



Federal Drug Grants to States

127203

An Office of National Drug Control Policy
White Paper
December 1990

Federal Drug Grants to States

An Office of National Drug Control Policy
White Paper

December 1990


Contents

Introduction	1
Federal Drug Grant Programs.....	4
Advantages of Formula Grants to States	7
The Grant-Making Process and the Flow of Funds	10
Phase I: Federal to Federal	12
Phase II: Federal to State	15
Phase III: State to Local	21
Phase IV: Local Project Activity	25
Conclusion	29
Appendix A: Illustrations of the Grant-Making Process.....	A-1
Appendix B: Application, Approval, and Award Dates by State and Fiscal Year	B-1
Appendix C: Quarterly Grant Outlays by State and Fiscal Year	C-1
Appendix D: Drug Grants by State, 1989-1991	D-1

Introduction

In September 1989, President Bush issued the first National Drug Control Strategy. This Strategy recognized that fighting the drug war requires a concerted effort by all levels of government. The second Strategy, issued in January 1990, emphasized the critical role played by State and local governments, stating that "State and local governments bear the greatest responsibility for providing their citizens with a criminal justice system that can respond to the enormous volume of drug-related crimes." State and local governments have similar responsibilities in the areas of drug treatment and prevention.

The Federal government's primary responsibility in the war on drugs is to work with source countries to dismantle drug cartel operations, protect the Nation's borders from drugs, and dismantle U.S. drug trafficking organizations. But because of the grave responsibility and intense demands placed on State and local governments, the Federal government also provides financial and technical assistance to States and localities. Several programs that provide this assistance are either relatively new or have increased substantially during the last several years. In fiscal year 1990, State and local governments received about \$2.3 billion from the Federal government for drug control programs, including drug law enforcement, treatment, and prevention efforts. This



represented an increase of \$1.1 billion over fiscal year 1989 and was equal to almost one-quarter of the total Federal drug control funds in fiscal year 1990.

Over half the funds used to assist State and local drug control efforts (\$1.3 billion in fiscal year 1990) are distributed through three major drug grant programs, administered by three Federal agencies. This paper describes the process used to make funds available for State and local projects and how long it takes to get these funds from the Federal to the State and local level. It also suggests that early operational problems in these programs have been overcome, and that funds are now distributed in a timely manner. No major revisions are necessary to hasten the flow of funds to States and localities.

A review of the grant-making process used by these three programs leads to three further conclusions. First, the Federal grant-making process used to deliver funds into the State and local pipeline is more like a pump than a faucet. Unlike a faucet, the process does not allow the Federal government to turn a handle and create an immediate flow of funds to States and localities. Instead, the Federal funding process, like a pump, requires time and energy before funds begin to flow. And like a pump, funds continue flowing through this pipeline as long as the pump handle is pumped, and even for a time after it is released.

Second, the grant-making process inherently requires that time be taken to ensure that funds are used effectively and efficiently. All levels of government — Federal, State, and local — must take necessary steps to promote accountability, planning, and coordination. Often, recipients are required to conduct formal evaluations of projects funded with Federal grants. These steps are necessary to prevent funds from being wasted.

Third, administrative problems become less frequent and delays less likely as participants become more familiar with program requirements and the grant-making procedures.

The first section of this paper begins the discussion of the Federal drug grant programs and how they assist State and local drug enforcement, treatment, and prevention efforts. It is followed by a description of various types of Federal grants and a consideration of the advantages of involving State governments in the grant-making process. Next, a detailed description of the grant-making process and the operations of the three Federal drug grant programs is presented. The grant dollar is tracked from the beginning to the end of the process, starting with the Federal government, through the States, and finally to local projects.

This paper also contains four appendices presenting detailed data on the three specific programs. Appendix A contains a graphic illustration of the time required to move through each step of the grant-making process, using fiscal year 1989 grants as an example. Appendix B shows when applications were received and approved, when awards

Federal Drug Grants to States

were made, and the time that elapsed between receiving and approving applications for each program by State and fiscal year. Appendix C presents outlays or expenditures for each program by State and fiscal year, reflecting when funds were actually spent on projects by the States and localities. Appendix D shows grants to each State in all three programs for fiscal years 1989 through 1991.

John P. Walters
*Acting Director, Office of
National Drug Control Policy*

Federal Drug Grant Programs

Obviously, no single level of government can end the drug epidemic by itself. Each level of government — Federal, State, and local — has a critical role to play. Federal assistance to States and localities does much more than simply pay for additional police officers and treatment slots. It makes it possible for Federal, State, and local agencies to devote additional resources for cooperative efforts, such as drug task force operations and joint drug investigations. Federal assistance thus encourages State and local governments to coordinate law enforcement, treatment, and prevention efforts — and therefore improve their effectiveness.

The Federal government administers 10 grant programs through various Departments and agencies that provide financial and technical assistance to State and local governments for anti-drug efforts. This assistance supplements treatment, prevention, and criminal justice efforts in local communities, on Indian facilities, and in public housing projects, to name a few examples.

The three major Federal drug grant programs discussed in this paper represent over half of all Federal assistance to State and local governments for drug control efforts. Grants are made to States, with each State's grant amount determined by a formula laid out in Federal statutes. The States then use these funds for State and local projects, consistent with the overall Federal criteria. The following are brief descriptions of the three programs:

- The Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Program, referred to hereafter as the Justice grant program, provides assistance for State and local criminal justice systems. The program is administered by the Bureau of Justice Assistance within the Office of Justice Programs in the Department of Justice. States and localities can use these grants for law enforcement activities to control drugs and violent crime, and to establish links among criminal justice, treatment, and prevention efforts.
- The Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Services Grant Program, referred to hereafter as the HHS grant program, provides assistance for treatment, prevention, and rehabilitation activities. It is administered by the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

- The Drug Free-Schools and Communities Grant Program, referred to hereafter as the Education grant program, provides assistance for prevention activities. It is administered by the Department of Education's Office of Elementary and Secondary Education.

Both the Justice and Education grant programs were established by the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986, signed into law on October 27, 1986. This Act also substantially modified the HHS grant program by adding a new alcohol and drug treatment and rehabilitation component. (The HHS grant program was created in 1982 by consolidating several categorical grant programs into a single block grant.) Thus, all three programs are closely associated with the 1986 Act. The Justice grants support drug-related and violent crime control activities, while Education grants aid drug-related prevention activities. Because the HHS grant program also assists alcohol and mental health services, about one-third of its funds are considered drug-related. The funding history for these three programs since fiscal year 1987 is displayed in the following table:

Table I: Funding for the Three Drug Grant Programs, 1987-1990 (\$ in Millions)

Program	Fiscal Year				
	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
Justice	178	56	119	395	423
HHS: Total	672	643	806	1,193	1,269
HHS: Drugs	209	200	280	477	507
Education	161	192	288	436 *	498

* Note: Excludes \$25 million in emergency grants to cities

Source: ONDCP, 1990

These programs require substantial interaction between the Federal government and States, and between States and localities. The process is multi-layered and may appear complex, but it has many strengths.

Types of Federal Grants

Though they can be structured many different ways, grant programs can be divided into two broad types, based on how funds are distributed. In *formula grants*, funds are distributed to eligible recipients using a formula. Both the formula and eligibility standards are set in legislation or regulation. Examples of eligible recipients could include

States, cities above a certain population, all local governments, or individuals below certain income levels. The formula frequently uses demographic data, such as population, crime, school enrollment, or some other variable related to the eligible jurisdictions' need for grant funds. Some formula grant programs restrict the use of funds to specific projects or narrow purpose areas (e.g., building highways), while others are less restrictive in nature. Formula grants are frequently used to provide on-going fiscal assistance for specific (e.g., highways) or broad (e.g., nutrition or health programs) purposes.

In *discretionary grants*, instead of using a formula to distribute grants to certain eligible recipients, the Federal government establishes the categories or priorities and invites interested parties to submit proposals to compete for grant funds. The Federal government reviews these proposals and selects those that are independently assessed to have the greatest likelihood of success based on the award criteria established for the program. Because a grant award is based on submitted proposals, competitive grants are restricted to the specific projects or activities contained in the proposal. Discretionary grants often fund demonstration projects and are not designed to provide ongoing assistance. In certain instances, discretionary grants are awarded on a non-competitive basis.

The three drug grant programs discussed in this paper are all formula grants to State governments. The amount each State receives is determined by a funding formula established by law. None of the other drug grant programs administered by the Federal Government are formula-driven. Funds distributed under the three drug grant programs are restricted to projects consistent with broad purposes defined by the legislation establishing the program. State and local recipients select specific projects within the Federally determined purposes.

The Justice grant program allows States to use funds for 21 different purpose areas within their criminal justice systems. These purposes include, among others, law enforcement, prosecutions, corrections, multi-jurisdictional task forces, and special investigations. The HHS grant program allows States to use their funds for planning, establishing, maintaining, coordinating, and evaluating treatment and prevention projects. The law contains certain set-asides and prohibitions for these funds. The Education grant program allows local education agencies (LEAs) much flexibility in using their funds for prevention and education activities. While the law contains a list of 12 suggested activity groups, LEAs are free to choose their own programs.

Advantages of Formula Grants to States

Under the three drug grant programs, the Federal government has delegated to the States responsibility for distributing funds to specific projects. This has several advantages over the Federal government providing direct assistance to local projects. States are closer to and more familiar with the problems of local communities within their borders. They are better able to balance resource availability and requirements among localities within their jurisdiction. They also can promote coordination among State and local governments and encourage multi-jurisdictional efforts for problems not isolated to local political boundaries. (For the Education grant program, the States are responsible for the distribution of funds to the LEAs, but the LEAs decide how to spend these funds.)

Critics of formula grants to States argue that such programs are slow in getting funds to local projects or fail to fund projects in areas with the greatest need. Urban areas contend they need more funds than they receive under the formula grants because drug problems are concentrated in central cities. Rural areas, on the other hand, claim they also need more funds, arguing that they lack sufficient resources to combat their growing drug problems without outside assistance. Both sides offer evidence of their need for outside financial assistance, and both allege that the current grant process fails to provide their "fair share" of Federal resources. Further, they assert that the process creates needless delays in getting funds to local projects. Those who feel that the States' priorities are not consistent with local needs have called for direct funding to local governments.

Despite these criticisms, grants to States retain major advantages as a means to distribute Federal funds for local drug law enforcement, treatment, and prevention projects. States are closer to the problem than Federal administrators, and can more quickly react to changes in local requirements. Governments do not have unlimited funds, and since governments face demands that exceed available resources, choices must be made. Spending more in one area would likely result in spending less in another. Expanding funding for large cities will mean spending less in rural areas or on multi-jurisdictional efforts.

Determining who should make funding decisions becomes critical when competing claims exist. The relative needs of urban and rural, or single government projects and multi-jurisdictional efforts, vary from State to State and from year to year. This process requires a decision maker who is familiar with the needs and issues, and who has a vested interest in the outcome. The Justice and HHS drug grant programs rely heavily on the States to decide how best to target funds to areas with the

most serious problems and greatest need. States have a more limited role under the Education grant, where funds are distributed to LEAs based on a statutory formula.

If States were removed from the decision making process, some other entity would have to decide how funds should be distributed to local projects. One option would be to replace the current programs with a new Federal program that would provide formula grants directly to local governments on some entitlement basis. The formula could be based on population, criminal justice expenditures, or levels of crime, for example. Many mayors of large cities favor this approach, especially for the Justice grant program, because they, instead of State governments, would be the direct recipients of grant funds. Although LEAs receive their funding based on a formula allocation, the States still oversee the funding process.

However, if all the 35,000 municipal governments, townships, and towns were eligible for direct funding, the majority would receive very small grant amounts, insufficient to pay for drug task forces, expand treatment, or initiate nearly any other project of relevance. Furthermore, the central distribution of funds to all of these jurisdictions would be a huge bureaucratic task, especially if each recipient were required to report on the use of these funds. The responsibility for ensuring accountability is appropriately handled by States. Even under the Education program, where States have limited discretion, States are quite effective in distributing funds to over 15,000 LEAs nationwide.


Participation could be limited to cities over a certain population. But this would ignore the needs of smaller communities and suburban and rural areas. In the Justice grant program, smaller jurisdictions excluded from receiving law enforcement assistance would increasingly become attractive targets of drug traffickers and narcotics manufacturers. Regardless of how these funds were targeted, multi-jurisdictional projects that cross local political boundaries and address the urban, suburban, and rural inter-relationships of drug problems would certainly no longer be funded. For all these reasons, providing formula grants directly to local governments is not a desirable alternative.

Another option would be a competitive process whereby the Federal agencies that administer the three grant programs would select the local projects to be funded. Local entities would submit applications and proposals to the Federal agencies, instead of the States, who would review them and decide which projects to fund. Under this approach, the Federal government would replace States in defining needs and priorities, even though States are clearly in a better position to do so. To a limited extent, the three agencies already supplement their formula grant programs by administering several discretionary grant programs. This funding is not intended to replace the formula grant funding but rather to target specific areas not otherwise covered.

Under either approach, replacing the State grant process with direct funding to cities would make it difficult, if not impossible, for each State to develop a coherent, effective plan to eliminate illegal use of drugs. Attempts to coordinate State and local efforts would be undermined, multi-jurisdictional projects would be discouraged, and project evaluation and accountability would become much more difficult. No proposal for direct funding has ever included a convincing plan to overcome these certain detriments.

The Grant-making Process and the Flow of Funds

The flow of Federal funds under the formula grant process can be divided into four distinct phases. In the first phase, Federal funds are made available to the Federal agency that administers the grant program. During the second phase, the Federal government awards funds to States upon successful completion of a specific application procedure. During the third phase, States make funds available to State and local recipients responsible for local projects or activities. The fourth phase occurs when local project activity begins and local recipients actually expend grant funds. The schematic diagram below illustrates the four phases:



In practice, much overlap occurs among the four phases. Often, phases II and III begin before phases I and II end. This overlap helps speed up the process. Instead of waiting for one phase to end before starting the next, the Federal, State, and local participants interact and coordinate throughout the process.

The length of time it takes to move funds completely through this process depends on the time required for key steps. Detailed illustrations of these steps and the time required for each are displayed in Appendix A of this report. It may be possible for some of these steps to be streamlined, combined, or carried out simultaneously; in fact, the time required to complete the process for the three programs has decreased markedly since fiscal year 1987. Other steps take time and cannot be shortened without substantially damaging the formula grant

process. Each program has unique requirements, and each State must work within its unique budget and legislative processes to implement the grant programs. These requirements directly affect the timing of State awards to localities. The process of planning, coordination, and accountability that has evolved balances the trade-offs of spending funds quickly versus spending funds in an effective, efficient, and accountable manner.

Phase I: Federal to Federal

Phase I: Federal to Federal

- President's Budget
- Congressional Appropriation
- OMB Apportionment

During this phase, overall funding levels for the three grant programs are determined through the Congressional budget and appropriations process. Congress must enact and the President must sign legislation authorizing and appropriating Federal funds for these programs. After the funding levels are established, each Federal agency must request and receive an "apportionment" from the President's Office of Management and Budget (OMB) before these funds can be obligated for further use. All of these steps are necessary before grants can be awarded by the Federal government to States.

Specific legal authority must exist before any Federal program can incur an obligation to spend funds. An obligation is incurred when the Federal government places an order, receives services, or awards a contract. Since a grant award is a contract and thus an obligation, Congress must authorize and appropriate Federal funds before grants can be awarded.

The President submits a budget to Congress each January or February requesting spending levels for all Federal programs along with legislative proposals necessary to implement or enhance these programs. The budget proposes appropriations levels for programs in need of annual action and suggests changes in eligibility or spending formulas to either increase or decrease spending for programs that do not require annual appropriations. The President's annual budget includes a request for appropriations to fund the three drug grant programs. Congress has eight or nine months to consider the President's budget request before the fiscal year begins on October 1st.

During this period, Congress holds hearings with agency officials and other relevant witnesses. It then debates the merits of the President's requested funding levels and legislative proposals, drafts and debates alternative budget and appropriations proposals, and finally votes on the appropriations bills. The final outcome may be consistent with the President's request for some items and reflect Congressional priorities for others. All 13 of the appropriations bills and the Reconciliation Act must be passed by Congress and signed by the President on or before October 1st to be effective at the start of the fiscal year. This deadline is often missed. Table 2 shows specific appropriations dates and the number of days into each fiscal year when these bills were enacted.

Immediately after Congress enacts and the President signs full year appropriations bills, Federal agencies develop plans for using the funds

Table 2: Dates of Appropriations for Drug Grant Programs, 1987-1990

Fiscal Year	Date of Appropriation	Days into Fiscal Year
1987	October 18, 1986	18
1988	December 22, 1987	83
1989: Justice	October 1, 1988	1
1989: HHS/Education	September 20, 1988	-10
1989: Supplemental	November 18, 1988	49
1990	November 21, 1989	52

Source: ONDCP, 1990

during the fiscal year. Funds cannot be used until each agency's plan, called an apportionment request, is submitted to and approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). An apportionment describes or "schedules" how an appropriation will be spent by time period, project, activity, or some combination thereof. Most apportionments are prepared showing spending by fiscal year quarters. This prevents agencies from spending funds too quickly and thereby running out of funds before the end of the fiscal year.

Agencies have ten days after the appropriation bill is enacted to prepare and submit apportionment requests to OMB. OMB must approve or modify the agency apportionments within 30 days of the bill's enactment. If the agency takes 10 days, OMB has 20 days to apportion the funds. After OMB signs the apportionment, agencies can begin obligating the funds in compliance with the apportionment request.


Funds under each formula grant program are apportioned differently, depending on the unique needs and practices of the program. All the Justice grant program funds are typically apportioned in the second quarter because that is when the Bureau of Justice Assistance expects to make most grant awards to States. Funds for the HHS grant program are apportioned in equal amounts for all four quarters. State applications for these funds are usually approved during the second quarter and State awards are made during the second, third and fourth quarters. Most of the Education grant funds are apportioned for the fourth quarter. By law, the program is "forward funded." Although funds are appropriated at the start of the fiscal year, they cannot be awarded until the fourth quarter of that fiscal year (July 1 through September 30) to fund projects and activities during the following school year.

Federal Drug Grants to States

In most cases, apportionments were submitted and signed within the 30 day time limit for the three grant programs between 1987 and 1990. The only exceptions were for the Justice and HHS grant programs in fiscal year 1988 and for the HHS program in fiscal year 1990. These delays, however, have not delayed the grant-making process. The Federal agencies can and do engage in the Federal-to-State part of the process (thus the overlap between the four phases) before an apportionment is signed. Although no awards can be made without a signed apportionment, awards also must wait until the State application is received and approved. State applications have not been received and approved until after apportionments were signed.

The major cause of phase I delays is failure to enact full year appropriations by October 1st. Grant awards for each State depend on funding levels and any substantive program changes that may be contained in the appropriations bills. Federal agencies must wait until these bills are enacted before issuing final instructions telling the States what their grant amount will be and how they should apply for these grants. The longest delay in enacting appropriations occurred in fiscal year 1988, when they were enacted 83 days into the fiscal year. The second longest, 52 days, occurred in fiscal year 1990. The fiscal year 1991 appropriations also were delayed by almost one month. Significant delays also occurred in enacting supplemental funding in fiscal year 1989.

Phase II: Federal to State



Phase II begins with the Federal agency sharing information with States related to grant availability and program requirements. The States then prepare grant applications and submit them to the Federal agency. The Federal agency reviews these applications to ensure that they comply with legislative and regulatory requirements. Once it is determined that a State's application meets statutory requirements, the application is approved and the State receives its grant award.

The overlap of phase I and phase II is substantial. Each Federal agency provides information, assistance, and support throughout this process in many forms, such as letters and written guidance, meetings, and telephone calls. Agencies generally begin to provide information shortly after the President's budget is submitted to Congress. States want to know what their grant award would be if the President's budget request were enacted. This information may be provided to the States by associations representing State governments and directly by the Federal agencies. Information exchange continues throughout the process of Congressional debate on appropriations levels until a full-year appropriation is enacted.

Federal agencies formally notify States that funds are available after the full-year appropriations are enacted; they do not wait for an approved apportionment before issuing this notice. Program guidance and application instructions are also provided to the States. Notifications and application packages for the Justice and HHS grant programs are sent to States right after appropriations are enacted. This is an important step in expediting the grant-making process for these programs since grant awards can be made to States immediately after their applications are received and approved. However, no Education grant awards can be made until after July 1 since the program is forward funded. Application kits for Education grants are usually not issued until February, but this still allows States four months before their awards can be made.

The application processes for the three programs contain enough differences to warrant a separate discussion of each. The Justice grant program contains the most specific application requirements and a statutory timetable for meeting them. The other two programs do not have similar requirements.

Justice Grant Program Application Process

States must apply annually for Justice grant funds. Each Governor designates a State office to be responsible for preparing the State's grant application, administering its grant funds, and coordinating the distribution of these funds with State agencies receiving Federal drug grant funds.

The application process requires each State to prepare a statewide strategy for drug and violent crime control programs that improve the functioning of its criminal justice system. Before preparing the strategy and from the inception of its planning process, the State is required by law to consult with Federal, State, and local officials, especially those involved in drug and criminal law enforcement. The State strategy must contain, among other things, an analysis of major drug and crime problems in each county and municipality that suffers such problems, coordination requirements and resource needs, and identification of specific programs to be funded with the Justice grant.

When first established in fiscal year 1987, the Justice grant program had no specific timetables or deadlines for States to submit applications or for the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) to issue awards. The original legislation merely stated that States would submit an application at such time and in such form as specified by BJA. The 1988 Anti-Drug Abuse Act modified this by creating deadlines for the application and award process. Under the new provisions that first affected the 1989 grant awards, States were required to submit strategies and applications within 60 days after BJA issued new program guidelines in fiscal year 1989, and within 60 days after enactment of appropriations in fiscal year 1990 and subsequent years.

The new provisions made it even more important for BJA to provide States with prompt program guidance and application packages. States could easily run into difficulties in meeting this deadline if BJA delayed this process. Table 3 shows the dates BJA issued guidance and the number of days that elapsed between enacting appropriations and issuing guidance. Fiscal year 1987 and 1988 data are included, although the 60-day requirement was not applicable until fiscal year 1989. For fiscal years 1988 and 1990, BJA issued grant notification and program guidance before appropriations were enacted. BJA developed new guidance in fiscal year 1989 because the program was substantially modified by the 1988 Act.

**Table 3: Justice Grant Application Guidance
Sent to States, 1987-1990**

Fiscal Year	Date Justice Issued Application Guidance	Days After Appropriations
1987	November 7, 1986	20
1988	November 20, 1987	-32
1989	December 27, 1988	39
1990	November 3, 1989	-18

Source: ONDCP, 1990

Each State is required by law to distribute a certain proportion of its grant to local governments (as explained in more detail under the section titled "Phase III: State to Local"). The State strategy must cover both the State and local shares of the Justice grant. States follow different strategies for assessing needs, determining priorities, and selecting local projects for funding with the local share. Some States identify broad priorities and allocate their grant funds to be used for these priorities to specific geographic areas, such as counties, within the State. Local governments within each geographic area then collaborate on the best use of that area's share of funds to meet the State-identified priorities. Other States draft much more specific plans that identify priorities, but do not divide funds among geographic areas. Local governments apply to the States for these funds on a competitive basis.

Under the first approach, local governments receive a share of funds that they then use for specific projects. Under the second, the State government selects specific projects from all local governments that submitted applications. Yet another approach is a hybrid of the first two: a portion of the funds is distributed to all geographic areas, with remaining funds distributed on a competitive basis. These different approaches may affect how long States take to prepare applications.

Most States are able to submit their applications within 60 days after the appropriations bill is enacted. Table 4 shows the number of days taken by States to submit applications after appropriations were enacted or application guidelines were issued, whichever was later. For each fiscal year, the days elapsed are shown for applications received from the first State, the median State (half the State applications had been received by that date), and the last State.

Table 4 indicates that States are taking less time to submit applications since the statutory deadlines were enacted. The time taken by the median State to submit its application decreased by over 220 days between fiscal years 1987 and 1989. This improvement is consistent with the intent of Congress in establishing these deadlines; however, States' familiarity with the Justice grant program by 1989 also made it easier for them to comply with these deadlines.

Table 4: Time Taken by States to Apply for Justice Grants, 1987-1990

Days Taken by:*	Fiscal Year			
	1987	1988	1989	1990
First State	157	42	27	24
Last State	532	273	58	97
Median State	272	185	51	62

* Note: Data represent number of days between when an appropriation was enacted or application was issued, whichever came later, and when State applications were received. No statutory deadlines existed in 1987 or 1988. Applications were due 60 days after program guidance was issued in 1989 and 60 days after appropriations were enacted in 1990.

Source: ONDCP, 1990

Table 4 also shows that some States did not submit completed applications for fiscal years 1989 and 1990 within the 60-day time limit. The law does not specify what happens when a State fails to submit a timely application. BJA regulations, however, require any State failing to submit an application within 60 days to notify BJA and request a 30-day extension. The regulations also advise the State that, in the absence of a State application, BJA has the authority to bypass the State and make awards directly to local governments. BJA has never been required to exercise this authority.

BJA must complete its review within 45 days of receiving a complete application from the State. This deadline was also specified in the 1988 amendments; previously, no legislative deadline existed specifying when BJA needed to complete its review process. After 45 days, all complete applications are deemed approved unless BJA informs the State of the specific reason the application was disapproved. In some cases, approval is delayed because the initial application submitted by the State is incomplete. When this happens, BJA immediately notifies States and requests additional information required to complete the application.

For fiscal year 1989, 25 applications were approved within 45 days after they were received, and all were approved within 61 days. For fiscal year 1990, BJA approved all but four applications within the 45-day period, and the remaining four within 51 days. The average length of time required for BJA to approve applications dropped from 46 days for fiscal year 1989 to 28 days in fiscal year 1990. Incomplete applications that were not approved within the 45-day limit were approved by BJA shortly after the States completed their applications.

The most important and time-consuming requirement imposed on each State is the development of a State strategy. The State must consult with State and local officials, balance competing demands, assess various needs, and determine relative priorities. All of these are

time-consuming; however, the States can begin this process before receiving the formal notification or application package from BJA. The final application, including the strategy, may need to be modified if application procedures are changed. But States need not wait for notice from BJA before they begin developing their strategies. Over the last four years, the time required for States to apply for funds and BJA to approve applications has decreased significantly as States and BJA have become familiar with program requirements and the grant-making process.

The HHS Grant Application Process

Like the Justice grant program, the HHS grant program requires States to apply annually for their HHS awards. The application must include assurances from the States that funds will not be used for restricted activities. However, the States do not have to include detailed plans for, or descriptions of, the uses of HHS grant funds not covered by restrictions. States are not now required to prepare a strategy, like that required under the Justice grant program. The National Drug Control Strategy recommends that each State should be required to prepare a Statewide treatment plan before it can receive its HHS grant. The President has proposed legislation to implement this requirement, but it has not been enacted by Congress. States will be asked to submit plans as part of their 1991 HHS grant application on a voluntary basis.

Unlike the Justice grant program, no deadlines are imposed on HHS or the States for issuing grant notifications or submitting and approving applications. Still, HHS issues prompt notification and sends application packages to States in a timely manner.

For fiscal year 1989, HHS sent application packages to the States in November 1988. Although it was the second month of the fiscal year, it was just one week after enactment of the appropriations bill. These application packages informed the States how much they would receive in HHS grant funds. During the next eight months, States prepared their applications and submitted them for approval. In some instances, States were required to revise and resubmit their applications for approval. The first State's application was submitted to the Department in December of 1988, while the bulk arrived during January and February. Most of the applications were reviewed within five working days of receipt. The Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration (ADAMHA) approved most of the applications during February and March, with the last approved in June 1989.

After approving the State applications, ADAMHA can begin to make awards to the States. These awards are made to the States on a quarterly basis. Because most State applications are not approved until after the second quarter of the fiscal year, the initial award may be for two or three quarters, depending on when the application was approved.

In fiscal year 1989, for example, all applications were approved by June. This allowed ADAMHA to make all of the fourth quarter awards to States at the beginning of that quarter. Delays in making awards sometimes result from delayed Congressional action on the budget or State submissions that were late or incomplete.


Education Grant Application Process

As mentioned earlier, grants under this program are forward funded for use during the school year following the year of appropriation. By law, the Department cannot make awards to the States until July 1, nine months into the Federal fiscal year. This gives States ample time to prepare applications and develop plans for using these funds.

States generally receive notification of upcoming Education grant funds during the second quarter of the Federal fiscal year. (For fiscal year 1989, notification occurred in February, five months into the fiscal year, but four months before awards can be made and six months before the next school year.) The application package to States follows one or two months later, but still several months before Education grant awards can be made. States are only required by law to submit an application for Education grant funds every three years. During the interim years, amendments may be required in response to legal program changes or changes in State plans. Otherwise, Education grants can be made without awaiting new State applications.

The Office of Elementary and Secondary Education (OESE) within the Department of Education receives and approves most of the State applications during the summer months. Starting on July 1, awards can be made to those States whose applications OESE received and approved. Under the authorizing legislation, the Education grant funds are made available to OESE for fifteen months. This gives OESE from July 1 through September 30 of the following year to make all of the State awards; however, most of the awards are made shortly after the applications are approved. For fiscal year 1989, all applications were received and approved by August of 1989, before the start of the school year. The Education grant award makes the entire amount available to the State at one time like the Justice grant program, not on a quarterly basis like the HHS grant program.

Phase III: State to Local



The third phase mirrors in many ways the Federal to State process. Many States require their legislature to appropriate Federal funds awarded to the State, as well as any required matching funds. The State must issue notifications of awards to potential local recipients. It must review applications for local projects, selecting those that are most promising. Finally, the State makes awards for specific local projects.

State Appropriations Process

The flow of Federal funds to State and local projects is greatly affected by the State budget and appropriations process. The National Association of State Budget Officers recently surveyed all States to identify their budgetary processes. It identified 39 States that require a State appropriation of Federal funds before they can be used. State agencies cannot use these funds either for State operations or to make awards to local projects without an enacted State appropriations bill for the current fiscal year.

Most States enact appropriations bills for the following fiscal year during legislative sessions held between January and July. The State fiscal year begins on July 1 for 46 States and the District of Columbia, on April 1 for New York, on September 1 for Texas, and on October 1 for Alabama and Michigan.

Unfortunately, the Federal budget and grant-making processes do not correspond to most States' fiscal years. Most States receive their Justice grant awards and their initial HHS grant awards between January and March, well in advance of the start of most State fiscal years. States receive their Education grant awards during the summer or early fall, around the beginning of most State fiscal years. Under

ideal conditions, Congress appropriates funding for all three drug grant programs by the beginning of October, well before or well after State legislatures work on their budgets.

States that must appropriate Federal funds have various options available to them to deal with the non-alignment of Federal and State fiscal years. States may simply delay using the grant funds until their fiscal year begins. This may result in delaying awards to local projects and the subsequent expenditure of funds for several months after States have actually received their awards. The State legislature could appropriate the funds for immediate use, instead of waiting for the start of the fiscal year, thereby minimizing these delays. The State legislature could have already appropriated sufficient funds during the prior year's session in anticipation of the current year's grant award. The effect of State appropriations on the flow of Federal funds will be discussed further under the sections covering the specific programs.

Justice Grant Program

Administrative Offset: The State strategy development and application process was discussed under the "Phase II" section on Justice grant application requirements. During this process, most States identify types of projects that will be funded by the Justice grant. Each State can retain up to 10 percent of its grant for administrative costs, including the cost of preparing the State strategy. Most States use much less — about 5 percent on average.

State Projects: The amount each State can keep for State-level criminal justice projects is based on the portion of total criminal justice expenditures that are incurred by the State versus the total expenditures for State and local levels of government. The remaining local share is called the variable pass through, or VPT. Each State has a different VPT. Most States do not retain their full share based on the VPT calculation, instead passing through to local projects more than the minimum amount required by law.

Local Projects: States must receive proposals from local governments before local projects can be awarded. Some States issue requests for proposals (RFPs) shortly after their applications and strategies are approved by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), while others announce that funds will be available before their award is received. RFPs ask local governments to submit proposals for projects consistent with the State strategy. Local governments responding to RFPs must include project descriptions, a budget showing funding requirements, and any other items required by the State or Federal government. Still other States negotiate specific amounts with local jurisdictions before or shortly after the State application is submitted to BJA. States must be available to provide program guidance and technical assistance to help local governments to prepare proposals consistent with the RFP and State strategy.

Matching Requirement: Under current law, State and local projects funded from the Justice grant award are subject to a non-Federal matching requirement. The current law requires one dollar in non-Federal funds for every three dollars in Justice grant funds. Only new funds can be used to meet this 25/75 matching requirement. Funds that would otherwise be spent on current State and local criminal justice operations are ineligible for use as a match. The requirement that a match use only new funds stimulates additional State and local spending on criminal justice projects and activities.

Matching funds can be provided by either State or local governments. If the State chooses, it can provide the match for the entire grant instead of on a project-by-project basis. Others may use a mixture of State and local funds to provide the match on a project-by-project basis. States may require local governments to identify the source of matching funds as part of its proposal.

Obligation Period: The law requires States to review completed local government applications within 45 days after receiving them. If a local application is disapproved, the State must notify the applicant of the specific reasons for disapproval within the 45-day period, and provide an opportunity for reconsideration. States also must make funds available to applicants within 45 days after BJA approves State applications, but BJA can waive this provision if it is inconsistent with State statutes.

Comparing the Justice, HHS, and Education Grant Programs

Like the Justice grant program, both the HHS and Education grant programs allow the States to keep a certain percentage of their grant awards for administrative purposes. Each State can use up to 5 percent of its HHS grant for administrative costs. State educational agencies (SEAs) may retain up to 10 percent of their allocation for administrative costs and other services. Unlike the Justice program, no matching funds are required for HHS or Education grants. There is also nothing comparable to the variable pass-through formula in either the HHS or Education grant programs to determine the amount of funds to be used for State activities versus local activities.

The HHS grant can be used for treatment and prevention services. Although the program establishes mandatory set-asides to fund particular types of activities, the designated State agency has total discretion as to the distribution of funds between State and local programs. Funds may be distributed to local governments and to public and private entities.

The Education grant is divided into two distinct funding components. One component consists of funding made available specifically for the Governor as the Chief Executive. This amount is determined by formula and cannot be changed by the State legislature. Although there are certain funding requirements and other restrictions, the Governor has

Federal Drug Grants to States


total discretion as to the distribution of funds for grants and contracts with local governments and other public and private nonprofit entities.

The other component of the Education grant program makes funds available specifically for local educational agencies (LEAs) as well as intermediate educational agencies and consortia. Under current law, at least 90 percent of a State's 1988 funding base must be distributed to the LEAs, with the remainder to be used by the SEA for administrative and other purposes as mentioned above. All increases since 1988 must be distributed to the LEAs. The distribution to the LEAs must be made on the basis of school enrollment and Chapter 1 funding. (Chapter 1, under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, supports remedial services for public and private pupils by distributing funds based on per pupil expenditures and the number of children from low income families.) To the extent that an LEA chooses not to participate in the program, or is unable to spend its allocation, the SEA has the discretion to reallocate its funds to other LEAs.

Unlike the Justice grants, the law does not require States to obligate their HHS and Education grant funds within a certain number of days of receiving their awards. Instead, the HHS program gives the States one year to obligate their funds from October 1, the beginning of the Federal fiscal year. This period was extended by six months for supplemental funds appropriated for fiscal year 1990. The Education grant program gives the States a little over two years (27 months) to obligate their funds starting from July 1.

Most States appropriate their HHS and Education grant awards within these time frames, usually subsequent to receiving their award. Some States, however, appropriate their allotment in anticipation of their awards, thus avoiding any delays. Although the Justice, HHS, and Education grant programs differ in the mechanism used to control State obligations, the results are similar. In all three programs, States tend to obligate their funds during the last half of the Federal fiscal year.

Phase IV: Local Project Activity




Obligating, awarding, or otherwise making commitments that funds will be available — all of these steps are essential to ensure appropriate planning, coordination, and accountability. At this point, local project activity can begin. This consists of hiring staff, paying salaries, purchasing any necessary supplies and equipment, and ultimately delivering services. The bills for project expenditures against grant awards are sent to the Federal Treasury and translate into Federal outlays. Federal outlays from these three programs have grown substantially since 1987 and are currently about \$200 million per fiscal year quarter.

Federal outlays for project expenditures may occur in two ways. Generally, local projects in most States receive HHS and Justice grant funds as reimbursement after expenditures have already been made. States may reimburse a local project days, weeks, months, or even a full year after the project has paid its bills. For the Justice grant program, some States require local governments to spend the non-Federal matching funds comprising at least one-fourth of the total project cost before Justice grant funds can be expended. Generally, LEAs in most States receive Education grant funds in advance, rather than as reimbursement after expenditures have already been made.

These program differences result in significantly different patterns in the way grant funds are expended. Outlays for the three programs for each State are shown in Appendix C, and the outlay patterns are illustrated in separate graphs for each program below. These graphs depict the actual percent of Federal outlays from each fiscal year grant on a quarterly basis. They show two things: that local project expenditures in a given quarter are from several grant awards, and that all grants funds have been outlayed well within statutory deadlines.

Federal Drug Grants to States





Justice Grant Outlays

In the Justice grant program, States are given the year of the appropriation plus two years to spend the funds. Many States make awards to local governments for a one or two year period. These projects may be continued with funds from Justice grant awards made in succeeding years for up to four years. First year delays in making awards caused most of the outlays from the 1987 grants to occur in late fiscal year 1988 and to continue into fiscal year 1990. However, the pattern for outlays from fiscal year 1988 and 1989 grants shows a much steeper curve, indicating that more funds are being spent in a timely manner.

HHS Grant Outlays

Prior to 1988, States were given two years to obligate their funds, but no limit was placed on the amount of time during which a State could spend the funds. The law was changed in 1988 to place a one-year limit on obligations and a two-year limit on expenditures. This change affected grants made in fiscal year 1989 and thereafter. The new limits were extended by six months in fiscal year 1990 for only the supplemental funds appropriated in the Transportation bill, but not for funds appropriated in the HHS bill. State expenditures have been well




Federal Drug Grants to States

within these limits, as shown by the pattern of Federal outlays. About half of the funds appropriated in a Federal fiscal year are spent by the end of that fiscal year, and almost all are spent before the end of the following fiscal year.

Education Grant Outlays

The law allows State and local education agencies up to 27 months to obligate formula grant funds starting July 1 of the Federal fiscal year in which they are awarded. Because this program is forward funded, fiscal year 1987 represents the most recent year of complete data. Based on State expenditure reports, SEAs and LEAs had expended 96 percent of the 1987 funds through the third quarter of fiscal year 1990. Although this represents a 36-month period, funds appropriated for fiscal year 1987 were available for three years, or nine months longer than the 27-month limit currently in statute. The remaining 4 percent are most likely obligations for contractual services that will be obligated in the near future.



Conclusion

Under the formula grant process, each level of government has a particular role to play. Although they differ somewhat depending on the specific program, these roles can be generalized as follows. The Federal government determines funding levels for grant programs, provides technical assistance and guidance, and monitors and evaluates the use of funds by States and localities to assure compliance with Federal law. States set priorities, assist localities in developing ideas and proposals, select specific projects for funding, distribute funds to local recipients, assure funds are expended in compliance with applicable Federal and State laws, and also evaluate projects funded with grants. Local recipients have responsibility for the daily management and operation of law enforcement, treatment, and prevention projects and programs. A paramount concern for each level of government throughout this process is for funds to be used effectively, efficiently, and with accountability.

Marked improvements have been made between fiscal years 1987 and 1990 in delivering funds to local projects. Funds are more quickly delivered because States have become accustomed to new program requirements and have begun integrating new or increased grant levels into their budgets. Legislative improvements have also been made, such as the 1988 Drug Act amendments to the Justice and HHS grant programs that successfully expedited the delivery of funds to States and local programs.

Even considering these improvements, the grant-making process — requesting, appropriating, awarding, and spending Federal grant funds — takes time. Twelve to eighteen months may elapse between the time the President's Budget request for new grant funds is submitted to Congress and the time States receive grant awards associated with this request. Only then can funds be spent on local projects.

Attempts to speed up the process by replacing formula grants to States with direct funding to cities would be counterproductive and would severely damage the States' role. The Federal government would be faced with a tremendous burden of reviewing applications from all interested local governments and monitoring their grant expenditures. Instead of speeding up the process, the time required at the Federal level to review thousands of applications from local governments would almost certainly slow it down. This time requirement could be reduced by eliminating certain application requirements, such as requiring a strategy as part of the Justice grant application, but at the cost of eroding accountability. Even more critical, States are closer to local governments and more familiar with their particular needs. Decisions made by the Federal government could never equal those made by States. Because of this, the Federal government must continue to involve States as a key component of the grant-making process.

Federal Drug Grants to States

Further improvements should occur as Federal agencies, States, and localities become even more familiar with these programs and the grant-making process. The Administration will continue to monitor the three programs and recommend any future changes as part of the President's National Drug Control Strategy, which is presented to Congress every year. Any proposed changes will be designed to improve coordination among all three levels of government, not only for the drug grant programs themselves, but also for the overall national effort against illegal drugs.

Appendices

Appendix A

Illustrations of the Grant-Making Process

Step	Description
1-4	These four steps involve the legislative process of drafting the Justice appropriations bill.
5	The Justice appropriations bill was enacted on October 1, 1988. Supplemental funding was appropriated on November 18, 1990.
6	This period was projected by calculating the difference between the appropriation date and the apportionment date.
7	The 1989 apportionment was signed November 18, 1988.
8	Program guidance was signed by the Director of the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) on December 17, 1988.
9	The 1989 application requirements and guidelines were published in the Federal Register and sent to the States on December 27, 1988.
10	This time period begins when the 1989 applications were sent to the States and ends when BJA reviewed the last application (the middle of February). The law requires States to submit applications to BJA within 60 days after promulgation of the rules (deadline February 17).
11	This step occurs when BJA reviews the State applications from January 23 to February 23.
12	This time period runs from when State applications are first received by BJA beginning at the end of January to when the Department approves the last award in early April.
13	Most applications were approved from late March to early April. The law states that each complete application submitted to BJA shall be deemed approved, in whole or in part, by BJA not later than 45 days after first received.
14	After the application has been approved, an award notification is sent making the entire grant available to the State. Award notifications are usually sent within 2 weeks of approval. Most awards were made in the month of April with the exception of a few States, which were awarded funds from March 28-30.
15	Each State has a different process to appropriate Federal funds. Many States require all Federal funds to be appropriated, while others do not. Some States delay appropriating grant funds until they have been awarded, while others will appropriate during the previous year in anticipation of receiving grants. All State fiscal years begin on July 1 except for Alabama and Michigan (October 1), New York (April 1), and Texas (September 1). The Legislature makes appropriations annually in 29 States and for the biennium in 21 States.
16-17	The State RFP (request for proposal) process, which generally runs from March to June, is how States solicit bids for various projects from potential grant sub-recipients. The State has 45 days after receiving its award to announce the availability of funds. After the awards are made, States are then required to make grant funds available to approved applicants (either local units of government or for State projects) within an additional 45 days. BJA can waive the latter requirement based on a finding that the State cannot meet the requirement consistent with State statutes. For many States, initial awards are made within 45 days, but funds are not made available until the beginning of the State fiscal year (usually July 1) because the State Legislature must appropriate these funds (see step 15).
18	This period of time represents when sub-recipients whose proposals are approved begin project activity.
19-20	Although States approve projects for an initial period of one year, States have up to three years to spend the Federal money, with an additional one year extension by BJA if required and justified by the State. States can extend current projects or fund new projects with funds remaining during years two through four.
21	At the end of the project, States have 90 days to spend all funds previously obligated. If an extension is granted by BJA, close-out will occur later.
22	Federal expenditures occur when States request either short term advances or reimbursements of own source funds used to finance project activity. Depending on how reimbursements are handled, Federal expenditures may lag behind project activity by several months.

Grant-Making Process for 1989 HHS Drug Grants

	1988									1989									1990				1991				1992						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Jan	Apr	Jul	Oct	Jan	Apr	Jul	Oct	Jan	Apr	Jul
1	President's Budget Submitted to Congress																																
2	Appropriations Committees Hold Hearings																																
3	Committees Draft Appropriations Bills																																
4	Congress Debates Committee Draft Bills																																
5	Congress Enacts Appropriations																																
6	HHS Sends Apportionment Schedule to OMB																																
7	OMB Approves Apportionment Request																																
8	ASMB Issues Advice of Allotments to ADAMHA																																
9	HHS Sends Application Packages to States																																
10	States Prepare Applications; Send to HHS																																
11	HHS Reviews State Applications																																
12	Initial Awards; 1st Quarter (Fed Obligation)																																
13	2nd Quarter Awards (Fed Obligation)																																
14	3rd Quarter Awards (Fed Obligation)																																
15	4th Quarter Awards (Fed Obligation)																																
16	State Legislatures Appropriate Federal Funds																																
17	States Notify Locals of Award																																
18	Locals Apply to States																																
19	States Approve Locals Application																																
20	States Issue Local Awards (State Obligation)																																
21	State Expenditures Occur (Federal Outlay)																																
22	Local Obligations																																
23	Local Expenditures																																

Step can occur anytime during the shaded time period.

Step occurs over the entire shaded time period.

Step	Description
1-4	These four steps involve the legislative process and are beyond the Department's control.
5	The HHS Grant appropriation for FY 1989 was enacted September 20, 1988. Additional legislation was passed November 18, 1988 which changed the block grant formula and added additional funding for substance abuse activities.
6	This period represents the time it takes to apportion the grant funds once Congress passes an appropriation bill. In previous years (1987 & 1988), Congress passed continuing resolutions which delayed apportionments and allotments.
7	Funds were apportioned on 10/20/88.
8	Within HHS, the Assistant Secretary for Management and Budget must issue advice of allotment before the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration (ADAMHA) can make awards to the State. This time frame begins when OMB approves the apportionment request and, because of quarterly allotments, ends when the 4th quarter awards are made to the States.
9	Applications for FY 1989 were sent to the States on November 23, 1988.
10	This period starts when the 1989 applications were sent to the States and ends when all the revised applications were received by HHS in mid-June. All initial applications were received by mid-May.
11	This period starts when State applications are first received by the HHS in late December and ends when the HHS makes its last award in June. (States only).
12-15	This period represents the 1 year during which the Department must obligate these funds. Each line represents a quarterly allotment.
12	No awards were made in the 1st quarter (leaving October, November and December blank). Some State applications were approved during the second and third quarters, delaying the receipt of the first quarter awards until the second or third quarters (leaving January through June blank).
13	States whose applications were approved during the second quarter would receive their second quarter award on time (January through March). Some State applications were approved as late as the third quarter, delaying the receipt of their first and second quarter awards until the third quarter (April through June).
14	All State applications were approved by the end of the third quarter. As a result, all States received their fourth quarter awards on time (at the beginning of July).
16-19	This assumes that States will complete their own application and award process within the Federal fiscal year in which the law allows the States to obligate their funds.
20	States must obligate their block grant funds during the Federal fiscal year in which they are appropriated. This period started in January since most of the States received their initial awards during the second quarter of 1989.
21	States have an additional twelve months to spend their block grant funds. Again, this period started in January since most of the States received their initial awards during the second quarter of 1989.
22-23	For the purposes of illustration, State expenditures are used as a proxy for local obligations and local expenditures.

Step	Description
1-4	These four steps involve the legislative process and are beyond the Department's control.
5	The Education Grant appropriation for FY 1989 was enacted September 20, 1988. Additional funding was provided on November 19, 1988 with the enactment of PL 100-690.
6	This period represents the time it takes to apportion the formula grant funds once Congress passes an appropriation bill.
7	Funds were apportioned on October 21, 1988. Additional funds were apportioned on December 1, 1988.
8	States were notified of their funding allocation in February.
9	Applications for FY 1989 were sent to the States on April 14, 1989.
10	This period starts when the 1989 applications were sent to the States and ends when the last application was received by the Education Department (ED). Although applications are due by July 1, States can apply after that date.
11	This period starts from when State applications are first received by ED in June and ends when the ED makes its last award in August.
12	Most of the applications were approved in July and August with the exception of one State in September.
13	ED's Office of Planning, Budget, and Evaluation must issue advice of allotment before ED's Office of Elementary and Secondary Education can make the awards to the States. This allotment occurs at the very beginning of July.
14	ED made awards in late July, August, and early September. These months represents a portion of the 15 months during which ED must obligate these funds. This period starts July 1, 1989 and ends September 30, 1990.
15	This assumes that States which appropriate Education grant funds will do so within 27 months the law allows the States to obligate their funds.
16	ED estimates that many State Education Agencies (SEAs) notified their Local Education Agencies (LEAs) during March and April, months before the funds become available on July 1.
17	ED estimates that many LEAs submitted applications during August and September of 1989.
18-19	This assumes that States will complete their application and award process within the 27 months the law allows the SEAs and the LEAs to obligate their funds.
20	SEAs have authority to redistribute LEA funds remaining unobligated after one year.
21	Based on experience with the FY 1987 appropriation, this assumes that funds will be spent by the end of 27 months, if not sooner.
22-23	For the purposes of illustration, State expenditures as a proxy for local obligations and expenditures.

Appendix B

Application, Approval,
and Award Dates by
State and Fiscal Year

Appendix B

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
ALABAMA					
87 Grant	13-Jul-87	29-Oct-87	09-Nov-87	108	\$2,996
88 Grant	13-Jul-88	28-Jul-88	09-Aug-88	15	\$957
89 Grant	08-Feb-89	06-Mar-89	31-Mar-89	26	\$2,018
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	08-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	17	\$6,593
ALASKA					
87 Grant	17-Sep-87	28-Sep-87	07-Oct-87	11	\$823
88 Grant	15-Aug-88	28-Sep-88	30-Sep-88	44	\$560
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	03-Apr-89	12-Apr-89	47	\$695
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	08-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	17	\$1,704
ARIZONA					
87 Grant	03-Aug-87	09-Sep-87	18-Sep-87	37	\$2,478
88 Grant	10-Jun-88	01-Jul-88	13-Jul-88	21	\$874
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	28-Mar-89	06-Apr-89	40	\$1,759
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	08-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	20	\$5,755
ARKANSAS					
87 Grant	22-Apr-88	18-May-88	20-May-88	26	\$1,964
88 Grant	22-Apr-88	18-May-88	27-May-88	26	\$768
89 Grant	17-Feb-89	09-Apr-89	13-Apr-89	51	\$1,388
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	01-Feb-90	12-Feb-90	9	\$4,260
CALIFORNIA					
87 Grant	04-Aug-87	24-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	51	\$16,866
88 Grant	16-Aug-88	29-Aug-88	08-Sep-88	13	\$3,544
89 Grant	23-Jan-89	20-Mar-89	29-Mar-89	56	\$10,782
90 Grant	18-Dec-89	28-Dec-89	29-Dec-89	10	\$39,676
COLORADO					
87 Grant	10-Jun-87	23-Jul-87	23-Jul-87	43	\$2,506
88 Grant	13-May-88	29-Jun-88	11-Jul-88	47	\$869
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	05-Apr-89	14-Apr-89	48	\$1,725
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	16-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	25	\$5,498
CONNECTICUT					
87 Grant	20-May-87	17-Jul-87	29-Jul-87	58	\$2,470
88 Grant	04-Aug-88	29-Aug-88	08-Sep-88	25	\$860
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	18-Apr-89	50	\$1,693
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	16-Mar-90	43	\$5,405

1/ Number of days between application date and approval date.

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
DELAWARE					
87 Grant	06-Aug-87	22-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	47	\$886
88 Grant	01-Aug-88	06-Sep-88	16-Sep-88	36	\$571
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	11-Apr-89	21-Apr-89	54	\$739
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	27-Feb-90	06-Mar-90	36	\$1,890
WASHINGTON, DC					
87 Grant	25-Jun-87	22-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	89	\$889
88 Grant	24-Aug-88	13-Sep-88	23-Sep-88	20	\$571
89 Grant	17-Feb-89	05-Apr-89	14-Apr-89	47	\$731
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	27-Feb-90	06-Mar-90	36	\$1,831
FLORIDA					
87 Grant	20-Aug-87	29-Oct-87	09-Nov-87	70	\$7,555
88 Grant	10-Aug-88	02-Sep-88	14-Sep-88	23	\$1,817
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	19-Apr-89	50	\$4,969
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	13-Feb-90	23-Feb-90	25	\$17,842
GEORGIA					
87 Grant	05-Aug-87	25-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	51	\$4,210
88 Grant	27-Jun-88	27-Jul-88	09-Aug-88	30	\$1,189
89 Grant	21-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	18-Apr-89	45	\$2,813
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	16-Mar-90	42	\$9,653
HAWAII					
87 Grant	23-Oct-87	09-Nov-87	12-Nov-87	17	\$1,154
88 Grant	25-Jul-88	12-Aug-88	23-Aug-88	18	\$620
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	30-Mar-89	10-Apr-89	42	\$903
90 Grant	18-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	47	\$2,488
IDAHO					
87 Grant	18-Aug-87	10-Sep-87	22-Sep-87	23	\$1,124
88 Grant	27-Apr-88	26-May-88	07-Jun-88	29	\$613
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	28-Mar-89	06-Apr-89	41	\$871
90 Grant	17-Jan-90	02-Feb-90	13-Feb-90	16	\$2,358
ILLINOIS					
87 Grant	13-Apr-87	03-Jun-87	15-Jun-87	51	\$7,660
88 Grant	07-Jul-88	28-Jul-88	09-Aug-88	21	\$1,803
89 Grant	07-Feb-89	17-Mar-89	28-Mar-89	38	\$4,805
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	01-Feb-90	07-Feb-90	13	\$16,857

1/ Number of days between application date and approval date.

Appendix B

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
INDIANA					
87 Grant	25-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	5	\$3,913
88 Grant	11-Jul-88	28-Jul-88	09-Aug-88	17	\$1,121
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	17-Apr-89	26-Apr-89	61	\$2,556
90 Grant	24-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	16-Mar-90	41	\$8,580
IOWA					
87 Grant	14-Jul-87	11-Aug-87	20-Aug-87	28	\$2,290
88 Grant	15-Jun-88	29-Jun-88	11-Jul-88	14	\$822
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	30-Mar-89	10-Apr-89	43	\$1,553
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	02-Feb-90	07-Feb-90	11	\$4,860
KANSAS					
87 Grant	24-Aug-87	18-Sep-87	29-Sep-87	25	\$2,021
88 Grant	13-Sep-88	21-Sep-88	30-Sep-88	8	\$778
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	18-Apr-89	51	\$1,420
90 Grant	25-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	40	\$4,397
KENTUCKY					
87 Grant	24-Jul-87	12-Aug-87	21-Aug-87	19	\$2,813
88 Grant	25-Jul-88	13-Sep-88	23-Sep-88	50	\$921
89 Grant	13-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	19-Apr-89	53	\$1,885
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	20-Feb-90	01-Mar-90	29	\$6,080
LOUISIANA					
87 Grant	28-Dec-87	02-Feb-88	04-Feb-88	36	\$3,282
88 Grant	02-May-88	26-May-88	07-Jun-88	24	\$1,008
89 Grant	14-Feb-89	20-Mar-89	29-Mar-89	34	\$2,158
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	31-Jan-90	08-Feb-90	12	\$7,011
MAINE					
87 Grant	30-Sep-87	30-Oct-87	03-Nov-87	30	\$1,222
88 Grant	16-Jun-88	07-Jul-88	18-Jul-88	21	\$632
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	06-Apr-89	17-Apr-89	49	\$941
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	26-Feb-90	06-Mar-90	34	\$2,634
MARYLAND					
87 Grant	21-Aug-87	30-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	40	\$3,226
88 Grant	25-Jul-88	19-Aug-88	30-Aug-88	25	\$1,004
89 Grant	17-Feb-89	14-Apr-89	25-Apr-89	56	\$2,186
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	01-Mar-90	09-Mar-90	41	\$7,303

1/ Number of days between application date and approval date.

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
MASSACHUSETTS					
87 Grant	09-Sep-87	29-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	20	\$4,114
88 Grant	11-Jul-88	06-Sep-88	16-Sep-88	57	\$1,158
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	13-Apr-89	24-Apr-89	56	\$2,676
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	16-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	24	\$9,035
MICHIGAN					
87 Grant	20-Apr-87	04-Jun-87	16-Jun-87	45	\$6,141
88 Grant	28-Mar-88	18-May-88	27-May-88	51	\$1,532
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	28-Mar-89	07-Apr-89	40	\$3,919
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	02-Feb-90	12-Feb-90	11	\$13,613
MINNESOTA					
87 Grant	08-Jun-87	20-Jul-87	29-Jul-87	42	\$3,103
88 Grant	06-Jun-88	21-Jun-88	05-Jul-88	15	\$975
89 Grant	17-Feb-89	06-Apr-89	17-Apr-89	48	\$2,078
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	27-Feb-90	07-Mar-90	39	\$6,873
MISSISSIPPI					
87 Grant	31-Jul-87	31-Aug-87	04-Sep-87	31	\$2,122
88 Grant	21-Jul-88	08-Dec-88	23-Aug-88	140	\$796
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	04-Apr-89	13-Apr-89	47	\$1,476
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	16-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	25	\$4,568
MISSOURI					
87 Grant	06-Jul-87	29-Jul-87	07-Aug-87	23	\$3,622
88 Grant	11-Apr-88	09-May-88	19-May-88	28	\$1,072
89 Grant	08-Feb-89	08-Apr-89	19-Apr-89	59	\$2,397
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	02-Feb-90	13-Feb-90	10	\$8,012
MONTANA					
87 Grant	11-May-87	24-Jun-87	06-Jul-87	44	\$1,013
88 Grant	12-Apr-88	28-Apr-88	10-May-88	16	\$592
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	20-Mar-89	29-Mar-89	32	\$801
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	05-Mar-90	13-Mar-90	42	\$2,088
NEBRASKA					
87 Grant	28-Jul-87	28-Aug-87	09-Sep-87	31	\$1,497
88 Grant	16-May-88	15-Jun-88	24-Jun-88	30	\$680
89 Grant	09-Feb-89	20-Mar-89	29-Mar-89	39	\$1,092
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	01-Mar-90	09-Mar-90	41	\$3,177

1/ Number of days between application date and approval date.

Appendix B

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
NEVADA					
87 Grant	31-Aug-87	28-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	28	\$1,081
88 Grant	11-Feb-88	21-Mar-88	30-Mar-88	39	\$609
89 Grant	21-Feb-89	06-Apr-89	17-Apr-89	44	\$874
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	20-Feb-90	01-Mar-90	29	\$2,428
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
87 Grant	05-May-87	06-Aug-87	17-Aug-87	93	\$1,119
88 Grant	04-Mar-88	28-Mar-88	06-Apr-88	24	\$616
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	29-Mar-89	10-Apr-89	42	\$893
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	01-Mar-90	09-Mar-90	38	\$2,470
NEW JERSEY					
87 Grant	30-Sep-87	13-Nov-87	18-Nov-87	44	\$5,194
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	27-Jul-88	09-Aug-88	27	\$1,360
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	17-Apr-89	26-Apr-89	60	\$3,352
90 Grant	16-Jan-90	05-Feb-90	14-Feb-90	20	\$11,538
NEW MEXICO					
87 Grant	18-Mar-88	28-Apr-88	03-May-88	41	\$1,400
88 Grant	25-May-88	21-Jun-88	05-Jul-88	27	\$667
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	28-Mar-89	06-Apr-89	40	\$1,058
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	16-Mar-90	46	\$3,047
NEW YORK					
87 Grant	31-Jul-87	24-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	55	\$11,539
88 Grant	25-May-88	21-Jun-88	05-Jul-88	27	\$2,505
89 Grant	17-Feb-89	23-Mar-89	03-Apr-89	34	\$7,125
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	16-Mar-90	42	\$25,459
NORTH CAROLINA					
87 Grant	20-May-87	18-Aug-87	27-Aug-87	90	\$4,383
88 Grant	14-Apr-88	18-May-88	27-May-88	34	\$1,214
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	18-Apr-89	50	\$2,884
90 Grant	15-Dec-89	12-Jan-90	16-Jan-90	28	\$9,854
NORTH DAKOTA					
87 Grant	18-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	12	\$925
88 Grant	20-Jun-88	13-Jul-88	22-Jul-88	23	\$577
89 Grant	23-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	18-Apr-89	43	\$750
90 Grant	25-Jan-90	07-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	41	\$1,899

1/ Number of days between application date and approval date.

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
OHIO					
87 Grant	11-Jun-87	29-Jul-87	07-Aug-87	48	\$7,169
88 Grant	10-Mar-88	05-Apr-88	14-Apr-88	26	\$1,713
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	29-Mar-89	10-Apr-89	41	\$4,508
90 Grant	25-Jan-90	26-Feb-90	06-Mar-90	32	\$15,820
OKLAHOMA					
87 Grant	24-Aug-87	22-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	29	\$2,549
88 Grant	15-Mar-88	23-May-88	02-Jun-88	69	\$873
89 Grant	14-Feb-89	28-Mar-89	06-Apr-89	42	\$1,716
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	12-Feb-90	22-Feb-90	21	\$5,418
OREGON					
87 Grant	18-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	12	\$2,168
88 Grant	20-Sep-88	23-Sep-88	30-Sep-88	3	\$804
89 Grant	23-Feb-89	11-Apr-89	21-Apr-89	47	\$1,512
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	26-Feb-90	07-Mar-90	35	\$4,769
PENNSYLVANIA					
87 Grant	22-Jun-87	18-Aug-87	27-Aug-87	57	\$7,858
88 Grant	01-Jul-88	22-Jul-88	02-Aug-88	21	\$1,841
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	29-Mar-89	10-Apr-89	42	\$4,936
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	16-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	24	\$17,386
RHODE ISLAND					
87 Grant	20-Oct-87	13-Nov-87	18-Nov-87	24	\$1,101
88 Grant	19-Aug-88	07-Sep-88	16-Sep-88	19	\$610
89 Grant	21-Feb-89	05-Apr-89	14-Apr-89	43	\$866
90 Grant	24-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	41	\$2,345
SOUTH CAROLINA					
87 Grant	31-Aug-87	29-Oct-87	08-Nov-87	59	\$2,578
88 Grant	04-May-88	03-Jun-88	14-Jun-88	30	\$881
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	19-Apr-89	50	\$1,773
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	02-Feb-90	08-Feb-90	11	\$5,729
SOUTH DAKOTA					
87 Grant	29-Jul-87	29-Jul-87	04-Sep-87	0	\$939
88 Grant	23-Mar-88	23-May-88	02-Jun-88	61	\$580
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	06-Apr-89	13-Jun-89	49	\$764
90 Grant	19-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	46	\$1,962

1/ Number of days between application date and approval date.

Appendix B

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
TENNESSEE					
87 Grant	18-Aug-87	10-Sep-87	14-Sep-87	23	\$3,456
88 Grant	19-Jul-88	11-Aug-88	23-Aug-88	23	\$1,042
89 Grant	17-Feb-89	04-Apr-89	13-Apr-89	46	\$2,304
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	27-Feb-90	07-Mar-90	36	\$7,676
TEXAS					
87 Grant	03-Aug-87	27-Aug-87	27-Aug-87	24	\$10,662
88 Grant	22-Feb-88	05-Apr-88	14-Apr-88	43	\$2,382
89 Grant	17-Feb-89	20-Mar-89	29-Mar-89	31	\$6,740
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	01-Feb-90	12-Feb-90	10	\$23,999
UTAH					
87 Grant	27-Jul-87	06-Aug-87	17-Aug-87	10	\$1,521
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	14-Jul-88	25-Jul-88	14	\$688
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	05-Apr-89	14-Apr-89	48	\$1,124
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	07-Mar-90	16-Mar-90	44	\$3,297
VERMONT					
87 Grant	18-Aug-87	20-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	33	\$832
88 Grant	01-Aug-88	24-Aug-88	02-Sep-88	23	\$561
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	06-Apr-89	17-Apr-89	49	\$704
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	15-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	51	\$1,749
VIRGINIA					
87 Grant	19-Jun-87	29-Jul-87	07-Aug-87	40	\$4,042
88 Grant	08-Jul-88	27-Jul-88	09-Aug-88	19	\$1,153
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	06-Apr-89	17-Apr-89	49	\$2,694
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	26-Feb-90	07-Mar-90	35	\$9,207
WASHINGTON					
87 Grant	13-Jul-87	07-Aug-87	18-Aug-87	25	\$3,237
88 Grant	17-Aug-88	29-Aug-88	08-Sep-88	12	\$1,003
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	19-Apr-89	50	\$2,187
90 Grant	24-Jan-90	12-Feb-90	22-Feb-90	19	\$7,339
WEST VIRGINIA					
87 Grant	10-Dec-87	23-Dec-87	24-Dec-87	13	\$1,702
88 Grant	10-May-88	26-May-88	07-Jun-88	16	\$716
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	10-Apr-89	19-Apr-89	54	\$1,250
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	20-Feb-90	01-Mar-90	28	\$3,551

1/ Number of days between application date and approval date.

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
WISCONSIN					
87 Grant	21-Sep-87	25-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	4	\$3,464
88 Grant	02-Feb-88	03-Mar-88	14-Mar-88	30	\$1,040
89 Grant	13-Feb-89	20-Mar-89	29-Mar-89	35	\$2,287
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	06-Feb-90	15-Feb-90	15	\$7,622
WYOMING					
87 Grant	24-Aug-87	22-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	29	\$816
88 Grant	02-May-88	25-May-88	08-Jun-88	23	\$557
89 Grant	13-Feb-89	17-Mar-89	28-Mar-89	32	\$682
90 Grant	17-Jan-90	29-Jan-90	07-Feb-90	12	\$1,642
PUERTO RICO					
87 Grant	06-Nov-87	22-Dec-87	24-Dec-87	46	\$2,530
88 Grant	15-Sep-88	26-Sep-88	30-Sep-88	11	\$869
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	19-Apr-89	50	\$1,724
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	09-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	18	\$5,485
VIRGIN ISLANDS					
87 Grant	17-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	30-Sep-87	13	\$567
88 Grant	28-Apr-88	26-May-88	07-Jun-88	28	\$512
89 Grant	23-Feb-89	13-Apr-89	24-Apr-89	49	\$539
90 Grant	23-Jan-90	09-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	17	\$1,129
AMERICAN SAMOA					
87 Grant	30-Jul-87	08-Sep-87	17-Sep-87	40	\$522
88 Grant	02-Jun-88	14-Jul-88	25-Jul-88	42	\$504
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	30-Mar-89	10-Apr-89	42	\$188
90 Grant	25-Jan-90	06-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	40	\$718
GUAM					
87 Grant	20-Aug-87	17-Sep-87	28-Sep-87	28	\$574
88 Grant	05-Aug-88	23-Aug-88	02-Sep-88	18	\$514
89 Grant	21-Feb-89	06-Apr-89	17-Apr-89	44	\$285
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	07-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	44	\$1,169
N. MARIANA IS.					
87 Grant	27-Jul-87	28-Aug-87	09-Sep-87	32	\$512
88 Grant	22-Aug-88	14-Sep-88	23-Sep-88	23	\$502
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	07-Apr-89	18-Apr-89	50	\$97
90 Grant	26-Feb-90	07-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	9	\$353

1/ Number of days between application date and approval date.

Appendix B

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
ALABAMA					
87 Grant	19-Aug-86	12-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	24	\$11,923
88 Grant	19-Oct-87	30-Oct-87	03-Nov-87	11	\$11,491
89 Grant	31-Jan-89	17-Feb-89	21-Feb-89	17	\$12,953
90 Grant	14-Dec-89	17-Jan-90	24-Jan-90	34	\$18,006
ALASKA					
87 Grant	05-Aug-86	26-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	52	\$2,687
88 Grant	15-Dec-87	22-Dec-87	05-Jan-88	7	\$2,604
89 Grant	04-Apr-89	12-May-89	30-May-89	38	\$2,734
90 Grant	03-Apr-90	09-Apr-90	12-Apr-90	6	\$2,734
ARIZONA					
87 Grant	18-Aug-86	19-Sep-86	19-Dec-86	32	\$11,556
88 Grant	17-Aug-87	02-Oct-87	29-Oct-87	46	\$11,232
89 Grant	09-Jan-89	25-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	16	\$12,497
90 Grant	11-Oct-89	16-Jan-90	24-Jan-90	97	\$17,519
ARKANSAS					
87 Grant	14-Aug-86	03-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	50	\$8,021
88 Grant	13-Oct-87	14-Dec-87	16-Dec-87	62	\$7,746
89 Grant	06-Feb-89	06-Mar-89	08-Mar-89	28	\$7,000
90 Grant	22-Dec-89	26-Jan-90	05-Feb-90	35	\$8,380
CALIFORNIA					
87 Grant	14-Aug-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	57	\$68,839
88 Grant	13-Aug-87	18-Sep-87	29-Oct-87	36	\$64,804
89 Grant	10-Jan-89	10-Feb-89	13-Feb-89	31	\$87,351
90 Grant	13-Dec-89	26-Jan-90	05-Feb-90	44	\$140,169
COLORADO					
87 Grant	09-Sep-86	20-Feb-87	24-Mar-87	164	\$9,830
88 Grant	22-Dec-87	04-Feb-88	08-Feb-88	44	\$9,582
89 Grant	15-Feb-89	10-Mar-89	14-Mar-89	23	\$11,165
90 Grant	13-Mar-90	09-Apr-90	12-Apr-90	27	\$16,414
CONNECTICUT					
87 Grant	15-Aug-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	56	\$9,475
88 Grant	13-Oct-87	23-Oct-87	02-Nov-87	10	\$9,039
89 Grant	26-Jan-89	06-Feb-89	07-Feb-89	11	\$10,941
90 Grant	06-Nov-89	16-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	102	\$16,027

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
DELAWARE					
87 Grant	25-Jul-86	20-Feb-87	24-Mar-87	210	\$1,891
88 Grant	29-Dec-87	23-Feb-88	14-Mar-88	56	\$1,859
89 Grant	13-Feb-89	17-Feb-89	21-Feb-89	4	\$2,125
90 Grant	04-Oct-89	27-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	146	\$3,073
WASHINGTON, DC					
87 Grant	18-Sep-86	17-Oct-86	19-Dec-86	29	\$3,220
88 Grant	21-Sep-87	02-Oct-87	02-Nov-87	11	\$3,146
89 Grant	10-May-89	25-May-89	05-Jun-89	15	\$3,444
90 Grant	19-Oct-89	06-Apr-90	12-Apr-90	169	\$4,770
FLORIDA					
87 Grant	15-Aug-86	12-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	28	\$32,225
88 Grant	03-Nov-87	03-Nov-87	10-Nov-87	0	\$30,795
89 Grant	03-Jan-89	11-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	8	\$39,620
90 Grant	14-Feb-90	27-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	13	\$59,657
GEORGIA					
87 Grant	25-Aug-86	03-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	39	\$15,971
88 Grant	04-Sep-87	02-Oct-87	02-Nov-87	28	\$15,113
89 Grant	23-Jan-89	06-Mar-89	13-Mar-89	42	\$15,837
90 Grant	25-Aug-89	29-Jan-90	05-Feb-90	157	\$23,701
HAWAII					
87 Grant	26-Aug-86	28-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	33	\$3,577
88 Grant	18-Aug-87	18-Sep-87	29-Oct-87	31	\$3,408
89 Grant	15-May-89	09-Jun-89	12-Jun-89	25	\$4,095
90 Grant	16-Aug-89	27-Apr-90	03-May-90	254	\$5,827
IDAHO					
87 Grant	15-Aug-86	03-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	49	\$2,438
88 Grant	18-Aug-87	23-Oct-87	02-Nov-87	66	\$2,270
89 Grant	25-Jan-89	06-Feb-89	07-Feb-89	12	\$2,383
90 Grant	15-Aug-89	11-Jan-90	19-Jan-90	149	\$2,600
ILLINOIS					
87 Grant	15-Aug-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	56	\$27,600
88 Grant	30-Nov-87	08-Dec-87	14-Dec-87	8	\$25,806
89 Grant	04-Jan-89	11-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	7	\$35,699
90 Grant	18-Dec-89	16-Jan-90	24-Jan-90	29	\$57,509

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

Appendix B

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
INDIANA					
87 Grant	19-Aug-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	52	\$23,305
88 Grant	03-Nov-87	01-Dec-87	04-Dec-87	28	\$22,821
89 Grant	15-Dec-88	29-Dec-88	02-Feb-89	14	\$22,522
90 Grant	03-Oct-89	14-Dec-89	19-Jan-90	72	\$28,240
IOWA					
87 Grant	14-Aug-86	12-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	29	\$4,754
88 Grant	20-Oct-87	30-Oct-87	03-Nov-87	10	\$4,319
89 Grant	25-Jan-89	06-Feb-89	07-Feb-89	12	\$4,809
90 Grant	31-Jan-90	09-Feb-90	14-Feb-90	9	\$7,804
KANSAS					
87 Grant	11-Aug-86	25-Aug-86	28-Nov-86	14	\$5,591
88 Grant	06-Jan-88	12-Jan-88	13-Jan-88	6	\$5,279
89 Grant	13-Jan-89	06-Feb-89	07-Feb-89	24	\$5,543
90 Grant	04-May-90	23-May-90	25-May-90	19	\$7,573
KENTUCKY					
87 Grant	28-Sep-86	17-Oct-86	06-Jan-87	19	\$7,099
88 Grant	12-May-88	23-Jun-88	28-Jun-88	42	\$6,589
89 Grant	28-Mar-89	13-Apr-89	17-Apr-89	16	\$7,296
90 Grant	15-Mar-90	22-May-90	25-May-90	68	\$11,624
LOUISIANA					
87 Grant	19-Jun-86	25-Aug-86	28-Nov-86	67	\$8,907
88 Grant	10-Aug-87	20-Oct-87	02-Nov-87	71	\$8,249
89 Grant	04-Jan-89	11-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	7	\$10,191
90 Grant	12-Sep-89	24-Jan-90	26-Jan-90	134	\$16,486
MAINE					
87 Grant	16-Sep-86	17-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	31	\$4,691
88 Grant	16-Nov-87	11-Jan-88	11-Jan-88	56	\$4,432
89 Grant	17-Jan-89	06-Feb-89	07-Feb-89	20	\$4,654
90 Grant	15-Dec-89	14-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	61	\$4,654
MARYLAND					
87 Grant	12-Aug-86	25-Aug-86	28-Nov-86	13	\$8,765
88 Grant	26-Oct-87	06-Nov-87	10-Nov-87	11	\$8,081
89 Grant	07-Apr-89	17-Apr-89	21-Apr-89	10	\$12,085
90 Grant	25-Oct-89	17-Jan-90	24-Jan-90	84	\$21,314

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
MASSACHUSETTS					
87 Grant	10-Sep-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	30	\$23,479
88 Grant	02-Nov-87	23-Dec-87	05-Jan-88	51	\$22,703
89 Grant	25-Jan-89	10-Feb-89	13-Feb-89	16	\$25,271
90 Grant	08-Nov-89	02-Mar-90	08-Mar-90	114	\$35,091
MICHIGAN					
87 Grant	10-Sep-86	03-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	23	\$22,523
88 Grant	24-Feb-88	15-Mar-88	23-Mar-88	20	\$21,342
89 Grant	04-Jan-89	11-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	7	\$27,271
90 Grant	16-Jan-90	29-Jan-90	05-Feb-90	13	\$43,130
MINNESOTA					
87 Grant	18-Feb-87	22-Apr-87	28-Apr-87	63	\$8,125
88 Grant	06-Jun-88	10-Jun-88	20-Jun-88	4	\$7,493
89 Grant	15-May-89	22-May-89	30-May-89	7	\$9,134
90 Grant	12-Feb-90	09-Apr-90	12-Apr-90	56	\$15,173
MISSISSIPPI					
87 Grant	11-Aug-86	25-Aug-86	28-Nov-86	14	\$6,982
88 Grant	03-Nov-87	31-Mar-88	01-Apr-88	149	\$6,527
89 Grant	24-Feb-89	14-Mar-89	14-Mar-89	18	\$6,853
90 Grant	19-Sep-89	29-Dec-89	19-Jan-90	101	\$7,972
MISSOURI					
87 Grant	19-Aug-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	52	\$13,263
88 Grant	20-Jan-88	26-Jan-88	27-Jan-88	6	\$12,563
89 Grant	13-Feb-89	06-Mar-89	13-Mar-89	21	\$14,318
90 Grant	06-Feb-90	15-Mar-90	20-Mar-90	37	\$21,448
MONTANA					
87 Grant	18-Aug-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	53	\$2,851
88 Grant	30-Oct-87	06-Nov-87	10-Nov-87	7	\$2,823
89 Grant	29-Dec-88	11-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	13	\$2,964
90 Grant	12-Jan-90	24-Jan-90	26-Jan-90	12	\$2,964
NEBRASKA					
87 Grant	18-Aug-86	28-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	41	\$4,034
88 Grant	08-Mar-88	15-Mar-88	23-Mar-88	7	\$3,761
89 Grant	17-Apr-89	20-Apr-89	26-Apr-89	3	\$3,949
90 Grant	26-Mar-90	09-Apr-90	12-Apr-90	14	\$5,431

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

Appendix B

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
NEVADA					
87 Grant	11-Aug-86	19-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	39	\$3,543
88 Grant	07-Dec-87	10-Dec-87	14-Dec-87	3	\$3,584
89 Grant	19-Dec-88	29-Dec-88	02-Feb-89	10	\$3,890
90 Grant	01-Dec-89	08-Dec-89	19-Jan-90	7	\$5,404
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
87 Grant	15-Sep-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	25	\$4,501
88 Grant	28-Sep-87	09-Oct-87	29-Oct-87	11	\$4,407
89 Grant	10-Apr-89	20-Apr-89	26-Apr-89	10	\$4,627
90 Grant	25-Sep-89	16-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	196	\$4,627
NEW JERSEY					
87 Grant	14-Aug-86	26-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	43	\$25,946
88 Grant	23-Nov-87	01-Dec-87	04-Dec-87	8	\$25,171
89 Grant	19-Apr-89	28-Apr-89	03-May-89	9	\$31,449
90 Grant	30-Mar-90	26-Apr-90	03-May-90	27	\$45,540
NEW MEXICO					
87 Grant	18-Aug-86	10-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	53	\$6,083
88 Grant	09-Dec-87	15-Dec-87	16-Dec-87	6	\$6,129
89 Grant	26-Jan-89	10-Feb-89	13-Feb-89	15	\$6,435
90 Grant	20-Feb-90	27-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	7	\$6,551
NEW YORK					
87 Grant	22-Sep-86	17-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	25	\$54,841
88 Grant	12-Nov-87	01-Dec-87	04-Dec-87	19	\$54,627
89 Grant	22-Feb-89	10-Mar-89	14-Mar-89	16	\$65,794
90 Grant	18-Oct-89	26-Jan-90	05-Feb-90	100	\$98,111
NORTH CAROLINA					
87 Grant	11-Aug-86	26-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	46	\$15,214
88 Grant	18-Aug-87	18-Sep-87	29-Oct-87	31	\$14,200
89 Grant	05-Jan-89	25-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	20	\$14,476
90 Grant	15-Aug-89	24-Jan-90	26-Jan-90	162	\$21,069
NORTH DAKOTA					
87 Grant	19-Aug-86	03-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	45	\$1,816
88 Grant	04-Jan-88	16-Feb-88	22-Feb-88	43	\$1,552
89 Grant	02-Feb-89	10-Mar-89	14-Mar-89	36	\$1,630
90 Grant	29-Jan-90	26-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	28	\$1,855

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
OHIO					
87 Grant	26-Aug-86	19-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	24	\$31,084
88 Grant	23-Dec-87	16-Feb-88	22-Feb-88	55	\$29,904
89 Grant	26-Apr-89	27-Jun-89	30-Jun-89	62	\$36,561
90 Grant	28-Dec-89	15-Mar-90	20-Mar-90	77	\$53,413
OKLAHOMA					
87 Grant	29-Aug-86	19-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	21	\$9,959
88 Grant	23-Oct-87	06-Nov-87	10-Nov-87	14	\$9,562
89 Grant	29-Dec-88	11-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	13	\$9,808
90 Grant	01-Dec-89	24-Jan-90	26-Jan-90	54	\$12,843
OREGON					
87 Grant	18-Aug-86	12-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	25	\$8,299
88 Grant	06-Nov-87	02-Feb-88	08-Feb-88	88	\$7,881
89 Grant	31-Jan-89	06-Mar-89	13-Mar-89	34	\$8,111
90 Grant	10-Jan-90	09-Feb-90	14-Feb-90	30	\$11,818
PENNSYLVANIA					
87 Grant	15-Aug-86	19-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	35	\$34,339
88 Grant	17-Aug-87	08-Oct-87	29-Oct-87	52	\$32,746
89 Grant	01-Mar-89	17-Mar-89	17-Mar-89	16	\$39,746
90 Grant	17-Aug-89	05-Feb-90	09-Feb-90	172	\$58,481
RHODE ISLAND					
87 Grant	11-Aug-86	19-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	39	\$5,256
88 Grant	28-Sep-87	09-Oct-87	29-Oct-87	11	\$5,195
89 Grant	21-Feb-89	06-Mar-89	13-Mar-89	13	\$5,503
90 Grant	22-Jan-90	29-Jan-90	05-Feb-90	7	\$7,222
SOUTH CAROLINA					
87 Grant	15-Aug-86	28-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	44	\$9,328
88 Grant	31-Aug-87	02-Feb-88	08-Feb-88	155	\$8,891
89 Grant	22-Feb-89	17-Mar-89	17-Mar-89	23	\$8,909
90 Grant	19-Sep-89	08-Mar-90	13-Mar-90	170	\$12,949
SOUTH DAKOTA					
87 Grant	11-Aug-86	28-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	48	\$3,627
88 Grant	05-Jan-88	12-Jan-88	13-Jan-88	7	\$3,580
89 Grant	08-Mar-89	31-Mar-89	13-Apr-89	23	\$3,759
90 Grant	06-Feb-90	22-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	16	\$3,759

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

Appendix B

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
TENNESSEE					
87 Grant	29-Aug-86	28-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	30	\$10,975
88 Grant	12-Feb-88	15-Mar-88	23-Mar-88	32	\$10,357
89 Grant	22-Mar-89	20-Apr-89	26-Apr-89	29	\$12,098
90 Grant	21-Feb-90	30-May-90	08-Jun-90	98	\$18,754
TEXAS					
87 Grant	21-Aug-86	28-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	38	\$31,369
88 Grant	30-Nov-87	08-Dec-87	14-Dec-87	8	\$30,474
89 Grant	25-Jan-89	10-Feb-89	13-Feb-89	16	\$40,166
90 Grant	12-Feb-90	27-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	15	\$65,697
UTAH					
87 Grant	11-Aug-86	28-Sep-86	28-Nov-86	48	\$5,172
88 Grant	26-Jan-88	02-Feb-88	08-Feb-88	7	\$4,898
89 Grant	16-Feb-89	17-Mar-89	17-Mar-89	29	\$5,686
90 Grant	23-Feb-90	02-Mar-90	08-Mar-90	7	\$8,502
VERMONT					
87 Grant	01-Oct-86	17-Oct-86	19-Dec-86	16	\$3,779
88 Grant	30-Sep-87	23-Oct-87	02-Nov-87	23	\$3,731
89 Grant	08-Feb-89	28-Apr-89	03-May-89	79	\$3,918
90 Grant	13-Sep-89	15-Mar-90	20-Mar-90	183	\$3,918
VIRGINIA					
87 Grant	14-Aug-86	03-Oct-86	06-Jan-87	50	\$12,488
88 Grant	17-Aug-87	25-Sep-87	29-Oct-87	39	\$12,047
89 Grant	12-Apr-89	25-Apr-89	27-Apr-89	13	\$14,757
90 Grant	08-Sep-89	20-Feb-90	28-Feb-90	165	\$23,935
WASHINGTON					
87 Grant	18-Aug-86	03-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	46	\$13,000
88 Grant	11-Aug-87	19-Oct-87	02-Nov-87	69	\$12,175
89 Grant	21-Apr-89	13-Jun-89	20-Jun-89	53	\$14,549
90 Grant	16-Jul-90	17-Aug-90	28-Aug-90	32	\$21,835
WEST VIRGINIA					
87 Grant	01-Oct-86	17-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	16	\$5,682
88 Grant	26-Oct-87	06-Nov-87	10-Nov-87	11	\$5,367
89 Grant	27-Dec-88	25-Jan-89	02-Feb-89	29	\$5,635
90 Grant	02-Mar-90	09-Apr-90	12-Apr-90	38	\$5,900

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
WISCONSIN					
87 Grant	19-Aug-86	03-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	45	\$9,475
88 Grant	19-Aug-87	25-Sep-87	29-Oct-87	37	\$8,462
89 Grant	15-Dec-88	29-Dec-88	02-Feb-89	14	\$10,338
90 Grant	27-Sep-89	16-Feb-90	21-Feb-90	142	\$17,451
WYOMING					
87 Grant	06-Aug-86	12-Mar-87	24-Mar-87	218	\$1,306
88 Grant	08-Mar-88	06-May-88	13-May-88	59	\$1,224
89 Grant	19-Apr-89	28-Apr-89	03-May-89	9	\$1,285
90 Grant	26-Mar-90	19-Apr-90	23-Apr-90	24	\$1,285
PUERTO RICO					
87 Grant	05-Dec-86	02-Mar-87	24-Mar-87	87	\$7,128
88 Grant	22-Mar-88	17-May-88	18-May-88	56	\$6,875
89 Grant	18-Jan-89	13-Jun-89	20-Jun-89	146	\$9,299
90 Grant	17-Jan-90	22-May-90	25-May-90	125	\$14,298
VIRGIN ISLANDS					
87 Grant	06-Aug-86	17-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	72	\$1,121
88 Grant	11-Jan-88	15-Mar-88	17-Mar-88	64	\$1,113
89 Grant	12-May-89	25-Jul-89	31-Jul-89	74	\$1,215
90 Grant	15-Mar-90	22-May-90	13-Jun-90	68	\$1,248
AMERICAN SAMOA					
87 Grant	19-Aug-86	17-Oct-86	28-Nov-86	59	\$19
88 Grant	16-Feb-88	31-Mar-88	04-Apr-88	44	\$16
89 Grant	03-Mar-89	10-Mar-89	14-Mar-89	7	\$100
90 Grant	30-Mar-90	22-May-90	08-Jun-90	53	\$167
GUAM					
87 Grant	13-Aug-86	17-Oct-86	18-May-87	65	\$546
88 Grant	16-Jun-88	15-Aug-88	17-Aug-88	60	\$536
89 Grant	17-Jul-89	28-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	11	\$630
90 Grant	05-Mar-90	27-Apr-90	03-May-90	53	\$647
N. MARIANA IS.					
87 Grant	20-Apr-87	16-Jul-87	28-Jul-87	87	\$33
88 Grant	03-Sep-87	01-Dec-87	04-Dec-87	89	\$32
89 Grant	08-Feb-89	24-Feb-89	08-Mar-89	16	\$100
90 Grant	24-Aug-89	14-Mar-90	26-Mar-90	202	\$100

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

Appendix B

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date 1/</u>	<u>Approval Date 1/</u>	<u>Award Date 1/</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 2/</u>	<u>Award (\$000) 3/</u>
TRUST TERRITORIES					
87 Grant					\$72
88 Grant	Application, approval, and award dates varied for the three trust territories				\$60
89 Grant					\$136
90 Grant					\$539

1/ The application, approval, and award dates shown for the 87 and 88 grants represent only the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Service (ADMS) grants and not the Alcohol and Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation (ADTR) grants.

2/ Number of days between application date and approval date. Includes time needed to obtain revised applications to meet statutory requirements. Awards were delayed for applications received before November 28th pending a final appropriation and a signed apportionment from OMB.

3/ Awards shown for the 87 and 88 grants reflect the sum of ADMS and ADTR grants.

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
ALABAMA					
87 Grant	04-Mar-87	22-Jun-87	23-Jun-87	110	\$2,761
88 Grant	11-Jul-88	04-Aug-88	04-Aug-88	24	\$3,277
89 Grant	07-Jul-89	11-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	35	\$4,933
90 Grant	05-Jul-90	16-Aug-90	16-Aug-90	42	\$7,719
ALASKA					
87 Grant	11-Mar-87	02-Apr-87	03-Apr-87	22	\$796
88 Grant	17-Jun-88	14-Jul-88	14-Jul-88	27	\$946
89 Grant	27-Jun-89	28-Jul-89	28-Jul-89	31	\$1,421
90 Grant	17-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	63	\$2,124
ARIZONA					
87 Grant	09-Mar-87	30-Mar-87	30-Mar-87	21	\$2,018
88 Grant	16-Jun-88	08-Jul-88	13-Jul-88	22	\$2,433
89 Grant	29-Jun-89	15-Aug-89	15-Aug-89	47	\$3,793
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	65	\$5,758
ARKANSAS					
87 Grant	20-Feb-87	13-Mar-87	13-Mar-87	21	\$1,611
88 Grant	21-Jul-88	29-Jul-88	11-Aug-88	8	\$1,898
89 Grant	29-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	43	\$2,851
90 Grant	16-May-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	86	\$4,451
CALIFORNIA					
87 Grant	26-May-87	07-Aug-87	12-Aug-87	73	\$15,599
88 Grant	01-Jul-88	21-Jul-88	21-Jul-88	20	\$19,122
89 Grant	30-Jun-89	28-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	28	\$30,008
90 Grant	10-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	70	\$45,817
COLORADO					
87 Grant	19-Mar-87	02-Apr-87	03-Apr-87	14	\$2,008
88 Grant	06-Jun-88	08-Jul-88	13-Jul-88	32	\$2,389
89 Grant	19-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	53	\$3,631
90 Grant	16-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	64	\$5,246
CONNECTICUT					
87 Grant	04-Mar-87	30-Mar-87	30-Mar-87	26	\$1,872
88 Grant	23-Jun-88	18-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	25	\$2,228
89 Grant	30-Jun-89	01-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	32	\$3,259
90 Grant	14-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	66	\$4,834

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

Appendix B

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
DELAWARE					
87 Grant	13-May-87	08-Jun-87	09-Jun-87	26	\$796
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	18-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	18	\$946
89 Grant	05-Jul-89	26-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	21	\$1,421
90 Grant	16-May-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	86	\$2,126
WASHINGTON, DC					
87 Grant	27-Mar-87	10-Apr-87	13-Apr-87	14	\$796
88 Grant	24-Aug-88	24-Aug-88	24-Aug-88	0	\$946
89 Grant	29-Jun-89	14-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	46	\$1,421
90 Grant	13-May-90	28-Aug-90	29-Aug-90	107	\$2,118
FLORIDA					
87 Grant	19-Feb-87	18-Mar-87	19-Mar-87	27	\$6,075
88 Grant	08-Jun-88	20-Jul-88	20-Jul-88	42	\$7,246
89 Grant	28-Jun-89	14-Aug-89	17-Aug-89	47	\$11,355
90 Grant	18-May-90	07-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	50	\$17,730
GEORGIA					
87 Grant	27-Mar-87	10-Apr-87	14-Apr-87	14	\$4,033
88 Grant	29-Jun-88	13-Jul-88	27-Jul-88	14	\$4,922
89 Grant	29-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	43	\$7,556
90 Grant	14-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	66	\$11,723
HAWAII					
87 Grant	18-May-87	07-Jul-87	17-Jul-87	50	\$796
88 Grant	08-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	11	\$946
89 Grant	30-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	42	\$1,421
90 Grant	14-May-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	88	\$2,151
IDAHO					
87 Grant	27-Feb-87	06-Mar-87	06-Mar-87	7	\$796
88 Grant	21-Jun-88	25-Jul-88	25-Jul-88	34	\$946
89 Grant	29-Jun-89	28-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	29	\$1,421
90 Grant	11-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	69	\$2,159
ILLINOIS					
87 Grant	23-Mar-87	24-Apr-87	24-Apr-87	32	\$7,479
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	08-Jul-88	12-Jul-88	8	\$8,815
89 Grant	29-Jun-89	15-Aug-89	17-Aug-89	47	\$13,047
90 Grant	17-May-90	19-Jul-90	10-Aug-90	63	\$19,594

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
INDIANA					
87 Grant	07-Apr-87	11-May-87	11-May-87	34	\$3,741
88 Grant	03-Jun-88	05-Jul-88	12-Jul-88	32	\$4,395
89 Grant	05-Jul-89	15-Aug-89	17-Aug-89	41	\$6,482
90 Grant	28-Jun-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	21	\$9,261
IOWA					
87 Grant	27-Feb-87	13-Mar-87	13-Mar-87	14	\$1,910
88 Grant	24-Jun-88	08-Jul-88	12-Jul-88	14	\$2,220
89 Grant	25-May-89	11-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	78	\$3,217
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	65	\$4,565
KANSAS					
87 Grant	01-Apr-87	24-Apr-87	24-Apr-87	23	\$1,536
88 Grant	21-Jun-88	08-Jul-88	13-Jul-88	17	\$1,814
89 Grant	23-Jun-89	26-Jul-89	26-Jul-89	33	\$2,749
90 Grant	18-Jun-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	53	\$3,978
KENTUCKY					
87 Grant	20-Feb-87	10-Apr-87	13-Apr-87	49	\$2,554
88 Grant	16-Jun-88	28-Jul-88	29-Jul-88	42	\$3,016
89 Grant	06-Jul-89	23-Aug-89	25-Aug-89	48	\$4,429
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	65	\$6,790
LOUISIANA					
87 Grant	03-Mar-87	18-Mar-87	19-Mar-87	15	\$3,226
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	20-Jul-88	20-Jul-88	20	\$3,780
89 Grant	05-Jul-89	26-Jul-89	26-Jul-89	21	\$5,581
90 Grant	07-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	73	\$8,692
MAINE					
87 Grant	02-Apr-87	10-Apr-87	13-Apr-87	8	\$796
88 Grant	05-Jul-88	22-Jul-88	26-Jul-88	17	\$946
89 Grant	20-Jun-89	26-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	36	\$1,421
90 Grant	11-Jun-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	60	\$2,158
MARYLAND					
87 Grant	20-Apr-87	14-May-87	15-May-87	24	\$2,659
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	18-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	18	\$3,181
89 Grant	30-Jun-89	26-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	26	\$4,753
90 Grant	06-Jun-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	43	\$7,256

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

Appendix B

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
MASSACHUSETTS					
87 Grant	02-Apr-87	01-Jun-87	01-Jun-87	60	\$3,402
88 Grant	07-Jul-88	14-Jul-88	15-Jul-88	7	\$3,933
89 Grant	03-Jul-89	26-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	23	\$5,683
90 Grant	21-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	59	\$8,719
MICHIGAN					
87 Grant	09-Feb-87	29-Apr-87	30-Apr-87	79	\$6,180
88 Grant	23-Jun-88	05-Jul-88	12-Jul-88	12	\$7,343
89 Grant	13-Jun-89	15-Aug-89	17-Aug-89	63	\$10,773
90 Grant	01-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	79	\$16,208
MINNESOTA					
87 Grant	05-Mar-87	30-Mar-87	30-Mar-87	25	\$2,690
88 Grant	06-Jun-88	05-Jul-88	12-Jul-88	29	\$3,169
89 Grant	19-Jun-89	15-Aug-89	17-Aug-89	57	\$4,729
90 Grant	14-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	66	\$6,902
MISSISSIPPI					
87 Grant	06-Feb-87	06-Mar-87	10-Mar-87	28	\$1,954
88 Grant	18-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	21-Jul-88	1	\$2,340
89 Grant	30-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	42	\$3,481
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	65	\$5,714
MISSOURI					
87 Grant	01-Apr-87	22-May-87	27-May-87	51	\$3,188
88 Grant	28-Jun-88	08-Jul-88	12-Jul-88	10	\$3,764
89 Grant	16-Jun-89	15-Aug-89	17-Aug-89	60	\$5,641
90 Grant	19-Jun-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	30	\$8,332
MONTANA					
87 Grant	04-Feb-87	06-Mar-87	10-Mar-87	30	\$796
88 Grant	06-Jun-88	25-Jul-88	25-Jul-88	49	\$946
89 Grant	14-Apr-89	28-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	105	\$1,421
90 Grant	10-Apr-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	100	\$2,138
NEBRASKA					
87 Grant	03-Jun-87	08-Jun-87	09-Jun-87	5	\$1,058
88 Grant	07-Jul-88	13-Jul-88	14-Jul-88	6	\$1,218
89 Grant	03-Jul-89	17-Aug-89	18-Aug-89	45	\$1,812
90 Grant	16-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	64	\$2,640

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
NEVADA					
87 Grant	21-Jul-87	02-Sep-87	03-Sep-87	43	\$796
88 Grant	17-Jun-88	25-Jul-88	25-Jul-88	38	\$946
89 Grant	22-Jun-89	28-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	36	\$1,421
90 Grant	14-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	66	\$2,147
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
87 Grant	16-Apr-87	11-May-87	11-May-87	25	\$796
88 Grant	04-Apr-88	14-Jul-88	15-Jul-88	101	\$946
89 Grant	30-Jun-89	14-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	45	\$1,421
90 Grant	14-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	66	\$2,150
NEW JERSEY					
87 Grant	20-Mar-87	16-Apr-87	20-Apr-87	27	\$4,640
88 Grant	08-Jul-88	18-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	10	\$5,417
89 Grant	29-Jun-89	26-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	27	\$7,910
90 Grant	16-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	64	\$12,198
NEW MEXICO					
87 Grant	14-May-87	08-Jun-87	09-Jun-87	25	\$1,024
88 Grant	18-Jul-88	18-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	0	\$1,222
89 Grant	22-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	50	\$1,872
90 Grant	16-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	64	\$2,944
NEW YORK					
87 Grant	25-Feb-87	11-Sep-87	15-Sep-87	198	\$10,874
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	19-Jul-88	21-Jul-88	19	\$12,804
89 Grant	03-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	09-Aug-89	36	\$18,683
90 Grant	14-May-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	88	\$30,820
NORTH CAROLINA					
87 Grant	25-Mar-87	10-Apr-87	13-Apr-87	16	\$4,016
88 Grant	28-Jun-88	14-Jul-88	14-Jul-88	16	\$4,793
89 Grant	29-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	43	\$7,136
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	65	\$10,702
NORTH DAKOTA					
87 Grant	07-Apr-87	11-May-87	11-May-87	34	\$796
88 Grant	12-May-88	05-Jul-88	12-Jul-88	54	\$946
89 Grant	26-Jun-89	23-Aug-89	25-Aug-89	58	\$1,421
90 Grant	21-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	59	\$2,130

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

Appendix B

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
OHIO					
87 Grant	01-Apr-87	10-Apr-87	13-Apr-87	9	\$7,092
88 Grant	07-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	21-Jul-88	12	\$8,421
89 Grant	05-Jul-89	26-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	21	\$12,381
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	65	\$16,314
OKLAHOMA					
87 Grant	09-Mar-87	02-Apr-87	03-Apr-87	24	\$2,171
88 Grant	21-Jun-88	08-Jul-88	13-Jul-88	17	\$2,525
89 Grant	05-Jul-89	11-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	37	\$3,811
90 Grant	17-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	63	\$5,519
OREGON					
87 Grant	14-Apr-87	01-Jun-87	01-Jun-87	48	\$1,709
88 Grant	23-Jun-88	21-Jul-88	21-Jul-88	28	\$1,995
89 Grant	26-Jun-89	14-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	49	\$2,977
90 Grant	16-May-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	86	\$4,345
PENNSYLVANIA					
87 Grant	19-Jul-87	07-Aug-87	12-Aug-87	19	\$7,231
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	13-Jul-88	25-Jul-88	13	\$8,433
89 Grant	30-Jun-89	28-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	28	\$12,411
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	65	\$19,031
RHODE ISLAND					
87 Grant	23-Mar-87	16-Apr-87	20-Apr-87	24	\$796
88 Grant	01-Jul-88	22-Jul-88	26-Jul-88	21	\$946
89 Grant	05-Jul-89	14-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	40	\$1,421
90 Grant	21-May-90	10-Aug-90	13-Sep-90	81	\$2,140
SOUTH CAROLINA					
87 Grant	05-May-87	14-May-87	15-May-87	9	\$2,289
88 Grant	01-Jun-88	13-Jul-88	26-Jul-88	42	\$2,722
89 Grant	21-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	51	\$4,111
90 Grant	14-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	66	\$6,325
SOUTH DAKOTA					
87 Grant	24-Mar-87	08-Jun-87	09-Jun-87	76	\$796
88 Grant	16-Jun-88	08-Jul-88	13-Jul-88	22	\$946
89 Grant	13-Jul-89	25-Aug-89	05-Sep-89	43	\$1,421
90 Grant	18-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	62	\$2,133

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
TENNESSEE					
87 Grant	07-Jul-87	02-Sep-87	03-Sep-87	57	\$3,107
88 Grant	21-Jun-88	15-Jul-88	15-Jul-88	24	\$3,708
89 Grant	22-Aug-89	13-Sep-89	21-Sep-89	22	\$5,539
90 Grant	22-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	58	\$8,457
TEXAS					
87 Grant	14-May-87	22-Jun-87	23-Jun-87	39	\$11,111
88 Grant	01-Jul-88	20-Jul-88	20-Jul-88	19	\$13,512
89 Grant	06-Jul-89	14-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	39	\$20,897
90 Grant	21-May-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	81	\$31,187
UTAH					
87 Grant	15-May-87	22-May-87	27-May-87	7	\$1,421
88 Grant	06-Jul-88	03-Aug-88	04-Aug-88	28	\$1,689
89 Grant	07-Jul-89	26-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	19	\$2,671
90 Grant	06-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	13	\$3,711
VERMONT					
87 Grant	16-Jul-87	18-Aug-87	27-Aug-87	33	\$796
88 Grant	30-Jun-88	17-Jul-88	21-Jul-88	17	\$946
89 Grant	21-Jul-89	15-Aug-89	17-Aug-89	25	\$1,421
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	65	\$2,121
VIRGINIA					
87 Grant	24-Jun-87	07-Jul-87	07-Jul-87	13	\$3,517
88 Grant	05-Aug-88	12-Aug-88	12-Aug-88	7	\$4,138
89 Grant	27-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	45	\$6,230
90 Grant	23-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	57	\$9,312
WASHINGTON					
87 Grant	26-Feb-87	18-Mar-87	19-Mar-87	20	\$2,764
88 Grant	24-Jun-88	14-Jul-88	14-Jul-88	20	\$3,269
89 Grant	13-Jun-89	21-Jul-89	08-Aug-89	38	\$4,963
90 Grant	18-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	62	\$7,237
WEST VIRGINIA					
87 Grant	17-Mar-87	30-Mar-87	30-Mar-87	13	\$1,340
88 Grant	08-Jul-88	15-Jul-88	15-Jul-88	7	\$1,560
89 Grant	27-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	45	\$2,239
90 Grant	16-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	64	\$3,425

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

Appendix B

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
WISCONSIN					
87 Grant	26-Mar-87	16-Apr-87	20-Apr-87	21	\$3,117
88 Grant	11-Jul-88	13-Jul-88	14-Jul-88	2	\$3,688
89 Grant	07-Jul-89	11-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	35	\$5,479
90 Grant	09-May-90	16-Aug-90	16-Aug-90	99	\$8,006
WYOMING					
87 Grant	26-Feb-87	06-Mar-87	10-Mar-87	8	\$796
88 Grant	07-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	19-Jul-88	12	\$946
89 Grant	26-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	46	\$1,421
90 Grant	15-May-90	19-Aug-90	19-Aug-90	96	\$2,121
PUERTO RICO					
87 Grant	05-May-87	12-Jun-87	16-Jun-87	38	\$2,986
88 Grant	16-May-88	12-Aug-88	09-Aug-88	88	\$3,540
89 Grant	08-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	24-Sep-89	3	\$5,283
90 Grant	16-May-90	19-Jul-90	19-Jul-90	64	\$9,244
VIRGIN ISLANDS					
87 Grant	16-Apr-87	11-May-87	11-May-87	25	\$734
88 Grant	24-Jun-88	21-Jul-88	26-Jul-88	27	\$868
89 Grant	10-Jun-89	10-Nov-89	10-Nov-89	153	\$1,312
90 Grant	04-Oct-90	Pending	Pending	NA	\$0
AMERICAN SAMOA					
87 Grant	19-May-87	02-Sep-87	03-Sep-87	106	\$256
88 Grant	27-Jul-88	12-Aug-88	12-Aug-88	16	\$302
89 Grant	28-Jun-89	07-Aug-89	07-Aug-89	40	\$457
90 Grant	03-May-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	99	\$465
GUAM					
87 Grant	14-Oct-87	10-Nov-87	10-Nov-87	27	\$723
88 Grant	19-Oct-88	27-Oct-88	27-Oct-88	8	\$854
89 Grant	11-Jan-90	15-Mar-90	15-Mar-90	63	\$1,292
90 Grant	06-Aug-90	Pending	Pending	NA	\$0
N. MARIANA IS.					
87 Grant	15-Sep-87	29-Dec-87	15-Jan-88	105	\$128
88 Grant	12-Sep-88	27-Oct-88	27-Oct-88	45	\$152
89 Grant	13-Sep-89	29-Sep-89	29-Sep-89	16	\$229
90 Grant	06-Jun-90	09-Aug-90	09-Aug-90	64	\$234

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: APPLICATION, APPROVAL, & AWARD DATES

<u>State/ Grant Year</u>	<u>Application Date</u>	<u>Approval Date</u>	<u>Award Date</u>	<u>Elapsed Days 1/</u>	<u>Award (\$000)</u>
PALAU					
87 Grant	16-Mar-87	22-Jun-87	23-Jun-87	98	\$103
88 Grant	07-Jul-88	12-Aug-88	12-Aug-88	36	\$122
89 Grant	30-Jun-89	11-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	42	\$185
90 Grant	11-May-90	10-Aug-90	10-Aug-90	91	\$188

1/ Number of days between application and approval date.

Appendix C

Quarterly Grant Outlays by State and Fiscal Year

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
ALABAMA																	
87 Grant	\$2,996	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$11	\$17	\$16	\$17	\$359	\$567	\$601	\$692	\$382	\$116	\$19	\$20	\$2,822
88 Grant	\$957	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$58	\$357	\$227	\$150	\$798
89 Grant	\$2,018	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$109	\$511	\$461	\$365	\$1,447
90 Grant	\$6,593	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$68	\$68
Totals	\$12,564	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$11	\$17	\$16	\$17	\$359	\$567	\$601	\$698	\$549	\$984	\$708	\$603	\$5,135
ALASKA																	
87 Grant	\$823	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$203	\$0	\$450	\$171	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$823
88 Grant	\$560	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$212	\$212	\$20	\$117	\$0	\$0	\$560
89 Grant	\$695	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$136	\$198	\$251	\$110	\$695
90 Grant	\$1,704	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$10	\$38	\$48
Totals	\$3,782	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$203	\$0	\$450	\$171	\$212	\$212	\$156	\$315	\$260	\$149	\$2,126
ARIZONA																	
87 Grant	\$2,478	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$18	\$27	\$843	\$395	\$448	\$463	\$273	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,478
88 Grant	\$874	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$551	\$114	\$209	\$0	\$0	\$874
89 Grant	\$1,759	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,141	\$574	\$45	\$0	\$1,759
90 Grant	\$5,755	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$716	\$3,168	\$3,884
Totals	\$10,866	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$18	\$27	\$843	\$395	\$448	\$463	\$824	\$1,255	\$782	\$760	\$3,168	\$8,995
ARKANSAS																	
87 Grant	\$1,964	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$9	\$12	\$34	\$170	\$382	\$555	\$342	\$225	\$52	\$59	\$1,845
88 Grant	\$768	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$27	\$137	\$171	\$114	\$78	\$119	\$10	\$3	\$10,840
89 Grant	\$1,388	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$48	\$194	\$422	\$268	\$933
90 Grant	\$4,260	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$77	\$725	\$812
Totals	\$8,380	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$9	\$12	\$61	\$307	\$553	\$668	\$468	\$538	\$571	\$1,056	\$14,430
CALIFORNIA																	
87 Grant	\$16,866	\$0	\$0	\$34	\$137	\$150	\$805	\$2,218	\$3,779	\$4,396	\$3,621	\$1,452	\$219	\$55	(\$480)	(\$59)	\$16,397
88 Grant	\$3,544	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$1,703	(\$775)	\$872	\$882	\$49	\$590	\$2	\$3,333
89 Grant	\$10,782	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,119	\$1,242	\$3,214	\$4,518	(\$242)	\$9,851
90 Grant	\$39,676	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$342	\$4,458	\$4,800
Totals	\$70,868	\$0	\$0	\$34	\$137	\$150	\$805	\$2,218	\$3,789	\$6,100	\$2,846	\$3,443	\$2,343	\$3,318	\$4,990	\$4,209	\$34,381
COLORADO																	
87 Grant	\$2,506	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$161	\$77	\$367	\$250	\$298	\$411	\$269	\$154	\$211	\$120	\$40	\$34	\$2,392
88 Grant	\$869	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$54	\$225	\$104	\$233	\$47	\$124	\$791
89 Grant	\$1,725	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$16	\$0	\$132	\$551	\$328	\$1,028
90 Grant	\$5,498	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$67	\$67
Totals	\$10,598	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$161	\$77	\$367	\$250	\$298	\$413	\$323	\$395	\$316	\$485	\$638	\$553	\$4,278
CONNECTICUT																	
87 Grant	\$2,470	\$0	\$0	\$17	\$31	\$72	\$94	\$270	\$634	\$519	\$171	\$469	\$1	\$179	\$0	\$0	\$2,458
88 Grant	\$860	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$43	\$143	\$147	\$19	\$85	\$67	\$504
89 Grant	\$1,693	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$19	(\$9)	\$74	\$102
90 Grant	\$5,405	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$10,428	\$0	\$0	\$17	\$31	\$72	\$94	\$270	\$634	\$519	\$214	\$612	\$167	\$217	\$76	\$141	\$3,065

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE	
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3		
ALABAMA																		
87 Grant	\$886	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$16	\$11	\$56	\$103	\$99	\$91	\$198	(\$88)	\$109	\$88	\$184	(\$1)		\$879
88 Grant	\$571	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$36	\$68	\$183	\$91	\$37	\$78	\$58		\$551
89 Grant	\$739	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$58	(\$11)	\$174	\$217		\$438
90 Grant	\$1,890	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$43			\$43
Totals	\$4,086	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$16	\$11	\$56	\$103	\$99	\$127	\$265	\$95	\$259	\$114	\$436	\$317		\$1,911
WASHINGTON, DC																		
87 Grant	\$889	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$27	\$9	\$2	\$5	\$276	(\$169)	\$8	\$42	\$264	(\$37)	\$0	\$0		\$430
88 Grant	\$571	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$44	\$10	\$3	\$166			\$223
89 Grant	\$731	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$152			\$152
90 Grant	\$1,831	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$0
Totals	\$4,022	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$27	\$9	\$2	\$5	\$276	(\$169)	\$8	\$42	\$308	(\$27)	\$3	\$318		\$805
FLORIDA																		
87 Grant	\$7,555	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$152	(\$132)	\$242	\$462	\$643	\$717	\$1,109	\$901	\$1,009	\$1,144	\$792	\$259		\$7,298
88 Grant	\$1,817	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$361	\$122	\$219	\$249	\$400		\$1,351
89 Grant	\$4,969	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$249	\$532	\$1,098		\$1,878
90 Grant	\$17,842	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Totals	\$32,183	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$152	(\$132)	\$242	\$462	\$643	\$717	\$1,109	\$1,262	\$1,131	\$1,612	\$1,572	\$1,757		\$10,527
GEORGIA																		
87 Grant	\$4,210	\$0	\$3	\$61	\$57	\$57	\$3,673	\$218	\$55	\$77	(\$1,354)	\$500	\$254	\$139	\$178	\$67		\$3,988
88 Grant	\$1,189	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$61	\$164	\$133	\$234	\$279	\$79		\$950
89 Grant	\$2,813	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$184	\$245	\$0	\$1,344		\$1,773
90 Grant	\$9,653	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Totals	\$17,865	\$0	\$3	\$61	\$57	\$57	\$3,673	\$218	\$55	\$78	(\$1,293)	\$664	\$572	\$618	\$457	\$1,490		\$6,711
HAWAII																		
87 Grant	\$1,154	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$17	\$15	\$21	\$57	\$95	\$134	\$213	\$195	\$188	\$40	\$18		\$1,002
88 Grant	\$620	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$19	\$64	\$80	\$130		\$297
89 Grant	\$903	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$10	\$10	\$81		\$104
90 Grant	\$2,488	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Totals	\$5,165	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$17	\$15	\$21	\$57	\$95	\$134	\$218	\$217	\$263	\$130	\$228		\$1,402
IDAHO																		
87 Grant	\$1,124	\$0	\$0	\$20	\$20	\$23	\$150	\$200	\$262	\$224	\$99	\$53	\$31	\$2	\$1	\$22		\$1,106
88 Grant	\$613	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$39	\$86	\$165	\$114	\$90	\$72	\$29		\$595
89 Grant	\$871	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$52	\$101	\$130	\$299		\$583
90 Grant	\$2,358	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Totals	\$4,966	\$0	\$0	\$20	\$20	\$23	\$150	\$200	\$262	\$263	\$186	\$219	\$197	\$193	\$203	\$351		\$2,284
ILLINOIS																		
87 Grant	\$7,660	\$0	\$5	\$63	\$290	\$386	\$407	\$492	\$684	\$1,409	\$981	\$582	\$700	\$392	\$414	\$143		\$6,949
88 Grant	\$1,803	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$100	\$59	\$13	\$201	\$417		\$989
89 Grant	\$4,805	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$74	\$432	\$808		\$1,313
90 Grant	\$16,857	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Totals	\$31,125	\$0	\$5	\$63	\$290	\$386	\$407	\$492	\$684	\$1,409	\$1,181	\$682	\$759	\$479	\$1,047	\$1,368		\$9,251

Federal Drug Grants to States

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
INDIANA																	
87 Grant	\$3,913	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21	\$648	\$809	\$807	\$316	\$611	\$314	\$156	\$45	\$65	\$68	\$3,859
88 Grant	\$1,121	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66	\$51	\$341	\$152	\$110	\$129	\$849	
89 Grant	\$2,556	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$104	\$53	\$434	\$342	\$278	\$1,211	
90 Grant	\$8,580	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$258	\$258	
Totals	\$16,170	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21	\$648	\$809	\$807	\$316	\$677	\$469	\$550	\$631	\$516	\$733	\$6,177
IOWA																	
87 Grant	\$2,260	\$0	\$11	\$21	\$34	\$70	\$239	\$266	\$428	\$385	\$469	\$49	\$128	\$169	\$21	\$0	\$2,290
88 Grant	\$822	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$127	\$162	\$102	\$240	\$170	\$22	\$822	
89 Grant	\$1,553	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$254	\$353	\$607	
90 Grant	\$4,860	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals	\$9,525	\$0	\$11	\$21	\$34	\$70	\$239	\$266	\$428	\$385	\$595	\$211	\$230	\$409	\$445	\$375	\$3,719
KANSAS																	
87 Grant	\$2,021	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$8	\$9	\$154	\$0	\$315	\$183	\$380	\$492	\$151	\$87	\$118	\$82	\$1,969
88 Grant	\$778	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32	\$109	\$133	\$289	\$563
89 Grant	\$1,420	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$24	\$14	\$11	\$53
90 Grant	\$4,397	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$8,616	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$8	\$9	\$154	\$0	\$315	\$183	\$380	\$492	\$187	\$219	\$265	\$383	\$2,615
KENTUCKY																	
87 Grant	\$2,813	\$0	\$0	\$20	\$34	\$35	\$633	\$46	\$147	\$316	\$418	\$262	\$470	\$64	\$69	\$210	\$2,725
88 Grant	\$921	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$271	(\$216)	\$246	(\$157)	\$116	\$243	\$503	
89 Grant	\$1,885	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54	\$195	\$122	\$371	
90 Grant	\$6,080	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals	\$11,699	\$0	\$0	\$20	\$34	\$35	\$633	\$46	\$147	\$316	\$690	\$46	\$716	(\$39)	\$380	\$575	\$3,600
LOUISIANA																	
87 Grant	\$3,282	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$14	\$34	\$87	\$145	\$251	\$616	\$547	\$708	\$364	\$255	\$62	\$90	\$3,161
88 Grant	\$1,008	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23	\$27	\$119	\$69	\$150	\$148	\$185	\$722	
89 Grant	\$2,158	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$81	\$174	\$210	\$376	\$846	
90 Grant	\$7,011	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$202	\$202	
Totals	\$13,459	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$14	\$34	\$87	\$145	\$251	\$639	\$574	\$830	\$515	\$579	\$420	\$852	\$4,930
MAINE																	
87 Grant	\$1,222	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$16	\$49	\$194	\$223	\$453	\$109	\$44	\$5	\$53	\$29	\$35	\$1,213
88 Grant	\$632	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$143	\$84	\$87	\$98	\$127	\$65	\$603	
89 Grant	\$941	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15	\$241	\$279	\$246	\$781	
90 Grant	\$2,634	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals	\$5,429	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$16	\$49	\$194	\$223	\$453	\$252	\$128	\$107	\$392	\$435	\$346	\$2,597
MARYLAND																	
87 Grant	\$3,226	\$0	\$0	\$27	\$28	\$54	\$400	\$999	\$675	\$292	\$545	\$158	\$48	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,226
88 Grant	\$1,004	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$192	\$246	\$368	\$66	\$89	\$38	\$1,000	
89 Grant	\$2,186	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$484	\$608	\$186	\$320	\$1,598	
90 Grant	\$7,303	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$9	\$404	\$413	
Totals	\$13,719	\$0	\$0	\$27	\$28	\$54	\$400	\$999	\$675	\$292	\$737	\$404	\$900	\$674	\$284	\$762	\$6,237

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
MASSACHUSETTS																	
87 Grant	\$4,114	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$54	\$77	\$92	\$836	\$673	\$465	\$274	\$634	\$535	\$504	\$0	\$0	\$4,114
88 Grant	\$1,158	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14	\$68	\$40	\$63	\$165	\$530	\$219	\$1,098
89 Grant	\$2,676	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$74	\$594	\$653	\$1,321
90 Grant	\$9,035	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$16,983	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$54	\$77	\$92	\$836	\$673	\$479	\$342	\$644	\$598	\$742	\$1,125	\$872	\$6,633
MICHIGAN																	
87 Grant	\$6,141	\$0	\$0	\$15	\$24	\$18	\$442	\$1,212	\$0	\$2,029	\$1,146	\$454	\$246	\$485	\$49	(\$0)	\$6,120
88 Grant	\$1,532	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$117	\$635	\$381	\$172	\$0	\$27	\$1,331
89 Grant	\$3,919	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$483	\$920	\$646	\$2,055
90 Grant	\$13,613	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$25,205	\$0	\$0	\$15	\$24	\$18	\$442	\$1,212	\$0	\$2,029	\$1,264	\$1,089	\$633	\$1,139	\$969	\$673	\$9,607
MINNESOTA																	
87 Grant	\$3,103	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$12	\$1,478	\$423	\$463	\$467	\$49	\$72	\$32	\$69	\$2	\$8	\$3,094
88 Grant	\$975	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$433	\$258	\$192	\$68	\$18	\$968
89 Grant	\$2,078	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28	\$646	\$295	\$969
90 Grant	\$6,873	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$13,029	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$12	\$1,478	\$423	\$463	\$467	\$49	\$505	\$291	\$289	\$714	\$321	\$5,031
MISSISSIPPI																	
87 Grant	\$2,122	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$24	\$315	\$309	\$295	\$296	\$448	\$112	\$69	\$58	\$17	\$27	\$1,969
88 Grant	\$796	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$74	\$175	\$148	\$55	\$72	\$148	\$676
89 Grant	\$1,476	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$26	\$164	\$205	\$329	\$271	\$993
90 Grant	\$4,568	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$19
Totals	\$8,962	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$24	\$315	\$309	\$295	\$300	\$522	\$313	\$381	\$317	\$418	\$465	\$3,658
MISSOURI																	
87 Grant	\$3,622	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11	\$35	\$347	\$530	\$861	\$615	\$458	\$191	\$172	\$138	\$74	\$63	\$3,495
88 Grant	\$1,072	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$53	\$262	\$252	\$127	\$104	\$22	\$31	\$851
89 Grant	\$2,397	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$77	\$523	\$577	\$1,127
90 Grant	\$8,012	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$15,103	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11	\$35	\$347	\$530	\$861	\$668	\$720	\$444	\$299	\$269	\$618	\$671	\$5,473
MONTANA																	
87 Grant	\$1,013	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$23	\$253	\$219	\$113	\$224	\$43	\$35	\$49	\$6	\$11	\$6	\$9	\$1,010
88 Grant	\$592	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55	\$121	\$158	\$156	\$42	\$13	\$17	\$30	\$592
89 Grant	\$801	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$128	\$192	\$219	\$232	\$771
90 Grant	\$2,088	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$4,494	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$23	\$253	\$219	\$113	\$280	\$163	\$193	\$204	\$176	\$217	\$242	\$271	\$2,373
NEBRASKA																	
87 Grant	\$1,497	\$0	\$1	\$4	\$7	\$6	\$49	\$203	\$343	\$239	\$363	\$168	\$20	\$89	\$4	(\$8)	\$1,488
88 Grant	\$680	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30	\$143	\$165	\$144	\$183	\$684
89 Grant	\$1,092	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$14	\$138	\$212	\$366
90 Grant	\$3,177	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$6,446	\$0	\$1	\$4	\$7	\$6	\$49	\$203	\$343	\$239	\$363	\$198	\$166	\$267	\$286	\$387	\$2,518

Federal Drug Grants to States

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
NEVADA																	
87 Grant	\$1,081	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$9	\$10	\$43	\$249	\$243	\$203	\$192	\$66	\$0	\$12	\$0	\$44	\$1,081
88 Grant	\$609	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$13	\$6	\$10	\$6	\$129	\$209	\$0	\$73	\$108	\$554
89 Grant	\$874	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$0	\$2	\$281	\$270	\$565
90 Grant	\$2,428	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$4,992	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$9	\$10	\$43	\$262	\$249	\$213	\$198	\$207	\$209	\$14	\$354	\$422	\$2,200
NEW HAMPSHIRE																	
87 Grant	\$1,119	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$1	\$47	\$225	\$280	\$269	\$127	\$58	\$35	\$14	\$12	\$1	\$29	\$1,108
88 Grant	\$616	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$81	\$93	\$141	\$167	\$87	\$30	\$9	\$6	\$0	\$615
89 Grant	\$893	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$185	\$236	\$188	\$184	\$753
90 Grant	\$2,470	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$36	\$36
Totals	\$5,098	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$1	\$47	\$225	\$362	\$362	\$268	\$225	\$122	\$229	\$257	\$174	\$229	\$2,511
NEW JERSEY																	
87 Grant	\$5,194	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$23	\$129	\$392	\$736	\$673	\$1,048	\$1,023	\$641	\$194	\$123	\$4,984
88 Grant	\$1,360	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$102	\$542	\$605	\$1,248
89 Grant	\$3,352	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$11	\$312	\$384	\$712
90 Grant	\$11,538	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$20	\$20
Totals	\$21,444	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$23	\$129	\$392	\$736	\$673	\$1,048	\$1,027	\$754	\$1,049	\$1,132	\$6,964
NEW MEXICO																	
87 Grant	\$1,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23	\$7	\$33	\$3	\$59	\$129	\$281	\$78	\$222	\$173	\$131	\$1,140
88 Grant	\$667	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$31	\$46	\$57	\$58	\$135	\$87	\$426
89 Grant	\$1,058	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95	\$136	\$295	\$526	
90 Grant	\$3,047	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$81	\$81
Totals	\$6,172	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23	\$7	\$33	\$3	\$71	\$159	\$328	\$135	\$375	\$443	\$575	\$2,153
NEW YORK																	
87 Grant	\$11,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$203	\$154	\$2,167	\$311	\$1,182	\$648	\$851	\$1,346	\$1,552	\$787	\$783	\$531	\$10,496
88 Grant	\$2,505	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$978	\$0	\$10	\$443	\$225	\$442	\$2,098	
89 Grant	\$7,125	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$99	\$251	\$1,390	\$551	\$1,927	\$4,218
90 Grant	\$25,459	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$13	\$13
Totals	\$46,628	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$203	\$154	\$2,167	\$311	\$1,182	\$648	\$1,830	\$1,445	\$1,813	\$2,620	\$1,539	\$2,914	\$16,825
NORTH CAROLINA																	
87 Grant	\$4,383	\$0	\$6	\$14	\$52	\$282	\$483	\$465	\$496	\$487	\$530	\$559	\$364	\$214	\$144	\$74	\$4,172
88 Grant	\$1,214	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$44	\$35	\$188	\$138	\$242	\$206	\$161	\$78	\$1,092
89 Grant	\$2,884	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$115	\$246	\$360	\$375	\$1,107
90 Grant	\$9,854	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$18	\$18
Totals	\$18,335	\$0	\$6	\$14	\$52	\$282	\$483	\$465	\$540	\$523	\$719	\$709	\$721	\$666	\$665	\$546	\$6,390
NORTH DAKOTA																	
87 Grant	\$925	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$7	\$12	\$74	\$90	\$140	\$257	\$85	\$55	\$51	\$87	\$31	\$890
88 Grant	\$577	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$22	\$47	\$111	\$71	\$35	\$351
89 Grant	\$750	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$135	\$145	
90 Grant	\$1,899	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$4,151	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$7	\$12	\$74	\$90	\$140	\$263	\$107	\$102	\$162	\$169	\$261	\$1,387

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
OHIO																	
87 Grant	\$7,189	\$0	\$0	\$25	\$138	\$281	\$827	\$933	\$914	\$668	\$1,093	\$738	\$751	\$422	\$178	\$41	\$7,010
88 Grant	\$1,713	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$47	\$183	\$239	\$141	\$276	\$295	\$270	\$78	\$1,529
89 Grant	\$4,508	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$174	\$533	\$751	\$1,008	\$2,467
90 Grant	\$15,820	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$29,210	\$0	\$0	\$25	\$138	\$281	\$827	\$933	\$961	\$850	\$1,332	\$879	\$1,201	\$1,250	\$1,200	\$1,127	\$11,005
OKLAHOMA																	
87 Grant	\$2,549	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$5	\$8	\$100	\$253	\$238	\$450	\$335	\$216	\$495	\$156	\$64	\$79	\$2,403
88 Grant	\$873	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26	\$116	\$205	\$76	\$87	\$45	\$54	\$554
89 Grant	\$1,716	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$99	\$140	\$0	\$558	\$796	\$796
90 Grant	\$5,418	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$10,556	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$5	\$8	\$100	\$253	\$238	\$450	\$361	\$332	\$798	\$371	\$151	\$682	\$3,754
OREGON																	
87 Grant	\$2,168	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$47	\$15	\$114	\$471	\$50	\$481	\$150	\$255	\$270	\$33	\$85	\$102	\$2,074
88 Grant	\$804	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$65	\$130	\$201	\$138	\$41	\$581	\$581
89 Grant	\$1,512	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$80	\$288	\$280	\$648	\$648
90 Grant	\$4,769	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$25	\$25	\$25
Totals	\$9,253	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$47	\$15	\$114	\$471	\$50	\$481	\$155	\$320	\$400	\$314	\$511	\$448	\$3,327
PENNSYLVANIA																	
87 Grant	\$7,858	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54	\$0	\$1,386	\$1,044	\$1,203	\$1,621	\$619	\$788	\$446	\$398	\$100	\$7,659
88 Grant	\$1,841	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65	\$376	\$221	\$622	\$294	\$93	\$93	\$1,671
89 Grant	\$4,936	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$337	\$125	\$661	\$681	\$857	\$423	\$3,085
90 Grant	\$17,386	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$223	\$446	\$670	\$670
Totals	\$32,021	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54	\$0	\$1,386	\$1,044	\$1,203	\$2,023	\$1,120	\$1,670	\$1,748	\$1,773	\$1,063	\$13,084
RHODE ISLAND																	
87 Grant	\$1,101	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14	\$12	\$9	\$137	\$110	\$321	\$76	\$180	\$145	\$29	\$27	\$39	\$1,101
88 Grant	\$610	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20	\$111	\$156	\$62	\$198	\$34	\$26	\$607
89 Grant	\$866	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$58	\$123	\$160	\$102	\$225	\$668
90 Grant	\$2,345	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$351	\$351
Totals	\$4,922	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14	\$12	\$9	\$137	\$110	\$341	\$187	\$394	\$331	\$387	\$163	\$641	\$2,727
SOUTH CAROLINA																	
87 Grant	\$2,578	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$59	\$40	\$187	\$315	\$633	\$648	\$337	\$130	\$165	\$0	\$0	\$2,515
88 Grant	\$881	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$242	\$263	\$143	\$110	\$777
89 Grant	\$1,773	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$160	\$331	\$308	\$807
90 Grant	\$5,729	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$10,961	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$59	\$40	\$187	\$315	\$633	\$648	\$355	\$379	\$589	\$474	\$418	\$4,098
SOUTH DAKOTA																	
87 Grant	\$939	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21	\$18	\$29	\$29	\$62	\$89	\$176	\$102	\$167	\$146	\$91	\$9	\$939
88 Grant	\$580	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$16	\$13	\$16	\$13	\$84	\$245	\$396
89 Grant	\$764	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$136	\$136
90 Grant	\$1,962	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$23	\$23
Totals	\$4,245	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21	\$18	\$29	\$29	\$62	\$97	\$192	\$115	\$183	\$159	\$174	\$414	\$1,494

Federal Drug Grants to States

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
TENNESSEE																	
87 Grant	\$3,458	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,025	\$200	\$1,263	\$231	\$164	\$247	\$176	\$106	\$32	\$13	\$3,458
88 Grant	\$1,042	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$524	\$48	\$18	\$115	\$119	\$170	\$993
89 Grant	\$2,304	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$195	\$288	\$416	\$87	\$985	
90 Grant	\$7,678	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$384	\$384	
Totals	\$14,478	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,025	\$200	\$1,263	\$231	\$688	\$295	\$387	\$507	\$568	\$655	\$5,818
TEXAS																	
87 Grant	\$10,662	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$0	\$43	\$958	\$1,429	\$1,369	\$3,432	\$1,000	\$1,323	\$578	\$294	\$34	(\$15)	\$10,451
88 Grant	\$2,382	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$147	\$297	\$1,022	\$697	\$107	\$43	\$2,283	
89 Grant	\$6,740	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$162	\$1,749	\$1,480	\$1,703	\$5,094	
90 Grant	\$23,999	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$260	\$260	
Totals	\$43,783	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$0	\$43	\$958	\$1,429	\$1,369	\$3,432	\$1,147	\$1,620	\$1,763	\$2,709	\$1,621	\$1,992	\$18,088
UTAH																	
87 Grant	\$1,521	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$8	\$8	\$67	\$365	\$60	\$324	\$239	\$268	\$48	\$30	\$11	\$85	\$1,521
88 Grant	\$688	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23	\$298	\$54	\$57	\$66	\$48	\$546	
89 Grant	\$1,124	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$176	\$193	\$189	\$182	\$739	
90 Grant	\$3,297	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals	\$6,630	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$8	\$8	\$67	\$365	\$60	\$324	\$262	\$565	\$278	\$279	\$268	\$315	\$2,806
VERMONT																	
87 Grant	\$832	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31	\$102	\$83	\$183	\$171	\$126	\$70	\$52	\$12	\$3	\$0	\$832
88 Grant	\$561	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$61	\$153	\$130	\$139	\$483
89 Grant	\$704	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$28	\$64	\$100	
90 Grant	\$1,749	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals	\$3,846	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31	\$102	\$83	\$183	\$171	\$126	\$70	\$112	\$173	\$161	\$203	\$1,415
VIRGINIA																	
87 Grant	\$4,042	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$30	\$151	\$231	\$565	\$494	\$600	\$577	\$475	\$175	\$209	\$265	\$196	\$3,970
88 Grant	\$1,153	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54	\$142	\$82	\$256	\$533	
89 Grant	\$2,694	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$69	\$438	\$501	\$542	\$506	\$2,056
90 Grant	\$9,207	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals	\$17,096	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$30	\$151	\$231	\$565	\$494	\$600	\$577	\$544	\$667	\$852	\$888	\$957	\$6,559
WASHINGTON																	
87 Grant	\$3,237	\$0	\$7	\$21	\$27	\$196	\$562	\$936	\$487	\$484	\$340	\$174	\$2	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$3,237
88 Grant	\$1,003	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$79	\$836	\$11	\$19	\$54	(\$0)	\$998
89 Grant	\$2,187	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$15	\$163	\$458	\$756	\$217	\$1,609
90 Grant	\$7,339	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$8	
Totals	\$13,766	\$0	\$7	\$21	\$27	\$196	\$562	\$936	\$487	\$484	\$419	\$1,024	\$176	\$478	\$810	\$225	\$5,853
WEST VIRGINIA																	
87 Grant	\$1,702	\$0	\$11	\$10	\$0	\$16	\$32	\$16	\$16	\$155	\$287	\$334	\$229	\$354	\$164	\$0	\$1,624
88 Grant	\$716	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33	\$178	\$127	\$167	\$506
89 Grant	\$1,205	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$43	\$130	\$227	\$403	
90 Grant	\$3,551	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals	\$7,174	\$0	\$11	\$10	\$0	\$16	\$32	\$16	\$16	\$155	\$287	\$334	\$265	\$574	\$421	\$395	\$2,533

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
WISCONSIN																	
87 Grant	\$3,464	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$27	\$29	\$78	\$528	\$823	\$652	\$746	\$311	\$118	\$50	\$0	\$1	\$3,361
88 Grant	\$1,040	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$34	\$44	\$198	\$204	\$87	\$245	\$128	\$4	\$943
89 Grant	\$2,287	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$152	\$343	\$574	\$0	\$800	\$1,869
90 Grant	\$7,622	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$31	\$51
Totals	\$14,413	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$27	\$29	\$78	\$528	\$857	\$696	\$943	\$666	\$547	\$869	\$128	\$837	\$6,205
WYOMING																	
87 Grant	\$816	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$1	\$3	\$109	\$225	\$122	\$113	\$98	\$95	\$49	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$816
88 Grant	\$557	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$135	\$113	\$103	\$144	\$82	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$557
89 Grant	\$682	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$180	\$183	\$271	(\$0)	\$634
90 Grant	\$1,642	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$7	\$418	\$425
Totals	\$3,697	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$1	\$3	\$109	\$225	\$258	\$226	\$199	\$239	\$291	\$183	\$278	\$418	\$2,432
PUERTO RICO																	
87 Grant	\$2,530	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$38	\$101	\$187	\$261	\$279	\$246	\$165	\$639	\$1	\$1,923
88 Grant	\$869	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95	\$174	\$269
89 Grant	\$1,724	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$137	\$188	\$325
90 Grant	\$5,485	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$10,608	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$38	\$101	\$187	\$261	\$279	\$246	\$165	\$872	\$383	\$2,518
VIRGIN ISLANDS																	
87 Grant	\$567	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$13	\$4	\$22	\$12	\$43	\$103	\$62	(\$0)	\$7	\$61	\$328
88 Grant	\$512	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$191	\$2	\$17	\$57	\$267
89 Grant	\$539	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
90 Grant	\$1,129	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$2,747	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$13	\$4	\$22	\$12	\$43	\$103	\$253	\$2	\$24	\$118	\$595
AMERICAN SAMOA																	
87 Grant	\$522	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$20	\$37	\$181	\$128	\$77	\$51	(\$25)	\$11	\$24	\$7	\$8	\$2	\$522
88 Grant	\$504	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$98	\$70	\$220	\$65	\$47	\$5	(\$12)	\$1	\$494
89 Grant	\$188	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$33	\$63	\$81	\$6	\$0	\$183
90 Grant	\$718	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$111	\$203	\$315
Totals	\$1,932	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$20	\$37	\$181	\$128	\$174	\$121	\$195	\$109	\$133	\$93	\$113	\$207	\$1,513
GUAM																	
87 Grant	\$574	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$40	\$76	\$15	\$116	\$21	\$172	\$9	\$39	\$10	\$505
88 Grant	\$514	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$35	\$31	\$21	\$35	\$61	\$185
89 Grant	\$285	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
90 Grant	\$1,169	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$2,542	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$40	\$76	\$15	\$118	\$56	\$203	\$30	\$74	\$70	\$690
N. MARIANA IS.																	
87 Grant	\$512	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$9	\$17	\$71	\$103	\$107	\$62	\$68	\$36	\$12	\$12	\$2	\$10	\$510
88 Grant	\$502	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$68	\$81	\$106	\$72	\$53	\$68	\$449
89 Grant	\$97	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$36	\$36
90 Grant	\$353	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$6
Totals	\$1,464	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$9	\$17	\$71	\$103	\$107	\$62	\$136	\$117	\$119	\$84	\$56	\$120	\$1,002

JUSTICE DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
TOTAL																	
87 Grant	\$178,400	\$0	\$44	\$479	\$1,794	\$2,883	\$18,713	\$20,788	\$24,338	\$28,573	\$23,362	\$19,084	\$13,895	\$9,225	\$5,186	\$2,897	\$171,260
88 Grant	\$55,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95	\$549	\$2,770	\$4,829	\$8,584	\$7,912	\$7,564	\$6,929	\$8,494	\$45,724
89 Grant	\$118,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$337	\$1,845	\$7,274	\$15,875	\$20,465	\$19,995	\$65,790	
90 Grant	\$395,101	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,505	\$11,756	\$13,261	
Totals	\$747,901	\$0	\$44	\$479	\$1,794	\$2,883	\$18,713	\$20,883	\$24,885	\$31,343	\$28,528	\$29,512	\$28,081	\$32,663	\$34,084	\$41,141	\$296,035

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS																CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		FEDERAL OUTLAYS, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	90:4	
ALABAMA																		
87 Grant	\$11,923	\$0	\$2,955	\$2,348	\$2,483	\$1,477	\$925	\$831	\$704	(\$1)	(\$5)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1)	\$0	\$11,716
88 Grant	\$11,491	—	—	—	—	\$599	\$2,385	\$1,898	\$2,191	\$1,373	\$1,087	\$848	\$897	\$182	\$2	(\$1)	(\$1)	\$11,458
89 Grant	\$12,953	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$3,036	\$2,076	\$1,514	\$3,023	\$1,758	\$858	\$626	\$12,891
90 Grant	\$18,008	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,938	\$2,297	\$2,766	\$8,999
Totals	\$54,373	\$0	\$2,955	\$2,348	\$2,483	\$2,076	\$3,310	\$2,727	\$2,895	\$1,372	\$4,118	\$2,924	\$2,411	\$3,205	\$3,896	\$3,153	\$3,391	\$43,064
ALASKA																		
87 Grant	\$2,687	\$0	\$0	\$848	\$836	\$501	\$277	\$138	\$65	\$25	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,688
88 Grant	\$2,604	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$737	\$138	(\$13)	\$695	\$902	\$84	\$64	\$0	\$0	(\$1)	\$0	\$2,604
89 Grant	\$2,734	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$860	\$226	\$1,178	\$367	\$82	\$0	\$2,713
90 Grant	\$2,734	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,080	\$774	\$1,854
Totals	\$10,759	\$0	\$0	\$848	\$836	\$501	\$1,014	\$272	\$52	\$720	\$902	\$944	\$290	\$1,178	\$367	\$1,161	\$774	\$9,859
ARIZONA																		
87 Grant	\$11,556	\$20	\$4,054	\$2,997	\$1,816	\$1,414	\$579	\$64	\$192	\$356	\$2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,494
88 Grant	\$11,232	—	—	—	—	\$1,711	\$2,790	\$1,431	\$2,477	\$2,361	(\$912)	\$590	\$631	\$19	\$13	(\$14)	\$0	\$11,097
89 Grant	\$12,497	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$2,419	\$3,664	\$3,718	\$1,042	\$1,341	\$176	\$11	\$12,371
90 Grant	\$17,519	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$3,024	\$5,912	\$4,561	\$13,497
Totals	\$52,804	\$20	\$4,054	\$2,997	\$1,816	\$3,125	\$3,369	\$1,495	\$2,669	\$2,717	\$1,509	\$4,254	\$4,349	\$1,061	\$4,378	\$6,074	\$4,572	\$48,459
ARKANSAS																		
87 Grant	\$8,021	\$0	\$1,112	\$2,150	\$2,295	\$779	\$628	\$1,036	\$21	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,021
88 Grant	\$7,746	—	—	—	—	\$542	\$1,316	\$1,200	\$1,642	\$1,793	\$698	\$478	\$48	\$49	\$0	(\$20)	\$0	\$7,746
89 Grant	\$7,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,591	\$1,285	\$1,735	\$1,112	\$928	\$351	\$0	\$7,000
90 Grant	\$8,380	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,662	\$1,707	\$1,992	\$5,361
Totals	\$31,147	\$0	\$1,112	\$2,150	\$2,295	\$1,321	\$1,944	\$2,236	\$1,663	\$1,793	\$2,289	\$1,783	\$1,783	\$1,161	\$2,588	\$2,038	\$1,992	\$28,128
CALIFORNIA																		
87 Grant	\$68,839	\$0	\$0	\$9,091	\$7,442	\$13,543	\$9,835	\$20,278	\$742	\$2,217	\$4,417	\$1,258	\$15	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$68,838
88 Grant	\$64,804	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$7,907	\$3,081	\$11,898	\$14,710	\$13,875	\$3,090	\$9,541	\$0	(\$80)	\$0	\$64,022
89 Grant	\$87,351	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$6,846	\$8,963	\$46,343	\$23,817	\$1,382	\$0	\$87,351
90 Grant	\$140,169	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$39,185	\$28,139	\$67,327
Totals	\$361,163	\$0	\$0	\$9,091	\$7,442	\$13,543	\$9,835	\$28,185	\$3,823	\$14,115	\$19,127	\$21,979	\$12,068	\$55,884	\$23,817	\$40,490	\$28,139	\$287,538
COLORADO																		
87 Grant	\$9,830	\$0	\$2,070	\$2,265	\$1,872	\$786	\$467	\$716	\$1,019	\$443	\$133	\$60	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,831
88 Grant	\$9,582	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$2,745	\$1,632	\$1,314	\$1,004	\$1,073	\$1,133	\$639	\$43	\$0	(\$1)	\$0	\$9,582
89 Grant	\$11,165	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$625	\$3,816	\$1,921	\$1,786	\$1,924	\$980	\$113	\$11,165
90 Grant	\$16,414	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$5,313	\$2,068	\$7,381
Totals	\$46,991	\$0	\$2,070	\$2,265	\$1,872	\$786	\$3,212	\$2,348	\$2,333	\$1,447	\$1,831	\$5,009	\$2,560	\$1,829	\$1,924	\$6,292	\$2,181	\$37,959
CONNECTICUT																		
87 Grant	\$9,475	\$0	\$3,090	\$1,880	\$2,079	\$1,018	\$287	\$542	\$524	\$0	\$56	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,476
88 Grant	\$9,039	—	—	—	—	\$621	\$2,425	\$1,677	\$1,707	\$951	\$342	\$683	\$532	(\$21)	\$0	(\$99)	\$0	\$9,018
89 Grant	\$10,941	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$3,784	\$1,743	\$1,840	\$2,706	\$587	\$263	\$18	\$10,941
90 Grant	\$16,027	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$558	\$3,516	\$3,233	\$7,307
Totals	\$45,482	\$0	\$3,090	\$1,880	\$2,079	\$1,639	\$2,712	\$2,419	\$2,231	\$951	\$4,182	\$2,426	\$2,372	\$2,685	\$1,145	\$3,680	\$3,251	\$36,742

Outlays from 87 and 88 grants 1988 include both ADTR and ADMS grants, except that 87 grant outlays in quarters 90:3 and 90:4 represent only ADTR grants.

Federal Drug Grants to States

Appendix C

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS																CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		FEDERAL OUTLAYS, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	90:4	
DELAWARE																		
87 Grant	\$1,891	\$0	\$37	\$257	\$565	\$419	\$183	\$143	\$173	\$51	\$19	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14	\$0	\$0	\$1,861
88 Grant	\$1,859	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$49	\$318	\$305	\$317	\$313	\$273	\$126	\$117	\$24	\$0	\$0	\$1,842
89 Grant	\$2,125	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$7	\$412	\$573	\$290	\$353	\$478	\$5	\$2,118
90 Grant	\$3,073	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$617	\$894	\$1,511
Totals	\$8,948	\$0	\$37	\$257	\$565	\$419	\$232	\$461	\$478	\$368	\$339	\$685	\$699	\$407	\$391	\$1,095	\$899	\$7,332
WASHINGTON, DC																		
87 Grant	\$3,220	\$0	\$0	\$1,652	\$0	\$304	\$23	\$150	\$328	\$0	\$713	\$0	\$0	(\$1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,169
88 Grant	\$3,146	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$575	\$370	\$587	\$0	\$886	\$102	\$386	\$136	\$103	\$1	\$0	\$3,146
89 Grant	\$3,444	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$918	\$758	\$1,360	\$162	(\$1)	\$0	\$3,197
90 Grant	\$4,770	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,586	\$853	\$2,439
Totals	\$14,580	\$0	\$0	\$1,652	\$0	\$304	\$598	\$520	\$915	\$0	\$1,599	\$1,020	\$1,144	\$1,495	\$265	\$1,586	\$853	\$11,951
FLORIDA																		
87 Grant	\$32,225	\$0	\$12,337	\$8,346	\$8,628	\$1,400	\$2,000	\$945	\$2,569	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,225
88 Grant	\$30,795	—	—	—	—	\$3,670	\$8,347	\$5,661	\$7,681	\$2,904	\$1,663	\$94	\$0	\$776	\$0	(\$1)	\$0	\$30,795
89 Grant	\$39,620	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$12,030	\$6,949	\$8,791	\$7,813	\$4,037	\$0	\$0	\$39,620
90 Grant	\$59,657	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$8,915	\$25,167	\$10,287	\$44,369
Totals	\$162,297	\$0	\$12,337	\$8,346	\$8,628	\$5,070	\$10,347	\$8,606	\$10,250	\$2,904	\$13,693	\$7,043	\$8,791	\$8,589	\$12,952	\$25,166	\$10,287	\$147,009
GEORGIA																		
87 Grant	\$15,971	\$0	\$5,703	\$2,901	\$2,145	\$1,321	\$0	\$782	\$472	\$1,473	\$61	\$324	\$784	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$15,967
88 Grant	\$15,113	—	—	—	—	\$1,130	\$1,015	\$6,328	\$2,184	\$496	\$1,290	\$550	\$1,553	\$270	\$239	\$0	\$1	\$15,056
89 Grant	\$15,837	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$6,290	\$4,905	\$570	\$1,839	\$1,319	\$873	\$0	\$15,796
90 Grant	\$23,701	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$4,580	\$3,436	\$3,890	\$11,906
Totals	\$70,622	\$0	\$5,703	\$2,901	\$2,145	\$2,451	\$1,015	\$7,110	\$2,656	\$1,969	\$7,641	\$5,779	\$2,907	\$2,109	\$6,138	\$4,310	\$3,891	\$58,725
HAWAII																		
87 Grant	\$3,677	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$370	\$731	\$498	\$663	\$346	\$225	\$190	\$121	\$125	\$22	\$4	\$12	\$0	\$3,307
88 Grant	\$3,408	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$430	\$642	\$584	\$588	\$273	\$182	\$147	\$166	\$35	\$3,047
89 Grant	\$4,095	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$284	\$1,011	\$750	\$723	\$899	\$3,667
90 Grant	\$5,827	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$483	\$483
Totals	\$16,907	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$370	\$731	\$498	\$663	\$776	\$867	\$774	\$709	\$682	\$1,215	\$901	\$901	\$1,417	\$10,504
IDAHO																		
87 Grant	\$2,438	\$0	\$300	\$360	\$783	\$377	\$303	\$187	\$112	\$16	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,438
88 Grant	\$2,270	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$350	\$180	\$710	\$212	\$487	\$160	\$32	\$159	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,270
89 Grant	\$2,383	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$558	\$461	\$497	\$581	\$286	\$0	\$0	\$2,383
90 Grant	\$2,600	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$387	\$1,208	\$586	\$2,161
Totals	\$9,691	\$0	\$300	\$360	\$783	\$377	\$653	\$347	\$822	\$228	\$1,045	\$621	\$529	\$740	\$673	\$1,208	\$586	\$9,272
ILLINOIS																		
87 Grant	\$27,600	\$0	\$8,268	\$7,440	\$4,423	\$3,055	\$1,356	\$2,640	\$388	\$30	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$27,600
88 Grant	\$25,806	—	—	—	—	\$865	\$6,518	\$6,043	\$4,281	\$3,238	\$2,575	\$1,943	\$316	\$27	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,806
89 Grant	\$35,699	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$7,397	\$8,405	\$6,679	\$8,030	\$3,954	\$1,234	\$0	\$35,699
90 Grant	\$57,509	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$8,571	\$10,779	\$14,757	\$34,107
Totals	\$146,614	\$0	\$8,268	\$7,440	\$4,423	\$3,920	\$7,874	\$8,683	\$4,669	\$3,268	\$9,972	\$10,348	\$6,995	\$8,057	\$12,525	\$12,013	\$14,757	\$123,212

Outlays from 87 and 88 grants 1988 include both ADTR and ADMS grants, except that 87 grant outlays in quarters 90:3 and 90:4 represent only ADTR grants.

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS																CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		FEDERAL OUTLAYS, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	90:4	
INDIANA																		
87 Grant	\$23,305	\$0	\$2,520	\$4,186	\$4,476	\$5,732	\$2,300	\$746	\$947	\$701	\$684	\$640	\$304	\$22	\$2	\$12	\$0	\$23,272
88 Grant	\$22,821	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$3,139	\$5,024	\$4,500	\$5,475	\$633	\$1,144	\$871	\$661	\$544	\$497	\$256	\$22,744
89 Grant	\$22,522	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$4,589	\$4,845	\$4,763	\$3,488	\$2,395	\$1,374	\$919	\$22,373
90 Grant	\$28,240	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$6,714	\$5,146	\$5,317	\$17,177
Totals	\$96,888	\$0	\$2,520	\$4,186	\$4,476	\$5,732	\$5,439	\$5,770	\$5,447	\$6,178	\$5,906	\$6,629	\$5,938	\$4,171	\$9,655	\$7,029	\$6,492	\$85,568
IOWA																		
87 Grant	\$4,754	\$0	\$0	\$1,163	\$1,248	\$1,635	\$183	\$270	\$255	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,754
88 Grant	\$4,319	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$708	\$525	\$902	\$1,046	\$789	\$240	\$110	\$0	\$0	(\$1)	\$0	\$4,319
89 Grant	\$4,809	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$238	\$539	\$1,199	\$1,155	\$1,009	\$400	\$220	\$4,760
90 Grant	\$7,804	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$209	\$414	\$1,506	\$1,929
Totals	\$21,686	\$0	\$0	\$1,163	\$1,248	\$1,635	\$891	\$795	\$1,157	\$1,046	\$1,027	\$779	\$1,309	\$1,155	\$1,218	\$813	\$1,526	\$15,762
KANSAS																		
87 Grant	\$5,591	\$0	\$355	\$327	\$1,798	\$668	\$1,050	\$496	\$342	\$366	\$190	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,592
88 Grant	\$5,279	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$365	\$631	\$1,220	\$1,007	\$771	\$1,012	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,106
89 Grant	\$5,543	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$535	\$700	\$1,740	\$1,430	\$1,060	\$78	\$0	\$5,543
90 Grant	\$7,573	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,070	\$2,948	\$4,018
Totals	\$23,986	\$0	\$355	\$327	\$1,798	\$668	\$1,415	\$1,127	\$1,562	\$1,373	\$1,496	\$1,712	\$1,840	\$1,430	\$1,060	\$1,148	\$2,948	\$20,259
KENTUCKY																		
87 Grant	\$7,099	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,859	\$1,351	\$2,479	\$1,304	\$0	\$47	\$59	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,099
88 Grant	\$6,589	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,225	\$2,104	\$1,651	\$588	\$0	\$0	\$21	\$0	\$0	\$6,589
89 Grant	\$7,296	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,614	\$389	\$2,656	\$901	\$386	\$6,946
90 Grant	\$11,624	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,004	\$2,004
Totals	\$32,608	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,859	\$1,351	\$2,479	\$1,304	\$2,225	\$2,151	\$1,710	\$588	\$2,614	\$389	\$2,677	\$901	\$2,390	\$22,638
LOUISIANA																		
87 Grant	\$8,907	\$0	\$0	\$1,666	\$1,870	\$2,494	\$0	\$1,831	\$128	\$330	\$174	(\$315)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,176
88 Grant	\$8,249	—	—	—	—	\$412	\$2,067	\$1,117	\$1,596	\$379	\$0	\$649	\$488	\$901	\$601	\$0	\$59	\$8,249
89 Grant	\$10,191	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$3,510	\$1,305	\$0	\$2,288	\$3,089	\$0	(\$1)	\$10,191
90 Grant	\$16,486	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,603	\$3,950	\$3,872	\$9,425
Totals	\$43,833	\$0	\$0	\$1,666	\$1,870	\$2,906	\$2,067	\$2,948	\$1,724	\$709	\$3,684	\$1,639	\$488	\$3,189	\$5,293	\$3,950	\$3,930	\$36,043
MAINE																		
87 Grant	\$4,691	\$0	\$1,166	\$956	\$769	\$940	\$10	\$210	\$52	\$88	\$98	\$380	\$22	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,691
88 Grant	\$4,432	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,167	\$1,333	\$951	\$32	\$251	\$0	\$329	\$0	\$105	\$264	\$0	\$4,432
89 Grant	\$4,654	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,417	\$579	\$510	\$1,320	\$828	\$0	\$0	\$4,654
90 Grant	\$4,654	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$503	\$1,111	\$1,260	\$2,874
Totals	\$18,431	\$0	\$1,166	\$956	\$769	\$940	\$1,177	\$1,543	\$1,003	\$120	\$1,766	\$959	\$861	\$1,320	\$1,436	\$1,375	\$1,260	\$16,651
MARYLAND																		
87 Grant	\$8,765	\$0	\$1,573	\$1,484	\$2,004	\$1,864	\$388	\$504	(\$375)	\$1,322	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,764
88 Grant	\$8,081	—	—	—	—	\$900	\$1,477	\$673	\$762	\$61	\$1,204	\$2,531	\$179	\$0	\$171	\$0	\$0	\$7,958
89 Grant	\$12,085	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$3,689	\$4,404	\$3,992	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,085
90 Grant	\$21,314	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$3,960	\$4,047	\$12,978	\$20,985
Totals	\$50,245	\$0	\$1,573	\$1,484	\$2,004	\$2,764	\$1,865	\$1,177	\$387	\$1,383	\$1,204	\$6,220	\$4,583	\$3,992	\$4,131	\$4,047	\$12,978	\$49,792

Outlays from 87 and 88 grants 1988 include both ADTR and ADMS grants, except that 87 grant outlays in quarters 90:3 and 90:4 represent only ADTR grants.

Federal Drug Grants to States

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS																CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		FEDERAL OUTLAYS, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	90:4	
MASSACHUSETTS																		
87 Grant	\$23,479	\$0	\$1,298	\$3,238	\$6,178	\$3,404	\$4,723	\$626	\$3,607	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,074
88 Grant	\$22,703	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,668	\$3,425	\$6,362	\$4,649	\$5,228	\$448	\$334	\$588	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$22,703
89 Grant	\$25,271	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,050	\$6,600	\$6,318	\$7,033	\$3,370	\$450	\$0	\$24,821
90 Grant	\$35,091	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,000	\$5,950	\$6,925	\$13,875
Totals	\$106,544	\$0	\$1,298	\$3,238	\$6,178	\$3,404	\$6,391	\$4,051	\$9,969	\$4,649	\$6,278	\$7,048	\$6,652	\$7,621	\$4,370	\$6,401	\$6,925	\$84,473
MICHIGAN																		
87 Grant	\$22,523	\$0	\$4,375	\$31	\$7,329	\$6,344	\$1,119	\$676	\$758	\$1,740	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,372
88 Grant	\$21,342	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$7,945	\$5,317	\$3,874	\$1,969	\$1,663	\$511	\$62	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$21,342
89 Grant	\$27,271	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$9,543	\$2,737	\$8,040	\$6,514	\$389	\$0	\$48	\$27,271
90 Grant	\$43,130	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$5,720	\$15,824	\$8,980	\$30,524
Totals	\$114,266	\$0	\$4,375	\$31	\$7,329	\$6,344	\$1,119	\$8,621	\$6,075	\$5,614	\$11,512	\$4,400	\$8,551	\$6,576	\$6,109	\$15,825	\$9,028	\$101,509
MINNESOTA																		
87 Grant	\$8,125	\$0	\$0	\$41	\$510	\$753	\$1,453	\$3,656	\$484	\$395	\$208	\$568	\$50	\$9	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,127
88 Grant	\$7,493	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$28	\$1,287	\$472	\$911	\$3,016	\$1,002	\$437	\$107	\$65	\$89	\$7,414
89 Grant	\$9,134	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$3,765	\$1,291	\$1,321	\$1,093	\$350	\$8,820
90 Grant	\$15,173	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$6,411	\$3,968	\$10,379
Totals	\$39,925	\$0	\$0	\$41	\$510	\$753	\$1,453	\$3,684	\$1,771	\$867	\$1,119	\$4,584	\$4,817	\$1,737	\$1,428	\$7,569	\$4,407	\$34,740
MISSISSIPPI																		
87 Grant	\$6,982	\$0	\$0	\$849	\$1,259	\$1,750	\$1,905	\$248	\$134	\$313	\$515	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,973
88 Grant	\$6,527	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,747	\$1,108	\$1,724	\$1,562	\$0	\$0	\$388	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,527
89 Grant	\$6,853	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$2,290	\$1,597	\$1,779	\$1,188	(\$1)	\$0	\$6,853
90 Grant	\$7,972	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,679	\$1,845	\$1,101	\$4,625
Totals	\$28,334	\$0	\$0	\$849	\$1,259	\$1,750	\$1,905	\$1,995	\$1,240	\$2,037	\$2,077	\$2,290	\$1,597	\$2,167	\$2,867	\$1,844	\$1,101	\$24,978
MISSOURI																		
87 Grant	\$13,263	\$0	\$1,737	\$1,607	\$1,396	\$2,357	\$1,763	\$1,546	\$774	\$640	\$474	\$927	\$23	\$18	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,262
88 Grant	\$12,563	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,622	\$2,138	\$907	\$754	\$2,172	\$1,474	\$1,211	\$2,273	\$12	\$0	\$0	\$12,563
89 Grant	\$14,318	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$2,744	\$857	\$2,014	\$3,198	\$5,328	\$37	\$140	\$14,318
90 Grant	\$21,448	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$5,184	\$4,578	\$9,762
Totals	\$61,592	\$0	\$1,737	\$1,607	\$1,396	\$2,357	\$3,385	\$3,684	\$1,681	\$1,394	\$5,390	\$3,258	\$3,248	\$5,489	\$5,340	\$5,221	\$4,718	\$49,905
MONTANA																		
87 Grant	\$2,851	\$0	\$784	\$660	\$349	\$767	(\$24)	\$150	\$164	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,850
88 Grant	\$2,823	—	—	—	—	\$239	\$837	\$349	\$400	\$274	\$514	\$50	\$159	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,822
89 Grant	\$2,964	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$600	\$557	\$308	\$775	\$350	\$374	\$0	\$2,964
90 Grant	\$2,964	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,229	\$347	\$1,576
Totals	\$11,602	\$0	\$784	\$660	\$349	\$1,006	\$813	\$499	\$564	\$274	\$1,114	\$607	\$467	\$775	\$350	\$1,603	\$347	\$10,212
NEBRASKA																		
87 Grant	\$4,034	\$0	\$647	\$857	\$839	\$621	\$405	\$442	\$223	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,034
88 Grant	\$3,761	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,422	\$729	\$735	\$479	\$387	\$10	\$0	\$0	(\$1)	\$0	\$3,761
89 Grant	\$3,949	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,424	\$470	\$996	\$859	\$200	\$0	\$3,949
90 Grant	\$5,431	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,457	\$885	\$2,342
Totals	\$17,175	\$0	\$647	\$857	\$839	\$621	\$405	\$1,864	\$952	\$735	\$479	\$1,811	\$480	\$996	\$859	\$1,656	\$885	\$14,086

Outlays from 87 and 88 grants 1988 include both ADTR and ADMS grants, except that 87 grant outlays in quarters 90:3 and 90:4 represent only ADTR grants.

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS																CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		FEDERAL OUTLAYS, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	90:4	
NEVADA																		
87 Grant	\$3,543	\$0	\$946	\$1,088	\$423	\$426	\$447	\$74	\$140	(\$32)	\$16	\$16	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,544
88 Grant	\$3,584	—	—	—	—	\$166	\$697	\$1,180	\$599	\$391	\$404	\$143	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,580
89 Grant	\$3,890	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$978	\$1,594	\$458	\$842	(\$49)	\$49	\$1,023	\$4,901
90 Grant	\$5,404	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,586	\$1,281	\$1,176	\$4,043
Totals	\$16,421	\$0	\$946	\$1,088	\$423	\$592	\$1,144	\$1,254	\$739	\$359	\$1,393	\$1,753	\$458	\$842	\$1,543	\$1,330	\$2,199	\$16,088
NEW HAMPSHIRE																		
87 Grant	\$4,501	\$0	\$550	\$1,135	\$0	\$1,705	\$587	\$114	\$58	\$92	\$123	\$90	\$7	\$42	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$4,504
88 Grant	\$4,407	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$409	\$1,237	\$921	\$868	\$464	\$104	\$36	\$95	\$113	\$119	\$37	\$4,403
89 Grant	\$4,627	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$2,252	\$62	\$1,721	\$474	\$118	\$0	\$4,627
90 Grant	\$4,627	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,132	\$1,048	\$1,103	\$3,283
Totals	\$18,162	\$0	\$550	\$1,135	\$0	\$1,705	\$996	\$1,351	\$979	\$960	\$587	\$2,446	\$105	\$1,858	\$1,719	\$1,286	\$1,140	\$16,817
NEW JERSEY																		
87 Grant	\$25,946	\$0	\$0	\$8,241	\$5,038	\$8,007	\$1,032	\$1,457	\$649	\$242	\$357	\$306	\$8	\$11	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$25,347
88 Grant	\$25,171	—	—	—	—	\$728	\$5,860	\$6,310	\$1,865	\$856	\$3,327	\$3,825	\$1,228	\$248	\$324	\$303	\$0	\$24,874
89 Grant	\$31,449	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$5,767	\$12,993	\$1,335	\$2,751	\$1,000	\$2,154	\$31,300
90 Grant	\$45,540	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$14,817	\$5,201	\$20,018
Totals	\$128,106	\$0	\$0	\$8,241	\$5,038	\$8,735	\$6,892	\$7,767	\$2,514	\$1,088	\$3,684	\$9,898	\$14,227	\$6,894	\$3,075	\$16,121	\$7,355	\$101,539
NEW MEXICO																		
87 Grant	\$6,083	\$0	\$1,527	\$1,217	\$547	\$1,212	\$603	\$58	\$45	\$39	\$277	\$127	\$39	\$48	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$5,740
88 Grant	\$6,129	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,382	\$1,285	\$550	\$1,716	\$0	\$28	\$62	\$21	\$201	\$302	\$279	\$5,826
89 Grant	\$6,435	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,414	\$1,299	\$1,044	\$1,611	\$1,067	\$0	\$0	\$6,435
90 Grant	\$6,551	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$481	\$2,035	\$864	\$3,380
Totals	\$25,198	\$0	\$1,527	\$1,217	\$547	\$1,212	\$1,985	\$1,343	\$595	\$1,755	\$1,691	\$1,454	\$1,145	\$1,660	\$1,749	\$2,338	\$1,143	\$21,381
NEW YORK																		
87 Grant	\$54,641	\$0	\$320	\$6,940	\$8,153	\$19,926	\$4,658	\$2,446	\$2,702	\$2,687	\$4,218	\$1,344	\$1,236	\$938	\$55	\$97	(\$12)	\$53,708
88 Grant	\$54,627	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$4,770	\$5,369	\$9,620	\$4,188	\$17,363	\$4,395	\$4,349	\$1,690	\$1,232	\$253	\$58	\$53,287
89 Grant	\$65,794	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$8,360	\$20,697	\$13,029	\$14,248	\$5,325	\$4,135	\$65,794
90 Grant	\$98,111	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$13,320	\$20,540	\$33,860
Totals	\$273,373	\$0	\$320	\$6,940	\$8,153	\$19,926	\$9,428	\$7,815	\$12,322	\$6,875	\$21,581	\$14,099	\$26,282	\$15,657	\$15,535	\$18,995	\$24,721	\$206,649
NORTH CAROLINA																		
87 Grant	\$15,214	\$0	\$4,500	\$2,950	\$1,600	\$2,393	\$1,800	\$1,208	\$300	\$300	\$0	\$58	\$107	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,214
88 Grant	\$14,200	—	—	—	—	\$1,100	\$3,500	\$3,000	\$3,171	\$285	\$710	\$1,369	\$1,014	\$51	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,200
89 Grant	\$14,476	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$5,200	\$2,314	\$2,808	\$4,154	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,476
90 Grant	\$21,069	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$4,164	\$4,386	\$4,758	\$13,308
Totals	\$64,959	\$0	\$4,500	\$2,950	\$1,600	\$3,493	\$5,300	\$4,208	\$3,471	\$585	\$5,910	\$3,739	\$3,929	\$4,205	\$4,164	\$4,386	\$4,758	\$57,198
NORTH DAKOTA																		
87 Grant	\$1,818	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$950	\$131	\$185	\$0	\$100	\$130	\$160	\$50	\$88	\$13	\$0	\$8	\$1,815
88 Grant	\$1,552	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$863	\$200	\$88	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55	\$70	\$19	\$110	\$1,405
89 Grant	\$1,630	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,223	\$200	\$0	\$0	(\$1)	\$145	\$1,567
90 Grant	\$1,855	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$150	\$800	\$560	\$1,510
Totals	\$6,853	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$950	\$131	\$1,048	\$200	\$188	\$130	\$1,383	\$250	\$143	\$233	\$818	\$823	\$6,297

Outlays from 87 and 88 grants 1988 include both ADTR and ADMS grants, except that 87 grant outlays in quarters 90:3 and 90:4 represent only ADTR grants.

Federal Drug Grants to States

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS																CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		FEDERAL OUTLAYS, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	90:4	
OHIO																		
87 Grant	\$31,084	\$0	\$5,117	\$6,517	\$3,098	\$5,507	\$3,667	\$6,060	\$978	\$141	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31,085
88 Grant	\$29,904	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$2,493	\$3,409	\$5,796	\$5,439	\$6,790	\$4,782	\$617	\$0	(\$236)	(\$15)	\$0	\$29,075
89 Grant	\$36,561	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,914	\$5,169	\$8,707	\$5,579	\$192	\$36,561
90 Grant	\$53,413	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$3,387	\$10,477	\$13,864
Totals	\$150,962	\$0	\$5,117	\$6,517	\$3,098	\$5,507	\$6,160	\$9,469	\$6,774	\$5,580	\$6,790	\$4,782	\$17,531	\$5,169	\$8,471	\$8,951	\$10,669	\$110,585
OKLAHOMA																		
87 Grant	\$9,959	\$0	\$2,736	\$2,311	\$2,050	\$1,325	\$0	\$445	\$796	\$296	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,959
88 Grant	\$9,562	—	—	—	—	\$1,100	\$2,000	\$1,865	\$1,300	\$1,710	\$300	\$0	\$1,287	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,562
89 Grant	\$9,808	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$2,500	\$3,200	\$1,450	\$2,658	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,808
90 Grant	\$12,843	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$3,500	\$3,092	\$3,164	\$9,756
Totals	\$42,172	\$0	\$2,736	\$2,311	\$2,050	\$2,425	\$2,000	\$2,310	\$2,096	\$2,006	\$2,800	\$3,200	\$2,737	\$2,658	\$3,500	\$3,092	\$3,164	\$39,085
OREGON																		
87 Grant	\$8,299	\$0	\$0	\$4,611	\$2,667	\$1,021	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$14)	\$14	\$0	\$0	\$8,299
88 Grant	\$7,881	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$2,937	\$2,974	(\$647)	\$1,654	\$963	\$0	(\$18)	(\$20)	(\$7)	\$45	\$0	\$7,881
89 Grant	\$8,111	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$4,055	\$1,358	\$935	\$1,686	\$77	\$0	\$0	\$8,111
90 Grant	\$11,818	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$4,919	\$948	\$1,846	\$7,713
Totals	\$36,109	\$0	\$0	\$4,611	\$2,667	\$1,021	\$2,937	\$2,974	(\$647)	\$1,654	\$5,018	\$1,358	\$917	\$1,652	\$5,003	\$993	\$1,846	\$32,004
PENNSYLVANIA																		
87 Grant	\$34,339	\$0	\$12,618	\$4,627	\$4,199	\$4,180	\$133	\$2,482	\$2,476	\$1,995	\$1,008	\$500	\$121	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$34,339
88 Grant	\$32,746	—	—	—	—	\$3,964	\$7,771	\$4,750	\$6,385	\$2,507	\$1,094	\$1,526	\$2,603	\$956	\$1,279	(\$93)	\$4	\$32,746
89 Grant	\$39,746	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$16,397	\$7,139	\$7,205	\$3,066	\$2,581	\$2,481	\$38,869
90 Grant	\$58,481	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$5,448	\$5,276	\$13,344	\$24,068
Totals	\$165,312	\$0	\$12,618	\$4,627	\$4,199	\$8,144	\$7,904	\$7,232	\$8,861	\$4,502	\$2,102	\$18,423	\$9,863	\$8,161	\$9,793	\$7,764	\$15,829	\$130,022
RHODE ISLAND																		
87 Grant	\$5,256	\$0	\$0	\$1,718	\$178	\$1,237	\$886	\$992	\$165	\$81	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,257
88 Grant	\$5,195	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$977	\$266	\$648	\$1,258	\$441	\$1,095	\$268	\$178	\$7	\$15	\$9	\$5,162
89 Grant	\$5,503	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,845	\$100	\$1,174	\$783	\$1,185	\$221	\$5,308
90 Grant	\$7,222	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,646	\$1,168	\$2,814
Totals	\$23,176	\$0	\$0	\$1,718	\$178	\$1,237	\$1,863	\$1,258	\$813	\$1,339	\$441	\$2,940	\$368	\$1,352	\$790	\$2,846	\$1,398	\$18,541
SOUTH CAROLINA																		
87 Grant	\$9,328	\$0	\$0	\$5,221	\$2,007	\$1,000	\$839	\$261	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,328
88 Grant	\$8,891	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,957	\$1,972	\$500	\$2,820	\$1,602	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,851
89 Grant	\$8,909	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$2,235	\$2,418	\$1,389	\$1,639	\$0	\$0	\$7,681
90 Grant	\$12,949	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$5,301	\$600	\$5,901
Totals	\$40,077	\$0	\$0	\$5,221	\$2,007	\$1,000	\$2,796	\$2,233	\$500	\$2,820	\$1,602	\$2,235	\$2,418	\$1,389	\$1,639	\$5,301	\$600	\$31,761
SOUTH DAKOTA																		
87 Grant	\$3,627	\$0	\$695	\$875	\$625	\$933	\$0	\$24	\$70	\$44	\$154	\$55	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,475
88 Grant	\$3,580	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$640	\$705	\$820	\$750	\$185	\$0	\$0	\$33	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$3,134
89 Grant	\$3,759	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,490	\$1,015	\$530	\$724	\$0	\$0	\$3,759
90 Grant	\$3,759	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,830	\$880	\$2,710
Totals	\$14,725	\$0	\$695	\$875	\$625	\$933	\$640	\$729	\$890	\$794	\$339	\$1,545	\$1,015	\$563	\$724	\$1,830	\$881	\$13,078

Outlays from 87 and 88 grants 1988 include both ADTR and ADMS grants, except that 87 grant outlays in quarters 90:3 and 90:4 represent only ADTR grants.

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS																CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		FEDERAL OUTLAYS, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	90:4	
TENNESSEE																		
87 Grant	\$10,375	\$0	\$2,724	\$2,220	\$2,808	\$1,454	\$871	\$248	\$158	\$680	\$0	\$34	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,975
88 Grant	\$10,357	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$2,748	\$3,819	\$1,328	\$0	\$1,778	\$294	\$0	\$393	\$1	\$0	\$10,357
89 Grant	\$12,098	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$5,387	\$1,544	\$0	\$5,081	\$86	\$0	\$12,098
90 Grant	\$18,754	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$7,417	\$2,471	\$9,888
Totals	\$52,184	\$0	\$2,724	\$2,220	\$2,808	\$1,454	\$871	\$2,922	\$3,977	\$1,988	\$0	\$7,197	\$1,838	\$0	\$5,474	\$7,504	\$2,471	\$43,318
TEXAS																		
87 Grant	\$31,369	\$0	\$4,511	\$5,013	\$6,703	\$5,340	\$4,635	\$2,735	\$1,797	\$630	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$18)	\$31,369
88 Grant	\$30,474	—	—	—	—	\$311	\$2,565	\$4,823	\$5,777	\$6,113	\$5,528	\$2,618	\$2,670	\$71	\$0	\$0	(\$39)	\$30,435
89 Grant	\$40,166	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$4,780	\$5,333	\$7,410	\$10,920	\$7,068	\$700	\$3,957	\$40,166
90 Grant	\$65,697	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$2,164	\$16,634	\$12,712	\$31,510
Totals	\$167,706	\$0	\$4,511	\$5,013	\$6,703	\$5,651	\$7,200	\$7,558	\$7,574	\$6,743	\$10,308	\$7,949	\$10,080	\$10,991	\$9,230	\$17,334	\$16,612	\$133,457
UTAH																		
87 Grant	\$5,172	\$0	\$429	\$1,419	\$175	\$742	\$1,208	\$476	\$0	\$550	\$173	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,172
88 Grant	\$4,898	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$307	\$1,072	\$183	\$1,027	\$1,203	\$618	\$478	\$10	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,898
89 Grant	\$5,686	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,269	\$652	\$1,194	\$1,495	\$529	\$547	\$5,686
90 Grant	\$8,502	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$573	\$1,628	\$2,199
Totals	\$24,258	\$0	\$429	\$1,419	\$175	\$742	\$1,515	\$1,548	\$183	\$1,577	\$1,376	\$1,887	\$1,130	\$1,204	\$1,495	\$1,102	\$2,173	\$17,955
VERMONT																		
87 Grant	\$3,779	\$0	\$0	\$2,432	\$342	\$695	\$24	\$26	\$222	\$38	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,779
88 Grant	\$3,731	—	—	—	—	\$503	\$1,154	\$828	\$828	\$38	\$138	\$70	\$172	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,731
89 Grant	\$3,918	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$2,939	\$980	\$0	\$0	(\$1)	\$0	\$3,918
90 Grant	\$3,918	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,959	\$0	\$0	\$1,959
Totals	\$15,346	\$0	\$0	\$2,432	\$342	\$1,198	\$1,178	\$854	\$1,050	\$76	\$138	\$3,009	\$1,152	\$0	\$1,959	(\$1)	\$0	\$13,387
VIRGINIA																		
87 Grant	\$12,488	\$0	\$0	\$3,252	\$849	\$3,953	\$1,843	\$744	\$7	\$723	\$751	\$368	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,488
88 Grant	\$12,047	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$3,072	\$3,452	\$2,276	\$2,139	\$1,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,047
89 Grant	\$14,757	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$2,328	\$3,657	\$3,974	\$3,257	\$1,541	\$0	\$14,757
90 Grant	\$23,935	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,102	\$2,728	\$5,225	\$9,055
Totals	\$63,227	\$0	\$0	\$3,252	\$849	\$3,953	\$1,843	\$3,816	\$3,459	\$2,999	\$2,890	\$3,802	\$3,657	\$3,974	\$4,359	\$4,269	\$5,225	\$48,347
WASHINGTON																		
87 Grant	\$13,000	\$0	\$2,385	\$2,300	\$1,929	\$1,299	\$945	\$979	\$141	\$3,021	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,999
88 Grant	\$12,175	—	—	—	—	\$892	\$1,248	\$1,107	\$2,775	\$490	\$1,295	\$2,448	\$71	\$0	\$0	\$1,102	\$0	\$12,128
89 Grant	\$14,549	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$6,722	\$5,266	\$2,118	\$131	\$12	\$0	\$14,249
90 Grant	\$21,835	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,450	\$10,450
Totals	\$61,559	\$0	\$2,385	\$2,300	\$1,929	\$2,191	\$2,193	\$2,086	\$2,916	\$3,511	\$1,295	\$9,170	\$6,037	\$2,118	\$131	\$1,114	\$10,450	\$49,826
WEST VIRGINIA																		
87 Grant	\$5,682	\$0	\$961	\$843	\$447	\$1,228	\$619	\$805	\$320	\$348	\$101	\$5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,677
88 Grant	\$5,367	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$696	\$682	\$707	\$736	\$829	\$1,032	\$223	\$151	\$144	\$86	\$35	\$5,321
89 Grant	\$5,635	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$548	\$1,121	\$665	\$1,508	\$922	\$639	\$190	\$5,593
90 Grant	\$5,900	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,811	\$1,078	\$2,889
Totals	\$22,584	\$0	\$961	\$843	\$447	\$1,228	\$1,315	\$1,487	\$1,027	\$1,084	\$1,478	\$2,158	\$888	\$1,659	\$1,063	\$2,536	\$1,303	\$19,480

Outlays from 87 and 88 grants 1988 include both ADTR and ADMS grants, except that 87 grant outlays in quarters 90:3 and 90:4 represent only ADTR grants.

Federal Drug Grants to States

C-17

Appendix C

HHS DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS																CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		FEDERAL OUTLAYS, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER																
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	90:4	
WISCONSIN																		
87 Grant	\$9,475	\$0	\$1,253	\$1,543	\$1,442	\$1,031	\$372	\$299	\$343	\$608	\$240	\$327	\$571	\$540	(\$334)	\$199	\$518	\$8,948
88 Grant	\$8,462	—	—	—	—	\$459	\$1,278	\$1,070	\$1,633	\$638	\$250	\$655	\$1,006	\$450	\$478	\$348	(\$190)	\$8,075
89 Grant	\$10,338	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,596	\$1,416	\$1,405	\$1,209	\$442	\$3,253	\$608	\$9,929
90 Grant	\$17,451	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,514	\$4,250	\$3,193	\$8,957	\$8,957
Totals	\$45,726	\$0	\$1,253	\$1,543	\$1,442	\$1,490	\$1,650	\$1,369	\$1,976	\$1,244	\$2,086	\$2,398	\$2,982	\$2,199	\$2,100	\$8,050	\$4,127	\$35,909
WYOMING																		
87 Grant	\$1,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$69	\$51	\$192	\$61	\$27	\$80	\$170	\$220	\$181	\$157	\$1	\$37	\$0	\$1,248
88 Grant	\$1,224	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65	\$73	\$45	\$94	\$99	\$367	\$287	\$125	\$1	\$1,156
89 Grant	\$1,285	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$302	\$92	\$10	\$250	\$148	\$810
90 Grant	\$1,285	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$193	\$193
Totals	\$5,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$69	\$51	\$192	\$61	\$92	\$153	\$215	\$322	\$582	\$616	\$298	\$412	\$342	\$3,405
TERRITORIES																		
87 Grant	\$8,919	\$0	\$0	\$5,346	\$778	\$1,438	\$614	\$988	\$1,270	\$211	\$1	\$43	(\$34)	\$32	\$0	\$2	\$0	\$10,669
88 Grant	\$8,832	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$5,108	\$1,182	\$643	\$1,014	\$1,014	\$640	\$368	\$210	\$81	\$52	\$10,312
89 Grant	\$11,480	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$50	\$8,999	\$1,988	\$212	\$284	\$1,191	\$10,724
90 Grant	\$16,999	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,042	\$10,042
Totals	\$46,030	\$0	\$0	\$5,346	\$778	\$1,438	\$614	\$6,076	\$2,452	\$854	\$1,015	\$1,107	\$7,605	\$2,388	\$422	\$5,367	\$6,285	\$41,747
TOTAL																		
87 Grant	\$669,623	\$20	\$95,653	\$129,419	\$109,748	\$124,948	\$61,009	\$64,969	\$27,902	\$23,629	\$15,661	\$7,702	\$3,607	\$1,912	(\$231)	\$382	\$494	\$666,804
88 Grant	\$640,673	—	—	—	—	\$19,912	\$84,943	\$117,009	\$108,990	\$88,342	\$89,907	\$63,234	\$31,492	\$21,384	\$6,646	\$3,839	\$766	\$638,464
89 Grant	\$765,314	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$83,034	\$145,369	\$172,924	\$184,568	\$117,532	\$35,383	\$19,766	\$768,576
90 Grant	\$1,133,208	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$79,140	\$244,100	\$248,347	\$571,587	\$571,587
Totals	\$3,208,818	\$20	\$95,653	\$129,419	\$109,748	\$144,860	\$145,952	\$181,978	\$136,892	\$111,971	\$188,602	\$216,305	\$208,023	\$207,864	\$203,087	\$283,684	\$269,373	\$2,633,431

Outlays from 87 and 88 grants 1988 include both ADTR and ADMS grants, except that 87 grant outlays in quarters 90:3 and 90:4 represent only ADTR grants.

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER*															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
ALABAMA																	
87 Grant	\$2,761	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$379	\$306	\$529	\$0	\$463	\$338	\$399	\$250	\$20	\$10	\$2,695
88 Grant	\$3,277	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$1	\$0	\$606	\$449	\$569	\$78	\$82	\$553	\$2,338	
89 Grant	\$4,933	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$2	\$538	\$610	\$660	\$1,810	
90 Grant	\$7,719	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	
Totals	\$18,691	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$379	\$306	\$530	\$0	\$1,069	\$787	\$970	\$867	\$712	\$1,224	\$6,844
ALASKA																	
87 Grant	\$796	--	--	--	\$1	\$0	\$98	\$326	\$0	\$220	\$44	\$29	\$35	\$21	\$0	\$0	\$772
88 Grant	\$946	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$147	\$110	\$184	\$266	\$21	\$109	\$17	\$864
89 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$13	\$281	\$259	\$239	\$773	
90 Grant	\$2,124	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	
Totals	\$5,286	--	--	--	\$1	\$0	\$98	\$326	\$0	\$366	\$153	\$223	\$314	\$304	\$368	\$257	\$2,409
ARIZONA																	
87 Grant	\$2,018	--	--	--	\$148	\$743	\$510	\$416	\$154	\$46	\$0	\$3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,018
88 Grant	\$2,433	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$115	\$1,071	\$329	\$93	\$77	\$143	\$506	\$99	\$2,433
89 Grant	\$3,793	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$864	\$1,686	(\$258)	\$552	\$2,843
90 Grant	\$5,758	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$14,002	--	--	--	\$148	\$743	\$510	\$416	\$269	\$1,116	\$329	\$96	\$941	\$1,829	\$248	\$651	\$7,294
ARKANSAS																	
87 Grant	\$1,611	--	--	--	\$125	\$622	\$495	\$150	\$88	\$50	\$0	\$81	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,611
88 Grant	\$1,898	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$3	\$572	\$883	\$227	\$152	\$56	\$0	\$1	\$1,894
89 Grant	\$2,851	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$134	\$255	\$1,483	\$687	\$2,560
90 Grant	\$4,451	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$10,811	--	--	--	\$125	\$622	\$495	\$150	\$92	\$622	\$883	\$308	\$286	\$311	\$1,483	\$688	\$6,065
CALIFORNIA																	
87 Grant	\$15,599	--	--	--	\$0	\$3	\$9,510	\$589	\$266	\$513	\$1,684	\$1,572	\$1,049	\$411	\$0	\$0	\$15,599
88 Grant	\$19,122	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$12,001	\$254	\$233	\$1,030	\$2,137	\$1,501	\$17,158
89 Grant	\$30,008	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$55	\$121	\$15,821	\$1,828	\$17,825
90 Grant	\$45,817	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$110,545	--	--	--	\$0	\$3	\$9,510	\$589	\$266	\$513	\$13,685	\$1,826	\$1,338	\$1,563	\$17,958	\$3,329	\$50,580
COLORADO																	
87 Grant	\$2,008	--	--	--	\$126	\$535	\$378	\$786	\$102	\$43	\$2	\$9	\$26	(\$0)	(\$2)	\$0	\$2,006
88 Grant	\$2,389	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$139	\$1,145	\$341	\$511	\$131	\$86	\$12	(\$1)	\$2,365
89 Grant	\$3,631	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$667	\$917	\$1,032	\$719	\$3,335
90 Grant	\$5,246	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$13,274	--	--	--	\$126	\$535	\$378	\$786	\$241	\$1,188	\$343	\$520	\$823	\$1,004	\$1,042	\$719	\$7,705
CONNECTICUT																	
87 Grant	\$1,872	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$882	\$254	\$296	\$170	\$107	\$117	\$34	\$8	(\$1)	(\$25)	\$1,843
88 Grant	\$2,228	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$97	\$734	\$670	\$80	\$48	\$173	\$369	\$2,171
89 Grant	\$3,259	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$1	\$1,516	\$468	\$230	\$2,214
90 Grant	\$4,834	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$12,193	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$882	\$254	\$296	\$267	\$841	\$787	\$115	\$1,572	\$640	\$575	\$8,228

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER*															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
DELAWARE																	
87 Grant	\$796	--	--	--	\$199	\$230	\$66	\$110	\$191	\$0	(\$280)	\$274	\$4	(\$1)	\$0	\$0	\$793
88 Grant	\$946	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$196	\$41	\$357	\$178	\$0	\$36	(\$3)	\$64	\$869
89 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$350	\$358	\$242	\$949
90 Grant	\$2,126	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$5,289	--	--	--	\$199	\$230	\$66	\$110	\$387	\$41	\$76	\$452	\$4	\$385	\$354	\$306	\$2,611
WASHINGTON, DC																	
87 Grant	\$796	--	--	--	\$82	\$130	\$36	\$66	\$187	\$0	\$14	(\$258)	\$448	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$705
88 Grant	\$946	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$173	(\$9)	\$387	\$19	\$230	\$87	\$887
89 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$79	\$38	\$217	\$333
90 Grant	\$2,118	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$5,281	--	--	--	\$82	\$130	\$36	\$66	\$187	\$0	\$187	(\$267)	\$835	\$97	\$268	\$304	\$1,925
FLORIDA																	
87 Grant	\$6,075	--	--	--	\$131	\$0	\$1,166	\$131	\$1,269	\$952	(\$1,305)	\$432	\$1,348	\$40	(\$17)	\$0	\$4,146
88 Grant	\$7,246	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,823	\$1,464	(\$20)	\$193	\$21	\$5,481
89 Grant	\$11,355	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$2,359	\$2,355	\$2,163	\$6,876
90 Grant	\$17,730	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$42,406	--	--	--	\$131	\$0	\$1,166	\$131	\$1,269	\$952	(\$1,305)	\$4,255	\$2,812	\$2,379	\$2,530	\$2,184	\$16,503
GEORGIA																	
87 Grant	\$4,033	--	--	--	\$0	\$320	(\$273)	\$402	\$426	\$0	(\$2,233)	\$7	\$5,153	\$73	(\$1)	\$17	\$3,881
88 Grant	\$4,522	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$3	\$361	\$1,525	\$1,327	\$520	\$480	\$43	\$223	\$4,482
89 Grant	\$7,556	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$343	\$1,218	\$1,528	\$1,490	\$4,578
90 Grant	\$11,723	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$28,234	--	--	--	\$0	\$320	(\$273)	\$402	\$429	\$361	(\$708)	\$1,333	\$6,016	\$1,771	\$1,570	\$1,730	\$12,951
HAWAII																	
87 Grant	\$796	--	--	--	\$0	\$18	\$87	\$152	\$220	\$0	\$0	\$34	\$42	(\$2)	\$125	\$0	\$676
88 Grant	\$946	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$7	\$299	\$0	\$389	\$42	\$53	\$103	\$51	\$946
89 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$185	\$348	\$273	\$806
90 Grant	\$2,151	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$5,314	--	--	--	\$0	\$18	\$87	\$152	\$227	\$299	\$0	\$423	\$84	\$236	\$576	\$324	\$2,427
IDAHO																	
87 Grant	\$786	--	--	--	\$11	\$0	\$229	\$208	\$165	\$70	\$0	\$63	\$29	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$796
88 Grant	\$946	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$4	\$48	\$356	\$448	(\$49)	\$77	\$20	\$904
89 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$54	\$166	\$306	\$208	\$732
90 Grant	\$2,159	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$5,322	--	--	--	\$11	\$0	\$229	\$208	\$165	\$75	\$48	\$439	\$531	\$116	\$383	\$228	\$2,431
ILLINOIS																	
87 Grant	\$7,479	--	--	--	\$2,306	\$269	\$3,505	\$1,059	\$67	\$0	\$19	\$67	(\$2)	(\$2)	\$144	(\$15)	\$7,416
88 Grant	\$8,815	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$1,104	\$794	\$4,924	\$1,159	\$576	(\$1)	(\$1,175)	\$1,329	\$8,709
89 Grant	\$13,047	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$171	\$6,235	\$2,465	\$1,707	\$10,578
90 Grant	\$19,594	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$48,935	--	--	--	\$2,306	\$269	\$3,505	\$1,059	\$1,171	\$794	\$4,943	\$1,225	\$745	\$6,231	\$1,434	\$3,021	\$26,703

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER*															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
INDIANA																	
87 Grant	\$3,741	—	—	—	\$228	\$0	\$1,984	\$557	\$368	\$71	\$304	\$4	\$132	\$0	\$10	\$14	\$3,670
88 Grant	\$4,395	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1,613	\$610	\$227	\$247	\$932	\$218	\$178	\$238	\$4,264
89 Grant	\$6,482	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$954	\$1,454	\$1,105	\$744	\$4,258
90 Grant	\$9,261	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$23,879	—	—	—	\$228	\$0	\$1,984	\$557	\$1,982	\$681	\$531	\$251	\$2,018	\$1,672	\$1,293	\$996	\$12,191
IOWA																	
87 Grant	\$1,910	—	—	—	\$1,053	\$64	\$241	\$92	\$378	\$17	\$0	\$65	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$1,910
88 Grant	\$2,220	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1,407	\$117	\$170	\$234	\$123	\$43	\$15	\$99	\$2,207
89 Grant	\$3,217	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1,908	\$295	\$248	\$229	\$2,681
90 Grant	\$4,565	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$11,911	—	—	—	\$1,053	\$64	\$241	\$92	\$1,785	\$134	\$170	\$298	\$2,031	\$338	\$263	\$328	\$6,797
KANSAS																	
87 Grant	\$1,536	—	—	—	\$466	\$0	\$222	\$294	\$0	\$18	\$0	\$528	\$9	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$1,536
88 Grant	\$1,814	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$912	\$277	\$437	\$146	\$27	\$1,799
89 Grant	\$2,749	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$412	\$16	\$1,188	\$1,616
90 Grant	\$3,978	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$10,076	—	—	—	\$466	\$0	\$222	\$294	\$0	\$18	\$0	\$1,440	\$285	\$849	\$161	\$1,216	\$4,951
KENTUCKY																	
87 Grant	\$2,554	—	—	—	\$274	\$136	\$1,428	\$627	\$7	\$36	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$46	\$0	\$2,554
88 Grant	\$3,016	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$43	\$333	\$335	\$1,301	\$155	\$513	\$184	\$29	\$2,894
89 Grant	\$4,429	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$136	\$247	\$1,118	\$888	\$2,389
90 Grant	\$6,790	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$16,789	—	—	—	\$274	\$136	\$1,428	\$627	\$50	\$369	\$335	\$1,302	\$292	\$760	\$1,347	\$917	\$7,837
LOUISIANA																	
87 Grant	\$3,226	—	—	—	\$45	\$576	\$509	\$909	\$466	\$87	\$64	\$98	\$38	\$0	\$15	\$88	\$2,895
88 Grant	\$3,780	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$43	\$425	\$942	\$1,282	\$517	\$0	\$302	\$142	\$3,653
89 Grant	\$5,581	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$53	\$0	\$2,050	\$1,592	\$3,696
90 Grant	\$8,692	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$21,279	—	—	—	\$45	\$576	\$509	\$909	\$509	\$512	\$1,006	\$1,380	\$608	\$0	\$2,387	\$1,822	\$10,243
MAINE																	
87 Grant	\$796	—	—	—	\$45	\$0	\$285	\$244	\$0	\$20	\$27	\$23	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$643
88 Grant	\$946	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$42	\$437	\$326	\$21	\$47	\$0	\$6	\$880
89 Grant	\$1,421	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$139	\$308	\$192	\$531	\$1,171
90 Grant	\$2,158	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$5,321	—	—	—	\$45	\$0	\$285	\$244	\$0	\$63	\$464	\$349	\$160	\$356	\$192	\$538	\$2,694
MARYLAND																	
87 Grant	\$2,659	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$597	\$246	\$0	\$655	\$647	\$199	\$3	(\$5)	\$14	\$125	\$2,482
88 Grant	\$3,181	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$567	\$234	\$167	\$395	\$490	\$452	\$2,305
89 Grant	\$4,753	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$56	\$227	\$875	\$1,158
90 Grant	\$7,256	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$17,849	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$597	\$246	\$0	\$655	\$1,215	\$433	\$170	\$446	\$731	\$1,451	\$5,945

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER*															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
MASSACHUSETTS																	
87 Grant	\$3,402	---	---	---	\$300	\$187	\$1,979	\$161	\$699	\$76	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,402
88 Grant	\$3,933	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$1,500	\$24	\$722	\$745	\$669	\$77	\$165	\$0	\$3,903
89 Grant	\$5,683	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$1,050	\$158	\$1,767	\$1,970	\$4,945
90 Grant	\$8,719	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0
Totals	\$21,737	---	---	---	\$300	\$187	\$1,979	\$161	\$2,199	\$101	\$722	\$745	\$1,719	\$235	\$1,932	\$1,970	\$12,250
MICHIGAN																	
87 Grant	\$6,180	---	---	---	\$0	\$2	\$2,176	\$911	\$0	\$2,516	\$210	\$171	\$40	\$112	(\$9)	\$0	\$6,130
88 Grant	\$7,343	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0	\$898	\$1,639	\$1,683	\$1,244	\$612	\$887	\$240	\$7,203
89 Grant	\$10,773	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0	\$1,197	\$1,798	\$1,125	\$4,119
90 Grant	\$16,208	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0
Totals	\$40,504	---	---	---	\$0	\$2	\$2,176	\$911	\$0	\$3,415	\$1,849	\$1,855	\$1,284	\$1,921	\$2,675	\$1,365	\$17,453
MINNESOTA																	
87 Grant	\$2,690	---	---	---	\$42	\$240	\$228	\$329	\$560	\$410	\$228	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,336
88 Grant	\$3,169	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$60	\$154	\$324	\$336	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,225	\$2,099
89 Grant	\$4,729	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0	\$409	\$82	\$588	\$1,078
90 Grant	\$6,902	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0
Totals	\$17,489	---	---	---	\$42	\$240	\$228	\$329	\$619	\$564	\$552	\$636	\$0	\$409	\$82	\$1,813	\$5,513
MISSISSIPPI																	
87 Grant	\$1,954	---	---	---	\$735	\$24	\$660	\$146	\$124	\$97	\$29	\$65	\$47	\$13	\$6	\$0	\$1,945
88 Grant	\$2,340	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0	\$1,158	\$150	\$581	\$157	\$152	\$31	\$21	\$2,251
89 Grant	\$3,481	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0	\$1,060	\$1,120	\$654	\$2,834
90 Grant	\$5,714	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0
Totals	\$13,489	---	---	---	\$735	\$24	\$660	\$146	\$124	\$1,255	\$179	\$646	\$204	\$1,226	\$1,156	\$675	\$7,029
MISSOURI																	
87 Grant	\$3,188	---	---	---	\$264	\$479	\$621	\$291	\$0	\$1,125	(\$9)	\$166	\$123	\$16	(\$37)	\$140	\$3,179
88 Grant	\$3,764	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0	\$81	\$607	\$207	\$542	\$799	\$505	\$288	\$3,029
89 Grant	\$5,641	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$8	\$1,156	\$271	\$261	\$1,695
90 Grant	\$8,332	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0
Totals	\$20,926	---	---	---	\$264	\$479	\$621	\$291	\$0	\$1,207	\$597	\$373	\$674	\$1,971	\$738	\$689	\$7,904
MONTANA																	
87 Grant	\$796	---	---	---	\$145	\$149	\$126	\$199	\$123	\$12	\$3	\$8	\$24	\$7	\$0	\$0	\$795
88 Grant	\$946	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$38	\$266	\$177	\$287	\$71	\$27	\$20	\$19	\$905
89 Grant	\$1,421	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$232	\$219	\$480	\$339	\$1,272
90 Grant	\$2,138	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0
Totals	\$5,301	---	---	---	\$145	\$149	\$126	\$199	\$161	\$278	\$180	\$295	\$327	\$253	\$501	\$358	\$2,972
NEBRASKA																	
87 Grant	\$1,058	---	---	---	\$77	\$0	\$327	\$0	\$0	\$217	\$0	\$346	\$91	\$0	(\$7)	\$0	\$1,052
88 Grant	\$1,218	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0	\$8	\$0	\$382	\$173	\$0	\$546	\$11	\$1,120
89 Grant	\$1,812	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$6	\$161	\$415	\$233	\$815
90 Grant	\$2,640	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	\$0
Totals	\$6,729	---	---	---	\$77	\$0	\$327	\$0	\$0	\$226	\$0	\$728	\$270	\$161	\$955	\$243	\$2,987

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER*															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
NEVADA																	
87 Grant	\$796	—	—	—	\$2	\$100	\$136	\$168	\$69	\$0	\$255	\$81	\$0	(\$17)	\$0	(\$1)	\$774
88 Grant	\$946	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$27	\$0	\$220	\$312	\$95	\$47	\$58	\$177	\$935
89 Grant	\$1,421	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$22	\$179	\$375	\$570	\$1,148
90 Grant	\$2,147	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$5,310	—	—	—	\$2	\$100	\$136	\$168	\$96	\$0	\$475	\$373	\$117	\$209	\$433	\$746	\$2,855
NEW HAMPSHIRE																	
87 Grant	\$796	—	—	—	\$0	\$1	\$20	\$261	\$255	\$100	\$0	\$123	\$35	(\$2)	\$0	\$0	\$792
88 Grant	\$946	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$491	\$152	\$126	\$94	\$47	\$912
89 Grant	\$1,421	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1	\$25	\$259	\$452	\$739
90 Grant	\$2,150	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$5,313	—	—	—	\$0	\$1	\$20	\$261	\$255	\$101	\$0	\$614	\$189	\$149	\$354	\$500	\$2,443
NEW JERSEY																	
87 Grant	\$4,640	—	—	—	\$2,528	\$177	\$156	\$743	\$0	\$738	\$174	\$41	\$0	(\$37)	(\$15)	(\$3)	\$4,501
88 Grant	\$5,417	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$3,065	\$143	\$492	\$0	\$69	\$201	\$38	\$4,008
89 Grant	\$7,910	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$4,579	\$391	\$173	\$5,142
90 Grant	\$12,198	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$30,165	—	—	—	\$2,528	\$177	\$156	\$743	\$0	\$3,803	\$317	\$533	\$0	\$4,611	\$577	\$208	\$13,651
NEW MEXICO																	
87 Grant	\$1,024	—	—	—	\$15	\$152	\$166	\$337	\$0	\$158	\$11	\$39	\$2	\$0	\$130	\$0	\$1,010
88 Grant	\$1,222	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$345	\$42	\$443	\$104	\$0	\$186	\$97	\$1,218
89 Grant	\$1,872	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$69	\$301	\$272	\$566	\$1,209
90 Grant	\$2,944	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$7,063	—	—	—	\$15	\$152	\$166	\$337	\$0	\$503	\$53	\$483	\$175	\$301	\$589	\$663	\$3,436
NEW YORK																	
87 Grant	\$10,874	—	—	—	\$0	\$1	\$203	\$425	\$384	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,735	\$0	\$7,827	\$32	\$10,608
88 Grant	\$12,804	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$179	\$563	\$835	\$0	\$0	\$7,086	\$1,293	\$9,958
89 Grant	\$18,683	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$715	\$4,211	\$2,031	\$6,958
90 Grant	\$30,820	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$73,181	—	—	—	\$0	\$1	\$203	\$425	\$384	\$179	\$563	\$835	\$1,735	\$715	\$19,124	\$3,356	\$27,522
NORTH CAROLINA																	
87 Grant	\$4,016	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,069	\$1,093	\$399	\$709	\$334	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$395	\$0	(\$0)	\$3,998
88 Grant	\$4,793	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$91	\$0	\$1,259	\$179	\$3,153	\$0	\$60	\$4,741
89 Grant	\$7,136	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$10	\$1,654	\$1,123	\$2,838	\$5,625
90 Grant	\$10,702	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$26,647	—	—	—	\$0	\$1,069	\$1,093	\$399	\$709	\$425	\$0	\$1,259	\$190	\$5,202	\$1,123	\$2,897	\$14,365
NORTH DAKOTA																	
87 Grant	\$796	—	—	—	\$0	\$55	\$66	\$118	\$301	\$122	\$56	\$77	(\$72)	\$18	\$2	\$10	\$752
88 Grant	\$946	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$39	\$78	\$1	\$361	\$107	\$71	\$20	\$678
89 Grant	\$1,421	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$133	\$254	\$387
90 Grant	\$2,130	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$5,293	—	—	—	\$0	\$55	\$66	\$118	\$301	\$161	\$134	\$79	\$289	\$124	\$207	\$284	\$1,818

Federal Drug Grants to States

Appendix C

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER*															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
OHIO																	
87 Grant	\$7,092	--	--	--	\$3,078	\$0	\$1,628	\$0	\$1,131	\$0	\$392	\$95	\$655	\$1	\$3	\$0	\$6,979
88 Grant	\$8,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$1,251	\$0	\$3,834	\$386	\$1,833	\$202	\$366	\$78	\$7,949
89 Grant	\$12,381	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$4,290	\$901	\$1,937	\$1,690	\$8,818
90 Grant	\$16,314	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$44,208	--	--	--	\$3,078	\$0	\$1,628	\$0	\$2,382	\$0	\$4,226	\$481	\$6,778	\$1,104	\$2,306	\$1,767	\$23,746
OKLAHOMA																	
87 Grant	\$2,171	--	--	--	\$168	\$407	\$770	\$223	\$154	\$254	\$73	\$82	\$5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,135
88 Grant	\$2,525	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$69	\$434	\$427	\$595	\$214	\$483	\$103	\$32	\$2,357
89 Grant	\$3,811	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$68	\$694	\$873	\$545	\$2,180
90 Grant	\$5,519	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$14,028	--	--	--	\$168	\$407	\$770	\$223	\$223	\$688	\$500	\$677	\$288	\$1,177	\$976	\$577	\$6,672
OREGON																	
87 Grant	\$1,709	--	--	--	\$6	\$29	\$563	\$274	\$668	\$91	\$12	\$7	\$53	\$0	\$7	\$0	\$1,709
88 Grant	\$1,995	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$190	\$120	\$738	\$689	\$253	(\$5)	\$8	(\$2)	\$1,891
89 Grant	\$2,977	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$430	\$292	\$679	\$663	\$2,064
90 Grant	\$4,345	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$11,025	--	--	--	\$6	\$29	\$563	\$274	\$858	\$211	\$750	\$696	\$735	\$287	\$693	\$661	\$5,765
PENNSYLVANIA																	
87 Grant	\$7,231	--	--	--	\$0	\$121	\$2,317	\$995	\$422	\$0	\$2,139	(\$128)	\$810	\$288	\$162	(\$4)	\$7,122
88 Grant	\$8,433	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$14	\$0	\$2,869	\$1,317	\$983	\$548	\$504	\$1,063	\$7,296
89 Grant	\$12,411	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$9	\$127	\$4,357	\$2,908	\$7,399
90 Grant	\$19,031	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$47,106	--	--	--	\$0	\$121	\$2,317	\$995	\$439	\$0	\$5,008	\$1,189	\$1,801	\$962	\$5,023	\$3,965	\$21,817
RHODE ISLAND																	
87 Grant	\$796	--	--	--	\$3	\$11	\$208	\$260	\$97	\$140	\$0	\$77	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$796
88 Grant	\$946	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$8	\$106	\$419	\$219	\$194	\$0	\$0	\$946
89 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$7	\$322	\$351	\$681
90 Grant	\$2,140	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$5,303	--	--	--	\$3	\$11	\$208	\$260	\$97	\$149	\$106	\$495	\$219	\$202	\$322	\$351	\$2,422
SOUTH CAROLINA																	
87 Grant	\$2,289	--	--	--	\$5	\$288	\$239	\$289	\$203	\$934	\$324	(\$10)	\$17	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,289
88 Grant	\$2,722	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$3	\$465	\$514	\$1,061	\$519	\$25	\$0	\$2,578
89 Grant	\$4,111	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$588	\$573	\$704	\$1,846
90 Grant	\$6,925	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$15,448	--	--	--	\$5	\$288	\$239	\$289	\$203	\$937	\$779	\$504	\$1,078	\$1,087	\$598	\$705	\$6,713
SOUTH DAKOTA																	
87 Grant	\$796	--	--	--	\$10	\$446	\$49	\$81	\$55	\$61	\$38	\$56	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$796
88 Grant	\$946	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$56	\$519	\$20	\$25	\$12	\$28	\$3	\$283	\$946
89 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$29	\$853	\$25	\$70	\$977
90 Grant	\$2,133	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$5,295	--	--	--	\$10	\$446	\$49	\$81	\$111	\$580	\$58	\$81	\$41	\$881	\$27	\$353	\$2,718

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER*															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
TENNESSEE																	
87 Grant	\$3,107	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$724	\$1,210	\$0	\$610	\$178	\$167	\$35	\$44	\$107	\$0	\$3,077
88 Grant	\$3,708	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$1,182	\$1,064	\$261	\$34	\$255	\$119	\$2,914
89 Grant	\$5,539	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$412	\$2,026	\$1,622	\$4,061
90 Grant	\$8,457	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$20,811	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$724	\$1,210	\$0	\$610	\$1,360	\$1,231	\$296	\$490	\$2,389	\$1,742	\$10,052
TEXAS																	
87 Grant	\$11,111	--	--	--	\$109	\$1,051	\$2,713	\$1,990	\$1,926	\$1,248	\$39	\$1,006	\$349	\$188	\$34	\$37	\$10,690
88 Grant	\$13,512	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$73	\$1,770	\$894	\$4,952	\$2,137	\$1,109	\$585	\$841	\$12,361
89 Grant	\$20,897	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$268	\$1,897	\$5,596	\$4,309	\$12,071
90 Grant	\$31,187	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$76,708	--	--	--	\$109	\$1,051	\$2,713	\$1,990	\$1,999	\$3,018	\$933	\$5,958	\$2,754	\$3,194	\$6,216	\$5,187	\$35,123
UTAH																	
87 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	\$0	\$443	\$317	\$197	\$18	\$72	\$190	\$79	\$0	\$0	\$46	\$0	\$1,363
88 Grant	\$1,689	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$273	\$191	\$186	\$0	\$228	\$371	\$1,250
89 Grant	\$2,671	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$15	\$185	\$97	\$297
90 Grant	\$3,711	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$9,492	--	--	--	\$0	\$443	\$317	\$197	\$18	\$72	\$463	\$270	\$186	\$15	\$460	\$468	\$2,909
VERMONT																	
87 Grant	\$796	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$493	(\$379)	\$70	\$80	\$474	\$7	\$34	\$2	\$0	\$787
88 Grant	\$946	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$9	\$8	\$0	\$168	\$82	\$324	\$218	\$47	\$858
89 Grant	\$1,421	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$9	\$20	\$122	\$456	\$606
90 Grant	\$2,121	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$5,284	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$493	(\$370)	\$78	\$80	\$642	\$98	\$379	\$342	\$503	\$2,251
VIRGINIA																	
87 Grant	\$3,517	--	--	--	\$25	\$98	\$254	\$671	\$0	\$962	\$870	\$313	\$237	\$6	\$14	\$63	\$3,514
88 Grant	\$4,138	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$413	\$808	\$20	\$1,222	\$535	\$309	\$3,308
89 Grant	\$6,230	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$80	\$813	\$987	\$1,880
90 Grant	\$9,312	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$23,197	--	--	--	\$25	\$98	\$254	\$671	\$0	\$962	\$1,283	\$1,121	\$257	\$1,309	\$1,363	\$1,359	\$8,702
WASHINGTON																	
87 Grant	\$2,764	--	--	--	\$459	\$38	\$1,334	\$167	\$126	\$121	\$86	\$213	\$96	\$99	\$9	\$0	\$2,746
88 Grant	\$3,269	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$949	\$56	\$1,168	\$492	\$220	\$2,895
89 Grant	\$4,963	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$575	\$704	\$1,022	\$2,301
90 Grant	\$7,237	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$18,234	--	--	--	\$459	\$38	\$1,334	\$167	\$126	\$121	\$96	\$1,162	\$152	\$1,842	\$1,204	\$1,243	\$7,942
WEST VIRGINIA																	
87 Grant	\$1,340	--	--	--	\$53	\$182	\$160	\$192	\$54	\$167	\$238	\$128	\$87	\$71	\$1	(\$1)	\$1,331
88 Grant	\$1,560	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$324	\$180	\$279	\$136	\$160	\$254	\$1,333
89 Grant	\$2,239	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$19	\$210	\$235	\$383	\$846
90 Grant	\$3,425	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	\$0
Totals	\$8,564	--	--	--	\$53	\$182	\$160	\$192	\$54	\$167	\$563	\$308	\$385	\$416	\$396	\$636	\$3,511

EDUCATION DRUG GRANTS TO STATES: QUARTERLY OUTLAYS FOR EACH STATE AND GRANT AWARD

STATE AND YEAR OF GRANT AWARD	AWARD AMOUNT	ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS															CUMULATIVE TO DATE
		STATE REPORTED EXPENDITURES, BY FISCAL YEAR AND QUARTER*															
		87:1	87:2	87:3	87:4	88:1	88:2	88:3	88:4	89:1	89:2	89:3	89:4	90:1	90:2	90:3	
WISCONSIN																	
87 Grant	\$3,117	—	—	—	\$4	\$264	\$382	\$545	\$854	\$0	\$0	\$985	\$68	\$0	\$15	\$0	\$3,117
88 Grant	\$3,688	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$68	\$0	\$0	\$906	\$962	\$526	\$809	\$232	\$3,502
89 Grant	\$5,479	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1	\$89	\$353	\$1,171	\$1,615
90 Grant	\$8,006	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$20,290	—	—	—	\$4	\$264	\$382	\$545	\$921	\$0	\$0	\$1,891	\$1,030	\$615	\$1,177	\$1,403	\$8,233
WYOMING																	
87 Grant	\$796	—	—	—	\$124	\$71	\$67	\$96	\$29	\$83	(\$106)	\$27	\$162	(\$1)	\$0	\$0	\$551
88 Grant	\$946	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$16	\$98	\$87	\$141	\$162	\$169	\$23	\$37	\$733
89 Grant	\$1,421	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$53	\$210	\$79	\$282	\$623
90 Grant	\$2,121	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$5,284	—	—	—	\$124	\$71	\$67	\$96	\$45	\$181	(\$19)	\$168	\$377	\$377	\$103	\$319	\$1,907
PUERTO RICO																	
87 Grant	\$2,986	—	—	—	\$550	\$0	\$1,858	\$121	(\$0)	(\$55)	\$367	\$34	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,877
88 Grant	\$3,540	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$0	\$1,860	\$165	\$0	\$161	\$510	\$216	\$2,913
89 Grant	\$5,283	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$2,623	(\$90)	\$2,533
90 Grant	\$9,244	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$21,053	—	—	—	\$550	\$0	\$1,858	\$121	(\$0)	(\$55)	\$2,227	\$199	\$0	\$161	\$3,133	\$126	\$8,323
TERRITORIES																	
87 Grant	\$1,944	—	—	—	\$0	\$43	\$32	\$97	\$260	(\$5)	\$216	\$341	\$320	\$29	\$52	\$29	\$1,414
88 Grant	\$2,298	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$5	\$60	\$245	\$386	\$155	\$194	\$170	\$1,215
89 Grant	\$3,475	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0	\$49	\$42	\$165	\$254
90 Grant	\$887	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$8,604	—	—	—	\$0	\$43	\$32	\$97	\$260	\$0	\$276	\$586	\$706	\$233	\$288	\$362	\$2,883
TOTAL **																	
87 Grant	\$161,045	—	—	—	\$13,673	\$10,037	\$44,639	\$19,579	\$14,021	\$13,778	\$9,677	\$8,775	\$9,768	\$1,898	\$8,863	\$517	\$155,223
88 Grant	\$191,480	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$8,045	\$15,338	\$42,924	\$35,925	\$19,760	\$16,006	\$13,137	\$18,668	\$169,804
89 Grant	\$287,730	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$12,070	\$37,469	\$66,014	\$46,644	\$162,197
90 Grant	\$431,507	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$0
Totals	\$1,071,763	—	—	—	\$13,673	\$10,037	\$44,639	\$19,579	\$22,066	\$29,117	\$52,601	\$44,700	\$41,596	\$55,373	\$88,015	\$65,829	\$487,224

* FY 1990 awards displayed in this table reflect awards made to date. They are less than total budget authority for Education Grants shown in the narrative table.

** These numbers are derived directly from cumulative expenditure reports rather than from totalling the expenditures contained within these columns. Individual columns may not add to these totals due to anomalies in the State data.

Appendix D

Drug Grants by State, 1989-1991

FEDERAL DRUG GRANTS TO STATES

\$ Millions

STATE	JUSTICE			HHS **			EDUCATION			TOTAL			% Change
	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91*	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91*	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91*	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91*	89-91
Alabama	2.0	6.6	7.0	3.2	6.0	6.2	4.9	7.7	8.9	10.2	20.3	22.1	117%
Alaska	0.7	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	2.1	2.4	3.3	5.1	5.4	63%
Arizona	1.8	5.8	6.2	4.1	6.7	6.9	3.8	5.8	6.5	9.7	18.2	19.6	103%
Arkansas	1.4	4.3	4.5	1.7	2.4	2.4	2.9	4.5	5.1	5.9	11.1	12.0	103%
California	10.8	39.7	43.2	33.9	60.4	65.4	30.0	45.8	51.9	74.7	145.9	160.5	115%
Colorado	1.7	5.5	5.9	3.8	6.5	7.0	3.6	5.2	5.9	9.2	17.3	18.8	104%
Connecticut	1.7	5.4	5.8	4.1	6.7	6.9	3.3	4.8	5.5	9.1	17.0	18.1	100%
Delaware	0.7	1.9	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.6	1.4	2.1	2.4	3.2	5.5	6.1	90%
District of Columbia	0.7	1.8	1.9	1.7	2.3	2.4	1.4	2.1	2.4	3.8	6.3	6.7	77%
Florida	5.0	17.8	19.4	12.3	22.6	23.9	11.4	17.7	20.2	28.6	58.2	63.5	122%
Georgia	2.8	9.7	10.4	4.4	8.4	8.9	7.6	11.7	13.4	14.8	29.8	32.6	121%
Hawaii	0.9	2.5	2.7	1.3	2.2	2.3	1.4	2.2	2.4	3.6	6.8	7.4	105%
Idaho	0.9	2.4	2.5	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.4	2.2	2.5	3.2	5.5	6.1	90%
Illinois	4.8	16.9	17.9	11.1	22.1	24.0	13.0	19.6	22.3	29.0	58.5	64.3	122%
Indiana	2.6	8.6	9.2	3.6	7.2	7.3	6.5	9.3	10.4	12.6	25.1	26.9	113%
Iowa	1.6	4.9	5.2	2.4	3.9	4.3	3.2	4.6	5.1	7.2	13.3	14.6	104%
Kansas	1.4	4.4	4.7	1.8	2.8	3.0	2.7	4.0	4.5	5.9	11.2	12.2	105%
Kentucky	1.9	6.1	6.5	3.0	5.2	5.6	4.4	6.8	7.8	9.3	18.1	19.8	113%
Louisiana	2.2	7.0	7.4	4.7	7.8	8.8	5.6	8.7	10.0	12.4	23.5	26.2	111%
Maine	0.9	2.6	2.8	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2.2	2.5	3.8	6.2	6.7	76%
Maryland	2.2	7.3	7.9	5.8	10.4	11.3	4.8	7.3	8.2	12.7	25.0	27.4	115%
Massachusetts	2.7	9.0	9.6	7.4	12.7	13.0	5.7	8.7	10.0	15.8	30.5	32.6	107%
Michigan	3.9	13.6	14.5	11.1	19.1	20.4	10.8	16.2	18.4	25.8	48.9	53.3	107%
Minnesota	2.1	6.9	7.4	4.0	7.0	7.6	4.7	6.9	7.8	10.8	20.7	22.7	111%
Mississippi	1.5	4.6	4.9	1.7	2.3	2.3	3.5	5.7	6.6	6.7	12.6	13.8	107%
Missouri	2.4	8.0	8.5	4.3	8.0	8.5	5.6	8.3	9.4	12.4	24.3	26.4	114%
Montana	0.8	2.1	2.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	2.1	2.4	3.2	5.2	5.7	77%
Nebraska	1.1	3.2	3.4	1.4	2.2	2.3	1.8	2.6	3.0	4.3	8.0	8.7	100%
Nevada	0.9	2.4	2.7	1.3	2.1	2.1	1.4	2.1	2.4	3.6	6.6	7.2	102%
New Hampshire	0.9	2.5	2.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	2.2	2.4	3.3	5.6	6.1	85%
New Jersey	3.4	11.5	12.3	9.7	17.1	17.7	7.9	12.2	14.0	21.0	40.8	43.9	109%
New Mexico	1.1	3.0	3.3	2.0	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.9	3.4	4.9	8.1	8.7	77%
New York	7.1	25.5	27.1	27.9	44.2	46.7	18.7	30.8	35.8	53.7	100.5	109.6	104%
North Carolina	2.9	9.9	10.6	4.2	7.7	8.0	7.1	10.7	12.1	14.3	28.2	30.7	116%
North Dakota	0.8	1.9	2.0	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.4	2.1	2.4	2.9	4.8	5.3	87%

FEDERAL DRUG GRANTS TO STATES

\$ Millions

STATE	JUSTICE			HHS **			EDUCATION			TOTAL			% Change 89-91
	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91*	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91*	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91*	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91*	
Ohio	4.5	15.8	16.9	9.2	18.1	19.2	12.4	16.3	20.3	26.1	50.2	56.4	116%
Oklahoma	1.7	5.4	5.7	2.1	3.9	4.1	3.8	5.5	6.2	7.6	14.8	16.0	110%
Oregon	1.5	4.8	5.1	2.9	4.8	5.1	3.0	4.3	4.9	7.4	14.0	15.1	104%
Pennsylvania	4.9	17.4	18.5	12.5	22.2	23.4	12.4	19.0	21.7	29.8	58.6	63.6	113%
Rhode Island	0.9	2.3	2.5	1.6	2.4	2.5	1.4	2.1	2.4	3.9	6.9	7.4	93%
South Carolina	1.8	5.7	6.1	2.5	4.6	4.9	4.1	6.3	7.2	8.4	16.7	18.3	118%
South Dakota	0.8	2.0	2.1	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.4	2.1	2.4	3.1	5.0	5.5	76%
Tennessee	2.3	7.7	8.2	3.3	6.7	7.1	5.5	8.5	9.6	11.1	22.8	25.0	124%
Texas	6.7	24.0	25.7	15.1	27.9	31.2	20.9	31.2	35.3	42.8	83.1	92.2	115%
Utah	1.1	3.3	3.5	2.0	3.4	3.7	2.7	3.7	4.1	5.8	10.4	11.3	96%
Vermont	0.7	1.7	1.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	2.1	2.4	3.1	4.8	5.3	72%
Virginia	2.7	9.2	9.9	5.5	10.1	10.8	6.2	9.3	10.6	14.4	28.6	31.2	117%
Washington	2.2	7.3	8.0	4.7	8.4	9.0	5.0	7.2	8.1	11.8	23.0	25.1	112%
West Virginia	1.2	3.6	3.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	2.2	3.4	3.9	5.1	8.7	9.5	86%
Wisconsin	2.3	7.6	8.1	5.0	8.6	9.4	5.5	8.0	9.0	12.8	24.2	26.5	108%
Wyoming	0.7	1.6	1.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.4	2.1	2.4	2.6	4.2	4.7	80%
Puerto Rico	1.7	5.5	5.8	3.3	5.9	6.3	5.3	9.2	10.8	10.4	20.6	23.0	122%
Virgin Islands	0.5	1.1	1.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.1	2.7	3.6	75%
Guam	0.3	1.2	1.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.3	1.3	2.1	1.7	2.6	3.6	106%
Other Insular Areas	0.3	1.1	1.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.9	1.4	1.4	2.4	3.0	118%
HHS plug	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.6	29.2	29.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.6	29.2	29.6	44%
TOTAL	118.8	395.1	423.0	280.0	477.0	507.0	287.8	434.2	497.7	686.6	1,306.3	1,427.7	108%

* 1991 data are preliminary estimates.

** The HHS data in this table are estimates for each State's drug abuse spending. For the HHS grant, each State has a unique percentage established for the ratio of mental health funds to substance abuse funds. Within these unique ratios, each State must spend a minimum of 35% of its substance abuse funding for drugs, but may spend up to 65% of its substance abuse funding for drugs. The drug estimates for each State equal 50% of the amount each State receives for substance abuse. The sum total of the State grants are less than the drug portion of the HHS grant since set asides for research and data collection are not included.

