()

Ŋ

-

PR-73-27

AN EXPLORATION OF BEHAVIORAL OBJECTIVES FOR POLICE PROMOTIONAL EXAMINATIONS IN NEW JERSEY

Leo S. Goldstein, Ph.D.



July 1973

EDUCATIONAL TESTING SERVICE PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

AN EXPLORATION OF BEHAVIORAL OBJECTIVES

•

•

FOR POLICE PROMOTIONAL EXAMINATIONS IN NEW JERSEY

Final Report

Study Conducted

for

Department of Civil Service

and the

State Law Enforcement Planning Agency

State of New Jersey

by

Educational Testing Service Princeton, New Jursey

Project Director: Leo S. Goldstein, Ph.D.

July, 1973

Table of Contents

٩

	Page				
Acknowledgement					
Introduction	1				
Behavioral Objectives Workshop	2				
Design of the Study	3				
Results	5				
A. Police Sergeant	6				
1. Non-significant items	6				
2. Significant CS effect - Frequency	6				
3. Significant CS effect - Importance	7				
4. Significant R effect	8				
5. Significant CSxR effect	8				
B. Police Lieutenant	9				
	9				
	-				
2. Significant CS effect	9				
3. Significant R effect	10				
4. Significant CSxR effect	10				
C. Police Captain	11				
1. Non-significant items	11				
2. Significant CS effect	11				
3. Significant R effect	12				
4. Significant CSxR effect	12				
Discussion	12				

.

Reference	15
Appendix	
Police Promotion Workshop Participants	16
Police Behavioral Objectives Study	17
Background information	17
Instructions and Codes	18
Example of response	19
Instrument A - Police Sergeant	20
Instrument B - Police Lieutenant	26
Instrument C - Police Captain	32
Instrument C - Police Captain	4i
	42
Table 2 - Number of evaluators	43
Table 3 - Grand means for "n s" items	
Table 4 - Means for significant CS effect	46
Table 5 - Means for significant R effect	48
Table 6 - Means for significant CSxR effect	49

Page

Acknowledgement

Funding for this investigation was supplied by the State of New Jersey Department of Civil Service and the State Law Enforcement Planning Agency. The support of those agencies is acknowledged as is the assistance rendered at various stages by Wayne Boyd, Director of Examinations, William Parikas, Assistant Director of Examinations, and Robert Maurer, Senior Personnel Technician, State of New Jersey Department of Civil Service.

Dick Thornton and Ray Wasdyke, colleagues at ETS, arranged and conducted the two-day workshop which led to the preparation of the forms for each of the ranks. Thanks are due them and the other participants in the workshop, particularly the representatives of the various police departments, for their suggestions and criticisms.

Rob Patrick supervised the processing of the data from punching through printout; Pat Fisher handled the typing and secretarial chores with her usual acumen; Linda Staples assisted in the distribution of forms and the coding of returns. My thanks to all three.

The cooperation of the chiefs of police and their representatives which was essential for the collection of data from the selected jurisdictions is hereby noted with much appreciation. Finally, a resounding "Thank you" is extended to the men of the participating departments who gave us the benefit of their experience.

i

An Exploration of Behavioral Objectives for

Police Promotional Examinations in New Jersey

Introduction

In those New Jersey communities which use civil service procedures, promotion to the ranks of police sergeant, lieutenant, and captain is based primarily on the results of a written examination; seniority or oral examination each contribute less than 30% of the final grade. Although no formal attempt has been made to use test items which are based on a job or task analysis, expert opinion is obtained on the content and face validity of the items which are selected. Men from jurisdictions all over the state are tested with the same instrument; no special consideration, in terms of test content, is given to variations in community size or the number of men in the police complement from which the examination candidate comes.

The State of New Jersey Department of Civil Service and the State Law Enforcement Planning Agency directed Educational Testing Service to undertake an exploratory investigation of police promotional examinations in an attempt to improve the presently used testing procedures. A primary goal of this exploratory study was to identify a pool of performances (tasks, behaviors) of police sergeants, lieutenants, and captains which might serve as referrents for job related test items for promotional examinations for these ranks. As a step toward fulfilling this objective, the initial proposal contained a design for a "pilot" task analysis of a limited sample of police officers at the three ranks in large, medium, and small-sized communities in the state. This proposed procedure would have been fairly expensive in terms of man/hours consumed for the amount of information gained.

Fortunately, a body of information developed earlier by ETS personnel for a study of police promotion procedures in New York City was available. The final report of that study (1) contains job descriptions of the ranks of sergeant, lieurenant, and captain based on a job/task analysis of 108 officers. Although the activities described are based on observations of a relatively small sample they do supply us with a core of behaviors which can be examined in other settings.

Behavioral Objectives Workshop

The job descriptions mentioned above were reformulated into statements of behavioral objectives by Richard Thornton and Raymond Wasdyke of ETS. For each task of the job description, the condition, performance, and extent were stipulated. The <u>condition</u> is a statement of the setting in which the <u>performance</u> or behavior takes place. The <u>extent</u> states the criteria for evaluating the efficacy of the performance. Separate booklets were prepared for each rank, using this format.

For the first rough screening of the pool of behaviorally stated tasks, a two-day workshop of present and former police officers from communities differing in size was convened by Messrs. Thornton and Wasdyke at ETS. The participants (see Appendix) were asked to read and discuss each triad of condition, performance, and extent which

had been prepared for each task so that a determination could be made as to whether or not it should be retained, changed, or eliminated. Those statements for which there was general agreement that the task is not performed by the officer of the specified rank were eliminated. If at least one representative of a police jurisdiction indicated that the task is performed by the specified rank, the statement was retained. Changes in wording were incorporated according to suggestions of the participants. Several new triads were constructed to cover behaviors which had not been previously included but which, on the basis of the participants' suggestions, should have been. As a result of the workshop discussions, a separate booklet of behaviorally stated objectives was prepared for each rank. For the sergeant's form, 40 statements were included; 34 for the lieutenant's form, and 37 for the captain's. Space was allotted alongside each performance listed in the booklet for the respondent's rating of its frequency and importance. A six-point frequency scale and a five-point importance scale were provided (see Appendix). Note that in both scales there is an inverse relation with the quantity or quality of the factor being evaluated.

-3-

Design of the study

The size of a police complement is related to the size of the community in which it operates. The number of men in a police department and its geographical location within the state may in turn be determinants of the kinds of activities engaged in by men

-2-

of a specified rank. Therefore, in order to allow for these variations, a sample of ten communities was selected for study. These communities range in size from over 100,000 to less than 10,000 and in number of full-time police officers from more than 100 to less than 50. Geographically, they are located as far north as Hackensack and as far south as Lakewood. Table 1 lists the selected communities and some of their characteristics. The chief of police or his surrogate was contacted, in each community, and his agreement to have his jurisdiction participate in the study was obtained before any materials were sent.

In order to view each rank from a number of perspectives, it Was decided to have each behavioral statement evaluated not only by men presently serving in the rank but also by men below the rank and above it. In other words, the sergeant's form was to be evaluated not only by sergeants but also by patrolmen and lieutenants; the lieutenant's form by sergeants, lieutenants, and captains; the captain's form by lieutenants, captains and deputy chiefs or chiefs. According to the size of the police complement, sufficient numbers of booklets were prepared for evaluation by approximately five men at each rank. A cover sheet for the identification of the rater's community, community size, size of police force, and rank accompanied each set of booklets. In addition, instructions, codes, and examples of appropriate responses were included (see Appendix).

Results

Completed forms were recieved from eight of the ten police departments which had been selected for study. The number of forms evaluated, for each rank, by community size and evaluator's rank are given in Table 2.

-5-

Two-way analysis of variance with unequal cell frequencies was used to analyze the Frequency and the Importance ratings of each item for each form. This analysis was intended to isolate and identify four sets of items within each form: 1) items yielding no significant main effect or interaction effect, i.e., those items whose average ratings do not differ significantly as a result of differences in community size or differences in evaluator's rank or the interaction between community size and evaluator's rank, 2) items which produce significant differences, 3) items which protace significant differences, 3) items which protace significant differences in average rating made by evaluator's of different ranks, and 4) items which produce a significant interaction effect as a result of some unique combination of community size and evaluator's rank.

Instruments A. Police Sergeant, B. Police Lieutenant, and C. Police Captain in the Appendix indicate the set(s) to which each item belongs according to the analysis of its ratings of Frequency and of Importance. Those items in the first set, i.e., non-significant, are identified by "n s" in either or both columns. CS indicates a significant effect due to community size. R represents a significant

-4--

effect of the evaluator's rank while CSxR indicates a significant interaction. For those items that produce a significant effect, the level of statistical significance^{*} is also indicated. An "n s" item will not be part of any other set. However, an item with a significant main effect or interaction may be in one, two, or three of the "significant" item sets.

A. Police Sergeant

1. Non-significant items. Twenty-two items yield non-significant mean ratings for Frequency; 19 are non-significant for Importance. Of these, 14 items are non-significant for both factors. This pool of items describes behaviors of police sergeants which are unrelated to the community size in which the officer works and which are perceived as having the same frequency and/or importance by patrolmen, sergeants, and lieutenants. The mean ratings (Table 3a) range from 1.2 to 4.7 for Frequency and from 1.4 to 3.3 for Importance.[#] In essence, these behaviors cover a broad range of activities varying widely in frequency of occurrence and in estimated importance. Promotional examination items based on knowledge related to these tasks would provide a general job-related core.

2. <u>Significant CS effect - Frequency</u>. Fourteen items yield significant differences in mean Frequency rating related to community

size (Table 4a). The column headings for CS represent: 1 - Communities of fewer than 10 thousand, 2 - between 10 and 50 thousand, 3 - 50 to 100 thousand, and 4 - more than 100 thousand.

-7-

In jurisdictions located in communities of 50 to 100 thousand, the sergeant calls the roll much less frequently than in larger and smaller sized communities (Item I A P3). He also verbally communicates to his men important information about alarms and present conditions less often than do sergeant's in other sized communities (I A P5). The task in II P5 is performed significantly more often.

Sergeants in communities of fewer than 10 thousand perform, less frequently than their peers in larger communities, the tasks described in I B P2, I D P1, I D P3, II P1, II P5, and III P3.

In the largest communities, those with more than 100 thousand people, police sergeants perform, more frequently than their peers in smaller communities, tasks I A P1, I D P3, IX P2, and IX P8.

3. <u>Significant CS effect - Importance</u>. Items I A P2, I A P3, and I A P5 (Table 4a) are rated significantly lower in importance by police officers in communities of 50 - 100 thousand. Item II P5 is rated significantly lower in importance in communities of fewer than 10 thousand. This may be related to the findings that this item also is rated as being performed less frequently in the smaller communities. The supervision by the sergeant of men primarily concerned with traffic control (Item VII) is rated significantly as more important, in the smallest communities.

In summary, there are a wide variety of activities p' formed by sergeants which occur with greater or lesser frequency and are of

-6-

^{*} That is, the probability that the obtained differences are due to chance.

[#] The codes for Frequency and Importance are such that lower ratings represent greater frequency or importance and higher ratings indicate that these factors are less applicable. This should be kept in mind in reference to the findings in Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6.

greater or lesser importance depending on the size of the community in which the police jurisdiction is located. Promotional examination items related to these tasks should make allowance for the observed discrepancies.

4. <u>Significant R effect</u>. Reading current records on stolen vehicles, wanted persons or property (Item I A P2) is an activity which patrolmen rate as occurring less frequently and as being less important when compared with the ratings made by sergeants and lieutenants (Table 5a). The same holds true for Item IX P2 which relates to the sergeant's training of men in interrogation techniques. I A P5 also is viewed by patrolmen as occurring significantly less often.

Sergeants, on the other hand, rate Item I D P2 as a behavior which they perform more often than the ratings by patrolmen and lieutenants would indicate. Conversely, sergeants see themselves as performing less frequently than the other two ranks say they do the task described in Item V.

Differences in Frequency and Importance, of lesser statistical significance, due to evaluator's rank are produced by several other items.

5. <u>Significant CSxR effect</u>. Interaction effects are often difficult to interpret but that for Item I A P5 (Table 6a) for both Frequency and Importance evidently is due to the ratings made by patrolmen in communities of 50 - 100 thousand. These men consider this task as occurring less frequently and as being of lesser importance than do their peers. Items I A P6, I B P1, and I D P4 are not as clear cut; more than one cell appears to be contributing to the interaction effect.

-9-

B. Police Lieutenant

1. <u>Non-significant items</u>. Eighteen items yield non-significant differences in both Frequency and Importance ratings. Four items are non-significant for Frequency alone while seven are nonsignificant only for Importance. The tasks described by these items may form the nucleus of a set of promotional examination items for lieutenants which are generally applicable to jurisdictions within the state. The average ratings for these items range across both scales (Table 3b).

2. <u>Significant CS effect</u>. The lieutenant's role in the supervision of the handling of impounded narcotics (Item I D P3) is viewed differentially by police officers in communities of different sizes (Table 4b). It is rated as occurring less frequently in communities of 10 to 50 thousand and more frequently in communities of more than 100 thousand. A similar effect holds true for the Importance rating.

The assignment by the lieutenant of personnel to interrogate suspects (Item II A P5) occurs less frequently and is regarded as of lesser importance by police officers in communities of 10 to 50 thousand.

The task described in I A P3 is performed more frequently in communities of 50 thousand and over while the task of I E P4 is

-8-

often.

When a major crime is in progress the lieutenant may proceed to the scene to determine the overall picture and what action has been taken. This behavior (III C Pl) is rated as significantly more important by police officers in communities under 10 thousand and as significantly less important by police officers in communities of 50 to 100 thousand.

3. <u>Significant R effect</u>. Only three items on the lieutenant's form produce a significant (at the O5 level) R effect (Table 5b). Lieutenants apparently believe they perform the task described in I E P2 more frequently than sergeants and captains think they do. The same obtains for III B P2. Verifying statistical reports (III B P1) and using them to establish "spot maps" (III B P2) are tasks judged by lieutenants to be more important than either sergeants or captains deem them.

4. <u>Significant CSxR effect</u>. There is apparent disagreement among the superior officers in communities of less than 10 thousand about the frequency with which the lieutenant activates the civil defense plan (I E P4) given an unusual occurrence in the jurisdiction. The captain rates it at the highest point on the scale while lieutenants and sergeants say it is a task not performed by the lieutenant (Table 6b). For the same condition, the task described in I E P1 puts the captain and lieutenants on the same side against the sergeants' evaluations of the frequency with which the lieutenant performs this task. For both items, the ranks in larger-sized communities are in less disagreement about the lieutenant's behavior.

-11-

Sergeants in the smallest sized communities evaluate items I B and I D Pl as being of less importance than estimated by lieutenants and captains in these jurisdictions. Again, there is greater agreement among the ranks, in larger communities, on the importance of these tasks.

C. Police Captain

1. <u>Non-significant items</u>. Of the 37 items in the captain's form, 23 show no differences among communities or ranks in Frequency ratings and 27 are not significantly different in Importance.^{*} Nineteen of these items are non-significant for both Frequency and Importance. The mean ratings (Table 3c) range from 2.2 to 5.7 in frequency and from 1.5 to 4.6 in importance.

2. <u>Significant CS effect</u>. Two items yield a CS effect which is significant beyond the .001 level for both the Frequency and Importance ratings. The officers in the smaller jurisdictions rate I B as a task performed less frequently and of lesser importance (Table 4c). This item relates to the development and maintenance of morale and discipline. The captain's use of staff meetings to keep himself and his personnel informed is more frequent and is considered more important in departments in the largest communities.

-10-

^{*} The Importance ratings of one of the items, III A, could not be analyzed because of missing data.

Communication between the captain and his personnel is also at issue in I J P2. The preparation of informational memos is used more often and is considered more important in the largest communities. In this instance, officers in communities of 10 to 50 thousand react in the same fashion.

I F, I H and III A show Frequency differences between communities at a fairly high level of significance. This is also true for the Importance ratings of I C, III E, IV D P5, V A, and VII P1.

3. <u>Significant R effect</u>. Three items yield F ratios which are significant at only the 05 level (Table 5c). Captains evaluate the frequency and importance of meeting with community groups for discussion and planning of police-civilian relations at a lower level than either lieutenants or chiefs do (Item V A). The same holds true for the Importance rating of I D.

4. <u>Significant CSxR effect</u>. Three items show a significant interaction for Frequency; one is at the Ol level (Table 6c). This is item III B which concerns the captain's review of events which have transpired since his previous tour. Lieutenants in the smallest jurisdictions see this behavior as occurring less frequently than do other officers in their own and in larger departments.

Discussion

The major objective of this study was to explore a collection of behaviorally stated tasks performed by police sergeants, lieutenants, and captains, in order to identify those which apply generally to police jurisdictions regardless of size and those for which community size produces a differential effect. The results described above point to the successful attainment of this goal.

-13-

A sub-set of tasks which show no intercommunity differences has been isolated and described for each rank. Other sub-sets of tasks which do produce differences related to community size or to evaluator's rank have also been identified. The responses from the police officers of eight departments which provided the data for this study have shown that the methods of data collection and data analysis which were used do produce meaningful results. However, since the ultimate goal is to develop promotional examination items based on these tasks it will be necessary to replicate the study with a larger sample.

The sample for the replication study should be larger in two respects: the number of respondents within each jurisdiction should be increased and the number of jurisdictions sampled should also be greatly extended. Specifically, jurisdictions from the southern and western parts of the state should be more heavily sampled than they were in the present study.

Except for relatively few items within each form, perception of the frequency and importance of these tasks is unaffected by the rank of the observer. Future sampling might therefore concentrate more heavily on obtaining men in the specific rank to which the items pertain. The main effect of rank and the CSxR interaction might then be eliminated from the analysis.

The results of the replication will then produce two pools of behavioral items: 1) those unrelated to community size and

-12-

2) those for which community size is differentially significant. The tasks which are generally applicable would be examined in terms of their average Frequency and Importance ratings. Both of these factors would have to be considered in selecting the behaviors on which a nucleus of promotional examination items would be constructed. The content of these items would, of course, be task related. Item format, however, would be at the discretion of item development technicians. The format developed for and described in the final report of Phase III of the ETS study referred to earlier should be considered as one possible approach.

Those behaviors which yield differences related to community size will have to be examined separately. Promotional examination items based on these behaviors would have to be utilized differentially, according to the results of the replication study. It is feasible that "tailor made" promotional examinations will be available for applicants from communities of different size so that the content is a "fair" reflection of the officer's job as it is performed in different jurisdictions.

Reference

1. Educational Testing Service, <u>Development of Improved Examination</u> <u>Procedures for the Promotion of Police Officers in New York City</u>, Final Report Phase III of Study Conducted for Department of Personnel City of New York. Educational Testing Service, Princeton, New Jersey June, 1972.

Appendíx

4

•

POLICE BEHAVIORAL OBJECTIVES STUDY

Police Promotion Workshop		1. Name of community or jurisdiction
		2. Population:
Participant	Organization	less than 10,000
Lt. Henry G. Cunninghame	Plainfield Police Department	10,000 - 25,000
Lt. William Hullfish	Lawrence Twp. Police Department	25,000 - 50,000
Chief (Ret.) Peter J. McCrohan	Princeton Police Department	50,000 - 100,000
Capt. Michael Prisco	Lakewood Police Department	over 100,000
Chief William S. Seabridge	Lawrence Twp. Police Department	3. You consider your community to be:
Capt. Leon H. Smith	Trenton Police Department	Rural Suburban Urban
Lt. William Sommeling	Dover Twp. Police Department	4. Total number of full-time police officers in jurisdiction:
Robert Maurer	N. J. Department of Civil Service	less than 20 50 - 99
KODELL MAULEL		20 - 49 100 or more
Samuel Barnett	Educational Testing Service	5. Your rank:
Leo Goldstein	Educational Testing Service	Patrolman
Linda Staples	Educational Testing Service	Detective
Richard Thornton	Educational Testing Service	Sergeant
Raymond Wasdyke	Educational Testing Service	Lieutenant
		Captain
		Inspector
		Deputy Chief

1

POLICE BEHAVIORAL OBJECTIVES STUDY

During their tours of duty, police officers of all ranks perform a variety of actions or behaviors. For the purposes of this study, we are interested in those actions and behaviors which sergeants, lieutenants, and captains may perform as part of their duty.

Each action takes place within a specific context under specified circumstances which are referred to, in the following material, as the Condition. Certain criteria may be used as standards for evaluating the action or Performance; these standards are termed the Extent.

INSTRUCTIONS

On the following pages, you are given the applicable Condition and Extent for each Performance which an officer of the specified rank may perform during a tour of duty. For each Performance, you are to indicate its Importance as a police function and the Frequency with which it is performed by an officer of the specified rank (sergeant, lieutenant or captain), within your own jurisdiction, under the stated Condition and to the given Extent.

In assessing the Frequency and Importance of each Performance, use the following codes.

FREQUENCY

- 1 Performed at least once during each tour of duty
- 2 Performed less than once per tour but at least once a week
- 3 Performed less than once a week but at least once a month
- 4 Performed less than once a month but at least once a quarter
- 5 Performed less than once a quarter
- 6 Not performed by this officer

IMPORTANCE

- 1 Of greatest importance
- 2 Very important
- 3 Moderately important
- 4 Of little or no importance
- 5 Not applicable because not performed by this officer

(Keep this page available for reference while making your judgments)

EXAMPLE

Londition: Given a roll call at beginning of a tour of duty.

Squad or platoon meets minimal departmental standards of Ixtent: appearance; men are fully equipped.

Performance:

		Frequency	Importance
	The sergeant:		
P1:	Inspects men for proper carrying of firearms.	<u>\</u>	_\
P2:	Inspects men for uniform and appearance.	<u>\</u>	2

NOTE

If a Performance is not performed by the specified officer its requency 13 coded as 6 and Its Importance as 5. Frequency codes through 5 must be associated with an Importance code of 1, 2, 3 or 4. POLICE SERGEANT

Instrument A

I A. <u>Condition</u>: Given a group of police officers prepared for work at the beginning of a tour.

Extent: Men turned out meeting appearance standards and fully understanding day's assignment.

Performance:

	1.611.01	anee.	Frequency	Importance
	The sergeant:			
	P1:	Records current day's assignments in his memo book and compares with original.	CS 02	n s
	P2:	Reads current records on stolen vehicles, wanted persons or property.	CS 05 R 01	CS 001 R 01
	P3:	Calls roll verifying presence of each man and questioning under- standing of assignments.	CS 001 R 02	CS 01
	P4:	Inspects men for appearance and equipment.	ns	n s
	P5:	Verbally communicates important information about alarms and present conditions.	CS 01 R 001 C <u>SxR 0</u> 1	CS 01 CS <u>xR 05</u>
	P6:	Meets with sergeant going off duty to discuss present conditions.	<u>n s</u>	CS <u>xR 01</u>
IB.	Condit	Lon: Given a group of police officers for a tour, the sergeant cruises	assigned to a the zone or se	zone or sector
	Extent	Police officers adequately perfo subordinate relations.	rm duties with	good supervisor/
	Perfor	mance:		
			Frequency	Importance
	Т	he sergeant:		
	P1:	Observes and acts on signs of unlawful activities, police or public hazards or need for assistance.	n s	CS <u>xR 01</u>

P2: Observes police officers in the performance of their duties. CS 01 ns

Copyright C 1973 State of New Jersey All rights reserved.

ights reserved. (continued on next page)

	Perform	nance:		
			Frequency	Importance
	Th	e sergeant:		
	P3:	Monitors radio calls checking group's response and deciding which require his personal response.	n s	n s
	P4:	Advises police officers on proper course of action on unusual, uncommon or potentially dangerous incidents.	n s	<u>ns</u>
I C.	Conditi	on: Given all written reports of pol:	ice officers.	
	<u>Extent</u> .	Factual (people, data, things) co opinion content clear and identia		eurate;
	Perform	ance:		
			Frequency	Importance
	Th	e sergeant:		
		lews content for adequacy and iracy.	CSxR 05	<u>CS 05</u>
ID.	Conditi	on: Given a group of police officers	assigned.	
	Extent:	Police officers adequately perfor supervisor/subordinate relations		good
	Perform	ance:		
			Frequency	Importance
	Th	ne sergeant:		
	P1:	Exercises leadership, control and discipline.	CS 01	CS 05
	P2:	Discusses men's performance strengths and weaknesses with them.	R 01 CS 01	ns CS 05
	P3:	Applies equal standards to all.	<u>R 02</u>	
	P4:	Handles poor performance by a variety of actions ranging from recommending additional instruction	· .	
		to initiating formal charges.	n s	CS <u>xR 01</u>

-21-

1

- <u>Condition</u>: Given calls for police service within the sector covered by II. the group.
 - Correctly decides (1% to 2% room for error) on tasks of Extent: subordinates in crisis situations, committment of manpower and calling additional assistance.

Performance:

			Frequency	Importance
	Th	e sergeant:		
	P1:	May respond to and assume direction of the police officers on the scene.	<u>CS 01</u>	n s
	P2:	May initiate search, interrogate witnesses, broadcast description of perpetrator and call in specialized units, for crimes in progress.	n s	<u>CS 05</u>
	P3:	May isolate crime scene and keep witnesses separated, for serious crimes.	n s	n s
	P4:	May initially determine manpower needs and arrange assistance, for natural disasters.	n s	<u>CS 02</u>
	P5:	May either arrange evacuation or explain alternatives to person in charge, for bomb scares.	CS 001	CS 001 R 05
	P6:	Makes preliminary investigations of any injured on duty.	n s	R 05
v	P7:	Makes preliminary investigations of police vehicular accidents.	n s	<u>n s</u>
III. <u>(</u>	Conditi	ons: Given business establishments and	d other sites w	within a sector.
<u>1</u>	Extent:	Monitoring activity when other we crime incidence.	ork permits.	Standard is

Performance:

5

(continued on next page)

		Frequency	Importance
	The sergeant:		
	May review, spot check and report on appropriate forms for certain locations including		
	P1: All licensed premises,	n s	n s
	P2: Locations where public morals laws seem to be violated,	n s	ns
	P3: Location frequented by known gamblers or other suspicious persons,	CS 01 R 02	R 05
	P4: All schools,	ns	n s
	P5: Construction sites.	n s	n s
IV.	<u>Condition</u> : Given certain written communication public assigned to him by superior	ions or complain	ts from the
	Extent: Assignment correctly interpreted police investment.	and resolved wi	th minimum
	Performance:		
		Frequency	Importance
	The sergeant:		
	Interviews the parties concerned, attempts resolution and/or makes proper referrals for non-police		
	matters.	<u>ns</u>	<u>CS 05</u>
۷.	Condition: Given meetings of community or ne	ighborhood orgar	nizations.
	Extent: Good rapport established with grou	ups involved.	
	Performance:		
		Frequency	Importance
	The sergeant:		
	May be assigned or requested to attend	з <u>.</u>	
	and discuss police problems and answer questions.	R 01	R 05

.

١

-24-

VI. <u>Condition</u>: Given lack of availability of superior for periods ranging from meal time to an entire tour.

Extent: Performs with minimal reference to superiors and minimal delay in police response.

Performance:

			Frequency	Importance
	The se	rgeant:		
	Assumes assignme	all responsibility of the nt.	n s	ns
VII.	Condition:	Given assignment to traffic contr	col duties.	
Extent: Good traffic safety record in area; little of other traffic problems; minimal civilian com			ea; little conges civilian complain	tion or ts.

Performance:

Performance:	Frequency	Importance
The sergeant:		
Supervises men who are concerned primarily with traffic control.	<u>CS 02</u>	<u>CS 01</u>
VIII. <u>Condition</u> : Given specialized police eq technical.	uipment from simple to	highly
Extent: May not be able to operate application and can determi correctly.	equipment, but understa Ine when it is not opera	nds its ting
Performance:		
	Frequency	Importance
The sergeant:		
Has a working knowledge of the equipment, after receiving special training.	n s	n s
Equipment used: (check all applicable)	
Breathalyser	Special weapons	3
Radar	Other (spec:	Lfy)
Vascar		
Camera equipment	None of these	

IX. <u>Condition</u>: Given a group of police officers.

Extent: Clear communication. Men trained can perform adequately.

Performance:

		Frequency	Importance
Th	e sergeant:		
Trai work	ns and supervises the men in their . Training and/or supervision include	es:	
P1:	Proper searching of crime scene for physical evidence.	R 05	$\frac{n s}{CS 02}$
P2:	Interrogation technique/interview- ing suspects or offenders.	CS 01 <u>R 001</u>	$\begin{array}{c} \text{R } 01\\ \text{CS}_{\text{XR}} 05 \end{array}$
P3:	Developing of intelligence sources, i.e., "informers."	CS 05	<u>CS 01</u>
P4:	The proper method of maintaining surveillance, i.e., "tailing."	n s	<u>CS 02</u>
P5:	Making a thorough canvass of an area.	n s	n s
P6:	Becoming familiar with the identity and MO of criminals.	n s	n s
P7:	Becoming familiar with recent applicable court decisions.	n s	n s
P8:	Supervising the results of work performed out of his sight by reviewing men's reports and conferring with them.	<u>CS 01</u>	<u>CS 05</u>

POLICE LIEUTENANT

Instrument B

I A. Condition: Given prisoners who have to be booked.

Extent: 100% accurate according to court procedures.

Performance:

IB.

I C.

			Frequency	Importance
Th	ne li	eutenant:		
P1:	rep	iews and verifies the arrest ort to make sure all critical ormation is entered.	n s	<u>ns</u>
P2:	be	ermines the proper charges to filed by discussing the arrest h the officers.	CS 05	<u>CS 02</u>
P3:	pro par	ermines that the specified ocedures are followed ticularly in critical cases th as juveniles.	<u>CS 01</u>	n s
<u>Conditi</u>	ion:	Given a shift of police officers	during a normal	L tour of duty.
Extent: Supervision of recording with minimum clerical error (less than 10%)		error (less		
Perform	nance	2:		
			Frequency	Importance
Tł	he li	leutenant:		
		s the recording of all el activities.	n s	CSxR 05
<u>Condit</u> :	<u>ion</u> :	Given a group of police officers	at the beginning	ng of a tour.
Extent		Full communication of significan anticipated problems.	t present condi	tions and
Perfor	manco	2:		
			Frequency	Importance
T	he 1	ieutenant:		
-	t ch eara	ecks men and equipment for nce.	CS 05	<u>n s</u>

Copyright © 1973 State of New Jersey All rights reserved. -27-

I D. <u>Condition</u>: Given a precinct house and police property.

Extent: Supervision recording, etc. to guarantee 100% accuracy.

Performance:

		Frequency	Importance
Th	e lieutenant:		
P1:	Supervises the vouchering, receipting and handling of recovered lost property.	n s	CSxR 05
P2:	Supervises the preparation of vouchers for recovered autos, cancelling alarms when cars are recovered, and notification of owners when cars or other		
	property are recovered.	<u>n s</u>	n s
P3:	Supervises the handling of impounded narcotics.	<u>CS 001</u>	CS 01
P4:	Directs the station house supervisor in delivering impounded guns to Ballistics after vouchering.	n s	n s
Conditi	on: Given an unusual occurrence in t	the jurisdiction.	e.g., civil

- I E. <u>Condition</u>: Given an unusual occurrence in the jurisdiction, e.g., civil unrest, campus disorders, etc.
 - Extent: Correctly decides (1% to 2% room for error) on tasks of subordinates in crisis situations, committment of manpower and calling additional assistance.

Performance:

		Frequency	Importance
Tł	ne lieutenant:		
P1:	Notifies local commands as necessary and informs appropriate personnel.	CS 02 CSxR 05	n s
P2:	Evaluates the situation and directs subordinates in course of action.	CS 05 R 05	<u>n s</u>
P3:	May travel to the scene of the occurrence or set up command post elsewhere.	CS 01	n s
P4:	Activates civil defense (emergency) plan.	CS 01 CSxR 01	CS 05

-29-

		-28-		
IF.	Conditior	: Given field reports prepared by po	lice officers.	
	Extent:	Supervision of recording with mini (less than 10%).	mum clerical er	ror
	Performan	nce:		
			Frequency	Importance
	The	lieutenant:		
	Spot cards	checks offense forms, aided , accident forms.	n s	n s
IG.	Conditio			
	Extent:	Police officers adequately perform subordinate relations.	n duties with go	ood supervisor/
	Performa	nce:		
			Frequency	Importance
	The	lieutenant:		
		Counsels, advises, and instructs police officers and sergeants in the performance of their duties.	n s	n s
	P2:	Evaluates group and individual performance of police officers and sergeants and issues reprimands when necessary or refers them to higher authority.	n s	n s
II A	. Conditio	on: Given a normal functioning precin	ict.	
	Extent:	Police officers adequately perfor supervisor/subordinate relations.	m duties with g	boo
	Perform	ance:		
			Frequency	Importance
	Th	e lieutenant:		
	P1:	Supervises and participates in the clerical and record maintenance work of a precinct or unit.	n s	n s
	P2:	Selects men for special daily assignments such as fixed posts, etc	• <u>ns</u>	n s
	P3:	Supervises the preparation of the daily roll call.	CS 05	n s
			(continued	on next page)

	-29-		
Perform	nance:		
		Frequency	Importance
Tì	ne lieutenant:		
P4:	Reviews communications such as letters, teletype, memos, records of phone calls.	n s	n s
P5:	Assigns personnel to interrogate suspects.	<u>CS 001</u>	<u>CS 01</u>
P6:	Prepares vacation schedules, days off allotments.	n s	n s
II B. <u>Conditi</u>	ion: Given a variety of community rel problems.	lations activitie	es and
Extent	Community and individual problem level of police supervision.	ns resolved at lo	west possible
Perform	nance:		
		Frequency	Importance
Tł	ne lieutenant:		
P1:	May attend community meetings and make presentations at direction of chief of police.	n s	<u>n s</u>
P2:	Interviews persons who visit station house and wish to speak to someone in authority.	CS 02	<u>n s</u>
III A. <u>Conditi</u>	ion: Given reports of unusual occurre cases, serious incidents.	ences, e.g., majo	r criminal
<u>Extent</u> :	Correctly decides (1% to 2% room subordinates in crisis situation and calling additional assistance	s, committment o	
Perform	nance:		
		Frequency	Importance
Th	e lieutenant:		
P1:	Verifies that the actions of his men were complete, accurate and in good judgment in handling unusual occurrences.	n s	n s
P2:	Takes corrective action if something was neglected or done inaccurately.	<u>n s</u>	<u>ns</u>

III B. Condition: Given departmental statistical or other reports.

Performance:

		Frequency	Importance
The 1	ieutenant:		
	erifies reports for ompleteness and accuracy.	n s	R 05
of ir	eviews reports for the purpose E establishing "spot maps" to ndicate areas of crime oncentration.	R 05	R 05
as	ssigns men to high crime areas s a result of reviewing reports nd maps.	<u>n s</u>	n s

- III C. <u>Condition</u>: Given the occurrence of a major crime in progress during tour of duty.
 - Extent: Correctly decides (1% to 2% room for error) on tasks of subordinates in crisis situations, committment of manpower and calling additional assistance.

Performance:

			Frequency	Importance
Th	e lieu	tenant:		
P1:	deter	roceed to the scene and mine the overall picture and action has already been taken.	n s	CS 01
P2:	offic	inates the work of the police ers involved in the preliminary tigation.	n s	n s
	P2:1	May direct the securing of statements from all witnesses and canvasses the area for additional witnesses. Personally conducts interrogations of key witnesses and prime suspects.	ns.	n s
	P2:2	Directs the initial search for recovery of stolen property.	n s	n s
			(continued	on next page)

Performance:

FrequencyImportanceThe lieutenant:P2:3P2:3Makes sure that necessary
specialists in photography,
fingerprinting, blood and
material are notified.1.8n.8

-31-

	-32-					-33-		
	- J2 *]	POLICE CAPTAIN		0. 11.1			
	Instrument C			ID.	Condition:	Given a case of outstanding per	formance by a po	lice officer.
I A. <u>(</u>	Condition: Given a jurisdiction of police of:	ficer personne	1.		Extent:	Recommendation must be consiste	nt with police p	olicy.
<u>1</u>	Extent: Command personnel will apply train according to specified police polic	ning with 100% icy.	accuracy and	le contra de la contra de	Performance	<u>2</u> :	Frequency	Importance
T	Performance:				The ca	ptain:		
1	eriormance.	The second of	Importance	a barrante de la companya de la comp		-		
		Frequency	Importance	AVIe an extracted as		the case and recommends the ate measure of recognition.	R 05	ns
	The captain:			IE.	Condition:	Given a meeting with command me	mbers on their w	ork problems
	P1: Supervises the training of command personnel in the correct methods of		P 6	And a constraint of the constr		and those personal problems ari performance of duties.	sing from, or af:	Eecting their
	police operations.	<u>ns</u>	n s	and the second	Extent:	Recommendations must result in	increased efficie	ency and be
	P2: Instructs them on all laws, statutes, rules and procedures, and official			ALCONIN' MARKED AS		consistent with police policy.		iney and be
	policies affecting their duties.	n s	<u>ns</u>	n na seute control An	Performance	:		
IB.	Condition: Given a jurisdiction of police of	ficer and civi	lian personnel.	Service			Frequency	Importance
	Extent: The civilian and uniformed person disciplined unit with high morale	nel function a	as a well		The ca	ptain:		
	-			1000 (100 and 100 and 1		es barriers to effective nce and makes recommendations		
	Performance:	Frequency	Importance		to facil	itate the efficient execution		
		Frequency		n an Article	of work.		n s	n s
	The captain:			IF.	Condition:	Given a station house police for	rce and patrol fo	orce.
	Develops and maintains the morale and discipline of civilian and uniformed			a and a second	Extent:	Makes recommendations to improve	e the performance	e of his men.
	personnel in his command through			ce la companya de la	Performance	:		
	positive or negative response to their performance and conduct.	<u>CS 001</u>	<u>CC 001</u>	تلط المراجع ومعاري			Frequency	Importance
I C.	Condition: Given a disciplinary case concern	ning uniformed	police officers.	nation of the second	The cap	ptain:		99999-99999999999-999-999-999-999-999-
	Extent: Recommendation must be consistent	t with police p	policy.	nin andre and a state and a state and a state		men's performance as part		
	Performance:			Sander She Baktuka	observati	rregularly scheduled general ions of police responses to		
		Frequency	Importance	and the second	condition jurisdict	ns and situations in the tion.	CS 01	n s
	The captain:			na énerica				
	Reviews the case and recommends if			Natural States				
	preventive or punitive action is necessary.	ns	CS 01	vestor cart interaction			÷ .	
				No. Alexandra State				
	Copyright 😧 1973 State of New	Jersey						

All rights reserved.

I G.	<u>Condition</u> :	Given a police jurisdiction includies lieutenants.	ding sergeants a	ind	-
	Extent:	Evaluations must result in improve	ement of person	nel.	dan jala mela menangan
	Performance	:			konsi ne vi konsi a
			Frequency	Importance	
	The ca	ptain:			
	Prepares periodic	formal evaluations on a basis.	n s	<u>n s</u>	
IH.	Condition:	Given civilian and uniformed cler:	ical personnel.		version de la contraction
	Extent:	Output of personnel must be consist requirements.	stent with depai	rtmental	and a first store balance balance balance
	Performance	:			a Valanta da Martina da
			Frequency	Importance	II.
	The cap	ptain:			
	Maintain: performan	s general supervision over their nce.	CS 01	<u>CS 05</u>	
II.	Condition:	Given a variety of enforcement act summonses.	tivities, e.g.,	arrests,	
	Extent:	Recommendations are based on prede policies and no evidence of bias of			
	Performance	:			
			Frequency	<u>limportance</u>	
	The cap	ptain:			
	dete judį	iews reports of his men to ermine their awareness, accuracy, gment and throughness in perform- their work.	CSxR 05	n s	III
	uni	ommends transfers to specialized ts in recognition of particular ll or outstanding work.	CS 05	n s	

- I J. <u>Condition</u>: Given new police policies, orders and procedures, situations and conditions requiring special attention, or incomplete or continuing assignments from the previous tour.
 - Extent: Performance of police officers must be 100% accurate concerning changes in general operating procedures.

Performance:

				Frequency	Importance
	Th	ne ca	aptain:		
	P1:	ofi	nducts roll call to inform police Ficers of new circumstances or Licies.	CS 05 R 05	ns
	P2:	squ	epares informational memos to ad commanders concerning new cumstances or policies.	CS 01	<u>CS 01</u>
I.	<u>Conditi</u>	on:	Given police departmental facilit	ies, vehicles	, and equipment.
	Extent:		Police departmental facilities, we complement the performance of poly present unsafe conditions.	ehicles, and a ice officers a	equipment must and in no way
	Perform	ance	:		
				Frequency	Importance
	The	e ca	ptain:		
	P1:	app ser	pects the condition, earance, security, and viceability of facilities, icles and equipment.	CS 05 CS×R 05	n s
	P2:	Reco ord	ommends improvement by ering repairs and replacements.	n s	n s
IA.	Conditio	<u>on</u> :	Given police officers in a jurisdi	.ction.	
	Extent:		Control of crime and provision of service.	public and co	mmunity
	Performa	ince	:		
				Frequency	Importance
	The	cap	tain:		
	Plans of po	the lice	e assignment and deployment personnel and equipment.	CS 01	*
	* Anal	ysis	impossible because of missing data	a	

							-37-		
IIIB.	<u>Condition</u> :	Given blotter entries, current re- teletype messages, and crime comp investigation forms at the start of	laint and follo		IIIE.	<u>Condition</u> : Extent:	Given police officers in a juris Assignments must be made in keep		in optabliched
	Extent:	Reviews materials and applies know	wledge with 100	% accuracy.		and the second	by departmental policy.	ing with citter	ia established
	Performance	<u>.</u> :				Performance	2:		
			Frequency	Importance				Frequency	Importance
	The ca	ptain:				The ca	aptain:		
IIIC.	his prev	Given crime statistic survey and a Results of review are 100% accurat	-			regular activiti seniorit sergeant own eval	police officers to the various details on the basis of their es and performance records, y, recommendations of their and lieutenants, and his uation of their capacity to the specific functions of a stail.	n s	<u>CS 01</u>
	Performance	data.			IIIF.	Condition:	Given the instance when large co are assigned to special details.	ntingents from	the command
			Frequency	Importance		Extent:	No increase in the crime rate.		
	The ca	ptain:				Performance	:		
TTD	trends, incidenc long-ran	materials to determine crime changes in areas of high e of crime, and potential ge problems.	<u>n s</u>	<u>n s</u>			ptain: es the most effective method ding patrol coverage.	Frequency	Importance
IIID.	<u>Condition</u> : <u>Extent</u> :	Given incoming communications. Identifies 100% of communications attention.	requiring prom	pt police	IVA.		Given a serious or unusual occur multiple alarm fires, serious cr	<u>n s</u> rence, e.g. eart ime occurrences	<u>ns</u> thquake, riot,
	Performance					Extent:	Emergency or unusual occurrence situation.		
			Frequency	Importance		Performance	:		
	The ca	ptain:						Frequency	Importance
		communications to identify ns requiring prompt police n.	n s	<u>n s</u>		additiona from othe to assist emergency	otain: es the necessity of requesting al police manpower and vehicles er command posts or jurisdictions t his force in handling the y and to augment patrol coverage urisdiction.	<u>n s</u>	<u>n s</u>

-36-

1

. .

-

T11D	Condition:	Given a jurisdiction during a tour	of duty.			Perfo
IVB.	Extent:	Assistance or supervision given wh	en required an	d according	•	
	JALCHU.	to established departmental guidel	ines.			,
	Performanc	<u>e</u> :				P4
			Frequency	Importance		
	The c	aptain:				
	to obse assista	the jurisdiction periodically rve conditions and to lend nce or to give supervision in f serious or unusual occurrences.	n s	<u>n s</u>		P5
IVC.		Given a large parade or other pub.	lic assembly.			
	Extent:	Avoidance of potential problems.				P6
	Performanc	e:				
			Frequency	importance	i	P7
	The c	captain:				
	Command	ls large local detail of police is assigned to the field.	<u>CS 02</u>	<u>ns</u>		
IVD.	Condition	: Given a jurisdiction of rolice of	ficers.		VA.	<u>Condi</u>
	Extent:	Accurate 100% of the time.				Exten
	Performan	<u>ce</u> :				Perfo
			Frequency	Importance		
	The	captain:				ŋ
	c a	irects investigations of police or rime activities in his command nd the preparation and verification	n s	ns		Mee con to
		f reports. irects the answering of all			VB.	Condit
	r2. D C	ommunications to his command.	n s	<u>ns</u>		Extent
	r	frects investigation and issues reports on police activities and rime situations in the jurisdiction	<u>ns</u>	n s		
						נ
			(continu	ed on next page)		Coc wit and

		Frequency	Importance
Tł	ne captain:		
P4:	Investigates allegations made within the department of negligence, graft, corruption, and other improper conduct concerning members of his command.	n s	n s
P5:	Investigates or delegates the investigation of civilian complaints regarding the performance of police officers in his command.	<u>n s</u>	<u>CS 01</u>
P6:	Investigates vehicular and other accidents involving departmental vehicles and injuries to police officers occurring during his tour.	<u>n s</u>	n s
P7:	Investigates police situations involving the firing of shots, the loss of equipment, shield or revolver by a command member, major crimes occurring in the command, and all		
	unusual occurrences and situations.	n s	n s
Conditi	unusual occurrences and situations.		
	unusual occurrences and situations.	nofficial comm	
Extent:	unusual occurrences and situations. <u>.on</u> : Given a variety of official and un Good rapport established with com	nofficial comm	
Extent:	unusual occurrences and situations. <u>.on</u> : Given a variety of official and un Good rapport established with com	nofficial comm	
Extent: Perform	unusual occurrences and situations. <u>.on</u> : Given a variety of official and un Good rapport established with com	nofficial comm munity groups.	unity groups.
<u>Extent</u> : <u>Perform</u> Th Meet comm	unusual occurrences and situations. .on: Given a variety of official and un Good rapport established with com mance:	nofficial comm munity groups.	unity groups.
Meet	unusual occurrences and situations. <u>con</u> : Given a variety of official and un Good rapport established with community <u>nance</u> : the captain: ts with community groups to discuss munity needs, to plan programs and mprove police-civilian relations.	nofficial commu munity groups. <u>Frequency</u> <u>R 05</u>	Importance CS 01 R 05
Extent: Perform Th Meet comm to i Conditi	unusual occurrences and situations. <u>on</u> : Given a variety of official and un Good rapport established with community <u>mance</u> : the captain: ts with community groups to discuss munity needs, to plan programs and mprove police-civilian relations. <u>on</u> : Given a variety of city or communi- enforcement organizations.	nofficial commu munity groups. <u>Frequency</u> <u>R 05</u> ity agencies an	Importance CS 01 R 05 nd other law
Extent: Perform Th Meet comm to i	unusual occurrences and situations. <u>con</u> : Given a variety of official and un Good rapport established with community <u>nance</u> : the captain: ts with community groups to discuss nunity needs, to plan programs and mprove police-civilian relations. <u>con</u> : Given a variety of city or communi- enforcement organizations. Coordinates activities within the	nofficial commu munity groups. <u>Frequency</u> <u>R 05</u> ity agencies an	Importance CS 01 R 05 nd other law ne police
Extent: Perform Th Meet comm to i Conditi Extent:	unusual occurrences and situations. <u>con</u> : Given a variety of official and un Good rapport established with community <u>nance</u> : the captain: ts with community groups to discuss nunity needs, to plan programs and mprove police-civilian relations. <u>con</u> : Given a variety of city or communi- enforcement organizations. Coordinates activities within the	nofficial commu munity groups. <u>Frequency</u> <u>R 05</u> ity agencies an policies of th	Importance CS 01 R 05 nd other law

-39-

/I. Condition: Given the need for communication	with	th subordinat	:es.
---	------	---------------	------

Extent: Full awareness of status of command and understanding of subordinates.

Performance:

Frequency Importance

The captain:

Initiates and conducts staff meetingsto keep himself informed of status ofcommand and to transmit information.CS 001CS 001

VII. Condition: Given the need for a yearly budget.

Extent: Effective operation of unit within budgetary limits.

Performance:

FrequencyImportanceThe captain:P1: Prepares personnel and equipment
budget for coming year.n sCS 01

- P2: Authorizes expenditure of budgeted resources. <u>ns</u> <u>ns</u>
- VIII. <u>Condition</u>: Given correspondence from the public or from within the department.

Extent: Effective and timely resolution of questions raised.

Performance:

	Frequency	Importance
The captain:		
Responds directly in writing or by		
phone.	ns	<u>n s</u>

<u>Table 1</u>

Specified characteristics of communities selected for study.

Community	Population (000's)	Number of Full Time Police Officers	r/s/u	Country
	······	- office officers	<u> </u>	County
Elizabeth	100 or more	100 or more	U	Union
Trenton	100 or more	100 or more	U	Mercer
*Bloomfield	50 - 100	100 or more	U	Essex
Union City	50 - 100	100 or more	U	Hudson
Hackensack	10 - 50	50 - 99	u/s	Bergen
Hillside	10 - 50	50 - 99	u/s	Union
Lakewood	10 - 50	50 - 99	S	Ocean
*Boonton	less than 10	20 - 49	S	Morris
Edgewater	less than 10	20 - 49	u/s	Bergen
Belmar	less than 10	20 - 49	S	Monmouth

*Although police officials in these communities expressed willingness to participate in the study, unforeseen circumstances made it impossible for completed forms to be returned to ETS in time for inclusion in the analysis.

-41-

Total 24 26	100 or more91050 - 1004410 - 5099Less than 1023	<u>Captain's Form</u> Lieutenant Captain	Total 28 24	10 - 50 11 9 Less than 10 3 2	100 or more 9 9 50 - 100 5 4	Lieutenant's Form Sergeant Lieutenant	Total 33 31	ss than 10 9	50 - 100 5 5 10 - 50 10 11	0 or more 9	Community size in 000's Patrolman Sergeant	iro i	forms.	specified size, evaluating sergeant's, li	Number of evaluators at each rank, w	Table 2
9 59	4 23 1 9 2 20 2 7	Deputy Chief or Chief Total	21 73	7 27 1 6	9 27 4 13	nt Captain Total	21 85	2 17	4 14 6 27		Lieutenant Total			lieutenant's, and captain's	each rank, within communities of	

<u>Table 3</u>

Grand mean ratings of Frequency and Importance for items having non-significant main effects and interaction.

بالمريط متبد بججا وليد المربطانين بحالي

а.	Sergeant's	Form
----	------------	------

Item	Frequency	Importance	N	Item	Frequency	Importance	N
I A P1 I A P4 I A P6 I B P1 I B P2 I B P3 I B P4 I C I D P2 I D P4 II P1 II P2 II P3 II P4	1.4 1.4 1.2 1.2 1.4 2.9 1.9 2.4 3.8	2.2 1.9 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.8 2.1 1.5 1.4	85 84 85 84 85 84 83 85 85 85 85 85 85	II P6 II P7 III P1 III P2 III P4 III P5 IV VI VI VI IX P1 IX P4 IX P4 IX P5 IX P6	2.7 3.5 4.1 3.2 2.9 3.4 2.5 1.7 2.7 4.7 3.6 3.1	2.5 3.3 2.6 2.6 3.2 1.6 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.6 2.5	 84 85 85 85 85 83 83 82/83 85 85 85 85 84
	5.0		85	IX P7	2.9	2.0	85

* Frequency/Importance

-43-

-42-

Table 3 (continued)

•,

~

Item	Frequency	Importance	N	Item	Frequency	Importance	N
I A P1 I A P3 I B I C I D P1 I D P2 I D P4 I E P1 I E P2 I E P3 I F I G P1 I G P2 I I A P1	1.3 1.5 1.9 2.2 4.7 1.2 1.8 2.4 2.1	1.4 1.7 2.1 2.3 3.8 1.8 2.1 3.1 1.7 1.9 1.9 2.4	73 73 70 73 72 71 71 71 71 71 72 72 72 72 72	II A P2 II A P3 II A P4 II A P6 II B P1 II B P2 III A P1 III A P1 III A P2 III B P1 III B P3 III C P2 III C P2 III C P22 III C P22	2.2 1.9 5.3 4.9 2.0 1.8 2.1 3.7 3.6 2.6 4.8 4.7 2.7	2.5 2.6 2.3 4.5 3.6 2.3 1.6 1.5 3.1 2.2 3.8 3.9 1.9	72 72 73 73 73 73 73 73 72 72 72 72 72 72 70/71 70/71 72

b. Lieutenant's Form

Table 3 (continued)

c. <u>Captain's Form</u>

Item	Frequency	Importance	N	Item	Frequency	Importance	N
I A Pl	2.2	1.8	59	III E	3.8		58
I A P2	2.9	2.0	59	III F	3.6	2.7	51
IC	2.9		59	IV A	3.7	2.5	58
ID		1.8	58	IV B	2.3	2.0	58
ΙE	3.1	2.2	58	IV C		2.8	53
IF		2.1	58	IV D P1	2.6	2.4	58
I G	5.1	3.7	59	IV D P2	2.9	2.8	58
IIP1		2.1	59	IV D P3	2.9	2.5	58
IIP2		3.5	59	IV D P4	2.8	1.6	58
I J P1		3.7	59	IV D P5	3.2		57
II Pl		2,9	59	IV D P6	3.7	3.1	55/57
II P2	3.2	2.7	59	IV D P7	3.0	2.1	56/58
III B		1.5	59	V B	4.1	3.0	58
III C	2.9	2.4	59	VII P1	5.2		59
III D	2.6	2.7	59	VII P2	5.7	4.6	59
				VIII	2.2	2.1	59

-44-

• ~ ~

-45-

-46-

Table 4

Mean ratings (by Community Size) of Frequency and Importance for items with significant Community Size (CS) main effect.

a. Sergeant's Form

Item		F	reque CS	ncy			Im	porta CS	nce	
		2	3	4	Total		2	3	4	Total
I A P1	2.3	1.9	2.8	1.0	2.0					
I A P2	1.6	2.0	3.2	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.2	3.2	2.0	2.2
I A P3	1.8	1.4	4.0	2.4	2.4	2.1	1.7	3.4	2.3	2.4
I A P5	1.1	1.4	2.1	1.0	1.4	1.1	1.6	2.3	1.5	1.6
I B P2	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3					
I D P1	2.2	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.0	1.4
I D P3	2.0	2.2	1.0	1.1	1.6	1.4	2.0	1.3	1.2	1.5
II P1	2.2	1.3	1.1	1.8	1.6					
II P2						1.4	2.1	1.4	1.6	1.6
II P4						3.3	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.3
II P5	5.1	3.5	1.8	3.7	3.5	3.6	2.0	1.5	1.7	2.2
III P3	3.7	4.0	2.1	2.6	3.1					
IV						2.2	2.8	2.9	2.2	2.5
VII	1.8	3.6	4.1	2.7	3.1	2.1	3.2	3.8	2.7	2.9
IX P2	3.1	3.9	3.4	2.5	3.2	2.0	2.9	2.7	1.8	2.4
IX P3	4.1	4.3	5.0	3.4	4.2	2.9	3.4	4.3	2.6	3.3
IX P4						3.7	3.7	4.6	3.1	3.8
IX P8	2.3	2.7	1.1	1.4	1.9	1.8	2.5	1.4	1.6	1.8

CS

1 - less than 10 thousand

2 - 10 to 50 thousand

3 - 50 to 100 thousand

4 - more than 100 thousand

Table 4 (continued)

b. Lieutenant's Form

Item		F	reque CS	ncy			Im	porta CS	nce	
	_1	2.	3	4	Total	_1	2	3	4	Total
I A P2 I A P3	2.0 2.3	2.1 2.5	1.1 1.2	1.3 1.3	1.6 1.8	2.3	2.1	1.4	1.4	1.8
I C I D P3	1.3 3.6	2.6 4.8	1.5 3.9	1.5 2.4	1.7 3.7	2.6	3.7	3.2	2.1	2.9
I E P1 I E P2	2.4	2.9	4.0 4.3	4.2	3.4 3.5					
IEP3 IEP4 IIAP3	2.2 4.3 2.8	4.3 4.6 3.3	5.3 5.9 1.0	4.9 5.4 3.0	4.2 5.1 2.5	3.7	3.2	4.8	3.8	3.9
II A P5 II B P2	3.8	5.4 1.7	3.5	3.1 1.3	3.9 1.8	3.1	4.4	3.3	2.9	3.4
III C P1			2.0			1.3	2.6	4.2	3.0	2.8

c. <u>Captain's Form</u>

	Frequency	<u>y</u>	Importance
Item	CS		CS
	1 2 3	<u>4 Total 1</u>	<u>2 3 4 Total</u>
I B I C	3.8 2.2 1.2 1.	.3 2.1 3.2 3.0	1.7 1.4 1.4 1.9 2.2 1.3 1.3 1.9
l F		.4 2.3	
I H I I P2 I J P1	4.8 5.3 5.2 3	.6 2.0 3.3 .9 4.8 .9 4.4	2.2 1.9 2.0 2.4
I J P2 II P1	4.2 2.0 3.8 1. 3.8 2.3 3.7 4.	.9 3.0 3.4 .0 3.4	1.8 3.3 1.8 2.5
III A III B		.9 2.3 .1 1.4	
III E		4.0	2.8 3.5 2.0 3.1
IV C IV D P5 V A	5.5 3.2 4.2 4.	.8 4.4 3.6 1.9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
VI VII P1	3.8 5.1 5.6 2.	.9 4.3 3.0 4.1	3.8 4.7 1.9 3.3 3.4 4.7 2.4 3.6

.

-49-

Table 6

<u>Table 5</u>

.

Mean ratings (by Rank of evaluator) of Frequency and Importance for items with significant Rank (R) main effect.

Item		Fre	equency R		Importance R					
		2	3	Total	_1	2	3	Total		
			a. <u>Ser</u>	geant's Form						
I A P2 I A P3 I A P5 I D P2 I D P3	2.9 3.2 2.0 3.2 1.9	1.5 2.1 1.1 1.9 1.1	1.8 1.8 1.1 2.7 1.8	2.0 2.4 1.4 2.6 1.6	2.8	1.9	1.9	2.2		
I D PS II P5 II P6 III P3 V IX P1 IX P2	3.1 4.5 3.5 4.3	2.4 5.6 3.2 3.2	3.9 4.6 2.2 2.3	3.1 4.9 2.9 3.2	1.7 1.7 2.5 3.3 3.0	2.3 2.1 2.2 4.3 2.2	2.6 1.6 3.2 3.8 1.9	2.2 1.8 2.6 3.8 2.4		
		1	o. <u>Lieu</u>	tenant's Form	ŀ					
I E P2 III B P1 III B P2	4.2 5.0	2.6 3.5	3.5 5.1	3.5 4.5	2.3 4.2	1.5 3.0	2.8 4.3	2.2 3.8		
			с. <u>Сар</u>	tain's Form						

	_		~ '	~ /					
Ι	D	2.2	3.4	2.4	2.6				
I	J P1	5.3	4.3	3.6	4.4				
V	А	4.2	5.2	3.9	4.4	2.4	3.6	2.9	3.0

<u>R</u>

1 – Rank below

2 - Same rank as form

3 – Rank above

Mean ratings (by Rank within Community Size) of Frequency and Importance for items with significant CSxR interaction.

		a. <u>S</u>	ergean					
Item		F	requen R	су	Importance R			
	CS	1	2	3	1	2	3	
I A P5	1 2 3 4	1.3 1.8 4.0 1.0	1.0 1.0 1.2 1.0	1.0 1.3 1.0 1.0	1.1 1.5 3.4 1.4	1.3 1.7 1.6 1.4	1.0 1.5 1.8 1.6	
I A P6	1 2 3 4				1.3 1.2 1.4 2.0	1.2 1.9 2.6 1.2	1.0 1.3 1.3 1.4	
I B P1	1 2 3 4				1.3 1.3 2.2 1.3	1.3 1.9 1.4 1.2	1.0 1.6 1.0 1.6	
IC	1 2 3 4	2.9 1.3 3.0 1.0	1.8 1.5 1.0 1.6	1.5 3.0 1.0 1.2				
IDP4	1 2 3 4				2.9 2.4 1.4 1.6	2.2 2.0 3.6 1.4	1.0 1.3 2.5 1.7	
IX P2	1 2 3 4				2.3 3.0 4.6 2.1	2.7 3.0 1.8 1.3	1.0 2.8 1.8 2.0	

.

-48-

-50-

Table 6 (continued)

b. Lieutenant's Form

Item		Fr	equenc R	Importance R			
	CS	1	2	3	1	2	3
I B	1 2 3 4				3.3 2.0 1.6 1.4	1.5 2.3 1.5 2.0	1.0 1.7 1.8 1.4
IDP1	1 2 3 4				2.7 2.3 2.2 1.9	1.5 2.9 1.3 1.9	1.0 1.6 2.8 1.8
I E P1	1 2 3 4	5.3 3.1 2.8 4.6	1.0 2.7 4.5 3.7	1.0 3.0 4.8 4.4			
IEP4	1 2 3 4	6.0 4.4 5.8 5.8	6.0 4.0 6.0 5.4	1.0 5.6 6.0 5.1			

c. Captain's Form

Item	Frequency R					Importance R		
	CS	1	2	3		1	2	3
I I P1	1 2 3 4	1.0 2.1 1.5 2.8	3.3 3.1 1.0 1.7	2.0 2.0 6.0 1.3				
LI P1	1 2 3 4	6.0 2.8 2.0 5.1	4.3 2.6 3.0 4.2	1.0 1.5 6.0 2.8				
III B	1 2 3 4	3.5 1.2 1.0 1.0	1.3 1.4 1.0 1.3	1.0 2.0 1.0 1.0				

2

END

1 Course Harris

