If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gev 21500

MFI

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS





che to to to

Printed Under The Direction Of The

State Budget And Control Board





south carolina department or corrections

P.O. BOX 21787/4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD/COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29221-1787 TELEPHONE (803) 737-8555 PARKER EVATT, Commissioner

August 30, 1989

The Honorable Carroll A. Campbell Governor of South Carolina State House Post Office Box 11369 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Dear Governor Campbell:

I am pleased to submit the Annual Report of the Board of Corrections and the Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections for the period July 1, 1988, to June 30, 1989.

As I begin my third year as Commissioner, it is a privilege to report to you that the level of professionalism at the Department of Corrections is outstanding, and the morale among the workforce is high. This Annual Report reflects the dedicated and conscientious effort made on behalf of the people of South Carolina by the 5,500 employees of the Department of Corrections during the past fiscal year. The Department, within the resources provided, successfully met the challenge to accommodate an ever increasing prison population and comply with statutory and judicial standards for a modern prison system. I am confident that, in the year ahead, both the employees and the leadership of the Department will be equal to these continuing tasks and the new challenges which face us.

The Annual Report contains information on the Department's statutory authority, history, correctional institutions, personnel, programs, and the inmate population (including extensive statistical data.) We hope the Report will be informative and useful to you, to Members of the General Assembly, and to others who require information about South Carolina's prison operations.

Very truly yours Parker Evatt

Encl: SCDC Annual Report, FY '88-89

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS EUGENE N. ZEIGLER Chairman Florence, S.C. GOETZ B. EATON C. LOCH Secretary Member Anderson, S.C. Lancaste

C. LOCK MCK INNON NORMA Member Member Lancaster, S.C. Bamberg

NORMAN KIRKLAND Member Bamberg, S.C. CHARLES C. MOORE Member Spartanburg, S.C. ADRIENE WRIGHT Member Goose Creek, S.C.

GOV. CARROLL A. CAMPBELL, JR., Member Ex-Officio, Columbia, S.C.

South Carolina Department of Corrections Annual Report Fiscal Year 1988-89

Table of Contents

Item

Page 1

Tables and Figures Listingiii
South Carolina Board of Corrections1
The Commissioner1
Mission Statement
Historical Perspective
Directory of Key Administrators
Organizational Chart8
Department Organization
Institutions
Descriptive Listing14
Location Map16
Outstanding Employees17
Significant Developments in 1989
Plyler v. Evatt (originally Nelson v. Leeke) Highlights
Classification System Progress
Legislation24
Fiscal Information25
Grant Assistance
Publications and Documents
Inmate and Personnel Statistics
Appendices

Tables and FiguresSouth Carolina Department of Corrections

(Data are for Fiscal Year 1989, Unless Otherwise Noted) (* As Of June 30, 1989)

Table Page
1. Institutions and Centers
2. Expenditures
3. Per Inmate Costs, Fiscal Years 1979-1989
4. Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1968-1989
5. Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1968-1989
6. Admissions and Releases40
7. Distribution of Admissions by Committing County and Correctional Region43
8. Offense Distribution of Inmates Admitted46
9. Most Serious Offense of Inmates Admitted
10. Sentence Length Distribution of Inmates Admitted
11. Age Distribution of Inmates Admitted
12. Distribution by Committing Planning Districts of Inmates Admitted
13. Distribution by Committing Judicial Districts of Inmates Admitted
14. Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region of Inmate Population*
15. Offense Distribution of Total Inmate Population*
16. Most Serious Offense Distribution of Total Inmate Population*
17. Sentence Length Distribution of Total Inmate Population*
18. Age Distribution of Total Inmate Population*
19. Age at Time of Admission of Total Inmate Population*70
20. Security Level Dist. by Holding Correctional Region, Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates* 72
21. Committing Planning Districts of Total Inmate Population*74
22. Committing Judicial Circuits of Total Inmate Population*76
23. Remaining Time to Serve Before Expiration of Sentence of Total Inmate Population*78
24. Distribution of Time Served by Inmates Released
25. Distribution of Work Credits Earned and Type of Release for Inmates Released
26. Community Program Statistics
27. Armed Robbery Act and 20- and 30-Year Parole Eligibility Inmates
28. Death Row Statistics
29. Distribution of Employees by Race, Sex and Type of Position
30. Distribution of Security Strength by Facility

	Figure	Page
	1. Organizational Chart	8
	2. Location of Institutions and Centers	16
	3. Per Inmate Costs, Fiscal Years 1979-1989	
	4. Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1968-1989	
	5. Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1968-1989	
	6. Distribution of Average Inmate Population by Type of Facility	41
	7. Race and Sex of Inmates Admitted	
	8. Inmate Admissions by Committing County and Correctional Region	45
	9. Offense Distribution of Inmates Admitted	47
	10. Most Serious Offense of Inmates Admitted	49
	11. Sentence Lengths of Inmates Admitted	
	12. Age Distribution of Inmates Admitted	53
	13. Committing Planning Districts of Inmates Admitted	55
	14. Committing Judicial Circuits of Inmates Admitted	
	15. Race and Sex of Inmates*	
	16. Committing Counties and Correctional Region of Total Inmate Population*.	61
	17. Offense Distribution of Total Inmate Population*	63
	18. Most Serious Offense of Total Inmate Population*	65
	19. Sentence Lengths of Total Inmate Population*	67
	20. Age of Total Inmate Population*	69
	21. Age at Time of Admission of Total Inmate Population*	71
	22. Security Level of Total Inmate Population*	73
	23. Committing Planning Districts of Total Inmate Population*	
	24. Committing Judicial Circuits of Total Inmate Population*	77
	25. Remaining Time to Serve of Total Inmate Population*	79
	26. Distribution of Time Served by Inmates Released	81
	27. SCDC Employees by Race, Sex, and Type of Position	
(,	Any data published in these tables and figures for prior years supersede those in pr	evious reports.)

South Carolina State Board of Corrections

In 1960, the General Assembly established a State Board of Corrections (to replace the Board of Directors of the Penitentiary) and charged them with governing the Department of Corrections. The Board is composed of seven members, six of whom are appointed by the Governor, one from each of the congressional districts of the State, upon the advice and consent of the Senate. The Governor is ex officio a member of the Board. Appointments by the Governor are for a term of six years, and the terms are staggered to promote continuity. (Reference: 24-1-40, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976.)

On June 30, 1989, the following distinguished citizens were serving on the Board of Corrections, with a total of 90 years of experience and service to the people of South Carolina in this capacity.

Congressional District	Name	Residence	Date of Initial Appointment
First	Betty M. Condon, Chairman	Mt. Pleasant	1972
Second	Norman Kirkland	Bamberg	1962
Third	Goetz B. Eaton, Secretary	Anderson	1981
Fourth	Charles C. Moore	Spartanburg	1968
Fifth	C. Lock McKinnon	Lancaster	1987
Sixth	Eugene N. Zeigler, Vice-Chairman	Florence	1974

Ex officio Governor Carroll A. Campbell

The Board holds a regular meeting on the second Tuesday in each month, and special meetings may be called as necessary. The public and news media are entitled to attend regular meetings of the Board.

Pursuant to law, the Board employs a general Commissioner of the prison system who carries out the policy of the Board and has the authority to manage the affairs of the prison system.

The Commissioner

Parker Evatt was appointed Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, effective September 1, 1987. Mr. Evatt is very familiar with the corrections field. He served from 1966 to 1987 as Executive Director of the Alston Wilkes Society, an organization dedicated to helping former prison inmates and their families establish new lives. During his 13 years as a member of the South Carolina House of Representatives, Mr. Evatt worked tirelessly for the betterment of the State's corrections system through provision of sufficient funding and appropriate legislation to deal with overcrowding, alternatives to prison sentences, and enabling legislation for various prison programs and services. In addition to a bachelor's degree from the University of South Carolina, Mr. Evatt earned his master's in Criminal Justice from USC's College of Criminal Justice.

Mission Statement

It is the mission of the South Carolina Department of Corrections to:

Protect the public by maintaining those persons remanded to its custody, in the least restrictive, most cost-effective environment consistent with public safety.

Provide humane supervision and conditions of confinement in accordance with the South Carolina Department of Corrections' constitutional and statutory mandates and with the American Correctional Association's Standards.

Provide programs and services which are intended to enhance the community reintegration, the emotional stability, and the economic self-sufficiency of those persons placed under the jurisdiction of the South Carolina Department of Corrections.

Promote efficiency and cost-effectiveness in correctional operations and administer all aspects of the Department in a fair and equitable manner, while providing for the safety and general welfare of employees and inmates.

Comply with legislative, judicial, and executive directives at all times, and ensure that the constitutional rights of those under custody or control of the South Carolina Department of Corrections are maintained.

Develop goals, objectives, and plans that implement the mission of the South Carolina Department of Corrections and review them annually.

Historical Perspective

Reaching the Modern Era

The modern era of corrections in South Carolina began in 1960 when the General Assembly established the Department of Corrections "to implement and carry out the policy of the State with respect to its prison system." The State Board of Corrections was established and empowered to employ a Commissioner of the prison system, "who shall possess qualifications and training which suit him to manage the affairs of a modern penal institution." That anticipated model penal system has come a long way in the last three decades. Changes since 1960 have far surpassed the corrections evolution experienced in the preceding 100 years.

The General Assembly, in 1866, recognized the unsuitable conditions prevailing under county supervision of convicts. Control of convicted and sentenced felons was transferred to the State and the State Penitentiary was established. For almost 100 years, the State continued to experiment - as other states were doing - with various corrections programs. Work, for example, was considered to be of a beneficial nature. It could help defray the cost of prison operations, keep inmates busy and out of trouble, and perhaps even teach them a trade which would stand them in good stead when their sentences were finished. Education was also looked upon favorably at times and programs were begun (and later terminated) to educate prisoners. Religious instruction was also authorized. Separate facilities for young boys, young girls, women, and physically and mentally ill inmates came into being.

As the decades rolled on, the forty-six counties throughout the State faced a need for labor for building and maintaining roads. The General Assembly frequently passed laws to accommodate the counties, and county supervisors had full authority to choose either to retain convicts for road construction or to transfer them to the State. By 1930, the local prison system, or what is more commonly known as the "chain gang," was in full swing, coexisting with the State system which was represented by the State Penitentiary. As in most other aspects of South Carolina life, county prison conditions depended heavily on the wealth of the county, and the skills and knowledge of county officials. Inevitably, unequal conditions resulted, and there was no uniformity in keeping abreast of changing correctional philosophy. Even with the establishment of the Department of Corrections in 1960, the dual-system of State and county prisons continued. Such critical problems as adequate planning and programming, efficient resource utilization and equitable distribution of rehabilitative services were not comprehensively addressed.

An Adult Corrections Study, completed in May, 1973, by the Office of Criminal Justice Programs in the Governor's Office, gave major impetus to coming to grips with South Carolina's corrections problems. The first major step was the closure of county prison operations. Legislation in 1974 gave the State jurisdiction over all adult offenders with sentences exceeding 90 days, and counties were required to transfer any such prisoners in their facilities to the State for custody. Along with the prisoners, some county prison facilities were transferred to the State; however, many of these proved unsatisfactory for long-term use. Assumption of the custody responsibility for county prisoners and the closing of many local prison systems worsened the over-crowded conditions in State facilities. The Department of Corrections began to plan for the regionalization of SCDC operations. In 1974, two Regional Correctional Administrators were appointed and plans proposed for a number of regional, community-based facilities. The 1977 Comprehensive Growth and Capital Improvements Plan laid the groundwork for the reality which exists in the late 1980s: three correctional regions, each with a number of community-based prisons and work centers assigned to them for administrative and operational oversight. (These are described in other parts of the Annual Report.)

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Population Crisis

The movement to regionalization was a difficult one for many reasons, not the least of which was the unprecedented increase in crime in South Carolina, as elsewhere in the nation. Fiscal year 1975 was a key year; when it ended there was a fifty-three percent (53%) increase in the number of prisoners held in State institutions (5,658, up from 3,693 at the end of June, 1974). The increased crime rate, the transfer of county-held inmates to the State, and the legislative mandate for all long-term (over 90 days) prisoners to be under SCDC jurisdiction, literally pushed the State system to the breaking point. Although the population in State institutions has grown every year since 1975, the growth has been somewhat less dramatic until recently. On June 30, 1989, the in-custody population reached an all-time high of 13,818.

Prison overcrowding, or insufficient bedspaces to accommodate the incarcerated population has been a long-standing problem for the Department of Corrections, and, in effect, for the State of South Carolina. The problem existed even when the county-State dual prison system was in vogue. (The overcrowding problem is not unique to the Palmetto State, as the federal prison system and other states are experiencing a similar escalation in the growth of prisoners.)

Recent Developments

Several early release programs were developed in the late '70s and early '80s in an effort to reduce the prison overcrowding problem. An Extended Work Release Program authorized by the legislature in 1977 allows qualified offenders to live and work in the community under intensive supervision during the final phase of their sentences. A year later the Litter Control Act established an Earned Work Credit Program as a means of reducing the amount of time that had to be served by inmates engaged in productive work while in prison. In 1980, two "good-time" measures were consolidated and additional time off a sentence was allowed for inmates with clear disciplinary records while in prison.

In 1981, legislation creating an independent correctional school district for SCDC inmates was signed into law. The long-range goals were increased state funding on a per pupil basis (realized in fiscal year 1985), and enhancement of the quality and scope of educational services to inmates through improved standards and accreditation.

The year 1982 saw implementation of the Community Corrections Act which established the Supervised Furlough Program (permits carefully screened inmates to live and work in local communities under supervision), and reduced the time to be served before parole eligibility for non-violent offenders from one-third of the sentence to one-fourth. A year later, the Prison Overcrowding Powers Act authorized the Governor to declare a state of emergency when certain conditions of overcrowding existed and to order the sentences of qualified offenders reduced to effect the immediate release of some prisoners. Subsequent amendments to this Act, principally in the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvement Act of 1986, changed the procedure to allow the release of a set number of prisoners, rather than advance the release date of all eligible prisoners.

The 1980s also brought increased public concern for the rights of victims of crime. In the mideighties, the General Assembly responded by passing laws which levied harsher penalties (particularly for repeat offenders of those who committed violent crimes), limiting parole eligibility for repeat and violent offenders, increasing the minimum sentence for certain crimes. Offenders convicted of burglary and murder were particularly singled out.

The Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvement Act revised several early release provisions. Eligibility for parole, supervised furlough and earned work credit programs were made more

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

restrictive. An "enhancement" measure was added to the Code of Laws whereby anyone convicted of a violent crime who was in possession of a firearm or knife has an additional five years added to his sentence. This "flat-time" must be served without reduction of any sort.

The Act offered a way to reduce long-term incarceration prospects for some offenders. A ninety-day shock probation program was instituted for first-time youthful offenders, as were restitution centers. These programs came on-line during fiscal year 1987-88, under the jurisdiction of the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services but under the management and supervision of SCDC.

As can be seen from the foregoing, the modern era has been a mixture of: prison overcrowding, early release programs and mechanisms, increased crime rates in cercain offenses, a tougher attitude toward criminals from the public and the legislature, and increased admissions and longer times served for some offenses. The net effect has been an exacerbation of the prison overcrowding problem, despite major steps to alleviate it.

The Department has opened seven new prisons since 1980, and has one near completion and scheduled to open in fiscal year 1989-90. Even with this new construction, South Carolina has come under increased pressure to do even more. The total design capacity at the end of fiscal year 1980 was 4,606; at the end of fiscal year 1989, the "safe and reasonable capacity" was 13,689. As fiscal year 1989 closed, the inmate population in SCDC facilities was approximately 101 percent of capacity.

Two suits filed in the federal courts in the last twelve years have centered on the overcrowding problem, which impacts on health care and inmate safety. Consent Decrees were signed in two significant suits, Mattison v. S.C. Board of Corrections, (filed in 1976, decree signed in 1978), and Nelson v. Leeke, (filed in 1982, decree signed in 1985). As a result of both decrees, the S.C. Department of Corrections, with support from the Governor's Office, the General Assembly, the State Budget and Control Board, and the State Attorney General's office, has made a concerted effort to comply with the terms of the agreements to eliminate overcrowding and made other improvements as agreed upon (e.g. employ more correctional officers, increase training for all employees, upgrade old facilities, develop and implement a modern classification system, establish procedures to hear and adjudicate inmate complaints).

At the end of fiscal year 1988, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that the Department could house two prisoners in each general population cell at five new medium/maximum security prisons. For budgetary and security reasons, the Department was not able to fully double-cell any new medium security facility immediately, but by the end of fiscal year 1989, three of the five new institutions had been fully double-celled. Plans were made for a fourth institution to become fully double-celled by August, 1989.

The court's ruling negated the need to grant early releases to 700 non-violent offenders, and to alleviate the pressure to immediately build two new prisons - which would have been necessary to avoid non-compliance with single-celling provisions of the Nelson agreement. The ruling represented a total additional capacity of 2,056 inmates.

Directory of Key Administrators

(As announced through June 30, 1989) (*Change from last Annual Report)

Headquarters

Commissioner	Parker Evatt
Executive Assistant for Legislative Affairs	Sterling W. Beckman
Executive Assistant	Sandra S. Jeffcoat
Legal Advisor	Larry C. Batson
Executive Assistant, Legal Settlements & Compliance	Laurie A. Osler
Director, Division of Management Services	Rallie Seigler*
Director, Division of Public Affairs	Francis X. Archibald*
Director, Division of Internal Affairs, Audits & Inspections	Blake E. Taylor, Jr.
Deputy Commissioner for Administration	Hubert M. Clements
Administrative Services Manager	Connie M. Riley
Director, Division of Resource & Information Management	Lorraine T. Fowler
Director, Division of Personnel Administration	Sam D. O'Kelley
Director, Division of Industries	
Director, Division of Support Services	George Turner*
Director, Division of Training & Staff Development	W. T. Cave
Director, Division of Budget & Planning	Glen Franz
Deputy Commissioner for Operations	William D. Catoe
Administrative Services Manager	Yvonne W. Holley
Director of Security	Joe R. Martin
Director, Division of Inmate Operations & Control	David L. Bartles
Director, Division of Construction, Engineering & Maintenance	William H. Harmon
Deputy Commissioner for Program Services	Paul I. Weldon
Administrative Services Manager	Betty Robinson
Director, Division of Community Services	Tony L. Strawhorn
Director, Division of Classification	Sammie E. Brown
Director, Division of Human Services	William J. Deemer
Director, Division of Educational Services	H. Layne Coleman
Director, Division of Health Services	Patricia B. Satterfield

Correctional Institutions

Appalachian Correctional Region	
Regional Administrator	Donald F. Dease
Deputy Regional Administrator	Robert W. Donlin
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Center, Superintendent	James W. Whitworth
Catawba Work Center, Superintendent	Gene J. Bradshaw
Cross Anchor Correctional Institution, Warden	Phoebe B. Johnson
Dutchman Correctional Institution, Warden	Molly Wannamaker*
Givens Youth Correction Center, Warden	Robert H. Mauney
Greenwood Correctional Center, Warden	Frankie I., Rickenbaker
Livesay Work Center, Superintendent	Robert L. Rice
McCormick Correctional Institution, Warden	Richard S. Lindler
Northside Correctional Center, Warden	Frank H. Horton, Jr.
Perry Correctional Institution, Warden	S.R. (Dick) Witkowski

• •

(

Midland	s Correctional Region	
	Regional Administrator	James W. Harvey
	Deputy Regional Administrator	
	Deputy Regional Administrator	Jerry Spigner*
	Aiken Youth Correction Center, Warden	George T. Hagan
	Broad River Correctional Institution, Warden	George N. Martin III
	Byrnes Clinical Center, Warden	Robert E. Elgin
	Campbell Work Center, Superintendent	
	Central Correctional Institution, Warden	William Wallace*
	Goodman Correctional Institution, Warden	Louisa D. Brown
	Kirkland Correctional Institution, Warden	Laurie F. Bessinger
	Lower Savannah Work Center, Superintendent	John H. McCall
	Manning Correctional Institution, Warden	Rickie Harrison*
	State Park Correctional Center, Warden	Judy C. Anderson
	Stevenson Correctional Institution, Warden	George Hampton, Jr.
	Walden Correctional Institution, Warden	Ed McCrory*
	Wateree River Correctional Institution, Warden	John H. Carmichael, Jr.
	Watkins Pre-Release Center, Superintendent	Vacant*
	Women's Correctional Center, Warden	Vannie M. Toy

Coastal Correctional Region

160

Regional Administrator	Lucious J. Allen
Deputy Regional Administrator	
Allendale Correctional Institution, Warden	Robert E. Currie
Coastal Work Center, Superintendent	Frank A. Smith
Evans Correctional Institution, Warden	
Lieber Correctional Institution, Warden	P. Douglas Taylor
MacDougall Youth Correction Center, Warden	
Palmer Work Center, Superintendent	-

Figure 1 South Carolina Department of Corrections Organizational Structure (As Announced Through June 30, 1989)



00

Department Organization

The South Carolina Department of Corrections is governed by the State Board of Corrections, a seven-member board, six of whom are appointed by the Governor, one from each of the six Congressional Districts of the State, upon the advice and consent of the Senate. The Governor is ex officio a member of the Board. The Board is responsible for setting overall policy.

The Department is headed by a commissioner, appointed by the Board of Corrections, who administers Board policy and manages the day-to-day affairs of a modern penal system.

The Department is organized into three primary functional offices, or areas of responsibility: administration, operations, and program services, each of which is headed by a Deputy Commissioner. Other specific staff functions are attached to the Commissioner's Office, as described below.

Office Of The Commissioner ·

Within the office of the Commissioner are the following specialized administrative staff support divisions/offices:

Division of Public Affairs

Responsible for all public information and public relations; it includes the crime prevention programs and the victim-witness liaison.

Executive Assistant for Legislative Affairs

Conducts liaison with governmental offices, the legislature, correctional institutions, and others as required. Keeps the Commissioner informed of significant and related legislation, programs and procedures.

Legal Advisor's Office

Provides legal advice to the Board, the Commissioner, and the Department, and it represents the Department in legal actions. The Office of Legal Settlements and Compliance is responsible for monitoring compliance with the terms of any court orders or consent decrees, in particular, the Nelson v. Leeke consent decree, under which the Department is currently operating.

Division of Management Services

Administers efforts to accredit individual prisons by the Commission on Accreditation and directs the policy-change process for the Department. Also directs SCDC's extensive Volunteer Program.

Division of Internal Affairs, Audits, and Inspections

Responsible for conducting annual inspections of all local detention facilities. In addition, the Division conducts internal investigations and audits, and investigates inmates' complaints.

Office Of The Deputy Commissioner For Administration

The Deputy Commissioner for Administration directs the budgeting, planning, industries, purchasing, food services, personnel, financial accounting, offender records management, computer operations, and training programs throughout the Department. These functions are carried out through six divisions:

Division of Budget and Planning

Prepares all budget requests for submission to the Budget and Control Board and Legislature, reconciles expenditures with appropriations, and prepares all capital improvement plans and requests for bond approval. The division also conducts monitoring, allocation and internal control of budgets.

Division of Industries

Manages prison industries, transportation and telephone communications. Its products and services include the state motor vehicle license tags, furniture refinishing and repair, vehicle repari, laundry, and milk and meat.

Division of Support Services

Directs purchasing, food services, and the operation of the commissary, canteens, and farms.

Division of Personnel Administration

Performs all the activities associated with recruiting and hiring new employees, maintaining personnel records, authorizing payrolls, and placing student interns.

Division of Resource and Information Management

Manages financial accounting; offender records; offender management information; statistical reporting and analysis; fiscal and personnel systems; and telecommunications.

Division of Training and Staff Development

Provides pre-employment and in-service training for all security and non-security employees.

Office Of The Deputy Commissioner For Operations

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner For Operations directs the management of all prison operations, security, construction, engineering, and facility and equipment maintenance throughout the prison system. Within the Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Operations are the three regional offices for prison operations (Appalachian, Midlands, and Coastal) and the following divisions and offices:

Division of Construction, Engineering and Maintenance

Manages certain phases of new construction, and acts as liaison with architects, engineers and contractors working on construction projects. Other activities include management and operation of the physical plants, i.e. institutions, other buildings and facilities. This Division has the primary responsibility for implementation of the capital improvements plan.

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Division of Inmate Operations and Control

Oversees certain activities related to the movement, status, and number of inmates in SCDC facilities and in designated facilities and administers the Interstate Corrections Compact. This Division also contracts with counties for inmate work crews.

Office of Security

The Director of Security is responsible for the Department's readiness to respond to emergency situations such as riots or hostage-taking. This office ensures that the special response teams, e.g., Reserve Emergency Platoons, Situation Control Teams, and Corrections Emergency Response Teams, are properly trained. This office also conducts regular security audits of high security institutions.

Institutional Operations: Regional Offices

The state is divided into three geographical regions to facilitate management and operations. Each of the regions is headed by a regional administrator (the Midlands Region has two administrators) who directs prison operations within his region. The regions are: Appalachian, Midlands, and Coastal. Figure 2, page 16, outlines the counties which comprise each region.

Office Of The Deputy Commissioner For Program Services

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Program Services directs the classification, health, mental health, education, and community employment programs for inmates. Delivering a broad spectrum of program services under the supervision of this office during this fiscal year were the following divisions:

Division of Classification

Directs the classification of inmates for security and custody purposes. This Division is also responsible for all institutional services for inmates sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act.

Division of Human Services

Administers and provides a variety of programs and services directed at improving offenders' mental health, and emotional well being. The programs include: psychological assessment; social work services; substance abuse therapy; religious services and pastoral counseling; and athletic and other recreational activities.

Division of Health Services

Renders medical, dental and psychiatric care to the inmate population. Through this Division, the S.C. Department of Corrections operates 24-hour out-patient clinics at the large institutions, several infirmaries, and utilizes a floor at the Byrnes Clinical Center, Department of Mental Health, for general hospital care. The Department operates seven dental clinics. It has several Transitional Care Units for intermediate psychiatric care and the Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital for acute psychiatric care. The Department provides most of the health care services with in-house staff; however, it contracts for health care services at seven institutions.

Division of Educational Services

This Division is also known as "Palmetto Unified School District #1" and administers and provides academic, vocational, special and career education and library services to the inmate population at 16 institutions, with satellites at pre-release and work centers. The School District offers a variety of vocational programs, including auto mechanics, carpentry, plumbing, and heavy equipment operation and repair, and academic programs, including GED preparation.

Division of Community Services

This Division oversees the custody and supervision of certain offenders in community programs, namely, Work Release and Extended Work Release, monitors parole's supervision of offenders in Supervised Furlough and other early release programs, and provides SCDC's law enforcement liaison.

Institutions

The South Carolina Department of Corrections operated thirty correctional institutions as of June 30, 1989. These range in size from the largest (and oldest) Central Correctional Institution with an operating capacity of 1,364, to the smallest, Lower Savannah Work Center, with an operating capacity of 81. One institution, Allendale Correctional Institution, near Columbia, became operational in May, 1989.

The thirty institutions are spread over three Correctional Regions and include: twentyseven prisons for male offenders, one for female offenders, one medical unit for male and female inmates*, and one (State Park Correctional Center) that has two units - one for male geriatric and handicapped prisoners and one for females on work release.

Eleven of the institutions are classified as minimum security, two as minimum/medium, eight as medium/maximum, and eight pre-release/work centers are also classified as minimum security. The medical unit houses inmates requiring minimum, medium and maximum security.

Each of the three Correctional Regions has a facility for intake processing, known as a Reception and Evaluation Center. These are adjacent to medium/maximum security institutions, i.e., Lieber, Perry, and Broad River Correctional Institutions.

Effective January 1, 1988, the institutional capacities for minimum and medium/maximum security institutions changed as agreed upon in the Plyler v. Evatt (originally Nelson v. Leeke) Consent Decree, which the Department and the State of South Carolina entered into in 1985. As of June 30, 1989, the Department's "safe and reasonable" operating capacity was set at 12,585**. This capacity is subject to change as requirements of the Decree are met.

Additional details about these institutions, including average daily populations, design and safe and reasonable capacities, may be found in Table 1. Their location within South Carolina is depicted in Figure 2.

*(Located at the S.C. Department of Mental Health's James F. Byrnes Medical Center, Columbia, S.C.)

**This capacity figure was "certified" by the Budget and Control Board at the beginning of the quarter (April 1, 1989); however, additional bedspaces were added during the quarter and by June 30, 1989, the Safe and Reasonable Capacity was actually 13,689. (This figure was certified by the B & C Board on July 1, 1989.)

	Table	Letter and the second
Institutions an	d Centers of the S.C	. Department of Corrections

INSTITUTIONS/CENTERS	Degree of Security	Description of Resident Population	Avg. Daily Population (ADP) FY 1989	Design Capacity (DC)		Safe and Reasonable Capacity (SRC)	
Appalachian Correctional Region							
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and upimmates on pre-release, work release or accelerated pre-release	197	143	138	208	95
Catawba Work Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up-inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	140	86	163	144	97
Cross Anchor Correctional Institution	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	542	528	103	528	103
Dutchman Correctional Institution	Minimum/ Medium	Male, ages 17 and up	530	528	101	528	101
Givens Youth Correction Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and upprimarily Youthful Offenders, ages 17-25	128	68	188	131	98
Greenwood Correctional Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	91	48	190	94	97
Livesay Work Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up-immates on work release or accelerated pre-release	95	96	99	96	-99
McCormick Conectional Institution	Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and up	980	600	163	1,104	89
Northside Correctional Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	293	270	108	290	101
Perry Correctional Institution ^m	Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and upincludes inmates undergoing reception processing	821	576	142	768	107
Midlands Correctional Region							
Aiken Youth Correction Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and upprimarily Youthful Offenders	301	224	134	310	97
Broad River Correctional Institution	Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and upincludes inmates undergoing reception processing	1,165	792	147	1,318	88
Bymes Clinical Center	All lovels	Hospitalized inmates ²					
Campbell Work Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up-immates on work release or accelerated pre-release	148	100	148	150	99
Central Correctional Institution	Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and up	1,328	1,340	99	1,364	97
Goodman Correctional Institution	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	456	283	161	466	98
Kirkland Correctional Institution**	Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and up	660	448	147	612	108
Lower Savannah Work Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up-inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	81	45	180	81	100
Manning Correctional Institution	Medium/ ³ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and upprimarily Youthful Offenders, ages 17-25	468	452	104	450	104
State Park Correctional Center	Minimum	Male and female, ages 17 and up (two separate units)	262	250	105	297	88
Geriatric/Handicapped Unit		Male primarily geriatric/handicapped					
Women's Work Release Unit		Femaleson work release or accelerated pre-release					
Stevenson Correctional Institution	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	157	129	122	170	92
Walden Correctional Institution	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	301	246	122	306	98
Wateree River Correctional Institution	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	624	456	137	630	99
Watkins Pre-Release Center	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up-inmater on pre-release programs	136	144	94	144	94
Women's Correctional Center	Minimum/ Medium	Female, ages 17 and up	541	269	201	373	145

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

14

Table 1 (continued)Institutions and Centers of the S.C. Department of Corrections

		Avg. Daily			Safe and	
Degree of Security	Description of Resident Population	Population (ADP) FY 1989	Design Capacity (DC)			ADP As A Percentage of SRC
Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and up	43	808	5	600.4	7
Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up-inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	155	158	98	158	98
Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and up	1,130	696	162	1,200	94
Minimum	Male, ages 17 and upprimarily Youthful Offenders, ages 17-25	554	336	165	565	98
Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up-inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	99	50	198	100	99
		12,426	10,169		13,185	
	Security Medium/ Maximum Minimum Medium/ Maximum Minimum	Security Resident Population Medium/ Maximum Male, ages 17 and up Minimum Male, ages 17 and up—inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release Medium/ Maximum Male, ages 17 and up Minimum Male, ages 17 and up—inmates on work Minimum Male, ages 17 and up—inmates on work	Degree of Security Resident Population (ADP) Medium/ Male, ages 17 and up Maximum Male, ages 17 and up Minimum Male, ages 17 and up Medium/ Male, ages 17 and up Minimum Male, ages 17 and up Minimum Male, ages 17 and up Minimum Male, ages 17 and upprimarily Youthful Offenders, ages 17-25 Minimum Male, ages 17 and upinmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	Degree of Security Description of Resident Population Population (ADP) Design Capacity Medium/ Maximum Male, ages 17 and up 43 808 Minimum Male, ages 17 and up—inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release 155 158 Medium/ Maximum Male, ages 17 and up—inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release 1,130 696 Minimum Male, ages 17 and upprimarily Youthful Offenders, ages 17-25 554 336 Minimum Male, ages 17 and upinmates on work release or accelerated pre-release 99 50	Degree of Security Description of Resident Population Population (ADP) Design Capacity ADP As A Percentage of DC Medium/ Maximum Male, ages 17 and up 43 808 5 Minimum Male, ages 17 and up—inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release 155 158 98 Medium/ Maximum Male, ages 17 and up—inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release 1,130 696 162 Minimum Male, ages 17 and up—primarily Youthful Offenders, ages 17-25 554 336 165 Minimum Male, ages 17 and up—inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release 99 50 198	Degree of Security Description of Resident Population Population (ADP) Design Capacity ADP As A Percentage Reasonable Capacity (SRC) Medium/ Maximum Male, ages 17 and up 43 808 5 600 ⁴ Minimum Male, ages 17 and up 43 808 5 600 ⁴ Minimum Male, ages 17 and up 1,130 696 162 1,200 Medium/ Maximum Male, ages 17 and upprimarily Youthful Offenders, ages 17-25 554 336 165 565 Minimum Male, ages 17 and upinmates on work release or accelerated pre-release 99 50 198 100

¹ The Safe and Reasonable Operating Capacity, is consistent with the Plyler v. Evatt (originally Nelson v. Leeke) Consent Decree.

² Located at S.C. Department of Mental Health's James F. Byrnes Medical Center, Columbia, S.C.

³ The Marming Correctional Institution is scheduled to convert to a minimum security facility effective July 1, 1989. The resident population of this facility will be revised to reflect that it will house males, ages 17 and up.

⁴ The Allendale Correctional Institution will have a safe and reasonable operating capacity of 1,104 once this facility becomes fully operational.

* These institutions provide intake services for their regions.

** Average count for Kirkland Correctional Institution does not include Kirkland Infirmary or Gilliam Psychiatric Center.

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89





at the S.C. Department of Mental Health's James F. Byrnes Medical Center, Columbia, S.C.)

19 Allendale Correctional Institution

 \bigcirc

Outstanding Employees

Annually the Department recognizes its most outstanding Correctional Officer of the Year and Employee of the Year. These programs are designed to promote efficiency and to show that the Department appreciates those who have demonstrated exceptional performance.

Nominations for Correctional Officer of the Year are limited to Correctional Officers I or II, while the Employee of the Year selection may be made from any employee except Correctional Officers I and II, Deputy Commissioners and the Commissioner. In both programs, outstanding job accomplishments, self-development and interpersonal relationships with fellow employees, inmates, and others are considered.

Rose M. Austin, Officer First Class at Lieber Correctional Institution, was chosen the Department's Correctional Officer of the Year for 1988-89. Officer Austin has been with the Department since May, 1984, and is a graduate of Alston High School in Summerville, S.C.

Other winners of this award in previous years include:

1988 Carmelita A. Streater	1978 Joseph P. Davis
1987 Joseph M. Cavanaugh	1977 Samuel Latta, III
1986 William F. Gault	1976 Godwin Quattlebaum
1985 Frank Taylor	1975 Benjamin Sweet
1984 Valerie W. Whitaker	1974 Eugene R. Grant
1983 Jack Belcher	1973 Emma Strickland
1982 Gloria Woodruff	1972 Boyd R. Mullins
1981 Walter T. Ross	1971 David L. Bartles
1980 Robert D. Mickle	1970 Guy T. Eaton

1979 George Coleman

The Employee of the Year for 1988-89 was Rickie Harrison, Warden, Manning Correctional Institution. Warden Harrison has been with the Department since 1978. He began his career as an Accounting Clerk II at Headquarters. Warden Harrison received a B.S. degree in Accounting from Benedict College. Earlier winners of this award include:

1988	Robert L. Foulks	а т	1985 Kyuzo Miyaishi (Frankie San)
1987	George A. Roof		1984 William T. Cave

1986 Kenneth D. McKellar

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Significant Developments Fiscal Year 1988-89

During the fiscal year there was continued growth among volunteers with approximately 2,600 participants. All institutions have established a Volunteer Program and designated a staff person to serve as the institutional Volunteer Coordinator. The Coordinator at MacDougall Youth Correction Center received the 1988 Robin Burns Award, the highest state award for volunteerism. On June 8, 1989, Reverend William T. Plemmons was recognized by SCDC as the 1989 Volunteer of the Year for his extraordinary work with the inmate population at Northside Correctional Center, Spartanburg, South Carolina.

Housing, Care, Security, and Supervision

Cross Anchor Correctional Institution was nationally reaccredited with a compliance score of 99.8.

Livesay Work Center was successfully reaudited and Palmer Work Center and Lieber Correctional Institution were successfully audited by consultants for the American Correctional Association. Pending a successful accreditation hearing, Lieber becomes the first medium/maximum security institution to be accredited, as well as the first facility with a Reception and Evaluation Center.

Manning Correctional Institution's mandated population reduction to 450 inmates was completed on October 18, 1988, and its conversion to a minimum security facility was initiated in March, 1988, and completed June 30, 1989.

Trinity Bible Fellowship, the Outstanding Volunteer of the Year for Manning, was selected as the Department's Outstanding Volunteer Group of the Year.

Palmer Work Center continued to lead the Agency in Buck-A-Cup sales for the past four (4) years with a total contribution of \$12,733.00 for 1989.

Personnel

The Department accepted a total of 17,111 applications and processed 1,715 new hires. There were approximately 364 vacancies at year end primarily in the Correctional Officer series.

The General Assembly funded salary increases for all classified positions in the amount of 2% effective July 1, 1989, and an additional 2% effective January 1, 1990, plus a one time bonus in December, 1989, of \$143 for employees earning more than \$20,000 and \$286 for under \$20,000. A 5% increase in the base salary for teachers was also implemented raising the current rate from \$21,606 to \$22,686.

Department of Corrections employees once again increased their generous spirit of giving to the United Way Compaign and Good Health Appeal Campaign by 58% over last year. During this fiscal year, contributions to United Way rose to \$55,250 (\$31,836 last year) and Good Health Appeal rose to \$22,696 (\$17,433 last year).

The Department reached an all-time high figure at the end of June, 1989, employing 5,466 across the state. Additional funding for authorized positions for the year reached 5,829. Fiscal Year 1989-90 saw 400 new position s authorized by the General Assembly in June, 1989, for a total of 6,229.

Due to efficient operation, the Agency received approval from the Budget and Control Board for an increase in delegated authority on the ability of the Agency to hire new employees up to the minimum of the pay grade with Agency approval only. The Agency may now internally reclassify nearly 30 positions which make up approximately 75% of the employee headcount.

The Department of Corrections was recognized by the South Carolina Human Affairs Commission by being ranked third of all state agencies (from fourth last year) in meeting Affirmative Action goals and objectives. This was an honor considering the size of the Agency and the magnitude of our hiring.

Prison Capacity Increases and Other Capital Improvements

McCormick Correctional Institution was formally dedicated on September 13, 1988, and Allendale Correctional Institution opened on May 9, 1989.

On November 30, 1988, the Budget and Control Board approved the release of twenty-four million dollars to begin construction of the Central Correctional Institution's replacement facility in Lee County. One hundred and ninety (190) acres of land were purchased in Lee County for the construction of the new facility.

The South Carolina Capital Punishment Facility was moved from the Central Correctional Institution to Broad River Correctional Institution on December 7, 1988.

The Tag Plant was moved from the Central Correctional Institution to the Broad River Correctional Institution during the week of August 15, 1988.

At the Greenwood Correctional Center, construction began in February, 1989, on a new 96bed Work Camp which will house female residents.

The Women's Correctional Center was forced to utilize all available areas to house inmates due to the high number of inmates being received into the institution. On February 9, 1989, inmates were housed in the Dayroom Extension (television rooms) and on June 21, 1989, inmates were housed in the gymnasium.

New Initiatives

In October, 1988, SCDC signed a contract with the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services to build and operate three Restitution Centers statewide. SCDC assumed operation of the already existing Midlands Restitution Center in Columbia on November 1, 1988. Each sixty (60) bed facility houses individuals on probation owing restitution, fines, child support, etc.

The Division of Community Services assumed responsibility for the Early Release Program on July 1, 1988, which has allowed inmates earlier release under EPA I, EPA II, and SF II. Also, on July 1, 1988, the Division assumed responsibility for monitoring the Alston Wilkes contract between SCDC and the Alston Wilkes Society. Contracted beds increased from 24 to 30 and are projected to increase to 40 beds per day in fiscal year 1989-90. Law enforcement liasion activities now operate from this Division.

Programs for Inmates

The Earned Work Credit Program assisted 73% of the 8,480 inmates released during Fiscal Year 1989 to shorten their time served through productive work. This program, and the related statistics for this fiscal year, are more fully explained and reported on in Appendix E.

Several community programs continued throughout the fiscal year. 2,325 inmates were assigned to the pre-release program, 2,008 inmates were assigned to community work release, and 345 inmates were assigned to the Extended Work Release Program. Another 883 inmates participated in the 72-Hour Furlough Program. (These programs are elaborated on in Table 26 and Appendix F.)

Inmates assigned to Work and Extended Work Release programs were paid \$8,341,948 in gross wages. \$1,587,789 was paid back to the Department for room and board; \$950,412 was paid to dependents; \$1,347,224 was paid in federal and state taxes and FICA; and \$1,882,289 was disbursed to the employed inmates.

As mandated by state statute, inmates on work release contributed during the fiscal year \$353,453 to the Victims' Assistance Fund administered through the Governor's Office.

The Industries Division employed an average of 1000 inmates and achieved \$10 million in sales.

The Industries Division signed a contract with the private sector to assemble color televisions for a Korean Company at the Allendale Correctional Institution.

At Goodman Correctional Institution, a new chapel was built with inmate labor and private donations.

At the Greenwood Correctional Center, outside contracts for labor with the Highway Department, Emerald Center (Retardation Workshop), National Guard Armory, Lander College, Piedmont Technical College, Greenwood Civic Center, and the Town of Ninety Six, S.C., were continued. These develop good relationships in the local communities, as well as provide work for inmates and payments to the State of South Carolina.

Information Activities

The Operation Get Smart, Save the Children/Adult Enlightment and Speakers' Bureau programs enhanced considerably the public perception of the Department and the vital role it fulfills for the citizens of South Carolina. OGS teams visited 45 of 46 counties and travelled 57,595 miles to 462 engagements giving 1,182 presentations to 154,562 youths and 15,158 adults for an annual audience of 169,720. Fifty-five STC/AE sessions were held at the Women's Correctional Center and Central Correctional Institution serving 1,026 participants. SCDC speakers fulfilled 126 documented engagements and addressed audiences totalling approximately 6,710 people.

Employee Cost-Reduction Efforts

Annually the Department recognizes institutions or other organizational units for their outstanding leadership and good management practices in seven distinct areas of operation: cafeteria, canteen, commissary, purchasing, vehicle management, information and records management, and personnel. "Pacesetter Awards" were presented to:

For Excellence in Cafeteria Management: Palmer Work Center, Northside Correctional Center, MacDougall Youth Correctional Center, and Manning Correctional Institution.

For Excellence in Canteen Operations: Givens Youth Correctional Center, Cross Anchor Correctional Institution, and Lieber Correctional Institution.

For Excellence in Commissary Operations: Northside Correctional Center, Dutchman Correctional Institution, and Lieber Correctional Institution.

For Excellence in Purchasing Practices and Procedures: Greenwood Correctional Center, Aiken Youth Correctional Center, and Manning Correctional Institution.

For Excellence in Vehicle Management: Campbell Work Center, Cross Anchor Correctional Institution, and Lieber Correctional Institution.

For Excellence in Information and Records Management: Lieber Reception and Evaluation, Coastal Work Center, State Park Correctional Center, Walden Correctional Institution, and Lieber Correctional Institution.

For Excellence in Personnel Management: McCormick Correctional Institution, Women's Correctional Institution, and Campbell Work Center.

The S.C. State Employee Wellness Program encourages positive health habits and helps reduce health care costs. The program was extended from the Columbia, S.C., area to each institution throughout the state, and plans were made to incorporate employees' interests and needs into wellness promoting activities.

An Employee Suggestion Program was started this year and was so successful the Department received top awards in the state for dollars saved. For calendar year 1988, 112 employees received a total of \$5,600 dollars in cash awards for suggestions implemented that saved the Department \$333,938.

Plyler v. Evatt Highlights

(Originally Nelson v. Leeke)

In 1982, Gary Wayne Nelson, an inmate at CCI, filed a class action suit against the Department of Corrections. The suit stated that the SCDC, systemwide, was violating the 8th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution prohibiting cruel and unusual punishment. The lawsuit was filed on behalf of all inmates in the system at that time and any inmates entering the system thereafter.

The Department negotiated with Plaintiffs' Counsel for two years before coming to an agreement on January 8, 1985. The General Assembly found the Agreement to be "in the best interest of the State" and authorized the Department to enter into the proposed Consent Agreement. Further, the General Assembly agreed to provide "substantial additional funding ... for other remedies" to meet the terms of the settlement.

The Consent Decree stipulates that the Department will end overcrowding at medium security institutions by January 8, 1988, and at all other institutions by January 8, 1990. The bedspace capacities for existing institutions were established pursuant to agreed upon minimum square footage requirements for inmate housing. Due to the increased admissions to the Department in 1986 and 1987, however, the Department filed a "Motion for Modification of the Consent Decree" in order to allow for double-celling at new institutions not meeting the specified square footage requirements of the Decree. This motion was filed specifically to provide the Department with additional bedspace by which to attain compliance with Nelson capacities at existing medium security institutions. In April, 1988, a ruling was received from the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals stating that the Department's capacity was thus increased by 2,044 beds, although most would not be filled immediately. The ruling raised the authorized capacity of Lieber and McCormick institutions by 504 beds each, Broad River by 444 beds, and Allendale and Evans institutions by 296 beds each.

Since the consent Decree was signed, the General Assembly has authorized funds for the construction of five (5) new prisons; funds for a unit at the Women's Correctional Center; and funds for five (5) 96-bed minimum security additions. Additionally, the General Assembly authorized funding to the Department during FY 88-89 for the following projects: 960 work camp beds; 50 male maximum security beds; 288 male minimum security beds; 288 male work release beds; and a 384-bed female institution. The additional bedspaces are necessary to accommodate the projected population growth to maintain compliance with the Consent Decree.

Although the primary focus is the elimination of overcrowding and inadequate staffing, the Consent Decree addresses many other issues affecting the operation of the institutions. The major issues include classification, staff training, health care services, fire and life safety, ar \cdot_{r} hysical plant requirements.

Quarterly reports on the Department's compliance are submitted to the Plantiffs' Counsel, Court, the S.C. Budget and Control Board and to each institution. Should the Department be "out of compliance" with one or more of the issues contained in the Decree, Plantiff's Counsel may request relief from the Federal District Court. Recently, Plaintiff's counsel filed a "Petition for Supplemental Relief" relative to overcrowding in female institutions operated by the Department. A hearing on this matter was scheduled on May 8, 1989, and a final decision relative to what relief will be granted is not anticipated until September, 1989.

Classification System Progress

Effective July 1, 1988, the Division of Classification was established. Functions of the former Classification and Youthful Offender Branches were merged and four branches were created within the Division: the Assessment and Internal Classification Branch, the Monitoring and Evaluation Branch, the Case Management Branch, and the Youthful Offender Branch.

All institutional services for inmates sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act were retained by SCDC under the Division of Classification. The Division's responsibilities under the functions of the Youthful Offender Review Board are: determination of parole eligibility dates related to disciplinary actions, school suspensions, and other adjustment problems; and time to serve following revocation of parole. Supervision of casework services for Youthful Offenders in the institutions is under the supervision of the Youthful Offender Branch.

At the time of the reorganization, SCDC contracted with the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS) to conduct presentence evaluations and provide parole supervision for inmates sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act. The Division of Classification coordinated this transition throughout the fiscal year, and established joint procedures for operation.

The U.S. Department of Justice through the National Institute of Corrections awarded a \$25,000 grant to the Division of Classification to develop a behavioral classification system for female offenders. The research was conducted throughout FY 1988-89 with the assistance of consultants, Drs. Herbert C. Quay and Craig T. Love.

Due to the significant increase in admissions of female offenders, the Division of Classification provided additional staff support to the Women's Correctional Center. As a result of the research and the growth in population, the Division is currently working toward the establishment of a Reception and Evaluation Unit at the Women's Correctional Center and the development of statewide assignment procedures for female offenders.

SCDC concluded its first full year under validated Phase II classification procedures. Those procedures were automated by the Division of Resource and Information Management to enhance consistency and reliability of classification actions statewide. The Division coordinated implementation, provided training and assistance to institutions and administrators, and monitored the classification actions. Several aspects of the classification review process were automated to reduce time required for gathering necessary information for completing classification actions to allow more time for caseworker-inmate interaction.

Classification policies were revised and published, the <u>Classification Procedures and</u> <u>Training Manual</u> was updated, a classification services brochure was developed, and initial and reclassification instructions were revised to include the new automated procedures.

The Intake Assessment Interview was revamped to improve the needs assessment, social history and background information for the collection of data on new inmates received by SCDC. This was done in conjunction with the transfer and automation by the Division of Resource and Information Management to the IDMS system. Testing procedures were evaluated.

Procedures for conducting the AIMS (Adult Internal Management System) evaluations were modified to reduce the workload of the Reception and Evaluation Centers. Two additional institutions were added, bringing the total number of institutions operating under AIMS to 15.

Legislation

Several pieces of legislation of significance to the Department were passed by the General Assembly and signed into law by the Governor this fiscal year. A synopsis of such legislation as it may affect the Department is provided below. For full details of the legislation, please refer to the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended.

Sentencing

(R148, H3040) provides that the defense of insanity is an affirmative defense which the defendant has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence. It defines what constitutes legal insanity and provides that it cannot be proved solely by evidence of repeated criminality or antisocial conduct. Further, this act defines what constitutes the verdict or plea of "guilty but mentally ill" and requisites that must be met.

(R231, H3704) established the South Carolina Sentencing Guidelines Commission and provides powers, duties, functions, and responsibilities for its specified members.

Health Care

(R249, H3599) provides that confidences of a patient in treatment by a provider may not be used or revealed by the provider except upon certain delineated conditions.

(R212, S4057) defines the practice of nursing and nursing diagnosis, regulates the practice of registered nurses and established standards for the disciplining of licensees.

<u>Attornevs</u>

(R234, H3872) allows attorneys employed by state agencies to engage in pro bono representation under a program endorsed by the South Carolina Bar and provides policies and rules for practice.

Police Officers

(R187, H3807) applies to South Carolina police officers. This provides that the payment necessary to receive credit under the system for service in the South Carolina Retirement System is the accumulated contributions and interest in the South Carolina Retirement System and 5% of current salary for each year credited for periods less than a year.

Prisons

(R2, S2) directs the State Budget and Control Board and the Department of Corrections to design and construct a replacement facility in Lee County for the Central Correctional Institution and provides funding for such project as may be determined by the General Assembly and the State Treasurer.

Administration

(R198, S93) provides that furniture purchased to be used in any state office or reception area which is utilized by a type of agency director or in a board or conference room, must be reported, before its purchase, to the governing board of the respective agency when the cost exceeds \$500.00.

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Fiscal Information

(Special Note: This information is as of June 30, 1989, and was obtained in August, 1989, to meet the production schedule for this annual report. The data are subject to minor revision following year-end reconciliations which are completed late in each third quarter of 1989.)

Operating Expenditures (Excludes Capital Improvement Funds)

The Department of Corrections expended \$183,732,201 in state appropriations, federal funds, special revenues, Prison Industries, and canteen funds in fiscal year 1988-89. Major expenditures included:

Salaries and fringe benefits of employees	69%
Supplies (e.g. food, uniforms, medical and office)	10%
Items for resale by Prison Industries and canteens	6%

Table 2, on the following page, enumerates the expenditures by state budget code.

Expenditures by Program (Excludes Capital Improvement Funds)

The Department's budget for this fiscal year identified six programs that define the departmental mission and provide performance indicators to measure effectiveness and cost. Based on the expenditure of state, federal, special revenues, Prison Industries, and canteen funds, the Department spent:

	Administration (6.6%)\$	12,116,779
	Housing, Care, Security and Supervision (80.8%)\$1	48,510,203
	Work and Vocational Activities (6.9%)\$	12,718,163
	Inmate Individual Growth and Motivation (2.6%)\$	4,865,282
	Penal Facilities and Inspection Services (0.2%)\$	270,456
	Palmetto School District One (2.9%)\$	5,251,318
Cost I	Per Inmate (Based on average population in SCDC institutions.)	
	Annual per inmate cost in S.C. General Funds\$	12,925
	Previous fiscal year (FY 1987-88)\$	12,213
	Percentage increase	5.8%
	Annual per inmate costs in state, federal and other funds*\$	13,237
	Previous fiscal year (FY 1987-88)\$	12,421
	Percentage increase *Excludes capital improvement, Prison Industries and canteen funds.	6.6%

DescriptionExpenditure
Personnel Services\$102,367,426
Contractual Services\$ 11,495,472
Supplies\$ 18,239,857
Fixed Charges\$ 1,491,816
Travel\$ 623,993
Equipment\$ 2,993,682
Items for Resale*\$ 11,000,059
Case Services\$ 4,211,712
Lights/Heat/Power\$ 6,042,863
Transportation\$ 717,645
Employee Benefits\$ 24,492,676
Capital Fund Transfers\$ 55,000
Total Expenditures\$183,732,201

Table 2Expenditures of the Department of CorrectionsFiscal Year 1988-89

(Includes state funds, federal funds, special revenue, Prison Industries, and canteen funds. Excludes capital improvement expenditures.)

*This budget line includes consumer goods purchased for resale, principally in canteens, and raw materials purchased for resale after further processing in Prison Industries.

Grant Assistance During Fiscal Year 1988-89

Through the South Carolina State Department of Education

Chapter II to purchase computers and appropriate software for use at the institutional library to enhance educational programs: \$3,923.

Chapter I to supplement and upgrade educational programs within the Department of Corrections for youths under 21 years of age: \$378,720.

Vocational Educational Act to provide vocational training to the underprivileged and furnish skills to prepare them for beneficial employment upon release: \$266,561.

Direct Service Delivery (Public Law 94-142) to provide special education for the handicapped (learning disabilities), age 21 and under: \$38,605.

Adult Basic Education funds are utilized in the development and implementation of a comprehensive academic program: \$107,854.

Title II (Education for Economic Security Act) to provide training for teachers in the latest teaching techniques in math and computer science: \$2,235.

Adult Basic Education to hire teachers and furnish supplies for basic education programs at multi-grade levels: \$146,577.

Through the S.C. State Library Board

Library services - book collection improvement for the Department of Corrections' libraries: \$17,000.

Job Training Partnership Act (via the Governor's Office)

Transitional Linkage - to provide training skills in auto mechanics, brick masonry, and welding to supplement the 30-day work release program and assist incarcerated offenders to attain a comprehensive transition into the labor market: \$450,000.

Literacy Program to provide literacy training to incarcerated offenders who are functionally illiterate (below 6.0 grade level): \$75,000.

Public Safety Programs (via the Governor's Office)

Residential Addictions Treatment Unit provides a drug addictions treatment program for inmates with a history of substance abuse: \$200,000.

Grant Assistance During Fiscal Year 1988-89 (continued)

Through the U.S. Department of Justice. Bureau of Justice Assistance

To reimburse states for expense incurred by the incarceration of Mariel-Cubans: \$9,755

Strategic Planning for Prison Industries to provide technical assistance in expanding their operations: \$24,660.

Assistance for the South Carolina Department of Corrections to further develop their Internal Management System through a behavioral classification system for female offenders: \$25,000.

Publications and Documents Fiscal Year 1988-89

The Department of Corrections has a continuous need to communicate its policy, progress and programs to elected and judicial officials throughout the State of South Carolina, to employees and inmates, and to the interested general public. To accomplish this task the Department uses a variety of regular and special publications:

Regular Reports

Annual Report of the Board of Corrections and the Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections. (Issued annually following the close of the fiscal year. Copies are sent to depository libraries throughout the state.)

Monthly Report to the Board of Corrections. (Prepared monthly from input provided by all echelons of management throughout the Department.)

Inmate Guide. (A generalized guide prepared from formal official documents and policy, rules and regulations of the Department; each inmate receives a copy when he/she is admitted to the Department.)

Youthful Offender Act Services Information Guide. (Designed to acquaint Youthful Offenders, their families, SCDC and other criminal justice personnel, parole volunteers, and the general public with the Youthful Offender Act and the Department's implementation thereof.)

Defendants' Quarterly Report on Compliance. (Submitted to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina pursuant to the 1985 negotiated Consent Decree in the matter of Plyler v. Evatt (originally Nelson v. Leeke). The reports outline the Department's compliance with the terms of the Agreement.

Quarterly Training Report for the Department of Corrections. (The Consent Decree mentioned above requires continuous monitoring of training of current and new employees. This report documents the progress made throughout the Department.)

Newsletters/Pamphlets

The Communicator. (A monthly brief about training dates, personnel news, major promotions and changes in employee benefits.)

The Intercom. (A quarterly newsletter for and about the Department of Corrections, its employees and inmates.)

SCDC Employee Newsletter. (In-depth reporting on matters of interest to all employees; published periodically.)

Good News and Hard Facts. (A pamphlet outlining what crime victims need to know about the Department of Corrections.)

Newsletters/Pamphlets (continued)

Operation Get Smart: An Inside View of Crime and Imprisonment. (Aimed at educating young people about the consequences of criminal behavior.)

About Face. (A quarterly newsletter prepared by and for inmates within the Department of Corrections.)

Issue Oriented Publications

Annual Report Executive Summary.

Correctional Officer's Basic Training Manual.

Detailed Budget for 1988-89.

Employee Assistance Program Brochure.

Employee Orientation Manual.

Executive Digest. (Each digest concentrates on one corrections issue arising outside of the Department of Corrections which is of professional interest.)

Minimum Standards for Local Detention Facilities in South Carolina.

Our Retirement System.

SCDC Employee Handbook.

SCDC Index - Information for Decisionmakers. (Each Index concentrates on one departmental issue of general interest to managers.)

SCDC Training Academy Student Handbook.

Sexual Harassment Brochure.

SITCON Manual. (Security Manual for special incidents. Restricted distribution.)

Supervisory Training Manual.

The Frugal Bugle. (A newsletter highlighting the Waste Watchers campaign.)

In-Service Training Calendar. (Lists in-service classes to be held at the Training Academy.)
Sales Literature

Prison Industries publishes a variety of sales literature describing products and services produced by inmates for sale to government agencies, non-profit organizations, jobbers and brokers doing business solely within South Carolina, and (for services alone) any other business or organization. This range of literature covers such areas as:

Kirkwood Furniture for offices.

Office Master Modular Office Systems.

Body Master Vehicle Reclamation.

Sign-Center (Decals, road signs, name tags & desk markers.)

Craft Master Furniture Refurbishing.

Inmate and Personnel Statistics

This and the next page are a "data snapshot" of the inmates and employees of the Department of Corrections. Detailed inmate and personnel statistics are presented in the tables and figures which follow. The data include average population, admissions, and releases during the fiscal year, and select information regarding the FY 1989 admissions and the total inmate population as of the end of the fiscal year. Also included is information on the Department of Corrections' workforce. Where appropriate, the statistical data are also presented graphically.

Profile of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989

Number of inmates admitted10,471
Sentenced by courts
Probation revocations
Parole revocations
Other (early release revocations, resentencing, death row)
Inmates admitted who were between 17 & 29 years of age59.0 $\%$
Average sentence length

Most Serious Offenses (71.9% of the 10,471 admissions)

Percent	tage	senten	ced	for	:

Dangerous Drugs:	17.2%
Traffic Offenses:	14.2%
Larceny:	13.5%
Burglary:	11.1%
Fraudulent Activities:	5.8%
Assault:	5.6%
Stolen Vehicle:	4.5%

Profile of Inmates Released During FY 1989

Number of inmates released8,48	0
Inmates who "maxed out"	6
Placed on probation (had split sentence)26	%
Paroled by the Youthful Offender Act Board9	%
Paroled by the Dept. of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services13	%
Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act releases	10
Other	%

Profile of Total Inmate Population as of June 30, 1989

Number of inmates in SCDC jurisdiction	15,258
Average sentence length	11 Yrs. 8 Mos.
Serving Youthful Offender Act sentences	5.2%
With sentences of more than 20 years (including life)	2.2%
With death sentences	0.3%
Who are white males	
Non-white males	58.8%
White females	2.1%
Non-white females	
Average age	
29 years of age or younger	

Most Serious Offenses (76.1% of the 15,258 inmates.)

Percentage sentenced for:

14.9%
14.4%
12.0%
11.2%
9.7%
7.0%
6.9%

Department of Corrections' Employees (as of June 16, 1989)

Total	5,466
Security personnel	
Non-security personnel	
Percentage of total who are white males	
Non-white males	
White females	
Non-white females	
Number of inmates per authorized correctional officer	3.7

	BASED ON STAT	E FUNDS SPENT	BASED ON ALL	FUNDS SPENT**
FISCAL YEAR	ANNUAL PER INMATE COSTS	DAILY PER INMATE COSTS	ANNUAL PER INMATE COSTS	DAILY PER INMATE COSTS
1979	4,796	13.14	5,488	15.03
1980	4,995	13.65	5,666	15.48
1981	6,067	16.62	6,489	17.78
1982	6,765	18.53	7,110	19.48
1983	7,332	20.09	7,520	20.60
1984	8,508	23.25	8,632	23.59
1985	9,290	25.45	9,476	25.96
1986	10,239	28.05	10,471	28.69
1987	11,471	31.43	11,721	32.11
1988	12,213	33.37	12,421	33.94
1989	12,925	35.41	13,237	36.27

Table 3Per Inmate Costs - Fiscal Years 1979 - 1989

*Calculation of the SCDC per inmate costs is based on the average number of inmates in SCDC facilities and does not include state inmates held in designated facilities, institutional diversionary programs or other non-SCDC locations.

**State, Federal and Special Revenues.

***Based on 365 days per year, except leap year when 366 days are used.

Minor adjustments have been made in the daily costs for 1980 and 1984 to reflect those were leap years.

Figure 3 Per Inmate Costs (All Funds) Fiscal Years 1979 - 1989



Table 4SCDC Average Inmate PopulationCalendar Years 1968 - 1989

PERCENT CHANGE OVER PREV. YEAF	ABSOLUTE CHANGE OVER PREV. YEAR	TOTAL* UNDER SCDC JURISDICTION	IN 2 DESIGNATED FACILITIES	1 SPECIAL PLACEMENTS	IN SCDC FACILITIES	CALENDAR YEAR
1,2	29	2,362		. 	2,362	1968
6.6	157	2,519			2,519	1969
7.4	186	2,705	·		2,705	1970
15.0	406	3,111		• • •	3,111	1971
6.1	189	3,300			3,300	1972
2.9	96	3,396		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3,396	1973
15.8	535	3,931		24	3,907	1974
39.5	1,553	5,484	379	26	5,079	1975
22.9	1,255	6,739	675	25	6,039	1976
9.5	641	7,380	762	28	6,590	1977
2.5	183	7,563	725	72	6,766	1978
1.5	116	7,679	703	179	6,797	1979
4.4	340	8,019	670	184	7,165	1980
2.5	203	8,222	628	304	7,290	1981
9.9	817	9,039	590	493	7,956	1982
6.4	583	9,622	554	902	8,166	1983
3.5	336	9,958	527	1,109	8,322	1984
-8.0	795	10,753	487	1,401	8,865	1985
11.8	1,216	11,969	470	1,682	9,817	1986
9.1	1,092	13,061	496	1,831	10,734	1987
4.3	563	13,624	467	1,882	11,275	1988
7.2	985	14,609	460	1,145	13,004	1989 * *

This category of inmates does not take up bedspace in SCDC facilities and has increased in number as institutional diversionary programs are implemented--Extended Work Release Program (in 1978), Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole Program (in 1982). Special placements included those inmates assigned to the State Law Enforcement Division, the Commissioner's Home, hospital facilities, Alston Wilkes Half-way Houses, Interstate Compact, authorized absences, Extended Work Release, Supervised Furlough, Provisional Parole, Shock Probation, and Restitution.

Suitable city, county and state facilities have been designated to house State inmates as a means of alleviating overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities, and facilitating work at the facilities and in the community.

* The jurisdiction count in this table does not include YOA parolees or inmates conditionally released under the Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (EPA) (S.C. Code of Laws, 1976, Section 24-3-1110) invoked in September, 1983, and EPA invoked in May, 1987. The average EPA counts were as follows: CY 1983 - 22; CY 1984 - 74; CY 1985 - 443; CY 1986 - 651; CY 1987 - 731 (EPA), 50 (EPA II); CY 1988 - 612 (EPA), 160 (EPAII); CY 1989 - 308 (EPA), 219 (EPAII).

** Average calculated from January, 1989 - June, 1989 population figures.

Figure 4 Average Inmate Population Calendar Years 1968 - 1988



Table 5SCDC Average Inmate Population - Fiscal Years 1968 - 1989

	FISCAL YEAR	IN SCDC FACILITIES	SPECIAL* PLACEMENTS	IN** DESIGNATED FACILITIES	TOTAL *** UNDER SCDC JURISDICTION	ABSOLUTE CHANGE OVER PREVIOUS YEAR	PERCENT CHANGE OVER PREVIOUS YEAR
-	1968	2,378			2,378	91	4.0
	1969	2,355			2,355	- 23	-1.0
	1970	2,537		-	2,537	182	7.7
	1971	2,859			2,859	322	12.7
	1972	3,239			3,239	380	13.3
	1973	3,341			3,341	102	3.1
	1974	3,517	25	• •	3,542	201	6.0
	1975	4,557	25	36	4,618	1,076	30.4
	1976	5,671	25	568	6,264	1,646	35.6
	1977	6,392	27	748	7,167	903	14.4
	1978	6,677	32	738	7,447	280	3.9
	1979	6,761	149	713	7,623	176	2.4
	1980	7,003	184	682	7,869	246	3.2
	1981	7,190	236	652	8,078	209	2.7
· •	1982	7,635	3 5 3	614	8,602	524	6.5
	1983	8,151	683	558	9,392	790	9.2
	1984	8,182	1,051	556	9,789	397	4.2
	1985	8,539	1,081	501	10,121	332	3.4
	1986	9,299	978	478	10,755	634	6.3
	1987	10,320	993	473	11,786	1,031	9.6
	1988	11,069	1,104	487	12,660	874	
-	1989	12,426	1,162	461	14,049	1,389	

*This category of inmates does not take up bedspace in SCDC facilities and has increased in number as institutional diversionary programs are implemented--Extended Work Release Program (in 1978), Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole Programs (in 1982). Special placements include those inmates assigned to Byrnes Clinical Center, the State Law Enforcement Division, the Criminal Justice Academy, the Commissioner's Home, hospital facilities, Alston Wilkes Half-way Houses, Interstate Corrections Compact, authorized absences, Extended Work Release, Supervised Furlough, Provisional Parole, Shock Probation, and Restitution.

**Suitable city, county and state facilities have been designated to house State inmates as a means of alleviating overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities, and facilitating work at the facilities and in the community.

***The jurisdiction count on this table does not include YOA parolees or inmates conditionally released under the Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (EPA) (S.C. Code of Laws 1976, Section 24-3-1110) invoked in September, 1983, and EPA invoked in May, 1987. The average EPA counts were as follows: FY 1984 - 24; FY 1985 - 271; FY 1986 - 574; FY 1987 - 768; FY 1988 - 654 (EPA), 126 (EPA II); FY 1989 - 377(EPA), 213 (EPA II). Figure 5 Average Inmate Population Fiscal Years 1968 - 1989



Table 6Admissions To and Releases From SCDC Base PopulationDuring FY 1989

			то	TAL
ADMISSIONS	MALE	FEMALE	Number	Percent
New Adminsters from Count	7 7 1 0	872	8,585	82.0
New Admissions from Court	7,713	21		
Indeterminate Sentence (YOA)*	723	1 - 1	744	7.1
Straight Sentence (Non-YOA)	6,451	779	7,230	69.0
Restitution Center	112	24	136	
Shock Probationers	427	48	475	4.5
Probation Revocations	783	51	834	8.0
Without New Sentence	426	33	459	4.4
With New Sentence	357	18	375	3.6
Parole Revocations	670	48	718	6.9
YOA Without New Sentence	193	6	199	1.9
YOA With New Sentence	4	0	4	0.0 * *
Non-YOA Without New Sentence	430	37	467	4.5
Non-YOA With New Sentence	43	5	48	0.5
Non-YOA with New Sentence	43		40	0.5
EPA Revocations***	170	12	182	1.7
EPA I	128	9	137	1.3
EPA II	42	3	45	0.4
Resentenced	125	4	129	1.2
Death Row	7	0	7	0.1
Other	15		1 6	0.2
TOTAL ADMISSIONS	9,483	988	10,471	100.1****
RELEASES				
Expiration of Sentence/Release				
Less Good Time	2,671	305	2,976	35.1
Placed on Probation	1,979	215	2,194	25.9
Paroled by YOA Board	705	23	728	8.6
Paroled by DPPPS*****	982	106	1,088	12.8
Resentenced	120	6	126	1.5
Released to EPA I	288	20	308	3.6
Released to EPA II	73	10	83	1.0
Deaths	33	1	34	0.4
Executed	O O		. 0	0.0
Shock Probationers	419	48	467	5.5
Restitution Center	81	15	96	1.1
Other Releases	350	30	380	4.5
TOTAL RELEASES	7,701	779	8,480	100.0
	1	<u>l</u>		

*See Appendix C for a detailed explanation of the Youthful Offender Act.

**Percent is less than 0.1%

***See page 4 for a discussion of releases under the Prison Overcrowding Powers Act.

****Total equals more than 100% due to rounding.

*****Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services.

Figure 6 Distribution of Average Inmate Population By Type of Facility During FY 1989



41

Figure 7 Race and Sex of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989



 $\left(\right)$

Table 7Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Regionof Inmates Admitted During FY 1989

	WHIT	EMALE	NON-WH	ITE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHIT	E FEMALE	то	TAL	
COMMITTING COUNTY	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent	RANK**
APPALACHIAN REGION***	1,815	48.9	2,102	36.4	201	55.7	228	36.6	4,346	41.4	-
Abbeville	18	0.5	40	0.7	1	0.3	2	0.3	61	0.6	38
Anderson	152	4.1	108	1.9	19	5.2	10	1.6	289	2.8	11
Cherokee	83	2.2	72	1.2	8	2.2	3	0.5	166	1.6	19
Edgefield	13	0.4	62	1.1	0	0.0	3	0.5	· 78	0.7	36
Greenville	641	17.3	870	15.1	82	22.7	119	19.0	1,712	16.3	. 1
Greenwood	64	1.7	119	2.1	10	2.8	10	1.6	203	1.9	13
Laurens	87	2.3	91	1.6	6	1.7	12	1.9	196	1.9	-15
McCormick	1	0.0	28	0.5	0	0.0	. 1	0.2	30	0.3	4.4
Oconee	51	1.4	21	0.4	10	2.8	7	1.1	89	0.8 -	33
Pickens	136	3.7	49	0.8	13	3.6	5	0.8	203	1.9	14
Saluda	11	0.3	14	0.2	. 1	0.3	. 1	0.2	27	0.3	45
Spartanburg	305	8.2	382	6.6	26	7.2	31	5.0	744	7.1	4
Union	41	1.1	59	1.0	5	1.4	3	0.5	108	1.0	27
York	212	5.7	187	3.2	20	5.5	21	3.4	440	4.2	6
MIDLANDS REGION***	958	25.7	1,810	31.4	78,0	21.8	215	34.3	3,061	29.2	-
Aiken	195	5.3	206	3.6	14	3.9	48	7.7	463	4.4	5
Bamberg	11	0.3	40	0.7	1	0,3	4	0.6	56	0.5	39
Barnwell	23	0.6	52	0.9	1	0.3	7	1.1	83	0.8	35
Calhoun	1	0.0	19	0.3	1	0.3	1	0.2	22	0.2	46
Chester	27	0.7	68	1.2	1	0.3	4	0.6	100	1.0	29
Clarendon	20	0.5	84	1.5	1	0.3	3	0.5	108	1.0	26
Fairfield	14	0.4	36	0.6	1	0.3	2	0.3	53	0.5	40
Kershaw	37	1.0	51	0.9	2	0.6	10	1.6	100	1.0	28
Lancaster	86	2.3	68	1.2	3	0.8	12	1.9	169	1.6	17
Lee	7	0.2	73	1.3	1	0.3	12	1.9	93	0.9	30
Levington	183	4.9	130	2.2	16	4.4	12	1.9	341	3.3	9
Newberry	52	1.4	76	1.3	5	1.4	6	1.0	139	1.3	22
Orangeburg	36	1.0	183	3.2	3	0.8	23	3.7	245	2.3	12
Richland	157	4.2	525	9.1	22	6.1	51	8.1	755	7.2	2
Sumter	109	2.9	199	3.4	-6	1.7	20	3.2	334	3,2	10
Conner										l	

43

Table 7 (continued)Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region
of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989

SCDC	
Annual	
Report	
FY	
88-89	

	WHIT	EMALE	NON-WH	ITE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHIT	E FEMALE	то	TAL	
COMMITTING COUNTY	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent	RANK*
COASTAL REGION***	930	25.1	1,867	32.2	83	23.2	183	29.2	3,063	29.2	-
Allendale	0	0.0	37	0.6	0	0.0	3	0.5	40	0.4	43
Beaufort	40	1.1	111	1.9	4	1.1	5	0.8	160	1.5	20
Berkeley	76	2.1	53	0.9	2	0.6	2	0.3	133	1.3	23
Charleston	191	5.2	499	8.6	28	7.7	37	5.9	755	7.2	3
Chesterfield	20	0.5	59	1.0	3	0.8	3	0.5	85	0.8	34
Colleton	24	0.6	57	1.0	3	0.8	13	2.1	97	0.9	30
Darlington	55	1.5	111	1.9	6	1.7	18	2.9	190	1.8	16
Dillon	27	0.7	54	0.9	2	0.6	7	1.1	90	0.9	32
Dorchester	43	1.2	69	1.2	2	0.6	3	0.5	117	.1.1	24
Florence	97	2.6	238	4.1	6	1.7	27	4.3	368	3.5	8
Georgetown	33	0.9	73	1.3	· 1	0.3	7	1.1	114	1.1	25
Hampton	8	0.2	41	0.7	1	0.3	2	0.3	52	0.5	41
Horry	227	6.1	160	2.8	19	5.2	17	2.7	423	4.0	7
Jasper	15	0.4	31	0.5	1	0.3	4	0.6	51	0:5	42
Marion	25	0.7	102	1.8	2	0.6	17	2.7	146	1.4	21
Marlboro	27	0.7	41	0.7	2	0.6	3	0.5	73	0.7	37
Williamsburg	22	0.6	131	2.3	1	0.3	15	2.4	169	1.6	18
OUT-OF-STATE	1	0.0	а. 1. О	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0	
TOTAL	3,704	99.7	5,779	100.0	362	100.7	626	100.1	10,471	99.8	-

*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

Ranking is in descending order according to number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked number one. *The regional percent is the sum of the counties in the region.

44

Figure 8 Inmate Admissions During FY 1989 by Committing County and Correctional Region



Table 8 **Offense Distribution of Inmates Admitted** During FY 1989

	M	ALE -	FE	MALE	тот	AL	CUMULAT	IVE TOTAL
OFFENSE						-	· · · · ·	
CLASSIFICATION*	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Traffic Offense	1,775	1,318	89	30	3,212	15.9	3,212	15.9
Larceny	995	1,596	91	259	2,941	14.6	6,153	30.5
Dangerous Drugs	711	1,803	80	238	2,832	14.1	8,985	44.6
Burglary	968	1,362	27	15	2,372	11.8	11,357	56.4
Fraud	413	514	262	265	1,454	7.2	12,811	63.6
Stolen Vehicle	380	553	2	6	941	4.7	13,752	68.3
Assault	251	624	11	46	932	4.6	14,684	72.9
Forgery	253	415	73	116	857	4.3	15,541	77.2
Robbery	133	516	7	19	675	3.4	16,216	80.5
Obstructing Police	144	305	4	27	480	2,4	16,696	82.9
Family Offenses	180	217	5	5	407	2.0	17.103	84.9
Weapon Offenses	101	240	2	9	352	1.7	17,455	86.7
Stolen Property	101	236	5	5	347	1.7	17.802	88.4
Homicide	102	162	12	18	294	1.5	18,096	89.8
Damaged Property	126	121	3	7	257	1.3	18,353	91.1
Sexual Assault	117	131	1	Ó	249	1.2	18,602	92.3
Obstructing Justice	57	151	15	25	248	1.2	18,850	93.6
Public Peace	77	109	5	22	213	1.1	19,063	94.6
Drunkenness	104	94	3	4	205	1.0	19,268	95.7
Acc. to Felony	74	97	11	16	198	1.0	19,466	96.6
Flight/Escape	106	69	4	4	183	0.9	19,649	97.5
Sex Offenses	92	45			138	0.3	19,787	98.2
Arson	46	35	8		93	0.5	19,880	98.7
Invasion	30	50	2	4	86	0.5		98.7
Commercial Sex Offenses	2	50	11	20			19,966	99.1
					37	0.2	20,003	
Smuggling	14	17	2	0	33	0.2	20,036	99.5
Liquor	8	12		2	23	0.1	20,059	99.6
Kidnapping	4	11	1	0	16	0.1	20,075	99.7
Crimes Against Persons	4	6	1	0	11	0.1	20,086	99.7
Gambling	3	5	0	1	9	0.0 * *		99.8
Embezzlement	-6	0	1	0	7	0.0**	20,102	99.8
Property Crimes	4	2	1	0	7	0.0	20,109	99.8
Conservation	5	1	0	0	6	0.0 * *	20,115	99.9
Habitual Offender	1	5	0	0	6	0.0 * *	20,121	99,9
Obscene Materials	5	· 0	0	0	5	0.0 * *	20,126	99.9
Bribery) - 1 - 1 - I	.3	0	0	4	0.0 * *	20,130	99.9
Public Order	1	2	0	0	3	0.0 * *	20,133	100.0
Tax Evasion	2	0	1 1	0	3	0.0 * *	20,136	100.0
Licensing Violations	1	1	Ö	.1	3	0.0**	20,139	100.0
Vagrancy	2	0	0	0	2	0.0 * *	20,141	100.0
Extortion	0	2	0	. 0	2	0.0 * *	20,143	100.0
TOTAL NUMBER	1					1	[
OF OFFENSES***	7,399	10,834	741	1,169	20,143	100.0	-	· -
TOTAL NUMBER	1		1		1	1		
OF OFFENDERS	3,704	5,779	362	626	10,471	- 1	-	_

*An elaboration of these offenses is included in Appendix B.

Percentage is less than 0.1%. *All offenses committed by inmates are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.

Note: The cumulative total percent column may vary from a summation of the total percent column due to rounding.

Figure 9 Offense Distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989



Table 9 Most Serious Offense of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989

	M	ALE	FE	ALE	тот	AL	CUMULATIV	E TOTAL
OFFENSE								- <u>-</u>
CLASSIFICATION*	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Dangerous Drugs	444	1,149	46	163	1,802	17.2	1,802	17.2
Traffic Offenses	810	622	48	11	1,491	14.2	3,293	31.4
Larceny	430	784	50	145	1,409	13.5	4,702	44.9
Burglary	455	683	14	8	1,160	11.1	5,862	56.0
Fraud	161	230	98	115	604	5.8	6,466	61.8
Assault	148	404	6	31	589	5.6	7,055	67.4
Stolen Vehicle	205	260	1	4	470	4.5	7,525	71.9
Forgery	114	215	3.9	60	428	4.1	7,953	76.0
Robbery	84	321	4	10	419	4.0	8,372	80.0
Family Offenses	151	. 191	. 2	3	347	3.3	8,719	83.3
Homicide	88	137	10	16	251	2.4	8,970	85.7
Obstructing Police	67	125	3	8	203	1.9	9,173	87.6
Stolen Property	59	135	3	2	199	1.9	9,372	89.5
Sexual Assault	97	96	1	0	194	1.9	9,566	91.4
Damaged Property	66	59	2	3	130	1.2	9,696	92.6
Drunkenness	67	44	1.	0	112	1.1	9,808	93.7
Weapon Offenses	29	81	. 0	2	112	1.1	9,920	94.7
Accessory to Felony	34	52	9	9	104	1.0	10,024	95.7
Sex Offenses	65	32	0	0	97	0.9	10,121	96.7
Public Peace	27	36	3	14	80	0.8	10,201	97.4
Obstructing Justice	19	37	3	6	65	0.6	10,266	98.0
Arson	31	21	8	4	64	0.6	10,330	98.7
Invasion	14	22	1	2	39	0.4	10,369	99.0
Flight/Escape	16	13	0	0	29	0.3	10,398	99.3
Commercial Sex Offenses	1	2	7	9	19	0.2	10,417	99.5
Smuggling	7	. 8	1	0	16	0.2	10,433	99.6
Kidnapping	2	6	1	0	9	0.1	10,442	99.7
Liquor	2	5	.0	0	7.	0.1	10,449	99.8
Habitual Offender	1	5	0	0	6	0.1	10,455	99.8
Embezziement	3	0	1	0	4	0.0 * *	10,459	99.9
Gambling	0	3	0	0	3	0.0 * *	10.462	99.9
Conservation	3	0	0	0	3	0.0 * *		99.9
Obscene Materials	2	0	0	0	2	0.0**	10.467	100.0
Bribery	ō	1	Ō	Ō	1	0.0 * *	10,468	100.0
Tax Reasion	1	Ó	0	Ó	1	0.0 * *	10,469	100.0
Crimes Against Person	1	o	0	o	1	0.0 * *	10,470	100.0
Licensing Violations	o o	o	Õ	1	1	0.0 * *	10,471	100.0
							·····	
TOTAL	3,704	5,779	362	626	10,471	100.0	-	

An elaboration of these offenses is included in Appendix B.
**Percentage is less than 0.1%.
Note: The cumulative total percent column may vary from a summation of the total percent column due to rounding.

Figure 10 Most Serious Offense of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989



Table 10 Sentence Length Distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989

	WHIT	E MALE	NON-WH	TE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WH	TE FEMALE	TOT	AL.
SENTENCE LENGTH	Number	Percent	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent*
Shock Probation	234	6.3	193	3.3	26	7.2	22	3.5	475	4,5
YOA	358	9.7	573	9.9	9	2.5	20	3,2	960	9.2
Restitution	55	1.5	57	1.0	11	3.0	13	2.1	136	1.3
3 Mos. or Less	205	5.5	272	4.7	15	4.1	29	4.6	521	5.0
3 Mos. 1 Day - 1 Year	758	20.5	1,033	17.9	88	24.3	140	22.4	2,019	19.3
l Year	344	9.3	483	8.4	43	11.9	61	9.7	931	8.9
1 Year 1 Day - 2 Years	360	9.7	481	8.3	41	11.3	83	13.3	965	9.2
2 Years 1 Day - 3 Years	244	6.6	455	7.9	39	10.8	68	10.9	806	7.7
3 Years 1 Day - 4 Years	147	4.0	203	3.5	16	4.4	36	5.8	402	3.8
4 Years 1 Day - 5 Years	246	6.6	481	8.3	31	8.6	53	8.5	811	7.7
5 Years 1 Day - 6 Years	73	2.0	147	2.5	8	2.2	6	1.0	234	2.2
6 Years 1 Day - 7 Years	60	1.6	136	2.4	. 8	2.2	18	2.9	222	2.1
7 Years 1 Day - 8 Years	71	1.9	166	2.9	2	0.6	15	2.4	254	2.4
8 Years 1 Day - 9 Years	41	1.1	65	1,1	0	0.0	11	1.8	117	1.1
9 Years 1 Day - 10 Years	153	4.1	269	4.7	θť	2.8	12	1.9	444	4.2
10 Years 1 Day - 20 Years	222	6.0	487	8.4	10	2.8	33	5.3	752	7.2
20 Years 1 Day - 30 Years	81	2.2	197	3.4	3	0.8	3	0.5	284	2.7
Over 30 Years	20	0.5	25	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	45	0.4
Life w/10 Year Parole Elig.	6	0.2	21	0.4	. 1	0.3	0	0.0	28	0.3
Life w/20 Year Parole Elig.	18	0,5	18	0.3	1.	0.3	2	0,3	39	0.4
Life w/30 Year Parole Elig.	5	0,1	13	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.2	19	0.2
Death	3	0.1	4	0.1	O	0.0	0	0.0	7	0,1
TOTAL	3,704	100.0	5779	100.0	362	100.1	626	100.3	10,471	99,9
AVERAGE Sentence Length**	4 Yean	s 5 Months	5 Years	5 Months	2 Year	s 11 Months	3 Yean	s 1 Month	4 Yea	rs 10 Month

*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding. **This average does not not include inmates with life, death and YOA sentences, shock probationers or restitutioners.



Sentence Length



	WHIT	EMALE	NON-WHI	TE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHITE	FEMALE	то	TAL
AGE	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percen
Under 17	3	0.1	7	0.1	o	0.0	0	0.0	10	0.1
17 - 19	504	13.6	661	11.4	22	6.1	41	6.5	1228	11.7
20 - 24	885	23.9	1,449	25.1	78	21.5	152	24.3	2,564	24.5
25 - 29	776	21.0	1,338	23.2	88	24.3	182	29.1	2,384	22.8
30 - 34	603	16.3	1,051	18.2	78	21.5	128	20.4	1,850	17.8
35 - 39	373	10.1	654	11.3	52	14.4	70	11.2	1,149	11.0
40 - 44	251	6.8	327	5.7	21	5.8	29	4.6	628	6.0
45 - 49	123	3.3	139	2.4	16	4.4	1.1	1.8	289	2.8
50 - 54	78	2.1	76	1.3	3	0.8	5	0.8	162	1.5
55 - 59	51	1.4	42	0,7	2	0.6	5	0.8	100	1.0
60 - 64	36	1.0	22	0.4	2	0.6	1	0.2	61	0.6
65 - 69	14	0.4	10	0.2	0	0.0	. 1	0.2	25	0.2
70 and Over	7	0.2	3	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.2	11	0.1
TOTAL	3,704	100.0	5,779	100.0	362	100.0	626	100.0	10,471	100.0
SPECIAL GROUPINGS						••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
17 YEARS	ŀ	112		162		2		12		288
18 AND OVER		3,589		5,610		360		614		10,173
21 AND OVER		3,005		4,840		329		561		8,735
24 AND UNDER		1,392		2,117		100		193		3,802
62 AND OVER		36		23		1		2		62
65 AND OVER		21		13		0		2		36
AVERAGE AGE		30		29		30		29		29

Table 11Age distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989

Figure 12 Age Distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989



	WHITE	MALE	NON-WH	ITE MALE	WHITE I	EMALE	NON-WHIT	E FEMALE	TOTA	L
PLANNING DISTRICTS*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
I. Appalachian	1,366	36.9	1,502	26.0	158	43.6	175	28.0	3,201	30.6
II. Upper Savannah,	194	5.2	354	6.1	18	5.0	29	4.6	595	5.7
III. Catawba	366	9,9	383	6.6	29	8.0	40	6.4	818	7.8
IV. Central Midlands	407	11.0	766	13.3	44	12.2	71	11.3	1,288	12.3
V. Lower Savannah	266	7.2	538	9.3	20	5.5	86	13.7	910	8.7
VI. Santee-Lynches	173	4.7	407	7.0	. 10	2.8	45	7.2	635	6.1
VII. Pee Dee	252	6,8	606	10.5	21	5.8	75	12.0	954	9.1
VIII. Waccamaw	282	7.6	363	6.3	21	5.8	39	6.2	705	6.7
IX. BerkChasnDorc.	310	8.4	620	10.7	32	8.8	42	6.7	1,004	9.6
X. Low Country	87	2.3	240	4.2	9	2.5	24	3.8	360	3.4
XI. Out-Of-State	1	0.0	0	0.0	Ő	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0
TOTAL	3,704	100.00	5,779	100.00	362	100.00	626	100.00	10,471	100.00

Table 12Distribution by Committing Planning Districts
of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989

*Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Appendix G.

Figure 13 Committing Planning Districts of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989

Planning Districts



Table 13Distribution by Committing Judicial Circuits of InmatesAdmitted During FY 1989

	T						T			
	WHITE	MALE	NON-WH	ITE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHITE	FEMALE	тот	AL
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1	80	2.2	272	4.7	· 6	. 1.7 • •	27	4.3	385	3.7
2	229	6.2	298	5.2	16	4.4	59	9.4	602	5.7
3	158	4.3	488	8.4	9	2.5	50	8.0	705	6.7
4	129	3.5	265	4.6	13	3.6	31	5.0	438	4.2
5	194	5.2	575	9.9	24	6.6	61	9.7	854	8.2
6	127	3.4	172	3.0	5	1.4	18	2.9	322	3.1
7	388	10.5	454	7.9	34	9.4	34	5.4	910	8.7
8	221	6.0	327	5.7	22	6.1	30	4.8	600	5.7
9	268	7.2	551	9.5	30	8.3	. 39	6.2	888	8.5
10	203	5.5	129	2.2	29	8.0	17	2.7	378	3.6
11	208	5.6	234	4.0	17	4.7	17	2.7	476	4.5
12	122	3.3	340	5.9	8	2.2	44	7.0	514	4.9
13	777	21.0	919	15.9	95	26.2	124	19.8	1,915	18.3
14	87	2.3	277	4.8	9	2.5	27	4.3	400	3,8
15	259	7.0	232	4.0	20	5.5	24	3.8	535	5.1
16	253	6.8	246	4.3	25	6.9	24	3.8	548	5.2
Out-Of-State	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	- 1	0.0
TOTAL	3,704	100.0	5,779	100.0	362	100.0	626	100.0	10,471	100.0

* Counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Appendix H.

Figure 14 Committing Judicial Circuits of Inmates Admitted During FY 1989



Figure 15 Race and Sex of Inmates - As Of June 30, 1989



Table 14Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region
of SCDC Total Inmate Population
(As of June 30, 1989)

	WHITE	MALE	NON-WH	ITE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHIT	E FEMALE	тс	TAL	
COMMITTING COUNTY	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	RANK**
APPALACHIAN REGION****	2,467	46.0	2,798	31.1	160	48.9	216	36.0	5,641	36.8	-
Abbeville	26	0.5	57	0.6	1	0.3	4	0.7	88	0.6	40
Anderson	298	5.6	216	2.4	17	5.2	. 11	1.8	542	3.6	9. 9
Cherokee	126	2.3	96	1.1	6	1.8	3	0.5	231	1.5	19
Edgefield	23	0.4	107	1.2	· 0 ·	0.0	7	1.2	137	0.9	34
Greenville	757	14.1	1,036	11.6	66	20.2	95	15.8	1,954	12.8	1
Greenwood	98	1.8	176	2.0	6	1.8	10	1.7	290	1.9	14
Laurens	93	1.7	109	1.2	6	1.8	11	1.8	219	1.4	20
McCormick	9	0.2	39	0.4	Ō	0.0	1	0.2	49	0.3	4.4
Oconee	106	2.0	22	0.2	8	2.5	5	0.8	141	0.9	31
Pickens	191	3.6	68	0.8	14	4.3	8	1.3	281	1.8	15
Saluda	7	0.1	22	0.2	2	0.6	1	0.2	32	0.2	46
Spartanburg	388	7.2	469	5.2	16	4.9	31	5.2	904	5.9	4
Union	57	1.1	93	1.0	4	1.2	3	0.5	157	1.0	27
York	288	5.4	288	3.2	14	4.3	26	4.3	616	4.0	6
MIDLANDS REGION***	1,388	26.1	2,943	32.9	85	26.0	208	35.0	4,624	30.2	•
Aiken	235	4.4	302	3.4	12	3.7	37	6.2	586	3.8	7
Bamberg	23	0.4	84	0.9	1	0.3	6	1.0	114	0.7	37
Barnwell	31	0.6	65	0.7	1	0.3	4	0.7	101	0.7	38
Calhoun	4	0.1	28	0.3	1	0.3	1	0.2	34	0.2	- 45
Chester	46	0.9	124	1.4	5	1.5	7	1.2	182	1.2	24
Clarendon	30	0.6	122	1.4	· 1	0.3	2	0.3	155	1.0	28
Fairfield	19	0.4	69	0.8	2	0.6	3	0.5	93	0.6	39
Kershaw	58	1.1	74	0.8	1	0.3	1.0	1.7	143	0.9	31
Lancaster	135	2.5	125	1.4	4	1.2	10	1.7	274	1.8	16
Lee	9	0.2	96	1.1	1	0.3	10	1.7	116.	.0.8	36
Lexington	289	5.4	184	2.1	16	4.9	13	2.2	502	3.3	10
Newberry	50	0.9	109	1.2	3	0.9	10	1.7	172	1.1	25
Orangeburg	55	1.0	300	3.3	1	0.3	24	4.0	380	2.5	12
Richland	283	5.3	968	10.8	28	8.6	52	8.7	1,331	8.7	2
Sumter	121	2.3	293	3.3	- 8	2.5	19	3.2	441	2.9	11

Table 14 (continued)Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region
of SCDC Total Inmate Population
(As of June 30, 1989)

	WHITE	MALE	NON-WI	ITE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHIT	E FEMALE	тс	DTAL	
COMMITTING COUNTY	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	RANK
COASTAL REGION***	1,506	28.0	3,225	36.0	· 81	24.7	177	29.5	4,989	32.6	-
Allendale	7	0.1	71	0.8	0	0.0	3	0.5	81	0.5	42
Beaufort	56	1.0	167	1.9	3	0.9	6	1.0	232	1.5	18
Berkeley	94	1.8	75	0.8	1	0.3	0	0.0	170	1.1	26
Charleston	305	5.7	942	10.5	28	8.6	39	6.5	1.314	8.6	3
Chesterfield	45	0.8	87	1.0	3	0.9	3	0.5	138	0.9	• 33
Colleton	40	0.7	9.8	1.1	3	0.9	10	1.7	151	1.0	29
Darlington	97	1.8	196	2.2	3	0.9	9	1.5	305	2.0	13
Dillon	49	0.9	91	1.0	o	0.0	6	1.0	146	1.0	30
Dorchester	80	1.5	104	1.2	2	0.6	4	0.7	190	1.2	23
Florence	149	2.8	388	4.3	9	2.8	28	4.7	574	3.8	8
Georgetown	45	0.8	137	1.5	0	0.0	8	1.3	190	1.2	22
Hampton	13	0.2	58	0.6	0	0.0	3	0.5	74	0.5	43
Horry	391	7.3	315	3.5	21	6.4	20	3.3	747	4.9	5
Jasper	25	0.5	52	0.6	2	0.6	5	0.8	84	0.6	41
Marion	46	0.9	140	1.6	1	0.3	13	2.2	200	1.3	21
Marlboro	37	0.7	87	.1.0	2	0.6	2	0.3	128	0.8	35
Williamsburg	27	0.5	217	2.4	3	0.9	18	3.0	265	1.7	17
OUT-OF-STATE	2	0.1	2	0.0	. °0	0.0	0	0.0	4	0.0 • • • •	
TOTAL	5,363	100.2	8,968	100.0	326	99.6	601	100.5	15,258	99.6	-

*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

**Ranking is in descending order according to number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked number one.

***The regional percent is the sum of the counties in the region.

****Percentage is less than 0.1%.

Figure 16 Committing Counties and Correctional Regions of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)



Table 15 Type of Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

	M	LE	FEN	ALE	тот	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		VE TOTAL
OFFENSE								
CLASSIFICATION'	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Larceny	2,024	3,058	90	277	5,449	15.7	5,449	15.7
Burglary	2,024	3,158	31	27	5,240	15.1	10,689	30.8
Dangerous Drugs	1,073	2,662	76	246	4,057	11.7	14,746	42.5
Robbery	714	2,143	19	39	2,915	8.4	17,661	50.9
Assault	726	1,538	19	55	2,338	6.7	19,999	57.6
Traffic Offenses	1,311	877	53	19	2,260	6.5	22,259	64.1
Homicide	748	1,095	66	87	1,996	5.7	24,255	69,8
Sexual Assault	681	839	7	1	1,528	4.4	25,783	74.2
Fraud	564	506	184	184	1,438	4.1	27,221	78.4
Stolen Vehicle	561	830	4	5	1,400	4.0	28,621	82.4
Forgery	422	653	78	113	1,266	3.6	29,887	86.1
Flight/Escape	467	311	10	12	8.00	2.3	30,687	88.4
Weapon Offense	214	416	7	10	647	1.9	31,334	90.2
Stolen Property	188	414	2	9	613	1.8	31,947	92.0
Obstructing Police	139	340	4	1.6	499	1.4	32,446	93,4
Accessory to Felony	154	229	19	21	423	1.2	32,869	94.7
Damaged Property	171	143	0	6	320	0.9	33,189	95.6
Sex Offenses	192	105	0.	0	297	0.9	33,486	96.4
Family Offenses	121	111	4	3	239	0.7	33,725	97.1
Kidnapping	100	116	3	2	221	0.6	33,946	97.8
Arson	118	86	6	5	215	0.6	34,161	98.4
Obstructing Justice	38	75	6	11	130	0.4	34,291	98.8
Smuggling	64	57	1	0	122	0.4	34,413	99.1
Public Peace	38	57	6	3	98	0.3	34,511	99.4
Invasion	42	30	2	2	76	0.2	34,587	99.6
Drunkenness	20	20	0	0	40	0.1	34,627	99.7
Commercial Sex Offenses	1	7	5	10	23	0.1	34,650	99.8
Crimes Against Persons	10	. 8	1	· 0'	19	0.1	34,669	99.8
Liquor	2	10	0	0	12	0.0 * *	34,681	99.9
Obscene Material	6	0	i o	0	6	0.0	34,687	99,9
Gambling	2	3	0	0	5	0.0	34,692	99.9
Extortion	3	2	0	0	5	0.0	34,697	99.9
Bribery	1 1	3	6	0	4	0.0	34,701	99.9
Licensing Violation	4	0	0	0	4	0.0	34,705	99.9
Conservation	3	1	0	0	4	0.0	34,709	100.0
Property Crimes	2	1 1	1	0	4	0.0	34,713	100.0
Embezziement	3	0	0	0	3	0.0	34,716	100.0
Public Order	- · · · ·	2	. 0	0	2	0.0	34,718	100,0
Vagrancy	1	1 1	0	0	2	0.0	34,720	100.0
Habitual Offender	0	2	· 0	0	2	0.0	34,722	100.0
Tax Evasion	1	1	0	0	2	0.0	34,724	100.0
Moral Decency	1	Ó	:0	0) <u>i i i i</u> i	0.0	34,725	100.0
TOTAL NUMBER				1		1	1	
OF OFFENSES***	12,954	19,910	698	1,163	34,725	100.0		-
TOTAL NUMBER				I	h		1	
OF OFFENDERS	5,363	8,968	326	601	15,258	- 1	-	-

*An elaboration of these offenses is included in Appendix B. **Any percentage shown as "0,0" is less than 0.1%.

***All offenses committed by an inmate are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates. Note: The cumulative total percent column may vary from a summation of the total percent column due to rounding.

Figure 17 Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)



Table 16 **Most Serious Offense Distribution** of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

	M	ALE	FE	MALE	тот	TAL	CUMULAT	IVE TOTAL
OFFENSE								
CLASSIFICATION*	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Burglary	877	1,364	13	13	2,267	14.9	2,267	14.9
Dangerous Drugs	557	1,434	40	163	2,194	14,4	4,461	29.2
Larceny	597	1,056	37	138	1,828	12.0	6,289	41.2
Homicide	634	935	57	79	1,705	11.2	7,994	52.4
Robbery	346	1,110	9	20	1,485	9.7	9,479	62.1
Sexual Assault	483	573	4	1	1,061	7.0	10,540	69,1
Assault	293	708	12	34	1,047	6.9	11,587	75.9
Traffic Offense	460	314	26	6	806	5.3	12,393	81.2
Stolen Vehicle	190	305	3	2	500	3.3	12,893	84.5
Forgery	142	252	38	51	483	3.2	13,376	87.7
Fraud	147	145	62	61	415	2.7	13,791	90.4
Stolen Property	91	186	1	1	279	1.8	14,070	92.2
Kidnapping	76	87	3	2	168	1.1	14,238	93.3
Sex Offenses	109	60	· 0	. 0	169	1.1	14,407	94.4
Family Offenses	75	89	1	2	167	1.1	14,574	95.5
Accessory to Felony	40	69	8	- 9	126	0.8	14,700	96.3
Damage to Property	64	50	· 0	2	116	0,8	14,816	97.1
Arson	53	47	5	4	1.09	0.7	14,925	97.8
Obstructing Police	36	6.6	1	2	105	0,7	15,030	98,5
Weapon Offense	20	57	0	4	.81	0,5	15,111	99.0
Invasion	20	9	1	1	31	0.2	15,142	99.2
Obstructing Justice	4	18	1.1	1	24	0.2	15,166	99.4
Flight/Escape	13	9	0	0	22	0.1	15,188	99.5
Drunkenness	12	5	0	0	17	0.1	15,205	99.7
Public Peace	7	7	0	. 1	15	0.1	15,220	99.8
Smuggling	8	5	1	0	14	0.1	15,234	99.8
Commercial Sex Offenses	0	4	3	4	1 11	0.1	15,245	99.9
Crimes Against Persons	4	1	· · · O	0	5	0.0 **	15,250	99.9
Embezzlement	2	. 0.	0	o o	2	0.0	15,252	100.0
Obscene Materials	2	0	0	0	2	0.0	15,254	100.0
Habitual Offenders	0	2	0	0	2	0.0	15,256	100.0
Bribery	0	1	0	0	1	0.0	15,257	100.0
Property Crimes	1	0	0	0	1	0.0	15,258	100.0
TOTAL	5,363	8,968	326	601	15,258	100.0		· ·

*An elaboration of these offenses is included in Appendix B. **Any percentage shown as "0.0" is less that 0.1%. Note: The cumulative total percent column may vary from a summation of the total percent column due to rounding.

Robbery 10% Homicide 11% Larceny 12% All Other Offenses 38% Dangerous Drugs 14%Burglary 15%



Table 17Sentence Length Distributionof SCDC Total Inmate Population(As Of June 30, 1989)

	T										
	WHIT	WHITE MALE		NON-WHITE MALE		WHITE FEMALE		NON-WHITE FEMALE		TOTAL	
SENTENCE DISTRIBUTION	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Shock Probation	50	0.9	40	0.4	2	0.6	6	1.0	98	0.6	
Restitution	22	0.4	17	0.2	7	2.1	4	0.7	50	0.3	
YOA	296	5.5	482	5.4	6	1.8	16	2.7	800	5.2	
3 Mos. or Less	35	0.7	48	0.5	3	0.9	6	1.0	92	0.6	
3 Mos. 1 Day - 1 Year	161	3.0	243	2.7	21	6.4	37	6.2	462	3.0	
1 Year	202	3.8	234	2.6	13	4.0	26	4.3	475	3.1	
1 Year 1 Day - 2 Years	292	5.4	419	4.7	27	8.3	74	12.3	812	5.3	
2 Years 1 Day - 3 Years	329	6.1	557	6.2	47	14.4	75	12.5	1,008	6.6	
3 Years 1 Day - 4 Years	216	. 4.0	313	3.5	19	5.8	42	7.0	590	3.9	
4 Years 1 Day - 5 Years	487	9.1	886	9.9	46	14.1	79	13.1	1,498	9.8	
5 Years 1 Day - 6 Years	193	3.6	310	3.5	11	3.4	16	2.7	530	3.5	
6 Years 1 Day - 7 Years	175	3.3	296	3,3	14	4.3	28	4.7	513	3.4	
7 Years 1 Day - 8 Years	194	3.6	380	4.2		1.8	24	4.0	604	4.0	
8 Years 1 Day - 9 Years	99	1.8	183	2.0	4	1.2	11	1.8	297	1.9	
9 Years 1 Day - 10 Years	441	8.2	821	9.2	19	5.8	26	4.3	1,307	8,6	
10 Years 1 Day - 20 Years	922	17.2	1,696	18,9	32	9.8	8.1	13.5	2,731	17.9	
20 Years 1 Day - 30 Years	563	10.5	1,028	11.5	17	5.2	21	3.5	1,629	10.7	
Over 30 Years	198	3.7	368	4.1	3	0.9	. 0	0.0	569	3.7	
Life w/10 Year Parole Elig.	199	3.7	261	2.9	5	1.5	6	1.0	471	3.1	
Life w/20 Year Parole Elig.	245	4.6	337	3.8	23	7.1	21	3.5	626	4.1	
Life w/30 Year Parole Elig.	20	0.4	27	0.3	1	0.3	2	0.3	50	0.3	
Death	24	0.4	22	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	0.3	
TOTAL	5,363	100.00	8,968	100.00	326	100.00	601	100.00	15,258	100.00	
AVERAGE SENTENCE LENGTH*	11 YRS. 8 MOS.		12 YRS. 3 MOS.		7 YRS. 3 MOS.		6 YRS. 6 MOS.		11 YEARS B MO		

*This average does not include inmates with life, death and YOA sentences, shock probationers or restitutioners.


Sentence Length



				·		÷	1			
	WHI	TE MALE	NON-WHI	TE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHI	TE FEMALE	тот	TAL
AGE*	Number	Percent**	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent**	Number	Percent
Under 17	2	0.0	3	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	0.0
17 - 19	276	5.1	456	5.1	9	2.8	19	3.2	760	5.0
20 - 24	1,007	18.8	1,848	20.6	40	12.3	103	17.1	2,998	19.6
25 - 29	1,273	23.7	2,335	26.0	77	23.6	1.74	29.0	3,859	25.3
30 - 34	1,075	20.0	1,904	21.2	90	27.6	135	22.5	3,204	21.0
35 - 39	708	13.2	1,259	14.0	54	16.6	97	16.1	2,118	13.9
40 - 44	488	9.1	618	6.9	26	8.0	34	5.7	1,166	7.6
45 - 49	242	4.5	260	2.9	17	5.2	14	2.3	533	3.5
50 - 54	128	2.4	123	1.4	7	2.1	12	2.0	270	1.8
55 - 59	72	1.3	84	0.9	3	0,9	8	1.3	167	1.1
60 - 64	56	1.0	40	0.4	1	0.3	1	0.2	98	0,6
65 - 69	26	0.5	22	0.2	1	0.3	3	0.5	52	0.3
70 and Over	10	0.2	16	0.2	1	0.3	1	0.2	28	0.2
TOTAL	5,363	99.8	8,968	99.8	326	100.0	601	100.1	15,258	99.9
SPECIAL GROUPS										
17 YEARS		32		60	¹ -	1		1		94
18 AND OVER		5,329	S 8	,905	3	25		600	15,1	59
21 AND OVER		4,917	8	,242	3	13		572	14,0	44
24 AND UNDER		1,285	2	,307		49		122	3,7	53
62 AND OVER		61		56		2		4	12	23
65 AND OVER		36		38		2	ļ	4	8	0
AVERAGE AGE		32		31		32		31	3	1

Table 18 Age Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

*This distribution reflects the age of inmates as of June 30, 1989. **Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

Figure 20 Age of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

Age



Table 19Age at Time of Admissionof SCDC Total Inmate Population(As Of June 30, 1989)

	WHI	TE MALE	NON-WHI	E MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHI	TE FEMALE	тот	AL		
AGE	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*		
Under 17	15	0.3	39	0.4	0	0.0	1	0.2	55	0.4		
17 - 19	689	12.8	1,233	13.7	19	5.8	33	5.5	1,974	12.9		
20 - 24	1,473	27.5	2,753	30.7	6 1	18.7	133	22.1	4,420	29.0		
25 - 29	1,184	22.1	2,102	23.4	77	23.6	185	30.8	3,548	23.3		
30 - 34	805	15.0	1,367	15.2	77	23.6	119	19.8	2,368	15.5		
35 - 39	552	10.3	782	8.7	50	15.3	75	12.5	1,459	9,6		
40 - 44	293	5.5	347	3.9	20	6.1	26	4.3	686	4.5		
45 - 49	158	2.9	152	1.7	15	4.6	11	1.8	336	2.2		
50 - 54	88	1.6	103	· 1.1	3	0.9	· 8	1.3	202	1.3		
55 - 59	53	1.0	48	0.5	2	0.6	5	0.8	108	0.7		
60 - 64	32	0.6	28	0,3	1	0.3	2	0.3	63	0.4		
65 - 69	13	0.2	9	0,1	0	0.0	2	0.3	2.4	0.2		
70 and Over	8	0.1	5	0,1	1	0.3	1	0.2	15	0.1		
TOTAL	5,363	99.9	8,968	99.8	326	99.8	601	99.9	15,258	100.1		
SPECIAL GROUPS				· .								
17 YEARS		143		274		5		6	42	8		
18 AND OVER		5,205		3,655		321		595	14,7	76		
21 AND OVER		4,350	-	7,225		298	550		550		12,4	23
24 AND UNDER		2,177		1,025		80		167	644	9		
62 AND OVER		33		29		2		4	68	3		
65 AND OVER		21		14	ļ	1		3	3)		
AVERAGE AGE	<u> </u>	29		27		31		29	2	8		

*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.



Age



SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Table 20

Security Level Distribution by Holding Correctional Region, Race and Sex of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

	WHIT	MALE	NON-WI	ITE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHITE	FEMALE	тот	L
SECURITY LEVEL	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
APPALACHIAN REGION										
	208	44.0				100.0		100.0	404	10 E
AA Trusty		11.2	211	9.5	8		4	100.0	431	10.5
A Trusty	644	34.7	887	39.8	0	0.0		0.0	1,531	37.4
B Medium	697	37.5	791	35.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,488	36.3
C Close	121	6,5	171	7.7	0.	0.0	0	0.0	292	7.1
M Maximum	98	5.3	88	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	186	4.5
Intake	11	0.6	7	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	0.4
Protective	35	1.9	10	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	45	1.1
Semi-Trusty	31	1.7	50	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	81	2.0
Restricted A	9	0,5	5	0.2) o	0.0	0	0.0	14	0.3
Administrative Segregation	4	0.2	6	0.5	ō	0.0	l o	0.0	10	0.2
TOTAL	1,858	100.0	2,226	100.0	8	100.0	4	100.0	4,096	100.0
TOTAL	1,828	100.0	2,226	100.0		100.0		100.0	4,096	100.0
MIDLANDS REGION										
AA Trusty	202	9,45	266	6.4	70	25.5	121	22.8	659	9,3
A Trusty	757	35.8	1,664	40.0	107	38.9	190	35.8	2,718	38.4
B Medium	662	31.3	1,441	34.7	82	29,8	189	35.7	2,374	33.5
C Close	140	6,6	265	6.4	7	2.5	17	3.2	429	6,1
M Maximum	143	6,8	282	6,8	l i	0.4	3	0.6	429	6.1
Intake	94	4.4	164	3.9	7	2.5	10	1.9	275	3.9
Protective	76	3.6		0.4	6	0.0	0	0.0	93	1.3
	10		17							
Semi-Trusty		0.5	10	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	0.3
Restricted A	17	0.8	30	0.7	9	0.0	0	0.0	47	0,7
Administrative Segregation	15	0.7	17	0,4	1. 1	0.4	0	0.0	33	0.5
TOTAL	2,116	100.0	4,156	100.0	275	100.0	530	100.0	7,077	100.0
COASTAL REGION		1.1.1		1	1.					1.1
	77	14.8	171	9,1	0	0,0	5	100.0	253	9,4
AA Trusty										
A Trusty	189	39.7	518	27.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	707	26.3
B Medium	418	31.8	891	47.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,309	48.7
C Close	45	3.7	108	5.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	153	5.7
M Maximum	10	3.7	59	3.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	69	2.6
Intake	17	2.0	26	1.4	0	0.0	0.	0,0	43	1.6
Protective	0	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SemI-Trusty	7	0,9	17	0.9	0	0.0	i o	0.0	24	0.9
Restricted A	19	1.7	48	2.5	ō	0.0	Ó	0.0	67	2.5
Administrative Segregation	12	1.7	50	2,6	ŏ	0.0	Ŏ.	0.0	62	2.3
TOTAL	794	101.7	1,888	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	2,687	100.0
	1	101.1	1,000	100.0	°	0.0		100.0	2,007	100.0
Other Locations*					1 ·		1			
AA Trusty	219	36.8	201	28,8	29	67.4	43	69.4	492	35.2
A Trusty	258	43.4	360	51.6	5	11.6	8	12.9	1 631	45.1
B Medium	30	5.0	5.2	7.4	2	4.7	3	4.8	87	6.2
C Close	12	2.0	11	1.6	2	4.7	1	1.6	26	1.9
M Maximum	4	0.7	3	0.4	- 1	2.3	0	0.0	8	0.6
Intake	61	10.3	58	8.3	4	9.3	7	11.3	130	9.3
Protective	4	0.7	Ō	0.0	Ö	0.0	l ò	0.0	4	0.3
Semi-Trusty	1 7	0.2	ŏ	0.0	ŏ	0.0	ŏ	0.0	1 7	0.1
Restricted A	6						0			
Administrative Segregation	ő	1.0	11	1,6 0,3	0	0.0		0.0	17	1.2
							1			
TOTAL	595	100.0	698	100.0	43	100.0	62	100.0	1,398	100.0
SCDC TOTAL		l		1 . ·	l	1	1 .	l		
AA Trusty	706	13,2	849	9.5	107	32.8	173	28.8	1,835	12.0
A Trusty	1,848	34.5	3,429	38.2	112	34.4	198	32.9	5,587	36.6
B Medium	1,807	33.7	3,175	35.4	84	25.8	192	31.9	5,258	34.5
C Close	318	5,9	555	6.2	9	2,8	18	3.0	900	5.9
M Maximum	255									
		4,8	432	4,8	2	0.6	3	0,5	692	4.5
Intake	183	3.4	255	2,8	11	3.4	17	2.8	466	3.1
Protective	115	2,1	27	0,3	0	0.0	0	0.0	142	0.9
Semi-Trusty	49	0,9	77	0.9	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	126	0,8
Restricted A	51	1.0	94	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	145	1.0
Administrative Segregation	31	0.6	75	0.8	i	0.3	Ō	0.0	107	0.7
OTAL	5 000	100.0	0.000	100.0	0.00	100.0		100.0	15050	100 0
TOTAL	5,363	100.0	8,968	100.0	326	100.0	601	100.0	15258	100.0

*These include designated facilities, hospital facilities, authorized absences, states under the corrections compact, and community diversionary programs.

Figure 22 Security Level of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

S[]



Table 21Committing Planning Districtsof SCDC Total Inmate Population(As Of June 30, 1989)

	WHITE	MALE	NON-WH	ITE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHIT	E FEMALE	TO	TAL
PLANNING DISTRICTS*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
I. Appalachian	1,864	34.8	1,908	21.3	128	39.3	153	25.5	4,053	26.6
II. Upper Savannah	256	4.8	508	5.7	15	4.6	34	5.7	813	5.3
III. Catawba	527	9.8	630	7.0	27	8.3	46	7.7	1,230	8,1
IV. Central Midlands	641	12.0	1,331	14.8	49	15.0	78	13.0	2,099	13.8
V. Lower Savannah	357	6.7	851	9.5	16	4.9	75	12.5	1,299	8.5
VI. Santee-Lynches	219	4.1	585	6.5	11	3.4	41	6.8	856	5.6
VII. Pee Dee	423	7.9	988	11.0	18	5.5	61	10.1	1,490	9.8
VIII. Waccamaw	462	8.6	670	7.5	24	7.4	46	7.7	1,202	7.9
IX. Berk. ChasnDorc.	479	8.9	1,121	12.5	30	9.2	43	7.2	1,673	11.0
X. Low Country	135	2.5	376	4.2	8.	2.5	24	4.0	543	3.6
XI. Out-Of-State	0	0.0	0	0.0	O	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	5,363	100.0	8,968	100.0	326	100.0	601	100.0	15,258	100.0

*Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Appendix G.

Figure 23 Committing Planning Districts of Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

Planning Districts

ĴĴ



SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

	T			·····		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			
JUDICIAL	WHITE	MALE	NON-WHI	TE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WHITE	FEMALE	тот	AL
CIRCUIT*	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1	140	2.6	432	4.8	4	1.2	29	4.8	605	4.0
2	290	5.4	452	5.0	14	4,3	4.7	7.8	803	5.3
3	187	3.5	728	8.1	13	4.0	49	8.2	977	6.4
4	227	4.2	459	5,1	8	2.5	20	3.3	714	4.7
5	342	6.4	1,042	1,1.6	29	8.9	62	10.3	1,475	9.7
6	201	3.7	318	3.5	11	3.4	20	3.3	550	3.6
7	513	9.6	565	6.3	22	6.7	34	5.7	1,134	7.4
8	267	5.0	452	5.0	16	4.9	35	5.8	770	5.0
9	399	7.4	1,017	11.3	29	8.9	39	6.5	1,484	9.7
10	404	7.5	239	2.7	25	7.7	16	2.7	684	4.5
11	328	6.1	351	3.9	18	5.5	22	3.7	719	4.7
12	195	3.6	528	5.9	10	3.1	41	6.8	774	5.1
13	946	17.6	1,105	12.3	80	24.5	103	17.1	2,234	14.6
14	142	2.6	446	5.0	8	2,5	27	4.5	623	4.1
15	435	8.1	452	5.0	21	6.4	28	4.7	936	6.1
16	345	6.4	382	4.3	18	5.5	29	4.8	774	5.1
Out-Of-State	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.0
TOTAL	5,363	100.0	8,968	100.0	326	100.0	601	100.0	15,258	100.0

Table 22Committing Judicial Circuitsof SCDC Total Inmate Population(As of June 30, 1989)

* Counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Appendix H.

 \mathbb{C}



Table 23 **Remaining Time to Serve Before Expiration of Sentence** of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

	WHITE	MALE	NON-WH	TE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WI	ITE FEMALE	тс	TAL
REMAINING TIME TO SERVE	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
YOA	296	5.5	482	5.4	6	1.8	16	2.7	800	5.2
Restitution	23	0.4	17	0.2	7	2.1	4	0.7	51	0.3
Shock Prob.	50	0.9	40	0.4	2	0.6	6	1.0	98	0.6
3 Mos. or Less	387	7.2	634	7.1	40	12.3	71	11.8	1,132	7.4
3 Mos. 1 Day - 6 Mos.	382	7.1	560	6.2	35	10.7	83	13.8	1,060	6.9
6 Mos. 1 Day - 9 Mos.	268	5.0	430	4.8	24	7.4	38	6.3	760	5.0
9 Mos. 1 Day - 1 Yr.	256	4.8	359	4.0	16	4.9	44	7.3	675	4.4
1 Yr. 1 Day - 2 Yrs.	775	14.5	1,314	14.7	62	19.0	98	16.3	2,249	14.7
2 Yrs. 1 Day - 3 Yrs.	533	9,9	1,003	11.2	38	11.7	68	11.3	1,642	10.8
3 Yrs. 1 Day - 4 Yrs.	360	6.7	683	7.6	12	3.7	41	6.8	1,096	7.2
4 Yrs. 1 Day - 5 Yrs.	293	5.5	524	5.8	17	5.2	29	4.8	863	5.7
5 Yrs, 1 Day - 6 Yrs,	256	4.8	444	5.0	4	1.2	. 14	2.3	718	4.7
6 Yrs. 1 Day - 7 Yrs.	186	3.5	338	3.8	5	1.5	14	2.3	543	3.6
7 Yrs. 1 Day - 8 Yrs.	141	2.6	282	3.1	6	1.8	13	2.2	442	2.9
8 Yrs, 1 Day - 9 Yrs.	106	2.0	237	2.6	4	1.2	· 7	1.2	354	2.3
9 Yrs. 1 Day - 10 Yrs.	112	2.1	. 189	2.1	5	1.5	13	2.2	319	2.1
10 Yrs. 1 Day - 15 Yrs.	315	5.9	492	5.5	10	3,1	12	2.0	829	5.4
15 Yrs. 1 Day - 20 Yrs.	73	1.4	177	2.0	2	0.6	1	0.2	253	1.7
20 Yrs. 1 Day - 25 Yrs.	26	0.5	55	0.6	. 1	0.3	o	0.0	82	0.5
25 Yrs. 1 Day - 30 Yrs.	19	0.4	29	0.3	. 0 -	0.0	0	0.0	48	0.3
Over 30 Yrs.	18	0.3	32	0.4	1	0.3	Ö	0.0	51	0.3
Life/Death	488	9.1	647	7.2	29	8.9	29	4.8	1,193	7.8
TOTAL	5,363	100	8,968	100	326	100	601	100	15,258	100
AVERAGE TIME TO SERVE**	4 Yeare C	Months	4 Years	2 Months	2 Years	7 Months	2 Year	s 5 Months	4 Years) Months

*Full Impact for statutory, meritorious, and work credits as earned have been included; projections as to credits to be accrued have not been made in time remaining calculations.
**Excludes youthful offenders, shock probationers, restitutioners, and inmates with life and death sentences.

ß

Figure 25 Remaining Time to Serve of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1989)

Remaining Time to Serve



SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

	WHIT	E MALE	NON-WH	ITE MALE	WHITE	FEMALE	NON-WH	ITE FEMALE	тот	TAL
TIME SERVED	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
3 Months or Less	858	26.4	947	21.3	86	25.7	138	31.0	2,029	23.9
3 - 6 Months	501	15.4	661	14.9	71	21.3	80	18.0	1,313	15,5
6 - 9 Months	378	11.6	524	11.8	42	12.6	71	16.0	1,015	12.0
9 - 12 Months	181	5.6	220	4.9	23	6.9	48	10.8	472	5.6
1 - 2 Years	622	19.1	898	20.2	61	18.3	6.7	15.1	1,648	19.4
2 - 3 Years	287	8.8	451	10.1	33	9.9	16	3.6	787	9.3
3 - 4 Years	145	4.5	225	5.1	8	2.4	12	2.7	390	4.6
4 - 5 Years	71	2.2	145	3.3	5	1.5	5	1.1	226	2.7
5 - 6 Years	61	1.9	109	2.5	1	0.3	4	0.9	175	2.1
6 - 7 Years	38	1.2	55	1.2	1	0.3	0	0.0	94	1.1
7 - 8 Years	27	0.8	48	1.1	0	0.0	1	0.2	76	0.9
8 - 9 Years	29	0.9	38	0.9	1	0.3	0	0.0	68	0.8
9 - 10 Years	14	0.4	25	0.6	· 1	0,3	0	0.0	40	0.5
10 - 15 Years	33	1.0	82	1.8	1	0.3	3	0.7	119	1.4
15 - 20 Years	7	0.2	19	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	0.3
20 - 30 Years	0	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0
Over 30 Years	1	0,0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0
TOTAL	3,253	100.0	4,448	100.0	334	100.0	445	100.0	8,480	100.0
AVERAGE TIME SERVED*	1 Year 7	Months	1 Year	11 Months	1 Year 0	Months	1 Year	2 Months	1 Year 9	Months

Table 24Distribution of Time ServedBy SCDC Inmates Released During Fiscal Year 1989

°Inmates released due to conditions such as paid fine, appeal bond, death, shock probation etc. are not included in these averages.

Figure 26 Distribution of Time Served by Inmates Released During FY 1989

Sentence Lengths



SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Table 25Distribution of Work Credits Earned and Type of Releaseof SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1989

WORK CREDITS EARNED	YOA PAROLE	PAROLE BY PROB./PAROLE/ PARDON BOARD	EXPIRATION OF SENTENCE	OTHER RELEASES*	PLACED ON PROBATION	EPA RELEASES	RESTITUTION	SHOCK PROBATIONERS	TOTAL
				_				407	1 404
N/A	728	0	15	91	7	0	96	467	1,404
0	0	18	444	304	219	5	0	. 0	990
1 - 50	0.	129	1,452	81	1,123	42	0	0	2,827
51 - 100	0	181	286	19	320	36	0	0	842
101 - 150	0	144	204	5	197	65	0	0	615
151 - 200	- 0	100	157	10	133	46	0	0	446
201 - 250	0	97	91	13	65	43	0	· 0	309
251 - 300	0	57	78	3	43	53	0	0	234
301 - 350	Ó	60	50	5	28	29	· 0	0	172
351 - 400	0	43	45	3	26	22	0	0	139
401 - 450	0	38	33	. 1	12	17	0	0	101
451 - 500	0	24	18	t	5	13	0	0	61
501 - 550	0	35	18	0	6	8	0	0	67
551 - 600	0	25	11	0	3	6	0	0	45
601 - 650	Ö	30	16	0	4	3	0	0	53
651 - 700	Ō	18	8	2	2	· •	0	0	31
701 - 750	0	16	8	. 1		0	0	0	26
751 - 800	0	12	5	o c	o	2	ō	0	19
801 - 850	0	14	4	. 1	0	0	0	0	19
	0	8	7	0	o ·	0	0	0	15
851 - 900		8		.0	ŏ		0	0	12
901 - 950	0		4	0	0	ů O	0	- o	13
951 - 1000	0	5	8	0	0	0	o o	o	13
1001 - 1050	0	9	4			0	0	o	8
1101 - 1150	0	5	3	0	0	. 0	0	o o	. 6
1151 - 1200	0	5		0			0	o	4
1201 - 1250	0.	3		0	. 0	- 0	0	0	4
1251 - 1300	0	2	1	0	0	0		0	2
1301 - 1350	-0	0.1	2	0	0	0	0	· 0	1
1351 - 1400	0	5 1 5	0	0	0	0	0		2
1401 - 1450	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
1451 - 1500	0	1	· 0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	·	·····
TOTAL RELEASES	728	1,088	2,976	540	2,194	391	96	467	8,480
TOTAL WORK				н Салантан (1997) Алантан (1997)					-
CREDITS EARNED	0	298,292	273,859	15,031	154,033	87,348	0	0	828,563
AVERAGE CREDITS									
EARNED PER									
INMATE RELEASE**	0	274	93	50	71	223	. 0	0	120

* Other releases include inmates discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine or died.

** Inmates who did not participate in motivational work programs, and inmates for whom work credits are not applicable are excluded from the

82

Table 26Community Program StatisticsFiscal Year 1989

INMATE FLOWS	30-DAY PRE-RELEASE PROGRAM	WORK RELEASE, EDUCATIONAL RELEASE, FEDERAL PROGRAMS	EXTENDED WORK RELEASE PROGRAM
Participants in Program at Beginning of Fiscal Year	204	746	205
Admitted During Fiscal Year	2,325	2,008	345
Total Loss During Fiscal Year	2,466	1,949	320
Dismissed Released Paroled Transferred	91 1,373 934 68	429 639 267 614	43 90 106 81
Participated in Program at End of Fiscal Year	63	805	230

Source: The Division of Community Services.

Table 27

Number and Percentage of Inmates Admitted to SCDC Under the 1975 Armed Robbery Act and the Life Sentence with 20- and 30-Year Parole Eligibility Acts (Fiscal Years 1976 - 1989)

			TES SENTENCE ROBBERY AC			ATES SENT		
					20	Years	30 Y	
FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL ADMS.	Number Admitted	Percent of Total Adms.	Average Sentence Length*	Number Admitted	Percent of Total Adms.	Number Admitted	Percent of Total Adms.
1976	5,408	249	4.6	18 years 1 month	N/A**	-	N/A***	-
1977	5,130	243	4.7	22 years 2 months	10	0.2	N/A	-
1978	5,150	218	4.2	19 years 2 months	46	0.9	N/A	-
1979	4,683	202	4.3	21 years 1 month	37	0.8	N/A	-
1980	5,049	191	3.8	22 years	57	1,1	N/A	-
1981	5,511	236	4.3	20 years 6 months	33	0.6	N/A	-
1982	5,830	149	2.6	21 years 10 months	53	0.9	N/A	-
1983	6,378	176	2.8	22 years 8 months	51	0.8	N/A	
1984	6,209	174	2.8	23 years 3 months	58	0.9	N/A	.
1985	6,750	203	3.0	23 years 8 months	52	0.8	N/A	-
1986	7,397	168	2.3	20 years 8 months	64	0,9	N/A	-
1987	7,952	229	2.9	25 years 1 month	49	0.6	9	0.1
1988	8,502	186	2.2	22 years 4 months	55	0.6	21	0.2
1989	10,471	256	2.4	19 years 7 months	39	0.4	19	0.2

Excludes life, death and YOA sentences.
 Not Applicable--Act was not legislated until June 8, 1977.
 Effective date June 3, 1986.

Table 28 Death Row Statistics Fiscal Year 1989

	MA	LE	FEM	ALE	
INMATE FLOWS	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	TOTAL
Total Number on Death Row at Beginning of Fiscal Year	24	19	0	0	43
Admitted During Fiscal Year	3	4	0	0	7
Total Loss During Fiscal Year	3	1	0	0	4
Sentence Commuted	<u></u>	0	· · · · ·	0	
Retried and Released	0	о	0	о	0
Resentenced	3	1	0	0	4
Death	0	0	О	· 0	o
Executed	0	0	0	0	0
Total Number on Death Row at End of Fiscal Year	2 4	2 2	0	0	4 6
Average Age	35 YRS.	29 YRS.	-	-	32 YRS.
Average Time Served	4 Yrs.10 Mos	4 Yrs. 6 Mos.			4 Yrs. 8 Mos

Table 29Distribution of SCDC Employeesby Race, Sex, and Type of Position(As Of June 16, 1989)

	Whi	White Malo		Non-White Male		Female		White male	TOTAL	
TYPE OF POSITION	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*
Security	976	17.9	1,528	28.0	324	5.9	556	10.2	3,384	61.9
Non-Security	798	14.6	327	6.0	629	11.5	328	6.0	2,082	38.1
SCDC TOTAL	1,774	32.5	1,855	33.9	953	17.4	884	16.2	5,466	100.0

1 Security Personnel includes all uniformed personnel, i.e: correctional officers, correctional officer assistant supervisors, correctional officer supervisors, and chief correctional officer supervisors.

*Percentages are based on the grand total of 5,466 employees as of June 16, 1989.

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

86

Figure 27 SCDC Employees by Race, Sex, and Type of Position (As Of June 16, 1989)



 $^{\circ}$

Table 30Distribution of SCDC Security Strength by Facility(As Of June 16, 1989)

Facilities	Number of Correctional Officers Authorized	Number of Correctional Officers Actually Assigned			Average Inmate	Number of inmates Per Authorized
		Male	Female	Total	Population	Corr. Officer
Appalachian Correctional Region	895	617	235	852	3,817	4.3
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Center	15	- 11	5	16	1.97	13.1
Catawba Work Center	15	13	2	15	140	9.3
Cross Anchor Correctional Institution	134	103	31	134	542	4.0
Dutchman Correctional Institution	142	96	38	134	530	3.7
Givens Youth Correctional Center	17	15	2	17	128	7.5
Greenwood Correctional Center	17	13	4	17	91	5.4
Livesay Work Center	10	9	1	10	95	9.5
McCormick Correctional Institution	231	154	67	221	980	4.2
Northside Correctional Center	42	29	10	39	293	7.0
Perry Correctional Institution	272	174	75	249	821	3.0
Midlands Correctional Region	1,734	1,269	418	1,687	6,642	3.8
Aiken Youth Correctional Center	61	50	10	60	301	4.9
Broad River Correctional Institution	374	295	69	364	1,165	3.1
Campbell Work Center	13	10	3	13	148	11.4
Central Correctional Institution	369	282	78	360	1,329	3.6
Goodman Correctional Institution	67	5.0	14	64	456	6.8
Kirkland Correctional Institution	268	218	40	258	673	2.5
Lower Savannah Work Center	10	9	1	10	81	8.1
Manning Correctional Institution	136	112	19	131	468	3.4
State Park Correctional Center	62	34	27	61	262	4.2
Geriatric/Handicapped Unit	•	-	-			-
Women's Work Release Unit			-	-	1.1	
Stevenson Correctional Institution	57	45	11	56	157	2.8
Walden Correctional Institution	56	41	14	55	301	5.4
Wateree River Correctional Institution	112	91	18	109	624	5.6
Watkins Pre-Release Center	18	15	3	18	136	7.6
Women's Correctional Center	131	17	111	128	541	4.1
Coastal Correctional Region	666	464	171	635	1,984	3.0
Allendale Correctional Institution	253	148	85	233	43	0.2
Coastal Work Center	16	12	3	15	155	9.7
Lieber Correctional Center	321	248	61	309	1,133	3.5
MacDougall Youth Correctional Center	67	4.9	20	69	554	8.3
Palmer Work Center	9	7	2	9	99	11.0
TOTAL	3,295**	2,350	824	3,174***	12,443****	3.7

Source: Division of Personnel Administration and Training

*This date is closest to the end of the period of which information for developing this table is available.

** This number excludes 34 authorized for the Byrnes Clinical Center, 6 for Training Adm., 3 for the Get Smart Team, and 1 for the Appalachian Regional Office.

*** This number excludes 34 assigned to the Byrnes Clinical Center, 5 for Training Adm., 3 for the Get Smart Team, 1 for the Appalachian Regional Office.

****Fiscal Year Average.

Appendices

- A. Statutory Authority of the Department of Corrections
- B. Offense Classification
- C. Youthful Offender Act
- D. Supervised Furlough
- E. Earned Work Credit
- F. Community Programs
- G. Counties Comprising Regional Councils (Planning Districts)
- H. Counties Comprising Judicial Circuits

Appendix A

Statutory Authority

The South Carolina Department of Corrections was created in 1960 (Title 24, Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976, as amended) as an administrative agency of the State government. The Department was charged to "implement and carry out the policy of the State with respect to its prison system...and the performance of such other duties and matters as may be delegated to it pursuant to law."

The State's policy is expressed in Section 24-1-20: "It shall be the policy of this State in the operation and management of the Department of Corrections to manage and conduct the Department in such a manner as will be consistent with the operation of a modern prison system, and with the view of making the system self-sustaining, and that those convicted of violating the law and sentenced to a term in the (Department of Corrections) shall have humane treatment, and be given opportunity, encouragement and training in the matter of reformation."

Title 24 also provides statutory authority for a Board of Corrections, employment of a general Commissioner, management and control of the prison system, fiscal and procurement activities, and such other matters as are essential to the operation of a modern state prison system.

Appendix B

Offense Classification

Arson

Assault

Aggravated Assault/Family/Non-Family/ Public Officer, With or Without Weapon Intimidation Assault and Battery With Intent to Kill

Bribery Bribe Giving/Offering/receiving Conflict of Interest Gratuity Giving/Offering/Receiving Kickback Giving/Offering/Receiving Athletes

Burglary Forcible Entry to Residence/Non-Residence Non-Forcible Entry to Residence/Non-Residence Possession of Burglary Tools

Commercialized Sex Offenses Keeping/Frequenting House of Ill Fame Procurement for Prostitution Prostition

Computer Crimes

Conservation Animals/Birds/Fish Environment License Stamp Animal Fighting or Baiting

Crimes Against Persons Hazing Lynching

Damage to Property Damage to Property Damage to Property with Explosive

Dangerous Drugs Distribution/Sale/Possession/Smuggling of: Hallucinogen Heroin Opium Cocaine Synthetic Narcotics Marijuana Amphetamines Barbiturates Legend Drugs Possession of Narcotic Equipment

Drunkenness

Election Laws

Embezzlement

Extortion Blackmail by Threatening: Injury to Person Damage to Property

Family Offenses Neglect or Non-Support Cruelty Toward Child/Wife Bigamy

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Family Offenses (continued) Contributing to Delinquency of Minor

Flight/Escape Flight to Avoid Prosecution Aiding Prison Escape Harboring Excape Escape or Attempted Escape

Forgery and Counterfeiting Forgery of Checks/ID Objects Passing/Distributing Counterfeit Items Forgery Free Text

Fraudulent Activities Mail Fraud or Other Swindling Impersonation False Statement Fraudulent Use of Credit Cards Insufficient Funds for Checks

Gambling Bookmaking Card/Dice Operation Possession/Transportation/Non-Registration of Gambling Device/Goods

Lottery Spons Tampering Transmitting Wager Information

Health/Safety Misbranded Drug/Food/Cosmetics Adulterated Drugs/Food/Cosmetics

Homicide Willful Killing Family/Non-Family Willful Killing Public Officer Negligible Manslaughter W/Vehicle or Weapon Manslaughter, Vol. or Invol. Poisoning Murder

Immigration Illegal Entry False Citizenship Smuggling Aliens

Invasion of Privacy Eavesdropping Information/Order Divulge Eavesdropping Equipment Open Sealed Communication Trespassing or Wiretapping Telephone Harassment

Kidnapping Kidnapping for Ransom Kidnapping to Sexually Assault Hostage for Escape Abduction, No Ransom or Assault Hijacking Aircraft

Larceny Pursesnatching Without Force Shoplifting Housebreaking Grand Larceny Pickpocket

Appendix B (continued)

Offense Classification

License Violation

Conducting Funeral Without License

Liquor

Manufacture/Sale/Possession of Liquor

MIscellaneous Crimes Accessory to a Felony Criminal Conspiracy Unremoved Container Door Keeping Child Out of School Misconduct in Office Possession of Tools for Crime Slander/Libel Tatooing

Obscene Materials Manufacture/Sale/Mail/Possession Distribution/Communication of Obscene Materials

Obstructing Justice Perjury Contempt of Court Misconduct of Judicial Officer Contempt of Congress/Legislature Parole/Probation/Conditional Release Violation Failure to Appear

Obstructing Police Resisting Officer Obstructing Criminal Investigation Making False Report Evidence Destroying Refusing to Aid Officer Unauthorized Communication with Prisoner Failure to Report Crime

Property Crimes Trespassing Unlawful Use of Property Theft of Cable TV Service

Public Peace Engaging in/Inciting Riot Unlawful Assembly False Fire Alarm Harassing Communication Desecrating Flag Disorderly Conduct Disturbing the Peace Curfew Violation Littering

Robbery Robbery With or Without Weapon Pursesnatching Bank Robbery Highway Robbery Armed Robbery Accessory to Armed Robbery

Sex Offenses Fondling of Child Homosexual Act Incest Indecent Exposure Bestiality Peeping Tom Seduction Sexual Assault Rape, With or Without Weapon Sodomy Statutory Rape Carnal Abuse Buggery Intent to Ravish Criminal Sexual Conduct

Smuggling Contraband In Prison To Avoid Paying Duty

Stolen Property Sale of Stolen Property Transportation of Stolen Property Receiving/Possession of Stolen Property

Stolen Vehicle Theft/Sale/Stripping Stolen Vehicle Receiving Stolen Vehicle Interstate Transportation of Unauthorized Use of Vehicle Aircraft Theft

Tax Revenue Income/Sale/Liquor Tax Evasion Tax Evasion

Traffic Offenses Hit and Run Transporting Dangerous Material Felony Driving Under the Influence Driving Under Influence/Suspension

Vagrancy

Weapon Offenses Altering Weapon Carrying Concealed/Prohibited Teaching Use, Transporting or Using Incendiary Device/Explosives Firing/Selling Weapon Threat to Burn/Bomb Possession in Violent Offense

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Appendix C

Youthful Offender Act

In 1968, the General Assembly enacted legislation, commonly referred to as the "Youthful Offender Act," to prescribe for the correction and treatment of youthful offenders (Section 24-19-10 through 24-19-160, Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976.) The following is a summary of the act, with supplemental notes on the administration thereof.

A "youthful offender" is any male or female offender who is at least seventeen but less than twenty-five years of age at the time of conviction.

Within the Department of Corrections, there is a Youthful Offender Division which through the end of this fiscal year 1988 carried out three primary functions: presentence investigation services and recommendations to the sentencing court; institutional services and supervision of youthful offenders committed to the Department's care; and aftercare services, i.e., parole of youthful offenders and professional supervision of the parolee. (The Department of Corrections has contracted with the S.C. Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services to perform the presentence, parole and aftercare services effective July 1, 1988.)

In the administration of the Act, the courts may release a youthful offender to the Department prior to sentencing for an observation and evaluation period of not more than 60 days. A thorough presentence investigation report is made to the court for use in adjudication and sentencing. The report is a factual and diagnostic case study, which includes a clinical interpretation of the offender's present attitude, feelings and emotional responses, together with an estimate of his prospects for change.

A youthful offender may be sentenced indefinitely (although the period may not exceed six years) to the custody of the Department. Upon sentencing, the youthful offender undergoes a series of interviews, a medical evaluation, psychological and educational testing, and is given an orientation on confinement within the Department. Youthful offenders are sent to minimum security institutions, and live in dormitories, wards, or rooms, depending on the institution. Work, education and counseling programs are prescribed, and it is the offender's progress in such programs which ultimately decides when or if he will be moved into pre-release work programs and eventually be paroled.

Parole of youthful offenders after they have served a portion of a court sentence is a conditional release of the offender. He remains under supervision, normally for a minimum of one year. Parole supervisors are responsible for providing constant, direct professional supervision of the youthful offender, as well as for organizing and developing the services of volunteers to assist in the aftercare program. Complaints against parolees are investigated and appropriate action taken when indicated. The Department may revoke an order of parole when the action is deemed necessary, and return the youthful offender parolee to a correctional institution for further treatment. A youthful offender is ultimately discharged unconditionally on or before six years from the date of his conviction.

The Act also provides that if the court finds the youthful offender will not derive benefit from treatment, the court may sentence the youthful offender under any other applicable penalty provision. Offenders so sentenced are also placed in the custody of the Department of Corrections.

Appendix D

Supervised Furlough

South Carolina enacted a Supervised Furlough Program in 1981, and the General Assembly modified the program in 1983, 1986, and 1987. Following is a summary of the program as provided for in Section 24-13-710, S.C. Code of Laws.

The Department of Corrections and the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services have developed a cooperative agreement for the operation of the Supervised Furlough Program. The program permits carefully screened and selected inmates who have served the mandatory minimum sentence as required by law or have not committed any one of certain specified crimes* to be released on furlough prior to parole eligibility under the supervision of the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. These inmates have the privilege of residing in an approved residence and continuing treatment, training, or employment in the community until parole eligibility or expiration of sentence, whichever is earlier.

The statute further provides that to be eligible for the program, an inmate must: (1) maintain a clear disciplinary record for at least six months prior to consideration; (2) demonstrate to Department of Corrections officials a general desire to become a law-abiding member of society; (3) satisfy any other reasonable requirements imposed upon him by the Department; (4) have an identifiable need for and willingness to participate in authorized community-based programs and rehabilitative services; and (5) have been committed to the Department of Corrections with a total sentence of five years or less as the first or second adult commitment for a criminal offense for which the inmate received a sentence of one year or more.

The Department of Corrections has established certain criteria which must be met by an otherwise eligible individual: no outstanding holds, wanteds, or detainers; must not have been removed from participation in a community program within six months of eligibility for supervised furlough; must not be released directly from a psychiatric unit; must not have escaped or been returned from escape within six months of eligibility; must not currently be a participant in the Extended Work Release Program; must have a residence in South Carolina verified and approved by the Department; must not have a pending disciplinary action that qualifies as a major institutional rules infraction; must have served at least six months of his sentence and be within six months of release; and must have served six months free of a major disciplinary infraction prior to eligibility date.

When placed in the Supervised Furlough Program, an inmate comes under the supervision of agents of the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services who insure the inmate's compliance with the rules, regulations, and conditions of the program, as well as monitoring the inmate's employment and participation in prescribed and authorized rehabilitative programs.

^{*(}Criminal sexual conduct in the third degree; or a lewd act upon a child under the age of fourteen; or a violent crime (i.e. murder, criminal sexual conduct in the first and second degree, assault and battery with intent to kill, kidnapping, voluntary manslaughter, armed robbery, drug trafficking, arson in the first degree, and burglary in the first and second degree).)

Appendix E

Earned Work Credit Program

The Earned Work Credit Program had its beginning in the Litter Control Program, Act 496, 1978, which substantially rewrote Section 24-13-230, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976. Currently, the SCDC Commissioner is authorized to allow a reduction of time served by inmates assigned to a productive duty assignment, or who are regularly enrolled in academic, technical, or vocational training programs.

The Earned Work Credit Program is considered a motivational program for inmates to help reduce their sentences, and is one strategy whereby the Department tries to stabilize inmate population, reduce overcrowding, and help control capital improvements and operating costs.

The Commissioner has determined the amount of credit to be earned for each duty classification or enrollment and published SCDC Policy 1700.1, which prescribes the guidelines and procedures for the management and administration of the program. At the end of the fiscal year, approximately 225 types of jobs in SCDC institutions were described and approved.

There are four job classification levels; Earned Work Credit is awarded on the basis of these classifications and work performed in the assigned job. An inmate must work at least five hours per day or at least 25 hours per week to be considered "full time" and awarded Earned Work Credits. The job classification levels are:

Level 2: One Earned Work Credit for each two days worked.

Level 3: One Earned Work Credit for each three days worked.

Level 5: One Earned Work Credit for each five days worked.

Level 7: One Earned Work Credit for each seven days worked.

Most of the jobs available to inmates fall into the following broad categories: cafeteria and food service, construction, driving vehicles, education and library, farm work, industrial jobs in prison industries, institutional maintenance, printers and photographers, public works projects, recreation, staff clerical support. Additionally, some inmates are in community placement (work release, extended work release and supervised furlough) and may be engaged in any one of hundreds of jobs found in their local community.

There are limitations on the Earned Work Credit Program; some of these are: anyone serving a life sentence for murder is prohibited from earning credits under the program; educational credits are not available to any individual convicted of a crime designated as violent in Section 16-1-60, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976; persons sentenced under the Shock Probation Program, Youthful Offender Act, serving sentences under the Interstate Corrections Compact in South Carolina, and inmates serving sentences for non-support/contempt of court are not eligible for EWC; the maximum annual credit for both work and educational credits is limited to 180 days.

During Fiscal Year 1989, an average of 12,025 inmates (82% of SCDC average daily population) were productively engaged and earned credits toward their time to serve. An additional 486 inmates, on the average, worked on jobs but due to their sentence category were not eligible for motivational work credits as specified in the Litter Control Program legislation.

SCDC Annual Report FY '88-89

Among those eligible for motivational work credit, a total of 1,190,482 credits were earned during the fiscal year for a productivity average of 99 credits per inmate. These credits ultimately will result in an earlier release date for each of these inmates at an average of 57 days per 100 credit days for those released with sentence served and 100 days per 100 credit days for those paroled. The distribution of credits earned and the type of release is presented in greater detail in Table 25.

The profile of inmates at each job classification level of productive work closest to the end of Fiscal Year 1989 was as follows:

Level	Full Time	Part Time	No. of Inmates
Two: One day credit for			
each two days worked.	4,535	4	4,539 (29.7%)
Three: One day credit for			
each three days worked.	3,165	13	3,178 (20.8%)
Five: One day credit for			
each five days worked.	2,277	62	2,339 (15.3%)
Seven: One day credit for			
each seven days worked.	1,142	199	1,341 (8.8%)
Unassigned /Not Earning			
Credit*.	3,861	0	3,861 (25.3%)
Total	14,980	278	15,258 (100.0%)

*Inmates undergoing transfer, reception and evaluation processing, administrative disciplinary action, unassigned, or on Death Row.

Earned Work Credits have the effect of reducing the SCDC population level (by reducing the time served of released inmates) and operational costs. Between July 1, 1988, and June 30, 1989, a total of 8,480 inmates were released from SCDC. Of that number, 6,231 inmates (73%) had their time served reduced via the productive work provisions of the Litter Control Program.

Appendix F

Community Programs Defined

30-Day Pre-Release Program

Inmates who complete their sentences or are provisionally paroled, participate in this program. It offers participants a series of pre-release training sessions at the Watkins Pre-Release Center and the Blue Ridge Community Pre-Release Center. Inmates on the 30-Day Pre-Release Program do not work in the community.

Work and Educational Release and Federal Referral Programs

Inmates participating in the Short-Term Work Release, Regular Work Release, Educational Release, and Federal Referral Programs work in the community during the day and reside in SCDC work centers. These programs have similar selection criteria but differ in terms of the inmates' remaining time to serve before eligibility for parole or other forms of release. The Federal Bureau of Prisons refers to SCDC some of their inmates who are legal residents of South Carolina and meet all the criteria for the SCDC Regular Work Release Program.

Extended Work Release Program

This program allows the exceptional work release inmate to continue employment in the community and reside with an approved community sponsor. Program participants continue to be responsible to the work center assigned and are maintained as authorized absentees.

Furglough Program

"AA" custody inmates within the Department are eligible to apply for 72-hour home visit furloughs four times during the year: Easter, July 4th, Labor Day, and Christmas. After an inmate successfully completes four consecutive 72-hour furloughs, he/she may apply for one 48-hour optional furlough per calendar year.

Furloughs may be granted for inmates to attend the funeral of an immediate family member, visit a critically ill family member, obtain outside medical services not otherwise available within the Department, contact prospective employers, or secure a suitable residence for use upon release or parole, or participate in educational/training programs, in the community.

Appendix G

South Carolina's Ten Regional Councils (Planning Districts)

In 1971, local governments throughout the state formed regional councils - sometimes called planning districts - to act on their behalf. The councils provide a variety of services requested by their local governments, including grants administration, economic development assistance, and planning and management assistance. The services vary from region to region, depending on local needs and priorities. The councils do not pass legislation, enforce laws or levy taxes. Their goal is to work with local governments and public agencies to increase their efficiency and effectiveness.

Presently, the ten regional councils are composed of the following counties (SCDC correctional regions are noted for reference purposes.)

SCDC Appalachian Correctional Region

- 1. South Carolina Appalachian Council of Governments Anderson, Cherokee, Greenville, Oconee, Pickens, and Spartanburg.
- 2. Upper Savannah Council of Governments Abbeville, Edgefield, Greenwood, Laurens, McCormick, and Saluda
- 3. Catawba Regional Planning Council Chester, Lancaster, York, and Union. (Chester and Lancaster counties are in the SCDC Midlands Correctional Region.)

SCDC Midlands Region

- 4. Central Midlands Regional Planning Council Fairfield, Lexington, Newberry, and Richland.
- 5. Lower Savannah Council of Governments Aiken, Allendale, Bamberg, Barnwell, Calhoun, and Orangeburg. (Allendale County is in the SCDC Coastal Correctional Region.)
- 6. Santee-Lynches Council for Governments Clarendon, Kershaw, Lee, and Sumter.

SCDC Coastal Correctional Region

- 7. Pee Dee Regional Council of Governments Chesterfield, Darlington, Dillon, Florence, Marion, and Marlboro.
- 8. Waccamaw Regional Planning and Development Council Georgetown, Horry, and Williamsburg.
- 9. Berkeley Charleston Dorchester Council of Governments Berkeley, Charleston, and Dorchester.
- 10. Lowcountry Council of Governments Beaufort, Colleton, Hampton, and Jasper.

Appendix H

Counties Comprising South Carolina Judicial Circuits

The General Assembly has divided the state into sixteen judicial circuits, and prescribed that one judge shall be elected from the first, second, sixth, twelfth, fourteenth, fifteenth, and sixteenth circuits, and two judges shall be elected from each of the others. These judges are elected by the General Assembly for a term of six years, as are six additional circuit judges without regard to county or circuit of residence. The Circuit Court is a general trial court with original jurisdiction in civil and criminal cases. Currently, the sixteen judicial circuits are composed of the following counties:

- 1: Calhoun...Dorchester...Orangeburg
- 2: Aiken...Bamberg...Barnwell
- 3: Clarendon...Lee...Sumter...Williamsburg
- 4: Chesterfield...Darlington...Dillon...Marlboro
- 5: Kershaw...Richland
- 6: Chester...Fairfield...Lancaster
- 7: Cherokee...Spartanburg
- 8: Abbeville...Greenwood...Laurens...Newberry
- 9: Charleston...Berkeley
- 10: Anderson...Oconee
- 11: Edgefield...Lexington...McCormick...Saluda
- 12: Florence...Marion
- 13: Greenville...Pickens
- 14: Allendale...Beaufort...Colleton...Hampton...Jasper
- 15: Georgetown...Horry
- 16: Union...York