142964

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this material has been granted by

Public Domain/OJP/OJJDP

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the consists owner.

COMMUNITY MOBILIZATION

Technical Assistance Manual

Irving A. Spergel

National Youth Gang Suppression and Intervention Program School of Social Service Administration University of Chicago

Distributed by:

National Youth Gang Information Center

NYGIC Doc.# D0015

1428641

142964

Distributed by:

National Youth Gang Information Center 4301 North Fairfax Drive, Suite 730 Arlington, VA 22203

> 1-800-446-GANG 1-703-522-4007

NYGIC Document Number D0015

This descript report was prepared under Grant Number 90-JD-CX-K001 from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view or opinions in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

National Youth Gang Suppression and Intervention Project Staff

Irving A. Spergel, D.S.W., Principal Investigator
Ronald Chance, M.A., Project Director
Candice M. Kane, Ph.D., J.D., Senior Research Associate
Thomas A. Regulus, Ph.D., Senior Research Associate
Robert Laseter, M.S.W., Senior Research Associate
Sara Fagan, Project Secretary
Cynthia Johnson, Project Secretary
Felecia Weinstein, Project Secretary

Irving A. Spergel, Editor, Ronald Chance, Co-Editor Technical Assistance Manuals

> School of Social Service Administration University of Chicago 969 E. 60th Street Chicago, IL 60637 (312) 702-5879

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Community Mobilization Technical Assistance Manual could not have been formulated or completed without the help of a great many people from a variety of organizations throughout the country. They made comments on earlier drafts, participated in reviews of the manuals at different conferences, and provided useful documents. These persons and their organizations represent an extensive body of experience in dealing with youth gang problems useful in fashioning community approaches.

Special appreciation is expressed for the extensive help provided by:

Elizabeth Buhman, Ph.D., Research Specialist, Research and Policy Division, Office of the Attorney General, Austin, Texas;

Jim Copple, Executive Director, Project Feedom, Wichita, Kansas;

Mona Gonzalez, Austin Gang Task Force, Austin, Texas;

Ray Gott, Captain, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Los Angeles, California;

Michael Genelin, Deputy Head, Hard Core Division, Los Angeles County District Attorney, Los Angeles, California;

Malcolm W. Klein, Professor and Director, Center for Research on Crime and Social Control, Social Science Research Institute, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California;

Walter B. Miller, Professor Emeritus, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Massachussetts;

Brother Leon Modesto, Soledad Enrichment Action Program, Los Angeles, California:

Thanks is also expressed to the following for their assistance with ideas for the Community Mobilization Manual:

Xavier Cortada, Chair, City of Miami Task Force, Miami, Florida;

Miguel Duran, Director of Special Youth Services, Los Angeles County Probation Department, Downey, California;

David Fattah, House of UMOJA, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania;

Sister Falalea Fattah, House of UMOJA, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania;

Katherine Montgomery, Director of Public Affairs, Humana Hospital-Aurora, Aurora, Colorado;

Sandra Stehno O'Donnell, Professor, Public Administration Program, Roosevelt University, Chicago, Illinois;

Responsibility for the contents of the Community Mobilization Manual, however, must rest with the author.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY i	Х
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION Program Mission and Background Purpose of the Manuals Problem Statement Discussion of Terms and Issues Approach to the Problem Overview of the Community Mobilization Manual Summary 1	1 2 3 6 3 7
CHAPTER 2 PROBLEM RECOGNITION 2 Leadership	001223455 7770146
CHAPTER 4 CREATING AN ANTI-GANG INTERAGENCY COMMUNITY STRUCTURE AND PROCESS	9
CHAPTER 5 COMMUNITY MOBILIZATION PROGRAMMING	

Schools	. 50
Community-Based Youth Agency	. 51
Grassroots Organizations	
Chronic Youth Gang Problem Contexts	
Summary	
HAPTER 6 STAFF STAFF SELECTION, AND TRAINING	55
Staff Selection	
Staff Education and Training	
Summary	. 30
HAPTER 7 FUNDING	. 59
Funding Sources	
Summary	
HAPTER 8 MONITORING, RESEARCH, AND EVALUATION	. 64
Summary	. 66
IBLIOGRAPHY	. 67
ppendix C: TABLES	. 68

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

COMMUNITY MOBILIZATION MANUAL

The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Justice Department, entered into a cooperative agreement with the School of Social Service Administration, University of Chicago, to conduct the National Youth Gang Suppression and Intervention Program. Technical Assistance manuals were created which focus on the implementation of policies designed for emerging and chronic gang problem contexts. Focus is on gang motivated violent and serious criminal youth gang activity. Five key strategies are identified that contribute to the reduction of the problem: community mobilization action, opportunities provision, social intervention, suppression, and organizational change and development. Key efforts to control and reduce the problem must focus on gang leadership as well as high-risk gang-prone youth.

Local persons and organizational representatives must identify the problem and getting others to take action in regard to it. Local as well as city-wide and regional influentials should be contacted and persuaded to support the efforts. Resources must be identified, community hearings held. Community leaders, government officials and organizers should be pro-active and credible in their efforts to analyze the problem and determine the best ways to deal with it.

An assessment process should be conducted to establish a common definition of the scope and seriousness of the gang problem. Criminal justice, government policy makers, and planners should take key responsibility for providing data and ideas about the best way to deal with the problem. It is important that an open and fair community hearings take place, and especially that large and powerful organizations do not manipulate the analysis of the problem and recommendations for what to do about it for their own narrow self-interested purposes.

The community mobilization process moves ahead when key community agency, political and grassroots leaders agree that a special structure is needed. Consideration must be given to issues of race/ethnicity, the nature of the problem and the community's traditional and/or current way of dealing with it. Specific goals and objectives must be established that affect not only individual agencies but do so within a framework of general community development and protection that focuses on the interests and needs of gang-prone and gang member youth.

Special emphasis must be on the design and implementation of cross-agency policy and activities. Special concern must be accorded to distinctive approaches required in chronic and emerging gang problem communities or contexts. More explicit development of coordinating and monitoring mechanisms and attention to linking correctional and community-based programs is required in chronic gang problem contexts.

In general, special care must be taken to develop local leadership in respect to dealing with the problem. Staff should be carefully selected and include competent local as well as non-local professional and paraprofessional personnel. Complex anti-gang programs must draw local and larger community interest and resources together.

High funding priority should be given to consortium or multi-agency as well as multipurpose program efforts. Additional resources are essential to the success of inter-agency, grassroots organizing and targeted program activities. Reallocation of existing program resources to new priorities as well as the search for new sources of funding are also important. Funding sources include businesses, local agencies, city agencies, state and federal anti-gang initiatives, and foundations.

Finally, careful program monitoring, evaluation, and research are essential to insure that the program is carried out as expected and/or planned. The program must be evaluated as to its effectiveness. Both process and outcome evaluations as well as more sophisticated quasi-experimental designs should be implemented to improve our understanding of the complex gang problem as well as to rigorously test approaches to its resolution. We must carefully determine which programs work and which do not.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

- A. Program Mission and Background
- B. Purpose of the Manuals
- C. Problem Statement
- D. Discussion of Terms and Issues
- E. Approach to the Problem
- F. Overview of the Community Mobilization Manual
- G. Summary

A. Program Mission and Background

There has been an increase in the youth gang problem and the need for information and guidance.

Criminal youth gang activity represents a serious threat to the safety and security of local citizens and impedes positive youth development. In recent years higher levels of youth gang violence and gang member-related drug trafficking have been reported in an increasing number of neighborhoods, high schools, public housing projects, correctional institutions and other social contexts throughout the country.

In response the Office of Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Justice Department entered into a cooperative agreement with the School of Social Service Administration, University of Chicago in October, 1987 to conduct the National Youth Gang Suppression and Intervention Program. This program is a four stage Research and Development process: Assessment, Prototype/Model Development, Technical Assistance, and Testing.

This technical assistance manual is part of a four stage research and development process.

Three of the four stages have been completed. Stage 1 included a comprehensive review of the research and program literature on youth gangs, a survey of programs in 45 cities, selected site visits, conferences, and special studies. During Stage 2 gang suppression and intervention models were produced for police, prosecutors, judges, probation, corrections, parole, schools, employment, community-based youth agencies, grassroots organizations. Additionally, separate manuals for comprehensive planning and for community mobilization were developed.

Twelve manuals have been produced.

Stage 3 involved the creation of 12 technical assistance manuals which provide guidelines to implement the policies and procedures presented in the models. The ten agency manuals specify both organizational and community perspectives for dealing with the youth gang problem. The other two manuals outline specific procedures and processes of planning a comprehensive community approach to youth gang suppression and intervention. (See Appendix A for a list of documents.)

The program models and technical assistance manuals were based on the findings of the initial project assessment stage as well as extensive consultations with policymakers, administrators and practitioners at local and national levels. Two regional conferences were held with policymakers and administrators from 16 cities who contributed to the development of the final version of the manuals.

B. Purpose of the Manuals

The purpose of the technical assistance manuals is to present detailed steps for the control and reduction of youth gang crime, especially gang-motivated violence. The manuals seek to provide governmental authorities, criminal justice organizations, social agencies, and community groups with strategies which encourage gang-prone and gang-involved youth to terminate criminal activity and participate in legitimate social, academic, and employment pursuits.

Broad preventive policies which deal with larger social issues such as poverty and racism, housing, education, jobs, and health care are addressed only on a limited basis in the manuals. Key issues of family breakdown, violence in the media, and the proliferation of sophisticated weapons need to be directly addressed as they contribute to the youth gang problem. They are dealt with here mainly as conditions within which special organizational policies and procedures and community mobilization must be developed.

Local administrators and policy makers are the primary audience, but the manuals should also be useful to other officials and personnel concerned with the problem, including agency supervisors, front-line workers, and community volunteers.

The purpose is to present a set of guidelines to reduce youth gang crime.

Administrators and policymakers are the primary audience.

The manuals are not intended to serve in the place of more general models and manuals dealing with delinquent or troublesome youth in the criminal justice and human service fields; they are intended as a supplement to them. Even so, the manuals should be of value in dealing with youth crime more generally. This is so because the youth gang problem can be viewed as part of a larger set of crime and delinquency and youth socialization problems.

C. Problem Statement

During the 1980s and early 1990s, more criminally oriented and better organized gangs or cliques have become prevalent in many urban communities. More young people from diverse backgrounds and settings are joining gangs to meet social and economic needs not satisfied through existing institutions, e.g., family, school, and employment. The youth gang has become an alternative mainly anti-social institution for an increasing number of youth.

Why youth gangs have developed and become more criminal and complex organizations is not clear. The type and severity of youth gang problems may be largely a response to two conditions, *poverty* or limited access to social opportunities and *social disorganization*, i.e., the lack of integration and stability of social institutions including family, school and employment in a local community.

Certain factors exacerbate these two social conditions to produce varying gang subcultures and systems. They include:

- large and rapid population movement of low income minorities into a community;
- ▶ intergenerational gang traditions;
- defects of social policy and coordination of service delivery at local and national levels;
- ▶ institutional racism:
- insecurities of certain working and middle class populations "threatened" by newcomers; and
- the growth of criminal opportunities.

Examples are the following:

The gang problem has changed and grown more serious in most regions of the country.

Poverty and social disorganization are key conditions contributing to the problem.

Under different community conditions, different types of gang problems appear to develop.

Violent youth gang subcultures often develop when gangaffiliated African American and Hispanic youth move from central cities to smaller cities and suburban areas without adequate social, family, economic, and educational supports. Violent gang subcultures may also develop when new waves of poor immigrants from Mexico, Central America, the Pacific Islands, Vietnam, Hong Kong, Korea, Philippines and other Asian countries arrive in urban communities. The newcomer groups are often met with hatred and resentment, sometimes manifested in physical attacks. Gangs may form and become rapidly entrenched, first as defensive, and then as offensive groups.

Furthermore, in ghetto, inner city African-American and Hispanic communities, a limited criminal opportunity system often develops. Gangs in these communities may change from status-oriented, conflict groups and assume a highly predatory, criminal-gain character. Over time, sophisticated instrumental rather than traditional or status-oriented youth gangs may develop, with special interest in drug trafficking and other economic criminal activity.

There are variations in the gang problem by race/ethnicity, class and newcomer status.

In some communities across the country, particularly in the western states but increasingly elsewhere, the influx of low income and working class Pacific-Islander and Asian groups e.g., Tongan, Filipino, Hong Kong, Korean, Vietnamese, Laotian, or Cambodian, has resulted in other varieties of youth gang problems. Second generation youths, born in this country or who arrived as pre-teens, may seek protection, prestige, and income through gang membership. Some of these gangs adopt African-American or Hispanic gang patterns; others become closely connected to traditional ethnic-based, adult criminal organizations. Criminal activities can include home invasions, business extortion, robbery, rape, intimidation and a range of racket activities.

These newcomer youth gangs, and the Asian communities upon which they prey, are difficult for local law enforcement, schools, and community organizations to penetrate or influence because of cultural, communication, and trust problems.

Growing economic, social and cultural pressure can contribute

Some blue-collar or middle class communities are characterized by growing economic, social and cultural

to the development of youth gangs.

pressures as well as by increasing family or personal disorganization. Some of these formerly stable, predominately white communities have become centers for youth groups with a "nothing to lose attitude." Youth gangs or their equivalent such as Satanic, Stoner, punk rocker, hate, Neo-Nazi, or racist Skinhead groups may participate in a wide range of loosely organized criminal acts, characterized by perverse and negative behavior, including vandalism, drug use, homosexual assaults, and even homicides.

Additionally, in certain stable, lower middle class communities, whether African-American, Latino, Pacific Islander, Asian, white, or Native American, the gang problem may assume a more organized and usually less violent character. Youth may become relatively more involved in extortion, car theft, burglary, robbery, sophisticated drug trafficking, and various lucrative quasiracket activities which are not necessarily conducted in the "home communities." Legitimate business and criminal interests may be relatively well integrated.

Furthermore, specialization of criminal youth gang patterns by race and ethnicity seemingly exists. Economic, social and cultural factors may, in fact, be the cause. Thus, some African-American youth gang or clique members may be heavily engaged in street level crack-cocaine trafficking; Mexican-American youth gang members may be relatively more involved in violent turf based activity, and Asian gang members may be more mobile and closely related to adult crime organizations involved in crimes such as extortion, robbery, and international drug trafficking.

However, these youth gang subcultures also exist side by side, interact, integrate with, or succeed each other over time. In some communities youth gangs are inter-racial and inter-ethnic.

Violence projected by the media may exacerbate the problem.

In spite of the many and changing varieties of gang subcultures which can be found, a common denominator among them is that most are comprised of youth who share somewhat similar values and a keen sense of personal failure and low self-esteem. For many gang youth, violence has become an acceptable way of life, partially sanctioned by the

larger society. Violence is seen on nightly newscasts, in the movies, on evening television and Saturday morning cartoons, and encouraged by certain "rap" stars. Violence is projected as a means of resolving authority, low self-esteem and race/ethnic problems.

D. Discussion of Terms and Issues

It is important to accurately identify key components of the youth gang problem in order not to exaggerate, deny, or mythologize them. This is necessary to develop appropriate policies and procedures to deal with the different or varying street gang problems and subcultures encountered. These components are: 1) the criminal youth gang, 2) the youth gang member, and 3) the gang incident.

The central focus of the manuals is control and reduction of gang-motivated violence. We are not primarily interested in highly organized drug trafficking by groups concerned only with profit, although there are often important connections between these associations and the youth or street gang. However, we are concerned with drug trafficking or predatory youth cliques to the extent they participate in, depend on, and influence the development of violent gang activities.

Youth gang members engage increasingly in both violent status-related as well as entrepreneurial or predatory criminal activities. If a youth group engages primarily in criminal entrepreneurial activity and participates periodically in serious violence, it falls within the scope of our concern.

Our concern is also with differences between emerging and chronic gang problem communities and the need for prevention and especially early intervention services.

1. <u>Criminal youth gang</u>

This is a group often comprising both juveniles and young adults who engage in a range of social and anti-social behaviors. Cliques or members engage repetitively or at times spontaneously in violent, predatory, and criminal gain behaviors. The criminal youth gang may be located within a neighborhood or across neighborhoods and even cities. It

Key components of the problem are the youth gang, youth gang member and the gang incident.

Gang-motivated violence is the key but not exclusive concern of the manual.

The traditional youth gang is turf based and status-oriented, but other kinds of gangs have also developed.

The focus of concern is the youth gang member 12 to 24 years of age.

Fewer females than males are gang members.

Attention needs to be directed to high-risk female gang members.

may be loosely or well organized with established rules of conduct. The youth gang may have a name, turf, colors, signs, symbols and distinctive dress. The youth gang often promotes mutual support among members and conflict with competing gangs or established authority.

Many of these groups are traditional turf based gangs. Traditionally, the primary function of the youth gang has been to establish or protect the group's reputation and status within a framework of shared or communal values. This continues to be true for many youth gangs today. Some youth gangs, however, do not display colors and are not primarily concerned with social status, but are more gain oriented and more rationally organized.

2. The Youth Gang Member

While the criminal youth gang includes some youth who conform primarily to conventional norms; most, however, engage in a range of criminal behaviors.

Most gang participants are in the age range of 12 to 24 years. Some pre-adolescents as well as persons into their 50s have been reportedly engaged in gang activities. However, the most serious and violent gang activity tends to be committed by older adolescents and young adults. Some gang members may join for period as short as a day, a week, or a month; others are members for years. Some members move from low to high gang status, from less serious to more serious criminal gang behaviors, and vice versa, sometimes in different gangs.

Far fewer females than males join youth gangs, although with the increase in number of gangs throughout the country more female members are probably involved in serious youth gang activities than in an earlier era. Available evidence indicates, however, that females usually join gangs later and leave earlier, and are usually involved in less violent or serious criminal behavior than males. About 9 times as many males as females are arrested for gang crimes according to several studies. Less than one percent of gang homicide offenders are female.

Female members typically are in groups affiliated with male gangs. Sometimes females are integrated directly as

members into the gang proper, but are less frequently involved in independent all-female criminal youth gangs. There is some recent evidence that females have assumed leadership roles in certain gang or criminal group activities, such as drug trafficking, in a few cities. Special attention needs to be directed to high-risk female gang members who are likely to be physically and sexually victimized, or who induce or facilitate male gang member assaults against other gangs.

Traditional gangs may have different type of members: identifiable leaders, core, regular, associate, soldier, peripheral, wannabe, floater, veteran or old-head. The presence and definition of these categories of gang members, however, may be quite variable across the country. Of special interest, for purposes of control and prevention are two categories of gang youth: 1) the more serious, hardcore, often older gang youths, and 2) the younger, highrisk, often less committed gang youths.

Different types of gang members should be carefully identified.

Agencies need to carefully identify gangs and gang members. This process should depend on use of *multiple* criteria such as gang member self-identification, statements by reliable witnesses, verification by a second independent agency source, prior police records and the youth's regular association with a known gang member. Participation by the youth in certain serious gang-motivated criminal incidents such as drive-by shootings must ordinarily precipitate a gang member identification process for gang suppression and intervention purposes.

3. The Gang Incident

A gang incident is the unit for classifying and reporting an event as a gang crime, especially for law enforcement purposes. Reported gang incidents become the basis for determining whether a gang problem exists and assessing its scope.

Different definitions of the gang incident exists.

The gang homicide is usually the key and most reliable measure of the seriousness of gang crime. However, identification of gang incidents, e.g., homicide, assault, or robbery, is neither a simple nor a standard procedure. Two different procedures or variations of them, are currently

The gang-motivated definition focusses on the nature of the criminal act.

The gang-related definition focusses on identifications of the criminal suspect as a gang member.

employed to determine whether a gang incident has occurred and should be recorded for law enforcement, and, consequently, public policy purposes.

Gang-Motivated

In this procedure, a criminal act is defined as a gang incident if it grows out of gang motivation, interest, or specific circumstances which enhance the status or function of the gang. Examples include: inter-gang violence, gang retaliation, turf protection, intimidation, robbery, recruitment, or other criminal activity which affects the gang's reputation or interests as a whole. One or more members of the gang may be involved as a suspect, witness, offender or victim in these circumstances. In classifying the incident, focus is on the nature of the specific situation in which the illegal act occurs, such as a drive-by shooting or yelling a gang slogan in the course of the crime.

Crimes such as burglary, car theft, prostitution, and drug trafficking by a gang member are problematic because it is hard to determine whether the act is gang-motivated. Many criminal acts serve individual member needs unrelated to gang interests. On the other hand, seemingly individual or self-serving crimes by gang or aspiring gang youth may be gang-motivated. For example, a youth may be required or feel compelled to commit a particular property or person crime because of pressures by the gang.

• Gang-related

This procedure is based on the characterization of a crime or delinquent act as a gang incident when the suspect, offender or victim is a gang member, regardless of gang motivation or circumstances. Usually any serious criminal act, especially of a violent, predatory, or drug trafficking nature, in which a gang member is involved, can be classified as a gang incident. For example, the crime of a gang member who steals from a store - even though that act has nothing directly to do with his gang membership - would be classified as a gang-related incident. (See Appendix B for a discussion of mixed situations and erroneous classification of group delinquency as gang crime.)

The narrow gang-motivated definition avoids excessive labelling.

The gang-related definition may be more useful to criminal justice officials.

Emerging and chronic gang problem contexts may require different suppression and intervention approaches.

• Which Definition to use

The argument in favor of using the gang-motivated definition is that it focuses sharply on the circumstances of the incident rather than the identification of the individual as a gang member. It may be more precise and valid than the gang-related definition. It withstands court challenges better. It also avoids excessive labelling or exaggeration of the gang problem.

The counter argument is that the gang-motivated definition minimizes the actual scope of the gang crime problem. It encourages organizational or community denial of the problem. A key assumption of the proponents of the gang-related definition is that a gang member is likely to engage in a wide range of serious crimes because gang membership predisposes him or her to do so. Evidence for this argument is not substantial, however.

Police and prosecutors generally believe that it is desirable to identify gang members and their activities as completely as possible. Police are particularly concerned that the full range of criminal activities of the gang member be available for efficient tracking and investigation purposes.

We recommend a procedure that avoids excessive labelling of youth but ensures protection of the community. A gangincident procedure should be devised which records and distinguishes between gang-motivated and non-gangmotivated crime committed by the gang member. All serious criminal incidents by repeat gang offenders should be clearly "flagged" on criminal justice computer systems. An effective computerized information system permits use of either or both procedures to track gang-motivated incidents and gang member crime.

4. Gang Problem Contexts, Chronic and Emerging

With the growth and spread of the youth gang problem, a two-fold categorization of the problem context has come into use: Chronic and Emerging. Our manuals stress the differences in these contexts as a basis for the development of distinctive strategies, policies and procedures for gang suppression and intervention. Simply put, a more preventive

The gang problem has had a longer history and is usually better organized and more severe in the chronic context.

The gang problem is recent, less well organized, but sometimes very serious in the emerging context

or early intervention approach may be required in the emerging gang problem context, while a more elaborate and formalized suppression, intervention and prevention approach may be necessary in the chronic context.

• Chronic Gang Problem Context

Such an organizational or community context is characterized by persistent or periodic crises of major gang member violence and sometimes related drug trafficking extending over a five to ten year or more period, or even decades. Youth gangs are usually better organized in such communities which are often located in larger or older cities. These contexts are likely to be found in impoverished, ghetto, or transitional areas or ports of entry of inner cities, although they are increasingly found in smaller cities and suburban communities.

• Emerging Gang Problem Context

This organizational or community context is characterized by less well organized and persistent but at times serious forms of gang violence and gang member drug trafficking. The gang problem has usually been present and/or recognized for about five years or less. To some extent, the development and spread of the problem may be traced to the influence of new settlers or gang crime entrepreneurs for example, drug traffickers, from chronic problem cities or contexts. Youth gangs in emerging problem areas tend to be fewer in number and most often evolve out of local delinquent, sometimes social groups under deteriorating economic or social situations for minority, newcomer, or socially isolated populations.

The distinction between the concepts of chronic and emerging gang problem communities, however, is not sharp. Indicators related to the onset of the problem, its duration, degree of gang organization, severity of gang violence and related gang member drug trafficking, as well as the appropriate response to the problem(s) are not neatly categorized by the terms "chronic" and "emerging".

Emerging gang problem communities may develop into chronic; and chronic gang problem communities may go

through periods of sharply diminished gang activity before the problem re-emerges. Different parts of a community or jurisdiction may be characterized by different stages or degrees of severity of the problem at a given time.

5. <u>Variability of Gang, Drug Trafficking and Crime</u> Problems

It is important to understand for policy and program purposes that youth gangs involved in gang violence are not necessarily involved in drug trafficking. Some communities which have high levels of youth gang violence may have relatively low levels of drug trafficking; other communities with high levels of drug trafficking may have low levels of youth gang activity. Drug trafficking may succeed, or serve either to diminish or increase, patterns of youth gang violence.

Finally, high levels of general criminality in a community do not necessarily indicate high levels of gang activity. Some cities with the highest levels of youth homicide and drug trafficking may have relatively limited youth gang activity.

Secondary prevention is included in the manuals' perspective.

High levels of general crime and

gang crime are not necessarily

closely associated.

6. Prevention

The focus of this and the other technical assistance manuals in our Research and Development program is on issues of intervention and suppression in contexts where the gang problem is clearly present. Here, prevention refers mainly to secondary forms of prevention, or early intervention, which reduces the likelihood that highly gang-prone or the younger gang member will commit or continue to commit gang crimes. This is to be accomplished through effective controls, direct treatment or services, and provision of legitimate opportunities. In our conception, prevention requires change and development both by the individual youth as well as his or her social environment.

Most youth from low income and social problem ridden communities are not involved in delinquent gang activities. Finally, we note that a simple prevention model which emphasizes exclusive concern with younger youth may be unsuccessful. Such a model does not take into consideration system effects, including the influence of older youth on

"wannabe" or younger youth. All key components of the problem need to be systematically addressed.

E. Approach to the Problem

The manuals specify five major lines of action or strategies: community mobilization, opportunities provision, suppression, social intervention, and organizational change and development. These strategies must be combined in different ways depending on the problem context, the specific mission of the organization, and the kind of youth targeted for special attention.

1. Community Mobilization

Community mobilization is necessary in socially disorganized communities. Social disorganization, which contributes to the development of criminal youth gangs, may be characterized by the inability of legitimate institutions such as home, school, and employment, to adequately socialize youth. It may also be characterized by the fragmentation of criminal justice or community service delivery systems, within and across communities.

Both local and federal interests must be mobilized for the development of collaborative community and interagency activities directed at the control and reduction of the youth gang problem. In times of restricted local community resources, agency consortium efforts are essential. These should include the full and productive use of local, state and federal resources, application of moral and political pressures, and participation by the local citizenry. (See also General Community Design and Community Mobilization manuals.)

2. Opportunities Provision

The provision of additional social opportunities, i.e., the development of a variety of targeted educational, training, and employment programs, is the second most important component over the long term for the reduction and prevention of the youth gang problem, particularly in chronic contexts. The schools need to provide remedial and

Different strategies of suppression and intervention have been identified.

Community mobilization is critically important.

The opportunities provision strategy focusses on the importance of education, training and jobs for high-risk gang-prone and gang member youth.

enriched educational programs for gang-prone and hardcore gang youths.

Education, training, and jobs are especially critical for older gang youth still in gangs who are not in school but who are at "positive risk" at a certain point in their social maturation for leaving the gangs, or for decreased participation in criminal gang activity. A key objective of these programs should be developing socially-competent youth, whether in or out of school. (See School and Employment manuals.)

Social intervention is based on an "outreach" and linkage approach of gang youth to the conventional society.

The strategy of suppression is defined in broad social control terms and requires more than the involvement of criminal justice agencies.

Criminal justice strategies must also include community mobilization, social intervention and opportunities provision.

3. Social Intervention

Youth serving agencies and grassroots community groups must "reach out" and act as a link between gang youth and the conventional world. Staff or adult volunteers of these organizations must develop meaningful relationships with these youth. Community-based youth agencies should facilitate access to pertinent opportunity systems and exercise social controls which contribute to socialization of gang youth. Special efforts are also required to coordinate services for these youth. (See Community-based Youth Agency manual.)

4. Suppression

Social control procedures, particularly those of criminal justice, but also of community-based agencies, are essential for community protection and the prevention and reduction of the problem. Youth gang suppression involves not only law enforcement but a variety of other agencies and community groups in the targeting, monitoring, supervision, and if necessary, restraint of gang offenders. It also requires the anticipation, prevention, and limitation of the effects of gang crime in particular situations to protect both youth participants and the community.

However, arrest, prosecution, imprisonment, and close supervision of gang youth are insufficient unless joined with other community-oriented strategies to achieve long-term impact on the problem. This means that community-based agencies and local groups must accept and collaborate with criminal justice agencies in patrol, surveillance, and certain information sharing under conditions which protect both youth and the community. Police, prosecution and other criminal justice agencies must develop a variety of social intervention, opportunities provision, prevention and community involvement programs to supplement their primary goal of suppressing gang crime. (See especially Police, Prosecution and Probation manuals.)

Furthermore, policymakers, administrators and practitioners in the criminal justice system have a special responsibility to withstand pressures from the public and other units of the Organizational development and change focusses on better use of internal agency resources to deal with the youth gang problem.

Targeting of certain communities, gangs, and gang members is necessary to make the best use of limited resources for dealing with the problem.

High gang crime neighborhoods, certain types of gangs and gang members should receive priority attention.

justice system to carry out an exclusive strategy of suppression to deal with the youth gang problem.

5. Organizational Change and Development

Finally, the above strategies need to be appropriately organized based on the nature and scope of the problem in the community and the mission of the particular organization. Organizational development and change require better use and reallocation of available resources within agencies and neighborhoods. Common definitions, improved communication, resident involvement and coordination within as well as across agencies and communities are also required.

Both community mobilization and organizational development strategies, whether in emerging or chronic gang problem contexts, should be closely interrelated to create efficient and cohesive system arrangements for dealing with the gang problem.

Targeting

To conserve resources and most effectively deal with the youth gang problem, it is important to target certain communities, organizational contexts, gangs and gang members or gang-prone youth. Special emphasis on community mobilization is required in both emerging and chronic gang communities. Opportunity provision must also be emphasized for chronic problem communities and contexts.

Neighborhoods and organizations, particularly schools, experiencing serious gang problems, should be priority targets for suppression and intervention efforts.

Certain youth gangs or gang-like groups clearly committed to violent and serious criminal activity should receive priority attention. This is to avoid unnecessary labelling and widening the net of gang delinquency and crime through inappropriate criminal justice and community-based agency attention. It is also to concentrate resources on the heart of the presenting problem.

Key targets of community agency and grassroots attention should be leadership and core gang as well as high-risk gang-prone youths.

Finally, individual youth should be targeted in the following order of priority purposes:

✓ first, leadership and core gang youths—to disrupt gang networks, protect the community, and facilitate the reintegration of these youths through community-based or institutional programming into legitimate pursuits;

✓ second, high-risk gang-prone youth who are often younger or aspiring gang members who give clear indication of beginning participation in criminal gang activities — to prevent further criminal gang involvement through early intervention, preferably community-based services, and

✓ third, regular and peripheral gang members—to generally address their needs for control and intervention services.

Finally, a caution! The policies procedures and steps recommended in the manuals should be viewed as promising but as yet not systematically researched through field testing.

F. Overview of the Community Mobilization Manual

This manual identifies key steps in the community mobilization process to confront the youth gang problem. It stresses the role and responsibility of the community leader or coordinator of the task force or the network of community agencies and groups, including local citizens. It closely complements two other technical assistance manuals in this series: General Community Design which emphasizes the community planning or technical design aspects and Grassroots Organizing which focuses on the tasks of local neighborhood groups, mainly non-profit or sectarian, for dealing with the problem.

Conducting the community mobilization process

Consciousness raising, rational identification of the dimensions of the problem, and commitment to resolve the gang problem are key elements of community mobilization

The manual addresses key elements, stages, and steps to be taken

Community mobilization signifies a process of consciousness-raising, focussing the concerns and interests of those most affected by the problem, rationally identifying its specific dimensions, and developing the will and commitment of participants to resolve it. A key purpose is the creation of community and agency capability for understanding the problem, planning, implementation of specific policies and procedures, and monitoring results.

The mobilization process usually does not occur spontaneously. It must be guided by effective organizers and citizen leaders. The process depends on cooperation among a variety of agencies, groups and individuals, "cutting through" denial and apathy, as well as managing interorganizational suspicion and conflict, so that the process leads to changes in awareness, understanding, and improved response to the problem.

Consideration of the distinctive characteristics of the gang problem in a specific community, jurisdiction, or organizational context is required for the mobilization of agency and community interests and resources. Patterns of community mobilization will vary according to the nature of the problem, and distinctive economic, cultural, racial/ethnic, and other community factors conditioning the problem. The history of community response and the nature of present leadership, interorganizational patterns and resources have to be assessed. This manual identifies the key elements, stages, and steps to be taken in developing and implementing an appropriate community approach to the youth gang problem.

The action areas covered in the manual are:

- I. Problem Recognition
- II. Problem Assessment
- III, Creating an Anti-Gang Inter Agency Community Structure and Process
- IV. Community Mobilization Programming
- V. Staffing, Staff Selection, and Training
- VI. Funding
- VII. Monitoring, Research, and Evaluation

(See also Table 1, Appendix C.)

G. Summary

Summary

The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Justice Department, entered into a cooperative agreement with the School of Social Service Administration, University of Chicago, to conduct the National Youth Gang Suppression and Intervention Program. The scope and seriousness of the problem were analyzed from both an organizational and community perspective. Models or prototypes were developed. Technical Assistance manuals were created which focussed on the implementation of policies and procedures in emerging and chronic gang problem communities and contexts.

The manuals address the gang problem in terms of critical characteristics of the youth gang, its members and the way the problem is defined. Focus is on controlling, reducing, as well as preventing gang-motivated violent and serious criminal youth gang activity. The mission of suppression and intervention is specified as requiring five key strategies: community mobilization, opportunities provision, social intervention, suppression and organizational change and development. Key targets of a program should be gang leaders and core members as well as highrisk gang-prone youth.

CHAPTER 2 PROBLEM RECOGNITION

The specific topics to be covered in this chapter are:

- A. Leadership
- B. Articulating the Problem
- C. Tapping the Influence Structure
- D. Organizing Concern
- E. Use of the Media
- F. Networking
- G. Community Meetings/Hearings
- H. Credibility and Agenda Issues
- I. Summary

A. Leadership

Some community individual(s) or organizational representative(s) must feel concern about the presence of a gang problem in the community. At times this may be a public official; e.g., mayor, city manager, police chief; a community, church, professional, or academic group leader(s).

Concern, anger, and indignation must exist in at least one person over the presence or increase of gang activity, e.g., gang signs, recruitment, vandalism, assault, the death or wounding of a gang youth or innocent victim. The gang problem must be seen as a major threat to community safety and security. Leadership must express deep feelings and impress upon others that a problem exists and something needs to be done about it. The authority and power of the concerned individual(s), usually in conjunction with the support of a community organization or agency, should create the impetus for addressing the problem.

B. Articulating the Problem

Concerned individuals, a group of local citizens, a coalition of agency representatives, or some combinations of them must give expression to the problem in such a way that it is recognized and others are influenced.

The authority and power of a concerned community, individual(s), or agency leader creates the impetus for the development of community mobilization process

The problem must be identified in clear and forceful terms

- The gang incident or situation must symbolize a terrible social or human problem (actual or impending) that requires understanding and a strong, effective response.
- The threat and danger of gang activity to the community's well-being as well as to youth gang members themselves must be stressed in clear and no uncertain terms.
- The need for an <u>interagency</u> or a <u>community</u> rather than a particular individual agency or community group response must be emphasized.
- The need for a complex attack on antisocial gang problems must be stressed by the leader(s).
- Only a rudimentary outline of an approach to the problem, however, is appropriate at this early stage of the mobilization process.

C. Tapping the Influence Structure

The initial local leaders or particular agency persons are aware that their agencies or local groups alone cannot change the gang situation or the conditions that create it. They must propose that the problem can be reduced not only with the aid of local citizens but with the involvement of key institutions in the immediate and larger community. Therefore, they will need to contact and influence key policy makers and other agency administrators. The major institutional leaders to be influenced include the mayor, the school superintendent, the police chief, the chief probation officer, the director of United Way, a minority legislator, or a business leader.

They should emphasize the need to come to grips with the problem in some interagency or collective community oriented manner.

Contact must be made with major leaders in the community

A strategy for influencing major leaders should be developed

- They need to assess the political or organizational costs and benefits of action or inaction for each of the major influentials and their organizations contacted.
- They should communicate clearly that benefits would be maximized if these major leaders plan immediately and act appropriately, or else costs will increase if they delay.

D. Organizing Concern

The initial moral or organizational leaders, once they have taken steps to articulate the problem and influence significant others to do likewise, must consider the means for efficiently organizing community wide efforts:

- The leader(s) will need to obtain or allocate some available resources for administrative or staff assistance in the implementation of these early mobilization steps. A small planning grant may be required from some local public, voluntary agency, church, or foundation source.
- The leader(s) should arrange for an assistant or community organizer to perform a variety of support tasks such as personal contacts, letter writing and telephoning.
- At this stage, the concerned leader(s) must take upon him/herself and his/her organization public responsibility for continuing to identify and label the problem.
- The leader(s)must spread the word far and wide that the problem exists and indicate in no uncertain terms and through specific examples some of its destructive aspects.

E. Use of the Media

The media, in all likelihood, will already have begun to report and comment on the gang situation in the local area, jurisdiction, or particular organizational context. The community or agency leader and an assistant, preferably a community organizer, will have to take some responsibility for developing and responding to contacts with the media.

An efficient approach to commencing the mobilization process is necessary

A variety of representatives should be involved to avoid media competition, distortion, or neglect of the problem. The media should be urged to become involved in all aspects of the mobilization process as early as possible.

- The leader(s) or organizer(s) will need to present data on the gang situation as accurately as possible to the media.
- The leader(s) should communicate that specific measures and programs can be developed, and that something constructive can be done by existing agencies and community organizations to control or prevent the problem.
- The leader(s) should refer media representatives to other knowledgeable or influential leaders and informants in the community so that the media come to understand the nature and scope of gang activity.
- The leader(s) may help arrange special interviews with gang youth, victims, or community residents so that the media obtains information as directly as possible from those most immediately responsible for and affected by the gang problem.
- Finally, the leader or organizer should urge media representatives to develop an analytical and long-term responsible approach and not to be superficial or report only gory details. The media are extremely important in educating the public to various aspects of the problem and ways to effectively deal with it.

F. Networking

The community and/or agency leader(s), with the aid of the community organizer, should then begin to involve and solicit the support of a variety of local agencies or community groups, former gang influentials and even selected gang youth to alert the community to the gang problem. The chief concern should be that something be done to control the problem before it grows worse.

The media can be used to accurately describe the gang problem and indicate constructive ways to deal with it

Urge the media to take a complex analytical view of the problem

- Other agencies, community groups, and selected citizens including former gang youth should be involved in the problem identification stages
- Contacts should be made with local agencies and community groups to inquire about their interest in a collective effort.
- Local church leaders, school principals, parent groups, human service, business groups and others should be contacted to obtain preliminary assessments of the problem.

G. Holding Community Meetings/Hearings

A series of community meetings or hearings must be planned and implemented either directly by the local community leader(s) or the organizer in collaboration with other key agencies, community groups, and churches. Ideally a public official, either the mayor or a representative of his office, an executive of a public agency, or a legislator, should arrange for a meetings in the affected area(s) of the city or county to obtain public perceptions about the nature, scope and seriousness of the problem and opinions as to what should be done about it. (See also Chapter 3, Section E).

Various influential groups or individuals should be involved in sponsoring the community assessment meeting(s)

Should the public official, preferably representing the mayor's or governor's office or the legislature, accept responsibility for calling such hearings, it will signify that certain actions will probably be taken, including planning, development and funding of special programs at some future date.

- The community meetings must be adequately planned, staffed, and reported.
- Special effort should be made to obtain the views not only of established agencies and community organizations but those of less well organized groups and individuals closest to the problem. The political elite, agency leaders, and grassroots influentials should be collectively involved in the organizing process.
- An appropriate balance of perspectives that represents suppression, social intervention or social opportunities provision for gang member or gang-prone youth should be planned.

The community meeting(s) should be adequately planned

The community or agency leader must establish credibility and competence in the mobilization effort

An educational and rational problem solving approach to the problem are essential

- The oral or written presentations by presenting groups should be relevant to the gang problem, avoid propaganda, global approaches, and self-serving organizational interests.

H. Credibility and Agenda Issues

The political, agency or moral leaders and organizers of the initial response, sooner or later may become suspect in the eyes of other agencies or community representatives for possible self-serving or opportunistic motives. The leade or organizer must establish and then sustain credibility as a committed and competent person or agency representative who is genuinely concerned to contribute to a community process of resolving the gang problem.

- He/she must be open and direct in pronouncements and actions.
- He/she must patiently persuade and demonstrate that the actions taken make sense as a response to the gang problem.
- The leader(s) must carry out a process of educating colleagues and other community leaders that the gang problem is solvable, it can be controlled, but that a single or particular organizational approach will not be the answer.
- He/she must emphasize that the components of the problem should be closely examined and actions taken specifically to deal with it's manageable aspects.

(See Table 1, Appendix C for an overall view of the long-term agency processes required.)

I. Summary

Community persons and organizational representatives concerned with the gang problem must get together for the purpose of identifying the problem and sensitizing others to it. Local as well as city-wide and regional influentials or power brokers should be contacted and persuaded to support the effort. The media are very important in this initial

process in educating the public about the nature and scope of the problem and the need to do something about it.

A variety of community persons and organizations should be educated and participate in the mobilization process. A series of community meetings or hearings should be held. The meetings and the conduct of the leaders and players must be genuine and credible.

CHAPTER 3 PROBLEM ASSESSMENT

The topics to be covered in the development of the Assessment are:

- A. Purpose
- B. Elements
- C. Participants and Capacity Building Process
- D. Getting Agencies, Communities, and Local Citizens to Participate
- E. Specific Assessment Arrangements
- F. Countering Organizational and Community Group Manipulation
- G. Summary

A. Purpose

The purpose of the assessment, from the perspective of community mobilization, is to establish a common definition of the youth gang problem in the particular area or jurisdiction and to begin the process of shared community/agency responsibility for understanding and developing resources to address it. Most important are the creation of initial relationships and a process of mutual respect in sharing views.

In this manual, an interactive agency process rather than rational planning or product development such as surveys, reports, or demonstration proposals is emphasized. For a complete understanding of the assessment stage, the General Community Design Model and Manual should also be consulted. These documents deal more fully with program rationales, technical aspects of data gathering, analysis of the problem, and "hard" program evaluation.

B. Elements

The assessment for organizing purposes, however, must be technical as well as process oriented. The techniques and answers developed to obtain answers should comprise the basis for meaningful data systems and ongoing planning. The leader, organizer or other staff person responsible for conducting the community mobilization should answer the

Shared responsibility in the development of the assessment is essential.

Technical planning questions must be answered as part of the mobilization and community design effort following types of planning and program development questions related to mobilization issues:

- 1. What is the nature, extent, and seriousness of the youth gang problem, e.g.,
 - What are the gang delinquent or criminal activities committed?
 - What is the scope of the problem, i.e., how many gangs, gang members are involved; where and when does the problem manifest itself?
 - What are the key gang and gang member characteristics, e.g., age, race/ethnicity, gender, school, employment status, delinquent or criminal history of individuals in the gang?
 - What is the nature of gang organization, e.g., clique structures, leadership, recruitment patterns?
 - Is this an emerging (re-emerging) or chronic gang problem context?
 - What makes the particular criminal activity gangrelated/gang-motivated?

(See Table 2, Appendix C.)

- 2. What are the causes of the problem, e.g.,
 - What are the immediate or precipitating reasons for gang events or crises, e.g., rivalry between specific gangs, release of leaders from prison, increased use of sophisticated weaponry, poorly supervised agency or school activity?
 - What are the longer term causes, e.g., growth of a low income and/or newcomer population in the community; lack of jobs; social isolation; in adequate schools or training programs; the closing down of recreational or social service agencies; relative increase of the youth population with not enough to do; interracial/ethnic group, agency,

Describe the specifics of the problem

The immediate and basic causes of the problem should be identified

political conflicts; departure of middle class leadership from the community; lack of coordination or fragmentation of service delivery?

- 3. What resources and programs are presently available within and outside of the community to address the gang problem?
 - What agencies are presently providing special activities and programs relevant to the gang problem?
 - If they exist, are such programs reaching gang members or gang-prone youths, or other types of youth?
 - What are local community or grassroots groups doing in respect to the problem?
 - What existing taskforces, community councils, or coordinating groups, e.g., drug prevention/treatment/Mental Health, United Way, can be used as a basis for dealing with the gang problem?
 - What public, voluntary and foundation resources could possibly be made able to deal with the problem?

The key elements of the assessment, from a community mobilization perspective, however, need to emphasize how these particular planning questions or components can be related to community decision making and citizen participation. The leader(s) or community organizer must be able to determine

- who will be influential in reducing the problem;
- in what way these influentials should participate in the gang crime reduction process;
- how can local citizen groups be involved;
- how the mobilization process is to be structured, i.e, how best to build agency and community

Resources available to deal with the problem

The nature of the community design process should begin to be identified

organization capacity in a coordinated way for long-term effect.

(See Table 1, Appendix C.)

C. Participants and Capacity Building Process

The organizer must identify those specific individuals and their organizations who can be or are already engaged in various activities concerning the youth gang problem. The following persons should be contacted, not only because they can provide data on the problem and because of what they can do through their specific agency or community group programs, but in terms of how they can become involved with other organizations in a collective community process to address the problem:

- Police Chief or Commander of the police department's gang crime unit, youth division, or other police unit dealing with the gang problem;
- Representatives of other criminal justice agencies, especially prosecution and probation, but also judges, parole, and correctional units;
- School representatives concerned with special education, security, social and psychological services, and school discipline;
- Representatives of agencies concerned with the training and job development, including business industry, and unions;
- Personnel from community-based youth, human service, and other mental health agency and medical institutions (e.g., trauma units);
- Representatives of the media, particularly those with experience and established reputations for dealing fairly, reliably, and comprehensively with the problem;
- City, political, and public agency personnel who have or should have special concern about the youth

Certain key persons and organizations should be involved in the assessment process

A wide range of important persons and agencies involved with the problem should be contacted for their views

gang problem, e.g., certain minority group legislators; public housing, transportation, human services department, recreation and parks personnel, and policy and planning staff in the mayor's or city manager's office;

- Representatives of local organizations, including churches, civic and fraternal organizations and other interested groups;
- Chambers of commerce, employment development and job training organizations with present or potential interest in gang youth as employees;
- Religious organizations, particularly those with congregations in low-income and immigrant populations confronting or about to confront gang problems;
- Former gang and selected current gang influentials knowledgeable about the gang situation who can contribute positive ideas for dealing with the problem.

D. Getting Agencies, Communities, and Local Citizens to Participate

A variety of inducements may be required to obtain cooperation in the provision of data and in the development of a meaningful community organizing process. Of special, if not critical, importance is the influence and support of major community power figures who control political, economic, moral, authoritative, or media resources. Thus, the mayor, a leading industrialist, the director of United Way, a church leader, or the publisher, or owner/manager of a major newspaper may provide positive or negative inducements for a variety of criminal justice, community agency, and grass roots leaders to participate in the assessment as well as develop special programs in later phases of the mobilization process.

The organizer should be especially sensitive to factors which make a variety of decision-makers and political leaders willing to accept responsibility for providing data,

Certain pressures may induce community leaders to contribute to assessment of the problem recognizing that a problem exists, agreeing that some special action needs to be taken, and participating in a collective effort. These factors include:

- a. Pressure by the media, legislative officials, or alternately pressure by community leaders on the mayor to deal with the problem;
- b. The use of gang crises as an issue during a local election;
- c. Identifying personal, professional, or legally mandated ethical and policy concerns that induce specific leaders to address the youth gang problem.

The following kinds of positive arguments can be made by the organizer to persuade influentials to participate in the assessment process:

- a. The lives of individual youth and others in the community will be saved if concerted efforts are made to understand the full dimensions of the problem and establish a deliberate and well organized plan and approach for dealing with it.
- b. If the problem is adequately delineated and addressed, the general academic, social and economic development of gang and gang-prone youth, particularly in minority communities, can be improved.
- c. Costs to the local and larger community will be minimized if the gang problem is recognized and effectively attacked in its early manifestations.
- d. The problem needs to be recognized and appropriate data collected to fully describe it, before effective policies and programs can be adopted.
- e. Funding for promising programs can be expected as a result of the assessment process.

Positive inducements

Negative inducements

Certain sanctions may be forthcoming if agencies and community groups don't cooperate with the assessment

The following kinds of negative arguments can also be used by the organizer to induce local and citywide influentials to cooperate in the assessment and mobilization efforts to deal with the gang problem:

- a. The mayor or key funding agencies will look with disfavor on organizations and community groups that do not fully cooperate with the data gathering effort.
- b. Relationships with other agencies and community groups will suffer if the recalcitrant organization does not cooperate.
- c. Opportunities to develop a comprehensive program to deal with the problem will be missed, and a variety of organizations will suffer a loss of additional funding as a result.
- d. Specific sanctions including petitions, marches and even legal action will be taken against the mayor, a legislator, or particular organizations (for example, police or other public agencies), if they do not aid in or directly cooperate in the provision of data and the development of appropriate programs which serve to protect the community.

In the data collection and early mobilization stages, the use of staff who are from the community, possess expertise, and have well-established relations with various key agencies and community groups may encourage cooperative data gathering, analysis, and planning.

E. Specific Assessment Arrangements

Participation in the process, i.e., description of the problem and prescriptions as to what to do about it, should include a broad range of organizations and individuals from the particular parts of the community most affected by the problem. However, criminal justice and other governmental organizations should take special responsibility for providing data and ideas for resolving the problem, particularly in chronic gang problem communities.

More specifically, the organizer's agency or community organization should work in collaboration with the mayor, city council, or some other governmental office or viceversa. It may be appropriate for a local neighborhood organization, the department of human relations, social services or even the county attorney's office to conduct

- neighborhood hearings; and/or
- county or city-wide conferences or hearings.

The hearings or conference should be planned so that:

- all interested and concerned parties have an opportunity to present their views;
- governmental agencies, especially the police, are responsible for providing "hard data" on the problem;
- special effort is made to involve minority and local groups closest to the problem, even to the extent that special aid is provided in the development of their presentations;
- the presentations are brief, well-organized and relevant to the gang problem;
- the media are invited to attend and record the results of the event;
- funding agencies, including local foundations and public agencies that grant funds, are present;

Specific arrangements for the assessment have to be planned

A hearing or a conference should be arranged so that adequate and fair representation of views occurs - the conference or hearing is held at a time and location which draws a wide array of presenters, including grassroots agency personnel, as well as local citizens.

The hearings or conference should be conducted in a way that encourages:

- a range and balance of views;
- care that large providers or highly specialized, mission-oriented agencies, and especially established social agencies or local organizations do not seize the hearing or conference proceedings to communicate views not clearly related to the gang problem or which are highly self-serving;
- the key representatives of local groups, public agencies, the mayor's office or the legislators who plan to appear should be provided with a set of guidelines which request the following information from each participant:
 - ✓ a description or definition of the perceived gang problem;
 - ✓ an explanation of its origin and perpetuation;
 and
 - ✓ specific recommendations as to what policies and programs are appropriate for dealing with it.
- local or outside experts should also be invited to provide available data on the nature of the problem and advise on what programs elsewhere might work in the current situation.

The report of the hearing should be widely disseminated

A range of types of presentations

should be scheduled for the

hearings

The hearing or conference should result in a report that summarizes the proceedings. The report must be widely disseminated through all media sources possible. The report should specify recommendations as to:

- key policies and program ideas which will guide the development of the particular local Gang Intervention, Suppression, and Prevention Programs;
- indicate suggestions or plans for the funding of programs;
- the full range of agencies, community groups, and other organizations who have participated in the planning or the hearings.

Funding for the public hearings, conferences, preparation, follow-up, research, if necessary, and staffing costs should be the responsibility of either a public agency, a consortium of agencies or a foundation.

F. Countering Organizational and Community Group Manipulation

In some gang problem situations, the organizer may encounter seeming cooperation by agencies and community groups during the assessment process and later stages; however, such cooperation may be motivated by particular organizational interests which do not necessarily contribute to interagency collaboration or genuine gang problem solving. This can lead to various forms of manipulation, goal displacement (i.e., serving personal or organizational purposes other than control and reduction of the gang problem), and therefore misdirection of the assessment and organizing process. The organizer must be attentive to these dysfunctional strategies and know how to prevent and counter them.

The organizer should be aware that some organizations:

- will misrepresent their concerns and programs in such a way as to only appear consistent with the purposes of the assessment process;
- will attempt to obtain resources under almost any guise to sustain ongoing programs;

Funding the hearing(s)

Manipulation of the assessment and later mobilization stages

- claim they are already carrying out an anti-gang program but provide no supportive evidence;
- claim unique capacity to effectively cope with the problem;
- shift discussion of the problem to larger, economic, social, educational, and political issues which do not specifically target the youth gang problem and are only partially relevant;
- attack the assessment effort as insufficiently sensitive to special ethnic and racial issues or charge that the assessment constitutes a way of further stigmatizing, isolating and suppressing a particular minority group.

These and other potential efforts to manipulate or subvert the assessment process should be anticipated, prevented, and countered in the following ways. The organizer, leader, or chairperson of the assessment process should:

- anticipate the varied interests, concerns, and strategies of these organizations prior to the assessment conference or hearing;
- be prepared with prior appraisals of programs, strategies, and the special interests of the presenters at the meetings;
- insist that stated perceptions of the gang situation be accompanied by relevant documentation and also that recommended policies and programs be presented to the extent possible beforehand and preferably in writing;
- pursue an open community discussion and problemsolving approach characterized by sensitivity and good will;
- involve key citizen representatives of gang affected communities genuinely familiar with the particular gang problem;

Attacks on the assessment and the mobilization process should be anticipated

Specific strategies to counter these attempted subversions of the mobilization process should be developed involve former, or even current, gang influentials in presentations about the nature and scope of the problem and constructive ideas for dealing with it.

G. Summary

The key purpose of this problem assessment is to establish a common definition of the youth gang problem and begin to develop a means for dealing with it. The assessment involves both a technical planning as well as an organizing process. Key persons and organizations already engaged in or who can be involved in the anti-gang program should be engaged in the assessement process.

Criminal justice and other governmental agencies should take special responsibility for providing ideas and data about the scope of the problem and how to resolve it. Local as well as outside experts should provide referrals and/or testify at open community hearing. Care should be taken that large or well established agencies do not manipulate the assessment process for their self-interested purposes. A variety of attempts to subvert the assessment process should be anticipated and prevented. An open, fair community assessment both of the problem and resources available and needed to deal with it should be established.

CHAPTER 4 CREATING AN ANTI-GANG INTERAGENCY COMMUNITY STRUCTURE AND PROCESS

The specific topics in this section include:

- A. Obtaining Political Support
- B. Developing a Community/Agency Coalitional Structure
- C. Establishing Appropriate Agency/Community Group Interactions and Programs
- D. Creating Meaningful and Shared Goals, Strategies and Procedures.
- E. Summary

Agreement must be reached that a special structure is required to deal with the youth gang problem The community mobilization process moves ahead when a highly influential political or governmental leader and a group of agency and community leaders agree that the results of the assessment require the establishment of a special structure to deal with the problem. Two key interrelated mobilization objectives, however, have to be met. All actors with relevant programs who can make a significant contribution to resolving the problem must get a "piece of the action", but only on condition that they cooperate or collaborate in interrelated, if not interdependent, ways consistent with the goals and strategies of the approach that have developed or are expected to develop.

A. Obtaining Political Support

Furthermore, the organizer, agency community leader, or preliminary group that has initiated and/or developed the assessment stage must plan and develop an action program on the basis that political, moral, and economic resources are likely to be invested. Support must now be assured from the community's power structure or key decision makers, especially the mayor or city manager, city council, the United Way, and/or a private foundation as well as groups or organizations representing citizens directly affected by the problem.

The organizer and community leaders must:

Political and economic support must be obtained for the planning process and action program to follow

leader must understand the value or threat of the gang problem politically to the public official at a given time and place

- The organizer or community
- continue to see the problem of youth gangs as sufficiently serious to warrant ongoing attention and policy development:
- marshall evidence that the problem is at least partially solvable;
- persuade officials that the approach that seems to be emerging will provide governmental leaders with additional political support or success at minimal or limited cost:
- persuade officials that failure to deal with the problem appropriately will endanger community support and threaten the official's political assets;
- demonstrate that specific policy and administrative leadership is available to develop an appropriate community wide structure and approach;
- establish a basis for continued grassroots involvement and support;
- also provide evidence that new money needed for the proposed structure and programs can be made available.

The organizer will need, through a series of formal and informal meetings among agency and community influentials and officials, to:

- develop a plan acceptable to political and governmental leadership;
- encourage groups and organizations to begin to plan and move ahead with initial programs and actions in some coordinated way;
- follow-up on decisions and agreements made in order to assure that they are implemented in a manner consistent with an approach which is suitable not only for dealing with the gang problem in rational but political interest terms;

Politically meaningful knowledge and tactics should be employed to influence key public officials

- use the media to maintain political/governmental intentions to implement agreements;
- attack a government or public agency, if necessary, not in an "all-out" manner, but with opportunities for compromise and reconciliation.

B. Developing A Specific Community/Agency Coalitional Structure

Consideration should be given to the structure and processes necessary to implement a gang problem solving approach in a particular community or neighborhood. Of special interest are the distinctive arrangements required in emerging and chronic problem cities, with due regard to differences in racial/ethnic interests and characteristics of the gang problem and community response to it. For example, the character of the gang problem may be different across African-American, Latino, Asian and White Ethnic communities as well as sometimes within these population groups, depending also on class and generational factors. (See Chapter 1).

The organizer in the emerging problem jurisdiction should

- involve schools, youth agencies, and police as key actors in the development of an appropriate coalitional structure, supported by grassroots organizations, business and industry, and other criminal justice agencies;
- stress that key programs should be centered in the schools with emphasis on prevention and early intervention, since gangs, gang leaders, and gang patterns are not yet well established;
- emphasize the importance of local parent and neighborhood involvement in school based efforts to deal with the gang problem;
- encourage neighborhood youth agencies to reach out to the schools in support of remedial academic programs directed to youth at high-risk of gang membership or already involved in gang activities;

Different anti-gang structures may be required in different kinds of problem communities

Focus on the schools, youth agencies, and police in emerging problem areas

Special organizing emphasis in the emerging problem area

- assist police, school, and youth agencies to develop cooperative action or program arrangements, also involving parents of youth;
- encourage civic associations, agencies and churches to reach out to newcomer and minority groups in the neighborhood where the problem is often most critical;
- approach coalition building among the key organizations through formal and informal means including contacts at social and church gatherings;
- facilitate the development of a common approach to the gang problem among agencies and local groups with emphasis on better utilization of existing resources on behalf of targeted youth and their families.

In the chronic gang problem jurisdiction, the organizer should:

- assist in the development of a structure linked to the mayor's office, a public youth commission, a human relations department or a criminal justice coalition, possibly sponsored by a probation department;
- be aware that crises and community events may develop which require reorganization of the structure, e.g., as an independent coalition; as part of a United Way coalition; or, as part of some other coalitional structure (public or non-profit or preferrably a combination);
- involve a broad range of agency staff and community representatives at policy and program levels in efforts to deal with the youth gang problem, including:
- 1. Police (also from transit and public housing)
- 2. Schools
- 3. Churches
- 4. Community-based youth agencies

Focus on the special responsibility of public agencies in chronic problem areas

Involvement of a broader range of organizations is needed in the chronic area

A wide range of approaches is required in the chronic problem area

More formal mechanisms and resources are also necessary

- 5. Probation
- 6. Employment, i.e. business, industry, and labor
- 7. Probation
- 8. Judge
- 9. Grassroots Organizations
- 10. Corrections
- 11. Parole
- 12. Mayor/City Manager's office
- 13. United Way and Private Foundations
- 14. Park Department
- 15. Housing Authority
- 16. Criminal Justice Planning Authority
- 17. Health Agencies
 - facilitate the development of special mechanisms and activities by agencies to address the gang problem;
 - encourage the development of advocacy committees comprising a variety of different agency and community group representatives in respect to social and criminal justice policy issues bearing on the problem;
 - develop special advisory or operational committees that have special information sharing concerns about the problem, e.g., a criminal justice group, a police-school-youth agency-grassroots organization committee;
 - focus on a variety of formal mechanisms to integrate or coordinate efforts across agencies and community groups at both policy and operational levels, on regional, citywide, and neighborhood bases;
 - assist this special body (or bodies) to focus on prevention as well as intervention and suppression approaches;
 - focus not only on intervention with younger youth, but outreach to older gang youth;

Persuasion and pressure may be necessary to achieve collaborative effort

Many considerations and techniques are required to overcome organizational resistance to a community approach

- assist in the planning of programs in close connection with corrections and parole;
- advocate, along with grassroots organizations, for increased resources and the development of crisis as well as long-term programs including remedial education, training, and jobs for gang members and high-risk gang-prone youth.

C. Establishing Appropriate Agency/Community Group Interactions and Programs

The organizer must be especially alert to those conditions and factors which tend to subvert collaborative or coalitional efforts. He should persuade and pressure certain groups and organizations and develop appropriate techniques to facilitate a coordinated community approach. Consortium funding and monitoring arrangements must be established. The organizer needs to:

- be aware of those key organizations and their representatives who prefer not to join the community wide organization and its programs;
- quickly diagnose such situations and develop appropriate means to overcome resistance, or at least mitigate the effect of the particular organization's absence in the community wide effort;
- overcome obstacles, if necessary, through a "carrot and a stick approach", including various social action measures, e.g., marchs, petitions, use of media, legal processes, conflict resolution tactics;
- coopt agencies and community groups, to the extent possible, through the provision of resources contingent on cooperation or collaboration in selected community programs;
- use influence or pressure from political figures or leading members of the community to persuade agencies or community groups to participate with traditional agency rivals or with organizations of

different (or antagonistic) approaches. In most of these cases, approaches can be reconciled and reciprocal roles developed essential to the creation of a consistent gang suppression and intervention strategies;

- be aware that a community or citywide coordinating structure can often become a token or ceremonial arrangement where no real interagency programming or significant community group cooperation takes place;
- assist the interagency council to develop graduated collaborative programs requiring simple coordinative mechanisms at first;
- understand that the gang problem must be addressed with sustained effort over a substantial period of time, usually several years;
- seek additional resources for various programs, only on a coalitional basis;
- be sensitive to community racial/ethnic/class interests and conflicts. Leadership of the mobilization process may be of a different class, racial or ethnic background and not sufficiently recognize African-American, Latino, or Asian interests, concerns and potential leadership in dealing with the problem;
- be sure to involve minority group leaders closest to the problem in critical decision-making and program implementation;
- maintain media involvement;
- establish outside advisory monitoring and evaluation groups to keep the participant organizations and groups honest and on-track.

Understanding and sensitivity to groups with conflicting values and interests, and the development of long-term commitment to dealing with the gang problem are required

D. Creating Meaningful and Shared Goals, Objectives, Strategies, and Procedures

Specific goals and objectives for the reduction and prevention of gang crime should be based both on the elaboration of specific agency strategies of suppression, social intervention, and opportunities provision and also on a general strategy of community mobilization, consensus and cooperation within and across agency and community group programs.

Goals, objectives and priorities must be carefully addressed

Goals are more general and determine the overall direction(s) of the interagency or community coalition's antigang efforts. Objectives are more specific, accompanied preferably by time lines. Long range objectives should stem from goals and short range objectives should assist in the achievement of long range objectives.

Operational goals should be realistically related to the particular nature and scope of the problem, expressed community concerns, and to existing political interests. Objectives should target the needs of specific types of groups, e.g., youths in different age categories who have different levels of gang commitment and often different kinds of social need.

Priority short and long range objectives to deal with different aspects of the problem must be explicitly detailed not only for particular agencies and community groups but for the community as a whole. (See Example in Table 3, Appendix C; also see General Community Design Manual.)

The organizer should:

- Emphasize the development of reciprocal roles and activities by the various criminal justice, community-based agency, and grassroots organizations;
- Encourage the use of explicit agency and community group agreements, regular as well as crisis meetings, especially in chronic gang problem jurisdictions to discuss, for example:

Detailed procedures of staff collaboration across agencies and community groups are required

- a. how the police will cooperate with the prosecutor's office, probation, schools, youth agencies, grassroots organizations, and the business community to achieve suppression objectives;
- b. how the police will assist certain youth agencies and schools in the achievement of social intervention or opportunity provision objectives for gang youth;
- c. or by the same token, how the youth agency will reach out to the streets or elsewhere in the community to provide gang youth with services; and the extent to which they will cooperate with police in monitoring and controlling gang behaviors;
- d. how professional and paraprofessional staff in different agencies, sometimes with sharply different views about how to handle the gang problem, will collaborate.
- Assist schools with the development of special academic support activities for gang youth.
- Develop accountability mechanisms so that each program, and including patterns of relationships within as well as across agency and community group programs, is periodically reviewed.

E. Summary

The community mobilization process moves ahead when key community organizations, political and grassroots leaders agree that a special structure is required to deal with the problem. Not only political but economic resources must be invested in the mobilization process. The structure that develops must give due regard to considerations of race/ethnic interest, key characteristics of the problem, and the existing response to it in emerging and chronic gang contexts. A broad range of agency staff and community representatives must be involved.

The organizer must be especially alert to those conditions and factors which tend to subvert coalitional or collaborative efforts. Specific goals and objectives must be established which attempt to reduce and prevent gang crime based on a general strategy of community mobilization, consensus and cooperation. Short and long range objectives must be established not only for particular agencies and community groups but for the service delivery and community system as a whole.

CHAPTER 5 COMMUNITY MOBILIZATION PROGRAMMING

- A. The Police
- B. Schools
- C. Community-Based Youth Agency
- D. Grassroots Organizations
- E. Chronic Youth Gang Problem Contexts
- F. Summary

The following section summarizes and amplifies prior steps and principles and applies them to selected criminal justice and community-based agency and grassroots organizational programs in different gang problem (emerging and chronic) contexts (See Table 4, Appendix C). Emphasis is on interagency and cross- community group activities. For further information on these program elements consult particular agency and organizational technical assistance manuals as well as the General Community Design manual; see also the Community Mobilization model.

A. The Police

- A special crime analysis system should be established to target repeat youth offenders, as well as involve other justice and community-based agencies in programs of suppression and intervention;
- Older youth gang leadership should also be targeted based on information from and collaborative control approaches with other agencies;
- Collaboration with schools should be developed to control gang problems particularly when students are going to and from home and school;
- Assistance should be provided to parent and resident groups in developing citizen gang patrols, providing training/equipment for such gang control activity;
- Witness protection arrangements should be carefully worked out with the prosecutor's office for those individuals testifying in gang cases;

Summarizing previous principles of community mobilization and highlighting cross-agency or community group activities

Police should perform activities in cooperation with other organizations and community groups

- Major prevention and gang awareness campaigns should be directed to parents, residents, schools, churches, storekeepers, other business groups as well as social service agencies;
- The police should play a key role in graffiti expunging campaigns, assisting community agencies and groups with paints and equipment and supervision of special community activities; and also with special nuisance abatement campaigns directed at gang activity in selected locations;
- Police in high gang crime areas should engage in referral of younger and older gang youth and their families for a variety of social services.

B. Schools

- A special gang prevention and intervention unit, in close cooperation with a cluster of community-based agencies, should be formed in the school superintendent's office, with decentralized units in high gang crime schools or districts. Such units should ordinarily be under the supervision of the assistant principal or disciplinarian;
- Attention should be directed to the formation of parent and community groups, school councils, or PTA's, comprising a broad range of persons, including parents, teachers and community residents concerned with raising academic standards and achievement, and enhancing school safety in ways that pay special attention to the youth gang member's, as well as the student victim's, needs and problems;
- Local parents should be integrated into the school's monitoring and support activities both in the school and on field trips. A parent room or facility should be developed in the school. Special parent gang education and youth development programs should be provided;

School personnel should collaborate with community organizations and groups

Special school outreach programs should be established.

The confidentiality of information on gang youth needs to be maintained in such a manner as to protect both the community and the youth

Service activities for gang youth of community-based youth agencies should be developed in collaboration with other local organizations and community groups

- A special outreach program for gang youth should be established at selected middle schools. Gang youth should be targeted for intensive remedial assistance and supervision including home visits, special services to families, and collaborative efforts with various agencies already involved with these high-risk youth;
- A variety of job readiness and apprenticeship programs, also providing academic remediation as well as referral for support services, should be developed particularly at the high school level;
- Information sharing on gang youth across various agencies and community groups should pay special attention to issues of parental notification, confidentiality and due process. While targeting of students engaged in gang delinquency is important, unnecessary labelling of youth and indiscriminate release of data on them should be avoided.

C. Community-Based Youth Agency

- Youth agency staff should provide services to and supervise gang and high-risk gang-prone youth in close feasible coordination with police. Ideally, youth agency staff as well as the Police should be part of a school based human service team concerned with youth gang problems in selected schools.
- Special relationships need to be developed by youth agencies with key neighborhood influentials to facilitate a street outreach approach to gang youth.
- Youth workers should advocate special, coordinated services for gang youths so that they do not "fall through the cracks" of social service and criminal justice systems.
- Youth agencies should take special responsibility for the development of mentoring programs for gang youth, recruiting, training and supervising special

outreach school staff, local community residents and community organization volunteers.

D. Grassroots Organizations

- Parents, residents, block clubs, churches, and other community groups should be contacted to develop a variety of activities and projects which address the youth gang problem.
- A wide range of educational and informational material should be collected and distributed to educate local citizens about the problem and how to deal with it.
- Special efforts should be made to establish relationships of trust and confidence between local citizens and police, probation, prosecution and other justice agency personnel.
- Grassroots organizations should be encouraged to serve a special monitoring and advocacy function to make sure that public agencies, especially police and schools, and also community-based youth agencies, are appropriately addressing the youth gang problem. Church-related groups, in particular, should be pro-active and hold criminal justice and community-based agencies accountable to high bureaucratic and professional standards of practice.
- Grassroots groups, especially their parent group affiliates, should encourage youth gang members to leave the gang and participate in various community development activities.

E. Chronic Youth Gang Problem Contexts

Community efforts to deal with the youth gang problem where it is chronic or serious are similar to those described above but need to be more formally developed and linked systematically to criminal justice agencies.

Grassroots organizations can serve in a unique advocacy role.

communities generally should be more formalized than in smaller emerging gang problem areas

Collaboration among agencies in

chronic gang problem

Focus on the needs of convicted gang youth released from prison or on parole and probation is important

- More explicit development of coordinating mechanisms should be required since larger specialized units are usually involved in dealing with the problem in regard to data collection, tracking gang youths, prosecution, and supervision of chronic and serious gang offenders.
- Special attention should be paid to linking correctional and community-based programs. Cooperative, if not integrated, efforts should be required across probation, parole, police, youth agencies, schools, grassroots organizations and businesses to assist convicted gang youth on probation or parole, and when they return to the community, to make conventional, non-gang criminal adaptation.
- In emerging, but especially in chronic, gang problem contexts, more public and foundation resources should be sought to support and develop local capacities to deal with various aspects of the problem. It's extremely difficult to mobilize local citizens and agencies in highly deprived and disorganized communities to deal with gangs in addition to a great variety of other social problems.

F. Summary

Special emphasis must be on cross agency and community group programming. For example the police must involve other justice agencies in the development of a crime analysis system to target repeat youth offenders. Witness protection should be established in close cooperation with prosecution. Collaboration with the schools is essential to protect youth on the way from school to home and vice-versa. Police and social service agencies have to work together in the development of an effective system of referral of gang-prone juvenile offenders in need of various social services.

In the development of these and other collaborative efforts, special concern must be accorded varying program approaches needed in emerging and chronic gang problem contexts. More explicit development of coordinating mechanisms will be required in chronic than in emerging

gang problem contexts. Larger and more specialized organizational needs are present in chronic problem communities. Special attention should be paid to linking correctional and community-based programs, especially in chronic problem areas.

CHAPTER 6 STAFFING, STAFF SELECTION, AND TRAINING

- A. Staff Selection
- B. Staff Education and Training
- C. Summary

Mobilizing the community to develop a gang suppression and intervention structure requires a thorough understanding of the nature of gang activity, its specific causes, and a high level of sensitivity to community capacity and skill in organizing. It calls for commitment, creativity, and great persistence in overcoming gang crises, interorganizational conflicts, and political obstacles.

The intergagency organization's leaders and the community organizer must be catalysts, problem solvers, educators, and developers of local leadership in respect to the problem. They must be also adept at energizing apathetic or "burned-out" agency workers and local citizen groups to deal with the gang problem in a rational, non-competitive, and community-oriented manner.

Leadership and especially the organizer must have knowledge of the policies and procedures of a range of criminal justice and community-based agencies and grassroots groups. He or she must have an appropriate philosophy of approach which enables him or her to stimulate social change and community development specifically related to the gang problem.

- Organizers should be "up-front" about their conventional values, i.e., gangs should not be considered legitimate organizations and should not per se be involved in community mobilization efforts, however, individual gang youth, under appropriate supervision and guidance, should be encouraged to participate in a variety of community development and anti-gang efforts.
- Organizers should utilize organizing skills of persuasion, incentive, pressure, and social action, if necessary, to move the community mobilization process along.

Very high skill demands are required of staff in agencies and community groups dealing with gang problems

Gang youth as individuals should be encouraged to participate in community development efforts A range of organizing skills is necessary to move the mobilization process along

Staff and community group training courses are important

Curricula should deal with description and analyses of the

A. Staff Selection

Senior or key staff selected should be well trained, preferably with experience in criminal justice, social service, and community or neighborhood organizing. A variety of staff assistants and community volunteers should be involved in community mobilization efforts:

- Staff should comprise a mixture of competent local residents and outsiders with relevant interest, abilities, and training.
- Local influentials or leaders and others should be employed and provided with an opportunity to further develop leadership or specialized skills on the job and qualify for advancement within the organization or in related organizations and programs with further training.
- A special responsibility for the senior community organizer(s) should be the development of citizen leadership and organizing skill in junior workers in reference to the youth gang and other related social problems.

B. Staff Education and Training

An important responsibility of the community council or interagency organization should be staff and community education and training on an ongoing basis about gang issues, promising strategies and techniques for effectively deal with the youth gang problems.

- High quality gang orientational training courses should be developed across criminal justice agencies as well as for a variety of constituent or affiliated agencies and community groups. <u>Curricula</u> should cover such topics as:

Gang Issues:

- characteristics of gang problems
- gang structure and dynamics

local problem as well as ways to affect it

The nature of agency missions and resources to deal with the problem and how to efficiently use them in reference to the gang problem

Techniques of community mobilization that address the gang problem should be taught

- basic causes of gangs
- goals, strategies, and procedures for dealing with gang problems

Agency Programs:

- Differing agency missions and available service programs
- Key historical and community factors influencing the development of gang oriented programs
- Different agency and community interrelationship factors to be taken into consideration in developing cooperation and resolving interagency and community conflicts

Community Mobilization

- The following techniques should be taught to community residents as well as agency staff:
 - preparing and conducting meetings
 - communicating with and persuading local citizens to become involved in anti-gang efforts
 - organizing protests, marches, petition campaigns, etc.
 - contacting and influencing local politicians and the media to support efforts to deal with the gang problem
 - involving gang influentials and former gang members in well supervised efforts to contribute to community development, especially related to anti-gang efforts, e.g., security patrols, graffiti expunging
 - developing tactics for integrating agency and various community group efforts with those of former gang influentials to control the gang

problem, e.g., through special crisis or mediation meetings.

C. Summary

The community interagency and its key staff organizer(s) must take special pains to develop local leadership in respect to the problem. Staff and community leadership must be appropriately selected and helped to develop their abilities. Staff should comprise a mixture of competent local residents and outsiders. Training programs are required across criminal justice, community agencies, and community groups. Curricula should cover such topics as gang issues, the nature of agency programs, and skills in mobilizing the community to deal with the problem.

CHAPTER 7 FUNDING

- A. Funding Sources
- B. Summary

The organizer(s) or administrators of the community mobilization program should fund, or facilitate the funding of policies and programs, to support interagency and cooperative community anti-gang programs. High priority should be given to multiple rather than single purpose programs.

- Explicit agreement on program funding priorities should be developed and subscribed to by all participating agencies and community organizations.
- An extensive community agency and organizational campaign should be developed to obtain consensus on funding principles. Key public agency, foundation funders, and community residents should be involved in developing this consensus.
- Funding priorities should be guided by the following principles:
 - ✓ Primary strategies to be supported should be community mobilization and, in chronic problem communities, opportunities provision.
 - ✓ Core gang and high-risk gang-prone youth should be targeted for appropriate agency or organizational services and activities.
 - ✓ The programs of key agencies or community groups targeting these youth must be interrelated through explicit agreements and accountability procedures.
 - ✓ Needs assessment and resource development must be part of a 3 to 5 year plan for dealing with the problem.

Priority funding should be given to multi-purpose program arrangements

Consensus on funding principles is necessary

Community mobilization, opportunties provision, and targeting gang members as well as high-risk gang-prone youth are essential

✓ A community advisory organization and appropriate committees should be established to hold criminal justice, community-based agencies, and grassroots groups accountable for the funds received to implement programs.

A. Funding Sources

A successful community mobilization effort is not possible without resources. Resources include the will power, energies, and skills of many people, including citizens, politicians, bureaucrats, professionals, former gang members, and even gang members ready to leave the gang. Nevertheless, additional resources usually must be acquired for staff, facilities, and to augment the efforts of existing agencies and community groups, or to develop new programs and organizational arrangements. These resources or funds can sometimes be developed based on a reordering of existing program priorities and existing agency or community group commitments.

More than a single source of funds must be developed to assure the stability and continuity of program operations. A variety of local and nonlocal funding organizations must be contacted, proposals developed, and new program funds acquired. These sources include:

- 1. Local
- Businesses, including banks
- Civic organizations
- Hospitals and health care, including drug prevention and treatment facilities and programs
- City planning and human service agencies
- Public housing, park and recreation departments
- United Way
- Religious federations and funding organizations

Resource development

Local sources of funding

Foundations

State funding

State funding

2. Foundations

Local foundations are present in certain large cities. They also provide funds for a great variety of projects and programs in communities and agencies around the country. Each foundation has a set of interests, concerns, and procedures which govern its allocations. Grants can be large or small, for short or long periods of time.

It is important to seek guidance about which foundations to contact. The <u>Foundation Center</u> has main offices in several cities and libraries in all 50 states. To locate the nearest library, call 1-800-424-9836.

When a particular foundation of interest is identified, it should be contacted by phone or a letter of inquiry written in order to obtain information about how to submit a grant proposal. Personal contacts may be of value in some cases.

3. State Funding

Various state agencies provide grant and contract funds to local organizations for certain services. Federal funds are often provided to the states in the form of block grants and are available through certain state agencies that could be used for anti-gang programs. These include:

- State Criminal Justice Planning Agency
- Departments of Youth or Children Services
- Health Departments, especially alcohol, drug abuse, and Mental Health units
- Labor departments
- Education departments

The Govenor's office and state legislative representatives should also be contacted for assistance in

obtaining contacts for special state supported funding programs.

4. Federal programs

The following federal agencies have recently initiated anti-gang initiatives directed to local communities. Sometimes various units in a particular federal department fund different types of local programs. Knowledge of these federal agency programs and application procedures can be obtained through notices in the Federal Register and the Commerce Business Daily. Subscriptions to these publications can be ordered from the Government Printing Office (202) 783-3238. Some city agencies, corporations, and universities already subscribe and may be persuaded to provide access to their own copies.

Some of the following Federal Agencies may be directly contacted for information about current types of programs and procedures for obtaining funds for antigang or gang prevention programs:

- 1. Housing and Urban Development (202-708-1197)
- 2. U.S. Justice Department, especially Bureau of Justice Programs, including the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (202-307-1150) and the Office of Justice Assistance (202-307-5914)
- 3. Department of Health and Human Services, especially the Office of Human Development and its Administration for Children, Youth, and Families (202-245-0347)
- 4. Division of Injury Control, Center for Disease Control (404-488-4646)

B. Summary

High priority must be given to funding interagency or collaborative agency projects. Furthermore, even within an organization, special attention should be given to funding multiple rather than single purpose programs directed to the gang problem. While a successful community mobilization effort is not possible without additional resources, it should be recognized that resources include the determination or will power, the skills, and energies of a great many community persons, including residents, bureaucrats, and professionals. Nevertheless, external resources must be obtained. Access to multiple funding sources is essential. Sources of funding include local agencies, city organizations, citizen groups, and businesses, state and federal agencies as well as foundations.

Accountability

CHAPTER 8 MONITORING, RESEARCH, AND EVALUATION

Program monitoring is required, particularly by the interagency council or task force oversight committees, to make sure that services and activities are being carried out as planned in a collaborative manner. Neighborhoods, organizations, and youth targeted for services and control activities should receive them as planned. Monitoring makes it possible for agencies and organizations, community members, and funding sources to know and feel confident that the program is being adequately carried out.

Program monitoring will depend largely on evidence available from activity, membership or client records, and program expenditure accounts. The records are required to determine whether objectives are achieved. They should include:

- records of what occurred in all phases of the program that bear on gang control, intervention, and prevention;
- review of administrative and staff records bearing on the program;
- use of periodic reports summarizing program activities, planned and completed; difficulties in implementing them;
- regular committee reviews of what is occurring;
- minutes of "brainstorming" group events to determine how to modify or change course;
- program monitoring reports that are periodically published and made available to various audiences, including the general public through the media.

A rigorous cross-neighborhood or community quasiexperimental design should be required on an annual or biannual basis to determine which specific strategies, procedures, and program mechanisms are most effective in reducing the gang problem.

Evaluation

Research and evaluation should primarily assess the nature and effects of the community mobilization process, especially as they are related to suppression and intervention of the youth gang problem (See also General Community Design Manual, section on Research and Evaluation). Evaluation and research must address the following:

- Which agencies and community groups participate in mobilization and program efforts in regard to the gang problem?
- Which members in the various organizations participate in community-related anti-gang activities?
- In what anti-gang activities and how do agencies and organizations participate in them?
- Why do agency staff and community members participate in those efforts?
- What specific issues or circumstances and organizing principles facilitate maximum participation among organizations?
- What specific gang problems have been reduced or changed, by how much and how?
- What is the specific relation between successful community mobilization and gang problem reduction?
- How is interagency participation in anti-gang activities related to longer term community development in regard to gang problem reduction and other social problems?

Whenever possible, outside researchers from various academic institutions as well as from independent research organizations should conduct community mobilization research and evaluation.

Summary

Program monitoring, research, and evaluation are critical to program progress and determining which programs work and which do not. Program monitoring is important to establish whether the program is being carried out as planned. Careful monitoring gives confidence to staff and community representatives as well as funding agents that the program is being adequately implemented. Interagency oversight committees and records of program activities and participation are particularly important.

Various agency program evaluations and systematic comparative agency and even cross-community research are required to determine which types of community mobilization strategies prove effective in reducing the problem. It is important to know whether the program has been actually implemented as intended and, of course, whether the problem has declined. There is much we don't know about what works and does not work. Reasons for changes in the nature of the scope and seriousness of the problem are extremly important to determine for both policy and theoretical reasons.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Brager, George, Harry Specht, and James L. Torczyner. 1987. Community Organizing (Second Edition). New York: Columbia University Press.
- Gonzalez, Maria and Robert Mendoza. 1991. Code Blue: Youth at Risk: Partnership for Reclaiming our Community. The Mayor's Community Task Force on Gangs, Crime, and Drugs. Austin, Texas. May.
- Huff, C. Ronald (editor). 1990. Gangs in America. Newbury, California: Sage Publications.
- Office of Criminal Justice Planning. 1990. Community Mobilization: How to Survive in the '90s. Conference Proceedings. Office of Criminal Justice Planning, State of California.
- Salazar, Natalie D. 1990. <u>Rising Above Gangs and Drugs</u>. Community Reclamation Project, Los Angeles County Probation Department.

Appendix C: TABLES

Appendix C

TABLE 1

Community Mobilization/Planning: Selected Structure and Process Summary

A. Problem Recognition

- Social/moral leader initiatives
- Articulating the problem
- Organizing concern and use of the media
- Networking
- Holding hearings

B. Assessment

- Nature, scope, seriousness of problem
- Development of ongoing data bases
- Key community organization and community groups must be involved
- Resources available to deal with it: laws, agencies, manpower, motivations/concerns
- Incentives for organization to participate
- Countering agency manipulative tendencies

C. Building an Interagency or Community Anti-Gang Structure

- Identification of power figures: political jurisdiction, grassroots, and agency and bureaucratic levels
- Decentralizing the structure to point of impact of problem
- A range of policy and program level staff and cross-agency and community group committees need to be established
- Developing funding and monitoring relationships
- Creating meaningful goals and objectives
- Setting program targets, priorities, and strategies

1. <u>Uses of Power-Relationships to Achieve Objectives</u>

- Inducements: money, prestige, morality, anger
- Constraints: authority, law, instability of political and agency. Leaders should not completely alienate official power
- Overcoming obstacles: denial, opportunism, internal agency and interorganization conflict

2. <u>Organizational Internal Development</u>

- Setting up a community board, working groups
- Developing wide participation
- Selecting qualified members
- Leadership rotation
- Meeting in different agencies
- Purposeful agenda
- Avoiding/managing competitive group processes
- Timing the development of collaborative mechanisms

3. <u>Stimulating/Managing External Conflict</u>

- Selection of enemies or enemy conditions
- Use of appropriate social action tasks: strike, march, petitions, use of the media (publicity), grassroots participation
- Conflict resolution: negotiation, legal decisions, political victory

D. <u>Community Mobilization Programming</u>

- Increasing communication
- Coordination of efforts
- Team approaches
- Distinctive emerging and chronic community programming approaches

E. Training and Knowledge Development

- Leaders
- Staff
- Participants
- Use of experts/university participation

F. Funding

- Multiple sources of funds
- Knowledge and use of resource bases
- Use of consortia arrangements

Monitoring and Evaluation G.

- Adequate record keeping After-event brainstorming Technical research and use of hard data

Appendix C

TABLE 2 Nature and Level of Youth Gang Member Problems to be Addressed

YOUTH GANG MEMBER CHARACTERISTICS	HIGH-RISK GANG-PRONE (WANNABE, FRINGE)	GANG MEMBER (LEADERS, CORE MEMBERS)	
Age	mainly 10-16 yrs	mainly 12-24 yrs	
Gender	male or female	mainly male	
Race/Ethnicity: African-American (low income/segregated community)	very high	very high	
Other Black (low income)	high	moderate	
Hispanic (specifically Mexican-American, Puerto Rican) (low income/partially segregated community)	very high	very high	
Other Hispanic	moderate	moderate	
Asian (low income newcomer)	high	moderate	
White/European origin (low/middle income)	low/moderate	low/moderate	
Other Racial/Ethnic Groups (low income newcomer)	low/moderate	low/moderate	
Peer Relationships	partially important	highly peer oriented	
Gang Organization	limited, partially developed	extensive, developed	
Street Presence	limited	high	
Drug Use/Drug Selling	limited	sometimes high	
Home (Parent Involvement)	partially unstable, partially supervised	unstable, unsupervised	
School Adjustment	partially involved, partially satisfying	uninvolved, unsatisfactory	
Police Contacts	limited	extensive	
Other Justice System Contacts	limited	extensive	
Youth Agencies	partially involved	uninvolved/exploitive	
Other Human Service/Treatment Agencies	no contact/partial involvement	no contact, unsatisfactory	
Grassroots Organization Contact	limited	limited	
Employment/Training Program	limited	limited and unsatisfactory	
Presence in Community/Organization	more likely in emerging problem contexts	more likely in chronic problem contexts	

Appendix C

TABLE 3 Examples of Goals and Objectives

- I. Goal: Reduction of Gang Activities in High Schools and Surrounding Areas
- II. A. <u>Long Range Objectives</u>: Develop a high school security force with capacity to reduce gang violence by 25 percent within a six month period.
 - B. Short Range Objectives: 1) Hire security guards with appropriate knowledge, background and skill in three weeks; 2) Make arrangements with the gang unit of the police department to provide initial gang training to school security within two weeks after employment; 3) provide special gang awareness and control training to all school staff at a series of three workshops to take place within six weeks.
- II. A. <u>Long Range Objective</u>: Develop a set of special remedial and special interest (vocational) outreach courses for non-academically achieving youth in those classes where the gang problem is prevalent within a six month period.
 - B. Short Range Objectives: 1) Reallocate staff resources so that a special remedial program is available to targeted youth during school days beginning in two weeks; 2) Provide special interest field trips to youth participating in this remedial program beginning in three weeks; 3) Recruit selected parents as volunteers to assist with coaching and participation in field trips in two weeks; and 4) Encourage staff through various monetary inducements to reach out to problem youth and their parents in after-school programs already being conducted by the local community-based youth agency in three weeks.



TABLE 4 SELECTED STRATEGIC ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES FOR PARTICULAR SETTINGS (ORGANIZATIONS)

SETTING/AGENCY	SUPPRESSION	SOCIAL INTERVENTION	OPPORTUNTIES PROVISION	ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE WENTOPMENT	COMMUNITY MOBILIZATION
A. Street Hotspots, parks	Targeted gang surveillance, monitoring, communication, warning, setting limits, dispersal, arreet, sweeps, incarceration	Outreach, contact target youth (gangs), brief counselling, crisis intervention, mediation, referral for services, recreational programming	Referral for training, jobs, paid community service projects, e.g., graffiti removal, beautification, side walk/street repair, painting, clean-up	Staff availability—evenings and weekends; use of beepers and field supervision, use of mobile service vehicles	(Networking) multiagency team patrols, availability of citizens as role models and mentors, use of agency workers and citizens to facilitate and supervise street events
B. Home (parents)	Advice and supervision by schools, community-based youth agency staff, probation/parole officers, court pressures, visitation by grassroots groups, e.g., parent groups	Counselling, support, advocacy, parent education regarding gangs, referral for services, including drug treatment, medical services	Referral for jobs, training, and educational development	Case management by a particular agency to coordinate service to families of gang youth	Parent participation in school and community anti-gang meetings, patrols, community action programs to deal with crime and community improvement
C. Police	Investigation, intelligence, analysis and appropriate information sharing, gang problem surveillance, enforcement, education of criminal justice, community-based agencies, and grass-roots groups, as to scope and seriousness of problem; close collaboration with prosecution	Mentoring at risk and gang youth, brief counselling, referral for social services, mediation, case conferences around specific youth, conduct of anti-gang programs at school and community (e.g., DARE, SANE)	Referral of gang youth for jobs, training, education, job development, supervision of youth in special training and job projects	Development of specialist gang officers, gang units, law enforcement task forces, computerized information on systems, internal agency coordination of policies and procedures	Participation in interagency community task forces; collaboration with grass roots patrols and community agency and business anti-gang programs

D. Schools	Inschool monitoring, use of metal detectors, uniform discipline code (including gang offenses, communication and application of fair rules (re: gang symbols, dress, activities), school suspension, expulsion, parent contacts, street patrols, collaboraiton with criminal justice agencies	For students: DARE, SANE, and other anti-gang "neational programs, conflict rlution instruction, peer group counselling (re: gang problems), crisis intervention, provision of school based social and health services, after-school recreational programs ***** For parents: out reach, referral for services, parent education regarding gangs	Remedial and enriched educational programs for gang youth with academic problems; vocational and apprentice training, joint school-work experiences, tutorial and mentroring, field visits to business/industrial settings	Gang security units, school-social service, community agency teams focused on gang problem; special system-wide curriculum, social development coordinating structures	School community advisory groups, participation in antigang community task forces, development of policies and procedures for sharing certain kinds of student information with other agencies, development and use of parent patrols and volunteers to assist with gang control and prevention
E. Prosecution	Investigation, case selection, knowledge of gang-applicable law, development of recommendations for gang law, collaboration with police, developing case strategies (re: bail, detention, waivers, use of witnesses, witness protection, disposition recommendations)	Development of community service resource manuals for gang offenders, parents, recommending sentences directed to rehabilitation	Collaboration with business groups and chambers of commerce in job development for gang youth	Vertical prosecution, development of policy and procedure for entire unit re: gang processing, collaborative information sharing across law enforcement agencies	Coordination with other criminal justice and community organizations, assisting in the formation of task forces, communication with media re: nature of problem and potential solutions
F. Judges	Ensure that gang member obtains a fair hearing, concern both with protection of community and youth from violent gang activities, appropriate sentencing to institutions, appropriate use of waivers of youth to adult court	Court orders to facilitate rehabilitation (e.g., diagnostic testing, psychiatric treatment) recommending family services to gang youth and parents	Recommending special programs with pressures on schools, agencies, and businesses, to provide appropriate education and training opportunities for gang youth	Regular supervisory meetings with probation supervisors; meetings with groups of probationers, access to computer information on gang youth history and adjustment	Providing community advisory leadership on gang problems and need for more resources, sitting on community boards in advisory capacity and avoiding conflict of interests situations
G. Probation	Close supervision of gang youth, enforcing violations of court orders, appropriate use of detention, collaboration with police (joint patrols), collaboration with parole and prosecution; home and neighborhood visits	Counselling, referral for individual, family mental health, medical and dental services, teaching conflict resolution skills, mediation, and crisis intervention, organizing parent support groups of probationers, parent education as to gang problem; development of special preventive programs for younger, high-risk youth in schools	Providing court sponsored training and job opportunities, provision of special remedial academic programs; referrals for job or to job agencies	Developing risk/needs assessments, computer information systems (re: gangs and gang members, and available community resources), intensive supervision, vertical case management; out-reach to employers, schools, youth agencies	Organizing or stimulating community groups including parents, former gang members, to form community anti-gang patrols; sponsoring and coordination of community agency and grassroots collaborative programs, participating in interagency community task forces

H. Corrections	Identification and close supervision of gang youth, application of clear policy (re: participation in gang activity in institutions), dispersion of gang members throughout institution if possible; collaboration with police, prosecution, parole (re: information sharing and joint approaches), transfer of selected hardcore gang youth as appropriate to other instititions	Values change programs, conflict resolution instruction; drug/alcohol programs, personal and group counselling, use of volunteer mentors, referral for services, including psychological, medical, dental	Remedial and advanced educational programs, training and job opportunities within institution and outside facility	Special staffing/team arrangements in institutions with serious gang problems, development of information systems on gang members/incidents, developing risk/needs assessments	Involving community groups in institutional living programs, participation in interagency and community task forces
I. Parole	Close supervision of gang youth, enforcement of parole orders, appropriate use of detention and revocation of parole, collaboration with probation, police, other justice system officials, home neighborhood visits	Individual, group counseling, referral for social, medical, psychological, services, development of parent support groups, developing housing arrangements, family counselling, crisis intervention, teaching conflict resolutions skills, close case collaboration with institition prior to youth release	Provision of training and remedial education opportunities, direct job referrals, job development; close collaboration with schools, employers, to sustaining youth programs	Developing risk/need assessments; use of case managers, trainers, specialized gang parole officers	Collaboration with a variety of agencies and development of services and job opportunities in respect to parolees, membership in community task forces
J. Employment and Training Organizations	Liaisons with probation, parole, awareness of gang culture and potential problems; clear rules (re: proscribed behavior)	Career counselling, peer worker support arrangements, collaboration with mentors, referral for services, social support for parents and family, crisis managment	Intake screening and assessment, tutoring, work acclimation training, job placement, and follow-up, academic and job skills training and/or referral	Integrated school/job training, multi-functional staffing, use of neighborhood mentors, monetary incentives for participation	Collaboration with various agencies (re: recruitment of gang youth and development of support services), participation as member of interagency and community task forces
K. Comr≆unity Youth-Based Agency	Setting clear, fair rules and implementing them; monitoring and supervising youth in agency and community hot-spots, appropriate collaboration with police, probation, parole, and other justice system officers; contracting with justice system to provide services under prescribed conditions	Supervised recreation, group work activities, individual, group, family counseling, parent education (re: gangs), referral for services, job support, crisis intervention, mediation, home visits, sponsor of community service activities, victim assistance	Tutoring, remedial education, job training, job development and placement, small business opportunities, close collaboration with schools, re: involvement of gang youth and their families in the educational process	Case management, out-reach, decentralized centers, as appropriate for gang youth; use of paraprofessional and professional teams of workers	Neighborhood activity sponsors, member of interagency task forces, advocate for additional services and resources on behalf of gang youth, organizer of parent patrols in collaboration with schools and police

L. Grassroots Organization	Collaboration with police, probation, and other justice agencies, organizing parent partrols, advocate of improved law enforcement, supervision of youth activities in the neighborhood, supporting more victim involvement at court in prosecuting gang cases	Counselling, tutoring, referral of youth for services, parent education (re: gang problem), sponsor of youth activities, crisis intervention and mediation	Sponsoring special training, educational and job devicepment programs for gang youths; stimulating local business development for job opportunities for gang yout	Out-reach programs to youth, including gang youth, use of specialist gang worker development of formal and informal data systems (re: planning to deal with gang problem), court watchers at gang cases	Sponsor local interagency and community gang task forces, advocate improved agency services, support parent patrols especially in school areas
M. Emerging Gang Problem Context Emphasis	Identification and close supervision of juvenile gang members, and those at risk for gang membership; arrest and prosecution of older gang members	Counselling, recreation programming, family services, SANE, DARE, anti-gang curricula, parent education programs	Referral for jobs, coordination of training and better use of exisiting job opportunities	Out-reach to newcomer and/or race/minority ethnic groups in community, use of local citizens and volunteers, mainstream rather than specialized approach to problem	Development of informal as well as formal links among agencies and community groups
N. Chronic Gang Problem Content–additional purposes	Target older gang youth and leaders as well as highrisk gang-prone youth, collaboration of justice systems and community-based agencies (re: information and witness protection)	Crisis intervention, mediation, special service support projects to core gang youth at school and on job	Develop major job programs, alternative schools and special educational mainstream programs for gang members	Specialized workers, units, and procedures, use of computer information systems	Formal interagency and community anti-gang councils, monitoring of agencies so that they target hard-core gang youth as well as high-risk gang-prone youth.