

REPORTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE

UNITED STATES HELD IN

WASHINGTON, D.C.

AND

ASHEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

MARCH 12, 1991

AND

SEPTEMBER 23 - 24, 1991



ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

OF THE

UNITED STATES COURTS

1991

L. Ralph Mecham, Director

13704R

REPORTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE

UNITED STATES HELD IN

WASHINGTON, D.C.

AND

ASHEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

MARCH 12, 1991

AND

SEPTEMBER 23 - 24, 1991



JUN 15 MRS

ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

OF THE

UNITED STATES COURTS

1991

L. Ralph Mecham, Director

REPORTS

OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE

UNITED STATES

1991

137042

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this applicated material has been

granted by Public Domain/Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the equipate owner.

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 12, 1991

Table of Contents

	Page
Call of the Conference	1
Report of the Director of the Administrative	
Office of the United States Courts	4
Judicial Business of the Courts	4
Activities of the Administrative Office	5
Report of the Director of the Federal	
Judicial Center	7
Elections	8
Executive Committee	8
Resolution	8
Ethics Reform Act	9
Composition of Circuit Judicial Councils	9
Geographic Pay Increases for	
Supporting Personnel	9
Additional Bankruptcy Judgeships	10
Criminal Justice Act Compensation	10
Administrative Rulemaking	11
Miscellaneous Actions	11
Committee on the Administrative Office	12
	12
Committee on Automation and Technology	12
	12
Committee on the Administration of the Bankruptcy System	40
	12
Criteria for Additional Bankruptcy	40
	12
Committee on the Bicentennial of the	
Constitution	13
Committee on the Budget	13
Budget Decentralization	13

Committee on Codes of Conduct	14
Federal Ethics Law Reform	14
Conflict-of-Interest Rules for	
Part-time Magistrate Judges	14
Committee on Court Administration	
and Case Management	14
Civil Justice Reform Act	14
Jury Matters	15
Miscellaneous Fees	16
Committee on Court and Judicial Security	16
Off-site Security	16
Committee on Criminal Law and	
Probation Administration	16
Sentencing Commission	16
Qualification Standards for Probation and	
Pretrial Services Officers	16
Legislation to Authorize Probation and	
Pretrial Services Officers to	
Carry Firearms	17
Sentencing Institute	17
Committee on Defender Services	17
Appointments and Payments	17
Budget and Grant Requests - Federal Public	
and Community Defender Organizations	17
Criminal Justice Act Compensation	18
Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction	18
Venue in Diversity Jurisdiction and	
Federal Question Suits	18
Committee on Intercircuit Assignments	18
Committee on the International Appellate	
Judges Conference of 1990	19
Committee on the Judicial Branch	19
Judicial Survivors' Annuities System	19
Evaluation of Federal Judges	20
Committee on Judicial Ethics	20
Committee on Judicial Resources	20
Additional Court Reporters	20
Fiscal Year 1992 Budget Request for	
Supporting Personnel	20
Committee on the Administration of the	
Federal Magistrates System	20
Positions, Salaries, and Arrangements	
for United States Magistrate Judges	20
Changes in Magistrate Judge Positions	21

Committee to Review Circuit Council	
Conduct and Disability Orders	31
Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure	31
Criminal Rules	31
Evidence Rules	31
Bankruptcy Rules	32
Civil Rules	32
Procedures of the Rules Committees	32
Committee on Space and Facilities	32
United States Courts Design Guide	32
Ad Hoc Committee on Asbestos Litigation	33
Ad Hoc Committee on Cameras in the Courtroom	34
National State-Federal Judicial Council	34
Resolution	34
Funding	36
Release of Conference Action	36

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

September 23-24, 1991

Table of Contents

Page

Call of the Conference	37
Report of the Director of the Administrative Office	·
of the United States Courts	40
Judicial Business of the Courts	40
Activities of the Administrative Office	42
Report of the Director of the Federal Judicial Center	43
Elections	44
Executive Committee	45
Crime Legislation	45
Civil Justice Reform Act	45
Additional Bankruptcy Judgeships	46
Criminal Justice Act Compensation	47
	47
	47
Resolution	48
Miscellaneous Actions	49
	51
Committee on Automation and Technology	51
Access to the Courts by the Deaf Community	51
Videoconferencing – Oral Arguments	51
	52
	52
	52
Committee on Administration of the Bankruptcy System	53
	53
	53
	53
Committee on the Budget	53
	53

Committee on Codes of Conduct	54
Federal Ethics Law Reform	54
Committee on Court Administration and Case Management	54
Naturalization	54
Places of Holding Court	54
Videoconferencing Depositions/Testimony	55
Committee on Court and Judicial Security	55
Committee on Criminal Law and Probation Administration	55
International Criminal Court	55
Drug Aftercare Program	56
Mandatory Minimum Sentences	56
Committee on Defender Services	56
Criminal Justice Act Compensation	56
Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction	57
Violence Against Women Act	57
Transfer of Venue	58
Habeas Corpus	59
Removal of Resolution Trust Corporation Cases	59
National Conference on State-Federal Judicial Relationships	59
Committee on Intercircuit Assignments	59
Committee on the Judicial Branch	60
Travel Regulations for Justices and Judges	60
Committee on Judicial Ethics	61
Committee on Judicial Resources	61
Fiscal Year 1993 Budget Requests for Supporting Personnel	61
Bankruptcy Administrator Offices	62
Additional Court Reporters	62
Judiciary Salary Plan Modifications	62
Work Measurement and Staffing Formulas	63
Grade Levels of Clerks and Chief Probation/Pretrial	
Services Officers	63
Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation	64
Probation/Pretrial Services Officers' Compensation	64
Transcript Rates	65
Employee Relocation Reimbursement Policy	66
Judges' Staffs	66
Committee on Long Range Planning	66
Committee on the Administration of the Magistrate Judges System	66
	66
Access to Classified Information	67
Designation of New Full-Time Magistrate Judge Positions for	
Accelerated Funding	67
Changes in Magistrate Judge Positions	67

Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders .	71
Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure	71
Committee on Space and Facilities	-72
United States Courts Design Guide	72
Conference on Court Facilities	72
Ad Hoc Committee to Study the Relationship Between the	
Federal Judicial Center and the Administrative Office	
of the United States Courts	72
Pretermission of Terms of the Courts of Appeals	73
Funding	73
Release of Conference Action	73

Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States

March 12, 1991

Washington, D. C. 1991

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

28 U.S.C. 331

§ 331 Judicial Conference of the United States

The Chief Justice of the United States shall summon annually the chief judge of each judicial circuit, the chief judge of the Court of International Trade, and a district judge from each judicial circuit to a conference at such time and place in the United States as he may designate. He shall preside at such conference which shall be known as the Judicial Conference of the United States. Special sessions of the Conference may be called by the Chief Justice at such times and places as he may designate.

The district judge to be summoned from each judicial circuit shall be chosen by the circuit and district judges of the circuit at the annual judicial conference of the circuit held pursuant to section 333 of this title and shall serve as a member of the conference for three successive years, except that in the year following the enactment of this amended section the judges in the first, fourth, seventh, and tenth circuits shall choose a district judge to serve for one year, the judges in the second, fifth, and eighth circuits shall choose a district to serve for two years and the judges in the third, sixth, ninth, and District of Columbia circuits shall choose a district judge to serve for three years.

If the chief judge of any circuit, the chief judge of the Court of International Trade, or the district judge chosen by judges of the circuit is unable to attend, the Chief Justice may summon any other circuit or district judge from such circuit or any other judge of the Court of International Trade, as the case may be. Every judge summoned shall attend and, unless excused by the Chief Justice, shall remain throughout the sessions of the conference and acvise as to the needs of his circuit or court and as to any matters in respect of which the administration of justice in the courts of the United States may be improved.

The Conference shall make a comprehensive survey of the condition of business in the courts of the United States and prepare plans for assignment of judges to or from circuits or districts where necessary. It shall also submit suggestions and recommendations to the various courts to promote uniformity of management procedures and the expeditious conduct of court business. The Conference is authorized to exercise the authority provided in section 372(c) of this title as the Conference, or through a standing committee. If the Conference elects to establish a standing committee, it shall be appointed by the Chief Justice and all petitions for review shall be reviewed by that committee. The Conference or standing committee may hold hearings, take sworn testimony, issued subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum, and make necessary and appropriate orders in the exercise of its authority. Subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum shall be issued by the clerk of the Supreme Court or by the clerk of any court of appeals, at the direction of the Chief Justice or his designee and under the seal of the court, and shall be served in the manner provided in rule 45(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or any agency thereof. The Conference may also prescribe and modify rules for the exercise of the authority provided in section 372(c) of this title. All judicial officers and employees of the United States shall promptly carry into effect all orders of the Judicial Conference or the standing committee established pursuant to this section.

The Conference shall also carry on a continuous study of the operation and effect of the general rules of practice and procedure now or hereafter in use as prescribed by the Supreme Court for the other courts of the United States pursuant to law. Such changes in and additions to those rules as the Conference may deem desirable to promote simplicity in procedure, fairness in administration, the just determination of litigation, and the elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay shall be recommended by the Conference from time to time to the Supreme Court for its consideration and adoption, modification or rejection, in accordance with law.

The Attorney General shall, upon request of the Chief Justice, report to such Conference on matters relating to the business of the several courts of the United States, with particular reference to cases to which the United States is a party.

The Chief Justice shall submit to Congress an annual report of the proceedings of the Judicial Conference and its recommendations for legislation.

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 12, 1991

The Judicial Conference of the United States convened on March 12, 1991, pursuant to the call of the Chief Justice of the United States issued under 28 U.S.C. § 331. The Chief Justice presided, and the following members of the Conference were present:

First Circuit:

Chief Judge Stephen G. Breyer Chief Judge Frank H. Freedman, District of Massachusetts

Second Circuit:

Chief Judge James L. Oakes Chief Judge Charles L. Brieant, Southern District of New York

Third Circuit:

Chief Judge Dolores K. Sloviter Chief Judge John F. Gerry, District of New Jersey

Fourth Circuit:

Chief Judge Sam J. Ervin, III Judge Frank A. Kaufman, District of Maryland Fifth Circuit:

Chief Judge Charles Clark Chief Judge Barefoot Sanders, Northern District of Texas

Sixth Circuit:

Chief Judge Gilbert S. Merritt Chief Judge Eugene E. Siler, Eastern District of Kentucky

Seventh Circuit:

Judge Richard A. Posner¹ Judge Sarah Evans Barker, Southern District of Indiana

Eighth Circuit:

Chief Judge Donald P. Lay Chief Judge Donald E. O'Brien Northern District of Iowa

Ninth Circuit:

Chief Judge J. Clifford Wallace Chief Judge William D. Browning, District of Arizona

Tenth Circuit:

Judge Monroe G. McKay² Chief Judge Earl E. O'Connor, District of Kansas

¹Designated by the Chief Justice in place of Chief Judge William J. Bauer, who was unable to attend.

²Designated by the Chief Justice in place of Chief Judge William J. Holloway, who was unable to attend.

Eleventh Circuit:

Chief Judge Gerald B. Tjoflat Judge Anthony A. Alaimo, Southern District of Georgia

District of Columbia Circuit:

Chief Judge Abner J. Mikva Chief Judge Aubrey E. Robinson, Jr., District of Columbia

Federal Circuit:

Chief Judge Helen W. Nies

Court of International Trade:

Chief Judge Edward D. Re

Circuit Judges Richard S. Arnold and Deanell Reece Tacha; Senior Circuit Judges Thomas M. Reavley and Otto R. Skopil, Jr.; District Judges Wayne E. Alley, Robert C. Broomfield, Lloyd D. George, and Robert M. Parker; and Senior District Judges Vincent L. Broderick and Walter T. McGovern attended all or some of the sessions of the Conference. Circuit Executives Vincent Flanagan (First Circuit), Steven Flanders (Second Circuit), John P. Hehman (Third Circuit), Samuel W. Phillips (Fourth Circuit), Lydia Comberrel (Fifth Circuit), James A. Higgins (Sixth Circuit), Collins T. Fitzpatrick (Seventh Circuit), June L. Boadwine (Eighth Circuit), Gregory B. Walters (Ninth Circuit), Eugene J. Murret (Tenth Circuit), Norman E. Zoller (Eleventh Circuit), and Linda Finkelstein (District of Columbia Circuit) were also present.

The Attorney General of the United States, Dick Thornburgh, and Congressman William J. Hughes, Chairman of the House Judiciary Subcommittee on Intellectual Property and Judicial Administration, addressed the Conference on matters of mutual interest to the Department of Justice, the Congress, and the Conference.

L. Ralph Mecham, Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, attended the sessions of the Conference, as did James E. Macklin, Jr., Deputy Director; William R. Burchill, Jr., General Counsel; Arthur White, Deputy Legislative and Public Affairs Officer; Karen K. Siegel, Chief, and Wendy Jennis, Deputy Chief, Office of the Judicial Conference Secretariat; and David A. Sellers, Public Information Officer. Judge William W Schwarzer and Charles W. Nihan, Director and Deputy Director of the Federal Judicial Center, also attended the sessions of the Conference, as did Lawrence H. Averill, Jr., Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice, and Judicial Fellows Anthony Champagne, Robert Peck, and Mary Radford.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, L. Ralph Mecham, reported to the Judicial Conference on the activities of the agency during 1990. He also submitted to the Conference a brief report summarizing the workload of the federal judiciary during calendar year 1990.

JUDICIAL BUSINESS OF THE COURTS

Mr. Mecham reported that during the year ended December 31, 1990, the number of cases appealed to the 12 regional courts of appeals rose two percent to 40,982, due primarily to an 11 percent increase in criminal appeals from the U.S. district courts. Drug-related appeals, which accounted for 58 percent of all criminal appeals filed, increased nearly 15 percent over 1989. Dispositions increased almost six percent this year, to an all-time high of 39,825, but remained below the level of filings, resulting in a nearly four percent increase in the pending caseload by year's end. Filings in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit rose five percent to 1,474, primarily due to a 22 percent increase in appeals from the Merit Systems Protection Board. Terminations were virtually unchanged this year at 1,418 cases. Since filings outnumbered terminations, the pending caseload rose by almost nine percent. Much of this increase was due to appeals from the Court of Veterans Appeals and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

In the U.S. district courts, the number of civil filings declined again in 1990 to 211,626 cases, a drop of 13,703 (six percent) from 1989. The five percent decline in total district court filings during the past year resulted primarily from a continuing decline in two types of civil suits involving federal benefits: the recovery of defaulted student loans and the recovery of overpayment of veterans' benefits. (Actions to recover on defaulted student loans fell 47 percent while actions to recover overpayment of veterans' benefits decreased 34 percent.) In addition, actions against the U.S. involving disallowance of Social Security benefits have likewise continued to retreat from highs established in the mid-1980s, declining 23 percent between 1989 and 1990. Another major factor which contributed to the decline in civil filings was the 1989 legislation which increased the jurisdictional amount in diversity cases from \$10,000 to \$50,000. In 1990, diversity cases declined 14 percent.

The drop in 1990 civil filings was paralleled by a decline in terminations. The number of dispositions fell six percent to 212,497, slightly outpacing filings. This resulted in a drop of less than one percent in the pending caseload.

Criminal filings rose one percent in 1990, from 47,779 in 1989 to 48,250 in 1990. Over the last five years, criminal filings have grown by 13 percent. Drug cases continue to represent a large portion of total criminal filings, comprising 26 percent. Most significant, however, is that drug filings decreased five percent in 1990, the first decline since 1979. Much of the decrease is due to a 43 percent decline in drug filings rose 20 percent, and immigration cases increased 15 percent. Dispositions of criminal cases increased by only one percent this year to 44,756, up from 44,068 cases last year. With filings still exceeding terminations, the pending caseload rose nearly 11 percent. On December 31, 1990, there were 36,886 pending criminal cases involving 55,397 defendants.

Bankruptcy filings continued to increase to record levels in 1990, up 15 percent to 782,960 cases. The increase was primarily in non-business cases, up 16 percent over last year and comprising 92 percent of the total filings. While dispositions were up 11 percent to 658,889, the pending caseload rose 14 percent to an all-time high of 1,033,230 as of December 31, 1990.

Mr. Mecham also reported that as of March 12, 1991, there were 23 vacancies among the 179 judgeship positions authorized for the courts of appeals, 11 of which were in new positions created December 1, 1990. There were 120 vacancies in the 649 positions authorized for the United States district courts, 74 of which were in new positions. There was one vacancy on the Court of International Trade.

ACTIVITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

Mr. Mecham reported that the size of the Administrative Office staff declined steadily relative to the growth of the judiciary during the 1980s, and this trend is continuing in the 1990s. Administrative Office staff represented about 3.5 percent of the total judiciary staff in 1982. This is expected to drop to 2.4 percent in 1992, a 31 percent decrease. The ratio of court employees serviced by the Administrative Office is projected to be 41 to 1 in 1992, up from 29 to 1 in 1982, an increase of 41 percent.

An important legislative achievement in 1990 was enactment of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts Personnel Act (Public Law 101-474), which provides the Administrative Office with authority to develop its own personnel system. The legislation will permit more flexibility in employee development and enable employees to move with ease from the Administrative Office to the courts and vice versa, thus greatly enhancing the agency's ability to meet the future needs of the courts.

Among the Administrative Office's top priorities in the 102nd Congress will be persuading the Congress of the need to grant the judiciary independent real property authorities (JCUS-SEP 89, p. 81). The provision of space and facilities is the only administrative area in which the judiciary, a separate and independent branch of government, is fully dependent on another branch of government. Other legislative priorities include the creation of new bankruptcy judgeships (e.g., infra p. 10), the enhancement of the Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (infra p. 19), and the repeal of Section 140 of Public Law 97-92 so that judges will receive automatic annual cost-of-living adjustments (JCUS-SEP 90, p. 62).

The Judiciary Automation Fund has been a great boon to the Administrative Office's efforts to automate the courts by providing a stable, multiyear source of funding for the expansion, management, and use of automated systems. Currently, more than 50 percent of the courts are operational on the Integrated Case Management System (ICMS) electronic docketing and case management systems, and approximately 14,000 personal computers have been installed in the courts. Under the direction of the Judicial Conference Committee on Automation and Technology, the focus for 1991 and beyond will be the continuing installation and expansion of the ICMS systems in the courts, the further acquisition of office automation equipment, and the installation of a system-wide data communications network.

In carrying out the policies of the Judicial Conference and in its continuing support of the Conference and its committees, the Administrative Office made significant strides in many other areas in 1990, including assisting the Committee on Space and Facilities in the complete revision of judicial space standards and the issuance of the <u>U. S. Courts Design Guide</u> (infra p. 32); continued progress on the Judiciary Office Building, which is ahead of schedule and under budget; issuance of a new program manual for bankruptcy clerks; the creation of the Article III Judges Division; the continued decentralization of administrative functions, including budget decentralization; the revision and issuance of an updated Probation and Pretrial Services Manual; the continued expansion of the federal public defenders program and the establishment of additional death penalty resource centers; expansion of the electronic home monitoring program; and the completion of six court operations in the courts.

Mr. Mecham concluded that the year ahead will present many challenges: assisting the courts in implementing the Civil Justice Reform Act (Public Law 101-650), preparing for new judges, expanding automation in the courts, working with the Congress to obtain the resources and legislative changes the judiciary needs, revising work measurement formulas, providing increased training opportunities, and continuing the decentralization of administrative activities. The Administrative Office's primary goal will continue to be, as it has always been, to provide the courts with the highest level of service possible so that they can accomplish their critical mission.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER

The Director of the Federal Judicial Center, Judge William W Schwarzer, reported on highlights of the training programs being conducted by the Center. These include the resumption of sentencing institutes, a new focus on science and technology issues in litigation, and joint projects with various organizations including the American Law Institute (ALI-ABA), Harvard University and the American Bar Association, Georgetown University and George Mason University, extending the reach of the Center's programs. For the first time, the Center is co-sponsoring with the State Justice Institute a national conference on state-federal judicial issues. The Center is also devoting increasing amounts of resources to the training of supporting personnel at all levels.

The Center has in recent months made a major effort to assist the courts in preparing to implement the Civil Justice Reform Act, developing material for the guidance of chief judges and advisory groups and providing support to the Judicial Conference Committee on Court Administration and Case Management. The Act has led to a renewed emphasis on case management training for judges and supporting personnel.

The Research Division is completing a comprehensive study of the impact of Rule 11 for the Advisory Committee on Civil Rules. It is also assisting the Judicial Conference Committee on Criminal Law and Probation Administration in developing recommendations for revision of the sentencing guidelines and of mandatory minimum sentencing laws.

The Center's new Publications Division recently published a Judicial Writing Manual and has several other projects in preparation. It will shortly publish, under the title <u>Directions</u>, the first issue of a serial journal reporting on the work of the Center.

The Center Board has authorized the establishment of a new Technology Division which will focus on technology applications to support FJC activities and the assessment of how new technologies can meet the needs of courts and facilitate case management.

ELECTIONS

The Judicial Conference elected to membership on the Board of the Federal Judicial Center, Judge Edward R. Becker of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (for a term of four years to succeed Circuit Judge J. Clifford Wallace), and Judge Martin L. C. Feldman of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (for a term of four years to succeed District Judge William C. O'Kelley).

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION

In recognition of the outstanding work of Chairman Cynthia Holcomb Hall and the members of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Fifth International Appellate Judges Conference in organizing and conducting this important international event, the Executive Committee recommended, and the Conference approved, adoption of the following resolution:

The Judicial Conference of the United States recognizes with appreciation, respect and admiration the

HONORABLE CYNTHIA HOLCOMB HALL HONORABLE SHIRLEY ABRAHAMSON HONORABLE WILLIAM J. BAUER HONORABLE JAMES R. BROWNING HONORABLE RUTH BADER GINSBURG HONORABLE H. ROBERT MAYER HONORABLE J. CLIFFORD WALLACE

Chairman and members of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Fifth International Appellate Judges Conference of 1990.

Established in October, 1987, the Committee was instrumental in the planning and execution of the Fifth International Appellate Judges Conference held in Washington, D. C. from September 11-14, 1990. The outstanding leadership of Judge Hall, and the dedication and hard work of the Committee members, resulted in a resoundingly successful International Conference, hosting approximately 130 international delegates from 90 countries, the Chief Justices of 37 states, and the Judicial Conference of the United States. Despite the substantial time required to accomplish the task before them, Judge Hall and the Committee members continued to perform their regular judicial duties as Judges of their respective courts.

Judge Hall and the members of the Committee have earned our deep respect and sincere gratitude for their commitment to the success of this important international event and our hearty congratulations for a job well done.

ETHICS REFORM ACT

Section 319 of the Judicial Improvements Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-650) amended the Ethics Reform Act (5 U.S.C. App. 7, § 502) to allow senior judges to earn compensation for teaching without regard to the outside earned income limitation applicable to active judges, but neglected to extend this exemption to retired Supreme Court justices. On recommendation of the Committee on the Judicial Branch, the Executive Committee voted to seek legislation exempting retired Supreme Court justices' compensation for teaching from the Ethics Reform Act's outside earned income limitation in the same manner as now provided for senior judges.

COMPOSITION OF CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCILS

Another section of the Judicial Improvements Act (§ 323, P.L. 101-650) amended 28 U.S.C. § 332(a)(1) relating to the composition of judicial councils. As amended, section 332(a)(1) specifies that circuit judicial councils shall consist of the chief judge of the court of appeals plus an equal number of circuit and district judges.

Although the section is inartfully drafted and technical amendments will be sought, the General Counsel of the Administrative Office interpreted the section as amended to provide that the **number** of judges on the judicial council shall be established by majority vote of all circuit and district judges of the circuit in regular active service, whereas the **method** of selection is (and has been since 1981) left to the determination of each circuit. The Executive Committee concurred in the General Counsel's interpretation, and chief circuit judges were so advised.

GEOGRAPHIC PAY INCREASES FOR SUPPORTING PERSONNEL

Pursuant to his authority under the Federal Employees Pay Comparability Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-509), the President approved an eight percent geographic pay increase, effective January 14, 1991, for non-Senior Executive Service executive branch personnel in the consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs) in and around New York City, Los Angeles, and San Francisco. To the extent that employees were already receiving special pay rates as geographic pay adjustments, the President authorized only the amount necessary to raise their pay to eight percent over the regular pay rate.

After considering the President's action, and due to the importance of the issue and its long-term impact, the Executive Committee voted to poll the Conference on whether to implement geographic pay increases in the judiciary.

By mail ballot, the Judicial Conference approved the Executive Committee's recommendation that the Conference maintain parity with the executive branch by adopting geographic pay increases of up to eight percent for court support personnel (excluding court unit heads and those employees whose salaries are established by law) in the following three consolidated metropolitan statistical areas:

New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island NY-NJ-CT CMSA; San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA CMSA; and Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside, CA CMSA.

It was also agreed that before any geographic pay increases are adopted in other areas, standards for approval, based upon the living costs of residents in such areas and upon market comparability of private and other public sector wage scales, and a process for application for such pay increases, will be established and promulgated.

ADDITIONAL BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS

In order to respond to sharp and steady increases in bankruptcy filings, the Executive Committee voted to recommend that Congress authorize one additional bankruptcy judgeship in each of two districts, the District of South Carolina and the Northern District of Georgia.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT COMPENSATION

On recommendation of the Defender Services Committee, the Executive Committee approved the immediate implementation of an alternative attorney compensation rate under the Criminal Justice Act of \$75 per hour for in- and out-of-court time in <u>United States v. Noriega</u>, pending in the Southern District of Florida. The unusual action was taken because of the complexity, high profile, expected duration, and international implications of the <u>Noriega</u> case.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULEMAKING

In its April, 1990 report (pp. 148-149), the Federal Courts Study Committee recommended that 28 U.S.C. § 331 should be amended to recognize the authority of the Judicial Conference to issue administrative rules. Although this item was initially identified by the Executive Committee as appropriate for inclusion in non-controversial "housekeeping" legislation, a Conference member subsequently suggested that the matter was not without controversy. A threejudge subcommittee, chaired by Judge Sarah Barker, was appointed to study the issue and recommend Conference action. At its September 1990 session (JCUS-SEP 90, p. 62), the Conference postponed consideration, pending further review by the Barker Subcommittee.

With no presently pending proposals on this subject in the Congress, nor any likely in the near future, the Barker Subcommittee and the Executive Committee agreed that action on this matter should be deferred indefinitely.

MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS

The Executive Committee revised the jurisdictional statements for the Committees on Automation and Technology, Codes of Conduct, Court Administration and Case Management, Court and Judicial Security, Judicial Resources, Long Range Planning, and the Review of Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders; approved the request of the Director of the Administrative Office that registry fund fees be recurring and extended to criminal cases; approved a spending plan for the fiscal year 1991 for the "Salaries and Expenses" appropriation and revised the plan to permit implementation of geographic pay increases; approved resolutions of appreciation for Congressman Robert W. Kastenmeier, former chairman of the House Judiciary Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Administration of Justice, and for Director Mecham and his Legislative Affairs staff; requested that the Court and Judicial Security Committee examine how local court security committees operate to effect security in the courts, that the Bankruptcy Committee consider possible rules or statutory changes to relieve bankruptcy judges of burdensome signature requirements, and that the Administrative Office Committee review reports and publications produced by the agency to ascertain whether modifications to statutory or Conference requirements would be in order; noted with approval a plan of the Director of the Administrative Office to contract for an information resource management review of the judiciary's automation program; agreed to assess Judicial Conference agendas in advance and advise Conference attendees of the projected duration of Conference sessions; imposed the requirement that all pilot programs that will form the basis for the development of permanent programs with system-wide application or impact should be approved by the Judicial Conference rather than by individual committees; reconstituted the Legislative Liaison Group to include Conference committee chairmen or their designees; agreed that, with the approval of the chairman, chief judges should be notified of Conference committee meetings in their districts; and disapproved a suggestion that the Administrative Office deduct Federal Judges Association dues from the salaries of judge members and remit the funds to the Association.

COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

The Committee on the Administrative Office reported that it had considered two letters regarding Administrative Office programs, both of which were satisfactorily resolved. The Committee received briefings and reviewed Administrative Office activities, including the substantial use of advisory committees of court personnel; status of decentralization efforts; automation program update and the independent consultants' review of the program; implementation efforts for new legislation, such as the Civil Justice Reform Act and the Administrative Office Personnel Act; updates on administrative and program division activities; and a report on evaluations and investigations.

COMMITTEE ON AUTOMATION AND TECHNOLOGY

AUTOMATION

On recommendation of the Committee on Automation and Technology, the Judicial Conference approved the fiscal year 1991 update to the Long <u>Range Plan for Automation in the U.S. Courts</u>. This update, with a central theme of building effective partnerships throughout the judiciary to support the automation program, reemphasizes the importance of the JURIST concept to achieving the objectives of the program. The goal of JURIST is to provide easy and reliable access to fully integrated office automation, court automation, and data communications through a single workstation.

COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE BANKRUPTCY SYSTEM

CRITERIA FOR ADDITIONAL BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS

On recommendation of the Committee on the Administration of the Bankruptcy System, the Conference approved case weights for bankruptcy cases developed by the Federal Judicial Center and adopted the following policy statement regarding requests for additional bankruptcy judgeships:

In assessing a court's need for additional judicial resources, the Bankruptcy Committee will review a number of work load factors. The first factor considered will be the weighted caseload of the bankruptcy court, as derived from the Bankruptcy Judge Time Study. Also considered will be the nature and mix of the court's caseload; historical caseload data and filing trends; geographic, economic, and demographic factors in the district; the effectiveness of case management efforts by the court; the availability of alternative solutions and resources for handling the court's work load; the impact that approval of requested additional resources would have on the court's per judgeship caseload; and any other pertinent factors.

Generally, it is expected that, in addition to other judicial duties, a bankruptcy court should have a caseload of 1,500 annual caserelated hours per judgeship to justify additional judicial resources. Requests for additional bankruptcy judgeships will be considered by the committee as part of a biennial, national survey process. The committee will consider judgeship requests on an ad hoc basis only in emergency situations where there is a clear and compelling need for immediate additional judicial resources.

The Conference also approved an expedited procedure for conducting the 1991 survey of bankruptcy judgeship needs. Thereafter, recommendations for approval of bankruptcy judgeships will be presented at the fall meeting of the Judicial Conference in odd-numbered years.

COMMITTEE ON THE BICENTENNIAL OF THE CONSTITUTION

The Committee on the Bicentennial of the Constitution reported that it had approved several projects commemorating the bicentennial of the Bill of Rights, including a conference for the federal judiciary, a secondary education project, and the provision of Bill of Rights plaques to major state libraries, to libraries in the United States territories, and at major historical and national sites. In addition, the Committee will sponsor a summer stipend program in honor of the centennial of the Circuit Court of Appeals Act of 1891.

COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET

BUDGET DECENTRALIZATION

The Judicial Conference approved the expansion of the budget decentralization pilot program to all courts on a voluntary basis over a threeyear period beginning October 1, 1991, with the understanding that each court must adopt procedures governing the budget approval and reprogramming process prior to acceptance into the program, and that the program must conform to existing law.

COMMITTEE ON CODES OF CONDUCT

The Committee on Codes of Conduct reported that, since its last report, the Committee had received 33 written inquiries and issued 41 advisory responses. The Chairman also responded to 39 telephone inquiries and other Committee members responded to 74 inquiries that did not require a reference to the Committee. The Committee also reported that it was preparing a videotape on ethical problems that frequently confront judges, which will be used as part of the Federal Judicial Center's orientation program for new judges.

FEDERAL ETHICS LAW REFORM

The Judicial Conference approved the Committee's recommendations that (a) the "Regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States Under Title III of the Ethics Reform Act of 1989 Concerning Gifts" be amended to authorize the Committee to render advisory opinions interpreting Title III of this Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 7351 and 7353) and the regulations; and (b) the "Regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States Under Title VI of the Ethics Reform Act of 1989 Concerning Outside Earned Income, Honoraria, and Outside Employment" be amended to exclude part-time magistrate judges from the bar on the receipt of honoraria. See JCUS-SEP 90, p. 64.

CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST RULES FOR PART-TIME MAGISTRATE JUDGES

The Conference amended Rule 7 of the "Conflict-of-Interest Rules for Part-time Magistrate Judges" to prohibit part-time magistrate judges who are designated to conduct civil consent trials under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) from appearing as counsel in any civil or criminal case in the district to which he or she is appointed.

COMMITTEE ON COURT ADMINISTRATION AND CASE MANAGEMENT

CIVIL JUSTICE REFORM ACT

In light of the enactment of the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-650), which incorporates many of the specific provisions of a 14-point "Plan to Address Cost and Delay in Civil Litigation and to Improve Case Management" adopted by the Conference in 1990 (see JCUS-SEP 90, p. 56), the

Conference approved a recommendation of the Committee on Court Administration and Case Management to rescind the 14-point Plan, except as already implemented. The Conference also designated the following ten courts, as recommended by the Committee, to serve as pilot districts pursuant to § 105(b) of the Civil Justice Reform Act: the Southern District of New York, the District of Delaware, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the Southern District of Texas, the Western District of Tennessee, the Eastern District of Wisconsin, the Southern District of California, the Western District of Oklahoma, the District of Utah, and the Northern District of Georgia.

JURY MATTERS

Due to the generally positive results of a two-year experiment with a one-step summoning and qualification procedure conducted by the Administrative Office under the direction of the Committee, the Conference agreed to seek permanent legislation to amend the Jury Selection and Service Act (28 U.S.C. § 1878) to provide district courts the option of selecting jurors either under the present two-step process or, subject to Judicial Conference guidelines, with the use of a single procedure for qualifying and summoning potential jurors. In addition, the Conference approved revisions of the AO Form JS-12 ("Report on Operation of the Jury Selection Plan") to (a) provide categories for reporting race distribution figures that correspond to the racial categories appearing on the juror qualification questionnaire; (b) provide a separate category to account for hispanic ethnicity; and (c) include comparisons of jury wheel data against general population data from the Form JS-12(a).

In response to a request for assistance from the Internal Revenue Service, the Judicial Conference approved a Committee recommendation that all forms of the juror qualification questionnaire be amended to include a request for jurors voluntarily to provide their social security numbers. A Privacy Act statement will also be included on the questionnaire.

At its September 1990 session (JCUS-SEP 90, p. 86), the Judicial Conference approved a recommendation of the former Committee on Judicial Improvements to seek legislation to amend the Jury Selection and Service Act to permit travel by aircraft within the contiguous 48 states by grand jurors when weather conditions warrant. In anticipation of the enactment of such legislation, the Conference approved guidelines proposed by the Committee on Court Administration and Case Management for inclusion in Volume I, Chapter VI, Part B of the <u>Guide to Judiciary Policies and Procedures</u>, to take effect upon enactment of legislation permitting such travel.

MISCELLANEOUS FEES

In March, 1990 (JCUS-MAR 90, p. 21), the Judicial Conference approved initial rates for public access to court electronic records (PACER) services in district and bankruptcy courts. The Committee, which had been delegated authority to set PACER fees (JCUS-MAR 89, pp. 19-20), advised the Conference of its concern that performance of the financial functions associated with the maintenance of PACER accounts could have a serious impact on clerks' offices. Eventually, this billing function will be combined with the fine and restitution functions to be performed by the National Fine Center; however, the Center will not begin operation until later this year. In the interim, the Administrative Office recommended, and the Committee approved, the use of a 900 number. In addition, the \$60 subscription fee, which included 60 minutes of usage, was modified to a straight \$1 per minute charge, to facilitate the 900 number billing method.

COMMITTEE ON COURT AND JUDICIAL SECURITY

OFF-SITE SECURITY

The Committee on Court and Judicial Security reported on its discussions with the Director of the U.S. Marshals Service concerning off-site security of judicial officers. The Chairman has communicated his concerns regarding off-site security directly to the Attorney General.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW AND PROBATION ADMINISTRATION

SENTENCING COMMISSION

The Judicial Conference approved for submission to the United States Sentencing Commission the <u>1990 Annual Report of the Judicial Conference of</u> <u>the United States to the United States Sentencing Commission</u>, prepared in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 994(o).

QUALIFICATION STANDARDS FOR PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICERS

Pursuant to a change in the mandatory retirement age for all law enforcement officers eligible to retire under federal retirement provisions (Federal Law Enforcement Pay Reform Act of 1990, Public Law 101-509), the Conference approved a requirement that first-time candidates for the positions of probation officer, pretrial services officer, probation officer assistant, and pretrial services officer assistant must not have achieved their thirty-seventh birthday. This is a modification to an earlier requirement which limited candidates to those who had not achieved their thirty-fifth birthday (see JCUS-MAR 87, pp. 26-27).

LEGISLATION TO AUTHORIZE PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICERS TO CARRY FIREARMS

At its last session (JCUS-SEP 90, p. 69), the Conference approved a legislative proposal to authorize federal judges to carry firearms. At this session, on recommendation of the Committee on Criminal Law and Probation Administration, the Judicial Conference approved draft legislation to authorize United States probation and pretrial services officers, with the approval of their courts, to carry weapons under regulations adopted by the Director of the Administrative Office. Such legislation would (a) correct the situation in which the security of probation and pretrial services officers is left to the vagaries of state law; (b) remove the uncertainty of the authority of officers who must cross state lines in the course of their duties; and (c) clarify the removability from state court of a civil action arising out of the use of a firearm by an officer under 28 U.S.C. § 1442.

SENTENCING INSTITUTE

The Judicial Conference approved a Sentencing Institute for the judges of the Ninth Circuit to be held in September, 1991, at a site to be determined, subject to the approval of an agenda to be submitted to the Executive Committee.

COMMITTEE ON DEFENDER SERVICES

APPOINTMENTS AND PAYMENTS

The Defender Services Committee reported that during the fiscal year 1990, approximately 71,600 persons were represented under the Criminal Justice Act, compared to 69,954 persons in the fiscal year 1989, an increase of 2.4 percent. Of the 71,600 persons represented, approximately 51.1 percent (36,600) were represented by federal public and community defender organizations.

BUDGET AND GRANT REQUESTS - FEDERAL PUBLIC AND COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS

Under its delegated authority from the Judicial Conference (JCUS-MAR 89, p. 16), the Committee approved supplemental funding requests for the Federal Defender Organization for the District of Massachusetts for the fiscal years 1991 and 1992 in the amounts of \$133,400 and \$71,800, respectively. and for the Federal Public Defender Organization for the Western District of Washington for the fiscal year 1991 in the amount of \$61,000. The Committee also approved funding for a new Federal Public Defender Organization for the Western District of New York for the fiscal years 1991 and 1992 in the amounts of \$510,202 and \$660,630, respectively, and for a new Community Defender Organization for the Eastern District of Tennessee in the fiscal years 1991 and 1992 in the amounts of \$759,611 and \$858,948, respectively.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT COMPENSATION

The Committee reported that alternative compensation rates of \$75 per hour for in- and out-of-court time have been established and implemented in 16 judicial districts, and that the alternative rates approved by the Conference in September, 1990, for seven additional districts, and for the extension of alternative rates which had previously been established for particular places of holding court to all court locations in those districts, have not yet been implemented, due to the unavailability of funds (JCUS-SEP 90, p. 79). The Committee recommended, and the Judicial Conference approved, the establishment of alternative attorney compensation rates of \$75 per hour for inand out-of-court time in three additional districts, the District of Massachusetts, the Western District of Tennessee, and the Southern District of Florida, subject to the availability of funds.

COMMITTEE ON FEDERAL-STATE JURISDICTION

VENUE IN DIVERSITY JURISDICTION AND FEDERAL QUESTION SUITS

The Judicial Conference approved a recommendation by the Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction that legislation be sought to amend 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (a) and (b), relating to venue in diversity and federal question cases. An amendment is necessary to correct a drafting error which occurred when the statute was changed pursuant to the Judicial Improvements Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-650) and to align more closely the diversity venue provisions with the venue provisions in federal question cases. The amendment would also correct a typographical error in section 1391(b).

COMMITTEE ON INTERCIRCUIT ASSIGNMENTS

The Committee on Intercircuit Assignments reported that during the period August 1, 1990, through January 31, 1991, the Committee had recommended 86 intercircuit assignments to be undertaken by 64 Article III judges. Of this number, one was a retired Supreme Court justice, 17 were senior circuit judges, six were active circuit judges, 24 were senior district

judges, eight were active district judges, one was a senior judge of the Court of International Trade, and seven were active judges of the Court of International Trade. For the first time, pursuant to a recent amendment to the Uniform Code of Military Justice, the Chief Justice designated an Article III judge to perform judicial duties in the United States Court of Military Appeals.

COMMITTEE ON THE INTERNATIONAL APPELLATE JUDGES CONFERENCE OF 1990

The Committee on the International Appellate Judges Conference of 1990 submitted its final report, and the Judicial Conference discharged the Committee. See also "RESOLUTION", <u>supra</u> pp. 8-9.

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIAL BRANCH

JUDICIAL SURVIVORS' ANNUITIES SYSTEM

The Judicial Conference agreed to seek legislation to amend the Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (JSAS) to (a) reduce the contribution rate of judicial officers and provide a new opportunity for eligible judicial officers to elect JSAS coverage; (b) provide a partial credit for judicial officers who contributed at the previous, higher rate; (c) allow judicial officers to cease contributions upon the death or divorce of a spouse; and (d) allow bankruptcy judges and magistrate judges who leave office before age 65 to continue JSAS coverage and provide credit for their subsequent contributions.

The Conference also agreed to defer efforts to revise the JSAS annuity computation method to base the annuity on the judicial officers' final salary rather than on the "high three years" of salary.

The Conference approved two proposed amendments to the general provisions of title 5, United States Code, to be included in the package of JSAS revisions forwarded to Congress, with the understanding that the provisions should be deleted and deferred if they jeopardize enactment of the other revisions. These provisions would extend federal health benefits coverage to survivors of judicial officers whether or not the judicial officers had participated in JSAS, and allow bankruptcy and magistrate judges to continue federal life insurance coverage after retirement in a manner similar to Article III judges.

EVALUATION OF FEDERAL JUDGES

The Conference requested that the Federal Judicial Center write a synopsis of the pilot judicial evaluation project in the Central District of Illinois, for circulation to the courts.

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ETHICS

The Judicial Ethics Committee reported that as of January, 1991, it had received 2,078 financial disclosure reports and certifications for the calendar year 1989, including 1,010 reports and certifications from judicial officers and 1,068 reports and certifications from judicial employees.

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL RESOURCES

ADDITIONAL COURT REPORTERS

The Judicial Conference approved the establishment of one additional court reporter position for the District of New Jersey (at Camden), and one for the Western District of New York (at Buffalo). The Conference also approved a temporary court reporter position for the Southern District of Texas (at Galveston), with the proviso that the position will be made permanent with the first court reporter vacancy in Houston.

FISCAL YEAR 1992 BUDGET REQUEST FOR SUPPORTING PERSONNEL

The Conference authorized one JSP-9 automation support specialist position for the United States Claims Court, to be included in the judiciary's FY 1992 budget.

COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE FEDERAL MAGISTRATES SYSTEM

POSITIONS, SALARIES, AND ARRANGEMENTS FOR UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGES

Observing that the Judicial Conference has broad discretion in determining when surveys of magistrate judge positions should be conducted (see 28 U.S.C. § 633(a)(1)), the Committee on the Administration of the Federal Magistrates System recommended, and the Judicial Conference approved, the following resolution changing the methodology of the survey process of magistrate judge resources:

Magistrate Judge Survey Methodology

In light of twenty years' experience with the magistrate judge system, the Judicial Conference determines that surveys of magistrate judge positions prior to the expiration of the incumbents' terms are no longer necessary. Absent specific action, the Conference determines that all magistrate judge positions continue to be needed with no change in the salary or arrangements. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 633(a)(1), the Conference deems it expedient to direct the Director of the Administrative Office periodically to prepare local surveys reviewing all magistrate judge resources in each district to determine whether there should be changes in the numbers, locations, salaries, or arrangements. Each district will be surveyed no less frequently than every four years, if the district is authorized part-time magistrate judge positions, or every five years, if the district is authorized only full-time magistrate judge positions. Specific Judicial Conference action will be required only in instances where new magistrate judge positions are authorized, a position is terminated, or where a change is required in the salary or arrangements.

CHANGES IN MAGISTRATE JUDGE POSITIONS

After consideration of the report of the Committee and the recommendations of the Director of the Administrative Office, the district courts, and the judicial councils of the circuits, the Conference approved the following changes in salaries and arrangements for full-time and part-time magistrate judge positions. Unless otherwise indicated, these changes are to be effective when appropriated funds are available.

FIRST CIRCUIT

Maine

Continued the authority of the clerk of court to perform magistrate duties for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized additional compensation of \$2,544 per annum.

Massachusetts

1. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Boston which is due to expire on June 13, 1992, for an additional eight-year term;

- 2. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Springfield for an additional eight-year term; and
- 3. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Cape Cod National Seashore upon the expiration of the current term.

SECOND CIRCUIT

New York, Northern

Discontinued the authority of the clerk of court to perform magistrate duties.

New York, Southern

- 1. Continued the three full-time magistrate judge positions at New York City which are due to expire on February 29, March 11, and August 2, 1992, for additional eight-year terms; and
- 2. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Newburgh for an additional four-year term and increased the salary of the position from \$9,251 per annum to \$13,878 per annum.

THIRD CIRCUIT

Pennsylvania, Eastern

- 1. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Allentown into a full-time position at Allentown or Philadelphia; and
- 2. Authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position to serve the court at Philadelphia.

Pennsylvania, Middle

- 1. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Scranton to a full-time position; and
- 2. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Stroudsburg upon the appointment of the full-time magistrate judge at Scranton.

Pennsylvania, Western

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Erie from \$23,129 per annum to \$46,258 per annum.

FOURTH CIRCUIT

North Carolina, Eastern

- 1. Continued the authority of the clerk of court to perform magistrate duties for an additional four-year term; and
- 2. Increased the aggregate salary which the clerk of court receives for performing clerk and magistrate duties to one grade level above the grade prescribed for the clerk of court. (The previous ceiling was JSP-16.)

North Carolina, Western

Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Asheville for an additional eight-year term.

South Carolina

Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Florence from \$32,380 per annum to \$46,258 per annum.

Virginia, Eastern

Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Richmond for an additional eight-year term.

West Virginia, Southern

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Huntington for an additional eight-year term; and
- 2. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Beckley (or Bluefield) to a full-time position at Beckley or Bluefield.

FIFTH CIRCUIT

Louisiana, Western

- 1. Continued the authority of the clerk of court to perform magistrate duties for an additional four-year term;
- 2. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Monroe from \$2,544 per annum to \$4,626 per annum; and
- 3. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Lafayette (or Opelousas) to a full-time position at Lafayette or Opelousas.

Mississippi, Northern

- 1. Continued the authority of the clerk of court to perform magistrate duties for an additional four-year term; and
- 2. Increased the aggregate salary which the clerk of court receives for performing clerk and magistrate duties to one grade level above the grade prescribed for the clerk of court. (The previous ceiling was JSP-16.)

Mississippi, Southern

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Biloxi-Gulfport for an additional eight-year term;
- 2. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Jackson for an additional eight-year term; and
- 3. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Biloxi (or Gulfport or Jackson) for an additional eight-year term.

Texas, Eastern

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Beaumont which is due to expire on March 6, 1992, for an additional eight-year term; and
- 2. Made no changes in the part-time magistrate judge positions at Sherman and Texarkana.

SIXTH CIRCUIT

Kentucky, Eastern

- 1. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Covington to a full-time position; and
- 2. Declined to discontinue the part-time magistrate judge position at London effective upon the appointment of the full-time magistrate judge at Covington.

Kentucky, Western

- 1. Continued the authority of the deputy clerk of court position at Louisville to perform magistrate duties for an additional four-year term; and
- 2. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Owensboro for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$13,878 per annum.

Tennessee, Eastern

Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Chattanooga for an additional eight-year term.

Tennessee, Western

Denied the request to continue the part-time magistrate judge position at Jackson at the currently authorized salary of \$27,755 per annum until the end of the current term.

SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Illinois, Northern

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Chicago which is due to expire on December 13, 1992, for an additional eight-year term;
- 2. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Rockford into a full-time position; and

3. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Rockford at the currently authorized salary of \$46,258 per annum for an additional four-year term or until such time as the new full-time magistrate judge is appointed at that location, whichever occurs first.

Wisconsin, Western

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Madison for an additional eight-year term;
- 2. Continued the authority of the clerk of court to perform magistrate duties at no additional compensation for an additional four-year term;
- 3. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Ashland, effective July 1, 1991; and
- 4. Reinstated the part-time magistrate judge position at Eau Claire and continued the position for an additional four-year term at a salary of \$2,544 per annum.

EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Arkansas, Western

Made no change in the status of the part-time magistrate judge positions at Hot Springs, Harrison, and El Dorado.

Iowa, Southern

Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Des Moines which is due to expire on February 28, 1992, for an additional eight-year term.

Minnesota

- 1. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at St. Paul to a full-time position; and
- 2. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Duluth for an additional eight-year term.

Missouri, Western

- 1. Authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position to serve the court at Kansas City; and
- 2. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Springfield for an additional eight-year term.

NINTH CIRCUIT

Alaska

- 1. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Kodiak for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$4,626 per annum;
- 2. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Ketchikan for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$2,544 per annum; and
- 3. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Nome.

Arizona

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Phoenix which is due to expire on January 30, 1992, for an additional eight-year term; and
- 2. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Yuma from \$23,129 per annum to \$27,755 per annum.

California, Eastern

- 1. Maintained the salary of the full-time magistrate judge position at Yosemite National Park at its present level of 70 percent of the maximum salary payable to a full-time magistrate judge;
- 2. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Bishop for an additional four-year term and increased the salary from \$7,740 per annum to \$9,251 per annum; and
- 3. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Susanville for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$6,938 per annum.

California, Southern

Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at El Centro for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$41,632 per annum.

Hawaii

Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Honolulu which is due to expire on January 8, 1992, for an additional eight-year term.

Idaho

Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Boise for an additional eight-year term.

Montana

- 1. Authorized a full-time magistrate judge position to serve the court at Helena or Missoula;
- 2. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge positions at Helena, Missoula, Kalispell, Cut Bank and Butte effective upon the appointment of the full-time magistrate judge at Helena or Missoula; and
- 3. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Wolf Point from \$4,626 per annum to \$37,007 per annum for a two-month period commencing April 1, 1991, with a return to the \$4,626 per annum salary thereafter.

Washington, Eastern

Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Yakima to a full-time position.

Washington, Western

 Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Tacoma (or Mt. Rainier National Park) to a full-time position at Seattle or Tacoma; and 2. Decreased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Olympic National Park from \$37,007 per annum to \$9,251 per annum, effective upon the appointment of the new full-time magistrate judge at Seattle or Tacoma.

TENTH CIRCUIT

Colorado

- 1. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Colorado Springs to a full-time position at Colorado Springs or Pueblo or Denver, the location to be determined by the court;
- 2. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Rocky Mountain National Park at the expiration of the current term;
- 3. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Monte Vista;
- 4. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Craig (or Steamboat Springs); and
- 5. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Durango from \$16,191 per annum to \$46,258 per annum for a four-month period commencing April 1, 1991, with a reduction to a salary of \$23,129 per annum thereafter.

New Mexico

Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Clovis for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$6,938 per annum.

Oklahoma, Eastern

- 1. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at McAlester for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$6,938 per annum; and
- 2. Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Hugo.

Wyoming

1. Authorized a full-time magistrate judge position at Cheyenne;

- 2. Discontinued the authority of the clerk of court to perform magistrate duties effective upon the appointment of the new full-time magistrate judge at Cheyenne;
- 3. Increased the aggregate salary which the clerk of court receives for performing clerk and magistrate duties to one grade level above the grade prescribed for the clerk of court until such time as the clerk's authority to perform magistrate duties is discontinued by the appointment of the new full-time magistrate judge at Cheyenne (previous ceiling was JSP-16);
- 4. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Jackson for an additional four-year term and increased the salary from \$9,251 per annum to \$11,564 per annum; and
- 5. Decreased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Lander from \$27,755 per annum to \$4,626 per annum, effective April 1, 1991.

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

Florida, Middle

Authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position to serve the court at Orlando.

Florida, Southern

Changed the location of one of the two full-time magistrate judge positions authorized in March 1990 from Miami, to Miami or West Palm Beach.

Georgia, Northern

- 1. Continued the full-time magistrate judge position at Atlanta which is due to expire on September 30, 1992, for an additional eight-year term;
- 2. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Rome for an additional four-year term at the currently authorized salary of \$46,258 per annum; and

3. Continued the part-time magistrate judge position at Gainesville for an additional four-year term and increased the salary of the position from \$11,564 per annum to \$13,878 per annum.

Georgia, Southern

Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Brunswick (or Waycross) to a full-time position.

COMMITTEE TO REVIEW CIRCUIT COUNCIL CONDUCT AND DISABILITY ORDERS

The Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders reported that it has no petitions for review presently pending, none having been filed within the past year.

COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

CRIMINAL RULES

The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure submitted to the Conference proposed amendments to Rules 16(a) ("Discovery and Inspection"), 32(c) ("Sentence and Judgment"), 32.1(a) ("Revocation or Modification of Probation or Supervised Release"), 35(b) and (c) ("Correction or Reduction of Sentence"), 46(h) ("Release from Custody"), 54(a) ("Application and Exception"), and 58(b) and (d) ("Procedure for Misdemeanors and Other Petty Offenses") of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The proposed amendments were accompanied by Committee notes explaining their purpose and intent. The Conference approved the amendments for transmission to the Supreme Court for consideration, with the recommendation that they be approved by the Court and transmitted to Congress pursuant to law.

EVIDENCE RULES

The Committee submitted to the Conference proposed amendments to Rules 404(b) ("Character Evidence not Admissible to Prove Conduct; Exceptions; Other Crimes") and 1102 ("Amendments") of the Federal Rules of Evidence, accompanied by Committee notes explaining their purpose and intent. The Conference approved their transmission to the Supreme Court for consideration, with the recommendation that they be approved by the Court and transmitted to Congress pursuant to law.

BANKRUPTCY RULES

The Committee submitted to the Conference proposed amendments to Rules 5011(b) ("Withdrawal and Abstention from Hearing a Proceeding") and 9027(e) ("Removal") of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, accompanied by Committee notes explaining their purpose and intent. The Conference approved their transmission to the Supreme Court for consideration, with the recommendation that they be approved by the Court and transmitted to Congress pursuant to law.

CIVIL RULES

Upon being advised that the text and Committee note to a proposed revision of Rule 16 that had been approved by the Advisory Committee on Civil Rules were not actually included in the materials approved by the Judicial Conference in September, 1990 (JCUS-SEP 90, p. 102), the Conference agreed to recommend that the Supreme Court disregard at this time the proposed revisions to Civil Rule 16 submitted to the Court after the September 1990 session of the Conference. The Advisory Committee on Civil Rules is now considering other changes to Rule 16, and can conveniently include the proposed 1990 revision in the version the Advisory Committee will be submitting at a later time.

PROCEDURES OF THE RULES COMMITTEES

The Conference approved an amendment to paragraph 4(d) of the Procedures for the Conduct of Business by the Judicial Conference Committees on Rules of Practice and Procedure to permit the Committees to recommend technical or conforming amendments to the Rules without public notice and comment.

COMMITTEE ON SPACE AND FACILITIES

UNITED STATES COURTS DESIGN GUIDE

In 1984 (JCUS-MAR 84, p. 8), the Judicial Conference approved the <u>United States Courts Design Guide</u>. At this session, on recommendation of the Committee on Space and Facilities, the Judicial Conference approved the judicial space standards set forth in a revised <u>Design Guide</u>, with an amendment to provide that any significant deviations from the guidelines must be approved by the judicial council in each circuit, and subject to technical amendment by the Committee on Space and Facilities for grammatical and formatting purposes.

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON ASBESTOS LITIGATION

In September, 1990, the Chief Justice appointed an Ad Hoc Committee on Asbestos Litigation to address the substantial number of asbestos personal injury cases and the complex issues they present. Included on the Ad Hoc Committee were the Chairmen of the Committees on Federal-State Jurisdiction, Court Administration and Case Management, Intercircuit Assignments, and Civil Rules, the Chairman of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, and a member of the Board of the Federal Judicial Center.

After careful consideration, the Committee concluded that the large volume of asbestos cases, both in federal and state courts, and the resulting delays and costs, have resulted in a denial of justice and fundamental unfairness to litigants. The Committee also concluded that no adequate procedures presently exist to enable the justice system to deal with the unique nature of asbestos cases.

Accordingly, the Committee recommended, and the Conference agreed, that Congress consider a national legislative scheme to come to grips with the impending disaster relating to resolution of asbestos personal injury disputes, with the objectives of achieving timely appropriate compensation of present and future asbestos victims and of maximizing the prospects for the economic survival and viability of the defendants. As a back-up position, the Committee recommended, and the Conference agreed, that Congress consider legislation expressly to authorize consolidation and collective trial of asbestos cases in order to expedite disposition of cases in federal courts with heavy asbestos personal injury caseloads. The Conference also voted to request its Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure to direct the Advisory Committee on Civil Rules to study whether Rule 23, F.R.C.P., should be amended to accommodate the demands of mass tort litigation.

The Conference recognized that Administrative Office support is needed by the federal courts to deal effectively with current and future asbestos claims. The Director of the Administrative Office was directed to monitor asbestos litigation in federal courts; collect materials and information relative to asbestos injuries and litigation, including records of trials with copies of orders, depositions, and documents that would be helpful to judges in asbestos trials; supply information to judges on asbestos litigation issues when requested; and make all reasonable efforts to supply additional funds and assistance to meet unusual needs for clerical and administrative personnel created by proceedings in asbestos cases.

The Conference then discharged the Ad Hoc Committee, with the request that the Executive Committee assign to another Conference committee the responsibility to oversee the development of the clearinghouse within the Administrative Office to monitor asbestos litigation and legislation and to report to the Conference on developments and proposed remedies.³

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON CAMERAS IN THE COURTROOM

In its final report, the Ad Hoc Committee on Cameras in the Courtroom advised the Conference that it had selected for inclusion in the experimental program permitting photographing, recording, and broadcasting of civil proceedings in the federal courts (see JCUS-SEP 90, pp. 103-104), the Courts of Appeals for the Second and Ninth Circuits, the Southern District of Indiana, the District of Massachusetts, the Eastern District of Michigan, the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and the Western District of Washington.

NATIONAL STATE-FEDERAL JUDICIAL COUNCIL

The Judicial Conference approved recommendations from the National State-Federal Judicial Council that the Council shall (a) be known as the National Judicial Council of State and Federal Courts; (b) serve as a national coordinator to encourage the establishment of local state-federal judicial councils and strengthen existing councils; and (c) engage in educational projects which highlight issues of mutual interest to the state and federal courts. The Chief Justice has appointed to the National Judicial Council Circuit Judges Peter T. Fay and Patrick E. Higginbotham, and District Judges Morris S. Arnold and John F. Grady.

RESOLUTION

The Judicial Conference adopted the following resolution in appreciation of Judge John F. Nangle, former District Judge Representative from the Eighth Circuit, as follows:

The Judicial Conference of the United States, meeting in Washington, D.C. on this 12th day of March, 1991, hereby resolves a special tribute to the:

³The Executive Committee subsequently assigned this responsibility jointly to the Committees on Federal-State Jurisdiction and Court Administration and Case Management, with the expectation that the Chairmen will appoint a joint subcommittee on asbestos.

HONORABLE JOHN F. NANGLE

United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri, hereby inscribed by the Judicial Conference of the United States, presided over by Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist, for Judge Nangle's eighteen years of service to the judiciary.

A skilled lawyer, Judge Nangle worked as an attorney engaged in trial work and the general practice of law for over twenty-four years. During that time, he served on numerous committees of the local bar association. He also served as city attorney for Brentwood on a part-time basis from 1953 to 1963, and as special legal advisor for the St. Louis County Government from 1963 to 1973, when he was appointed to the federal bench.

In 1985, Judge Nangle was elected as the Eighth Circuit District Judge Representative to the Judicial Conference of the United States. He was reelected in 1986, and served until his resignation in January, 1991.

Judge Nangle also served as a member of the Judicial Conference's Executive Committee from 1987 to 1991. His other Judicial Conference assignments included the Committee on the Operation of the Jury System from 1981 to 1987, and the Committee to Study the Judicial Conference from 1986 to 1987.

In June, 1990, Judge Nangle was appointed as Chairman of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, and in September, 1990, to the Ad Hoc Committee on Asbestos Litigation.

Judge Nangle was also an energetic leader in the Eighth Circuit, where he was Chief Judge of the Eastern District of Missouri from 1983 to 1990. Simultaneous with his service on the Judicial Conference, Judge Nangle served on the Eighth Circuit Judicial Council as an <u>ex_officio</u> member. On May 10, 1990, Judge Nangle took senior status.

Judge Nangle has distinguished himself in his years with the United States Courts in his contributions to the Eastern District of Missouri, the Eighth Circuit, and the Judicial Conference of the United States. We recognize him today as a leader, a colleague, and a friend.

FUNDING

All actions which require the expenditure of funds were approved by the Conference subject to the availability of funds, and subject to whatever priorities the Conference might establish for the use of available resources.

RELEASE OF CONFERENCE ACTION

The Conference authorized the immediate release of matters considered at this session where necessary for legislative or administrative action.

Compense

Chief Justice of the United States Presiding

May 9, 1991

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

September 23-24, 1991

The Judicial Conference of the United States convened in Asheville, North Carolina, on September 23, 1991, pursuant to the call of the Chief Justice of the United States issued under 28 U.S.C. § 331, and continued in session on September 24. The Chief Justice presided, and the following members of the Conference were present:

First Circuit:

Chief Judge Stephen G. Breyer Chief Judge Francis J. Boyle, District of Rhode Island

Second Circuit:

Chief Judge James L. Oakes Chief Judge Charles L. Brieant, Southern District of New York

Third Circuit:

Chief Judge Dolores K. Sloviter Chief Judge John F. Gerry, District of New Jersey

Fourth Circuit:

Chief Judge Sam J. Ervin, III Judge W. Earl Britt, Eastern District of North Carolina Fifth Circuit:

Chief Judge Charles Clark Chief Judge Barefoot Sanders, Northern District of Texas

Sixth Circuit:

Chief Judge Gilbert S. Merritt Judge Edward H. Johnstone¹ Western District of Kentucky

Seventh Circuit:

Chief Judge William J. Bauer Chief Judge Barbara B. Crabb, Western District of Wisconsin

Eighth Circuit:

Chief Judge Donald P. Lay Chief Judge Donald E. O'Brien Northern District of Iowa

Ninth Circuit:

Chief Judge J. Clifford Wallace Chief Judge William D. Browning, District of Arizona

Tenth Circuit:

Chief Judge Monroe G. McKay Judge Richard P. Matsch, District of Colorado

Eleventh Circuit:

Chief Judge Gerald B. Tjoflat Judge Anthony A. Alaimo, Southern District of Georgia

¹Designated by the Chief Justice.

District of Columbia Circuit:

Chief Judge Abner J. Mikva Chief Judge Aubrey E. Robinson, Jr., District of Columbia

Federal Circuit:

Chief Judge Helen W. Nies

Court of International Trade:

Chief Judge (Acting) Gregory W. Carman

Circuit Judges Richard S. Arnold, Levin H. Campbell, Edward Leavy, Kenneth F. Ripple, Walter K. Stapleton, Deanell Reece Tacha, and Harlington Wood, Jr.; Senior Circuit Judges Thomas M. Reavley and Otto R. Skopil, Jr.; District Judges Wayne E. Alley, Sarah Evans Barker, Robert C. Broomfield, Julian A. Cook, Jr., Gustave Diamond, Llovd D. George, Wm. Terrell Hodges, Thomas F. Hogan, Robert E. Keeton, Robert M. Parker, Sam C. Pointer, Jr., and Rva W. Zobel; and Senior District Judges Vincent L. Broderick and Walter T. McGovern attended all or some of the sessions of the Conference. Circuit Judge Edward R. Becker; District Judges David D. Dowd, Jr., Diana E. Murphy, and Martin L. C. Feldman; and Bankruptcy Judge Robert E. Ginsberg, members of the Federal Judicial Center Board, attended a joint session of the Board and the Conference. Circuit Executives Vincent Flanagan (First Circuit), Steven Flanders (Second Circuit), John P. Hehman (Third Circuit), Samuel W. Phillips (Fourth Circuit), Lydia Comberrel (Fifth Circuit), James A. Higgins (Sixth Circuit), Collins T. Fitzpatrick (Seventh Circuit), June L. Boadwine (Eighth Circuit), Gregory B. Walters (Ninth Circuit), Eugene J. Murret (Tenth Circuit), Norman E. Zoller (Eleventh Circuit), and Linda Finkelstein (District of Columbia Circuit) were also present at Conference sessions.

The Solicitor General of the United States, Kenneth Starr, addressed the Conference on matters of mutual interest to the Department of Justice, the Congress, and the Conference. Circuit Judge William W. Wilkins, Jr., Chairman of the United States Sentencing Commission, briefed the Conference on issues concerning the Sentencing Commission.

L. Ralph Mecham, Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, attended the sessions of the Conference, as did James E. Macklin, Jr., Deputy Director; William R. Burchill, Jr., General Counsel; Robert E. Feidler, Legislative and Public Affairs Officer; Karen K. Siegel, Judicial Conference and Management Coordination Officer; Charles W. Nihan, Chief, Long Range Planning Office; Wendy Jennis, Deputy Chief, Office of the Judicial Conference Secretariat; and David A. Sellers, Public Information Officer. Judge William W Schwarzer and Russell R. Wheeler, Director and Deputy Director of the Federal Judicial Center, also attended the sessions of the Conference, as did Robb Jones, Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, L. Ralph Mecham, presented to the Conference the *Annual Report of the Director* for the year ended June 30, 1991. The Conference authorized the Director to release the *Annual Report* immediately in preliminary form and to revise and supplement the final printed edition.

JUDICIAL BUSINESS OF THE COURTS

Mr. Mecham reported that during 1991, total filings in the regional courts of appeals rose three percent to a record high of 42,033. The overall increase in appeals resulted in part from a five percent growth in criminal appeals from the U.S. district courts. Two major factors contributed to this increase: enactment of the Sentencing Guidelines which provide for appeals of convictions as well as sentences imposed, and drug-related appeals, which comprised 56 percent of the total criminal appeals filed. Appeals of the decisions of the district courts on state and federal prisoner petitions rose six percent, and appeals of bankruptcy cases increased a record 15 percent. Administrative agency cases, after a drop of 13 percent last year, increased seven percent in 1991. The courts of appeals disposed of 41,414 appeals in 1991, an increase of eight percent; appeals terminated on the merits rose seven percent. During 1991, the pending caseload in the regional courts of appeals increased two percent to 32,627, compared to an eight percent increase in 1990.

Filings in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit increased one percent to 1,484, attributable to cases from the recently-formed Court of Veterans Appeals. Appeals disposed of in the Federal Circuit rose two percent to 1,424 this year. Since filings outnumbered terminations, the pending caseload rose by 60 cases or eight percent.

In the U.S. district courts, civil filings, which have decreased in number since 1988, declined again this past year to 207,742 cases, a drop of 10,137 (five percent) from 1990. Civil filings involving the United States decreased six percent to 52,654 cases; private filings declined by four percent to 155,088. The dip in U.S. government filings was mostly attributable to a 31 percent drop in actions to recover defaulted student loans and a 26 percent decrease in actions

to recover overpayment of veterans' benefits. By contrast, actions against the U.S. increased slightly to 25,090 filings. Both federal prisoner petitions (up three percent) and social security cases (up four percent) contributed to this growth. The most significant change in private civil filings was an 11 percent decrease in diversity of citizenship cases, attributable to a significant decline in asbestos cases, which fell 49 percent from 12,822 in 1990 to 6,539 in 1991. Diversity filings excluding asbestos cases remained essentially stable in 1991 at 44,405, suggesting that the initial impact of the May 1989 increase of the jurisdictional amount in diversity cases from \$10,000 to \$50,000, may be abating. Civil cases terminated remained stable in 1991 at 211,713 cases. Since terminations outnumbered filings by almost 4,000 cases, the pending caseload decreased by two percent to 240,599.

During 1991, criminal filings in the U.S. district courts declined for the first time since 1980, dropping four percent to 47,035 cases (including transfers). In contrast, the number of defendants charged increased slightly from 66,341 in 1990 to 66,556 in 1991. Drug cases (excluding transfers) decreased two percent from 12,592 in 1990 to 12,400 in 1991, due primarily to a decline in drug filings in the Southern District of Texas. Excluding Texas (Southern), drug filings nationwide actually increased three percent (292 cases) in 1991, and weapons and firearms filings increased 10 percent (to 2,975). Criminal cases terminated decreased six percent to 41,569 in 1991. Since the number of filings outnumbered terminations during 1991, the pending caseload rose 16 percent. On June 30, 1991, there were 39,276 pending criminal cases involving 58,393 defendants in U.S. district courts.

Bankruptcy filings rose 21 percent in 1991, to 880,399; terminations increased nearly 16 percent over 1990, reaching 718,885 for the same period. Because filings outpaced terminations, the number of pending petitions rose by 161,514 cases (17 percent) in 1991. The total pending caseload has now reached 1,123,433 petitions. The growth in bankruptcy filings was a result of a rapid rise in non-business filings, spurred primarily by 23 percent increases in both Chapter 7 liquidation and Chapter 13 adjustment filings. Business filings rose by a moderate five percent in 1991. Chapter 11 reorganizations had the largest percentage increase of all business chapters, rising nearly 12 percent to 19,540 filings; Chapter 13 and Chapter 7 business filings increased five percent and one percent, respectively. Chapter 12 family farmers filing business debt adjustments remained constant at 1,358 cases in 1991.

Mr. Mecham also reported that as of September 18, 1991, there were 21 vacancies among the 179 judgeship positions authorized for the United States courts of appeals, 109 vacancies among the 649 positions authorized for the United States district courts, and two vacancies on the United States Court of International Trade.

ACTIVITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

Mr. Mecham reported that since the mid-1980's, the budget and staff of the Administrative Office have declined steadily relative to the growth of the entire judiciary. For example, the Administrative Office's budget authority as a percentage of the budget authority for the entire judiciary has declined from three percent in 1984 to just over two percent today (excluding funding for reimbursable court automation positions).

The Administrative Office was reorganized in 1991 to make better use of its limited resources and to put additional emphasis on several critical areas. These changes provide greater focus on fundamental financial activities as well as the increasingly complex personnel and facilities areas. The reorganization resulted in the creation of a new Assistant Director position for Court Programs, providing enhanced management attention, guidance, and support in this critical area. In addition, three new offices were formed to (a) provide support to the Federal Rules committees; (b) staff the Committee on Long Range Planning; and (c) assess the resource impact of legislative and executive branch proposals on the judiciary.

The Administrative Office continues to make the decentralization of administrative functions to the courts a high priority. To date, court managers have been delegated authority in 48 management areas, and decentralization projects are currently underway or planned in an additional 15 areas. Twenty courts have been trained and have implemented budget decentralization in the fiscal year 1992, and all interested courts are expected to begin implementation by the fiscal year 1994.

The AO contracted with three consultants to review the federal judiciary automation program. This review provided a broad management-level assessment of the goals, strategies, and future direction of automation in the judiciary. The final report emphasized that the users should have more involvement in the design process and also recommended changes in the way the judiciary plans, budgets, and manages its automation activities. Procedures for implementing the report's recommendations are being developed.

During 1991, considerable staff effort and resources were devoted to developing plans for implementing the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990. Also in 1991, the Administrative Office began to establish a new AO personnel system. In support of the Judicial Conference and its committees, and to carry out Conference policies, the AO completed many other projects, including developing a new staffing formula for probation and pretrial services offices, designing a new method of allocating positions to staff attorneys' offices in the United States Courts of Appeals, awarding a contract for the judiciary's data communications network and beginning the first phase of implementation, designing an automated case assignment system for district and bankruptcy courts to facilitate random assignment of judges to cases, issuing a new Bankruptcy Clerks Manual and revising the Court Reporters Manual, conducting an extensive review of Criminal Justice Act (CJA) panel attorney compensation rates, concluding a report on the mandatory drug testing program required by the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, and completing the Court Operations and Administration Surveys assessing the state of administrative operations in the courts.

A major goal for 1992 will be the strengthening of the judiciary's space and facilities program. Tremendous progress has already been made with the publication of a new *United States Courts Design Guide* and the completion of long-range facilities plans by a third of the courts. Support mechanisms have been created to allow individual courts to take a much more aggressive role in managing their space and facilities programs. Currently, the judiciary is fully dependent on the executive branch for the provision of space and facilities. Consequently, a top legislative priority is enactment of legislation giving the judiciary independent real property authorities. With the need for major courthouse construction over the next decade, it is critical that the judiciary be able to set its own priorities and control the planning and funding of its projects.

Other legislative priorities for the coming year include reforming the Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (JSAS), including lowering the contribution rate for active, senior, and recalled judges from five to one percent; securing revisions to the proposed Violent Crime Control Act; obtaining new bankruptcy judgeships; and pursuing the repeal of Section 140 of Public Law 97-92 so that judges will receive automatic annual cost-of-living increases.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER

The Director of the Federal Judicial Center, Judge William W Schwarzer, reported on a reorganization of the Center approved by the Board last May, and on various educational and research projects of the Center. The Division of Continuing Education and Training was divided into a Judicial Education Division, for the education of judicial officers and staff attorneys, and a Court Education Division, for the education of the personnel of clerks' offices, probation and pretrial services officers, and the other supporting personnel of the judicial branch. Furthermore, the Publications Division has been expanded to a Publications and Media Division, absorbing most of the functions of the

since-abolished Special Educational Services Division, except the Center's Federal Judicial History Office, which is now a part of its general administration.

While the Center is expanding its orientation and continuing educational programs for judges, the creation of a separate Court Education Division indicates its commitment to strengthening the depth and breadth of its programs for supporting personnel. The Center and the Administrative Office continue their coordination of educational programming in light of their respective missions, as recommended by the AO-FJC Joint Task Force on education and training programs. The expanded Publications and Media Division will allow a more prominent role for publications and video productions in third branch education.

At the request of the Judicial Conference's Long Range Planning Committee, the Center is working with the Committee and the Administrative Office's Long Range Planning Office to fashion a long range planning seminar in March, 1992, for chief circuit judges and chairs of Conference committees. It is working with the National Center for State Courts and the State Justice Institute to develop a conference in April, 1992, on state-federal judicial relationships, which includes the Judicial Conference as a co-sponsor.

The Center has devoted significant resources in recent months to helping the courts implement the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990, including customized technical assistance with caseload data analysis and two-day seminars in August and September, respectively, for the Act's pilot courts and early implementation and demonstration courts.

Judge Schwarzer noted that the Center and Sentencing Commission had reached agreement on procedures to ensure both the Center and the Commission will work together, without duplication, on programming that reflects both agencies' statutory obligations for sentencing education.

The Center is currently engaged in two wide-ranging studies of the courts of appeals, both mandated by Congress: one analyzes the extent and impact of intercircuit conflicts, and the other is studying various alternatives to the current structure of the courts of appeals.

ELECTIONS

The Judicial Conference elected to membership on the Board of the Federal Judicial Center, Judge J. Harvie Wilkinson of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and Bankruptcy Judge Sidney B. Brooks of the District of Colorado, to succeed Circuit Judge Monroe G. McKay and Bankruptcy Judge Robert E. Ginsberg.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Between Judicial Conference sessions, the Executive Committee took the following actions on behalf of the Conference:

CRIME LEGISLATION

The Executive Committee approved a report and recommendations of the Committee on Criminal Law and Probation Administration on the Senate-passed Biden-Thurmond Violent Crime Control Act of 1991 (S. 1241, 102nd Congress), including provisions on drug testing, mandatory restitution, mandatory minimum sentences, probation and supervised release revocation, law enforcement officers' rights, denial of federal benefits, and payment for services of guardians ad litem and HIV testing. Reiterating the Conference's long-standing position that federal prosecutions should be limited to charges that cannot or should not be prosecuted in state courts, and its opposition to mandatory minimum sentences, the Executive Committee also opposed two amendments in S. 1241: one to provide for federal prosecution of cases in which a firearm was used to commit a homicide if the firearm at some point crossed state or foreign borders, and the other to provide mandatory prison terms for the use, possession, or carrying of a firearm during a state crime of violence or state drug trafficking crime.

The Committee also concurred in the AO Director's recommendations that Congress should authorize the expansion of pretrial services urinalysis tests for inclusion of the results in pretrial services reports, and not establish a system of mandatory testing for post-conviction felony offenders.

CIVIL JUSTICE REFORM ACT

Title III of Public Law 101-650, the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 ("CJRA"), requires that the Director of the Administrative Office prepare semiannual reports showing, by judicial officer, motions pending for more than six months, bench trials submitted for more than six months, and civil cases pending for more than three years. In contrast, prior Conference reporting requirements mandate the quarterly compilation of matters under advisement over 60 days, and an annual review of cases pending for more than three years.

The information required under the CJRA is sufficiently similar to the prior Conference requirements that the CJRA reports should be substituted therefor. Accordingly, the Executive Committee concurred in the recommendation of the Committee on Court Administration and Case Management that the quarterly reports on matters under advisement over 60 days and annual reports of threeyear-old cases be replaced by semi-annual reports on motions pending for more than six months, bench trials submitted for more than six months, and cases pending for more than three years. In order to make the reporting cycles for the district courts and courts of appeals consistent, the Executive Committee also agreed to require semi-annual rather than quarterly reports of matters under submission in the courts of appeals for more than 90 days. The Committee defined "motions pending," "bench trials submitted," and "three-year-old cases," and directed that the first CJRA report will be compiled on the basis of motions, bench trials, and cases pending on September 30, 1991. Of course, any circuit judicial council may require any other reports that it deems appropriate.

Until such time as they can be generated from automated systems, these reports will have to be prepared individually by judges and magistrate judges. Circuit executives will collect the reports from judicial officers in the same manner as they presently collect reports on matters under advisement, and forward consolidated circuit reports to the Administrative Office.

The Committee also approved interim guidelines for the allocation of resources for the implementation of the CJRA.

ADDITIONAL BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS

In March, 1991 (JCUS-MAR 91, pp. 12-13), the Judicial Conference approved a new system of bankruptcy case weights and a biennial survey of judgeship needs. With regard to the 1991 survey, the Conference agreed to an expedited process, whereby recommendations would be presented to the Executive Committee in July, 1991.

After canvassing the Judicial Conference, the Executive Committee concurred in the Bankruptcy Committee's recommendation that Congress should create 18 new bankruptcy judgeships (in addition to 14 additional judgeships recommended by the Conference between September, 1989, and February, 1991), as follows: one each in the Districts of Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Connecticut, Pennsylvania (Eastern), New Jersey, Texas (Northern), Texas (Western), Tennessee (Western), Illinois (Southern), Alabama (Northern) and Florida (Southern); two each in the Southern District of New York, the Central District of California, and the Middle District of Florida; and a shared judgeship for the Middle and Southern Districts of Georgia. Approval of the new judgeships in New Hampshire and Illinois (Southern) was premised on the understanding that these courts would then provide substantial assistance in the District of Rhode Island and the Southern District of Indiana, respectively. The Executive Committee considered and amended a Senate proposal that would require the Judicial Conference, after considering the results of a survey of the district conducted by the Director of the Administrative Office, to determine and report to the Congress whether bankruptcy judgeship vacancies created by resignation, retirement, removal or death should be filled.

In order to comply with a May 1, 1991, statutory deadline (§ 104 of the Bankruptcy Code) for transmittal to the Congress and the President, the Committee also approved recommendations for the uniform adjustment of dollar amounts in bankruptcy cases.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT COMPENSATION

On recommendation of the Defender Services Committee, the Executive Committee (a) approved an attorney pay cost adjustment (formerly referred to as an "alternative attorney compensation rate") under the Criminal Justice Act of \$75 for in- and out-of-court time in the Northern District of Georgia, subject to the availability of funds; and (b) authorized the immediate retroactive implementation of the \$75 rate for all services performed by counsel appointed under the CJA in one case pending in that district, <u>United States v. Moody, Jr.</u>, because of the unique circumstances involved. See also "Criminal Justice Act Compensation," infra pp. 56-57.

VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT

The Violence Against Women Act of 1991 (S. 15, 102nd Congress) would, among other things, make the intentional injury to a spouse or intimate partner, by one who crossed a state line, a federal crime (Title II), provide for a civil rights remedy in the federal courts for victims of gender-based violence (Title III) and "encourage the circuit courts to conduct studies of instances, if any, of gender bias in each circuit" (Title V). The Executive Committee agreed to take no position on Title V, but directed the Administrative Office to continue to work with Congress to obtain acceptable modifications. With regard to Titles II and III, three Conference committees had reviewed the legislation and reported concern about its potential impact on the federal courts. Accordingly, the Committee voted to recommend the appointment by the Chief Justice of a special Ad Hoc Committee, to address the bill. See also "Violence Against Women Act," infra pp. 57-58.

CAMERAS IN THE COURTROOM

In reviewing the guidelines for conducting the cameras in the courtroom pilot program (see JCUS-SEP 90, pp. 103-104), the Committee on Court

Administration and Case Management determined that certain amendments to the guidelines were necessary prior to the experiment's July 1, 1991, commencement date. The Executive Committee concurred, modifying the guidelines in three respects. The Executive Committee also directed the Court Administration and Case Management Committee to notify courts that strict adherence to the guidelines is a condition to participation in this program.

RESOLUTION

Noting that this was the last Judicial Conference session for Chief Judge Charles Clark, Chairman of the Executive Committee, the Committee (Judge Clark not participating) approved the following resolution:

The Judicial Conference of the United States at its September 1991 session in Asheville, North Carolina, hereby pays special tribute to the:

HONORABLE CHARLES CLARK

Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference.

To the great misfortune of the Third Branch, Charles Clark has announced his intention to retire from service as a federal judge to pursue private practice. His departure will create a mammoth vacuum which will be difficult to fill. Serving the Judicial Conference in key leadership roles for over a decade, Judge Clark's contributions to the judicial system are innumerable.

Appointed to the Court of Appeals on October 17, 1969, Judge Clark became Chief Judge and a member of the Judicial Conference in October, 1981. He served on the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Appellate Rules from 1979 to 1982. In 1980, Judge Clark was appointed to the Budget Committee, and he served as its chairman from 1981 to 1987. He was a member of the Committee to Study the Judicial Conference from 1986 to 1987 and of the Special Committee on Habeas Corpus Review of Capital Sentences from 1988 to 1989. Judge Clark became a member of the Executive Committee in 1984, serving as Chairman since 1989. He chaired the Legislative Liaison Group of the Executive Committee from 1987 to 1989, and took on this role again in 1991. His tenure as Budget Committee Chairman was particularly noteworthy for his outstanding leadership during a time of unprecedented judicial growth and ever-present threat of diminishing resources. In his role as a principal witness for the judiciary before Congress, Judge Clark commanded the respect of the legislators and was instrumental in earning credibility for the Third Branch. He felt a special responsibility to ensure that the judiciary requested only the funds that were required, and that these moneys were expended wisely and without waste. When the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 ("Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act") required substantial cuts in the judiciary's budget, Judge Clark did an extraordinary job leading the March 1986 session of the Judicial Conference through the mass of complex and difficult issues which required resolution in order to satisfy budgetary requirements.

Judge Clark served on the Judicial Conference Executive Committee longer than any other member in recent history. His consistent concern for the views of the full Conference and its presiding officer coupled with his dedication, wisdom and integrity combined to make his leadership of the group an exceptional one. A thorough and hardworking Chairman, he deftly led the Committee through the many important, and often emergent, issues before it, including such issues as those concerning the Civil Justice Reform Act and cameras in the courtroom, as well as a myriad of matters arising from the Federal Courts Study Committee. Judge Clark's unique role and special stature is reflected in part by the Chief Justice's entrusting the gavel to him during portions of the Conference sessions.

It is with mixed feelings that we express our warm and heartfelt good wishes to Charles Clark in his retirement from the federal judiciary. We extend our sincere gratitude and appreciation to him and his charming wife, Emily, as they return to private life. Judge Clark has been a distinguished and respected leader, and his significant contributions to the federal judicial system will be felt for many years to come.

MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS

The Executive Committee also:

Ď

approved a revised financial plan for the fiscal year 1991 to take into account funds received from enactment of a supplemental appropriations

request, and also approved adjustments to the fiscal year 1991 financial plan to utilize an estimated end-of-year balance in the "Salaries and Expenses" appropriation to establish a reserve for the fourth quarter;

- deferred until its consideration of the spending plan for the fiscal year 1992 a request by the Chief Judge of the United States Claims Court for immediate funding of temporary second law clerks for Claims Court judges;
- assigned oversight responsibility for the monitoring of asbestos litigation and legislation to the Committees on Court Administration and Case Management and Federal-State Jurisdiction, with the expectation that the chairmen would appoint a joint subcommittee on asbestos;
- directed that Conference members be provided with committee reports at the same time they are provided to Executive Committee members, thereby giving Conference members more time to review the reports;
- concurred in grammatical and formatting changes in the United States Courts Design Guide;
- changed the name of the Magistrates Committee to the "Committee on the Administration of the Magistrate Judges System";
- agreed to poll the Judicial Conference on presenting the names proposed by Chief Justice Rehnquist of Judges Pamela Rymer, Alicemarie Stotler, and Roger Wollman as possible successors to Judge George E. MacKinnon on the United States Sentencing Commission;²
- reviewed a list of likely appointees by the Chief Justice to a special committee to study the Criminal Justice Act (see § 318 of Public Law 101-650);
- requested the Director of the Administrative Office to notify all federal judicial officers of procedures to follow to have their addresses and telephone numbers removed from directories and similar publications;
- directed that Congressional leaders be contacted to request that an ongoing GAO study on court security include the entire subject of court and judicial security;

²The Conference concurred in the recommendations, and the names were officially transmitted to the President on June 25, 1991.

- approved the agenda for the Ninth Circuit Sentencing Institute in September, 1991, and also approved a Sentencing Institute for the judges of the Second and Eighth Circuits in March, 1992, pending approval of the circuits involved; and
- declined to initiate a study into whether a contract relocation services program for disposal of the residences of certain judicial officers and employees transferred in the interest of the government should be reinstituted, and also declined to authorize such assistance on an expedited basis for a particular judge whose permanent duty station had changed.

COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

The Committee on the Administrative Office reported that it has established a subcommittee to examine the volume and nature of all the statistical gatherings and reports produced by the Administrative Office to assist the full Committee in reviewing those materials for continued usefulness.

COMMITTEE ON AUTOMATION AND TECHNOLOGY

ACCESS TO THE COURTS BY THE DEAF COMMUNITY

The Judicial Conference approved a pilot project in two courts in the Eighth Circuit to provide enhanced access to those courts by the deaf community. The project involves the installation of Telecommunication Devices for the Deaf (TDD) in the clerks' offices. In addition, the Committee on Automation and Technology reported that the General Services Administration provides a relay service to deaf persons who wish to make an inquiry to a federal agency. This service is available in all districts to assist a deaf person who needs to contact a federal court.

VIDEOCONFERENCING - ORAL ARGUMENTS

The Conference approved, pending available funding, a one-year videoconferencing pilot project in the Third Circuit. This project will allow the Court of Appeals to use videoconferencing for oral arguments between Pittsburgh and Philadelphia. The cost-effectiveness of this practice will be studied, but it is anticipated that the service will benefit attorneys by enabling them to avoid travel costs and extended periods of time away from the office.

JUDICIARY AUTOMATION FUND

The Judiciary Automation Fund allows the judiciary to convert funds which normally must be expended in one fiscal year into funds which may be expended over multiple fiscal years, thus providing stability and flexibility in the automation program. Pursuant to the appropriations legislation which established the Fund (Public Law 101-162), the operating authority of the Fund will terminate on September 30, 1994. On recommendation of the Committee on Automation and Technology and the Committee on the Budget, the Judicial Conference endorsed the continuation of the Judiciary Automation Fund beyond 1994 and agreed to seek a five-year extension of the Fund.

BANKRUPTCY COURT AUTOMATION

In 1988, the Committee on Judicial Improvements approved a policy, which was incorporated into the *Long Range Plan for Automation in the U.S. Courts*, that the National Interim Bankruptcy System (NIBS) was an interim program and would not be expanded to include electronic docketing. On recommendation of the Committee on Automation and Technology, because of the strong preference of some NIBS courts to retain NIBS pending the transition to the new Court Integrated Information Management System (CIIMS) architecture, the Judicial Conference established the following new policy:

- a. Bankruptcy courts that have not been trained on BANCAP may choose which system (either BANCAP or NIBS) they prefer to implement; and
- b. once either NIBS or BANCAP is chosen, the decision can be changed only in extraordinary circumstances and with the approval of the Committee on Automation and Technology.

FILING BY FACSIMILE

Effective December 1, 1991, amendments to the Federal Rules of Appellate, Civil, and Bankruptcy Procedure allow federal courts to enact local rules to permit papers to be filed by facsimile, provided that such local rules may be adopted only if "authorized by and consistent with standards established by the Judicial Conference of the United States." Given the current state of affordable facsimile technology, the routine acceptance at this time of court documents by facsimile would present practical problems and would create an administrative and resource burden to the courts. Thus, the Judicial Conference authorized courts, effective December 1, 1991, to adopt local rules to permit the clerk to accept for filing papers transmitted by facsimile transmission equipment, provided that such filing is permitted only (a) in compelling circumstances or (b) under a practice which was established by the court prior to May 1, 1991 (the date the Federal Rules changes were transmitted to Congress).

COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION OF THE BANKRUPTCY SYSTEM

UNITED STATES TRUSTEES

Upon recommendation of the Committee on Administration of the Bankruptcy System, the Judicial Conference approved a Memorandum of Understanding between the Executive Office for United States Trustees and the judiciary regarding case closing and post confirmation Chapter 11 monitoring. This memorandum, which incorporates comments from the bankruptcy courts, fully delineates the respective responsibilities of the courts and the United States trustees in the case closing process.

TRUSTEE COMPENSATION

The Judicial Conference agreed to seek appropriate legislative action to assure that a trustee who serves in a case converted to Chapter 7 will receive the same compensation authorized by section 330(b) of the Bankruptcy Code for a trustee serving in a case originally filed under Chapter 7.

COMMITTEE ON THE BICENTENNIAL OF THE CONSTITUTION

The Committee on the Bicentennial of the Constitution reported that over 300 Article III judges had expressed interest in attending the Conference in Celebration of the Bill of Rights in Williamsburg in October, 1991. The Conference agenda provided a historical perspective on the Bill of Rights and included topics which focused on the First Amendment, examined criminal law issues and the right to privacy, and looked at the Bill of Rights in the international community.

COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET

ALTERNATIVE BUDGET REQUEST FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1992

Anticipating the need, once again, to reduce the judiciary's budget request below the optimum level, the Committee on the Budget proposed, and the Judicial Conference approved, alternative, or lower, budget estimates for the fiscal year 1993, subject to amendments necessary as a result of (a) new legislation; (b) actions of the Judicial Conference; or (c) other reasons the Director of the Administrative Office considers necessary and appropriate.

COMMITTEE ON CODES OF CONDUCT

The Committee on Codes of Conduct reported that, since its last report, the Committee has received 49 new written inquiries and issued 43 advisory responses. The Chairman received and responded to 54 telephone inquiries, and other committee members responded to 62 informal inquiries from their colleagues.

FEDERAL ETHICS LAW REFORM

The Judicial Conference approved the recommendation of the Committee on Codes of Conduct to amend the regulations implementing Titles III and VI of the Ethics Reform Act of 1989 (Public Law 101-194), relating to gifts and outside earned income, honoraria, and outside employment, to (a) cover the Commissioners and employees of the Sentencing Commission and the judges and employees of the United States Tax Court; (b) reflect technical amendments to the Ethics Reform Act and changes in other laws; and (c) make other minor technical corrections.

COMMITTEE ON COURT ADMINISTRATION AND CASE MANAGEMENT

NATURALIZATION

Under the Naturalization Amendments of 1990, effective October, 1991, applicants for naturalization have an administrative ceremony unless they elect to take their oath in a ceremony in district court. These amendments also provide that the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) is responsible for printing and issuing all naturalization certificates; however, INS will not commit to providing courts with certificates to be issued at the court oath-taking ceremonies. Many judges who take pride in conducting these ceremonies, and wish to continue the tradition of oath-taking ceremonies in the courts, feel that a significant number of applicants will not choose a court ceremony if they believe that to do so would delay their receipt of a naturalization certificate. Therefore, the Conference resolved that any person seeking United States citizenship who elects a federal court as the situs of the administration of the oath of allegiance should be provided with a certificate of naturalization at the time of oath-taking.

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT

Upon request of the Eastern District of North Carolina and the Judicial Council for the Fourth Circuit, the Judicial Conference agreed to recommend that Congress amend 28 U.S.C. § 113(a) to delete Clinton and Washington as places of holding court for the Eastern District of North Carolina and establish Greenville as a place of holding court. The Conference also agreed, upon request of the Eastern District of Texas and the Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit, to recommend that Congress amend 28 U.S.C. § 124(c) to establish Plano as a place of holding district court in the Eastern District of Texas.³

VIDEOCONFERENCING - DEPOSITIONS/TESTIMONY

Noting that the cost and delay associated with the scheduling and travel often required for the taking of depositions and testimony in civil actions could be reduced through the use of videoconferencing technology, the Committee on Court Administration and Case Management recommended the approval in concept of the use of videoconferencing technology for the taking of depositions and the testimony of witnesses. The Conference concurred in the recommendation.

COMMITTEE ON COURT AND JUDICIAL SECURITY

The Committee on Court and Judicial Security reported that it had discussed the various activities it is monitoring, including a legislative proposal to authorize judicial officers to carry firearms; background investigations of court employees; and the General Accounting Office study of court security (see "Miscellaneous Actions," <u>supra</u> pp. 49-51). The Committee also received reports from the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the United States Secret Service on off-site security.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW AND PROBATION ADMINISTRATION

INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

The Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Program Appropriations Act (Public Law 101-513) required the Judicial Conference to report to Congress by October 1, 1991, on the feasibility and the relationship to the federal judiciary of an international criminal court. The Conference approved a report proposed by the Committee on Criminal Law and Probation Administration and authorized its transmittal to Congress.

³ In subsequent action, the Executive Committee approved on behalf of the Conference (mail vote concluded October 18, 1991), the substitution of Plano for Sherman as the place of holding bankruptcy court for the Sherman and Paris Divisions of the Eastern District of Texas, effective immediately.

DRUG AFTERCARE PROGRAM

The authorization for funding for the substance abuse aftercare program expires on September 30, 1992. On recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference endorsed legislation to reauthorize appropriations for the AO Director to contract for the substance abuse aftercare program.

MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCES

Under current law, the only way a judge can sentence below a mandatory minimum is upon a motion of the government attesting that the defendant has provided substantial assistance. This can help offenders with a high degree of involvement, but often does not help lesser involved offenders who have no knowledge to trade. While the judiciary's overriding goal is to persuade Congress to repeal mandatory minimum sentences, for the short term, a safety valve of some sort is needed to ameliorate some of the harshest results of mandatory minimums. The Judicial Conference, on recommendation of the Committee on Criminal Law and Probation Administration, approved a proposed statutory amendment that would provide district judges with authority to impose a sentence below a mandatory minimum when a defendant has limited involvement in an offense.

COMMITTEE ON DEFENDER SERVICES

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT COMPENSATION

The Judicial Conference approved, subject to the availability of funds⁴, the Defender Services Committee's recommendation of a pay cost adjustment to increase the attorney compensation rate under the Criminal Justice Act to \$75 per hour for in-court and out-of-court work in the 35 districts set forth below:

Alabama (Southern) Arizona Connecticut Florida (Middle) Florida (Northern) Guam Idaho Kentucky (Eastern) Kentucky (Western) Louisiana (Eastern) Louisiana (Middle) Louisiana (Western) Maryland Michigan (Western) Mississippi (Northern) Missouri (Southern) Missouri (Eastern) Missouri (Western) Nevada North Carolina (Western) Ohio (Northern) Ohio (Southern) Oregon Pennsylvania (Middle)

⁴Funding is not available for the fiscal year 1992.

Pennsylvania (Western) South Carolina Tennessee (Eastern) Tennessee (Middle) Texas (Eastern) Texas (Northern) Texas (Southern) Texas (Western) Virginia (Western) West Virginia (Northern) West Virginia (Southern)

In anticipation of additional requests for pay cost adjustments, and to reduce the administrative burden on the Judicial Conference and its Executive Committee, the Conference delegated to the Defender Services Committee the authority to approve attorney pay cost adjustments for districts and in individual cases. See also "Criminal Justice Act Compensation," <u>supra p. 47</u>.

COMMITTEE ON FEDERAL-STATE JURISDICTION

VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT

After considering the report and recommendations of the Committee on Federal-State Jurisdiction and the Ad Hoc Committee on Gender-Based Violence, the Judicial Conference approved the following resolution on S. 15 (102nd Congress), the proposed Violence Against Women Act of 1991:

The Judicial Conference of the United States, while supporting the objectives of the Violence Against Women Act of 1991 (S. 15), joins the National Conference of State Chief Justices in opposing specific provisions of the current draft that significantly threaten the ability of the federal courts to administer this Act, and other Acts of Congress, promptly, fairly, and in accordance with their objectives.

Title III of S. 15 as drafted creates federal jurisdiction to allow victims of certain defined criminal acts motivated by gender to recover compensatory and punitive damages. The Conference agrees with the State Chief Justices that the current broad definition of crime (applicable, for example, to misdemeanors and other minor threats against persons and property) creates a right that "will be invoked as a bargaining tool within the context of divorce negotiations and add a major complicating factor to an environment which is often acrimonious as it is."

Title II creates a federal crime where one who, having traveled across a state line, commits an intentional act that injures his spouse or intimate partner. Furthermore, the federal court before which the criminal case is brought may issue temporary orders of protection of an abused spouse or intimate partner.

The Conference agrees with the State Chief Justices when they say that S. 15 as drafted could cause major state-federal jurisdictional problems and disruptions in the processing of domestic relations cases in state courts. The Chief Justices point out that over three million domestic relations cases were filed in state courts in 1989. If a party to one-tenth of those suits were to seek collateral recourse under S. 15, those cases alone would exceed the total of all cases now pending in the district courts and courts of appeals of the federal judiciary.

In the recently enacted Civil Justice Reform Act, Congress recognized and stated very well the predicament of the civil dockets of the federal courts. By adding to those dockets causes of action now actionable in state courts, as S. 15 would do, or as sections 202 and 1213(G) of S. 1241 (the Violent Crime Control Act of 1991) would do, the serious problem will become much worse.

The subject of violence based on gender and possible responses is extremely complex. The Conference is prepared to work with Congress to ensure the most efficient utilization of scarce judicial resources and to fashion an appropriate response to violence directed against women.

The Conference instructed the Ad Hoc Committee on Gender-Based Violence to continue its dialogue with the sponsors of S. 15 and, in collaboration with the Executive Committee, to make known the position of the Judicial Conference to the members of Congress. See also "Violence Against Women Act," supra p. 47.

TRANSFER OF VENUE

The limit in 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) on transfer of a civil action only to a district where it "might have been brought" is a source of potential difficulty, particularly where actions growing out of the same events may be pending in different courts and consolidation may be desirable. The Conference approved a proposal by the Federal-State Jurisdiction Committee to seek an amendment to this section which would delete the language "where it might have been brought" and thereby permit the transfer of civil litigation to any judicial district under the prevailing standards that govern section 1404(a) transfer.

HABEAS CORPUS

Title XI of S. 1241 (102nd Congress), the Biden-Thurmond Violent Crime Control Act of 1991, which passed the Senate on July 11, 1991, contains provisions concerning general and capital habeas corpus reform. The habeas provisions in the Senate crime bill provide for deference to state court factual and legal determinations that have been "fully and fairly adjudicated" in state proceedings. Taking a formal position on the issue of "full and fair adjudication", the Judicial Conference voted to oppose the inclusion of language relating to full and fair adjudication contained in the proposed amendments of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2254(d) and 2259(b) in S. 1241.

REMOVAL OF RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION CASES

The Conference approved the Federal-State Jurisdiction Committee's recommendation that Congress amend 12 U.S.C. § 1441a(I)(3) primarily to permit more flexibility in the removal authority of the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) in savings and loan actions. This amendment should alleviate some of the burden on the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and provide other options for removal of actions involving the RTC. The proposed amendment makes three other changes to the statute, not directly related to removal to the District of Columbia: (a) It ensures that this statute does not supersede any other removal provision; (b) it changes the time for removal from 30 days after suit is filed to 30 days after service to correct the problems arising from delayed service; and (c) it clarifies the procedure governing substitution of the RTC into pending litigation.

NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON STATE-FEDERAL JUDICIAL RELATIONSHIPS

The Judicial Conference agreed to co-sponsor a National Conference on State-Federal Judicial Relationships in the spring of 1992. Other sponsors include the Federal Judicial Center, the State Justice Institute, the Conference of State Chief Justices, the National Center for State Courts, and the National Judicial Council of State and Federal Courts.

COMMITTEE ON INTERCIRCUIT ASSIGNMENTS

The Committee on Intercircuit Assignments reported that during the period February 1, 1991, through July 31, 1991, the Committee had recommended 92 intercircuit assignments to be undertaken by 61 Article III judges. Of this number, 21 were senior circuit judges, five were active circuit judges, 24 were senior district judges, five were active district judges, one was a senior judge from the Court of International Trade, and five were active judges of the Court of International Trade.

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIAL BRANCH

TRAVEL REGULATIONS FOR JUSTICES AND JUDGES

The Judicial Conference approved amendments to the <u>Travel Regulations</u> for United States Justices and Judges to:

- a. authorize the reimbursement of travel expenses incurred by the chief judge of a circuit or district in which a new Supreme Court justice previously served, for attending, upon invitation of the Chief Justice, the investiture of that justice;
- b. modify the actual expense reimbursement provisions for judges who rent or maintain an abode at a temporary duty location, permitting more favorable treatment of long-term lodging costs where the abode is rented by the week or month because the rent is less than the cost of acceptable hotel or motel accommodations, or where lodging during official travel is the primary purpose for renting or maintaining the abode on an annual basis;
- c. clarify that any judge who elects to claim subsistence on an actual expense basis must continue to do so on the day on which the judge returns to the official duty station or residence from which he or she commutes to that duty station;
- d. authorize reimbursement for travel expenses incurred by the Chief Justice or chief judge of a court, or his or her designee among the members of the court, in attending memorial services and funerals of judges or court employees;
- e. clarify that a senior judge may be reimbursed for travel expenses whenever the judge is authorized to travel away from his or her customary residence (official duty station) to transact official business;
- f. reflect the current use of government-sponsored credit cards and special accounts with GSA-contracted travel agents, rather than Government Transportation Requests (GTRs), to obtain commercial airplane, railroad, and bus tickets for official travel; and
- g. clarify that for reimbursement of expenses incurred by transferred judges in the sale and purchase of a residence, the judges should rely on the information in the relocation package provided at the time of transfer, which will be the most current, rather than the rates published in the regulations.

Upon recommendation of the Committee on the Judicial Branch, the Conference agreed to support legislation requested by the General Services Administration to authorize increased mileage rates for use of privately-owned aircraft, automobiles, and motorcycles on official travel.

On the subject of travel of spouses, the Judicial Conference adopted the following statement of policy:

The Judicial Conference recognizes the importance of spouses in the official lives of our judges. While there are countless examples of the personal aid and support that judges' spouses provide, they also perform a broader, public service by helping judges to bear the heavy responsibilities and confront the potential risks of a judicial career. This frequently causes spouses to put aside other obligations to accompany judges on official travel; for example, they may attend seminars at circuit judicial conferences that focus on security awareness and other matters of concern to judges and the Judiciary.

The Conference wishes to alleviate the personal financial burden of this spousal travel, yet does not advocate the use of appropriated funds to that end. Accordingly, the Committee on the Judicial Branch is directed to continue exploring appropriate ways in which "frequent flyer" and other bonuses earned as a result of official travel may be used by spouses in order to accompany judges on official travel in circumstances where their participation is sanctioned by the Chief Justice or chief judge of the respective court and qualifies as official travel because it necessarily relates to the performance of judicial duties.

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ETHICS

The Judicial Ethics Committee reported that as of July, 1991, it had received 1,959 financial disclosure reports and certifications for the calendar year 1990, including 1,002 reports and certifications from judicial officers and 957 reports and certifications from judicial employees.

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL RESOURCES

FISCAL YEAR 1993 BUDGET REQUESTS FOR SUPPORTING PERSONNEL

The Judicial Conference reviewed requests for fiscal year 1993 positions for supporting personnel and approved the following additional positions (subject to the availability of funds):

- 106 JSP-8 electronic court recorder operators.
- 155 JSP-11 automation positions to support personal computers in the courts.
- 31 JSP-11 deputy clerks for the Automation Training and Support Centers.
- One deputy clerk position and one secretary position for the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATOR OFFICES

In order to allow bankruptcy administrators to perform certain case closing functions being transferred from bankruptcy clerks' offices, the Judicial Conference authorized for fiscal year 1992, subject to the availability of funds, ten additional positions in bankruptcy administrator offices, including six JSP-9 case closing clerks and four JSP-11 administrative analysts.

ADDITIONAL COURT REPORTERS

The Conference approved one additional court reporter each for Georgia (Northern), Georgia (Southern), Maryland, Massachusetts, Nebraska, and Washington (Eastern), and the transfer of a previously-approved additional court reporter position in Texas (Northern) from Lubbock to Fort Worth.

JUDICIARY SALARY PLAN MODIFICATIONS

On recommendation of the Committee on Judicial Resources, the Judicial Conference took the following actions regarding position classifications and benchmark standards, subject, as always, to the availability of funds:

- Approved the establishment of benchmark standards for the following support positions in probation and pretrial services offices, at the same grade levels in the Judiciary Salary Plan for such positions in the district and bankruptcy court clerks' offices: supply clerk, records/reproduction clerk, receptionist, property and procurement assistant, secretary to the deputy chief, administrative analyst, personnel specialist, personnel assistant, and administrative manager;
- Approved an increase in the target grade of the secretary to chief preargument attorneys from JSP-8 to JSP-9.

- Approved revisions to the benchmark job standard for pro se writ clerks to remove the clause limiting to JSP-7 such positions in courts having pro se law clerks.
- ۲

Approved revisions to the benchmark job standard for systems managers to allow its use in courts with non-ICMS automated case management systems.

WORK MEASUREMENT AND STAFFING FORMULAS

On recommendation of the Committee on Judicial Resources, the Judicial Conference approved work measurement formulas for probation and pretrial services offices. These formulas reflect work performed currently in these offices and indicate that they have been understaffed by approximately 24 percent. The Conference also approved a staffing formula for staff attorney positions in courts of appeals, with the proviso that it be reevaluated in five years. A staffing needs determination and allocation methodology for Circuit Executives' offices, including a staffing ceiling, was approved by the Judicial Conference, which authorized, for the fiscal year 1993, the addition in these offices of 31 new permanent positions and the conversion of 17 current temporary positions to permanent. Implementation of each of these staffing changes is, of course, subject to the availability of funding.

Because the development of new work measurement formulas for clerks' offices has not yet been completed, the Conference, on recommendation of the Committee, approved an extension of the temporary additive automation support positions in UNIX-based courts and reinstated all temporary additive positions which have already expired. The extension would be effective until such time as the work measurement studies for offices in these courts are complete and the new staffing formulas are in effect.

GRADE LEVELS OF CLERKS AND CHIEF PROBATION/PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICERS

On the recommendation of the Committee, the Judicial Conference approved changes to the guidelines (see JCUS-SEP 87, p. 7; JCUS-MAR 89, pp. 21-22) so as to determine annually the grades of clerks of district and bankruptcy courts and of chief probation and chief pretrial services officers. This determination will be made at or near the beginning of the fiscal year when allocations of positions are made to the court and will be based on projected workload data.

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

The Conference approved a special one-grade executive pay increase to JSP-16 for the executive attorney of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation and a promotion to JSP-15 for the clerk of the Panel.

PROBATION/PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICERS' COMPENSATION

In order to maintain pay parity with executive branch law enforcement officers, the Judicial Conference approved two recommendations of the Committee on Judicial Resources concerning pay of certain probation and pretrial services officers and officer assistants. First, the Conference authorized higher basic pay rates for probation and pretrial services officers and officer assistants in the same amounts and at the same time as those specified in section 403 of the Federal Law Enforcement Pay Reform Act of 1990 for the General Schedule, and at JSP grades and steps (JSP-3 through JSP-10) corresponding to those specified in the Act for the General Schedule.

The Conference also approved geographic pay differentials for probation and pretrial services officers (except for chief probation and pretrial services officers) and officer assistants in the eight metropolitan areas specified in section 404 of the Law Enforcement Pay Reform Act for General Schedule employees, at the same time and in the same percentages specified in the Act, as follows (to be offset in three locations by the eight percent increase for court support employees approved by the Judicial Conference in January 1991 (JCUS-MAR 91, pp. 9-10)):

Boston-Lawrence-Salem, MA-NH Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area	16%
Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside, CA, Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area	16%
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area	16%
San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA, Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area	16%
San Diego, CA, Metropolitan Statistical Area	8%

Chicago-Gary-Lake County, IL-IN-WI Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area	4%
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton, PA-NJ-DE-MD Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area	4%
Washington, DC-MD-VA Metropolitan Statistical Area	4%

TRANSCRIPT RATES

Due to the potentially widespread use by parties of diskette copies of transcripts, the Conference approved an increase in the rates allowed for diskette transcripts to make these rates the same as those allowed for paper transcripts. The Conference also approved the following guidelines to regulate the sale of transcripts on computer diskettes:

- Transcripts may be sold in computer diskette form in ASCII format, or other format requested by the ordering party and agreed to by the court reporter or transcriber, whether they represent originals, first copies, or additional copies.
- No additional charge is permitted for the cost of the diskette itself.

 Each page of transcript sold on diskettes must be formatted consistent with the Judicial Conference's approved transcript format guidelines, and diskettes may not contain any protection or programming codes that would prevent copying or transferring the data.

- The transcript copy filed with the clerk of court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 753(b) must be on paper; diskettes may be sold only if a paper copy is produced, certified, and filed with the clerk of court for the records of the court; and transcripts sold on diskettes must be identical to the paper transcripts filed with the clerk of court. Court reporters and transcribers who have Computer Assisted Transcript (CAT) or suitable word processing equipment are encouraged to provide diskettes of transcripts to parties upon request, but court reporters and transcribers who do not have CAT or suitable word processing equipment are not required to provide diskettes of transcripts to parties.
- Court reporters and transcribers must continue to produce paper originals and paper copies at the Judicial Conference rates when ordered by parties.

EMPLOYEE RELOCATION REIMBURSEMENT POLICY

The Conference approved revisions to the judiciary's policy on reimbursement of employee relocation expenses to incorporate the interim employee relocation reimbursement guidelines adopted by the Executive Committee in June 1990 (JCUS-SEP 90, p. 65), and to place certain restrictions on reimbursement of relocations between districts of probation and pretrial services officers. The revisions will be published in the *Guide to Judiciary Policies and Procedures*.

JUDGES' STAFFS

Current guidelines authorize court of appeals judges to hire a secretary, an assistant secretary, and up to three law clerks, and district court judges may hire a secretary and up to two law clerks. The Conference, at this session, authorized court of appeals and district court judges to employ an additional law clerk in lieu of an authorized secretary, or vice versa.

COMMITTEE ON LONG RANGE PLANNING

The Long Range Planning Committee reported that it will focus its work on coordinating and supporting long range planning by others within the judiciary. The Committee further reported that it (a) designated individual members to establish liaison relationships with 15 Judicial Conference committees and with each circuit chief judge; (b) endorsed a request to the FJC that it sponsor, in conjunction with the Committee and the AO, a conference on long range planning in the federal court system; and (c) intends to present a request for contract funds for the fiscal years 1992 and 1993 to commission short-term assistance from organizations or persons experienced in long range planning.

COMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGES SYSTEM

LEGISLATION

A "housekeeping" bill drafted by the Legislative and Public Affairs Office of the Administrative Office contains several provisions affecting magistrate judges, including provisions that would (a) amend certain statutes to expand powers of magistrate judges to revoke terms of supervised release in misdemeanor cases; (b) eliminate the requirement of consent of the defendants to trial by magistrate judges in petty offense cases; and (c) eliminate the requirement of written consent of the defendants to trial by magistrate judges in class A misdemeanor cases. At the recommendation of the Committee on the Administration of the Magistrate Judges System, the Judicial Conference endorsed the provisions of this draft bill that affect magistrate judges.

Also on recommendation of the Committee, the Conference opposed the mandatory restitution provisions contained in such bills as H.R. 1809, S. 566, and S. 618, 102nd Congress, due to concerns about the potential impact on the courts of these provisions.

ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

In order to assist magistrate judges in the performance of their duties in cases involving classified information, the Judicial Conference, on recommendation of the Magistrate Judges Committee, adopted a resolution that:

- a. Acknowledges the need for full-time magistrate judges to have access to classified and top secret information in the performance of duties assigned to them by district judges and in the trial of cases assigned to them with the consent of the parties;
- b. Endorses S. 394, 102nd Congress, the Counterintelligence Improvements Act of 1991, subject to the addition of a provision providing magistrate judges with automatic access to top secret information; and
- c. Directs the Administrative Office to seek the necessary revisions in the security procedures promulgated by the Chief Justice and the regulations of the Department of Justice in order to provide magistrate judges with automatic access to classified information for use in the judicial tasks assigned to them.

DESIGNATION OF NEW FULL-TIME MAGISTRATE JUDGE POSITIONS FOR ACCELERATED FUNDING

In order to provide prompt magistrate judge assistance to judicial districts which are seriously affected by drug filings, the Judicial Conference approved the Committee's recommendation to accelerate the funding for six new full-time magistrate judge positions. The new magistrate judge positions at Houston, Texas; Brownsville, Texas; McAllen (or Laredo), Texas; Boise, Idaho; Las Vegas, Nevada; and Montgomery, Alabama, were designated for accelerated funding in the fiscal year 1992.

CHANGES IN MAGISTRATE JUDGE POSITIONS

After consideration of the report of the Committee and the recommendations of the Director of the Administrative Office, the district courts,

and the judicial councils of the circuits, the Conference approved the following changes in salaries and arrangements for full-time and part-time magistrate judge positions. Unless otherwise indicated, these changes are to be effective when appropriated funds are available.

THIRD CIRCUIT

Pennsylvania (Middle)

- a. Rescinded discontinuation of the part-time magistrate judge position at Stroudsburg upon the filling of the new full-time magistrate judge position at Scranton, and instead continued the part-time position at Stroudsburg until December 31, 1993, at a reduced salary of \$4,626 per annum;
- b. authorized the full-time magistrate judges at Scranton and Wilkes-Barre to serve in the adjoining Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the District of New Jersey; and
- c. authorized the full-time magistrate judge at Allentown in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania to serve in the adjoining Middle District of Pennsylvania and the District of New Jersey.

FOURTH CIRCUIT

West Virginia (Northern)

- a. Increased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Wheeling from \$4,626 to \$18,503 per annum;
- b. decreased the salary of the part-time magistrate judge position at Morgantown from \$32,380 to \$18,503 per annum; and
- c. made no change in the full-time magistrate judge position at Elkins.

FIFTH CIRCUIT

Louisiana (Eastern)

Made no change in the number, locations, salaries, or other arrangements of the magistrate judge positions in the district.

Louisiana (Middle)

Made no change in the number, locations, salaries, or other arrangements of the magistrate judge positions in the district.

Texas (Southern)

- a. Authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position at Houston;
- b. authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position at McAllen (or Laredo);
- c. converted the part-time magistrate judge position at McAllen (or Brownsville) to a full-time magistrate judge position at Brownsville; and
- d. made no change in the number, locations, salaries, or arrangements of the other magistrate judge positions in the district.

EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Arkansas (Western)

- a. Authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position at Hot Springs or El Dorado or Texarkana;
- b. discontinued the part-time magistrate judge positions at Hot Springs, Harrison, and El Dorado upon the appointment of the new full-time magistrate judge at Hot Springs or El Dorado or Texarkana; and
- c. made no change in the full-time magistrate judge position at Fort Smith.

NINTH CIRCUIT

California (Eastern)

- a. Authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position at Fresno;
- b. discontinued the part-time magistrate judge positions at Sequoia-Kings Canyon National Parks, Bakersfield, and Merced upon the appointment of the new full-time magistrate judge at Fresno; and
- c. made no change in the number, locations, salaries, or arrangements of the other magistrate judge positions in the district.

Idaho

- a. Authorized a second full-time magistrate judge position at Boise;
- b. increased the salaries of the part-time magistrate judge positions at Pocatello and Coeur d'Alene from \$18,503 to \$27,755 per annum;

c. discontinued the part-time magistrate judge positions at Pocatello and Coeur d'Alene upon the appointment of the second full-time magistrate judge at Boise; and

d. made no change in the full-time magistrate judge position at Boise.

Nevada

- a. Authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position at Las Vegas; and
- b. made no change in the number, locations, salaries, or arrangements of the other magistrate judge positions in the district.

TENTH CIRCUIT

Utah

- a. Converted the part-time magistrate judge position at Salt Lake City to full-time status;
- b. increased the salaries of the part-time magistrate judge positions at Monticello (or Moab) and Cedar City from \$4,626 per annum to \$6,938 per annum; and
- c. made no change in the number, locations, salaries, or arrangements of the other magistrate judge positions in the district.

Wyoming

Discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Cody, effective October 1, 1991.

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

Alabama (Middle)

- a. Authorized an additional full-time magistrate judge position at Montgomery;
- b. discontinued the part-time magistrate judge position at Dothan effective upon the entry on duty of the new full-time magistrate judge at Montgomery; and
- c. made no change in the number, locations, salaries, or arrangements of the other magistrate judge positions in the district.

Florida (Northern)

Made no change in the number, locations, salaries, or other arrangements of the magistrate judge positions in the district.

COMMITTEE TO REVIEW CIRCUIT COUNCIL CONDUCT AND DISABILITY ORDERS

A recent amendment to the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. § 372(c)(8)(B), authorizes the Judicial Conference to certify to the House of Representatives <u>sua sponte</u> by majority vote, without certification or referral from a circuit judicial council, that consideration of impeachment may be warranted if a judge has been convicted of a felony and has exhausted all means of direct review or has waived the opportunity to seek such review. To implement this amendment, the Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders recommended, and the Conference approved, revisions to the Rules for the Processing of Certificates that a Judicial Officer Might Have Engaged in Impeachable Conduct.

COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure reported that it had reviewed its operations, policies and procedures. The Committee also reported that two subcommittees will be appointed: one on style, which will hereafter review submissions from advisory committees and suggest stylistic changes in language, and the other on planning, which will consider such matters as the frequency and scheduling of recommendations to the Conference, long range integration of rules, and ease of access to the rules by the entire profession.

COMMITTEE ON SPACE AND FACILITIES

UNITED STATES COURTS DESIGN GUIDE

On recommendation of the Committee on Space and Facilities, the Judicial Conference authorized amendments to the *United States Courts Design Guide* to (a) change "person" to "visitor" when describing the public in relevant sections of the *Guide*; (b) add language relevant to the location of Federal Defender Organizations; (c) reinstate the space standard in the previous edition of the *Guide* of 200 square feet for Assistant Federal Public Defenders; and (d) add a space standard of 100 square feet for secretaries to Assistant Federal Public Defenders, omitted from the March 1991 version of the *Guide*.

CONFERENCE ON COURT FACILITIES

The Conference endorsed participation in a conference on courthouse design, construction, and operation, in joint sponsorship with the American Institute of Architects and the National Center for State Courts, to be held in Washington, D.C. in October 1992.

AD HOC COMMITTEE TO STUDY THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

In February, 1991, the Chief Justice established the Ad Hoc Committee to Study the Relationship Between the Federal Judicial Center and the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. After interviewing the Directors and Deputy Directors of the two agencies, one former Director of the FJC, and the Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference, and after studying the matter extensively, the Committee submitted a report which addressed six major areas involving relationships between the FJC and the AQ and presented specific recommendations for improvement of relations in each area. The Judicial Conference and the Board of the Federal Judicial Center, in joint executive session, approved the report, as amended. The Conference also approved the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Report, as amended, of the Ad Hoc Committee to Study the Relationship between the Federal Judicial Center and the Administrative Office of the United States Courts be transmitted forthwith to the Director of the Administrative Office and to the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference, to whom oversight responsibility for implementation is assigned, with instructions to effect the immediate implementation of the recommendations set out in the Report. To the greatest extent feasible, implementation efforts shall proceed jointly with the Director of the FJC and the FJC Board unless the FJC Board and the Judicial Conference, upon the recommendation of its Executive Committee, jointly determine that any particular recommendation in the Report should be deferred, modified, or rejected.

PRETERMISSION OF TERMS OF THE COURTS OF APPEALS

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 48, the Conference approved the pretermission of terms of the following United States Courts of Appeals during the calendar year 1992: the Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit at Asheville, North Carolina; the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit at Los Angeles, California; and the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit at Wichita, Kansas, and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

FUNDING

All of the foregoing recommendations which require the expenditure of funds for implementation were approved by the Conference subject to the availability of funds, and subject to whatever priorities the Conference might establish for the use of available resources.

RELEASE OF CONFERENCE ACTION

The Conference authorized the immediate release of matters considered at this session where necessary for legislative or administrative action.

William Helmpunt

Chief Justice of the United States Presiding

December 13, 1991

INDEX

Abrahamson, Shirley; Resolution	8
Additional Bankruptcy Judgeships	10,46
Criteria for	12
Administrative Office, U. S. Courts:	_
Activities of the	5,42
Ad Hoc Committee to Study the Relationship Between	•
the Federal Judicial Center and the	72
Asbestos Litigation; To Monitor	33
Civil Justice Reform Act; Reports under the	45
Classified Information; Revision to Procedures for Access to .	67
Committee on; Report of	12,51
Contract for Drug Aftercare Program; Appropriations for	56
Firearms Regulations of the	17
Judicial Business of the Courts	4,40
Long Range Planning Conference	66
Personnel Act	5,12
Removal of Personal Information from Directories; Notice	
to Judicial Officers Regarding	50
Report of the Director	4,40
Reporting Requirements; Review of	11,51
Review Judiciary Automation Program	11
Administrative Rulemaking	11
American Institute of Architects	72
Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988; Report on	43
Appellate Rules; Amendment to the	52
Appointments and Payments, Criminal Justice Act	17
Asbestos Litigation:	
Ad Hoc Committee on; Report of	33
Administrative Office to Monitor	33
Legislation; Monitoring of Asbestos Litigation and	50
Attorney General	16
Automation and Technology:	
Access to the Courts by the Deaf Community	51
Administrative Office Activities in	42
Bankruptcy Court Automation	52
Committee on; Report of	12,51
Court Integrated Information Management System (CIIMS)	52
Filing by Facsimile	52
Judiciary Automation Fund	6,52
Long Range Plan in the U.S. Courts	12,52
Staffing for Claims Court	20

Automation and Technology (continued):	
Videoconferencing:	
Depositions and Testimony	55
Oral Arguments	51
Background Investigations of Court Employees	55
Bankruptcy Administrator Offices	62
Bankruptcy Courts:	
Automation	52
Places of Holding	55
Bankruptcy Judgeships; Additional	10,46
Criteria for	12
Bankruptcy Rules	32,52
Bankruptcy System:	
Additional Judgeships	10,46
Committee on; Report of	12,53
Criteria for Additional Bankruptcy Judgeships	12
Signature Requirements; Study to relieve	- 11
Trustee Compensation	53
United States Trustees	53
Bauer, William J.; Resolution	8
Bicentennial of the Constitution:	
Bill of Rights Conference	13,53
Committee on; Report of	13,53
Browning, James R.; Resolution	8
Budget:	
Alternative Budget Request for the Fiscal Year 1992	53
Committee on: Report of	13,53
	6,13
Judiciary Automation Fund	52
Request for Supporting Personnel, Fiscal Year 1992	20
Request for Supporting Personnel, Fiscal Year 1993	61
Requests - Federal Public Defenders	17
Cameras in the Courtroom	47
Ad Hoc Committee on; Report of	34
Case Management (See also "Court Administration and"):	
Integrated Case Management System (ICMS)	6
Program to Address the Problems of Cost and	
Delay in Civil Litigation and to Improve	14
Cases Cited:	
United States v. Moody, Jr.	47
United States v. Noriega	10
Circuit Councils (See "Judicial Councils")	
Circuit Court of Appeals Act of 1891	13

Circuit Executives:	
Role in Civil Justice Reform Act Reporting	46
Staffing the Offices of the	63
Civil Justice Reform Act	14,45
Implementation of	2,42,44
Reporting Requirements under the	45
Resources for; Guidelines for Allocation of	46
	7,32,52
Claims Court; United States	20,50
Clark, Charles; Resolution	48
Classified Information; Access to	67
Clerks of Courts:	
Bankruptcy; Manual for	43
Grade Levels of	63
Work Measurement Formulas for Offices of	63
Codes of Conduct:	
Committee on; Report of	14,54
Conflict-of-Interest Rules for	
Part-time Magistrate Judges	14
Federal Ethics Law Reform	9,14,54
Community Defender Organizations - Grant Requests for	17
Counterintelligence Improvements Act of 1991	67
Court Administration and Case Management:	
Asbestos Litigation and Legislation; Monitoring of	50
Cameras in the Courtroom; Guidelines for the	
pilot program	48
Civil Justice Reform Act	14,46
Committee on; Report of	14,54
Jury Matters	15
Miscellaneous Fees	16
Naturalization	54
Places of Holding Court	55
Videoconferencing - Depositions/Testimony	55
Court and Judicial Security:	
Committee on; Report of	16,55
Local Court Security Committees	11
Off-Site Security	16
Removal of personal information from directories	51
Court Operations and Administration Surveys	43
Court Reporters:	
Additional	20,62
Manual for	43
Transcript Rates: Computer Diskettes	65

of Appeals:	
retermission of Terms of the	73
tudy of Alternatives to Structure of the	44
al Justice Act (see also "Defender Services")	
ppointments and Payments	17
	10,18
Compensation Rates; Review of	43
pecial Committee to Study the	
al Law and Probation Administration:	
Committee on; Report of	16,55
Drug Aftercare Program	56
nternational Criminal Court	55
fandatory Minimum Sentences	56
robation and Pretrial Services Officers to Carry Firearms;	
Legislation to Authorize	17
Qualification Standards for Probation and	••
Pretrial Services Officers	16
entencing Commission	16
entencing Institute	17
al Rules	31
elecommunication Devices for the	51
ralization	
er Services:	0,10,42
Iternative Hourly Compensation Rates	10,18
ppointments and Payments	10,10
udget and Grant Requests, Federal Public Defenders	
and Community Defender Organizations	17
Committee on; Report of	17,56
Criminal Justice Act Compensation (Formerly "Alternative	.7,00
Attorney Conpensation Rates")	8 47 56
Delegations to Committee on	57
tions:	
o Defender Services Committee	57
<i>Guide, U. S. Courts</i>	
y Jurisdiction \ldots	18
ftercare Program	56
ns	8,44
vee Relocation Reimbursement Policy	66
(see also "Judicial Ethics"):	00
/ideotape on; Federaí Judicial Center	14
aw Reform; Federal	
ce Rules	

Executive Committee:	
Additional Bankruptcy Judgeships	10,46
Administrative Rulemaking	11
Asbestos Litigation; Assign responsibility to oversee	33
Cameras in the Courtroom	47
Civil Justice Reform Act	
Crime Legislation	45
Criminal Justice Act Compensation	10,47,56
Composition of Circuit Judicial Councils	•
Ethics Reform Act	9
Federal Courts Study Committee	11
Gender-Based Violence; Ad Hoc Committee on	47
Geographic Pay Increases for	
Supporting Personnel	9
Miscellaneous Actions	11,49
Relocation Reimbursement Policy; Employee	
Report of the	
Violence Against Women Act	
Facsimile; Filing by	
Federal Bureau of Investigation	
Federal Courts Study Committee	
Federal Judges Association	
Federal Judicial Center:	
And the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; Ad Hoc	
Committee to Study the Relationship between the	72
Bankruptcy Judge Time Study	
Board of the	
Elections to the	
Evaluation of Federal Judges	•
Long Range Planning Conference	
National Conference on State-Federal Judicial Relationships	
Report of the Director of	
Videotape on Ethics	•
Federal Defenders; Amendments to the Design Guide relating to	
Federal Public Defenders - Budget Requests for	
Federal-State Councils (See "State-Federal")	
Federal-State Jurisdiction:	
Asbestos Litigation and Legislation; Monitcring of	50
Committee on; Report of	
Habeas Corpus	
National Conference on State-Federal Judicial Relationships	
Removal of Resolution Trust Corporation Cases	
Transfer of Venue	58

Federal-State Jurisdiction (continued):	
Venue in Diversity Jurisdiction and	
Federal Question Suits	18
Violence Against Women Act	57
Fees:	
Miscellaneous	16
Registry Fund	11
Financial Disclosure Reports	20,61
Fine Center; National	16
Firearms; Legislation to Authorize Probation and Pretrial	
Services Officers to Carry	17
Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related	~~
Program Appropriations Act	55
Frequent Flyer Bonuses; Use of	61
Funding of Conference Actions	36,72
Gender-Based Violence; Ad Hoc Committee on	7,57,58
General Accounting Office:	
Study on Court Security	51,55
General Services Administration; Services to Deaf Persons by the	51
Geographic Pay Increases:	
For Probation and Pretrial Services Officers	64
For Supporting Personnel	9
Funding for	11
Ginsburg, Ruth Bader; Resolution	8
Grant Requests - Community Defender Organizations	17
Guide to Judiciary Policies and Procedures:	
Travel of Grand Jurors	15
Travel Regulations for Justice and Judges; Amendments to the	60
Employee Relocation Reimbursement Policy	66
Habeas Corpus	59
Hall, Cynthia Holcomb; Resolution	8
Immigration and Naturalization Service	54
Impeachable Conduct; Amendment to the Rules for Processing of	
Certificates that a Judicial Officer Might Have Engaged in	71
Intercircuit Assignments:	
Committee on; Report of	18,59
Intercircuit Conflicts; FJC Study of	44
Internal Revenue Service	15
International Appellate Judges Conference of 1990:	
Committee on; Report of	19
Resolution	. 8
International Criminal Court	55
Investiture of Justices: Travel Expenses for Attending	60

Judges:	
Bankruptcy:	
Additional	10,46
Criteria for Additional	12
Time Study	12
Judicial Survivors' Annuities System	19
Magistrate:	
Conflict-of Interest Rules for	
Part-time	14
Judicial Survivors' Annuities System	19
Positions, Salaries, and Arrangements;	
Survey Methodology for	20
Staff of	66
Travel Regulations for Justices and	60
Judgeship Vacancies	5,41
Judicial Branch:	
Committee on; Report of	19,60
Evaluation of Federal Judges	20
Judicial Survivors' Annuities System	19
Travel Regulations for Justices and Judges	60
Judicial Business of the Courts	4,40
Judicial Conduct and Disability Act	71
Judicial Conference of the U.S.	
Call of	1,37
Committee Reports; Distribution of	50
Committees; Jurisdiction of	11
Funding of Actions of the	36,73
Joint Session of the Federal Judicial Center Board and the	72
Notice of Meetings of Committees of the	12
Pilot Programs; Approval of	11
Release of Action	36,73
Sessions; Duration of	11
Judicial Councils; Composition of Circuit	g
Judicial Ethics:	
Committee on; Report of	20,61
Judicial Improvements Act of 1990	9,18
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation;	
Additional Personnel for the	62
Pay Increase for Personnel of the	64

Judicial Resources:	
Additional Court Reporters	20,62
Bankruptcy Administrator Offices	62
Committee on; Report of	20,61
Employee Relocation Reimbursement Policy	66
Fiscal Year 1992 Budget Request for Supporting Personnel	20
Fiscal Year 1993 Budget Request for Supporting Personnel	61
Grade Levels of Clerks and Chief Probation/Pretrial	
Services Officers	63 .
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation	64
Judiciary Salary Plan Modifications	62
Probation/Pretrial Services Officers' Compensation	64
Transcript Rates; Computer Diskette	65
Work Measurement and Staffing Formulas	63
Judicial Survivors' Annuities System	19,43
Judicial Writing Manual	7
Judiciary Salary Plan Modifications	62
Jurisdiction:	0.2
	18
Of Conference Committees	11
Jurors; One-Step Qualification and Summoning of	15
Jury Selection and Service Act	15
Justices; Retired Supreme Court	9
Law Clerks; Claims Court	50
Law Enforcement Pay Reform Act of 1990; Federal	16,64
Legislation:	10,04
Asbestos Litigation	33
Counterintelligence Improvements Act of 1991	67
Drug Aftercare Program; Appropriations for Contracting for	56
Ethics Reform Act; Exception for	50
Retired Supreme Court Justices	9
"Housekeeping" Bill; Provisions affecting	9
	66
Magistrate Judges	19
Judicial Survivors Annuities' System; Amend the	
Jury Selection and Service Act; Amend the	15
Mileage Rates for Use of Privately-owned Vehicles	61
Probation and Pretrial Services Officers	47.00
to Carry Firearms; To authorize	17,55
Restitution Provisions; Opposition to	67
Venue in Diversity Jurisdiction and Federal Question Suits	18
Violence Against Women Act of 1991	47,57
Violent Crime Control Act of 1991 43,4	-
Legislative Liaison Group	12
Long Range Plan for Automation	52

Long Range Planning:	• •
Committee on:	
Report of	66
Staff support for	42
Conference on	44,66
For Automation in the U.S. Courts	52
Rules Subcommittee on	71
Magistrate Judges System (See also "Judges"):	
Access to Classified Information	67
Changes in Positions	21,67
Committee on; Report of	20,66
Designation of New Full-Time Magistrate	
Judge Positions for Accelerated Funding	67
Legislation	66
Positions, Salaries, and Arrangements for	
United States Magistrate Judges	20
Renaming of Committee on	50
Mandatory Drug Testing	45
Mandatory Minimum Sentences	
Marshals Service; U. S.	
Mayer, H. Robert; Resolution	
Military Appeals; U. S. Court of	19
Multidistrict Litigation (See "Judicial Panel on")	
Nangle, John F.; Resolution	34
National Center for State Courts	
National Judicial Council of State and Federal Courts	
Naturalization	
Off-site Security; Reports on	
Pay Comparability Act; Federal Employees	
Pay Reform Act of 1990; Federal Law Enforcement Officers	64
Pilot Programs:	
Approval of	- 11
Civil Justice Reform Act; Districts designated for	
Deaf Community; Access to the Courts by the	
Videoconferencing - Oral Arguments	
Places of Holding Court	
Pretermission of Terms of the Courts of Appeals	73
Privacy Act	
Probation and Pretrial Services Manual	6

Probation and Pretrial Services Officers:	
Compensation of	64
Geographic Pay Differentials for	64
Grade Levels of Chief	63
Qualification Standards for	16
Relocation Reimbursement Policy; Employee	66
Work Measurement Formulas for	63
Registry Fund Fees	11
Release of Conference Action	36,73
Relocation:	
Contract Services for	51
Reimbursement of Expenses of Transferred Judges	60
Reimbursement Policy; Employee	66
Resolution Trust Corporation Cases; Removal of	59
Resolutions:	
Ad Hoc Committee on the Fifth	
International Appellate Judges Conference	8
Clark, Charles	48
Kastenmeier, Robert W.	11
Legislative Affairs Office	11
Mecham, L. Ralph	11
Nangle, John F.	34
Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders:	04
Committee to; Report of	31,71
Rules of Practice and Procedure:	01,71
Asbestos Litigation	33
Bankruptcy Rules	32
Civil Rules	7,32
Committee on; Report of	•
	31,71 31
	31
Filing by Facsimile; Amendment to allow	52
Procedures of the Rules Committee	32,71
Staff Support for	42
Subcommittees on Style and Planning	71
Secret Service; United States	55
Security (see "Court and Judicial Security")	
Sentencing:	
Commission	
Commission, U. S.; Annual Report to the	16
Institutes	7,17,51
Sentences; Mandatory Minimum	56
Staff Attorneys; Staffing Formulas for	63
Staffing Formulas; Work Measurement and	63

State-Federal Judicial Council (See "National State Federal Judicial Council	l")
State Federal Judicial Relationships 4	4,59
State Justice Institute 4	4,59
Statistical Reports; Review of Administrative Office	51
Space and Facilities:	
Committee on; Report of 33	2,72
Conference on Court Facilities	72
Courts Design Guide; United States	2,72
Supporting Personnel:	
Fiscal Year 1992 Budget Request for	20
Fiscal Year 1993 Budget Request for	61
Tax Court; United States	54
Telecommunication Devices for the Deaf (TDD)	51
Temporary Duty Locations; Reimbursement for	
Long-term Lodging Costs	60
Transcript Rates; Computer Diskette	65
Travel:	
Accompanying Spouse; Policy Statement on	61
Regulations for Justices and Judges	60
Use of Bonuses Earned on Official	61
Trustees; United States	53
Compensation of	53
Venue:	
In Diversity Jurisdiction and Federal Question Suits	18
Transfer of	58
Veterans Appeals; Court of	40
Videoconferencing:	
Depositions/Testimony	55
Oral Arguments	51
Violence Against Women Act of 1991 4	7,57
Violent Crime Control Act of 1991 43,45,5	8,59
Wallace, J. Clifford; Resolution	8
Work Measurement and Staffing Formulas	63

1991 Annual Report of the Director


Activities of the Administrative Office

Judicial Business of the United States Courts

L. Ralph Mecham, Director Administrative Office of the United States Courts (100)

ACTIVITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS



INTRODUCTION



L. Ralph Mecham Director

Under the leadership of the Judicial Conference of the United States, the Administrative Office serves and supports the Federal Judiciary. Judicial administration presents many challenges to us all -- growth of workload, the increased complexity of cases, the needs of the public for justice and judicial services, the unique role of the Judicial Branch of the Federal government in cooperation with the Executive and Legislative Branches -- all these require great care in setting priorities and managing limited resources.

The past year has been one of challenge, effort, and accomplishment in the courts and the Administrative Office. Automation proceeds at a brisk pace, and its benefits will continue to be spread to all in the Judiciary. Case management and resource management have seen significant advances, and increased efficiencies are ahead. Implementing the Civil Justice Reform Act, for example, has stimulated numerous activities underway in the courts and in the Administrative Office. Work measurement and evaluation programs have resulted in improved operations and new staffing allocation formulas, and more such activities are in progress.



James E. Macklin, Jr. Deputy Director

Decentralization of administrative responsibilities to the courts continues to result in improved management of resources. Many new judges, court personnel and program staff have become part of the Judiciary team and more are needed. Training and education continues to be needed by new and seasoned personnel at all levels, and we are, in coordination with the courts and the Federal Judicial Center, enhancing our planning and delivery capabilities. We have strengthened our ability to evaluate the potential impact of new legislation and continue to work with the Congress to obtain the resources and legislative changes the Judiciary needs.

This Annual Report gives an overview of the recent activities of the Administrative Office in pursuit of our overriding objective, supporting the Federal Judiciary with the highest possible level of service to enable the courts to provide justice to the American people.

Malpte Millan

L. Ralph Mecham Director

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

.

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	4
MISSION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	9
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE GOALS	10
HIGHLIGHTS OF 1991	11
ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGES	15
GENERAL COUNSEL	17
LEGISLATIVE AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS	19
JUDICIAL CONFERENCE AND MANAGEMENT COORDINATION	25
ADMINISTRATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES	31
AUTOMATION AND TECHNOLOGY	37
COURT PROGRAMS	45
FINANCE, BUDGET, AND PROGRAM ANALYSIS	57
JUDGES PROGRAM	63
CONCLUSION	67

Photograph of the Judicial Conference of the United States, page 27, courtesy of Lois Long, Curator of the Supreme Court of the United States.

MISSION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

Over the last 52 years, changing judicial needs and priorities have altered the mission, function and structure of the Administrative Office. The agency which exists today reflects the dramatic changes which have occurred in the Federal Judiciary.

In the early years, the organizational structure of the Administrative Office was well suited to providing classic administrative services to the judicial system including procurement, facilities management, payroll, accounting, budget, statistics collection, and personnel.

In response to changing judicial needs and concerns, the Administrative Office has

evolved into an organization that also provides complex legal, program, management, and automation services to a greatly expanded judicial family. As shown in the accompanying chart, the Administrative Office's responsibilities have increased significantly since 1939.

As we move through the 1990's the mission of the Administrative Office continues to evolve. The agency is focussing on providing essential policy and program assistance to the Judiciary, while delegating operational authorities, where appropriate, to the courts.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE PROGRAMS				
1939	NOW			
Personnel & Payroll	Personnel & Payroll			
Budget & Accounting	Budget & Accounting			
Procurement	Procurement			
Statistics Collection & Reporting	Statistics Collection & Reporting			
Facilities Management	Facilities Management			
Bankruptcy Administration	Bankruptcy Administration			
Probation Services	Probation & Pretrial Services			
	Automation			
	Defender Services			
	Legislative & Public Affairs			
	Judicial Conference Secretariat			
	Article III Judges Support			
	Magistrate Judges Support			
	Clerks Support			
	Court Reporters & Interpreters Support			
	Evaluation & Assessment			
	Equal Employment Opportunity			
	Legal Counsel			
	Long Range Planning Judicial Impact Analysis			

	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE GOALS		
The Director has established six broad goals to guide the work of the agency:			
	*	Secure adequate resources from the Congress for the Judiciary.	
	*	Improve service performance and delivery.	
	*	Modernize both the Administrative Office and court management systems and planning capabilities.	
	*	Improve communications with judicial officers and staff.	
	*	Eliminate internal and external regulatory impediments to effective Administrative Office and court management.	
	*	Decentralize operating functions where appropriate.	

HIGHLIGHTS OF 1991

In 1991, the Administrative Office made significant progress in achieving many of its goals. As important projects are completed, new challenges are presented by the evolution of modern judicial administration. Some of the highlights of this year include:

* The Judicial Improvements Act created 85 desperately needed Federal judgeships (11 courts of appeals judgeships and 74 district judgeships);

* The Judicial Conference approved the expansion of budget decentralization over a 3-year period beginning in fiscal year 1992, and the first 20 courts received training;

* Under the direction of the Committee on Court Administration and Case Management, the AO provided extensive assistance to the courts in implementing provisions of the Civil Justice Reform Act;

* The Congress approved the establishment of an AO personnel system independent of the Executive Branch, and work is progressing on establishing a model system;

* The Director of the Administrative Office reorganized the agency's structure to improve financial and administrative management, provide greater emphasis to support court programs, and provide analysis of the impact of legislation on the courts;

* The Judicial Conference established a new Committee on Long Range Planning to oversee long range planning in the Federal courts, and the Administrative Office created an Office of Long Range Planning to support this important activity.

* A new staffing formula for probation and pretrial services offices was developed. The Criminal Law and Probation Committee and the Judicial Resources Committee approved the new formula, and it will be considered by the Judicial Conference in September 1991;

* A new method was developed for allocating attorney positions to the staff attorneys offices in the United States courts of appeals;

* Decentralization continued, with the delegation of more administrative functions better handled at the local level, including processing bankruptcy trustee vouchers, training electronic court recorder operators, continued implementation of the Automated Court Financial System (CFS) toward the goal of an automated financial system in each court to support budget decentralization, and determination of compensation and benefits for federal defender organization staff;

* Five full management assistance reviews and numerous brief court visits were conducted, and the Court Operations and Administration Survey was completed;

* The U.S. Courts Design Guide was published, incorporating the revised space standards approved by the Judicial Conference in March 1991;

* Construction continues on the new Judiciary Office Building, with occupancy anticipated in Fall 1992;

* A broad management-level review of the Judiciary's automation program was completed, and work has begun on implementing the review's recommendations;

* The contract for the Judiciary's data communications network was awarded in January 1991, and the first phase of implementation has begun;

* ICMS expansion continued in the courts, bringing to 58 the number of district courts using the CIVIL electronic docketing system; the total number of bankruptcy courts operational in the Bankruptcy Court Automation Program (BANCAP) system to 49; and, with the Eighth Circuit operational, a total of 8 courts of appeals are using the Appellate Information Management System (AIMS) electronic docketing system;

* The Probation and Pretrial Services Automated Case Tracking System (PACTS), the new national data collection system for probation and pretrial services offices, is being pilot tested for nationwide expansion;

* An automated Case Assignment System for district and bankruptcy courts was designed to facilitate random assignment of judges to cases and to assist in case assignments management;

* The Bankruptcy Court Clerks Manual (including the revised Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure) and the Court Reporters Manual were updated, and work has begun on revising the District Court Clerks Manual;


* Under the direction of the Defender Services Committee, an extensive review was conducted of CJA panel attorney compensation rates;

* The mandatory drug testing program required by the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 was concluded and approved by the Executive Committee, and a report was issued to Congress;

* The AO expanded its ability to produce Judicial Impact Statements assessing the resource and other implications of significant Legislative and Executive Branch proposals.

ACTIVITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS



ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGES

Director L. Ralph Mecham announced a reorganization of the Administrative Office on March 22, 1991. Functions were reassigned to achieve better balance in spans of control and to provide needed emphasis in several administrative and program areas, giving greater focus on fundamental financial activities and vital court programs.

No changes were made in the Office of General Counsel, headed by William R. Burchill, Jr., or the Office of Legislative and Public Affairs, headed by Robert E. Feidler.

The Office of Judicial Conference and Management Coordination, headed by Karen K. Siegel, oversees the Judicial Conference support responsibilities of the Judicial Conference Secretariat and the management staff functions of the Policy and Management Coordination Division. The Policy and Management Coordination Division continues to have responsibility for the Judiciary's work measurement program.

The Office of Administration and Human Resources, headed by Clarence A. Lee, Jr., manages the Judiciary's equal employment opportunity program, personnel, space management, procurement, and administrative evaluation. Major projects in this area include revising the Judiciary Salary Plan, decentralizing personnel functions to the courts, modernizing the personnel and payroll systems, designing a comprehensive personnel system for the Administrative Office, meeting the administrative and programmatic training and development goals identified for the Judiciary, and planning and implementing a Judiciary-controlled space and facilities management program.

The newly created Office of Court Programs provides management attention, guidance and support for court programs in court administration, including support to clerks of court, staff attorneys, court reporters and interpreters, probation and pretrial services, defender services, and court security. Noel J. Augustyn assumed leadership of this Office in early August.

The Office of Finance, Budget, and Program Analysis is headed by Raymond A. Karam, who serves as the Judiciary's chief financial officer. The Office's functions include budget, accounting, audit, judicial impact analysis, statistics and financial program support and evaluation. The Office focuses on obtaining needed resources for the Judiciary, coordinating preparation of the Judiciary's budget request, allocating resources, operating a comprehensive accounting system, implementing budget decentralization in the courts, collecting statistics and reporting on the workload of the courts, auditing the courts' financial operations, and analyzing resource requirements. It is also responsible for preparing judicial impact statements assessing the impact of proposed legislation on judicial operations.

The Office of Judges Programs, headed by Peter G. McCabe, delivers direct support to judicial officers through the Article III Judges Division, the Bankruptcy Division, and the Magistrate Judges Division. In addition, the Office provides support for the Judicial Conference Committee on Long Range Planning through a new Long Range Planning Office, and Rules Committee staff support for the Standing Committee and its Advisory Committees has been assigned to the new Rules Committee Support Office. A new Technology Enhancement Office was created under the Office of Automation and Technology to keep abreast of new technological developments and procedural innovations that will benefit the Federal courts. Edwin L. Stoorza, Jr., continues to head the Office of Automation and Technology. Realigning organizational responsibilities will enhance the Administrative Office's ability to support the Federal Judiciary in addressing the substantial challenges of modern judicial administration. The Administrative Office will continue to modify its structure to meet the changing needs of the Judiciary.

1991 AGENCY ACTIVITIES

A description of some of the 1991 activities of the Administrative Office, organized by major functional area, follows.

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

The Office of the General Counsel continued to provide legal counsel and services to the Director and staff of the Administrative Office and to the Judicial Conference of the United States and its committees. The General Counsel responded directly to judges and other court officials regarding legal inquiries relating to court operations.

Specific responsibilities of this office are to render legal opinions on various diverse issues arising in judicial administration; draft legislative proposals at the request of the Director or the Legislative and Public Affairs Officer; prepare written contractual instruments for the Director and delegated contracting officers, and render legal advice on contract administration and procurement law; communicate representation requests to the Department of Justice on behalf of judges and other court officials sued in their official capacity; and provide staff assistance to certain Judicial Conference committees.



William R. Burchill, Jr. General Counsel 633-6127

ACTIVITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE & PUBLIC AFFAIRS

LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITIES

Civil Justice Reform Legislation

On December 1, 1990, the President signed into law a bill mandating that all district courts establish advisory groups to assist in developing expense and delay reduction plans. The Senate-passed version of the bill would have required each district court civil justice expense and delay reduction plan to include provisions applying specific principles and guidelines of litigation management. However, the bill as enacted reflects the Judicial Conference position that application of such principles and guidelines should be optional. The Act does require the principles and guidelines to be applied in ten pilot courts and a comparative study must be conducted by an independent organization, one with expertise in the area of Federal court management, of the ten pilot courts and ten comparable district courts which were not required to adhere to the litigation management principles.

The Act also requires the Judicial Conference to conduct demonstration programs in the Western District of Michigan and the Northern District of Ohio, focusing upon assignment of cases to appropriate processing tracks. Demonstration programs also will be conducted in the Northern District of California, the Northern District of West Virginia, and the Western District of Missouri, each of which must experiment with various methods of reducing cost and delay in civil litigation, including alternative dispute resolution.

New Judgeships

On December 1, 1990, the President signed the Judicial Improvements Act of 1990. Title II of the legislation created 11 court of



Robert E. Feidler Legislative & Public Affairs Officer 633-6040

appeals and 74 district court judgeships. This total of 85 new judgeships was an increase of 8 over the 77 contained in the Senate bill (S. 2648) and an increase of 25 over the House bill (H.R. 5316). Nominations have been submitted for 24 of these vacancies; 9 have been confirmed by the Senate and 15 are pending.

Real Property Legislation

The provision of space and facilities is the only administrative area in which the Judiciary, a separate and independent branch of government, is fully dependent on another branch of government. The Judicial Conference and the Administrative Office believe the needs of the Judiciary in this area should remain the concern of the Congress, but not of the Executive Branch. Executive Branch policies are often not sufficiently responsive to the unique needs of the Judiciary, and the Judiciary is seeking legislation providing it with its own real property authorities.

Legislation giving the Judiciary independent real property authority was introduced in both the House and the Senate during the 101st Congress; no final action was taken. Senator Daniel P. Moynihan (D-NY), Chairman of the Senate Subcommittee on Water Resources, Transportation and Infrastructure and sponsor of S. 2837 in the last Congress, scheduled a hearing on the issue August 1, 1991. Judge Robert Broomfield, Chairman of the Judicial Conference Committee on Space and Facilities and Director Mecham appeared before the Subcommittee, however, due to a delay in other portions of the hearing, they did not have time to testify. Another hearing is expected in September. In the House, Chairman Gus Savage (D-IL) of the Subcommittee on Public Buildings and Grounds has replaced Congressman Doug Bosco, sponsor of H.R. 4178, who was defeated in the last election. Congressman William J. Hughes (D-NJ), Chairman of the Subcommittee on Intellectual Property and Judicial Administration has expressed interest in the proposal.

Bankruptcy Judgeships

Although the 101st Congress ended with no final action having been taken on bankruptcy judgeships, the prospects are better in the 102nd Congress. On August 1, 1991, the Senate Judiciary Committee reported S. 646 to the full Senate for consideration, and on August 2, 1991, the bill as amended by the Judiciary Committee was passed by the Senate by voice vote. The amended bill includes all 32 bankruptcy judgeships recommended by the Judicial Conference following surveys conducted by the Bankruptcy Division of the Administrative Office. Final congressional action is expected to occur before the 1st Session of the 102nd Congress ends late this year.

Judicial Survivors' Annuities System

The Judicial Conference has requested Congress to revise the Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (JSAS) to enhance its attractiveness for judicial officers. The present system is costly and compares unfavorably with survivorship plans of other Federal employees: participation in the JSAS while in active service, senior or recall status costs 5 percent of a judge's salary or annuity. The contribution rate is 3.5 percent of retirement salary after a judge relinquishes office. Under the statute. Congress authorized the government to contribute at a level between 5 percent and 9 percent of the salaries of participating judicial officials. During the three of the last four fiscal years, no government contributions were made to the JSAS because judges' contributions sufficed to keep the plan fully funded.

Three out of every four newly appointed Article III judges reject the JSAS, while only 77 of the approximately 600 bankruptcy judges and magistrate judges have elected to participate.

The proposed revision lowers the contribution rate for active judges from 5 percent to 1 percent of their judicial salary. Judge Deanell Tacha, Chair of the Judicial Conference Committee on the Judicial Branch, has met with the Chairmen and ranking members of the relevant committees of Congress. On August 2, 1991, Senator Howell Heflin (D-AL) introduced S. 1673, the Judicial Survivors' Annuities Improvement Act of 1990.

Impeachment, Judicial Discipline and Judicial Immunity

A National Commission on Judicial Discipline and Removal was created by Pub.

L. No. 101-650. It is to be composed of 13 members, 10 of whom have been named, to be appointed as follows: 3 each by the President of the United States, the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Chief Justice of the United States; and 1 appointed by the Conference of Chief Justices of the States of the United States.

The Chief Justice appointed Judge S. Jay Plager, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit; Judge Levin H. Campbell, United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, and Professor Roger C. Cramton of the Cornell Law School. The Conference of Chief Justices appointed Gordon R. Hall, Chief Justice of the Utah Supreme Court. The Speaker of the House appointed Representative Hamilton Fish (R-NY), former Representative Robert Kastenmeier, and Professor Stephen Burbank of the University of Pennsylvania Law School. The President pro tempore appointed Senators Howell Heflin (D-AL) and Arlen Specter (R-PA) and Professor Frank Tuerkheimer of the University of Wisconsin Law School.

The only appointments still outstanding are those to be made by the President.

Asbestos

The Ad Hoc Committee on Asbestos Litigation, appointed in September 1990 by Chief Justice William Rehnquist, made its recommendations to the Judicial Conference on March 12, 1991. The report approved by the Judicial Conference underscored the national scope of asbestos litigation and recommended that Congress consider a national legislative scheme to respond to the increasing number of asbestos cases in the Federal and State courts. The Committees on Federal-State Jurisdiction and Court Administration and Case Management have been assigned joint responsibility for monitoring developments in this area. At the hearing on multiparty, multiforum legislation held on June 19, 1991, Representative William J. Hughes (D-NJ) indicated that the House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on Intellectual Property and Judicial Administration is undertaking an initial investigation into the asbestos issue. On July 29, 1991, the Multidistrict Litigation Panel reversed its long-held position and transferred the 26,000 pending asbestos cases in the Federal Courts to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Judicial Naturalization Bill Introduced

The House Judiciary Subcommittee on International Law, Immigration and Refugees held a hearing on May 15, 1991, to examine the Immigration Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-649, and its impact on the naturalization process. The Judicial Conference supports retaining exclusive jurisdiction in Federal district court for 45 days for administration of the oath



Judges Robert Parker and Ronald Lew testifying before the House Judiciary Committee at the May 15, 1991 hearings on the Immigration Act of 1990.

of naturalization. The Judicial Conference is concerned with the manner in which an appeal of a denial for naturalization is conducted and with the mechanism for delivering the certificate of naturalization once the oath of naturalization has been conducted by the district court. Judge Robert Parker, Chairman of the Judicial Conference Committee on Court Administration and Case Management, and Judge Ronald Lew testified on behalf of the Judicial Conference.

On July 24, 1991, Congressman Romano L. Mazzoli (D-KY), Chairman of the House Subcommittee on International Law, Immigration, and Refugees, introduced H.R. 3049, the Judicial Naturalization Amendments Act of 1991. The bill, as introduced, addresses many of the Judiciary's concerns.

Federal Courts Study Committee Implementation Act

On July 18, 1991, Senator Howell Heflin (D-AL) introduced S. 1494, the Federal Courts Study Committee Implementation Act of 1991. A revised version of the bill, S. 1569, was introduced on July 26, 1991, and is intended to replace S. 1494. The new version would set

up an intercircuit conflict resolution demonstration project, require Congress to follow a judicial impact checklist when implelegislation, menting merge the budgets of the Court of International Trade and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit with that of the rest of the Judiciary, create mandatory Bankruptcy Appellate Panels, give the Supreme Court authority under the Rules Enabling

Act to prescribe rules for the appeal of final and interlocutory decisions, abolish the Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals, and transfer supervised release revocation hearings to the United States Parole Commission.

Crime Control

In November, Congress passed the Crime Control Act of 1990 (Pub. L. No. 101-647). Many of the provisions the Judicial Conference had objected to were dropped before final passage, including several mandatory minimum sentences and mandatory post-conviction drug testing.

Congress is once again shaping a crime bill. The Senate completed action in July. The Judicial Conference has expressed concern about several provisions in the bill, such as one creating a Federal offense for any crime of violence that involves a firearm that has been imported or has travelled in interstate commerce. The Judicial Conference objects to mandatory minimum sentence provisions. The final version of the bill is expected to address some of these concerns.

House Oversight Hearing

On March 21, 1991, the House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on Intellectual Prop-



AO Director L. Ralph Mecham, Chief Judge Charles Clark, and FJC Director William W Schwarzer testify before the House oversight committee in March 1991.

erty and Judicial Administration, under the Chairmanship of William J. Hughes (D-NJ), held an oversight hearing on the state of the Federal Judiciary. Testifying on behalf of the Judiciary were Judge Charles Clark, Chairman of the Executive Committee, Judge William W Schwarzer, Director of the Federal Judicial Center, and L. Ralph Mecham, Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. The hearing provided the Judiciary with an opportunity to discuss with the Subcommittee many issues, including mandatory minimum sentencing, Federal courts jurisdiction, judicial impact statements, and remaining Federal Courts Study Committee issues.

PUBLIC AFFAIRS ACTIVITIES

The Office of Legislative and Public Affairs began its second year of publishing **The Third Branch**, the monthly newsletter of the Judiciary. Several regular features have been established, including interviews with Judicial Conference committee chairmen, detailed reports on the biannual meeting of the Conference and in-depth coverage of con-



Recent copies of The Third Branch

gressional hearings. The newsletter has become a primary vehicle for Administrative Office communications with the courts and the public.

OFFICE OF JUDICIAL CONFERENCE & MANAGEMENT COORDINATION

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE SECRETARIAT

Karen K. Siegel 786-7138

POLICY & MANAGEMENT COORDINATION DIVISION

Cathy A. McCarthy 633-6200



Karen K. Siegel Judicial Conference & Management Coordination Officer 786-7138

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE SUPPORT

The Judicial Conference Secretariat and the Executive Secretariat continued to provide and coordinate staff support to the Judicial Conference and its 25 committees. The Administrative Office currently devotes more than 70 staff years to supporting the Judicial Conference and its committees. Particularly noteworthy areas during the past year which required more than the customary staff support include the following:

The Judicial Conference created a new Committee on Long Range Planning in December 1990, as recommended in the final report of the Federal Courts Study Committee. The seven-member Committee, chaired by Judge Otto R. Skopil, Jr., will oversee long range planning in the Federal courts.

In September 1990, the Judicial Conference hosted the International Appellate Judges Conference in Washington, D.C. Approximately 130 international delegates from 90 countries attended, the largest number ever to attend a conference of this kind. The United States was represented by the members of the Judicial Conference and 37 state chief justices. The substantive agenda of the Conference included comparative constitutionalism, modern techniques in judicial administration, education and training, and security in the courts. Conference sessions and major functions were held at the Supreme Court, the Department of State, the National Courts Building, and other sites around the Nation's Capitol, highlighted by a closing ceremony hosted by the President of the United States at the White House.



Judges attending the International Appellate Judges Conference are shown at the Supreme Court of the United States.

At its September 1990 session, the Judicial Conference approved an experimental program which would permit photographing, recording, and broadcasting of civil proceedings in six district courts and two courts of appeals. The Conference also revised its policy on cameras in the courtroom and approved a 3-year pilot project permitting cameras in six district courts and two courts of appeals.

The Executive Secretariat continued to provide support to Conference committees in overseeing implementation of the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990, the Federal Courts Study Committee Implementation Act of 1990, and the Judicial Discipline and Removal Reform Act of 1990.

The Judicial Conference Secretariat received over 700 responses from United States Article III, Bankruptcy, and Magistrate Judges to a questionnaire distributed by the Conference Secretary to update data on the interests and preferences of judges in serving on Conference committees. Nearly half the circuit and district court judges and most magistrate and bankruptcy judges responding to the questionnaire have had no prior committee service. These responses will aid the Chief Justice in expanding participation in the work of the Conference committees.

POLICY AND MANAGEMENT COORDINATION

Staffing Allocation Studies

Under the direction of the Judicial Conference's Committee on Judicial Resources, the Administrative Office is engaged in a multi-year effort to re-evaluate the formulas used to determine staffing requirements in those offices of the courts staffed by formulas. The studies employ appropriate measurement, surveying and statistical techniques to analyze the duties and functions of court personnel. The purpose of these studies is to ensure that the staffing formulas accurately reflect the true staffing needs of the Judiciary, given changes in programs, technology, and workload.

It is intended that the formulas will be adjusted and maintained as significant changes occur in programs or operating methods. For example, as the use of automated systems expands, the impact of automation on the operations of the courts will continue to be evaluated. As necessary, the staffing implications of programmatic requirements resulting from new legislation or policy changes will also be assessed. Each new formula is being created in a modular format so that changes can be made without full-scale review of all work functions.

Probation and Pretrial Services Formula

New formulas for staffing the probation



The Judicial Conference of the United States is pictured at their March 1991 meeting, March 12, 1991. Seated (I. to r.) are Chief Judge Stephen G. Breyer, (1st Cir.), Chief Judge James L. Oakes (2d Cir.), Chief Judge Delores K. Sloviter (3d Cir.), Chief Judge Sam J. Ervin, III (4th Cir.) Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist, Chief Judge Charles Clark (5th Cir.), Chief Judge Gilbert Merritt (6th Cir.), Judge Richard A. Posner (7th Cir.), (for Chief Judge William J. Bauer, 7th Cir.), Chief Judge Donald P. Lay (8th Cir.). Standing, second row: Chief Judge Frank H. Freedman (D. Ma.), Chief Judge Charles L. Brieant (D.N.Y.), Chief Judge Gerald B. Tjoflat (11th Cir.), Chief Judge Abner J. Mikva (D.C. Cir.), Chief Judge Helen W. Nies (Fed. Cir.), Chief Judge J. Clifford Wallace (9th Cir.), Judge Monroe McKay (10th Cir.)(for Chief Judge William J. Holloway, 10th Cir.), Chief Judge John F. Gerry (D.N.J.), Judge Frank A. Kaufman (D. Md.), Chief Judge Barefoot Sanders (N.D. Tex.). Standing, third row: Chief Judge Eugene E. Siler (E.D. Ky.), Judge Sarah Evans Barker (S.D. In.), Judge Donald E. O'Brien (N.D. Ia.), Chief Judge Edward D. Re (Int'l Trade), Chief Judge Aubrey E. Robinson (D.D.C.), L. Ralph Mecham (Director, Administrative Office).

and pretrial services offices have been completed and were approved by the Criminal Law and Probation Committee in December 1990 and the Judicial Resources Committee in June 1991. Assuming approval of the formulas by the Judicial Conference at its September 1991 meeting, additional staffing needs will be reflected in the Judiciary's 1993 budget request to the Congress. The new formulas were developed after a comprehensive, rigorously executed, 2-year study with assistance from a private consultant, Booz-Allen & Hamilton, Inc.

The new formulas reflect the work performed and indicate that probation and pretrial services offices are currently understaffed by a national average of approximately 24 percent. A substantial proportion of the necessary increases are in new functions related to program changes associated with Sentencing Guidelines, the Bail Reform Act, and the changing nature of criminal activity and offenders.

District Clerk Staffing Study

With participation from expert panels of court employees, detailed survey instruments were developed to measure work activity in district clerks' offices. The data collection phase of the study has been completed and includes data from all clerks' office employees of 42 district courts. Nine districts were administered as validation sites. The resulting data are currently being analyzed and organized for formula development by a private consultant, Booz-Allen & Hamilton. It is expected that new formulas will be presented to the Judicial Resources Committee at its meeting in December 1991.

Bankruptcy Clerk Staffing Study

With valuable assistance from the bankruptcy clerks' advisory subcommittee on work measurement, a detailed description of all the bankruptcy functions is currently being completed. A survey to collect information from each bankruptcy court on organization, procedures and local operations is also being developed. This information will be used to categorize representative samples of courts for time data collection and to aid in the analysis of the time data. Utilizing teams of Administrative Office and court staff, much of the time data will be collected through on-site visits to selected courts. A system of case-weights will be developed to reflect the impact of different case categories on the work efforts in the clerks' offices. It is expected that the formulas will be completed in December 1992.

Court of Appeals Clerk Staffing Study

A detailed description of the court of appeals clerks' office functions has been completed and the data collection instruments are currently being developed with assistance from a working group of experienced court employees. Appropriate methodologies and data collection techniques will be employed in measuring operations, administrative functions and automation support work. Each court of appeals will participate in the study and will be visited for data collection. The results of this study will be presented to the Judicial Resources Committee in June 1992.

Planning Systems

Under the planning and management objectives system, the Director establishes

broad goals for the agency. Each division and office identifies 5-year goals and establishes specific objectives for the year. Quarterly status reports and periodic meetings are held to evaluate progress. This system assists the Administrative Office in focusing its limited resources on the most important priorities. Some major objectives for this year are to assist courts in preparing civil justice expense and delay reduction plans, to coordinate implementation of the National Fine Center Project in five pilot districts, to establish a new system for allocating bankruptcy judgeships based on new bankruptcy case weights, to implement the recommendations of the Federal Judiciary Automation Program Review; to perform a comprehensive review of current functions to examine further opportunities for decentralizing, contracting out, or eliminating functions, and to prepare for and begin to implement budget decentralization in 20 courts in fiscal year 1992.

Decentralization of Administrative Functions

Since decentralization of administrative functions to local managers remains a high priority, the Director requested that each division and office include as one of their 1991 planning and management objectives a comprehensive review of current functions to identify additional opportunities for decentralization, delegation or divestiture. To date, the Administrative Office has delegated authority to court managers in 46 specific management areas, such as processing vouchers of bankruptcy trustees, administration of funds for staff salaries and office expenses of part-time magistrate judges, and training of electronic court recorder operators.

Decentralization projects are currently underway or planned in an additional 14 areas, including space and facilities management, "read only" access to personnel and payroll data, and expansion of the budget decentralization pilot. The Administrative Office intends to continue to give the courts added responsibilities and authorities to manage their resources and operations because local managers are best situated to make dayto-day decisions about their resources and program priorities.

Review of Administrative Office Reports

At the request of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference, the Committee on the Administrative Office is reviewing the statistical gatherings and reports generated by the Administrative Office to determine whether any mandated reports may have outlived their usefulness, and whether changes should be made in the way information is currently gathered and reported. A Subcommittee on Report Production and Statistical Gatherings was formed. Administrative Office staff prepared inventories describing the mandate, purpose, audience, and cost of publications and reports. The Subcommittee met July 25-26, 1991, to develop evaluation criteria and begin the comprehensive review, a process that is anticipated to last over a year.

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Clarence A. Lee, Jr. Assistant Director 633-6200

EEO & SPECIAL PROJECTS OFFICE

> R. Townsend Robinson 633-5987

EVALUATION & ASSESSMENT DIVISION

Robert M. Crowder 633-6200

CONTRACTS & SERVICES DIVISION

Ralph J. Simmons 633-6117

EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT

Court Operations and Administration Survey

The Court Operations and Administration Survey, started in 1990, was completed. The purpose of the study was twofold: to assess the level of administrative services provided to the courts, and to provide a basis for the development of operational reviews for the administrative support programs. Teams composed of representatives from the Human



HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION

Charlotte G. Peddicord 633-6168

SPACE & FACILITIES DIVISION

Gerald P. Thacker 633-6090

Resources, Contracts and Services, Space and Facilities, Budget, Statistics, and Integrated Technology Divisions, and from the Court Security Office, visited a total of 11 courts which either volunteered for the survey or were chosen as representative of the system as a whole. The Evaluation and Assessment Division coordinated the visits and is preparing a final report.

This survey provided court staff a means of communicating their service needs

directly to Administrative Office staff responsible for those services. In addition, by visiting the courts as a team, Administrative Office staff were able to see first hand the interrelationships of the various court functions supported by the agency and to appreciate the impact of their work on the courts. As a result of the survey, a number of procedural changes were made at the Administrative Office to improve services. In addition, recommendations were made to the Administrative Office for further improvement in the areas of training in all operations, information management, planning, resource allocation, and quality control.

Staff Attorney Position Allocation Method

The Judicial Resources Committee of the Judicial Conference requested that the Staff Attorney Study Committee develop a new method for the allocation of attorney positions in the staff attorney offices. In the spring of 1991, the Study Committee, with assistance from the Evaluation and Assessment Division, presented a method which authorizes the allocation of an additional attorney position to a court of appeals for each additional 153 filings above a base level. The Judicial Resources Committee approved the formula and other recommendations at its summer meeting and forwarded them to the Judicial Conference for action in September 1991.

CONTRACTS AND SERVICES

Assistance and Evaluation Program

The Contracts and Services Division (CSD) has developed a program of scheduled court assistance visits. Staff from CSD review the courts' procurement, property management and property disposal programs and make recommendations to the clerks of courts where corrective measures and opportunities for improvement are identified.CSD also evaluates the courts conformance with the procedures set forth in the **Guide to Judiciary Policies and Procedures**, Volume 1, Chapter VIII.

As the Administrative Office continues to decentralize administrative operations, it is committed to providing the courts with the assistance and guidance they need in implementing their new responsibilities.

In FY 1991, 3 courts of appeals, 22 district courts and 15 bankruptcy courts were visited. Since this is an ongoing program, CSD expects to make 40 court visits in FY 1992, and to visit all courts in a 5-year cycle.

Online Lawbook Ordering

The Contracts and Services Division successfully implemented its online lawbook ordering program, which became fully operational in November 1990. Currently, there are six circuits processing their lawbook orders using this program -- the District of Columbia, First, Fourth, Fifth, Seventh, and Eighth Circuits. For these circuits, the program has effectively reduced the Administrative Office processing time for lawbook orders from approximately five weeks to one or two days.

HUMAN RESOURCES

Administrative Office Personnel System

With the passage of Pub. L. No. 101-474, the Administrative Office gained independence from most provisions that govern personnel in the Executive Branch, requirements to which it had been subject since the AO was created in 1939. The Director appointed a Steering Committee composed of senior executives of the Administrative Office to guide development of policies and regulations to implement the Act. Over 100 employee volunteers formed 4 subcommittees and several working teams which offer proposals and draft regulations for a new human resources system for the Administrative Office. By the terms of the Act, all employees must have the opportunity to comment on regulations under the Act before they become final.

Education and Training

An Education and Training Branch has been established within the Human Resources Division to plan, manage and budget for the education and training of Administrative Office employees. The Branch is also responsible for planning and managing the design and coordination of training for court employees in subject matter specialties of the court programs within the purview of Administrative Office managers, such as real property management. Close liaison with the Federal Judicial Center via an AO/FJC Coordinating Committee ensures that the training programs provided address the educational needs of the courts.

JSP Review

Under instructions from the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Resources, the Administrative Office contracted for an extensive review of the Judiciary Salary Plan (JSP). Given the rapid growth in the Federal Judiciary, the review was needed to ensure that the JSP continues to be a system that pays employees fairly according to their job responsibilities, that JSP salaries are as market competitive as budget realities permit, and that the classification and compensation systems are flexible enough to attract, retain, and motivate highly capable people. Based upon



Shown (left to right) are Charles V. Sords, Charlotte G. Peddicord, Chief, and Linda Holz, Human Resources Division, leading over 100 AO employees in their efforts to shape a new personnel system for the Administrative Office.

the complexity of the issues identified by the review, the Judicial Resources Committee, at its June 1991 meeting, agreed that further study and the development of models of alternative systems were needed. This work will be proceeding during the next two years.

SPACE AND FACILITIES

Review of Judiciary Space Standards

In conjunction with the Congressionally-chartered National Institute for Building Sciences, a team of consultants completed a functional review of the space requirements for all Judiciary units over the past three years. From that project there emerged a document, the **U.S. Courts Design Guide**, that will serve a number of purposes: it helps court personnel--judges, clerks, probation officers, and others--to understand their space requirements; it describes those requirements in such a way that design architects and builders can translate them into finished space; it provides a definitive standard against which cost estimates can be calculated; and, since it was developed with full participation by all units of the Judiciary, it assists the Judiciary in disciplining itself in future projects through peer review of requirements and costs. The revised space standards were approved by the Judicial Conference in March 1991 and will be distributed by mid-September 1991.

Long Range Facility Planning for the Judiciary

In accordance with Judicial Conference policy, each district is required to develop long-range facility plans, coordinated and integrated at the district level. The plans are based on projections of caseload at 5-, 10-, and 30-year intervals. Identified space needs are evaluated against the expansion possibilities of current facilities. The result is an inventory of space needs over a 30-year period.

To date, 31 planning sessions have been conducted. The General Services Administration is being asked to use the results of the long range plans to develop projections of construction needs. The results of the plans to date indicate that 58 new major projects will be required by 1997 with an additional 10 major projects needed by the year 2002.

In 12 to 18 months there should be a complete inventory of projects of all sizes needed to house the courts for 30 years if current caseload trends continue. The Administrative Office can provide to Congress a 5-year program of requirements for its prioritysetting and show how a particular project in a district relates to others, something that cannot be done at this time.

Judiciary Office Building

In April 1990, the Chief Justice of the United States broke ground for the new Federal Judiciary Office Building located on Columbus Circle, adjacent to Union Station on Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. in Washington, D.C.


This monumental building on Capitol Hill will house the Administrative Office, Federal Judicial Center, U.S. Sentencing Commission, and the Panel on Multi-District Litigation. Space also will be provided for retired Supreme Court Justices. The Architect of the Capitol and Executive Branch units will occupy space planned for future possible expansion of Judiciary support organizations.

Administrative Office staff are currently housed in seven locations. Employees of the same office are often divided among two or three buildings, requiring groups of employees to travel from several buildings in order work together on projects. Since the existing space is filled to capacity, offices are cramped and it is often difficult to find meeting space. Consolidation in one location will improve productivity and communication and facilitate interagency coordination.

All Judiciary tenants worked actively with the Architect of the Capitol and Boston Properties, the building developer, in planning the new building.

Construction completion is scheduled for September 1992, with occupancy planned for Fall 1992. The Administrative Office is pleased with progress to date and looks forward to bringing the Washington, D.C.-based Federal Judiciary agencies together.

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR



The pace of construction on the Judiciary Office Building has been brisk; the building is shown here in July 1991. The photographer's vantage point is the parking garage of Union Station.

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

OFFICE OF AUTOMATION AND TECHNOLOGY

Edwin L. Stoorza, Jr. Assistant Director 633-6106

Charles F. McBride Deputy Assistant Director 633-6537



Richard D. Fennell 633-6361

AUTOMATION RESOURCES DIVISION

> Albert E. Ball 633-6175

SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

Frank S. Dozier 633-5804



AUTOMATION PLANNING & POLICY FORMULATION OFFICE

Kathryn C. Hogan 633-6527

COURT SYSTEMS DIVISION

Dennis E. Morey 786-6220


INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

> Pamela White 786-6900

Long Range Plan

The Long Range Plan for Automation in the U.S. Courts articulates a strategy for providing appellate, district, and bankruptcy courts with integrated electronic docketing, case management, data communica-

tions, and office automation. Under the Long Range Plan, which emphasizes a comprehensive approach to automation planning, the Judiciary has established a strong foundation in the three major components of its automation program: data processing, office automa-



tion, and data communications. These three essential elements constitute the system known as JURIST, the Judiciary Users Requirements for Integrated Systems Technology. When fully implemented, the JURIST system will provide judges and court support personnel with access to a host of integrated data processing applications and services, office automation functions, and data communications services.

Automation Fund

Recognizing the importance of automation to the Judiciary's future, Congress created the Judiciary Automation Fund in 1990 to support the expansion, management and use of automation throughout the Judiciary. The Judiciary Automation Fund has proven to be an invaluable resource in meeting the goals and objectives of the Long Range Plan for Automation in the U.S. Courts. In fiscal year 1991, \$87.3 million was approved by the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference for deposit into the Judiciary Automation Fund to continue installation of electronic docketing, office automation, and data communications systems in courts throughout the nation. An important feature of the Judiciary Automation Fund is its ability to operate without fiscal year limitation, which allows for effective long-term planning.

Federal Judiciary Automation Program Review

In conjunction with the Committee on Automation and Technology, the Administrative Office contracted with three consultants to review the status and provide a broad management-level assessment of the Judiciary's automation program. This review primarily focused on issues growing out of past and present performance as it affects the goals, strategies, and future direction of the Judiciary's automation program. The final report contained 16 recommendations divided into 4 major categories: organization, development, support, and management systems. It emphasized user control over the developmental process and recommended changes in the way the Judiciary plans, budgets, and manages its automation activities. In June, the Committee on Automation and Technology voted unanimously to approve the consultants' report and requested that the Director implement the recommendations. The Director has established a Management Systems and Coordination Team to act as a resource to the Subcommittee on Implementation of the Automation Review Recommendations appointed by the Chair of the Committee on Automation and Technology.

Data Communications Network

The Judiciary's contract for the data communications network was let in January 1991. The first phase of the implementation plan -- the acceptance test -- has begun. In this phase, the network will be installed at 21 different sites in 5 courts in the Sixth Circuit and the District of Columbia Circuit, and in selected offices within the Administrative Office. Full network installation is scheduled to be phased in over five years and will be substantially completed by fiscal year 1995.

Office Automation Program

The goal of the office automation program is to equip the courts with locally supported, nationally standardized personal computer equipment that provides comprehensive office automation support to all court personnel who require such equipment. Office automation activities include word processing, electronic mail, electronic



Discussing the activities of the Committee on Automation and Technology are (I. to r.) Albert E. Ball, Chief, Automation Resources Divison, OAT; Charles F. McBride, Deputy Assistant Director, OAT; Hon. Rya W. Zobel (D.Mass.); Richard D. Fennell, Chief, Technology Enhancement Office, OAT; and Edwin L. Stoorza, Jr., Assistant Director, Or T.

spreadsheet, and locally supported software applications development. Beginning in the last guarter of 1989 and continuing through the present, \$54.1 million has been made available to the courts for the purchase and support of PCs, including approximately \$22.2 million which was allotted to the courts in fiscal year 1991. To date, approximately 17,000 personal computers and peripheral equipment have been installed in the courts to meet their office automation needs. The Federal Judiciary Office Automation Users Group was established in July 1990 to promote the productive utilization and management of office automation resources in the Judiciary by facilitating the exchange of information and experiences regarding office automation technology and support within the courts. The users group functions through a 3-tier structure operating at the national, circuit, and local levels.

Voice Communications Network

The Judiciary telephone services program provides telephone equipment, mainte-

nance and service to the courts. It also provides dedicated leased lines and intrasite wiring in support of computer systems installations and management of the FTS2000 and Washington Interagency Telecommunications System (WITS) programs for the courts. Courts are allotted funds each fiscal year to cover expenses associated with equipment acquisition, repair, maintenance, and follow-on services. As a result of deregulation, there has been an increasing demand for replacement of aging telephone equipment. The Administrative Office works closely with the telecommunications coordinators in the courts to satisfy these requests and to fulfill the requirements of the courts. Funds for major equipment acquisition, replacement, or upgrade projects are allotted to courts on a case-bycase basis following analyses of their needs. To meet telecommunications needs, reviews and evaluations of voice mail in the courts and cellular telephone technologies in probation and pretrial services offices have been conducted, although further expansion is contingent on the availability of funds.

Public Access to Electronic Records

In fulfilling its responsibility to provide the public with access to court records, the Judiciary has developed systems which permit electronic access to selected court records. One such system, the Voice Case Information System (VCIS) provides dial-in public access through Touch Tone telephones to the courts' case management systems. The Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) system gives public access to selected case information from court-based personal computers installed in appellate, district, and bankruptcy courts. Work is underway to create an Electronic Dissemination of Opinions (EDOS) system in the courts of appeals to facilitate dissemination of appellate court information. The Judiciary is continuing to explore other public access opportunities.


District Court Automation


An additional 25 district courts began using the CIVIL electronic case docketing this year; a total of 58 district courts now employ this application. Four district courts pilot tested CRIMINAL software, and, with the assistance of the Training Centers in Arizona and the Western District of Texas, eight district courts now use CRIMINAL case docketing. The Training Centers support and assist users and help the Administrative Office to identify software bugs and problems. The Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) for CIVIL cases has expanded from 4 pilot courts to a total of 43 district courts this fiscal year. Nine courts have been trained and 8 courts are operational in the CRIMINAL system. Use of the centralized DEC-10 computers is decreasing, as only 15 district courts still employ these machines for case management, and 53 courts still utilize Case/Party INDEX microfiche support. To replace outdated technology, 6 district courts have received Unisys microcomputers, and 23 additional PC/386 UNIX-based microcomputers have been delivered or ordered. Several minicomputer installations are being upgraded to increase computer power or provide additional services as well as additional terminals, printers, disc storage devices and similar equipment.

Bankruptcy Court Automation

Sixteen additional bankruptcy courts received BANCAP this year. The total number of courts trained in BANCAP is 57, and 49 courts are now operational. The BANCAP software was installed on a UNIX-based PC/ 486 in two sites: the Western District of Texas and the District of New Jersey. Development of PACER for BANCAP was completed and extended to additional pilot courts. All BANCAP courts will receive PACER software and hardware in the coming year.

Special software and procedures have been developed to meet the increased system capacity needs caused by the overwhelming growth in case filings in the largest bankruptcy courts. This software allows the courts to split





Circuit this year, joining the seven existing operational appeals courts: the Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Seventh, Ninth and Tenth Circuits. The First Circuit has scheduled full parallel testing of AIMS in the fall of this year and expects to be fully operational by January 1992. The District of Columbia Circuit is currently tailoring the application to conform to its local rules and procedures. The courts of appeals of the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits are not yet included on the implementation schedule for AIMS. PACER is being implemented in the Third and Tenth Circuits, joining the exist-


their BANCAP databases on two or more computers for greater operating efficiency and capacity.

BANS continued operation in 15 bankruptcy courts. Nearly 18 million bankruptcy notices were produced and distributed from BANS this year. A project was begun in 1991 to explore replacement options and hardware and software upgrades to BANS for the interim period pending transition to the Judiciary Noticing Center.

NIBS continued operation in approximately 20 courts as the primary automation case management system. A number of courts which have recently switched to BANCAP still use NIBS to process older cases. Parallel operation of NIBS and BANCAP will decrease in the courts as these older cases are closed.

Appeals Court Automation

The Appellate Information Management System (AIMS) electronic docketing application became fully operational in the Eighth




ing PACER installation in the Fourth Circuit.

Probation Automated Case Tracking System (PACTS)

The Probation and Pretrial Services Automated Case Tracking System (PACTS), the new national data collection system for probation and pretrial services offices, is being prepared for expansion nationally. PACTS is a joint project between the Probation and Pretrial Services Division, the Office of Automation and Technology, user representatives from the courts, and the Training Center in San Antonio, Texas. It decentralizes data collection to the local offices, providing immediate availability of data for case management and local office administration. It will also meet national reporting requirements via electronic transmission of data from the districts to the national data system for probation and pretrial services maintained in the Administra-

tive Office. PACTS is being designed with the capability to exchange data with other systems, including the National Fine Center database, the automated Judgment and Commitment Order, and the CRIMINAL docketing system, while also anticipating compatibility with the data system of the U.S. Sentencing Commission.



PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES CASE TRACKING SYSTEM

ICMS Improvements Project

The Integrated Case Management System (ICMS) family of electronic docketing systems currently supports the case management needs of the appellate, district, and bankruptcy courts. The ICMS Improvements Project was initiated in January 1991 to correct some technical shortcomings of the present ICMS systems, make the software more adaptable to court needs, and improve the design and structure of the software so that the Administrative Office can be more responsive to the operational needs of the courts. The first release of this software is expected to be pilot tested next year.

Case Assignment System

The automated Case Assignment System was designed for district and bankruptcy courts to facilitate the random assignment of judges to cases and to assist in the management of case assignments. This system will replace manual card-oriented and similar systems, and it will provide an automated means for random case assignments while retaining flexibility for direct assignment to or recusal from a case.

Records Management System

The automated Records Management System is designed to automate records management functions of the courts. This system, employing bar code technology, tracks active case file folders within a courthouse, as well as case files, docket sheet binders, and report notes sent to the Federal Records Center. This application was developed jointly with the Northern District of Illinois Bankruptcy Court and is currently available to appellate, district, and bankruptcy courts.

Systems Administrator Training Center

The Systems Administrator Training Center provides high-level technical training and support to systems managers and systems administrators from district, bankruptcy, and appellate courts. Courses are offered in core curricula: UNIX/Unisys System Administration, UNIX/386 System Administration, ICMS Database Design (CIVIL), ICMS Database Design (Bankruptcy), and Forms Design. Since the first classes were offered in 1990, 587 students have been trained at the Washington, D.C. facility. In addition, 908 students have enrolled in 1 of the 3 introductory self-study courses offered as prerequisites to the classroom training. Training and classroom materials are currently being improved to meet the needs of both beginning and intermediate users. New courses under development include a self-study course for PACTS and advanced UNIX and ICMS courses.

OFFICE OF COURT PROGRAMS

Noel J. Augustyn Assistant Director 633-8862

COURT SECURITY OFFICE

William A. Cohan, Jr. 786-6003

COURT ADMINISTRATION DIVISION Duane R. Lee

633-6478

DEFENDER SERVICES DIVISION

Theodore J. Lidz 633-6051

PROBATION & PRETRIAL SERVICES DIVISION

Donald L. Chamlee 633-6226

COURT ADMINISTRATION

Implementation of the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990

The Court Administration Division provides staff support to the Judicial Conference's Committee on Court Administration and Case Management, which has been assigned principal responsibility for implementation of the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990. The Committee and its Subcommittee on Case Management have advised and guided the courts on implementation of the Act.

The Administrative Office worked with the Federal Judicial Center in preparing mate-

rials which were sent to all advisory groups to assist them in carrying out their functions under the Act, including detailed information on causes of expense and delay, statistical information, and questionnaires.

The Court Administration Division has acted as a clearinghouse for information on the progress of implementation and has regularly communicated with the district courts and their advisory groups to monitor deadlines, obtain information on the composition and leadership of advisory groups, and obtain information on procedures and materials used by the groups. It also has participated and assisted in the development of Federal Judicial Center training programs on the requirements of the Act for district court chief judges, district court executives, clerks, and advisory group members. The Division answers questions and requests for information regarding the Act on a daily basis.

The Court Administration Division, in consultation with the Federal Judicial Center, conducted a review of independent judicial research organizations in order to implement the study of the pilot courts and comparable courts required by the Act. The results of the review were presented to the Executive Committee, and the Committee selected the RAND Corporation.

Revised Bankruptcy Court Clerks Manual

The Court Administration Division is revising the program manual used by bankruptcy court clerks to include the revised Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure which take effect in August 1991. The manual is also being updated to reflect other new statutes, organizational changes and clarifications requested by users. It is anticipated that the revised manual will be available for distribution in August 1991.

District Court Clerks Manual

The Court Administration Division, with the assistance of a number of clerks and court staff, is preparing a program manual for clerks of the district courts. The manual will include a comprehensive analysis of the pertinent statutory and rule provisions that affect the clerks' offices, recommend effective procedures for clerks, and contain a collection of sample forms and local rules. Changes in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, scheduled for implementation in December 1991, will be incorporated into the manual. Like the previously published bankruptcy clerks manual, the district court clerks manual is designed to serve as a training guide for newly-appointed clerks and as a convenient reference work for more experienced clerks and for judges. The manual is scheduled for publication in fiscal year 1992.

Court Reporters Manual

The Court Reporters Manual (Volume VI of the **Guide To Judiciary Policies and Procedures**) has been updated and is scheduled for publication in the 1991 calendar year. Comments on the updated version were solicited and received from the United States Court Reporters Association, the National Court Reporters Association, selected court personnel, and Administrative Office senior staff.

Intern Program

The Administrative Office's intern program for mid-level court managers provides an opportunity for court employees with high management potential to examine issues, policies and procedures from a nationwide perspective by spending a period of three months to one year working at the Administra-The program also allows the tive Office. Administrative Office to benefit from the application of locally developed expertise to the administration of national programs. During 1991, the second year of the program, four interns served in the Administrative Office, including a bankruptcy court financial and procurement administrator (District of Utah), a telecommunications coordinator (10th Circuit), a courtroom deputy (District of Massachusetts), and a district court personnel director (Northern District of Texas).

Electronic Sound Recording Program

Presently, 69 active district judges, 35 senior district judges, and 140 bankruptcy judges are using electronic sound recording

systems to record all or some of their court proceedings. In addition, a limited number of electronic court recorder operator positions have been assigned to the courts to record the court proceedings of magistrate judges. Additional positions will be assigned to record magistrate judge proceedings as they are funded.

The Administrative Office has decentralized the training of electronic court recorder operators. There are 16 court personnel designated to provide training for courts implementing this method of recording court proceedings.

Videotaping of Court Proceedings Experiment

The Administrative Office has contracted with the National Center for State Courts (NCSC) to design and conduct the videotaping of the court proceedings experiment. The experiment will determine the usefulness of videotape recording as a method of recording court proceedings. The NCSC staff have assisted the participating courts in developing local or standing orders dealing with the use of the videotape record in the courts and in setting up operating procedures for the experiment. All of the participating courts have begun using the recording equipment. The experiment will be conducted over a two-year period and will be evaluated by the Federal Judicial Center.

Court Interpreting Program

The Administrative Office continues to assist the courts in selecting qualified interpreters through its Court Interpreting Program. The Judiciary has certified 388 Spanish/English interpreters since the first examination was given in 1980. Certification of Haitian Creole and Navajo interpreters was



Ellen Cole, personnel director of the District Court for the Northern District of Texas is currently serving a managment internship at the Administrative Office.


completed in 1990. There are now 50 Spanish/English interpreters and one Navajo interpreter who are full-time employees of the courts.

A pilot study of interpreting by telephone, begun in 1990, is testing the feasibility and cost of providing certified court interpreting by telephone to courts in locations without certified interpreters. Only the equipment involved would be required in the courtrooms, and the interpreters would be able to serve the needs of courts in various locations without traveling. Courts in major metropolitan areas with immigrant populations, particularly, need interpretation in rare or unusual languages. In a second phase, beginning in 1991, the pilot study will test telephone interpreting of rare languages in various types of court proceedings.

Management Review and Assistance to the Courts

The Court Administration Division expanded its management assistance services in 1991, conducting five full management reviews and numerous brief court visits. Using both short court visits and the full management review process, staff conducted reviews in a variety of administrative areas based on the needs of the requesting courts. Teams typically included Division staff, other Administrative Office staff and court personnel.

The Court Administration Division also provides assistance to chief judges in recruiting and evaluating applicants for clerk of court positions. On request of the chief judge, the Court Administration Division's regional administrators help the court advertise, recruit, screen, rank and/or interview applicants. Most national recruitment efforts yield hundreds of applicants and require an initial screening to establish applicant qualifications. A second



An experimental videotape recording of court proceedings is seen here in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

phase of screening ranks applicants based on their court experience, management experience, procedural expertise, education and other factors relevant to the position of clerk of court. Well qualified and highly ranked applicants are referred to the court for further action. When a candidate is selected, the regional administrator continues to assist the court by providing materials, information and advice to the new clerk.

Judiciary Noticing Center

The Administrative Office is beginning to explore the feasibility of using contract support for the production and distribution of notices and other printed material for the Federal Judiciary. These services would include, but would not be limited to, receiving case data, text material and forms on paper records, electronic data transmissions and magnetic tapes; producing notices, letters, reports, and other material; and distributing notices and printed matter.

> The Judiciary Noticing Center initially would be used to process four types of notices and bills: bankruptcy notices, Central Violations Bureau notices, Fine Center bills, and public access bills. With the assistance of a committee of bankruptcy clerks, the data specifications for bankruptcy noticing will be completed in the 1991 calendar year. Award of the contract is expected to be made in January 1993.

Fine Center

The Administrative Office has established and begun pilot testing the operation of a highly automated national fine center which will receive payments of fines, restitution, interest, penalties, and assessments imposed in Federal criminal cases. The fine center is designed to combine the administrative procedures of receipting, account maintenance, billing, notification, and record-keeping associated with criminal fines. The automated processing will enable retrieval of up-to-date information on the status of any obligation covered by the system.

The pilot fine center is located in the Eastern District of North Carolina. Four other district courts will be participating in the pilot project: the Southern District of Texas, the Western District of Texas, the Western District of Missouri, and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

It is expected that testing under the pilot project will continue for two years, followed by an expansion to all district courts by the close of fiscal year 1996.

Central Violations Bureaus

The Court Administration Division continues to provide administrative support and assistance to the two consolidated Central Violation Bureaus (CVB) located in Denver, Colorado, and San Antonio, Texas. Most recently, the Division assisted the San Antonio CVB in adopting a lockbox system for collecting and depositing forfeiture of collateral and fine payments for petty offense and some misdemeanor cases. It assisted the Denver CVB in obtaining credit card processing equipment to process forfeiture and fine payments. The Denver CVB is also pilot testing bar coding equipment. Additionally, the Administrative Office has developed, tested and installed new fines software to assist the CVBs in tracking fine assessments, payments, penalties, and interest.

Post-Automation Reviews

During 1991, the Administrative Office initiated post-automation reviews in order to examine the automation functions, develop recommendations, and provide a report to assist the clerk's office in obtaining optimum performance from the court's automated systems. To date, the Court Administration Division has conducted five post-automation reviews and has received requests from seven other courts.

The post-automation review team spends several days in the court and consists of representatives from the Court Administration Division, the Court Systems Division and a representative from the San Antonio or Phoenix Training Center. These representatives focus on management aspects of automation, systems operations performed by the systems managers and systems administrators, docketing procedures, and quality control.

One-Step Juror Summoning/Qualification

The Judicial Improvements and Access to Justice Act of 1988 amended the Jury Selection and Service Act by authorizing the Judicial Conference to develop and conduct a two-year experiment to test a one-step summoning and qualification procedure in ten The Court Administration Division courts. evaluated and prepared a report on the experiment. Based on highly favorable results in eight of the participating courts, the Judicial Conference in March 1991 endorsed enactment of legislation to authorize a one-step summoning and qualification process as an option for all district courts in lieu of the two separate procedures otherwise provided for by the Jury Act.

DEFENDER SERVICES

Comprehensive Review of the Criminal Justice Act

In its April 1990 report, the Federal Courts Study Committee recommended that the Judicial Conference appoint a special committee to conduct a detailed study of the administration and operation of the Criminal Justice Act of 1964, 18 U.S.C. §3006A (CJA), which was enacted to provide a system for payment of the cost of providing defense services to financially eligible Federal criminal defendants. At its September 1990 proceedings, the Judicial Conference of the United States agreed to implement the Federal Courts Study Committee's recommendation and subsequently established the Committee to Review the Criminal Justice Act. The Judicial Improvements Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-650, which was signed by the President on December 1, 1990, requested that the Judicial Conference study the Federal defender program and report to Congress.

The nine-member Committee to Review the Criminal Justice Act will conduct the first comprehensive review of the CJA program since 1967. The Committee includes two district court judges, current and former Federal defenders, private attorneys, a state public defender, and two law school professors. The Defender Services Division is developing recommendations for the Committee's operational practices, arranging for its funding, suggesting topics for study, and assembling briefing materials. Administrative Office staff will provide whatever assistance is requested by the Committee.

CJA Panel Attorney Compensation Increases

The implementation of complicated statutory schemes affecting criminal law, such as the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt

Organizations (RICO) Act and the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, has introduced complexities in criminal defense representation. As a result, the pool of qualified attorneys who are willing to accept Criminal Justice Act (CJA) appointments at current compensation rates has been severely diminished. In recognition of this problem, the Judicial Conference Committee on Defender Services, at its June 1990 meeting, directed the Defender Services Division to study and report on the reasonableness of CJA hourly rates in all districts in which the maximum pay cost adjustments in CJA rates had not been approved.

The Division developed and distributed survey materials to the districts eliciting information concerning their need for pay cost adjustments.

Following the June 1990 Committee meeting, the Division reviewed and analyzed the completed survey materials provided by Based on these results, the 40 districts. Judicial Conference approved, subject to the availability of funds: (1) extending to the entire district previously established pay cost adjustments which had been limited to specific court locations in 6 districts, and (2) establishing pay cost adjustments for 11 other districts. The Committee on Defender Services, at its June 1991 meeting, recommended that the Judicial Conference approve pay cost adjustments in another 32 districts, and further increases for previously adjusted rates in 3 districts. The Judicial Conference will consider this recommendation at its September 1991 meeting.

Death Penalty Resource Centers

The Defender Services Division continued to provide administrative support in connection with the establishment and operation of death penalty resource center/community defender organizations. The concept of death penalty resource centers, organizations jointly funded through CJA grants and other sources, is an outgrowth of circuit task force studies which began in 1986 to address the problem of the large increase in the number of death penalty cases entering the Federal court system.

Resource centers, which are designated as community defender organizations under subsection (g)(2)(B) of the CJA, monitor the status of death penalty cases pending in the districts they serve, recruit attorneys to represent death-sentenced Federal habeas petitioners and persons charged with Federal capital crimes, and provide assistance and expert advice to appointed counsel in death penalty cases. Each center also represents a number of death-sentenced petitioners directly. The 15 operational death penalty resource centers provide both direct representation and consulting services in Federal proceedings. The centers also maintain brief banks and serve as clearinghouses of information on death penalty issues. In delivering these services, resource centers facilitate Federal courts in locating attorneys willing and able to provide CJA representation in death penalty cases, foster continuity of representation, and improve the quality and cost-effectiveness of death penalty representation.

In the past year, Division representatives attended meetings and consulted with judges in Arkansas and Virginia regarding the establishment of death penalty resource centers. The Division continues to assist in the

DEATH PENALTY RESOURCE CENTERS

District

Alabama N, M, S Arizona California N, E, C, S Florida N, M, S Georgia N, M, S Illinois N, C, S Kentucky E, W Louisiana E, M, W Mississippi N, S Missouri E, W North Carolina E, M, W Ohio N. S* Oklahoma E, N, W Pennsylvania E, M, W* South Carolina Tennessee E, M, W Texas N, E, S, W

Headquarters

Montgomery Tempe San Francisco Tallahassee Atlanta Springfield Frankfort New Orleans Jackson Kansas City Raleigh Columbus Norman Harrisburg Columbia Nashville Austin (branch offices: Houston, San Antonio, Dallas**)

* Proposed Death Penalty Resource Centers

** Proposed Branch Office

ACTIVITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

51

establishment of the Ohio and Pennsylvania resource centers, which are expected to begin operations by the end of 1991. The Division also provided administrative support with regard to the creation of a Nevada resource center.

CJA Panel Attorney Training

The Defender Services Division has made a substantial commitment to presenting ongoing training to the approximately 10,000 private attorneys across the country who represent defendants in Federal court under the CJA. The Division initiated a plan to institutionalize panel attorney training throughout the country and has employed an Educational Coordinator to oversee its implementation.

In districts not served by a Federal defender organization, the plan calls for the designation of local CJA Panel Attorney Training Coordinators for panel attorneys on an ongoing basis. Local CJA training coordinators are experienced CJA panel attorneys selected with the assistance and recommendations of the district courts, Federal defenders, and the CJA Panel Attorney Advisory Committee, Coordinators have been selected in 35 districts. Fifteen coordinators participated in a "train the trainer" program held in May 1991 in conjunction with the ABA Sentencing Guidelines Institute. A second "train the trainer" program has been planned for 17 additional coordinators. and will be conducted in the latter half of 1992. The Division's Educational Coordinator will continue to assist each local training coordinator in developing a training program agenda, applying for Continuing Legal Education (CLE) accreditation, identifying faculty, and arranging for a training site. This year, 12 local training programs for panel attorneys were conducted.

In districts served by a Federal defender organization, the Division continues to encourage and support defender efforts to plan and conduct training programs for panel attorneys. The Division provides training materials and funding for faculty travel and related costs associated with such programs.

Federal defender organizations consistently furnish high quality representation to Criminal Justice Act (CJA) defendants and their establishment frequently reduces costs and the administrative burdens on district courts. The Division plans to conduct studies to evaluate the feasibility of establishing defender organizations in all judicial districts with the requisite number of CJA appointments per year. Feasibility studies for the following districts were initiated and/or completed during the past year:

Southern District of Alabama Middle District of Louisiana Western District of Louisiana District of Montana District of Nebraska Northern District of New York Western District of New York Middle District of North Carolina Western District of North Carolina District of Utah District of Wyoming

Date Established/

Establishment of New Federal Defender Offices

During the past year, the Defender Services Division provided administrative support in connection with the establishment of **new federal defender organizations** (FDOs) to serve the following districts:

District of ColumbiaSeptember 1990District of Alaska (currently being served by an Anchorage branchSeptember 1990
being served by an Anchorage branch
office of the FDO for the Western
District of Washington) 4th Quarter, FY 1991
Western District of New York 4th Quarter, FY 1991
Eastern District of Washington FY 1992
Eastern District of Tennessee FY 1992
Middle District of North Carolina FY 1992
Eastern District of Texas FY 1992

In addition, the Division has assisted the efforts of FDOs in the following districts to establish branch offices:

Date_Established/ District Projected to be Location Established Eastern District of Missouri and Central and Southern East St. Louis, **Districts of Illinois** October 1990 Illinois District of New Jersey (to serve the District of Delaware) February 1991 Wilmington, Delaware **District** of South Carolina Charleston April 1991 **District of Colorado** (to serve the District of Wyoming) FY 1992 Cheyenne, Wyoming Northern District of Florida FY 1992 Gainesville Western District of Texas FY 1992 Austin and Del Rio Western District of Washington FY 1992 Tacoma Northern District of California FY 1993 Oakland Southern District of Florida FY 1993 Fort Pierce Eastern District of Pennsylvania FY 1993 Allentown

53

FEDERAL PUBLIC AND COMMUNITY DEFENDER OFFICES

District

Headquarters/Branches*

Alaska**, Washington W Arizona Californía N California E California S**** California C Colorado, Wyoming***** Connecticut Delaware, New Jersey District of Columbia Florida N Florida M Florida S Georgia N**** Hawaii Illinois N**** Illinois C, S and Missouri E Kansas Louisiana E Marvland Massachusetts Michigan E**** Minnesota Missouri W Nevada New York S. E**** New York W***** New Mexico North Carolina E North Carolina M***** Ohio N Oklahoma W, N, E Oregon Pennsylvania E**** Pennsylvania M Pennsylvania W Puerto Rico South Carolina Tennessee M Tennessee W Tennessee E***** Texas N Texas S Texas E***** Texas W Virgin Islands Washington E***** Washington W West Virginia S

Seattle (Anchorage, Tacoma***) Tucson (Phoenix) San Francisco (San Jose) Sacramento (Fresno) San Diego Los Angeles (Santa Ana) Denver (Cheyenne***) Hartford (New Haven) Newark (Camden, Trenton, Wilmington) Washington, D.C. Tallahassee (Pensacola, Gainesville***) Orlando (Ft. Myers, Jacksonville, Tampa) Miami (Ft. Lauderdale, Ft. Pierce***, West Palm Beach) Atlanta Honolulu Chicago St. Louis (E. St. Louis, Benton***) Wichita (Kansas City, Topeka) New Orleans Baltimore (Hyattsville) Boston Detroit Minneapolis Kansas City (Springfield) Las Vegas (Reno) Manhattan (Brooklyn, White Plains, Uniondale) Buffalo (Rochester) Albuquerque (Las Cruces) Raleigh Greensboro (Winston-Salem***) Cleveland Oklahoma City (Tulsa) Portland (Eugene) Philadelphia Harrisburg (Scranton, Williamsport***) Pittsburgh (Erie***) San Juan Columbia (Charleston, Greenville***) Nashville Memphis Knoxville (Chattanooga***, Greeneville***) Dallas (Ft. Worth) Houston (Brownsville, Laredo, McAllen, Corpus Christi) Beaumont (Tyler***) San Antonio (Austin***, Del Rio***, El Paso) St. Croix (St. Thomas) Spokane (Yakima***) Seattle (Tacoma***) Charleston

 Branch offices are in parentheses.
 Proposed independent defender organization. Alaska is currently served by an Anchorage branch office of the Federal Public Defender Organization for the Western District of Washington.
 Proposed branch offices.
 Community defender organizations.
 Proposed_defender organizations.

PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES

Drug Testing Pilot

Section 7304 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 required the Administrative Office to establish a demonstration program of mandatory drug testing of criminal defendants and offenders in eight Federal districts. The program began on January 1, 1989 and was completed on December 31, 1990.

The demonstration program included testing prior to a defendant's initial appearance in court, i.e, before bail is set, and also testing while on post-conviction supervision. In the March 1991 final report to Congress on the effectiveness of the demonstration program, the Judicial Conference and the Administrative Office agreed, that:

1) Congress should authorize the expansion of pretrial services urinalysis beyond the pilot districts. The results should be included in the pretrial services report submitted to judicial officers to assist them in assessing the dangerousness posed by defendants.


2) Congress should not establish a system of mandatory testing for all post-conviction felony offenders.

Study of Substance Abuse Treatment Outcome

On October 1, 1990, the Probation and Pretrial Services Division started collecting data from closed supervision cases in which the offender had been subject to treatment for substance abuse. Data are entered in the Substance Case Outcome Data Acquisition System (SCODAS), and will be used to develop a client profile, determine the success rate of drug treatment alternatives, and provide a basis for program evaluation. It is anticipated the study will be completed in 1993.

Enhanced Supervision of Offenders

At its December 1990 meeting, the Committee on Criminal Law and Probation Administration endorsed a monograph detail-



Primary Abused Substances


ACTIVITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

ing revised procedures for the supervision of persons on probation, parole, and supervised release. The monograph was the product of a task force which evaluated existing supervision practices and developed improved standards. The objectives of the revised standards are to utilize probation resources more efficiently by better identifying high risk offenders and focusing attention on the enforcement of special conditions of supervision, controlling risk to the community, and providing correctional treatment. By December 1991. the Federal Judicial Center, in conjunction with the task force, will have completed the initial training of each probation office in the revised procedures.


Pretrial Services Supervision Project

At its December 1990 meeting, the Committee on Criminal Law and Probation Administration endorsed a proposal of the



Type of Supervision

Nature of Offense for Present Supervision



Probation and Pretrial Services Division to develop a supervision model for pretrial services. The Division formed a task force comprised of Division staff and chief pretrial services and probation officers. The task force met in May 1991 and began to develop a supervision model based on the statutory responsibilities of pretrial services. The model, due to be implemented in 1992, will include extensive use of alternatives to detention, e.g., electronic monitoring, halfway house placement, and field work. The model will be tested in several districts and evaluated by the ability of pretrial services to meet its statutory responsibilities, and by the effect on the rates of pretrial detention, non-appearance in court, and re-arrest on release.

56

OFFICE OF FINANCE, BUDGET & PROGRAM ANALYSIS

Raymond A. Karam Assistant Director 633-6101



BUDGET DIVISION

Dewey R. Heising 633-6122

FINANCIAL APPLICATIONS & ANALYSIS DIVISION

Penny G. Jacobs 633-6101

STATISTICS DIVISION

David L. Cook 633-6094

JUDICIAL IMPACT OFFICE

Nancy Potok 633-6101

ACCOUNTING DIVISION Alexander L. Lloyd, Acting Chief 633-6124

AUDIT DIVISION

David L. Gellman 633-6193

JUDICIAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

Judicial Impact Office

The Judicial Impact Office was established in March 1991 as an office reporting directly to the Assistant Director for Finance, Budget, and Program Analysis in order to enhance the on-going preparation of Judicial Impact Statements in the Administrative Office. The statements assess the resource impact of important Legislative and Executive Branch proposals on the Judiciary. They are objective analyses of the probable effects of

legislative proposals and do not represent a position either supporting or opposing a particular proposal. They are used to aid Judicial Conference Committees in their deliberations on issues and in congressional testimony and are also provided to members of congressional committees, their staff, and others. Information on the costs of various proposals is incorporated into the Judiciary's resource planning process once legislation is enacted.

Examples of legislation proposed during this session of Congress that prompted preparation of Judicial Impact Statements include: (1) two omnibus crime bills containing habeas corpus reform, death penalty provisions, expansion of Federal jurisdiction, drug testing, and mandatory sentencing, and several amendments to these bills; (2) the Violence Against Women Act and amendments; (3) the Civil Rights Act; (4) Crime Victim's Restitution Act; (4) Appointment of Additional Bankrurtcy Judges; (5) Women's Equal Opportunity Act; (6) amendments to the Immigration Reform Act; (7) the Judicial Space and Facilities Management Improvement Act; (8) a Judiciary housekeeping measure; and (9) the Judicial Survivors' Annuities Improvement Act.

FINANCIAL APPLICATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Court Financial System Implementation

The Administrative Office continued to implement the automated Court Financial System (CFS), working toward having an automated financial system in each court to support budget decentralization. A pilot test of the new DOS-based version of the system (CFS-2) was conducted in five small and medium sized district and bankruptcy courts that maintain manual financial records. In addition. CFS-2 was installed in six other district and bankruptcy courts that will be implementing budget decentralization in fiscal year 1992. CFS-2 was also installed for testing in a large consolidated court that is currently operating on the earlier UNIX-based system (CFS-1) to determine if CFS-2 can meet a large court's needs for a financial system.

ACCOUNTING

Decentralized Criminal Justice Act (CJA) Payment Program

The decentralization of the CJA payment process to the appeals and district courts was completed in January 1991. The program to decentralize the payment process began in 1988 on a voluntary basis. By decentralizing the payment process, the length of time between the submission of the CJA vouchers and receipt of payment by the attorneys has been substantially reduced. The Accounting Division continues to provide support to the courts by performing post audits of a sample of CJA vouchers processed by the courts and by training court staff.

Transfer of Trustee Payment Function to the District Court

In November 1990, the payment of trustee vouchers was transferred from the Accounting Division to the district courts. Trustee payments are prepared on a monthly basis by the bankruptcy courts and forwarded to the district courts for payment. This change has substantially reduced the processing time and provides better service to the trustees.

Credit Card Implementation

Fifteen courts are now accepting credit cards in payment for court fees, fines, and other purposes under the U.S. Treasury Department's national credit card contract. Credit card transactions are averaging \$15,000 per month. Funds received through credit card transactions are received by the Treasury, on average, one day earlier than payments made by check or cash. The only cost to the courts for use of credit cards is the cost of the equipment, which is minimal.

Court Registry Investment System

The Court Registry Investment System (CRIS) is a system by which funds from courts' registry accounts are used to buy U.S. Treasury issues held by the Federal Reserve Bank. The system offers a court security of deposits, liquidity to meet court ordered disbursements, and a high rate of interest for litigants.

As of June 1, 1991, seven courts have transferred their funds into the Court Registry Investment System. Participating courts are the Bankruptcy Courts for the Northern District of Texas and the Southern District of New York; the District Courts for the Eastern District of Texas, the Middle District of Louisiana, the Southern District of New York and the Eastern District of New York; and the Consolidated Court in theSouthern District of Texas.

CRIS is now responsible for administering over \$600,000,000 in registry investments for over 1,000 separate accounts. An advisory committee has been established to develop a comprehensive operations manual, to oversee expansion of the system, and to provide support for further improvements in registry cash management.

AUDIT

Report on Audit Operations

During the calendar year 1990, the Audit Division issued 87 financial audit reports of the district, bankruptcy, and appeals courts. Of these, approximately 23 reports, or 26 percent, were special audits requested by the courts. Recommendations in these audits addressed management controls, collections and deposits, disbursements of appropriated funds and non-appropriated funds.

Implementation of Contractor Audit Program

The Administrative Office, with participation and representation of court officials, awarded a contract for financial audits to Clifton, Gunderson and Company, a large Certified Public Accounting firm, in February 1990. The contractor performed 31 audits in 1990 and is expected to complete approximately 50 audits in 1991. By the end of 1991, the contractor program coupled with Administrative Office audits, will result in all courts having received a cyclical financial audit within two years.

BUDGET

Budget Decentralization Expansion Program

In fiscal years 1988 - 1990, the Judicial Conference conducted a 3-year pilot project on budget decentralization. One court of appeals (the Second Circuit) and four district courts (the Southern District of New York, the District of Arizona, the Northern District of California, and the Western District of Washington) have had decentralized budgets in their courts since October 1, 1987. This arrangement gives these courts authority to use funds available to satisfy best the priorities of managers. This project was evaluated by the National Academy of Public Administration as consultants to the Administrative Office.

Based upon an assessment of the pilot courts' operations, the recommendation of the Academy, the overwhelming interest shown by the courts nationwide, and the work accomplished by Administrative Office and court personnel in preparation for full implementation, the Budget Committee during its January 1991 meeting recommended that the Judicial Conference approve the expansion of the Budget Decentralization Pilot Program to all courts on a voluntary basis. On March 12, 1991, the Judicial Conference approved the expansion. It will be implemented over a 3year period beginning October 1, 1991, on a voluntary basis, with the understanding that each court will conform to necessary procedures governing the budget processes, and that the program will conform to existing law.

During April through June, 1991, 20 courts were trained for implementation of budget decentralization in fiscal year 1992. During fiscal year 1992, 40 courts will be trained for implementation in 1993. The remaining courts wishing to participate will be trained in fiscal year 1993 for implementation in fiscal year 1994.

STATISTICS

New Reports Required by the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990

The Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 (CJRA) requires that the Administrative Office prepare a semi-annual report showing, by judicial officer, lists of motions pending for more than six months, bench trials submitted for more than six months and civil cases pending for more than three years. The Administrative Office has been working since April 1990 to develop a system to produce the required semi-annual report. In June 1990. the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference approved a series of recommendations related to this requirement. It adopted uniform standards for determining when cases and motions will be subject to the reporting requirement, and approved a schedule for production of the first report. In addition, it eliminated the prior Conference reporting requirements for matters under advisement over 60 days and for three-year old civil cases. Those requirements will be replaced by reports under the CJRA. The Statistics Division is developing reporting instructions and formats for court use in complying with CJRA reporting requirements and working with other Administrative Office divisions to establish processes in the court automation systems to produce the required reports. These instructions will be available to the courts by September 1, 1991, to ensure that the first Administrative Office report can be compiled on the basis of motions, bench trials, and cases pending on September 30, 1991. Thereafter, reports will be required at six-month intervals.


Changes in the Statistical Reporting Process

Since its creation in 1939, one of the primary functions of the Administrative Office has been to collect statistical data on the activities in the Federal courts. Until recently, this data had been collected almost exclusively through submission of manually prepared paper reports by the courts. These reports were mailed to Washington, where the information was manually entered into a computer maintained at the Administrative Office.

The first major change in statistical reporting occurred with the development of computer systems that could be accessed directly by the courts using on-site terminals or personal computers for the reporting of statistical information. Courts could enter data directly into a database in a computer located in Washington. The data required by the Administrative Office could be extracted directly from this database, eliminating the need for the court to submit paper reports and for data entry at the Administrative Office. These systems also created the first opportunity for data to be consistently verified for accuracy at the court level before submission to Washington; under the manual process, the first point at which edit checks could be imposed was when the data was reentered by the Administrative Office.

A second major change in statistical reporting has resulted from the increased use of computers located in the courts. Previously, the computer systems used by the courts only automated the manual reporting process; the courts entered statistical data directly into a prescribed format on the central computer in Washington. Computer systems currently being implemented are designed to perform docketing and case management functions for the courts. Instead of compiling statistical data directly, these systems derive the statistical data indirectly from docket entries and other case management information entered by the courts on their locally controlled databases. Using software developed locally or distributed by the Administrative Office, courts can now extract the information for their monthly statistical reports in a prescribed format directly from databases they control and transmit it to the Administrative Office either by computer tape or directly across telephone lines.

As a result of these changes in the reporting process, the data required by the Administrative Office can now be compiled more quickly, more accurately, and with less effort than was possible under the manual process. It is expected that, as the automation of the courts increases, further enhancements will be developed to ensure the continued improvement of the reporting process.



1992 amount is Senate Allowance.

The substantial increases in the courts' workload have dramatically increased demands on the Administrative Office. Unfortunately, the resources available to the Administrative Office have not kept pace. During the 1970s and early 1980s, the staff and budget of the Administrative Office increased at a rate consistent with that of the Judiciary. Since then, however, the staff and budget of the Administrative Office have declined steadily relative to the growth of the entire Judiciary. For example, the Administrative Office's budget authority as a percentage of the overall Judiciary budget authority has declined from 3 percent in 1984 to just over 2 percent today (not including funding for reimbursable court automation positions). Although one percentage point may not seem significant, to an agency the size of the Administrative Office, this has been a significant loss.

ACTIVITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

OFFICE OF JUDGES PROGRAMS

Peter G. McCabe Assistant Director 633-5922



ARTICLE III JUDGES

DIVISION

John W. Howell

633-8350

MAGISTRATE JUDGES DIVISION

John Thomas Jones

633-6251

LONG RANGE PLANNING OFFICE

Charles W. Nihan 633-8673

RULES COMMITTEE SUPPORT OFFICE

Joseph F. Spaniol, Jr. 633-6021

BANKRUPTCY DIVISION

Frances F. Szczebak 633-6231

LONG RANGE PLANNING

Establishment and Mission Of Long Range Planning Office

In its final report dated April 2, 1990, the Federal Courts Study Committee recognized the need for long range planning to support the Federal courts, and recommended that the courts develop a permanent and effective planning capability as quickly as possible. The Judicial Conference agreed with the Study Committee, and the Chief Justice created a new Judicial Conference committee to oversee long range planning in the Federal courts. Similarly, the Administrative Office created an Office of Long Range Planning to support this important activity. The new Conference Committee's statement of jurisdiction directs it to coordinate the planning activities of the Judiciary, to make recommendations regarding planning mechanisms and strategies, to coordinate the identification of emerging trends, to develop plans for addressing these trends,



Charles W. Nihan heads the AO's new Long Range Planning Office.

and to evaluate and report on the Judiciary's planning efforts. The Committee has begun work and is now analyzing planning activities in the state courts, elsewhere in the Federal government, and in private sector and nonprofit organizations.

ARTICLE III JUDGES

New Judge Orientation Program

The Article III Judges Division coordinates an orientation program for each newlyselected judge nominee, to be held at the time of the confirmation hearing. The program is designed to introduce a nominee to the Administrative Office and to the support it offers to judges and their staff. The typical orientation includes a meeting with the Director and the Deputy Director, an overview of the functions of the Administrative Office, oral and video presentations on court security, a briefing on salary and benefits, and information on personnel matters. The Division also has responsibility for providing new chief judges with an orientation on the administrative support and services available to them through the Administrative Office. Each chief judge is invited to have the clerk of court or circuit executive also attend the day-and-a-half program. An administrative manual is presented to guide the chief judge and clerk or executive throughout the orientation program and to serve as a permanent reference tool in chambers.

Computer Assisted Legal Research

The CALR (Lexis and Westlaw) expansion is now complete. Software is available in all judges' chambers, as well as to staff attorneys, pro se law clerks, bankruptcy administrators, and probation and pre-trial services officers. CALR access continues to be available in all court libraries and selected locations without staffed library facilities. In a program initiated in fiscal year 1991, general



Chief Judge Walter E. Black, Jr., (D.Md.) receives a briefing at the Administrative Office from Cathy McCarthy, Chief, Policy & Management Coordination Division and Karen Siegel, Chief, Office of Judicial Conference & Management Coordination. purpose terminals now in use in many of these locations are being replaced with personal computers capable of using the faster CALR software. The lawbook cancellation program, begun in 1988, was discontinued in 1991 after over 1400 chambers participated in surrendering \$1.7 million worth of lawbook subscriptions.



The Article III Judges Division is shown above (I. to r.): Front row: Toni J. Hockenbrought, John E. Howell, (Chief), Martha Dragich, Chantal N. Gunn. Second row: Judy B. Proctor, Terrie Upshur, Margaret Enzler, M. Patricia Walther, Lowell Pearson. Back row: Jeffrey A. Hennemuth, Patricia A. Thomas, Thomas C. Hnatowski, Judy Colon, Joan Countryman.

BANKRUPTCY

New Bankruptcy Case Weights and Judgeship Allocation

The Judicial Conference, at its March 1991 meeting, approved the bankruptcy case weights developed by the Federal Judicial Center and adopted a policy statement proposed by its Bankruptcy Committee regarding requests for additional bankruptcy judgeships.



Roberta Echard, Assistant General Counsel, uses CALR software on her PC to do legal research.

In assessing a court's need for additional judicial resources, the Bankruptcy Committee recommended to the Conference a policy statement reflecting a number of work load factors. The first factor is the weighted caseload as derived from the Bankruptcy Judge Time Study. A bankruptcy court is expected, generally, to have a caseload of 1,500 annual case-related hours per judgeship to justify additional judicial resources. Other factors the Bankruptcy Committee noted include: the nature and mix of the court's caseload; historical caseload data and filing trends; geographic, economic, and demographic factors; the effectiveness of case management efforts by the court; the availability of alternative solutions and resources for handling the court's work load; the impact of additional resources on the court's per judgeship caseload; and any other pertinent factors.

The policy statement was adopted by the Conference at its March 1991 meeting. In order to preserve the integrity of its role in making uniform recommendations to Congress and to ensure fairness to all districts, the Judicial Conference authorized an expedited national survey. As a result of the national survey, the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference, at its July 10, 1991, meeting, voted to support legislation to provide 18 additional bankruptcy judgeships, bringing the total requested to 32.

Future requests for additional bankruptcy judgeships will be considered by the Bankruptcy Committee as part of a biennial, national survey process. The Committee will consider judgeship requests on an ad hoc basis only in emergency situations where there is a clear and compelling need for immediate additional judicial resources.

Bankruptcy Administrator Program

The recently enacted Federal Courts Study Committee Implementation Act of 1990 contained provisions extending the bankruptcy administrator program to October 1, 2002, and gave bankruptcy administrators standing comparable to United States trustees. These actions had been recommended by the Judicial Conference. The Federal Courts Study Committee had recommended that Congress reconstitute United States trustees as independent officers in the Judicial Branch.

The Bankruptcy Administrator program, which was created by the Bankruptcy Judges, United States Trustees, and Family Farmer Bankruptcy Act of 1986, is responsible for the supervision of estate administration in Alabama and North Carolina. The program was scheduled to begin phasing out on October 1, 1992.

Case Management

A subcommittee on case management of the Judicial Conference Committee on the Administration of the Bankruptcy System has been created to provide for the development of a comprehensive program for litigation management in the bankruptcy courts. Although the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 is not applicable to the bankruptcy courts, the Committee determined that the new subcommittee should expand on the work of a previously created task force on docket management.

In discharging its responsibilities, the subcommittee will remain informed of developments in the pilot program for litigation management mandated by the Congress for the district courts. To memorialize and disseminate the work of this group, a procedures manual for bankruptcy courts will be drafted. The manual will cover procedures for administering cases under Chapters 7, 9, 11, 12, and 13 of the Code, the appropriate role and duties of trustees, management of adversary proceedings and contested matters, discovery and other pretrial matters, settlement, the conduct of trials, and the disposition of matters.

The subcommittee includes a district court judge and several bankruptcy judges. A prominent law school professor serves as the reporter to the subcommittee. Two bankruptcy clerks, a staff member of the Federal Judicial Center, and staff of the Administrative Office are also assisting the subcommittee.

MAGISTRATE JUDGES

Study of the Jurisdiction of Magistrate Judges

The first portion of the study of magistrate judge jurisdiction is nearing completion. A draft inventory of magistrate judge duties, compiled by the Magistrate Judges Division under the supervision of a subcommittee of the Magistrate Judges Committee, was approved for publication by the Committee at its June 1991 meeting. The inventory will be published in two formats: (1) as a revised Jurisdiction chapter of the Legal Manual for United States Magistrate Judges; and (2) as a bound volume with a brief narrative introduction, entitled Handbook of Magistrate Judge Duties, to be distributed to Article III judges and other court personnel. It is anticipated that the two documents will be printed and distributed by September 1, 1991.

Two additional portions of the jurisdiction study -- an examination of the legislative history of the Magistrates Act and a constitutional analysis of magistrate judge jurisdiction -- are being prepared. Drafts of these portions of the study will be reviewed by the Magistrate Judges Committee at its December 1991 meeting. Proposals for legislative changes will also be considered at that time.

Magistrate Judges Statistical Reporting System

The Administrative Office was assigned the statutory responsibility of collecting statistical data on the workload of United States magistrate judges. The Magistrate Judges Division has developed a microcomputer system to collect and maintain this data. During the past year, the division updated the system and received data on a test basis. Implementation of the system on a nationwide basis is planned to start July 1, 1992.

CONCLUSION

The year ahead will present many challenges including: assisting the courts in implementing the Civil Justice Reform Act; preparing for new judges authorized by the Judicial Improvements Act of 1990; expanding automation in the courts; working with the Congress to obtain the resources and legislative changes the Judiciary needs; revising work measurement formulas; providing increased training opportunities; and continuing the decentralization of administrative activities.

The Administrative Office's primary goal will continue to be, as it has always been, providing the courts with the highest possible level of service and resources to further the accomplishment of their critical mission.

1991 Annual Report of the Director

Activities of the Administrative Office

Judicial Business of the United States Courts

L. Ralph Mecham, Director Administrative Office of the United States Courts _

JUDICIAL BUSINESS OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

Table of Contents

PREFACE	79
WORKLOAD HIGHLIGHTS	79
JUDICIAL BUSINESS	81
U.S. Courts of Appeals	81
Cases Under Submission	84
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	84
U.S. District Courts	85
Civil Workload	85
Arbitration	89
Criminal Workload	90
Case Disposition Times and Speedy Trial Compliance	93
Savings and Loan Crisis	94
Criminal Caseload, S & L	94
Civil Caseload, S & L	94
Bankruptcy Caseload, S & L	95
Trials	95
Service of Visiting Judges	97
Cases and Motions Under Advisement	97
Weighted Filings Per Authorized Judgeship	97
Petit Jury Activity	98
Grand Jury Activity	99
Three-Judge Court Hearings	100
Passport and Naturalization	100
•	100
	100
Special Court Established Under the Regional Rail	
• –	101
	101
	102
U.S. Bankruptcy Courts	
Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel	
U.S. Magistrate Judges	107
	109
	109
Investigative Reports	112
Pretrial Services	112
Substance Abuse Identification and Treatment Services	
REPORT OF COMPLAINTS AND ACTION TAKEN UNDER	
TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTION 372(C)	115
REPORT OF FEES AND EXPENSES AWARDED UNDER THE	
EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT	119

Table of Contents

Amendment To The 1990 Report
Summary and Analysis of 1991 Data
Budget Authority for Fiscal Year 1991 123
Budget Estimates for Fiscal Year 1992 123
Financial Losses and Irregularities 123
Registry Funds 124
Statement of Accounts 124
Registry Fees 125
PERSONNEL OF THE JUDICIARY 126
Status of Article III Judgeships 126
Status of Bankruptcy Judge Appointments 126
Report on the Professional Background and Qualifications
of Magistrate Judges 128
Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (JSAS) 130

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

Table of Contents

Supplemental Tables

Table

Page

U.S. Courts of Appeals

S-1	Appeals Terminated on the Merits, 1991	135
S-2	Total Case Participations in Cases Terminated on the Merits	136
S-3	Type of Opinion or Order Filed in Cases Terminated on the Merits	137

U.S. District Courts

Civil

S-4	Civil Cases Filed by Origin, 1981 through 1991
S-5	Recovery of Overpayments and Enforcement of Judgment Cases Filed 139
S-6	Social Security Cases Commenced
S-7	Product Liability Cases Commenced by Nature of Suit
S-8	Civil Cases Pending Three Years or More by Basis of
	Jurisdiction and Nature of Suit

Other

S-9	District Judges Reporting on Cases and Motions Held
	Under Advisement Over 60 Days
S-10	Three-Judge District Court Hearings by Nature of Suit, 1986 through 1991 147
S-11	Cases Transferred by Order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation 148
S-12	Additional Judgeships Authorized, U.S. Courts of Appeals
S-13	Additional Judgeships Authorized, U.S. District Courts

List of Illustrations

U.S. Courts of Appeals, Filings, 1981 through 1991	82
U.S. District Courts, Civil Filings, 1981 through 1991	88
U.S. District Courts, Criminal Filings, 1987 through 1991	
U.S. Bankruptcy Courts, Cases Filed, 1981 through 1991	06
U.S. Probation Service, Persons Under Supervision, 1987 through 1991	11
Federal Judiciary Personnel as of June 30, 1991	28

Table of Contents

Appendix Tables

Table

Page

Supreme Court of the United States

A-1	Cases on Docket, Disposed of, and Remaining on Dockets	
	at Conclusion of October Terms, 1986 through 1990	 . 161

U.S. Courts of Appeals

B	Appeals Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, by Circuit, 1990 and 1991 162
B-1	Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, by Circuit
B-1A	Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, by Nature of Suit or Offense 166
B-2	Petitions for Review on Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court,
	Commenced, Terminated, and Pending
B-3	Source of Appeals and Original Proceedings Commenced,
	by Circuit, 1987 through 1991
B-3A	Source of Appeals in Civil and Criminal Cases
B-4	Median Time Intervals in Cases Terminated After Hearing or
	Submission, by Circuit
B-5	Appeals Terminated on the Merits, by Circuit
B-5A	Appeals Terminated by Procedural Judgments, by Circuit
B-6	Appeals Filed, Terminated, and Pending, by Circuit
B-7	Nature of Suit or Offense of Appeals Arising from the
	U.S. District Courts, by Circuit
B-8	U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
	Appeals Filed, Terminated and Pending

U.S. District Courts

Civil

С	Civil Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, 1990 and 1991 186
C-1	Civil Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending
C-2	Civil Cases Commenced, by Basis of Jurisdiction and Nature of Suit 190
C-2A	Civil Cases Commenced, by Nature of Suit, 1987 through 1991
C-3	Civil Cases Commenced, by Nature of Suit and District
C-3A	Civil Cases Pending, by Nature of Suit and District
C-3B	Civil Cases Terminated, by Nature of Suit and District
C-4	Civil Cases Terminated, by Nature of Suit and Action Taken
C-4A	Civil Cases Terminated, by District and Action Taken
C-5	Time Intervals from Filing to Disposition of Civil Cases Terminated,
	by District and Method of Disposition
C-6	Civil Cases Pending, by District and Length of Time

Trials

C-7	Civil and Criminal Trials Completed, by District	214
C-8	Length of Civil and Criminal Trials Completed, by District	217

Table of Contents

Appendix Tables

Trials (continued)

Table

C-9 C-10	Civil and Criminal Trials Terminated, Requiring 20 or More Days
	Criminal
D D-1 D-1	Cases Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, 1990 and 1991
D-1	(Total Non-Fugitive and Fugitive Pending on June 30, 1991)
D-2 D-3 D-3 D-4 D-5 D-6 D-7 D-7 D-8 D-10 D-11 D-12	Cases Commenced, by Major Offense, 1987 through 1991242Defendants Commenced, by Major Offense, 1987 through 1991244Cases Commenced (excludes transfers), by Offense and District246Defendants Commenced (excludes transfers), by Offense and District250Defendants Disposed Of, by Type of Disposition and Offense254Defendants Sentenced After Conviction, by Offense256Median Time Intervals From Filing to Disposition of Defendants260Disposed Of, by District260Disposition of All Defendants Showing Type of Sentence, by District262All Cases Pending, by Major Offense and District264Status of Defendants in Criminal Cases Pending, by District268Defendants Terminated in Cases Subject to the Speedy Trial Act270Median Time From Conviction to Sentencing for Defendants Convicted272
	Federal Probation System
E-1 E-2 E-3 E-4 E-7	Persons Received for and Removed from Supervision274Persons Under Supervision, by District280Persons Under Supervision, by District and Offense283Probationers Received From Judges for Supervision, by District and Length of Term (Excludes Reinstatements and Transfers)289Persons Removed from Supervision Without Violation and With Violation Showing Violation Type (Excludes Reinstatements, Re-releases, and Deceased)292
	U. S. Bankruptcy Courts
F F-2	Bankruptcy Petitions Commenced, Terminated, and Pending, by District 294 Business and Nonbusiness Bankruptcy Code Petitions Commenced, by Chapter of the Bankruptcy Code
F-8	Adversary Proceedings Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Under the Bankruptcy Code

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

Table of Contents

Appendix Tables

Т	ab	le
	~~	

Page

Other Federal Courts

G-1	U.S. Court of International Trade, Summary of All Cases Filed and Terminated	299
G-2A	Report of the U.S. Claims Court, Cases Filed, Terminated, and Pending	300
G-2B	Report of the U.S. Claims Court, Judgments and Appeals	301

Pretrial Services

H-1 H-2 H-3 H-4 H-5 H-6 H-7 H-8 H-9	Cases Activated302PSA Interviews and Type of Bail Report304Release and Detention at the Initial Hearing306Detention Hearings308Conditions Imposed at the Initial Hearing310Defendants Released on Supervision312Detention Summary314Bail Violations Reported316Defendants With Bail Violations318
	Grand and Petit Jurors
J-1 J-2	Grand Juror Service
	Federal Defender Organizations
K-1	Summary of Representations, by District
	U.S. Magistrate Judges
M-1	Misdemeanor Cases: Defendants Disposed of by Nature of Offense (Excludes Petty Offenses) 330
M-1A	Misdemeanor Cases: Defendants Disposed of by Nature of Offense (Petty Offenses)
M-3	Matters Disposed of Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C.Section 636(A)
M-4	Criminal Proceedings and Cases Disposed of Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Sections 636(B) and (C)
M-4A	Civil Proceedings and Cases Disposed of Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Sections 636(B) and (C)
M-5	Evidentiary Proceedings Conducted, Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Sections 636(B) and (C)

Table of Contents

Appendix Tables

Table

Page

Other

P-1	Passport Applications, Petitions for Naturalization, and Aliens Naturalized 342
R-1	Registry Funds in the Custody of the U.S. District Courts
· · ·	as of June 30, 1991
D A	
R-2	Registry Funds in the Custody of the U.S. Bankruptcy Courts
	as of June 30, 1991
T-1	Civil and Criminal Trials, by District
T-2	Length of Civil and Criminal Trials Resulting in a Verdict or Judgment
• —	by District
V-1	U.S. District Courts, Service of Visiting Judges
•••	
V-2	U.S. Courts of Appeals, Service of Visiting Judges
X-1A	Weighted and Unweighted Filings Per Authorized Judgeship
	(Criminal Felony Cases Only)
X-4	Class Action Civil Cases Pending, by Nature of Suit and District
X-5	Class Action Civil Cases Commenced, by Basis of Jurisdiction and
	Nature of Suit
X-10	Population Growth by District, 1970, 1980, 1990

Appendix II

Payments Under the Criminal	Justice Act for the Fiscal Year 199	0	375
in ayraon to onder the online		• • • • • • • • • • • •	

PREFACE

I am pleased to present the "1991 Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts". The following pages contain a detailed summary of the business of the federal courts. Highlights of the changes in the business of the courts are provided below and a brief summary of the workload indicators is provided on the following page.

We welcome any comments or suggestions you may have for improving the content and format of this report. Λ

Malpte Millon

L. Ralph Mecham, Director

WORKLOAD HIGHLIGHTS

Appeals

* Appeals filed in the 12 regional courts of appeals increased 3 percent in 1991.

- * Criminal appeals rose 5 percent and accounted for 24 percent of all appeals filed. Drug-related appeals constituted 56 percent of the criminal appeals filed. Appeals of a sentence only under the Sentencing Guidelines accounted for 21 percent of all criminal appeals.
- * Prisoner petitions accounted for 25 percent of the total appeals filed and increased by 6 percent; other civil appeals decreased 2 percent.
- * Pending appeals increased 2 percent in 1991 versus an 7 percent increase during 1990.

Civil

- * Civil filings decreased 5 percent to 207,742 in 1991.
- * Asbestos personal injury product liability filings decreased 48 percent in 1991, following a 66 percent increase in 1990.
- * Civil cases pending decreased 3,971 cases, down 2 percent from the previous year while 3-year-old pending civil cases increased 13 percent.

Criminal

- * Criminal filings decreased by 4 percent. The greatest drop, excluding drunk driving and traffic, was in forgery and counterfeiting which fell 23 percent.
- * Fraud filings decreased 4 percent, following a 9 percent increase in 1990. Fraud filings stemming from Savings & Loan bailouts, however, increased 21 percent in 1991.
- * Criminal cases pending increased 8 percent to 37,968 in 1991.

Bankruptcy

* Bankruptcy filings continued to increase, up 21 percent from last year. Filings increased under all Chapters. Non-business filings accounted for 92 percent of all bankruptcy filings. Bankruptcy cases pending increased beyond the one-million mark to 1,123,433.

Judicial Workload Indicators	<u>1990 and 1991</u> 1990	Percent Change	
U.S. Courts of Appealst			
U.S. Courts of Appeals* Cases Filed	40,898	42,033	2.8
Cases Terminated	38,520	41,414	7.5
Cases Pending	32,008**	32,627	1.9
J.S. District Courts Civil			
Cases Filed	217,879	207,742	-4.7
Cases Terminated	213,922	211,713	-1.0
Cases Pending	244,570**	240,599	-1.6
Criminal (Includes Transfers)			
Cases Filed	47,411**	45,735	-3.5
Cases Terminated	42,765**	42,788	0.1
Cases Pending	35,021**	37,968	8.4
U.S. Bankruptcy Courts			
Cases Filed	725,484	880,399	21.4
Cases Terminated	620,649	718,885	15.8
Cases Pending	961,919**	1,123,433	16.8
Federal Probation System			
Persons Received#	47,546	46,128	-3.0
Persons Removed#	44,906	41,601	-7.4
Persons Under Supervision	79,658**	84,184	5.7
Pretrial Services			
Pretrial Cases Activated	46,101	53,041	15.1
Total Reports	44,261	51,097	15.4
Prebail	39,490	46,395	17.5
Postbail	4,098	3,996	-2.5
Other	673	706	4.9
No Report	1,840	1,944	5.7

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS 1991

The following report was prepared in accordance with Title 28, U.S. Code Section 604(a)(3). The *"1991 Annual Report of the Director, Judicial Business of the U.S. Courts"*, contains information regarding the workload of the Federal courts, judicial appropriations and finance, and personnel of the Judiciary. Unless otherwise indicated, a reporting year refers to the twelve-month period ended June 30.

This year, the activities of the Administrative Office are presented in a separate section entitled *"1991 Annual Report of the Director, Activities of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts".* Some of the areas covered include: (1) Administrative Office Goals, (2) General Counsel, (3) Legislative and Public Affairs, (4) Judicial Conference and Management Coordination, (5) Administration and Human Resources, and (6) Judges Programs.

JUDICIAL BUSINESS

U.S. Courts of Appeals

Filings in the 12 regional courts of appeals continued to increase this year, matching the 3 percent increase which occurred in 1990. Filings in 1991 reached 42,033 compared with 40,898 in 1990. Eight of the 12 courts reported increases in filings, with the Fifth Circuit showing the largest increase, up 11 percent. The District of Columbia saw the greatest decline in case filings, down 6 percent. The number of filings per authorized three-judge panel declined from 787 to 751, because the Federal Judgeship Act of 1990 increased the number of authorized judgeships by 11 to 167. The decrease in filings per panel is somewhat misleading, however, as all 11 new judgeship positions remained vacant through June 30, 1991. Summary data on activity in the courts of appeals are contained in Tables 1 and 2. Detailed data for each of the courts of appeals are provided in the B series of the Appendix Tables.


As reflected in the moderate overall increase in filings, criminal appeals rose only 5 percent in 1991 after an 18 percent increase in 1990. Civil appeals from the district courts remained relatively stable compared to 1990,

Table 1 U. S. Courts of Appeals Appeals Filed, Terminated, and Pending 1981 through 1991										
Filed										
	Author-									
	ized		Cases							
	Judge-	Num-	Per	Ter-	Pend-					
Year	ships	ber	Panel	minated	ing					
1981	132	26,362	599	25,066	21,548					
1982	132	27,946	635	27,984	21,510					
1983	1983 132 29,630 673 28,660 2									
1984	1984 132 31,490 716 31,									
1985	156	6 33,360 642		31,387	24,758					
1986	156	34,292	659	33,774	25,276					
1987	156	35,176	676	34,444	26,008					
1988	156	37,524	722	35,888	27,644					
1989	156	39,734	764	37,372	30,018					
1990	156	40,898	787	38,520	32,008					
1991	167	42,033	751	41,414	32,627					
				•						
%Chg.*		2.8	-4.6	7.5	1.9					
	change	1991 ov	er 1990.							
	cludes th			Appeals						
	the Fede			••						

Table 2 U.S. Courts of Appeals Source of Appeals 1990 and 1991									
Source 1990 1991 Change									
Total U.S. District Courts	40,898	42,033	2.8						
Criminal	9,493	9,949	4.8						
Civil - Total	27,116	27,461	1.3						
Prisoner Petitions	9,941	10,578	6.4						
U.S. Civil	4,363	4,273	-2.1						
Private Civil	12,812	12,610	-1.6						
Other Appeals									
Bankruptcy Administrative	1,087	1,247	14.7						
Agency Original	2,578	2,764	7.2						
Proceedings	624	612	-1.9						

Note: Excludes the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

U.S. Courts of Appeals Filings 1981-1991



increasing 1 percent in 1991; while bankruptcy appeals, reflecting the large rise in bankruptcy filings in the past few years, increased 15 percent. Administrative agency cases, after a drop of 13 percent last year, increased 7 percent in 1991, largely because the number of administrative appeals in the Ninth Circuit increased from 451 to 610. Many of these appeals were filed against the Immigration and Naturalization Service which has been trying to deport immigrants with criminal records.

After implementation of the Sentencing Guidelines in November 1987, there were significant increases in criminal appeals: 33 percent in 1989, and 18 percent in 1990. This trend slowed, however, to a 5 percent growth in 1991, Criminal appeals increased 456 cases to 9,949, up from 9,493 in 1990 (see Table 3). Part of these increases in recent years resulted from the changing size and nature of the criminal caseload of the district courts and in part from the changes in the sentencing statutes. The authorizing legislation for the Sentencing Guidelines provided for appeals of sentences imposed in the U.S. district courts; prior to that time, only convictions could be appealed. Of the 9,949 criminal appeals filed this year, 2,085 (21 percent) were appeals of sentence only, and 4.375 were appeals of both sentence and conviction.

Drug-related cases have been the second major influence in the growth of the workload of the courts of appeals. More resources have gone into arrests and prosecutions, and mandatory minimum sentences for drug convictions have swelled the ranks of potential appellants. In 1988, appeals of drug cases accounted for 50 percent of the total number of criminal appeals filed. This year, appeals of drug-related cases totaled 5,570 and comprised 56 percent of the total criminal appeals filed. The actual number, of drug-related filings, however, dropped 2 percent from the 5,658 recorded in 1990.

		Crimi	S. Courts inal Appe Sentencii	ole 3 of Appea als Filed I ng Guidel ough 1991	Under ines		· · ·		
Criminal Appeals by Type									
	Total Sen- Total Non- Total tence Criminal Guide- Guide- and								
Year	Appeals Filed	line	line Appeals	Sen- tence	Convic- tion	Convic- tion	Other**		
1041		rippedia	rippedia						
1988*	6,012	5,787	225	95	84	27	19		
1989	8,020	•		1,247	2,004	652	509		
1990	9,493	2,174	7,319	1,745	3,641	948	985		
1991	9,949	1,690	8,259	2,085	4,375	1,580	219		
Note: E	xcludes the	U.S. Cou	rt of Appe	als for the	Federal C	Circuit.			
*Under the Sentencing Guidelines, data was first collected on appeals in 1988.									
Guidel	les cases w lines but the of filing.								

During the year, the courts of appeals disposed of 41,414 cases, an increase of 8 percent from a year ago. Nine of the 12 courts of appeals reported higher terminations this year, with the District of Columbia's 34 percent increase topping the list (largely on the basis of remanding or dismissal of two large consolidated groups of administrative agency cases from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the Federal Labor Relations Authority). Of the three courts reporting decreases in terminations in 1991, the Tenth Circuit reported the largest with a deciine of 6 percent. Nationally, criminal appeals accounted for 22 percent of all terminations compared to 19 percent in 1990.

Cases terminated on the merits increased 8 percent this year to 22,707 compared to 21,006 in 1990. Terminations on the merits accounted for 55 percent of all appeals terminated in 1991, the same percentage as last year. The proportion of cases terminated on the merits after oral hearing remained stable after dropping 5 percent in 1990, but the actual number of cases in this category increased by 6 percent from 9,447 to 10,033 in 1991. The Third Circuit had the lowest percentage of appeals terminated after oral hearing (25 percent) and the highest percentage terminated after submission on briefs (75 percent). The Second Circuit was almost the mirror opposite with 73 percent of cases terminated after oral hearing and 27 percent after submission on briefs, reflecting the court's commitment to oral argument. In contrast, the Third Circuit maintains guidelines which set conditions regarding oral arguments. See *Supplemental Table S-1* for more data on appeals terminated on the merits in the U.S. courts of appeals.

Data on case participations in appeals terminated on the merits are provided in *Supplemental Table S-2*. A case participation by an individual judge is defined as an appeal in which the judge hears oral argument or where the appeal is submitted on briefs. Of the 68,954 case participations reported during 1991, 82 percent were recorded by resident active circuit judges and 11 percent were by senior judges, the same porcentages as in the previous year. Senior judges remain an important resource in meeting the appellate caseload demands.

Forty-nine percent of all opinions or orders filed in cases which were terminated on the merits in 1991 were written, unsigned and reasoned, numbers identical to 1990. Reasoned opinions expound on the law as applied to the facts of the case and explain the rationale upon which the final judgment is based. Written opinions signed by a judge accounted for 40 percent of all opinions. *Supplemental Table S-3* provides a summary, by circuit, of the types of opinions or orders filed in cases closed during 1991.

After decreasing last year, appeals terminated procedurally increased 2 percent from 14,359 in 1990 to 14,706 this year. Procedural terminations by judges decreased 6 percent, while procedural terminations by court staff increased 9 percent. See *Appendix Tal* ^{le} *B-5A* for additional information on appeals terminated by procedural judgment, which means disposition not based on the merits of the case. Jurisdictional defects, failure of an appellant to prosecute the case, and removal of the case to another court of appeals are some of the reasons for procedural terminations.

Despite the large increase in appeals terminated, filings significantly outnumbered terminations; therefore, the number of appeals pending in the U.S. courts of appeals continued to grow. On June 30, 1991, the number of cases pending stood at 32,627, an increase of 619 (2 percent) over 1990, but more than 60 percent higher than the 1980 figure. Pending criminal cases again showed the highest increase, up 9 percent. This is, however, considerably less than the 29 percent rise in 1990. Fifty-nine percent of the pending criminal appeals in 1991 were drug-related, down slightly from 61 percent in 1990. Pending administrative agency appeals declined 13 percent.

Cases Under Submission

Judges of the courts of appeals and the Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals reported 1.249 cases under submission over 90 days for the guarter ended June 30, 1991. This was a slight 2 percent decline from the 1,274 cases reported for the same quarter in 1990. Cases awaiting additional memoranda, briefs, or an opinion in another case are omitted from this report. Included are cases in which the proposed opinion is being circulated to panel members for comment, or a concurring or dissenting opinion is being written. The Seventh Circuit had the largest number of cases under submission with 186, followed closely by the Ninth Circuit with 170. The number of cases under submission for more than one year has dramatically decreased, dropping from 132 to 78, a decline of 41 percent. This is largely attributable to the Ninth Circuit's administrative initiative to reduce the backlog that began over 1 year ago. They have gone from having 43 cases under submission for more than one year on June 30, 1990, to zero cases under submission for more than one year as of June 30, 1991. Table 4 provides additional information on appeals under submission for 1990 and 1991.

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

Filings in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit increased 1 percent (18 cases) this year to 1,484, primarily due to cases from the Court of Veterans Appeals and the Department of Veterans Affairs. On September 1, 1989, the Court of Veterans Appeals was established and the Federal Circuit was given exclusive authority to review its decisions as well as the rulemaking by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs. There were only seven appeals from these two sources in 1990. This year, however, the Court of Veterans Appeals accounted for 40 cases and the Department of Veterans Affairs accounted for 61 cases. Excluding appeals from these two sources, filings in the Federal Circuit in 1991 dropped 6 percent. While appeals from the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB) declined by 11 cases, MSPB appeals continued to represent almost half (46 percent) of the court's caseload. Appeals from the U.S. district

Table 4 U.S. Courts of Appeals Appeals Under Submission More Than Three Months on June 30, 1990 and 1991							
		19	91 Month	s Under S	Submissio	on	
Circuit	1990	Total	3-6	6-9	9-12	Over 12	
Total	1,274	1,249	772	312	87	_78_	
Federal	45	52	20	20	4	8	
District of Columbia	37	33	15	12	2	4	
First	40	31	16	14	1	· -	
Second	49	30	22	6	2	-	
Third	25	28	24	4	· –	- ,	
Fourth	101	145	101	36	3	5	
Fifth	42	65	45	18	2	-	
Sixth	80	94	60	19	2	13	
Seventh	136	186	102	56	18	10	
Eighth	85	110	77	29		4	
Ninth	276	170	109	35	26	-	
Tenth	190	149	68	38	20	23	
Eleventh	168	156	113	25	7	11	
Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals	-	-	-		•	• • •	

courts also declined (down 45 cases, or 15 percent).

During the year, the court disposed of 1,424 appeals, 2 percent more than the 1,393 cases closed in 1990. This increase was primarily in appeals terminated by judges, which rose 7 percent from 842 in 1990 to 901 this year. Appeals terminated by judges accounted for 63 percent of all terminations, up slightly from 60 percent last year.

The pending caseload increased 8 percent (or 60 cases) as filings continued to outpace terminations. *Appendix Table B-8* contains summary data on the activity of the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

U.S. District Courts

Civil Workload

Civil cases filed in the U.S. district courts declined in 1991, falling 5 percent to 207,742

after a decrease of 7 percent in 1990. Filings per authorized judgeship fell from 379 to 320, the lowest since 1980. This decrease, however, resulted primarily from the authorization of 74 additional district court judgeships in December 1990. Because nominees had not yet been confirmed for most of these new positions, the number of vacant judgeships was much higher in 1991 than in 1990. As a result, the typical workload of a district court judge in 1991 was greater than suggested by the number of cases filed per authorized judgeship. Tables 5 and 6 provide summary data on civil workload since 1981. The C series of Appendix Tables contain more detailed data on the civil workload, for each U.S. district court. Additional summary data are provided in Appendix Tables T-1, T-2, X-4, X-5 and Supplemental Tables S-4 through S-8.

The decrease in civil filings continued a declining trend which began in 1986; filings have dropped 24 percent since the 1985 high of 273,670 cases. The decline in the mid-80's

		Civil	Cases File	Table 5 . District Co d, Terminato 1 through 1	ed, and Pen	ding		
		·······		Cases Filed	· · · · ·			
			Cases	Recovery and Enforce-	Social	All		
Veed	Authorized	Tatal	Per	ment	Security	Other	Ter-	Densline
Year	Judgeships	Total	Judgeship	Cases	Cases	Cases	minated	Pending
1981	516	180,576	350	18,161	9,780	152,635	177,975	188,714
1982	515	206,193	400	30,048	12,812	163,333	189,473	205,434
1983	515	241,842	470	41,213	20,315	180,314	215,356	231,920
1984	515	261,485	508	46,190	29,985	185,310	243,113	250,292
1985	575	273,670	476	58,160	19,771	195,739	269,848	254,114
1986	575	254,828	443	40,824	14,407	199,597	266,765	242,177
1987	575	239,185	416	24,233	13,338	201,614	238,001	243,361
1988	575	239,634	417	18,676	15,152	205,806	238,753	244,123
1989	575	233,529	406	16,467	10,206	206,856	235,219	238,389
1990	575	217,879	379	10,878	7,439	199,562	213,922	244,570
1991	649	207,742	320	7,933	7,692	192,117	211,713	240,599
% Chg.**		-4.7	-15.6	-27.1	3.4	-3.7	-1.0	-1.6
* Revised.	. **Percent ch			-21.1	3.4	-3.7	-1.0	-1.

resulted primarily from decreases in filings by the U.S. government to recover overpayment of veterans' benefits or defaulted student loans (referred to as recovery cases) and in filings against the United States for Social Security benefits. Exclusive of these cases, civil filings increased each year during the 1980's. Recovery cases continued to decline in 1991 while social security cases rose slightly. Civil filings, exclusive of recovery and social security cases, declined by 4 percent in both 1990 and 1991. These decreases resulted from a substantial drop in diversity of citizenship cases, discussed in more detail later in this section.

Civil filings involving the United States decreased 6 percent to 52,654 cases in 1991. U.S. plaintiff cases declined 13 percent to 27,564 filings because of decreases in U.S. recovery cases. Recovery cases, which accounted for 21 percent of all civil filings in 1985, have decreased each year since then and now account for only 4 percent of all filings. In 1991, recovery of overpayment of veterans' benefits cases fell 26 percent to 3,672, and student loan cases declined 31 percent to 3,703. The decrease in recovery cases resulted from several factors. In the early 1980's, the government litigated an unusually large backlog of recovery cases; once this backlog was reduced, recovery filings fell to a lower level. In recent years, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) has sought to recover overpayment of benefits through administrative actions in lieu of filing suit in district court, particularly where the overpayment was less than \$500. The VA also has standing agreements with the Internal Revenue Service to reduce income tax refunds to offset overpayment of veterans' benefits.

The Department of Education (DOE) has also used more aggressive debt collection methods, including attachment of IRS refunds and the use of private debt collection agencies, to recover outstanding student loans administratively in lieu of filing suit in district court.

	Table 6 U.S. District Courts Civil Cases Filed by Jurisdiction 1987 through 1991											
		U.S. (Cases	Pr	ivate Cas	es						
					Diver-							
				Federal	sity of	Local						
			Defen-	Ques-	Citizen-							
Year	Total	Plaintiff	dant	tion	ship	tion						
1987	239,185	42,683	29,396	99,393	67,125	588						
1988	239,634	37,728	31,348	101,710	68,224	624						
1989	233,529	35,165	26,741	103,768	67,247	608						
1990	217,879	31,763	24,537	103,938	57,183	458						
1991	207,742	27,564	25,090	103,496	50,944	648						
% Chg.*	-4.7	-13.2	2.3	-0.4	-10.9	41.5						
	nt change	1991 ove	r 1990.									

In addition, the Department of Justice (DOJ), which prosecutes student loan cases for DOE, generally does not accept cases if the amount in default is less than \$600. DOJ also refers student loan cases to private attorneys in seven judicial districts; these private attorneys often recover the amount in default by relying on debt collection agencies and generally file suit in district court as a last resort. All these actions have led to fewer student loan cases filed in district courts, despite increases in the amount of defaulted student loans and in the number of cases sent to DOJ for prosecution.

Although forfeiture and penalty cases filed by the U.S. declined 10 percent in 1991, drugrelated seizures of property cases filed by the U.S. continued to grow, reflecting the federal government's continued emphasis on prosecuting illegal drug activities. Drug-related seizures of property rose 27 percent in 1991 and totalled 2,171 U.S. plaintiff filings. This increase occurred despite a legislative change, implemented in August 1990, which increased the value of assets that agencies could seize without filing suit. Federal agencies can now seize any amount of cash unless the defendant contests the seizure; previously, agencies had to file suit to seize cash over \$100,000. The value of personal and real property that agencies can seize, if uncontested, was increased from \$100,000 to \$500,000, although DOJ typically litigates all seizures of real property.


Cases filed against the United States in 1991 increased slightly to 25,090 filings. Both Federal prisoner petitions (up 3 percent) and social security cases (up 4 percent) contributed to this growth. The growth in social security filings, which is only the second increase since 1985, occurred primarily in disability insurance cases (up 3 percent) and supplemental security income cases (up 4 percent). This growth resulted primarily from large increases in social security cases filed against the U.S. in Michigan, Eastern (up 68 percent); Ohio, Northern (up 47 percent); and Kentucky, Eastern (up 28 percent). The Social Security Administration increased the number of benefit claims processed by their Appeals Councils. As a result, claims denied by the Appeals Councils, a proportion of which result in district court filings, have increased.


Among private cases, federal question litigation remained stable for a second year at 103,496 filings. The most significant change in private civil filings was a decrease in diversity of

citizenship cases. A diversity of citizenship case is most often brought when citizens of different states bring a civil suit into federal rather than state court; for the federal courts to have jurisdiction, the amount in dispute must exceed a certain limit. Legislation implemented in May 1989 increased the amount required for Federal jurisdiction in diversity cases from \$10,000 to \$50,000. This change in jurisdictional amount was the primary reason for the 15 percent decrease in diversity filings in 1990; a significant decrease in asbestos personal injury product liability filings was the major reason for the 11 percent decline in diversity filings in 1991. Asbestos filinas declined 49 percent from 12.822 cases in 1990 to 6,539 in 1991. Large decreases in diversity asbestos filings occurred in Virginia, Eastern (down 84 percent); Ohio, Northern (down 79 percent); Massachusetts (down 78 percent); Pennsylvania, Eastern (down 45 percent) and Texas, Eastern (down 32 percent). All these districts had unusually large increases in asbestos filings in 1990 when numerous plaintiffs filed against a single defendant and when a large number of cases were reopened or removed from state to federal courts. There was a 23 percent decline in the number of cases which were reopened during the past year. In 1991, asbestos filings in these districts returned to 1989 levels. By contrast, asbestos filings in Louisiana, Eastern increased from 45 in 1990 to 550 in 1991. This increase, however, occurred because many public and parochial schools in the district filed separate asbestos suits instead of consolidating filings by school district.

Civil cases terminated in the U.S. district courts remained stable in 1991 at 211,713 cases. The median time from filing to disposition for all civil cases, excluding land condemnation cases, prisoner petitions, and deportation reviews, increased by one month to nine months. Changes in the complexity of cases filed in the district courts contributed to this increase. Because of the continuing decline in U.S. civil filings, especially recovery cases (which are processed quickly by the courts), the proportion of private civil cases terminated increased in 1991. Private civil cases typically have longer case processing times. In 1991, the median time from filing to disposition was 7 months for U.S. cases, 9 months for federal question cases and 11 months for diversity cases.

Since terminations outnumbered filings, the pending caseload decreased 2 percent to 240,599. The number of civil cases pending 3 years or more rose 13 percent to 28,421 cases. Much of the increase in cases pending for 3 years or more can be attributed to asbestos cases, which increased from 7,697 in 1990 to 10,912 in 1991.





 Excluding Recovery of Overpayments and Social Security Cases

	**	Table 7									
A 1. 11		. District Co	-								
	Arbitration Cases Filed, Terminated and Pending by District										
During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991											
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Arbitration							
	Civil	Arbitration		Cases	Arbitration						
	Cases	Cases	1. N	Ter-	Cases						
District	Filed	Filed	Percerit	minated	Pending						
New York, Eastern	4,741	149	3.1	130	168						
New Jersey	5,561	1,122	20.2	1,155	1,110						
Pennsylvania, Eastern	8,254	1,401	17.0	738	923						
North Carolina, Middle	653	24	3.7	57	21						
Texas, Western	2,725	54	2.0	130	162						
Michigan, Western	1,642	106	6.5	93	52						
Missouri, Western	2,292	107	4.7	55	187						
California, Northern	4,643	604	13.0	596	574						
Oklahoma, Western	1,949	304	15.6	237	235						
Florida, Middle	4,216	94	2.2	255	609						
Total	36,676	3,965	10.8	3,446	4,041						
		-		-							

Arbitration

Public Law 100-702 created the court-annexed arbitration program in May of 1989 to serve as an alternative for settling civil disputes without resorting to trial. Cases can be referred to arbitration if both parties consent (voluntary), or the court can require arbitration in cases where money damages sought are \$100,000 or less (mandatory). The decision of the arbitrator is not binding and parties can file for a trial within 30 days after the ruling; the case is then treated as though it never went through arbitration. The following district courts were authorized to use arbitration: California, Northern; Florida, Middle; Michigan, Western; Missouri, Western; New Jersey; New York, Eastern; North Carolina, Middle; Oklahoma, Western; Pennsylvania, Eastern; and Texas, Western. Ten additional districts have been approved by the Judicial Conference to authorize the use of arbitration, but these districts will be limited to arbitration with the consent of the parties only (voluntary); however, no cases were referred to arbitration in these districts in 1991.

During 1991, the second year of reporting, a total of 3.965 cases were referred to arbitration in the 10 participating districts. These cases reflected 11 percent of the total civil filings (36,676 cases) in these courts, up slightly from last year. The percent of civil cases referred to arbitration ranged from a low of 2 percent in Florida, Middle to a high of 20 percent in New Jersey. The types of cases referred were similar to the types referred in 1990: personal injury suits (especially motor vehicle cases) and contract actions made up the largest percentage of cases. Personal injury suits accounted for 45 percent of the cases and contract actions another 39 percent. Other types of actions in which a significant number of cases were referred to arbitration were labor suits and civil rights actions.

A total of 3,446 arbitration cases were terminated in 1991, down 23 percent from last year. Of all arbitration cases terminated, 2,521 (73 percent) were mandatory, compared with 642 (19 percent) voluntary terminations. A total of 283 (8 percent) of the cases terminated were withdrawn from the program during the past year. The number of arbitration cases pending

	Crimir	nal Cases F (Inc	S. District Co iled, Termina dudes Transf 31 through 1	ated, and P iers)	ending	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Filed			
	Authorized Judge-		Cases Per			
Year	ships	Total	Judgeship	Drugs***	Terminated	Pending
1981	516	31,328	61	3,732	30,221	15,866
1982	515	32,682	63	4,218	31,889	16,659
1983	515	35,872	70	5,094	33,985	18,546
1984	515	36,845	72	5,606	35,494	19,938
1985	575	39,500	69	6,690	37,139	22,299
1986	575	41,490	72	7,893	39,328	24,453
1987	575	43,292	75	8,878	42,287	25,263
1988	575	44,585	78	10,292	42,115	27,722
1989	575	45,995	80	11,858	42,810	30,910
1990**	575	47,411	82	12,226	42,765	35,021
1991	649	45,735	70	11,929	42,788	37,968
% Chg.*		-3.5	-14.6	-2.4	+0.1	8.4
NOTE: I		ge in reporti). ** Revised. ng methods, d		s transfers.) and 1991 are	not direc

in these 10 courts increased 3 percent from 3,941 in 1990 to 4,041 as of June 30, 1991. **Table 7** shows a summary of the cases filed, terminated and pending in the ten districts that reported arbitration cases during 1991.

Criminal Workload

During 1991, criminal filings in the U.S. district courts declined for the first time since 1980, falling 4 percent to 45,735 cases (including transfers). The number of defendants in those cases, however, rose slightly to 64,683. Filings per authorized judgeship declined 15 percent from 82 to 70 cases, primarily the result of the 74 additional judgeship positions authorized in December by the Federal Judgeship Act of 1990. Criminal cases terminated remained stable at 42,788. Even though filings decreased, they outnumbered terminations by a wide margin; thus, the pending caseload rose 8 percent to 37,968. The number of pending cases has more than doubled since 1983, when 18,546 criminal cases were pending in U.S. district courts. **Table 8** summarizes the number of criminal cases filed, terminated, and pending for 1981 through 1991. The *D* series of *Appendix Tables* contain more detailed data by district on the criminal workload.

Between 1986 and 1990, drug filings (excluding transfers) increased 55 percent from 7,893 to 12,226, at a rate of at least 9 percent every year except 1990, when filings increased only 6 percent. In 1991, drug filings (excluding transfers) decreased 2 percent (297 cases) to 11,929. Much of this decrease is due to a decline in drug filings in Texas, Southern (TX,S). Although TX,S once again had the largest number of drug cases in the country, filings declined 37 percent to 854. This district had reported sizeable increases between 1984 and 1990, when

Table 9 U.S. District Courts Criminal Defendants Convicted and Nat Convicted and									
Not Convicted During 1990 and 1991									
Percent									
	1990	<u>1991</u>	Change						
Total Defendants	54,619	56,747	3.9						
Total Not Convicted	9,274	9,979	7.6						
Percent of Total	17.0	17.6	-						
Dismissed	7,745	8,372	8.1						
Acquitted by Court	628	603	-4.0						
Acquitted by Jury	901	1,004	11.4						
Total Convicted	45,345	46,768	3.1						
Percent of Total	83.0	82.4							
By Plea of Guilty	38,629	40,723	5.4						
Nolo Contendere	698	490	-29.8						
By Court	1,011	699	-30.9						
By Jury	5,007	4,856	-3.0						
	·	·							


drug filings increased from 332 to 1,346. The U.S. Attorney's office in TX,S is redirecting enforcement efforts toward more complex cases involving only very large amounts of drugs or large drug networks. These cases typically involve more defendants than the average drug case and, as a result, the average number of defendants per drug filing in TX,S increased from 1.5 to 1.7. Excluding TX,S, drug filings nationwide actually increased 2 percent (195 cases) in 1991. As law enforcement agencies close open-air drug markets, they must turn to more sophisticated enforcement efforts such as sting operations and wiretaps. Another option available to enforcement officers is to charge suspected drug offenders under related offenses, such as possession of firearms, which may be easier to prosecute. Consequently, drug filings in the near future are likely to stabilize or increase at a much slower rate than in the last 5 years.

Despite the slight decline in filings, drug cases remained the most significant portion of the total criminal workload. In 1991, drug filings made up 26 percent of all criminal cases filed, the same percentage as in 1990. In addition, drug enforcement efforts had an impact on other areas of the criminal workload. The Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, which designated drug offenses as crimes of violence, enabled U.S. attorneys to prosecute offenders for other types of offenses, such as weapons, to help in the fight against drugs. As a result, weapons and firearms filings increased 10 percent from 2,657 in 1990 to 2,923 in 1991, and have increased 46 percent since the Act was passed in 1988.

Other areas of the criminal caseload also declined in 1991. Fraud cases decreased 4 percent to 7,083 following a 9 percent increase in 1990. However, as a result of the Savings and Loan crisis, lending institution fraud continued to increase, rising 21 percent to 1,371 cases filed. Immigration cases also declined in 1991, falling 6 percent to 2,186, the first decrease in immigration filings since 1987. These cases had been rising due to their relation to drug cases.

Drunk driving and traffic offenses fell 12 percent to 7,159 cases, the lowest total in 8 years. Over four-fifths of all traffic offenses were confined to six districts (North Carolina, Eastern; Virginia, Eastern; Missouri, Western; Hawaii; Washington, Western; and Georgia, Middle) with large areas of military or federal park jurisdiction. The significant decline in drunk driving and traffic filings occurred because the population on many military bases was severely lowered as a result of personnel being stationed in the Persian Gulf during Operation Desert Storm.

The national decrease in both fraud and immigration cases was also largely the result of a dramatic decline in filings in TX,S. Fraud filings declined 53 percent in this district, from 465 in 1990 to 218 in 1991. As with drug cases, the U.S. Attorney's office in TX,S is concentrating on more complex cases, primarily in the areas of insurance and savings and loan fraud. Although fraud cases were down sharply, many new cases are presently in the investigative stage and are not yet reflected in the case filings. Excluding TX,S, fraud filings remained



U.S. District Courts Criminal Filings 1987-1991

nearly stable, declining only 1 percent (39 cases). Immigration filings decreased 36 percent in TX,S, also a result of the decision by the U.S. attorney's office to change priorities. In all other districts, immigration filings increased 4 percent (70 cases) in 1991.

Despite the 4 percent decline in criminal case filings, the number of defendants charged in 1991 increased slightly. This increase, however, was less than 1 percent to 64,683 (including transfers). An increase in defendants in light of a decrease in cases filed is an indication that criminal cases are becoming more complex. During 1991, defendants charged with drug offenses (excluding transfers) increased 4 percent (up 870 defendants) despite a 2 percent decline in cases filed nationwide, and a 27 percent (543 defendants) decline in defendants filed in TX,S. Excluding TX,S, drug defendants increased over 7 percent. This increase in drug defendants is an indication that the U.S. attorneys' offices in many other districts are also

concentrating enforcement efforts on more complex drug cases with large numbers of offenders. Further indication of this is that, nationally, the average number of defendants per drug case filed rose from 1.8 in 1990 to 2.0 in 1991.

During 1991, the district courts terminated cases involving 56,747 defendants. This was an increase of 4 percent (2,128 defendants) over the 54,619 defendants involved in criminal cases concluded during 1990. Table 9 shows the number of defendants convicted rose 3 percent to 46,768, and accounted for 82 percent of all dispositions, nearly the same percentage as in 1990. Guilty pleas increased 5 percent to 40,723, but defendants found guilty after court trial declined 31 percent (312 defendants). The principal reason for the decrease in court trials was a 36 percent decline (208 defendants) in traffic defendants convicted after court trial, the result of fewer filings due to Operation Desert Storm. Defendants convicted by jury trial declined by only 3 percent (151 defendants) in 1991. Defendants not convicted increased to 18 percent of all dispositions during 1991, as dismissals rose 8 percent and defendants acquitted after jury trial increased 11 percent. In contrast, defendants acquitted after court trial declined 4 percent (25 defendants), the result of an 8 percent (33 defendants) drop in traffic defendants.

Drug cases continued to make up the largest portion of the pending criminal caseload in 1991 and increased 9 percent from 13,001 in 1990 to 14,220 as of June 30, 1991. The pending drug caseload has nearly doubled in only 5 years, and in that time, has risen from 29 percent of all criminal cases pending in 1986 to 37 percent in 1991. Weapons and firearms cases pending also increased significantly in 1991, rising 12 percent to 2,266 cases pending on June 30, 1991 (6 percent of the total). The significant rise in pending drug and weapons cases is probably due to the increasing complexity of these cases. Other types of cases that increased significantly in 1991 were robbery cases, up 20 percent to 1,165 cases pending, fraud cases, up 6 percent to 5,746, and traffic offenses, which rose 14 percent to 2,239 cases pending.

There were a total of 56,770 defendants in criminal cases pending on June 30, 1991. Of these defendants, 33 percent were fugitives, down marginally from 34 percent in 1990. Over 95 percent of the defendants who were fugitives at the end of the year 1991, fied prior to trial, the same percentage as in 1990. Another 16 percent (9,365) of the defendants in pending criminal cases had already been tried and were awaiting sentencing, and 27,851 defendants pending on June 30 were triable. Over 50 percent (14,157 defendants) of these triable defendants were facing drug charges, up from 46 percent in 1990.

Case Disposition Times and Speedy Trial Compliance

Appendix Table D-6 shows median time intervals from filing to disposition of criminal defendants during 1991. The median time from filing of an indictment or information to disposition (including imposition of sentence when convicted) for defendants disposed of this year was 4.8 months. This interval was up from 4.6 months in 1990. The median time has risen steadily since 1985 when it stood at 3.0 months. Part of this increase is due to the longer times being reported for the period from conviction to imposition of sentence. The drug caseload, however, has also affected the length of time for disposing of criminal cases. The median time from filing to disposition for drug defendants this year was 6.5 months, but was only 4.1 months for defendants charged with all other types of offenses. Drug cases often are linked, with defendants in one case also involved in other cases. Sometimes one defendant's testimony against a defendant in another case will be part of a plea bargain. Until this testimony is assured, U.S. attorneys will continue one or more cases that eventually end in a plea to lesser charges or dismissal. In addition, scheduling of these events causes its own delays in processing drug cases. As a result, the median time from filing to disposition of defendants in dismissed drug

cases was 5.5 months compared to 3.1 months for defendants in all other cases. For defendants pleading guilty, the median disposition time was 6.4 months in drug cases versus 4.0 months in all other cases.

The Speedy Trial Act of 1974, as amended, mandates that defendants be indicted within 30 days of their arrest (Interval I), and brought to trial within 70 days (Interval II), not counting excludable delays granted by the court. If the government does not proceed within these time limits, the case can be dismissed upon application of the defendant. Such dismissal can be with prejudice, meaning the government cannot refile in the same case, or without prejudice, meaning this option is still available. Of 15,746 defendants for whom Interval I applied during 1991, 95 percent were indicted within 30 days. Also, 93 percent of the 54,772 defendants to whom Interval II applied were brought to trial within 70 days. (See Appendix Table D-11.)

Interval III in the processing of each criminal case is the time between conviction and sentence. Although the Speedy Trial Act does not sanction this interval, the Judicial Conference Committee on the Administration of the Criminal Law has recommended a 45-day time limit for sentencing. Appendix Table D-12 provides the median times from conviction to sentence by district for defendants convicted during the past year, and the number sentenced within 45 days. In 1982, more than 73 percent of all defendants convicted were sentenced within 45 days. The percentage has fallen every year since then. During 1991, 27 percent (12,582 defendants) of the 46,913 convicted defendants were sentenced within 45 days. down from 28 percent in 1990.

The Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 contributed to this change in the time between sentence and conviction. Along with requiring the use of Sentencing Guidelines, it allows defendants to challenge their presentence reports at the sentencing hearings by presenting evidence to refute certain statements concerning their prior record or the circumstances of their offense. As a result, probation officers spend more time on presentence reports, and judges must allow more time for sentencing hearings as well, which can cause scheduling problems. The median time from conviction to sentence for defendants sentenced more than 45 days after their convictions has risen from 65 days in 1987 to 84 in 1991.

Savings and Loan Crisis

The failure of numerous banks and savings and loan institutions (S & L) throughout the country has brought additional criminal, civil and bankruptcy cases into federal courts. The Crime Control Act of 1990 requires that the Administrative Office report to Congress "...the business imposed on the federal courts by the savings and loan crisis". The year ended June 30, 1991 is the first year that the AO has attempted to identify and report on these cases. The data have been compiled from several different sources to estimate the total impact on the courts. Some district courts such as Massachusetts and Texas. Northern have seen their caseloads increase appreciably as a result of problems facing the thrift industry. The total effect numerically on the courts, however, has not been significant on the national level.

Criminal Caseload, S & L

Virtually all criminal cases in federal court originating from the S & L crisis are brought by the U.S. attorney's office in the district of the alleged offense, U.S. attorneys brought 235 "major case" criminal indictments stemming from S & L failures to district courts in the period July 1, 1990 to June 30, 1991. Major cases are defined as those where (a) the amount of fraud or loss was \$100,000 or more, or (b) the defendant was an officer, director, or owner of the institution or (c) the schemes involved multiple borrowers in the same institution, or (d) the case involved other major factors. These cases constitute less than 1 percent of all criminal filings in 1991. When major cases originating from banks and credit unions are included, the number of indictments increases to 761 and represents 2 percent of all criminal indictments, still a modest percentage.

The 13 major case indictments brought by the U.S. Attorney in Massachusetts gave the district the distinction of having the highest percentage (4 percent) of criminal cases arising from S & L indictments while California, Central had the greatest **number** of S & L indictments (24) during 1991. Other courts with significant numbers of major thrift-related criminal cases were Texas, Northern (18); Florida, Middle (17); New Jersey (17) and California, Eastern (14).

Data from the Executive Office of the U.S. Attorney do not allow a direct assessment of the number of criminal thrift cases failing to meet major case criteria. However, based on the available information, an estimated 600 "minor" criminal cases were filed, only 1 percent of criminal filings in 1991. Major and minor thrift prosecutions together account for less than 2 percent of all criminal filings in 1991.

This estimate is reasonable in view of an in-depth study done by Texas. Northern in the late eighties, when that area had the highest rate of S & L and banking failures in the country. The problem was severe enough for the Department of Justice to create a Dallas task force that is still prosecuting numerous violations. Results of this study showed that criminal filings for banks and S & L's were 11 percent of total criminal filings for the district in 1989. The ratio was the same for civil filings. Since Texas, Northern, during the second half of the 1980's, represented a worst case scenario for thrift failures as the unfettered free enterprise mentality and optimism of investors coupled with a collapse of oil prices led to the greatest number of S & L and bank failures in the country, it is unlikely that many districts would approach its totals or that national statistics for S & L related crime would rise to over 10 percent of all criminal filings.

Civil Caseload, S & L

While criminal cases in the federal courts originating from the S & L crisis are brought by

the U.S. attorney's office in the district of the alleged offense, civil S & L cases are brought primarily by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC). From November 1990 until October 1991, cases from both agencies were combined into a single database maintained by the FDIC. However, as a result of the recent FDIC/RTC split, the FDIC and the RTC will no longer report combined S & L statistical information: both agencies are developing new databases to track S & L cases. The former combined system provided data for pending cases on a cumulative basis, thus, data as of June 30, 1991, are an overall picture of the cases pending in the federal courts. Also, cases are identified by FDIC or RTC office of origination, not judicial district, and cannot be distinguished as major (over \$100,000) or minor.

Based on preliminary data, on June 30, 1991, there were 3,832 civil S & L cases pending in the federal courts, less than 2 percent of the 240,599 civil cases pending. The vast majority of these cases were in the southwestern U.S., particularly in the Texas cities of Dallas (1,140); Houston (615); and San Antonio (239). Other cities with over 150 cases pending were Baton Rouge, Louisiana (317); Irvine, California (186); Tampa, Florida (182); and Atlanta, Georgia (170).

As with criminal cases, the current number of S & L civil cases does not represent a significant numeric impact on the workload of the federal courts; however, many cases are unusually complex and will demand more of a court's resources in terms of hearings and trials than an average case. In the Administrative Office database, most banking and S & L cases are classified under a few broad categories which encompass litigation from many sources. Thus it is impossible to identify only the cases related to the savings and loan "crisis". The one reasonably homogeneous category, banks and banking, showed 744 civil suits filed in 1991, an increase of 6 percent (44 cases) over 1990.

Bankruptcy Caseload, S & L

Bankruptcies have undoubtedly increased as a result of the crisis in the thrift industry, but estimates of what bankruptcies can be attributed to the banking crisis cannot be made at this time. Other recessionary factors contribute heavily to the number of bankruptcies and again the FDIC and RTC databases do not have sufficient data to identify those bankruptcies which contributed to or resulted from an institution's failure.

Trials

During 1991, judges in U.S. district courts completed 19,949 trials, 2 percent less than the 20,433 trials reported last year. Trials include those resulting in a verdict or judgment as well as other contested hearings where evidence was presented. Appendix Table C-7 shows the number of civil and criminal trials completed in each district. The number of trials completed has fluctuated from a high of 21,397 trials reported in 1982 to a low of 19,901 reported in 1988. Increases in the length of criminal trials, however, account for the drop in total trials in 1991. The number of criminal trials that lasted between 3 and 19 days jumped 203 trials, which is 6 percent higher than last year. Appendix Table C-8 shows the length of civil and criminal trials completed.

While criminal trials, jury and non-jury together, remained stable at 8,925 in 1991, criminal jury trials rose 4 percent to a record 5,247. They accounted for 54 percent of all jury trials. This increase in criminal jury trials has a direct correlation to the increase in days spent on criminal trials overall. Criminal non-jury trials dropped 5 percent to 3,678.

Civil trials, jury and non-jury together, fell 4 percent in 1991 to 11,024. This is the lowest total in the past ten years. This decrease may be attributed to the increase in criminal jury trials; with judges devoting so much time to criminal matters, many civil cases are settled out of court. Civil jury trials were down 5 percent from last year to 4,517. Appendix Table C-10 shows that the median time from issue to trial for all civil cases increased from 14 months to 15 months in 1991. For the past ten years, the median time from issue to trial has been 14 months. As more emphasis is placed on completing criminal trials, as reflected by the increase in criminal jury trials, the result may be an increase in civil median times.

Despite this year's decrease in total completed civil and criminal trials, the number of jury trials has increased 19 percent since 1981 when there were 8,230. Jury trials remained stable this year at 9,764. The proportion of trials with juries rose from 39 percent in 1981 to 49 percent in 1991. Non-jury trials totaled 10,185 in 1991, 4 percent less than the 10,607 reported last year. In 1981, there were 13,009 non-jury trials. Non-jury trials include both hearings on motions and other matters that did not result in a final judgment and full trials where the jury was waived by the defendant and the final judgment or verdict was rendered by the judge.

Although there is a tendency to focus on trials, they do not encompass all work performed by judges; other judicial proceedings are on the rise. Many more cases are settled prior to trial only through extensive amounts of judicial involvement. For example, Appendix Table C-5 shows that judges closed 122,442 civil cases before or during pretrial proceedings. In addition, they spent time on land condemnation cases, prisoner petitions and deportation reviews that are not included in this figure. In the criminal area, judges accepted pleas from 33,262 defendants and presided at probation revocation hearings. They ruled on thousands of motions and held other non-contested hearings in both civil and criminal cases and maintained final authority on many of the nearly 366,000 matters handled by U.S. magistrate judges outside of magistrate judge trial jurisdiction.

Judges also spent more time this year on sentencing hearings. Under the Sentencing Guidelines, defendants may contest the evidence presented on the circumstances surrounding their offenses or their criminal histories at these hearings, since these determinations directly affect the sentences to be imposed. In 1991, there were 2,753 contested sentencing hearings that were not included in the appendix tables or the numbers reported above. This is 12 percent higher than the number reported in 1990. Judges also conducted non-contested sentencing hearings for more than 35,000 defendants in 1991.

Appendix Table C-8 shows the length of all trials completed by district judges during 1991. Because these figures include hearings and motions, 43 percent of all trials were completed in a single day, virtually the same percent reported in 1990. In contrast, nearly 4,991 trials (25 percent of the total) lasted 4 days or more during 1991, slightly higher than last year's figure of 4,917. Appendix Table C-9 lists the trials that lasted 20 days or more. In Massachusetts, a jury trial for an alleged offense of racketeering and extortion lasted 143 days, making it the longest criminal trial in 1991. The longest civil trial in 1991 was 102 days for a non-jury civil rights trial in Alabama, Northern.

New to the Annual Report this year are Appendix Tables T-1 and T-2 which provide additional data on criminal and civil trials. As shown in Appendix Table T-1, of the 3,678 nonjury criminal trials, 84 percent (3,097 trials) were motions, orders or other matters not resulting in a final verdict; 581 trials (16 percent) resulted in a verdict. There were 2,753 sentencing hearings where evidence was contested in 1991, an increase of 13 percent over last year. Of the 6,507 non-jury civil trials, 56 percent (3,665) resulted in a judgment and 44 percent (2,842) were motions, orders or other matters not resulting in a final judgment. Appendix Table T-2 shows that 56 percent (3,288 criminal trials) of all criminal trials that resulted in a verdict, lasted 3 to 19 days. Forty-eight percent of all civil trials that resulted in a final judgment lasted 3 to 19 days. A total of 98 of these criminal trials (2 percent) and 75 (1 percent) of the civil trials lasted 20 days or more.

Service of Visiting Judges

Appendix Tables V-1 and V-2 provide summary numbers for case dispositions by visiting judges in the U.S. district courts and in the U.S. courts of appeals. The term "visiting judge" includes both active and senior judges who perform service in courts other than their own. Judges will be asked to assist another court if all judges must excuse themselves from a particular case, when death or illness of a court's judges creates a backlog of cases, or in order to give district judges experience in the procedures of the courts of appeals. During 1991, visiting judges accounted for a total of 1,604 civil and criminal dispositions in the U.S. district courts. They conducted trials for 710 of these cases. Visiting judges also served as members of three-judge panels on 5,020 occasions for the courts of appeals. Sixty-nine percent of these participations were in cases disposed of after oral hearings.

Cases and Motions Under Advisement

For the quarter ended June 30, 1991, U.S. district court judges reported a total of 2,678 cases and motions under advisement over 60 days. This figure is 8 percent below the number reported for the same quarter in 1990. Matters awaiting additional memoranda or briefs or cases assigned to a special master are not included in this total. A total of 714 judges reported this quarter, 1 percent more than the number of judges reporting for the same quarter last year. Forty-eight percent of the reporting judges had cases and motions under advisement over 60 days for an average of 8 matters per judge.

The circuits with the largest number of cases and motions under advisement over 60 days were the Eleventh with 610 cases, the Sixth with 406 cases and the Fifth with 308 cases. *Supplemental Table S-9* shows the number of judges reporting and the number of matters under advisement in each circuit.

Weighted Filings Per Authorized Judgeship

Recognizing that each case filed does not require equal judicial attention, the district courts have participated in studies that have led to the development of case weights. A typical case has a weight of 1.0; a more complex case receives a higher weight (e.g. asbestos personal injury with a weight of 1.5) and a case that demands little work by a judge, like student loan cases will have a lower than average weight (student loans, for example, have a weight of 0.03).

Both raw and weighted filings per judgeship decreased substantially in 1991 due to the 74 additional judgeship positions created on December 1, 1990 by the Federal Judgeship Act of 1990. Raw filings declined 15 percent to 371 per judgeship and weighted filings were down 14 percent to 386 per judgeship. Individual districts ranged from a low of 183 weighted filings per judgeship in Wyoming to a high of 686 in Georgia, Southern. Asbestos personal injury product liability cases accounted for 54 percent of Georgia, Southern's civil weighted filings. Because of the additional judgeships, criminal weighted filings decreased 9 percent to 53 per judgeship. This decline was smaller than the 11 percent drop in unweighted criminal filings because of the Department of Justice's recent emphasis on larger, more complex cases in some districts. Civil weighted filings declined by 15 percent to 333 per judgeship as a result of a 5 percent decline in overall civil filings and the additional judgeships authorized in December 1990.

Appendix Table X-1A (excludes misdemeanor cases) provides, by district, weighted and unweighted filings per authorized judgeship in 1991. For a more detailed discussion of the weighted caseload and its history in the federal courts, see the 1980 Annual Report of the Director.

Petit Jury Activity

Table 10 provides data on the number of citizens summoned to serve on petit juries from 1987 through 1991. Jurors are counted for each day of service (including appearance at voir dire, traveling from home to distant court locations for trial, and hearing cases as a member of a jury). If a juror serves for 10 days, the juror is counted 10 times; no information is available on the actual <u>number</u> of individual citizens who served. Although the data that are collected reflect days of service, the findings, for simplicity's sake, are discussed in terms of the number of jurors who were selected or challenged.

During 1991, petit jurors served a total of 46,538 trial days in the 94 district courts, an increase of 694 days over last year. The total number of individual days served by all petit jurors rose 2 percent to 843,953. A rise in the number and percentage of juror days devoted to criminal trials, which require larger juries, contributed to the overall increase in juror days. The increased number of criminal jury trials has resulted in more jurors being summoned for service than ever before. In 1991, more than half, 52 percent, of all petit juror service was required for criminal cases. The percentage of petit jury trial days devoted to criminal cases has increased steadily since 1987, when only 43 percent of trial days were devoted to criminal cases.

Table 10 U.S. District Courts National Petit Juror Service 1987 through 1991									
Service	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991				
Overall Juror Service									
Jury Trial Days	44,511	44,224	45,119	45,844	46,538				
Percent Criminal	42.9	44.0	47.3	50.2	51.6				
Percent Civil	57.1	56.0	52.7	49.8	48.4				
Juror Days*	732,039	750,679	803,538	825,020	843,953				
Percent Selected or Serving	66.7	65.3	63.4	63.9	63.6				
Percent Challenged	17.3	17.6	17.8	18.1	18.4				
Percent Not Selected									
or Challenged**	16.0	17.1	18.8	18.0	18.0				
Average Jurors Per Day of Trial	16.4	17.0	17.8	18.0	18.1				
Jury Selection Day									
Jurors Present for Voir Dire	344,863	362,304	395,171	403,674	415,650				
Percent Selected	31.1	29.9	27.9	28.7	28.5				
Percent Challenged	36.8	36.4	36.2	37.1	37.4				
Percent Not Selected or									
Challenged	32.1	33.7	35.8	34.2	34.0				
Number of Juries Selected	11,074	11,078	11,012	11,253	11,296				
Average Jurors Present for									
Jury Selection	31.1	32.7	35.9	35.9	36.8				

*Each juror is counted for each day serving, traveling, or waiting at the courthouse to serve. **Includes jurors in travel status.

			Nati	U.S. Dist ional Gran	ble 11 rict Courts Id Juror Se ough 1991				
			Jurors		Hours		Proceedings Filed by Indictment		Average Defendants
Year	Juries Serving	Sessions Con- vened	Total	Average Per Session	Total	Average Per Session	Cases*	Defen- dants*	Indicted Per Session
		*****		• • • • • •					
1987	787	11,011	216,285	19.6	59,335	5.4	23,532	37,316	3.39
1988	764	10,817	211,610	19.6	58,109	5.4	23,505	37,970	3.51
1989	776	10,526	207,107	19.7	57,249	5.4	22,982	38,197	3.63
1990	752	10,134	200,588	19.8	54,476	5.4	24,988	40,301	3.98
1991 *Revised	759	10,784	212,614	19.7	57,824	5.4	26,003	42,934	3.98

During 1991, a total of 415,650 jurors were present for voir dire, an increase of 3 percent over the number appearing last year and 21 percent more than in 1987. Of this total, 155,577, or 37 percent, were challenged or dismissed for cause by the court. The total number of jurors selected remained stable this year at 118,584 or 29 percent. The number of juries selected rose for the second consecutive year to 11,296 in 1991. The average number of jurors present for jury selection increased to 37 or 6 more than the average present in 1987, in part due to the increase in criminal trials. In addition, many districts reported highly publicized cases involving public figures or sensitive issues this year. These cases normally require the summoning of large pools of potential jurors, with the anticipation that many will be disgualified due to familiarity with the parties or circumstances of the case or for other reasons.

The percentage of jurors not selected or challenged remained stable at 34 percent. This reflects the courts' continuing efforts to use jurors more effectively, with techniques such as selecting more than one jury at one session for trials scheduled in the future. Over half of the jurors who were not selected or challenged completed screening questionnaires or actually participated in a voir dire. The remaining jurors who were not selected or challenged did not participate in a voir dire, for the most part, because the case settled, a plea was entered or the trial was postponed at the last minute. *Appendix Table J-2* summarizes petit jury activity in the U.S. district courts during 1991.

Grand Jury Activity

Reversing a four-year downward trend, grand jury activity in the U.S. district courts increased 6 percent in all areas in 1991 except in the number of juries serving (up only 1 percent). This increase is attributable to the growing number of drug-related cases presented to grand juries by U.S. attorneys offices. As a result of this growth, there were 759 grand juries serving in 1991, up from 752 last year. The number of sessions convened increased to 10.784. This reflects 650 more sessions than in 1990 and only 227 below the high of 11,011 sessions in 1987. The number of jurors in grand jury session increased from 200,588 in 1990 to 212,614 this year. However, as a result of a 1986 Department of Justice policy of impaneling fewer juries and establishing a goal of six hours for each session, the number of jurors in session is still 2 percent lower than the 216,285 jurors serving in 1987.

The number of hours in session rose to 57,824. Because the number of sessions also increased, the average number of hours per session remained stable at 5.4.

Along with increases in grand jury activity, the number of indictments rose 4 percent to 26,003. The number of defendants named in returned indictments increased 6 percent in 1991 to 42,934. This increase resulted in an average of 3.98 defendants indicted per session compared to 3.39 in 1987. The focus on drug activity over the last five years has contributed to the rise in defendants indicted, as drug cases generally involve more than one defendant. Grand jury activity in the U.S. district courts from 1987 through 1991 is summarized in **Table 11**. *Appendix Table J-1* contains data on grand jury activity by district during 1991.

Three-Judge Court Hearings

Over the past two years, the volume of three-judge district court hearings has increased slightly but still totalled only 14 during 1991. One case was heard on reapportionment; all other hearings (13) were in civil rights cases, all involving voting rights issues.

Title 28 U.S.C. requires that a district court of three judges be designated by the chief judge of the circuit to hear cases challenging the apportionment of congressional districts or statewide legislative bodies and other actions required by statute. Designation of a threejudge district court is often requested for cases involving voting and other civil rights issues. While there was a slight increase this year, the number of three-judge court hearings in 1991 is down from 208 in 1976 (93 percent). This 15year downward trend is a result of legislation in January 1975 and in August 1976 limiting requirements for designation of three-judge courts. Supplemental Table S-10 illustrates the total number of hearings held over the last six years.

Passport and Naturalization

During 1991, the U.S. district courts processed 637 passport applications, a 2 percent decrease from 1990. Citizens can apply for passports through the U.S. Department of State (Passport Office), a U.S. post office, and some U.S. district courts. For the past several years, Michigan, Western processed the largest number of passport applications. In 1991, this district processed 524 applications or 82 percent of the total. Only five district courts processed passport applications in 1991.

All U.S. district courts are designated as naturalization courts and are empowered to award U.S. citizenship. In 1991, a total of 235,102 petitions were filed for naturalization and 261,412 immigrants were naturalized in the U.S. district courts. Compared to 1990, the number of petitions filed during 1991 decreased 16 percent (46,152 petitions) and the number of immigrants naturalized declined 2 percent (5,166 immigrants). *Appendix Table P-1* summarizes passport applications and petitions for naturalization during the year ended June 30, 1991.

Other Federal Courts

Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals

The Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals (TECA) began operating on December 22, 1971. This court litigates all appeals from the U.S. district courts in cases arising under Section 4(e) of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1971, the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975, and the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977.

TECA's workload remained light in 1991, although filings increased from 9 to 17, compared to 16 appeals filed in 1989. Terminations declined from 18 in 1990 to only 10 in 1991. With filings outnumbering terminations by a relatively wide margin, the pending caseload rose from 6 in 1990 to 13 in 1991.

Special Court Established Under the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973

The Special Court was established by the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 (Rail Act). Under the Rail Act, the court's primary role is to determine the value of properties transferred by seven principal bankrupt railroads and numerous other transferor railroads in the Northeast and Midwest regions. The Rail Act also provides exclusive jurisdiction over certain civil actions.

In August 1981, the Northeast Rail Service Act of 1981 (NRSA) provided additional exclusive jurisdiction in the Special Court. A considerable amount of litigation speedily arose and, in May 1982, pursuant to Section 1152(d) of NRSA, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation assigned three additional judges to the Special Court to handle litigation under NRSA. In October 1986, the Conrail Privatization Act provided additional exclusive jurisdiction in the Special Court. The Court is presently divided into two panels of three judges each, with one member of the Court sitting on both panels. The General Panel exercises jurisdiction found in the Rail Act, while the Section 1152 Panel exercises jurisdiction over the cases arising under NRSA and under the Conrail Privatization Act.

In proceedings arising under its original and exclusive jurisdiction in Section 209(e) of the Rail Act, the Special Court reported two civil cases filed. Nine civil cases and one miscellaneous matter were terminated during the year, leaving eight civil cases and seven miscellaneous matters pending as of June 30, 1991.

Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation

The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation was created in 1968 to coordinate or consolidate pretrial proceedings in civil actions filed in different districts involving common questions. The Panel, consisting of seven circuit and district judges designated by the Chief Justice of the United States, approves all transfers of actions involved in the consolidations, and later remands the cases to the original districts. The Panel is also empowered to remand transferred actions before their conclusion for action on specific counter-claims, cross-claims, and thirdparty claims.

The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation acted on 787 civil actions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 during 1991. The Panel transferred 626 cases originally filed in 73 district courts to 27 transferee districts for inclusion on coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings. There were 161 actions already pending in the transferee districts at the beginning of the year. The Panel denied transfer of 86 actions.

Since creation of the Panel, there have been 18,705 civil actions centralized for pretrial proceedings. During 1991, out of a total of 16,473 actions, 2,765 actions were remanded for trial, 167 were reassigned within transferee districts, and 13,541 actions were terminated in transferee courts. At the end of this year, there were 2,232 actions pending in 38 transferee district courts.

Supplemental Table S-11 provides data on the number of cases transferred since the Panel was created, and the flow of cases into and out of the districts during the current year and cumulative totals since 1968. Information on specific cases may be obtained from the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

U.S. Court of International Trade

During the year ended September 30, 1991, there were 1,021 cases filed in the U.S. Court of International Trade. This reflects a 42 percent increase over the 720 cases filed during the year ended September 30, 1990. The Customs Courts Act of 1970, as implemented by the Rules of the U.S. Court of International Trade, permits an importer to consolidate into a single civil action any number of denied protests involving the same category of merchandise and presenting a common issue. Of the 926 new civil actions filed in fiscal year 1991, 623 were either 1581(a) or (b) actions which included approximately 7,699 denied protests covering 36,661 entries of merchandise.

The Court terminated 1,487 cases, 240 less than last year. The number of decisions rendered, however, rose slightly, from 635 to 663, a 4 percent increase. There were 615 cases dismissed in 1991, a 12 percent decline from the 698 cases dismissed in 1990. Cases terminated by dispositive order rose by 5 cases to 52. In contrast, cases terminated after submission on agreed statement of facts fell from 904 last year to 758 this year, a 16 percent drop. Since terminations exceeded new filings, pending cases dropped 14 percent to 2,981 cases on September 30, 1991. Appendix Table G-1 provides a summary of cases filed and terminated during the year ended September 30, 1991.

U.S. Claims Court

During the year ended September 30, 1991, there was an increase in the U.S. Claims Court's workload. Due to a sharp rise in Vaccine Compensation petitions, filings increased 51 percent from 2,279 in 1990 to 3,451 in 1991. Excluding Vaccine Compensation cases, there was a decrease of 7 percent. Three areas dropped notably: civilian pay (down 41 cases), tax (down 39 cases), and service pay (down 23 cases).

Cases terminated by the court increased 41 percent from 930 to 1,310 in 1991. Since filings significantly outnumbered terminations, the pending workload increased by 63 percent; a total of 5,612 cases were pending on September 30, 1991, compared to 3,446 in 1990.

There were 16,306 plaintiffs in the 1,310 cases terminated in 1991. Many cases brought to the court involved multiple plaintiffs in a single action. Except for a number of cases in which no specific amounts were claimed, the total amount claimed was just under \$3 billion, almost twice the amount claimed last year. The Claims Court rendered judgments for plaintiffs

in the sum of \$226 million; of the total, \$144 million carried interest. A number of these judgments were based on settlement agreements between the parties. Also, the U.S. Claims Court rendered judgments for the United States on counterclaims or offsets in the amount of \$16 million. Under its non-monetary jurisdiction, it also disposed of 17 contract cases seeking injunctive or declaratory relief.

In addition to its traditional workload, the Claims Court is also responsible for cases originating from the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, which became effective on October 1, 1988. Vaccine cases are referred to the Office of Special Masters. As a result of amendments to the program, these appointed masters now have decision-making authority in vaccine cases, with appeal to the Claims Court. The program was originally scheduled to expire on September 30, 1990 but, as the deadline approached, the Act was extended until January 31, 1991, at the request of the Court, because so many claimants came forth in the last days of September that the court could not accommodate all of the filings. Last year, there were 1,492 cases filed. This year, the court reported 2,717 vaccine compensation claims filed, 584 vaccine cases terminated and a total of 3,642 vaccine claims pending on September 30, 1991. Since the program has now expired, we expect over the next several years to see the number of pending vaccine cases dwindling with only a small number of motions for reconsideration.

Each January, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 791 (c), the clerk transmits to Congress a report on the business of the court showing the names of the claimants, the nature of the claims, and their dispositions. *Appendix Tables G-2A and G-2B* provide summary data on the workload in the U.S. Claims Court for the year ended September 30, 1991.

Criminal Justice Act Appointments

During the year ended September 30, 1991, there were 78,844 appointments of coun-

	·					Percent
						Change
Representations	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	91/90
Offices in Operation	40	40	41	42	44	
Total Representations			· · ·			
Opened	34.940	36,138	36.444	36,853*	38.813	5.3
Closed		•	-	35,803	-	
Pending September 30		•	12,579	•	•	
Criminal Representations						
Opened	22,400	23,340	24,087	24,569	25,218	2.6
Closed	22,076	22,406	22,873	23,877	24,661	3.2
Pending September 30	5,637	6,575	7,789	8,487	9,044	6.6
Other Representations**						
Opened	12,540	12,798	12,357	12,284	13,595	10.7
Closed	12,211	12,279	11,993	11,932	13,407	12.4
Pending September 30	3,917	4,426	4,790	5,142	5,330	3.6

sel under the Criminal Justice Act (CJA), an increase of 6,991 appointments from the 71,853 appointments in 1990. The CJA provides for the funding of representation of individuals with limited financial resources in federal criminal proceedings. Each district has a plan for providing representation by private attorneys or federal public or community defender offices. Appointments of private attorneys increased 14 percent this year from 35,000 to 40,031.

Total representations (including appeals, habeas corpus, revocations, criminal, etc.) by the 44 defender organizations rose 5 percent (1,960) this year to 38,813. Continuing a fouryear upward trend, criminal defendant appointments increased 3 percent to 25,218. During 1991, representations in drug cases accounted for 16 percent (6,517) of the criminal workload. Representations in other matters including appeals, probation/parole revocations, and motions to correct or reduce sentence escalated 11 percent (1,311) to 13,595 openings. The Federal Defender for the District of Columbia began reporting representations in January 1991. During its first nine months of operation, that office provided representation in 504 proceedings. This year, New Jersey reported the largest increase in new representations, 330 more than last year. Although openings in California, Southern continued to decline, it remains the largest federal defender organization, with 15 percent of the national workload.

Total defender representations in closed proceedings rose 6 percent to 38,068. Total representations pending in federal defender organizations rose to 14,374 on September 30, 1991, up 6 percent from the 1990 level. Pending criminal representations increased 7 percent to 9,044 and still account for 63 percent of all pending representations. **Table 12** provides a summary of Federal defender appointments under the CJA for the past five years. *Appendix Table K-1* provides information on the representations handled by each federal public and community defender organization during the year.

U.S. Bankruptcy Courts

U.S. bankruptcy courts continued to handle an increasing caseload in response to the recent recession. During 1991, there were 880,399 cases filed, an increase of 21 percent over 1990, or 154,915 more filings this year. Cases terminated in 1991 also rose, up 16 percent to 718,885 cases. Since filings were significantly higher than terminations, the number of pending cases reached a record 1,123,433 as of June 30, 1991. This represents an increase of 17 percent, or 161,514 more pending cases since last year. Tables 13 and 14 contain national data on bankruptcy code cases. Detailed data on the number of filings, terminations and pending bankruptcy cases by district are provided in *Appendix Tables F* and *F-2*.

Filings under all chapters of the Bankruptcy Code increased in 1991. Chapter 7 cases, which are predominately non-business filings, increased 21 percent to 612,324 filings. There were 22,493 cases filed under Chapter 11 (predominately business bankruptcies), up 15 percent from last year. Chapter 13 filings, which are primarily filed by individuals with regular income, rose to 244,192, an increase of 23 percent. Chapter 12 was enacted in 1986 to help family farmers reorganize their debts and keep their farms. Filings under this Chapter have decreased significantly each year since


Table 13 U.S. Bankruptcy Courts Bankruptcy Code Cases Filed, Terminated and Pending 1981 through 1991									
		Fil	ed						
		Non-		Ter-					
Year	Total	Business	Business	minated	Pending				
1981	360,329	312,914	47,415	181,907	361,664				
1982	367,866	311,443	56,423	268,243	461,287				
1983	374,734	304,916	69,818	298,715	537,306				
1984	344,275	344,275 281,755	62,520	304,014	577,567				
1985	364,536	297,885	66,651	333,158	608,945				
1986	477,856	401,575	76,281	358,224	728,577				
1987	561,278	478,988	82,290	481,351	808,504				
1988	594,567	526,066	68,501	587,574	814,195				
1989	642,993	580,459	62,534	577,848	869,758				
1990	725,484	660,796	64,688	620,649	961,919				
1991	880,399	812,685	67,714	718,885	1,123,433				
% Chg.*	21.4	23.0	4.7	15.8	16.8				
*Perce	*Percent change 1991 over 1990. Bankruptcy Act case data are available separately.								

Table 14 U.S. Bankruptcy Courts Filings by Chapter of the Bankruptcy Code 1981 through 1991										
Chapter										
Year	Total	7	.11	12	13	Other				
1981	360,329	265,718	7,827	-	86,778	6				
1982	367,866	255,095	14,058	-	98,705	8				
1983	374,734	251,319	21,206	-	102,201	8				
1984	344,275	232,778	20,023	-	91,460	13				
1985	364,536	244,647	21,420	-	98,452	17				
1986	477,856	332,675	24,442		120,726	13				
1987	561,278	397,924	22,564	4,448	136,300	42				
1988	594,567	423,789	18,889	3,099	148,771	19				
1989	642,993	457,234	17,447	1,717	166,539	56				
1990	725,484	505,332	19,591	1,351	199,186	24				
1991	880,399	612,324	22,493	1,358	244,192	32				
% Chg.*	21.4	21.2	14.8	0.5	22.6	33.				
*Percei	nt change	1991 over	1990.							
Note: C	hapter 12	became ef	fective on	November	26, 1986.					

the chapter was enacted, but rose by a few cases in 1991. The total number of business filings increased 5 percent over 1990; non-business filings increased 23 percent during the same period.

The current rate of increased filings may be explained by the economic impact of the recent recession. Recessions typically signal a downturn in most national economic activity. Many economists see bankruptcies as indicators of the severity of a recession. Like most economic phenomena, today's bankruptcy filings can be compared to earlier recessionary periods; however, no two recessions are completely the same. During the last recession in 1986, bankruptcy filings (both business and non-business) increased 31 percent. The greatest percentage increases in filings in the 1986 recession occurred in the southwest, primarily in Texas, Louisiana, and Oklahoma. Some observers attributed these regional concentrations to the drop in oil prices on the international markets. Since oil production and related manufacturing comprise a large portion of this region's economy, one would expect bankruptcy filings to increase in these areas during a recession affected by energy prices.

The recession of 1991 exhibits both similarities and differences to the 1986 recession in terms of bankruptcies filed. Although the percent increase of total cases filed is similar (a 21 percent increase during 1991), the regional distribution of filings is different. The greatest percentage increases in 1991 occurred in the northeast: in New Hampshire (up 86 percent), Rhode Island (up 77 percent), Massachusetts (up 71 percent), and Connecticut (up 59 percent). Analysts in these areas attribute most of the bankruptcies to a downturn in local real estate markets, reduced defense expenditures, and a recession in the mini-computer industry. The defense and computer sectors had fed a mid-80's real estate boom that came to a halt when major employers laid off thousands of employees. Banks and insurance companies which had profited from the speculative wave



U.S. Bankruptcy Courts Cases Filed 1981-1991

saw the value of their holdings fall precipitously. Companies and individuals have left the area, and businesses have closed or laid off employees, with the result that business and non-business bankruptcies are increasing.

The recessions of 1986 and 1991 each produced marked increases in non-business or personal bankruptcies. Many non-business bankruptcies, however, are related to business bankruptcies, which have increased in both recessions. When a major employer leaves a region to relocate or declares bankruptcy, it takes away not only the incomes of its local employees but also the consumer dollars spent in local businesses such as restaurants or retail stores. In addition, layoffs during periods of decreased manufacturing can account for other personal bankruptcies. Such sociological factors as the growing divorce rate can account for additional personal bankruptcies in recent years as well, particularly in cases where assistance programs are unable to meet personal financial needs.

Adversary proceedings, often filed by creditors to challenge the discharge of debts or repayment plans in business reorganizations, reached 63,342 in 1991, an increase of 14 percent over last year. Terminations of adversary proceedings remained constant at 51,304 during 1991. There were 102,366 adversary proceedings pending on June 30, 1991, an increase of 13 percent. Data on adversary proceedings by district are provided in *Appendix Table F-8.*

Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel

Bankruptcy Appellate Panels (BAP) were authorized initially by the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978. Although the First Circuit had a BAP between 1980 and 1982, the only BAP operating at present is in the Ninth Circuit. In 1979, the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council authorized formation of a BAP to hear cases arising in the Central District of California and in Arizona. The BAP heard its first case in April 1980, and by 1982 its jurisdiction had been expanded to cover all districts of the Ninth Circuit.

The Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act of 1984 limited the jurisdiction of BAP's to cases where the parties consented to its jurisdiction, resulting in very few referrals to the Ninth Circuit BAP. In 1985, the Ninth Circuit altered the consent requirement to assume that consent is given unless the litigants "opt out" of BAP jurisdiction within a 21-day timeframe. This step greatly increased the proportion of bankruptcy appeals being handled by the BAP. The following table shows the number of cases

Year Ended June 30	Total Appeals Filed	Total Opting Out	Percent Opting Out
1987	1,301	394	30.3
1988	1,196	437	36.5
1989	1,134	475	41.9
1990	1,269	487	38.4
1991	1,306	554	42.4

JUDICIAL BUSINESS OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

1,306
554
752
762
236
526
1,587

filed with the BAP and the number and percentage of these cases in which the litigants have opted out for the past five years.

Seven bankruptcy judges serve on panels of three judges assigned to hear and decide appeals from decisions of the bankruptcy courts in the Ninth Circuit. The table above sets forth the workload of the Ninth Circuit BAP during the year ended June 30, 1991.

Bankruptcy appeals filed in the Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel reached a five year high in 1991 as filings rose to 1,306. This represented a 3 percent increase over 1990. The number of litigants opting out of BAP jurisdiction in 1991 rose 14 percent. At the same time, the pending caseload for the BAP increased 52 percent from 1,043 in 1990 to 1,587 in 1991.

U.S. Magistrate Judges

The Judicial Improvements Act of 1990, Public Law 101-650, changed the title of United States magistrate to United States magistrate judge. U.S. magistrate judges handle a variety of matters including trials, preliminary hearings, civil consent cases and other special duties. The workload of U.S. magistrate judges is summarized in **Table 15**. Magistrate judges disposed of 460,722 matters in 1991, an increase of 2 percent over the previous year.

Petty and misdemeanor cases (above petty) disposed of by U.S. magistrate judges fell by 5,832 cases in 1991, declining from 100,930

in 1990 to 95,098. The misdemeanor caseload included 83,258 petty offenses and 11,840 misdemeanors above the level of a petty offense. The decline in petty offenses in the last year was attributable in part to the Gulf War, reflecting the movement of troops from domestic bases to the Middle East. Those cases resulted in 8,433 convictions and 3,407 dismissals or acquittals. A total of 949 misdemeanor court trials, and another 77 jury trials were completed.

The number of preliminary proceedings in felony cases conducted by U.S. magistrate judges increased 7 percent, from 167,382 in 1990 to 178,789 in 1991. Magistrate judges conducted 19,612 detention hearings, an increase of 14 percent in the last year, and issued 23,887 search warrants, an increase of 16 percent over 1990. The increase in preliminary proceedings stemmed from the recent growth in felony prosecutions nationwide. The impact of the rising felony caseload on magistrate judges is evidenced by the increase in the number of preliminary proceedings handled by magistrate judges during the last four years. Magistrate judges handled 102 percent more detention hearings in 1991 than in 1987, 103 percent more search warrants, 33 percent more preliminary examinations, 33 percent more arrest warrants/summonses, and 24 percent more arraignments.

In <u>Peretz v. United States</u>, 111 S.Ct. 2661 (1991), the Supreme Court held that the Federal Magistrates Act and the Constitution permit a magistrate judge to conduct voir dire in a felony trial with the consent of the litigants. In an earlier

Historic Constant State	Years En	ded June 3	0, 1981 and	1987 throu	igh 1991		يي في المحمد الم
							Percent
				[Change
Activity	1981	1987	1988	1989	1990	199/1	91vs90
Total Matters	312,944	466,078	471,035	432,996	450,565	460,722	2.3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Trial Jurisdiction Cases	95,152	95,988	89,996	92,789	100,930	95,098	-5.8
Misdemeanors	14,208	12,896	13,418	13,674	13,248	11,840	-10.6
Petty Offenses	80,944	83,092	76,578	79,115	87,682	83,258	-5.0
Preliminary Proceedings	92,359	134,091	143,352	160,988	167,382	178,789	6.8
Search Warrants	5,442	11,744	14,246	18,947	20,672	23,887	15.6
Arrest Warrants/Summonses	11,634	14,983	16,408	18,905	18,972	19,944	5.1
Initial Appearances	33,285	45,571	47,956	48,311	49,624	51,745	4.3
Detention Hearings	*	9,708	11,935	15,841	17,191	19,612	14.1
Bail Reviews	6,828	7,140	6,665	7,382	7,858	8,246	4.9
Preliminary Examinations	3,570	6,104	6,805	7,234	7,145	8,116	13.6
Grand Jury Returns		4,110	4,259	4,431	4,556	4,992	9.6
Arraignments		28,827	29,569	32,484	34,311	35,699	4.0
Other	9,993	5,904	5,509	7,453	7,053	6,548	-7.2
						.,	
Additional Duties	123,500	231,029	231,834	173,648	177,295	181,849	2.6
Criminal	26,098	41,515	38,884	31,948	37,340	38,567	3.3
Motions (A)	16,547	28,379	26,031	18,005	22,340	23,450	5.0
Motions (B)	2,105	2,871	2,678	3,591	4,169	3,553	-14.8
Evidentiary Hearings	857	1,452	1,355	1,860	2,256	2,171	-3.8
Pretrial Conferences	3,199	3,622	3,462	3,266	3,488	4,111	17.9
Calendar Calls	884	1,666	1,679	1,845	1,403	1,303	-7.1
Other	2,506	3,525	3,679	3,381	3,684	3,979	8.0
	2,000	0,020	0,070	0,001	0,004	0,373	0.0
Civil	82,585	162,512	167,486	120,925	119,372	119,584	0.2
Pretrial Conferences		45,167	48,359	47,293	45,201	45,193	-0.0
Motions (A)		94,722	95,953	52,006	54,206	55,342	2.1
Motions (B)		7,777	7,655	6,995	7,388	7,268	-1.6
Evidentiary Hearings	1 .	1,532	1,784	2,106	1,964	1,987	-1.0
Social Security	the second se	6,714	7,258	6,805	5,112	3,739	1
Special Masterships		1,509	1,213	1,230	1,097	1,074	-26.9
Calendar Calls	812	2,173		1,812			-2.1
Other	2,056	2,173	2,184		1,342	1,941	44.6
	2,050	2,910	3,080	2,678	3,062	3,040	-0.7
Prisoner Litigation	14,817	27.002	05 464	377 00	00 500	00.000	4.5.4
Evidentiary Hearings		27,002	25,464	20,775	20,583	23,698	15.1
State Habeas		(1,201)	(1,263)	(1,254)	(1,284)	(1,406)	9.5
Federal Habeas	1	7,184	7,103	5,969	6,078	6,843	12.6
	r -	2,589	2,542	2,236	2,339	2,965	26.8
Civil Rights	7,450	17,229	15,819	12,570	12,166	13,890	14.2
Civil Concent	1 000						
Civil Consent		4,970	5,903	5,571	4,958	4,986	0.6
Without Trial		4,008	4,914	4,552	3,950	3,874	-1.9
Jury Trial	181	459	550	438	495	538	8.7
Non-Jury Trial * Data not separately available	430	503	439	581	513	574	11.9

Table 15
Matters Disposed of by U.S. Magistrate Judges
Years Ended June 30, 1981 and 1987 through 1991

* Data not separately available

Reporting rate was 96 percent for 1990; 99 percent for 1991

JUDICIAL BUSINESS OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

case, <u>Gomez v. United States</u>, 490 U.S. 858 (1989), the court had held that the Act did not permit delegation of felony voir dire to a magistrate judge over the defendant's objection. The <u>Peretz</u> case is significant because it reflects an expansive interpretation of 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(3), which permits the assignment to magistrate judges of such additional duties as are not inconsistent with the Constitution and the laws of the United States.

In McCarthy v. Bronson, 111 S.Ct. 1737 (1991), the Supreme Court held that 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B) authorizes magistrate judges to submit proposed findings and recommendations to district judges in prisoner cases alleging specific episodes of unconstitutional conduct by prison administrators as well as in cases challenging ongoing prison conditions such as overcrowding, poor medical facilities, and inadequate security. The decision resolved a conflict in the circuits concerning the referral of prisoner civil rights actions to magistrate judges without the consent of the defendant. The number of prisoner cases referred to magistrate judges for findings of fact and recommendations increased 15 percent during 1991, including a 14 percent increase in prisoner civil rights cases.

The demands of the criminal caseload, coupled with an increase in prisoner litigation, have resulted in the overall stabilization of magistrate judge involvement in other civil cases. Civil pretrial conferences and civil consent cases were virtually unchanged this past year, while there was a slight increase in noncase-dispositive motions (2 percent) and a slight decline in case-dispositive motions (2 percent). The number of social security appeals reviewed by magistrate judges declined 27 percent in the last year, and 48 percent since 1988 consistent with the decline in filings in 1989 and 1990. Even with this decline, U.S. magistrate judges were involved in nearly 125,000 nonprisoner civil matters in 1991. The M series of the Appendix Tables contain data on the magistrate judges workload for 1991.

Probation/Pretrial

Federal Probation System

The number of persons received for supervision by the Federal Probation System in 1991 decreased 3 percent to 46,128, following a 3 percent increase in 1990. In October 1990, a change in reporting procedures was implemented; transfers are now recorded as new cases. This change means that the data reported in **Table 16** for 1991 are not directly comparable with the data reported for 1990. The *E* series of *Appendix Tables* give more detailed data on the Federal Probation System.

The number of persons received on probation imposed by district judges in 1991 totaled 14,889 persons, 32 percent of the total received. Of those received for probation imposed by district judges, 91 percent are for terms of supervision of 2 years or more, with an average term of 39 months. Persons received on probation imposed by magistrate judges made up 19 percent of the total received. **Tables 16** and **17** provide summary data on the workload of the Federal Probation System.

Table 16 Persons Under Supervision of the Federal Probation System 1987 through 1991								
	Rece	eived	Rem	noved				
Year	Total	Total Less Trans- fers	Total	Per- sons Under Super- vision				
<u> .</u> .	المستند تستنسبها		Laurian di sini ina sa d	fers				
1987	46,698	38,486	42,916	34,704	73,432			
1988	46,258	37,974	44,276	35,992	75,412			
1989	46,352	38,184	44,548	36,380	77,208			
1990	47,546	39,799	44,906	37,159	79,658**			
1991	46,128	44,502	41,601	39,975	84,184			
% Chg.*	-3.0	11.8	-7.4	7.6	5.7			
*Percent	*Percent change 1991 over 1990. **Revised.							

1991 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR


As a result of the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and the consequent Sentencing Guidelines implemented in November 1987, judges and magistrate judges must now sentence defendants to prison terms in many situations where only a term of probation may have been imposed in the past. One example is a defendant convicted of fraud of more than \$120,000 with a Criminal History Category I (the least serious), and who does not accept responsibility for the offense. Before the Sentencing Guidelines, such a person could have been sentenced to either a term of probation or to prison. Under the Guidelines, this person would now be sentenced to prison. Another example is someone convicted of "unlawful manufacturing, importing, exporting or trafficking" of a controlled or illegal substance. A defendant convicted of trafficking 8 grams of heroin, with a Criminal History Category I who refuses to accept responsibility for the offense, would be

sentenced under the Guidelines to 15-21 months in prison as a first offender. Before the Sentencing Guidelines, this person could have been sentenced either to probation or to prison.

The number of persons received for supervision upon release from prison (which includes parole, mandatory release, military parole, special parole and supervised release) comprised 46 percent of all persons received in 1991. There were 8,504 persons received under terms of supervised release this year, 18 percent of the total received. Over the past few years, there has been a rapid increase in persons received under terms of supervised release, which can be attributed primarily to provisions of the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 which abolished parole.

The number of persons removed from supervision (including transfers) decreased 7 per-

Table 17 Persons Under Supervision of the Federal Probation System by Type of Supervision 1990 and 1991								
	Received Fo	or Supervision	Under Su	pervision				
Type of Supervision	1990	1991	1990*	1991				
Total (Includes Transfers)	47,546	46,128	79,658	84,184				
District Judge Probation Magistrate Judge Probation Parole Mandatory Release Military Parole Special Parole Supervised Release Received by Transfer	12,870 8,428 6,942 3,889 589 2,284 4,797 7,747	14,889 8,603 6,321 3,580 552 2,053 8,504 1,626	40,823 11,649 13,610 2,822 777 5,201 4,776	39,742 11,382 13,306 3,288 824 5,037 10,605				
*Revised. Note: Due to a recording change, transfers in 1991 are not comparable to prior years; transfers in 1991 reflect only a 3-month period, July-September 1990.								



U. S. Probation Service Persons Under Supervision 1987-1991

cent in 1991 to 41,601. *Appendix Table E-7* indicates that the percentages of persons removed from supervision for violations and removed without having committed violations remained constant. A total of 18,932 persons (61 percent) served their full period of supervision, and another 4,788 persons (15 percent) were released from supervision early because of good behavior. These two categories represent 76 percent of all persons removed from supervision of the U.S. Probation System.

A smaller percentage of persons (7,315 or 23 percent) were removed from supervision because they violated the conditions of their supervision. Within that group are 5,083 persons (16 percent of all persons removed) who were removed for technical violations such as failing to report to the probation officer regularly or failing a periodic test for drug use. An additional 1,627 (5 percent) committed major violations, including felonies and serious misdemeanors; and 605 (2 percent) committed minor violations such as drunk driving, disorderly conduct, or petty theft.

The total number of persons removed from supervision did not keep pace with the number of persons received. As a result, 84, 184 persons were under supervision on June 30, 1991, an increase of 6 percent over 1990. The number of persons supervised after mandatory release, military parole and supervised release increased. Persons under supervision from district judge imposed probation, magistrate judge probation, parole and special parole decreased. The largest group of persons under supervision, 47 percent, are probationers serving terms imposed by district judges. Persons under terms of supervised release accounted for 13 percent of all those under supervision on June 30, 1991, up from 6 percent in 1990.

Appendix Table E-3 shows that of the 84,184 persons under supervision on June 30, 1991, defendants convicted of fraud accounted for 21 percent (17,935) and narcotics offenders another 19 percent (15,751). Other offenses include marijuana (8 percent), larceny (8 percent), and embezzlement (7 percent). Of those under supervision who have been convicted of offenses under immigration laws (2,164 or 3 percent), 28 percent (611 persons) are under supervision in Texas, Southern.

Included in these numbers of offenders under supervision are nearly 1,100 persons enrolled in the home confinement program. "Home confinement" refers to any judicially or administratively imposed condition requiring a participant to remain in his or her residence for any portion of the day. It facilitates both surveillance and treatment services. Home confinement may be monitored with the assistance of electronic monitoring equipment and can be imposed only as an alternative to incarceration. Electronic monitoring is an enforcement tool that uses electronic equipment to provide information about the location of a participant. After a 14 district pilot program over the last four years and rapid expansion to additional districts in 1991, it is expected that a national contractor will provide electronic monitoring services to all 94 judicial districts by the Spring of 1992.

Investigative Reports

Probation offices prepared 157,157 investigative reports this year (the twelve months ending March 31, 1991). This represents an increase of 4 percent from 1990. Together, presentence and collateral reports for other districts comprise 47 percent of the total. The most significant increases were in pre-release reports for federal military institutions (up 9 percent) and supervision reports (up 8 percent). There is a continuing downward trend (13 percent) in pretransfer reports and furlough and work-release reports (down 5 percent). **Table 18** contains summary data on investigative reports filed during 1990 and 1991.

Pretrial Services

Pretrial services officers (PSO's) prepare reports for judicial officers in U.S. district courts to help them decide whether to detain or release defendants prior to trial. PSO's perform this function independently in 37 districts; probation officers conduct investigations and prepare these reports in the remaining districts.

During 1991, a total of 53,041 pretrial services cases were activated, an increase of 15 percent over 1990. Cases activated involving complaints before U.S. magistrate judges also increased 15 percent to 28,143, and now make up more than half (53 percent) of all cases activated. An additional 24,034 cases involved charges brought by information or indictment, an increase of 16 percent over 1990.

Table 18 Investigative Reports by Probation Officers 1990 and 1991								
Type of Investigation	1990*	1991*	Percent Change					
	1 .000		<u>onungo</u>					
Total	151,176	157,157	4.0					
Presentence Reports	41,812	42,987	2.8					
Collateral for Another District	28,584	30,254	5.8					
Postsentence for	20,001	00,201	0.0					
Institution	1,094	1,042	-4.8					
Pretransfer (Probation and Parole)	8,762	7,597	-13.3					
Alleged Violation (Probation and Parole)	18,236	19,458	6.7					
Pre-release for a Federal	10,200	19,400	0.7					
Military Institution	10,581	11,482	8.5					
Special Regarding a Prisoner in Confinement	7,766	8,268	6.5					
Furlough and Work-Release Reports for Bureau of								
Prisons Institutions	5,231	4,949	-5.4					
Supervision Reports	26,647	28,662	7.6					
Parole Revocation	2,463	2,458	-0.2					
*Twelve-month periods ended March 31.								

During 1991, the number of reports prepared by PSO's before bail was posted rose 18 percent to 46,395 cases. Officials in pretrial services offices attribute this increase to earlier intervention by their staff, a key operational goal. Reports completed after the initial appearance hearing decreased 3 percent to 3,996 cases. PSO's prepared 706 other investigative reports during the year, an increase of 5 percent. During 1991, no reports were filed for 1,944 cases, an increase of 6 percent over 1990. Despite the increase in cases without bail reports, these cases made up only 4 percent of all pretrial cases activated, the same percentage as in 1990. Table 19 provides a summary of pretrial reports and cases activated for 1990 and 1991.

The information in pretrial services (bail) reports is based partly on interviews conducted with defendants. During 1991, PSO's interviewed 45,507 defendants, an increase of 12 percent (4,762 defendants) over 1990. Although PSO's conducted interviews in 86 percent of all cases activated (down slightly from 88 percent in 1990), defendants can refuse to be interviewed or may not be available for interview. In addition, some defendants may be missed by the system. In some cases without interviews, PSO's prepared bail reports based on other sources such as the arrest record, court documents, or other information from the arresting agent. The slight decline in the percentage of defendants interviewed resulted from increases in both refusals and cases with no interview. Defendants were not interviewed in 4.380 cases (8 percent of total cases activated), an increase of 41 percent. Much of the increase occurred in two districts: North Carolina, Eastern (NC,E) and California, Southern (CA,S). In NC,E, a local rule prohibits PSO's from conducting interviews without an attorney present, so the vast majority of defendants are not interviewed prior to the initial bail hearing. In both 1990 and 1991, interviews were not conducted in nearly 90 percent of cases activated in NC.E. The number of defendants not interviewed rose sharply in 1991 because total cases activated increased from 457 to 916 in NC,E. The increase in cases

Table 19Summary of Pretrial Reports1990 and 1991									
Type of Report	1990	1991	Percent Change						
	1000	1001	<u>onungo</u>						
Prebail	S9,490	46,395	17.5						
Postbail	4,098	3,996	-2.5						
Other	673	706	4.9						
No Report	1,840	1,944	5.7						
Pretrial Diversion									
Cases Activated	2,475	2,272	-8.2						
Total Pretrial Cases Activated	46,101	53,041	15.1						

without interviews in CA,S, up from 16 in 1990 to 602 in 1991, also reflects a dramatic rise in total cases activated, from 40 to 2,129, due to the recent addition of new pretrial services staff.

Nationally, defendants refused to be interviewed in 3,154 cases (6 percent of the total cases activated), an increase of 40 percent. Again, CA,S played a major role in this increase as refusals increased from 1 to 652, reflecting the substantial rise in cases activated. Another factor causing refusals to increase, both nationally and in CA,S, is that an increasing number of attorneys are advising clients to refuse the interview. Defense attorneys fear that if their client is convicted, information obtained in the interview may be used to calculate a stricter sentence under the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines.

Pretrial diversion is a period of supervision agreed to by the defendant in lieu of having charges pursued in federal court; charges against the defendant are usually dropped as a part of these agreements. There were 2,272 pretrial diversion cases activated in 1991, a decrease of 8 percent (203 cases) from 1990. Pretrial diversion cases by district and other statistics associated with pretrial services are provided in *Appendix Tables H-1* through *H-9*.

Substance Abuse Identification and Treatment Services

Substance abuse treatment services are provided to federal offenders on a contract basis or on a non-contract basis when the probation office can provide the service directly. As illustrated in Table 20, from October 1986 to March 1991, the number of drug dependent offenders receiving either contract or non-contract treatment increased by 77 percent, reflecting the increased emphasis on drug prosecutions. Persons receiving contract treatment services comprised 56 percent of the persons receiving drug treatment services on March 31, 1991, compared to 48 percent of drug treatment services in fiscal year 1987. Table 21 reflects the number of alcohol dependent clients treated during fiscal years 1988, when funding for alcohol treatment first became available, through March 1991. The number of alcohol dependent clients increased 16 percent over this period.

Table 20 Drug Dependent Clients 1987 through 1991									
*****		Years	Ended S	ept. 30					
Type of Service	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991*				
Contract	5,016	6,377	7,335	9,338	10,350				
Non- Contract	5,363	5,870	7,254	7,926	8,027				
Total	10,379	12,247	14,589	17,264	18,377				
*On March 31, 1991.									

Table 21 Alcohol Dependent Clients 1988 through 1991									
	Years	Ended Se	ept. 30	······					
Type of Service	1988	1989	1990	<u>1991*</u>					
Contract	754	877	1,059	1,244					
Non-Contract	2,444	2,380	2,721	2,470					
Total 3,198 3,257 3,780 3,714									
*On March 31,1991.									

REPORT OF COMPLAINTS AND ACTION TAKEN UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTION 372(c)

Any person alleging that a judge of the United States, a bankruptcy judge, or a magistrate judge has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts, or that such officer cannot discharge all the duties of the office because of physical or mental disability, may file a complaint with the clerk of the court of appeals or national court (Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c)). Such complaints are initially reviewed by the chief judge of the court, who may dismiss the complaint if it is not in compliance with the filing provisions of Section 372(c); is directly related to the merits of a judicial decision; or is frivolous. Chief judges may also conclude the proceeding if corrective action has been taken or if action is no longer necessary because of intervening events. Otherwise, they shall appoint a special committee to investigate the allegations in the complaint on behalf of the judicial council. The judicial councils (and the national courts) are granted power to take appropriate action, except that in no circumstances may they order the removal from office of a judge appointed to serve during good behavior under Article III of the Constitution.

The disposition of complaints is not judicially reviewable on appeal (as provided by Section 372(c)(10)). The complainant or the judicial officer may, however, petition the judicial council for review of any order of a chief judge dismissing a complaint. Petitions may also be made to the Judicial Conference for review of judicial council orders issued after a special committee investigation. The Conference is permitted to act on such petitions directly or to establish a standing committee to take final action on its behalf. Under this authority, the Chief Justice has appointed the Judicial Conference Committee to Review Circuit Council Conduct and Disability Orders, consisting of three judges, who act for the Conference in its review responsibility under Section 372(c)(10).

The number of complaints filed against judicial officers rose to 359 in 1991, representing a 13 percent increase over 1990. Of the 13 circuits and 2 national courts affected, 8 reported an increase in complaints filed: 6 reported a decrease in filings; and 1 remained at last year's level. The Third and Ninth Circuits. with 52 complaints each, reported the greatest number of complaints filed this year. The increase in the Third Circuit was significant, as complaints jumped from 20 in 1990 to 52 in 1991. The primary reason for this increase was the filing of multiple complaints by several pro se litigants. The large number of filings in the Ninth Circuit, which was consistent with last year's total of 49 complaints filed, occurred primarily because the Ninth Circuit has more judicial officers than any other circuit. Once again, no complaints were filed with the Court of International Trade. Table 22 summarizes judicial complaint activity from 1987 through 1991. Each individual complaint may involve multiple allegations against numerous judicial officers. The allegations most often identified were abuse of judicial power, bias and "other" conduct that was detrimental to the effective and expeditious administration of justice. The majority of allegations, however, were outside the jurisdiction of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c) because they were found to be directly related to the merits of the court's decision in the original case. Table 23 provides judicial complaint activity by circuit during 1991.

The number of complaints terminated dropped slightly in 1991 to 306, representing a decrease of 4 percent. Due to the moderate increase in filings combined with a decrease in complaints terminated, there was a significant increase in the number of pending complaints. As of June 30, 1991, there were 135 complaints pending, an increase of 65 percent over last year. The Tenth, Second, and Ninth Circuits had the largest number of pending complaints on June 30.

Table 22Judicial Complaints Filed, Terminated, and Pending1987 through 1991								
					-	Percent Change* 1991		
Rolling and Milling and Rolling and Statements of Statements	1987	1988**	1989**	1990**	1991	over 1990		
Total Complaints Filed	237	213	315	318	359	12.9		
Terminated	244	224	277	319	306	-4.1		
By Chief Judges	208	182	219	212	210	-0.9		
Dismissed	198	173	205	203	195	-3.9		
Corrective Action Taken	8	4	8	5	10			
Withdrawn	2	5	6	4	5	-		
By Judicial Councils	36	42	58	107	96	-10.3		
Dismissed	35	37	56	107	96	-10.3		
Action Taken Referred to Judicial	-	.4	2	-	-	-		
Conference	1	1	· · · ·	_	-	-		
Pending on June 30	51	40	78	82	135	64.6		
*Percent not calculated on fewer than 10 cases. **Revised.								

As in prior years, the overwhelming majority of complaints (210 or 69 percent) were concluded by the chief judges. They dismissed 195 complaints, ruling that 162 were directly related to the merits of a judicial proceeding, 18 were not in conformance with the statute, and 15 were frivolous. Appropriate action had already been taken in ten complaints, and five complaints were withdrawn. The other 96 complaints were acted upon by the judicial councils of the circuits. All complaints came to the circuit councils by way of a petition for review by the complainant or judicial officer; none were forwarded by special investigating committees. The judicial councils dismissed all 96 complaints.

					19	91										
			· ·					Circuit	S						Natio Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	сс	СІТ
Complaints Pending on July 1, 1990*	82	2	0	2	7	5	4	3	5	0	15	8	23	8	0	0
Complaints Filed	359	1	3	11	48	52	32	26	41	16	31	52	13	31	2	0
Officials Complained About** Judges																
Circuit	120	- 1	3	24	12	10	3	7	11	1	22	4	14	8	0	0
District		0	3	7	28	24	24	18	30	15	26	46	7	24	0	0
National Courts	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.	2	0
Bankruptcy Judges	32	0	0	1	- 3	6	0	. 3	0	0	2	11	5	1	0	0
Magistrate Judges	78	0	0	1	4	10	12	9	17	1	6	9	3	6	0	0
Nature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Physical Disability		· · · 0	0	0	1	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Demeanor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	24	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power		0	0	0	18	0	13	10	· 1	9	11	15	1	3	0	0
Prejudice/Bias		0	0	5	3	4	18	20	6	3	20	7	2	10	0	0
Conflict of Interest	16	0	Ö	0	3	0	1	3	o	1	3	3	0	2	Ó	0
Bribery/Corruption		0	0	0	5	0	3	1	0	3	4	11	0	1	0	0
Undue Cecisional Delay		0	0	0	4	0	3	3	1	0	7	7	0	2	0	0
Incompetence/Neglect		0	0	0	10	0	1	1	0	1	3	9	0	2	Ō	0
Other	101	3	2	2	7	41	2	4	29	3	0	2	1	5	0	0

Table 23	
Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Se	ection 372(c)
1001	

1

117

						. <u></u>		Circuits							Natior Court	
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	сс	CIT
Complaints Concluded	306	3	2	8	30	41	30	28	40	15	41	35	3	30	0	
Action By Chief Judges Complaint Dismissed Not in Conformity With Statute	18	1	0	0	- 1	1	2	2	1	2	0	7	0	1	0	
Directly Related to Decision or Procedural Ruling		1	0	4	6	34	19	21	19	12	21	15	0	10	0	
Frivolous	1	1	1	o,	ŏ	1	5	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	o	
Appropriate Action Already Taken		Ó	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	
Complaint Withdrawn	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	· · 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Subtotal	210	3	1.	4	8	37	29	27	22	15	22	31	0	11	0	
Action By Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to]	
Take Action (Magistrate Judges only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	· 0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Certified Disability		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	
Requested Voluntary Retirement Ordered Temporary Suspension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	0	
of Case Assignments		0	0	0	0	0	0	: O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Publicly Censured		0	-0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0.	0	0	0	
Ordered Other Appropriate Action		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dismissed the Complaint Referred Complaint to Judicial	96	0	1	4	22	4	1	1	18	0	19	4	3	19	0	
Conference		0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Subtotal	96	0	1	4	22	4	· 1	1	18	0	19	4	3	19	0	
omplaints Pending on June 30, 1991	135	0	1	5	25	16	6	1	6	1	5	25	33	9	2	

 Table 23

 Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c)

 1001 (Continued)

CC - U.S. Claims Court

CIT - Court of International Trade

*Revised.

**Each complaint may involve multiple allegations against numerous judicial officers.

REPORT OF FEES AND EXPENSES AWARDED UNDER THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT

The Equal Access To Justice Act

The Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA) lessens the financial burden on private parties who prevail against the U.S. government in agency adversary adjudications or non-tort civil actions by authorizing the award of attorney fees and expert witness fees. The EAJA also covers outlays for any study, analysis, engineering report, test, or project necessary in building a case. To be eligible for such an award, the party must prevail and meet certain financial requirements of the statute. Even when the private party prevails against the U.S. government and is determined to be financially eligible, attorney fees and other expenses requested can be denied if the U.S. can demonstrate that its position was "substantially justified." Applications for fees may also be denied if the court finds that the private litigant used delaying tactics or if special circumstances exist which would make an award unjust. This is the tenth report submitted by the Director in accordance with Title 28, U.S.C. Section 2412(d)(5).

Amendment To The 1990 Report

After the 1990 Report was published, information was received on 14 additional fee determinations made prior to June 30, 1990. All of the applications involved the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These cases raised the total number of equal access applications terminated during 1990 to 426, as shown in **Table 24**. The federal courts granted 384 (90 percent) of the applications and awarded a total of \$2,218,556 to the successful applicants.

Summary and Analysis of 1991 Data

During 1991, the number of decisions on equal access applications in the federal courts dropped dramatically. There were 281 dispositions in 1991, 34 percent below the 1990 figure. Approximately 94 percent (265) of the applications were decided in the U.S. district courts.Three districts, (New Jersey (68); Iowa, Northern (30); and Arkansas, Western (19)) accounted for 42 percent of all decisions. The U.S. courts of appeals terminated 13 equal access applications, while the U.S. Claims Court disposed of 3 applications. **Table 25** summarizes equal access activity during 1991.

The overall decline in equal access applications during 1991 was due to a 34 percent drop (down 137 applications) in applications against HHS. Despite this drop, applications involving HHS accounted for the vast majority (262 or 93 percent) of equal access applications. Once again, nearly all of these cases involved the determination of disability benefits under the Social Security Act. The number of disputes over disability benefits has declined from 1985 through 1990 but increased slightly this year. Even if this growth in disability benefits cases is maintained in future years, it is not likely that the number of applications for EAJA awards against HHS will increase significantly. Thirteen other agencies were involved in at least one equal access decision in 1991.

Table 24 Decisions on Equal Access to Justice Act Applications 1987 through 1991									
	Total	Appli-	Applica-						
	Applica-	cations	tions	Total					
Year	tions	Denied	Granted	Awarded					
1987	496	95	401	\$3,878,033					
1988	521	105	416	\$2,027,997					
1989	520	92	428	\$1,884,578					
1990	426	42	384	\$2,218,556					
1991	281	28	253	\$1,233,487					
% Chg.*	-34.0	-33.3	-34.1	-44.4					
*Percent change * 391 over 1990.									

	· · · · ·	Anr	1991 Applications							
Court/Agency		Denied		Applications Granted			Nature and Amount of Awards*			
	Appli- cations	Number	Total Fees and Expenses Claimed	Number	Total Fees and Expenses Claimed	Total Amount Awarded	A	В	с	D
Total	281	28	\$380,543	253	\$1,580,688	\$1,233,487	\$1,189,822	0	\$5,086	\$38,579
U.S. Courts of Appeals										
Department of Health and										
Human Services	8	4	25,073	4	13,035	12,170	11,763	•	-	407
Department of Navy Federal Energy Regulatory	1	1	24,157	-	-	-				
Commission	1	1	99,654	-	-	•	-	-		-
General Services Administration	1	. -	· -	1	17,179	2,500	2,500	-	-	· -
Immigration & Naturalization	2	1	15,513	1	25,107	12,335	12,335	-	-	· · ·
U.S. Claims Court		а. Га								
Department of the Air Force	2	-		2	131,847	80,484	72,303	-	4,091	4,090
National Aeronautics and Space Admin	1	-	-	1	285,915	115,884	89,426	-	-	26,458

 Table 25

 Decisions on Applications for Equal Access to Justice Act Awards By Type of Court and Agency

Decisions on A	pplicatio	ons for Equ	1991		ct Awards By	Type of Court	and Agency			
		(Continued Applications Denied		d) Applications Granted			Nature and Amount of Awards*			
Court/Agency	Appli- cations	Number	Total Fees and Expenses Claimed	Number	Total Fees and Expenses Claimed	Totai Amount Awarded	A	В	С	D
U.S. District Courts						-				
Department of the Army Department of Housing and	1 -	-		1	45,641	45,610	41,438	÷	-	4,172
Urban Development Department of Health and	1	-	-	1	32,204	25,592	25,592	-		
Human Services	254	17	96,037	237	981,739	894,281	889,834	-	995	3,452
Farmer's Home Administration	1	-	-	1	6,291	5,085	5,085	•	· -	
Federal Aviation Administration	1	-	· · · · • .	1	3,255	1,289	1,289	-	-	. •
Immigration and Naturalization	3	2	4,238	1	1,328	1,110	1,110	· •	-	•
Veterans Administration	1	-	-	1	12,067	12,067	12,067	-	-	•
Department of Interior	3	2	115,871	1	25,080	25,080	25,080	, -	-	

Table 25

* A = Attorney Fees.
B = Study, Analysis, Engineering Report, Test or Project.
C = Expert Witness Fees.
D = Other, including photocopying costs, telephone expenses, and transportation costs.

As in prior years, most of the actions concluded this year (224 applications or 80 percent) were original applications under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 2412 (d)(1)(A), after judgment against the U.S. government in a civil case. Another 17 percent (48 applications) were original applications under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 2412 (d)(3), after the court reviewed an agency decision. Seven applications involved an appeal of a lower court decision. The appellate court affirmed the lower court's decision in its entirety in five cases. In a sixth case, the appellate court decided that the government's position was substantially justified and reversed a lower court's decision on attorney fees. In another case, the appellate court reduced fees awarded by the lower court to include only attorney's fees related to EAJA. Two equal access applications were made after a petition for leave to appeal an administrative agency's fee determination had been filed pursuant to Title 5 U.S.C. Section 504(c)(2). In both cases, the administrative agency had denied attorney fees. The court affirmed the agency's denial of attorney fees in both cases.

In 1991, the federal courts granted 253 (90 percent) requests for attorney fees and other expenses, awarding a total of \$1,233,487. This does not reflect the amount actually received by successful applicants since many awards are appealed and may then be altered. Again, the vast majority (96 percent) of the total amount awarded was for attorney fees. The courts also awarded \$38,579 for other expenses such as transportation and photocopying costs and \$5,086 for expert witness fees.

Of the 28 applications in which fees were denied, the majority (23 applications) were denied because the court found the U.S. position "substantially justified" as reasonable in law and fact. The courts denied two applications that were filed prematurely; an additional two applications were denied due to lack of jurisdiction; and one application was denied because the applicant was not the prevailing party.

JUDICIAL APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCE

Budget Authority for Fiscal Year 1991

The Judiciary Appropriations Act (Public Law 101-515), approved on November 11, 1990, provided fiscal year 1991 appropriations for the Judiciary. Budget authority was \$1,925,893,000 (exclusive of the Supreme Court and the U.S. Sentencing Commission). In addition, \$31,172,000 in unobligated balances were carried forward into 1991 and available for obligation, and \$79,608,000 in fee revenue is estimated to be collected or carried forward from 1990 and will be available to offset obligations.

The Dire Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Consequences of Operation Desert Shield/Storm, Food Stamps, Unemployment Compensation Administration, Veterans Compensation and Pensions, and Other Urgent Needs Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-27) was signed into law on April 10, 1991, and provided the Judiciary with an additional \$79,030,000. The supplemental appropriations provided for: pay and benefit increases for judges (\$5,687,000); geographic pay differential (\$6,800,000); the Federal Judgeship and Civil Justice Reform Acts of 1990 (\$60,943,000); and increased juror fees (\$5,600,000). Total budget authority for fiscal year 1991, therefore, is \$2,004,661,000.

In addition, a projected \$8,000,000 deficiency in the Defender Services activity was offset by a transfer supplemental from the salaries and expenses activity of the Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services. **Table 26** displays the current availability and distribution of supplemental funding by account for fiscal year 1991.

Budget Estimates for Fiscal Year 1992

On June 18, 1991, the House of Representatives approved the Judiciary's 1992 appropriations bill providing a total of \$2,375,856,000 (exclusive of the Supreme Court and the U.S. Sentencing Commission). The Judiciary's request was reduced by \$139,096,000. The House Appropriations Bill represents an 18.5 percent increase over fiscal year 1991. An estimated \$57,180,000 in civil fillings, bankruptcy noticing, and registry fund fees and other reimbursements may be added for total 1992 budget authority.

The Judiciary is appealing to the Senate for restoration of \$91,351,000 of the House reduction. Included in the appeal are all House cuts with the exception of the GSA rent cap and certain reductions resulting from the refinement of the budget estimates.

The Senate Appropriations Subcommittee is expected to act on the Judiciary's 1992 appropriation request during July. **Table 27** provides additional information regarding budget estimates and amounts approved for fiscal year 1992.

Financial Losses and Irregularities

During the past year, 19 losses totaling \$14,925.05 were reported to the Administrative Office. Two losses for \$177.05 were reported by the appeals courts; 9 losses for \$10,726.50 were reported by the district courts; and 8 losses for \$4,021.50 were reported by the bankruptcy courts. Two losses remain open pending investigation of the circumstances surrounding the losses. Five of the losses resulted from deposits being lost in transit or lost at the Federal Reserve Bank. In each case, the court was able to recover the full amount of the deposit. There

	Table 26 propriation Acc ne Supreme Cou nts in Thousanc	urt), Fiscal Ye		
	Budget		emental priations	
Appropriation Accounts	Authority 1991	Transfer Authority	New Funding	Total Budget Authority
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circu		_		
Salaries and Expenses	\$ 9,711	\$ 0	\$ 51	\$ 9,762
Court of International Trade				
Salaries and Expenses	8,802	0	36	8,838
Courts of Appeals, District Courts, an Other Judicial Services	d			
Salaries and Expenses	1,594,043	(8,262)	68,730	1,654,511
Defender Services				
(Criminal Justice Act)	132,761	8,000	. 0	140,761
Fees of Jurors and Commissioners	52,997	0	5,600	58,597
Court Security	71,261	0	530	71,791
Total for Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other				
Judicial Services	1,869,575	(262)	<u>74,947</u>	1,944,260
Administrative Office, U.S. Courts				
Salaries and Expenses	37,400	0	2,450	39,850
Federal Judicial Center		•		
Salaries and Expenses	13,918	0	1,633	15,551
Judicial Officers' Retirement Fund	5,000	0	0	5,000
GRAND TOTAL	\$1,925,89 <u>3</u>	<u>\$ (262)</u>	\$ 79,030	\$2,004,661

Totals exclude the following amounts in unobligated funds carried forward from 1990:

1) \$2,650 thousand in salaries and expenses for the courts of appeals, district courts, and other judicial services, 2) \$21,500 thousand in Defender Services and 3) \$7,022 thousand in fees of jurors and commissioners.

Totals also exclude the following amounts in fee revenues: 1) \$75,630 thousand in salaries and expenses for the courts of appeals, district courts and other judicial services and 2) \$3,978 thousand in salaries and expenses for the Administrative Office of the U. S. Courts.

were 10 cases reported involving loss of cash for a total of \$5,144.55. In eight cases, a court employee who was accountable for the funds was held liable. In one case, the clerk of court was held liable due to deficiencies in the internal control procedures. In another case, relief from liability was granted because there was a lack of evidence as to negligence of any court employee and the court's internal controls were adequate.

Registry Funds

Statement of Accounts

On June 30, 1991, there was a total of \$1,458,530,311.84 in the registry accounts of the U.S. courts. There was \$1,392,561,513.21 in the custody of the district courts (see *Appendix Table R-1*), and \$65,968,798.63 in the custody of the bankruptcy courts (see *Appendix*

Comparative Statement Budget Estimates ar (Amou	nd Amounts A	thority for Fisc		
Appropriation Accounts	Budget Authority 1991	1992 Budget Estimates	House Allowance	Appeal to Senate
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circo Salaries and Expenses		\$ 11,054	\$ 10,775	\$ 0
Court of International Trade Salaries and Expenses	8,838	10,495	9,432	0
Courts of Appeals, District Courts, ar Other Judicial Services		10,400	0,102	
Salaries and Expenses Defender Services	1,654,511	2,057,195	1,947,471	61,652
(Criminal Justice Act)	140,761	201,004	185,372	15,105
Fees of Jurors and Commissioners	58,597	70,000	70,000	0
Court Security	71,791	85,060	82,830	1,936
Total for Courts of Appeals, District Courts,and Other				
Judicial Services	1,944,260	2,434,808	2,305,880	78,693
Administrative Office, U.S. Courts				
Salaries and Expenses	39,850	51,600	44,681	5,679
Federal Judicial Center				
Salaries and Expenses	15,551	27,940	18,795	6,979
Judicial Officers' Retirement Fund	5,000	6,500	6,500	0
GRAND TOTAL	\$2,004,661	\$2,520,848	\$2,375,856	\$ 91,351

An estimated \$54,767 thousand and \$2,413 thousand may be added to calculate the total 1992 budget for salaries and expenses accounts for the courts of appeals, district courts, other judicial services and the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.

Table R-2). This represents a 36 percent increase over the previous year's total of \$927,052,931.09.

Registry Fees

Registry fees collected during the sixmonth period ended March 31, 1991, totaled \$1,692,816.55. This represents a 43 percent decrease over what was collected during the same six-month period ended March 31, 1990. The decrease in collections is due, in part, to the lower interest rates and yields on investments. Average yields have declined approximately 2 percent over the last year. Also, a majority of the courts continue to collect the fee at the time of settlement of the case rather than when the fee is earned which delays the collection of the fee.

The method of computing the fee was recently revised from a fee equal to the first 45 days income earned to a flat fee equal to 10 percent of the income earned over the life of the investment. Further revision of the fee may be necessary to minimize the impact of the fee on cases involving \$100 million or on cases that will remain in the courts for several years.

PERSONNEL OF THE JUDICIARY

The total number of individuals employed by the Federal Judiciary (excluding Supreme Court personnel) increased from 22,490 on June 30, 1990 to 24,641 on June 30, 1991, up 10 percent. The greatest increases in personnel occurred within the probation and the bankruptcy clerks offices. The number of employees on probation staffs increased by 18 percent while the number of employees in clerks offices of the U.S. bankruptcy courts increased 17 percent. The personnel of the U.S. Sentencing Commission (see Title 28 U.S.C. Section 991) are shown for the first time and thus contributed to the increase in total personnel. Table 28 provides a detailed summary of the allocation of personnel within the Federal Judiciary.

Status of Article III Judgeships

On June 30, 1991, there were 24 vacancies among the 179 judgeships authorized for the U.S. courts of appeals. However, 11 of these vacancies were the result of new positions created on December 1, 1990, by the Federal Judgeship Act of 1990. of the other 13 vacancies have existed for more than 18 months, 1 each in the Sixth, Eleventh, and Federal Circuits. The vacancy in the Sixth Circuit is the longest at two and one-half years.

In the U.S. district courts, there were 112 vacancies on June 30, 1991, among the 649 positions authorized. New positions created by the Federal Judgeship Act of 1990 accounted for 68 of these vacancies. Ten of the other 44 vacancies have existed for more than 18 months. The two longest vacancies are in the Virgin Islands (vacant since December 1986) and Guam (vacant since January 1988). In addition to the active judges, there were 66 senior appeals judges and 204 senior district judges providing service to the Judiciary on June 30, 1991. Table 29 provides the status of judgeship positions for 1987 through 1991. Supplemental Tables S-12 and S-13 show additional judgeships authorized from 1961 through 1990 for the courts of appeals and the district courts.

Status of Bankruptcy Judge Appointments

The number of bankruptcy judgeship positions authorized during 1991 was 291. As of June 30, 1991, there were four vacant bankruptcy judgeship positions. The vacancies

Table 29Status of Judgeship Positionson June 30, 1987 through 1991										
nior es***										
57										
78 1										
90										
)1										
)4										
730										

Table 28 Personnel in the Federal Judiciary								
on June 30, 1990 and 1991								
Personnel	1990**	1991						
TOTAL PERSONNEL*	22,490	24,641						
Judicial Officers:		·						
Circuit	154	154						
District	535	531						
Bankruptcy	303	298						
Magistrate Judges	476	476						
National	24	23						
Territorial	1	1						
Retired - Resigned	323	347						
Total	1,816	1,830						
Circuit Executives	12	12						
Staff to Circuit Executives	94	107						
District Court Executives	5	4						
Staff to District Court								
Executives	7	6						
Secretaries to Active Judges	919	902						
Secretaries to Retired Judges	269	279						
Court Secretaries and Other								
Court Staff	80	90						
Law Clerks to Active Judges	1,803	1,594						
Law Clerks to Retired Judges	200	453						
Senior Staff Attorneys	12	12						
Supervisory Staff Attorneys	25	22						
Staff Attorneys	147	162						
Total Personnel for	4.070	E 004						
Clerks' Offices	4,873	5,081						
Total	8,446	8,724						
Members of Probation Staffs:	0.004	0.000						
Probation Officers	2,361	2,802						
Probation Officer Assistants	35	44						
Pretrial Services Officers	277	329						
Probation Clerks	1,498	1,752						
Pretrial Services Clerks	160	205						
Total	4,331	5,132						
U.S. Sentencing Commission	91	115						

Personnel in the Federal Judiclary on June 30, 1990 and 1991								
Personnel	1990	1991						
Marine at Desilements, Otoffer								
Members of Bankruptcy Staffs:								
Secretaries to	200	202						
Bankruptcy Judges	298	302						
Law Clerks to Bankruptcy Judges	327	335						
Total Personnel for	561	000						
Clerks' Offices	3,849	4,504						
Bankruptcy Administrators & Staff	3,849	4,504						
Total	33 4,507	42 5,183						
	<u>4,507</u> 310	<u>5,183</u> 318						
Secretaries to Magistrate Judges		• • •						
Law Clerks to Magistrate Judges Clerical Assistants	291	313						
to Magistrate Judges	12	11						
Federal Public Defenders	16	1.1						
& Assistants	445	515						
Staff to Federal		010						
Public Defenders	227	282						
Court Criers	28	202						
Court Reporters	583	587						
Supporting Personnel of the		,						
National Courts	106	141						
Librarians and Staff	238	264						
Nurses	200	204						
Court Interpreters	42	48						
Staff of the Administrative Office	683	818						
Staff of the Federal		010						
Judicial Center	122	123						
Staff of the Judicial Panel on		•=-						
Multidistrict Litigation	10	11						
Land Commissioners	183	189						
Jury Commissioners	14	13						
Jury Commissioners Staff	3	3						
Total	3,299	3,657						
10(a)	0,200	0,00.						
*Excludes Supreme Court personnel	i							
**Revised.	•							

were in Indiana, Southern; Massachusetts; New York, Southern; and Washington, Western.

The Judicial Conference has recommended to the Congress the creation of 14 additional bankruptcy judgeships. To date, the Congress has not created and funded these judgeships. In addition, the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference, at its July 10, 1991 meeting, voted to support legislation to provide 18 additional bankruptcy judgeships. The total number of additional bankruptcy judgeships recommended is now 32.

Since June 30, 1990, five new bankruptcy judges have been appointed to fill vacancies in Alaska; Maine; Florida, Southern; New Jersey; and Alabama, Northern.

Report on the Professional Background and Qualifications of Magistrate Judges

The Magistrate Judges Division continued to administer the magistrate judge merit selection and appointment process to ensure compliance with the procedures mandated by the Federal Magistrates Act of 1979 and the regulations promulgated by the Judicial Conference. During the year, 48 full-time magistrate judges were appointed, including 19 reappointments. Of the 29 new appointments, 15 were for newly created positions. During the same period, 38 individuals were appointed to part-time magistrate judge positions, including 28 reappointments. Of the 10 new appointments, 1 was a newly created position.

Among the new appointments made during the year, the average age of the full-time




Table 30 U.S. Magistrate Judge Positions Authorized by the Judicial Conference											
	1973 through 1991										
<u> </u>	ear	Total	Full-time	Part-time	Com- bina- tion						
1973	Spring	567	103	447	17						
	Fall	542	112	414	16						
1974	Spring	541	112	411	18						
	Fall	482	130	336	16						
1975	Spring	487	133	337	17						
	Fall	482	143	322	17						
1976	Spring	482	150	316	16						
	Fall	483	159	306	18						
1977	Spring	487	164	305	18						
<i>i</i>	Fall	484	166	300	18						
1978	Spring	487	176	290	21						
	Fall	486	187	278	21						
1979	Spring	488	196	271	21						
	Fall	485	201	264	20						
1980	Spring	488	204	263	21						
	Fall	495	210	263	22						
1981	Spring	490	217	253	20						
	Fall	489	219	250	20						
1982	Spring	483	223	241	19						
	Fall	482	228	238	16						
1983	Spring	476	238	225	13						
	Fall	478	248	219	11						
1984	Spring	457	253	191	13						
40.5-5	Fall	462	262	188	12						
1985	Spring	467	272	183	12						
	Fall	468	277	180	11						
1986	Spring	467	280	177	10						
	Fall	465	284	171	10						
1987	Spring	467	292	165	10						
	Fall	466	292	165	9						
1988	Spring	470	294	167	9						
	Fall	472	300	163	9						
1989	Spring	477	307	161	9						
	Fall	481	313	159	9						
1990	Spring	484	323	153	8						
	Fall	484	329	147	8						
1991	Spring	476	345	124	6						

JUDICIAL BUSINESS OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

2

appointees was 45 and that of the part-time magistrate judges was 46. New full-time magistrate judges had been members of the bar for an average of 20 years; part-time magistrate judges averaged 18 years of bar membership. Of the new full-time magistrate judges, 11 had been in private practice, 3 had prior experience as part-time magistrate judges, 4 had served as state court judges, 3 had served as assistant U.S. attorneys, 2 had served as assistant federal public defenders, 1 had served as a municipal court commissioner, and 1 had served as general counsel of a corporation.

Twenty-two new full-time positions were authorized during the year, increasing the number of full-time positions from 323 to 345. The number of part-time positions decreased from 153 to 124. The number of combination clerk/magistrate judge positions decreased to six. Three magistrate judges served as recalled magistrate judges pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Section 636(h). **Table 30** provides a summary of the number of magistrate judge positions authorized by the Judicial Conference since 1973.

Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (JSAS)

During the year ended June 30, 1991, a total of 1,887 judicial officers were enrolled in the Judicial Survivors' Annuities System (JSAS). This is a 3 percent increase from the 1,825 reported in 1990. In contrast, the percentage of judicial officials participating in JSAS has decreased since 1989 (see **Table 31**). The percent of participants declined from 58 percent in 1989 to 38 percent in 1991. The number of survivor annuitants increased moderately by 8 percent from 192 in 1987 to 208 in 1991.

The JSAS investment holdings, par amount and interest rates as of June 30, 1991 are listed in **Table 32**. The JSAS receipts, disbursements and balances from 1987 through 1991 are displayed in **Table 33**.

Table 31Judicial Survivors' Annuities System1987 through 1991									
		vivor							
	[dicial Offici	ais	Annu	itants				
	On the	Par-	Percent-		Average				
Year	Rolls	ticipating	age	Number*	Annuity				
1987	1,036	586	57	192	16,112				
1988	1,064	570	53	194	17,812				
1989	1,218	712	58	194	18,324				
1990	1,825	724	40	208	20,773				
1991	1,887	709	38	208	25,768				
*Spouses	Spouses only. Children receiving an annuity:								

3 (1987); 3 (1988); 3 (1989); 3 (1990); and 2 (1991).

Table 32 Judicial Survivors' Annuities Fund Investment Holdings as of June 30, 1991							
Type of Investment	Date of Maturi	ty Interest Rate	Par Amount				
Treasury Bonds	02/15/95	3 percent	\$ 51,000				
Treasury Bonds	11/15/98	3 1/2 percent	113,500				
Treasury Bonds	08/15/89-94	4 1/8 percent	167,000				
Treasury Bonds	08/15/87-92	4 1/4 percent	722,000				
Treasury Bonds	05/15/93-98	7 percent	195,000				
MK Bonds	02/15/02-07	7 5/8 percent	10,990,000				
MK Bonds	05/15/02-05	8 1/4 percent	1,144,000				
MK Bonds	08/15/95-00	8 3/8 percent	46,552,000				
Treasury Bonds	05/15/94-99	8 1/2 percent	4,309,000				
MK Bonds	02/15/06	9 3/8 percent	13,023,000				
MK Bonds	11/15/04-12	10 3/8 percent	5,449,000				
MK Bonds	11/15/07-12	10 3/8 percent	2,504,000				
MK Bonds	11/15/04-09	10 3/8 percent	2,082,000				
MK Bonds	02/15/03	10 3/4 percent	2,125,000				
MK Bonds	08/15/03	11 1/8 percent	3,542,000				
MK Bonds	11/15/04	11 5/8 percent	3,874,000				
MK Bonds	02/15/01	11 3/4 percent	2,676,000				
MK Bonds	02/15/05-10	11 3/4 percent	866,000				
MK Bonds	11/15/03	11 7/8 percent	4,383,000				
MK Bonds	05/15/05	12 percent	17,112,000				
MK Bonds	05/15/04	12 3/8 percent	3,229,000				
MK Bonds	11/15/05-10	12 3/4 percent	7,879,000				
MK Bonds	05/15/01	13 1/8 percent	1,480,000				
MK Bonds	05/15/09-14	13 1/8 percent	2,579,000				
MK Bonds	08/15/01	13 3/8 percent	3,134,000				
MK Bonds	08/15/04	13 3/4 percent	3,645,000				
MK Bonds	11/15/06-11	14 percent	2,084,000				
MK Bonds	02/15/02	14 1/4 percent	3,342,000				
MK Note	02/15/92	14 5/8 percent	2,766,000				
MK Bonds	11/15/01	15 3/4 percent	8,372,000				
TOTAL		· · · ·	\$274,476,000				

Table 33 Judicial Survivors' Annuities System Receipts, Disbursements, and Balances 1987 through 1991										
(In Thousands of Dollars)										
Judicial Survivors' Annuities Fund	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991					
Polonce on July 1			•							
Balance on July 1 Investments (face amount)	\$109,261	\$116,046	\$124,795	\$137,475	\$146,875					
Undisbursed Balance	304	φ110,040 154	φ124,793 244	320	452					
Balance on July 1	109,565		125,039	137,795	147,327					
Dessints			· · ·							
Receipts Direct Appropriation (P.L. 95-26)				• • •	2,500					
Salary Deductions	2,522	2,617	2,669	2,905	4,010					
Agency Contributions	1,420	2,017	2,009	2,900	4,010					
Service Credit Payments	220	22	186	198	40					
Interest on Investments	11,474		13,297	14,892	16,040					
Discounts on Investments (net)	-1,824	-2,564	770	-3,401	-2,173					
Total Receipts	13,812	12,627	16,922	14,594	20,417					
Disbursements										
Payments to Annuitants	2,983	3,283	3,919	4,440	5,751					
Death Claims	4	9	1	13	65					
Refunds	4,120	277	158	401	431					
Accrued Interest Purchases	70	219	88	208	209					
Total Disbursements	7,177	3,788	4,166	5,062	6,456					
Balance on June 30					•					
Investments (face amount)	116,046	124,795	137,475	146,875	160,390					
Undisbursed Balance	154	244	320	452	898					
Balance on June 30	\$116,200	\$125,039	\$137,795	\$147,327	\$161,288					

* A direct appropriation of \$2,500,000 for fiscal year 1990 is not included because the funds had not been correctly recorded in our accounts by Treasury at the time of preparation.

Supplemental Tables Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

Table S-1

U.S. Courts of Appeals Appeals Terminated on the Merits After Oral Hearing or Submission on Brief During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

		After Oral				After Submission			
		·····	Hearin	g			On Briefs	3	
			Percent				Percent		
			Of	En			of	En	
Circuit	Total	Total	Total	Banc	Panel	Total	Total	Banc	Panel
Total	22,707	10,033	44.2	75	9,958	12,674	55.8	14	12,660
D.C	695	351	50.5	6	345	344	49.5	1	343
First	721	485	67.3	5	480	236	32.7	-	236
Second	1,566	1,149	73.4	•	1,149	417	26.6	-	417
Third	1,526	386	25.3	2	384	1,140	74.7	-	1,140
Fourth	2,141	759	35.5	6	753	1,382	64.5	3	1,379
Fifth	2,681	725	27.0	5	720	1,956	73.0	3	1,953
Sixth	2,475	1,244	50.3	6	1,238	1,231	49.0	-	1,231
Seventh	1,441	764	53.0	13	751	677	47.0	2	675
Eighth	1,884	862	45.8	12	850	1,022	54.2	3	1,019
Ninth	3,608	1,704	47.2	7	1,697	1,904	52.8	1	1,903
Tenth	1,629	544	33.4	9	535	1,085	66.6	1	1,084
Eleventh	2,340	1,060	45.3	4	1,056	1,280	54.7	-	1,280

Table S-2

U.S. Courts of Appeals Total Case Participations in Cases Terminated on the Merits After Oral Hearing or Submission During The Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

		Case Participations By							
		Resident Active Circuit Judges		Resident Senior Circuit Judges		Visiting			
Circuit	Total	Num- ber	Per- cent*	Num- ber	Per- cent*	Jude Num- ber	Per- cent*	Percent By Senior and Visiting Judges	
Total	68,954	56,324	81.7	7,893	11.4	4,737	6.9	18.3	
D.C	2,148	2,122	98.8	18	0.8	8	0.4	1.2	
First	2,183	1,675	76.7	301	13.8	207	9.5	23.3	
Second	4,698	3,437	73.2	837	17.8	424	9.0	26.8	
Third	4,593	3,562	77.6	720	15.7	311	6.8	22,4	
Fourth	6,495	5,335	82.1	606	9.3	554	8,5	17.9	
Fifth	8,133	7,358	90.5	727	8.9	48	0.6	9.5	
Sixth	7,490	5,372	71.7	1,125	15.0	993	13.3	28.3	
Seventh	4,437	4,022	90.6	291	6.6	124	2.8	9.4	
Eighth	5,744	4,208	73.3	1,037	18.1	499	8,7	26.7	
Ninth	11,024	9,283	84.2	1,150	10.4	591	5.4	15.8	
Tenth	4,957	4,138	83.5	418	8.4	401	8.1	16.5	
Eleventh	7,052	5,812	82.4	663	9.4	577	8.2	17.6	

*Not all percents may add up to 100.0 due to rounding.

Tabie S-3 U.S. Courts of Appeals Type of Opinion or Order Filed in Cases Terminated on the Merits After Oral Hearing or Submission On Briefs During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

	···· .			Type of Opinio	on or Order			 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					Written, Reasoned		Written		
			Written	n, Signed*	Uns	signed*	Withou	t Comment	Percent
Circuit	Total	Oral	Published	Unpublished	Published	Published Unpublished		Unpublished	Unpublished
Total	22,707	82	6,223	2,892	719	10,464	4	2,323	69.4
D.C	695	-	241	. –	27	427	· -	· · · ·	61.4
First	721		443	23	33	212	-	10	33.9
Second	1,566	5	518	974	62	7	· •	•	62.9
Third	1,526	er.	262	346	-	-	•	918	82.8
Fourth	2,141	-	303	130	22	1,686	-	1	84.8
Fifth	2,681	_	629	355	131	1,502	1	63	71.6
Sixth	2,475	77	496	117	33	1,752	-	-	78.6
Seventh	1,441	. =	692	-	28	698	-	23	50.0
Eighth	1,884	-	732	1	118	599	- · · ·	434	54.8
Ninth	3,608	-	820	15	63	2,532	3	175	75.4
Tenth	1,629	-	507	896	52	171	-	3	65.6
Eleventh	2,340	-	580	35	150	878	-	697	68.8

* Includes only opinions and orders which expound the law as applied to the fact of the case and detail the judicial reasons upon which the judgment is based.

Table S-4 Civil Cases Filed by Origin 1981 through 1991

			Re- movals From	Remands From Courts			Appeals From
	Total		State	of	Re-	Trans-	Magistrate
Year	Filings	Originai	Courts	Appeals	opens	fers (1)	Judgments
						······································	
1981	180,576	159,172	11,475	936	5,474	3,485	34
1982	206,193	183,098	13,230	1,192	5,698	2,921	54
1983	241,842	215,212	15,702	1,181	6,315	3,363	69
1984	261,485	232,727	16,037	1,303	7,872	3,433	113
1985	273,670	242,884	17,439	1,110	8,417	3,728	92
986	254,828	221,830	19,680	1,164	8,629	3,409	116
987	239,185	202,785	21,070	1,191	10,257	3,773	109
988	239,634	201,328	20,591	1,251	12,190	4,126	148
989	233,529	193,237	25,617	1,225	9,554	3,765	131
1990	217,879	177,545	25,924	1,149	9,108	4,065	88
1991	207,742	170,342	24,803	1,069	6,995	4,041	116
Percent							
Change							
1991/1990	-4.7	-4.1	-4.3	-7.0	-23.2	-0.6	31.8

(1) Also includes transfers under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Table S-5

U.S. DISTRICT COURTS RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT CASES FILED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

CIRCUIT					
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	MEDICARE	STUDENT LOANS	VETERANS' BENEFITS	OTHER
7					
TOTAL	7,933	117	3,703	3,672	441
DC	142	13	.110	6	13
1ST	263	5	88	157	13
ME	32 174	1 4	15 33	16 129	- 8
MA NH	13	-	7	6	-
RI PR	21 23	-	12 21	6 -	3 2
2ND	366	5	219	103	39
СТ	74		38	27 16	9
NY,N NY,E	30 155	3	12 112	29	11
NY,S NY,W	57 48	2	40 16	1 29	14
VT			1	1	-
3RD	287	8	215	40	24
DE	9	-	7	2	-
NJ PA,E	60 113	- 5	47 102	3	10 6
PA,M	13 90	- 3	10 48	1 34	2 5
PA,W VI	2	-	40	-	1
4TH	604	2	288	238	76
MD	160	1	79	38	42
NC,E NC,M	86 64	-	28 16	58 48	-
NC,W SC	81 123	-	38 76	42 30	1
VA,E	41		34	1	6
VA,W WV,N	29 10	- 1	6 5	16 3	7 1
WV,S	10	-	6	2	2
5TH	1,109	8	570	487	44
LA,E	56 23	1	27 2	24 19	4
LA,M LA,W	51	-	13	33	5
MS,N MS,S	32 63	- 2	21 44	10 13	1 4
TX,N	312		198	92	22
TX,E TX,S	46 394	- 3	17 218	26 170	3
TX,W	132	-	30	100	2
6TH	851	39	505	221	86
KY,E	25	2	20	-	3
ΚΥ,₩ MI,Ē	16 405	1 22	13 306	1 39	1 38
MI,W	29	1	25	1	2
ОН,N ОН,S	176 123	2 4	71 53	75 65	28 1
TN,E TN,M	22 32	4	- 15	15 10	3 6
TN,W	23	2	2	15	4
		L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

139

Table S-5

U.S. DISTRICT COURTS RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT CASES FILED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		<u></u>					
	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	MEDICARE	STUDENT LOANS	VETERANS' BENEFITS	OTHER	
~	7тн	554	5	259	236	54	
	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	264 51 58 61 83 23 14	2 - - 2 1 -	150 18 15 21 29 19 7	100 30 31 38 32 2 3	12 3 12 2 20 1 4	
	8TH	345	4	185	129	27	
	AR,E AR,W IA,N MN MO,E MO,W NE SD	43 12 22 12 79 47 44 56 20 10	- - 2 1 1 - -	24 7 21 10 22 20 23 39 16 3	6 2 - 50 24 20 17 3 7	13 3 1 2 5 2 - - 1 -	
	9TH	2,213	8	492	1,681	32	
	AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,S HI MT NV OR WA,E WA,W GUAM NMI	- 154 297 62 1,362 40 7 10 25 36 27 25 168 - -	- - 1 4 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- 71 86 3 1,310 8 5 6 10 16 19 22 125 - -	- 1 2 1 11 3 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 - 7 -	
	10TH	296	3	127	157	9	
	CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,E UT WY	89 68 41 15 20 41 18 4	1	44 24 12 16 25 3 1	40 44 35 3 4 14 14 3	4 - 2 - - 2 1 -	
	11TH	903	17	645	217	24	. *
	AL,N AL,S FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,M GA,S	103 31 26 74 149 306 139 38 37	2 1 - 6 7 1 -	71 10 19 56 89 243 112 18 27	27 19 5 18 49 48 23 20 8	3 1 2 - 5 8 3 - 2	
_							

140

	SOCI DURING THE T			SES COMME RIOD ENDE		1991
CIRCUIT			- 11 - 1	DIWC		
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HIA	BL	& DIWW	SSID	RSI
TOTAL	7,692	125	20	5,365	1,908	274
DC	43	3	1	3	35	1
1ST	282	3	-	217	55	7
ME	15	1	-	9	4	1
MA	67 20	1 ÷	-	22 13	41 5	3
RI	10	1	-	5	4	-
PR 2ND	170	- 25	-	168	1	1
	506	25	1	355	99	26
CT NY.N	66 58	11	-	37 32	16 19	2
NY,E	188	4		168	13	3
NY,S NY,W	108	1	- 1	82	17 27	7
VT	20	5		6	7	2
3RD	508	8	-	368	116	16
DE NJ	23 136	- 2	-	19 100	3 30	1
PA.E	122	3	_	76	40	4 3
PA,M PA,W	41 186	- 3	-	28	10	3
VI			_	145	33	5
4TH	706	31	7	494	153	21
MD	86	1	1	54	22	8
NC,E NC,M	10	-	<u> </u>	8 4		1 2
NC,W	9	-	_	6	3	- Z
SC VA.E	147	-	-	123	22	2
VA, E	21 214	- 4	1	18	2 46	2
WV,N	22	1	-	15	6	-
WV,S 5TH	191 667	25 7	5	104 376	51 232	6
	·····				<u></u>	52
LA,E LA,M	102		_	55 12	42	5 6
LA, W	180	.3		69	93	15
MS,N MS,S	29 54		-	25 43	2	2
ΤΧ.Ν	77	3	-	47	25	2
TX,E TX,S	68 72	1	_	45 45	17	11
TX,W	52	_ •	-	35	13	4
6TH	1,829	3	6	1,475	315	30
KY,E	410	-	3	307	100	-
KY,W MI,E	67 449	-	- 1	48	17	2
MI,W	130	1	-	116	12	1
ОН, N ОН, S	398 171	1 -	2	312 145	74 21	. 9
TN,E TN,M	116	1	-	84	25	6
TN,W	66 22		-	56 14	10	3
					·	-

Table S-6U.S. DISTRICT COURTSSOCIAL SECURITY CASES COMMENCEDJRING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 199

HIA ALL CLAIMS FOR HEALTH INSURANCE BENEFITS (MEDICARE) UNDER TITLE XVIII, PART A, OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, AS AMENDED. ALSO INCLUDES CLAIMS BY HOSPITALS, SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES, ETC. FOR CERTIFICATION AS PROVIDERS OF SERVICES UNDER THE PROGRAM. (42 USC 1395FF. (B)).

BL ALL CLAIMS FOR 'BLACK LUNG' BENEFITS UNDER TITLE IV, PART B, OF THE FEDERAL COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT OF 1969 (30 USC 923).

DIWC ALL CLAIMS FILED BY INSURED WORKERS FOR DISABILITY INSURANCE BENEFITS UNDER TITLE II OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, AS AMENDED; PLUS ALL CLAIMS FILED FOR CHILD'S INSURANCE BENEFITS BASED ON DISABILITY (42 USC 405 (G)).

Table S-6U.S. DISTRICT COURTSSOCIAL SECURITY CASES COMMENCEDDURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		DURING THE	WELVE N	MONTH PE	RIOD ENDED	JUNE 30,	1991
CIRCUIT	· .	1	1	1	DIWC		
AND					&		
DISTRICT		TOTAL	HIA	BL	DIWW	SSID	RSI
		+				<u> </u>	
7TH		350	8	2	216	108	16
		t					······
IL.N		108	1	1	53	48	5
IL,C IL,S		28	-		19	7 18	2 4
IN,N		49	3	_	36	8	2
IN.S		88	4	1	71	10	2
WI,E		33	-	-	19	14	-
WI,W		14	-	-	10	3	1
8TH		748	16	· _	528	169	35
			+			·	<u> </u>
AR, E		190	1		158	29	2
ÁR, W		69 32	13	·	33 10	21 22	2
IA.N IA.S		73	-	_	.45	22	6
MN		63	1	-	39	18	5
MO,E		117	1	, . .	78	33	5
MO,W		167		· -	146	18	3
NE ND		12		-	5	3 1	4 3
SD		14		. –	, 7	2	5
9ТН		789	6	-	451	302	30
AK		6	1° _		3	1	2
AZ		24	-	-	20	3	1
CA.N		76	-	-	34	37	5
CA, E		163	-	-	71	87	5
CA.C CA.S		163	2	-	107.	50	4
HI		4	1	_	1	3	
ID		22	1	-	11	10	-
MT		51	-		29	20	2
NV OR		5 93	1	-	3 66	2 23	3
WA,E		45			25	17	3
WA.W		71	1	-	49	16	5
GUAM		· -	-		-		-
NMI		-	1	-	-	· · -	· · -
10TH		302	1	1	225	59	17
and the second second		<u> </u>					
CO		35	1 2	-	24	9	2
KS NM		58	=	-1	44	11	2
OK,N		51	-		43	7	ī
OK,E		47	-	-	28	9	10
OK,W		64	-	-	50	14	
UT WY		14	1 -		11	2	1
					ک		
11TH		962	15	2	657	265	23
			1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
AL., N		341	-	1	266	72	2
AL,M AL,S		80 104	2	-	34 49	44 47	2 6
FL,N		49	1		49	47	2
FL.M		112	- 1	-	82	26	4
FL, S		45	4	-	21	19	1
GA .N		102	4	. 1 -	71	23	3
GA,M GA,S		86 43	3	-	72 20	12 18	2
		<u> </u>					

DIWW ALL CLAIMS FILED FOR WIDOWS OR WIDOWERS INSURANCE BENEFITS BASED ON DISABILITY UNDER TITLE II OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, AS AMENDED. (42 USC 405 (G)).

SSID ALL CLAIMS FOR SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME PAYMENTS BASED UPON DISABILITY FILED UNDER TITLE XVI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, AS AMENDED.

RSI ALL CLAIMS FOR RETIREMENT (OLD AGE) AND SURVIVORS BENEFITS UNDER TITLE II OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, AS AMENDED. (42 USC 405 (G)).

OTHER OTHER SOCIAL SECURITY-ALL CLAIMS NOT COVERED BY ONE OF THE OTHER CATEGORIES PROCEEDING.

ł.

CTOCUTT	11115 20			TODIC	05000044		PE	RSONAL INJ	URY	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	JUNE 30, 1990 Total	JUNE 30, 1991 Total	CONTRACT ACTIONS	TORTS TO Land	PERSONAL Property Damage	AIRLINE	MARINE	MOTOR Vehicle	ASBESTOS	OTHER
TOTAL	19,428	13,188	355	52	368	132	69	547	7,150	4,515
DC	88	52	7	2	5	-	-	8	11	19
1ST	1,021	484	19	1	19	10	5	19	214	197
ME	51	65	1	·····	6		-	2	38	18
MA	844	315	8	-	7	7	3	10	149	131
NH RI	26	49 29	4 3	1	2	2 1	1	5 1	16	21 10
PR	23	26	3	-	4	. -	1	1	-	17
2ND	1,482	1,129	33	. 1	35	11	10	40	591	408
ст	312	268	5	-	4	1	3	5	175	75
NY, N	134	101	3	-	1	1	2	8	43	43
NY, E	226 594	283	5 17	1	15	2	4	10	154	93
NY,S NY,H	206	377 83	2	<u>_</u>	10 3	7	1	13	169 50	159 26
¥T	10	17	i	- 1	2	-	-	2	-	12
3RD	3,437	1,962	28	3	35	5	11	53	1,300	527
DE	11	9	-	-	-	-	-	3	1	5
NJ	363	228	6	2	7	2	2	5	89	115
PA, E	2,513	1,527	10	1	20	1	8	31	1,172	284
РА,Н РА,Н	319 220	91 93	5	-	1 7	- 2	-	8	17	60
VI	11	14	3	-	<u>/</u> _	4	- 1	6	21	52 11
4TH			46	3	25		3			
	2,475	1,287				4		32	537	637
MD NC,E	265	153	5	1	6 1	2	3	9	50 51	77 9
NC, M	28	28	2	_	1	-	-	- 1	15	10
NC, H	38	48	7	-	3	1	-	<u> </u>	27	10
SC	218	549	16	1	õ	ī	_	6		440
VA, E	1,410	234	7	-	3	-	-	6	184	34
VA, H	213	115	3	1	2	-	-	5	75	29
HV,N	15	29	2	-	2	- '	-	2	11	12
HV,S	234	67	-		2			2	45	16
5TH	2,179	2,362	36	18	72	12	18	86	1,562	558
LA,E	264	687	3	2	15	1	8	17	563	78
LA,H LA,H	48 128	64 104	1 6	1	9 7	-	- 2	5	11	37
MS, N	47	53	3	1	6	2	2	9	14	64 36
MS, S	189	153	2	2	6	1	2	15	65	60
ΤΧ,Ν	173	250	8	2	9	ī		4	160	66
TX,E	1,115	807	4	2	7	-	3	9	708	74
<u>TX,S</u>	154	153	5	6	12	5	3	12	23	87
ТХ,Н	61	91	4	2	1	2	7	9	17	56
6TH	4,704	2,001	45	5	32	14	2	61	1,367	475
KY,E	62	76	3	2	2	-	· -	10	32	27
KY,H	53 274	62 255	1 14	- 1	4	- 8	1		24	28
MI,E MI,H	53	255 61	5	1	11 3	8	· -	4 2	58	159 45
OH, N	3,941	1,270	10	-	3	1	1	12	1,171	71
OH. S	100	90	7	-	2	2	-	10	1,1,1	52
TN, E	109	80	l i	1	2	-	-		21	48
TN, M	76	66	3	1	· — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	1	-	777	23	27
.TN, W	36	41	1 1	-	-		·	5	17	18

Table S-7 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PRODUCT LIABILITY CASES COMMENCED BY NATURE OF SUIT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 AND 1991

Table S-7									
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS									
PRODUCT LIABILITY CASES COMMENCED BY NATURE OF SUIT									
DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 AND	1991								

								RSONAL INJ		. دې بې د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د .
CIRCUIT	JUNE 30,	JUKE 30,	CONTRACT	TORTS TO	PERSONAL			MOTOR		··
AND DISTRICT	1990 Total	1991 Total	ACTIONS	LAND	PROPERTY DAMAGE	AIRLINE	MARINE	VEHICLE	ASBESTOS	OTHER
7TH	693	635	17	3	25	15	3	38	269	265
IL,N IL,C	278 78	201 152	13	· · · ·	17	15	3	15 1	46 132	92 18
IL,S	48	67	_ *	1	1	· _	-	6	5	54
IN,N IN,S	41 190	35 80	- 1	1 1	2 4		-	8 3	4 25	20 46
HI,E HI,H	38 20	32	2	-	-	-	-	1	2 55	27 8
8TH	752	744	33	7	43	8		40	179	434
AR, E	149	74	2		3	2	-	3	39	25
AR,H IA,N	56 23	45	15	1	- 4	2 1	-	1 4	9	28 9
IA,S MN	45 171	26	2	- 2	1 10	- 3	-	2	10	11 189
MO,E	127	101 96	2		7	-	-	7 7	22	63
MD, H NE	29	32	56	ī	3		-	-	19 4	56 18
ND SD	61 16	97	3	2	25	-	·	4	70	16 19
9TH	762	627	46	6	36	34	10	45	155	295
AK AZ	26 45	25 52	3	-	2	- 5	-	3 5	4	13 34
CA, N	98	68	3	1	- 6	· 3	2	4	24	25
CA,E CA,C	34 102	26 99	2 10	ĩ	1 6	11	-1	1 15	1	21. 54
CA,S HI	29 127	35	5	. 1	3 1	47	1	1	20	20 10
ID	50	16	2	°	- 4	· _	· -	3	-	11
MT	42 47	43 35	3	-	3	2	-	2	16 1	21 24
0R MA.E	87 1.3	71 24	3	2	3	- 1	-	5 1	33 8	25 8
HA,H GUAM	61 1	90 1	3	-	7	<u>1</u>	5	1	44	29
NMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-	-
10TH	479	403	18		16	9	1	45	62	252
CO KS	49 61	53 64	4	-	4	2 1	-	4 2	16	39 40
NM	78	45	1	÷ '	-	1	-	. 5	17	21
OK,N DK,E	79 34	51 34	- 1	-	1	1		4	18	27 28
OK.H UT	106	108 42	5		6 2	2	1	22	4	69 24
ΗΥ	20	6	1	-	-	-	· · · ·	-	1	4
11TH	1,356	1,502	27	3	25	10	6	80	903	448
AL.N AL.M	81	189 23	2	÷ ·	4	- 1	1	26	85 1	71 14
AL,S	158 18	60 17	i i	-	3	1	1	<u> </u>	34 3	19 13
FL,N FL,M	135	146	6	2	57	. 1	2	14	39	78
FL,S GA,N	138 212	189 148	9	1	7 2	4 3	2	10 18	29 36	127 84
GA, M	22	23	1	-	1	-	· - ·	4		17
GA,S	571	707] -		1	-	-	4	677	25
	1	1	1							

	AS DF JU	NE 30, 19	91						
	THREE Y CASES		u.s. c	ASES		PRIVATE CASES			
NATURE OF SUIT	JUNE 30, 1990	JUNE 30, 1991	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	LOCAL JURISDICTION		
TOTAL CASES	23,836	27,347	1,179	1,691	8,812	15,550	115		
CONTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	2,915	3,210	514	50	436	2,197	13		
INSURANCE	446 161	520 144	10	9 7	49 117	451 12	1		
MILLER ACT NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS	84 155	68 231	39 33	- 6	29 24	168	-		
RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS Defaulted Student Loans	- 28	15	15		-	-			
VETERANS' OVERPAYMENT.	171 40	344 29	344	- 6	- 4	- 11			
OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	1,830	1,859	58	22	213	1,555	1		
REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS, TOTAL	357	325	87	56	57	115	10		
FORECLOSURERENT, LEASE, AND EJECTMENT	161 23	120 18	75	15 2	12	17 12			
TORTS TO LAND/INCLUDING PRODUCT LIABILITY OTHER REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS	54 119	67 120	1 11	5 34	19 23	41 45			
TORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	11,451	14,623	25	358	932	13,229	7		
PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	11,130	14,290	9	342	848	13,013	7		
PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY, TOTAL AIRPLANE	<u>9,611</u> 23	12,426		22	358	<u>12,042</u> 39			
MARINE. Motor Vehicle.	9 39	10	=	-	5	5 44			
ASBESTOS DTHER	7,697	10,912 1,417	-	16 6	294 57	10,602 1,352			
OTHER PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	<u>1,519</u> 229	<u>1,864</u> 320	9	320 128	490	<u>971</u> 131	7		
MARINE Motor vehicle	165 185	157 168	22	11 22	107 11	34 126			
ASSAULT, LIBEL AND SLANDER	46 207	50 242	-	3 5	10 237	34			
MEDICAL MALPRACTICE	153 534	150 777	5	55 96	4 60	89 557	5		
PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE, TOTAL Fraud including truth in lending	<u>321</u> 181	333	16	16	84	216			
OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	140	186 147	8	12	64 20	110 106			
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, TOTAL	9,106	9,175	552	1,227	7,384	9			
ANTITRUST	183	131	2	-	129	-			
BANKRUPTCY, TOTAL APPEAL (28 USC 158) HITHDRAMAL (28 USC 157)	158 88 70	<u>),51</u> 77 74	2 1 1	3	146 75 71				
BANKS AND BANKING	54	48	13	1	34	-			
CIVIL RIGHTS, TOTAL	2,258	2,150	68	196	1,886				
VOTING. EMPLOYMENT.	26	38 963	43	110	37 810	-			
HOUSING AND ACCOMODATIONS HELFARE OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	29 34 1,100	41 22 1,086	1 1 22	9 5 72	31 16 992				
COMMERCE (ICC RATES, ETC.) ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS	27 243	40 276	2 101	3	35 127	-			
	273	210		78		-			

Table S-8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING 3 YEARS OR MORE, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT (LAND CONDEMNATION CASES EXCLUDED) AS OF JUNE 30, 1991

145

Table S-8	
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	
IL CASES PENDING 3 YEARS OR MORE, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SL	JIT
(LAND CONDEMNATION CASES EXCLUDED)	
AS DE JUNE 30, 1991	

	THREE Y CASES		U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASE	S .
NATURE OF SUIT	JUNE 30, 1990	JUNE 30, 1991	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	LOCAL JURISDICTIO
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED							
DEPORTATION	3	4	-	4		-	
PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL	2,471	2,635	- I	159	2,476	-	
MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	47	61 334	=	61 21	313		
MANDAMUS AND OTHER.	12	24	1 -	14	10	-	
CIVIL RIGHTS	2,056	2,216	-	63	2,153	-	
FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL	129	133	128				
AGRICULTURAL ACTS	2	2 47	47	1			
DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY	1 -	-	1 -	-	- 1	-	
AIR TRAFFIC REGULATIONS OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT	$\overline{1}$	1	- 1	1	-	-	
OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS	89	82	79	3] -	-	
LABOR LAWS, TOTAL	654	693	46	13	634	-	
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT	89 188	83 169	24	3	56 162		
LABOR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT	28	20	1	4	102	-	
RAILHAY LABOR ACT	27	33	1	-	33	-	
E. R. I. S. A OTHER LABOR LITIGATION	232 90	304 84	17	6	287 77	-	
PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL	452	432	3		426		
COPYRIGHT	85 258	84 239	2	- 3	84	-	
TRADEMARK	109	109	1	-	108	-	
SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES	929	890	34	3	853	-	
SOCIAL SECURITY LAHS, TOTAL	385	484	5	479	-		
HEALTH INSURANCEBLACK LUNG CASES	6	11	1 _	11			
DISABILITY INSURANCE	163	180	5	175	-	-	
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME.	184	264	· -	264	-	-	· · .
RETIREMENT AND SURVIYORS BENEFITS	27	29	1 -	29] [-	
R I C 0	161	178	4		165	9	
STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS	198	1 186	60	124	1	-	
CUSTOMER CHALLENGE	178	-	-	- 144	-	-	
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT	40	52	- 1	49	3	· –	
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS	18 743	23 668	84	1 136	21 448	-	
OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL	7	14	1	-	3	-	1
DOMESTIC RELATIONS	-	_	-	_	-	-	
INSANITY	-			-	-	-	
PROBATE	1 6	1 13	- 1	-	3	-	
	l °	13	1 1	-	1	_	

Table S-9District Judges Reporting on Cases and MotionsHeid Under Advisement Over 60 Days on June 30, 1991

	Jud	ges		Cases and M	otions	
Circuit	Total Reporting	With Cases Over 60 Days	Total Over 60 Days	60 Days to 6 Months	6 Months to 1 Year	Over 1 Year
Total	714	342	2,678	1,450	704	524
District of						
Columbia	19	11	120	35	47	38
First	28	15	49	26	9	14
Second	72	37	190	132	36	22
Third	66	32	154	111	33	10
Fourth	65	21	219	103	74	42
Fifth	74	38	308	201	58	49
Sixth	68	32	406	165	117	124
Seventh	51	38	255	127	60	68
Eighth	53	22	102	76	20	6
Ninth	114	42	151	105	26	20
Tenth	39	13	114	50	42	22
Eleventh	65	41	610	319	182	109

Table S-10Three-Judge District Court Hearings By Nature of Suit1986 Through 1991

Nature of Suit	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
Total	19	**21	15	8	9	14
Reapportionment	1	2	5	-	-	1
Civil Rights	15	19	10	8	9	13
Education	-	ø	1	1	1	
Voting and election laws*	15	17	8	7	7	13
Welfare Constitutionality of other	-	1	-	-	1	-
state statutes	-	1	1	-	. •	-
All Other	3	-	-	-	-	-

*Two voting rights cases in 1991 were considered on briefs without hearing. **Revised.

Table S-11Cases Transferred By Order of the Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation1991 And Cumulative From September 1968

	12 Months June 30,		Cumula 1968-1	and the second	
Circuit and District	Into District	Out of District	Into District	Out of District	Total Pending In Transferee District
Total	626	626	11,522	11,522	2,232
DC	0	7	1,955	126	107
1st Cir	12	19	222	379	318
ME			. •	11	-
MA	7	14	159	258	31
NH	1	. .	28	37	2
RI	· · · ·	2	. –	43	-
PR	4	3	35	30	285
2nd Cir	102	188	2,124	1,712	553
СТ	1	14	246	109	62
NY,N	-	•	2	61	•
NY,E	86	12	968	253	305
NY,S	15	161	908	1,164	186
NY,W	-	1	-	72	
VT	·	•	•	53	•
3rd Cir	12	46	933	1,063	69
DE		1	29	43	20
NJ	6	14	29 76		20
PA,E	2	24	716	203 503	26
PA,M	_	4	17	81	20
PA,W	- 4	3	95	147	14
VI	-			147	144
¥ \$****************************				0	

Table S-11 Cases Transferred By Order of the Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation 1991 And Cumulative From September 1968 Continued

	12 Months	s Ended	Cumula	tive	
	June 30,		1968-1		
					Total
Circuit					Pending in
and	Into	Out of	Into	Out of	Transferee
District	District	District	District	District	District
4th Cir	287	15	585	518	344
			Hard Hard Contraction of the Contract of the C		
MD	· -	5	164	153	19
NC,E	-	2	1	30	
NC,M	4	-	7	45	5
NC,W	· 🖕	2	47	37	-
SC	283	-	283	73	320
VA,E	-		35	105	-
VA,W	-	3	-	23	-
WV,N	•	1 - 1 -	1	8	· · · · •
WV,S	-	2	47	44	-
5th Cir	31	30	551	953	108
LA,E	22	1	250	142	38
LA,M		1	5	46	-
LA,W		m	9	68	-
MS,N	.	1	· · · .	17	-
MS,S		2		57	. =
TX,N	1	4	140	158	18
ТХ,Е		4	16	121	31
TX,S		7	123	241	21
TX,W	-	10	8	103	•
6th Clr	4	44	1,115	1,004	167
KY,E	-	3	53	54	•
KY,W	-	· •	80	48	
MI,E	1	3	104	292	155
MI,W		2	2	51	
OH,N	1	12	109	193	2
OH,S	1	4	749	189	2
TN,E	•	1	2	86	-
TN,M	•	9	3	35	-
TN,W	1	10	13	56	8
	L				L

Table S-11

Cases Transferred By Order of the Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation 1991 And Cumulative From September 1968 Continued

T	12 Months	Ended	Cumula	tive	
	June 30,	1991	1968-1	991	
Circuit and District	Into District	Out of District	into District	Out of District	Total Pending in Transferee District
7th Cir	60	25	610	894	137
IL,N	60	10	512	582	135
IL,E*	-			6	-
IL,C		5	-	34	. =
IL,S	-	4	. –	33	-
IN,N	-	4	. –	55	-
IN,S	-	2	92	67	00#
WI,E	-	-	6	83	2
WI,W		· •	-	34	•
8th Cir	1	27	316	864	23
AR,E	· •	1	-	27	а с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с
AR,W	•	-	•	22	. .
IA,N	-	1	3	37	– 1
IA,S	-	6	5	52	-
MN	•	13	55	475	3
MO,E	1 -	1	89	63	17
MO,W	-	4	164	93	3
NE	-	1	ан 1911 — Политения 1911 — Политения	51	
ND	. –	-	-	34	-
SD				10	•

Table S-11

Cases Transferred By Order of the Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation 1991 And Cumulative From September 1968 Continued

		Cont			
	12 Month	s Ended	Cumula	tive	
	June 30,	1991	1968-1	991	
	and the second				Total
Circuit	1. S.				Pending in
and	Into	Out of	Into	Out of	Transferee
District	District	District	District	District	District
District	District		District		District
9th Clr	41	90	1,397	2,655	305
AK	1	1	-	57	
AZ	5	5	33	145	20
CA,N	27	17	446	636	121
CA,E	. –	5	10	156	
CA,C	3	20	470	1,016	88
CA,S		14	62	85	61
Ні	2	13	14	133	7
ID			2	67	_
MT	. •		3		
	=	5	-	28	-
NV	-	2	125	54	4
OR	•	_ 1	6	97	-
WA,E	-	2	-	52	· · · · · •
WA,W	4	5	226	128	4
GU	. <u>.</u>		· · · ·	1	•
NMI	-		-	•	1
10th Cir		33	1,454	571	14
			1,707		
<u>~</u>	r	10	07	100	4
CO	. ■ •	13	97	198	4
KS		2	1,186	89	•
NM	-	1	1	48	-
OK,N		4	13	35	•
OK,E	•	2	7	14	-
OK,W	- ·	7	143	77	10
UT	-	2	7	99	
WY	-	2		11	•
	· · ·			•	
11th Cir	76	102	260	783	87
	10	102	£00		
AL,N		2	34	122	
		 			•
AL,M		-	-	12	-
AL,S		-	1	24	-
FL,N	21	3	22	30	25
FL,M	-	18	6	124	-
FL,S	·	73	112	327	نى ،
GA,N	55	3	85	106	62
GA,M		· · · ·		8	-
GA,S	1	3	-	30	-
	L	<u> </u>			

* No longer a separate district

=

Table S-12U.S. Courts of AppealsAdditional Judgeships AuthorizedBy the Judgeship Acts of 1961, 1966, 1968, 1978, 1984, and 1990

						· · ·			
	Number of		Additional Judgeships Authorized						
Circuit	Judgeships June 30, 1960	1961	1966	1968	1978	1984	1990	Judgeships June 30, 1991	
Total	68	10	10	9	35	24	11	179	
listrict of									
Columbia	9		-	-	2	1	-	12	
irst	3	-	-	-	1	2	-	6	
econd	6	3	-	-	2	2		13	
hird	7	1		1	1	2	2	14	
ourth	3	2	2	-	3	1	4	15	
ifth ¹	7	2	4	2	11	2	1	17	
ixth	· 6	· •	2	1	2	4	1	16	
eventh	6	1	1	• -	1	2		11	
ighth	7	м	1		1	1	1	11	
linth	9	-	-	4	10	5		28	
enth	5	1	•	1	1	2	2	12	
leventh ¹	-	-	-	. •	-	- 1		12	
ederal ²	-		-	-	-			12	

1961 Act - P.L. 87-36, May 19, 1961, 75 STAT. 80 1966 Act - P.L. 89-372, March 18, 1966, 80 STAT. 75 1968 Act - P.L. 90-347, June 18, 1968, 82 STAT. 184 1978 Act - P.L. 95-486, Oct. 20, 1978, 92 STAT. 1629 1984 Act - P.L. 98-353, July 10, 1984, 98 STAT. 333 1990 Act - P.L. 101-650, Dec. 1, 1990, 104 STAT. 5089

 ¹ The Act of October 14, 1980, (94 STAT. 1994) reorganized the Fifth Circuit into 2 circuits: the Fifth with 14 judgeships and the Eleventh with 12 judgeships.
 ² The Act of April 2, 1982, (96 STAT. 25) created the Federal Circuit with 12 judgeships.

Table S-13 U.S. District Courts Additional Judgeships Authorized By the Judgeship Acts of 1961, 1966, 1970, 1978, 1984, and 1990

	Number			Additional	Judgeship	s Authorized		Number of	
District	Judgeshi June 30, 1		1966	1970	1978	1984	1990	Judgeships June 30, 1991	
Total	245	61,2T,4T/P	30,5T	58,3T,4T/P	113,4T	53,8T,2T/P	61,13T,8TP,4R/P	636,13T	
DC	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	
1st Circui	it								
ME		0	0	0	1	0	1	3	
MA	5	1	0	0	4	1,1T	1T/P,1	13	
NH	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	
RI	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	
PR	1	1	0	1	4	0	0	7	
2nd Circu	Jit.								
ст		2	0	0	1	1	2	8	
NY,N		0	0	0	1	1	1T	4,1T	
NY,E		2	0	1	1	2	3	15	
NY,S		6	0	3	0	0	1	28	
NY,W	2	0	1	0	0	1T	1T/P	-4	
vт	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	
3rd Circu	itt		·····					1	
DE		0	0	0,	0	. 1	0	4	
NJ		1	0,	1,1T	2	3	3	17	
PA,E	8	3	ЗТ [*]	6,2T/P	0	0	3,1T	22,1T	
PA,M		1	0	1T*	2	0	. 1	6	
PA,W		2,1T/P	0	2	0	Ō	Ō	10	
vi (0	Ō	1	0	Ō	Ō	2	
4th Circu	ılt 🔤					<u></u>	<u></u>		
MD	2	2	1	.2*	2	1	0	10	
NC,E		1	0	1T*	1	1Ť	1T/P	4	
NC,M		1	Ō	0	· 1	0	1	4	
NC.W		i i	ŏ	õ	, 1	Ő	0	3	
NC,W		i o	ŏ	ŏ	0	ŏ	ŏ	O O	
SC,W ¹	1	0	0	Ö	0	0	0		
SC,E&W ¹			0	0	0	0	0	1 -	
SC ¹			_	U a	•	-	-	0	
VA,E	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	9	
VA, E	3	0	2	1	2	1	1T	9,1T	
VA,W WV,N ²	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	
WV,N_		0	Q	0	0	0	1	3	
	1	0	0	1	1,1T	0	1	5	
WV,S ² WV,N&S ²		o	ŏ	ò	0	v		ŏ	

Table S-13U.S. District CourtsAdditional Judgeships AuthorizedBy the Judgeship Acts of 1961, 1966, 1970, 1978, 1984, and 1990
(continued)

· .		umber of dgeship		Additional	Judgeship	s Authoriz	ed		Number of Judgeships
District		e 30, 19		1966	1970	1978	1984	1990	June 30, 199
5th Circu	ıit								
А,Е ³		2	2	4	2	4	0	Ö	13
A,M ³		ō	0	ō	Ō	1	Ö	ŏ	2
A,W		2	1	0 0	1	1	1	1	7
IS,N		- 1	o	1	Ø	o	1	0	3
IS,S		1	1	1	Ő	Ō	2	1	6
X,N		3	2	0	1	3	1	2	12
Х,Е		2	0	0	1	1	2	1	7
Ά,ς		4	1	2	1	5	0	5	18
ГХ,₩	• • •	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	10
z ⁴	• • •	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6th Circu	Jit								
(Y,E		1	0	0	1	2,1T [*]	0	0	4
(Y,W		2	0		1	0	1	Ó	4
Y,E&W .		1	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	1
И,Е		6	2	0	2	3	2	0	15
и , , W		2	0	<u> </u>	0	2	0	1T	4,1T
DH,N		5	1,1T	<u> </u>	. 1 .	1,1T	1T,1T/P	1T/P,1T	11,1T
DH,S.		3	2 0 1,1T 1T	⁻ 1	1	1	1	1	8
ſŊ,E		2		0	0	0	1	1	5
TN,M		1	1	-	0	1	0	1	4
ſN,W	• • •	1	1	0	1	0		1	5
7th Circi	uit								
L,N ⁵		8	2	1	2	3	4,1T	1,1T/P	22
'_,C ⁵ ∟,S ⁵		2	0		0	1	Ó	1T	3,1T
L,S ⁵		2	Ó	_	0	0	1	1T	3,1T
N,N		2	1		0	· 1	1T	1T/P	. 5
N,S		2	1	-	0	1	0	0	5
NI,E		2	0		1T/P	1	0	0	4
NI,W	• • •	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2

Table S-13 U.S. District Courts Additional Judgeships Authorized By the Judgeship Acts of 1961, 1966, 1970, 1978, 1984, and 1990 (continued)

	Number				Number of Judgeships				
District	Judgeshl June 30, 1		1961	1966	1970	1978	1984	1990	June 30, 199
8th Circu	it								
NR,E ⁶	1		0	0	0	2	0	2R/P	5
R,W ⁶ R,E&W ⁶	1		0	0	0	0	1T	1,1T/P	3
R,E&W ⁶	1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
A.N ⁷	1		Ó	0	· 0	0	0	1R/P	2
A,Sʻ. <u>.</u> .	1		0	0	0	1	0	1	3
A,N&S'.	0		1	0	Ó	0	0	0	0
IN			0	0	0	1,1T	1,1T/P	0	7
10,E			0	0	1	1	1	1,1T	6,1T
10,Ŵ			1	0.	0	2	0	0	5
IO,E&W			0	0	0	0	0	0	2
IE			0	0	1	0	0	1T	3,1T
ID	· · · -		0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5D	2		0	0	0	.1	0	0	3
9th Circu	Jit								
к			1	0	0	0	1	0	3
Z XA,N ⁸	2		1	1	.1	3	0	0	8
CA,N ⁸	7		2	2	2	1	0	2	14
:А,Е ⁸	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	1T	6,1T
X,E ⁸ X,C ⁸ X,S ⁸	0		0	3	3	1	5	5	27
XA,S ⁸	11		2	0	3	2	0	1	8
ŧĽ			0	0	0	0	1	1T	3,1T
D			0	0	0	0	0	0	2
AT			0	0	0	0	1	0	3
٧	1		1	Ó	0	1	1	0	4
DR	3		0	0	0	2	· 0 · 1	1	6
VA,E ⁹	1		0	0	0	1	1	1	4
VA,E ⁹ VA,W ⁹	2		0	0	0	1	1,1T	1T/P	7
NA,E&W®	1		1	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
GU	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	1
IMI ¹⁰	0		0	0	0	0	0	0.4	1

Table S-13U.S. District CourtsAdditional Judgeships AuthorizedBy the Judgeship Acts of 1961, 1966, 1970, 1978, 1984, and 1990
(continued)

		mber of			Additional	Judgeships	Authorized		Number of
District June 30, 1		lgeships 30, 196		1966	1970	1978	1984	1990	Judgeships June 30, 199
10th Circ	uit								
		2	1	0	1	2	1	0	7
(S		2	1	1T	1T/P	1	0	1T	5,1T
M		2	1T/P	0	1	1	0	1	5
	• • • [1	0	0	0	-1	0	1	3
ОК,Е ОК,W ¹¹ .	•••	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
DK,W DK,N,E&W	31°	2	0	0	0	1	1	1,1R/P	6
JR,N,E&W JT		2	י 1T/P	0	0	0	0	0	5
WY		1	0	0	0	0	. 1	1	3
11th Circ	uit								
AL,N	•••	2	1	0	1	3.	0	1T	7,1T
ац, м . ац, S ¹² .,	•••	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
12	•••	o	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
L,M&S ¹²	••••	1	Ö	1	0	1	0	0 1	4
LS ¹³		o	0	1	1	3	0	2	11
LS ¹³		4	2	2	2	5	3	1	16
L,N&S ¹³		1	ō	ō	ō	õ	ŏ	o i	0
		2	1	Ō	3	5	õ	0	11
GA,M		2	1T/P	0	0	0	1	1	4
àA.S	[1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3

1961 Act - P.L. 87-36, May 19, 1961, 75 STAT. 80 1966 Act - P.L. 89-372, March 18, 1966, 80 STAT. 75 1970 Act - P.L. 91-272, June 2, 1970, 84 STAT. 1629 1978 Act - P.L. 95-486, Oct. 20, 1978, 92 STAT. 1629 1984 Act - P.L. 98-353, July 10, 1984, 98 STAT. 333 1990 Act - P.L. 101-650, Dec. 1, 1990, 104 STAT. 5089

T = Temporary judgeship

T/P = Temporary judgeship made permanent

- One temporary judgeship was never converted to a permanent position.
- ¹ South Carolina was reorganized into one judicial district on October 7, 1965, 79 STAT. 951, with four judgeships.
- ² By Act of January 14, 1983, 96 STAT. 2601, the judgeship authorized to serve both districts of West Virginia was made a judgeship for the Southern District only and the temporary judgeship established in 1978 in the Southern District was made a permanent judgeship in the Northern District.
- ³ The Middle District of Louisiana was created by Act of December 18, 1971, 85 STAT. 741, with one permanent judgeship transferred from the Eastern District.
- ⁴ The District of the Canal Zone was closed March 31, 1982, in accordance with Act of September 27, 1979, 93 STAT. 452.
- ⁵ Illinois was divided into the Northern, Eastern and Southern judicial districts in 1905; by Acts of October 2 (92 STAT. 883), and November 2, 1978 (92 STAT. 2458), it was reorganized into the Northern, Central, and Southern judicial districts with 13 judgeships for the Northern District and 2 judgeships each for the Central and Southern Districts.
- ⁶ By Act of December 1, 1990, 104 STAT. 5089, two judgeships authorized to serve both districts of Arkansas were made judgeships for the Eastern District only.
- ⁷ By Act of December 1, 1990, 104 STAT. 5089, one judgeship authorized to serve both districts of lowa was made a judgeship for the Northern District only.
- ⁸ By Act of March 18, 1966, 80 STAT. 75, California was reorganized from two districts (Northern and Southern) into four districts (Northern, Eastern, Central, and Southern). The Eastern District was authorized three judgeships by transfer of two from the Northern District and one from the Southern District; the Central District was authorized 13 judgeships by transfer of 10 from the Southern District and creation of three new positions; the Northern District retained seven judgeships and was authorized two new judgeships to replace those transferred to the Eastern District; and the Southern District retained two judgeships.
- ⁹ By Acts of May 19, 1961 and October 20, 1978, the judgeships authorized to serve both districts in Washington were made judgeships for the Western District only.
- ¹⁰ The District for the Northern Mariana Islands was established by Act of November 8, 1977, with one judgeship, effective January 9, 1978.
- ¹¹ By Act of December 1, 1990, 104 STAT. 5089, one judgeship authorized to serve the three districts of Oklahoma was made a judgeship for the Western District only.
- ¹² By Act of June 2, 1970, the judgeship authorized to serve both the Middle and Southern Districts of Alabama was made a judgeship for the Southern District only.
- ¹³ By Act of July 30, 1962, 76 STAT. 247, the Middle District of Florida was established with three judgeships transferred from the Southern District. By Act of March 18, 1966, the existing judgeship authorized to serve all districts of Florida was made a judgeship for the Middle District only.

Appendix I

Detailed Statistical Tables Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

Table A-1

Supreme Court of the United States Cases On Docket, Disposed of, and Remaining On Dockets At Conclusion of October Terms 1986 through 1990

	-		······································	In
		Origi-		Forma
Cases	Total	nal	Paid	Pauperis
1986				
Cases on Docket	5,134	12	2,547	2,575
Disposed of	4,360	1	2,105	2,254
Remaining on Dockets	774	11	442	321
				н. 1
1987				
Cases on Docket	5,268	16	2,577	2,675
Disposed of	4,387	. 5	2,131	2,251
Remaining on Dockets	881	11	446	424
1988*				
Cases on Docket	5,657	14	2,587	3,056
Disposed of	4,911	2	2,271	2,638
Remaining on Dockets	746	12	316	418
1989*				
Cases on Docket	5,746	14	2,416	3,316
Disposed of	4,989	2	2,096	2,891
Remaining on Dockets	757	12	320	425
1990				
Cases on Docket	6,316	14	2,351	3,951
Disposed of	5,481	3	2,042	3,436
Remaining on Dockets	835	11	309	515

		October	Terms			
Cases	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	
Argued during term	175	167	170	146	125	
Disposed of by full opinions Disposed of by per curiam	164	151	156	143	121	
opinions	10	9	12	3	4	
Set for re-argument	1	7	2		·	
Grant review this term Reviewed and decided without	167	180	147	122	141	
oral argument Total to be available for	113	95	110	80	115	
argument at outset of following term	91	105	81	57	70	

*Revised

		FILINGS		Т	ERMINATIO	NS		PENDING	
CIRCUIT	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE
TOTAL	40,898	42,033	2.8	38,520	41,414	7.5	32,008	32,627	1.9
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	1,705	1,597	-6.3	1,518	2,034	34.0	2,332	1,895	-18.7
IRST	1,168	1,255	7.4	1,246	1,254	.6	685	686	.1
SECOND	3,424	3,511	2.5	3,274	3,691	12.7	1,168	988	-15.4
THIRD	2,943	3,021	2.7	2,923	2,916	2	1,392	1,497	7.5
FOURTH	3,235	3,472	7.3	3,360	3,357	1	2,144	2,259	5.4
FIFTH	5,048	5,606	11.1	4,823	5,021	4.1	3,153	3,738	18.6
SIXTH	4,217	4,161	-1.3	4,135	4,456	7.8	3,008	2,713	-9.8
SEVENTH	2,940	2,999	2.0	2,682	2,824	5.3	2,529	2,704	6.9
EIGHTH	2,722	2,795	2.7	2,555	2,818	10.3	1,773	1,750	-1.3
vINTH	6,787	6,751	5	5,444	6,497	19.3	7,933	8,187	3.2
TENTH	2,233	2,429	8.8	2,580	2,439	-5.5	2,049	2,039	5
ELEVENTH	4,476	4,436	9	3,980	4,107	3.2	3,842	4,171	8.6

TABLE B. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS APPEALS COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDING JUNE 30, 1990 AND 1991

TABLE B-1. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Cases commenced, terminated, and pending, by circuit, During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

• <u>•</u> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••								CASES	TERMINA	TED		•	
								PROCEDUR RMINATIC			MINATIONS		
CIRCUIT AND Nature of Proceeding	CASES PENDING JULY 1 1990	TOTAL	CASES COMMENC DRIG- INAL	ED	TOTAL	CASES DISPOSED OF By Consol- Idation	TOTAL.	BY JUDGE	BY STAFF	TOTAL	AFTER ORAL HEARING	AFTER SUB- HJSSION ON BRIEFS	ČASES PENDING JUNE 30 1991
ALL CIRCUITS	32,008	42,033	41,434	599	41,414	4,106	14,601	5,424	9,177	22,707	10,033	12,674	32,627
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	3,274 4,573	9,949 2,390 4,273 8,188 12,610 1,247 2,764 612	9,872 2,351 4,189 8,074 12,410 1,218 2,709 611	77 39 84 114 200 29 55 1	9,198 2,316 4,263 8,115 12,583 1,201 3,148 590	1,142 94 366 178 1,373 107 840 6	1,863 761 1,543 3,790 5,007 514 1,067 56	733 244 380 2,310 1,289 152 290 26	1,130 517 1,163 1,480 3,718 362 777 30	6,193 1,461 2,354 4,147 6,203 580 1,241 528	3,185 145 1,136 640 3,925 335 650 17	3,008 1,316 1,218 3,507 2,278 245 591 511	9,474 1,638 3,284 4,646 9,861 970 2,629 125
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	2,332	1,597	1,597	-	2,034	786	553	145	408	695	351	344	1,895
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	221 76 388 38 174 10 1,418 7	315 93 347 43 171 6 596 26	315 93 347 43 171 6 596 26		176 86 356 187 9 1,157 27	30 9 84 12 3 644	22 29 86 19 95 3 295 4	5 13 27 31 1 63 2	17 16 59 16 64 232 232 2	124 48 186 13 80 3 218 23	82 4 96 - 41 125 2	42 44 90 13 39 2 93 21	360 83 379 45 158 7 857 6
FIRST CIRCUIT	685	1,255	1,240	15	1,254	49	484	129	355	721	485	236	686
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	243 23 86 48 239 18 25 3	364 47 175 81 511 27 40 10	356 46 174 81 507 26 40 10	8 1 - 4 1 -	320 49 195 92 510 30 45 13	25 - 2 1 19 - 2 -	91 15 71 47 226 19 14 1	11 5 15 29 65 3 1	80 10 56 18 161 16 13 1	204 34 122 44 265 11 29 12	171 45 16 224 8 17 -	33 30 77 28 41 3 12 12	287 21 66 37 240 15 20
SECOND CIRCUIT	1,168	3,511	3,154	357	3,691	357	1,768	277	1,491	1,566	1,149	417	988
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	473 45 81 130 364 21 52 2	764 159 357 543 1,296 102 240 50	736 143 306 475 1,163 84 198 49	28 16 51 68 133 18 42 1	838 168 360 568 1,382 102 224 49	186 5 24 3 109 9 21	179 68 206 353 771 58 128 5	20 15 13 155 55 8 9 2	159 53 193 198 716 50 119 3	473 95 130 212 502 35 75 44	372 34 107 107 443 31 53 2	101 61 23 105 59 4 22 42	399 36 78 105 278 21 68 3
THIRD CIRCUIT	1,392	3,021	2,959	62	2,916	240	1,150	548	602	1,526	386	1,140	1,497
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	315 51 106 254 546 40 65 15	480 143 244 640 1,227 106 140 41	474 141 237 624 1,205 102 135 41	6 2 7 16 22 4 5 -	514 127 248 662 1,110 89 126 40	78 9 8 4 123 7 8 3	104 36 90 406 430 37 45 2	46 8 29 284 141 20 20 -	58 28 61 122 289 17 25 2	332 82 150 252 557 45 73 35	97 	235 82 108 239 361 27 56 32	281 67 102 232 663 57 79 16
······	L,	I								l	l		

TABLE B-1. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Cases Commenced, terminated, and pending, by circuit, During the thelye month period ended june 30, 1991

								CASES	TERMINA	TED			
	-							PROCEDUR RMINATIO			MINATION THE MERI		
CIRCUIT AND Nature of Proceeding	CASES PENDING JULY 1 1990	TOTAL	CASES COMMENCI DRIG- INAL	ED REIN- STATED*	TOTAL	CASES DISPOSED OF BY CONSOL- IDATION	TOTAL	BY JUDGE	BY STAFF	TOTAL	AFTER ORAL HEARING	AFTER SUB- MISSIUN ON BRIEFS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30 1991
FOURTH CIRCUIT	2,144	3,472	3,462	10	3,357	389	827	414	413	2,141	759	1,382	2,259
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BAMKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS DRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	584 151 215 339 625 60 164 6	801 321 247 882 872 97 228 24	797 321 247 879 870 97 227 24	4 	665 325 272 940 838 83 211 23	131 21 34 45 136 11 9 2	109 43 54 313 228 31 49 -	10 22 12 280 60 7 23	99 21 42 33 168 24 26	425 261 184 582 474 41 153 21	242 20 87 43 296 22 47 2	183 241 97 539 178 19 106 19	720 147 190 281 659 74 181 7
FIFTH CIRCUIT	3,153	5,606	5,590	16	5,021	636	1,704	715	989	2,681	725	1,956	3,738
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	827 103 236 517 1,233 96 131 10	1,326 200 415 1,221 1,938 164 238 104	1,320 200 412 1,220 1,934 163 237 104	6 	1,178 189 380 1,148 1,704 134 196 92	185 11 49 29 323 19 20	253 68 139 564 543 55 79 3	73 27 39 362 171 13 29 1	180 41 100 202 372 42 50 2	740 110 192 555 838 60 97 89	156 6 59 42 397 25 40 -	584 104 133 513 441 35 57 89	975 114 271 590 1,467 126 173 22
SIXTH CIRCUIT	3,008	4,161	4,139	22	4,456	227	1,754	645	1,109	2,475	1,244	1,231	2,713
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	644 124 288 675 999 77 195 5	874 219 427 1,082 1,228 108 186 37	867 216 425 1,080 1,222 106 186 37	7 3 2 2 6 2 -	795 241 439 1,310 1,291 109 238 33	56 13 14 29 84 8 23 -	168 82 148 672 533 53 94 4	73 19 44 317 136 13 41 2	95 63 104 355 397 40 53 2	571 146 277 609 674 48 121 29	392 15 144 64 518 33 76 2	179 131 133 545 156 15 45 27	723 102 276 447 936 76 144 9
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,529	2,999	2,966	33	2,824	264	1,119	460	659	1,441	764	677	2,704
CRIMINAL. U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	606 209 155 518 813 47 179 2	543 212 199 753 1,012 91 170 19	540 204 197 743 1,004 90 169 19	3 8 2 10 8 1 1 -	526 267 199 670 938 62 143 19	120 3 13 13 88 3 24 -	117 103 73 342 407 40 34 34 3	38 39 17 222 121 13 10 -	79 64 56 120 286 27 24 3	289 161 113 315 443 19 85 16	213 14 70 51 351 13 52 -	76 147 43 264 92 6 33 16	623 154 155 601 887 76 206 206
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	1,773	2,795	2,795	-	2,818	244	690	335	355	1,884	862	1,022	1,750
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	436 96 222 356 531 81 48 3	593 205 321 810 651 105 76 34	593 205 321 810 651 105 76 34		548 214 340 804 684 123 76 29	72 4 42 23 86 13 3 1	61 46 88 240 192 38 23 2	29 19 18 168 77 14 8 2	32 27 70 72 115 24 15 -	415 164 210 541 406 72 50 26	234 15 108 137 283 46 37 2 2 2	181 149 102 404 123 26 13 24	481 87 203 362 498 63 48 8

TABLE B-1. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT, DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

·					CASES TERMINATED								
					-			PROCEDUR RMINATIO			MINATIONS THE MERIT		
CIRCUIT AND Nature of Proceeding	CASES PENDING JULY 1 1990	TOTAL	CASES COMMENC ORIG- INAL		TOTAL	CASES DISPOSED OF BY .consol- Idation	TOTAL	BY JUDGE	BY STAFF	TOTAL	AFTER DRAL HEARING	AFTER SUB- MISSION ON BRIEFS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30 1991
NINTH CIRCUIT,	7,933	6,751	6,709	42	6,497	482	2,407	1,107	1,300	3,608	1,704	1,904	8,187
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	2,227 384 988 974 2,465 315 540 40	1,791 335 840 936 1,837 234 610 168	1,787 333 828 926 1,829 232 606 168	4 2 12 10 8 2 4 -	1,729 249 833 802 1,909 254 548 173	150 12 49 13 166 24 68 -	377 104 355 347 856 108 248 12	353 58 104 264 225 35 65 3	24 46 251 83 631 73 183 9	1,202 133 429 442 887 122 232 161	583 16 244 60 574 85 138 4	619 117 185 382 313 37 94	2,289 470 995 1,108 2,393 295 602 35
TENTH CIRCUIT	2,049	2,429	2,423	6	2,439	126	684	180	504	1,629	544	1,085	2,039
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	523 100 206 244 793 84 96 3	506 201 313 392 764 96 126 31	505 200 312 392 761 96 126 31	1 1 - - -	571 171 256 401 820 101 90 29	25 1 11 81 3 4 -	102 54 96 269 31 32 14	25 5 24 23 69 8 14 12	77 49 72 63 200 23 18 2	444 116 149 314 470 67 54 15	253 3 43 21 193 13 18 -	191 113 106 293 277 54 36 15	458 130 263 235 737 79 132 5
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	3,842	4,436	4,400	36	4,107	306	1,461	469	992	2,340	1,060	1,280	4,171
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,624 202 303 480 1,052 75 99 7	1,592 255 388 805 1,103 111 114 68	1,582 249 383 801 1,093 111 113 68	10 6 5 4 10 - 1 -	1,338 230 385 682 1,210 105 94 63	84 6 36 13 146 7 14 -	280 113 137 401 457 41 26 6	50 14 38 203 138 17 7 2	230 99 198 319 24 19 4	974 111 212 268 607 57 54 57	390 14 91 86 409 40 30 -	584 97 121 182 198 17 24 57	1,878 227 306 603 945 81 119 12

* INCLUDES ONLY APPEALS REINSTATED AFTER BEING TERMINATED DUE TO A PROCEDURAL DEFAULT.

NOTE: TABLE INCLUDES REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

· · ·		1					·		CASES 1	ERMINAT	ED	<u> </u>	
	-							ROCEDU		TE	RMINATII	DNS RITS	
NATURE DF Suit or Offense	CASES PENDING JULY 1 1990			ED REIN- STATED#	TOTAL	CASES DISPOSED OF BY Consol- Idation		BY JUDGE	BY Staff	TOTAL	AFTER DRAL HEARING	AFTER SUB- MISSION ON BRIEFS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30 1991
TOTAL CASES	27,968	37,410	36,896	514	36,475	3,153	12,964	4,956	8,008	20,358	9,031	11,327	28,903
TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES	8,723	9,949	9,872	77	9,198	1,142	1,863	733	1,130	6,193	3,185	3,008	9,474
TOTAL CIVIL CASES	19,245	27,461	27,024	437	27,277	2,011	11,101	4,223	6,878	14,165	5,846	8,319	19,429
U.S. CASES	4,838	6,663	6,540	123	6,579	460	2,304	624	1,680	3,815	1,281	2,534	4,922
U.S. PLAINTIFF	658	991	973	18	900	91	416	120	296	393	229	164	749
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS. OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. CONDEMNATION OF LAND OTHER REAL PROP.ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS CIVIL RIGHTS:	21 78 23 49 15	28 88 16 82 20	28 88 16 81 19	- - 1 1	32 96 17 64 19	3	17 48 7 33 10	2 6 1 9 3	15 42 6 24 7	14 34 7 28 7	9 17 7 13 4	5 17 15 3	17 70 22 67 16
EMPLOYMENT. DTHER CIVIL RIGHTS FORFEITURE AND PENALTY. FAIR LABOR STAND. ACT OTHER LABOR. SECUR.,COMMOD., & EXCHG TAX SUITS	38 37 140 15 24 31 38 149	59 41 138 30 38 25 88 338	59 41 135 29 38 25 87 327	- 3 1 - 1 11	56 48 149 27 32 29 65 266	6 9 16 3 2 5 1 26	26 17 59 13 16 13 37 120	5 6 16 1 3 6 11 51	21 11 43 12 13 7 26 69	24 22 74 11 14 11 27 120	15 12 39 9 11 9 16 68	9 10 35 2 3 2 11 52	41 30 129 18 30 27 61 221
U.S. DEFENDANT	4,180	5,672	5,567	105	5,679	369	1,888		1,384	3,422	1,052	2,370	4,173
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS CIVIL RIGHTS:	94 63 344	159 107 384	158 104 379	1 3 5	125 96 408	6 9 74	56 51 135	10 10 23	46 41 112	63 36 199	41 15 102	22 21 97	128 74 320
EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS:	226 290	309 435	299 425	10 10	312 425	18 28	105 167	27 56	78 111	189 230	51 85	138 145	223 300
MOTIONS TO VACATE SEN HABEAS CORPUS. PRISOMER CIVIL RICHTS, OTHER PRIS. PETITIONS LABOR SUITS. SOCIAL SECURITY LANS TAX SUITS ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS FREEDOM OF INFOR. ACT	798 347 264 152 44 677 229 88 72	1,154 506 389 289 69 686 332 96 98	1,129 498 386 286 67 671 327 96 97	25 8 3 3 2 15 5 - 1	1,128 483 396 290 68 802 325 89 95	50 14 11 19 10 26 17 16 4	325 189 148 88 27 139 160 27 29	82 58 64 35 10 37 26 4 6	243 131 84 53 17 102 134 23 23	753 280 237 183 31 637 148 46 62	76 36 11 20 237 77 41 31	677 244 226 164 11 400 71 5 31	824 370 257 151 4 561 236 95 75
ALL OTHER	492	659	645	14	637	67	242	56	186	328	210	118	514
PRIVATE CASES	14,407	20,798	20,484	314	20,698	1,551	8,797	3,599	5,198	10,350	4,565	5,785	14,507
FEDERAL QUESTION	11,335	16,668	16,429	239	16,661	1,118	7,165	3,242	3,923	8,378	3,278	5,100	11,342
MARINE CONTRACT OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. EMPLOYERS LIABILITY ACT MARINE INJURY OTHER TORT ACTIONS ANTITRUST CIVIL RIGHTS:	111 383 57 169 357 215	134 494 85 231 426 190	131 492 84 226 425 189	3 2 1 5 1 1	137 472 84 222 432 248	36 65 3 45 48 51	43 205 43 73 172 89	6 41 10 18 54 36	37 164 33 55 118 53	58 202 38 104 212 108	48 124 28 62 122 81	10 78 10 42 90 27	108 405 58 178 351 157
EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS:	1,049 1,881	1,339 2,505	1,322 2,467	17 38	1,373 2,600	119 224	488 1,055	151 358	337 697	766 1,321	426 711	340 610	1,015 1,786
HABEAS CORPUS PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS DTHER PRIS. PETITIONS LABOR MGMT. RELAT. ACT. OTHER LABOR CPYRGHT,PATENT & TROMRK SECUR., COMMOD. & EXCHG CONST. OF STATE STATS ALL OTHER	1,859 2,652 32 269 641 249 362 63 986	3,391 4,655 70 321 758 306 352 100 1,311	3,326 4,606 70 316 746 300 344 98 1,287	65 49 - 5 12 6 8 2 24	3,234 4,779 65 343 717 312 342 88 1,213	35 133 30 81 35 54 10 146	1,781 1,968 28 136 272 143 134 134 517	822 14 20 59 29 29 1 130	317 1,146 14 116 213 114 105 17 387	1,418 2,678 34 177 364 134 154 60 550	458 167 2 134 277 112 125 37 364	960 2,511 32 43 87 22 29 23 186	2,016 2,528 37 247 682 243 372 75 1,084
DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	3,058	4,088	4,018	70	4,004	432	1,611		1,258	1,961	1,283	678	3,142
INSURANCE OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS. PERSONAL INJURY - PROD. LIABILITY	625 1,238 103 416	794 1,625 123 447	786 1,589 123 444	8 36 - 3	787 1,610 126 469	81 163 15 79	274 682 46 191	42 145 18 48	232 537 28 143	432 765 65 199	295 513 39 143	137 252 26 56	632 1,253 100 394
OTHER PERSONAL INJURY PERS. PROP. DAMAGE All other	523 120 33	737 231 131	728 220 128	9 11 3	734 196 82	72 14 8	283 94 41	68 21 11	215 73 30	379 88 33	222 54 17	157 34 16	526 155 82
GENERAL LOCAL JURISDICTION	14	42	37	5	33	1	21	4	17	11	4	7	23
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS PRISONER PETITIONS ALL OTHER	5 - 2 1	10 5 18 - 9	8 5 15 - 9	2 3	9 33 13 1 7	1 - -	7 2 6 1 5	1 - - 3	6 2 6 1 2	1 1 7 2	- 2 - 2	1 1 5 -	6 2 7 8

TABLE B-1A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Cases Commenced, Terninated, and Pending, by nature of suit or offense, in appeals Arising from the U.S. District courts during the thelve month period ended june 30, 1991

TABLE B-1A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE, IN APPEALS ARISING FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		· ·											
								<u>.</u>	CASES	TERMINA	TED		
				-				ROCEDU			ERMINATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIONNATIO		
	CASES		CASES Commence	D		CASES DISPOSED						AFTER	CASES
NATURE OF Suit or Offense	PENDING JULY 1 1990			REIN- STATED*	TOTAL	OF BY CONSOL- IDATION		BY JUDGE	BY Staff	TOTAL	AFTER ORAL HEARING	SUB- MISSION ON BRIEFS	PENDING JUNE 30 1991
TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES	8,723	9,949	9,872	77	9,198	1,142	1,863	733	1,130	6,193	3, 185	3,008	9,474
GENERAL OFFENSES							· · ·						
HOMICIDE, TOTAL	74	66	65	1	70	15	11	6	5	44	30	14	70
MURDER, FIRST DEGREE OTHER HOMICIDE	53 21	52 14	51 14	1	49 21	14 1	56	4 2	1	30 14	21 9	95	56 14
ROBBERY, TOTAL	375	417	416	1	387	34	68	26	42	285	153	132	405
BANK Other Robbery	360 15	405 12	404 12	1	372 15	34	65 3	25 1	40 2	273 12	147	126 6	393 12
ASSAULT	88	86	85	1	101	10	13	11	2	78	39	39	73
BURGLARY	11	46	45	1	19	1	5	3	2	13	7	6	38
LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL	232	225	224	1	234	26	47	16	31	161	82	79	223
INTERSTATE COMMERCE TRANSPORTATION, ETC. DF	43	63	63	-	- 48	7	12	4	8	29	12	17	58
STOLEN PROPERTY Postal. Other Larceny and Theft	66 50 73	48 42 72	48 42 71		54 53 79	5 7 7	9 8 18	6 4 2	3 4 16	40 38 54	25 21 24	15 17 30	60 39 66
EMBEZZLEMENT	104	140	140	-	113	9	26	8	18	78	48	30	131
FRAUD, TOTAL	978	1,247	1,235	8	1,123	153	309	130	179	661	398	263	1,102
INCOME TAX POSTAL AND INTERSTATE	131	207	206	1	155	16	46	24	22	93	49	44	183
WIRE, RADIO, ETC LENDING AND CREDIT FALSE CLAIMS & STATMNTS OTHER FRAUD	285 142 131 289	341 204 150 345	340 203 148 342	1 1 2 3	321 172 161 314	49 20 12 56	81 63 46 73	31 26 20 29	50 37 26 44	191 89 103 185	115 55 67 112	76 34 36 73	305 1274 120 320
AUTO THEFT	26	38	38	-	37	1	11	í	10	25	11	14	27
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	144	128	124	4	154	11	27	8	19	116	54	62	118
SEX OFFENSES	80	93	92	1	96	6	16	6	10	74	46	28	77
DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT, TOTAL	5,310	5,570	5,525	45	5,254	682	961	351	610	3,611	1,797	1,814	5,626
DAPCA MARIHUANA Dapca Narcotics Dapca control.substance	771 3,799 740	909 4,001 660	902 3,966 657	7 35 3	832 3,779 643	89 495 98	187 666 108	63 242 46	124 424 62	556 2,618 437	230 1,326 241	326 1,292 196	848 4,021 757
IISC. GENERAL OFFENSES Total	843	1,247	1,238	9	1,077	128	240	105	135	709	350	359	1,513
BRIBERY.	35	49	49	-	39	3	8	2	6	28	21	7	45
EXTORTION, RACKETEERING AND THREATS. GAMBLING, LOTTERY FIREARNS, WEAPONS FIREARNS, WEAPONS PERJURY. DRUNK DRIVING/TRAFFIC.	111 20 32 446 78 24 20	136 22 26 715 126 35 37	133 22 26 711 125 34 37	3 - 4 1 1 -	141 14 35 591 101 31 31	35 3 8 38 11 2 2	26 7 4 124 27 12 8	16 	10 7 1 74 14 6 4	80 4 23 429 63 17 21	53 4 13 183 31 13 7	27 10 246 32 4 14	106 28 23 570 103 28 26
OTHER HISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFENSES SPECIAL OFFENSES	77	101	101	-	94	26	24	11	13	44	25	19	84
IMMIGRATION LAWS	141	144	144	_	149	11	23	10	13	115	37	78	136
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	-	-	-	_		-	_	··· · -					
FEDERAL STATUTES, TOTAL	317	502	497	5	384	55	106	52	54	223	133	90	435
AGRICULTURAL ACTS ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS. NATIONAL DEFENSE LANS CIVIL RIGHTS WITNESS INTIMIDATION. EXPLOSIVES/NON-VESSEL DTHER	25 7 26 28 42 46 143	33 14 36 13 49 57 300	33 14 36 13 48 57 296		27 10 27 19 40 55 206	5 3 3 2 1 11 30	6 2 9 4 16 5 64	4 1 4 - 8 3 32	2 1 5 4 8 2 32	16 5 15 13 23 39 112	11 4 7 10 19 19 63	5 1 8 3 4 20 49	31 31 35 22 51 48 237

* INCLUDES ONLY APPEALS REINSTATED AFTER BEING TERMINATED DUE TO A PROCEDURAL DEFAULT.

NOTE: TABLE INCLUDES REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS HELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

Table B-2 Petitions for Review on Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court Commenced, Terminated, and Pending During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ring che in			ed oune 30;	1991	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Circuit				Terminated	<u></u>	
and	Pending					Pending
Nature of	July 1,					June 30,
Proceeding	1990*	Filed	Granted	Denied	Dismissed	1991
Total	855	3,887	169	3,570	7	864
Criminal	323	1,429	19	1,331	2	336
U.S. Civil	105	<u>4</u> 00	18	366	- 0	105
Private Civil	.397	1,914	114	1,763	5	384
Admin. Appeals	30	144	18	110	0	39
					1	
D.C. Circuit	22	104	3	103	-	20
						_
Criminal	9	31	0	35	-	5
U.S. Civil	7	27	2	29	-	3
Private Civil	3	17	0	15	-	5
Admin. Appeals	3	29	1	24	– 14	7
				· · · ·		
lat Circuit	19	87	5	70	-	31
Criminal	4	42	0	35	-	11
U.S. Civil	4	4	0	4	-	4
Private Civil	11	40	4	31	_	16
Admin. Appeals	0	1	1	0	-	0
2nd Circuit	31	219	8	199		43
				· · ·		
Criminal	21	72	3	59		31
U.S. Civil	0	40	1	36		3
Private Civil	10	98	4	97	- .	7
Admin. Appeals	0	9	0	7	-	2
3rd Circuit	39	314	6	290	3	54
animin-1			_		•	2 0
Criminal	12	122	2	111	1	20
U.S. Civil	5	33	0	34	-	4
Private Civil	20	155	3	141	2	29
Admin. Appeals	2	4	1	4	-	1
Ath air-wit						
4th Circuit	127	447	14	441		119
Criminal	1 40	120	_	1.41		
Criminal	49	138	2	141	-	44
U.S. Civil	12	67	0	67		12
Private Civil	62	234	10	229	- .	57
Admin. Appeals	4	8	2	4	-	6
			_			
5th Circuit	59	494	34	438		81
Criminal	1	1	-	1.61		~ ~ ~
	17	166	1	161		21
U.S. Civil	3	22	- 3	17		5
Private Civil	37	288	27	245		53
Admin. Appeals	2	18	3	15	-	2

Table B-2Petitions for Review on Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme CourtCommenced, Terminated, and PendingDuring the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

Circuit				Terminated		
and	Pending					Pending
Nature of	July 1,				·	June 30,
Proceeding	1990*	Filed	Granted	Denied	Dismissed	1991
6th Circuit	74	411	9	414	2	60
Criminal	29	143	0	146	1	25
U.S. Civil	17	24	2	27	-	12
Private Civil	27	237	6	235	1	22
Admin. Appeals	1	7	1	6	-	1
7th Circuit	144	252	11	219		166
Criminal	44	79	3	60		60
U.S. Civil	44	26	3	21	-	16
Private Civil	77	135	5	126	-	81
Admin. Appeals	9	135	0	120		9
Admin. Appenis		14		12		,
8th Circuit	34	314	9	263	-	77
Criminal	16	103	3	104	-	16
U.S. Civil	2	32	1	18	-	12
Private Civil	16	174	5	137	-	48
Admin. Appeals	-	5	-	4	· –	1
9th Circuit	87	528	28	479	-	108
Criminal	27	180	-	162	. –	45
U.S. Civil	22	82	1	72	.	31
Private Civil	37	226	23	217	.	23
Admin. Appeals	1	40	4	28		9
10th Circuit	176	292	22	273	_	40
Criminal	79	121	-	112	-	20
U.S. Civil	16	21	2	21		1
Private Civil	74	141	16	135	-	19
Admin. Appeals	7	9	4	5		-
11th Circuit	43	425	20	381	2	65
0			_			
Criminal	16	232	5	205		38
U.S. Civil	3	22	3	20	-	2
Private Civil	23	169	11	155	2	24
Admin. Appeals	1	2	1	1		1

*Revised

Note: Administrative appeals include applications for enforcement or petitions for review of orders of an administrative board or agency.

TABLE B 3. UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS Source of Appeals and original proceedings commenced, by circuit for the twelve month periods ended JUN. 30, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, AND 1991

	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SDURCE	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
TOTAL APPEALS	35,176	37,524	39,734	40,898	42,033
US DISTRICT COURTS BANKRUPTCY. ADMIN. AGENCIES, TOTAL US TAX CT FCC FERC FTC NLRB ICC INS OTHER ADMIN. AGENCIES ORIG. PROC.	30,798 1,040 2,723 436 76 154 219 4 561 97 74 273 829 615	32,686 1,153 3,043 512 53 86 377 4 491 184 77 183 1,076 642	34,995 1,130 2,965 419 44 85 513 18 543 141 114 285 803 644	36,609 1,087 2,578 418 36 86 321 2 483 231 96 327 578 624	37,410 1,247 2,764 459 36 127 194 465 165 65 607 642 612
DC CIR	1,583	1,925	1,771	1,705	1,597
US DISTRICT COURT BANKRUPTCY US TAX COURT NLRB ADMIN. AGENCIES DRIG. PRDC	868 5 39 38 601 32	823 2 32 25 1,009 34	874 1 21 36 811 28	980 7 16 30 650 22	969 6 31 561 26
1ST CIR	1,110	1,239	1,287	1,168	1,255
ME MA NH PR. BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT. NLRB. ADMIN AGENCIES. ORIG. PROC.	86 426 86 341 21 4 14 21 15	121 479 62 94 395 19 13 15 32 9	90 522 71 132 360 29 9 16 27 31	94 473 64 123 319 26 6 17 26 20	159 481 73 139 326 27 7 11 22 10
2ND CIR	3,008	2,942	3,172 ·	3,424	3,511
CT. NY,N. NY,E. NY,S. NY,H. VT. BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT. NLRB. ADMIN AGENCIES. DRIG. PROC.	230 246 710 1,266 217 36 65 56 68 75 39	228 223 622 1,353 205 37 65 36 75 69 29	257 225 747 1,416 228 35 51 42 80 50 41	243 264 749 1,555 241 59 75 42 86 51 59	288 284 653 1,551 259 84 102 99 67 74 50
3RD CIR	2,595	2,933	3,088	2,943	3,021
DE. NJ. PA,E. PA,M. PA,Y. VI. BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT. NLRB. ADMIN AGENCIES. ORIG. PROC.	106 556 731 249 520 112 91 31 93 77 29	129 618 948 363 477 117 77 29 60 71 44	117 691 983 375 490 120 90 20 64 107 31	121 647 997 359 461 78 105 21 38 73 43	124 680 975 343 513 99 106 40 42 58 41

SOURCE	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
4TH CIR	2,886	3,203	3,287	3,235	3,472
MD. NC, E. KC, M. SC. VA, E. VA, E. VA, E. WV, N. HV, N. BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT. NLRB. ADMIN AGENCIES. ORIG. PRDC.	586 312 134 156 239 793 212 58 142 88 17 33 94 22	658 306 159 176 272 753 173 100 169 225 40 30 115 27	647 340 145 184 276 832 224 110 155 125 25 43 154 27	640 322 187 159 279 813 248 108 181 66 35 38 140 19	688 280 225 172 310 901 258 102 187 97 18 39 171 24
5TH CIR	4,301	4,331	4,759	5,048	5,606
LA, E. LA, M. LA, W. MS, N. MS, S. TX, N. TX, E. TX, S. TX, S. TX, W.	750 163 432 94 203 595 351 831 409	740 161 344 128 213 608 312 881 472	819 125 433 154 248 659 302 941 681	765 160 372 136 225 645 354 1,071 857	778 216 346 166 255 802 421 1,154 962
CZ BANKRUPTCY US TAX COURT NLRB ADMIN AGENCIES DRIG. PROC	147 30 16 203 77	135 47 23 199 68	119 39 25 122 92	159 39 25 143 97	164 50 22 166 104
6TH CIR	3,817	3,831	4,195	4,217	4,161
KY, E. KY, W. MI, E. MI, W. OH, S. TN, E. TN, M. BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT. NLRB. ADMIN AGENCIES. ORIG. PROC.	252 296 978 201 537 473 247 211 230 86 28 102 138 38	307 274 1,003 267 517 462 235 206 196 63 48 91 139 23	328 313 1,013 505 497 358 219 196 87 34 93 188 39	361 338 993 335 527 466 372 245 239 95 32 61 118 35	347 291 1,007 352 580 458 310 232 253 108 31 77 78 37
7TH CIR	2,173	2,409	2,703	2,940	2,999
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,E BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT NLRB ADMIN AGENCIES ORIG. PROC.	879 170 161 186 149 202 176 72 39 51 62 26	930 203 197 232 201 196 204 64 38 50 57 37	992 205 186 259 247 284 243 83 29 61 86 28	1,123 247 204 280 268 304 227 63 40 68 82 34	1,121 303 210 274 310 306 195 91 21 55 94

TABLE B 3. UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS Source of appeals and original proceedings commenced, by circuit for the twelve month periods ended jun. 30, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991

SOURCE	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
8TH CIR	2,209	2,387	2,677	2,722	2,795
AR,E AR,H IA,N IA,S	261 117 61 127	345 90 96 133	394 112 101 186	374 172 92 204	367 137 113 217
MN MD,E MD,W NE	308 394 389 211	319 379 429 182	403 441 447 197	386 546 418 155	397 558 445 181
NDSD BANKRUPTCY US TAX COURT	53 114 86 19	66 111 94 37	61 135 63 47	97 96 77 20	73 92 105 17
NLRB ADMIN AGENCIES ORIG. PROC	14 28 27	30 44 32	17 37 36	22 35 28	27 32 34
9TH CIR	5,652	6,334	6,305	6,787	6,751
AK AZ CA.N CA.E CA,C		107 552 815 336 1,430	86 519 902 391 1,435	98 595 874 385 1,636	104 575 856 413 1,574
CA,S HI ID MT NV	258 165 96 131 311	282 662 110 143 275	351 197 76 214 267	568 177 82 145 335	339 207 85 176 326
OR HA,E HA,H GUAM	353 85 355	338 112 359 35	414 114 434 39	391 182 429 36	504 158 374 34
NMI. BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT NLRB. ADMIN AGENCIES	17 209 109 107	15 208 119 63 186	11 272 89 81 263	18 222 113 76 262	14 234 105 50 455
DRIG. PROC	142	187	150	163	168
10TH CIR		2,066	2,144	2,233	2,429
KS NM OK,N OK,E	321 172 162	273 236 139 143	315 250 188 120	361 297 226 81	396 315 218 138
OK, W UT WY BANKRUPTCY	375 130 88	398 128 91 95	445 143 89 95	436 154 107 98	411 209 79 96
US TAX COURT NLRB ADMIN AGENCIES ORIG. PROC	11 57	44 10 56 93	23 17 60 65	23 13 40 53	36 23 67 31
11TH CIR	3,875	3,924	4,346	4,476	4,436
AL,N	184 181	379 182 156 213	421 188 191 242	408 243 221 284	402 231 278 248
FL,M. FL,S. GA,N GA,M	633 1,027 546	702 1,117 524 178	765 1,246 611 164	814 1,175 727 186	883 1,023 655 205
GA,S. BANKRUPTCY. US TAX COURT. NLRB.	216 108 35	178 197 106 29 19	178 115 41 10	176 94 31 9	218 111 31 21
ADMIN AGENCIES DRIG. PROC	. 75	63 59	98 76	57 51	62 68

TABLE B 3. UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS Source of appeals and original proceedings commenced, by circuit for the twelve month periods ended jun. 30, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS

TABLE B-3A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS SOURCE OF APPEALS IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES FROM U. S. DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

 CIRCUIT	APPE	ALS COMMEN	ICED	APPEA	LS DISPO	SED OF
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL
TOTAL	37,410	27,461	9,949	36,475	27,277	9,198
DC	969	654	315	841	665	176
1ST	1,178	814	364	1,166	846	320
ME MA NH RI PR	159 481 73 139 326	94 372 50 79 219	65 109 23 60 107	116 509 63 131 347	74 410 47 82 233	42 99 16 49 114
2ND	3,119	2,355	764	3,316	2,478	838
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	288 284 653 1,551 259 84	246 223 426 1,211 207 42	42 61 227 340 52 42	335 290 744 1,592 286 69	273 232 472 1,227 234 40	62 58 272 365 52 29
3RD	2,734	2,254	480	2,661	2,147	514
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	124 680 975 343 513 99	86 557 835 302 419 55	38 123 140 41 94 44	137 659 988 355 420 102	98 527 812 310 357 43	39 132 176 45 63 59
4TH	3,123	2,322	801	3,040	2,375	665
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,E WY,N WY,S	688 280 225 172 310 901 258 102 187	539 217 102 119 227 718 215 60 125	149 63 123 53 83 183 43 42 62	667 323 194 179 292 869 238 103 175	555 271 109 119 229 695 206 79 112	112 52 85 60 63 174 32 24 63
5TH	5,100	3,774	1,326	4,599	3,421	1,178
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W	778 216 346 166 255 802 421 1,154 962	685 199 300 138 217 604 351 767 513	93 17 46 28 38 198 70 387 449	764 190 344 151 238 674 387 981 870	680 182 296 130 204 498 322 654 455	84 8 21 34 176 65 327 415
6TH	3,830	2,956	874	4,076	3,281	795
KY,E KY,W MI,E DH,N DH,S TN,E TN,W	347 291 1,007 352 580 458 310 232 253	274 242 752 323 477 354 205 186 143	73 49 255 29 103 104 105 46 110	376 348 1,058 372 601 458 359 246 258	316 302 822 350 507 356 266 201 161	60 46 236 22 94 102 93 45 97

TABLE B-3A. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS SOURCE OF APPEALS IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES FROM U. S. DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

 CIRCUIT	APPEA		ENCED	АРРЕА	LS DISPOSI	ED OF
 AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL
 7TH	2,719	2,176	543	2,600	2,074	526
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H	1,121 303 210 274 310 306 195	937 200 176 230 271 196 166	184 103 34 44 39 110 29	1,091 239 196 259 285 284 246	868 162 172 221 253 189 209	223 77 24 38 32 95 37
8TH	2,580	1,987	593	2,590	2,042	548
AR, E AR, W IA, N IA, S MN MO, E ND, H SD	367 137 113 217 397 558 445 181 73 92	319 100 66 167 296 464 340 116 55 64	48 37 47 50 101 94 105 65 18 28	364 159 104 195 400 578 452 153 94 91	323 131 56 157 300 461 372 111 72 59	41 28 48 38 100 117 80 42 22 32
9тн	5,739	3,948	1,791	5,522	3,793	1,729
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,S HI MT NY DR HA,E HA,H GUAM NMI	104 575 856 413 1,574 339 207 85 176 326 504 158 374 34 14	73 386 697 289 1,087 126 134 50 129 256 335 97 261 15 13	31 189 159 124 487 213 73 35 47 70 169 61 113 19 1	88 565 879 390 1,409 446 180 69 151 321 410 155 406 33 20	66 375 727 238 1,053 145 126 46 107 248 248 248 97 290 15 12	22 190 152 356 301 54 23 44 73 162 58 116 18 8
10TH	2,176	1,670	506	2,219	1,648	571
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	410 396 315 218 138 411 209 79	344 334 172 172 100 327 163 58	66 62 143 46 38 84 46 21	407 379 331 231 117 481 177 96	321 299 171 173 93 382 136 73	86 80 160 58 24 99 41 23
11ТН	4,143	2,551	1,592	3,845	2,507	1,338
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S	402 231 278 248 883 1,023 655 205 218	363 202 140 148 499 458 455 139 147	29 138 100 384 565 200	384 243 212 208 708 1,072 644 205 169	349 199 142 114 445 535 465 137 121	35 44 70 94 263 537 179 68 48

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

		FROM FI NOTICI Appeal Filing Brit	E OF . TO . LAST	FROM FILING LAST BRIEF TD HEARING OR SUBMISSION		FROM HE To Fi Dispos	INAL	FROM SUE To Fi Dispos		NOTI APPE FI	FILING CE DF AL TO NAL SITION	FINAL DIS- Position in Appellate	
CIRCUIT	TOTAL Cases	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV
· ·					ALL CA	SES							
TOTAL	22,707	17,125	4.7	18,083	3.2	10,033	2.5	12,674	1.1	20,938	10.2	20,938	23.3
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST	695 721 1,566 2,141 2,681 2,475 1,441 1,884 3,608 1,629 2,340	288 648 1,133 913 2,096 2,142 987 1,356 2,820 1,428 2,075	7.8 4.1 3.2 4.6 5.0 9 4.1 5.9 4.1 5.9 5.2 5.7	426 671 1,184 1,301 979 2,185 2,254 1,055 1,402 3,029 1,474 2,123	1.8 1.0 1.9 3.0 1.9 4.4 5.0 3.2 5.3 3.4 3.5	351 485 1,149 386 759 725 1,244 764 862 1,704 544 1,060	1.9 2.6 1.6 3.4 2.4 1.6 3.3 2.7 2.8 3.2	344 236 417 1,140 1,382 1,956 1,231 677 1,022 1,904 1,085 1,280	.4 2.7 .2 2.1 1.0 1.1 1.3 .5 .3 2.0 1.9	454 680 1,447 1,418 1,967 2,495 2,325 1,340 1,808 3,215 1,560 2,229	11.4 8.2 6.8 6.4 8.5 10.1 12.8 8.8 15.4 11.3 12.5	454 680 1,447 1,418 1,967 2,325 1,340 1,808 3,215 1,560 2,229	23.3 24.2 22.0 20.0 19.2 21.5 22.6 25.9 20.5 27.3 22.4 25.1
	<u> </u>			PF	LISONER	PETITIONS	; 					1	
TOTAL	5,594	3,285	4.1	3,285	3.2	773	2.4	4,821	1.0	5,594	8.1	5,594	19.4
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST	61 78 307 334 841 665 755 476 704 575 430 368	12 64 177 214 72 636 219 365 434 372 318	9.2 4.6 3.1 4.8 3.2 5.0 9 5.9 5.9 5.3	12 64 177 214 72 636 219 365 434 372 318	2.3 1.6 2.6 2.6 5.8 5.8 5.1 4.2	4 20 141 13 61 48 79 65 152 76 24 90	.2 2.8 1.0 3.3 2.1 1.2 3.6 2.8 3.0 4.6 3.1	57 58 166 321 780 617 676 411 552 499 406 278	.4 2.8 .3 1.2 .8 1.0 1.2 .5 .4 .5 1.8 2.0	61 78 307 334 841 665 755 476 704 575 430 368	10.7 7.7 6.3 5.5 4.4 7.1 9.1 12.3 6.0 14.5 6.9 12.6	61 78 307 334 841 665 755 476 704 575 430 368	17.8 16.0 18.0 15.8 19.8 18.7 20.2 18.4 25.7 13.9 24.6
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					OTHER	CIVIL						I	
TOTAL	8,571	7,555	4.2	7,555	3.6	5,073	2.7	3,498	1.4	8,571	10.8	8,571	29.4
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST. SECOND. THIRD. FOURTH. FIFTH. SIXTH. SEVENTH. EIGHTH. NINTH. TENTH. ELEVENTH.	266 387 632 707 660 1,030 951 556 617 1,316 619 830	159 371 544 659 427 947 914 494 546 1,136 575 783	8.620269649 3.0269649 3.5.534 4.5534	159 371 544 659 427 947 944 546 1,136 575 783	1.5 1.1 3.9 5.6 4.8 6.9 3.6	137 269 550 238 385 456 662 421 391 818 236 510	2.5 1.2 3.8 65 3.9 4.6 3.9 4.6	129 118 82 469 275 574 289 135 226 498 383 320	.4 3.1 2.2 1.4 1.5 .5 2.3 2.0	266 387 632 707 660 1,030 951 556 617 1,316 619 830	11.3 8.0 6.3 10.8 9.3 10.8 13.0 10.6 16.8 14.6 11.9	266 387 632 707 660 1,030 951 556 617 1,316 619 830	28.7 29.0 27.4 24.4 24.7 29.7 28.0 32.9 27.8 33.8 31.7 30.3

TABLE B4. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Median Time Intervals in cases terminated after hearing or submission, by circuit During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

- 		•	FROM F Notic Appear Filing Bri	E DF L TO LAST	FROM F LAST B To heat Dr Submis	RIEF RING	FROM HI To Fi Dispos	INAL	TO F	BMISSION INAL Sition	NOTI APPE FI	FILING CE OF AL TO NAL SITION	FINAL POSIT	COURT TO
	CIRCUIT	TOTAL Cases	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTY	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTV	CASE	INTY
·						CRIMI	NAL							
	TOTAL	6,193	5,785	5.7	5,785	2.9	3,185	2.2	3,008	1.2	6,193	11.0	6,193	20.1
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FIRST SECOND THIRD FOURTH FIFTH SIXTH SEVENTH EIGHTH NINTH ELEVENTH ELEVENTH	124 204 473 332 425 740 571 289 415 1,202 444 974	114 203 380 325 388 694 553 258 380 1,145 421 924	6.8 5.7 3.7 5.4 5.4 5.5 3.5 6.4 7.1	114 203 380 325 388 694 553 258 380 1,145 421 924	2.3 1.0 2.6 .7 2.8 1.4 2.6 3.1 2.9 4.4 3.1 3.3	82 171 372 97 242 156 392 213 234 583 253 390	1.5 2.4 .7 3.0 1.6 3.5 2.4 2.4 3.2	42 33 101 235 183 584 179 76 181 619 191 584	.5 1.5 .1 2.3 1.3 1.0 1.4 .7 .3 1.4 1.9	124 204 473 332 425 740 571 289 415 1,202 444 974	12.3 9.6 7.7 7.3 10.9 9.4 12.6 9.1 13.7 11.7 13.0	124 204 473 332 425 740 571 289 415 1,202 444 974	18.8 21.2 20.2 17.8 18.1 17.1 20.1 23.5 17.1 23.1 19.7 22.6
			·		ADMII	ISTRAT	IVE AGENCY	1		·				
	TOTAL	1,241	· _	-	954	3.4	650	2.6	591	.9		_		-
	DISTRICT DF CQLUMBIA. FIRST. SECOND. THIRD. FOURTH. FIFTH. SIXTH. SEVENTH. EIGHTH. NINTH. TENTH. ELEVENTH.	218 29 75 153 97 121 85 50 232 54 54			137 23 51 59 66 89 112 68 46 209 46 48	1.7 1.0 1.4 .7 3.0 1.2 4.9 5.1 3.7 8.2 6.8 3.4	125 17 53 17 47 40 76 52 37 138 18 30	1.6 2.5 1.9 2.4 3.4 3.1 1.5 4.2 3.6 3.0 3.5 2.2	93 12 22 56 106 57 45 33 13 94 36 24	.4 1.4 .0 2.2 1.0 1.5 1.4 .5 .5 .2 1.5 1.9				
			·		r <u>i-</u>	BANKRU	JPTCY							
	TOTAL	580	500	3.6	500	3.8	335	2.8	245	1.4	580	10.9	580	20.5
	DISTRICT OF COLUMSIA FIRST SECOND FOURTH FOURTH SIXTH SIXTH SEVENTH EIGHTH NINTH TENTH ELEVENTH	3 11 35 45 41 60 48 19 72 122 67 57	3 10 32 41 26 53 39 16 65 105 60 50	6.9 2.7 3.3 2.7 4.0 3.6 3.5 2.7 3.2 5.5 3.1 4.1	3 10 32 41 26 53 39 16 65 105 60 50	4.5 1.4 1.5 1.0 3.3 1.6 4.2 4.5 6.6 4.4 3.5	1 8 31 22 25 33 13 46 85 13 40	3.757563134 3.3.3.2.34 4.169 2.9	2 3 4 27 19 35 15 6 26 37 54 17	.5 4.9 2.1 1.0 1.3 1.2 .7 2.0 1.7	3 11 35 45 41 60 48 19 72 122 67 57	15.1 9.0 7.0 5.7 10.4 11.2 11.2 10.8 16.7 11.2 11.4	3 11 35 45 41 60 48 19 72 122 67	30.9 14.6 17.6 12.4 17.3 17.7 22.9 20.8 17.6 28.7 21.7 19.2

TABLE 84. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Median time intervals in cases terminated after hearing or submission, by circuit During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991											
	TOTAL	PERCENT		TER	MINATIONS O	N THE MERI	TS				
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	OF TOTAL TERMI- NATIONS	TOTAL	AFFIRMED/ ENFORCED	DISMISSED	REVERSED	REMANDED	OTHER	PERCENT REVERSED®		
ALL CIRCUITS	41,414	54.8	22,707	17,988	1,370	2,503	595	251	11.1		
CRIMINAL	9,198 2,316 4,263 8,115 12,583 1,201 3,148 590	67.3 63.1 55.2 51.1 49.3 48.3 39.4 89.5	6,193 1,461 2,354 4,147 6,203 580 1,241 528	5,390 1,192 1,724 3,068 4,884 413 845 472	134 160 113 603 240 52 46 22	460 82 411 384 897 100 135 34	122 21 84 70 148 10 140	87 6 22 22 34 5 75	7.4 5.6 17.5 9.3 14.5 17.2 10.9		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	2,034	34.2	695	474	18	113	88	2	16.8		
CRIMINAL	176 86 356 187 9 1,157 27	70.5 55.8 52.2 36.1 42.8 33.3 18.8 85.2	124 48 186 13 80 3 218 23	93 42 133 12 60 2 112 20	3 1 5 - 4 3	21 3 39 1 17 1 31	7 27 1 71	2	16.9 6.3 21.0 7.7 21.3 14.2		
FIRST CIRCUIT	1,254	57.5	721	580	18	99	-24		14.0		
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	320 49 195 92 510 30 45 13	63.8 69.4 62.6 47.8 52.0 36.7 64.4 92.3	204 34 122 44 265 11 29 12	182 25 91 34 208 7 23 10	4 2 - 3 1 2 2	14 3 22 10 45 3 -	4 2 7 - 9 - 2	-	6.9 8.8 18.0 22.7 17.0 27.3 6.9		
SECOND CIRCUIT	3,691	42.4	1,566	1,143	194	70	127	32	4.5		
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	838 168 360 568 1,382 102 224 49	56.4 56.5 36.1 37.3 36.3 34.3 34.3 33.5 89.8	473 95 130 212 502 35 75 44	399 66 88 116 363 21 47 43	17 27 18 72 45 7 8	16 - 7 12 28 4 2 1	34 15 10 52 3 12	7 1 2 14 6	3.4 5.4 5.7 5.6 11.4 2.7		
THIRD CIRCUIT	2,916	52.3	1,526	1,223	129	148	25	1	9.7		
CRIMINAL U.S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U.S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	514 127 248 662 1,110 89 126 40	64.6 64.6 60.5 38.1 50.2 50.6 57.9 87.5	332 82 150 252 557 45 73 35	299 65 120 137 464 38 68 32	5 12 5 79 25 2 1	25 4 19 24 64 5 4 3	3 1 5 12 4 -	- - - - - -	7.5 4.9 12.7 9.5 11.5 11.1 5.5		
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,357	63.8	2,141	1,788	83	238	28	4	11.1		
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	665 325 272 940 838 83 211 23	63.9 80.3 67.6 61.9 56.6 49.4 72.5 91.3	425 261 184 582 474 41 153 21	387 240 136 481 382 33 112 17	5 2 8 47 15 1 1	30 16 34 53 67 7 28 3	3 2 6 1 10 - 6 -	1	7.1 8.1 18.5 9.1 14.1 17.1 18.3		
FIFTH CIRCUIT	5,021	53.4	2,681	2,104	181	340	55	1	12.8		
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,178 189 380 1,148 1,704 134 196 92	82.8 58.2 50.5 48.3 49.2 44.8 49.5 96.7	740 110 192 555 838 60 97 89	649 85 131 385 660 44 70 80	25 16 13 78 34 9 4	61 8 37 74 126 7 20 7	5 1 10 18 18 3 -		8.2 7.3 19.3 13.3 15.0 11.7 20.6		

B-5. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS APPEALS TERMINATED ON THE MERITS, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

TOTAL PERCENT TERMINATIONS ON THE MERITS										
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	TOTAL APPEALS TERMI- NATED	OF TOTAL TERMI- NATIONS	TOTAL	AFFIRMED/ Enforced	DISMISSED	REVERSED	REMANDED	OTHER	PERCENT REVERSED*	
SIXTH CIRCUIT	4,456	55.5	2,475	2,160	14	264	37		10.7	
CRIMINAL U. S. PRIŠONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL. PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS. ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	795 241 439 1,310 1,291 109 238 33	71.8 60.8 63.1 46.5 52.2 44.0 50.8 87.9	571 146 277 609 674 48 121 29	522 139 221 570 547 36 100 25	1 25 1 - - 1	38 5 44 36 112 11 15 3	10 - 7 2 11 1 6		6.7 3.4 15.9 5.9 16.8 22.9 12.4	
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,824	51.0	1,441	1,120	91	189	35	6	13.3	
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL. PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPICY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS. ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	528 267 199 670 938 62 143 19	54.9 60.3 56.8 47.0 47.2 30.6 59.4 84.2	289 161 113 315 443 19 85 16	239 123 86 250 331 9 66 16	13 25 4 30 16 1 2	28 9 17 32 83 7 13	9 5 2 10 2 4	- 1 1 3 - -	9.7 5.6 15.0 10.2 18.7 36.8 15.3	
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	2,818	66.9	1,884	1,328	325	192	36	3	10.3	
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	548 214 340 804 684 123 76 29	75.7 76.6 61.8 67.3 59.4 58.5 65.8 89.7	415 164 210 541 406 72 50 26	360 101 144 282 325 56 37 23	10 50 21 208 29 5 2 2 2	36 9 41 40 47 10 8 1	8 4 13 5 1 1	1	8.7 5.5 19.5 7.4 11.6 13.9 16.0	
NINTH CIRCUIT	6,497	55.5	3,608	2,832	155	366	74	181	10.3	
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS. ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,729 249 833 802 1,909 254 548 173	69.5 53.4 51.5 55.1 46.5 48.0 42.3 93.1	1,202 133 429 442 887 122 232 161	991 119 323 357 691 88 121 142	31 4 19 32 37 11 14 7	89 7 34 132 17 3 12	19 5 5 13 2 30	72 3 10 14 14 4 64	7.4 5.3 16.8 7.7 14.9 13.9 1.3	
TENTH CIRCUIT	2,439	66.8	1,629	1,290	99	188	39	13	11.5	
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS. ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	571 171 256 401 820 101 90 29	77.8 67.8 58.2 78.3 57.3 66.3 60.0 51.7	444 116 149 314 470 67 54 15	379 88 114 233 374 47 43 12	8 13 4 8 13 9 3 1	43 11 28 21 68 10 5 2	11 4 - 7 14 - 3	3 - 3 5 1 -	9.7 9.5 18.8 6.7 14.5 14.9 9.3	
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	4,107	57.0	2,340	1,946	63	296	27	8	12.9	
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,338 230 385 682 1,210 105 94 63	72.8 48.3 55.1 39.3 50.2 54.3 57.4 90.5	974 111 212 268 607 57 54 57	890 99 137 211 479 32 46 52	12 4 9 10 17 6 2 3	59 7 51 47 108 18 4 2	9 1 13 - 1 1 2 -	4 - 2 - 2	6.1 6.3 24.1 17.5 17.8 31.6 7.4	

B-5. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Appeals terminated on the merits, by circuit During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

• PERCENT NOT SHOWN WHERE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES TERMINATED ON THE MERITS IS LESS THAN 10. NO PERCENTAGES OF CASES Reversed or denied has been computed for original proceedings because of their difference from appeals, nor have they been included in the percentage of total appeals reversed.

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

	8-5	A. U.S	. COURT	IS OF A	PPEALS	
APPEALS	TERMI	NATED I	BY PROC	CEDURAL	JUDGMENTS.	BY CIRCUIT
DURING	THE	TWELVE	MONTH	PERIOD	ENDED JUNE	30, 1991

-

······································	PROCEDURAL TERMINATIONS												
	TOTAL	<u> </u>			BY	JUDGES	······				BY	STAFF	
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	TOTAL Appeals Termi- Nated	TOTAL	CROSS APPEALS	TOTAL	JURIS DEFECTS	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	CPC DENIAL	OTHER	TOTAL	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	OTHER
ALL CIRCUITS	41,414	14,706	93	5,436	2,470	608	360	1,259	739	9,177	5,515	3,270	392
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	9,198 2,316 4,263 8,115 12,583 1,201 3,148 590	1,867 761 1,555 3,793 5,083 519 1,072 56	2 12 3 70 1 5 -	735 244 380 2,310 1,295 156 290 26	287 130 224 777 849 88 115 -	230 30 44 52 186 19 47	40 38 28 125 109 12 8	1,258 1 - - -	178 46 84 98 150 37 120 26	1,130 517 1,163 1,480 3,718 362 777 30	773 130 837 300 2,688 268 519	322 379 305 1,155 913 89 107	35 8 21 25 117 5 151 30
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	2,034	553		145	77	50	4	-	14	408	321	64	23
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIY. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	176 86 356 187 9 1,157 27	22 29 86 19 95 3 295 4		5 13 27 31 1 63 2	3 11 14 3 14 1 31	1 8 16 24	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		1 - - 7 2	17 16 59 16 64 232 232 2	5 7 49 1 45 212	10 9 8 15 17 - 5 -	2 2 2 15 2
FIRST CIRCUIT	1,254	484	-	129	90	1	2	20	16	355	230	113	12
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	320 49 195 92 510 30 45 13	91 15 71 47 226 19 14 1		11 5 15 29 65 3 1	8 4 9 7 60 2 -		- 1 1 - - -	20	3 1 4 1 5 1 1 -	80 10 56 18 161 16 13 1	48 3 41 10 109 13 6 -	31 7 14 7 49 3 2	1 1 3 - 5 1
SECOND CIRCUIT	3,691	1,771	2	278	8	11	- 1	117	142	1,491	770	622	99
CRIMIKAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	838 168 360 568 1,382 102 224 49	179 68 206 353 774 58 128 5		20 15 13 155 56 8 9 2	- - 3 4 1 -			- 116 1 -	20 15 13 36 40 7 9 2	159 53 193 198 716 50 119 3	76 55 105 19 464 27 74	63 45 80 164 218 21 31	20 3 8 15 34 2 14 3
THIRD CIRCUIT	2.916	1,150	-	548	287	18	1	172	70	602	305	293	4
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	514 127 248 662 1,110 89 126 40	104 36 90 406 430 37 45 2	1	46 8 29 284 141 20 20 -	20 7 22 107 114 11 6 -	1 	- - - - - -	172	25 1 5 21 5 8 -	58 28 61 122 289 17 25 25 2	42 39 20 170 10 20	16 24 22 102 117 7 5	
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,357	827	-	414	154	30	1	202	27	413	318	83	12
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS DTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	665 325 272 940 838 83 211 23	109 43 54 313 228 31 49 -		10 22 12 280 60 7 23 -	5 15 10 73 33 5 13	- 6 1 19 19 1 3 -		202	5 1 4 8 1 7 -	99 21 42 33 168 24 26 -	80 14 33 12 144 17 18	14 6 9 17 23 7 7	5 1 4 1 1 -
FIFTH CIRCUIT	5,021	1,767	52	726	498	13	10	183	22	989	451	496	42
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,178 189 380 1,148 1,704 134 196 92	256 68 149 565 586 59 81 3	1 	75 27 39 362 176 17 29 1	66 26 34 175 161 12 24 -	1 - - 9 1 1 -	1 1 2 4 2 -		7 1 3 2 2 2 4 1	180 41 100 202 372 42 50 2	114 54 38 201 15 24 -	64 34 163 145 25 23 -	2 2 4 1 26 2 3 2

	B-5A.	U.S. C	OURTS OF	APPEALS	
				L JUDGMENTS,	
DURING	S THE TH	IELVE MO	NTH PERIC	ID ENDED JUNE	30, 1991

	1	PROCEDURAL TERMINATIONS											
	TOTAL				BY .	IUDGES					BY	STAFF	
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	APPEALS TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	CROSS APPEALS	TOTAL	JURIS DEFECTS	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	CPC DENIAL	OTHER	TOTAL	FRAP 42*	DEFAULT	OTHER
SIXTH CIRCUIT	4,456	1,754		645	380	24	28	162	51	1,109	541	536	32
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	795 241 439 1,310 1,291 109 238 33	168 82 148 672 533 53 94 4		73 19 44 317 136 13 41 2	63 17 33 131 112 12 12 12 -	1 3 1 12 1 6 -	- 1 2 21 4 -	162 	9 1 6 2 8 - 23 2	95 63 104 355 397 40 53 2	63 14 71 26 288 34 45 -	32 48 31 329 82 6 8 8 -	- 1 2 27 - 2
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,824	1,119	-	460	158	10	173	66	53	659	525	130	4
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS QRIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	526 267 199 670 938 62 143 19	117 103 73 342 407 40 34 34 3		38 39 17 222 121 13 10 -	19 11 9 69 43 6 1	1 2 	5 22 6 73 57 5 5 5	- - - - - -	13 4 2 14 16 2 2 -	79 64 56 120 286 27 24 3	78 21 52 71 255 25 23	1 43 49 30 2 1	
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	2,818	692	2	335	145	44	16	123	7	355	270	74	11
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	548 214 340 804 684 123 76 29	61 46 88 241 193 38 23 23 2	- - 1 1 - -	29 19 18 168 77 14 8 2	18 12 16 38 43 12 6 -	4 1 5 31 2 -	4 1 2 3 - -	123	3 2 2	32 27 70 72 115 24 15	32 8 62 38 100 23 7 -	19 8 33 13 1 -	- - 1 2 - 8
NINTH CIRCUIT	6,497	2,407	-	1,107	265	350	112	104	276	1,300	864	306	130
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,729 249 833 802 1,909 254 548 173	377 104 355 347 856 108 248 12	-	353 58 104 264 225 35 65 3	32 14 33 67 97 10 12	211 20 17 45 50 6 1	21 7 14 24 40 5 1	104	89 17 40 24 38 14 51 3	24 46 251 83 631 73 183 9	13 18 194 11 506 60 62	10 27 54 70 115 12 18	1 3 2 10 103 9
TENTH CIRCUIT	2,439	684		180	84	33	1	7	55	504	327	163	14
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	571 171 256 401 820 101 90 29	102 54 96 269 31 32 14		25 5 24 23 69 8 14 12	14 2 12 5 42 3 6	8 6 1 15 - 3	1		2 3 6 10 12 5 5 12	77 49 72 63 200 23 18 2	54 59 13 159 21 13 -	20 41 13 49 34 2 4	3 - 1 7 - 1 2
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	4,107	1,498	37	469	324	24	12	103	6	992	593	390	9
CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV, PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	1,338 230 385 682 1,210 105 94 63	281 113 139 402 486 42 29 6	1 - 2 1 29 1 3 -	50 14 38 203 138 17 7 2	39 11 32 99 126 13 4 -	2 5 12 4 1 -	8 1 1 - - 1 -	- - 103 - - -	1 2 - - 1 2	230 99 198 319 24 19 4	168 23 78 41 247 21 15 -	61 76 20 157 70 3 3 -	1 1 2 1 4

* VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL UNDER RULE 42(B), FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

·	DURING	THE TWELVE N	IONTH PER	IOD ENDED JUN	IE 30, 19	91
	FIL	INGS	TERM	INATIONS	PE	NDING
CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF Total
ALL CIRCUITS	42,033	100.0	41,414	100.0	32,627	100.0
CRIMINAL	9,949	23.7	9,198		9,474	
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	2,390	5.7	2,316		1,638	
OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	4,273 8,188	10.2 19.5	4,263 8,115	10.3	3,284	
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	12,610	30.0	12,583	30.4	9,861	30.2
BANKRUPTCY	1,247	3.0	1,201	2.9	970	
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS Original proceedings	2,764 612	6.6 1.5	3,148 590	7.6 1.4	2,629 125	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	1,597	100.0	2,034	100.0	1,395	100.0
CRIMINAL	315	19.7	176	8.7	360	19.0
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	93	5.8	86	4.2	83	4.4
OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	347 43	21.7 2.7	356	17.5	379	20.0
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	171	10.7	187	9.2	158	8.3
BANKRUPTCY	6 596	0.4	9	0.4	7	
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	26	37.3 1.6	1,157 27	56.9 1.3	857	45.2 0.3
FIRST CIRCUIT	1,255	100.0	1,254	100.0	686	100.0
CRIMINAL	364	29.0	320	25.5	287	
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL	47 175	3.7 13.9	49 195	3.9 15.6	21 66	3.1 9.6
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	81	6.5	92	7.3	37	
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	511	40.7	510	40.7	240	35.0
BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	27 40	2.2 3.2	30 45	2.4 3.6	15 20	2.2
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	10	0.8	13	1.0	-	-
SECOND CIRCUIT	3,511	100.0	3,691	100.0	988	100.0
CRIMINAL.	764	21.8	838	22.7	399	40.4
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL	159 357	4.5	168 360	4.6	36	3.6 7.9
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	543	15.5	568	15.4	105	10.6
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,296	36.9	1,382	37.4	278	28.1
BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	102 240	2.9	102	2.8 6.1	21 68	2.1 6.9
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	50	1.4	49	1.3	3	
THIRD CIRCUIT	3,021	100.0	2,916	100.0	1,497	100.0
CRIMINAL.	480	15.9	514	17.6	281	18.8
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL	143 244	4.7	127 248	4.4 3.5	67	4.5
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	640	8.1 21.2	662	22.7	232	15.5
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,227	40.6	1,110	38.1	663	44.3
BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	106 140	3.5 4.6	89 126	3.1 4.3	57	3.8 5.3
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	41	1.4	40	1.4	16	
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,472	100.0	3,357	100.0	2,259	100.0
CRIMINAL	801	23.1	665	19.8	720	31.9
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	321	9.2	325	9.7	147	6.5
OTHER U. S. CIVIL PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	247 882	7.1 25.4	272	8.1 28.0	190 281	8.4 12.4
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	872	25.1	838	25.0	659	29.2
BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	97 228	2.8	83 211	2.5	74	3.3 8.0
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	24	0.7	23	0.7	101	0.3
FIFTH CIRCUIT	5,606	100.0	5,021	100.0	3,738	100.0
CRIMINAL	1,326	23.7	1,178	23.5	975	26.1
U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL	200 415	3.6 7.4	189 380	3.8 7.6	114	3.0 7.2
PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	1,221	21.8	1,148	22.9	271 590	15.8
OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,938	34.6	1,704	33.9	1,467	39.2
BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS	164 238	2.9 4.2	134 196	2.7 3.9	126 173	3.4 4.6
ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	104	1.9	92	1.8	22	0.6
			and the second			

TABLE B-6. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS Appeals filed, terminated, and pending, by circuit During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

		DURING	THE TWELVE M	IONTH PER	IOD ENDED JUN	E 30, 19	21
-		FIL	.INGS	TERM	INATIONS	PEI	NDING
	CTRCUTT AND	· ·	DEDCENT OF				ACACENT OF
	CIRCUIT AND NATURE OF PROCEEDING	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF Total
	NATORE OF PROCEEDING	NORDER	TOTAL	NORDEN	FUTAL	NOMDER	TUTAL
Ξ				1			
	SIXTH CIRCUIT	4,161	100.0	4,456	100.0	2,713	100.0
						700	
	CRIMINAL	874	21.0	795	17.8	723	
	U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER U. S. CIVIL	219 427	5.3 10.3	241 439	5.4 9.9	102	3.8 10.2
	PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	1,082	26.0	1,310	29.4	447	16.5
	OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,228	29.5	1,291	29.0	936	34.5
	BANKRUPTCY	108	2.6	109	2.4	76	2.8
	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	186	4.5	238	5.3	144	5.3
	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	37	0.9	33	0.7	9	0.3
	CEVENTH CEDCULT	1 000	100.0	2 9 9 4	100.0	2 704	100.0
	SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,999	100.0	2,824	100.0	2,704	100.0
	CRIMINAL	543	18.1	526	18.6	623	23.0
	U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	212	7.1	267	9.5	154	5.7
	OTHER U. S. CIVIL	199	6.6	199	7.0	155	5.7
	PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	753	25.1	670	23.7	601	22.2
	OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,012	33.7	938	33.2	887	32.8
	BANKRUPTCY	91	3.0	62	2.2	76	2.8
	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	170	5.7	143	5.1	206	7.6
	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	19	0.6	19	0,7	. 2	0.1
	EIGHTH CIRCUIT	2,795	100.0	2,818	100.0	1,750	100.0
	CRIMINAL	593	21.2	548	19.4	481	27.5
	U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	205	7.3	214	7.6	87	5.0
	OTHER U. S. CIVIL	321	11.5	340	12.1	203	11.6
	PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	810	29.0	804	28.5 24.3	362 498	20.7
	BANKRUPTCY	651 105	3.8	684	4.4	63	28.5 3.6
	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	76	2.7	76	2.7	48	2.7
	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	. 34	1.2	29	1.0	8	
			1. A.				
	NINTH CIRCUIT	6,751	100.0	6,497	100.0	8,187	100.0
	POTMINAL	1 701	26 E	1 720	76.6	2 200	28.0
	CRIMINAL U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	1,791	26.5 5.0	1,729	26.6 3.8	2,289 470	5.7
	OTHER U. S. CIVIL	840	12.4	833		995	12.2
	PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	936	13.9	802	12.3	1,108	13.5
	OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,837	27.2	1,909	29.4	2,393	29.2
	BANKRUPTCY	234	3.5	254	3.9	295	3.6
	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	610	9.0	548	8.4	602	7.4
	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	168	2.5	173	2.7	35	0.4
	TENTH CIRCUIT	2,429	100.0	2,439	100.0	2,039	100.0
		-, += /		-,+0/			
	CRIMINAL	506	20.8	571	23.4	458	22.5
	U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	201	8.3	171	7.0	130	
	OTHER U. S. CIVIL	313	12.9	256	10.5	263	12.9
	RIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	392	16.1	401	16.4	235	11.5 36.1
	BANKRUPTCY	96	31.5 4.0	820	33.6 4.1	737	3.9
	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	126	5.2	90	3.7	132	6.5
	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	31	1.3	29	1.2	5	0.2
			·		1		1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -
	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	4,436	100.0	4,107	100.0	4,171	100.0
	CRIMINAL	1,592	35.9	1,338	22 4	1 070	45.0
	U. S. PRISONER PETITIONS	255	5.7	230	32.6 5.6	1,878	45.0 5.4
	OTHER U. S. CIVIL	388	8.7	385	9.4	306	7.3
	PRIV. PRISONER PETITIONS	805	18.1	682	16.6	603	14.5
	OTHER PRIVATE CIVIL	1,103	24.9	1,210	29.5	945	22.7
	BANKRUPTCY.	111	2.5	105	2.6	81	1.9
	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.	114	2.6	94	2.3	119	2.9
	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS	68	1.5	63	1.5	12	0.3
			·····	!			

TABLE B-6. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS APPEALS FILED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

HOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS.

	TABLE B-7. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS	
NATURE OF SUIT	OR OFFENSE OF APPEALS ARISING FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS, BY CIRCUIT	
	DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991	

	······································			· · · · ·			CIRCUIT				·		
NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE	TOTAL	D.C.	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH	FIFTH		SEVENTH	EIGHTH	NINTH	TENTH	ELEVENTH
TOTAL CASES	37,410	969	1,178	3,119	2,734	3,123	5,100	3,830	2,719	2,580	5,739	2,176	4,143
TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES	9,949	315	364	764	480	801	1,326	874	543	593	1,791	506	1,592
TOTAL CIVIL CASES	27,461	654	814	2,355	2,254	2,322	3,774	2,956	2,176	1,987	3,948	1,670	2,551
U.S. CASE9	6,663	440	222	516	387	568	615	646	411	526	1,175	514	643
U.S. PLAINTIFF	991	52	43	89	53	49	151	75	41	90	174	99	75
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS. OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. CONDEMNATION OF LAND OTHER REAL PROP.ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS CIVIL RIGHTS:	28 88 16 82 20	- 2 - 2 1	3 - 3 1	1 4 2 1 2	- 222	- 7 2 1 2	12 24 5 13 3	2 3 1 1 1	- 3 - 3 -	2 10 - 13 2	4 18 2 12 5	3 9 1 21 3	1 6 1 10 -
EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS FORFEITURE AND PENALTY. FAIR LABOR STAND. ACT OTHER LABOR SECUR.,COMMOD., & EXCHG TAX SUITS ALL OTHER	59 41 138 30 38 25 8/1 338	3 2 1 - 1 40	- 3 18 2 - 1 12	1 4 16 3 1 5 6 43	3 4 9 5 5 1 7 13	7 4 3 1 - 5 15	5 7 14 2 4 - 5 57	6 4 11 3 10 4 10 19	9 2 7 - 3 - 6 8	3 5 16 5 3 - 7 24	6 3 27 3 6 7 24 57	5 2 7 1 2 3 10 32	11 1 9 4 3 4 7 18
U.S. DEFENDANT	5,672	388	179	427	334	519	464	571	370	436	1,001	415	568
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS CIVIL RIGHTS:	159 107 384	20 2 27	10 3 8	4 8 25	8 3 23	6 5 34	26 15 43	14 9 32	7 6 18	12 9 24	27 31 88	10 11 26	15 5 36
EMPLOYMENT OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS FRISONER PETITIONS:	309 435	44 43	13 18	28 54	19 26	28 28	21 21	43 29	9 42	15 28	39 89	16 25	34 32
MOTIONS TO VACATE SEN HABEAS CORPUS PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS OTHER PRIS. PETITIONS LABOR SUITS Social Security Lams Environmental Matters FREEDIM_OF INFOR. Act	1,154 506 389 289 69 686 332 96 98	3 16 51 6 16 9 1 13 40	28 10 3 - 55 2 4 2	103 26 12 14 11 39 25 9 4	86 25 21 11 4 56 17 4 5	118 1 21 181 4 48 12 4 2	116 34 23 2 64 26 12 5	122 65 27 4 6 104 38 6 4	88 39 56 29 3 26 20 2 -	106 58 20 21 71 25 5 4	173 90 65 7 10 89 109 35 22	44 99 50 8 9 47 30 2 2	167 43 40 5 3 78 27 - 8
ALL OTHER	659	97	23	65	26	27	53	68	25	37	127	36	75
PRIVATE CASES	20,798	214	592	1,839		1,754	3,159	2,310	1,765	1,461	2,773		1,908
FEDERAL QUESTION	16,668	160	411	1,428	1,372	1,426	2,495	1,903	1,571	1,204	2,318	848	1,532
MARINE CONTRACT OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS. EMPLOYERS LIABILITY ACT MARINE INJURY OTHER TORT ACTIONS ANTITRUST CIVIL RIGHTS:	134 494 85 231 426 190	26 1 1 17 1	4 20 - 6 21 1	21 31 9 35 11	6 15 14 6 18 52	12 39 12 9 19 13	50 136 7 163 77 16	1 17 3 5 37 5	- 17 6 9 85 20	- 15 1 13 14	26 119 19 71 25		14 42 3 25 14
EMPLOYMENT DTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS: HABEAS CORPUS	1,339 2,505 3,391	10 27 2	56 112 35	90 243	87 236	84 165	200 280	158 279	163 251	74 119	120 399	116 153	181 241
PRISENER CURIOS PRISENER CURIOS. OTHER PRIS. PEITIIONS LABOR MGMT. RELAT. ACT. OTHER LABOR CPYRGHT,PATENT & TROMRK SECUR., COMMOD. & EXCHG CONST. OF STATE STATS ALL OTHER	3,371 4,655 70 321 758 306 352 100 1,311	2 35 2 4 14 1 - 1 18	35 44 1 8 20 5 14 2 62	314 225 4 49 60 71 65 15 176	255 380 5 25 55 34 31 10 143	269 595 6 12 66 16 14 12 83	511 689 13 26 88 12 29 - 198	394 684 58 98 26 30 8 96	285 466 1 26 77 30 30 9 96	313 481 13 19 32 14 24 9 57	431 490 13 60 \$36 75 72 21 222	204 181 6 17 42 5 20 8 48	378 385 2 17 70 17 23 5 112
DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	4,088	54	181	411	453	328	664	407	194	257	455	308	376
INSURANCE OTHER CANTRACT ACTIONS. Real property actions. Personal Injury - prod.	794 1,625 123	2 10 1	25 51 2	43 208 7	102 177 6	49 165 7	92 239 30	69 138 13	53 129 2	41 95 15	164 175 14	56 112 15	98 126 11
LIABILITY DTHER PERSONAL INJURY PERS. PROP. DAMAGE ALL OTHER.	447 737 231 131	1 24 	14 48 20 21	48 58 40 7	71 76 15 6	24 61 17 5	89 153 33 28	68 84 33 2	3 5 1 1	27 40 30 9	21 59 19 3	46 60 16 3	35 69 7 30
GENERAL LOCAL JURISDICTION	42		-	_	42	-	-	-		-	-	_	-
CONTRACT ACTIONS REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS TORT ACTIONS PRISONER PETITIONS ALL OTWER	10 5 18 - 9	-			10 5 18 - 9			-	· •			-	

TABLE B-7. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE OF APPEALS ARISING FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS, BY CIRCUIT DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

				laraava	TUTOD	CRUDTU	CIRCUIT		e e v e v e v				
NATURE OF SUIT OR OFFENSE	TOTAL	D.C.		SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH			SEVENTH		NINTH		ELEVENTH
TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES	9,949	315	364	764	480	801	1,326	874	543	593	1,791	506	1,592
GENERAL OFFENSES													
HOMICIDE, TOTAL	66	-	4	6	9	5	5	4	1	4	24	2	
MURDER, FIRST DEGREE OTHER HOMICIDE	52 14	-	4	3	72	3 2	4	3 1	1 -	4	19 5	2	-
OBBERY, TOTAL	417		8	21	12	37	16	31	33	19	151	20	6
BANK OTHER ROBBERY	405 12	-	7	19 2	12	37	15 1	31	31 2	19	148 3	20	6
SSAULT	86	2		3	4	13	3	6	6	12	21	7	
URGLARY	46	1	-	2	10	2	2	4	5	3	14	-	
ARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL	225	4.	4	15	25	20	28	21	18	21	43	3	2
INTERSTATE COMMERCE TRANSPORTATION, ETC. OF	63	2	1	12	8	1	7	2	9	3	8	_	1
STOLEN PROPERTY Postal Other Larceny and Theft	48 42 72	- - 2	1 2 -		.3 5 9	3 4 12	7 6 8	8 3 8	5 2 2	6 7 5	12 11 12	1 - 2	1
MBEZZLEMENT	140	-	5	12	3	9	21	9	10	9	27	12	2
RAUD, TOTAL	1,247	9	44	119	90	79	160	105	78	78	223	98	16
INCOME TAX Postal and interstate	207	-	12	16	16	12	25	22	10	9	48	15	2
HIRE, RADIO, ETC LENDING AND CREDIT FALSE CLAIMS & STATMNTS OTHER FRAUD	341 204 150 345	- 3 3	12 9 3 8	35 23 13 32	25 9 12 28	19 21 7 20	43 28 15 49	26 16 12 29	33 8 7 20	25 7 14 23	54 41 31 49	30 11 18 24	3 2 1 6
UTO THEFT	38	-	-	3	-	1	7	9	2	2	- 3	5	Ū
ORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	128	1	1	13	4	7	12	14	1	4	33	7	3
EX OFFENSES	93	-	2	1	4	7	3	4	2	16	37	10	
RUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT, TOTAL	5,570	271	212	443	206	459	742	489	283	327	847	263	1,02
DAPCA MARIHUANA Dapca Narcotics Dapca control.substance	909 4,001 660	268 2	19 186 7	14 413 16	4 164 38	34 392 33	28 <i>3</i> 333 126	75 362 52	37 217 29	28 235 64	178 547 122	88 121 54	14 76 11
IISC. GENERAL OFFENSES TOTAL	1,247	11	44	87	83	128	204	124	85	66	207	52	15
BRIBERY	49	1	4	2	7	10	7	2	. 5	. 1	5	1	
EXTORTION, RACKETEERING AND THRE/IS GAMBLING, LOTTERY KIDNAPPING FIREARMS, HEAPONS Escade	136 22 26 715 126	2 - 3	- - 1 27 3	31 4 2 34 6	15 1 36	10 5 68 10	10 5 4 137 29	13 5 5 78	7 	4 2 43	16 5 126	4 - 1 36	2
ESCAPE. PERJURY. DRUNK DRIVING/TRAFFIC. DTHER MISCELLANEDUS	35 37	3	-	3	6 1 1	4	29 5 3	6 4 2	8 2 -	11 3 -	21 5 24	7 -	1
GENERAL OFFENSES	101	2	9	1	16	13	4	9	29	2	5	а З	
PECIAL OFFENSES				.									
INMIGRATION LAWS	144	1	8	4	1		44			3	64	7	
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE					-	-	-		-		-	-	
FEDERAL STATUTES, TOTAL	502	15	32	35	29	30	79	54	19	29	97	20	6
AGRICULTURAL ACTS ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS. NATIONAL DEFENSE LANS CIVIL RIGHTS MITNESS INTIMIDATION. EXPLOSIVES/NON-VESSEL	33 14 36 13 49 57	2 - 5	- 1 1 5 -	- - 1 6 1	1 - 2 - 4	4 - - 1 9		2 1 11 3 8 3	- - 4 - 8	1 3 - 2 2 6	14 1 - 1 7	- 3 - 1 2 1	1
OTHER	300	8	21	27	22	15		26	7	15	65	13	2

NOTE: TOTALS INCLUDE REOPENED, REMANDED, AND REINSTATED APPEALS AS WELL AS ORIGINAL APPEALS

		Appeals I	Filed, Termin	or the Federal nated, and Pe flod Ended Ju	nding	1	
				Termina	ations		
	Pending	[Pending
	July 1,					Percent	June 30,
Source of Appeal	1990	Filed	Total	By Judges	Other	Reversed	1991
Total*	709	1,484	1,424	901	523	14	769
Secretary of Agriculture	· [1		-			1
Board of Contract							
Appeals	55	80	84	68	16	16	51
Court of International							
Trade	37	32	40	38	2	38	29
Court of Veterans							
Appeals	-	40	22	15	7	• •••	18
Department of Veterans							
Affairs	5	61	37	8	29		29
U.S. Claims Court	108	166	169	136	33	22	105
U.S. District Courts	194	263	278	206	72	25	179
International Trade							
Commission	6	9	6	2	4	-	9
Merit Systems Protection							
Board	225	676	627	312	315	2	274
Patent and Trademark							
Office	74	135	137	94	43	13	72
~							· · · ·

24

22

2

2

Table B-8

* There were no appeals from decisions of the Secretary of Commerce or the General Accounting Office.

21

5

Writs**.....

**This category includes writs of mandamus, other extraordinary writs, petitions for permission to appeal and discretionary petitions for review.

		FILINGS		T	ERMINATIONS			PENDING	•
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1990	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1990	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 *	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991	PERCENT CHANGE
TOTAL	217,879	207,742	-4.7	213,922	211,713	-1.0	244,570	240,599	-1.6
DC	3,281	3,099	-5.6	3,327	3,051	-8.3	3,846	3,894	1.2
1ST	7,853	7,448	-5.2	6,948	7,138	2.7	11,504	11,814	2.7
ME MA NH RI PR	661 4,129 594 689 1,780	691 3,871 579 648 1,659	4.5 -6.3 -2.5 -6.0 -6.8	653 3,372 509 629 1,785	756 3,631 567 624 1,560	15.8 7.7 11.4 -0.8 -12.6	530 7,459 835 751 1,929	465 7,699 847 775 2,028	-12.3 3.2 1.4 3.2 5.1
2ND	19,070	18,570	-2.6	18,105	15,349	-15.2	27,290	30,511	11.8
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	2,414 1,488 4,432 8,772 1,599 365	2,651 1,473 4,741 7,925 1,423 357	9.8 -1.0 7.0 -9.7 -11.0 -2.2	2,257 1,414 3,956 8,541 1,606 331	2,453 1,380 3,809 6,020 1,351 336	8.7 -2.4 -3.7 -29.5 -15.9 1.5	3,251 3,188 5,847 12,151 2,444 409	3,449 3,281 6,779 14,056 2,516 430	6.1 2.9 15.9 15.7 2.9 5.1
3RD	21,158	20,075	-5.1	20,137	19,066	-5.3	22,006	23,015	4.6
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	779 5,439 9,271 2,234 2,869 566	835 5,561 8,254 1,879 2,761 785	7.2 2.2 -11.0 -15.9 -3.8 38.7	619 5,767 8,389 1,956 2,896 510	658 5,466 7,427 1,961 2,779 775	6.3 -5.2 -11.5 0.3 -4.1 52.0	945 5,159 9,785 1,739 3,001 1,377	1,122 5,254 10,612 1,657 2,983 1,387	18.7 1.8 8.5 -4.7 -0.6 0.7
4TH	17,424	16,814	-3.5	18,376	16,417	-10.7	15,711	16,108	2.5
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H WY,N WY,S	3,500 1,288 711 715 2,977 4,614 1,604 549 1,466	3,450 1,323 653 763 3,655 3,504 1,582 506 1,378	-1.4 2.7 -8.2 6.7 22.8 -24.1 -1.4 -7.8 -6.0	3,969 1,327 815 621 3,204 4,581 1,641 559 1,659	3,291 1,231 632 718 2,839 3,811 1,677 577 1,641	$ \begin{array}{r} -17.1 \\ -7.2 \\ -22.5 \\ 15.6 \\ -11.4 \\ -16.8 \\ 2.2 \\ 3.2 \\ -1.1 \end{array} $	3,410 971 596 775 2,481 3,335 1,774 733 1,636	3,569 1,063 617 820 3,297 3,028 1,679 662 1,373	4.7 9.5 5.8 32.9 -9.2 -5.4 -9.7 -16.1
5TH	29,173	27,246	-6.6	29,351	29,845	1.7	35,769	33,170	-7.3
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, M	4,860 1,125 3,174 1,214 1,831 4,962 3,020 5,983 3,004	4,962 1,296 2,690 987 1,752 4,586 2,751 5,497 2,725	2.1 15.2 -15.3 -18.7 -4.3 -7.6 -8.9 -8.1 -9.3	5,502 976 2,991 1,139 2,134 4,867 2,975 5,878 2,889	5,358 1,161 3,115 1,043 2,415 5,214 2,427 6,011 3,101	-2.6 19.0 4.1 -8.4 13.2 7.1 -18.4 2.3 7.3	3,795 1,350 3,691 1,348 3,640 5,109 6,004 7,827 3,005	3,399 1,485 3,266 1,292 2,977 4,481 6,328 7,313 2,629	$ \begin{array}{r} -10.4 \\ 10.0 \\ -11.5 \\ -4.2 \\ -18.2 \\ -12.3 \\ 5.4 \\ -6.6 \\ -12.5 \\ \end{array} $
6TH	24,543	21,385	-12.9	21,860	29,449	34.7	33,905	25,841	-23.8
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,E TN,H	1,732 1,626 4,824 1,841 7,032 2,450 2,238 1,500 1,300	2,004 1,480 5,110 1,642 4,439 2,386 1,652 1,390 1,282	15.7 -9.0 5.9 -10.8 -36.9 -2.6 -26.2 -7.3 -1.4	1,956 1,677 5,101 1,893 3,267 2,812 2,152 1,730 1,272	1,699 1,473 5,101 1,811 12,195 2,737 1,873 1,269 1,291	$\begin{array}{r} -13.1 \\ -12.2 \\ 0.0 \\ -4.3 \\ 273.3 \\ -2.7 \\ -13.0 \\ -26.7 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$	1,566 1,845 4,391 1,711 16,541 3,088 1,875 1,121 1,767	1,871 1,852 4,400 1,542 8,785 2,737 1,654 1,242 1,758	19.5 0.4 0.2 -9.9 -46.9 -11.4 -11.8 10.8 -0.5
			- 1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

TABLE C. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 AND 1991

TABLE C. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 AND 1991

		FILINGS		TI	ERMINATIONS			PENDING	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1990	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIDD ENDED JUNE 30, 1990	PERIOD Ended June 30, 1991	PERCENT CHANGE	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 *	PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991	PERCENT CHANGE
7TH	17,007	16,686	-1.9	17,784	17,021	-4.3	14,991	14,656	-2.2
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S MI,E WI,H	7,989 1,131 1,224 1,385 2,907 1,476 895	8,273 1,192 1,327 1,431 2,080 1,321 1,062	3.6 5.4 8.4 3.3 -28.5 -10.5 18.7	8,687 1,061 1,430 1,807 2,400 1,477 922	8,014 1,140 1,302 1,634 2,528 1,359 1,044	-7.8 7.4 -9.0 -9.6 5.3 -8.0 13.2	6,313 1,225 1,515 1,490 2,793 1,357 298	6,572 1,277 1,540 1,287 2,345 1,319 316	4.1 4.2 1.7 -13.6 -16.1 -2.8 6.0
8TH	14,328	13,898	-3.0	15,093	13,856	-8.2	15,021	15,063	0.3
AR,E AR,H IA,S MN MD,E ND,E NE SD	2,274 975 557 2,089 2,772 2,399 1,361 447 418	1,928 945 629 1,060 1,942 2,879 2,292 1,261 548 414	-15.2 -3.1 12.9 2.3 -7.0 3.9 -4.5 -7.4 22.6 -1.0	2,384 1,104 624 1,136 2,140 2,822 2,661 1,355 450 417	2,250 916 579 1,121 1,936 2,664 2,256 1,271 450 413	-5.6 -17.0 -7.2 -1.3 -9.5 -5.6 -15.2 -6.2 0.0 -1.0	2,056 567 586 2,244 1,912 2,887 2,481 1,505 454 329	1,734 596 636 2,183 1,918 3,102 2,517 1,495 552 330	$ \begin{array}{r} -15.7 \\ 5.1 \\ 8.5 \\ -2.7 \\ 0.3 \\ 7.4 \\ 1.5 \\ -0.7 \\ 21.6 \\ 0.3 \\ \end{array} $
9TH	30,699	30,523	-0.6	31,136	30,058	-3.5	33,328	33,793	1.4
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,S HI ID MT BR HI BR MA.H GUAM NMI	595 2,863 4,801 2,549 8,824 1,923 1,025 1,925 1,925 1,925 1,925 1,925 1,925 1,925 1,964 2,323 81 22	642 2,893 4,643 2,228 9,479 1,861 784 566 753 1,698 1,948 1,948 1,948 2,226 81 31	7.9 1.0 -3.3 -12.6 7.4 -3.2 -23.5 -8.4 -2.5 -0.8 -3.4 -3.4 -2.5 -0.8 -3.4 -0.8 -3.4 -2.5 -0.8 -3.4 -2.5 -0.8 -3.5 -0.8 -3.5 -0.8 -3.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -2.5 -0.8 -	563 2,597 4,837 2,182 8,966 2,243 1,148 655 914 1,615 1,987 1,096 2,239 66 28	615 2,639 4,360 2,193 8,806 2,044 823 585 1,062 1,935 2,026 850 2,016 80 24	9.2 1.6 -9.9 0.5 -1.8 -8.9 -28.3 -10.7 16.2 19.8 2.0 -22.5 -10.0 21.2 -14.3	1,029 3,240 4,600 2,750 8,465 2,325 1,756 1,268 1,863 1,638 627 2,081 897 40	1,056 3,494 4,883 2,785 9,138 2,142 1,717 730 959 1,626 1,560 467 2,291 898 47	$\begin{array}{c} 2.6 \\ 7.8 \\ 6.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 8.0 \\ -7.9 \\ -2.2 \\ -2.5 \\ -24.4 \\ -12.7 \\ -4.8 \\ -25.5 \\ 10.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 17.5 \end{array}$
10TH	11,340	10,382	-8.5	11,538	11,069	-4.1	11,271	10,584	-6.1
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	2,355 1,931 1,295 1,136 684 2,288 1,240 411	2,083 1,885 1,233 986 710 1,949 1,143 393	-11.6 -2.4 -4.8 -13.2 3.8 -14.8 -7.8 -4.4	2,324 2,118 1,291 1,082 633 2,470 1,189 431	2,403 1,836 1,165 1,103 740 2,100 1,338	3.4 -13.3 -9.8 1.9 16.9 -15.0 12.5 -10.9	2,124 1,979 1,764 1,607 386 1,345 1,753 313	1,804 2,028 1,832 1,490 356 1,194 1,558 322	-15.1 2.5 3.9 -7.3 -7.8 -11.2 -11.1 2.9
11TH	22,003	21,616	-1.8	20,267	19,394	-4.3	19,928	22,150	11.2
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,S FL,S GA,N GA,M GA,S	2,785 1,493 1,170 1,075 4,248 5,100 3,432 1,099 1,601	3,018 1,456 1,031 1,164 4,216 4,748 3,291 1,074 1,618	$ \begin{array}{r} 8.4 \\ -2.5 \\ -11.9 \\ 8.3 \\ -0.8 \\ -6.9 \\ -4.1 \\ -2.3 \\ 1.1 \\ \end{array} $	2,644 1,434 1,120 972 4,133 4,481 3,344 972 1,167	2,815 1,403 987 1,031 3,673 4,176 3,120 911 1,278	6.5 -2.2 -11.9 6.1 -11.1 -6.8 -6.7 -6.3 9.5	2,088 1,102 1,165 1,171 4,216 4,019 3,382 1,415 1,370	2,291 1,155 1,209 1,304 4,759 4,591 3,553 1,578 1,710	9.7 4.8 3.8 11.4 12.9 14.2 5.1 11.5 24.8

*Revised

·			IENCED, TE		AND PENDI			VE MONTH	PERIOD EN	DED JUNE	30, 1991	
	T	OTAL CIV	IL CASES		U	.S. CIVI	L CASES		PRIV	ATE CIVI	L CASES	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JUNE 30, 1990 *	COM- Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1991	PENDING JUNE 30, 1990	COM- Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1991	PENDING JUNE 3C, 1990	COM Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1991
TOTAL	244,570	207,742	211,713	240,599	46,948	52,654	51,562	48,040	197,622	155,088	160,151	192,559
DC	3,846	3,099	3,051	3,894	1,890	1,326	1,204	2,012	1,956	1,773	1,847	1,882
1ST	11,504	7,448	7,138	11,814	1,714	2,338	2,058	1,994	9,790	5,110	5,080	9,820
ME MA NH RI PR	530 7,459 835 751 1,929	691 3,871 579 648 1,659	756 3,631 567 624 1,560	465 7,699 847 775 2,028	146 763 121 98 586	206 1,084 133 115 800	239 831 123 102 763	113 1,016 131 111 623	384 6,696 714 653 1,343	485 2,787 446 533 859	517 2,800 444 522 797	352 6,683 716 664 1,405
2ND	27,290	18,570	15,349	30,511	3,809	3,647	3,184	4,272	23,481	14,923	12,165	26,239
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	3,251 3,188 5,847 12,151 2,444 409	2,651 1,473 4,741 7,925 1,423 357	2,453 1,380 3,809 6,020 1,351 336	3,449 3,281 6,779 14,056 2,516 430	373 425 1,429 1,107 382 93	475 356 1,281 1,026 397 112	374 356 1,190 789 381 94	474 425 1,520 1,344 398 111	2,878 2,763 4,418 11,044 2,062 316	2,176 1,117 3,460 6,899 1,026 245	2,079 1,024 2,619 5,231 970 242	2,975 2,856 5,259 12,712 2,118 319
3RD	22,006	20,075	19,066	23,015	2,297	3,032	3,026	2,303	19,709	17,043	16,040	20,712
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	945 5,159 9,785 1,739 3,001 1,377	835 5,561 8,254 1,879 2,761 785	658 5,466 7,427 1,961 2,779 775	1,122 5,254 10,612 1,657 2,983 1,387	94 785 549 243 587 39	95 922 843 397 755 20	88 931 798 434 751 24	101 776 594 206 591 35	851 4,374 9,236 1,496 2,414 1,338	740 4,639 7,411 1,482 2,006 765	570 4,535 6,629 1,527 2,028 751	1,021 4,478 10,018 1,451 2,392 1,352
4TH	15,711	16,814	16,417	16,108	3,108	4,315	4,106	3,317	12,603	12,499	12,311	12,791
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H HY,N HY,S	3,410 971 596 775 2,481 3,335 1,774 733 1,636	3,450 1,323 653 763 3,655 3,504 1,582 506 1,378	3,291 1,231 632 718 2,839 3,811 1,677 577 1,641	3,569 1,063 617 820 3,297 3,028 1,679 662 1,373	446 269 147 193 732 340 399 201 381	736 426 213 236 1,240 576 388 130 370	632 420 207 245 1,055 556 417 167 407	550 275 153 184 917 360 370 164 344	2,964 702 449 582 1,749 2,995 1,175 532 1,255	2,714 897 440 527 2,415 2,928 1,194 376 1,008	2,659 811 425 473 1,784 3,255 1,260 410 1,234	3,019 788 464 636 2,380 2,668 1,309 498 1,029
5TH	35,769	27,246	29,845	33,170	7,139	6,601	7,335	6,405	28,630	20,645	22,510	26,765
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	3,795 1,350 3,691 1,348 3,640 5,109 6,004 7,827 3,005	4,962 1,296 2,690 987 1,752 4,586 2,751 5,497 2,725	5,358 1,161 3,115 1,043 2,415 5,214 2,427 6,011 3,101	3,399 1,485 3,266 1,292 2,977 4,481 6,328 7,313 2,629	439 162 1,245 186 344 1,391 699 1,730 943	529 185 984 187 359 1,324 425 1,689 919	622 190 1,322 200 385 1,477 464 1,605 1,070	346 157 907 173 318 1,238 660 1,814 792	3,356 1,188 2,446 1,162 3,296 3,718 5,305 6,097 2,062	4,433 1,111 1,706 800 1,393 3,262 2,326 3,808 1,806	4,736 971 1,793 843 2,030 3,737 1,963 4,406 2,031	3,053 1,328 2,359 1,119 2,659 3,243 5,668 5,499 1,837
6ТН	33,905	21,385	29,449	25,841	4,485	5,772	5,391	4,866	29,420	15,613	24,058	20,975
KY,E MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	1,566 1,845 4,391 1,711 16,541 3,088 1,875 1,121 1,767	2,004 1,480 5,110 1,642 4,439 2,386 1,652 1,390 1,282	1,699 1,473 5,101 1,811 12,195 2,737 1,873 1,269 1,291	1,871 1,852 4,400 1,542 8,785 2,737 1,654 1,242 1,758	477 299 874 204 1,020 728 281 203 399	858 319 1,602 317 1,015 746 307 282 326	671 297 1,402 260 961 831 316 277 376	664 321 1,074 261 1,074 643 272 208 349	1,089 1,546 3,517 1,507 15,521 2,360 1,594 918 1,368	1,146 1,161 3,508 1,325 3,424 1,640 1,345 1,108 956	1,028 1,176 3,699 1,551 11,234 1,906 1,557 992 915	1,207 1,531 3,326 1,281 7,711 2,094 1,382 1,034 1,409

TABLE C 1. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	CIVIL C	ASES CUMM	ENCED, IE	RMINATED	AND PENUI			VE MUNIH	PERIOD EN	JED JUNE	30, 1991	
	T	OTAL CIV	IL CASES		U	.S. CIVI	_ CASES		PRIV	ATE CIVI	L CASES	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JUNE 30, 1990	COM- Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1991	PENDING JUNE 30, 1990	COM- Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1991	PENDING JUNE 30, 1990	COM- Menced	TERMI- NATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1991
7TH	14,991	16,686	17,021	14,656	2,614	3,478	3,522	2,570	12,377	13,208	13,499	12,086
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	6,313 1,225 1,515 1,490 2,793 1,357 298	8,273 1,192 1,327 1,431 2,080 1,321 1,062	8,014 1,140 1,302 1,634 2,528 1,359 1,044	6,572 1,277 1,540 1,287 2,345 1,319 316	837 189 322 309 522 345 90	1,178 274 344 330 597 345 410	1,089 284 345 336 653 395 420	926 179 321 303 466 295 80	5,476 1,036 1,193 1,181 2,271 1,012 208	7,095 918 983 1,101 1,483 976 652	6,925 856 957 1,298 1,875 964 624	5,646 1,098 1,219 984 1,879 1,024 236
8TH	15,021	13,898	13,856	15,063	2,946	3,794	3,783	2,957	12,075	10,104	10,073	12,106
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MO,E ND,W ND SD	2,056 567 586 2,244 1,912 2,887 2,481 1,505 454 329	1,928 945 629 1,060 1,942 2,879 2,292 1,261 548 414	2,250 916 579 1,121 1,936 2,664 2,256 1,271 450 413	1,734 596 636 2,183 1,918 3,102 2,517 1,495 552 330	475 155 122 249 431 478 502 303 147 84	610 341 191 242 534 465 610 392 276 133	693 308 170 242 537 483 606 375 249 120	392 188 143 249 428 460 506 320 174 97	1,581 412 464 1,995 1,481 2,409 1,979 1,202 307 245	1,318 604 438 818 1,408 2,414 1,682 869 272 281	1,557 608 409 879 1,399 2,181 1,650 896 201 293	1,342 408 493 1,934 1,490 2,642 2,011 1,175 378 233
9TH	33,328	30,523	30,058	33,793	9,739	9,308	9,266	9,781	23,589	21,215	20,792	24,012
AK CA,N CA,E CA,S HI MT NV WA,E WA,W GUAM NMI	1,029 3,240 4,600 2,750 8,465 2,325 1,756 749 1,268 1,863 1,638 1,638 1,638 1,638 2,081 897 40	642 2,893 4,643 2,228 9,479 1,861 784 566 753 1,698 1,698 1,698 1,698 2,226 81 31	615 2,639 4,360 2,193 8,806 2,044 823 585 1,062 1,935 2,026 850 2,016 850 2,016	1,056 3,494 4,883 2,785 9,138 2,142 1,717 730 959 1,626 1,560 467 2,291 898 47	232 682 1,083 776 2,901 933 292 240 338 324 398 183 559 794 4	180 784 1,007 686 3,460 779 152 240 253 323 586 246 594 14 4	157 753 1,069 674 3,205 194 317 387 565 281 535 12 2	255 713 1,021 788 3,156 802 239 286 274 260 419 148 618 796 6	797 2,558 3,517 1,974 5,564 1,392 1,464 509 930 1,539 1,539 1,240 444 1,522 103 36	462 2,109 3,636 6,019 1,082 326 500 1,375 1,362 444 1,632 67 27	458 1,886 3,291 1,519 5,601 1,134 618 391 745 1,548 1,461 569 1,481 68 22	801 2,781 3,862 1,997 5,982 1,340 1,478 444 685 1,366 1,141 319 1,673 102 41
10TH	11,271	10,382	11,069	10,584	2,800	3,319	3,429	2,690	8,471	7,063	7,640	7,894
CD KS DK,N DK,E DK,E UT WY	2,124 1,979 1,764 1,607 386 1,345 1,753 313	2,083 1,885 1,233 986 710 1,949 1,143 393	2,403 1,836 1,165 1,103 740 2,100 1,338 384	1,804 2,028 1,832 1,490 356 1,194 1,558 322	398 573 541 295 92 463 347 91	568 672 434 313 284 656 310 82	571 640 409 368 240 767 347 87	395 605 566 240 136 352 310 86	1,726 1,406 1,223 1,312 294 882 1,406 222	1,515 1,213 799 673 426 1,293 833 311	1,832 1,196 756 735 500 1,333 991 297	1,409 1,423 1,266 1,250 220 842 1,248 236
11ТН	19,928	21,616	19,394	22,150	4,407	5,724	5,258	4,873	15,521	15,892	14,136	17,277
AL,N AL,S FL,N FL,M GA,N GA,N GA,S	2,088 1,102 1,165 1,171 4,216 4,019 3,382 1,415 1,370	3,018 1,456 1,031 1,164 4,216 4,748 3,291 1,074 1,618	2,815 1,403 987 1,031 3,673 4,176 3,120 911 1,278	2,291 1,155 1,209 1,304 4,759 4,591 3,553 1,578 1,710	381 192 173 381 917 1,121 590 437 215	812 243 252 447 1,070 1,562 666 404 268	757 230 243 406 985 1,370 679 319 269	436 205 182 422 1,002 1,313 577 522 214	1,707 910 992 790 3,299 2,898 2,792 978 1,155	2,206 1,213 779 717 3,146 3,186 2,625 670 1,350	2,058 1,173 744 625 2,688 2,806 2,441 592 1,009	1,855 950 1,027 882 3,757 3,278 2,976 1,056 1,056 1,496

TABLE C 1. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

*Revised

TABLE C 2. U.S. DI	STRICT COURTS
CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF	JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS	ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 AND 1991

			1991							
NATURE OF SUIT			U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASES				
	1990	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	LOCAL JURISDICTIO			
TOTAL CASES	217,879	207,742	27,564	25,090	103,496	50,944	64			
CONTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	46,039	42,418	11,439	1,186	7,072	22,494	22			
INSURANCE MARINE MILLER ACT NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS	6,884 3,313 1,569 2,997	7,405 3,159 1,200 3,097	38 69 387 1,315	108 34 	940 2,864 813 397	6,234 176 1,209	8 1			
RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS	10,878 5,365 4,963 550	7,933 3,703 3,672 558	7,672 3,691 3,672 309	87 12 75	57 - 57	116 				
OTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	20,398	19,624	1,958	784	2,001	14,759	12			
REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS, TOTAL	9,505	9,794	5,771	637	921.	2,409	5			
CONDEMNATION OF LAND FORECLOSURE RENT, LEASE, AND EJECTMENT TORTS TO LAND/INCLUDING PRODUCT LIABILITY OTHER REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS	462 7,196 349 405 1,093	540 7,318 369 508 1,059	363 5,092 75 26 215	27 254 23 57 276	132 364 107 85 233	16 1,574 161 338 320	3			
TORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	43,759	37,309	167	3,002	7,982	25,914	24			
PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	40,593	34,029	91	2,648	7,048	24,005	2:			
PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY, TOTAL AIRPLANE MARINE MOTOR VEHICLE ASBESTOS DTHER	18,679 196 330 575 13,687 3,891	12,413 132 69 547 7,150 4,515		51 2 - 11 7 31	774 7 38 19 604 106	11,577 123 31 517 6,539 4,367				
OTHER PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL AIRPLANE MARINE MOTOR VEHICLE ASSAULT, LIBEL AND SLANDER FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT MEDICAL MALPRACTICE OTHER.	21,914 834 2,961 6,157 644 2,741 1,375 7,202	21,616 906 2,893 5,825 640 2,510 1,308 7,534	91 	2,597 123 84 783 68 107 464 968	6,274 322 2,345 217 143 2,403 52 792	12,428 460 423 4,772 424 424 782 5,567	22			
PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE, TOTAL Fraud including truth in lending Other personal property damage	3,166 1,492 1,674	3,280 1,594 1,686	76 38 38	354 82 272	934 551 383	1,909 920 989				
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, TOTAL	118,465	118,095	10,183	20,262	87,509	125				
ANTITRUST	472	681	28	3	650	-				
BANKRUPTCY, TOTAL APPEAL (28 USC 158) WITHDRAWAL (28 USC 157)	<u>5,056</u> 4,231 825	<u>5,016</u> 4,287 729	129 121 8	77 63 14	4,810 4,103 707					
BANKS AND BANKING	700	744	169	220	355	· · · -				
CIVIL RIGHTS, TOTAL VOTING EMPLOYMENT HOUSING AND ACCOMODATIONS WELFARE OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	18,793 130 8,413 341 129 9,780	19,340 197 8,140 435 132 10,436	816 10 627 67 3 109	1,532 7 739 27 15 744	16,992 180 6,774 341 114 9,583					
COMMERCE (ICC RATES, ETC.)	2,401 958	1,561 1,076	98 301	7 214	1,456 561	- - -				

TABLE C 2. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	
CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AN	D NATURE DE SUIT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30,	1990 AND 1991

NATURE OF SUIT U.S. CASES PRIVATE CASES ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED 1990 TOTAL PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT CEDERAL DIVERSITY OF LUCI LCITIZENSILP LL DEPORTATION 6.1 6.3 - 5.4 9 - PRISORE PORTATION 6.1 6.3 - 5.4 9 - MARDANUS AND OTHER 12.793 12.743 - 3.72 10.325 - MARDANUS AND OTHER 12.793 12.743 - 3.72 10.325 - FORFETTURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL 6.192 5.595 5.394 191 - - - ARRIOUTINGAL ACTS. 6.192 5.595 5.394 191 - - - - ARRIOUTINGAL ACTS. 6.1 2.100 2.171 2.9 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1991</th><th></th><th></th></td<>						1991		
1990 TOTAL PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT QUESTION CITIZENSHIP JURIS ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED 61 63 - 54 9 - PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL	E OF SUIT			U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASES	_
DEPORTATION 61 63 - 54 9 - PRISOURE PETITION, TOTAL 2790 3343 - 5,635 - HUTTURAL ACT 2790 3343 - 37,625 - - HADDAMS AND OTHER 2790 23,443 - 27,321 10,325 - CIVIL RIGHTS 25,992 26,045 - 999 25,043 - FORFETURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL - 6,192 5,585 5,394 191 - - AGRIGUITURAL ACTS 17,72 2,21 13 48 - - - GOCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTY ACT 17,72 2,241 2 -		1990	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT			LOCAL URISDICTION
PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL	NS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED							
MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 2,970 3,222 - 3,322 - - - MADDANUS AND OTHER 2,770 12,443 - 2,112 10,325 - - GUIL IGHTS 2,790 12,443 - 2,112 10,325 - - GUIL IGHTS 2,595 5,394 101 - - - - AGRICULTRAL ACTS 2,595 5,394 101 - - - - AGRICULTRAL ACTS 873 106 593 47 - <td>Ν</td> <td>61</td> <td>63</td> <td>, ..</td> <td>54</td> <td>9</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td>	Ν	61	63	, . .	54	9	_	-
HABEAS CORPUS				_		35,635	 	10
MANDAMUS AND OTHER. 25,92 26,045 - 9,376 17,267 - CIVIL RICHTS. 25,985 5,394 191 - - - AGRICULTURAL ACTS. 84 101 53 48 - - FORD AND DRUG ACT. 873 606 589 17 - - DUG RELATED SETZURE OF PROPERTY 1,735 2,200 2,171 29 - - OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND MEALTH ACT. 61 74 72 2 - - - OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, SUITS. 3,376 2,563 2,466 95 -<				-		10 225	_	- 6
FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL	S AND OTHER	878		-			-	1
AGRICULTURAL ACTS	IGHTS 2	25,992	26,045	-	999	25,043	-	3
FODD AND DRUG ACT. 873 606 589 17 - - AIR TRAFFIC REGULATIONS. 62 2,171 29 - - - AIR TRAFFIC REGULATIONS. 62 41 41 - - - - GCUPARITONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT. 62 41 41 -	AND PENALTY, TOTAL							
DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 1,735 2,201 2,171 29 - - AIR TRAFFIC REGULTIONS. 61 74 72 2 - - OTHER FORFEITURE AND PEALITY SUITS. 61 74 72 2 - - CHER FORFEITURE AND PEALITY SUITS. 3,378 2,563 2,468 95 - - LABOR MAAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT. 13,841 14,686 848 146 13,692 - - LABOR MAAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT. 1,282 1,290 473 15 802 - LABOR MAAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT. 1,81 143 33 2 108 - E. R. I. S. A. A. 8,441 9,595 98 16 9,481 - OTHER LABOR LITIGATION. 1,396 1,316 17 1,159 - - CDPYRIGHT. 2,095 1,831 - - 1,831 - - PATENT. . 5,700 5,235 20 17 5,198 - - - - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>_</td></td<>							-	_
AIR TRAFFIC REGULATIONS	LATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY					-	-	, -
0THER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS. 3,378 2,563 2,468 95 - - LABOR LANS, TOTAL. 13,841 14,668 848 146 13.692 - LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT. 2,342 2,032 102 24 1,906 - LABOR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT. 181 143 33 2 108 - RAILMAY LABOR ACT. 199 165 1 8 176 - GE R. I. S. A. 8,441 9,595 98 16 9,481 - OTHER LABOR LITIGATION. 1,396 1,441 141 81 1,219 - PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL. 5,700 5,235 20 17 5,198 - COPVRIGH. 2,695 1,831 - - 1,831 - - PATENT. 1,187 1,180 4 17 1,159 - - COPVRIGH. 2,242 16 - 2,208 - - - - - - - - -				41		-	-	-
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT						-	-	
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT	ΤΟΤΑΙ	13 841	14 686	848	146	12 602	<u>.</u>	_
LABOR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT 181 143 53 2 106 - RAILMAY LABRA ACT 191 185 1 8 176 - RAILMAY LABRA ACT 8,441 9,595 98 16 9,481 - DTHER LABOR LITIGATION 1,396 1,441 141 81 1,219 - PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL 5,700 5,235 20 17 5,198 - - COPYRIGHT 7,692 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,831 - - 1,208 - - 1,831 - - 1,208 - - 1,208 - - 1,201 1,202 1,21 20 1,21 20 1,21 20 1,21 20 1,21 20 <t< td=""><td>BOR STANDARDS ACT</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	BOR STANDARDS ACT							
AILLMAY LABOR ACT. 199 185 1 3 177 E. R. I. S. A. 1,396 1,441 141 81 1,219 - DTHER LABOR LITIGATION. 1,396 1,441 141 81 1,219 - PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL. 5,700 5,235 20 17 5,198 - CDPYRIGHT 2,095 1,831 - - 1,831 - - PATENT 1,817 1,180 4 17 1,159 - - TRADEMARK 2,629 2,244 192 6 2,046 - - SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES. 2,629 2,244 192 6 2,046 - BLACK LUNG CASES 20 1 19 -<							-	-
E. R. I. S. A	ANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLUSURE ACT						. –	
OTHER LABOR LITIGATION	. S. A				- 1		· · · ·	-
CDPYRIGHT	ABOR LITIGATION			141			· · ·	
PATENT 1,187 1,187 1,180 4 17 1,159 - TRADEMARK 2,418 2,224 16 - 2,208 - SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES 2,629 2,244 192 6 2,046 - SOCIAL SECURITY LANS, TOTAL 7,439 7,692 64 7,628 - - HEALTH INSURANCE 121 125 - 126 - - - BLACK LUNG CASES 21 20 1 19 -							<u> </u>	
TRADEMARK			1,831				-	-
SOCIAL SECURITY LAWS, TOTAL				•	-		-	·
HEALTH INSURANCE	, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES	2,629	2,244	192	6	2,046	i -	·
BLACK LUNG CASES	JRITY LAWS, TOTAL			64		-	-	. –
DISABILITY INSURANCE				-		_	-	
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME						-	-	-
OTHER -	ENTAL SECURITY INCOME	1,836	1,908	-	1,908	-	.	· _
R I C 0 1,214 966 12 - 846 108 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS		249	274		274	-	-	-
STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS		-	-	-	-		· -	-
TAX SUITS 2,604 2,642 1,049 1,589 -		1,214		12	_ }		108	° ••
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 407 363 - 316 47 - CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES 315 278 3 10 246 17 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS 7,011 7,425 1,060 1,408 4,957 - OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL 111 126 4 3 12 2 DOMESTIC RELATIONS 3 3 - - - - PROBATE - - - - - - -		2,604		1,049	1,589		-	4
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES				-		-	· -	-
OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS 7,011 7,425 1,060 1,408 4,957 - OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL 111 126 4 3 12 2 DOMESTIC RELATIONS 3 3 - - - - PROBATE - - - - - -	INALITY OF STATE STATUTES			- 3			17	- 2
DOMESTIC RELATIONS				1,060			±	· ·
INSANITY	ACTIONS, TOTAL	111	126	4	3	12	2	105
PROBATE		3	3	-		-	_	3
		-	-		-	-	·	-
		108	123	-	- 2	- 12		102
					3		2	102
					ĺ			

DURING THE 12 MONTH	PERIODS END	ED JUNE 30,	1987 THROUGH 1991					
NATURE OF SUIT	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE* 1991/1990		
TOTAL CASES	239,185	239,634	233,529	217,879	207,742	-4.7		
CONTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	69,606	62,811	61,975	46,039	42,418	-7.9		
INSURANCE	7,503	7,885	8,193	6,884	7,405	7.6		
MARINE	3,678	3,465	3,221	3,313	3,159	-4.7		
MILLER ACT	1,654	1,475	1,713	1,569	1,200	-23.5		
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS	4,265	3,585	3,966	2,997	3,097	3.3		
RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS	24,233	18,676	16,467	10,878	7,933	-27.1		
DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS	2,061	3,541	6,634	5,365	3,703	-31.0		
VETERANS' OVERPAYMENT	21,029	14,187	9,060 773	4,963 550	3,672 558	-26.0 1.5		
BTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	28,273	27,725	28,415	20,398	19,624	-3.8		
REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS, TOTAL	11,586	12,209	11,217	9,505	9,794	3.0		
CONDEMNATION OF LAND	564	558	458	462	540	16.9		
FORECLOSURE	9,021	9,582	8,678	7,196	7,318	1.7		
RENT, LEASE, AND EJECTMENT.	421	475	441	349	369	5.7		
TORTS TO LAND/INCLUDING PRODUCT LIABILITY	462	453	456	405	508 1,059	25.4 -3.1		
TORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	42,977	44,961	42,090	43,759	37,309	-14.7		
PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	39,173	41,148	38,361	40,593	34,029	-16.2		
PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY, TOTAL	14,153	16,166	13,408	18,679	12,413	-33.6		
AIRPLANE	151	185	185	196	132	-32.7 -79.1		
MARINE	99 649	101 623	88	330 575	69 547	-4.9		
ASBESTOS	7,776	10,715	8,230	13,687	7,150	-47.8		
OTHER	5,478	4,542	4,243	3,891	4,515	16.0		
OTHER PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	25,020	24,982	24,953	21,914	21,616	-1.4		
AIRPLANE	719	762	711	834	906	8.6		
MARINE	3,641	3,061	3,023	2,961	2,893 5,825	-2.3		
ASSAULT, LIBEL AND SLANDER	7,137	7,264	7,653	6,157 644	640	-0.6		
FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT	2,437	2,540	2,639	2,741	2,510	-8.4		
MEDICAL MALPRACTICE	1,785	1,567	1,573	1,375	1,308	-4.9		
OTHER	8,486	8,974	8,650	7,202	7,534	4.6		
PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE, TOTAL	3,804	3,813	3,729	3,166	3,280	3.6		
FRAUD INCLUDING TRUTH IN LENDING	1,743	1,693	1,705	1,492	1,594	6.8		
OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	2,061	2,120	2,024	1,674	1,686	0.7		
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, TOTAL	114,942	119,514	118,131	118,465	118,095	-0.3		
ANTITRUST	785	682	658	472	681	44.3		
BANKRUPTCY, TOTAL	4,705	5,558	5,228	5,056	5,016	-0.8		
APPEAL (28 USC 158)	4,109	4,117	4,329	4,231	4,287	1.3		
WITHDRAWAL (28 USC 157)	596	1,441	899	825	729	-11.6		
BANKS AND BANKING	520	529	1,025	700	744	6.3		
CIVIL RIGHTS, TOTAL	19,805	19,323	19,378	18,793	19,340	2.9		
VOTING Employment	214 8,993	347 8,563	183	130 8,413	197 8,140	51.5 -3.3		
HOUSING AND ACCOMODATIONS	323	322	334	341	435	27.6		
WELFARE	158	129	122	129	132	2.3		
OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	10,117	9,962	9,739	9,780	10,436	6.7		
COMMERCE (ICC RATES, ETC.)	1,067	1,694	1,339	2,401	1,561	-35.0		
ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS.	703	889	938	958	1,076	12.3		
	1							

TABLE C-2A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 THROUGH 1991

DURING THE 12 MONTH	PERIODS END	ED JUNE 30,	1987 THROUGH	1991		
NATURE OF SUIT	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE* 1991/1990
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED				-	1	
DEPORTATION	172	105	70	61	63	3.3
PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL	37,351	38,839	41,481	42,630	42,462	-0.4
MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	1,678	2,071	2,526	2,970	3,328	12.1
HABEAS CORPUS	11,368 589	11,747	12,372	12,790 878	12,443	-2.7
CIVIL RIGHTS	23,716	24,421	25,957	25,992	26,045	0.2
FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL	3,493	3,873	4,920	6,193	5,585	-9.8
AGRICULTURAL ACTS	105	98	126	84	101	20.2
FOOD AND DRUG ACT DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY	671	869	1,158	873	606 2,200	-30.6
AIR TRAFFIC REGULATIONS	98	110	98	62	41	-33.9
DCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT	30	29	49	61	74	21.3
OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS	2,589	2,767	3,482	3,378	2,563	-24.1
LABOR LAWS, TOTAL	12,759	12,688	13,328	13,841	14,686	6.1
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACTLABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT	1,469 3,323	1,392 2,880	1,381 2,525	1,282 2,342	1,290 2,032	0.6 -13.2
LABOR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT	245	170	190	181	143	-21.0
RAILWAY LABOR ACT	260	237	235	199	185	-7.0
E. R. I. S. A.	6,079	6,704	7,592	8,441	9,595	13.7
OTHER LABOR LITIGATION	1,383	1,305	1,405	1,396	1,441	3.2
PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL	5,518	6,059	5,977	5,700	5,235	-8.2
COPYRIGHT	1,994	2,243	2,298	2,095	1,831 1,180	-12.6 -0.6
TRADEMARK	2,395	2,653	2,464	2,418	2,224	-8.0
SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES	3,021	2,638	2,608	2,629	2,244	-14.7
SDCIAL SECURITY LAWS, TOTAL	13,338	15,152	10,206	7,439	7,692	3.4
HEALTH INSURANCE.	212	217	143	121	125	3.3
BLACK LUNG CASES DISABILITY INSURANCE	75 10.035	62	20	21 5,212	20	-4.8 2.9
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME	2,609	3.044	2,303	1,836	1,908	3.9
RETIREMENT AND SURVIVORS BENEFITS	358	415	315	249	274	10.0
OTHER	49	2	-	-	-	
R I C D	1,095	959	1,049	1,214	966	-20.4
STATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS	3 2,785	2,555	3 2,402	3 2,604	9 2,642	1.5
CUSTOMER CHALLENGE	40	2,555	32	38	27	-29.0
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT	444	344	307	407	363	-10.8
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES	318	316	310	315	278	-11.8
OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS	7,020	7,277	6,872	7,011	7,425	5.9
OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL	74	139	116	111	126	13.5
DOMESTIC RELATIONS	- 4	9	3	3	3	-
INSANITYPROBATE		- 1	1 -	_	_	
OTHER	70	129	113	108	123	13.9
				ан. Алар Алар Алар		

TABLE C-2A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 THROUGH 1991

*PERCENT NOT COMPUTED WHERE BASE IS LESS THAN 10

TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	1	UNITED STATES CASES														
			1						PRISON	IER PETI	TIONS					
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL Cases	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CDN- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS		FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR Suits	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	207,742	52,654	12,625	6,408	3,169	31	2,348	3,328	2,112	999	378	5,585	994	7,692	2,638	4,347
DC	3,099	1,326	293	54	99	12	192	32	26	112	11	14	27	43	5	406
1ST	7,448	2,338	580	505	177	1	64	107	18	8	- -	261	84	282	60	191
ME MA NH RI PR	691 3,871 579 648 1,659	206 1,084 133 115 800	45 426 34 32 43	55 39 6 4 401	9 111 11 4 42	1	3 28 6 8 19	7 36 5 16 43	3 9 2 1 3	2 5 - 1		38 166 11 9 37	5 60 6 5	15 67 20 10 170	6 32 12 2 8	18 104 20 21 28
2ND	18,570	3,647	642	278	326	3	191	285	108	47	104	573	82	506	144	358
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W YT	2,651 1,473 4,741 7,925 1,423 357	475 356 1,281 1,026 397 112	101 47 261 161 66 6	54 64 35 71 29 25	22 32 189 68 11 4	3	11 14 38 105 20 3	12 6 132 106 22 7	10 31 12 50 2 3	4 1 31 2 5	- 44 59 1 -	110 74 172 73 119 25	21 6 25 23 3 4	66 58 188 108 66 20	18 10 55 43 16 2	46 13 126 125 40 8
3RD	20,075	3,032	604	235	276	3	173	160	146	72	8	212	123	508	221	291
DE NJ PA,E PA,H PA,H VI	835 5,561 8,254 1,879 2,761 785	95 922 843 397 755 20	12 174 191 41 184 2	14 59 66 30 66	2 138 85 15 31 5	3	6 67 53 15 31 1	10 47 61 14 28	1 5 102 37	1 4 52 15	2 5 1	9 62 64 26 42 9	4 39 24 11 45	23 136 122 41 186	7 69 94 15 36	6 120 79 30 53 3
4TH	16,814	4,315	952	830	236		190	293	66	94	22	447	53	706	157	269
HD HC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,E HV,N HV,S	3,450 1,323 653 763 3,655 3,504 1,582 506 1,378	736 426 213 236 1,240 576 388 130 370	247 149 82 101 188 90 48 22 25	45 3 2 4 743 2 8 1 22	57 30 10 32 77 2 5 13		52 23 10 13 12 51 10 4 15	61 25 26 33 25 66 15 13 29	2 38 1 17 5 1 2	4 23 6 3 3 46 2 5	3 15 - 1 1 1 - 1	49 69 38 53 97 40 34 21	7 5 6 3 4 6 5 3 1 4	86 10 9 147 21 214 22 191	51 3 11 9 16 40 14 5 8	72 33 8 12 16 62 24 18 24
5TH	27,246	6,601	2,356	777	370		248	456	295	49	17	538	90	667	252	486
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	4,962 1,296 2,690 987 1,752 4,586 2,751 5,497 2,725	529 185 934 187 359 1,324 425 1,689 919	116 44 141 46 124 648 125 835 277	59 44 425 36 48 39 66 46 14	73 17 45 7 16 41 14 79 78		23 52 22 3 18 63 10 34 70	41 7 10 12 18 70 24 154 120	14 5 81 3 26 56 45 24	$\frac{1}{-}$ 8 2 16 4 4 14	11	48 5 12 17 27 67 21 241 100	10 5 25 4 28 18	102 33 180 29 54 77 68 72 52	21 2 13 6 8 100 13 45 44	21 18 36 20 120 31 127 87
6TH	21,385	5,772	1,260	498	164	2	204	284	186	147	17	477	120	1,829	265	319
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H	2,004 1,480 5,110 1,642 4,439 2,386 1,652 1,390 1,282	858 319 1,602 317 1,015 746 307 282 326	39 35 625 199 139 42 48 88	117 84 47 20 70 117 12 28 3	14 22 27 10 42 20 12 8 9	1	6 8 61 25 29 12 25 23	33 13 58 15 36 42 36 11 40	80 4 59 2 1 7 - 1 32	59 28 2 1 9 2 5 41	3 	49 41 81 21 98 34 37 25	10 4 18 6 45 25 6 3 3	410 67 449 130 398 171 116 66 22	12 23 64 19 46 30 19 32 20	25 18 77 32 60 58 15 18 16
-												 				

TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

****************	[PRIVATE CASES														
		PRISONER PETITIONS														
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Private Civil	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY		MARINE PERSONAL Injury	MOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	HABEAS Corpus		MAN- Damus And Other	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	155,088	29,793	3,386	2,403	2,846	5,559	20,482	2,850	650	16,992	10,331	25,046	268	5,198	13,692	15,592
DC	1,773	371	55	12	-	241	225	35	8	218	30	108	2	30	231	207
1ŠT	5,110	1,287	98	65	189	206	863	106	19	592	121	219	-	213	426	706
ME MA NH RI PR	485 2,787 446 533 859	90 663 101 197 236	13 20 3 5 57	24 37 4	15 146 1 9 18	16 83 43 43 21	82 463 81 74 163	16 57 5 14 14	- 9 2 3 5	43 306 54 78 111	24 51 23 10 13	67 71 56 12 13		17 131 12 15 38	21 323 11 24 47	57 427 54 45 123
2ND	14,923	3,490	201	318	183	363	1,913	297	39	1,513	711	1,359	17	821	1,849	1,849
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S YY,H YT	2,176 1,117 3,460 6,899 1,026 245	430 118 634 2,088 160 60	36 4 60 94 6 1	77 63 72 91 12 3	11 6 62 100 2 2	50 34 117 132 12 18	324 154 640 623 124 48	46 11 81 145 10 4	6 1 10 21 1 -	324 153 290 561 148 37	51 47 246 268 86 13	133 256 164 595 189 22	3 1 6 7 -	77 39 167 500 34 4	202 113 553 906 67 8	406 117 358 768 175 25
3RD	17,043	3,327	201	437	174	1,035	2,991	241	76	1,553	866	2,216	19	453	1,460	1,994
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	740 4,639 7,411 1,482 2,006 765	69 1,083 1,413 182 351 229	2 46 62 9 25 57	2 82 298 5 49 1	7 56 90 1 11 9	39 254 547 113 47 35	43 521 1,917 171 145 194	9 79 100 25 21 7	17 41 8 10	46 507 652 132 203 13	52 188 302 108 208 8	255 504 565 508 381 3	5 5 2 4 2 1	49 190 133 32 46 3	15 452 594 97 286 16	147 655 695 87 221 189
4TH	12,499	2,555	214	183	96	690	1,799	202	73	1,115	787	2,479	12	306	1,038	950
MD NC.F NC.H SC VA.E VA.H HV.N HV.S	2,714 897 440 527 2,415 2,928 1,194 376 1,008	566 146 64 137 566 695 110 87 184	22 12 4 120 15 18 7 10	59 1 10 10 10 12 - 91	15 8 20 33 2 2 16	163 25 11 13 195 145 59 32 47	266 79 43 57 657 347 156 50 144	57 12 7 12 48 40 7 5 14	56 - 1 5 2 5 2 1	273 77 54 51 182 243 96 38 101	180 58 41 24 142 199 91 23 29	495 366 115 88 186 607 439 43 140	7 1 1 2 - - 1 -	67 32 21 36 35 90 10 5 10	239 29 20 22 119 336 73 44 156	249 51 58 80 128 166 116 37 65
5TH	20,645	3,990	247	63	1,396	642	3,761	498	47	2,015	1,211	3,553	21	437	1,033	1,731
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, S	4,433 1,111 1,706 800 1,393 3,262 2,326 3,808 1,806	672 112 224 165 340 793 206 1,039 439	62 9 17 3 25 43 11 46 31	26 6 2 5 10 4 10	780 16 312 10 35 2 80 159 2	149 18 65 71 129 31 61 78 40	1,001 186 288 116 307 385 920 377 181	172 29 37 13 23 86 27 83 28	4 6 10 - 15 2	231 61 146 95 177 421 113 469 302	163 72 119 60 86 190 156 251 114	798 526 263 208 149 446 551 377 235	1 4 3 1 2 3 3 2 2	30 5 20 8 15 137 32 121 69	128 37 97 28 47 217 72 275 132	216 32 103 22 50 493 84 512 219
6TH	15,613	2,099	152	380	129	571	2,551	168	58	2,020	1,155	3,060	18	394	1,580	1,278
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H TN,H	1,146 1,161 3,505 3,424 1,640 1,345 1,108 956	181 137 574 144 380 235 181 135 132	18 23 29 37 20 6 4 6	111 55 63 7 44 24 62 4 10	11 22 31 5 46 6 1 2 5	65 38 74 24 101 53 135 44 37	117 106 413 106 1,334 146 153 99 77	8 20 355 10 27 22 15 25 6	3 3 11 	113 113 481 115 486 286 159 133 134	112 116 231 154 150 119 69 127 77	255 370 504 517 114 287 303 380 330	1 1 3 4 5 1 2 - 1	14 24 134 21 89 42 16 33 21	55 55 591 128 388 188 88 41 46	82 78 334 81 211 199 146 74 73
								<u> </u>								

TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the thelye month period ended june 30, 1991

		UNITED STATES CASES														
									PRISO	ER PETI	TIONS					
CIRCUIT	TOTAL	TOTAL		REAL				MOTIONS To				FORFEIT- URES AND				L .
AND DISTRICT	CIVIL CASES	U.S. CIVIL	CON- TRACT	PROP- ERTY	TORT	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	VACATE SENTENCE			AND OTHER	PENAL- TIES	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
7TH	16,686	3,478	710	733	152	-	134	200	171	142	41	396	56	350	139	254
IL,N IL,C	8,273	1,178	322 72	68 80	80 8	-	70 7	58 17	28	20 3	8	198 28	27	108 28	53 16	138
IL,S IN.N	1,327 1,431	344 330	64 78	66 94	15 15	-	4 15	22 23	29	43 1	19	26	6	30 49	6 13	14 26
IN,S WI,E	2,080 1,321	597 345	105 40	135 85	17 12	-	21 11	22 48	68 1	28 3	11 1	32 62	6	88 33	20 27	44 16
НІ, Η	1,062	410	29	205	5	-	6	10	41	44	1	42	1	.14	4	8
8TH	13,898 1,928	3,794	616	812 237	128	<u> </u>	131 26	305	150	68		322	54	748	125	313
AR,E AR,H IA,N	945	341 191	57 19 46	177 50	6	-	20 9 4	13	-	1	-	22 22 13	6 4 1	190 69 32	10 9 3	23 12 11
IA,S MN	1,060	242 534	27 131	46 17	3	-	5 16	10	1 71	1 20	- 5	35	5	73 63	10 34	26 55
MO,E MO,H	2,879	465 610	92 78	12	27 17	4	23	61 63	2	5 35	29	64 42	12 7	117 167	14 20	30 75
NE ND	1,261 548	392 276	92 46	136 119	17	-	6 7	19 20	17	2	2	53 11	9 2	12 11	10	35 31
SD	414	133	28	11	8	-	9	16	4	2	-	18	2	14	6	15
9TH AK	30,523 642	9,308 180	2,748	382	761 22	3	490 20	539	368	100	93	1,275	<u>191</u> 4	789	778	791 32
AZ CA,N	2,893	784	301 345	60 17	66 122	-	33 78	54	8 19	1 21	- 1	118	15 20	24 76	43	61 90
CA, E CA, C	2,228 9,479	686 3,460	99 1,479	29 50	56 215	1	35 123	49 143	14 292	27 12	-	69 338	31 56	163 163	41 406	72 177
CA,S HI	1,861 784	779 152	79 11	14 14	100 31	-	58 14	84 17	8	12	3	282 34	14 1	66 4	22 13	37 13
ID MT	566 753	240 253	15 35	38 67	13 15	-	12 12	5 12	- 2	-1	-	92 18	5 3	22 51	12 4	26 33
NV OR	1,698 1,948	323 586	51 58	7 33	15 36	-	23 23	24 54	6 10	3 13	82	36 88	12 10	5 93	29 34	112 52
WA,E WA,H	690 2,226	246 594	59 189	17 20	13 49	-	12 45	22	1 5	4	3	47	-1 18	45 71	24 36	23 60
GUAM	81 31	14 4	1	-	8	-	1 1		-	-	-	1	1	-	1	2 1
10TH	10,382	3,319	553	835	150	-	116	168	285	120	24	215	55	302	267	229
CO KS	2,083 1,885	568 672	136 124	19 124	37 21	-	42 15	29 28	51 173	20 66	17	69 25	21 5	35 31	45 20	60 23
NM OK,N	1,233 986	434 313	81 34	88 136	27 10	-	22 8	36 16	11	17	-	46 21	12	58 51	12	24 18
OK,E OK,H	710 1,949	284 656	29 79	154 272	14 23	-	14	31	1 48	1 10	1	13 28	1	47 64	5 46	11 38
UT WY	1,143 393	310 82	51 19	38 4	12 6	-	13 1	15 6	1	2	2	8 5	5 3	14 2	113 17	36 19
11ТН	21,616	5,724	1,311	469	330	3	215	499	293	40	23	855	59	962	225	440
AL,N AL,M	3,018 1,456	812 243	151 45	18 18	25 16	-	23 15	22 14	146	5	· -	33 39	4 2	341 80	26 4	18 10
AL,S FL,N	1,031 1,164	252 447	49 101	4 103	15 25	-	89	23 27	25	7	1 2	28 63	2 5	104	4 10	14 21
FL,M ML,S	4,216 4,748	1,070 1,562	236 418	103 77	111 72		63 37	117 199	14 83	5 14	11 5	115 352	14 15	*112 45	81 31	88 214
GA, N GA, M	3,291 1,074	666 404	179	27 105	30 16	2	42 14	51 24	3 2	4	4-	132 42	11 2	102 86	38 23	41
GA,S	1,618	268	58	14	20	1	4	22	20	5	-	51	4	43	8	18
							<u> </u>	l	·····			l		·· ····· ···		

TABLE C 3. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

		PRIVATE CASES														
											PRISO	NER PETI	TIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Private Civil	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTOR VEHICLE Personal Injury	PERSONAL	OTHER Tort Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	HABEAS Corpus	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN- Damus And Other	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
7TH	13,208	1,935	1,505	280	33	351	979	199	74	1,605	804	1,874	16	437	1,999	1,117
IL,N IL,C	7,095	1,166	1,449	156 13	14	112 18	302 172		56 1	825 98	155 54	413 265	5	307 9	1,423	607 77
IL,S IN,N	983 1,101	76 97	18 12	23 18	11 2	52 83	163 85	6	- 6	66 179	53 207	313 202	2	5 19	143 75	52 94
IN,S HI,E	1,483 976	228 206	13 5	49 18	3	50 19	133	33	9 1	213 169	209	249 187	4	33 49	113 112	144 82
ні,н	652	71	5	3	-	17	76	5	1	55	57	245	3	15	38	61
8TH	10,104	1,346	96	213	23	362	1,128			1,151	977	2,691	33	213	822	834
AR, E AR, H	1,318 604 438	136 146 61	24 9 9	10 	7	54 31 21	112 93 37	13	2 1 2	225 64 36	143 54 17	423 113 179	25 1	12	48 32	76 40 29
IA,N IA,S MN	818 1,408	107 271	8 14	14 66	- 5	19 26	59 242	3 27	4 12	86 168	54 35	356 62		11 14 76	17 32 229	62 173
MO,E MO,W	2,414 1,682	275	13 5	3	11	98 37	228 154	40 35	4	197 167	322 260	777 580	3	45	279 136	119 108
NE	869	76 40	7 5	99 4	-	41	57 99	21 8	3	151 21	56 18	171	1	22	38	126 55
SD	281	60	2	2	-	28	47	8	-	36	18	21	-	6	ż	46
9TH	21,215	4,785	346	243	507	285	1,345	360	94	2,245	1,925	3,197	47	1,211		2,577
AK AZ	462 2,109	149 412	12 15	4	48	6 36	38 106	11 17	27	30 142	27 273	32 688	1 13	3 60	12 89	91 245
CA,N CA,E	3,636 1,542	747 206	27 17	13 8	52 3	23 13	153 66	24	25 2	444 162	319 236	629 524	15 3	210 24	478 117	450 137
CA,C CA,S	6,019 1,082	1,561 318	90 42	102 1	68 24	58 9	285 74	112 27	22	638 198	563 44	179 35	-	675 70	798 63	868 173
HI ID	632 326	155 76	15 14	1	18 1	26 11	77 32	23 5	4	73 44	39 27	76 54	-	18 10	57 18	51 32
HT	500 1,375	118 291	11 66	33 11	-	24 24	92 90	15	4	24 140	34 115	63 431	1	924	23 83	60 80
OR HA,E	1,362	340 44	19 1	2 10	15	34	127 33	26	8	192 27	95 70	170 154	11	41 14	142 16	140 63
HA, H GUAH	1,632 67 27	363 2 3	16 - 1	58 - -	275 - 1	14 	168 3 1		11	122 1 8	83	162	3	52	143 3 6	129 56 2
NMI	7,063	1,483	135	- 158	- 4	339	786	130	26	° 1,051	485	- 893	25	1	336	2 1.050
C0	1,515	244	6	49	-	44	102	24	10	253	96	224	7	61	93	302
KS NM	1,213 799	224 166	9 18	24 7	-1	49 45	139 104	30 14	1 2	174 185	67 54	213 87	12 1	17 8	60 33	194 74
OK,N OK,E	673 426	177 64	12 13	5 6	-	34 42	86 76	10 9	1 2	74 43	62 55	51 72	2	18 2	43 .6	100 34
OK,W UT	1,293 833	340 197	51 16	35	2	83 15	170 68	13	3	176 113	114 21	111 119	2 1	20 30	49 39	148 158
МҮ 11TH	311 15,892	71 3,125	10 136	32 51	1 112	27 474	41 2,141	4 430	1	33	16	16	-	6	13	40
AL, N	2,206	431	7	6	3	83	2,141	430 53	105	1,914 385	1,259	3,397 468	58 1	521 29	163	1,299
AL, M AL, S	1,213	177	10 9	10	17	25 14	52 93	19 28	23	161 63	137 97	562 201	1	27 2 3	20 35	45
FL,N FL,M	717 3,146	72 512	8 30	1 4			41 202	11 42	23 10	85 347	125 353	270 921	4 18	10 144	17	35 312
FL,S GA,N	3,186	991 542	34 21	22	43	49 111	412 275		11 52	300 414	195 45	245 417	6 25	197 118	256 151	369 266
GA, M GA, S	670 1,350	100 125	9	1 7	1 9	70	72 765	12 21	1	90 69	75 45	166 147	1	12	26	35
,			-	•					-				-	ĺ	.,	~*
														<u> </u>		

			CIV	IL CASE	S PENDING	, BY N	ATURE O	F SUIT AND	DISTRI	CT AS C	IF JUNE	30, 1991				
					,			UNITE	D STATE	S CASES		I				
									PRISON	ER PETI	TIONS					
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL Cases	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST		MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS		FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	240,599	48,040	9,160	5,806	3,905	21	2,753	2,440	1,212	932	257	5,020	925	8,208	2,730	4,671
DC	3,894	2,012	242	28	197	3	253	72	22	192	12	14	29	450	8	490
1ST	11,814	1,994	380	252	248	-	72	86	8	7		190	84	345	86	236
ME MA NH RI PR	465 7,699 847 775 2,0 <u>2</u> 8	113 1,016 131 111 623	20 291 23 23 23	24 49 5 169	9 166 19 4 50		3 37 5 9 18	6 29 2 12 37	1 4 1 - 2	5		11 123 8 9 39	2 59 9 8 6	8 63 14 19 241	6 58 12 3 7	23 132 33 17 31
2ND	30,511	4,272	551	308	530	1	297	231	97	47	74	564	119	655	300	498
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	3,449 3,281 6,779 14,056 2,516 430	474 425 1,520 1,344 398 111	64 44 230 178 33 2	61 58 55 86 32 16	39 47 290 124 27 3		20 23 76 137 37 4	2 7 104 97 15 6	4 37 14 38 2 2	3 3 11 24 1 5	- 39 34 1	102 62 179 92 101 28	20 16 32 43 7 1	81 74 245 143 81 31	31 20 91 131 24 3	47 34 154 216 37 10
3RD	23,015	2,303	346	174	225	2	161	90	54	53	4	207	93	487	143	264
DE NJ PA,E PA,M VI	1,122 5,254 10,612 1,657 2,983 1,387	101 776 594 206 591 35	11 115 135 12 67 6	4 65 47 16 42 -	2 109 59 10 36 9	1	11 51 46 9 43 1	6 39 31 6 8 -	2 2 1 29 20	1 2 40 9 1	1 1 2 -	13 38 32 16 99 9	2 31 18 7 34 1	26 172 110 30 148 1	7 51 39 13 31 2	14 101 74 16 54 5
4TH	16,108	3,317	455	597	248	-	179	204	32	61	10	349	62	755	145	220
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H HV,N NV,S	3,569 1,063 617 820 3,297 3,028 1,679 662 1,373	550 275 153 184 917 360 370 164 344	112 57 21 39 107 52 31 17 19	34 33 6 431 9 22 32 27	66 38 9 7 43 52 13 9 11		62 20 11 17 19 28 11 5 6	40 6 18 18 28 52 7 6 29	1 14 1 12 3 	3 19 4 1 3 26 - 1 4	2 4 - - 1 1 1 1	43 38 39 36 47 48 36 39 23	11 5 5 4 3 10 9	75 18 19 34 197 27 187 20 178	47 7 12 8 19 15 22 7 8	54 20 12 19 35 27 18 23
5TH	33,170	6,405	1,949	911	434	-	322	351	212	43	14	401	94	819	322	533
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, H	3,399 1,485 3,266 1,292 2,977 4,481 6,328 7,313 2,629	346 157 907 173 318 1,238 660 1,814 792	70 31 89 37 70 516 108 850 178	25 30 440 28 32 61 199 73 23	60 20 51 7 26 49 14 113 94		19 8 23 7 20 83 14 64 84	14 4 10 13 15 72 26 111 86	1 19 1 27 40 50 28 46	1 - 4 1 2 11 8 5 11	- 4 - 1 3 6	20 2 5 17 27 52 21 183 74	5 5 1 - 2 27 4 32 18	91 33 193 32 68 104 156 83 59	21 6 22 11 103 20 80 48	20 17 46 19 18 119 40 189 65
6TH	25,841	4,866	702	456	205	3	267	177	129	131	11	421	111	1,610	286	357
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H TN,H	1,871 1,852 4,400 1,542 8,785 2,737 1,654 1,242 1,758	664 321 1,074 261 1,074 643 272 208 349	31 24 350 34 104 56 35 13 55	124 71 32 21 85 82 11 20 10	14 29 38 14 41 24 18 9 18	1 	9 20 48 19 48 41 18 21 43	28 9 22 9 30 28 21 4 26	46 2 39 1 2 16 - 1 22	29 	1 6 4	48 41 53 13 72 86 31 41 36	6 1 14 6 35 29 6 6 8	279 * 65 326 96 498 160 89 51 46	21 31 61 17 64 34 21 21 16	27 28 59 30 93 51 21 18 30

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1991

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1991

									PI	RIVATE C	ASES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			r								PRISON	ER PETI	TIONS	1		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON- Tract	REAL PROP Erty	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTOR Vehicle Personal Injury	OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER Tort Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	HABEAS Corpus	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN- Damus And Other	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	192,559	33,688	2,644	3,421	3,673	6,045	49,328	3,578	928	21,550	8,571	23,896	171	5,368	12,026	17,672
DC	1,882	363	36	14		225	291	44	15	263	26	163	8	38	186	210
1ST	9,820	1,620	115	114	317	268	4,182	149	37	995	85	387	1	231	463	856
ME MA NH RI PR	352 6,683 716 664 1,405	81 886 157 221 275	10 29 12 30 34	21 90 	14 237 3 20 43	15 122 56 46 29	57 3,369 190 90 476	15 91 8 17 18	- 22 4 4 7	39 537 93 87 239	5 46 12 9 13	25 178 71 33 80	- - 1	10 165 17 15 24	15 366 11 24 47	45 545 82 65 119
2ND	26,239	5,645	268	657	356	628	5,815	502	104	2,825	836	2,467	17	1,112	1,947	3,060
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W YT	2,975 2,856 5,259 12,712 2,118 319	637 226 818 3,635 234 95	48 19 65 125 10 1	66 178 189 190 30 4	19 6 127 197 6 1	80 61 199 237 30 21	586 814 1,358 2,406 584 67	64 20 106 285 21 6	7 10 27 51 6 3	540 317 573 1,071 281 43	44 85 260 299 136 12	121 727 315 843 436 25	3 1 6 7 -	96 48 205 704 51 8	197 140 513 976 115 6	467 204 498 1,686 178 27
3RD	20,712	2,933	251	429	183	973	8,106	255	69	1,654	534	1,946	8	416	1,025	1,930
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	1,021 4,478 10,018 1,451 2,392 1,352	99 1,021 925 137 442 309	5 43 46 13 35 109	5 105 236 7 74 2	5 59 89 1 12 17	51 234 438 87 69 94	75 628 6,083 454 440 426	12 84 80 14 47 18	2 12 33 6 16	64 581 543 123 319 24	91 153 152 35 89 14	392 517 315 413 306 3	3 2 - - 1	84 166 91 26 49 -	15 327 348 60 243 32	118 546 637 75 251 303
4TH	12,791	2,360	136	261	115	661	3,845	186	86	1,107	491	1,686	5	250	780	822
HD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,H HV,N WV,S	3,019 788 464 636 2,380 2,668 1,309 498 1,029	551 130 83 161 517 426 172 118 202	19 16 2 4 34 11 21 10 19	116 2 - 12 4 29 2 96	26 12 22 37 2 2 14	182 19 13 17 173 95 76 34 52	830 139 67 160 734 1,198 416 78 223	56 10 8 10 45 24 12 5 16	58 4 7 1 8 3 1	311 67 67 217 141 96 63 79	77 24 36 28 153 92 42 19 20	331 281 85 72 196 346 261 51 63	3 1 1	57 27 21 30 35 58 10 5 7	169 21 26 22 105 127 78 65 167	233 39 52 62 129 108 86 43 70
5TH	26,765	4,633	320	90	1,676	732	8,214	640	72	2,721	1,189	3,157	17	416	1,040	1,848
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	3,053 1,328 2,359 1,119 2,659 3,243 5,668 5,499 1,837	550 156 351 177 439 861 256 1,310 533	34 12 43 8 39 53 23 70 38	32 3 9 2 3 7 17 7 10	762 18 540 15 42 3 99 195 2	128 18 105 77 149 37 81 92 45	544 321 429 139 1,325 250 4,074 971 161	94	5 5 6 18 5 23 4	229 96 222 140 242 544 176 719 353	60 45 96 52 104 240 142 373 77	264 514 230 415 132 312 526 574 190	1 4 3 1 - 2 1 3 2	22 5 26 8 14 132 26 138 45	94 43 119 30 54 203 74 314 109	156 53 127 34 69 487 136 561 225
6TH	20,975	2,553	184	568	185	623	7,249	273	70	2,572	909	2,504	11	424	1,495	1,355
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H	1,207 1,531 3,326 1,281 7,711 2,094 1,382 1,034 1,409	231 235 539 142 520 309 196 146 235	28 42 23 11 33 24 7 5 11	110 213 61 95 32 66 4 18	1 4	73 63 57 27 99 56 133 48 67	218 271 640 146 5,127 321 243 158 175	14 49 44 16 25	5 3 11 2 16 13 9 5 6	139 183 448 126 682 408 182 144 260	68 65 191 169 152 89 46 73 56	156 258 429 95 335 217 279 306	1 1 2 4 1 	₹ 7 28 124 19 93 62 25 33 33 33	60 68 443 100 441 192 81 41 69	799 82 272 78 291 201 160 69 123

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1991

								UNIT	D STATI	S CASES						
									PRISO	ER PETI	TIONS	1				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	HOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS		FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR SUITS	SDCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
7TH	14,656	2,570	474	368	157	2	155	98	54	93	25	275	46	408	177	238
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S MI,E MI,H	6,572 1,277 1,540 1,287 2,345 1,319 316	926 179 321 303 466 295 80	276 24 37 33 52 44 8	48 37 54 62 88 54 25	62 15 28 11 23 16 2	2 	76 8 17 34 10 2	29 6 11 20 12 19 1	12 	8 54 1 23 - 2	2 	123 26 30 4 28 46 18	25 3 1 5 5 7 -	89 27 36 106 91 50 9	67 18 8 12 38 31 31 3	107 10 16 32 48 18 7
8TH	15,063	2,957	379	489	153	4	156	205	75	49	10	308	41	632	167	289
AR, E AR, H IA, N HA, S MO, E MO, H NE ND SD	1,734 596 636 2,183 1,918 3,102 2,517 1,495 552 330	392 188 143 249 428 460 506 320 174 97	24 11 24 69 53 81 52 29 12	98 94 32 54 19 18 11 100 53 10	11 5 6 5 26 29 17 22 19 13		22 3 8 6 24 33 37 9 4	18 10 13 7 30 50 40 18 7 12	1 26 1 43 1 2	- - 3 14 6 23 - 2	- 1 4 4 1	14 11 13 42 47 55 40 68 7 11	2 2 2 2 6 6 9 7 4 2 1	171 30 27 55 63 125 137 8 8 8	9 9 20 50 23 22 8 13 5	22 12 9 26 49 56 44 30 28 13
9TH	33,793	9,781	2,525	1,235	954	5	526	403	202	119	39	1,186	140	845	630	972
АК CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S HI HI HT HT HA HA GUAM NHI	1,056 3,494 4,883 2,785 9,138 2,142 1,717 730 959 1,626 1,560 467 2,291 898 47	255 713 1,021 788 3,156 802 239 286 274 260 419 148 618 796 6	47 201 282 83 1,484 105 12 11 29 25 32 30 184 	36 62 25 39 47 23 21 56 76 14 22 14 23 777	36 94 162 77 231 111 43 33 37 31 14 56 8		25 49 88 59 110 10 10 20 22 11 52 - 1	3 55 46 44 72 26 26 6 8 8 17 46 17 -	2 5 29 20 117 6 6 2 2 4 4 13 1 1 1 -	6 577 199 144 7 7 6 - 2 1		16 77 57 91 299 282 64 109 15 22 53 18 82 1 -	4 16 18 14 35 18 2 4 3 9 5 - 11 - 1	12 25 76 209 162 73 5 55 51 7 87 32 81	20 51 100 44 225 31 19 17 10 30 28 16 33 5 1	48 77 80 857 40 26 37 60 41 75 4 3
10TH	10,584	2,690	364	557	185		129	134	208	93	38	156	51	289	233	253
CO KS OK.N OK.E OK.W UT WY	1,804 2,028 1,832 1,490 356 1,194 1,558 322	395 605 566 240 136 352 310 86	44 90 26 14 48 59 14	16 82 131 81 73 130 39 5	43 23 42 7 19 39 5		40 19 33 7 1 15 14 -	9 11 66 5 15 13 9	19 163 17 - 6 1 1	9 50 21 - 5 4 -	36	41 23 48 11 15 12 5	13 7 11 5 1 2 9 3	31 33 70 54 31 48 18 4 4	62 39 15 14 2 24 57 20	68 29 43 24 1 24 44 20
11TH	22,150	4,873	793	431	369	1	236	389	119	44	20	949	55	913	233	321
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, N GA, S	2,291 1,155 1,209 1,304 4,759 4,551 3,553 1,578 1,710	436 205 182 422 1,002 1,313 577 522 214	62 27 23 79 145 280 82 63 32	11 13 6 63 114 84 24 103 13	21 18 10 35 145 77 30 14 19		17 12 6 15 67 43 53 17 6	16 9 21 28 94 155 41 15 10	29 21 11 45 2 11	7 1 - 10 4 10 9 1 2	- 1 2 7 6 4 -	32 25 18 71 128 366 149 109 51	2 1 6 18 14 9 1 3	209 87 83 66 101 56 7 99 158 54	22 3 5 13 73 50 44 18 5	8 9 13 95 127 30 23 8

TABLE C 3A. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1991

									PI	RIVATE C	ASES					
									{		PRISON	ER PETIT	IONS	[
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Private Civil	CDN- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	FELA	MARINE Personal Injury	MOTOR Vehicle Personal Injury	OTHER Personal Injury	OTHER TORT ACTIONS	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	HABEAS Corpus	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN- Damus And Other	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL OTHER
7тн	12,086	1,969	501	291	49	378	1,992	238	103	1,864	363	1,412	6	382	1,356	1,182
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S MI,E WI,H	5,646 1,098 1,219 984 1,879 1,024 236	1,065 94 105 124 316 235 30	432 4 14 16 25 8 2	154 22 20 17 59 19	21 5 12 2 6 3	104 30 59 92 71 15 7	740 332 268 115 370 98 69	114 16 12 21 49 22 4	73 7 1 6 11 4	909 158 89 166 310 204 28	80 24 49 85 80 40 5	357 234 352 167 155 109 38	2 - - - 1 1	243 12 9 19 42 51 6	764 82 165 71 144 111 19	588 78 62 83 241 104 26
8TH	12,106	1,629	106	380	37	415	2,480	240	66	1,416	873	2,622	22	225	757	838
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MO,E MO,H NE SD	1,342 408 493 1,934 1,490 2,642 2,011 1,175 378 233	135 97 84 172 307 333 278 109 54 60	19 8 6 11 23 13 6 10 5 5	36 10 44 69 1 3 206 9 2	5 - 1 7 22 1 - -	48 18 35 35 43 91 52 56 11 26	129 87 74 1,022 208 319 211 173 205 52	14 10 18 13 31 54 45 35 11 9	5 1 3 7 9 5 6 8 2 -	239 53 47 123 217 282 229 179 22 25	163 35 14 43 19 266 258 58 58 4 13	420 59 135 344 70 800 616 170 4	13 1 - 1 3 2 - 1 1	13 2 14 12 92 43 15 26 3 5	41 20 20 37 180 252 144 47 9 7	62 17 32 70 194 158 145 98 38 24
9TH	24,012	5,001	408	351	585	304	2,682	454	136	2,653	1,542	3,653	34	1,251	1,771	3,187
АК AZ CA, N CA, C CA, C HI HI NY NY NY HA GUAM NMI	801 2,781 3,862 1,997 5,982 1,340 1,478 444 685 1,366 1,141 319 1,673 102 41	219 497 727 1,478 381 244 161 280 252 252 34 341 3 6	17 22 28 27 51 17 23 39 12 21 47 1	- 8 25 13 107 1 - 1 69 32 2 5 88 -	97 52 2 63 30 28 2 - 17 17 12 285 1 2 2 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2	8 46 21 17 42 18 29 14 24 30 6 14 - 2	138 233 255 80 516 648 81 144 129 146 33 193 193 3 3	24 35 65 24 119 35 27 9 21 28 10 33 32 2 1	6 13 27 5 38 5 3 5 2 5 10 7 -	42 167 543 220 838 166 93 51 25 162 162 162 162 162 139 4 13	36 214 265 285 284 31 60 11 39 95 27 82 27	53 1,042 575 737 169 28 156 67 62 371 124 93 175 1 -	2 9 11 - - - 7 1 -	6 55 242 32 663 89 26 11 11 9 25 37 10 44 - 2	27 94 436 588 78 62 36 78 96 20 109 25	126 341 590 179 995 347 81 29 70 78 124 41 141 39 6
10TH	7,894	1,632	177	217	6	299	1,549	178	36	1,164	381	787	4	146	331	987
CD KS DK,N OK,E DK,H UT HY	1,409 1,423 1,266 1,250 220 842 1,248 236	294 300 252 171 36 251 271 57	18 20 32 17 10 45 27 8	42 35 17 3 4 93 23	- 1 - 5 -	42 57 29 15 57 24 18	123 198 187 674 43 137 151 36	31 48 29 15 4 28 20 3	12 1 7 2 - 4 10	267 233 232 86 24 118 181 23	61 76 120 45 16 32 13 18	133 171 186 31 48 30 171 17		49 17 12 19 - 7 39 3	82 60 49 44 31 56 6	255 206 84 114 16 102 186 24
11TH	17,277	3,350	142	49	164	539	2,923	419	134	2,316	1,342	3,112	38	477	875	1,397
AL, N AL, M FL, S FL, M FL, S GA, M GA, S	1,855 950 1,027 882 3,757 3,278 2,976 1,056 1,496	362 119 158 131 804 944 590 153 89	9 7 11 8 38 33 15 13 8	3 -9 3 5 - 19 3 7	3 - 25 14 55 57 1 2 7	76 20 15 13 82 48 131 92 62	214 47 228 44 496 339 466 112 977	39 14 23 12 59 90 140 22 20	4 2 1 24 17 26 56 2 2	362 143 58 129 436 409 542 163 74	181 168 177 103 294 180 126 78 35	370 372 270 287 760 279 304 316 154	1 4 10 6 15 1	13 2 14 124 183 119 16 4	134 24 26 27 204 268 130 40 22	85 31 24 69 373 416 322 43 34
]													<u> </u>		

							·····	UNITE	D STATE	S CASES						
				1					PRISON	ER PETI	TIONS				Γ	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL Cases	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS		FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LACOR Suits	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	211,713	51,562	11,969	6,779	3,212	34	2,370	2,890	2,204	924	399	5,451	1,098	7,366	2,683	4,183
DC	3,051	1,204	279	40	107	12	172	9	19	91	16	17	25	19	7	391
1ST	7,138	2,058	442	474	130	1	69	89	18	11		311	64	266	48	135
ME MA NH RI PR	756 3,631 567 624 1,560	239 831 123 102 763	59 251 37 23 72	55 44 2 369	15 62 9 12 32	1	4 32 4 7 22	8 23 4 6 48	2 11 1 1 3	2 5 - 1 3		52 193 19 23 24	5 46 5 4	18 69 21 7 151	1 39 1 1 6	18 55 18 15 29
2ND	15,349	3,184	568	184	226	4	163	249	91	32	125	501	82	505	142	312
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	2,453 1,380 3,809 6,020 1,351 336	374 356 1,190 789 381 94	71 52 274 97 66 8	31 42 38 18 34 21	21 40 95 44 23 3	- - 3 1	16 10 39 78 15 5	18 123 92 15 1	9 30 12 37 1 2	3 2 3 20 3 1	1 52 72	78 73 156 75 95 24	11 14 31 17 4 5	56 58 192 111 69 19	24 13 57 32 15 1	36 21 118 93 40 4
3RD	19,066	3,026	471	216	338	5	177	150	139	72	11	205	114	628	199	301
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	658 5,466 7,427 1,961 2,779 775	88 931 798 434 751 24	11 119 103 44 188 6	21 34 30 41 90	7 167 115 15 28 6	- 4 - 1	3 59 61 20 34 -	6 46 59 13 26 -	5 113 21	- 5 59 7 -	- 2 - 7 2 -	12 55 68 23 41 6	4 34 26 13 36 1	14 210 163 48 192 1	2 69 87 9 32 -	8 126 81 29 53 4
4TH	16,417	4,106	941	738	226	-	202	278	59	69	17	356	80	754	126	260
MD HC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,F HV,N HV,S	3,291 1,231 632 718 2,839 3,811 1,677 577 1,641	632 420 207 245 1,055 556 417 167 407	203 158 89 106 168 99 52 24 42	54 6 1 2 618 21 6 19 11	55 21 5 4 45 72 10 3 11		56 16 17 16 46 15 4 16	50 24 26 36 24 61 20 16 21	2 34 - 1 13 6 2 1	1 19 2 3 - 37 37 3 1 3	3 12 1 - - - -	48 63 34 31 37 80 40 8 15	4 5 3 10 10 5 14 23	61 22 14 25 110 23 229 41 229	32 3 7 8 39 11 5 13	63 36 7 10 17 55 20 30 22
5TH	29,845	7,335	2,448	1,148	438	-	258	429	390	56	17	631	112	602	305	501
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, H	5,358 1,161 3,115 1,043 2,415 5,214 2,427 6,011 3,101	622 190 1,322 200 385 1,477 464 1,605 1,070	160 54 136 40 124 751 116 735 332	90 42 745 41 36 46 89 41 18	76 14 47 10 30 57 18 73 113		25 10 21 3 14 56 17 58	38 5 3 9 11 79 26 153 105	15 4 164 2 53 43 29 80	- 5 1 - 11 9 4 26	1 7 - 1 2 6	61 5 17 54 32 82 31 227 122	11 1 3 1 5 22 3 42 24	94 30 123 20 93 92 55 60 35	24 7 23 13 102 12 70 51	27 18 28 16 27 125 43 117 100
6TH	29,449	5,391	1,215	464	218	1	257	260	210	119	17	515	144	1,366	263	342
KY, E KY, H MI, E OH, N OH, S TN, E TN, M TN, H	1,699 1,473 5,101 1,811 12,195 2,737 1,873 1,269 1,291	671 297 1,402 260 961 316 277 376	31 38 486 41 216 162 69 74 98	116 65 39 15 76 111 16 21 5	23 15 42 13 54 28 20 10 13		9 3 73 11 41 53 24 18 25	28 11 64 17 30 34 23 16 37	65 2 64 1 2 31 - 45	38 1 16 1 27 2 2 31	2 	50 134 19 82 90 32 27	9 7 17 8 40 39 8 3 13	265 58 296 85 299 173 90 59 41	7 28 69 14 57 25 12 33 18	31 19 96 35 62 57 20 13 9

TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT During the thelye month period ended june 30, 1991

TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

······································									P	RIVATE C	ASES					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								PRISO	NER PET	ITIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTOR VEHICLE Personal Injury	OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER TORT ACTIONS		CIVIL RIGHTS	HABEAS Corpus		MAN- DAMUS AND OTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	160,151	30,098	3,308	2,421	2,834	5,853	28,264	2,773	549	16,458	10,335	23,754	270	5,240	12,749	15,245
DC	1,847	390	44	13	2	288	283	26	9	181	31	125	2	45	233	175
1ST	5,080	1,147	133	186	183	248	796	114	12	654	116	246	2	249	370	624
ME MA NH RI PR	517 2,800 444 522 797	84 633 79 151 200	7 21 4 53 48	27 153 - 5 1	11 141 1 8 22	23 114 39 38 34	108 344 134 75 135	4 81 5 12 12	4 3 - 3 2	58 326 54 68 148	26 46 22 9 13	65 110 40 20 11	- 1 - -	17 165 13 16 36	22 296 7 18 27	61 366 45 44 108
2ND	12,165	3,065	93	275	156	333	1,571	261	52	1,159	680	1,076	13	714	1,323	1,394
CT NY,H NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	2,079 1,024 2,619 5,231 970 242	414 104 530 1,822 140 55	25 7 17 41 3	62 57 60 74 19 3	8 4 50 90 3 1	52 47 116 89 8 21	544 111 342 453 78 43	45 12 73 113 14 4	8 1 11 22 6 4	253 127 237 397 117 28	53 92 228 199 98 10	98 202 119 343 273 41	1 5 6 -	65 36 159 412 33 9	139 116 415 592 57 4	312 107 257 578 121 19
3RD	16,040	3,180	186	576	147	1,119	2,606	231	98	1,436	892	2,062	16	454	1,402	1,635
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	570 4,535 6,629 1,527 2,028 751	76 1,045 1,347 187 370 155	2 32 70 10 14 58	1 78 413 7 77	5 55 60 1 14 12	36 281 526 125 65 86	30 592 1,396 188 177 223	9 69 88 21 29 15	2 23 43 22 8 -	36 487 577 117 200 19	38 220 314 121 196 3	146 475 579 529 329 4	4 1 4 2 1	50 211 128 20 41 4	18 407 583 82 301 11	117 556 504 93 205 160
4TH	12,311	2,467	216	153	95	685	2,046	214	19	1,049	742	2,491	18	251	957	908
MD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,H HV,S	2,659 811 425 473 1,784 3,255 1,260 410 1,234	527 144 73 117 476 646 179 86 219	19 12 5 4 109 19 35 4 9	56 1 - 4 1 16 23 1 51	18 12 - 22 34 1 - 8	153 31 7 16 178 120 81 41 58	368 86 28 50 291 625 134 71 393	52 10 9 12 39 35 26 3 28	6 - 1 2 6 3 - 1	258 62 43 60 167 232 101 25 101	171 67 42 18 97 216 89 26 16	510 267 104 84 162 795 382 46 141	8 4 1 - 4 - 1 -	67 21 25 32 60 12 5 8	232 27 25 19 94 293 72 41 154	214 67 63 110 158 122 60 47
5TH	22,510	4,703	320	70	1,588	636	3,991	479	49	2,096	1,517	3,520	20	458	1,053	2,010
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, S	4,736 971 1,793 843 2,030 3,737 1,963 4,406 2,031	670 151 296 165 398 978 259 1,244 542	70 12 33 8 19 54 13 65 46	37 2 5 - 1 5 7 3 10	918 29 359 9 32 1 71 168 1	139 16 74 77 136 51 55 52 36	1,088 131 300 99 918 395 355 537 168	132 9 30 16 25 87 28 103 49	4 4 7 3 12 2 13 4	240 46 159 111 149 463 166 473 289	205 111 116 49 81 286 179 353 137	850 366 177 250 131 416 624 462 244	1 2 1 2 2 2 6 3	27 15 28 5 12 139 21 147 64	133 32 104 28 63 215 69 285 124	222 46 103 25 60 633 112 495 314
6ТН	24,058	2,196	156	201	85	545	10,506	187	49	2,084	1,214	3,142	21	443	1,630	1,599
KY,E KY,H MI,E HI,N DH,N TN,E TN,H TN,H	1,028 1,176 3,699 1,551 11,234 1,906 1,557 992 915	188 154 597 119 409 259 215 125 130	20 9 28 7 47 21 11 6 7	24 33 47 57 13 13 - 6	7 16 18 5 13 4 4 2 16	56 41 81 31 78 57 112 49 40	100 118 600 85 8,950 293 210 79 71	10 13 34 13 31 31 22 16 17	4 - 11 15 5 2 6 5	117 85 460 128 535 346 149 130 134	109 125 247 172 192 138 71 92 68	237 437 424 741 147 223 283 347 303	- 27532 - 2	15 24 148 33 94 61 26 24 18	69 47 590 121 407 213 96 44 43	72 74 412 80 254 239 341 72 55
								·	<u>.</u>							

TABLE C 3B. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

								UNITI	D STATE	S CASES	5					
									PRISON	ER PETI	TIONS					
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL Cases	TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CON- TRACT	REAL PROP- Erty	TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS Corpus	CIVIL RIGHTS		FORFEIT- URES AND PENAL- TIES	LABOR Suits	SOCIAL Security	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
7TH	17,021	3,522	674	785	190	2	127	180	187	159	51	393	57	375	139	203
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H	8,014 1,140 1,302 1,634 2,528 1,359 1,044	1,089 284 345 336 653 395 420	252 69 57 85 132 53 26	55 79 53 99 149 134 216	83 11 19 18 27 22 10	2	67 8 2 10 21 14 5	53 15 23 12 25 42 10	25 4 36 1 81 1 39	20 44 1 44 46	10 1 31 7 1 1	219 35 17 14 23 43 42	24 1 9 5 11 5 2	122 36 30 54 85 39 9	57 16 7 11 18 26 4	100 9 17 26 30 11 10
атн	13,856	3,783	614	836	124	4	135	208	221	68	24	268	62	813	112	294
AR,E AR,H IA,S MO,E MD,H ND SD	2,250 916 579 1,121 1,936 2,664 2,256 1,271 450 413	693 308 170 242 537 483 606 375 249 120	58 18 35 29 117 121 74 88 41 33	306 155 47 28 19 4 14 135 118 10	6 4 6 10 25 23 21 12 8 9		25 7 2 19 32 21 10 12 5	13 8 22 9 31 35 50 9 17 14	1 	1 22 7 31 4 2		28 20 7 38 69 35 31 6 15	13 2 8 7 8 5 9 3 2	199 76 33 99 68 137 154 27 8 12	13 2 3 24 16 18 15 6 6	31 12 13 27 57 21 67 34 22 10
9TH	30,058	9,266	2,486	458	789	2	481	500	388	129	66	1,252	241	831	859	784
АК CA.N CA.E CA.E CA.S HI NT NY DR MA.E GUAM NHI	615 2,639 4,360 2,193 8,806 2,044 ,823 585 1,062 1,935 2,026 850 2,016 80 24	157 753 1,069 674 3,205 910 205 194 317 387 565 281 535 12 2	28 258 255 110 1,258 152 19 18 49 61 74 56 145 3 -	14 57 21 26 32 11 14 82 104 18 33 22 24 -	24 61 125 216 119 33 9 23 36 19 46 3		8 35 94 33 135 54 17 9 9 14 27 10 34 1	2 47 55 135 14 3 13 28 49 8 17 1 -	1 10 48 11 277 13 3 - 1 6 11 1 6 - -	1 63 10 19 14 - 1 2 8 8 8 - 3 -	- 1 2 5 5 - - 1 5 0 - 2 -	26 111 77 82 309 57 19 7 42 96 47 89 96 47	5 30 27 366 15 3 5 6 15 13 17 -	5 33 96 148 188 78 7 15 62 4 81 48 66 66	18 49 108 47 399 34 21 10 10 44 41 34 42 2 -	26 60 98 63 164 17 23 31 123 46 33 46 33 44 1 1
10TH	11,069	3,429	638	928	176		130	135	202	92	31	217	67	262	294	257
CD KS OK,N OK,E UK,H UT HY	2,403 1,836 1,165 1,103 740 2,100 1,338 384	571 640 409 368 240 767 347 87	170 123 90 65 23 90 53 24	37 147 85 139 102 366 41 11	38 26 27 10 16 33 19 7		39 16 24 9 2 19 16 5	24 30 18 22 5 19 14 3	46 91 8 2 51 2 -	23 42 10 3 2 10 2	4 26 - - 1 -	53 20 56 28 16 23 17	25 9 8 1 3 14 3	25 49 40 34 45 57 10 2	40 28 5 23 14 48 127 9	47 33 38 29 12 48 31 19
11TH	19,394	5,258	1,193	508	250	3	199	403	280	26	24	785	50	945	189	403
AL, N AL, S FL, N FL, N GA, N GA, N GA, S	2,815 1,403 987 1,031 3,673 4,176 3,120 911 1,278	757 230 243 406 985 1,370 679 319 269	146 38 46 245 349 199 47 47	22 16 5 86 175 51 36 71 46	18 13 10 28 55 74 27 15 10	1	13	16 12 15 19 80 163 54 19 25	124 - 54 80 2 2 10	1 1 9 1 9 1 - 3		28 36 33 53 112 309 137 33 44	3 2 3 9 15 9 3 3	318 90 104 * 36 113 42 107 93 42	32 5 7 59 28 25 16 10	22 6 13 24 74 203 37 6 18

TABLE C 38. U.S. DISTRICT	COURTS
CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF	SUIT AND DISTRICT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD END	ED JUNE 30, 1991

	1								PI	RIVATE C	ASES					
											PRISO	NER PET	ITIONS			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Private Civil	CON- Tract	REAL Prop- Erty	FELA	MARINE Personal Injury	MOTOR VEHICLE Personal Injury	OTHER Personal Injury	OTHER TORT Actions	ANTI- TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN- DAMUS AND OTHER	COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR Suits	ALL OTHER
7TH	13,499	1,909	1,404	284	27	377	924	193	37	1,681	813	2,008	18	465	1,846	1,513
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	6,925 856 957 1,298 1,875 964 624	1,123 69 77 132 246 190 72	1,328 5 14 25 17 10 5	142 26 38 23 36 12 7	6 3 10 2 3 2 1	133 17 40 88 63 19 17	397 59 162 91 136 51 28	79 23 12 26 30 13 10	25 - 2 3 3 3 1	858 127 69 246 165 151 65	174 67 37 190 216 73 56	456 281 312 290 224 202 243	6 4 1 5 2	325 13 8 27 23 49 20	1,318 104 122 72 99 96 35	555 58 53 83 609 93 62
8TH	10,073	1,434	87	228	32	400	1,187	206	37	1,143	889	2,507	46	236	777	864
AR, E AR, H IA, N MO, E MO, H ND SD	1,557 608 409 879 1,399 2,181 1,650 896 201 293	157 144 62 103 257 302 202 93 50 64	13 6 14 3 18 14 10 4 1 4	49 1 5 40 35 4 7 81 5	9 2 9 11 	59 43 11 27 28 110 37 43 11 31	203 87 35 66 249 236 190 53 33 35	36 12 13 11 25 42 30 23 7 7	5 2 - 17 9 1 3 -	234 59 37 79 171 205 178 129 16 35	179 43 22 57 54 278 171 45 20 20	477 124 137 373 71 545 533 214 8 25	28 1 4 7 5 1 	10 10 14 16 68 49 28 29 4 8	34 29 19 36 218 260 130 38 4 9	64 47 38 68 175 109 128 139 46 50
9TH	20,792	4,930	352	204	415	343	1,630	385	100	2,090	1,831	2,937	41	1,219	2,033	2,282
АК АZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S HI HI NV OR HA, E HA, H GUAM NMI	458 1,886 3,291 1,519 5,601 1,134 618 391 745 1,548 1,461 569 1,4481 68 22	148 382 767 202 1,468 365 148 88 187 345 343 343 57 419 6 5	12 14 46 21 63 42 16 14 20 47 24 17 13 1 2	- 9 3 5 101 1 - 1 27 2 2 8 35 -	46 2 53 4 61 20 17 - - 21 188 1 2	11 45 22 25 64 18 21 34 28 27 11 20 -	43 110 179 52 420 92 85 43 183 101 179 43 95 3 2	11 25 48 27 103 28 4 16 23 40 8 27 27 27	3 13 24 4 24 3 3 2 1 7 4 3 8 -	32 124 402 169 537 194 57 41 50 129 184 41 124 15	21 274 251 197 538 47 53 40 34 124 103 70 78 1	34 530 427 539 119 32 51 52 89 507 193 218 146	- 10 9 3 - 3 1 - 10 - 3 1 -	3 72 181 33 674 79 10 30 35 10 60 60 60	24 91 504 125 709 55 62 28 84 88 41 50 24 124 23 3	70 185 375 113 720 162 48 46 110 146 59 141 44 1
10TH	7,640	1,739	177	200	5	346	883	163	42	1,115	465	826	26	208	356	1,089
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	1,832 1,196 756 735 500 1,333 991 297	357 262 189 205 77 334 250 65	18 11 25 29 16 53 16 9	55 37 31 4 14 - 20 39	1 - - 2 1	42 59 46 29 51 63 27 29	163 150 100 79 81 177 85 48	29 31 18 23 10 29 18 5	16 8 3 2 3 4 4 2	272 188 159 94 57 189 122 34	95 46 40 72 68 113 30 1	226 162 34 50 73 107 167 7	7 15 - 2 2 - -	84 30 10 17 23 37 5	120 54 22 43 54 54 46 13	347 143 79 87 42 185 167 39
11TH	14,136	2,938	140	31	99	533	1,841	314	45	1,770	1,145	2,814	47	498	769	1,152
AL,N AL,S FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S	2,058 1,173 744 625 2,688 2,806 2,441 592 1,009	445 156 173 83 373 880 605 94 129	13 6 10 21 28 25 27 5 5	4 7 1 12 12 6	1 22 7 26 35 1 - 7	93 44 17 21 26 37 154 53 88	158 67 100 45 220 481 260 95 415	48 16 4 21 53 136 6 14	3 4 5 9 4 12 1 1	379 151 86 61 324 213 382 104 70	190 147 78 105 357 156 26 41 45	396 532 158 171 777 159 366 115 140	1 2 1 1 4 8 20 1 -	31 2 4 11 143 169 119 11 8	145 19 37 21 121 121 144 34 27	151 29 28 69 248 365 177 31 54
					<u>.</u>											

TABLE C4. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND ACTION TAKEN (LAND CONDEMNATION CASES OMITTED) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

					COURT AC	TION			
	-					DUI	RING OR /	AFTER TR	IAL
NATURE OF SUIT	TOTAL	NO COURT ACTION	TOTAL	BEFORE PRETRIAL	DURING DR AFTER PRETRIAL	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	PERCENT REACHING TRIAL
TOTAL CASES	211,040	44,582	166,458	136,902	21,129	8,427	4,133	4,294	4.0
UNITED STATES CASES	51,034	12,117	38,917	35,171	2,662	1,084	900	184	2.1
CONTRACT ACTIONS Negotiable instruments Recovery of overpayments and	1,343	290	1,053	918	111	24	16	8	1.8
ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS OTHER CONTRACTS REAL PROPERTY	7,311 3,315 6,251	3,665 1,068 1,089	3,646 2,247 5,162	3,575 1,916 4,906	68 263 198	3 68 58	1 56 56	2 12 2	0.0 2.1 0.9
TORT ACTIONS MARINE, PERSONAL INJURY Motor Vehicle, Personal Injury Other Personal Injury Other Torts	105 824 1,914 369	32 238 438 71	73 586 1,476 298	47 376 992 250	16 132 282 36	10 78 202 12	8 74 187 9	2 4 15 3	9.5 9.5 10.6 3.3
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES ANTITRUST	34	11	23	20	1	2	1	1	5.9
CIVIL RIGHTS Employment Other Civil Rights Deportation	1,428 942 64	329 166 13	1,099 776 51	762 692 49	208 51 2	179 33 -	119 26 -	10 7	9.0 3.5
PRISONER PETITIONS MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE HABEAS CORPUS MANDAMUS AND OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	2,890 2,204 399 924	243 364 88 120	2,647 1,840 311 804	2,635 1,829 305 785	7 9 5 13	5 2 1 6	4 2 1 4	1	0.2 0.1 0.3 0.6
LIQUOR FORFEITURES OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS. FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT OTHER LABOR LITIGATION SELECTIVE SERVICE ACT	8 5,443 579 519 4	1,111 140 113	8 4,332 439 406 4	7 3,816 351 352 4	1 337 65 41	179 23 13	141 22 13	38 1 -	3.3 4.0 2.5
SOCIAL SECURITY LAWS HEALTH INSURANCE BLACK LUNG DISABILITY INSURANCE SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME RETIREMENT AND SERVICES BENEFITS.	124 25 5,238 1,716 259	16 3 727 245 35	108 22 4,511 1,471 224	404 19 4,325 1,414 217	4 1 164 55 6	2 22 2 1	- 21 1 1	- 1 1	- 8.0 0.4 0.1 0.4
DTHER TAX SUITS All DTHER U.S. ACTIONS	4 2,683 4,115		4 2,127 3,169	4 1,736 2,765	266 320	125 84	- 72 63	53 21	4.7 2.0
FEDERAL QUESTION	105,013	18,964	86,054	72,313	9,639	4,102	2,247	1,855	3.9
CONTRACT ACTIONS MARINE MILLER ACT OTHER CONTRACTS REAL PROPERTY	2,710 869 •3,501 715	774 283 801 171	1,936 586 2,700 544	1,602 460 2,166 459	261 92 405 61	73 34 129 24	65 30 89 22	8 4 40 2	2.7 3.9 3.7 3.4
TORT ACTIONS EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT MARINE, PERSONAL INJURY OTHER PERSONAL INJURY OTHER TORTS ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES	2,421 2,387 7,113 918	678 432 378 264	1,743 1,955 6,735 654		643 605 268 105	143 216 97 39	23 88 28 24	120 128 6) 15	5.9 9.0 1.4 4.2
ACTIONS ONDER STATOTES BANKRUPTCY SUITS ANTITRUST CIVIL RIGHTS	4,611 549	844 104	3,767 445	3,589 327	117 80	61 38	45 10	16 28	1.3 6,9
EMPLOYMENT DTHER CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS	6,943 9,515	1,395 1,710	5,548 7,805	3,399 5,678	1,403 1,387	746 740	427 231	319 509	10.7 7.8
HABEAS CORPUS MANDAMUS AND OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT OTHER LABOR LITIGATION COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	10,334 269 23,752 715 2,155 9,879 1,960 1,097 2,183	960 28 2,486 595 2,892 557 303 667	9,374 241 21,266 529 1,560 6,987 1,403 794 1,516	237 19,661 328 1,234 5,774 1,147 535	62 3 763 144 279 988 213 173 231	96 1 842 57 47 225 43 86 59	91 1 539 37 36 163 32 47 41	5 303 20 11 62 11 39 18	0.9 0.4 3.5 8.0 2.2 2.3 2.2 7.8 2.7
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES All other Federal Question	245 10,177	32 2,424	213 7,753		26 1,330	10 296	8 170	2 126	4.1 2.9

TABLE C4. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND ACTION TAKEN (Land condemnation cases omitted) During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

	•				COURT AC	TION			
						DU	RING OR A	AFTER TI	RIAL
NATURE OF SUIT	TOTAL	ND COURT ACTION	TOTAL	BEFORE PRETRIAL	DURING DR AFTER PRETRIAL	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	PERCENT REACHING TRIAL
DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	54,358	13,240	41,118	29,078	8,819	3,221	980	2,241	5.9
CONTRACT ACTIONS INSURANCE NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS OTHER CONTRACTS REAL PROPERTY TORT ACTIONS	5,886 1,296 15,682 2,398	1,589 306 4,014 397	4,297 990 11,668 2,001	3,058 773 8,394 1,772	888 160 2,455 164	351 57 819 65	128 48 441 31	223 9 378 34	6.0 4.4 5.2 2.7
MARINE, PERSONAL INJURY MOTOR VEHICLE, PERSONAL INJURY OTHER PERSONAL INJURY OTHER TORTS ALL OTHER DIVERSITY	435 5,562 21,143 1,841 115	120 1,578 4,762 446 28	315 3,984 16,381 1,395 87	193 2,277 11,631 913 67	90 1,259 3,452 338 13	32 448 1,298 144 7	10 71 186 63 2	22 377 1,112 81 5	7.4 8.1 6.1 7.8 6.1
LOCAL JURISDICTION	630	261	369	340	9	20	6	14	3.2
CONTRACT ACTIONS Real property Tort Actions	154 50	54 17	100 33	93 31	3 1	4 1		4 1	2.6 2.0
MOTOR VEHICLE, PERSONAL INJURY OTHER PERSONAL INJURY OTHER TORTS PRISONER PETITIONS	81 230 14	42 112 6	39 118 8	33 109 5	2 3 -	4 6 3	1 2 2	3 4 1	4.9 2.6 21.4
HABEAS CORPUS MANDAMUS AND OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS DOMESTIC RELATIONS INSANITY	1 1 2 4		1 1 4	1 1 1 4				-	
ALL OTHER LOCAL	93	29	64	62	-	2	1	1	2.2

TABLE C 4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND ACTION TAKEN (LAND CONDEMNATION CASES OMITTED) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

				i					
					COU	RT ACTIO	N		
						DUR	LNG DR AF	TER TRI	AL
 CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	ND COURT ACTION	TOTAL	BEFORE PRETRIAL	DURING DR AFTER PRETRIAL	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	PERCENT REACHING TRIAL
TOTAL	211,040	44,582	166,458	136,902	21,129	8,427	4,133	4,294	4.0
DC	3,047	1,385	1,662	1,425	126	111	59	52	3.6
1ST	7,069	1,991	5,078	3,226	1,492	360	156	204	5.1
ME MA NH RI PR	752 3,617 566 576 1,558	443 918 81 98 451	309 2,699 485 478 1,107	179 1,671 245 201 930	92 866 212 219 103	38 162 28 58 74	19 59 6 26 46	19 103 22 32 28	5.1 4.5 4.9 10.1 4.7
2ND	15,335	4,592	·10,743	8,540	1,733	470	221	249	3.1
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	2,447 1,378 3,806 6,017 1,351 336	1,474 113 871 1,861 135 138	973 1,265 2,935 4,156 1,216 198	844 1,007 2,256 3,414 850 169	35 186 548 646 314 4	94 72 131 96 52 25	44 34 55 61 22 5	50 38 76 35 30 20	3.8 5.2 3.4 1.6 3.8 7.4
3RD	19,025	3,253	15,772	12,533	2,411	828	333	495	4.4
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	656 5,459 7,425 1,952 2,762 771	30 1,122 568 77 1,160 296	626 4,337 6,857 1,875 1,602 475	562 2,445 6,185 1,536 1,366 439	29 1,654 360 228 127 13	35 238 312 111 109 23	11 147 71 52 44 8	24 91 241 59 65 15	5.3 4.4 4.2 5.7 3.9 3.0
4TH	16,352	3,942	12,410	10,029	1,636	745	327	418	4.6
MD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,H HY,N HY,S	3,283 1,228 632 718 2,834 3,793 1,672 560 1,632	788 251 134 138 653 764 743 143 328	2,495 977 498 580 2,181 3,029 929 417 1,304	1,996 876 375 401 1,641 2,622 618 369 1,131	323 63 104 160 364 245 210 30 137	176 38 19 19 176 162 101 18 36	62 18 14 9 61 110 37 6 10	114 20 5 10 115 52 64 12 26	5.4 3.1 2.6 6.2 4.3 6.0 3.2 2.2
5TH	29,715	4,791	24,924	20,727	2,793	1,404	775	629	4.7
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	5,350 1,156 3,099 1,031 2,414 5,212 2,354 6,003 3,096	163 30 744 190 1,393 135 354 1,012 770	5,187 1,126 2,355 841 1,021 5,077 2,000 4,991 2,326	3,764 1,081 1,981 588 724 4,866 1,709 3,976 2,038	1,075 6 222 184 176 53 152 811 114	348 39 152 69 121 158 139 204 174	230 23 80 36 60 94 32 109 111	118 16 72 33 61 64 107 95 63	6.5 3.4 4.9 6.7 3.0 3.9 3.4 5.4 5.6
6TH	29,350	4,873	24,477	20,446	3,329	702	320	382	2.4
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,H	1,671 1,471 5,091 1,808 12,172 2,732 1,866 1,250 1,289	229 113 1,472 159 791 859 533 188 529	1,442 1,358 3,619 1,649 11,381 1,873 1,333 1,062 760	1,221 1,038 2,071 1,518 10,701 1,341 851 991 714	162 256 1,410 96 588 432 350 28 7	59 64 138 35 92 100 132 43 39	16 16 24 56 54 63 20 25	43 48 92 11 36 46 69 23 14	3.5 4.4 2.7 1.9 0.8 3.7 7.1 3.4 3.0

TABLE C 4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND ACTION TAKEN (LAND CONDEMNATION CASES OMITTED) DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

					COU	RT ACTIO	N		
						DUR	ING OR AF	TER TR	[AL
CIRCUIT		NO COURT		BEFORE	DURING DR AFTER		NON-		PERCENT REACHING
DISTRICT	TOTAL	ACTION	TOTAL	PRETRIAL	PRETRIAL	TOTAL	JURY	JURY	TRIAL
								L	
7TH	16,983	2,703	14,280	11,533	2,139	608	302	306	3.6
IL,N	8,010	1,724	6,286	4,974	1,090	222	111	111	2.8
IL,C IL,S	1,139 1,302	235 95	904 1,207	823 1,048	32 75	49 84	19 39	30 45	4.3 6.5
IN,N	1,621	35	1,586	942	536	108	74	34	6.7
IN,S WI,E	2,511 1,359	82 441	2,429 918	2,221 806	157 60	51 52	27 20	24 32	2.0 3.8
WI,W	1,041	91	950	719	189	42	12	30	4.0
8TH	13,819	3,035	10,784	8,816	1,044	924	490	434	6.7
AR, E	2,245	677	1,568	1,286	18	264	201	63	11.8
AR,W IA,N	907 579	69 63	838 516	721	ې 35	113 40	64 22	49 18	12.5
IA, S	1,119	106	1,013	753	179	81	46	35	7.2
MN MO,Ė	1,934 2,660	358 714	1,576 1,946	984 1,713	527 50	65 183	21	44	3.4
MO,W	2,247	611	1.636	1,505	62	69	71 24	112 45	6.9 3.1
NE	1,270	147	1,123	961	98	64	29	35	5.0
ND SD	445 413	175 115	270 298	212 240	41 30	17 28	5	12 21	3.8 6.8
9TH	29,963	9,128	20,835	18,692	1,320	823	430	393	2.7
AK	613	227	386	375	2	9	6	3	1.5
AZ	2,621	518	2,103	1,945	101	57	33	24	2.2
CA,N	4,346	1,727	2,619	2,484	46	89	51	38	2.0
CA,E CA,C	2,185 8,798	757	1,428 5,108	1,294 4,417	102 458	32 233	14 121	18 112	1.5 2.6
CA,S	2,043	136	1,907	1,843	35	29	16	13	1.4
HI ID	823 581	360	463 545	386 417	46 104	31 24	16 10	15 14	3.8 4.1
MT	1,059	277	782	487	244	51	17	34	4.8
NV	1,903	104	1,799	1,675	41	83	44	39	4.4
OR HA,E	2,025 847	674 140	1,351 707	1,245 576	30 83	76 48	30 32	46 16	3.8 5.7
WA, H	2,016	457	1,559	1,483	22	54	35	19	2.7
GUAM	80	16 9	64	57	3	4	3	1	5.0
NMI	23		14	8		3	2	1	13.0
10TH	11,009	1,507	9,502	6,926	2,049	527	223	304	4.8
CO	2,390	79	2,311	1,730	473	108	58	50	4.5
KS NM	1,832	471 226	1,361 928	1,078 625	195 232	88 71	30 31	58 40	4.8
OK.N	1,103	52	1,051	834	149	68	26	42	6.2
DK,E DK,H	738	175 342	563 1,746	425	104 746	34 75	3 26	31 49	4.6 3.6
UT	1,325	8	1,317	1,223	43	51	37	14	3.8
·WY	379	154	225	86	107	32	12	20	8.4
11TH	19,373	3,382	15,991	14,009	1,057	925	497	428	4.8
AL,N AL,M	2,807 1,403	250 39	2,557	2,011 1,168	393 61	153	101	52	5.5
AL,S	986	132	854	650	105	135 99	100 37	35	9.6 10.0
FL,N	1,031	13	1,018	972	18	28	15	13	2.7
FL,M FL,S	3,671 4,167	302 446	3,369 3,721	3,036	213 83	120 106	55 62	65 44	3.3 2.5
GA,N	3,119	1,659	1,460	1,272	44	144	65	79	4.6
GA,M GA,S	911 1,278	269 272	642 1,006	538 830	43 97	61 79	38	23 55	6.7
	1,210	212	1,000	630	71		24	22	6.2

TABLE C-5. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION (EXCLUDES: LAND CONDEMNATION, PRISONER PETITIONS, AND DEPORTATION REVIEWS INTERVALS SHOWN ARE FOR THE MEDIAN TIME AND FOR THE RANGE OF THE MIDDLE BO PERCENT OF THE CASES.) DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	· · ·	0111 01050		ND COURT ACTION			COURT ACTION												
	'	I OTAL CASES			JUURT /		•	·				1	· · · · ·			I			
								BEFO	RE PR	ETRIA	L		NG OR Retri		K		TRIA	L	
		TIME INTER IN MONTH				INTER				INTE Mont	RVALS HS#			INTE Mont	RVALS HS≭			INTE	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS ME- THAN DIAN	10 PER- CENT More Than	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- Cent More Than	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT More Than
TOTAL	170,192	2 9	31	40,276	1	7	30	102,177	2	8	26	20,265	6	15	43	7,474	8	20	46
DC	2,752	1 7	23	1,242	1	6	21	1,276	1	6	22	123	6	12	27	111	8	17	33
1ST	6,583	2 10	35	1,892	1	6	22	2,908	2	7	28	1,430	8	19	46	353	10	22	47
ME MA NH RI PR	649 3,417 498 539 1,480	2 8 2 11 2 12 3 11 2 7	25 40 36 22 28	389 898 78 96 431	1 1 1 1	69445	20 26 22 16 16	134 1,530 194 178 872	2 1 2 2 2 2	7 7 6 7 7	23 31 28 22 24	89 829 198 211 103	7 8 9 8 10	13 24 18 13 23	38 49 44 22 57	37 160 28 54 74	9 12 18 8 10	15 29 30 16 19	32 53 45 28 38
2ND	13,051	2 9	41	4,264	1	8 10	39 45	6,727 732	2	7	33	1,637	6	22	59	423	9	28	60
NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	1,048 3,255 5,243 961 281	3 12 2 10 1 7 2 10 2 10 2 11	43 40 40 38 27	109 770 1,727 129 119	1 2 1 1 2	4 9 7 3 10	27 36 39 12 19	701 1,833 2,811 514 136	2 2 1 1 2	10 10 8 6 9 10	46 31 35 30 33 27	33 178 529 614 280 3	12 11 6 6 -	25 30 17 31 19	72 72 51 65 51 -	88 60 123 91 38 23	12 8 6 10 6 10	28 27 27 32 24 20	57 62 57 72 46 39
3RD	15,682	1 8	33	3,069	1	6	27	9,511	1	6	32	2,335	6	14	35	767	6	18	45
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	462 4,702 6,471 1,106 2,179 762	2 9 1 8 1 7 1 8 1 9 4 25	33 26 35 21 33 46	27 1,061 554 70 1,064 293	1 1 1 1 6	2 5 4 2 7 29	11 14 11 10 27 49	374 1,833 5,275 710 886 433	2 1 1 1 2	8 5 5 8 19	29 18 38 18 29 40	29 1,590 351 226 126 13	10 6 5 5 10 24	15 15 11 12 25 39	38 34 25 23 54 55	32 218 291 100 103 23	5 4 7 6 10 23	16 21 15 15 25 36	75 53 36 32 56 51
4TH	12,675	2 7	28	3,376	1	7	26	7,027	2	6	23	1,576	5	14	40	696	6	15	43
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H HV,N HV,S	2,537 801 456 577 2,545 2,669 1,172 468 1,450	1 7 2 8 2 8 2 7 1 5 2 13 2 13 3 12	30 27 27 31 21 27 36 40 25	687 204 130 136 643 634 506 120 316	1 1 1 2 1 2 3	6 5 4 6 5 12 8 12	21 16 21 17 52 37 34 25	1,390 501 212 277 1,370 1,644 364 301 968	1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2	5 7 6 7 5 9 13 12	20 23 29 36 20 15 30 38 22	295 60 100 148 360 243 206 30 134	8 15 8 3 5 4 7 11 8	21 26 15 10 10 8 19 26 16	54 31 33 26 22 53 39 54 33	165 36 14 16 172 148 96 17 32	10 12 4 8 7 4 7 9 5	24 16 25 17 15 7 18 23 18	53 32 36 38 33 14 37 54 33
5TH	23,757	2 10	34	4,131	2	11	55	15,687	2		27	2,660	7	17	42	1,279	9	23	45
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, H	4,240 669 2,625 719 2,189 4,361 1,468 4,992 2,494	2 8 3 12 3 11 2 11 3 18 2 9 2 12 2 11 2 8	21 40 31 27 77 30 38 35 26	129 28 535 182 1,354 103 227 835 708	1 3 1 3 2 2 2	4 12 7 30 9 10 9 7	14 20 34 17 83 28 30 27 21	2,823 610 1,720 307 558 4,053 972 3,137 1,507	2 3 3 2 2 1 2 2 2 2	6 11 10 8 10 8 11 9 8	15 39 26 20 25 28 38 30 26	985 6 220 180 165 52 147 793 112	7 	13 23 19 21 19 16 18 17	30 39 30 43 45 33 71 29	303 25 150 50 112 153 122 197 167	9 20 11 13 12 9 11 13 5	17 34 28 22 21 26 21 29 18	32 52 41 36 42 57 66 56 36
6TH	24,362	3 11	31	4,219	1	6	26	16,277	3	11	28	3,229	6	14	41	637	. 9	21	47
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H	1,191 895 4,264 869 11,794 2,275 1,485 793 796	1 7 2 11 2 8 2 8 5 14 2 12 3 11 1 8 2 16	29 44 26 22 29 40 25 23 55	214 84 1,291 115 758 753 490 158 356	1 1 2 1 1 2 1 3	9 5 6 7 8 11 6 17	31 23 11 24 29 28 21 19 63	763 514 1,479 633 10,371 999 545 567 406	1 2 2 8 2 1 2	5 8 7 8 14 10 7 7 14	18 26 33 18 26 34 20 21 42	157 245 1,363 96 575 425 337 27 4	9 9 6 9 5 7 6 6 -	22 21 11 16 15 22 14 12	41 53 25 30 56 54 37 26 -	57 52 131 25 90 98 113 41 30	12 12 10 7 8 13 7 8 18	24 31 17 21 21 26 15 17 38	40 53 29 53 55 57 33 33 51
		1																	

TABLE C-5. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND METHOD OF DISPOSITION (EXCLUDES: LAND CONDEMNATION, PRISONER PETITIONS, AND DEPORTATION REVIEMS INTERVALS SHOWN ARE FOR THE MEDIAN TIME AND FOR THE RANGE OF THE MIDDLE 80 PERCENT OF THE CASES.) DURING THE THELYE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	TOTAL CASES NO COURT ACTION							COURT ACTION												
									BEFORE PRETRIAL					NG OR RETRI		R		TRIA	-	
			INTE				INTE Mont	RVALS HS#			INTE				INTE	RVALS HS≠			INTE	RVALS HS#
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF Cases	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT More Than	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER DF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF CASES	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN		10 PER- CENT MORE THAN
7TH	13,563	1	6	28	2,458	1	5	22	8,565	1	5	22	2,020	4	13	45	520	8	22	50
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S HI,E HI,H	7,262 767 818 1,127 1,909 1,036 644	1 2 2 3 1 1	4 9 12 11 11 9 3	24 30 32 34 33 27 9	1,661 132 76 25 72 417 75	1 1 1 2 2 1	4 6 7 4 14 10 2	19 27 28 16 49 24 7	4,343 559 642 510 1,639 516 356	1 2 2 1 2 1 1	3 8 10 6 10 6 3	16 26 29 28 27 20 6	1,050 30 49 500 150 58 183	4 11 12 5 9 10 3	12 26 23 14 25 17 7	49 50 37 37 60 43 11	208 46 51 92 48 45 30	8 15 8 7 15 3	23 25 21 19 24 26 9	50 46 45 55 52 53 15
8TH	9,854	2	9	29	2,675	1	8	28	5,581	2	7	23	969	7	18	43	629	8	19	40
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MD,E MD,H NE SD	1,547 730 398 677 1,642 1,781 1,341 996 392 350	2 1 2 3 1 2 2 2 1 1	9 6 10 13 8 11 10 9 6 8	20 15 34 41 26 30 41 26 28 21	590 63 59 82 339 609 523 131 172 107	3 1 2 1 2 3 1 1 1	11 6 5 8 3 10 13 4 4 6	20 12 18 21 14 27 56 18 20 16	831 595 280 384 717 985 709 731 162 187	2122122211	6 5 9 9 5 10 8 8 6 6	18 14 30 33 16 30 25 23 24 19	11 3 154 521 43 55 82 41 28	5 - 15 9 7 1 13 7 11	19 27 25 16 23 9 20 21 17	31 39 52 36 37 37 37 35 49 33	115 69 28 57 65 144 54 52 17 28	8 6 18 19 13 8 9 11 22 10	14 10 35 20 25 21 17 21 31 15	25 18 84 47 48 37 38 42 52 26
9TH	24,054	2	8	29	8,413	2	7	27	13,631	1	7	26	1,244	9	18	45	766	10	21	50
AK AZ CA.E CA.E CA.C HI ID HI MT DR HA.E HA.H GUAM NMI	554 1,746 3,483 1,369 7,705 1,854 700 485 920 1,228 1,600 550 1,760 77 23	2 2 2 2 1 2 2 3 3 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2	14 8 9 6 10 11 13 16 10 8 9 8 9 13	44 30 28 26 27 35 43 32 54 32 26 20 22 38	213 486 1,575 562 3,524 132 263 263 263 94 605 127 429 16 9	2 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 -	11 6 8 9 6 2 9 5 5 4 8 9 6 4 -	50 23 24 26 28 9 38 18 64 20 21 22 19 17	330 1,133 1,776 680 3,497 1,658 280 339 365 1,033 902 319 1,257 54 8	4 2 1 2 1 3 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 2	15 8 7 8 5 10 11 11 12 10 6 7 9 7 7	39 24 28 23 19 36 50 27 34 20 25 19 19 19	2 76 402 452 35 46 93 243 327 62 21 3 3 3	- 19 8 9 9 11 11 11 11 4 6 -	- 43 19 19 17 20 16 24 20 19 17 9 13 -	- 47 48 53 39 36 43 46 42 29 30 18 -	9 51 88 25 232 29 20 49 666 42 53 4 3		- 30 25 24 20 22 23 29 22 24 16 20 17 -	- 53 64 40 750 60 77 47 55 38 32 -
10TH	9,232	2	9	28	1,432	1	6	21	5,264	2	7	24	2,025	6	13	33	511	8	19	42
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT HY	1,965 1,420 1,044 954 586 1,786 1,109 368	1 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2	8 11 12 10 6 7 12 8	28 33 31 23 11 17 43 16	73 456 213 48 151 331 8 152	1 2 1 1 1 - 1	298454-7	6 30 28 13 9 12 - 12	1,323 690 531 690 299 636 1,016 79	12231122	5 8 9 8 5 5 11 6	20 27 25 19 10 12 41 16	466 186 232 148 102 744 41 106	7 12 9 5 5 5 14 5	17 23 19 14 8 9 25 9	40 45 37 29 27 22 48 18	103 88 68 34 75 44 31	9 12 14 11 7 7 16 7	23 24 26 20 9 13 29 11	47 57 40 36 12 24 58 18
11TH	14,627	1	8	26	3,105	1	7	23	9,723	1	7	23	1,017	7	15	38	782	8	18	42
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,S	2,079 711 732 668 2,427 3,578 2,645 732 1,055	1 2 2 2 1 2 3	7 9 11 10 6 9 13 10	18 19 26 34 23 26 43 17	214 33 108 12 265 431 1,542 255 245	212112222	6453 528 118	12 10 14 ·7 19 17 24 47 17	1,346 560 445 1,839 2,961 919 379 662	1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3	5 6 7 10 9 6 10 10 10	14 18 17 33 29 21 23 38 15	375 58 104 17 206 80 42 39 96	6 7 10 13 5 7 9 10 8	12 12 17 23 18 20 17 22 10	23 19 39 35 44 45 36 45 20	144 60 75 27 117 106 142 59 52	6 7 11 10 12 8 9 11 8	12 12 18 24 22 19 19 26 12	28 26 42 45 44 41 53 29

* TIME INTERVAL COMPUTED ONLY WHERE THERE ARE 10 OR MORE CASES

TABLE C 6. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TIME PENDING AS OF JUNE 30, 1991

	<u> </u>		LENGTH	OF TIME P	ENDING	
CIRCUIT	TOTAL				3 YEARS	AND OVER
AND DISTRICT		LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1 TO 2 Years	2 TO 3 Years	NUMBER	PERCENT
 TOTAL	240,599	130,869	53,750	27,559	28,421	11.8
DC	3,894	1,741	769	735	649	16.7
1ST	11,814	5,103	2,772	1,056	2,883	24.4
ME MA NH RI PR	465 7,699 847 775 2,028	376 2,758 418 497 1,054	68 1,883 249 168 404	13 711 111 78 143	8 2,347 69 32 427	1.7 30.5 8.1 4.1 21.1
2ND	30,511	13,584	7,541	4,450	4,936	16.2
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	3,449 3,281 6,779 14,056 2,516 430	1,811 1,148 3,550 5,816 992 267	882 654 1,526 3,737 645 97	329 441 894 2,449 299 38	427 1,038 809 2,054 580 28	12.4 31.6 11.9 14.6 23.1 6.5
3RD	23,015	12,345	5,807	2,856	2,007	8.7
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	1,122 5,254 10,612 1,657 2,983 1,387	621 3,452 4,964 1,018 1,619 671	293 1,161 2,881 476 704 292	112 399 1,573 82 404 286	96 242 1,194 81 256 138	8.6 4.6 11.3 4.9 8.6 9.9
4TH	16,108	10,213	3,073	1,441	1,381	8.6
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,E WY,N WY,S	3,569 1,063 617 820 3,297 3,028 1,679 662 1,373	2,040 758 426 439 2,530 1,684 1,023 368 945	725 204 127 211 591 323 437 147 308	446 86 44 122 127 288 142 68 118	358 15 20 48 49 733 77 79 2	10.0 1.4 3.2 5.9 1.5 24.2 4.6 11.9 .1
5TH	33,170	17,619	6,985	3,746	4,820	14.5
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W	3,399 1,485 3,266 1,292 2,977 4,481 6,328 7,313 2,629	2,599 871 1,978 737 1,232 2,728 2,055 3,765 1,654	539 303 863 383 595 1,074 909 1,702 617	182 139 296 119 394 453 931 914 318	79 172 129 53 756 226 2,433 932 40	2.3 11.6 3.9 4.1 25.4 5.0 38.4 12.7 1.5
6TH	25,841	13,501	5,827	3,730	2,783	10.8
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	1,871 1,852 4,400 1,542 8,785 2,737 1,654 1,242 1,758	1,218 935 3,121 1,002 3,041 1,586 1,005 776 817	330 431 744 379 2,196 577 416 301 453	216 272 247 100 2,127 241 168 88 271	107 214 288 61 1,421 333 65 77 217	5.7 11.6 6.5 4.0 16.2 12.2 3.9 6.2 12.3
1	<u>L.</u>	1				

TABLE C 6. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TIME PENDING AS OF JUNE 30, 1991

			LENGTH (OF TIME PE	NDING	
CIRCUIT AND	TOTAL	LESS THAN	1 TO 2	2 TO 3	T	AND OVER
DISTRICT		1 YEAR	YEARS	YEARS	NUMBER	PERCENT
7TH	14,656	8,769	3,116	1,437	1,334	9.1
IL,N IL,C	6,572 1,277	3,996 751	1,272 309	644 130	660 87	10.0
IL,S	1,540	870 822	337 223	181	152	9.9
IN,N IN,S	1,287 2,345	1,263	663	104 228	138 191	10.7 8.1
WI,E WI,W	1,319 316	758 309	306 6	150	105 1	8.0 .3
8тн	15,063	8,894	3,288	1,306	1,575	10.5
AR,E AR,H	1,734 596	1,184 537	415 48	95 10	40 1	2.3
IA,N IA,S	636 2,183	382 674	149 321	72 205	33 983	5.2 45.0
MN	1,918	1,188	479	150	101	5.3
MO,E MO,W	3,102 2,517	2,040 1,493	669 643	258 256	135 125	4.4 5.0
NE ND	1,495 552	828 330	359 138	171	137 12	9.2 2.2
SD	330	238	67	17	8	2.4
9TH	33,793	18,805	7,133	3,472	4,383	13.0
AK AZ	1,056 3,494	485 1,850	249 708	145 323	177 613	16.8 17.5
CA,N CA,E	4,883 2,785	2,924 1,555	1,053 762	466 339	440 129	9.0
CA,C	9,138	5,298 1,193	1,870 435	1,024	946	10.4
CA,S HI	2,142	553	376	180	250 608	11.7 35.4
ID MT	730 959	420 540	200 207	62 130	48 82	6.6
NV OR	1,626 1,560	997 1,142	357 274	151 105	121	7.4 2.5
WA,E WA,W	467 2,291	337 1,431	75 545	27 242	28 73	6.0 3.2
GUAM NMI	898	55 25	14	3 11	826 3	92.0
10TH	10,584	6,277	2,245	1,365	697	6.6
C0	1,804	1,145	417	134	108	6.0
KS NM	2,028	1,215	510 481	214 232	89 170	4.4
OK,N	1,490	659	220	506	105	7.0
OK,E OK,W	356	320 976	23 152	8 31	5 35	1.4 2.9
UT WY	1,558 322	775 238	391 51	224 16	168 17	10.8 5.3
11TH	22,150	14,018	5,194	1,965	973	4.4
AL, N	2,291 1,155	1,713 815	381 199	162 76	35	1.5
AL,M AL,S	1,209	712	380	88	65 29	5.6 2.4
FL,N FL,M	1,304 4,759	831 2,823	248 1,164	122 485	103 287	7.9
FL,S GA,N	4,591	2,840 2,182	1,094 882	428 362	229 127	5.0 3.6
GA,M GA,S	1,578 1,710	821 1,281	456 390	204 38	97 1	6.1
		L				

TABLE C7. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS CASES)

01 D0111 T			CIVIL			CRIMINAL	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL All Trials	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	TOTAL	NDN- Jury	JURY
TOTAL	19,949	11,024	6,507	4,517	8,925	3,678	5,247
DC	716	255	206	49	461	192	269
1ST	869	541	292	249	328	116	212
ME MA NH RI PR	89 338 90 171 181	48 242 44 109 98	27 108 20 60 77	21 134 24 49 21	41 96 46 62 83	15 29 26 15 31	26 67 20 47 52
2ND	1,664	975	575	400	689	302	387
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	237 88 456 701 104 78	172 49 222 450 52 30	112 17 136 267 33 10	60 32 86 183 19 20	65 39 234 251 52 48	35 11 104 93 33 26	30 28 130 158 19 22
3RD	1,583	1,105	545	560	478	185	293
DE NJ PA.E PA.M PA.H VI	66 343 602 205 235 132	38 242 470 152 141 62	15 134 205 76 80 35	23 108 265 76 61 27	28 101 132 53 94 70	7 44 28 34 48 24	21 57 104 19 46 46
4TH	1,790	946	544	402	844	334	510
MD NC,E NC,M SC,W VA,E VA,H HV,N WV,S	275 138 122 123 225 478 261 71 97	174 63 24 54 144 286 114 35 52	87 50 19 42 41 191 59 27 28	87 13 5 12 103 95 55 8 24	101 75 98 69 81 192 147 36 45	30 15 38 27 21 88 89 8 18	71 60 60 42 60 104 58 28 27

TABLE C7. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS CASES)

OT DOUT T			CIVIL	-		CRIMINAL	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL ALL TRIALS	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	- TOTAL	NON- JURY	JURY
5TH	2,902	1,770	1,173	597	1,132	563	569
LA, E	359	290	153	137	69	34	35
LA, M	52	44	28	16	8	<u>i</u> .	7
LA, H	153	124	75	49	29		20
MS, N	104	69	40	29	35	17	18
MS,S	211	168	113	55	43	13	30
TX, N	413	266	200	66	147	79	68
ΤΧ,Ε	203	142	70	72	61	23	38
TX, S	789	413	302	111	376	187	189
ТХ, М	618	254	192	62	364	200	164
6TH	1,538	880	459	421	658	215	443
KY,E	151	81	31	50	70	22	48
KY, H	150	85	43	42	65	38	27
MI,E	348	201	79	122	147	34	113
MI.W	82	49	34	15	33	13	20
OH, N	190	137	- 77	60	53	11	42
OH, S	164	84	49	35	80	32	48
TN.E	161	102	52	50	59	3	56
TN, M	104	64	39	25	40	14	26
TN, W	188	77	55	22	111	48	63
7TH	1,158	710	432	278	448	178	270
/ 18+++	1,190	/10	432	210		1/0	
IL,N	460	285	182	103	175	73	102
IL,C	145	77	35	42	68	33	35
IL.S	117	65	36	29	52	27	25
IN, N	139	83	59	24	56	24	32
IN, S	126	92	70	22	34	14	20
WI,E	109	57	30	27	52	6	46
WI, W	62.	51	20	31	11	1	10
8TH	1,433	875	496	379	558	195	363
AR, E	222	169	95	74	53	19	34
AR, M	115	80	39	41	35	14	21
IA, N	57	26	16	10	31	11	20
IA,S	121	62	33	29	59	32	27
MN	196	104	60	44	92	22	70
MO,E	265	195	115	80	70	18	52
MO,W	190	116	69	47	74	15	59
NE	110	66	- 39	27	44 .	18	26
ND	44	14	5	9	30	13	17
SD	113	43	25	18	70	33	37
		1					

TABLE C7. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS CASES)

07001177			CIVIL			CRIMINAL	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL All Trials	TOTAL	NON- Jury	JURY	TOTAL	NON Jury	JURY
9TH	2,761	1,109	708	401	1,652	827	825
AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S HI ID NT OR HA, E	46 420 178 95 676 361 95 43 79 167 233 148	20 95 99 42 318 53 37 24 40 94 96 69	14 75 57 22 179 39 25 12 13 60 63 59	6 20 42 20 139 14 12 12 27 34 33 10	26 325 79 53 358 308 58 19 39 73 137 79	7 206 27 10 122 212 32 4 9 17 99 45	19 119 52 43 236 96 26 15 30 56 38 38 34
WA, W GUAM NMI	198 18 4	113 7 2	83 6 1	30 1 1	85 11 2	32 4 1	53 7 1
10TH	1,234	712	388	324	522	289	233
CO KS DK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	268 183 311 120 55 138 93 66	188 119 92 73 34 108 55 43	137 63 51 29 3 45 39 21	51 56 41 44 31 63 16 22	80 64 219 47 21 30 38 23	58 32 145 22 7 6 8 11	22 32 74 25 14 24 30 12
11TH	2,301	1,146	689	457	1,155	282	873
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S	292 126 137 136 376 637 349 127 121	215 78 75 48 155 212 198 77 88	130 42 32 35 81 173 106 52 38	85 36 43 13 74 39 92 25 50	77 48 62 88 221 425 151 50 33	32 8 16 25 52 65 54 21 9	45 40 46 63 169 360 97 29 24

NOTE:

INCLUDES TRIALS CONDUCTED BY DISTRICT AND APPELLATE JUDGES ONLY. ALL TRIALS CONDUCTED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGES ARE EXCLUDED. INCLUDES LAND CONDEMNATION TRIALS, HEARINGS ON TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS, HEARINGS ON CONTESTED MOTIONS AND OTHER CONTESTED PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH EVIDENCE IS INTRODUCED.

		U.S. DISTRICT CO	
LENGTH DF CIVIL	AND CRIMINAL	TRIALS COMPLETED	, BY DISTRICT.
FOR THE	12 MONTH PER	IDD ENDED JUNE 30	. 1991
		OF MISCELLANEOUS	

				CIVIL	TRIALS		· ·				CRIMIN	AL TRIA	LS		
CIRCUIT/ DISTRICT	TOTAL All TRIALS	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 T0 9 DAYS	10 T0 19 Days	20 DAYS AND DVER	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 T0 9 DAYS	10 T0 19 DAYS	20 DAYS AND OVER
TOTAL	19,949	11,024	4,835	1,999	1,423	2,347	345	75	8,925	3,755	1,684	1,262	1,833	293	98
DC	716	255	150	38	18	43	6	-	461	180	103	87	75	8	8
1ST	869	541	213	94	56	147	26	5	328	116	56	53	79	18	6
ME MA NH RI PR	89 338 90 171 181	48 242 44 109 98	16 83 20 46 48	12 35 2 26 19	5 34 5 4 8	10 77 14 25 21	5 9 3 7 2	- 4 1	41 96 46 62 83	20 22 25 20 29	9 13 5 14 15	10 13 4 10 16	2 29 10 17 21	15 1 1 1	- 4 1 - 1
2ND	1,664	975	346	163	127	294	37	8	689	237	98	92	211	36	15
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	237 88 456 701 104 78	172 49 222 450 52 30	81 11 101 129 15 9	27 10 40 74 4 8	15 7 27 64 11 3	44 19 46 158 17 10	5 1 6 21 4 -	1 2 4 1 -	65 39 234 251 52 48	38 7 87 66 13 26	6 5 37 32 10 8	2 12 31 30 9 8	15 13 71 93 14 5	4 2 20 5 1	- 4 10 1 -
3RD	1,583	1,105	401	200	164	286	.47	7	478	167	91	61	133	. 15	11
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	66 343 602 205 235 132	38 242 470 152 141 62	17 83 142 73 51 35	5 40 98 26 16 15	3 29 80 18 25 9	8 72 130 31 42 3	5 15 19 3 5	- 3 1 1 2 -	28 101 132 53 94 70	10 37 22 34 37 27	9 12 29 6 13 22	1 4 27 3 16 10	8 36 46 9 23 11	- 5 6 1 3 -	722
4TH	1,790	946	517	170	108	129	19	3	844	427	186	74	132	20	5
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,W WV,N WV,S	275 138 122 123 225 478 261 71 97	174 63 24 54 144 286 114 35 52	66 50 16 38 37 186 73 25 26	29 2 5 4 30 58 31 5 6	25 4 3 35 22 4 2 10	42 5 9 37 19 5 3 9	10 2 5 1 1 	2	101 75 98 69 81 192 147 36 45	26 31 39 37 22 118 122 14 18	21 20 38 16 16 40 11 12 12	13 7 11 6 12 9 4 5 7	29 15 9 10 28 21 8 4 8	9 2 1 - 3 2 2 1 -	3
5TH	2,902	1,770	923	346	216	259	22	4	1,132	622	212	122	149	21	6
LA,E LA,M MS,N MS,S TX,N TX,E TX,E TX,E TX,H	359 52 153 104 211 413 203 789 618	290 44 124 69 168 266 142 413 254	106 27 51 26 92 160 68 238 155	78 9 23 28 35 42 29 57 45	49 4 24 7 20 27 16 38 31	54 25 8 21 34 25 68 20	2 - - 3 3 10 3	1 - - 1 2 -	69 8 29 35 43 147 61 376 364	41 2 16 19 14 83 25 219 203	16 2 5 2 9 22 11 70 75	7 2 5 9 8 7 7 34 43	1 3 5 11 25 16 47 40	3 1 - 1 5 2 6 3	1
6TH	1,538	880	353	177	105	206	31	8	658	240	126	115	142	27	8
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	151 150 348 82 190 164 161 104 188	81 85 201 49 137 84 102 64 77	34 41 50 21 53 25 55 32 42	19 16 36 11 20 17 31 14 13	11 4 33 4 19 11 8 7 8	16 20 69 11 41 23 5 10 11	- 3 10 2 4 6 3 - 3	1 3 - 2 1 -	70 65 147 33 53 80 59 40 111	24 43 33 12 9 25 24 16 54	15 6 27 3 8 8 21 11 27	16 9 28 5 10 15 7 5 20	12 6 46 12 21 26 6 6 7	2 	1 1 3 1 2 - - -

TABLE C-8. U.S. DISTRICT CO	JURIS
LENGTH OF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS COMPLETED), BY DISTRICT,
FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30), 1991
(INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS	CASES)

				CIVIL .	TRIALS					· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CRIMIN	AL TRIA	S		
CIRCUIT/ DISTRICT	TOTAL All Trials	TOTAL	1 Day	2 Days	3 DAYS	4 T0 9 Days	10 T0 19 Days	20 DAYS AND OVER	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 T0 9 Days	10 T0 19 Days	20 DAYS AND OVER
7TH	1,158	710	306	112	98	163	28	3	448	160	78	88	98	20	4
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	460 145 117 139 126 109 62	285 77 65 83 92 57 51	107 33 27 45 52 18 24	34 16 19 14 15 5 9	34 15 6 10 12 14 7	93 11 11 12 14 11	15 2 2 1 6	2 - 1 - -	175 68 52 56 34 52 11	51 32 28 28 12 7 2	28 14 7 8 9 6 6	24 13 7 16 10 15 3	60 9 7 2 18 -	10 3 2 5	2 - - 1 1 -
8TH	1,433	875	401	157	124	168	18	7	558	222	97	87	126	21	5
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MO,E MO,H NE SD	222 115 57 121 196 265 190 110 44 113	169 80 26 62 104 195 116 66 14 43	85 40 12 22 49 90 55 24 5 19	31 21 4 11 12 47 16 10 5	24 11 4 11 6 30 19 14 2 3	26 8 5 12 31 26 24 17 5 14	3 	- 1 2 2 1 - 1 -	53 35 31 59 92 70 74 44 30 70	29 23 12 34 24 19 18 17 12 34	10 5 4 9 20 17 4 5 18	3 1 5 13 17 16 12 6 5 9	9 6 7 29 15 25 12 8 8	2 2 1 9 - 2 4 - 1	
9TH	2,761	1,109	385	200	159	285	65	15	1,652	744	283	220	334	53	18
АК AZ CA,E CA,E CA,S HI ID MT NV WA,E HA,W GUAM NMI	46 420 178 95 676 361 95 43 79 167 233 148 198 18 4	20 959 318 533 37 24 96 96 9113 7 2	6 42 18 14 86 18 8 9 83 33 8 44 48 3 3 8 44 48 3	1 15 16 3 60 6 8 3 9 27 20 10 20 10 20	4 14 17 10 43 4 5 5 10 18 10 18 10 2 14 2 1	6 21 32 104 16 13 6 12 13 15 10 24 1 -	3 3 23 23 2 3 2 1 - 3 2 1 6 -	- 4 231 1 1 121 -	26 325 79 358 308 58 19 39 73 137 79 85 11 2	7 201 16 12 100 170 31 3 9 9 4 43 35 4 1	50 79 73 6 6 7 6 6 7 16 22 22 18 2 -	7 33 6 57 45 7 3 11 10 13 6 13 3 -	11 36 34 20 104 44 11 5 12 23 7 8 16 2 1	1 4 12 5 14 3 3 2 - 5 1 - 3 -	- 1 4 1 10 1 - - - - - - - - -
10TH	1,234	712	263	116	104	198	26	5	522	306	78	56	69	12	1
CO KS OK,N OK,E UK,W UT WY	268 183 311 120 55 138 93 66	188 119 92 73 34 108 55 43	91 43 40 20 9 38 13 9	28 15 14 9 5 29 13 3	19 17 9 16 7 16 10 10	44 32 27 27 13 22 13 20	6 10 2 1 - 3 3 1	2	80 64 219 47 21 30 38 23	54 31 163 18 7 9 13 11	8 10 27 10 3 8 12 -	8 6 12 8 7 4 5 6	7 14 16 9 3 7 7 6	3 2 1 2 1 2 1 -	1
11TH	2,301	1,146	577	226	144	169	20	10	1,155	334	276	207	285	42	11
AL, N AL, S FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, M GA, S	292 126 137 376 637 349 127 121	215 78 75 48 155 212 198 77 88	112 37 41 30 72 114 81 44 46	47 23 9 4 29 36 32 18 28	29 10 12 5 19 20 31 9 9	22 8 9 31 35 46 5 5	3 - 3 1 4 4 5 - -	2 - 1 - 3 3 1	77 48 62 88 221 425 151 50 33	36 19 29 50 88 57 26 10	11 18 12 16 56 105 28 18 12	13 9 15 12 40 88 22 1 7	15 2 15 24 57 125 38 5 4	1 - - - - -	1 - 2 5 1 -

NOTE: INCLUDES TRIALS CONDUCTED BY DISTRICT AND APPELLATE JUDGES ONLY. ALL TRIALS CONDUCTED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGES ARE EXCLUDED. INCLUDES HEARINGS ON TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS, HEARINGS ON CONTESTED MOTIONS AND OTHER CONTESTED PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH EVIDENCE IS INTRODUCED.

Table C-9

U. S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS TERMINATED, REQUIRING 20 OR MORE DAYS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	CIV	TL CASES		
DISTRIC	T STYLE OF CASE/DEFENDANT	NATURE OF PROCEEDING	TRIAL DAYS	TYPE OF TRIAL
AL,N.	USA v State of Alabama	Civil Rights	. 102	Non-Jury
NY,E. I	NY Asbestos Lit.Et a v Brooklyn Navy Yard	Asbestos Personal Injury	. 78	Jury
WA,E. 1	Wash Public Power v General Electric	Contract		Jury
GA,M. I	Ross v Buckeye Cellulose Co	Civil Rights - Employment		Jury
WA,E. (C.D.Anderson v Assoc Orchards Inc	Civil RICO		Jury
KS 1	Weathers, V K v Amer. Family Mutual.	Personal Injury	. 46	Jury
NJ]	Fineman v Armstrong World Ind.	Antitrust	. 44	Jury
NJ 1	Bowman, Valcar A. Jr v Mobil Oil Corp	Civil Rights - Employment	. 44	Jury
WA,W.]	Louisiana-Pacific Co v Asarco Incorporated.	Environmental Matters	. 42	Jury
NY,S.	Schiefer v Armstrong World Ind.	Asbestos Pers Inj Prod Liability	. 41	Jury
CA,C. I	USA v Ballesteros, Juan	Narcotic	. 41	Jury
JT J	Pickering, Et al v USX Corporation	Civil Rights	. 38	Non-Jury
PA,E. I	Frazier v Septa	Civil Rights - Employment	. 36	Jury
IA,N. J	Mendenhall v Cedarapis Inc Etal	Patent		Jury
RI 1	Dowling v Narragnasett Capital	Securities, Commodities, Exchange		Jury
CA,S. 1	USA v City of San Diego	Environmental Matters		Non-Jury
rx,s. (City of Houston v Analytic Investment.	Securities, Commodities, Exchange	. 32	Jury
MN	Internatl Travel v NWA, Inc. Et.al	Antitrust		Jury
PA,W.	Limbach Co v Sheet Met Intl Etal.	Civil RICO	. 31	Jury
CX,E. 1	Redwine Eagle v Goodyear Co	Personal Injury-Product Liability	. 31	Jury
1 N]	Peterson Motors Inc. v Genl Motors	Antitrust		Jury
MO,E. 1	Ernster, Et.al v Ralston Purina Et al	Patent		Jury
	Bell Mortgage Bankin v Frommann, Anne Et al	RICO	. 29	Jury
	Orlando Helicopter v AVCO Corp	Breach of Contract	-	Jury
	East v USA	Medical Malpractice		Non-Jury
	Metropolitan Digital v M/a Com Inc. A Ma Co	Bankruptcy Withdrawal		Jury
	Pall Corp v Micron Separating In	Copyright		Non-Jury
	Facit, Inc v Krueger Inc	Contract	28	Non-Jury
	US Anchor Mfg Inc v Rule Industries	Antitrust		Jury
				-

Table C-9

U. S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS TERMINATED, REQUIRING 20 OR MORE DAYS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

(Continued)

CIVIL CASES

DISTRI	CT STYLE OF CASE/DEFENDANT	NATURE OF PROCEEDING	TRIAL DAYS	TYPE OF TRIAL
IL,N.	Brinati, Michael v Peat Marwick Mitchel	Securities, Commodities, Exchange		Non-Jury
CA,N.	Kal W Lines v Bank of America	Antitrust		Jury
CA,C.	Golden State Transit v Los Angeles	Civil Rights		Jury
FL,S.	Georgetown Manor Inc v Ethan Allen	Contract		Non-Jury
CA,N.	Berezinl Et al v Feinsteind Et al	Civil Rights		Jury
CA,S.	Irvine v John Plumer & Partne	Marine Contract		Jury
KS	Green Cnstr.Co v Kansas PWR Light	Contract		Jury
NY,S.	In The Matter OFR v Tecomar S A	Limitation of Ship Power Liability		Non-Jury
NY,W.	Converse v Dunkirk Ice Cream Co	Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		Jury
TX,S.	Industrial Refrigera v USA	Civil Rights		Jury
CA,N.	E.I. Du Pont De Nemo v Cetus Corporation	Patent		Jury
UT	Smith v Deseret Med	Patent		Non-Jury
MI,E.	CDP Diamond Prod v Coburn Optical Ind,.	Contract		Jury
IA,S.	USA v Archer-Daniels-Midla	Antitrust		Non-Jury
NE	Thomas, Selman v Bakery, confectionery	Labor/Management Relations Act		Non-Jury
AL,N.	Shaddix v Syntex Laboratories.	Personal Injury-Product Liability		Jury
MD	Tenas Corp v Tensar Corp	Patent		Jury
LA,E.	Rutgers v Martin Woodlands Gas	Contract		Non-Jury
MI,E.	Elledge, Et al v Bacharach Instrument	Personal Injury-Product Liability		Jury
TN,M.	Smith Corona Corp v Pelikan Inc	Patent	. 22	Jury
MT	Starr v JLA Et al	Medical Malpractice	. 22	Jury
GA,N.	Wentink v Spell Jr	Contract	. 22	Non-Jury
MA	Christman Et al v EA Wiggins Airways	Airplane Personal Injury		Jury
MA	Wood v Haverhill Et al	Civil Rights		Jury
WV,S.	Blackburn v Yamaha Motor Co	Personal Injury-Product Liability	. 21	Jury
KY,W.	The Butts Family, v USA	Medical Malpractice	. 21	Non-Jury
OH,S.	Coal Resources v Gulf Western	Contract		Jury

Table C-9 U. S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS TERMINATED, REQUIRING 20 OR MORE DAYS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (Continued)

		CIVIL CASES		
DISTRI	T STYLE OF CASE/DEFENDANT		RIAL DAYS	TYPE OF TRIAL
IL,N. IN,N. IA,S. HI AL,S. FL,S. FL,S. MA NY,N. NY,N. NY,S. NJ PA,W. KY,E. OH,S. CA,N. OR UT	Joslyn Manufacturing v Amerace (Artrip	cor Co LtdMotor Vehicle Personal Injurycicultural CPersonal Injury-Product LiabilitycontractContractorationPersonal InjurylbertContractonsPrisoner Petition - Civil RightsonsPrisoner Petition - Civil RightsonsSecurities, Commodities, ExchangeonsPrisoner Petition - Civil RightsonsPrisoner Petition - Civil RightsonsSecurities, Commodities, ExchangeonsPersonal Injury-Product Liabilityon TirePersonal Injury-Product Liabilityon TireBreach of Judiciary Dutyon tagu & Co.Personal Property Damage	21 21 21 21 21 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Jury Jury Jury Jury Jury Jury Jury Jury

Table C-9U. S. DISTRICT COURTSCIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS TERMINATED, REQUIRING 20 OR MORE DAYSDURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991
(Continued)

CRIMINAL CASES

DISTRI	CT STYLE OF CASE/DEFENDANT	NATURE OF PROCEEDING	FRIAL DAYS	TYPE OF TRIAL
MA	Oreto, Frank P	Racketeering/Extortion	143	Jury
FL,M.	Awan, Amjad		114	Jury
CA,C.	Mondjian, Joyce	Narcotics	105	Jury
MN	Naberman, Richard Alan	Explosives	87	Jury
TX,N.	Pace, Jacky Ronald		86	Jury
FL,S.	Kramer, Benjamin Barry	RICO	86	Jury
IL,N.	Bailin, Robert H	Postal, Wire, Radio Fraud	84	Jury
DC	Clyburn, John B	Bribery	74	Jury
AL,N.	USX Corporation		70	Jury
NJ	Livolsi, Philip J Esq		66	Jury
NY,E.	Melendez, Ricardo		65	Jury
NY,E.	Eisen, Morris J		65	Jury
MD	Canty, Tommy Lee Jr		62	Jury
PA,W.	Reilly, Thomas J		- 58	Jury
IL,N.	Dempsey, Martin J		58	Jury
CA,C.	Garcia, Darnell		57	Jury
FL,S.	Monaco, James		56	Jury
MI,E.	Weiner, Kenneth		55	Jury
NJ	Gatto, Louis Sr		54	Jury
MD	Deantoni, Anthony Joseph		51	Jury
NY,S.	Tantoco, Gliceria Rustia	Postal, Wire, Radio Fraud	49	Jury
CA,S.	Koss, Lewis		48	Jury
NY,S.	Lobuglio, Salvatore	Narcotics	47	Jury
NY,S.	Fisher, Fab		47	Jury
DC	Dudley - May, Vielka	Narcotics	46	Jury
PA,E.	Barrington, Clarke	Narcotics	46	Jury
CA,C.	Espinoverdin, Sergio	Murder	46	Jury
TX,N.	Heath, Simon Edward	Transportation Stolen Property	43	Jury

Table C-9 U. S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS TERMINATED, REQUIRING 20 OR MORE DAYS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (Continued)

CRIMINAL CASES

DISTRI	СТ	STYLE OF CASE/DEFENDANT	NATURE OF PROCEEDING	TRIAL DAYS	TYPE OF TRIAL
IN,S.	Cure	y, Timothy S	Marihuana	42	Jury
DC	Barr	y, Marion S Jr	Perjury	41	Jury
FL,S.		inez, Raul		41	Jury
NJ		i, John M		40	Jury
PA,E.		ny, Herlinda			Jury
NJ		ers, Gerald			Jury
DC		, David M		36	Jury
CA,C.	Gamb	ina, Julie	Kidnapping	36	Jury
FL,M.		1 Buick Inc		3.6	Jury
NJ		erson, Cleveland			Jury
OH,N.	Warr	en, Ralph	Continuing Criminal Enterprise	35	Jury
WI,E.		els, Sterling		34	Jury
AZ	Diaz	, Fausto Enrique	Narcotics	34	Jury
NH		lveda, David		33	Jury
DC		h, James E	Bribery	32	Jury
NY,S.		o, Bernhard F		32	Jury
NJ		ello, Michael		32	Jury
PA,W.	Rauc	ci, Louis F	Narcotics	32	Jury
OH, N.		en, Ralph Darrell		32	Jury
FL,S.		alez, Roberto			Jury
NY,S.		irello, Paul			Jury
MI,W.		William Jacob			Jury
CA,E.		ux, Kimbel A		30	Jury
KS		nt, Sherwood E Jr		30	Jury
FL,N.		m, Robin 0			Jury
FL,N.		ders, James C			Jury
MN		ow, Eugene R			Jury
NV		ck, Robert			Jury

Table C-9U. S. DISTRICT COURTSCIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS TERMINATED, REQUIRING 20 OR MORE DAYSDURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991
(Continued)

		CRIMINAL CASES		
DISTRI	CT STYLE OF CASE/DEFENDANT	NATURE OF PROCEEDING	TRIAL DAYS	TYPE OF TRIAL
TX,N.	Dixon, Don R	Embezzlement at Lending Institutions.	28	Non-Jury
KY,E.	Crawford, Kenneth			Jury
CA, N.	Abono, Alfred J Jr			Jury
CA,C.	Duran, Maria			Jury
MA	Innamorati, Kenneth		27	Jury
VA,E.	Runnells, William R Jr		27	Jury
FL,S.	Haynes, Charlie			Jury
GA,N.	Hale, Joseph H			Jury
CA,C.	Mendoza, Gilbert		26	Jury
DC	Davis, Michael L		25	Jury
DC	Davischarry, Samuel		25	Jury
NY,E.	Fernandez, Oscar		25	Jury
NY,S.	Muleeren, John A Jr		25	Jury
NY,S.	Pontarelli, Joseph A		25	Jury
MD	Lang Iii, Arthur G		25	Jury
MI,E.	Jenkins, Leon			Jury
MN	Graham, Terrance R			Jury
CA, N.	Broussard, Daniel Wayne		25	Jury
CA,C.	Beckley, Albert		25	Jury
MA	Mchugh, J Edward		24	Jury
NY,S.	Occhipinti, Joseph		24	Jury
TX,N.	Straach, Gary Eugene		24	Jury
TX,N.	Johnson, Raymond Paul		24	Jury
NJ	Ida, James A			Jury
VA,E.	Haynes, Lino H		23	Jury
DC	Baugh, James E		_	Jury
LA,E.	Aucoin, Walton K			Jury
MN	Selman, Richard Todd	Bank Theft	22	Jury

Table C-9 U. S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS TERMINATED, REQUIRING 20 OR MORE DAYS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (Continued)

		CRIMI	NAL CASES		
DISTRICT	STYLE OF CASE/DEFENDANT		NATURE OF PROCEEDING	TRIAL DAYS	TYPE OF TRIAL
PR Mon NY,S. Men NY,S. Oga NY,W. Ros CA,N. Lau CA,C. Ke NY,E. Van KY,W. Sto MI,E. Sep NE We CA,N. Wh CA,C. Hen	ucatio, Wilfred American rris, Howard Leon wasre Ari Zem Ari ando, Anastasio Pineda sato, Joseph urins, Alexandrs V ller, Ray D rgas, Edgar one, Walter Strader pulvedaaquerre, Anacleto lliver, Dennis ite, William C nsley, Johnny Dwight		Postal, Wire, Radio Fraud Narcotics Drug Trafficking Fraud. Postal, Wire, Radio Fraud. Narcotics. Controlled Substance. Narcotics. Narcotics. Postal, Wire, Radio Fraud. Income Tax Evasion. Bank Robbery. Narcotics.	 21 21 21 21 21 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 	Non-Jury Jury Jury Jury Jury Jury Jury Jury

	DL	IRING T	HE TWE	LVE MO	NTH PERIO	D ENDE	D JUNE	30, 1	991			
	TO	ITAL TR	IALS		NON	JURY T	RIALS		J	URY TR	IALS	
			INTER MONTHS				INTER Months				INTER MONTHS	
		10		10		10		10		10		10
CIRCUIT	NUMBER	PER- CENT		PER- CENT	NUMBER	PER- CENT		PER- CENT	NUMBER	PER- CENT		PER- CENT
AND	ÛF	LESS	ME-	MORE	OF	LESS	ME	MORE	OF	LESS	ME-	MORE
DISTRICT	TRIALS	THAN	DIAN	THAN	TRIALS	THAN	DIAN	THAN	TRIALS	THAN	DIAN	THAN
TOTAL	7,029	4	15	40	3,048	4	14	39	3,981	5	15	40
DC	69	6	12	33	42	5	13	33	27	7	11	30
1ST	349	5	17	4	135	4	13	39	214	7	19	47
ME	45	5	13	32	24	4	12	34	21	9	13	21
MA NH	166	5 12	22 26	52 42	50 7	1	17	52	116	6	24	51
RI	28. 68	5	10	23	33	4	10	23	21 35	17 8	26 12	42 25
PR	42	5 2	12	32	21	i	14	32	21	6	12	30
2ND	630	6	22	55	270	4	20	61	360	8	23	50
ст	93	7	23	47	37	4	16	37	56	9	26	47
NY,N	42	12	27	63	10	15	48	63	32	9	24	56
NY, E	121	5 5 7	24	56	46	1	22	64	75	6	24	51
NY,S NY,H	316 36		21 21	48 59	154 17	4	20 17	55 59	162 19	6	21 22	48 53
VT	22	5	14	37	6	-	· -	-	16	9	14	33 37
3RD	814	5	14	40	279	4	13	42	535	5	15	39
DE	31	10	20	43	13	7	28	90	18	10	13	32
NJ	157	6	20	58	56	5	16	56	101	6	23	58
<u>P</u> A,E	389	4	11	30	129	3	10	27	260	5	12	31
PA, M	102 101	4 10	11 23	30 49	29	37	12	28	73	4	11	30
PA, W VI	34	17	32	39	42	l í	24 29	46 35	59 24	11 20	20 34	50 46
4TH	628	3	8	27	244	3	7	24	384	3	9	29
MD	120	3	12	35	38	3	12	30	82	.3	12	37
NC,E	20	2	13	29	10	10	14	27	10	1	12	32
NC, M	8	-		-	4	-		-	4		_	-
NC, H SC	26 122	4	17 9	29 24	14 23	6	11 9	29 24	12 99	1 2	24	42 24
VA,E	210	2	5	- 9	117	i	5	- 8	93	3	, 5	10
VA, W	83	5	13	34	29	5	16	43	54	4	12	33
WV,N WV,S	11 28		17 11	. 36 37	3	-		-	8			-
		2		31	6	- 1	-	-	22	2	11	37
5TH	1,054	5	17	41	539	4	17	41	515	5	17	42
LA,E	252	6	13	34	117	6	13	37	135	7	13	30
LA,M LA,W	26 107	20 9	31 25	52 41	11 66	19 9	40 25	52 40	15 41	20	31 26	78 42
MS,N	46	10	19	33	19	10	25 19	40 29	27	9	20	42 48
MS.S	110	6	15	30	57		15	28	53	7	18	31
TX.N	136	6	20	51	. 77	5	21	51	59	7	18	50
TX,E TX,S	84 149	1 4	10 24	29 55	31 77	1 7	7 23	19 52	53 72	1	12 27	30 59
тх, м	145	2	13	31	84	2	13	29	60	3 1	12	34
6TH	640	6	16	43	262	6	17	48	378	6	16	41
KY,E	62	9	21	39	14	3	20	48	48	12	21	35
KY.W	51	6	25	56	15	7	25	43	36		25	57
MI.E	164	8	14	30	47	6	14	38	117	9	15	27
MI,W OH,N OH,S	32		14	30	18	3	12	28	14	1	14	33
0H.S.	114 48	47	14 17	43 36	56 20	6 1	21	57 29	58 28	2 9	11 20	41 49
IN.L.	70	5	12	34	38	3	15	29	20 32	5	11	49
TN,M	41	4	13	32	18	6	13	32	23	4	12	28
TN,W	58	8	34	60	36	3	27	60	22	8	36	51
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1				1						

TABLE C 10. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM ISSUE TO TRIAL OF CIVIL CASES IN WHICH A TRIAL WAS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

	TO	TAL TR	IALS		NON	JURY T	RIALS		L	URY TR	IALS	
	· ·	TIME INTERVAL TIME INTERVAL IN MONTHS* IN MONTHS*						INTER MONTHS				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER OF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 Per- Cent More Than	NUMBER OF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN	NUMBER OF TRIALS	10 PER- CENT LESS THAN	ME- DIAN	10 PER- CENT MORE THAN
7TH	395	3	14	42	160	3	12	36	235	3	16	42
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E HI,H	150 24 50 42 49 46 34	2 3 1 7 2 10 3	13 16 13 14 20 19 8	43 29 33 42 46 48 19	57 4 21 20 27 20 11	4 - 1 7 2 3 3	13 12 11 11 18 6	40 30 57 29 49 9	93 20 29 22 22 26 23	1 3 1 7 8 12 3	14 16 13 23 35 19 9	48 29 34 32 63 42 27
'8TH	592	3	14	32	241	3	14	29	351	4	14	34
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MD,E ND,H ND SD	126 61 13 47 60 133 71 46 11 24	1 3 4 2 10 5 2 10 4 7	11 8 22 14 22 16 14 17 20 12	25 15 33 50 30 29 35 33 32	57 20 3 18 22 61 29 20 3 8	2 3 - 3 8 3 1 2 -	11 7 11 16 16 14 17 	21 12 28 29 30 27 30	69 41 10 29 38 72 42 26 8 16	1 3 7 1 12 6 3 12 - 7	10 8 22 14 25 15 14 17 11	28 15 45 33 63 31 29 37 - 25
9TH	640	4	16	42	357	4	14	40	283	4	17	43
AK AZ CA,E CA,C HI ID MT OR WA,E MA,H GUAM NMI	15 45 77 26 155 29 25 15 34 58 55 25 75 6 -	17 7 4 3 7 10 6 3 9 9 3 1 7 7 -	40 25 15 20 13 21 20 18 18 18 18 12 8 14 -	61 42 59 36 32 59 50 35 46 .35 37 17 29 -	9 27 40 11 87 18 37 31 5 6 8 33 31 19 48 5 -	-571369 -8214 -	- 22 17 18 12 21 14 - 16 10 8 14 -	- 33 59 29 28 59 50 - 46 40 15 29 -	6 18 37 15 68 11 10 9 26 25 24 6 27 1 -	- 11 5 4 3 13 13 13 - 9 13 1 - 7 - 7		- 42 59 54 32 37 47 - 46 35 31 - 22 -
10TH	465	5	13	34	184	5	14	33	281	5	12	35
CO KS DK.N DK.E UK.W UT WY	76 58 62 55 34 101 43 36	4 9 6 1 4 6 7 5	18 19 17 11 7 9 20 8	38 44 26 12 20 46 17	40 20 28 11 3 39 28 15	1 3 6 1 - 6 7 7	18 16 17 6 - 9 19 10	55 39 28 16 19 46 25	36 38 34 44 31 62 15 21	9 11 5 1 4 6 5 2	17 21 16 12 7 9 23 7	30 46 47 27 12 20 42 13
11TH	753	5	12	32	335	4	11	29	418	6	14	33
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,M GA,S	160 55 44 20 122 114 128 43 67	4 5 10 4 3 7 7 6	9 10 12 24 13 12 17 17 17	20 15 25 35 32 37 37 36 31	76 23 12 9 56 83 39 20 17	4 4 1 - 3 2 6 7	9 9 11 12 12 13 18 11	16 19 29 - 23 34 26 41 27	84 32 32 11 66 31 89 23 50	5 4 6 12 5 2 9 7 6	11 10 12 26 14 19 19 16 13	20 14 17 56 36 47 38 31 31

TABLE C 10. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS TIME INTERVALS FROM ISSUE TO TRIAL OF CIVIL CASES IN WHICH A TRIAL WAS COMPLETED, BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30. 1991

NOTE: INCLUDES TRIALS CONDUCTED BY DISTRICT AND APPELLATE JUDGES ONLY. ALL TRIALS CONDUCTED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGES ARE EXCLUDED. EXCLUDES THE FOLLOWING TRIALS: LAND CONDEMNATION, FORFEITURES AND PENALTY CASES, PRISONER PETITIONS (HABEAS CORPUS, MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE UNDER 28 U.S.C. 2255, HEARINGS ON EVIDENTIARY MATTERS), BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS, AND THREE JUDGE COURT CASES.

* TIME INTERVALS COMPUTED ONLY WHERE THERE ARE 10 OR MORE TRIALS.

CIRCUIT		FILINGS		TER	MINATIONS			PENDING	+
AND DISTRICT	1990 #	1991	PERCENT CHANGE	1990 #	1991	PERCENT CHANGE	1990 #	1991	PERCENT CHANGE
TOTAL	47,411	45,735	- 3.5	42,765	42,788	.1	35,021	37,968	8.4
DC	584	747	27.9	419	645	53.9	473	575	21.6
1ST	1,109	1,100	8	976	1,038	6.4	785	847	7.9
ME	140	155	10.7	145	129	- 11.0	96	122	27.1
MA	330 101	303 75	- 8.2	277	315 85	13.7	364 65	352 55	- 3.3
RI	106	117	10.4	84	104	23.8	83	96	15.7
PR	432	450	4.2	412	405	- 1.7	177	222	25.4
2ND	2,976	3,430	15.3	2,600	2,645	1.7	3,668	4,453	21.4
CT	250	280	12.0	205	251	22.4	215	244	13.5
NY,N	427 992	462 1,162	8.2 17.1	385 783	376 854	- 2.3	240 1,358	326 1,666	35.8
NY, S	950	1,036	9.1	887	767	- 13.5	1,521	1,790	17.7
NY,W	269	360	33.8	253	305	20.6	220	275	25.0
VT	88	130	47.7	87	92	5.7	114	152	33.3
3RD	2,247	2,150	- 4.3	2,027	2,062	1.7	1,735	1,823	5.1
DE	134	131	- 2.2	129	135	4.7	55	51	- 7.3
NJ	662	740	11.8	563	627	11.4	567	680	19.9
PA, E	493	498	1.0	492	497	1.0	457	458	.2
PA,M	288 243	268 254	- 7.0	249	280	12.4 5.8	170	158	- 7.1
VI	427	259	4.5 - 39.4	224 370	286	- 22.7	147 339	164 312	11.6
4TH	6,789	6,112	- 10.0	6,346	5,924	- 6.7	3,173	3,361	5.9
MD	705	635	- 9.9	703	654	- 7.0	494	475	- 3.9
NC.E	1,099	1,036	- 5.7	1,064	1,002	- 5.8	209	243	16.3
NC, M	320	252	- 21.3	311	278	- 10.6	198	172	- 13.1
NC,W	478	464	- 2.9	414	418	1.0	235	281	19.6
SC	586	647	10.4	493	569	15.4	410	488	19.0
VA, E	2,796	2,300	- 17.7	2,668	2,252	- 15.6	1,078	1,126	4.5
VA, H WV, N	250 236	283	13.2	212	226	6.6	169	226	33.7
WV,S	319	140 355	- 40.7	211 270	178 347	- 15.6 28.5	180 200	142 208	- 21.1
5TH	6,596	5,678	- 13.9	5,862	5,717	- 2.5	5,478	5,439	7
LA,E LA,M	446 57	405 67	- 9.2 17.5	416	395	- 5.1 37.2	310 34	320	3.2
LA, W	214	267	24.8	43	59 228	14.6	133	42 172	23.5 29.3
MS.N	119	151	26.9	112	164	46.4	72	59	- 18.1
MS, S	235	249	6.0	189	278	47.1	194	165	- 15.0
TX, N	731	685	- 6.3	715	685	- 4.2	607	607	-
TX,E	256	273	6.6	294	271	- 7.8	165	167	1.2
TX,S	2,926	1,869	- 36.1	2,369	2,114	- 10.8	2,938	2,693	- 8.3
TX, H	1,612	1,712	. 6.2	1,525	1,523	1	1,025	1,214	18.4
6TH	3,425	3,450	.7	2,925	3,316	13.4	2,540	2,674	5.3
KY,E KY,W	219 412	199 439	- 9.1 6.6	185 405	195 426	5.4 5.2	148 262	152 275	2.7 5.0
MTE	626	687	9.7	378	562	48.7	641	766	19.5
MI.W	197	177	- 10.2	195	161	- 17.4	118	134	13.6
OH, N	444	425	- 4.3	402	450	11.9	329	304	- 7.6
OH,S	521	441	- 15.4	436	470	7.8	348	319	- 8.3
MI, H OH, N OH, S TN, E TN, M	356	385	8.1	285	409	43.5	214	190	- 11.2
<u>TN,M</u>	333	335	.6	329	308	- 6.4	182	209	14.8
ТН, И	317	362	14.2	310	335	8.1	298	325	9.1

TABLE D. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING IN THE DISTRICT COURTS During the twelve month periods ended june 30, 1990 and 1991

CIRCUIT		FILINGS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TER	MINATIONS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PENDING	
AND DISTRICT	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE
7TH	2,344	1,942	- 17.2	2,060	1,895	- 8.0	1,650	1,697	2.8
IL,N	820	651	- 20.6	600	654	9.0	875	872	4
IL,C	244	271	11.1	274	236	- 13.9	164	199	21.3
IL,S	210 219	180 159	- 14.3	182	165	- 9.4	108	123	13.9
IN,N IN,S	458	315	- 27.4	225 424	194 315	- 13.8	158 121	123 121	- 22.2
WI,E	257	256	4	217	218	.5	164	202	23.2
WI,W	136	110	- 19.1	138	113	- 18.1	60	57	- 5.0
8тн	2,863	2,674	- 6.6	2,614	2,769	5.9	1,435	1,340	- 6.6
AR, E	266	244	- 8.3	178	280	57.3	172	136	- 20.9
AR,W	116 116	117 106	.9	99 119	129 109	30.3	55 92	43 89	- 21.8
IA,S	113	122	8.0	91	132	45.1	72	62	- 13.9
MN	326	333	2.1	357	295	- 17.4	163	201	23.3
M0,E	264	264		298	258	- 13.4	169	175	3.6
MO,W Ne	1,026 198	891 183	- 13.2 - 7.6	913 149	978 190	7.1 27.5	360 159	273 152	- 24.2
ND	180	165	- 8.3	179	172	- 3.9	78	71	- 9.0
SD	258	249	- 3.5	231	226	- 2.2	115	138	20.0
9ТН	9,717	9,861	1.5	8,615	8,706	1.1	8,531	9,686	13.5
AK	220	188	- 14.6	186	195	4.8	102	95	- 6.9
AZ CA,N	1,041 703	1,081 702	3.8	830 580	936 661	12.8 14.0	933 816	1,078 857	15.5
CA.E	627	690	10.0	601	622	3.5	430	498	15.8
CA,C	926	1,088	17.5	765	840	9.8	1,875	2,123	13.2
CA, S	1,517	1,338	- 11.8	1,335	1,247	- 6.6	1,878	1,969	4.8
HI	1,752 101	1,887	7.7 - 11.9	1,439 96	1,497 82	4.0 - 14.6	994 49	1,384	39.2 14.3
MT	224	235	4.9	195	227	16.4	137	145	5.8
NV	312	406	30.1	303	320	5.6	298	384	28.9
OR	402	575	43.0	339	438	29.2	326	463	42.0
WA,E WA,W	508 1,200	480 965	- 5.5 - 19.6	533 1,234	499 1,029	- 6.4 - 16.6	223 426	204 362	- 8.5
GUAM	181	127	- 29.8	170	105	- 38.2	39	61	56.4
NMI	3	10		9	8	-	5	7	-
10TH	2,384	2,395	.5	2,338	2,314	- 1.0	1,401	1,482	5.8
C0	413	383	- 7.3	443	358	- 19.2	211	236	11.8
KS	302	317	5.0	313	362	15.7	221	176	- 20.4
NM	544 147	611 160	12.3 8.8	483 157	490 133	1.4	430	551 122	28.1 28.4
OK, E	88	59	- 33.0	88	63	- 28.4	31	27	- 12.9
OK.W	414	421	1.7	425	450	5.9	158	129	- 18.4
UT	338 138	334	- 1.2	295	347	17.6	192	179	- 6.8
ΗΥ 11TH.,		110	- 20.3	134	111	- 17.2	63	62	
	6,377	6,194	2.9	5,983	5,757	- 3.8	4,152	4,589	10.5
AL, N	322 349	266	- 17.4	315	271	- 14.0	95	90	- 5.3
AL,M	349 174	240 218	- 31.2 25.3	312 177	275 163	- 11.9	164 126	129 181	- 21.4
FL,N	246	232	- 5.7	242	241	4	228	219	- 4.0
FL,M	858	885	3.1	756	808	6.9	614	691	12.5
FL,S	1,319	1,546	17.2	1,169	1,226	4.9	2,254	2,574	14.2
GA, N GA, M	498 2,240	392 2,026	- 21.3 - 9.6	460 2,226	373 1,998	- 18.9	363 160	382 188	5.2
GA, S	371	389	4.9	326	402	23.3	148	135	- 8.8

TABLE D. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING IN THE DISTRICT COURTS During the thelve month periods ended june 30, 1990 and 1991

NOTE: PERCENT CHANGE COMPUTED ON 10 OR MORE.

#Revised

TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	PE	NDING JU	ILY 1, 19	90	COMMENCED						
						OF	RIGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS		
			MISDE-	OTUER	TOTAL Com-	TRTAL	FET BUIL	MISDE-		RE-	TRANS-
 DISTRICT	TOTAL [#]	FELONY	MEANOR	OTHER*	MENCED	TOTAL	FELONY	MEANOR	UIHEK*	OPENS®	FERS
TOTAL	35,021	29,882	4,910	229	45,735	44,799	31,838	12,525	436	256	680
DC	473	452	21		747	704	627	77	-	39	4
1ST	785	742	35		1,100	1,085	1,034	39	12	7	8
ME MA NH RI	96 364 65 83	88 345 61 72	7 13 4 11	1 6 	155 303 75 117	152 297 75 116	139 278 71 112	12 16 4	13	3	3 3 - 1
PR	177	176	-	1	450	445	434	3	8	4	1
2ND	3,668	3,347	287	34	3,430	3,397	2,873	492	32	5	28
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	215 240 1,358 1,521 220 114	207 200 1,273 1,361 194 112	7 37 81 136 24 2	1 3 4 24 2 -	280 462 1,162 1,036 360 130	270 459 1,147 1,036 355 130	254 257 1,085 886 268 123	16 201 61 121 87 6	1 1 29 1	2 - 3	8 3 12 - 5 -
3RD	1,735	1,601	127	7	2,150	2,121	1,896	211	14	2	27
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	55 567 457 170 147 339	54 460 444 162 146 335	1 103 11 7 1 4	- 4 2 1 -	131 740 498 268 254 259	129 726 494 261 253 258	103 576 476 247 243 251	22 146 14 13 9 7	4 4 1 1	- - 1 - 1	2 14 4 6 1 -
4TH	3,173	2,218	936	19	6,112	6,032	3,284	2,684	64	19	61
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H WY,N WY,S	494 209 198 235 410 1,078 169 180 200	341 198 194 223 365 363 161 178 195	146 10 4 11 43 709 6 2 5	7 1 2 6 2 -	635 1,036 252 464 647 2,300 283 140 355	625 1,027 241 629 2,295 281 136 347	348 305 235 367 551 746 270 133 329	259 720 6 76 74 1,523 8 3 15	18 2 	1 1 2 - 2 4 1 2 6	9 8 9 13 16 1 1 2 2
5TH	5,478	4,786	671	21	5,678	5,593	4,590	967	36	20	65
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, S	310 34 133 72 194 607 165 2,938 1,025	306 32 103 67 189 596 152 2,454 887	2 1 27 3 4 11 13 476 134	2 1 3 2 1 - - 8 4	405 67 267 151 249 685 273 1,869 1,712	393 65 262 150 247 667 265 1,850 1,694	366 64 201 135 230 602 232 1,664 1,096	24 1 50 15 16 53 33 184 591	3 	- - 1 2 7	9 2 5 1 1 16 8 12 11
6тн	2,540	2,274	257	9	3,450	3,352	2,819	507	26	17	81
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N DH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	148 262 641 118 329 348 214 182 298	146 135 596 114 323 312 206 150 292	2 125 41 3 6 36 7 31 6	2 4 1 - 1 1	199 439 687 177 425 441 385 335 362	195 426 672 170 409 427 373 326 354	183 175 615 149 403 378 353 221 342	10 246 47 19 5 49 17 102 12	2 5 10 2 1 - 3 3 -	1 9 - 1 - 2	3 12 6 7 15 14 10 7 7

	991	INE 30, 1	NDING JU	PE				MINATED	TER	-	
				· .			GS	ROCEEDIN	IGINAL P	OR	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	OTHER*	MISDE- Meanor	FELONY	TOTAL	TRANS- FERS	RE Opens@	OTHER*	MISDE- Meandr	FELONY	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMI- NATED
TOTAL	258	5,154	32,556	37,968	684	335	536	12,656	28,577	41,769	42,788
DC	-	26	549	575	1	35	2	96	511	609	645
1ST	7	38	802	847	7	5	15	35	976	1,026	1,038
ME MA NH RI PR	1 5 - 1	11 12 2 11 2	110 335 53 85 219	122 352 55 96 222	1 3 - 3	- 3 - 2	1 5 1 - 8	7 17 6 4 1	120 287 78 97 394	128 309 85 101 403	129 315 85 104 405
2ND	37	381	4,035	4,453	22	7	32	397	2,187	2,616	2,645
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W YT	- 4 4 27 2 -	8 66 92 186 23 6	236 256 1,570 1,577 250 146	244 326 1,666 1,790 275 152	4 3 5 7 3 -	1 5 1 -	1 4 26 1	15 173 50 69 88 2	230 200 790 665 213 89	246 373 844 760 301 92	251 376 854 767 305 92
3RD	5	123	1,695	1,823	31	7	16	220	1,788	2,024	2,062
DE PA,E PA,M PA,W YI	1 2 1 - 1 -	4 97 5 8 2 7	46 581 452 150 161 305	51 680 458 158 164 312	3 7 9 5 4 3	- - 3 4 -	2 7 5 - -	18 153 21 16 8 4	112 460 462 254 221 279	132 620 488 272 229 283	135 627 497 280 237 286
4TH	25	890	2,446	3,361	94	35	74	2,770	2,951	5,795	5,924
MD NC,E NC,M NC,W SC VA,E VA,W WV,N WV,S	11 - 2 1 9 2 -	110 17 3 15 53 683 2 2 5	354 226 169 264 434 434 222 140 203	475 243 172 281 488 1,126 226 142 208	10 13 7 14 21 23 4 - 2	12 4 2 2 2 4 1 2 6	22 4 9 3 29 3 - 4	291 719 82 1,543 27 3 18	319 262 261 314 461 653 191 173 317	632 985 269 402 546 2,225 221 176 339	654 1,002 278 418 569 2,252 226 178 347
5TH	28	666	4,745	5,439	67	39	55	1,076	4,480	5,611	5,717
LA, E LA, M LA, W MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W	3151-4-68	3 2 35 4 8 14 10 380 210	314 39 132 54 157 589 157 2,307 996	320 42 172 59 165 607 167 2,693 1,214	4 1 5 - 10 35 2 3 7	3 - 4 2 1 8 8 6 7	2 - 9 1 2 22 - 10 9	31 46 15 12 71 40 321 540	355 58 164 253 549 221 1,774 960	388 58 219 162 267 642 261 2,105 1,509	395 59 228 164 278 685 271 2,114 1,523
6тн	20	250	2,404	2,674	69	29	19	570	2,629	3,218	3,316
KY,E KY,W MI,E DH,N DH,N DH,S TN,E TN,W	1 3 9 2 1 - 4 - 4	5 122 41 8 7 17 7 37 6	146 150 716 124 296 302 183 168 319	152 275 766 134 304 319 190 209 325	4 7 3 7 11 9 6 11 11	334 - 15562	2542 	10 256 53 18 9 71 37 102 14	176 155 498 134 429 385 357 187 308	188 416 555 154 438 456 398 291 322	195 426 562 161 450 470 409 308 335

TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	PE	PENDING JULY 1, 1990 COMMENCED									
			-			OR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	TOTAL Com- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opens@	TRANS- FERS
7TH	1,650	1,523	124	3	1,942	1,910	1,574	324	12	7	25
IL,N IL,C	875 164	812 141	63 21	- 2	651 271	641 269	564 236	72 33	5	4	62
IL,S	108	102	6	-	180	176	134	41	1		3
IN,N	158 121	146 106	12 14	1	159 315	155 304	140 176	15 127	1	2	4
WI,E WI,W	164 60	161 55	3 5	-	256 110	256 109	224 100	29 7	3	-	- 1
втн	1,435	1,223	203	9	2,674	2,616	1,856	735	25	15	43
AR, E AR, W	172 55	168 47	3 7	1 1	244 117	233 114	223 83	8 22	2 9		10
IA, N	92	86	6	÷	106	103	. 96	- 5	2	1	2
IA,S MN	72 163	72 153	- 9	1	122 333	119 319	114 298	5 19		1	2
MO, E	169	163	6	-	264	255	233	21	2	1 6	13
MO,W NE	360 159	204 150	153 7	32	891 183	885 182	260 166	618 15	7	2	4
ND	78	70	8	-	165	162	149	12	1	=	1 3
SD	115	110	4	1	249	244	234	10		2	3
9TH	8,531	6,618	1,825	88	9,861	9,608	5,839	3,592	177	96	157
AK	102 933	41 868	59 60	2 5	188 1,081	185 1,059	71 830	111 227	3	14	3
CA, N	816	547	268	1	702	672	392	277	3	8	22
CA, E	430 1,875	306 1,770	112 97	12 8	690 1,088	676 1,036	438 997	227 34	11	15	14
CA, S	1,878	1,666	164	48	1,338	1,295	983	251	61	25	18
HI	994 49	118 46	875 1	1 2	1,887 89	1,883 86	135 69	1,745 15	3	=	4
MT	137	131	6	-	235	230	221	7	2	2	3
NV	298 326	282 320	12 6	4	406 575	385 568	329 502	25 21	31 45	3	21
WA, E	223	215	7	1	480	455	448	7.		22	3
WA, W	426 39	266	157	3 1	965 127	949 119	314 100	630 15	5 4	7	16
NMI	5	5	-	-	10	10	10	-	-	-	=
10TH	1,401	1,198	190	13	2,395	2,331	1,791	518	22	8	56
СО КS	211 221	179 190	32 27	4	383 317	368 309	297 185	67 120	4	4	15
NM	430	409	17	4	611	599	566	31	2	3	9
OK,N	95 31	93 30	2 1		160 59	155 57	148 51	7	-	1	95
OK, W	158	95	63	-	421	410	215	194	1		11
UT	192 63	144 58	45 3	3 2	334 110	328 105	233 96	88 5	7 4	1 -	5
11TH	4,152	3,900	234	18	6,194	6,048	3,653	2,379	16	21.	125
AL,N	95 164	89 93	6 71		266 240	258 233	224 132	34 101	-	3	8
AL, S	126	123	3	-	218	213	207	6	-	4	1
FL,N	228 614	216 600	11 11	1 3	232 885	224 846	189 787	31 51	4 8	3	1 5 38
FL,S	2,254	2,188	52	14	1,546	1,495	1,488	6	1	2	49
GA, N	363 160	351 146	12 14		392 2,026	380 2,020	334 153	.45 1,867	1	4	8
GA, S	148	94	54	-	389	379	139	238	2	1	9
											l .

		TED	MINATED				DE				
······································	np	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS					Г 64 		NE 30, 1	.,,,,	
TOTAL Termi- Nated	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- MEANOR	OTHER*	RE- DPENS@	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
1,895	1,846	1,494	339	13	7	42	1,697	1,589	103	5	7TH
654 236 165 194 315 218 113	644 227 162 190 304 209 110	551 196 127 163 174 183 100	88 29 33 27 129 25 8	5 2 - 1 1 2	3 1 - 1 1 -	7 8 2 4 10 8 3	872 199 123 123 121 202 57	821 184 109 121 108 194 52	50 14 14 2 12 6 5	1 1 - 1 2 -	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W
2,769	2,685	1,800	849	36	30	54	1,340	1,218	113	9	8TH
280 129 109 132 295 258 978 190 172 226	275 120 102 288 239 972 189 165 213	259 94 92 117 261 212 268 165 138 194	14 23 5 24 26 690 20 21 18	2 3 2 - 3 1 14 4 6	2 5 1 4 10 1 - 2 4	3469395159	136 43 89 62 201 175 273 152 71 138	132 28 86 62 193 171 193 148 70 135	3 8 3 7 4 80 4 1 3	1 7 - 1 - -	AR,E IA,N IA,N IA,S MD,E MD,W NE ND SD
8,706	8,515	4,963	3,325	227	79	112	9,686	7,380	2,213	93	9TH
195 936 661 622 840 1,247 1,497 82 227 320 438 499 1,029 105 8	182 921 647 827 1,218 1,488 80 220 300 433 474 1,012 99 7	74 701 361 387 773 114 65 210 241 377 455 324 84 7	103 213 284 201 49 334 1,369 9 10 29 10 29 15 17 681 11	57219 5456 30412774	1 7 6 - 23 1 - 3 4 1 18 1 4 -	12 8 15 3 6 8 2 4 6 4 7 16 2 1	95 1,078 857 498 2,123 1,969 1,384 56 145 384 463 204 362 61 7	38 978 586 2,022 1,764 134 48 136 364 445 197 252 55 7	56 94 267 138 90 157 1,250 8 7 1,250 8 7 14 13 6 108 5 -	1 6 4 6 11 48 - 2 6 5 1 2 1 -	AK CA, N CA, E CA, E CA, C CA, S HI ID NT NT NT OR WA, E WA, W GUAM
2,314	2,219	1,619	568	32	22	73	1,482	1,332	141	9	10TH
358 362 490 133 63 450 347 111	340 338 473 126 55 442 335 110	258 190 430 120 50 238 234 99	78 140 38 6 5 202 92 7	4 8 5 - 2 9 4	- 10 4 1 5 1 1 -	18 14 13 6 3 7 11	236 176 551 122 27 129 179 62	215 168 534 119 26 73 139 58	20 7 14 3 1 56 39 1	1 3 - 1 3	CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY
5,757	5,605	3,179	2,411	15	40	112	4,589	4,359	210	20	11TH
271 275 163 241 808 1,226 373 1,998 402	266 265 152 227 773 1,181 362 1,989 390	229 126 144 192 723 1,165 320 146 134	37 138 8 32 43 15 42 1,843 253	1 3 7 1 - 3	- 3 7 4 2 15 3 5 1	5 7 4 10 33 30 8 4 11	90 129 181 219 691 2,574 382 188 135	87 97 176 206 668 2,513 366 149 97	3 32 5 11 20 47 15 39 38	- 2 3 14 1 -	AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,S

TABLE D-1 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

* PRIMARILY PETTY OFFENSES TRIED BY A JUDGE. @ INCLUDES APPEALS FROM MAGISTRATE JUDGES, RE-OPENS AND REMANDS. # REVISED.

		ING JULY 1				COM				
					OR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	SS		
CIRCUIT				TOTAL						
AND	TOTAL	NON-	FUCTATVE	COM-	TOTAL	FELOW	MISDE-		RE-	TRANS-
DISTRICT	TOTAL	FUGITIVE	FUGITIVE	MENCED	TOTAL	FELONY	MEANOR	UINER*	OPENS®	FERS
TOTAL	51,958 [#]	36,809	15,149 #	64,683	63,673	49,328	13,843	502	311	699
DC	604	503	101	1,109	1,051	898	145	8	54	4
1ST	1,230	975	255	1,802	1,784	1,730	42	12	9	9
ME	120	87	33	190	186	173	12	1	-	4
MA	591 82	453	138 10	619 138	613 138	592 134	18 4	3	_3	3
RI	114	92	22	173	172	168	4	-		1
PR	323	271	52	682	675	663	. 4	8	6	i
2ND	6,141	3,905	2,236	5,168	5,132	4,436	662	34	6	30
ст	379	237	142	416	405	388	17	-	2	9
NY,N	407	247	160	731	728	379	348	1	- 1	3
NY, E	2,415 2,397	1,523	892 947	1,724	1,707	1,636	70	1	4	13
NY,S NY,W	380	334	46	1,661	1,661 452	1,504 360	126 92	31	_	5
VT	163	114	49	179	179	169	. 9	1	- 1	-
3RD	2,588	2,039	549	3,228	3,198	2,967	217	14	2	28
DE	67	60	7	164	162	136	22	4	-	2
NJ	843	672	171	1,057	1,042	891	147	4	 	15
PA, E	845	701	144	999	995	977	14	4	1	4
PA,M PA,W	230 239	172	58 29	361 341	354 340	337 329	16 10	1		6
VI	364	224	140	306	305	297	8		1	-
4TH	4,483	3,517	966	8,660	8,578	5,238	3,276	64	21	61
MD	756	585	171	803	793	516	259	18	1	. 9
NC, E	360	292	68	1,175	1,166	442	722	2	1	8
NC,M	327	283	44	436	425	418	- 7		2	9
NC,W SC	399 603	343 523	56 80	847	834	743 924	83	8	3	13 16
¥A,E	1,219	768	451	3,221	3,216	1,105	2,085	26	4	
VA, H	306	272	34	476	474	462	9	3	1	1 1
WY, N	255	214	41	180	176	173	3	-	2	2
WV,S	258	237	21	482	473	455	15	3	7	2
5TH	7,804	5,351	2,453	8,100	8,011	6,943	1,016	52	22	67
LA,E LA,M	458 41	322 30	136 11	586 84	574	538 79	26 1	10	3	9
LA, W	308	266	42	353	348	279	53	16	-	5
MS,N	126	126		210	209	194	15	-		5
MS,S TX,N	264 906	220 657	44 249	330 1,015	328	311	16	1		1 1
TX, E	255	223	32	373	365	924 331	59 34	14	2	16 8
TX,S	3,948	2,392	1,556	2,818	2,798	2,606	190	2	8	12
ТХ, М	1,498	1,115	383	2,331	2,312	1,681	622	9	8	11
6тн	3,841	3,330	511	5,012	4,903	4,335	523	45	25	84
KY,E KY,W	230 390	214 332	16 58	362 526	358 513	346 260	10 248	2	1	3
MI,E	998	793	205	1,117	1,102	1,029	52	21	9	12
MI,W	157	128	29	281	274	245	19	10	-	7
OH,N	510	449	61	642	616	610	5	· 1	9	17
OH,S TN,E	486 313	435 295	51 18	606 478	· 592 465	543 437	49 25	3	2	14
TN,M	238	220	18	423	414	309	102	3	2	11 7 7
TN, W	519	464	55	577	569	556	13		ļī	7
,										
				ļ						

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		TER	MINATED	<u></u>			PEND	ING JUNE 3	10, 1991	
TOTAL	OR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	GS						CIRCUIT
TERMI- NATED	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opens@	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	NDN- FUGITIVE	FUGITIVE	AND DISTRICT
59,871	58,580	43,753	14,170	657	438	853	56,770	38,045	18,725	TOTAL
942	890	739	141	10	51	1	771	634	137	DC
1,550	1,536	1,465	42	29	5	9	1,482	1,155	327	1ST
171 526 128 157 568	170 519 128 153 566	161 493 120 147 544	8 21 6 6 1	1 5 2 21		1 4 - 4 -	139 684 92 130 437	101 509 78 101 366	38 175 14 29 71	ME MA RI PR
4,063	4,031	3,441	556	34	8	24	7,246	4,868	2,378	2ND
392 614 1,298 1,204 410 145	385 611 1,287 1,197 406 145	366 306 1,220 1,097 312 140	17 305 63 73 94 4	2 - 4 27 - 1	1 	6 3 5 7 3 -	403 524 2,841 2,854 427 197	253 355 1,941 1,816 363 140	150 169 900 1,038 64 57	CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W YY,W
2,880	2,829	2,588	225	16	11	40	2,936	2,273	663	3RD
167 879 795 363 348 328	164 868 781 355 336 325	144 706 755 336 327 320	18 155 21 17 9 5	2 7 5 2 -	- - 3 8 -	3 11 14 5 4 3	64 1,021 1,049 228 232 342	53 806 874 160 199 181	11 215 175 68 33 161	DE PA,E PA,M PA,W VI
8,285	8,122	4,646	3,402	74	39	124	4,858	3,469	1,389	4TH
886 1,162 488 658 815 3,125 442 243 466	862 1,141 479 632 789 3,086 435 241 457	545 414 469 527 693 935 393 238 432	295 723 10 93 2,122 39 3 21	22 4 - 9 3 29 3 - 4	13 5 2 2 5 1 2 7	11 16 7 24 24 34 6 -	673 373 275 588 828 1,315 340 192 274	469 277 189 488 660 697 294 147 248	204 96 86 100 168 618 46 45 26	MD NC, E NC, M NC, M SC VA, E VA, H WV, N HV, S
8,288	8,146	6,875	1,191	80	51	91	7,616	4,664	2,952	5TH
592 74 391 254 359 975 401 3,158 2,084	585 73 382 251 347 914 389 3,142 2,063	536 73 311 234 333 797 346 2,777 1,468	41 50 16 12 92 43 353 584	8 21 1 25 12 11	3 - 4 2 2 10 8 10 12	4 1 5 1 10 51 4 6 9	452 51 270 82 235 946 227 3,608 1,745	301 35 210 82 184 636 175 1,728 1,313	151 16 60 51 310 52 1,880 432	LA, E LA, M LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, M
4,707	4,586	3,937	610	39	41	80	4,146	3,402	744	6тн
311 521 921 217 672 625 544 380 516	304 510 913 209 652 607 531 360 500	287 243 834 188 642 532 483 251 477	15 262 64 19 10 75 44 106 15	2 15 2 - 4 3 8	334 -97672	4 8 11 11 7 13 14	281 395 1,194 221 480 467 247 281 580	260 321 918 172 404 392 205 239 491	21 74 276 49 76 75 42 42 89	KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M

	PEND	ING JULY 1	, 1990	ļ		COM	MENCED			
					OR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	SS		
CIRCUIT				TOTAL						
AND		NON-		COM-			MISDE-		RE-	TRANS-
DISTRICT	TOTAL	FUGITIVE	FUGITIVE	MENCED	TOTAL	FELONY	MEANOR	OTHER*	OPENSO	FERS
7TH	2,523	1,891	632	2,887	2,852	2,500	336	16	9	26
IL,N	1,376	904	472	1,074	1,061	973	82	6	6	7
IL,C	216	193	23	384	382	349	33	-	-	2 3 4
IL,S IN.N	220 233	180	40 30	250 220	246 216	204 201	41 15	_1		3
IN,S	158	133	25	402	391	262	128	1	2	9
WI,E	256	218	38	409	409	376	30	3	-	-
WI,W	64	60	4	148	147	135	7	5	-	1
8TH	2,113	1,916	197	3,483	3,419	2,651	743	25	20	44
AR, E	237 67	228 65	9 2	338 148	324 143	312 112	10 22	2 9	3	11
AR,W IA,N	118	114	4	140	138	131	5	2	3	22
IA, S	112	97	15	190	187	182	5	-	1	2
MN	248	220	28	539	525	500	23	2	1	2 13 3 4
MO,E	282 508	252	30 60	369	359 1,026	.336 401	22 618	1	7	3
MO,W	297	269	28	232	231	215	15	í		4
ND	91	75	16	197	194	180	13	- î	-	3
SD	153	148	5	297	292	282	10	-	2	3
9TH	11,670	7,733	3,937	13,051	12,786	8,658	3,940	188	104	161
AK	115	109	6	223	220	100	115	5	-	3
AZ	1,412	959	453	1,625	1,601	1,358	241	2	16	8
CA,N CA,E	1,180 602	815 505	365 97	938 878	908 864	619 615	286 235	3 14	8	22 14
ČĂ,Ċ	2,524	1,794	730	1,610	1,556	1,513	38	5	15	39
CA, S	2,709	1,287	1,422	1,940	1,892	1,549	278	65	30	18
HI	1,049	649	400	2,012	2,007	250	1,754	3	1	4
ID MT	71 218	67 187	4 31	111 345	108 340	90 322	16 15	2 3	2	3
NV	474	342	132	597	576	518	26	32		21
0R	475	358	117	755	748	678	25	45	3	4
WA,E	223	193	30	481	456	449	7		22	3
WA, W GUAM	566 47	422	144 5	1,388	1,370	477	888 16	5 4	7	18
NMI	5	4	1	11	11	11	-		1 ''	-
10TH	1,810	1,365	445	3,210	3,141	2,575	539	27	12	57
co	306	193	113	583	567	492	71	4	-	16
KS	270	195	75	388	380	256	120	4	4	4
NM	568 134	401 93	167 41	827 249	815	778 237	35 7	_2	3	9
OK,E	43	38	5	111	105		6	-	4	9 5 2 11
OK,W	184	159	25	489	478	280	197	1	-	11
UT	232 73	214	18 1	413 150	407	307 126	93 10	79		55
11TH	7,151	4,284	2,867	8,971	8,816	6,395	2,404	17	27	128
AL,N	123	99	24	392	384	350	34		-	8
AL, M	183	159	24	290	280	178	102	-	6	4
AL,S FL,N	313 373	256 232	57 141	393 459	388 451	382 407	6 39	- 5	4	1
FL,M	1,190	891	299	1,588	1,548	1,483	57	8	1	5 39
FL,S	3,851	1,767	2,084	2,647	2,594	2,587	6	1	2	51
GA, N	658	486	172	537	524	473	50	1	5	8
GA,M GA,S	237 223	208 186	29 37	2,151	2,144 503	275 260	1,869 241	2	4	51 8 3 9
JA, J	223	100	31	1 514	503	200	£41	4		'
				1						

·		TER	MINATED				PEND	ING JUNE 3	0, 1991	
	ÖR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDIN	GS						
TOTAL Termi- Nated	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opens@	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	NON- Fugitive	FUGITIVE	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
2,757	2,685	2,300	365	20	16	56	2,653	1,918	735	7TH
1,046 307 261 268 371 364 140	1,025 297 256 264 358 349 136	916 263 219 236 226 317 123	103 31 34 27 131 31 8	6 3 1 1 5	12 1 1 1 1 1	9 9 4 12 14 4	1,404 293 209 185 189 301 72	881 260 164 151 156 242 64	523 33 45 34 33 59 8	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W
3,671	3,571	2,653	872	46	36	64	1,925	1,617	308	8TH
382 167 127 204 464 368 1,188 293 194 284	375 155 120 192 456 346 1,181 290 186 270	348 127 110 187 422 316 473 263 158 249	18 24 8 29 29 694 23 22 20	9 4 2 - 5 1 14 4 6 1	4 7 1 4 12 1 2 4	3 5 6 11 4 10 6 3 6 10	193 48 132 98 323 283 352 236 94 166	177 42 128 71 285 250 263 195 71 135	16 6 4 27 38 33 89 41 23 31	AR,E AR,W IA,N IA,S MD,E MD,W NE ND SD
11,562	11,343	7,355	3,731	257	93	126	13,159	8,154	5,005	9тн
220 1,392 862 791 1,295 1,820 1,610 1,610 111 344 456 595 500 1,441 117 8	207 1,368 844 775 1,279 1,787 1,597 108 337 434 590 475 1,424 111 7	95 1,127 540 548 1,216 1,268 212 92 325 373 531 456 470 95 7	105 231 300 206 57 413 1,379 10 12 30 18 17 941 12 -	7 10 4 21 6 106 6 - 31 41 2 13 4 -	1 10 9 - 11 27 3 - 3 5 1 18 18 14 -	12 14 9 16 5 6 10 3 4 17 4 7 16 2 1	118 1,645 1,256 689 2,839 2,829 1,451 71 219 615 635 204 513 67 8	110 1,080 877 551 2,069 1,291 498 64 170 432 463 154 337 52 6	8 565 379 138 770 1,538 953 7 49 183 172 50 176 15 2	AK CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, C CA, S HI HI NV NV OR WA, W GUAM NMI
2,977	2,855	2,231	587	37	32	90	2,043	1,449	594	10TH
491 428 675 191 111 518 414 149	468 398 656 182 96 508 399 148	382 250 606 176 91 301 298 127	82 140 45 6 5 205 92 12	4 8 - - 2 9 9	- 12 5 1 12 1 1 -	23 18 14 8 3 9 14 1	398 230 720 192 43 155 231 74	239 146 484 143 37 126 201 73	159 84 236 49 6 29 30 1	CO KS OK,N OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY
8,189	7,986	5,523	2,448	15	55	148	7,933	4,440	3,493	11ТН
392 314 401 430 1,430 2,049 584 2,079 510	384 304 383 413 1,386 1,982 571 2,068 495	346 160 369 371 1,330 1,964 524 223 236	38 143 14 39 49 17 47 1,845 256	1 - 3 7 1 - 3	- 3 11 4 2 23 4 6 2	8 7 13 42 44 9 5 13	123 159 305 402 1,348 4,449 611 309 227	90 122 154 209 916 2,091 423 266 169	33 37 151 193 432 2,358 188 43 58	AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S

PRIMARILY PETTY OFFENSES TRIED BY A JUDGE.
 INCLUDES APPEALS FROM MAGISTRATE JUDGES, RE-OPENS AND REMANDS.
 # REVISED.

		PEND	PENDING JULY 1, 1989 COMMENCED								
						DR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	SS		[
1	RCUIT AND STRICT	TOTAL	NON- Fugitive	FUGITIVE	TOTAL Com- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS
TOT	ral	46,357	32,297	14,060	64,323	63,035	47,505	14,831	699	369	919
DC.		434	333	101	760	748	693	51	4	11	1
1	LST	1,064	816	248	1,572	1,538	1,451	63	24	20	14
MA NH RI		147 504 39 85 289	110 366 34 66 240	37 138 5 19 49	170 517 161 152 572	169 503 156 152 558	149 475 142 145 540	19 22 14 7 1	1 6 - 17	- 7 - 13	1 7 5 - 1
2	2ND	5,555	3,444	2,111	4,599	4,528	3,953	521	54	25	46
NY NY NY NY	N E S W	294 363 2,100 2,315 342 141	156 223 1,230 1,425 309 101	138 140 870 890 33 40	412 544 1,618 1,484 402 139	403 534 1,587 1,468 397 139	373 316 1,518 1,282 327 137	19 217 68 145 70 2	11 1 41 -	4 21 - - -	5 10 10 16 5 -
3	3RD	2,203	1,714	489	3,193	3,130	2,895	221	14	15	48
NJ PA PA PA	, E , M , W	61 753 713 171 185 320	56 592 598 120 151 197	5 161 115 51 34 123	178 910 902 361 354 488	173 892 892 344 342 487	150 738 878 318 330 481	20 152 12 21 10 6	32252	2 2 2 2 6 1	3 16 8 15 6 -
4	4TH	3,694	2,779	915	8,542	8,423	4,826	3,454	143	33	86
NC NC SC VA VA WV	, E , M , H , E , N , S	699 300 275 279 408 1,068 210 215 240	548 208 240 237 322 632 181 185 226	151 92 35 42 86 436 29 30 14	975 1,268 546 703 815 3,061 415 333 426	959 1,257 525 691 798 3,030 412 330 421	636 420 512 608 728 847 339 327 409	297 836 13 55 68 2,154 16 3 12	26 1 28 29 57 -	4 6 1 5 7 1 3 2	12 7 15 11 12 24 2 - 3
4	5TH	6,710	4,508	2,202	9,225	9,063	7,804	1,180	79	60	102
LA LA MS TX TX TX	E	424 22 366 107 192 893 287 3,071 1,348	291 14 265 107 162 684 262 1,667 1,056	133 8 101 209 25 1,404 292	669 66 263 187 350 1,041 354 4,117 2,178	657 64 245 179 333 1,005 339 4,085 2,156	599 59 198 165 318 958 310 3,430 1,767	18 38 12 15 47 23 648 375	40 1 9 2 - 6 7 14	- 9 1 2 14 8 15 11	12 2 9 7 15 22 7 17 11
	6TH	3,213	2,662	551	4,917	4,781	4,216	535	30	42	94
KY MI DH OH TN TN	E H H H H H H H	176 383 773 156 455 376 159 240 495	168 315 543 116 402 331 142 215 430	8 68 230 40 53 45 17 25 65	345 519 952 249 658 703 522 438 531	333 513 939 235 637 678 504 423 519	329 250 885 218 624 615 484 298 513	4 258 39 16 12 62 19 119 6	- 5 15 1 1 1 1 6	4 4 5 5 2 10 2 8 2	8 2 8 9 19 15 16 7 10

TERMINATED	-		PEND	PENDING JUNE 30, 1990			
AL PROCEEDINGS							
	ITAL RMI- TED	RE- TRAI Opens@ Fei		NON- Fugitive	FUGITIVE	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	
831 14,730 1,032	,112	449 1,0	70 52,568	38,503	14,065	TOTAL	
470 80 6	561	2	3 633	532	101	DC	
281 67 9	,407	34 :	16 1,229	981	248	1ST	
164 24 – 387 21 4	192 428	2 6	2 125 10 593	88 455	37 138	ME	
96 15 -	115	1	3 85	80	5	NH	
121 3 - 513 4 5	124 548	25	- 113 1 313	94 264	19 49	RI	
291 572 62	,984		36 6,170	4,059	2,111	2ND	
271 29 9	318	2	7 388	250	138	ст	
284 222 3	514		5 393	253	140	NY.N	
192 66 2 161 161 46	,282	17	5 2,436	1,566	870	NY,E	
278 81 1	368	2	12 2,417 6 376	1,527 343	890 33	NY,S	
105 13 1	120	=	1 160	120	40	YT	
467 257 21	,825	22	58 2,571	2,082	489	3RD	
142 22 3 615 176 4	174 815	3	4 65	60	5	DE	
615 176 4 760 16 6	806	6 5	14 848 19 809	687 694	161 115	NJ	
270 25 5	313	2	11 219	168	51	PA,M	
262 10 1	285	6	6 254	220	34	PA,W	
418 8 2	432	-	4 376	253	123	VI	
060 3,426 197	,841		17 4,395	3,475	920	4TH	
559 320 30 339 833 4	924		12 750 24 366	599 274	151 92	MD	
460 17 2	498	10	9 323	288	35	NC,M	
478 50 37 512 100 7	581 636		11 401 13 587	359	42	NC,W	
334 2,071 49	,989		13 587 30 1,140	500 700	87 440	SC	
238 12 65	321	1	5 304	275	29	VA,W	
261 9 - 379 14 3	283 407	5	8 265 5 259	235	30	WV,N	
1	,308			245	14	WV,S	
	634			5,425	2,202	5TH	
519 46 47 42 4 -	47		20 459 1 41	326 33	133 8	LA,E	
260 42 24	347	10 10	11 282	181	101	LA,W	
145 11 1 247 11 1	165 272		8 129 12 270	129	-	MS,N	
946 83 3	,086	22	32 848	240 639	30 209	MS,S	
357 27 6	403	7	6 238	213	25	TX,E	
535 583 67 561 372 70	,328	14 4	29 3,860 19 1,500	2,456	1,404 292	TX,S	
377 603 47	,194		22 3,936	3,385	292 551	6TH	
270 12 -	297		10 224	216		KY,E	
219 256 11	508	5	17 394	326	68		
526 38 11 177 42 7	594 247	2	17 1,131	901	230	MI,E	
	601	6	16 158 10 512	118 459	40 53	MI,W	
528 43 2	595	8	14 484	439	53 45	OH.S	
351 29 5 257 158 9	398 448		10 283	266	17	TN,E	
80 11 -	506		17 230 11 520	205 455	25 65	TN,M	

	PEND	ING JULY 1	, 1989			COM	DMMENCED			
					OR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	S		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	NDN- Fugitive	FUGITIVE	TOTAL Com- Menced	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS
7TH	2,230	1,655	575	3,336	3,269	2,785	476	8	11	56
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H	1,181 251 146 203 162 218 69	769 229 112 171 132 180 62	412 22 34 32 30 38 7	1,265 340 357 322 505 383 164	1,237 334 354 315 491 377 161	1,134 282 306 297 256 357 153	101 51 48 16 234 18 8	2 1 - 2 1 2 -	6 1 - 2 - 1 1	22 5 3 5 14 5 2
8TH	1,689	1,508	181	3,797	3,724	2,797	891	36	19	54
AR, E AR, W IA, N MN MO.E MG, W NE ND SD	101 50 108 96 298 306 318 194 108 110	89 48 98 271 270 287 170 95 104	12 2 10 20 27 36 31 24 13 6	367 140 147 165 468 376 1,233 356 218 327	357 135 144 163 460 365 1,218 353 206 323	335 107 131 155 431 344 491 329 185 289	14 26 11 8 25 19 722 20 21 25	8 2 4 2 5 4 9	2 3 - 7 2 - 3 2	8 2 3 2 8 4 13 3 9 2
9TH	11,022	7,462	3,560	12,436	12,169	7,696	4,236	237	80	187
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,S HI NU NV OR WA,E WA,E GUAM NMI	104 1,167 1,108 664 2,251 2,886 756 54 154 534 398 270 636 25 15	98 742 688 564 1,543 1,631 491 50 128 441 289 231 528 231 528 23 15	6 425 420 100 708 1,255 265 .4 26 93 109 39 108 2 -	246 1,504 923 821 1,381 1,931 1,818 137 332 469 556 510 1,565 240 3	246 1,469 870 808 1,341 1,917 1,814 129 324 456 538 479 1,536 239 3	99 1,213 621 575 1,300 1,147 192 120 314 424 522 465 481 221 2	144 233 249 213 39 607 1,622 5 9 26 14 14 1,044 1,044 16 1	3 23 20 2163 - 4 1 6 2 - 111 2 -	- 10 23 1 6 4 - 1 4 4 2 23 1 1 1 -	- 25 30 12 34 10 4 9 16 8 28 - -
10TH	1,781	1,359	422	3,107	3,013	2,510	464	39	21	73
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,E UT WY	300 278 511 169 46 215 191 71	190 201 372 125 39 190 173 69	110 77 139 44 7 25 18 2	557 407 758 216 113 476 406 174	539 386 750 210 104 458 393 173	429 327 724 201 99 286 288 156	98 53 21 9 5 167 96 15	12 6 5 - 5 9 2	- 15 1 - 5 - -	18 6 7 6 4 18 13 13
11TH	6,762	4,057	2,705	8,839	8,649	5,879	2,739	31	32	158
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,S	131 166 276 379 1,002 3,764 685 196 163	102 140 228 231 738 1,813 509 167 129	29 26 48 148 264 1,951 176 29 34	404 390 342 383 1,606 2,133 747 2,351 483	392 383 324 374 1,572 2,037 740 2,346 481	314 203 310 330 1,520 2,023 619 318 242	72 180 14 38 38 11 120 2,028 238	6 - 14 3 1 - 1	- 2 10 - 1 15 3 1 -	12 5 8 9 33 81 4 4 2

	······································	TER	MINATED				PEND	ING JUNE 3	0, 1990	
	OR	IGINAL P	ROCEEDING	S						
TOTAL Termi- Nated	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE- Meanor	OTHER*	RE- Opensø	TRANS- FERS	TOTAL	NON Fugitive	FUGITIVE	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
2,866	2,797	2,335	448	14	14	55	2,700	2,125	575	7TH
913 375 285 295 494 336 168	886 366 282 288 490 325 160	810 324 236 261 259 295 150	74 42 43 25 230 24 10	2 	6 1 - 3 - 3 1	21 8 3 4 4 8 7	1,533 216 218 230 173 265 65	1,121 194 184 198 143 227 58	412 22 34 32 30 38 7	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W
3,391	3,282	2,416	823	43	31	78	2,095	1,914	181	8TH
232 128 135 144 526 405 1,057 247 233 284	225 118 128 515 392 1,042 240 214 270	209 94 113 132 487 365 385 215 183 233	15 23 12 6 25 20 6 7 3 19 24 26	1 3 3 7 4 6 7 11	- 1 4 2 9 2 1 9 1	7 9 3 4 9 4 13 6 10 13	236 62 120 117 240 277 494 303 93 153	224 60 110 97 213 241 463 279 80 147	12 2 10 20 27 36 31 24 13 6	AR,E AR,W IA,N IA,S MD,E MO,E ND SD
11,199	10,912	6,557	4,038	317	94	193	12,259	8,699	3,560	9тн
218 1,216 824 841 1,113 1,857 1,497 116 262 473 463 537 1,547 222 13	197 1,190 783 821 1,094 1,823 1,487 109 250 452 447 504 1,528 217 10	90 908 551 994 164 95 239 397 421 475 378 199 8	102 234 224 209 41 630 1,317 11 9 51 24 26 1,141 17 2	5 48 25 29 199 6 3 2 4 2 3 9 1 -	$ \begin{array}{r} - \\ 17 \\ 15 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 31 \\ - \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ \end{array} $	21 9 26 18 15 27 7 6 8 19 12 2 19 4 -	132 1,455 1,207 644 2,519 2,960 1,077 75 224 530 491 243 654 43 5	126 1,030 787 544 1,811 1,705 812 71 198 437 382 204 546 41 5	6 425 420 100 703 1,255 265 93 109 39 108 2 -	AK CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,C ID HI NY OR NY OR WA,E WA,E WA,M
3,083	2,976	2,378	541	57	20	87	1,805	1,383	422	10TH
556 425 707 244 118 510 348 175	528 398 700 236 112 500 333 169	376 322 649 227 97 317 239 151	136 66 42 9 14 177 81 16	16 10 9 - 1 6 13 2	16 1 - - -	28 11 6 8 3 10 15 6	301 260 562 141 41 181 249 70	191 183 423 97 34 156 231 68	110 77 139 44 7 25 18 2	CD KS DK,N DK,N DK,E DK,W UT WY
8,453	8,223	5,487	2,696	40	63	167	7,148	4,443	2,705	11TH
410 370 316 396 1,424 2,084 705 2,320 428	394 354 295 385 1,371 2,006 688 2,307 423	317 208 276 330 1,299 1,982 579 281 215	71 146 19 46 54 20 109 2,026 205	6 9 18 4 3	2 1 10 2 1 43 1 2 1	14 15 11 9 52 35 16 11 4	125 186 302 366 1,184 3,813 727 227 218	96 160 254 218 920 1,862 551 198 184	29 26 48 148 264 1,951 176 29 34	AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,M GA,S

PRIMARILY PETTY OFFENSES TRIED BY A JUDGE. INCLUDES APPEALS FROM MAGISTRATES, RE-OPENS AND REMANDS. * @

CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED BY MAJOR OFFENSE (EXCLUDES	TRANSFERS)	DURING THE	IMELVE MUNIH	PERIODS END	ED JUNE 30,	1987 THROUGH I
NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	PER- CENT CHANGE 1991 OVER 1990 1
TOTAL	40,775 #	42,336 [#]	43,632 #	46,530 [#]	45,055 [#]	- 3.2
PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT INFORMATIONINDICTMENT WAIYED INFORMATIONOTHER.	23,532 3,326 9,275	23,505 4,725 8,467	22,982 5,083 9,753	24,988 5,566 10,383	26,003 5,766 9,227	4.1 3.6 - 11.1
INFORMATIONOTHER. REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT REMOVED FROM STATE COURT REDPENED/REINSTATED APPEAL FROM U.S. MAGISTRATE	26 19 83 62	- 15 23 104 59	45 26 103 164	57 3 71 130	2 37 12 71 117	- 35.1 300.0 - 10.0
JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS Consent Before Magistrate Retrial on Mistrial Retrial—-Remand From Appeals Court Violation Notice.	78 4,235 51 88 -	95 5,180 63 100 -	140 5,167 57 112	141 5,023 69 99	161 3,217 50 98 290	14.2 - 36.0 - 27.5 - 1.0
OTHERGENERAL OFFENSES	-	-	-	-	4	· – .
HOMICIDE TOTAL	139	144	170	172	154	- 10.5
MURDER 1ST DEGREE MURDER 2ND DEGREE MANSLAUGHTER	66 43 30	59 35 50	95 35 40	83 34 55	94 17 43	13.3 - 50.0 - 21.8
ROBBERY, TOTAL	1,257	1,269	1,278	1,336	1,546	15.7
BANK POSTAL OTHER	1,180 35 42	1,182 29 58	1,210 18 50	1,281 21 34	1,500 26 20	17.1 23.8 - 41.2
ASSAULT	688	598	547	545	571	4.8
BURGLARYBREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL	. 121	120	107	102	137	34.3
BANK. POSTAL Interstate Shipments. Other	12 25 4 80	22 30 1 67	15 19 2 71	15 33 54	48 30 3 56	220.0 - 9.1 3.7
LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL	3,303	3,439	3,332	3,311	3,248	- 1.9
BANK POSTAL INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER U.S. PROPERTY TRANSPORTATION, ETC., OF STOLEN PROPERTY OTHER	157 518 231 1,522 273 602	173 533 196 1,686 234 617	133 542 190 1,716 195 556	142 435 239 1,689 203 603	114 474 236 1,702 170 552	$ \begin{array}{r} -19.7\\ 9.0\\ -1.3\\ 0.8\\ -16.3\\ -8.5 \end{array} $
EMBEZZLEMENT, TOTAL	2,216	2,041	2,039	1,980	1,979	- 0.1
BANK Postal Other	977 424 815	782 487 772	908 474 657	880 443 657	942 409 628	7.0 - 7.7 - 4.4
FRAUD, TOTAL	6,990	7,231	6,779	7,369	7,083	- 3.9
INCOME TAX. LENDING INSTITUTION. POSTAL. VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE. SOCIAL SECURITY. FALSE PERSONATION. NATIONALITY LAWS. PASSPORT FRAUD. FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS. OTHER.	1,275 955 1,539 27 162 43 110 143 1,314 1,353	1,146 1,021 1,210 77 17 231 33 114 351 1,658 1,373	980 1,024 1,177 82 47 188 27 145 253 1,563 1,293	993 1,133 1,109 28 42 302 37 166 311 1,817 1,431	947 1,371 1,007 16 49 226 67 123 255 1,516 1,506	- 4.6 21.0 - 9.2 - 42.9 16.7 - 25.2 81.1 - 25.9 - 18.0 - 16.6 5.2
		-70		000	010	

TABLE D-2 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED BY MAJOR OFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 THROUGH 1991

279

250

.

AUT0 THEFT.....

239

218

- 8.8

230

						PER- CENT
NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	CHANGE 1991 Dver 1990
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING, TOTAL	2,067	1,637	1,465	1,469	1,137	- 22.6
TRANSPORTATION OF FORGED SECURITIES	118	52	58	58	34	- 41.4
POSTAL FORGERY	98	107	90	87	70	- 19.6
OTHER FORGERY	1,369	958	871	841	586	- 30.3
COUNTERFEITING	482	520	446	483	447	- 7.5
SEX OFFENSES, TOTAL	355	505	318	427	433	1.4
SEXUAL ABUSE	123	169	162	219	244	11.4
DTHER.,	232	336	156	208	189	- 9.1
DRUG LAWS, TOTAL 2	8,578	10,020	11,541	12,226	11,929	- 2.4
MARIHUANA	2.832	3.197	3,522	3,877	3,517	- 9.3
NARCOTICS	4,928	5,800	6,887	7,317	7,364	0.6
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES OTHER DRUG RELATED STATUTES	735 83	920 103	1,004 128	914 118	9 35 11 3	2.3
MISCELLANEDUS GENERAL OFFENSES, TOTAL	11,357	11,192	12,003	12,784	12,029	- 5.9
BRIBERY	186	189	213	247	236	- 4.5
DRUNK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC	7,602	7,340	7,883	8,169	7,159	- 12.4
ESCAPE.3	801	856	893	849	769	- 9.4
EXTORTION, RACKETEERING, AND THREATS	394	390	370 106	350 108	394 149	12.6
GAMBLING AND LOTTERY	35 55	69 53	65	64	67	4.7
PERJURY.	152	130	117	118	125	5.9
WEAPONS AND FIREARMS	1,857	1,996	2,145	2,657	2,923	10.0
OTHER	275	169	211	222	207	- 6.8
PECIAL OFFENSES						1
IMMIGRATION LAWS	1,585	1,854	1,878	2,317	2,186	- 5.7
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	7	3	5	9	5	
FEDERAL STATUTES, TOTAL	1,862	2,004	1,940	2,244	2,400	7.0
AGRICULTURE/CONSERVATION ACTS	208	260	267	261	379	45.2
ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS	71	70	78	63	62	- 1.6
FOOD AND DRUG ACT Migratory bird laws	59 43	39 + 31	52 61	84 30	62 39	- 26.2
MOTOR CARRIER ACT	48	60	45	39	57	46.2
NATIONAL DEFENSE LAWS	67	112	111	102	86	- 15.7
CIVIL RIGHTS 4	103	88	71	62	99	59.7
CONTEMPT.	67	53	81	170	84	- 50.6
CUSTOMS LAWSPOSTAL LAWS	72 198	118 210	106 205	143 209	114 197	- 20.3
OTHER.	926	963	863	1.081	1,221	13.0

1 PERCENT CHANGE IS COMPUTED ON 10 OR MORE CASES.

2 THE COMPREHENSIVE DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT OF 1970 (PUBLIC LAW 91-513) BECAME EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 1971.

3 INCLUDES ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY, AIDING OR ABETTING AN ESCAPE, FAILURE TO APPEAR IN COURT AND BAIL JUMPING.

4 THESE INCLUDE CASES REMOVED FROM STATE COURTS UNDER PROVISION OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 28 U.S.C. 1443.

#Revised

TABLE D-2 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED BY MAJOR OFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 THROUGH 1991

TUTAL 55,565 [#] 58,223 [#] 60,303 [#] 63,404 [#] 63,984 [#] 0.9 PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED BY 37,316 37,316 37,770 38,197 40,301 42,324 6.5 INFORMATIONTHERT MATYED 3,797 5,750 5,737 10,897 5,838 -11.4 INFORMATIONTHERT MATYED 3,7316 37,710 38,197 40,301 42,324 6.5 INFORMATIONTHERT THATY MATYED 3,657 5,720 3,637 -77,33 -11.5 REMANDE PROMATERLATE COURT 13 225 5,721 143 111 -17,5 JUVENTLE DOL INQUERED VEROSTRATE 432 116 125 126 -32,7 -37,3 CENERT FUNCTION AND TECCOURT 116 126 152 138 124 -77,7 DURATION - STREED MUSTATE 49,301 143 147 15,8 -44,7 -10,2 CENERT ENAND FROL 156 226 257 21,32 12,4 -3,7 -3,2 -11,2 -14,3 <t< th=""><th>NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE</th><th>1987</th><th>1988</th><th>1989</th><th>1990</th><th>1991</th><th>PER- CENT Change 1991 Over 1990 1</th></t<>	NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	PER- CENT Change 1991 Over 1990 1
PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED BY 37,316 37,376 38,197 40,301 42,934 6.5 INFORMATION-INDICTENENT MAIVED 3,609 5,429 5,779 6,340 6,323 0.2 INFORMATION-INDICTENENT MAIVED 3,609 5,429 5,779 6,340 6,323 0.2 INFORMATION-OTHER 9,754 8,1010,2300 10,867 9,258 -1.2 INFORMATION-OTHER 9,724 8,10 111 122 3,60 6,74 79 6.6 REMOVED REMOREINSTATED 111 124 136 7,7 79 6.3 6.5 6.2 77 79 6.6 6.8 74 79 6.6 6.8 76 72 125 71.5 70 6.5 72.0 70 6.6 72.0 70	τητΔ)	55.565 [#]	58, 223 [#]	60, 303 [#]	63. 404 [#]	63.986#	0.9
INDECRMENT			50,225			03, 504	
INFORMATION-INDECTMENT MAIVED		37.316	37.970	38 197	40 301	42 934	6 5
INFORMATION-OTHER	INFORMATIONINDICTMENT WAIVED	3,609	5,429	5,779			0.2
REMARDED FROM APPELLATE COURT. 33 16 54 67 42 -37.3 REMOVED FROM STATE COURT. 19 123 24 34 123 300.0 REPEAL FROM US. 111 122 136 143 123 300.0 REPEAL FROM US. 153 111 164 152 176 15.8 OUVENIL EDELNOUENCY PROCEEDINGS. 4.392 5.3467 5.323 5.229 3.506 - 33.0 RETEXIL ON WISTRATE. 166 122 132 137 - 10.2 - 26.7 VIENTATION WITCE. - <		9,754	8,910	10,230	10,867		- 11.8
REMEWORD FROM STATE COURT. 19 23 26 3 12 300.0 REDVELOP REWATED. 111 128 136 74 79 6.8 APPEAL FROM U.S. MACY STRAFE 63 61 170 142 116 -17.5 CONSENT DEGRE MAGISTRAFE 4,392 5,367 5,323 5,223 3,506 -28.7 RETRIAL ON MISTRAFE -	REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT	38	16	54	67		- 37.3
APPEAL FROM U.S. MAGISTRATE. 65 62 170 143 118 -17.5 JUNENTLE DEL INQUECY PROCEDUNGS. 83 111 166 152 376 -3.00 CONSENT BEFORE MAGISTRATE. 4,392 5,367 5,228 5,229 3,506 -3.00 RETRIAL- 16 126 122 138 124 -20.7 VIOLATION NOTICE. - - - - 4 - GENERAL OFFENSES - - - - 4 - MUDDER IST DEGREE 77 85 132 157 144 - 8.3 MUDDER IST DEGREE 77 85 132 157 144 - 8.3 ROBERY, TOTAL 1,503 1,501 1,496 1,600 1,812 13.3 BANK - 33 53 52 56 44 - 14.3 13.6 1.6.7 14.3 13.6 1.11 1.524 1.748 16.7 16.7 3.7 5 2.7 3.7 1.5 11.3 1.5	REMOVED FROM STATE COURT	19	23	26	3	12	300.0
JUVENILE DELINQUEKY PROCEEDINGS							
CONSENT BEFORE MACISTRATE. 4,392 5,367 5,229 3,506 - 33.0 RETRIAL - REHAND FROM APPEALS COURT 116 126 152 138 124 - 10.2 OTHERA -							
RETRIALREMAND FROM APPEALS COURT. 116 126 152 138 124 - 10.2 OTHER. - <td>CONSENT BEFORE MAGISTRATE</td> <td>4,392</td> <td>5,367</td> <td>5,323</td> <td>5,229</td> <td>3,506</td> <td>- 33.0</td>	CONSENT BEFORE MAGISTRATE	4,392	5,367	5,323	5,229	3,506	- 33.0
VIDLATION NOTICE -	RETRIAL ON MISTRIAL						
GENERAL DFFENSES 168 186 228 253 213 - 15.8 HOMICIDE TOTAL			120	194	130		- 10.2
HOMICIDE TOTAL. 168 186 228 253 213 - 15.8 MURDER 1ST DEGREE 56 48 54 40 21 - 47.5 MARDER 2ND DEGREE 56 48 53 52 56 48 52 56 48 52 56 48 52 56 48 51 21 - 47.5 MARSELAUMOFTER 33 53 52 56 48 51 56 48 51 56 48 1411 1,524 1,748 16.7 57 56 29 - 37.0 ASSAULT 57 662 601 609 706 15.9 9 80 227.8 56 44 - 4 - - 4.6 - 7 1 3 - 4 - - 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8 227.8	OTHER	~	-	-			-
MURDER 1ST DEGREE 77 85 132 157 144 - 8.3 MURDER 2ND DEGREE 33 53 52 56 48 44 40 21 - - 7.5 MARSLAUGHTER 1,503 1,501 1,496 1,600 1,812 13.3 BARK 1,389 1,389 1,411 1,524 1,748 14.7 POSTAL 53 36 28 30 35 16.7 OTHER 57 662 601 609 706 15.9 BURGLARYBREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL 151 143 136 115 181 57.4 POSTAL 33 36 25 35 40 14.7 16 THTERSTATE SHIPHENTS 7 1 3 -4 -4 -3 14.1 INTERSTATE SHIPHENTS 77 133 36 25 35 40 14.7 18.3 POSTAL 192 167 <td>GENERAL OFFENSES</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.00</td> <td></td>	GENERAL OFFENSES					1.00	
MURDER AND DEGREE	HOMICIDE TOTAL	168	186	228	253	213	- 15.8
MURDER 2MD DEGREE. 58 48 44 40 21 - 47.4.3 MURDER 2MD DEGREE. 33 53 52 56 48 - 14.3 RUBBERV, TOTAL. 1,503 1,501 1,496 1,600 1,812 13.3 BANK. 1,389 1,389 1,411 1,524 1,748 14.7 POSTAL 53 36 28 30 35 16.7 OTHER 61 76 57 46 29 - 37.0 ASSAULT. 757 662 601 609 706 15.9 BURGLARYBREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL. 151 143 136 115 181 57.4 BANK. 16 27 19 18 59 227.8 INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS 7 1 3 - 4 - POSTAL 95 79 89 62 78 25.8 LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL 4,010 4,083<	MURDER 1ST DEGREE	77	85	132	157	144	- 8.3
R0BBERY, TOTAL. 1,503 1,501 1,496 1,600 1,812 13.3 BANK. 1,389 1,389 1,411 1,524 1,748 14.7 POSTAL. 53 36 28 30 35 16.7 OTHER 61 76 57 46 29 -37.0 ASSAULT. 757 662 601 609 706 15.9 BURGLARVBREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL. 151 143 136 115 181 57.4 BAK<.	MURDER 2ND DEGREE	58		44	40	21	- 47.5
BANK. 1,389 1,411 1,524 1,748 14.7 POSTAL. 53 36 28 30 37 16.7 DTHER. 76 57 46 29 -37.0 ASSAULT. 757 662 601 609 706 15.9 BURGLARYBREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL. 151 143 136 115 181 57.4 POSTAL. 31 36 25 35 40 14.3 14.4 - DTHER. 91 33 36 25 35 40 14.3 INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS. 7 1 3 - 4 - - OTHER. 95 79 89 62 78 25.8 LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL. 4,010 4,083 3,953 4,001 4,049 1.2 BANK. 192 219 167 180 14.1 - - THER. 95 703 1,337 1,331 403 - - - - -							
POSTAL. 76 77 46 29 -37.0 ASSAULT. 757 662 601 609 706 15.9 BURGLARY-BREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL. 151 143 136 115 181 57.4 BAK 151 143 136 115 181 57.4 BAK 33 36 25 35 40 14.3 INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS 7 1 33 36 25 35 40 14.3 OTHER. 95 79 89 62 78 25.8 LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL. 4,010 4,083 3,953 4,001 4,049 1.2 BAK 192 219 167 180 147 -18.3 POSTAL 665 601 605 55 633 14.1 INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS 192 219 167 180 147 -18.3 POSTAL 665 630 143 1406 -1.7 0.1707 1,337 1,339 1,953 1,956	f f			1,496	1,600	1,812	13.3
0THER							
BURGLARYBREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL. 151 143 136 115 181 57.4 BANK. 16 27 19 18 59 227.8 POSTAL. 7 1 3 - - 4 - UTHERSTATE SHIPMENTS. 7 1 3 - - 4 - - 4 - - 4 - 1.43 - - 4 - - 4 - - 4 - - 4 - - 4 - - 4 - - 4 - 1.2 BAK - 1.2 - - - 1.2 BAK - 1.2 - 1.41 - 1.63 1.41 - 1.4.3 1.41 - - 1.2 BAK - 1.70 1.837 1.839 1.853 1.956 - 1.7 - 1.707 1.837 1.837 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
BANK. 16 27 19 18 59 227.8 POSTAL 33 36 25 35 40 14.3 INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS. 7 1 3 - 4 - OTHER. 95 79 89 62 78 25.8 LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL. 4,010 4,083 3,953 4,001 4,049 1.2 BANK. 192 219 167 180 147 - 18.3 POSTAL 667 692 698 555 633 14.1 OTHER U.S. PROPERTY. 1,707 1,837 1,839 1,956 5.6 TRANSPORTATION, ETC., DF STOLEN PROPERTY. 1,707 1,837 1,839 1,956 5.5 OTHER. S. PROPERTY. 1,075 828 963 923 974 5.5 POSTAL 435 466 480 448 448 -6.7 713 -5.6 FRAUD, TOTAL 9,227 9,698 8,762 9,406 9,334 -0.8 <tr< td=""><td>ASSAULT</td><td>757</td><td>662</td><td>601</td><td>609</td><td>706</td><td>15.9</td></tr<>	ASSAULT	757	662	601	609	706	15.9
POSTAL	BURGLARYBREAKING AND ENTERING, TOTAL	151	143	136	115	181	57.4
INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS. 7 1 3 - 4 OTHER. 95 79 89 62 78 25.8 LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL. 4,010 4,083 3,953 4,001 4,049 1.2 BANK. 192 219 167 180 147 - 18.3 POSTAL. 687 692 698 555 633 14.1 INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS. 355 301 305 413 406 - 1.7 OTHER. 355 301 305 413 406 - 23.2 OTHER. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
OTHER. 95 79 89 62 78 25.8 LARCENY AND THEFT, TUTAL. 4,010 4,083 3,953 4,001 4,049 1.2 BANK. 192 219 167 180 147 - 18.3 PDSTAL. 687 692 698 555 633 14.1 OTHER U.S. PROPERTY. 355 301 305 413 406 - 1.7 OTHER. S. PROPERTY. 406 372 331 340 261 - 23.2 OTHER. Cold Structure 663 662 613 660 646 - 2.1 EMBEZZLEMENT, TOTAL. 2,471 2,177 2,179 2,126 2,105 - 1.0 BANK. 1,075 828 963 923 974 5.5 OTHER. 79 896 480 448 418 - 6.7 OTHER. 9,227 9,698 8,762 9,406 9,334 - 0.8 INCOME TAX.<					- 35	40	14.3
BANK		95	79		62	78	25.8
PDSTAL	LARCENY AND THEFT, TOTAL	4,010	4,083	3,953	4,001	4,049	1.2
INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS. 355 301 305 413 406 - 1.7 OTHER U.S. PROPERTY. 1,707 1,837 1,839 1,853 1,956 5.6 TRANSPORTATION, ETC., OF STOLEN PROPERTY. 663 662 613 660 646 - 2.3.2 DTHER. 014 2,471 2,177 2,179 2,126 2,105 - 1.0 BANK. 1,075 828 963 923 974 5.5 POSTAL. 435 496 480 448 418 - 6.7 OTHER. 961 853 736 755 713 - 5.6 FRAUD, TOTAL. 9,227 9,698 8,762 9,406 9,334 - 0.8 INCOME TAX. 1,537 1,386 1,160 1,205 1,113 - 7.6 LENDING INSTITUTION. 1,194 1,270 1,218 1,431 1,679 17.3 POSTAL 2,288 1,894 1,772 1,658 1,543 - 6.9							
0THER U.S. PROPERTY 1,707 1,837 1,839 1,853 1,956 5.6 TRANSPORTATION, ETC., DF STOLEN PROPERTY 406 372 331 340 261 - 23.2 0THER 663 662 613 660 646 - 2.1 EMBEZZLEMENT, TOTAL 2,471 2,177 2,179 2,126 2,055 - 1.0 BANK 1,075 828 963 923 974 5.5 POSTAL							
TRANSPORTATION, ETC., OF STOLEN PROPERTY 406 372 331 340 261 - 23.2 OTHER	OTHER U.S. PROPERTY	1,707	1,837				
EMBEZZLEMENT, TOTAL	TRANSPORTATION, ETC., OF STOLEN PROPERTY						
BANK. 1,075 828 963 923 974 5.5 POSTAL 435 496 480 448 418 - 6.7 DTHER 961 853 736 755 713 - 5.6 FRAUD, TDTAL 9,227 9,698 8,762 9,406 9,334 - 0.8 INCOME TAX. 1,537 1,386 1,160 1,205 1,113 - 7.6 LENDING INSTITUTION 1,194 1,270 1,218 1,431 1,679 17.3 POSTAL 72 78 88 29 16 - 44.8 SECURITIES AND ALLOTMENTS 72 78 88 29 16 - 44.8 SECURITY 169 263 218 327 255 - 22.0 FALSE PERSONATION 47 41 29 41 74 805 MATIONALITY LAWS 131 123 154 168 142 - 15.5 PASSPORT FRAUD 150 361 262 325 269 - 17.2 FALSE CLAINS AND STATEMENTS							
POSTAL				·			
0THER							5.5
INCOME TAX. 1,537 1,386 1,160 1,205 1,113 - 7.6 LENDING INSTITUTION. 1,194 1,270 1,218 1,431 1,679 17.3 POSTAL. 2,288 1,894 1,772 1,658 1,543 - 6.9 VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS. 72 78 88 29 16 - 44.8 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE. 51 29 57 49 73 49.0 SOCIAL SECURITY. 169 263 218 327 255 - 22.0 FALSE PERSONATION 47 41 29 41 74 80.5 NATIONALITY LAWS. 131 123 154 168 142 - 15.5 PASSPORT FRAUD. 150 361 262 325 269 - 17.2 FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS. 1,708 2,072 1,888 2,107 1,754 - 16.8 OTHER. 1,880 2,181 1,916 2,066 2,416 16.9							
LENDING INSTITUTION	FRAUD, TOTAL	9,227	9,698	8,762	9,406	9,334	- 0.8
LENDING INSTITUTION 1,194 1,270 1,218 1,431 1,679 17.3 POSTAL 2,288 1,894 1,772 1,658 1,543 - 6.9 VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS 72 78 88 29 16 - 44.8 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 51 29 57 49 73 49.0 SOCIAL SECURITY 169 263 218 327 255 - 22.0 FALSE PERSONATION 47 41 29 41 74 80.5 NATIONALITY LAWS	INCOME TAX	1.537	1.386	1,160	1,205	1,113	- 7.6
VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS 72 78 88 29 16 - 44.8 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 51 29 57 49 73 49.0 SOCIAL SECURITY 169 263 218 327 255 - 22.0 FALSE PERSONATION 47 41 29 41 74 80.5 NATIONALITY LAWS 131 123 154 168 142 - 15.5 PASSPORT FRAUD 150 361 262 325 269 - 17.2 FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS 1,708 2,072 1,888 2,107 1,754 - 16.8 0THER 1,880 2,181 1,916 2,066 2,416 16.9	LENDING INSTITUTION	1,194	1,270	1,218	1,431	1,679	17.3
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 51 29 57 49 73 49.0 SOCIAL SECURITY 169 263 218 327 255 - 22.0 FALSE PERSONATION 47 41 29 41 74 80.5 NATIONALITY LAWS 131 123 154 168 142 - 15.5 PASSPORT FRAUD 150 361 262 325 269 - 17.2 FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS 1,708 2,072 1,888 2,107 1,754 - 16.8 0THER 1,880 2,181 1,916 2,066 2,416 16.9				1,772			
SOCIAL SECURITY 169 263 218 327 255 - 22.0 FALSE PERSONATION 47 41 29 41 74 80.5 NATIONALITY LAWS 131 123 154 168 142 - 15.5 PASSPORT FRAUD 150 361 262 325 269 - 17.2 FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS 1,708 2,072 1,888 2,107 1,754 - 16.8 0THER 1,880 2,181 1,916 2,066 2,416 16.9	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	51	29	57	49	73	49.0
NATIONALITY LAWS 131 123 154 168 142 - 15.5 PASSPORT FRAUD 150 361 262 325 269 - 17.2 FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS 1,708 2,072 1,888 2,107 1,754 - 16.8 OTHER 1,880 2,181 1,916 2,066 2,416 16.9							~ 22.0
PASSPORT FRAUD 150 361 262 325 269 - 17.2 FALSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS 1,708 2,072 1,888 2,107 1,754 - 16.8 0THER 1,880 2,181 1,916 2,066 2,416 16.9							
OTHER 1,880 2,181 1,916 2,066 2,416 16.9	PASSPORT FRAUD	150	361	262	325	269	- 17.2
AUID THEFT							
	AUID THEFT	369	486	446	353	400	13.3

.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS AND OFFENSE	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	PER- CENT Change 1991 Dyer 1990
	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING, TOTAL	2,805	2,273	1,987	1,951	1,491	- 23.6
TRANSPORTATION OF FORGED SECURITIES Postal forgery Other forgery Counterfeiting	157 143 1,717 788	73 144 1,229 827	86 111 1,097 693	78 102 1,068 703	47 94 728 622	- 39.8 - 7.9 - 31.8 - 11.5
SEX OFFENSES, TOTAL	387	523	355	459	468	2.0
SEXUAL ABUSE DTHER	135 252	175 348	179 176	235 224	254 214	8.1 - 4.5
DRUG LAWS, TOTAL 2	16,476	18,828	21,805	22,505	23,375	3.9
MARIHUANA. NARCOTICS Controlled Substances Other Drug Related Statutes	4,734 10,026 1,558 158	5,301 11,708 1,642 177	5,683 14,104 1,764 254	6,082 14,694 1,549 180	6,086 15,377 1,752 160	0.1 4.6 13.1 - 11.1
MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFENSES, TOTAL	12,307	12,339	12,903	13,856	13,813	- 0.3
BRIBERY DRUNK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC ESCAPE.3 EXTORTION, RACKETEERING, AND THREATS GAMBLING AND LOTTERY. KIDNAPPING. PERJURY. WEAPONS AND FIREARMS. OTHER.	275 7,719 862 631 128 80 183 2,110 319	270 7,479 894 714 260 75 157 2,307 183	276 8,005 949 576 266 96 130 2,381 224	332 8,290 910 613 280 85 147 2,971 228	341 7,749 832 719 350 110 145 3,278 289	$\begin{array}{r} 2.7\\ -6.5\\ -8.6\\ 17.3\\ 25.0\\ 29.4\\ -1.4\\ 10.3\\ 26.8\end{array}$
SPECIAL OFFENSES						
IMMIGRATION LAWS	2,227	2,452	2,575	2,964	2,698	- 9.0
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	12	7	7	13	8	-
FEDERAL STATUTES, TOTAL	2,695	2,865	2,870	3,193	3,331	4.3
AGRICULTURE/CONSERVATION ACTS. ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS. FOOD AND DRUG ACT. MIGRATORY BIRD LAWS. MOTOR CARRIER ACT. NATIONAL DEFENSE LAWS. CIVIL RIGHTS.4 CONTEMPT. CUSTOMS LAWS. POSTAL LAWS. OTHER.	308 162 95 52 127 163 77 115 210 1,311	375 203 70 47 210 130 61 157 227 1,298	440 145 73 124 57 174 100 88 213 223 1,233	356 136 111 46 173 100 182 215 224 1,589	522 93 99 68 80 144 151 88 151 204	46.6 - 31.6 - 10.8 11.5 73.9 - 16.8 51.0 - 51.7 - 29.8 - 8.9

TABLE D-2 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED BY MAJOR OFFENSE (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1987 THROUGH 1991

1 PERCENT CHANGE IS COMPUTED ON 10 OR MORE CASES.

2 THE COMPREHENSIVE DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ACT OF 1970 (PUBLIC LAW 91-513) BECAME EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 1971.

3 INCLUDES ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY, AIDING OR ABETTING AN ESCAPE, FAILURE TO APPEAR IN COURT AND BAIL JUMPING.

4 THESE INCLUDE CASES REMOVED FROM STATE COURTS UNDER PROVISION OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 28 U.S.C. 1443.

#Revised

					GENERAL	OFFENSES				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ Zlement	FRAUD	WEAPONS AND Fire Arms	FORGERY AND Counter- Feiting
TOTAL	45,055	154	1,546	571	137	3,248	1,979	7,083	2,923	1,137
DC	743			3	<u> </u>	28	13	62	31	31
1ST	1,092	3	17	18	3	33	45	280	112	18
ME		-	2	-	1	7	9			
MA	152 300	-	13	5	2	10	19	30 83	19 58	1 2
NH	75	-	_	-	-	5	2	22	15	2
RI	116	-	2	2	-	7	8	25	10	2
PR	449	3	-	11	-	4	7	120	10	11
2ND	3,402	7	70	49	1	204	183	763	162	82
стГ	272	1	13	5	· · · _	16	24	81	36	4
NY, N	459	-	7	24	-	22	26	88	13	9
NY, E	1,150	2	16	12	1	54	36	219	43	22
NY, S	1,036	2	21	6		80	65	244	40	38
NY,H	355	2	11	1	-	27	25	91	. 9	9
VT	130	-	2	1	-	- 5	7	40	21	-
3RD	2,123	25	42	30	20	180	173	535	155	93
DE	129	· _	5	· -	1	10	4	22	15	1
NJ	726	-	8	8	4	100	78	217	17	41
PA,E	494	1	.7	2	1	21	28	109	75	25
PA, M	262	1	12 3	- 4	4	13	12	63	26	10
PA, W	253 259	23	37	16	10	18 18	46 5	89 35	17 5	11 5
4TH	6,051	11	202	92	16	658	161	648	434	195
-										······································
MD	626 1,028	3	32 20	6 8	11	97 167	31 15	105 56	51	17 24
NC, M	243	1	44	1	11	8	19	34	24 25	14
NC, W	451	1	53	15	1	15	15	57	40	14
sc	631	1	39	3	2	55	43	89	79	60
VA, E	2,299	5	6	54	ĩ	290	24	211	116	54
VA, W	282	-	5	2	ī	17	7	36	61	7
WV,N	138	· •	1	3	-		6	23	14	1
WV,S	353	-	2	-	-	9	9	37	24	4
5TH	5,613	4	78	50	10	299	143	1,104	369	114
LA, E	396		5	1	1	27	14	105	35	13
LA, M	65	-	-	-		2	3	21		1
LA, H	262	-	2	5	1	36	13	67	20	11
MS,N	150		4	3	-	2	4	47	14	6
MS,S	248	-	8	16	3	13	18	71	10	16
TX,N TX,E	669 265	-	31 13	16	2	60 7	29	188 47	56 43	10
TX,S	1,857	3	6	10	1 1	22	6 28	218	43 86	8 14
ТХ, Н	1,701	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	î	130	28	340	105	35
6TH	3,369	4	162	33	14	421	245	593	403	107
KY,E	196		8	3		15	15	37	16	4
KY, N	427		7	10	4	178	15	49	29	8
MIE	681	2 1	20	5	4	41	36	126	113	23
MI, H	170	-	2	3	1	12	18	38	24	5
DH.N	410	-	30	1	-	21	50	89	65	23 5 22 13
UM, S	427	1	28	1	-	67	37	88	39	13
OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	375	-	22 36	1 4 5	4	27 30	29	48	72	9
	328 355	_	30	2	1	30	29 16	52 66	35 10	18 5
TN.W.	.197.)									

TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		IFFENSES	SPECIAL I			· · · · ·	INUED	FENSESCONT	GENERAL OF	(
CIRCU AND DISTR	OTHER	POSTAL Laws*	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	IMMI- GRATION LAMS	OTHER	ESCAPE	TRAFFIC	OTHER DRUG RELATED STATUTES		DRUG I NAR- COTICS	MARI- HUANA
							<u> </u>			<u>l</u>	
TO	1,820	197	379	2,18%	1,838	769	7,159	113	935	7,364	3,517
	9	1	16	3	39	3	1	-	8	486	1
1\$	30	8	3	110	41	13	3	3		300	38
	10	. 4	1	7 11	6 20	1 2	- 2	- 3	5 3	47 51	11 6
	4	-	1	2	7	3 1			1 3	5 40	6 3
	11	4	1	85	- 4	6	1	-	2	157	12
2N	233	55	11	95	154	60	82	12	43	1,083	53
· · · · ·	17	1		1	6	4	56	-	2 9	55 95	6 21
N	34 91	5 8	4 5	22 18	18 39	6 8	1	6	5	561	3
N	55 28	34 5	1 1	23 15	61 27	38 1	25	5 1	19 7	272 85	7 10
••••	8	2		16	3	3	-	. –	1	15	6
3R	123	9	3	43	149	22	22	5	28	426	40
	6 58	3	1	1 6	5 46	7	1 2	2	2 14	45 106	7 12
P	25	4	-	4	44	1	2	1	9	126	9
••••P	22	1	-	-	12 8	8	10	1 1	- 1	61 46	6
• • • • •	6	-	2	32	34	6	7	-	2	42	4
•••4T	161	20	69	21	330	83	1,615	16	99	936	284
N	16 22	10 1	4 8	10	20 27	5 4	85 536	_	32 1	85 74	17 29
N	8 27	1 1 1	1 16	-	6 29	7 8	- 15	- 3	4	67 92	12 44
	20	2	14	1	86	7	15	23	5	82	23
v	42 11	1 1	20	10	116 9	43	960 4	3	11 10	244 76	88 24
W	2 13	3	1	- -	21 16	4 3		3	1 27	45 171	16 31
51	240	6	65	634	191	191	170	15	179	644	1,107
L	25	1	4	25	25	5	1	1	29	68	11
L	2 30	-	2	4	8 17	1 3	- 3	4	5	19 19	8 20
M	10	-	-		21	7	2	~	1	17 38	12
T	20 44	1 3 -	3 1 5		8 31	6 10	2 3	1	65	60	19 10
M T T	20 56	1	5 43	1 365	12 43	18 103	1 4	2 1 2 2 3	65 14 20	37 150	30 682
T	33	-	43 7	184	26	38	154	3	41	236	315
6T	176	24	17	43	141	63	72	5	69	587	190
K	12 20	2	1 4	4 1	8 29	5 3 16 2 4 3 4 7	13	-	1 16	26 11	39 27
<u>M</u>	28	12	-	15	15 8	16	8	2	25	159	32
M	18 24	1 3		2 3	21	4	-	1	6	18 64	15
O	18 27	3	- 9	- 3	13 21	3	8 2 4 45	1	25 3 6 3 5 2	90 60	20 26
T T	18	- 1	9 2 1	15 2 3 - 3 3 12	13 21 14 12	7 19	45	1	2	18 141	20 26 13 12
••••	.11	T	T	Ţ¢	14	17			0	141	1 E

TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

					GENERAL	DFFENSES				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	WEAPONS And Fire- Arms	FDRGERY AND COUNTER FEITING
7TH	1,917	9	73	26	6	171	124	442	126	54
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S WI,S WI,E WI,M	645 269 177 155 306 256 109	- 1 2 1 3 1	31 7 2 20 11 2	8 1 5 - 4 6 2	- 3 1 2	62 10 31 20 29 11 8	66 11 11 12 12 4 8	158 51 34 37 44 97 21	25 39 12 18 13 15 4	33 4 2 1 9 3 2
8TH	2,631	24	64	57	23	128	142	439	155	49
AR,E AR,H IA,N MA,S MO,E MO,W ND,W ND SD	234 115 104 120 320 261 887 182 162 246	- - - 4 1 - - 1 6 11	7 2 1 8 28 8 7 1 2	- 1 4 3 2 6 15 26	- 2 1 1 - 1 3 2 3 10	11 11 13 27 14 5 7 33	8 9 10 23 24 30 11 7 11	41 17 34 15 76 67 62 53 34 40	17 4 25 33 15 9 10 29	4 5 1 4 4 3 16 2 3 7
9ŤH	9,704	.44	577	123	28	501	411	1,132	412	162
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C HI MI MT NY HA,E HA,H GUAM NMI	185 1,073 680 676 1,051 1,320 1,883 86 232 385 571 477 949 126 10	1 17 3 1 2 2 2 7 7 2 1 1 3 2 -	6 38 57 44 207 55 10 1 1 48 66 12 31 1	1 35 6 8 11 14 4 1 5 5 7 10 4	- 3332 - 11532 5 -	53 22 47 60 49 25 76 2 7 11 20 17 99 13	5 44 90 77 81 21 3 7 8 8 12 12 36 6 1	20 131 127 117 226 93 43 20 56 86 63 45 82 17 6	10 50 14 24 61 43 8 7 27 22 57 51 33 5 -	2 12 9 10 55 21 5 2 2 2 8 7 5 3 -
10TH	2,339	15	70	50	1	237	113	449	151	48
C0 KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	368 313 602 155 57 410 329 105	1 9 1 - 2 - 1	14 14 14 - 5 15 2	6 5 25 1 12 12		17 104 14 10 1 42 45 4	19 25 19 13 5 19 8 5	103 59 52 60 19 94 45 17	40 11 31 12 5 16 23 13	7 3 10 8 - 10 8 2
11TH	6,069	8	183	40	15	388	226	636	413	184
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M GA,N GA,N GA,S	258 236 217 227 847 1,497 384 2,023 380	- - - 2 3 1 1	5 6 6 6 9 46 2 1 9	2 1 4 7 11 6 3 5	2 - - 3 4 2 2 -	28 33 6 26 38 61 38 90 68	17 17 15 10 37 86 26 11 7	36 27 48 30 177 196 75 20 32	26 17 36 15 84 168 29 26 12	17 10 9 5 52 56 22 10 3

TABLE D-3 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		GENERAL OFF	ENSESCON	TINUED	· · · · · · · ·			SPECIAL (OFFENSES		·
	DRUG		OTHER DRUG			-	IMMI-	AGRI-			CIRCUIT
MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	LED SUB- STANCES	RELATED STATUTES	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	GRATION LAWS	CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAWS*	OTHER	AND DISTRICT
91	320	36	4	139	25	130	27	22	15	77	7TH
6 26	150 47	3 13	2 1	11 26	4	31 5	12 12	-	14	29 13	IL,N
14 4 21	29 18	2 5 5	<u>1</u> . -	7 9	6	16 20	1	-	-	27	IL,S
21 8 12	13 44 19	5	-	85 	4 5 4	27 14 17		20	1 -	15 7 4	IN,S WI,E WI,W
142	409	87	6	624	37	97	40	17	6	85	8TH
23 4	78 9	17 11	1	16	6 2	8 7	1 2	1 9	1	10 3	AR, E
6 3	25 40	-4 13	- 2	-	1 1	3 1	2 3 5 6	-	-	57	IA,N
27	64 56	10 8	2	1	8 2	15 11	- 1	-	· _	10 10	ÁN MO,E
9 12	77 42	14 3	1	606 1	2	79	1 7	4	1 3	16 10	NO,W
36 15	3 15	1 6	-	-	47	8 28	14	2	-	9 5	ND SD
1,080	926	198	29	2,248	139	268	942	87	39	358	9TH
6 362	15 70	3 23		44	11	68	136	15 8	- 1	4 39	
15 25	74 34	3 41	5	96 64	12	29 25	29 101	1 3	12 9	48 24	CA, N
9 360	202 214 31	8 17	2 2	2	17 42	30 20	37 319	3	63	41 67	CA,C
28 4 27	8 14	21 2 11	-	1,635	2 - 3	5 6 13	10 12	1 9 15	-	8 2 9	HI IC MT
19 56	28 111	8	4	34	27 13	13 17 10	5 59	15 9 5	2 1	22 56	N\ OF
55 106	69 52	7 20	92	367		17 16	147 51	4 11	- 5	14 19	WA,E
6 2	4	7		1 _	-	12	36	2	-	5	GUAN
271	210	99	2	189	42	136	118	22	4	112	10TH
17 9	50 20	20 7	1	26 14	14	14 13	11 7	7	2-	13 7	
208 2	50 7	22 7	-1	3	14 1	37 10	78	2 1	1	12 16	NK, N
2 11	4 39	7		1 114	1 5	6 20	- 1	3 1		2	OK, E
15 7	35 5	19 13		30 1	5 2	21 15	21	3 5	1	23 12	UT WY
219	1,036	75	16	1,994	91	162	110	47	10	216	11TH
25 16	40 15	5 1	·	18 69	11 3	13 11	- 1	- 1	1	12 9	AL.N
25 16 18 22 48	41 55	10		1	5 17	12 15	1	1		11 14	AL,9
49	194 566	20	6 8	1	11 25	27 42	8 65	5 12	2	40 90	FL,I
10 20 11	.31	8		1,728	1	14	31 3	-	2	15 13	GA, I
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,994 91 18 11 69 3 - 5 1 17 17 11 1 25 2 11	91 11 3 5 17 11 25 11 1		162 13 11 12 15 27 42 11	110	47 - 1 1	10 1 - - 4 2 2	216 12 9 11 14 40	

*OBSTRUCTING MAIL, MAILING NON-MAILABLE MATERIAL AND OTHER POSTAL VIOLATIONS.

					GEI	NERAL OFFE	NSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	HEAPONS And Fire- Arms	FORGERY AND Counter- Feiting
TOTAL	63,984	213	1,812	706	181	4,049	2,105	9,334	3,278	1,491
DC	1,105	-	8	4	-	34	13	68	37	37
1ST	1,793	3	21	21	3	41	52	360	121	22
ME MA NH RI PR	186 616 138 172 681	- - - 3	2 16 - 3	- 5 2 14	1 2 - -	8 15 7 7 4	9 25 2 8 8	32 127 34 37 130	19 62 16 10 14	1 5 3 2 11
2ND	5,138	16	84	119	2	266	190	1,026	207	105
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	407 728 1,711 1,661 452 179	2 6 3 5	17 10 21 23 11 2	6 88 13 10 1 1	- 1 - -	18 39 79 94 31 5	26 26 39 67 25 7	110 97 328 325 110 56	43 16 57 55 9 27	4 10 28 54 9 -
3RD	3,200		49	34	25	267	188	675	168	113
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	162 1,042 995 355 340 306	- 1 3 1 - 27	7 9 7 12 3 11	- 8 3 - 6 17	1 4 1 - 15	10 171 29 14 24 19	4 85 34 13 47 5	27 264 145 89 115 35	18 21 76 30 17 6	4 48 32 10 14 5
4TH	8,599	15	256	104	19	858	174	862	504	241
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,E WY,N HV,S	794 1,167 427 834 1,024 3,220 475 178 480	4 1 - 1 8 - - -	43 32 59 55 39 14 9 1 4	6 8 1 5 3 65 3 3 -	14 1 2 1 1 -	98 183 10 22 66 448 22 - 9	32 16 12 20 43 27 8 6 10	141 72 45 84 146 249 51 29 45	56 26 26 41 98 143 72 16 26	22 30 18 16 74 67 9 1 4
5TH	8,033	5	93	60	16	370	160	1,318	411	152
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W	577 80 348 209 329 999 365 2,806 2,320		5 - 2 4 8 40 14 10 10	1 6 4 17 13 19	2 4 3 3 1 2	37 2 41 2 15 83 7 32 151	15 4 14 5 18 31 6 30 37	124 24 79 61 87 256 54 246 387	35 24 14 12 61 44 110 111	17 1 13 10 27 15 8 20 41
6TH	4,928	4	194	34	17	490	251	770	458	149
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	359 514 1,111 274 625 592 467 416 570	2 1 - 1 - -	11 9 22 34 33 26 43 14	3 10 5 4 1 1 4 5 1	- 4 5 1 - 6 - 1	24 184 53 18 31 72 31 35 42	17 15 37 52 37 29 29 16	64 70 153 43 124 98 58 70 90	28 38 128 24 73 49 72 35 11	7 9 30 7 25 14 11 26 20

		FFENSES	SPECIAL D				INUED	ENSESCONT	GENERAL OFF		
									LAWS	DRUG	-
CIRCUIT AND DISTRIC	OTHER	POSTAL Laws*	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	IMMI- GRATION LAWS	OTHER	ESCAPE	TRAFFIC	OTHER DRUG RELATED STATUTES	CONTROL- LED SUB- STANCES	NAR- Cotics	IARI- IUANA
TOTA	2,597	204	522	2,698	2,838	832	7,749	160	1,752	15,377	5,086
	18	1	34	3	77	3	1	-	11	754	2
1ST	52	8	3	138	54	18	3	10	17	773	73
	3 23	4	1	8 11	7 23	1 2	- 2	10	5 4	71 268	14 16
N	6	-	1		10 8	3 1	-	-	1 3	38 75	13 5
P	14	4	1	110	6	11	1	-	4	321	25
2ND	320	57	17	114	246	64	133	15	76	1,998	83
NY,	24 55	1 5	10	1 25	12 23	4	106	-	2 28	130 153	7 30
NY,	115 81	5 8 36	5 1	24 25	78 98	8 42	1 25	7 6	9 27	879 677	5 12
NY,	35 10	5	1	18 21	32 3	1 3		2	9	131 28	12 17 12
3RD	151	9	3	55	236	24	22	5	- 49	1,016	 79
Ę	6	3	1	3	9		1		2	56	10
N	62 38	4	_	6	53 98	7 1	2	2 1	19 22	257 467	23 28
PA,	31 8	1 1	-	-	18 18	10	10	1	$\tilde{1}$	101 82	10 3
	6	÷	2	42	40	6	7	-	5	53	5
4TH	230	20	94	24	511	89	1,927	17	129	2,082	443
NC,	16 31	10 1	6 8	11	27 34	5 5 8	85 538	-	33	179 1 34	20 31
NC,	8 44	1	1 21	_	10 52	8 8	15	- 3	6 11	184 370	38 54
· · · · · · Ś	26	2	22	1	160	8	15	3	10	227	78
VA, VA,	60 26	1	23 11	12	169 12	46 2	1,270	3	14 18	485	115 42
WV.	3		1	_	25	4	-	5	1	66	22
WV,	16	3	1	-	22	3	-	3	33	258	43
5TH	347	7	73	874	277	212	171	35	367	1,275	,810
LA, LA,	43 5	1	5	25	38 8	8 1	1	1	58	134 27	27 8
LA,	37	-	2	7	22	6	3	5	8	31	47
MS,	12 22	- 1	<u> </u>	7	26 18	7 7	2	2	2 7	43 65	17 23
TX,	62 26	3	27	65 1	56 18	11	3	1 3	120	149	21
····TX,	26 84	-	7	1 553	18 58	18 104	1	3	42 37	72	41
TX, TX, TX, TX,	56	i	44 9	216	33	50	5 154	13 10	120 42 37 93	149 72 344 410	098 528
6TH	310	25	26	45	276	67	73	5	112	1,228	394
KY,	35 25	2 1	1 5 -	5 1 15 2 3 - 3	17 48	5 5 17 2 4 3 4	- 13	-	2 18 48 6 8 7 10 2 11	58 20	80 37
MI,	48	13	-	15	57	17	8	2	48	380 37	89
MI,	34 61	13 1 3	••• · ·	2	9	2			6 R	37 141	65 11
OH,	21	3		. <u> </u>	53 17	3	2	1 1	7	207	26
0H, 0H, TN, TN, TN,	41 25 20	3	14 4 2	3	28 20	4.7	2 5 45	-1	10	207 87 36	89 65 11 26 37 29
	20	1	2	12	27	20	-	<u>-</u>	11	262	20

Т

					GEI	NERAL OFFE	NSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	WEAPONS And Fire- Arms	FORGERY AND Counter- Feiting
7TH	2,861	14	88	28	8	215	125	594	149	83
IL,N IL,C IN,S IN,S WI,E WI,H	1,067 382 247 216 393 409 147	- 1 5 1 1 3 3	33 8 1 2 24 18 2	10 1 5 4 6 2	- - 4 - 1 3 -	77 12 34 20 46 15 11	67 11 11 12 12 4 8	239 62 36 39 54 139 25	33 45 13 18 15 21 4	57 3 2 1 10 3 2
8ТН	3,439	38	79	63	34	166	151	557	163	66
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MD,E MO,H NE ND SD	327 146 139 188 526 366 1,028 231 194 294	- - - 7 8 - 1 6 13	8 2 1 37 9 7 1 2	- 1 - 4 3 2 6 17 30	- 2 3 2 - 1 4 2 4 16	13 14 1 6 18 44 16 5 9 40	8 9 10 25 28 30 11 7 14	54 23 41 125 87 73 58 35 44	18 6 10 4 25 34 16 9 10 31	5 7 1 4 5 5 26 2 4 7
9TH	12,890	54	646	142	35	633	438	1,480	445	209
АК АZ CA, H CA, E CA, C CA, S HI MT MT WA, E WA, E WA, M GUAM NMI	220 1,617 916 864 1,571 1,922 2,008 108 342 576 751 478 1,370 136 11	2 17 3 1 4 9 2 - 7 2 1 1 3 2 -	6 41 62 53 226 62 12 2 57 75 12 36 1	1 41 6 9 13 14 4 1 17 5 5 7 15 4 -	- 4343 - 12652 5 -	54 38 60 65 68 32 101 3 12 14 27 17 126 16 -	7 51 96 82 84 23 3 7 8 8 12 12 38 6 1	24 177 161 157 305 116 69 21 85 124 68 46 102 18 7	10 58 17 27 69 46 9 7 27 27 27 59 51 33 5 -	2 20 14 10 71 25 7 2 4 36 9 5 3 7 1
10TH	3,153	20	84	55	1	245	118	649	165	64
CO KS DK,N OK,E DK,H UT WY	567 384 818 244 109 478 408 145	1 13 1 - 2 - 2	14 17 17 11 - 5 18 2	6 6 27 1 14 14		17 107 14 11 2 43 47 4	22 25 19 13 5 19 8 7	170 86 73 97 30 116 54 23	43 13 33 13 7 17 25 14	7 4 13 10
11TH	8,843	12	210	42	21	464	245	975	450	250
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,M GA,S	384 286 392 454 1,549 2,596 529 2,148 505	- - 5 3 1 2	5 9 3 7 82 56 25 14 9	2 1 4 7 13 6 3 5	23- -5623-	34 40 7 31 51 82 47 97 75	20 19 16 11 42 91 26 12 8	55 27 67 257 281 116 33 69	27 18 36 15 91 193 30 28 12	22 13 10 7 68 89 28 10 3

		FFENSES	SPECIAL O				INUED	ENSESCONT	GENERAL OF		
			-							DRUG	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	OTHER	POSTAL Laws*	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	IMMI- GRATION LAWS	OTHER	ESCAPE	TRAFFIC	OTHER DRUG RELATED STATUTES	CONTROL- LED SUB- Stances	NAR- COTICS	MARI- HUANA
7TH	117	16	23	34	224	26	139	4	67	708	199
ÏL,N	51	15	_	16	86	4	11	2	4	348	14
IL,C IL,S	15 2	-	-	15	13 16	2 6	26 7	1 1	15 15 6	96 67	51 22
	10	-	-	1	46	-	9 85	-	6 16	34 24	17
IN,S WI,E	20 11	1	2 21	1	28 17	5 5	-	-	6	110	45 26 24
WI,W	8	-	-	1	18	4	1	-	5	29	
8TH	113	6	17	46	120	40	624	8	163	724	261
AR,E AR,W	11 10	1 1	1 9	1 2	11 7	6	16	1	31 18	112 10	46 5
IA, N	5	-	7	3	3	1	-	-	8	43	9
IA,S MN	7	<u> </u>	- -	7	1 24	1 10	1	23	23 14 18 31 4	150	10 57 18
MO.E	20	-	-	1	13 11	3 2	606	-1	18 31	75 163	18 16
MO,W	18 14	1 3	1	9.	12	4	1	_	4	63	25
ND	9 5	-	2	15 1	9 29	4 7	-	1	8 8	3 23	51 24
9TH	487	40	149	1,107	355	142	2,470	37	413	1,984	1,624
AK	4	-	31			-	44	1	4	24	6
AZ	59 68	1	9 1	160 33	77 37	11 12	96	6 8	64 3	200 197	583 26
CA,E	32	13 9 6	5	104	-32	4	64	8 2 2 2	102 30	57 439	45 28
CA,C CA,S	58 96	6	4	91 385	51 26	17 44	2 1	2	26	458	552
HI	12	3	1	1	6	2	1,638		41 2	66 12	33 12
ID	2 14	-	12 51 11	13 12	7 14	3		-	18	25	12 37
NV	28	2 1	11 5	6 60	31 12	27 14	35	5	24 53	97 194	32 86
OR	68 14	-	4	147	17	53	-	9	7	69	-55
WA,W	27	5	11 2	56 39	33 12	3	585 1	2	31 8	142	119 8
GUAM NMI	5	Ξ.	-		-	· •	=		-	-	2
10TH	158	4	28	139	155	44	189	2	198	410	425
CO	17 17	2	7	11 7	19 13	16	26 14	1	41 10	130 29	33 19
NM	14	1	2	96	37	14	3	-	41	103	297
OK,N	25 2	-	1 3	· _	13 12	1 1	1	1	20 30	16 8	11 7
OK,W	28	_	1	1	24	5	114	-	4	62	20
UT WY	38 17	1	3 11	24	22 15	5 2	30 1	-	33 19	56 6	21 17
11TH	294	11	55	119	307	103	1,997	22	150	2,424	692
AL,N	20	1	Ξ	7	32	16 3 5	18	-	10 1	66 32	54 23
AL,M AL,S	9	-	- 2 1	1	14 31 17	5 5	69	1 1	17	138	23 46
FL.N	31	-		1	17	18	17	10	17 21 29 12 33	136 506	84 258
FL,M	49 116	5 2	8 16	9 72	44 103	11 31	17 1	10	12	1,255	162
GA.N	23	2	-	72 32 3	14	11	2 1,730 159	-	33 18	110 91	19 29
GA, M	16 17	1	27 1	3	31 21	17	159	-	- 9	90	17

 DBSTRUCTING MAIL, MAILING NON-MAILABLE MATERIAL AND OTHER POSTAL REGULATIONS.

TABLE D-4 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND OFFENSE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

			NOT	CONVICTED			CON	VICTED AND	SENTENCED	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL Defen-		DIS-	ACQUI BY			PLEA OF	NOLD Con-	CONVI	ICTED Y
NAIURE UF UFFENSE	DANTS	TOTAL	MISSED*	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	GUILTY	TENDERE	COURT	JURY
TOTAL	56,747	9,979	8,372	603	1,004	46,768	40,723	490	699	4,856
GENERAL DFFENSES										
HOMICIDE	189	40	25	1	14	149	115	2	-	32
MURDER-FIRST DEGREE MURDER-SECOND DEGREE MANSLAUGHTER	104 18 67	28 4 8	16 4 5	1 -	11 3	76 14 59	51 13 51	2		23 1 8
ROBBERY	1,427	97	76	3	18	1,330	1,180	4	7	139
BANK Postal Dther	1,368 25 34	82 2 13	65 1 10	3 - -	14 1 3	1,286 23 21	1,140 20 20	4 	7 -	135 3 1
ASSAULT	607	206	183	7	16	401	328	1	6	66
BURGLARY	118	16	10	. –	6	102	94	-	-	8
BANK POSTAL INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS DTHER	34 26 3 55	3 1 	1 - - 9		2 1 - 3	31 25 3 43	27 25 3 39			4 4
LARCENY AND THEFT	3,807	871	789	41	41	2,936	2,756	17	45	118
BANK POSTAL. INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER U.S. PROPERTY TRANSPORT ETC, STOLEN PROP. OTHER	170 552 375 1,773 332 605	14 50 35 514 70 188	13 43 28 470 62 173	1 2 27 - 11	1 6 5 17 8 4	156 502 340 1,259 262 417	149 487 308 1.,194 226 392	- - 7 - 10	- 3 2 31 - 9	7 12 30 27 36 6
EMBEZZLEMENT	2,062	245	228		17	1,817	1,741	4	6	66
BANK Postal Other	950 426 686	118 50 77	117 46 65	-	1 4 12	832 376 609	810 359 572	4	3 2 1	19 15 32
FRAUD	8,562	997	795	22	180	7,565	6,917	23	36	589
INCOME TAX. LENDING INSTITUTION. POSTAL. VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE SOCIAL SECURITY. FALSE PERSONATION. NATIONALITY LAWS. PASSPORT FRAUD. FALSE CLAIMS & STATEMENTS OTHER.	1,060 1,379 1,433 48 51 252 68 121 280 1,775 2,095 293	81 136 213 - 6 29 11 19 23 198 291 45	61 116 163 - 1 29 10 8 22 170 215 39	3 2 5 - 3 - - 1 1 7 -	17 18 45 - 2 - 1 1 1 - 27 69 6	979 1,243 1,220 45 223 57 112 257 1,577 1,804 248	854 1,138 1,092 48 37 213 51 108 244 1,478 1,654 229	2 4 6 - - 1 7 3 1	6 - - 1 - 1 2 7 6	117 94 116 - 8 9 9 6 2 11 85 141 18

TABLE D-4 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND OFFENSE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		_	NOT	CONVICTED			CON	/ICTED AND	SENTENCED	
	TOTAL			ACQUI BY				NOLO	CONVI	ICTED
NATURE OF OFFENSE	DEFEN- DANTS	TOTAL	DIS- MISSED*	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	PLEA OF GUILTY	CON- Tendere	COURT	JURY
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	1,503	204	194	-	10	1,299	1,221	2	4	72
TRANSPORT FORGED SECURITIES POSTAL FORGERY OTHER FORGERY COUNTERFEITING	69 91 729 614	12 8 109 75	11 8 109 66		1 - 9	57 83 620 539	48 81 597 495	- - 2	- - 4	9 2 19 42
SEX OFFENSES	412	95	68	7	20	317	273	2	5	37
SEXUAL ABUSE OTHER	211 201	51 44	37 31	1 6	13 7	160 157	131 142	1 1	3 2	25 12
DRUG LAWS, TOTAL	19,227	2,881	2,444	39	398	16,346	13,535	19	93	2,699
MARIHUANA DRUGS CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES OTHER DRUG RELATED STATUTES	5,272 12,288 1,515 152	895 1,712 255 19	809 1,399 218 18	18 19 1 1	68 294 36 -	4,377 10,576 1,260 133	3,942 8,471 1,024 98	6 8 3 2	31 52 9 1	398 2,045 224 32
MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFENSE	12,793	3,429	2,821	441	167	9,364	7,802	394	425	743
BRIBERY DRUNK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC ESCAPE. EXTORT RACKETEERING THREATS GAMBLING AND LOTTERY KIDNAPPING. PERJURY WEAPONS AND FIREARMS DTHER.	265 7,103 641 672 299 68 132 3,338 275	52 2,400 132 119 61 15 38 493 119	40 1,979 122 89 54 12 27 392 106	402 2 12 - 2 12 - 2 12 11	12 19 8 18 7 3 9 89 2	213 4,703 509 553 238 53 94 2,845 156	184 3,923 455 409 219 35 67 2,372 138	1 383 2 3 - - 4 1	367 9 5 3 2 24 15	28 30 43 136 16 18 25 445 2
PECIAL OFFENSES										
IMMIGRATION LAWS	2,657	252	242	1	9	2,405	2,334	3	8	60
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	8	2	2	-		6	5	-	-	1
FEDERAL STATUTES	3,082	599	456	41	102	2,483	2,193	18	64	208
AGRI/CONSERV' ACTS ANTITRUST VIDLATIONS FOOD AND DRUG ACT MIGRATORY BIRD LAWS MOTOR CARRIER ACT NATIONAL DEFENSE LAWS	475 106 99 76 63	118 24 12 21 5 -	92 2 11 17 5 -	24 3 - 4 -	2 19 1 - -	357 82 87 55 58	323 69 86 38 58	8 3 - 1 -	16 1 16 -	10 10 - -
CIVIL RIGHTS CONTEMPT CUSTOMS LAWS POSTAL LAWS OTHER	96 38 164 212 1,753	33 11 22 14 339	22 8 22 12 265	- 2 - 8	11 1 - 2 66	63 27 142 198 1,414	49 20 131 195 1,224	- - - 6	1 6 - 24	13 1 11 3 160

* INCLUDED IN THIS COLUMN ARE DEFENDANTS WHO WERE COMMITTED PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. 2902, OF THE NARCOTIC ADDICT REHABILITATION ACT OF 1966.

NOTE: DEFENDANTS CHARGED IN TWO OR MORE CASES THAT WERE TERMINATED DURING THIS YEAR ARE REPORTED ONLY ONCE; THEREFORE, TOTAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF ON THIS TABLE ARE LESS THAN TOTAL DEFENDANTS TERMINATED ON TABLES D AND D-1.

				TYPE S	ENTENCE						
			REGUL	AR SENTE	NCES TO	IMPRISON	IMENT1			R SENTEN	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL Defendants Sentenced	TOTAL Impris Onment	6 Months Or Less	7 Thru 12 Months	13 Thru 35 Months	36 Thru 60 Months	OVER 60 Months	AVERAGE SENTENCE IN MONTHS2	LIFE	DEATH	OTHERS
TOTAL	46,768	29,189	4,598	3,298	6,667	5,123	9,123	69.6	43	1	336
GENERAL OFFENSES	······································										
HOMICIDE	149	139	5	10	31	26	64	123.5	3		. –
MURDER-FIRST DEGREE MURDER-SECOND DEGREE MANSLAUGHTER	76 14 59	73 12 54	$\frac{1}{4}$	1 1 8	9 1 21	16 3 7	43 7 14	176.8 139.9 50.6	3	-	
ROBBERY	1,330	1,313	10	14	120	365	804	117.6	-		-
BANK Postal Other	1,286 23 21	1,273 21 19	9 - 1	13 1 -	111 4 5	351 7 7	789 9 6	117.2 187.7 70.2	-	-	-
ASSAULT	401	266	68	25	53	69	45	51.2			6
BURGLARY	102	84	10	9	26	17	22	76.8	•• •••		***
BANK POSTAL INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER	31 25 3 43	29 24 3 28	- 3 1 6	1 2 1 5	3 14 1 8	5 4 - 8	20 1 1	169.8 32.3 14.3 25.3	-	-	
LARCENY AND THEFT	2,936	1,136	357	258	305	106	85	24.8	-	-	25
BANK. POSTAL. INTERSTATE SHIPMENTS OTHER U.S. PROPERTY TRANSPORT ETC, STOLEN PROP. OTHER	156 502 340 1,259 262 417	87 242 216 276 203 112	13 73 48 125 38 60	19 67 46 72 34 20	30 68 78 46 69 14	11 16 26 13 31 9	14 10 16 14 25 6	34.1 19.0 27.5 17.2 39.8 16.1			8 2 6 6 3
EMBEZZLEMENT	1,817	571	213	130	137	59	28	20.0			4
BANK Postal Other	832 376 609	278 74 219	82 43 88	65 17 48	83 5 49	32 6 21	15 2 11	22.3 12.8 19.4	-		1 1 2
FRAUD	7,565	3,578	1,129	670	954	501	240	28.3	-	-	. 84
INCOME TAX. LENDING INSTITUTION POSTAL. VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE SOCIAL SECURITY. FALSE PERSONATION. NATIONALITY LAWS. PASSPORT FRAUD. FALSE CLAIMS & STATEMENTS OTHER.	979 1,243 1,220 48 45 223 57 112 257 1,577 1,804	417 698 689 6 30 102 37 51 104 602 842	156 185 138 1 3 41 14 24 55 300 212	75 138 143 2 4 25 7 9 12 93 162	79 239 216 	73 93 125 3 8 9 2 6 9 55 118	30 39 59 7 5 4 1 2 21 72	24.6 28.6 36.5 34.2 56.6 21.9 36.3 34.1 15.5 18.0 30.6	-		4 8 - 2 5 14 36 11
AUTO THEFT	248	169	35	31	63	26	12	34.0		· _	2

				SENTENCE	ITE		· · ·	·····
					TION	PROBAT		
NATURE OF OFFENSE	FINE Only	OTHER5	AVERAGE Sentence In Months4	36 MONTHS AND Over	13 Thru 35 Months	7 Thru 12 Months	6 Months Dr Less	TOTAL
TOTAL.	3,772	53	42.7	7,976	2,430	2,574	774	13,807
GENERAL DFFENSES.								
HOMICIDE.		-	109.2	9	-	1	. .	10
MURDER-FIRST DEGREE. MURDER-SECOND DEGREE. MANSLAUGHTER.			76.0 24.0 163.2	3 1 5		1	-	3 2 5
ROBBERY	-		58.4	14	2	-	1	17
BANK. Postal. Other.	-		65.3 36.0 36.0	10 2 2	2 - -	-	1	13 2 2
ASSAULT.	16	· -	24.2	41	23	44	11	119
BURGLARY.		-	34.1	12	2	4	-	18
BANK. Postal. Interstate shipments.		-	36.0 36.0 	2 1 - 9	- - 2			2
OTHER. Larceny and theft.	222	- 7	34.3	726	242	4 404	199	15 1,578
BANK. POSTAL. Interstate shipments. Other U.S. property. Transport etc, stolen p Other.	 2 2 167 		40.2 48.2 48.1 27.9 78.5 22.5	47 193 98 284 50 54	16 50 19 117 6 34	6 10 3 247 2 136		69 258 122 816 59 254
EMBEZZLEMENT.	19	4	49.8	833	262	109	19	1,227
BANK. Postal. Other.	4 4 11	2 - 2	50.4 47.3 50.9	385 185 263	114 84 64	43 26 40	6 3 10	550 298 379
FRAUD.	228	17	49.8	2,742	656	289	55	3,759
INCOME TAX. LENDING INSTITUTION. POSTAL. VETERANS AND ALLOTMENTS SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE SOCIAL SECURITY. FALSE PERSONATION. NATIONALITY LAMS. PASSPORT FRAUD. FALSE CLAIMS & STATEMEN OTHER.	12 10 47 - 5 1 - 2 81 70	1 - - - 1 4 6	52.5 53.1 56.6 39.0 50.8 43.4 27.2 30.5 33.2 47.6 50.7	416 428 395 30 12 75 10 31 81 669 595	93 78 65 1 2 31 5 17 43 135 186	34 21 16 11 - 9 3 9 18 76 92	6 3 8 1 1 1 4 8 10 13	550 535 484 42 15 116 19 61 151 894 892
AUTO THEFT.	1	1	58.8	71	4	2	_	78

· · ·				TYPE S	ENTENCE						
			REGUL	AR SENTE	NCES TO	IMPRISON	IMENT1			R SENTENO Mprisonmi	
NATURE OF OFFENSE	TOTAL Defendants Sentenced	TOTAL Impris- onment	6 Months Or Less	7 Thru 12 Months	13 Thru 35 Mon7hs	36 Thru 60 Months	OVER 60 Months	AVERAGE Sentence In Months2	LIFE	DEATH	OTHER3
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	1,299	692	194	159	215	67	46	23.2	-	-	11
TRANSPORT FORGED SECURITIES POSTAL FORGERY OTHER FORGERY COUNTERFEITING	57 83 620 539	39 47 273 333	4 12 100 78	8 10 57 84	16 19 67 113	6 4 20 37	5 2 20 19	33.2 19.7 22.8 22.8			- - 9 2
SEX OFFENSES	317	243	25	45	64	29	78	68.8	-		2
SEXUAL ABUSE Other	160 157	137 106	9 16	16 29	35 29	17 12	60 18	92.4 37.7	– .	-	- 2
DRUG LAWS, TOTAL	16,346	14,382	839	848	2,828	3,063	6,708	95.7	34	1	61
MARIHUANA Drugs Controlled Substances Other Drug Related Statutes	4,377 10,576 1,260 133	3,566 9,669 1,054 93	420 342 75 2	336 450 59 3	1,047 1,574 203 4	772 2,095 189 7	977 5,131 524 76	68.0 104.0 102.2 224.0	32 1 1	1 - -	13 45 3 -
MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL OFFENSE	9,364	3,816	649	584	1,123	581	820	54.7	6		53
BRIBERY DRUNK DRIVING AND TRAFFIC ESCAPE. EXTORT RACKETEERING THREATS GAMBLING AND LUTTERY KIDNAPPING. PERJURY. WEAPONS AND FIREARMS OTHER.	213 4,703 509 553 238 53 94 2,845 156	105 268 454 460 94 50 64 2,298 23	46 200 72 35 37 1 10 236 12	21 27 111 42 30 1 24 327 1	21 9 192 108 20 4 17 749 3	11 3 47 158 6 10 7 337 2	6 3 25 109 1 33 5 637 1	23.2 5.5 28.3 62.4 13.6 209.6 25.3 64.5 17.5	- - 3 - 1 - 2 -		26 7 5 - 1 10 4
SPECIAL OFFENSES											
IMMIGRATION LAWS	2,405	1,875	783	348	519	81	82	20.3	-		62
LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE	6	4	3	1				4.8	~		-
FEDERAL STATUTES	2,483	921	278	166	229	133	89	33.6	-	-	26
AGRI/CONSERV' ACTS ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS FOOD AND DRUG ACT MIGRATORY BIRD LAWS MOTOR CARRIER ACT NATIONAL DEFENSE LAWS CIVIL RIGHTS CONTEMPT CUSTOMS LAWS POSTAL LAWS. OTHER.	357 82 87 55 58 - 63 27 142 198 1,414	60 29 12 20 	43 19 6 2 13 - 8 9 14 14 150	9 3 4 - 5 - 12 - 14 118	4 4 2 - 12 7 12 12 185	1 1 - - 12 1 4 - 114	1 1 - - 4 - 1 82	7.3 12.7 10.3 3.5 7.7 - 35.5 11.8 14.7 16.1 40.3			2 1 - - - 2 21

			TYPE	SENTENCE				
		PROBA	FION					
TOTAL	6 Months Or Less	7 Thru 12 Months	13 Thru 35 Months	36 Months And Over	AVERAGE SENTENCE IN MONTHS4	OTHER 5	FINE Only	NATURE OF OFFENSE
591	12	43	132	401	45.0	3	16	FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING
18 36 336 201	- - 8 4	 29 14	3 8 77 44	14 28 221 138	40.0 37.7 49.4 39.3	1 - 1 1	- 11 5	TRANSPORT FORGED SECURIT POSTAL FORGERY. Other Forgery. Counterfeiting.
69	2	6	17	44	46.8		5	SEX OFFENSES.
23 46	- 2	1 5	5 12	17 27	65.8 37.3		5	SEXUAL ABUSE. OTHER.
1,904	34	227	285	1,350	53.4	8	60	DRUG LAWS, TOTAL.
781 893 198 32	21 11 2 -	134 68 21 4	129 107 41 8	495 702 134 19	54.5 55.7 42.3 32.3	2 5 - 1	30 14 8 8	MARIHUANA. Drugs. Controlled Substances. Other Drug Related Statu
2,667	334	1,176	421	729	26.4	7	2,881	MISCELLANEDUS GENERAL OFFE
98 1,662 53 85 141 3 29 510 86	1 301 2 2 1 - - 11 16	6 1,047 8 8 4 - 4 46 53	12 200 19 16 37 - 1 126 10	78 114 24 57 99 3 24 324 6	56.3 16.4 47.0 44.3 36.0 52.0 49.2 45.9 15.2	1 - - - - 3 1	10 2,773 2 8 3 - 1 37 47	BRIBERY. DRUNK DRIVING AND TRAFFI ESCAPE. EXTORT RACKETEERING THRE GAMBLING AND LOTTERY. KIDNAPPING. PERJURY. WEAPONS AND FIREARMS. OTHER.
								SPECIAL OFFENSES.
511	35	56	93	324	48.8	3	19	IMMIGRATION LAWS.
2	-	1	1	-	18.0	-		LIQUOR, INTERNAL REVENUE.
1,257	72	212	290	680	40.1	3	305	FEDERAL STATUTES.
218 19 56 25 36 - 10 7 90 166 630	27 13 2 5 10 15	56 4 11 3 7 - 2 16 29 84	49 2 9 8 10 - 4 2 14 56 136	84 13 36 1 19 - 4 3 55 71 394	32.8 70.7 33.9 12.0 39.8 - 28.9 30.9 32.4 38.6 45.2	2	79 34 19 28 2 - 5 3 8 13 114	AGRI/CONSERV' ACTS. ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS. FOOD AND DRUG ACT. MIGRATORY BIRD LAWS. MOTOR CARRIER ACT. NATIONAL DEFENSE LAWS. CIVIL RIGHTS. CONTEMPT. CUSTOMS LAWS. POSTAL LAWS. DTHER.

INCLUDES SENTENCES OF AT LEAST 1 MONTH WHICH MAY BE FOLLOWED BY A TERM OF PROBATION (MIXED SENTENCES).
 EXCLUDES LIFE SENTENCES, DEATH SENTENCES AND OTHER (SEE 3).
 INCLUDES DEPORTATION, SUSPENDED SENTENCES, SEALED SENTENCES, IMPRISONMENT OF 4 DAYS OR LESS, AND NO SENTENCE.
 EXCLUDES OTHER (SEE 5).
 INCLUDES SUPERVISORY RELEASE, PROBATION OF 4 DAYS OR LESS, SUSPENDED SENTENCES, SEALED SENTENCES, AND NO SENTENCE.

TABLE D-6 DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	TABLE D-	6 DEFENDANTS	U.S.	DISTRICT	COURTS
---	----------	--------------	------	----------	--------

MEDIAN TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991 (All offenses reported)

	DONTINO	THE TREET		EKIOD END	LU JUNE J	0, 1991	ALL OFFER	aca Kerun	ILD/	
	TÓT	AL	DISM	ISSED	PLEA OF	GUILTY	COURT	TRIAL	JURY	TRIAL
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)
TOTAL	56,747	4.8	8,372	3.7	41,213	4.7	1,302	.1	5,860	7.6
DC	900	4.4	215	2.5	433	4.6	8	-	244	5.7
1ST	1,494	5.7	169	4.9	1,084	5.3	20	5.9	221	8.2
ME	167	5.5	12	4.5	129	5.1	-	_	26	7.5
MA	504	7.7	56	9.7	378	7.1	4		66	10.0
NH RI	124 152	5.9 6.4	20	3.7	85 103	5.9	- 1	-	19	7.0 8.2
PR	547	4.3	77	4.4	389	3.5	15	5.9	66	7.8
2ND	3,939	6.3	423	2.9	3,142	6.0	17	8.7	357	11.3
ст	379	5.9	19	3.1	324	5.6	1		35	10.9
NY,N	576	2.1	178	.1	365	2.8		-	33	14.3
NY,E NY,S	1,275	7.0	100	6.0 12.8	1,081	6.7 6.6	87	-	109 136	10.8
NY, W	391	6.2	33	12.8	335	4.7	ĺ	·	22	12.4
ντ	143	9.1	16	8.1	105	8.6	-	-	22	11.3
3RD	2,763	5.6	379	4.5	2,012	5.4	21	5.7	351	7.7
DE	158	4.6	22	2.2	117	4.6	-	-	19	5.7
NJ PA.E	857 763	6.3	140	5.7	640 590	6.0 6.4	4	-	73	9.3 8.4
PA, M	347	4.9	48	2.8	270	4.8	l ĭ	~	28	8.5
РА, М	337	5.4	51	3.4	214	5.1	5	-	67	7,7
VI	301	4.5	64	6.9	181	4.3	3		53	4.5
4TH	7,520	4.0	1,555	1.5	5,023	4.3	281	.1	661	6.6
MD	785	5.6	138	4.5	529	5.2	.8	-	110	8.7
NC,E	1,081	.1	377	.1	634	1	10	.1	60	6.9
NC,M	450 564	5.3 5.3	43 61	2.9	322	5.3 5.4	3	-	85	5.8
NC,W SC	741	6.9	174	3.9	461	7.3	2	~	39 77	5.6 8.4
VA,E	2,801	2.0	658	.8	1,714	2.1	251	.1	178	5.1
VA,W	423	7.3	33	3.2	332	7.3	4	-	54	8.2
WV,N	229	8.0	21	7.3	179	7.5	3	-	26	10.2
WV,S	446	5.0	50	4.7	364	4.9	-		-32	7.9
5TH	7,959	4.6	1,081	6.0	6,232	4.3	59	4.8	587	7.3
LA,E	579	5.1	44	4.1	494	4.9	1	-	40	9.2
LA,M LA,W	69 374	3.0	3 105	27.5	62 245	3.0 5.0	8		4	7.5
MS,N	242	6.0	26	4.1	196	6.0	-	-	20	7.6
MS,S	331	5.8	51	9.2	254	5.7	- 1	-	26	6.2
TX, N	899	5.1	96	8.6	704	4.6	5	-	94	§.3
TX,E TX,S	361 3,060	5.7 4.1	60 512	7.7 5.0	257 2,368	5.2 4.0	1 20	4.8	43	7.3
тХ, Н	2,044	4.4	184	4.5	1,652	4.1	24	4.5	180	7.2 6.5
6TH	4,458	6.0	469	7.2	3,481	5.7	53	4.6	455	8.5
KY,E	296	5.5	49	8.4	185	5.3	-	27	62	6.4
KY,W	487 908	4.5	86	6.5	350 676	4.5 5.7	19 23	3.1 4.6	32 125	9.6 9.2
MI,E MI,W	203	5.8	19	7.5 7.9	167	5.3	23	4.0	125	6.9
OH,N OH,S	634	6.2 5.8 6.7	33	7.5	546	6.4	1	-	54	8.6
OH,S	590	6.4	46	6.9	493	6.2	Ĩ	_	50	7.0
TN.E	510	5.4	38	5.8	420	5.3	- 1 1 7 1	-	45	6.5
TN,M TN,W	357 473	5.6	66 48	5.2 10.1	272 372	5.5		- '	18 52	6.4 9.9
				1411			*		52	217
			1		{					
			1 .		1		I			

TABLE D-6 DEFENDANTS U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

	DURING	THE THELV	E MONTH P	ERIOD END	ED JUNE 3	0, 1991 (ALL OFFEN	SES REPOR	TED)	
CIRCUIT	тот	AL	DISM	ISSED	PLEA OF	GUILTY	COURT	TRIAL	JURY	TRIAL
	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MDS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MDS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MOS.)	NUMBER	MEDIAN* (MDS.)
7TH	2,626	5.5	231	3.0	2,047	5.3	33	6.6	315	9.3
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E	1,025 289 252 247 329 348	6.2 6.4 5.3 6.2 2.6 5.7	40 40 20 30 64 24	9.0 3.9 3.4 6.6 .1 3.0	846 206 212 189 242 249	5.8 6.2 5.3 5.5 3.4 5.3	16 1 - 5 10	10.7 - - 6.2	123 43 19 28 18 65	10.1 9.1 6.7 10.1 6.0 9.0
WI,W 8TH	136 3.378	4.3	1 3 717	1.8	103 2,215	4.3 5.2	1 24	 4.3	19 422	5.4 6.7
AR,E AR,W IA,N IA,S MN MG,E NG,W ND SD	362 152 118 187 454 353 1,044 287 179 242	5.3 4.0 7.4 5.1 6.1 3.4 9.5 4.5 5.0	63 15 8 24 46 466 466 18 13 18	4.5 3.5 3.3 5.2 4.6 2.2 9.0 3.3 3.6	254 99 93 126 263 490 232 147 185	5.3 3.9 7.0 4.7 4.8 5.5 5.1 9.0 4.5 5.2	3 5 1 - 2 1 7 7 3		42 33 16 37 80 43 43 81 35 19 36	5.5 4.8 11.8 6.4 5.9 9.2 9.0 15.1 4.5 5.2
9TH	11,098	4.6	2,125	4.7	8,021	4.3	139	5.4	813	8.0
AK AZ CA,N CA,C CA,S HI MT NY DR WA,E WA,W GUAM NMI	194 1,336 821 760 1,275 1,772 1,569 104 323 421 572 473 1,357 1,357 114 7	4.7 5.3 5.2 5.7 7 5.8 4.7 5.8 4.6 4.7 5.8 4.6 4.7 -	43 214 140 145 63 214 628 8 76 70 53 145 287 38 1	4.0 10.2 9.1 7.7 3.3 2.6 6.6 3.9 8.5 6.9 2.5 8.7 .8 8.7	129 1,021 597 560 948 1,467 887 69 214 294 481 287 993 68 68 6	4.8 5.6 4.7 4.7 1.4 5.0 5.0 3.8 1.2 2.2	1 17 16 4 22 14 18 6 2 2 9 9 9 9 9 17 2 -	6.9 6.3 7.6 7.4 2.2 - - - 2.1 -	21 84 68 51 242 242 21 31 31 35 55 29 32 60 6 -	6.4 8.1 9.4 12.4 7.9 8.2 8.0 6.3 9.9 10.6 5.5 5.8 -
10TH	2,824	4.4	403	4.0	2,099	4.3	43	3.4	279	6.2
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	463 404 649 171 101 500 389 147	3.9 4.2 5.4 5.0 3.5 2.6 5.0 4.9	55 78 118 19 15 47 60 11	3.0 2.7 4.6 3.3 2.4 6.4 4.0 2.8	376 273 455 129 53 416 275 122	3.7 4.4 5.2 4.9 2.7 2.3 4.8 4.8	4 20 3 - 1 4 9 2	2.0	28 33 73 23 32 33 45 12	7.0 6.5 7.9 5.5 5.3 4.0 8.1 6.8
11TH	7,788	4.5	605	5.3	5,424	4.3	604	.1	1,155	7.5
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, M GA, S	366 306 385 400 1,358 1,946 556 1,986 485	2.0 4.5 5.7 5.1 6.1 7.4 5.8 .1 3.1	46 38 35 36 107 148 82 50 63	2.1 2.0 3.4 5.0 8.1 13.0 5.5 6.2 2.9	276 220 243 255 989 1,336 401 1,345 359	1.9 4.5 5.5 4.7 5.6 5.2 .1 2.2	3 5 - 2 15 17 7 549 6	- - - - - - - - - - - -	41 43 107 107 247 445 66 42 57	3.3 4.6 7.5 5.7 8.0 8.9 9.4 9.2 5.8

MEDIAN TIME INTERVALS FROM FILING TO DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY DISTRICT During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991 (All Offenses Reported)

* MEDIANS COMPUTED ONLY WHERE THERE ARE 10 OR MORE DEFENDANTS.

THE TOTAL REFLECTS THE HIGH NUMBER OF TRIALS FOR DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH MINOR DRUG POSSESSION, PETTY THEFT, DUI, AND OTHER TRAFFIC OFFENSES IN THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA AND THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA.

NOTE: DEFENDANTS CHARGED IN TWO OR MORE CASES THAT WERE TERMINATED DURING THIS YEAR ARE REPORTED ONLY ONCE; THEREFORE, TOTAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF ON THIS TABLE ARE LESS THAN TOTAL DEFENDANTS TERMINATED ON TABLES D AND D-1.

			NOT COM	VICTED			CONVIC	TED AND SEN	TENCED	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Defen- Dants	TOTAL	DIS- MISSED	ACQU E COURT	ITTED BY JURY	TOTAL	PLEA DF GUILTY	NOLO CON- Tendere		ICTED 3Y JURY
TOTAL	56,747	9,979	8,372	603	1,004	46,768	40,723	490	699	4,856
DC	900	{	215	2	62	621	433		6	182
		279					f			
1ST	1,494	228	169	3	56	1,266	1,083	1	17	165
ME MA	167 504	17 76	12 56	2	5 18	150 428	129 378	-	2	21 48
NH	124	22	20	-	2	102	84	1	-	17
RI PR	152 547	13 100	4	1	9 22	139	103 389	-	1 14	35 44
2ND	3,939	484	423	-	55	3,455	3,140	2	11	302
CT NY,N	379 576	33 181	19 178	_	14 3	346 395	324 365	-	1	21 30
NY, E	1,275	85	77	6	2	1,190	1,079	2	2	107
NY,S NY,H	1,175 391	126 39	100 33	-	26 6	1,049	932 335	-	7	110 16
VT	143	20	16		4	123	105	, –	-	18
3RD	2,763	456	379	8	69	2,307	2,007	5	13	282
DE	158	24	22	-	2	134	117	-	<u> </u>	17
NJ	857	156	140	÷	16	701	640	-	4 3	57 88
PA,E PA,M	763 347	82 48	54 48	5	23	681 299	588 270	2	. 1	28
PA, M	337	63	51	2	10	274	211	3	3	57
VI	301	83	64	1	18	218	181	-	2	35
4TH	7,520	1,776	1,555	97	124	5,744	5,011	12	184	537
MD	785	159	138 377	3 7	18 17	626 680	527 634	2	5 3	92 43
NC,E NC,M	1,081 450	401 52	43	<u>'</u>		398	322		-	76
NC,W	564	72	61	1	10	492	457	4	2	29
SC VA,E	741 2,801	187 781	174 658	1 84	12	2,020	487	1 3	1 167	65 139
VA, W	423	42	33	1	8	381	330	2	3	46
WV, N	229	30	21	-	9	199	179	-	3	17 30
WV,S	446	52	50	-	2	394	364			
5TH	7,959	1,171	1,081	22	68	6,788	6,210	22	37	519
LA,E LA,M	579 69	58	44	1	13 1	521 65	494 62	-	-	27 3
LA, W	374	114	105	5	4	260	245		3	12
MS, N	242 331	30 54	26 51	-	- 4 3	212 277	196 253	- 1		16
MS,S TX,N	899	113	96	2	15 3	786	703	1	3	23 79
TX,E	361	63	60	-		298	257		1	40
TX,S TX,W	3,060 2,044	527 208	512 184	3 11	12 13	2,533 1,836	2,358 1,642	10 10	17 13	148 171
6TH	4,458	581	469	20	92	3,877	3,474	7	33	363
KY,E	296	68	49	-	19	228	185	-	-	43
KY, H	487	108	86	13	9	379	350	-	6	23 108
MI,E MI,W	908 203	105 21	84 19	4	17 2	803 182	675 167	1 -	19	15
OH,N	634	43	33	· -	10	591	540	6	1 -	44
OH,S TN,E	590 510	54 51	46 38	- 2	8	536 459	493 420	-	1 5	42 34
TN.M	357	70	66	1	3	287	272		-	34 15
TN,W	473	61	48	· -	13	412	372		1	39

TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Disposition of all defendants by district during the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

			NOT CON	VICTED			CONVICT	ED AND SEN	TENCED	
CIRCUIT AND	TOTAL Defen-		DIS-	ACQUIT			PLEA OF	NOLO Con-	CONVI	
DISTRICT	DANTS	TOTAL	MISSED	COURT	JURY	TOTAL	GUILTY	TENDERE	COURT	JURY
7TH	2,626	280	231	10	39	2,346	2,044	3	23	276
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N WI,S WI,W	1,025 289 252 247 329 348 136	64 43 23 33 73 28 16 799	40 40 20 30 64 24 13 717	4 5 - 1 13	20 3 3 4 4 2 69	961 246 229 214 256 320 120 2,579	845 206 212 188 242 249 102 2,160	1 - 1 - 1 55	12 1 - 10 - 11	103 40 16 25 14 61 17 353
8TH AR,E IA,N IA,N MO,E MO,E ND SD 9TH	3,378 362 152 118 187 454 353 1,044 287 179 242 11,098	733 78 25 10 26 60 47 478 25 18 32 2,271	63 15 8 24 46 46 466 18 13 18 2,125	2 1 - - - 4 2 - - 4 2 - - 4 3	13 9 2 12 1 1 8 5 5 12 103	2,579 284 127 108 161 394 306 566 262 161 210 8,827	2,180 253 99 93 126 326 262 437 232 147 185 7,999	1 - - 53 - - 22	11 1 4 1 - - 1 3 - - 1 96	29 24 14 35 68 42 73 30 14 24 710
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C HI NT NY UR HA,E HA,H GUAM NMI	194 1,336 821 760 1,275 1,772 1,569 104 323 421 572 473 1,357 114 7	47 238 154 149 85 225 639 16 83 76 56 155 307 40 1	43 214 140 63 214 628 76 70 53 145 287 38 1	- 9 4 1 2 2 6 3 - 1 2 3 9 1 -	4 15 10 3 20 9 5 5 7 5 1 7 11 1 1	147 1,098 667 611 1,190 1,547 930 88 240 345 516 318 1,050 74 6	129 1,016 594 558 945 1,463 887 69 214 294 478 287 991 68 6	- 5 3 2 3 4 - - - 3 - 2 - -	1 8 12 3 20 12 12 12 3 2 1 7 6 8 1 -	17 69 58 48 222 68 31 16 24 50 28 25 49 5 -
10TH	2,824	462	403	16	43	2,362	2,083	16	27	236
CD KS OK,N OK,E OK,E UT WY	463 404 649 171 101 500 389 147	62 96 131 20 17 50 71 15	55 78 118 19 15 47 60 11	1 9 - 1 4 1	6 9 13 1 2 2 7 3	401 308 518 151 84 450 318 132	375 266 455 129 53 413 271 121	1 7 - 3 4 1	3 11 3 - 1 3 5 1	22 24 60 22 30 31 38 9
11TH	7,788	1,192	605	363	224	6,596	5,079	345	241	931
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,S	366 306 385 400 1,358 1,946 556 1,986 485	53 58 49 77 158 236 91 400 70	46 38 35 107 148 82 50 63	- 2 4 8 2 344 1	7 18 14 39 47 80 7 6 6	313 248 336 323 1,200 1,710 465 1,586 415	274 220 242 255 988 1,336 399 1,047 318	2 - 1 - 298 41	3 3 - 11 9 5 205 5	34 25 93 68 200 365 59 36 51

TABLE D-7 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DISPOSITION OF ALL DEFENDANTS BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

NOTE: DEFENDANTS CHARGED IN TWO OR MORE CASES THAT WERE TERMINATED DURING THIS YEAR ARE REPORTED ONLY ONCE; THEREFORE, TOTAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF ON THIS TABLE ARE LESS THAN TOTAL DEFENDANTS TERMINATED ON TABLES D AND D-1.

·	1				GENERAL				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	WEAPONS ANI FIRI ARMS
TOTAL	37,968	163	1,165	346	114	2,037	1,074	5,746	2,266
DC	575	2	5	1	1	17	3	38	25
1ST	847	5	12	8	1	29	25	176	75
ME MA	122 352	- 2	2 10	- 5	· _	4 15	4 10	21 88	12 39
NH	55	· ·	-	1		2	2	13	12
RI PR	96 222	- 3	-	- 3	- 1	6 2	4 5	19 35	5
2ND	4,453	11	70	27	1	239	106	827	166
ст	244	1	10	1		11	6	71	22
NY,N	326	-	6	5	7	15	9	49	16
NY,E	1,666	5	18 28	12 8	1	94 99	34 46	293 323	51 41
NY,S	1,790 275	2	28	-	-	15	40	62	. 14
VT	152	, I	1	1	-	5	5	29	22
зRD	1,823	23	31	23	32	141	93	397	85
DE	51	- -	3	-	-	2	3	7	4
NJ	680	-	8	4	3	79 17	42 6	204	11 42
PA,E PA,M	458 158	1 1	6 4	1	1	2	4	88 24	16
PA, W	164	-	2	1	-	5	25	52	5
VI	312	21	8	17	27	36	13	22	-7
4TH	3,361	10	112	34	8	279	69	418	305
MD	475	4	23	5	7	25	15	80	34
NC,E NC,M	243 172	1	16	2	6	41 5	3	25 26	16 12
NC, W	281	1	25 23 17	8	-	ź	ž	43	31
sc 1	488	1	17	1	1	48	19	83	63
VA,E]	1,126	3	1	13	-	139	11	103	68
VA,W	226 142	-	4 2	1	1	9 1	5 1	20 21	50 16
WV,S	208	-	1	-	. +	4	4	17	15
5TH	5,439	7	55	32	5	243	99	672	282
LA, E	320	· _	5	. 1	1	15 1	9 2	75 11	15 2
LA,M	42 172	-	2	1		27	6	47	ģ
MS, N	59	. –	27	1	-	2	3	11	9 10 7
MS, S	165	· -		3	1	15	8	24	
TX,N	607 167		17 7	6	2	37 8	21 1	159 40	49 25
TX, E TX, S	2.693	5	8 7	11		31		190	96
тх, м	2,693 1,214	5 2		11 9	1	107	27 22	115	69
6TH	2,674	5	107	25	10	236	106	477	290
KY,E	152		5 8 17 2 17 14 6 29 9	1 7 5 5 1 1 2 2 1	1	8 80	6 7	23	12 14 106 16 29 39 16 12
KY,W	275 766	22	8 17	5	3	41	31	42 120	106
MI,W	134	-	2	5	4 3 1 -	11	31 9	27	16
MI,E MI,W OH,N	134 304 319	-	17	1	-	10	14	68	46
	319 190	1	14	1	1	39 14	10 10	52 31	29
OH, S TN, E TN, M	209	· · ·	29	2	-	21 12	10	42	16
TN,W	325	_	- - á	ī	-	12	- 9	62	12

TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS All Criminal cases pending on june 30, 1991 by Major Offense and District

		GEI	NERAL OFFE	NSESCONTI	NUED		ia.e.a		SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
	,	DRUG LAW								·····	· ·	
FORGERY AND Counter- Feiting	MARI- HUANA	NAR- COTICS	CONTROL- Led Sub- Stances	OTHER DRUG RELATED STATUTES	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI- Gration Laws	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL Laws*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
1,023	3,888	9,162	948		2,239	2,203	1,570	1,796	175	126	1,705	TOTAL
21	3	404	12		-	2	21	3	6		11	DC
16	49	276	17	7	8	20	46	42	3	2	30	1ST
1 7 2 1 5	19 15 5 4 6	33 93 8 37 105	5 6 1 4 1	7	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1 7 1 7 4	7 25 4 3 7	5 6 2 1 28	2 1 -	1 - - 1	5 13 2 2 8	ME MA NH RI PR
135	85	1,813	85	20	53	182	210	120	6	43	254	2ND
5 11 41 75 3 -	8 16 23 19 9 10	66 78 820 729 93 27	2 8 28 41 5 1	- 11 7 2	21 1 31 -	11 9 16 126 4 16	11 14 67 98 15 5	8 38 22 23 11 18	- - 5 1 -	- 1 13 23 4 2	11 30 111 69 23 10	CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT
64	49	489	48	14	7	78	135	28	4	6	76	3RD
1 27 11 2 6 17	5 14 13 6 3 8	16 162 176 42 44 49	1 20 18 2 3 4	3 9 2 -	- - - 7	2 6 36 3 29	5 55 35 7 8 25	- 7 3 - 18	1 2 - - 1	- 1 2 1 2	2 37 21 8 5 3	DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI
128	225	810	55	27	479	85	179	17	16	11	94	4TH
6 13 10 8 37 42 3 6 3	19 18 8 23 40 68 23 10 16	136 64 61 74 83 150 81 50 111	13 2 8 5 7 7 11	7 2 9 2 3 1 1 2	59 8 - 6 10 395 1 - -	5 10 5 18 31 3 10 3	13 15 4 17 38 56 6 18 12	8 	2 2 1 3 3 1 1	8 1 1 1 	13 9 3 10 15 27 7 2 8	ND NC,E NC,M NC,W SC VA,E VA,W WV,N WV,S
92	1,284	759	200	27	58	627	150	607	32	2	206	5TH
14 4 2 8 15 7 21 21	29 8 20 4 15 15 10 920 263	75 9 10 6 36 80 23 286 234	25 5 8 48 11 47 56	1 - 5 3 2 12 3	- 1 1 1 1 1 7 46	5 1 3 5 4 73 15 425 96	13 6 11 4 23 8 51 28	26 - 4 26 2 44 444 101	- 2 - 3 1 2 19 5	1	10 2 19 8 10 30 5 93 29	LA, E LA, H LA, H MS, N TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H
93	176	648	53	15	33	75	123	41	11	13	137	6TH
7 6 30 5 17 8 6 10 4	26 23 47 17 7 16 11 11 18	27 20 240 13 67 96 40 17 128	2 5 22 4 2 5 3 - 10	2 - 3 2 1 5 2 -	- - - - 15 2	7 6 17 4 2 7 21	12 20 17 7 18 14 10 12 13	- 21 3 - 2 2 12	3 - - 4 3 1	- 8 3 1 - 1	13 17 31 10 23 14 7 12 10	KY,# KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,W

TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ALL CRIMINAL CASES PENDING ON JUNE 30, 1991 BY MAJOR OFFENSE AND DISTRICT

TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ALL CRIMINAL CASES PENDING ON JUNE 30, 1991 BY MAJOR OFFENSE AND DISTRICT

					GENERAL	OFFENSES			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	WEÁPON An Fir Arm
7TH	1,697	9	49	15	4	119	65	363	111
IL,N	872	1	25	7	1	72	44	186	44
IL,C	199	1	4	2	-	8	3	33	29
IL,S IN,N	123 123	2	3	3	2	10 11	3 5	15 28	7 10
IN, S	123	1	11	1	1		6	19	7
WI,E	202	2	5	ĩ	-	8	2	74	11
WI,W	57	1	1	1	-	3	2	8	. 3
8TH	1,340	12	45	29	7	52	52	278	90
AR,E	136	-	7	-	-	3	2	27	12
AR, M	43	-	1	-	-	3	2	8	1
IA, N	89 62		1 3	-	_	1 2	4 1	22 9	6
IA,S MN	201	_	19	4	-	4	9	49	10
MO,E	175	1	5	2	·	9	7	43	23
MO,W	273	-		1	2	8	11	38	9
NE	152	-	1	3	2	3	7	38	7
ND SD	71 138	2 9	2	6 13	2 1	3 16	1 8	17 27	8 13
9TH	9,686	61	520	94	33	452	296	1,201	377
ΑΚ	95	1	3	-	-	24	1	14	2
AZ	1,078	23	31	25	6	30	23	.77	50
CA, N	857	5 2	51 29	7 3	5	62 38	90 37	151 103	20 16
CA,E CA,C	498 2,123	9	254	20	5	148	91	432	99
CA, S	1,969	4	51	16	1	31	13	104	42
HI	1,384	2	6	3	1	65	4	35	42
ID	56	-	2	. =	-	1	2	12	7
MT	145	- 5 2	36		3 2	3 16	4 7	35 106	18 20
NV OR	384 463	1	38	· 7 5 2	1	18	5	39	52
WA,E	204	i	7	-	-	3	ź	22	29
WA, W	362	2	12	5		11	14	62	14
GUAM	61	3	+	-	5	2	2	7	· 1
NMI	7	1	-	1	-	-	1	2	-
10TH	1,482	10	36	29	-	64	34	307	101
CD KS	236	1 -	7 8	3 2	-	5	6	64 49	24 11
NM	176 551	8	7	18	_	10	11	54	28
OK,N	122	ĭ	.4	-	-	6	2	50	6
OK.E	27		-	-	-	1	1	9	
OK,W	129	-	1 6		-	15	2	27	11
UT	179 62	-	3	5 1	-	16 2	3	38 16	11 7
11TH	4,589	8	123	29	12	166	126	592	359
AL,N	90	-	3	2 1		9	4	12	12 10 22 10 50 208 23 18
AL,M AL,S	129 181	1	4	1	2	11	5	18 34	10
FL.N.	219	_	4	2	_	3 12	- 5	13	10
FL,N FL,M	691	-	35	4	- - 3 4	20	16	13 137	50
FL,S	2,574 382	- 2 3	43	12	4	48	63	268	208
FL,S GA,N GA,M	382	3	18	3	1	24	15	68	23
GA,M GA,S	188 135	2	1 4 35 43 18 9 6	12 3 2 3	2	15 24	5 9 5 16 63 15 9 -	20 22	18
37,3111	192		v			67		66	•
		a de la composición d							

266

		GEI	IERAL OFFEN	ISESCONTIN	IUED	<u></u>			SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	DRUG LAWS		OTHER DRUG Related Statutes	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI GRATION LAWS	AGRI- CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAHS*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
61	106	473	32	13	28	35	103	28	3	6	74	7тн
46 3 1 2 5 3 1 29	16 27 24 6 17 9 7	287 43 29 25 15 60 14 336	7 10 2 4 2 5 2 64	4 2 6 1 - - -	5 11 4 1 6 - 1 81	13 5 2 - 6 7 2 29	43 5 13 19 9 8 6 7	21 4 - 1 1 1 16	- - 2 1 - 10	6 	44 9 - 6 5 4 43	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W 8TH
1 3 1 5 3 9 - 2 4	16 - 7 3 20 7 9 8 12 8	41 1 32 20 52 51 78 48 1 12	11 3 6 10 6 5 14 5 1 3	10 2 1 4 - - 3 - - -	6 - 1 - 74 - -	4 1 2 8 2 2 4 3 2	2 4 3 1 9 11 5 10 4 18	- 1 1 2 - 1 - 8 3 -	7 1 - - 1 1		43 8 2 3 5 5 5 5 4 7 4 3	81H AR,E IA,N IA,N IA,S MD,E ND,E ND SD
243	1,169	1,503	239	42	1,375	572	298	715	63	38	395	9TH
1 19 17 13 129 23 2 - 1 24 8 3 3 - -	5 400 50 37 89 418 22 4 16 14 63 28 21 1 1	6 103 150 44 423 38 8 16 54 116 44 58 2 -	3 27 13 42 26 46 19 4 7 30 2 7 4	- 10 7 2 4 9 - 5 1 3 1 -	22 - 77 39 6 2 1,154 - 6 - 65 - - 65	63 20 12 52 338 1 2 30 20 6 26 26 2	- 62 40 24 70 28 10 5 9 19 9 4 10 7 1	80 22 29 119 335 4 4 6 40 29 22 22 22	8 6 2 7 5 2 2 2 8 3 4 6 8 	- 11 17 4 - 2 1 2 -	5 43 57 16 107 79 10 1 7 18 16 14 19 3 3	AK CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, C CA, C CA, S HI HI HI NY NY OR HA, E HA, M GUAM
29	237	197	59	7	55	95	74	79	7		62	10ŤH
6 2 6 4 - 4 6 1	18 13 185 4 1 3 11 2	43 22 66 17 2 16 26 5	10 5 19 6 1 2 11 5	3 - 2 1 1 -	7 3 - 32 8 2	9 34 41 7 1 3 -	9 3 29 4 5 6 11 7	9 4 53 - 1 - 12 -	2 - 1 1 1 1 - 1		9 5 12 8 - 5 15 8	CD KS NM OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY
112	414	1,453	84	40	62	403	164	100	14	5	323	11TH
5 3 1 5 21 49 22 4 2 2 4 2	7 12 29 31 75 217 18 16 9	16 10 47 48 216 947 104 44 21	1 2 6 15 30 12 7 5	1 1 3 4 13 15 2 - 1	2 21 - 1 3 1 17 17	5 16 3 61 27 263 19 5 4	4 8 11 9 25 71 18 10 8	- 1 - 4 85 10 - -	- 1 1 12 - -	1 - - 2 2 - -	6 4 10 8 24 234 22 8 7	AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S

TABLE D-8 CASES. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ALL CRIMINAL CASES PENDING ON JUNE 30, 1991 BY MAJOR OFFENSE AND DISTRICT

* DBSTRUCTING MAIL, MAILING NON-MAILABLE MATERIAL AND OTHER POSTAL REGULATIONS.

TABLE D-10. DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS STATUS OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES PENDING AS OF JUNE 30, 1991 BY DISTRICT

		NONT	RIABLE	TRI	ED	TRIABLE
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Pending	FUGITIVE	OTHER	AWAITING SENTENCE	FUGITIVE AFTER TRIAL	AWAITING TRIAL
TOTAL	56,770	17,872	829	9,365	853	27,851
DC	771	119	_	102	18	532
1ST	1,482	305	10	275	22	870
ME	139	36	1	25	2	75
MA	684	169	5	103	6	401
NH	92	1 14	5 2	- 39	-	37
RI	130	26	<u> </u>	33	3	68
PR	437	60	2	75	11	289
2ND	7,246	2,249	187	1,353	129	3,328
ст	403	148	-	39	2	214
NY, N	524	162	17	104	7	234
NY, E	2,841	864	127	874	36	940
NY,S	2,854	971	40	182	67	1,594
NY.W	427	56	1	94	8	268
VT	197	48	2	60	9	78
3RD	2,936	635	48	962	28	1,263
DE	64	11	1	14	· · _	38
NJ	1,021	202	7	352	13	447
PA, E	1,049	174	3	492	1	379
PA, M	228	68	1	37	_	122
PA, W	232	\$2	10	33	1	156
VI	342	148	26	34	13	121
4TH	4,858	1,336	102	1,141	53	2,226
MD	673	188	10	165	16	294
NC, E	373	95	2	77	1	198
NC, M	275	80	1	42	6	146
NC, W	588	99	24	161	1	303
SC	828	165	1	276	. 3	383
VA, E	1,315	597	26	209	21	462
YA, W	340	43	. 1	94	3	199
WV,N	192	44	8	61	1	78
WV,S	274	25	29	56	1	163
5TH	7,616	2,831	32	847	121	3,785
LA, E	452	148	9 1	94	3	198
LA, M	51	15	1	15	-1	19
LA, W	270	59	-	58	1	152
MS,N	82	-	_	26	-	56
MS,S	235	50	7	39	1	138
<u>TX</u> ,N	946	297	4	130	13	502
TX,E TX,S	227	50	2	27	2	146
TX,S	3,608 1,745	1,781 431	4 5	265 193	99 1	1,459 1,115
6TH	4,146	714	36	841	30	2,525
KY, E	281	19	5	58	2	197
KY, H	395	68		55	6	266
MI,E	1,194	270	- 5 1	216	6	697
MI,W	221	46	1	14	3	157
OH,N	480	74	9 4	93	2	302
QH,S	467	73	4	193	2	195
TN.E	247	41	4	69	6 3 2 1 3 5	132
TN,M	281	39	2	23	3	214
TN, H	580	84	6	120	5	365
		1				

TABLE D-10. DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS STATUS OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES PENDING AS OF JUNE 30, 1991 BY DISTRICT

	NONTRIABLE		TRIED		TRIABLE
CIRCUIT AND TOTAL DISTRICT PENDING	FUGITIVE	OTHER	AWAITING SENTENCE	FUGITIVE AFTER TRIAL	AWAITING TRIAL
2,653	719	36	680	16	1,202
1,404 293 209 185 189 301 72	518 33 43 31 32 55 7	10 1 15 - 3 6	312 98 49 77 24 88 32	5 - 2 3 1 4	559 161 100 74 129 148 31
1,925	296	35	391	12	1,191
193 48 132 98 323 283 352 236 94 166	15 6 4 27 36 32 83 39 23 31	24 - - 1 3 - 7 - 7	22 10 31 64 48 110 41 6 20	1 - - 2 1 6 2 - -	131 32 97 32 220 199 153 154 58 115
13,159	4,730	270	1,635	275	6,249
118 1,645 1,256 689 2,839 2,829 1,451 71 219 615 635 204 513 67 8	7 523 357 132 672 1,455 948 6 48 178 168 50 171 13 2	2 1 7 60 101 56 4 3 5 5 - 3 16 -	14 401 69 105 253 343 46 20 39 75 96 49 106 14 5	1 42 22 6 98 83 5 1 1 5 4 - 5 2 -	94 678 801 439 1,756 847 396 40 128 352 362 105 228 228 22 1
	536	22	336	58	1,091
398 230 720 192 43 155 231 74	154 77 210 39 6 21 29 -	- 2 16 - 1 3 -	56 49 152 24 6 14 24 11	5 7 26 10 - 8 1 1	183 95 316 119 31 111 174 62
7,933	3,402	51	802	91	3,587
123 159 305 402 1,348 4,449 611 309 227	32 37 148 186 421 2,301 180 42 55	2 2 5 7 24 4 - 4 3	2 35 31 41 263 272 90 26 42	1 - 3 7 11 57 8 1 3	86 85 118 161 629 1,815 333 236 124
	PENDING 2,653 1,404 293 209 185 189 301 72 1,925 193 48 132 98 323 236 94 166 13,159 118 1,645 1,256 689 2,839 2,829 1,451 71 219 615 635 204 513 67 8 2,043 398 230 720 192 43 155 231 74 7,933 123 159 305 402 1,348 4,449 61	TOTAL PENDING FUGITIVE 2,653 719 1,404 518 293 33 209 43 185 31 189 32 301 55 72 7 1,925 296 193 15 48 6 132 4 98 27 323 36 283 322 352 83 236 39 94 23 166 31 13,159 4,730 118 7 1,645 523 1,256 357 689 132 2,839 672 2,829 1,455 1,451 948 71 6 219 48 615 178 635 168 204 50 5	TOTAL PENDING FUGITIVE OTHER 2,653 719 36 1,404 518 10 293 33 1 209 43 15 185 31 - 189 32 3 301 55 6 72 7 1 1,925 296 35 193 15 24 48 6 - 132 4 - 98 27 - 236 39 - 236 39 - 236 39 - 236 37 7 166 31 - 13,159 4,730 270 118 7 2 1,645 523 1 1,256 357 7 2,839 672 60 2,839 672 60 <td< td=""><td>TUTAL PENDING FUGITIVE DTHER AMAITING SENTENCE 2,653 719 36 680 1,404 518 10 312 293 33 1 98 209 43 15 49 189 32 3 24 301 55 6 88 72 7 1 32 1,925 296 35 391 193 15 24 22 48 6 - 10 323 36 1 64 283 32 3 48 352 83 - 110 236 39 - 41 94 23 7 69 266 357 7 69 266 357 7 69 266 357 7 69 2,639 672 60 253 <tr< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></tr<></td></td<>	TUTAL PENDING FUGITIVE DTHER AMAITING SENTENCE 2,653 719 36 680 1,404 518 10 312 293 33 1 98 209 43 15 49 189 32 3 24 301 55 6 88 72 7 1 32 1,925 296 35 391 193 15 24 22 48 6 - 10 323 36 1 64 283 32 3 48 352 83 - 110 236 39 - 41 94 23 7 69 266 357 7 69 266 357 7 69 266 357 7 69 2,639 672 60 253 <tr< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></tr<>	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

269

TABLE D-11 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DEFENDANTS TERMINATED IN CASES SUBJECT TO THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	DEFENDA	ITS IN INTER	RVAL 1	DEFENDANTS IN INTERVAL 2				
		TO INDICT			NT OR APPEA . 70 Days of			
CIRCUIT	TOTAL		PERCENT	TOTAL		PERCENT		
DISTRICT	DEFENDANTS	NUMBER	OF TOTAL	DEFENDANTS	NUMBER	OF TOTAL		
TOTAL	15,746	14,886	94.5	54,772	50,928	93.0		
DC	583	561	96.2	922	831	90.1		
1ST	673	652	96.9	1,489	1,218	81.8		
ME	60	57	95.0	168	164	97.6		
MA	148	131	88.5	514	253	49.2		
NH	14	14	100.0	118	118	100.0		
RI	43 408	42 408	97.7 100.0	154	150	97.4		
PR				535	533	_99.6		
2ND	1,746	1,592	91.2	3,691	3,263	88.4		
ст	77	75	97.4	373	364	97.6		
NY, N	66	65	98.5	375	374	99.7		
NY,E	804	690	85.8	1,283	901	70.2		
NY, S	692	660 27	95.4	1,134	1,120	98.8		
NY,W VT	31 76	75	87.1 98.7	390 136	373 131	95.0 96.3		
3RD	783	755	96.4	2,668	2,588	97.0		
DE	33	32	97.0	156	151	96.8		
ŊJ	348	341	98.0	815	811	99.		
ΡΑ,Ε	137	130	94.9	764	759	99.3		
PA, M	10	10	100.0	324	324	100.0		
PA, W	30	30	100.0	312	302	96.		
VI	225	212	94.2	297	241	81.		
4TH	859	811	94.4	6,795	6,692	98.		
MD	70	68	97.1	807	789	97.1		
NC,E	33	30	90.9	982	980	99.8		
NC, M	83	82	98.8	455	449	98.		
NC,W	93	86	92.5	599	584	97.		
SC	142	139	97.9	691	682	98.		
VA,E	221	208	94.1	2,184	2,151	98.		
VÅ,W WV,N	95 27	81 27	85.3 100.0	421 234	408 232	96.9 99.		
WV.S	95	90	94.7	422	417	98.		
5TH	3,458	3,307	95.6	7,849	6,765	86.		
LA,E	170	162	95.3	555	552	99.		
LA, C	5	5	100.0	70	70	100.		
LA, W	4	4	100.0	281	239	85.		
MS, N				224	223	99.0		
MS, S	14	13	92.9	322	287	89.		
TX,N	240	236	98.3	861	816	94.1		
ΤΧ,Ε	40	39	97.5	372	365	98.		
TX,S TX,W	1,881 1,104	1,851 997	98.4 90.3	3,108 2,056	2,441 1,772	78. 86.		
6TH	722	647	89.6	4,374	4,204	96.		
KY,E	52	45	86.5	275	271	98.		
KY,W	45	44	97.8	433	429	99.		
MI,E	161	139	86.3	895	839	93.		
MI,W	15	15	100.0	198	193	97.		
OH,N	140	122	87.1	645	604	93.		
OH,S	65	57	87.7	595	589	99.		
TN,E	58	51	87.9	519	509	98.		
TN,M TN,W	32	30 144	93.8	330 484	330	100.		
	154	144	93.5	. 484)	440	90.		

	DEFENDAN	ITS IN INTER		DEFENDA	NTS IN INTE	RVAL 2
		TO INDICT			ENT OR APPE L 70 Days D	
CIRCUIT	TOTAL		DEDOENT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AND DISTRICT	DEFENDANTS	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	TOTAL DEFENDANTS	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
7TH	508	464	91.3	2,583	2,324	90.0
IL,N	327	286	87.5	1,030	815	79.1
IL,C IL,S	28	27 9	96.4 100.0	283 247	281	99.3
IN,N	25	23	92.0	242	215 238	87.0 98.3
IN, S	39	39	100.0	300	299	99.7
WI,E	79	79	100.0	352	352	100.0
WI,W	1	1	100.0	129	124	96.1
8TH	426	396	93.0	3,219	3,110	96.6
AR, E	22	21	95.5	322	321	99.7
AR, W	12	9	75.0	157	156	99.4
IA,N	16	15	93.8	117	104	88.9
IA,S MN	37 128	37 120	100.0	195	193	99.0
MO,E	82	80	93.8	431 348	423 345	98.1 99.1
MO, W	86	73	84.9	947	345 916	99.1
NE	2	1	50.0	285	242	84.9
ND	28	27	96.4	172	172	100.0
SD	13	13	100.0	245	238	97.1
9TH	3,849	3,676	95.5	10,632	9,687	91.1
AK	31	31	100.0	187	185	98.9
AZ	728	689	94.6	1,327	1,302	98.1
CA,N	277	217	78.3	849	500	58.9
CA,E	17	17	100.0	683	659	96.5
CA, C	709	692	97.6	1,280	1,059	82.7
CA,S HI	1,199 99	1,167	97.3	1,645	1,537	93.4
ID	65	99 65	100.0 100.0	1,505 101	1,505	100.0
MT	46	46	100.0	309	101 309	100.0
NV	124	119	96.0	396	392	100.0
OR	169	156	92.3	542	339	62.5
WA,E	155	155	100.0	415	413	99.5
WA, W	201	198	98.5	1,308	1,302	99.5
GUÁM	28	24	85.7	79	78	98.7
NMI	1	1	100.0	6	6	100.0
10TH	647	583	90.1	2,747	2,659	96.8
CO	153	112	73.2	463	431	93.1
KS	63	63	100.0	394	389	98.7
NM OK,N	340 12	325 11	95.6 91.7	629	596	94.8
OK,E	23	22	95.7	179 103	172	96.1
OK,W	53	50	94.3	499	103 499	100.0 100.0
UT	3			347	336	96.8
₩Υ				133	133	100.0
11TH	1,492	1,442	96.6	7,803	7,587	97.2
AL,N	36	36	100.0	350	349	99.7
AL, M	28	28	100.0	292	292	100.0
AL,S	34	33	97.1	383	366	95.6
FL,N	11	11	100.0	404	404	100.0
FL,M	197 976	171 963	86.8	1,339	1,318	98.4
FL,S GA,N	78	71	98.7 91.0	1,967	1,944	98.8
GA, M	90	87	96.7	572 2,028	458 1,988	80.1 98.0
GA, S	42	42	100.0	468	468	100.0
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

TABLE D-11 DEFENDANTS. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DEFENDANTS TERMINATED IN CASES SUBJECT TO THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

D-12 DEFENDANTS MEDIAN TIME FROM CONVICTION TO SENTENCING FOR CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS CONVICTED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

 			45	DANTS SENT Days or le Er convict	SS	DEFENDANTS More than After con	45 DAYS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL Convicted Defendants	MEDIAN	TOTAL	PERCENT OF Total	MEDIAN	TOTAL	MEDIAN
		72	12,582	26.8	1		
TOTAL	46,913					34,331	84
DC	627	70	63	10.0	1	564	73
1ST	1,268	78	270	21.3	1	998	88
ME MA	151 441	89 74	19 37	12.6 8.4	17	132 404	97 77
NH	103	92	13	12.6	29	90	94
RI	140	67	28	20.0	1	112	77
PR	433	78	173	40.0	1	260	95
2ND	3,434	96	429	12.5	1	3,005	106
ст	346	71	42	12.1	22	304	80
NY, N	365	75	91 172	24.9 14.4	1	274	79
NY, E	1,196 1,041	112 113	41	3.9	1 3	1,024	127 116
NY,S NY,H	362	72	58	16.0	16	304	79
VT	124	169	25	20.2	1	99	188
3RD	2,339	90	328	14.0	1	2,011	98
DE	136	71	16	11.8	1	120	73
NJ	700	99	57	8.1	1	643	105
PA,E	694	102	76	11.0	1	618	112
PA,M	307	89	34	11.1	23	273	92
PA, H	277	68	78	28.2	2	199	80
VI	225	74	67	29.8	5	158	96
4TH	5,637	68	1,886	33.5	1	3,751	87
MD	666	79	180	27.0	1	486	94
NC, E	699 421	1 79	375	53.6	1 33	324 412	98 79
NC,M NC,H	534	23	288	53.9	1	246	62
SC	570	143	76	13.3	î	494	159
VA, E	1,758	45	879	50.0	ī	879	69
VA, W	387	121	30	7.8	ī	357	124
WV, N	206	99	9	4.4	1	197	103
WV,S	396	65	40	10.1	1	356	68
5TH	6,819	70	1,516	22.2	1	5,303	75
LA, E	519	86	26	5.0	14	493	89
LA, M	68	70	11	16.2	41	57	75
LA, W	245	76	22	9.0	28	223	79
MS,N	218	101	8 47	3.7	1	210	105
MS,S	280	72		16.8		233	76
TX,N TX,E	776 308	69 74	97 95	12.5 30.8	26 1	679 213	71 89
TX, S	2,559	71	408	15.9	i	2,151	73
ТХ, Ж	1,846	55	802	43.4	î	1,044	70
6TH	3,934	83	590	15.0	1	3,344	91
KY,E	232	71	22	9.5	23	210	74
KY,W	386	61	152	39.4	. 1	234	80
MI,E	794	93	26	3.3	6	768	94
MI,W	183	71	18	9.8	30	165	73
OH, N	605	83	138	22.8	5 1	467	100
OH,S	552	112	23	4.2	1	529	115
TN, E	469 290	80 50	44	9.4 49.7	15 1	425	84
TN,M TN,W	423	85	23	5.4	14	400	77 89
1	-23		2.3	, <i></i>	7.4	+00	67
	1	1		1			
		1		1			
	1		1				
 	J	<u></u>	·	<u>.</u>			

D-12 DEFENDANTS MEDIAN TIME FROM CONVICTION TO SENTENCING FOR CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS CONVICTED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

			45 E	ANTS SENT AYS OR LE R Convict	SS	DEFENDANTS More that After co	N 45 DAYS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CONVICTED Defendants	MEDIAN	TOTAL	PERCENT DF TDTAL	MEDIAN	TOTAL	MEDTAN
7TH	2,355	79	474	20.1	1		MEDIAN
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1,881	93
IL,N IL,C	961 244	96 129	86 39	8.9 16.0	1	875 205	99 136
IL,S IN,N	229 216	62 81	79 41	34.5 19.0	1 1	150 175	76 95
IN, S	268	1	192	71.6	1	76	68
WI,E WI,W	321 116	74 59	32 5	10.0 4.3	1 40	289 111	78 60
8TH	2,606	79	467	17.9	1	2,139	88
AR, E	295	85	32	10.8	29	263	90
AR,₩ IA,N	132 106	68 94	23 24	17.4 22.6	34	109 82	71 106
IÅ,S	166	74	23	13.9	15	143	77
MN MD,E	395 311	74	32 80	8.1 25.7	25 1	363	76
MO,W	578	95	175	30.3	1	231 403	85 127
NE	257	99	17	6.6	1	240	102
ND SD	156 210	64 68	40 21	25.6 10.0	1	116 189	69 69
9TH	8,776	68	3,247	37.0	1	5,529	82
ΑΚ	155	68	38	24.5	1	117	75
AZ CA,N	1,079 688	67 78	464 185	43.0 26.9	1 1	615 503	79 97
CA, E	610	71	201	33.0	î	409	82
CA,C	1,193	79	121	10.1	35	1,072	82
CA,S HI	1,459 941	80 1	382 891	26.2 94.7	1	1,077	84 117
ID	87	83	9	10.3	1	78	86
МТ	250	69	41	16.4	3	209	73
NV OR	330 490	87	34 86	10.3 17.6	1 1	296 404	90 69
WA,E	322	61	124	38.5	1	198	71
WA, W	1,095		641	58.5	1	454	76
GUAM NMI	71	58 78	29 1	40.8 16.7	1	42	72 88
10TH	2,360	62	628	26.6	1	1,732	70
C0	404	50	143	35.4	38	261	58 76
KS NM	307 515	64 76	97 57	31.6 11.1	1 1	210	76
OK,N	152	68	21	13.8	18	458 131	79 71
OK,E	84	60	13	15.5	11	71	64
OK,W UT	455 318	58 63	130	28.6 15.4	1 1	325 269	62
₩Υ	125		118	94.4	1	7	65 72
11TH	6,758	63	2,684	39.7	1	4,074	81
AL, N	321	1	302	94.1	1	19	89
AL,M AL,S	248 343	83 75	28 24	11.3	23 1	220 319	89
FL,N	333	68	48	14.4	1	285	75 75
FL,M	1,223	79	64	5.2	17	1,159	82
FL,S GA,N	1,748 474	73	254 284	14.5 59.9	1	1,494 190	80 117
GA, M	1,647	1	1,464	88.9	1	183	117 90
GA, S	421	43	216	51.3	ī	205	70

	PERSONS				RECEIVED	FOR SUPERV	ISION				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	UNDER SUPER- VISION JULY 1 1990	TOTAL RECEIVED	TOTAL LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE PROBA- Tion	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	TERM OF SUPER- VISED RELEASE	PAROLE	MANDA- TDRY RELEASE	MILI- Tary Parole	SPECIAL Parole	RECEIVED BY TRANSFER
TOTAL	79.658	46.128	44.502	14.889	8,603	8,504	6,321	3,580	552	2,053	1.626
DC	1,436	977	951	164	130	95	445	79	2	36	26
1ST	1.952	995	959	397	26	189	148	112	19	68	36
ME MA NH RI PR	231 806 155 219 541	85 489 111 74 236	82 469 107 71 230	20 201 68 27 81	6 16 1 3 -	26 93 20 18 32	17 45 10 11 65	7 66 4 12 23	2 11 - 6	4 37 4 23	3 20 4 3 6
2ND	6.419	3.292	3,144	1.313	282	655	333	315	32	214	148
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	647 344 2,507 2,320 498 103	365 191 1,152 1,227 306 51	349 184 1,078 1,178 305 50	194 104 441 457 103 14	11 20 58 103 90 -	49 29 227 286 48 16	44 9 143 99 29 9	33 12 130 114 22 4	4 6 9 5 4 4	14 4 70 114 9 3	16 7 74 49 1 1
3RD	5,425	2.822	2.710	1.266	360	392	329	186	38	139	112
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	182 1,998 1,862 372 786 225	135 947 988 241 377 134	131 895 964 231 359 130	41 388 456 110 190 81	15 182 111 37 12 3	54 128 113 44 38 15	14 89 121 20 57 28	3 59 91 9 24	1 12 12 2 11	3 37 60 9 27 3	4 52 24 10 18 4
4TH	9,093	6.018	5.835	1.376	2.524	861	653	234	64	123	183
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,E VA,H HV,N WV,S	2,318 875 536 824 1,186 1,941 481 356 576	1,755 732 319 525 201 1,585 338 255 308	1,700 715 303 509 180 1,549 329 246 304	206 186 100 174 72 232 174 100 132	1,007 334 23 133 38 939 38 4 8	127 78 70 77 29 214 66 91 109	222 81 63 72 27 103 21 30 34	79 24 25 25 5 38 20 8 10	13 8 9 8 5 11 4 2 4	46 4 13 20 4 12 6 11 7	55 17 16 16 21 36 9 9 4

		······································		· · ·	REM	VED FROM	SUPERVISI	ON			PERSONS	
-	TOTAL Re- Moved	LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE PROBA- TION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	TERM OF SUPER- VISED RELEASE	PAROLE	MANDA- Tory Release	MILI- TARY PAROLE	SPECIAL PAROLE	REMOVED By Trans- Fer	UNDER SUPER- VISION JUNE 30 1991	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
	41,601	39,975	15.970	8.870	2,674	6,625	3,114	505	2.217	1,626	84.184	TOTAL
	1,012	984	200	154	58	451	83	3	35	28	1,401	DC
	946	906	464	36	44	160	73	27	102	40	2,001	1ST
	109 426 107 24 280	107 408 98 21 272	39 215 60 13 137	9 13 4 1 9	8 13 10 2 11	20 55 7 2 76	6 48 4 1 14	4 10 3 1 9	21 54 10 1 16	2 18 9 3 8	207 869 159 269 497	ME MA NH RI PR
	2,998	2.828	1,439	289	227	342	263	33	235	170	6,713	2ND
	285 150 1,198 1,054 261 50	272 139 1,149 966 255 47	178 73 602 432 133 21	7 29 109 98 45 1	12 7 85 99 16 8	31 10 143 117 34 7	25 8 120 96 10 4	4 2 7 10 8 2	15 10 83 114 9 4	13 11 49 88 6 3	727 385 2,461 2,493 543 104	CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT
	2.293	2.234	1,185	353	87	318	141	31	119	59	5,954	3RD
	90 767 773 228 340 95	88 748 752 218 333 95	32 365 435 114 165 74	28 163 97 43 21 1	12 25 22 17 10 1	12 102 84 20 84 16	3 50 53 13 22 -	- 7 9 4 11 -	1 36 52 7 20 3	2 19 21 10 7 -	227 2,178 2,077 385 823 264	DE PA,E PA,M PA,W YI
	5.363	5,132	1.450	2,388	283	625	197		134	231	9,748	4TH
	1,741 659 288 488 11 1,538 292 157 189	1,685 618 278 464 9 1,459 285 150 184	329 184 121 207 4 226 163 88 128	934 287 25 116 1 958 56 8 3	79 21 13 25 1 101 12 16 15	220 76 72 3 102 25 26 25	67 28 13 31 - 33 16 5 4	15 4 11 7 - 11 5 - 2	41 18 19 6 - 28 8 7 7	56 41 10 24 79 7 7 5	2,332 948 567 861 1,376 1,988 527 454 695	NC, E NC, M NC, W SC VA, E VA, W WV, N WV, S
	189	184	128	3	61		4	2		2	675	

TABLE E 1. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS RECEIVED FOR AND REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	PERSONS	1	1		RECEIVED	FOR SUPERV	ISION/				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	UNDER SUPER- VISION JULY 1 1990	TOTAL Received	TOTAL LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE Proba- Tion	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	TERM OF SUPER- VISED RELEASE	PAROLE	MANDA- Tory Release	MILI- TARY Parole	SPECIAL PAROLE	RECEIVED BY TRANSFER
5TH	9.944	6.292	6,086	1,895	1,080	1.631	805	314	60		206
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, M	1,015 258 656 314 540 1,638 579 3,010 1,934	622 113 457 290 326 996 342 1,872 1,274	608 108 447 283 314 950 318 1,816 1,242	213 47 131 112 154 297 99 561 281	128 20 208 91 35 52 42 223 281	144 56 38 63 249 83 640 344	74 15 27 31 34 183 57 210 174	16 8 14 5 16 81 21 82 71	5 1 5 3 17 5 10 11	28 3 9 71 11 90 80	14 5 10 7 12 46 24 56 32
6TH	7.108	4,366	4,241	1,504	680	878	593	344	71	171	125
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,H 7TH	437 621 1,582 362 1,169 1,123 640 657 517 5,437	277 465 865 222 571 674 517 410 365 2,546	268 452 850 209 559 657 498 391 357 2.462	78 110 228 72 262 253 186 140 175 1,173	7 194 138 25 27 92 111 76 10 223	83 56 173 44 100 130 94 108 90 423	63 42 138 39 74 91 79 36 31 310	21 27 115 19 58 51 11 16 26 198	3 17 4 15 18 7 4 3 39	13 23 41 6 23 22 10 11 22 96	9 13 15 13 12 17 19 19 8 8 84
IL,N IL,C IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W 8TH	2,855 541 280 558 518 430 255 4,093	1,101 272 188 292 297 258 138 2,408	1,065 261 185 286 284 249 132 2,323	590 109 73 142 120 99 40 830	99 16 30 10 35 30 3 3	131 52 36 58 45 60 41 567	115 41 23 40 46 26 19 370	90 19 13 21 16 26 13 228	12 9 3 6 5 2 2 2 32	28 15 7 9 17 6 14 122	36 11 3 6 13 9 6 85
AR, E AR, H IA, N MO, E MO, E MO, H NE SD	352 201 196 695 807 752 374 224 293	233 141 107 135 440 409 369 194 147 233	230 131 104 130 418 397 351 190 146 226	86 39 33 51 116 103 131 100 81 90	13 33 6 8 32 17 29 15 6 15	53 34 21 28 127 89 71 35 43 66	42 13 27 18 62 92 70 23 3 20	18 7 13 18 45 50 28 13 12 24	6 2 1 2 11 4 2 - 2	12 3 5 34 35 18 2 1 9	3 10 3 5 22 12 12 18 4 1 7

			·······		REMO	IVED FROM	SUPERVISI	I ON			PERSONS	
-	TOTAL Re- Moved	LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE PROBA- TION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	TERM OF SUPER- VISED RELEASE	PAROLE	MANDA- Tory Release	MILI- TARY PARDLE	SPECIAL Parole	REMOVED By Trans- Fer	UNDER SUPER- VISION JUNE 30 1991	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
	5.340	5.081	1.870	1.096	558	887	297	66	307	259	10.895	s th
	518 156 365 179 284 779 325 1,637 1,097	498 146 325 174 276 728 306 1,575 1,053	202 55 119 72 132 276 124 579 311	133 56 150 70 57 57 52 270 251	46 4 12 6 63 16 245 150	62 21 26 21 47 195 64 250 201	21 5 9 2 15 71 29 82 63	5 2 5 - 3 17 4 15 15	29 3 4 3 6 49 17 134 62	20 10 40 5 8 51 19 62 44	1,118 215 748 425 582 1,855 596 3,245 2,111	LA, E LA, M LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W
	3,676	3.564	1,442	726	214	633	310	64	175	112	7.798	6TH
	213 467 788 240 432 538 347 400 251	205 441 774 236 424 528 332 382 242	80 110 288 98 224 235 114 152 141	9 200 181 53 25 54 104 91 9	20 13 30 23 14 36 11 55 12	69 60 123 25 92 105 74 52 33	12 29 95 19 47 64 16 13 15	3 2 11 9 11 16 7 3 2	12 27 46 9 11 18 6 16 30	8 26 14 4 8 10 15 18 9	501 619 1,659 344 1,308 1,259 810 667 631	KY,E KY,W MI,E MI,W OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M
	2.289	2,206	1,243	256	107	292	179	39	90	83	5,694	7тн
	963 254 166 308 275 196 127	935 240 160 298 267 186 120	5 9 5 121 73 180 123 95 56	80 29 30 16 61 27 13	34 12 8 18 13 15 7	96 46 30 41 38 11 30	81 21 9 22 18 23 5	11 7 3 5 4 6 3	38 4 7 16 10 9 6	28 14 6 10 8 10 7	2,993 559 302 542 540 492 266	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W
-	2.177	2,097	901	224	177	429	207	27	132	80	4,324	8TH
	224 123 82 109 355 431 354 176 123 200	213 117 76 104 347 419 346 169 113 193	101 53 31 49 134 126 150 109 65 83	21 32 4 15 16 42 52 14 10 18	15 6 10 4 8 29 13 6 14 32	44 12 18 22 60 136 76 22 5 34	18 7 7 45 48 29 14 14 18	9 1 2 4 4 5 - 1 1	5665 5034 21447	11 6 5 8 12 8 7 10 7	361 219 221 225 780 785 767 392 248 326	AR, E AR, W IA, N IA, N MN MO, E MO, W NE NE SD

	PERSONS				RECEIVED	FOR SUPERV	ISION			-	
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	UNDER SUPER- VISIDN JULY 1 1990	TOTAL Received	TOTAL LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE Proba- Tion	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	TERM OF SUPER- VISED RELEASE	PAROLE	MANDA- Tory Release	MILI- TARY Parole	SPECIAL Parole	RECEIVED BY TRANSFER
9TH	13.933	7,853	7,554	2.473	1.552	1.468	852	849	91	269	299
AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S HI ID MT NY OR WA, E GUAM	185 1,445 1,924 1,311 3,840 1,456 324 236 330 872 677 265 954 113	142 1,084 874 729 1,867 987 168 85 217 465 413 192 572 53	134 1,056 848 702 1,763 957 163 75 210 451 400 187 552 51	32 422 269 201 542 265 68 35 115 181 115 181 115 60 129 36	65 257 245 177 201 253 24 8 12 85 23 21 181	27 170 80 121 384 241 38 12 46 81 101 58 104 3	2 69 99 117 280 63 9 7 12 53 55 22 57 7	2 105 108 58 273 97 12 8 14 36 67 20 47 2	6 12 57 17 3 4 4 4 6 7 25 -	- 27 35 23 66 35 8 1 7 9 32 4 19 3	8 28 26 27 104 30 5 10 7 14 13 5 20 2
NMI	1	5	5	3	-	2	- -	· -	-	-	-
10TH CD NM DK,N DK,E UK,W UT WY	3.838 912 564 715 395 177 500 459 116	2.317 524 343 386 215 86 373 320 70	2,230 501 331 373 207 84 359 309 66	782 170 86 110 90 36 113 143 34	436 108 94 48 13 8 81 75 9	463 98 55 122 54 11 64 43 16	238 56 41 38 28 15 49 10 1	195 41 24 38 18 12 36 23 3	34 7 11 3 1 1 6 5 -	82 21 20 14 3 1 10 10 3	87 23 12 13 8 2 14 11 4
11TH	10.980	6.242	6,007	1.716	1,136	882	1,245	526	70	432	235
AL, N AL, M FL, S FL, N FL, M GA, N GA, M GA, S	872 409 506 674 2,337 3,490 1,435 667 590	484 261 259 515 1,300 1,688 885 419 431	464 252 254 484 1,235 1,637 852 410 419	171 69 109 108 426 448 271 61 53	97 102 16 173 105 12 174 244 213	55 47 58 69 199 250 125 38 41	84 21 46 67 251 473 190 40 73	20 5 12 19 126 270 50 18 6	4 2 3 16 11 17 5 4	33 6 10 40 112 173 25 4 29	20 9 5 31 65 51 33 9 12

<u></u>					REMO	IVED FROM	SUPERVISI	ON			PERSONS	
TOT Re Mov	E-	LESS TRANS- FERS	JUDGE PROBA- TION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	TERM OF SUPER- VISED RELEASE	PAROLE	MANDA- Tory Release	MILI- TARY PAROLE	SPECIAL PAROLE	REMOVED By Trans- Fer	UNDER SUPER- VISION JUNE 30 1991	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
7.3	368	7.121	2.823	1.779	530	902	732	71	284	247	14,418	9тн
1,0 8 5 1,9 1,0 1 1 1 4 3 5	818 583 989	11 1,018 790 563 1,955 966 151 118 145 422 335 138 484 25	3 382 314 163 851 334 85 75 82 165 144 69 134 22	6 336 222 187 295 282 24 19 19 134 39 20 196	2 69 23 41 146 117 11 7 13 24 33 14 30 -	- 99 80 332 90 11 6 14 52 50 16 55 1	- 94 80 57 240 101 7 9 12 30 47 14 40 1	- 8 9 13 7 1 - 4 3 7 - 12 -	31 47 26 78 35 12 2 1 14 15 5 17 1	2 41 28 20 34 53 2 3 4 21 15 6 18	314 1,470 1,980 1,457 3,718 1,424 339 200 398 894 740 313 1,024 141	AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, C A, C A, C A, C HI MT MT MT MT MT MT MA, E MA, H GUAM
2.0	- 63	-	799	431	- 146	- 284	- 191	32	82	- 98	6 4.092	NMI 10TH
5 2 3 1 3 2	534 193 159 159 158 84 103 174 58	520 279 341 153 78 287 253 54	189 101 136 94 31 89 127 32	136 63 77 5 10 53 78 9	44 20 29 10 4 19 15 5	78 43 57 18 12 65 9 2	40 28 32 17 12 42 15 5	10 9 2 2 2 4 2 1	23 15 8 7 7 15 7 -	14 14 18 5 6 16 21 4	902 614 742 452 179 570 505 128	
6.0	76	5.857	2,154	1.138	243	1.302	441	57	522	219	11,146	11TH
2 2 5 1,2 1,7 8 4	88 41 15 58 48 25 17 137	475 238 233 484 1,207 1,695 801 410 314	191 84 116 120 513 655 319 106 50	114 163 16 157 125 57 183 229 154	13 9 21 23 67 59 32 5 14	98 25 55 110 271 464 162 47 70	23 5 9 18 96 203 68 11 8	4 2 8 18 9 7 5 2	32 10 14 48 117 248 30 7 16	13 3 14 31 51 53 24 7 23	868 429 518 674 2,379 3,430 1,495 669 684	AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			FROM	OURTS	•	FR	OM IN	<u>STITUTI</u>	ONS
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		TOTAL	PROBA-	TRATE Proba-	SUPER- VISED	PAROLE	TORY	TARY	SPECIAI Paroli
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8	4.184	39.742	11.382	10,605	13.306	3,288	824	5.037
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.401						1	54
ME 207 70 3 34 71 5 1 MA 159 95 1 20 29 2 3 R1 269 136 10 25 57 21 5 PR 497 226 3 40 143 19 11 2ND 6.713 3.402 496 930 872 340 50 66 CT 727 484 19 62 84 30 7 7 NY.E 2.461 1.316 128 320 343 127 15 2 NY.S 2.493 1.079 199 428 317 146 10 3 VT 104 48 - 15 21 3 4 3 QE 2.977 98 24 60 28 2 4 3 Q.077 1.270	·	2.001						29	210
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		207							
NH 159 95 1 20 29 2 3 RI 269 136 10 25 57 21 5 PR 497 226 3 40 143 19 11 2ND 6.713 3.402 496 930 872 340 50 6. CT 727 484 19 62 84 30 7 6. WY.N 385 220 38 47 39 14 6 6. NY,E 2,461 1,316 128 320 343 127 15 21 NY,S 2,493 1,079 199 428 317 146 10 31 VT 104 48 - 15 21 3 4 32 VT 104 48 - 15 21 3 4 37 SRD 2,178 1,203 384 173 229 73 22 32						/1	5	1	23
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•••								108
PR 497 226 3 40 143 19 11 2ND 6.713 3.402 496 930 872 340 50 6. CT 727 484 19 62 84 30 7 6. NY.N 385 220 38 47 39 14 6 6. NY.E 2,461 1,316 128 320 343 127 15 22. NY.E 2,461 1,316 128 320 343 127 15 22. NY.H 543 255 112 58 68 20 8 3 VT 104 48 - 15 21 3 4 3 BE 2,178 1,203 384 173 229 73 22 4 PA,H 385 206 36 71 38 6 5 2 PA,H 3.737 2.687 1.154 1.511 214 90<					20	29	21	3 E	9
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								9 11	15 55
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)	6.713	3.402	496	930	872	340	50	623
NY, N 385 220 38 47 39 14 6 NY, E 2,461 1,316 128 320 343 127 15 2 NY, S 2,493 1,079 199 428 317 146 10 33 NY, W 543 255 112 58 68 20 8 34 YT 104 48 - 15 21 3 4 34 3RD 5.954 3.411 583 528 791 188 56 39 DE 227 98 24 60 28 2 4 31 NJ 2,178 1,203 384 173 229 73 22 4 NJ 2,077 1,270 116 145 261 87 15 16 PA, M 385 206 36 71 38 6 5 2 VI 264 149 3 20 74 - <td></td> <td>797</td> <td>484</td> <td>10</td> <td>63</td> <td>9.4</td> <td>30</td> <td>7</td> <td>41</td>		797	484	10	63	9.4	30	7	41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									21
NY,S2,4931,0791994283171461033NY,W5432551125868208343RD10448-1521343RD5.9543.4115835287911885639DE227982460282434NJ2,1781,203384173229732232PA,E2,0771,270116145261871516PA,M3852063671386536PA,M3852063671386536VI26414932074-1154TH9.7483.7372.6871.1541.5112149036MD2,3325401,03815042270189MC,K9483952579715614131NC,H56727238881202352MC,H8614101211081512164SC1,3766842579723224196VA,E1,98850890026323426154VA,H527309 <td></td> <td>2.461</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>212</td>		2.461							212
NY, H 543 255 112 58 68 20 8 YT 104 48 $ 15$ 21 3 4 3RD 5.954 3.411 583 528 791 188 56 39 DE 227 98 24 60 28 2 4 39 DE $2,178$ $1,203$ 384 173 229 73 22 49 NJ $2,178$ $1,203$ 384 173 229 73 22 49 PA, E $2,077$ $1,270$ 116 1455 261 87 15 147 PA, H 385 206 36 71 38 6 5 29 9 47 VI 264 149 3 20 74 $ 1$ 157 4TH 9.748 3.737 2.687 1.154 1.511 214 90 36 MD $2,332$ 540 $1,038$ 150 422 70 18 97 MC, E 948 395 257 97 156 14 13 176 NC, E 948 395 257 97 156 14 13 176 NC, E 948 395 257 97 232 24 19 676 NC, H 861 410 121 108 151 21 66 476 416 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>314</td>									314
VT10448-1521343RD 5.954 3.411 583 528 791 188 56 39 DE $2,178$ $1,203$ 384 173 229 73 22 6 NJ $2,178$ $1,203$ 384 173 229 73 22 6 PA,E $2,077$ $1,270$ 116 145 261 87 15 16 PA,M 385 206 36 71 38 6 5 PA,H 823 485 20 59 161 20 9 VI 264 149 3 20 74 $ 1$ 4TH 9.748 3.737 2.687 1.154 1.511 214 90 36 MD $2,332$ 540 $1,038$ 150 422 70 18 96 NC,E 948 395 257 97 156 14 13 15 NC,M 567 272 38 88 120 23 5 25 NC,M 861 410 121 108 151 21 6 42 VA,E $1,376$ 684 257 97 232 24 19 6 VA,F 527 309 52 80 53 14 5 17 VA,F 527 309 52 80 53 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>22</td>									22
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•••	104		-					13
NJ2,1781,2033841732297322PA,E2,0771,270116145261871518PA,H3852063671386526PA,H82348520591612096VI26414932074-114TH9.7483.7372.6871.1541.5112149036MD2,3325401,03815042270189MC,E9483952579715614131NC,M567272388812023524NC,W8614101211081512164SC1,3766842579723224196VA,E1,98850890026323426154W,N454255109259752	••••	5.954	3.411	583	528	791	188	56	397
NJ2,1781,2033841732297322PA,E2,0771,270116145261871518PA,M3852063671386526PA,M82346520591612096VI26414932074-114TH9.7483.7372.6871.1541.5112149036MD2,3325401,03815042270189MC,E9483952579715614131NC,M567272388812023524NC,W8614101211081512164SC1,3766842579723224196VA,E1,98850890026323426154WV,N454255109259752		227	98	24	60	28	2	4	11
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.178							94
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.077						15	183
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		385						5	23
VI26414932074-114TH9.748 3.737 2.687 1.154 1.511 214 9035MD $2,332$ 540 $1,038$ 150 422 70 18 96NC,E948395 257 97 156 14 13 1NC,M 567 272 38 88 120 23 5 257 NC,M 567 272 38 88 120 23 5 257 NC,M 861 410 121 108 151 21 6 476 SC $1,376$ 684 257 97 232 24 19 6774 VA,E $1,988$ 508 900 263 234 26 15 4774 VA,W 527 309 52 80 53 114 5 1744 WV,N 454 255 10 92 59 7 5 2744								9	69
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	••	264	149	3			_	1 1	17
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		9,748	3.737	2.687	1,154	1,511	214	90	355
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2,332	540	1.038	150	422	70	18	94
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			395	257	97			13	16
NC, W8614101211081512164SC1,3766842579723224196VA, E1,98850890026323426154VA, W52730952805314514WV, N4542551092597522			272	38			23	5	21
VA,E 1,988 508 900 263 234 26 15 4 VA,W 527 309 52 80 53 14 5 1 WV,N 454 255 10 92 59 7 5 2								6	44
VA,W 527 309 52 80 53 14 5 1 WV,N 454 255 10 92 59 7 5 2	••••							19	63
WV,N 454 255 10 92 59 7 5	••••							15	42
MV, N 454 255 10 92 59 7 5 2 WV, S 695 364 14 179 84 15 4 3						53		5	14
mr, 3 072 364 14 1/9 84 15 4 3								5	26
	••••	075	364	14	1/9	84	15	4	35
			l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						

		FROMC	OURTS	FROM INSTITUTIONS						
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	JUDGE Proba- Tion	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	TERM OF SUPER- VISED RELEASE	PAROLE	MANDA Tory Release	MILI- Tary Parole	SPECIAL PAROLE		
5TH	10.895	4.744	1,605	1,981	1.611	257	80	617		
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	1,118 215 748 425 582 1,855 596 3,245 2,111	510 129 361 211 349 804 299 1,335 746	220 29 240 95 58 109 57 406 391	191 18 57 47 65 317 94 784 408	126 25 53 57 66 404 111 416 353	16 7 14 4 14 65 14 73 50	6 1 7 4 6 21 5 12 18	49 6 16 7 24 135 16 219 145		
6TH	7.798	3.752	792	1.144	1,275	308	112	415		
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H TN,H	501 619 1,659 344 1,308 1,259 810 667 631	209 235 669 158 769 658 403 333 318	8 118 218 45 70 110 109 104 10	105 84 215 51 141 179 112 127 130	124 117 288 60 194 200 153 72 67	22 15 118 8 59 37 11 10 28	4 1 24 6 31 22 7 6 11	29 49 127 16 44 53 15 15 67		
7TH	5.694	3.660	369	548	652	160	55	250		
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H	2,993 559 302 542 540 492 266	2,114 346 155 345 296 280 124	217 19 20 18 41 39 15	173 71 49 73 55 71 56	276 79 47 66 91 53 40	. 83 10 8 13 12 21 13	18 8 4 8 12 2 3	112 26 19 19 33 26 15		
8TH	4.324	2.075	276	706	736	189	51	291		
AR,E AR,W IA,N MN MO,E MO,E ND,W ND SD	361 219 221 225 780 785 767 392 248 326	164 103 127 107 287 354 381 236 164 152	23 29 18 10 56 39 55 23 11 12	61 41 29 176 99 101 41 56 81	69 32 35 126 183 153 57 6 37	13 6 9 17 48 28 22 16 6 24	6 3 3 4 14 8 7 2 2	25 5 9 21 83 68 47 12 3 18		

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	FROMC					STITUTI	<u>о</u> н с
CIRCUIT And District	TOTAL	JUDGE PROBA- TION	U.S. MAGIS- TRATE PROBA- TION	TERM OF SUPER- VISED RELEASE	PAROLE	MANDA Tory Release	MILI- Tary Parole	SPECIAL PAROLE
9TH	14.418	6,960	2,462	1.685	1.705	790	130	686
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,E CA,S HI ID MT NV OR WA,E GUAM NMI	314 1,470 1,980 1,457 3,718 1,424 339 200 398 894 740 313 1,024 141	114 741 897 609 1,913 581 192 121 249 529 360 148 392 110 4	125 306 527 309 266 21 22 16 117 55 31 270 7	42 167 95 173 441 258 49 16 69 87 101 63 118 4 2	15 110 230 217 544 129 26 22 34 99 113 35 118 13	5 77 109 66 244 88 16 8 17 35 49 21 53 2	8 9 17 14 24 5 5 4 2 6 9 5 22 -	5 60 105 69 162 97 30 7 11 21 53 10 51 5 5
10TH	4.092	2.027	638	542	483	162	52	188
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	902 614 742 452 179 570 505 128	430 279 330 274 94 261 288 71	172 114 98 33 20 93 96 12	105 67 138 70 10 77 49 26	111 80 92 46 36 88 22 8	30 19 45 11 10 21 21 5	11 17 5 2 2 7 8 -	43 38 34 16 7 23 21 6
11TH	11.146	4.717	1.291	1.040	2,542	487	118	951
AL, N AL, M AL, S FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, M GA, S	868 429 518 674 2,379 3,430 1,495 669 684	454 197 277 209 1,088 1,362 697 244 189	96 81 26 158 177 44 205 271 233	76 60 90 78 231 275 134 39 57	146 55 89 147 505 1,039 342 78 141	21 5 6 16 107 270 38 16 8	9 7 7 31 15 21 12 9	66 24 23 59 240 425 58 9 47
<u> </u>								

TABLE E 2. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS UNDER SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AS OF JUNE 30, 1991

					GENERAL OF	FENSES	· · ·			
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- Zlement	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	
TOTAL	84,184	372	2,984	769	421	6,397	6,264	17.935	834	
DC	1.401	43	141	38	58	102	30	138	15	
1ST	2.001	2	63	10	7	137	106	390	10	······
ME MA NH RI PR	207 869 159 269 497	- 1 1 -	5 31 7 3 17	2 3 - 2 3	6 - 1 -	15 48 5 11 58	5 43 8 19 31	21 198 39 66 66	- 1 - 9 -	
2ND	6.713	19	217	34	27	441	519	1,604	31	
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H YT	727 385 2,461 2,493 543 104	4 1 8 4 2 -	26 8 89 71 19 4	6 2 12 10 4	1 13 7 5 -	46 33 147 150 60 5	81 45 161 175 48 9	189 115 626 529 129 16	5 2 14 10 -	
3RD	5.954	40	181	57	31	538	421	1.582	43	. <u></u>
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	227 2,178 2,077 385 823 264	- 7 5 - 28	9 59 60 30 17	1 19 13 2 - 22	- 9 1 1 2 18	25 208 145 42 63 55	10 170 119 33 84 5	52 645 563 97 213 12	- 26 9 1 7 -	
4TH	9.748	29	396	116	73	758	503	1.525	118	
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,E WV,N WV,S	2,332 948 567 861 1,376 1,988 527 454 695	12 1 5 3 1 4 2 - 1	151 41 46 30 49 63 9 - 7	39 11 4 18 14 25 4 - 1	20 6 4 17 5 8 5 3 5 5	200 121 44 53 82 195 21 12 30	78 55 37 52 127 72 21 36 25	277 143 99 152 300 292 93 57 112	4 6 7 27 7 12 6 43	

E''

÷							t			,	Y
	GENE	RAL OFFEN	SESCONTINU	JED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	DRUG NAR- COTICS	LAWS CONTRL'D SUB- STANCES	WEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	OTHER	IMMI GRATION LAWS	LIQUOR, INTER- NAL REVENUE	POSTAL LAWS*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
3.612	6.812	15,751	3,399	3,293	3.788	4.436	2,164	17	817	4,119	TOTAL
64	12	435	116	97	42	55			8	7	DC
	169	599	55	112	10	122	50		6	71	1ST
2 22 7 27 24	45 60 13 14 37	89 240 34 67 169	4 28 12 5 6	7 74 16 9 6	- - 6 -	4 68 9 17 24	1 3 4 2 40	-	1 3 - 2	6 36 4 11 14	ME MA NH RI PR
236	215	2.060	141	234	23	390	97		144	281	2ND
21 12 113 72 18 -	23 24 65 54 43 6	156 60 715 982 105 42	20 15 34 57 10 5	57 8 93 54 12 10	2 5 7 6 3 -	38 23 162 135 29 3	4 45 38 6 -		10 8 38 76 12 -	38 19 119 63 38 4	CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT
320	214	1,101	361	188		385	40		119	255	3RD
7 110 132 13 41 17	15 76 57 32 23 11	55 276 435 81 217 37	9 116 186 10 39 1	12 37 105 11 19 4	5 38 13 14 8 -	15 167 118 19 49 17		-	3 67 38 7 4	9 118 69 16 24 19	DE PA,E PA,M PA,M PA,W
445	603	1,696	263	430	1.650	632	36	88	60	407	4TH
52 51 60 68 132 51 9 5 17	53 67 28 93 93 82 39 46 102	446 130 113 127 147 216 96 190 231	39 13 16 20 40 42 39 21 33	47 19 28 32 96 85 84 11 28	697 163 11 50 37 662 24 4 2	146 51 29 74 98 112 30 53 39	- 7 12 5 5 -	- 4 1 1 - 2 -	22 2 12 1 11 8 - 4	49 61 14 63 104 59 32 10 15	MD NC,E NC,M NC,W SC VA,E VA,W WY,N WY,S

					GENERAL OF	FENSES				
CIRCUIT										
AND							EMBEZ-		AUTO	
DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	ZLEMENT	FRAUD	THEFT	
		· · ·	• • •		• •				105	······································
5TH	10.895	20	144	54	14	712	661	2.062	125	
LA, E	1,118	-	23	2	1	75	61	262	10	
LA,M	215	-	1	1	-	16	18	57	2	
LA, H	748	1	7	7	2	78	77	185	8	
MS, N	425	1	11	2	-	19	20	118	17	
MS,S TX,N	582	3	5 30	6	1	46	63	156	9 39	
	1,855	3 1	30 17	5	1 2	138 22	118 41	440 185	39 14	
TX,E TX,S	596 3,245	5	22	18	6	137	134	360	19	
TX, W		6	28	13	1	181	134	299	7	
	2,111					101				
6TH	7.798	20	342	40	37	740	685	1.706	155	
KY,E	501	2	25	3	6	38	39	86	31	
KY, H	619	2 1	38	8	4	71	38	109	5	
MI,E	1,659	3	67	7	3	149	93	385	15	
MI,W	344	Ĩ	10	1	2	32	45	69	-	
OH, N	1,308	6	85	2	3	143	164	278	27	
OH, S	1,259	3	69	7	4	106	147	325	19	
TN,E	810	1	23	ż	12	59	68	157	40	
TN,M	667	ī	19	Å	1	87	53	154	6	
TN, W	631	2	6	1	2	55	38	143	12	
7TH	5.694	19	136	26	16	591		1.778	62	. <u> </u>
IL,N	2,993	6	49	11	3	383	337	991	23	
IL,C	559		5	2	5	35	59	196		
IL,S	302	3	6	3	2	34	37	80	2	
IN,N	542	3	14	-	1	44	38	169	14	
IN,S	540	3	35	2	1	39	38	147	11	
WI,E	492	3	21	6	- 4	41	49	131	1	
WI,W	266	1	6	2	·	15	46	64	7	
8TH	4,324	34	158	90	41	305	378	1,015	50	
AR, E	361	1	20	3	3	20	17	78	12	
AR, W	219	-	7	ĩ	3	28	11	40		
IA,N	221	3	6	ĩ			22	44		
IA, S	225	1	16	ī	-	26	34	54	-	
MN	780	4	32	7	4	29	75	173	4	
MO,E	785	1	30	. 4	2	54	73	216	11	
MO,W	767	ī	41	7	3	67	78	189	12	
NE	392	2	2	4	1	30	26	128	3	
ND	248	4	2	22	6	17	17	49		
SD	326	17	2	40	19	28	25	44	-	

	GENE	RAL OFFEN	SESCONTINU	JED				SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	DRUG NAR- COTICS	LAWS CONTRL'D SUB- STANCES	WEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	OTHER	IMMI- GRATION LAWS	LIQUOR, INTER- NAL REVENUE	POSTAL La#!S*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
400	1,612	1,406	525	432	304	489	1.021	2	50	862	5TH
38 14 22 39 76 29 73 84	55 17 39 27 30 124 49 988 283	204 5 24 33 57 307 62 389 325	59 6 31 8 24 134 45 71 147	30 14 22 15 22 94 25 135 75	6 3 92 68 15 5 3 11 101	53 16 31 48 56 82 31 93 79	20 2 1 - 3 128 17 611 239		7 1 3 - 6 12 4 10 7	212 42 118 13 34 119 49 163 112	LA, E LA, M LA, W MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W
387	539	1,370	341	375	219	381	34	4	88	335	6тн
25 30 81 17 65 58 32 45 34	62 62 126 37 43 73 47 42 47	91 96 329 58 193 216 128 63 196	22 37 105 13 48 51 18 27 20	19 22 85 10 92 43 50 26 28	6 27 35 1 10 44 43 48 5	23 26 92 12 65 47 47 41 28	- 3 15 7 2 - 1 2 4		1 6 20 4 24 18 5 10	22 36 49 25 58 29 72 34 10	KY,E KY,W MI,E MI,W OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M
267	248	1.004	116	176	55		53			202	7тн
187 16 7 26 19 9 3	62 43 23 42 51 19 8	487 125 57 96 60 113 66	39 4 8 14 39 5 7	68 20 11 22 25 20 10	12 9 3 25 - 4	169 15 12 38 23 34 13	35 13 - 4 1 -		28 2 1 1 2 1	103 6 12 14 20 34 13	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W
205	252	887	193	161	45	233	18		41	218	8тн
30 20 5 26 38 48 16 8 6	27 13 11 9 40 29 23 15 42 43	47 9 69 232 200 162 87 19 22	38 8 10 10 42 12 27 26 13 7	22 15 9 5 20 29 20 6 21 14	1 26 - 2 4 4 2 4 - 2	13 12 17 9 33 41 39 15 17 37	2 1 - 4 2 2 4 1 -		4 2 2 1 10 14 7 1 -	23 14 15 9 41 25 39 22 10 20	AR, E AR, W IA, N IA, S MD, E ND, E ND SD

	• :				GENERAL OF	FENSES				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ- ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO Theft	
9TH	14.418	84	808	196	65	939	1.236	3,160	43	
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,S HI ID MT NV OR WA,E WA,H GUAM	314 1,470 1,980 1,457 3,718 1,424 339 200 398 894 740 313 1,024 141	- 31 4 2 9 3 - 3 12 3 4 4 8 1	11 39 83 116 334 36 5 6 5 27 81 9 51 5	11 46 22 12 21 6 10 3 30 6 8 2 18 1	1 4 22 8 5 3 2 - 15 4 - 1 - 1 -	37 84 111 89 232 58 43 21 37 60 54 23 70 20	28 103 355 139 227 95 22 25 28 34 45 21 96 15	36 213 438 260 1,016 210 73 54 79 324 160 34 228 33	- 2 4 2 20 6 - - 1 4 3 1 -	
NMI 10TH	6 4.092	- 25	- 105	- 50	- 17	349	3 341	2 905	- 67	
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	902 614 742 452 179 570 505 128	6 2 13 1 - 2 - 1	36 16 9 14 1 17 11 1	8 3 23 1 1 2 9 3	3 2 3 2 - 1 3 3	70 62 53 40 11 46 61 6	74 76 59 42 19 35 26 10	201 131 106 114 44 160 120 29	4 3 7 25 11 16 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11TH	11.146	37	293	58	35	785	780	2.070	115	
AL,N AL,M AL,S FL,N FL,M GA,N GA,M GA,S	868 429 518 674 2,379 3,430 1,495 669 684	1 	35 11 13 23 67 53 57 14 20	3 1 4 3 6 10 10 7 14	3 1 - 11 8 8 1 3	97 58 26 48 130 124 155 86 61	61 40 29 36 154 213 170 45 32	147 75 169 110 502 503 345 123 96	29 7 5 8 17 11 19 5 14	

	GENE	RAL OFFEN	SESCONTINU	JED	•			SPECIAL	OFFENSES		
FORGERY AND COUNTER- FEITING	MARI- HUANA	DRUG NAR- COTICS	LAWS CONTRL'D SUB- STANCES	WEAPONS AND FIRE- ARMS	TRAFFIC	OTHER	IMMI- GRATION LAWS	LIQUOR, INTER- NAL REVENUE	POSTAL LAWS*	OTHER	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
449	1.280	2.169	642	480	607	644	652		184	780	9TH
3 22 43 39 169 46 8 9 16 35 20 11 27 1	27 294 112 128 182 190 38 11 30 32 61 35 127 13 -	30 178 339 132 641 223 65 17 55 84 123 79 180 23	7 26 87 125 57 167 15 11 12 37 71 4 22 1	15 56 35 50 106 39 11 3 28 28 28 36 30 42 1 -	45 74 140 121 83 7 9 2 1 71 6 8 38 2 -	13 93 69 73 142 41 22 7 24 54 28 16 43 18 1	6 109 15 60 228 168 1 1 12 1 1 3 5 16 15 3 -		1 12 29 19 51 28 2 - 2 33 2 1 4 -	43 84 72 82 195 98 13 16 22 45 33 18 55 4 -	AK CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,C HI ID NV OR WA,E WA,W GUAM NMI
169	316	579	204	198	196	213	72		35	251	10TH
30 16 12 45 18 27 15 6	69 25 111 28 9 39 24 11	133 98 112 56 18 73 70 19	40 34 27 22 16 43 16 6	55 34 36 15 11 10 26 11	37 52 15 8 - 27 55 2	62 33 50 15 8 16 26 3	14 22 1 1 6 -		14 1 8 1 2 4 3 2	46 24 56 22 9 46 33 15	CO KS OK,N OK,N OK,E OK,W WY
588	1.352	2.445	452	410	559	588		3	45	450	11TH
77 28 46 18 127 166 73 15 38	77 31 52 89 337 602 80 33 51	112 66 63 131 574 1,159 208 43 89	66 13 20 18 70 137 87 14 17	44 10 30 12 89 142 55 13 15	32 46 7 81 44 5 42 170 132	43 23 32 39 100 147 95 43 66	- - 3 43 26 11 2 6	2 - - 1 -	3 1 - 1 14 10 7 6 3	36 19 15 51 84 105 69 46 25	AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,M GA,S

				TERM	OF SUP	ERVISI	IN (IN M	IONTHS)				PERCENT
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	1-6	7-11	12	13-23	24	25-35	36	37-59	60 AND Over	AVG. TERM (MDS.)	TO BE SUPERVISED 2 YEARS OR MORE
TOTAL	12.098	105	15	784	139	1.918	107	4,855	627	3,548	39	91.4
DC	149		_	19	2	14	1	75	10	28	36	85.9
1ST	323	8	1	39	3	81	1	153	9	28	31	84,2
ME	20	-	-	2	-	6 54	-	9	1	2	33	90.0
MA	145	1	1	11	1		_	64	5	. 8	30	90.3
NH	62	3		- 8		92		29	-	13	34 34	82.3 83.3
RI PR	18 78	4	-	15	2	10	. 1	10 41	1 2	2	29	73.1
2ND	1.127	14		102	12	203	23	440	59	274	37	88.6
ст	162	1		6	2	37	-	61	10	45	39	94.4
NY,N	96	. 4	_	28	1	34	-	25	3	1	24	65.6
NY, E	358	2	-	13	2	49	8	147	15	122	41	95.3
NY, S	414	7		38	4	72	15	162	28	88	36	88.2
NY,H	89	-	-	16	2	7	-	43.	3	18	35	79.8
VT	8	-		1	1	4	-	2	-	-	24	75.0
3RD	1.008	9	1	52	13	150	8	329	83	363	42	92.6
DE	33	-	- '		-	3	-	12	3	15	46	100.0
NJ	276	-		6	2	32	2	138	23	73	41	97.1
PA, E	358	3	1	11	1	25	-	78	33	206	48	95.5
PA, H	112	2	-	25	7	50	-	25	1	2	24	69.6
PA,₩ VI	162 67	4	-	5 5	1 2	28 12	6	56 20	16 7	52 15	41 37	93.8 89.6
4TH	1.127	17	3	106	10	180	11	443	67	290	37	87.9
MD	140	4	1	10	2	26	2	49	11	35	37	87.9
NC, E	158	1	ī		ī	6	3	50	-9	78	45	92.4
NC.M	64	-	-	2	-	7	· –	22	3	30	45	96.9
NC, W	141	4	-	17	5	29	2	53	· 4	27	33	81.6
SC	65	-	· -	1	-	5		32	2	25.	44	98.5
VA,E	189	4		28	1	61	2	66	5	22	31	82.5
VA, H	158	2	-	18	1	28	-	91	10	8	32	86.7
WV,N	98	1	· -	. 7	· -	8	1	40	· 8	33	41	91.8
₩∀,S	114	1	1	14	-	10	1	40	15	32	39	86.0

TABLE E 4. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PROBATIONERS RECEIVED FROM JUDGES FOR SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TERM DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (EXCLUDES REINSTATEMENTS AND TRANSFERS)

				TER	OF SUP	ERVISIO	DN (IN M	ONTHS)				PERCENT
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	1-6	7-11	12	13-23	24	25-35	36	37-59	60 AND DVER	AVG. TERM (MDS.)	TO BE SUPERVISED 2 YEARS OR MORE
5TH	1.568	2	2	37	8	163	7	747	68	534	42	96.9
LA,E	208	1	-	4		26	1	118	9	49	40	97.6
LA, M	28	-	-	1	1	3	-	8	1	14	45	92.9
LA.W	110	- 1	-	11	3	10	-	42	1	43	41	87.3
MS, N	96	-	-	3	1	26	1	52	1	12	34	95.8
MS, S	136	- 1	_	4	1	19	_	61	7	44	41	96.3
TX,N	219	- 1	2	1	_	30	2	75	12	97	45	98.6
ΤΧ,Ε	66	-	=	ī	-	11	=	30		17	41	98.5
TX, S	499	1	_	10	1	19	3	260	23	182	44	97.6
ТХ, М	206	=	-	2	ĩ	19	-	101	7	76	43	98.5
6TH	1,293	10	2	112	25	305	8	539	44	248	35	88.5
KY,É	60	_	-	11	-	6	-	24	2	17	37	81.7
KY, W	104	1	_	15	_	25	_	38	1	24	35	84.6
	204	1	1	7	3	42	4	95	16	35	35	94.1
MI,E	55		-				-				29	
MI,W		2		7	2	19		17	4	4	29	80.0
OH, N	226	3	1	16	4	72	-	98	3	32	33	90.7
OH, S	212		-	17	3	34	1	108	3	43	36	89.2
TN, E	156	-	-	5	3	35	1	58	8	46	39	94.9
TN, M	104	1	-	9	1	15	1		3	30	38	89.4
TN,W	172	2	-	25	9	57	1	57	.4	17	29	79.1
7TH	1.021	16	2	53	6	152	5	360	63	364	41	92.5
IL,N	501	4	2	8	2	45	3	164	40	233	46	96.8
IL,C	102	-	-	3	2	9	2	27	2	57	47	95.1
IL,S	67	1	-	7	-	11	_	31	3	14	36	88.1
IN,N	132	8	-	22	-	15	-	57	4	26	33	77.3
IN, S	97	1	-	- 8	2	37		35	5	- 9	31	88.7
WI,E	91	1	-	- - - -	=	28	-	35	8	15	35	94.5
WI,W	31	ī	-	i	-	-07	-	11	1	10	39	93.5
8TH	682	3	<u> </u>	43	19	169	11	288	32	117	35	90.5
AR, E	68	· -	-	8	-	7	3	50	-	-	31	88.2
AR, W	36	-	-	1	1	9	1	17	2	5	35	94.4
IA,N	21	_	-	-	-	4	1	11	2	3	38	100.0
IA,S	40	1	-	1	3	14	1	13	-	7	33	87.5
MN	83	1	-	9	-	27		33	.3	10	32	88.0
MD,E	86			1	-	6	1	40	4	34	44	98.8
MO,W	113	1	-	5	2	24	-	54	- 6	21	36	92.9
NE	81	_	-	ĩ	-	17		35	5	23	40	98.8
ND	76	-	-	6	7	40	3	17	-	3	26	82.9
SD	78	-	-	11		21	ĩ	18	10	11	32	78.2
	,			**	•	•••	*		**	**	-	

TABLE E 4. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PROBATIONERS RECEIVED FROM JUDGES FOR SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TERM DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (EXCLUDES REINSTATEMENTS AND TRANSFERS)

				TER	OF SUP	ERVISIC	N (IN M	ONTHS)				PERCENT
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	1-6	7-11	12	13-23	24	25-35	36	37-59	60 AND DVER	AVG. TERM (MDS.)	TO BE SUPERVISE 2 YEARS OR MORE
9TH	1.863	8	-	117	10	203	14	728	74	709	42	92.8
АК АZ CA,N CA,E CA,S HI HI MT NV OR WA,E WA,W	28 348 185 149 348 206 55 30 98 154 95 54 88	3 1 - 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		7 17 8 4 18 11 6 1 11 11 11 6 6 9	1 1 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	5 32 11 17 35 23 9 7 14 14 7 14 13	- - 3 - 1 2 1 - 1	2 105 78 62 161 26 15 48 50 40 40 28	1 19 5 6 13 7 4 1 2 14 	9 167 82 60 115 83 9 5 20 62 42 42 14	32 45 44 43 35 36 43 44 45 41	60.7 94.5 95.1 97.3 94.0 94.2 87.3 96.7 87.8 91.6 93.7 85.2 88.6
GUAM NMI	24 1	-	_	2		2	- -	13 1	1	6	39 36	91.7 100.0
10TH	641	10	2	46	21	115	8	236	33	170	37	87.7
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT HY	132 67 88 81 26 99 115 33	- 4 2 2 1 1	2	11 7 8 5 1 5 8 1	2 	20 6 20 10 4 25 21 9	- 2 3 - 2 1	52 31 36 13 14 41 36 13	2 1 2 10 - 7 7 4	43 18 15 34 3 14 41 2	39 37 33 42 33 34 41 32	88.6 83.6 85.2 86.4 80.8 89.9 92.2 84.8
11TH	1,296	8	2	58	10	183	10	517	85	423		94.0
AL,N AL,M FL,S FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,S	133 59 103 89 304 317 207 39 45	- - 2 1 4 1 -	1	2 2 11 16 7 8 4 8	2 - - 1 2 4 - - 1	24 7 21 51 66 12 2	3 2 5	67 28 37 21 119 142 72 18 13	7 12 - 3 29 21 8 - 5	27 10 66 30 83 68 106 17 16	38 40 51 37 40 38 46 44 40	96.2 96.6 100.0 84.3 93.4 95.3 95.7 89.7 89.0

TABLE E 4. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PROBATIONERS RECEIVED FROM JUDGES FOR SUPERVISION BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TERM DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (EXCLUDES REINSTATEMENTS AND TRANSFERS)

TABLE E 7. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM

PERSONS REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION WITHOUT VIOLATION AND WITH VIOLATION SHOWING VIOLATION TYPE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (EXCLUDES REINSTATEMENTS, RE-RELEASES, AND DECEASED)

-						NO		ATIO		
					EARLY	TERM	TERM E	XPIRED	OTHE	21
_	TYPE OF SUPERVISION	TOTAL Removed	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT
-	TOTAL	31,308	23,993	76.6	4,788	15.3	18,932	60.5	273	0.9
	FROM COURTS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	JUDGE PROBATION	11,796	9,974	84.6	2,261	19.2	7,596	64.4	117	1.0
292	U.S. MAGISTRATE PROBATION	7,103	5,975	84.1	1,076	15.1	4,858	68.4	41	0.6
	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	6	6	100.0	. 0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0
	FROM INSTITUTIONS									
	FEDERAL PAROLE	5,503	3,390	61.6	812	14.8	2,553	46.4	25	0.5
	MANDATORY RELEASE	2,735	2,165	79.2	25	0.9	2,134	78.0	6	0.2
	MILITARY PAROLE	436	390	89.4	34	7.8	354	81.2	2	0.5
	SPECIAL PAROLE TERM	1,848	1,306	70.7	504	27.3	798	43.2	4	0.2
	TERM OF SUPERVISED RELEASE	1,881	787	41.8	76	4.0	633	33.7	78	4.1
_										

		·····	WITH	+ VIO	LATI	0 N		
		TECHN	ICAL2	MIM	NOR3	MAJO	R4	
TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TOTAL	PER- CENT	TYPE CF SUPERVISION
7,315	23.4	5,083	16.2	605	1.9	1,627	5.2	TOTAL
								FROM COURTS
1,822	15.4	1,306	11.1	119	1.0	397	3.4	JUDGE PROBATION
1,128	15.9	846	11.9	181	2.5	101	1.4	U.S. MAGISTRATE PROBATION
٥	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	PRETRIAL DIVERSION
								FROM INSTITUTIONS
2,113	38.4	1,375	25.0	138	2.5	600	10.9	FEDERAL PAROLE
570	20.8	380	13.9	44	1.6	146	5.3	MANDATORY RELEASE
46	10.6	30	6.9	4	0.9	12	2.8	MILITARY PAROLE
542	29.3	355	19.2	36	1.9	151	8.2	SPECIAL PARGLE TERM
1,094	58.2	791	42.1	83	4.4	220	11.7	TERM OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

TABLE E 7. FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM PERSONS REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION WITHOUT VIOLATION AND WITH VIOLATION SHOWING VIOLATION TYPE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (EXCLUDES REINSTATEMENTS, RE-RELEASES, AND DECEASED)

- 1 DEPORTED, RELEASED TO MILITARY, HOSPITALIZED, RELEASED FROM SUPERVISION DURING APPEAL AND OTHER REMOVALS FOR REASONS NOT CONSTITUTING A VIOLATION OR NEW OFFENSE
- 2 VIOLATION OF THE CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION OTHER THAN CONVICTION FOR A NEW OFFENSE.
- 3 CONVICTION FOR MINOR OFFENSES SUCH AS DRUNK DRIVING, DISORDERLY CONDUCT, PETTY THEFT, TRAFFIC VIOLATION, ETC. WHEN SENTENCE IS 90 DAYS OR LESS IMPRISONMENT, OR ONE YEAR OR LESS PROBATION OR A FINE
- 4 INVOLVEMENT IN OR CONVICTION OF A NEW MAJOR OFFENSE, INCLUDING ABSCONDED FROM CUSTODY, ARRESTED ON ANOTHER CHARGE OR CONVICTED AND SENTENCED TO MORE THAN 90 DAYS IMPRISONMENT, OR MORE THAN ONE YEAR PROBATION

TABLE F U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURTS BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 AND 1991

CIRCUIT		FILINGS	- ,	TE	RMINATIONS			PENDING	
AND DISTRICT	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE	1990	1991	PERCENT CHANGE	1990 #	1991	PERCENT CHANGE
TOTAL	725,484	880,399	21.4	620,649	718,885	15.8	961,919	1,123,433	16.8
DC	1,029	1,230	19.5	1,124	692	-38.4	1,435	1,973	37.5
1ST	19,092	29,923	56.7	10,980	16,929	54.2	27,168	40,162	47.8
ME	1,453	2,185	50.4 71.2	994 5,053	1,547 7,430	55.6 47.0	1,999 7,709	2,637 13,221	31.9 71.5
MA NH	7,560 1,837	12,942 3,414	85.8	1,051	1,997	90.0	2,013	3,430	70.4
RI	1,742	3,076	76.6	1,243	1,997	60.7	1,310	2,389	82.4
PR	6,500	8,306	27.8	2,639	3,958	50.0	14,137	18,485	30.8
2ND	33,957	48,003	41.4	23,130	34,517	49.2	47,790	61,276	28.2
ст	4,364	6,916	58.5	3,240	3,903	20.5	3,883	6,896	77.6
NY, N	6,236	8,003	28.3	3,449	8,776 8,745	154.5 46.6	11,123	10,350	-7.0
NY,E NY,S	9,861 6,625	14,945 9,527	51.6 43.8	5,966	6,552	46.4	12,271	18,471 14,034	50.5 26.9
NY, W	6,325	7,785	23.1	5,552	5,945	7.1	8,919	10,759	20.6
VT	546	827	51.5	447	596	33.3	535	766	43.2
3RD	29,356	41,510	41.4	19,831	35,074	76.9	50,155	56,591	12.8
DE	873	1,260	44.3	604	373	-38.3	1,693	2,580	52.4
NJ	12,640	19,449	53.9	6,895	15,435	123.9	23,607	27,621	17.0
PA,E	7,895	10,521	33.3	5,259	9,942	89.0	15,293	15,872	3.8
PA, M	3,318	4,593	38.4 23.0	1,642	3,407 5,907	107.5 8.8	3,767 5,575	4,953 5,332	31.5
ΡΑ,₩ VI	4,606	5,664 23	-4.2	9,431	10	-	220	233	-4.4 5.9
4TH	47,501	64,400	35.6	39,813	45,920	15.3	62,358	80,838	29.6
MD	9,201	12,751	38,6	7,135	7,957	11.5	12,066	16,860	39.7
NC, E	3,711	5,315	43.2	3,504	3,407	-2.8	4,851	6,759	39.3
NC, M	3,717	5,318	43.1	2,497	2,960	18.5	8,378	10,736	28.1
NC, W	3,267	4,907	50,2	1,985	2,327	17.2	7,287	9,867	35.4
sc	4,811	6,887	43.2	4,142	4,907	18.5	7,747	9,727	25.6
VA,E	14,315	18,950	32.4	11,722 5,206	14,370 5,793	22.6 11.3	12,442	17,022 5,971	36.8
VA,W WV,N	5,027 1,075	6,312 1,310	21.9	1,118	1,278	11.3	5,452 1,179	1,211	9.5 2.7
WV,S	2,377	2,650	11.5	2,504	2,921	16.7	2,956	2,685	-9.2
5TH	65,269	72,132	10.5	59,348	64,783	9.2	104,021	111,370	7.1
LA,E	5,009	5,328	6.4	4,706	4,565	-3.0			<u> </u>
LA,E	1,688	1,586	-6.1	2,053	1,561	-24.0	7,225 3,377	7,988 3,402	10.6
LA, W	6,248	6,353	1.7	5,690	7,406	30.2	12,259	11,206	-8.6
MS,N	3,470	4,278	23.3	2,299	3,376	46.8	4,407	5,309	20.5
MS,S	7,110	7,972	12.1	6,455	6,923	7.3	10,382	11,431	10.1
TX,N	15,580	17,380	11.6	13,421	15,951	18.9	25,253	26,682	5.7
TX,E	2,986	3,485	16.7	2,223	2,915	31.1	5,118	5,688 20,973	11.1
TX,S TX,H	11,694 11,484	12,951 12,799	10.7 11.5	13,150 9,351	10,494 11,592	-20.2 24.0	18,516 17,484	20,973 18,691	13.3 6.9
6ТН	103,357	122,355	18.4	80,850	96,930	19.9	142,522	167,947	17.8
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
KY,E	6,447	7,298	13.2 16.0	5,607	4,350 7,793	-22.4 26.5	10,759	13,707	27.4
ΚΥ,₩ MI,E	7,163 13,106	8,309 16,378	25.0	11,164	13,462	20.5	6,758 12,841	7,274 15,757	7.6 22.7
MI,W	5,645	6,940	22.9	4,775	6,463	35.4	8,395	8,872	5.7
OH,N	17,013	20,111	18.2	15,374	16,586	7.9	18,971	22.496	18.6
OH,S	19,749	22,696	14.9	16,027	19,742	23.2	23,822	26,776	12.4
ΤΝ,Ε	9,709	11,695	20.5	5,976	9,658	61.6	14,224	16,261	14.3
TN, M	10,724	12,334	15.0	6,476	8,204	26.7	21,912	26,042	18.8
тн, ж	13,801	16,594	20.2	9,290	10,672	14.9	24,840	30,762	23.8
			ł			Į			
	l		l	<u> </u>		.	L		l

TABLE F U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURTS BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 AND 1991

CIRCUIT	·····	FILINGS		TE	RMINATIONS			PENDING	
AND	1990		PERCENT CHANGE	1990	1991	PERCENT	1990 *		PERCENT
DISTRICT	1990	1991	CHANGE	1990	1771	CHANGE	1990	1991	CHANGE
7TH	68,228	79,396	16.4	66,791	69,551	4.1	69,846	79,691	14.1
IL,N	25,242	28,864	14.3	26,701	26,023	-2.5	31,118	33,959	9.1
IL,C IL,S	7,127 3,452	7,395 4,225	3.8 22.4	6,818 2,678	5,819 3,474	-14.7 29.7	6,260 3,005	7,836 3,756	25.2 25.0
IN, N	7,862	9,472	20.5	7,326	8,237	12.4	9,126	10,361	13.5
IN,S	14.326	17,361	21.2	13,809	14,888	7.8	8,853	11,326	27.9
WI,E	6,707	7,973	18.9	6,331 3,128	7,317	15.6	7,244	7,900	9.1
WI,W 8TH	3,512 45,933	4,106 54,833	16.9 19.4	40,438	3,793 46,314	21.3 14.5	4,240 53,670	4,553 62,189	7.4 15.9
Í								·····	19.7
AR, E	4,474	4,903	9.6	4,538	4,281	-5.7	8,099	8,721	7.7
AR,₩ IA,N	2,361 2,269	2,805 2,326	18.8 2.5	1,976 2,151	2,625	32.8 10.3	3,137 2,283	3,317 2,236	5.7 -2.1
IA, S	3,246	3,519	8.4	2,718	3,564	31.1	3,213	3,168	-1.4
MN	13,994	16,688	19.3	12,058	14,099	16.9	14,271	16,860	18.1
MO,E MO,W	7,023 6,363	9,506 7,772	35.4 22.1	6,086 5,247	7,106 6,623	16.8 26.2	8,036 6,011	10,436 7,160	29.9 19.1
NE	3,783	4,477	18.3	2,987	2,973	5	5,526	7,030	27.2
ND	1,055	1,226	16.2	1,217	1,187	-2.5	1,034	1,073	3.8
SD	1,365	1,611	18.0	1,460	1,483	1.6	2,060	2,188	6.2
9TH	163,383	189,563	16.0	153,500	164,527	7.2	215,985	241,021	11.6
AK	1,175	1,117	-4.9	1,431	1,051	-26.6	1,511	1,577	4.4
AZ CA,N	17,719 18,610	19,339 21,650	9.1 16.3	15,344	22,585 20,154	47.2	28,446 29,837	25,200 31,333	-11.4 5.0
CA, E	18,383	19,787	7.6	18,920	18,652	-1.4	22,663	23,798	5.0
CA,C	55,715	69,380	24.5	49,063	50,323	2.6	65,832	84,889	28.9
CA,S HI	10,517 909	13,411 964	27.5 6.1	9,962 1,152	11,318 1,014	13.6 -12.0	18,621 1,432	20,714 1,382	11.2
ID	4,064	4,160	2.4	3,729	3,985	6.9	5,646	5,821	3.1
MT	1,783	2,083	16.8	1,860	1,899	2.1	2,220	2,404	8.3
NV OR	6,154 11,276	6,939 13,461	12.8 19.4	5,926 9,930	5,433 11,527	-8.3	10,493 11,819	11,999 13,753	14.4
WA,E	3,914	3,941	.7	4,357	3,889	-10.8	4,626	4,678	1.1
WA,W	13,114	13,298	1.4	14,124	12,647	-10.5	12,767	13,418	5.1
GUAM NMI	48 2	29 4	-39.6 100.0	43	49 1	14.0	64 8	44	-31.3
10TH	53,012	- 56,784	7.1	52,181	52,371		66,573	11	37.5
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				.4		70,986	6.6
C0 KS	17,081 8,133	17,033 9,574	3	19,103 5,798	15,554 9,275	-18.6 60.0	22,684	24,163	6.5
NM	3,964	4,479	17.7	3,713	3,237	-12.8	13,308 4,875	13,607 6,117	2.2
OK,N	4,073	4,696	15.3	3,875	3,879	.1	3,701	4,518	22.1
OK,E	1,585	1,799	13.5	1,562	1,581	1.2	916	1,134	23.8
OK,W UT	8,679 8,047	9,673 8,042	11.5	7,924 8,848	8,477 8,763	7.0	8,628 10,416	9,824 9,695	13.9
WY	1,450	1,488	2.6	1,358	1,605	18.2	2,045	1,928	-5.7
11TH	95,367	120,270	26.1	72,663	91,277	25.6	120,396	149,389	24.1
AL,N	17,200	19,125	11.2	13,308	15,485	16.4	25,101	28,741	14.5
AL, M	4,819 2,705	5,903	22.5 3.4	3,859	4,205	9.0	7,467	9,165	22.7
AL,S FL,N	2,705	2,798 2,794	23.8	2,687	2,361 2,434	-12.1 16.5	2,705 2,608	3,142 2,968	16.2 13.8
FL,M	21,562	27,917	29.5	14,448	20,394	41.2	25,707	33,230	29.3
FL,S	8,117 23,859	12,706	56.5 30.2	6,968	9,683 23,492	39.0 27.9	8,134	11,157	37.2
GA,N GA,M	7,908	31,054 9,994	26.4	18,367	8,643	54.5	25,935 12,301	33,497 13,652	29.2 11.0
GA, S	6,940	7,979	15.0	5,344	4,580	-14.3	10,438	13,837	32.6
						l .			
							<u> </u>		

#Revised

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL				i									
	FILINGS	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 12	CHAP. 13	TOTAL # BUSINESS FILINGS+	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 12	CHAP 13	TOTAL NON- BUSINESS FILINGS	CHAP. 7	CHAP. 11	CHAP. 13
TOTAL	880,399	612,324	22,493	1,358	244,192	67,714	37,695	19,540	1,358	9,089	812,685	574,629	2,953	235,103
DC	1,230	640	103	-	487	135	32	95	-	8	1,095	608	8	479
1ST	29,923	19,339	1,715	12	8,857	4,077	1,958	1,548	12	559	25,846	17,381	167	8,298
ME MA NH RI PR	2,185 12,942 3,414 3,076 8,306	1,632 10,112 2,983 2,744 1,868	63 1,043 167 160 282	- 2 1 - 9	490 1,785 263 172 6,147	328 2,008 557 352 832	220 965 378 204 191	63 941 143 144 257	21	45 100 35 4 375	1,857 10,934 2,857 2,724 7,474	1,412 9,147 2,605 2,540 1,677	102 24 16 25	445 1,685 228 168 5,772
2ND	48,003	37,791	2,256	32	7,920	3,723	1,553	1,933	32	201	44,280	36,238	323	7,719
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	6,916 8,003 14,945 9,527 7,785 827	5,793 6,535 11,647 7,729 5,325 762	313 143 593 1,032 154 21	1 10 2 8 11	808 1,315 2,705 761 2,298 33	431 633 757 1,261 459 182	205 387 268 316 240 137	217 134 482 935 145 20	1 10 	7 102 7 5 66 14	6,485 7,370 14,188 8,266 7,326 645	5,588 6,148 11,379 7,413 5,085 625	96 9 111 97 9 1	801 1,213 2,698 756 2,232 19
3RD	41,510	28,825	2,046	20	10,618	3,458	1,514	1,716	20	207	38,052	27,311	330	10,411
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	1,260 19,449 10,521 4,593 5,664 23	840 13,902 5,806 3,701 4,569 7	161 913 482 221 256 13	5 - 7 8 -	259 4,629 4,233 664 830 3	175 1,277 743 756 495 12	28 563 269 420 234	145 689 437 208 226 11	5 7 8	2 20 37 121 26 1	1,085 18,172 9,778 3,837 5,169 11	812 13,339 5,537 3,281 4,335 7	16 224 45 13 30 2	257 4,609 4,196 543 804 2
4TH	64,400	41,408	1,874	46	21,072	7,405	4,483	1,737	46	1,139	56,995	36,925	137	19,933
MD NC.M NC.W SC VA.E VA.W. WV.N WV.S	12.751 5.315 5.3187 4.8877 18.9502 6.3100 6.3100 2.650	8,428 2,904 1,404 1,527 3,483 14,821 5,381 1,176 2,326	557 128 93 125 223 564 101 28 55	5 17 37 5 25 1	3,763 2,266 3,818 3,248 3,196 3,563 845 105 268	1,728 638 504 256 501 2,570 784 161 263	959 313 251 102 245 1,725 586 114 188	554 116 93 122 172 502 97 26 55	5 17 37 5 25 1	210 192 157 25 79 341 96 20 19	11,023 4,677 4,814 4,651 6,386 16,380 5,528 1,149 2,387	7.467 2.591 1.153 1.425 3.218 13.096 4.775 1.062 2.138	3 12 - 3 51 62 4 2 -	3,553 2,074 3,661 3,223 3,117 3,222 749 85 249
5TH	72,132	44,350	2,243	221	25,312	6,341	3,166	1,990	221	958	65,791	41,184	253	24,354
LA,E LA,M MS,S TX,N TX,S TX,S TX,S TX,S	5,328 1,586 6,3578 7,972 17,380 3,485 12,951 12,799	3,948 1,180 4,129 2,599 4,708 10,403 2,329 7,867 7,187	153 29 109 58 112 659 174 567 384	3 22 72 32 7 56 11 15 23	1,224 375 2,043 1,591 3,145 6,262 971 4,497 5,204	249 87 939 223 105 2,393 547 1,057 741	117 54 606 105 17 1,278 276 404 309	129 27 106 54 81 615 156 496 326	3 22 72 32 756 11 15 23	4 155 32 444 104 137 82	5,079 1,499 5,414 4,055 7,867 14,987 2,938 11,894 12,058	3,831 1,126 3,523 2,494 4,691 9,125 2,053 7,463 6,878	24 2 31 44 18 71 58	1,224 371 1,888 1,559 3,145 5,818 867 4,360 5,122
6TH	122,355	78,460	1,619	143	42,132	5,734	3,406	1,491	143	693	116,621	75,054	128	41,439
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	7,298 8,309 16,378 6,940 20,111 22,696 11,695 12,334 16,594	5,983 6,419 12,495 4,943 16,005 16,313 6,154 5,737 4,411	66 107 323 179 161 278 82 254 169	4 10 22 19 29 30 13 7 9	1,245 1,773 3,538 1,799 3,916 6,075 5,445 6,336 12,005	861 509 852 653 752 609 242 1,025 231	695 365 447 336 469 293 120 594 87	65 105 310 166 142 256 75 241 131	4 10 22 19 29 30 13 7 9	97 29 73 132 112 30 33 183 4	6,437 7,800 15,526 6,287 19,359 22,087 11,453 11,453 11,309 16,363	5,288 6,054 12,048 4,607 15,536 16,020 6,034 5,143 4,324	1 13 13 19 22 7 13 38	1,148 1,744 3,465 1,667 3,804 6,045 5,412 6,153 12,001

TABLE F-2 U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURTS BUSINESS AND NONBUSINESS BANKRUPTCY CODE PETITIONS COMMENCED, BY CHAPTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

TABLE	F-2	U.S.	BANKRUPTCY	COURTS

BUSINESS AND NONBUSINESS BANKRUPTCY CODE PETITIONS COMMENCED, BY CHAPTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

DISTRICT FILINGS 7 11 12 13 FILINGS 7 11 13 TH 79.366 64.367 1,112 150 13.766 4.614 2,984 1.065 150 414 74.762 61.383 47 13.757 TH 79.366 20.715 460 6 7.684 140.757 16.860 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 15.755 19.963 14.955 12.956 14.165 12.956 14.166 12.957 24.957 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th>(CONTINUE</th><th>5)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>				-			(CONTINUE	5)							
$ \begin{array}{c} 11.1 \\ 21.9 \\ 22.9.8.4 \\ 23.225 \\ 11.4 \\ 24.225 \\ 11.4 \\ 24.225 \\ 11.4 \\ 24.225 \\ 11.4 \\ 24.225 \\ 12.200 \\ 12.20$	AND						BUSINESS				CHAP.	BUSINESS	CHAP. 7		CHAP. 13
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7TH	79,396	64,367	1,112	150	13,766	4,614	2,984	1,065	150	414	74,782	61,383	47	13,352
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E	7,395 4,225 9,472 17,361 7,973	8,434 3,209 8,150 15,514 6,877	84 53 121 224 88	29 19 16 23 21	848 944 1,185 1,599 987	185 725 590 481 487	69 554 390 218 339	83 53 118 211 74	29 19 16 23 21	4 99 66 28 53	8,882 16,880 7,486	6,365 2,655 7,760 15,296 6,538	1 3 13 14	7,587 844 845 1,119 1,571 934 452
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8тн	54,833	39,295	783	283	14,466	5,368	3,634	757	283	688	49,465	35,661	26	13,778
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AR,W IA,N MN MO,E MO,W NE ND	2,805 2,328 3,519 16,688 9,506 7,772 4,477 1,226	2,039 2,200 3,067 12,003 5,698 6,638 2,801 1,122	51 18 34 223 138 128 59 20	17 13 8 41 6 26 56 42	698 95 410 4,421 3,663 980 1,547 41	175 588 67 1,952 619 698 377 205	85 524 29 1,416 406 490 145 133	48 18 28 221 138 127 59 20	17 13 41 66 66 42	25 33 274 68 55 103 9	2,630 1,738 3,452 14,736 8,887 7,074 4,100 1,021	1,954 1,676 3,038 10,587 5,292 6,148 2,656 989	3 - 6 2 -	2,425 673 62 408 4,147 3,595 925 1,444 32 67
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9тн	189,583	143,132	5,479	203	40,745	16,427	9,150	4,332	203	2,738	173,136	133,982	1,147	38,007
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AZ CA,N CA,C CA,C CA,S HI NT NT OR WA,E WA,W	19,339 21,650 19,787 69,380 13,411 964 4,160 2,083 6,939 13,461 3,941 13,298 13,298	14,089 14,943 15,545 56,242 8,376 2,723 1,767 4,904 9,583 3,147 9,980 9,25	968 773 436 1,932 291 36 78 58 279 123 97 344	5 34 4 37 44 14 35	4,261 5,927 3,772 1,202 4,740 61 1,322 214 1,755 3,741 562 2,968	2,247 2,339 1,720 4,960 818 75 204 217 445 1,125 585 1,545 4	1,081 1,247 871 3,234 499 46 70 87 152 464 329 996 3	781 594 394 1,348 220 29 75 57 251 113 94 320 1	5 34 4 - 37 44 1 14 35	364 491 374 95 22 29 41 534 127	17,092 19,311 18,067 64,420 12,593 3,956 6,494 12,336 6,494 12,336 3,356 11,753 25	13,008 13,696 14,674 53,008 7,877 821 2,653 1,680 4,752 9,119 2,818 8,984	187 179 42 584 71 3 1 28 10 3 24	100 3,897 5,436 3,351 10,828 4,645 4,645 1,300 185 1,714 3,207 535 2,745 3 -
KS 9 574 7 733 121 27 1,653 457 272 119 27 39 9 117 7 501 2 1,653 NM 4,479 3,851 69 4 608 304 183 73 6 42 4,175 3,651 18 500 OK,N 4,696 4,015 69 4 608 770 613 69 4 84 3,926 3,402 - 522 OK,E 1,799 1,590 18 13 178 170 118 17 13 22 1,629 1,472 1 156 OK,W 9,673 8,308 100 48 1,217 625 448 99 48 30 9,048 7,860 1 1.18 UT 8,042 5,833 173 8 2,028 930 578 159 8 185 7,112 5,255 14 1,842 WY 1,488 1,285 33 5	10TH	56,784	45,421	829	128	10,398	3,782	2,463	765	128	418	53,002	42,958	64	9,980
AL, N 19, 125 7, 626 211 10 11, 277 908 440 188 10 269 18, 217 7, 186 23 11, 000 AL, M 5, 903 2, 045 64 2 3, 792 272 131 62 2 77 5, 631 1, 914 2 3, 715	NM OK,N OK,E OK,W UT	9,574 4,479 4,696 1,799 9,673 8,042	7,773 3,834 4,015 1,590 8,308 5,833	121 91 69 18 100 173	27 6 4 13 48 8	1,653 548 608 178 1,217 2,028	457 304 770 170 625 930	272 183 613 118 448 578	119 73 69 17 99 159	27 6 4 13 48 8	39 42 84 22 30 185	9,117 4,175 3,926 1,629 9,048 7,112	7,501 3,651 3,402 1,472 7.860 5,255	2 18 - 1 14	3,997 1,614 506 524 156 1,187 1,843 153
ALM 5.903 2.045 64 2 3.792 272 131 62 2 77 5.631 1.914 2 3.71	11TH	120,270	69,296	2,434	120	48,419	6,650	3,352	2,111	120	1,066	113,620	65,944	323	47,353
AL,S 2,798 2,033 50 4 711 66 26 34 4 2 2,732 2,007 16 705 FL,N 2,794 2,526 99 16 153 186 57 90 16 23 2,608 2,469 9 131 FL,M 27,917 23,710 753 15 3,439 1,753 966 637 15 135 26,164 22,744 116 3,304 FL,S 12,706 10,661 581 5 1,459 893 391 497 5 - 11,813 10,270 84 1,455 GA,N 31,054 13,622 *522 4 16,906 2,139 1,165 469 4 501 28,915 12,457 53 16,405 GA,M 9,994 4,661 79 36 5,218 223 101 66 36 20 9,771 4,560 13 5,194	AL,M AL,S FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,M	27,917 12,706 31,054 9,994 7,979	2,045 2,033 2,526 23,710 10,661 13,622 4,661 2,412	64 50 753 581 *522 79 75	2 4 16 15 5 4 36 28	3,792 711 153 3,439 1,459 16,906 5,218 5,464	272 66 186 1,753 893 2,139 223 210	131 26 57 966 391 1,165 101 75	62 34 90 637 497 469 66 68	2 4 16 15 5 4 36	77 23 135 501 20	5,631 2,732 2,608 26,164 11,813 28,915 9,771	1,914 2,007 2,469 22,744 10,270 12,457 4,560	2 16 9 116 84 53 13	11,008 3,715 709 130 3,304 1,459 16,405 5,198 5,425

* THESE FIGURES INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING PETITIONS NOT REFLECTED ELSEWHERE: CT..... CHAPTER 9 = 01 NY,S.... SECTION 304 = 03 PA,W.... CHAPTER 9 = 01 TX,S... CHAPT 7 SB = 04 TX,S.... CHAPTER 9 = 01 TX,W.... CHAPTER 9 = 01 TN,E.... CHAPTER 9 = 01 IN,S.... CHAPT 11 RR = 01 MO,E.... CHAPTER 9 = 01 NE..... CHAPTER 9 = 04 ND..... CHAPT 7 SB = 01 AZ..... SECTION 304 = 01 CA,N... CHAPT 7 SB = 01 CA,N.... CHAPTER 9 = 01 WA,W.... CHAPTER 9 = 01 CO,..... CHAPTER 9 = 08 AL,N.... CHAPTER 9 = 01

#Business filings may be understated slightly due to improper classification of cases in the automated docketing systems.

TABLE F-8 U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURTS Adversary proceedings commenced, Terminated, and pending Under the bankruptcy code During the twelve month period ended june 30, 1991

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JULY 1, 1990 #	FILED	TERMINATED	PENDING JUNE 30, 1991	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JULY 1, 1990	FILED	TERMINATED	PENDING JUNE 30 1991
TOTAL	90,328	63,342	51,304	102,366	7тн	5,332	4,801	3,854	6,279
DC	137	154	81	210	IL,N	1,491	1,382	1,253	1,620
1ST	2,236	1,813	1,137	2,912	IL,C IL,S	1,141 284	573 321	589 256	1,125 349
ME	248	209	117	340	IN.N IN.S	713 971	587 1,243	496 687	804 1,527
MALLIN	1,112 260	979 192	590 172	1,501 280	WI,E	472 260	424 271	311 262	585
NH RI	195	234	189	240	HI,H				
PR	421	199	69	551	8TH	3,474	3,555	3,340	3,689
2ND	7,182	4,688	3,502	8,368	AR,E AR,H	294 202	380 193	322 168	352
CT NY,N	865 771	577 490	321 403	1,121 858]] IA,N	219 403	259 264	210 182	268 485
NY,E	1,088	1,052	696	1,444	IA,S MN	574	851	837	588
NY,S NY,H	3,922	1,814 571	1,581 439	4,155	MO,E	642 300	493 577	708 416	427
¥T	72	184	62	194	NE	576	333	305	604
3RD	6,667	4,702	3,799	7,570	HD SD	115 149	94 111	70 122	139 138
DE	200	117	63	254	9тн	25,971	15,036	11,603	29,404
NJ PA,E	3,217 1,944	2,042 1,370	1,118 1,566	4,141 1,748		356	168	200	324
PA, M	536	331	279	588	AK AZ	2,311	3,620	1,379	4,552
PA, H VI	751 19	841 1	773	819 20	CA,N	2,818	1,421 977	1,333 1,200	2,906
					CA, E CA, C	2,664 10,710	4,741	2,927	12.524
4TH	7,026	4,114	3,414	7,726	CA,S HI	1,211 406	789 96	264 227	1,730
MD	2,143	867	552	2,458	ID	517	360	413	464
NC,E NC,M	220 351	462 336	296 180	507	MT	218 1,207	181 353	240 463	159 1,097
NC, H	1,114	445	496	1,063	OR	815	973	955	833
SC VA,E	695 1,406	365 924	386 699	674 1,631	HA,E HA,H	696 2,036	258 1,095	343 1,657	611
YA, H	576	486	503	559	GUAM	4	1,055	2	
WV,N WV,S	237 284	99 130	145 157	191 257	NMI	2	-	-	1
5TH	8,562	5,642	5,490	8,714	10TH	6,273	4,875	3,694	7,454
LA, E	915	345	366	894	C0	1,131 1,295	1,041 1,695	726 870	1,440
LA, H	245	119	145	219	KS NM	483	413	344	55
LA, H MS, N	614 384	461 315	455 255	620 444	[] ΟΚ,Ν [803 78	367 128	466	70
MS,S	753	440	420	773	OK,E OK,H	831	541	467	90
TX,N	1,801 460	1,535	1,543 347	1,793	UT	1,471	616	662	1,42 190
TX,E TX,S	1,943	1,110	764	2,289	WY	181	74	65	190
тх,н	1,447	964	1,195	1,216	. 11TH	6,656	6,595	5,110	8,14
6TH	10,812	7,367	6,280	11,899	AL,N AL,M	587 194	1,123	780 222	93(19)
KY,E	680	308	348	640	AL,S	493	310	276	52
KY,W MI,E	333 1,164	452 1,234	378 1,166	407 1,232	FL,N	498 2,247	127 1,767	122 1,049	50. 2,96
MI,W	1,293	757	485	1,565	FL,M FL,S	376	969	837	50
OH,N	1,802	1,550 936	1,451 921	1,901 1,461	[GA,N]	1,605	1,194	1,091	1,70
OH,S TN,E	1,446 1,497	1,153	844	1,806	GA,M GA,S	338 318	420 466	355 378	40:
TN, M	1,511	582	276 411	1,817 1,070					
тн,н	1,086	395	+11	1,070	11 . 1				

#Revised

Table G-1

U.S. Court of International Trade Summary of All Cases Filed and Terminated Twelve Month Periods Ended September 30, 1990 and 1991

Summary of Workload	1990	1991	Increase/ Decrease
Cases Pending on October 1	4,480	3,447 *	-1,033
Total Cases Filed	720	1,021	301
Total Cases Terminated After Trial, Hearing	1,727	1,487	-240
or Submission After Submission on Agreed	78	62	-16
Statement of Facts	904	758	-146
After Dispositive Orders	47	52	5
After Dismissal	698	615	-83
Cases Pending on September 30	3,473	2,981	-492

* As a result of a physical inventory of cases taken at the beginning of fiscal year 1991, the pending case count has been adjusted from 3,473 to 3,447.

Table G-2A Report of the U.S. Claims Court Cases Filed, Terminated, and Pending for the Year Ended September 30, 1991

		ding ber 1,					Penc Septem	•
	19	90	File	d	Termina	ated	199	1
		Plain-		Plain-		Plain-		Plain-
Type of Case	Cases	tiffs*	Cases	tiffs	Cases	tiffs	Cases	tiffs
Total Cases	3,471	36,652	3,451	5,289	1,310	16,306	5,612	25,635
Service Pay	90	382	26	26	44	48	72	360
Civilian Pay	123	24,099	37	764	40	14,170	120	10,693
Contract	794	910	354	807	324	652	824	1,065
Indian	35	3,500	5	15	9	29	31	3,486
Indian (Transfer)	13	13	-	-	1	1	12	12
Patent	24	24	5	5	5	5	24	24
Property (taken)	123	1,171	52	83	45	62	130	1,192
Тах	646	4,929	153	280	165	178	634	5,03 ⁻
Declaratory judgments								
(tax exempt)	1	1	1	1	-	-	2	2
Renegotiation	.1	1		_	1	1	-	
Transportation	22	22	29	35	5	5	46	52
Miscellaneous	64	65	50	534	66	550	48	49
Oil Spill	4	4	3	3	1	1	6	
Declaratory judgments			_					
(contract)	7	7	17	17	17	17	7	
Tris	9	9		-	1	1	8	
Congressional		-				-		
Reference Cases**	6	6	2	2	2	2	6	
Vaccine		Ŭ		-		-		
Compensation**	1,509	1,509	2,717	2,717	584	584	3,642	3,64

* Plaintiffs include petitioners in vaccine compensation cases.

**The Congressional Reference Cases are received pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1492 and the Vaccine Cases are received pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 300aa-1 et seq.

Table G-2B Report of the U.S. Claims Court Judgments and Appeals for the Year Ended September 30, 1991

Amount of Judgments	
Number of cases terminated	1,310
Number of plaintiffs terminated	16,306
Amount claimed	\$2,985,876,710
Judgments for plaintiffs	\$226,339,224
Amount of above judgments for plaintiffs carrying interest	\$143,777,742
Judgments on defendants' counterclaims or offsets	\$15,787,039
Amount of above judgments for defendants on counterclaims or	
offsets carrying interest	\$12,054,931
Appeals to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Appeals pending October 1, 1990	129
Appeals filed October 1, 1990 to September 30, 1991	146
Appeals terminated October 1, 1990 to September 30, 1991	159
Affirmed	81
Reversed (including those in part)	26
Appeals dismissed	52
Appeals pending September 30, 1991	116

TABLE H-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT CASES ACTIVATED FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

CIRCUIT	CRIMINAL	PSA		ТҮРЕ	0F.	CASE		RULE 40	PRETR	IAL DIVERSI PRE	POST
DISTRICT	DEFENDANTS	CASES ACTIVATED2	COMP- LAINTS	INDICT/ Infor	SUPER- CEDING	MATERIAL WITNESS	OTHER	TRANSFERS	CASES ACTIVATED	CHARGED PTD3	CHARGED PTD4
TOTAL	55,706	53,041	28,143	24,034	62	399	403	3,874	2,272	1,883	389
1ST	1,801	1,673	945	717	0	2	9	109	74	69	5
ME MA NH RI PR	191 639 136 174 661	175 563 115 184 636	86 225 26 107 501	87 337 89 77 127	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0	1 0 0 8	13 39 13 10 34	2 39 5 2 26	1 37 4 2 25	1 2 1 0 1
2ND	4,997	4,983	3,954	996	2	7	24	305	60	43	17
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	408 628 1,739 1,583 462 177	293 446 2,022 1,668 385 169	142 284 1,801 1,426 224 77	146 151 221 229 158 91	0 0 2 0 0	0 6 0 1 0 0	5 5 0 10 3 1	17 16 143 109 16 4	10 5 1 20 20 4	8 5 1 5 20 4	2 0 0 15 0 0
3RD	3,275	2,934	1,443	1,448	0	4	39	211	196	83	113
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	160 1,072 1,064 345 342 292	130 997 867 347 31、) 283	48 718 269 79 62 267	81 257 592 261 243 14	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 3 0	1 21 6 7 2 2	4 139 32 13 20 3	1 123 12 34 25 1	0 44 12 26 1 0	1 79 0 8 24 1
4TH	6,627	4,787	1,557	3,194	0	2	34	241	374	326	48
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H WY,N	703 625 430 818 1,029 1,908 471 178 465	695 916 317 676 164 1,070 427 55 467	366 115 87 153 83 473 93 12 175	326 799 229 520 80 571 334 43 292		0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	3 1 2 1 26 0 0 0	51 33 23 48 14 45 21 3 3	46 63 9 27 44 152 10 10 10 13	40 61 3 13 41 136 10 10 10	6 2 6 14 3 16 0 0 1
5TH	7,899	8,924	5,728	3,050	1	113	32	545	405	339	66
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, E TX, S TX, W	569 84 338 204 309 1,049 376 2,792 2,178	594 76 226 306 829 247 3,011 3,427	297 21 68 48 90 377 60 2,089 2,678	267 55 158 207 451 186 873 675	000010000	0 0 0 5 0 35 73	10 0 2 3 1 1 14 14	27 8 28 12 16 103 28 243 80	50 3 14 7 23 84 21 132 71	36 2 13 5 22 82 21 94 64	14 1 2 1 2 0 38 7
6TH	5,032	4,674	1,851	2,704	54	5	60	254	203	175	28
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	359 503 1,235 269 631 610 495 371 559	307 305 1,527 270 657 589 357 174 488	102 68 814 42 186 250 109 71 209	204 234 635 227 468 331 240 103 262	0 54 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 21 3 8 8 0 17	6 28 66 15 32 38 25 16 28	11 11 126 4 2 0 1 48 0	5 8 111 4 2 0 1 44 0	6 3 15 0 0 0 0 4 0

TABLE H-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT CASES ACTIVATED FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

•									PRETRIAL DIVERSION			
	CIRCUIT	CRIMINAL	PSA	6640	TYPE		CASE		RULE 40		PRÉ	POST
	AND DISTRICT	DEFENDANTS FILED1	CASES ACTIVATED2	COMP- LAINTS	INDICT/ Infor	SUPER- CEDING	MATERIAL WITNESS	OTHER	TRANSFERS OUT	CASES ACTIVATED	CHARGED PTD3	CHARGED PTD4
	7TH	2,869	2,219	896	1,296	5	4	18	181	86	83	3
	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	1,195 353 244 223 314 401 139	804 229 176 228 286 345 151	553 83 34 53 99 45 29	244 145 136 172 178 300 121	3 0 1 0 1 0 0	3 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 5 3 8 0	88 14 8 15 20 20	32 7 4 7 17 3	29 7 4 7 17 3	3 0 0 0 0
	8TH	2,809	2,707	680	1,995	0	7	1 25	16 181	16 137	16 131	0 6
	AR, E AR, W IA, N IA, S MD, E MD, E ND SD	327 120 143 188 526 364 432 228 192 289	252 77 117 543 399 404 262 209 247	32 8 25 64 205 126 104 33 56 27	218 66 92 126 334 266 299 224 152 218	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 6 0 0 1 0 0	2 3 0 1 4 7 1 4 1 2	17 4 11 17 39 43 22 14 9 5	43 11 7 4 3 26 18 13 7 5	42 11 6 2 3 26 17 13 7 4	1 0 1 2 0 0 1 0. 0 1
	9TH	10,472	11,001	7,446	3,360	0	104	91	777	344	276	68
	AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, E CA, S HI ID NY OR HA, E HA, H GUAM NMI	179 1,507 813 794 1,694 1,997 369 105 341 531 750 455 804 122 11	174 2,601 745 591 2,059 2,129 215 116 260 625 698 248 540 0 0	22 2,180 520 412 1,227 1,828 143 20 71 349 299 99 276 0 0	151 403 217 154 748 282 69 96 188 260 391 148 253 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 92 10 73 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 8 0 0	1 96 15 11 18 30 1 15 8 1 30 0	4 122 50 51 303 58 24 15 5 78 19 3 45 0 0	24 64 69 3 28 14 0 10 64 38 9 12 9 0 0	23 39 69 3 20 7 0 8 55 31 4 8 9 0 0	1 25 0 8 7 0 2 9 7 5 4 0 0 0
	10TH	2,974	2,767	1,218	1,404	0	118	27	207	215	190	25
	CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	554 372 813 248 107 369 373 138	539 278 893 232 97 346 270 112	249 41 571 28 14 137 136 42	284 234 205 199 83 201 129 69	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 108 0 8 0 0	6 1 9 5 0 0 5 1	87 18 41 15 11 18 15 2	24 52 43 1 4 27 59 5	17 42 42 1 4 27 52 5	7 10 1 0 0 7 7 0
	11TH	6,951	6,372	2,425	3,870	0	33	44	863	178	168	10
	AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,S	360 217 397 432 1,579 2,662 530 426 348	305 251 283 336 1,396 2,567 663 240 331	56 62 42 76 491 1,161 351 60 126	248 189 240 251 892 1,365 311 172 202		0 0 2 8 21 0 2 0	1017520163	24 11 13 46 188 404 124 34 19	68 2 15 0 55 2 2 12 22	61 1 14 0 55 2 2 12 21	7 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
	AL,M AL,S FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N GA,M	217 397 432 1,579 2,662 530 426	251 283 336 1,396 2,567 663 240	62 42 76 491 1,161 351 60	189 240 251 892 1,365 311 172	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 2 8 21 0 2	0 1 7 5 20 1 6	11 13 46 188 404 124 34	55 2 2 12	1 14 0 55 2 2 12	<u></u>

* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

1. INCLUDES PERSONS PROCEEDING BY INDICTMENT, INFORMATION OR CONSENT ON COMPLAINT AND PERSONS IN FUGITIVE STATUS. EXCLUDES PETTY, TRAFFIC, ESCAPES, REDPENS, REMOVALS AND COMPLAINTS DISMISSED AT THE INITIAL APPEARANCE.

2. A PSA CASE IS ACTIVATED ON EACH CRIMINAL DEFENDANT. THIS COLUMN MAY INCLUDE PERSONS COUNTED ONCE AS A PSA CASE, BUT NAMED IN MORE THAN ONE CRIMINAL FILING.

3. NOT CHARGED IN U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

4. CHARGED IN U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

TABLE H-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* Pretrial Services ACT PSA INTERVIENS AND TYPE OF BAIL REPORT For the twelve month period ending June 30,1991

										JUNE J	-						
CIRCUIT	PSA		INTER	IVIEW S REFUSE	TATUS	NOT			DDC	BAIL	<u> </u>	E OF Post		PORT I DTHER		NO REP	ODT
AND	CASES	INTERV	IEWED	INTERV		INTERV	IEWED		<u> </u>	WITH	W/OUT		DAIL	UTHER			
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	RECOM.	RECOM.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
TOTAL	53,041	45,507	85.8	3,154	5,9	4,380	8.3	46,395	87.5	43,844	2,551	3,996	7 .5	706	1.3	1,944	3.7
1ST	1,673	1,573	94.0	42	2.5	58	3.5	1,481	88.5	1,468	13	114	6.8	27	1.6	51	3.0
ME	175	169	96.6	6	3.4	0	.0	162	92.6	161	1	0	.0	10	5.7	3	1.7
MA NH	563 115	524 79	93.1 68.7	14	2.5 5.2	25 30	4.4 26.1	425 83	75.5 72.2	423	27	104 3	18.5 2.6	6 11	1.1 9.6	28 18	5.0 15.7
RI	184	182	98.9	1 ĭ	.5	1	.5	179	97.3	178	(i	5	2.7	0		0	.0
PR	636	619	97.3	15	2.4	2	.3	632	99.4	630	2	2	.3	0	.0	2	.3
2ND	4,983	4,738	95.1	100	2.0	145	2.9	4,695	94.2	4,627	68	205	4.1	24	.5	59	1.2
CT	293	152	51.9	41	14.0	100	34.1	187	63.8	152	35	81	27.6	4	1.4	21	7.2
NY,N NY,E	446 2,022	436 2,020	97.8 99.9	72	1.6	3	.7 .0	432 2,016	96.9 99.7	419 2,016	13 0	12 2	2.7 .1	2	.4	0	0. 0.
NY,S	1,668	1,649	98.9	16	1.0	3	.2	1,604	96.2	1.01	3	39	2.3	9	.5	16	1.0
NY,W	385	355	92.2	21	5.5	. 9	2.3	294	76.4	279	15	69	17.9	5	1.3	17	4.4
VT	169	126	74.6	13	7.7	.30	17.8	162	95.9	160	2	2	1.2	0	.0	5	3.0
3RD	2,934	2,806	95.6	69	2.4	59	2.0	2,367	80.7	2,217	150	237	8.1	135	4.6	195	6.6
DE NJ	130 997	106 964	81.5 96.7	15 8	11.5	9 25	6.9 2.5	116 871	89.2 87.4	111 774	5 97	3 24	2.3 2.4	03	.0 .3	11 99	8.5 9.9
PA, E	867	860	99.2	4	.5	3	.3	704	81.2	660	44	120	13.8	5	.6	38	4.4
PA, M	347	319	91.9	8	2.3	20	5.8	323	93.1	323	0	20	5.8	3	.9	1	.3
PA,W VI	310 283	300 257	96.8 90.8	8 26	2.6 9.2	2	.6 .0	258 95	83.2 33.6	255 94	3	35 35	11.3 12.4	0	.0	17 29	5.5
4TH	4,787	3,620	75.6	168	3.5	999	.0 20.9	3,806	79.5	3,548	258	747	12.4	33	43.8	29	10.2 4.2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· · · · · · · · · · · ·	·									
MD NC,E	695 916	666 116	95.8 12.7	24	3.5	5 796	.7 86.9	622 861	89.5 94.0	609 833	13 28	64 22	9.2 2.4	5	.7 .2	4 31	.6 3.4
NC,M	317	300	94.6	9	2.8	8	2.5	285	89.9	221	64	17	5.4	7	2.2	8	2.5
NC, W	676	550	81.4	13	1.9	113	16.7	250	37.0	220	30	367	54.3	0	.0	59	8.7
SC VA,E	164 1,070	152 1,034	92.7 96.6	5 25	3.0 2.3	7	4.3	86 967	52.4 90.4	74 891	12 76	60 83	36.6 7.8	06	.0 .6	18 14	11.0 1.3
VA, H	427	346	81.0	41	9.6	40	9.4	365	85.5	359	6	44	10.3	ŏ	.ŏ	18	4.2
WV,N	55	53	96.4	0	.0	2	3.6	1	1.8	1	0	11	20.0	6	10.9	37	67.3
WV,S	467	403	86.3	47	10.1	17	3.6	369	79.0	340	29	79	16.9	7	1.5	12	2.6
5TH	8,924	8,573	96.1	285	3.2	66	.7	8,505	95.3	8,337	168	296	3.3	75	.8	48	.5
LA, E	594	538	90.6	49	8.2	7	1.2	589	99.2	588	1	3	.5	0	.0	2	.3
LA,M LA,H	76 226	74 213	97.4 94.2	26	2.6	0	.0 3.1	219	100.0 96.9	76	02	0 5	.0 2.2		.0 .0	02	.0
MS,N	208	201	96.6	2	1.0	5	2.4	191	91.8	163	28	13	6.3	i	.5	3	1.4
MS,S	306	291	95.1	8	2.6	5 7	2.3	300	98.0	300	0	2	.7	1	.3	3	1.0
TX,N	829	791	95.4	36	4.3	2	.2	754	91.0	728	26	53	6.4	11	1.3	11	1.3
TX,E TX,S	247 3,011	237 2,930	96.0 97.3	6 67	2.4 2.2	4 14	1.6	187 2,878	75.7 95.6	182 2,797	5 81	5 118	2.0 3.9	47	19.0 .3	8	3.2
ТХ, Н	3,427	3,298	96.2	109	3.2	20	.6	3,311	96.6	3,286	25	97	2.8	7	.2	12	.2 .4
6TH	4,674	4,402	94.2	111	2.4	161	3.4	3,796	81.2	3,707	89	566	12.1	104	2.2	208	4.5
KY,E	307	287	93.5	5	1.6	15	4.9	265	86.3	262	3	30	9.8	9	2.9	3	1.0
KY,H MI,E	305 1,527	299 1,511	98.0 99.0	6 12	2.0	0	.0 .3	190 1,309	62.3 85.7	172 1,296	18 13	109 110	35.7 7.2	1 52	.3 3.4	5 56	1.6 3.7
MI,W	270	262	97.0	4	1.5	4	1.5	258	95.6	254	13	9	3.3	1	.4	2	.7
OH.N	657	567	86.3	26	4.0	64	9.7	640	97.4	631	9	9	1.4	1	.2	7	1.1
OH,S TN,E	589 357	556 321	94.4 89.9	20 18	3.4	13	2.2	517	87.8	499	18	46	7.8	1	,·2	25	4.2
TN.M	174	154	88.5	18	5.0 6.9	18 8	5.0 4.6	282 74	79.0 42.5	278 72	4	22 48	6.2 27.6	9	2.5	44 48	12.3 27.6
TN,M TN,W	488	445	91.2	- 8	1.6	35	7.2	261	53.5	243	18	183	37.5	26	5.3	18	3.7
																L	

TABLE H-2	
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS*	
PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT	
PSA INTERVIEWS AND TYPE OF BAIL REPORT	
FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991	

	1		VIEW S	TATUS			TYPE OF REPORT										
	PSA	THEFT	INTERVIEW STATUS REFUSED TERVIEWED INTERVIEW		NDT		PREBAIL				POST BAIL		OTHER		NO REPORT		
AND DISTRICT	CASES ACTIVATED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	INTERV TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	WITH RECOM.	W/OUT RECOM.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
			I		<u> </u>						<u> </u>						
7TH	2,219	1,838	82.8	190	8.6	191	8.6	1,736	78.2	1,652	84	245	11.0	30	1.4	208	9.4
IL,N	804	662	82.3	45	5.6	97	12.1	561	69.8	522	39	104	12.9	11	1.4	128	15.9
IL,C	229	194	84.7	14	6.1	21	9.2	179	78.2	157	22	22	9.6	2	.9	26	11.4
IL,S IN,N	176 228	147 219	83.5 96.1	23	13.1 3.1	62	3.4	145 222	82.4 97.4	138	7	12	6.8 1.8	2	1.1	17 1	9.7 .4
IN,S	286	234	81.8	35	12.2	17	5.9	235	82.2	225	10	24	8.4	2	.7	25	8.7
WI,E WI,W	345 151	268 114	77.7 75.5	44	12.8 14.6	33 15	9.6 9.9	261 133	75.7 88.1	260 128	15	68 11	19.7 7.3		3.2 .7	5	1.4 4.0
											İ -		7.5	-			
8TH	2,707	2,558	94.5	79	2.9	70	2.6	2,402	88.7	2,323	79	185	6.8	51	1.9	69	2.5
AR, E	252		100.0	05	.0 6.5	03	.0 3.9	191	75.8	190	1	54	21.4		.4	6	2.4
AR,W IA,N	77 117	69 115	89.6 98.3		.9	3	3.9	47 109	61.0 93.2	44 105	3	22	28.6 5.1		1.3 .9	1	9.1 .9
IA, S	197	182	92.4	5	2.5	10	5.1	181	91.9	179	2	14	7.1	O O	.0	2	1.0
MN MO,E	543 399	520 386	95.8 96.7	17	3.1 2.8	6	1.1	475 395	87.5 99.0	472 394	3	57 3	10.5 .8	4	.7 .0	7	1.3 .3
MO,W	404	396	98.0	7	1.7	1	.2	401	99.3	401	Ő	1	.2	1	.2	1	.2
NE ND	262 209	210 197	80.2 94.3	17	6.5 2.9	35	13.4	203 162	77.5 77.5	197 152	6 10	13 8	5.0 3.8	24 19	9.2 9.1	22 20	8.4 9.6
SD	247	231	93.5	10	4.0	6	2.4	238	96.4	189	49	7	2.8	Ő	.ō	2	.8
9ТН	11,001	7,266	66.0	1,556	14.1	2,179	19.8	9,578	87.1	8,352	1,226	725	6.6	107	1.0	591	5.4
AK	174	111	63.8	8	4.6	55	31.6	169	97.1	143.	26	1	.6	0	.0	4	2.3
AZ	2,601	1,660	63.8	120	4.6	821	31.6	2,439	93.8	1,620	819	92	3.5	10	.4	60	2.3
CA,N CA,E	745 591	534 183	71.7 31.0	12 151	1.6 25.5	199 257	26.7 43.5	504 516	67.7 87.3	289 515	215 1	82	11.0 12.5	24	3.2 .2	135 0	18.1
CA, C	2,059	1,865	90.6	159	7.7	35	1.7	1,936	94.0	1,923	13	38	1.8	46	2.2	39	1.9
CA,S HI	2,129 215	875 157	41.1 73.0	652 39	30.6 18.1	602 19	28.3 8.8	1,654 186	77.7	1,610	44	180 19	8.5 8.8	13	.6 .9	282 8	13.2 3.7
ID	116	106	91.4	6	5.2	4	3.4	113	97.4	113	Ö.	1	.9	0	.0	2	1.7
MT NV	260 625	247 568	95.0 90.9	3 33	1.2 5.3	10 24	3.8 3.8	204 587	78.5 93.9	191 585	13	24 29	9.2 4.6	7	2.7	25 9	9.6 1.4
OR	698	441	63.2	246	35.2	11	1.6	686	98.3	684	2	11	1.6	1	.1	Ó	1.0
WA, E	248 540	223 296	89.9 54.8	11 116	4.4	14	5.6 23.7	119	48.0	83	36	101 73	40.7 13.5	3	1.2	25 2	10.1
WA,W GUAM	540	270	.0	0	21.5 .0	128 0	23.7	465 0	86.1	418 0	47	6	.0	ŏ	.0 .0	ő	.4 .0
NMI	0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0.	0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0
10TH	2,767	2,385	86.2	206	7.4	176	6.4	2,281	82.4	2,200	81	252	9.1	80	2.9	154	5.6
co	539	523	97.0	14	2.6	2	.4	520	96.5	518	2	10	1.9	3	.6	6	1.1
KS NM	278 893	232 768	83.5 86.0	17 94	6.1 10.5	29 31	10.4 3.5	208 716	74.8 80.2	198 685	10 31	10 169	3.6 18.9	6	2.2	54 7	19.4 .8
OK,N	232	213	91.8	17	7.3	2	.9	231	99.6	231	0	0	.0	Ō	.ō	1	.4
OK, E	97 346	80 237	82.5 68.5	9	9.3	8	8.2	86	88.7 77.7	85	1	08	.0 2.3	4 60	4.1 17.3	79	7.2 2.6
OK,W UT	270	237	91.1	44	12.7 3.3	65 15	5.6	269 199	73.7	239 196	30 3	16	2.3 5.9	5	1.9	50	18.5
₩Υ	112	86	76.8	2	1.8	24	21.4	52	46.4	48	4	39	34.8	1	.9	20	17.9
11TH	6,372	5,748	90.2	348	5.5	276	4.3	5,748	90.2	5,413	335	424	6.7	40	.6	160	2.5
AL,N	305	256	83.9	19	6.2	30	9.8	295	96.7	274	21	. 4	1.3	1	.3	5	1.6
AL,M AL,S	251 283	228 218	90.8 77.0	6 28	2.4 9.9	17 37	6.8 13.1	226 261	90.0 92.2	225 254	17	3 17	1.2 6.0	02	.0 .7	22	8.8 1.1
FL,N	336	290	86.3	28	8.3	18	5.4	281	83.6	230	51	18	5.4	2 3 2	.9	34	10.1
FL,M FL,S	1,396	1,204 2,418	86.2 94.2	72 137	5.2 5.3	120 12	8.6	1,269 2,277	90.9 88.7	1,191	78 111	60 262	4.3 10.2	2	.1 .9	65 4	4.7 .2
GA,N	2,567 663	620	93.5	43	5.3 6.5	0	.5 .0	600	90.5	2,166 592	8	39	5.9	24 5 2	.8	19	2.9
GA.M	240	226	94.2	9	3.8	5	2.1	229	95.4	221	8	1	.4	2	.8	8	3.3
GA, S	331	288	87.0	6	1.8	37	11.2	310	93.7	260	50	20	6.0	l 1	.3	0	.0
	L			l				l		L	I	l					

* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

TABLE H-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT RELEASE AND DETENTION AT THE INITIAL HEARING FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

CIRCUIT	PSA CASES	RELEASED1	DETAIN UNABLE MAKE BA	TO	HELD I Tempor Detent	ARY	DETAIN PENDIN HEARIN	G DET		ED G HELD E DAY3	DETAIN BAIL NOT SE	
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	TOTAL PCT.		PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
TOTAL	53,041	24,791 46.7	4,513	8.5	1,505	2.8	15,344	28.9	4,212	7.9	2,676	5.0
1ST	1,673	638 38.1	50	3.0	203	12.1	667	39.9	62	3.7	53	3.2
ME MA NH RI PR	175 563 115 184 636	109 62.3 262 46.5 101 87.8 57 31.0 109 17.1	0 0 1 21 28	.0 .0 .9 11.4 4.4	0 7 3 4 189	.0 1.2 2.6 2.2 29.7	50 264 61 286	28.6 46.9 5.2 33.2 45.0	16 2 3 41 0	9.1 .4 2.6 22.3 .0	0 28 1 0 24	.0 5.0 .9 .0 3.8
2ND	4,983	2,350 47.2	169	3.4	23	.5	1,596	32.0	837	16.8	8	.2
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	293 446 2,022 1,668 385 169	158 53.9 267 59.9 747 36.9 863 51.7 220 57.1 95 56.2	1 7 16 29 116 0	.3 1.6 .8 1.7 30.1 .0	2 16 1 0 4 0	.7 3.6 .0 .0 1.0 .0	122 144 625 629 38 38	41.6 32.3 30.9 37.7 9.9 22.5	7 11 633 147 3 36	2.4 2.5 31.3 8.8 .8 21.3	3 1 0 4 0	1.0 .2 .0 .0 1.0 .0
3RD	2,934	1,654 56.4	209	7.1	70	2.4	827	28.2	118	4.0	56	1.9
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	130 997 867 347 310 283	71 54.6 553 55.5 460 53.1 239 68.9 232 74.8 99 35.0	6 138 8 13 9 35	4.6 13.8 .9 3.7 2.9 12.4	1 5 26 1 2 35	.8 .5 3.0 .3 .6 12.4	44 200 368 60 65 90	33.8 20.1 42.4 17.3 21.0 31.8	8 85 4 2 1 18	6.2 8.5 .5 .3 6.4	0 16 1 32 1 6	.0 1.6 .1 9.2 .3 2.1
4TH	4,787	2,981 62.3	103	2.2	39	.8	1,365	28.5	76	1.6	223	4.7
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H WV,N WV,S	695 916 317 676 164 1,070 427 55 467	418 60.1 733 80.0 129 40.7 360 53.3 108 65.9 559 52.2 301 70.5 54 98.2 319 68.3	12 6 13 8 6 33 23 0 23 0 2	1.7 .7 4.1 1.2 3.7 3.1 5.4 .0 .4	1 2 0 5 3 9 18 0 1	.1 .2 .0 .7 1.8 .8 4.2 .0 .2	236 123 172 187 37 430 49 1 130	34.0 13.4 54.3 27.7 22.6 40.2 11.5 1.8 27.8	12 26 0 23 5 0 10	1.7 2.8 .0 .0 2.1 1.2 .0 2.1	16 26 3 116 10 16 31 0 5	2.3 2.8 .9 17.2 6.1 1.5 7.3 .0 1.1
5TH	8,924	2,962 33.2	1,544	17.3	768	8.6	1,888	21.2	122	1.4	1,640	18.4
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W	594 76 208 306 829 247 3,011 3,427	302 50.8 51 67.1 133 58.8 152 73.1 200 65.4 327 39.4 149 60.3 985 32.7 663 19.3	20 10, 2 17 23 96 3 995 378	3.4 13.2 .9 8.2 7.5 11.6 1.2 33.0 11.0	0 0 11 5 149 6 345 251	.0 4.9 .5 1.6 18.0 2.4 11.5 7.3	254 15 75 16 59 125 50 636 658	42.8 19.7 33.2 7.7 19.3 15.1 20.2 21.1 19.2	18 0 15 7 6 39 24 13	3.0 .0 7.2 2.3 .7 15.8 .8 .4	0 5 7 12 126 0 26 1,464	.0 2.2 3.4 3.9 15.2 .0 .9 42.7
6тн	4,674	3,099 66.3	77	1.6	41	.9	1,136	24.3	280	6.0	41	.9
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	307 305 1,527 270 657 589 357 174 488	212 69.1 226 74.1 1,134 74.3 222 82.2 448 68.2 299 50.8 233 65.3 107 61.5 218 44.7	3 0 3 4 25 15 21 1 5	1.0 .0 .2 1.5 3.8 2.5 5.9 .6 1.0	5 0 10 13 2 5 6 0	1.6 .0 .7 .0 2.0 .3 1.4 3.4 .0	71 70 271 44 113 247 91 57 172	23.1 23.0 17.7 16.3 17.2 41.9 25.5 32.8 35.2	16 2 108 0 44 14 1 2 93	5.2 .7 7.1 .0 6.7 2.4 .3 1.1 19.1	0 7 1 0 14 12 6 1 0	.0 2.3 .1 .0 2.1 2.0 1.7 .6 .0

TABLE H-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT RELEASE AND DETENTION AT THE INITIAL HEARING FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

	CIRCUIT	PSA CASES	RELEASED1	DETAINED UNABLE T MAKE BAI	0 L	HELD IN Tempora Detenti	RY DN2	DETAINED PENDING DET HEARING	DETAINED HEARING HELD ON SAME DAY3	DETAINED BAIL NOT SET
	DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	TOTAL PCT.	TOTAL	ст.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL PCT.	TOTAL PCT.	TOTAL PCT.
=	7TH	2,219	1,240 55.9	47	2.1	20	.9	763 34.4	82 3.7	67 3.0
	IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	804 229 176 228 286 345 151	361 44.9 116 50.7 108 61.4 178 78.1 174 60.8 215 62.3 88 58.3	0 3 10	.9 1.3 6.8 .0 1.0 2.9 7.9	0 2 0 15 1	.0 .9 .0 .9 .0 4.3 .7	385 47.9 88 38.4 44 25.0 42 18.4 74 25.9 81 23.5 49 32.5	47 5.8 15 6.6 12 6.8 4 1.8 0 .0 3 .9 1 .7	4 .5 5 2.2 0 .0 2 .9 35 12.2 21 6.1 0 .0
	8TH	2,707	1,690 62.4	112	4.1	66	2.4	709 26.2	77 2.8	53 2.0
	AR,E AR,H IA,N MA,S MO,E MO,W NE SD	252 77 117 543 399 404 262 209 247	211 83.7 56 72.7 83 70.9 95 48.2 322 59.3 202 50.6 236 58.4 178 67.9 144 68.9 163 66.0	6 2 0 2 80 2	2.8 7.8 1.7 .4 0.1 3.5 .4 .0	2 2 11 0 48 0 2 1 0 0	.8 9.4 9.8 .8 .9 .4 .0 .5 .4 .0	16 6.3 9 11.7 14 12.0 102 51.8 160 29.5 78 19.5 117 29.6 61 29.2 77 31.2	7 2.8 0 .0 6 5.1 0 .0 11 2.0 38 9.5 5 1.2 6 2.3 2 1.0 2 .8	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	9TH	11,001	3,924 35.7	1,481 1	3.5	181	1.6	2,955 26.9	2,138 19.4	322 2.9
	AK AZ CA,E CA,E CA,S HI ID MT NY GR MA,E WA,M GUAM NMI	174 2,601 745 591 2,059 2,129 215 116 260 625 698 248 540 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	141 1,201 5 7 0 19 1 0 75 3	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\1 \\ 2.4 \\ 6.4 \\ 3.0 \\ 7.3 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\$	2 119 0 1 52 0 0 0 2 0 5 0 0 0 0 0	1.1 4.6 .0 .0 2.4 .0 .0 .0 .3 .0 2.0 .0 .0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	10TH	2,767	1,394 50.4	78	2.8	4	.1	1,061 38.3	186 6.7	44 1.6
	CO KS NM OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	539 278 893 232 97 346 270 112	338 62.7 162 58.3 285 31.9 162 69.8 62 63.9 222 64.2 102 37.8 61 54.5	24 13 0 4 0	1.5 4.3 2.7 5.6 .0 1.2 .0 5.2	0 0 1 0 0 2 1	.0.0.4.0.0.7.9	190 35.3 68 24.5 469 52.5 26 11.2 27 27.8 119 34.4 136 50.4 26 23.2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 .2 26 9.4 1 .1 0 .0 7 7.2 1 .3 1 .4 7 6.3
	11TH	6,372	2,859 44.9	643 1	0.1	90	1.4	2,377 37.3	234 3.7	169 2.7
	AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,M GA,S	305 251 283 336 1,396 2,567 663 240 331	225 73.8 201 80.1 157 55.5 175 52.1 695 49.8 733 28.6 346 52.2 127 52.9 200 60.4	0 1 9 45 478 1 26 29 1	2.3 .0 .4 2.7 3.2 8.6 3.9 2.1 4.5	3 5 7 4 11 12 24 14 10	1.0 2.0 2.5 1.2 .8 .5 3.6 5.8 3.0	43 14.1 42 16.7 83 29.3 115 34.2 500 35.8 1,231 48.0 261 39.4 60 25.0 42 12.7	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25 8.2 1 .4 23 8.1 3 .9 37 2.7 57 2.2 2 .3 4 1.7 17 5.1

* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

1. INCLUDES CASES DISMISSED

2. TEMPORARY DETENTION UNDER 3142(D). DEFENDANT CHARGED WITH A INSTANT OFFENSE WHILE ON PRETRIAL RELEASE FOR ANOTHER CHARGE, OR ON PAROLE OR PROBATION FOR A PRIOR CONVICTION OR DEFENDANT IS IN ILLEGAL ALIEN STATUS.

3. DETAINED PENDING DETENTION HEARING/HELD ON SAME DAY OF INITIAL HEARING.

TABLE H-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION HEARINGS** FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

	FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991										
CIRCUIT	PSA DEFENDANTS REASONS FOR DETENTION							0 N			
AND	CASES	WITH D	ETENTION			WITH	DETENTION		DANGER	DANGER TO	FLIGHT&
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	HEARIN		DETENT		PRESUMP		FLIGHT	TO WITNESS	COMMUNITY	DANGER
		TOTAL	PUI.	GOVT.	COURT	TION	TOTAL PCT.	TOTAL PCT.	TOTAL PCT.	TUTAL PCT.	TOTAL PCT.
TOTAL	53,041	19,420	36.6	18,601	819	10,068	14,473 74.5	5,910 40.8	157 1.1	1,754 12.1	6,652 46.0
1ST	1,673	725	43.3	716	9	588	550 75.9	165 30.0	3.5	25 4.5	357 64.9
ME MA NH RI	175 563 115 184	62 266 9 102	35.4 47.2 7.8 55.4	57 265 7 102	5 1 2 0	13 216 6 92	57 91.9 181 68.0 6 66.7 96 94.1	36 63.2 113 62.4 4 66.7 4 4.2	2 3.5 1 .6 0 .0 0 .0	5 8.8 4 2.2 2 33.3 9 9.4	14 24.6 63 34.8 0 .0 83 86.5
PR	636	286	45.0	285	1	261	210 73.4	8 3.8	0.0	5 2.4	197 93.8
2ND	4,983	2,426	48.7	2,396	30	1,499	2,011 82.9	1,566 77.9	6.3	77 3.8	362 18.0
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	293 446 2,022 1,668 385 169	129 149 1,258 776 40 74	44.0 33.4 62.2 46.5 10.4 43.8	128 148 1,252 757 39 72	1 6 19 1 2	81 55 1,041 279 20 23	96 74.4 95 63.8 1,155 91.8 593 76.4 21 52.5 51 68.9	65 67.7 39 41.1 1,133 98.1 290 48.9 7 33.3 32 62.7	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & .0 \\ 0 & .0 \\ 0 & .0 \\ 4 & .7 \\ 1 & 4.8 \\ 1 & 2.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22 22.9 52 54.7 10 .9 260 43.8 9 42.9 9 17.6
3RD	2,934	939	32.0	907	32	589	738 78.6	206 27.9	9 1.2	107 14.5	416 56.4
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	130 997 867 347 310 283	52 281 372 61 66 107	40.0 28.2 42.9 17.6 21.3 37.8	49 263 369 58 65 103	3 18 3 3 1 4	29 186 242 49 52 31	40 76.9 217 77.2 298 80.1 49 80.3 55 83.3 79 73.8	30 75.0 69 31.8 41 13.8 13 26.5 15 27.3 38 48.1	0 .0 2 .9 3 1.0 0 .0 1 1.8 3 3.8	2 5.0 15 6.9 39 13.1 5 10.2 14 25.5 32 40.5	8 20.0 131 60.4 215 72.1 31 63.3 25 45.5 6 7.6
4TH	4,787	1,423	29.7	1,366	57	877	1,164 81.8	243 20.9	19 1.6	199 17.1	703 60.4
MD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,E WY,N WY,S	695 916 317 676 164 1,070 427 55 467	246 145 172 187 37 444 54 1 137	35.4 15.8 54.3 27.7 22.6 41.5 12.6 1.8 29.3	241 136 172 180 32 423 46 0 136	5 9 0 7 5 21 8 1	147 72 92 110 22 306 31 1 96	150 61.0 121 83.4 150 87.2 185 98.9 18 48.6 382 86.0 42 77.8 1 100.0 115 83.9	31 20.7 27 22.3 25 16.7 57 30.8 5 27.8 66 17.3 15 35.7 0 .0 17 14.8	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	102 68.0 76 62.8 80 53.3 82 44.3 4 22.2 261 68.3 20 47.6 1 100.0 77 67.0
5TH	8,924	1,998	22.4	1,905	93	956	1,464 73.3	659 45.0	19 1.3	178 12.2	608 41.5
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, S TX, S TX, S	594 76 226 208 306 829 247 3,011 3,427	272 15 75 30 63 129 89 654 671	45.8 19.7 33.2 14.4 20.6 15.6 36.0 21.7 19.6	258 15 68 29 59 124 81 625 646	14 0 7 1 4 5 8 29 25	139 14 42 24 16 71 62 305 283	219 80.5 4 26.7 69 92.0 19 63.3 56 88.9 70 54.3 62 69.7 527 80.6 438 65.3	71 32.4 2 50.0 33 47.8 2 10.5 33 58.9 20 28.6 17 27.4 257 48.8 224 51.1	2 .9 0 .0 2 2.9 3 15.8 1 1.8 0 .0 0 .0 3 .6 8 1.8	33 15.1 0 .0 5 7.2 3 15.8 5 8.9 7 10.0 16 25.8 51 9.7 58 13.2	113 51.6 2 50.0 29 42.0 11 57.9 17 30.4 43 61.4 29 46.8 216 41.0 148 33.8
6TH	4,674	1,373	29.4	1,284	89	845	1,070 77.9	309 28,9	18 1.7	209 19.5	534 49.9
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	307 305 1,527 270 657 589 357 174 488	82 72 379 44 120 260 92 59 265	26.7 23.6 24.8 16.3 18.3 44.1 25.8 33.9 54.3	77 67 353 44 118 253 86 50 236	5 5 26 0 2 7 6 9 29	56 32 158 34 100 180 57 47 181	60 73.2 55 76.4 352 92.9 41 93.2 98 81.7 202 77.7 82 89.1 58 98.3 122 46.0	27 45.0 14 25.5 117 33.2 35 85.4 12 12.2 23 11.4 28 34.1 19 32.8 34 27.9	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12 20.0 10 18.2 73 20.7 2 4.9 10 10.2 42 20.8 13 15.9 10 17.2 37 30.3	20 33.3 31 56.4 157 44.6 4 9.8 74 75.5 136 67.3 40 48.8 29 50.0 43 35.2

TABLE H-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION HEARINGS** FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

	FUR THE IMELVE MUNIH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 1991															
CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFENDA							R	EAS	ONS	FOR	DETE	NTI	O N	•
AND	CASES	WITH DET		MOTIONS		WITH	DETENT				DANGER		DANGER		FLIGHT	<u>ç</u>
DISTRICT	ACTIVATED	HEARING		DETENTI GOVT.	COURT	PRESUMP TION	ORDERE TOTAL		FLIGHT TOTAL	PCT.	TO WIT	PCT.	COMMUN Total		DANGER TOTALI	
P			F G I .		COURT	1100	TUTAL		TUTAL		TUTAL	FG1.	IUIAL	FUL	TOTAL	
7TH	2,219	843 3	38.0	824	19	358	598	70.9	146	24.4	7	1.2	92	15.4	353	59.0
IL,N	804	432	53.7	431	1	66	273	63.2	57	20.9	2	.7	46	16.8	168 6	61.5
IL,C	229	103 4	45.0	94	9	57	81	78.6	25	30.9	1	1.2	8	9.9	47 !	58.0
IL,S	176 228		31.8 19.3	55 44	1	43 20	46 34	82.1 77.3	5	10.9 20.6	2	4.3 5.9	10	21.7		63.0
IN,N IN,S	286		25.9	72	2	63	73	98.6	23	31.5	Ó	.0	4	26.5 5.5		47.1 5 3 .0
WI,E	345	84 2	24.3	82	2	76	49	58.3	10	20.4	0	.0	ġ	18.4		51.2
WI,W	151	50 3	33.1	46	4	33	42	84.0	19	45.2	0	.0	6	14.3	17	40.5
8TH	2,707	781 2	28.9	741	40	272	641	82.1	196	30.6	14	2.2	88	13.7	343	53.5
AR, E	252	22	8.7	22	0	5	11	50.0	5	45.5	0	.0	3	27.3		27.3
AR,W IA,N	77 117		11.7 17.1	4 18	5 2	7 5	6 19	66.7 95.0	2 8	33.3 42.1	0	.0 5.3	2 5	33.3 26.3		33.3 26.3
IA, N IA, S	197		51.8	94	8	78	94	92.2	14	14.9	ō	.0	6	6.4		78.7
MN	543	171 3	31.5	170	1	29	134	78.4	45	33.6	3	2.2	17	12.7	69	51.5
MD,E	399		29.1	113	3	28	115	99.1	17	14.8	2	1.7	11	9.6		73.9
MO,W NE	404 262		30.2 29.4	122 73	0	51 33	71	58.2 92.2	32 26	45.1 36.6	4	5.6 2.8	12 3	16.9		32.4 56.3
ND	209		30.1	55	8	5	54	85.7	38	70.4	ī	1.9	5	9.3		18.5
SD	247	79 3	32.0	70	9	31	66	83.5	9	13.6	1	1.5	24	36.4	32 4	48.5
9TH	11,001	5,076	46.1	4,913	163	2,418	3,589	70.7	1,345	37.5	31	.9	351	9.8	1,862	51.9
AK	174		19.0	28	5	30	33	100.0	6	18.2	0	.0	6	18.2	21 6	63.6
AZ	2,601		44.8	1,105	61	588	626	53.7	380	60.7	4	.6	40	6.4		32.3
CA,N CA,E	745 591		80.5 80.2	582 471	18 3	233 174	356 403	59.3 85.0	159 186	44.7 46.2	5 2	1.4	49	13.8		40.2 51.6
CA,C	2,059		52.5	1,072	10	589	815	75.3	198	24.3	5	.6	117	14.4		50.7
CA,S	2,129		16.2	337	7	154	253	73.5	117	46.2	6	2.4	21	8.3		43.1
HI ID	215 116		50.2 37.9	105 42	3 2	69 22	85 35	78.7 79.5	26 15	30.6 42.9	2	2.4 .0	13 4	15.3 11.4		51.8 45.7
MT	260		24.2	53	10	- 9		100.0	30	47.6	ŏ	.ŏ	7	11.1		41.3
NV	625	259 4	41.4	253	6	95	187	72.2	67	35.8	1	.5	25	13.4	94 5	50.3
OR	698		70.6	461	32	281	403	81.7	57	14.1	2	.5	48	11.9		73.4
WA,E WA,W	248 540		31.5 61.5	78 326	0	19 155	66 264	84.6 79.5	19 85	28.8 32.2	2 2	3.0	77	10.6 2.7		57.6 54.4
GUAM	Ö	0		Ö	ŏ	- õ	ĨŎ	.ó	ő	.0	ō	.0	ö	1.0	ĨÕ	.0
NMI	0	0.	.0	0	0	0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0
10TH	2,767	1,247 4	45.1	1,040	207	413	892	71.5	460	51.6	6	.7	116	13.0	310 3	34.8
co	539		35.6	187	5	123	153	79.7	67	43.8	2	1.3	24	15.7		39.2
KS	278		28.1	78	0	13	71	91.0	49	69.0	1	1.4	10	14.1		15.5
NM DK,N	893 232		65.3 24.1	424 54	159 2	71 27	358 50	61.4 89.3	246	68.7 18.0	2	.6 .0	30 11	8.4 22.0		22.3
OK,E	-97		28.9	26	2	20	26	92.9	6	23.1	ŏ	.0	11	3.8		73.1
OK,W	346	119 3	34.4	119	0	72	94	79.0	18	19.1	1	1.1	21	22.3	54 5	57.4
UT	270		61.1	130	35	68	117	70.9	54	46.2	0	.0	19	16.2		37.6
₩Υ	112		23.2	22	4	19	23	88.5	11	47.8	0	.0	0	.0		52.2
11TH	6,372		40.6	2,509	80	1,253	1,756		615	35.0	25	1.4		17.8		45.8
AL, N	305	44 1	14.4	43	1	22	32	72.7	15	46.9	1	3.1	7	21.9	9	28.1
AL,M AL,S	251 283	43 1 95 3	17.1 33.6	40 94	3	32 72	35	81.4	10 17	28.6 27.4	1 1	2.9	11 12	31.4 19.4	13 3 32 5	37.1 51.6
FL.N	336	145 4	43.2	140	5	111	130	89.7	43	33.1	1	.8	18	13.8	68	52.3
FL.M	1,396	607 4	43.5	554	53	401	501	82.5	125	25.0	15 3	3.0	62	12.4	299	52.3 59.7
FL,S GA,N	2,567 663		50.0	1,273 255	10	391	737	57.4	282	38.3 45.5	3 1	.4	166	22.5 16.2	286 3	38.8
GA, M	240		39.4 26.7	255 64	ő	146 42	167 51	64.0 79.7	76 26	45.5 51.0	2	.6 3.9	27 4	7.8		37.7 37.3
GA,S	331	47 1	14.2	46	i	36	41	87.2	21	51.2	ō	.ó	4 5	12.2		36.6
]				

* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

** INCLUDES DETENTION HEARINGS HELD FOLLOWING THE INITIAL HEARING ONLY.

TABLE H-5
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS*
PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT
CONDITIONS IMPOSED AT THE INITIAL HEARING
FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

			Fun	INC INCL	NC MUNIT	FERIOD E	NDING JUNE	- 30,1771					
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES ACTIVATED	PERSONAL Recog- Nizance	UN- Secured Bond	CASH Bond1	COLL- Ateral Bond	CORP- Drate Surety	PSA Super- Vision	3RD Party Custody	REST- RICTIVE COND.	TREAT- Ment Cond.	REST& TREAT COND.	BAIL NOT SET	DETEN- TION STATUS2
TOTAL	53,041	7,013	13,476	2,725	1,747	3,294	17,232	837	11,500	771	2,592	3,660	20,907
1ST	1,673	164	347	51	113	4	513	16	484	5	71	65	926
ME MA NH RI PR	175 563 115 184 636	10 27 35 47 45	63 204 60 0 20	8 16 2 1 24	27 11 3 28 44	0 1 2 0 1	78 220 47 39 129	1 5 0 0 10	85 185 44 57 113	0 1 0 2 2	5 53 3 0 10	5 30 1 1 28	62 271 12 106 475
2ND	4,983	799	1,149	324	215	11	850	17	861	48	121	26	2,448
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	293 446 2,022 1,668 385 169	9 133 268 253 119 17	124 105 409 389 61 61	8 24 4 189 86 13	15 7 75 57 59 2	3 0 3 3 2 0	91 80 130 400 78 71	10 0 1 4 1 1	81 43 263 387 40 47	1 4 14 13 11 5	15 28 1 23 49 5	5 93 1 8 0	130 165 1,259 776 44 74
3RD	2,934	290	1,098	167	184	110	1,007	92	432	119	108	71	1,009
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,M VI	130 997 867 347 310 283	6 121 19 135 5 4	54 337 374 78 197 58	6 81 39 21 13 7	6 68 28 12 8 62	1 81 3 6 18 1	28 474 274 81 65 85	3 12 3 2 7 65	5 246 114 19 13 35	13 65 11 23 7 0	12 55 8 25 8 0	0 21 6 34 1 9	53 286 398 62 68 142
4TH	4,787	1,328	1,342	125	118	98	1,863	188	1,076	122	437	302	1,459
MD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,H HV,N HV,S	695 916 317 676 164 1,070 427 55 467	321 543 22 14 269 116 1 4	33 147 50 340 78 203 133 51 307	27 25 8 14 26 22 1 0	17 26 10 12 7 10 29 0 7	8 2 1 5 7 24 0 0	189 203 140 339 97 307 268 47 273	36 30 13 75 2 24 8 0	36 150 46 103 28 272 123 21 297	74 7 12 2 9 17 1 0 0	60 41 8 58 17 87 147 19 0	38 34 3 117 10 60 31 1 8	247 147 172 192 40 450 72 1 138
5тн	8,924	397	1,929	1,024	84	1,042	2,698	131	1,845	96	321	1,683	2,766
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W	594 76 226 306 829 247 3,011 3,427	1 45 10 115 184 6 15 17	289 43 82 114 62 90 139 664 446	5 3 10 10 35 744 209	26 2 1 29 4 8 2 3 9	1 7 4 28 106 1 537 352	201 57 56 81 64 369 124 897 849	61 1 4 0 2 5 12 22 24	62 57 28 36 59 208 38 632 725	36 0 4 11 5 13 21 4	81 0 11 3 0 33 48 60 85	0 5 8 131 0 48 1,473	272 15 86 31 68 278 95 999 922
6тн	4,674	476	2,299	137	100	137	2,320	63	1,578	91	313	102	1,413
KY,E MI,E MI,W OH,N TN,E TN,M TN,H	307 305 1,527 270 657 589 357 174 488	24 11 24 13 33 173 66 11 121	117 207 1,103 202 364 90 116 81 19	21 0 7 53 24 18 9 2	39 3 0 2 5 16 27 6 2	6 0 1 5 14 6 28 0 77	128 160 1,101 221 117 215 155 69 154	2 5 27 14 3 6 5 0	101 96 942 56 64 97 92 94 36	14 5 2 41 7 16 4 0 2	15 16 154 1 13 74 16 6 18	8 7 3 0 55 18 8 1 2	87 72 389 44 133 262 96 65 265

TABLE H-5
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS*
PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT
CONDITIONS IMPOSED AT THE INITIAL HEARING
FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

			FOR	THE TWEE	VE MONTH	PERIOD E	NDING JUN	E 30,1991					
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PSA CASES ACTIVATED	PERSONAL RECUG- NIZANCE	UN- Secured Bond	CASH Bond1	COLL- Ateral Bond	CORP- Orate Surety	PSA SUPER- VISION	3RD PARTY CUSTODY	REST- RICTIVE COND.	TREAT- Ment Cond.	REST& TREAT COND.	BAIL Not Set	DETEN- TION Status2
7TH	2,219	388	721	96	61	8	826	85	354	55	69	78	863
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H	804 229 176 228 286 345 151	1 36 57 74 135 80	315 66 94 111 73 52 10	9 14 10 7 14 34 8	35 3 11 5 4 2	0 0 0 8 0 0	191 61 97 158 118 117 84	69 9 1 1 0 0 5	14 37 92 27 58 85 41	22 5 2 10 16 0 0	1 48 3 5 0 5 7	12 6 0 4 35 21 0	432 105 56 46 74 99 51
8TH	2,707	647	879	76	122	39	1,351	43	794	56	275	84	846
AR,E AR,W IA,N IA,S MD,E MO,W NE ND SD	252 77 117 543 399 404 262 209 247	180 12 30 1 26 0 70 148 76 104	16 38 48 93 264 131 166 17 57 49	13 1 0 23 31 0 6 1 1	1 2 4 0 114 0 1 0 0	3 7 1 11 13 2 0 0	208 57 69 57 224 249 241 74 65 107	0 0 1 2 1 10 6 9 14	137 11 12 87 28 156 154 47 61 101	15 10 4 0 14 1 0 7 2 3	8 25 7 94 79 27 12 22	16 5 0 6 30 8 10 6	23 11 31 219 116 124 78 63 79
9TH	11,001	1,614	1,125	384	525	1,131	2,716	81	2,159	83	571	924	5,250
AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, C CA, S HI ID MT NY UR WA, E WA, H GUAM NMI	174 2,601 . 745 591 2,059 2,129 215 116 260 625 698 248 540 0 0	82 432 55 21 74 9 57 147 300 201 57 138 0 0	39 117 62 13 490 219 51 13 7 42 1 42 29 0 0	6 19 4 12 184 74 7 0 28 7 1 40 2 0 0	2 27 19 26 209 197 6 2 6 4 1 0 26 0 0	5 22 8 28 1,028 1 1 2 0 10 10 10 0 0	86 375 123 64 574 26 163 246 136 136 65 105 0 0	4 32 8 12 1 5 2 1 5 0 3 0 0 0 0	25 372 82 51 417 664 18 18 88 269 118 88 269 118 8 29 0 0	3 1 4 0 2 19 10 0 10 10 10 10 13 20 0 0	3 78 1 9 93 273 18 4 7 57 6 4 18 0 0	2 688 3 42 134 24 0 6 9 1 4 3 0 0	35 1,283 600 474 1,083 390 108 44 63 261 494 83 332 0 0
10TH	2,767	512	660	103	32	52	977	60	632	36	151	52	1,251
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	539 278 893 232 97 346 270 112	140 11 210 1 0 106 40 4	136 141 30 123 58 101 28 43	57 20 13 4 5 1 1	8 0 3 0 1 1 19	4 1 30 0 10 6	178 96 235 169 23 182 60 34	8 0 46 4 0 0 2 0	86 32 191 149 4 78 68 24	21 3 0 1 5 1 4 1	4 9 4 25 12 92 5 0	2 27 1 0 7 3 4 8	192 78 583 57 28 119 167 27
11TH	6,372	398	1,927	238	193	662	2,111	61	1,285	60	155	273	2,676
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, N FL, S GA, N GA, S	305 251 283 336 1,396 2,567 663 240 331	2 0 104 92 80 4 6 10 100	203 196 44 501 521 243 104 67	3 0 5 12 43 123 13 22 17	16 5 0 13 38 16 70 16 19	2 3 7 68 505 33 0 44	41 86 90 91 567 649 349 94 144	1 0 3 40 5 5 1 3	10 20 32 79 457 395 159 85 48	5 7 8 05 15 7 2 1	0 1 50 3 9 72 3 15 2	29 25 20 46 102 14 9 26	47 48 101 149 618 1,295 283 78 57

* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

1. A BOND REQUIRING THE CASH DEPOSIT OF THE TOTAL FACE AMOUNT OR PERCENTAGE OF THE FACE AMOUNT.

2. DEFENDANT DETAINED IN TEMPORARY DETENTION OR DETAINED PENDING DETENTION HEARING.

TABLE H-6 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DEFENDANTS RELEASED ON SUPERVISION FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

		DEFENDANTS	WHE	N RELEASED		
	CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	RELEASED ON SUPERVISION	AT INITIAL HEARING	AT Detention Hearing	AT BAIL REVIEW HEARING	PERSONS ON DIVERSION SUPERVISION
س اد جدید وی	TOTAL	21,562	15,322	3,483	2,757	2,271
	1ST	692	479	129	84	72
	ME MA NH RI PR	88 296 54 36 218	77 222 47 25 108	7 62 3 5 52	4 12 4 6 58	2 37 5 2 26
	2ND	1,332	852	342	138	63
	CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W YT	133 115 258 611 142 73	93 74 143 401 82 59	31 32 109 147 9 14	9 6 63 51 0	10 6 2 21 20 4
	3RD	1,168	884	179	105	197
	DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,W VI	33 482 384 82 72 115	24 386 268 63 62 81	3 24 107 17 9 19	6 72 9 2 1 15	0 123 12 36 25 1
	4TH	2,346	1,837	303	206	373
	MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,E WV,N WV,S	289 267 156 403 150 391 291 49 350	191 206 115 348 122 291 240 47 277	81 32 24 26 13 72 7 1 47	17 29 17 29 15 28 44 1 26	49 62 9 29 43 149 9 10 13
	5TH	3,131	2,042	349	740	397
	LA,E LA,M MS,N MS,S TX,N TX,E TX,S TX,W	260 52 71 85 82 475 143 1,098 865	179 49 52 65 68 332 117 635 545	23 2 14 7 11 22 14 119 137	58 1 5 13 3 121 12 344 183	49 3 13 9 21 82 21 130 69
	6TH	2,684	2,270	331	83	201
	KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	156 188 1,173 235 144 273 175 79 261	125 162 1,072 226 114 216 150 70 135	20 18 95 8 9 48 12 1 120	11 8 6 1 21 9 13 8 6	11 12 126 4 2 0 2 40 40 4

TABLE H-6 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DEFENDANTS RELEASED ON SUPERVISION FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

	DEFENDANTS	ШЦ	N RELEASED		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	RELEASED ON SUPERVISION	AT INITIAL HEARING	AT DETENTION HEARING	AT BAIL REVIEK HEARING	PERSONS ON DIVERSION SUPERVISION
7TH	1,074	810	172	92	84
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	319 94 115 179 131 138 98	202 59 95 161 114 98 81	88 21 10 14 13 13 13	29 14 10 4 27 4	32 7 4 8 16 2 15
8TH	1,565	1,282	152	131	141
AR,E AR,W IA,N MN MO,E MO,W NE SD	233 58 72 73 280 251 275 93 76 154	209 52 70 58 222 194 226 76 65 110	13 4 12 45 4 26 14 9 24	11 2 1 3 13 53 23 23 2 20	44 11 9 3 4 26 18 13 7 6
9TH	3,637	2,102	979	556	354
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C HI ID MT NV OR WA,E WA,W GUAM NMI	85 784 357 212 726 370 82 39 163 284 281 72 182 0 0	79 358 133 69 472 230 44 28 150 232 137 64 106 0 0	3 265 192 88 170 20 22 11 10 40 93 4 61 0 0	3 161 32 55 84 120 16 0 3 12 51 4 15 0 0	24 65 72 3 31 14 0 11 66 38 10 11 9 0 0
10TH	1,286	924	220	142	207
CD KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	232 103 381 157 34 204 131 44	177 88 215 148 25 179 61 31	36 9 97 6 4 18 47 3	19 6 69 3 5 7 23 10	22 51 44 1 4 27 54 4
11TH	2,647	1,840	327	480	182
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,N FL,S GA,N GA,M GA,S	63 107 122 105 657 968 393 77 155	43 88 83 497 555 312 71 103	11 9 32 11 79 124 55 5 1	9 10 2 11 81 289 26 1 51	69 2 15 0 54 3 5 11 23

EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. 313 *

TABLE H-7 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION SUMMARY (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECEIVED)** FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

					MONTH PERIOD	LIDING JON					
CIRCUIT	PSA CASES	TOTAL Detention	BEFI	DRE INITI	AL HEARING	AFTE	R INITIAL	HEARING	POS	T ADJUDI	CATION
DISTRICT	CLOSED	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST
TOTAL	42,948	\$103,484,415	16,706	61,561	\$2,129,750	23,021	1,683,548	\$65,006,474	9,966	945,301	\$36,348,191
1ST	1,169	\$2,913,071	279	952	\$27,616	720	64,050	\$2,094,131	233	24,520	\$791,324
ME	148	\$324,329	76	273	\$8,480	69	2,742	\$98,605	41	7,376	\$217,244 \$64,790
MA	350	\$846,516 \$89,554	92 7	433	\$11,371	150	15,094	\$770,355	9	1,226	\$64,790
NH RI	112 114	\$89,004 \$758,476	20	16 76	\$571 \$4,200	37 79	1,524 8,291	\$53,458 \$490,561	15 57	1,015 4,353	\$35,525 \$263,715
PR	445	\$894,196	84	154	\$2,994	385	36,399	\$681,152	111	10,550	\$210,050
2ND	2,294	\$7,128,782	953	2,022	\$93,363	1,125	80,332	\$3,779,120	596	68,807	\$3,256,299
ст	285	\$635,401	39	58	\$3,759	97	5,748	\$380,050	47	3,791	\$251,592
NY, N	291	\$579,018	109	286	\$14,145	128	6,620	\$322,387	47	4,905	\$242,486
NY, E	421 818	\$1,832,106 \$2,781,845	236 381	448 719	\$15,796 \$32,352	265 418	18,871 32,714	\$821,368	165 257	22,530 28,372	\$994,942 \$1,276,543
NY,S NY,W	345	\$854,834	92	157	\$11,675	143	12,742	\$1,472,950 \$615,479	41	3,444	\$227,680
VТ	134	\$445,578	96	354	\$15,636	74	3,637	\$166,886	39	5,765	\$263,056
3RD	2,118	\$5,729,883	405	2,565	\$117,487	844	67,086	\$3,238,875	416	52,665	\$2,373,521
DE	127	\$350,055	11	824	\$42,200	56	4,684	\$232,030	12	1,504	\$75,825
NJ	475	\$1,341,209	111	801	\$33,511	167	14,037	\$627,742	114	15,384	\$679,956
PA,E	656	\$2,525,155	46	85	\$3,712	288	30,001	\$1,615,989	111	17,823	\$905,454
PA, M	311	\$374,012	37	88	\$3,166	84	4,701	\$172,357	53	5,416	\$198,489
PA, W	253 296	\$436,575 \$702,877	5	12	\$473	66	5,108	\$203,505	52	6,054	\$232,597
VI		. ,	195	755	\$34,425	183	8,555	\$387,252	74	6,484	\$281,200
4TH	3,940	\$6,779,189	751	2,474	\$111,008	1,537	113,610	\$4,200,883	716	64,432	\$2,467,298
MD	385	\$875,403	58	192	\$8,847	154	12,281	\$473,782	82	10,841	\$392,774
NC, E	852	\$662,318	52 29	261	\$3,482	162	13,073	\$374,106	100	9,907	\$284,730
NC,M NC,W	386 430	\$836,647 \$689,882	111	95 347	\$2,334 \$8,432	231 206	19,086 21,440	\$471,394 \$639,923	150 30	14,399 1,684	\$362,919 \$41,527
SC	70	\$105,801	20	41	\$957	32	1,714	\$41,619	17	2,431	\$63,225
VA,E	934	\$2,813,734	260	625	\$42,279	476	29,162	\$1,737,002	233	15,954	\$1,034,453
VA, W	365	\$401,654	95	532	\$15,685	129	6,692	\$193,240	47	6,008	\$192,729
WV, N	80	\$32,667	1	62	\$20,000	2	349	\$12,667	. 0	Ó 0	\$0
WV,S	438	\$361,083	125	319	\$8,992	145	9,813	\$257,150	57	3,208	\$94,941
5TH	9,625	\$17,915,552	5,430	12,825	\$393,764	6,925	312,269	\$11,239,675	2,346	184,707	\$6,282,113
LA,E LA,M	524	\$1,024,880 \$0	136	256 934	\$12,981	258	13,569	\$519,059	155	13,229	\$492,840
LA,M	62 198	\$0 \$167,477	50	122	\$0 \$2,675	1 68	37 5,339	\$0 \$117,458	0 32	0 2,191	\$0 \$47,344
MS, N	216	\$89,380	42	86	\$1,206	86	3,833	\$60,415	38	2,005	\$27,759
MS,S	386	\$229,033	58	96	\$2,187	114	9,494	\$169,770	49	3,210	\$57,076
TX,N	721	\$2,442,317	319	873	\$35,642	467	33,750	\$1.673.386	172	14,369	\$733,289
TX,E	263	\$653,082	74	190	\$7,095	124	10,030	\$421.379	63	5,684	\$224,608
TX,S	3,729	\$7,305,593	2,408	5,396	\$134,910	2,754	141,006	\$4,371,007	1,172	99,379	\$2,799,676
ТХ, Ж	3,526	\$6,003,790	2,334	4,872	\$197,068	3,053	95,211	\$3,907,201	665	44,640	\$1,899,521
6ТН	3,650	\$6,810,944	763	3,999	\$142,652	1,152	109,291	\$4,441,545	522	50,711	\$2,226,747
	237	\$328,152	79	414	\$13,352	89	5,848	\$184,682	41	4,115	\$130,118
KY,W	228	\$339,128	30	390	\$10,737	65	9,062	\$275,950	22	1,868	\$52,441
MI,E MI,W	1,228 217	\$2,069,537	108	802 98	\$31,305	284 37	23,210 2,239	\$1,208,534	168 34	16,410	\$829,698
OH, N	635	\$159,999 \$1,852,106	121	258	\$3,221 \$15,203	188	22,523	\$70,443 \$1,207,600	34 122	2,561 11,678	\$86,335 \$629,303
OH,S	348	\$664,697	113	895	\$32,248	125	8,463	\$293,664	84	9,359	\$338,785
TN, E	349	\$547,651	71	113	\$4,739	149	11,884	\$412,337	46	3,790	\$130.575
TN,M	131	\$275,116	49	513	\$16,424	49	7,305	\$233,760	4	778	\$24,932
TN, W	277	\$574,558	126	516	\$15,423	166	18,757	\$554,575	1	152	\$4,560

TABLE H-7 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DETENTION SUMMARY (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANSFERS RECÈIVED)** FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

			FOR TH	E TWELVE	MONTH PERIOD E	NDING JUNE	30,1991				
CIRCUIT	PSA	TOTAL	BEFO	RE INITI	AL HEARING	AFTER	INITIAL	HEARING	POS	T ADJUDI	CATION
AND DISTRICT	CASES CLOSED	DETENTION COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST	DEF	DAYS	COST
7TH	1,935	\$5,816,076	450	2,261	\$58,176	829	79,946	\$3,427,695	476	49,275	\$2,330,205
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	662 105 197 244 247 335 145	\$2,792,820 \$400,887 \$442,761 \$584,853 \$214,917 \$1,099,318 \$280,520	247 41 48 31 24 20 39	1,271 57 330 360 41 35 167	\$16,015 \$2,640 \$11,524 \$16,719 \$1,131 \$1,907 \$8,240	324 60 86 75 67 167 50	38,226 4,298 6,574 7,654 9,274 10,499 3,421	\$1,667,901 \$220,245 \$248,856 \$355,989 \$213,786 \$568,833 \$152,085	204 31 58 42 0 99 42	22,735 3,450 5,342 4,346 0 10,752 2,650	\$1,108,904 \$178,002 \$182,381 \$212,145 \$0 \$528,578 \$120,195
8тн	2,650	\$5,504,994	414	11,300	\$152,852	997	81,576	\$3,153,914	586	59,053	\$2,198,228
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MO,E ND SD	280 95 152 445 385 409 358 200 246	\$189,287 \$72,934 \$155,730 \$360,318 \$1,203,114 \$1,171,587 \$762,779 \$1,108,777 \$188,650 \$291,818	13 27 31 29 84 10 56 50 56	39 90 61 71 194 170 86 10,104 136 349	\$1,052 \$2,453 \$2,902 \$2,562 \$11,608 \$7,745 \$2,225 \$105,070 \$5,377 \$11,858	90 31 20 72 187 178 145 95 73 106	5,159 2,521 1,245 3,332 12,000 17,520 10,097 22,998 2,433 4,271	\$124,240 \$67,490 \$54,494 \$162,893 \$687,038 \$799,067 \$284,642 \$722,094 \$104,749 \$147,207	32 4 14 50 117 86 115 84 26 58	2,563 98 1,492 4,033 8,507 7,772 15,073 13,766 1,720 4,029	\$63,995 \$2,991 \$98,334 \$194,863 \$504,468 \$364,775 \$475,913 \$281,613 \$78,524 \$132,753
9TH	8,263	\$26,179,413	4,510	14,924	\$714,218	4,982	421,964	\$16,859,832	2,185	218,377	\$8,605,363
AK AZ CA,N CA,C CA,C HI HI NV NY WA,E WA,E GUAM NMI	151 2,287 447 560 1,580 1,074 1,074 1,074 1,074 1,074 1,074 1,074 1,074 1,074 1,93 4,34 596 150 502 502 0 0	\$284,411 \$4,748,288 \$2,178,513 \$1,789,666 \$4,526,195 \$4,242,536 \$950,284 \$419,433 \$237,478 \$1,142,327 \$3,057,884 \$203,696 \$2,398,702 \$0 \$0	39 1,606 316 269 337 943 36 72 204 278 61 226 61 226 0 0	75 7,126 772 536 721 2,922 243 108 321 614 704 278 504 0 0	\$6,156 \$167,464 \$158,519 \$25,121 \$24,747 \$160,505 \$14,954 \$7,642 \$11,489 \$32,332 \$58,370 \$11,762 \$35,157 \$0 \$0	41 1,404 333 282 991 799 116 45 67 158 324 107 315 0 0	2,161 82,537 84,552 23,215 77,335 69,777 10,025 4,069 4,900 12,791 26,176 3,984 20,402 0 0	\$172,911 \$2,997,960 \$1,582,440 \$1,151,047 \$2,548,709 \$3,370,731 \$514,028 \$189,803 \$180,294 \$670,093 \$1,883,178 \$172,007 \$1,426,631 \$0 \$0	25 531 77 124 662 156 662 156 80 218 80 218 9 176 0 0	1,307 46,693 17,648 10,421 67,606 11,903 8,098 3,071 1,280 7,829 28,732 427 13,362 0 0	\$105,344 \$1,582,864 \$437,554 \$613,498 \$1,952,739 \$711,300 \$421,302 \$221,988 \$45,695 \$439,902 \$1,116,336 \$19,927 \$936,914 \$0 \$0
10TH	2,276	\$4,610,920	869	2,569	\$107,641	1,157	86,882	\$3,288,164	443	33,885	\$1,215,115
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	360 258 716 178 35 365 211 103	\$632,442 \$389,186 \$2,232,693 \$195,593 \$177,041 \$154,703 \$676,840 \$152,422	67 75 47'1 15 22 45 113 61	124 175 1,094 136 40 74 399 527	\$10,529 \$8,155 \$51,005 \$3,400 \$1,640 \$1,048 \$13,973 \$17,891	154 75 520 33 121 140 44	10,489 4,911 32,951 5,294 1,603 5,379 24,264 1,991	\$553,878 \$208,048 \$1,559,790 \$134,216 \$66,985 \$80,968 \$610,540 \$73,739	32 47 163 40 32 82 24 23	1,537 4,953 13,848 2,928 2,638 4,885 1,417 1,679	\$68,035 \$172,983 \$621,987 \$57,977 \$108,416 \$72,687 \$52,327 \$60,792
11TH	5,028	\$14,095,591	1,882	5,670	\$210,973	2,753	266,542	\$9,282,640	1,447	138,869	\$4,601,978
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, S	264 250 331 1,305 1,417 615 182 313	\$73,988 \$114,990 \$415,425 \$802,849 \$3,929,324 \$6,962,283 \$1,015,429 \$315,943 \$465,360	23 24 100 86 338 938 287 25 61	54 295 235 845 710 2,517 649 79 286	\$1,944 \$6,140 \$4,232 \$19,658 \$27,357 \$121,315 \$19,107 \$2,277 \$8,943	46 49 174 150 728 1,046 322 92 146	7,486 1,921 12,768 17,681 69,612 118,449 21,244 8,229 9,152	\$69,971 \$39,791 \$222,984 \$591,312 \$2,771,200 \$4,302,525 \$746,670 \$259,290 \$278,897	6 22 146 46 340 708 80 19 80	60 2,269 18,408 5,649 30,421 66,711 7,624 1,728 5,999	\$2,073 \$69,059 \$188,209 \$191,879 \$1,130,767 \$2,538,443 \$249,652 \$54,376 \$177,520

* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

** EXCLUDES RULE 40S TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

TABLE H-8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT BAIL VIOLATIONS REPORTED (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANFERS RECEIVED)** FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

		r				MELVE MUNI		LADING J	UNE 30,1	.771					
	1	PSA DEFENDANTS DEFENDANTS PSA RELEASED WITH BAIL CASES ON BAIL VIOLATIONS				TOTAL	T	YPE OF V	IOLATION	REPORT					JRRED
CIRCUIT						VIOL- Ations		MISD-	FAILURE TO	REPOR-	USE		PRE- ADJUDI	PRE- Sent	PENDING APPEAL
DISTRICT	CLOSED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	REPORTED	FELONY	EMEANOR		TING	DRUGS	OTHER	CATION	ENCE	SURRENDER
-					L			L			<u></u>	L			I
TOTAL	42,948	26,649	62.0	3,417	8.0	4,497	510	336	761	654	1,686	550	2,735	1,545	217
1ST	1,169	653	55.9	70	6.0	88	10	-6	14	16	28	14	53	31	4
ME	148	97	65.5	5	3.4	6	0	2	1	0	1	2	1	5	0
MA	350	260	74.3	26	7.4	37	8	2	2	8	12	5	27	10	ō
• NH • • • • • •	112	100	89.3	17	15.2 3.5	21		02	9 1	1	8 0	2 0	11	6	4
RI PR		51 145	44.7 32.6	18	4.0		d d	ő	1	7	7	5	11	1 9	ŏ
													1		
2ND	2,294	1,597	69.6	196	8.5	281	44	36	66	36	85	14	208	63	10
ст	285	219	76.8	18	6.3	24	2	5	5	4	7	1	11	12	1
NY,N NY,E	291 421	218 197	74.9 46.8	15 1	5.2	18 1	3	0	10 1	0	3	2 0		4 0	0
NY, S	818	590	72.1	108	13.2	150	27	18	43	28	24	10	121	26	3
NY, W	345	279	80.9	42	12.2	76	9	12	4	3	47	1	55	16	5
VT	134	94	70.1	12	9.0	12	3	1	3	1	4	0	6	5	1
3RD	2,118	1,524	72.0	179	8.5	222	32	19	38	56	54	23	146	70	6
DE	127	93	73.2	17	13.4	17	1	0	5	1	6	4	10	7	0
NJ	475	367	77.3	61	12.8	82	8	10	19	20	22	3	56	23	3 0
PA,E PA,M	656 311	467	71.2 77.8	33	5.0	40 11	5	6 0	9 1	12	6 7	2 3	24	16 4	2
PA, W	253	204	80.6	19	7.5	27	5	3	1	3	13	2	17	9	1
VI	296	151	51.0	41	13.9	45	13	0	3	20	0	9	34	11	0
4TH	3,940	2,703	68.6	330	8.4	426	53	27	46	32	198	70	246	165	15
MD	385	292	75.8	66	17.1	98	8	7	8	6	56	13	67	29	2
NC,E NC,M	852 386	537 212	63.0 54.9	44 29	5.2 7.5	51 30	5 5	2	5 5	8 2	22 9	9 8	30 16	19 11	2 3
NC,W	430	277	64.4	19	4.4	22	8	3	1	2	5	3	9	10	3
SC	70	49	70.0	3	4.3	8	2	0	2	1	1	2	8	0	0
VA,E VA,W	934 365	555 323	59.4 88.5	74 37	7.9 10.1	91 48	7	8 1	18 0	5 7	41 23	12 9	46	41 14	4
WV,N	80	78	97.5	Ó	10.0	Ő	ŏ	0	Ó	ò	20	ó	Ŏ	Ō	õ
WV,S	438	380	86.8	58	13.2	78	10	5	7	1	41	14	36	41	1
5TH	9,625	4,692	48.7	602	6.3	764	78	57	176	78	299	76	402	320	42
LA,E	524	369	70.4	56	10.7	80	11	8	7	16	26	12	35	38	7
LA, M	62	47	75.8	0	.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LA,W MS,N	198 216	150 184	75.8 85.2	11 29	5.6 13.4	14 43	26	0 12	23	1 2	8 19	1	5 20	8 21	1 2
MS,S	386	304	78.8	17	4.4	19	5	0	2	1	4	7	15	4	Ó
TX,N	721	426	59.1	62 45	8.6	78	13 5	4 3	8	9	34	10	38	38	2
TX,E TX,S	263	211 2,010	80.2 53.9	220	17.1 5.9	91 248	22	16	2 109	6 22	71 62	4 17	43 120	45 113	3 15
тх, Ж	3,526	991	28.1	162	4.6	191	14	14	43	21	75	24	126	53	12
6TH	3,650	2,852	78.1	284	7.8	385	55	17	54	33	155	71	235	127	23
KY,E	237	180	75.9	23 17	.9.7	29	3	3	3	0	17	3	20	6	3
KY.W	228	187	82.0	17	7.5	27	3	3	2 17	2	13	4	11	8	8
MI,E MI,W	1,228 217	1,025 179	83.5 82.5	87 10	7.1	97 10	18 1	3 1	4	17 0	28 2	14 2	54 3	37 7	6 0
OH,N	635	470	74.0	70	11.0	109	1 11	2	19	4	44	29 12	89	18	2
OH.S	348 349	261 262	75.0 75.1	38 16	10.9 4.6	62 17	92	3 0	5	7	26	12	26 9	34 7	2
TN,E TN,M	131	97	74.0	14	10.7	24	3	1	19 5 2 1 1	3	-9 13 3	4	15 8	8	1 1
TN,W	277	191	69.0	9	3.2	10	5	· 1	1	Ö	3	ō	8	2	ō
		•													

TABLE H-8 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT .BAIL VIOLATIONS REPORTED (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANFERS RECEIVED) ** FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

-			· · · · · ·		<u>гик</u>	IHE II	HELVE MONT	H PERIOD	ENDING J	UNE 30,1	.991			·		
		CASES ON BAIL VIOLATIONS					TOTAL	T	YPE OF V		REPORT	D		МН	N DCCL	JRRED
	CIRCUIT						VIOL-			FAILURE	DEDGD	USE		PRE-	PRE-	PENDING
	AND DISTRICT	CLOSED	TOTAL	РСТ.	TOTAL		ATIONS REPORTED	FELONY	MISD- Emeanor	TO APPEAR	REPOR-	DRUGS	OTHER	ADJUDI CATION	SENT	APPEAL SURRENDER
=																
	7TH	1,935	1,396	72.1	202	10.4	279	24	38	50	43	93	31	17 3	90	16
	IL,N	662	467	70.5	108	16.3	146	18	25	43	26	21	13	83	51	12
	IL,C IL,S	105	76	72.4	14	13.3	15	0	6	2	0	4	3	9	4	2
	IN, N	197 244	140 191	71.1 78.3	14	5.7	17 16	2	2 1	2	3	47	2 2	11	5 7	1
	IN.S	247	174	70.4	0	.0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	ō
	WI,E WI,W	335 145	243 105	72.5 72.4	42	12.5 5.5	73 12	0	1 3	3	8 2	53 4	8	55 7	18 5	0
								-					5		,	-
	8TH	2,650	2,010	75.8	326	12.3	509	42	45	31	69	256	66	325	165	19
	AR,E AR,W	280 80	252 61	90.0 76.3	56	20.0 5.0	71 5	8	3	8 1	6 1	44 2	2	41	29 5	1
	IÁ,N	95	84	88.4	8	8.4	11	Ō	1	1	ō	6	3	7	4	ŏ
	IA,S	152 445	103 316	67.8 71.0	37 18	24.3 4.0	84 24	1 3	2	12	10 3	64 9	6	56	26	2
	MN MO,E	385	282	73.2	67	17.4	142	15	8	7	38	63	11	14 96	10 35	0 11
	M0,W	409	285	69.7	38	9.3	55	4	5	2	2	31	11	27	27	1
	NE	358 200	260 158	72.6 79.0	33 12	9.2 6.0	37 16	8	6 2	5 2	4 1	11 9	3	25 11	8 5	4
	SD	246	209	85.0	53	21.5	64	3	14	2	4	17	24	48	16	ŏ
	9ТН	8,263	4,598	55.6	612	7.4	753	68	42	176	111	247	109	435	282	36
	AK	151	136	99.1	6	4.0	6	0	1	2	0	Ó	3	6	o	0
	AZ	2,287	1,492	65.2	202	8.8	234	19	12	86	40	48	29	134	90	10
	CA,N	447 560	248 220	55.5 39.3	49 40	11.0 7.1	55 59	6	6	22 18	.6 5	8 21	7	43 40	10 17	2 2
	CA, C	1,580	785	49.7	80	5.1	100	9	2	17	19	45	8	35	54	11
	CA, S	1,074 175	435 88	40.5 50.3	65 19	6.1 10.9	79 23	6	4	14	10	38	7	49 9	29	1
	HI ID	114	79	69.3	4	3.5	4	1	0	1	1 4	19 0	1	3	14 1	0
	MT	193	140	72.5	_3	1.6	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	0
	NV	434 596	334 303	77.0 50.8	70 37	16.1 6.2	92 39	13 4	11 1	8 3	13 6	31 6	16 19	58 25	31 10	3
	WA,E	150	59	39.3	4	2.7	6	- 1	ō	1	ŏ	· 1	3	5	Ĩõ	1
	WA,W GUAM	502 0	279 0	55.6	33	6.6	53 0	5	3 0	4	7	28 0	6	26 0	25 0	2
	NMI	ŏ	ŏ		ŏ		ŏ	0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ö	ŏ	0
	10TH	2,276	1,530	67.2	177	7.8	214	22	6	37	25	95	29	146	56	12
	со	360	273	75.8	37	10.3	55	2	0	5	2	37	9	36	15	4
	KS	258	189	73.3	13	5.0	17	1	1	ź	3	8	2		8	ĩ
	NM	716	442	61.7	73	10.2	86	11	3	20	19	25	8	58	22	6
	OK,N OK,E	178 85	114 56	64.0 65.9	10	5.6 4.7	11	3	0	0 1	0	5 2	3 1	8	3	0
	OK,W	365	262	71.8	18	4.9	19	1	Ő	1	ĩ	13	3	16	3	õ
	UT	211 103	117 77	55.5 74.8	14 8	6.6 7.8	14 8	2	1	5	0	3 2	3	12	1	1
	11TH	5,028	3,094	61.5	439	8.7	576	82	43	73	155	176	47	366	176	34
	-															
	AL,N AL,M	264 250	211 229	79.9 91.6	16 9	6.1 3.6	18 12	4	3 0	2 2	2 0	4 7	3	14 5	4 6	0 1
	2 14	331	229	69.2	29	8.8	36	6	2	4	1	16	7	18	18	0
	FL, N	351 1,305	208 823	59.3 63.1	10 134	2.8	10 173	2 14	1	0	0	16 3 96	4	6 91	3 71	1 11
	FL,N FL,M FL,S GA,N	1,417	623 595	42.0	123	2.8 10.3 8.7	173	14	12 12	34	∡⊃ 64	22		120	28	10
	GA, N	615	454	73.8	123 77	12.5	124	25	12 11	16 34 12 3	25 64 57 2	22 14	5	87	29 8	10 8 0
	GA,M GA,S	182 313	101 244	55.5 78.0	15 26	12.5 8.2 8.3	18 27	17 25 12 2	02	3	24	0 14	9 5 1 5	10 15	8 9	0 3
			A T T		L				<u> </u>		т т		/			

* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

**EXCLUDES RULE 40S TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

TABLE H-9 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DEFENDANTS WITH BAIL VIOLATIONS (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANFERS RECEIVED) *** FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

		DEFEND	ANTS	DEFEND		LVE MUNII		<u>io cadia</u>	<u></u>		PE OF	VIOLAT	TION **			<u>-</u>	
CIRCUIT AND	PSA CASES	RELEAS ON BAI		WITH BA		FELONY		MISDEM	FANOR	FAILURI APPEAR	ETO	REPOR	TING	USE OF	DRUG	OTHER	
DISTRICT	CLOSED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL		TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
TOTAL	42,948	26,649	62.0	3,417	8.0	496	1.2	303	.7	738	1.7	566	1.3	1,226	2.9	493	1.1
1ST	1,169	653	55.9	70	6.0	8	.7	6	.5	13	1.1	14	1.2	20	1.7	12	1.0
ME MA NH RI PR	148 350 112 114 445	97 260 100 51 145	65.5 74.3 89.3 44.7 32.6	5 26 17 4 18	3.4 7.4 15.2 3.5 4.0	- 6 1 1 -	- 1.7 .9 .9	2 2 - 2 -	1.4 .6 1.8	1 2 8 1 1	.7 .6 7.1 .9 .2	- 6 1 - 7	1.7 .9 1.6	1 8 5 - 6	.7 2.3 4.5 1.3	1 5 2 - 4	.7 1.4 1.8 .9
2ND	2,294	1,597	69.6	196	8.5	43	1.9	31	1.4	63	2.7	34	1.5	50	2.2	14	.6
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	285 291 421 818 345 134	219 218 197 590 279 94	76.8 74.9 46.8 72.1 80.9 70.1	18 15 108 42 12	6.3 5.2 13.2 12.2 9.0	2 3 - 26 9 3	.7 1.0 3.2 2.6 2.2	4 - 17 9 1	1.4 - 2.1 2.6 .7	4 10 1 41 4 3	1.4 3.4 .2 5.0 1.2 2.2	4 - 26 3 1	1.4 - 3.2 .9 .7	4 1 	1.4 .3 2.2 6.7 3.0	1 2 10 1 -	.4 .7 1.2 .3
3RD	2,118	1,524	72.0	179	8.5	29	1.4	16	.8	36	1.7	54	2.5	48	2.3	22	1.0
DE NJ PA,E PA,M VI	127 475 656 311 253 296	93 367 467 242 204 151	73.2 77.3 71.2 77.8 80.6 51.0	17 61 33 8 19 41	13.4 12.8 5.0 2.6 7.5 13.9	1 8 5 - 3 12	.8 1.7 .8 1.2 4.1	- 9 4 - 3 -	1.9 .6 1.2	5 17 9 1 1 3	3.9 3.6 1.4 .3 .4 1.0	1 19 12 - 3 19	.8 4.0 1.8 1.2 6.4	6 20 6 5 11 -	4.7 4.2 .9 1.6 4.3	4 3 2 3 2 8	3.1 .6 .3 1.0 .8 2.7
4TH	3,940	2,703	68.6	330	8.4	52	1.3	26	.7	44	1.1	28	.7	150	3.8	63	1.6
MD NC,E NC,H SC VA,E VA,H WY,N WY,S	385 852 386 430 70 934 365 80 438	292 537 212 277 49 555 323 78 380	75.8 63.0 54.9 64.4 70.0 59.4 88.5 97.5 86.8	66 44 29 19 3 74 37 - 58	17.1 5.2 7.5 4.4 7.9 10.1 13.2	7 5 5 8 2 7 8 - 10	1.8 .6 1.3 1.9 2.9 .7 2.2 2.3	6 2 1 3 - 8 1 - 5	1.6 .2 .3 .7 - .9 .3 - 1.1	8 4 5 1 1 18 - 7	2.1 .5 1.3 .2 1.4 1.9 - 1.6	6 6 2 2 1 5 5 - 1	1.6 .7 .5 1.4 .5 1.4 .5	37 21 9 5 1 33 15 - 29	9.6 2.5 2.3 1.2 1.4 3.5 4.1 6.6	9 9 8 3 1 12 8 - 13	2.3 1.1 2.1 .7 1.4 1.3 2.2 3.0
5TH	9,625	4,692	48.7	602	6.3	77	.8	51	.5	174	1.8	67	.7	214	2.2	68	.7
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W	524 62 198 216 386 721 263 3,729 3,526	369 47 150 184 304 426 211 2,010 991	70.4 75.8 75.8 85.2 78.8 59.1 80.2 53.9 28.1	56 - 11 29 17 62 45 220 162	10.7 5.6 13.4 4.4 8.6 17.1 5.9 4.6	10 	1.9 1.0 2.8 1.3 1.8 1.9 .6 .4	8 - 7 - 4 3 15 14	1.5 	7 2 2 2 8 2 108 43	1.3 1.0 .9 .5 1.1 .8 2.9 1.2	12 - 1 1 5 22 19	2.3 .5 .5 .3 .8 1.9 .5	18 6 15 4 29 31 50 61	3.4 3.0 6.9 1.0 4.0 11.8 1.3 1.7	9 - 1 7 7 4 16 23	1.7 .5 .5 1.8 1.0 1.5 .4 .7
6TH	3,650	2,852	78.1	284	7.8	55	1.5	17	.5	50	1.4	29	.8	105	2.9	57	1.6
KY,E MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	237 228 1,228 217 635 348 349 131 277	180 187 1,025 179 470 261 262 97 191	75.9 82.0 83.5 82.5 74.0 75.0 75.1 74.0 69.0	23 17 87 10 70 38 16 14 9	9.7 7.5 7.1 4.6 11.0 10.9 4.6 10.7 3.2	3 3 18 1 11 9 2 3 5	1.3 1.5 1.5 1.7 2.6 2.3 1.8	3 3 3 1 2 3 - 1 1	1.3 1.3 .2 .5 .3 .9 - .8 .4	3 2 17 4 15 5 2 1 1	1.3 .9 1.4 1.8 2.4 1.4 .6 .8 .4	-2 17 -4 -2 -	.9 1.4 .6 1.1 1.5	11 7 25 2 24 17 8 8 3	4.6 3.1 2.0 .9 3.8 4.9 2.3 6.1 1.1	3 4 13 2 19 9 4 3 -	1.3 1.8 1.1 .9 3.0 2.6 1.1 2.3

TABLE H-9 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS* PRETRIAL SERVICES ACT DEFENDANTS WITH BAIL VIDLATIONS (INCLUDES RULE 40 TRANFERS RECEIVED) *** FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30,1991

				····		LVE MUNI											
CIRCUIT	PSA	DEFEND RELEAS	ANTS	DEFEND/ WITH B/		·		<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	TY FAILUR		VIOLAT	ION **	k	·		
AND	CASES	ON BAI		VIOLAT	IONS	FELONY	-	MISDEM		APPEAR		REPORT		USE OF		OTHER	
DISTRICT	CLOSED	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.	TOTAL	PCT.
7TH	1,935	1,396	72.1	202	10.4	23	1.2	30	1.6	50	2.6	40	2.1	68	3.5	26	1.3
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S	662 105 197 244 247	467 76 140 191 174	70.5 72.4 71.1 78.3 70.4	108 14 16 14	16.3 13.3 8.1 5.7	17 4 2	2.6	· 19 5 2 1	2.9 4.8 1.0 .4	43 2 2 -	6.5 1.9 1.0 -	25 - 2 4	3.8 1.0 1.6	19 4 4 6	2.9 3.8 2.0 2.5	10 3 2 1	1.5 2.9 1.0 .4
WI,E WI,W	335 145	243 105	72.5	42 8	12.5 5.5	-	-	1 2	.3 1.4	3	.9	72	2.1 1.4	32 3	9.6 2.1	7 3	2.1 2.1
8TH	2,650	2,010	75.8	326	12.3	40	1.5	42	1.6	29	1.1	56	2.1	161	6.1	57	2.2
AR,E AR,W IA,N MN MO,E MO,E NE ND SD	280 80 95 152 445 385 409 358 200 246	252 61 84 103 316 282 285 260 158 209	90.0 76.3 88.4 67.8 71.C 73.2 69.7 72.6 79.0 85.0	56 4 8 37 18 67 38 33 12 53	20.0 5.0 8.4 24.3 4.0 17.4 9.3 9.2 6.0 21.5	8 - 1 3 13 4 8 - 3	2.9 - .7 .7 3.4 1.0 2.2 1.2	3 1 2 3 6 5 6 1 14	1.1 1.3 1.1 1.3 .7 1.6 1.2 1.7 .5 5.7	8 1 1 2 5 2 5 2 2 2	2.9 1.3 1.1 .7 .4 1.3 .5 1.4 1.0 .8	6 1 - 6 3 29 2 4 1 4	2.1 1.3 3.9 .7 7.5 .5 1.1 .5 1.6	37 2 4 29 7 32 22 8 7 13	13.2 2.5 4.2 19.1 1.6 8.3 5.4 2.2 3.5 5.3	2 - 3 5 4 7 9 3 2 2 2	.7 3.2 3.3 .9 1.8 2.2 .8 1.0 8.9
9TH	8,263	4,598	55.6	612	7.4	66	.8	40	.5	172	2.1	109	1.3	192	2.3	100	1.2
AK AZ CA, N CA, E CA, S HI MT NY OR WA, E WA, W GUAM NMI 10TH	151 2,287 447 560 1,580 1,074 175 114 193 434 596 150 502 - - 2,276	136 1,492 248 220 785 435 435 435 435 435 334 303 59 279 - - - 1,530	90.1 65.2 55.5 39.3 49.7 50.3 69.3 72.5 50.8 39.3 55.6 - -	6 202 49 40 80 65 19 4 3 70 37 4 33 - -	4.0 8.8 11.0 7.1 6.1 10.9 3.5 16.1 6.2 2.7 6.6 - - 7.8	- 19 6 4 9 6 1 - - 12 3 1 5 - - - 22	.8 1.3 .7 .6 .6 .6 .6 .2 .8 .5 .7 1.0 .7 1.0	1 12 6 2 2 4 - - 9 1 - 3 - - 6	.7 .5 1.3 .4 .1 .4 .1 .4 .1 .2 .1 .2 .6 .3	2 85 22 15 17 14 14 1 - - 8 3 1 4 - - 37	1.3 3.7 4.9 2.7 1.1 1.3 .6 		- 1.7 1.3 .9 1.1 .6 3.5 - 3.0 1.0 1.4 - 1.4 - 1.1		1.6 1.6 2.9 2.0 3.1 9.1 1.0 5.8 1.0 .7 3.6 .7 3.2	3 27 6 7 8 6 1 1 13 19 3 6 - - 28	2.0 1.2 1.3 1.3 .5 .6 .5 3.0 3.2 2.0 1.2 - 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 .5 .6 .6 .5 3.0 3.2 2.0 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.3 .5 .6 .6 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5
															······		
CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	360 258 716 178 85 365 211 103	273 189 442 114 56 262 117 77	75.8 73.3 61.7 64.0 65.9 71.8 55.5 74.8	37 13 73 10 4 18 14 8	10.3 5.0 10.2 5.6 4.7 4.9 6.6 7.8	2 1 11 3 - 1 2 2	.6 .4 1.5 1.7 .3 .9 1.9	- 1 3 - - 1 1	.4 .4 - .5 1.0	5 2 20 - 1 5 3	1.4 .8 2.8 1.2 .3 2.4 2.9	2 3 18 - 1 - -	.6 1.2 2.5 - .3 -	24 7 18 4 2 13 3 2	6.7 2.7 2.5 2.2 2.4 3.6 1.4 1.9	8 2 8 3 1 3 -	2.2 .8 1.1 1.7 1.2 .8 1.4
11TH	5,028	3,094	61.5	439	8.7	81	1.6	38	.8	70	1.4	111	2.2	145	2.9	46	.9
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, M GA, N GA, S GA, S	264 250 331 1,305 1,417 615 182 313	211 229 208 823 595 454 101 244	79.9 91.6 69.2 59.3 63.1 42.0 73.8 55.5 78.0	16 9 29 10 134 123 77 15 26	6.1 3.6 8.8 10.3 8.7 12.5 8.2 8.3	4 - 2 14 17 24 12 2	1.5 1.8 .6 1.1 1.2 3.9 6.6 .6	3 - 2 1 11 12 7 - 2	1.1 .6 .3 .8 1.1 .6	2 2 4 	.8 .8 1.2 1.2 2.3 2.0 .5 -	2 - - 22 52 29 1 4	.8 .3 1.7 3.7 4.7 .5 1.3	4 7 15 3 76 19 8 - 13	1.5 2.8 4.5 .9 5.8 1.3 1.3 1.3 4.2	3 3 6 4 10 9 5 1 5	1.1 1.2 1.8 1.1 .8 .6 .8 .5 1.6

* EXCLUDES THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

** DEFENDANTS MAY COMMIT MORE THAN ONE TYPE OF VIOLATION WHILE ON RELEASE.

***EXCLUDES RULE 40S TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

TABLE J-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS Grand Juror Service For the twelve month period ended June 30, 1991

	TOTAL Serving		JURORS	HOURS	AVERAGE NUMBER	AVERAGE NUMBER
	SERVING		AUDOBS	HOURS		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3000115.	I WHIDE		
					JURORS	HOURS
	IN THE	SESSIONS	IN	IN	PER	PER
DISTRICT	PERIOD	CONVENED	SESSION	SESSION	SESSION	SESSION
						-
TOTAL	759	10,784	212,614	57,824	19.7	5.4
DC	6	366	7,144	1,748	19.5	4.8
1ST						
ME	3	39	783	275 1,734	20.1 19.5	7.1
MA	22 3	386 36	7,538	1,734	19.3	4.8
RI	6	41	786	284	19.2	6.9
PR	4	62	1, 187	400	19.1	6.5
FR						
2ND			1			
ст	15	171	3,278	943	19.2	5.5
NY, N	8	152	2,908	838	19.1	5.5
NY,E	46	608	11,347	2,730	18.7	4.5
NY . S	43	718	13,976	2,980	19.5	4.2
NY, W	13	172	3,444	831	20.0	4.8
ντ	5	72	1,294	367	18.0	5.1
3RD						
DE	2	25	516	182	20.6	7.3
NJ	15	227	4,363	1,036	19.2	4.6
PA, E	16	314	5,953	1,656	19.0	5.3
PA.M	11	105	2,081	552	19,8	5.3
PA. W	12	128	2,641	775	20.6	6.1
VI *	-	-	-	-		
4TH				-		
MD	12	170	3,354	763	19.7	4.5
NC, E	7	63	1,314	318	20.9	5.0
NC, M	2	26	519	161	20.0	6.2
NC, W	4	47	940	352	20.0	7.5
SC	7	1.15	2,398	719	20.9	6.3
VA, E	17	240	4,828	1,581	20.1	6.6
VA, W	5	19	418	112	22.0	5.9
WV,N	1	18	347	132	19.3	7.3
WV,S	9	90	1,814	561	20.2	6.2
5TH						
LA.E	10	110	2,139	622	19.4	5.7
LA.M	6	37	739	184	20.0	5.0
LA, W	6	68	1,375	341	20.2	5.0
MS, N	2	38	751	262	19.8	6.9
MS, S	· 4	45	905	315	20.1	7.0
TX, N	13	184	3,599	1,101	19.6	6.0
TX, E	4	61	1,181	361	19.4	5.9
TX, S	13	197	3,929	893	19.9	5.0
TX,W	22	143	2,883	647	20.2	4.5
6тн						
KY,E	7	49	1,006	255	20.5	5.2
KY, W	, 1	52	1,025	299	19.7	5.8
MI,E	18	331	6,396	1,950	19.3	5.9
MI, W	9	100	1,981	601	19.8	8.0
OH, N	11	87	1,781	478	20.5	5.5
OH, S	6	107	2,138	625	20.0	5.8
TN E	9	68	1,367	445	20.1	0.2
TN,E TN,M	9 5	68 50	1,367	445 335	20.1 20.7	6.5 6.7

TABLE J-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS GRAND JUROR SERVICE FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

DISTRICT	TOTAL Serving In The Period	SESSIONS CONVENED	JURORS IN SESSION	HOURS IN SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER JURORS PER SESSION	AVERAGE NUMBER HOURS PER SESSION
7TH						
IL.N IL.C IL.S IN.N WI.E WI.E	11 4 6 6 5 3	222 32 42 66 71 61 18	4,460 631 868 1,353 1,396 1,278 382	1,454 192 213 338 506 314 93	20.1 19.7 20.5 19.7 21.0 21.2	6.5 8.0 5.1 5.1 7.1 5.1 5.2
8TH						
AR, E AR, W IA, N MN MN, E MO, E NO, W NE SD	3 3 3 5 4 14 2 1 3	23 8 55 39 74 76 95 51 15 28	462 164 1,134 769 1,528 1,530 1,986 1,072 320 534	177 47 400 217 423 467 688 319 114 207	20.1 20.5 20.6 19.7 20.6 20.1 20.9 21.0 21.3 19.1	7.7 5.9 7.3 5.6 5.7 6.1 7.2 6.3 7.8 7.4
9TH				•		
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,S HI ID MT NV OR WA,E WA,W GUAM NMI	3 9 13 11 32 10 4 3 3 6 8 7 4 2 2	29 130 213 141 403 183 82 35 26 119 108 46 103 47 9	571 2,549 4,097 2,762 7,772 3,605 1,611 736 547 2,333 2,150 940 2,130 903 181	124 727 1,078 859 2,173 1,090 337 248 200 563 728 207 756 193 40	19.7 19.6 19.2 19.6 19.3 19.7 19.6 21.0 21.0 19.6 19.6 19.9 20.4 20.7 19.2 20.1	4.3 5.6 5.1 6.1 5.4 6.0 4.1 7.1 7.7 4.7 6.7 4.5 7.3 4.1 4.4
10TH KS NM OK,N OK,E OK,W UT WY	4 4 3 2 2 4 1 1	152 46 54 30 15 60 36 25	2,929 976 1,100 627 320 1,214 678 527	938 309 281 240 110 362 196 192	19.3 21.2 20.4 20.9 21.3 20.2 18.8 21.1	6.2 6.7 5.2 8.0 7.3 6.0 5.4 7.7
11TH						
AL, N AL, M FL, N FL, M FL, S GA, N GA, S	7 3 6 3 18 40 10 4 3	61 22 29 58 322 771 126 33 50	1,312 467 585 1,166 6,269 15,240 2,505 675 1,058	·378 136 185 374 1,723 2,999 787 191 343	21.5 21.2 20.2 20.1 19.5 19.8 19.9 20.5 21.2	6.2 6.4 6.4 5.4 3.9 6.2 5.8 6.9

* THE DISTRICT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS REPORTED NO GRAND JURIES IN EXISTENCE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD.

TABLE J-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PETIT JUROR SERVICE ON DAYS JURORS WERE SELECTED FOR TRIAL DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991*

<u> </u>		NUMBS	R OF JURORS	DRECENT			I		OF TRIALS	STARTING
			ELECTION OR	ORIENTA	TION			ON THE	SAME DAY	THE JURY
					NOT SE		TOTAL JURIES	W	AS SELECI	ED
		BEDGENT	DEDOCALT		ΙΙ	NON-	SELECTED		DEDOFNIT	DEPOENT
DISTRICT	TOTAL	PERCENT SELECTED	PERCENT CHALLENGED	OVER	VOIR DIRE1	VOIR DIRE2	(CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)	TOTAL	PERCENT	PERCENT
	**							<u></u>		
TOTAL	415,650	28.5	37.4	34.0	20.1	13.9	11,296	8,871	48.2	51.8
DC	18,437	23.8	32,3	43.9	18.7	25.2	338	338	17.5	82.5
1ST										
ME	1, 140	47.5	36.3	18.2	5.6	10.6	58	14	50.0	50.0
MA NH	7,169 1,589	34.2	45.5 50.0	20.4	14.3 18.2	6.1	241	207 20	64,3 45.0	35.7 55.0
RI	2,231	53.1	30.2	16.7	14.8	2.1	128	3	66.7	33.3
PR	3,874	23.4	34.3	42.4	24.6	17.8	76	76	28.9	71.1
2ND										
ст	3,469	31.6	52.6	15.8	15.8	0.0	103	3	100.0	0.0
NY, N NY, E	2,099	31.3	37.7 33.6	30.9 48.1	18.1 37.7	12.8	64 252	57 105	57.9 22.9	42.1 77.1
NY, S	21,202	19.2	33,9	47.0	32.7	14.3	378	356	55.1	44.9
NY,W VT	1,886 948	31.4	39.7 29.2	28.9 29.3	24.7	4.2	58 41	30	66.7 37.5	33.3 62.5
3RD	340								01.0	
DE	1,581	30.6	27.1	42.3	38.0	4.4	43	43	48.8	51.2
NJ	11,830	19.6	33.0	47.5	40.2	7.3	237	195	74.9	25.1
PA, E PA, M	18,404 3,152	21.9 38.8	44.2 33.3	33.8 27.9	10.5	23.4 11.1	414 143	414	73.7 68.9	26.3 31.1
PA, W	4,927	25.7	42.7	31.7	12.6	19.0	123	107	57.0	43.0
VI	3,735	28.6	31.7	39.7	21.8	17.8	104	24	16.7	83.3
4TH										
MD NC, E	7,750	25.5	41.0 41.6	33.5 6.4	19.6 6.3	13.9 0.1	182 93	166 66	62.0 24.2	38.0 75.8
NC. M	2,282	40.5	32.8	26.7	22.8	3.9	74	54	7.4	92.6
NC, W SC	1,660 4,523	43.4 53.6	25.7 29.5	30.9 16.9	19.8 16.1	11.1 0.8	58 313	42 9	23.8 44.4	76.2
VA, E	7,194	30.0	30.8	39.1	29.0	10.2	224	224	49.6	50.4
VA, W	3,558	34.2	51.3	14.5	2.8	11.8	124	124	50.8	49.2
WV,N WV,S	1,550 1,352	31.0 38.5	42.6 53.8	26,5 7.7	12.1	14.3 0.3	41 52	37 48	32.4 52.1	67.6 47.9
5TH										
LA, E	4,158	35.4	41.9	22.7	18.6	4.1	186	168	82.7	17.3
LA.M	659	37.3	47.0	15.6		2.6	31	31	80.6	19.4
LA, W MS, N	2,179 1,535	31.2 36.3	37.7 38.9	31.1 24.8	23.2	7.9	78 56	67 44	74,6 ⁻ 59,1	25.4 40.9
MS, S	2,807	32.1	43.2	24.8	14.6	10.1	104	76	71.1	28.9
TX, N	6,557	23.1 38.9	31.1	45.8 24.1	25.0 9.6	20.8 14.4	145 198	132 28	49.2 46.4	50.8 53.6
TX,E	4,078	30.1	33.8	36.0	15.2	20.8	350	194	52.1	47.9
тх, ₩	9,888	29.5	30.8	39.7	12.3	27.4	262	159	28.9	71.1
6ТН										
KY, E	3,709	30.3	44.8	25.0	18.4	6.6	104	97	51.5	48.5
KY,W MI,E	2,965 9,384	23.9 28.7	31,9 30,8	44.3 40.5	15.1 28.7	29.1 11.9	76 253	71 253	63.4 55.3	36.6 44.7
MI,W	1,201	34.1	33.1	32.8	19.7	13.1	40	35	48.6	51.4
OH, N OH, S	4,435	44.8 24.1	31.3 36.4	24.0 39.5	15.8 19.7	8.4 19.9	214 95	100 82	52.0 41.5	48.0 58.5
TN, E	2,976	38.8	37.3	23,9	17.1	6.8	122	120	57.5	42.5
TN.M	1,325	39.5 30.7	33,6	26.9 40.2	15.7 11.4	11.2 28.8	48 84	47	48.9 26.8	51.1 73.2
<u>TN,W</u>	3,172	30.7	29.1	40.2	11.4	20.0	54	82	20.8	13.4

NUMBER OF JURCRET PRESENT UTAL DECENT PERCENT PERCENT OPERCENT OPERCENT <th <="" colspan="2" th=""><th></th><th></th><th>DURI</th><th>NG THE TWELL</th><th>/E MONTH</th><th>PERIOD</th><th>ENDED</th><th>JUNE 30, 19</th><th>91*</th><th></th><th></th></th>	<th></th> <th></th> <th>DURI</th> <th>NG THE TWELL</th> <th>/E MONTH</th> <th>PERIOD</th> <th>ENDED</th> <th>JUNE 30, 19</th> <th>91*</th> <th></th> <th></th>				DURI	NG THE TWELL	/E MONTH	PERIOD	ENDED	JUNE 30, 19	91*		
DISTRICT TOTAL PERCENT PERCENT PERCENT OUR OUR <thour< th=""> OUR OUR</thour<>	•••••						-						
DISTRICT TOTAL PERCENT PERCENT OVER VOIR NON- DIRES SELECTED PERCENT P					PERCENT	NOT SE							
DISTRICT TOTAL SELECTED CHALLENGED ALL DIRE1 DIRE2 CRIMINAL TOTAL CIVIL CRIMINAL TTH 10,078 23.9 35.3 40.8 18.0 22.8 228 229 55.0 45.0 IL.C 2,733 32.9 39.4 27.7 18.3 8.4 64 46 54.3 45.7 IN.S 1,488 27.4 34.6 38.0 15.8 22.1 43 44 53.5 WI.E 3,093 27.4 34.6 38.0 15.8 22.1 43 44 56.5 55.5 STH 880 37.8 48.7 21.9 13.5 12.3 75 53 64 65.6 34.4 AR.E 4.80 27.0 33.6 39.2 27.3 11.8 116 116 73.3 26.7 AR.M 4.84.2 30.5 31.6 183 163 163 163					OR C	HALLENG							
TTH 10.078 23.9 35.3 40.8 18.0 22.8 22.8 22.8 55.0 45.0 IL.S 1.973 32.9 33.4 27.7 18.3 8.4 64 69 58.4 54.5 54.3 45.7 IN.N 2.448 29.5 37.2 33.3 18.5 14.8 69 69 58.4 24.5 75 55 53.6.5 53.6 54.6 53.4 53.6 53.6 54.6 53.4 54.7 53.8 55 54.0 65.6 54.4 53.4 54.7 53.8 53.4 54.7 53.8 53.4 54.7 53.4 54.7 53.4 54.7 53.4 54.7 53.4 53.7 54.0<	DISTRICT	TOTAL							TOTAL				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		10102	300000	CHALLENGED	~~~	DIREI	DINLE	CRIMINE!	1012		CRIMINAL		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7тн									- 			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $													
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $													
STH AR,E 4,630 27.0 33.8 39.2 27.3 11.8 116 116 73.3 26.7 AR,W 2,813 25.3 30.4 44.3 30.5 13.8 65 64 65.6 34.4 JA,N 1,848 34.0 36.0 30.0 27.3 2.7 65 63 56.7 41.3 MC 4,342 30.5 41.2 28.1 70.6 67.1 107 106 45.3 54.7 41.3 MD.E 6,671 23.1 32.4 40.0 17.0 21.6 83 53 56.6 43.4 43.6 ND 1,859 35.3 44.0 20.7 17.1 3.6 55 51 37.3 62.7 STH 4X 7.768 21.5 46.4 32.1 21.7 10.4 148 145 16.6 83.4 CA,N 5.646 21.8 41.8 36.4 <th></th> <th>3,093</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		3,093											
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	WI,W	880	37.8	46.8	15.3	14.8	0.8	39	31	71.0	29.0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	IA, N	1,342	29.1	37.6	33.2	21.8	11.5	35	35	40.0	60.0		
MD, E 6, 671 23.9. 34.6 41.5 9.9 31.6 183 123 71.6 28.4 MD, M 2, 350 29.1 30.8 40.0 17.0 23.1 63 52 59.6 40.4 ND 1, 123 22.5 52.4 24.4 28.1 15.5 12.6 31 30 40.0 60.0 60.0 SD 1, 123 22.5 53.4 44.0 20.7 17.1 3.6 58 51 37.3 62.7 STH 7.768 21.5 48.4 0 20.7 17.1 1.6 48 145 16.6 83.4 CA, 5,646 21.8 41.8 36.4 22.7 7.7 112 112 46.4 53.6 68 72.7 70 45.7 54.3 62.0 77.8 62.2 2 2 8.72 70 45.7 45.4 63.6 63.6 64.8 25.6 45.1 65.5 45.7 57.8 37.8 62.2 2 65.6 45.6 <th></th>													
NE 2,350 29.1 30.8 40.0 17.0 23.1 63 52 59.6 40.4 SD 1,123 29.5 42.4 28.1 15.5 12.6 31 30 40.0 60.0 SD 1,859 35.3 44.0 20.7 17.1 3.6 58 51 37.3 62.7 9TH 1,228 26.5 35.5 38.0 22.3 15.7 27 26 23.1 76.9 AZ 7,786 21.5 46.4 32.1 21.7 10.4 148 145 16.6 83.4 CA, N 5,646 21.8 41.8 36.4 22.7 70 45.7 54.3 CA, C 2,481 31.0 38.9 30.1 20.3 9.8 72 70 45.7 54.3 CA, C 1,685 28.8 52.2 19.1 12.5 6.5 40 33.36.4 63.6 DL 986 32.6 43.8 12.3 12.3 13.36	MO, E	6,671	23.9	34.6	41.5	9.9	31.8	183	183	71.6	28.4		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $													
9TH AK 1,228 26.5 35.5 38.0 22.3 15.7 27 26 23.1 78.9 AK 7,786 21.5 46.4 32.1 21.7 10.4 148 145 16.6 83.4 CA,N 5,646 21.8 41.8 36.4 28.7 7.7 112 112 46.4 53.6 CA,C 17,628 24.9 49.9 25.2 22.8 2.4 376 37.8 62.2 CA,S 6,209 25.6 29.6 44.8 23.6 21.3 123 13.0 87.0 HI 1,685 28.8 52.2 19.1 12.5 6.5 40 336.4 63.6 20.7 MI 3,637 23.0 66.9 10.1 0.0 10.1 87 86 55.6 44.1 MX 3,657 23.0 66.9 10.1 0.0 10.1 87 86 55.8 </th <th>ND</th> <th>1,123</th> <th>29.5</th> <th>42.4</th> <th>28.1</th> <th>15.5</th> <th>12.6</th> <th>31</th> <th>30</th> <th>40.0</th> <th>60.0</th>	ND	1,123	29.5	42.4	28.1	15.5	12.6	31	30	40.0	60.0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SD	1,859	35.3	44.0	20.7	17.1	3.6	58	51	37.3	62.7		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
CA, C 17,628 24,9 49,9 25,2 22,8 2,4 376 377 376 <th>CA, N</th> <th>5,646</th> <th>21.8</th> <th>41.8</th> <th>38.4</th> <th>28.7</th> <th>7.7</th> <th>112</th> <th>112</th> <th>46.4</th> <th>53.6</th>	CA, N	5,646	21.8	41.8	38.4	28.7	7.7	112	112	46.4	53.6		
CA, S 6, 209 25.6 29.6 44.8 23.6 21.3 123 123 13.0 87.0 HI 1,685 28.8 52.2 19.1 12.5 6.5 40 33 36.4 63.6 ID 986 32.6 48.8 18.7 5.1 13.6 34 34 55.9 44.1 MT 2,330 25.6 37.2 37.2 28.1 9.1 61 57 52.6 47.4 NV 3,627 23.0 66.9 10.1 0.0 10.1 87 86 55.8 44.2 WA.K 1,415 35.1 34.6 30.4 16.5 13.9 43 41 29.3 70.7 GLAM 305 33.8 43.6 22.6 13.8 8.9 8 8 12.5 87.5 NMI 72 29.2 59.7 11.1 6.9 4.2 2 1 100.0 0.0 10TH 2,044 29.2 31.5 39.3 29.7	CA,E												
ID 986 32.6 48.8 18.7 5.1 13.6 34 34 55.9 44.1 MT 2,330 25.6 37.2 37.2 28.1 9.1 61 57 52.6 47.4 NV 3,397 31.5 43.6 24.8 17.1 7.8 92 92 38.0 62.0 62.0 QR 3,627 23.0 66.9 10.1 0.0 10.1 87 86 55.8 44.2 WA.E 1,415 35.1 34.6 30.4 16.5 13.9 43 41 29.3 70.7 GUAM 305 33.8 43.6 22.6 13.8 8.9 8 8 12.5 87.5 NMI 72 29.2 59.7 11.1 6.9 4.2 2 1 100.0 0.0 10TH 2,044 29.2 31.5 39.3 29.7 9.6 68 68 75.0 25.0 KS 2,044 29.2 31.5 39.3	CA, S	6,209	25.6	29.8	44.8	23.6	21.3	123	123	13.0	87.0		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MT	2,330	25.6	37.2	37.2	28.1	9.1	61	57	52.6	47.4		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
GUAM 305 33.8 43.6 22.6 13.8 8.9 8 8 12.5 87.5 NMI 72 29.2 59.7 11.1 6.9 4.2 2 1 100.0 0.0 10TH 2,044 29.2 31.5 39.3 29.7 9.6 68 68 75.0 25.0 KS 2,894 30.8 33.6 35.6 25.4 10.2 92 92 65.2 34.8 NM 3,016 41.3 45.2 13.4 8.0 7.5 123 64 34.4 65.6 0K, N 2,493 28.8 35.5 35.7 27.6 8.1 79 62 62.9 37.1 0K, W 2,600 34.9 33.5 31.8 23.0 8.5 103 67.9 32.1 0K, W 2,011 32.2 43.8 24.0 11.5 12.5 52 52 38.5 61.5 WY 684 46.9 44.2 8.9 22.6 7	WA, E	1,415	35.1	34.6	30.4	16.5	13.9	43	41	29.3	70.7		
NMI 72 29.2 59.7 11.1 6.9 4.2 2 1 100.0 0.0 10TH 2,044 29.2 31.5 39.3 29.7 9.6 68 68 75.0 25.0 KS 2,894 30.8 33.6 35.6 25.4 10.2 92 92 65.2 34.8 NM 3,016 41.3 45.2 13.4 8.0 7.5 123 64 34.4 65.6 OK, N 2,493 28.8 35.5 35.7 27.6 8.1 79 62 62.9 37.1 OK, E 748 53.6 33.3 13.1 12.7 0.4 53 28 67.9 32.1 OK, W 2,001 34.9 33.5 31.8 23.0 8.5 103 67 68.7 31.3 UT 2,011 32.2 43.8 24.0 11.5 12.5 52 52 38.5<													
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
KS 2,894 30.8 33.6 35.6 25.4 10.2 92 92 65.2 34.8 NM 3,016 41.3 45.2 13.4 6.0 7.5 123 64 34.4 65.6 OK,N 2,493 28.8 35.5 35.7 27.6 8.1 79 62 62.9 37.1 OK,E 748 53.6 33.3 13.1 12.7 0.4 53 28 67.9 32.1 OK,W 2,600 34.9 33.5 31.8 23.0 8.5 103 67 68.7 31.3 UT 2,011 32.2 43.8 24.0 11.5 12.5 52 52 38.5 61.5 WY 684 46.9 44.2 8.9 2.2 6.7 37 37 64.9 35.1 11TH 3.845 33.2 39.8 27.0 4.3 22.8 139 123 68.3 31.7 AL,N 3,845							1						
NM 3,016 41.3 45.2 13.4 6.0 7.5 123 64 34.4 65.6 OK,N 2,493 28.8 35.5 35.7 27.6 8.1 79 62 62.9 37.1 OK,E 748 53.6 33.3 13.1 12.7 0.4 53 28 67.9 32.1 OK,W 2,600 34.9 33.5 31.6 23.0 8.5 103 67 68.7 31.3 UT 2,011 32.2 43.8 24.0 11.5 12.5 52 52 38.5 61.5 WY 684 46.9 44.2 8.9 2.2 6.7 37 37 64.9 35.1 11TH ////////////////////////////////////	co												
OK, N 2,493 28.8 35.5 35.7 27.6 8.1 79 62 62.9 37.1 OK, E 748 53.6 33.3 13.1 12.7 0.4 53 28 67.9 32.1 OK, W 2,600 34.9 33.5 31.6 23.0 8.5 103 67 68.7 31.3 UT 2,011 32.2 43.8 24.0 11.5 12.5 52 52 38.5 61.5 WY 584 46.9 44.2 8.9 2.2 6.7 37 37 64.9 35.1 11TH 53.1 13.3 14.8 8.6 6.0 91 37.8 62.2 AL, N 3,845 33.2 39.8 27.0 4.3 22.8 139 123 68.3 31.7 AL, M 1,747 54.1 31.3 14.8 8.6 6.0 91 37.8 62.2 AL, S 3,867 41.5 37.9 <	NM									34.4			
0K, W 2,600 34.9 33.5 31.6 23.0 8.5 103 67 68.7 31.3 UT 2,011 32.2 43.8 24.0 11.5 12.5 52 52 38.5 61.5 WY 684 46.9 44.2 8.9 2.2 6.7 37 37 64.9 35.1 11TH 8.9 2.2 6.7 37 37 64.9 35.1 11TH 37 64.9 35.1 11TH 37 64.9 35.1 AL, N 3,845 33.2 39.8 27.0 4.3 22.8 139 123 68.3 31.7 AL, M 1,747 54.1 31.3 14.8	OK, N	2,493	28.8	35.5	35.7	27.6	8.1	79		62.9	37.1		
UT 2,011 32.2 43.8 24.0 11.5 12.5 52 52 38.5 61.5 WY 684 46.9 44.2 8.9 2.2 6.7 37 37 64.9 35.1 11TH 68.3 31.7 AL,N 3,845 33.2 39.8 27.0 4.3 22.8 139 123 68.3 31.7 AL,M 1,747 54.1 31.3 14.6 8.6 6.0 91 37 37.8 62.2 AL,S 3,867 41.5 37.9 20.7 19.4 1.3 145 12 75.0 25.0 FL,N 3,013 36.2 35.0 28.7 17.2 11.5 87 53 17.0 83.0 FL,N 10,359 27.2 31.0 41.8 24.3 17.5 243 235 30.6 69.4 FL,S 18,660 28.6 35.3 36.1 17.2 18.9 4													
11TH AL,N 3,845 33.2 39.8 27.0 4.3 22.8 139 123 68.3 31.7 AL,M 1,747 54.1 31.3 14.6 8.6 6.0 91 37 37.8 62.2 AL,S 3,867 41.5 37.9 20.7 19.4 1.3 145 12 75.0 25.0 FL,N 3,013 36.2 35.0 28.7 17.2 11.5 87 53 17.0 83.0 FL,M 10,359 27.2 31.0 41.8 24.3 17.5 243 235 30.6 69.4 FL,S 18,660 28.6 35.3 36.1 17.2 18.9 409 407 12.3 87.7		2,011	32.2	43.8	24.0	11.5	12.5	52	52	38.5	61.5		
AL, N 3,845 33.2 39.8 27.0 4.3 22.8 139 123 68.3 31.7 AL, M 1,747 54.1 31.3 14.8 8.6 6.0 91 37 37.8 62.2 AL, S 3,867 41.5 37.9 20.7 19.4 1.3 145 12 75.0 25.0 FL, N 3,013 36.2 35.0 28.7 17.2 11.5 87 53 17.0 83.0 FL, M 10,359 27.2 31.0 41.8 24.3 17.5 243 235 30.6 69.4 FL, S 18,660 28.6 35.3 36.1 17.2 18.9 409 407 12.3 87.7		084	40.9	44.2	8.9	2.2	6.7	3/	37	64.9	35.1		
AL,M 1,747 54.1 31.3 14.8 8.6 6.0 91 37 37.8 62.2 AL,S 3,867 41.5 37.9 20.7 19.4 1.3 145 12 75.0 25.0 FL,N 3,013 36.2 35.0 28.7 17.2 11.5 87 53 17.0 83.0 FL,M 10,359 27.2 31.0 41.8 24.3 17.5 243 235 30.6 69.4 FL,S 18,660 28.6 35.3 36.1 17.2 18.9 409 407 12.3 87.7		2 945	22.6	20 0	27 0	A	<u></u>	120		e 0 0	24 7		
AL,S 3,867 41.5 37.9 20.7 19.4 1.3 145 12 75.0 25.0 FL,N 3,013 36.2 35.0 28.7 17.2 11.5 87 53 17.0 83.0 FL,M 10,359 27.2 31.0 41.8 24.3 17.5 243 235 30.6 69.4 FL,S 18,660 28.6 35.3 36.1 17.2 18.9 409 407 12.3 87.7							6.0						
FL,M 10,359 27.2 31.0 41.8 24.3 17.5 243 235 30.6 69.4 FL,S 18,660 28.6 35.3 36.1 17.2 18.9 409 407 12.3 87.7					20.7	19.4		145	12	75.0	25.0		
FL,S 18,660 28.6 35.3 36.1 17.2 18.9 409 407 12.3 87.7	FL,M												
UAINIII (D.U.34 32.15 42.3 25.1 13.8 18.3 194 176 48.9 51.1	FL,S	18,660	28.6	35.3	36.1	17.2	18.9	409	407	12.3	87.7		
GA,M 1,780 41.8 22.5 35.7 24.9 10.8 60 43 41.9 58.1		6,034 1,780		42.3 22.5	25.1 35.7	8.8 24.9	18.3	194 60	176	41.9			
GA, S 2,472 33.9 38.8 27.3 17.1 10.2 87 42 50.0 50.0										50.0			

TABLE J-2 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PETIT JUROR SERVICE ON DAYS JURORS WERE SELECTED FOR TRIAL DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991*

 This table includes data on jury selection days only. Data on juror service after the selection day are not included. Therefore, a district that selects a jury on one day and begins the trial at a later date will show juror activity but will not show trial activity. Due to rounding, percents may not add to 100.0 percent.

1 Jurors who complete pre-screening questionnaires or are in the courtroom during the conducting of voir dire, but are not selected or challenged.

2 Other jurors not selected or challenged who are not called to the courtroom or otherwise participate in the actual voir dire.

**Revised.

REPRESENTATIONS	PENDING			CASES CLOSI	LD COURT 1	PENDING
BY	OCT. 1,	CASES	i j-	10083 11	AVERAGE	SEPT. 30,
DISTRICT	1990	OPENED	NUMBER	TOTAL	PER CASE	1991
TOTAL	13,629	38,813	38,068	89,996	2.4	14,374
CRIMINAL	8,487	25,218	24,661	78,534	3.2	9,044
APPEALS	1,905	1,894	1,746	933	0.5	2,053
OTHER 2	3,237	11,701	11,661	10,529	0.9	3,277
AZ	379	1,305	1,306	2,815	2.2	378
CRIMINAL	255	1,054	1,048	2,543	2.4	261
APPEALS	44	36	37	9	0.3	43
OTHER	80	215	221	262	1.2	74
CA,N	353	1,001	981	2,470	2.5	373
CRIMINAL	258	775	747	2,110	2.8	286
APPEALS	28	19	15	14	0.9	32
OTHER	67	207	219	347	1.6	55
CA,E	408	2,009	1,976	3,681	1.9	441
CRIMINAL	275	1,555	1,530	3,209	2.1	300
APPEALS	47	33	43	17	0.4	37
OTHER	86	421	403	455	1.1	104
CA,C	875	1,789	1,651	6,022	3.6	1,013
CRIMINAL	496	1,064	1,026	5,026	4.9	534
APPEALS	165	143	86	45	0.5	222
OTHER	214	582	539	952	1.8	257
CA,S*	696	5,986	6,017	6,758	1.1	665
CRIMINAL	421	4,351	4,354	5,782	1.3	418
APPEALS	159	104	115	92	0.8	148
OTHER	116	1,531	1,548	885	0.6	99
co	166	503	483	936	1.9	186
CRIMINAL	52	290	259	828	3.2	83
APPEALS	88	61	70	31	0.4	79
OTHER	26	152	154	78	0.5	24
ст	119	240	220	674	3.1	139
CRIMINAL	82	140	131	539	4.1	91
APPEALS	8	8	9	4	0.4	7
OTHER	29	92	80	132	1.6	41
003	0	504	318	1,377	4.3	186
CRIMINAL	-	387	247	1,302	5.3	140
APPEALS	-	30	3	-	-	27
OTHER	- -	87	68	75	-	19
FL,N	86	324	322	1,185	3.7	88
CRIMINAL	41	190	175	1,049	6.0	56
APPEALS	9	17	14	5	0.3	12
OTHER	36	117	133	132	1.0	20
FL,M	408	1,180	1,079	3,420	3.2	509
CRIMINAL	253	693	662	3,099	4.7	284
APPEALS	72	105	47	17	0.4	130
OTHER	83	382	370	304	0.8	95

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		CASES CLOSE		· ·
REPRESENTATIONS	PENDING				i court 1	PENDING
ВҮ	OCT. 1,	CASES			AVERAGE	SEPT. 30,
DISTRICT	1990	OPENED	NUMBER	TOTAL	PER CASE	1991
FL,S	2,236	1,764	2,383	11,462	4.8	1,617
CRIMINAL	1,247	1,054	1,429	10,118	7.1	872
APPEALS	323	174	220	120	0.5	277
OTHER	666	536	734	1,225	1.7	468
GA,N*	311	963	841	2,008	2.4	433
CRIMINAL	152	397	327	1,670	5.1	222
APPEALS	59	56	41	11	0.3	- 74
OTHER	100	510	473	327	0.7	137
HI	241	607	594	1,355	2.3	254
CRIMINAL	168	601	543	1,181	2.2	226
APPEALS	34	. 3	25	117	4.7	12
OTHER	39	3	26	58	2.2	16
IL,N*	319	799	770	1,224	1.6	348
CRIMINAL	203	459	420	955	2.3	242
APPEALS	9	12	10	8	0.8	11
OTHER	107	328	340	261	0.8	95
TOT: IL,C,S,MO,E	138	539	511	1,345	2.6	166
CRIMINAL	73	328	287	1,153	4.0	114
APPEALS	35	32	43	16	0.4	24
OTHER	30	179	181	176	1.0	28
IL,C	Ŭ.	4	2		0.0	5
CRIMINAL	-	4	2	2	1.0	2
APPEALS				-	1.0	
OTHER	-	1			_	1
0111LK						L.,
IL,S	54	225	227	621	2.7	52
CRIMINAL	31	126	119	524	4.4	38
APPEALS	7	8	9	5	0.6	6
OTHER	16	91	99	92	0.9	8
MO,E	84	310	282	722	2.6	112
CRIMINAL	42	199	166	627	3.8	75
APPEALS	28	24	34	11	0.3	18
OTHER	14	87.	82	84	1.0	19
KS	126	456	458	903	2.0	124
CRIMINAL	81	302	300	775	2.6	83
APPEALS	30	23	32	19	0.6	21
OTHER	15	131	126	110	0.9	20
LA,E	168	508	510	1,238	2.4	166
CRIMINAL	97	324	314	1,112	3.5	107
APPEALS	31	48	53	4	0.1	26
OTHER	40	136	143	122	0.9	33

REDRECENTATIONO	DENDING			CASES CLOSE		
REPRESENTATIONS	PENDING	04070	Ļ	HOURS I	COURT 1	PENDING
BY	OCT. 1,	CASES		TOTAL	AVERAGE	SEPT. 30,
DISTRICT	1990	OPENED	NUMBER	TOTAL	PER CASE	1991
1D	337	945	955	2,076	2.2	327
CRIMINAL	202	640	639	1,762	2.8	203
APPEALS	31	49	40	14	0.4	4(
OTHER	104	256	276	300	1.1	84
MA	147	147	138	1,277	9.3	156
CRIMINAL	84	110	99	1,253	12.7	9
APPEALS	18	10	14	9	0.6	14
OTHER	45	27	25	15	0.6	47
MI,E*	258	814	824	2,528	3.1	248
CRIMINAL	218	659	678	2,317	3.4	199
APPEALS	21	24	21	-44	2.1	24
	19			167		25
OTHER	19	131	125	107	1.3	2
MN	120	345	340	712	2.1	125
CRIMINAL	60	194	186	592	3.2	68
APPEALS	27	19	24	3	0.1	22
OTHER	33	132	130	118	0.9	35
MO,W4	190	1,340	1,382	1,115	0.8	148
CRIMINAL	90	193	203	931	4.6	80
APPEALS	12	12	15	23	1.5	} 9
OTHER	88	1,135	1,164	161	0.1	59
NV	200	960	903	1,456	1.6	257
CRIMINAL	162	466	427	1,180	2.8	201
APPEALS	17	21	17	5	0.3	2
OTHER	21	473	459	272	0.6	35
NJ	305	1,257	1,053	2,301	2.2	509
CRIMINAL	247	1,051	883	2,113	2.4	415
APPEALS	12	23	20	10	0.5	15
OTHER	46	183	150	179	1.2	79
NM	322	462	503	969	1.9	28
CRIMINAL	155	268	313	884	2.8	110
APPEALS	79	81	86	33	0.4	7/
OTHER	88	113	104	51	0.5	9
TOT: NEW YORK*	956	2,600	2,513	8,933	3.6	1,043
CRIMINAL	738	1,585	1,512	7,738	5.1	81
APPEALS	67	1,565	129	71	0.6	52
OTHER	151	901	872	1,124	1.3	180
NY,E	453	1,138	1 001	5,189		500
CRIMINAL	455	886	1,091 842		4.8	449
	405	000	042	4,619	2.2	44
APPEALS	-	-		-		_
OTHER	48	252	249	570	2.3	5

·				CASES CLOSE	ED	<u> </u>
REPRESENTATIONS	PENDING				N COURT 1	PENDING
BY	OCT. 1,	CASES			AVERAGE	SEPT. 30,
DISTRICT	1990	OPENED	NUMBER	TOTAL	PER CASE	1991
2ND CIR	72	121	135	79	0.6	58
CRIMINAL		1	135	2	2.0	
APPEALS	67	114	129	71	0.6	52
OTHER	5	6	5	6	1.2	6
UI NEK		0	ر	0	1.2	0
NY,S	431	1,341	1,287	3,665	2.8	485
CRIMINAL	333	698	669	3,117	4.7	362
APPEALS	-	-	· · ·	-		-
OTHER	98	643	618	548	0.9	123
NC,E	184	540	484	1,093	2.3	240
CRIMINAL	89	329	286	888	3.1	132
APPEALS	34	31	25	14	0.5	40
OTHER		180	25 173	192	1.1	68
UTHER	61	100	175	192		. 00
OH, N	96	300	298	1,285	4.3	98
CRIMINAL	67	214	210	1,106	5.3	71
APPEALS	10	9	12	34	2.8	7
OTHER	19	77	76	145	1.9	20
	454	(22)	177	1.070	2 5	150
TOT: OKLAHOMA	151	422	423	1,069	2.5	150
CRIMINAL	90	242	235	904	3.8	97
APPEALS	41	45	52	40	0.8	34
OT HER	20	135	136	126	0.9	19
OK,N	47	127	131	281	2.1	43
CRIMINAL	28	80	83	239	2.9	25
APPEALS	17	11	15	7	0.5	13
OTHER	2	36	33	35	1.1	· 5
OK,E	17	64	57	298	5.2	24
CRIMINAL	12	37	37	281	7.6	12
APPEALS	3'	13	5	1	0.2	11
OTHER	2	14	15	16	1.1	1
UTHER	۲.	14		10	1	. F
OK,W	87	231	235	490	2.1	83
CRIMINAL	50	125	- 115	384	3.3	60
APPEALS	21	21	32	32	1.0	10
OTHER	16	85	88	75	0.9	13
OR	312	862	778	1,034	1.3	396
CRIMINAL	145	424	362	811	2.2	207
APPEALS	70	77	63	28	0.4	84
OTHER	97	361	353	195	0.6	105
			-			
PA,E*	321	680	540	1,602	3.0	461
CRIMINAL	152	363	301	1,330	4.4	214
APPEALS	6	23	19	10	0.5	10
OTHER	163	294	220	262	1.2	237
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		L	I	I		<u> </u>

				CASES CLOSE	D	
REPRESENTATIONS	PENDING			HOURS IN	I COURT 1	PENDING
BY	ОСТ. 1,	CASES	Γ		AVERAGE	SEPT. 30,
DISTRICT	1990	OPENED	NUMBER	TOTAL	PER CASE	1991
PA,M	175	288	301	376	1.2	162
CRIMINAL	100	170	189	332	1.8	81
APPEALS	20	170	21	2	0.1	15
	55	102	91	43	0.1	66
OTHER	22	102	וע	40	0.5	00
PA, W	129	266	235	720	3.1	160
CRIMINAL	42	108	102	617	6.0	48
APPEALS	31	53	38	10	0.3	46
OTHER	56	105	95	94	1.0	66
PR	92	445	392	1,293	3.3	145
CRIMINAL	38	347	298	1,191	4.0	87
APPEALS	3	9	3	9	-	9
OTHER	51	89	91	93	1.0	49
sc	190	509	456	1,015	2.2	243
CRIMINAL	158	403	364	931	2.6	197
APPEALS	20	18	14	7	0.5	24
OTHER	12	88	78	78	1.0	22
TN,M	202	346	161	232	1 /	387
	147	259	117	188	<u> </u>	289
CRIMINAL			5	2	1.0	209
APPEALS	12	18		43	1.1	73
OT HER	43	69	39	45		1 13
TN,W	109	351	311	1,266	4.1	149
CRIMINAL	76	263	228	1,157	5.1	111
APPEALS	15	21	13	12	0.9	23
OTHER	18	67	70	98	1.4	15
TX,N	145	384	384	1,597	4.2	145
CRIMINAL	133	246	275	1,451	5.3	104
APPEALS	. 6	53	31	. 3	0.1	28
OTHER	6	85	78	143	1.8	13
TX,S	468	1,723	1,788	2,617	1.5	403
CRIMINAL	352	1,181	1,245	2,316	1.9	288
APPEALS	65	71	76	5	0.1	60
OTHER	51	471	467	296	0.6	55
TX,W	535	1,258	1,409	2,281	1.6	384
CRIMINAL	388	886	1,033	2,070	2.0	241
APPEALS	64	114	93	2,070	0.1	85
OTHER	83	258	283	203	0.7	58
UTRER	60	012	203	203	0.7	

				D		
REPRESENTATIONS	PENDING			HOURS IN	I COURT 1	PENDING
BY	OCT. 1,	CASES			AVERAGE	SEPT. 30,
DISTRICT	1990	OPENED	NUMBER	TOTAL	PER CASE	1991
VI	267	257	259	872	3.4	265
CRIMINAL	198	182	207	846	4.1	173
APPEALS	25	19	20	2	0.1	24
OTHER	44	56	32	25	0.8	68
	1. A.					
AK	102	84	75	170	2.3	111
CRIMINAL	43	55	49	147	3.0	49
APPEALS	18	8	3	- ⁻ 5	1.5	23
OTHER	41	21	23	19	0.8	39
WA,W	169	556	554	977	1.8	171
CRIMINAL	93	322	324	818	2.5	91
APPEALS	24	35	22	7	0.3	37
OTHER	52	199	208	152	0.7	43
			la e			
WV,S	118	195	189	266	1.4	124
CRIMINAL	66	94	97	219	2.3	63
APPEALS	16	17	7	4	0.5	26
OTHER	36	84	85	43	0.5	35
			1			

1 Numbers may not add to total due to rounding to whole hours.

2 Other representations include court directed prisoner representations, bail/presentment, witness, probation revocation, and parole revocation representations.

3 The Federal Defender for the District of Columbia began reporting representations in January 1991.

4 Total includes 920 court directed prisoner representations of inmates of the U.S. Medical Center for Federal Prisoners at Springfield, Missouri.

* Community Defender Organizations

								······		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	THEFT	FOOD/ DRUG	WEAPONS	TRESPASS	MAIL	ASSAULT	FRAUD	OTHER
TOTAL	11,840	6,313	1,658	1,099	92	49	53	136	1,143	1,297
DC	64	1	4	1	-	1	-	1	12	44
1ST	21	1	3	4		-	1	<u> </u>	5	7
ME MA	7 12	-	1 1	- 4			1		2 3	3 4
NH RI PR	2	1	- 1 -	-		-	-			
2ND	401	81	48	21	-	-	15	72	109	55
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,W VT	9 260 36 4 90 2	81	20 7 21	8 3 10		-	- 4 6 1 4	71	4 45 18 37 2	5 31 2 17
3RD		1	19	27	1		3	-	81	52
DE PA.E PA.M PA.W VI	16 139 15 12 1	1 - - -	6 11 - 2 -	3 20 1 3 -	1				3 66 10 1 1	3 41 3 4 - 1
4TH	3,102	1,769	540	236	35	21	4	31	65	401
MD NC.E NC.W SC VA.E VA.W WV.N WV.S	252 712 68 80 1,968 7 - 8	69 528 9 3 1,159 1 -	81 116 2 9 328 4 -	60 27 25 112 2 5	3 - - 1 31 - -	3 2 - - 16 - -	1 - - - - -	1 5 - 2 22 - - -	15 6 3 15 18 - -	19 27 4 23 43 282 - - 3
5TH	913	92	124	241	3	1		5	330	117
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, W	- 40 12 1 55 30 291 484	- - - 2 - 3 87	24 20 3 77	- 5 1 4 8 130 92	- - - - - 1 - - - - 1 - -			- 1 1 - - 2	- - 8 8 110 196	- 10 1 20 10 47 29
6тн	462	57	203	32	13	4	5	6	54	88
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M TN,W	239 43 16 3 58 19 84 -	- 9 6 - 1 2 - 39 -	148 9 1 - 33 1 11 -	- 13 8 3 - 6 - 2 -	8 1 - - 3 -		- 1 1 - - - -	3	9 13 10 2 8 2 10 -	48 4 1 6 12 17 -

TABLE M-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANOR CASES: DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

						JUNE 30, 199				
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	TRAFFIC	THEFT	FOOD/ DRUG	WEAPONS	TRESPASS	MAIL	ASSAULT	FRAUD	OTHER
7TH	275	116	42	15		3	1	2	51	45
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N WI,E WI,W	71 26 33 10 108 21 6	9 21 4 3 78 - 1	8 17 - 16 1	13 - - 1 1 -	-		1 - - - - -		33 - 7 4 1 2 4	7 5 2 9 16 1
8TH	276	165	21	13	1	<u> </u>	3		41	32
AR, E AAR, W IA, S MN MO, W ND SD	11 21 35 23 16 163 15 6	1 16 - - 144 2 1 1	3 - 1 8 3 3	1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1				2 32 1 13 86 1 5 -	4 1 2 5 5 3 9 2
9TH	3,366	1,934	292	438	21	16	18	14	329	304
AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,C HI ID NV OR WA,E WA,E WA,E GUAM NMI	107 197 254 191 29 290 1,355 6 4 13 2 12 906 - - 516	42 	27 26 40 3 16 60 1 - - 2 115 - - 2 182	17 59 11 13 186 32 - - - 2 116 - - 24	1 8 1 2 - - - - - - - - 8 - - 7	2 4 - - - - - 1 4 - - 3	- 16 7 - - - 1 - - 2 - - 2	1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	4 69 113 58 21 35 1 1 2 2 2 1 - 55	13 54 11 21 50 61 1 2 7 - 5 78 - -
CO KS OK.N OK.E OK.E UT WY	77 131 35 5 6 201 56 5	32 11 - 103 26	3 105 4 1 53 16	2 3 4 - 1 11 3 -	1 - 2 2 1 - 1 -	1 - - - - -	1	2 1 - - - - -	27 3 6 1 1 15 2 -	8 16 1 2 19 8 5
11TH	2,260	1,923	180	47	11	-	. 1	2	11	85
AL, N AL, M AL, S FL, N FL, M GA, N GA, S	29 142 5 36 18 2 8 1,768 252	16 88 - 7 1 3 1,646 162	26 - 17 3 - 5 76 53	10 8 1 11 - - 7 6	- 2 - 2 4		- - - - - 1	- - - 1 1	- 2 2 1 - 2 4	3 17 2 4 3 1 - 34 21

TABLE M-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMAANOR CASES: DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

Table M-1A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANOR CASES DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

CIRCU	JIT				(PE	TTY OF	FENSES)					
ANI	I		DUI/	OTHER	\	FOOD		DISORDERLY	HUNT		1	
DISTR	RICT	TOTAL	DWI	TRAFFIC	IMMIGRATION	DRUGS	LITTERING	CONDUCT	FISH/CAMP	TRESPASSING	THEFT	OTHER
Total	L	83,258	3,844	53,302	11,511	826	267	2,112	2,885	1,592	1,830	5,089
	DC-	48	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46
1st.	ME-	20	0	2	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	MA-	218	0	198	0	2	2	2	1	1	1	11
	NH-	14	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
	RI-	151	11	82	3	1	1	4	4	2	29	14
	PR-	28	1	23	0	Ó	0	0	0	2	2	0
2nd.	CT-	61	4	49	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	3
	NYN	1,577	42	1,123	124	5	0	14	1	33	38	197
	NYE	746	14	508	0	34	1	32	1	17	81	58
	NYS	697	25	555	0	. 9	0	25	0	13	23	47
	NYW	119	2	29	51	2	0	0	0	10	1	24
	VT-	32	0	5	25	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
3rd.	DE-	48	0	21	0	0	0	1	8	3	0	15
	NJ-	1,345	113	910	0	28	0	113	1	34	64	82
	PAE	497	3	368	7	23	1	34	1	5	20	35
	PAM	365	45	214	0	6	4	33	4	4	0	55
	PAW	21	2	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	8
	VI-	28	0	0	0	9	0	10	4	1	0	4
4th.	MD-	15,065	635	13,498	0	68	5	79	66	87	247	380
	NCE	2,309	36	1,938	0	25	3	16	32	69	28	162
	NCM	12	0	8	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
	NCW	191	20	99	0	14	1	6	19	1	9	22
	SC-	287	33	173	0	0	0	12	34	9	6	20
	VAE	4,311	391	3,300	0	29	15	108	67	113	66	222
	VAW	449	31	164	7	25	9	73	26	9	2	103
	WVN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WVS	27	1	7	0	1	0	8	0	2	0	8
5th.	LAE	701	2	398	0	0	0	8	293	0	0	0
	LAM	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0
	LAW	2,134	229	891	0	6	8	17	749	70	45	119
	MSN	558	40	407	0	4	7	45	14	2	2	37
	MSS	710	139	409	0	13	2	19	9	18	52	49
	TXN	132	5	62	7	1	. 1	12	5	1	17	21
	TXE	150	4	65	0	3	2	7	38	3	3	25
	TXS	3,939	8	100	3,786	7	2	3	21	0	0	12
	TXW	5,824	80	4,031	1,447	4	2	75	33	33	6	113
6th.		90	4	34	0	1	9	11	9	3	0	19
	KYW	2,169	128	1,637	0	3	7	59	92	62	36	145
	MIE	254	15	142	9	18	0	13	11	9	9	28
	MIW	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
	OHN	60	11	10	0	0	0	9	4	10	2	14
	OHS	230	21	165	0	6	Ō	7	0	5	1	25
	TNE	197	33	109	0	10	2	11	9	3	2	18
	TNM	66	5	37	0	1	0	6	2	1	0	14
	TNW	56	8	14	0	0	0	3	9	0	6	16

Table M-1A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MISDEMEANOR CASES DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES BY NATURE OF OFFENSE DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

CIRCU	1777			· · · · · ·	/ DF		FENSES)					
AND			DUI/	OTHER	(FE	FOOD	FENSES)	DISORDERLY	HUNT	1	r	<u> </u>
DISTR		TOTAL	DUI	TRAFFIC	IMMIGRATION		LITTERING	CONDUCT	FISH/CAMP	TRESPASSING	THEFT	OTHER
-		199	2	192	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1
	ILC	467	10	278	ů O	15	1	92	6	21	16	28
	ILS	121	0	38	1	0	6	7	41	9	1	18
	INN	38	2	19	Ō	õ	2	, 5	0	1	ō	9
	INS	206	8	178	ů 0	õ	0	8	Ő	ō	5	7
	WIE	65	1	31	0	1	ů 0	13	ů 0	8	0	11
	WIW	36	9	9	ů 0	ō	õ	10	6	11	0	1
		61	1	3	0	0	0	1	47	3	0	6
	ARW	188	6	71	0	7	7	12	32	13	8	32
	IAN	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
	IAS	254	1	227	0	0	1	1	9	6	0	9
	MN-	52	0	23	0	0	0	1	10	0	1	17
	MOE	270	15	135	0.	4	6	37	11	4	5	53
	MOW	87	80	3	0	0	Õ	1	1	1	0	1
	NE-	11	2	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	ND-	28	0	7	11	0	0	0	4	3	Ó	3
	SD-	65	1	22	0	0	4	24	0	6	1	7
9th.	AK-	659	0	591	0	1	4	1	38	4	3	17
	AZ-	2,144	62	369	1,441	16	5	54	54	7	20	116
	CAN	1,660	102	937	0	12	0	27	49	115	159	259
	CAE	3,407	216	1,987	80	55	51	179	134	56	60	589
	CAC	2,417	70	1,731	0	39	5	54	34	131	182	171
	CAS	5,069	56	277	4,461	165	1	4	3	19	30	53
	HI-	1,527	239	1,255	0	0	0	2	1	14	0	16
	ID-	63	0	2	0	0	4	0	16	. 8	1	32
	MT-	193	49	44	1	1	. 0	26	20	14	5	33
	NV-	723	78	313	0	24	10	92	28	36	48	94
	OR-	313	12	104	0	2	12	3	41	10	15	114
	WAE	74	7	14	0	1	0	3	16	9	0	24
	WAW	5,693	12	5,010	7	14	8	124	110	56	25	327
10th	CO-	194	46	58	0	2	1	7	17	14	4	45
	KS-	491	64	127	0	6	0	43	13	13	117	108
	NM-	958	97	603	0	8	4	19	43	34	56	94
	OKN	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	. 0	2	0	1
	OKE	26	1	. 8	0	0	3	5	1	5	0	3
	OKW	1,787	29	1,639	0	3	0	15	20	10	23	48
	UT-	2,169	84	1,625	0	29	18	71	210	28	36	68
	WY-	515	127	122	0	10	. 3	89	26	13	19	106
11th	ALN	417	11	281	0	4	3	12	10	11	36	49
	ALM	733	11	686	0	0	2	4	1	6	.0	23
	ALS	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	· 0·
	FLN	1,247	103	634	0	15	5	72	90	181	88	59
	FLM	285	35	116	0	17	0	24	17	11	22	43
	FLS	251	1	95	0	4	. 1	19	31	34	5	61
	GAN	302	43	85	28	7	13	39	16	7	12	52
	GAM	48	0	40	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	3
		1,763	ġ.	1,505	0	4		45	68	21	22	89

Table M-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. MATTERS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTION 636(A) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

				DURING TH	IE TWELVE MONTH	PERIOD ENDED	JUNE 30, 1	991		
CIRCUIT					1		1	GRAND		
AND		SEARCH	ARREST		INITIAL	PRELIMINARY	BAIL	JURY		
DISTRICT	TOTAL	WARRANTS	WARRANTS	SUMMONSES	APPEARANCES	EXAMINATIONS	REVIEWS	SESSIONS	ARRAIGNMENTS	OTHER
Total	178,789	23,887	17,896	2,048	51,745	8,116	8,246	4,992	35,699	26,160
DC-	3,467	329	216	8	1,141	681	114	132	138	708
1st ME-	487	53	13	0	138	24	43	15	135	66
MA-	2,460	211	307	59	562	71	81	175	480	514
NH-	287	24	20	0	89	0	7	17	115	15
RI-	2,288	85	136	5	656	163	139	50	611	443
PR-	698	99	52	30	78	59	7	28	154	191
2nd CT-	1,442	395	120	2	255	35	134	93	178	230
NYN	1,424	141	187	7	395	9	158	81	268	178
NYE	4,869	606	656	1	1,736	43	227	313	339	948
NYS	5,142	1,187	748	6	1,896	0	106	156	178	865
NYW	1,031	271	76	4	285	8	26	75	251	35
VT	378	37	38	0	74	15	10	29	129	46
3rd DE-	425	69	32	0	124	7	4	17	131	41
NJ-	2,080	377	265	4	737	13	149	256	42	237
PAE	4,317	544	943	2	884	289	62	168	847	578
PAM	516	70	71	3	256	17	6	1	1	91
PAW	1,294	231	110	68	217	70	18	144	292	144
VI-	864	55	82	0.	214	86	75	34	208	110
4th MD-	2,305	526	299	3	552	41	128	51	342	363
NCE	997	111	85	0	457	68	28	78	1	169
NCM	1,021	63	150	0	362	79	34	11	96	226
NCW	1,970	172	229	7	854	39	112	25	248	284
sc-	2,406	307	466	13	368	35	163	114	832	108
VAE	2,877	505	429	23	803	323	62	55	154	523
VAW	769	103	104	1	319	34	39	12	30	127
WVN	442	102	46	29	75	24	8	1	131	26
WVS	1,246	54	214	5	292	86	65	45	362	123
5th LAE	2,512	170	158	7	809	10	162	88	722	386
LAM	207	5	18	0	79	22	8	0	47	28
LAW	1,056	67	108	81	253	29	99	28	210	181
MSN	572	52	30	0	183	28	20	11	194	54
MSS	912	121	77	0	252	41	35	21	253	112
TXN	3,009	464	318	47	1,196	169	120	10	177	508
TXE	1,029	58	75	10	302	26	39	31	267	221
TXS	12,124	1,219	1,078	502	3,432	519	520	139	2,825	1,890
TXW	6,433	592	1,092	155	2,313	467	370	139	416	.906
6th KYE	677	98	83	10	2,515	50	25	24	177	111
KYW	828	117	88	10	158	42	25	30	248	135
MIE	4,449	837	652	8	1,366	73	43	161	971	338
MIW	823	84	148	0	200	17	43	45	248	538 61
OHN	1,876	352	325	37	200	148	20	45	412	265
OHS	1,695	248	267	37 0	458	148	20 69	41 67	412	205
TNE	1,055	138	110	1	458	35	12	38	306	
TNM	953	138	65	0	440	35 63	32			107
TNW		63	65 19	0				12	74	131
11NW	1,823	63	19	<u> </u>	773	160	31	12	508	257

Table M-3 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS.	
MATTERS DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES	
PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTION 636(A)	
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 199	1

				DURING TH	IE TWELVE MONTH	H PERIOD ENDED 3	JUNE 30, 1			
CIRCUIT			1					GRAND		
AND		SEARCH	ARREST	· •	INITIAL	PRELIMINARY	BAIL	JURY		
DISTRICT	TOTAL	WARRANTS	WARRANTS	SUMMONSES	APPEARANCES	EXAMINATIONS	REVIEWS	SESSIONS	ARRAIGNMENTS	OTHER
7th ILN	2,700	501	155	7	839	300	134	14	255	495
ILC	906	97	75	10	212	24	21	4	285	178
ILS	669	76	55	0	168	23	3	21	217	106
INN	766	158	43	4	286	17	14	34	92	118
INS	677	132	91	0	309	12	17	14	2	100
WIE	1,403	190	92	0	465	47	38	49	363	159
WIW	416	24	62	0	125	13	19	16	110	
8th ARE	716	145	40	0	154	3	41	13	232	88
ARW	395	165	64	0	38	3	9	2	79	35
IAN	398	58	32	36	48	7	8	30	137	42
IAS	683	140	51	1	106	38	18	74	140	115
MN-	2,362	444	260	7	571	228	39	18	501	294
MOE	1,515	366	164	3	397		15	1	251	241
MOW	1,816	273	129	0	613	95	71	37	433	165
NE-	631	135	45	1	100	5	66	19	164	96
ND-	450	24	47	0	119	40	21	1	137	61
SD-	765	8	20	0	335	14	4	8	250	126
9th AK-	597	131	26	5	68	44	75	15	158	75
AZ-	7,139	935	392	41	2,015	258	552	125	1,436	1,385
CAN	3,184	435	361	79	927	13	283	12	698	376
CAE	2,180	225	125	6	503	30	113	59	700	419
CAC	6,822	1,225	538	71	1,902	121	126	170	1,655	1,014
CAS	6,389	486	235	7	2,609	85	701	15	1,481	770
HI-	1,461	778	21	0	221	86	3	75	183	94
ID-	343	28	35	1	76	17	1	6	117	62
MT-	697	97	82	1	143	39	26	0	239	70
NV-	2,962	379	461	108	983	10	86	76	546	313
OR-	2,580	377	160	66	562	235	22	51	637	470
WAE	1,211	128	120	0	237	69	77	16	431	133
WAW	2,132	249	121	21	560	179	93	0	495	414
10th CO-	2,371	280	373	12	690	116	45	73	466	316
KS-	1,098	139	18	0	415	18	22	2	348	136
NM-	2,834	216	114	27	704	317	81	15	784	576
OKN	554	42	27	0	164	19	22	1	223	56
OKE	221	41	5	0	36	8	4	3	77	47
OKW	1,137	241	158	3	213	86	31	7	157	241
UT-	1,453	74	274	0	241	57	30	10	516	251
WY-	298	24	52	20	140	22	5	· · O	10	25
11th ALN	838	166	68	2	174	24	49	11	263	81
ALM	434	120	55	1	138	12	11	. 7	25	65
ALS	675	34	35	0	172	11	23	17	299	84
FLN	1,018	108	93	6	442	17	31	15	153	153
FLM	5,597	596	499	51	1,423	224	265	207	1,602	730
FLS	11,072	1,109	811	256	3,101	316	1,073	465	2,478	1,463
GAN	2,841	375	235	46	717	243	141	109	603	372
GAM	839	59	56	0	368	28	13	0	215	100
GAS	1,000	306	116	10	191	52	65	19	192	49

Table M-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES

PURSUANT TO	O TITLE 28	U.S.C. SEC	CTION 636(B)	AND (C)
DURING THE	TWELVE MO	NTH PERIOD	ENDED JUNE	30, 1991

		DURING TH	E TWELVE MONTH	PERIOD ENDI	SD DOME 30, 1		
	TOTAL*		(CRIMIN	AL PROCEEDI	NGS)		
CIRCUIT	CRIMINAL			MOTION	is		
AND	CIVIL	TOTAL **	PRETRIAL	(636)(B)	(636)(B)	PROBATION	
DISTRICT	MATTERS	CRIMINAL	CONFERENCES	(1)(A)	(1)(B)	REVOCATIONS	OTHER
Total	152,919	36,396	4,111	23,450	3,553	546	4,736
DC-	381	133	0	1	0	101	31
1stME-	440	34	0	28	5	0	1
MA-	5,407	2,768	1	2,691	13	8	55
NH-	882	222	0	222	0	0	0
RI-	1,228	202	71	118	8	0.	5
PR-	1,064	387	3	287	40	0	57
2ndCT-	2,305	90	0	53	31	0	6
NYN	275	37	11	4	1	0	21
NYE	5,150	29	1	5	0	2	21
NYS	2,495	124	- 0	1	6	1	116
NYW	994	274	13	232	15	0	14
VT-	86	9	0	3	3	0	3
3rdDE-	74	0	0	0	0	0	0
NJ-	10,698	7	0	. 2	1	1	3
PAE	815	94	3	17	54	2	18
PAM	89	0	0	0	0	0	0
PAW	1,540	59	2	4	30	1	22
VI-	1,150	135	2	39	30 7	1	88
4thMD-	602	135	0		0	1	132
NCE	1,376	482	0	329	32	30	91
NCM	1,378	356	0	171	52 8	. 0	177
NCW	812	612	1	429	60	0	177
SC-	799	393	214	429	13		
VAE	1,545	393	214	11	13	12 17	146 2
VAE	710	62	6	6	2 9		
WVN	710					2	39
WVN	435	494 67	<u>0</u> 0	428 40	39	0	27
No			9		11	1	15
5thLAE	5,457	429		220	72	11	117
LAM	1,177	40	5	21	1	3	10
LAW MSN	3,071	361	14	300	25	2	20
MSN MSS	1,958	95	1	85	0	0	9
	3,940	346	0	174	3	1	168
TXN	1,107	175	80	27	35	4	29
TXE	946	301	11	245	8	3	34
TXS	2,246	456	84	77	127	24	144
TXW	1,743	244	12	167	4	22	39
6thKYE	879	246	2	210	17	0	17
KYW	1,032	149	2	59	7	3	78
MIE	2,627	637	465	52	65	6	49
MIW	1,576	383	178	64	13	5	123
OHN	910	100	30	43	23	0	4
OHS	3,184	91	1	87	2	1	0
TNE	2,260	699	86	489	104	1	19
TNM	393	3	0	0	0	1	2
TNW	559	171	6	42	10	0	113

* Civil evidentiary hearings and criminal evidentiary hearings are not included.

** Evidentiary hearings are not included.

Table M-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTION 636(B) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	momert	DURING TH	E TWELVE MONTH			1991	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
athaura	TOTAL*		(CRIMIT	AL PROCEEDI			T
CIRCUIT	CRIMINAL	momat ++	זגדמוופומו	MOTIO	(636)(B)	PROBATION	
AND DISTRICT	CIVIL	TOTAL **	PRETRIAL	(636)(B)		REVOCATIONS	OTHER
DISTRICT	MATTERS	CRIMINAL	CONFERENCES	(1)(A)	(1)(B)	REVOCATIONS	OTHER
7thILN	2,877	181	0	101	114	2	64
ILC	1,819	11	0	101	3	-	6
ILS	806	51	0	21	22	1	7
INN	476	28	24	2	0	2	0
INS	1,710	21	4	4	8	3	2
WIE	703	561	2	351	138	0	70
WIW	1,213	1,161	145	885	67	0	64
8thARE	198	112	. 7	30	19	0	56
ARW	163	30	0	6	0	0	24
IAN	282	32	0	8	6	0	18
IAS	4,291	140	0	138	1	1	Ó
MN-	5,219	2,197	3	1,577	598	2	17
MOE	2,404	1,844	4	1,395	416	4	25
MOW	1,171	990	556	193	144	9	88
NE-	1,056	392	13	244	97	2	36
ND-	574	2	0	0	1	1	0
SD-	4	1	0	0	0	0	1
9th AK-	782	331	7	186	98	15	25
AZ-	248	152	0	22	70	0	60
CAN	2,617	499	4	304	5	18	168
CAE	1,957	403	4	19	3	54	323
CAC	2,316	290	0	42	9	11	228
CAS	2,382	67	0	43	Ó	0	24
HI-	471	9	6	3	0	0	. 0
ID	200	28	2	15	9	1	1
MT-	333	3	0	0	1	1	1
NV-	1,741	560	16	379	45	18	102
OR-	1,144	91	0	32	21	0	38
WAE	384	310	0	270	10	5	25
WAW	312	87	0	1	5	41	40
10thCo-	2,345	278	241	8	3	4	22
KS-	4,183	457	334	43	5	0	75
NM-	1,613	102	0	33	54	. 0	15
OKN	385	1	0	1	0	0	.0
OKE	999	2	0	0	0	2	0
OKW	1,001	40	2	10	5	0	23
UT-	937	152	0	76	44	0	32
WY	519	0	0	0	0	0	0
11thALN	860	566	238	292	31	2	3
ALM	141	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALS	1,960	642	314	300	4	0	24
FLN	240	132	0	0	0	0	132
FLM	8,641	5,479	290	4,658	143	29	359
FLS	6,606	3,000	256	2,338	213	9	184
GAN	1,759	1,200	327	524	286	35	28
GAM	1,036	1,029	0	962	10	0	57
GAS	1,278	567	0	441	41	3	82

* Civil Evidentiary hearings and criminal evidentiary hearings are not included

** Evidentiary hearings are not included.

TABLE M-4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(B) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		DURING	THE TWE	LVE MON	TH PER	IOD END	ED JUNE	30, 199	1		·	
		(CIVIL PROCE	EDINGS)				SECTION					
CIRCUIT	TOTAL*	TOTAL* TOTAL PRISONER PETITIONS							IONS			636(C)
AND	CIVIL	PRETRIAL	636(B)	636(B)	}	CIVIL			CIVIL	SOCIAL	SPECIAL	CONSENT
DISTRICT	MATTERS	CONFERENCES	(1)(A)	(1)(B)	OTHER	CASES	STATE	FEDERAL	RIGHTS	SECURITY	MASTER	CASES
Total	112,784	45,193	55,342	7,268	4,981	27,025	6,553	2,844	12,815	3,739	1,074	4,986
DC-	248	153	77	13	5	3	0	0	0	0	3	24
1STME-	400	124	209	65	2	8	0	2	0	6	0	27
MA-	2,639	890	1,501	159	89	9	7	2	0	0	0	72
NH-	659	280	373	1	5	69	17	0	51	1	0	0
RI-	1,013	155	751	68	39	29	6	4	6	13	0	3
PR-	611	255	258	67	31	111	7	27	. 9	66	2	20
2NDCT-	2,149	1,260	590	264	35	72	4	1	1	66	0	16
NYN	200	123	27	7	43	284	80	41	125	38	0	59
NYE	5,121	4,189	424	66	442	1	0	1	0	0	· 0	74
NYS	2,357	1,418	737	198	4	186	103	10	35	14	24	52
NYW	702	574	123	5	0	68	38	2	8	18	2	40
VT-	61	44	3	12	2	64	10	1	37	16	0	3
3RDDE-	63	6	28	15	14	241	35	0	195	11	0	0
NJ-	10,691	6,369	4,046	32	244	26	0	0	23	0	3	22
PAE	629	399	203	19	8	389	267	23	7	92	0	35
PAM	49	8	14	25	2	710	77	51	542	40	0	6
PAW	1,424	942	178	291	13	548	187	21	283	57	0	37
VI-	1,015	641	256	0	118	1	0	1	0	0	0	10
4THMD-	435	179	115	86	55	113	39	6	36	30	2	106
NCE	894	454	281	139	20	79	14	8	34	0	23	24
NCM	1,059	84	915	37	23	181	43	29	103	6	0	6
NCW	198	0	137	49	12	71	6	22	40	2	1	0
SC-	306	85	121	64	36	507	130	28	157	100	92	112
VAE	1,455	145	978	159	173	362	124	5	175	58	0	132
VAW	452	300	104	36	12	245	3	1	43	196	2	147
WVN	210	1	178	29	2	41	7	12	0	21	1	18
WVS	219	3	197	19	0	406	25	25	124	149	83	95
5THLAE	4,951	1,265	3,502	74	110	1,279	199	20	869	77	114	310
LAM	1,081	629	427	24	1	348	28	49	206	56	9	22
LAW	2,624	382	1,909	320	13	396	88	33	189	86	0	67
MSN	1,831	552	1,262	16	1	268	51	0	180	32	5	12
MSS	3,524	1,416	1,950	133	25	221	64	1	56	70	30	35
TXN	860	91	729	22	18	705	222	132	269	72	10	43
TXE	619	99	277	67	176	1,030	145	167	675	26	17	184
TXS	1,772	531	1,074	114	53	226	100	72	32	18	4	58
TXW	1,454	267	711	192	284	605	108	153	297	45	2	65
6THKYE	633	82	331	68	152	533	139	122	271	0	1	14
KYW	826	323	356	74	73	320	87	7	169	57	0	9
MIE	1,720	138	1,327	164	91	726	114	78	242	270	22	0
MIW	1,112	385	652	51	24	952	171	15	684	81	1	26
OHN	603	384	158	53	8	432	135	30	55	207	5	229
OHS	2,960	858	1,633	445	24	877	145	10	279	133	310	176
TNE	1,477	355	931	180	11	84	142	0	2,5	84	0	196
TNM	350	48	128	142	32	298	26	0	217	40	15	11
TNW	373	84	254	29	52	298 331	20 41	52	217	40 15	15	11
		04	4.54				7.6			1.5		<u> </u>

TABLE M-4A U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PROCEEDINGS AND CASES DISPOSED OF BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(B) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (CIVIL PROCEEDINGS) (CIVIL CASES) (SECT												
		(CIVIL PROCE	EDINGS)	······································			SECTION					
CIRCUIT	TOTAL*					TOTAL	PRISON	IER PETIT	IONS			636(C)
AND	CIVIL	PRETRIAL	636(B)	636(B)		CIVIL			CIVIL	SOCIAL	SPECIAL	CONSENT
DISTRICT	MATTERS	CONFERENCES	(1)(A)	(1)(B)	OTHER	CASES	STATE	FEDERAL	RIGHTS	SECURITY	MASTER	CASES
7THILN	2,672	899	1,299	371	103	40	5	3	1	24	7	150
ILC	1,790	1,325	355	86	24	18	0	0	. 0	18	0	29
ILS	741	236	13	29	463	246	7	15	209	14	1	136
INN	447	358	69	4	16	14	0	0	13	1	0	249
INS	1,651	1,069	531	50	1	357	122	71	88	38	38	34
WIE	112	40	58	12	2	94	0	0	64	30	0	16
WIW	51	2	39	5	5	1	0	0	Ò	1	0	0
8TH. ARE	47	4	28	15	0	611	160	2	409	39],	227
ARW	103	0	99	4	0	176	37	8	101	30	0	27
IAN	250	186	40	24	0	11	3	4	4	0	0	30
IAS	4,151	1,105	2,978	19	49	69	19	2	48	0	0	49
MN-	2,917	766	1,967	180	4	378	56	106	110	105	1	24
MOE	435	58	266	98	13	504	274	24	81	125	0	158
MOW	181	105	37	33	6	653	3	131	453	0	66	55
NE-	664	232	349	81	2	165	36	3	126	0	0	28
ND-	572	258	150	158	6	13	3	4	6	0	0	23
SD-	3	0	0	0	3	11	4	6	1	0	. 0	0
9THAK-	444	52	209	140	43	62	28	2	25	7	0	6
AZ-	92	54	26	0	12	156	91	18	43	4	0	17
CAN	2,118	772	1,111	15	220	130	0	0	0	0	1	42
CAC	1,895	314	1,238	159	184	1,085	485	316	152	131	1	99
CAE	1,516	243	511	41	721	1,073	238	24	773	38	0	82
CAS	2,315	1,938	360	10	7	1,0,5	230	0	0	0	Ö	25
HI-	452	272	136	0	44	53	23	0	20	10	0	23
ID-	150	26	82	31	11	95	23	6	40	22	0 0	31
MT-	303	197	35	70	1	135	48	2	58	27	0	19
NV-	1,179	320	737	97	25	221	115	10	94	27	0	7
OR-	1,051	198	458	386	25	183	44	46	94 91	2	0	365
WAE	73	32	458	22	10	183		40	24	1	1	365 142
WAE	158	76	39	22	10		4			67	0	
10THCO	2,067	1,728	300	20	19	<u>332</u> 302	85	35	<u>145</u> 174	0	5	<u>93</u> 0
KS	3,726	1,728	2,470	20	4	302	0	40	1/4	0	5	9
		711	2,470	6	4 9							
NM OK	1,469	-				133	58	19	10	42	4	2
OK	358	322	26	10	0	124	42	18	38	26	0	26
OK	976	564	299	46	67	124	54	5	43	21	1	10
OK	920	720	160	30	10	384	115	89	139	41	0	32
UT	775	300	270	115	90	345	39	19	276	10	1	1
WY	519	253	265	1	0	15	5	1	9	0	0	1
11TH.AL	294	48	240	1	5	533	157	32	342	0	2	0
AL	58	12	29	17	0	836	155	14	582	83	2	9
\mathbf{AL}	1,252	426	759	57	10	308	70	0	171	66	1	15
FL	67	10	19	29	9	449	77	88	243	41	0	59
FL	3,026	509	2,302	51	164	593	314	32	103	136	8	33
FL	3,568	87	3,008	449	24	674	167	272	196	38	1	10
GA	473	85	199	159	30	597	146	100	128	86	137	18
GA	0	0	0	0	0	167	18	0	142	7	0	0
GA	674	60 rings not inc	589	15	10	199	44	13	104	37	1	0

* Evidentiary hearings not included.

Table M-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS EVIDENTIARY PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636(B) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

ز

		(1)	EARINGS {	SECTION	1 636(B)	(1)(B)})		(5)	PECIAL MA	STER	(CONSENSUAL CIVIL CASES				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					{SECTION 636(B)(2)})			TEF	RMINATED	{SECTI	ON 636(C)	
CIRCU	JIT				PRIS	SONER PET	ITIONS						AFTER	TRIAL	
AND					STATE	FEDERAL	CIVIL	i	WITH	WITHOUT		TUOHTIW		NON-	
DIST	RICT	TOTAL	CRIMINAL	CIVIL	HABEAS	HABEAS	RIGHTS	TOTAL	HEARING	HEARING	TOTAL	TRIAL	JURY	JURY	
Tota.	1	5,604	2,171	1,987	290	81	1,075	1,074	255	819	4,986	3,874	538	574	
	DC-	22	22	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	24	12	10	2	
lst.	ME-	12	10	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	27	21	1	5	
	MA	50	41	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	51	17	4	
	NH-	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	PR-	47	35	11	0	0	1	2	0	2	20	16	2	2	
	RI-	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	
2nd.	CT-	100	3	96	0	0	1	Ò	0	0	16	2	4	10	
	NYN	8	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	40	4	15	
	NYE	20	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	48	8	18	
	NYS	55	4	51	0	0	0	24	11	13	52	28	8	16	
	NYW	13	12	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	40	23	13	4	
	VT-	11	0	1	4	1	5	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	
3rd.	DE-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	NJ-	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	22	12	2	8	
	PAE	24	5	7	9	3	0	0	0	0	35	23	9	3	
	PAM	9	1	5	1	0	2	0	0.	0	6	3	0	3	
	PAW	28	1	10	7	0	10	0	0	0	37	26	7	4	
<u> </u>	VI-	6	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	10	
4th.	MD-	18	2	11	1	1	3	2	1	1	106	85	13	8	
	NCE	24	12	3	6	0	3	23	16	7	24	17	3	4	
	NCM	11	2	2	3	3	1	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	
	NCW	28	26	1	0	Ó	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	SC-	40	11	24	1	0	4	92	26	66	112	90	9	13	
	VAE	34	1	8	5	0	20	0	0	0	132	97	11	24	
	VAW	49	2	13	3	0	31	2	2	0	147	128	7	12	
	WVN	46	11	35	0	0	0	1	0	1	18	15	2	1	
	WVS	5	3	0	0	1	1	83		75	95	89	5	1	
5th.	LAE	110	3	30	10	1	66	114	32	82	310	267	18	25	
	LAM	67	0	4	0	0	63	9	3	6	22	12	6	4	
	LAW	27	11	12	2	0	2	0	0	0	67	47	8	12	
	MSN	108	0	3	2	0	103	5	2	3	12	5	3	4	
	MSS	13	2	4	0	0	7	30	0	30	35	14	11	10	
	TXN	38	4	13	10	2	9	10	2	8	43	28	4	11	
	TXE	288	26	19	31	1	211	17	15	2	184	129	47	8	
	TXS	83	46	24	9	2	2	4	0	4	58	30	16	12	
<u></u>	TXW	152	32	25	4	2	89	2	0	2	65	49	5	11	
6th.		37	28	4	1	2	2	1	0	1	14	8	4	2	
	KYW	20	15	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	9	7	2	0	
	MIE	20	5	14	0	1	0	22	5	17	0	0	0	0	
	MIW	20	4	5	1	2	8	1	1	0	26	24	2	0	
	OHN	59	6	51	2	0	0	5	1	4	229	218	6	5	
	OHS	34	0	28	4	1	1	310	14	296	176	140	13	23	
	TNE	50	41	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	186	144	25	17	
	TNM	98 43	0	6	3	0	89	15	10	5	11	6	4	1	
	TNW	42	33	5	1	0	3	11	9	2	11	6	3	2	

Table M-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS EVIDENTIARY PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED BY U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 636 (B) AND (C) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		(HEARINGS {SECTION 636(B)(1)(B)})							PECIAL MA		(CONSENSUAL CIVIL CASES					
				·				SECT:	CON 636(B)(2)})	TEF	MINATED		(SECTION 636(C		
CIRC	JIT					ONER PET		ł	· · · · ·				AFTER			
AND DIST	RTCT	TOTAL	CRIMINAL	CIVIL	STATE HABEAS	FEDERAL HABEAS	CIVIL RIGHTS	TOTAL	WITH HEARING	WITHOUT HEARING	TOTAL	WITHOUT TRIAL	JURY	NON- JURY		
7th.	ILN	51	22	27	1	1	0	7	2	5	150	117	15	18		
	ILC	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	29	23	2	4		
	ILS	12	o o	2	1	0	- 9	1	0	1	136	89	20	27		
	INN	15	3	2	0	0	10	0	0	0	249	223	14	12		
	INS	10	6	4	0	0	0	38	29	9	34	22	6	6		
	WIE	29	29	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	16	14	1	1		
	WIW	14	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
8th.	ARE	92	17	2	20	1	52	1	1	0	227	180	4	43		
	ARW	54	0	13	14	1	2.6	0	0	0	27	15	1	11		
	IAN	76	26	39	8	1	2	0	0	0	30	20	6	4		
	IAS	56	2	14	0	2	38	0	0	0	49	25	10	14		
	MN-	994	330	664	0	0	0	1	1	O	24	9	8	7		
	MOE	246	213	26	4	1	2	0	0	0	158	120	30	8		
	MOW	108	56	44	1	0	7	66	36	30	55	38	10	7		
	NE-	201	182	14	0	0	5	0	0	0	28	15	6	7		
	ND-	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	10	2	11		
	SD-	1	. o	0	0	1	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0		
9th.	AK-	66	28	32	5	0	1	0	0	0	6	6	0	0		
	AZ-	14	1	3	5	4	1.	0	0	0	17	9	5	3		
	CAN	26	14	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	42	29	9	4		
	CAC	19	2	10	6	1	0	1	0	1	99	91	6	2		
	CAE	23	18	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	82	62	7	13		
	CAS	48	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	21	Q	4		
	HI-	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	ID-	12	7	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	31	27	4	0		
	MT-	15	0	8	4	1	2	0	0	0	19	14	3	2		
	NV-	. 99	68	23	1	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	3	4		
	OR-	40	1	38	1	0	0	0	0	0	365	338	19	8		
	WAE	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	· · 0	1	142	133	2	7		
	WAW	11	1	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	93	89	1	3		
10th	C0-	38	4	11	2	2	19	5	4	1	0	0	0	0		
	KS-	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	7	2	0		
	NM-	11	0	3	6	2	0	- 4	0	4	2	2	0	0		
	OKN	10	0	10	0	0	0	1	. 1	0	10	7	2	1		
	OKE	20	0	20	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	26	23	3	. 0		
	okw	109	2	107	0	0	0	0	. 0.	0	32	30	1	1		
	UT-	117	12	36	16	11	42	1	1	0	1	0 '	• 0	1		
	WY -	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.	1	Ö		
11th		82	13	29	22	1	17	2	2	0	0	0	Q	0		
	ALM	88	0	21	20	3	44	2	0	2	9	- 5	1	3		
	ALS	83	7	50	2	0	24	1	1	0	15	10	4	1		
	FLN	8	4	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	59	56	1	2		
	FLM	150	106	25	15	1	3	8	3	5	33	17	7	· 9.		
	FLS	404	311	79	3	9.	2	1	0	1	10	1	3	6		
	GAN	123	104	13	2	4	0	137	14	123	18	5	7	6		
	GAM	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	0		
	GAS	70	33		2	7	20	1	0	1	0	0	0	0		

Passport Applica			curalization
ar During the Twelv	nd Aliens Nat 7e Month Peri		ne 30, 1991
	Passport	Petitions	
Circuit	Appli-	for	Aliens
and	cations	Natural-	Natural-
District	Processed*	ization	ized
Total	637	235,102	261,412
DC	No	773	808
			1
1st Cir	No	8,041	6,621
ме	No	250	241
MA	No	5,121	3,737
NH	No	162	138
RI	No	1,195	1,281
PR	NO	1,313	1,224
• • •			
2nd Cir	5	35,019	50,405
СТ	5	3,549	4,620
NY, N	No	-	-
NY,E	No	23,676	37,364
NY, S	No	6,850	7,569
NY,W	No	811	719
VT	No	133	133
3rd Cir	No	18,029	18,397
DE	No	292	292
NJ	No	14,682	15,008
PA, E	No	1,720	1,638
PA, M	NO	153	197
PA,W	No	572	565
VI	NO	610	697
4th Cir	106	10,726	10,636
MD	No	2,699	2,285
NC, E	No	690	694
NC, M	No	425	337
NC, W	No	718	691
sc	No	790	731
VA,E	6	5,032	5,409
VA, W	No	194	311
WV,N	100	49	49
WV,S	No	129	129

Table P-1 U.S. District Courts ort Applications, Petitions for Naturaliz

342 [,]

Table P-1

U.S. District Courts Passport Applications, Petitions for Naturalization and Aliens Naturalized During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

	Passport	Petitions	
Circuit	Appli-	for	Aliens
and	cations	Natural-	Natural-
District	Processed*	ization	ized
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5th Cir	NO	21,077	22,315
LA,E	No	991	1,050
LA,M	No	137	151
LA,W	No	210	262
MS,N	No	59	65
MS,S	No	212	306
TX,N	No	4,841	4,860
TX, E	No	725	837
TX,S	No	7,052	10,054
TX,W	No	6,850	4,730
6th Cir	524	7,608	7,804
KY, E	No	146	129
KY,W	No	233	218
MI,E	No	2,713	3,101
MI,W	524	849	766
OH, N	No	1,283	1,329
OH, S	No	1,183	1,148
TN,E	No	298	256
TN, M	No	618	590
TN,W	No	285	267
			- -
7th Cir	No	13,855	14,483
		<u>_</u>	f
IL,N	No	11,098	11,934
IL,C	No	264	379
IL,S	No	171	220
IN,N	No	545	551
IN, S	NO	804	480
WI,E	No	781	726
WI,W	No	192	193
	I	······	L

Table P-1 U.S. District Courts Passport Applications, Petitions for Naturalization and Aliens Naturalized During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

	Passport	Petitions	
Circuit	Appli-	for	Aliens
and	cations	Natural-	Natural-
District	Processed*	ization	ized
8th Cir	No	4,251	4,522
AR, E	No	281	238
AR, W	No	169	215
IA, N	No	170	170
IA, S	No	346	372
MN	No	1,495	1,813
MO, E	No	643	704
MO,W	No	520	407
NE	No	349	360
ND	No	159	127
SD	No	119	116
9th Cir	No	87,422	93,827
AK	No	521	532
AZ	No	1,996	1,834
CA, N	No	18,911	25,331
CA, E	No	4,648	4,965
CA, C	No	45,112	44,245
CA, S	No	6,297	6,413
НІ	No	3,178	4,043
ID	NO	196	189
MT	No	74	93
NV	No	1,024	1,071
OR	NO	1,561	1,405
WA,E	No	421	401
WA,W	No	2,325	2,247
GU	No	1,142	1,052
NMI	No	16	6

344

Table P-1 U.S. District Courts Passport Applications, Petitions for Naturalization and Aliens Naturalized During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1991

	Passport	Petitions				
Circuit	Appli-	for	Aliens			
and	cations	Natural-	Natural-			
District	Processed*	ization	ized			
10th Cir	2	5,253	4,980			
co	No	2,181	1,650			
KS	No	804	768			
NM	No	549	327			
OK, N	No	231	315			
OK, E	No	39	61			
OK, W	No	744	1,154			
UT	No	685	685			
WY	2	20	20			
11th Cir	No	23,821	26,614			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
AL,N	No	· _	435			
AL, M	No	202	168			
AL,S	NO	109	111			
FL,N	No	517	529			
FL,M	No	5,223	4,652			
FL,S	No	14,591	17,472			
GA, N	No	2,599	2,728			
GA, M	No	271	246			
GA, S	No	309	273			

*Excludes passports processed by regional U.S. passport office, U.S. post offices, and state courts.

Table R-1 Registry Funds in the Custody of the U.S. District Courts as of June 30, 1991

	es of Jun	e 30, 1991	
Circuit	Total	Circuit	Total
and	Registry	and	Registry
District	Funds	District	Funds
Total	\$1,392,561,513.21	7TH	26,324,931.32
DC	33,944,509.20	IL,N	13,070,594.22
		IL,C	5,142,726.10
1ST	63,455,502.63	IL,S	1,188,476.02
		IN,N	1,202,061.63
ME	592,071.87	IN,S	3,279,095.95
MA	7,464,425.99	WI,E	2,328,227.90
NH	451,189.52	WI,W	113,749.50
Rl	2,955,145.67		
PR	51,992,666.58	8TH	27,789,212.43
2ND	66,322,778.75	AR,E AR,W	516,341.24 1,609,541.23
СТ	2,908,432.22	IA,N	489,150.50
NY,N	899,508.50	IA,S	1,142,007.21
NY,E	5,617,583.99	MN	1,379,028.62
NY,S	56,117,340.42	MO,E	5,766,132.50
NY,W	488,413.62	MO,W	14,281,589.02
VT	291,500.00	NE	2,110,430.09
		ND	5,550.00
3RD	60,975,357.88	SD	489,442.02
DE	310,280.03	9TH	105,614,075.52
NJ	41,634,287.11		
PA,E	12,593,575.81	AK	877,978.95
PA,M	1,634,019.25	AZ	20,524,095.07
PA,W	2,703,202.66	CA,N	10,353,665.33
VI	2,099,993.02	CA,E	13,970,385.67
ATL	81 295 404 10	CA,C	21,738,981.63
4TH	81,386,494.12	CA,S	6,345,206.81
MD	42,769,195.15	Hi ID	1,664,291.56
NC,E	3,312,544.43	MT	425,467.29 746,105.82
NC,M	222,103.30	NV	2,145,847.51
NC,W	1,080,529.36	OR	2,772,893.00
SC	20,607,097.97	WA,E	401,871.28
VA,E	2,536,197.12	WA,W	2,135,485.90
VA,W	3,148,333.91	GU	21,392,427.15
WV,N	485,257.78	NMI	119,372.55
WV,S	7,225,235.10		••••
5TH	710,237,610.78	10TH	133,201,936.22
LA,E	27,667,456.37	CO	27,883,742.00
LA,M	18,853.46	KS	2,738,952.61
LA,W	4,637,970.29	NM	4,918,644.81
MS,N	1,956,381.98	OK,N	1,091,049.37
MS,S	3,380,982.12	OK,E	287,888.35
TX,N TX =	27,665,441.87	OK,W	25,596,663.82
TX,E TX,S	1,724,672.85	UT	63,284,913.19
TX,W	629,043,196.73 14 142 655 11	WY	7,400,084.07
	14,142,655.11	11TH	62,612,683.49
6TH	20,696,418.87	AL,N	A 149 011 70
KŸ,E	2,075,195.42	AL,N AL,M	4,148,911.70
KY,W	1,516,211.78	AL,M AL,S	3,193,281.33 1,588,821.35
MI,E	4,304,978.17	FL _N	580,430.89
MI,W	439,603.97	FL,M	8,737,033.42
OH,N	7,718,087.74	FLS	34,373,499.22
OH,S	575,846.39	GA,N	7,394,298.09
TN,E	756,568.60	GA,M	1,373,409.31
TN,M	1,868,083.23	GA,S	1,222,998.18
TN,W	1,441,843.57		· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>

Table R-2 Registry Funds in the Custody of the U.S. Bankruptcy Courts

•	as of Jur	ne 30, 1991	cy cours
Circuit	Total	Circuit	Total
and	Registry	and	Registry
District	Funds	District	Funds
Total	\$65,968,798.63	7TH	1,424,243.29
DC		IL,N IL,C	173,716.02 62,337.44
1ST	2,846,242.77	ILS	78,295.24
		IN,N	819,239.25
ME	182,296.41	IN,S	285,895.57
MA	1,113.57	WI,E	4,759.77
NH	1,115.57	WI,W	4,109.11
RI	20,318.43	************	·
PR	· ·	OTU	734,010.84
	2,642,514.36	8TH	
2ND	1,634,624.94	AR,E AR,W	19,143.65
СТ	4,160.00	IA,N	56,185.54
NY,N	724.75	IA,S	15,507.00
NY,E	54,629.51	MN	-
NY,S	1,488,479.16	MO,E	197,671.16
NY,W	86,631.52	MO,W	•
VT	-	NE	409,476.46
		ND	12,043.98
3RD	4,868,008.35	SD	23,983.05
DE NJ	31,098.50 1,270,748.16	9TH	16,582,214.26
PA,E		AK	74,986.91
PA,M	-	AZ	2,936,104.00
PA,W	3,542,285.27	CA,N	2,094,891.65
VI	23,876.42	CA,E	509,007.67
		CA,C	2,646,641.67
4TH	1,508,412.24	CA,S	78,972.12
	·	HI	55,237.19
MD	120,070.47	ID	1,747,721.03
NC,E	80,079.23	MT	371,979.86
NC,M	4,633.77	NV	1,240,000.30
NC,W	239,253.10	OR	1,685,170.53
SC	-	WA,E	229,007.68
VA,E	639,335.38	WA,W	2,912,493.65
VA,W	57,166.85	GU	-
WV,N	285,839.83	NMI	•
WV,S	82,033.61		
5TH	11,674,661.68	10TH	4,053,261.06
LA,E	1,876,830.99	co	410,911.00
LA,M	1,300,937.88	KS	389,176.75
LA,W	642,328.48	NM	476,848.01
MS,N.,	126,734.44	OK,N	104,609,08
MS,S	162,727,24	OK,E	383.83
TX,N	7,276,639.46	OK,W	1,001,519.48
TX,E	288,463,19	UT	1,669,012.91
TX,S		WY	1,000,012.01
TX,W	_		
6TH	10,664,556.49	11TH	9,978,562.71
	teles lleading	AL,N	163,964.25
KY,E	750.00	AL,M	273,149.04
KY,W	1,427,078.75	AL,S	•
MI,E			1,446,695.13
MI,E MI,W	72,416.80	FL,N	22,472.87
	7,331,260.68	FL,M	4,542,847.09
OH,N	802,867,85	FL,S	880,685. 04
OH,S	487,521,48	GA,N	1,252,508.93
TN,E	49,698.47	GA,M	589,057.70
TN,M	41,815.29	GA,S	807,182.66
TN,W	451,147.17		

347

			C	IVIL				CRIMINAL		
CIRCUIT	TOTAL		ON TH	E ISSUE2	MOTIONS, Injunc- Tions		ON CH	ARGE(S)2	MOTIONS, ORDERS	SEN- TENCING
DISTRICT	ALL TRIALS1	TOTAL	JURY	NON-JURY	& OTHER3	TOTAL	JURY	NON-JURY	& OTHER3	HEARINGS4
TOTAL	22,702	11,024	4,517	3,665	2,842	11,678	5,247	581	3,097	2,753
DC	763	255	49	76	130	508	269	31	161	47
1ST	940	541	249	165	127	399	212	11	105	71
ME MA NH RI	115 340 115 177	48 242 44 109	21 134 24 49	24 66 7 41	3 42 13 19	67 98 71 68	26 67 20 47	5 4 - -	10 25 26 15	26 2 25 6
PR	193	98	21	27	50	95	52	2	29	12
2ND	1,764	975	400	322	253	789	387	68	234	100
CT NY,N NY,E NY,S NY,H VT	243 93 492 729 112 95	172 49 222 450 52 30	60 32 86 183 19 20	39 11 67 177 22 6	73 6 99 11 4	71 44 270 279 60 65	30 28 130 158 19 22	2 1 41 18 1 5	33 10 63 75 32 21	6 5 36 28 8 17
3RD	1,692	1,105	560	304	241	587	293	35	150	109
DE NJ PA,E PA,M PA,H VI	68 373 631 222 263 135	38 242 470 152 141 62	23 108 265 76 61 27	15 62 132 33 47 15	72 73 43 33 20	30 131 161 70 122 73	21 57 104 19 46 46	1 4 13 2 10 5	6 40 15 32 38 19	2 30 29 17 28 3
4TH	2,122	946	402	274	270	1,176	510	62	272	332
MD NC,E NC,M SC VA,E VA,H HV,N HV,S	291 160 176 124 2** 5 373 95 105	174 63 24 54 144 286 114 35 52	87 13 5 12 103 95 55 8 24	45 11 4 17 24 120 29 11 13	42 39 15 25 17 71 30 16 15	117 97 152 70 114 254 259 60 53	71 60 42 60 104 58 28 27	8 3 5 2 31 5 4 3	22 12 37 22 19 57 84 4 15	16 22 54 1 33 62 112 24 8

TABLE T-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS, BY DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

			c	IVIL	······································			RIMINAL		
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL All Trialsi	TOTAL	UN TH	E ISSUE2 NON-JURY	MOTIONS, Injunc- Tions & other3	TOTAL		E(S)2	MOTIONS, ORDERS & OTHER3	SEN- TENCING HEARINGS4
5TH	3,652	1,770	597	644	529	1,882	569	129	434	750
LA, E LA, M MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H 6TH	366 56 167 120 239 469 230 1,173 832 1,675	290 44 124 69 168 266 142 413 254 880	137 16 49 29 55 66 72 111 62 421	15 68 19 67 86 47 127 85	23 13 7 21 46 114 23 175 107	76 12 43 51 71 203 88 760 578 795	35 7 20 18 30 68 38 189 164 443	9 - - 3 6 4 75 26 32	25 1 3 17 10 73 19 112 174 183	7 4 14 16 28 56 27 384 214 137
KY, E KY, H MI, E OH, N OH, S TN, E TN, M	1,675 155 176 372 89 191 178 171 135 208	81 85 201 49 137 84 102 64 77	50 42 122 15 60 35 50 25 22	15 19 54 18 59 24 52 18	16 24 25 16 18 25 	74 91 171 40 54 94 69 71 131	48 27 113 20 42 48 56 26 63	- 4 6 - 3 11 1 7	22 34 28 13 8 21 2 14 41	4 26 24 7 1 14 10 31 20
7TH IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W 8TH	1,357 508 212 133 162 146 133 63 1,708	710 285 77 65 83 92 57 51 875	278 103 42 29 24 22 27 31 31	211 72 16 21 30 38 20 14 277	221 110 19 15 29 32 10 6 219	647 223 135 68 79 54 76 12 833	270 102 35 25 32 20 46 10 363	17 10 4 - 2 - 1 14	161 63 29 27 24 12 6 - 181	199 48 67 16 23 20 24 1 275
AR, E AR, H IA, N MN MO, E NE SD	235 131 128 164 201 272 242 123 50 162	169 80 26 62 104 195 116 66 14 43	74 41 10 29 44 80 47 27 9 18	71 23 3 18 29 67 29 21 4 12	24 16 13 15 31 48 40 18 1 13	66 51 102 97 77 126 57 36 119	34 21 20 27 70 52 59 26 17 37	- 1 - 3 - 1 3 3 2	19 13 10 32 19 18 14 15 10 31	13 16 71 43 5 7 52 13 6 49

TABLE T-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS, BY DISTRICT DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

			ç	IVIL				CRIMINAL		
CIRCUIT			ON TH	E ISSUE2	MOTIONS, Injunc-		DN CH	ARGE(S)2	MOTIONS,	SEN-
AND DISTRICT	TOTAL All trials1	TOTAL	JURY	NON-JURY	TIONS & OTHER3	TOTAL	JURY	NON-JURY	ORDERS & OTHER3	TENCING HEARINGS4
9тн	2,944	1,109	401	499	209	1,835	825	110	717	183
AK	52 426	20 95	6 20		3 36	32 331	19 119		5 191	6
AZ CA,N	181	99	42		30	82	52	15	20	3
CA, E	101	42	20		8	59	43	i	9	6
CA, C	677	318	139		28	359	236	13	109	1
CA,S	387	53	14		12	334	96	35	177	26
HI	109	37	12		7	72	26	9	23	14
ID	50	24	12		6	26	15	2	2	7
MT	86	40	27		5	46	30	. 5	4	. 7
NY	176 271	94 96	34		20 23	82 175	56 38	3	16 96	9 38
OR WA,E	193	69	10		32	124	34		38	45
WA.W	212	113	30		21	99	53	9	23	14
GUAM	19	7	1		· 1	12	7	í	3	1
NMI	4	2	ī	-	1	2	1		1	-
10TH	1,296	712	324	223	165	584	233	32	257	62
co	279	188	51	63	74	91	22	8	50	11
KS	193	119	56	25	38	74	32	2	30	10
NM	323	92	41	36	15	231	74	18	127	12
OK,N OK,E	128 55	73 34	44 31	12	17	55 21	25 14	-	22	8
OK, H	152	108	63		5	44	24	- 1	5	14
UT	97	55	16	28	11	42	30	2	6	4
WY	69	43	22		5	26	12	. ¹	10	3
11TH	2,789	1,146	457	371	318	1,643	87 3	40	242	488
AL, N	297	215	85		52	82	45	-	32	5
AL, M	127	78	36	24	18	49	40	-	8	1
AL,S	156	75	43	26	6	81	46	5	11	19
FL,N	240	48	13	13	22	192	63	5	20	104
FL,M	470 738	155	74	58 92	23 81	315	169 360	11 14	41	94 101
FL,S GA,N	457	212 198	92	41	65	526 259	360	5	51 49	101
GA, M	136	77	25	21	31	257 59	29	2	21	108
GA, S	168	88	50	18	20	80	24	-	. 9	47

TABLE T-1 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS, BY DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

1 CONTESTED PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A COURT OR JURY AT WHICH EVIDENCE IS INTRODUCED.

2 PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING A JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE OR A VERDICT IN A CRIMINAL CASE. INCLUDES SUMMARY TRIALS.

3 CONTESTED HEARINGS ON MOTIONS FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS, TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS, EVIDENCE OR OTHER MATTERS NOT RESULTING IN A FINAL JUDGMENT OR VERDICT.

4 HEARINGS UNDER RULE **32** F.R. CR. P. AT WHICH CONTESTED EVIDENCE OR TESTIMONY IS PRESENTED CONCERNING SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS AFTER CONVICTION. NOT ALL SENTENCING HEARINGS QUALIFY AS TRIALS.

TOTAL						·		CRIMINAL TRIALS							
ALL TRIALS	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 Days	4 TO 9 DAYS	10 TD 19 DAYS	20 DAYS AND OVER	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 Days	3 Days	4 TO 9 DAYS	10 T0 19 Days	20 DAYS AND OVER	
14,010	8,182	2,432	1,718	1,342	2,277	338	75	5,828	988	1,454	1,208	1,790	290	98	
425	125	31	30	17	42	5	-	300	29	9.4	87	74	8	8	
637	414	114	76	53	140	26	5	223	24	49	49	78	17	6	
76 271 51 137 102	45 200 31 90 48	13 46 7 35 13	12 31 2 20 11	5 33 5 4 6	10 77 14 23 16	5 9 3 7 2	- 4 - 1 -	31 71 20 47 54	10 5 	9 9 4 13 14	10 10 4 9 16	2 29 10 16 21	14 1 1 1		
1,177	722	174	121	111	274	34	8	455	61	63	80	201	35	15	
131 72 324 536 61 53	99 43 153 360 41 26	28 7 52 71 9 7	17 8 27 59 4 6	11 7 23 58 9 3	39 19 43 148 15 10	4 1 6 20 3 -	- 1 2 4 1 -	32 29 171 176 20 27	9 1 35 8 1 7	3 31 20 - 6	1 11 30 27 3 8	15 12 67 91 11 5	4 2 4 20 4 1	- 4 10 1 -	
1,192	864	221	165	149	276	46	7	328	45	70	59	128	15	11	
60 231 514 130 164 93	38 170 397 109 108 42	17 34 92 35 25 18	5 28 83 22 14 13	3 24 75 17 22 8	8 66 128 31 40 3	5 15 18 3 5 -	- 3 1 2 -	22 61 117 21 56 51	4 6 12 3 7 13	9 7 24 6 7 17	1 4 27 2 15 10	8 32 46 9 22 11	- 5 6 1 3 -	- 7 2 - 2 -	
1,248	676	266	156	104	128	19	3	572	169	176	72	130	20	5	
211 87 70 76 189 350 147 51 67	132 24 9 29 127 215 84 19 37	29 12 3 13 22 120 44 11 12	26 2 4 28 54 30 3 5	24 3 2 3 35 21 4 2 10	41 5 - 9 37 19 5 3 9	10 2 - 5 1 1 -	2	79 63 61 47 62 135 63 32 30	8 19 5 16 4 64 38 10 5	20 20 35 15 15 38 11 12 10	11 7 11 6 12 9 4 5 7	28 15 9 10 28 20 8 4 8	9 2 1 3 2 2 1 -	3 2	
	425 637 76 271 137 102 1,177 131 72 324 536 61 53 1,192 60 231 514 53 1,192 60 231 514 130 164 93 1,248 211 87 70 76 189 350 76	425 125 637 414 76 45 271 200 51 31 137 90 102 48 1,177 722 131 99 72 43 324 153 536 360 61 41 53 26 1,192 864 60 38 231 170 514 397 130 109 164 108 93 42 1,248 676 211 132 87 24 70 9 76 29 189 127 350 215 147 84 51 19	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	42512531301742637414114765314076451312510271200463133775131725141379035204231024813116161,1777221741211112741319928171139724378719324153522723435363607159581486141949155326763101,19286422116514927660381753823117034282466514397928375128130109352217311641082514224093421813831,24867626615610412821113229262441872412237762913439189127222835373502151205421 <td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								

TABLE T-2. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS LENGTH DF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS RESULTING IN A VERDICT OR JUDGMENT BY DISTRICT, FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS CASES)

				CIVIL	TRĮALS						CRIMIN	AL TRIAL	.s		
CIRCUIT/ DISTRICT	TOTAL All Trials	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 TO 9 DAYS	10 T0 19 Days	20 DAYS AND OVER	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 TO 9 DAYS	10 TD 19 DAYS	20 DAYS AND OVER
STH	1,939	1,241	447	305	208	255	22	4	698	213	189	120	149	21	6
LA, E LA, H MS, N MS, S TX, N TX, E TX, S TX, H	311 38 143 66 155 226 161 502 337	267 31 117 48 122 152 119 238 147	89 15 46 7 53 56 49 78 54	74 9 21 26 30 35 26 44 40	48 4 24 7 18 25 15 37 30	53 3 25 8 21 33 25 67 20	2 - - 3 3 10 3	1 - - 1 2	44 7 26 18 33 74 42 264 190	17 1 13 2 4 13 7 117 39	15 2 5 20 10 60 66	7 2 5 9 8 6 7 34 42	1 3 5 11 25 16 47 40	3 1 - 1 5 2 6 3	1
6TH	1,195	720	225	157	99	201	30	8	475	74	115	113	139	26	8
KY,E KY,H MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,H TN,H	113 92 295 53 164 118 159 69 132	65 61 176 33 119 59 102 43 62	19 21 32 7 40 8 55 16 27	18 15 33 11 16 11 31 9 13	11 3 31 3 18 10 8 7 8	16 19 67 10 41 22 5 10	- 2 1C 2 4 6 3 - 3	1 1 3 - 2 1 -	48 31 119 20 45 59 57 26 70	2 9 11 1 8 23 4 15	15 6 23 2 8 6 20 9 26	16 9 27 5 10 14 7 5 20	12 6 45 11 21 25 6 6 7	2 10 3 6 1 2 2	1 1 3 1 - -
7TH	776	489	127	85	89	157	28	3	287	20	61	85	97	20	4
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,H	287 97 75 86 82 93 56	175 58 50 54 60 47 45	26 16 14 20 22 10 19	18 15 17 10 13 4 8	27 14 6 10 12 13 7	87 11 11 12 14 11	15 2 2 2 1 6 -	2 - 1 - -	112 39 25 32 22 46 11	3 5 2 4 2 2 2	16 12 6 8 8 5 6	21 13 7 16 10 15 3	60 9 7 2 1 18 -	10 	2 - - 1 1 -
8TH	1,033	656	202	144	121	164	18	7	377	50	88	87	126	21	5
AR,E AR,H IA,N MN MO,E MO,W NE SD	179 86 34 74 146 199 136 77 33 69	145 64 13 47 73 147 76 48 13 30	62 24 3 10 18 46 20 8 4 7	31 21 1 8 12 45 12 10 - 4	24 11 6 29 19 12 2 3	25 8 4 12 31 25 23 17 5 14	3 - - 4 4 1 2 - 2 2	- 1 2 2 1 - 1 -	34 22 21 73 52 60 29 20 39	11 10 2 6 1 5 4 3 6	9 5 4 8 20 16 2 4 15	3 1 5 13 17 16 12 6 5 9	9 6 7 29 15 25 12 8 8	2 2 1 9 - 2 4 - 1	

TABLE T-2. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS LENGTH OF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS RESULTING IN A VERDICT OR JUDGMENT BY DISTRICT, FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS CASES)

				CIVIL	TRIALS						CRIMIN	AL TRIA	S		
CIRCUIT/ DISTRICT	TOTAL All Trials	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 TO 9 DAYS	10 TD 19 DAYS	20 DAYS AND OVER	TOTAL	1 DAY	2 DAYS	3 DAYS	4 TD 9 DAYS	10 T0 19 DAYS	20 DAYS AND OVER
9TH	1,835	900	211	176	155	279	64	15	935	132	214	197	321	53	18
АК АZ CA,N CA,E CA,C CA,S HI HI NV DR WA,E WA,H GUAM NMI	38 193 152 78 539 172 65 35 70 131 114 78 154 14 2	17 59 93 34 290 41 30 18 35 74 73 37 92 6 1	3 14 13 6 65 6 4 3 6 15 29 12 33 2 -	1 11 16 3 57 6 5 3 7 25 16 10 15 1 -	4 13 17 10 41 4 5 5 10 18 10 18 10 2 13 2 1	6 19 31 12 102 16 13 6 11 13 15 10 24 1 -	3 2 12 3 23 6 2 1 - 3 2 1 6 - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	21 134 59 44 249 131 35 57 41 41 62 8 1	2 26 3 5 13 33 8 1 6 4 7 9 14 1 -	- 41 3 7 58 20 6 6 6 6 15 16 18 16 2 -	7 29 5 6 52 36 7 3 11 9 10 6 13 3 -	11 33 32 20 102 38 11 5 12 23 7 8 16 2 1	1 4 12 5 14 3 2 - 5 1 - 3 -	- 1 4 1 10 1 - - - - - -
10TH	812	547	125	95	100	196	26	5	265	63	70	53	66	12	1
CO KS NH OK,N OK,E OK,H UT WY	144 115 169 81 48 128 76 51	114 81 77 56 34 103 44 38	24 12 28 6 9 34 7 5	24 10 11 6 5 28 9 2	18 15 9 16 7 16 9 10	42 32 27 13 22 13 20	6 10 2 1 - 3 3 1		30 34 92 25 14 25 32 13	7 2 40 4 8 2	6 9 25 8 3 8 11 -	8 6 11 7 7 4 5 5	6 14 15 8 3 7 7 6	3 2 1 2 1 2 1 -	
11TH	1,741	828	289	208	136	165	20	10	913	108	265	206	281	42	11
AL,N AL,M FL,N FL,S FL,S GA,N GA,M GA,S	208 100 94 312 505 235 75 92	163 60 69 26 132 131 133 46 68	66 19 35 9 50 44 25 14 27	42 23 9 4 28 31 27 17 27	28 10 12 4 19 15 30 9 9	22 8 9 8 31 34 43 5 5	3 3 1 4 5 -	2 - - - 3 3 1 -	45 40 51 68 180 374 102 29 24	4 11 9 10 15 42 10 6 1	11 18 12 15 52 101 27 17 12	13 9 15 12 40 88 21 1 7	15 2 14 24 55 124 38 5 4	1 - 5 16 14 5 - -	1 - 2 2 5 1 -

TABLE T-2. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS LENGTH OF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS RESULTING IN A VERDICT OR JUDGMENT BY DISTRICT, FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991 (CIVIL INCLUDES TRIALS OF MISCELLANEOUS CASES)

NOTE: INCLUDES TRIALS CONDUCTED BY DISTRICT AND APPELLATE JUDGES ONLY. ALL TRIALS CONDUCTED BY MAGISTRATES ARE EXCLUDED. EXCLUDES HEARINGS ON TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS, HEARINGS ON CONTESTED MOTIONS AND OTHER CONTESTED PROCEEDINGS NOT RESULTING IN A VERDICT OR JUDGMENT. SUMMARY TRIALS ARE INCLUDED.

353

TABLE V-1
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		····	ED TO OTHE		T COURTS			FROM ÓTHE	R DISTRIC	T COURTS
CIRCUIT	JUD PROVI SERV		CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI-	TRIALS	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE		CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI-	TRIALS COM-
DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED.	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED
TOTAL	ACTIVE SENIOR	144 100	444 527	243 390	259 451	ACTIVE SENIOR	144 100	444 527	243 390	259 45 1
DC	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	· -	-	-	ACTIVE Senior	1	3-	-	4
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE Senior				
1ST	ACTIVE	5 4	3 25	8	14 13	ACTIVE SENIOR	6 8	4 24	5 2	14 25
ME	ACTIVE	- -	-	-	-	ACTIVE Senior	4 3	3	4 -	14 12
MA	ACTIVE Senior	1 2	3		- 5	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	23	- -	- 13
NH	ACTIVE	1	- 1	3	1	ACTIVE Senior	1 -	1 -	-	-
RI	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE	-2	-	- 2	-
PR	ACTIVE Senior	3 -	-	5	14	ACTIVE	<u>1</u>	-	1	-
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	- 1	23	· _	-7	ACTIVE SENIOR				
2ND	ACTIVE SENIOR	12 8	98 22	7 26	68	ACTIVE	16 8	99 8	7	21 23
ст	ACTIVE	3 2	-	3 3	-	ACTIVE	1	1	-	-
NY.N	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-	. <u>1</u>	-	ACTIVE	5 1	-	6	- 9
NY,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 1	95	1		ACTIVE	3	·	z	8
NY.S	ACTIVE Senior	2 4	22	2 23	- 58	ACTIVE SENIOR	7 5	97 7	1 3	13 12
NY,W	ACTIVE Senior	2	2	· _	-	ACTIVE	-	· -	-	-
vt	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1 -	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	- 1	- 1	- 2
APPEALS.	ACTIVE		-		-	ACTIVE SENIOR				-

TABLE V-1
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	SERVIC	ES PROVID	ED TO OTHE	R DISTRIC	T COURTS	SERVICES	RECEIVED	FROM OTHE	R DISTRIC	T COURTS
CIRCUIT	PROV	DGES IDING VICE	CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TRIALS		TRIALS SERVI		CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS	TRIALS
DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	TERMI- NATED	TERMI- NATED	COM- PLETED*	STATUS	NUMBER	TERMI- NATED	TERMI- NATED	COM- PLETED*
3RD	ACTIVE SENIOR	10 11	119 227	63 129	29 69	ACTIVE Senior	14 17	187 345	94 225	42 99
DE	ACTIVE	- 1	25 -	17 -	5-	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 -	14	-	-
NJ	ACTIVE	4	49 127	17 71	10 13	ACTIVE	1	. 1	. -	
PA,E	ACTIVE	5	45 100	29 57	14 56	ACTIVE Senior	2 1	1	1 1	- -
PA,M	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	- -	-	
PA,W	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-	- 1	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	- 2	- 25	ī	- 6
v1	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE	9 14	171 320	93 223	42 93
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR		-	-		ACTIVE SENIOR				
4TH	ACTIVE Senior	9	38 90	26 102	8 79	ACTIVE SENIOR	9 8	37 29	24 91	8 57
MD	ACTIVE SENIOR	- 2	27	- 3	- 6	ACTIVE Senior	ī		18	12
NC.E	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	1 -	1	-	ACTIVE	-	-	-	- -
NC,M	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	-	_	ACTIVE Senior	1 _	-	× <u>1</u>	-
NC.W	ACTIVE	1	-	. 1	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	- -	-
sc	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	-		ACTIVE SENIOR	1	· ·	1	-
VA.E	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 3	32 58	22 , 89	8 70	ACTIVE SENIOR	- -	-	* _ _	-
VA,W	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 1	2 -	10	- 3	ACTIVE	4 2	4		1
WV.N	ACTIVE Senior	2 1	3 2	2 -	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	23	32 26	22 72	7 45
wv,s	ACTIVE SENIOR	- 1	- 3	, – –		ACTIVE SENIOR	1 2	1 1 1	-	-
APPEALS.	ACTIVE	-		-		ACTIVE SENIOR				

	SERVIC			NG THE TWE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SERVICES		, 1991 FROM OTHE		
CIRCUIT	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE		CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS	<u> </u>	JU PROVI	DGES	CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS	TRIALS
AND DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	TERMI- NATED	TERMI- NATED	COM- PLETED*	STATUS	NUMBER	TËRMI- Nated	TERMI- NATED	COM- PLETED*
5TH	ACTIVE SENIOR	19 7	27 13	10 5	39 11	ACTIVE SENIOR	24 8	25 15	13 10	35 16
LA,E	ACTIVE	6	7 13	-	9 3	ACTIVE	- 2	-	-	- 4
LA.M	ACTIVE		· -	· · · -	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1	-	-
LA, W	ACTIVE	1	3-	3	6	ACTIVE	5	4	. <u>1</u>	3
MS,N	ACTIVE	-	-		-	ACTIVE	1	1	· _	-
MS,S	ACTIVE	1	1	· _	- ·	ACTIVE	2	- 13	-	2 3
TX.N	ACTIVE	-	-	_		ACTIVE	3	1	2	2
TX,E	ACTIVE	1	-	-	3	ACTIVE	-	-	-	
TX.S	ACTIVE	1	-	1	-	ACTIVE	3	32	- 7	11 8
TX.W	ACTIVE		_	-	-	ACTIVE	9	15	10	17
APPEALS.	ACTIVE	9 3	16	6	21 6	ACTIVE	•		J	•
6TH	ACTIVE	14	54 66	29 5	27 29	ACTIVE	12 10	9	8	10
KY,E	ACTIVE	2	1		1	SENIOR	1	-	1 5	-
KY,W	ACTIVE	-	-	. – –	-	SENIOR	1	1	-	- 2
MI,E	SENIOR ACTIVE	3	27	19	- 12	SENIOR	2	2	-	· -
MI.W	SENIOR ACTIVE	4	27	- 3	12 6	SENIOR	-	-	-	- 1
OH,N	SENIOR ACTIVE	1	25 5	4	5	SENIOR ACTIVE	1	· -	-1 1	-
он, 5	SENIOR ACTIVE	1 3	2	-	-	SENIOR	1	-	-	1
	SENIOR	2	1	. 2	3	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	5	-	-
TN, E	ACTIVE		- 1	5	1	ACTIVE	1 3	1	- -	-
TN,M	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	- 6	-	-	ACTIVE	1	-	2	
TN,W	ACTIVE	-	-		-	ACTIVE	2	23	· · ·	7
APPEALS.	ACTIVE	t	1	Ξ	- 2	ACTIVE	-	J		Ŭ,
		-			-	JENIOR	1			

 TABLE V-1

 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

 SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES

 DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

IABLE V-1	
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	
SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGE	s
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991	-

¥	SERVIC	ES PROVID	ED TO OTHE	R DISTRIC	T COURTS	SERVICES	RECEIVED	FROM OTHE	R DISTRIC	T COURTS
CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PROV	DGES IDING VICE NUMBER	CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATED	TRIALS COM- PLETED*	PROVI	DGES DING VICE NUMBER	CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATED	TRIALS COM- PLETED*
7TH	ACTIVE	7	7	5 3	- 16	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	5 4	1	-
IL.N	ACTIVE	1 3	- 1	1 2	12	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 -	2	-	-
IL,C	ACTIVE	1	4	-	-	ACTIVE	1	-	1	-
IL.S	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	_		-	ACTIVE	1	2 -	-	-
IN,N	ACTIVE	1		1	-	ACTIVE	- 1	-	· _	-
IN, S	ACTIVE	3	1	3 -	-	ACTIVE	- -	-		-
WI,E	ACTIVE Senior	-2	- 2	· _	- 4	ACTIVE	-	-	· –	-
WI,W	ACTIVE Senior	-			-	ACTIVE	1 2	1 3	-	-
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	2 -	-	-	ACTIVE				
8тн	ACTIVE	8 7	26 9	1 4	6 15	ACTIVE	16 12	28 9	6 7	6 4
AR,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	5-	1		ACTIVE SENIOR	2 2	3-	- 2	1
AR,W	ACTIVE	1 1	2 -	- 1	1	ACTIVE	2 1	4	-	,* <u>-</u>
IA,N	ACTIVE	1	- 1		-	ACTIVE Senior	1 2	15	- 1	5
IA,S	ACTIVE	1 1		- -	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	4 3	- 3	-
MN	ACTIVE	- 1	-	1	-	ACTIVE Senior	3	1 -	2	-
MO,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1	-		ACTIVE SENIOR	1	- -	1-	-
MO.W	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1 –	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	· -	-	'	-
NE	ACTIVE	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE	з	۱	3	. –
	SENIOR	-		-	-	SENIOR	2	4	1	3
ND	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 1	1	. -	11	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	–	-	-
SD	ACTIVE	1 1	15 1	- 2	5 3	ACTIVE		-	-	-
APPEALS.	ACTIVE		-	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR				

	TABLE V-1
	U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
SERVICE PROVIDED	BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES
DURING THE TWEL	VE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

	SERVIC		ED TO OTHE			SERVICES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	R DISTRIC	
CIRCUIT AND	JU	DGES IDING VICE	CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI-	T	JU PROVI	DGES	CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI-	TRIALS
DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED.	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED*
9тн	ACTIVE	31 22	16 38	70 67	63 122	ACTIVE Senior	45 45	13 25	75 44	64 107
AK	ACTIVE		10		10	ACTIVE Senior	-	- 1	-	-
AZ	ACTIVE	2 1	2 5	-	- 3	ACTIVE Senior	5 6	1.	11 2	14 24
CA,N	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 4	_ 10	1 17	23	ACTIVE SENIOR	4 3	-	6 2	4
CA.E	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 3	1 2	- 4	- 6	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 4	- 1	- 1	3
CA,C	ACTIVE SENIOR	9 4	1	30 19	24 21	ACTIVE	3 4	1	1 1	2 4
CA, S	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	2 3	-	2 19	ACTIVE SENIOR	7 9	1 7	12 13	9 23
ні	ACTIVE Senior	2	3	1	6 5	ACTIVE SENIOR	3	1	6 7	1 17
ID	ACTIVE Senior	19 13	-	-	-	ACTIVE Senior	2	1 -	-	1
MT	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	-	-1	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 2	5 10	- 1	6 5
NV	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 -	-	2	4 -	ACTIVE	4 6	1 2	1 2	5
OR	ACTIVE	2 2	-2	1 21	2 26	ACTIVE Senior	·	- 1		·
WA,E	ACTIVE	3 -	4	4	3 -	ACTIVE SENIOR	4 2	1 2	9 4	6 6
WA,W	ACTIVE	3 1	3 2	14 4	14 9	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 2	1	= 1	- 4
GUAM	ACTIVE	-	· _	-	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	6 1	-	28 11	13
NMI	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 -		14	3	ACTIVE Senior	-	-	-	-
APPEALS.	ACTIVE	4	-	3	5 -	ACTIVE SENIOR				

TABLE V-1
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

-	SERVIC	ES PROVID	ED TO OTHE	R DISTRIC	T COURTS	SERVICES RECEIVED FROM OTHER DISTRICT COURTS					
CIRCUIT	PROV	DGES IDING VICE	CIVIL CASES TERMI-	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI-	TRIALS COM-	JU PROVI	DGES	CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS	TRIALS	
DISTRICT	STATUS	NUMBER	NATED	NATED	PLETED+	STATUS	NUMBER	TERMI- NATED	TERMI- NATED	COM- PLETED*	
10TH	ACTIVE SENIOR	11 3	23 1	4	4	ACTIVE SENIOR	16 5	24 3	2	6 2	
co	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 2	1	2 1	-	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 -	2 -	-	-	
KS	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1 -		-	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 -	-	2	-	
NM	ACTIVE SENIOR	3 -	- 4 -	-	3	ACTIVE Senior	3	1		3	
OK,N	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	. 1 -	-	-	ACTIVE	5 2	16 1	· _ ·	2 1	
OK,E	ACTIVE SENIOR	=	-		-	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	1 -	-	-	
OK.W	ACTIVE SENIOR	2 -	15	2 -	_ ` _	ACTIVE Senior	2 1	1		1	
UT	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	- -		·, — —	ACTIVE Senior	3	3	-	-	
WY	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	1	-	1	ACTIVE Senior				- 1	
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	- 1		-	- 1	ACTIVE Senior					
11ТН	ACTIVE SENIOR	12 8	10 7	2 3	26 4	ACTIVE Senior	18 22	10 55	8 4	49 114	
AL.N	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	2 -	2	8	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 2	-	- 3	3	
AL,M	ACTIVE SENIOR	- 2	-	-2	-	ACTIVE Senior	3 -	3	-	-	
AL.S	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 3	1 6	· <u> </u>	-	ACTIVE Senior	2 1	-	2	1	
'FL,N	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-	 -	-	ACTIVE Senior	1 6	- 6	= <u>1</u> _	- 9	
FL,M	ACTIVE SENIOR	-	-		- 1	ACTIVE Senior	7 1.1	1 48	5 1	37 95	
FL,S	ACTIVE Senior	-	-	-	· -	ACTIVE	- 1		-	- 9	
GA,N	ACTIVE SENIOR	4	6 -		13 3	ACTIVE SENIOR		-		· _	
GA,M	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 -	1	-	1	ACTIVE	-	-	 	-	
GA.S	ACTIVE SENIOR	1 -	-	-	<u>,1</u> _	ACTIVE	4	6 1	-	8	
APPEALS.	ACTIVE SENIOR	1	. <u> </u>	-1	3 -	ACTIVE SENIOR					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· .	

TABLE V-1
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

SERVIC	ES PROVID	ED TO OTHE	R DISTRIC	T COURTS	SERVICES RECEIVED FROM OTHER DISTRIC							
PROV	IDING	CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED	DEFEN- DANTS	TRIALS	PROVI	DING	CIVIL CASES	CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS	TRIALS			
STATUS	NUMBER		NATED	COM- PLETED*	STATUS	NUMBER	TERMI- NATED	TERMI- NATED	COM- PLETED*			
стѕ			· · ·									
ACTIVE	6 3	23 25	18 44	43 23	ACTIVE SENIOR							
ACTIVE	- 1	-	- 1		ACTIVE Senior							
ACTIVE	-	-	_	-	ACTIVE Senior							
	JU PROV SER STATUS CTS ACTIVE SENIOR ACTIVE SENIOR ACTIVE	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE STATUS NUMBER CTS ACTIVE 6 SENIOR 3 ACTIVE - SENIOR 1 ACTIVE -	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE STATUS NUMBER ACTIVE 6 23 SENIOR 3 25 ACTIVE SENIOR 1 - ACTIVE	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE STATUS NUMBER ACTIVE 6 SENIOR 3 ACTIVE SENIOR 1 ACTIVE	PROVIDING SERVICECIVIL CASES TERMI- NATEDDEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATEDTRIALS COM- PLETED*STATUSNUMBERNUMBERTRIMI- NATEDTRIALS TERMI- NATEDCTSACTIVE6231843SENIOR3254423ACTIVESENIOR1-11ACTIVESENIOR1-11ACTIVE	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATED JU PROVI COM- PLETED* STATUS NUMBER NATED TRIALS COM- PLETED* JU PROVI SERVICE STATUS NUMBER NATED TRIALS COM- PLETED* STATUS CTS ACTIVE 6 23 18 43 ACTIVE SENIOR 3 25 44 23 SENIOR ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE SENIOR 1 - 1 1 ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE ACTIVE - - - -	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATED JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE STATUS NUMBER TRIALS COM- PLETED* SERVICE STATUS NUMBER NUMBER ACTIVE 6 23 18 43 SENIOR 3 25 44 23 ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE SENIOR 1 - 1 1 ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE ACTIVE - - - - ACTIVE - - - -	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATED JUDGES PROVIDING CIVIL CASES TERMI- PLETED* JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE STATUS NUMBER CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED CASES TERMI- PLETED* CIVIL CASES TERMI- PLETED* CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED STATUS NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER NATED ACTIVE 6 23 18 43 ACTIVE SENIOR 3 25 44 23 SENIOR ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE - SENIOR 1 - 1 1 SENIOR ACTIVE - - - - ACTIVE ACTIVE - - - - -	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATED JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATED STATUS NUMBER NUMBER CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED CRIMINAL DEFEN- DANTS TERMI- NATED CIVIL SERVICE CASES TERMI- NATED STATUS NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER CIVIL CASES TERMI- NATED CASES DANTS TERMI- NATED CTIVE 6 23 18 43 ACTIVE SENIOR 3 25 44 23 ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE - - - ACTIVE - - -			

• INCLUDES ALL TRIALS COMPLETED DURING THE PERIOD, WHETHER OR NOT THE RELATED CASE WAS ALSO TERMINATED.

TABLE V-2
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS
SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES
IN APPEALS TERMINATED
DURING THE THELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

		SERVICE F	ROVIDED	TO COURTS (OF APPEALS			SERVICE	RECEIVED FROM OTHER COURTS							
				PARTICI APPEALS I	PATIONS IN Disposed of	F				PARTICI APPEALS	PATIONS IN Disposed of	:				
CIRCUIT	JUDGES PROVIDING SERVICE STATUS NO.		AFTER AFTER AFTER ORAL SION ON JUDICIAL TOTAL HEARING BRIEFS ACTION		PROVID	JUDGES PRDVIDING SERVICE STATUS NO.		AFTER ORAL HEARING	AFTER SUBMIS SION ON BRIEFS	AFTER OTHER JUDICIA ACTION						
TOTAL		392	5,020	3,468	1,269	283		392	5,020	3,468	1,269	283				
ľ	APPEALS				· · · ·		APPEALS									
1	ACTIVE Senior District	27 49	198 705	151 570	38 105	9 30	ACTIVE SENIDR DISTRICT	27 49	198 705	151 570	38 105	9 30				
	ACTIVE	213 103	2,315	1,467 1,280	706 420	142 102	ACTIVE	213 103	2,315	1,467 1,280	706 420	142 102				
DC		2	3	2	-	1		6	9	5	3	1				
	APPEALS						APPEALS									
	ACTIVE Senior	-	-	-	=	=	ACTIVE Senior	3 1	3	- 3	3	-				
	DISTRICT			_			DISTRICT	-								
	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	3	2		1	ACTIVE SENIOR	2	3 -	2 -		1				
1ST		13	89	82	6	1		20	209	201	6	2				
	APPEALS ACTIVE	ï	1	-	1	· _	APPEALS	1	23	23 79	_	-				
	SENIDR	1	20	19	1	-	SENIOR	6	82	79	2	1				
	DISTRICT	9	35	33	1	1	DISTRICT	9	52	51	_	1				
	SENIDR	2	33	30	3	<u></u>	SENIOR	4	52	48	4					
2ND		38	471	329	100	42	4005415	39	478	327	97	54				
	APPEALS ACTIVE	2	2	-	2	_	APPEALS ACTIVE	4	44	35	6	3				
	SENIOR	6	120	93	21	6	SENIOR	3	48	24	12	12				
	DISTRICT			4.74	F 0	- 20	DISTRICT		250	171		34				
	ACTIVE SENIDR	22 8	259 90	171 65	58 19	30 6	ACTIVE	22 10	259 127	171 97	58 21	30				
3RD		32	364	175	176	13	······	32	326	118	193	15				
	APPEALS ACTIVE	-					APPEALS ACTIVE	5		7	13	1				
	SENIOR	4	40	33	7	-	SENIOR	3	21 23	6	15	2				
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT	47								
	ACTIVE SENIOR	19 9	214 110	92 50	113 56	9	ACTIVE SENIOR	17 7	195 87	74 31	113 52	8				
4тн		46	650	587	31	32		43	580	522	32	26				
	APPEALS	2				1	APPEALS	1		10		_				
	ACTIVE	2 1	2	-		4 2	ACTIVE	1 2	12 14	12 11	2	1				
	DISTRICT					r.	DISTRICT	<u> </u>								
	ACTIVE SENIOR	29 14	350 294	311 276	21 10	18 8	ACTIVE SENIOR	30 10	351 203	311 188	22 8	18				
5ТН	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	136	125	8	3		11	49	48	-	1				
	APPEALS	_					APPEALS									
	ACTIVE Senior	2 8	2 104	95	26	- 3	ACTIVE SENIOR	- 3	18	18	-	-				
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT									
	ACTIVE Senior	6 1	29 1	29 1	-	-	ACTIVE SENIDR	7 1	30 1	29 1	2	-				

TABLE V-2 U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS SERVICE PROVIDED BY AND RECEIVED FROM VISITING JUDGES IN APPEALS TERMINATED During the thelve month period ended june 30, 1991

1		SERVICE P	ROVIDED	TO COURTS	DF APPEALS	<u> </u>	SERVICE RECEIVED FROM OTHER COURTS								
					PATIONS IN DISPOSED 0	F				PARTICI APPEALS	PATIONS IN Disposed of				
CIRCUIT	JUDG PROVID SERVI STATUS	ING	TOTAL	AFTER DRAL HEARING	AFTER SUBMIS SION ON BRIEFS	AFTER DTHER Judicial Action	JUDG PROVID SERVIO STATUS	ING	TOTAL	AFTER DRAL Hearing	AFTER SUBMIS SION ON BRIEFS	AFTER OTHER JUDICIAL ACTION			
6TH		57	1 142	540	491	111		51	1,105	494	499	112			
61N	APPEALS		1,142		471		APPEALS		1,105	474	437				
	ACTIVE	3	6	1	5	- 7	ACTIVE	1	1	1	· . 				
	SENIOR District	5	68	62	5	. 1	SENIOR District	1	36	16	18	2			
	ACTIVE	40	714	343	315	56	ACTIVE	40	714	343	315	56 54			
7TH	SENIOR	9 13	354 170	134	166	542	SENIOR	9 11	354	134 108	<u>166</u> 16	1			
	APPEALS						APPEALS		+						
	ACTIVE	- 3	- 66	62	- 3	- 1	ACTIVE	-1	1 10	20	-	-			
	SENIOR District	3	60	62	3	1	SENIOR DISTRICT	1	20	~~	-	-			
	ACTIVE	3 7	17	17	_	-	ACTIVE	3	17	17		-			
8TH	SENIOR	32	87 450	71 377	<u>15</u> 56	1	SENIDR	7 38	519	<u>71</u> 411	<u>16</u> 88	20			
oin	APPEALS	34	490	3/1		L!	APPEALS	30	517			20			
	ACTIVE	-	-	-	-	-	ACTIVE	3	16	11	5	2			
	SENIOR District	6	70	66	2	2	DISTRICT	7	94	65	24	5			
	ACTIVE	16	183	144	29	10	ACTIVE	16	183	144	29	10			
	SENIOR	10	197	167	25	5	SENIOR	12	226	191	30	5			
9TH	APPEALS	70	646	450	172	24	APPEALS	68	608	404	187	17			
	ACTIVE	1	2	2	_	-	ACTIVE	1	21	17	4	-			
	SENIOR	4	59	40	13	6	SENIOR	3	52	29	23	-			
	DISTRICT	40	298	1 92	97	9	DISTRICT	40	298	192	97	9			
	SENIOR	25	287	216	62	9	SENIOR	24	237	166	63	8			
10TH	ADDEALC	36	410	259	131	20		37	422	265	136	21			
	APPEALS ACTIVE	2	2	-	2	-	APPEALS	2	5	-	5	_			
	SENIOR	1	ī	-	ī	-	SENIOR	3	11	. 9	1	1			
	DISTRICT	33	206	127	71	8	DISTRICT	22	206	127	71	8			
	SENIOR	22 11	200	132	57	12	SENIOR	10	200	129	59	12			
11TH		18	211	174	33	4		36	590	565	12	13			
	APPEALS	_	_	_		-	APPEALS ACTIVE	6	52	45	,	5			
	SENIOR	6	56	30	25	1	SENIOR	16	304	290	2	6			
	DISTRICT						DISTRICT		-						
·····	ACTIVE Senior	5 7	7 148	6 138	17	3	ACTIVE SENIDR	5 9	227 227	6 224	1	2			
SPECIAL COU		18	278	218	47	13	4								
INT"L TRADĖ		10 Y	154	125	24	5	}								
	ACTIVE	1	153 1	125	23 1	5	}								
FEDERAL CIR		8	124	93	23	8									
	ACTIVE SENIOR	5 3	26 98	23 70	3 20	- 8				·					

TABLE X-1A
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED FILINGS PER AUTHORIZED JUDGESHIP
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991
(CRIMINAL FELONY CASES ONLY)

· Contraction delivery on an and the set				واستلبون ببعث البباني	1		
			HTED FI			GHTED F JUDGES	
DISTRICT	JUDGE- SHIPS2	CIVIL	CRIM3	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIM3	TOTAL
TOTAL	645	333	53	386	321	50	371
DC	15	288	48	336	207	47	254
1ST		-					
ME MA	3 13	224 358	47	271 381	230 298	47 23	277 321
NH RI	3	236 279	25 38	261 317	193 216	24 38	217 254
PR	7.	210	56	266	237	63	300
2ND							
CT NY,N	8	440 323	35 53	475 376	331 295	32 52	363 347
NY,E NY,S	15 28	366 328	87 38	453 366	316 283	74 32	390 315
NY,H VT	4	385 188	75 58	460 246	356 179	67 63	423 242
3RD							
DE NJ	4 17	204 393	28 45	232 438	209 327	26 34	235 361
PA,E PA,M	23 6	444 292	31 45	475 337	359 313	23 43	382 356
PA, W	10	261	26	287	276	24	300
4TH	10	269	10		2/5		
MD NC,E	10 4 4	368 279 154	43 78 64	411 357 218	345 331 163	36 77	381 408
NC,M NC,W SC	3	251 364	136 61	387 425	254 406	61 123 63	224 377 469
VA,E VA,W	10 4	370 337	81 65	451 402	350 396	75 67	425 463
WV,N WV,S	3	185 283	56 70	241 353	169 276	46 67	215 343
5TH			· · · ·				······································
LA, E	13 2	365 524	30 37	395	382	28	410
LA,M LA,W MS,N	7	304 313	33 42	561 337 355	648 384 329	32 29 46	680 413 375
MS,S TX,N	6 12	309 399	39 53	348 452	292 382	38 54	330 436
TX,E TX.S		387 300	37 94	424 394	393 305	37 95	430 400
ТΧ,₩	10	290	103	393	273	114	387
6TH			·				
KY,E KY,W	4.50 4.50	350 289	47 42	397 331	445 329	42 40	487 369
MI,E MI,W	15 5	338 291 433	51 29	389 320 472	341 328	50 30	391 358
OH,N OH,S TN,E	12 8 5	433 307 347	39 48 72	472 355 419	370 298 230	34 48 76	404 346
TN, E TN, M TN, W	5 4 5	347 324 243	65 71	419 389 314	330 348 256	76 58 69	406 406 325
	-	2-7.3	Υ. Γ.	J17	290	07	349
		<u> </u>		63			

TABLE X-1A
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED FILINGS PER AUTHORIZED JUDGESHIP
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1991
(CRIMINAL FELONY CASES ONLY)

	HIDOF	WEIGH PER	ITED FIL JUDGESH	INGS <u>1</u> IIP		GHTED FI JUDGESH	
DISTRICT	JUDGE- SHIPS ₂	CIVIL	CRIM3	TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIM3	TOTAL
7TH							
IL,N IL,C IL,S IN,N IN,S WI,E WI,W	22 4 5 5 4 2	459 271 280 290 401 353 380	32 57 37 37 41 72 52	491 328 317 327 442 425 432	376 298 332 284 416 330 531	29 59 34 29 36 56 52	405 357 366 313 452 386 583
8TH AR,E IA,N IA,S MN MO,E NO,W NE SD	5323786423	332 265 255 299 329 339 328 292 257 149	39 26 53 34 47 31 46 43 76 85	371 291 308 333 376 370 374 335 333 234	386 315 353 277 360 382 315 274 138	45 29 51 38 44 31 45 42 77 79	431 344 366 391 321 391 427 357 351 217
9TH AK AZ CA,N CA,E CA,E CA,S HI ID MT NY DR WA,E WA,W	3 8 14 7 27 8 4 2 3 4 6 4 7	250 304 383 291 348 264 236 279 250 419 374 182 314	24 98 34 58 44 137 35 78 91 80 96 50	274 402 417 349 392 401 273 314 328 510 454 278 364	214 362 332 351 233 196 283 251 425 325 173 318	25 94 28 62 40 140 34 35 76 83 90 119 45	239 456 360 380 373 230 318 327 508 415 292 363
10TH CO KS OK,N OK,E OK,E UT WY	7 6 5.67 1.33 6 5 3	326 280 266 257 402 301 260 152	45 34 110 55 47 39 47 31	371 314 376 312 449 340 307 183	298 314 247 269 534 325 229 131	43 32 114 40 41 36 47 32	341 346 361 309 575 361 276 163
11TH AL,N AL,S FL,N FL,N GA,N GA,N GA,S	8 3 4 11 16 11 4 3	377 428 296 238 358 304 353 214 630	29 47 71 52 78 90 36 50 56	406 475 367 290 436 394 389 264 686	377 485 344 291 383 297 299 269 539	28 45 72 49 73 94 31 42 47	405 530 416 340 456 391 330 311 586

1THE CASE WEIGHTS ARE BASED ON THE 1979 DISTRICT COURT TIME STUDY CONDUCTED BY THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER. 2AUTHORIZED JUDGESHIPS AS OF JUNE 30, EXCLUDES THE TERRITORIAL COURTS. 3EXCLUDES TRANSFERS. 364

		T	ABLE X	~4 U.S	. DIST	RICT CO	URTS			
CLASS	ACTION	CIVIL	CASES	PENDI	NG, BY	NATURE	OF	SUIT	AND	DISTRICT
	DURING	THE 1	WELVE	MONTH	PERIO	DS ENDED	រចុះ	NE 30	, 19	91

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	1990 TOTAL CIVIL CASES	1991 Total Civil Cases	STOCK	OTHER CON- Tract	REAL PROP- Erty	TORT Act- Ions	ANTI- TRUST	VOTING	EMPLOY Ment Rights	CIVIL	PRISON Civil Rights	PRISON	RICO	ERISA	OTHER Labor Suits	SEC Commod Exchg	ALL OTHER
TOTAL	1,497	1,874	58	62	30	98	641	37	122	236	156	4	63	105	86	617	136
DC	12	13	-	2	·	_	-		1	3	2	•••	-	1	1	-	3
1ST	21	24	1	1	un	3	-		2	4	3	-	-	_	_	8	2
МЕ МА	1 12	5 10		-	· -	- 3		-	2	3	1	-	, . <u> </u>	-	_	4	1
NH RI PR	4 2 2	2	1	1	-	-		-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	4	1
2ND	295	344	22	7		12	1	•••	13	35	7	2	16	13	3	194	19
CT NY,N	82 5	136	4	3	-	6 1	-	_	2	8	-	-	11	4	1_	86 1	11
NY,E NY,S	9 177	9 172	- 18	2 2	Ξ	- 4	-1	-	1 7	4 13	4	- 2	-4	- 6	1	1 106	- 4
NY,W VT	17 5	16 5	-	-	-	i i	· -	_	3	5	2	-	1	2	-	-	22
3RD	164	225	12	3	8	11	7	_	7	19	22		11	21	14	81	9
DE NJ	20 53	20 59	7	1	-2	-3		-	- 4	2	- 6	-	- 5	- 2	- 6	8 23	2 2 2
PA,E PA,M	31 28	84 24	1	1	ē	6	4 . 1	-		8	13	-	4	12 1	4 1	37 1	22
PA, H VI	32	38 -	-	1	-	1	2	-	3	4 -	3	-	2	6	3	12	1
4TH	51	48	3	2	1	1	2		6	3	4	-		4	2	14	6
MD NC,E	19 4	18 5	1	1	-	-	-	-	- 2	-	-1	-	-	2	1	11 1	2
NC, M NC, M	6	4		-	-	-	-	-	1	î	1	-	-	-	1	<u> </u>	-
SC VA,E	9	8	- 1	1	1	-1	2	-	1	1	-	-		1	-	1	-
VA, H WV, N	6	6	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	- 1	-	-	1		-	3
WV,S	4	2	-	-	· -	-	_	-	1	-	, 1	-	-		· -	-	1
5TH	176	242	5	12	4	14	8	22	24	27	23	. •	9	9	9	69	7
LA, E LA, M	33 6	46 9	-	2	1	5	-	1	1	4	5 3	-	7	1	-	18	1
LA, W MS, N	10 18	11 13	-	-	1	3	-	1 5	2	3	22	-		-	1 1	_	- 1
MS,S TX,N	16 52	19 70	-	3 3	- 1	2 2		42	3	3	Ξ	-	1		1	37	22
TX,E TX,S	17 21	17 44	- 5	1 3	- 1	1	6	- 4	35	1 1	9 2		- 1	1 2	13	9	-
тх, М	- 3	13	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	4	2	ĩ	-		-	· -	1	5	-
6TH	105	124	2	4	1	10	1		5	25	29	-	2	10	10	18	7
KY,E KY,W MI,E OH,N OH,S TN,E TN,M	7. 4	7 3	-	- '	-	-		· _		1 1	2	· _	-	1 1	- 1	3	-
MI,E MI,W	15 24	16 25 49 6	1	2	2	3	-	-	2	3	2 11		-	-	_ 4	3	2
OH,N OH.S	24 27 4	49	1	1	-	52	1	· -	3	11 1	6		1 1	7	5	4 5 1	3
TN.E	5 7	8 7	-	-	1	Ξ	-	-	-	- 3	6	-	Ē	1	-	2	2 1 3 1 -
TN, M	12	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
- and the second se	ļ		L		-4												

TABLE X-4 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

CIRCU AND DISTR		1990 Total Civil Cases	1991 TOTAL CIVIL CASES	STOCK HOLDER	OTHER CON- Tract	REAL PROP- ERTY	TORT ACT- Ions	ANTI- TRUST	VOTING	EMPLOY Ment Rights	I CIVIL	PRISON CIVIL Rights	OTHER Prison Petit	RICO	ERISA	OTHER LABOR SUITS	SEC Commod Exchg	ALL OTHER
711	i	166	221	. 9	14		11	10	.3	14	33	11	1	10	17	18	53	17
IL,N. IL,C.		118 1	164 7	9	9		9	9	3	13	18 2		-	. 9	13 1	13	47	12 2
IL,S. IN,N.		6 10	4	-	1	-	1		-	1	-3	3	1	-	- 2	2	-	-1
IN,S. WI,E. WI,H.		21 10	26 7 -	-	4	-	1 - -	1		_	6 4 -	5 1		1	1	3	4 - 	1
8TH	· 1	113	134	1	1	2	6	7	3	20	18	18	 .	4	12	3	17	22
AR,E. AR,H.		15 3	25 3	1	- -	-	2	1	2	8	6			1	1	-	3 1	 ī
IA,N. IA,S.	:::	3 5	4		-	-1	-	-	-	-1	2		-	- 2	1	· <u>1</u>	- 1	-
MN MO,E.	1	46 16	50 20		1	-	1 2	6	1	6 2	- 4	- 8	-		10	-	10 2	15 2
MO,W. NE ND	· · · 1	3 17 2	6 16 3	-		1	1			3	- 3 1	27	-	1		1 1	· _ ·	2 - 2
SD		3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	2		-				s I.	-
9TH		194	260	1	8	12	17	7	-	6	32	15		5	7	9	117	24
AK AZ CA.N.	1	- 31 78	31 112		- 2 3		26		-	22	47	-	-	1	2		12 86	- 7 2
CA,E. CA,C.	1	1 2	6	=	- 1	-		-	-	1	1	4	-		i	-	- 2	- 1
CA,S. HI		10 11	16 16	-	- 1	2	5 1	-	· -	<u>ī</u>	1 6	- 3	· _	- 1	-	1	9 1	- 2
ID MT		16 5	15 2	· -		-	-	-		-	5	4	-	· <u>1</u>	1 -	1	1	2
NV OR WA,E.		1 15 8	9 14 14		1 -	-	- 2	5	-	-	2 4	3		-	1	32	5	1
WA,W. GUAM.		5 11	8	1	-	11	1	1		-	1	· · · _		2	1		1	6 1 -
NMI			-	-	-	-	-	-	. –		_		· -	-	÷	-	-	-
10T CO		17 9	23 8	1	-		3	-		2	2	1	-		1		8	4
KS		9 1 3	3	- 1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1 - 1	1				-	4 1 1	1 - 1
OK,N. OK,E.	ł	2	1	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	=	÷		<u>i</u>	
OK,W. UT		2	3 1	-	1	-	1	-				_		· _	-	-	. <u>1</u>	<u> </u>
WY		- 183	- 216	 1	- 7	2	- 10	- 21	- 9	- 22	35	- 21	-	- 6	- 10	- 17	38	16
	1		20 26					1	1	5 4	4				3 2	3		-
AL,M. AL,S.		25 29 3 4	26 1 4		-		2 1 -	-	5	1	4	- 5 . -	-	1	·	-	1	3
FL,M.	•••	30 51	40			1	2 1 1	1 4	- 1 1	2	1 6 12	10		1	- 1 2 1	- 3 6	8	6
AL,N. AL,M. AL,S. FL,N. FL,M. FL,S. GA,N. GA,M. GA,S.		30 51 28 7	63 48 4	1. 		-	î 1	15	<u>1</u>	7	4 2 2	2	1 -	1 2 - 1	1	4	22 6 -	- 652-
GA,S.		6	10	_	1	1	Ź	-	-	2	2	-	7	1	-		. 1	· -
				1 - 1 - 1 1														
										i		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					·····	

	TABLE X-5 U.S.	DISTRICT COURTS	
			CTION AND NATURE OF SUIT
DURING THE TW	elve Month Perio	DS ENDED JUNE 30,	1990 AND 1991

	1991						
NATURE OF SUIT			U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASES	
	1990	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP J	LOCAL URISDICTION
TOTAL CASES	922	930	6	45	814	65	·
CONTRACT ACTIONS, TOTAL	83	56	1	2	29	24	-
INSURANCE. MARINE. MILLER ACT. NEGDTIABLE INSTRUMENTS.	27	5 1 -	<u>.</u>		-	5 - 	
RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS	3 2 1	3	-	1	2		
OTHEROTHER CONTRACT ACTIONS	49	3 47	-	1	2 27	- 19	-
REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS, TOTAL	5	11	- 	1	7	3	·····
CONDEMNATION OF LAND FORECLOSURE RENT, LEASE, AND EJECTMENT TORTS TO LAND/INCLUDING PRODUCT LIABILITY OTHER REAL PROPERTY ACTIONS	- 1 - 1 3	- 1 - 7 3			- 1 - 6 -	- - 1 2	-
TORT ACTIONS, TOTAL	40	51	-	1	15	35	-
PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL	28	30		1	4	25	-
PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY, TOTAL AIRPLANE MARINE MOTOR VEHICLE ASBESTOS OTHER.	8 - - 2 6	11 - 1 4 5	-		 	11 - 1 4 5	
OTHER PERSONAL INJURY, TOTAL AIRPLANE MARINE MOTOR VEHICLE ASSAULT, LIBEL AND SLANDER FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT MEDICAL MALPRACTICE OTHER.	20 8 1 2 1 - 1 7	19 3 - 5 - 1 2 8		1	4 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	14 - - - - - - - - - - 2 7	
PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE, TOTAL FRAUD INCLUDING TRUTH IN LENDING	12 10	<u>21</u> 16				10 7 3	
OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE	2 794	5 812	- 5	- 41	2 763	3	-
ANTITRUST	19	50	. –	-	50	-	-
BANKRUPTCY, TOTAL APPEAL (28 USC 158)	1			-	1		<u> </u>
WITHDRAWAL (28 USC 157)	1	1	-	_	1	_	-
BANKS AND BANKING CIVIL RIGHTS, TOTAL VOTING EMPLOYMENT HOUSING AND ACCOMODATIONS WELFARE OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	2 169 8 42 3 22 94	15 158 21 32 8 13 84	2	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	15 142 21 28 5 8 80		
COMMERCE (ICC RATES, ETC.) ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS	2 2	3 10	-	- 2	38	:]	

TABLE X-5 U.S. DISTRICT COURTS CLASS ACTION CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY BASIS OF JURISDICTION AND NATURE OF SUIT DURING THE TWEIVE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 AND 1991

		1991					
NATURE OF SUIT			U.S. C	ASES		PRIVATE CASES	
	1990	TOTAL	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	FEDERAL QUESTION	DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP	LOCAL URISDICTION
ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES, CONTINUED							
DEPORTATION	-	-		-	-	-	÷
PRISONER PETITIONS, TOTAL	82	79		6	73		
MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	1	2	-	2 1	-		-
MANDAMUS AND OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	2 79	2 74	-	1	1 72	-	-
FORFEITURE AND PENALTY, TOTAL	1	_		-	-	_	-
AGRICULTURAL ACTS	-	1 1	-	. –	-		
DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY	· -	-		-	-	-	-
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT OTHER FORFEITURE AND PENALTY SUITS	- 1	-		-	-	-	-
LABOR LAWS, TOTAL	84	110			100		-
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT	18	110 16			<u>109</u> 16		
LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT LABOR MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT	11 2	72	-	=	72		-
RAILWAY LABOR ACT E. R. I. S. A	2 32	1 76	-	1	1 75		
OTHER LABOR LITIGATION	19	8	· -	-	8	· _	-
PROTECTED PROPERTY RIGHTS, TOTAL	2						<u> </u>
PATENT	2	-	-	-		-	
SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, AND EXCHANGES	315	299	2	_	297	_	· · _
SOCIAL SECURITY LAWS, TOTAL	315	5	۷	- 5	271		-
HEALTH INSURANCE	Ĩ				-		
BLACK LUNG CASES DISABILITY INSURANCE	1	2	-	2	-	-	
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME	1	2 1	-	2	[_	· -	. –
OTHER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
R I C DSTATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUITS	45 -	32	-	-	31	. 1	-
TAX SUITSCUSTOMER CHALLENGE	-	_			_	-	-
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES	- 9	- 6	-	-	- 3	-	-
OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS	58	44	1	1 12	31	2	-
OTHER ACTIONS, TOTAL	-	-		-		-	-
DOMESTIC RELATIONS	-	-	_		-		
INSANITY PROBATE	-	=		-		· -	-
OTHER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					1		
					1		
 	1				L		

Table X-10

U.S. District Courts Population Growth By District, 1970, 1980, and 1990 Census Data

CIRCUIT			1.999, 201 - 1999, 8 <u></u>
AND			
DISTRICT	1970	1980	1990
TOTAL	205,874,704	229,742,325	252,904,881
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	756,510	638,333	606,900
1ST CIRCUIT	11,060,169	11,925,981	12,879,106
MAINE	993,663	1,124,660	1,227,928
MASSACHUSETTS	5,689,170	5,737,037	6,016,425
NEW HAMPHSIRE	737,681	920,610	1,109,252
RHODE ISLAND	949,723	947,154	1,003,464
PUERTO RICO	2,689,932	3,196,520	3,522,037
2ND CIRCUIT	21,667,689	21,177,104	21,840,329
CONNECTICUT	3,032,217	3,107,576	3,287,116
NEW YORK (N)	2,928,766	3,240,019	3,357,709
NEW YORK (E)	7,410,580	7,080,195	7,240,451
NEW YORK (S)	4,896,094	4,370,392	4,551,993
NEW YORK (W)	2,955,300	2,867,466	2,840,302
VERMONT	444,732	511,456	562,758
3RD CIRCUIT	19,510,177	19,823,056	20,277,999
DELAWARE	548,104	594,338	666,168
NEW JERSEY	7,168,164	7,364,823	7,730,188
PENNSYLVANIA (E)	5,111,646	5,015,961	5,179,074
PENNSYLVANIA (M)	2,425,829	2,632,996	2,748,917
PENNSYLVANIA (W)	4,256,434	4,214,938	3,953,652
4TH CIRCUIT	17,987,705	20,517,023	22,877,643
MARYLAND	3,922,399	4,216,975	4,781,468
NORTH CAROLINA (E)	1,921,494	2,228,295	2,554,964
NORTH CAROLINA (M)	1,707,404	1,848,596	2,049,556
NORTH CAROLINA (W)	1,453,161	1,804,875	2,024,117
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,590,516	3,121,820	3,486,703
VIRGINIA (E)	3,089,023	3,544,162	4,319,575
VIRGINIA (W)	1,559,471	1,802,656	1,867,783
WEST VIRGINIA (N)	777,018	869,885	770,159
WEST VIRGINIA (S)	967,219	1,079,759	1,023,318

Table X-10 U.S. District Courts Population Growth By District, 1970, 1980, and 1990 Census Data

CIRCUIT			
AND			
DISTRICT	1970	1980	1990
5TH CIRCUIT	17,056,822	20,955,729	23,779,699
LOUISIANA (E)	1,416,730	1,627,665	1,619,759
LOUISIANA (M)	466,544	591,383	623,853
LOUISIANA (W)	1,759,906	1,986,852	1,976,361
MISSISSIPPI (N)	889,115	970,420	970,176
MISSISSIPPI (S)	1,327,797	1,550,218	1,603,040
TEXAS (N)	3,678,996	4,272,465	5,013,023
TEXAS (E)	1,476,321	1,892,678	2,222,348
TEXAS (S)	3,384,478	4,687,755	5,607,929
TEXAS (W)	2,656,935	3,376,293	4,143,210
6TH CIRCUIT	26,670,575	28,311,605	28,704,893
KENTUCKY (E)	1,499,312	1,787,073	1,813,697
KENTUCKY (W)	1,719,999	1,873,704	1,871,599
MICHIGAN (E)	6,300,659	6,401,560	6,283,33
MICHIGAN (W)	2,574,424	2,860,518	3,011,96
OHIO (N)	5,979,998	5,913,431	5,770,574
OHIO (S)	4,672,019	4,884,199	5,076,54
TENNESSEE (E)	1,623,441	1,928,519	1,995,818
TENNESSEE (M)	1,100,518	1,344,026	1,515,530
TENNESSEE (W)	1,200,205	1,318,575	1,365,837
7TH CIRCUIT	20,725,578	21,622,509	21,866,530
ILLINOIS (N)	7,720,576	7,878,050	8,028,703
ILLINOIS (C)	2,179,557	2,283,733	2,159,991
ILLINOIS (S)	1,213,843	1,264,735	1,241,908
INDIANA (N)	2,173,756	2,276,725	2,313,438
INDIANA (S)	3,019,913	3,213,499	3,230,72
WISCONSIN (E)	2,767,963	2,856,172	2,956,740
WISCONSIN (W)	1,649,970	1,849,595	1,935,029
8TH CIRCUIT	15,998,613	17,106,209	17,532,841
ARKANSAS (E)	1,186,124	1,372,629	1,436,935
ARKANSAS (W)	737,171	913,806	913,790
IOWA (N)	1,377,638	1,390,292	1,281,312
IOWA (S)	1,447,403	1,523,516	1,495,443
MINNESOTA	3,805,069	4,075,970	4,375,099
MISSOURI (E)	2,542,084	2,585,459	2,647,094
MISSOURI (W)	2,135,315	2,331,227	2,469,979
NEBRASKA	1,483,791	1,569,825	1,578,385
NORTH DAKOTA	617,761	652,717	638,800
SOUTH DAKOTA	666,257	690,768	696,004

Table X-10 U.S. District Courts Population Growth By District, 1970, 1980, and 1990 Census Data

CIRCUIT		ſ <u></u>	
AND			
DISTRICT	1970	1980	1990
antiney where the second s			1000
9TH CIRCUIT	30,194,411	37,049,038	45,800,181
ALASKA	302,173	401,851	550,043
ARIZONA	1,772,482	2,718,215	3,665,228
CALIFORNIA (N)	5,035,266	5,678,006	6,578,801
CALIFORNIA (E)	3,143,471	4,084,243	5,455,602
CALIFORNIA (C)	10,342,051	11,951,697	15,118,299
CALIFORNIA (S)	1,432,346	1,953,956	2,607,319
HAWAII	769,913	964,691	1,108,229
IDAHO	713,008	943,935	1,006,749
MONTANA	694,409	786,690	799,065
NEVADA	488,738	800,493	1,201,833
	2,091,385	2,633,105	2,842,321
WASHINGTON (E)	838,777	1,023,004	1,089,840
WASHINGTON (W)	2,570,392	3,109,152	3,776,852
10TH CIRCUIT	9,423,272	11,512,421	12,609,060
COLORADO	2,207,259	2,889,964	3,294,394
KANSAS	2,249,071	2,363,679	2,477,574
NEW MEXICO	1,016,000	1,302,894	1,515,069
OKLAHOMA (N)	654,349	794,372	840,805
OKLAHOMA (E)	520,403	629,668	639,115
OKLAHOMA (W)	1,384,501	1,601,250	1,665,665
UTAH	1,059,273	1,461,037	1,722,850
WYOMING	332,416	469,557	453,588
11TH CIRCUIT	14,823,183	19,103,317	24,129,700
ALABAMA (N)	2,006,998	2,274,694	2,367,636
ALABAMA (M)	802,795	912,922	949,497
ALABAMA (S)	634,372	706,272	723,454
FLORDIA (N)	807,804	1,051,008	1,280,184
FLORDIA (M)	3,483,237	5,021,840	7,084,280
FLORDIA (S)	2,498,402	3,673,476	5,246,433
GEORGIA (N)	2,327,699	2,896,074	3,708,451
GEORGIA (M)	1,365,142	1,527,327	1,606,335
GEORGIA (S)	896,734	1,039,704	1,163,430

Appendix II

Payments Under the

Criminal Justice Act for Fiscal Year 1990

TO THE CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

COMMITTEE ON DEFENDER SERVICES

The Criminal Justice Act

The following is a summary report of activities relating to the Criminal Justice Act (CJA) during fiscal year 1990 (FY 90) which ended September 30, 1990.

The purpose of the Criminal Justice Act (CJA) is to provide effective representation in federal criminal proceedings to individuals with limited financial resources. Each judicial district is required to have a plan, approved by the judicial council of the circuit, for furnishing representation under the CJA. The plan must provide for representation by private attorneys in a substantial proportion of cases and, in addition, may provide for representation by attorneys furnished by a bar association or a legal aid agency, or (for qualifying districts) by attorneys furnished by a Federal Public or Community Defender organization. Any district or part of a district, or two adjacent districts or parts of districts, whether or not in the same circuit, in which at least 200 appointments are required annually, may establish a federal defender organization. Representation under the CJA includes counsel and investigative, expert and other services. The Congress appropriates funds for the implementation of the CJA including the compensation, training and expenses of court-appointed counsel and persons providing investigative, expert, and other services, and for the operation of federal defender organizations.

Status of Appropriations

The final FY 90 appropriation for the implementation of the CJA was \$121,961,000. This amount, plus an unobligated balance of \$22,000,000 carried forward from FY 89, was available to cover obligations incurred during FY 90. Beginning in FY 89, panel attorney and expert services payments were reflected as expenditures against the current year appropriation, regardless of when the appointment was made and the obligation incurred. It is estimated that \$122,461,000 will be required in FY 90 to meet projected obligations, leaving an unobligated balance of \$21,500,000 which will be available for use in FY 91. The distribution of FY 90 projected obligations is as follows:

an a						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Federal Defender Organizations (FDO) ¹	\$53,450,000					
CJA Panel Attorneys	59,094,000					
Investigative, Expert and Other Services	5,217,000 ²					
Transcripts	4,200,000					
General Administrative Expense	500,000					
TOTAL	\$122,461,000					
¹ The terms Federal Defender Organizations and Federal						
Defenders, as used in this report, refer to I						
Public and Community Defender Organiza						
² This amount includes FDO expenses of \$						
investigative, expert and other services whether services	nich are not in-					
cluded in the FDO total above.						
	والمتحديد والمحاجب والمتحاف والمتحد والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجب وال					

Legislation

Crime Control Act of 1990

Both the Senate and the House of Representatives passed comprehensive crime bills during 1990. The House version (H.R. 5269) differed significantly from the Senate version (S. 1970). The Crime Control Act of 1990 (S. 3266), compromise legislation enacted by Congress on October 27, 1990, included provisions concerning:

- international money laundering,

- grants to states for protection of victims of child abuse,

- protection of rights of victims of crime,

- grants to states for drug law enforcement,

- new mandatory minimum sentences for drug and certain other offenses,

- disability benefits for public safety officers,

- thrift and bank fraud,

- collection of debts owed to the federal government, and numerous technical provisions.

Judicial Improvements Act of 1990

The Judicial Improvements Act of 1990, P.L. 101-650 (1990), which was signed by the President on December 1, 1990, incorporated provisions to implement certain recommendations of the Federal Courts Study Committee. The Judicial Conference was authorized to study the "Federal Defender Program," including judicial involvement in appointment of federal public defenders, appointment and compensation of panel attorneys and experts, adequacy of compensation for CJA panel attorneys, guality of CJA representation, equal employment and affirmative action procedures, appointment of counsel in multi-defendant cases, and other issues. The Judicial Conference is to report to the Congress by March 31, 1992 on the results of the study.

Representation in Federal Courts

During FY 90, approximately 71,600 persons were represented in the United States courts pursuant to the provisions of the CJA. This compares with 69,954 appointments in FY 89 and 65,507 appointments in FY 88. The number of appointments in FY 90 was thus 2.4 percent greater than those in FY 89 and 9.3 percent greater than in FY 88.

The following tables compare appointments of counsel, and distribution of CJA funds, between panel attorneys and Federal Defenders for fiscal years 1988, 1989, and 1990.

At the close of statistical year 1990, judiciary cascioad data reflected that during the twelve month period ending June 30, 1990, a total of 48,904 criminal cases were filed, an

	FY	88	FY	89	FY 90	
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	of Appts.	of Funds	of Appts.	of Funds	of Appts.	of Funds
Panel						
Attorneys	47.1	61.7	48.5	57.2	48.9	53.4
FDO ³	52.9	38.3	51.5	42.8	51.1	46.6
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Cases Op	pened by Fi	scal Year		
			Percent		Percent	Percent
			Change		Change	Change
	FY 88	FY 89	FY 88-89	FY 90	FY 89-90	FY 88-90
Panel						
Attorneys	30,822	33,952	+10.2	35,000	+3.1	+13.6
FDO	34,685	36,002	+3.8	36,608	+1.7	+5.5
TOTAL	65,507	69,954	+6.8	71,608	+2.4	+9.3
³ FDO totals exclude inmate requests from the U.S. Medical Center in						
Sprinafield	, Missouri:	1,453 in FY	(88; 755 in	FY 89; and	d 736 in FY	90.

increase of 6.3 percent from the statistical year 1989 level of 45,995. The pending criminal caseload as of June 30, 1990 was 35,519 cases, an increase of 14.9 percent over 1989, its highest level ever.

Statistical year 1990 criminal filings rose in 10 of the 15 major offense categories. The increase in the criminal caseload related primarily to the implementation of the Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act (DAPCA), and a greater volume of fraud and weapons and firearms prosecutions. Case filings under DAPCA rose from a 1989 total of 11,858 to a 1990 total of 12,592, an increase of 6.2 percent. Fraud prosecutions grew from 6,899 to 7,522, an increase of 9.5 percent while weapons and firearms cases totaled 2,713 an increase of 24.1 percent from the 1989 level of 2,187.

Major Federal Drug-Related Law Enforcement Activities

Between fiscal years 1982 and 1990, Federal Defender organization appointments in drug cases rose from 1,980 to 5,826, an increase of 194.2 percent. Similarly, during the same period, in-court hours for Federal Defender drug-related cases rose from 8,219 to 22,869, an increase of 178.2 percent. These increases correspond to expanded activities in federal drug control programs. A brief description of two major federal drug control programs follows.

Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force Program

The Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force Program (OCDETF) is a multi-agency drug investigation and prosecution program aimed at the identification and prosecution of members of high-level drug trafficking organizations, many of which are international in scope. OCDETF task forces have existed nationwide since 1982 and coordinate the use of federal, state and local enforcement resources in prosecution efforts. During the period October 1, 1989 through August 31, 1990, 567 Task Forces were initiated throughout the country, resulting in 1,235 indictments against a total of 4,208 defendants. Of these defendants, 209 have been charged under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) statue, while 98 defendants were charged under the Continuing Criminal Enterprise (CCE) statute.

Operation Alliance

Operation Alliance is a special drug seizure program which coordinates the operations, resources and technology of federal state and local law enforcement agencies in the four southwest border states. This initiative covers the entire southwest border from Brownsville, Texas to San Diego, California and the adjoining Gulf and Pacific water areas. Over the past four years, the Federal Defender organizations in the southwest border areas have experienced a 239.1 percent increase in the number of appointments for drug cases, from 591 in FY 86 to 2,004 cases in FY 90. A substantial proportion of this increase is believed to be attributed to Operation Alliance.

Payments in Excess of the Statutory Maximum

The CJA provides that the presiding judicial officer may approve attorney compensation up to a maximum of \$3,500 for felonies, \$2,500 for appeals, \$1,000 for misdemeanors and \$750 for other representations. In addition, the presiding judicial officer may approve payments to persons providing investigative, expert and other services up to a maximum of \$1,000. The CJA also provides that, in extended or complex cases, attorneys may receive compensation in excess of these general case compensation maximums when the presiding judicial officer certifies that such excess payment is necessary to provide fair compensation and the payment is approved by the chief judge of the circuit or an active circuit judge to whom excess compensation approval authorization has been delegated. Similarly, compensation in excess of

the general maximum may be paid to persons providing investigative, expert and other services if the presiding judicial officer determines that the amount is necessary to provide fair compensation for services of an unusual character or duration and the amount of the excess payment is approved by or on behalf of the chief judge of the circuit.

The number of payments in excess of the attorney case compensation maximums during FY 90 was 7,149, an increase of approximately 25.0 percent from the 5,719 total for FY 89. These claims include cases opened in prior fiscal years. The total amount paid through September 30, 1990, for services relating to these claims (including any interim payments made in prior fiscal years) was \$50,543,676 compared to \$43,648,625 for FY 89.

The table below reflects the number of excess compensation payments for both attorneys and expert services by circuit during FY 1990.

The following is a summary of excess compensation claim paid during FY 90 for both attorneys and expert services:

(1) 5,200 excess payments were approved in felony cases and appeals. The largest of these payments amounted to \$384,981 in a bank robbery/conspiracy case in the District of Connecticut. The average for all such payments was \$8,237.

(2) 103 excess payments for extended or complex representation in misdemeanor cases were approved. The largest was \$10,500 for a case in the Second Circuit. The average for all such payments was \$2,806.

(3) 969 claims were approved for other cases. The largest payment was \$206,139 for a habeas petitioner in the Eastern District of California. The average for all such matters was \$4,491.

(4) 877 claims for compensation for expert and other services of an unusual character or duration were approved and paid. The largest single payment was \$165,113 for transcription

Total Number of Excess Compensation Payments for Attorneys and Expert Services by Circuit During Fiscal Year 1990						
Circuit	Felonies/ Appeals	Misde- meanors	Other Cases	Expert & Other Services	Total	Percent of Total
D.C.	312	6	24	73	415	5.8
First	201	10	11	12	234	3.3
Second	530	18	51	59	658	9.2
Third	305	2	56	65	428	6.0
Fourth	394	4	26	31	455	6.4
Fifth	308	7	45	18	378	5.3
Sixth	425	2	34	27	488	6.8
Seventh	307	14	102	20	443	6.2
Eighth	422	1	117	55	595	8.3
Ninth	1,158	32	363	398	1,951	27.3
Tenth	223	3	34	57	317	4.4
Eleventh	615	4	106	62	787	11.0
GRAND TOTAL	5,200	103	969	877	7,149	100.0

and translation services in the Northern District of California. The average cost of services per case was \$3,504.

Transcripts, Investigative, Expert and Other Services

As of September 30, 1990, \$9,217,000 had been paid from the FY 90 Defender Services Appropriation for transcripts, investigative, expert and other services pursuant to the change in accounting procedure. It is estimated that, as later claims for transcripts attributable to FY 90 are approved and paid, the total for FY 90 will increase to \$9,417,000.

The table below reflects the cost of providing these services from FY 87 through FY 89, and estimates for FY 90 through FY 92.

Federal Defender Organizations

New Operational Branch Offices

The Federal Public Defender Organization for the Central and Southern Districts of Illinois and the Eastern District of Missouri established a branch office in East St. Louis on October 22, 1990. Currently, this branch serves both the East St. Louis and Benton court locations. Also, the Corpus Christi branch of the Federal Public Defender Organization for the Southern District of Texas became operational on November 20, 1990.

New Operational Federal Public Defender Organization

The Federal Public Defender Organization for the District of Columbia was established September 21, 1990.

Federal Public Defender Organizations and Branch Offices Projected to Begin Operations During Fiscal Year 1991

At its June 1990 meeting, the Judicial Conference Committee on Defender Services (Committee) approved fiscal year 1991 funding for the creation of a Federal Public Defender Organization for the Eastern District of Washington (with a headquarters office in Spokane, and a branch in Yakima). In April 1986, the Federal Public Defender Organization for the Western District of Washington opened a branch office in the District of Alaska at Anchorage. At its June 1989 meeting, the Committee approved fiscal year 1991 funding to support the establishment of an independent organization to serve the District of Alaska. It is

Transcripts, Investigative, Expert and Other Services						
Actual	FY 1987	FY 1988	FY 1989			
Transcripts	\$3,200,000	\$3,520,680	\$4,086,000			
Investigators	908,572	1,043,000	1,249,000			
Interpreters	456,559	591,000	808,000			
Psychiatrists	308,063	450,000	1,087,000			
Other Experts	1,113,269	1,473,000	2,018,000			
TOTAL	\$5,986,463	\$7,077,680	\$9,248,000			
Estimate	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992			
Transcripts	\$4,200,000	\$5,000,000	\$7,500,000			
Investigators	1,263,000	1,256,000	1,314,000			
Interpreters	815,000	896,000	1,045,000			
Psychiatrists	1,103,000	3,421,000	4,078,000			
Other Experts	2,036,000	2,509,000	2,900,000			
TOTAL	\$9,417,000	\$13,082,000	\$16,837,000			

anticipated that both new organizations will be established during the second quarter of fiscal year 1991.

At its June 1990 meeting, the Committee approved fiscal year 1991 funding for a Wilmington, Delaware office to serve the District of Delaware as a branch of the Federal Public Defender Organization for the District of New Jersey. The Committee also approved fiscal year 1991 funding for three additional branch offices. A branch of the Western District of the Pennsylvania organization will be situated in Erie, and new branches of the District of South Carolina organization will be established in Charleston and Greenville.

Death Penalty Resource Center/Community Defender Organizations

Community defender organizations functioning as death penalty resource centers monitor the status of death penalty cases pending in the districts they serve, recruit attorneys to represent death-sentenced federal habeas petitioners, and provide assistance and expert advice to counsel appointed in death penalty cases. Each center also represents a number of death-sentenced petitioners. As of September 30, 1990, fifteen centers were operational, including the organization for Illinois which was established on February 1, 1990. Funding has been approved for additional resource centers to serve Ohio and Pennsylvania. On August 25, 1990, the Houston and San Antonio branch offices of the Texas Resource Center became operational.

Resource Centers provided direct representation to 79 death sentence federal habeas corpus petitioners in FY 90 and provided assistance and expert advice to attorneys representing petitioners in 412 cases.

Federal Defender Statistics

Although a final accounting has not yet been completed, the cost of operating Federal Defender Organizations during FY 90 is estimated to have been \$54,938,000 or 11.5 percent less than the amount authorized. Approximately 78.0 percent of the cost of the operation of Federal Defender Organizations in FY 90 was expended for personnel compensation and benefits.

A total of 36,117 cases were opened by Federal Defenders (excluding representation by Death Penalty Resource Centers) in FY 90, and 34,949 were closed. The office experiencing the highest volume during this period was the Southern District of California with 6,595 openings. This organization closed 6,475 cases, 3,440 of which were immigration and petty offense cases.

☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1992-317-909/60093