

# 1988 Grand and Petit Juror Service in United States District Courts

Prepared by the Administrative Office of the United States Courts



117568

## 1988 GRAND AND PETIT JUROR SERVICE

## in United States District Courts

117568

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Public Domain/Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the cappinght owner.

Prepared by:

Statistical Analysis and Reports Division

**Administrative Office of the United States Courts** 

Washington, D.C. 20544

December 1988

NCJRS

JUN 5 1989

ACQUISITIONS

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
FOREWORD		iii
		4
SECTION I:	Juror Service	1
Grand Jurors		1
Table 1.	National Grand Juror Statistics, 1981 through 1988	1
Chart -	Grand Jury Sessions Convened and Defendants Indicted, 1983 through 1988	3
Table 2.	Grand Juror Service	4
Table 3.	Duration of Grand Juries Discharged	
m-11- 4	July 1, 1983 to June 30, 1988	6
Table 4.	Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Service	8
The Effec	t of the Speedy Trial Act of 1974	9
Petit Jurors		9
Table 5. Chart -	National Petit Juror Service, 1983 through 1988 Petit Juror Service	9 10
Table 6.	Petit Juror Service on Days Jurors	10
Table 0.	Were Selected for Trial	12
Table 7.	Juror Costs Assessed for Cancelled Trials	14
Comparison (	of Juror Service 1984 through 1988	15
Table 8.	Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving on	10
	Days Jurors Were Selected for Trial,	
	1984 through 1988	16
Table 9.	Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving,	
	or Challenged on Days Jurors Were Selected for Trial,  1984 through 1988	17
	1304 through 1300,	т.
Juror Service	Check Sheet	18
	et on Juror Service Factors that May Influence the	
Outcor	ne of Juror Statistics	19
SECTION II:	Juror Costs	20
Chart -	How Juror Dollars Were Spent in 1988	20
Table 10.	National Juror Payments, 1984 through 1988	21
Grand Juror	Costs	22
Table 11.	Estimated Grand Juror Expenditures	23
		<b>~</b> :
	Costs	24 25
Table 12.	Estimated Petit Juror Expenditures	25

	Page
SECTION III: Juror Service Profile Sample	26
Explanation of Entries on District Juror Service Profiles	27
District of Columbia. First Circuit Second Circuit Third Circuit Fourth Circuit Sixth Circuit Seventh Circuit Eighth Circuit Eighth Circuit Eighth Circuit Eighth Circuit Eighth Circuit Eighth Circuit Tenth Circuit Eleventh Circuit	A-3 A-7 A-15 A-23 A-31 A-43 A-55 A-67 A-77 A-89 A-107 A-117
APPENDIX A Glossary of Terms	A-127
APPENDIX B  List of Most Recent Local Rules Setting the Size of  Civil Juries Adopted by U.S. District Courts	A-129
APPENDIX C Local Rules Assessing Juror Costs for Late Settlements	A-137
National Juror Service Profile	A-147

#### FOREWORD

Since 1971, the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts has published a report on juror service in the U.S. district courts. This report presents grand and petit juror data for 1988. The statistics provided are computed from the JS-11 and JS-11G monthly reports submitted by each district court during the year.

The present grand juror reporting system began in July 1974. The petit juror reporting system began in July 1970, but was revised in July 1982. Much of the petit juror data published since July 1982, therefore, is not comparable to prior years because it emphasizes juror service for selection days only. Data published prior to July 1982 reflect overall juror service. Revisions made to the petit juror reporting system in 1982 are fully explained in the 1983 Grand and Petit Juror Service Report.

The report is divided into three sections. Section I contains text and summary tables on grand and petit juror service, while Section II provides estimates of expenditures for juror attendance, mileage, subsistence, and other costs. Section III consists of individual profile pages that highlight pertinent juror statistics for each district. Historical data summarizing the last five years are provided along with comments discussing specific factors that affected a district's juror statistics.

A fold-out of the national averages appears at the end of this report. When comparing national averages to the averages for a particular district court, however, caution is required because unusual circumstances not reflected in the statistical profile might have caused the court to vary substantially from the national average.

December 1988

#### SECTION I

#### JUROR SERVICE

This report summarizes juror activity during 1988. The statistics presented on the following pages are based on data received from the U.S. district courts on the grand juror reporting form (JS-11G) and the petit juror reporting form (JS-11). These forms are submitted monthly to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.

Although similarly selected at random from a fair cross section of the community, there is a distinction between the **petit jury** and the **grand jury**. A petit jury hears evidence from both parties in a civil or criminal case and renders a verdict. A grand jury has a different function. Grand jurors hear only the government's side of a <u>criminal</u> case and they do not render a verdict. Their final decision may be that insufficient evidence has been presented to proceed against an accused individual or it may be an **indictment**, which is a determination that there is enough evidence to bring the person in question to trial to determine his innocence or guilt.

The text compares one district to another; however, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands are not included in the comparisons because of the unique circumstances existing in these territorial courts.

#### **Grand Jurors**

Grand juror activity declined for the fourth year in 1988, partly because U.S. attorneys continue to make more efficient use of the system. The number of grand juries serving declined to 764, compared to 787 serving last year. As a result, there were decreases of 2 percent in the number of sessions convened, jurors serving and hours in session. The number of cases filed by indictment in the U.S. district courts dropped by 236 (1 percent), which was the first decrease since 1980. Despite this decline, the number of defendants indicted rose 1 percent as 3.58 defendants were indicted per session; this is the highest average since 1978. A 16 percent rise in drug cases, many of which have multiple defendants, contributed to the overall increase in defendants indicted per session. Table 1 provides a summary of grand jury activity for the last eight years.

Table 1 National Grand Juror Statistics 1981 through 1988

			Ji	urors	H	ours	Proce Comm by Indi	Defendant Indicted	
Year	Juries Serv- ing	Sessions Con- vened	Total	Average Per Session	Total	Average Per Session	Cases	Defen- dants	Average Per Session
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
1981	738	10,997	219,860	20.0	58,278	5.3	17,245	27,367	2.49
1982	739	10,508	210,213	20.0	55,569	5.3	18,462	29,385	2.80
1983	732	11,157	222,980	20.0	58,769	5.3	20,108	31,742	2,85
1984	741	11,804	232,844	19.7	61,425	5.2	20,866	33,781	2.86
1985	762	11,584	228,785	19.8	61,435	5.3	21,670	35,121	3.03
1986	792	11,364	223,866	19.7	60,506	5.3	23,372	37,757	3.32
1987	787	11,011	216,285	19.6	59,335	5.4	24,090	38,322	3.48
1988	764	10,817	211,610	19.6	58,109	5.4	23,854	38,716	3.58

Revised

Table 2 summarizes, by district, grand jury activity during 1988. The overall decrease in grand jury activity was due, in large part, to substantial declines in the number of sessions convened in New York, Southern (down 104 sessions) and Massachusetts (down 90 sessions). Both of these districts reported declines of more than 24 percent in the number of criminal cases filed which explains their large drop in grand jury usage. New York, Southern still recorded the most activity with 929 sessions convened, 17,981 jurors in session, and 3,772 hours in session. Together, the three busiest districts in terms of the number of grand jury sessions (New York, Southern; Florida, Southern; and New York, Eastern) accounted for more than 20 percent of all grand jury sessions convened during 1988.

There are two types of grand juries: regular and special. A regular grand jury meets to examine the evidence of specific crimes while a special grand jury acts as an advisory body studying patterns in the district's criminal activities. A regular grand jury can serve up to 18 months but its term of service can be extended up to 24 months if such an extension is in the public interest<sup>1</sup>. During 1988, Federal judges granted extensions for 107 regular grand juries. A special grand jury has greater discretion than a regular grand jury and its term of service can last up to 36 months<sup>2</sup>. Thirteen percent (97) of the 764 grand juries serving during the year were special grand juries.

A grand jury cannot convene unless there are between 16 and 23 jurors present. The category "Jurors in Session" includes only those jurors who participated in a convened session and excludes 15,558 additional jurors in travel status, reporting for orientation or impanelment only, or awaiting a quorum of 16. During 1988, an average of nearly 20 jurors attended each grand jury session; with individual districts ranging from a high of 22.8 jurors in Oklahoma, Eastern to a low of 18.5 jurors in Rhode Island and Nebraska. Despite the Department of Justice's policy of scheduling a minimum of six hours of business per grand jury session convened, the average number of hours per session remained constant at 5.4. During 1988, only 44 districts averaged at least six hours per session.


Table 3 summarizes five years of data on the number of months each grand jury served. Nearly 30 percent of the 274 grand juries discharged during 1988 served 18 months. Another 16 percent served 24 months, the maximum term of service for a regular grand jury. Of the 29 special grand juries discharged, only 14 percent served a full 36 months.

Table 4 provides, by district, the number of criminal cases commenced by grand jury indictment and the number of defendants proceeded against by indictment. Nationally, the average number of defendants named per indictment was 1.6.

1Rule 6(g) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
2Title 18 U.S.C. Section 3333.

#### GRAND JURY SESSIONS CONVENED AND DEFENDANTS INDICTED

TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1983 THROUGH 1988



### TABLE 2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS GRAND JUROR SERVICE FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

TOTAL 764 10,817 211,610 58,109 19.6 5.4  DC 7 415 7,971 1,903 19.2 4.6  1ST	DISTRICT	TOTAL SERVING IN THE PERIOD	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER JURORS PER SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER HOURS PER SESSION
1ST  ME	TOTAL	764	10,817	211,610	58,109	19.6	5.4
ME	DC	7	415	7,971	1,903	19.2	4.6
MA	1ST						
NH 5 5 53 983 306 18.5 5.8 PR 5 5 53 983 306 18.5 5.8 PR 5 5 53 983 306 18.5 5.8 PR 3 67 1,298 402 19.4 6.0 2ND	ме	3	43	859	298	20.0	6.9
RI 5 5 53 983 306 18.5 5.8 PR 3 67 1,298 402 19.4 6.0 PR 3 67 1,298 402 19.4 6.0 PR		1					
PR     3     67     1,298     402     19.4     6.0       ZND     ZND     2ND     2ND     2ND     402     19.4     6.0       CT     11     126     2,355     644     18.7     5.1       NY.N     9     114     2,205     562     19.3     4.9       NY.S     50     929     17.3     3,395     923     19.6     5.3       NY.T     6     66     66     1,271     257     19.3     3.9       3RD     3RD     3     25     488     193     19.5     7.7       NJ     17     263     5,044     1,255     19.2     4.8       PA.E     22     332     6,163     1,626     484     19.8     5.9       PA.M     9     82     1,628     484     19.8     5.9       PA.M     9     82     1,628     484     19.8     5.9       PA.M     9     82     1,223     381     19.9     4.6       NC.E     7     62     1,233     381     19.9     6.1       WC.M     7     83     1,650     640     19.9     7.7 <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		1					
CT 11 1 126 2,355 644 18.7 5.1 NY.N 9 114 2,205 562 19.3 4.9 NY.E. 47 631 11,894 2,988 18.8 4.7 NY.S 50 929 17,981 3,772 19.4 4.1 NY.W. 9 173 3,395 923 19.6 5.3 VT 6 66 1,271 257 19.3 3.9   JRD 3 25 488 193 19.5 7.7 NJ 17 263 5,044 1,255 19.2 4.8 NJ 17 263 5,044 1,255 19.2 4.8 NJ 12 139 2,781 842 20.0 6.1 VI							
NY, N	2ND						
NY, E   47	ст	11	126	2,355	644	18.7	5.1
NY, S			l I				
NY, W		1	1				
VT       6       66       66       1,271       257       19.3       3.9         3RD       3       25       488       193       19.5       7.7         NJ       17       263       5,044       1,255       19.2       4.8         PA       17       263       5,044       1,255       19.2       4.8         PA       9       82       1,626       484       19.8       5.9         PA       12       139       2,781       842       20.0       6.1         VI       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         ATH       -		1					
JRD       3RD       3RD       3RBR							
DE	V1	6	56	1,271	257	19.3	3.9
NJ   17	3RD						
NJ   17	DE	3	25	488	193	19.5	7.7
PA,M 9 82 1,626 484 19.8 5.9 6.1 VI						19.2	
PA,W     12     139     2,781     842     20.0     6.1       VI     -     -     -     -     -     -       ATH     -     -     -     -     -     -       MD     21     267     5,185     1,223     19.4     4,6       NC,E     7     62     1,233     381     19.9     6.1       NC,M     2     24     494     145     20.6     6.0       NC,W     7     83     1,650     640     19.9     7.7       SC     4     52     1,051     339     20.2     6.5       VA,E     25     179     3,492     1,103     19.5     6.2       VA,W     7     50     1,016     262     20.3     5.2       VA,W     3     42     821     311     19.5     7.4       WV,S     7     95     1,954     651     20.6     6.9       5TH     10     110     2,153     630     19.6     5.7       LA,E     10     110     2,153     630     19.6     5.7       LA,W     3     83     1,621     480     19.5     5.8	PA,E	22	332	6,163	1,655	- 18.6	5.0
VI       - <td></td> <td>9</td> <td>82</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>19.8</td> <td>5.9</td>		9	82			19.8	5.9
MD	PA,W	12	139	2,781	842	20.0	6.1
MD 21 267 5,185 1,223 19.4 4.6 NC,E 7 62 1,233 381 19.9 6.1 NC,E 7 62 1,233 381 19.9 6.1 NC,M 2 24 494 145 20.6 6.0 NC,W 7 83 1,650 640 19.9 7.7 SC 4 52 1,051 339 20.2 6.5 VA,E 25 179 3.492 1,103 19.5 6.2 VA,W 7 50 1,016 262 20.3 5.2 VA,W 7 95 1,954 651 20.6 6.9 VV,S 7 95 1,954 651 20.6 6.9 STH  LA,E 10 110 2,153 630 19.6 5.7 LA,W 3 83 1,621 480 19.5 5.8 MS,N'. 3 28 529 187 18.9 6.7 MS,S 3 49 957 278 19.5 5.8 MS,N'. 3 28 529 187 18.9 6.7 TX,N 17 119 2,355 719 19.8 6.0 TX,S 17 17 119 2,355 719 19.8 6.0 TX,S 14 173 3,431 894 19.8 5.2 TX,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.1 KY,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.1 KY,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.1 KY,W 2 62 1,299 386 21.0 6.2 MI,E 19 302 5,968 1,634 19.8 5.4 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.1 KY,W 2 66 1,723 461 20.0 5.4 TN,E 6 57 1,098 408 19.3 7.2 TN,M 14 175 3,434 1,078 19.6 6.2 OH,S 5 86 1,723 461 20.0 5.4 TN,E 6 57 1,098 408 19.3 7.2	VI	-	-	-	-	-	-
NC,E 7 62 1,233 381 19.9 6.1 NC,M 2 24 494 145 20.6 6.0 NC,W 7 83 1,650 640 19.9 7.7 SC 4 52 1,051 339 20.2 6.5 YA,E 25 179 3,492 1,103 19.5 6.2 YA,W 7 50 1,016 262 20.3 5.2 WV,N 3 42 821 311 19.5 7.4 WV,S 7 95 1,954 651 20.6 6.9 STH  LA,E 10 110 2,153 630 19.6 5.7 LA,W 3 83 1,621 480, 19.5 5.8 MS,N'. 3 28 529 187 18.9 6.7 NS,S 3 49 957 278 19.5 5.8 MS,N'. 3 28 529 187 18.9 6.7 TX,N 17 119 2,355 719 19.8 6.0 TX,E 5 59 1,179 343 20.0 5.8 TX,S 14 173 3,431 894 19.8 5.2 TX,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.1  KY,E 7 40 799 202 20.0 5.1 KY,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.1 MR,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.4 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 MI,W 19 19.8 6.0 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 MI,W 19 19.8 6.0 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 MI,W 19 19.8 6.0 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 MI,W 19 19.8 6.2 MI,W 19 19.8 6.2 MI,W 19 19.8 6.2 MI,W 19 19.8 5.4 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 MI,W 19 19.8 6.2 MI,W 19 19.8 6.2 MI,W 19 19.8 6.2 MI,W 19 19.8 5.4 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 MI,W 19 19.6 6.2 MI,W 14 175 3,434 1,078 19.6 6.2 MI,W 14 175 3,434 1,078 19.6 6.2 MI,W 15 86 1,723 461 20.0 5.4 MI,W 5 86 1,723 461 20.0 5.4 MI,W 4 34 696 222 20.5 6.5	4TH						
NC, M.       2       24       494       145       20.6       6.0         NC, W.       7       83       1,650       640       19.9       7.7         SC.       4       52       1,051       339       20.2       6.5         VA, E.       25       179       3,492       1,103       19.5       6.2         VA, W.       7       50       1,016       262       20.3       5.2         WV, N.       3       42       821       311       19.5       7.4         WV, S.       7       95       1,954       651       20.6       6.9         5TH         LA, E.       10       110       2,153       630       19.6       5.7         LA, W.       3       83       1,621       480       19.5       5.8         MS, N.       3       28       529       187       18.9       6.7         MS, S.       3       49       957       278       19.5       5.7         TX, N.       17       119       2,355       719       19.8       6.0         TX, E.       5       59       1,179       343       20.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
NC.W       7       83       1,650       640       19.9       7.7         SC       4       52       1,051       339       20.2       6.5         VA,E       25       179       3,492       1,103       19.5       6.2         VA,W       7       50       1,016       262       20.3       5.2         WV,N       3       42       821       311       19.5       7.4         WV,S       7       95       1,954       651       20.6       6.9         STH         LA,E       10       110       2,153       630       19.6       5.7         LA,M       5       45       856       255       19.0       5.7         LA,W       3       83       1,621       480       19.5       5.8         MS,N'       3       28       529       187       18.9       6.7         TX,N       17       119       2,355       719       19.8       6.0         TX,E       5       59       1,179       343       20.0       5.8         TX,W       23       153       2,986							1
SC     4     52     1,051     339     20.2     6.5       VA, E     25     179     3,492     1,103     19.5     6.2       VA, W     7     50     1,016     262     20.3     5.2       WV, N     3     42     821     311     19.5     7.4       WV, S     7     95     1,954     651     20.6     6.9       STH       LA, E     10     110     2,153     630     19.6     5.7       LA, W     3     83     1,621     480     19.5     5.8       MS, N     3     28     529     187     18.9     6.7       TX, N     17     119     2,355     719     19.8     6.0       TX, E     5     59     1,179     343     20.0     5.8       TX, S     14     173     3,431     894     19.8     5.2       TX, W     23     153     2,986     783     19.5     5.1       KY, E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY, E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY, W							
VA, E       25       179       3,492       1,103       19.5       6.2         VA, W       7       50       1,016       262       20.3       5.2         WV, N       3       42       821       311       19.5       7.4         WV, S       7       95       1,954       651       20.6       6.9         5TH         LA, E       10       110       2,153       630       19.6       5.7         LA, M       5       45       856       255       19.0       5.7         LA, M       3       83       1,621       480       19.5       5.8         MS, N       3       28       529       187       18.9       6.7         MS, S       3       49       957       278       19.5       5.7         TX, N       17       119       2,355       719       19.8       6.0         TX, E       5       59       1,179       343       20.0       5.8         TX, W       14       173       3,431       894       19.8       5.2         TX, W       2       62       1,299							
VA, W							
WV, N     3     42     821     311     19.5     7.4       WV, S     7     95     1,954     651     20.6     6.9       STH     856     255     19.0     5.7       LA, M     5     45     856     255     19.0     5.7       LA, W     3     83     1,621     480     19.5     5.8       MS, N'     3     28     529     187     18.9     6.7       MS, S     3     49     957     278     19.5     5.7       TX, N     17     119     2,355     719     19.8     6.0       TX, E     5     59     1,179     343     20.0     5.8       TX, S     14     173     3,431     894     19.8     5.2       TX, W     23     153     2,986     783     19.5     5.1       KY, E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY, W     2     62     1,299     386     21.0     6.2       MI, E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI, W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8							
WV,S     7     95     1,954     651     20.6     6.9       5TH     10     110     2,153     630     19.6     5.7       LA,M     5     45     856     255     19.0     5.7       LA,W     3     83     1,621     480, 19.5     5.8       MS,N     3     28     529     187     18.9     6.7       MS,S     3     49     957     278     19.5     5.7       TX,N     17     119     2,355     719     19.8     6.0       TX,E     5     59     1,179     343     20.0     5.8       TX,S     14     173     3,431     894     19.8     5.2       TX,W     23     153     2,986     783     19.5     5.1       KY,E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY,E     7     40     799     386     21.0     6.2       MI,E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI,W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.							1
LA,E 10 110 2,153 630 19.6 5.7 LA,M 5 45 856 255 19.0 5.7 LA,W 3 83 1,621 480 19.5 5.8 MS,N' 3 28 529 187 18.9 6.7 MS,S 3 49 957 278 19.5 5.7 TX,N 17 119 2,355 719 19.8 6.0 TX,E 5 59 1,179 3443 20.0 5.8 TX,S 14 173 3,431 894 19.8 5.2 TX,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.1  KY,E 7 40 799 202 20.0 5.1 KY,W 2 62 1,299 386 21.0 6.2 MI,E 19 302 5,968 1,634 19.8 5.4 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 OH,N 14 175 3,434 1,078 19.6 6.2 OH,S 5 86 1,723 461 20.0 5.4 TN,E 6 57 1,098 408 19.3 7.2 TN,M 4 34 696 222 20.5 6.5							l .
LA,M 5 45 856 255 19.0 5.7 LA,W 3 83 1,621 480 19.5 5.8 MS,N' 3 28 529 187 18.9 6.7 MS,S 3 49 957 278 19.5 5.7 TX,N 17 119 2,355 719 19.8 6.0 TX,E 5 59 1,179 343 20.0 5.8 TX,S 14 173 3,431 894 19.8 5.2 TX,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.1 KY,W 2 62 1,299 386 21.0 6.2 MI,E 19 302 5,968 1,634 19.8 5.4 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 OH,N 14 175 3,434 1,078 19.6 6.2 OH,S 5 86 1,723 461 20.0 5.4 TN,E 6 57 1,098 408 19.3 7.2 TN,M 4 34 696 222 20.5 6.5	5TH			<del></del>			
LA,M 5 45 856 255 19.0 5.7 LA,W 3 83 1,621 480 19.5 5.8 MS,N' 3 28 529 187 18.9 6.7 MS,S 3 49 957 278 19.5 5.7 TX,N 17 119 2,355 719 19.8 6.0 TX,E 5 59 1,179 343 20.0 5.8 TX,S 14 173 3,431 894 19.8 5.2 TX,W 23 153 2,986 783 19.5 5.1 KY,W 2 62 1,299 386 21.0 6.2 MI,E 19 302 5,968 1,634 19.8 5.4 MI,W 5 87 1,696 505 19.5 5.8 OH,N 14 175 3,434 1,078 19.6 6.2 OH,S 5 86 1,723 461 20.0 5.4 TN,E 6 57 1,098 408 19.3 7.2 TN,M 4 34 696 222 20.5 6.5	LA.E	10	110	2.153	630	19.6	5.7
LA, W     3     83     1,621     480, 19.5     5.8       MS, N     3     28     529     187     18.9     6.7       MS, S     3     49     957     278     19.5     5.7       TX, N     17     119     2,355     719     19.8     6.0       TX, E     5     59     1,179     343     20.0     5.8       TX, S     14     173     3,431     894     19.8     5.2       TX, W     23     153     2,986     783     19.5     5.1       KY, E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY, E     7     40     799     386     21.0     6.2       MI, E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI, W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH, N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH, S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN, E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN, M     4     34     696     222 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
MS.N' 3 28 529 187 18.9 6.7 MS.S 3 49 957 278 19.5 5.7 TX.N 17 119 2.355 719 19.8 6.0 TX.E 5 59 1.179 343 20.0 5.8 TX.S 14 173 3.431 894 19.8 5.2 TX.W 23 153 2.986 783 19.5 5.1   KY.E 7 40 799 202 20.0 5.1 KY.W 2 62 1.299 386 21.0 6.2 MI.E 19 302 5.968 1.634 19.8 5.4 MI.W 5 87 1.696 505 19.5 5.8 OH.N 14 175 3.434 1.078 19.6 6.2 OH.S 5 86 1.723 461 20.0 5.4 TN.E 6 57 1.098 408 19.3 7.2 TN.M 4 34 696 222 20.5 6.5	LA,W	3			**		
TX,N     17     119     2,355     719     19.8     6.0       TX,E     5     59     1,179     343     20.0     5.8       TX,S     14     173     3,431     894     19.8     5.2       TX,W     23     153     2,986     783     19.5     5.1       KY,E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY,W     2     62     1,299     386     21.0     6.2       MI,E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI,W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5		3	. 28	529	187		
TX,E     5     59     1,179     343     20.0     5.8       TX,S     14     173     3,431     894     19.8     5.2       TX,W     23     153     2,986     783     19.5     5.1       KY,E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY,W     2     62     1,299     386     21.0     6.2       MI,E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI,W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5							
TX, S     14     173     3,431     894     19.8     5.2       TX, W     23     153     2,986     783     19.5     5.1       6TH     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY, E     7     40     799     386     21.0     6.2       MI, E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI, E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI, W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH, N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH, S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN, E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN, M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5							
TX,W     23     153     2,986     783     19.5     5.1       6TH     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY,W     2     62     1,299     386     21.0     6.2       MI,E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI,W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5							
KY,E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY,W     2     62     1,299     386     21.0     6.2       MI,E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI,W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5							
KY,E     7     40     799     202     20.0     5.1       KY,W     2     62     1,299     386     21.0     6.2       MI,E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI,W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5	17,W	23	153	2,986	783	19.5	5.1
KY,W     2     62     1,299     386     21.0     6.2       MI,E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI,W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5	6TH						
KY,W     2     62     1,299     386     21.0     6.2       MI,E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI,W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5	KY.E	7	40	799	202	20.0	5.1
MI.E     19     302     5,968     1,634     19.8     5.4       MI.W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5							
MI, W     5     87     1,696     505     19.5     5.8       OH, N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH, S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN, E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN, M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5							
OH,N     14     175     3,434     1,078     19.6     6.2       OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5							
OH,S     5     86     1,723     461     20.0     5.4       TN,E     6     57     1,098     408     19.3     7.2       TN,M     4     34     696     222     20.5     6.5	OH,N				1,078		
TN,M 4 34 696 222 20.5 6.5							
7 69 1,368 386 19.8 5.6							
	TN, W	7	69	1,368	386	19.8	5.6
					1		
			1				
			1		1		

TABLE 2
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
GRAND JUROR SERVICE
FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

DISTRICT	TOTAL SERVING IN THE PERIOD	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER JURORS PER SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER HOURS PER SESSION
7TH						
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	13 3 4 6 7 3 2	347 34 52 96 86 56 20	7,028 690 1,080 1,990 1,709 1,179 397	1,950 196 289 531 639 295 96	20.3 20.3 20.8 20.7 19.9 21.1	5.6 5.8 5.6 5.5 7.4 5.3 4.8
8тн						
AR,E AR,W IA,N IA,S MN MO,E MO,W NE ND SD	3 2 4 2 5 5 8 1 2 4	29 16 36 26 71 88 76 53 19 42	623 317 692 509 1,465 1,666 1,559 982 387 821	217 101 243 159 382 483 519 337 99	21.5 19.8 19.2 19.6 20.6 18.9 20.5 18.5 20.4	7.5 6.3 6.8 6.1 5.4 5.5 6.8 6.4 5.2 7.4
9тн						
AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C HI MT MT WA, E WA, W GUAM	2 6 17 9 32 10 5 2 3 8 8 2 4 1	23 86 259 84 392 250 63 45 19 129 87 36 91	440 1,649 4,869 1,603 7,582 4,951 1,225 954 366 2,428 1,751 696 1,920 493	150 533 1,342 517 2,478 1,243 332 299 110 674 511 253 703 129	19.1 19.2 18.8 19.1 19.3 19.4 21.2 19.3 18.8 20.1 19.3	6.5 6.2 5.2 6.3 5.3 5.3 6.6 5.8 5.9 7.7 5.
10TH  CO KS NM OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	2 8 2 2 2 3 2 2	67 60 29 24 13 65 52	1,325 1,225 631 505 296 1,247 967 359	466 351 197 192 92 437 288 147	19.8 20.4 21.8 21.0 22.8 19.2 18.6 18.9	7.0 5.9 6.8 8.0 7.1 6.7 5.5
11TH						
AL, N AL, M AL, S FL, M FL, M GA, N GA, M GA, S	4 5 7 4 12 35 11 5 3	64 31 40 60 237 652 137 34	1,298 648 805 1,250 4,666 12,687 2,734 669 653	401 190 286 401 1,407 2,555 890 201	20.3 20.9 20.1 20.8 19.7 19.5 20.0 19.7 21.8	6.3 6.1 7.2 6.7 5.9 3.9 6.5 5.9

<sup>\*</sup> THE DISTRICTS OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS AND THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS REPORTED NO GRAND JURIES IN EXISTENCE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD

Table 3 Duration of Grand Juries Discharged July 1, 1983 through June 30, 1988

		Number of Months in Existence															
Grand Juries Discharged	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
July 1, 1983 - June 30, 1984  Regular	2 - 2 0.7	- - -	8 - 8 2.8	7 1 8 2.8	8 - 8 2.8	6 - 6 2.1	1 - 1 0.3	6 6 2.1	2 - 2 0.7	4 - 4 1.4	14 - 14 4.9	17 1 18 6.3	3 - 3 1.0	2 - 2 0.7	3 - 3 1.0	9 - 9 3.1	26 - 26 9.0
July 1, 1984 - June 30, 1985									_					_		_	
Regular	-	1 1 2 0.6	7 - 7 2.2	7 1 8 2.5	5 - 5 1.6	6 2 8 2.5	1 1 2 0.6	3 - 3 0.9	3 - 3 0.9	4 1 5 1.6	10 - 10 3.2	13 1 14 4.5	6 - 6 1.9	5 - 5 1.6	6 - 6 1.6	7 - 7 2.2	30 1 31 9.9
July 1, 1985 - June 30, 1986																	
Regular	1 - 1 0.3	1 - 1 0.3	8 - 8 2.6	1 1 0.3	9 - 9 2.9	8 - 8 2.6	5 2 7 2.3	2 2 0.6	3 3 0.9	6 6 1.9	15 - 15 4.8	10 1 11 3.5	5 - 5 1.6	6 - 6 1.9	2 - 2 0.6	5 - 5 1.6	31 - 31 10.0
July 1, 1986 – June 30, 1987																	
Regular	1 - 1 0.3	3 - 3 1.0	8 1 9 2.9	6 - 6 1.9	10 - 10 3.2	4 1 5 1.6	2 - 2 0.6	3 - 3 1.0	1 1 1 1	7 - 7 2.2	17 - 17 5.4	14 1 15 4.8	3 - 3 1.0	2 - 2 0.6	6 6 1.9	10 1 11 3.5	32 - 32 10.2
July 1, 1987 - June 30, 1988  Regular  Special  Total  Percent of Total	- - -	1 - 1 0.4	5 - 5 1.8	6 - 6 2.2	4 - 4 1.4	3 - 3 1.1	6 - 6 2.2	7 - 7 2.6	1 - 1 0.4	4 - 4 1.4	9 - 9 3.3	16 2 18 6.6	7 - 7 2.6	6 1 7 2.6	1 - 1 0.4	7 - 7 2.5	23 2 25 9.1
Total Grand Juries Discharged																	
July 1, 1983 - June 30, 1988																	
Regular	4 - 4 0.3	6 1 7 0.5	36 1 37 2.5	27 2 29 1.9	36 - 36 2.4	27 3 30 2.0	15 3 18 1.2	21 21 1.4	9 - 9 0.6	25 1 26 1.7	65 - 65 4.3	70 6 76 5-1	24 - 24 1.6	21 1 22 1.5	18 - 18 1.2	38 1 39 2.6	142 3 145 9.7
<del></del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	L	L	<u> </u>			L	L	L	<u> </u>	L	L		·

		Number of Months in Existence																
Grand Juries Discharged	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	35	36	Totals
July 1, 1983 - June 30, 1984  Regular  Special  Total  Percent of Total	117 14 131 45.5	2 - 2 0.7	2 - 2 0.7	4 1 5 1.8	3 - 3 0.7	3 1 4 1.4	4 7 11 3.9	- - - -		- - -	- 1 1 0.3	- 1 1 0.3	- 1 1 0.3	1 1 0.3	- - -	- - -	- 6 6 2.1	253 35 288 100%
July 1, 1984 - June 30, 1985  Regular	88 21 109 34.7	7 1 8 2.5	5 1 6 1.9	4 1 5 1.6	4 1 5 1.6	9 1 10 3.2	29 4 33 10.5	- - - -	- 2 2 0.6	- 2 2 0.6	- - -	- 1 1 0.3	- 1 1 0.3	-	- - - -	- 2 2 0.6	- 8 8 2.5	260 54 314 100%
July 1, 1985 - June 30, 1986  Regular	91 5 96 36.9	4 - 4 1.3	4 - 4 1.3	3 3 6 1.9	3 1 4 1.3	8 1 9 2.9	42 12 54 17.4	- - -	- - -	- 2 2 0.6	- - -	- - -	- - -		- 1 1 0.3	- - -	- 9 9 2.9	273 37 310 100%
July 1, 1986 - June 30, 1987  Regular  Special  Total  Percent of Total	93 6 99 31.6	6 - 6 1.9	6 1 7 2.2	1 1 2 0.6	2 - 2 0.6	6 1 7 2.2	35 7 42 13.4	2 2 2 0.6	2 2 2 0.6	- - -	- - -	1 1 0.3	- 2 2 0.6	- - -	- - -	- 1 1 0.3	- 8 8 2.6	277 36 313 100%
July 1, 1987 - June 30, 1988 Regular Special Total Percent of Total	73 9 82 29.9	7 - 7 2.6	4 - 4 1.4	4 - 4 1.4	3 - 3 1.1	10 1 11 4.0	38 6 44 16.0			- - -	-	-	- 3 3 1.1	-	- 1 1 0.4	-	- 4 4 1.4	245 29 274 100%
Total Grand Juries Discharged July 1, 1983 - June 30, 1988 Regular	462 55 517 34.5	26 1 27 1.8	21 2 23 1.5	16 6 22 1.5	15 2 17 1.1	36 5 41 2.7	148 36 184 12.3	2 2 2 0.1	- 4 4 0.3	- 4 4 0.3	- 1 1 0.1	- 3 3 0.2	7 7 0.5	- 1 1 0.1	 2 2 2 0.1	- 3 3 0.2	35 35 2.3	1308 191 1499 100%

<sup>\*</sup> Due to rounding to the nearest decimal point, percentages may not add to 100.0 percent.

7

Table 4
U. S. District Courts
Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Service
1988

			<del></del>	<del></del>	1988				·
District	Com	oceedings imenced by dictment Defendants	Average Number of Jurors per Session	Average Number of Hours per Session	District	Com	oceedings menced by lictments Defendants	Average Number of Jurors per Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
		1	19.6				1		
Total	23,854	38,716	<del></del>	5.4	7th Cir.	420			
pc	371	580	19.2	4.6	IL, N	438 138	851 193	20.3 20.3	5.6 5.8
1st Cir.				ļ	IL, S	168 179	226 302	20.8 20.7	5.6 5.5
ME	105	129	20.0	6.9	IN, S	130	240	19.9	7.4
MA NH	222 36	444 43	19.5 19.7	4.6 3.5	WI, E	111 117	177 148	21.1 19.9	5.3 4.8
RI	77 653	116 890	18.5 19.4	5.8 6.0	8th Cir.			······································	
2nd Cir.					AR, E	140	204	21.5	7.5
ст	144	251	18.7	5.1	AR, W	65 49	91 92	19.8 19.2	6.3 6.8
NY, N	110 546	217 1,044	19.3 18.8	4.9 4.7	IA, S	86	107	19.6	6.1
NY, E NY, S	603	1,124	19.4	4.1	MN MO, E	251 221	405 328	20.6 18.9	5.4 5.5
NY, W	124 73	184 107	19.6 19.3	5.3 3.9	MO, W	255 108	387 155	20.5 18.5	6.8 6.4
3rd Cir.					ND	94 205	120 263	20.4 19.5	5.2 7.4
DE	62	87	19.5	7.7	9th Cir.				
NJ	219	478	19.2	4.8			100	***	1
PA, E PA, M	249 136	516 201	18.6 19.8	5.0 5.9	AK	110 616	133 927	19.1 19.2	6.5 6.2
PA, W VI*	210	319	20.0	6.1	CA, N	341 385	687 544	18.8 19.1	5.2 6.2
4th Cir.					CA, C	834	1,379	19.3	6.3
j		j			CA, S	760 128	1,163 228	19.8 19.4	5.0 5.3
MD NC, E	325 185	524 297	19.4 19.9	4.6 6.1	MT	96 157	136 231	21.2 19.3	6.6 5.8
NC, M NC, W	228 273	345 374	20.6 19.9	6.0 7.7	NV	255 294	415	18.8	5.2 5.9
SC	246	389	20.2	6.5	WA, E	436	484 437	20.1 19.3	7.0
VA, E VA, W	409 127	567 238	19.5 20.3	6.2 5.2	WA, W GU	296 16	423 18	21.1 19.0	7.7 5.0
WV, N WV, S	138 181	184 287	19.5 20.6	7.4 6.9	NMI*	_		-	-
5th Cir.	101	201	20.0	0.5	10th Cir.		•		
LA, E	354	550	19.6	5.7	CO	222 169	322 242	19.8 20.4	7.0 5.9
LA, M	41	50	19.0	5.7	NM	490	705	21.8	6.8
LA, W MS, N	89 74	312 117	19.5 18.9	5.8 6.7	OK, N	147 49	230 80	21.0 22.8	8.0 7.1
MS, S	157	227	19.5	5.7	OK, W	135	211	19.2	6.7
TX, N TX, E	566 102	926 211	19.8 20.0	6.0 5.8	UT WY	191 62	268 93	18.6 18.9	5.5 7.7
TX, S TX, W	1,617 764	2,739 1,058	19.8 19.5	5.2 5.1	11th Cir.				
6th Cir.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>		AL, N	258	373	20.3	6.3
ку, Е	158	260	20.0	5.1	AL, M AL, S	135 151	225 319	20.9 20.1	6.1 7.2
KY, W MI, E	150	288	21.0	6.2	FL, N	229	396	20.8	6.7
MI, W	322 111	752 193	19.8 19.5	5.4 5.8	FL, M FL, S	514 1,328	888 2,499	19.7 19.5	5.9 3.9
OH, N OH, S	323 290	489 397	19.6 20.0	6.2 5.4	GA, N GA, M	323 107	615 172	20.0 19.7	6.5 5.9
TN, E	147	194	19.3	7.?	GA, S	118	191	21.8	6.4
TN, M	154 276	230 465	20.5 19.8	6.5 5.6					
				11	<u> </u>	<u></u>	***************************************	·	<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The districts of the Virgin Islands and the Northern Mariana Islands reported no grand jury activity during the 12-month period ended June 30, 1988. All offenses in these territorial courts are prosecuted by information, not indictment by grand jury.

#### The Effect of the Speedy Trial Act of 1974

The Speedy Trial Act of 1974 guarantees that every defendant charged with an offense will be brought to trial within a specific time. The Act, as amended August 2, 1979, established mandatory time limits of 30 days from arrest to indictment, and 70 days from indictment to trial. Delays in meeting these time limitations may be granted for several reasons; for example, the defendant must undergo examination for mental or physical incapacity to stand trial, or the defendant is being tried on another charge in another court. The time delayed is not included in the 30 or 70 day intervals.

The Act had a major impact on grand jury usage as more grand juries were convened but fewer cases were presented at each session. As a result, grand jury sessions rose at a faster rate than criminal filings. This trend, however, reversed itself in 1985 and continued through 1987. During 1988, however, criminal cases filed by indictment fell 1 percent while the number of grand jury sessions convened declined 2 percent. Speedy Trial compliance is discussed further in the 1988 Annual Report of the Director.

#### **Petit Jurors**

Potential jurors who are called to the U.S. district courts for jury selection are reported as selected or serving; challenged; or not selected or challenged. Jurors returning to serve on a continuing trial and jurors who are paid for travelling to or from court are reported separately. A juror is counted in the statistics for each day of service (including travel time) with the following exception. Jurors whose costs are assessed to litigating parties who canceled a jury trial too late to notify the jurors not to report to court are not included in these statistics.

As shown in Table 5, total petit jury trial days declined less than 1 percent in 1988 to 44,224 after several years of increases. Contrary to this decline, the total number of juror days (which includes days serving on trials, selection days, and travel days) climbed 3 percent to 750,679. Just under 50 percent of these juror days were needed for selection of jurors. The remainder were primarily jurors who were continuing service on a previously selected jury. Of those jurors present for jury selection, 30 percent were selected while 36 percent were challenged. Nearly 34 percent of those present for jury selection were not selected or challenged. This was a slight increase over the percentage reported in 1987, but approximately the same as that reported in 1986. The not selected or challenged category includes many jurors summoned to ensure sufficient jurors for anticipated challenges which were, in fact, never exercised. The average number of jurors present for jury selection rose to almost 33 this year compared to 31 in 1987 and 32 in 1986.

Table 5 National Petit Juror Service 1983 through 1988

Service	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Overall Juror Service						
Jury Trial Days	37,589	39,572	40,289	41,945	44,511	44,224
Percent Criminal	44.0	42.4	42.7	45.9	42.9	44.0
Percent Civil	56.0	57.6	57.3	54.1	57,1	56.0
Juror Days1	640,577	666,942	676,140	705,819	732,039	750,679
Percent Selected or						
Serving	64.6	64.6	85,4	65.9	66.7	65.3
Percent Challenged	16.0	16.5	16.9	16.9	17.3	17.6
Serving or Challenged2	19.4	18.9	17.7	17.2	16.0	17.1
Average Jurors Per Day						
of Trial	17.0	16.9	16.6	16.8	16.4	17.0
Jury Selection Day						
Jurors Present for Voir Dire	316,821	328,355	328,360	338,022	344,863	362,304
Percent Selected	30.1	30.1	30.4	30.5	31.1	29.9
Percent Challenged	32.4	33.6	34.8	35.2	36.8	36.4
or Challenged	37.4	36.3	34.8	34.3	32.1	33.7
Number of Juries Selected	9,769	10,162	10,257	10,567	11,074	11,078
Average Jurors Present						
for Jury Selection	32.4	32.3	32.0	32.0	31.1	32.7

1 Each juror is counted for each day serving, waiting at the courthouse to serve, or in travel.


2 includes jurors in travel status. Hoter Dashes indicate comparable data not available.

#### **United States District Courts**

#### PETIT JUROR SERVICE

Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1988

Note: Thirty-three people are pictured because the average number of jurors present for jury selection in 1988 was 32.7.





CHALLENGED = 36.4%



NOT SELECTED SERVING, OR CHALLENGED = 33.7%

Table 6 summarizes, by district, jury selection day activity during 1988. Attention continues to focus on the not selected or challenged category. Despite the overall increase in the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged, 41 districts improved (reduced) their percentage in this category. The largest improvement (27 percentage points) was reported in West Virginia, Northern because of the concentrated efforts of the courts' judges. These efforts led to the use of the multiple voir dire method of selecting jurors whenever possible; the calling of smaller panels of jurors for jury selection; and fewer last minute cancellations of jury selections, all of which improved petit juror usage. Four other districts improved their percentage in the not selected or challenged category by at least 10 percentage points.

In an effort to further reduce the number of jurors not selected or challenged, many courts have enacted local rules allowing the assessment of juror costs when cases settle after prospective jurors have arrived at court. (See Appendix C for a listing of these rules.) Such a rule discourages last minute settlements which results in fewer unused jury panels. During 1988, 38 districts assessed juror costs on at least one occasion. A total of \$99,472 covering the costs of 2,004 jurors was returned to the general revenue funds of the U.S. Treasury. Table 7 provides, by district, the amount of juror costs assessed during 1988.

South Carolina reported the highest percentage of jurors selected (62 percent) due, in large part, to its extensive use of multiple voir dire. This is illustrated by the fact that out of 339 juries selected in this district, only 11 trials (3 percent) began on the same day the jury was selected. Another seven districts reported more than one-half of their jurors in the selected category. In sharp contrast, because of a large number of highly publicized trials and last minute cancellations of jury selections, New York, Southern reported the lowest percentage of jurors selected with 19 percent.

Once again, Hawaii reported the largest percentage of jurors in the challenged category (54 percent) due to its predominately criminal trial calendar. Of the 47 jury trials completed in this district during 1988, 34 (72 percent) involved criminal offenses. Conversely, New York Northern's percentage of challenged jurors was less than 19 percent.

TABLE 6
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
PETIT JUROR SERVICE ON DAYS JURORS WERE SELECTED FOR TRIAL
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988\*

			URORS PRESENT	VE MONTH PERIOD	ENDED JUNE 30,	<del> </del>	TOTAL S STAE	RTING ON THE
	FOR	JURY SELECTI	ON OR ORIENTA	TION		SAME DAY	THE JURY W	S SELECTED
DISTRICT	TOTAL	PERCENT SELECTED	PERCENT CHALLENGED	PERCENT NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL JURIES SELECTED (CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)	TOTAL	PERCENT CIVIL	PERCENT CRIMINAL
TOTAL	362,304	29.9	36.4	33.7	11,078	8,509	58.9	41.1
DC	8.824	13.9	29.8	46.4	196	194	57.2	42.8
'ST								
ME MA NH RI PR	1,181 8,229 1,864 1,576 4,070	39.5 25.3 35.5 52.6 27.9	42.5 29.6 42.8 25.8 39.4	18.0 45.2 21.7 21.6 32.7	50 236 77 96 101	19 235 44 2 100	36.8 77.9 77.3 .0 39.0	63.2 22.1 22.7 100.0 61.0
2ND CT NY.N NY,E NY,S NY,S NY,W	3.077 3.099 12,048 20,285 2,095 787	33.2 22.7 25.6 18.9 34.2 41.7	44.8 18.8 38.7 34.9 33.7 29.9	22.0 58.5 35.7 46.3 32.0 28.5	107 78 272 379 64 43	9 71 77 379 21 18	55.6 80.3 36.4 62.5 47.6 61.1	44.4 19.7 63.6 37.5 52.4 38.9
3RD  DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	1,355 9,563 19,464 2,753 3,882 3,173	32.0 22.6 22.6 52.7 29.1 45.8	37.7 42.3 42.4 34.0 42.3 34.2	30.3 35.1 35.0 13.3 28.6 19.9	39 205 476 158 115 128	39 164 476 37 90	46.2 65.2 81.9 64.9 62.2 77.8	53.8 34.8 18.1 35.1 37.8 22.2
4TH MD NC.E NC.M NC.W SC VA.E VA.W WV,N	7,080 1,909 1,442 1,647 3,377 6,221 1,856 958 1,331	23.9 52.4 42.9 55.6 62.3 32.0 34.0 39.0	38.9 38.6 35.9 23.1 28.8 30.7 42.9 44.9	37.2 9.0 21.2 21.3 8.8 37.3 23.1 16.1 12.2	164 79 57 96 339 226 68 36 61	154 42 40 73 11 220 65 29 52	64.3 45.2 30.0 58.9 63.6 57.3 66.2 37.9 73.1	35.7 54.8 70.0 41.1 36.4 42.7 33.8 62.1 26.9
5TH  LA.E  LA.M  LA.W  MS.S  IX.N  TX.E  TX.S  TX.W	4,282 521 2,490 1,578 3,913 6,182 4,047 10,948 5,199	46.1 37.4 34.1 37.1 31.7 26.5 38.3 29.9 30.1	41.9 46.3 39.9 36.8 34.8 31.3 36.7 33.0	12.0 16.3 26.0 26.1 33.5 42.2 25.0 37.1 38.1	260 25 100 63 136 150 225 322	234 25 84 49 88 132 26 168	81.6 84.0 82.1 69.4 75.0 56.8 53.8 51.8 46.7	18.4 16.0 17.9 30.6 25.0 43.2 46.2 48.2 53.3
6TH  KY,E  KY,W  MI,E  MI,E  OH,S  IN,E  TN,W	2,915 2,761 11,451 1,318 4,557 3,824 2,748 1,824	32.3 27.2 24.0 29.7 26.6 27.6 38.9 35.2 40.4	44.0 36.6 29.4 30.3 24.5 31.2 27.3 30.3 35.3	23.7 36.1 46.6 39.9 48.9 41.2 33.9 34.5 24.3	93 77 294 40 135 111 133 72 66	71 74 294 31 105 87 133 72 63	57.7 59.5 76.2 54.8 73.3 56.3 79.7 75.0 31.7	42.3 40.5 23.8 45.2 26.7 43.7 20.3 25.0 68.3

TABLE 6
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
PETIT JUROR SERVICE ON DAYS JURORS WERE SELECTED FOR TRIAL
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988\*

	FOR	NUMBER OF J	URORS PRESENT		ENDED JUNE 30,	NUMBER OF	TRIALS STAT	RTING ON THE
DISTRICT	TOTAL	PERCENT SELECTED	PERCENT CHALLENGED	PERCENT NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL JURIES SELECTED (CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)	TOTAL	PERCENT CIVIL	PERCENT CRIMINAL
7TH	9.910	25.5	35.0	39.5	244	244	54.9	45.1
IL.C IL.S IN.N IN.S WI.E WI,W	1,629 1,938 2,753 1,167 2,207 1,368	37.8 34.3 30.1 31.7 32.6 44.0	35.4 37.9 34.8 41.3 47.4 45.9	26.9 27.8 35.1 27.0 20.0	65 75 78 38 75 69	47 50 78 38 73 44	59.6 70.0 52.6 60.5 63.0 65.9	40.4 30.0 47.4 39.5 37.0 34.1
8тн								
AR,E AR,W IA,N IA,S MO,E MO,W NE ND	3,337 2,315 788 1,400 4,210 6,003 3,505 1,915 814 1,945	29.8 30.0 24.4 35.1 26.3 28.9 30.7 29.7 19.7 36.5	42.9 34.2 32.5 35.0 41.6 44.7 32.4 29.4	27.3 35.8 43.4 32.4 38.6 29.5 24.6 37.9 51.0 20.1	96 63 17 47 108 203 116 59 16 64	89 60 15 40 107 203 104 44 16 52	73.0 83.3 40.0 55.0 52.3 72.9 56.7 65.9 43.8	27.0 16.7 60.0 45.0 47.7 27.1 43.3 34.1 56.3 55.8
9TH  AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S HI ID MT NV OR WA, E WA, W GUAM	1,466 5,236 5,038 2,434 11,292 3,963 1,964 908 1,628 2,521 2,968 1,048 2,509 218	33.1 27.6 26.2 28.8 27.6 30.0 36.6 36.0 26.4 32.7 25.9 26.0 28.4	29.7 44.3 43.8 35.6 44.7 35.1 54.3 46.8 36.2 39.5 30.3 38.2 36.7 55.5	37.2 28.1 30.0 35.5 27.7 34.9 9.1 17.2 37.3 27.8 43.8 35.8 34.9 28.4	38 122 121 61 281 99 58 34 40 66 90 25 72	38 120 120 57 281 99 2 34 40 66 90 21 71	18.4 16.7 45.0 40.4 47.7 23.2 50.0 52.9 47.5 24.2 72.2 33.3 46.5 33.3	81.6 83.3 55.0 59.6 52.3 76.8 50.0 47.1 52.5 75.8 27.8 66.7
NMI  10TH  CO  KS  NM  OK.N  OK,E  OK,W  UT  WY	2,525 2,620 2,418 1,289 712 3,916 2,109 563	28.6 34.5 41.3 37.6 62.2 35.6 28.7 43.0	35.8 31.6 39.1 43.6 31.2 31.1 36.8 40.9	35.7 33.9 19.6 18.8 6.6 33.3 34.4	81 96 93 49 58 171 49	80 87 52 35 22 154 49	61.3 74.7 46.2 48.6 59.1 81.2 51.0 90.6	38.8 25.3 53.8 51.4 40.9 18.8 49.0 9.4
11TH AL,N AL,M AL,S FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S	2,865 1,952 1,451 3,105 3,035 22,725 6,146 1,470 2,257	41.8 54.9 59.8 41.9 25.1 25.5 33.5 46.4 40.6	48.1 32.8 39.6 37.7 28.7 34.4 40.9	10.1 12.2 .6 20.4 46.2 40.1 25.6 19.0 18.5	137 115 89 110 185 471 222 55 113	134 38 11 39 177 466 194 44 37	79.1 55.3 54.5 28.2 44.6 19.3 51.3 77.3 54.1	20.9 44.7 45.5 71.8 55.4 80.7 38.7 22.7 45.9

THIS TABLE INCLUDES DATA ON JURY SELECTION DAYS ONLY. DATA ON JUROR SERVICE AFTER THE SELECTION DAY ARE NOT INCLUDED. THEREFORE, A DISTRICT THAT SELECTS A JURY ON ONE DAY AND BEGINS THE TRIAL AT A LATER DATE WILL SHOW JUROR ACTIVITY BUT WILL NOT SHOW TRIAL ACTIVITY.

NOTE: DUE TO ROUNDING PERCENTS MAY NOT ADD TO 100.0 PERCENT.

TABLE 7 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS JUROR COSTS ASSESSED FOR CANCELLED TRIALS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

				<del></del>			<del></del>
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	COST ASSESSED	JURORS	JURORS REUSED*	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	COST ASSESSED	JURORS	JURORS REUSED*
TOTAL	\$99,472	2,004	85	7TH	\$5,665	118	24
DC	\$1,485	45	_	IL,N	\$4,240	96	24
157	\$3,797	75	-	IL.C IL,S	\$880	6 -	- -
ME	-	_	-	IN.N IN.S	\$545 -	16	-
MA	\$805	21	<del>-</del> -	WI.E WI.W	-	_	-
RI	- \$2,932	54	- -	8тн.,.	\$3,936	104	13
2ND	\$600	19		AR,E	\$1,110	27	
C1			_	AR.W IA,N	-	1	-
NY,N	\$600	19	-	1A,S	_	1 -	
NY,E	-	-	-	MN		-	-
NY,S	-	_	_	MO,E MO,W	\$1,284	31	13
V1	-	-	_	NE	\$1,542	46	=
3RD	\$3,142	93	23	ND SD	_	=	-
DE	\$540	18	-	9тн	\$12,161	274	-
NJ PA.E	\$1,350	45	-	AK			
PA,M	-	-	-	AZ	\$4,753	110	_
PA,W	\$1,252	30	23	CA.N	\$3,139	75	-
V1	-	-	-	CA.E	\$1,161	22	-
4TH	\$13,875	224	_	CA,S	\$1,617	35	-
MD	\$1,225	25	_	HI			_
NC,E	\$6,631	50	-	MT	-	-	-
NC , M		-	-	<u>N</u> ⊻	-	-	-
NC,W SC	\$304	8 -	-	OR WA.E	-	1 :	-
VA, E	\$2,430	64	-	WA,W	\$1,491	32	_
VA, W	-	_	_	GUAM	· -	-	-
WV,N WV,S	\$3,285	77	-	NMI	-	-	-
5TH	\$28,491	605	19	10TH	\$14,730	220	-
	** ***			co	\$1,058	23	-
LA.E	\$7,866	171	19	KS	\$751 \$10,799	19 125	_
LA.W	\$12,096	282	-	OK,N	<b>4.0,733</b>	'23	_
MS.N	\$3,894	69	-	OK, E,	\$1,096	27	-
MS,S TX,N	\$4,635	83	_	OK,W UT	-	]	-
TX,E	•	_	_	wY	\$1,026	26	-
TX,S	-	ì -	-	Ī		l	
Tx,w	-	-	-	11TH	\$5,358	80	6
6тн	\$6,232	147		AL,N AL,M	- \$1,287	13	-
KY, E	\$2,877	67	-	AL,S,	\$1,561	14	_
KY.W MI.E	<del>~</del> <del>-</del>	_	- -	FL.N	\$1,060	27	_
M1.W	_			FL,M	\$1,450	26	6
Он, м	-	-	- -	FL.S GA.N	-	_	-
OH, S	#3 3EE		-	GA , M	-	-	
TN,M	\$3,355 -	80	-	GA.S	-	_	_
TN, W	-	l -		Į.			

<sup>\*</sup> THIS DATA INCLUDES JURORS IN COLUMN 2 THAT PARTICIPATED IN ANOTHER VOIR DIRE ON THE SAME DAY.

#### Comparison of Petit Juror Service 1984 through 1988

Table 8 summarizes, by district, the percentage of jurors selected or serving on jury selection days for the years 1984 through 1988 while Table 9 provides, by district, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged for the same years. Between 1983, the first full year of petit juror data which emphasized activity on jury selection days, and 1987, the percentage of jurors selected slowly rose and the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged dropped sharply. These trends reversed themselves in 1988 due, in part, to a 6 percent increase in the number of criminal jury trials completed. Criminal jury trials accounted for 43 percent of all jury trials completed in 1988, up from 41 percent in 1987. Criminal cases require larger jury panels than civil cases because of the increased number of challenges allowed. As a result, a smaller percentage of jurors are selected and a larger percentage of jurors are not selected or challenged.

Table 8 Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving On Days Jurors Were Selected for Trial 1984 through 1988

<u> </u>			T	T	1904	urougn 1988			,	,	
District	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984	District	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984
_						7th Cir.					
Total	29.9	31.1	30.5	30.4	30.1	1					
DC	23.9	24.1	28.5	31.5	33.6	IL, N	25.5 37.8	23.3	28.4	26.5	28.9
DC	20.5	24.1	20.0	31.3	33.0	IL, C	34.3	46.4 34.9	39.2 35.4	27.6 28.6	28.3 27.3
1st Cir.						IN, N	30.1	31.0	30.7	30.8	27.9
ME	39.5	33.4	39.1	33.1	30.0	IN, S	31.7	31.5	27.6	22.6	27.6
MA	25.3	26.6	24.8	25.5	24.8	WI, E	32.6	34.0	29.3	36.9	27.7
NH	35.5	38.1	35.1	32.8	33.0	WI, W	44.0	44.8	36.9	38.8	41.4
PR	52.6 27.9	48.9 23.4	57.3 24.7	53.2 16.6	59.1 16.7	8th Cir.					
-	21.5	20.4	29.1	10.0	10.1	AR, E	29.8	39.5	39.0	37.3	41.7
2nd Cir.						AR, W	30.0	30.1	34.4	34.2	31.5
CT	33.2	34.3	34.3	36.1	36.9	IA, N	24.4	33.1	31.1	36.1	29.2
NY, N	22.7	25.7	24.6	27.2	24.1	IA, S	35.1	44.0	37.1	39.3	35.9
NY, E	25.6	27.5	23.0	29.5	24.8	MN	26.3	27.3	26.8	25.7	18.9
NY, S NY, W	18.9 34.2	22.0 32.7	19.1 36.3	22.4 34.2	22.5 34.0	MO, E MO, W	28.9 30.7	31.1	26.2	25.5	29.5
VT	41.7	40.1	35.9	43.3	35.9	NE	29.7	31.7 32.2	30.0 31.5	27.4 26.5	27.7 25.1
-						ND	19.7	30.8	29.5	30.8	32.0
3rd Cir.						SD	36.5	36.2	32.8	32.0	30.1
DE	32.0	36.6	37.6	32.4	38.0	9th Cir.					
NJ	22.6	16.1	20.8	22.7	24.5						
PA, E	22.6 52.7	29.2 44.0	24.5 41.3	24.9 41.6	26.9 43.6	AK	33.1 27.6	41.6	34.0 26.7	33.0	32.3
PA, W	29.1	29.8	23.6	25.6	30.5	AZ	26.2	28.5 28.2	30.0	32.7 31.9	31.4 24.8
VI	45.8	40.1	38.0	41.6	33.2	CA, E	28.8	35.7	29.1	27.0	21.9
F						CA, C	27.6	32.0	33.7	33.3	26.3
4th Cir.						CA, S	30.0	29.0	31.3	28.7	32.9
MD	23.9	28.0	28.1	29.7	24.5	Щ	36.6	33.2	24.9	24.7	25.6
NC, E	52.4 42.9	52.1 38.2	49.4 41.9	52.6 30.9	55.8 35.6	ID	36.0 26.4	34.0 30.4	29.0 32.1	33.1 28.1	26.1 31.5
NC, W	55.6	54.5	55.7	62.8	60.0	NV	32.7	31.6	30.4	33.8	23.4
SC	62.3	56.1	62.2	53.5	59.3	OR	25.9	29.5	31.5	30.8	31.6
VA, E	32.0	32.3	27.4	32.1	36.4	WA, E	26.0	32.3	28.5	34.3	29.9
VA, W	34.0	34.0	36.2	39.6	35.9	WA, W	28.4	32.8	37.0	35.3	36.7
WV, N	39.0	26.0	26.5	36.1	24.2	GU	16.1	9.8	24.4	19.3	16.7
wv, s	39.0	37.9	35.4	27.6	28.8	NMI	12.9	-	-	10.0	10.8
5th Cir.						10th Cir.					
LA, E	46.1	42.7	37.0	41.6	39.3	CO	28.6	36.9	41.7	40.5	38.3
LA, M	37.4 34.1	39.9 35.4	41.6 30.6	38.2 25.8	23.4 31.2	KS	34.5	35.0	34.3	31.9	32.5
MS, N	37.1	36.7	40.4	38.6	37.2	NM	41.3 37.6	45.8 34.1	41.2 31.1	42.7 35.0	44.2 33.5
MS, S	31.7	33.5	29.2	34.1	28.6	OK, E	62.2	61.3	67.7	58.1	69.3
TX, N	26.5	29.6	27.9	28.0	26.0	OK, W	35.6	29.0	31.1	33.5	29.7
TX, E	38.3	34.5	40.7	43.5	38.6	UT	28.7	31.2	27.4	25.9	39.1
TX, S	29.9	34.0	31.0	29.6	24.0	WY	43.0	48.0	43.4	44.3	41.5
TX, W	30.1	32.9	31.5	29.7	30.3	11th Cir.	······································				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6th Cir.						AL, N	41.8	39.8	36.0	33.9	37.1
KY, E	32.3	31.1	30.8	25.9	26.8	AL, M	54.9	52.5	53.2	52.4	49.7
KY, W	27.2	27.4	28.0	33.8	30.0	AL, S	59.8	59.9	60.6	55.6	59.9
MI, E	24.0	25.9	27.0	23.3	22.8	FL, N	41.9	37.9	35.5	37.1	40.0
MI, W	29.7 26.6	29.7 25.0	31.6 24.2	27.3	32.3 22.6	FL, M	25.1	30.6	32.3	27.5	28.2
ОН, N	27.6	27.6	24.2	25.2 30.0	31.9	FL, S	25.5 33.5	27.3 33.6	29.3 35.7	27.0 30.7	28.5 32.1
TN, E	38.9	31.7	31.2	32.8	36.4	GA, M	46.4	44.6	46.0	45.9	49.8
TN, M	35.2	24.9	37.2	34.6	29.8	GA, S	40.6	44.2	44.6	40.2	41.6
TN, W	40.4	32.1	32.8	30.8	32.1	1					
						<u> </u>					

Note: Dashes indicate no petit juror activity.

Table 9 Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving, or Challenged On Days Jurors Were Selected for Trial 1984 through 1988

				····		nrough 1900				·	<del>,</del>
District	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984	District	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984
						mat Ci-					
Total	33.7	32.1	34.3	34.8	36.3	7th Cir.					
D.C.	46.4	45.7	39.9	37.5	34.3	IL, N	39.5	43.7	36.1 25.7	41.6 41.6	37.7 38.3
DC	40.4	40.7	39.5	31.3	34.0	IL, C IL, S	26.9 27.8	17.0 19.6	7.5	24.9	29.5
1st Cir.						IN, N	35.1	33.0	37.6	41.0	45.3
ME	18.0	26.7	25.2	30.6	31.0	IN, S	27.0	29.4	34.6	42.2	37.3
MA	45.2	43.7	41.7	45.5	49.7	WI, E	20.0	22.9	29.7	15.7	33.4
NH	21.7	16.8	27.2	24.9	24.8	WI, W	10.1	9.0	20.5	12.8	16.3
RI	21.6 32.7	22.1 42.7	11.6 41.7	18.9 59.5	14.0 57.7	8th Cir.					
FR	32.1	74.1	3711		0111	AR, E	27.3	19.4	24.2	26.3	22.5
2nd Cir.						AR, W	35.8	38.1	33.4	29.1	35.7
CT	22.0	20.3	25.9	19.2	22.1	IA, N	43.4	26.4	28.5	20.4	38.4
NY, N	58.5	53.0	55.7	48.5	54.7	IA, S	32.4	22.6	33.1	26.1	24.9
NY, E	35.7	32.2	41.9	33.4	39.5	MN	38.6	35.4	37.7	39.6	57.0
NY, S	46.3	42.8	52.9	43.4	44.6	MO, E	29.5	26.2	40.3 27.5	41.9 32.5	36.6 29.6
NY, W	32.0 28.5	36.5 33.9	32.5 39.9	34.2 30.4	35.6 33.7	MO, W	24.6 37.9	25.3 31.4	31.6	39.5	39.8
*1	2010	00.0		00.7		ND	51.0	23.4	30.2	20.5	23.7
3rd Cir.						SD	20.1	18.9	24.7	23.5	29.7
DE	30.3	34.2	24.0	32.5	35.3	<b>[ ]</b>					
NJ	35.1	40.7	43.1	40.3	44.4	9th Cir.					
PA, E	35.0	24.8	35.1	32.2	32.9	AK	37.2	35.4	36.6	42.2	36.0
PA, M	13.3	21.6	25.4	23.8	20.1 25.1	AZ	28.1	24.6 29.5	31.8 27.3	23.4 28.2	26.4 34.9
PA, W	28.6 19.9	25.7 22.3	38.1 20.6	33.1 18.8	28.8	CA, N CA, E	30.0 35.5	24.9	38.2	44.3	48.3
<b>j-</b>	10.0	22.0	20.0	10.0	20.0	CA, C	27.7	23.8	25.7	36.3	46.7
4th Cir.						CA, S	34.9	36.6	30.8	33.5	26.0
MD	37.2	31.2	30.4	27.0	37.6	ні	9.1	11.1	23.0	24.5	28.7
NC, E	9.0	9.5	10.5	12.4	7.7	ID	17.2	26.3	35.7	31.7	44.1
NC, M	21.2	24.2	21.6	37.0	25.8	MT	37.3	31.2	32.3	34.6 27.8	26.8 47.5
NC, W	21.3 8.8	26.3 17.1	20.6 12.6	18.3 20.0	21.9 14.7	NV	27.8 43.8	25.1 35.8	26.4 34.5	35.3	29.9
VA, E	37.3	39.2	48.6	37.4	26.5	WA, E	35.8	28.8	35.4	22.6	33.2
VA, W	23.1	22.0	15.6	9.8	16.9	WA, W	34.9	28.2	24.3	30.0	30.3
WV, N	16.1	43.0	37.5	27.5	40.0	GU	28.4	38.5	34.4	37.1	49.8
WV, S	12.2	14.3	15,1	25.4	32.4	NMI	56.4	<del>-</del> .	<b>-</b>	83.6	47.7
5th Cir.						10th Cir.					
LA, E	12.0	11.4	20.2	12.3	17.1	co	35.7	25.9	20.0	22.4	26.5
LA, M \	16.3	13.4	15.5	20.0	35.4	KS	33.9	32.6	32.8	41.7	37.8
LA, W	26.0	23.3	30.0	43.1	34.2	NM	19.6	11.0	16.8	14.4	16.8
MS, N	26.1	28.0	14.2	23.7	23.7	OK, N	18.8	21.1	31.1	22.6	30.4
MS, S	33.5	31.0	38.3	36.5	49.6	OK, E	6.6	9.5	6.1	10.6 34.0	0.8 40.7
TX, N	42.2 25.0	40.1 32.8	43.4 23.7	42.3 24.9	45.8 34.4	OK, W	33.3 34.4	42.6 21.7	38.2 39.7	34.0 39.0	15.8
TX, S	37.1	31.9	32.4	36.6	47.7	WY	16.2	12.8	14.5	20.3	23.0
TX, W	38.1	33.7	38.2	35.7	34.5	I <del>I</del>					
6th Cir.						11th Cir.		,			
	00 5	21 4	20.0	An A	27.0	AL, N	10.1 12.2	21.4	30.6 15.1	29.7 15.1	26.5 21.1
KY, E	23.7 36.1	31.4 37.7	32.9 39.7	40.4 31.2	37.2 32.1	AL, M	0.6	16.3 1.6	3.1	1.6	3.2
KY, W	46.6	45.3	44.5	46.7	48.0	AL, S FL, N	20.4	23.6	30.4	26.5	25.5
MI, W	39.9	36.6	35.7	43.2	42.4	FL, M	46.2	37.5	30.7	39.9	41.3
OH, N	48.9	46.9	50.5	50.1	55.1	FL, S	40.1	36.3	36.0	40.8	38.6
OH, S	41.2	44.8	39.6	37.9	36.4	GA, N	25.6	25.0	23.1	31.6	28.2
TN, E	33.9	42.0	46.0	46.0	42.3	GA, M	19.0	22.3	16.4	18.6	17.1
TN, M	34.5	52,3	27.8	29.9	40.7	GA, S	18.5	14.5	14.2	24.8	20.8
TN, W	24.3	34.2	32.7	36.4	34.3						
Note: Deshes indicate						U					

Note: Dashes indicate no petit juror activity.

#### Juror Service Check Sheet

The following Juror Service Check Sheet lists 18 factors which have an effect on juror statistics. The Administrative Office and the U.S. district courts identified these factors as juror service problems encountered by district court personnel. Each of the 94 district courts has variations of local rules and implements different practices regarding juror administration. This listing, therefore, is not meant to include all possible factors affecting a district's juror service, but it can be used as a starting point to isolate and study individual aspects of a district's juror service program. Once a court determines which practices or conditions exist in its juror program, it may discover areas needing modification. As a result, the court may implement new procedures improving the efficiency of its juror management.

#### CHECK SHEET ON JUROR SERVICE FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE THE OUTCOME OF JUROR STATISTICS

	POSITIVE FACTORS		ADVERSE FACTORS	
	Good cooperation and communication	+ ①	Poor cooperation and communication	<del></del>
Ш	between judges and court personnel.	Ĭ	between judges and court personnel.	
	A small number of places of holding court with jury trial activity.	② 	A large number of places of holding court with jury trial activity.	
	Use of a jury pool system, where the number of judges and trials permits.	3	Each judge using a separate jury panel.	
	Staggering of trial starts where the number of judges and trials permits.	4	All judges beginning jury selection at the same time and on the same day.	
	Use of multiple voir dires in the jury selection process.	(5)	A voir dire being called for each trial with unused jurors not returned to the jury pool for use on other trials.	
	Elimination of separate orientation days.	6	Holding separate orientation days where few, if any, juries are selected.	
Ш	Reduction in voir dire panel size.	7	Use of voir dire panels larger than recommended.	
	Use of civil juries of less than 12 members.	(8)	Use of civil juries of 12 or more members.	
	Reduction in the number of challenges allowed.	9	Excessive use of peremptory challenges.	
	Established deadlines for settlements or pleas.	(B)	Allowing settlements or pleas to be entered up to and during trials.	
	Assessment of juror costs in late settling cases.	(1)	No assessment of juror costs in late settling cases.	
	Extensive and good use of pretrial hearings in civil cases or omnibus hearings for criminal defendants.	12	Little or poor use of pretrial hearings or omnibus hearings.	
	A majority of civil trials - 70 percent or more of all jury trials.	13	A majority of criminal trials - 70 percent or more of all jury trials.	
	Back up trials set so that a jury panel for the first case may still be used if this first case does not go forward for some reason.	(d)	No back up trials set so that a jury panel for a case is sent home unused if this case does not go forward.	
	Stipulation by counsel to waive alternate jurors or verdicts by 12 or 6.	( <u>1</u> 5)	Use of alternates in all cases with no attempt to obtain waiver of their use.	
	No highly publicized trials and few multiple defendant criminal cases.	6	Highly publicized trials or multiple defendant criminal cases requiring extralarge panels for jury selection.	
	Consolidating trial starts by having the judges start jury trials only on specified days of the week.	10	Jury trials starting on every day of the week.	
	Periodic reports on juror service which will indicate whether changes need to be made.	(18)	No internal reports on juror service.	

Note: Factors are randomly listed with no order as to significance.

#### SECTION II

#### JUROR COSTS

Juror expenditures are submitted to the Administrative Office on vouchers completed by the U.S. district courts. The totals reported in this report, however, must be considered estimates because the vouchers are not always submitted immediately after juror service.

During 1988, approximately \$46,858,000 was spent for grand and petit juror service; 4 percent more than in 1987. More than three-fourths (\$35,745,300) of the overall total was spent for petit jurors with the remainder (\$11,112,700) spent for grand jurors. The chart below illustrates the percentage of total juror expenditures for attendance, subsistence, mileage and other costs. Table 10 summarizes grand and petit juror expenditures for the last five years.

#### HOW JUROR DOLLARS WERE SPENT IN THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

TOTAL JUROR EXPENDITURES: 46,858,000




Table 10
U. S. District Courts
National Juror Payments
1984 through 1988
(Estimated Figures\*)

Juror Payments	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
Total	\$43,077,800	\$43,438,800	\$44,774,200	\$45,202,500	\$46,858,000
Grand Jurors Attendance Subsistence Mileage Other	1,489,600	\$11,993,900 7,372,000 1,506,500 2,701,300 414,100	\$11,745,000 7,192,200 1,478,100 2,706,900 367,800	\$11,367,200 6,904,200 1,425,700 2,581,400 455,900	\$11,112,700 6,703,600 1,450,000 2,538,400 420,700
Petit Jurors	1,825,000 6,890,400	\$31,444,900 20,451,200 1,682,700 6,814,800 2,496,200	\$33,029,200 21,504,700 1,633,400 7,139,100 2,752,100	\$33,835,300 21,528,600 1,895,700 7,385,000 3,026,000	\$35,745,300 22,527,900 2,076,100 7,913,000 3,228,300

<sup>\*</sup> Totals may not add due to rounding.

#### GRAND JUROR COSTS

As a direct result of the 2 percent drop in grand jury sessions convened during 1988, total payments for grand juror service declined 2 percent to \$11,112,700. Jurors (excluding Federal employees) are paid an attendance fee of \$30 per day. Grand juror attendance fees fell 3 percent from \$6,904,200 in 1987 to \$6,703,600 in 1988. Other expenses such as parking and refreshments declined nearly 8 percent and mileage and toll costs dropped 2 percent. The only expense category to increase over last year was subsistence costs and the rise was less than 2 percent.

Table 11 summarizes, by district, grand juror expenditures for attendance, mileage, subsistence and other costs during 1988. Despite a substantial decline in its grand juror activity, New York, Southern paid out the most funds (\$777,218) for grand jurors. Two other districts, New York, Eastern and Florida, Southern spent more than \$500,000. Compared to 1987, the average cost per grand jury session declined less than one percent to \$1,027 while the average cost per grand juror day remained \$53.

TABLE 11 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

						S FOR THE YEAR END	DED JUNE 30, 1988	DEOCEA	ITACE DE	ESTIMAT	ren
	ļ	TOTA	EXPEN	DF ESTIMA DITURE FO	JR:*			FOTAL	EXPENDI	TURE FOR	<b>₹</b> ‡
DISTRICT	EST. TOTAL EXPENDITURE	ATTEND- ANCE	MILE-	SUBSIST	OTHER	DISTRICT	EST. TOTAL EXPENDITURE	ATTEND- ANCE	MILE-	SUBSIST-	OTHER
TOTAL	11,112,685	60.3	22.8	13.0	3.8	7TH CIR.					
DC	181,840	89.8	1,0.2		_	[L:N	276,226 63,775 71,500	78.9 37.9	20.8 29.0	29.8	0.3 3.3
1ST CIR.						IL.CIL.S.	71.500 160.268	1 46.6	40.0	13.4	1.8
ME	359:574	53.9 70.3 62.5 75.2 50.5	30.0 23.8 35.7 19.7 23.6	15.8	0.3 5.9 0.5	IN.N. IN.S. WI.E.	160,268 128,660 107,336	42.1 45.8 48.1	22.2 25.7 23.0	33.8 21.9 23.2	6.6 5.7
RI	30,562 39,674 79,143	62.5 75.2	35.7 19.7	0.7	0.5 4.4	1 )	62,817	31.3	31.0	37.0	0.7
PK	79,143	50.5	23.6	25.8		8TH CIR.	47 709	39.2	22.0	35.8	3.0
2ND CIR.	07 744	70.9	17.9	5.0	6.2	AR,E AR,H	67.308 33.388 38.436	34.3 58.0	22.0 25.1 23.1 16.7	40.5 15.1	n. 1
CT	97,366 104,262 552,928 777,218 152,549	75.5 73.9 77.5	19.0	ۆ <b>.</b> ۆ	6.3	1 74.5	38, 436 29, 074 143, 186	56.2	18.7	25.6	35 35 10 21
NY,N NY,E NY,S	777.218	77.5 69.1	20.1	-	6.3 3.7 2.4 7.7	MN. MO.E. MO.W.	143,186 63,040 101,562	38.6 74.6 48.7	24.3	39.1 0.1 26.6	1.0
ŸŤ	60,728	66.2	29.6		4.2	NE	72,941 41,949 91,285	43.7 30.8	14.8 27.2 22.7	41.1 40.6 47.2	0.4 1.3 0.2
3RD CIR.					_	50	91,285	29.9	22.7	47.2	0.2
DE	25,365 200,977 301,741 75,548	67.3 77.7 68.6	27.7 20.9		5.0 1.5	9TH CIR.	04 430	16.0	, 0	33.7	42.0
PA,EPA,E.	75,548	0.5.0	20.9 24.5 28.1 22.4	3. <u>7</u> 24.0	3.3 8.4 3.1	AZ	96,630 93,866 156,404	18.9 56.4 72.0	23.8	32.4 13.7 1.5	43.9
VI	181,999	50.5	22.5	24.0	3.1	CA,E	123,680 493,367	40.3	23.8 26.2 29.7 27.7 20.3	26.9	3.1 0.2
4TH CIR.						AKAZCA,RCA,RCA,C.	210,094 90,179	69.5	11.0	8.6	26.7
MD.: NC.E	241.528	53.8	30.2	4.1	11.8	MT	89,486 37.691	38.5 41.8	20.0 31.2 17.5 22.8	40.7 26.1	0.8
NC W	241,528 53,862 27,922 56,453	63:1	38:1	8.6	4.1	NV.	90,233	79.6 50.4	22.8	23.6	3.2
SCVA.E	97,386 136,295	37.2 66.6 51.5	22.0 30.8	40.3	0.5 8.9	HA,E	72.862 134.491	36.4	20.5	23.6	3.2 2.2 5.9 0.2
WV,N	97,386 136,295 68,288 66,432	40.1	17.8	46:1	0:1	GU	12,913	90.4	9.4		0.2
STH CIR.	89,544	71.4	20.9	3.5	4.2	10TH CIR.					
LA.E	103,421	66.1	23.9		10.0	KS	120,214 97,081 53,970	33.4 45.2	13.6 25.4 23.1 26.6	50.9 28.3	2.0 1.1 1.7
1 A . N	103,421 37,818 113,270 35,257 98,541	78.1 44.3	23.9 19.1 21.1	_	2.8	NM. OK, N. OK, E.	18.831	34.0	23.1	41.2	1.7
LA.W	35,257 98,541	44.8 36.4		33.1 19.0 40.1	2.4	I UK Mananan	23,392 91,564 51,996	43.9 45.4 57.5	19.4	20.9 31.5	3.6
TX.N	105,607 71,487 168,785	65.3 58.8	21.1 25.5 25.4 24.1	0.9 14.8	1.0 /	UT	51,996 12,332	57.5 71.8	20.4 20.8	14.8	3.6 7.2 0.3
TX, S	168,785 128,556	65.8 68.7	25.1	3.3	8.4	11TH CIR.					
6TH CIR.				<del></del>		AL,MAL,MAL,S	76.112 38.146	47.6 50.7	22.3 27.3 20.7	30.2 20.7 27.4	1.2
	35,595	71.1	23.0	_	5.9	AL.S	1 58.161	49.0	20.7	27.4 35.0	2.9
KY.E KY.H	35,585 46,225 284,459	71 · 1 77 · 9 70 · 3	23.0 18.0 25.5	3.6	6.1	FL,NFL,M.FL,SGA,N	93,121 300,278 527,970	44.7 52.7 79.0	20.3 21.7 18.1	35.0 23.5 1.7	2.1
MI, H OH, N TN, E	114,370 188,597 96,690	48.8 59.6	32.1 26.9 27.5	12.1	7.0	I GASTISSASSASS	159,630	57.2 38.5 38.7	23.9 25.4 24.5	15.0 33.0 35.9	3.9 3.1 1.0
OH, S	96,690 43,085	53.6 70.8	25.9	12.4	3.2	GA, S	70,089	38.7	24.5	35.9	1.0
TN.M	36,404 55,077	60.2 74.6	36.8 21.8	-	3.6						
							<u> </u>	L			

<sup>+</sup> DUE TO ROUNDING TO THE NEAREST DECIMAL POINT, PERCENTAGES MAY NOT ADD TO 100.0 PERCENT.

#### PETIT JUROR COSTS

With all expense categories rising over 1987, total petit juror expenditures rose nearly 6 percent from \$33,835,300 in 1987 to \$35,745,300 in 1988. The largest numerical increase (up nearly \$1,000,000) was for attendance fees of \$30 per day (excluding Federal employees). Attendance fees totalled \$22,527,900 during 1988 accounting for 63 percent of all petit juror expenditures. Mileage and toll costs rose more than 7 percent, increasing from \$7,385,000 in 1987 to \$7,913,000 in 1988. Subsistence costs rose 10 percent to \$2,076,100 and other expenses climbed nearly 7 percent to \$3,228,300.

Table 12 provides, by district, petit juror expenditures. Due to its large number of complex and highly publicized cases, New York, Southern's petit juror expenditures (\$2,195,281) were the highest in the nation. Seven other districts spent in excess of \$1,000,000 and together these eight districts accounted for one-third of all petit juror expenditures. Nationally, the average cost per jury trial day rose 6 percent to \$808 and the average cost per petit juror day rose 4 percent to \$48.

TABLE 12
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
FSTIMATED PETIT JURGE EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

<b></b>	ESTIMATED PETIT JUROR EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1988											
		PERCE TOTAL	PERCENTAGE OF ESTIMATED				PERCENTAGE OF ESTIMATED TOTAL EXPENDITURE FOR:*		TED ?:*			
DISTRICT	EST. TOTAL EXPENDITURE	ATTEND- ANCE	MILE- AGE	SUBSIST- ENCE	OTHER	DISTRICT	EST. TOTAL EXPENDITURE	ATTEND- ANCE	MILE- AGE	SUBSIST-	OTHER	
TOTAL	35,745,268	63.0	22.1	5.8	9.0	7TH CIR.						
DC	438,406	90.0	10.0			IL.N.	1,040,758 159,596 170,859	69.6 56.0	19.6 30.0	8.9	10.8 5.1 3.1	
IST CIR. ME	144,431 813,248 148,662	53.6 67.5 61.5	27.5 22.0 35.2	9.1 0.1 1.8	9.8 10.5 1.5	IL,SIN,NIN,S	170,859 219,440 111,048 224,256 103,766	60.5 71.1 60.2 69.1 66.8	33.8 22.1 27.3 17.7 22.7	2.6 1.4 1.6 0.8 2.1	3.1 5.4 10.9 12.3 8.4	
RI	176,468 417,168	77:3	15.1 26.9	0.3 15.4	13.1	8TH CIR.						
2ND CIR. CT	310,405 238,858 1,349,010 2,195,281 186,727 83,890	71.2 72.9 66.5 66.7 69.4 62.7	17.4 19.7 19.4 15.9 21.3 25.1	2.4 1.0 - 0.1 4.4	9.0 6.4 14.1 17.5 9.2 7.8	AR, E	248,044 191,668 132,441 142,099 641,034 463,604 316,028 238,325	380357892 66549.55640.5	25.4 26.9 27.9 27.6 27.6 21.8	2.3 13.5 10.0 16.8 1.2 27	8.9 4.5 3.4 98.0 12.1	
3RD CIR.						SD	106,128 307,946	50.3	22.6	27.9	1:17	
DE	121,725 1,028,635 1,849,260 268,880 434,771 215,533	71.5 65.3 62.8 60.0 75.9	20.5 20.5 21.6 28.5 23.7	0.1 5.8 1.4 11.5	8.0 13.9 16.3 7.4 4.9 20.4	9TH CIR.  AK	206,103 482,338 564,731 372,199 1,635,348 468,138	45.7 60.6 67.1 48.1 48.5	11.8 22.1 21.7 29.6 22.6	27.7 7.9 1.2 18.4 20.5	14.9 9.4 10.1 3.8 8.5	
4TH CIR.  MD	750,163 217,600 150,041 114,569 471,538 353,296 140,556 83,025 113,385	544.55 544.9 544.8 5741.8 675.8 675.8 688.4	26.3 30.4 20.9 30.1 20.9 30.1 27.7 25.3	7.3 70.7 5.2 0.6 1.6 1.8	1 4 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CA, S	468,138 252,711 111,378 200,404 287,121 246,955 85,734 379,875 21,828 5,668	664 55104 55104 684 65734 5586	18.1758 1155.8456 1222197.46	3.2 15.6 21.42 18.1 15.5 18.5 51.9	38.8 117.120.5 117.120.5 105.8 117.120.5 105.8 1	
5TH CIR.  LA,E LA,H LA,H MS,S TX,E TX,E TX,E TX,H	339,530 39,239 239,433 169,206 300,045 578,901 334,174 898,749	65.6 70.3 63.6 58.6 66.4 71.9	22.5 16.4 26.6 37.3 227.4 23.4 23.4	0.3	11.7 13.4 1.1 3.9 6.8 7.3	LOTH CIR.  CO	305,654 341,833 388,892 125,141 89,363 298,761 223,015 71,881	59.8 66.8 71.3 59.65.5	18.6 23.4 26.2 25.4 363.8 21.2	17.3 7.6 28.4 4.2 2.9 13.5	4.72 2.44 2.48 2.55 8.55 3.9	
	416,488	70.6	23.2	2.0	4.2	AL.N	459,218 155,892	43.7	25.1 27.5	27.2	3.9	
6TH CIR.  KY,E  KY,H.  KY,H.  HI,H.  OH.N.  TN,E.  TN,E.  TN,E.  TN,H.	305,593 225,759 1,206,779 151,146 460,590 426,570 190,514 140,153 152,666	66.1 72.1 64.4 58.5 70.1 75.0	237.3 74.3 2257.3 227.2 227.2 227.2 20.8	1.2 1.1 7.0 1.0 8.4	538768354.2	AL, M. AL, S	155,892 202,689 226,908 912,462 1,624,265 490,353 115,768 184,335	67.0 54.0 74.0 603.3 693.2 71.8	27.5 28.6 231.6 221.6 24.2 163.4 24.9	3.5 15.4 6.4 0.9	3.9 22.9 22.4 11.3 7.7 7.4 8	

<sup>\*</sup> DUE TO ROUNDING TO THE NEAREST DECIMAL POINT, PERCENTAGES MAY NOT ADD TO 100.0 PERCENT.

TOTAL

4

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS

3

P

E

#### SAMPLE

NOT SELECTED TRAVEL SERVING OR STATUS CHALLENGED

%

8

7

12

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

CHALLENGED

6

11

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED OR SERVING

5

10

JUDGESHIPS 2

ESTIMATED COSTS							
TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY					
s 13	14	15					

NOT SELECTED. SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED

's 16

T						
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
,	TRIALS	17	18	19	20	21
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	22	23	24	25	26

%

27	20
TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION

SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT DAYS

9

AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	30	31	32	33	34
A "					

FOR NATIONAL PROFILE OPEN FOLDOUT AT BACK COVER

35	36	37	38	39
SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION
	SESSIONS	SESSIONS JURORS IN	SESSIONS JURORS IN HOURS IN	SESSIONS JURORS IN HOURS IN AVG. JURORS

s 4	0	41	42				
TO	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY				
ESTIMATED COSTS							

l	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1985		,	2			Д	Al		
1986			3			4			
1987									
1988	***	Y	1	1		1	1		

#### SECTION III JUROR SERVICE PROFILES

#### EXPLANATION OF ENTRIES ON DISTRICT JUROR SERVICE PROFILES

- 1. Places of holding court where petit jurors have been in attendance and available to serve for jury trial activity at least once during the year.
- 2. Authorized judgeships on June 30, 1988 (does not include visiting or senior judges).
- 3. Total number of petit jurors in court whether "selected or serving," "challenged," or "not selected, serving or challenged." Also includes jurors in travel status, and those serving on subsequent days.
- 4. Total number of petit jurors in court for jury selection.
- 5. Total number of petit jurors available for jury selection who were selected for one or more trial juries.
- 6. Total number of petit jurors who were challenged during the jury selection process either for cause or peremptorily and did not serve on a trial jury.
- 7. Total number of petit jurors in court for jury selection who were not selected, serving, or challenged.
- 8. Total number of petit jurors who were required to travel to the place of holding court on the day(s) prior to trial, or travel home following juror service.
- 9. Total number of petit jurors who served on trial juries on days subsequent to the jury selection.
- 10. Percentage of petit jurors available for jury selection who were selected for trial juries.
- 11. Percentage of petit jurors available for jury selection who were challenged.
- 12. Percentage of petit jurors available for jury selection who were not selected, serving, or challenged.
- 13. Total estimated expenditures for all petit jurors' expenses which include attendance, subsistence, mileage and toll costs, and miscellaneous costs.
- 14. Estimated cost per jury trial per day (Box 13 divided by Box 22).
- 15. Estimated cost per petit juror per day (Box 13 divided by Box 3).
- 16. Total estimated expenditures for those petit jurors who were not selected, serving, or challenged.
- 17. Total number of civil and criminal jury trials completed. This information is derived from the JS-10, the Monthly Report of Trials and Other Court Activity.
- 18. Total number of civil jury trials completed.
- 19. Percentage of civil jury trials (Box 18 divided by Box 17).

#### SECTION III JUROR SERVICE PROFILES

(continued)

- 20. Total number of criminal jury trials completed.
- 21. Percentage of criminal jury trials (Box 20 divided by Box 17).
- 22. Total number of civil and criminal jury trial days. (Three jury trial days could either be one trial running three days or three trials occurring on one day, or a combination.)
- 23. Total number of civil jury trial days.
- 24. Percentage of civil jury trial days (Box 23 divided by Box 22).
- 25. Total number of criminal jury trial days.
- 26. Percentage of criminal jury trial days (Box 25 divided by Box 22).
- 27. Total number of petit juries selected.
- 28. The average number of jurors present in court (whether selected, challenged, or not selected, serving or challenged) per jury selection. This is calculated by dividing the total number of petit jurors present for jury selection (Box 4) by the total number of juries selected (Box 27).
- 29. The average number of jurors available per jury trial per day. This is calculated by dividing the total number of petit jurors available (Box 3) by the total number of jury trial days (Box 22).
- 30. Total number of grand juries that served for one or more months during the past year (July 1, 1987 through June 30, 1988).
- 31. Total number of grand juries serving on July 1, 1987.
- 32. Total number of grand juries impaneled at some time between July 1, 1987 and June 30, 1988.
- 33. Total number of grand juries which were either discharged by the court or which had served the statutory period, and ceased to exist at some time between July 1, 1987 and June 30, 1988.
- 34. Total number of grand juries still serving on July 1, 1988.
- 35. Number of grand jury sessions convened. A session is counted for each day on which the grand jury consisting of 16 to 23 jurors convenes for at least one hour.
- 36. Number of grand jurors in convened sessions. Grand jurors are included in this category only when they participate in a convened session. Travel days, prospective jurors reporting only for impanelment, or jurors reporting when no session is convened are not included in this figure.
- 37. Number of hours in session. This category includes all time from the start of a convened session to the close of that session on a given day. The time required for the impanelment of any grand jury is also included in this figure.

#### SECTION III JUROR SERVICE PROFILES

(continued)

- 38. The average number of jurors that participated in each convened session. This is calculated by dividing the number of jurors in session (Box 36) by the number of sessions convened (Box 35). This number will fall somewhere between 16 and 23 as Rule 6(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure requires a grand jury to consist of 16 to 23 members.
- 39. The average number of hours for each convened session. This is calculated by dividing the number of hours in session (Box 37) by the number of sessions convened (Box 35).
- 40. Total estimated expenditures for all grand jurors' expenses which include attendance, subsistence, mileage and toll costs, and miscellaneous costs.
- 41. Estimated cost for each grand jury session convened.
- 42. Estimated cost per grand juror per day in session.
- 43. A comparison of selected petit juror data for the years ended June 30, 1984 through 1988.
- 44. A comparison of selected grand juror data for the years ended June 30, 1984 through 1988.

COMMENT: A statement is provided for those districts reporting various occurrences in the operation of their jury system which have had an affect on their juror statistics. This information is obtained from the JS-11G and JS-11 monthly reports provided by the clerks of the U.S. district courts.

6

4.59

AVG. HOURS

PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS

TOTAL

PER SESSION

PER

JUROR

DAY

R

A N 7

415

SESSIONS CONVENED

6

7,971

JURORS IN

SESSION

1

1,903

HOURS IN

SESSION

	1 PL	ACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)							JUDGESHIPS15.			
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS		FOR JURY SELECTION				SERVING ON	7	ESTIMATED COSTS			
		TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING C CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROR DAY	
	17,339	8,824	2,106	2,626	4 092	0	8,515		\$ 438,405	463	25	
P	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	100%	23.9 %		-		0,010		NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	103,	464	
Τ												
/ T	JURY TRIALS	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL		AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N		
′		175	91	52.0	84	48.0	JURIES		PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL		
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	946	465	49.2	481	50.8	196	;	45.02	18.	33	
							<u> </u>					
		Λ	UMBER OF	GRAND J	URIES							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE		NO. ON NO. NO. JULY 1, 1987 IMPANELED DISCHARG		NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		OPE	FOR NATIONAL PRO OPEN FOLDOUT AT BACK COVE		
G												

1

19.2

AVG. JURORS

PER SESSION

SERVICE STATISTICS							81,840	438	23	
<del></del>			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS					
JURY TRIALS   PETIT JUROR SERVICE   GRAND JUROR SERV										
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS N SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER HOURS I	OF PER	
1984	125	37.6	4,730	34.3	12	423	2,570	6.08		
1985	171	44.4	6,451	37.5	8	358	1,954	5.46	;	
1986	122	39.3	5,412	39.9	7	338	1,751	5.18		
1987	140	40.0	6,330	45.7	10	390	2,129	5.46	;	
1988	175	48.0	8,824	46.4	7	415	1,903	4.59		

- Due to a large number of last minute cancellations of jury trials, the court's percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is well above the national average.
- On one occasion, a total of \$1,485, covering the cost of 45 jurors, was assessed.
- The court's magistrates often preside over jury trials.
- Six jury trials lasted at least 20 days with the longest, a perjury trial, lasting 32 days.



	2 PL	·····		OURT (WI		TRIAL ACT	IVIIYI	<del></del>			ESHIPS	
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN	SERVING			ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING C CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSEQU			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JURO DAY
	2.904	1,181	467	502	212	37	1,68	86	\$	144,43	1 855	50
<b>.</b>		100%	39.5 %	42.5 %	18.0	%	,		SEF	SELECTED, RVING, OR ALLENGED	\$ 10,	543
-		<del></del>	r	<del></del>			1 [		<del></del>		7	
-	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TAL		G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
	TRIALS	33	14	42.4	19	57.6		HES CTED	PRE	SENT FOR	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	169	81	47.9	88	52.1		50		23.62	17.	18
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND J	IURIES			]				
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C JULY 1,		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. JULY 1			FOR NATIONAL PROFI OPEN FOLDOUT AT BACK COVER			IT
	3		2	1	1		2			1	<u>-</u>	
	43	T	859	298	20.0	6.	93			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HOL	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. F	HOURS		TC	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROI DAY
ł			CCOVICC	STATISTI	~~			\$		56,573	1,316	66

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE		
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	33	42.4	1,124	31.0	3	34	208	6.12	
1985	37	56.8	1,274	30.6	3	24	160	6.67	
1986	49	40.8	1,208	25.2	3	55	394	7.16	
1987	33	54.5	1,313	26.7	4	45	339	7.53	
1988	33	57.6	1,181	18.0	3	43	298	6.93	

- . With a majority of criminal jury trials, the percentage of challenged jurors was higher than the national average of 36.4 percent.
- . Only once in 1988 did an entire panel of jurors go unused because of a last minute trial cancellation.

OT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED

3,716

45.2 %

TRAVEL STATUS

0

SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT DAYS

9,522

TOTAL

8,229

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS

17,751

P

Ε 7

3	PLACES	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	AC1	(YTY)
TAI			FOR JUR	Y SELEU	CTION			ıN	SERVING

CHALLENGED

2,434

29.6 %

	JUDGES	SHIPS _	12.00
	ESTIMATE	D COST	rs
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	813,247	724	46
SE	T SELECTED, ERVING, OR \$	169,	969

. [	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	205	154	75.1	51	24.9
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	1,123	689	61.4	434	38.6

SELECTED

OR SERVING

2,079

25.3 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
236	34.87	15.81

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES									
IN	TOTAL EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988				
	20	14	6	7	13				
	000	7.01	4 004	40.5	4 50				

393	7,675	1,801	19.5	4.58
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG, HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

\$	357,737	910	47					
:	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY					
	ESTIMATED COSTS							

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	144	29.2	7,260	49.7	22	586	2,837	4.84
1985	244	31.6	9,190	45.5	24	567	2,516	4.44
1986	216	24.5	8,226	41.7	25	558	2,552	4.57
1987	225	27.6	8,305	43.7	24	483	2,212	4.58
1988	205	24.9	8,229	45.2	20	393	1,801	4.58

- The court reported 27 summary trials heard by 5-member juries.
- The seven notorious cases which required large panels of prospective jurors included one criminal terrorism trial.
- Nine jury trials lasted more than 20 days each.
- Costs in the amount of \$865 were assessed for 21 jurors.

1	PLACES	0F	HOLDING	COURT	/WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY)

	JUDGES	SHIPS _	2.00
	ESTIMATE	ED COST	rs
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	148,661	965	52
SE	T SELECTED, ERVING, OR \$ HALLENGED	20,	961

Ì	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	,	IN	SERVING ON
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL SELECTED OR CHALL SERVING		CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TRAVEL	SUBSEQUENT DAYS
	2,866	1,864	662	798	404	0	1,002
		100%	35.5 %	42.8 %	21.7 %		

. [	JURY TRIALS	TOTAL CIVIL		%	CRIMINAL	%
		31	23	74.2	8	25.8
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	154	122	79.2	32	20.8

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
77	24.21	18.61

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	4	3	1	2	2
A					

30	591	105	19.7	3.50
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS									
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY						
\$	30,562	1,019	52						

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	23	39.1	1,122	24.8	4	50	279	5.58		
1985	37	16.2	1,172	24.9	4	53	260	4.91		
1986	30	30.0	1,208	27.2	4	51	239	4.69		
1987	28	14.3	1,366	16.8	4	38	151	3.97		
1988	31	25.8	1,864	21.7	4	30	105	3.50		

			HOLDING C FOR JURY			TITAL AU	1101111	۱ ٦		ESHIPS . TED COS	
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED		NOT SELECTED CHALLENGED SERVING OR CHALLENGED		SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	FER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROF DAY
	4,446	1,576	829	406	341		2.870		\$ 176,467		40
	4,440	100%	52.6 %	25.8			2,870		NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	13,	588
1		TOTAL	CIVIL	્યુ	CRIMINAL	%				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	JURY TRIALS	73	49	67.1	24	32.9	TOTAL JURIES SELECTEI	D	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. N JURI PRESEN DAY OF	ORS IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	290	193	66.6	97	33.4	96	5	16.42	15.	33
	TOTAL	NO. C	IUMBER OF	GRAND	JURIES NO.	NO.	. ON			IONAL PR	
	IN EXISTENCE			ANELED	DISCHARGED		1, 1988			N FOLDOU BACK COV	
	5		3	2	2		3				
	53		983	306	18.5	5	. 77		ESTIMATI	ED COSTS	S
7	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	S IN HO	URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG.	HOURS ESSION		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROI DAY
1	***************************************			STATIST		<del></del>		\$	39,674	749	40

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUROR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	91	24.2	1,754	14.0	5	60	343	5.72		
1985	69	31.9	1,845	18.9	6	48	272	5.67		
1986	70	25.7	1,505	11.6	6	54	330	6,11		
1987	59	30.5	2,014	22.1	5	40	214	5.35		
1988	73	32.9	1,576	21.6	5	53	306	5.77		

The court's extensive use of multiple voir dire resulted in a high percentage of selected jurors compared to the national average of 29.9 percent.

	1 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	ΓΙΝ ΙΤΥ)			JUDG	SESHIPS .	7.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN	SERVING			ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGI	R STATUS	SUBSEQ			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROR DAY
	7,630	4.070	1,136	1,603	1,331	106	3,4	54	\$	417,16	7 996	55
P E		100%	27.9 %	39.4 %	32.7	%	,		SER	SELECTED, VING, OR ALLENGED	æ	587
T												
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TAL		S. NO. OF	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	96	36	37.5	60	62.5		RIES	PRE	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	419	183	43.7	236	56.3		101		40.30	18.	21
	·····				·		···········	7				
		Λ	UMBER OF	GRAND .	<i>IURIES</i>							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OP.	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOL BACK COV	IT
G R	3		2	1	2		1			<u>L </u>		
A N								7 [		ESTIM AT	ED COSTS	S
D	67	1,	298	402	19.4	6.	00	1		1		PER
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS ESSION		то	TAL	PER SESSION	JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS			\$	<u> </u>	79,142	1,181	61

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	R SERVICE GRAND JUROR SERVICE							
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION				
1984	47	55.3	3,230	57.7	7	82	455	5.55				
1985	39	64.1	3,772	59.5	5	100	551	5.51				
1986	71	81.7	3,620	41.7	4	71	424	5.97				
1987	95	62.1	4,666	42.7	4	72	460	6.39				
1988	96	62.5	4,070	32.7	3	67	402	6.00				

- . There were two notorious cases which required large panels of prospective jurors.
- . Only 106 jurors were reported in travel status compared to 227 in 1987.
- . A total of \$2,932 in costs were assessed, covering 54 jurors.
- . Special juror orientation days brought in 140 jurors; however, this was much better than the 553 unused jurors called for orientation in 1987.

		•

97,365

773

41

	3 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIVIT	γ)		JUDG	SESHIPS	6.00	
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN	SERV	ING ON		ESTIMA	TED COS		
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS		EQUENT AYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROR DAY	
	6,558	3,077	1,023	1,377	677	0	3	, 481	\$	310,40		47	
P		100%	33.2 %	44.8 %	6 22.0	%			NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED		Φ.	, 972	
Ι													
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL		G. NO. OF		NO. OF ORS	
,	TRIALS	100	71	71.0	29	29.0		JURIES SELECTED	PR	ESENT FOR ELECTION	PRESE	PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	363	258	71.1	105	28.9		107		28.76	18	. 07	
		Λ.	UMBER OF	GRAND	IIIRIES								
		<del>-  </del>								FOR NA	TIONAL P	ROFILE	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988	3	OPE		EN FOLDOU BACK COV	JT	
G R	11		6	5	2		9			L			
A' N		T					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ESTIM AT	ED COST	5	
D	126	2,	355	644	18.7	5.	11	-				<del></del>	
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS ESSION		TOTAL S		PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY	

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	104	22.1	3,331	22.1	11	108	580	5.37
1985	121	25.6	3,522	19.2	10	112	603	5.38
1986	147	28.6	4,348	25.9	9	102	541	5.30
1987	123	22.0	3,211	20.3	11	127	735	5.79
1988	100	29.0	3,077	22.0	11	126	644	5.11

- This district reuses jurors whenever possible and, as a result, its average number of jurors present for jury selection and its percentage of jurors not selected or challenged are better (lower) than the national average.
- The number of jury trials completed dropped 19 percent from 123 in 1987 to 100 in 1988.

SERVICE STATISTICS

	<u> </u>	ACES OF	HOLDING (	COURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL A	CTIV	ΊΥΙ		JUDG.	ESHIPS _	4.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		iN	s	ERVING ON	7	ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGI	OR STATE		UBSEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROR DAY
	5.388	3.099	703	583	1,813		3	2.286		\$ 238,858	799	44
P	3,000	100% 22.7 %		ļ		58.5 %				NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR S CHALLENGED	80,	256
$T_{\perp}$												
/	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL		AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
′	TRIALS	80	64	80.0	16	20.0		JURIES SELECTE		PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	299	203	67.9	96	32.1		78	3	39.73	18.	02
ſ	W	A	UIMBED OI	COAND	<i>ware</i>			1		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES								EOD NAT	IONAL PR	OFTIF
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	TOTAL NO. ON IN EXISTENCE JULY 1, 1987		NO. PANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		NO. ON JULY 1, 1988			OPE	N FOLDOU BACK COVI	T
G R A	9		6	3	4		5			L		
N D	114	2.	205	562 19.3			4.93			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
"	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	S IN HO	URS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	S AVO	. HO	URS		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
}		SERVICE STATISTICS							\$	104,261	915	47

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	64	25.0	2,511	54.7	8	134	488	3.64
1985	65	24.6	2,369	48.5	8	130	598	4.60
1986	59	22.0	2,309	55.7	8	124	522	4.21
1987	87	20.7	3,344	53.0	8	148	702	4.74
1988	80	20.0	3,099	58.5	9	114	562	4.93

- . The court's percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is the highest in the nation at 58.5 percent due, in large part, to numerous last minute cancellations of jury trials and its practice of holding orientation days for prospective jurors when few, if any, juries are selected.
- . A total of \$600 was assessed for 19 jurors when one case settled without proper notification to court personnel.
- . One criminal jury trial concerning allegations of postal fraud lasted 23 days.

4,296

35.7 %

TRAVEL

STATUS

0

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

14,887

TOTAL

12,048

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE

JURORS

26,935

E T

3 PLACES OF	- HOLDING	COURT	<i>(WITH</i>	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY)
-------------	-----------	-------	--------------	------	-------	-----------

CHALLENGED

4,666

38.7 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR SERVING

3,086

25.6 %

JUDGES	SHIPS _	12.00							
ESTIMATED COSTS									
TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY							
\$ 1,349,009	1,084	50							
NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$	214,	493							

JURY TRIALS	TOTAL	CIVIL	% .	CRIMINAL	%
	227	103	45.4	124	54.6
JURY TRIAL DAYS	1,244	389	31.3	855	68.7

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
272	44.29	21.65

	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R A N D	47	27	20	18	29
	631	11,894	2,988	18.8	4.74
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION
		SE	RVICE STATIS	TICS	

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES

ESTIMATED COSTS									
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY						
\$	552,928	876	46						

			HISTORI	CAL COMP.	<i>ARISGNS</i>					
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUROR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	205	50.2	11,627	39.5	38	646	3,015	4.67		
1985	204	47.1	8,806	33.4	43	672	3,108	4.63		
1986	203	58.1	11,861	41.9	49	660	3,076	4.66		
1987	250	48.8	13,475	32.2	50	579	2,719	4.70		
1988	227	54.6	12,048	35.7	47	631	2,988	4.74		

- . With a majority of criminal jury trials, both the percentage of challenged jurors and the percentage of not selected jurors were above the national averages of 36.4 percent and 33.7 percent, respectively.
- . Numerous highly publicized trials were reported including: a national security case; organized crime prosecutions; and the criminal trial of a United States Congressman.
- . The nation's second longest criminal trial (126 days) concerning charges of intimidating witnesses and jurors occurred in this district.
- . Five other jury trials lasted more than 20 days each.

TRAVEL

STATUS

0

NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED

9,387

46.3 %

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

27,832

TOTAL

20,285

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE

**JURORS** 

48,117

P

Ę T 1

2 /	PLACES	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRI.	AL AC	TIVITY)	
T A I			FOR JUR	Y SELEC	CTION			i Ni	SERVING	•

CHALLENGED

7,070

34.9 %

	JUDGESHIPS27.00									
Г	ESTIMATED COSTS									
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JURCR DAY							
\$	2,195,281	870	46							
s	OT SELECTED, ERVING, OR \$	428,	080							

JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
TRIALS	348	219	62.9	129	37.1
JURY TRIAL DAYS	2,523	1,111	44.0	1,412	56.0

SELECTED

OR SERVING

3,828

18.9 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
379	53.52	19.07

TOTAL	NO. ON	NO.	NO.	NO. ON
IN EXISTENCE	JULY 1, 1987	IMPANELED	DISCHARGED	JULY 1, 1988
50	33	17	18	32

7  _	929	17,981	3,772	19.4	4.06
	SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
	CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS									
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY						
\$	777,217	837	43						

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS				
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	331	41.7	15,963	44.6	53	1,194	4,944	4.14	
1985	387	42.4	18,791	43.4	49	1,015	4,397	4.33	
1986	387	43.7	22,081	52.9	51	897	3,520	3.92	
1987	345	40.3	16,976	42.8	51	1,033	3,915	3.79	
1988	348	37.1	20,285	46.3	50	929	3,772	4.06	
		1	L	1		1	L	(	

- Numerous last minute cancellations of jury trials explain, in part, the court's high percentage of jurors not selected or challenged.
- The court reported a large number of notorious trials and other cases involving complex or sensitive issues. These cases required lengthy voir dires; as long as 12 days for a single case.
- One racketeering jury trial lasted 169 days; it was the longest criminal jury trial in 1988.
- Twelve other jury trials lasted in excess of 20 days each.

	<u> </u>	ACES OF A	HOLDING C	OURT (WIT	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIVIT	Y)		JUDG	ESHIPS .	4.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN		ING ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGE	OR STATUS		EQUENT AYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROI DAY
	4,264	2,095	717	707	671	0	2	, 169	\$	186,72	845	44
P E		100%	34.2 %	33.7 %	32.0	%			SER	SELECTED, RVING, OR ALLENGED	\$ 29,	316
I I		T			AD 711-111		7 -		<del></del>		1	
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	4	TOTAL		G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
•	TRIALS	47	16	34.0	31	66.0	S	JURIES ELECTED		SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	221	111	50.2	110	49.8		64		32.73	19.	29
ĺ		N	UMBER OF	GRAND J	<i>URIES</i>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		r		
	TOTAL NO. (IN EXISTENCE JULY 1,			NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED JU		NO. ON JULY 1, 1988		FOR NATIONAL PROPER FOLDOL		IT	
G				THE ARELES STOOMAN						AT	BACK COV	ER
R A	9		6	3	4		5			L	***************************************	
N O	173	3	395	923	19.6	5	. 34			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	5
U	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HOU	JRS IN .	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS		то	ITAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROF DAY
		<u> </u>	SERVICE	STATISTI	C S		·		. 4	52,549	882	45

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUROR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	52	80.8	2,573	35.6	7	172	823	4.78			
1985	47	74.5	2,494	34.2	9	204	1,012	4.96			
1986	53	69.8	2,317	32.5	9	213	1, 100	5.16			
1987	48	54.2	1,995	36.5	10	184	967	5.26			
1988	47	66.0	2,095	32.0	9	173	923	5.34			

- . Despite a predominately criminal trial calendar, the court improved (lowered) its percentage of jurors not selected or challenged by more than four points through the extensive use of multiple voir dire.
- One postal fraud jury trial lasted 23 days.

		ACES OF .	ס פונווואים ה	ואין ואטט	IN JUNI	INTAL AG	1 1 V 1 I I	/		GESHIPS	2.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN		NG ON	ESTIM	ATED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT D SERVING C CHALLENGE	RSTATUS		QUENT	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	1,768	787	328	235	224	1		980	\$ 83,88	39 650	47
P E		100%	41.7 %	29.9					NOT SELECTED SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	· 📥	, 654
Ţ	W	ı	<u></u>	<u></u>			7		<del></del>		
τ / T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. I	
,	TRIALS	44	34	77.3	10	22.7		URIES	PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	129	101	78.3	28	21.7		43	18.30	13	.71
1			UMBER OF	CDAND	IIIDIE C						
	<del></del>	/ <b>V</b>	UNIDER UF	UNANU .	JUNIES	<del></del>		_	FOR NA	ATIONAL PR	OFTLE
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		. ON 1, 1988		OF	BACK COV	JT
G R A	6		3	3	3		3		<u> </u>		
N	66	4	271	257	40.0		. 89	$\neg \vdash$	ESTIMA	TED COST	S
D	- 00		271	257	19.3	3.	. 89	=	TOTAL	PER	PER
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO		URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS ESSION		TOTAL	SESSION	JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	'CS			\$	60,727	920	48
					,	·					<del></del>
	1			HIS	TORICAL C	OMPARIS	ONS				

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	25	12.0	552	33.7	4	61	280	4.59			
1985	43	18.6	890	30.4	4	54	202	3.74			
1986	39	17.9	1,029	39.9	6	34	141	4.15			
1987	43	9.3	779	33.9	6	64	299	4.67			
1988	44	22.7	787	28.5	6	66	257	3.89			

- . The court's average number of jurors present for jury selection (18.30) is one of the smallest nationwide due to the predominately civil trial calendar and its practice of reusing jurors.
- The trial for one civil case that was expected to last five weeks was cancelled after the parties settled and, as a result, the panel of 48 jurors was unused.
- . One civil jury trial concerning contract issues lasted 24 days.
- . One bankruptcy trial before a 7-member jury was reported in 1988.

r			

	1_ PL	ACES UF	HULDING U	ועער נווטט	In Juni	INIAL AU	71117		JUDG		4.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIC		iN	SERVING ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT D SERVING C CHALLENGI	OR STATUS	SUBSEQUEN' DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROF DAY
	2,682	1,355	433	511	411	0	1,327		\$ 121,724	716	45
		100%	32.0 %	37.7	% 30.3	%		1	NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR S CHALLENGED	18,	624
							,			1	
	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL		AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
	TRIALS	40	20	50.0	20	50.0	JURIES SELECTE		PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	170	95	55,9	75	44.1	3	9	34.74	15.	78
		A	UMBER OF	COANO	<i>IIIDITO</i>						
			UIVIBER UF	UNANU	JUNIES		ì				
3	TOTAL			l l					FOR NAT	TONAL PR	OFTIE
	IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		) OPS	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COVI	T
-	3								) OPS	N FOLDOL	T
	3	JULY 1,	1987 IMP	ANELED 1	DISCHARGED	JULY	2		) OPS	EN FOLDOU BACK COVI	IT ER
	Annual Control of the	JULY 1,	2 488 HO	ANELED	DISCHARGED	7.	1, 1988		OPE AT	EN FOLDOU BACK COVI	IT ER

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE							
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION				
1984	27	25.9	702	35.3	4	34	137	4.03				
1985	28	32.1	785	32.5	2	34	181	5.32				
1986	20	50.0	699	24.0	4	33	235	7.12				
1987	45	42.2	1,183	34.2	3	23	170	7.39				
1988	40	50.0	1,355	30.3	3	25	193	7.72				

- . There is little jury trial activity in this district; as a result, there is little opportunity to pool jurors.
- . After one late settlement, costs of \$540 were assessed for 18 jurors.

	3 PL,		HOLDING O			TRIAL AC	TIVITY)			GESHIPS .	
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO:		IN	SERVING (		ESTIMA	ITED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING C CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSEQUE	NT	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROR DAY
	21,627	9,563	2,159	4,047	3,357	0	12,064	,	\$ 1,028,63		48
P E		100%	22.6 %	42.3 %	6 35.1	%			NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	•	, 438
T				_			_				
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTA		AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. I	NO. OF ORS
·	TRIALS	162	102	63.0	60	37.0	JURIE SELEC		PRESENT FOR SELECTION		IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	1,159	572	49.4	587	50.6	2	05	46.65	18.	66
İ	,		WARER OF								
			UMBER OF	GRAND	<i>JURIE</i> 3	<del></del>			EOO NA	TIONAL PE	005115
•	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	JULY 1,		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		OP.	EN FOLDOL BACK COV	JT -
G R	17		10	7	6		11		<u> </u>		
A N	263		044	1,255	19.2	4.	77		ESTIM AT	ED COST.	S
D	SESSIONS	JURORS			AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR
	CONVENED	SESSI			PER SESSION	PER SE	SSION	F			DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS			\$	200,976	764	40
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1			Пιс	TORICAL C	OMDADIC	ONC				-

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	/ICE GRAND JUROR SERVICE							
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION				
1984	139	39.6	7,138	44.4	20	312	1,525	4.89				
1985	147	33.3	7,403	40.3	20	308	1,555	5.05				
1986	109	44.0	6,631	43.1	18	262	1,132	4.32				
1987	120	44.2	10,012	40.7	16	247	1,145	4.64				
1988	162	37.0	9,563	35.1	17	263	1,255	4.77				

- Because of numerous multi-defendant trials, notorious cases, and other cases involving complex or sensitive issues such as asbestos and cigarette smoking, the court's percentage of challenged jurors is significantly above the national average.
- . The number of jury trials completed rose 35 percent from 120 in 1987 to 162 in 1988.
- . Selection for one criminal jury trial lasted four days. Out of the 539 juror days, 269 were challenged; 252 were not selected; and 18 were selected.
- . Eight jury trials lasted in excess of 20 days each with two, a criminal fraud case and a product liability case, lasting more than 70 days each.

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

JUDGESHIPS \_\_19.00

TOTAL		FOR JURY	′	IN	SERVING ON	
AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TRAVEL STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS
34,920	19,464	4,402	8,245	6,817	186	15,270
	100%	22.6 %	42.4 %	35.0 %		

ESTIMATED COSTS								
TOTAL	PER PER TRIAL JUROS DAY DAY							
\$ 1,849,260	917	53						
NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ 250 606							

P		100%	22.6 %	42.4 %	6 35.0	%
7						
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	413	334	80.9	79	19.1
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	2,016	1,491	74.0	525	26.0

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
476	40.89	17.32

	NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES									
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988					
G R	22	17	5	8	14					
N										

N D	332	6, 163	1,655	18.6	4.98
ſ	SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
	CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS						
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY			
\$	301,740	909	49			

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	38 1	22.6	14,724	32.9	20	397	1,699	4.28			
1985	415	25.8	17,589	32.2	20	355	1,659	4.67			
1986	395	25.1	17,001	35.1	17	375	1,805	4.81			
1987	426	22.3	16,016	24.8	22	372	1,772	4.76			
1988	413	19.1	19,464	35.0	22	332	1,655	4.98			

- . The percentage of jurors not selected or challenged rose from 25 percent in 1987 to 35 percent in 1988 primarily due to numerous highly publicized trials and last minute cancellations.
- . After one last minute settlement, costs of \$1,350 were assessed for 45 jurors.
- . The court's highly publicized trials required large panels of prospective jurors and, as a result, its average number of jurors present for jury selection is well above the national average of 33.
- . Six jury trials lasted at least 20 days each.

		***************************************	FOR JURY	SELECTION	1/	1	1		ESTIMATED COSTS			
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTE	STATUS	SERVING SUBSEQUI DAYS			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROI DAY
	5,727	2,753	1,452	935	3,88	12	2,96	,	\$	268,87	798	47
P E		100%	52.7 %	34.0 %			-,00		SE	SELECTED RVING OR S ALLENGED	17,	208
T /		l		T 2, T			1		1		1 [	
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	<u></u> %	TOT		l	'G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	ORS
	TRIALS	109	86	78.9	23	21.1	SELEC			ESENT FOR ELECTION	DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	337	251	74.5	86	25.5		158		17.42	16.	99
		ΛV	UMBER OF	GRAND	UIRIES					-		
		, •	OMBEN OF	Giiii D	011120		Į.					
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO.	ON I, 1988			OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COV	T
7										OP	N FOLDOU	Т
7   4 V	IN EXISTENCE	JULY 1,	1987 IMP	ANELED 4	3	JULY	6			OP	EN FOLDOU BACK COV	T ER
G R 4	IN EXISTENCE	JULY 1,	1987 IMP 5 626 IN HO	4 484  JRS IN	DISCHARGED		6 90		T.	OPE AT	EN FOLDOU BACK COV	T ER

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE			
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	88	29.5	2,802	29.5	8	120	639	5.33
1985	83	38.6	2,422	23.8	9	116	642	5.53
1986	67	33.8	<sub>/</sub> 2,390	25.4	9	78	409	5.24
1987	94	24.5	2,967	21.6	6	77	489	6.35
1988	109	21.1	2,753	13.3	9	82	484	5.90

- The court's extensive use of multiple voir dire resulted in a high percentage of selected jurors (53 percent) compared to the national average of 30 percent.
- . A total of ten summary jury trials were reported.

	<u> </u>	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	ΓΙΝ ΙΤΥ )		JUDO	GESHIPS .	10.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN	SERVING ON		ESTIMA		
i	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING C CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSEQUENT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROR DAY
İ	8,969	3,882	1,129	1,643	1,110	192	4,895	\$	434,77		48
ρ E		100%	29.1 %	42.3 %	6 28.6	%		SEI	SELECTED, RVING, OR ALLENGED	d•	912
		TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	]			7	
-	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	76	CRIMINAL	76	TOTAL JURIES		G. NO. OF JURORS	JUR	ORS
	TRIALS	102	63	61.8	39	38.2	SELECTED		SENT FOR ELECTION		
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	554	306	55.2	248	44.8	115		33.76	16.	19
i		_									
		<i>N</i>	UMBER OF	GRAND .	JURIES						
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		OP	EN FOLDOL	JT
,	12		7	5	2		10				
/	400		504	640		T			ESTIMA	TED COSTS	S
7	139	2,	781	842	20.0	6.	06		<del></del>	TATED COSTS  PAY DAY DAY 785 48  AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL	
		JURORS	сн Гиг	URS IN	AVG. JURORS		HOURS	T	DTAL		JUROR
	SESSIONS CONVENED	SESSI		SSION	PER SESSION	PER SE	SSTON				ļ

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	126	39.7	4,363	25.1	13	160	826	5.16
1985	120	39.2	4,836	33.1	9	118	707	5.99
1986	101	43.6	4,717	38.1	9	135	845	6.26
1987	112	35.7	4,058	25.7	10	155	992	6.40
1988	102	38.2	3,882	28.6	12	139	842	6.06

- . A total of \$1,252 in juror costs were assessed covering 30 jurors. Twenty-three of these jurors were reused in another voir dire on the same day costs were assessed.
- The jury selection for one multi-defendant criminal case lasted three days.
- . The court's longest jury trials were a 43-day criminal fraud trial and a 25-day contract trial.

633

19.9 %

IN

TRAVEL

STATUS

0

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

2,505

TOTAL

3,173

100%

TOTAL VAILABLE

**JURORS** 

5.678

P

ETII

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)	2 PI	LACES L	OF HOLDING	COURT (	WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY,
--	------	---------	------------	---------	------	------	-------	-----------

CHALLENGED

1,086

34.2 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR SERVING

1,454

45.8 %

	JUDUL	_ כי ווווט	2.00
	ESTIMATI	ED COST	rs
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	215,532	1,123	38
SE	T SELECTED RVING OR \$ HALLENGED	23,	924

HINGESHIPS

JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
TRIALS	95	32	33.7	63	66.3
JURY TRIAL DAYS	192	73	38.0	119	62.0

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
128	24.79	29.57

	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	0	0	0	0	0
N D	٥	0	0	0	.00
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION
		SE	RVICE STATIS	TICS	7

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES

ESTIMA	TED COSTS	s ·
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
	<del> </del>	

0

0

0

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE		
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	71	62.0	3,341	28.8	0	0	0	.00	
1985	83	65.1	2,839	18.8	0	0	0	.00	
1986	76	55.3	3,310	20.6	0	0	0	.00	
1987	68	61.8	2,983	22.3	0	0	0	.00	
1988	95	66.3	3,173	19.9	0	0	0	.00	

- Despite a predominately criminal trial calendar, the court maintains a low percentage of jurors not selected or challenged due to its extensive use of multiple voir dire.
- The total number of jury trials completed jumped 40 percent from 68 in 1987 to 95 in 1988; however, the total number of jurors available for selection rose only 6 percent. Again, this was due to the use of multiple voir dire.

B.			
	and the sub-regiment of the appropriate to the control of the cont	ennement ann an diskerementelise blander i diskerement like "erromanem diskerement like sektilike ennementelis	- አስመተለያ መመመስ መመመስ መመመን መመመን መመመስ መመመስ መመመስ መመመ

	1 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WIT	TH JURY T	RIAL ACT	TIVITY)			JUDG	ESHIPS .	10.0
	TOTAL	,	FOR JURY	SELECT101		!N	SERVING O			ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGE	STATUS	SUBSEQUEN	IT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	JUROR DAY
	14,972	7,080	1,692	2,754	2,634	143	7,749		\$	750, 162	895	50
p		100%	23.9 %	38.9 %	37.2	%		1	SER	SELECTED VING OR S LLENGED	132,	029
$\frac{E^{1}}{T}$							-					
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	ТОТА			. NO. OF URORS	AVG. N	
<b>'</b>	TRIALS	159	100	62.9	59	37.1	JURIE SELECT		PRES	SENT FOR ECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	838	465	55.5	373	44.5	10	54		43.17	17.	87
5												
			UMBER OF	GRAND J	JURIES 							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		(		ATIONAL PROFILI PEN FOLDOUT BACK COVER	
G R	21		10	11	6		15		į	<del></del>		
A N	007		405	4 000	40.4		E0			STIMAT	ED COST	S
D	267		185	1,223	19.4		58		TO	TAL	PER	PER JUROR
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS ESSION			IAL	SESSION	DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS			\$	2	41,527	905	47

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS												
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION				
1984	152	45.4	7,460	37.6	19	230	1,076	4.68				
1985	171	49.7	6,531	27.0	20	262	1,227	4.68				
1986	146	58.9	6,816	30.4	18	237	1,062	4.48				
1987	172	44.2	6,758	31.2	19	232	1,144	4.93				
1988	159	37.1	7,080	37.2	21	267	1,223	4.58				

- . Last minute settlements and continuances often resulted in large numbers of jurors not selected or challenged.
- . The average number of jurors present for selection increased from 38 last year to 43 this year.
- . Three criminal jury trials lasted 20 days or longer.
- . Costs totalling \$1,225 were assessed for 25 jurors.

## JUROR SERVICE PROFILE

	TOTAL		FOR JURY		TH JURY N	IN	T	ING ON	JUDG ESTIMA	ESTIMATED COSTS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC D SERVING ( CHALLENG	TEC TRAVEL	SUBS	EQUENT	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROF DAY
	4,801	1,909	1,000	737	172	2 4	2	,888	\$ 217,60	815	45
- -		100%	52.4 %	38.6	% 9.0	%			NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	7,	, 834
•										_	
-	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
	TRIALS	66	24	36.4	42	63.6	:	JURIES SELECTED	PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	267	94	35.2	173	64.8		79	24.16	17.	. 98
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND	JURIES		<del></del>				
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		. ON 1, 198	3	OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COV	JΤ
7	7		5	2	2		5				
V 7	62	1.	233	381	19.9	6	. 15		ESTIMAT	ED COST	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	IN HO	URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS	S AVG.	HOURS ESSIO		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROF DAY
	<del>-,</del>		SERVICE	STATIST	ICS	l		\$	53,861	869	44

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY 1	RIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	51	37.3	1,463	7.7	7	74	437	5.91			
1985	51	58.8	1,911	12.4	6	55	283	5.15			
1986	42	61.9	1,513	10.5	9	68	353	5.19			
1987	52	55.8	1,619	9.5	9	79	485	6.14			
1988	66	63.6	1,909	9.0	7	62	381	6.15			

- . The percentage of jurors selected is one of the nation's highest at 52 percent.
- . Only 9 percent of the jurors called for voir dire were not selected or challenged.
- . A total of \$6,631 in juror costs were assessed for bringing 50 jurors to court unnecessarily.
- . Civil juries are made up of 12 members.

306

21.2 %

SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

1,355

TRAVEL

21

3.00

TOTAL

1,442

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE

**JURORS** 

2,818

Р

E Τ

## 3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

CHALLENGED

518

35.9 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR SERVING

618

42.9 %

	JUDGE	SHIPS _	3.00	
	ESTIMATI	ED COST	S	
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY	
\$	150,040	938	53	
s	OT SELECTED, ERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	16,	354	

JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
TRIALS	52	15	28.8	37	71.2
JURY TRIAL DAYS	160	44	27.5	116	72.5

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
57	25.30	17.61

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	2	1	1	1	1

24	494	145	20.6	6.04
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS									
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY							
\$ 27,922	1,163	57							

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	49	57.1	1,479	25.8	2	21	140	6.67			
1985	52	48.1	1,759	37.0	3	27	171	6.33			
1986	48	54.2	1,193	21.6	3	27	172	6.37			
1987	38	55.3	1,109	24.2	3	30	178	5.93			
1988	52	71.2	1,442	21.2	2	24	145	6.04			

- Despite 16 completed criminal jury trials above the number reported last year, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged still improved.
- The percentage of jurors selected (43 percent) is well above the national average.
- A notorious 7-defendant trial required extra jurors for voir dire; the trial lasted nine days.

350

21.3 %

SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

1,321

IN TRAVEL STATUS

0

3.00

TOTAL

1,647

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE

JURORS

2,968

Ε

5	PLACES	ΩF	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY)

CHALLENGED

381

23.1 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR SERVING

916

55.6 %

	JUDGES	SHIPS _	3.00
	ESTIMATE	ED COST	S
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
[	114,568	585	39
	NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	13,	519

T						
/	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
,	TRIALS	87	47	54.0	40	46.0
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	196	107	54.6	89	45.4

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
96	17.16	15,14

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	7	3	4	2	5
A M					

83	1,650	640	19.9	7.71
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMA	TED COSTS	S .
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
\$ 56,453	680	34

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	105	46.7	2,057	21.9	4	55	373	6.78
1985	91	53.8	1,893	18.3	4	51	379	7.43
1986	100	44.0	1,956	20.6	5	58	404	6.97
1987	107	44.9	1,816	26.3	6	48	344	7.17
1988	87	46.0	1,647	21.3	7	83	640	7.71

- Almost 56 percent of the jurors brought in for voir dire were selected.
- The district reported a majority of single jury selections, but called in small panels keeping the number of not selected jurors low.
- On one occasion, the court charged \$304 to litigants for the cost of 8 jurors after a late settlement.

NOT SELECTED

SERVING OR CHALLENGED

298

8.8 %

IN TRAVEL

STATUS

175

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

4,212

8.00

TOTAL

3,377

100%

TOTAL

JURORS

7,764

P

E T

## 6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

SELECTION

CHALLENGED

974

28.8 %

JUDGES	SHIPS _	8.00
ESTIMATE	ED COST	rs
TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$ 471,537	1,128	61
IOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	17,	918

Γ	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	150	135	90.0	15	10.0
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	418	367	87.8	51	12.2

FOR JURY

SELECTED

OR SERVING

2,105

62.3 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
339	9.96	18.57

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	4	2	2	2	2
A					

52	1,051	339	20.2	6.52
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMA	TED COSTS	S
 ### FOTAL 97,385	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
\$ 97,385	1,873	93

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	AILABLE SELECTED NUMBER OF SESSIONS HOURS IN SERVING OR GRAND CONVENED SESSION		AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	195	25.6	3,717	14.7	7	54	380	7.04
1985	158	20.3	3,392	20.0	5	47	330	7.02
1986	139	18.0	3,193	12.6	7	61	402	6.59
1987	137	24.1	3,286	17.1	5	66	434	6.58
1988	150	10.0	3,377	8.8	4	52	339	6.52

- Sixty-two percent of the jurors brought in for voir dire were selected; the court uses the multiple voir dire method extensively selecting as many as 22 juries from a panel of 59.
- The number of completed criminal jury trials declined from 33 last year to 15 in 1988.
- Several notorious cases were reported, including a criminal case involving a drug kingpin.
- The use of summary jury trials was reported on several occasions.
- The court often selects 12 jurors for civil trials.

	TOTAL		FOR JURY		TH JURY T	7	SERVING O	. ]		ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTE	STATUS	SUBSEQUEN			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROS DAY
	8,633	6,221	1,990	1,909	2,322	19	2,393		\$	353,29		41
-		100%	32.0 %	30.7 %	37.3 %	6		j	SEF	SELECTED, RVING, OR ALLENGED	<b>r</b>	, 037
- 1		Γ		r		<del></del>	1 [				٦,	
-	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL		,	G. NO. OF JURORS	JUR	NO. OF ORS
	TRIALS	213	124	58.2	89	41.8	SELECT			SENT FOR LECTION	DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	477	282	59.1	195	40.9	22	26		27.53	18	. 10
ſ	***************************************	/V	UMBER OF	GRAND	IIIRIFS						···	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O	on a	NO.	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OPI	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COV	JΤ
	25		15	10	12		13					
/ /	179	3.	492	1,103	19.5	6.	16			EST/MAT	ED COST	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HO	URS IN .	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. I	HOURS		тс	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS	1		\$	1	36,295	761	39

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS													
	JURY TRIALS PETIT JUROR SERVICE GRAND JUROR SERVICE													
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS  OF JURY TRIALS  OF JURY TRIALS  OF JURY SELECTION  OF JURY SELECTION  OF JURY SELECTION  CHALLENGED		TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION								
1984	220	34.1	5,379	26.5	19	161	974	6.05						
1985	171	31.0	4,575	37.4	16	180	1,092	6.07						
1986	206	43.7	7,185	48.6	19	160	1,037	6.48						
1987	203	36.9	5,843	39.2	17	186	1,159	6.23						
1988	213	41.8	6,221	37.3	25	179	1,103	6.16						

- . Last minute settlements and plea changes contributed to the large percentage of not selected jurors.
- . Several notorious trials were reported, including a 32-day criminal antitrust trial.
- . A total of \$2,430 in juror costs were assessed, covering 64 jurors after late settlements.

19,397

100%

P

E

G RA

JUDGESHIPS \_\_\_4.00 7 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY) FOR JURY SELECTION ESTIMATED COSTS TOTAL AVAILABLE SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT DAYS NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED TRAVEL STATUS SELECTED TOTAL OR SERVING CHALLENGED TOTAL JUROR DAY JURORS TRIAL DAY \$ 140,555 748 45 1,238 429 16 3,110 1,856 796 631

23.1 %

T						
/_	JURY	TOTAL	CTVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	65	40	61.5	25	38.5
ſ	JURY TRIAL DAYS	188	121	64.4	67	35.6

34.0 %

42.9 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
68	27.29	16.54

NOT SELECTED,

SERVING, OR \$
CHALLENGED

	NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. ≀MPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
7	5	2	3	4

/	50	1,016	262	20.3	5.24
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION
ľ		SEF	RVICE STATIS	TICS	

ESTIMATED COSTS										
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY								
\$ 68,288	1,366	67								

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	JURY	TRIALS		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE			
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	76	35.5	2,029	16.9	9	32	226	7.06
1985	66	25.8	1,463	9.8	9	29	204	7.03
1986	66	27.3	1,848	15.6	9	37	266	7.19
1987	48	27.1	1,544	22.0	11	46	293	6.37
1988	65	38.5	1,856	23.1	7	50	262	5.24

	4 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING	COURT (N	// [	H JURY T	TR/	AL ACT	r/V	'ITY)				JUDU	GES	SHIPS _	2.00
	TOTAL			SELECTI	ON	1		IN	S	RVING	ON	]		ESTIMA	4T E	D COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENG		NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGE	R	TRAVEL STATUS	SL	JBSEQL DAYS				TOTAL		PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	1,865	958	374	430	)	154		4		90	3		\$	83,02		776	45
P E		100%	39.0	44.9	%	16.1	%						SER	SELECTED, VING, OR ALLENGED		6,	891
T																	
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	Ç	CRIMINAL		%		TO:				. NO. OF URORS		AVG. N JURO	
•	TRIALS	32	10	31.3		22	6	8.8		SELE		·	PRES	SENT FOR LECTION		PRESEN DAY OF	TFOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	107	30	28.0		77	7	2.0			36			26.61		17.	43
					!				•								
		N	UMBER O	F GRAND	J	URIES .									<del></del>	<del>* .1 * .V=========</del>	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O		NO. IPANELED	С	NO. DISCHARGED		NO. JULY 1						OP	EN	NAL PR FOLDOU CK COVE	Т
G R A	3		1	2		1			2				l				
N D	42		821	311		19.5		7.4	40				l	ESTIMAI	EU	COSTS	3
υ	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN H	OURS IN		VG. JURORS ER SESSION		AVG. F	101				то	TAL	Si	PER ESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVIC	E STATIST	TIC	`S						\$		66,431		1,582	81

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS	<del></del>	**************************************	
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF OURS PER SESSION
1984	14	71.4	961	40.0	3	37	285	7.70
1985	31	58.1	881	27.5	2	40	304	7.60
1986	30	40.0	1,017	37.5	2	25	161	6.44
1987	18	88.9	990	43.0	3	28	214	7.64
1988	32	68.8	958	16.1	3	42	311	7.40

- . Despite the increase in criminal jury trials, juror usage showed improvement in 1988.
- . A notorious trial involving a Hare Krishna leader was reported; a multiple defendant criminal RICO trial required a larger than average panel of prospective jurors.
- . The average number of jurors present for selection improved, dropping from 45 in 1987 to 27 in 1988.

	5 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C			TRIAL AC	TIV	'ITY)			GESHIPS	
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO	<i>N</i>	IN.	SE	ERVING ON		ESTIMATED COSTS		
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS	SL	JBSEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	2,483	1,331	519	650	162	. 0		1,152	\$	113,38	5 652	46
P E		100%	39.0 %		12.2				SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR ALLENGED	<b>#</b>	370
T		***							<del></del>			
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL		G. NG, OF JURORS	AVG. I	
•	TRIALS	54	39	72.2	15	27.8		JURIES SELECTED	PRE	SENT FOR	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	174	113	64.9	61	35.1		61		21.82	14.	27
		Λ	IUMBER OF	GRAND .	IURIES						·	
-	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C JULY 1,		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO.				FOR NATION OPEN FO AT BACK		T
G R A	7		5	2	2		5					
N D	95	T 1.	954	651	20,6	6.	85			ESTIM AT	ED COST	3
ע	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	S IN HO	URS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	S AVG.	HOU		тс	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SERVICE	STATISTI				<b>_</b>		89,543	943	46

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUROR SERVICE		<u> </u>	GRAND JUROR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	72	29.2	2,369	32.4	8	81	566	6.99		
1985	60	23.3	1,938	25.4	6	93	612	6.58		
1986	68	30.9	1,783	15.1	10	86	577	6.71		
1987	58	31.0	1,569	14.3	8	69	471	6.83		
1988	54	27.8	1,331	12.2	7	95	651	6.85		

- . The court assessed costs for last minute settlements on three occasions during 1988; \$3,285 was charged for 77 jurors.
- . Small panels of prospective jurors are called for voir dire; multiple jury selection is scheduled whenever possible. As a result, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged (12 percent) is much better than the average district.

,			
i Salatan Maria (1887 - 1882 - 1884), kan ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	ALUSANDA A DALIMA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		

		ACES UF	HOLDING C	וושו ואטט	H JUHY	IHIA	AU	177	117		JUDE	GESHIPS	13.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION			IN		VING ON		ESTIMA	ATED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR ST	ATUS		SEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	7,481	4,282	1,974	1,795	513		0		3,199		\$ 339,52	9 522	45
p E		100%	46.1 %	41.9 %							NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	<b>.</b>	3,428
7													
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	9	6		TOTAL		AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. I	NO. OF ORS
,	TRIALS	229	185	80.8	44	19	. 2		JURIES SELECTED		PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	NT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	651	547	84.0	104	16	0		250		16,47	11.	49
	<u>,</u>		UMBER OF	GRAND J	URIES	·····			<u> </u>				·
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O JULY 1,		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		NO.		18	FOR NATIONAL PR OPEN FOLDOU AT BACK COVI			JT
G R A	10		6	4	4			6					<del></del>
N D	110	,	153	630	19.6		5.	72			ESTIM AT	ED COST	s
ע	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIC	IN HOU	JRS IN A	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		AVG. F	40UR			TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTIC	CS					\$	103,421	940	48

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUROR SERVICE			GRAND JUROR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	265	13.2	4,911	17.1	10	137	631	4.61		
1985	242	14.0	4,357	12.3	9	157	955	6.08		
1986	255	20.0	5,616	20.2	12	137	651	4.75		
1987	246	20.7	5,297	11.4	12	149	846	5.68		
1988	229	19.2	4,282	12.0	10	110	630	5.73		

- . Pooling prospective jurors for a predominately civil trial calendar resulted in an average number of jurors present for selection that is half the national average of 32.70.
- . Almost 81 percent of the completed trials were civil compared to 57 percent nationwide.
- . After late settlements, costs of \$7,866 were assessed for 171 jurors.

	1 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C			TRIAL AC	T/l	//TY)	<del></del> 1			ESHIPS _	
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	FOR JURY SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC	R STATUS		ERVING OF UBSEQUEN DAYS			TOTAL	TED COS PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROF DAY
	934	521	195	241	85	0		413		\$	39,238	569	42
P E		100%	37.4 %	46.3 %	6 16.3	%				SEF	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	3,	571
T / T		TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	7	TOTAL			G. NO. OF	AVG. N	10. OF
	JURY TRIALS	18	13	72.2	5	27.8		JURIES	3	PRE	URORS SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	69	54	78.3	15	21.7		2	:5		20.84	13.	54
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND .	<i>JURIES</i>								
_	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		. O 1,				OPE	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOL BACK COV	T
G R A	5		3	2	2		3	3			L		
~ V D	45		856	255	19.0	5	67	,			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI		URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION					TC	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROF DAY
		SERVICE STATISTICS							\$		37,818	840	44

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE			
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	25	20.0	920	35.4	6	56	250	4.46		
1985	26	26.9	720	20.0	4	43	216	5.02		
1986	18	44.4	543	15.5	4	61	316	5.18		
1987	28	46.4	685	13.4	4	55	309	5.62		
1988	18	27.8	521	16.3	5	45	255	5.67		

- . Trial for one highly publicized criminal case was cancelled after a plea of guilty, leaving the panel of 42 jurors unused.
- . The court reported one summary jury trial.
- . Although most jurors were selected on separate days, allowing no chance for pooling jurors, the panels were small and the district's juror usage figures are much better than the national average.

IN TRAVEL STATUS

0

NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED

648

26.0 %

SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT DAYS

2,194

TOTAL

2,490

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS

4,684

P

E

6_	PLACES	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	AC7	TV (TY)
			FOR JUR	Y SELEU	CTION			NI.	CERVING

CHALLENGED

993

39.9 %

	JUDGES	SHIPS _	6.00
	ESTIMATE	D COST	S
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	239,432	760	51
SE	T SELECTED, RVING, OR \$ HALLENGED	33,	042

<i>T</i> / <i>T</i>						
/	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	94	77	81.9	17	18.1
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	315	223	70.8	92	29.2

SELECTED OR SERVING

849

34.1 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
100	24.90	14.87

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
9	3	3	0	0	3
4 – V [					

 83	1,621	480	19.5	5.78
SSIONS	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION

\$ 113,270	1,365	70					
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY					
ESTIMATED COSTS							

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	74	23.0	2,491	34.2	5	99	515	5.20			
1985	70	18.6	2,650	43.1	6	102	579	5.68			
1986	90	24.4	2,631	30.0	3	80	454	5.68			
1987	88	17.0	2,408	23.3	6	95	569	5.99			
1988	94	18.1	2,490	26.0	3	83	480	5.78			

- A large panel of jurors (103) was called for a jury tampering case; those selected were sequestered during the trial.
- Total costs of \$12,096 were assessed after late settlements covering 282 unused jurors.
- One criminal jury trial lasted in excess of 20 days.

TRAVEL STATUS

19

NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED

412

26.1 %

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT DAYS

1,441

TOTAL

1,578

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS

3,038

P

E

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

CHALLENGED

580

36.8 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED OR

SERVING

586

37.1 %

JUDGESHIPS3.00						
ESTIMATE	TIMATED COSTS					
TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY				
169,206	877	56				

NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED

\$

23,012

7						
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
′	TRIALS	65	49	75.4	16	24.6
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	193	136	70.5	57	29.5

JURIES	PRESENT FOR	PRESENT FOR
SELECTED	SELECTION	DAY OF TRIAL
63	25.05	15.74

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	3	2	1	1	2
ΑĒ					

L	28	529	187	18.9	6.68
	SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
	CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

\$ 35,256	1,259	67						
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY						
ESTIMATED COSTS								

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	37	35.1	1,102	23.7	2	26	180	6.92			
1985	27	33.3	836	23.7	2	20	131	6.55			
1986	47	38.3	1,211	14.2	3	17	120	7.06			
1987	57	28.1	1,660	28.0	2	29	206	7.10			
1988	65	24.6	1,578	26.1	3	28	187	6.68			

- Extra jurors were summoned for one highly publicized trial.
- One bankruptcy trial lasting three days was reported.
- A total of \$3,894 in juror costs was assessed after late settlements.

	7 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIVITY)			JUDG	ESHIPS .	5.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO.	N	IN	SERVIN	G ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS	SUBSEC			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	7,191	3,913	1,241	1,361	1,311	9	3,2	269	\$	300,045	701	42
P E		100%	31.7 %	34.8 %	6 33.5	%			SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	54,	608
7	·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, <u></u>				٠	
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		DTAL JRIES	AVG. NO. OF JURORS			
	TRIALS	97	74	76.3	23	23.7		ECTED		ESENT FOR ELECTION	DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	428	326	76.2	102	23.8		136		28.77	16.	80
1	e Processor and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second		WADED OF	CDAND	<i>WDIC</i>			7				
		<del></del>	UMBER OF			T		4		FOR NAT	IONAL PR	OFILE
	TOTAL IN EXISTENC	E JULY 1,		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		. ON 1, 1988			OPE	N FOLDOUT BACK COVER	
G R	3		2	1	1		2			<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A N	49		957	278	19.5	-	67	7 /		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
D	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HO	URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS	S AVG.	HOURS	7	T	OTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
	- OOKVERED	3.331		STATISTI				-   -		98,541	2,011	103
Ì			SERVICE	STAITST	US			\$		98,541	2,011	10

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE	E GRAND JUROR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	53	15.1	3,939	49.6	2	50	364	7.28			
1985	77	14.3	5,470	36.5	2	72	426	5.92			
1986	65	30.8	3,440	38.3	4	69	383	5.55			
1987	100	29.0	4,174	31.0	4	53	295	5.57			
1988	97	23.7	3,913	33.5	3	49	278	5.67			

- . A 14-member civil jury was selected for an airline crash case.
- . The jury selection for one of three notorious cases lasted two days from a panel of 100 jurors.
- . After late settlements and a plea, juror costs of \$4,635 were assessed.
- . The court reported one summary jury trial.

	7_ PL	ACES OF .	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIVITY.	Į.		JUDG	ESHIPS .	10.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO	N	IN	SERVI	IG ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING ( CHALLENG	R STATUS	SUBSE	LUENT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	13,016	6,182	1,638	1,935	2,609	8	6,	826	\$	578,900	795	44
P E		100%	26.5 %	31.3	6 42.2	%			SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	115,	780
Ţ		<u> </u>	1	<b>,</b>			1				1	
T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		OTAL		G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
•	TRIALS	140	69	49.3	71	50.7		URIES LECTED	PRE	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
į	JURY TRIAL DAYS	728	363	49.9	365	50.1		150		41.21	17.	88
ļ			WW.CD OF		WD150			7				
		/V	UMBER OF	GRAND	JURIES	<del></del>		_		FOR NAT	IONAL PR	OFTIF
_	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OPE	N FOLDOL BACK COV	IT
G R	17		9	8	7		10					
A N D	119	,	355	719	19.8		04	7 [		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
ט	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS	S IN HO	URS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS	7	T	DTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
	33.172.123	1 32331	L	STATISTI				-		105,606	887	45
												·····

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS				
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	GRAND JURGE SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	161	35.4	6,548	45.8	11	93	534	5.74	
1985	155	35.5	5,998	42.3	14	94	593	6.31	
1986	172	39.0	6,807	43.4	14	101	657	6.50	
1987	147	37.4	5,988	40.1	10	131	836	6.38	
1988	140	50.7	6, 182	42.2	17	119	719	6.04	

- . The court selects both 7 and 12-member civil juries.
- . Frequently, large panels (100-plus jurors) are brought in for orientation and selection; most of these jurors, however, are not used.
- . Four jury trials lasted more than 20 days each.

6.00

4.047

100%

JURORS IN SESSION

7.700

SESSIONS

E

<u>7</u> P	LACES OF	HOLDING O	COURT (WIT	H JURY TR.	IAL ACT	TIVITY)	JUDGES	SHIPS
TOTAL	<u></u>	FOR JURY	SELECTION	/	IN	SERVING ON	ESTIMATE	D COS
AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED		SUBSEQUENT DAYS	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY
							\$ 334,174	768

1.012

25.0 %

3,653

1.487

36.7 %

	ESTIMATE	ED COST	S
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	334,174	768	43
S	T SELECTED, ERVING, OR \$	43,	777

JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
TRIALS	125	104	83.2	21	16.8
JURY TRIAL DAYS	435	332	76.3	103	23.7

1.548

38.3 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG, NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
225	17.99	17,70

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	5	3	2	3	2
¥ V [					
0	59	1,179	343	20.0	5.81

HOURS IN

SERVICE STATISTICS

FOR NATIONAL PROFILE OPEN FOLDOUT AT BACK COVER

ESTIMAT	TED COSTS	S
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
\$ 71,486	1,212	61

			HISTORI	CAL COMP.	<i>ARISONS</i>				
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE		
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	113	8.8	3,449	34.4	3	36	194	5.39	
1985	89	9.0	2,972	24.9	3	34	174	5.12	
1986	131	6.9	4,467	23.7	3	48	296	6.17	
1987	125	10.4	4,855	32.8	4	58	352	6.07	
1988	125	16.8	4,047	25.0	5	59	343	5.81	

AVG. JURORS PER SESSION AVG. HOURS PER SESSION

- Trials were held at seven locations in 1988 allowing little chance for the court to pool jurors for jury selection by several judges on the same day. Instead, the court uses the multiple voir dire technique where a judge or magistrate selects many juries at one time for trials scheduled in the future.
- . The percentage of selected jurors was much higher than the national average of 29.9 percent.
- Two highly publicized trials were reported including the multiple defendant "Bandito" drug case.

	7_ PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WIT	H JURY	TRIAL AC	רדו עוד	7	JUDO	GESHIPS _	13.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		iN		NG ON	ESTIMA	ATED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGE	OR STATUS		QUENT YS	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	19,797	10,948	3,277	3,614	4,057	0	8	849	\$ 898,74	<u> </u>	45
P E		100%	29.9 %	33.0 %	37.1	%			NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED		244
<i>T</i>											
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	280	86	30.7	194	69.3		JURIES ELECTED	PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	993	472	47.5	521	52.5		322	34.00	19.	94
ļ		N	UMBER OF	GRAND J	URIES						
	TOTAL	NO. C	on a	NO.	NO.		. ON			TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU	
	IN EXISTENCE	JULY 1,	1987 IMP	ANELED	DISCHARGED	JULY	1, 1988			BACK COVI	
G R	14		6	8	5		9		<u> </u>	*	
A N D	173	3	431	894	19.8	5.	17		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
,	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HO	URS IN A	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS ESSION		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
				STATISTIC	~~	l		- s	168,784	976	49

			HISTORI	CAL COMPA	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	229 45.9 10,402		10,402	0,402 47.7 1		228	959	4.21
1985	229	57.6	9,077	36.6	16	227	1,046	4.61
1986	245	65.3	10,209	32.4	15	224	1,069	4.77
1987	248	59.3	9,825	31.9	13	174	860	4.94
1988	280	69.3	10,948	37.1	14	173	894	5.17

- . The court uses multiple voir dire to counteract the negative impact of holding trials in seven locations; as many as ten juries were selected on a single day.
- . Large panels of jurors are called for multiple voir dire and often cases scheduled for jury selection settle, leaving many unselected jurors.
- . Four jury trials lasted more than 20 days each, including the nation's longest civil jury trial (96 days).

			FOR JURY	OURT (WIT			T			ESTIM A	ESHIPS TED COS	
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT	R STATUS	SERVING SUBSEQU DAYS			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	9.050	5.199	1,567	1,650	1,982	45	3,80	6	\$	416,48	8 900	46
P E		100%	30.1 %						SEF	SELECTED, VING, OR ALLENGED	<b>+</b>	211
T												
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	τοτ			S. NO. OF	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	157	61	38.9	96	61.1	JUR SELEC		PRE	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	463	195	42.1	268	57.9		159		32.70	19.	55
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND J	<i>URIES</i>							
•	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C	on	NO.	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COVI	T
$\mathcal{G}$	23		14	9	6		17			<u> </u>		······
- 1								Γ-		COT!!! 47	CO COCT	ς
A N	153	2,	986	783	19.5	5.	12			ESTIMAT	EU GUSTS	, 
R A N D	153 SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO		URS IN	19.5 AVG. JURORS	AVG.	12 HOURS			ITAL .	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS							
I	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	141	58.9	5,517	34,5	23	186	957	5.15
1985	146	53.4	5,605	35.7	26	175	854	4.88
1986	158	53.2	5,869	38.2	23	179	806	4.50
1987	138	54.3	4,666	33.7	26	140	653	4.66
1988	157	61.1	5,199	38.1	23	153	783	5.12

- . A predominately criminal trial docket and many last minute pleas and settlements plagued the district's efforts at efficient juror usage.
- . Two jury trials lasted more than 20 days each.

and the control of th	

	<u> </u>	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WIT	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	(YTY)		JUDGES	SHIPS _	4.50	
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	V	lN	SERVING ON		ESTIMATED COSTS			
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING ( CHALLENGI	OR STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY	
į	6 604	0.045	0.40	4 000	600	00	0.754	\$	305,593	796	46	
_	6,691	2,915	942	1,283	690	22	3,754		SELECTED,			
P		100%	32.3 %	44.0 %	23.7	%			RVING, OR \$ IALLENGED	31,	476	
T												
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL		G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N		
,	TRIALS	73	32	43.8	41	56.2	JURIES SELECTED	PRE	SENT FOR ELECTION	JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL		
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	384	157	40.9	227	59.1	93		31.34	17.	42	
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND J	<i>URIES</i>							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO.			1	ONAL PR	т	

F	7	4	3	4	3					
	40	799	202	20.0	5.05					
SESSIONS JURORS IN HOURS IN AVG. JURORS AVG. HOUR. CONVENED SESSION SESSION PER SESSION PER SESSION										
SERVICE STATISTICS										

ESTIMATED COSTS						
TOTAL		PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY			
\$	35,584	890	45			

AT BACK COVER

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE		
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	84	52.4	3,519	37.2	7	75	387	5.16	
1985	64	32.8	2,637	40.4	9	53	260	4.91	
1986	85	48.2	3,123	32.9	7	57	312	5.47	
1987	86	34.9	2,918	31.4	6	42	248	5.90	
1988	73	56.2	2,915	23.7	7	40	202	5.05	

- . Juror costs of \$2,877 were assessed after cases settled at the last minute.
- . Three jury trials lasted more than 20 days each.

	4 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	TIV	ΊΤΥ		JU	DGE	SHIPS _	4.50
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	NOT SELEC	i N		RVING O		ESTI	MATI	ED COST	
	AVAILABLE JURORS			OR STATUS			IT	TOTAL	-	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY		
	5,215	2,761	752	1,011	998	0		2.454		\$ 225	758	740	43
Р	3,213	100%	27.2 %	36.6 %			-	2,404	$\dashv$	NOT SELECT SERVING, C CHALLENG	DR \$	43,	120
E				<u> </u>			<u></u>	<del></del>				······································	
1	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTA		AVG. NO.	OF	AVG. N	
'	TRIALS	77	43	55.8	34	44.2		JURIE SELECT		PRESENT F		PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	305	166	54.4	139	45.6			77	35.86	3	17.	10
1	·	Λ.	UMBER OF	GRAND	IIIR I F S	·····	•						
	TOTAL			<del></del>		NO.				FOR	NATI	ONAL PR	OFILE
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED							FOLDOU ACK COVE	
G R	2		1	1	0		2			<u> </u>	·		
A N D	62		299	386	21.0	6	23			ESTIN	ATE	O COSTS	)
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	IN HOI	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG.	нос	JRS		TOTAL	s	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	<del>CS</del>				\$	46,22	4	746	36
ı					TORICAL C								

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE		
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CREMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	83	36.1	2,774	32.1	2	43	235	5.88	
1985	78	34.6	2,566	31.2	1	43	263	6.12	
1986	59	45.8	2,657	39.7	3	48	281	5.85	
1987	71	40.8	3,102	37.7	3	44	265	6.02	
1988	77	44.2	2,761	36.1	2	62	386	6.23	

- Last minute settlements and single jury selections resulted in a higher than average percentage of not selected jurors.
- . One criminal jury trial lasted more than 20 days.

NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED

5,334

46.6 %

IN

TRAVEL

STATUS

56

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

13,090

TOTAL

11,451

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS

24,597

P

E

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIV)	5	PLACES OF	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVIT
---	---	-----------	---------	-------	-------	------	-------	---------

CHALLENGED

3,372

29.4 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR

SERVING

2,745

24.0 %

	JUDGE	SHIPS _	15.00
Γ	ESTIMATE	ED COST	rs
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	1,206,979	713	49
S	T SELECTED, ERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	261,	915

Τ						
/	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
,	TRIALS	266	199	74.8	67	25.2
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	1,693	1,210	71.5	483	28.5

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG, NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
294	38.95	14.53

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
	19	11	8	8	11
֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֓֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֓֡֓֡֓	302	5,968	1,634	19.8	5.41
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION

SERVICE STATISTICS

FOR		TIONA EN FO			LE
	ΑT	BACK	COV	ER	

<del></del>	284,458	942	DAY 48	
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR	

COTIALATED COOTS

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	271	28.0	11,210	48.0	16	293	1,726	5.89
1985	290	26.6	11,935	46.7	17	266	1,506	5.66
1986	279	27.6	10,409	44.5	17	285	1,647	5.78
1987	294	29.6	12,497	45.3	17	274	1,606	5.86
1988	266	25.2	11,451	46.6	19	302	1,634	5.41

- The court reported several highly publicized cases and other cases involving complex issues which required large panels of prospective jurors.
- A total of 335 prospective jurors were brought in to fill out special questionnaires; one case involved a highly publicized criminal trial of the president of a local bank.
- Five jury trials lasted in excess of 20 days each.

G R 4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

<i>JUDGESHIPS</i>	4.00

	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	<i>'</i>	1N	SERVING ON
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TRAVEL STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS
	2,912	1,318	392	400	526	56	1,538
,		100%	29.7 %	30.3 %	39.9 %		

ESTIMA	TED COSTS
TOTAL	PER PER TRIAL JUROR DAY DAY
\$ 151,145	844 52
NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	27,357

r	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	36	20	55.6	16	44.4
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	179	97	54.2	82	45.8

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
40	32.95	16.27

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES						
TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988		
5	3	2	2	3		

 87	1,696	505	19.5	5.80
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS						
TOTAL		PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY			
\$	114,369	1,315	67			

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS												
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION					
1984	69	23.2	2,175	42.4	4	97	580	5.98					
1985	51	51.0	2,308	43.2	5	83	482	5.81					
1986	55	38.2	1,850	35.7	6	112	674	6.02					
1987	50	32.0	1,732	36.6	6	80	441	5.51					
1988	36	44.4	1,318	39.9	5	87	505	5.80					

- . There were seven summary jury trials in 1988.
- . Two jury trials lasted more than 20 days each.

	3 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING &	COURT (WI	TH JURY	TR	IAL ACT	r/V	'/TY)			JUDO	GESHIPS	11.00
	TOTAL			SELECTIO			IN	SI	RVING O	N		ESTIMA	ATED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC D SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR	TRAVEL	SI	JBSEQUEN DAYS	IT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	9,899	4,557	1,212	1,117	2,228	3	8		5,334		\$	460,59		47
P E		100%	26.6 %	24.5	% 48.9	%		-	<u> </u>	_	SER	SELECTED, VING, OR ALLENGED	dr.	, 633
T														
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL		%		TOTA	L		. NO. OF URORS		VO. OF ORS
<i>'</i>	TRIALS	117	87	74.4	30		25.6		JURIE SELECT		PRE.	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESE	NT FOR TRIAL
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	654	427	65.3	227		34.7		1:	35		33.76	15	. 14
,														
		<i>N</i>	UMBER O	GRAND	JURIES									
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. PANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	,	JULY NO.					0P	TIONAL PI EN FOLDO BACK COV	JT
G R	14		9	5	2			12					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A N		<u> </u>					<del> </del>			Γ		ESTIMAT	TED COST	S
D	175	3,	434	1,078	19.6		6.	16					PER	PER
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI		OURS IN ESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		AVG. I PER SE				то	TAL	SESSION	JUROR
			SERVIC	E STATIST	ICS					\$	1	88,596	1,078	55
•														
				HIS	TORICAL C	CON	1PARIS	ON	'S					

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS													
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE							
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION						
1984	116	37.1	5,638	55.1	13	180	965	5.36						
1985	134	20.9	4,681	5c.1	17	246	1,461	5.94						
1986	112	30.4	4,952	50.5	18	283	1,760	6.22						
1987	112	30.4	4,797	46.9	18	215	1,344	6.25						
1988	117	25.6	4,557	48.9	14	175	1,078	6.16						

- Because of last minute pleas and settlements, many scheduled multiple jury selections became single selections resulting in a high percentage of not selected jurors.
- . Selection for one criminal jury trial lasted four days.
- . There were eight summary jury trials.
- . Four jury trials lasted a minimum of 20 days each.

	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	V	IN	SERVING (	ON	EST/	MAT	ED COST	rs
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING C CHALLENGE	ED TRAVEL	SUBSEQUE DAYS		TOTAL	-	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	7,992	3,824	1,055	1,193	1,576	92	4,076	;		, 570	820	53
P E		100%	27.6 %	31.2 %	41.2	%			NOT SELECT SERVING, CHALLENG	OR \$	84,	034
T /	<del>//</del>	TOTAL	CIVIL	<b>%</b>	CRIMINAL	%	1		AVG. NO.	O.F.	AVG. N	0.05
Τ	JURY TRIALS	96	58	60.4	38	39.6	JURII SELEC	ES	PRESENT F SELECTIO	OR	JURO PRESEN DAY OF	RS T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	520	355	68.3	165	31.7	1	11	34.45	5	15.	37
	***************************************		IIMBER OI	F GRAND J	<i>IURIFS</i>				·			
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C	N	NO.	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OPEN	ONAL PR I FOLDOU ACK COVE	Т
G R	5		3	2	1		4					
A		4	723	461	20.0		36		ESTIN	1 ATE	D COSTS	3
	96			401	20.0	<del></del>					PER	PER
A N D	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	IN HO		AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS ESSION		TOTAL		SESSION	JUROR DAY

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS												
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	MUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION					
1984	78	32.1	2,813	36.4	10	104	562	5.40					
1985	68	36.8	2,333	37.9	7	106	610	5.75					
1986	81	38.3	3,122	39.6	11	122	715	5.86					
1987	88	29.5	3,715	44.8	8	98	509	5.19					
1988	96	39.6	3,824	41.2	5	86	461	5.36					

- Several cases required larger than average panels because of their expected length or sensitivity; one controversial labor case required extra jurors and heavy security because of bomb threats.
- One civil jury trial lasted more than 20 days.

	4_ <i>PL</i>	ACES OF A	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	ΓΙΝ ΙΤΥ)				ESHIPS .	4.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO	N	IN	SERVING	ON	- Franks	ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	TED TRAVEL	SUBSEQU			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	4 544	2,748	4 069	749	931	0	1 70		\$	190,514	567	42
P	4,541	100%	1,068 38.9 %	27.3 %			1,79		SEF	SELECTED, RVING, OR \$ ALLENGED	39,	055
Τ												
/ T	11 (0)	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	тот	AL		G. NO. OF	AVG. N	
1	JURY TRIALS	84	55	65.5	29	34.5	JUR	IES	PRE	JURORS SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	336	255	75.9	81	24.1		133		20.66	13.	51
,												
		/V	UMBER OF	GRAND .	JURIES						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COV	IT
G R	6		3	3	2		4				·	
A N D	57	1	098	408	19.3	7	16			ESTIMATI	ED COSTS	s
ט	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	in Ho		AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS ESSION		ΤC	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS			\$		43,085	756	39
•				,,,,,	TODICAL	20148 4010	040					·

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS													
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE							
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION						
1984	85	20.0	2,560	42.3	4	61	368	6.03						
1985	89	34.8	3,153	46.0	7	77	515	6.69						
1986	77	27.3	2,426	46.0	5	77	485	6.30						
1987	82	31.7	2,868	42.0	7	51	333	6.53						
1988	84	34.5	2,748	33.9	6	57	408	7.16						

- . With a predominately civil trial calendar, few unused panels, and small panel sizes, the district's percentage of selected jurors was much better than the national average.
- . The court reported one highly publicized case.
- . Costs of \$3,355 were assessed covering 80 jurors after late settlements.

	AULU UI	nuluinta C	וושו ואטט	H JUHY I	TRIAL ACT	(צוועו		JUU	<i>GESHIPS</i> .	3.00
TOTAL		_	SELECTION		IN	SERVING O	N	ESTIM		
AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	SERVING C	R STATUS	SUBSEQUEN DAYS	1	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
2 222	1 004	640	EE2	620		1 500		\$ 140,1	53 579	42
3,333	100%	35.2 %		-		1,509	$\dashv$	SERVING, OR	`&	489
		1				L			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
IIIDV	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%			AVG. NO. OF		
TRIALS	69	51	73.9	18	26.1				PRESEN	IT FOR
JURY TRIAL DAYS	242	188	77.7	54	22.3		72	25.33	13.	77
<del>`,</del>	Λ	UMRER OF	GRAND	IIIRIFS						
TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. 0	)N	NO.	NO.				O	EN FOLDOL	IT
4		2	2	2		2				
34		696	222	20.5	6	53		ESTIMA	TED COST.	S
SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS	in Hou	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
		SERVICE	STATISTI				\$	36,404	1,071	52
	JURY TRIALS  JURY TRIAL DAYS  TOTAL IN EXISTENCE  4  34  SESSIONS	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS TOTAL  3,333 1,824 100%  TOTAL JURY TRIALS 69  JURY TRIAL DAYS 242  NO. COLUMN EXISTENCE JULY 1, 4  SESSIONS JURORS	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS TOTAL SELECTED OR SERVING  3,333 1,824 642  100% 35.2 %  TOTAL CIVIL  TOTAL CIVIL  JURY TRIALS 69 51  JURY TRIAL 242 188   **NUMBER OF**  **SESSIONS JURORS IN SESSION  TOTAL   SELECTED   OR   CHALLENGED	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS   TOTAL   SELECTED OR SERVING   CHALLENGED   SERVING CHALLENGED   SERVING CHALLENGED   SERVING CHALLENGED   SERVING CHALLENGED   SERVING CHALLENGED   SERVING CHALLENGED   SERVING CHALLENGED	TOTAL AVAILABLE	TOTAL AVAILABLE   TOTAL   SELECTED OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR   CHALLENGED   SUBSEQUEN   DAYS   SELECT   SERVING OR   SELECT   TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS   TOTAL   SELECTED OR SERVING ON SELECTED OR SERVING	TOTAL AVAILABLE   TOTAL   SELECTED OR SELECTED OR SERVING OR SER	TOTAL   AVAILABLE   TOTAL   SELECTED   CHALLENGED   SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT   DAYS   TOTAL   TOTAL   PER   TOTAL   PER   TOTAL   PER   TOTAL   PER   TOTAL   PER		
	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
--------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	---	---	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	JROR SERVICE			
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	55	52.7	2,060	40.7	2	34	210	6.18		
1985	60	35.0	1,675	29.9	4	37	232	6.27		
1986	49	18.4	1,196	27.8	4	44	256	5.82		
1987	41	39.0	1,617	52.3	2	33	199	6.03		
1988	69	26.1	1,824	34.5	4	34	222	6.53		

- . While attempting to employ many efficient juror savings techniques like pooling, multiple voir dire and staggered trial starts, the district was plagued with many last minute pleas and settlements.
- . There was one summary jury trial in 1988.

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

JUDGESHIPS \_\_\_4.00

	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	/	IN	SERVING ON
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TRAVEL STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS
	4,045	1,843	745	651	447	0	2,202
P F		100%	40.4 %	35.3 %	24.3 %		
7							
/		TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	

	ESTIMATE	ED COST	rs
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	152,666	599	38
SE	T SELECTED, ERVING, OR \$ HALLENGED	16,	946

-	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	63	18	28.6	45	71.4
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	255	73	28.6	182	71.4

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
66	27.92	15.86

	NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
7	4	3	2	5
69	1,368	386	19.8	5.59

_					
<u>,</u>	69	1,368	386	19.8	5.59
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION
		SEF	RVICE STATIS	ST/CS	

\$ 55,077	798	40
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
ESTIMAT	TED COSTS	3

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	55	72.7	2,296	34.3	6	71	403	5.68
1985	69	68.1	2,242	36.4	7	86	603	7.01
1986	53	66.0	1,716	32.7	7	83	492	5.93
1987	49	71.4	1,614	34.2	7	66	367	5.56
1988	63	71.4	1,843	24.3	7	69	386	5.59

- . Despite a predominately criminal trial calendar, the district's percentage of not selected jurors was well below the national average of 33.7 percent.
- . Two cases required extra jurors because of pretrial publicity and the trials' expected length.

	•	

	2 PL	ACES OF I	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	TIV	'ואין		JUDG	ESHIPS _	21.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	<i>V</i>	IN	SE	ERVING CN		ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING OF CHALLENGE	TED TRAVEL		JBSEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	24,693	0.040	0 505	2 460	2 046	0		44 700	\$	1,040,75	652	42
P E	24,093	9,910	2,525 25.5 %	3,469 35.0 %	3,916			14,783	SEF	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	165,	481
7												
/		TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	] [	TOTAL		G. NO. OF	AVG. N	
Τ	JURY TRIALS	232	126	54.3	106	45.7		JURIES SELECTED	PRE	IURORS SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	1,596	772	48.4	824	51.6		244		40.61	15.	47
ļ		N	UMBER OF	GRAND	IIIRIFS							
	TOTAL	NO. 0		NO.	NO.	NO					TIONAL PR	
	IN EXISTENCE			ANELED	DISCHARGED						EN FOLDOU BACK COVI	
G R A	13		8	5	3		10					
N D	347	7 /	028	1,950	20.3		62			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	3
ע	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HO	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG.	ноц	JRS	TC	ITAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	C.S					76,225	796	39

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	JURY TRIALS PETIT JUROR SERVICE GRAND JUROR SERV									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	226	47.3	8,183	37.7	17	421	2,238	5.32		
1985	202	46.0	7,565	41,6	17	391	2,052	5.25		
1986	234	47.9	8,703	36,1	16	415	2,181	5.26		
1987	201	49.8	9,024	43.7	19	343	1,767	5.15		
1988	232	45.7	9,910	39.5	13	347	1,950	5.62		

- . Almost half of the jury trials were criminal and included several notorious trials requiring sequestered juries. As a result, the district called eight more jurors per selection than the average district.
- There were 11 jury trials which lasted 20 days or longer, with the longest being an 83-day trial involving the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- . After late settlements, costs in the amount of \$4,240 were assessed for 96 jurors.

	4 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	(דו עוד	1		JUDG	ESHIPS .	3.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	<i>SELECTIO</i>		IN		NG ON		ESTIM A	<i>TED COS</i>	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT D SERVING O CHALLENGE	R STATUS		CUENT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	3,092	1,629	615	576	438	11	1,	452	\$	159,59	5 823	52
P E		100%	37.8 %	35.4	% 26.9	%			SE	T SELECTED, RVING, OR HALLENGED	\$ 22,	663
7							7 /					
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	A	/G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. 1	
	TRIALS	51	30	58.8	21	41.2		LECTED		ESENT FOR ELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	194	119	61.3	75	38.7		65		25.06	15.	94
į				00445								
			UMBER OF	GRANU .	JURIES 							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C JULY 1,		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COV	IT
G R	3		1	2	1		2			<u> </u>		
A N								$\neg$ $\Gamma$		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	<u> </u>
D	34		690	196	20.3	5.	76	=			PER	PER
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO		JRS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS ESSION			OTAL	SESSION	JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	'CS			-	 S	63,775	1,876	92

	10-7		HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS		·····			
	JURY TRIALS   PETIT JUROR SERVICE   GRAND JUROR SER									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	51	37.3	1,906	38.3	3	51	309	6.06		
1985	61	47.5	2,356	41.6	4	59	367	6.22		
1986	54	46.3	2,014	25.7	3	50	305	6.10		
1987	54	37.0	1,389	17.0	3	39	247	6.33		
1988	51	41.2	1,629	26.9	3	34	196	5.76		

- One summary jury trial was held in 1988.
- . The court assessed \$880 for six jurors when a trial settled after the jurors were selected and sworn.
- . The percentage of jurors not selected or challenged climbed to 27 percent this year; however, this remains well below the national average of 34 percent.

	3 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING (	COURT (WI	TH JURY	TRI.	AL ACT	T/V	ITY)			JUDO	GES	SHIPS _	3.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO			IN		RVING			ESTIM.	4T E	D COST	S
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC D SERVING CHALLENG	OR !	TRAVEL STATUS	su	IBSEQU DAYS	ENT		TOTAL		PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	3,595	1,938	665	734	539	3	27		1,63	Q	\$	170,85		740	48
P E		100%	34.3 %	37.9	% 27.8	%					SER	VING, OR LLENGED		25,	629
7										_					
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL		%		тот			S. NO. OF URORS		AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	60	44	73.3	16	20	6.7		SELEC		PRES	SENT FOR		PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	231	175	75.8	56	2	4.2			75		25.84		15.	56
•					_										
		N	UMBER OI	GRAND	JURIES						1	Γ		·	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O		NO. PANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	,	NO. JULY 1					OP	EN	ONAL PR FOLDOU CK COVE	T
G R	4		2	2	2			2							
A										1	 				<del></del> ,
D	52	1,0	080	289	20.8		5.	56			 £	STIMAT	EL	COSTS	<u>'</u>
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO		IURS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		AVG. H PER SE				TO	TAL	s	PER ESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATIST	ICS						\$	71,499		1,375	66

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS						
	JURY TRIALS   PETIT JUROR SERVICE   GRAND JUROR SER										
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	52	42.3	2,288	29.5	3	49	295	6.02			
1985	43	48.8	1,861	24.9	4	60	351	5.85			
1986	50	36.0	1,768	7.5	4	50	302	6.04			
1987	41	36.6	1,199	19.6	3	44	274	6.23			
1988	60	26.7	1,938	27.8	4	52	289	5.56			

- . Several trials were expected to be quite lengthy, requiring that extra jurors be available for additional challenges. Since many challenges were not exercised, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged increased from 20 percent in 1987 to 28 percent in 1988.
- . A total of 157 jurors were called for two notorious criminal trials.

SERVING OR CHALLENGED

965

35.1 %

IN TRAVEL STATUS

0

SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT DAYS

2,496

5.00

TOTAL

2,753

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS

5,249

E

4	PLACES	ŊF	HAIDING	COURT	(WITH	JIJRY	TRIAI	ACTIVITY)	

SELECTION

CHALLENGED

958

34.8 %

•	ESTIMATI	ED COST	rs
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	219,440	727	42
SE	T SELECTED, RVING, OR \$ HALLENGED	40,	377

JUDGESHIPS.

JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
TRIALS	56	21	37.5	35	62.5
JURY TRIAL DAYS	302	144	47.7	158	52.3

FOR JURY

SELECTED OR SERVING

830

30.1 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
78	35.29	17.38

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	6	4	2	2	4
A					
0	00	1 000	E24	20.7	= = 2

96	1,990	531	20.7	5.53
SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION
	SEA	RVICE STATIS	STICS	

ESTIMA	TED COST	S
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
\$ 160,267	1,669	81

			HISTORI	CAL COMPA	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	52	51.9	2,542	45.3	3	79	517	6.54
1985	48	37.5	1,796	41.0	3	76	440	5.79
1986	33	63.6	1,662	37.6	5	67	401	5.99
1987	55	56.4	2,323	33.0	4	94	526	5.60
1988	56	62.5	2,753	35.1	6	96	531	5.53

- . Of the 56 jury trials reported, 35 (or 63 percent) were criminal.
- . One notorious criminal case required 66 jurors for voir dire; 15 were selected, 27 were challenged, and 24 were neither selected nor challenged.
- . Costs totaling \$545 were assessed for 16 jurors when parties settled after the jury was impaneled.

														•	,	
	<u>4</u> PL	ACES OF	HOLDI	NG C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRI	AL ACT	r/V	ITY)			JUDG	ES	SHIPS _	5.00
i	TOTAL				SELECTIO	N	T	iN	SI	RVING	ON		ESTIMA	17 E		S
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELEC O SERV	R	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR	TRAVEL STATUS	SL	JBSEQL DAYS			TOTAL		PER TRIAL DAY	PER RORUL YAC
	2,242	1,167		370	482	315		0		1.07	,_	\$	111,04	7	735	50
P E	2,242	100%		.7 %	41.3 %					1,0		SEF	SELECTED, IVING, OR ALLENGED	\$	15,	547
T																
/		TOTAL	CIV	'IL	%	CRIMINAL		%		ΤΟ.	TAL		3. NO. OF	$\left  \right $	AVG. N	
7	JURY TRIALS	37		20	54.1	17	4	5.9		JUF	CTED	PRE	URORS SENT FOR LECTION		JURC PRESEN DAY OF	TFOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	151		100	66.2	51	3	13.8			38		30.71		14.	85
	-															
		N	UMBE	R OF	GRAND .	<i>JURIES</i>							Γ			
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C			NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		NO. JULY 1					OP	EN	ONAL PRI FOLDOU CK COVE	Т
G R	7		3		4	5			2				<u></u>			
A N D	86	1.	709	<u></u>	639	19.9	T	7.	43				ESTIMAT	EL	COSTS	
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN		JRS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		AVG. H				ТС	TAL	S	PER ESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SEF	RVICE	STATISTI	CS					\$	1	28,659		1,496	75

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>	***************************************		
1	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	41	34.1	1,612	37.3	5	112	824	7.36
1985	35	37.1	1,431	42.2	2	112	781	6.97
1986	30	40.0	1,039	34.6	6	110	788	7.16
1987	36	27.8	1,096	29.4	6	117	839	7.17
1988	37	45.9	1,167	27.0	7	86	639	7.43

															,
	1 PL	ACES OF	HOLDIN	VG C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TR.	IAL ACT	r/V	( <i> TY </i>			JUDG	ESHIPS .	4.00
	TOTAL				SELECTIO			IN	SI	ERVING	ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELEC OR SERVI		CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR	TRAVEL STATUS	St	JBSEQU DAYS			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	E E0E	2 207	,	19	1 046	442				2 20		\$	224,256	543	41
P E	5,535	100%	32.		1,046 47.4 %			0		3,32		SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	17,	941
T															
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVI	ĽL	%	CRIMINAL		%		то		AV	G, NO. OF	AVG. N	
′	TRIALS	68		39	57.4	29		42.6		JUR SELE			ESENT FOR ELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
i	JURY TRIAL DAYS	413	2	38	57.6	175		42.4			75		29.43	13.	40
		/v	UMBEF	? OF	GRAND .	<i>JURIES</i>									
_	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C JULY 1,			NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	,	NO.					OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COV	T
G R A	3		2		1	1			2						
N	·						_			<del></del>	١٢		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
D	SESSIONS	JURORS	179	ног	295 JRS IN	21.1 AVG. JURORS	,	5. AVG. I			-	т	OTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR
	CONVENED	SESSI				PER SESSION		PER SE			_				DAY
i			SERI	V /CE	STATISTI	CS					\$		107,336	1,917	91

			HISTORI	CAL COMPA	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT TOTAL NUMBER OF SERVING OR GRAND CHALLENGED JURIES		NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	56	60.7	2,636	33.4	3	53	292	5.51
1985	70	35.7	1,760	15.7	3	55	361	6.56
1986	59	52.5	2,192	29.7	4	63	451	7.16
1987	64	53.1	2,215	22.9	3	61	433	7.10
1988	68	42.6	2,207	20.0	3	56	295	5.27

- . The percentage of jurors challenged rose to 47 percent while the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged dropped to 20 percent; the latter was 14 percentage points better than the national average.
- . Two lengthy jury trials were reported: a 24-day racketeering/prostitution case, and a 21-day narcotics case.

62,816

3,141

158

	2 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI)	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIVITY)			JUDG	ESHIPS .	2.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN	SERVING	ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING O CHALLENGI	R STATUS	SUBSEQU			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
:	2,352	1,368	602	628	138	8	91	76	\$	103,765	669	44
P E		100%	44.0 %	45.9 %	10.1	%			SERV	SELECTED, VING, OR \$ LLENGED	6,	122
7												
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TAL		NO, OF	AVG. N	
<b>'</b>	TRIALS	70	49	70.0	21	30.0		RIES	PRES	SENT FOR SECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	TFOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	155	108	69.7	47	30.3		69		19.83	15.	17
								7				
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND S	JURIES		_		ſ			
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NG. DISCHARGED		. ON 1, 1988			OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COV	T
G R	2		1	1	1		1		ì			
A N	20		397	96	19.9	1	.80	] [	E	STIMAT	ED COSTS	<u> </u>
D	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HO	URS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG.	HOURS ESSION		TO:	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
								4 h				<del> </del>

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	JURY	TR:ALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURE	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	65	30.8	1,539	16.3	3	24	125	5.21
1985	57	24.3	1,290	12.8	4	27	148	5.48
1986	34	20.6	772	20.5	4	31	151	4.87
1987	48	20.8	969	9.0	4	21	117	5.57
1988	70	30.0	1,368	10.1	2	20	96	4.80

The number of jury trials completed climbed 46 percent from 48 in 1987 to 70 this year. Although a majority of the jury trials involved civil cases (70 percent), the percentage of jurors challenged (46 percent) was much higher than the average district.

SERVICE STATISTICS

. Due to the court's extensive use of multiple voir dire, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged was much better (lower) than the national average of 34 percent.

	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		TRIAL ACT	SERVING O	N	JUDU ESTIM,	ATED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	TED TRAVEL	SUBSEQUEN		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	5,163	3,337	996	1,431	910	30	1,796		\$ 248,04		48
P E		100%	29.8 %	42.9 %	27.3	%			NOT SELECTED SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	*	, 656
Τ						,			<b>p</b> .	<del>-</del> 7 <del></del>	
I T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTA		AVG. NO. OF JURORS		NO. OF ORS
-	TRIALS	88	64	72.7	24	27.3	SELECT		PRESENT FOR SELECTION		NT FOR F TRIAL
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	249	195	78.3	54	21.7		96	34.76	20	. 73
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND J	URIES						
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. PANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		OP	TIONAL PI EN FOLDOI BACK COV	JT
G R	3		2	1	1		2				
A N D	29		623	217	21.5	7.	48		ESTIMA	TED COST	S
ر	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HO	URS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SFRVICE	STATISTIC	C.S			\$	67,307	2,321	108

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	105	27.6	2,832	22.5	3	23	139	6.04
1985	93	28.0	2,820	26.3	3	18	91	5.06
1986	91	19.8	2,861	24.2	2	25	157	6.28
1987	88	29.5	2,655	19.4	4	41	325	7.93
1988	88	27.3	3,337	27.3	3	29	217	7.48

- . There were numerous notorious or complex cases which required large panels of prospective jurors. In one criminal case, an extra-large panel (83) was called because the case had previously ended in a mistrial due to a tainted jury selection.
- . After one late settlement, costs in the amount of \$1,110 were assessed for 27 jurors.
- . The court reported one bankruptcy jury trial.

	<u> </u>	ACES OF	HOLDING (	COURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	TIVITY)		JUDG	ESHIPS _	3.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN	SERVING ON		ESTIMA	TED COST	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT D SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS	SUBSEQUENT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	4,096	2,315	694	792	829		1,781	\$	191,668	963	47
P E		100%	30.0 %	34.2	% 35.8	%		SER	SELECTED, VING, OR \$ ALLENGED	38,	717
<i>T</i>											
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL		G. NO. OF	AVG. N	
′	TRIALS	62	51	82.3	11	17.7	JURIES SELECTED	PRE	URORS SENT FOR LECTION	JURO PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	199	141	70.9	58	29.1	63		36.75	20.	58
1							1				
		/V	UMBER OF	GRAND	JURIES					*****	
_	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C JULY 1,		NO. PANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COVI	T
G R A	2		1	1	1		1				
N 0	16		317	101	19.8	6.	31		ESTIM AT	ED COSTS	3
,	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	IN HO	OURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS	то	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
-			CEPVIC	STATIST	/CC			\$	33,388	2,087	105

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION				
1984	65	27.7	2,058	35.7	2	13	65	5.00				
1985	80	26.3	2,444	29.1	1	14	75	5.36				
1986	65	20.0	1,958	33.4	2	14	92	6.57				
1987	61	16.4	2,181	38.1	1	21	130	6.19				
1988	62	17.7	2,315	35.8	2	16	101	6.31				

- Due to several last minute settlements and four notorious cases, the court's percentage of jurors not selected or challenged was slightly above the national average of 34 percent.
- . The court still uses 12-member civil juries; this practice has a negative impact on juror usage statistics.
- . One criminal jury trial involving multiple defendants charged with sedition lasted 33 days.

NOT SELECTED

342

43.4 %

SERVING OR CHALLENGED

TRAVEL

STATUS

44

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

865

1.50

TOTAL

100%

788

TOTAL

AVAILABLE

**JURORS** 

1,697

P

E T /

3	<b>PLACES</b>	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY)	
---	---------------	----	---------	-------	-------	------	-------	-----------	--

SELECTION

CHALLENGED

254

32.2 %

		-	
	ESTIMATI	ED COST	rs
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	132,441	1,365	78
SE	T SELECTED, RVING, OR \$ HALLENGED	26,	753

JUDGESHIPS

YRUL	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
TRIALS	25	11	44.0	14	56.0
JURY TRIAL DAYS	97	49	50.5	48	49.5

FOR JURY

SELECTED

OR SERVING

192

24.4 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
17	46.35	17.49

	NUMB	ER OF GRAND	JURIES	
TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
4	2	2	1	3

36	692	243	19.2	6.75
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS								
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY						
\$ 38,436	1,068	56						

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	24	29.2	692	38.4	4	20	98	4.90			
1985	15	40.0	393	20.4	4	19	92	4.84			
1986	12	58.3	748	28.5	2	19	107	5.63			
1987	29	58.6	1,179	26.4	4	31	187	6.03			
1988	25	56.0	788	43.4	4	36	243	6.75			

- With a predominately criminal trial calendar and single jury selections, both the average number of jurors present for jury selection and the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged are above the national average.
- An extra large panel of prospective jurors (54) reported for one highly publicized civil trial; however, the trial was postponed and the entire panel was unused. Two other jury panels in excess of 50 jurors were reported as unused.

	3 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	<i>[[][]</i>	1		JUDG	ESHIPS .	2.5
	TOTAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FOR JURY	SELECTIO	N	i N	SERV	NG ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS		QUENT NYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	3,349	1,400	492	455	453	50	1	899	\$	142,099	607	42
P E		100%	35.1 %	32.5 %	6 32.4	%			SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	19,	183
Τ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	······································				
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL		G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
•	TRIALS	, 33	18	54.5	15	45.5		JURIES ELECTED		SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	
į	JURY TRIAL DAYS	234	161	68.8	73	31.2		47		29.79	14.	31
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND .	JURIES							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COV	ΙŢ
G R	2		1	1	0		2					
A N D	26		509	159	19.6	6.	12	$\neg$		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	in Ho	URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS SSION		T	DTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS				5	29,073	1,118	57

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	50	60.0	1,761	24.9	4	44	263	5.98
1985	35	37.1	1,115	26.1	3	38	197	5.18
1986	37	48.6	1,316	33.1	2	32	212	6.63
1987	37	51.4	1,058	22.6	3	48	284	5.92
1988	33	45.5	1,400	32.4	2	26	159	6.12

- . There were two highly publicized kidnapping trials which required extra-large panels of prospective jurors.
- . The court's magistrates presided over nine jury trials.
- . Two civil jury trials lasted in excess of 20 days each.

	3 PL	ACES OF I	HOLDING C	OURT (WIT	TH JURY	TRI.	AL ACT	r/V	ITY)			JUDG	ESHIPS _	7.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	<b>V</b>		IN	SE	RVING OF	$\sqrt{}$		ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR .	TRAVEL STATUS	SL	BSEQUEN DAYS	T		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	10,650	4,210	1,109	1,474	1,627	,	108		6,332		\$	641,03	881	60
PE		100%	26.3 %	35.0 %	38.6	%					SEF	RVING, OR :	98,	078
T														
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL		%		TOTAL			G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
·	TRIALS	95	43	45.3	52	5	4.7		JURIES SELECTE		PRE	SENT FOR	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	728	421	57.8	307	4	2.2		10	8		38.98	14.	63
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND .	<i>IURIES</i>									
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	,	NO. JULY					OPI	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COVI	T
G R	5		3	2	2			3						
A N												ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
D	71	1,4	465	382	20.6	+	5.	38					PER	PER
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIC			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		AVG. I PER SE				тс	TAL	SESSION	JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS					\$	•	143, 185	2,017	98

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE							
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION				
1984	74	44.6	4,423	57.0	4	82	425	5.18				
1985	88	53.4	3,859	39.6	6	93	475	5.11				
1986	88	46.6	4,003	37.7	5	76	452	5.95				
1987	105	56.2	4,480	35.4	5	68	384	5.65				
1988	95	54.7	4,210	38.6	5	71	382	5.38				

- . Because of numerous last minute cancellations of jury trials, eight notorious cases, and the holding of separate orientation days where few, if any, juries are selected, the court's percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is above the national average.
- . The court reported five summary jury trials; four of the five were presided over by a magistrate.
- Five jury trials lasted in excess of 20 days each.

OT SELECTED

SERVING OR

CHALLENGED

1,770

29.5 %

IN

TRAVEL STATUS

14

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

4,270

TOTAL

8,003

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE

**JURORS** 

10,287

P

ETIT

3_	<i>PLACES</i>	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY)	

CHALLENGED

2,500

41.6 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR SERVING

1,733

28.9 %

JUDGE	JUDGESHIPS _					
ESTIMATE	ESTIMATED COST					
TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY				
463,604	672	45				

NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ 79,740 CHALLENGED 79,740

JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
TRIALS	152	96	63.2	56	36.8
JURY TRIAL DAYS	690	496	71.9	194	28.1

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
203	29.57	14.91

1				0011120	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R A	5	4	1	2	3
N D	88	1,666	483	18.9	5.49
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION

SERVICE STATISTICS

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES

<u> </u>	ESTIMA	TED COSTS	3
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
\$	63.040	716	38

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	AR/SONS				
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURI	GRAND JUROR SERVICE		
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	174	28.2	5,652	36.6	4	108	683	6.32	
1985	165	28.5	6,506	41.9	4	107	672	6.28	
1986	159	35.8	6,851	40.3	5	119	825	6.93	
1987	165	40.6	5,704	26.2	5	94	659	7.01	
1988	152	36.8	6,003	29.5	5	88	483	5.49	

- . Despite five notorious cases and holding separate orientation days where few, if any, juries are selected, the court's percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is better (lower) than the national average due to its extensive use of multiple voir dire.
- . A total of \$1,284 in juror costs was assessed after late settlements; of the 31 jurors covered, 13 were reused in another voir dire on the same day costs were assessed.
- . The court's magistrates presided over 50 jury trials.
- . The longest jury trial was a 23-day contract trial.

ACES OF HOLDING	COURT (WI	TH JURY T	RIAL ACT	(YTY)			JUDG	ESHIPS .	6.00
	RY SELECTION		IN	SERVIN	G ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	
TOTAL SELECTE OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTE SERVING OF CHALLENGE	STATUS	SUBSEC			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
3,505 1,07	1,567	861	2	3,3	57	\$	316,02		46
100% 30.7	% 44.7 %	24.6 %	%			SER	SELECTED, VING, OR S ALLENGED	r <del>.</del>	504
				,,,,,,,,,					
TOTAL CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TAL		3. NO. OF	AVG. N	
98 55	5 56.1	43	43.9		RIES	PRE	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
452 269	59.5	183	40.5		116		30.22	15.	19
					7				
NUMBER	OF GRAND J	IURIES 							
NO. ON E JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. JULY 1				OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COV	T
4	4	4		4					
1,559	519	20.5	6.	<u> </u>	7 /		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	
	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. H	HOURS		то	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
					\$	1	01,561	1,336	65
	ИС	ON SESSION		ON SESSION PER SESSION PER SE	ON SESSION PER SESSION PER SESSION	ON SESSION PER SESSION PER SESSION	DN SESSION PER SESSION PER SESSION	DN SESSION PER SESSION PER SESSION	ON SESSION PER SESSION PER SESSION

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS												
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE								
YEAR ENDEO JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION					
1984	101	30.7	3,525	29.6	7	82	543	6.62					
1985	99	36.4	3,511	32.5	5	63	403	6.40					
1986	114	26.3	3,719	27.5	9	72	485	6.74					
1987	96	30.2	3,178	25.3	6	74	505	6.82					
1988	98	43.9	3,505	24.6	8	76	519	6.83					

- . The number of criminal trials completed rose 48 percent from 29 in 1987 to 43 in 1988 explaining, in part, why the percentage of challenged jurors was much higher than the national average of 36 percent.
- In two of the three notorious cases requiring large panels of prospective jurors, the selected jurors were sequestered during the trial.
- . The court frequently reuses jurors; as many as 54 were reused in a single day in 1988.

	<u> </u>	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIV	'ITY)	JUDO	GESHIPS .	3.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN		RVING ON	ESTIM.	ATED COS	TS
:	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS		JBSEQUENT DAYS	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	4,238	1,915	569	621	725	277		2,046	\$ 238,32		56
P E		100%	29.7 %	32.4	37.9	%			NOT SELECTED SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	<b>ታ</b>	754
7							_				
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	56	37	66.1	19	33.9		JURIES SELECTED	PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	248	169	68.1	79	31.9		59	32.46	17.	09
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND .	<i>JURIES</i>				[ <del></del>		
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. 0 JULY 1,		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		, ON 1, 1		OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COVI	T
G R	1		1	0	0		1				
A'N											
D	53		982	337	18.5	6.	36		ESTIMA	TED COSTS	) <sup>'</sup>
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO		URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION				TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
Ì			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS	t			72,940	1,376	74

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS												
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	DR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE								
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION					
1984	73	21.9	2,562	39.8	2	52	326	6.27					
1985	79	17.7	2,313	39.5	2	56	310	5.54					
1986	63	22.2	1,866	31.6	2	46	287	6.24					
1987	66	19.7	1,957	31.4	2	46	352	7.65					
1988	56	33.9	1,915	37.9	1	53	337	6.36					

- . Although the court uses multiple voir dire whenever possible, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is above the national average due to numerous last minute cancellations of jury selections.
- . After late settlements, costs of \$1,542 were assessed for 46 jurors.
- . One employment civil rights jury trial lasted 32 days.

	TOTAL		FOR JUR	Y.	SELECTION	V			T	VINC 011		ESTIM	ATED COS	STS
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING		CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT	RSTA	VEL	SUB	VING ON SEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JURO DAY
	1,659	814	160		239	415	Ţ.	140		705	\$	106,1	28 1,179	64
p		100%	19.7	%	29.4 %	51.0	%				SE	SELECTED RVING, OR ALLENGED	· dr	, 532
r /		TOTAL	CIVIL	<del></del> -	%	CRIMINAL	%		1 [		1		7	
-	JURY TRIALS	15	7		46.7	8	53.3	3		TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	PRI	G. NO. OF JURORS ESENT FOR ELECTION	JUF PRESE	NO, OF RORS NT FOR F TRIAL
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	90	52		57.8	38	42.2	?		16		50.88	18	. 43
		N	UMBER (	DF	GRAND J	<i>IURIES</i>						<u> </u>		
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O JULY 1,			IO. NELED	NO. DISCHARGED	JI		ON 1, 198	38		OF	ATIONAL P PEN FOLDO BACK COV	UT
7	2		2		0	1			1					· <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>
, ,	19		387		99	20.4		5.	21			ESTIMA	TED COST	<del>-</del>
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIC				AVG. JURORS PER SESSION			HOUR SSIO	- 1 1	TI	OTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROI DAY
ľ		<del></del>	SERVIC	E	STATISTIC	CS .			·	\$		41,948	2,208	108

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS				
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION	
1984	36	58.3	1,240	23.7	1	22	105	4.77	
1985	32	75.0	1,252	20.5	3	28	185	6.61	
1986	26	61.5	965	30.2	3	27	149	5.52	
1987	30	73.3	1,102	23.4	3	22	113	5.14	
1988	15	53.3	814	51.0	2	19	99	5.21	

- . Because of three notorious cases and numerous unused panels due to last minute cancellations or the failure of the defendant(s) to appear, the court's percentage of jurors not selected or challenged (51 percent) was much higher than the national average.
- . The number of jury trials completed fell 50 percent from 30 in 1987 to 15 in 1988.

	4 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY T	TRIAL ACT	T/V/TY.	)		JUDG	ESHIPS .	3.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN	SERVI			ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSE			TOTAL	PÉR TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	5,221	1,945	709	846	390	400	2,	876	\$	307,946	968	59
P		100%	36.5 %	43.5 %	6 20.1	%			SER	SELECTED, VING, OR \$ ALLENGED	23,	096
E   T							, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		.,			
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		OTAL		G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	69	25	36.2	44	63.8		URIES LECTED	PRE	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	318	189	59.4	129	40.6		64		30.39	16.	42
										•		
		/\	IUMBER OF	GRAND .	JURIES							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOL BACK COV	IT
G R	4		2	2	1		3					
A												
N D	42		821	310	19.5	7.	38			ESTIMATI	D COSTS	S 
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS SSION		то	ITAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	'CS			\$		91,285	2,173	111
												<u> </u>

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	4.7/SONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	49	63.3	1,769	39.7	2	58	414	7.14
1985	53	52.8	1,674	23.5	4	39	250	6.41
1986	71	63.4	2,169	24.7	2	30	199	6.63
1987	75	64.0	2,307	18.9	4	37	265	7.16
1988	69	63.8	1,945	20.1	4	42	310	7.38

- . With a majority of criminal jury trials, the percentage of challenged jurors was higher than the national average of 36 percent.
- . For one civil antitrust suit expected to be in trial for four months, 500 prospective jurors were mailed a questionnaire. Seventy-one jurors actually reported to court, 16 of whom were selected. (In contrast, most of the court's civil juries consisted of only six to eight members.)
- . Two lengthy civil jury trials were reported: a 65-day civil rights trial and a 38-day personal injury trial.



	2 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL A	CT/V	(ITY)		JUDE	GESHIPS .	3.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN		ERVING		ESTIMA	ATED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATU		UBSEQUE DAYS	NT	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	3,211	1,466	485	435	546	260	,	1,489	5	\$ 206,10		64
P E		100%	33.1 %	29.7 %	37.2	%				NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	<b>ተ</b>	,038
T												
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		тот		AVG. NO. OF JURORS		NO, OF ORS
•	TRIALS	34	7	20.6	27	79.4		JURI		PRESENT FOR SELECTION		IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	164	46	28.0	118	72.0			38	38.58	19.	. 58
			UMBER OF	GRAND	IIIRIES			]				
		<del></del>								FOR NA	TIONAL PE	ROFILE
_	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	JULY 1,		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		1,			OP.	EN FOLDOL BACK COV	ΤL
G R	2		1	1	0		2			<u> </u>		
A N			440	150	40.4					ESTIMAT	ED COST	S
D	23		440	150	19.1		. 52			TOTAL	PER	PER
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION					TUTAL	SESSION	JUROR DAY
	<u> </u>		SERVICE	STATISTI	CS	·			\$	96,629	4,201	220
Į					-				Ľ		•	

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS												
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE							
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION					
1984	15	46.7	725	36.0	2	16	97	6.06					
1985	15	55.3	616	42.2	2	23	137	5.96					
1986	20	60.0	809	36.6	3	31	217	7.00					
1987	21	76.2	752	35.4	4	40	291	7.28					
1988	34	79.4	1,466	37.2	2	23	150	6.52					

- The number of criminal jury trials was up 69 percent this year, resulting in a dramatic increase in the average number of jurors present for voir dire, up to 39 from 29 last year.
- Extra-large panels of jurors were called for two tax cases and one notorious criminal case.
- . Grand jury activity slowed significantly in 1988, with the number of sessions convened dropping 43 percent to 23.

	3 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING	COURT (W	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIVITY)		JUDO	GESHIPS .	8.00	
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	FOR JURY SELECTION					SERVIN	J ON	ESTIMATED COSTS			
		TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC D SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY	
	9,692	5,236	1,445	2,320	1,471	306	4,1	50	\$ 482,33		50	
P E		100%	27.6	44.3	% 28.1	%			SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	d*	315	
T												
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TAL	AVG. NO. OF		AVG. NO. OF JURORS	
•	TRIALS	121	19	15.7	102	84.3		RIES ECTED	PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	493	150	30.4	343	69.6		122	42.92	19.	66	
					-			_				
	NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES											
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. IPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		NO. ON JULY 1, 1988		OP.	EN FOLDOL	IONAL PROFILE  N FOLDOUT  ACK COVER	
G R	6	6 3		3	3		3					
A			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					, ,	F 0 T / 4 4 4 7	TER 2007	<u> </u>	
N D	86	1,	649	533	19.2	6	6.20		ESTIMA	TED COST	T	
	SESSIONS CONVENED						AVG. HOURS PER SESSION		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY	
	SERVICE STATISTICS								93,865	1,091	57	

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>					
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	78	73.1	2,723	26.4	5	98	546	5.57		
1985	66	75.8	2,377	23.4	6	84	421	5.01		
1986	97	69.1	3,927	31.8	7	98	567	5.79		
1987	104	76.9	4,321	24.6	7	104	602	5.79		
1988	121	84.3	5,236	28.1	6	86	533	6.20		

- Despite last minute settlements and pleas, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged was better than the average district.
- Costs for 110 jurors totalling \$4,753 were assessed by the court when parties settled without giving court staff proper notification.

IN

TRAVEL

STATUS

20

NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED

1,512

30.0 %

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

6,893

TOTAL

5.038

100%

TOTAL

11,951

P

E

VAILABLE JURORS

2 PLACES	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY)	
----------	----	---------	-------	-------	------	-------	-----------	--

CHALLENGED

2,206

43.8 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR SERVING

1,320

26.2 %

JUDGE	SHIPS _	12.00					
ESTIMATED COSTS							
TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PÉR JUROR DAY					
564,730	732	47					
NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	71,	721					

7						
/_	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
,	TRIALS	115	54	47.0	61	53.0
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	771	376	48.8	395	51.2

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
121	41.64	15.50

	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	17	9	8	8	9
N D	259	4,869	1,342	18.8	5.18
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION

SERVICE STATISTICS

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES

ESTIMATED COSTS							
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY				
\$	156,404	604	32				

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE			
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	87	65.5	4,168	34.9	11	198	980	4.95		
1985	135	60.0	5,230	28.2	16	215	1,104	5.13		
1986	124	46.8	4,774	27.3	15	268	1,386	5.17		
1987	123	54.5	5,098	29.5	16	238	1,272	5.34		
1988	115	53.0	5,038	30.0	17	259	1,342	5.18		

- The court often uses pre-voir dire questionnaires to screen prospective jurors for lengthy or notorious trials.
- Four jury trials lasted 20 days or longer.
- In addition to staggered trial starts and reuse of jurors, the court charges litigants with juror costs when parties settle without notifying the court by the required deadline. This year a total of \$3,139 was assessed for 75 jurors.

	2 PL	ACES OF I	HOLDING C	OURT (WI)	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIVIT	Y)	JUDG	SESHIPS _	6.00	
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	V	IN	SERV	ING ON	ESTIMA	TED COS	TS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGI	OR STATUS		EQUENT AYS	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY	
	6,271	2,434	702	867	865	248		,589	\$ 372,19	9 930	59	
p E	0,2/1	100%	28.8 %	35.6 %				, 303	NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	<del>ራ</del>	363	
7												
/ T	1107	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	7 /	TOTAL	AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N		
′	JURY TRIALS	65	22	33.8	43	66.2	]   s	JURIES ELECTED	PRESENT FOR PRESI		T FOR	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	400	197	49.3	203	50.8		61	39.90	15.	68	
-												
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND J	IURIES							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O		NO. NO. IMPANELED DISCHAF		NO. ON JULY 1, 1988			OP	EN FOLDOU	ONAL PROFILE N FOLDOUT ACK COVER	
G R	9		6	3	2		7					
A'	84	1 ,	603	517	19.1		. 15		ESTIMATED COSTS		S	
D	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HOL	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS		TOTAL.	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY	
	30.1721125	] 323310		STATISTI				\$	123,679	1,472	77	

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURE	OR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	36	75.0	1,901	48.3	8	71	374	5.27			
1985	41	63.4	1,725	44.3	7	96	482	5.02			
1986	43	65.1	1,855	38.2	8	85	356	4.19			
1987	54	50.0	1,675	24.9	7	72	393	5.46			
1988	65	66.2	2,434	35.5	9	84	517	6.15			

- . Of the 65 jury trials, nearly two-thirds were criminal, which helps explain the large percentage of jurors challenged and not selected or challenged.
- . The average number of jurors present for selection increased from 30 last year to 40 in 1988.
- . After one last minute settlement, total costs of \$1,161 were assessed for 22 jurors.

TRAVEL

STATUS

1,093

NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED

3,132

27.7 %

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

16,866

TOTAL

11,292

100%

TOTAL

AVAILABLE

JURORS

29,251

Ε T / Τ

1_	PLACES	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	AC1	(YTIVI
·			FOR JUR	Y SELEC	CTION			IN	SERVING

CHALLENGED

5,044

44.7 %

JUDGESHIPS22.00							
ESTIMATED COSTS							
	PER	PER					
TOTAL	TRIAL	JUROR (					
	DAY	DAY					

1,635,348 882 56 NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED 174,982

. [	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	293	142	48.5	151	51.5
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	1,855	1,052	56.7	803	43.3

SELECTED

OR SERVING

3,116

27.6 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
281	40.19	15.77

L		אַ אַ ואָן טאָן	H UF GRAND	JUHIES	
	TOTAL XISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
	32	17	15	14	18
	000	7 500	0.470	40.0	0.00

NUMBER OF CRAND HIDIER

392	7,582	2,478	19.3	6.32
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMA	TED COSTS	5
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
\$ 493,367	1,259	65

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>						
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	SELECTED NUMBER OF SESSIONS HOURS		NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESS:ON			
1984	252	58.3	10,373	46.7	35	416	1,861	4.47			
1985	268	57.1	8,270	36.3	30	407	2,261	5.56			
1986	304	58.2	9,922	25.7	39	468	2,892	6.18			
1987	298	47.7	9,908	23.8	30	411	2,469	6.01			
1988	293	51.5	11,292	27.7	32	392	2,478	6.32			

- The district reported 11 jury trials which lasted 20 days or longer, the longest was a 37-day antitrust trial. Trials expected to be lengthy usually require extra jurors during voir dire to cover the large number of anticipated challenges. For example, a total of 134 people were called for a two-day voir dire, which resulted in 14 jurors selected, 59 challenged and 61 not selected or challenged.
- The court's magistrates often preside over jury trials.

	1_ PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	TIVIT	Y)		JUDG	ESHIPS _	7.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	<b>V</b>	in	SERV	ING ON		ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	R STATUS		EQUENT AYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	10,748	3,963	1,188	1,390	1,385	28	6	,757	\$	468,13	676	44
P		100%	30.0 %	35.1 %	34.9	%			NOT SELECTE SERVING, OR CHALLENGED		60,	390
T							,				-	
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL		G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
•	TRIALS	94	22	23.4	72	76.6	S	JURIES SELECTED		SENT FOR	PRESEN DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	693	342	49.4	351	50.6		99		40.03	15.	51
		<i>N</i>	UMBER OF	GRAND .	<i>JURIES</i>							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988	3		OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COVI	IT
G R	10		7	3	3		7					
A										ESTIMAT	ED COST.	s
D	250	4,	951	1,243	19.8	4.	97	=			PER	PER
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS			DTAL	SESSION	JUROR
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS			\$	2	210,093	840	42
•												

			HISTORI	CAL COMPA	<i>ARISONS</i>						
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	103	70.9	3,672	26.0	13	281	1,332	4.74			
1985	80	82.5	3,566	33.5	15	270	1,227	4.54			
1986	85	89.4	3,897	30.8	13	246	1,119	4.55			
1987	96	70.8	3,856	36.6	11	230	1,036	4.50			
1988	94	76.6	3,963	34.9	10	250	1,243	4.97			

- . The district continues to report a majority of criminal jury trial activity (77 percent) but the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged (35 percent) was still below the national average.
- . The court sometimes calls panels of jurors who have been prescreened for lengthy trials.
- . A total of \$1,617 was assessed when 35 jurors were called to court unnecessarily.
- . A 72-day securities jury trial was reported along with four other jury trials in excess of 20 days each.

	1 PL	ACES OF I	HOLDING C	OURT (WIT	TH JURY	TRIAL A	CT	IV ITY)			JUDO	GESHIPS .	3,00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN		SERVIN			ESTIMA	ATED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATE		SUBSEO			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PÉR JUROR DAY
	5,945	1,964	719	1,067	178		0	3.9	81	\$	252,71		43
P E	,	100%	36.6 %	54.3 %	9.1	%				SEF	SELECTED, RVING, OR ALLENGED	dr .	581
T													
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%			TAL		G. NO. OF	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	47	13	27.7	34	72.3			RIES	PRE	SENT FOR	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	334	112	33.5	222	66.5			58		33.86	17.	80
			UMOCD OF	COAND	<i>,,,,,,,</i> ,				٦				
		/V.	UMBER OF	GHANU J	IUKIES				_		FOD NA	TZONAL DE	05*1.5
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		O. Y 1,	ON 1988			OP.	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COV	IT .
G R	5		4	1	2			3					
A N D	63	1	225	332	19.4		5.2		7 /		ESTIM AT	ED COSTS	s
ט	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HOL	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS	S AVO	. н	OURS SSION		TC	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS				\$		90,179	1,431	74

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	CRIMINAL TOTAL % NOT TO NUMB JURY FOR JURY SERVING OR GRA		TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	SESSIONS HOURS IN	
1984	30	86.7	1,782	28.7	6	112	705	6.29
1985	44	81.8	2,170	24.5	7	126	726	5.76
1986	41	65.9	2,719	23.0	6	84	428	5.10
1987	42	76.2	1,683	11.1	6	67	373	5.57
1988	1988 47 72.3 1,96		1,964	9.1	5	63	332	5.27

- . The court continues to have a predominately criminal trial calendar (72 percent) but through extensive use of the multiple voir dire method, only 9 percent of the jurors called for selection were unused.
- . One civil rights jury trial lasted 33 days. Two criminal jury trials also lasted a minimum of 20 days each.

89,486

1,989

	4 PL	ACES OF A	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIVI	TYI		JUDO	GES	SHIPS _	2.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	V	IN	SER	VING ON		ESTIM	4T E	D COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS		SEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL		PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	1,963	908	327	425	156	110		945		\$ 111,37		807	57
P E		100%	36.0 %	46.8 %	17.2	%				NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED		8,	799
T									-				
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	] [	TOTAL		AVG. NO. OF JURORS	$\neg \lceil$	AVG. N	
1	TRIALS	28	13	46.4	15	53.6		JURIES SELECTED	۱ ۱	PRESENT FOR SELECTION		PRESEN DAY OF	TFOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	138	81	58.7	57	41.3		34		26.71		14.	22
1													
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND .	IURIES								
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		. ON 1, 198	18		OP	EN	DNAL PR FOLDOU CK COVE	T
G R	2		1	1	1		1						
A N										507/44/4			
D	45		954	299	21.2	6.	64			ESTIMAT	Ľυ	<i>- 60313</i>	
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIC			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION					TOTAL	SI	PER ESSION	PER JUROR DAY

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS													
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE								
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL SELECTED NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED			NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION							
1984	27	59.3	1,148	44.1	2	21	122	5.81						
1985	32	46.9	1,034	31.7	3	26	152	5.85						
1986	23	60.9	929	35.7	1	33	204	6.18						
1987	34	52.9 1,041 26.3 2		2	36	227	6.31							
1988	28	53.6	908	17.2	2	45	299	6.64						

SERVICE STATISTICS

	5 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY T	TRIAL ACT	(YTY VITY)			JUDGE	SHIPS	3.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO.		IN	SERVING			ESTIM AT		
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSEQU DAYS	ENT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	3,262	1,628	430	590	608	334	1,30	,	\$	200,404	1,165	61
P E		100%	26.4 %	36.2 %			.,,		SER	SELECTED, IVING, OR \$ ALLENGED	37,	275
Τ							•					
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	тот	AL		G. NO. OF	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	38	12	31.6	26	68.4	JURI SELEC		PRE	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	172	86	50.0	86	50.0		40		40.70	18.	97
			.,									
			UMBER OF	GRAND .	<i>IURIES</i> 							
_	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	JULY .	ON 1, 1988				TONAL PR N FOLDOU ACK COVI	T
G R	3		1	2	1		2			L		<del></del>
A N D	19		366	110	19.3	5.	79			ESTIM ATE	D COSTS	 3
ט	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	in Hot	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION		HOURS		то	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
		1	SERVICE	STATISTI	CS			\$		37,690	1,984	103
1					······································			be				·
				HIST	TORICAL C	OMPARIS	ONS					

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	AR/SONS			
	JURY	TRIALS PETIT JUROR SERVICE				GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	30	53.3	1,325	26.8	2	26	145	5.58
1985	26	61.5	1,338	34.6	3	17	100	5.88
1986	34	52.9	1,447	32.3	4	29	178	6.14
1987	35	57.1	1,360	30.7	4	30	177	5.90
1988	38	68.4	1,628	37.3	3	19	110	5.79

- . The increase in the average number of jurors present for selection (41 in 1988) was primarily due to the increase in the number of criminal jury trials, which rose from 20 in 1987 to 26 this year.
- . One criminal fraud jury trial lasted 23 days.

	2 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	ΤΙΝΙΤΥ)			JUDI	GESHIPS .	4.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN	SERVING O	N		ESTIM.	ATED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT D SERVING O CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSEQUE DAYS	NT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	6,396	0 501	924	996	701	32	2 042		\$	287,12	855	45
p	6,386	100%	32.7 %	39.5			3,843		\ SE	T SELECTED RVING, OR HALLENGED	<b>^</b>	, 583
E I	<u> </u>						<u> </u>		<b></b>			······································
/		TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTA	d.	A	/G. NO. OF	AVG. I	
/	JURY TRIALS	58	13	22.4	45	77.6	JURIE SELECT	S		JURORS ESENT FOR ELECTION		ORS NT FOR TRIAL
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	336	63	18.8	273	81.3		66		38.20	19.	04
ſ		Λ.	UMBER OF	GRAND	JURIES					<del></del>		
G	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C	on n	NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COV	JT
R	8		5	3	2		6			<u> </u>		
$N \mid D$	129	2.	428	674	18.8	5.	22			ESTIMA	TED COST.	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	in Ho	JRS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. I	HOURS		T	OTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR AY
I			SERVICE	STATISTI	ICS			\$		90,233	699	37
•					TOR/O// 0							

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	57	70.2	2,916	47.5	8	163	660	4.05			
1985	62	66.1	2,296	27.8	8	158	724	4.58			
1986	51	72.5	2,372	26.4	8	140	676	4.83			
1987	58	77.6	2,452	25.1	8	129	705	5.47			
1988	58	77.6	2,521	27.8	8	129	674	5.22			

- . Selection of one criminal jury lasted four days and involved the participation of 356 prospective jurors; 18 were selected; 78 were challenged and 260 were not selected or challenged. The trial was expected to last four to six months.
- . Despite the occurrence of several notorious trials, the district's juror usage statistics were still better than average.

	4 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	T/V/7	ΓΥ		JUDG	ESHIPS ,	5.0
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	FOR JURY SELECTED OR	SELECTIO:	NOT SELEC	OR STATUS	SUBS	VING ON SEQUENT DAYS		ESTIMA TOTAL	TED COS	PER
	4,986	2,968	SERVING 769	900	1,299		<del>                                     </del>	1,910		\$ 246,954	1 807	50
P E	4,300	100%	25.9 %	30.3						NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR S CHALLENGED	64,	455
E T		,	7	,			1 -				1 (	
I T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL		AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
	TRIALS	72	44	61.1	28	38.9		JURIES SELECTES	>	PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	306	221	72.2	85	27.8		90	>	32.98	16.	29
		N	IUMBER OF	GRAND .	JURIES					<u> </u>		
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. PANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 198	8		OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COV	T
G R	8		4	4	3		5			L		
A N D	87	1.	751	511	20.1	5.	87			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
D	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	IN HO	URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	avg.	HOURS			TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS				\$	124, 153	1,427	71
				HIS	TORICAL O	OMP AR IS	ONS					

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	61	39,3	2,161	29.9	8	77	433	5.62			
1985	75	53.3	2,668	35.3	5	72	411	5.71			
1986	76	47.4	2,799	34.5	6	88	545	6.19			
1987	58	48.3	2,349	35.8	8	72	410	5.69			
1988	72	38.9	2,968	43.8	8	87	511	5.87			

- . The district began using the One Day/One Trial system of juror usage during the final four months of 1988.
- Due to several last minute cancellations of jury trials and the constant use of single voir dires, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is higher than the national average.

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

JUDGESHIPS \_\_\_3.00

TOTAL		FOR JURY			iN	SERVING ON
AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TRAVEL STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS
1,669	1,048	273	400	375	54	567
	100%	26.0 %	38.2 %	35.8 %		

ESTIMATED COSTS								
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY					
\$	85,733	1,072	51					
SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR \$ ALLENGED	19,	290					

. [	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	27	10	37.0	17	63.0
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	80	38	47.5	42	52.5

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
25	41.92	20.86

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
3	2	1	1	1	1

 36	696	253	19.3	7.03
SSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
NVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS							
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY				
\$	72,861	2,024	105				

	<u> </u>		HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS			,
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	25	40.0	804	33.2	2	50	365	7.30
1985	19	36.8	580	22.6	3	35	263	7.51
1986	22	50.0	873	35.4	1	19	118	6.21
1987	35	60.0	1,091	28.8	1	28	186	6.64
1988	27	63.0	1,048	35.8	2	36	253	7.03

- . The percentage of jurors not selected or challenged rose from 29 percent last year to 36 percent this year, primarily due to a large number of settlements occurring just prior to jury selection.
- . The average number of jurors present for selection reached 42, nine more than the average district.

IN

TRAVEL

STATUS

402

NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED

876

34.9 %

SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT

DAYS

4,135

7.00

TOTAL

2,509

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE

JURORS

7,046

P

E

2	PLACES	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	AC	TIVITYI
T A I	T		FOR JUR	Y SELEC	CTION	·		IAI	SERVING

CHALLENGED

920

36.7 %

	ESTIMATED COSTS							
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY					
\$	379,874	755	54					
SE	T SELECTED, RVING, OR \$ HALLENGED	47,	104					

JUDGESHIPS

T						
/	нру	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
1	JURY TRIALS	60	28	46.7	32	53.3
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	503	299	59,4	204	40.6

SELECTED

OR SERVING

713

28.4 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
72	34.85	14.01

TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
4	2	2	1	3
91	1,920	703	21.1	7.73
SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION

SERVICE STATISTICS

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES

ESTIMATED COSTS							
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY				
\$	134,491	1,478	70				

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRÍALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	65	44.6	2,065	30.3	4	92	652	7.09
1985	52	51.9	1,683	30.0	4	101	738	7.31
1986	64	60.9	2,389	24.3	3	94	704	7.49
1987	62	66.1	2,262	28.2	4	104	778	7.48
1988	60	53.3	2,509	34.9	4	91	703	7.73

- A notorious narcotics case (drug tampering which resulted in two deaths) received extensive pretrial publicity. The selection process took two days ending with 14 jurors selected, 23 jurors challenged and 195 jurors not selected or challenged.
- Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,491 for 32 jurors.
- Six jury trials lasted 20 days or longer; the longest was a 41-day securities trial.

100%

E

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1988

1 PL	LACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WITE	H JURY TR.	IAL ACT	TIVITY)		JUDGES	SHIPS _	1.00
TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN	SERVING ON		ESTIMATI	ED COST	TS
AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TRAVEL STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
452	210	25	101	60	^	234	\$	21,828	1,039	48
1 452 1	218	35	121	62	l U	234	,			

28.4 %

NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED 2,990

T !	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
<u> </u>	TRIALS	3	0	. 0	3	100.0
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	21	4	19.0	17	81.0

16.1 %

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
3	72.67	21.52

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES							
TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988			
1	1	0	o	1			

55.5 %

G R	1	1	o	0	1
N D	26	493	129	19.0	4.96
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION
		SEI	RVICE STATIS	TICS	

\$ 12,912	497	26							
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY							
ESTIMATED COSTS									

			HISTORI	CAL COMPA	ARISONS					
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOLRS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	1	100.0	287	49.8	1	22	158	7.18		
1985	4	100.0	275	37.1	2	25	147	5.88		
1986	5	100.0	270	34.4	2	19	124	6.53		
1987	1	100.0	143	38.5	2	35	210	6.00		
1988	3	100.0	218	28.4	1	26	129	4.96		

	tPL	ACES OF	HOLDING (	COURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	TIVITY)			JUDG	ESHIPS .	1.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN	SERVING C			ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS	SUBSEQUE	NT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROF DAY
	430	202	26	62	114	. 8	220		\$	5,668	3 315	13
		100%	12.9 %						SERV	SELECTED, /ING, OR S LLENGED	1,	502
r ! [		TOTAL	CTVTI	T %	COTATALA	%	7		T		1	
-	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	76	CRIMINAL	76	TOTA		JL	NO OF	AVG. 1	ORS
	TRIALS	1	0	.0	1	100.0	SELECT			ENT FOR ECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	18	0	.0	18	100.0		1		.00	23.	89
[		N	UMBER OI	GRAND	JURIES				٢		······································	
,	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ( JULY 1,		NO. PANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OP	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COV	IT
7	0		0	0	0		0		L	<del></del>	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
V [	0		0	0	.0		00		E	STIMAT	ED COST	S
<b>'</b>	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	S IN HO	OURS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG.	HOURS ESSION		то	TAL .	PER SESSION	PER JUROF DAY
			SERVIC	STATIST	ICS			\$		0	0	-

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS												
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE								
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION					
1984	2	.0	130	47.7	0	0	٥	.00					
1985	1	.0	140	83.6	0	0	0	.00					
1986	0	.0	0	.0	0	0	0	.00					
1987	0	.0	0	.0	0	0	0	. 00					
1988	1	100.0	202	56.4	0	0	0	. 00					

For the first time since 1983 the Northern Marianas reported a criminal jury trial. The voir dire lasted two days and involved a total of 202 prospective jurors; 13 were selected; 75 were challenged; 114 were not selected or challenged. After being sequestered for 17 days the jury began deliberations but the court ruled a mistrial.

<i>x</i> :								
•								
7								
•								
Tarihi karangan kangan kan	illusustasus Espekistälis suoritetti tuorin 1900–1900 on 1900 on 1900 on 1900.	ensagen er er sam star stårger som stødet å flemer til stårdetskomfunden sam.	. Augustokas programmentist	s vina 17 Silvin isin kindisaknyakkinki melok mangi mag	eri kiranjana ka esteratura propini ana ilikuwa ka a akama esperatura.	taller i Clarina and Wilde an exception of a state of the	athlicean i creak tha at cons the literary belong the site of the literary belongs to	untila unilant unilantitate etaka etaka etaka kutota etaka etaka etaka etaka etaka etaka etaka etaka etaka eta

	3_ <i>PL</i>										_	
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN	SERVING			ESTIMA	TED COST	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING OF CHALLENGE	OR STATUS	SUBSEQU		ļ	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROI DAY
	5,451	2,525	721	903	901	122	2.80	14	\$	305,653	764	56
p E	3,431	100%	28.6 %	35.8 %			2,00	-	SER	SELECTED, VING, OR \$ ALLENGED	50,	433
r							1				1	
r	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TAL		3. NO. OF	AVG. N	
	TRIALS	84	50	59.5	34	40.5		CTED	PRE	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL	400	243	60.8	4	00.0		81		31.17	13.	co
	DAYS	400	243	00.0	157	39.3	J L	01		31.17		03
	DAYS		1			39.3			<u> </u>	31.17	13.	
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND J	'URIES			-			IONAL PR	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	No. c	UMBER OF	GRAND J		NO.	ON 1, 1988	01		FOR NAT		OFILE T
9	TOTAL	No. 0	UMBER OF	GRAND J	URIES NO.	NO.				FOR NAT	IONAL PR	OFILE T
9 4 V	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C JULY 1,	UMBER OF 1987 IMP	GRAND J	VURIES  NO. DISCHARGED	NO. JULY	1, 1988 2			FOR NAT	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COVI	OFILE T ER
G 9 4 1 0 0	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C JULY 1,	1 1 325	GRAND J NO. ANELED  1  466	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. JULY  6.	1, 1988			FOR NAT OPE AT E	IONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COVI	OFILE T ER

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>					
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	97	29.9	1,955	26.5	4	121	740	6.12		
1985	98	33.7	2,223	22.4	4	132	690	5.23		
1986	83	36.1	1,812	20.0	3	97	457	4.71		
1987	101	33.7	2,317	25.9	2	66	331	5.02		
1988	84	40.5	2,525	35.7	2	67	466	6.96		

- . Because of numerous last minute pleas and settlements, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged rose from 26 percent in 1987 to nearly 36 percent in 1988.
- . Once, the court assessed juror costs for a last minute settlement; \$1,058 were charged for 23 jurors.
- . Three jury trials lasted a minimum of 20 days each.
- . The court reported one summary jury trial.

	<u> </u>	ACES OF	HOLDING (	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	(IVITY)	JUDG	GESHIPS _	5.0
i	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN	SERVING ON	ESTIMA	ITED COST	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT D SERVING C CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	7,322	2,620	905	828	887	28	4.674	\$ 341,83	2 579	47
P E		100%	34.5 %					NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	dr .	362
T / [		TOTAL	CIVIL	<b>%</b>	CRIMINAL	%	l [	T	7	
7	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	76	CRIMINAL	76	TOTAL JURIES	AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	ORS
	TRIALS	89	65	73.0	24	27.0	SELECTED	PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	590	465	78.8	125	21.2	96	27.29	12.	41
[	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Λ	IUMBER OI	GRAND	JURIES			<u></u>		
-	TOTAL	NO.		NO.	NO.	110	ON		TIONAL PR	
ŧ	IN EXISTENCE					1 N.C.1.				т
	IIA EXIZIEIACE	JULY 1,	1987 IM	ANELED	DISCHARGED				EN FOLDOU BACK COVE	
P	8	JULY 1,	1987 IMF	3	DISCHARGED 3					
G R A	8		5	3	3	JULY	5	AT		ER
R A			5 225 HO			JULY 5.	5 85 HOURS	AT	BACK COVE	ER

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS											
	JURY	TRIALS	TRIALS PETIT JUROR SERVICE			GRAND JUROR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION				
1984	105	24.8	2,973	37.8	5	34	200	5.88				
1985	110	23.6	3,151	41.7	5	33	186	5.64				
1986	86	24.4	2,274	32.8	6	43	286	6.81				
1987	96	31.3	2,751	32.6	6	76	475	6.25				
1988	89	27.0	2,620	33.9	8	60	351	5.85				

- . After one last minute settlement, costs in the amount of \$751 were assessed for 19 jurors.
- Six jury trials lasted in excess of 20 days each; the longest was a 62-day contract trial.

	4 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AL	CT/V	'ITY)		JUDG	ESHIPS .	4.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN		ERVING		ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATU		DAYS		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	5,234	2,418	999	946	473	509		2,30	7	\$ 388,89	1 1,473	74
P E		100%	41.3 %	39.1 %	19.6	%				NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR CHALLENGED	35,	000
T												
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		то	TAL	AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	90	33	36.7	57	63.3			IES CTED	PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	264	136	51.5	128	48.5			93	26.00	19.	83
,									1			
		<i>N</i>	UMBER OF	GRAND .	<i>JURIES</i>						**************************************	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		), OI			OPI	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOU BACK COVI	Т
G R A	2		1	1	1		1			<u> </u>		
N	29		631	197	21.8	-	.79			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	3
D	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	IN HO	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG.	но	URS		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERVICE	STATISTI	CS			<del></del>	\$	53,970	1,861	86
									J L		<del></del>	

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS												
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE								
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION					
1984	113	52.2	2,763	16.8	2	26	167	6.42					
1985	68	39.7	1,522	14.4	3	32	208	6.50					
1986	70	40.0	1,858	16.8	3	30	205	6.83					
1987	86	55.8	2,020	11.0	4	35	217	6.20					
1988	90	63.3	2,418	19.6	2	29	197	6.79					

- . The court uses multiple voir dire whenever possible and, as a result, the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is well below the national average of 34 percent.
- . Total costs of \$10,799 were assessed after late settlements covering 125 unused jurors.

		ACES OF	FOR JURY						Γ-		ESHIPS <u>.</u> TED COS	
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELEC	OR STATUS	SERVING O SUBSEQUEN DAYS		<u> </u>	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	3,056	1,289	485	562	242	. 0	1,767		\$	125,140	616	41
P		100%	37.6 %	43.6 %	18.8	%			SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	9,	886
E T												
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	ТОТА			G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
•	TRIALS	44	29	65.9	15	34.1	JURIE SELECT		PRI	SENT FOR ELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	203	103	50.7	100	49.3		49		26.31	15.	05
		A	UMBER OF	CDAND	IIIDIE C							
				T		1				FOR NAT	IONAL PR	OFILE
<u></u>	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			1	N FOLDOL BACK COV	
G R	2		1	1	1		1			<u> </u>		
A N D	24		505	192	21.0	8	00			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
ט	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HO	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS		T	DTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
1			SERVICE	OT ATLOT				\$		18,830	785	37

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS						
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE						
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	67	32.8	1,834	30.4	2	23	184	8.00			
1985	66	36.4	1,773	22.6	2	27	216	8.00			
1986	69	27.5	1,871	33.1	2	31	248	8.00			
1987	56	33.9	1,567	21.1	2	31	248	8.00			
1988	44	34.1	1,289	18.8	2	24	192	8.00			

- . Although the court's percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is low at 19 percent, it could be even better if the court stopped scheduling orientation days where few, if any, juries are chosen.
- . The number of jury trials completed dropped 21 percent from 56 in 1987 to 44 in 1988; this is 36 percent fewer than the 69 trials completed in 1986.
- . Two lengthy jury trials were reported: a 24-day civil fraud trial and a 21-day criminal fraud trial.

	ACES UF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	TIVITY)		JUDG	ESHIPS .	1.3
TOTAL			SELECTIO		IN			ESTIMA		
AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	SERVING C	R STATUS	SUBSEQUEN DAYS	T   [	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
1 907	710	442	222	A77	1	1 004		\$ 89,363	629	49
1,807	100%	62.2 %				1,084	- [		2,	323
	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	T	AVG. NO. OF		
JURY TRIALS	56	38	67.9	18	32.1	JURIES	;	JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN	T FOR
JURY TRIAL DAYS	142	86	60.6	56	39.4	5	8	12.28	12.	73
	Λ	IIIMRER OF	GRAND	<i>IIIRIE</i> S						
TOTAL								FOR NAT	IONAL PR	OFILE
2		1	1	1		1				<del></del>
13		296	92	22 8	7	08		ESTIM AT	ED COSTS	3
SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS	in Ho	URS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. I	HOURS		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
		SERV I CE	STATISTI	'CS			\$	23,392	1,799	79
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS  1,807  JURY TRIALS  JURY TRIAL DAYS  TOTAL IN EXISTENCE  2  13  SESSIONS	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS TOTAL  1,807 712 100%  TOTAL  JURY TRIALS 56  JURY TRIAL DAYS  IN EXISTENCE JULY 1,  2  13  SESSIONS JURORS	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS TOTAL SELECTED OR SERVING  1,807 712 443  100% 62.2 %  TOTAL CIVIL  JURY TRIALS 56 38  JURY TRIAL DAYS 142 86   **NUMBER OF INTERPRETATION OF TOTAL SERVING OF TOTAL SERVING OF TOTAL OR TO	TOTAL   SELECTED   CHALLENGER	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS   TOTAL   SELECTED OR SERVING   CHALLENGED   SERVING   CHALLENGED   SERVING   CHALLENGED   SERVING   CHALLENGED   SERVING   CHALLENGED   TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS   TOTAL   SELECTED OR SERVING   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR CHALLENGED   SERVING	AVAILABLE   JURORS   TOTAL   SELECTED   OR SERVING   CHALLENGED   SERVING OR CHALLENGED   STATUS   SUBSEQUEN DAYS	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS   TOTAL   SELECTED OR SERVING ON SELECTED OR SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON TRAVEL STATUS SUBSEQUENT DAYS	TOTAL   FOR JURY SELECTION   IN SERVING ON SELECTED   SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING ON SERVING OR	TOTAL AVAILABLE   TOTAL   SELECTED   OR SERVING ON SE	

		""	HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS						
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE					
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	45	64.4	648	. 8	2	18	141	7.83			
1985	36	38.9	549	10.6	2	17	125	7.35			
1986	53	37.7	606	6.1	2	14	103	7.36			
1987	57	40.4	661	9.5	2	12	89	7.42			
1988	56	32.1	712	6.6	2	13	92	7.08			

- . The court's extensive use of multiple voir dire resulted in the second highest percentage of selected jurors (62 percent) among the 94 district courts. The percentage of jurors not selected or challenged is also one of the best (lowest) at less than 7 percent.
- . After late settlements, costs of \$1,096 were assessed for 27 jurors.

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS  7,191	TOTAL 3,916 100%	FOR JURY SELECTED OR SERVING 1,394 35.6 %	CHALLENGED 1,218 31.1 %	NOT SELECT	STATUS	SERVING O SUBSEQUE DAYS		\$	EST/MA TOTAL 298,769	TED COS  PER TRIAL DAY  542	PER JUROR DAY
7,191	3,916	OR SERVING 1,394	1,218	SERVING O	STATUS		17	\$		TRIAL DAY	JUROR DAY
	100%			1,304	6			\$	298.76	542	10
	100%			.,		3,269	- 1				42
JURY	<b></b>		L	33.3	%			SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	54,	, 076
YAUL	l					1 <del></del>		<del></del>			
	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTA			G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. 1	
TRIALS	125	98	78.4	27	21.6	JURIE SELEC		PRE	SENT FOR	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
JURY TRIAL DAYS	551	458	83.1	93	16.9	1	71		22.90	13.	05
	A		00.440	WD150							
	/V	UMBER UF	GRAND J	URIES	1				EOD NAT	TONAL DE	005115
TOTAL N EXISTENCE				NO. DISCHARGED					OPE	N FOLDOL	ΙT
3		1	2	1		2					
65	1.:	247	437	19.2	6.	72			ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS	IN HOL						TO	DTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
		SERVICE	STATISTIC	CS			\$		91,563	1,409	73
	TRIAL DAYS  TOTAL N EXISTENCE  3  65  SESSIONS	JURY TRIAL DAYS  551  N  TOTAL NEXISTENCE JULY 1,  3  65 1,3  SESSIONS JURORS	JURY TRIAL DAYS 551 458  **NUMBER OF**  TOTAL NO. ON JULY 1, 1987 IMP*  3 1  65 1,247  SESSIONS JURORS IN SESSION SESSION  CONVENED SESSION SESSION	JURY TRIAL DAYS 551 458 83.1  NUMBER OF GRAND J  TOTAL NO. ON NO. IMPANELED  3 1 2  65 1,247 437  SESSIONS CONVENED JURORS IN SESSION  SERVICE STATISTIC	JURY   TRIAL   DAYS     551   458   83.1   93	JURY   TRIAL   DAYS   551   458   83.1   \$3   16.9	JURY   TRIAL   DAYS   551   458   83.1   93   16.9   1	JURY TRIAL DAYS   551   458   83.1   93   16.9   171	JURY   TRIAL   DAYS   551   458   83.1   93   16.9   171	JURY TRIAL DAYS   551   458   83.1   93   16.9   171   22.90	JURY   TRIAL   DAYS   551   458   83.1   93   16.9   171   22.90   13.

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS													
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION						
1984	112	30.4	3,528	40.7	3	74	451	6.09						
1985	119	22.7	3,269	34.0	4	76	451	5.93						
1986	135	26.7	4,451	38.2	3	67	471	7.03						
1987	101	17.8	3,618	42.6	3	56	382	6.82						
1988	125	21.6	3,916	33.3	3	65	437	6,72						

- The court's percentage of jurors not selected or challenged substantially improved during 1988 (down 9 percentage points) due to its extensive use of multiple voir dire.
- . The number of jury trials completed climbed 24 percent from 101 in 1987 to 125 in 1988.
- . One civil jury trial involving a contract dispute lasted 22 days.

	<u> </u>	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY T	RIAL ACT	TIV ITY)		JUDG	SHIPS .	4.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO.		IN	SERVING		ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECTE SERVING OF CHALLENGED	STATUS	SUBSEQUE	NT	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	4,215	2,109	606	777	726	244	1,86	2	\$ 223,015	1,162	53
P		100%	28.7 %	36.8 %	34.4 %	6		$\exists$	NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	38,	359
E											
/	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	тот	AL	AVG. NO. OF	AVG. N	
	TRIALS	51	25	49.0	26	51.0	JURI		JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	192	117	60.9	75	39.1		49	43.04	21.	95
ſ		Λ.	UMBER OF	GRAND .	IIIRIES						
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C	on n	NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOL BACK COVI	ıτ
G R	2		2	0	0		2				
A N D	52		967	288	18.6	5	54		ESTIMATE	D COSTS	S
<i>u</i>	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	IN HO	JRS IN	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. I	HOURS		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			SERV/CE	STATISTI	'CS			\$	51,996	1,000	54
				1110	TODICAL CO	1430 4010	040				

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS													
	JURY .	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE							
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION						
1984	53	45.3	1,659	15.8	5	61	353	5.79						
1985	42	47.6	2,473	39.0	. 3	41	270	6.59						
1986	31	45.2	1,428	39.7	4	47	273	5.81						
1987	49	40.8	2,256	21.7	4	37	218	5.89						
1988	51	51.0	2,109	34.4	2	52	288	5.54						

- . Several notorious or highly sensitive trials were reported during 1988 which explains, in part, why the percentage of jurors not selected or challenged rose nearly 13 percentage points.
- . Before one case came to trial, 170 prospective jurors were summoned to complete questionnaires.
- . The court still uses 12-member civil juries; this has a negative impact on juror usage statistics.
- . The court's magistrates presided over two misdemeanor trials.
- . Two jury trials lasted in excess of 20 days each.

	3 <i>PL</i>	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WIT	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	(אדו עוד		JUDG	ESHIPS .	2.0
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION		IN	SERVING O		ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT SERVING OF CHALLENGE	R STATUS	SUBSEQUEN	IT	TOTAL	PÉR TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	1,471	563	242	230	91	0	908		\$ 71,880	461	49
P		100%	43.0 %	40.9 %	16.2	%			NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR S CHALLENGED	4,	457
E T											
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTA	L	AVG. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	35	31	88.6	4	11.4	JURIE SELECT		PRESENT FOR SELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	156	144	92.3	12	7.7		33	17.06	9.	43
		Λ	UMBER OF	GRAND	IIIRIES						
		1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del>- T</del>			FOR NA	TIONAL PR	OFILE
_	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988		OPI	N FOLDOU BACK COV	IT
G R	2		1	1	1		1		<u> </u>		
A N D	19		359	147	18.9	7.	74		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
ט	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSI	in Ho	URS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS		TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
		ı						<u></u>			

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	32	31.3	549	23.0	1	19	146	7.68
1985	40	35.0	757	20.3	2	14	100	7.14
1986	32	28.1	595	14.5	2	12	77	6.42
1987	44	18.2	690	12.8	1	10	71	7.10
1988	35	11.4	563	16.2	2	19	147	7.74

- . Although few opportunities exist, the court uses multiple voir dire whenever possible. This, combined with the predominately civil trial calendar, accounts for the excellent (low) percentage of jurors not selected or challenged.
- . After one last minute plea change, costs in the amount of \$1,026 were assessed for 26 jurors.

<del></del> *				
•				
		V		

	8 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	(YTIVITY			JUDGL	SHIPS .	7.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO:	N	IN	SERVING	ON		ESTIMA	ED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS	SUBSEQUE	NT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	5,853	2,865	1,199	1,377	289	365	2,62	3	\$	459,217	1,070	78
p E		100%	41.8 %	48.1 %	6 10.1	%			SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR \$ ALLENGED	22,	502
Ţ		<b>T</b>		<b>.</b>			,		· <del>r · · · · · ·</del>		<del></del>	
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOT			G. NO. OF JURORS	AVG. N	
	TRIALS	133	107	80.5	26	19.5	SELEC			SENT FOR ELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	429	335	78.1	94	21.9		137		20.91	13.	64
ı	<del></del>		UMBER OF	GRAND	IIIRIES							
	TOTAL	NO. 0		NO.	NO.	NO.	ON				IONAL PR	
G	IN EXISTENCE	JULY 1,	1987 (MP	ANELED	DISCHARGED		1, 1988				N FOLDOL BACK COV	
R	4		1	3	1		3			<u> </u>		
N D	54	1	298	401	20.3	6.	27			ESTIMATE	D COSTS	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS	IN HO	URS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG.	HOURS		T	DTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
				STATISTI				\$		76,112	1,189	59

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS										
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE			
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	144	23.6	3,776	26.5	4	56	406	7.25		
1985	144	25.7	3,786	29.7	4	76	546	7.18		
1986	156	30.1	4,302	30.6	5	51	346	6.78		
1987	158	13.9	3,337	21.4	5	52	308	5.92		
1988	133	19.5	2,865	10.1	4	64	401	6.27		

- . With a high percentage of civil trials and the use of small panels of prospective jurors, the court recorded a low average number of jurors present for selection compared to the national average of 32.70.
- Only 10.1 percent of the prospective jurors who were present for selection were reported as not selected.

	3 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING (	COURT (M	//T	H JURY	TRI	IAL ACT	T/V	'/TY)				JUDG	ES	HIPS _	3.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTI	ON			IN		ERVING		7		ESTIMA	TE.		
į	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENG	ED	NOT SELECT SERVING O CHALLENGI	) R	TRAVEL	SI	JBSEQUE DAYS	NT			TOTAL		PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	3,522	1,952	1,072	64	1	239		29		1,541			\$	155,89	1	732	44
P		100%	54.9 %	32.8	%	12.2	%						SER	SELECTED, VING, OR : LLENGED		10,	601
E									_			<i>-</i>	1				
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%		CRIMINAL		%		TOTA				i. NO. OF URORS		AVG. N	
1	TRIALS	84	52	61.9		32	,	38.1		JURII SELEC		>	PRE	SENT FOR ECTION		PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	213	142	66.7		71		33.3		1	11	5		16.97		16.	54
ſ			UMBER O	F GRAND		URIFS		<del></del>									
														FOR NA	TIO	NAL PR	OFILE
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. PANELED	-	NO. DISCHARGED		JULY								FOLDOUT CK COVER	
G R	5		2	3		3			2								
A N	31		648	190		20.9		6.						STIMAT	ED	COSTS	,
D	SESSIONS	JURORS		OURS IN		AVG. JURORS		AVG.					то	TAL		PER	PER JUROR
	CONVENED	SESSI		ESSION		PER SESSION		PER SE							٥٥	SSION	DAY
			SERVIC	E STATIS	TIL	CS						\$		38,145		1,231	59
						·····											<del></del> 1
				HI	<i>'ST</i>	ORICAL C	ON	APARIS.	01	'S				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>		

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	54	40.7	1,063	21.1	2	23	130	5.65
1985	59	39.0	1,235	15.1	3	29	179	6.17
1986	44	36.4	1,155	15.1	5	26	164	6.31
1987	61	42.6	1,452	16.3	4	22	143	6.50
1988	84	38.1	1,952	12.2	5	31	190	6.13

- . The court's extensive use of multiple voir dire from small panels of prospective jurors resulted in a low percentage of unused jurors.
- . One bankruptcy trial before seven jurors was reported.
- . A total of \$1,287 in juror costs was assessed after two late settlements.

			FOR JURY	OURT (WIT			T		Г		ESHIPS TED COS	
	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECT	OR STATUS	SERVING SUBSEQ DAY	UENT		TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROI DAY
	3,529	1,451	868	575	8	90	1,9	88	\$	202,689	970	57
P E		100%	59.8 %	39.6 %	. 6	%			SER	SELECTED, VING, OR S ALLENGED	<b>.</b>	405
7		r					1	·		· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	, <del>,</del>	
r T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TAL		. NO. OF URORS	AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	69	40	58.0	29	42.0		RIES	PRE	SENT FOR LECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	IT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	209	116	55.5	93	44.5		89		16.30	16.	89
			UMBER OF	GRAND J	<i>URIES</i>			7				····
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. 0		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OPE	TIONAL PR N FOLDOU BACK COV	IT
3	7		4	3	2		5					
7	40		805	286	20.1	7.	15	7 [		ESTIMAT	ED COSTS	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. I	HOURS ESSION		то	TAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROF DAY
		<u> </u>	SERVICE									

	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUROR SERVICE				
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	65	43.1	1,538	3.2	3	37	231	6.24		
1985	82	37.8	1,658	1.6	5	37	241	6.51		
1986	56	58.9	1,284	3.1	5	33	214	6.48		
1987	72	40.3	1,654	1.6	5	38	263	6.92		
1988	69	42.0	1,451	.6	7	40	286	7.15		

- . There were no jury panels left unused because of last minute pleas or settlements.
- . Using the multiple voir dire method of selecting juries resulted in few unselected jurors in 1988.
- . Juror costs in the amount of \$1,561 were assessed after two last minute settlements.

IOT SELECTED

632

20.4 %

SERVING OR CHALLENGED IN TRAVEL STATUS

0

SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT DAYS

2,479

TOTAL

3,105

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS

5,584

Р

E

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COUR	r (WITH JIJRY	TRIAL ACTIVITY	/ )

CHALLENGED

1,171

37.7 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR SERVING

1,302

41.9 %

	JUDGES	SHIPS _	3.00					
ESTIMATED COSTS								
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY					
\$	226,908	883	41					
SE	T SELECTED, RVING, OR \$ HALLENGED	25,	641					

7						
1	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
'	TRIALS	99	25	25.3	74	74.7
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	257	87	33.9	170	66.1

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
110	28.23	21.73

	NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES									
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988					
	4	2	2	2	2					
٢										

60	1,250	401	20.8	6.68
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMATED COSTS								
	TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY					
\$	93,121	1,552	74					

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JUR	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	49	73.5	1,578	25.5	6	65	373	5.74
1985	76	67.1	2,483	26.5	5	61	428	7.02
1986	65	63.1	2,428	30.4	5	70	412	5.89
1987	79	72.2	2,681	23.6	4	48	334	6.96
1988	99	74.7	3,105	20.4	4	60	401	6,68

- . The use of multiple voir dire offset the negative impact of a predominately criminal trial calendar.
- . The court assessed \$1,060 in juror costs after late settlements.

TRAVEL.

STATUS

50

OT SELECTED

3,716

46.2 %

SERVING OR CHALLENGED SERVING ON

SUBSEQUENT DAYS

9,603

TOTAL

8,035

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE

JUROAS

17,688

P

E T

5_	PLACES	OF	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY	Y)

CHALLENGED

2,304

28.7 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

OR SERVING

2,015

25.1 %

	JUDGES	SHIPS _	9.00
Γ	ESTIMATE	D COST	S
	TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$	912,461	912	52
SE	T SELECTED, ERVING, OR \$	191,	617

. [	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	175	67	38.3	108	61.7
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	1,000	364	36.4	636	63.6

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
185	43.43	17.69

TOTAL	NO. ON	NO.	NO.	NO. ON
IN EXISTENCE	JULY 1, 1987	IMPANELED	DISCHARGED	JULY 1, 1988
12	۰	4	2	•

237	4,666	1,407	19.7	5.94
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

\$ 300,277	1,267	64
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
ESTIMAT	TED COSTS	3

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>					
l I	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JURG	PETIT JUROR SERVICE GRAND L				ROR SERVICE		
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION		
1984	173	61.3	6,610	41.3	14	282	1,704	6.04		
1985	172	61.0	7,251	39.9	15	272	1,638	6.02		
1986	190	54.7	6,530	30.7	17	253	1,511	5.97		
1987	210	52.4	8,084	37.5	14	206	1,292	6.27		
1988	175	61.7	8,035	46.2	12	237	1,407	5.94		

- A predominately criminal trial calendar, the frequent use of separate panels for each jury selection, and last minute trial continuances and cancellations resulted in a large percentage of unused jurors.
- . The court reported several highly publicized cases requiring extra jurors including USA vs. Lehder which required a 17-day jury selection.
- . A total of \$1,450 in juror costs was assessed after last minute settlements.
- Six jury trials lasted a minimum of 20 days each.

OT SELECTED

9,108

40.1 %

SERVING OR CHALLENGED

TRAVEL STATUS

0

SERVING ON SUBSEQUENT DAYS

17,066

\$

TOTAL

22,725

100%

TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS

39,791

E

6_	PLACES	0F	HOLDING	COURT	(WITH	JURY	TRIAL	ACTIVITY)	
----	--------	----	---------	-------	-------	------	-------	-----------	--

CHALLENGED

7,821

34.4 %

FOR JURY SELECTION

SELECTED

OR SERVING

5,796

25.5 %

JUDGESHIPS15.0				
ESTIMATE	ED COST	rs		
TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY		
1,624,264	885	41		

NOT SELECTED, SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED 371,957

Τ.						
/_	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
<b>'</b> [	TRIALS	445	81	18.2	364	81.8
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	1,835	417	22.7	1,418	77.3

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
471	48.25	21.68

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	JURIES	
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988
G R	35	23	12	13	22
A M [					

652	12,687	2,555	19.5	3.92
SESSIONS	JURORS IN	HOURS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. HOURS
CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	PER SESSION	PER SESSION

ESTIMA	TED COSTS	S
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
\$ 527,969	810	42

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	ARISONS			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	367	82.3	16,180	38.6	30	627	2,680	4.27
1985	364	75.8	16,605	40.8	38	637	2,723	4.27
1986	401	81.8	15,865	36.0	38	633	2,667	4.21
1987	454	76.7	20,316	36.3	41	694	2,901	4.18
1988	445	81.8	22,725	40.1	35	652	2,555	3.92

- . A heavy criminal docket and many unused panels due to late pleas and settlements resulted in a high percentage of not selected jurors.
- . The court reported several notorious cases set for trial; jury selection for the criminal trial of a motorcycle gang lasted 23 days.
- . Five jury trials lasted more than 20 days each.

159,630

	4 PL	ACES OF	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL AC	TIV	'ITY)			JUDG	GESF	HIPS .	11.00
	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTION	N	IN	SE	ERVING	ON		ESTIMA	ATEL	COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELECT SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS	sı	JBSEQU DAYS			TOTAL		PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	11,868	6,146	2,061	2,514	1,571	0		5,72	2	\$	490,35		605	41
P E		100%	33.5 %	40.9 %	25.6	%	<del> </del>			SEF	SELECTED, RVING, OR ALLENGED		64,	727
Τ										·				
/ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		тот	'AL		G. NO. OF JURORS		AVG. N	
,	TRIALS	204	130	63.7	74	36.3		JUR SELEC		PRE	SENT FOR		JURO PRESEN DAY OF	T FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	811	509	62.8	302	37.2			222		27.68		14.	63
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND .	<i>IURIES</i>						Г	<u>-</u>		
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. C		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	JULY NO.	. ON 1, 1					EN F	NAL PR FOLDOU K COVI	Т
G R A	11		6	5	2		9				<u></u>		······································	
N	·			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del></del>	[		ESTIM AT	FΠ	COSTS	,
D	137	2,	734	890	20.0	6.	50		-	*************	20,,,,,,,			PER
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO			AVG. JURORS PER SESSION					TO	DTAL		PER SSION	JUROR DAY

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>						
JURY TRIALS PETIT JUROR SERVICE GRAND JUROR SERVICE											
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION			
1984	178	22.5	4,830	28.2	12	137	838	6.12			
1985	205	32.7	6,287	31.6	12	148	984	6,65			
1986	232	35.3	6,287	23.1	12	132	852	6.45			
1987	192	34.4	5,537	25.0	12	117	730	6.24			
1988	204	36.3	6,146	25.6	11	137	890	6.50			

. Despite a predominately civil trial calendar, the court's percentage of challenged jurors was above the national average of 36 percent.

SERVICE STATISTICS

. One criminal jury trial lasted 25 days.

	6 PL	ACES OF .	HOLDING C	OURT (WI	TH JURY	TRIAL ACT	TIVITY,	'		JUDG	ESHIPS .	3.0
ſ	TOTAL		FOR JURY	SELECTIO		IN	SERVIN			ESTIMA	TED COS	
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC D SERVING ( CHALLENG	OR STATUS	SUBSEC DA'			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
-	2,810	1,470	682	509	279			340	\$	115,76	8 767	41
P	2,810	100%	46.4 %	34.6			,,		SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR IALLENGED	d•	, 461
E L T	L		1	L	<del> </del>				L			
/ /	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		OTAL		G. NO. OF	AVG. N	
<b>'</b>	TRIALS	47	37	78.7	10	21,3		JRIES ECTED	PRI	ESENT FOR ELECTION	PRESEN DAY OF	NT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	151	121	80.1	30	19.9		55		26.73	18.	.61
г		<del></del>						¬				
Ļ	<del></del>		UMBER OF	GRAND	JURIES					F00 NA		
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. O		NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			09	TIONAL PR EN FOLDOL BACK COV	JT
G R	5		3	2	2		3			L		···
4 <sup>-</sup> V [ 0	34		669	201	19.7	5.	O 1	7 [	<del>u,</del>	ESTIMAT	ED COST	s
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO	IN HO	URS IN	AVG. JURORS	AVG. I	HOURS		Т	DTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
L	······································		CEDILICE	STATIST	/CC			-   s	······································	52,547	1,546	79

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURG	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	48	37.5	1,434	17.1	4	25	143	5.72
1985	43	23.3	1,231	18.6	5	26	149	5.73
1986	47	34.0	1,389	16.4	5	22	125	5.68
1987	52	28.8	1,627	22.3	5	25	142	5.68
1988	47	21.3	1,470	19.0	5	34	201	5.91

- Despite many trial locations (six) which hinders juror pooling, panel sizes are small and multiple juries are selected whenever possible resulting in usage figures better than the national average.
- . The court selects 12 jurors to hear civil cases; this practice has a negative impact on juror usage statistics.

	TOTAL		FOR JURY		TH JURY N	IN	SERVING		Γ	ESTIMA	TED COS	TS
	AVAILABLE JURORS	TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGE	NOT SELEC	TED TRAVEL	SUBSEQUE			TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
	3,933	2,257	916	923	418	0	1.676		\$	184,334	861	47
P E		100%	40.6 %	40.9	_				SE	SELECTED, RVING, OR S ALLENGED	19	, 539
T /		TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	] [		T AV	G. NO. OF	AVG.	NO OF
T	JURY TRIALS	101	79	78.2	22	21.8	JURI SELEC	ES	PRE	JURORS SENT FOR ELECTION	PRESENT DAY OF	ORS NT FOR
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	214	148	69.2	66	30.8	1	13		19.97	18	. 38
		N	UMBER OF	GRAND .	IURIES							
_	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. 0	N	NO. ANELED	NO. DISCHARGED		ON 1, 1988			OPE	IONAL PR N FOLDOL BACK COV	JT
G R A	3		1	2	2		1				······································	
N D	30		653	192	21.8	6.	40			ESTIMAT	ED COST.	S
	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS SESSIO		URS IN SSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION				Τί	DTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY
			CEPVICE	STATISTI	CS			\$		70,089	2.336	107

			HISTORI	CAL COMP	<i>ARISONS</i>			
	JURY	TRIALS	PETIT JUR	OR SERVICE		GRAND JURE	OR SERVICE	
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	101	21.8	2,239	20.8	4	56	322	5.75
1985	75	26.7	1,985	24.8	4	43	273	6.35
1986	73	30.1	1,679	14.2	4	30	213	7.10
1987	112	31.3	2,379	14.5	3	31	217	7.00
1988	101	21.8	2,257	18.5	3	30	192	6.40

- . A typical multiple jury selection in this district involves a panel of 55 jurors for selection of 5 juries.
- . The percentage of not selected jurors is low compared to the national average of 33.7 percent.
- . A larger than average panel of 61 jurors was called for the selection of one criminal jury; selected jurors were sequestered during the first part of the 11-day trial.
- . Two civil cases which had received a great amount of pretrial publicity required larger than average panels of prospective jurors for jury selection.

# APPENDIX A Glossary of Terms

Box Method of Selecting Jurors

Six or twelve jurors from the panel of prospective jurors are placed in the jury box. The plaintiff is given the opportunity to exercise his/her challenges and then the defendant exercises his/her challenges. When a challenge is exercised that juror is immediately replaced.

Challenge for Cause

An objection by a party to the impaneling of a prospective juror, for which some disqualifying cause or reason is alleged.

**Empanelment Day** 

The day when juries are chosen for trials before all judges of a court.

Grand Jury

The grand jury is made up of 16 to 23 persons. The grand jury hears evidence of criminal activity presented by the prosecution and determines whether the government's evidence is sufficient to justify the bringing of formal criminal charges. A regular grand jury can hold sessions for as long as 18 months, while a special grand jury can be extended for another 18 months.

#### Indictment

An accusation in writing presented by a grand jury to the court in which it is impaneled charging that the defendants named therein have committed a criminal offense punishable by law.

Jury Panel

A group of prospective jurors chosen from the larger jury pool for the voir dire examination in a case. Jurors not selected to serve in that case return to the jury pool.

Jury Pool

A large group of prospective jurors available for jury panels. The initial pool size is the number of jurors summoned and reporting at the beginning of a jury term.

#### Local Rules

Certain rules or orders of each district court for the purpose of regulating the practice in actions before them.

### Multiple Voir Dire

The simultaneous examination and selection of two or more juries by one judge.

# **Notorious Case**

Cases which receive extensive publicity prior to or during trial. Often in such cases the judge requires the jurors to be sequestered for the duration of the trial.

### Petit Jury

Persons selected according to law, impaneled and sworn in a district court to determine questions of fact, in any civil or criminal action, through hearing the evidence presented at trial.

Peremptory Challenge

A challenge to a juror without cause; a limited number of peremptory challenges is allowed each side in any case.

#### Plea

In a criminal proceeding the defendant's declaration, in open court, that he is guilty or not guilty of the charges made against him in the indictment or information.

# Preliminary Examination (or Preliminary Hearing)

A hearing to determine whether or not probable cause exists to believe that an offense has been committed and that the defendant committed it.

#### Pre-Trial Conference

An informal conference between opposing counsel, with the judge as moderator, to clarify and narrow issues or to agree to settlement.

# Sequestered Jury

The trial judge may decide to remove jurors from all outside contact and isolate them from possible prejudicial trial publicity in order to remove the potential for prejudice to a party. Sequestration may take place at any point in the proceedings (often only during deliberation); although in notorious cases sequestration generally is from impanelment to verdict.

#### Settlement

In civil cases, an agreement of the parties to compromise a lawsuit, thus concluding it without the necessity of a trial.

### Staggered Trial Starts

In a multiple judge court the starting time of voir dire for each judge is staggered to avoid simultaneous voir dires and limit the number of jurors who must be summoned. The jurors not selected to serve in the first case can then be used in a second or third voir dire on the same day.

## Strike Method of Selecting Jurors

All prospective jurors are sworn and examined with challenges allowed during the examination. The parties submit their challenges one by one.

### Summary Jury Trials

One day jury trials with non-binding verdicts used to promote settlement of civil cases.

## Voir Dire

The examination made of prospective jurors in court to determine their qualifications to serve in a particular case, including questions of competence and bias. Its purposes are (1) to determine their qualifications to serve in a particular case, including questions of competence and bias, and (2) to elicit information about the jurors which is needed by the parties and their attorneys for the informal exercise of peremptory challenges.

#### APPENDIX B

# LIST OF MOST RECENT LOCAL RULES SETTING THE SIZE OF JURIES ADOPTED BY U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

# District of Columbia (Rule 114 (a))

"In all civil cases tried in this Court the jury shall consist of six (6) members, except in cases of eminent domain where the jury shall consist of five (5) members."

### FIRST CIRCUIT

#### Maine (Rule 24 (a)(2))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

#### Massachusetts (Rule 23)

"In all cases, the jury shall consist of six (6) persons, unless before a verdict is returned the parties or their respective counsel stipulate in writing or on the record with the approval of the court that a verdict may be returned by a jury of fewer or more than six (6) persons."

#### New Hampshire (Rule 31 (a))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

## Rhode Island (Rule 15 (a))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members. The jury in a criminal case shall consist of twelve members, except as provided in Rule 23 (b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure."

#### Puerto Rico (Rule 321)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

#### SECOND CIRCUIT

## Connecticut Rule 12 (a))

"The jury shall consist of six members in the trial of all civil cases."

## New York, Northern (Rule 45)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

### New York, Eastern (Rule 22 (a))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons unless the court orders otherwise."

### New York, Southern (Rule 22 (a))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons unless the court orders otherwise."

#### New York, Western (Rule 22A)

"Unless otherwise ordered, the jury in a civil case shall consist of six (6) persons. One or more alternate jurors, in the Court's discretion, shall be impaneled to sit with the regular jury. Challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

#### Vermont (Rule 3)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. In protracted litigation one or more alternates shall be impaneled who shall serve in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

#### THIRD CIRCUIT

## Delaware (Rule 5.5C)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members except that the parties may stipulate that the jury in any such cases shall consist of any number less than six."

## New Jersey (Rule 20F)

"In all civil jury actions, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law, the jury shall consist of six members."

# Pennsylvania, Eastern (Rule 34(b))

(1)"Except as provided in subparagraph (2) below, juries in civil cases consist, initially, of eight members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six jurors remain in service. If the number of jurors falls below six, a mistrial shall be declared upon prompt application therefor by any party then on the record."

(2)"Whenever it appears likely that the trial will be unusually protracted, or whenever the Court in its discretion determines that the interests of justice so require, the jury may be enlarged to include as many as twelve (12) members, and any number of alternates may be used, as the court may determine; but not more than twelve (12) persons shall participate in the deliberations of the jury, nor may any verdict be rendered by a jury consisting of more than twelve (12) persons or fewer than six (6) persons."

#### Pennsylvania, Middle (Rule 511.1)

"Juries in all civil cases shall consist, initially, of eight (8) members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six (6) jurors remain in service. If the number of jurors falls below six (6), a mistrial shall be declared upon prompt application therefor by any party then on the record unless the parties stipulate that the number of jurors may fall below six (6)."

## Pennsylvania, Western (Rule 21C)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members."

#### Virgin Islands (Rule 19)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members."

### FOURTH CIRCUIT

# Maryland (Rule 19)

"In all civil cases in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may deem necessary."

# North Carolina, Middle (Rule 7(a))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

#### South Carolina (Order 3/14/78)

"In all civil cases tried in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, the issues may be submitted to juries of six (6) or twelve (12) jurors, at the discretion of the presiding Judge."

Virginia .Eastern (Rule 20B)

"Unless otherwise provided by law, the jury in any civil case shall consist of six."

West Virginia. Northern (Rule 1.10(e))

"In all civil cases in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may determine necessary."

West Virginia, Southern (Rule 3.01(e))

"In all civil cases in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may determine necessary."

#### FIFTH CIRCUIT

Louisiana, Eastern (Rule 14.1(b))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Louisiana, Middle (Rule 16A)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Louisiana, Western (Rule 15)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of not less than six (6) members."

Mississippi, Northern/Southern (Rule 4 (b))

"The jury shall consist of either six or twelve members in the discretion of the Judge or Magistrate presiding over the trial in a particular civil case. In its discretion, the Court may impanel one or more alternate jurors."

Texas. Northern (Rule 8.2)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, at the discretion of the presiding Judge, the jury may consist of six or twelve members. Peremptory challenges shall be allowed for jurors and alternate jurors as provided in Section 1870 of Title 28, United States Code and Rule 47(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

Texas. Eastern (Rule 9(a))

"In all civil actions, except as may be otherwise required by law, the jury shall consist of six members; however, it shall be optional with the presiding Judge to require a twelve-member civil jury trial rather than a six member jury."

Texas, Southern (Rule 16)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Texas. Western (Rule 500-1)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

#### SIXTH CIRCUIT

Kentucky, Eastern (Rule 12(a))

"Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, in all civil jury cases in this district, the jury shall consist of six (6) members plus such alternates as the Court may deem proper under the circumstances of the case."

### Kentucky, Western (Rule 21(a)(2))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

### Michigan, Eastern Rule 25(c))

"In all cases, the jury shall consist of six persons, unless before a verdict is returned the parties stipulate in writing with the approval of the Court that a verdict may be returned by a jury of fewer or more than six persons."

### Michigan, Western (Rule 34(a))

"In all criminal jury cases the jury shall consist of twelve members. In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six (6) members or such numbers as determined by the Judge with the concurrence of all counsel. The Court may make appropriate provisions for alternate jurors pursuant to Rule 24(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

### Ohio, Northern (Civ. Rule 16)

"In all civil trials, juries shall consist of six members."

### Ohio, Southern (Rule 5.3)

"Unless otherwise ordered, a jury for the trial of civil actions shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

### Tennessee, Eastern (Rule 17A)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law, the jury shall consist of not less six (6) members."

#### Tennessee, Western (Rule 18)

"Juries in civil cases shall consist, initially, of seven (7) members. If the Court deems it advisable, more jurors may be impaneled. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six (6) jurors remain in service."

## SEVENTH CIRCUIT

### Illinois, Northern (Rule 23)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

#### Illinois, Southern (Rule 19)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

### Illinois, Central (Rule 19)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

#### Indiana, Northern (Rule 25)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six (6) members, unless otherwise provided by law, plus alternate jurors, if any, as the trial judge shall designate."

### Indiana, Southern (Rule 24)

"In all civil cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

#### Wisconsin, Eastern (Rule 8)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

Wisconsin, Western (Order 8/28/73)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

#### EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Arkansas, Eastern/Western (Rule 28)

"In any civil case, when demand for a jury has been made as provided in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 38, the court may, on its own initiative, call a six member jury to decide the issues in such cases."

Iowa, Northern/Southern (Rule 1.6.2)

"To better serve the interests of judicial economy and to avoid the calling of alternates in all civil jury cases the parties shall be bound by the verdict of not less than six jurors."

Minnesota (Rule 8B)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members."

Missouri, Eastern (Rule 16B(1))

"A jury for each trial of a civil case shall consist of six persons, plus alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Missouri, Western (Rule 14C)

"Unless otherwise specially ordered by the Court in a designated civil action or consolidated actions, the juries shall consist of six members in all civil cases, including but not limited to complex cases."

Nebraska (Rule 26L)

"Unless otherwise ordered, in all civil cases the juries shall consist of six members."

North Dakota (Rule 8(c)(1))

"In all jury cases, including condemnation cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of 12 persons, or at the discretion of the presiding Judge, it shall consist of 6 persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

South Dakota (Rule 14(a))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six persons."

#### MINTH CIRCUIT

Alaska (Rule 14A)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Arizona (Rule 45)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

California, Northern (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

California, Eastern (Rule 162(a))

"In all instances in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of a cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members plus such alternates as may be impaneled."

California, Central (Rule 13.1)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members."

California, Southern (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

Hawaii (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

Idaho (Rule 9-103)

"The jury in a civil case at law, or in a non-criminal case in which a right to trial by jury is otherwise granted by statute, shall consist of six jurors unless the parties stipulate to a lesser number."

Montana (Rule 245-4(a))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternates as may be impaneled."

Nevada (Rule 195)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of not less than 6 persons, plus such additional jurors as the court may impanel. The court, in its discretion, may treat the additional jurors as alternate jurors or regular jurors, and may submit the case for a decision to all jurors or may excuse the alternate jurors and submit the case for a decision to the remaining 6 regular jurors. If the case is submitted for a decision to a jury, whether it consists of 6 persons or more than 6 persons, a unanimous decision of all jurors must be reached. If one or more of the jurors becomes ill or otherwise disabled from further service during the trial or deliberations, such jurors(s) may be excused by the court, and the unanimous decision of the remaining jurors shall be accepted provided that the number of jurors is not fewer than six.

Oregon (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

Washington, Eastern (Rule 17(a))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six jurors."

Washington, Western (Civ. Rule 38(f))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six jurors plus such alternate jurors that may be impaneled."

Guam (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

Northern Marina Islands (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

#### TENTH CIRCUIT

### Colorado (Rule 7(c))

"Except as is otherwise expressly provided by law, in all civil cases the jury shall consist of six members unless the parties stipulate to a lesser number."

#### Kansas (Rule 23)

"In all civil cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of at least six members."

### New Mexico (Rule 23)

"The jury shall consist of six members in all civil jury cases. The number of alternate members will be at the discretion of the Court."

### Oklahoma, Northern (Rule 11(c))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

### Oklahoma, Eastern (Rule 11(c))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

### Oklahoma, Western (Rule 11(c))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

### Utah (Rule 11(e))

"Upon stipulation and with the approval of the court, a case may be tried by a jury of less than twelve."

### Wyoming (Rule 401(a))

"Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, in all civil cases the jury shall consist of six members unless the parties stipulate to a lesser number."

#### **ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

#### Alabama, Northern (Rule 4)

"Except as otherwise directed by a Judge of the Court, the jury in all civil jury cases shall consist of six members. This rule does not preclude the impaneling of alternate jurors under Rule 47(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, nor does it preclude the parties, with the consent of a Judge of the Court, from entering additional stipulations with respect to such jury under Rule 48, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

#### Alabama, Middle (Rule 15)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

### Alabama, Southern (Rule 11)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

#### Florida, Northern (Rule 17)

"In all civil cases tried by jury, the jury shall consist of six persons plus such number of alternate jurors, if any, as may be directed by the Court to be called and impaneled to sit as alternate jurors."

Florida, Middle (Rule 5.01(a))

"In all civil cases tried by jury, the jury shall consist of six persons plus such number of alternate jurors, if any, as the Court may specify."

### Florida, Southern (Rule 15A)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

### Georgia, Northern (Rule 255-1)

"All civil actions shall be tried to a jury of six members and challenges shall be in accordance with Title 28 U.S.C. 1870."

### Georgia, Southern (Rule 9.2)

"All civil actions shall be tried to a jury of six members and challenges shall be in accordance with Title 28 U.S.C. 1870, unless all parties join in a written demand that the case be tried to a jury of twelve members. Such demand shall be filed on or before the time of the pre-trial conference. All criminal cases shall be tried before a jury of twelve members unless waived, in accordance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Challenges shall be in accordance with Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure."

# APPENDIX C LOCAL RULES ASSESSING JUROR COSTS FOR LATE SETTLEMENTS

#### FIRST CIRCUIT

#### Massachusetts (Rule 21(c))

"The court may condition a continuance upon the payment of expenses caused to the other parties and of jury fees incurred by the court."

#### Puerto Rico (Rule 323)

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then except for good cause shown, jury costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage, and per diem, may be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Clerk is notified before twelve noon of the last business day preceding the time when the action is scheduled for trial in time to advise the jurors that it will not be necessary for them to attend. Likewise, when any civil action is settled at trial in advance of the verdict, then except for good cause shown, jury costs, including Marshal fees, mileage, and per diem, may be assessed equally against the parties or their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court."

#### SECOND CIRCUIT

#### New York Eastern/Southern (Rule 22 (b))

"All counsel in civil cases must seriously discuss the possibility of settlement a reasonable time prior to trial. The trial judge may, in his discretion, assess the parties or counsel with the cost of one day's attendance of the jurors if a case is settled after the jury has been summoned or during trial, the amount to be paid the Clerk of Court. For the purpose of interpreting this paragraph, a civil jury is considered summoned for a trial as of noon the business day prior to the designated date of trial."

### Vermont (Rule 8)

"The expense to the United States of bringing jurors to the courthouse for trial may be assessed in the court's discretion to one or more of the parties when the case is settled or otherwise disposed of after the jury has reported for duty if it appears to the court after hearing duly scheduled for that purpose that such settlement or other disposition would have been reasonably possible at an earlier date."

#### THIRD CIRCUIT

#### Delaware (Rule 5.5 (D))

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, juror costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage and per diem, shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Clerk's Office is notified at least three full business days prior to the day on which the action is scheduled for trial."

#### New Jersey (Rule 20 G)

"All counsel in civil cases must seriously discuss the possibility of settlement a reasonable time prior to trial. The trial judge may, in his or her discretion, assess any party or attorney with the costs of jury attendance if a case is settled after the jury has been summoned or during the trial, the amount to be paid to the Clerk. For the purpose

of interpreting this paragraph, a jury is considered summoned for trial as of noon of the business day prior to the designated date of the trial."

### Pennsylvania, Middle (Rule 119.2)

"Whenever the court finds that any party or lawyer in any case before the court has acted in bad faith, or has failed to exercise reasonable diligence in effecting the settlement of such case at the earliest practicable time, the court may impose upon any such party or lawyer the jury costs, including mileage and per diem, resulting therefrom. The court may, in its discretion, hold a hearing to inquire into the facts with respect thereto."

#### FOURTH CIRCUIT

#### Maryland (Rule 32 B)

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is required to be postponed, or settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, all juror costs shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Court and the Clerk's Office are notified at least one full business day prior to the day on which the action is scheduled for trial in time to advise the jurors it will not be necessary for them to attend."

### North Carolina, Eastern (Rule 16.01)

"Whenever a civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, juror costs for one day will be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel or otherwise assessed or relieved as directed by the Court."

#### South Carolina (Order 1/5/83)

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, all jurors costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage and per diem, may be assessed equally against the parties or otherwise assessed as determined by the Court, unless the Clerk's Office is notified at least one full business day prior to the day on which the action is scheduled for trial or in sufficient time to notify jurors that their presence will not be required."

### Virginia, Eastern (Rule 20 (c))

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, juror costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage and per diem, shall be assessed equally against the parties and their Counsel or otherwise assessed as determined by the Court, unless the Clerk's Office is notified at least one full business day prior to the day on which the action is scheduled for trial in time to advise the jurors that it will not be necessary for them to attend."

### West Virginia, Northern (Rule 1.07)

"Whenever the Court finds that any party or lawyer in any case before the Court has acted in bad faith, or has failed to exercise reasonable diligence in effecting the settlement of such case at the earliest practicable time, the Court may impose upon any such party or lawyer the jury costs, including mileage and per diem, resulting therefrom. The court may, in its discretion, hold a hearing to inquire into the facts with respect thereto."

### West, Virginia, Southern (Rule 2.10)

"To avoid assessment of fees and allowances of jurors against either or both parties plaintiff and defendant and their counsel, the parties are to advise the Court of any settlement not later than 3:00 p.m. of the last day not a Saturday, Sunday or holiday prior to the trial date."

#### FIFTH CIRCUIT

### Louisiana, Eastern (Rule 9.3)

"When notice is not given, or when a case is settled within the 24 hour period prior to trial, or after the trial has commenced, and the Court is not aware of circumstances that indicate that this development was reasonable, it shall afford counsel an opportunity to show that the failure to give notice of settlement, or the failure to agree on a settlement at an earlier time, as the case may be, was not the result of captious tactics, did not constitute merely the acceptance of an offer earlier refused as part of a calculated tactic of delay in reaching a settlement in order to attempt to obtain further advantages in disregard of the interests of others, or did not result from some other cause amounting to interference with the orderly conduct of judicial business. If counsel fails to make this showing, the court may assess jury costs, including attendance fees, Marshal's costs, mileage and per diem, against the party or counsel deemed responsible, or against the parties or counsel equally if the fault is mutual."

### Louisiana, Middle (Rule 16A (6))

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, all juror costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Clerk's Office is notified at least one full business day prior to the day on which the action is scheduled for trial in time to advise the jurors it will not be necessary for them to attend."

#### Louisiana, Western (Rule 5 (g))

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, juror costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Clerk's Office is notified at least one full business day prior to the day on which the action is scheduled for trial in time to advise the jurors it will not be necessary for them to attend."

#### Mississippi, Northern/Southern (Rule 4 (c))

"Whenever a civil action scheduled for jury trial is required to be postponed or is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then jury costs, including mileage and per diem, may in the Court's discretion, be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Court is notified at least one full business day prior to the day on which the action is scheduled for trial so that the jurors can be notified that it will not be necessary for then to attend."

"Whenever a civil action is postponed, settled or otherwise disposed of after the case is called and prior to the verdict of the jury, the Court may assess jury costs, as described in subparagraph (1), equally against the parties and their counsel, or against the party responsible for the postponement or late settlement."

### Texas, Southern (Rule 7)

"Upon settlement of any case which is set for trial, it shall be the duty of counsel for the parties to notify the Clerk of the Court forthwith, so that the unnecessary attendance of jurors and court personnel may be avoided. The failure to comply herewith may subject the offending party to additional costs."

#### SIXTH CIRCUIT

### Kentucky, Eastern/Western (Rule 10)

"When a civil or criminal action is settled by the parties, counsel for the parties shall notify the Clerk. Failure to give prompt notice may be grounds for assessing any costs of jury usage against one or more of the parties or their counsel."

### Michigan, Eastern (Rule 23 (b))

"If a civil case is set for trial and the Court continues the action at request of a party, the Court may, in its discretion, require the payment of one day's fees for jurors called, and such other costs as may be appropriate, by the party responsible."

### Michigan, Western (Rule 47 (b))

"Unless the Court is notified by 1:00 p.m. on the last full business day prior to the date the trial is scheduled that a settlement has been reached, all costs incurred in having jurors report for service in connection with the case shall be assessed by the Court equally between the parties or against one of the parties if it appears that that party was responsible for failure to give the required notice to the Court. The Court may, in its discretion, grant relief from this provision for good cause shown."

### Tennessee, Eastern (Order 10/4/78)

"It is ordered that whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, except for good cause shown, juror costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage and per diem, shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Clerk's Office is notified in time to advise the jurors that it will not be necessary for them to attend."

#### Tennessee, Western (Rule 6 (b))

"Unless the Clerk's Office is notified by 1:00 p.m. on the last full business day prior to the date the trial is scheduled that a settlement has been reached, all costs incurred in having jurors report for service in connection with the case shall be assessed by the Court equally between the parties or against one of the parties if it appears that that party was responsible for failure to give the required notice to the Clerk. The Clerk or Deputy Clerk receiving notice of a settlement orally or in writing shall immediately record on the docket sheet that notice of settlement was received, the date and time received and initial the entry. The Court may, in its discretion, grant relief from this provision for good cause shown."

#### SEVENTH CIRCUIT

#### Illinois, Northern (Rule 11)

"If for any reason attributable to counsel or parties, including settlement, the Court is unable to commence a jury trial scheduled where a panel of prospective jurors have reported for the voir dire, the court may assess against counsel or parties responsible all or part of the cost of the panel but in any event not to exceed twenty-five dollars for each prospective juror reporting for service. Any monies collected as a result of said assessment shall be paid to the Clerk for transmittal to the Treasury of the United States."

#### Illinois, Central (Rule 24)

"If for any reason attributable to counsel or parties, including settlement, the Court is unable to commence a jury trial as scheduled where a panel of prospective jurors has reported for the voir dire, or a selected jury reports to try the case, the Court may assess against the counsel or parties responsible for the Court's inability to proceed, all or part of the costs of the panel, including, but not limited to mileage, attendance fees, and per diem for each juror reporting for service.

Any monies collected as a result of assessment shall be paid to the Clerk for transmittal to the Treasury of the United States."

### Indiana. Northern (Rule 30)

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, all juror costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage and per diem, shall be assessed equally against the parties and/or their counsel, or otherwise assessed as determined by the Court, unless the Clerk's Office is notified at least one full business day prior to the day on which the action is scheduled for trial in time to advise the jurors it will not be necessary for them to attend."

#### EIGHTH CIRCUIT

#### Arkansas, Eastern/Western (Rules 16(d); 17)

16(d) "The Court may condition a continuance upon the payment of expenses caused to the other parties and of jury fees incurred by the Court."

17 "When a case is settled out of court, it shall be the duty of counsel to so inform the Clerk and the Court as soon as practicable. In a civil case the Court may require counsel who violate this rule to pay the per diem of all jurors who attend court unnecessarily because of counsel's delay in notifying the Clerk of the settlement."

### Iowa, Northern/Southern (Rule 2.5)

"The Court may impose a deadline for settlement upon the parties. If a settlement is reached without prior approval of the Court after the deadline, the Court may, after giving the parties and their counsel an opportunity to be heard, assess costs incurred by the failure to settle the case prior to the deadline or other appropriate sanction against one or more of the parties and/or their counsel for violation of the settlement deadline."

### Missouri, Eastern (Rule 36)

"If for any reason attributable to counsel or parties, including settlement, the Court is unable to commence a jury trial as scheduled where a panel of prospective jurors have reported for the voir dire, the Court may assess against counsel or parties responsible all or part of the cost of the panel for each prospective juror reporting for service. Any monies collected as a result of said assessment shall be paid to the Clerk for transmittal to the Treasury of the United States."

#### Nebraska (Rule 28 J.)

"To avoid the unnecessary attendance of jurors, if any civil action scheduled for trial is settled or otherwise disposed of by the parties in advance of the actual trial, the Clerk shall be notified of that fact at least one full business day prior to the date scheduled for trial; and if such notice is not given within said time, the Court may assess all juror costs and fees equally against parties and their counsel, or otherwise, as the Court may determine."

#### NINTH CIRCUIT

#### Alaska (Rule 4F (2))

"Where application is made for the continuance of the pre-trial or trial of a case, such application, unless otherwise permitted, shall be made to the Court at least 15 days before the day set for the pretrial or trial. Such application must be supported by affidavit setting forth all reasons for such continuance, and sworn by the applicant. If such case is not tried or pre-tried upon the day set, the Court may, in addition to the imposition of such terms as it may see fit, require the payment of jury fees and other costs by the party upon whose request the continuance is ordered."

### Arizona (Rule 43 (b),(c))

"(b) After a case is set for pre-trial or trial, it shall not be continued except as justice requires, and the Court may condition the continuance upon compliance with orders, including the payment of the expenses caused to the other parties and of jury fees incurred the Court. A case may also be dismissed for want of prosecution if no showing is made that justice requires a continuance."

(c)"In the case of a civil jury trial, where notice is not given in writing to the Clerk three days before the trial is to begin that the case has been selected or otherwise disposed of, the Court may require the payment of one (1) day's jury fees by the party or parties responsible for the failure to give notice."

### California, Eastern (Rule 284 (b))

"If for any reason attributable to counsel or parties, including settlement, the Court is unable to commence a jury trial as scheduled where a panel of prospective jurors have reported for voir dire, the Court may assess against the counsel or parties responsible all or part of the cost of the panel."

### California, Central (Rules 11.2, 11.3)

- 11.2 "Counsel shall inform the Court Clerk immediately by telephone or other expeditious means when a case set for trial or other proceeding has been settled out of Court."
- 11.3 "Failure to comply with the provisions of Local Rules 11.1 and 11.2 may subject counsel to the following sanctions:
  - (a) Payment of costs and attorney's fees of an opposing party;
  - (b) Payment of one day's jury fees of the panel if one has been called for the trial; and
  - (c) Such other sanctions as may seem proper to the Court under the circumstances."

### California, Southern (Rules 245-4, 420-1, 420-2)

245-4 "If for any reason attributable to counsel or parties, including settlement, the Court is unable to commence a jury trial as scheduled where a panel of prospective jurors have reported for voir dire, the Court may assess against the counsel or parties responsible all or part of the cost of the panel."

420-1 "Except where there has been filed with the Court a written waiver of jury trial or on a showing of good cause, no plea of guilty to a lesser offense, a superseding information, or less than all counts contained in the indictment, shall be accepted on the day of trial unless the Court has been advised of the defendant's desire to enter a plea of guilty at least two days prior to the day of trial."

420-2 "Failure of counsel to comply with this rule may result in the assessment of jury costs to the offending party or his attorney."

### Idaho (Rule 9-101)

"When a civil action has been settled or otherwise disposed of in or out of Court, it is the duty of counsel to inform the Clerk immediately. Costs may be assessed against counsel for failure to do so. In the event that a failure to give notice hereunder results in the reporting of prospective jurors for service in the case, costs may include one day's fees for prospective jurors so reporting."

#### Montana (Rule 245-8)

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, all jury costs, Marshal's fees, mileage, and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Clerk is notified before twelve noon of the last business day preceding the time when the action is scheduled for trial, in time to advise the jurors it will not be necessary for them to attend. Likewise, when any civil action proceeding as a jury trial, is settled at trial in advance of the verdict, then, except for good cause shown, all jury costs, Marshal's fees, mileage, and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court."

### Oregon (Rule 245-4)

"If a trial is scheduled to commence with a jury is settled, the parties will immediately inform the Clerk who will thereupon cancel the jury. If the Court finds the parties failed to so inform the clerk prior to the end of the business day preceding the day the trial is to commence and the parties had the opportunity to do so, the Court may assess one or more of the parties the expense of summoning the jurors."

### Washington, Western (Rule 39 (d))

"Where cases set for trial by jury are settled or agreed to be tried without a jury, notice of such agreement shall be filed in the Clerk's Office at least three (3) days before the day on which the case is set; otherwise jury expenses incurred by the Government, if any, shall be paid to the Clerk by the parties agreeing to such settlement or waiver. And where a continuance of such a case shall be applied for by one side, and resisted by the other, and granted by the Court, the payment of jury expenses incurred by the Government, if any, shall be paid by the party applying for the continuance unless such continuance be granted as a matter of right and was not due to any fault of the moving party."

#### TENTH CIRCUIT

#### Colorado (Rule 502)

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, jury costs, including Marshal's fees, mileage, and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Clerk is notified of the settlement before twelve noon of the last business day preceding the time when the action is scheduled for trial, in time to advise the jurors it will not be necessary for them to attend. Likewise, when any civil action proceeding as a jury trial, is settled at trial in advance of the verdict, then, except for good cause shown, all jury costs, Marshal's fees, mileage, and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court."

### Kansas (Rule 6 (c))

"In the case of a civil jury trial where notice is not given to the Clerk's Office prior to closing time on the regular business day before the trial is to begin that the case has been settled or otherwise disposed of, the Court may require the payment of one day's jury fees by the party or parties responsible for the failure to give notice."

### Oklahoma, Eastern/Northern (Rule 12 (d))

"Settlement or other disposition of a case other than by trial must be given to the Clerk of the Court in writing no less than three (3) full days prior to the jury trial setting. Upon failure to give the required notice, the Clerk will assess jury costs against the parties and counsel, not to exceed thirty dollars (\$30.00) for each prospective juror reporting for service. Any monies collected as a result of said assessment shall be paid to the Clerk for transmittal to the Treasury of the United States."

### New Mexico (Rule 15 (e))

"Whenever any civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, all jury costs, Marshal's fees, mileage, and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court, unless the Clerk is notified before twelve noon of the last business day preceding the time when the action is scheduled for trial, in time to advise the jurors it will not be necessary for them to attend. Likewise, when any civil action proceeding as a jury trial, is settled at trial in advance of the verdict, then, except for good cause shown, all jury costs, Marshal's fees, mileage, and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court."

### Wyoming (Rule 416 (g))

"If any civil action is settled by the parties during trial, or just prior to trial, the Court may assess all juror costs and fees equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise, as the Court may determine."

#### **ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

### Alabama, Middle (Rule 22)

"Whenever a civil case that has been set for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of, counsel shall so inform the Clerk's Office at least one full business day prior to the day the jury is scheduled to be selected or the trial is scheduled to commence, in order that the jurors may be notified not to attend. If such notice is not given to the Clerk's Office, then except for good cause shown, juror costs, including attendance fees, Marshal's costs, mileage, and per diem, shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court."

#### Alabama, Southern (Rule 14)

"If the court is notified of the settlement of a civil jury case later than 12:00 o'clock noon the last business day prior to the first day of jury selection, juror costs may be assessed as directed by the Court. The total assessment will be \$750.00, unless a special venire has been summoned for the case, in which case the amount assessed will be the actual cost (including fees, mileage and per diem) incurred in bringing in the venire."

#### Florida, Northern (Rule 8)

"It shall be the duty of all counsel to notify the Court immediately upon settlement of any case. In jury cases, if such notice is not given to the Clerk's Office at least one full business day prior to the day the jurors are scheduled to be selected, then, except for good cause shown, juror costs including attendance fees, Marshal's costs, mileage and per diem shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court."

### Florida, Southern (Rule 15 (B))

"Whenever a civil case that has been set for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of, counsel shall so inform the office of the Judge in whose division the case is pending at least one full business day prior to the day the jury is scheduled to be selected or the trial is scheduled to commence, in order that the jurors may be notified not to attend. If such notice is not given to the Clerk's Office, then except for good cause shown, juror costs, including attendance fees, Marshal's costs, mileage, and per diem, may be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel, or otherwise assessed as directed by the Court."

Georgia, Northern (Rule 250-2 (a), (b))

- (a) "Whenever a civil action scheduled for jury trial is settled or otherwise disposed of in advance of the actual trial, then, except for good cause shown, juror costs for one day shall be assessed equally against the parties and their counsel or otherwise assessed or relieved as directed by the Court. Juror costs include attendance fees, per diem, mileage, and parking. No juror costs will be assessed if notice of settlement or other disposition of the case is given to both the courtroom deputy of the Judge to whom the case is assigned and to the Jury Section of the Clerk's Office one full business day prior to the scheduled trial date."
- (b) "Except upon a showing of good cause, the Court will assess the juror costs equally against the parties and their counsel whenever a civil action proceeding as a jury trial is settled at trial in advance of the verdict. The Judge may, in his discretion, direct that the juror costs be relieved or that they be assessed other than equally among the parties and their counsel."

# 315 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (WITH JURY TRIAL ACTIVITY)

# JUDGESHIPS 575.00

	TOTAL AVAILABLE JURORS	FOR JURY SELECTION				IN	SERVING ON
		TOTAL	SELECTED OR SERVING	CHALLENGED	NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TRAVEL STATUS	SUBSEQUENT DAYS
	750,679	362,304	108,401	131,944	121,959	6,802	381,573
•		100%	29.9 %	36.4 %	33.7 %		

ESTIMATE	ED COST	rs
TOTAL	PER TRIAL DAY	PER JUROR DAY
\$ 35,745,267	808	48
NOT SELECTED SERVING, OR \$ CHALLENGED	5,790,	733

PE		100%	29.9 %	36.4	33.7	%
T / [ T	JURY	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
	TRIALS	9,598	5,448	56.8	4,150	43.2
	JURY TRIAL DAYS	44,224	24,749	56.0	19,475	44.0

TOTAL JURIES SELECTED	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR SELECTION	AVG. NO. OF JURORS PRESENT FOR DAY OF TRIAL
11,078	32.70	16.97

		NUMBE	R OF GRAND	NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES							
	TOTAL IN EXISTENCE	NO. ON JULY 1, 1987	NO. IMPANELED	NO. DISCHARGED	NO. ON JULY 1, 1988						
G R	764	455	309	274	490						

FOR NATIONAL PROFILE OPEN FOLDOUT AT BACK COVER

10,817	211,610	58,109	19.6	5.37
SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVG. JURORS PER SESSION	AVG. HOURS PER SESSION
	SESSIONS	SESSIONS JURORS IN	SESSIONS JURORS IN HOURS IN	SESSIONS JURORS IN HOURS IN AVG. JURORS

ESTIMATED COSTS					
TOTAL	PER SESSION	PER JUROR DAY			
\$ 11,112,685	1,027	53			

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS PETIT JUROR SERVIC			OR SERVICE	GRAND JUROR SERVICE			
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	NUMBER OF JURY TRIALS	% CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR JURY SELECTION	% NOT SELECTED SERVING OR CHALLENGED	TOTAL NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	NUMBER OF SESSIONS CONVENED	NUMBER OF HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER SESSION
1984	8,970	40.5	328,642	36.3	741	11,804	61,425	5.20
1985	9,134	40.5	328,360	34.8	762	11,584	61,435	5.30
1986	9,122	42.8	338,022	34.3	792	11,364	60,506	5.32
1987	9,476	41.3	344,899	32.1	786	11,001	59,267	5.39
1988	9,598	43.2	362,304	33.7	764	10,817	58,109	5.37