If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.



-

GAO

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

General Government Division

B-233867

June 27, 1990

The Honorable Brock Adams Chairman, Subcommittee on the District of Columbia Committee on Appropriations United States Senate

The Honorable Thomas Harkin United States Senate

The Honorable Arlen Specter United States Senate

During October 1983, Congress funded a special prison initiative for the District of Columbia commonly referred to as the Criminal Justice Initiative (CJI). Congress' intention was to expand the academic and vocational education training programs in the District's overcrowded correctional institutions. Congress also envisioned that CJI would serve as a model education program for the Nation's correctional community.

Two former Subcommittee Chairmen, Thomas Harkin and Arlen Specter, asked for information on three questions relating to the planning and operation of CJI:

- What program planning challenges did the District face in originally organizing the CJI program?
- For what purposes were CJI operating funds spent?
- How many inmates obtained a job related to the CJI training received?

Background

The District of Columbia's Department of Corrections (DOC) is currently responsible for housing about 12,000 men and women sentenced by the courts and for preparing them to reenter society. To fulfill this role, DOC operates a variety of correctional facilities and contracts for some correctional services. DOC operates a detention facility in the District of Columbia, where it holds about 1,700 individuals until their cases are heard in court. After sentencing, inmates are housed at the DOC-operated institution in Lorton, Virginia; in state and local correctional facilities; at a federal facility; or at halfway houses in the District. The District contracts with state and local correctional facilities to house its inmates in order to reduce overcrowding at its Lorton facility. At the time of our

	review, DOC estimated that its inmate population exceeded its physical plant capacity by 23 percent.
	Congress recognized that the District faced a potentially dangerous prison crowding situation and that one reason was the high incidence of recidivism among D.C. offenders (estimated at 52 percent). Therefore, in fiscal year 1984 Congress provided the District with funds for an initia- tive to attempt to relieve the crowded conditions and break the cycle of recidivism. This effort was known as the Criminal Justice Emergency.
	recidivism. This effort was known as the Criminal Justice Emergency Initiative, later known as CJI. From fiscal year 1984 through fiscal year 1986, \$41 million in federal funds were provided to the District for CJI. The objectives of CJI were to
	 hire additional prison staff to provide security and to process the ever- increasing resident population more effectively; support expansion of the education program by hiring additional instructors and support staff and by purchasing equipment; and build, renovate, and equip classroom and vocational facilities.
	The objective of the education portion of CJI was to provide the inmates with basic life skills and a trade that they could use upon release. This objective was to be accomplished by expanding the academic and voca- tional training programs available to inmates at the District's correc- tional institutions.
	Federal funding for CJI ended in fiscal year 1986. Since then the District has used its own funds to continue these educational programs.
Objectives, Scope, and Methodology	In order to answer the three questions raised concerning the planning and operation of CJI, we interviewed DOC officials who were involved with, or had knowledge of, its initial organization. We also reviewed DOC files and records on early CJI program planning and implementation. However, these files were incomplete, limiting our ability to pursue all aspects of each question. For example, only limited program planning documentation was available. Thus, we could not determine how the concerns of facility managers and security staffs were addressed by the education staff, a problem noted by several DOC officials. We used the computerized files of DOC's management information system to obtain job placement information for the period from October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989. Prior to October 1986, DOC did not maintain job placement data on a departmentwide basis. The data identified (1) the number of job placements, (2) job titles, (3) hourly salaries, and (4) the number of jobs

that were related to the CJI training received while incarcerated. However, during our analysis of the DOC records, we became aware of significant data accuracy problems that restricted our ability to analyze and draw conclusions about the impact of CJI. These problems are discussed in detail in appendix III.

Our work was done between August 1988 and October 1989 and in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

Results

The following responses to the questions were based on the best information available at the time of our review:

- The District faced formidable challenges in initially planning and organizing the CJI program. The District originally planned for a \$750,000 appropriation to develop a basic literacy program for prisoners. However, the planning figure was later increased to \$8 million when a congressional representative told the District that Congress was considering a larger appropriation. About 3 months from the time the District was first contacted by Congress, Congress appropriated \$22.3 million. Thus, DOC had only about 3 months to plan and organize the CJI effort, and Congress immediately pressed for results. In response, DOC officials reorganized the correctional education program in an attempt to expedite the planning and implementation of the program. However, problems with the way this management effort was carried out actually delayed program implementation. (See app. I.)
- Of the \$41 million total CJI federal appropriation provided between fiscal years 1984 and 1986, \$27.3 million was for operating expenses and \$13.7 million was for capital projects. Over 50 percent of the operating funds were used to support the education portion of CJI. During each succeeding fiscal year in which federal CJI funds were provided, DOC spent a larger percentage of its CJI operating funds on education—in fiscal year 1984, 35 percent; in 1985, 58 percent; and in 1986, 100 percent. (See app. II.)

DOC's current job placement information system significantly limits the ability of DOC managers to accurately assess program performance and make well-informed managerial decisions. Our analysis of DOC's computerized job placement records showed that the data were incomplete, not standardized, and inaccurate. For example, 45 percent of the records did not indicate whether the placement was related to the CJI training received. Further limiting program evaluation is the lack of information that could provide a complete profile of the job obtained and its relationship to the training received. There is no requirement for the placement file to contain (1) job descriptions, (2) data on why a particular placement was deemed to be related to the CJI training received, or (3) information on noneducation factors that influenced an inmate to take a particular job. Until the existing data problems are corrected and the additional data elements added, we believe that a significant shortfall exists in DOC's job placement database, thereby reducing its usefulness to DOC management. (See app. III.)

Our analysis of the limited data available shows that from October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989, there have been 3,944 job placements. Of these, 392 (10 percent) were reported to be related to the CJI training received. The perceived low ratio of CJI placements is influenced by noneducation factors. These factors are (1) the practice of inmates taking the first available job in order to be eligible for parole; (2) the practice of inmates taking a non-CJI-related job because it provided better benefits, especially medical; and (3) the lack and/or cost of transportation, causing inmates to take a job close to their homes.

Jobs under the title "Laborer" were obtained most frequently, representing 123 (31 percent) of the CJI-related placements. For the CJI-related group as a whole, the average hourly salary obtained was \$5.90. (See app. III.)

The chronic overcrowding that exists in the District's correctional system works against efforts to develop and sustain an effective education program. DOC estimates that the inmate population will exceed the physical plant population well into the 1990s and perhaps longer, depending on how quickly additional facilities are built. According to DOC officials, overcrowding results in inmate transfers that cause significant breaks in an inmate's education and creates an environment not conducive to learning. Inmates transferred to other state and local facilities are often those enrolled in the education program and picked by the receiving institution because their involvement in the program is considered a positive trait. (See app. III.)

Conclusion

District managers need reasonably complete, standardized, and accurate data regarding the CJI program in order to both make management decisions and evaluate program results. Our analysis of DOC's job placement records, however, showed that the data contained in those records were incomplete, not standardized, and inaccurate. These problems impede using this information for making well-informed management decisions to improve program effectiveness.

Recommendations	To improve the usefulness and validity of its computerized job place- ment data, we recommend that the Mayor of the District of Columbia instruct the Director of DOC to take the following actions:
	 Review existing job placement input controls to determine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. Develop standardized job title information so that all similar job placements are grouped under the same job title. Provide in the computerized record, information relating to (1) job description, (2) why a particular placement was deemed to be related to the CJI training received, and (3) any noneducation factors that influenced an inmate to take a particular job.
Agency Comments	The District of Columbia Government concurred with the report's find- ings, conclusion, and recommendations, noting that many of these issues are not new. The District listed a variety of corrective actions that it will take to address our recommendations. In particular, the District will strengthen controls over the input of job placement data by placing data responsibilities in one person, having a quality assurance team review current controls for accuracy, and adding more equipment. In addition, the District will provide additional staff training and acquire new software to expand and improve its job placement database. The com- plete comments of the District are in appendix IV.
	As arranged with your offices, unless you publicly announce the con- tents of this report earlier, we plan no further distribution until 30 days from the issue date. At that time, we will send copies to other interested parties.
	Major contributors to this report are listed in appendix V. If you have any questions concerning this report, please contact me on 275-8387.

Contents

Government

Letter		. 1
Appendix I What Program Planning Challenges Did the District Face in Originally Organizing the CJI Program?	More Time Was Needed to Plan and Organize CJI Centralizing the Education Program Caused Problems Use of Contractor in Lieu of Normal Procurement Channels Lessons Learned: Future Efforts Need More Time and Greater Emphasis on Planning	8 8 10 11 12
Appendix II For What Purpose Were CJI Operating	CJI Operating Fund Expenditures for Fiscal Years 1984 Through 1986	13 13
Funds Spent?	DOC Spent 35 Percent of Its 1984 CJI Operating Funds on Education DOC Spent 58 Percent of Its 1985 CJI Operating Funds on	14 14
	Education All Fiscal Year 1986 CJI Operating Funds Spent on Education District Now Supports Education Programs	15 15
Appendix III How Many Inmates Obtained a Job Related	Data Errors in DOC's Job Placement File CJI-Related Placements Represent 10 Percent of Total Placements	16 16 18
to the CJI Training Received?	Most Common Job Obtained—Laborer Salaries Average Less Than \$6.00 Per Hour Prison Crowding—A Significant Problem Facing the Education Program	19 21 22
Appendix IV Comments of the District of Columbia		25

GAO/GGD-90-89 D.C. Government

Contents

Appendix V
Major Contributors to
This Report

í

Tables	Table II.1: Expenditure of CJI Operating Funds, Fiscal Years 1984, 1985, and 1986	13
	Table II.2: Expenditure of CJI Operating Funds, Fiscal Year 1984	14
	Table II.3: Expenditure of CJI Operating Funds, Fiscal Year 1985	15
	Table II.4: Expenditure of CJI Operating Funds, Fiscal Year 1986	15
	Table III.1: Average Hourly Salary, Fiscal Years 1987 Through 1989	22
Figures		10
I Igules	Figure III.1: Job Placements by Fiscal Quarter, October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989	18
	Figure III.2: Most Frequently Obtained Job for Inmates With CJI-Related Training, October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989	20
	Figure III.3: Most Frequently Obtained Job for Inmates Without CJI-Related Training, October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989	21
	Figure III.4: Inmate Year-End Population by Fiscal Year, 1983 Through 1989	22
	Figure III.5: Inmate Year-End Population by Facility, Fiscal Years 1983 Through 1989	23

Abbreviations

CJI	Criminal Justice Initiative
DOC	Department of Corrections

28

What Program Planning Challenges Did the District Face in Originally Organizing the CJI Program?

	In October 1983, Congress provided the District of Columbia's Depart- ment of Corrections (DOC) with \$22.3 million in Criminal Justice Initia- tive (CJI) funds, of which \$12.4 million was for operating expenses. CJI operating funds were to be used in part to implement a correctional edu- cation program that would be viewed as a model for the rest of the United States. However, the District's initial planning efforts were ham- pered by insufficient lead time for proper planning and Congress' desire for quick results. These conditions created formidable challenges for the District.
	In response to the CJI challenge, DOC reorganized its education function by centralizing program management. The goal was to expedite and gain better control over CJI planning and implementation. However, commu- nication problems emerged between the DOC security staff, facility man- agers, and the education staff. These problems resulted in slowing CJI education program implementation. DOC also hired a private contractor to perform a variety of administrative services, such as obtaining teachers and supplies for the program. DOC believed that the in-house procurement process was too slow. Again, the goal was to be responsive to the congressional call for quick action.
	Before fiscal year 1984, DOC operated a relatively small education pro-
More Time Was Needed to Plan and Organize CJI	gram. When it needed to expand its program quickly because of the CJI initiative, DOC took actions it thought would speed program implementation. However, the 3-month lead time provided by Congress was not enough for DOC to effectively plan and organize the program.
Needed to Plan and	gram. When it needed to expand its program quickly because of the CJI initiative, DOC took actions it thought would speed program implementa- tion. However, the 3-month lead time provided by Congress was not

	Appendix I What Program Planning Challenges Did the District Face in Originally Organizing the CJI Program?
	appropriations act. According to the former Assistant Director for Administrative Services, DOC had developed only preliminary staffing and budget configurations by October 1983.
	The appropriations act provided \$22.3 million for CJI. According to the act's conference report, \$9.9 million was for capital improvements and \$12.4 million was for operating expenses. (We have previously reported on the use of CJI capital funds. ¹) The conference report also contained a breakdown of how the conferees intended the operating funds to be used. The operating expenses were broken down as follows: (1) \$487,000 for classification and parole officers and records clerks; (2) \$3.7 million for additional corrections officers; and (3) \$8.2 million for education and vocational programs. However, because the operating expense breakdown was not incorporated into the act either expressly or by reference, the District was not legally bound to follow it. The \$8.2 million DOC spent for education during fiscal year 1982. ² DOC was required to spend the operating funds during fiscal year 1984 or lose them.
Congress Stressed Results	In addition to the funding challenges, the District was also being chal- lenged by Congress to show program results quickly. At a November 1983 congressional CJI oversight hearing, CJI's principal congressional sponsor noted that if the program yielded results, appropriations for other jurisdictions could become available. He also noted that future DOC CJI appropriations would be influenced by program accomplishments. A congressional representative confirmed that pressure was placed on DOC to get the CJI program going. DOC officials said that quick results were desired because CJI was considered a pilot program that could be used as a national model.
	¹ D.C. Government: Problems Have Created Delays in Constructing Education Facilities at Lorton,

(GAO/GGD-88-1BR, Oct. 1987).

 2 Fiscal year 1982, rather than 1983, was used for comparison because 1983 data were not available. According to a DOC budget official, a reporting format change instituted in fiscal year 1983 discontinued recording expenditures at the program level of detail, i.e., education. The official said, however, that fiscal year 1983 expenditures for education were similar to those made during fiscal year 1982. Data prior to fiscal year 1982 were not available. Appendix I What Program Planning Challenges Did the District Face in Originally Organizing the CJI Program?

Centralizing the Education Program Caused Problems	To expedite CJI implementation, DOC changed its educational program management approach. DOC took program control away from the staffs of the individual correctional facilities and gave it to a centralized man- agement staff. However, this action resulted in conflict and a lack of communication among the education, facility management, and security staffs, which actually hindered program implementation.
	Before the CJI initiative, each correctional facility manager had been in charge of that facility's education program—a decentralized manage- ment approach. On November 27, 1983, all institutionally based aca- demic and vocational programs were reorganized into a single program under the new position of Assistant Director for Educational Services. The Assistant Director became responsible for and had control over all personnel, expenditures, and services provided under the education por- tion of the CJI appropriation. The Assistant Director also assumed con- trol over existing educational programs, including personnel, equipment, supplies, and space.
	This new organization encountered substantial communication problems. It did not effectively address the different priorities of the DOC staffs, according to a former DOC Director. While the Education Ser- vices staff's primary interest was the establishment of an education pro- gram, the corrections staff's priority was facility and personnel security. According to DOC officials, the corrections staff's input in the planning and implementation of the program was not obtained. According to the former DOC Director, the lack of communication resulted in a "we- versus-them attitude" and "no buy in" from security personnel and facility administrators. He said that as a result, the education function never became integrated into the mainstream of the correctional envi- ronment, and implementation was hampered.
	In August 1987, DOC decentralized management of the education pro- gram, and facility administrators were once again responsible for the education program within their facilities. This was done in response to congressional concern that DOC facility administrators should become more involved in the daily operation of the education program.
	Currently, the education division's responsibility is the development and monitoring of the program, with day-to-day program implementation and supervision done by the facility administrators. The DOC officials currently responsible for education and management of the facilities believe that facility administrators have to be involved with the pro- gram and that this was a positive change.

Appendix I What Program Planning Challenges Did the District Face in Originally Organizing the CJI Program?

Use of Contractor in Lieu of Normal Procurement Channels In addition to centralizing management of the education function, DOC used a private contractor to expedite program implementation. This contractor became the procurement agency for CJI needs from December 1983 until contract termination in April 1986. During this period, the contractor assisted in program development, implementation, and monitoring; hired and paid CJI staff; provided travel services; hired consultants; and purchased supplies and equipment. The contractor paid the cost of the services rendered and was then reimbursed by DOC for the direct cost of the service provided plus 30 percent to cover the contractor's overhead and profit.

According to DOC officials, DOC used a contractor rather than the District's normal procurement channels to obtain services because of the mandate to implement CJI quickly. DOC CJI officials considered the normal procurement channels too time-consuming for quick implementation. Because of the amount of time that has passed and the lack of available data, we were not able to determine to what extent the alleged slowness on the part of the District's procurement and personnel functions existed. However, within about 2 months of beginning to assist DOC (February-March 1984), the contractor was purchasing supplies and equipment for DOC and paying the salaries of 62 CJI staff members. The contractor would pay all costs, including salaries, and would then be reimbursed by DOC for its expenditures plus 30 percent. The 30 percent extra represented the contractor's overhead (22 percent) and profit (8 percent). About \$3.2 million, or 22 percent, of the \$14.3 million in federal CJI operating funds DOC spent for education was paid to this contractor.

The contract was terminated during April 1986 because the then DOC assistant director responsible for education believed that the funds used to support the contractor's overhead and profit could be better used within DOC to support the education effort. She said that DOC needed these funds because federal CJI funding was significantly reduced for fiscal year 1986.

Appendix I What Program Planning Challenges Did the District Face in Originally Organizing the CJI Program?

Lessons Learned: Future Efforts Need More Time and Greater Emphasis on Planning District officials readily admit that program delays did occur. As recently as May 17, 1989, the Mayor testified before the Subcommittee on the District of Columbia, Senate Appropriations Committee, that implementation was slower than expected and was not without problems. The Mayor stated that "the bureaucracy operates slowly and it took some time to get all aspects of the program in gear."

The CJI experience provides valuable insight on the problems associated with implementing a new and large initiative without adequate planning. DOC program officials said that the lack of planning time and the need to show quick results were key factors contributing to the problems that later resulted. They said that at least 1 year would be necessary to plan a program of the size and scope of CJI. During this year, they said, the program planners should do the following:

- determine the needs of the target population;
- develop a comprehensive education program and curriculum to meet the needs of the population;
- develop an effective system for the procurement of teachers, supplies, and facilities; and
- establish an effective process to facilitate systemwide coordination, cooperation, and direction among all the parties that will affect the success of the program. These parties include not only government agencies but also private organizations associated with correctional rehabilitation and business groups that will eventually provide jobs.

Appendix II

For What Purpose Were CJI Operating Funds Spent?

Each fiscal year, DOC increased the percentage of CJI operating funds used for education. During fiscal year 1984, 35 percent was used for education; during fiscal year 1985, 58 percent; and during fiscal year 1986, 100 percent. Starting in fiscal year 1987, the District used its own funds to continue this education effort.

CJI Operating Fund Expenditures for Fiscal Years 1984 Through 1986

During fiscal years 1984 through 1986, Congress provided DOC with a total of \$27.3 million in federal operating funds for CJI. Of this total, DOC used \$14.3 million (52 percent) for the education portion of CJI. The remaining \$13 million (48 percent) was used for security and other needs. The District also contributed \$2.3 million of its own funds during fiscal year 1986 to support the education program. Table II.1 summarizes CJI expenditures for the 3 fiscal years. The expenditure classifications were determined by DOC.

 Fable II.1: Expenditure of CJI Operating

 Funds, Fiscal Years 1984, 1985, and 1986

Dollars in thousands

Description	Education	Security and other ^a	Total
Personal services	\$8,305	\$10,315	\$18,620
Supplies	1,525	687	2,212
Other services and charges ^b	3,971	1,641	5,611
Medical	0	16	16
Land and buildings	887	0	887
Equipment	1,857	334	2,191
Subtotal	16,545°	12,992	29,537
Less District FY 1986 contribution	(2,286)	0	(2,286)
Total federal funds	\$14,259	\$12,992	\$27,251
Percentage	52	48	100

Note: Totals do not add due to rounding.

^aExpenses classified as "other" include feeding, clothing, and providing medical services to inmates.

^b"Other services and charges" is primarily used for contractual services such as consulting.

^cIncludes \$2.3 million of District funds provided during fiscal year 1986.

and a second				
	Appendix II For What Purpose Were CJI Operating Funds Spent?			1
DOC Sport 25 Porcont	The District of Columbia App	ropriations Act of 19	84, (Public La	ıw 98-
DOC Spent 35 Percent	125) provided about \$25.2 mi			
of Its 1984 CJI	\$22.3 million was provided to	DOC. The remaining \$	2.9 million w	as pro-
Operating Funds on	vided to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia for additional			
Education	staff and capital improvements. Of the \$22.3 million provided to DOC,			
Luucation	\$12.4 million was used for Сл		and the remain	ning
	\$9.9 million was used for capi	ital projects.		
	For fiscal year 1984, DOC repo			
	\$8.1 million for security and o		-	a sum-
	mary of fiscal year 1984 сл о	perating rund expend	ntures.	
Table II.2: Expenditure of CJI Operating				
Funds, Fiscal Year 1984	Dollars in thousands			
		F.I	Security and	T . 4 . 10
	Description Personal services	Education \$844	other \$5,819	Total ^a \$6,663
	Supplies	849	583	
	Other services and charges	957	1,524	2,481
	Medical services	0	16	,+0
	Land and buildings		0	887
	Equipment	781	169	950
	Total	\$4,318	\$8,112	\$12,430
	Percentage	35	65	100
	^a Total does not add due to rounding.	n a na sa manang kanang ng Katalan na mang Palan	Name and a subscription of the local data and the local data and the local data and the local data and the local	
	- Total does not add due to rounding.			
DOC Sport 50 Dorsont	For fiscal year 1985, Congres	s provided DOC \$11.6	million for th	e contin-
DOC Spent 58 Percent	uation of CJI. Because of cong	_		
of Its 1985 CJI	which the education portion of			
Operating Funds on	one-half of that \$11.6 million			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	District's 1985 appropriation	(Public Law 98-473).	. The addition	al \$5.4
Education	million was later provided in			
	99-88). During fiscal year 198			
	the total CJI appropriation for	r educational purpose	s. The remair	uing \$4.9
	million, or 42 percent, was us			
	bedding supplies.			
				
	As with fiscal year 1984, Con		_	
	purposes for which the fiscal	-		. Table
	II.3 presents a summary of fi	scal year 1985 CJI exp	penaitures.	

Appendix II For What Purpose Were CJI Operating Funds Spent?

Table II.3: Expenditure of CJI Operating Funds, Fiscal Year 1985

Dollars	in	tho	usa	nds

Description	Education	Security and other	Totalª
Personal services	\$3,590	\$4,496	\$8,086
Supplies	434	104	538
Other services and charges	1,896	116	2,012
Equipment	816	165	981
Total	\$6,736	\$4,881	\$11,618
Percentage	58	42	100

^aTotal does not add due to rounding.

All Fiscal Year 1986 CJI Operating Funds Spent on Education

During fiscal year 1986, the last year federal funds were provided, Congress provided \$3.2 million in CJI operating funds. The District supplemented this with \$2.3 million of its own funds. Table II.4 shows expenditure data for the combined federal and District fiscal year 1986 education funds. The District did not separately account for federal funds.

Table II.4: Expenditure of CJI Operating Funds, Fiscal Year 1986

		Security and	
Description	Education	other	Total
Personal services	\$3,871	0	\$3,871
Supplies	242	0	242
Other services and charges	1,118	0	1,118

260

100

\$5,492ª

Total Percentage

Equipment

Note: Totals do not add due to rounding.

^aIncluded \$2.3 million in nonfederal funds.

District Now Supports Education Programs

Beginning with fiscal year 1987, the District has used its own funds to support the continuation of the education programs begun under CJI. Since fiscal year 1987, the District has spent \$24.4 million on correctional education—\$7.7 million in 1987, \$8.5 million in 1988, and \$8.2 million in 1989.

0

0

0

260

100

\$5,492

	Since fiscal year 1987, DOC has used a computerized job placement database to retain information relating to the jobs its inmates have received. During our analysis of these data, we noted several significant accuracy problems, including missing information. Consequently, while the data presented in this appendix represent the best available on the results of the CJI program, they have significant limitations that restrict our ability to draw conclusions about the impact of CJI.
	Our limited analysis showed that from October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989, there have been 3,944 job placements. Of these, 392, or 10 per- cent, were identified on DOC records as being related to the CJI training received. Jobs under the title "Laborer" were identified as the most fre- quently obtained, representing 123, or 31 percent, of the CJI-related placements. For the CJI-related group, the average hourly salary obtained was \$5.90.
	According to DOC officials, the perceived low number of reported CJI- related placements is attributable to several noneducation factors. These factors are (1) the practice of inmates taking the first available job in order to be eligible for parole; (2) the practice of inmates taking a non- CJI-related job because it provided better benefits, especially medical; and (3) the lack and/or cost of transportation, causing inmates to take jobs close to their homes.
	The chronic overcrowding that exists in the District's correctional system, a problem that goes well beyond the education program, presents a significant challenge to providing effective education. DOC estimated that at the end of fiscal year 1989, the inmate population exceeded capacity by 23 percent. According to DOC officials, over-crowding results in inmate transfers that cause breaks in an inmate's education and creates an environment that is not conducive to learning.
Data Errors in DOC's Job Placement File	During our job placement records analysis, we became aware of signifi- cant data accuracy problems in DOC's computerized placement records. These problems were as follows:
	• Incomplete data on CJI-related placements. Our initial analysis of DOC placement records showed that 45 percent did not indicate whether the placement was related to the CJI training the inmate had received. To deal with this deficiency, the DOC Coordinator of Job Placement and Arts in Prison Program reviewed the computerized records and decided whether the job placement was related to the training received.

Inconsistent data on CJI participation. Analysis of the records after the job placement coordinator had completed her review showed there were 427 CJI-related placements. However, analysis of the CJI-related placements showed that for 35 of these (8 percent), the inmate who obtained the job did not participate in any education program. These 35 records were not included in our CJI-related placement analysis but were included in the non-CJI analysis.

• Inaccurate/Incomplete data on salaries. In analyzing hourly salary data, we noted that some records showed weekly rather than hourly salary data, showed no salary data, or showed what appeared to be unreasonable data (e.g., 50 cents per hour). Because of these problems, we included only job placement records that showed hourly salaries of between \$3 and \$20. This methodology was agreed to by the DOC job placement coordinator. As a consequence, 198 (5 percent) of the total 3.944 placement records were not included in our salary analysis.

• Incomplete job title information. While analyzing job titles, we noted that 319, (1 CJI-related and 318 non-CJI-related) or 8 percent, of the placements did not contain a job title. Also, DOC does not maintain any job description data in its computerized records. Thus, we could not determine why a particular job was identified as CJI-related.

• No standard job titles. DOC does not use standardized job titles. It records the job title as it is provided by the employer. This practice and the lack of job description data precluded any meaningful analysis of the types of jobs obtained. For example, the following jobs associated with sheet metal work were reported: sheet metal, sheet metal approx., sheet metal work, and sheet metal mech. These four titles could represent the same, similar, or different jobs within the same trade.

District managers need reasonably complete, standardized, and accurate data to make management decisions and evaluate program results. However, our analysis of DOC's job placement records showed that the data contained in those records were incomplete (for CJI participation, salaries, and job titles), not standardized (for job titles), and inaccurate (for CJI participation and salaries). Standardization of job titles would allow DOC to more accurately determine what type of jobs its inmates are obtaining.

Further limiting DOC managers' abilities to evaluate program results and effectiveness is the lack of information in the placement records that could provide a complete profile of the job obtained and its relationship to the training received. This necessary information includes (1) job descriptive data, (2) why a particular placement was deemed to be related to the CJI training received, and (3) any noneducation factors

Appendix III How Many Inmates Obtained a Job Related to the CJI Training Received? that influenced an inmate to take a particular job. Until the existing data problems are corrected and the additional data elements added, we believe that a significant shortfall exists in DOC's job placement database, reducing its usefulness to DOC management. On the basis of the limited data available, for the time period October 1, **CJI-Related** 1986, to June 30, 1989, our analysis showed there were 3,944 job place-**Placements Represent** ments. Of these, 392, or 10 percent, were identified as being related to 10 Percent of Total the CJI training the inmate received. We found no appreciable increase in the ratio of CJI-related placements to total placements during the time **Placements** period we analyzed. Figure III.1 shows the number of placements by fiscal quarter. Figure III.1: Job Placements by Fiscal Quarter, October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989 Number of placements 1005 938 871 804 737 670 603 536 469 402 335 268 201 134 67 0 1987 1987 1987 1987 1988 1988 1988 1988 1989 1989 1989 Quarter 2 Fiscal year and quarter

CJI-related placements

Note 1: There were a total of 3,944 placements of which 392 were CJI-related placements. Note 2: Ten percent of all placements from October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989, were CJI-related.

While the CJI-related placements represent 10 percent of the total placements, it should be noted that many of the inmates who obtained non-CJI-related placements were participants in a CJI education program. Of the 3,552 non-CJI placements, 1,868, or 53 percent, showed that the inmates who obtained those placements were enrolled in a CJI education program. For those inmates, a DOC vocational development specialist decided that the job obtained did not relate to the CJI training.

DOC officials said the perceived low number of CJI-related placements is influenced by several noneducation factors. One factor is that inmates are taking the first available job, whether or not it relates to the CJI training, in order to be eligible for parole. The D.C. Board of Parole requires an inmate to have employment in order to be paroled. According to an official of the Board, while it does not keep statistics relating to this subject, it does appear that inmates are taking the first available job in order to be eligible for parole.

A second factor noted was that a vocational development specialist may recommend that an inmate take a non-CJI-related job rather than a CJIrelated job, if the specialist believes the former would be better for the inmate and/or the inmate's family. For example, DOC considers jobs with medical benefits to be very desirable, especially if the inmate's family has no medical coverage or is receiving its medical coverage as a result of welfare participation. If the non-CJI job offers medical benefits while the CJI-related job does not, the specialist would probably recommend the non-CJI-related job. The final decision, however, is the inmate's.

The availability and cost of transportation were also noted as factors affecting job selection. The DOC job placement coordinator noted that because of transportation limitations, some inmates prefer a job close to their homes regardless of the job's relationship to the training they received.

Most Common Job Obtained—Laborer

Our initial analysis of job titles showed there were 754 separate job titles reported in DOC records. However, DOC does not use standard job titles but instead reports the title provided by the employer. In order to adequately report on the type of job obtained, we grouped all the jobs that appeared similar under separate titles. For example, under our title "Warehouseman" we included the following job titles reported in the computerized records—warehouse, warehousemen, warehouseman, warehouse person, and warehouse worker. Our effort resulted in reducing the number of separate titles from 754 to 534.

The job title with the greatest number of placements was "Laborer." That title accounted for 1,273, or 35 percent, of all the placements that had job titles. Regarding CJI training-related placements, the "Laborer" title accounted for 123 placements, or 31 percent. For non-CJI-related placements, it represented 1,150 placements, or 36 percent. Figures III.2 and III.3 show the 10 job titles with the highest number of placements for CJI-related placements as well as non-CJI-related placements.

Figure III.2: Most Frequently Obtained Job for Inmates With CJI-Related Training, October 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989



Note 1: Based on 391 CJI-related placements that had job titles.

Note 2: All remaining job titles each represented 1 percent or less of the total CJI-related placements.



Table III.1: Average Hourly Salary, Fiscal Years 1987 Through 1989

Fiscal year	CJI- related jobs	Non-CJI- related jobs
1987	\$5.88	\$5.72
1988	5.88	5.84
1989	5.91	5.78
Average	\$5.90	\$5.79
Average for all placements		\$5.80

Prison Crowding—A Significant Problem Facing the Education Program

Since fiscal year 1983, DOC's inmate population has grown by 84 percent. Figures III.5 and III.6 show the locations at which inmates are housed and the population change at these locations between fiscal years 1983 and 1989.

Figure III.4: Inmate Year-End Population by Fiscal Year, 1983 Through 1989



Note: The inmate population increased by 84 percent during the time period covered by this figure.

Figure III.5: Inmate Year-End Population by Facility, Fiscal Years 1983 Through 1989

Lorton

Federal Bureau of Prisons State & local facilities



While the number of inmates has increased, the District's physical plant capacity has not kept pace. On the basis of DOC's fiscal year-end 1989 population level, DOC estimated that the inmate population exceeded the physical plant population capacity by 23 percent. DOC projects that the inmate population will exceed capacity until at least fiscal year 1992.

DOC officials claim that chronic crowding has made it difficult to ensure continuity in education programs. To avoid violation of court orders regarding population limits, DOC must move inmates from one District facility to another or to another jurisdiction's correctional facility. These transfers result in breaks in inmate education programs. For example, an inmate transferred to another jurisdiction may not have any education opportunity if none is offered by the receiving institution. According to the former DOC Director, many of the transferred inmates

were enrolled in the DOC education program. These inmates are prime candidates for selection for transfer because their involvement in education is considered a positive behavioral factor, and thus they are more acceptable to the receiving jurisdiction.

Overcrowding also presents a negative environment for education, according to the DOC Deputy Director for Operations. For example, space may not be available to provide the opportunity for quality time for students to study. He said that it is very difficult for inmates to effectively study in a noisy, overcrowded area where they are concerned about their physical safety.

Comments of the District of Columbia Government

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA EXECUTIVE OFFICE OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR CAROL B. THOMPSON DEPUTY MAYOR FOR OPERATIONS CITY ADMINISTRATOR DEPUTY MAYOR FOR OPERATIONS 1350 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W. - RM. 507 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004 MAY | 7 1990 Richard L. Fogel Assistant Comptroller General U.S. General Accounting Office 441 G Street, N.W. Room 3860 Washington, D.C. 20548 Dear Mr. Fogel: In reply to your letter dated April 2, 1990, please find enclosed the comments of the District government to your draft report titled, "Non-Education Factors Hindered Griminal Justice Initiative". Please direct further inquiries regarding this matter to Marc Loud of my staff at, 727-6053. Sincerely, m. О Carol B. Thompson City Administrator/Deputy Mayor for Operations Enclosure

Appendix IV Comments of the District of Columbia Government

ALL OF COL	Government of the District of Columbia DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS	
STUCK THE	Suite N-203	
	1923 Vermont Avenue, N.W.	
	Washington, D.C. 20001	
1000		
Office of the Dir	ector	
	MAY 1 6 1990	
MEMORAN	MITCH	
110101014		
TO	: Carol B. Thompson	
	City Administrator/Deputy Mayor	
	for Operations	
	X3C	
FROM	: Walter B. Ridley	
	Director	
SUBJECT	: Response to GAO Audit titled "Non-Education	
0020201	Factors Hindered Criminal Justice Initiative"	
this re general	essment of this agency is that the conclusions drawn in port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures,	
this re general During with th and thu educati	port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and	
this re general During with th and thn educati staff, product In rega concern	port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of conal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and tive. ands to the job placement function, we are cognizant of the has as outlined, and have already begun to initiate new ares. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation:	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern	port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, ough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and tive. ards to the job placement function, we are cognizant of the as outlined, and have already begun to initiate new ares. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of conal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and tive. ands to the job placement function, we are cognizant of the has as outlined, and have already begun to initiate new ares. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation:	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	<pre>port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and tive. ards to the job placement function, we are cognizant of the as soutlined, and have already begun to initiate new ares. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation:</pre>	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and tive. ards to the job placement function, we are cognizant of the as a outlined, and have already begun to initiate new trees. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to determine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job 	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and the education staff is more open and the sas outlined, and have already begun to initiate new trees. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to determine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job placement data into the system submitted from 	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and the education staff is more open and the sas outlined, and have already begun to initiate new trees. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to determine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job placement data into the system submitted from halfway houses. The error rate has already been 	
this re general During with th and thn educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and the education staff is more open and the sas outlined, and have already begun to initiate new trees. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to determine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job placement data into the system submitted from 	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and the education staff is more open and the sa outlined, and have already begun to initiate new tres. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to determine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job placement data into the system submitted from halfway houses. The error rate has already been reduced, as have incomplete/inaccurate data. 	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and the education staff is more open and the sas outlined, and have already begun to initiate new tres. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to determine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job placement data into the system submitted from halfway houses. The error rate has already been reduced, as have incomplete/inaccurate data. 2. Additional WICAT computers are in place to 	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, the result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and the education staff is more open and the sa outlined, and have already begun to initiate new tres. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to determine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job placement data into the system submitted from halfway houses. The error rate has already been reduced, as have incomplete/inaccurate data. 	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, he result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and tive. ards to the job placement function, we are cognizant of the sas outlined, and have already begun to initiate new ares. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to detarmine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job placement data into the system submitted from halfway houses. The error rate has already been reduced, as have incomplete/inaccurate data. 2. Additional WICAT computers are in place to facilitate the input process. 	
this re general During with th and thm educati staff, product In rega concern procedu	 port, as they relate to the education program, have been ly accepted all along and are not new. the past several years we have developed new procedures, he result that the procurement process has been improved, cough the decentralization of day-to-day management of onal programs, we believe communication among security facility managers and the education staff is more open and tive. ards to the job placement function, we are cognizant of the sas outlined, and have already begun to initiate new ares. I will briefly discuss each GAO recommendation: Review existing job placement input controls to detarmine how the accuracy of the data can be improved. 1. We have identified one person to input all job placement data into the system submitted from halfway houses. The error rate has already been reduced, as have incomplete/inaccurate data. 2. Additional WICAT computers are in place to facilitate the input process. 	

Appendix IV Comments of the District of Columbia Government

Develop standardized job title information so 0 that all similar job placements are grouped under the same job title. The department has ordered the GIS (Guidance 1. Information System) software which will standardize our job title information for us. Three staff members have already been trained 2. by the Department of Employment Services on the use of this software. A target date of September 1, 1990 has been identified to have all staff trained and using 3. the GIS software. Provide in the computerized record, information ο relating to (1) job description, (2) why a particular placement was deemed to be related to the CJI training received, and (3) any non-education factors that influenced an inmate to take a particular job. We are also purchasing the software related to the 1. Dictionary of Occupational Titles, which lists conventional job descriptions. The Department of Employment Services is providing us technical assistance to put this in place. The quality assurance team has also been asked to 2. develop a procedure for documenting factors that relate to job placement. They have been given a deadline of September 1, 1990 for this project. As those areas that we have identified for further development are completed, I will keep you informed.

Appendix V

Major Contributors to This Report

General Government Division, Washington, D.C.	John Stahl, Assistant Director, Federal Lianagement Issues John A. Parulis, Evaluator-in-Charge Gregory Wilmoth, Senior Social Science Analyst Marsha A. Matthews, Secretary
---	---

Perseale stantes stats stantes Pills sectors

U. Chita Allin Try 6⁻². Dect θ^α σthe eff Value com f Tary π 6-13

્રિટ

The field teached and the method and the source of the second and the second and

The sub-statistical for the state of the second state of the secon

First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No. G100