 0	195 4 24 10 53 43 9 14 7 4 9 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1	3,904 40 675 726 1,295 103 52 51 329 433 22 29 5 0

#### TABLE H-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT CONDITIONS IMPOSED AT THE INITIAL HEARING (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE THELYE HONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES ACTIVATED	PERSONAL Recog- Nizance	UN- SECURED BOND	CASH Bond1	COLL- Ateral Bond	CORP- DRATE SURETY	PSA SUPER- VISION	3RD PARTY CUSTODY	REST- RICTIVE COND.	TREAT- MENT COND.	REST& TREAT COND.	BAIL NDT SET	DETEN- TION STATUS2
10TH	2,251	535	689	140	29	171	1,096	217	653	44	133	63	1,509
CD KS DK.N DK.E GK.H UT HY	373 198 833 240 90 223 254 40	58 15 310 8 1 20 121 2	128 107 166 125 58 64 19 22	59 7 18 17 15 12 10 2	9 0 2 7 1 3 4 3	13 25 48 45 0 35 1 4	180 119 439 151 26 119 50 12	21 3 181 2 0 2 3 5	88 51 277 123 6 71 22 15	17 4 1 4 15 2 0	24 27 26 34 6 12 3 1	7 7 24 5 6 11 3 0	240 74 740 74 20 163 189 9
11TH AL, N AL, S FL, N FL, N GA, M GA, S	5,106 337 287 183 274 1,004 2,255 507 118 141	306 4 8 51 84 104 10 10 4 31	1,713 253 229 38 41 322 559 193 57 21	288 3 6 13 30 46 152 11 16 11	181 13 17 2 3 36 18 70 13 9	1,282 2 4 29 18 113 1,007 69 11 29	1,629 58 26 71 80 287 698 320 48 41	84 5 0 5 11 45 13 3 0 2	1,212 17 12 12 83 180 607 216 54 31	67 1 0 15 0 30 10 11 0 0	137 3 0 27 7 61 29 4 5 1	224 45 5 12 44 80 25 3 8	2,605 40 33 132 196 768 998 328 35 35 75

. EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

\*\* EXCLUDES RULE 405 TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

1. A BOND REQUIRING THE CASH DEPOSIT OF THE TOTAL FACE AMOUNT OR PERCENTAGE OF THE FACE AMOUNT.

2. DEFENDANT DETAINED IN TEMPORARY DETENTION OR DETAINED PENDING DETENTION HEARING.

#### TABLE H-6 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS= PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DEFENDANTS RELEASED ON SUPERVISION (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)== FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

				HH	EN RELEASED			[
CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFEND		AT	AT	AT BAIL	DIVERSION	PERSONS ON
AND	CASES	RELEAS		INITIAL	DETENTION	REVIEW	CASES	DIVERSIDE
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	SUPERV	ISIUN	HEARING	HEARING	HEARING	ACTIVATED	SUPERVISION
TOTAL	34,664	13,611	39.3	10,299	1,783	1,529	1,908	1,747
1ST	1.607	630	39.2	496	79	55	81	81
ME	109	69	63.3	63	4	2	3	3
MA	445	254	57.1	204	41	9	58	58
NH	50	6	12.0	6	0	0	8	8
RI	12	7	58.3	6	1	0	1	1 11
PR	991	294	29.7	217	33	44	11	11
2ND	3,092	819	26.5	569	227	23	38	29
ст	137	87	63.5	75	11	1	9	6
NY, N	23	4	17.4	3	0	1	1	1
NY, E	1,219	198	16.2	128	70	1 0 9	5	ō
NY, S	1,454	449	30.9	308	132	9		4
МҮ, М	128	22	17.2	8	3	11	11	10
۷۲	131	59	45.0	47	11	1	8	8
3RD	1,973	704	35.7	592	74	38	180	164
DE	.54	7	8.3	4	2	1	6	6
NJ	334	93	27.8	81	12	ō	85	83
PA, E	663	261	39.4	211	40	10	9	9
PA, H	114	- 44	38.6	35	4	5	13	13
PA, H	381	85	22.3	75	7	3	67	53
¥I	397	214	53.9	186	9	19	0	0
## TABLE H-6 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS= PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DEFENDANTS RELEASED ON SUPERVISION (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)≈∞ FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

	1	Г <u> </u>		IEN RELEASED			
CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFENDANT		AT I	AT BAIL	DIVERSIDN	PERSONS ON
AND	CASES	RELEASED	DH INITIAL	DETENTION	REVIEW	CASES	DIVERSION
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	SUPERVISI	N HEARING	HEARING	HEARING	ACTIVATED	SUPERVISION
4TH	2,472	1,177 47	.6 904	130	143	249	225
но	907	332 36		67	43	76	55
NC.E	255	208 81		23	18	32	32
NC, H	270	185 68		11	15	15	15
NC.H	384	248 64		12	24	13	13
sc	132	77 58		11	18	51	51
¥A, E	36	7 19	.4 4	2	1	27	27
¥A.H	124	74 59		1	12	10	10
MY.N	49	15 30	.6 8	1	6	13	13
WY, 5	315	31 9	.8 23	2	6	12	9
5TH	6,111	2,230 36	.5 1,591	193	446	300	275
LA.E	595	199 33	.4 139	26	34	48	47
LA. H	53	46 86		2	0	3	3
LA, N	199	89 44	.7 74	9 2	6	15	15
MS, N	89	65 73		2	5	6	6
MS, S	266		.8 15	1	2	30	30
TX.N	767	395 51		42	70	60	45
TX, E	203	97 47		15	16	27	25
TX.S	2,406	740 30		43	163	69	63
тх,н	1,533	581 37	.9 378	53	150	42	41
6TH	3,037	1,646 54	.2 1,443	149	54	20 <del>9</del>	194
KY,E	218	93 42	.7 79	12	2	12	3
KY, H	283	135 47	.7 100	28	7	17	17
MI.E	1.311	1,003 76		51	15	120	115
MI,H	254	213 83		23	3	6	6
GH, N	356		.9 25		1 9	0	0
OH, S	284	50 17	. 6 38	2 3	9	1	0 2 4
TN, E	159	58 36	.5 45		7	4	4
TH.M	84	48 57	.1 28	10	10	47	45 2
TN,H	88	18 20		14	0	2	2

395

## TABLE H-6 U.S. DISTRICT CDURTS= PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DEFENDANTS RELEASED DN SUPERVISION (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)== FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

	1	1	NH	EN RELEASED	~	1	!
CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFENDANTS	AT	AT	AT BAIL	DIVERSION	PERSONS ON
AND	CASES	RELEASED ON	INITIAL	DETENTION	REVIEW	CASES	DIVERSION
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	SUPERVISION	HEARING	HEARING	HEARING	ACTIVATED	SUPERVISION
				L	<u> </u>		
7TH	1,916	982 51.3	788	114	80	112	106
IL.N	829	387 46.7	288	69	30	46	45
IL.C	29	9 31.0		2	30	6	6
IL,S	129	108 57.1		ŝ	ā	21	20
ÎN,N	329	234 71.1	210	5 15	ş		B
IN,5	184	98 53.3	89	1	8	14	12
WI,E	205	75 36.6	40	16	19	1	1 1
HI,H	151	71 47.0	63	6	2	16	14
8TH	2,000	986 49.3	836	87	63	163	152
AR.E	182	145 79.7	137	5 2	3	29	26
AR, H	49	36 73.5	33	2	1	37	37
IA.N	87	52 59.8	48	2	3 1 2 2 19	8	8
IA, S	111	44 39.6	32	10	2	6	6
HN	419	124 29.6	95	10	19	1	1
MD, E	256	145 56.6	138	6	1	30 24	22 24
MO,H	434	255 58.8 51 32.1	215	20	20	16	24
NE ND	161	51 32.1 64 39.8	55	57	1 2	10	16 7
SD	142	70 49.3	38	20	12	5	5
				20		-	
9TH	5,099	1,674 32.8	1,085	364	225	207	182
AX	92	27 29.3	20	2	5	3	3
AZ	1,242	364 29.3	192	55	117	46	44
CA, N	77	19 24.7	16	3	0	3	3
CA, E	575	175 30.4	83	64	25	0	0
CA, C	1,727	540 31.3	443	82	15	72 7	71
CA, S	87	24 27.6	20	4	•	ó	2
HI	163 161	45 28.2 45 28.0	29 26	9 16	0 5 3 2	12	5 0 9 9
1D MT	89	38 42.7	23	13	3	12	9
NV	466	217 46.6	149	46	22	19	15
OR	356	169 47.5	82	62	25	10	10
HA, E	23	3 13.0	1 1	2	ō	17	12
HA.H	22	7 31.8	ī	6	00	6	1
GUAM	18	0	0	0 0	o o	0	0
NH1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### TABLE H-6 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS» PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DEFENDANTS RELEASED OM SUPERVISION (Includes Rule 40 Transfers Receiyed)»= For the Thelve Month Period Ending June 30,1988

				HH	EN RELEASED			
CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFENC		AT	AÎ .	AT BAIL	DIVERSION	PERSONS DH
AND	CASES	RELEAS		INITIAL	DETENTION	REVIEH	CASES	DIVERSION
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	SUPERV	ISION	HEARING	HEARING	HEARING	ACTIVATED	SUPERVISIO
10TH	2,251	1,053	46.8	777	176	100	185	174
co	373	169	45.3	140	28	1	11	10
KS	198	122	61.6	107	10	5	44	41 47
NM	833	419	50.3	233	113	73	50	
OK, N	240	136	56.7	127	4	5	0	0
OK, E	90	27	30.0	21	3	3	4	4
OK,H	223	116	52.0	98	8	10	32	31 37
UT	254	51	20.1	41	8	2	40	37
мү	40	13	32.5	10	2	1	4	4
11TH	5,106	1,710	33.5	1,218	190	302	184	165
AL.N	337	56	16.6	52	4	0	64	64
AL. H	287	25	8.7	21	2	2	11	11
AL, S	183	68	37.2	37	23 10	8	4	4
FL,N	274	83	30.3	65	10	8	3	3 34
FL, M	1,004	267	26.6	186	54	27	53	34
FL,S	2,255	837	37.1	545	59	233	10	10
GA.N	507	283	55.8	240	30	13	3	3
GA, H	118	47	39.8	40	53	2	19 17	10 3 19 17
GA, S	141	44	31.2	32	3	9	17	17

\* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

\_

\*\* EXCLUDES RULE 40S TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

## TABLE H-7 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES Ard Detention Summary (Includes Rule 40 transfers received)\*\* For the thelve Month Period Ending June 30,1988

CIRCUIT	PSA CASES	TOTAL DETENTION	BEFC	RE INITI	AL HEARING	AFTE	R INITIA	L HEARING	PO	ST ADJUC	ICATION
	CLOSED	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST
TOTAL	21,952	\$27,729,277	6,922	32,754	\$940,480	9,652	582,078	\$19,343,704	3,627	215,132	\$7,445,093
1ST	1,409	\$1,122,122	290	591	\$21,468	849	39,073	\$878,422	217	12.031	\$222,232
НЕ НА	98 322	\$93,005 \$300,556	55 66	81 146	\$2,678 \$12,792	30 102	2,107 7,173	\$63,979 \$287,444	29 1	698 8	\$26,348 \$320
NH RI PR	38 8 943	\$54,697 \$4,561 \$669,303	6 1 162	15 2 347	\$724 \$115 \$5,159	10 3 704	876 30 28,887	\$41,879 \$1,346 \$483,774	9 1 177	279 54 10,992	\$12,094 \$3,100 \$180,370
2ND	554	\$812,628	236	409	\$18,402	229	10,906	\$518,765	87	5,972	\$275,461
CT	63 0	\$88,535 \$0	10	32	\$2,811 \$0	14 D	1,170	\$65,696 \$0	8	356	\$20,028
NY.E	8 304	\$8,184 \$339,412	8 121	10 174	\$396 \$7,830	6	77 4,338	\$5,588 \$195,890	1 53	50 2,991	\$2,200 \$135,692
NY,H VT	80 99	\$132,905 \$243,592	37	56 137	\$2,646 \$4,719	47	2,607 2,714	\$123,992 \$127,599	21	145 2,430	\$6,267 \$111,274
3RD	1,245	\$1,912,498	269	1,654	\$54,787	418	28,605	\$1,157,896	191	11,703	\$699,815
DE	107	\$217,339	35	56	\$2,340	56	5,259	\$166,749	21	\$78	\$48,250
NJ PA,E	472 70	\$0 \$710,141	0 57	0 488	\$0 \$17,180	165	12,723	\$0 \$438,548	0 72	0 5,175	\$0 \$254,413
PA,H PA,H VI	79 228 356	\$62,370 \$621,596 \$301,052	11 17 149	20 312 778	\$630 \$3,132 \$31,505	29 63 105	1,077 4,949 4,597	\$33,970 \$332,392 \$186,237	13 47 38	949 2,970 1,931	\$27,770 \$286,072 \$83,310

•~

TABLE H-7
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS+
PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT
DETENTION SUMMARY
(INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)**
FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT	PSA	TOTAL DETENTION	BEFI	DRE INITI	AL HEARING	AFTE	R INITIA	L HEARING	PC	OULDA TR	ICATION
DISTRICT	CLOSED	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST
4TH	1,788	\$1,196,674	310	1,457	\$32,614	594	35,615	\$896,265	180	10,179	\$267,795
MD	598	\$488,790	102	175	\$6,551	185	10,467	\$316,614	106	6,062	\$165,625
NC,E	189	\$82,552	26	93	\$2,112	58	3,115	\$67,315	7	636	\$13,125
NC.H	203	\$119,554	26	332	\$5,992	79	5,771	\$92,491	11	801	\$21,071
NC.H	384	\$268,788	82	355	\$8,040	152	10,032	\$251,251	12	437	\$9,497
SC	81	\$70,899	32	366	\$3,767	48	1,939	\$39,376	23	1,338	\$27,756
VA, E	15	\$36,242	5	5	\$211	10	499	\$23,060	4	195	\$12,971
VA, H	83	\$53,437	15	30	\$3,366	26	1,491	\$48,671	1	70	\$1,400
HV,N	7	\$0	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0
WY,S	228	\$76,412	22	101	\$2,575	36	2,301	\$57,487	16	640	\$16,350
5TH	3,317	\$3,435,742	1,460	5,313	\$161,826	1,597	75,153	\$2,454,334	562	24,678	\$819,582
LA, E	443	\$363,549	74	119	\$6,119	108	5,092	\$220,740	61	3,070	\$136,690
LA,M	59	\$13,474	16	28	\$514	12	720	\$12,960	0	0	\$0
LA,W	138	\$40,867	10	18	\$399	34	1,366	\$30,172	6	468	\$10,296
MS, N	60	\$16,993	8	46	\$1,326	22	400	\$6,571	11	542	\$9,096
MS, S	72	\$13,339	8	16	\$594	12	841	\$11,086	6	86	\$1,659
TX,N	603	\$867,824	279	716	\$23,096	323	18,427	\$655,273	130	5,473	\$189,455
TX, E	132	\$215,083	45	149	\$3,358	78	5,324	\$149,321	42	2,065	\$62,404
TX, S	817	\$1,033,902	479	2,961	\$84,161	592	24,822	\$685,576	211	9,151	\$264,165
тх,н	993	\$870,711	541	1,260	\$42,259	416	18,161	\$682,635	95	3,823	\$145,817
6TH	2,334	\$1,843,300	363	1,428	\$50,778	584	39,882	\$1,340,245	227	13,202	\$452,277
KY,E	135	\$176,355	36	114	\$3,757	57	5,509	\$137,673	27	1,061	\$34,925
KY,H	177	\$107,941	14	38	\$1,091	51	3,672	\$102,710	3	138	\$4,140
MI,E	1,117	\$745,822	76	157	\$6,774	213	13,480	\$582,525	62	3,775	\$156,523
MI,H	202	\$92,163	20	177	\$11,384	32	1,779	\$53,977	17	899	\$26,802
OH,N	257	\$257,082	56	181	\$7,097	71	5,808	\$183,682	27	1,731	\$66,303
QH,S	238	\$219,017	87	301	\$6,990	72	3,043	\$89,157	62	4,287	\$122,870
TN,E	130	\$112,306	37	50	\$2,045	42	2,899	\$82,217	24	896	\$28,044
TN,M	61	\$120,824	34	390	\$11,040	36	3,624	\$106,264	1	110	\$3,520
TN,M	17	\$11,790	3	20	\$600	10	68	\$2,040	4	305	\$9,150

## TABLE H-7 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION SUMMARY (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT	PSA CASES	TOTAL	BEF	DRE INIT	IAL HEARING	AFTE	R INITIA	L HEARING	P0:	ST ADJUE	ICATION
DISTRICT	CLOSED	DETENTION	DEF	DAYS	CØST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	CØST
7TH	1,520	\$2,207,151	339	1,191	\$53,534	483	41,752	\$1,393,566	294	16,700	\$760.051
IL.N	667	\$893,692	190	220	\$10,050	214	13,845	\$576,992	126	7,499	\$306,650
IL,C	36	\$68,437	21	74	\$3,505	19	946	\$43,262	9	481	\$21,670
IL,S	157	\$61,801	10	106	\$3,930	19	899	\$36,861	13	496	\$21,010
IH,H	233	\$436,694	38	514	\$25,075	60	5,640	\$268,248	44	2,574	\$143,371
IN,S	117	\$91,495	16	80	\$2,465	32	2,716	\$80,183	5	282	\$8,847
WI,E	187	\$454,882	27	82	\$4,237	81	14,603	\$267,847	62	3,465	\$182,798
WI,H	123	\$200,150	37	115	\$4,272	58	3,103	\$120,173	35	1,903	\$75,705
8TH	1,515	\$1,772,308	277	10,734	\$129,116	511	35,183	\$1,108,699	241	22,044	\$534,493
AR, E	150	\$42,485	10	22	\$575	36	1,795	\$39,516	5	110	\$2,394
AR, W	34	\$18,931	] 6	13	\$251	8	603	\$18,680	0	0	\$0
IA,N	80	\$70,763	21	64	\$2,818	19	1,170	\$51,757	11	360	\$16,188
IA,S	84	\$86,645	9	34	\$1,444	43	1,357	\$61,441	14	528	\$23,760
HN	339	\$579,064	58	150	\$9,089	117	6,978	\$422,821	44	2,529	\$147,154
M0,E	89	\$28,356	5	7	\$344	26	565	\$25,192	2	59	\$2,820
ма,н	361	\$299,286	65	114	\$3,612	125	6,808	\$168,804	93	5,174	\$126,870
NE	130	\$355,744	9	10,036	\$101,769	14	10,572	\$128,156	10	10,522	\$125,819
ND	143	\$150,078	44	169	\$5,660	58	2,615	\$111,269	16	843	\$33,149
SD	105	\$140,956	50	125	\$3,554	65	2,720	\$81,063	46	1,919	\$56,339
9TH	3,661	\$6,346,158	1,386	4,466	\$174,336	2,070	103,682	\$4,253,213	931	55,786	\$1,918,609
AK	84	\$180,431	47	138	\$9,418	30	1,469	\$123,342	18	567	\$47,671
AZ	1,003	\$1,879,109	644	1,398	\$54,866	767	33,290	\$1,315,598	259	12,749	\$508,645
CA. N	3	\$558	0	0	\$0	1	18	\$558	0	2	50
CA, E	437	\$503,343	177	1,377	\$15,523	176	8,968	\$338,948	67	7,907	\$148,872
CA,C	1,321	\$1,935,234	154	702	\$51,881	672	39,134	\$1,321,429	397	18,540	\$561,924
CA.S	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	, <u>o</u>	3 3 3 3	\$0	0	1	50
HI	119	\$299,104	49	129	\$7,444	47	3,330	\$239,678	18 17	1,040	\$51,982
ID	129	\$124,625	26 29	51	\$1,878	33 43	1,926	\$71,389	15	1,265	\$51.358 \$21.959
MT	52 238	\$93,278 \$529,683	111	84 314	\$2,986 \$12,711	123	1,968 5,785	\$68,333 \$288,360	59	3,928	\$228,612
₩¥ ØR	256	\$795.670	145	242	\$12,711	175	7,696	\$483.055	79	9,091	\$296.286
HA, E	250	\$175,070	149 Q	242	\$10,329	1/0	(,676	3463,055	6	7,071	\$270,280
HA, E	2	\$2.783	ŏ	ŏ	SO	2	98	\$2,523	1	10	\$260
GUAM	17	\$2,340	ž	31	\$1,300	ō	0	\$2,523 \$0	1 1	26	\$1,040
NMI		\$2,540	Ď	5	\$1,300	ŏ	ŏ	so	ō	õ	\$1.040
	L									¥	30

.

#### TABLE H-7 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION SUMMARY (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

CIRCUIT	PSA	TOTAL	BEF	ORE INITĮ	AL HEARING	AFTE	R INITIA	L HEARING	P0	ST ADJU	DICATION
AND DISTRICT	CASES CLOSED	DETENTION COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST
10TH	1,412	\$1,634,893	556	2,199	\$107,293	64B	36,761	\$1,065,526	226	12,947	<b>\$</b> 462,074
co	90	\$81,027	33 26	80	\$3,753	47	1,116	\$53,817	14	483	\$23,457
KS	140	\$102,542	26	65	\$2,462	40	1,448	\$55,732	20	1,095	\$44,348
NM	552	\$1,052,880	346	1,170	\$80,554	333	25,136	\$708,960	82	5,525	\$263,366
OK,N	170	\$57,038	20 13 42	91	\$2,503	58	1,362	\$34,080	14	874	\$20,455
OK,E	92	\$55,717	13	25	\$664	22	673	\$24,189	14	771	\$30,864
OK,W	173	\$79,105	42	56	\$786	86	3,553	\$40,712	48	2,724	\$37,607
ហា	170	\$191,773	63 13	667	\$15,555	58	3,149	\$136,656	31	1,406	\$39,562
HY	25	\$14,811	13	45	\$1,016	4	324	\$11,380	3	69	\$2,415
11TH	3,197	\$5,445,803	1,436	3,312	\$136,326	1,669	135,464	\$4,276,773	471	29,890	\$1,032,704
AL.N	322	\$127,710	38	85	\$2,117	28	5,463	\$113,003	7	420	\$12,590
AL, H	231	\$42,398	26	43	\$773	43	1.634	\$29,090	11	697	\$12,535
AL.S	141	\$70,974	62	82	\$1,318	76	5,163	\$54,395	11 27	1,167	\$15,261
FL,N	215	\$218,072	60	277	\$8,077	100	6.574	\$181.133	29	1,314	\$28,862
FL.H	701	\$1,334,897	201	437	\$21,670	392	31,398	\$1,086,237	84	7,623	\$226,990
FL, S	1,066	\$2,934,609	810	1,670	\$81,876	754	65,685	\$2,260,623	225	13,336	\$592,110
GA. N	314	\$496,032	162	494	\$16,295	177	12,466	\$403,341	40	2,576	\$76,396
GA, H	75	\$53,087	12	25	\$610	23	1,527	\$40,747	5	392	\$11,730
GA.S	132	\$168,024	65	199	\$3,590	76	5,556	\$108,204	43	2,365	\$56,230

\* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

\*\* EXCLUDES RULE 405 TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

## TABLE H-8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT BAIL VIOLATIONS SUMMARY (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

		DEFEND		DEFEND.		Í		TYPE OF	VIOLATIO	N REPORT					URRED
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES CLOSED	RELEASI ON BAIL TOTAL		WITH B. VIOLAT TOTAL	IONS	VIOL- LATIONS REPORTED	FELONY	MISD Emeanor	FAILURE TO APPEAR	REPOR- Ting	USE OF DRUGS	OTHER	PRE- ADJUDI CATION	PRE- SENT ENCE	PENDING APPEAL SURRENDER
TOTAL	21,952	15,199	69.2	1,296	5.9	1,616	222	135	364	232	457	206	1,108	452	56
1ST	1,409	790	56.1	54	3.8	64	8	3	13	15	16	9	49	15	٥
ME MA NH RI PR	98 322 38 8 943	79 267 25 7 412	80.6 82.9 65.8 87.5 43.7	5 17 1 2 29	5.1 5.3 2.6 25.0 3.1	5 19 3 2 35	1 2 0 5	0 3 0 0 0	2 7 0 2 2	1 6 2 0 6	1 0 0 0 15	0 1 1 0 7	4 14 3 2 26	1 5 0 9	0 0 0 0
2ND	554	415	74.9	40	7.2	49	13	5	16	5	2	8	33	13	3
CT NY,H NY,E HY,S NY,H YT	63 0 8 304 80 99	52 0 234 67 62	82.5 .0 77.0 83.8 62.6	6 0 25 8 1	9.5 .0 8.2 10.0 1.0	8 0 0 32 8 1	1 0 10 2 0	0 0 5 0	2 0 0 10 4 0	000500	2 0 0 0 0	3 0 2 2 1	2 0 0 27 4 0	5 0 5 3 0	1 0 0 1 1
3RD	1,245	894	71.8	97	7.8	113	25	6	15	28	11	28	90	22	1
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M YI	107 3 472 79 228 356	61 0 359 64 176 234	57.0 .0 76.1 81.0 77.2 65.7	3 0 21 2 9 62	2.8 .0 4.4 2.5 3.9 17.4	3 0 27 2 11 70	0 0 5 0 2 18	0 0 2 0 2 2	3 0 7 0 1 4	0 0 10 0 2 16	0 0 0 2 9	0 0 3 2 2 21	2 0 18 1 7 62	1 0 9 1 4 7	0 0 0 0 1

\_\_\_\_

.

.

## TABLE H-8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT BAIL VIOLATIONS SUMMARY (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANFERS RECEIVED)=\* FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

Same and a second second

- je 1.

.

100 AT 1 100

		DEFENDA		DEFENDA				TYPE OF		N REPORT			н	IEN OCO	
AND	PSA CASES CLOSED	RELEASE ON BAIL TOTAL I		NITH BA	IONS	VIOL- LATIONS REPORTED	FELDNY	MISD-	FAILURE TO	REPOR-			PRE- ADJUDI	PRE- SENT	PENDING APPEAL
2			FC1.		PCI.	REPORTED	PELUNT	EMEANOR	APPEAR	TING	DRUGS	OTHER	CATION	ENCE	SURRENDER
4TH	1,788	1,495	83.6	155	8.7	204	30	15	40	22	72	25	128	66	10
MD	598	538	90.0	69	11.5		10	5	18	14	39	9	63	28	4
NC, E	189	165	87.3	20	10.6	27	5	2	8	4	6	2	12	11	4
NC,H NC,H	203 384	161 279	79.3 72.7	22 20	10.8		ļ o	3	7	1	14	1	16 15	10	0
SC	81	59	72.8	7	8.6	<u> </u>	2	ź	1	0	3	õ	15	2 2	6
<b>VA.E</b>	15	7	46.7	ó	.0	ó	ō	ŏ	ó	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ó	õ	ŏ
VA, H	83	75	90.4	9	10.8		6	2	1	0	3	4	12	4	0
HV,N	7	4	57.1	0	.0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WV,S	228	207	90.8	8	3.5	9	2	1	0	0	3	3	5	3	1
5TH	3,317	2,286	68.9	195	5.9	266	42	14	46	32	109	23	180	80	6
LA, E	443	341	77.0	27	6.1	49	4	0	3	5	36	1	30	17	2
LA, M	59	52	88.1	1	1.7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
LA,H MS.N	138	125	90.6	1	.7	1	1	0	0	o o	0	0	1	0	°,
MS,S	72	50 62	83.3 86.1	2	8.3		د ۱	2	1	U 1	ő	0	1	3	0
TX.N	603	395	65.5	57	9.5	75	15	3	10	11	28	8	45	27	3
TX, E	132	110	83.3	16	12.1	30	3	õ	1	3	19		27	2	ĩ
TX, S	817	365	44.7	35	4.3	39	5	3	16	6	5	4	27	12	0
TX, H	993	786	79.2	50	5.0	61	10	5	13	6	21	6	42	19	0
6TH	2,334	1,951	83.6	82	3.5	96	18	14	20	13	20	11	58	27	11
KY,E	135	92	68.1	9	6.7	9	3	2	0	0	2	2	4	4	1
KY,H	177	148	83.6	12	6.8	17	1	4	1	0	11	0	8	6	3
HI,E MI.H	1,117	961	86.0	40	3.6	49	9	6	14	10	5	5	32	10	7
OH.N	202 257	176 222	87.1 86.4	3	1.5	3	1	0	1	2	0	1	3	0	0
0H.S	238	201	84.5	2	.8	2	ŏ	ő	1	ő	ŏ	ĭ	ó	2	ŏ
TN, E	130	98	75.4	9	6.9	9	ī	ĩ	2	ĩ	ž	2	6	3	ŏ
TN,M	61	41	67.2	4	6.6	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	o
TN,H	17	12	70.6	1	5.9	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0

1

.

#### TABLE H-8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT BAIL VIOLATIONS SUMMARY (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

		DEFEND		DEFEND				TYPE OF		IN REPORT				HEN DC	
CIRCUIT	PSA CASES	RELEAS		WITH B	AIL	VIDL-		HISD-	FAILURE	REPOR-	USE		PRE-	PRE-	PENDING
AND DISTRICT	CLOSED	ON BAI	PCT.	VIOLAT TOTAL	PCT.	REPORTED	FELONY		TO APPEAR	TING	DF	OTHER	IDULDA CATION	SENT	APPEAL SURRENDER
										1	- Directo	WITE:			
7TH	1,520	1,226	80.7	90	5.9	132	9	21	30	26	34	12	84	40	8
		·		<u> </u>											
IL,N IL,C	667 36	543 29	81.4 80.6	48	7.2		5	14	25 0	17 0	0 3	4	44	17	4
IL,S	157	139	88.5	3	1.9	3	ŏ	1	1	ŏ	ő	1		1	2
IN.N	233	186	79.8	9	3.9	12	ĩ	1	2	ī	6	ī	8	2	2
IN.S	117	88	75.2	1	. 9	1	ō	0	0	0	0	ī	l ī	0	õ
WI,E	187	175	93.6	21	11.2		2	3	2	6	23	4	22	18	0
HI,H	123	66	53.7	7	5.7	8	1	2	0	2	2	1	6	2	Ô
8TH	1,515	1,175	77.6	123	8.1	165	14	17	15	12	82	25	100	62	3
AR, E	150	129	86.0	6	4.0	6	1	0	1	0	4	0	5	1	0
AR, H	34	31	91.2	0	.0	0	ō	Ó	ö	ō	ó	Ō	Ō	ā	ŏ
IA, N	08	70	87.5	1	1.3	1	0	0	1	0	ø	0	0	1	0
IA, S	84	68	81.0	15	17.9	25	4	1	4	5	9	2	20	5	0
HR	339 89	262	77.3	16	4.7	21 7	<u></u>	2	2	1	12	0	8	13	0
MD,E MD,N	361	66 239	74.2	37	10.2	57	1	1	3	1	47	2	2 26	5 31	0
NE	130	119	91.5		3.1	5	1	ô	1	1	6	2	3	1	1
ND	143	109	76.2	20	14.0	21	ī	6	ĩ	3	7	3	19	2	ō
SD	105	82	78.1	20	19.0		ī	7	2	ō	2	10	17	3	2
9TH	3,661	2,032	55.5	224	6.1	259	19	17	90	32	62	39	189	66	4
AK	84	60	71.4	7	8.3	11	0	3	3	0	3	2	8	3	0
AZ	1.003	505	50.3	80	8.0	82	ě	3	56	8	ž	6	74	8	ŏ
CA-N	3	0	.0	0	.0	ō	Ō	Ó	Ó	ō	Ō	Q.	0	ō	Ō
C',E	437	175	40.0	21	4.8	31	2	4	4	2	11	8	19	10	2
,c	1,321	723	54.7	39	3.0	43	2	0	9	6	17	9	24	19	o
.,S	0 119	0 79	66.4	0 10	8.4	17	0	0	, o	,	ò	0	.0	0	o
лі ID	129	111	86.0	10	3.1	5	2	4	*	1	4	1	13 5	3	1
MT	52	28	53.8	1	7.1	2	č	3	1	1	1	1	2	ŏ	0
NV	238	172	72.3	32	13.4	38	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	ž	ź	6	13	6	22	15	1
OR	256	161	62.9	27	10.5	28	ò	ō	6	7	- 9	6	20	8	ō
MA, E	0	0		0	-	0	Ō	Ō	à	ò	Ö	Ō	0	ō	D
HA, H	2	1	50.0	O O	.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GUAM	17	17	100.0	0	.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NM1	<u> </u>			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### TABLE H-8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS\* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT BAIL YIDLATIONS SUMMARY (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANFERS RECEIVED)\*\* FOR THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1988

		DEFEND		DEFEND				TYPE OF						HEN DC	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES CLOSED	RELEAS ON BAI Total		HITH B VIOLAT TOTAL	IONS	VIOL- LATIONS REPORTED	FELONY	MISD-	FAILURE TO APPEAR	REPOR- Ting	USE OF DRUGS	OTHER	PRE- ADJUDI CATION	PRE- SENT ENCE	PENDING APPEAL SURRENDEF
10TH	1,412	972	68.8	67	4.7	76	13	8	18	8	25	4	55	20	1
со кs	90 140	62 114	68.9 81.4	4 8	4.4 5.7	6 9	2 0	0 2	0 3	Ċ	4	0 1	65	0 4	0
NM DK,N DK,E	552 170 92	333 132 75	60.3 77.6 81.5	32	5.8 4.7 4.3	34 11	10	5	11	4	28	2	21 11	12	1
OK,H	173 170	109 127	63.0 74.7	47	2.3	57	ő	ů o	Ô	1 3	32	1	35	2 2	00
HY	25 3,197	20 1,963	80.0 61.4	0 169	.0 5.3	0 192	0 31	0 15	0 61	0 39	0 24	0 22	0 142	0 41	0 9
AL, N	322	262	81.4	12	3.7	15	2		2	4	1	2	13	1	1
AL,H AL,S FL,N	231 141 215	212 99 135	91.8 70.2 62.8	11 11	1.7 7.8 5.1	16 12	3 0 2	0	5	15	9 1	1.3	10 5	1 6 5	0
FL,M FL,S	701 1,066	408 505	58.2 47.4	26 88	3.7 8.3	32 94	7	27	10 43	6 21	4 5	37	21 79	7	4
GA, N GA, M GA, S	314 75 132	195 56 91	62.1 74.7 68.9	3 10	1.3 4.0 7.6	5 3 11	1	1	0 0 1	0	0 3	2 1 3	25	1 5	0

\* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

\*\* EXCLUDES RULE 40S TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

i.

	FUR INC	TACLYC HUNTH	TERSOD ENDE	D DONC 30, 1		
DISTRICT	TOTAL Serving In The Period	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS In Session	HDURS In Session	AVERAGE NUMBER JURORS PER SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER HOURS PER SESSION
TOTAL	764	10,817	211,610	58,109	19.6	5.4
DC	7	415	7,971	1,903	19.2	4.6
1ST						
ME MA NH RI PR	3 20 4 5 3	43 393 30 53 67	859 7,675 591 983 1,298	298 1,801 105 306 402	20.0 19.5 19.7 18.5 19.4	6.9 4.6 3.5 5.8 6.0
2ND						
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,M YT	11 9 47 50 9 6	126 114 631 929 173 66	2,355 2,205 11,894 17,981 3,395 1,271	644 562 2,988 3,772 923 257	18.7 19.3 18.8 19.4 19.6 19.3	5.1 4.9 4.7 4.1 5.3 3.9
3RD						ļ
DE PA,E PA.H PA.H VI *	3 17 22 9 12 	25 263 332 82 139	488 5,044 6,163 1,626 2,781	193 1,255 1,655 484 842 -	19.5 19.2 18.6 19.8 20.0	7.7 4.8 5.0 5.9 6.1
4TH	21	267	5,185	1,223 381	19.4 19.9	4.6
HC, E HC, M SC YA, E HY, N HY, S	21 ? 2 7 4 25 7 3 7	62 24 83 52 179 50 42 95	1,233 494 1,650 1,051 3,492 1,016 821 1,954	381 145 640 339 1,103 262 311 651	20.6 19.9 20.2 19.5 20.3 19.5 20.6	6.0 7.7 6.5 6.2 5.2 7.4 6.9
5TH						
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N, TX, E TX, S TX, H	10 5 3 3 17 5 14 23	110 45 83 28 49 119 59 173 153	2,153 856 1,621 529 957 2,355 1,179 3,431 2,986	630 255 480 187 278 719 343 894 783	19.6 19.0 19.5 18.9 19.5 19.8 20.0 19.8 19.8	5.7 5.8 6.7 5.0 5.8 5.7 6.0 5.8 5.2 5.1
6TH		<u></u>				
KY, E KY, H HI, E DH, N DH, S TN, E TN, H	7 2 19 5 14 5 6 4 7	40 62 302 87 175 86 57 34 69	799 1,299 5,968 1,696 3,434 1,723 1,098 696 1,368	202 386 1,634 505 1,078 461 408 222 386	20.0 21.0 19.8 19.5 19.6 20.0 19.3 20.5 19.8	5.1 5.2 5.4 5.8 6.2 5.4 7.2 6.5 5.6

# TABLE J-1 U.S. DISTRICT CDJRTS GRAND JURDR SERVICE FDR THE THELVE MONTH PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	FOR THE	THELVE MONTH	PERIOD ENDED	JUNE 30, 1	988	
DISTRICT	TDTAL Serving In The Period	SESSIONS Convened	JURDRS In Session	HOURS In Session	AVERAGE NUMBER JURORS PER SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER Hours Per Session
7TH IL,R IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,E	13 3 4 6 7 3 2	347 34 52 96 86 56 20	7,028 690 1,080 1,799 1,709 1,179 397	1,950 196 289 531 639 295 96	20.3 20.3 20.8 20.7 19.9 21.1 19.9	5.6 5.8 5.5 7.4 5.3 4.8
8TH AR,E AR,H IA,S MD,E MD,H NE SD	324255 8124	29 16 36 26 71 88 76 53 19 42	623 317 692 509 1,465 1,666 1,559 982 387 821	217 101 243 159 382 483 519 337 99 310	21.5 19.8 19.2 19.6 20.6 18.9 20.5 18.5 20.4 19.5	7.5 6.3 6.4 5.5 6.4 5.2 7
9TH AK CA, X CA, E CA, C CA, C CA, S HI ID HI NV UD UD HA, E HA, H GUAH NHI *	2 6 17 9 32 10 5 2 3 8 8 8 2 4 1	23 86 259 84 392 250 63 45 19 129 87 36 91 26	440 1,649 4,869 1,603 7,582 4,951 1,225 954 366 2,428 1,751 1,920 493	150 533 1,342 517 2,478 1,243 332 299 110 674 511 253 703 129	19.1 19.2 18.8 19.1 19.3 19.4 21.2 19.3 18.8 20.1 19.3 21.1 19.3 21.1	6.5 6.2 6.3 5.0 5.3 6.6 5.8 5.9 7.7 7.7
10TH CQ NH NH OK,N OK,N OK,N UT NY	2 8 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 2 2 2 3	67 60 29 24 13 65 52 19	1,325 1,225 631 505 296 1,247 967 359	466 351 197 92 437 288 147	19.8 20.4 21.8 21.0 22.8 19.2 18.6 18.9	7.0 5.9 6.8 8.0 7.1 6.7 5.5 7.7
11TH AL,N AL,S FL,N FL,M GA,N GA,M GA,S	4 5 7 12 35 11 5 3	64 31 40 237 652 137 34 30	1,298 648 805 1,250 4,666 12,687 2,734 669 653	401 190 286 401 1,407 2,555 890 201 192	20.3 20.9 20.1 20.8 19.7 19.5 20.0 19.7 21.8	6.3 6.1 7.2 6.7 5.9 3.9 6.5 5.9 6.4

## TABLE J-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Grand Juror Service For the Thelye Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

\* THE DISTRICTS OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS AND THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS REPORTED NO GRAND JURIES IN EXISTENCE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIDD

-----

	FOR		URORS PRESENT ON OR ORIENTA	TION	TOTAL	NUMBER OF TRIALS STARTING ON THE SAME DAY THE JURY WAS SELECTED			
DISTRICT	TOTAL	PERCENT	PERCENT CHALLENGED	PERCENT NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	JURIES SELECTED (CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)	TOTAL	PERCENT	PERCENT CRIMINAL	
TOTAL	362,304	29.9	36.4	33.7	11,078	8,509	58.9	41.1	
DC	8,824	23.9	29.8	46.4	196	194	57.2	42.8	
1ST									
ME MA NH RI PR	1,181 8,229 1,864 1,576 4,070	39.5 25.3 35.5 52.6 27.9	42.5 29.6 42.8 25.8 39.4	18.0 45.2 21.7 21.6 32.7	50 236 77 96 101	19 235 44 2 100	36.8 77.9 77.3 .0 39.0	63.2 22.1 22.7 100.0 61.0	
2ND							<u> </u>		
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,M VT	3,077 3,099 12,048 20,285 2,095 787	33.2 22.7 25.6 18.9 34.2 41.7	44.8 18.8 38.7 34.9 33.7 29.9	22.0 58.5 35.7 46.3 32.0 28.5	107 78 272 379 64 43	9 71 77 379 21 18	55.6 80.3 36.4 62.5 47.6 61.1	44.4 19.7 63.6 37.5 52.4 38.9	
3RD									
DE HJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	1,355 9,563 19,464 2,753 3,882 3,173	32.0 22.6 22.6 52.7 29.1 45.8	37.7 42.3 42.4 34.0 42.3 34.2	30.3 35.1 35.0 13.3 28.6 19.9	39 205 476 158 115 128	39 164 476 37 90 9	46.2 65.2 81.9 64.9 62.2 77.8	53.8 34.8 18.1 35.1 37.8 22.2	

## TABLE J-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Petit Jurgr Service on Days Jurgrs Here Selected for Trial During the Twelve Month Peridd Ended June 30, 1988\*

Standard and the state of the state of the second state of the sec

		00	KING IME IMEL	VE MUNIM PERIOD B	NUED JUNE 30,	1988=		
<u> </u>	FO	NUMBER OF J R JURY SELECTI	URORS PRESENT ON OR ORIENTA	TION	TOTAL			RTING ON THE AS SELECTED
DISTRICT	TOTAL	PERCENT SELECTED	PERCENT CHALLENGED	PERCENT NOT SELECTED SERVING DR CHALLENGED	JURIES SELECTED (CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)	TOTAL	PERCENT CIVIL	PERCENT CRIMINAL
4TH								
MD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,E HV,N HV,S	7,080 1,909 1,442 1,647 3,377 6,221 1,856 958 1,331	23.9 52.4 42.9 55.6 62.3 32.0 34.0 39.0 39.0	38.9 35.9 23.1 28.8 30.7 42.9 44.9 48.8	37.2 9.0 21.2 21.3 8.8 37.3 23.1 16.1 12.2	164 79 57 96 339 226 68 36 61	154 42 40 73 11 220 65 29 52	64.3 45.2 30.0 58.9 63.6 57.3 66.2 37.9 73.1	35.7 54.8 70.0 41.1 36.4 42.7 33.8 62.1 26.9
5TH LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, S TX, H	4,282 521 2,490 1,578 3,913 6,182 4,047 10,948 5,199	46.1 37.4 34.1 37.1 31.7 26.5 38.3 29.9 30.1	41.9 46.3 39.9 36.8 34.8 31.3 36.7 33.0 31.7	12.0 16.3 26.0 26.1 33.5 42.2 25.0 37.1 38.1	260 25 100 63 136 150 225 322 159	234 25 84 49 88 132 26 168 105	81.6 84.0 82.1 69.4 75.0 56.8 53.8 51.8 46.7	18.4 16.0 17.9 30.6 25.0 43.2 46.2 48.2 53.3
6TH KY,E MI,E DH,N DH,S TN,E TN,H TN,H	2,915 2,761 11,451 4,557 3,824 2,748 1,824 1,843	32.3 27.2 24.0 29.7 26.6 27.6 38.9 35.2 40.4	44.0 36.6 39.4 30.3 24.5 31.2 27.3 30.3 35.3	23.7 36.1 46.6 39.9 48.9 41.2 33.9 34.5 24.3	93 77 294 40 135 111 133 72 66	71 74 294 31 105 87 133 72 63	57.7 59.5 76.2 54.8 73.3 56.3 79.7 75.0 31.7	42.3 40.5 23.8 45.2 26.7 43.7 20.3 25.0 68.3

۴

## TABLE J-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Petit Juror Service on Days Jurors Here Selected for trial During the twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988\*

		pu	RING THE THELY	E MONTH PERIOD	ENDED JUNE 30,	1988=		
	FOR		URORS PRESENT DN OR GRIENTAT	10N				RTING ON THE
DISTRICT	TOTAL	PERCENT SELECTED	PERCENT CHALLENGED	PERCENT NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TDTAL JURIES SELECTED (CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)	TOTAL	PERCENT CIVIL	PERCENT CRIMINAL
7TH								
IL.N IL.C IN.N IN.S HI.E HI.H	9,910 1,629 1,938 2,753 1,167 2,207 1,368	25.5 37.8 34.3 30.1 31.7 32.6 44.0	35.0 35.4 37.9 34.8 41.3 47.4 45.9	39.5 26.9 27.8 35.1 27.0 20.0 10.1	244 65 75 78 38 75 69	244 47 50 78 38 73 44	54.9 59.6 70.0 52.6 60.5 63.0 65.9	45.1 40.4 30.0 47.4 39.5 37.0 34.1
8TH							1	
AR, E AR, H IA, N MN, S MD, E NG, M ND SD	3,337 2,315 788 1,400 4,210 6,003 3,505 1,915 814 1,945	29.8 30.0 24.4 35.1 26.3 28.9 30.7 29.7 19.7 36.5	42.9 34.2 32.2 35.0 41.6 44.7 32.4 29.4 43.5	27.3 35.8 43.4 38.6 29.5 24.6 37.9 51.0 20.1	96 63 17 47 108 203 116 59 16 64	89 60 15 40 107 203 104 44 16 52	73.0 83.3 40.0 55.0 52.3 72.9 56.7 65.9 43.8 44.2	27.0 16.7 60.0 47.7 27.1 43.3 34.1 56.3 55.8
9TH AK CA,N CA,E CA,E CA,S HI MT MT MT MT MA,E HA,M GUAM NHI	1,466 5,236 5,038 2,434 11,292 3,963 1,964 908 1,628 2,968 1,048 2,509 218 202	33.1 27.6 26.2 28.8 27.6 30.0 36.6 36.0 26.4 32.7 25.9 26.0 28.4 16.1 12.9	29.7 44.3 43.8 35.6 44.7 35.1 54.3 46.8 36.2 39.5 30.3 38.2 36.7 36.7 30.7	37.2 28.1 30.0 35.5 27.7 34.9 9.1 17.2 37.3 27.8 43.8 35.8 34.9 28.4 56.4	38 122 121 61 281 99 58 34 40 66 90 25 72 3 1	38 120 120 57 281 99 2 34 40 66 90 21 71 3 1	18.4 16.7 45.0 40.4 47.7 50.0 52.9 47.5 24.2 72.2 72.2 73.3 46.5 33.3	81.6 83.3 55.0 52.6 52.3 76.8 50.0 47.1 52.5 75.8 27.8 66.7 53.5 66.7 53.5 60.0

TABLE J-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Petit Jurge Service of Days Jurges were selected for trial During the Thelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988\*

	FOR	NUMBER OF J JURY SELECTI	URDRS PRESENT	TION		NUMBER OF TRIALS STARTING ON THE SAME DAY THE JURY HAS SELECTED		
DISTRICT	TOTAL SELECTED CHALLENGED CHALLENGED		NOT SELECTED Serving or	TOTAL JURIES SELECTED (CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)	TOTAL	PERCENT	PERCENT CRIMINAL	
10TH								<u></u>
со Ks	2,525 2,620	28.6 34.5	35.8 31.6	35.7 33.9	81 96	80 87	61.3 74.7	38.8 25.3
NM	2,418	41.3	39.1	19.6	93	52	46.2	53.8
OK,N OK,E	1,289 712	37.6 62.2	43.6 31.2	18.8	49 58	35 22	48.6 59.1	51.4 40.9
OK,H	3,916	35.6	31.1	33.3	171	154	81.2	18.8
υττυ	2,109	28.7	36.8	34.4	49	49	51.0	49.0
MY	563	43.0	40.9	16.2	33	32	90.6	9.4
11TH								
AL, N	2,865	41.5	48.1	10.1	137	134	79.1	20.9
AL, M	1,952	54.9	32.8	12.2	115	38	55.3	44.7
AL, S	1,451	59.8	39.6	.6	89	11	54.5	45.5
FL,N	3,105	41.9	37.7	20.4	110	39	28.2	71.8
FL,M FL,S	8,035 22,725	25.1 25.5	28.7 34.4	46.2 40.1	185 471	177 466	44.6 19.3	55.4 80.7
GA, M	6,146	33.5	40.9	25.6	222	194	61.3	38.7
GA, M	1,470	46.4	34.6	19.0	55	44	77.3	22.7
GA, S	2,257	40.6	40.9	18.5	113	37	54.1	45.9

#### TABLE J-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Petit Jurge Service on Days Jurges Mere Selected for trial During the Thelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988\*

\* THIS TABLE INCLUDES DATA ON JURY SELECTION DAYS ONLY. DATA ON JUROR SERVICE AFTER THE SELECTION Day are not included. Therefore, a district that selects a jury on one day and begins the trial at a later date will show jurdr activity but will not show trial activity.

.

NOTE: DUE TO ROUNDING PERCENTS MAY NOT ADD TO 100.0 PERCENT.

411

## TABLE K-1 Federal Defender Organizations Summary of Representations by District During the Thelye Month Period Eroed June 30, 1988

.

	1		CASE	S CLI	JSED	1	
REPRESENTATIONS BY DISTRICT	PENDING JULY 1, 1987	CASES DPENED	NUMBER	HOURS T	IN COURTI AVERAGE PER CASE	PENDING JUNE 30 1988	
TOTAL	9,757	36,264	34,769	63,660	1.8	11,252	
CRIMINAL	5,769	23,354	22,188	55,035	2.5	6,935	
APPEALS	919	1,169	933	557	0.6	1,155	
OTHER2	3,069	11,741	11,648	8,068	0.7	3,162	
AZ	196	1,074	1,011	2,168	2.1	259	
CRIMINAL	118	823	769	1,911	2.5	172	
APPEALS	21	32	26	23	0.9	27	
OTHER	57	219	216	235	1.1	60	
CA,N	281	953	915	2,361	2.6	319	
CRIMINAL	195	719	682	2,078	3.0	232	
Appeals	22	19	19	28	1.5	22	
Other	64	215	214	255	1.2	65	
CA,E	237	1,713	1,632	2,403	1.5	318	
CRIMINAL	152	1,186	1,097	1,904	1.7	241	
APPEALS	17	24	25	17	0.7	16	
DTHER	68	503	510	483	0.9	61	
CA, C	604	1,779	1,681	4,663	2,8	702	
CRIMINAL	303	994	878	3,819	4,3	419	
Appeals	76	58	53	24	0,5	81	
Sther	225	727	750	820	1,1	202	
CA, S*	447	7,396	7,113	5,542	0.8	730	
CRIMINAL	317	5,518	5,341	4,535	0.8	494	
APPEALS	28	107	67	62	0.9	68	
OTHER	102	1,771	1,705	945	0.6	168	
CD.	153	491	501	925	1.8	143	
CRIMINAL	81	305	315	866	2.7	71	
APPEALS	17	41	18	5	0.3	40	
STHER	55	145	168	54	0.3	32	
CT.	110	229	248	530	2.1	91	
CRIMINAL	61	108	117	412	3.5	52	
APPEALS	3	9	7	1	0.1	5	
DTHER	46	112	124	117	0.9	34	
FL,N.	70	316	308	707	2.3	78	
CRIMINAL	47	229	231	638	2.8	45	
APPEALS	7	22	13	4	0.3	16	
OTHER	16	65	64	66	1.0	17	
FL,M	216	734	700	1,807	2.6	250	
GRIMINAL	119	493	470	1,622	3.5	142	
APPEALS	22	37	22	6	0.3	37	
OTHER	75	204	208	179	0.9	71	
FL,S	1,686	1,659	1,299	4,114	3.2	2,046	
CRIMINAL	788	1,092	845	3,536	4.2	1,035	
APPEALS	181	106	87	15	0.2	200	
DTHER	717	461	367	563	1.5	811	
GA,N*	212	758	761	1,679	2.2	209	
CRIMINAL	138	355	359	1,400	3.9	134	
APPEALS	29	27	31	6	0.2	24	
DTHER	45	376	370	273	0.7	51	

# TABLE K-1 FEDERAL DEFENDER DRGANIZATIONS Summary of Representations by District During the thelye Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

DUR	ING THE THELV	E MUNTH PERI	OD ENDED JU	NE 30, 198	8	
			CASE	S CLO	SED	
REPRESENTATIONS BY DISTRICT	PENDING JULY 1, 1987	CASES OPENED	NUMBER	HOURS I Total	N COURT1 AVERAGE PER CASE	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988
HI	120	468	434	985	2.3	154
CRIMINAL	80	366	355	823	2.3	91
APPEALS	23	17	16	78	4.8	24
DTHER	17	85	63	84	1.3	39
IL.N*	298	659	637	1,739	2.7	320
Criminal	182	409	382	1,542	4.0	209
Appeals	7	5	7	7	1.0	5
Other	109	245	248	189	0.8	106
TOT:IL-C,S,MO-E	95	473	432	780	1.8	136
Criminal	55	312	284	725	2.6	83
Appeals	25	29	26	12	0.4	28
Other	15	132	122	44	0.4	25
IL,C CRIMINAL APPEALS DTHER	2 1 - 1		2 1 - 1			-
IL,S	37	192	191	316	1.7	38
CRIMINAL	27	142	146	290	2.0	23
APPEALS	6	6	7	2	0.2	5
OTHER	4	44	38	24	0.6	10
MO,E	56	281	239	465	1.9	98
Criminal	27	170	137	435	3.2	60
Appeals	19	23	19	10	0.5	23
Other	10	88	83	20	0.2	15
KS	75	398	371	696	1.9	102
CRIMINAL	46	227	211	612	2.9	62
APPEALS	7	20	10	12	1.2	17
OTHER	22	151	150	73	0.5	23
LA,E	163	400	419	845	2.0	144
CRIMINAL	99	246	258	708	2.7	87
APPEALS	9	21	20	4	0.2	10
OTHER	55	133	141	134	1.0	47
MD	337	876	898	1,694	1.9	315
CRIMINAL	226	612	629	1,463	2.3	209
APPEALS	18	31	23	15	0.6	26
DTHER	93	233	246	217	0.9	80
MA.	94	174	151	435	2.9	117
CRIMINAL	52	107	97	376	3.9	62
APPEALS	10	11	9	3	0.3	12
OTHER	32	56	45	57	1.3	43
MI,E*	321	715	848	1,492	1.8	188
Criminal	228	559	630	1,321	2.1	157
Appeals	12	10	17	6	0.4	5
Other	81	146	201	165	0.8	26
MN	79	304	266	817	3.1	117
CRIMINAL	39	136	121	741	6.1	54
APPEALS	21	19	17	7	0.4	23
OTHER	19	149	128	69	0.5	40
MO,W3	187	2,027	2,068	733	0.4	145
CRIMINAL	66	250	236	619	2.6	80
APPEALS	14	8	17	12	0.7	5
OTHER	107	1,769	1,815	103	0.1	61

## TABLE K-1 FEDERAL DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS Summary of Representations by District During the twelve month seriod ended june 30, 1988

	I THE THEETE	HUNTIN PER	LUD ENDED JUN	- 30, 170		
	1		CASE	S CLC	SED	
REPRESENTATIONS BY DISTRICT	PENDING JULY 1, 1987	CASES OPENED	NUMBER	HOURS I	N COURT1 AVERAGE PER CASE	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988
NY	241	860	919	1,832	2.0	182
CRIMINAL	192	449	497	1,437	2.9	144
APPEALS	19	10	17	15	0.9	12
OTHER	30	401	405	380	0.9	26
NJ	271	924	898	2,643	2.9	297
CRIMINAL	207	739	706	2,390	3.3	240
APPEALS	17	28	29	11	0.3	16
OTHER	47	157	163	243	1.4	41
NH	165	419	351	541	1.5	233
CRIMINAL	62	237	196	500	2.6	103
APPEALS	29	56	31	18	0.6	54
DTHER	74	126	124	23	0.2	76
TOT: NEW YORK*	716	2,172	2,018	7,634	3.8	870
Criminal	547	1,367	1,256	6,786	5.4	658
Appeals	32	80	71	43	0.6	41
Other	137	725	691	806	1.2	171
NY,E CRIMINAL APPEALS DTHER	255 222 33	845 659 186	800 634 166	3,832 3,525 307	4.8 5.6 1.8	300 247 53
2ND CIR CRIMINAL APPEALS DTHER	41 	120 80 40	107 71 36	65 43 22	0.6	54 41 13
NY, S CRIMINAL APPEALS OTHER	420 325 95	1,207 708	1,111 622 489	3,737 3,261 477	3.4 5.2 1.0	516 411 105
NC, E	101	350	301	660	2.2	150
CRIMINAL	61	203	187	553	3.0	77
APPEALS	9	28	9	11	1.2	28
OTHER	31	119	105	97	0.9	45
OH,N	105	266	257	473	1.8	114
Criminal	53	204	183	427	2.3	73
Appeals	9	8	10	22	2.2	7
Other	44	54	64	25	0.4	34
TØT: DKLAHDMA	106	448	414	897	2.2	140
Criminal	70	278	251	756	3.0	97
Appéals	23	35	29	15	0.5	29
Other	13	135	134	127	0.9	14
OK, N	31	132	136	358	2.6	27
CRIMINAL	21	85	92	298	3.2	14
APPEALS	4	7	4	3	0.6	7
DTHER	6	40	40	58	1.4	6
QK,E CRIMINAL APPEALS QTHER	13 8 3 2	65 35 4 26	62 34 2 26	196 156 40	3.2 4.6 1.5	16 9 5 2
OK, H	62	251	216	343	1.6	97
CRIMINAL	41	158	125	302	2.4	74
APPEALS	16	24	23	12	0.5	17
UTHER	5	69	68	29	0.4	6

## TABLE K-1 FEDERAL DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS Summary of Representations by District During the twelve month period ended June 30, 1988

·····	The There		T	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
REPRESENTATIONS BY DISTRICT	PENDING JULY 1, 1987	CASES OPENED	CASE NUMBER	1	S E D N COURT1 AVERAGE PER CASE	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988
OR	253	560	531	768	1.4	282
CRIMINAL	86	259	245	673	2.7	100
APPEALS	67	37	28	20	0.7	76
OTHER	100	264	258	75	0.3	106
PA,E*	370	527	535	1,074	2.0	362
Criminal	179	293	301	832	2.8	171
Appeals	22	28	26	8	0.3	24
Other	169	206	208	234	1.1	167
TOT:PA-M & H	215	501	498	989	2.4	218
Criminal	96	259	266	826	3.1	89
Appeals	43	52	50	14	0.3	45
Other	76	190	182	149	0.8	84
PA, M. <sup>4</sup> Criminal Appeals Other	51 21 9 21	112 66 10 36	117 67 7 43	247 227 20	2.1 3.4 0.5	46 20 12 14
PA,M⁵	46	146	148	210	1.4	44
Criminal	15	69	70	147	2.1	14
Appeals	5	8	10	5	0.5	3
DTHER	26	69	68	59	0.9	27
PA,H	118	243	233	532	2.3	128
Criminal	60	124	129	452	3.5	55
Appeals	29	34	33	10	0.3	30
Other	29	85	71	71	1.0	43
PR CRIMINAL APPEALS OTHER	111 85 26	742 582 2 158	791 633 2 156	1,794 1,683 4 107	2.3 2.7 2.0 0.7	62 34 - 28
SC CRIMINAL APPEALS OTHER	87 69 2 16	356 280 5 71	335 269 4 62	497 470 	1.5 1.7 0.4	108 80 3 25
TN,M	102	324	299	396	1.3	127
CRIMINAL	53	241	202	320	1.6	92
APPEALS	9	12	11	2	0.1	10
DTHER	40	71	86	75	0.9	25
TN, H CRIMINAL APPEALS OTHER	41 29 4 8	259 194 5 60	218 147 8 63	516 459 52	2.4 3.1 0.6 0.8	82 76 1 5
TX,S	265	1,589	1,529	2,330	1.5	325
CRIMINAL	186	1,060	1,010	2,108	2.1	236
APPEALS	10	34	20	2	0.1	24
OTHER	69	495	499	220	0.4	65
TX,H	296	982	870	937	1.1	408
CRIMINAL	205	715	626	834	1.3	294
APPEALS	28	31	16	8	0.5	43
UTHER	63	236	228	95	0.4	71
YI CRIMINAL APPEALS OTHER	94 84 5 5	403 326 21 56	384 326 7 51	988 962 26	2.6 3.0 0.5	113 84 19 10

TABLE K-1
FEDERAL DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS
SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONS BY DISTRICT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

			CASE			
REPRESENTATIONS BY DISTRICT	PENDING JULY 1, 1987	CASES DPENED	NUMBER	HOURS TOTAL	IN COURT1 AVERAGE PER CASE	PENDING JUNE 30, 1988
TOT;ALASKA,HA-H	191	775	727	1,367	1.9	239
Criminal	91	518	489	1,209	2.5	120
Appeals	21	34	27	11	0.4	28
Other	79	223	211	148	0.7	91
AK	43	187	179	504	2.8	51
CRIMINAL	19	123	118	453	3.8	24
- APPEALS	8	17	10	6	0.6	15
OTHER	16	47	51	46	0.9	12
HA,H	148	588	548	863	1.6	188
CRIMINAL	72	395	371	756	2.0	96
Appeals	13	17	17	6	0.3	13
Other	63	176	160	102	0.6	79
WY,S,	46	211	201	213	1.1	56
CRIMINAL	23	104	91	167	1.8	36
APPEALS	1	10	7	7	1.0	4
DTHER	22	97	103	39	0.4	16

1 Details may not add to total due to rounding to whole hours.

2 Other representations include court directed prisoner representations, bail/presentment,witness, probation revocation, and parole revocation representations.

3 Total includes 1,443 court directed prisoner representations of inmates of the U.S. Medical Center for Federal Prisoners at Springfield, Missouri.

4 The reporting totals were received from the defender office in Harrisburg.

5 The reporting totals were received from the defender office in Scranton.

Community Defender Organizations.

TABLE M-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MISDEMEANOR CASES:
DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE
DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988
(MISDEMEANORS OTHER THAN PETTY OFFENSES)

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	THEFT	F80D/ DRUG	HEAPONS	TRESPASS	MAIL	ASSAULT	FRAUD	OTHER
TOTAL	13,418	7,141	1,540	2,004	53	30	84	118	1,352	1,096
DC	1.29	1	29	5	-	1	-	1	18	74
1ST	63	35	15	5		-		1	1	6
NE MA NH	38 18	35 - -	1 12	4	-	=	-	1	=	21
RI PR	2 5	-	2 -	1	=	-	Ξ	-	ī	3
2ND	369	28	63	86	1	1	18	-	115	57
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,M YT	3 57 111 110 75 13	23 - 4 1 -	1 6 26 8 21 1	- 1 21 59 4 1		1 - -	1 3 8 4 2 -		1 10 44 30 29 1	- 13 12 4 18 10
3RD	281	10	51	47	-	3	3	4	115	48
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M	17 231 3 26 4	- 9 - 1 -	10 39 - 2 -	40 			3	3 1 - -	102 2 11	- 34 1 9 4
VI	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-

			MISULMEA	nona om	EN INANT	ETTTOFFEN	5657			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	THEFT	FOOD/ DRUG	WEAPOHS	TRESPASS	MAIL	ASSAULT	FRAUD	OTHER
4TH	3,526	2,149	330	544	32	11	6	41	78	335
MD NC,E NC,H SC, VA,E VA,H.	342 962 8 42 85 2,083	184 751 17 2 1,195	37 31 2 5 253 1	91 54 - 8 388 -	3 2 - - 27 -		3	1 - - 2 1 31 -	15 3 2 3 25 29	8 106 5 10 47 157
HV, N HV, S	2	-	=	-	-	-	-	=	1 -	1
5TH	414	15	98	171	1	3	2	2	76	46
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, H	- 18 1 3 23 11 173 185		- 10 - 1 8 1 14 64	- - - 6 1 95 63	- - - 1				- - 2 - 1 2 5 30 36	- - 1 5 4 22 13
£TH	588	98	303	64	1	3	3	14	61	55
КҮ, Е КҮ, М МІ, М DH, N DH, S TN, E TN, M TN, M	1 306 42 20 26 47 9 132 5	11 1 10 76	- 233 17 2 7 20 1 22 1	28 	1	1 1 - 1 -	- 2 2 1 1 3	191-1111	- 2 13 9 12 3 16 3	- 19 7 5 1 4 11 1

# TABLE M-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MISDEMEANOR CASES: DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (MISDEMEANORS OTHER THAN PETTY OFFENSES)

# TABLE M-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MISDEMEANOR CASES: DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (MISDEMEANORS OTHER THAN PETTY OFFENSES)

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	THEFT	FOCD/ DRUG	WEAPONS	TRESPASS	MAIL	ASSAULT	FRAUD	OTHER
	351	209	30	30	1	-	2	9	39	31
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,H IN,S MI,E MI,H	40 23 30 2 244 7 5 325	- 14 5 - 186 1 3	2 20 6 2 -	2 1 - 27 - 29	1		- 2 		28 3 1 1 2 1 48	8 3 1 16 2 1 33
8TH AR,E IA,N IA,S HD,E MD,E ND, SD	12 27 4 7 15 32 214 2 8 4	14 1 1 180 	1 1 1 - 3 3 1 -	1 6 1 - 4 7 9 - 1 -					4 6 7 4 8 12 12 2 7 646	6 - 1 - - - 5 4 254
9TH AX CA,N CA,E CA,E CA,S HI ID MV DR MY UR GJAH MHI	4,406 117 229 336 140 65 941 1,446 1,446 4 25 4 22 15 26 1,020 -	2,144 22 56 12 2 1,334 14 1 4 1 -	375 36 12 59 33 22 22 57 - 1 4 4 125 -	933 26 31 22 15 10 628 54 - 6 7 134 -	• 1 22 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2	25 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		5 168 155 44 13 210 3 4 - 9 9 - 26	253 26 10 32 31 10 6 3 - 1 15 30 -

TABLE M-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MISDEMEANOR CASES:
DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE
DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988
(MISDEMEANORS OTHER THAN PETTY OFFENSES)

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	THEFT	F000/ DRUG	HEAPONS	TRESPASS	MAIL	ASSAULT	FRAUD	OTHER
20TH	638	277	108	50	3	5	10	14	83	88
CD KS DK.N DK.N DK.N DK.N UK.N UT WY	176 122 30 20 5 242 40 3	82 69 	17 22 4 5 2 44 12 2	12 9 4 1 15 5	1	221	3 1 - - 1 4 -	8 3 	29 3 7 11 2 26 4 1	22 13 13 2 1 31 6
11TH	2,328	1,979	127	60	*	-	4	13	72	69
AL, N AL, N FL, N FL, M FL, M GA, N GA, N GA, S	80 115 10 33 52 25 156 1,744 113	67 78 3 2 70 1,667 92	7 21 11 11 8 1 23 55 -	5 9 2 2 16 15 2 7			- - - 2 1	111232411	- 1 3 12 9 6 29 1 11	1 5 4 3 14 - 26 15 1

net de verse lenter a lander e anteres en tradaction de la servicie, el de lenter de la service de la company d

TABLE M-1A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANDR	CASES OF OFFENSE
INIRING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30,	1988

-----

# (PETTY OFFENSES)

	CUIT HD Irict	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	INNIGRATION	F00D/ DRUG	MAIL	DRUNK/ Disorderly	HUHIT/ Fish/camp	TRESPASSING	NEFT	OTHER
TOTA	¥	76578	50402	10483	1159	235	2874	3213	1647	1239	5357
	9C	33	5	2	0	8	0	6	5	0	10
1st,	ME NA NH RI PR	39 230 10 1 129	10 181 6 1 19	4 1 0 67	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 3	7 6 1 0 20	0 0 2 0 0	0 9 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	18 32 1 9
2nd	CT NYH NYE NYS NYW VT	0 852 515 65 53 40	0 687 335 61 5 0	0 31 0 0 30 37	0 05 85 0 0	0 6 0 4 13 1	0 3 52 0 1 0	0 12 6 0 2	0 43 21 0 0 0	0 25 36 0 1 0	0 19 37 0 3 0
 3rd	DE HJ PAE PAN PAN VI	101 1854 205 378 93 13	70 13% 107 273 57 5	2 3 9 0 0	0 15 4 1 0 1	0 53 46 3 4 0	7 199 21 52 14 0	3 3 0 12 3 0	5 45 8 1 0 2	0 49 2 3 0 0	14 94 9 33 15 5

# TABLE N-1A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANOR CASES DEFENDANTS DISFUSED OF BY U.S. NAGISTRATES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE DURING THE TWELVE NUMTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

(DETTY	OFFERSES)
WC111	ULLEUSE 31

	CUIT NB TRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	Inhigration	F000/ Drug	NAIL	DRUHK/ DISORDERLY	IRUNT/ FISH/COMP	TRESPASSING	THEFT	OTHER
4th	kə hce hck sc vəe van hvn hvs	8996 3115 9 262 574 5130 351 4 21	8194 2722 8 96 504 4607 168 3 16	0 0 0 7 7 0 0	74 10 0 34 0 58 8 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	127 30 18 10 54 77 0 1	19 22 1 34 20 85 10 0	152 86 0 11 12 72 4 0 0	139 88 0 13 15 27 1 0 1	291 157 0 56 13 220 83 1 3
5th	LAE LAN LAN MSN MSS IXN TXE IXS TXU	1497 51 2159 339 495 159 129 2873 5338	1143 1 969 279 390 43 21 209 3429	6 0 0 2 2593 1462	0 19 5 3 11 6 5	1 0 1 0 2 0 0 2 0 2	5 0 9 22 31 22 14 5 207	308 90 965 8 28 0 38 11 38	2 0 57 0 6 1 3 3 81	0 24 0 7 25 4 5 26	32 0 146 24 28 61 38 35 87
6 <u>1</u> k	KYE KYW MIE MIN OIGH OHS THE THE THE	160 4038 181 27 18 82 321 96 24	46 3443 103 12 6 64 276 66 19	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 12 38 0 3 3 1 0		11 86 12 1 2 2 14 6 1	58 75 0 0 3 1 4 4 6	8 97 0 1 4 1 2 0 1	0 44 7 1 0 0 0 0 2	36 281 21 11 2 11 20 19 19

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	INNIGRATION	FOUD./ Drug	NAIL	DRIRIK/ DISUKDERLY	HUHII/ FISH/CAMP	TRESPASSING	MEFT	OTHER
7th ILH ILC ILS INH INS VIE WIU	1695 111 102 148 357 159 5	1490 104 54 83 310 89 2	0 0 0 0 0	4 0 9 1 0	0 0 0 0 0	133 0 18 21 18 60 0	3 7 13 5 0 5 2	10 0 7 3 2 1 0	11 0 6 8 1 0	38 0 10 21 18 3 1
811 ARE ARN IAN IAS NH MOE MOW NE ND SD	25 246 4 147 124 281 84 16 22 65	2 113 0 127 53 174 81 1 3 22	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 8 1 0 0 16 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 11 5 11 52 0 0 3	13 39 0 2 33 1 0 1 7 10	1 16 0 6 1 0 7 2 2	0220051001	6 57 1 7 2i 32 0 7 10 27
9th AK CAN CAN CAC CAC CAC CAC CAC CAC TO TO MT HV OR WAE WAW	163 1434 1995 2698 2009 6757 2589 139 313 1416 186 61 2241	87 477 1503 1241 1405 419 1941 28 137 1148 29 5 1584	0 564 0 81 3 5519 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 13 40 95 15 370 8 0 3 35 0 1 69	0 4 0 16 8 29 0 0 1 4 0 1 0	3 130 55 247 60 39 153 3 26 84 3 0 80	45 33 21 217 86 186 4 32 43 29 19 33 65	5 8 79 139 70 54 36 9 48 17 14 7 112	3 37 65 112 131 43 0 2 13 18 3 5 14	20 168 233 548 231 98 447 65 42 61 113 9 317

# TABLE M-IA U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANING CASES DEFENDANTS DISPUSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

(PETTY OFFEHSES)

423

# TABLE N-1A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. NISDEMEANOR CASES Defendants disposed of by U.S. Nagistrates by Nature of Offense During the twelve month period ended June 30, 1988

**.**3\*

(PETTY OFFENSES)

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	INNIGRATION	FOOD DRUG	MALL	DRUMK/ DISURDERLY	HUNT/ F15H/CANP	TRESPASSING	THEFT	OTHER
LOth CO KS HM OKH OKE OKU UT UT	372 417 1083 6 24 2460 1123 320	299 396 780 0 17 2372 601 159	0 0 38 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	5 0 3 0 7 2 6	8 0 3 0 3 0 0	2 0 77 0 1 10 77 45	14 9 41 0 25 122 18	2 9 1 1 19 14 10	3 1 25 0 0 5 1 15	39 2 96 2 3 19 106
11th ALM ALS FLN FLN FLS GAN GAN GAS	210 707 7 993 404 411 477 42 <b>608</b>	161 650 4 505 334 203 263 0 691	6 0 0 0 1 0 15 0 0	1 0 22 1 2 14 0 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 1 0 156 22 38 50 0 20	6 0 1 32 9 66 18 9 16	5 3 0 112 1 8 4 7 14	21 0 97 11 2 19 0 11	12 53 2 67 26 92 92 26 45

አመታታይ በታላጊ ቀላቂ ይቀኝ - በተማጀመሩ አይታቀይ መሬታል ከአስታይ እና የአስታይ መስማ አንድ የሚሰሩ የደርጅ በመሬ በዚህ የሚሰማ ለሚሰዋል የጀመር መስማ አንድ መውጠን በ በመታታይ በታላጊ ቀላቂ ይቀኝ - በተማጀመሩ አይታቀይ መሬታል እና የአስታይ የሚሰሩ የአስታይ የሚሰሩ የደርጅ በመሬ በሚሰማ ለሚሰዋል የጀመር መስማ በማስቸው መንግ መውጠን በበት

	MISDEMEANORS OTHER THAN PETTY OFFENSES								
	1	1	NOT CONVICT	ED	CONVICTED				
CIRCUIT		HITH-				1	T		
AND	1	007	COURT	JURY	AFTER	COURT	JURY		
DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRIAL	TRIAL	TRIAL	PLEA	TRIAL	TRIAL		
TOTAL	13,418	3,022	361	52	9,048	838	97		
DC	129	2	-	~	120	6	1		
157	63	23	1	1	46	1	1		
ME	38	7	-	-	31	-			
MA	18	5	1	1	9	1	1		
NH RI	2	1 -	-	-	2	-	-		
PR	5	1	-	-		-	-		
2ND	369	48	1	2	313	3	2		
<u></u>	3	=	-		3	-	-		
NY F	57 111	10	2	-	49 98	1	-		
NY,5	110	29	-	1	78	2	2		
NY.W	75	1	1	<i>-</i>	73	-	_		
ντ	13	1	-	-	12	-	-		
3RD	281	45	1	5	220		2		
DE	17	3	-	-	13	17	_		
NJ PA,E	231	41	1	5	175	7	2		
PA, M	26	1	-	-	25	-	-		
PA, H	1 4		-	_	1	-	-		
VI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
4TH	3,526	1,290	115	6	1,659	439	17		
HD	342	60 688	2	-	273	.7	-		
NC, E	a	3	10	-	248 5	14	2		
NG. N	42	13	_	-	27	ĩ			
SC	85	4	-	-	81	-	1		
¥A, E	2,083	522	103	6	1,021	417	14		
VA.H HV.N		1 -	-	-	1 2	-	-		
HV,S	i		-		1 1	-	-		
5TH	414	55	2	1	349	7	_		
LA, E				<u> </u>	-				
LA,H	- 1	- 1	-	-	_	-	-		
LA, H	18	1	-	-	15	2	-		
MS.N MS.S	1 3	1 1 2	-	-		-	-		
TX.N	23		ī		2 19	ī	-		
TX.E	11	-	<u> </u>	-	11	<u>+</u>	-		
TX, S	173	35	-	1	135	2	-		
тх,н	185	15	1	-	167	2	-		
6TH	588	74	5	9	468	11	21		
KY,E KY,H	1 306	48	5	8	1 221	,			
MI.E	42	-	2		42	7	15		
MI.K	( 20		-	- (	19	-	-		
QH, N	26	1 2 9 1	-	-	24	-			
QH, 5	47		-		34 8	-	2		
TN.F									
TN, E TN, H	132	13	-	ī	112	2	7		

# TABLE M-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANOR CASES: Defendants disposed of by U.S. Magistrates by type of disposition during the 12 Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

	HISDEMEANORS OTHER THAN PETTY OFFENSES									
			NDT CONVICTO	D	1	CONVICTED				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HITH- OUT TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	JURY TRIAL	AFTER Plea	COURT	JURY TRIAL			
7TH	351	126	,		208	4	4			
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N	40 23 30 2	- 1 5 1	1	-	40 20 21 1	1	- 3			
IN,S NI,E HI,M	244 7 5	115 1 3	7	-	119 5 2	3	1			
8TH	325	18	3	1	289	12	2			
AR,E AR,M IA,N IA,S	12 27 4 7 15	1 2		1	10 24 4 7	1	-			
HN HO,E HO,H HE	32 214 2 8	1 3 10 -	3	-	14 25 189 2 8	11	1 1 -			
SD	4	1	-	-	3	-	-			
	4,406	1,129	8		3,187	40	23			
AK AZ GA,N GA,E GA,C GA,S	117 229 336 140 65 941	47 19 89 29 2 13		3 2	66 207 243 107 60 927	- 3 2 2 -	111			
HI ID MT NY DR	1,466 25 4 22 15	701	1	3 - 1	736 19 2 20	18 2	2			
HA,E HA,H GUAH NHI	26 1,020	221		8	15 22 763	13	11			
10TH	638	126	2	1	488	9	12			
CD KS HM DK, N	176 122 30 20	37 53 1	<u>1</u> -		139 61 29 16	5	2			
OK,E DK,H UT HY	5 242 40 3	28 7 -	1	1	205 30 3	2	6 1			
11TH	2,328	96	214	7	1,701	298	12			
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, N	80 115 10 33 52 25 156	1 26 7 1	1 - - 3	1	76 76 9 19 50 25 121	3 8 - 5 - 7	- 3 1 2 1 - 2			
GA, M GA, S	1,744 113	13 30	206	1	1,255	270	3			

# TABLE M-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANOR CASES: Defendants disposed of by U.S. Magistrates by type of disposition During the 12 Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_

# TABLEM-ZA U.S. DISTRICT COURTS, HISDEMEANUR CASES DEFENDANTS DISPUSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES BY TYPE OF DISPUSITION DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		(PETTY UFFEHSES)								
		(NOT C	MAICLED)	1	(CUNVICIED)					
CIRCUIT NHD DISTRICT	TOTAL	WITH- Out Trial	COURT TRIAL	JURY TRIAL	AF TER PLEA	CUURT TRIAL	JURY TRIAL			
101AL	76578	21033	4300	41	43989	7064	85			
<b>B</b> C	33	i	1	0	19	15	0			
Ist ME NA NI RI PR	39 230 10 1 120	24 43 2 1 22	0 43 2 0 2	0 1 0 0	25 67 3 0 91	0 76 3 0 5	0 0 0 0 0			
2nd CI MYH MYE MYS MYW VI	0 852 515 65 53 40	0 275 221 4 0 0	0 10 17 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 520 220 43 50 38	0 44 55 17 2 0	0 3 0 0 0			
3rd BE NJ PAE PAN PAN VI	101 1854 205 378 93 13	5 258 68 113 65 3	4 153 8 41 10 4	0 1 0 10 0 0	86 1363 90 158 13 5	6 68 38 56 5 1	0 11 1 0 0 0			
4th 119 HCH HCH SC VAE VAE VAN WM WM WM	6996 3115 9 262 574 5130 351 4 21	2418 360 2 46 417 1175 84 0 6	1036 40 1 8 27 761 77 1 0	0 1 0 0 2 0 0 0	3997 2063 2 185 75 1855 186 2 13	1506 49 4 23 53 1337 62 1 2	37 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0			
5th LOE LOM LON MSN MSS TXN TXE TXS TXS TXU	1437 31 2109 339 495 159 129 2673 5338	1168 2 457 65 96 43 42 123 1651	0 2 156 6 34 11 5 16 49	0 14 0 0 0 0 0	321 81 1459 256 346 93 39 2721 3448	8 6 103 12 13 6 43 13 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			

# TABLEM-2A U.S. DISIRICI CUURIS. NISDEMEANOR CASES DEFENDANIS DISPUSED OF BY U.S. MAGISIRATES RY TYPE OF DISPUSITION DURING THE TWELVE MUNIN FERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	(PETTY OFFENSES)									
		(HOT C	WVICTED)		(CONVICTED)					
CIRCUIT AHD DISTRICT	TOTAL	WITH- OUT TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	JURY TRIAL	AFTER PLEA	COURT TRIAL	JURY TRIAL			
6tb KYE KYU NIE KIU ONH ONH ONS THE THE THM THM	160 4038 181 27 18 82 321 321 96 24	32 2611 31 11 7 17 37 23 5	10 79 13 2 2 10 5 14	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	93 1205 126 14 14 258 44 5	19 143 51 0 2 7 21 15 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
7tb 1LM JLC JLS JIM INS UIE UIU	1675 111 102 148 357 159 5	790 5 44 6 141 95 1	170 18 3 111 16 3	0 0 0 0 0	241 77 53 30 171 36 2	494 11 2 1 29 20 1	0 0 0 0 5 0			
BED ARE ARW IAN IAS NN NUE NOUE NOU HE HB S0	25 246 4 147 124 281 84 16 22 65	2 66 0 8 20 74 0 2 10 8	1 6 26 36 1 2 9		10 161 4 76 25 192 73 8 5 21	10 13 0 37 43 14 9 6 4 27				
9th AK AZ CAN CAC CAC CAC CAS HI IU NI NI NV DR WAL WAU	163 1434 1976 2678 2009 6757 2589 139 313 1416 186 61 2241	52 195 635 634 397 373 476 25 94 610 16 31 468	28 26 120 69 7 72 72 12 33 18 3 100	0 0 1 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 1195 1041 1825 1191 6365 1938 88 153 736 111 9 1452	34 18 159 157 338 11 103 18 54 35 41 18 220	0 0 0 6 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0			

428

# TABLE M-2A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANOR CASES Defendants disposed of by U.S. Magistrates by type of disposition During the ivelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	(PETTY OFFENSES)									
		(NOT C	ONVICTED)		(CONVICTED)					
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	UITH- OUT TRIAL	Court Trial	JURY TRIAL	AFTER PLEA	COURT TRIAL	JURY TRIAL			
10th CU KS NM OKN OKE OKU UT WY	372 417 1083 6 24 2460 1123 320	206 149 533 0 18 827 458 41	8 18 75 0 54 44 14	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	141 230 361 6 5 1428 512 215	17 20 114 0 1 151 151 103 50				
11th ALN ALS FLN FLN FLN FLS GAN GAS	210 707 7 993 404 411 477 42 808	60 242 159 291 127 63 1	12 25 0 124 19 69 44 15 123	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	106 364 3 581 54 132 320 23 459	32 76 2 127 38 63 49 3 222				

# TABLE M-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS NATTERS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES PURSUMHT TO TITLE 20 U.S.C. SECTION 636(A) DURING THE TWELVE NONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	SEARCH WARRANTS	ARREST VARBANTS	SUMMONSES	Initial Appearances	PRELIMINARY EXAMINATIONS	PAIL REVIENS	GRAHD JURY Sessions	ARRAIGHMENTS	OTHER
TOTAL	143352	14246	14032	2376	47956	6805	6665	4253	29569	17444
DC	2045	205	200	0	740	344	106	109	111	230
1st ME MA NH RI PR	251 1721 128 432 3040	26 152 11 76 48	9 142 2 41 148	0 57 0 4 18	92 439 32 73 1059	9 34 1 28 77	11 64 0 8 231	8 143 22 29 56	72 426 41 120 919	24 264 19 53 484
2nd CT NYN NYE NYS NYW VI	951 938 3247 4010 573 284	232 116 280 648 162 11	67 130 507 585 43 3	1 0 30 1 14	230 272 1338 1694 174 103	24 18 26 40 12 15	93 31 109 135 1 6	64 67 258 86 39 20	110 192 126 168 113 86	130 111 603 624 28 26
3rd DE NJ PAE PAM PAW VI	191 1567 2928 352 1417 1217	18 369 252 63 222 22	13 237 629 45 138 34	12 5 3 4 68 0	48 641 669 168 270 414	13 17 305 12 84 117	0 43 45 10 23 252	16 131 131 9 159 0	57 18 562 0 322 327	14 106 252 35 131 51
# TABLE N-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MAITERS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISIKATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 20 U.S.C. SECTION 636(A) DURING THE TWELVE MUNTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	SEARCH NARRANTS	ARREST WARRANTS	SUMMONSES	INITIAL APPEARANCES	PRELIMINARY Exominations	BAIL REVIEWS	GRAND JURY SESSIONS	ARRAIGNMENTS	OTHER
4th MD MCE MCM HCW SC VAE VAE VAW WVN WVS	2071 782 711 924 1335 1797 371 625 570	354 51 45 39 297 301 56 96 54	291 70 124 90 256 203 72 128 50	2 2 0 5 39 1 136 0	667 410 301 350 256 690 164 88 110	21 45 48 27 37 209 22 7 8	87 23 47 13 55 23 16 0 11	14 84 13 23 49 3 4 43	409 2 10 225 311 68 2 121 238	226 95 132 159 95 215 35 45 56
5th LAE LAM LAU MSN MSS TXN TXE TXE TXS TXW	2751 174 795 332 660 2353 849 9256 4730	75 5 61 62 58 170 29 660 276	111 15 177 9 80 314 56 763 603	1 2 17 0 6 2 223 216	819 90 176 118 157 1056 209 3763 2088	23 13 25 8 52 286 28 370 339	91 2 105 14 34 94 23 393 427	92 0 25 10 1 3 36 193 97	914 32 119 103 248 39 139 2219 270	625 15 70 8 30 379 327 672 414
6tb KYE KIW MIE OHN OHS THE THM THM	563 840 3202 674 1778 1478 588 667 1325	55 63 393 109 223 114 136 35 100	87 151 450 84 319 267 55 57 93	21 2 4 0 14 1 0 3	89 170 1085 114 277 413 167 363 535	26 48 73 17 162 103 9 35 149	18 7 33 17 49 25 7 4 31	14 32 139 42 44 51 13 17 1	161 245 840 240 359 210 160 67 345	92 122 185 51 345 281 40 89 68

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	SEARCH VARRANTS	ARREST WARRANTS	SUNNONSES	Initial Appearances	FRELIMINARY EXAMINATIONS	BAIL REVIEWS	GRAHD JURY SESSIONS	ARRAIGNMENTS	OTHER
7tbILN ILC ILS INN INS WIE WIW	1790 450 534 901 726 891 448	214 46 32 111 81 171 16	102 41 48 70 73 68 15	0 6 2 1 0 0	738 95 127 377 295 263 154	249 16 14 26 16 44 20	40 10 8 17 16 26 0	6 7 5 37 10 40 19	153 123 221 123 1 169 152	288 106 79 138 233 110 72
8%5 ARE ARW IAH IAS NN MOE HOW NE ND SD	531 164 183 311 1745 1440 1579 395 411 674	63 32 57 214 196 113 35 25 12	45 6 7 228 297 99 20 46 28	0 5 0 0 6 8 0 1 0 7	135 33 27 67 421 489 600 121 95 276	4 14 6 11 167 79 108 13 33 26	8 25 1 21 29 57 34 10 47 4	8 15 20 6 2 27 16 0 5	197 56 81 85 408 217 427 114 89 200	51 8 14 20 266 103 171 65 76 116
9th AK AZ CAH CAE EAC CAS HI ID NT NV OR WAE WAU	626 4260 5177 2663 6008 7207 631 447 259 1957 1678 903 2096	89 457 558 205 830 516 208 50 32 216 220 103 92	29 187 430 267 515 408 10 16 38 251 79 117 84	3 49 206 42 70 496 0 0 102 43 2 0	84 1452 1739 761 2053 3491 111 104 115 512 356 155 571	63 168 113 107 196 146 42 19 33 29 167 36 153	37 460 377 111 117 629 12 0 13 82 8 28 107	9 81 77 65 176 56 65 0 1 70 39 39 5 43	206 886 1219 536 1334 1225 109 197 18 460 463 326 462	106 520 458 569 717 240 74 61 9 235 303 71 584

# TABLE M-J U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MATTERS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 20 U.S.C. SECTION 636(A) DURING THE TWELVE MUNTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

# TABLE N-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MATTERS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTION 636(A) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

CIRCUIT AND BISTRICT	TOTAL	SEARCH WARRANTS	ARREST VARRANTS	SUMMONSES	INITIAL APPEARANCES	PRELIMINARY Examinations	BAIL REVIENS	GRAND JURY SESSIONS	ARRAIGNMENTS	DTHER
10th CO KS NM OKH OKH UT UT WY	2123 981 2197 908 162 943 1101 189	260 33 109 38 17 115 51 4	300 37 100 37 13 104 103 35	17 1 51 2 0 6 0 9	579 406 809 220 31 185 204 109	102 34 162 25 7 53 36 19	62 33 58 22 35 52 35 52 3	74 10 0 0 8 27 0	421 300 613 233 79 221 387 0	308 136 285 331 13 214 241 10
11th ALN ALS FLN FLN FLS GAN GAN GAN GAS	719 345 716 666 3971 10282 2231 441 799	84 19 53 61 215 930 205 23 930	39 50 34 68 348 763 233 34 132	0 0 0 56 222 41 0 5	169 186 197 333 1190 3303 638 233 166	9 19 21 319 253 121 15 53	6 10 10 8 105 988 89 0 31	15 12 16 17 166 389 107 6 17	323 22 320 27 1025 2595 546 106 208	74 27 63 139 527 779 251 30 97

# TARLE N-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF RY U.S. MAGISTRATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(B) AND 4C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

.

	TOTAL *		(CRIMIN	AL PRUCEEDINGS)			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	CRIMINAL CIVIL MATTERS	TOTAL ## CRIMINAL	PRETRIAL CONFERENCES	MOT 636(B)(1)(A)	10HS 636(k)(1)(B)	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	OTHER
TOTAL	200924	37529	3462	26031	2678	218	5140
96	1018	49	0	0	0	31	18
1st NE NA NH RI PR	648 9512 975 1494 5368	160 3461 81 124 1017	26 4 0 74 60	129 3338 80 35 831	5 43 1 6 9	0 0 0 0 0	0 76 9 57
2nd CT HYH NYE NYS HYW VT	3122 80 6166 2751 491 0	199 19 59 16 32 0	0 19 0 6 0	162 0 1 3 7 0	35 0 2 1 10 0	0 0 3 0 0 0	2 0 53 6 15 0
3rd DE HJ PAE PAN PAU VI	59 10931 1552 219 420 1034	1 2 528 6 225 241	1 0 1 0 2 0	0 1 390 5 201 235	0 0 120 1 3 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 17 0 19 6

ڊ

		1					
	TOTAL, #		(CRININ	IL PROCEEDINGS)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r
CIRCUIT AHD DISTRICT	CRIMINAL CIVIL MATTERS	TOTAL ** Criminal	PRETRIAL CUNFERENCES	MUT1( 636(B)(L)(A)	0HS 636(R)(1)(B)	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	OTHER
4tb ND NCE NCM NCW SC VAE VAE UAW WVN	642 1834 1622 203 1167 1430 1136 353 480	38 528 434 173 182 19 6 127 48	0 0 68 0 0 0 0	3 451 343 46 26 7 0 109 27	1 21 6 43 6 12 0 16 1	4 5 1 0 0 0 0 0	30 51 84 84 81 0 6 2 20
5tb LAE LAM LAW MSN MSS TXN TXN TXE TXS TXS	9569 771 3208 3504 7131 1056 334 3473 950	1460 108 448 85 801 8 27 361 170	83 15 36 0 0 27 0	1164 68 359 69 600 8 8 155 38	73 4 32 0 0 0 0 36 3	5 0 0 0 0 2 3	135 21 21 16 201 0 13 147 126
6th KYE KYU MIE NJW OIN OHS The The The	2240 892 4403 3595 3224 5309 1576 218 612	183 148 980 264 244 74 514 11 94	1 7 370 150 58 0 45 0 0	141 34 215 56 170 46 359 2 8	14 3 116 4 10 9 43 0 3	0 0 3 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	27 104 276 54 6 19 66 8 83

## TARLE N-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PRUCCEDINGS AND CASES DISPUSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES FURSUANT TO TITLE 20 U.S.C. SECTIONS 6.36(8) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MUNIH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

# TARLE N-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(B) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

<u> </u>	TOTAL #		(CRIMIN	AL PROCEEDINGS)			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	CRIMINAL CIVIL NATTERS	TOTAL ## Criminal	PRETRIAL CONFERENCES	MQ] 636(B)(1)(A)	10HS 636(B)(1)(B)	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	OTHER
7th ILC ILS INN INS WIE WIV	3420 3935 1807 953 5539 1177 366	141 14 114 79 27 737 325	0 1 0 58 0 4 169	57 10 59 12 18 546 28	20 1 13 3 5 149 64	0 1 0 0 0 0	64 1 42 6 4 38 64
8th ARE ARW IAH IAS NN NOE NOW NE ND SD	328 185 396 2845 5701 3841 2042 2428 551 7	74 15 21 180 1600 1979 941 300 10 2	1 0 37 3 20 528 4 0 0	21 1 0 141 1132 1424 297 21⊅ 10 0	2 0 400 400 38 29 0 0	0 0 42 3 5 0 0 0	50 14 21 1 23 126 73 48 0 2
9th AK AZ CAH CAE CAC CAC CAS HI ID NT HV OR WAE WAE	862 142 2339 1761 2217 2174 2139 357 0 2260 2432 225 1006	257 75 511 449 276 77 108 6 0 1227 61 25 63	13 1 4 1 0 0 58 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	195 5 76 53 2 25 10 5 6 1099 46 10 5 5	30 0 13 5 16 27 1 1 0 78 0 8 0	6 0 5 13 2 0 0 0 33 0 0 4	13 69 413 377 256 25 39 0 17 15 7 53

Civil evidentiary hearings and criminal evidentiary hearings are not included. Evidentiary hearings are not included. ×

×.

TABLE M-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	
PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE	S
PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(B) AND (C	5
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 198	8

	TOTAL *		CRIMIN	NL PROCEEDINGS)			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	CRIMINAL CIVIL MATTERS	TOTAL ## CRIMINAL	PRETRIAL CONFERENCES	M <u>OT</u> 636 (B) (1) (A)	IONS 636(B)(1)(B)	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	07HER
10th KS NM OKH OKE ØKW UT WY	3470 4245 2049 1164 80 1929 1347 0	252 274 117 6 0 122 72 0	211 184 0 3 0 1 0 0	5 38 88 3 0 20 47 0	0 4 23 0 0 3 7 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	36 48 0 0 98 18 0
11th ALH Aln Als Fla Flm Fls Gan Gan Gas	1083 0 1622 2069 9272 6335 3369 116 1287	821 0 474 85 4961 4483 1969 77 361	330 0 1 0 324 149 303 0 0	431 0 434 0 4058 3660 1468 64 219	55 0 3 0 188 238 131 0 24	0 0 12 10 22 0 0	5 0 36 85 379 432 45 13 118

Civil evidentiary hearings and criminal evidentiary hearings are not included. Evidentiary hearings are not included. Ħ

\*\*

### TABLE M-4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MADISTRATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 635(B) AND (C) BURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

		(CIVIL I	ROCEEDING	5)			CIVIL CA	SES)				SECTION
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL* CIVIL MATTERS	PRETRIAL Conferences	636 (B) (1) (A)	636(B) (1)(B)	OTHER	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	PRI STATE	SONER PETIT FEDERAL	LONS CIVIL RIGHTS	SOCIAL SECURITY	SPECIAL MASTER	636(C) CONSENT CASES
TOTAL	158494	48692	96714	7786	5302	34308	7133	2568	15910	7312	1385	5903
DC	1039	333	666	24	16	14	5	5	5	2	6	39
1st NE MA HH RI PR	444 6048 890 1361 4208	90 1338 354 192 514	305 4293 522 1019 3583	49 254 14 97 73	0 163 0 53 38	79 29 33 35 161	2 20 13 8 12	3 2 0 1 3	30 4 16 17 13	44 3 4 9 102	0 0 0 25	165 70 4 2 17
2nd CT HYH HYE HYS HYW VT	2827 8 6322 2706 442 232	1217 3 3866 1757 296 37	1205 0 2141 777 132 180	377 1 184 168 8 15	28 4 131 4 6 0	144 276 14 223 52 50	22 37 0 102 26 0	8 34 0 10 2 0	18 152 0 49 1 16	96 53 13 29 17 34	0 0 1 33 6 0	7 0 71 29 38 0
3rd DE HJ PAE PAN JAN VI	42 10929 964 112 143 793	2 6034 398 5 49 313	0 4570 487 91 63 464	39 65 44 15 31 11	1 260 35 1 0 5	169 21 358 564 526 33	24 19 260 85 152 1	0 0 8 79 14 32	129 0 7 299 302 0	16 0 60 101 52 0	023060	0 93 17 12 12 12 0

					1101103		1700					
		(CIVIL )	PROCEEDING	5)			CIVIL CA	SES)				ecertou
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL* CIVIL NATTERS	PRETRIAL Conferences	636 (B) (1) (A)	636(B) (1)(B)	OTHER	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	PRI STATE	SONER PETIT	IONS CIVIL RIGHTS	SUCTAL SECURITY	SPECIAL MASTER	SECTION 636(C) Consent Cases
4th MD NCE NCM NCW SC VAE VAE VAU UVN UVN	501 1279 1156 24 727 1360 775 184 271	224 142 146 0 113 201 477 0 3	224 1082 984 3 539 999 235 144 259	19 33 19 7 61 30 53 35 4	34 22 7 14 14 130 10 5 5	346 390 374 125 755 118 408 103 326	66 82 87 34 164 53 14 34 20	1 11 16 20 28 1 0 4 17	171 270 239 65 201 13 38 23 32	103 27 32 6 258 51 355 42 161	5 0 0 104 0 1 0 9%	159 34 7 0 149 63 177 40 112
SUL LAE LAM MSH MSS TXH TXE TXS TXS TXU	8008 644 2555 3339 6272 966 288 3088 674	2266 135 136 195 1492 744 162 823 65	5387 431 2059 3064 4639 206 70 1903 177	194 18 142 74 74 14 14 187 37	161 60 218 67 15 42 175 395	1917 235 465 359 170 573 346 852 633	300 40 94 46 37 224 42 355 130	32 2 17 2 6 97 45 21 123	1327 159 145 231 33 170 217 451 271	127 19 205 80 58 82 39 24 108	131 15 4 0 36 0 3 1	355 48 24 14 59 8 85 85 19 88
6th KYW MIE MIW OHN OHS TNE TNM TNM	1739 564 2773 3077 2575 4909 1046 161 490	123 271 456 496 567 1047 407 24 62	1454 244 2020 2490 1669 3272 549 42 384	137 38 128 56 231 449 75 87 27	25 11 169 35 108 141 15 8 17	720 433 1838 782 648 2084 575 260 436	99 93 226 86 139 328 71 4 83	133 6 91 6 8 20 16 12 113	166 154 845 392 57 850 455 168 193	318 180 650 297 441 464 28 57 45	4 0 26 1 3 422 5 19 2	58 3 0 406 141 237 13 4

### TABLE M-4A U.S. DISTRICT CUURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPUSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES Pursignate to Title 20 U.S.C. Sections 636(B) and (C) During the twelve Nonth Period Ended June 30, 1988

	·······					HALF AGUE DA	* 300					
		(CIVIL P	ROCEEDING	5)		(	CIVIL CA	SES)				SECTION
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL MATTERS	PRETRIAL CONFERENCES	636 (B) (1) (A)	636(B) (1)(B)	OTHER	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	PRI STATE	<u>Soner Petit</u> Federal	TONS CIVIL RIGHTS	SOCIAL SECURITY	Special Master	636(C) CONSENT CASES
7th ILN ILC ILS INN INS WIE WIW	3225 3677 1661 654 5755 377 32	792 1754 255 500 951 35 6	1588 1973 1245 287 4756 292 0	372 119 30 21 46 49 15	473 31 131 46 2 1 11	67 44 463 21 667 85 9	2 0 8 0 183 0 0	1 0 39 0 147 1 0	1 0 199 1 263 21 0	54 44 32 20 31 63 9	9 0 185 0 23 0 0	69 47 167 207 30 55 1
BLL ARE ARM IAN IAS NM MOE MOU HE HD SD	172 92 427 2659 3926 1720 1101 2120 541 5	18 2 97 5% 978 129 221 368 210 1	109 81 288 1994 2863 1225 513 1580 113 2	42 4 30 77 247 361 145 83 2	3 5 1 39 8 119 6 27 135 0	402 150 15 32 643 425 794 1027 4 9	77 18 0 40 167 0 167 0 6	5 4 0 184 31 166 5 0 2	249 49 1 26 120 83 549 847 0	70 78 14 6 296 142 0 8 0 0 8 0 0	1 1 0 3 2 79 0 4 1	126 3 31 52 17 185 54 20 6 0
916 AK AZ CAH CAE CAC CAS HI- ID- MT- NV- OR- WAY WAY	851 29 2428 1145 1691 2097 2031 323 244 1030 2349 253 866	116 17 1152 215 189 1754 1486 81 156 79 450 7 79	543 10 890 476 1026 338 407 194 52 815 1776 61 589	191 1 24 55 184 1 45 41 29 127 121 176 148	41 1 362 339 292 4 93 7 7 7 9 2 7 50	165 193 4 1172 1045 107 59 387 211 25 324	63 75 0 195 438 0 8 18 105 48 1 118	26 26 0 11 193 0 0 3 2 5 26 0 12	66 54 0 781 153 0 0 68 33 274 115 10 117	10 38 0 185 259 0 0 28 2 3 22 8 77	0 0 4 0 2 1 0 0 4 0 0 6 0	1 9 61 107 76 22 0 67 58 0 1228 108 94

#### TABLE M-4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(3) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

\* Evidentiary hearings not included.

		(CIVIL A	ROCEEDINGS	;)		0		CERTION				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL# CIVIL NATTERS	PRETRIAL CONFERENCES	636 (B) (1) (A)	636(B) (1)(B)	OTHER	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	PRI STATE	Soner Petit Federal	IONS CIVIL RIGHTS	SOCIAL SECURITY	Special Master	SECTION 636(C) CONSENT CASES
10th CO KS NN OKH OKE OKU UT VT	3218 3971 1912 1146 67 1777 1247 400	2899 979 429 543 51 1199 669 254	299 2936 1473 319 14 534 458 142	17 54 10 204 1 9 100 0	3 2 0 40 1 35 20 4	192 216 224 105 66 430 283 94	42 52 63 41 16 126 30 16	20 0 14 20 7 135 14 0	130 164 127 32 30 137 211 78	0 20 12 13 30 28 0	0 0 0 1 0 0	10 15 0 1 0 36 1 1
11tb ALM ALM ALS FLM FLS GAM GAM GAS	262 94 1046 1883 4146 2339 1236 2 872	71 0 77 11 756 365 65 0 15	187 3 897 1613 3242 1582 1050 0 852	1 83 63 252 41 322 99 0 2	3 9 7 107 70 22 2 3	675 638 372 409 430 879 1140 353 195	207 120 75 78 182 216 295 64 17	79 35 2 63 16 152 61 6 2	381 395 183 167 67 404 540 251 122	0 88 102 101 165 107 164 37 54	8 0 10 0 0 80 0 0	0 0 24 30 8 4 0 0

#### TABLE M-4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MOGISTRATES PURSUAAT 10 TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(B) AND (C) MURING THE THELVE WONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

\* Evidentiary hearings not included.

# EVIDENTIARY PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED BY U.S. MAGISTRATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(8) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	(HE	RINGS (SECT	10 <del>N</del> 636(8	)) (1) (8)})			(SPECIAL MA	ISTER (SECTI	OH 636(B)(2)})	(CONSENSUAL CIVIL CASES TERMINATED (SECTION 636(C)))			
CIRCUIT AMO DISTRICT	TOTAL	CRIMINAL	CIVIL	PRI STATE HABEAS	FEDERAL HABEAS	CIVIL RIGHTS	TOTAL	WITH HEARING	WITHOUT HEARING	TOTAL	WITHOUT TRIAL		TRIAL HUH- JUKY
TOTAL	4456	1365	1612	242	106	931	1453	266	1167	5903	4914	550	437
ØC	16	12	4	0	0	0	6	3	3	39	22	15	2
lst NE NA NH RI PR	3 21 27 28 31	3 16 0 1 22	0 2 27 26 9	0 3 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 25	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 25	165 70 4 2 17	141 50 3 2 7	22 11 1 0 4	2 9 0 0 6
2nd CT HYH HYE HYS NYW VT	33 13 23 45 2 0	2 6 9 0 2 0	24 0 14 45 0 0	2 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0 0	4 6 0 0 0	0 0 1 36 7 1	0 0 18 2 1	0 0 1 18 5 0	7 0 71 29 38 0	5 0 56 20 26 0	2 0 5 7 7 0	9 10 25 0
3rd DE NJ PAE PAN PAW VI	1 9 20 9 10 16	0 3 5 0 1 0	0 6 9 2 0 2	Q 0 3 3 0 0	0 0 1 4 0 14	1 2 0 9 0	0 3 1 7 0	0 2 1 0 0	0 1 1 0 7 0	0 93 17 12 12 0	0 93 6 10 11 0	0 0 7 2 1 0	0 0 4 0 0 9

### TARLE N-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Evidentiary proceedings connoucied by U.S. Nagistrates Pursuant to title 28 U.S.C. Sections 636(8) and (C) During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	(HE	ARINGS (SECT	CON 636(B)				(SPECIAL NA	STER (SECTI	DN 636(B)(2)})	(CONSENSUAL CIVIL CASES TERMINATED (SECTION 636(C)))			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	CRIMINAL	CIVIL	PRI State Hadeas	Soher Petti Federal Nabeas	CIVIL Rights	TOTAL	WITH HEARING	WITHDUT HEARING	TOTAL	WITHOUT TRIAL	AFTER JURY	TRIAL Hon- Jury
4th HD HCE HCH HCU SC VAE VAR HVR HVR	34 44 13 226 9 32 75 5	0 9 12 6 1 0 6 1	19 11 0 1 212 2 15 69 1	1 6 0 3 2 1 0 1	0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0	14 17 3 0 5 3 16 0 2	6 0 115 0 1 0 93	4 0 0 30 0 1 0 11	2 0 85 0 82	159 34 7 0 149 63 177 40 112	142 23 5 0 109 45 172 39 107	842058113	9 7 0 35 10 4 0 2
5th LAE LAM MSH MSS TXH TXE TXS TXS TXU	250 21 50 72 1 15 98 83 73	0 2 12 0 1 0 1 6 6	77 9 19 30 0 12 20 56 36	22 1 2 6 0 1 3 4 7	1 0 3 0 0 0 5 3 4	150 9 14 36 1 1 70 4 20	133 15 4 2 69 1 3 1 1	23 8 2 0 0 0 3 0 1	110 7 2 69 1 0 1 0	355 48 24 14 59 8 8 45 19 88	229 24 16 9 25 6 54 6 83	38 3 2 4 16 0 26 7 3	89 21 6 10 25 6 2
611KYE KYW MIE MIW OHN OHS THE TNM TNW	25 64 24 14 27 32 21 106 13	21 10 0 1 14 6 9 1 5	0 4 23 5 8 18 2 1 7	1 4 1 2 5 1 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 1 0 2 1	0 46 0 6 10 102 0	4 0 28 1 3 433 5 22 1	2 0 8 1 1 33 1 8 0	2 0 20 0 2 400 4 14 1	58 3 0 406 141 237 13 4	43 3 0 394 109 163 12 3	14 0 2 0 8 18 52 1 1	1 0 1 0 4 14 22 0 0

### TABLE M-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS EVIDENTIARY PROCEEDINGS COMPUCTED BY U.S. NAGISTRATES PURSUANT TO TITLE 20 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(B) AND (C) BURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1588

	(HE	ARINGS (SECT	ION 636(I	) (1) ( <b>D</b> )})			(SPECIAL NA	STER (SECTI	OH 636(B)(2)})	(CONSENSUAL CIVIL CASES TERMINATED (SECTION 636(C)))			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	CRIMINGL CIVIL		PRISONER PETITIONS STATE FEDERAL CIVIL HADEAS HADEAS RIGHTS		CIVIL	TOTAL	WITH HEARING	WITHOUT NEARING	TOTAL	WITHOUT TRIAL		TRIAL NON- JURY
7th ILH ILC ILS INN INS VIE VIV	68 3 97 7 4 21 23	13 0 0 0 18 23	53 3 17 6 4 8 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 10 0 0 1 0	0 0 70 1 0 0 0	9 0 185 0 23 0 0	6 9 8 0 17 0 0	3 0 177 0 6 0 0	69 47 167 207 <b>30</b> 35 1	57 35 140 162 22 54 1	8 9 16 14 3 1 0	4 3 11 11 5 0 0
Bili ARE ARU IAN IAS MN NOE NOU HE NO SD	116 27 41 25 393 304 107 58 2 0	2 0 1 4 176 247 47 24 0 0	56 9 39 6 214 55 27 28 2 9	26 6 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 11 15 3 0 33 6 0	1 1 0 3 2 79 0 4 2	0 1 0 2 0 44 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 25 35 0 4 1	126 3 31 52 17 185 54 20 6 0	97 1 27 37 10 115 37 15 5 0	16 2 9 2 50 12 1 0 0	13 0 3 6 5 20 5 4 1 0
916 AK AZ CAN CAE CAC CAC CAS HI ID MT NV OR WAE WAE	101 2 36 47 47 47 23 25 52 2 11 13	23 0 10 15 3 4 0 0 0 44 1 0 5	69 0 26 8 31 0 23 2 0 8 1 0 8	72090 9000040010	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 15 7 0 0 1 0 10 0	0 0 4 0 2 1 0 0 4 0 0 6 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 2 0 2 0 0 0 4 0 0 3 0	1 9 61 107 76 82 0 69 58 69 58 0 1228 108 94	1 7 48 106 70 57 0 62 49 0 1168 100 89	0091540660922	0 2 4 0 1 1 0 1 3 0 11 6 3

	(HEI	RINGS (SECT	ION 636(B				(SPECIAL MASTER (SECTION 636(B)(2)))			(CONSENSUAL CIVIL CASES TERMINATED (SECTION 636(C)))			
CIRCUIT				PRI	SOHER PETIT	IONS						AFTER	TRIAL
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	CRIMINAL	INAL CIVIL	state Habeas	FEDERAL HABEAS	CIVIL RIGHTS	TOTAL	WITH HEARING	WITHOUT KEARING	TOTAL	WITHOUT TRIAL 10 13 0 1 1 0 30 0 0 0	JURY	HOH- JURY
1011 CO KS NN	20	1	4	0	20	13 0	0	0	0	10 15	10 13	0	0
ND OKH OKE UT UT	13 0 160 20 3	0 0 3 0	11 0 153 5 0		4 1 0 2 5 0	0 0 1 7 3	0 0 1 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 1 0	1 0 36 1	1 0 30 0	0 0 3 1 0	0 0 3 0
11th ALN ALS FLN FLN FLS GAN GAS	37 178 25 8 151 332 94 0 30	12 0 1 0 105 274 73 0 14	1 2i 1 4 24 51 11 0 0	10 49 6 1 19 1 5 0	7 10 2 0 5 3 0	7 98 17 1 3 1 2 0	8 -0 10 0 0 0 77 0 0	2 6 0 0 7 0	6 0 0 0 70 0	0 0 8 24 10 8 4 0	0 5 20 7 0 3 0	0 0 1 1 1 3 1 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

÷

-

#### TABLE M-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS EVIDENTIARY PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED BY U.S. MAGISTRATES PURSUANT TO ITILE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(8) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

Table P-1
U.S. District Courts
Passport Applications, Petitions for Naturalization and Aliens Naturalized
During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

<u></u>	·			Fellod Blided build on			
Circuit and District	Passport Appli- cations Processed*	Petitions for Natural- isation	Aliens Natural- ized	Circuit and District	Passport Appli- cations Processed*	Petitions for Natural- ination	Aliens Natural- ized
Total	692	243,039	252,742	Tth Cir	-	15,948	15,118
DC	1	770	639	IL, N	-	12,930 620	11,777 704
lst Cir	50	8,259	5,942	L, C	-	150 607	472 602
ME	-	265	312	IN, N	-	574	641
MA		5,282 95	3,874 74	WI, E WI, W	-	783 184	744 178
RI PR	50	1,257 1,360	742 940	8th Cir	_	4,927	4,386
2nd Cir	16	40,065	33,735	AR, E		278	239
CT	16	3,863	3,089	AR, W	-	146 243	97 218
CT NY, N	-	26,249	20,564	IA, S	-	513 1,585	435 1,347
NY, E NY, S	i - '	9,064	9,156	MN		746 671	703 704
NY, W VT	-	749 140	768	MO, W NE	-	432	352
ard Cir	-	24,088	26,708	ND SD		190 123	166 125
DE		289	3Ŭ2	9th Cir	_ 1	86,051	103,671
NJ PA, E	-	18,293 3,289	21,288 2,969	AK	-	586	583
PA, M		265	240	AZ	-	1,746	1,791
PA, W	-	673 1,279	651 1,258	CA, N CA, E	-	12,899 2,992	16,049 2,445
4th Cir	87	11,515	10,508	CA, C CA, S		47,145 6,036	2,445 62,486 7,210
			2,735	111		4,497 228	3,859 210
MD NC, E	-	3,390 601	709	ID MT	-	189	183
NC, M	-	324 406	432 451	NV OR	-	1,257 1,433	1,102 1,326
NC, W SC	- '	914	943	WA, E		398	382
VA, E	18	5,408 224	4,754 239	WA, W	-	5,149 1,495	4,864 1,180
VA, W WV, N	68	88	85	GU NMI	_	1,405	1,100
wv.s	1	160	160	16th Cir	5	6,729	6,470
5th Cir	10	22,838	19,413	co	-	2,696	2,279
LA, E	-	1,731	1,324	KS		1,171	1,320
LA, M LA, W	-	221 487	273 383	NM OK, N	-	642 282	572 286
MS, N	-	111	108	OK, E	-	110	108
MS, S		395	227	OK, W	-	823 928	901 972
TX, N TX, E		4,055 592	2,842 684	UT	5	77	32
TX, S	10	7,149 8,097	7,447 6,125	11th Cir		13,944	16,647
6th Cir	523	7,905	9,505	,		314	330
		121	120	AL, N AL, M	-	177 42	162 111
KY, E KY, W	-	353	258	AL <sub>1</sub> S FL, N	-	325	596
KY, W MI, E	-	2,461	4,202	FL, M FL, S	-	1,872	2,135
MI, W	523	812 1,890	647 1,896	FL, S		8,880 1,723	11,321 1,343
ОН, N ОН, S	-	1,154	1,360	GA, N GA, M		276	345
TN, E		230	349	GA, S	-	335	304
TN, M TN, W		578 306	398 275				
	<u> </u>	L		}			

\* Excludes passports processed by regional U.S. passport offices, U.S. post offices, and state courts.

TABLE V-1	
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	
SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING .	JUDGES
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30,	1988

SERVICES PROVIDED TO OTHER DISTRICT COURTS SERVICES RECEIVED BY OTHER DISTRICT COURTS													
			ED TO OTH	ER DISTRIC	T COURTS	SERVICES	RECEIVED	BY OTHER	DISTRICT	COURTS			
CIRCUIT	PROV	DGES IDING VICE	CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI-	TRIALS	JUD PROVI SER		CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI-	TRIALS			
DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED*	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED+			
TOTAL	ACTIVE SENIOR	160 73	321 646	99 69	232 259	ACTIVE SENIOR	160 73	321 646	99 69	232 259			
DC	ACTIVE SENIDR	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE SENIDR	2	1	-	1			
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-	-	1	ACTIVE SENIOR							
1ST	ACTIVE SENIOR	7	8 31	3 -	15 17	ACTIVE SENIDI	7 5	6 35	-	13 29			
ME	ACTIVE SENIOR	Ξ	=	-	-	ACTIVE Senior	3 1	5	-	6 6			
MA	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	4	Ξ	1	ACTIVE Senigr	1 1	31	-	3 17			
NH	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-	2	1	ACTIVE Senio:	2 3	1 4	-	3			
RI	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	4-	1	12	ACTIVE Senidr	1	-	Ξ	1			
PR	ACTIVE Senior	2	=	2	Ξ	ACTIVE Senior	=	2	:	-			
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIDR	1	31	-	1 17	ACTIVE SENIDR							
2ND	ACTIVE SENIOR	9 5	14 8	3 1	10 36	ACTIVE SENIOR	13 14	6 33	4	26 48			
cī	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	<u>6</u> _	1	-	ACTIVE	2 1	-	2	1			
NY,N	ACTIVE SENIOR	-1	1	Ξ	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 2	=	1	16			
NY,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	5	=	2	ACTIVE Senior	6	2	1	18			
NY,S	ACTIVE Senior	2 3	1 5	1 1	- 34	ACTIVE SENID;	3 10	5 28	ī	6 27			
NY.H	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	2	1	-	ACTIV <sup>L</sup> SENIG7	1	1	-	2			
vt	ACTIVE SENIDR	-	=	:	-	ACTIVE Senior	-	5	=	4			
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	- 2	-	8 2	ACTIVE SENID							

	TABLE V-1		
υ.:	S. DISTRICT COU	RTS	
SERVICE PROVIDED BY	AND RECEIVED F	ROM VISITING	JUDGES
DURING THE THELVE	MONTH PERIOD E	NDED JUNE 30.	1988

	SERVIC	ES PROVIC	ED TO OTH	ER DISTRI	CT COURTS	SERVICES		D BY OTHER	DISTRICT	COURTS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PROV	DGES /IDING IVICE NUMBER	CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI- NATED	TRIALS COM- PLETED*	PROVI	GES DING VICE NUMBER	CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI- NATED	TRIALS COM- PLETED*
3RD	ACTIVE SENIOR	7 8	24 12	- z	21 2	ACTIVE	8	32 10	- 1	23 2
DE	ACTIVE	1	2	-		ACTIVE	-	2	-	2
NJ	ACTIVE SENIDR	1	1	-	2	ACTIVE SENIDR	1	-	ī	1 2
PÅ, E	ACTIVE SENIDR	4	21 10	-2	20 2	ACTIVE SENIDR	=	-	-	-
PA,H	ACTIVE SENIDR	-1	1	-	:	ACTIVE SENIOR	- 1	1	:	:
PA, H	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	2	Ξ	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	4 1	18 1	2	8
¥I	ACTIVE SENIDR	=	-	-	:	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 1	14 8	Ξ	14
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	ī	-	1	ACTIVE SENIOR				
4TH	ACTIVE SENIOR	8 9	16 33	18	12 37	ACTIVE Senior	13 9	18 8	1 23	12 36
HD	ACTIVE SENIDR	- 2	3	1	ŝ	ACTIVE Senior	2 1	1	7	1 13
NC,E,	ACTIVE Senior	ī	- 9	-	6	ACTIVE Senior	-	Ξ	-	
NC,H	ACTIVE SENIDR	1	1 2	:	2	ACTIVE Senior	1	Ξ	-	2
NC,H	ACTIVE Senior	=	Ξ	:	2	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 2	1 5	-	-4
sc	ACTIVE Senior	=	-		-	ACTIVE	-	-	-	Ξ
VA, E	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	1 16	17	8 20	ACTIVE	3	2	1	:
¥A, H	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	2	-	3 -	ACTIVE SENIDR		ī	-	ī
HV,N	ACTIVE SENIDR	=		-	Ξ	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	12 2	15	9 18
WY,S	ACTIVE Senior	1	12 3	Ξ	ī	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	2	ī	-
APPEALS.	ACTIVE	1	-	Ξ	1 -	ACTIVE SENIDR				

TABLE V-1
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES
DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	SERVIC	ES PROVID	ED TO OTH	ER DISTRIC	T COURTS	SERVICES RECEIVED BY OTHER DISTRICT COURTS					
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PROV	NUMBER	CIYIL CASES TERMI- MATED	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI- NATED	TRIALS CDM- PLETED*	JUD PROVI SER STATUS		CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED	CRIMINAL CASES TERM1- NATED	TRIALS CDM- PLETED+	
5TH	ACTIVE SENIOR	17	13 5	4	18 8	ACTIVE	25 6	13 7	3	24 23	
LA.E	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	=	-	3 3	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	-	1	1	
LA.H	ACTIVE SENIOR	Ξ	-	2	-	ACTIVE	2	1	Ξ	1	
LA.H	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	1	-	2	ACTIVE SENIOR	4 1	3 1	Ξ	2	
KS,N	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-3	1	5	ACTIVE	2	1	-	1	
MS,S	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	5	1	1	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 1	2	Ξ	- 3	
דא,א	ACTIVE SEHIDR	1	-1	1	-	ACTIVE	<u>*</u>	2	1	9	
TX.E	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-	-	2	ACTIVE SENIDR	2	1_	Ξ	<u>1</u>	
TX.S	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	3	-	-	ACTIVE	1	4	1	5 20	
тх.н	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	1	1	-	ACTIVE SENIDR	3	1	:	<u>+</u>	
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIDR	3 1	3 1	:	10	ACTIVE SENIOR					
6TH	ACTIVE SENIOR	13 8	18 203	11 14	24 26	ACTIVE	19 8	19 203	14 15	23 1	
KY,E	ACTIVE SEL IOR	1	-	1	-	ACTIVE	7 3	10 5	10 1	23	
кү, н	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 1	3	1		
MI,E	AC IYE Seiior	3 1	6 1	7	16	ACTIVE SENIOR	=	:	-	-	
мі,н	AC [YE SE ildr	1	1	-	17	ACTIVE SENIDR	-	2	:	-	
ан.х	ACTIVE Senior	2 2 2	2 5	-	2	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 1	1 49	-	-	
QH,S	ACTIVE Sevior	3 2	3 1	1	1 6	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	1	2	-	
TN,E	ACTIVE SFNIOR	1	5 147	1 13	5 1	ACTIVE SENIOR	5 1	5 1	-	-	
TH,M	ACTIVE SENIOR	=	Ξ	2	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	 147	13	1	
тн,н	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	:	1	2 -	ACTIVE Senior	1	Ξ	1	2	
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	ī	49	-	2	ACTIVE Senior					

	SERVIO	ES PROVID	אדם סד כש			RTS   SERVICES RECEIVED BY OTHER DISTRICT COURTS					
		JDGES		1		συι	GES			1	
CIRCUIT		IDING RVICE	CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL CASES	TRIALS	PROVI SER	DING VICE	CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL CASES	TRIALS	
DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	TERMI- NATED	TERMI- NATED	COH- PLETED+	STATUS	NUMBER	TERHI- NATED	TERMI- NATED	COM- PLETED*	
7TH	ACTIVE SENIDR	10 3	16 8	3 2	8 10	ACTIVE SENIOR	13 3	15 3	3	8	
IL,N	ACTIVE SENIDR	1	1	-	ī	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	-	1	2	
11.0	ACTIVE	3	2	3	5	ACTIVE SENIOR	Ξ	=	-	Ξ	
IL,S	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	2 -	:	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	3	2	-	
IH,N	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	=	-	-	ACTIVE SENIDR	52	10 Э	-	6 3	
IH,S	ACTIVE	2	-	:	-	ACTIVE Senior	ī	=	-	- 1	
WI,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 2	2 8	- 2	1 9	ACTIVE Senidr	1	1	-	Ξ	
₩1,Н	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-	:	1	ACTIVE Senior	1	1	2	-	
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	4	:	1	ACTIVE SENIDR					
8TH	ACTIVE SENIOR	18 7	45 95	4 2	20 79	ACTIVE Senior	26 8	50 91	4 1	21 29	
AR,E	ACTIVE SENIDR	1	4	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	6	8 82	ī	7 16	
AR,H	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 -	4	-	3	ACTIVE SENIOR	5 1	10 4	-	:	
IA, N	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	3	-	-	ACTIVE Senior	-	-	:	-	
IA,S,	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	:	-	ACTIVE Senior	1	1	-	:	
MN	ACTIVE SENIDR	2 1	2	ī	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	2_	3	2	
M0,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 1	4	1	=	ACTIVE Senior	1	1	:	4	
мо,н	ACTIVE SENIOR	=	2		=	ACTIVE SENIOR	=	-	Ξ	-	
NE	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	2	:	=	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	10 5	2	8 B	
ND	ACTIVE Senior	- 2	65	-	10	ACTIVE SENIOR	<u>+</u>	17	2	4	
SD	ACTIVE SENIDR	3 1	22 25	1	11 13	ACTIVE Senior	2 1	1	1	- 1	
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	4 2	4	3	6	ACTIVE SENIOR	ļ				
	·	*			(		l			·······	

#### TABLE V-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS SERVI2E PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES During the Thelye Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

	TABLE V-1	
U. 1	S. DISTRICT CO	URTS
		FRI'N VISITING JUDGES ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

	SERVIC	ES PROVID	ED TO OTH		T COURTS	T		BY OTHER	DISTRICT	COURTS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PROV	DGES TDING YICE	CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI- NATED	TRIALS COM- PLETED=	PROVI	GES DING VICE NUMBER	CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI- NATED	TRIALS COM- PLETED*
									1	
9TH	ACTIVE SENIOR	37 19	26 34	63 20	66 79	ACTIVE SENIDR	42 26	20 11	62 22	62 43
AK	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	1-	8	11	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	1	-	2
AZ	ACTIVE SENIOR	42	5 3	4	6	ACTIVE SENIOR	4 3	2 3	6 4	4
CA, N	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 4	- 2	5 1	<del>4</del>	ACTIVE Senior	- 2	=	ī	5
CA,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	4 2	3 -	2 9	11	ACTIVE SENIDR	23	ī	-	4 4
CA,C	ACTIVE SENIOR	11 5	11 2	25 8	26 33	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 -	3	1	3
CA.S	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 3	24	1	5 18	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 2	1	-	2 3
HI	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 1	-	-	2 3	ACTIVE SENIDR	13 9	1	39 17	33 21
ID	ACTIVE Senior	-	-	Ξ	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 1	3 1	Ξ	-
<b>К</b> Т	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 1	1	Ξ	5	ACTIVE SENIDR	2 1	-2	2	1
NY	ACTIVE Senior	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE Senior	1	3	:	:
OR	ACTIVE Senior	1 1	2 3	1 2	-2	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	1	4	<u>6</u>
HA,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	2	1	1	ACTIVE SENIDR	2 1	2 1	-	2
WA,H	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	1	5	5	ACTIVE SENIDR	5 3	3 1	:	7 6
GUAH	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	=	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1	10	-
NMI	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-	10	:	ACTIVE Senior	-	Ξ	. 1	:
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIDR	2-	-	1	<u>6</u>	ACTIVE SENIDR				

	TABLE V-1	
	U.S. DISTRICT CO	URTS
SERVICE PROVIDED	BY AND RECEIVED	FROM VISITING JUDGES
		ENDED JUNE 30, 1988
DURING THE INEL	TE AURIN FERIOU	ENDED JONE 30, 1988

					ELVE MONTH P	1				
	}		ED TO OTH	ER DISTRIC	CT COURTS	ļ		BY DTHER	DISTRICT	COURTS
CIRCUIT	PROV	DGES IDING VICE	CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI-	TRIALS COM-	PROVI	GES DING VICE	CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL CASES TERMI-	TRIALS
DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED*	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED*
10TH	ACTIVE SENIOR	11 3	85 2	43	3 -	ACTIVE SENIOR	17 3	92 2	4 2	4
C0	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	75	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	5-	-	2
KS	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1	-	2	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1	Ξ	-
NH	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 1	4	1	1	ACTIVE	3 1	3	-2	-
OK,N	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	1	1	:	ACTIVE	1	5	2	1
ΟΚ,Ε	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1	-	-	ACTIVE SENIDR	2 2	2 2	2	1
OK,H	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 2	- 1	2 3	1	ACTIVE SENIDR	4	2	2	Ξ
UT	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1	Ξ	Ξ	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	73	Ξ	2
WY	ACTIVE SENIDR	2	2	-	1	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1 -	-	-
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR				
11тн	ACTIVE SENIOR	17 4	56 213	2	17	ACTIVE SENIOR	19 12	49 243	4	15 44
AL.N	ACTIVE SENIDR	<u>+</u>	5	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	6 2	-	2 -
AL.M	ACTIVE Senior	1 -	1	Ξ	Ξ	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	Ξ	-
AL, S	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	210	Ξ	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 2	2 210	:	-
FL,N	ACTIVE Senior	-	-	2	Ξ	ACTIVE SENIDR	3 -	3 -	-	1
FL.M	ACTIVE Senior	-	Ξ	Ξ	2	ACTIVE SENIDR	4 8	1 31	4	6 44
FL,S	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	<u>4</u> -	1	2	ACTIVE SENIOR	=	-	Ξ	-
GA, N	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 1	37 2	1	7	ACTIVE SENIDR	2	1	-	1
GA,M	ACTIVE Senior	=	-	Ξ	-	ACTIVE SENIDR	4	3	2	1
GA,S	ACTIVE SENIDR	2 -	9	-	4	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	33	=	<u>+</u>
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 1	- 1	-	<u>4</u>	ACTIVE SENIOR				

	SERVIC	ES PROVID	ED TO OTH	ER DISTRIC	T COURTS	SERVICES RECEIVED BY OTHER DISTRICT COURTS						
CIRCUIT	PROV	DGES IDING VICE	CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL CASES	TRIALS	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE		CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL CASES	TRIALS		
AND DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	TERMI- NATED	TERHI- NATED	COM- PLETED*	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	TERMI- NATED	COH- PLETED*		
SPECIAL	C7 S					1						
INTL TOE	ACTIVE Senior	5 2	-2	2 7	17 15	ACTIVE SENIOR						
FED CIRC	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	=	-	ACTIVE SENIOR						
CLAIMS	ACTIVE	-	-	-		ACTIVE						

#### TABLE V-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Service provided by UND Received From Visiting Judges During the thelye Honth Preidd Ended June 30, 1988

\* INCLUDES ALL TRIALS COMPLETED DURING THE PERIOD, HHETHER OR NOT THE RELATED CASE WAS ALSO TERMINATED.

# TABLE V-2 U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES IN APPEALS TERMINATED During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	T	SERVICE	PROVIDED T	O COURTS	OF APPEALS			SERVICE	RECEIVED F	RON OTHER	COURTS	
					PATIONS IN DISPOSED OF	:					ATIONS IN ISPOSED OF	-
	JUDG PROVID SERVI	ING		AFTER	AFTER SUBMIS	AFTER OTHER	JUDG Provid Servi	ING		AFTER	AFTER SUBMIS	AFTER OTHER
CIRCUIT	STATUS	ND.	TOTAL	ORAL HEARING	SION ON BRIEFS	JUDICIAL ACTION	STATUS	NO.	TOTAL	ORAL HEARING	SIDN ON BRIEFS	JUDICIAL ACTIOH
TOTAL		424	4,529	3,160	1,079	290		424	4,529	3,160	1,079	290
	APPEALS						APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	49 53	286 594	226 530	43 38	17 26	ACTIVE SENIOR	49 53	286 594	226 530	43 38	17 26
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT		l			
	ACTIVE SENIOR	212 110	2,235 1,414	1,234 1,170	835 163	166 81	ACTIVE SENIOR	212 110	2,235 1,414	1,234 1,170	835 163	166 81
DC	[	10	80	67	1	12		43	237	195	7	35
	APPEALS						APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SENIDR	2	=	=	Ξ	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	17 7	72 28	62 24	6 	4
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	7 3	67 13	55 12	1	11 1	ACTIVE SENIDR	7 12	67 70	55 54	1	11 16
157		12	104	85	13	6		19	239	221	9	9
	APPEALS						APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	6 -	=	<u>6</u>	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	5 6	55 88	53 84	2 1	- 3
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT					
	ACTIVE SENIDR	7 2	48 50	38 47	5 2	5 1	ACTIVE SENIOR	6 2	46 50	37 47	4 2	5 1
2ND		42	398	277	49	72		31	391	235	52	104
	APPEALS	I					APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 9	э 115	2 105	1 8	2	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 2	54 26	33 15	9 2	12 9
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT		ļ			
	ACTIVE SENIDR	15 15	161 119	80 90	23 17	58 12	ACTIVE Senior	12 14	157 154	79 108	20 21	58 25

454

# TABLE Y-2 U.S. COURTS BF APPEALS Service Provided by AND Received From Visiting Judges In Appeals temninated During the Thelye Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

<u> </u>		SERVICE	PROVIDED	TO COURTS	OF APPEALS		SERVICE RECEIVED FROM OTHER COURTS					
					PATIONS IN DISPOSED OF	:			1		PATIONS IN DISPOSED D	
	JUDO PROVIO SERVI	ING		AFTER	AFTER SUBMIS	AFTER OTHER	JUDG PROVID SERVI	ING		AFTER	AFTER SUBMIS	AFTER DTHER
CIRCUIT	STATUS	ND.	TOTAL	ORAL HEARING	SION ON BRIEFS	JUDICIAL ACTION	STATUS	NO.	TOTAL	DRAL HEARING	SION DH BRIEFS	JUDICIAL ACTIDN
3RD		37	413	231	158	24		29	310	146	154	10
	APPEALS						APPEALS		1			
	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 6	2 56	2 52	- 3	ī	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 1	2 1	2 1	-	Ξ
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT					
	ACTIVE SENIDR	21 9	267 88	122 55	138 17	7 16	ACTIVE Senior	21 5	267 40	122 21	138 16	7 3
4TH		33	397	335	43	15		34	259	314	40	5
	APPEALS						APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SENIDR	-	=	-	-	1	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 4	1 36	1 33	ž	-
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT					
	ACTIVE SENIDR	21 12	190 207	164 175	24 19	2 13	ACTIVE Senior	21 8	190 132	164 116	24 13	2 3
5TH	1	28	220	189	21	10		30	189	161	22	6
	APPEALS		1				APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SEMIDR	2 8	2 116	1 100	1 9	ĩ	ACTIVE SENIDR	2 6	2 79	1 69	1 7	-3
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT					
	ACTIVE	14 4	69 33	63 25	6 5	ž	ACTIVE SENIDR	18 4	75 33	66 25	9 5	-3

		SERVICE	PROVIDED 1	O COURTS I	DF APPEALS			SERVICE	RECEIVED P	RON DTHER	COURTS	
				PARTICI APPEALS	PATIONS IN DISPOSED D	F					PATIONS IN DISPOSED OF	-
	JUDG PROVID SERVI	ING CE		AFTER	AFTER SUBMIS SIDN ON	AFTER DTHER JUDICIAL	JUDGI PRDVID SERVIO	ENG CE		AFTER DRAL	AFTER SUBMIS SIDH DN	AFTER DTHER JUDICIAL
CIRCUIT	STATUS	MD.	TOTAL	HEARING	BRIEFS	ACTION	STATUS	ND.	TOTAL	HEARING	BRIEFS	ACTION
6TH		44	699	341	284	74		39	656	285	298	73
	APPEALS						APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	5 6	14 47	14 45	-1	ī	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	16 1	3	13 1	-
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	26 7	539 99	229 53	239 44	71 2	ACTIVE	27 7	540 99	229 53	240 44	71 2
7TH		22	298	281	10	7		10	178	169	5	4
	APPEALS						APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 7	3 100	1 95	2 5	Ξ	ACTIVE SENIOR	ī	ĩ	- -	-	Ξ
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT					
	ACTIVE Senior	1 11	1 194	1 184	-3	7	ACTIVE SENIDR	1 8	1 176	167	5	Ā
8TH		37	209	178	16	15	}	29	224	196	21	7
	APPEALS						APPEALS					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	10 9	13 #3	8 68	5 3	12	ACTIVE Senior	2 8	87	2 76	1 12	1 1
	DISTRICT		l				DISTRICT					
	ACTIVE SENIOR	9 9	29 84	28 74	17	-3	ACTIVE	8 11	28 103	27 91	1 7	- 5

TABLE V-2 U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES IN APPEALS TERMINATED DUBLIC THE THEILY E MUNTUP DEFINE THE THE THE THE THE

#### TABLE V-2 U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES IN APPEALS TENNINATED During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1988

	:	SERVICE	PROVIDED 1	O COURTS	OF APPEALS		SERVICE RECEIVED FROM OTHER COURTS						
					PATIONS IN DISPOSED D						PATIONS IN DISPOSED 0		
	JUDG PROVID SERVI	ING		AFTER DRAL	AFTER SUBMIS SIDN DN	AFTER OTHER JUDICIAL	JUDG PRØVID SERVI	ING		AFTER DRAL	AFTER SUBMIS SION ON	AFTER OTHER JUDICIA	
CIRCUIT	STATUS	ND.	TOTAL	HEARING	BRIEFS	ACTION	STATUS	ND.	TOTAL	HEARING	BRIEFS	ACTION	
9TH		88	586	466	94	26		84	458	353	86	19	
	APPEALS			1			APPEALS						
	ACTIVE SENIOR	6 5	63 68	58 57	5 9	- 2	ACTIVE SENIDR	4 5	7 26	2 23	5 2	1	
	DISTRICT		]				DISTRICT						
	ACTIVE SENIOR	51 26	240 215	184 167	47 33	9 15	ACTIVE SENIOR	51 24	240 185	184 144	47 32	9	
107H		26	546	183	359	4		30	607	237	366		
	APPEALS						APPEALS						
	ACTIVE Senior	2	2 -	2	-	Ξ	ACTIVE SENIDR	3 2	28 29	23 25	5 1	-	
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT						
	ACTIVE SENIDR	18 6	436 108	86 95	349 10	1 3	ACTIVE SENIOR	18 7	436 114	86 100	349 11	1 3	
11TH		28	392	377	8	7		46	681	648	19	14	
	APPEALS						APPEALS						
	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	=		-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	6 10	45 190	44 176	1 9	5	
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT						
	ACTIVE Senior	22 6	188 204	184 193	26	2 5	ACTIVE SENIOR	22 8	188 258	184 244	2 7	17	
SPECIAL COURTS		17	187	146	23	18							
INT"L TRADE		5	52	46	4	2							
	ACTIVE Senidr	4 1	47 5	41 5	4	2							
FEDERAL CIRCUI	T	12	135	100	19	16							
	ACTIVE SENIOR	10 2	131 4	97 3	19	15 1							

-----

	himor	MEIG	hted fi Judges	LINGS <sup>1</sup> HIP		GHITED F	
DISTRICT	JUDGE- SHIPS 2	CIVIL	CRIH3	TOTAL	CIAIF	CRIN.3	TOTAL
TOTAL	571	413	64	477	418	75	493
DC	15	304	36	340	234	42	276
157							
ME MA MH RI PR	2 12 2 3 7	328 352 313 307 225	71 32 21 36 89	399 384 334 345 314	368 286 291 242 348	#9 26 21 31 110	457 312 312 273 451
2110	1						
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S HY,H VT	6 4 12 27 4 2	482 425 396 407 369 169	35 64 74 78 50	521 489 470 450 447 219	406 396 364 350 391 156	37 60 61 32 74 48	443 456 425 382 465 204
3RD							
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M	4 14 19 5 10	198 475 698 379 333	25 58 26 45 26	223 533 724 424 359	180 409 555 403 340	21 48 22 45 25	201 457 577 448 365
4TH	[i						
MD NC,E NC,M SC,W YA,E YA,E NY,N NY,S	10 4 3 3 8 9 4 2 4	356 287 282 311 345 413 391 230 393	58 110 79 108 143 143 42 101 68	414 397 361 419 383 556 433 331 461	375 426 398 376 447 386 534 279 457	80 303 83 114 45 308 41 96 59	455 729 481 490 492 694 575 375 516
5TH							
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, E TX, E TX, S TX, H	13 2 6 3 5 10 6 13 7	387 543 448 326 432 524 520 435 408	33 32 33 45 52 65 31 141 134	420 575 481 371 484 589 551 576 542	450 615 569 347 400 485 512 440 415	32 29 35 32 38 68 28 143 164	482 644 604 379 438 553 540 583 579
6TH							
KY, E KY, H MI, E DH, N OH, S TN, E TH, M	4.50 4.50 15 4 11 7 4 3 4	380 299 459 402 648 458 529 577	42244846	426 392 499 448 •694 516 575 637	516 341 454 594 490 518 555	41 134 39 43 43 43 43 53 104	557 493 543 637 556 571 659

#### TABLE X-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED FILINGS PER AUTHORIZED JUDGESHIP DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD SUDED JUNE 30, 1988 (ALL CRIMINAL CASES)

	ľ	HETO	HTED FI			GHTED F	111400
	JUDGE-	PER	JUDGES	HIP		JUDGES	
DISTRICT	SHIPS 2	CIVIL	CRIM.3	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIM.3	TOTAL
7TH							
IL.N IL.C	21 3	576 406	42 78	618 484	500 425	38 72	538 497
IL.S IN,N	35542	413 376	86 60	499 436	500 391	73 47	573 438
IN,S WI,E	5	375	49 35	424	429	84 35	513 390
W1, W	2	419	69	485	546	- 48	614
8TH							
AR,E AR,H	4	506 309	40 25	546 334	605 323	41 36	646 359
IA,N	1.50	347	60	407	515	48	563
1A.S MN MD.E	2.50	920 332	38 41	958 373	906 315	40 41	946 356
MD.E MD.W	6	480 367	45 54	525 421	482	45 112	527 581
NE	6 3 2 3	478	44 68	522 222	513 212	41 61	554 273
SD	3	159	87	246	173	76	249
9TH				}			
AK AZ	3	253 316	66 138	319 454	257 426	80 119	337 545
CA.N	12	444	56	500	537 407	67	604
CA, E CA, C	6 22	374 505	50	555	537	91 45	498 582
HI	7 3 2 3	266 512	264 85	530 597	285 426	255 517	540 943
ID MT	2	335 329	57 71	392 400	354 339	72	426 403
NY DR	4 5 3	391 439	87 77	478 516	415 438	86 74	501 512
HA.E	3	201	138	339	258	157	415
WA, W		322	74	396	353	180	533
CD	7	389	52	441	312	64	376
KS	5	412 419	61 131	473 550	436	74 142	510 552
OK,N	2.40	540	81	621	519	71	590
DK,E DK,W	1.35	450 488	53 70	503 558	541 474	51 94	592 568
UT WY	4 2	352 249	67 36	419 285	316	69 41	385 253
11TH.,							
AL, N	7	398	45	443	434	49	483
AL, H AL, S	3	559 404	60 56	619 460	524 459	94 59	618 518
FL,N FL,N	3	294 417	101 85	395 502	429 526	98 82	527 603
FL, S GA, N	9 15 11	283 329	103 57	386 386	301 314	98 56	399 370
GA, H	3	301	64	365	360	628	988
GA, S	3	377	69	446	426	90	516

# TABLE X-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS HEIGHTED AND UNHEIGHTED FILINGS PER AUTHORIZED JUDGESHIP DURING THE THELVE WONTH PERIOD EMDED JUNE 30, 1988 (ALL CRIMINAL CASES)

1 THE CASE MEIGHTS ARE BASED ON THE 1979 DISTRICT COURT TIME STUDY CONDUCTED BY THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER. 2 Authorized Judgeships as of Jume 30. Excludes the territorial courts. 3 Excludes Transfers.

	··	CRIMINA	L PELON	T CASED	DRLY)		
	JUDGE-		HTED FI			GHTED F	
DISTRICT	SHIPS 2	CIVIL	CRIM 3	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIH3	TOTAL
TOTAL	571	413	54	467	418	49	467
DC	15	304	32	336	234	31	265
15T		1					Ì
NE	2 12	328	68	396	368	63	431
MA	2	352 313	29	396 381 333	368 284 291	24 21	310 312
教I PR	2 3 7	307	20 36 87	343 312	242 348	28	270
2ND							
ст	6	482	36	518	406	12 21	438
NY.N	12	425	51	476	396 364	2	438 435 420
WY.E WY.S	12 27 4	407	36 51 69 39 58 45	446	350	54 24	378
NY.N VT	2	369 169	45	427 214	391 156	49 43	199
3RD							
DE	4	198	23	221	180	18	198
NJ	14 19	475	48 26	523 724	409	30 21	439 576
PA, E PA, M PA, M	5 10	379	42 25	421 358	403 340	39	442 364
4TH		333	49	396	340		
но	10	356	43	399	375	37	412
NG.E I	4	287 282	57 78	344	426 398	54	452 478
NC. M	4338942	311	94	405	376	91	467
SC VA.E	8	345 413	34 58	379 471	447 386	38 53	485 437
VA.H	4	391 230	41 98	432 328	534 279	38 92	572 371
WY,S	4	393	65	458	457	54	513
5TH							
LA, E	13	387 543	30 31	417 574	450 615	29 27	479
LA, H	6	448	25	476	569	25 32	594 379
LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E	2 6 3 5 10	326 432	25 44 51 62	370 483	347 400	32 37	379 437
TX.N	10 6	524	62	586 547	485 512	63 25	548 537
10.9	13	520 435	27 130	345	440	129	549
TX,H	7	408	106	514	415	122	537
6TH				105			
KY,E	4.50 4.50	380 299	45 39 37	425 338	516 341	39 36 35 37 40 56 47	555 377
MILLE	15	459	41.	496	341 454 500	35	489 537
MI, N DH, N DH, S	15 4 11 7 4 3	648 458	1332	445 692 512	594 490	40	634 546
TN, E	<u> </u>	527		572	518	47	545
TN, M	. 1	577	49	626	555	54	613

#### TABLE X-1A U.S. DISTRICT COUNTS MEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED FILINGS PER AUTHORIZED JUDGESHIP During the Theleve North Period Ended June Jo, 1988 (Criminal Feldny Cases DNLY)

	1		HTED FI			GHTED F	
DISTRICT	JUDGE- SHIPS 2	CIVIL	CRIM.3	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIH.3	TOTAL
718							
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N	21 3 3	576 406 413 376	38 73 79 57	614 479 492 433	500 425 500 391	34 61 62 44	534 486 562 435
IN,S HI.E HI.H	35542	375 362 419	36 33 68	411 395 487	429 355 546	32 32 63	461 387 609
BTH							
AR,E AR,N IA,N MN MD,E HD,N HE SD	4 3 2,50 7 6 3 2 3	506 309 347 920 332 480 367 478 154 159	38 22 56 37 39 42 51 42 64 86	544 331 403 957 371 522 418 520 218 245	605 323 515 906 315 482 469 513 212 173	38 26 42 37 37 37 51 38 51 38 54 72	643 351 557 943 352 521 520 551 266 245
9TH							
AK AZ CA.E CA.E HI HI NV DR HA.E HA.H	3 8 12 6 22 7 3 2 3 4 5 3 7	253 316 444 374 505 266 512 329 391 439 201 322	41 101 44 54 133 51 53 67 76 75 129 43	294 417 488 428 553 399 563 388 396 467 514 330 365	257 426 537 407 537 285 426 354 438 438 438 258 353	36 90 40 62 43 122 45 54 57 70 69 145 40	293 516 577 469 580 407 471 408 396 485 507 403 393
10TH	_						
CD KS DK.H DK.H DK.H DK.H UT WY	7 5 4 2.40 1.35 5.25 4 2	389 412 419 540 450 488 352 249	44 54 124 79 52 55 59 33	433 466 543 619 502 543 411 282	312 436 410 519 541 474 316 212	40 46 130 69 50 47 50 35	352 482 540 588 591 521 366 247
11TH							
AL, N AL, S FL, M FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, S GA, S	7 3 3 9 15 11 3 3	398 559 404 294 417 283 329 301 377	43 52 55 94 79 102 50 49 60	441 611 459 388 496 385 379 350 437	434 524 459 526 301 314 360 426	40 48 57 84 72 97 41 42 49	474 572 516 513 598 398 355 402 475

# TABLE X-1A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS MEIGHTED AND UNHEIGHTED FILINGS PER AUTHORIZED JUDGESHIP DURING THE TMELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988 (CRIMINAL FELDNY CASES DNLY)

THE CASE NEIGHTS ARE BASED ON THE 1979 DISTRICT COURT TIME STUDY CONDUCTED BY THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER.
 AUTHORIZED JUDGESHIPS AS DF JUNE 30. EXCLUDES THE TERRITORIAL COURTS.
 EXCLUDES TRANSFERS.

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	1987 TOTAL CIVIL CASES	1988 TOTAL CIVIL CASES	STOCK HOLDER SUITS	OTHER CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACT- IONS	ANTI- TRUST	VDTING	EMPLOY MENT RIGHTS	CIVIL	PRISON CIVIL Rights	PRISON	RICD	ERISA	DTHER LABOR SUITS	COMMOD	ALL DTHER
TOTAL	1,421	1,370	30	64	25	79	49	88	143	219	136	3	17	46	58	296	114
BC	5	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	1	-	-	1	3	-	4
1ST	1	21	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	6	2	-	-	-	-	9	
ME MA NH RI PR	- 22 8 - 4	- 11 7 - 3		-	-	3		-	1	- 3 - 3	1	1 1 1 1		-	-	- 3 6 - -	
ZHD	1	240	13	11	-	11	12	-	20	31	8	z	2	7	5	<del>9</del> 7	21
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	47 3 17 170 15 5	48 2 10 158 17 5	1 - 12 -	1 1 9 -	-	9 1 1 -	4 - - 7 1 -		1 17 2	6 1 3 13 7 1	- - 4 3 1	1	1	2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2 - 1	20 - 4 72 1 -	1 - - 16 1 3
3RD	1	201	9	5	2	14	15	-	7	27	15	-	7	12	5	70	13
DE NJ PA.E PA.M PA.H VI	25 49 41 19 40 -	29 59 49 20 44	8 1 - - -	1 2 1 - 1	2	155-3-	1 4 7 3	-	3	3 2 10 5 7	825	-	3	- 3 - 1 8 -	- 2 1 - 2 -	13 23 20 2 12	3 4 5 1

### TABLE X-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

														_			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	1987 TOTAL CIVIL CASES	1988 TOTAL CIVIL CASES	STOCK HOLDER	OTHER CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACT- IDNS	ANTI- TRUST	VOTING	EMPLOY MENT RIGHTS	CIVIL	PRISON CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISON )	RICD	ERISA	OTHER LABOR SUITS	SEC COMMOD EXCHG	ALL OTHER
4TH	1	55	1	4	4	6	1	1	6	8	1			2	4	8	,
но	37	24	_	1	-	3	1	-	3	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	6
NC. E	13	7	-	-	-	ī	-	1	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	ĩ	ĩ
NC, H	3	3	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	-	-	-	2	-	
NC.H	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SC	6	9	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	1
¥A, E	5	4	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
¥A, H	3	3		-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WV, N	÷ .	2		-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
WY, S	•	3	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
5тн	1	202	1	10	2	16	6	20	41	33	23	1	-	2	12	26	9
LA, E	20	38	_	2	1	8	-	1	8	4	7	-	-	-	_	4	3
LA, H	16	10	-		-	-	-	ĩ	1	6	<u>.</u>	-	-	-	1	2	ĩ
LA.H	9	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	ī	-	1	1	-	-	2	-	-
MS.N	33	19	-	1	-	-	-	10	3	4	1	-	-	~	-	-	-
MS, S	37	30	-	2	1	6	-	7	2	6	1	-	-	-	2	2	1
TX.N	33	38	1	1	-	-	3	-	10	2	-	-	-	1	3	15	2
TX, E	35	27	-	Z	-	2	1	1	3	<u>+</u>	10	-	-	-		4	-
TX,S TX,H	31 10	25 10	-	2	-	-	1		11	2	2	-	-	1	2	-	1
•••••	10	10	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	4	1	-	-	-	4	1	1
6тн	1	111	1	7	-	7	3	-	14	28	18			8	6	11	8
KY.E	10	8		-	-	-	1			2	1		_	_	-	3	1
ку.н 1	9	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	î
MI.E	5	4)	-	1	-	-	-	-	ī	1	1	-	-	_	_	-	
MI.H	16	22	-	2	-	1	-	-	3	2	7	-	-	1	з	1	2
BH.N	34	25	-	1	-	2	-	-	3	7	2	-	-	4	2	2	2
DH, S	10	13	-	2	-	1	-	-	2	2	1	-	-	1	-	2	2
TN, E	1	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TN.H (	14	10	1	ī	-	-	2	-	1	1	3	-	-	-	-	7	-
тн,н	28	18	-	T	-	1	-	-	Э	9	2	-	-	1	-	1	-

#### TABLE X-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	1987 TOTAL CIVIL CASES	1988 TOTAL CIVIL CASES	STOCK	OTHER CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACT- IONS	ANTI- TRUST	VOTING	EMPLDY MENT RIGHTS	CIVIL	PRISON CIVIL Rights	PRISON	RICO	ERISA	OTHER LABOR SUITS	SEC COMMOD EXCHG	ALL OTHER
7тн	1	133	-	4	-	+	9	1	17	30	18	-	1	4	14	18	13
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S	89 2 8 18 23	82 2 6 22 16	Ē	2		1 1 2	?		13 1 2	19 1 1 4	7 - 2 5 1		1	2	6 1 1 5	15 1 2	7
HI,E HI,H	7	5	=	2	-	2		-	-	1	3	=	2	Ξ	1	-	-
атн	1	89	1	3	4	4	3	1	12	9	17	-	2	1	2	18	12
AR,E, AR,H IA,N, IA,S, MD,E, MD,H, NE, ND SD	18 1 5 2 36 7 4 12 3	14 6 2 26 16 1 12 6		1	- 1 2 - 1	1	1	1	3 1 - 1 5 2 - -	1 1 2 - 1 3 - - -	1 1 1 		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1	4 1 12 1 1	1 2 3 - 1 1 4
9TH	1	108	1	7	13	6	-	-	5	15	11		-	3	2	28	17
АК СА. N СА. S СА. S HI NY NY NA. E HA. H GUAH NH	- 12 26 4 10 13 9 5 5 - 10 4 11 11	1 17 27 3 1 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 3 7 7 3 	1		1	- 1 1 2 1 - - -				- 12 22 - 31 12 22 - -						4 16 - 5 1 1 1 1 1	7 1

TABLE X-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

				DORING			JUINE		NDED JOI	NE 30, 1	300						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	1987 Total Civil Cases		STOCK HOLDER SUITS	DTHER CDH- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACT- Ions	ANTI- TRUST		EMPLOY MENT RIGHTS	CIVIL		PRISON	RICD	ERISA	OTHER LABOR SUITS		
10тн	1	25	2	5	2	1	_	-	3	1	2	-	1		-	7	1
ca	6	7	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	_	-	-	-	5	-
KS	6	<u>+</u>	-	-	1	+	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NH	2		1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
OK, E	1	1	_	2	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_
OK,H	5	4	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
บา	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
MY	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11ТН	131	170	1	8	1	7	-	65	16	26	20	-	4	6	5	4	7
AL. N	26	33	-	-	-	-	-	3	8	8	9	-	-	4	1	-	-
AL.H	15	68	-	1	1	-	-	58	3	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
AL.S	5	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FL,H	21	26	-	-	-	5	_	3	<u>+</u>	\$	3	-	-	1	1	-	-
FL.S	20	. 9	-	2	-		-	ĭ	-	ĭ	ž	-	1	÷	-	-	2
GA, N	15	11	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	3	-	-	3	-	1	1	=
GA, H	.?	9	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	2	3	-	-		1	-	1
GA, S	17	8	-	+	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

#### TABLE X-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

----

# TABLE X-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

NATURE OF SUIT	}				1968		
RATURE OF SUIT	}		U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASES	5
	1987	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL	DIVERSITY DF	LDCAL JURISDICTION
TOTAL CASES	610	742	14	48	998	82	
CONTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	74	66	11	3	15	37	
INSURANCE.	6	11		1		10	
	2	-	-	-	1 -	10	-
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS	ī	1 2	1	-	ī	-	-
RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGHENTS	1	1	1			1	
DEFAULTED STUDENT LDANS	=	ī	i		-	-	-
	7	8	8	-	-	-	-
OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	57	42	-	2	14	26	<u> </u>
REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS, TOTAL	10	11			······		
CONDEMNATION OF LAND.				2	2	7	-
	- 2	=	412	-	_		
	1	2	-	2	-	-	-
	2	6	-	-		1	-
OTHER REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS	5	2	_	= = 1	1	5	+
YORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	25	53	_		-	1	-
PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL		22	-	1	14	38	-
	17	38	-	1	8	29	-
PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY, TOTAL	5	16	-	_ {	3		
AIRPLANE.	-	-	-	-	3	13	-
	- 1	-	-	-	_	-	-
	1	2	-	-1	-	2	
OTHER	1		-	-	3	4	-
	- }	. 1	-	-}	-	7	-
DTHER PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL.	12	22	-	1	5	16	
	-	=	-	-	1	10	-
		2	-	~	2	-	-
	± 1	21	-	1	-	4	-
	- 1		-	_	-	-	-
	-	3	_		1	-	-
DTHER	11	12	-	-1	5	10	-
TABLE X-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS							
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------							
CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT							
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988							

	}				1988		
NATURE DF SUIT			U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASES	5
	1987	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION		
PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE, TOTAL FRAUD INCLUDING TRUTH IN LENDING OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	8 5 3	15 10 5	=		6 6 -	9 4 5	
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, TOTAL	501	612	3	42	567	-	-
ANTITRUST	10	26	1	-	25	-	-
BANKRUPTCY, TOTAL APPEAL (28 USC 158) MITHDRAMAL (28 USC 157)	1 1 -		-	-	111	-	-
BANKS AND BANKING	1	-	-	-	-	-	
CIVIL RIGHTS, TOTAL Voting Employment Accommodations Melfare DTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	185 33 48 4 14 86	288 158 46 12 7 65	1	15 1 4 2 - 8	272 157 42 10 7 54		
COMMERCE (ICC RATES, ETC.) ECONOMIC STABILIZATION ACT ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS		1 1 1	1	- -	1	-	-
DEPORTATION	1	-	-	-			-
PRISONER PETITIONS, TDTAL Motions to vacate sentence Habeas corpus. Nandawus and other Civil Rights	96 1 2 92	77 2 75	-	5 - 2 - 3	72 - 72		
FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL. AGRICULTURAL ACTS FOOD AND DRUG ACT AIR TRAFFIC REGULATIONS. DCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT. DTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS.	1 1 1 1 1	1	-				

#### TABLE X-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 AND 1988

	T		1943						
NATURE OF SUIT			U.S. (	ASES		PRIVATE CASES	;		
	1987	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION				
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED	T								
LABDR LAMS, TOTAL. FAIR LABDR STAMDARDS ACT. LABDR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT. LADDR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT. RAILMAY LABDR ACT. OTHER LABDR LITIGATION.	42 9 8 2 2 21	49 6 9 1 2 31	1	2 1 - 1	46 6 8 1 2 29				
PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL Dopyright, Patent Trademark	4	1 1 -	-	-	1 1 -		Ĩ		
SECURITIES, CONHODITIES, AND EXCHANGES	108	108	-	-	108	-	-		
SUCIAL SECURITY LAMS, TUTAL. MEALTH INSURANCE BLACK LUNG CASES. DISABLITY INSURANCE SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME RETIREMENT AND SURVIYORS BENEFITS	2 - - 2 -	3 1 2		3 1 2	-	-			
STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS TAX SUITS CUSTOMER CHALLENGE	- 2 - 3 44	- - - 55		- - 15	- - - 1 40				
DTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DOMESTIC RELATIONS Insamity. Probate			-	-	-	-	-		

# APPENDIX II PAYMENTS UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1987

## TO THE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON DEFENDER SERVICES

The following is a summary report of activities relating to the Criminal Justice Act (CJA) during fiscal year 1987 (FY 87) which ended on September 30, 1987.

## Status of Appropriations

The FY 87 appropriation for the implementation of the CJA was \$87,858,000. It is estimated that \$78,358,000 will be required in FY 87 to meet projected obligations, leaving an unobligated balance of \$9,500,000 which will be available for use in FY 88. The distribution of FY 87 projected obligations is as follows:

Federal Defender Organizations (FDO) <sup>1</sup> CJA Panel Attorneys         Investigative, Expert & Other Services         Transcripts         General Administrative Expense	43,710,000 2,950,000 <sup>2</sup> 3,700,000
Total	\$78,358,000

<sup>1</sup> The terms Federal Defender Organizations and Federal Defenders, as used in this report, refer to both Federal Public and Community Defender Organizations.

<sup>2</sup> This amount includes FDO expenses of \$380,000 for investigative, expert and other services which are not included in the FDO total above.

## Legislation

## **Criminal Justice Act Revision of 1986**

The Criminal Justice Act Revision of 1986, Public Law 99-651, became effective on March 14, 1987. A major provision of the new law authorizes the Judicial Conference to establish higher maximum hourly rates of compensation for CJA Panel attorneys not to exceed \$75, for particular places of holding court. Guidelines concerning the establishment of alternative hourly rates were promulgated by the Judicial Conference at its March 1987 proceedings.

471

To date the Judicial Conference, upon recommendation of the Criminal Justice Act Committee (since renamed the Committee on Defender Services) has established an alternative hourly rate maximum for four districts (California Northern, Central, Eastern and Southern). This rate, \$75 per hour for in and out of court time, is to be applied only in connection with death penalty habeas corpus representation. The "special" death penalty alternative rate became effective in the Northern, Central and Eastern Districts of California with respect to services performed on or after October 1, 1987, and in the Southern District of California with respect to services performed on or after November 6, 1987.

The Committee on Defender Services is scheduled to review applications for both general and special alternative rates at its January 1988 meeting. This review will include the consideration of applications which were submitted to but not acted upon by the Committee at its June 1987 meeting, new applications, and also, reconsideration of the special alternative rate already approved for the four California districts.

## Sentencing Reform Act of 1984

Provisions in the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, which became effective on November 1, 1987, for all offenses committed on or after that date, substantially changed the nature of the sentencing process and are expected to increase both federal defender and private panel attorney workload.

In addition to higher in-court hours due to the increased length of sentencing proceedings, a substantial growth in the number of out-of-court hours is expected. Complex sentencing factors and the use of unadjudicated criminal conduct, and a complicated assessment of a defendant's criminal history could require new efforts in investigation and preparation for sentencing. Evidentiary hearings may be required to resolve disputed facts essential to guideline sentencing. Either local rules or the guidelines will require the attorneys for the parties to submit written memoranda to the court and/or probation office for relevant sentencing factors and objections. It is reasonable to expect that more procedural due process will be required in sentencing under a mandatory guideline system than was necessary before November 1, 1987. The sentencing guidelines are also expected to result in more cases being tried. The narrow range permitted for sentencing guidelines which include prison terms (the maximum term may not exceed the minimum by more than 25 percent) may remove some of the incentive to plead guilty and result in more cases going to trial. From the other perspective, the government may be reluctant to enter into plea bargains which significantly diminish the presumptive sentence.

Finally, the option for both government and defense appeals of sentences both inside and outside the guidelines is expected to result in a significant increase in appellate litigation. However, the anticipated increase in the appellate caseload is not expected to have a significant impact on the overall number of cases opened. This is due to the elimination of the defendant's opportunity to file a motion to reconsider the sentence, as is now provided by Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Thus, higher "numbers" in appeals will likely be offset by lower "numbers" in the Rule 35 area. However, the work associated with sentencing appeals will be substantially more time-consuming than that required by the usual Rule 35 motion.

### **Representation in Federal Courts**

During FY 87, approximately 62,327 persons were represented in the United States courts pursuant to the provisions of the CJA. This compares with 59,324 appointments in FY 86 and 54,778 appointments in FY 85. The number of appointments in FY 87 was thus 5.1 percent greater than those in FY 86 and 13.8 percent greater than in FY 85.

The following tables compare appointments of counsel, and distribution of CJA funds, between panel attorneys and Federal Defenders for fiscal years 1985, 1986 and 1987.

	FY 198	5	FY 198	6	FY 1987		
	Percent of Appointments	Percent of Funds	Percent of Appointments	Percent of Funds	Percent of Appointments	Percent of Funds	
Panel Attorneys	47.6	60.3	46.9	62.4	46.4	62.0	
FDO <sup>3</sup>	52.4	39.7	53.1	37.6	53.6	38.0	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100. <b>0</b>	100.0	

#### Appointments and Funding Distribution by Fiscal Year

#### Cases Opened by Fiscal Year

	FY 1985	FY 1986	Percent Change FY 1985-1986	FY 1987	Percent Change FY 1986-1987	Percent Change FY 1985-1967
Panel Attorneys	26,098	27,817	6.6	28,915	3.9	10.8
FDO <sup>3</sup>	28,680	31,507	9.9	33,412	6.0	16.5
Total	54,778	59,324	8.3	62,327	5.1	13.8

<sup>3</sup>Excludes inmate requests from the United States Medical Center, Springfield, Missouri: 1,270 in FY 1985; 1,545 in FY 1986; and 1,528 in FY 1987.

The following table reflects the actual number of appellate and district court CJA appointments in fiscal years 1983 through 1986, and their distribution between panel attorneys and Federal Defenders. Projections for fiscal years 1987 through 1989 are based upon data recorded through November 30, 1987, historical trends, and information obtained from Federal Defenders and the Executive Office for United States Attorneys.

	U.S. C	ounts of Appe	als	U.S			
Fiscal Year	Panel <sup>4</sup> Attorneys	Defender Offices	Totai	Panel <sup>4</sup> Attorneys	Defender Offices	Total	Grand Total
Actual: 1983 1984 1985 1986	2,019 1,992 2,308 2,251	800 753 815 941	2,819 2,745 3,123 3,192	22,043 21,840 23,790 25,566	25,427 26,597 27,865 30,566	47,470 48,437 51,655 56,132	50,289 51,182 54,778 59,324
Estimate: 1987 1988 1989	2,670 4,500 4,500	867 1,466 1,683	3,537 5,966 6,183	26,245 26,245 27,213	32,545 34,354 35,304	58,790 60,599 62,517	62,327 66,565 68,700

<sup>4</sup>These figures do not reflect cancellations, waivers and terminations.

At the close of statistical year 1987, judiciary caseload statistics reflected that during the twelve month period ending June 30, 1987, a total of 43,292 criminal cases were filed, an increase of 4.0 percent from the statistical year 1986 level of 41,490. Criminal case filings increased in every circuit except the First, Second, Third and Fourth. The pending criminal caseload as of June 30, 1987 was 25,458 cases, an increase of 4.1 percent over 1986, its highest level ever.

Statistical year 1987 criminal filings increased in 9 of the 15 major offense categories. The primary contributor to the increase in the criminal caseload related to the Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act (DAPCA). Case filings under DAPCA rose from a 1986 total of 7,893 to a 1987 total of 8,869, an increase of 12.4 percent. Prosecutions under the marijuana provisions alone rose by 20.8 percent, while filings for narcotics violations and controlled substances increased by 8.6 percent and 8.4 percent, respectively. DAPCA cases now account for 21.0 percent of all criminal filings and approximately 29.1 percent of all criminal defendants.

## Major Federal Drug-Related Law Enforcement Activities

Federal Defender organizations have experienced a 128.9 percent increase in appointments in drug cases; from 1,980 in FY 82 to 4,532 in FY 87. Similarly, in-court hours for Federal Defender drug related cases have increased during this same period by 107.9 percent; from 8,219 to 17,089. A substantial proportion of these increases are believed to be attributable to three major Federal drug control programs which began after January 1983. A brief description of these programs follows.

## President's Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force Program

The President's Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force Program, which began reviewing cases in January 1983, was designed to disrupt major drug trafficking organizations operating in the United States, many of which are international in scope.

During the period November 1, 1986 through October 31, 1987, 399 Task Force cases were initiated throughout the country, producing 1,084 indictments involving a total of 3,235 defendants. Of

these defendants, 364 have been charged under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) statute, while 344 defendants were charged under the Continuing Criminal Enterprise (CCE) statute.

### **Operation Alliance**

"Operation Alliance" (Alliance), begun July 1, 1986, is a federal law enforcement effort to "seal" the border between the United States and Mexico, primarily from the inflow of illegal drugs. This program covers approximately 2,000 miles of the southern border of the United States, and involves thousands of Federal, State, and local law enforcement officials and sophisticated new equipment.

During the period October 1, 1986 through September 30, 1987, the combined activities of the various agencies involved accounted for over 6,424 drug seizures. The Federal Defender organizations in the Southwest Border area have experienced a 177 percent increase in appointments in drug cases; from 591 in FY 1986 to 1,636 in FY 87. A substantial proportion of this increase is believed to be attributable to Alliance.

### Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986

The Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986, Public Law 991-570, was signed into law on October 27, 1986, and is considered by the National Drug Policy Board to be the most comprehensive drug legislation in history. Among its provisions, this law includes many significant changes in the criminal sanctions available for drug trafficking and related activities. The Administrative Office will monitor the impact of this law to ensure that corresponding increases in the need for defense services can be met.

## Payments in Excess of the Statutory Minimum

The CJA provides that, in extended or complex cases, attorneys may receive compensation in excess of the general case compensation maximums when the presiding judicial officer certifies that such excess payment is necessary to provide fair compensation and the payment is approved by the chief judge of the circuit. Similarly, compensation in excess of the general maximum may be paid to persons providing investigative, expert and other services if the presiding judicial officer determines that the amount is necessary to provide fair compensation for services of an unusual character or

476

duration and the amount of the excess payment is approved by the chief judge of the circuit.

The number of payments in excess of the attorney case compensation maximums during FY 87 was 4,420, an increase of approximately 35.4 percent from the 3,264 total for FY 86. These claims include cases opened in prior fiscal years. The total amount paid through September 30, 1987, for services relating to these claims (including any interim payments made in prior fiscal years) was \$26,157,213.

The following table reflects the number of excess compensation payments for both attorneys and expert services by circuit during FY 87.

Circuit	Felonies/ Appeals	Misdameanors	Other Cases	Expert & Other Services	Total	Percent of Grand Total
District of						
Columbia	78	2	8	14	102	2.3
First	135	2	17	8	162	3.7
Second	409	14	59	82	564	12.8
Third	228	3	30	63	324	7.3
Fourth	174	3	18	43	238	5.4
Fifth	120	5	44	11	180	4.0
Sixth	193	5	74	20	292	6.6
Seventh	195	3	51	13	262	6.0
Eighth	214	5	113	53	385	8.7
Ninth	754	32	208	313	1,307	29.6
Tenth	116	2	5	47	170	3.8
Eleventh	353	8	43	30	434	9.8
Grand Total	2,969	84	670	697	4,420	100.0

#### Number of Excess Compensation Payments for Both Attorneys and Expert Services by Circuit FY 1987

The following is a summary of excess compensation claims paid during FY 87 for both attorneys and expert services:

- 2,969 excess payments were approved in felony cases and appeals. The largest of these payments amounted to \$115,178 in a criminal espionage case in the Central District of California. The average for all such payments was \$8,457.
- 84 excess payments for extended or complex representation in misdemeanor cases were approved. The largest was \$14,700 in an income tax fraud case in the Eastern District of Texas. The average for all such payments was \$2,133.
- 3. 670 claims were approved for other cases. The largest payment was \$16,776 for a habeas petitioner in the Western District of Washington; and the average for all matters was \$1,809.
- 4. 697 claims for compensation for expert and other services of an unusual character or duration were approved and paid. The largest single payment was \$250,336 for transcription and translation services in the District of Connecticut; and the average cost per case was \$2,670.

## Transcripts, Investigative, Expert and Other Services

As of November 30, 1987, \$4,333,630 had been paid from the FY 87 Criminal Justice Act appropriation for transcripts, investigative, expert and other services. It is estimated that, as later claims attributable to FY 87 are approved and paid, the total for FY 87 will amount to \$6,650,000. The following table reflects the cost of providing these services from FY 84 through FY 86, and estimates for FY 87 through FY 88.

Actual:	FY 1984	FY 1985	FY 1986
Transcripts	\$2,155,180	\$2,707,768	\$3,300,000
Investigators	333,112	530,330	559,350
Interpreters	173,994	203,710	680,199
Psychiatrists	217,849	246,240	319,925
Other Experts	359,350	753,432	665,765
Total	\$3,239,485	\$4,441,480	\$5,525,239
Estimate:	FY 1987	FY 1988	FY 1989
Transcripts	\$3,700,000	\$3,900,000	\$4,100,000
Investigators	820,000	890.000	960.000
Interpreters	535,000	580,000	630,000
Psychiatrists	365,000		
Other Experts	1,230,000	1,330,000	430,000 1,440,000
Total	\$6,650,000	\$7,100,000	\$7,560,000

Transcripts, Investigative, Expert and Other Services

## Federal Defender Organizations

Expansion of the Federal Defender Program continued with the creation of two branch offices. The Federal Defender organization for the Southern District of Texas established a branch office at McAllen, and the Federal Defender organization for the Central District of California is expected to open a branch office in Santa Ana on January 4, 1987.

Although a final accounting has not yet been completed, the cost of operating the Federal Defender Organizations during FY 87 is estimated to have been \$28,343,000 or 9 percent less than the amount authorized. Approximately 77.5 percent of the cost of the operation of 40 Federal Defender Organizations in FY 87 was expended for personnel compensation and benefits.

A total of 33,412 cases were opened by Federal Defenders in FY 87, and 32,759 were closed. Increases in caseload were realized by 77.5 percent of the reporting Defender Organizations during FY 87. The office experiencing the highest volume during this period was the Southern District of California with 7,681 openings. This organization closed 7,621 cases, 3,979 of which were immigration and petty offense cases.

Based upon original budget and grant submissions, as a group, Federal Defenders realized 105.6 percent of their projected caseloads. This compares with 103.9 percent and 103.0 percent for fiscal years 1986 and 1985, respectively.

\*U.S. G.P.O. 1989-230-034