







Page 9



Page 12



On the Cover: Small police departments can successfully implement the community policing philosophy. See article p. 1. Cover photo by Orlando Mendez, courtesy of the Metro-Dade, Florida, Police Department. December 1992 Volume 61 Number 12



Features

- 1 Small Departments and Community Policing 1399927 By John F. Cox
- 6 The Role of Internal Affairs In Police Training By Nelson O. Webber, Jr.
- 12 Victim/Witness Programs 399978 By Albert R. Roberts
- **18** Firearms Training and Liability 39929 By John C. Hall

Departments

5 Book Review

9 Research Forum

16 Case Study

24 1992 Index

United States Department of Justice Federal Bureau of Investigation Washington, DC 20535

William S. Sessions, Director

Contributors' opinions and statements should not be considered as an endorsement for any policy, program, or service by the FBI.

The Attorney General has determined that the publication of this periodical is necessary in the transaction of the public business required by law. Use of funds for printing this periodical has been approved by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. Editor—Dr. Stephen D. Gladis Managing Editor—Kathryn E. Sulewski Art Director—John E. Ott Associate Editors—Andrew DiRosa —Karen F. McCarron —Kimberly J. Waggoner Assistant Art Director—Amelia J. Brooks Staff Assistant—Darlene J. Butler

The FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin (ISSN-0014-5688) is published monthly by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, 10th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20535. Second-Class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington, D.C. 20535.

ISSN 0014-5688

# Small Departmen and Community By JOHN F. COX

D uring the past several years, many police executives implemented the concept of community policing within their departments.<sup>1</sup> By now, these police executives realize that community policing is a *philosophy* and an organizational strategy, not merely a new program. Accordingly, employees of community policing departments understand that they need to solve existing problems in an innovative way—they must involve citizens in the process of policing themselves.<sup>2</sup>

139927

Many write about large- and medium-sized police departments that return the police to the communities they serve by forming partnerships with the citizens. However, according to the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), 79 percent of police agencies in the United States employ 25 or fewer officers, and 60 percent of that number employ fewer than 10 sworn officers.<sup>3</sup> Even so, small-sized departments that implement a community policing philosophy generate little discussion.

Some suggest that most departments with fewer than 25-30 officers already subscribe, by virtue of their environment, to "community policing." This is probably true to some extent, since police officers in small towns tend to know most of the community's residents. However, small town policing and community policing are not necessarily the same, and small agencies need to consider the benefits that can be realized from a change in philosophy toward a new partnership with the community.

This article discusses the community policing philosophy and how it might impact on small departments, police administrators, and communities, as well as what internal changes need to occur when departments implement the concept. Finally, it includes a "critical issues" checklist that police administrators should carefully consider before making a public move toward community policing.

### CHANGE CONSIDERATIONS

Community policing departments are more receptive to innovation than traditional departments with autocratic structures, which do not lend themselves to this type of concept. Therefore, departments interested in community policing must first consider changes to reshape their internal organizations.

To begin, department officials should examine their approaches to internal problem solving. This sometimes necessitates that administrators make some difficult, and perhaps risky, decisions to change the way things have always been done. Because traditional organizations oftentimes do not encourage collaborative thinking between management and personnel, resentment and dissension may build. In community policing, the partnership between management and employees begins *within* the organization.

This does not mean that command and control cannot exist. Many situations occurring within a department obviously need to be handled according to procedures that require tight controls. It *does* mean that departmentwide input and problem solving can impact on day-to-day police work.

However, not all aspects of the organization must change. The Superintendent of Police in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, suggests a "bureaucratic garage sale":

> "...the conventional police organization is like a 50-yearold house. When it was built, it was new, strong, and in vogue, but with the passage of time...parts of it rot, and it goes out of style. The answer, however, is not to bulldoze it down. What is needed is an imaginative renovation job.



"Gut the rotted and anachronistic parts from the old and begin building from that solid base so that you end with a house that is once again strong, contemporary, and retains that of the old which complements the new."<sup>4</sup>

With this in mind, police administrators can begin the process of incorporating community policing into their departments.

### **CONCRETE CHANGES**

The community policing philosophy requires that officials make certain concrete changes within the organization. These changes provide for a smooth transition to the community policing concept.

## **Redefine the Department's Role**

To begin, department officials must redefine the role of the police in their communities. In some cases, this may be the first time administrators give specific thought to the role of the department within their communities. It is important, though, that community policing departments work as partners with the citizens they serve to solve problems that relate to the quality of life, as opposed to simply enforcing the law.

## **Train Officers**

Once officials define the role of the department in the community, they must train all officers on the principles and philosophy of community policing. Here again, small departments have an advantage in that administrators can take a handson approach to the training in an atmosphere more conducive to good communication and understanding.

### **Evaluate Employees Differently**

Officials must evaluate community policing officers differently than those who work in more traditional police environments. For example, in addition to productivity, the evaluation should include credit for *creativity*. The officers should show a firm commitment to solve problems in innovative ways. Officials, on the other hand, should make all officers aware of how they rate certain elements of their jobs, and they need to meet with officers on a regular basis to discuss whether the officers need to improve in any particular areas.

The Powell Police Department uses an employee evaluation form that rates over 35 factors indicative of character and commitment, such as the officers' perseverance and patience and their relationships with both coworkers and the public. While virtually any officer can produce in terms of numbers, the evaluation system also takes into account the humanistic side of the employee, which more significantly affects the relationship between the department and the public.

### **Assign Specific Patrol Areas**

In order to give street officers some sense of personal responsibility, officials should assign them to a particular beat. Officials should strategically divide these areas so as to preserve the unique identity of individual neighborhoods. They should also avoid mixing different types of neighborhoods together in the same area of responsibility. Assigning beats may pose a special challenge to small departments that are generally fortunate just to have enough officers to provide necessary services and to handle calls. As a possible solution to this problem, small departments should attempt to identify areas where the

*...community policing departments work as partners with the citizens they serve....* 

responsible officers could make personal contacts to identify specific problems and possible solutions, even though they must also answer calls for service throughout a larger area.

This method of policing develops a sense of ownership of particular geographic areas, and it allows the officers to look seriously at the problems that occur in "their" areas. It also allows small departments of one or two officers to work more closely with the community to solve problems.

### **Prioritize Calls**

Small departments, like their large counterparts, may have to evaluate and prioritize the calls that require a police response and ease the community into assuming more of the responsibility for resolving problems. For example, minor accidents that occur on private property might require that the drivers go to the police station to file a report, thereby freeing up officer time that could be better spent working in assigned areas. Small departments benefit greatly from this system of prioritizing calls, since they have fewer officers to respond to calls.

### Tailor Police Work to Community Needs

Community policing requires that departments tailor their police work to the particular needs of the community. Therefore, officials should assess the needs of the department in relation to the needs of the community.

In order to do this successfully, officials must seek legitimate citizen input. Line officers should work with citizens and merchants in both neighborhoods and business districts to build and revitalize working relationships, and administrators should make contact with community leaders. In this way, administrators can parallel the more accessible police/neighbor relationship with a more visible role as community leaders.

## CRITICAL ISSUES CHECKLIST

In addition to the concrete changes administrators should make, there are other possible ways to enhance the success of community policing. This "critical issues" checklist falls within the purview of how administrators of small departments, prior to making a public move, should approach incorporating the change to a new philosophy of policing.

# Ensure Strong Administrative Leadership

Administrators must lead the change toward community policing. Subordinates must see that leaders willingly take risks for the good of the whole.

Department administrators must also use their positions of leadership to promote new relationships with the communities they serve. However, police administrators must set the agenda for change. They must oversee the building of relationships with the public without allowing it to take over the relationship.<sup>5</sup> As time passes, change will be necessary, and police administrators who are inflexible will suffer.

### Make a Gradual Change

Administrators can quickly institute even complex programs. However, the change to a new *philosophy* of policing requires more time. It takes time for department personnel to view the community as a partner and to develop ways to act out that partnership.

One way administrators can move gradually toward a community policing policy is to first institute problem-oriented policing. "Essentially, problem-oriented policing (POP) asks officers to think independently to look for underlying dynamics behind a series of incidents, rather than focus on the individual occurrences as isolated events."<sup>6</sup> POP does not require the depth of police/community partnership or substantive structural changes in the department to function effectively. This gives administrators a chance to ease the department into the community policing philosophy.

### **Draft a Clear Mission Statement**

All community policing departments should adopt a clear mission statement that reflects the department's commitment to forming a partnership with the community. This mission statement sends the message to officers that the department is serious in its community policing effort.

The success of community policing depends greatly on the acceptance of the mission statement by the entire organization. Front-line officers who see the positive results of the program may adapt easily to the philosophy. However, some of these officers, particularly veteran

> Administrators must lead the change toward community policing.

officers, may believe that community policing and social work are much the same.

In addition, community policing requires changes in long-established habits and generally requires a more emotional and cognitive commitment by officers to work *with* the community, rather than *on* the community. When a problem of acceptance exists, management should involve the officers in the change process. They should have decisionmaking power and the freedom to learn from their mistakes. They should also receive credit for good work and creativity, as well as constant encouragement.

### Assess the Community's Needs

Administrators should assess the needs of the communities they serve so that they can efficiently plan the thrust of their particular community policing strategies. One method of doing this involves the use of a community analysis worksheet that is available through the Behavioral Science Services Unit of the FBI Academy in **Ouantico**, Virginia. This worksheet tracks general demographic, socioeconomic, and institutional characteristics of a community. It also helps administrators to examine crime-related social conditions.

### CONCLUSION

Dr. Robert Trojanowicz refers to community policing as the "ideological public-police relationship of the future."<sup>7</sup> Whether this philosophy dominates tomorrow's police work is not entirely predictable, but it is hard to envision either the police or the community not wishing to put the positive aspects of community policing to work.

Community policing produces a new vitality and deeper fulfillment in law enforcement's relationship with the public, emphasizing a partnership between the two. In addition, it eliminates law enforcement's adversarial relationships with law-abiding citizens.

However, administrators who look at community policing merely

**Book Review** 

**T** 

1

≠ Labilite

÷.

as a handy program to increase their popularity with the public are not looking at the risks or the long-term commitment necessary to make community policing work. The positive feedback and improved public relations that result from the program should not be priority goals—partnerships and problem solving are the major priorities.

Community policing offers a concept that emphasizes the police as part of the community. Community policing departments respond positively to the needs of the communities they serve, and they help to restore the quality of life. Yet, they do not surrender the responsibility of criminal detection and apprehension. It is a winning combination.

### Endnotes

<sup>1</sup> Joseph Harpold, lecture on community policing, 166th Session of the FBI National Academy, Quantico, Virginia, 1991. (Community policing is a partnership between police and law-abiding citizens to create permanent solutions to problems and thereby enhance the quality of life in the community.)

<sup>2</sup> Robert Trojanowicz and Bonnie Bucqueroux, *Community Policing, A Contemporary Perspective* (Cincinnati, Ohio: Anderson Publishing Company, 1990).

<sup>3</sup> Managing the Small Police Department (Arlington, Virginia: International Association of Chiefs of Police, 1990)

<sup>4</sup> Chris Braiden, "Ideas on Ownership," *Footprints: The National Community Policing Newsletter*, Michigan State University, Spring/ Summer 1991.

<sup>5</sup> Larry Monroe, lecture on community policing, 166th Session of the FBI National Academy, Quantico, Virginia, 1991.

<sup>6</sup> Supra note 2.

<sup>7</sup> Robert Trojanowicz, lecture on community policing, 166th Session of the FBI National Academy, Quantico, Virginia, 1991. **Power and Restraint: The Moral Dimension of Police Work** by Howard S. Cohen and Michael Feldberg, Praeger Publishing, New York, 1991, (212)685-5300.

Z

In testimony during the Independent Commission on the Los Angeles Police Department (The Christopher Commission), a UCLA psychiatrist said, "Police are now required to be diagnosticians, and indeed, gatekeepers with respect to the intoxicated, the mentally ill, the traumatized, the emotionally distraught, the bereaved, and even those in the grip of existential despair." As this observation demonstrates, modern society demands much from the police. Citizens expect officers to be assertive in time of danger, restrained in potentially explosive situations, fair in the resolution of disputes, courteous to all persons, and legally secure in their judgments.

*Power and Restraint* examines these high expectations and explores their sources and rational basis. The authors provide a compact (166 pages) and practical analysis of the moral choices that police make. They also present a persuasive case for establishing clear standards for police behavior based on five criteria: Fair access, public trust, safety and security, teamwork, and objectivity.

Within this framework, the authors set forth four realistic scenarios—working a rock concert, resolving a dispute, "calling in" a favor, and dealing with a child molester—in which to examine the standards. These cases inspire self-reflection and may even spark animated discussions among experienced officers. Most importantly, however, they can serve as blueprints for inservice ethics instruction.

*Power and Restraint* provides a welcome addition to the relatively limited resources available for ethics training in law enforcement. It represents a valuable contribution to the study of police ethics and would be a thought-provoking addition to any police manager's library.

mil

TL IN

m

Reviewed by Hillary M. Robinette (FBI, ret.) Quantico Group Associates Dumfries, Virginia

December 1992 / 5