m Fl SOS S

117504



117504

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Maryland	Dept.	of	Public	Safety
& Correct	lonal	Serv	vices	

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

M A R Y L A N D B A T T E R E D S P O U S E R E P O R T

NCJRS

MAY 30 1989

ACQUISITIONS

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

STATE OF MARYLAND

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

MARYLAND STATE POLICE PIKESVILLE, MARYLAND 21208-3899 AREA CODE 301 486-3101 TTY FOR DEAF AREA CODE 301 486-0677 BISHOP L. ROBINSON SECRETARY PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

COLONEL ELMER H. TIPPETT SUPERINTENDENT MARYLAND STATE POLICE

April 20, 1989

WILLIAM DONALD SCHAEFER GOVERNOR

> MELVIN A. STEINBERG LT. GOVERNOR

> > To: The Members of the General Assembly of Maryland State House Annapolis, Maryland 21404

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The Maryland State Police, in compliance with House Joint Resolution #32, "Battered Spouses," provides the enclosed data on Domestic Violence.

We continue to receive numerous requests for copies of this report. The data contained herein is utilized by criminal justice agencies, social service organizations, etc., dealing with the problems of Spousal Assaults.

We will continue to produce the Maryland Battered Spouse Report with the goal of providing users with valuable information to better understand and develop ways to curb Domestic Violence.

Sincerely,

Elm r. Jippett

Elmer H. Tippett Superintendent

DS:rs

Attachment

The 1988 Maryland Battered Spouse Report was prepared by the Maryland State Police, Criminal Justice Information Systems-Central Repository. Those responsible for the report are:

COLONEL ELMER H. TIPPETT SUPERINTENDENT MARYLAND STATE POLICE

1/SGT. JOHN S. MURPHY ACTING COMMANDER CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEMS-CENTRAL REPOSITORY

DENISE VIDI SCHERER ADMINISTRATIVE SPECIALIST

JOHN VESPA FIELD RECORDS REPRESENTATIVE

VICTOR KESSLER FIELD RECORDS REPRESENTATIVE

ROSE SOTTILE OFFICE SECRETARY

STEPHANIE DORSEY OFFICE CLERK

LIMITATIONS OF A BATTERED SPOUSE REPORTING PROGRAM

The main goal of the Maryland Battered Spouse Program is to furnish the legislature with statistics on Battered Spouses. However, there are limitations to the information collected which should be clearly understood before any conclusions are drawn from the data presented in this report.

Domestic Assaults of a non-aggravated nature are many times handled informally and, as a consequence, incomplete or inaccurate recording of the event may result. Procedures for handling nonaggravated domestic assaults vary between departments.

Non-aggravated domestic assaults in some instances are taken directly to the Court system and are not reported to a police department. This varies depending upon the county in which the assault occurs.

While the current method of collecting Battered Spouse information for this report provides less than a complete picture, there is at present, no other informational system in general use gathering these statistics from police agencies that will more accurately perform this task.

INTRODUCTION

The Maryland Battered Spouse Program was established through House Joint Resolution 32 which was introduced by Delegate Pauline Menes, requesting the Maryland State Police to maintain certain information on complaints of domestic assaults. The statistics in this report were collected from January 1, 1984 through December 31, 1988.

DEFINITION

A Battered Spouse in the Maryland UCR Program is considered to be:

- A married person living with their spouse upon whom an aggravated or non-aggravated assault was committed by their mate.
- 2. A married person estranged from their spouse upon whom an aggravated or non-aggravated assault was committed by their mate.
- 3. A male and female not married to each other and who are living together or had lived together at some time, upon whom an aggravated or non-aggravated assault was committed by their mate.

REPORTING PROCEDURES

The Battered Spouse report is part of the Uniform Crime Reports which are submitted monthly to Maryland State Police by 130 police agencies.

Under the Maryland Battered Spouse Program, law enforcement agencies are required to submit a specified Battered Spouse Report. The necessary information for this report is gathered monthly from each agency's record of complaints, investigation, and arrests. The UCR Field Representatives provided assistance and training to law enforcement agencies in the completion of this report.

CLASSIFICATION

Aggravated Assault, as defined under the Maryland Uniform Crime Reporting Program, is an unlawful attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type of assault usually is accompanied by the use of a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm. Attempts are included since it is not necessary that any injury result when a gun, knife, or other weapon is used which would result in serious personal injury if the crime were successfully completed. An assault in which hands, fists and feet are used, and severe personal injury to the victim results, is also classified as an aggravated assault.

Any assault in which hands, fists, and feet are used and no serious injury to the victim results, is classified as a nonaggravated assault.

***VOLUME**

A total of 14,521 spousal assaults were reported to law enforcement agencies during 1988. This represents an increase of 2.9% when compared to 1987.

FIVE YEAR TREND

	<u>1988</u>	1987	1986	1985	1984
JANUARY	1,084	1,089	1,034	891	716
FEBRUARY	1,016	1,017	9 78	846	689
MARCH	1,140	1,209	1,205	957	794
APRIL	1,201	1,131	1,173	954	763
MAY	1,308	1,247	1,292	1,126	791
JUNE	1,265	1,284	1,412	1,161	917
JULY	1,439	1,318	1,577	1,166	962
AUGUST	1,464	1,257	1,331	1,182	841
SEPTEMBER	1,163	1,131	1,327	1,058	816
OCTOBER	1,224	1,118	1,180	1,173	1,035
NOVEMBER	1,123	1,161	1,157	1,109	851
DECEMBER	1,094	1,145	1,122	1,035	1,010
TOTAL	14,521	14,107	14,788	12,658	10,185

*Does not include spousal assaults reported by Military Installations. See "Military Installation - Domestic Assault Section" in this report.

Analysis: There is no apparent statistical correlation by month. The 1988 statistics show a 2.9% increase in domestic assaults.

DAY OF WEEK

Friday, Saturday, and Sunday comprised 50% of assaults. 19% of all assaults occurred on Sunday, as compared to 18% on Saturday of 1988.

FIVE YEAR TREND

	<u>1988</u>	<u>1987</u>	1986	<u>1985</u>	1984
MONDAY	1,911	1,881	1,918	1,572	1,294
TUESDAY	1,865	1,684	1,770	1,568	1,162
WEDNESDAY	1,704	1,725	1,820	1,498	1,171
THURSDAY	1,771	1,804	1,804	1,569	1,236
FRIDAY	1,983	1,900	2,062	1,683	1,375
SATURDAY	2,586	2,487	2,665	2,370	1,951
SUNDAY	2,701	2,626	2,749	2,398	1,996
TOTAL	14,521	14,107	14,788	12,658	10,185

Analysis: Friday, Saturday and Sunday comprised over 50% of all assaults in the 5 year study.

HOUR OF THE DAY

57% of the spousal assaults occurred from 6:00 P.M. through 2:00 A.M.

FIVE YEAR TREND

	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984
12:00 A.M.	80 6	870	896	808	655
1:00 A.M.	801	749	82 7	655	58 0
2:00 A.M.	711	753	772	670	581
3:00 A.M.	537	479	5 45	486	351
4:00 A.M.	336	328	317	28 9	242
5:00 A.M.	245	245	243	205	150
6:00 A.M.	248	229	237	165	149
7:00 A.M.	30 3	320	298	210	172
8:00 A.M.	315	333	313	273	166
9:00 A.M.	379	334	350	302	225
10:00 A.M.	395	360	338	320	255
11:00 A.M.	385	383	384	295	275
12:00 Noon	485	469	455	392	313
1:00 P.M.	410	403	401	312	278
2:00 P.M.	437	389	432	396	281
3:00 P.M.	449	475	505	420	338
4:00 P.M.	59 3	530	542	499	393
5:00 P.M.	707	722	733	640	513
6:00 P.M.	839	847	862	769	613
7:00 P.M.	924	872	944	796	629
8:00 P.M.	1,001	983	1,011	908	723
9:00 P.M.	1,065	994	1,161	930	760
10:00 P.M.	1,079	1,020	1,136	928	745
11:00 P.M.	1,071	1,020	1,086	990	798

Analysis: Over 50% of all assaults occur from 6: P.M. through 2:00 A.M. Coupled with the hour of the day trend, 50% of all assaults will occur on Friday, Saturday and Sunday from 6:00 P.M. through 2:00 A.M.

AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS

A total of 2,824 aggravated assaults of a spousal nature were reported during 1988. This comprised 20 percent of the total spousal assaults. Also this represents a 19.5 percent increase when compared to the 2,364 aggravated spousal assaults reported in 1987. A total of 11,697 non-aggravated or simple assaults were reported during 1988. This comprises 80.6 percent of the total spousal assaults. This represents a .4 percent decrease when compared to the 11,743 non-aggravated spousal assaults reported in 1987.

VICTIMS OF SPOUSAL ASSAULTS BY WEAPON

Firearms comprised 10.3 percent of the total aggravated assaults and 2.0 percent of the total assaults. In 1987, firearms comprised 12.0 percent of the total aggravated assaults and 2.0 percent of the total assaults.

Knife or cutting instruments comprised 26.9 percent of the total aggravated assaults and 5.2 percent of the total assaults. In 1987, knife or cutting instruments comprised 28.7 percent of the total aggravated assaults and 4.8 percent of the total assaults.

Other dangerous weapons comprised 36.9 percent of the total aggravated assaults and 7.2 percent of the total assaults. In 1987, other dangerous weapons comprised 32.8 percent of the total aggravated assaults and 5.5 percent of the total assaults.

Aggravated assaults by physical force comprised 25.9 percent of the aggravated assaults and 5.0 percent of the total assaults. In 1987, aggravated assaults by physical force comprised 26.6 percent of the total aggravated assaults and 4.4 percent of the total assaults.

Non-aggravated simple assaults accounted for 80.6 percent of all spousal assaults. In 1987, non-aggravated simple assaults comprised 83.2 percent of all spousal assaults.

VICTIMS

In 1988, spousal assault victims were female in 84.8 percent of all cases as compared to 85.7 percent in 1987. This represents a .9 percent decrease in female victims.

55.6 percent of the victims were white, while 43.3 percent were black and 1.1 percent were of other races. In 1987, 56.9 percent were white, 42.3 percent were black, and .7 percent were of other races.

VICTIMS

% OF AGGRAVATED VS. NON-AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS

FIVE YEAR TREND

CLASSIFICATION	SEX	1988	1987	1986	1985	<u>1984</u>
FIREARM	M	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5
	F	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.1
KNIFE OR CUTTING	M	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.7	2.8
INSTRUMENT	F	2.7	2.6		2.9	3.1
OTHER DANGEROUS	M	2.4	1.7	2.3	2.9	2.8
WEAPON	F	4.8	3.8	4.9	6.0	5.7
HANDS, FISTS,	M	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.5
FEET, ETC.	F	4.7	4.1	5.0	8.0	6.7
TOTAL AGGRAVATED	M	5.5	4.5	5.7	6.7	6.5
ASSAULTS	F	14.0	12.2	14.1	18.8	17.6
TOTAL NON-AGGRA-	M	9.7	9.7	8.7	8.5	8.1
VATED ASSAULTS	F	70.9	73.5	71.5	66.0	67.8
GRAND TOTAL	M	15.2	14.3	14.4	15.2	14.7
	F	84.8	85.7	85.6	84.8	85.3

, ASSAULTS

NUMBER OF INCIDENTS

CLASSIFICATION	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984
FIREARM	290	28 3	325	298	259
KNIFE	760	678	735	704	597
OTHER DANGEROUS WEAPONS	1,042	775	1,057	1,134	866
HANDS, FISTS, FEET, ETC.	732	628	810	1,095	733
NON-AGGRAVATED	11,697	11,743	11,861	9,427	7,730
TOTAL	14,521	14,107	14,788	12,658	10,185

HOUSEHOLD STATUS

Statistics show that 75.6 percent of the total spousal assaults occurred while spouses were living together at the time of the assault, while 15.9 percent were estranged. In 8.6 percent of the cases, the household status were unknown. In 1987, 74.8 percent of the total spousal assaults occurred while spouses were living together, while 16.0 percent were estranged. In 9.2 percent of the 198 cases, the household status were unknown. Those assaults counted in the living together category included married persons, as well as those unmarried couples, living together.

CIRCUMSTANCES

21.4 percent of the circumstances were reported to police departments as "arguments" with no further explanation. In 37.3 percent of the spousal assault cases, no reason at all was given to the responding officer. In 1987, 20.6 percent were reported as "arguments" with no further explanation, and in 36.7 percent of the cases, no reason was given at all.

The only significant reason given to police was alcohol related situations which accounted for 17.7 percent of the total. In 1987, alcohol related situations accounted for 18.9 percent of the total, also the most significant reason given to police.

ŧ

CIRCUMSTANCES

NATURE OF					
ARGUMENT	1988	<u>1987</u>	1986	<u>1985</u>	1984
ALCOHOL	2,563	2,673	2,600	2,284	1,635
DRUGS	290	202	165	119	72
FOOD/COOKING	84	99	121	66	92
FRIENDS	125	137	232	139	140
GAMBLING	5	2	10	9	3
HOUSEHOLD CHORES	77	66	117	78	53
INFIDELITY	710	744	732	707	545
EMPLOYMENT JOB RELATED	80	97	103	107	90
MENTAL IMBALANCE	44	45	50	54	37
MONEY	714	585	615	603	462
OFFSPRING	652	648	760	702	599
PROPERTY	361	424	538	490	331
RELATIVES	114	91	. 116	128	91
SEX	130	144	172	162	95
HOBBY	4	4	17	12	6
Τ.V.	35	29	26	24	24
OTHER	3,112	2,909	2,673	2,100	1,661
UNKNOWN	5,421	5,172	5,741	4,874	4,249
TOTAL	14,521	14,107	14,788	12,658	10,185

CLEARANCES

There are two ways of clearing a case. One is by making an arrest and charging the person with the offense. The second method is known as an exceptional clearance, whereby the police department knows who committed the offense, knows the location of the offender so that they can take him into custody. They must also have enough information to support an arrest, charge, and turning over to the court for prosecution. However, there is some reason beyond police control that prevents the department from making an arrest. The most frequent reason is the victim's refusal to cooperate in the prosecution.

88.3 percent of all spousal assault cases reported to law enforcement agencies are known to be cleared. 21.4 percent were cleared by arrest and 66.9 percent were exceptionally cleared. In 11.6 percent of the incidents, the clearance was unknown. In 1987, 82.5 percent were cleared, 22.2 percent were cleared by arrest, and 60.3 percent were exceptionally cleared. In 17.4 percent of the incidents, the clearance was unknown.

BATTERED SPOUSE - BY COUNTY

	<u>1988</u>	1987	1986	1985	1984
REGION I - EASTERN SHORE	659	698	670	614	507
Caroline County	27	47	52	40	34
Cecil County	218	233	250	175	157
Dorchester County	53	51	63	61	45
Kent County	25	15	13	23	21
Queen Anne's County	78	62	40	42	37
Somerset County	48	56	37	37	31
Talbot County	26	30	39	39	23
Wicomico County	117	126	115	122	90
Worcester County	67	78	61	75	69
REGION II - SOUTHERN MARYLAND	643	655	419	262	198
Calvert County	123	96	127	86	108
Charles County	300	346	104	36	27
St. Mary's County	220	213	188	140	63
REGION III - WESTERN MARYLAND	6 9 8	638	666	5 9 8	447
Allegany County	116	82	57	39	54
Carroll County	197	212	238	200	. 148
Frederick County	231	184	234	242	182
Garrett County	102	95	85	65	12
Washington County	52	65	52	52	51

BATTERED SPOUSE - BY COUNTY

FIVE YEAR TREND

	<u>1988</u>	<u>1987</u>	<u>1986</u>	<u>1985</u>	1984
REGION IV - WASH. METRO REGION	3,247	3,137	3,326	1,644	1,271
Montogomery County	1,072	909	759	548	486
Pr. George's County	2,175	2,228	2,567	1,096	785
REGION V - BALTO. METRO REGION Baltimore City Anne Arundel County Baltimore County	9,271 2,886 513 4,990	8,970 3,087 468 4,766	9,700 3,400 536 5,168	9,539 3,304 555 5,058	7,762 2,844 774 3,648
Harford County	351	343	378	372	324
Howard County	531	306	218	250	172
PARKS	3	9	7	1.	0
STATE TOTAL	14,521	14,107	14,788	12,658	10,185

Analysis: As a result of continued training, there now seems to be consistent figures generated by law enforcement agencies.

AGGRAVATED VS. NON-AGGRAVATED

	1988	<u>1987</u>	1986	1985	1984
REGION I - EASTERN SHORE					
CAROLINE COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	11 16 27 21st	8 39 47 22nd	10 42 52 19th	13 27 40 19th	9 25 34 19th
CECIL COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	40 178 218 10th	45 188 233 9th	42 208 250 7th	38 137 175 10th	27 130 157 9th
DORCHESTER COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	21 32 53 18th	11 40 51 21st	16 47 63 16th	22 39 61 16th	17 28 45 17th
KENT COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	4 21 25 23rd	5 10 15 24th	3 10 13 23rd	1 22 23 23rd	1 20 21 23rd
Queen Anne's County					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	17 61 78 16th	21 41 62 19th	8 32 40 20th	8 34 42 18th	11 26 37 18th
SOMERSET COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	11 37 48 20th	6 50 56 20th	7 30 37 22nd	10 27 37 21st	9 22 31 20th

AGGRAVATED VS. NON-AGGRAVATED

	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984
TALBOT COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	5 21 26 22nd	10 20 30 23rd	7 32 39 21st	4 35 39 20th	8 15 23 22nd
WICOMICO COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	35 82 117 13th	32 94 126 13th	24 91 115 13th	12 110 122 12th	6 84 90 12th
WORCESTER COUNTY					•
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	17 50 67 17th	18 60 78 17th	15 46 61 17th	19 56 75 14th	22 47 69 13th
REGION II - SOUTHERN MARYL	AND				
CALVERT COUNTY			1		
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	26 97 123 12th	19 77 96 14th	28 99 127 12th	16 70 86 13th	25 83 108 11th
CHARLES COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	69 231 300 8th	70 276 346 6th	16 88 104 14th	6 30 36 22nd	5 22 27 21st
ST MARY'S COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	53 167 220 10th	42 171 213 10th	42 146 188 11th	34 106 140 11th	11 52 63 14th

AGGRAVATED VS. NON-AGGRAVATED

	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984
REGION III - WESTERN MARYLAND					
ALLEGANY COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	23 93 116 14th	14 68 82 16th	13 44 57 18th	13 26 39 20th	13 41 54 15th
CARROLL COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	25 172 197 11th	38 174 212 11th	39 199 238 8th	47 153 200 9th	30 118 148 10th
FREDERICK COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	64 167 231 9th	57 127 184 12th	74 160 234 9th	89 153 242 8th	62 120 182 7th
GARRETT COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	5 97 102 15th	19 76 95 15th	15 70 85 15th	8 57 65 15th	1 11 12 24th
WASHINGTON COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	24 28 52 19th	22 43 65 18th	16 36 52 19th	14 38 52 17th	18 33 51 16th

AGGRAVATED VS. NON-AGGRAVATED

	1 9 88	<u>1987</u>	1986	1985	1984
REGION IV - WASHINGTON METRO REGION					
MONTGOMERY COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	124 948 1,072 4th	91 818 909 4th	96 663 759 4th	79 469 548 5th	51 435 486 5th
PR. GEORGES COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	470 1,705 2,175 3rd	466 1,762 2,228 3rd	542 2,025 2,567 3rd	339 757 1,096 3rd	283 502 785 3rd
REGION V - BALTIMORE METRO REGION					
BALTIMORE CITY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	437 2,449 2,886 2nd	457 2,630 3,087 2nd	487 2,913 3,400 2nd	547 2,757 3,304 2nd	453 2,391 2,844 2nd
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	154 359 513 6th	125 343 468 5th	123 413 536 5th	181 374 555 4th	191 583 774 4th
BALTIMORE COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	1,042 3,948 4,990 1st	712 4,054 4,766 Ist	1,220 3,948 5,168 1st	1,644 3,414 5,058 1st	1,143 2,505 3,648 1st

AGGRAVATED VS. NON-AGGRAVATED

	1988	<u>1987</u>	1986	1985	1984
HARFORD COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	81 270 351 7th	48 295 343 7th	50 328 378 6th	60 312 372 6th	44 280 324 6th
HOWARD COUNTY					
Aggravated Non-Aggravated Total Ranking	66 465 531 5th	27 279 306 8th	29 189 218 10th	27 223 250 7th	15 157 172 8th

MILITARY INSTALLATIONS

DOMESTIC ASSAULTS

<u>1988</u>

	AGGRAVATED	NON-AGGRAVATED	TOTAL	
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND	0	7	7	
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE	11. se	52	63	
FORT RITCHIE	0	3	3	
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE	18	7	25	
PATUXENT NAVAL AIR STATION	0	0	0	
FORT DETRICK	0	0	0	
U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY	0	6	6	
GRAND TOTAL	29	75	104	

MARYLAND SUPPLEMENTARY BATTERED SPOUSE REPORT

		••••	····	ha / 1						<u> </u>										
			AGENCY I	DENTIF	IER		Forward By 7th Day After The End of Each Month To: Incident Based Reporting Section Maryland State Police, Pikesville, Md. 21208										Y			
									_							Page	of			
	- Date			1	Victin		01	Offender							DISPOSITION					
Assault Classifi- cation i.e. 4C		Day of Week	Time	Age	Age	Sex	Sex	Age Sex	Race	Age	Sex	Race	CODE	INJURIES (Serious, slight, none)	CODE	HOUSEHOLD STATUS (Living together or estranged)	E	(Arrest, Exceptional, Unknown)		CIRCUMST gument over m argument over
	• 	*	+	4	1															
			<u> </u>				┼╼╴		╂╼──					-		<u> </u>				
			<u> </u>	+												<u> </u>				
				1	ļ	<u> </u>												• 		
		<u> </u>	+	-																
		<u> </u>	+	+	<u> </u>				┼──			-+				<u>}</u>				
<u></u>		ļ]			ļ		 							 				
			+	-																
					<u> </u>	+	1		1									<u></u>		
		+	<u> </u>	+					 							ļ				
	<u> </u>	+	+	-																
				_														······································		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+		┼		<u> </u>		╂	┼──	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
		1		1																
* Fill in bottom space ** Code (1) Sunday (2) Monday (3) Tuesday (4) Wednesda (5) Thursday		ay Jay day	Military i.e.: 1:00A 1:00P			ry Tir 0AM				Injuries Codes: (0) Unknown (1) Serious (2) Slight		Household Status Codes: (0) Unknown (1) Living Together		Disposítion Codes: (0) Unknown (1) Arrest		Circumstances: Do not code unless you are already coding				
										(3) None			(2) Estranged	(2	(2) Exceptional clearance		DO N	OT USE THIS SPACE		
	(6) Frida	iy .												(3) Unfounded		RECORDE	INITIALS		
	(7) Satu	rday									Date of Report						EDITED			
Depart	ment Report	ing							-	1	Prepared By	<u> </u>		Tele	ephone No.		PUNCHED			
19											ADJUSTED									
Report	for Month c	of	-		_			_		;	Head of Department									

6

7

Md UCR Form 9 Rev. 10/88

,

*