1-19-94



# OHIO COURTS SUMMARY

1992

poult our in its

#### **OHIO COURTS SUMMARY**

1992

#### THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer
Justice A. William Sweeney
Justice Robert E. Holmes
Justice Andy Douglas
Justice Craig Wright
Justice Herbert R. Brown
Justice Alice Robie Resnick

Stephan W. Stover Administrative Director

Supreme Court of Ohio 30 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43266-0419

#### **OHIO COURTS SUMMARY STAFF**

STATISTICS OFFICERREPORT PRODUCTION AND GRAPHICS	DOUGLAS R. STEPHENS
SECRETARY	MARY ELLEN JOHNSTON
DATA ENTRY	JACKIE M. CROWLEY
COMPUTER PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT	SCOTT SNOKE

143730

#### U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by Supreme Court of Ohio

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

#### The Supreme Court of Ohio

The Supreme Court of Ohio is pleased to issue this 36th annual Ohio Courts Summary. The Summary is a reflection of the dedication of Ohio's judges and court personnel.

A total of 3,014,577 new cases were filed in Ohio courts in 1992; 2,646 in the Supreme Court; 11,377 in the courts of appeals; 614,865 in the courts of common pleas; 2,147,540 in the municipal courts; 236,963 in the county courts, and 1,186 in the Ohio Court of Claims.

While all courts remain very busy, two specific areas continue to warrant special attention. Felony filings in the common pleas courts continued to climb in 1992. With a nearly five percent increase this year, these case filings have risen thirty-five percent since 1988. Also, the number of delinquency cases transferred from the juvenile courts to be prosecuted as adult felony cases exceeded four hundred for the second year in a row.

A commitment to consistently reported case statistics has enhanced the ability of the judiciary to develop new approaches to case management and make better use of finite resources. Alternative dispute resolution, technology, and other innovative approaches to handling caseloads continue to grow in popularity throughout Ohio.

We appreciate the assistance of all those involved in the preparation of this report. Together, we will continue to attain excellence in the administration of justice for the citizens of Ohio.

THOMAS'J. MOYER

Chief Justice

Supreme Court of Ohio

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION A - DESCRIPTIONS OF OHIO COURTS	
Structure of the Ohio Judicial System	1A
Supreme Court of Ohio	2A
Courts of Appeals	4A
Appellate District Map	5A
Court of Claims	6A
Courts of Common Pleas	7A
Jurisdictional Distribution	9A
Municipal and County Courts	10A
Mayor's Courts All Ohio Courts Total New Cases Filed 1988-1992	11A 12A
All Onio Courts Total New Cases Filed 1988-1992	12A
SECTION B - SUPREME COURT OF OHIO 1992 ANNUAL REPORT	
Introduction	1B
Key Issues and Events of 1992	2B
Supreme Court Caseload Statistics	7B
Rules Amended or Adopted in 1992	8B
Supreme Court Activities	9B
Supreme Court Standing Committees and Offices	11B
Supreme Court Special Committees	16B
Statutory Committees	19B
Office of the Administrative Director	19B
SECTION C - COURTS OF APPEALS	
Overall Caseloads	1C
New Cases Filed Comparison (graph)	2C
Statewide New Cases Filed and Total Terminations	
Comparison (graph)	3C
Criminal Appeals	4C
Civil Appeals	5C
Domestic Relations, Juvenile, and Probate Appeals	6C
Miscellaneous Matters	7C
Average New Cases Filed Per Judge (graph)	8C
SECTION D - COURT OF CLAIMS	
Overall Caseloads (Including Victims of	
Crime Claims)	1D

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS continued

#### SECTION E - COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS

General Division	
Composite Report Form for Entire State Overall Caseloads Professional Tort Product Liability Other Torts Workers' Compensation Foreclosures Administrative Appeal Complex Litigation Other Civil Criminal Statewide New Criminal Cases Filed and Total Terminations Comparison (graph) New Cases Filed Comparison (graph)	1E 2E 4E 8E 12E 16E 20E 24E 28E 32E 36E 40E 41E
SECTION F - COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS	
Domestic Relations Division	
Composite Report Form for Entire State Overall Caseloads Statewide New Cases Filed and Total Terminations Comparison (graph)	1F 2F 4F
SECTION G - COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS	
Probate Division	
Composite Report Form for Entire State Overall Caseloads Decedents' Estates and Wrongful Death Guardianships Conservatorships, Testamentary Trusts, and Civil Actions Miscellaneous Activities Statewide New Cases Filed and Total	1G 2G 4G 6G 8G 10G
Terminations Comparison (graph)	ساجد

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS continued

#### SECTION H - COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS

Juvenile Division	
Composite Report Form for Entire State Overall Caseloads Delinquency	1H 2H 4H
Unruly	8 <b>H</b>
Statewide New Cases Filed and Total Terminations Comparison (graph)	12H
SECTION I - MUNICIPAL COURTS	
Composite Administrative Judge Report	
Form for Entire State	1I
Composite Individual Judge Report	
Form for Entire State	2I
New Cases Filed Comparison (graph)	3I 4I
Overall Caseloads	6I
Felony Statewide New Felony Cases Filed and Total	OI.
Terminations Comparison (graph)	10 <b>I</b>
Statewide New Misdemeanor Cases Filed and Total	
Terminations Comparison (graph)	11 <b>I</b>
Misdemeanor	12I
O.M.V.I.	16I
Statewide New O.M.V.I. Cases Filed and Total	
Terminations Comparison (graph)	201
Statewide New Other Traffic Cases Filed and Total	217
Terminations Comparison (graph)	21I 22I
Other Traffic	26I
Personal Injury and Property Damage	201
Statewide New Personal Injury Cases Filed and Total Terminations Comparison (graph)	<b>30I</b>
Statewide New Contracts Cases Filed and Total	301
Terminations Comparison (graph)	31I
Contracts	32I
F.E.D	<b>36I</b>
Statewide New F.E.D. Cases Filed and Total	
Terminations Comparison (graph)	<b>40I</b>
Statewide New Other Civil Cases Filed and Total	
Terminations Comparison (graph)	411
Other Civil	42I
Small Claims	46I
Statewide New Small Claims Cases Filed and Total	<b>₹</b> ∩T
Terminations Comparison (graph)	501

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS continued

#### SECTION J - COUNTY COURTS

Co	omposite Administrative Judge Report	
	Form for Entire State	13
Co	omposite Individual Judge Report	
	Form for Entire State	<b>2J</b>
O	verall Caseloads	<b>3</b> J
Fe	elony	<b>4</b> J
St	atewide New Felony Cases Filed and Total	
	Terminations Comparison (graph)	6 <b>J</b>
St	atewide New Misdemeanor Cases Filed and Total	
	Terminations Comparison (graph)	<b>7</b> J
	isdemeanor	<b>8</b> J
	.M.V.I.	10J
St	atewide New O.M.V.I. Cases Filed and Total	
	Terminations Comparison (graph)	12J
St	atewide New Other Traffic Cases Filed and Total	
_	Terminations Comparison (graph)	13 <b>J</b>
	ther Traffic	14J
	ersonal Injury and Property Damage	16 <b>J</b>
St	atewide New Personal Injury and Property Damage	
	Cases Filed and Total Terminations	407
<b></b> .	Comparison (graph)	18 <b>J</b>
St	atewide New Contracts Cases Filed and Total	40.
_	Terminations Comparison (graph)	19J
	ontracts	20J
	E.D	22J
St	atewide New F.E.D. Cases Filed and Total	0.41
σ.	Terminations Comparison (graph)	24J
St	atewide New Other Civil Cases Filed and Total	OCT
_	Terminations Comparison (graph)	25J
	ther Civil	26J
	nall Claims	28J
St	atewide New Small Claims Cases Filed and Total	201
), T	Terminations Comparison (graph)	30J
N	ew Cases Filed Comparison (graph)	31 <b>J</b>
SECTIO	ON K - DEFINITIONS	
C	ourts of Common Pleas, Municipal	
	and County Courts	1 <b>K</b>
. M	funicipal and County Courts Only	4K

## SECTION A

## DESCRIPTIONS OF OHIO COURTS

#### STRUCTURE OF THE OHIO JUDICIAL SYSTEM - 1992

#### **SUPREME COURT**

Chief Justice and Six Justices

Original jurisdiction in select cases; court of last resort on state constitutional questions and questions of public or great general interest; appeals from Board of Tax Appeals and Public Utilities Commission

#### **COURTS OF APPEALS**

12 Courts, 65 Judges Three Judge Panels

Original jurisdiction in select cases; appellate review of judgments of Common Pleas, Municipal, and County Courts; appeals from Board of Tax Appeals

#### **COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS**

88 Courts, 355 Judges

Probate Division
Probate, adoption,
and mental illness
cases

General Division
Civil and criminal cases;
appeals from most
administrative agencies

Domestic Relations

<u>Division</u>

Divorces and dissolutions;

support and

custody of children

Juvenile Division
Offenses involving
minors; most
paternity actions

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS

118 Courts, 201 Judges

Misdemeanor offenses; traffic cases; civil actions up to \$10,000

#### **COUNTY COURTS**

49 Courts, 55 Judges

Misdemeanor offenses; traffic cases; civil actions up to \$3,000

#### **COURT OF CLAIMS**

Judges assigned by Supreme Court

All suits against the state for personal injury, property damage, contract, and wrongful death; compensation for victims of crime; three judge panels upon request

#### **MAYORS COURTS**

Approximately 500 Mayors

Misdemeanor offenses; traffic cases

#### SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

The Supreme Court of Ohio is established by Article IV, Section 1 of the Ohio Constitution, which provides that: "The judicial power of the state is vested in a supreme court, courts of appeals, courts of common pleas and divisions thereof, and such other courts inferior to the supreme court as may from time to time be established by law."

Article IV, Section 2 of the Constitution sets the size of the court at seven, a chief justice and six justices, and outlines the jurisdiction of the Court.

The Supreme Court is the court of last resort on questions of Ohio law and the Ohio Constitution. Most of its cases are appeals from the twelve district courts of appeals. The Court may grant leave to appeal criminal cases from the courts of appeals and may direct a court of appeals to send cases ("certify the record") that are found to be "of public or great general interest."

The Supreme Court is required to accept appeals of cases that originated in a court of appeals, cases in which the death penalty was imposed, cases involving an interpretation of the United States Constitution or the Ohio Constitution, and cases in which a court of appeals opinion conflicts with a decision from another court of appeals. The Supreme Court also must accept appeals from the Board of Tax Appeals and the Public Utilities Commission.

The Supreme Court has original jurisdiction for certain special remedies that permit a person to file an action directly in the Supreme Court. These extraordinary remedies include writs of habeas corpus (involving the release of persons allegedly unlawfully imprisoned or committed), writs of mandamus (ordering a public official to perform a required act), writs of procedendo (ordering a lower court to proceed to judgment), writs of prohibition (ordering a judicial or quasi-judicial officer to cease an unlawful act), and writs of quo warranto (alleging usurpation, misuse, or abuse of public office or corporate office or franchise).

The Supreme Court also may grant leave to appeal in cases involving contested elections. This is the only instance in which an appeal may be taken directly from the court of common pleas to the Supreme Court, bypassing the court of appeals.

The Supreme Court adopts rules governing practice and procedure in Ohio's courts, which must be filed with the General Assembly. These rules become effective unless both houses of the General Assembly adopt a concurrent resolution of disapproval. The Supreme Court also exercises general superintendence over all state courts through its rule-making authority. The rules of superintendence set minimum standards for court administration. Unlike procedural rules, rules of superintendence do not have to be submitted to the General Assembly.

The Supreme Court is responsible for the admission of attorneys to the practice of law in Ohio and has authority for the discipline of judges and attorneys for violation of their respective codes of conduct. The Court also has responsibility for complaints alleging the unauthorized practice of law.

The Chief Justice has the authority to assign judges to courts for temporary duty when the court has an unusually heavy volume of cases; a judge has been removed from a case because of bias, prejudice, or interest; or a judge has removed himself or herself from a particular case.

The Chief Justice and six justices are elected in even-numbered years to six-year terms on a nonpartisan ballot. A person must be an attorney with at least six years of experience in the practice of law to be elected or appointed to the Supreme Court. The Governor makes appointments to fill vacancies on the Supreme Court that occur between elections.

#### **COURTS OF APPEALS**

The courts of appeals are established by Article IV, Section 1 of the Ohio Constitution, and their jurisdiction is outlined in Article IV, Section 3. As intermediate level appellate courts, their primary function is to hear appeals from the common pleas, municipal, and county courts. Each case is heard and decided by a three-judge panel.

The state is divided into twelve appellate districts by Article IV, Section 3 of the Ohio Constitution and section 2501.01 of the Revised Code. Each district is served by a court of appeals that sits in each of the counties in that district. The number of judges in each district depends on a variety of factors, including the court's caseload and the size of the district. The number of judges in each district varies from three to twelve.

In addition to appellate jurisdiction, the courts of appeals have original jurisdiction, as does the Supreme Court, to hear applications for writs of habeas corpus, mandamus, procedendo, prohibition, and quo warranto. The Tenth District Court of Appeals in Franklin County also hears appeals from the Court of Claims.

In 1992, there were 65 court of appeals judges. Court of appeals judges are elected in even-numbered years to six-year terms on a nonpartisan ballot. Appellate judges must be attorneys with at least six years of experience in the practice of law. The Governor makes appointments to fill vacancies in courts of appeals that occur between elections.



	NUMBER	NUMBER	TOTAL	POPULATION
DISTRICT	<u>OF JUDGES</u>	OF COUNTIES	<b>POPULATION</b>	PER JUDGE
FIRST	6	1	866,228	144,371
SECOND	5	6	1,040,908	208,182
THIRD	4	17	756,984	189,246
FOURTH	4	14	587,699	146,925
PIFTH	<b>5</b> .	15	1,234,350	246,870
SIXTH	5	8	886,095	177,219
SEVENTH	3	8	593,893	197,964
EIGHTH	12	1	1,412,140	117,678
NINIH	5	4	1,009,931	201,986
TENTH	8	1	961,437	120,180
ELEVENTH	4	5	766,847	191,712
TWELFTH	<u>4</u> 65	<u>8</u> 88	<u>_730,603</u>	<u> 182,651</u>
	<i>ធី</i>	88	10,847,115	166,879
		5A		•

#### THE COURT OF CLAIMS

The Court of Claims, created by the General Assembly in 1975 by Chapter 2743. of the Revised Code, is the only statutory court in Ohio with statewide jurisdiction. The Court of Claims has exclusive jurisdiction in all civil actions against the State of Ohio, and has jurisdiction to administer claims for compensation from victims of crime. Judges of the Court of Claims must be incumbent or retired justices or judges of the Supreme Court, courts of appeals, or courts of common pleas, and sit by temporary assignment of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

#### **COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS**

The courts of common pleas, the only trial courts created by the Ohio Constitution, are established by Article IV, Section 1 of the Constitution. The jurisdiction of courts of common pleas is outlined in Article IV, Section 4.

There is a court of common pleas in each of the 88 counties. Courts of common pleas have original jurisdiction in all criminal felony cases and all civil cases in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$500. Courts of common pleas have appellate jurisdiction over the decisions of some state administrative agencies.

Most courts of common pleas have specialized divisions created by statute to decide cases involving juveniles, probate matters, and domestic relations matters. Only seven counties have courts of common pleas with no specialized divisions: Adams, Harrison, Henry, Morgan, Morrow, Noble, and Wyandot counties.

In 1992, there were 355 common pleas judges in Ohio. Of these, 130 had general jurisdiction only; 88 had general and domestic relations jurisdiction; seven had general jurisdiction plus domestic relations, probate and juvenile; 21 had domestic relations jurisdiction only; 12 had domestic relations and juvenile jurisdiction; 15 had juvenile jurisdiction only; 15 had probate jurisdiction only, and 67 had probate and juvenile jurisdiction.

Common pleas judges are elected to six-year terms on a nonpartisan ballot. A person must be an attorney with at least six years of experience in the practice of law to be elected or appointed as a common pleas judge. The Governor makes appointments to fill vacancies in courts of common pleas that occur between elections.

#### Juvenile Division

Juvenile divisions are branches of either the probate or domestic relations division of courts of common pleas in all counties except Butler, Cuyahoga, Hamilton, Lake, Lucas, Mahoning, Montgomery, and Summit counties, in which there are separate juvenile divisions of the court of common pleas.

Juvenile divisions hear cases involving persons under eighteen years of age, and cases dealing with unruly, abused, dependent, and neglected children. Juvenile courts also have jurisdiction in adult cases involving paternity, child abuse, nonsupport, contributing to the delinquency of minors, and the failure to send children to school.

#### **Domestic Relations Division**

The domestic relations divisions of the courts of common pleas exist in most counties together with another division. However, Butler, Clermont, Cuyahoga, Greene, Hamilton, Lake, Licking, Lucas, Mahoning, Montgomery, Portage, Summit, and Warren counties have separate domestic relations divisions. All domestic relations divisions have jurisdiction over proceedings involving divorce or dissolution of marriage, annulment, separation, spousal support, child support, and visitation, and the allocation of parental rights and responsibilities for the care of minor children.

#### Probate Division

The Ohio Constitution of 1851 provided that probate courts were to be established as separate independent courts with jurisdiction over the probate of wills and supervision of the administration of estates and guardianships. In 1968, under the Modern Courts Amendment of the Ohio Constitution, the probate courts became divisions of the courts of common pleas. Probate divisions also have jurisdiction over the issuance of marriage licenses, adoption proceedings, determination of sanity or mental competence, and certain eminent domain proceedings.

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS JURISDICTIONAL DISTRIBUTION 1992

	NUMBER OF COUNTIES	NUMBER OF JUDGES
General Division Only	18	130
Domestic Relations Only	12	21
Probate Only	14	15
Juvenile Only	8	15
General and Domestic Relations	63	88
Domestic Relations and Juvenile	6	12
Juvenile and Probate	67	67
General, Domestic Relations, Probate and Juvenile	7	7
		355

#### MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURTS

Municipal and county courts are created by the General Assembly in Chapters 1901. and 1907, respectively of the Revised Code. The territorial jurisdiction of municipal courts is established by statute; county courts serve areas of a county that are not served by a municipal court.

The subject matter jurisdiction of municipal and county courts is nearly identical. Both municipal and county courts have the authority to conduct preliminary hearings in felony cases and jurisdiction over misdemeanors. These courts also have limited civil jurisdiction. The municipal courts may handle civil cases in which the amount in controversy does not exceed \$10,000; county courts may handle civil cases where the amount in controversy does not exceed \$3,000.

When justices of the peace were eliminated by Ohio law, municipal and county courts assumed their duties. Therefore, municipal and county court judges are the only judges, other than probate judges, who may perform marriages.

In 1992, there were 118 municipal courts with a total of 201 judges, and 49 county courts with a total of 55 judges. Municipal court judges are elected to six-year terms on a nonpartisan ballot and serve either full- or part-time, depending on the statutory designation of the court. Municipal court judges may have jurisdiction in one or more municipalities, in adjacent townships, or throughout the entire county. A county court judge is elected to a six-year term on a nonpartisan ballot. All county court judges serve part-time.

Municipal court judges must be attorneys with at least six years experience in the practice of law. County court judges must be attorneys with at least two years experience in the practice of law. The Governor makes appointments to fill vacancies in municipal and county courts that occur between elections.

#### MAYOR'S COURTS

Mayor's courts are statutory courts created pursuant to Chapter 1905. of the Revised Code. Mayor's courts have jurisdiction to hear any prosecutions for the violation of an ordinance of the municipal corporation, the violation of a vehicle parking or standing ordinance of the municipal corporation unless the violation is required to be handled by a parking violations bureau, and violations occurring on a state highway located within the boundaries of the municipal corporation. The jurisdiction of a mayor's court over drug or alcohol related traffic offenses is limited to first time violations.

The elected or appointed mayor of a municipal corporation has authority to act as the presiding officer of the municipal corporation's mayor's court or, pursuant to section 1905.05 of the Revised Code, may appoint a mayor's court magistrate to preside. In addition, the Mayor's Court Education and Procedure Rules, adopted by the Supreme Court pursuant to sections 1905.03 and 1905.031 of the Revised Code, require mayors who operate a mayor's court to comply with annual educational requirements.

Determinations of a mayor's court may be appealed to the appropriate municipal or county court. An appeal proceeds as a new trial in the municipal or county court.

In 1992, there were approximately 500 mayor's courts.

Mayor's courts are not governed by rules of superintendence adopted by the Supreme Court and, therefore, are not required to file case activity reports.

#### TOTAL NEW CASES FILED

1988-1992

#### ALL OHIO COURTS TOTAL NEW CASES FILED 1988 - 1992

COURT	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992
SUPREME COURT	2,273	2,224	2,557	2,576	2,646
COURTS OF APPEALS	10,005	10,771	10,721	11,031	11,377
COURT OF CLAIMS	1,527	1,415	1,072	1,352	1,186
COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS					
GENERAL DIVISION	126,697	136,830	145,965	153,277	154,890
DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION	129,904	130,869	105,273	99,871	95,648
PROBATE DIVISION	97,798	96,532	94,153	95,387	99,482
JUVENILE DIVISION	252,330	264,891	262,081	268,127	264,845
MUNICIPAL COURTS	2,220,500	2,230,822	2,247,716	2,217,625	2,147,540
COUNTY COURTS	286,274	290,233	268,830	287,776	236,963
STATEWIDE	3,127,308	3,164,587	3,138,368	3,137,022	3,014,577

### SECTION B

#### SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

1992 ANNUAL REPORT

#### THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

#### ANNUAL REPORT

1992

Thomas J. Moyer Chief Justice

A. William Sweeney Robert E. Holmes Andy Douglas Craig Wright Herbert R. Brown Alice Robie Resnick Justices The Supreme Court of Ohio 30 East Broad Street, Third Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0419

> (614) 466-3456 (800) 826-9010

Stephan W. Stover Keith T. Bartlett Richard A. Dove Ruth Ann Elmer Harry Franken Paul S. Fu James R. Jump Walter S. Kobalka Diane C. Lahm Marcia J. Mengel Edward J. Nyhan M. K. Rinehart Laurence B. Stone Administrative Director
Assistant Administrative Director
Associate Director for Legal and Legislative Services
Associate Director for Court Services
Communications Director
Librarian
Counsel to the Court
Reporter
Secretary, Commission on Continuing Legal Education
Clerk
Manager, Office of Court Technology and Services
Fiscal Officer
Executive Director, Judicial College

#### THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

#### ANNUAL REPORT

#### 1992

#### INTRODUCTION

For the Supreme Court of Ohio, the year 1992 was marked by important events and accomplishments. Among the highlights:

- 1. The reelection of Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer, the retirement of Justices Robert E. Holmes and Herbert R. Brown, and the election of Judge Francis E. Sweeney and State Senator Paul E. Pfeifer to the Court;
- 2. A record 2,646 new cases filed in the Supreme Court;
- 3. The first Ohio Conference on Substance Abuse and the Courts, a multi-disciplinary approach to the war against drugs as it relates to the courts;
- 4. A historic visit by a six-member delegation from Ukraine and the creation of a formal relationship between Ohio and Ukraine judiciaries;
- 5. The first National Conference on State Court Law Libraries was hosted by the Supreme Court Law Library, which is the first state supreme court law library in the nation to be fully automated;
- 6. Registration of more than 40,000 lawyers for the first time;
- 7. Creation of the Supreme Court Committee on Professionalism and the Commission on Certification of Attorneys as Specialists;
- 8. Completion of the five-year Court Visitation Project, under which the Supreme Court staff visited each of the 269 appellate and trial courts in Ohio;
- 9. Expanded Supreme Court services to trial and appellate courts, including the employment of a Dispute Resolution Coordinator, the addition of a Case Management Coordinator under a grant from the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services, a new jury management initiative, and the continuation of support efforts in statistics and court technology;

- 10. Receipt of a record two-year total of \$628,900 in grant funds to maximize the use of general revenue funds;
- 11. Consideration of 22 proposals to amend or adopt Supreme Court rules and rules of practice and procedure for Ohio courts; and
- 12. Significant activity by eleven standing committees and offices, seven special committees, and two committees and commissions created as a result of legislation.

#### **KEY ISSUES AND EVENTS OF 1992**

#### Introduction

The National Center for State Courts recently announced that for the first time in history there were more than 100 million cases filed in the state courts of the United States. This is one case for every two and one-half persons. The 3.1 million cases filed each year in Ohio represent one case for every three and one-half persons, and constitute 3.1 percent of all cases filed in state courts.

The statistics reflect dramatic increases in several areas. In the juvenile courts, support enforcement motions were filed at a rate 50 percent higher than the previous year. Delinquency bind over cases increased 15 percent and 65 percent in the last two years. Criminal felony cases rose at an annual rate of 5.5 percent and 64 percent over the last five years.

Growing caseloads, brought about at least in part by the increase in drug-related cases, and budget concerns have required a strong effort to improve efficiency and maximize the use of available court resources. The Supreme Court has assisted the Ohio judiciary in finding better methods of case management, using technology to enhance efficiency, implementing dispute resolution alternatives, and educating court personnel to help them provide better service to the public.

#### Ohio Conference on Substance Abuse and the Courts

In November 1991, Ohio was among 36 states with representatives at the first National Conference on Substance Abuse and the Courts. The purpose of the Conference was to foster communication and develop working relationships between and among the courts, criminal justice, education, health, and social service agencies, and to develop specific plans and long-term strategies that states may implement to address the impact of substance abuse on the courts. Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer was Chairman of the Conference, and led the Ohio Team consisting of the Directors of the Departments of Alcohol and Drug

Addiction Services, Rehabilitation and Corrections, and Youth Services, the Superintendent of Public Instruction, State Public Defender, and the Presidents of Common Pleas Judges Association, Prosecuting Attorneys Association, and the Fraternal Order of Police.

On June 29, 1992, the Supreme Court hosted the first Ohio Conference on Substance Abuse and the Courts. A total of 75 participants representing 43 agencies and organizations, members of the judiciary, and members of the General Assembly attended the Conference. The purpose of the Conference was to identify and frame issues to be considered in revising the Justice chapter of The State of Ohio's Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services Plan ("Ohio Plan").

The Supreme Court, in cooperation with the Ohio Team, secured a \$78,500 grant from the State Justice Institute to help sustain this effort. The grant will support a project coordinator, two additional statewide conferences, and eight regional conferences. A February 1993 Conference in Columbus is intended to further define the goals, objectives, and activities appropriate for the Justice chapter. This will be followed in April 1993 with a forum to receive comments on a proposed draft of the Ohio Plan prior to submission to the General Assembly.

#### Ohio-Ukraine Judiciary Program

In August, a six-member delegation from Ukraine visited Ohio to formally begin the Ohio-Ukraine Judiciary Program. The delegation consisted of Dr. Fedor G. Bourtchak, Head of the Law Department of the Supreme Rada (Parliament) of Ukraine and Deputy Head of the Union of Lawyers of Ukraine; Dr. Alexander N. Jakimenko, Head of the Supreme Court of Ukraine; Dr. Vladimir O. Sumin, First Deputy Head of the Union of Lawyers of Ukraine; Igor I. Tseluiko, Deputy Head of the Law Department of the Supreme Rada of Ukraine; Dr. Evgueni T. Roulko, Professor of the Kiev State University; and Dr. Mychajlo Vasilievich Kostitsky, Dean and Professor of the Lviv University.

In Columbus, the delegation received a briefing on the United States and Ohio judicial systems, toured the Supreme Court, observed a complete trial at the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas, and visited The Ohio State University College of Law. In Cincinnati, the delegation toured state and federal courts, and in Cleveland, the delegation visited the Eighth District Court of Appeals and participated in several academic programs at Case Western Reserve University School of Law.

At the conclusion of the Columbus visit, a Memorandum of Understanding and a Protocol were signed to formalize the relationship between the Ohio and Ukraine judiciaries.

#### Law Library Assumes National Leadership

The Supreme Court Law Library, which is the largest state supreme court law library in the nation with more than 350,000 equivalent volumes, has assumed a leadership role among state court law libraries nationally.

In 1992, the Supreme Court Law Library became the first state supreme court law library in the nation to install a totally integrated online library system.

In November, the Supreme Court Law Library hosted the first National Conference on State Court Law Libraries, supported by a grant from the State Justice Institute. The Conference brought together judges, court administrators, and law librarians from across the nation (see pages 21B and 22B).

#### Court Visitation Project

The five-year Court Visitation Project was completed in 1992. In 1988, the Supreme Court staff began a series of visits to each of the courts of appeals, common pleas, municipal, and county courts. The purpose of these visits was to meet with judges, administrators, and other court personnel, tour court facilities, and be available to respond to questions and concerns. By the end of 1992, each of the 269 courts in Ohio had received at least one visit from the Supreme Court senior staff, in addition to hundreds of visits by Court staff to provide technical or administrative assistance.

#### **Court Services Division**

As part of the reorganization of the Office of the Administrative Director to place greater emphasis on service, support, and responsiveness to the trial and appellate courts, the court employed a Dispute Resolution Coordinator and, under a grant from the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services, added a Case Management Coordinator. The Office of Court Statistics and the Office of Court Technology and Services also provided direct technical assistance to trial and appellate courts.

#### Dispute Resolution

The Court took important steps to implement the recommendations of the preliminary report of the Supreme Court Committee on Dispute Resolution. The Dispute Resolution Coordinator was employed to provide technical assistance and training to trial and appellate courts.

There are now eight volunteer-staffed mediation programs operating in the small- and medium-sized municipal and county courts, and at least three additional programs will begin in 1993.

The Court co-sponsored a national symposium, "Business and Government Dispute Resolution, A National Symposium: New Approaches for New Times," for business and government attorneys, with the National Institute for Dispute Resolution, American Bar Association Standing Committee on Dispute Resolution, Ohio State Bar Association, Columbus Bar Association, and the Ohio Commission on Dispute Resolution and Conflict Management. At the Conference, Chief Justice Moyer joined Governor George V. Voinovich, the Ohio State Bar Association, and the Ohio Chamber of Commerce to encourage Ohio corporations to become signatories to the "Ohio Pledge," a formal commitment to engage in dispute resolution as an alternative to litigation.

The Supreme Court adopted Rule 81 of the Rules of Superintendence for Courts of Common Pleas, which requires domestic and juvenile courts to establish the qualifications of mediators in court-administered programs involving child custody and visitation matters. The Supreme Court also adopted an amendment to Rule 15 to authorize the use of arbitration in the juvenile and domestic relations divisions of the common pleas courts.

#### Case Management

A Coordinator of Case Management Programs was hired under a grant from the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services to: provide consulting services to courts seeking assistance; design educational seminars for judges and court personnel; assess case management practices statewide; establish a clearinghouse of case management information from courts nationwide; implement pilot delay reduction projects; and support attendance at courses on case management.

#### Jury Management Project

The Jury Management Project team was formed to review the American Bar Association "Standards Related to Juror Use and Management" and recommend proposed jury management standards for Ohio. The Center for Jury Studies of the National Center for State Courts provided support through a grant from the State Justice Institute.

The Court considered the standards proposed by the team in November. The standards were circulated to judicial and lawyer associations, and will be published for public comment in 1993.

#### Grants

The Supreme Court has received a record total of \$628,900 in grants during the last two years, including grants from the State Justice Institute, the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services, and the Ohio Commission on Dispute Resolution and Conflict Management. The grants maximize the use of state general revenue funds and assist the Court in developing new and innovative programs in a number of areas, including dispute resolution and case management.

The Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services provided the two largest grants, more than \$241,000, to conduct educational programs and provide direct technical assistance to help courts manage their dockets more efficiently. A \$123,000 grant has permitted the Supreme Court's Judicial College to present regional conferences on court and case management, including: 1) basic and advanced case management; 2) substance abuse; 3) adult probation; and 4) court security. A related \$118,000 grant has enabled the Court to: 1) provide on-site consulting services in the area of case management to criminal and juvenile courts; 2) establish a clearinghouse of information on court delay reduction efforts nationwide; 3) develop a case management training seminar; 4) provide funding for judges and court personnel to attend courses on case management; and 5) support several pilot court delay reduction projects.

Another \$44,000 grant from the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services supported a project to develop computer software to assist juvenile courts in the automation of information processing and the collection and analysis of juvenile court data statewide. The Court is working with the Ohio Association of Juvenile and Family Court Judges to determine the categories of information to be included in the data system (see page 24B).

The Supreme Court has received a total of five grants from the Supreme Court Commission on Dispute Resolution and Conflict Management, including: 1) a two-part grant to implement model mediation projects in small—and medium-sized municipal courts in Zanesville, Cambridge, Marietta, and Lancaster (\$60,000), which had settlement rates in targeted cases of 80 to 93 percent; two additional courts, Sidney Municipal Court and Brown County Court have been added, and an implementation and training manual has been provided to more than 20 courts; 2) a child support, custody, and visitation mediation model in Summit County (\$23,000); 3) a model program for mediation of juvenile assault cases in Montgomery County (\$19,000); and 4) a program for training attorneys in settlement week and early neutral evaluation concepts (\$20,000) (see pages 4B and 5B).

In addition, the Court has received seven separate grants from the State Justice Institute: 1) continuing legal education for referees through the use of teleconferencing (\$38,553); 2) continuing legal education for domestic relations referees through the use of teleconferencing (\$20,000); 3) measurement of trial court performance standards (\$50,000) (see page 20B); 4) faculty development workshops for judges and referees (\$11,500); 5) the National Conference on State Court Law Libraries (\$39,932) (see pages 4B, 21B, and 22B); 6) a Judicial College course on "Domestic Violence: The Crucial Role of the Criminal Court Judge" (\$4,131); and 7) preparation and implementation of a revised Ohio Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services Plan with direct involvement of courts and law enforcement (\$78,500) (see pages 2B and 3B).

The Court has also supported several successful grant proposals of the Ohio Judicial Conference and numerous grant proposals from trial and appellate courts throughout the state.

#### **Budgetary Issues**

In June, the Chief Justice, reacting to Ohio's continuing budget crisis, again reduced the budget of the judicial branch of government by extending the 1992 cost-savings plan to fiscal year 1993, including a reduction in the use of assigned judges; delay in the development and implementation of Project Benchmark, the computerization plan for Ohio courts; a ban on virtually all out-of-state travel; reduction in expenditures for the Supreme Court Law Library; and delay in filling vacant positions at the Supreme Court and courts of appeals. Similar efforts were undertaken by the Judicial Conference and the Court of Claims.

#### SUPREME COURT CASELOAD STATISTICS

A record 2,646 cases were filed in the Supreme Court in 1992, including 279 original actions, 5 federal court certifications of state law questions, 77 disciplinary matters, 12 admissions matters, 1 miscellaneous practice of law case, and 2,272 appeals, as follows: 1,342 motions to certify the record, 723 motions for leave to appeal, 124 direct appeals, 22 certified conflicts, 44 appeals from the Board of Tax Appeals, 11 appeals from the Public Utilities Commission, and 6 death penalty cases.

For additional statistical information, see Appendices A through F.

#### **RULES AMENDED OR ADOPTED IN 1992**

The Supreme Court considered 22 proposals to amend or adopt Court rules and rules of practice and procedure for Ohio courts. The full text of proposed and final rule amendments are published in the Ohio Official Reports Advance Sheets and the Ohio State Bar Association Report; the publication and effective dates are listed in Appendix G.

#### Rules of Practice and Procedure

Pursuant to Article IV, Section 5(B) of the Ohio Constitution, the Supreme Court submitted to the General Assembly proposed amendments to the Rules of Evidence and Rules of Civil, Criminal, Appellate, and Juvenile Procedure. The amendments included provisions addressing: depositions by telephone; the charge to the jury before closing arguments; the trial court's authority to control and limit discovery; the appealability of partial final judgments; items that must be included in appellate briefs; and rulings by appellate courts on assignments of error. The amended rules took effect July 1, 1992.

#### Lawyer Advertising

A major revision of the rule governing lawyer advertising, Disciplinary Rule 2-101 of the Code of Professional Responsibility, was adopted by the Court effective January 1, 1993. Among other things, the amendments address the permissible content of broadcast and print advertisements and establish rules for direct mail solicitation.

#### **Attorney Disciplinary Procedures**

Rule V of the Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio relative to attorney discipline was completely rewritten. Substantive revisions included the establishment of minimum standards for certified grievance committees to promote greater consistency in the investigation and prosecution of complaints; creation of a procedure in lieu of discipline for minor misconduct; increased accessibility to the public of disciplinary actions taken by the Supreme Court; and increased reimbursement for certified grievance committees.

#### **Lawyer Specialization**

In response to a decision by the United States Supreme Court, the Court adopted a new rule regarding lawyer specialization. Rule XIV of the Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio was adopted, effective January 1, 1993, to create

a Supreme Court Commission on Certification of Attorneys as Specialists. The Commission will create a mechanism by which attorneys with special expertise in a field of law may become certified as specialists. In addition, Disciplinary Rule 2-105 was amended to create guidelines for attorneys certified as specialists to communicate the fact of their specialization to the public.

#### Unauthorized Practice of Law

At the request of the Board of Commissioners on the Unauthorized Practice of Law, Rule VII of the Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio was amended. The amendments include provisions to streamline and expedite proceedings before the Board, to provide for additional reimbursement to bar associations for their unauthorized practice of law activity, and to authorize the Board to issue informal, nonbinding advisory opinions on unauthorized practice of law matters. The amended rule will be effective January 1, 1993.

#### Commission on Professionalism

Rule XV of the Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio creates the Commission on Professionalism. The Commission, which is patterned after a similar Commission established in Georgia, was formed based on recommendations from the Supreme Court Committee to Study Creeds of Professionalism and the 1991 Bench-Bar Conference. The Commission is charged with implementing the education recommendations made by the Committee and Conference, monitoring professionalism activities in Ohio and other states, promoting professionalism activities, and recommending methods of enhancing professionalism.

#### SUPREME COURT ACTIVITIES

#### Report to the Ohio Judicial Conference

In his sixth annual report to the Ohio Judicial Conference on the State of the Judiciary in September, Chief Justice Moyer announced three important new programs. In response to suggestions from judges throughout the state, the Chief Justice announced that he would encourage the preparation of judicial impact statements to assess the effect of legislation on the judicial branch of government. Citing an excellent relationship with the leadership of the General Assembly and the Governor, he stated that, "We can do a better job of communicating to legislators the impact that many bills have upon the administration of our court system." Chief Justice Moyer stated that he plans to

meet with legislative leaders and work closely with the Judicial Conference and the judicial associations in this effort. The Judicial Conference and Supreme Court staffs will begin to prepare judicial impact statements on selected bills on a pilot basis in 1993.

The Chief Justice announced his intention to restore closer relationships with the federal judiciary. Subsequently, the state and federal judiciary met in Columbus on December 2 to discuss issues of mutual interest and concern, including diversity of jurisdiction, mass tort litigation, habeas corpus in the context of death penalty cases, problems relating to the image and resources of state courts, interaction between the judicial and legislative branches, and the formation of a state/federal judicial council.

Chief Justice Moyer also noted that budget restrictions and the opportunity to seek advice from states that have had futures commissions have delayed the implementation of the Commission on the Future of the Ohio Court System. He announced that the Commission will include five task forces: Alternative Court Structure, Technology, Family Relations in the Courts, Civil Cases, and Crime.

#### Off-Site Court Program

Since 1987, the Supreme Court has conducted court sessions in counties throughout the state, primarily for the benefit of high school students. In 1992, the Court heard oral arguments in Lorain, Lake, Henry, and Butler Counties; 1,700 high school students participated and a total of 3,400 attended these sessions. The law-related education program includes meetings with editors and reporters from high school newspapers, briefings prior to and following oral arguments, and related coursework.

In the last six years, the Court has held sessions in 23 sites; 10,200 students have attended sessions as part of a total attendance of 14,300.

#### Court Education Activities

Students from The Ohio State University College of Law and the Ohio Northern University Pettit College of Law, including exchange students from Iceland, attended sessions of the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court continued to support the Ohio Center for Law-Related Education, its Mock Trial and Ohio Government in Action programs, and other Center activities. The Court provides financial support and is represented on the board of trustees and board of directors.

The Supreme Court participated in the Youth in Government Model Supreme Court program, with nearly 50 high school students involved in the Supreme Court program.

In April, the Court hosted a statewide moot court competition for middle school students, designed to educate students on the role of the judiciary. Each school was paired with a team of law students or lawyers who served as mentors for the students. Nine schools participated in this event, which was sponsored by the Law-Related Education Committee of the Ohio State Bar Association.

The Supreme Court continued its law student extern program with the Capital University Law and Graduate Center, The Ohio State University College of Law, University of Toledo College of Law, University of Cincinnati College of Law, and Ohio Northern University Pettit College of Law. A total of 60 students participated during 1992, serving all seven Justices and the offices of the Administrative Director, Counsel to the Court, and Reporter. All nine Ohio law schools are expected to participate in 1993.

For the sixth year, the Supreme Court participated in the Bench-Bar-Deans Conference sponsored by the Ohio State Bar Association to discuss legal education, admissions to the practice of law, and other issues of common interest to the nine Ohio law schools, the bar, and the judiciary.

The Court continued its participation in the Continuing Legal Education Consortium with the Attorney General, Legislative Service Commission, and other state departments and agencies. The consortium provides a curriculum of continuing legal education courses for government attorneys. In 1992, the consortium presented ten courses for a total of 29 possible credit hours.

#### SUPREME COURT STANDING COMMITTEES AND OFFICES

#### **Board of Bar Examiners**

Chairman: James F. DeLeone; Secretary: Marcia J. Mengel

The Court increased the size of the Board of Bar Examiners from 12 to 24 members. The Court and the Board continued to implement changes in the administration and grading of the bar examination as recommended in 1990 by the Bar Examination Review Committee.

Beginning with the February 1992 bar examination, the bar examiners provided an automatic regrade of all essays written by applicants whose examination scores came within one point of passing. Following both the February and July examinations, the Board also published essay questions and selected essay answers written by applicants who had received passing examination scores.

The Board adopted substantive amendments to the Policy on Applicants with Disabilities. Pursuant to this policy, the Board granted special examination accommodations for the February and July bar examinations to 11 applicants with disabilities.

Rules Advisory Committee

Chair: Kathleen B. Burke; Secretary: Keith T. Bartlett

The Rules Advisory Committee continued to review proposed new rules and rule amendments submitted by the Court, bar associations, attorney and judge associations, and other interested parties. The Committee's annual recommendations were submitted to the Court, published twice for public comment, and, after revisions, filed with the General Assembly. Subsequently, fifteen substantive amendments to the Rules of Evidence and Rules of Criminal, Civil, Appellate, and Juvenile Procedure took effect on July 1, 1992.

The Committee also reviewed additional proposals that were submitted to the Court for initial consideration in September. The proposed amendments approved by the Court for submission to the General Assembly in January 1993 will, unless modified by the Court or disapproved by the General Assembly, take effect on July 1, 1993.

Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline Chairman: Irene Keyse-Walker; Secretary: Jonathan W. Marshall

The Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline completed a comprehensive review and revision of Rule V of the Rules for the Government of the Bar regarding lawyer discipline. The amendments were adopted by the Court effective July 1, 1992, and the Board assisted the certified grievance committees in implementing the new provisions. The Board assisted the Court and the certified grievance committees in providing for partial reimbursement to local committees for ongoing grievance and discipline expenses.

The Board also: 1) conducted a search for a new Disciplinary Counsel; 2) at the request of the Court, began consideration of revisions to the Code of Judicial Conduct based on the 1990 ABA Judicial Code of Conduct; 3) received 70 requests for advisory opinions and issued 20 opinions on ethical questions arising

under the Code of Professional Responsibility, Code of Judicial Conduct, Rules for the Government of the Bar, Rules for the Government of the Judiciary, and the Ohio Ethics Law; 4) conducted a fourth statewide training seminar for members of certified grievance committees, interested lawyers, and members of the public; 5) presented 21 continuing legal education programs for Ohio judges and lawyers; 6) received 70 new formal complaints in 1992 and certified 50 cases to the Supreme Court; and 7) conducted 57 disciplinary hearings and decided 60 cases.

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

Disciplinary Counsel: J. Warren Bettis

The Office of Disciplinary Counsel received a record 2,530 complaints. The Office also reviewed 317 appeals from decisions of certified grievance committees of bar associations and investigated 40 allegations of unauthorized practice of law, bringing the total number of cases to 2,887. After investigation, the Office dismissed 2,547 disciplinary cases and took formal action before the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline in 37 disciplinary cases.

In addition, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel made presentations at 21 ethics seminars throughout the state.

Board of Commissioners on Character and Fitness

Chair: Suzanne K. Richards; Secretary: Marcia J. Mengel

Seventeen new character and fitness cases were filed with the Board; nine of these were appeals from admissions committees determinations, and eight were sua sponte investigations. The Board continued to examine character and fitness issues relating to substance abuse and the impact of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Also, Board members and staff visited nine law schools in Ohio and Northern Kentucky to discuss the character and fitness review process with law students.

Board of Commissioners on the Unauthorized Practice of Law Chairman: Kenneth F. Seibel; Secretary: Keith T. Bartlett

The Board of Commissioners on the Unauthorized Practice of Law considered four formal complaints alleging the unauthorized practice of law and granted authorization to seek injunctive relief in the court of common pleas.

In September, the Board presented a statewide seminar on the unauthorized practice of law. The day-long workshop, the first ever presented statewide on this

subject, was attended by more than 100 attorneys, paralegals, bar association representatives, and others.

Amendments to Rule VII of the Rules for the Government of the Bar were finalized, submitted to the Court, and approved effective January 1, 1993. Included were provisions to streamline Board proceedings, authorize the Board to issue advisory opinions, and provide additional reimbursement to bar associations for the investigation and prosecution of unauthorized practice of law matters.

Articles written by Board members were published in local bar journals and plans were initiated for the Board to present a seminar at the 1993 Ohio State Bar Association annual meeting.

#### Traffic Rules Review Commission

Chairman: Kirwan M. Elmers; Secretary: Richard A. Dove

The Traffic Rules Review Commission held its annual meeting in February to consider several proposed amendments to the Traffic Rules and Uniform Traffic Ticket. The Commission recommended amendments that allow law enforcement officers to include more than one offense on a single ticket and permit elimination of the abstract of court record from the Uniform Traffic Ticket if traffic convictions are reported electronically to the Bureau of Motor Vehicles.

The Commission also considered a proposal to eliminate required court appearances for second moving violations within a year, but deferred action on the proposal until the General Assembly reclassified those violations as minor misdemeanors.

#### Board of Commissioners of the Clients' Security Fund Chairman: Thomas A. Heydinger; Administrator: James E. Green

The Fund received and docketed 151 claims and made 60 awards totalling \$584,104. The number of claims docketed increased by approximately 51 percent over 1991, and the number of claims paid increased 170 percent.

The Board and staff of the Clients' Security Fund continued their efforts to reach persons qualifying for relief under the Fund through a targeted outreach program.

Committee on the Appointment of Counsel for Indigent Defendants in Capital Cases

Chairman: Judge Everett Burton; Secretary: Keith T. Bartlett

The Committee continued to monitor the operation and impact of Common Pleas Superintendence Rule 65, and began consideration of proposed amendments to the rule.

The Committee approved two continuing legal education seminars to fulfill the specialized training requirement of Rule 65, continued to certify new applicants who met the requirement of the rule, decertified attorneys who did not satisfy the two-year continuing education standard, and distributed two updated lists of certified counsel to all common pleas judges. A total of 637 attorneys currently are certified to accept appointment as counsel for indigent defendants in capital cases.

#### Commission on Continuing Legal Education

Chairman: Stanley A. Freedman; Secretary: Diane Chesley-Lahm

The Commission implemented a change in Rule X of the Rules for the Government of the Bar to eliminate the \$15 fee for continuing legal education administration and to charge fees for accreditation of activities and established sponsors. Forty-six organizations were designated as established sponsors.

A total of 17,145 attorneys with last names beginning from A to L were required to report the completion of at least 24 hours of approved continuing legal education, including two hours of ethics and substance abuse instruction, during the preceding two years. By March 31, 1992, 88 percent were in full compliance with the rule.

The Supreme Court issued 566 sanction orders during 1992 against attorneys with last names beginning from M to Z, the group required to report in 1991. In addition, the Commission held hearings on notices of non-compliance; processed 9,000 applications for accreditation of continuing legal education activities from 3,400 sponsors; and considered 22 appeals from the Secretary's denial of accreditation. Interim progress reports and final reporting transcripts were mailed to 15,500 attorneys with last names beginning from M to Z who were required to report for the second time by January 31, 1993.

#### Judicial College Board of Trustees

Chairman: Judge George M. Glasser; Executive Director: Laurence B. Stone

The Judicial College presented 66 days of courses to 4,167 attendees, up significantly from 1991. The College also presented seven two-hour judicial ethics and substance abuse sessions attended by 245 judges.

Eleven teleconference programs were conducted for domestic relations, juvenile, and municipal court referees, with a total of 832 attendees.

Under a grant from the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services, the College presented three two-day courses on caseflow management (138 attendees), four two-day courses on substance abuse (273 attendees), and three two-day courses on the role of the adult probation officer (254 attendees).

The College also videotaped the popular course on "The Ohio Court System." The tape will be edited and made available to courts for use in training court personnel.

In addition, the College administered the registration of referees pursuant to Rule X, Section (3)(D) of the Rules for the Government of the Bar, and processed enrollments for more than 1,000 attorneys participating in the Court-sponsored continuing legal education programs.

#### SUPREME COURT SPECIAL COMMITTEES

#### Bench-Bar Committee

Co-Chairs: Sandra J. Anderson and Judge John J. Donnelly; Staff Liaison: Richard A. Dove

In conjunction with the Ohio State Bar Association, a new Committee was appointed to plan the third Bench-Bar Conference for March 18-19, 1993. The Committee began preparations for a two-day Conference at which judges and attorneys from throughout Ohio will meet to address the civil legal needs of the poor, funding the criminal justice system, and alternative dispute resolution and case management.

#### Advisory Committee on Court Technology

Chairman: Judge Thomas A. Swift; Staff Liaison: Edward J. Nyhan

The Committee continued to provide support to the Court and the Office of Court Technology and Services. The role of the Advisory Committee is to

identify and research strategic technology issues for the present and future. The second Ohio Court Technology Conference in 1992 provided extensive educational programs, demonstrations, and displays for courts at all stages of the automation process.

During the course of the year, the Committee was involved in detailed review of Project Benchmark and statewide standardization case numbering, issues that will continue into 1993 (see page 24B).

#### Committee on Dispute Resolution

Chairman: David A. Ward; Staff Liaisons: C. Eileen Pruett and Arthur J. Marziale, Jr.

The activities of the Committee on Dispute Resolution are described on pages 4 and 5.

#### Task Force on the Unauthorized Practice of Law

Chairman: Joseph F. Cook, Sr.; Staff Liaison: Keith T. Bartlett

In response to a request by the Ohio State Bar Association, the Supreme Court Task Force on the Unauthorized Practice of Law was established in 1990 to conduct a complete review of the status of the unauthorized practice of law in Ohio and Rule VII of the Rules for the Government of the Bar, and report to the Court. The Task Force completed its work in December and will issue its final report early in 1993.

#### Committee to Review the Supreme Court Rules of Practice

Chair: Justice Alice Robie Resnick; Staff Liaison: Marcia J. Mengel

In September, the Committee to Review the Supreme Court Rules of Practice completed an extensive review of the Rules of Practice and submitted a report to the Supreme Court recommending the adoption of new Rules of Practice.

In December, the Committee's proposed Rules, with Committee commentary and sample forms, were published for public comment. The Court is expected to finalize the new rules after reviewing public comments early in 1993.

#### Joint Task Force on Gender Fairness

Co-Chairs: Justice Alice Robie Resnick and Carol J. Suter

The formal part of the work of the Joint Task Force on Gender Fairness is drawing to a close. The Task Force will present a seminar regarding its work on May 13, 1993, as part of the Ohio State Bar Association convention.

In 1992, the Task Force continued its work on the "Ohio Legal Rights Handbook: With Emphasis on Women's Issues." The Handbook will be published in May 1993.

The Task Force also continued work on several other projects, including surveys to examine women attorney's roles in government positions and the position of women in law schools. The Task Force also reviewed the area of domestic relations. Educational programs based on information acquired through the work of the Task Force will be made available to judges and lawyers in 1993.

<u>Civil Legal Needs Assessment Implementation Committee</u> Chairman: Denis J. Murphy; Staff Liaison: Richard A. Dove

Following through on the recommendations in the 1991 Ohio Legal Needs Assessment, the Committee secured legislative enactment of a temporary civil filing fee increase to provide additional moneys for Ohio's legal aid fund. Beginning in January 1993, it is estimated this increase will provide an additional five million dollars in funding to address a portion of the unmet civil legal needs of the poor identified in the Assessment.

The Committee developed a voluntary <u>pro</u> <u>bono</u> rule that was submitted to the Ohio State Bar Association. The proposed rule, which is modeled after a rule recently adopted by the Florida Supreme Court, recommends that each Ohio attorney annually provide a minimum of twenty hours of <u>pro</u> <u>bono</u> representation to indigent persons or make a monetary contribution to the Ohio Legal Assistance Foundation based on the number of years the attorney has been admitted to practice.

The Committee also proposed several items for inclusion in the biennial budget bill that will be considered by the General Assembly in 1993. These proposals include additional general revenue funds to support Ohio's legal aid programs, revising the provisions of the Interest on Lawyers' Trust Accounts (IOLTA) law to include title companies and obtain more consistency in the interest rates paid by financial institutions on those accounts, and formation of the Ohio Legal Assistance Foundation to administer funds earmarked for legal aid, provide a statewide voice for legal aid programs, and assist in adoption of additional recommendations contained in the Assessment.

The Committee recommended that the Supreme Court require each attorney to provide IOLTA information, including account numbers, on the attorney registration form filed with the Court.

#### STATUTORY COMMITTEES

State Criminal Sentencing Commission
Chairman: Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer

The Commission continued its review of Ohio sentencing laws and further developed recommended changes to the state's sentencing structure. The Commission's reporting deadline was extended by the General Assembly to July 1993.

<u>Task Force on Court Costs and Indigent Defense</u> Chairman: Justice Craig Wright; Staff Liaison: Richard A. Dove

After organizing in late 1991, the Task Force worked to fulfill its statutory responsibility of proposing revisions in the delivery of representation to indigent criminal defendants. In its September 1992 report to the General Assembly and Governor, the Task Force included 23 recommendations to address the delivery of services to indigent defendants, the funding of those services, and methods to contain and recoup the costs of providing indigent defense.

A second committee was appointed in late 1992 to implement the recommendations of the Task Force. The implementation committee consists of judges, county commissioner representatives, attorneys, and former legislators and is considering several statutory amendments for submission to the General Assembly.

#### OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

Stephan W. Stover, Administrative Director

### **Court Visitation Program**

In 1992, the Supreme Court staff completed a five-year program to visit each of Ohio's 269 trial and appellate courts (see page 4B).

# Technical Assistance Program

Since 1988, the Supreme Court, in conjunction with the National Center for State Courts, has operated the Technical Assistance Program to foster innovative programs and stimulate improvements in the administration of Ohio's courts. In 1992, the Program granted \$20,610 to 13 individual projects. A fine and fee

collection improvement project study conducted by the National Center for State Courts at the Mansfield Municipal Court was completed. In addition, \$15,000 was allocated to the Judicial College for court personnel education programs.

Technical assistance projects included: 1) development of standardized forms for the preparation of orders, judgment entries, and other official documents used in Lucas County Court of Common Pleas; 2) collaboration by Henry and Wood County Juvenile Courts to facilitate an interdisciplinary training seminar for 300 staff members from 19 area juvenile courts; 3) sponsorship of health enrichment programs for the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court staff; and 4) preparation of a public information brochure by the Franklin County Municipal Court.

#### Legal and Legislative Services

The staff of the Supreme Court assisted in the addition or modification of six judicial positions through cooperative efforts with local courts and by providing reports, recommendations, and testimony to the General Assembly. New judges were added to the domestic relations divisions of the Butler and Stark County Courts of Common Pleas, the general division of the Stark County Court of Common Pleas, the juvenile division of the Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas, and the Findlay Municipal Court. In addition, the Bellefontaine Municipal Court was made a full-time court, effective January 1, 1994.

The Court proposed the amendment of Section 2303.201 of the Revised Code and the enactment of several similar statutes impacting on municipal and county courts to allow an existing filing fee for computerized legal research to be used for computerization of the courts. The amendment and enactment were proposed by the Supreme Court Advisory Committee on Court Technology and adopted in Amended Substitute Senate Bill 246, effective March 24, 1993.

#### Trial Court Performance Standards

Ohio is one of the four states participating in the National Center for State Courts project to evaluate the National Center's Trial Court Performance Standards. The three-year project is funded in part through grants from the State Justice Institute and the Bureau of Justice Assistance. The general division common pleas courts in Meigs, Wayne, and Stark Counties are participating as demonstration sites. The courts are testing 22 performance standards in the following areas: access to justice; expedition and timeliness; equality, fairness, and integrity; independence and accountability; and public trust and confidence.

#### Affidavits of Disqualification

The Ohio Constitution and state statutes authorize the filing of an affidavit of disqualification as a procedure for requesting removal of a judge from a case on the grounds of bias, prejudice, or interest. Additional improvements were made in the administration of affidavits of disqualification, including the issuance of additional bench opinions.

A total of 192 affidavits were filed, compared to 209 filed in 1991.

#### Assignment of Judges

The Chief Justice made 2,550 assignments of judges to trial and appellate courts.

#### Court Statistical Reporting Section

The Court Statistical Reporting Section held annual regional training sessions in the Spring. The five sessions were attended by over 200 judges, clerks of court, and other court personnel from nearly 150 trial courts. Twelve courts were visited for further training and consultation, and five formal presentations were made to various groups.

#### **Court Personnel Meetings**

The Supreme Court staff coordinated and hosted meetings of the administrators of the eight largest common pleas courts, administrators of the eight largest municipal courts, and several technology interest groups.

#### **LAW LIBRARY**

Paul S. Fu, Librarian

The Supreme Court Law Library is the first state supreme court law library in the nation to establish a totally integrated online library system, when the installation of the serials and circulation modules was completed in August.

The Supreme Court Law Library hosted the first National Conference on State Court Libraries, which was held in Columbus in November. The Conference was cosponsored by the Supreme Court of Ohio and the National Center for State Courts with funding provided by the State Justice Institute. The purpose of the Conference was to develop a national strategy to enhance the operational

capabilities of state and local court libraries despite current economic difficulties. Justices and judges, court administrators and court professionals, county law librarians, and supreme court and state law librarians from 36 states, one United States territory, and Australia attended the Conference. Chief Justice Moyer delivered the keynote address.

A total of 35,854 patrons visited the Law Library, including personnel from more than 60 state agencies. The Library added 7,571 printed volumes, 42,428 pieces of microfiche, and 236 rolls of microfilm to the collection. In addition, the library staff responded to 22,761 reference questions.

#### **CLERK'S OFFICE**

Marcia J. Mengel, Clerk

#### Clerk's Office

The Clerk's Office processed a record 2,646 new cases and scheduled 174 cases for oral argument. The Clerk's Office also processed 687 continuing legal education enforcement matters, filed with the Court pursuant to Rule X of the Rules for the Government of the Bar.

#### Admissions Office

The Admissions Office processed nearly 3,600 admission applications, including 1,537 law student registrations, 1,934 bar examination applications, 126 applications for admission without examination, and two applications for temporary certification under Rule IX of the Rules for the Government of the Bar. The Admissions Office also issued approximately 2,100 certificates of good standing in 1992.

Amendments to Rule II of the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar became effective on January 1, and resulted in a substantial increase in law student applications for legal intern certificates. During 1992, the Admissions Office issued 562 legal intern certificates, a nearly 75 percent increase over the number of certificates issued the preceding year.

The Office administered two bar examinations and two admissions ceremonies in 1992. During the February bar examination, 450 applicants were tested. In May, the Office conducted an admissions ceremony at the Ohio Theatre for the 338 successful applicants. At the July examination, 1,286 applicants were tested, and November admissions ceremony was held for the 1,068 successful applicants.

#### **Attorney Registration Office**

By the end of 1992, a record 40,680 attorneys had registered for the 1991-1993 biennium, with 33,799 registering for active status, 5,401 as inactive, and 286 for corporate status, a status available to certain attorneys not admitted to practice in Ohio. In addition, 1,194 attorneys had registered for "retired" status, a new status created by the Court in 1991 for attorneys age 65 and over who no longer practice law.

#### REPORTER'S OFFICE

Walter S. Kobalka, Reporter

The Reporter's Office published 315 full Supreme Court opinions, 24 abbreviated entries, and 47 miscellaneous orders and orders in chambers, for a total of 386 edited works of the Supreme Court. Also, 919 court of appeals opinions and 68 trial court opinions were published in the Ohio Official Reports. Through the publication of six special Advance Sheets, 759 additional court of appeals opinions and 67 trial court opinions were published, for a total of 1,678 court of appeals opinions and 135 trial court opinions.

Supreme Court opinions, announcement lists, rules, and notices accounted for 29 percent of the pages available in the weekly edition of the Ohio Official Reports. Court of appeals opinions accounted for 67 percent, and trial court opinions accounted for four percent of available pages.

The Reporter's Office, in cooperation with the Office of Court Technology and Services, continued electronic transmission to the official publisher of opinions, announcement lists, rules, and notices. Also in cooperation with the Office of Court Technology and Services, the Reporter's Office began a pilot project in May 1992 to electronically transmit the Court's announcements and the full texts of the Court's opinions to OSCAN, Internet and Cleveland FREENET so that the public will have access to this information electronically.

#### OFFICE OF COURT TECHNOLOGY AND SERVICES

Edward J. Nyhan, Manager

Speaking to the second Ohio Court Technology Conference in October, Chief Justice Moyer emphasized the importance of court technology in the Ohio court system, stating that increasing caseloads and stagnant budgets have required courts to use technology to operate more efficiently. The Chief Justice stated

that the role of the Supreme Court is to provide leadership and coordination in our complex and dynamic court system. The Office of Court Technology and Services, supported by the Advisory Committee on Court Technology, provided enhanced leadership and technical assistance in the area of court technology.

The Conference featured 21 programs and an exhibit area including computer, ergonomic office furniture, and audio visual equipment. More than 100 judges and court personnel attended.

The Court supported legislation to permit courts to collect court costs to finance automation. These bills, coupled with Ohio's Cooperative Purchasing Program, will permit trial and appellate courts to move more quickly to automation.

Project Benchmark, the Supreme Court's comprehensive effort to automate and link the courts, clerks, and other components of the judicial system into a single information network, was delayed because of the state budget concerns. However, the Office began background work on the organizational and technical issues to plan for Project Benchmark, which will be revived in 1993.

The Office coordinated efforts to form the Juvenile Data Network, the first step in Project Benchmark, which will develop an information network involving the juvenile courts, and promote the electronic exchange of information among various state and local agencies in the juvenile justice system. Along with the National Center for Juvenile Justice and the Ohio Association of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, the Office participated in the preparation of a report identifying the data elements to be collected on juvenile cases.

The Office also provided technical consulting to trial courts, hosted a users meeting for courts using Ohio Supreme Court Computer-Assisted Record Keeping (OSCAR), evaluated the Court's case tracking system, and made numerous enhancements in the Court's computer system.

#### **PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE**

Harry Franken, Communications Director

The Public Information Office provided a continuing program of public information and education, including weekly announcements of Court decisions, publication of summaries of 463 opinions and other actions of the Supreme Court, up from 186 in 1991; 88 news releases, up from 67 in 1991; and memoranda to the news media on issues to be argued before the Supreme Court.

The Public Information Office responded to more than 1,434 requests from the public for assistance or information. The Office continued an education program with The Ohio State University School of Journalism.

#### **FISCAL OFFICE**

M. K. Rinehart, Fiscal Officer

The Fiscal Office provided administrative and support services for the Supreme Court and appellate and trial courts. Functions of the Office include preparation and maintenance of payrolls, administration of fringe benefits, and planning and preparation of the biennial budgets for the Judiciary and Supreme Court.

The Fiscal Office processes eight payrolls each month. Approximately 19,700 payroll warrants and electronic fund transfer statements were distributed, as well as 6,535 warrants and electronic fund statements for expense reimbursement and payment to vendors and retired assigned judges. The Office also processed payments, monitored the accounts for 12 grants and submitted financial reports as required.

#### **MASTER COMMISSIONERS**

James R. Jump, Counsel to the Court

The Master Commissioners continued to provide research support for the Supreme Court, primarily in death penalty cases, appeals from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and the Board of Tax Appeals, disciplinary cases, original actions filed in the Court, and direct appeals originating in the courts of appeals.

#### **COURT OF CLAIMS**

Miles Durfey, Clerk

The Court of Claims has statewide, original jurisdiction over all civil actions filed against the State of Ohio. The Court sits in Franklin County.

Civil actions in the Court of Claims are determined in one of two ways. Actions against the state of \$2,500 or less are determined administratively by the Clerk or Deputy Clerk. Civil actions in excess of \$2,500 are heard and determined by a single judge.

The Court of Claims may review a civil action determined administratively and enter judgment, and may hear and determine an appeal taken from an order of a panel of commissioners. In either event, the Court's judgment can not be the subject of further appeal.

#### Civil Case Management

In 1992, 532 cases were decided by the judges of the Court of Claims. The number of pending cases at the end of the year was 488. The number of filings of civil administrative determinations decreased from 830 to 669. There were 714 dispositions of these cases, and by the end of 1992, the number of civil administrative determinations pending was 301.

#### Victims of Crime Compensation Case Management

A record 7,941 victims of crime compensation cases were filed in 1992, up 15 percent from 1991 and three and one-half times the number filed in 1987. A record 6,277 victims of crime cases were disposed of, up 23 percent over the previous record year of 1991. At the end of 1992, 6,472 cases were pending. In addition, the number of cases pending before the panel commissioners and the Court was 298 and 25, respectively.

ADM71.1-32

# **CASES FILED**

JURISDICTIONAL MOTIONS	<u>1992</u>	<u>1991</u>
Motions to Certify Motions for Leave	1,342 723	1,338 646
MERIT DOCKET		
Original Actions Direct Appeals Certified Conflicts Appeals from Board of Tax Appeals Appeals from Public Utilities Commission Appeals from Power Siting Board Death Penalty Cases Certified Questions of State Law	279 124 22 44 11 0 6 5	303 124 32 23 11 1 9 3
PRACTICE OF LAW CASES		
Disciplinary Cases* Admissions Cases* Other Practice of Law Cases*	77 12 1	72 14 0
TOTAL	<u>2,646</u>	<u>2,576</u>

<sup>\*</sup> See Appendix E for a breakdown of cases relating to the practice of law that were filed in 1992.

### **FINAL DISPOSITIONS\***

JURISDICTIONAL MOTIONS (Denied or Dismissed)	<u>1992</u>	<u>1991</u>
Motions to Certify Motions for Leave	1,233 <u>626</u>	1,173 606
TOTAL	<u>1,859</u>	<u>1,779</u>
MERIT DOCKET  Original Actions Direct Appeals Certified Conflicts Appeals from Board of Tax Appeals Appeals from Public Utilities Commission Appeals from Power Siting Board Death Penalty Cases Merit Cases Pursuant to Allowance** Certified Questions of State Law	282 156 39 31 19 0 14 175	310 148 30 37 2 1 14 177 3
TOTAL	<u>720</u>	<u>_722</u>

<sup>\*</sup> See Appendix F for final dispositions of cases relating to the practice of law.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This category includes all discretionary appeals that were instituted as motions to certify the record or motions for leave to appeal, allowed by the Court, and heard and disposed of on the merits.

# **DISCRETIONARY CASES ALLOWED**

	<u>1992</u>	<u>1991</u>
MOTIONS TO CERTIFY	134	134
MOTIONS FOR LEAVE	15	29
TOTAL	<u>149</u>	<u>163</u>

#### **CASES PENDING**

CASE TYPE	PENDING AS OF 1/1/93	PENDING AS OF 1/1/92
Motions to Certify & Motions for Leave*	665**	614
Original Actions	67	67
Direct Appeals	88	120
Certified Conflicts	20	36
Appeals from Board of Tax Appeals	39	26
Appeals from Public Utilities Commission	8	16
Death Penalty Cases	13	21
Certified Questions of State Law	5	0
TOTAL	<u>905</u>	<u>900</u>

<sup>\*</sup> This category includes jurisdictional motions that were awaiting Court review on the first of the year. It also includes discretionary appeals that had been allowed in by the Court and were pending on the merits on the first of the year.

<sup>\*\*</sup> One hundred twenty-two of these cases had been allowed in by the Court and were pending on the merits as of January 1, 1993. The remainder were pending as jurisdictional motions.

# CASES RELATING TO THE PRACTICE OF LAW

### **CASES FILED**

DISCIPLINARY CASES	<u>1992</u>	<u>1991</u>
Regular disciplinary cases Automatic suspensions for felony convictions Resignations Reciprocal discipline cases Disciplinary cases involving judges Miscellaneous disciplinary matters	45 13 13 2 1 1	42 8 14 2 0 6
TOTAL	<u>77</u>	<u>72</u>
ADMISSIONS CASES		
Character and fitness cases Miscellaneous admissions matters	3 9	
TOTAL	<u>12</u>	_14
OTHER PRACTICE OF LAW CASES*		
Cases relating to the unauthorized practice of law	1	0

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Other practice of law cases" includes cases that were filed pursuant to the Supreme Court's exclusive constitutional authority over matters relating to the practice of law and that are not considered either disciplinary cases or admissions cases.

#### CASES RELATING TO THE PRACTICE OF LAW

#### **FINAL DISPOSITIONS**

DISCIPLINARY CASES	<u>1992</u>	<u>1991</u>
Public reprimands Definite suspensions Definite suspensions with probation Indefinite suppensions Disbarments Automatic suspensions for felony conviction Automatic suspension cases withdrawn Automatic suspension cases where Court decided to impose no discipline Resignations Resignations withdrawn before Court action taken	11 14 3 10* 1 9 0 2** 12 0	25 6 10 14 4 8 1
Reciprocal discipline imposed Reciprocal discipline cases dismissed Disciplinary cases involving judges Disciplinary cases dismissed by the Court Miscellaneous disciplinary matters	2 0 0 2*** 4	0 1 1 0 5
TOTAL	<u>_70</u>	<u>91</u>
ADMISSIONS CASES		
Character and fitness cases Miscellaneous admissions matters	<u>5</u> 	4 8
TOTAL	<u>12</u>	_12

<sup>\*</sup> In 1992, four attorneys who had been publicly reprimanded were subsequently suspended by the Court for not complying with the Court's orders of reprimand. These suspensions are not reported in this category. The number reported here relates only to indefinite suspensions imposed on the merits.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Court declined to impose discipline under Gov. Bar R. V, Sec. 5 (interim suspension from the practice of law for a felony conviction) against two attorneys who were given treatment in lieu of conviction pursuant to Ohio Revised Code 2951.041. However, the Court did refer these matters to the Disciplinary Counsel of the Supreme Court for further investigation.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> One case was dismissed upon respondent's resignation from the practice of law in Ohio. The other case was dismissed after the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline had reconsidered the matter on remand and recommended to the Court that the matter be dismissed.

#### 1992 RULE AMENDMENTS

Crim. R. 30, 32, & 59; Evid. R. 608 & 1102; Civ. R. 30, 51, 53, 54, & 86; App. R. 3, 4, 5, 9, 12, 16, 24, & 33; Juv. R. 40 & 47--Rules Advisory Committee Recommendations

Final publication: July 27, 1992 Effective date: July 1, 1992

Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 2-101--Lawyer Advertising

Final publication: July 6, 1992 Effective date: January 1, 1993

C.P. Sup. R. 81 - Mediator Qualifications Final publication: September 7, 1992 Effective date: September 7, 1992

Traffic Rule 3 and Uniform Traffic Ticket--Multiple Counts

Final publication: April 20, 1992 Effective date: June 1, 1992

Gov. Bar R. I, V, VI, X, and XX--Revised Attorney Discipline Rules and Reimbursement

to Certified Grievance Committees Final publication: July 27, 1992 Effective date: July 1, 1992

Gov. Bar R. XIV and DR 2-105--Lawyer Specialization

Final publication: November 30, 1992

Effective date: January 1, 1993

Canon 3, Code of Judicial Conduct--Supreme Court Justice Disqualification

Final publication: April 6, 1992 Effective date: February 25, 1992

Gov. Bar R. VII--Unauthorized Practice of Law

Final publication: November 2, 1992 Effective date: January 1, 1993

C.A Sup. R. 3 and 99; C.P. Sup. R. 76 and 99--Judicial By-Pass of Parental Notification in Abortion Cases

Final publication: November 2, 1992 Effective date: November 1, 1992

Gov. Bar R. XV and XX--Supreme Court Commission on Professionalism

Final publication: August 31, 1992 Effective date: September 1, 1992

M.C. Sup. R. 1, 13, and 99--Corrective Amendments

Final publication: July 6, 1992 Effective date: July 6, 1992

C.P. Sup. R. 15--Arbitration; Juvenile and Domestic Relations Cases

Final publication: November 30, 1992 Effective date: November 30, 1992 Gov. Bar R. XII--Rules Advisory Committee Membership Final publication: November 2, 1992

Effective date: January 1, 1993

Gov. Jud. R. VI--Private Judging Final publication: November 2, 1992 Effective date: November 2, 1992

Gov. Bar R. I, III, IV, and VIII; Gov. Jud. R. I, II, III, and IV-Gender Neutral Revisions Final publication: November 30, 1992

Effective date: January 1, 1993

ADM414

#### SUPREME COURT OF OHIO BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND COMMITTEES 1992

#### **BOARD OF BAR EXAMINERS**

James F. DeLeone, Chairman

David W. Alexander Amy G. Applegate Armond D. Arnson Frederick Byers Phillip J. Campanella Russell E. Carnahan W. Stuart Dornette

Theodore G. Gudorf (resigned)

John A. Harris, III Steven J. Hatcher Richard M. Humphreys Leslie W. Jacobs

Larry H. James (resigned)

Nils P. Johnson John L. Krutzman Patricia G. Lyden Carole A. Mitchell Michael A. Moses Keith McNamara Drew T. Parobek Dianne Goss Paynter

Frank A. Ray

Kathleen McNamus Trafford Alan C. Travis (resigned) Jeffrey D. Van Neil

#### **RULES ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Kathleen B. Burke, Chair

Judge Donald R. Ford, Vice Chairman

Judge Phil W. Campbell

Judge Mike Fain

Judge Rex D. Fortney

Judge Patricia Gaughan Judge George J. Gounaris

Judge Michael A. Rumer

John J. Cafaro Karen Darby

Charles G. Hallinan Arthur J. Marinelli James Meeks Michael R. Merz

Richard Walinski

Paul Giannelli. Counsel

Michael E. Solimine, Counsel

#### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON GRIEVANCES AND DISCIPLINE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Frederick L. Oremus, Chairman

Judge James A. Brogan Judge Ruth Ann Franks Judge Deborah K. Gaines Judge John R. Milligan Judge Leo P. Morley

Judge Tommy L. Thompson

Judge Harry W. White

David R. Boyd Charles E. Brown John W. Berger Richard R. Campbell Stanley M. Chesley James R. Cummins David S. Cupps

Sgt. George Gerkin J. Jay Hampson Steven C. Hollon Eric C. Johnson Nils Johnson (resigned)

Thomas G. Knoll Alan S. Konop

John G. Mattimoe (resigned)

Susan B. Nelson Stanley S. Phillips Diane Stern Joseph Svete

Robert V. Traci Irene C. Keyse-Walker Robin G. Weaver

#### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON CHARACTER AND FITNESS

Suzanne K. Richards, Chair Judge Nancy Hammond Judge David Tobin David R. Dillon Ernest A. Eynon, II Robert N. Farquhar Charles E. Grisi Gerald B. Lackey Michael B. Michelson Richard G. Reichel James R. Silver Donald J. Witter

# BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON THE UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW

Kenneth F. Seibel, Chairman John James Carney Paul M. Greenberger Jeffrey L. Maloon Dennis E. Murray, Sr. D. John Travis John W. Waddy, Jr.

#### TRAFFIC RULES REVIEW COMMISSION

Kirwan M. Elmers, Chairman Judge Gregory L. Frost Judge Frederick Hany, II Judge Richard M. Rogers Judge Beth A. Smith Judge Kenneth R. Spanagel Julie A. Davenport William K. Dawson George R. Manser Peter C. Ohlheiser Dennis E. Murray, Jr. Susan E. McNally (resigned) Colonel Thomas W. Rice

### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CLIENTS' SECURITY FUND

Thomas Heydinger, Chairman Donna A. James, Vice-Chair David S. Bloomfield Michael Colvin David Kamp Fred Morr Gordon L. Rose

# COMMITTEE ON THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FOR INDIGENT DEFENDANTS IN CAPITAL CASES

Judge Everett Burton, Chairman Joann Bour-Stokes

William F. Kluge

Max Kravitz John S. Pyle

David C. Stebbins (resigned)

### **COMMISSION ON CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION**

Stanley A. Freedman, Chairman Michael G. Kadens, Vice Chair James B. Albers John Mackenzie Anderson Steven D. Bell Richard Alan Chesley Kent Darr David P. Freed Marlin J. Harper Jeffrey J. Helmick Thomas S. Hodson Ann Munro Kennedy Elbert J. Kram John Read Gary A. Rosenhoffer Robert F. Sprague Harry Wright, III R. Douglas Wrightsel Judge Mark K. Wiest

#### **COMMISSION ON PROFESSIONALISM**

Honorable Mary Cacioppo Honorable Judith A. Cross Honorable Everett Krueger Honorable Richard J. McMonagle Honorable William J. Skow Jonathan D. Adams Michael Marshall Briley Philip V. Carter
Dean Thomas D. Crandall
Robert V. K. Housel
Richard G. Ison
Kathy Seward Northern
John S. Stith
Norton R. Webster

#### OHIO JUDICIAL COLLEGE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Judge George M. Glasser, Chairman Judge Jerry L. Hayes Judge Robert B. Hines Judge James Kimbler Judge Robert S. Kraft Judge Thomas J. Jenkins Judge John J. Leskovyansky Judge Patricia Warren Maiorino Judge Deborah Pryce (resigned) Judge Kenneth A. Rohrs

# SUPREME COURT ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON COURT TECHNOLOGY

Judge Thomas A. Swift, Chairman Judge Robert M. Brown Judge S. Farrell Jackson Judge Ann B. Maschari Judge William R. McMahon Judge John R. Milligan Judge Alba L. Whiteside Robert B. Belz Edna Davis

Andrew E. Diwik
Guy A. Ferguson
J. David Foell
Duane E. Hays
Thomas G. Hermann
Dennis R. Kimball
William H. Sterritt
William S. Wyler

# THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO/OHIO STATE BAR ASSOCIATION BENCH-BAR COMMITTEE

Sandra J. Anderson, Co-Chairman Judge John J. Donnelly, Jr., Co-Chairman Judge Julie A. Edwards Judge Jeffery R. Ingraham Judge Reginald J. Routson

Judge Evelyn J. Stratton
Judge William H. Wolff, Jr.

David C. Comstock James R. Jeffery Doloris F. Learmonth Rosemary G. Rubin Thomas L. Sartini

#### SUPREME COURT COMMITTEE ON DISPUTE RESOLUTION

David A. Ward, Chairman Judge John W. Gallagher Judge Robert S. Kraft Judge John M. Meagher Judge Deborah D. Pryce (resigned)

Judge Ronald L. Solove Judge William C. Todia Judge Howard S. Zwelling

William L. Clark

Joseph F. Cook (resigned)

Joseph M. Coyle William L. Danko Kathleen Graham Dean Isaac C. Hunt, Jr. Professor James M. Klein Walter W. Kocher Bea V. Larsen John D. Liber Risa C. McCray Ronald D. Miller Joseph M. Millious James S. Oliphant Harold D. Paddock Herbert Palkovitz Dianne Goss Paynter Robert W. Rack, Jr.

Ronald A. Rispo (resigned)

Nancy H. Rogers Garry L. Wharton Thomas V. Williams

#### SUPREME COURT TASK FORCE ON THE UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW

Joseph Cook, Sr., Chairman Judge David Fais Judge Mary F. Spicer Albert Bell J. Warren Bettis John Biancamano Robert A. Bunda

Rudolph D'Amico Eugene A. Lucci Kenneth Seibel John S. Stith Mary Jane Trapp John Waddy, Jr.

### SUPREME COURT RULES OF PRACTICE

Justice Alice Robie Resnick, Chair

Thomas J. Brown Cormac C. DeLaney Richard C. Farrin Professor Howard P. Fink

Stephen C. Fitch

Professor Bruce C. French

Stewart R. Jaffy William W. Lampkin Dennis M. McCarthy Kathleen McGarry Alan C. Travis Mark A. VanderLaan

### OHIO CIVIL LEGAL NEEDS IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

Denis J. Murphy, Chairman

Francis X. Beytagh Philip A. Brown

Senator William F. Bowen

Francis J. Conte

Angela Tucker Cooper Judge Michael J. Corrigan

Naomi C. Dallob Randall M. Dana Gregory S. French

Senator Charles E. Henry

John Hodges C. Lyonel Jones Richard M. Kerger

James Kura

Representative Joan W. Lawrence

Virginia Lohmann Kent Markus Jonathan Marshall

Arvin S. Miller, III Roberta Mitchell Judge Carla D. Moore Frederick L. Oremus

Rosemary G. Rubin Joseph R. Tafelski

Representative Terry M. Tranter

Kurtis A. Tunnell Mark A. Vander Laan Thomas W. Weeks

Honorable William H. Wolff, Jr. Honorable Steve A. Yarbrough

Mary P. Zitter

#### STATE CRIMINAL SENTENCING COMMISSION

(Supreme Court Appointees)

Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer, Chairman and ex officio member

Judge Burt W. Griffin

Judge Judith A. Lanzinger

Judge Alice O. McCollum

Judge John Patton

Judge Gale E. Williamson

# TASK FORCE TO STUDY COURT COSTS AND INDIGENT DEFENSE

(Supreme Court Appointees)

Justice Craig Wright, Chairman

Judge Michael L. Close Judge Melba D. Marsh Judge William H. Harsha Judge James A. Ray

# COMMISSION ON DISPUTE RESOLUTION AND CONFLICT MANAGEMENT

(Supreme Court Appointees)

Samuel H. Porter, Chairman Judge John W. McCormac

Nancy H. Rogers William K. Weisenberg

#### **OHIO PUBLIC DEFENDER COMMISSION**

(Supreme Court Appointees)

John Czarnecki

H. Ritchey Hollenbaugh

James M. Looker

Daniel L. Mann, Jr. (resigned)

### COURT PERSONNEL EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMITTEE

John Birmingham Kenneth Dale Sherry L. Eckman Timothy Hamman

Jane Held

Thomas R. Lipps Jean Lofland Dorcas Miller Betty Montague
Vincent Polito
Louis R. Rennillo
Mary Ann Rondeau
Tony Tedeschi
Nancy Welchans
John Yerman

#### TRIAL COURT PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PROJECT

Judge Robert J. Brown Judge Fred W. Crow Judge Mark K. Wiest Wally Billing Walter A. Calame, Jr.

Judith M. Cramer

Lynn Frieg

Dale Kasparek Mary Ann Rondeau Bill Schoenfeld Mark Schweikert Teresa M. Tyson-Drummer

Gary Wolfe

## **-LEGAL RIGHTS SERVICE COMMISSION**

(Supreme Court Appointee)

Judge Terrence O'Donnell, Chairman

#### JURY MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Judge Reginald J. Routson Judge Jon R. Spahr

Jean Atkin

Bill Danko Mary Ann Rondeau Tom Shields

#### GENDER FAIRNESS STEERING COMMITTEE

Justice Alice Robie Resnick

Co-Chair

Carol J. Suter, Co-Chair Judge Mary Cacioppo

Judge Ann Dyke

Judge Melissa Byers Emmerling

Judge Sheila G. Farmer Judge Janet E. Jackson Judge Linda Rocker Judge Kenneth A. Rohrs Judge Ann Marie Tracey Judge Margaret K. Weaver Judge Lesley Brooks Wells

Sandra Anderson Gregory L. Arnold Jean E. Atkin James A. Barnes Mary Sue Barone

Anthony J. Celebrezze, Jr.

Kate Hagan

Keith A. Kochheiser

Professor Joan M. Krauskopf

Christine Legow Carol Seubert Marx Beverly J. McBride Frances E. McGee Barbara Morgenstern
Pat E. Morgenstern-Clarren

Beth W. Schaeffer

Nancy A. Schilling Kandace Pearson Schrimsher

Ric S. Sheffield **Beth Short** Laurie F. Starr Diana Winterhalter

#### **GENDER FAIRNESS TASK FORCE**

Justice Alice Robie Resnick, Co-Chair Carol J. Suter, Co-Chair Senator Betty Montgomery Judge Patricia A. Blackmon Judge Francine M. Bruening Judge Peggy L. Bryant Judge Mary Cacioppo Judge Michael S. Conese Judge Ann R. Cunningham Judge Denise Ann Dartt Judge Ann Dyke Judge Melissa Byers Emmerling Judge Sheila G. Farmer Judge Carolyn B. Friedland Judge June Rose Galvin Judge Barbara P. Gorman Judge Nancy Drake Hammond Judge Sylvia Sieve Hendon Judge Janet E. Jackson Judge Katherine S. Lias Judge Teresa Lea Liston Judge Frederick H. McDonald Judge Judith A. Nicely Judge Linda Rocker Judge Kenneth A. Rohrs Judge Betty Willis Ruben Judge Shirley S. Saffold Judge Irene Balogh Smart Judge Ann Marie Tracey Judge Mary Grace Trimboli Judge Margaret K. Weaver Judge Leslie Brooks Wells Deborah Alspach Professor Linda L. Ammons Barbara Andelman Sandra Anderson Gregory L. Arnold Jean E. Atkin Jayma Bagliore James A. Barnes Mary Sue Barone Jinx Statler Beachler Janice M. Bernard Katherine L. Billingham **Professor Susan Brenner** Marjorie Crowder Briggs Colleen H. Briscoe Dr. Juliana H. J. Brooks Phyllis L. Carlson-Riehm Anthony J. Celebrezze, Jr. Shirley J. Christian Vicky M. Christiansen Bonnie Conrad **Professor Marian Crane** Karen Crist

Michaelle T. Crowley

E. Marianne Gabel Georgia J. Gauthier Elizabeth Glick Catherine D. Goldman Catherine Stich Gough Christine Boghosian Hill Barbara J. Howard Roger L. Hurley Lynn Balshone Jacobs Jennifer Jones Joseph Irene C. Keyse-Walker Kathleen C. King Jane Koprucki Professor Joan M. Krauskopf Nancy A. Lawson Walter M. Lawson Joan C. Lee Christine Legow Ellen B. Leidner Judith A. Lemke Beverly J. McBride Frances E. McGee Mark J. Mahoney Edward G. Marks Carol Seubert Marx Shirley L. Mays Carol Meyer Barbara Jean Michael Candada J. Moore Barbara Morgenstern Pat E. Morgenstern-Clarren Anne C. Morgan Judith D. Moss Jori Bloom Naegle Karen B. Newborn Jacqueline M. Orlando Randi Marie Ostry John A. Pfefferle Mary Lynn Readey Beverly M. Rose Rosemary G. Rubin Beth W. Schaeffer Kandace Pearson Schrimsher Nancy A. Schilling Marla L. Scully Ric S. Sheffield **Beth Short** Michael R. Smalz JoAnne V. Sommers Patricia L. Spencer Elizabeth M. Stanton Laurie F. Starr Suzanne M. Stasiewicz Carol Stebbins Jill Stone Kathleen W. Striggow Holly Taft Sydlow

# **GENDER FAIRNESS TASK FORCE - CONTINUED**

Catherine A. Cunningham Coleen Hall Dailey Jane C. Dell Patti Denney Nicolette Dioguardi Brenda N. Dunlap Beverly Dunworth Joyce D. Edelman Elizabeth Turrell Farrar Sherri L. Feuer Diana M. Thimming
D'Anne Durkee Uhle
Barbara G. Watts
Patricia Walker
Gail W. Webb
Barbara R. Wiethe
Diana Winterhalter
Barbara Friedman Yaksic
Carol Zimmer


# SECTION C

# **COURTS OF APPEALS**


#### COURTS OF APPEALS OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

		TERMINATED BY								
	NUMBER OF JUDGES	CASES PENDING JANUARY I	NEW CASES FILED	TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	TOTAL	DECISION OR OPINION	DIS- MISSAL	BANK- RUPTCY STAY	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31
FIRST DISTRICT	6	635	985	41	1,661	567	418	0	985	676
SECOND DISTRICT	5	745	990	3	1,738	662	272	1	935	803
THIRD DISTRICT	4	374	623	6	1,003	471	301	3	775	228
FOURTH DISTRICT	4	396	530	0	926	377	156	1	534	392
FIFTH DISTRICT	5	550	1,015	24	1,589	685	377	4	1,066	523
SIXTH DISTRICT	5	544	827	4	1,375	. 514	366	4	884	491
SEVENTH DISTRICT	3	403	449	8	860	229	186	3	418	442
EIGHTH DISTRICT	12	1,901	1,853	120	3,874	1,476	775	1	2,252	1,622
NINTH DISTRICT	5	605	1,049	0	1,654	671	361	0	1,032	622
TENTH DISTRICT	8	731	1,795	8	2,534	1,207	583	0	1,790	744
ELEVENTH DISTRICT	4	439	653	16	1,108	482	161	0	643	465
TWELFTH DISTRICT	4	343	608	15	966.	440	190	0	630	336
						4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		v. I mps		
STATEWIDE	65	7,666	11,377	245	19,288	7,781	4,146	17	11,944	7,344

# COURTS OF APPEALS NEW CASES FILED COMPARISON 1992



COURTS OF APPEALS
STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON
1988-1992



#### COURTS OF APPEALS CRIMINAL APPEALS 1992

					TERMINATED BY					
	NUMBER OF JUDGES	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW CASES FILED	TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	TOTAL CASES	DECISION OR OPINION	DIS- MISSAL	BANK- RUPTCY STAY	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 3
<b>₩</b> 12 <sup>1</sup> 21		<del></del>								<del></del>
FIRST DISTRICT	6	251	468	21	740	289	183	0	472	268
SECOND DISTRICT	5	293	372	2	667	258	74	0	332	335
THIRD DISTRICT	4	167	229	5	401	203	113	0	316	85
FOURTH DISTRICT	4	171	186	0	357	148	48	0	196	161
FIFTH DISTRICT	5	205	324	13	542	258	104	0	362	180
SIXTH DISTRICT	5	188	278	2	468	170	105	0	275	193
SEVENTH DISTRICT	3	141	136	4	281	49	57	0	106	175
EIGHTH DISTRICT	12	775	515	55	1,345	526	191	. 0	717	628
NINTH DISTRICT	5	255	359	0	614	302	98	0	400	214
TENTH DISTRICT	8	135	282	3	420	230	68	. 0	298	122
ELEVENTH DISTRICT	4	160	160	4	324	165	32	. 0	197	127
TWELFIH DISTRICT	4	125	226	9	360	174	65	0	239	121
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
STATEWIDE	65	2,866	3,535	118	6,519	2,772	1,138	0	3,910	2,609

#### COURTS OF APPEALS CIVIL APPEALS 1992

						TER	MINATED B	Υ		
	NUMBER OF JUDGES	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW CASES FILED	TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	TOTAL	DECISION OR OPINION	DIS- MISSAL	BANK- RUPTCY STAY	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	CASES PENDING DECEMBER
FIRST DISTRICT	· <u>6</u>	286	355	13	654	211	136	0	347	307
SECOND DISTRICT	5	312	390	1	703	279	110	1	390	313
THIRD DISTRICT	4	122	225	0	347	176	83	3	262	85
FOURTH DISTRICT	4	125	188	0	313	136	46	1	183	130
FIFTH DISTRICT	5	207	367	5	579	255	130	4	389	190
SIXTH DISTRICT	5	262	347	2	611	243	153	4	400	211
SEVENTH DISTRICT	3	164	201	2	367	115	65	3	183	184
EIGHTH DISTRICT	12	916	941	43	1,900	611	495	1	1,107	793
NINTH DISTRICT	5	259	510	0	769	289	175	0	464	305
TENTH DISTRICT	8	262	640	5	907	421	272	0	693	214
ELEVENTH DISTRICT	4	172	297	10	479	199	69	. 0	268	211
TWELFTH DISTRICT	4	121	199	4	324	155	63	0	218	106
STATEWIDE	65	3,208	4,660	85	7,953	3,090	1,797	17	4,904	3,049

#### COURTS OF APPEALS DOMESTIC RELATIONS, JUVENILE AND PROBATE APPEALS 1992


						TER	MINATED B	Υ		
	NUMBER OF JUDGES	CASES PENDING JANUARY I	NEW CASES FILED	TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	TOTAL CASES	DECISION OR OPINION	DIS- MISSAL	BANK- RUPTCY STAY	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	CASES PENDING DECEMBER
		According spage age.		- Philipping course		<del></del>				<del></del>
TRST DISTRICT	6	69	89	4	163	52	46	0	98	64
ECOND DISTRICT	5	130	188	0	318	115	61	0	176	142
HIRD DISTRICT	4	64	118	. 1	183	77	57	0	134	49
OURTH DISTRICT	4	66	121	0	187	62	49	0	111	76
IFTH DISTRICT	5	103	224	6	333	116	96	0	212	121
IXTH DISTRICT	5	91	140	0	231	85	71	0	156	75
EVENTH DISTRICT	3	84	93	1	178	50	56	0	106	72
IGHTH DISTRICT	12	153	177	20	350	107	87	. 0	194	156
INTH DISTRICT	5	70	119	0	189	76	36	0	112	77
ENTH DISTRICT	8	39	120	0	159	74	43	0	117	42
LEVENTH DISTRICT	. 4	76	129	1	206	83	42	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	125	81
WELFTH DISTRICT	, . <b>4</b>	78	147	1	226	97	39	0	136	90
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
TATEWIDE	65	1,023	1,665	34	2,722	994	683	0	1,677	1,045

#### COURTS OF APPEALS MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS 1992

							MINATED B			
	NUMBER OF JUDGES	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW CASES FILED	TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	TOTAL	OR OPINION	DIS- MISSAL	BANK- RUPTCY STAY	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	PENDING DECEMBER 31
FIRST DISTRICT	6	29	73	3	105	15	53	0	68	37
SECOND DISTRICT	5	10	40	0	50	10	27	0	37	13
THIRD DISTRICT	. 4	21	51	0	72	15	48	. 0	63	9
FOURTH DISTRICT	4	34	35	0	69	31	13	0	44	25
FIFTH DISTRICT	5	35	100	0	135	56	47	0	103	32
SIXTH DISTRICT	5	3	62	0	65	16	37	0	53	12
SEVENTH DISTRICT	3	14	19	1	34	15	8	0	23	11
EIGHTH DISTRICT	12	57	220	2	279	232	2	0	234	45
NINTH DISTRICT	5	21	61	0	82	4	52	0	56	26
TENTH DISTRICT	8	295	753	0	1,048	482	200	0	682	366
ELEVENTH DISTRICT	4	31	67	1	99	35	18	0	53	46
TWELFTH DISTRICT	4	19	36	1	56	14	23	0	37	19
STATEWIDE	65	569	1,517	8	2,094	925	528	0	1,453	641

Miscellaneous matters include habeas corpus, original actions, administrative appeals, and appeals from the court of claims

COURTS OF APPEALS
AVERAGE NEW CASES FILED PER JUDGE
1992



## SECTION D

## **COURT OF CLAIMS**

### COURT OF CLAIMS OVERALL CASELOAD 1992

	<u>Judicial</u>	Administrative	<u>Total</u>
Cases Pending January 1, 1992	503	346	849
Cases Filed During 1992	517	669	1,186
Cases Transferred in 1992	0	0	0
Total Terminations	532	714	1,246
Cases Pending December 31, 1992	488	301	789

### VICTIMS OF CRIME CLAIMS

Single Commissioner	
4,808 7,941	Claims Pending January 1, 1992 Claims Filed During 1992 (Includes Supplemental Filings)
6,277 6,472	Claims Terminated During 1992 Claims Pending December 31, 1992
Panel of Commissioners	
159 501 376 284	Objections Pending January 1, 1992 Objections Filed During 1992 Objections Terminated During 1992 Objections Pending December 31, 1992
Judges 8 56 39 25	Appeals Pending January 1, 1992 Appeals Filed During 1992 Appeals Terminated During 1992 Appeals Pending December 31, 1992

## SECTION E

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS

General Division

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION COMPOSITE REPORT FORM FOR THE ENTIRE STATE 1992

	PROFES- SIONAL TORT	PRODUCT LIABILITY	OTHER TORTS	WORKERS COMPEN- SATION		ADMINIS- TRATIVE APPEALS	COMPLEX LITI- GATION	OTHER CIVIL	CRIMINAL	TOTAL
CASES PENDING BEGINNING OF YEAR	2,585	1,091	24,761	2,530	8,376	1,221	333	24,810	13,891	79,598
NEW CASES FILED	2,329	872	26,714	4,193	19,465	1,794	x	40,453	59,070	154,890
TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED CASES	344	114	2,113	254	1,350	157	265	2,636	6,291	13,524
TOTAL CASES	5,258	2,077	53,588	6,977	29,191	3,172	598	67,899	79,252	248,012
CASES TERMINATED BY:										
JURY TRIAL	161	35	917	102	21	10	10	405	1,853	3,514
COURT TRIAL	108	48	1,115	148	4,942	253	28	3,520	1,165	11,327
SETTLED OR DISMISSED PRIOR TO TRIAL	578	237	7,785	1,001	1,873	287	52	6,681	1,568	20,062
DISMISSAL	883	353	10,497	1,203	4,329	535	45	10,784	4,331	32,960
DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL (CRIMINAL) OR WANT OF PROSECUTION (CIVIL)	267	62	1,740	192	457	93	19	2,135	87 .	5,052
DEFAULT	40	13	1,735	222	7,235	75	0	11,872	x	21,192
DIVERSION OR ARBITRATION	51	35	1,976	8	66	4	0	925	479	3,544
GUILTY/NO CONTEST TO ORIGINAL CHARGE	x	x	х	X	x	X	x	x	21,615	21,615
GUILTY/NO CONTEST TO REDUCED CHARGE	х	х	x	X	x	х	x	x	25,420	25,420
UNAVAILABILITY OF ACCUSED	<b>X</b>	x	x	x	x	x	х	х	4,705	4,705
TRANSFERRED OUT	281	148	2,011	205	277	112	22	2,211	2,043	7,310
REFERRED TO PRIVATE JUDGE	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	15	x	20
BANKRUPTCY STAY OR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL	18	15	402	14	1,078	25	7 .	1,388	82	3,029
ALL OTHER TERMINATIONS	138	131	1,043	153	2,034	474	26	4,165	1,523	9,687
TOTAL TERMINATIONS	2,525	1,077	29,224	3,250	22,312	1,868	209	44,101	64,871	169,437
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR	2,733	1,000	24,364	3,727	6,879	1,304	389	23,798	14,381	78,575
TIME GUIDELINE (MONTHS)	24	24	24	12	12	6	36	12	6	x
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR BEYOND TIME GUIDELINE	228	73	978	477	626	398	47	4,140	1,052	8,019

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COUNTY	NUMBER OF JUDGES	TOTAL,	ULATION PER JUDGE		W FILINGS, TI AND REACTIVA PER JUDGE	ATIONSPER 100	0	TERMINATI PER JUDGE	ONS PER 1000 POPULATION
The ju	udges of the following	g counties also	have Domestic I	Relations, Probate, an	d Juvenile Divis	ion jurisdiction.			
ADAMS		25,371	25,371	284	284	11	276	276	1118
HARRISON	1 	16,085	16,085	163	163	10	184	184	11
HENRY	him in aksilari	29,108	29,108	178	178	6	141	141	5
MORGAN MORROW	Zinakandin∉kanikula	14,194 27,749	14,1)4	143 350	143	01	117	117	8
NOBLE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11,336	27,749 11,336	113	350 113	13 10	358 122	3.58 122	13
WYANDOT		22,254	22,254	153	153	7	processing the first process of the contract of the segment	174	11 8
The ju	ulges of the following	g counties have	e General Division	n jurisdiction exclusiv	/ely.				
BUTLER	a da ta <b>k</b> alanda	291,479	58,296	3,31 <i>5</i>	663	- Rosent Rosos <b>ra</b> n	Tilaan ortus (* ara≥as		fin this was a gain
CLERMONT	3	150,187	50,062	1,725	575	11 11	3,368 1,815	674 605	12 12
CUYAHOGA	34	1,412,140	41,534	41,052	1,207	29	40,851	1,202	29
ERIE	1	76,779	76,779	1,321	1,321	17	1,257	1,257	16
FRANKLIN	16	961,437	60,090	20,144	1,259	21	20,205	1,263	21
GREENE	<b>2</b>	136,731	68,366	1,334	667	10	1,328	664	10
HAMILTON	14	866,228	61,873	14,203	1,015	16	14,228	1,016	16
LAKE	<b>3</b> Jiwa ng kaba <b>a</b> kababatan	215,499	71,833	2,717	906	13	2,904	968	13
LICKING LORAIN	2	128,300 271,126	64,150	1,285	643	10	1,355	678	u u
LUCAS	9	462,361	67,782 51,373	4,031 7,902	1,008 878	15 17	3,868 8,177	967 909	14 18
MAHONING	5	264,806	52,961	4,310	862	16	4,637	927	18
MONTGOMERY	113 68	573,809	52,164	9,615	874	17	9,589	872	17
PORTAGE	2	142,585	71,293	1,451	726	10	1,548	774	11
RICHLAND	2	126,137	63,069	1,534	767	12	1,593	797	13
STARK	4	367,585	91,896	3,758	940	10	3,699	925	10
SUMMIT	8	514,990	64,374	8,705	1,088	17	8,783	1,098	17
TRUMBULL WARREN	3 4454 2 <mark>92</mark> 3 4454	227,813	75,938	2,904	968	13	2,685	895	12
ALSTICITIES		113,909	56,955	1,126	563	10	1,202	601	1
The ju	dges of the following	counties also	have Domestic R	elations Division juri	sdiction.				
ALLEN	2	109,755	54,878	1,273	637	12	1,241	621	11
ASHLAND	1	47,507	47,507	339	339	7	356	356	7
ASHTABULA	1900 (1900 ) <b>3</b> 00 (1900 )	99,821	33,274	1,168	389	12	1,278	426	13
ATHENS		59,549	29,775	<i>5</i> 58	279	9 to take to decide basebar	649	325	11
AUGLAIZE BELMONT	2	44,585 71,074	44,585	460	460	10	473	473	11
BROWN	ยากรากที่ยวและ	71,074 34,966	35,537 34,966	820 282	410 282	12 8	745 291	373 291	10 a
CARROLL	1	26,521	26,521	250	250	9	261	261	8
CHAMPAIGN	1	36,019	36,019	366	366	1Ó	366	366	10 10
CLARK	3	147,548	49,183	1,654	551	11	1,665	555	11
CLINTON	1	35,415	35,415	306	306	9	255	255	7
COLUMBIANA	<b>2</b>	108,276	54,138	1,233	617	11	1,083	542	10
COSHOCTON		35,427	35,427	409	409	12	395	395	11
CRAWFORD DARKE	i Nitos Iganyayayat Kangsa	47,870	47,870	413	413	9	446	446	9
DEFIANCE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	53,619 39,350	53,619 39,350	512	512 401	10	547	547	10
DELAWARE	arakinan	66,929	66,929	401 826	401 826	10 12	435 793	435 793	11
FAIRFIELD	2	103,461	51,731	802	401	8	845	423	12 8
FAYETTE		27,466	27,466	260	260	9	320	320	12
FULTON	1	38,498	38,498	441	441	11	437	437	11
GALLIA		30,954	30,954	287	287	9	268	268	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
GEAUGA	<b>2</b> Stategraph speciment, some	81,129	40,565	933	467	12	942	471	12
GUERNSEY		39,024	39,024	358	358	9	370	370	9

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

		POPUL	ATION		ILINGS, TR	Ansfers, Tions	]	TERMINATIO	ONS
4:	NUMBER		PER		PER	PER 1000		PER	PER 1000
COUNTY	OF JUDGES	TOTAL	JUDGE	TOTAL	JUDGE	POPULATION	TOTAL	JUDGE	POPULATION
HANCOCK	2	65,536	32,768	erene en	entreraar		ida pedadoj statujalojdoja	renderen er en	Manual vertebbliggt
HARDIN	1	93,536 31,111	32,700 31,111	664 274	332 274	9	716	358	, in
HIGHLAND		35,728	35,728	214 297	274 297	8	238 288	238 288	8 Andrikka i padauga
HOCKING	856 v. 1. 1900. v. 1 <del>. 1</del> 960. v. 1964). 1	25,533	25,533	272	272	<b>9</b> 11	266 271	288 271	8
HOLMES	inche <b>i</b> che	32,849	32,849	267	267	11 104 (142 <b>8</b> 44) 1446	2/1 263	2/1 263	11 8
HURON	100 440 104 <del>2</del> 1 940 970.	56,240	56,240	509	207 509	9	203 524	203 524	Control to the Control of the Contro
ACKSON		30,230	30,230	262	262	jeda jeda	32 <del>4</del> 260	324 260	9 <b>9</b>
EFFERSON	2	80,298	40,149	822	411	10	803	402	Contract of the Contract of th
KNOX	and difference	47,473	47,473	757	757	16	849	402 849	10
AWRENCE	2	61,834	30,917	832	416	13	858	engen er i en hannen i so	18
OGAN		42,310	42,310	514	514		აა 491	429 401	14 12
MADISON	tarrita (h. 1905). 	37,068	37,068	370	370	10	373	491 373	1 4 1545 15514 445
MARION	2	64,274	32,137	731	366	10	710	373 355	10
MEDINA	2	122,354	61,177	1,152	576	9 <b>18</b>	1,070	the territor personalist to the the state	11
MEIGS		22,987	22,987	240	240	10	295	535	9
MERCER	1	39,443	39,443	240	240	6	293 278	295 278	13
MIAMI	2	93,182	46,591	769	385		276 755	278 378	7 <b>B</b>
MONROE	1	15,497	15,497	<b>2</b> 92	292	19	268	268	A REST OFFICE AND A STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
MUSKINGUM	2	82,068	41,034	878	439	'n	200 839	420	17 10
TTAWA	1	40,029	40,029	509	509	13	486	420 486	10 12
AULDING	$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{f}$	20,488	20,488	181	181	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	193	193	12 9
PERRY	1	31,557	31,557	324	324	10	389	389	ACARTON STATE STAT
TCKAWAY	1111000 <b>1</b> 5320 1569	48,255	48,255	547	547	ii ii	562	362	12 12
IKE	1	24,249	24,249	294	294	12	295	302 295	
REBLE	otau fotos	40,113	40,113	416	416	io	233 411	293 411	12
UTNAM	1	33,819	33,819	197	197	* <b>Y</b>	202	202	10
COSS	2	69,330	34,665	700	350	10	202 681	341	10
ANDUSKY	2	61,963	30,982	577	289	9	572	286	9
CIOTO	2	80,327	40,164	906	453	recognitions by The concepts:	949	475	12
ENECA	2	59,733	29,867	<i>5</i> 51	276	0 12 0 22 27 <b>**</b> 1500 × 1200. <b>9</b>	531	266	9
HELBY	4 10 at 4000	44,915	44,915	492	492	ú	491	491	11
USCARAWAS	2	84,090	42,045	1,133	567	13	1,233	617	15
INION		31,969	31,969	311	311	10	356	356	11
AN WERT	1	30,464	30,464	336	336	11	349	349	11
INTON		11,098	11,098	134	134	galaataaj <mark>je</mark> stees ge	155	155	14
VASHINGTON	2	62,254	31,127	562	281	9	494	247	8
VAYNE	2	101,461	50,731	612	306	ra sa marana <b>k</b> aran aran da karan da k	596	247 298	6
VILLIAMS	1	36,956	36,956	313	313	8 - 1804-10 (1965-1984) (1964-1984) 8	313	220 313	8
dood	173	113,269	37,756	1,010	337	ğ	1,105	368	10
				e e la	the party of the second of the second	ar sanda ar	ಂದರು ಎಂದು ಕ್ರಾಪಾರ್ಯ ಸ್ಥಾಪ್ತು: 	1 A - 4 A 新期間(A)	STATES STATE
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR									
TATEWIDE	225	10,847,115	48,209	168,414	749	16	169,437	753	16
8			•	•		* *	,		••

All population figures based on 1990 U.S.Census

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION PROFESSIONAL TORT OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

ALLEN 27 29 5 6 20 3 3 0 0 ALLEN 27 29 5 6 20 228 33 0 0 ALLEN 27 29 5 6 20 20 85 19 2 2 ALLEN 27 29 5 6 20 20 85 19 2 2 ALLEN 27 20 10 20 3 10 0 0 4 10 20 20 85 19 2 2 ALLEN 27 2 10 10 20 20 85 19 2 2 ALLEN 27 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW	CTIVATIONS AND RANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ALIEN 77 29 5 61 28 22.2% 33 0 ASHLAND 4 3 3 0 77 3 0.05% 4 0.0 ASHLAND 4 3 3 0 77 3 0.05% 4 0.0 ASHLAND 4 3 3 30 10 4 20.05% 19 2 ALIENS 4 3 3 30 10 4 2 0.05% 16 2 ALIENS 4 3 3 3 10 10 4 2 0.05% 16 2 ALIENS 4 3 3 3 10 10 4 2 0.05% 11 0.0 BROWN 1 1 2 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 2 0 BROWN 1 1 2 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 2 0 BROWN 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 100.05 0 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 100.05 0 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 100.05 0 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 0 3 1 1 100.05 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 3 2 1 1 100.05 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 3 3 1 1 0.05 1 1 0 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 3 3 1 1 0.0 0 1 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 3 3 1 1 0.0 0 1 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 3 3 1 1 0.0 0 1 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 5 1 0 1 1 1 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 1 3 3 3 3 3 5 1 0 1 1 1 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 3 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0.0 0 1 1 1 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 CARROLL 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									
ASHLAND  4 3 0 7 5 0.05% 4 8 8 ASHLAND  ASHLANDLA  4 13 3 10 4 120.05% 6 2  ATHENS  4 3 3 10 4 4 20.05% 6 2  ATHENS  5 4 3 3 10 4 4 20.05% 6 2  ATHENS  6 0 4 0 4 2 2  0 BELMONT  1 2 14 4 177 6 450.05% 11 0  BEROWN  1 2 0 3 1 100.05% 2 0  BULLER  28 27 6 6 66 13 1 71,5% 30 2  BULLER  28 27 6 6 66 13 1 71,5% 30 2  BULLER  28 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1.00.05% 0 0  CHAMPAIGN  1 1 0 0 2 1 1 100.05% 0 0  CHAMPAIGN  1 1 0 0 2 1 1 00.05% 15 1  CLERNONT  6 15 2 2 20 13 66.75% 10 1  CLERNONT  6 15 2 2 20 13 66.75% 10 1  CLINTON  6 0 1 7 7 3 3.35,5% 4 1  CLINTON  6 0 1 7 7 3 3.35,5% 4 1  CULINGENNA  16 22 0 37 16 31.3% 21 0  CRAWROLD  9 4 3 16 8 1.11% 8 0  CRAWROLD  9 4 3 16 8 1.11% 8 0  CRAWROLD  9 4 3 16 8 1.11% 8 0  CRAWROLD  10 2 8 11 1 1 5 0.05% 1 0  DEPLANCE  2 8 1 1 11 5 0.00% 1 0  DEPLANCE  2 8 1 1 11 5 0.00% 1 0  DEPLANCE  2 8 1 1 11 5 0.00% 1 0  DEPLANCE  2 8 1 1 11 5 0.00% 1 0  DEPLANCE  2 8 1 1 11 5 0.00% 1 0  DEPLANCE  2 9 7 2 67 2 80 1 0.00 0  DEPLANCE  2 1 1 0.00% 15 0  DEPLANCE  2 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	The state of the s				3	Committee of the Commit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	<u>o</u>
ASHTABULA  ASHTABULA  ASTATEMS  4 3 3 3 10 4 20 -20.88 19 2  AUGLAZE  0 4 4 0 4 2 - 2 - 2 0  BROWN  1 2 144 1 17 6 490.08 11 00  BROWN  1 2 0 0 3 1 100.08 2 0  BROWN  1 1 2 0 0 3 1 100.08 2 0  BROWN  1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0.00 8 0 0  CHARRY  CHAMPAIGN  1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0.00 8 0 0  CLARR  CAROLL  1 0 0 35 18 3-08.8 18 1  CLINTON  6 15 2 2 3 13 66.78 10 1  CLINTON  6 0 0 1 7 3 3 33.3 2 4 1  CLINTON  6 0 0 1 7 3 3 33.3 2 4 1  CLINTON  6 0 0 1 7 3 3 33.3 2 4 1  CLINTON  6 0 0 3 7 16 33.3 2 1  CUYANGGA  7 3 4 3 16 8 - 11.1 8 8 0  CUYANGGA  7 2 6 7 2 90 1,400 757 0,78 733 56  CUYANGGA  7 22 4 0 0 8 3 4 0 14 0,00 6 0  DETAMPEL  2 4 0 0 8 3 3 50.08 5 18  ODERLANCE  2 3 1 1 11 5 00.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  2 4 0 0 8 3 3 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 1 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  8 17 2 2 7 12 50.08 6 0  DETAMPEL  9 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		the control of the co		ng kanang terbahan Mga pagang terbahan	and the control of the second of the		country and a second of the second second second and a	El capación de energia de dante el cabida-	
ATHENS		the state of the s	and the second of the second of the second	3	of the first property of	enten den die 12 haben 124 enten 125 enten 1	erangan di malakatan beranggan beranggan bahasa	continued a company of the continue of the con	
BERONN	ATHENS	4	and the second of the second o	3	the second second second	Market and the first of the fir	and the second of the second o	and there are the resident of a section of the	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS.
BROWN	Contract of the contract of	The English Committee of the Committee o	ele 100 f. e Desett indexes i establisse na ele	0	English Control of the Assets	the second of the second of the second		Applications of the the least the	the second of sections
BUILER   28	ethological production of	Act 18 (1999) 2 18 (1999)	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	de <b>i</b> tera	and the state of the state of the state of		The state of the s	A TABLE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	SECTION AND SECTION ASSESSMENT
CARRICL	and the second second second	$\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{z}}}}}}}}}}$	the same and the s	6	The second section of the second	ar a salah ta	and the second of the second o		
CLARK   26	and the state of t	er vising seet had <del>ma</del> ared seet 1	the state of the state of the state of	0	1	ing a control of the control of the	de la licita de la companya de la c	e de les la literatura de la contrata del contrata del contrata de la contrata del contrata de la contrata del contrata de la contrata del contrata de la contrata del contrata de la contrata de la contrata del contrat	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE
CLEMONT   6				0	2				O
CLINTON 6 0 1 7 3 33.3 4 4 1 CCULUMIANA 16 21 0 377 16 31.3 2 21 0 CCSCOCTON 3 1 0 4 3 66.7 1 0 CCRAWFORD 9 4 3 16 8 3 11.1 8 9 0 CUYAFORA 728 6672 90 1,490 757 0.7 5 733 56 DARKE 2 4 0 6 5 5.00 6 1 0 DELANCE 2 8 1 11 5 500.0 6 6 0 DELANCE 2 8 1 11 5 500.0 6 6 0 DELANCE 2 8 1 11 5 500.0 6 6 0 DELANCE 4 4 4 0 8 3 5.50 6 5 0 DELANCE 4 4 4 0 8 3 5.50 6 5 0 DELANCE 5 12 3 40 14 4.0 6 26 0 ERIB 25 12 3 40 14 4.0 6 26 0 FAMPHILLD 8 17 2 27 12 87.5 6 15 0 FAMPHILLD 8 17 2 27 11 5 0.0 6 6 0 FAMPHILLD 8 17 2 27 11 5 0.0 6 6 0 FAMPHILLD 8 17 2 2 11 5 0.0 6 6 0 FAMPHILLD 8 17 5 0 150.0 6 6 0 FAMPHILLD 8 17 5 0 150.0 6 6 0 GEAUGA 13 5 0 18 12 2 30 11 5 0.0 6 6 0 GEAUGA 13 5 0 18 12 2 38 9 0.0 6 9 0 GEAUGA 13 5 0 18 12 2 53.8 6 0 0 GREENE 9 7 2 18 9 0.0 6 9 0.0 6 8 0 FAMPHILLD 8 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0.0 6 1 1 0 HARRISON 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0.0 6 1 1 0 HARRISON 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 6 0 0 HARRISON 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 6 0 0 HARRISON 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 6 0 0 HARRISON 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 6 0 0 HARRISON 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 6 0 0 HARRISON 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 6 0 0 HARRISON 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HARRISON 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HARRISON 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	The season of the season of the season of	and the common programmed and the common pro		er en erekt adaman in e		A see that the second second	and the second s	the contract of the contract o	1
COLIMEANA   16	2 (24) 1 (4)	AND THE STATE OF T		"(15년 12년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11		and the second second		and the second of the second	
COSHOCTON 3 1 0 4 3 6-5.7% 1 0 0 CRAWFORD 9 4 3 116 8 1-11.1% 8 0 0 CUYAFOGA 728 672 90 1,490 757 0.7% 733 56 DARKE 2 2 4 0 6 5 5.00.0% 1 0 DELANCE 2 8 1 111 5 200.0% 6 0 0 DELANCE 2 8 1 111 5 200.0% 6 0 0 DELANCE 4 4 4 0 8 3 3 25.0% 5 0 DELANCE 2 12 3 40 14 4.0% 26 0 0 EAVARE 4 4 4 0 8 8 3 25.0% 5 0 DELANCE 12 3 40 14 4.0% 26 0 0 FAIRFELD 8 17 2 2 11 1 5 0.0% 6 0 0 FAIRFELD 8 17 2 2 11 1 5 0.0% 6 0 0 FAIRFELD 8 17 2 2 11 1 5 0.0% 6 0 0 DELANCE 4 4 4 4 545 238 19.5% 15 0 0 GALLIA 2 2 2 1 11 5 0 0.0% 6 0 0 GALLIA 2 2 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 5 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 5 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 5 0 0.0% 9 0 0 DELANCE 9 9 7 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Eller St. Communication of the	and the second of the second of the	ō	and the second second		And the second of the second		o o
CULYAFOGA   728   672   90	COSHOCTON	the control of the control of the second of the con-	1	0	4	and the second of the second		1	0
DARKE   2	and the second of the second		the second of the second	the second of the second of		Committee of the Commit		and the second second	
DEFANCE   2   8   1   11   5   200.0%   5   0		and the first of the control of the	672	and the second of the second	the contract of the contract o	and the second of the second of the second	Control of the Control of Lance Con-	area Marinian in November 2004	Annual Control of the
DELAWARE	and the first firs	The state of the s	8	A Server of the Section Con-		and the second of the second of the second	The first of the f		The state of the s
ERIE 25 12 3 40 14 4,0% 26 0 FARIFIELD 8 17 2 2 27 12 87.5% 15 0 FAYETTE 6 6 3 2 111 5 0.0% 6 0 FAYETTE 6 6 3 2 111 5 0.0% 6 0 FRANKLIN 257 244 44 94545 238 19.5% 307 27 FULTON 0 3 3 0 3 2 - 1 1 0 GALLIA 2 2 2 1 1 5 0 150.0% 5 0 GALLIA 2 2 2 1 1 5 0 150.0% 5 0 GREENE 9 7 7 2 18 9 0.0% 9 0 GUERNERY 5 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 9 0 GUERNERY 5 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 8 0 GUERNERY 5 5 5 0 10 0 2 60.0% 8 0 HAMILTON 302 263 20 585 231 17.2% 354 33 HANCOCK 5 8 1 1 14 5 80.0% 9 2 HARDIN 1 0 0 0 1 0 0,0% 1 1 0 HARRISON 1 0 0 0 1 0 0,0% 1 1 1 HENRY 0 1 0 0 1 0 0,0% 1 1 1 HENRY 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0,0% 1 1 1 HENRY 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0,0% 0 0 HOCKING 2 3 0 0 5 5 2 50.0% 3 0 HOCKING 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0,0% 0 0 HOCKING 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0,0% 0 0 HOCKING 1 1 0 0 0 0 0,0% 0 0 HOCKING 2 3 0 0 5 0 0,0% 0 0 HOCKING 4 3 1 1 0 0 0 0,0% 1 0 HOLLMES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0,0% 0 0 HOCKING 5 1 1 0 0 0 0 0,0% 0 0 HOCKING 6 1 1 0 0 0 0,0% 7 0 HOCKING 7 13 2 2 2 15 0.0% 7 0 HURON 7 5 0 0 12 5 0.0% 7 0 KNOK 4 3 1 1 0 0 6 2 0 0,0% 7 0 LAWRENCE 5 1 0 0 6 2 20.0% 4 2 LAWRENCE 5 1 0 0 6 2 20.0% 4 2 LAWRENCE 5 1 0 0 7 4 4 50.0% 13 0 LAWRENCE 5 1 0 0 7 4 4 50.0% 13 0 LAWRENCE 5 1 0 0 7 4 4 50.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 7 16 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 LOGAN 6 1 0 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 LOGAN 7 6 1 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 LOGAN 7 6 1 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 22 11 4 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 7 2 1 1 4 7 7 16 15 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 7 2 1 1 4 7 7 16 5 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 7 2 1 1 4 7 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 7 2 1 1 4 7 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 7 2 1 1 4 7 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 7 2 1 1 4 7 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 7 2 1 1 4 7 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 7 2 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1	the second second second second	The first of the page 186 of the first of th	<ul> <li>A A Set of Association (A)</li> </ul>	Service ABVANACE A	and the second second second		the state of the state of the state of	Acres de la respectación de la contraction del contraction de la c	Appropriate the control of the contr
FAYETTE	and the second of the second o		A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE	a se a la contrata de		14	4.0%	26	the first of the second
FRANKLIN 257 244 44 545 238 19.5% 307 27 FULTON 0 3 0 3 0 3 2 - 1 0 GALLIA 2 2 2 1 1 5 0 150.0% 5 0 GREENE 9 7 7 2 18 9 0.0% 9 0 GUENSEY 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 8 0 GUENSEY 5 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 8 0 GUENSEY 5 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 8 0 HAMILTON 302 263 20 585 231 17.2% 354 33 HANCOCK 5 8 1 14 5 80.0% 9 2 HARDIN 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0.0% 1 0 HARRISON 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0.0% 1 1 HEINRY 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 1 1 HOCKING 2 3 3 0 0 5 5 2 50.0% 3 0 HOCKING 2 3 3 0 0 5 6 2 2 50.0% 3 0 HUCKING 4 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HUCKING 7 5 0 12 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 7 13 2 2 22 15 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 7 13 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HUCKING 7 13 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HUCKING 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HUCKING 7 13 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 8 5 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 8 5 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 8 5 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 8 5 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 8 5 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 8 5 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 8 5 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 8 5 5 0.0% 7 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUCKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 2 15 0.0% 1 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 1 1 0 0 6 2 2 0.0% 1 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 1 1 0 0 6 2 2 0.0% 1 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 1 1 0 0 7 4 5 50.0% 3 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 1 1 0 0 7 4 5 50.0% 3 0 0 HUKKING 1 3 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 8 15.4% 11 1 24 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HABIONA 13 10 0 0 23 8 3 15.4% 15 11 24 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HULCAS 206 160 32 398 216 1.1.7% 182 5 HUCKING 1 3 1 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		the state of the s		2				The second of the second of the	and the second second
FULTON 0 3 0 3 0 3 2 1 0 150.0% 5 0 0 GALIA 2 2 2 1 1 5 0 180.0% 5 0 0 GALIA 2 2 2 1 1 5 0 180.0% 5 0 0 GEAUGA 13 5 5 0 18 12 5.58.% 6 0 0 GEAUGA 13 5 5 0 18 12 5.58.% 6 0 0 GEAUGA 13 5 5 0 18 12 5.58.% 6 0 0 GEAUGA 13 5 5 0 18 12 5.58.% 6 0 0 GEAUGA 13 5 5 0 18 12 5.58.% 6 0 0 GEAUGA 13 5 5 0 18 12 5.58.% 6 0 0 GEAUGA 13 5 5 0 10 2 50.0% 9 0 0 GUERNSEY 5 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 8 0 0 GUERNSEY 5 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 8 0 0 GUERNSEY 5 5 5 8 1 1 14 5 80.0% 9 2 LABOLIN 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0.0% 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0.0% 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0.0% 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0.0% 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	and the second of the second of	and the second of the second o	The second of the part of the second of the second	2 44		and the second of the second of	the first of the contract of the contract of the	The first of the second of the second of	and the second of the second
GALIJA 2 2 1 1 5 0 150.0% 5 0 0 GEAUGA 13 5 0 18 12 -53.8% 6 0 0 GREENE 9 7 2 18 9 0.0% 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		the state of the control of the state of the	in a service of an arms in a payor as	e proposition of the control of the	The state of the state of the	the state of Miller		1	
GREENE 9 7 2 18 9 0.0% 9 0 GUERNSEY 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 8 0 HAMILTON 302 263 20 585 21 17.2% 354 33 HANCOCK 5 8 1 1 14 5 80.0% 9 2 HARDIN 1 0 0 0 1 0 0.0% 1 0 HARRISON 1 0 0 0 1 0 0.0% 1 1 HEINRY 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 HIGHLAND 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 HIGHLAND 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 HOCKING 2 3 0 0 5 2 5 00.0% 3 0 HOLMES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 HURON 7 5 0 0 12 5 00.0% 7 0 HURON 7 13 2 22 15 0.0% 7 0 IEFFERSON 1 1 0 2 0 0.0% 7 0 IEFFERSON 7 13 2 22 15 0.0% 7 0 IEFFERSON 7 13 2 22 15 0.0% 7 0 IEFFERSON 7 13 2 22 20 100.0% 2 0 IEFFERSON 1 1 0 8 5 20 0.0% 3 0 LAWRENCE 5 1 0 6 6 2 2-20.0% 4 2 LAWRENCE 5 1 0 6 6 2 2-20.0% 4 2 LICKING 13 12 2 2 7 14 0.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 20.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 20.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 6 1 0 7 165 54 11.0 0 MAHONING 97 61 7 165 54 11.1 1 24 MARION 22 11 4 37 15 0.0% 5 1 MEIGS 1 5 2 8 3 40.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 3 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 3 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 3 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 3 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 3 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 3 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 3 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 9 0	GALLIA	<b>2</b>	2	1			150.0%		
GUERNSEY 5 5 0 10 2 60.0% 8 0  HAMILTON 302 263 20 585 231 17.2% 354 33  HANCOCK 5 8 1 14 5 80.0% 9 2  HARDIN 1 0 0 0 1 0 0.0% 1 0  HARRISON 1 0 0 0 1 0 0.0% 1 1  HERRY 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 1 0  HOCKING 2 3 3 0 5 5 2 50.0% 3 0  HOCKING 2 3 3 0 5 5 2 50.0% 3 0  HOCKING 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  HURDON 7 5 0 12 5 0.0% 7 0  JACKSON 1 1 0 0 2 0 000 7 0  JACKSON 1 1 1 0 0 2 0 000 7 0  JACKSON 1 1 1 1 0 0 2 0 0  JEFFERSON 7 13 2 22 15 0.0% 7 0  LAWE 31 33 3 67 39 9.7% 28 0  LAWENCE 5 1 0 6 2 2.00% 4 2  LAWENCE 5 1 0 6 2 2.00% 4 2  LAWENCE 5 1 0 6 2 2.00% 4 2  LICKING 13 12 2 27 14 0.0% 13 0  LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 2.00% 4 0  LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 2.00% 4 0  LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 2.00% 4 0  LOGAN 6 1 0 7 4 6 2 2.00% 4 0  LOGAN 7 6 1 0 7 4 6 5.00% 7 8  LUCAS 206 160 32 398 216 11.7% 182 5  MADISON 6 1 0 7 4 5.00% 3 0  MAHONING 97 61 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24  MARION 22 11 4 37 15 0.0% 5 0  MAHONING 13 10 0 2 8 3 8 15.4% 11 24  MARION 22 11 4 37 15 0.0% 3 0  MAHONING 97 61 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24  MARION 12 1 5 1 0 0 2 3 8 15.4% 15 1  MEIGS 1 5 1 0 0 2 3 8 15.4% 15 1  MEIGS 1 5 1 0 0 2 3 8 15.4% 15 1  MEIGS 1 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  MAMONING 5 11 0 0 0 23 8 15.4% 15 1  MEIGS 1 5 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	the state of the state of the state of		<b>5.</b> u. leur : A r. V <u>.</u> 1 k bobet W. r			and the control of the second processing	At the contract of the second of a second of	and the second of the second of the second	the programme of the control of
HAMILTON   302   263   20   585   231   17.2%   354   33	and the second second			en er andare en er	Alternative and the second		the first and the first of the second	na na marana a t <u>a</u> u dalam i	10 10 11 12 15 15 180
HANCOCK 5 8 1 1 14 5 80.0% 9 2 HARDIN 1 0 0 0 1 0 0.0% 1 0 HARRISON 1 0 0 0 1 0 0.0% 1 1 HENRY 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HIGHAND 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HOCKING 2 3 0 0 5 2 50.0% 3 0 HOLMES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 HURON 7 5 0 12 5 0.0% 7 0 JACKSON 1 1 1 0 0 2 0 0 100.0% 2 0 JACKSON 1 1 1 0 0 2 0 100.0% 2 0 KNOX 4 3 1 8 5 -25.0% 3 0 LAKE 31 33 3 67 39 9-9.7% 28 0 LAWENCE 5 1 0 6 2 27 14 0.0% 13 0 LAWENCE 5 1 0 6 2 27 14 0.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 2 LICKING 13 12 2 2 77 14 0.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 4 0 9 5 -20.0% 4 0 LOGAN 5 1 1 0 7 4 50.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 1 1 0 7 4 50.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 1 1 0 7 4 50.0% 13 0 LOGAN 5 1 1 0 7 4 50.0% 15 10 LOGAN 5 1 1 0 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 22 11 4 37 15 0.0% 22 3 MADISON 6 1 7 165 54 14.4% 111 24 MARION 22 11 4 37 15 0.0% 22 3 MEDINA 13 10 0 23 8 15.4% 15 1 MEIGS 1 5 1 5 2 8 3 400.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 0 5 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 0 5 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 0 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MERCER 2 3 0 0 5 0 150.0% 5 0 MIAMU 5 11 0 0 16 7 80.0% 9 0	the first of the second of the	and the country of the control of th	and the second second	and the second second	the state of the s	After a second control of the control of	the first of a property of the second of the second	and the area of the property of the	A STATE OF THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE RESERV
HARRISON I 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0.0% I 1 1 1 HENRY 0 1 1 0 1 0 0.0% I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		the control of the second of the control of the con	8	1	14	5	reported a restriction of the contract of the	9	
HENRY 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			<u>o</u>	0			The second secon		0
HIGHLAND         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           HOCKING         2         3         0         5         2         50.0%         3         0           HOLMES         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           HURON         7         5         0         12         5         0.0%         7         0           JACKSON         1         1         0         2         0         100.0%         2         0           JACKSON         1         1         0         2         0         100.0%         2         0           JACKSON         1         1         0         2         0         100.0%         2         0           JACKSON         1         1         0         2         0         100.0%         2         0           JACKSON         3         1         8         5         -25.0%         3         0           LAKE         31         3         1         8         5         -25.0%         3         0           LAWRENCE         5         1 </th <th></th> <th>u La tradesce i perfeit alte i ver</th> <th>O SVENANCETON PERF</th> <th>0 </th> <th>- 1 5년 대한 기계대학교</th> <th>The section of the second</th> <th>0.0%</th> <th>1 9094964 (1889)<b>(</b>018-519)</th> <th>1</th>		u La tradesce i perfeit alte i ver	O SVENANCETON PERF	0 	- 1 5년 대한 기계대학교	The section of the second	0.0%	1 9094964 (1889) <b>(</b> 018-519)	1
HOCKING 2 3 0 0 5 2 50.0% 3 0 HOLMES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0	0	0	0		0.0%	0	and an end of the
HURON         7         5         0         12         5         0.0%         7         0           JACKSON         1         1         0         2         0         100.0%         2         0           JEPFERSON         7         13         2         22         15         0.0%         7         0           KNOX         4         3         1         8         5         -25.0%         3         0           LAKE         31         33         3         67         39         -9.7%         28         0           LAWRENCE         5         1         0         6         2         -20.0%         4         2           LICKING         13         12         2         27         14         0.0%         13         0           LOGAN         5         4         0         9         5         -20.0%         4         0           LOGAIN         40         57         20         117         40         92.5%         77         8           LUCAS         206         160         32         398         216         -11.7%         182         5 <td< th=""><th>HOCKING</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>0</th><th></th><th>2</th><th>The control of the second section of the control of</th><th>3</th><th>Charles of the Artist Artist</th></td<>	HOCKING	2	3	0		2	The control of the second section of the control of	3	Charles of the Artist Artist
JACKSON         1         1         0         2         0         100.0%         2         0           JEFFERSON         7         13         2         22         15         0.0%         7         0           KNOX         4         3         1         8         5         -25.0%         3         0           LAWE         31         33         3         67         39         -9.7%         28         0           LAWRENCE         5         1         0         6         2         -20.0%         4         2           LICKING         13         12         2         27         14         0.0%         13         0           LOGAN         5         4         0         9         5         -20.0%         4         0           LORAIN         40         57         20         117         40         92.5%         77         8           LUCAS         206         160         32         398         216         -11.7%         182         5           MADISON         6         1         0         7         4         50.0%         3         0           <	and the control of th	and the control of th	0	0		and the second second second second	and the second of the contract	O Listeria de mara Setabrilla de la decida	to the contract of the contrac
JEPFERSON   7							the programme of the control of the		And the second second
KNOX         4         3         1         8         5         -25.0%         3         0           LAKE         31         33         3         67         39         -9.7%         28         0           LAWRENCE         5         1         0         6         2         -20.0%         4         2           LICKING         13         12         2         27         14         0.0%         13         0           LOGAN         5         4         0         9         5         -20.0%         4         0           LORAIN         40         57         20         117         40         92.5%         77         8           LUCAS         206         160         32         398         216         -11.7%         182         5           MADISON         6         1         0         7         4         -50.0%         3         0           MAHONING         97         61         7         165         54         14.4%         111         24           MARION         22         11         4         37         15         0.0%         22         3	THE RESERVE OF THE SECOND SECOND			and a <b>ž</b> ijasta			and the first of the control of the	an appared to the following of the first of the second	A SECTION AND A CONTRACTOR
LAWRENCE         5         1         0         6         2         -20.0%         4         2           LICKING         13         12         2         27         14         0.0%         13         0           LOGAN         5         4         0         9         5         -20.0%         4         0           LORAIN         40         57         20         117         40         92.5%         77         8           LUCAS         206         160         32         398         216         -11.7%         182         5           MADISON         6         1         0         7         4         -50.0%         3         0           MAHONING         97         61         7         165         54         14.4%         111         24           MARION         22         11         4         37         15         0.0%         22         3           MEDINA         13         10         0         23         8         15.4%         15         1           MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0	KNOX	4		1	e in the management of the con-	ti in a li de per la papa in the papa per centre.	ALCOHOLOGICA CONTRACTOR AND ARREST AND AND A	to the first production and contraction	Medical page of the end of the
LICKING         13         12         2         27         14         0.0%         13         0           LOGAN         5         4         0         9         5         -20.0%         4         0           LORAIN         40         57         20         117         40         92.5%         77         8           LUCAS         206         160         32         398         216         -11.7%         182         5           MADISON         6         1         0         7         4         -50.0%         3         0           MAHONING         97         61         7         165         54         14.4%         111         24           MARION         22         11         4         37         15         0.0%         22         3           MEDINA         13         10         0         23         8         15.4%         15         1           MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0           MERCER         2         3         0         5         0         150.0%         5         0			33	and the second of the second of the			-9.7%		
LOGAN         5         4         0         9         5         -20.0%         4         0           LORAIN         40         57         20         117         40         92.5%         77         8           LUCAS         206         160         32         398         216         -11.7%         182         5           MADISON         6         1         0         7         4         -50.0%         3         0           MAHONING         97         61         7         165         54         14.4%         111         24           MARION         22         11         4         37         15         0.0%         22         3           MEDINA         13         10         0         23         8         15.4%         15         1           MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0           MERCER         2         3         0         5         0         150.0%         5         0           MIAMI         5         11         0         16         7         80.0%         9         0			ା । ଜୁଲ ମଧୁର ବିବେଳ୍ପ ବ୍ୟବସାନ ଅନ୍ତିତ		and the second second	and the area to the service of the			Control of the Contro
LORAIN         40         57         20         117         40         92.5%         77         8           LUCAS         206         160         32         398         216         -11.7%         182         5           MADISON         6         1         0         7         4         -50.0%         3         0           MAHONING         97         61         7         165         54         14.4%         111         24           MARION         22         11         4         37         15         0.0%         22         3           MEDINA         13         10         0         23         8         15.4%         15         1           MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0           MERCER         2         3         0         5         0         150.0%         5         0           MIAMI         5         11         0         16         7         80.0%         9         0           MONROE         0         0         0         0         0.0%         0         0									the company of the co
LUCAS         206         160         32         398         216         -11.7%         182         5           MADISON         6         1         0         7         4         -50.0%         3         0           MAHONING         97         61         7         165         54         14.4%         111         24           MARION         22         11         4         37         15         0.0%         22         3           MEDINA         13         10         0         23         8         15.4%         15         1           MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0           MERCER         2         3         0         5         0         150.0%         5         0           MIAMI         5         11         0         16         7         80.0%         9         0           MONROE         0         0         0         0         0         0         0			The second second second second second second	a see a second of the second	and the state of the state of	property and the same of the same of the same of		and the state of t	Contract to the contract of
MAHONING         97         61         7         165         54         14.4%         111         24           MARION         22         11         4         37         15         0.0%         22         3           MEDINA         13         10         0         23         8         15.4%         15         1           MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0           MERCER         2         3         0         5         0         150.0%         5         0           MIAMI         5         11         0         16         7         80.0%         9         0           MONROE         0         0         0         0         0         0         0		the control of the co	and a second of the second of the second	32	the second of the second of	The same of the same of the same	-11.7%		
MARION         22         11         4         37         15         0.0%         22         3           MEDINA         13         10         0         23         8         15.4%         15         1           MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0           MERCER         2         3         0         5         0         150.0%         5         0           MIAMI         5         11         0         16         7         80.0%         9         0           MONROE         0         0         0         0         0.0%         0         0			the second section is a second second		en i – ein eine beig til er	and the property of the standard pro-			the state of the s
MEDINA         13         10         0         23         8         15.4%         15         1           MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0           MERCER         2         3         0         5         0         150.0%         5         0           MIAMI         5         11         0         16         7         80.0%         9         0           MONROE         0         0         0         0         0.0%         0         0		the control of the state of the control of the cont	and the second of the second o	and the second second second					
MEIGS         1         5         2         8         3         400.0%         5         0           MERCER         2         3         0         5         0         150.0%         5         0           MIAMI         5         11         0         16         7         80.0%         9         0           MONROE         0         0         0         0         0.0%         0         0		the state of the s	10	0					and the second second
MIAMI 5 11 0 16 7 80.0% 9 0 MONROE 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0			5		8		400.0%	and the contract of the contra	and the state of t
MONROE 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0						and the second of the second of the second	150.0%	And the second of the control of the con-	A CONTRACT OF SECURITION OF SE
and the state of the				ter transfer to the first transfer to			80.0%		
			123	15					and the second s

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION PROFESSIONAL TORT OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 3	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN	0	2	and the arrange of the first of the control of the	2			2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MORROW	4	3	0	7	6	-75.0%	1	0
MUSKINGUM	13	6	2	21	10	-15.4%	11 .	4
NOBLE	0	1	0	1	0	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1	0
OTTAWA	6	3	1	10	4	0.0%	6	0
PAULDING	4.	0	0	4	2	-50.0%	2	0
PERRY	2	0	0	2	2	-100.0%	0	0
PICKAWAY	1	2	0	3	1	100.0%	2	0
PIKE	0	4		1	.0		1	.0
PORTAGE	13	11	. 0	24	13	-15.4%	11	0
PREBLE	1	0	0	1	1	-100.0%	0	0
PUTNAM	1	0	0	i	1	-100.0%	0	0
RICHLAND	26	6	4	36	22	-46.2%	14	ŀ
ROSS	7	9	0	16	3	85.7%	13	0
SANDUSKY	5	9.	<b>0</b>	14	4	100.0%	10	0
SCIOTO	22	4	5	31	19	-45.5%	12	2
SENECA	3	0		4	3	-66.7%	1	0
SHELBY	<b>3</b>	4. Panana Walishawaya Wa	0 	7	4	0.0%	3	0
STARK	87	99	27	213	115	12.6%	98	2
SUMMIT	147	151		314	166	0.7%	148	7
TRUMBULL	72	53	6	131	59	0.0%	72	12
TUSCARAWAS	14	4 .054.5 (1. 574.82)1		18	6	-14.3%	12	1
UNION		2		3	2.	0.0%	4	Ü
VAN WERT	ing menganah dianggan beranggan beranggan beranggan beranggan beranggan beranggan beranggan beranggan berangga Panggan beranggan be	3		4	0	300.0%	4	0
VINTON	0	0	er i Marker e i de regetar di i e e i i	0	0	0.0% -25.0%	6	0
WARREN	8	Arga arasin	O . dinemberat in santanian ila kum	15 13		-23.0% 0.0%	7	
WASHINGTON WAYNE	<i>1</i>	6			6. 16	25.0%	15	, U
WILLIAMS	12 2	18 2		31	. 10 Right John College	23.0% 50.0%	3	ı
WOOD	6	2	STABLE CLASS VERSION STA	10		-66.7%	2	
WYANDOT		ı Z	2 0	0	រមស្តេច នេះ មេ <mark>តំ</mark> ព	-00.7 <i>%</i> 0.0 <b>%</b>	ó	Turk to 10 1 100
WINIDOI.				gara men <b>y</b> e			v	<b>Y</b>
STATEWIDE 88	2,585	2,329	344	5,258	2,525	5.7%	2,733	228

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION PROFESSIONAL TORT TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED OF DISMISSEL PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSA FOR WANT PROSECUT	OF DE-	OR ARB	I- FERREC	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAI	BANKRUPT STAY/INTERI UTORY APP	LOC- TERMIN	TOTAL TERMINATIONS
ADAMS	0	Ö	uppa kata (Uppa) Herti 470 (Pite)	0	Ç	gangericker was the even	te pagasarura e espertantificações el c	Ō	0	ō	, was become note to a second contra-	2
ALLEN ASHLAND	3 1	0 0	Constitution and the contract of the contract of	5 1	) )	en er name. De troch er troch de 1924	Annual Company of the African State of the Company	3 0	0 0	0 9	Linear Commence of the Commenc	the professional in the first
ASHTABULA ATHENS	1 1	0	constructions are the fit	10 1	) )	nacione expension and compared and the compared to the compare	Extreme to the common for the	2 2	0 0	o 0	control of the control of the same and	20 4
AUGLAIZE BELMONT	1	0	Construct that the last time are a constitute to	0 4	) C	and the property of the contract of the		0	0	0	0 2	2 6
BROWN BUTLER	0 8	0 Ω	1	0 7	) <b>1</b>	)	Annual Control of the	0 5	0 0	0 0	0	1 31
CARROLL	0	0	1	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	0	1
CHAMPAIGN CLARK	0 2	0	ung melakan menjebahan	1 2	1	, (	) 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	the will be a second of the con-	1 18
CLERMONT CLINTON		0	the property of the party of the	6 1	(	englade, determinant form of all a co	rakis kupaga sakrintasi qasa	2 1	0	0	und the control of the control of the control of the control of	13 3
COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON	2	0	8		)	) i	0	0	0	0	0	16 3
CRAWFORD	0	0	<b>.</b>	$\bar{\mathbf{o}}$			0		0		Ī	8
CUYAHOGA DARKE	28 0	95 0	3	245 2	233 (	) in the contract of the contr	0	107 0	0	2 0	0	
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	0	0	provide that we seem in	2 1	1 1	) )	NE PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRAL PROPERTY.	0	0	0	and a restrict of the soul Tra	
ERIE FAIRFIELD	1 0	0 2	Appropriate the second section is a second section of	4	c t	CARL STREET, AND ASSESSMENT OF STREET	A CONTRACT CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT	3 1	0	0 0	endendar of the second of the	14 12
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	1 19	0	1	3	(	) (	) 0	0 21	0 0	0 6	0	5 238
FULTON	0	0	0	121 1	(	) (	0	1	0	0	0	2
GALLIA GEAUGA	0 2	0	5	0 4	Ţ )	grundskristelskriver endet i december	r pod svojeće z povezuje jes	1	0	0	<ul> <li>Desprése des la participation des</li> </ul>	0 12
GREENE GUERNSEY	1 0	1	te vermitte rent til beder	5 1	(	Let affekt kastu serke siste serketifette	de adeministration proposed a	1 0	0	0 0	the contract of the contract of	9 2
HAMILTON HANCOCK	11 0	3 0	a interest of the delivers independent of	68 1	1	principal de la companya de la comp	a terraporation parameter terraporation	20 1	0	2	and the second of the second s	231 5
HARDIN HARRISON	0		0.0	Ō	early comment to be about the first	) (	0	0 0	and the state of t	δ 0	o o	0
HENRY	0 0	. 0	0	0 0		)	), 0	0 (14)		0	0	1
HIGHLAND HOCKING	0	0 0	ne a suprime of a complex consequence	0 1	) )	) ( ) (	carried barreling on a secretary bursting for	0 0	0	0 0	A CARAGON AND A STANDARD AND A CONTRACTOR	0 2
HOLMES HURON	0	0	0 2	0 0	) }	termination will be the total and be	the of the last of the wide last the	0	Control of the Control of the Control	0 0	CALL STREET GASTA CONTROL OF TRACE	0 5
JACKSON JEFFERSON	0	0	construction of the control of the control	0 4	) 	) ( ) (	the constitution was a first transfer of	0	0	0 0	ation in the contract of the contract of the con-	and the contract of the contra
KNOX LAKE	0	0	5	0	ou kommenga da gant <b>)</b> Salar salar nakas	transa, man ada a sebi ( (Sepanganak Sangana		0 1	particular and an experience of	0	0	5
LAWRENCE	0	0	the season of the theory of the first	0		( ) )	Santana, araban santan baran b	0	Constitution of the Contract of	0		2
LICKING LOGAN	1 0	0	4	8 0	) (	) (		1	0	0 0	. 0	
LORAIN LUCAS	2 13	1 0	15 48	13 99		) 		6 21	0	0 1		40 216
MADISON MAHONING	0 5	0	4	0 28		)	0		. 0	• • •	0	4
MARION	0	1	6	6		) (	0	graphi	0	0	(	15
MEDINA MEIGS	1 0	0 0	2			)	0.		Market and the second second	0 0	the second second second	8 3
MERCER MIAMI	0 1	0 0	0	0 4	) )	Andrews and the second		0 0	Decree of the second section of	0	and the second second second	0 7
MONROE MONTGOMERY	0 7 10	0	0	0	(	) (		0	0	0	0	0 148

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION PROFESSIONAL TORT TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT		DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	DE- FAULT	DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMINATIONS
MORGAN	0	0		0	0.	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
MORROW	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	Ö	0	6
MUSKINGUM	1	0	5	1	o	0	0	2	0	0	1	10
NOBLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTTAWA	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
PAULDING	0	0	2	0	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0	2
PERRY	1	0	0	i	0.1	0	0	0	0		0	2
PICKAWAY	0	0		i	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	1
PIKE	Ö	. 0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0.	0	Ö	Ö	. 0
PORTAGE	o	0	3	2	. 0	1	4	3	0	0	0	13
PREBLE	0	0	0		ŏ	Ô	0	0	o	Ō	0	1.
PUTNAM	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	1
RICHLAND	3	. 0	12	2	1	Ö	ŏ		ŏ	Ŏ	3	22
ROSS	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	Ô	. 0	Ö	0	3
SANDUSKY	. 0	. 0	0	3	Ö	.0	Ď.	1		ŏ	. 0	4
SCIOTO	4	0		7	Ô	2	0	4	0	Ö	0	19
SENECA	Ö	Ő	0	2	o o	ĩ	ő	0	ő	Ŏ	ő	3
SHELBY	0	0	-	4	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	
STARK	13	o		23	8	. 0	1	19	o o	. 1	11	115
SUMMIT	10	0	71	46	0	2	1	17	0	1	18	166
TRUMBULL	6	, i	ii	38	ő	ō	or na kanalari		o o	and the second	0	59
TUSCARAWAS	0	ô	0	4	Ď	Ö	Ô	2	0	0	0	6
UNION	ō	0	_	0	o o	0	0	Õ	Ö	Ü	ŏ	2
VAN WERT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ô	0	0	n A
VINTON	0	Ö	ő	0	ň	Ŏ	Ŏ	ő	ŏ	Ŏ	Ô	Ŏ
WARREN	0	1.	5	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	. 0	1	4	1	ŏ	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	o.	ŏ	0	. 6
WAYNE	2	0	6	4	1	0	0		0	0	2	16
WILLIAMS	0	Ö	0	0	o d	0	Ŏ	o	Ŏ	esti e i e i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e i i e	1	10
WOOD	ő	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2
WYANDOT	0	Ü		ō	Ŏ	0	· · · · · ·	ŏ	o ŏ	v v	ō	ő
STATEWIDE 88	161	108	578	883	267	40	<b>5</b> 1	281	0	18	138	2,5

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION PRODUCT LIABILITY OVERALL CASELOADS 1952

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ADAMS	Ö	0	Ö	0		0.0%	0	0
ALLEN ASHLAND	10 4	7 3	1 <b>0</b>	18 7	14 4	place harmonic paragraphs of the disposals solidations within tasks	4 3	0 <b>0</b>
ASHTABULA	7			10	4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	<ul> <li>Compared to the property of the compared to the c</li></ul>	6	3
ATHENS AUGLAIZE	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2	1 0	6 2	2	er processor and the contract of the contract	!) <del>4</del> !	2 0
BELMONT	Ġ	5		12	8	-33,3%	4	1
BROWN BUILER	1 (17) 16, 27154, F115 <mark>6</mark> , 44444	0 10	0 	1 19	0 12	includes a commentary bear destrold from out.	1	0
CARROLL	0	0	0	0	, 0	0.0%	0	0
CHAMPAIGN	2	0	0	2 7	1 5	-50.0% -60.0%	1 2	0
CLARK CLERMONT	5 4	2 2	0 0	6	. 4		2	ő
CLINTON	1 The Maria and Albandaria	3	<b>0</b>	4	1 Standard (1915) 1875	a real place of the control of the c	3 551.03015961 <u>2</u> 01695	0 <b>0</b>
COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON	3	9 4	<b>0</b> 0	10 7	4	tion, in the service content there is a tra-	6 3	0
CRAWFORD		2	0	3	0	200.0%	3	0
CUYAHOGA DARKE	262 3	237	31 0	530 4	283 	The control of the co	247 1	17 0
DEFIANCE	0	0	gran, de de seus de la compressión de la defenda de la def	0	aliones, fossa lasticinistato Q statica e in 1986 especial filoso	continues to the second of the property and he because it	0	O is latticist of televisions of
DELAWARE ERIE	4 25	5 26	0	<b>9</b> 51		the control of the co	<b>6</b> 24	1 0
FAIRFIELD	0	2	ŏ	7		-80.0%		Í
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	1 109	1 74	0 16	2 199	2 116	and the control of the	0 83	0 21
FULTON	5 8 5 4 4 5 7 7 8 4 <b>3 9 7</b> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	0	1	1	-100.0%	0	0
GALLIA		4	o •		3	er fra 1915 ûn de prakting fan it de de franke de fêrên de gear feligier it wat it de de de de fan de franke i	2 5	0
GEAUGA GREENE	5 11	4 12	0	10 23	10	Control of the Contro	Ź	ŏ
GUERNSEY	0	3	0	3	(0 93		3 116	0 13
HAMILTON HANCOCK	146 4	58 4	0	209 8	93 6	na ara-fauta i bara bindan iku bakaba baraka i dikebi ba	110 2	12 1
HARDIN		0	0	1		tanafisi interse sametan indice kalamani arran mana interse ing manan aga manan	1	0
HARRISON HENRY		0 0	0	0 1	) [	<ul> <li>As to constitute supplies behavior against the extension</li> </ul>	0	0
HIGHLAND		0	1	2	1	0.0%		0
HOCKING HOLMES	0	. 0 1	1 0	1	)	nak isang biyanan dan sanaharan sanah 1, sanahar labiha	1	0
HURON	<b></b>	4	ŏ	9	Maria de la caractería de la compansión	5 -40.0%	3	Ö
JACKSON JEFFERSON	0 S 1	0 41	0 2	0 46	( 20	and the experience opening in a probability or perfect the probability of the probability	0 20	, O
KNOX	0	0	0	0	temperature of a processor basel of code	0.0%	0	0
LAKE		10	je i jedanici je i je i je i je i je i j	23 8	14	+ -25.0% + 33.3%	9	1
LAWRENCE LICKING		1	0	2		-100.0%	0	ő
LOGAN	3 Turbinan semierak asama 80 a	2	0	5	and a second control of the control		2	0
LORAIN LUCAS	2 150	0 104	4 2	6 256	distributed as only set has a second-	partier for the entire of the resemblished professional and the second of	3 107	1 1
MADISON		antij)	0	2		l 0.0%		0
MAHONING MARION	19	22 1	5 16 0 0	46 2		7 52.6% 2 -100.0%	29 0	1 <b>0</b>
MEDINA	11	2	0 0	13		7 -45.5%	ů.	0
MEIGS	1	0		2		2 -100,0% 3 -66.7%	0	0
MERCER MIAMI	<b>3</b> Pulled 1882 1884	1 1 2 3 3 5 7 3 4 5	0 2	4 7	and the second of the second of the	3 -66.7% 3 300.0%	1 4	6
MONROE	0	0	0	0	(	0.0%	0	0
MONTGOMER'	Y 38	64	10	112	6	4 26.3%	48	1

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION PRODUCT LIABILITY OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN	0	0		0	0	0.0%	0	0
MORROW	4	0	0	4	1	-25.0%	<b>3</b> Siling to the water water of the color	O a no from Consension solution
MUSKINGUM	30		0.	31	. 3	-6.7%	. 28	0
NOBLE	2	0	0	2	2	-100.0%	0	0
OTTAWA	4	3	0	7.		50.0%	6	0
PAULDING	1 Facility of the 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1	O Production to the state of the st	2	in the decomposition of the	0.0%	inin ing kababan bigga ya dari Kanala ng kababan bigga ya dari	0
PERRY	0	0	44 (4 ) <b>0</b> (4 ) (4 )	0	0	0.0%	<b>o</b>	0
PICKAWAY	2	0	0	2	1	-50.0%	1 2001 - 2003 <b>1</b>	0
PIKE	un Maria au rai 🦺 arri 1909	i per en i distrib	0	2		0.0%	probabball Prob	at for Deliver relief
PORTAGE	7	4	U 1. Karon dikang inganasi	11	$\frac{2}{2}$	28.6%	ces resignes	1 330 - 346 - 346
PREBLE	2		0		*** 1 1: 41 m2	-50.0% 0.0%		0
PUTNAM	0 3	v '∴'		13	5	166.7%		1.0
RICHLAND			ar a narataten <b>P</b> olihi yasi A	15		100.776	3 (1997)	0
ROSS	0 3	ა ი				0.0%	aditi da territari	, a
SCIOTO	13	2 10	egyset, sakarid bi <b>k</b> ijajuhiki d	24	15	-30.8%	9 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	**************************************
SENECA	1.5	0		24 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	ija Sprijasjasi sijas	-100.0%	ó	v o
SHELBY	4	0 0	19875, 1878, 33 <b>9</b> 63, 44, 47	1	ateur anderse de la companie de la c À	-100.0%	0	0
STARK	44	23	ran elektrol <b>e</b> k visere	74	23	15.9%		alibarata <b>X</b>
SUMMIT	32	42	10	84	41	34,4%	43	0
TRUMBULL	15	and the second second second second		26	i o	13.3%	17	alatatay ,
TUSCARAWAS	7	2	0	9	5	-42.9%	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UNION	0.0	et capalina	0	2013 P. <b>1</b> 0		0.0%	0	0.000
VAN WERT	0	1	0	1	1	0.0%	0	0
VINTON	0	0	0	0	0.	0.0%	0	0
WARREN	8	5	0	13	5	0.0%	8	0
WASHINGTON	3	2	Ó	5		66.7%	<b> </b>	1
WAYNE	4	6	0	10	5	25.0%	5	0
WILLIAMS	2	Ö	0	2	2	-100,0%	0	0 (3)
WOOD	8	4	1	13	7	-25.0%	6	1
WYANDOT	0	0	Ò	0	0	0,0%	0	Ö
STATEWIDE 88	1,091	872	114	2,077	1,077	-8.3%	1,000	73

# COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION PRODUCT LIABILITY TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT		D DIS-	DISMISSA FOR WANT PROSECUT	OF DE-	DIVERSI OR ARI	BI- FERREI		BANKRUPTO STAY/INTERL UTORY APPE	OC- TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS ALLEN	0	0	and or the second of the second	0	service in a property of the property of the service of the servic	Patrick and the state of the st	0 (	standing of the property of	Later and Later and the Carticle Control	0	0	0 14
ASHLAND	0	0	2	0,0		)	ρ.,	) 2	0	100		4
ASHTABULA ATHENS	0	0 0	to the state of th	3 0	se nel alberto increation como a		0 ( 0 (	Contraction of the second section of	0	0 0	0	4 2
AUGLAIZE BELMONT	0 1	0	ere a record to the economic	0	and a constraint and are the property	er offigiglichter nicht eine eine	0 ( 0 (	and hardware contract in the second of the	0 	0	0 2	1 8
BROWN BUTLER	0 1	0 0		and the second second second second	Acces to a continuous constant and access	and the second of the second second	0 ( 0 2	carried at the contract of the con-	percent the action of	0 0	0 1	0 12
CARROLL CHAMPAIGN	0 0	0	0	0	(	<b>)</b>	0 C	) 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
CLARK	0	0 1	4		55555 (		0 0		0	0	0	5
CLERMONT CLINTON	0 0	0	Augustina and the T	0		an ang mga palaman galaman ) Mga maga manan at mga	0 1 0 1	. 0	make the same and the same	0	0 0	1
COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON	0	0	0	and the second s	perepresa de la como con contrato de la como	Capacidates com est calabida	0 0 0 0	e pjaketoviji svija o polebeš	0	0 0	0. 3	4 4
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA	0 7	0 33	care, and addition about the con-	er and the first and the second	50	医克里特氏性 化二氯甲基甲基	0	NAME OF STREET	and the second of the second	0 5	0 3	0 283
DARKE DEFIANCE	0 0	1	2	0	(		0 (	) 0	0	<b>0</b> 0	<b>0</b> 0	3
DELAWARE	0	0	2	0			o c	) 0	0	0	0	3
ERIE FAIRFIELD	2 1	0	2	a no trota de la comunicación	Market Artists and or on unitally	)	0 0 0 0	) - 1	0	0 0	1 0	27 6
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	0 2	0	the state of the state of the state of	A COLUMN TRANSPORT AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	anna an san an a	Interest the Edition of Street	0 C 1 i	Northeadheadh Northead I	and the state of the company	0 2	2 30	2 116
FULTON GALLIA	0 <b>0</b>	0 0		0	estanguages pages i de casa cili	a ne finalisation and a record	0 0 0 0	tener in a route of a periodic national	ATTACABLE AND ADMINISTRATION OF TAXABLE	0 0	0 0	1 3
GEAUGA GREENE	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	Charles of a subsection of	1 9	(	)	2 0 0 1	Condition to be up the provinced	0	0 0	1 • 0	5 16
GUERNSEY HAMILTON	0 3	0	0	0	ates at the particle of the second	)	0 0 2 14	) 0	0	0	0	0
HANCOCK	0	0	2	A fatorised in tables or energy	(	) (	D 0	) 0	eastait estatabase. Transc	1	16 2	93 6
HARDIN HARRISON	0 0	0	parate recover 1991 i de que esta	na nazaria bekan Jerawa	Publical resident foliations	parting properties at the relevance of	0 0	a catalant eta esperatura in ingl	percentage and an experience	0	0	0
HENRY HIGHLAND	0 0	<b>0</b> 1	Marches Co. C. Contrate March	congressions and constraint and	)	tion received appropriate contract of	) ( ) (	rtikani kangarangan pangan bankan kangan pangan	almost passengers for instructing	<b>0</b> 0		1
HOCKING HOLMES	0 0	0	and the second of the second of the second	0 0	化硫酸盐 机动物 化表现代化的表现代表 化二氯磺胺 电进	)	) ( ) (	Martin Control of the	The Committee of the Co	0	0	0
HURON JACKSON	0	ī 0	0	0	(	1	) (	2	0	0. 0	3	6
JEFFERSON	1.1	0	3	2	t	)	o c	1	0	0	0 19	0 26
KNOX LAKE	0 1	0 0	9	2	distribution of C		0 0	0	0	0 1	0 1	0 14
LAWRENCE LICKING	0 10	0 0		0			) (	) 1 1	0	0 1	1 0	4 2
LOGAN LORAIN	0	0 0		0	Address of the annual contract of the contract of		) ( ) (		0 <b>0</b>	0 0	0 0	3 3
LUCAS MADISON	0	0 <b>0</b>	50	48	1	(	) 0 ) 0	19	0	0 0	31 0	149
MAHONING MARION	0	0	9	5	C	) (	) 0	3	0	0	0	17
MEDINA	0 1	0 1	4	1	C	(	) 0 ) 0	0	0	0 0	1 0	2 7
MEIGS MERCER	0	1 0	3		0	) (	) 0	the state of the s		0	0	2 3
MIAMI MONROE	. 0	0	. The training and the development of	3 0	0		) 0 0	Ö	0	<b>0</b>	0	3 0
MONTGOMERY	ž	ŏ					1	š			, i i	64

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION PRODUCT LIABILITY TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED OR DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSAI FOR WANT O PROSECUTIO	OF DE-	DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPT STAY/INTERI UTORY APPI	LOC- TERMI	N- TERMIN-
MORGAN MORROW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	ം കുട്ട 0		tag Kipina	0 0
MUSKINGUM	Ō	Ö	$\dot{\mathbf{i}}$	2	0	0	. 0	0	0	0		0 3
NOBLE	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	and the second	0 2
OTTAWA	Ö	0	CANDALON AND AND A TRANS	1	0	0		0	0	0	and the second second	0 1
PAULDING PERRY	0	0		0	0 0	0 0	0 2-11-12-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-	0 0	0	υ 0	r Richard (1911)	0 0
PICKAWAY	0	0	0	916,65474. <b>9</b> 1 1	0	0	0	0		Vicini dos Australios. O	ha berañ a si maerile. L	0 1
PIKE	0	0	e in de <b>i</b> v	0	0	0	0	ø	0	dalam o		0 1
PORTAGE	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	August 1997	0 2
PREBLE	0	0	haliban kan dan kelangan	. 2	<b>.</b>	Ō	0	0	0	0		0 2
PUTNAM RICHLAND	0	0 0	0 2	0 વર્ષા દેવના દેવ	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	i Maria Alakari (1970)	0 0 0 5
ROSS	0	0	o naji use iliyat <b>2</b> eo <b>O</b> i	0	0	0	. e Salai Pang <b>Y</b> alai A D	0	0	0	Maria de la como de L	0 0
SANDUSKY	1	0	2	0	and the second second	0	na a service a Territori	0	0	Ō		0 3
SCIOTO	0	0	the secretary of persons and a	10	0	0	0	2	0	0	the first of the property of the	3 15
SENECA	1	0	and the first factor of the second	0	Charles Andrew Development	e and the second second	0	0	0	0		0 1
SHELBY STARK	0 - 5 5 1	0	0 8	4	0	0 0	0	0 7	O Paradila daga <b>K</b> alay	0 ************************************	i galijeratije opelije i gjegj	0 4 3 23
SUMMIT	4	0		9	.::4:11 (-1141 <b>∀</b> ) 1		1	5 - S	0	2		3 23 2 41
TRUMBULL		ŏ		2	ò	ò		0	Ö	Ō		0 9
TUSCARAWAS	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0		1 5
UNION	. 0	0	Daniel Berger IV. Berg Berg	0	tion National Martine Constitution of Provided Technology	0		, · ø	0	0	1998 - Grande Francisco (1992)	1
VAN WERT	0	0	0	0 #8111 m per	0 0	0 0		0	O Maranakan dan Asalas	0 <b>A</b> stronomic (1955)	and the second second	1
VINTON WARREN	D .	0	0 2	1	0	<b>U</b> 0	0		0	0		0 0
WASHINGTON	o o	Ö	, Ž		0	0		0	, o			0 0
WAYNE	0	0		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	erierija sipretta i tajak tereta 19 	1 5
ZMALLIIW	0	. 0	eritus unetas mastaladetiliset t	. 2	0	0	0	0,	0	0		0 2
WOOD	1 	0 0		2	0	0	O Base Seat da Ribbe (	1 Haladagagadaka	0	0		0 7
WYANDOT		0	0.		. <b>0</b>	0	U	ue parties a O	447 - Q4	0		0 0
					<del></del>							
STATEWIDE 88	35	48	237	353	62	13	35	148	0	15	13	1,077

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OTHER TORTS OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

	CASES PENDING	NEW	REACTIVATIONS AND	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN-	% net increase in	CASES CASES PEN PENDING BEYOND	
COUNTY	JANUARY 1	FILINGS	TRANSFERS	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31 GUIDELI	
ADAMS	21	11 201	<b>0</b> 45	32 451	21 248	-47,6% -1.0%	11 0 203 0	)
ALLEN ASHLAND	205 <b>5</b> 1	41	1	93	<b>37</b> .	9.8%	56 0	)
ASHTABULA ATHENS	221 71	191 38	33 10	445 119	240 <b>62</b>	-7.2% -19,7%	e de la companya del companya del companya de la co	) 5
AUGLAIZE BELMONT	33 131	42 111	1 17	76 259	46 111	-9.1% 13.0%	30 1 148 11	l i:
BROWN	37	48	2	87	36	37.8%	51	2
BUTLER CARROLL	530 8	632 27	46 0	1,208 35	687 23	+1.7% 50.0%	521 18 12 0	
CHAMPAIGN	37	37	1	75	30	21.6%	45 6	5
CLARK	227 237	211 221	6 20	444 478	192 250	11.0% -3.8%	252 3 228 6	} 5
CLINTON	24	41	4	69	25	83.3%		2
COLUMBIANA	151 46	183 32	13 4	347 82	182 45	9,3% -19.6%	the transfer of the first trade and the second is the first of the second the first of the second	) 2
CRAWFORD	65	- 52	8	125 15,775	64 8,984	-6.2% -3.5%	61 1 6,791 199	<b>i</b>
CUYAHOGA DARKE	7,035 49	8,104 30	636 0	13,773	6,764 43	-3.3 <i>%</i> -26.5%	36	
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	72 151	78 90	3 0	153 241	89 89	-11,1% 0.7%	64 0 152 32	) 2
ERIE	304	208	6	518	197	5.6%	321 (	D
FAIRFIELD FAYETTE	108 49	98 17	3 0	209 66	110 29	-8,3% -24,5%	effective of the end is contributed as the relation of the end of	D D
FRANKLIN	2,254	2,773	190	5,217	2,872	4,0%	2,345 63	14.
FULTON GALLIA	40 29	35 25	2 2	77 56	49 38	-30.0% -37.9%	r appropries de la compactica de la propries de la compactica de la compac	0
GEAUGA	202	175		388	196 139	-5.0% 11.3%	e podekti nuke u prvi pruguali poma pit naklegovom kili pra suje s krzetinek i	0 2
GREENE GUERNSEY	133 40	147 42	7 0	287 82	139 38	10.0%	PROPERTY CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF ST	0
HAMILTON HANCOCK	1,885 92	1,918 97	166 6	3,9 <b>6</b> 9 195	2,007 102	4.1 <b>%</b> 1.1%	1,962 198 93 4	8 1
HARDIN	92 22	97 29	Ŏ	- 51	26	13,6%	Charles and a constitute of the state of the	0
HARRISON HENRY	38 35	15 26	0	53 61	31 <b>36</b>	-42.1% -28.6%	станава стине такжанавичная контранство и <b>чи</b> ницами	6 0
HIGHLAND	23	7	0	30	10	-13.0%	20	4
HOLMES	28 28	20 37	<b>3</b> 4	51 69	34 47	-39,3% -21.4%	k kanturan di Propinsi di karan kumatah nu angak tau ang mulaba na antura spesion n	0 0
HURON	69	90	5	164	90	7.2%	er filter filde petit i Britanis for de Lastria friga en sind graden i en in bestit biske filt bestit filt for	0
JACKSON JEFFERSON	35 162	29 188	0 12	64 362	22 218	20.0% -11.1%	42 144 (	0
KNOX LAKE	36 486	52 545	3 25	91 1,056	42 621	36.1% -10.5%	· ·	0 5
LAWRENCE	90	343 77	2	1,056	72	7.8%	97 1	
LICKING LOGAN	98 56	<b>139</b> <i>5</i> 1	37 0	274 107	183 60	-7,1% -16.1%		0 1
LORAIN	557	567	29	1,153	615	-3.4%	538 2	3
LUCAS MADISON	1,316 37	1,386 43	127 1	2,829 81	1,549 43	-2.7% 2,7%	1,280 13 38	8 0
MAHONING	1,216	836	45	2,097	958	-6.3%	1,139 12:	5
MARION MEDINA	128 233	112 196	4 3	244 432	121 171	-3.9% 12.0%	123 261 1	5 9
MEIGS	13	12	Y	26	16	-23.1%	10	0
MERCER MIAMI	50 96	44 101	2 7	96 204	55 100	-18.0% 8.3%		0 2
MONROE	11	11	0	22	9	18.2%	13	0
MONTGOMERY	1,013	1,409	168	2,590	1,589	-1.2%	1,001 1	2

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OTHER TORTS OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

en e	oc Snort afternede tweet by a crost reference in		<del></del>	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31	BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN	19	51	0	70	28	121,1%	42	8
MORROW	55	20	O Anno muser of the California Control Scales & Ca	75	31	-20.0%	<b>44</b> sanasanan an angganan asar	12
MUSKINGUM	103	97		206	, ni	-7.8%	95	5
NOBLE	9 1 <b>09</b>	3 مارين المارين	O conto est tipo en activação (Sentinosia e	12 216	8 100	-55.6% 6.4%	116	14
OTTAWA	the second contract to the second of the property	106 17	0	216 29	100	-8.3%	11	1
PAULDING PERRY	12 33	32	4	69	55	-57.6%	14	
PICKAWAY	69	53	2	124	69	-20.3%	<b>55</b>	4
PIKE	42	54 54	$oldsymbol{2}$	98	53	7.1%	45	0
PORTAGE	231	265	1	497	260	2.6%	237	10
PREBLE	34	36	$\mathbf{i}$	71	32	14.7%	39	0
PUTNAM	22	28	1	51	34	-22.7%	17	2
RICHLAND	241	255	18	514	305	-13.3%	209	0
ROSS	84	85	3	172	77	13.1%	95	0
SANDUSKY	82	92	7	181	84	18.3%	97	
SCIOTO	111	38	13	162	105	-48.6%		9
SENECA	56	67	2	125	72	-5.4%	53	3
SHELBY	36	47	O	83	44 	8.3%	39	0
STARK	526	647	114	1,287	777	-3.0%	510	
SUMMIT	1,067	1,408	125 40	2,600 1,242	1,572 598	-3.7% 2.5%	1,028 644	25 41
TRUMBULL TUSCARAWAS	628 143	574 88	<del>10</del> 3	234	9 <b>9</b> 0	3.5%	148	15
UNION	32	35	a Jayanga Padali (1917)	برے 68	51	-46.9%	170	i o
VAN WERT	22	27	3 <b>3</b>	52	29	4.5%	23	0 ·
VINTON		13	ő	19	9	66.7%	10	0
WARREN	253	220	11	484	226	2.0%	258	17
WASHINGTON	69	58	3	130	47	20.3%	83.	11
WAYNE	54	100	6	160	98	14.8%	62	0
WILLIAMS	30	38	1	69	34	16.7%	35	0
WOOD	153	169	24	346	193	0.0%	153	0
WYANDOT	16	13	0	29	. 19	-37.5%	10	0
STATEWIDE 88	24,761	26,714	2,113	53,588	29,224	-1.6%	24,364	978

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OTHER TORT TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	SETTLED OF DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	OF DE-	DIVERSI OR ARI	BI- FERRED		BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC UTORY APPEAL		
ADAMS	3	Ó	7	10		ď	Mediagraphic Capacitan Contraction (Capacitan)	paratagni i marija, produktivani stanisti	ekallade (nederal i Philosophica (ned i 1967) (nedera)	0	0 ::	the first and the foreign and the defendance of
ALLEN ASHLAND	7 0	26 1	Articulation, telephonomera debenduntes and in-	27 19	10 0	4		Control of the Contro	0	4 3	2 0	and the first of the control of
ASHTABULA	8	2	123	55	4	5			programment periodicis O or substitutive debut i i case	2	14	240
ATHENS AUGLAIZE	0 2	0	0 33	42 5	0 1	2 1			er overer verbler i breek beer	01	1	62 46
BELMONT	9 .	0	11	65	0	2	i i i	) 9	0 -	3	12	111
BROWN BUTLER	0 22	0 4	cat administrator per periodistro.	13 129	0 22	5 82	encoration and a second second		and the second of the second o	1 <b>16</b>	0 46	detropers on a
CARROLL	Ü	0	14	1	0	5	0	) 0	0	2	1	23
CHAMPAIGN CLARK	0 8	0	and the second of the particular	8 23	0 8	. 0 6	er Service in a said	7	0	0	0 7	30 192
CLERMONT	11	4	and the first of the state of t	96	ž	21	58	6	<del></del>	3	6	250
CLINTON COLUMBIANA	2 7	1 7	6 109	14 23	0	0 12			0 0	1 2	0 8	COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY.
COSHOCTON	4	0	17	19	1	1	Q	2	0	0	1	45
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA	5 198	0 762	29 95	9 3,894	1 1,370	3 647		nament interpretations.	0	3 82	11 102	64 8,984
DARKE	ĺ	, o z	30	3,034	1,570	3	Charles and reserve a laboratorial	and their many and the second section in the con-	. 0	0	102	ta entre aper e talen fanciari abere.
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	3 3	21 . 1	32 19	19 52	<b>0</b> 989 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 188 - 18	4 10	The state of the s		A ROUGH CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	<b>3</b>	7	89
ERIE	15	0	19. 网络拉拉维尔 \$P\$11. 1. \$P\$ \$P\$ \$P\$ \$P\$ \$P\$	95	1 2	8	The straighter of property substitute	and percentage because of expension	<b>0</b>	1 1	0 13	
FAIRFIELD FAYETTE	4 2	1 0	69	25	3	1	<ul> <li>Diseason privile speaker (Sp.)</li> </ul>	annasouhasi barahkabbasab	a bakanan malahasa atau saran	1	5	on the behind a substitution rule.
FRANKLIN	92	17	5 7 <b>35</b>	3 1,323	0 81	0 199	the state of the state of		0	0 <b>45</b>	19 21 <b>6</b>	a factor of a first of a
FULTON	0	0	12	22	3	2		2	0	3	5	49
GALIJA GEAUGA	2 14	. 5 1	1 130	25 22	0	. 3 9		Anna and a section record and account of the relation	0 0	0 2	2 12	care, see the behindred
GREENE	<b>.</b> . <b>S</b>	0	22	78	0	3	station and sometimes and	6	0	4	2	139
GUERNSEY HAMILTON	2 42	2 42	8 670	20 <b>624</b>	0 17	3 88	an an or constant	The first measures a sector	0 <b>0</b>	1	1 72	38 2,007
HANCOCK	5	5	47	33	2	2	0	5	0	2		102
HARDIN HARRISON	1 1	. 0 1	18 16	7 4	2	0	condensations and a second	es automorphism est	0	0	0 4	26 31
il enry		, o	19	14	ō	1	0	2		o d	, o	soft date. Table to see
HIGHLAND HOCKING	1 <b>2</b>	2 .0	0 4	7 17	0	0 10		BOOK APPEARANCE FOR A STREET	0	0 .0	0	Note that the second part of
HOLMES	8	3	14	11	0	3	0	0	0	1	7	47
HURON JACKSON	8 2	4 0	<b>63</b> 12	3 · · · · · 4	. 0	2	and the state of t	seperation of the state of the separate of the	0	1 0	4 2	processors and the service and
JEFFERSON	7	i	136	37	i i	ő	esta con est cara e a como no con-		se ne recent and service and a	5	้ รื	218
KNOX LAKE	3 23	1 10	27 225	7 235	0 16	2 26		er of a state of the state of the same of	0 0	1 15	1	42 621
LAWRENCE	5	1	53	9	0	1	0	0		0	22 3	
LICKING LOGAN	4 2	<b>6</b>		74 4	0	7 4	1971 14 14 14 15 15 15 15 15	en en earlier de la company en de la compa	0	3	13	183
LORAIN	8	10	307	129	1 2	35			o o	1 15	1 31	60 61 <i>5</i>
LUCAS MADISON	35 3	5 1	469 31	667 5	32 0	61 0	0 0		1 0	27	68 1	1,549
MAHONING	23	48	467	220	11	40	42	68	2	25	1 12	43 958
MARION MEDINA	2 12	4 3	67	26	Ţ	10		enegations and state to take the real energy and executions of	0	4	3	121
MEDINA MEIGS	0	3 4	83 <b>6</b>	44 5	2 0	6 0	8 0		0	2 0	6 1	171 16
MERCER MIAMI	2	1	44	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	55
MONROE	6 1	1 0	29 3	43 5	2 0	<b>0</b> 0	0	化硫酸二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	0 0	4 0	5 0	100 9
MONTGOMERY	64	1	453	563	81	176		113	Ŏ	28	<i>5</i> 3	1,589

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OTHER TORT TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT		DIS- MISSAL	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	DE- FAULT	DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMINATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
MORGAN	0	3	Table Conc. Comment of the contract of the con	10	4	5	Ö	0	0	Ŏ	Ô	28
MORROW MUSKINGUM	1	0	24 70	4 17		0 5	0	0 5	0	0	1 4.	31 111
NOBLE	1	+	3	3 - 1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
OTTAWA	3	2		24	1	2	0	ĭ	0	1	7	100
PAULDING	5	0	9	1	1 5	1	0	0	0	1 a consumper to tapación generales	<b>0</b> naga sa patangagas	18
PERRY	1	1	38 0	0 48	0 0	11 2	0	0	0	<b>0</b> 0	- 4 7	55 69
PICKAWAY PIKE	6	8 4	at your or added to that it is the box.	48 11			0	Ö	0	i i	4	53
PORTAGE	8	5	53	124	2	10	40	11	0	5	2	260
PREBLE	2	-0	3.	20	0	2	Ö	0	0	1	4	32
PUTNAM	<b>3</b>	3	16	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b> Sections of the installation	3 ************************************	0	1 Approximation	0	2	1 	34 205
RICHLAND ROSS	17 4	14 2	en a berteit bodet bestelligtet, aus	16 18	2 0	15 3	0	5 2	0	<b>6</b>	35 7	305 77
SANDUSKY	4	$\overset{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}}$		37	Ö	3		$\tilde{4}$	0	Ŏ	***** <b>2</b>	84
SCIOTO	3	2	nine en regular menjad	46	3	3	0	16	0	0	7	105
SENECA	4	1	40	10	3	7	0		. 0	2	0	72
SHELBY	2	0	and the second second second second second	36		4	0 والمرازع	0 94	0	1 1 <b>5</b>	0 33	44 000
STARK SUMMIT	39 57	16 10	per providende des provincias applicas una ex-	154 410	14 14	14 64	50 143	<del>74</del> 74	0 0	11	79 71	777 1,572
TRUMBULL	23	10		314	2	14	29	18	0		nerii	598
TUSCARAWAS	4	2	and the second state of the second state of the second	71	0	0	0	1	0	1	6	86
UNION	2	3	NOON TO A BOOM OF A STATE OF A ST	21	0	0	effeta Briefe (-procedentation g. B. noteff (befetat)	6	0	0		. 51
VAN WERT	1	3	and the control of the second	3 پ	1	2 2	0	3 0	0	<b>0</b>	2 0	29 9
VINTON WARREN	0	'' 0 2	one de rice a a cel mosto	5 44	0	22		1	0	edig sters/1450 e+ <b>#</b> 0-95 <b>1</b>	7	226
WASHINGTON	ó	$ \tilde{i}$	22		Ö	, o		ż	ŭ i	$\hat{\mathbf{i}}$	O	
WAYNE	6	1	64	15	0	6	0	2	0		3	98
RMALLIIW	0	0	thaburtha rasidanda hida su	27	i i j	1	0	2	0	0	. 4	34
WOOD WYANDOT	8	1	94 16	41	0 <b>0</b>	6 0 0	4	22 .1	0 <b>0</b>	2 0	15	193 19
WIANUUI		ene kareky	, 10:			9558588858M	Sacration M. (S)	acenteristis <b>s</b>	anata ata <b>y</b> ik		untuchia #0	
	<del></del>											
STATEWIDE 88	917	1,115	7,785	10,497	1,740	1,735	1,976	2,011	3	402	1,043	29,224

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION WORKERS' COMPENSATION OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% net increase in caseload	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 33	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ADAMS	55-545 <b>4</b> 555	4	0	8	3	25,0%	5	
ALLEN	17	21	<b>6</b> 5. 15.50 (19.05)	44	25 11	11.8% 37.5%	19 22	0
ASHLAND ASHTABULA	16 31	17 39	<b>0</b> 5	33 75	30	45.2%	45	9
ATHENS		2		10	6	-42.9%	4	2
AUGLAIZE	1	6	2	9	3	500.0%	6	0
BELMONT	10	30	$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{a}}$	43	12	210.0%	31	4
BROWN	2	1	0	3	1	0.0%	2	<b>2</b>
BUILER	30	243	13	286	232	80.0%	54	0
CARROLL	<b></b>	<b>0</b> sue a personalit disculta	0 de to aveca o las garas suctado	<b>6</b> Promonistr¥iid	6 1	-100.0%	0 4	O alteria de la circia
CHAMPAIGN	4	1	0	5 80	45	0.0% -14.6%	<b>35</b>	3503736757 <b>5</b>
CLARK CLERMONT	41 3 8 3 6 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	37 9	2 0	17	9	0.0%	33 - 3 - 3 - <b>3</b> - 3 - 3	kon zako
CLINTON	6	11	1176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 - 176 O	17	7	66.7%	10	and the second s
COLUMBIANA	12	41		57	22	191.7%	35	0
COSHOCTON	11	15	0	26	12	27.3%	14	Ó
CRAWFORD	5	9	Ó	14	7	40.0%	7	0
CUYAHOGA	469	763	51	1,283	620	41.4%	663	<b>73</b>
DARKE	11	12	<u>, o respectivo de la compansa de la</u>	23	12	0.0%	11	
DEFIANCE	6	20	0 -50 00:00:00:00 <del>x</del> 0680:50	26	14 1 <b>5</b>	100.0% -4,3%	12 22	1 7
DELAWARE ERIE	23	14 22	0 3	37 38	1992 - 1992 - 1992 9	123.1%	29	0
FAIRFIELD	13 7	22 20		38 28	12	128.6%	16	ŏ
FAYETTE	6	0	ne na ejanya lae¥sa ilibaraeza <b>0</b>	6	3	-50.0%	3	0
FRANKLIN	179	293	25	497	249	38,5%	248	19
FULTON	1	2	0	3	3	-100.0%	0	0
GALLIA	3	2	0	\$	3	-33.3%	2	0
GEAUGA	18	25	2	45	15	66.7%	30	3
GREENE	2	10	0	12	3	350.0%	9	Ó
GUERNSEY		14 (1		19	11 	60.0%	8 د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د	0 108
HAMILTON HANCOCK	264 5	264 12	25 0	<b>553</b> 17	187 10	38.6% 40.0%	366 7	100
HARDIN	า การเการ์การการ เการ์การการการการการการการการการการการการการก	12	ŏ	as an <b>ii</b> s	Î.			ŏ
HARRISON	1	8		10	eseu, sceni 1000, cho A <del>r</del> abra <b>1</b>	800.0%	9 (1 1. 1 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	1
HENRY		4	0	3	(1) (3) (2 <b>)</b>		1	0
HIGHLAND	10	5	0	15	6	-10.0%	9	4
HOCKING	6	3	4	13	10	-50.0%	3	1
HOLMES	0	4	i Anno 1980 e de obvidada e na esta e na e		<b>4</b> 9. (5.4):00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00	Description of the description of the second con-	1	0
HURON		12	i projek	16	6	150.0%	10	0
JACKSON JEFFERSON	17 12	17 30	0 <b>2</b>	34 44	18 19	-5.9% 108.3%	16 <b>25</b>	2 0
KNOX	4	4	nie i) is nedminio 🍎 estadoj salij O	*** 8		0.0%	4	0
LAKE	33	53	$\dot{ ilde{z}}$	88	46	27.3%	42	Ö
LAWRENCE	13	21	36 133	36	16	53.8%	20	4
LICKING	7	22	1	30	18	71.4%	12	0
LOGAN	3	5	0	8	3	66.7%	5	0
LORAIN	102	113	4	219	98	18.6%	121	27
LUCAS	93	129	12	234	127	15.1%	107	3
MADISON	6	7		15 20c	ii.	-33,3%	4	0
MAHONING MARION	111 - 19	193 22	2 30 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	306 44	111 18	75.7% 36.8%	195 26	25 <b>6</b>
MEDINA	14	2 <b>2</b> 9		23	8	7.1%	15	6
MEIGS	ignica na 🏄 san	، وُ	ases ed <b>o</b> obey	13	6	75.0%	artelieli <b>i</b>	o de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell
MERCER	2	2	2	6	3	50.0%	3	0
MIAMI	16	31	Ó	47	21	62.5%	26	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
MONROE	4	7	0	11	5	50.0%	6	0
MONTGOMERY	146	322	15	483	212	85.6%	271	. 8

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION WORKERS' COMPENSATION OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET IF TREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN	2	0	0	2	0	0.0%	2	0
MORROW MUSKINGUM	2	1 1 <b>9</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 28	1 14	0.0% 5 <b>5.</b> 6%	2 14	1 0
NOBLE	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
OTTAWA	9	6	0	15	10	-44,4%	5 11	2,
PAULDING	<b>2</b> o refere a describ <mark>a</mark> e a estada .	1 2004 (60%) 1606 (2 <b>2</b> 67) (1	0 0	<b>3</b> \$60,000,000, <b>3</b> 00,6	2	-50.0%	1 13	0
PERRY PICKAWAY	4 5	15 4	2	19 11	6 5	225.0% 20.0%	. 13	0 3
PIKE	<b>.</b>	8	2	15	7	50.0%	8	2
PORTAGE	36	70	O	106	45	69.4%	61	8
PREBLE PUTNAM	2 2	2	0	4 3	3 2	-50.0% -50.0%	1	0
RICHLAND	38	71	14	3 113	63	-30.0% 31.6%	50	Ö
ROSS	7	12	O	19	10	28.6%	9	0
SANDUSKY	11	15	0	26	14	9.1%	12	1
SCIOTO SENECA	21 2	19 <b>6</b>	1 0	41 8	22 4	-9.5% 100.0%	19 4	5 0
SHELBY	5	12	0	17	5	140.0%	12	1
STARK	57	161	21	239	124	101.8%	115	8
SUMMIT	100	305	. <b>16</b>	421	215	106.0%	206	5
TRUMBULL TUSCARAWAS	270 32	316 27	<b>6</b>	592 60	229 21	34.4% 21.9%	<b>363</b> 39	90 14
UNION	- 3 <sup>2</sup>	18	$\mathbf{i}$	22	8	366.7%	14	Ö
VAN WERT	4	2	2	8	4	0.0%	4	0
VINTON WARREN	3	6	Ö	9	6	0.0% 83.3%	3	Ó
WASHINGTON	12 8	18 14	0	31 22	9 8	83.3% 75.0%	22 14	6 3
WAYNE	10	32	0	42	15	170.0%	27	0
WILLIAMS	3	9		12	4	166.7%	8	0
WOOD WYANDOT	12	25 7	1 0	38 11	19	58.3% 75.0%	19 7	2
THE STATE OF THE S						73.97		
STATEWIDE 88	2,530	4,193	254	6,977	3,250	47.3%	3,727	477

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION WORKERS' COMPENSATION TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAI		SETTLED OF DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSAI FOR WANT PROSECUTIO	OF DE-	DIVERSI OR ARI	BI- FERREI		STAY/INTERLOC		TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS ALLEN	0 2	0	<b>3</b>	0 4	0 2		) (	(特別時間) 福州土村,郭靖州	0	0	0	3 25
ASHLAND ASHTABULA	1 1	0	5	5 8	0 0		) ) (		ο,	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	11 30
ATHENS AUGLAIZE	i 0		0	5	o O		, ) ) (	r, i c	0.	0		6
BELMONT BROWN	2	0	2	$\stackrel{1}{{{}{}{}{}{}{\stackrel$	0 - 1	1	j i	ı (	0	0	i 0	12 1
BUTLER	0 1	0	15	1 21		188	3		0	0	0	232
CARROLL CHAMPAIGN	0	0	1	2 0	1 0		) (	r i i i i i	0	0	0	6
CLARK CLERMONT	4 0	3 0	0	9 8	1 0		) )		0.	0 <u>0</u>	3 0	45 9
CLINTON COLUMBIANA	Statement and representations	0 0	16	4 1	1 Color de Biologia de <b>1</b> Color de Biologia de Santa		) (	3	0	0 0	0	7 22
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD	0	0 0	4	2 2	0 0	(	sistema (Andreas Parado de La Contra de Con-	i i i i	n fagin againstaetha, schalail, 189	0 0	0	12 7
CUYAHOGA DARKE	14 0	62 0	10	276 1	146 0		) 1 ) 0	1	<b>0</b> 4	3 0	12 0	620 12
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	0	3 4	A TOTAL STATE AND A STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	2 3	0 0	Complete and Maderia, State Balletin Services (Chief	) (		entransación de la expensión deservica	0 0	1 0	14 15
ERIE FAIRFIELD	3 2	0 0	ACCOUNT OF STREET OF STREET	2 1	0 <b>Q</b>	the state of the state of the state of	) 0	and the state of the state of the	0	0 <b>0</b>	2 0	9 12
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	1 4	0 2		0 133	0 8		) ( ) (		CONTRACTOR STATE	0 0	2 31	3 249
FULTON GALLIA	0	0	water but it is also a first to	0 1	1 0	parameter some contractions	) (	Call Manager to Control 1	and the state of the second of the second	0 0	0	3
GEAUGA GREENE	1 0.	0 0	and the second second	7    2	0 0	A complete and a second	) (	Concession of Sourcement	ones etcateacas accesors	0 0	0	15 3
GUERNSEY HAMILTON	0 3	2 10	er to er richt but but bei ber bei bet bet	9 62	0 6	(	) (1) 7 (3)	contraction in account	and the contract of the contra	0 1	0 19	11 187
HANCOCK HARDIN	1 0	0 0	Appellation of the special energy large in	5 0	0 0	and the second section of the second section is a second	) ( ) (		other distance in the Employment	0 0	0	10 0
HARRISON HENRY	0	0	ar i terranolar i daga di Tilia	1 2	0 <b>0</b>	(	) (	CONTRACTOR AND A SECURITION OF	and the second and the second and the second	0 <b>Ω</b>	0 0	1 2
HIGHLAND HOCKING	1 0	0	)	5 2	0 0	)	) (	) (	0	0 0	0	6 10
HOLMES HURON	0 1	0	) 2	2 0	0 <b>0</b>	) 	) (	(	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	4 6
JACKSON JEFFERSON	1 1		10	6 5	0 0	· (	) (		0	0 0	0 1	18
KNOX LAKE	1 3	2		0 20	0	(		(	0	0 0	0	4 46
LAWRENCE LICKING	1 0	0	7	6 6	0	) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	) 0 ) 0	( (	0	0 0	2 5	16 18
LOGAN LORAIN	0	0		0 28	0	(	) (	(		0	0	3
LUCAS MADISON	5 2	1 0	47	26 55 1	0	) (	) 0 ) 0	11	0	2 0 0	9 8	98 127
MAHONING	0	7	55	35	1	3	3 2	. 6	0	0	.1 2	11 111
MARION MEDINA	0 1 0	0	2	4	0 0 0	(	) 0	(	0	0	0 1	18 8
MEIGS MERCER	1	2 0	1	3 1	0	) )	) ()	(	0	0	0 0	<b>6</b> 3
MIAMI MONROE	<u>1</u> 1	1	0	11 3	1 0	C		C	0	0 0	3 0	21 5
MONTGOMER	Y 5	10	63	86	12	21	0	10	0	3.47 (1.28 <b>2</b> .18	3	212

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION WORKERS' COMPENSATION TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	SETTLED OR DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	DE- FAULT	DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN ATIONS
and the companies of the second of the secon	0	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MORROW MUSKINGUM	0 1	0	1 	0 	0 0	0	0	0 1	0 1	0	0 2	1 14
NOBLE OTTAWA	0 0	0	0 3	0 4	0 <b>3</b>	0	0 0	0	0	o o	0 0	0 10
PAULDING PERRY	0 1	0 0	2 2	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	2 -   6
PICKAWAY PIKE	0 1	0 0	0 3	5 3	0 0	0	0 Q	0 0	0.	0	0	5 7
PORTAGE PREBLE	1 0	1 0	20 <b>0</b>	21 3	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	0 0	1 0	0	1 <b>0</b>	0 0	45 3
PUTNAM RICHLAND	1 2	0 4	0 <b>45</b>	1 5	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 6	2 63
ROSS SANDUSKY	1 1	0 2	6 3	3 6	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 2	10 14
SCIOTO SENECA	0	1	2 .2	16 1	0	1 0	0 0	1 0	0	0 0	1 <b>0</b>	22 ∦
SHELBY STARK	0 9	0 3	0 60	5 22	0 <b>5</b>	0	0	0 14	0 0	0 <b>2</b>	0 9	5 124
SUMMIT TRUMBULL	6 4	2 15	129 47	42 155	0	1 0	0	17 6	0	0 1	18 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	215 229
TUSCARAWAS UNION	2 0	0 0	0 1	17 6	0 0	0 0	0 Ø	2 0	0 0	0	0 1	21 8
VAN WERT VINTON	0	0	4	0 2	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 1	4
WARREN WASHINGTON	1 0	1 0	4 <b>4</b>	3 2	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	9 8
WAYNE WILLIAMS	1 0	0 0	11 2	1 2	1 <b>0</b>	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	15 4
WOOD WYANDOT	0 0	0	14 4	3 0	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	19 4
avannos cramata accentrata tra		a se a ser e a individi	erandi sandhrish (1894)	erase statusea etga ("Stas	er magadiji qaradi samada da Abril	ogen en di Sirodigi'a e e en di Siridi.		or and Calle Broad disco-		entro i de 1991 - cristo create Cilicado C	Carlabe Asian Salas	er teatherne i Shi Bij
STATEWIDE 88	102	148	1,001	1,203	192	222	8	205	2	14	153	3,250

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION FORECLOSURES OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

	CASES PENDING	NEW	REACTIVATIONS AND	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN-	% NET INCREASE IN	CASES CASES PENDING PENDING BEYOND TIME
COUNTY	JANUARY 1	FILINGS	TRANSFERS	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31 GUIDELINES
ADAMS	15	23	0	38	27	-26.7%	11 4
ALLEN	121	262	20	403	270	9.9%	133
ASHLAND	15	28	1	44	30	-6.7%	14
ASHTABULA	95	157	<b>8</b> - Li 1507 - Li 150 - <b>2</b> Li 160 - Sea	260	174	-9.5%	86 8
ATHENS AUGLAIZE	25 10	32	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	62	41	-16.0%	21
BELMONT	19	48 57	2	60 84	49 54	10.0% 57.9%	11 0 30 3
BROWN	18	38	2	58	47	-38.9%	11 3
BUTLER	236	580	24	840	643	-16.5%	197 10
CARROLL	15	35	1	51	43	-46.7%	8 0
CHAMPAIGN	42	49	7	98	52	9.5%	46 17
CLARK	70	175	4	249	174	7.1%	75 1
CLERMONT CLINTON	101	260		368	275	-7.9%	93 8
COLUMBIANA	24 74	39 104	6 Kraji Salike izanan.	69 183	41 122	16.7% +1 <b>7.6%</b>	28 6 2 star montant aggréfació a bha sao rathaile ag
COSHOCTON	13	58	77	74	54	53.8%	61 0 20 0
CRAWFORD	27	76	1 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	106	75	14.8%	รู้ ชั
CUYAHOGA	974	4,143	248	5,365	4,647	-26.3%	718 125
DARKE	17	47	0	64	50	+17.6%	14 1
DEFIANCE	28	<b>52</b>	2	82	63	-32.1%	19 0
DELAWARE ERIE	103	121	8	232	150	-20.4%	82
FAIRFIELD	40 63	101 11 <b>9</b>	10 	151	103	20.0%	48 0 startations (12 state the latent out and a size
FAYETTE	15	119 36	<b>9</b> 6	191 <i>5</i> 7	150 29	-34.9% 86.7%	21 3 28 0
FRANKLIN	1,025	1,891	126	3,042	2,278	-25,5%	764 48
FULTON	15	29	3	47	40	-53.3%	
GALLIA	8	50		59	30	262,5%	29 0
GEAUGA	66	121		194	142	-21.2%	52 4
GREENE GUERNSEY	71 26	201	12	284	223	-14.1%	61 0
HAMILTON	26 785	72 1,968	2 9 <del>6</del>	100 2,849	83 2.117	-34.6% -6.8%	17 0 732 92
HANCOCK	48	75	75 14	2,649 137	103	-29.2%	732 92 34 4
HARDIN	11	41		53	46	-36.4%	2
HARRISON	9	13	0	22	14	-11.1%	8 3
HENRY	3	29	0	32	15	466.7%	17 0
HIGHLAND HOCKING	20 15	35	0 Diservice and segment of the second	55	<b>27</b>	40.0%	
HOLMES	11	<b>60</b> 11	5 0	80 22	64 21	6.7% -90.9%	16 0 1 0
HURON	54	68	12	134	98	-33.3%	1 0 36
JACKSON	20	55	4	79	54	25.0%	25 2
JEFFERSON	20	47	5	72	57	-25.0%	15
KNOX	157	251		485	426	-62.4%	59 0
LAKE LAWRENCE	197	436	19	652	470	-7.6%	182 14
LICKING	57 <b>67</b>	163 152	40 1 <b>5</b>	260 234	219 171	-28.1%	
LOGAN	43	75	0	118	77 77	-6.0% -4.7%	63 1 41 3
LORAIN	161	625	88	874	674	24.2%	200 24
LUCAS	535	1,036	68	1,639	1,185	-15.1%	454 16
MADISON	22	48	5	75	65	-54,5%	10 0
MAHONING	296	467		772	508	-10.8%	264 37
MARION MEDINA	63 65	121	12	196	134	-1.6%	62 5
MEIGS	65 57	138 36	3 10	206 1 <b>03</b>	139 95	3.1% 96.0%	67 12
MERCER	18	27	3	103 48	40	-86.0% -55.6%	8 2 8 0
MIAMI	47	94	ğ	150	113	-33.0% -21.3%	37 2
MONROE	3	14	1	18	11	133.3%	7 0
MONTGOMERY	320	. 888	86	1,294	991	-5.3%	303 10

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION FORECLOSURES OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN MORROW	<b>8</b> 73	11 105	0	19 179	1 <b>5</b> 79	-50,0% 37.0%	<b>4</b> 100	0 30
MUSKINGUM	47	137	$\hat{\mathbf{z}}$	186	135	8.5%	51	6
NOBLE	7	8	. 2	17	10	0.0%	7	2
OTTAWA	45	68	2	115	90	-44.4%	25	5
PAULDING	14 0000 na superior de la constitución	23 succed tubuseed techniques	1	38	25	-7.1%	13 . Accomena rena en acada de como caracter.	0
PERRY	7	38	3	48	40	14.3%	8	0
PICKAWAY PIKE	17 20	32 36	<b>3</b> do comercia de Alegonomia a	52 56	39 <b>37</b>	-23.5% -5.0%	13 19	2
PORTAGE	20 306	332	0	638	<b>9</b> € 491	-52.0%	1 <b>9</b> 147	15
PREBLE	68	142	5	215	186	-57.4%	1-7 29	13
PUTNAM	<b>5</b>	18	0	23	14	80.0%	9	1
RICHLAND	93	137	12	242	188	-41.9%	54	2
ROSS	29	115	3	147	125	-24.1%	22	1
SANDUSKY	34	55	5	94	59	2.9%	35	4
SCIOTO	68	89 55	O Sana taka 126, kada ayu dalaka sana ta da	157	112	-33.8%	45	· 4
SENECA SHELBY	45 18	50	6	106 68	74 50	-28,9% 0.0%	32	7.4.2.7 P
STARK	181	30 418	0 24	623	492	-27.6%	18 131	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
SUMMIT	307	711	<b>61</b>	1,079	832	-19.5%	247	16
TRUMBULL	163	296	21	480	344	-16.6%	136	30
TUSCARAWAS		66	2	121	79	-20.8%	42	7
UNION	23	44	3	70	56	-39.1%	14	0
VAN WERT	14 13	26	12	<b>52</b>	42	-28.6%	10	1 annonacidat anticessatas
VINTON WARREN	13 101	7 145	6 23	26 269	18 203	-38.5% -34.7%	8 66	0
WARREN	at an at the end of the control of the control of the control of the control of	143	23 5	209 108	203 <b>58</b>	-34.7% 17. <b>6%</b>		3 
WAYNE	27	90	4	121	98	-14.8%	23	0
WILLIAMS	8	18	0	25	22	-50.0%	4	Ö
WOOD	66	127	21	214	176	-42.4%	38	1
WYANDOT	7	20	1	28	20	14.3%	- 8 -	Ö
STATEWIDE	8,376	19,465	1,350	29,191	22,312	-17.9%	6,879	626
88	. "	•		•	-		•	

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION FORECLOSURES TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED OF DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSA FOR WANT PROSECUTI	OF DE-	DIVERSIO OR ARBI- TRATION	- FERRED	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLO UTORY APPEA	C- TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS ALLEN ASHLAND ASHTABULA	0 0 0	( ( (	102 0	15 6 9	0 3 0 1	0 21	0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0	3 23 0 8	128 0 15	27 270 30 174
ATHENS AUGLAIZE BELMONT BROWN	0 0 0 0	( (	0 3 0	20 13 14 11	0 1 0 0	5 23 1 19	0 0 0	7 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 3 3 2	5 6 35 0	41 49 54 47
BUTLER CARROLL CHAMPAIGN CLARK CLERMONT	2 0 0 0 . 0	) ( 2 1	7 17 5 39	143 7 12 29 116	12 0 0 9	25 19 74	2 0 0 0	3 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	31 3 2 7	91 1 2 11 26	643 43 52 174 275
CLINTON COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON CRAWFORD	0 1 0 0	15 15 (	i 1 24 ) 0	15 13 12 37	0 3 . 0	0 28 32	2 0 0	· 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 16 3 2	4 35 7	41 122 54 75
CUYAHOGA DARKE DEFIANCE DELAWARE ERIE	4 0 0 0	4,203 32 34	25 5 1	122 3 25 84 34	175 0 0 3	) 13 ) 0	9. 0 0	49 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	21 4 1 26 4	18 0 0 2 19	4,647 50 63 150 103
FAIRFIELD FAYETTE FRANKLIN FULTON GALLIA	2 0 0 0	] 2 3 (	10 1 154 1 1	39 5 578 13	, 0 36 0	70 18 1,039 0 9	0 0 2 0	0 0 12 2	0 0 0 0	9 0 104 11	18 1 356 4	150 29 2,278 40
GEAUGA GREENE GUERNSEY HAMILTON	1 0 0	( ( 23	32 2 1	30 97 23 643	3 0 0 33	23 102 ) 54	0 0 0 0	2 4 1 39	0 0 0 0	6 13 1 81	40 5 3 126	30 142 223 83 2,117
HANCOCK HARDIN HARRISON HENRY HIGHLAND	1 0 0 9	3 ( ( 18	0 ) 2 4	25 12 4 3	2 0 0	) 22 ) 6 ) 8	0 0 0	5 0 0 0	0 0 0	8 9 2 0	0 1 0 0	103 46 14 15 27
HOCKING HOLMES HURON JACKSON	0 0 0 0	2 2 1	10 2 20 3	23 4 1 10	0 0 1 0	28 ) 9 50 ) 12	0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 13 6	0 2 7 22	64 21 98 54
IEFFERSON KNOX LAKE LAWRENCE LICKING	0 1 1 0 0	211 4 0	8 25 4	16 21 143 50 59	0 8 73	160 207 37	0 0 1 0	1 0 1 33 6	0 0 0 0	0 14 29 5	5 11 51 17 41	57 426 470 219 171
LOGAN LORAIN LUCAS MADISON MAHONING	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 18	165 116 51	137 184 7 103	1 7 12 0 1	233 611 1	0 0 8 0	0 1 44 0 3	0 0 0 0	8 59 54 5	2 68 156 1	77 674 1,185 65 508
MARION MEDINA MEIGS MERCER	0 0 1	27 7 18	24 12 13	31 39 41 8	2 2 4 0	48 34 8 14	0 0 0 0	4 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	8 9 4 2	7 23 7 3	134 139 95 40
MIAMI MONROE MONTGOMERY	0 0 1	0 10 2	0	26 i 238	1 0 24	0	0 0 0	0 0 14	0 0 0	15 0 99	35 0 98	113 11 991

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION FORECLOSURES TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED OF DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	DE- FAULT	DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC UTORY APPEAL	- TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
MORGAN	0	10	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	15
MORROW MUSKINGUM	0 0	0	9 7	30 32	0	32 83	0	0 0	o o	8 9	0 4	79 135
NOBLE	0	ν 0	1	2 2	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	10
OTTAWA	Ō	i	13	15		40	Ö	0	0	6	12	90
PAULDING	0	2	10	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	5	25
PERRY	0	. 0	13	0	0	12	0	0	0	3.00	12	40
PICKAWAY	0	14	0.	21	0	2	. 0	0	0	O en Steur outstaatska (resultation)	2	39
PIKE PORTAGE	. 0	0	4	15	0	13	0	. 0	Ŏ.	.2	3	37
PREBLE	0	16 0	4 0	68 75	5 0	381 96	2 0	2 0	0	13 7	0 7	491 186
PUTNAM	0	1	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	4	2	14
RICHLAND	0	0	34	15	0	96	Ó	1	0	11	31	188
ROSS	0	1	2	42	0	57	0	0	0	8	15	125
SANDUSKY	0	1	3	24	0	2	har taran maka karan ara atau da da ka	0	0	<b>5</b>	24	59
SCIOTO	0	0	<b>3</b> analis 1904 a 2560 au	26	<b>2</b> Salabadas autoritario (1.200)	58	<b>2</b> nështeratious <u>a</u> nisës		0 november distinct	<b>6</b> .000	10	112
SENECA SHELBY	0	0	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	26 12	in that is a little	24	0	0	0	8 3	2	74
STARK	0 0	5 3	0 13	12 96	7	29 286	0	0 K	0	3 24	0 59	50 492
SUMMIT	1	3	74	140	12	380	10	4	0	62	146	(32
TRUMBULL	Ō	145	. 3	109	0	57	contains a future of the consequence of the con-	. 6	ő	24	Ō	344
TUSCARAWAS	0	2	0	25	0	13	0	0	0	8	31	79
UNION	0	14		6	0	18	- 1	2	0	6	2	56
VAN WERT	0	11	9	3	<b>2</b> 	12	<u>i</u> sessas percenturas	0	0	i Barranda Albarda Barranda (1980)	3 January in Corporation	42
VINTON WARREN	.0	1	1	2 31	na akkasa ke kabupatèn salah sal	4	a mark that it is a re-	0	0,5	1	8	18
WARREN	0 0	6 2	46 7	31 31	0	42 12	and the latest of property and a second property of the second	0	0	12 2	66 14	203 68
WAYNE	1	2	ananin ali mi pagain	<b>3</b> 1 20	2	45	0	0	0	6	14 8	98
WILLIAMS	0	ā	and the second of the second of the second of the	13	0	و .	to accept the contract of the contract of	ō	0	. O	Ŏ	22
WOOD	0	3	11	45	5	57	0	12	0	14	29	176
WYANDOT	0	0	2	б	0 "	7	.0	0	0	0	5	20
AM . (1971)										4 000		
STATEWIDE 88	21	4,942	1,873	4,329	457	7,235	66	277	0	1,078	2,034	22,312

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ADAMS ALLEN	3 (1997)	2	o ·	3	1	100.0%	2	0
ASHLAND	0.0	13 0	1 0	17 0	9 0	166.7% 0.0%	8 0	0
ASHTABULA ATHENS	28 17	16 1 <b>5</b>	0 1	44 33	36 14	-71.4% i1.8%	8 19	5 11.
AUGLAIZE BELMONT	0	8 1 <b>6</b>	0	8 24	4 11	85.7%	4 13	0 3
BROWN	e v 64 (6. 1	7	0 0	**************************************	4	300.0%	4 4	2
BUTLER CARROLL	21 1		7	72 1	46 1	23,8% -100.0%	, 26 0	. 14 0
CHAMPAIGN CLARK	4 15	1 23	0	<b>5</b> 38	4 23	-75.0% 0.0%	i 15	1
CLERMONT	3.0	11	0.1	14	7	133.3%	7	0
CLINTON COLUMBIANA	2 7	5 <b>8</b>	0 <b>3</b>	7 18	2 14	150.0% -42.9%	5 4	1 0
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD	1 2	5 8	0 <b>0</b>	6 10	4 5	100.0% 150.0%	2	· 0
CUYAHOGA	180	239	21	440	227	18.3%	213	114
DARKE DEFIANCE	2 4	5 2	0	7 6	5 6	0.0% -100.0%	2 0	2 0
DELAWARE ERIE	13 12	9 8	2	22 22	11 10	-15.4% 0.0%	11 12	<b>1</b> 0
FAIRFIELD	9	8	0	17	11.	-33,3%	6	
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	4 238	1 372	0 38	5 <b>6</b> 48	3 391	-50.0% 8,0%	2 257	0 49
FULTON GALLIA	2 3	15 5	0 0	17 8	9 - 5	300.0% 0.0%	. 8 3	0 0
GEAUGA GREENE	5	24 7	2	31	23	60.0%	8	0
GUERNSEY	3 1	<i>1</i> 6	<b>2</b> 0	12 7	<b>6</b> 3	100.0% 300.0%	<b>6</b> 4	0
HAMILTON HANCOCK	94 5	121 4	5 0	220 9	137 3	-11.7% 20.0%	83 6	20 4
HARDIN HARRISON		2	0	5,	3	-33,3%	2	0
HENRY	13 0	5 0	0	18 0	15 0	-76.9% 0.0%	3 0	2 0
HIGHLAND HOCKING	13 0	3 2	0 0	16 2	3 0	0.0%	13 2	8 0
HOLMES HURON	2	4	0	6	Section of the sectio	50.0%	3	rang ti nada ang againt O
IACKSON	1.00-15 0.00 <b>1</b> 0.00	6 1	0	7 2	<b>. 6</b> 2	0.0% -100.0%	1 0	0
JEFFERSON KNOX	3 0	<b>8</b> 4	1 0	12 4	<b>8</b> 1	33.3%	4	0
LAKE LAWRENCE	3(	31 5	3	65 10	39	-16.1% -60.0%	26	11
LICKING	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	2	13	8 10	-50.0%	2 3	2 0
LOGAN LORAIN	4 0	10 3	0 <b>6</b>	14 9	10 6	0.0%	4 3	0 2
LUCAS MADISON	78 1	77 7	24 1	179	101 8	0.0%	78 1	8 0
MAHONING	90	47	4	9 141	86	0.0% -38.9%	<b>55</b>	31
MARION MEDINA	2 17	8 28	<b>2</b> 0	12 45	8 14	100.0% 82.4%	4 31	0 18
MEIGS MERCER	2 11	<b>6</b> 3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		7 S	0.0% -18.2%	2 9	1
MIAMI	4		0	7	4	-25.0%	3	8
MONROE MONTGOMERY	0 46	0 111	0 6	0 163	0 100	0.0% <b>37.0%</b>	0 <b>63</b>	0 7

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN	2	5	Ó	7	1	200.0% 75.0%	6	<u>o</u>
MORROW MUSKINGUM	4 3	5 11		9 15	2 6	75.0% 200.0%	7 <b>9</b>	3 2
NOBLE OTTAWA	0 10	3 10	o T	3 21	0 7	- 40,0%	3 14	0 <b>6</b>
PAULDING	2	0	0	2 7	2	-100.0%	0	0
PERRY PICKAWAY	7	5 4	0	11	6 11	+50.0% -100.0%	0	0
PIKE PORTAGE	0 21	2 35	0 0	2 56	1 23	57.1%	1 33	<b>0</b> 14
PREBLE	5	7	o'	12	6	20.0%	6	1
PUTNAM RICHLAND	0 9	4 15	0 1	4 25	3 20	-44,4%	1.5	0
ROSS SANDUSKY	4 2	8 15	0	12 17	8 <b>6</b>	0.0% 4 <b>50.0</b> %	4 11	0 4
SCIOTO	10 3	11 5		22 8	16 3	-40.0%	6	3 
SENECA SHELBY	3	9	<b>0</b> 1	13	9	66.7% 33.3%	4	1
STARK SUMMIT	25 42	40 132	0 13	65 187	145 131	-20.0% 33.3%	20 56	1 12
TRUMBULL TUSCARAWAS	11	14	0	25		9.1% -50.0%	12	6
UNION	6 3	4 9	0 <b>0</b>	10 12	7 <b>8</b>	33.3%	4	0
VAN WERT VINTON	0 1	6 3	0	6 4	6 2	0.0% 100.0%	0 2	0
WARREN WASHINGTON	13	14	2	29 8	20	-30.8% 0.0%	9 3: 44:10 - 3:40 (10:10)	4 ************************************
WAYNE	3	6	1	10	7	0.0%	<b>4</b> 3	3. 0
WILLIAMS WOOD	0 5	8 11	3	8 19	2 10	80.0%	<b>6</b> 9	2 0
WYANDOT	Ö	<b>, 2</b>	0	2				0
STATEWIDE 88	1,221	1,794	157	3,172	1,868	6.8%	1,304	398

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL TERMINATIONS

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED OR DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR WANT O PROSECUTIO		DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL.	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMINATIONS
ADAMS	Ō	0		1	j.	0	0	ģ	0	0	0	i
ALLEN ASHLAND	1 <b>0</b>	6  0		1 0	0 <b>Q</b>	0 Q	0	1 0	0	0	0	Ó
ASHTABULA ATHENS	1 <b>0</b>	5 0	ear national and the earliest restriction and the rest	6 12	3 0	2 0	applications in the strains as	0 1	0	0	15 1	36 14
AUGLAIZE BELMONT	0 0	0	1 0	0 3	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 <b>0</b> ,	3 6	4 11
BROWN BUTLER	0 1	0 3	with a property of the first party	0 7	0 1	0 1	0 D	0 10	0 0	0 2	0 14	4 46
CARROLL CHAMPAIGN	0	1 2	0 0	0 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	1 4
CLARK CLERMONT	0	3 3	11	1 3	2 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	6 0	23 7
CLINTON	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON	0	1 2	0	1	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 0	0 0	0 0	4 1	14 4
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA	0 1	0 92	The Art Held Live March	.1 56	0 47	0 0	0 2	0 22	0 0	0	4 7	5 227
DARKE DEFIANCE	0 0	4 1	1 1	0 2	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	0	<b>0</b> 0	, 0 0	0 2	<b>5</b> 6
DELAWARE ERIE	0	7	ra come engle ellar bee	2 2	0	0	<b>0</b> 0	0 3	<b>0</b>	0	0 4	11 10
FAIRFIELD FAYETTE	0	. 0	and control to the control of the	2 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	6 2	11 3
FRANKLIN FULTON	<b>0</b>		37	119 5	2i 0	10 0		13 0	0 0	10 0	177 4	391 9
GALLIA	. 0	1	0	1	0	0	and the second second second second	2 0	0,	0	1	
GEAUGA GREENE	0 0	2 0		2 0	0 0	0	0	2	0	0	10 3	6
GUERNSEY HAMILTON	0	0 18	31	2 39	0 1	0 5	Carlotte Contraction	0 5,	0	0 2	1 35	3 137
HANCOCK HARDIN	0	1		2	0	0	0 .0	0	0 0	0 9	0 1	3 3
HARRISON HENRY	2 0	0 0	Access and a second control of the c	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	15 0
HIGHLAND HOCKING	0 0	2 0		1 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 0
HOLMES HURON	0 0	0	1	1 2	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	3 6
JACKSON JEFFERSON	0	0	0	1 3	0 1	0	0	1 0	0 0	0	0 2	2 8
KNOX LAKE	0	0	0	1 17	0 3	0 0	0	0 1	0 0	0	0 10	1 39
LAWRENCE	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	or section for the contract of	0	8
LICKING LOGAN	0 0	4	. 2	4 2	0	0 0	0	1 0	0 0	0 0	2	10
LORAIN LUCAS	0 0	0 2	26	2 39	0	1	0 0	0 14	0	0 2	4 17	6 101
MADISON MAHONING	0	1 15	34	3 24	<b>0</b> 1	0 3	0	. 0 4	0	0	0 5	
MARION MEDINA	0		1	1	0	0 2		0 1	0 0	<b>0</b> 1	1 0	8 14
MEIGS MERCER	0	3	2	0	0 0			0			2	7 5
MIAMI MONROE	0	Ó	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
MONTGOMERY	0 ( 1	0 3		0 34	0 6	0 47	0 0	0 5	0 0	0 . 7	0 1	100

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT		DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	DE- FAULT	DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOO UTORY APPEAL		TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
MORGAN MORROW	0	0	1	<b>0</b>	ņ	0	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	1
MUSKINGUM	<b>.</b>	0	0	3		tarati	( ) ( ) ( )	0	<b>0</b> (1)	Ŏ	daktą.	6
NOBLE OTTAWA	0	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0	0 0	0 <b>2</b>	7
PAULDING PERRY	0	0	1 2	0	1 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 3	2 6
PICKAWAY PIKE	0	6	0 0	3 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	2 0	11
PORTAGE	0		2	10	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	23
PREBLE PUTNAM	1 0	2 0	<b>0</b> 0	2 3	<b>0</b> 0	<b>0</b> 0	0	1 0	0 0	<b>Q</b> 0	0	6 3
RICHLAND ROSS	1 0	1 0	12 0	1	0	0 0	0'' . 0	0. 0	0	0	5 7	20 8
SANDUSKY SCIOTO	0	0	1	<u>1</u> 2	<b>0</b>	0	0	<b>2</b>	0	0	2 13	. 6 16
SENECA	Ö.	0	2	0	0	0	. 0	Could be considered	0	o .		3
SHELBY STARK	0 0	3 6	0 4	6 18	0 0	0	0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 16	9 45
SUMMIT TRUMBULL	0 0	3 5	29 0	42 6	3 0	1 0	0 0	5 2	0 0	4 0	44 0	131 13
TUSCARAWAS UNION	0 0	3 2	0	1 2	0	0	0	0 3	0	0 0	3 0	7 8
VAN WERT	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	· 0	0	2	6
VINTON WARREN	0.1	0 4	0 4	2 6	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 5	2 20
WASHINGTON WAYNE	0	2 1	0	2 2	0	0 0	, O	0	<b>0</b> 0	, in	<b>0</b> 4	4 7
WILLIAMS WOOD	0	0	0		ō	0	<b>o</b>	1 2	0	0	0	2 10
WYANDOT	9 ( )	0	to the state of th	0,	0		o d	0	ő	o i	franc <b>i</b>	1
	·											
STATEWIDE 88	10	253	287	535	93	75	4	112	0	25	474	1,868

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION COMPLEX LITIGATION OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIM GUIDELINES
ADAMS		Ó	Ŏ	. 0	Ŏ	0.0%	0	O.
ALLEY ASHLAND	0 1	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 1	0.0%	1	0
ASHTABULA ATHENS	0 0	0	4 0	4 0	1 0	0.0%	3 0	0 0
AUGLAIZE	2	0	0	2	2	-100.0%	0	0
BELMONT BROWN	0	0	8	8 0	1 0	0.0%	7 0	0
OUTLER CARROLL	0	0	0	2 0	1 0	0.0% 0.0%	0	<b>0</b> 0
HAMPAIGN	ů .	0 0	Ö	Ö	e e e	0.0%	u de la companya de	ă
LARK LERMONT	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0.0% 0.0%	0	0
CLINTON	4	0	1	5	2	-25.0%	3	i per estas librilio (15) 1 (4) - Post estas librilio
COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON	0 0	0	<b>0</b> 0	0	0 0	<b>0.0%</b> 0.0%	0	0
RAWFORD CUYAHOGA	78	<b>0</b>	92	0 170	0 84	0.0% 10.3%	0 86	0
ARKE	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		92	0	0	0.0%	0	Ô
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	1 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	1 0	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	1 <b>Q</b>	0
RIE	18	0	0	18	6	-33.3%	12	0
AIRFIELD AYETTE	0 3	0 0	0 0	3	0	0,0% -100.0%	0	0
RANKLIN ULTON	9	0	5	14	5 0	0.0% 0.0%	<b>9</b> 2	j
ALLIA	2 0	0 0	0 1	2 1	0	0.0%		0 0
BEAUGA BREENE	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	0 0	0 0
UERNSEY	21	0	no de gasta no, jor <b>e</b> s presentación. <b>1</b> Casta en est el mores como de como de como.	22	1	0.0%	21	2
IAMILTON IANCOCK	27 6	0	<b>1</b> 5	28 11	4 8	-11,1% -50.0%	24 3	3. 0
IARDIN IARRISON		0.5		2.	la de la f	0.0% 50.0%	<b>1</b>	0
ENRY	2	0 0	2 0	ů	0	30.0% 0.0%	Ô	0
IGHLAND OCKING	0 (1)	0 <b>n</b>	0 2 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	0 0	0	0.0% <b>0.0%</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>
OLMES	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
URON ACKSON	10 0	0.	12 0	22 0	15 0	-30.0% -	7	0
EFFERSON NOX	3	ò	20	23	4	533.3% -100.0%	19 0	0
AKE		0 0	0	1 1	0	0.0%		
AWRENCE ICKING	1		0 3	1 2	1	-100.0%	0 2	0 <b>0</b>
OGAN	1	0	4	5	e valoe van 1967 en 1966. 1 Signal is dat Austria en 1969	300.0%	4	0
ORAIN UCAS	<b>3</b> 60	0	0 21	3 81	2 28	- <b>66.7%</b> -11.7%	1 53	0 8
IADISON	0	0	0		0	0.0%	0	0
IAHONING IARION	5 0	0	3 0	8 0	5 0	-40.0% <b>0.0%</b>	3 0	0 0
MEDINA MEIGS	<b>2</b> 1	0	3 1	5 2	3 1	0.0% 0.0%	2 1	0 0
MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
MAMI MONROE	0 2	0	0	0 2	0 2	0.0% -100.0%	0	0 0
ionigomery				22	8		14	7

# COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION COMPLEX LITIGATION OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN		0	0	I .	1	-100.0%	Q	0
MORROW MUSKINGUM	0	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	0	0.0% 0.0%	0 0	0 1 <b>.0</b>
NOBLE	0	0	0	0 •	0	0.0%	0	o O
OTTAWA PAULDING		0	2 0	9 0	0 0	28.6% 0.0%	0	0
PERRY	1	0	11111	2	1	0.0%	į.	0
PICKAWAY PIKE	2 n	0 	0 0	2 0	1 0	-50.0% 0.0%	1 0	0
ORTAGE	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
REBLE UTNAM	0	0	0	" 0"	0	0.0% 0.0%		0
UCHLAND	o .	Ö	i i i	ř	Ö '			Ö
ROSS ANDUSKY	1 0	0 0	<b>2</b> 	<b>3</b> 50-856-25 <b>3</b>	0	200.0% 0.0%	3 0	1 bushqilisi ka
CIOTO	0	0	0 0	0	0 0		0	0
ENECA	0	0	<b>V</b>	0	0	0.0%	0	0
HELBY TARK	0	0	0	0 1	0	0.0% L	0	0
UMMIT	0		0 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (1	ur, arrenteus, ette <del>1</del> 864 8 <b>3</b> ur arrente ette ar attendes	0	Appendicts in a contact probability for each 	nder Deutscheiter der Steiner der Steine der Steine der Steine der	andres de l'altre de destri 1 Matrice de la companya de la compa
TRUMBULL TUSCARAWAS	4 0	0.		5 0	4 0	-75.0% 0.0%		0 0
JNION	ŏ	0	ř	1	Ö		i	Ö
/AN WERT /INTON	<b>2</b> Place has the real to <b>X</b> and the	0	0 0	2	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	2	0 0
VINTON WARREN	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
VASHINGTON	29	0	<b>36</b>	85	5	175.9%	80	21
WAYNE WILLIAMS	2	0	2	4 0	3 0	-50.0% 0.0%	1 0	0
WOOD		0	0	1	1	-100.0%	0	0
WYANDOT	Α	0	0	0	0	0,0%	0	(
STATEWIDE 88	333	0	265	598	209	16.8%	389	47

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION COMPLEX LITIGATION TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED OF DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSA FOR WANT PROSECUT	OF DE-	DIVERSION OR ARBI	- FERRED	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS	<b>0</b> **		and a following the first policy of a	0	C C	Northern west	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALLEN ASHLAND	0	C 00	and the second war are a second as a second	0	0 <b>0</b>	Control of the Control of the Control	) 0 ) 0	0 0	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 1
ASHTABULA ATHENS	0	0	the restriction of the contraction of	0 0	o O	and the second of the second o	) () ) ()	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 6
AUGLAIZE	0	C	) 0	0	0	) (	) 0	0	0	0	2	2
BELMONT BROWN	0 0	0	) 1 ) 0	0 0	C C	to receive the south that is not the con-	) 0 ) 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0
BUTLER CARROLL	1 0	0	0	0	0		) 0 ) 0	0	0	0	0	1'
CHAMPAIGN	, , , <b>, ,</b> ,	0	0	, , , , , ,	ia a	reservation (	0	Ö	,	0	0	0
CLARK CLERMONT	0	o d	1.1.1.2.2	0	0 0 ( )   2   1	er and a second second second	) 0 ) 0	0 0	0 0		0	0
CLINTON COLUMBIANA	0 0	0	Control of the Control of the Control	0	0 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		) 0 1 0	0	0 0	0 71 (14 4 1 4 <b>0</b> 1 4 4	1 0	2 0
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD	0	0 0	) Û	) 0	0	) (	a se chase to the half a control of	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0
CUYAHOGA	3	21	1	25	18	3 (	) 0	13	0	0	3	84
DARKE DEFIANCE	0 0	0	a transfer of the control of the terms of	0	0 0	Terr 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	)	0	0 0	0	0	0 0
DELAWARE ERIE	0 2	0 0	Silver and an institution	0	0	The state of the s	) 0 ) 0	0.	0	0	0 2	0
FAIRFIELD FAYETTE	0	0	0	. 0	0		0	0	0	Ö	0	· O
FRANKLIN	0	0 0		0 3	0 0	The second second	) 0 ) 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 0	3 5
FULTON GALLIA	0 0	0 0	and the second second second second	0 0	0 0	are recently and the region	) 0 ) 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0
GEAUGA GREENE	0	0	country is a second configura-	0	0 <b>0</b>	arana Niji ya kembari	) 0 ) <b>0</b>	0	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0
GUERNSEY	0	0	1	0	0	(	) 0	0	0	0	0	1
HAMILTON HANCOCK	0 1	2 1	1 3	1 1	0 0	) (	) 0 ) 0	0 0	0	0	0 2	4 8
HARDIN HARRISON	1 0	0 0	elena periodo en elengan el esperiore	0	0	The first of the same of the s		0 0	0 0	0	0	1
HENRY HIGHLAND	0	0 0	and entrance whose calls a	0	0	and the second second	) ) 0 (	0	0	(5% at 6 at <b>0</b> 444) 0	0	0
HOCKING		. 0	0.	0	Ō		0	0	0	0	. 0	0
HOLMES HURON	0 0	0 2	en e e estados o como contrato e o	0 2	0 0	Action to the action of the second	and the second and the second and the	0 2	0 <b>0</b>	0 1/0/1	0 2	0 15
JACKSON JEFFERSON	0 0	0 0		0 0	0	AL PRINCIPLE CONTRACTOR	ere e carre cara mana o	0	0 0	0	0	0 4
KNOX LAKE	0	0	description and the first section of the first sect	0 0	0 0	)       (	) 0	0	0	O Figure 1 and the Control of the Co	0	1
LAWRENCE	0	0	eganga pagapata <b>u</b> a Kabupatan Pagapatau Kabupatan Pagapatan	0	0	(	0	0	0	0	0	1
LOGAN	<b>0</b> 0	0 0		1 0	0 0	(	) 0	0. 1	0	0	0	1
LORAIN LUCAS	0 1	1 0		0 3	0	) (1994) )	) 0 ) 0	0	0	0 2	0.	2 28
144 DICOM	, i j	0	0.	0			0	0	0	0	0	. 0
MARION	0		// or . ō	2 0	0 0		) )	1 0	0	0	0	5
MEDINA MEIGS	0	0 0		1 0	0 0		0	0	0	0	1	3 1
MERCER MIAMI	0	0	. 0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONROE	0	0	0	0	0 0	(	0	0	0	0 2	. 0	0
MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	2	0	C	0.	1	0	<b>2</b>	3	8

## COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION COMPLEX LITIGATION TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED OR DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	DE- FAULT	DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRAN3- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
MORGAN MORROW	0	1	0	Ó 0	0	0	0	0.	0	0	0	1
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	<b>0</b>	0	0	) 0	0	0 0	0.0	0	<b>0</b>	0	<b>0</b> 0	Ö
OTTAWA	0.	i i i i o	0	- , , <b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</b>	o i	0	0	i, o	0	0	0.	Ŏ
PAULDING PERRY	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	0 0	0 	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0
PICKAWAY PIKE	0	0		1	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	1 0
PORTAGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PREBLE PUTNAM	0	0	eranga karangan bangan b	0	0	0 0	0	· ; 0	<b>0</b>	0	0   0	0
RICHLAND ROSS		0	0:	. 0	ia, ja eliterio di la constanti	0		0	0.	Ó		Ö
SANDUSKY	0 0	0	0 	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	O C
SCIOTO SENECA	0 0	0 0		0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
SHELBY	0	0	Annual Company of the Company	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STARK SUMMIT	0 0	0 0	0 (0 )	0 0	0	0 0	0	(0) (0)	0	0 0	0	0
TRUMBULL TUSCARAWAS	0 0	0		1 0	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ö .	0 0	0	0	0	4
UNION	0	0	0		Ö		CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	0.1	di di			0
VAN WERT VINTON	0 <b>0</b> 1	0	<del>.</del> .	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0
WARREN WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0
WAYNE	0	0	•	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b> 0	3
WILLIAMS WOOD	0	0 0		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	20 00000 10000 00000	constant and the second	Ó	Ö	0	0	<b>0</b>	. 0
WYANDOT	o.	Ö		i o	0	i j	, i o	u je i i i i	Ö	joba (idelja) <b>č</b>		0
STATEWIDE 88	10	28	52	45	19	0	0	22	0	7	26	209

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OTHER CIVIL OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

						ed		GA GEG DENIDING
	CASES PENDING	NEW	REACTIVATIONS AND	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN-	% NET INCREASE IN	CASES PENDING	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME
COUNTY	JANUARY 1	FILINGS	TRANSFERS	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31	GUIDELINES
The second secon	. em a e la sua sua sua a e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			acassa erafelikki p			52	10
ADAMS ALLEN	53 117	123 235	8 19	184 371	132 258	-1,9% -3,4%	92 113	4
ASHLAND	98	104		209	129	-18.4%	80:	0
ASHTABULA	351	379	42	772	509	-25.1%	<b>263</b>	<b>79</b>
ATHENS	242	2 <b>57</b>	41	540	350	-21.5% -40.5%	190 25	89 0
AUGLAIZE BELMONT	42 181	75 280	3 16	120 477	95 306	-40.5% -5.5%	171	64
BROWN	104	120	2	226	152	-28.8%	74	23
BUTLER	397	793	49	1,239	789	13.4%	450	82
CARROLL	28	108	0	136	100	28.6%	36	0 31
CHAMPAIGN	<b>6</b>	63	5	131 579	67 354	1.6% 1.8%	64 225	22
CLARK CLERMONT	221 467	346 557	12 68	1,092	713	-18.8%	379	78
CLINTON	81	77	2	1 <b>60</b>	85	-7.4%	75	29
COLUMBIANA	192	497		717	507	9.4%	210	0
COSHOCTON	31	185	4	220	193 120	-12.9% <b>-24.6%</b>	27 52	2 9
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA	69 4,324	94 6,662	9 261	172 11,247	6,966	-24.076 -1.0%	4,281	829
DARKE	4,524	198	0	266	214	-23.5%	52	8
DEFIANCE	9	30	3	42	29	44.4%	13	1 Notas selectedado vasas
DELAWARE	196	219	45 11 A C 1 A S C 1 A S C 1 A S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	<b>415</b>	187	16.3%	228	101
ERIE	216 126	314 196	43 16	573 338	351 219	2.8% -5.6%	222 119	0 19
FAIRFIELD FAYETTE	12 <b>0</b> 72	76	2	150	83	-6,9%	67	0
FRANKLIN	2,797	4,701	344	7,842	4,988	2.0%	2,854	282
FULTON	71	157	4	232	169	-11.3%	63 Valoria de de la Companidad de la Companida	0
GALLIA	44	97	7	148	88	36.4%	60 157	
GEAUGA GREENE	158 150	318 265	25 <b>[6</b>	501 431	344 292	-0.6% -7.3%	137	8
GUERNSEY	54	92	- : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	147	108	-27.8%	39	3
HAMILTON	2,244	2,800	318	5,362	3,316	-8.8%	2,046	566
HANCOCK	103	166	11 Caraci di Marti Nea Magatta (Masada A	280	167	9.7%	113 24	20 5
HARDIN HARRISON	28 40	<b>56</b> 50	1	87 91	63 55	-14,3% -10.0%	36	9
HENRY	20 20	64	Ô	84	41	115.0%	43	4
HIGHLAND	112	133	1	246	132	1.8%	114	40
HOCKING	18	42	5	65	38 97	50.0% 44.0%	27 36	2
HOLMES HURON	25 64	102 167	6 12	133 243	91 174	44.0% 7.8%	50 69	6
JACKSON	<b>53</b>	97	2	152	99	0.0%	<b>53</b>	14
JEFFERSON	168	308	24	500	340	-4.8%	160	Ö
KNOX		112	13 stoketopatene kala kateleteksii NG	193	139	-20.6%	54 530	0 75
LAKE LAWRENCE	643 126	941 127	44 26	1,628 279	1,098 166	-17.6% -10.3%	113	43
LICKING	120	380	20 32	549	418	-4.4%	131	6
LOGAN	73	152	0	225	161	-12.3%	64	1
LORAIN	659	959	.43	1,661	1,026	-3,6%	635	117
LUCAS	928 37	1,544	152 9	2,624 1 <b>69</b>	1,737 129	-4.4% 8.1%	887 40	43 2
MADISON MAHONING	1,387	123 1,844	<b>77</b>	3,308	2,146	-16.2%	1,162	293
MARION	1,587	143	14	262	175	-17.1%	. 87	. 13
MEDINA	378	415	5	798	396	6.3%	402	117
MEIGS	34	78	10	122	93 70	-14.7%	29 27	2
MERCER	41	45 258	29 15	115 384	78 276	-9.8% -2.7%	37 108	7 11
MIAMI MONROE	111 55	258 222	0	364 277	210	21.8%	67	4
MONTGOMERY	1,066	3,003	154	4,223	3,231	-6.9%	992	46

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OTHER CIVIL OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN	46	7	0	53	27	-43.5%	26	12
MORROW	122	96	2	220	136	-31.1%	84	47
MUSKINGUM	144	296	9	449	286	13.2%	163	43
NOBLE	30	63	2	95	76	-36.7%	19	4
OTTAWA	125	182	12	319	176	14.4%	143	43
PAULDING	31 #udintarationstatic color in color.	81		112	80	3.2%	32	0
PERRY	60	143	4	207	174	-45.0%	83	1
PICKAWAY	68	147	3 Januaria de maria de la como de se subsenio.	218	122	41.2%	96	20
PIKE	56	73	0.	129	. 100	-48.2%	29	2
PORTAGE	290	412	1 eatles seden i abbonoscionos seculosidos	703	414	-0.3%	289	43
PREBLE	55	90	3	148	89	7.3%	59	4
PUTNAM	38	78	4 	120	84	-5.3%	36	NO straightful descript
RICHLAND	126	255	25	406	255	19.8%	151	14
ROSS	87	166	Orași trăpa pri asper na caractet	262	172	3.4%	90	11
SANDUSKY	104	169	12	285	194	-12.5%	91	19
SCIOTO	225	418	32	675	380	31.1%	295	46
SENECA	52	80	1	133	98	-32.7%	35	4
SHELBY	39	193	<b>3</b> AMAGNAGA <del>SERVICA</del>	235	180	41.0%	<b>55</b>	4
STARK	457	1,065	127	1,649	1,174	3.9%	475	10
SUMMIT TRUMBULL	1,168	2,088	179	3,435	2,355	-7.5%	1,080	113
TUSCARAWAS	604 279	923	59	1,586	858	20.5%	728	236
UNION	30	331 82	7 2	617 114	373 90	-12.5%	244 24	89
VAN WERT	30 22	62 50	containing a property of the contract of the c	11 <del>4</del> 83	State and in expension relation	-20.0%	Secretary and a second	0
VINTON	22 21	30 32	11 6	აა 	59 38	9.1%	24 21	<b>0</b>
WARREN	325	379	17	721	442	0.0% -14.2%	21 279	0 76
WASHINGTON	125	379 125	8	258	151	-14.4% -14.4%	107	76 35
WAYNE	94	160	8	250 262	187	-20.2%	75	6 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
WILLIAMS	75	89	်	202 164	106	-20.2% -22.7%	73 58	15
WOOD	136	• 205	<b>37</b>	378	284	-30.9%	94	8
WYANDOT	31	26	10	67	43	-22.6%	24 24	7
er i cetali i ette i chau eledaria.		। (स्वतः १८) स्थानस्य स्थानस्य ।	स्य व्यवस्थानमञ्जूषा । स्वत्य व्यवस्थानम् ।	e en relata di dan matana	a	erneer dit al Artinist (Miller)		a concernated mass.
STATEWIDE 88	24,810	40,453	2,636	67,899	44,101	-4.1%	23,798	4,140

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OTHER CIVIL TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED OR DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS- MISSAL	DISMISSA FOR WANT PROSECUT	OF DE-	DIVERSI OR ARI	3I- FERRED	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC UTORY APPEAL	OTHER - TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS ALLEN ASHLAND ASHTABULA	0 3 2	51 1 14	13 75 22 176	35 13 53 78	9 7 9	7 5. ) 4	5 1 i	2	0 0 0	2 6 8 25	7 35 0 43	132 258 129 509
ATHENS AUGLAIZE BELMONT BROWN	7 1 3 0	5 3 6 3	176 7 18 2 35	195 16 80 36	j 2 0	3 2 3 13	8 0 0 0 5 0	30 4 6	0 0 0	2 2 2	63 19 61 0	350 95 306 152
BUTLER CARROLL CHAMPAIGN CLARK	9 0 4	24 6 9 29	160 20 13 107	104 11 15 39	30 3 6 32	) 290 5 5 7	) 32 i 1 5 0	23 2 1	0 0 0	24 1 2	93 5 3	789 100 67 354
CLERMONT CLINTON COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON	5 1 4	51 41 35	10, 94 4 126	226 26 42 28	3 0 2	12 ) , 20	93 ) 0 1 7	39 0 21	0 0 0 0	15 5 37 2	61 8 22 120	713 85 507 193
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA DARKE DEFIANCE	1 50 1 0	1,594 1,594 54	23 101 55 3	15 1,868 4 8	1,308 0 1	3 3 1,03 1 92	1 257 2 0	6 438 0	0 0 0 0	9 153 8 0	26 166 0	120 6,966 214 29
DELAWARE ERIE FAIRFIELD FAYETTE	3 5 6 1	39 5 10 12	16 47 61 18	68 108 38 24	2 (12 12	) 9:   4:	3 1	20 8	0 0 0	9 16 7 0	1 56 26 15	187 351 219 83
FRANKLIN FULTON GALLIA GEAUGA	55 1 0 3	73 1 15 13	528 20 6 103	1,473 34 29 54	(18 4 (10 10	7: ) 2 ) 8(	5 0 [ 0 ] 1	6 3 13	2 0 0 0	179 5 0 14	931 23 14 53	4,988 169 88 344
GREENE GUERNSEY HAMILTON HANCOCK	2 2 23 1 2	11 12 140 7	28 8 881 44	93 39 989 48	2 71 3	2 49 4	3 0 5 1 <b>67</b> 4 1	3 210 11	0 4 4 0 0	86 5	37 9 250 3	292 108 3,316 167
HARDIN HARRISON HENRY HIGHLAND HOCKING	2 0 1 7	2 -7 52 4	12 25 8 1	16 8 7 55	2 ( (	) } } )	3 0 4 0 2 0	) 0 1 ) 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 4	2 1 1 0	63 55 41 132 38
HOLMES HURON JACKSON JEFFERSON	4 3 2 2	7 16 6	14 51 26 102	15 13 19 51	, (	) 5 ) 4 ) 2	l 0 5 <u>1</u> 1 0	0 9 1	0 0 0	2 2 2	4 26 19 15	97 174 99 340
KNOX LAKE LAWRENCE LICKING	0 11 5 5	28 33 18 17	38 215 21 21 38	12 339 30 104	1 12 6	22 2 <b>25</b> 5 4	7 0 <b>3 43</b> 5 0	1 43 12	0 0 0	12 34 8	20 115 20 92	139 1,098 166 418
LOGAN LORAIN LUCAS MADISON	2 3 12 2	8 45 13 10	55 231 286 84	13 181 519 20	2 11 37	2 44 25 7 48:	5 0 7 19	2 65 150	0	6 43 72 3	27 171 155 8	161 1,026 1,737 129
MAHONING MARION MEDINA MEIGS	14 1 3 3	200 34 28 43	426 28 134 11	400 29 89 18	29 8 4	i 51 i 79	3 2 9 6 9 0	5 13 0	0 0 0	85 10 4	35 8 30 2	2,146 175 396 93
MERCER MIAMI MONROE MONTGOMERY	0 1 0 17	1 10 121 15	33 30 1 123	7 73 28 672	0 6 0 217	, 6 <u>.</u>	) 0	25 3	0 0 0	2 15 1 88	33 50 47 112	78 276 210 3,231

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION OTHER CIVIL TERMINATIONS (continued)

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT		DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION		DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC UTORY APPEAL		TOTAL TERMIN ATIONS
MORGAN	0	4	9	- h 8	5	1	o o	0 11	0	0	0	27
MORROW	0	2 4		25	1	64 138	0 ************************************	4	0	i Entre projektika ini	0 	136
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	0	3		38 12	7 0	138. 44		0	ν 0	11 0	15 2	286 76
OTTAWA	81	ii is		49	. š	24	0	u de la compa	, d	``	32	76 176
PAULDING	0	3	the contract of the second second second	7	2	33	0	4	0	2	3	80
PERRY	0	2	72	0 -	0	73	0.	1	0.	- 6	20	174
PICKAWAY	2	43	0	51	0	24	0	0	0	0	2	122
PIKE	2	. 7	23	15	0	37	0	0	0		13	100
PORTAGE PREBLE	5 2	43 9	33 3	169 30	12 0	93 25	29 0	19 2.	0	10 3	1 15	414 89
PUTNAM	2		provide a military of the property of the contract of the cont	19	0	· 22	0	2 2	0	3	13 8	89 84
RICHLAND	$ar{f 2}$	21	at the second of	25	i i	55		12	o de	12	22	255
ROSS	3	10	perior periodical exercise resultante protection ex-	43	untrapenteren in entre martie. 1	34	0	10	0	8	47	172
SANDUSKY	0	14	27	56	tara ng panggapatan Banggapatan	47	4	7	0	7	- 31	194
SCIOTO	5	9		110	5	61	0	71	1	10	93	380
SENECA		4	than the properties	11	0	42	0	2	0.	2	4.	98
SHELBY	2	14		52	<b>6</b> 	91	0	3 nsausperangaga	0 	12	0	180
STARK SUMMIT	17 32	45 37	endurate in the species in the first group of the en-	237 451	27 47	354 572	23 92	108 130	0	32 94	118	1,174
TRUMBULL	32 3	- 78		431 291	0	214	92 28	130	0	33	258 1	2,355 858
TUSCARAWAS	10	4	and the second of the second	129	0	104	0	11	0	17	96	373
UNION	i	22	and included an experience of	19	ŏ	10	0	ું <b>ે</b> 9	0	is is	3	90
VAN WERT	1	2		1	5	15	2	2	0	1	11	59
VINTON		2	terminate the first in appearing the management	8	0	17	O.	, 0	0	0	2	38
WARREN	<b>4</b> satas serialisis	22	CONTRACTOR SERVICES AND ADMINISTRAL PROPERTY.	106	0	109	O	1	0	5	78	442
WASHINGTON	144	21	29	48	7	30	0	3	0	1	11	151
WAYNE WILLIAMS	2	8 8		31 56	1 <b>3</b>	45 21	1 (* 0	4 11	0	6 0	21 <b>6</b>	187
WILLIAMS WOOD	1 2	16	where a more and the process of the	50 64	<b>5</b>	48 48	3	11 29	0	7	49	106 284
WYANDOT	Õ			8	o.	6	arte and the company of the company of		Ö		20	209 43
und de militar de la composició de entre esta en entre	erantu ateatitei.	Secretarises SSR	en en er er er en	e se ni medeli militari	- augustater aut maakte 11100	nanananan di Fid	energennen som difficiel	rradampo (1900)	arau i isa Maamija	a satisti taran partapatingar	enskaren eta 2006.	ocene essentisti 1970
STATEWIDE	405	3,520	6,681	10,784	2,135	11,872	925	2,211	15	1,388	4,165	44,101
88											•	•

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION CRIMINAL OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

	CASES PENDING	NEW	REACTIVATIONS AND	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN-	% NET INCREASE IN	CASES PENDING	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME
COUNTY	JANUARY 1	FILINGS	TRANSFERS	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31	GUIDELINES
ADAMS	41	102	10	153	90	53.7%	63	14
ALLEN	47	404	4 Frank St. St. Skiller Jan Jan Jan Jan	455	389	40.4%	<b>66</b>	O Salara Salara da Salara Sa
ASHLAND	44	131	2	177	141 264	-18.2% 17.9%	3 <b>6</b> 79	<b>0</b> 7
ASHTABULA ATHENS	67 55	263 117	13 30	343 202	204 170	-41.8%	79 32	ó
AUGLAIZE	61	200	68	329	271	-4.9%	58	0
BELMONT	58	247	S'	310	236	27.6%	74	24
BROWN	26	60	0	86	50	38.5%	36	5
BUTLER	198	706	133	1,037	927	-44,4%	110	8
CARROLL	<b>9</b> Unit - Susanor - M <u>a</u> ssanor	<b>78</b> Venede aud 1984/1411	i entono como la energia desentable	88	87 	-88.9%	1 Saanaanaanaanaan	. 0
CHAMPAIGN	54	192	9	255 1060	210 854	-16.7% -12.0%	45 206	11
CLARK CLERMONT	234 152	801 513	25 40	705	544 544	-12.0% 5.9%	200 161	16
CLINTON	41	101	15	157	89	65.9%	68	0
COLUMBIANA	81	301	16	398	216	124.7%	182	0
COSHOCTON	23	85	13	121	80	78.3%	41	3
CRAWFORD	57	137	8	202	167	-38.6%	35	1
CUYAHOGA	3,034	17,191	1,611	21,836	18,283	17.1%	3,553	286
DARKE	41	215	0	256	215	0.0%	41 · · ·	0
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	82 106	199 348	3 	284 462	229 335	-32.9% 19.8%	55 127	polyery adversaria (1905)
ERIE	33	434	129	596	535 540	69.7%	56	0
FAIRFIELD	54	295	16	365	325	-25.9%	40	2
FAYETTE	110	100	16	226	163	-42.7%	63	0
FRANKLIN	2,260	7,585	1,423	11,268	9,068	-2.7%	2,200	122
FULTON	32	179	12	223	164	84.4%	59	0
GALLIA	47	82	8	137	101	-23.4%	36	2
GEAUGA GREENE	55	199	14 19	268 806	205 640	14.5% 3.8%	63 1 <b>66</b>	2 6
GUERNSEY	160 59	627 118	19 2	179	124	-6.8%	55	6
HAMILTON	1,106	5,650	525	7.281	6,136	3.5%	1,145	30
HANCOCK	165	253	8	476	312	-30.9%	114	32
HARDIN	43	136	4	193	99	95,3%	84	7
HARRISON	32	68	. 0	100	67	3.1%	33	4
HENRY	3	55	0	58	46	300.0%	12	3
HIGHLAND	43	112	. 0	155	109 123	7.0% 3.0%	46 24	0
HOCKING HOLMES	33 11	96 90	28 7	157 108	123 91	54.5%	34 17	0
HURON	34	101	15	150	124	-23.5%	26	3
JACKSON	21	39	17	77	65	-42.9%	12	1
JEFFERSON	13	96	23	132	116	23.1%	16	0
KNOX	25	153	84	262	231	24.0%	31	0
LAKE	186	536	35	757	577	-3.2%	180	19
LAWRENCE	18	184	179 95	381 620	370 538	-38.9% -40.6%	11 <b>82</b>	1 0
LICKING LOGAN	138 53	457 211	25 0	620 264	338 171	-40.6% 75.5%	<b>92</b> 93	0
LORAIN	514	1,396	117	2,027	1,404	21.2%	623	126
LUCAS	512	2,869	159	3,540	3,085	-11.1%	455	1
MADISON	40	97	25	162	112	25,0%	50	1
MAHONING	365	651	37	1,053	752	-17.5%	301	69
MARION	28	270	4	302	237	132.1%	65	2
MEDINA	69 23	337	3 3. 144	409 91	324 72	23.2% -17.4%	85 19	4 0
MEIGS MERCER	23 20	65 58	21	91 99	72 94	-17.4% -75.0%	5	#####################################
MIAMI	53	228	6	287	231	-73.0% 5.7%	56	
MONROE	1	37	0	38	31	600.0%	7	••• printed that unit is a 1. This •••
MONTGOMERY	565	2,974	262	3,801	3,246	-1.8%	555	<b>27</b>

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION CRIMINAL OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

MORGAN MORROW MUSKINGUM NOBLE OTTAWA PAULDING PERRY PICKAWAY PIKE PORTAGE PREBLB PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL TUSCARAWAS	6 46 61 9 29 21 35 94 31 81 35 9 159 98 84 91 56 62	67 115 288 31 111 57 75 286 110 320 129 63 609 265 183 230 226	0 2 3 0 0 1 0 4 9 5 0 0 0 111 20 12 34 102	73 163 352 40 141 78 114 389 146 401 164 72 879 383 279 355	45 102 274 26 98 63 005 313 96 300 92 64 735 286 208 280	366.7% 32.6% 37.9% 55.6% 48.3% -28.6% 74.3% -19.1% 61.3% 24.7% 105.7% -11.1% -1.0% -1.5.5% -17.6%	61 78 14 43 15 9 76 50 101 72 8 144 97 71	0 16 10 8 8 11 0 0 0 12 3 3 33 0 0 9 9 9
MUSKINGUM NOBLE OTTAWA PAULDING PERRY PICKAWAY PIKE PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	21 35 94 31 81 35 9 159 98 84 91	288 31 1111 57 75 286 110 320 129 63 609 265 183 230	3 0 1 0 4 9 5 0 0 111 20 12	352 40 141 78 114 389 146 401 164 72 879 383 279 355	274 26 98 63 (05 313 96 300 92 64 735 286 208 280	27.9% 55.6% 48.3% -28.6% 24.3% -19.1% 61.3% 24.7% 105.7% -11.1% 9.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	78 14 43 15 9 76 50 101 72 8 144 97 71	0 8 1 0 0 12 3 33 0 0 0 9 9
NOBLE OTTAWA PAULDING PERRY PICKAWAY PIKE PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	21 35 94 31 81 35 9 159 98 84 91	31 1111 57 75 286 110 320 129 63 63 609 265 183 230	1 0 4 9 5 0 0 111 20 12 34	40 141 78 114 389 146 401 164 72 879 383 279 355	26 98 63 (05 313 96 300 92 64 735 286 208 280	55.6% 48.3% -28.6% -74.3% -19.1% 61.3% 24.7% -11.1% -11.1% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	14 43 15 9 76 50 101 72 8 144 97 71	8 1 0 0 12 3 3 3 3 0 0 0 9 9 9 2 2
OTTAWA PAULDING PERRY PICKAWAY PIKE PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	21 35 94 31 81 35 9 159 98 84 91	111 57 75 286 110 320 129 63 609 265 183 230	1 0 4 9 5 0 0 111 20 12 34	141 78 113 389 146 401 164 72 879 383 279 355	98 63 105 313 96 300 92 64 735 286 208 280	48.3% -28.6% -74.3% -19.1% -61.3% -24.7% -10.57% -11.1% -9.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	43 15 9 76 50 101 72 8 144 97 71	0 12 3 3 33 0 0 0 9 9
PAULDING PERRY PICKAWAY PIKE PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	21 35 94 31 81 35 9 159 98 84 91	57 75 286 110 320 129 63 609 265 183 230	5 0 0 111 20 12 34	78 114 389 146 401 164 72 879 383 279 355	63 105 313 96 300 92 64 735 286 208 280	-28.6% -74.3% -19.1% -61.3% -24.7% -105.7% -11.1% -9.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	15 9 76 50 101 72 8 144 97 71 75	0 12 3 3 33 0 0 0 9 9
PERRY PICKAWAY PIKE PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM RICHILAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	355 94 31 81 35 9 159 98 84 91 56	75 286 110 320 129 63 609 265 183 230	5 0 0 111 20 12 34	114 389 146 401 164 72 879 383 279 355	105 313 96 300 92 64 735 286 208 280	74.3% -19.1% 61.3% 24.7% 105.7% -11.1% -9.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	9 76 50 101 728 8 144 97 71 75	0 12 3 3 33 0 0 0 9 9
PICKAWAY PIKE PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	94 31 81 35 9 159 98 84 91 56	286 110 320 129 63 609 265 183 230	5 0 0 111 20 12 34	389 146 401 164 72 879 383 279 355	313 96 300 92 64 735 286 208 280	-19.1% 61.3% 24.7% 105.7% -11.1% -9.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	76 50 101 72 8 44 97 71	12 3 33 0 0 9 9
PIKB PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	31 81 35 9 159 98 84 91 56	110 320 129 63 609 265 183 230	5 0 0 111 20 12 34	146 401 164 72 879 383 279 355	96 300 92 64 735 286 208 280	61.3% 24.7% 105.7% -11.1% -9.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	50 101 72 8 44 97 71 75	3 33 0 0 9 9
PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	8i 35, 9 159 98 84 91 56	320 129 63 609 265 183 230	0 0 111 20 12 34	401 164 72 879 383 279 355	300 92 64 735 286 208 280	24.7% 105.7% -11.1% -9.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	101 72 8 44 97 71 75	33 0 0 9 9
PREBLE PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	35 9 159 98 84 91 56	129 63 609 265 183 230	0 0 111 20 12 34	164 72 879 383 279 355	92 64 735 286 208 280	105.7% -11.1% -9.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	72 8 144 97 71 75	0 0 9 9
PUTNAM RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	9 159 98 84 91 56	63 609 265 183 230	0 111 20 12 34	72 879 383 279 355	64 735 286 208 280	-11.1% -19.4% -1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	8 144 97 71 75	0 9 9 2
RICHLAND ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	159 98 84 91 56	609 265 183 230	111 20 12 34	879 383 279 355	735 286 208 280	-9,4% -1.0% -15,5% -17.6%	144 97 71 75	9
ROSS SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	98 84 91 56	265 183 230	20 12 34	383 279 355	286 208 280	-1.0% -15.5% -17.6%	97 71 75	9
SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	84 91 56	183 230	<b>12</b> 34	279 355	208 280	-15.5% -17.6%	71 75	2 9
SCIOTO SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	91 56	230	34	355	280	-17.6%	75	9
SENECA SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	56							
SHELBY STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	The second of the second		102	384	276	92.9%	108	6
STARK SUMMIT TRUMBULL	UL.	169	4	235	195	-35.5%	40	0
TRUMBULL	211	911	73	1,195	949	16.6%	246	0
网络拉克克斯特拉拉 医抗性性结束 医精神性神经炎 医二氏管 化二氯甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲基二甲	375	3,275	170	3,820	3,471	-6.9%	349	0
TTICCADAWAC	68	559	25	652	571	19.1%	* <b>81</b>	2
TOSCARAWAS	297	325	273	895	656	-19.5%	239	64
UNION	49	101	<b>11</b>	161	140	-57.1%	2i	0
VAN WERT	34	101	92	227	208	-44.1%	19	0
VINTON	51	:50	11	112	82	-41,2%	30	0
WARREN	82	262	22	366	288	-4.9%	78	3
WASHINGTON	64	200	12	276	205	10.9%	71	21
WAYNE	68	177	1	246	167	16.2%	79	0
WILLIAMS	27	147		175	142	22,2%	33	0
WOOD	95	356	22	473	407	-30.5%	66	3
WYANDOT	28	43	31	102	87	-46.4%	15	•
STATEWIDE	13,891	59,070	6,291	79,252	64,871	3.5%	14,381	1,052


### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION CRIMINAL TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT	SETTLED DISMISS PRE TRI	ED DIS-	DISMIS FOR LAC	K OF	DIVERSION OR ARBITATION	CONTI	LTY OR NO - EST PLEA TO AL REDUCE	ABILITY	OF FERRE	BANKRI STAY/INT UTORY A	ERLOC-	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS ALLEN	. 3 6	0	0	<b>6</b>	0	(1840) State (1840) American	0	44 240	and the contraction of proceedings	7	0		) )	8 2
ASHLAND	8	3 0	here physical o	. 23	0		: o	28	55	12	15	latateniaees da Maria Historiae	<b>)</b> [ [ ] [ ]	, o
ASHTABULA ATHENS	26 <b>6</b>	1 0	4 0	6	Ž		0 6	111 121	action expect on the plant was	and a result of their state.	5 16		) <b>)</b>	10
AUGLAIZE BELMONT	4 13	1 0	0 1	but he came alternative care show.	erranderran on over en en		0 <b>0</b>	37 77	colors and a second of the same of	7 0	11 52	and all the second seco	o Lagranda	53 22
BROWN BUTLER	0 44	1 18	1 1	1 7	0		0 3	3 274	state to the control of the control	2 <b>51</b>	0 21	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF	) )	1 1
CARROLL CHAMPAIGN	2 21	0	0 19	15	0		0 0	35 29	26	9	0	Labella to the control of the control	) )	0 a
CLARK	27	10	6	104	0	a reservances	0	187	476	33	8	antannavaggan uggetenne  - 		3 
CLERMONT CLINTON	30 4	11 7	3 0	0	0		0	271 63	8	5	20 1		) )	1 <b>0</b> 1
COLUMBIAN/ COSHOCTON	¥ 5 4	27 2	3 0	Market and the state of the	and the San Control of the Control		0 0	110 32	. 17	12 0	8 4	and the second of the second	) )	1 12
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA	10 314	0 390	0 108	patrating of a professional state.	11	4,51,4,64,097 17 CSE ( SugESte	4 5	73 4,042	\$ 64 to 10 to 6 to 8 to \$10 to \$10 to 14 to 14 to 16 to	1,1 <i>5</i> 5	0 316	and outside the first of the sail for	) 7	1 141
DARKE DEFJANCE	2 4	0	1		metal followed the story and		0	188	107	73	0		o	2
DELAWARE	10	2	27				0.	217	14	13.	0		<b>)</b>	Ò
ERIE FAIRFIELD	14 3	0 1	250 8	81	2		4	62 109	84	18	16 1		5 )	93 14
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	9 217	2 30	0 576	rational control or each trade with	0 17	count interpretation	0 142	51 1,704	and be been been been because a series		0 645	2	) 3	16 89
FULTON GALLIA	4 1	0	0	the attended to the contract of the	0 2		0	39 30		3 6	3 0	acus de noblesia varias pelos, a	) )	52 6
GEAUGA GREENE	2 12	1 5	1 20	7 26	and the term of the part of	1414-1521	0	75 449		10 20	9 <b>6</b>	and an experience of the second	) )	5 0
GUERNSEY HAMILTON	3 144	0 310	1 27	4	0	in de inclusione. L	0 10	76 2,093	20	9 668	6 349	the control of the co	) 2	5 40
HANCOCK	10	2	5	18	0		1	187	67	15	7		0	0
HARDIN HARRISON	7 2	0	0 3	1	10		0 0	40 24	. 18	8	0 1		) D	0
HENRY HIGHLAND	0 3	0	2 0	Transport to the state of the	and the second second second		0 0	43 31	and the second of the second o	0 2	0 0	the state of the s	0	0
HOCKING HOLMES	0 8	7	0 1	25 10	particular response, reporting and a		0	69 49	and representations of the debter of	3	1 0	edia hidika mengenya mpika dibuh.	) )	12 7
HURON JACKSON	15 9	2 5	0	10	3	lyc ychris Ycelobia	0	35 26	28	<b>0</b>	17 0	aanneer een Juliet is Sij	0	14 6
JEFFERSON KNOX	11	0	0	5	, ', ', ', '0		0	19	67	hid (st	4		<b>i</b>	6
LAKE	5 29	125 1	0 19	where the state of the second state of the second	tala dispersion of the control of the con-		0 4	91 171	advise from any and have a sec-	representation of the section of the	12	A LONG CALLS IN CALLS BY CORE	0 <b>5</b>	5 23
LAWRENCE LICKING	3 29	0 5	0 19	31 28	0		0 19	114 <b>33</b> 7	20	81 18	92 22		o L****	36 40
LOGAN LORAIN	7 81	0 13	8				4 0	67 777			3 36	en electronic de la companione	) 3	29 43
LUCAS MADISON	52 14	13 0	224 1	346	5	ga a. Ajerara)	0	909 69	1,298	195	12 0		2 )	29 9
MAHONING	22	21	1	24	7		1	482	51	57	76		3	7
MARION MEDINA	11 21	4 4	0	24	0		0 24	126 79	142	17	6 6		) 2	1 5
MEIGS MERCER	5 4	24 0	, 3 0	5	0		0 2	15 32	26	1	0 2	district Carried Barrier Carrie	) )	8 22
MIAMI MONROE	8 7	7 3	0		0		0 0	156 16			0 0		5 )	0 2
MONTGOMER		31	113				58	2,061		grander and a grand for	and the second second	nd bersjad		


### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISION CRIMINAL TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	JURY TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	SETTLED OR DISMISSED PRE TRIAL	DIS-	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL	DIVERSION OR ARBI- TRATION	CONTEST	Y OR NO PLEA TO REDUCED	UNAVAIL- ABILITY OF ACCUSED	TRANS- FERRED OUT	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC UTORY APPEAL	
MORGAN MORROW	 3 10	 	0	 5 0	——————————————————————————————————————	4	23 15	 10 59	 0 5	0	0	0
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	and the state of an operation and in 1999 and of	<b>0</b>	0 0	12 3	0	0	201 19	42	<b>6</b> 0	0 0	0	0
OTTAWA PAULDING PERRY	5 1 2	2 0	2 5 9	16 2 0	1 0 0	4 0 0	50 39 77	12 14 5	0 0 5	0 1 0	0 0 2	1
PERKI PICKAWAY PIKE	n 3.3.4€. 9	0 0	9 0 1	38 21	0	0 0	164 45	43 22	0 1	41 0	0 0 0	18 0
PORTAGE PREBLE PUTNAM	16 4 3	4 0 0	0	60 11	0 0	0   <b>22</b>   0	79 28 42	112 1 <b>3</b> 10	15 8 0	14 0	0 0	0 6 6
RICHLAND ROSS	20 20 20	0 2 12	0 <b>28</b> 0	2 48 10	2	46 23	394 174	110 13	27 13	23 5		35 11
SANDUSKY SCIOTO SENECA	6 4 2	2 0	0 0 0	6 35 11	0 3 0	1 0 5	76 108 155	71 92 33	14 12 6	7 2 0	<b>0</b> 0	27 22 63
SHELBY STARK	5 5 92	3 2	0 3	19 19 24	0 0	0 0	78 641	78 102	7 30	1 40	0 3	4 12
SUMMIT TRUMBULL TUSCARAWA	108 9 NS 7	16 4 2	31 2 0	142 15 74	6 0 0	60 0	1,129 202 195	1,773 291 44	190 29 35	16 1 <b>9</b> 5	0 0 0	0 0 282
UNION VAN WERT	2 2	3 3	11	13 13	<b>6</b>	4 0	84 87	20 19	<b>6</b> 9	1 0	0	0 63
VINTON WARREN WASHINGTO	3 13 N 21	5 4 3	0 0 6	5 1 64	1 0 +	0 1 0	51 90 85	7 129 14	10 28	0 7 5	0 0	0 15 5
WAYNE WILLIAMS	10 1	2 0	0 0	2 22	<b>0</b>	0 5	132 37	9 72	0 0	4 		8 0
WOOD WYANDOT	21 0	2 0:	3 1	51 1	0 0	0	157 48	128 7	26 2	6 0	4 0	9 28
STATEWIDE	1,853	1,165	1,568	4,331	87	479	21,615	25,420	4,705	2,043	82	1,523

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISON CRIMINAL CASES 1988 - 1992



#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - GENERAL DIVISON NEW CASES FILED COMPARISON 1992



### SECTION F

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS

Domestic Relations Division

Note: Inconsistent reporting procedures by some courts are being identified and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_corrected. These differences may affect comparisons between courts.

# COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION COMPOSITE REPORT FORM FOR THE ENTIRE STATE 1992

	MARRIAGE TI WITH CHILDREN	ERMINATIONS WITHOUT CHILDREN	MARRIAGE D WITH CHILDREN	ISSOLUTIONS WITHOUT CHILDREN	CHANGE OF CUSTODY	ENFORCEM MODIFICA VISITATION	TION OF	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	U.R. E.S.A.	ALL OTHER CASES	TOTAL
CASES PENDING BEGINNING OF YEAR	13,586	6,888	1,638	1,448	2,851	1,639	6,756	580	1,473	3,043	39,902
NEW CASES FILED	24,036	15,393	11,982	12,646	3,228	1,673	9,437	4,088	4,603	8,562	95,648
TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED CASES	1,540	703	270	237	5,523	2,958	30,043	874	434	10,443	53,025
TOTAL CASES	39,162	22,984	13,890	14,331	11,602	6,270	46,236	5,542	6,510	22,048	188,575
CASES TERMINATED BY:											
JUDGE: DEFAULT, UN- CONTESTED, DISSOLUTION	11,072	8,106	8,029	8,768	1,390	362	3,878	699	1,172	2,017	45,493
REFEREE: DEFAULT, UN- CONTESTED, DISSOLUTION	3,054	2,151	2,797	2,814	1,083	882	8,655	1,123	639	4,658	27,856
TRIAL BY JUDGE	3,003	1,402	95	120	1,285	552	2,469	746	409	2,639	12,720
TRIAL BY REFEREE	2,302	992	275	182	2,779	1,712	16,722	781	353	3,518	29,616
VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL	3,668	2,117	502	445	560	412	2,318	785	617	1,188	12,612
MEDIATION/CONCILIATION	315	35	5	6	88	18	8	0	2	37	514
BANKRUPTCY STAY OR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL	108	41	0	1 .	2	· 1	13	. 0 .	1	24	191
TRANSFERRED OUT	558	338	74	62	92	64	209	16	559	150	2,122
REFERRED TO PRIVATE JUDGE	3	1	1	6	7	0	19	0	2	3	42
ALL OTHER TERMINATION	S 2,465	1,251	630	486	1,127	507	4,468	720	1,204	3,590	16,448
TOTAL TERMINATIONS	26,548	16,434	12,408	12,890	8,413	4,510	38,759	4,870	4,958	17,824	147,614
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR	12,614	6,550	1,482	1,441	3,189	1,760	7,477	672	1,552	4,224	40,961
TIME GUIDELINE (MONTHS	S) 18	12	3	3	9	3	3	x	3	x	X
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR BEYOND TIME GUIDELINE	370	424	49	25	417	415	1,739	x	700	X	4,139

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION OVERALL CASELOADS 1992


		NUMBER	POPULA	PER	AND	PER	TIONS PER 1000		ERMINATIO	PER 1000
	COUNTY	OF JUDGES	TOTAL	JUDGE	TOTAL	JUDGE	POPULATION	TOTAL	JUDGE	POPULATION
	The jud	ges of the following	counties also have	e General, Probate	, and Juvenile Divis	ion jurisdicti	on.			
A	DAMS	1	25,371	25,371	593	593	23	590	590	23
19728	IARRISON IENRY	1 	16,085 <b>29,108</b>	16,085 29,108	158 <b>335</b>	158 <b>335</b>	10 12	154 <b>314</b>	154 314	10 11
Λ	IORGAN	1	14,194	14,194	221	221	16	258	258	18
N	forrow Ioble	1	27,749 11,336	27,749 11,336	286 73	286 73	10 6	236 74	236 74	9 7
¥	YANDOT	1	22,254	22,254	280	280	13	301	301	14
	The jud	ges of the following	counties have Do	mestic Relations D	ivision jurisdiction o	xclusively.				
	UTLER	1	291,479	291,479	3,671	3,671	13	3,690	3,690	13
C	LERMONT UYAHOGA	1 5	150,187 1,412,140	150,187 282,428	4,549 13,514	4,549 2,703	30 10	4,455 15,289	4,455 3,058	30 11
1:2:2:	REENE AMILTON	1 3	136,731 866,228	136,731 288,743	1,912 16,720	1,912 5,573	14 19	1,757 16.517	1,757 5,506	13 <b>19</b>
1,151	AKE ICKING	1	215,499 128,300	215,499 128,300	4,359 2,362	4,359 2,3 <b>6</b> 2	20 18	3,924 2,190	2,222 2,190	18 17
L	UCAS	2	462,361	231,181	5,178	2,589	agarinan erregi yang rang dan gegaring dan dan 11 Managarin dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan da	5,229	2,615	11
N	iahoning Iontgomery	2	264,806 573,809	264,806 286,905	4,029 6,806	4,029 3,403	15 12	3,885 5,602	3,885 2,801	15 10
	ORTAGE UMMIT	1	142,585 514,990	142,585 514,990	1,244 4,492	1,244 4,492	9 9	1,241 4,849	1,241 4,849	9 9
y	VARREN <sub>,</sub>		113,909	113,909	2,548	2,548	22	2,419	2,419	21
	The jud	ges of the following	counties also have	e Juvenile Division	jurisdiction.					
41.74	RIE	i nacionale no portuguir pion	76,779	76,779	952	952	12	961	961	13
L	RANKLIN ORAIN	4 2	961,437 271,126	240,359 135,563	10,475 3,863	2,619 1,932	11 14	10,527 3,853	2,632 1,927	11 14
245.53	ICHLAND TARK	1 2	126,137 367,585	126,137 183,793	1,924 7,956	1,924 3,978	15 22	1,838 7,609	1,838 3,805	15 21
7	RUMBULL	2	227,813	113,907	2,709	1,355	12	2,773	1,387	12
	The jud	ges of the following	counties also have	e General Division	jurisdiction.					
	ILEN	2	109,755	54,878	1,789	895	16	1,705	853	16
A	SHLAND SHTABULA	1 3	47,507 99,821	47,507 33,274	474 1,270	474 423	10 13	439 1,337	439 44 <b>6</b>	9 13
2-2-2	THENS UGLAIZE	2 1	59,549 44,585	29,775 44,585	908 436	454 4 <b>36</b>	15 10	917 4 <b>37</b>	459 437	15 10
	ELMONT ROWN	2	71,074 34,966	35,537 34,966	693 815	347 815	10 <b>23</b>	686 749	343 749	10 21
C	ARROLL	1 1	26,521	26,521	464	464	17	459	459 887	17
C	HAMPAIGN LARK	3	36,019 147,548	36,019 49,183	928 1,432	928 477	<b>26</b> 10	887 1,486	495	25 10
	LINTON OLUMBIANA	1 2	35,415 108,276	35,415 54,138	539 2,457	539 1,229	15 23	500 2,403	500 1,202	14 22
	OSHOCTON RAWFORD	1	35,427 47,870	35,427 47,870	<b>523</b> 593	523 593	1 <b>5</b> 12	494 630	494 630	14 13
T.	DARKE DEFIANCE	16 ( <b>4</b> 8 4 6 6 )	53,619	53,619	693 446	693 446	13 11	723 456	723 456	13 12
Ľ	DELAWARE	1 1	39,350 66,929	39,350 66,929	802	802	12	733	733	11
	AIRFIELD AYETTE	2 1	103,461 27,466	51,731 27,466	1,143 342	572 342	11 12	1,134 367	567 367	11 13
20.0	ULTON FALL#A	1 1	38,498 30,954	38,498 30,954	467 932	467 932	12 30	457 913	457 913	12 29
~		asas arsustas de Shidhel Diff	MMITT	YYYYY	card achasal <b>646</b> (Valid)	5.7,7905.2 <b>715</b> 160	and constant ###TESTS AS		and the state of	en e

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

NEW PILINGS, TRANSFERS, ---- POPULATION ----AND REACTIVATIONS ----- TERMINATIONS -----PER 1000 PER 1000 PER NUMBER PER PER POPULATION JUDGE POPULATION COUNTY OF JUDGES TOTAL JUDGE TOTAL JUDGE TOTAL 654 667 334 **GEAUGA** 2 81,129 40,565 327 8 527 556 556 **GUERNSEY** 39,024 39,024 527 14 14 375 670 335 HANCOCK 2 65,536 32,768 749 11 10 HARDIN 505 505 16 455 455 15 31,111 31,111 501 501 HIGHLAND 35,728 35,728 566 566 16 14 HOCKING 25,533 399 399 16 361 361 14 25,533 HOLMES 1 32,849 32,849 203 203 6 206 206 5 719 719 13 HURON 55,240 56,240 703 703 13 JACKSON 30,230 491 530 530 30.230 491 16 18 1 **JEFFERSON** 752 376 2 80,298 40,149 751 376 9 9 687 14 KNOX 1 47,473 47,473 695 695 15 687 LAWRENCE 61,834 30,917 1,198 599 19 1,131 566 18 42,310 844 20 884 884 LOGAN 844 21 1 42,310 MADISON 37,068 37,068 432 432 12 457 457 12 658 MARION 713 22 1,315 20 2 64,274 32,137 1,426 MEDINA 122,354 61,177 1,137 569 9 1,124 562 9 359 359 16 MEIGS 1 22,987 22,987 344 344 15 39,443 MERCER 39,443 676 676 17 705 705 18 1,501 751 MIAMI 93,182 46,591 338 669 14 16 MONROE 15,497 228 229 229 15,497 228 15 15 MUSKINGUM 82,068 41,034 ,289 645 16 1,237 619 2 15 OTTAWA 40,029 40,029 323 323 8 309 309 PAULDING 20,488 475 475 23 471 471 23 20,488 31,557 320 322 322 PERRY 31,557 320 10 10 789 789 PICKAWAY 48,255 48,255 841 841 17 16 PIKE 24,249 24,249 339 339 14 322 322 13 PREBLE 660 617 617 40,113 40,113 660 15 16 **PUTNAM** 33,819 33,819 282 282 8 277 277 8 ROSS 2 950 475 14 877 439 13 69,330 34,665 SANDUSKY 2 30,982 731 366 12 739 370 12 61,963 SCIOTO 2 913 457 ,036 518 80,327 40,164 11 13 SENECA 2 59,733 29,867 591 296 10 639 320 11 SHELBY 1 44,915 44,915 528 528 12 564 564 13 2,318 TUSCARAWAS 2 84,090 42,045 2,379 1.190 28 1,159 28 UNION 1 31,969 31,969 402 402 13 393 393 12 VAN WERT 508 508 30,464 497 17 30,464 497 16 VINTON 1 11,098 11,098 183 183 16 161 161 15 62,254 854 WASHINGTON 424 14 427 2 31,127 847 14 WAYNE 2 1,359 630 13 1,388 694 14 101,461 50,731 WILLIAMS 453 1 36,956 36,956 463 463 13 453 12 WOOD 3 37,756 1,080 360 i01,084 361, 10 113,269 STATEWIDE 128 10,847,115 84,743 148,673 1,162 14 147,614 1,153 14

All population figures based on 1990 U.S.Census

88



### SECTION G

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS

Probate Division

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION COMPOSITE REPORT FORM FOR THE ENTIRE STATE 1992

	PENDING AT END OF PRECEDING YEAR	FILED DURING YEAR	CLOSED DURING YEAR	PENDING AT END OF YEAR
DECEDENTS' ESTATES	57,163	65,419	62,668	59,914
GUARDIANSHIPS OF MINORS	15,265	4,740	3,774	16,231
GUARDIANSHIPS OF INCOMPETENTS	19,467	5,258	3,516	21,209
EMERGENCY, LIMITED, AND INTERIM GUARDIANSHIPS	x	x	448 (Granted)	x
CONSERVATORSHIPS	175	123	59	239
TESTAMENTARY TRUSTS	13,299	1,005	1,100	13,204
CIVIL ACTIONS	2,650	3,511	3,411	2,750
ADOPTIONS	x	5,247	5,155	x
MENTAL ILLNESS AND MENTAL RETARDATION	<b>X</b>	5,861	5,629	x
MINORS' SETTLEMENTS	x	2,092	2,034	<b>x</b>
WRONGFUL DEATH	450	710	641	519
DELAYED REGISTRATION AND CORRECTION OF BIRTH	x	1,393	1,386	X
CHANGE OF NAME	x	4,123	3,935	<b>x</b>
TOTALS	108,469	99,482	93,756	114,195
MARRIAGE APPLICATIONS GRANTED DURING YEAR	101,539			

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

NUMBER OF JUDGES	POPUL	ATION PER JUDGE				TOTAL	FERMINATION PER JUDGE	ONS PER 1000 POPULATION
judges of the following	ng counties also ha	ve General, Dome	stic Relations, and J	uvenile Divisio	on jurisdiction.			
	25,371 16,085 29,108 14,194 27,749 11,336 22,254	25,371 16,085 29,108 14,194 27,749 11,336 22,254	248 221 256 151 219 97	248 221 256 151 219 97 191	10 14 9 11 8 9 9	202 215 257 177 168 103 225	202 215 257 177 168 103 225	8 13 9 12 6 9
judges of the followi	ng counties have P	robate Division jur	isdiction exclusively	!				
1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	291,479 1,412,140 76,779 961,437 866,228 215,499 271,126 462,361 264,806 573,809 126,137 367,585 514,990 227,813	291,479 706,070 76,779 961,437 866,228 215,499 271,126 462,361 264,806 573,809 126,137 367,585 514,990 227,813	1,953 12,375 834 6,944 6,991 1,519 1,752 4,338 2,453 9,051 1,186 3,051 6,108 1,948	1,953 6,188 834 6,944 6,991 1,519 1,752 4,338 2,453 9,051 1,186 3,051 6,108	7 9 11 7 8 7 6 9 9 16 9	1,761 12,567 786 6,523 7,302 1,449 2,020 4,065 2,136 4,541 1,163 5,238 5,531 1,560	1,761 6,284 786 6,523 7,302 1,449 2,020 4,065 2,136 4,541 1,163 3,238 5,531 1,900	6 9 10 7 8 7 7 7 9 8 8 8 9 9
judges of the followi	ng counties also ha	we Juvenile Divisio	on jurisdiction.					
	109,755 47,507 99,821 59,549 44,585 71,074 34,966 26,521 36,019 147,548 150,187 35,415 108,276 55,427 47,870 53,619 39,350 66,928 103,461 27,466 38,498 30,954 81,129	109,755 47,507, 99,821 59,549 44,585 71,074 34,966 26,521 36,019 147,548 150,187 35,445 108,276 35,427 47,870 55,619 39,350 66,929 103,461 27,466 38,498 30,954 81,129 136,731	415 473 346 505 959 284 327 328 601	415 473 346 505 959 284 327 328 601	9 9 9 9 10 8 11 7	422 486 331 518 903 292 314 294 494	422 496 331 518 903 292 314 244 494	14 9 13 11 8 11 10 10 10 10 8 8 11 8 9 9 9 8 8 9 9 11 8
	OF JUDGES  judges of the following the following state of the follow	NUMBER OF JUDGES TOTAL    1	OF JUDGES   TOTAL   JUDGE	NUMBER OF JUDGES TOTAL  NUMBER OF JUDGES TOTAL  JUDGE TOTAL  JUBGE TOTAL  JUBGE TOTAL  JUBGE TOTAL  JUBGE TOTAL  JUBGE TOT	NUMBER OF JUDGES TOTAL JUDGE TOTAL JUDGE  judges of the following counties also have General, Domestic Relations, and Juvenile Division of the following counties also have General, Domestic Relations, and Juvenile Division 1 25,371 248 248 1 16,085 16,085 221 221 221 14,194 151 151 151 151 17,749 27,749 219 219 11,336 11,336 97 97 97 1 22,254 22,254 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 19	NUMBER OF JUDGES TOTAL JUDGE TOTAL JUDGE POPULATION  judges of the following counties also have General, Domestic Relations, and Juvenile Division jurisdiction.    1	NIMBER   PER   PER   PER   1000   POPULATION   TOTAL   JUDGE   TOTAL   JUDGE   POPULATION   TOTAL   JUDGE   JUDGE	NIMBER OF JUDGS

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

NEW FILINGS, TRANSFERS, --- AND REACTIVATIONS ------ TERMINATIONS --------- POPULA'TION -----PER 1000 NUMBER PER PER PER PER 1000 COUNTY OF JUDGES JUDGE TOTAL JUDGE **POPULATION** POPULATION TOTAL TOTAL JUDGE HOCKING 25,533 25,533 286 286 260 260 10 1 11 HOLMES 32,849 32,849 205 205 6 150 150 5 HURON 56,240 56,240 443 443 8 482 482 9 1 270 270 **JACKSON** 30,230 30,230 Q 293 203 10 **JEFFERSON** 80,298 878 878 11 794 794 1 80,298 10 KNOX 47,473 47,473 456 456 10 415 415 9 LAWRENCE 61,834 61,834 781 781 13 614 614 10 LICKING 128,300 128,300 1,156 1,156 9 1,122 1,122 9 LOGAN 442 442 10 379 379 42,310 42,310 MADISON 37,068 37,068 304 304 8 323 323 9 578 590 9 MARION 64,274 578 590 1 64,274 9 MEDINA 122,354 122.354 1.024 1.024 8 1,002 1.002 8 MEIGS 11 22,987 22,987 252 252 162 162 7 MERCER 336 336 9 363 363 39,443 39,443 9 MIAMI 93,182 93,182 ,027 1,027 11 974 974 10 MONROE 15,497 15,497 159 159 10 214 214 14 MUSKINGUM 82,068 82,068 804 804 10 698 698 9 OTTAWA 407 40,029 40,029 407 10 433 433 11 **PAULDING** 20,488 20,488 173 173 8 186 186 9 PERRY 31,557 31,557 297 297 9 265 265 48,255 PICKAWAY 48,255 434 434 9 444 444 9 1 PIKE 24,249 24,249 237 237 10 205 205 8 PORTAGE 142,585 142,585 1,006 1,006 7 1,100 1,100 8 PREBLE 362 362 40,113 40,113 502 502 13 PUTNAM 1 33,819 33,819 315 315 9 356 356 11 ROSS 69,330 69,330 627 627 9 672 672 10 SANDUSKY 61,963 61,963 554 554 9 572 572 9 SCIOTO 811 879 811 10 80,327 80.327 879 11 SENECA 59,733 59,733 672 672 11 615 615 10 SHELBY 44,915 375 375 44,915 8 376 376 TUSCARAWAS 84,090 84,090 883 883 11 838 838 10 UNION 31,969 31,969 269 269 8 294 294 VAN WERT 30,464 30,464 281 281 9 266 266 9 VINTON 11,098 11,098 93 93 63 63 WARREN 113,909 113,909 953 953 930 930 1 8 8 WASHINGTON 62,254 62,254 530 530 505 505 WAYNE 835 101,461 101,461 835 8 1 876 876 9 WILLIAMS 36,956 36,956 366 366 10 341 341 WOOD 1 113,269 832 832 113,269 7 887 887

All population figures based on 1990 U.S.Census

89

10,847,115

121,878

STATEWIDE

88

99,933

1,123

9

93,756

1,053

9

## COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION DECEDENTS' ESTATES AND WRONGFUL DEATH OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

	DECEDENTS' ESTATES				WRONGFUL DEATH					
COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	CASES FILED	CASES CLOSED	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING JANUARY		CASES CLOSED	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31		
	- I		CEOSED	DICINIDAN OF	of PLANCES					
ADAMS ALLEN	78 1,047	162 721	133 870	107 898		5 0	··· . 5· · 0	0		
ASHLAND	241	327	315	253	9-5-30-5-30-5-30-5- <b>2</b> 0-	4	6	o o		
ASHTABULA ATHENS	580 224	840 253	959 329	461 148	1 9	20 2	21	0.8		
AUGLAIZE	74	433 433	253	254	0	0	0	0		
BELMONT	395 ~77	631	619	407	Ŏ	0	0	0		
BROWN BUTLER	277 1,594	290 1,260	271 1,202	296 1,652	0 7	0 28	21	14		
CARROLL	105	180	185	100	O S. Angungar et han kronist etter held steden skrivetet	0	0	0		
CHAMPAIGN CLARK	260 742	251 838	263 913	248 667	0	6 13	5 13	4		
CLERMONT	518	775	833	460	Ó	0	0	0		
CLINTON COLUMBIANA	212 1,470	195 711	194 924	213 1,257	1 0	2	3 0	0		
COSHOCTON	264	231	223	272	n in Lymba sigh James and 1995 (1995). O	4	4	0		
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA	394 6,517	332 7,358	337 7,373	389 6,502	<b>0</b> 0	0 72	0 72	0		
DARKE	287	7,336 360	7,373 378	269	Ŏ	/2 8	8	ŏ		
DEFIANCE	206	220	211 359	215		1 - 	1 -846-86-36- <b>3</b> 44-3	0		
DELAWARE ERIE	292 510	354 578	339 545	287 543	<b>0</b> 0	14 14	14	0		
FAIRFIELD	444	607	600	451	15	14	17	12		
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	222 4,275	200 3,880	188 3,691	234 4,464	0 	0	0	0		
FULTON	200	233	241	192	O	1	1	0		
GALLIA GEAUGA	132 742	180 367	183 330	1 <b>29</b> 779	0 30	0 7	0 3	0 34		
GREENE	519	616	572	563	o de la composición della comp	18	18	30000		
GUERNSEY HAMILTON	277 4,542	295 4,813	282 5,255	290	1 189	8 45	8 21	1 213		
HANCOCK	579	4,613 456	3,233 402	4,100 633		43	4	0		
HARDIN	45	410	206	249	ğ.	0	0 .	0		
HARRISON HENRY	230 278	167 188	172 195	225 271	0 0	2	1851:14: <b>1</b> 01	0		
HIGHLAND	239	247	265	221	en i colore la resolución de solución de la como de la	6	6	0		
HOCKING HOLMES	114 337	193 115	193 86	114 366	0	5 3	3	4 0		
HURON	358	330	359	329		3	10	Ğ		
JACKSON JEFFERSON	107 321	224 622	259 588	72 <b>355</b>		1 <b>5</b>	1 6	0		
KNOX	426	341	323	444	2	5	7	0		
LAKE LAWRENCE	901 194	8 <b>5</b> 9 374	811		16	41	20	37		
LICKING	538	374 865	374 842	194 <b>561</b>	18 38	13 9	6 22	25 25		
LOGAN	361	342	291	412	0 	0	0	0		
LORAIN LUCAS	1,394 2,391	1,201 2,934	1,431 2,823	1,164 2,502	0	<b>0</b> 0	0	0		
MADISON	321	214	239	296	0		( <b>1</b> , 1	0		
MAHONING MARION	2,417 363	1,601 438	1,463 444	2,555 <b>35</b> 7		45 7	46 8	0		
MEDINA	553	622	610	565	0 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 1	0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	0	0		
MEIGS MERCER	388 266	172	109	451		0	1	0		
MIAMI	266 525	282 688	296 <b>673</b>	252 540	0 14	4 6	4	0 16		
MONROE	95	119	139	75	1	4	3	2		
MONTGOMERY	768	6,241	3,139	3,870		89	58	42		

# COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION DECEDENTS' ESTATES AND WRONGFUL DEATH OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

	*************	- DECEDENT	s' estates		-	WRONGFUL DEATH					
	CASES			CASES		CASES					
	PENDING	CASES	CASES	PENDING		ENDING	CASES	CASES	PENDING		
COUNTY	JANUARY 1	FILED	CLOSED	DECEMBER 31	JA	NUARY 1	FILED	CLOSED	DECEMBER		
<b>IORGAN</b>	146	113	139	120		0	ő	0	0		
MORROW	194	174	147	221	i signa a ing talanggawa, sama gan nanng asamiga at ing sa	0	0	0	0		
MUSKINGUM	488	567	526	529		0	0	0	0		
OBLE	85	74	'75	84	Sold of the second state of the second second	0	0	0	0		
TAWA	316	324	354	286			1	2	0		
AULDING	127	104	110	121	Company of the Company of the Company	0	0	0	0		
ERRY	261	218	192	287		4	1	4	1		
PICKAWAY	180	295	307	168		2	8	5	5		
PIKE	96	162	150	108		7	2	1	8		
PORTAGE	632	670	724	578		0	32	2	30		
REBLE	316	256	360	212		6	. 3	4	5		
UTNAM	212	234	279	167		0	5	5	0		
UCHLAND	441	817	830	428		0	22	22	0		
oss	363	404	437	330		2	0	2	0		
ANDUSKY	755	402	450	707		4.	2	2	4		
CIOTO	400	544	569	375		16	6	15	7		
ENECA	397	447	390	454		18	13	27	4		
HELBY	207	264	296	175		0	0	0	0		
TARK	1,954	1,853	2,098	1,709		1	37	38	0		
UMMIT	2,746	3,669	3,314	3,101		0	0	0	0		
RUMBULL	1,368	1,284	1,276	1,376		0	15	. 11	4		
TUSCARAWAS	633	686	680	639		0	12	12	0		
JNION	183	178	206	155		. 6	4	6	4		
VAN WERT	163	200	196	167		0	2	2	0		
/INTON	45	59	43	61		1		2	0		
WARREN	359	626	611	374		0	0	0	0		
VASHINGTON	265	417	394	288		3	2	3	2		
VAYNE	605	620	640	585		0	0	0	0		
ZMALLIIV	242	264	271	235		0	0	0	0		
WOOD	618	616	671	563	And the second second second	3	15	18	0		
TOGRAYW	217	142	170	189		0	0	0	0		
TATEWIDE	57,163	65,419	62,668	59,914		450	710	641	519		
88	•	,							-		

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION GUARDIANSHIPS 1992

		1.0	Mone			INCON	enévreauro		
	CASES	MI	NORS	CASES	CASES	INCOM	IPETENTS	CASES	EMERGENCY, LIMITED,
	PENDING	CASES	CASES	PENDING	PENDING	CASES	CASES	PENDING	AND INTERIM
COUNTY	JANUARY 1	FILED	CLOSED	DECEMBER 31	JANUARY 1	FILED	CLOSED	DECEMBER 31	APPOINTMENTS
- A Shell State . a : darras			***************************************	***************************************					
ADAMS	25	9	4	30	58	17	9	66	0
ALLEN	704	125	116	713	284	52 19	30	306	16
ASHLAND ASHTABULA	108 116	30 26	29 37	109	107 119	The second second	19 72	107 110	
ATHENS	123	20 12	3 <i>i</i>	105 99	124	63 17	72 35	106	, ,
AUGLAIZE	35	6	5	36	56	9	10	55	erhiodological ritiral (1615 a.) <b>M</b> i 1
BELMONT	94	18	21	91	187	31	22	196	4
BROWN	13	3	2	14	210	18	10	218	0
BUTLER	365	68	58	375	419	86	46	459	34
CARROLL	6	4	1	9	47	32	79	0	0
CHAMPAIGN	60	13	11	62	60	29	12	77	
CLARK	439	188	132	495	270	64	66	268	40
CLERMONT	136	27	36	127	302	81	30	353	0
CLINTON	52	11	5	58	47	13	6	54	0
COLUMBIANA	13	24	25	12	36	76	25	81	
COSHOCTON	86	11	2	95	67	11	2	76	l Signate en en reg
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA	74	11	7	78	109	13	20	102	
DARKE	1,312 55	310 13	313 6	1,309 62	2,327 1 <b>73</b>	464 23	550 26	2,241 170	9 Langsang
DEFIANCE	72	17	14	75	70	23 18	20 12	76	3
DELAWARE	60	11	ii	60	116	23	21	118	er Andrea (Alberta)
ERIE	158	31	34	155	125	44	17	152	0
FAIRFIELD	72	29	14	87	164	59	39	184	8
FAYETTE	52	14	13	53	88	11	15	84	1
FRANKLIN	833	558	524	867	1,137	330	213	1,254	92
FULTON	50	24	6	68	44	9	8	45	0
GALLIA	40	11	4	47	83	19	9	93	11.12.13
GEAUGA	176	34	21	189	178	26	13	191	6
GREENE	189	46	13	222	299	62	84	277	0
GUERNSEY	36	7		38	126	21	14	133	<b>4</b> . 647 . 147 . 147 . 147 . 148 . 148 .
HAMILTON	2,167	351	260	2,258	1,386	216	146	1,456	
HANCOCK HARDIN	62 57	6	25	43 COSC - COSC <b>3</b> A UNITED SECTION CO	182	36	20	198	2 el 943, el 245, el 25
HARRISON	16	9	36 3	30 22	68 44	13 10	i1 9	70 45	
HENRY	45	14	7	52 52	50	7	6	31	$\overset{1}{2}$
HIGHLAND	35	17	6	46	68	19	10	<b>77</b>	0
HOCKING	01	6	aki 734	15	60	19	13	66	2
HOLMES	57	8	1	64	33	19	5	47	5
HURON	46	8	7	47	111	14	18	107	2
JACKSON	34	9	3	40	41	7	5	43	0
JEFFERSON	113	20	24	109	129	72	32	169	9
KNOX	19	7	7	19	68	19	14	73	3
LAKE	441	159	216	384	321	69	54	336	0
LAWRENCE	255	199	69	385	154	29	20	163	6 - 18, 616 (40-60) (41-60)
LICKING LOGAN	93 45	8	12	89	198	67	40 21	225	10
LOGAN	617	17 142	7 175	55 584	94 424	19 60	21 <b>87</b>	92 397	3 12
LUCAS	340	153	158	335	897	249	166	980	17
MADISON	89	16	17	333	555	15	100	- 60	ó
MAHONING	431	51	33	449	8 <b>7</b> 1	116	49	938	3
MARION	53	10	10	53	120	20	26	114	19
MEDINA	286	86	102	270	162	46	29	179	8
MEIGS	92	13	4	101	<b>5</b> 7	14	2	69	4
MERCER	49	5	15	39	55	5	7	53	1
МІАМІ	158	39	31	166	164	49	43	170	6
MONROE	52	11	31	32	47	0	12	35	0
MONTGOMERY	<b>5</b> 1	675	127	599	120	1,008	168	960	0

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION GUARDIANSHIPS (continued) 1992

		MIN	NORS		*******				
	CASES			CASES	CASES			CASES	EMERGENCY, LIMI
	PENDING	CASES	CASES	PENDING	PENDING	CASES	CASES	PENDING	AND INTERIM
COUNTY	JANUARY 1	FILED	CLOSED	DECEMBER 31	JANUARY 1	FILED	CLOSED	DECEMBER 31	APPOINTMENT:
MORGAN	30	4	3	31	39	9	9	39	
MORROW	24	6	1	29	44	8	0	52	1
IUSKINGUM	71	19	17	73	223	63	40	246	8
OBLE	8	0	1	7	26	2	3	25	1
TTAWA	50	18	13	55	105	11	13	103	0
AULDING	34	6	4	36	<i>5</i> 3	11	15	49	2
ERRY	43	7	5	45	88	15	7	96	2
ICKAWAY	65	15	16	64	102	12	12	102	0
IKE	41	4	2	43	60	17	5	72	3
ORTAGE	145	49	27	167	171	37	36	172	3
REBLE	176	25	62	139	55	23	, 13	65	0
UTNAM	59	23	12	70	63	4	7	60	0
ICHLAND	127	27	24	130	252	35	36	251	√
oss	162	31	35	158	123	21	14	130	1
ANDUSKY	92	30	16	106	111	17	16	112	
CIOTO	58	9	17	50	186	30	46	170	5
ENECA	105	14	4	115	172	49	48	173	7
HELBY	76	27	10	93	64	18	17	65	5
TARK	260	50	33	277	1043	159	99	1103	9
UMMIT	1,259	391	324	1,326	1,602	495	348	1,749	7
RUMBULL	255	76	71	260	442	89	62	469	i i
USCARAWAS	86	30	22	94	90	31	14	107	8
NION	30	8	8	30	66	7	8	65	33.54 6 0 <b>0</b>
AN WERT	39	6	3	42	91	10	7	94	3
INTON	3	4	0		34	7	2	39 '	0
/ARREN	220	23	46	197	253	45	26	272	9
ASHINGTON	43	11	10	44	107	22	18	111	
AYNE	218	42	60	200	151	22	22	151	1
/ILLIAMS	59	17	10	66	68	18	9	77	0.00
/OOD	131	21	19	133	117	17	22	112	• 8
YYANDOT	29	8	7	30	55	3	5	53	
ratewide .	15,265	4,740	3,774	16,231	19,467	5,258	3,516	21,209	448

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION CONSERVATORSHIPS, TESTAMENTARY TRUSTS, AND CIVIL ACTIONS 1992

	CONSERVATORSHIPS				TESTAME!	TARY TRU	STSCASES	CASES CASES				
COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY		CASES	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	CASES	CASES CLOSED	PENDING DECEMBER 31	PENDING	CASES FILED	CASES CLOSED	PENDING DECEMBER 3
ADAMS	Ö	0	0	0	_6	0	0	6	<b>6</b> 31	16 30	13 26	9 35
ALLEN ASHLAND	1 1	2 0	0 0	3 1	171 110	16 6	12 5,	175 111	458456	10	4	7
ASHTABULA ATHENS	0 1	0 0	0	0 1	27 28	5 4	5 8	27 24	22 5	40 10	47 8	15 7
AUGLAIZE	0	0	0	0 0	77 91	3 3	4 7	76 87	8 0	16 . 0	15 0	9
BELMONT BROWN	<b>0</b> 1	0 0	0 0	1	0	1	1	0	36	13	9	40 38
BUTLER CARROLL	4 0	<b>3</b> 1	0 1	7 0	264 8	16 8	10 1	270 15	38 2	45 6	45 6	2
CHAMPAIGN CLARK	3	0 2	1	2	16 216	6 17	1 11	21 222	6 32	16 18	7 13	15 37
CLERMONT		0	2 0		59	6	9	56	21	18	23 13	16 10
CLINTON COLUMBIAN	0 <b>A</b> 1	0	0 1	0	54 0	8 7	4 7	58 0	11 132	12 42	37	137
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD	1 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	49 103	2 2	4 4	47 101	7 <b>6</b>	5 13	8 6	4 13
CUYAHOGA	52	31	9	74	2,474	152	248	2,378	264 15	1,041 12	1,087 15	218 12
DARKE DEFIANCE	0 1	<b>0</b> 1	0	0 2	95 41	6 3	10 2	91 42	10	3	12	1
DELAWARE ERIE	1 1	1 1	1 0	1 2	117 132	6 20	10 6	113 146	7 43	16 27	18 50	. 5 20
FAIRFIELD	0	1	0	1	79	6 10	10 10	75 40	20 19	53 13	44 26	29 6
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	0 10	0 5	0 3	0 12	40 1,186	77	37	1,226	286	306	244	348
FULTON GALLIA	0 0	0	0 0	0 1	52 26	8 1	4 0	56 27		2 8	3 5	0 4
GEAUGA GREENE	0 2	0 0	0 0	ž	75 103	7 11	3 11	79 103	21 20	<i>5</i> 2 38	32 45	41 13
GUERNSEY	3	0	0	3	36	1	1	36	18	33	35	16 234
HAMILTON HANCOCK	<b>2</b> 1	4 0	2 1	4 0	1,868 73	89 10	155 3	1,802 80	230 3	144 12	140 6	434 9
HARDIN HARRISON	2 2	0	9	2 1	53 2	2 2	2 2	53 2	3 1	7 0	. 6 0	4 1
HENRY	0	0,	,	0	50	2		48 32	4	5 12	6	3 12
HIGHLAND HOCKING	1 1	2 3	0 1	3 3	32 22	1 2	$egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	22	2	8	\$	5
HOLMES HURON	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 1	13 43	2 3	1 3	14 43	1 32	6 17	6 32	1 17
JACKSON	0 0	0	0 0	0	6 154	1 6	0 22	7 138	25 9	4 6	2 8	27 7
JEFFERSON KNOX	0	0 0	0	<b>0</b> 0	35	2	1	36	22	9	9	22
LAKE LAWRENCE	2 1	1 1	0	<b>3</b> 2	148 29	24 5	22 1	150 33	43 28	121 22	96 8	68 42
LICKING LOGAN	1	<b>2</b> 1	1 0	2004 (2004) 1	174 61	14 3	11 2	177 62	17 10	31 17	35 12	13 15
LORAIN	4	2	1		173	13	8	178	84	40	43	81 72
LUCAS MADISON	14 2	5 1	5 1	14 2	351 60	19 1	29 3	341 58	65 6	108 13	101 17	2
MAHONING MARION	1 1	4 0	3	2 1	509 96	23 16	25 14	507 98	77 16	76 14	41 21	112 9
MEDINA	2	0	0	2	72	6	8	70	16	25 0	24 0	17 0
MEIGS MERCER	0 1	0 0	0	<b>0</b> 1	30 39	<b>0</b> 1	0 2	30 38	0	2	2	0
MIAMI MONROE	i 0	2 0	0 0	3 0	7	25 0	27 1	264 6	3	13 5	11	. 10 1
MONTGOME		3	ž	i de la companya de		48	48	4.00 to 1.20 to 1.50 to	290	110	92	308

# COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION CONSERVATORSHIPS, TESTAMENTARY TRUSTS, AND CIVIL ACTIONS (continued) 1992

i i		CONSER	VATORSHIP									
	CASES			CASES	CASES	0.4070	G A OFFO	CASES	CASES	CARE	CASTC	
COUNTY	PENDING JANUARY 1	CASES FILED	CASES CLOSED	PENDING DECEMBER 31	PENDING JANUARY 1	FILED	CASES CLOSED	PENDING DECEMBER 3	PENDING 1 JANUARY 1	CASES	CLOSED	PENDING DECEMBER 31
MORGAN	0	0	0	0 0	19	2	2	19	11	2	4	.9
MORROW	1	1	1	1	28	0	0	28	4	1	0	5
MUSKINGUM	1	2	1	2	142	45	8	179	3	2	2	3
NOBLE	0	0	0	0	7	1	1	7	3	4	5	2
JTTAWA	3	3	2	4	32	4	7	29	1	6	5	2
AULDING	4	0	1	3	30	3	3	30	7	10	13	4
ERRY	0	0	Ö	0	18	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17	14	11	10	15
ICKAWAY	0	0	0	0	<b>54</b>	1	4	51	29	19	18	30
IKE	0		0.		14	1000	367 - 16 <b>1</b> 7	14	4	7	10	1 i
ORTAGE	2	61. 18-000. <del>1</del>	2	1	86	3	11	78	26	20	26	20
REBLE	Ō	Ō	Jaka Barina	a de la companya de	47	2	9	40	$ar{ar{\gamma}}$	4	10	
UTNAM	0	a regerge ye. N	**************************************	0	35	8	2	41	11	5 singatasan sa 1955	11	5
JCHLAND	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		o o	estava jos asa	217	43	15	245	12	26	18	20
OSS	Δ	0	0	0	75	000 (36 (3 <b>7</b> 4) (3	4	76	10	14	14	10
ANDUSKY	Jaka Ca <b>i</b> tara		() - ได้จากได้ <b>ห</b> ัวได้		57	6	6	57	21	10	22	ું છું
SCIOTO	いかが在 bot者の始れ <b>1</b>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Barrio III. (1964) 1	1,6365 1 4 d <b>f</b> . 415. 113.	61	6	8	<i>51</i>	22	26	38	10
SENECA	ระบบสูมสาราชีย พลุด	esi eta alake		saran i	75	7	10	72	22 24	39	46	17
SHELBY	)	:````!*** ^	- (1945年) - (1946年)	0	96	3	0	99	entrale ter be <b>et</b> also	10	9	2
STARK	242223	്ര്	2	and the second of the second of the	Charles and the Control of the Contr	5	43	99 457	70	82	71	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
THE STREET, A STREET STATE	and a second of the second	belone empe.	<ul> <li>Long the state of the state of</li></ul>	3	477	23 27	on, mar in general co		entranta in the carried and the state of		the state of the state of the	81
SUMMIT	15	14	6	<b>23</b>	618	37	53	602	119	309 50	282	146
TRUMBULL		4.	. 181 195. <mark>‡</mark> . 1	10 3 1 4 4 4 4 5 5	303	14	21	296	49	era international and	48	51
TUSCARAWA	化电子 化二氯甲磺酸 化抗压剂 化二氯化物	0	0	I Sustant Metiada sekar	59	3	3	<b>59</b>	28	28	19	37
UNION	2	0	1 - L		57	2		58	13	40	7	10
VAN WERT	0		O Contract to Admin	0	41	8	4	45	<b></b>	10	10	5
VINTON		0	0		0	0	0	_0		0	1	O O
WARREN		2	U Control of the Affect		91	5	3 Line Marketa	93	<b>)</b> Transporte esta li masa enere	2	2	<b>)</b> Chesta e atrades
WASHINGTON	entru jeni	1	0	2	76	5	6	75	16	15	21	10
WAYNE	U an husasan satati d	1 1	0	1 No. 2010 Cont. Sept. Market Contraction	221	11	22	210	9 Amerikan Perekanan	17	16	10
WILLIAMS		1			55 	8	1.	62	1.01 (1.01 <u>4</u> 1.01	6	7	3: 1 <b>3</b> :
WOOD	<b>3</b>	5	2	6	83	9	6	86	26	18	22	22
WYANDOT		0	0		22	2	3	21	4	13	11	6
STATEWIDE	175	123	59	239	13,299	1,005	1,100	13,204	2,650	3,511	3,411	2,750
88	1/3	123	39	434	13,277	1,003	1,100	13,204	2,000	3,311	5,411	2,730


#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES 1992

COUNTY	ADO	OPTIONS CASES TERMINAT	- AND I CASES	ITAL ILLNESS RETARDATION CASES TERMINATEI	SET CASES		CO CASE		CI CASES	NAME IANGES CASES TERMINATED	MARRIAGE APPLICATIONS GRANTED
ADAMS ALLEN	16 53	1 <b>5</b> 58	<b>3</b> 322	3 327	0 27	0 22	1 <b>4</b> 11	under der der der der der der der der der	<b>6</b> , 42	<b>6</b> 36	266 856
ASHLAND ASHTABULA	37 45	38 47	4 43	4 43	20 38	19 32	 0 9	0	16 41	10 38	434 1047
ATHENS	16	10	172	182	4	. 4	16	entranta parti destitutura entrata parti.	13	11	503
AUGLAIZE	17	14	6	6	8	8	4		16	15	682
BELMONT	47	42	39	39	4	4	15	15	13	18	621
BROWN	25	27	6	6	5	5	22		10	10	280
BUTLER CARROLL	159 22	134 21	<b>80</b> 1	<b>58</b> . 1	63	44 7	25 1	25	86 4	84 5	2,586 217
CHAMPAIGN CLARK	14 111	20 77	0 42	0 40	8 34	7	3 16	3	16 33	19 53	328
CLERMONT CLINTON	84 27	81 27	46 16	56 8	44 7	44 6	10 12 7	12	39,	35	1,117 1,512
COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON	42 16	27 44 9	35 5	26 3	25	27	7	7	19 <b>20</b>	19 17	374 933
CRAWFORD CUYAHOGA	20 415	27 443	1	1.	6 8	6 8	0 <b>5</b>	5	10 6	5 6	312 <b>375</b>
DARKE	23	25	1,247	1,187	320	320	122	122	834	834	10,746
DEFIANCE	42		4	4	11	11	1	1	11	11	484
DELAWARE	34	42	0	0	17	17	11	11	19	15	385
ERIE	34	41	4	3	9	9			30	28	536
FAIRFIELD FAYETTE	95	33 86	11 25	11 27	8 24	5 22	28 4	and the contract of the contract.	38 34	43 <b>32</b>	708 <b>955</b>
FRANKLIN	9 558	11 <b>584</b>	6 363	7 360	8 176	10 176	6 126		6 473	5 473	238 9,650
FULTON	13	18	6	6	19	19	4	4	8	4	357
GALLIA	15	10	58	50	2	2	24	24	5	3	312
GEAUGA	25	25	12	9	17	6	10	8	38	38	581
GREENE	82	76	<b>26</b>	1 <b>9</b>	14	11	28	28	69	<b>67</b>	<b>873</b>
GUERNSEY	23	20	149	65	6	7	9	9	9	6	396
HAMILTON	283	283	<b>463</b>	4 <b>66</b>	195	195	116	120	<b>269</b>	256	6,240
HANCOCK	47	51	11	11	3	3	9	9	24	13	654
HARDIN	14	12	<b>9</b>	9	5	5	. 6	<b>5</b>		2	244
HARRISON	15	12	1	1	3	3	7	7	5	3	152
HENRY	15	14	0	0	11	11	0	0	10	11	273
HIGHLAND	13	13	10	10	4	4	7	7	4	5	355
HOCKING	16	14	14	14		1	8	7	9	6	208
HOLMES	24	21	0	0	3	3	12	12	8	7	270
HURON	24	16	3	5	6	2	14	14	18	14	<b>506</b>
JACKSON	6	5	1	1	6	6	11	11	0	0	342
JEFFERSON	40	40	47	16	10	10	24	24	17	15	<b>694</b>
KNOX	33	20	16	9	4	3	14	14	3	5	478
LAKE	87	91	22	23	39	23	18	18	79	75	<b>1,915</b>
LAWRENCE	29	27	14	14	16	17	59	57	14	15	339
LICKING	64	<b>62</b>	23	<b>23</b>	18	19	11	11	<b>34</b>	34	1,234
LOGAN	20	20	0	4	5	6	8	10	7	3	447
LORAIN	83	<b>87</b>	46	54	37	36-	11	11	105	7 <b>5</b>	2,203
LUCAS	238	219	409	411	67	68	15	17	124	51	7,952
MADISON	11	12	2	L	7	7	7	7	16	<b>8</b>	498
MAHONING	67	55	320	320	44	13	36	35	67	50	1,973
MARION	22	18	5	5	9	9	10	10	8	<b>5</b>	719
MEDINA	84	79	41	39	25	26	24	24	57	53	1,093
MEIGS	20	14	7	5	- 5	6	10	10	7	<b>7</b>	
MERCER MIAMI	14 58	14 59	1 39	1 <b>32</b>	5 22	5 22	10 11 9	11 9	5 71	5 57	234 342
MONTOE MONTGOMERY	8 256	8 285	6 271	7	2 87	2 84	3 37	3 37	71 1 226	1 235	954 200 8,885

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES (continued) 1992

		OPTIONS	- AND R	TAL ILLNESS RETARDATION -	- SETT	NORS' LEMENTS -	COR	RECORD RECTION	CF	IAME IANGES	MARRIAGE
COUNTY	CASES FILED	CASES TERMINATED	FILED	CASES TERMINATED	CASES FILED	CASES TERMINATED	CASES FILED	CASES TERMINATED	CASES FILED	CASES TERMINATED	APPLICATIONS GRANTED
MORGAN	3	3	2	0	0	0	6	6	و	10	118
MORROW	18	15	1	0	2	1	. 1	1	6	1	231
MUSKINGUM	32	31	13	. 13	17	17	23	23	13	12	764
NOBLE	4	6	3	3	0	0	6	6	2	2	94
OTTAWA	25	26	1	A 4 . 4 . 1 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6	5	3	3	3	6	4	318
PAULDING	16	19	4	4	5	4	9	9	3	2	127
PERRY	17	18	2	2	6	5	10	10	8	9	234
PICKAWAY	24	20	34	34	13	16	5	5	8	7	448
PIKE	10	11	4	0	3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12	11	11	10	263
PORTAGE	50	55	6	5	59	133	11	9	65	67	1,163
PREBLE	25	24	9	8	0	0	5	5	10	7	304
PUTNAM	8	12	5	5	7	7	9	9	7	7	269
RICHLAND	89	94	61	60	29	29	11	11	21	19	1,124
ROSS	23	33	103	104	4	5	8	8	13	15	719
SANDUSKY	32	27	8	8	13	4	3	3	29	17	522
SCIOTO	39	40	57	54	13	13	47	47	28	26	498
SENECA	43	44	15	13	13	7	6	6	15	11	490
SHELBY	28	20	3	3	5	i estembli distorio di. A	2	2	10	10	424
STARK	254	243	297	297	78	77	36	36	173	192	3,274
SUMMIT	300	292	505	504	70	67	34	33	276	301	4,623
TRUMBULL	130	133	303 102	102	56	50	34 21	33 21	107	301 104	4,023 1,914
TUSCARAWAS	45	40	8	8	9	9	5	5	18	18	738
UNION	26	40 22	19	1000 <b>13</b> 100	9 5	Caral 1 3 4 4 4			9	11	738 282
VAN WERT	18	22 17	19	ar a está <b>46</b> 0 dual y	1		12	12	7	7	282 295
VAN WERT	4	1/ 2	0	3 0 0	a en dia	1 1989 / public 1985 (1987)	12	112 111	6	are en la bactificação de la compa	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and the first			그렇게 되었다는 어떻게 바다를 된다.		diaka. (×¥ains		The state of the s			111
WARREN WASHINGTON	114 21	105	62	63	25	25 4	8	8 7	32	32	1,116
The state of the s		21	2	3 '	6	医克里氏试验检 医二甲基甲基	8		19	17	660
WAYNE	53	49	8	8 3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.00 (3.0	26	24	20	20	14	14	935
WILLIAMS	27	19	7		11	9	6	6	1		381
WOOD	52	46	1	1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1)	25	24	9	9	36	39	854
WYANDOT	7	12	2		9	9	0	0	4	1101-1141.5:	178
STATEWIDE 88	5,247	5,155	5,861	5,629	2,092	2,034	1,393	1,386	4,123	3,935	101,539

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - PROBATE DIVISION STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



# SECTION H

### **COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS**

Juvenile Division

Note: Inconsistent reporting procedures by some courts are being identified and corrected. These differences may affect comparisons between courts.

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION COMPOSITE REPORT FORM FOR THE ENTIRE STATE 1992

	DELIN- QUENCY		DEPENDENCY NEGLECT, OR ABUSE	UN- RULY	ADULT	MOTION FOR PERMANENT CUSTODY	CHANGE CUSTODY/ VISITATION	ENFORCEMENT/ MODIFICATION OF SUPPORT		U.R. E.S.A.	ALL OTHER CASES	TOTAL
CASES PENDING BEGINNING OF YEAR	13,348	8,715	3,075	3,379	670	337	1,868	2,861	13,284	1,409	829	49,775
NEW CASES FILED	82,165	99,245	14,635	18,766	2,696	624	4,983	7,945	27,886	2,894	3,006	264,845
TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED CASES	10,939	6,981	13,357	2,089	514	717	1,275	6,384	4,124	1,277	9,145	56,802
TOTAL CASES	106,452	114,941	31,067	24,234	3,880	1,678	8,126	17,190	45,294	5,580	12,980	371,422
CASES TERMINATED BY:												
TRIAL BY JUDGE	3,086	865	1,534	422	481	340	645	2,122	1,348	29	513	11,385
TRIAL BY REFEREE	6,809	4,679	1,928	934	176	126	684	4,080	6,145	195	8,863	34,619
DISMISSAL BY PARTY, JUDGE, OR PROSECUTOR	13,105	9,592	2,662	3,603	807	74	930	2,493	5,929	621	599	40,415
ADMISSION TO JUDGE	19,788	19,032	5,679	4,869	796	291	2,157	1,511	7,303	218	885	62,529
ADMISSION TO REFEREE	39,721	58,589	9,095	8,800	142	292	1,373	2,213	10,154	575	335	131,289
CERTIFICATION OR WAIVER GRANTED	402	x	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	402
UNAVAILABILITY OF PARTY FOR TRIAL	4,558	1,864	37	1,303	258	4	22	701	900	652	190	10,489
TRANSFERRED OUT	4,081	10,293	93	539	13	4	28	20	34	1,068	230	16,403
REFERRED TO PRIVATE JUDGE	x	x	x	х	х	x	0	0	0	0	1	1
INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL OR ORDER	12	55	0	0	0	1	90	1	3	0	0	162
ALL OTHER TERMINATIONS	1,867	1,605	7,145	576	203	186	598	504	1,057	135	725	14,601
TOTAL TERMINATIONS	93,429	106,574	28,173	21,046	2,876	1,318	6,527	13,645	32,873	3,493	12,341	322,295
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR	13,023	8,367	2,894	3,188	1,004	360	1,599	3,545	12,421	2,087	639	49,127
TIME GUIDELINE (MONTHS)	6	3	6	3	3	9	9	3	18	3	6	x
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR BEYOND TIME GUIDELINE	1,248	1,607	404	298	184	44	198	867	690	561	70	6,171
NUMBER OF INFORMAL CASES (ALL CASE TYPES)	37,190											

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

NEW FILINGS, TRANSFERS, ---- POPULATION ----AND REACTIVATIONS TERMINATIONS NUMBER PER PER PER 1000 PER PER 1000 COUNTY OF JUDGES TOTAL JUDGE TOTAL JUDGE **POPULATION** TOTAL JUDGE **POPULATION** The judges of the following counties also have General, Domestic Relations, and Probate Division jurisdiction. **ADAMS** 1 25,371 24,328 519 519 20 493 493 19 HARRISON 16,085 16,085 248 248 15 266 266 17 HENRY 29,108 29,108 481 481 17 459 459 16 MORGAN 14,194 14,194 343 343 24 343 343 24 MORROW 1 27,749 27,749 645 645 23 628 628 23 NOBLE 11,336 11,336 129 129 11 107 107 WYANDOT 22,254 22,254 658 658 30 658 658 30 The judges of the following counties also have Domestic Relations Division jurisdiction. ERIE 76,779 76,779 3,507 3,507 46 3,687 3,687 48 FRANKLIN 7,717 961,437 240,359 30,866 7,812 32 31,249 33 LORAIN 2 271,126 135,563 8,259 4,130 30 8,271 4,136 31 RICHLAND 1 126,137 4,237 34 126,137 4,237 4,145 4,145 33 STARK 2 367,585 183,793 8,363 4,182 23 8,693 4,347 24 TRUMBULL. 2 28 227,813 6,340 113,907 6,340 3,170 3,170 28 The judges of the following counties have Juvenile Division jurisdiction exclusively. BUTTER 291,479 291,479 6,647 6,647 6,396 6,396 22 23 **CUYAHOGA** 5,460 6 1,412,140 235,357 32,760 23 35,036 5,839 25 HAMILTON 2 866,228 433,114 46,273 23,137 53 46,793 23,397 54 LAKE 1 215,499 32 215,499 6,791 6,791 6,733 6,733 31. **LUCAS** 2 462,361 231,181 15,075 7,538 33 15,210 7,605 33 MAHONING 1 264,806 264,806 5,476 5,476 21 5,216 5,216 20 MONTGOMERY 573,809 573.809 16,599 16.599 29 16,441 16.441 29 SUMMIT 514,990 514,990 12,734 12,734 25 12,271 12,271 24 The judges of the following counties also have Probate Division jurisdiction. ALLEN 109,755 109,755 4,814 4,814 4,528 4,528 41 **ASHLAND** 47,507 23 47,507 1,098 1,098 1,120 1,120 24 ASHTABULA 99,821 99,821 4,416 4,416 44 4,213 4.213 42 ATHENS 59,549 59,549 1,979 1,979 33 1,885 1,885 32 AUGLAIZE 44,585 44.585 1.123 1.123 25 1.095 1.095 25 BELMONT 71,074 71,074 1,537 1,537 22 1,569 1,569 22 BROWN 25 34.966 34,966 865 865 885 885 25 CARROLL 26,521 26,521 602 б02 23 623 623 23 CHAMPAIGN 36,019 1,096 1,096 36,019 30 1,045 1.045 29 CLARK 147,548 147,548 5,828 5,828 39 5,561 5,561 38 CLERMONT 150,187 150,187 5,378 5,378 36 4,950 4,950 33 CLINTON 35,415 35,415 974 974 28 944 944 27 COLUMBIANA 108,276 108,276 2,540 2,540 23 2,328 2,328 22 COSHOCTON 35,427 35,427 653 653 634 18 634 18 CRAWFORD 47,870 47,870 1,204 1,204 25 1,170 1,170 24 DARKE 53,619 1,062 20 53,619 1,062 1,065 1,065 20 DEFIANCE 39,350 39,350 1,318 1,318 33 1,279 1,279 33 DELAWARE 66,929 66,929 1,641 1,641 25 1,515 1,515 23 2,625 **FAIRFIELD** 2,625 103,461 103,461 25 2.555 2.555 25 FAYETTE 27,466 27,466 904 904 33 897 897 33 FULTON 38,498 1,171 38,498 1.211 1.211 31 1.171 30 **GALLIA** 30,954 30,954 1,123 1,123 36 1,146 1,146 37 **GEAUGA** 81,129 81,129 1,489 1,489 18 1,697 1,697 21 GREENE 136,731 136,731 3,395 3,395 25 3,414

3,414

25

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

		POF		TERMINATIONS					
	NUMBER	101	PER	••	ND REACTIVA PER	PER 1000		PER	PER 1000
COUNTY	OF JUDGES	TOTAL	JUDGE	TOTAL	JUDGE	POPULATIO	N TOTAL	JUDGE	POPULATION
<del></del>									OFCERTION
GUERNSEY		39.024	39,024	1,190	1,190	30	1.162	1,162	30
HANCOCK	* 50 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	65,536	65,536	1,783	1,783	27	1,782	1,782	<b>27</b>
HARDIN		31,111	31,111	1,007	1,007	2, 32	968	968	27 31
HIGHLAND	1	35,728	35,728	1,048	1,048	29	1,066	1,066	30
HOCKING		25,533	25,533	1.089	1,089	43	1,000	1,097	43
HOLMES	1	32,549	32,849	481	481	15	501	501	15
HURON	an dien der	56,240	56,240	1,561	1,561	28	1,522	1,522	27
JACKSON		30,230	30,230	1,327	1,327	44	1,194	1,194	39
JEFFERSON	$\mathbf{i}$	80,298	80,298	1,179	1,179	15	1,246	1,246	16.
KNOX	77878 1668 48 <del>8</del> 9788 1889 1	47,473	47,473	1,171	1,171	25	1,203	1,203	ord never recommend or the second of the sec
LAWRENCE	i	61,834	61,834	1,487	1,487	24	1,203	1,203	25 24
LICKING	version and a <del>fi</del> rm a designer 1	128,300	128,300	2,538	2,538	20	2,497	2,497	44 19
LOGAN		42,310	42,310	2,556 1,761	2,336 1,761	20 42	2,497 1,612	efectivities and a redesignment of a	MEN DE NEW YORK BOOKS NAME OF
MADISON	1.564.75911.6 <del>5</del> 6.4660.599. <b>1</b>	37,068	37,068		880	74 24	1,012 859	1,612 859	38
MARION	National Properties	64,274	64,274	3,365	3,365	24 52	3,350	abstract of the east contact for course of the	23 52
MEDINA	i wandawa <del>d</del> wale jiyat <b>1</b>	122,354	122,354	2,803	2,803	23	2,724	3,350	
MEIGS		22,987	22,987	2,003 507	2,603 507	ມ 22	2,724 501	2,724 501	22 22
MERCER	nter visit i kutota die is 1	39,443	39,443	1,557	1,557	39	1,526	and the decision of mean.	
MIAMI	unite salaina in a suuden	93,182	93,182	3,536	3,536	39	ARM AT A REPORT OF THE THE WAY THE	1,526	39
MONROE	Laurencurs <del>t</del> e present T	15,497	15,497	255	255	16	3,709 238	3,709	40
MUSKINGUM		82,068	82,068	2,830	2,830	34	2,618	238	15
OTTAWA	1	40,029	40,029	1,072	2,630 1,072	27	process and a second process a	2,618	32
PAULDING		20,488	20,488	1,072	484	24	1,039 518	1,039 518	26 25
PERRY	# 20 m ( 10 m € 10 m ± 20 m) 	31,557	31,557	604	604	24 19	640	510 640	
PICKAWAY	ra Alemana.	48,255	48,255	1,192	1,192	25	and the street of the state of the contract of the state of the state of	and the other states of the first and the	20
PIKE	80, \$160 0400 <b>5</b> 41 Abbett. <b>1</b>	24,249	24,249	655	655	27	1,244 626	1,244 626	26
PORTAGE		142,585	142,585	2,562	2,562	18	2,516	020 2,516	26 18
PREBLE	1 1883 - 1731 (* 1848) 1861 - 1	40,113	40,113	1,029	1,029	26	and the state of t	errors or according to	The second section of the section of
PUTNAM	estanti i aan	33,819	33,819	629	629	20 19	1,013 622	1,013 622	25 18
ROSS	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	69,330	69,330	1,958	1,958	28	1,869		State of the Control
SANDUSKY	ar en <mark>i</mark> nar i	61,963	61,963	1,783	1,783	26 29	1,739	1,869	27
SCIOTO	1	80,327	80,327	1,694	1,694	27 21	1,647	1,739 1,647	28
SENECA	รที่ตับได้แก่ <b>เ</b> ราไปใ	59,733	59,733	1,893	1,893	32	1,047	1,914	21 32
SHELBY	1	44,915	44,915	1,359	1,359	30	1,362	1,362	30
TUSCARAWAS	asandi wa	84,090	84,090	1,780	1,780	21	1,753	1,753	30 21
UNION	1	31,969	31,969	1,162	1,162	36	1,146	1,146	
VAN WERT	aran Alaski	30,464	30,464	983	983	32	988	988	36
VINTON	, 10 m of 10 m ■ The contract of 10 m of 10	11,098	11,098	201	201	18	222	222	32 20
WARREN		113,909	113,909	3,255	3,255	29	3,514	removed a residence beduted	20 31
WASHINGTON	1	62,254	62,254	981	981	16	999	3,514 999	Contract to the state of the contract of
WAYNE		101,461	101,461	2,838	2,838	28	3,020	3,020	16
WILLIAMS	www.dediamen.Typo. I	36,956	36,956	2,836 1,022	2,636 1,022	28	3,020 1,023	1,023	30
WOOD		113,269	113,269	3,331	3,331	20 29	3,325	表 \$41. 1. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 1	28
			Troffees	indenis in 1980 <b>年報刊</b> 和	S. S. Marianit		7 - 1 - 1 - 2,323	3,325	29
STATEWIDE	101	10,847,115	107,397	321,647	3,185	30	322,295	3,191	30
88							-	• • •	

All population figures based on 1990 U.S.Census

88

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION DELINQUENCY OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- A'TIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ADAMS	18	001	Ö	118	96	22.2%	22	7
ALLEN ASHLAND	364 3	1,078 199	353 31	1,795 233	1,474 227	-11.8% 100.0%	321 <b>6</b>	17 0
ASHTABULA	258	1,200	. 0	1,458	1,163	14.3%	295	0
ATHENS AUGLAIZE	. 32 46	453 280	25 17	510 343	412 283	206.3% 30.4%	98 60	0
BELMONT	115	260 541	3	659	584	-34.8%	75	ő
BROWN	39	110	<b>61</b>	210	181	-25.6%	29	0
BUTLER CARROLL	170 22	1,522 188	0 18	1,692 228	1,507 205	8.8% 4.5%	185 23	.53 0
CHAMPAIGN	7	410	54	471	453	157.1%	18	0
CLARK CLERMONT	102 <b>293</b>	977 1,756	454 5	1,533 2,054	1,426 1,657	4.9% <b>35.5%</b>	107 <b>397</b>	0
CLINTON	0	251	27	278	264	- -	14	0
COLUMBIANA COSHOCTON	n betrakt proposition in det in de de propositier (de proposition de propositier (de proposition de propositio	326	27	361	341	150.0% 25.9%	20	0
CRAWFORD	27 3 <b>3</b>	102 <b>29</b> 7	25 51	154 381	120 340	23.9% 24.2%	34 41	0 1
CUYAHOGA	1,928	7,197	0	9,125	7,398	-10.4%	1,727	180
DARKE DEFIANCE	17 27	208 362	0 31	225 420	201 360	41,2% 122.2%	24 60	<b>0</b> 6
DELAWARE	126	481	24	631	413	73.0%	218	11
ERIE FAIRFIELD	418 74	1,501 327	119 1 <b>64</b>	2,038 565	1,581 478	9.3% 17.6%	457 87	119 5
FAYETTE	24	219	87	330	314	-33.3%	1 <b>6</b>	0
FRANKLIN FULTON	1,121	5,307	2,472	8,900	8,682	-80.6%	218	<b>9</b>
GALLIA	16 <b>29</b>	297 139	8 14	321 182	273 158	200.0% -17,2%	48 24	0 2
GEAUGA	123	472	5	600	592	-93.5%	8	0
GREENE GUERNSEY	137 65	484 260	415 47	1,036 372	960 287	-44.5% 30.8%	76 85	15 1
HAMILTON	1,855	17,046	2,408	21,309	19,585	-7.1%	1,724	23
HANCOCK HARDIN	40 <b>6</b>	435 138	9 23	484 167	457 155	-32.5% 100.0%	27 12	0
HARRISON	8	38	<del>42</del> 8	54	51	-62.5%	3	0
HENRY	13	127	0	140	120	53,8%	20	ó
HIGHLAND HOCKING	18 32	237 24 <b>6</b>	42 <b>60</b>	297 338	275 291	22.2% 46.9%	22 47	0 0
HOLMES	11	132	15	158	151	-36.4%	7	O construction of the cons
HURON JACKSON	<b>51</b> 18	377 224	44 96	472 338	422 266	-2.0% 300.0%	50 72	0
JEFFERSON	33	250	34	317	275	27.3%	42	ž
KNOX LAKE	24 250	280 1.995	119 192	423 2,437	401 2,107	-8.3% 32.0%	22 330	0
LAWRENCE	33	393	0	2,437 426	400	-21.2%	26	0
LICKING	53	480	232	765	720	-15.1%	45	0
LOGAN LORAIN	49 <b>26</b> 9	380 1,885	32 16	461 2,170	391 1,860	42.9% 15.2%	70 310	0 0
LUCAS	1,142	4,037	625	5,804	4,717	-4.8%	1,087	204
MADISON MAHONING	13 391	141 1,513	16 1	170 1,905	150 1,312	53,8% 51,7%	20 593	<b>0</b> 170
MARION	104	1,313	33	1,450	1,335	10,6%	115	1/0 3
MEDINA MEIGS	63 12	993 101	60	1,116	1,001	82.5%	115	2
MERCER	12 14	196 432	<b>8</b> 59	216 505	, 190 477	116.7% 100.0%	26 28	<b>0</b> 0
MIAMI	154	1,281	0	1,435	1,307	-16.9%	128	astri
MONROE MONTGOMERY	3 Y 760	67 3,310	3 272	73 4,342	67 3,574	100.0% 1.1%	6 768	0 128
TOTAL TANGET	and restablished the Maria (1975)	2,210	614	7,346	3,3/4	1.170	/05	128

## COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION DELINQUENCY OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN MORROW	1 <b>6</b>	53 136	16 20	85 166	72 144	-18.8% 120.0%	13 22	0
MUSKINGUM	21	538 20	0 7	559 29	<b>491</b> 17	223,8% 500.0%	68 12	, 0 3
NOBLE OTTAWA	2 24	353	40	417	370	95.8%	47	Ö
PAULDING	20	84	20	124	112	-40.0%	12	0
PERRY	17	182	3	202	190	-29,4%	12	0
PICKAWAY	65	381	45	491	442	-24.6%	49	0
PIKE	10	139	11	1 <b>60</b>	141	90.0%	19	
PORTAGE	55	625	30	710	653	3.6%	57	0
PREBLE	14	<b>264</b>	25	3 <b>03</b>	273	114.3%	30	
PUTNAM	10	144	44	198	192	-40.0%	6	0
RICHLAND	133	851	547	1,531	1,334	48,1%	197	0
ROSS	34	537	23	594	550	29.4%	44	0
SANDUSKY	51	545	16	612	544	33,3%	68	10
SCIOTO	143	460	72	675	553	-14.7%	122	12
SENECA	<b>76</b>	<b>64</b> 3	<b>29</b>	748	658	18,4%	90	13
SHELBY	18	411	33	462	425	105.6%	37	0
STARK	<b>342</b>	1,539	385	2,266	1,961	-10.8%	305	9
SUMMIT	162	3,578	113	3,853	3,481	129.6%	372	112
TRUMBULL	310	<b>79</b> 1	237	1,338	998	9.7%	340	122
TUSCARAWAS	82	632	15	729	623	29.3%	106	0
UNION	<b>83</b>	392	<b>8</b>	483	443	-51.8%	40	
VAN WERT	16	290	23	329	309	25.0%	20	0
VINTON	4	44	10	58	52	50.0%	6	0
WARREN	240	896	40	1,176	1,063	-52.9%	113	7
WASHINGTON	41	204	18	263	226	-9.8%	37	
WAYNE	106	655	30	791	721	-34.0%	70	0
WILLIAMS	9	334	7	350	323	200.0%	27	
WOOD	167	1,358	102	1,627	1,455	3.0%	172	0
WYANDOT	6	96	26	128	126	-66.7%	2	0
STATEWIDE 88	13,348	82,165	10,939	106,452	93,429	-2.4%	13,023	1,248

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION DELINQUENCY TERMINATIONS 1992

COUNTY	***TRU JUDGE	AL BY*** REFEREE	DISMISSAL BY PARTY, JUDGE, PROSECUTOR	OR **Al	OMISSION TO** JE REFEREE	CERTIFICATION OR WAIV	ER	UNAVAIL- ABILITY OF PARTY	TRANS- FERRED OUT	INTERLOCU TORY APPE	AL TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS ALLEN	.75 192	. 0 50	7 363	1	0 0 14 , 493	0	and the participation of the	0	14 66	0	0 193	
ASHLAND ASHTABULA	5 13	0 118	- <b>i</b> 2 171	tak elek elektris bababatan elektris birak bababat	74 0 90 742	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		" o' 0	35 4	<b>0</b> 0	1 24	227 1,163
ATHENS AUGLAIZE	. 2 8	1 0	72 29	POURDED BY AND THE RES	08 192 85 0	- C	predictions in a sec	2   1	33 60	0 0	2 0	412 283
BELMONT BROWN	- 6 58	0	182 36		60 0 71 0	2		0	<b>32</b> 5	0 0	2 7	584 181
BUTLER CARROLL	151 13	412 0	1 <b>42</b> 18		8 629 32 0			<b>6</b> 0	<b>69</b> 39	0	88 3	1,507 205
CHAMPAIGN CLARK	20 7	0 51	40 269		i23 0 i76 19			1 0	<b>62</b> 144	0	<b>7</b> 52	453 1,426
CLERMONT CLINTON	83 87	64 0	209 259 14		749 480 161 0	, in the contract of		9	4	0	9 0	1,657 264
COLUMBIANA	9	251 0	14		1 36 66 0		)	0	30 5	0	0 14	941 120
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD	22 1 105	0 0 52	11 15 613	3,2	299. 0	one common contratant and con-		0 157	25 92	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0	340 7,398
CUYAHOGA DARKE	5	0.1	10		50 31	(	)	157 0 0	5 42	0 0	0	201 360
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	73	1 0	40 83	constructed and an expension of the second	116		<b>)</b>	0 0 32	8 302	0 0	12 23	413 1,581
ERIE FAIRFIELD	4 0	18 0	215 56	(B) 244 [12] [2] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4	188		<b>)</b>	0 0	362 3 <b>5</b> 17	ů.	12 23	
FAYETTE FRANKLIN	32 1	0 238	24 3,012		218 0 31 4,403	2	2	700	60 40	j <u>i</u> 0	204 3	8,682 273
FULTON GALLIA	8 30	0 0	65 27		144 11 88 0		o 9 : : : : :	2 0	4	0	unional interest introduced contract of the	and the second of the second of the second
GEAUGA GREENE	12 2	4 238	16 87		260 158 0 <b>45</b> 8		D 0	0	38 175	Ö		seemen is transportated by period
GUERNSEY HAMILTON	28 571	0 4,772	34 1,565		182 0 640 8,801	22	of the facility of press.	2,668	19 <b>339</b>	0	0	19,585
HANCOCK HARDIN	24 0	0 0	20 <b>6</b>		182 162 127 C		0 0	0	65 9	0	4 12	457 155
HARRISON HENRY	0 2	0	8 <b>6</b>		37 (C 90 2	<b>)</b>	2 0	0	2 16	0	2	120
HIGHLAND HOCKING	76 30	0 <b>0</b>	9 1 <b>9</b>		161 ( 148 (	) in the second	0 0	0 3	29 23	0	68 -	291
HOLMES HURON	3 37	0 9	5 107		102 15 112 92		0 0	0 13	26 50	0	0	422
JACKSON JEFFERSON	10 17	0	27 50		201 ( 203 (	)	0 0	0 0		0	5	275
KNOX LAKE	2 12	0 9	22 319		265 309 1,160	<b>S</b> [ ] [ ] [ ]	0 1	7 41	21 77	0 0	173	2,107
I.AWRENCE LICKING	9 41	7 32	63 59		207 114 315 200		0 0	0 3	0 51	0	17	720
LOGAN LORAIN	0 25	0 2	44 <b>25</b> 1		287 2 183 1,26		0 7 - jiliji	0 96			1	1,860
LUCAS MADISON	14 <b>15</b>	35 0	138 10		92 3,64 64 59	l 1	7 0	729 0			film of the contract of the co	150
MAHONING MARION	20 4	104 16	347 100		349 460 38 1,10	)	2 0	10 0	9 68	0 <b>0</b>	Çeriye iye (	1,335
MEDINA MEIGS	123 0	0 0	88 25		680 2 161 (	3	0 0	0		9	2	190
MERCER MIAMI	9	0 0	34 1 <b>51</b>			0	0 0	0	29	0	18	477
MONROE MONTGOMERY	5 5	0 47	5 1,065			0	0 !2	0	2	0	(	67

## COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION DELINQUENCY TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	***TR JUDGE	IAL BY*** REFEREE	DISMISSAL BY PARTY, JUDGE, OR PROSECUTOR	**ADMIS	SION TO** REFEREE	CERTIFICATION OR WAIVER GRANTED	UNAVAIL- ABILITY OF PARTY	TRANS- FERRED OUT	INTERLOCU- TORY APPEAL OR ORDER	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN ATIONS
MORGAN			4	24	 Ó	0		16		11	72
MORROW MUSKINGUM	6 2.	0 40	25 0	91 84	0 344	0 0	0 (0	14 21	0 <b>0</b>	8 0	144 491
NOBLE OTTAWA	2 6	0 0	3 27	11 285	0 6	0	0 	1 43	0 <b>0</b>	0 3	17 370
PAULDING PERRY	24 23	0 0	11 <b>6</b>	69 140	0 0	0 0	0 1	8 20	0 0	0	112 190
PICKAWAY PIKE	52 29	0 <b>0</b>	25 27	313 74	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	15 11	0 0	37 0	442 141
PORTAGE PREBLE	1 52	4 0	152 21	92 184	365 0	0 <b>0</b>	6	22 13	0 <b>0</b>	11 0	653 273
PUTNAM RICHLAND	13 144	0 19	14 52	153 296	0 788	0	1 6	11 24	0 A	0	19 1,33
ROSS SANDUSKY	3 9	35	70	107	270 38	2	0	63 31	0 0	0 19	55 54
SCIOTO	57	2 14	50 106	394 193	12	1	11	4		155	55:
SENECA SHELBY	10 180	0	29 78	560 121	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	0	58 28	<b>0</b> 0	0 18	65 42
STARK SUMMIT	10 131	93 0	217 664	184 60	1,121 2,391	6 11	23 0	83 201	Q 0	224 23	1,96 3,48
TRUMBULL TUSCARAWAS	1 0	5 0	155 46	5 109	793 412	5 0	12 0	17 56	<b>0</b>	5 0	99 62
INION /AN WERT	29 14	0 0	<b>59</b> 21	211 236	74 0	0 0		68 38	1 0	0	44 30
/INTON WARREN	48 103	0 58	2 271	0 220	0 235	0 2	1 0	·/ 1 174	<b>0</b>	0	1,06
Washington Wayne	1 0	<b>2</b> 6	50 39	<b>30</b> 50	112 520	<b>0</b>	4	12 62	0	15 41	22 72
WILLIAMS WOOD	8 0	Ö.	2 <b>6</b> 371	158 455	92 575	Ö	_ 0 0	39 54	0	0 0	32 1,45
WYANDOT	5	0		97	0	Ö	0	17	Ō	2	120
STATEWIDE	3,086	6,809	13,105	19,788	39,721	402	4,558	4,081	12	1,867	93,429

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION UNRULY OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

	CASES		REACTIVATION		TOTAL	% NET	CASES	CASES PENDING
COLINERY	PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL.	TERMIN- ATIONS	INCREASE IN CASELOAD	PENDING DECEMBER 31	BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
COUNTY	JANUARI 1	PILINGS				page		<u> </u>
ADAMS	5	33	0	38	31	40.0%	7 67	3
ALLEN	42	278	12	332	265 38	59.5% 0.0%	0,	0
ASHLAND	0	33	5	38 569	482	314.3%	87	0
ASHTABULA	21	512 153	36 2	190	175	-57.1%	15	ŏ
ATHENS	35	155 81	190 partijal (141 s. <b>%</b> 000). <b>7</b>	100	90	-16.7%	10	0
AUGLAIZE	12 22	102	sa kakala ser <b>i</b> den	125	$1\widetilde{12}$	-40.9%	4, 5,400° 41 <b>3</b> 550°	0
BELMONT BROWN	22 20	102 79	45	144	137	-65.0%	7	0
BUTLER	20 19	394	10 00 00 <b>3</b> 5.	418	399	0.0%	19	6
CARROLL	6	56	ulanida Nie. ¥badi 1	63	62	-83.3%	1	0
CHAMPAIGN	$\sim$ $^{2}$	127	13	142	133	350.0%	9	0
CLARK	4	109	4	117	115	-50.0%	2	0
CLERMONT	182	617	0	799	602	8.2%	197	0
CLINTON	. 0	80	7	87	85	-	2	0
COLUMBIANA	4	171	4	179	171	100.0%	8	0
COSHOCTON	5	18	3	26	15	120.0%	11	
CRAWFORD	18	179	2	199	193	-66,7%	6	0
CUYAHOGA	121	632	1	754	689	-46.3%	65	19
DARKE	4	71.	200 m	76	70	50.0%	6	0
DEFIANCE	15	171	8	194	176	20.0%	18	2
DELAWARE	50	136	6	192	142	0.0%	50	8
ERIE	119	322	<b>8</b>	449	325	4.2% 25.0%	124 15	52 1
FAIRFIELD	12	77	30	119	104 94	-9.1%	10	
FAYETTE	11	75	18	104 1,367	94 1,251	-9.1 <i>7</i> 0 -65.5 <b>%</b>	116	3
FRANKLIN	336	666	365 0	1,367	بربي. 68	-100.0%	0	0
FULTON	4 21	64 115	. 16 (100 ) 1 (10 <b>)</b>	144	132	-42.9%	12	2
GALLIA GEAUGA	31	68	15	114	114	-100.0%	0	0
GREENE	33	169	The second section of the second section of	414	390	-27.3%	24	2
GUERNSEY	12	118	21	151	110	241.7%	41	9
HAMILTON	436	1,975	290	2,701	2,378	-25.9%	323	3
HANCOCK	7	74	0	81	77	-42.9%	4	0
HARDIN	4	114	2	120	117	-25.0%	3	0
HARRISON	4	26		34	26	100.0%	8	0
HENRY	3	24	0	27	23	33.3%	4	0
HIGHLAND	2	139	and the second second	152	146	the state of the s	6	0
HOCKING	15	66		100	90	Control of the Contro	10	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 1 A 1 O
HOLMES	1	38		39	37	100.0%	2 	0
HURON	26	162	and the second of the second o	196	175	-19.2%	21 31	0
JACKSON	10	169	The second of the second of the second	242	211 135	210.0% 92.9%	27	5, 6 m 31, 6
JEFFERSON	14	147	and the second s	162 122	105 105	112.5%	17	0
KNOX LAKE	8 45	94 513		590		40.0%	63	Ŏ
LANE	4.7 8	295		303	276	the second of the second	27	0
LICKING		105		167	160		7	0
LOGAN	5	195		207	174		33	5
LORAIN	73	312		387	and the second s		22	0
LUCAS	74	472		807	740		67	15
MADISON	2	80		87	79	300.0%		0
MAHONING	10	146		156	132		24	4
MARION	30	244		280	261	-36.7%	19	2
MEDINA	20	152	and the second s	188			20	1
MEIGS	5	97	0	102			3	0
MERCER	3	98		104			15	0
MIAMI	125	597		745			102	0
MONROE	1	11		12			3	0
MONTGOMERY	102	585	39	726	534	88.2%	192	42

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION UNRULY OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
MORGAN MORROW	16 8	47 59	1 8	64 75	55 69	+43,8% -25,0%	9 6	0
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	9 0	375 17		385 17	324	577.8%	61	ő
OTTAWA	ið	45	0 3	58	12 <b>55</b>	-70.0%	5 3	0
PAULDING PERRY	11 20	47 79	9 0	67 99	59 87	-27.3% -40.0%	8 12	0 0
PICKAWAY PIKE	12 3	105 1 <b>29</b>	4 <b>5</b>	121 137	107 122	16.7% 400.0%	14 15	0 <b>o</b>
PORTAGE PREBLE	0 13	123 116	4 0	127 129	120 <b>117</b>	-7.7%	7 12	0
PUTNAM RICHLAND	1 47	51 529	1 23	53	53	-100.0%	0	0
ROSS	23	263	4	599 290	516 268	76.6% -4.3%	83 22	0
SANDUSKY SCIOTO	18 81	144 281	43	163 405	140 295	27.8% 35.8%	23 110	7 13
SENECA SHELBY	18 7	216 134	<b>2</b> 12	236 153	209 147	50,0% -14.3%	27 6	4
STARK SUMMIT	132 337	546 795	65 3	743 1,135	686 797	-56,8% 0.3%	57 338	12
TRUMBULL TUSCARAWAS	122 29	490 118	135 0	747 147	573	42.6%	174	<b>55</b>
UNION VAN WERT	13	164	2	179	140 161	-75.9% 38.5%	7 18	0 0
VINTON	$\hat{\mathbf{z}}$	40 24	3 0	44 26	42 25	100.0% -50.0%	2 1	0 0
WARREN WASHINGTON	110 17	477 59	3	590 83	522 <b>67</b>	-38.2% -5,9%	68 1 <b>6</b>	9 0
WAYNE WILLIAMS	71 <b>5</b>	344 28	8	423 33	387 28	-49.3% 0.0%	36 5	5 0
WOOD WYANDOT	37 2	248 37	31 2	316 41	278 39	2.7% 0.0%	38 9	0
		THE SHELL CONTRACTOR SHEET CONTRACTOR OF SHEET	o ingela e server etan ensessire		russanett pitti. Krandi (1868)			maaris kaalisel <b>X</b>
STATEWIDE 88	3,379	18,766	2,089	24,234	21,046	-5.7%	3,188	298


# COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION UNRULY TERMINATIONS . 1992

***TRIAL BY*** COUNTY JUDGE REFEREE	DISMISSAL BY PARTY, JUDGE, OR PROSECUTOR	**ALMISSION TO** JUDGE REFERE	UNAVAIL- ABILITY OF PARTY	TRANS- FERRED OUT	INTERLOCU- TORY APPEAL OR ORDER	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS 23 0	8	0 0		0 8	0		31 265
ALLEN 6 21 ASHLAND 2 0	<b>52</b>	4 166 30 0	and the first territory above the control of the first probability and the		Ö	1	38
ASHTABULA 0 1	55	24 402	0	0	0	0	482
ATHENS 2 0	28	29 99	ta alber deben ereke akanzanzan bereta	13	0	1	175
AUGLAIZE 5 0 BELMONT 0 0	19 20	48 0 90 0	where the south of the control of the section	18 1	0 0	0 1	90 112
BROWN 47 0	49 13	70 0	ratus derivas para un substituta en la trata de la	1	0	0	137
BUTLER 1 99	12	0 267	0	0	0	. 20	399
CARROLL 4 0 CHAMPAIGN 0 0	11 1 <b>5</b>	42 0 112 0	as been a constitute or an accommodate of	5 2	0	0 3	62 133
CHAMPAIGN         0         0           CLARK         0         1	15 19	112 72 1	7 - 3 - 17 - 12 - 14 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15	21	0	1	115
CLERMONT 18 6	125	261 186	0	0	0	6	602
CLINTON 13 0		63 0	Agriculture of the contract of the contract of	0	<b>0</b> Halif Utwa daga 10 <b>K</b> Wakala	0	85
COLUMBIANA 3 140 COSHOCTON 1 0	14 2	0 14 10 0	and the state of t	0	0	0 2	, 171 15
CRAWFORD 0 0		177 0	particle consists of the first contract of the	11	Ö	i j	193
CUYAHOGA 1 2	163	57 170	The second of the following readings.	6	0	0	689
DARKE 1 0  DEFIANCE 1 0	<b>0</b> 44	58 11 106 8	en en la companya de la companya de de la manda de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de	17	0	0 0	70 176
DEFIANCE 1 0 DELAWARE 11 0	34 34	39 53	The second of the control of the con	4	ŏ	wa i	142
ERIE 0 1	59	5 240	3	17	0	0	325
FAIRFIELD 0 0	16	70 11		1	0	6	104
FAYETTE 6 0 FRANKLIN 0 6	4 <b>355</b>	75 0 0 633	and the second of the second of the second of the second	3 4	0 0	6 99	94 1, <b>25</b> 1
FULTON I 0	13	46 C	the same and the second of the contract of the second	6	0	2	68
GALLIA 22 0	44	63 (	The results of the re		0	2	132
GEAUGA 0 0 GREENE 3 348	6 32	48 23 0 3	and a second control of the control	0 4	0 0	37 0	114 390
GUERNSEY 4 0	25	68 (	Carrier and a service of each and a service	100 00 40 <b>30 (</b>	0	12	110
HAMILTON 2 244	710	0 956	439	27	Ö	0	2,378
HANCOCK 0 0	12 6 July 14 aug 12 <b>46</b> 5 5 5 5 5 5	60 4 94 (	CARREST CONTRACTOR AND ADMINISTRAÇÃO DE SANTO	0 5	0 	1 0	77 117
HARDIN 0 0 HARRISON 0 0	18 3	94 ( 22 (	ra ya ili siya wingaya nashiday isanee yesa	1	0 •	0	26
HENRY 0 0	4-8-11-1-1-10-14-3-3-3-3-4-3-3-3-3-3-4-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-	12 0	0	6	<b>0</b>	1	23
HIGHLAND 35 0	11 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 -	89 (		11 0	0	0 26	146
HOCKING 4 0 HOLMES 0 0	13 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	46 32	Li especial de la Maria O		•	40 0	90 37
HURON 3 0	31	61 6	August and the second of the second	14	<b>o</b>	0	175
JACKSON 0 0	30	173 (	the state of the state of the state of the state of	4	O SANTARA NA PARRAMANA AND A	4 موند: داداداد	211
JEFFERSON 4 1 KNOX 0 0	21 2	95 89 (	and the first of the second of the first	0	<b>0</b> 0	5 13	135 105
LAKE 0 2	89	79 300		3	ō	38	527
LAWRENCE 4 6	26	121 115		0	0	0	276
LICKING 5 5 LOGAN 0 0	16 28	60 60 122 (		1 16	0	4 8	160 174
LORAIN 1 0	20 84			0	ŏ	and the second	365
LUCAS 1 2	112	13 29	318	0	0	0	740
MADISON 5 0		17 55	processing the control of the contro	1	0.00	0	79 120
MAHONING 0 2 MARION 1 0	<b>2</b> (4.1 15.5%) <b>34</b> 1 13.	4 118 4 200		0 15	0	6 0	132 261
MEDINA 16 0	27	111 14		0	0	0	168
MEIGS 0 0		92 (		0	ō	0	99
MERCER 1 0 MIAMI 0 0		65 (6 46 50)		16 62	0	1 0	89 543
MIAMI 0 0 MONROE 0 0		8 (		0	0, 4.7 (1.5) (1.5) (1.5) 0	0	643 9
MONTGOMERY 0 0	187	0 34		3	0		534

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION UNRULY TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COUNTY	***'IRIAL JUDGE R	BY***	DISMISSAL BY PARTY, JUDGE, OR PROSECUTOR		ON TO** REFEREE	UNAVAIL- ABILITY OF PARTY	TRANS- FERRED OUT	INTERLOCU- TORY APPEAL OR ORDER	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
MORGAN	 12		10	 12	0	2	1	O	18	55
MORROW	1	0	9	<b>52</b>	0	0	4	0	3	69
MUSKINGUM	0	4	0	16	304	0	0.1	0	0	324
NOBLE	3	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	12
OTTAWA	0	.0	9	41	1	Ö	4	0	0	55
PAULDING	13	0	5	41	0	0	. 0	orus an enucinio est tobalectualect	0	59
PERRY	6	0	6	74	0	1.00	0	0	0	87
PICKAWAY	<b>5</b> - The Uddingui 1270 Ann - An	0 15/15/3/3/3/2015	<b>3</b> sen centrumen kokadaald in kalonka	94	0 3945.Materia (2012)	O Saladoria de de de deservir	1 : :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0	4	107
PIKE	e de Land	0	26	74		$rac{\mathbf{q}}{2}$		0	20	122
PORTAGE PREBLE	0	0	<b>27</b> January (1984)	0 84	88	1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	4 0	0	0	120
PREBLE PUTNAM	13	0	9	84 41	0	()	1	Y	12 0	117 53
RICHLAND	0	1	20	68	415	2	1 3000 1040 <b>1</b> 446	0	0	516
ROSS	0	13	49	60	140	Λ.	riceditalikiti. K	0	0	268
sandusky	Ŏ.	0	7	117	140	orea do car		Ö		200 140
SCIOTO	24	4	99	130	3	8 8 - 1869 - 1869 - 1869 - 1869 - 1869 8	0	0	27	295
SENECA	o o	0	21	178	0	Ö	10	0	0	209
SHELBY	46	0	18	71	0	0	2	0	10	147
STARK	0	15	101	27	464	6	29	0	44	684
SUMMIT	0	1	120	0	612	0	35	0	29	79
TRUMBULL	0	i	79	17	462	7	6	0	1	573
TUSCARAWAS	0	0	18	28	94	0	0	0	0	140
UNION	4	0	20	65	53	4/70	18	0	0	161
VAN WERT	0	0	7	33	0	0	2	0	0	42
VINTON	23	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	25
WARREN	4 	6 redecimental restricts	84 meteorios establicada en sunta	203	139	0	28		58	522
WASHINGTON	0	13 / <b>1</b>	13	6	41	2	1	0	3	67
WAYNE	U enii alabanasi sodu	1 0	42	8	265	5	38 0	U Saestaja ta SCS (SVA/SVA)	28	387
WILLIAMS WOOD			2 76	26	0 114	0	10	0	0	28
WYANDOT	0 0	0	76 3	78 3 <i>5</i>	114	0	10 0	0 0	0	278
THE PART OF THE PA	n este n <b>ik</b> asiri	territoria.	9	<b></b>	produce <b>M</b> ed		artina na <b>m</b> in		\$6.5 C 1011 E. 417	אַכ
		ne -								
STATEWIDE 88	422	934	3,603	4,869	8,800	1,303	539	0	576	21,046

### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS - JUVENILE DIVISION STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



# SECTION I

### **MUNICIPAL COURTS**


Note: Inconsistent reporting procedures by some courts are being identified and corrected. These differences may affect comparisons between courts.

## MUNICIPAL COURTS COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE REPORT FOR THE ENTIRE STATE 1992

	FELONIES	MISDE- MEANORS	O.M.V.I.	OTHER TRAFFIC	PERSONAL INJU AND PROPERT DAMAGE		F. E. D.	O'THER CIVIL	SMALL CLAIMS	TOTAL
CASES PENDING BEGINNING OF YEAR	9,958	42,193	9,666	88,903	4,671	43,320	27,352	9,950	23,808	259,821
NEW CASES FILED	65,701	288,995	80,807	1,349,933	14,062	153,695	81,674	22,303	90,370	2,147,540
TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	7,277	54,956	7,992	116,243	906	2,660	8,683	3,862	487	203,066
TOTAL CASES	82,936	386,144	98,465	1,555,079	19,639	199,675	117,709	36,115	114,665	2,610,427
CASES TERMINATED BY:										
HEARING BY JUDGE	14,438	98,904	21,518	170,349	7,464	102,423	26,789	8,517	17,046	467,448
HEARING BY REFEREE	х	7,811	1,827	139,821	1,047	13,684	20,513	2,593	45,637	232,933
TRANSFER (INCLUDES INDIVIDUAL JUDGE ASSIGNMENT)	21,066	110,756	56,155	104,660	3,658	19,963	5,754	3,801	1,288	327,101
DISMISSAL:LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL (CRIM.) OR WANT OF PROSECUTION (CIVIL)	340	850	50	527	599	7,364	15,354	467	3,731	29,282
OTHER DISMISSALS	27,401	22,849	1,204	59,187	1,809	19,483	14,742	3,126	19,825	169,626
VIOLATIONS BUREAU	x	29,242	x	804,746	x	x	x	x	x	833,988
UNAVAILABILITY OF ACCUSED	8,062	62,440	5,346	198,001	x	x	x	х	x	273,849
BANKRUPTCY STAY OR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL	116	131	8	216	61	1,237	93	149	253	2,264
ALL OTHER TERMINATIONS	284	3,172	791	5,824	494	4,134	2,338	5,964	1,023	24,024
TOTAL TERMINATIONS	71,707	336,155	86,899	1,483,331	15,132	168,288	85,583	24,617	88,803	2,360,515
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR	11,229	49,989	11,566	71,748	4,507	31,387	32,126	11,498	25,862	249,912
TIME GUIDELINE (MONTHS)	1	6	6	6	24	12	12	12	6	х
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR BEYOND TIME GUIDELINE	709	548	70	1,319	14	375	136	47	478	3,696

## MUNICIPAL COURTS COMPOSITE INDIVIDUAL JUDGE REPORT FORM FOR THE ENTIRE STATE 1992

	MISDE- MEANORS	O. <u>M.V.I</u> .	OTHER TRAFFIC	PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE	CONTRACTS	F. E. D.	OTHER CIVIL	TOTAL
CASES PENDING BEGINNING OF YEAR	18,549	11,596	15,072	2,079	9,260	2,313	1,199	60,068
NEW CASES ASSIGNED	110,764	56,571	102,563	3,810	20,032	5,872	4,255	303,867
TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	16,476	7,141	14,178	227	1,411	259	322	40,014
TOTAL CASES	145,789	75,308	131,813	6,116	30,703	8,444	5,776	403,949
CASES TERMINATED BY:								
JURY TRIAL	730	468	127	31	51	10	. 12	1,429
COURT TRIAL	9,264	2,618	13,487	590	3,147	2,176	890	32,172
DEFAULT	x	x	x	878	5,121	856	828	7,683
GUILTY OR NO CONTEST PLEA TO ORIGINAL CHARGE	43,596	39,063	45,031	x	<b>x</b> ,	X	x	127,690
GUILTY OR NO CONTEST PLEA TO REDUCED CHARGE	12,568	7,767	10,591	x	x	<b>X</b>	x	30,926
DISMISSAL:LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL (CRIM.) OR WANT OF PROSECUTION (CIVIL)	437	55	257	172	672	719	180	2,492
OTHER DISMISSALS	35,276	4,451	22,339	1,618	7,441	1,946	1,149	74,220
TRANSFERRED OUT	3,937	1,767	2,980	149	838	191	165	10,027
REFERRED TO A PRIVATE JUDGE	x	х	x	7	12	10	6	35
UNAVAILABILITY OF ACCUSED	15,763	4,742	15,256	x	х	x	x	35,761
BANKRUPTCY STAY OR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL	37	21	. 11	45	597	32	45	788
ALL OTHER TERMINATIONS	5,151	1,884	5,106	821	4,771	890	1,261	19,884
TOTAL TERMINATIONS	126,759	62,836	115,185	4,311	22,650	6,830	4,536	343,107
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR	19,030	12,472	16,628	1,805	8,053	1,614	1,240	60,842
TIME GUIDELINE (MONTHS)	6	6	6	24	12	12	12	x
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR BEYOND TIME GUIDELINE	700	351	623	9	196	52	27	1,958



### MUNICIPAL COURTS OVERALL CASELOADS

					v filings, Tra				
		POPUL		A	ND REACTIVA PER	TIONS PER 1000		- TERMINATIC PER	PER 1000
COURT	NUMBER OF JUDGES	TOTAL	PER JUDGE	TOTAL		POPULATION	TOTAL	JUDGE	
AKRON	6	263,247	43,875	60,605	10,101	230	60,612	10,102	230
ALLIANCE	1	43,026	43,026	5,478	5,478	127 <b>377</b>	5,484 17,960	5,484 1 <b>7</b> ,960	127 378
ASHLAND ASHTABULA	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	47,507 57,806	47,507 57,806	17,921 11,995	17,921 11,995	208	12,093	12,093	209
ATHENS	i	59,549	59,549	10,821	10,821	182	10,817	10,817	182
AUGLAIZE COUNTY	1	44,585	44,585	9,217	9,217	207 <b>69</b>	9,367	9,367 1,733	210 71
AVON LAKE BARBERTON	1 2	24,346 105,000	24,346 52,500	1,688 16,261	1,688 8,131	155	1,733 16,281	1,755 8,141	155
BEDFORD	$ ilde{ ilde{2}}$	75,000	37,500	20,425	10,213	272	21,074	10,537	281
BELLEFONTAINE	1 Salahin Salahin Salahi	42,310	42,310	14,138	14,138	334 244	14,282 2,936	14,282 2,936	338 245
BELLEVUE BEREA	1 2	11,985 91,926	11,985 45,963	2,930 8,125	2,930 4,063	2 <del>44</del> 88	2,930 8,114	2,950 4,057	243 88
BOWLING GREEN	1	58,064	58,064	11,922	11,922	205	11,981	11,981	206
BRYAN	1 a Maderito Sobook (1 do 40 de 40 de 40 de 4	36,956	36,956	11,303	11,303	306	11,326	11,326 13,443	306 <b>34</b> 4
CAMBRIDGE CAMPBELL	1	39,024 15,000	39,024 15,000	13,728 1,540	13,728 1,540	352 103	13,443 1,524	1,524	102
CANTON	4	192,569	48,142	28,742	7,186	149	28,523	7,131	148
CELINA	1	39,443	39,443	6,013	6,013	152	5,944	5,944 4 <b>221</b>	151
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY CHARDON	1 1	36,000 81,129	36,000 81,129	4,208 13,559	4,208 13,559	117 167	4,221 13,720	4,221 13,720	117 169
CHILLICOTHE	2	69,370	34,685	18,308	9,154	264	18,629	9,315	269
CIRCLEVILLE	1	48,255	48,255	11,717	11,717	243	11,845	11,845	245 20⊄
CLARK COUNTY CLERMONT COUNTY	3 2	147,548 150,187	49,183 75,094	29,683 28,801	9,894 14,401	201 192	30,563 23,173	10,188 11,587	207 154
CLEVELAND	12	560,000	46,667	28,001 174,092	14,508	311	167,518	13,960	299
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1	54,052	54,052	21,655	21,655	401	22,551	22,551	417
CLEVELAND HOUSING	3 1, , ,	560,000 13,241	560,000 13,241	14,814 3,862	14,814 3,862	26 292	14,261 3,880	14,261 3,880	25 293
CONNEAUT		35,427	35,427	3,172	3,172	90	3,197	3,197	90
CRAWFORD COUNTY		47,870	47,870	7,607	7,607	159	7,636	7,636	160
CUYAHOGA FALLS DAYTON	<b>2</b> 5	160,124 170,000	80,062 34,000	37,966 63,588	18,983 12,718	237 374	37,668 63,535	18,834 12,707	235 374
DEFIANCE	i	39,228	39,228	9,796	9,796	250	9,929	9,929	253
DELAWARE	1	66,929	66,929	15,784	15,784	236	15,809	15,809	236
EAST CLEVELAND EAST LIVERPOOL	1 1	33,096 26,105	33,096 26,105	12,491 3,553	12,491 3,553	377 136	14,039 3,454	14,039 3,454	424 132
EATON	i	40,000	40,000	8,886		222	8,672	8,672	217
ELYRIA	2	94,873	47,437	21,259	10,630	224	20,930	10,465	221
EUCLID FAIRBORN	1	54,875 73,813	54,875 73,813	16,376 20,229	16,376 20,229	298 274	16,224 19,820	16,224 19,820	296 269
FAIRFIELD	i	39,729	39,729	7,046			7,107	7,107	179
FINDLAY	1	64,498	64,498	20,423	20,423	317	20,684	20,684	321
FOSTORIA FRANKLIN	1 1	11,763 25,000	11,763 25,000	3,598 6,329	3,598 6,329	306 253	3,641 6,629	3,641 6,629	310 265
FRANKLIN COUNTY	14	961,437	68,674	268,760		280	284,131	and the state of the section of	296
FRANKLIN COUNTY E	and the service of the contract of the contrac	961,437	961,437	4,915	化双重接收 计分类 医胚 化二烷甲基酚二烷	5 (1987-) - 1984-1984   1987-1984   1987-1984   1987-1984   1987-1984   1987-1984   1987-1984   1987-1984   1987	4,095		4
FREMONT GALLIPOLIS	1 1	28,138 30,954	28,138 30,954	8,811 11,028	8,811 11,028	313 356	9,040 11,127	9,040 11,127	321 359
GARFIELD HEIGHTS	$\dot{\hat{2}}$	100,000	50,000	11,459			11,663	网络大大大 经货币的 电流 化超级电影通信	117
GIRARD	1	70,000	70,000	12,143	12,143	173	12,119	网络亚洲 医二氯二甲甲二 医电流流电阻 化二烷基	173
HAMILTON HAMILTON COUNTY	1 14	85,000 850,000	85,000 60,714	20,091 277,851	20,091 19,847	236 327	19,754 282,955		232 333
HARDIN COUNTY		31,111	31,111	5,762	to the expectation of the second con-		5,658		182
HILLSBORO	1 International control of the contr	28,741	28,741	5,357		186	5,406		188
HOCKING COUNTY HURON	1	25,000 9,297	25,000 9,297	5,344 2,997		214 322	5,360 3,000	5,360 3,000	214 323
IRONTON		9,297 26,017	26,017	2,997 7,411	しょくさん ちゅうひがく だいいき	285	7,596		292
JACKSON COUNTY	1	30,230	30,230	10,575	10,575	350	11,158	11,158	369
KETTERING	2	125,000	62,500 50,000		the state of the state of the state of	158 280	20,027 16,370	and the state of t	160 277
LAKEWOOD LANCASTER	2	59,000 103,000	59,000 51,500	16,517 19,609		280 190	19,925	the state of the second second	193
LAWRENCE COUNTY	1	40,000	40,000	7,617	7,617	190	8,031	8,031	201
LEBANON		21,000	21,000	5,492	5,492	262	5,477	5,477	261

## MUNICIPAL COURTS OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

POPULATION	TERMINATION	NS
LICKING COUNTY 2 128,300 64,150 18,441 9,221 144 18,509 LIMA 2 109,725 54,878 22,233 11,627 212 29,200 LIDRAIN 2 84,221 42,411 15,442 7,721 162 12,864 LIDRAIN 2 15,000 75,000 33,397 16,699 223 31,774 MADISON COUNTY 1 37,068 37,068 35,383 8,583 8,583 21 8,532 MADISON COUNTY 1 64,274 64,274 18,144 18,134 22 18,541 MARISON 2 11,000 75,000 33,397 16,699 223 31,774 MARISON 2 11,000 75,000 33,997 16,699 35,00 298 10,000 MARISON 2 12,000 75,000 31,000 9,540 9,540 223 31,774 MARISON 2 12,000 75,000	PER	PER 1000
LIALA  2 109,755 54,878 23,253 11,627 21,2 22,20  1 1,027 11,027	JUDGE	POPULATIO
LORAIN  2 84,821 42,411 15,422 7,721 192 12,826 LYNDERURST 1 1 57,659 57,659 122,499 122,249 386 122,206 LANDSTEDL 2 150,000 75,000 33,379 16,659 22 31 8,532 MADISON COUNTY 1 37,068 37,068 8,538 8,538 231 8,537 MADISON COUNTY 1 64,627 64,274 18,134 18,134 282 13,774 MARIETTA 1 62,254 64,274 18,134 18,134 282 13,8581 MARIENTO COUNTY 1 1 64,627 64,274 18,134 18,134 282 13,8581 MARIENTO COUNTY 1 1 64,627 64,274 18,134 18,134 282 13,8581 MARIENTO LANDSTED 1 1 1,969 31,969 9,540 9,540 9,540 288 10,800 MARIENTO COUNTY 1 8,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 157 19,058 MASSILLON 2 121,975 61,988 13,417 9,209 149 19,058 MASSILLON 1 1 85,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 187 13,783 MANDINACOUNTY 1 8,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 187 15,783 MAIMIM 2 90,381 45,19 29,19 9,979 179 9,918 MAIMIM 2 90,381 45,19 29,19 14,600 32 28,997 MAIMAMIM 2 90,381 45,19 29,19 14,600 32 28,997 MAIMAMIM 2 90,381 45,19 29,19 14,000 32 28,997 MAIMAMIM 2 16,848 60 69,40 17,60 41,760 42 15,752 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 18,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 1 7,109 29,109 19,100 7,100 19,100 1	9,255	144
LYNDEURST 1 57,659 57,659 22,249 22,249 336 22,209 MANDEON COUNTY 1 37,068 37,068 5,535 8,535 231 5,532 MANDEON COUNTY 1 37,068 37,068 5,535 8,535 231 5,532 MANDEOR COUNTY 1 64,774 64,774 18,134 18,134 282 18,551 MARIENTA 1 0 62,254 64,274 18,134 18,134 282 18,551 MARIENTA 1 1 64,774 64,774 18,134 18,134 282 18,551 MARIENTA 1 1 1,1599 1,1969 5,540 9,540 288 10,080 MARIENTA 1 1 1,1599 1,1969 5,540 9,540 288 10,080 MASSIN 1 26,691 26,491 6,685 5,685 220 6,477 MASSILLON 2 123,975 6,988 18,417 9,209 149 19,088 MASSIN 1 1 55,578 35,578 14,970 14,707 421 14,845 MEDINA COUNTY 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 157 15,738 MEDINA COUNTY 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 157 15,738 MIAMI 2 90,381 45,191 29,199 4,760 323 22,997 MIAMI 2 90,381 45,191 29,199 1,799 1,799 3,918 MIAMI 2 90,381 45,191 29,199 1,760 323 22,997 MIAMI 2 90,381 45,191 29,199 1,760 232 22,997 MIDULETOWN 1 66,040 69,040 17,604 17,604 255 17,522 MIDULETOWN 1 69,040 69,040 13,002 130,002 169 5,812 MAPCILEON 1 27,103 27,103 72,112 7,212 299 7,241 MILES 1 34,084 34,084 35,855 8,856 172 5,599 MAPCILEON 1 27,810 27,810 7,212 7,212 299 7,241 MILES 1 34,084 34,084 5,855 8,856 172 5,599 MANDLETOWN 1 48,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 163 22,355 MEWEY PHIL ADELPHA 1 80,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 163 12,355 MANDLETOWN 1 44,925 44,925 9,108 9,108 215 7,813 MILES 1 34,084 34,084 5,855 8,856 172 5,599 MARIES 1 34,084 34,084 5,855 8,855 199 2,903 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 12,103 1,903 1,903 12,103 1	11,645	212
MADISON COUNTY 1 37,068 37,068 5,558 5,558 231 8,593 MANSHEELD 2 150,000 75,000 33,377 16,699 223 31,774 MARNETETA 1 62,254 62,254 14,673 16,673 236 14,862 MARNETETA 1 62,254 62,254 14,673 18,154 18,134 282 13,858 MARNETETA 1 62,254 62,254 14,673 18,154 18,134 282 13,858 MARNETETA 1 62,254 62,254 18,154 18,134 282 13,858 MARNETETA 1 1 31,969 31,969 9,540 9,540 238 10,080 MARSON 1 26,491 26,491 6,085 6,085 230 6,477 MARSELLON 2 12,975 61,988 18,17 9,269 149 19,058 MAUMED 1 35,578 35,578 14,970 14,700 421 14,845 MAUMED 1 55,669 55,629 9,979 179 9,918 MENTOR 1 55,669 55,629 9,979 179 9,918 MANUSURG 1 62,815 62,815 11,175 11,175 178 17,188 MANDIDLETOWN 1 69,00 69,00 17,60 17,604 255 17,522 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,122 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,122 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,122 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,122 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,528 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,128 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,128 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,128 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,128 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,128 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,128 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,128 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,128 MOUNT VERNON 1 1 7,181	6,432 22,206	152 385
MANSPIELD 2 150,000 75,000 33,397 16,699 223 31,774 MANSPIELD 1 0,224 62,24 14,767 14,673 236 14,862 MARION COUNTY 1 1 64,274 62,74 18,134 18,134 282 18,581 MARION COUNTY 1 1 31,999 31,969 9,560 9,560 298 10,080 MARSYNILE 1 1 31,999 31,969 9,560 9,560 298 10,080 MARSYNILE 1 1 25,491 26,491 6,085 6,085 230 6,477 MASSILLON 2 123,975 61,988 18,477 9,209 149 19,088 MARSUNED 1 1,55,78 35,787 14,970 14,970 42 14,845 MEDINA COUNTY 1 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 15,700 187 15,783 MEDINA COUNTY 1 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 187 15,783 MEDINA COUNTY 1 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 187 15,783 MEDINA COUNTY 1 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 187 15,783 MIAMI 2 9,0381 45,191 29,199 14,600 323 28,997 MIDULETOWN 1 69,040 69,040 17,604 17,604 255 17,522 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MAPOLEON 1 2 9,108 29,108 5,619 5,619 193 5,698 NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 80,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 165 12,335 NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 34,084 34,084 5,585 3,866 172 5,597 NORWALK 1 34,802 54,802 15,418 15,418 281 15,602 AAKWOOLD 1 8,897 8,597 2,858 2,838 319 2,903 DREGON 1 42,1799 7,199 12,535 12,835 178 31,127 PARMA 3 170,394 7,199 12,353 12,855 78 31,127 PARMA 3 170,394 7,199 12,353 12,855 778 31,127 PARMA 3 170,394 7,199 12,353 12,855 778 31,127 PARMA 1 1 41,255 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 PARMA 1 1 1,199 7,199 12,353 12,855 778 31,127 PARMA 1 1 1,199 7,199 12,350 1,199 13,509 12,51 3,714 PARMA 3 170,394 7,199 12,353 12,355 178 31,127 PARMA 1 1 1,199 7,199 12,355 12,355 178 31,127 PARMA 1 1 1,199 7,199 12,355 12,355 178 31,127 PARMA 1 1 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,255 4,25 4,364 14,469 12,25 4,475 PARMA 1 1 1,194 1,199 7,199 12,355 12,355 178 31,3127 PARMA 1 1 1,194 1,199 7,199 12,355 12,355 178 31,3127 PARMA 1 1 1,194 1,199 7,199 12,355 12,355 178 31,3127 PARMA 1 1 1,194 1,199 7,199 12,355 12,355 178 31,3127 PARMA 1 1 1,194 1,199 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,192 1,366 13,354 14,499 13,354 14,499 13,354 14	8,532	230
MARIETTA  1	15,887	212
MARION COUNTY 1	14,862	239
MASON 1 2 56,491 26,491 6,085 6,085 230 6,477 MASSILLON 2 123,75 61,988 18,417 9,209 149 19,058 MAUMEE 1 35,578 51,578 14,570 14,970 421 14,845 MAUMEE 1 35,578 55,529 59,529 9,979 9,979 179 9,918 MEDINA COUNTY 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 187 15,783 MEINA COUNTY 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 179 9,918 MIAMI 2 90,381 45,191 29,199 14,600 323 228,997 MIAMI 2 90,381 45,191 29,199 14,600 323 228,997 MIAMI 1 60,400 69,040 17,604 17,604 255 17,522 MIDDLETOWN 1 69,040 69,040 17,604 17,604 255 17,522 MIDDLETOWN 1 69,040 69,040 17,604 17,604 255 17,522 MIDDLETOWN 1 1 29,108 29,108 5,619 5,619 193 5,698 NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 80,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 163 12,255 NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 80,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 163 12,255 NILES 1 34,084 34,084 5,856 5,856 172 5,397 NORWALK 1 54,802 54,802 15,418 15,418 281 15,602 DAKWOOD 1 1 8,857 8,857 2,858 2,858 319 2,903 DORBELIN 1 14,255 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 DORBEGON 1 21,709 21,709 6,093 6,693 281 6,058 PAININEYLLE 1 7,199 7,199 7,199 12,835 12,835 173 13,127 PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 112,835 12,835 178 13,127 PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 112,835 12,835 178 13,127 PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 112,866 6,602 116 18,878 PORT CLINTON 1 40,028 40,029 8,282 8,282 802 17 1,747 PORT CLINTON 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 18,61 18,878 SINDEY 1 44,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SINDEY 1 19,191 19,191 2,866 2,366 124 2,288 SINDEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 3,554 190 8,665 STEUBENVILLE 1 2,21,26 62,216 18,152 13,577 11,477 STRUTHERS 1 3,366 2,866 4,405 4,405 18, 547 STRUTHERS 1 30,461 33,294 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 STRUTHERS 1 30,461 42,211 15,454 13,554 366 15,864 STEUDENVILLE 1 2,21,256 22,125 3,777 3,777 1,68 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 39,466 6,216 18,152 13,152 STRUTHERS 1 30,461 43,311 6,648 6,468 138 6,744 STRUTHERS 1 30,461 43,311 6,648 6,468 138 6,744 STRUTHERS 1 30,461 42,181 16,656 53,366 30 30 99,452 STRUTHERS 1 39,476 39,377 3,777 168 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 30,461 42,181 16,556 8,288 399 20,223 STRUTHERS 1 30,461 42,181 16,556 8,288 399 301 99,452 STRUTHERS 1 30,461 42,181 16,556 8,288 399 301 99,452 STRUT	18,581	289
MASSILLON 2 123.975 61,988 18,417 9.209 149 19,058 MASUMEE 1 35,578 55,788 14,970 14,970 421 14,845 MEDINA COUNTY 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 177 15,783 MEDINA COUNTY 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 177 15,783 MEDINA COUNTY 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 177 15,783 MEDINA COUNTY 1 84,000 84,000 15,700 15,700 179 9,918 MIAMISBURG 1 62,815 62,815 11,175 11,175 178 11,186 MIADILETOWN 1 69,040 69,040 17,604 17,604 17,604 15,505 17,522 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 MOUNT VERNON 1 29,108 29,108 5,619 5,619 193 5,698 NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 89,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 165 12,555 NEWTON FALLS 1 27,810 7,212 7,212 259 7,244 MILES 1 34,404 34,084 5,855 5,856 5,856 5,757 2,5597 MORWALK 1 54,802 54,802 15,418 15,418 281 15,602 DAKWOODD 1 8,857 8,557 2,858 319 2,903 DBIELIN 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 DAKWOOD 1 1 8,857 8,557 2,858 319 2,903 DBIELIN 1 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 DAKMOOD 1 1 8,057 8,557 2,858 2,858 319 2,903 DBIELIN 1 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 PARMA 3 170,394 55,798 19,806 6,602 116 18,878 PORT CUINTON 1 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 3,282 207 8,034 PORT CUINTON 2 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 3,282 207 8,034 PORT CUINTON 1 2 3,366 33,308 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORT CAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 33,308 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORT CAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 33,308 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORT CAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 19,191 19,191 2,336 2,386 124 22,162 PORT CUINTON 1 1 40,221 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SANDUSKY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 22,285 SUINEW 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 24 40,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30,499 30	10,080	315
MAUMBE 1 35.578 35.578 14.570 14.570 421 14.845 MEDINA COUNTY 1 84.000 84.000 15.700 15.700 187 15.783 MENTOR 1 55.629 55.629 9.979 9.979 179 9.918 MENTOR 1 55.629 55.629 9.979 9.979 179 9.918 MIAMI 2 90.381 45.191 29.199 14.600 323 228.997 MIAMI 2 1 62.815 62.815 11.175 11.775 178 11.186 MIAMISBURG 1 6.815 62.815 11.75 11.775 178 11.186 MIDDLETOWN 1 6.90.40 69.040 17.604 17.604 255 17.522 10.00 MIDDLETOWN 1 1 47.473 47.473 8.002 8.002 169 8.121 NAPOLEON 1 29.108 29.108 5.619 5.619 193 5.698 NEW PHIL ADELPHIA 1 80.000 80.000 13.002 13.002 165 12.355 NEW PHIL ADELPHIA 1 80.000 80.000 13.002 13.002 165 12.355 NILES 1 34.084 34.084 5.855 5.856 172 5.597 7.244 NILES 1 34.084 34.084 5.855 5.856 172 5.597 7.244 NILES 1 34.084 34.084 5.855 5.856 172 5.597 7.244 NILES 1 8.857 8.802 18.548 8.258 8.319 2.903 OAKWOOD 1 8.857 8.957 2.888 2.858 319 2.903 OAKWOOD 1 1 8.857 8.557 2.858 2.858 319 2.903 OREGON 1 21.709 21.709 6.093 6.093 281 6.058 ANIMISE 1 7.1939 71.939 12.835 12.835 178 131.27 PARIMED 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	6,477	244
MEDINA COUNTY  1	9,529 14,845	154 417
MENTOR 1 55,629 55,629 9,979 9,979 179 9,918 MIAMI 2 99,381 45,191 29,199 14,600 323 28,997 MIAMISBURG 1 62,815 62,815 11,175 11,175 178 11,186 MIDDLETOWN 1 69,040 69,040 17,604 17,604 255 17,522 MIAMISBURG 1 29,108 29,108 5,619 5,619 193 5,698 MIAMISBURG 1 39,080 13,002 183,002 183 12,2555 MIEWED THA LEELPHIA 1 8,000 89,000 13,002 183,002 183 12,2555 MIEWED THA LEELPHIA 1 8,000 89,000 13,002 183,002 183 12,2555 MIEWED THA LEELPHIA 1 8,097 8,897 2,898 2,898 319 2,903 MIAMIS 1 54,802 54,802 54,802 5,856 5,856 172 5,897 MORWALK 1 54,802 54,802 54,802 5,888 2,898 319 2,903 MIAMIS 1 54,802 54,802 54,802 54,802 54,803 54,803 54,803 54,803 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,803 54,804 54,8	15,783	188
MIAMI  2 90,381 45,191 29,199 14,600 323 28,997  MIAMISBURG 1 62,815 62,815 11,175 178 11,176  11,175 178 11,186  MIAMISBURG 1 62,815 62,815 11,175 11,175 178 11,186  MIDDLETOWN 1 69,040 69,040 11,7604 11,175 178 11,186  MIDULETOWN 1 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121  NAPOLEON 1 29,108 29,108 5,619 93 5,689  NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 80,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 163 12,355  NEWTON FALLS 1 73,810 72,810 72,12 7,212 259 7,241  NILES 1 34,084 34,084 34,084 5,856 5,856 172 5,597  NORWALK 1 54,802 54,802 15,418 15,418 281 15,602  OAKWOOD 1 1 8,957 8,957 2,858 319 2,203  OBERLIN 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813  OREGON 1 21,709 21,709 6,093 6,093 281 6,058  ANINESULE 1 71,939 71,939 12,835 178 13,127  PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 19,806 6,602 116 18,878  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 153,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 2 183,808 65,904 14,259 7,130 178  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 153,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 19,509 133,808 66,904 14,259 7,130 178  SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864  SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864  SHELBY 1 1 69,282 3,383 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,657 87 11,747  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,659 13,819 178  PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 1 15,808 133,808 11,657 11,659 13,819 178  P	9,918	178
MIAMISBURG 1 62,815 62,815 11,175 11,175 178 11,186 MIDDLETOWN 1 69,040 69,040 17,604 17,604 255 17,522 MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 NAPOLEON 1 29,108 29,108 5,619 5,619 193 5,698 NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 80,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 163 12,355 NEW TOTO FALLS 1 27,810 72,810 72,12 7,212 259 7,241 NILES 1 34,084 34,084 5,856 ,856 172 5,597 NORWALK 1 54,802 54,802 15,418 15,418 281 15,602 OAKWOOD 1 8,957 8,957 2,858 2,858 319 2,903 OREGION 1 21,709 21,709 6,093 6,093 281 6,058 ANDEWLE 1 1 71,999 71,999 12,835 12,835 178 31,277 PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 19,806 6,602 116 18,878 PERRYSBURG 1 51,391 51,391 13,619 265 13,714 PORT CORT CLINTON 1 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 8,282 207 8,034 PORT CORT CLINTON 1 1 33,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORT CORT CLINTON 2 8,032 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT AGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 65,904 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORT CORT CLINTON 2 8,032 74,459 12,235 12,235 13,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,229 7,130 178 14,489 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,328 65,904 11,537 11,544 14,544 14,694 14,694 14,694 14,694 14,694 14,499 14,499 14,499 14,499 14,499 14,499 14,499 14	14,499	321
MOUNT VERNON 1 47,473 47,473 8,002 8,002 169 8,121 NAPOLEON 1 29,108 29,108 5,619 5,619 193 5,698 NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 80,000 80,000 13,002 13,002 163 12,355 NEWTON FALLS 1 27,810 7,212 7,212 259 7,241 NILES 1 34,084 34,084 5,856 3,856 172 5,597 NORWALK 1 54,802 54,802 15,418 15,418 281 15,602 OAKWOOD 1 8,857 8,957 2,858 2,858 319 2,903 ODERLIN 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 OREGON 1 71,709 21,709 6,093 6,093 281 6,058 PAINESUILE 1 71,939 71,939 12,835 12,835 178 13,127 PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 19,806 6,602 116 18,878 PERRYSBURG 1 51,391 51,391 13,619 15,619 265 13,714 PORT AGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORT AGE COUNTY-RAV. 2 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORT SMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 55,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HIGGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,699 232 44,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 23,866 30 14,469 232 44,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 23,865 30 14,459 12,230 210 24,441 SHAKER HIGGHTS 1 48,311 48,3	11,186	178
NAPOLEON   1   29,108   29,108   5,619   5,619   193   5,698   NEW PHILADELPHIA   1   80,000   80,000   13,002   13,002   166   12,355   NEWTON FALLS   1   27,810   7,212   7,212   259   7,241   NILES   1   34,044   34,084   5,856   5,856   172   5,597   NORWALK   1   54,802   54,802   15,418   15,418   281   15,602   OAKWOOD   1   8,957   8,957   2,858   2,858   319   2,903   OBERLIN   1   41,925   41,925   9,018   9,018   215   7,813   OREGON   1   21,709   21,709   6,093   6,093   281   6,058   PAINESVILLE   1   71,939   71,939   12,835   12,835   178   13,127   PARMA   3   170,394   56,798   19,806   6,602   116   18,878   PERRYSBURG   1   51,391   13,619   13,619   265   13,714   PORT COLINTON   1   40,029   40,029   8,282   8,282   207   8,034   PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT   1   133,808   66,904   21,636   10,818   162   22,162   PORTSMOUTH   2   80,327   40,164   14,259   7,130   178   14,489   ROCKY RIVER   2   116,660   58,330   24,459   12,230   210   24,441   SANDUSKY   1   42,211   42,211   5,454   15,454   366   15,864   SBAKER HIGHTS   1   63,282   63,282   14,699   14,094   232   14,757   SHELBY   1   19,191   19,191   2,386   2,386   124   2,288   SIDNEY   1   44,915   44,9	17,522	254
NEW PHILADELPHIA 1 80,000 80,000 13,002 15,002 163 12,355 NEW TON FALLS 1 27,810 7,212 7,212 259 7,241 NILES 1 34,084 34,084 5,856 5,856 172 3,597 NORWALK 1 54,802 54,802 15,418 281 15,602 OAKWOOD 1 8,897 8,957 2,858 2,858 2,858 319 2,203 OBERLIN 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 OREGON 1 21,709 21,709 6,6093 6,6093 281 6,058 PAINESVILLE 1 71,099 17,099 12,835 12,835 178 13,127 PARMA 3 170,304 56,708 19,806 6,602 116 18,878 PERRYSBURG 1 51,391 51,391 13,619 13,619 265 13,714 PORT CLINTON 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 8,282 207 8,034 PORTAGE COUNTY-KINT 1 133,808 133,808 111,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. 2 133,808 133,808 111,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. 2 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKX RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 15,454 15,454 13,664 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 134 69,44 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 154 2,288 SUNDERY 1 44,915 8,554 8,554 190 8,636 SUTH BUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,777 3,777 168 3,476 STEUBENVILLE 1 32,246 22,266 22,266 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TUFFIN 1 48,311 6,44 6,46 6,68 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO 1 1 82,366 3,366 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,216 22,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TUFFIN 1 48,311 6,44 6,46 6,48 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 32,243 322,943 866 866 3 702 UNPER SANDUSKY 1 49,515 8,554 8,554 190 30 9,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 32,243 322,943 866 866 3 702 UNPER SANDUSKY 1 49,515 8,554 8,554 190 30 9,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 32,243 322,943 322,943 866 866 3 702 UNPER SANDUSKY 1 49,515 8,554 8,554 190 30 9,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 32,243 322,943 322,943 866 866 3 702 UNPER SANDUSKY 1 30,461 30,	8,121	171
NEWTON FALLS	5,698	196
NILES	12,355	154
NORWALK 1 54,802 54,802 15,418 15,418 281 15,602 OAKWOOD 1 8,8957 8,957 2,858 2,858 319 2,903 OBERLIN 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 OREGON 1 21,709 21,709 6,093 6,093 281 6,093 281 6,093 PAINESVILLE 1 71,939 71,939 12,835 12,835 178 13,127 PARMA 3 170,934 56,798 19,806 6,602 116 18,878 PERRYSBURG 1 51,391 51,391 13,619 13,619 265 13,714 PORT CLINTON 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 8,282 207 8,034 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. 2 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 85,330 24,459 12,220 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,664 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,288 SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 44,915 8,554 190 8,636 SUUTH BUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,05 185 43,655 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 39,376 35,788 3,578 91 3,327 STRUTHERS 1 32,293 44,565 22,165 18,152 18,152 18,152 19,152 18,152 18,152 18,152 18,152 18,152 18,252 14,664 10,100 185 43,655 STEUBENVILLE 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 170LEDO 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 30,461 30,	7,241 5,597	260 1 <b>6</b> 4
OAKWOOD 1 8,957 8,957 2,858 2,858 319 2,003 OBERLIN 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 OBERLIN 1 1 41,925 41,925 9,018 9,018 215 7,813 OREGON 1 1 21,709 6,093 6,093 281 6,058 PAINESVILLE 1 71,939 71,939 12,835 12,835 178 13,127 PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 19,886 6,602 116 18,878 PERRYSBURG 1 51,391 13,391 13,619 13,619 265 13,714 PORT CLINTON 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 8,282 207 8,034 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,44 15,45 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,366 2,386 124 2,288 SIDMEY 1 44,915 8,554 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STEUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 3,578 91 3,327 SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TIFFIN 1 4,8311 43,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLLEDO 7 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 30,461 30,461 13,48 3,48 274 8,251 VAN WERT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 13,463 3,48 3,48 274 8,251 VAN DEATH COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 13,48 3,48 274 8,251 VAN DEATH COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 13,463 18,063 205 17,319 WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE 1 70,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILON 1 18,695 18,695 4,997 4,957 265 4,940 WASHERT COUNTY 2 101,461 50,731 18,403 9,202 181 18,694 WALLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,403 18,063 205 17,319 WILLIUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,403 19,02 181 18,694 WALLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,406 14,406 407 14,199 VOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,644	15,602	285
OBERLIN         1         41,925         41,925         9,018         9,018         215         7,813           OREGON         1         21,709         21,709         6,093         6,093         281         6,058           PARMOS         1         21,709         21,709         6,093         6,093         281         6,058           PARMA         3         170,394         56,798         19,806         6,602         116         18,878           PERRYSBURG         1         51,391         51,391         13,619         13,619         265         13,714           PORT CLINTON         1         40,029         40,029         8,282         8,282         207         8,034           PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT         1         133,808         11,637         11,637         87         11,747           PORTSMOUTH         2         80,327         40,164         14,259         7,130         178         14,489           ROCKY RIVER         2         116,660         58,330         24,459         12,230         210         24,441           SANDUSKY         1         42,211         42,211         5,454         15,454         366         15,864	2,903	324
OREGON 1 21,709 21,709 6,093 6,093 281 6,088 6,094 281, 6,088 71,939 71,939 12,835 12,835 178 13,127 PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 19,806 6,602 116 18,878 PERRYSBURG 1 51,391 51,391 13,619 13,619 265 13,714 PORT CLINTON 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 8,282 207 8,084 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. 2 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,288 SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 44,915 8,554 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STEUBENVILLE 1 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILON 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADDSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WADDSWORTH 1 35,415 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADDSWORTH 1 35,439 35,415 18,603 18,603 205 17,319 WILLMINGTON 1 185,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADDSWORTH 1 35,415 35,415 18,603 18,603 205 17,319 WILLMINGTON 1 185,695 18,695 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XASHINGTON 1 135,415 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XENEN 4 YOUNGSTOWN 3 9 50,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	7,813	186
PARMA 3 170,394 56,798 19,806 6,602 116 18,878 PERRYSBURG 1 51,391 51,391 13,619 13,619 265 13,714 PORT CLINTON 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 8,282 207 8,034 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. 2 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,150 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,288 SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 3,578 91 3,327 SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 292 18,425 TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 32,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200 VAN WERT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADSWORTH 1 35,341 87,931 18,603 18,063 205 17,319 WILLMINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XENIA 1 62,918 40,108 104,109 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,444	6,058	279
PERRYSBURG 1 51,391 51,391 13,619 13,619 265 13,714 PORT CLINTON 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 8,282 207 8,034 PORT CLINTON 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,288 SHONEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 8,554 190 8,636 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 91 3,327 STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 3,578 91 3,327 STRUTHERS 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,1112 454 10,200 VAN WIRT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VARDALIA 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WARSHINGTON COURTHOUSE 1 27,000 27,000 5,375 5,375 199 5,393 WAYNE COUNTY 2 101,461 50,731 18,603 18,063 205 17,319 WILMINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 WILLOUGHBY 1 37,918 82,918 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,444	13,127	182
PORT CLINTON 1 40,029 40,029 8,282 8,282 207 8,034 PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-RAY. 2 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,288 SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 39,376 35,78 91 3,327 SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO TOLEDO TO TOLEDO TOLE	6,293	111
PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT 1 133,808 133,808 11,637 11,637 87 11,747 PORTAGE COUNTY-RAY. 2 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,288 SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 3,578 91 3,327 SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WARREN 2 84,361 42,181 16,556 8,278 196 16,019 WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE 1 27,000 27,000 5,375 5,375 199 5,393 WAYNE COUNTY 2 101,461 50,731 18,403 9,202 181 18,694 WILLIAMSON 1 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XENIA 1 1 67,918 62,918 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	13,714	267
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. 2 133,808 66,904 21,636 10,818 162 22,162 PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489 ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,288 SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STRUHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 3,578 91 3,327 SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200 VAN WERT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WADSHINGTON COUNTY 2 101,461 50,731 18,403 9,202 181 18,694 WARREN 2 2 84,361 42,181 16,556 8,278 196 16,019 WASHINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 407 14,199 XENIA	8,034 11,747	201 88
PORTSMOUTH 2 80,327 40,164 14,259 7,130 178 14,489  ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441  SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864  SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757  SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,288  SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 8,554 190 8,636  SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365  STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470  STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 3,578 91 3,327  SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425  TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744  TOLEDO TO TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452  TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702  UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200  VAN WERT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251  VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237  VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940  WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916  WADSWORTH 1 37,931 87,931 18,403 9,202 181 18,694  WILLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,403 9,202 181 18,694  WILLIOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,403 9,202 181 18,694  WILLIOUGHSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	11,081	166
ROCKY RIVER 2 116,660 58,330 24,459 12,230 210 24,441 SANDUSKY 1 42,211 42,211 15,454 15,454 366 15,864 SHAKER HEIGHTS 1 63,282 63,282 14,694 14,694 232 14,757 SHELBY 1 19,191 19,191 2,386 2,386 124 2,2288 SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 3,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STEUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 35,788 91 3,327 SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 30,461 30,461 8,488 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WADSWORTH 1 37,014 15,141 16,019 WADSWORTH 1 37,014 15,141 18,03 9,202 181 18,694 WILLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,063 18,063 205 17,319 WALNINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XENIA 1 67,918 CENIA 1 67,918 62,918 14,139 14,139 225 14,339 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	7,245	180
SHAKER HEIGHTS         1         63,282         63,282         14,694         14,694         232         14,757           SHELBY         1         19,191         19,191         2,386         2,386         124         2,288           SIDNEY         1         44,915         44,915         8,554         8,554         190         8,636           SOUTH EUCLID         1         23,866         23,866         4,405         4,405         185         4,365           STEUBENVILLE         1         22,125         22,125         3,727         3,727         168         3,470           STRUTHERS         1         39,376         39,376         3,578         3,578         91         3,327           SYLVANIA         1         62,216         62,216         18,152         18,152         292         18,425           TIFFIN         1         48,311         48,311         6,648         6,648         138         6,744           TOLEDO         7         332,943         332,943         866         866         3         702           UPPER SANDUSKY         1         22,254         22,254         10,112         10,112         454         10,200	12,221	210
SHELBY         1         19,191         19,191         2,386         2,386         124         2,288           SIDNEY         1         44,915         44,915         8,554         8,554         190         8,636           SOUTH EUCLID         1         23,866         23,866         4,405         4,405         185         4,365           STEUBENVILLE         1         22,125         22,125         3,727         168         3,470           STRUTHERS         1         39,376         39,376         3,578         3,578         91         3,327           SYLVANIA         1         62,216         62,216         18,152         18,152         292         18,425           TIFFIN         1         48,311         48,311         6,648         6,648         138         6,744           TOLEDO         7         332,943         332,943         866         866         3         702           UPPER SANDUSKY         1         22,254         22,254         10,112         10,112         454         10,200           VAN WERT COUNTY         1         30,461         8,348         8,348         274         8,251           VANDALIA         1	15,864	376
SIDNEY 1 44,915 44,915 8,554 8,554 190 8,636 SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 3,578 91 3,327 SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200 VAN WERT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WARREN 2 84,361 42,181 16,556 8,278 196 16,019 WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE 1 27,000 27,000 5,375 5,375 199 5,393 WAYNE COUNTY 2 101,461 50,731 18,403 9,202 181 18,694 WILLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 87,931 18,663 18,063 205 17,319 WILLMINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XENIA 1 67,918 62,918 14,139 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	14,757	233
SOUTH EUCLID 1 23,866 23,866 4,405 4,405 185 4,365 STEUBENVILLE 1 22,125 22,125 3,727 3,727 168 3,470 STRUTHERS 1 39,376 39,376 3,578 91 3,327 SYLVANIA 1 62,216 62,216 18,152 18,152 292 18,425 TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 86 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200 VAN WERT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WARREN 2 84,361 42,181 16,556 8,278 196 16,019 WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE 1 27,000 27,000 5,375 5,375 199 5,393 WAYNE COUNTY 2 101,461 50,731 18,403 9,202 181 18,694 WILLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,063 18,063 205 17,319 WILLMINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XENIA 1 67,918 62,918 14,139 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	2,288	119
STEUBENVILLE         1         22,125         22,125         3,727         3,727         168         3,470           STRUTHERS         1         39,376         39,376         3,578         3,578         91         3,327           SYLVANIA         1         62,216         62,216         18,152         18,152         292         18,425           TIFFIN         1         48,311         48,311         6,648         6,648         138         6,744           TOLEDO         7         332,943         47,563         100,093         14,299         301         99,452           TOLEDO HOUSING         1         332,943         332,943         866         866         3         702           UPPER SANDUSKY         1         22,254         22,254         10,112         10,112         454         10,200           VAN WERT COUNTY         1         30,461         30,461         8,348         8,348         274         8,251           VANDALIA         1         79,000         79,000         23,493         23,493         297         25,237           VERMILION         1         18,695         18,695         4,957         4,957         265         4,940 </td <td>8,636 4,365</td> <td>192 183</td>	8,636 4,365	192 183
STRUTHERS         1         39,376         39,376         3,578         3,578         91         3,327           SYLVANIA         1         62,216         62,216         18,152         18,152         292         18,425           TIFFIN         1         48,311         48,311         6,648         6,648         138         6,744           TOLEDO         7         332,943         47,563         100,093         14,299         301         99,452           TOLEDO HOUSING         1         332,943         332,943         866         866         3         702           UPPER SANDUSKY         1         22,254         22,254         10,112         10,112         454         10,200           VAN WERT COUNTY         1         30,461         30,461         8,348         8,348         274         8,251           VANDALIA         1         79,000         79,000         23,493         23,493         297         25,237           VERMILION         1         18,695         18,695         4,957         4,957         265         4,940           WADSWORTH         1         35,239         35,239         7,946         7,946         225         7,916	3,470	157
SYLVANIA         1         62,216         62,216         18,152         18,152         292         18,425           TIFFIN         1         48,311         48,311         6,648         6,648         138         6,744           TOLEDO         7         532,943         47,563         100,093         14,299         301         99,452           TOLEDO HOUSING         1         332,943         332,943         866         866         3         702           UPPER SANDUSKY         1         22,254         22,254         10,112         10,112         454         10,200           VAN WERT COUNTY         1         30,461         30,461         8,348         8,348         274         8,251           VANDALIA         1         79,000         79,000         23,493         23,493         297         25,237           VERMILION         1         18,695         18,695         4,957         4,957         265         4,940           WADSWORTH         1         35,239         35,239         7,946         7,946         225         7,916           WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE         1         27,000         27,000         5,375         5,375         199         5,393 </td <td>3,327</td> <td>84</td>	3,327	84
TIFFIN 1 48,311 48,311 6,648 6,648 138 6,744 TOLEDO 7 332,943 47,563 100,093 14,299 301 99,452 TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200 VAN WERT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WARREN 2 84,361 42,181 16,556 8,278 196 16,019 WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE 1 27,000 27,000 5,375 5,375 199 5,393 WAYNE COUNTY 2 101,461 50,731 18,403 9,202 181 18,694 WILLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,063 18,063 205 17,319 WILLMINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XENIA 1 67,918 62,918 14,139 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	18,425	296
TOLEDO HOUSING 1 332,943 332,943 866 866 3 702 UPPER SANDUSKY 1 22,254 22,254 10,112 10,112 454 10,200 VAN WERT COUNTY 1 30,461 30,461 8,348 8,348 274 8,251 VANDALIA 1 79,000 79,000 23,493 23,493 297 25,237 VERMILION 1 18,695 18,695 4,957 4,957 265 4,940 WADSWORTH 1 35,239 35,239 7,946 7,946 225 7,916 WARREN 2 84,361 42,181 16,556 8,278 196 16,019 WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE 1 27,000 27,000 5,375 5,375 199 5,393 WAYNE COUNTY 2 101,461 50,731 18,403 9,202 181 18,694 WILLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,063 18,063 205 17,319 WILLMINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 XENIA 1 67,918 62,918 14,139 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	6,744	140
UPPER SANDUSKY         1         22,254         22,254         10,112         10,112         454         10,200           VAN WERT COUNTY         1         30,461         30,461         8,348         8,348         274         8,251           VANDALIA         1         79,000         79,000         23,493         23,493         297         25,237           VERMILION         1         18,695         18,695         4,957         4,957         265         4,940           WADSWORTH         1         35,239         35,239         7,946         7,946         225         7,916           WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE         1         27,000         27,000         5,375         5,375         199         5,393           WAYNE COUNTY         2         101,461         50,731         18,403         9,202         181         18,694           WILLOUGHBY         1         87,931         87,931         18,063         18,063         205         17,319           WILMINGTON         1         35,415         35,415         14,406         14,406         407         14,199           XENIA         1         67,918         62,918         14,139         14,139         225	14,207	299
VAN WERT COUNTY         1         30,461         30,461         8,348         8,348         274         8,251           VANDALIA         1         79,000         79,000         23,493         23,493         297         25,237           VERMILION         1         18,695         18,695         4,957         4,957         265         4,940           WADSWORTH         1         35,239         35,239         7,946         7,946         225         7,916           WARREN         2         84,361         42,181         16,556         8,278         196         16,019           WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE         1         27,000         27,000         5,375         5,375         199         5,393           WAYNE COUNTY         2         101,461         50,731         18,403         9,202         181         18,694           WILLOUGHBY         1         87,931         87,931         18,063         18,063         205         17,319           WILMINGTON         1         35,415         35,415         14,406         14,406         407         14,199           XENIA         1         67,918         62,918         14,139         14,139         225         14,3	702	2
VANDALIA         1         79,000         79,000         23,493         23,493         297         25,237           VERMILION         1         18,695         18,695         4,957         4,957         265         4,940           WADSWORTH         1         35,239         35,239         7,946         7,946         225         7,916           WARREN         2         84,361         42,181         16,556         8,278         196         16,019           WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE         1         27,000         27,000         5,375         5,375         199         5,393           WAYNE COUNTY         2         101,461         50,731         18,403         9,202         181         18,694           WILLOUGHBY         1         87,931         87,931         18,063         18,063         205         17,319           WILLMINGTON         1         35,415         35,415         14,406         14,406         407         14,199           XENIA         1         67,918         62,918         14,139         14,139         225         14,359           YOUNGSTOWN         3         95,000         31,667         25,995         8,665         274         26,414	10,200 8,251	458 271
VERMILION         1         18,695         18,695         4,957         4,957         265         4,940           WADSWORTH         1         35,239         35,239         7,946         7,946         225         7,916           WARREN         2         84,361         42,181         16,556         8,278         196         16,019           WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE         1         27,000         27,000         5,375         5,375         199         5,393           WAYNE COUNTY         2         101,461         50,731         18,403         9,202         181         18,694           WILLOUGHBY         1         87,931         87,931         18,063         18,063         205         17,319           WILMINGTON         1         35,415         35,415         14,406         14,406         407         14,199           XENIA         1         67,918         62,918         14,139         14,139         225         14,359           YOUNGSTOWN         3         95,000         31,667         25,995         8,665         274         26,414	و,ي 25,237	319
WADSWORTH         1         35,239         35,239         7,946         7,946         225         7,916           WARREN         2         84,361         42,181         16,556         8,278         196         16,019           WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE         1         27,000         27,000         5,375         5,375         199         5,393           WAYNE COUNTY         2         101,461         50,731         18,403         9,202         181         18,694           WILLOUGHBY         1         87,931         87,931         18,063         18,063         205         17,319           WILMINGTON         1         35,415         35,415         14,406         14,406         407         14,199           XENIA         1         67,918         62,918         14,139         14,139         225         14,359           YOUNGSTOWN         3         95,000         31,667         25,995         8,665         274         26,414	4,940	264
WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE         1         27,000         27,000         5,375         5,375         199         5,393           WAYNE COUNTY         2         101,461         50,731         18,403         9,202         181         18,694           WILLOUGHBY         1         87,931         87,931         18,063         18,063         205         17,319           WILMINGTON         1         35,415         35,415         14,406         14,406         407         14,199           XENIA         1         67,918         62,918         14,139         14,139         225         14,359           YOUNGSTOWN         3         95,000         31,667         25,995         8,665         274         26,414	7,916	225
WAYNE COUNTY     2     101,461     50,731     18,403     9,202     181     18,694       WILLOUGHBY     1     87,931     87,931     18,063     18,063     205     17,319       WILMINGTON     1     35,415     35,415     14,406     14,406     407     14,199       KENIA     1     67,918     62,918     14,139     14,139     225     14,359       YOUNGSTOWN     3     95,000     31,667     25,995     8,665     274     26,414	8,010	190
WILLOUGHBY 1 87,931 87,931 18,063 18,063 205 17,319 WILMINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 KENIA 1 67,918 62,918 14,139 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	5,393	200
WILMINGTON 1 35,415 35,415 14,406 14,406 407 14,199 KENIA 1 67,918 62,918 14,139 14,139 225 14,359 YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	9,347	184
KENIA         1         67,918         62,918         14,139         14,139         225         14,359           YOUNGSTOWN         3         95,000         31,667         25,995         8,665         274         26,414	17,319	197
YOUNGSTOWN 3 95,000 31,667 25,995 8,665 274 26,414	14,199 14,359	401 228
Control to the state of the control	8,805	278
en en en en en en engan en	4,614	171
	a constant of the top	
STATEWINE 203 11 600 164 57 507 0 271 046 11 600 003 0 277 600	11.710	000
STATEWIDE 203 11,692,164 57,597 2,371,046 11,680 203 2,377,608 121	11,712	203

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS FELONY OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD		ASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
AKRON	125	3,724	1,290	5,139	5,042	-22.4%	97	o,
ALLIANCE	14	160	0	174	169	-64.3%	5	0
ASHLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
ASHTABULA	26	363	54	443	436	-73.1%	7	4
ATHENS	2	177	13	192	190	0.0%	2	0
AUGLAIZE COUNTY	1	82	0	83	83	-100.0%	0	0
AVON LAKE	3	<b>93</b>	1	97	96	-66.7%	1	<b>0</b>
BARBERTON	70	896	64	1,030	944	22.9%	86	0
BEDFORD	22	472	39	533	5 <u>1</u> 7	-27.3%	1 <b>6</b>	
BELLEFONTAINE	1	100	0	101	95	500.0%	6	0
BELLEVUE	2	9	0	11	10	-50.0%	1	
BEREA	110	212	12	334	1 <b>53</b>	64.5%	181	0
BOWLING GREEN BRYAN	10 0	234 52	0 0	244 52	232 51	20.0%	12 1	0
CAMBRIDGE	6	111	0	117	111	0.0%	6	0
CAMPBELL	38	129	16	183	139	15.8%	44	
CANTON	26	1,034	106	1,166	1,127	50.0%	39	0
CELINA	3	30	0	33	33	-100.0%	0	
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY CHARDON	1 <b>0</b> 5	213 89	2 28	225 122	225 117	-100.0% 0.0%	<b>0</b> 5	0
CHILLICOTHE CIRCLEVILLE	10	162	3	175	159	60.0%	16	8
CLARK COUNTY	6	232	0	238	222	166.7%	16	0
	232	1,253	<b>0</b>	1,485	1,284	-13,4%	201	0
CLERMONT COUNTY	265	730	31	1,026	502	97.7%	524	0
CLEVELAND	841	8,227	130	9,198	8,126	27.5%	1,072	0
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	11	267	3	281	271	-9.1%	10	0
CLEVELAND HOUSING	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	<b>0</b>	0
CONNEAUT	2	37	9	48	47	-50.0%	1	0
COSHOCTON	0	45	0	45	37		<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>
CRAWFORD COUNTY	1	65	0	66	66	-100.0%	0	0
CUYAHOGA FALLS	133	729	4	866	708	18.8%	158	
DAYTON DEFIANCE	224 3	1,553 85	45 2	1,822 90	1,581	7.6%	241	0
DELAWARE	2	139		142	87 139	0.0% 50.0%	3 3	<b>0</b> 0
EAST CLEVELAND	19	458	1	478	465	-31.6%	-1 <b>3</b>	0
EAST LIVERPOOL	5	59	0	64	54	100.0%	10	
EATON	2	64	0	66	62	100.0%	4	0
ELYRIA	119	803	124	1,046	896	26.1%	150	
EUCLID FAIRBORN	<b>3</b>	322 231	0 66	325 302	322 263	0.0% 680.0%	3 39	0
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY	12	196 115	43	251 122	220 116	158.3% -14.3%	31 6	1 0
FOSTORIA	6	156	6	168	151	183.3%	. 17	0
FRANKLIN COUNTY	8	204	11	223	208	87.5%	15	2
	3,447	8,305	4 <b>6</b>	11,798	7,618	21.3%	4,180	0
FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO	. 0	4	0	4	4	0.0%	0	0
FREMONT	8	124	4	136	130	-25. <b>0</b> %	6	0
GALLIPOLIS	11	88	11	110	106	-63.6%	4	0
GARFIELD HEIGHTS	<b>0</b>	276	0	<b>276</b>	27 <b>6</b>	0.0%	0	
GIRARD	55	331	36	422	375	-14.5%	47	0
HAMILTON	5 <b>6</b>	788	102	946	929	-69.6%	17	<b>0</b>
HAMILTON COUNTY HARDIN COUNTY	765	10,951	3,381	15,097	14,476	-18.8%	621	177
	<b>0</b>	40	0	40	40	0.0%	0	<b>0</b>
HILLSBORO HOCKING COUNTY	0	82	8	90	90	0.0%	0 8	0 8
HURON	0 2	45 36	16 0	61 38	53 37	-50.0%	i	0 .
IRONTON JACKSON COUNTY	7 2	163 31	29 0	199 33	185 26	100.0% 250.0%	14 7	0
KETTERING	11	211	14	236	221	36.4%	15	0
LAKEWOOD	27	129	0	156	147	-66.7%	9	
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY	9 21	313 172	9 <u>1</u> 0	413 193	41 <b>0</b> 138	-66.7% 161.9%	<b>3</b> 55	0
LEBANON	41	131		172	109	53.7%	63	ŏ

# MUNICIPAL COURTS FELONY OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 3	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
LICKING COUNTY	6 23	395 593	11 <b>0</b>	412 416	400 406	100.0% -56.5%	12 10	0
LORAIN	624	1,044	3	1,671	814	37.3%	857	0
LYNDHURST	15	149	0	164	138	73.3%	26	0
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	3	94	0	97	71	766.7%	26	0
	21	2 <b>69</b>	13	303	<b>297</b>	-71.4%	6	0
MARIETTA	21 7 7	185	8	200	193	0.0%	7	0 0
MARION COUNTY MARYSVILLE	3	165 60	14 0	186 63	176 58	42,9% 66.7%	10 5	0
MASSILLON	<b>32</b>	127	2 <b>8</b>	187	179	-75.0%	8	<b>0</b>
	58	533	19	610	574	-37.9%	36	20
MAUMEE	2	108	48	158	153	150.0%	5	<b>.0</b>
MEDINA COUNTY	28	149	1	178	161	-39.3%	17	0
MENTOR	65	216	0	281	220	-6.2%	61	<b>0</b>
MIAMI	22	656	5	683	651	45.5%	32	0
MIAMISBURG	2	262	<b>59</b>	323	317	200.0%	6	0
MIDDLETOWN	24	581	23	628	607	-12.5%	21	
MOUNT VERNON NAPOLEON	<b>0</b> 5	25 61	0	25 66	25 63	<b>0.0%</b> -40.0%	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
NEW PHILADELPHIA NEWTON FALLS	26 6	80 114	<b>0</b>	106 120	100 108	-76,9% 100.0%	6 12	0
NILES	96	309	28	433	264	76,0%	169	0
NORWALK	3	128	20	151	140	266.7%	11	
OAKWOOD OBERLIN	0	6	1	7.	7	0,0%		Ø
ÖREGON	32 .9	359 251	3 79	394 339	305 331	178.1% -11.1%	89 8	0
PAINESVILLE	16	245	73	334	323	-31.3%	11	1
PARMA	10	470	<b>2</b>	482	459	130.0%	23	0
PERRYSBURG PORT CLINTON	10	279	6	295	285	0.0%	10	0
	9	107	<b>8</b>	124	124	-100.0%	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	5	258	31	294	283	120.0%	11	0
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV.	14	410	<b>32</b>	456	445	-21,4%	11	<b>0</b>
PORTSMOUTH	17	497	40	554	534	17.6%	20	0
ROCKY RIVER	15	463	<b>92</b>	570	543	80.0%	27	0
SANDUSKY	364	997	29	1,390	1,065	-10.7%	325	255
SHAKER HEIGHTS	24	140	<b>0</b>	164	129	45.8%	<b>35</b>	0
SHELBY SIDNEY	0	16 95	0	16 96	10 95		6 1	0
SOUTH EUCLID	1	54	7	62	60	100.0%	2	0
STEUBENVILLE	100	313	2	415	318	-3.0%	97	0
STRUTHERS	29	89	0	118	93	-13.8%	25	2
SYLVANIA	5	409	332	746	746	-100.0%	0	0
TIFFIN	6. (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1) (1.1)	95	5 	106	98	33.3%	8	1
TOLEDO	208	3,866	235	4,309	4,112	-5,3%	197	42
TOLEDO HOUSING	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
UPPER SANDUSKY VAN WERT COUNTY	0 5	23 63	0	23 68	22 65	-40.0%	1 3	<b>0</b> 0
VANDALIA	88	202	8 <b>5</b>	375	371	-95.5%	4	<b>0</b>
VERMILION	1	119	59	179	168	1000.0%	11	1
WADSWORTH	0	57	0	57	55	11.4%	2	0
WARREN	201	941	6	1,148	924		224	188
WASHINGTON COURTHOUSI	1	83	1	85	<b>82</b>	200,0%	3	<b>0</b>
WAYNE COUNTY	3	134	0	137	130	133.3%	7	0
WILLOUGHBY	129	379	<b>0</b>	508	358	16.3%	150	<b>9</b>
WILMINGTON	15	184	0	199	173	73.3%	26	0
error and the commence of the	15	195	Markating d	211	209	-86.7%	2	ŏ
XENIA YOUNGSTOWN	and the first artists of the first of the effective state (fig. ).	1 202	25	1.922	1 479	_3K 1 QL	411	^
YOUNGSTOWN ZANESVILLE	695 1	1,202 65	25 0	1,922 66	1,478 <b>66</b>	-36.1% -100.0%	444 0	0
YOUNGSTOWN	695						and the second control of the second second	And the second second second


### MUNICIPAL COURTS FELONY TERMINATIONS 1992

	PRELIMINARY HEARING	WAIVER PRELIMINARY	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF	OTHER DISMIS-	UNAVAIL- ABILITY OF	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC-	OTHER TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMIN-
COURT	BINDOVER	HEARING	SPEEDY TRIAL	SALS	ACCUSED	UTORY APPEAL	ATIONS	ATIONS
AKRON ALLIANCE	<b>690</b> 77	1,697 53	<b>0</b> 13	1,306 6	1,241 20	108 0	0 0	5,042 169
ASHLAND	0 343	0	<b>0</b>	0 28	<b>0</b> 64	<b>0</b> 0	0	0 436
ASHTABULA ATHENS	38	0 11	18 43	11 <b>6</b> 10	1 <b>8</b> C	0	0 6	190 83
AUGLAIZE COUNTY AVON LAKE	13 2	48	<b>i</b>	36 461	10 76	0	0 0	96 944
BARBERTON BEDFORD	66 25	341 391	0	101 26	41	0	0	517 95
BELLEFONTAINE BELLEVUE	49 10	16 0	3	. 0	as esta j	Ŏ	0 24	10 153
BEREA BOWLING GREEN	15 17	71 74	0	43 120	0 21	0		232 51
BRYAN CAMBRIDGE	0 37	18 <b>60</b>	0 0	33 8	0 0	0	6	111
CAMPBELL CANTON	112 <b>803</b>	0 41	0 0	17 124	10 159	0	0	139 1,127
CELINA CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	24 88	0 98	0 0	8 37	1 2	0 0	0 0	33 225
CHARDON CHILLICOTHE	81 <b>91</b>	12 1	0 <b>0</b>	2 55	22 12	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	117 159
CIRCLEVILLE CLARK COUNTY	186 0	11 0	0 <b>0</b>	19 1,188	6 96	0 0	0 0	222 1,284
CLERMONT COUNTY	361 1,802	0 4,752	0	118 1.090	22 482	0	1 0	502 8,126
CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	44	158	10 0	50 0	9 0	0 0	0 0	271 0
CLEVELAND HOUSING CONNEAUT	<b>0</b> 5	0 15	arigog Pegon Journal Statement O Journal of Colon Statement (1988)	19	8 1	0 0	0	47 37
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD COUNTY	23 17	0 0	0	13 46		0	0	66 708
CUYAHOGA FALLS DAYTON	99 179	270 589	0 0	337 810	0	0	1 3	1,581
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	14 41	50 0	<b>0</b> 0	18 91	<b>5</b> 7	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	87 139
EAST CLEVELAND EAST LIVERPOOL	152 31	214 0	0 0	48 17	<b>0</b> 6	0 0	51 0	465 54
EATON ELYRIA	<b>53</b> 17	1 586	0 12	<b>0</b> 272	<b>8</b> 9	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	62 896
EUCLID FAIRBORN	4	312 9	0	6 211	0 43	0	0 0	322 263
FAIRFIELD	54	64	0	75 71	2 <b>6</b> 5	0	1 1 0	220 116
FINDLAY FOSTORIA	28 15	12 58	0	72	6 31	<b>0</b>	0	151 208
FRANKLIN FRANKLIN COUNTY	62 84	500	0 <b>0</b>	111 6,847	187	Ö	0	7,618 4
FRANKLIN COUNTY ENV FREMONT	88	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	4 38	0 4	0	0	130
GALLIPOLIS GARFIELD HEIGHTS	75 276	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	14 0	17 0	0	0	106 276
GIRARD HAMILTON	14 <b>555</b>	62 221	0 94	222 0	77 59	0	0	375 929
HAMILTON COUNTY HARDIN COUNTY	2,927 5	3,136 <b>6</b>	0 0	4,991 <b>2</b> 4	3,422 1	0 <b>0</b>	0 4	14,476 40
HILLSBORO HOCKING COUNTY	52 26	0 0	0 0	26 21	!2 6	0 0	0	90 53
HURON IRONION	7 18	9 48	0 5	18 89	3 25	0 0	0 0	37 185
JACKSON COUNTY	21	0 97	0 2	5 100	0 14	0 41 17 34 4 4 6 4 1 1 1	0	26 221
KETTERING LAKEWOOD	8 12	87	0	44	3		1 0	147 410
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY	156 1	80 117	<b>0</b> 0	57 16	117 4	program (portunitario) de la compansión de Compansión de la compansión de	0	138
LEBANON	18	56	$\mathbf{t}$ .	29	5		0	109


## MUNICIPAL COURTS FELONY TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COURT	PRELIMINARY HEARING BINDOVER	WAIVER PRELIMINARY HEARING	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL	OTHER DISMIS- SALS	UNAVAIL- ABILITY OF ACCUSED	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN ATIONS
LICKING COUNTY	25 139	313 241	0 0	35 <b>26</b>	27 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	400 406
LORAIN LYNDHURST	133 1 <b>6</b>	489 110	0 <b>D</b>	175 12	17 <b>0</b>	0	0	814 138
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	51 101	0 <b>0</b>	0	20 <b>155</b>	0 <b>41</b>	0 0	0	71 <b>29</b> 7
MARION COUNTY	39 50	33 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	103 120	18 6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0	193 176
MARYSVILLE MASON	30 101	0 16	0 5	27 1 <b>6</b>	1 41	0	0	58 1 <b>7</b> \$
Massillon Maumee	171 <b>6</b>	100 59	0	276 <b>63</b> 24	27 20	0 0 0	0 4 0	574 15: 16:
MEDINA COUNTY MENTOR MIAMI	132 54 270	0 136 151	1 0	30 120	4 0 110	0	0	220 65
MIAMI MIAMISBURG MIDDLETOWN	270 13 269	131 146 119	0 7	120 81 197	77 11	Ö	0 0 4	31' 60'
MOUNT VERNON NAPOLEON	3 51	6 0	0	4 1	2 7	<b>0</b>	10	2 6
NEW PHILADELPHIA NEWTON FALLS	59 55	5 32	j O	34 20	ź 1	0	0	100 100
NILES NORWALK	16 122	46 0	, 0 0	165 1	<b>37</b> 17		0 0	26 14
OAKWOOD OBERLIN	4 148	<b>3</b> 123	0	0 34	0	0	0	30
OREGON PAINESVILLE	94 67	116 86	0	<b>49</b> 97	72 60	<b>0</b>	0 13	33 32
PARMA PERRYSBURG	16 33	261 97	0	158 63	<b>24</b> 92	0	0 0	45 28
PORT CLINTON PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	25 ' 65	10 14	1 0	<b>71</b> 142	14 62	<b>3</b> 0	0 0	12 28
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV, PORTSMOUTH	108 33	0 223	0 0	282 224	55 54	0 0	0	44 53
ROCKY RIVER SANDUSKY	<b>32</b> 4 363	0 331	52 7	87 326	80 36	<b>O</b>	0 2	. 54 1,06
SHAKER HEIGHTS SHELBY	17 4	84 4	0 0	21 2	7 0	0 0	0 0	12 1
SOUTH EUCLID	28 2	26 21	0	40 21		0	0 0	
STEUBENVILLE STRUTHERS	64 85	25 0	<b>0</b> 0	176 5	52 3	0	1 0	31
SYLVANIA FIFFIN	0 19	385 12	<b>0</b> 0	236 55	125 6	0	0 6	7¢ 9
IOLEDO IOLEDO HOUSING	61 0	1,426 0	0 0	2,380 0	244 0	0 0	) 0	4,11
UPPER SANDUSKY VAN WERT COUNTY	15 13	0 16	2 0	5 36	0 0	0 0	0	6
VANDALIA VERMILION WADSWORTH	27 4	69 57	0 2	90 46	51 53	<b>0</b> 0	134 6	37 16
WARREN	7 232	2 239	0 60	43 392	<b>3</b> 1	0	0	5 92
WASHINGTON COURTHO WAYNE COUNTY WILLOUGHBY	66	37 0	0 0 0	28 34	30 0	0	0 0 0	8 13
WILMINGTON KENIA	8 130 28	184 0	0	166 43 149	0		0	35 17 20
Youngstown Canesville	263 263 52	743 14	0 0 0	431 0	26 41 0	0 0 0	0 0	20 1,47 6
STATEWIDE 121	14,438	21,066	340	27,401	8,062	116	284	71,70

### MUNICIPAL COURTS - FELONY CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



### MUNICIPAL COURTS - MISDEMEANOR CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



# MUNICIPAL COURTS MISDEMEANOR OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
AKRON	432	10,757	1.805	12,994	12,409 768	35.4% 10.5%	585 95	0
ALLIANCE ASHLAND	86 51	777 1,254	0 121	863 1,426	1,361	27.5%	65	4
ASHTABULA ATHENS	407 132	1,352 2,411	130 153	1,889 2,696	1,430 2,550	12.8% 10.6%	459 14 <b>5</b>	224 0
AUGLAIZE COUNTY AVON LAKE	89 <b>25</b>	807 270	9 <b>5</b>	905 <b>300</b>	890 <b>266</b>	-83.1% 36.0%	15 34	1
BARBERTON	252	2,164	97	2,513	2,192 2,573	27.4% -36.4%	321 <b>647</b>	0 <b>215</b>
BELLEFONTAINE	1,017 187	2,017 1,808	186 0	3,220 1,995	1,852	-23.5%	143	0
BELLEVUE BEREA	15 419	277 1,123	0 143	292 1,685	279 1,336	-13.3% -16.7%	13 349	0 11
BOWLING GREEN BRYAN	1 <b>05</b> 87	1,480 978	<b>0</b>	1,585 1,069	1,470 963	9,5% 21.8%	115 106	4 2
CAMBRIDGE	156	1,402	Ó	1,558	1,321	51,9%	237	
CAMPBELL CANTON	72 318	241 2,861	12 362	325 3,541	265 3,239	-16.7%  -5.0%	60 302	0
CELINA CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	140 <b>49</b>	1,097 1,066	8 15	1,245 1,130	1,053 1,094	37.1% -26.5%	192 <b>36</b>	8 0
CHARDON	58	1,104	145 122	1,307 3,821	1,275 3,460	-44.8% -0.3%	32 361	0 5
CHILLICOTHE CIRCLEVILLE	362 212	3,337 1,087	18	1,317	1,099	2.8%	218	. 0
CLARK COUNTY CLERMONT COUNTY	931 3,740	3,349 4,233	256 261	4,536 8,234	3,835 355	-24.7% 110.7%	701 7,879	4 0
CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	<b>5,399</b> 328	19,276 2,293	7,693 213	32,368 2,834	22,989 2,479	73.7% 8.2%	9,379 355	1 0
CLEVELAND HOUSING	473	4,051	952	5,476	5,255	-53,3%	22 <b>i</b>	0
CONNEAUT COSHOCTON	22 56	496 583	the area of the carbonic process in a standard additional braces	560 659	547 <b>627</b>	-40.9% - <b>42.9%</b>	13 32	0
CRAWFORD COUNTY CUYAHOGA FALLS	69 <b>393</b>	709 <b>3,235</b>	centre in the property of the property of the contract of the contract of	792 3,651	708 3,344	21.7% -21.9%	84 307	0 <b>0</b>
DAYTON	1,868	11,690 587	4,177	17,735 697	15,460 658	21.8% -48.0%	2,275 39	0 0
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	75 63	1,234	67	1,364	1,290	17.5%	74	0
EAST CLEVELAND EAST LIVERPOOL	170 118	1,729 921	32 0	1,931 1,039	1,904 828	-84.1% 78.8%	27 211	O
EATON ELYRIA	76 556	871 2,417	44 432	991 3,405	895 2,728	26.3% 21.8%	96 677	0
EUCLID	37	1,206	0	1,243	1,224 2,530	-48.6% 36.2%	19 888	0
FAIRPIELD	652 1 <b>67</b>	2,346 1,094	158	3,418 1,419	1,218	20.4%	201	2
FINDLAY FOSTORIA	331 50	1,338 524		1,698 615	1,437 580	-21.1% -30,0%	261 .35	25 0
FRANKLIN FRANKLIN COUNTY	188 14,903	953 22,260	173	1,314 49,514	1,175 33,997	-26.1% 4.1%	139 15,517	3 14
FRANKLIN COUNTY EN	VIRO. 0	3,689	134	3,823	3,535	_	288 81	0 0
FREMONT GALLIPOLIS	108 178	890 1,008	A PRODUCTION OF COMMUNICATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF A	1,034 1,429	953 1,221	-25,0% 16.9%	208	0
GARFIELD HEIGHTS GIRARD	95 169	968 831	The second of th	1,158 1,286	1,023 1,113	42.1% 2.4%	135 173	0 0
HAMILTON	160	3,209	821	4,190 51,255	3,999 48,796	19,4% -13.9%	191 2,459	0
HAMILTON COUNTY HARDIN COUNTY	2,856 137	31,540 541	41	719	547	25.5%	172	o o
HILLSBORO HOCKING COUNTY	44 <b>88</b>	803 1 <b>,09</b> 0	the second of the control of the con	1,092 1,267	1,030 1,153	40.9% <b>29</b> .5%	62 114	0
HURON IRONTON	48 18 <b>6</b>	287 1 <b>,37</b> 7	15	350 1,635	340 1,498	-79.2% -26.3%	10 137	0 0
JACKSON COUNTY	208	1,570	0	1,778	1,753	-88.0%	25	0
KETTERING LAKEWOOD	221 262	1,586 1,106	20.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1,892 1,371	1,746 1,131	-33.9% -8.4%	146 240	0
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY	251 274	1,899 786	204	2,354 1,107	2,128 789	-10.0% 16.1%	226 318	0
LEBANON .	306	784	with a first received a least to a received above a representative or	1,090	575	68,3%	515	4

# MUNICIPAL COURTS MISDEMEANOR OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER	CASES PEND BEYOND TI 31 GUIDELINI
ICKING COUNTY	215	1,964	218	2,397	2,213	-14.4%	184	0
IMA LORAIN	1,136 1,691	3,016 4,435	58 25	4,210 6,151	3,251 2,765	-15.6% 100.2%	959 3,386	24 0
YNDHURST	159	806	32	997	760	49,1%	237 33	5 0
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	84 253	540 4,294	0 191	624 <b>4,738</b>	591 <b>4,242</b>	-60.7% <b>96.0%</b>	33 496	0
MARIETTA	235	1,151	111	1,497 3,549	1,333 3,391	-30.2% -39.5%	164 158	0 <b>0</b>
MARION COUNTY MARYSVILLE	261 90	2,893 826	395 72	5,549 988	922	-39.3% -26.7%	66	0
MASON	156	839	116	1,111	1,076	-77.6% -31.2%	35 . 174	0
Massillon Maumee	253 59	1,827 <b>822</b>	74 277	2,154 1,158	1,980 1,134	-31.2% -59.3%	24	Ö
MEDINA COUNTY	233	1,137	85	1,455	1,224	-0.9%	231	15 <b>0</b>
MENTOR MIAMI	152 348	1,410 3,436	0 101	1,562 3,885	1,375 3,656	23.0% -34.2%	187 229	0
MIAMISBURG	230	1,830	296	2,356	2,137	-4.8%	219	jo.
AIDDLETOWN MOUNT VERNON	121 122	2,779 772	433 <b>9</b>	3,333 903	3,219 <b>803</b>	-5.8% -18,0%	114 100	0
NAPOLEON	51	563	0	614	579	-31.4%	35	0
NEW PHILADELPHIA NEWTON FALLS	<b>473</b> 122	1,276 569	306 0	2,055 691	1,336 602	52,0% -27.0%	719 89	81 0
VILES	328	1,019	579	1,926	1,605	-2.1%	321	Ŏ
NORWAL'S DAKWOOD	129 7	1,793 120	252 2	2,174 129	2,087 113	-32.6% 128.6%	87 16	0
DBERLIN	292	960	0	1,252	775	63.4%	477	appropriate and the second section of the second se
DREGON PAINESVILLE	<b>66</b> 127	1,0 <b>60</b> 1,469	426 146	1,552 1,742	1,484 1,655	3.0% -31.5%	<b>68</b> 87	0
'ARMA	158	2,685	273	3,116	2,673	180,4%	443	0
ERRYSBURG FORT CLINTON	305 235	1,304 1,710	19 177	1,628 2,122	1,405 1,787	-26.9% 42.6%	223 335	0 12
ORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	144	1,916	151	2,211	2,028	27.1%	183	0
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. PORTSMOUTH	<b>308</b> 179	2,702 2,404	216 279	3,226 2,862	2,925 2,522	-2,3% 89,9%	301 340	0
ROCKY RIVER	168	2,404 2,035	324	2,502 2,527	2,348	6.5%	179	ŏ
SANDUSKY SHAKER HEIGHTS	1,029 1 <b>6</b> 1	3,328 543	390 0	4,747 704	3,878 589	-15.5% - <b>28.6%</b>	869 115	0
HELBY	181	315	15	348	325	27.8%	23	0
IDNEY OUTH EUCLID	83 26	939 323	20 39	1,042 388	943 342	19,3% 76.9%	99 46	0
TEUBENVILLE	20 271	1,138	23	1,432	1,013	70.9% 54.6%	419	ů .
TRUTHERS	64 32	441 1,190	2 894	507 2,116	420 2,037	35.9% 146.9%	87 <b>7</b> 9	4 0
YLVANIA TIFFIN	52 141	1,190 727	894 23	2,116 891	2,037 785	-24.8%	106	12
COLEDO	3,254	17,321	12,420	32,995	29,161	17.8%	3,834	123
TOLEDO HOUSING JPPER SANDUSKY	266 59	628 534	218 19	1,112 <b>612</b>	851 561	-1.9% -13.6%	261 51	44 0
AN WERT COUNTY	69 600	573	0	642 5 000	532	59.4%	110	0
/ANDALIA /ERMILION	592 33	<b>3,283</b> 459	1,133 108	<b>5,008</b> 600	4, <b>59</b> 1 574	-29.6% -21.2%	417 26	<b>0</b> 1
VADSWORTH	46	411	23	480	428	13.0%	52	
VARREN VASHINGTON COURTHOUSI	877 3 29	2,662 914	45 29	3,584 972	2,627 929	9.1% 48.3%	957 43	386 0
VAYNE COUNTY	235	1,711	56	2,002	1,871	-44.3%	131	0
VILLOUGHBY VILMINGTON	1,828 241	2,648 1,172	<b>0</b> 1	4,476 1,414	2,237 1,132	22.5% 17.0%	2,239 282	0
KENJA	8 <b>5</b> 8	2,842	3.4.1.2. <b>3</b> .4.	3,703	3,045	-23.3%	658	10
OUNGSTOWN ANESVILLE	653 60	4,011 1,491	285 0	4,949 1,551	4,670 1,551	-57.3% -100.0%	279	0
<del>ngan mangapanan d</del> igus 12 metal pinel melan		98-31 A\$T\$75	e a rajes, es <b>M</b> ri	1,001	1,001	, 100.0 <i>p</i>		
TATEWIDE	60,742	288,995	71,432	421,169	352,634	12.8%	68,535	1,248

## MUNICIPAL COURTS MISDEMEANOR TERMINATIONS 1992

			GUILTY/N	O CONTEST	GUILTY/NO	DISMISSA	L OTHER	VIOLA-	TRANS-		BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTER-	
COURT		COURT TRIAL	TO ORIGIN	AL CHARGE REFEREE	CONTEST TO REDUCED	FOR LACK SPEEDY TR		TIONS BUREAU	FERRED OUT	ABILITY OF ACCUSED	LOCUTORY APPEAL	TERMIN- ATIONS
AKRON	28	105	9,024	5	165	1	587	376	76	1,826	137	 79
ALLIANCE ASHLAND	3 9	4 17	553 844	0 0	16 19	38 0	21 96	54 223	0 0	79 <b>75</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 78
ASHTABULA ATHENS AUGLAIZE COUNTY	5 1	22 60	856 1,171	0	13 202	5 0	242 318	68 554	0	219 244 24	0	0
AVON LAKE BARBERTON	5 3 1	15 28 35	456 121 1,345	1 0 0	58 18 48	15 0 0	119 31 172	189 4 <b>6</b> 431	4 4 0	15 160	0 0 0	4 0 0
BEDFORD BELLEFONTAINE	14 1	148 40	1,479 1,173	103 0	142 61	0 5	510 239	108 137	10 0	27 196	1.0	31 0
BEILEVUE BEREA	1 2	7 23	195 736	0	17 120	<b>8</b> 0	24 301	11 68	i 2	15 3	0	0 81
BOWLING GREEN BRYAN	4 0	. 13 42	475 392	377 0	<b>70</b> 31	0 1	208 291	276 0	<b>0</b> 19	47 186	0	<b>0</b> 1
CAMBRIDGE CAMPBELL	9 1	276 32	569 142	0	11 11	0 100	125 68	1 1	0 0	1 <b>67</b> 10	0 0	63 0
CANTON CELINA	39 2	19 39	2,333 682	1 0	95 13	0	239 220	70. 57	0	433 40	1 0	9
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY CHARDON CHILLICOTHE	1 6 20	49 9 113	682 599 1,347	0 0 0	67 70 51	0 1 6	225 171 633	59 301 832	0 0 11	11 118 437	0 0 4	0 0 6
CIRCLEVILLE CLARK COUNTY	1 12	103 14	513 1,331	0 333	41 1 <b>67</b>	0 0	159 1,140	222 345	0 8	60 485	0 0	0 0
CLERMONT COUNTY CLEVELAND	2 41	203 487	1,676 9,245	51 183	5 437	27 2	501 3,871	355 424	20 92	522 8,151	0 0	1 56
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS CLEVELAND HOUSING	4 0	67 0	583 2,040	501 35	27 0	0 0	951 1,017	18 427	0 0	298 1,606	0 0	30 130
CONNEAUT COSHOCTON	2 4	3 25	322 333	0 0	12 28	0 7	156 82	21 <b>13</b> 1	0	31 17	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
CRAWFORD COUNTY CUYAHOGA FALLS	13 7	24 48	412 2,020	0	31 144	2	48 346	117 699	0 8	61 33	0	0 39
DAYTON DEFIANCE DELAWARE	5 4 7	342 11 17	1,804 414 543	1,595 0 3	870 43 49	26 3 0	1,984 117 158	1,197 3	1,283 34 0	4,623 29	0	1,731 0
EAST CLEVELAND EAST LIVERPOOL	0 47	119 119	1,097 628	131 0	0 30	0	298 44	382 1 7	0	131 130 57	0 0 0	0 128 0
EATON ELYRIA	i 1 0	11 64	277 1,536	0 23	54 160	0 88	121 370	292 292	13 28	126 167	0	
EUCLID FAIRBORN	2 1	3 110	1,127 1,164	0	0 193	1 2	9 <u>1</u> 384	0 268	0 0	<b>0</b> 407	<b>0</b>	0
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY	1 12	30 38	594 863	<b>0</b> 0	77 85	4 3	161 276	27 56	17 1	302 103	0 0	5 0
FOSTORIA FRANKLIN	0 1	11 28	305 378	0	. 5 34	2 0	117 322	95 12	1 48	31 303	0	13 49
FRANKLIN COUNTY FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO. FREMONT	49 0 2	102 0 12	9,066 516 559	0 0 0	3,662 0 10	18 0 0	6,536 102 231	993 1,172 106	1,057 308 0	9,084 1,437 33	0 0 0	3,430 0
GALLIPOLIS GARFIELD HEIGHTS	0 17	169 154	215 591	0 0	2 37	7 0	282 48	190 190 59	29 20	327 97	0 0	0 0 0
GIRARD HAMILTON	0 1	4 232	448 2,832	0 0	51 0	0 358	326 52	0	ī 1 <b>5</b>	283 509	0 0	0 0
HAMILTON COUNTY HARDIN COUNTY	32 2	1,549 3	16,368 <b>33</b> 4	568 0	64 21	4 0	7,211 83	4,722 51	262 8	18,010 42	6 0	0 3
HILLSBORO HOCKING COUNTY	2 1	22 10	381 304	0 0	9 8	0 <b>2</b>	245 283	91 433	3 0	159 105	0	118 7
HURON IRONTON LACKSON COUNTY	0	2 5	174 699	0 38	7 47	0 51	106 484	28 83	0 18	23 73	0	0
JACKSON COUNTY KETTERING LAKEWOOD	13 3 8	78 50	811 905	0	19 <b>223</b>	1 36	91 150	740 197	0 12	170	0 <b>0</b>	0
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY	8 3 0	64 16 0	692 1,015 158	0 0 0	8 87 7	0 0	30 592 307	230 199 35	0 1 <b>5</b> 119	97 201 163	0 0 0	0
LEBANON	0	0	264	o o	i i	14.11 2 ;	307 245		5	103 51	0	0 0

## MUNICIPAL COURTS MISDEMEANOR TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COURT		COURT TRIAL		NO CONTEST NAL CHARGE REFEREE	GUILTY/NO CONTEST TO REDUCED	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL		VIOLA- TIONS BUREAU	TRANS- FERRED OUT	UNAVAIL-	BANKRUPTC STAY/INTER LOCUTORY APPEAL	OTHER
LICKING COUNTY LIMA	31 <b>2</b>	166 80	1,191 1,844	0 0	2 245	3 	267 969	196 2	10 <b>62</b>	343 0	0 0	4 46
LORAIN LYNDHURST	0 3	12 26	1,668 544	0 0	52 0	7 0	747 116	31 14	4 0	244 <b>56</b>	0	0 1
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	4 7	110 49	331 376	0 2.062	0 72	0 0	92 436	54 378	0 14	0 <b>393</b>	0	0 455
MARIETTA MARION COUNTY	13 4	24 20	597 1,976	0 <b>0</b>	52 22	0 <b>0</b>	264 541	143 412	0	239 372	0	1 44
MARYSVILLE MASON	3 8	34 54	408 453	o Ò	8 8	0 20	144 185	177 126	1 0	147 <b>193</b>	0 0	0 29
MASSILLON MAUMEE	16 2	13 14	1,380 254	35 0	89 <b>93</b>	0	211 274	33 296	59 10	143 186	1 0	0 15
MEDINA COUNTY MENTOR	2 18	25 4	397 996	219 0	31 44	0	179 1 <b>60</b>	254 135	2 14	113 4	1 0	1
MIAMI MIAMISBURG	1 2	129 15	1,493 915	0 13	512 222	0 9	383 272	673 195	0 17	465 4 <b>56</b>	0 1	0 20
MIDDLETOWN MOUNT VERNON	0 2	182 52	1,916 452	7 0	21 30	36 0	268 96	321 101	51 <b>0</b>	312 <b>62</b>	2 1	103 7
NAPOLEON NEW PHILADELPHIA	3 4	8 71	246 817	0 1	31 1 <b>5</b>	0 10	38 200	178 1 <b>5</b> 7	0	45 <b>61</b>	0 0	30 0
NEWTON FALLS NILES	1 0	123 32	316 828	0 0	22 9	17 0	36 495	81 0	1 5	5 236	0 0	0
NORWALK OAKWOOD	15 1	114 2	1,108 36	0 0	88 3	0 2	77 15	384 38	13 4	288 12	0	0 0
OBERLIN OREGON	3 0	2 34	444 581	0 0	31 89	0 0	206 340	87 40	0 3	2 397	0	0 0
PAINESVILLE PARMA	8 6	9 27	734 607	0 1.143	44 241	1 24	259 96	450 129	25 29	116 333	0	9 38
PERRYSBURG	3	9		0	17 47	4 3	103 337	751 4 <b>67</b>	0 26	216 146	0	2 0
PORT CLINION PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	13 4	27 28	1,015	0 5 33	126	0 0	358 997	307 338	6	179 323	0 0	0
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. PORTSMOUTH	7 6	68 10	995 1,299	0	162 23 150	2	546	261	26 82	334 261	0	15 0
ROCKY RIVER SANDUSKY	1 0	332 102	1,909	14 0	32	77 64	359 845	322 306	0	568	0	52
SHAKER HEIGHTS SHELBY	4 1	61 12		0 0	6 25	25 0	142 57	47	0	109 8	3 0	0
SIDNEY SOUTH EUCLID	0 2	10 2	185	0 0	101 22	0 0	128 60		1	32 58	0 0	0
STEUBENVILLE STRUTHERS	2 2	25 113	238	0 0	0 20	<b>2</b> 3	251 27	22 9	0 0	6	0 0	0 2
SYLVANIA TIFFIN	0 6	6 21	459	0 0	217 30	2 1	319 174	75	0	414 112	0 0	0 1
TOLEDO TOLEDO HOUSING	3 0	112 6	248	196 1	<b>774</b> 17	13 0	5,852 240	0	0	14,839 281	0 0	673 58
UPPER SANDUSKY VAN WERT COUNTY	6 2	34 18	319	0 1	8 2	<b>0</b> 0	38 124	64	0	20 2	0 0	0
VANDALIA VERMILION	1 4	25 8	329	132 0	330 9	0 2	1,258 51		4 0	922 122	0 0	568 2
WADSWORTH WARREN	7 8	23 229	1,614	<b>0</b> 0	19 87	<b>0</b> 133	79 548	0	0	<b>67</b> 8	1 0	5 0
WASHINGTON COURTHOUS WAYNE COUNTY	21	90 214	882	0 0	37 1	. <b>0</b> 0	15 189	334	3 0	49 230	3 0	0 0
WILLOUGHBY WILMINGTON	0 i	9 136	er a serie dag mercen	0 0	2 63	0 0	380 216	81	1 0	5 172	0 0	16 0
XENIA YOUNGSTOWN	3 3	43 400	1,318 2,567	1 0	109 3	<b>Q</b> 0	831 1,078	52		184 534	4 0	13 0
ZANESVILLE	2	319	958	0	0	0	199	53	0	1	0	19
STATEWIDE	730	9,264	142,035	7,811	12,568	1,287	58,125	29,242	4,878	78,203	168	8,323

### MUNICIPAL COURTS O.M.V.I. OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

ARRON*   155   1,559   151   1,866   1,487   14.85   1.75   0   ALLIANGE   30   144   0   131   141   7.75   20   0   ASHICARDI   31   469   667   576   388   40.55   39.3   2   ASHICARDI   31   31   469   667   576   388   40.55   39.3   2   ASHICARDI   104   504   514   465   566   23.18   179   0   ASHICARDI   104   504   514   465   566   23.18   179   0   ASHICARDI   104   504   514   546   566   23.18   179   0   ASHICARDI   104   505   514   546   566   23.18   179   0   ASHICARDI   120   139   11   12.55   139   0.05   12   10   ADHORITOR   120   902   0   1,115   984   6.5   11   0   ADHORITOR   120   902   0   1,115   984   6.5   11   0   ASHICARDI   130   136   10   134   60   10   134   60   10   10   ASHICARDI   130   136   10   134   60   10   134   60   10   10   ASHICARDI   130   136   10   134   60   10   134   60   10   10   ASHICARDI   130   136   10   134   60   10   134   60   10   10   ASHICARDI   130   136   10   134   60   10   10   10   10   ASHICARDI   130	COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ALLIJANCE 39 144 0 183 141 7,74 42 0 ASPIRANDI 38 1869 69 1764 538 0.005 38 2 ASPIRANDI 103 187 197 05 187 197 05 ASPIRADIA 116 778 40 704 557 52.63 177 65 ASPIRADIA 116 778 40 704 557 52.63 177 65 ASPIRADIA 116 778 40 90 40 40 90 40 90 90 90 10 90 90 90 10 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	AKRON	155	1,559		1,865	1,687	14.8%	178	0
ASHTABILA  ASHTABIN  108   778   40   774   557   22.6%   177   60  AUGLAZE COUNTY   64   566   4   644   400   65.9%   34   2   2   2   3   3   3   15.5%   136   60   60   2   2   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	ALLIANCE		Activities and the second section of the second	and the first the second of the first term of the second o	A SECURE AREA OF DESCRIPTION OF THE	and the contract of the second of the second	angular and a superior of the first term and the	and the second of the second of the	and the second s
AUCHIANZE COUNTY  64 368 4 434 400 4-9.98 34 2  MANDERTON  123 950 30 1,115 954 5.05 221 0  BARRERTON  123 950 30 1,115 954 5.05 221 0  BREEDFORM  124 950 514 424 20.05 90 0  BREELEVUE  10 1035 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ASHTABULA	116	578	on outside the community of the contract budge defended.	e un reducer territoria for facilitat		Appropriate the second of the second state of	and the property of the contract of the	Contract of the Contract of th
ABRIETON  123 500 50 1.115 984 0.5% 131 0  EDEPLOYOR  124 65 8 1.197 988 40.4% 122 99  EDELLINONTANDE  10 135 0 154 424 20.00% 90 0  ERELLAYUE  10 135 0 128 990 659 1.0% 501 7  EVELLAYUE  10 14 4 150 432 790 155.5% 122 0  EVELLAYUE  11 12 44 4 556 446 18.0% 122.4% 19.0% 122.4% 19.0%  EVELLAYUE  12 44 4 57 55 83.1% 22 0  CAMPRILL  12 44 4 57 55 83.1% 22 0  CAMPRILL  12 44 4 57 55 83.1% 22 0  CAMPRILL  12 44 5 57 55 83.1% 22 0  CELINA  89 411 5 508 417 53.1% 189 0  CELINA  89 411 5 508 417 53.1% 189 0  CELINA  89 411 5 508 417 53.1% 189 0  CHARDRON  42 606 193 841 812 31.0% 25 0  CHARDRON  42 606 193 841 812 31.0% 25 0  CHILLICOTHE 189 746 22 25 50 79 491 113.2% 181 0  CICINAURONTY 1,042 1,731 250 3,773 1,875 15.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  46 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  46 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  46 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  46 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  47 65 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  48 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  49 9 10 0 100 52 -11.1% 18 0  CONNEATOR 245 117 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  46 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  47 65 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  48 118 22 186 170 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  49 9 10 100 52 -11.1% 18 0  CONNEATOR 245 117 40.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  40 118 25 18 1.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  40 118 25 18 1.0% 1,198 2  CLEVILLAND HIRIGHTS  40 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	AUGLAIZE COUNTY	64	366	4	434	400	soften on the constitution for the first	where the later and a property of the section	
PRILE PUTE   10	BARBERTON	123	962	经工程的 化二甲基甲基甲甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲	1,115	984	6.5%	131	0
DEVELING GREEN	<ul> <li>production applications and respectively.</li> </ul>	75	entra de la compania	ur autoritaria de la marca de la calenda de estado de 1865 de 1	514	424	20.0%	90	0
SPYAN   \$3	and the control of th	contract the end of a 1990 of the problem for the	A TOUR BOARD OF LINE OF SHIP WAS A SHIP.	A survival to at Manage I consideration and resistance	at all consequents of the second at the seco	taka dari dari karan intana di atau babata	e, and the capital and the control of the control o	301	out management and on the street
CAMPRILL 12 41 4 57 35 83.55 22 0 CAMPORT 120 718 43 881 792 125.84 89 0 CELINO 120 718 43 881 792 125.84 89 0 CELINO 89 411 3 503 417 3.45 89 9 CELARAGION COUNTY 25 225 3 253 228 0.00% 25 0.0 CHARDON 26 606 193 841 812 31.05 29 0 CHARDON 120 120 120 120 120 130 130 140 130 150 120 0 CHARDON 27 606 193 841 812 31.05 29 0 CHARDON 120 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 13	remittant, a serve e resta real, caracta a transfer on to constitution of	<ul> <li>List colored at recoverable season.</li> </ul>	de avincios pavas il especie.	<b>0</b> 4	Control of the second discussion	fortunative crosses substitutional section	na spikika na ningiri casa, nakaparaso and wasan akasa	Organization of the restrict of the contraction of the security of the contraction of the	Programme and control of the angle of the
CANTON	CAMBRIDGE	49	422	2	or an interest of the entire contract	New Actual Control of the Control of	Nichter aus ein eine hat hann eine Anternacht ein gegeber un eine der eine	reaction that it is the environment of the first of the environment	AND THE PERSON NAMED OF TH
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	CANTON	120	718	can be a recognitive to the contract of the co	881	792	-25.8%	89	0
CHILICOTHE	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	25	225	3	253	228	0.0%	25	0
CLARK COUNTY	The second representation of the second repre	to the first of the contract o	en e la la la la estada de	and the second of the contract of the second	A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	and the second s	eran contract the comment of the following the contract of the con-	or reservoir and all budget controllers as to	Contract to the contract of th
CLEVELAND	<ul> <li>A stopp may not type party matrix to the protections in payment many and the control</li> </ul>	and a second of a contract of the second	and the contract of the contract of the second			Applicable for the program for the program of the other program of the con-	check are promoted in a company payor habitate about	and the second control of the second control	an area of the area of the late.
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	CLERMONT COUNTY	1,042	1,781	250	3,073	<ul> <li>Description of a constant of the control of the contr</li></ul>	Contract to the contract and father the con-	was a few contract or agreed the first consistencies of first	Charles to the Charles to the Charles
CONNEAUT 5 9 91 0 100 92 11.15 8 0 COSIGCTON 13 150 6 209 189 33.88 20 0 COSIGCTON 15 150 11 S86 515 9.25 71 0 COLYAROGA FALLS 245 1,275 31 1,551 1,317 4.55 234 0 DAYTON 129 815 88 1,032 916 10.15 116 0 DAYTON 129 815 88 1,032 916 10.15 116 0 DAYTON 129 815 88 1,032 916 10.15 116 0 DAYTON 129 815 88 1,032 916 10.15 116 0 DEFIANCE 71 514 16 401 564 47.9% 37 1 DELAWARE 47 651 22 720 660 21.35 57 0 DEAST LIVERPOOL 20 256 0 316 302 334 585 2 0 DEAST LIVERPOOL 20 256 0 316 302 -30.05 111 0 ELYRIA 240 1,414 175 1,829 1,536 22.15 23 0 DEAT LIVERPOOL 8 144 1075 1,829 1,536 22.15 23 0 DEAT LIVERPOOL 8 144 1075 1,829 1,536 22.15 23 0 DEAT LIVERPOOL 8 140 0 154 143 37.55 11 0 DEAT LIVERPOOL 8 1 10 0 154 143 37.55 11 0 DEAT LIVERPOOL 8 1 125 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	46	118	22	186	170	-65.2%	16	0
CRAWFORD COUNTY 65 510 11 586 515 9.2% 71 0 CUYAROGA FALLS 245 1,275 31 1,555 1,317 -4.5% 234 0 DAYTON 129 815 88 1.032 916 -10.1% 116 0 DEFIANCE 71 314 16 401 364 47.9% 37 1 DELAWARE 47 651 22 720 663 21.3% 57 0 EAST CLEVIELAND 163 66 4 236 234 98.8% 2 0 EAST LIVERPOOL 20 296 0 316 302 30.0% 14 0 ELYRIA 240 1,414 175 1,829 1,536 22.1% 298 0 ECILID 8 146 0 154 143 37.5% 111 0 ELYRIA 240 1,414 175 1,829 1,536 22.1% 298 0 ECILID 8 146 0 154 143 37.5% 111 0 EARPHILD 8 1 225 19 325 221 28.4% 104 0 FAIRPHILD 8 1 225 19 325 221 28.4% 104 0 FINDLAY 171 552 5 728 593 -21.1% 135 15 FOSTORIA 11 153 10 174 164 -9.1% 10 0 FRANKLIN 44 371 54 469 417 18.2% 52 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY 5,513 7,923 5,615 19,051 12,772 19.3% 6,579 12 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 FREMONT 50 326 8 364 336 6.7% 28 0 GRAPTID HEIGHTS 73 434 24 25 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY FAIR 73 434 24 25 19 548 13.4% 71 0 GRAPTID 99 554 8 661 556 6.1% 105 0 FRANKLIN OUNTY 563 5,348 1,328 7,239 6,663 2.3% 576 2 HARDIN COUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HAMILTON 54 79, 44 880 794 77.8% 96 0 HAMILTON 54 79, 45 880 794 77.8% 96 0 HAMILTON 563 5,348 1,328 7,239 6,663 2.3% 576 2 HARDIN COUNTY 77 5 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HAMILTON 16 22 288 0 230 195 59.1% 35 50 1 HONN 22 288 0 230 195 59.1% 35 50 1 HONN 22 288 0 230 195 59.1% 35 50 1 HONN 22 288 0 230 195 59.1% 35 50 1 HONN 16 224 3 243 243 245 51 50 50 10.2% 33 0 HURON 22 288 0 230 195 59.1% 35 50 1 HARDIN COUNTY 77 400 0 492 459 54.2% 33 0 HURON 22 288 0 230 195 59.1% 35 50 1 HONN 16 224 3 243 243 245 51 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	CONNEAUT	9	91	0	100	92	-11.1%	8	0
DAYTON   129   815   88   1,032   916   -10.1%   116   0	The season of control of activities and control of the properties of	Survey or house the more replication of the contract of the co	n in the country of the state of the	River and a section of the section o	era krani i Miski artii 1907 toksiin taa	515	9.2%	71	0
DELAWARE	The state of the s	tari di taran ini di talah dalah terbahan beratarak bahasa berataran berataran berataran berataran berataran b	a a salah sebesah di dari Merapatan Ka	rgan, ingala kapin, percentaria rapatrialista rapat kabupat ba	SACREMENT CONTRACT	former and reserve in contractions and inscreased in	District plants of the contract of the contract of the contract of	SATAR DE PROFES A PROFESSOR DAN CARLACT	4,146,488,487,488,581,472,843,52,4
RAST CLEVELAND   163   69	Management of the state of the	suppression and appropriate property of the state of the	CONTRACTOR SERVICES	Constitution (Constitution of Constitution Constitution Constitution Constitution Constitution Constitution Co	Transfer have a particular section with the	sentito orientamento con como se cambi	our reality, their representation and the review of the	taka iasahu sarahasa saruh kilonusikinda	Mark State (1975)
EATON 74 364 19 457 346 50.0% 111 0 ELYRIA 240 1,414 175 1,829 1,536 22.1% 293 0 EUCLID 8 146 0 154 143 57.5% 111 0 FAIRBORN 282 965 51 1,288 905 39.4% 393 0 FAIRPIELD 81 225 19 325 221 28.4% 104 0 FINDLAY 171 552 5 728 599 21.1% 135 15 FOSTORIA 11 153 10 174 164 9.1% 10 0 FRANKLIN 44 371 54 469 417 18.2% 52 0 FRANKLIN 0UNTY 5,513 7,923 5,615 19,051 12,472 19.3% 6,579 12 FRANKLIN COUNTY BVIVRO. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 FREMONT 30 326 8 364 336 6.7% 28 0 GALIPOLIS 82 415 122 619 548 13.4% 71 0 GIRARD 99 554 8 661 556 6.1% 105 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 563 5,348 1,328 7,239 6,663 2.3% 576 2 HAMILTON COUNTY 775 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HAMILTON 54 791 45 890 794 77.8% 96 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 775 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HLABORN 30 286 40 356 328 6.7% 28 0 HACKIN COUNTY 775 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HLABORN 30 286 40 356 328 6.7% 28 0 HILBORN 16 224 3 243 229 12.5% 14 0 IRONTON 16 224 3 243 229 12.5% 14 0 IRONTON 16 224 3 243 229 12.5% 14 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 245 3 243 229 12.5% 14 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 245 531 561 551 59.1 35 576 2 IRONTON 16 524 3 245 524 55 59.4% 116 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 247 17 317 280 30.2% 37 0 IRONTON 16 224 3 243 229 12.5% 14 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 245 525 59.5% 59.4% 116 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 245 525 59.5% 59.4% 116 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 245 525 59.5% 59.4% 116 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 245 555 59.5% 59.4% 116 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 245 555 59.5% 59.4% 116 0 IRONTON 16 524 3 509 1 613 527 -16.5% 86 0	EAST CLEVELAND	163	69	4	236	234	-98.8%	e fait com a chuir ann a crìom the chia	a properties and page of the sector
EUCLID 8 145 0 154 143 57.5% 11 0 FAIRBORN 282 965 51 1,298 905 39.4% 393 0 FAIRPHILD 81 225 19 325 221 28.4% 104 0 FINDLAY 171 552 5 728 593 -21.1% 135 15 FOSTORIA 11 153 10 174 164 9.1% 10 0 FRANKLIN 44 371 54 469 417 18.2% 52 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY 5,513 7,923 5,615 19,051 12,472 19.3% 6,579 12 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0,0% 0 0 FREMONT 30 326 8 364 336 6.7% 28 0 GALLIPOLIS 82 415 122 619 548 -13.4% 71 0 GARTHELD HEIGHTS 73 434 24 531 460 -2.7% 71 0 GRARD 99 554 8 661 556 6.1% 105 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 563 5,348 1,328 7,239 6,663 2.3% 576 2 HARDIN COUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HAMILTON 30 30 286 40 356 328 -6.7% 28 0 HAMILTON 51 53 247 17 317 280 30.2% 37 0 HURON 22 208 0 230 195 59.1% 35 0 RONTON 16 224 33 243 229 -12.5% 14 0 LAKEWOOD 103 509 1 613 527 -16.5% 86 0	EATON	74	364	19	457	346	50.0%	111	0
FAIRFIELD 81 225 19 325 21 28.4% 104 0 FINDLAY 171 552 5 728 593 -21.1% 135 15 FOSTORIA 11 153 10 174 164 -9.1% 10 0 FRANKLIN 44 371 54 469 417 18.2% 52 0 FRANKLIN 0.0UNTY 5.513 7.923 5.615 19.051 12.472 19.3% 6.579 12 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0 FREMONT 30 326 8 364 336 -6.7% 28 0 GALLIPOLIS 82 415 122 619 548 -13.4% 71 0 GRARD 99 554 8 661 556 6.1% 105 0 HAMILTON 0.0UNTY 563 5.348 1.328 7.239 6.663 2.3% 576 2 HARILINO COUNTY 55 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 55 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HARILIPOLOUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HARIDIN COUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HARIDIN COUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HARIDIN COUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HOCKING COUNTY 53 286 40 356 328 -6.7% 28 0 HOCKING COUNTY 53 224 17 17 317 280 30.2% 37 0 HURON 22 208 0 230 195 59.1% 35 0 HOCKING COUNTY 72 420 0 230 195 59.1% 35 0 IRONTON 16 224 3 248 229 -12.5% 14 0 JACKSON COUNTY 72 420 0 0 492 459 -54.2% 33 0 LAKEWOOD 103 509 1 613 527 -16.5% 86 0	Lab Article for a lab salarana in in the headers as earlier responsible restaura	taran di Paranga Nasang di Pangganan na kanagangkatan di Panggana	aca i et i arrene no lacetore	er pouros tutabre abando sóren el eleterre eneques	154	143	37,5%	11	0
FOSTORIA 11 153 10 174 164 -9.1% 10 0 FRANKLIN 44 371 54 469 417 18.2% 52 0 FRANKLIN 5,513 7,923 5,615 19,051 12,472 19,3% 6,579 12 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 FREMONT 30 326 8 364 336 6.7% 28 0 GALLIPOLIS 82 415 122 619 548 -13,4% 71 0 GARFIELD HEIGHTS 73 434 24 531 460 -2.7% 71 0 GIRARD 99 554 8 661 556 6.1% 105 0 HAMILTON 54 791 45 890 794 77.8% 96 0 HAMILTON 563 5,348 1,328 7,229 6,663 2.3% 576 2 HARDIN COUNTY 55 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HAILISBORO 30 286 40 356 328 -6.7% 28 0 HOCKING COUNTY 53 247 17 317 280 30.2% 37 0 HURON 22 208 0 230 195 59.1% 35 0 HOCKING COUNTY 72 420 0 492 459 59.4% 116 0 LAKEWOOD 103 509 1 613 527 -16.5% 86 0	The condition of the property respect to the contract of the contract of the con-	in a larger rough later to detect the displace and his record of	医人名阿拉克克 化乙烷基苯基 化二氯甲烷烷	Contract to the contract of th	manatan matan, basi telepatra	considerate and the contract of the contract of the	e in elegan contra esta protecti della contra ti score	control to the first server a tracket free one of	Control of the recovery that he real that the
FRANKLIN 44 371 54 469 417 18.2% 52 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY 5,513 7,923 5,615 19,051 12,472 19.3% 6,579 12 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIKO. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 FREMONT 30 326 8 364 336 -6.7% 28 0 GALLIPOLIS 82 415 122 619 548 -13.4% 71 0 GARFIELD HEIGHTS 73 434 24 531 460 -2.7% 71 0 GIRARD 99 554 8 661 556 6.1% 105 0 HAMILTON 54 791 45 890 794 77.8% 96 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 563 5,348 1,328 7,239 6,663 2.3% 576 2 HARDIN COUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HILLSBORO 30 286 40 356 328 -6.7% 28 0 HOCKING COUNTY 33 247 17 317 280 -30.2% 37 0 HURON 22 208 0 230 195 59.1% 35 0 IRONTON 16 224 3 243 229 -12.5% 14 0 JACKSON COUNTY 72 420 0 492 459 -54.2% 33 0 KETTERING 106 532 13 651 535 9.4% 116 0 LAKEWOOD 103 509 1 613 527 -16.5% 86 0	autorities aboth comments are proportional and a state of the comment of the comm	disaste and unforced to consider a state of the	so take concentrate a south cabitate	un de acoustad de la completa de la	that account for contra-	companies to make the country white-	wanted a company to the control of the party of	and the resource of fitting which such a side of	Charles and Administration of the Control of the Co
FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO.         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           FREMONT         30         326         8         364         336         -6.7%         28         0           GALLIPOLIS         82         415         122         619         548         -13.4%         71         0           GARFIELD HEIGHTS         73         434         24         531         460         -2.7%         71         0           GIRARD         99         554         8         661         556         6.1%         105         0           HAMILTON         54         791         45         890         794         77.8%         96         0           HAMILTON COUNTY         563         5,348         1,328         7,239         6,663         2.3%         576         2           HARDIN COUNTY         75         220         19         314         218         28.0%         96         0           HILLSBORO         30         286         40         356         328         -6.7%         28         0           HOCKING COUNTY         53         247         17         317	FRANKLIN	44	371	54	car programme and a second	er de de de la companya del companya del companya de la companya d			and the second second
GALLIPOLIS 82 415 122 619 548 -13.4% 71 0 GARFIELD HEIGHTS 73 434 24 531 460 -2.7% 71 0 GIRARD 99 554 8 661 556 5.1% 105 0 HAMILTON 54 791 45 890 794 77.8% 96 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 563 5,348 1,328 7,239 6,663 2.3% 576 2 HARDIN COUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0 HILLSBORO 30 286 40 356 328 -6.7% 28 0 HOCKING COUNTY 53 247 17 317 280 30.2% 37 0 HURON 22 208 0 230 195 59.1% 35 0 IRONTON 16 224 3 243 229 -12.5% 14 0 JACKSON COUNTY 72 420 0 492 459 -54.2% 33 0 KETTERING 106 532 13 651 535 9.4% 116 0 LAKEWOOD 103 509 1 613 527 -16.5% 86	FRANKLIN COUNTY ENV	IRO. 0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
GIRARD 99 554 8 661 556 6.1% 105 0  HAMILTON 54 791 45 890 794 77.8% 96 0  HAMILTON COUNTY 563 5,348 1,328 7,239 6,663 2.3% 576 2  HARDIN COUNTY 75 220 19 314 218 28.0% 96 0  HILLSBORO 30 286 40 356 328 -6.7% 28 0  HOCKING COUNTY 53 247 17 317 280 -50.2% 37 0  HURON 22 208 0 230 195 59.1% 35 0  IRONTON 16 224 3 243 229 -12.5% 14 0  JACKSON COUNTY 72 420 0 492 459 -54.2% 33 0  KETTERING 106 532 13 651 535 9.4% 116 0  LAKEWOOD 103 509 1 613 527 -16.5% 86 0	GALLIPOLIS	82	415	122	619	548	-13.4%	71	0
HAMILTON COUNTY         563         5,348         1,328         7,239         6,663         2.3%         576         2           HARDIN COUNTY         75         220         19         314         218         28.0%         96         0           HILLSBORO         30         286         40         356         328         -6.7%         28         0           HOCKING COUNTY         53         247         17         317         280         -30.2%         37         0           HURON         22         208         0         230         195         59.1%         35         0           IRONTON         16         224         3         243         229         -12.5%         14         0           JACKSON COUNTY         72         420         0         492         459         -54.2%         33         0           KETTERING         106         532         13         651         535         9.4%         116         0           LAKEWOOD         103         509         1         613         527         -16.5%         86         0	Provide course contract supply of the forest entire for the contract of the co	99	a namatan sa sakaran sa tatu	TO PROBE CONTROL OF LOCAL CONTROL OF SECURIOR AND A SE	661	556	6.1%	105	ART ALL TO ENGINEERING
HARDIN COUNTY         75         220         19         314         218         28.0%         96         0           HILLSBORO         30         286         40         356         328         -6.7%         28         0           HOCKING COUNTY         53         247         17         317         280         -30.2%         37         0           HURON         22         208         0         230         195         59.1%         35         0           IRONTON         16         224         3         243         229         -12.5%         14         0           JACKSON COUNTY         72         420         0         492         459         -54.2%         33         0           KETTERING         106         532         13         651         535         9.4%         116         0           LAKEWOOD         103         509         1         613         527         -16.5%         86         0		ente en lige digegranisation de la Communicación de la communicación de la communicación de la communicación d	n a again na agailge garait.	til hartettigeride i har har hartett untgett i nærette seur	2. 2.22.1. (2. 100)	a particular respectation and respectively. The re-		ALTERNATION STREET	Committee and the committee and the committee of the comm
HOCKING COUNTY         53         247         17         317         280         -30.2%         37         0           HURON         22         208         0         230         195         59.1%         35         0           IRONTON         16         224         3         243         229         -12.5%         14         0           JACKSON COUNTY         72         420         0         492         459         -54.2%         33         0           KETTERING         106         532         13         651         535         9.4%         116         0           LAKEWOOD         103         509         1         613         527         -16.5%         86         0	HARDIN COUNTY	75	220	19	Contract to the contract of th	end and the second of the second	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	the contract of the contract of the	n and the end of the control of the
IRONTON         16         224         3         243         229         -12.5%         14         0           JACKSON COUNTY         72         420         0         492         459         -54.2%         33         0           KETTERING         106         532         13         651         535         9.4%         116         0           LAKEWOOD         103         509         1         613         527         -16.5%         86         0	HOCKING COUNTY	53	247	17	317	280	-30.2%	37	Name of the following of the first of the fi
KETTERING         106         532         13         651         535         9.4%         116         0           LAKEWOOD         103         509         1         613         527         -16.5%         86         0	IRONTON	16	224		243	229	-12.5%	14	Application of the property of
THE STATE OF THE S	KETTERING	106	532	13	651	535	9.4%	116	Ō
	LAKEWOOD LANCASTER	103 169	509 693	The state of the s	613 913	527 798	-16.5% -32.0%	86 115	and the second of the second
LAWRENCE COUNTY         70         335         8         413         367         -34.3%         46         0           LEBANON         63         255         0         318         170         134.9%         148         0	LAWRENCE COUNTY	70	335	8	413	367	-34.3%	46	

## MUNICIPAL COURTS O.M.V.I. OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

OURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 33	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ICKING COUNTY	117	1,035	85	1,237	1,107	11.1%	130	0
IMA	<b>255</b>	948	<b>16</b>	1,219	937	10.6%	282	<b>25</b>
ORAIN	481	1,113	6	1,600	892	47.2%	708	0
YNDHURST	135	<b>635</b>	4	774	637	1.5%	1 <b>37</b>	1
IADISON COUNTY	42	231	0	273	219	28.6%	54	0
IANSHIFILD	210	1,318	120	1,648	1,308	<b>6</b> 1.9%	340	0
IARIETTA	94	568	11	673	554	26.6%	119	0
IARION COUNTY	113	622	22	757	<b>665</b>	-18.6%	<b>92</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>IARYSVILLE</b>	33	262	72	367	336	-6.1%	31	0
	58	239	<b>41</b>	338	* 316	-62.1%	22	0
IASON IASSILLON	202	1,254	69	1,525	1,383	-29.7%	142	0
IAUMBE	94	492	119	<b>705</b>	<b>673</b>	-66,0%	32	0
IEDINA COUNTY	261	574	60	895	740	-40.6%	155	26
IENTOR	52	354	0	406	362	-15.4%	44	0
NAMI	277	3,204	326	3,807	3,145	139.0%	662	
IIAMISBURG	9 <b>5</b>	<b>546</b>	67	708	<b>623</b>	-10.5%	8 <b>5</b>	4
IIDDLETOWN	38	913	46	997	947	31.6%	50	0
IOUNT VERNON	50 28	315 191	3 0	<b>368</b> 219	<b>344</b> 193	- <b>52,0%</b> -7.1%	24 26	0
IEW PHILADELPHIA	259	521	246	1,026	491	106.6%	535	94 0
IEWTON FALLS	85	338	0	423	345	-8.2%	78	0
IILES	<b>5</b> 1	454	<b>335</b>	840	760	56.9%	80	
ORWALK	42	551	34	627	600	-35.7%	27	0
VAKWOOD	1	64	0	65	65	-1 <b>00.0%</b>	0	0
BERLIN	. 92	441	0	533	363	84.8%	170	0
DREGON	30	<b>293</b>	88	411	379	6.7%	<b>32</b>	0
AINESVILLE	73	738	45	856	799	-21.9%	57	0
ARMA	118	928	41	1,087	961	6.8%	126	
ERRYSBURG	77	546	9	632	577	-28.6%	55	0
ORT CLINTON	155	348	<b>29</b>	532	<b>436</b>	-38.1%	<b>96</b>	<b>3</b>
ORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	102	690	42	834	713	18.6% +16.7%	121 194	0
ORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. ORTSMOUTH	233 126	1,029 715	70 26	1,332 867	1,138 776	-27.8%	91	0
OCKY RIVER	283	1,546	161	1,590	1,748	-14.5%	242	0
ANDUSKY	145	609	22	776	672	-28.3%	104	
HAKER HEIGHTS	39	249	0	288	<b>26</b> 1	-30.8%	27	<b>0</b>
HELBY	10	136	6	152	94	480.0%	58	0
IDNEY	55	<b>423</b>	15	4 <b>93</b>	442	-7, <b>3%</b>	51	0
OUTH EUCLID	8	47	4	59	53	-25.0%	6	
TEUBENVILLE	59	190	0	249	200	-16,9%	<b>49</b>	0
TRUTHERS	28	143	19	190	149	46,4%	41	
ylvania	239	1,027	616	1,882	1,629	5,9%	253 43	in in the second second
TFFIN POLEDO	36 <b>356</b>	273 2,044	1,088	313 3,488	270 <b>3,017</b>	19.4% 32.3%	471	16
OLEDO HOUSING	0	0	0	0	LEN SEET FRANKE, TALKE COMMENSELS TARREST FRANKE (\$50% \$50%)	0.0%	0	0
PPER SANDUSKY	21	245	13	279		23.8%	26	0
'AN WERT COUNTY	33	218	0	251	219	-3.0%	32	0
'ANDALIA	<b>75</b> 1	1,411	<b>316</b>	2,478	<b>2,097</b>	-49.3%	381	0
/ERMILION	30	228	21	279	251	-6.7%	28	1
VADSWORTH	41	195		252	211	0.0%	41	0
varren Vashington Gourthou	329	741 203	19	1,089 235	676	25.5% -3.8%	413 25	67 0
VAYNE COUNTY	96	716	13	825	737	-8,3%	88	0
VILLOUGHBY VILMINGTON	317 89	1,036 475	0	1,353 564	989 458	14.8% 19.1%	364 106	0
CENIA	298	529	the contract of the service of the s	840	670	-43.0%	170	4
COUNGSTOWN	122	554		716	580	11.5%	136	0
'ANESVILLE	4	147	0	151	151	-100,0%	0	<u> </u>
TATEWIDE	21,262	80,807	15,135	117,204	93,281	-15.4%	23,923	422
	71 262	XO XO7	15 135	117 7114	94 281	-15 4%	75 475	1.1.1


### MUNICIPAL COURTS O.M.V.I. TERMINATIONS 1992

				T-103 (*** · *	OTHER	CPTD A NYC		BANKRUPTCY		TOTAL
JURY COUR		NO CONTEST NAL CHARGE	GUILTY/NO CONTEST TO	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF	OTHER DISMIS-	TRANS- FERRED		STAY/INTER- LOCUTORY	TERMIN-	TERMIN-
COURT TRIAL TRIAL	L JUDGE	REFEREE	REDUCED	SPEEDY TRIAL	SALS	OUT	ACCUSED	APPEAL	ATIONS	ATIONS
AKRON 18	8 856	548	39	0	29	10	151	12	16	1,687
The state of the s	3 118 1 <b>374</b>	0	6 5	4 0	2 41	0	3 54	0 0	0 56	141 538
ASHTABULA 3	5 405	0 0	103 114	0 <b>0</b>	13 34	0	28 30	0 <b>0</b>	0	557 786
AUGLAIZE COUNTY 1	5 <b>601</b> 2 283	8	79	400,000 0000000000000000000000000000000	17	0	9	O	0	400
The state of the s	8 85 4 857	0 1	9 60	0	4 12	3 2	5 42	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	134 984
BEDFORD 15 3 BELLEFONTAINE 0 1	District and standard for a contraction	8 0	. 71 101	0 6	430 19	0	<b>3</b> 6	1 0	. 52 0	968 424
BELLEVUE 0	0 110	0	21	0	6 135	0	<b>3</b> 3	<b>0</b>	0 35	140 639
	2 449 <b>3 265</b>	0 81	15 16		12	1		. 0		390
BRYAN 0 CAMBRIDGE 5 13	6 325 9 237	0	38 17	4 0	59 25	0 0	13 6	0 0	1 1	446 430
The first of the f	0 25 1 <b>663</b>	0	4 25	0	3 11	0 10	3 59	0 1	0 6	35 792
CELINA 1	2 295 1 <b>176</b>	0 0	101 38	0 <b>0</b>	10 8	0 0	8 · 4	0 0	0 0	417 <b>228</b>
CHARDON 4	3 767	on the contract and the end of th	14	3	6	0 5	15 35	0 1	0 4	812 779
<ul> <li>Control of the control of the control</li></ul>	6 438 2 355	0 0	150 83	2 0	67 23	0	6	0	0	491
CLARK COUNTY 11 CLERMONT COUNTY 5 17	4 837 5 1,392	0 76	212 22	<b>0</b> 1	79 30	4 23	80 149	4 0	0 2	1,231 1,875
CLEVELAND 9 3	3 1,238 5 136	584 0	94 0	<b>0</b> 0	448 1	35 0	<b>627</b> 25	0	46 j	3,114 170
CLEVELAND HOUSING 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 3	0	0	0 92
CONNEAUT 0 COSHOCTON 2	0 83 3 160	0 0	4 0	0 0	19	0	5	0	0	189
CRAWFORD COUNTY 9 CUYAHOGA FALLS 11	1 445 2 1,088	0 <b>24</b>	19 1 <b>19</b>	0	7 27	0 6	34 <b>26</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 4	515 1,317
DAYTON 1	7 514 1 209	10 <b>0</b>	202 83	1 2	52 12	Contract of a first contract of factors	114 17	0 <b>0</b>	5 0	916 <b>364</b>
DELAWARE 8	0 459	57	79 0	0	29 1	del i di seri aldini i menindi ale e	29 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 113	663 234
EAST LIVERPOOL 8	6 55 1 232	0 0	35	0	24	0	2	0	0	302
	1 146 8 1,143	0 1	43 251	0 6	121 30	and the best of the contract o	28 85	0	<b>0</b> 0	346 1,536
BUCLID 1	0 138 23 526	0	3 231	0	1 63	tion a result in the properties.	0 61	0	0	143 905
FAIRFIELD 2	2 164	0	30 44	0		0 5 1	16 42	0	0	221 593
	9 470 3 115		32		4	0	10	0	0	164
FRANKLIN 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY 21	4 238 (8 <b>6,00</b> 4	0 <b>0</b>	83 1,106	0 · 4	50 328	880	40 2,537	0	2 1,574	417 12,472
FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO, 0 FREMONT 1	0 0 9 269	0 0	0 37	0 <b>0</b>	0 7	contract the second of the contract of	0 11	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	0 336
GALLIPOLIS 0 1	49 153 72 318	0 <b>0</b>	23 12	0 <b>0</b>	46 6		158 <b>30</b>	0 0	0 0	548 4 <b>60</b>
GIRARD 0	0 250	0	180	0	108 1	0	and the second of the second of the second of	0	0 <b>0</b>	556 794
the first the contract of the	5 <b>66</b> 3 35 4,773	27 0	1 44	5 0	80	) 25	1,553	3	20	6,663
HARDIN COUNTY 0 HILLSBORO 2	1 128 6 240	<b>0</b> 0	49 10	<b>0</b> 0	17 25			<b>0</b> 0	2 16	218 328
HOCKING COUNTY 3 HURON 0	0 216 0 142	0	29 38	0	14 8		and a state of the	0	2 0	280 195
IRONTON 0	0 185	0	7	0		19	10	0	0	229 459
JACKSON COUNTY 3 KETTERING 8	0 396 6 417	0 0	43 74	1 0	16 10	) 2	16	0	1	535
LAKEWOOD 7 LANCASTER 5	24 462 7 <b>546</b>	0 0	11 2	0	3 177	and the second second second	18 <b>58</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 0	527 798
LAWRENCE COUNTY 0	0 254	Ö	49 <b>22</b>	0 2	13 10	3 37	14	0 <b>0</b>	0	367 170
LEBANON 0	0 104	en e	44			eretoriologica (#	eres ja politika ti <b>ari</b> sk	propostration (Medic) T	en kontüknis <b>ik</b> i	.cestisustatis Mi


## MUNICIPAL COURTS MISDEMEANOR CASES O.M.V.I. TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT		NO CONTEST NAL CHARGE REFEREE	GUILTY/NO CONTEST TO REDUCED	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAI				BANKRUPTO STAY/INTER LOCUTORY APPEAL	e- other	TOTAL TERMINATIONS
ICKING COUNTY	13 2	29 <b>5</b>	927 559	0 0	0 250	ing de Carlos de Liberto La carlos de Liberto	44 79	1 15	89 0	0	3 26	1,107 937
LORAIN LYNDHURST	0 5	2 25	761 327	0 <b>0</b>	52 0	2 0	47 <b>264</b>	0	28 1 <b>6</b>	0 0	0	892 637
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	1 5	36 1	157 902	0 275	4 6	0	21 38	0 8	0 73	0 0	0	219 1,308
MARIETTA MARION COUNTY	8 9	1 <b>0</b>	444 5 <b>67</b>	0 0	47 <b>0</b>	0	23 75	0 0	31 7	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>7</b>	554 665
MARYSVILLE	8	8	234	0	19	0 12	12 16	4 0	51 27	0	0 0	336 316
MASON MASSILLON	8 7	27 5	211 1,124	0	15 134	0	13	50	50	0	0	1,38
MAUMEE MEDINA COUNTY	10 3	6 9	376 617	0 46	68 2	0 1	62 15	27 3	121 44	0	3 0	67; 74
MENTOR MIAMI	2 0	2 15	342 2,034	0	12 534	0	3 386	<b>0</b>	1 176	0	0	36 3,14
MIAMISBURG MIDDLETOWN		1 25	373 809	0	96 8	2 8	15 46	27 7	103 42	0	2 2	<b>62</b> 94
MOUNT VERNON	2 .	- 4	270	0	36	0.0	11 6	, 0 0	20 4	1 0		34 19
NAPOLEON NEW PHILADELPHIA	1 4	0 41	141 373	0 <b>0</b>	40 28	0 2	12	0	31	0.	0	49
NEWTON FALLS NILES	2 0	140 2 <b>6</b>	115 600	0 0	78 74	2 0	4 22	0	4 38	0	0	34 76
NORWALK OAKWOOD	4 0	141 12	361 29	0 0	39 22	0 1	9	3 0	41 1	0 0	2 0	60 6
OBERLIN OREGON	1 0	0 5	228 235	0	86 45	0 0	34 11	0 0	14 82	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	36 37
PAINESVILLE	8	2	725	0	2 58	0 1	30 3	. 0	24 49	0 0	8 14	79 96
PARMA PERRYSBURG	1 2	25 3	800 480	0	17	0	3	10 0	71	0	1	. 57
PORT CLINTON PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	14 7	<b>3</b> 5	304 531	0 0	21 65	1 0	23 28	0 21	30 56	1 0	39 0	43 71
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAY, PORTSMOUTH	5 2	10 2	867 636	0	115 59	0 2	56 30		84 28	<b>0</b> 0	0 16	1,18 77
ROCKY RIVER SANDUSKY	2 0	560 8	184 389	79 0	31 156	10 3	681 56	120 0	81 59	0	<b>0</b> 1	1,74 6
Shaker Heights	3	59	98	0	0	Ó	96	0	5	0	0	2
SHELBY SIDNEY	6 , 3	2 2	79 325	0 0	1 <b>68</b>	0 0	1 19	0 1	4 21	0 2	1 [] [] 1	4
SOUTH EUCLID STEUBENVILLE	0	0	50 168	0	2 25	0 1	1 6	0   0	0 0	0	0	. 20
STRUTHERS SYLVANIA	0	53 0	86 1,221	0	9 138	0	0 19	0	1 250	0 0	0 0	14 1,62
ITFFIN IOLEDO	1 t	1 4	182 1,399	0	61 76	0	18 115		6 1,368	1 <b>0</b>	0 37	27 3,0)
TOLEDO HOUSING	0 1	0	0	0	0 16	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	processing a part of the parties.
UPPER SANDUSKY VAN WERT COUNTY	0	5 2	187	Ö 0	19	0	5	4	2	0	0	25 21
VANDALIA VERMILION	0	2 1	1,132 179	0 0	245 33	<b>0</b> 3	55 13	rational and expedience ababase seeds	179 16	0	484 5	2,09 25
WADSWORTH WARREN	<b>3</b> 3	2 69	171 387	0	1 127	<b>0</b> 9	8 74	n in produktion in disease.	21 4	0	- 5 0	21 67
WASHINGTON COURTHOUS! WAYNE COUNTY	4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 8 20	167 645	1 0	27 14	0	1 11		5 23		<b>0</b>	2) 73
WILLOUGHBY WILMINGTON	1	2	954	0	0	o O	27	0	0	0.00	. 5	98
CENIA .	4 6	24 .5	365 359	0	43 176	.0	21 <b>65</b>	SUPPLIED CONTRACTOR SOCIETY	1 53	0 .0	0 6	4. 6
YOUNGSTOWN ZANESVILLE	0 1	58 10		0 0	34 1	0 0	47 0	Contract to Contract Contract	60 1	0 9	0	58 13
										and the second second second		

### MUNICIPAL COURTS - O.M.V.I. CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



### MUNICIPAL COURTS - OTHER TRAFFIC CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



### MUNICIPAL COURTS OTHER TRAFFIC OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

	CASES PENDING	NEW	REACTIVATIONS AND	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN-	% NET INCREASE IN	CASES CASES PENDING PENDING BEYOND TIME
COURT	JANUARY I	FILINGS	TRANSFERS	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31 GUIDELINES
AKRON	906	24,851	2,099	27,856	27,078	-14,1%	778
ALLIANCE ASHLAND	174 487	2,473 14,466	0 <b>528</b>	2,647 15,481	2,482 15,054	-5.2% -12.3%	165 0 427 1
ASHTABULA	919	8,153	435	9,507	8,763	-19.0%	744 214
ATHENS	207	6,206	266	6,679	6,442	14,5% -28.9%	237 0, 59 0
AUGLAIZE COUNTY AVON LAKE	83 38	7,086 851	20 4	7,189 893	7,130 862	-28.9% -18.4%	31 0
BARBERTON	654	10,283	194	11,131	10,549	-11.0%	582 0
BEDFORD	1,945	9,720	2,196 0	13,861 9,435	11,822 9,257	4.8% -24.6%	2,039 984 178 0
BELLEFONTAINE BELLEVUE	236 17	9,199 2,017	0	2,034	1,999	105.9%	35 0
BEREA	650	4,162	460	5,272	4,501	18.6%	771 52
BOWLING GREEN BRYAN	287	8,214	<b>0</b> 58	8,501 8,758	8,218 8,445	-1.4% -6.8%	283 0 313 0
CAMBRIDGE	336 133	8,364 11,061	0	11,194	10,857	153.4%	337
CAMPBELL	84	920	18	1,022	906	38.1%	116 0
CANTON CELINA	971 123	15,049 3,683	272 16	16,292 3,822	15,392 3,704	-7.3% -4.1%	900 0 118 3
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	26	1,923	32	1,981	1,952	11.5%	29 ŏ
CHARDON	207	9,397	417	10,021	9,806	3.9%	215 0
CHILLICOTHE CIRCLEVILLE	750 736	12,054 8,612	22 258	12,826 9,606	12,260 9,137	-24.5% -36.3%	566 18 469 0
CLARK COUNTY	2,268	19,048	20° 99	21,415	19,607	-20,3%	1,808 3
CLERMONT COUNTY	3,775	16,657	200	20,632	14,003	75.6%	6,629 0
CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	5,032 1,922	97,734 15,652	15,301 720	118,067 18,294	112,457 17,201	11.5% -43.1%	5,610 0 1,093 1
CLEVELAND HOUSING	1,322	15,032	/20 0	0	1,720	0.0%	Ö
CONNEAUT	37	2,919	21	2,977	2,948	-21.6%	29 0 style (1904-1904-1904-1904-1904-1904-1904-1904-
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD COUNTY	19 219	1,575 5,557	28 10	1,622 5,786	1,601 5,597	10.5% -13.7%	21 0 189 0
CUYAHOGA FALLS	3,094	29,086	24 24	32,204	28,649	14.9%	3,555
DAYTON	867	22,869	6,686	30,422	29,641	-9.9%	781 0
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	205 605	6,690 12,258	<b>556</b> 395	7,451 13,258	7,269 12,687	-11.2% -5.6%	182 2 571 0
EAST CLEVELAND	344	7,028	185	7,557	7,372	-46.2%	185
EAST LIVERPOOL	43	1,476		1,519	1,479	-7.0%	40 0 393 0
EATON ELYRIA	289 816	6,889 10,510	103 1,062	7,281 12,388	6,888 11,345	36.0% 27.8%	393 0 1,043 0
EUCLID	179	12,313	, 0	12,492	12,249	35.8%	243 0
FAIRBORN	1,243	14,274	580	16,097	14,831	1.9%	1,266 0 343 0
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY	370 899	4,102 15,910	81 85	4,553 16,894	4,210 16,094	-7.3% -11.0%	800 26
FOSTORIA	87	2,098	64	2,249	2,155	8.0%	94
FRANKLIN	147	2,901	626	3,674 193,793	3,558 187,623	-21.1% -77.0%	116 0 6,170 4
FRANKLIN COUNTY FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO.	26,871 0	121,005 2	45,917 17	193,793 19	107,023	0.0%	
FREMONT	267	5,887	309	6,463	6,289	-34.8%	174 0
GALLIPOLIS GARFIELD HEIGHTS	545 220	7,529	1,130 313	9,204 6,808	8,737 <b>6,5</b> 17	-14.3% <b>32,3%</b>	467 0 291 0
GIRARD	667	6,275 8,840	148	9,655	8,905	12.4%	750 0
HAMILTON	445	10,859	86	11,390	10,960	-3.4%	<b>430</b>
HAMILTON COUNTY HARDIN COUNTY	1,505 539	134,579 3,980	23,042 333	159,126 4,852	157,482 4,222	9.2% 16, <b>9</b> %	1,644 0 630 0
HILLSBORO	102	3,980	114	3,250	3,179	-30.4%	71
HOCKING COUNTY	206	3,156	159	3,521	3,356	-19.9%	165 0
HURON IRONTON	77 377	2,235 5,105	12 62	2,324 5,544	2,226 5,263	27.3% -25.5%	98 0 281 0
JACKSON COUNTY	1,158	8,032	0 (1.19) (1.19) (1.19)	9,190	8,406	-32.3%	784 0
KETTERING	428	14,591	201	15,220	14,936	-33.6%	284 0
LAKEWOOD LANCASTER	337 741	10,928 14,272	11 ***********************************	11,276 15,455	10,884 14,874	16,3% -21,6%	392 0 581 0
LAWRENCE COUNTY	653	5,820	34	6,507	6,315	-70.6%	192 0
LEBANON	230	3,638		3,868	3,775	-59,6%	93

# MUNICIPAL COURTS OTHER TRAFFIC OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME 31 GUIDELINES
LICKING COUNTY	457	10,913	1,042	12,412	11,990	-7.7%	422	0
LIMA	6,248	14,433	32	20,713	14,220	8.9%	6,493	26
LORAIN	569	5,724	14 cui di con amas assuza a mase di	6,307	5,092	113.5%	1,215	0
LYNDHURST	695	17,816	519 0	19,030 7,519	18,326 6,963	1.3% 1.3%	704 556	0
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	549 1,249	6,970 <b>20,56</b> 6	188	22,003	19,589	93.3%	2,414	Ö
MARIETTA	532	11,658	17	12,207	11,722	-8.8%	485	0
MARION COUNTY	785	11,630	607	13,022	12,531	-37.5%	491	0
MARYSVILLE	754	7,573	192	8,519	8,270	-67.0%	249	0
MASON	472	3,505	132	4,109	3,917	-59,3%	. 192	0
MASSILLON	709	11,023	262	11,994	11,498	-30.0%	496	0 Supplementation statement todale
MAUMEE	1,018	11,901	665	13,584	12,379	18,4%	205	0
MEDINA COUNTY	675	11,253	221 0	12,149 <b>6,661</b>	11,382 6,313	13.6% 20.4%	767 348	19 <b>0</b>
MENTOR MIAMI	289 291	6,372 18,404	1 <i>5</i> 2	18,847	18,597	-14,1%	250	0
MIAMISBURG	342	6,324	422	7,088	6,737	2,6%	351	ő
MIDDLETOWN	438	9,155	509	10,102	9,520	32.9%	582	0
MOUNT VERNON	265	5,772	14	6,051	5,859	-27.5%	192	0
NAPOLEON	178	3,897	0	4,075	3,906	-5.1%	169	0
NEW PHILADELPHIA	1,929	9,248	0	11,177	9,189	3.1%	1,988	84
NEWTON FALLS	455	5,848	5 13. 4 06:05.49 (36. 3 <u>64.16</u> 0833459	6,308	5,850	0.7%	458	
NILES	112	1,763	257	2,132	1,943	68.8%	189 255	0
NORWALK OAKWOOD	414 45	10,550 2,578	409 <b>30</b>	11,373 2,653	11,118 <b>2,653</b>	-38.4% -100.0%	233 0	0 
OBERLIN	938	2,376 6,545	0	2,033 7,483	5,737	86.1%	1,746	0
OREGON	91 91	3,106	371	3,568	3,421	61.5%	147	Ö
PAINESVILLE	232	8,030	376	8,638	8,410	-1.7%	228	0
PARMA	225	11,230	240	11,695	10,939	236.0%	756	Ö
PERRYSBURG	341	9,900	3	10,244	9,829	21.7%	415	O Sides red Stranger autobach server
PORT CLINTON	488	4,658	172	5,318	4,728	20.9%	590	.5
PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	240	7,364	84	7,688	7,345	42.9% -44.4%	343	0
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV, PORTSMOUTH	901 257	14,357 7,683	139 569	15,397 8,509	14,896 8,272	-44.4% -7.8%	501 237	0
ROCKY RIVER	621	7,003 16,195	851	17,667	17,106	-9.7%	207 561	Ö
SANDUSKY	2,134	6,594	174	8,902	6,770	-0.1%	2,132	0
SHAKER HEIGHTS	1,114	10,462	723	12,299	11,063	11.0%	1,236	Ö
SHELBY	22	1,427	23	1,472	1,454	-18.2%	18	0
SIDNEY	301	5,685	91	6,077	5,837	-20.3%	240	0
SOUTH EUCLID	111	3,153	123	3,387	3,304	-25.2%	83	0
STEUBENVILLE	254	1,420	0	1,674	1,358	24.4%	316	0
STRUTHERS SYLVANIA	123 287	2,266 11,47 <del>6</del>	6 1,256	2,395 13,019	2,059 [2,884	173.2% -53.0%	336 135	4 n
TIFFIN	267 157	11,470 4,647	1,230 38	4,842	4,697	-33.0% -7.6%	145	0
TOLEDO	1,920	28,078	Committee of the second of the second of the second of the second	39,551	37,007	32,5%	2,544	133
TOLEDO HOUSING	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
UPPER SANDUSKY	437	8,528	310	9,275	8,918	-18.3%	357	0
VAN WERT COUNTY	39	7,001	5	7,045	6,966	102.6%	79	0
VANDALIA	755	14,039	The commercial results are also recognized the contract of the con-	16,068	15,207	14.0%	861	0
VERMILION	135	3,308	318	3,761	3,613	9.6%	148	6
WADSWORTH WARREN	324	6,193	ti i filologia da grigoro ya makanga ngama Basababbib da kama na katu unitsi ka	7,011	6,676	3.4%	335	0
WASHINGTON COURTHOUS	590 B 139	7,984 3,019	63 1 <b>25</b>	8,637 3,283	7,609 3,212	74.2% -48,9%	1,028 71	308 0
WAYNE COUNTY	558	12,556		13,138	12,508	12.9%	630	0
WILLOUGHBY	1,096	11,690	24	12,810	11,416	27.2%	1,394	Ŏ
WILMINGTON	682	11,272		11,956	11,178	14.1%	778	0
XENIA	714	9,429	医二氯化丁烷医氯化氯 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	10,194	9,335	20.3%	859	14
YOUNGSTOWN	468	7,840	CORP. Markets of Company of the fire way of	9,107	8,519	25.6%	588	0
ZANESVILLE	200	1,865	•	2,065	2,065	-100.0%	Ø	0
STATEWIDE	104,161	1,349,933	130,421	1,584,515	1 404 227	-15.3%	88,188	1 007
121	104,101	1,347,733	130,421	1,304,313	1,496,327	-13.3%	00,188	1,907

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS OTHER TRAFFIC TERMINATIONS 1992

											BANKRUPTCY	
· •_	TIDV	aorma		NO CONTEST	GUILTY/NO CONTEST TO	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF	OTHER DISMIS-	VIOLA- TIONS	TRANS- FERRED		STAY/INTER- LOCUTORY	OTHER TERMIN-
COURT		TRIAL	JUDGE	NAL CHARGE REFEREE	REDUCED	SPEEDY TRIAL		BUREAU	OUT	ACCUSED	APPEAL	ATIONS
	<del></del>		<del>-,</del>							errore a conjugate to the co		
AKRON	0	27	897	12,834	92	0 21	81 6	10,642 1,278	13 0	2,264 35	210	81
ALLIANCE ASHLAND	0 1	16 111	1,123 4,386	0 0	3 50	0	79	9,584	0	830	, o	13
ASHTABULA	0	14	2,326	0	55	11	1,898	3,646	0	812	0	1
ATHENS	0	94	890 502	0	128 74	0 16	899 225	4,117 5,496	0	314 148	0	0 524
AUGLAIZE COUNTY AVON LAKE	1	63 59	583 358	0 0	74 34	10	22. 31	365	0	15	, o	0
BARBERTON	0	99	5,522	0	28	0	417	4,035	8	440	0	0
BEDFORD	. 11	430	2,359	4,014	411 9	<b>0</b> 11	1,089 647	3,090 6,959	26 4	234 281	7 0	151 0
BELLEFONTAINE BELLEVÜE	0	133 \0	1,213 301		8	0	160	1,490	- 0	201 29	Ö	1
BEREA	0	46	1,635	0	62	0	396	2,165	0	2	0	195
BOWLING GREEN	0	53	1,920	880	8	0	649 149	4,633 4,249	. 0 2	75 2,511	<b>0</b> 0	0
BRYAN CAMBRIDGE	0	66 191	1,432 1,373	0 0	35 18	1 26	131	6,568	0	2,317 2,317	0	233
CAMPBELL	3	19	606	0	13	0	18	238	0	9	0	0
CANTON	2	73 50		4,881	87 17	0	918	5,569	6 0	1,089 9	0	3 0
CELINA CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	0	52 34	1,635 1,066	0 0	17 15	0	369 156	1,622 653	0	28	ő	0
CHARDON	3	99	3,225	0	51	0	88	6,097	0	232	0	11
CHILLICOTHE	3	114	and the same of the control of	0	13	7	221	9,233	6 0	1,425	0	3 0
CIRCLEVILLE CLARK COUNTY	0 3	230 98	1,408 841	0 5,538	37 147	0 0	516 1,704	5,934 6,334	7	1,012 4,934	ŏ	
CLERMONT COUNTY	0	162	the second of the first of	17	8	12	458	6,923	8	3,822	0	12
CLEVELAND	11	728	entre configuration	29,058	562	3	6,322	36,221	67 0	34,116 1,717	0	613 92
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS CLEVELAND HOUSING	1 0	265 0	325 0	2,360 0	12 0	0	5,189 0	7,239 0	Ö	0	0	92
CONNEAUT	3	8		0	10	196	194	2,076	0	60	0	0
COSHOCTON	0	23		0		0	101	1,075	0	23 327	0	1 0
CRAWFORD COUNTY CUYAHOGA FALLS	0 2	39 504	Control of the Control (Marcon)	0 10,283	31 535		686 839	3,930 15,865	1	321 71	0	12
DAYTON	0	63	2,333	8,838	292	8	492	9,497	2,668	5,378	0	72
DEFIANCE	. 0	27	3,941	_0	59	Ŏ	969	1,434	51	788 661	0	0 8
DELAWARE EAST CLEVELAND	1 0	98 196	the second second	672 1,769	14 0	0	743 243	10,006 1,881	6 0	651 1,218	0	155
EAST LIVERPOOL	0	16		0	20	0	44	264	0	14	0	0
EATON	. 1	14	TOTAL CAMPAGE	0	92	1	241	4,974	10	422	0	0
ELYRIA EUCLID	0	116 13	The equation of the equi-	371 0	123 1	79 0	330 102	8,402 8,490	11 0	664 0	14.00 ( <b>0</b> 2.4	0
FAIRBORN	0	171	TOTAL PARTY OF THE PARTY.	0	129	0	572	8,698	0	801	0	4
FAIRFIELD	0	49		0	101	i j	147	1,949	0	121	0	0
FINDLAY FOSTORIA	10 0	122 18	Carrier (1974) 1870	0 0	63 41	5 1	2,134 155	10,195 1,246	0 0	1,121 74	Ö	0
FRANKLIN	0	17		2	42	1	290	710	17	1,529	0	109
FRANKLIN COUNTY	5	343		10,724	1,600	5	4,565	89,879	1,903	65,995	0	4,383
FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO FREMONT	. 0 1	0 47	process and a second con-	0 0	0 63	0	3 246	0 4,289	6 3	480	0 0	0 7
GALLIPOLIS	0	126		0	17	0	131	7,025	22	1,248	0	0
GARFIELD HEIGHTS	3	324		0	34	0	77	3,401	11	426 477	1	0
GIRARD HAMILTON	0	0 68		661 1,776	71 0	0 52	747 285	4,116 5,634	0 13	477 1,074	0 : 1 1 1 1 1	0
HAMILTON COUNTY	1	1,537		20,010	8	7	4,596	96,384	76	30,861	0	46
HARDIN COUNTY	0	32		0	41	0	1,023	2,095	34	278	0	13
HILLSBORO HOCKING COUNTY	0 1	27 14		0	1 6	0	127 461	2,136 2,420	2 0	77 142		49 26
HURON	0	27	679	0	10	0	216	814	0	480	0	0
IRONTON	0	0		1,871	.0	2	80	2,504	45	236	0	0
JACKSON COUNTY KETTERING	2	82 183		0	49 146	1 0	140 119	6,920 10,984	0 13	0 311	0 1	0 11
LAKEWOOD	1	175		0	14	0	657	7,718	0	120	0	193
LANCASTER	0	63	2,132	0	53	0	1,675	10,182	11	752	0	6
LAWRENCE COUNTY LEBANON	0 1	0		0	192 23	0	743 335	3,740 1,742	24 4	457 304	1 0	0
・ 1 日本の大学の大学というというできた。 ・ 1 日本の大学の大学というというできた。	ar in <del>t</del> il	v	1,303			Section 1	333	1,772	4	204	· ·	· u

## MUNICIPAL COURTS OTHER TRAFFIC TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COURT		COURT TRIAL		O CONTEST AL CHARGE REFEREE	GUILTY/NO CONTEST TO REDUCED	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL	OTHER DISMIS- SALS	VIOLA- TIONS BUREAU	TRANS- FERRED OUT	UNAVAIL-	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTER- LOCUTORY APPEAL	- OTHER
LICKING COUNTY	4 0	182 112	2,487 1,678	0 1,778	1 171	0	124 2,902	8,106 7,528	·5 9	1,041 0	0	40 42
LORAIN	0	21	3,040	0	24	0	162	1,815	0	30	0	0
LYNDHURST MADISON CCUNTY	3 3 0	283 185	6,136 728	0	0	<b>0</b> 0	972 610	10,082 5,440	3 0	840 0	0	7
MANSFIELD	2	. 89	594	3,975	180	0.	4,017	9,758	- 3	292	0	677
MARIETTA MARION COUNTY	1	76 57	1,553 2,537	0 0	12 37	0 0	968 1,514	7,800 7,741	3 1	1,309 637	0 	0 3
MARYSVILLE	0	100	552	0	11	a, intrastrial archael se actività 1 Senglia e la milital del Sestalla	274	6,713	0	618	0	l Landstandard
MASON MASSILLON	4 0	82 29	2,067 3,212	0 1,109	57 144	24 0	340 1,110	942 5,371	0 25	392 497	0 1	9
MAUMEE		104	1,603	0	229	0.00	391	8,207	0	655	0	1,189
MEDINA COUNTY MENTOR	0	162 21	1,028 2,242	2,638 0	50 36	9	1,490 69	5,524 3,903	0 34	481 4	0 0	0 0
MIAMI	0	173	3,970	0	417	0	359	12,733	0	945	0	0
MIAMISBURG MIDDLETOWN	0	68 102	800 3,157	636 7	105 8	5 28	467 433	4,370 5,105	23 8	257 528	0	6 144
MOUNT VERNON	0	57	760	0	8	0	401	4,344	0	289 201	0	0 251
NAPOLEON NEW PHILADELPHIA	1 1	20 90	688 1,201	0	32 33	0 17	26 93	2,687 7,696	0	201 58	0	251 0
NEWTON FALLS	4	224	3,221	0	111	<b>7</b> - C. DELMO (************************************	74	2,163	0	45	0	1
NILES NORWALK	0 2	23 215	1,034 1,382	0	2 77	0 0	86 81	665 8,722	2 2	131 636	0	0
OAKWOOD	0	37	<b>5</b> j2	0	61	0	60	1,624	Ŏ	59	, jo	0
OBERLIN OREGON	1 0	9 46	1,307 1,725	0 0	43 144	0	215 157	4,071 <del>9</del> 43	0 1	91 405	0 0	0
PAINESVILLE	1	51	2,002	0	63	2 Vita na tao na wili kasasa	128	5,865	4	232	0	12
PARMA PERRYSBURG	1 0	13 47	1,043 2,011	3,194 0	293 30	19 4	61 59	5,504 6,911	5 0	795 765	0	11 2
PORT CLINTON	4	70	1,057	0	9		316	3,021	28	221	II. Saija	Ŏ
PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV.	1 3	30 34	628 8 <b>5</b> 0	1,310 1,315	292 362	0	138 240	4,835 11,875	2 3	109 214	0 0	0
PORTSMOUTH	0	26	1,087	0	3	4 200 as 1 645 AAS 100 C	169	6,278	2	688	0	15
ROCKY RIVER SANDUSKY	0	714 69	2,624 1,723	3,716 0	83 107	9 <b>3</b> 13	1,133 946	7,739 3,569	163 0	841 282	0	0 61
SHAKER HEIGHTS	1	264	1,543	1,555	0	0	819	5,473	0	1,408	0	0
SHELBY SIDNEY	2 0	56 29	391 556	0	6 100	0 	248 422	728 4,472	0 0	23 244	0	0 14
SOUTH EUCLID	0	10	1,455	1	17	0	55	1,635	0	130	0	1
STEUBENVILLE STRUTHERS	0	26 54	482 470	0 0	0 14	1 1	87 159	758 1,358	0	4 2	0 0	0 1
SYLVANIA	, i	67	3,938	0	275	0	1,612	5,902	0	1,089 74	9	0
TIFFIN TOLEDO	0	60 117	996 6,342	0 2,014	31 529	2 0	365 3,427	3,157 13,692	12 0	10,775	0	111
TOLEDO HOUSING UPPER SANDUSKY	0 0	0 77	0 1 <b>,96</b> 8	0	0 7	0 0	0 1,045	0 <b>5,262</b>	0 30	0 <b>528</b>	0 0	0 1
VAN WERT COUNTY	0	29	720	<b>0</b> 0	10	1	85	5,840	0 0	5 5	0	276
VANDALIA VERMILION	0	86 26	3,830	14 0	170 46	0 5	1,253 250	8,275 1,834	6 1	1,255 285	<b>0</b> 0	318 5
WADSWORTH	0	20 57	1,161 720	0	40 <b>63</b>	0	230 718	4,403	ō	the second and the second second	ાક મહેડ	112
WARREN WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE	0	67 39	3,186 609	0	51 16	68 0	144 89	4,026	1	66 153	0	0
WAYNE COUNTY	4	294	1,571	0 0	0	0	918	2,300 9,676	1 0	153 45	1 0	0
WILLOUGHBY WILMINGTON	0	31 129	4,306 1,437	0	0 59	0 3	363 180	6,697 5,137	0	3 4,232	0	16 0
XENIA	Ö	56		o o		ó	228	en e e e e e e e	0	4,232 374	i	565
YOUNGSTOWN ZANESVILLE	0	347 117	4,696 991	0	3 1	3 3	1,115 35	and the second second	6	1,640 1	0	0 71
	ee (ta) <b>v</b> ii	FA (	and a Return	. Date File Mi	erija subalu - <del>k</del> al	e en al de la composición del composición de la	ده در	040	i je i live je <b>v</b> e	e estra fight, <del>f</del> ifet	<b>Y</b> =	e un reside
OTA TEALITY		10 400	016.000	100.001	10 501	704	01 707	004 205	E 110	010.057	200	10.550
STATEWIDE 121	127	13,487	215,380	139,821	10,591	781	81,526	804,737	5,463	213,757	227	10,930

## MUNICIPAL COURTS PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE OVERALL CASELOADS 1902

	CASES PENDING	NEW	REACTIVATIONS AND	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN	% NET INCREASE IN	CASES PENDING	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
COURT	JANUARY 1	FILINGS	TRANSFERS	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31	GUIDELINES
AKRON	229	605	76	910	686	-2.2%	224	0
ALLIANCE ASHLAND	53 18	54 40	0 2	107 60	77 42	-43.4% 0.0%	30 18	0
ASHTABULA ATHENS	29 16	34 49	0 <b>3</b>	63 68	53 48	-65.5% 25.0%	10 20	0 <b>0</b>
AUGLAIZE COUNTY	11	27		38	37	-90.9%	1	0
AVON LAKE BARBERTON	3 30	12 70	1 0	16 100	15 76	-66,7% -20.0%	.1 24	<b>0</b> 0
BEDFORD BELLEFONTAINE	65 13	88 35	1	154 49	124 30	-53.8% 46.2%	30 19	0
BELLEYUE	2	12	0	14	10	100.0%	4	0
BEREA BOWLING GREEN	50 27	86 57	1 3	137 87	117 <b>67</b>	-60.0% -25.9%	20 20	0 <b>0</b>
BRYAN CAMBRIDGE	17 14	24 29	2 5	43 45	35 34	-52.9% -21.4%	8 11	0
CAMPBELL	5	5		10	9	-80.0%	1	Description of the state of the
CANTON CELINA	122 17	225 26	9 0	356 43	221 35	10.7% -52.9%	135 8	0 0
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY CHARDON	14 26	22 38	<b>0</b>	3 <b>6</b> 68	23 54	-7.1% -46.2%	<b>13</b> 14	<b>0</b> 0
CHILLICOTHE	39	71	4	114	79	-10.3%	35	Taria di
CIRCLEVILLE CLARK COUNTY	2 178	41 263	0 5	43 446	33 256	400.0% 6.7%	10 190	0 1
CLERMONT COUNTY CLEVELAND	0 <b>680</b>	13 1,522	0 1 <b>0</b>	13 2,212	6 1,219	46.0%	7 993	2 0
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	27	95	0	122	85	37.0%	37 0	0
CLEVELAND HOUSING CONNEAUT	0 2	0 9	0 0	0 11	0 9	0.0% 0.0%	2	0
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD COUNTY	<b>5</b> 11	18 27	. O	23 38	20 28	-40.0% -9.1%	3 10	<b>0</b> 0
CUYAHOGA FALLS	111	206	15	332	219 663	1.8% -19.7%	11 <b>3</b> 241	Ŏ
DAYTON DEFIANCE	300 16	603 23	1 <b>4</b>	904 43	34	-43.8%	9	0 0
DELAWARE EAST CLEVELAND	12 17	37 29	0 0	49 46	39 33	-16.7% -23.5%	10 13	0 4
EAST LIVERPOOL	5	9	0 <b>0</b>	14 30	11 19	-40.0% -21.4%	3 11	0 <b>0</b>
EATON ELYRIA	14 75	16 141	8 	224	153	-5.3%	71	0
EUCLID FAIRBORN	11 32	46 77	0	57 109	51 70	-45.5% 21.9%	6 39	0
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY	11 62	35 53	Ö	46 116	28 89	63.6% -56.5%	18 27	0
FOSTORIA	13	18		3i	21	-23,1%	10	0
FRANKLIN FRANKLIN COUNTY	42 263	58 1,950	0 550	100 2,763	68 2,500	-23.8% 0.0%	32 <b>263</b>	0 0
FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRONT	O. 0 17	0 31	0 0	0 48	0 42	0.0% -64.7%	0 6	0 <b>0</b>
GALLIPOLIS	6	21	1	28	20	33.3%	8	0
GARFIELD HEIGHTS GIRARD	64 36	. 99 48	<b>0</b> 1	1 <b>63</b> 85	135 55	-56.3% -16.7%	28 30	0
HAMILTON HAMILTON COUNTY	348 538	125 1,862	0 89	473 2,489	102 2,011	6.6% -11.2%	<b>37</b> 1 478	0 2
HARDIN COUNTY	3 3 3 4 7 5	17		25	17	14.3%	. 8	ď
HILLSBORO HOCKING COUNTY	0 2	0 1	0	0 3	0 3	0.0% -100.0%	0 0	0
HURON IRONTON	0 6	4 14	0	4 21	4 9	0.0% 100.0%	0 12	0 <b>0</b>
JACKSON COUNTY	14	21	0	35	26	-35.7%	9	0
KETTERING LAKEWOOD	4 <b>6</b> 30	106 53	the state of the s	156 83	115 62	-10.9% -30.0%	41 21	<b>0</b> 0
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY	39 6	106 42	entitle contrate to the property of the contrate of the contra	146 49	109 33	-5.1% 166.7%	37 16	0
LEBANON	5	18		23	i5	60,0%	8	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

## MUNICIPAL COURTS PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992


COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS			TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 3	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIM GUIDELINES	
LICKING COUNTY	51	113	6	170	128	-17.6%	42	Constitution delivers and a constitution of the constitution of th	
LIMA LORAIN	165 89	141 94	4	310 183	162. 103	-10.3% -10.1%	148 80	0	
LYNDHURST	9	51	. 0	60	47	44.4%	13	0	
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	0 56	0 151	0	0 217	0 175	0.0% <b>-36.</b> 4%	0 42	0 0	
MARIETTA MARION COUNTY	1 38	0 83	0	1 121	1 88	-100.0% -13.2%	0 33	0 0	
MARYSVILLE	3	15	0	18	14	33.3%	4	0	
MASON MASSILLON	- 88 - 88	38 147	10 6		51 165	-37,5% -13.6%	5 76	0	
MAUMEE	12	28	0	.40	27	8,3%	13	0	
MEDINA COUNTY MENTOR	30 10	44 25	1 0	75 - 35	60 25	-50.0% <b>0.0%</b>	15 10	0 0	
MIAMI MIAMISBURG	61 48	83 92	10 <b>0</b>	154 140	134 85	-67.2% 14.6%	20 55	0	
MIDDLETOWN	70	123	0	193	148	-35.7%	- 35 45	<b>0</b> 0	
MOUNT VERNON NAPOLEON	15 8	35 10	<b>1</b> 0	51 18	31 16	33.3% -75.0%	20 2	<b>0</b> 0	
NEW PHILADELPHIA	74	33		108	52	-24.3%	- 56	-0	
NEWTON FALLS NILES	6 29	17 70	0 0	23 99	18 81	-16.7% -37.9%	5 18	0 0	
NORWALK	6 6.03940 (00.044) 000 000 000 000	19	2	27	21	0.0%	. 6	0	
OAKWOOD OBERLIN	0 7	0 9	<b>0</b> 0	0 16	0 13	0,0% -57.1%	) 3	0	
OREGON PAINESVILLE	<b>8</b> 30	12 68	0	20 99	16	-50.0%	4	Ö	
PARMA	50 51	137	1 4	192	66 129	10.0% <b>23,5</b> %	33 63	0 0	
PERRYSBURG PORT CLINTON	16 3	37 9	2 0	55 12	39 9	0.0% 0.0%	16 3	0 <b>0</b>	
PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	39	78	0	117	92	-35.9%	25	0	
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. PORTSMOUTH	68 42	29 73		59 144	99 108	-100,0% -14.3%	. 0 36	1	
ROCKY RIVER	22	66	4	92	68	9,1%	24	Ŏ	
SANDUSKY SHAKER HEIGHTS	33 30	43 71	1 <b>78</b>	77 179	64 69	-60.6% 2 <b>66.</b> 7%	13 110	0	
SHELBY SIDNEY	0 22	0 31	0 2	0 55	0 45	0.0%	0	0	
SOUTH EUCLID	12	21	1	34	45 22	-54.5% 0.0%	10 12	<b>0</b> 0	
STEUBENVILLE STRUTHERS	3 4	0 12	0	3 16	1 11	-33,3% 25.0%	2 5	0	
SYLVANIA	36	33	8	77	55	23.0% -38.9%	22	0	
TIFFIN TOLEDO	19 1,113	26 1,470	0 98	45 2,681	31 1,736	-26.3% -15.1%	14 945	0 8	
TOLEDO HOUSING	nert een de rede <del>rden verde</del> er op, ee. O 1 Dekember op rederland blake bester	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	
UPPER SANDUSKY VAN WERT COUNTY	4 84	7 97	0 33	11 214	4 189	75.0% -70.2%	7 25	0	
VANDALIA VERMILION	11	12	0	23	15.	-27.3%	8	Ö	
WADSWORTH	5 4	6 22	0 <b>0</b>	11 26	9 20	-60.0% <b>50.0%</b>	2 . 6	0	
WARREN WASHINGTON COURTHOUS	95 SE 12	206 39	10	311 51	209	7.4%	102	O	
WAYNE COUNTY	38	67	0 12	117	31 92	66,7% -34.2%	20 25	0 5	
WILLOUGHBY WILMINGTON	49 17	67 49	5 0	121 66	91 38	-38.8% 64.7%	30 28	0	
XENIA	20	54	938 <b>/ 196</b> 843	74	45	45.0%	29	0 Ü	
YOUNGSTOWN ZANESVILLE	77 36	279 54	0 0	356 90	271 71	10.4% -47.2%	85 19	0 <b>0</b>	
	a kan satta A		no uma ku u uma POSS udalah	and the second second	endale edition				
STATEWIDE 121	6,750	14,062	1,133	21,945	15,674	-11.5%	6,271	25	

## MUNICIPAL COURTS PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE TERMINA'TIONS 1992


COURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE		BY FOR WANT	OF D	THER ISMIS- SALS	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRA	BANKRUPT STAY/INTERI L UTORY APP	LOC- TERM	IN- TERM	ΠN-
AKRON	2	38	462	1	4	7	109 20	<b>13</b> 0	0	e C	parent i speriori de Major de parent de	8	686 77
ASHLAND	0 0	0 1	49 21	0 0 0		edd Howeld	20 14 20	0 0	0			1	42 53
ASHTABULA ATHENS AUGLAIZE COUNTY	0	4 2	25 21	0		2 2 9	9 11	1 0		) 1		10	48 37
AVON LAKE BARBERTON	0 0 0	2 0	13 7 46	7		era della cicciona di legione di	7 14	0	<b>0</b>		) to the state of	0 5	15 76
BELLEFONTAINE	0 0	2 0 6	51 8	, 0 0		2 0 7	29 5	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				124 30
BELLEVUE BEREA	0	1 1 9	6 38	0 0		, U 5	2 60	0	0.0	) 2	<b>L</b>	1	10 117
BOWLING GREEN BRYAN	0	7	34 16	0		5 5	20 10	0 1	, 0			0	67 35
CAMBRIDGE CAMPBELL	0	2 7	13 4	i		0 0	i3 3	, o	, 0		d national and a sample of the first own part	<b>0</b>	34 9
CANTON	3	2 - 9	100 14	. 2 0		2 2 0	87 16	· , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, 0	) )	)		221 35
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY CHARDON	1 0 1	4 0 2	14 13 16	0 0 0		1 4	16 4 13	0 2	0 0			3 16	23 54
CHILLICOTHE CIRCLEVILLE	0	7	41 21	0		2 2 0	26 9	ő o	2			1	79 33
CLARK COUNTY CLERMONT COUNTY	1 0	20 0	140 6	i 0		0 0	93 0	0	0	and the second section of the second second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section is a section of the section			256 6
CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	3. 0	45	3 3 44	733 0		0 0 4	343 31	18 0	0				219 85
CLEVELAND HOUSING CONNEAUT	0	6 0 0	0 7	0 0		0 0	0 2	0	0		)	0	.0 9
CONNEAUT COSHOCTON CRAWFORD COUNTY	0	1	15 25	0		2 2 2	) 1 0	0	0 0			ů O	20 28
CUYAHOGA FALLS DAYTON	0	1 10	89	0	1	0	53 77	0 0	, 0		)	57	219 663
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	0	10 2 2	451 16 20	1 0		0 3 6	10 7	0	0		fluor prosentiation of the form	2 3	34 39
EAST CLEVELAND EAST LIVERPOOL	0	8 0	20 19 10	Ö		3 14 16	, 1 0	0	0		Contract of the second	1	33 11
EATON ELYRIA	0	3 10	10 11 1	0 75	California de la Calenda de la Calenda de Ca	0	.5 39	0 0 2	0	na tanàna mandra dia mandra dia dia mandra d	)	0	19 153
EUCLID FAIRBORN	0	10	42 33	0		THE EXPENSE STATE OF THE	7 12	0	0	sala between possed unbetween balance	<b>)</b>	0	51 70
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY	2 0 0	2 3	15 41	6 0 0		0	11 9	0	0	Colored Color Burgarian Color of Secretary	)	0 7	28 89
FOSTORIA FRANKLIN	0	3 3	10 5	0 29		0 0	<b>8</b> 12	0	<b>0</b>		<b>)</b>	0 20	21 68
FRANKLIN COUNTY FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO	1	40 0	1,467 0	0 0 0		0 0	462 0	0	0.0	20	)		500 0
FREMONT GALLIPOLIS	. 0 0	0 5	21 11	0 0		0	18 4	0	0		) de la company	3	42 20
GARTIELD HEIGHTS GIRARD	0 1	1 <b>0</b>	74 25			0 0 1	42 22	0	0				135 55
HAMILTON HAMILTON COUNTY	0 3	0 37	83 1,804	0		6	13 15	0 8	0		)	0	102 011
HARDIN COUNTY HILLSBORO	0	0 0	13.	0		<b>0</b> 0	3 0	0	0	contract to account the same	)	11	17
HOCKING COUNTY HURON	0	0 0	0 2 0	0 0		0 0	1 1 4	0	0			0 0 0	3
IRONTON JACKSON COUNTY	0	0	5 25	1 0		2 2	1 0	0 0 0	0 0	erioren eo depresaren al discussión d	)	0	9 26
KETTERING LAKEWOOD	0 0	6 7	57 20	0 :	1		35 33	0 3 1	0			0	26 115
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY	0 0 0	3	62	0		0 0 0	23	2	0	manus caras as a section of			62 109
LEBANON	- 0	0	23 9	1 0		0 2	8 - 4	0	0	) )		0	33 15

### MUNICIPAL COURTS PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	DEFAULT HEARING BY REFEREE	DISMISSAL Y FOR WANT O PROSECUTION		TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC UTORY APPEAL		TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
LICKING COUNTY	0 0	4 5	83 <b>95</b>	0 0	4 2	34 48	0 4	0 0	2 1	1 7	128 1 <b>62</b>
LORAIN LYNDHURST	0 0	3 5	1 20	55 0	1 0	41 19	0 3	0 0	2 0	0 0	103 <b>4</b> 7
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	0	0 1 <b>3</b>	0 102	0 0	0 1	0 47	0	0 0	0 0	0 8	0 175
MARIETTA MARION COUNTY	0	0 5	1 52	0	0 6	0 22	0	0	0 2	0 0	1 88
MARYSVILLE MASON	0	1 1	11 20	0	1	1 20	0 10	0	0	0	14 51
MASSILLON MAUMEE	2 10	8 	93 12	0	0 2	56 13	1	0	2	3 0	care that we delice advanta-
MEDINA COUNTY MENTOR	0	0 1	26 	10 1		21 15	2 0	0	0	0 0	60 25
MIAMI MIAMISBURG	0 0	6 13	75 50	0	0 9	46 12	0	0	0	3 0	and the state of the second of
MIDDLETOWN MOUNT VERNON	0	6 1	0 21	81 0	31 1 7	28 4 1	1 0 0	0 <b>0</b> 0	1	0 3 2	148 31 16
NAPOLEON NEW PHILADELPHIA	0 3	0 2	6 25	0 Q 0	1	17 2	0	0	4	0	the contract and a supplemental and the
NEWTON FALLS NILES NORWALK	0 1 0	4 13	12 49 14	0	0 0	13 6	3	0	2	0 0 0	
OAKWOOD OBERLIN	0 0	1 0 3	0	0	0	0 7	0	0 0	<b>0</b>	0	0
OREGON PAINESVILLE	0 0	1		0 1	0 5	6 27	0	<b>0</b>	i O	0 2	16
PARMA PERRYSBURG	i o	- 8 1	93 15	0	0 8	2 11	(12) (13) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14	0	2 2	22 1	129
PORT CLINTON PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	0 0	0 4	.4 45	0	0 4	3 34	0 0	0	1 0	1 5	9
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. PORTSMOUTH	0 0	1 18	12 44	0	2	6 24	1	0	<b>0</b>	.78 18	Carala de de la composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de
ROCKY RIVER SANDUSKY	0 0	.4 10	32 33	0	9 4	22 14	1 0	0	0	<b>0</b> 1	68 64
SHAKER HEIGHTS SHELBY	0 0	3 0	37 0	0	2 0	. 26 . 0	0. 0	0 0	1 0	G 0	44.11
SIDNEY SOUTH EUCLID	0 0	3 2	23 11	0 0	4 0	12 9	0 0	0	0 0	3 0	45 22
STEUBENVILLE STRUTHERS	0 0	5	0 6	0 0	0 0	1 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	11
SYLVANIA TIFFIN	0 1	4 3	24 17	0 0	0 1	27 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 3	
TOLEDO HOUSING	2 0	36 0	811 0	0 0	172 0	586 0	0 0	0 0	8 0	121 0	0
UPPER SANDUSKY VAN WERT COUNTY	0 2	0 1	1 10	0 30	0 0	1 21	0	0	0 0	125 125	189
VANDALIA VERMILION	0	0 0	6 3	<b>0</b> 0	0 2	9 3	0 1	0	0 0	0	9
WADSWORTH WARREN	0	1 20	12 104	0	0 22	61 2	1	0	<b>0</b> 1	0	209
WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE WAYNE COUNTY	0	4 1 2	24 51	0 0	0 0	3 40	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13	92
WILLOUGHBY WILMINGTON	0	6 5	29 23	0	23 3	13 7	0	0 0 2	- इत्याद्वार है । इत्याक्ष्मिक्त <b>0</b> विक्रियालय सम्बद्धार करिकार	19 0	38
XENIA YOUNGSTOWN ZANESVILLE	0 0 0	2 4 2	22 208 63	0	3 0 0	8 57 1	0 2 0	3 0 0	0		271
STATEWIDE	31	598	8,308	1,047	771	3,427	91	7	106	1,288	15,674



#### MUNICIPAL COURTS - CONTRACTS STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



#### MUNICIPAL COURTS CONTRACTS OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

ALLIANCE   100	COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 3	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ABSELLAND	representative de la forma de la contrata de la contrata de la composition de la contrata de la contrata de la			the contract of the behaviors and above	and the second s	Bank process of a set of policy and a second second second	Contract to the contract of th		Ŏ
AMERICA (1987)   189   281   189   291   290   141   0   0   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	ASHLAND	84	262	7	353	278	-10.7%	75	į
NAMES   194   194   194   195   19	ATHENS	62	183	entern i beleve pursur fra bala a a per palativ cette fotoli	253	209	-29.0%	44	0
ENDINOD    788	AVON LAKE		132	kananan andara da kananan kanan kanan kanan arawa arawa arawa kanan da kanan da kanan da kanan da kanan da kan	182	160	-56.0%	22	0 0
BELLEVUE 184 200 0 244 1598 1398 10 0 BOWLING ORDERN 138 734 1 6 60 6 63 9 8-8 8 1 10 BOWLING ORDERN 138 734 1 9 755 1768 1986% 111 0 BOWLING ORDERN 138 734 1 9 755 1769 1986% 111 0 CAMPRELL 4 5 6 6 0 10 9 52 40.05 72 0 CAMPRELL 4 5 66 0 10 9 52 40.05 72 0 CAMPRELL 4 5 66 0 10 9 52 40.05 72 0 CAMPRELL 5 5 6 1 1 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 185	BEDFORD		appropriate tolerance are previously used	atori dandinini ili a la suttinini ili nontraditali a dininta attininti	the termination and the second state of		and the second control of the second control	629	0 22
BOWLING GREEN   188	partiral remarkant en alumina a der millar tradicionement bland i di plan contra de secula escala esc		dependent of the section when the	1 0	NOTE: THE PARTY TO SERVED BY COLUMN	and the residence of the second section in the second section of the second second section is the second section of the second section in the second section is the second section of the second section in the second section is the second section of the second section in the second section is the second section of the second section in the second section is the second section of the second section in the second section is the second section of the second section is the second section of the second section in the second section is the second section of the second section is the second section in the section is the second section in the section is the section is the section in the section is the section in the	CONTRACTOR		0 0
BRYAN 173 738 12 923 759 5.2% 164 0 CAMPREDIS 171 194 11 266 218 424% 488 1 CAMPRELL 5 6 6 0 109 82 -0.0% 27 0 CAMPRELL 5 6 6 0 109 82 -0.0% 27 0 CAMPRELL 5 6 6 109 82 -0.0% 27 0 CAMPRELL 5 6 109 82 109 82 109 10 CAMPRELL 5 6 109 82 109 10 CAMPRELL 5 6 10 CAMPRELL 5			to the contract that the state of the contract the contract that the contract the contract that the contract the contract that the contrac	4 3		Note that the second process with the second consistency of the second contract of the seco	Application of the application of the contract of the contract	au California de la companya de la c	0
CAMPELL 45 64 0 109 22 40.0% 77 0 CANTON 1.465 A315 95 1.459 1.158 1.651 2 CELINA 44 133 0 182 1.14% 39 1. CELINA 44 133 0 182 1.14% 39 2 0 CHARDON 27 644 23 954 74 22.5% 19 0 CHARDON 27 644 23 954 74 22.5% 19 0 CHARDON 27 62 29 94 1816 77.3% 165 8 CIRCLEVILLE 52 22 25 26 381 816 77.3% 165 8 CIRCLEVILLE 55 25 26 381 816 77.3% 165 8 CIRCLEVILLE 55 26 27 3.512 2.595 44.0% 1977 7 CLEMMONT COUNTY 0 5 5 0 5 5 2 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BRYAN	restricted and a decrease of the property of the	738	12 1	and the second and advantage and the second	eteratoristica e fili fato, il totalo unatori ticolo anticatolo	na protection and interest and a finding and detection a	eacher near disease and the lines of a large and a	0 1
CELINA 44 138 0 182 143 1-11.4% 39 1 CELAMPAIGR COUNTY 84 289 2 375 281 9.5 8 92 0 CHARDON 27 644 22 954 763 25.5 191 0 CHARDON 27 644 22 954 763 25.5 191 0 CHARDON 27 725 29 381 816 27.3 4 165 8 CHARDON 27 725 29 381 816 27.3 4 165 8 70 0 CHARDON 27 725 29 381 816 27.3 4 165 8 70 0 CHARDON 27 725 29 77 15.1 2.5 5 14.0 8 917 7 10.0 CHARDON 27 10.0	CAMPBELL	45	64		109	82	-40.0%	27	0
CHARDON 267 644 23 994 743 22.5% 191 0 CIRLILOTHE 30 262 10 300 252 65.7% 50 0 CIRCLEVILLE 30 262 10 300 252 65.7% 50 0 CIRCLEVILLE 30 262 10 300 252 65.7% 50 0 CIRCLEVILLE 30 255 0 55 23 14.0% 917 7 CLERNONT COUNTY 0 55 0 55 23 14.4% 3.460 16 CLEVELAND HIGHIS 255 824 0 1,077 871 -18.6% 266 0 CLEVELAND HIGHIS 255 824 0 1,077 871 -18.6% 266 0 CLEVELAND HIGHIS 255 824 0 1,077 871 -18.6% 266 0 CLEVELAND HIGHIS 255 822 1 275 240 152.7% 35 0 CLEVELAND HIGHIS 255 822 1 275 240 152.7% 35 0 CLEVELAND HIGHIS 25 222 1 275 240 152.7% 35 0 CLEVELAND COUNTY 74 222 0 256 244 152.7% 35 0 CLEVELAND HIGHIS 766 113 989 2 0 610 95 624 152.7% 35 0 CUANWOOD COUNTY 74 222 0 256 244 152.7% 35 0 CUANWOOD COUNTY 74 222 0 9.683 8,028 14.8% 16.55 1 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 17.79 8.4% 711 0 CUANYON COUNTY 1942 7,709 32 9.683 8,028 14.8% 16.55 1 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 2 610 986 9.7% 124 0 DEFEANCE 113 9895 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CELINA	44	138	0	182	143	-11.4%	39	1
CIRCLEVILLE 30 252 10 302 252 66.7% 50 0 CARAK COUNTY 1,065 2,059 47 3,5152 2,555 14.05 917 7 CLERONT COUNTY 0 555 0 555 23 14.05 917 7 CLERONT COUNTY 0 555 0 555 23 12.0 2 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 253 524 0 1,077 871 18.6% 206 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 253 524 0 1,077 871 18.6% 206 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 253 524 0 0,077 871 18.6% 206 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 252 22 1 2275 240 32.7% 35 0 CONNEAUT 16 81 0 97 80 6.3% 17 0 COSHOCTON 52 222 1 2275 240 32.7% 35 0 CONNEAUT 776 1,021 33 2,439 1,719 8.44% 711 0 CDAYTON 1,942 7,709 32 9,683 8,028 14.8% 1,655 1 DEFANCE 1113 495 22 610 485 9,7% 124 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 364 10,2% 779 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 365 29.8 381 389 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 365 29.8 381 389 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 365 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 365 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 443 365 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 248 2438 318 0 DELAYARE 88 355 1 454 385 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248	CHARDON	267	644	23	934	743	-28.5%	191	0
CLEWELAND COUNTY 0 55 0 55 23 - 32 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 25 524 0 1,077 871 1.8.6% 20.6 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 25 524 0 1,077 871 1.8.6% 20.6 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 25 524 0 1,077 871 1.8.6% 20.6 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 25 524 0 1,077 871 1.8.6% 20.6 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 25 52 524 0 1.0.77 871 1.8.6% 20.6 0 CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 25 524 0 0.9 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0.	CIRCLEVILLE	30	262	10	302	252	66.7%	50	0
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS 253 824 0 1,077 871 -18.6% 206 0 CLEVELAND HOUSING 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CLERMONT COUNTY	0	55	0	55	23	-	32	0
CONNEAUT 16 81 0 97 80 6.3% 17 0 COSHOCTON 52 222 1 275 240 32.7% 35 0 CRAWFORD COUNTY 74 222 0 296 234 16.2% 62 0 CUYAHOGA FAILS 776 1.621 33 2.450 1.719 8.44% 1711 0 DAYTON 1.942 7.709 32 9.683 8.028 1-14.8% 1.655 1 DEFIANCE 113 495 2 610 886 9.7% 124 0 DELAWARE 88 354 1 443 364 10.2% 79 0 DELAWARE 88 354 1 66 1970 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 750 641 16 1.977 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 1750 641 16 1.977 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 1750 641 1 6 1.977 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 1750 641 1 6 1.977 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 1750 641 1 6 1.977 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 1750 641 1 6 1.977 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 1750 641 1 6 1.977 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 1750 641 1 6 1.977 1.012 48.7% 385 0 EAST CLEVELAND 1.111 2.74 1 3866 248 24.3% 138 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2.170 1.757 4.3% 594 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2.170 1.757 4.3% 594 0 EUCILD 121 871 1 993 831 33.9% 162 0 EVENTION 86 427 7 7 520 451 1.98% 66 0 FARIBERD 242 332 0 594 474 50.4% 120 4 FARIBERD 242 332 0 594 174 50.4% 120 4 FARIBERD 242 332 0 594 174 50.4% 120 4 FARIBERD 242 332 0 594 174 50.4% 120 4 FRANKLIN 200 243 5 5 457 418 81.3% 39 0 FRANKLIN 200 243 5 5 457 418 81.3% 39 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY SOUR 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 5.8% 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	253	824	0	1,077	871	-18.6%	206	countries section and a section of the section of t
CRAWFORD COUNTY 74 222 0 296 234 -16.2/8 62 0 CUYAHOGA PALLS 776 1,621 33 2,430 1,719 -8.4/8 711 0 DAYTON 1,942 7,709 32 9,683 8,028 -14.8/8 1,655 1 DEFTANCE 113 495 2 610 486 9,7/8 124 0 DEFLAWARE 88 354 1 44/3 364 -10.2/8 79 0 EAST CLEVELAND 750 641 6 1,397 1,012 48.7/8 385 0 EAST LIVERPOOL 158 506 0 664 507 -0.6/8 157 0 EAST CLEVELAND 111 274 1 386 248 24.3/8 138 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2,170 1,576 -4.3/8 594 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2,170 1,576 -4.3/8 594 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2,170 1,576 -4.3/8 594 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2,170 1,576 -4.3/8 594 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2,170 1,576 -4.3/8 594 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 331 33.9/8 162 0 FARBORN 86 427 7 7 520 451 1,9.8/8 69 0 FARBORN 86 427 7 7 520 451 1,9.8/8 69 0 FARBORN 86 427 7 7 520 451 1,9.8/8 69 0 FARBORN 86 427 7 7 520 451 1,9.8/8 69 0 FARBORN 86 427 822 2 358 303 -25.7/8 55 0 FRANKLIN 209 243 55 457 448 8.13/8 39 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY 5,648 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY 5,648 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY 1,5048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY 1,5048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY 1,5048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY 1,5048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY 1,5048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY 1,5048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY 1,5048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 58.0/8 3,34 45,73/8 63 0 GALLIPOLIS 1 6 6 0 7 7 7 100.0/8 0 0 GARTIELD HEIGHTS 618 1,073 0 1,691 1,317 39,5/8 374 0 GRAND 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	ranami kunananami kunanama anami kunanami kunanami kunanan ingarana kunanami kunanami kunanami kunana ingarana	16		en a l'orașe a central de Pagasa i natori	pleased but crastiff could	80	ENDER PROGRAMME PROPERTY OF A PARTY	17	0
DAYTON	States out the resolutional and additional from the security of the incidence of the following self-se		caracteristic control of a final state of the con-	1 0	tin vederation between contrates the	Make a contract to the larger of a first party of the first party.	and the character of the contraction of the contrac	and the first for a part of the section of	0
DEFIANCE	a talah daripada basar talah basar basar basar basar bata bara bara bara bara bara bara ba			an national adult and control of the control of the section of the			and the state of t	Annual de printe ante este este este en	0 1
EAST CLEVELAND         750         641         6         1,397         1,012         48.7%         385         0           EAST LIVERPOOL         158         506         0         664         507         0.6%         157         0           EATON         111         274         1         386         248         24.3%         594         0           EUCILD         121         1,454         95         2,170         1,576         4.3%         594         0           FAIRDORN         86         427         7         520         451         -19.8%         69         0           FAIRDIAY         295         1,128         6         1,429         1,183         -16.6%         246         5           FOSTORIA         74         282         2         3588         303         -25.7%         55         0           FRANKLIN COUNTY         5,048         20,434         800         26,282         24,160         -58.0%         2,122         7           FREMONT         116         379         1         496         433         45.7%         43         -81.3%         39         0         0         0         0 <td< td=""><td>edu a produktiko pera kristia indekt bir oproprioterin betir bir bilandian 1981 (del 1804) (d. 1804) (d. 1804)</td><td>113</td><td>495</td><td>in various i prompta in a particolo a población</td><td>eranda a la parades de la premier</td><td>and calendaria material anticipation and</td><td>perina ari firinak wala bashari bashari bili kiti</td><td>entre entre de la contra de la c</td><td>0</td></td<>	edu a produktiko pera kristia indekt bir oproprioterin betir bir bilandian 1981 (del 1804) (d. 1804) (d. 1804)	113	495	in various i prompta in a particolo a población	eranda a la parades de la premier	and calendaria material anticipation and	perina ari firinak wala bashari bashari bili kiti	entre entre de la contra de la c	0
EATON 111 274 1 386 248 24.3% 138 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2,170 1,576 4.3% 594 0 ELYRIA 621 1,454 95 2,170 1,576 4.3% 594 0 FAIRBORN 86 427 7 520 451 -19.8% 69 0 FAIRBORN 86 427 7 520 451 -19.8% 69 0 FAIRBELD 242 352 0 594 474 50.4% 120 4 FINDLAY 295 1,128 6 1,429 1,183 -16.6% 246 5 FOSTORIA 74 282 2 358 503 25.7% 55 0 FRANKLIN 209 243 5 457 418 -81.3% 39 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY 5,048 20.43 800 26,282 24,160 58.0% 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVRO. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 FREMONT 116 379 1 496 433 -45.7% 63 0 GALLIPOLIS 1 6 6 1,073 0 1,691 1,317 39.5% 374 0 GARFIELD HEIGHTS 618 1,073 0 1,691 1,317 39.5% 374 0 GARRIELD HEIGHTS 618 1,073 0 4,754 1,165 6.8% 3,589 0 HAMILTON 3,360 1,394 0 4,754 1,165 6.8% 3,589 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 5,055 15,816 426 21,297 20,208 -78.5% 1,089 9 HAMILTON COUNTY 5,055 15,816 426 21,297 20,208 -78.5% 1,089 9 HAMILTON OUNTY 7,1 210 3 234 230 23.9% 54 0 HILLSBORO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EAST CLEVELAND	750	641	6	1,397	1,012	-48.7%	385	o .
EUCIID         121         871         1         993         831         33.9%         162         0           FAIRBORN         86         427         7         520         451         -19.8%         69         0           FAIRBORN         242         352         0         594         474         -50.4%         120         4           FINDLAY         295         1,128         6         1,429         1,183         -16.6%         246         5           FOSTORIA         74         282         2         358         303         -25.7%         55         0           FRANKLIN         209         243         5         457         418         -81.3%         39         0           FRANKLIN COUNTY         5,048         20,434         800         26,822         24,160         -58.0%         2,122         7           FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO.         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         10         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0 </td <td>EATON</td> <td>111</td> <td>274</td> <td>1</td> <td>386</td> <td>248</td> <td>24.3%</td> <td>138</td> <td>) O</td>	EATON	111	274	1	386	248	24.3%	138	) O
FAIRFIELD 242 352 0 594 474 50.4% 120 4 FINDLAY 295 1,128 6 1,429 1,183 -16.6% 246 5 FOSTORIA 74 282 2 358 303 25.7% 55 0 FOSTORIA 74 282 2 358 303 25.7% 55 0 FRANKLIN 209 243 5 457 418 -81.3% 39 0 FRANKLIN 50.4% 20,434 800 26.282 24,160 58.0% 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO, 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 FREMONT 116 379 1 496 433 45.7% 63 0 GALLIPOLIS 1 6 0 7 7 7 100.0% 0 0 GARLIPOLIS 1 6 0 7 7 7 100.0% 0 0 GARLIPOLIS 1 6 0 7 7 7 100.0% 0 0 GARLIPOLIS 618 1,073 0 1,691 1,317 39.5% 374 0 GIRRAND 244 461 6 711 538 -29.1% 173 0 FRAMILTON COUNTY 5,055 15,816 426 21,297 20,208 -78.5% 1,089 9 HAMILTON COUNTY 5 0 154 3 207 174 34.0% 33 0 HARDIN COUNTY 5 0 154 3 207 174 34.0% 33 0 HARDIN COUNTY 5 0 154 3 207 174 34.0% 33 0 HARDIN COUNTY 5 0 154 3 207 174 34.0% 33 0 HARDIN COUNTY 7 1 210 3 284 230 23.9% 54 0 HURON 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 5 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 5 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 5 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 5 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 5 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLIPOLN 5 5 30 0 5 35 29 20.0% 6 0 GALLI	EUCLID	121	871		993	831	33.9%	162	o O
FOSTORIA 74 282 2 358 303 25.7% 55 0 FRANKLIN 209 243 5 457 418 -81.3% 39 0 FRANKLIN COUNTY 5,048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 -58.0% 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 FREMONT 116 379 1 496 433 45.7% 63 0 GALLIPOLIS 1 6 0 7 7 7 -100.0% 0 0 GARFIELD HEIGHTS 618 1,073 0 1,691 1,317 39.5% 374 0 GIRARD 244 461 6 711 538 -29.1% 173 0 HAMILTON 3,360 1,394 0 4,754 1,165 6.8% 3,589 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 5,055 15,816 426 21,297 20,208 78.5% 1,089 9 HARDIN COUNTY 5 0 154 3 207 174 34.0% 33 0 HILLSBORO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HOCKING COUNTY 71 210 3 284 230 -23.9% 54 0 HULDON 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 HOCKING COUNTY 79 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 ACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 LANCASTER 146 614 21 781 675 -27.4% 106 0	FAIRFIELD	242	352	o .	594	474	-50,4%	120	4
FRANKLIN COUNTY 5,048 20,434 800 26,282 24,160 -58,0% 2,122 7 FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 FREMONT 116 379 1 496 433 -45,7% 63 0 GALLPOLIS 1 6 0 7 7 7 -100,0% 0 0 GARFIELD HEIGHTS 618 1,073 0 1,691 1,317 -39,5% 374 0 GIRARD 244 461 6 711 538 -29,1% 173 0 HAMILTON 3,360 1,394 0 4,754 1,165 6,8% 3,589 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 5,055 15,816 426 21,297 20,208 -78,5% 1,089 9 HARDIN COUNTY 50 154 3 207 174 34,0% 33 0 HILLSBORO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HOCKING COUNTY 71 210 3 284 230 -23,9% 54 0 HULON 5 30 0 35 29 20,0% 6 0 HUCON 5 30 0 35 29 20,0% 6 0 HUCON 5 142 1 197 151 -14,8% 46 0 JACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6,8% 63 1 KETTERING 386 1,391 58 1,835 1,476 -7,0% 359 0 LANCASTER 146 614 21 781 675 -27,4% 106 0	FOSTORIA	74	282	2	358	303	-25,7%	55	0
FREMONT 116 379 1 496 433 -45.7% 63 0 GALLIPOLIS 1 6 0 77 7 7 -100.0% 0 0 GARFIELD HEIGHTS 618 1,073 0 1,691 1,317 -39.5% 374 0 GIRARD 244 461 6 711 538 -29.1% 173 0 HAMILTON 3,366 1,394 0 4,754 1,165 6.8% 3,589 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 5,055 15,816 426 21,297 20,208 -78.5% 1,089 9 HARDIN COUNTY 50 154 3 207 174 -34.0% 33 0 HILLSBORO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HOCKING COUNTY 71 210 3 284 230 -23.9% 54 0 HURON 5 3 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 RONITON 54 142 1 197 151 -14.8% 46 0 JACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 KETTERING 386 1,391 58 1,835 1,476 -7.0% 359 0 LAKEWOOD 314 940 362 1,616 1,213 28.3% 403 0 LANGASTER 146 614 21 781 675 -27.4% 106 0	FRANKLIN COUNTY		adamenta a esta de terra de 2 de cidade a esta conser-	and the second of the second o		and a first contract of the co	-58.0%	the state of the s	0 7
GARFIELD HEIGHTS 618 1,073 0 1,691 1,317 39.5% 374 0 GIRARD 244 461 6 711 538 -29.1% 173 0 HAMILTON 3,360 1,394 0 4,754 1,165 6.8% 3,589 0 HAMILTON COUNTY 5,055 15,816 426 21,297 20,208 -78.5% 1,089 9 HARDIN COUNTY 550 154 3 207 174 34.0% 33 0 HILLSBORO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HOCKING COUNTY 71 210 3 284 230 -23.9% 54 0 HURON 5 30 0 35 29 20.0% 6 0 RONTON 54 142 1 197 151 -14.8% 46 0 JACKSON COUNTY 59 91 0 150 87 6.8% 63 1 KETTERING 386 1,391 58 1,835 1,476 -7.0% 359 0 LAKEWOOD 314 940 362 1,616 1,213 28.3% 403 0 LANCASTER 146 614 21 781 675 -27.4% 106	FREMONT	deed a series of the contract of	e andre contrate and a	diameter in a significant or graph programme and perfect the second programme.	Control of the Control of the Control	of a contract of the above of a contraction of		and the control of the control of the	0
HAMILTON         3,360         1,394         0         4,754         1,165         6.8%         3,589         0           HAMILTON COUNTY         5,055         15,816         426         21,297         20,208         -78.5%         1,089         9           HARDIN COUNTY         50         154         3         207         174         -34.0%         33         0           HILLSBORO         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           HOCKING COUNTY         71         210         3         284         230         -23.9%         54         0           HURON         5         30         0         35         29         20.0%         6         0           IRONTON         54         142         1         197         151         -14.8%         46         0           JACKSON COUNTY         59         91         0         150         87         6.8%         63         1           KETTERING         386         1,391         58         1,835         1,476         -7.0%         359         0           LAKEWOOD         314         940         362         1,616		A ST COST OFFI TO STANK AND ADDRESS.	which has been been been able to the death.	sales at the control of the first control of the first participation of the	The transfer of the first out	sa na nadere portu abasera italia ndebicio in	provide the second with deplete before a ratio.	recopping the property of the control of the	0 <b>0</b>
HAMILTON COUNTY         5,055         15,816         426         21,297         20,208         -78.5%         1,089         9           HARDIN COUNTY         50         154         3         207         174         -34.0%         33         0           HILLSBORO         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           HOCKING COUNTY         71         210         3         284         230         -23.9%         54         0           HURON         5         30         0         35         29         20.0%         6         0           HONITON         54         142         1         197         151         -14.8%         46         0           JACKSON COUNTY         59         91         0         150         87         6.8%         63         1           KETTERING         386         1,391         58         1,835         1,476         -7.0%         359         0           LAKEWOOD         314         940         362         1,616         1,213         28.3%         403         0           LANGASTER         146         614         21         781			and the second of the second of the second of	The control of the control of the state of t	the state of the first terms to	and the second s		and the second of the second	0 6164 61 <b>0</b>
HILLSBORO         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           HOCKING COUNTY         71         210         3         284         230         -23,9%         54         0           HURON         5         30         0         35         29         20.0%         6         0           IRONTON         54         142         1         197         151         -14.8%         46         0           JACKSON COUNTY         59         91         0         150         87         6.8%         63         1           KETTERING         386         1,391         58         1,835         1,476         -7.0%         359         0           LAKEWOOD         314         940         362         1,616         1,213         28.3%         403         0           LANCASTER         146         614         21         781         675         -27.4%         106         0	HAMILTON COUNTY	5,055	15,816	426	21,297	20,208	-78.5%	1,089	9 0
HURON         5         30         0         35         29         20.0%         6         0           IRONTON         54         142         1         197         151         -14.8%         46         0           JACKSON COUNTY         59         91         0         150         87         6.8%         63         1           KETTERING         386         1,391         58         1,835         1,476         -7.0%         359         0           LAKEWOOD         314         940         362         1,616         1,213         28.3%         403         0           LANCASTER         146         614         21         781         675         -27.4%         106         0	HILLSBORO	0	0	0	0	C C	0.0%	0	0
JACKSON COUNTY         59         91         0         150         87         6.8%         63         1           RETTERING         386         1,391         58         1,835         1,476         -7.0%         359         0           LAKEWOOD         314         940         362         1,616         1,213         28.3%         403         0           LANCASTER         146         614         21         781         675         -27.4%         106         0	HURON	5	30	0	35	29	20.0%	6	0
LAKEWOOD 314 940 362 1,616 1,213 28.3% 403 0 LANGASTER 146 614 21 781 675 -27.4% 106 0	JACKSON COUNTY	59	91	0	150	87	6.8%	63	
이번 역사에 한다면 하나 하나 하나 이 나는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 하나 이 사람들이 그렇게 되었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 사람이 되었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 사람이 되었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 사람	LAKEWOOD	314	940	362	1,616	1,213	28.3%	403	0
LEBANON 18 32 0 50 43 -61.1% 7 1	LAWRENCE COUNTY	31	85	4	120	91			0

# MUNICIPAL COURTS CONTRACTS OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 3	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
LICKING COUNTY	<b>245</b>	813	12	1,070	893	-27.8%	177 1,407	1 sector (162)
LIMA	1,743	2,858	41	4,642	3,235	+19.3%	325	26
LORAIN	621	1,450	0	2,071	1,746	-47.7%		0
LYNDHURST	279	874	4	1,157	992	-40.9%	165	0
MADISON COUNTY	123	327	0	450	297	24.4%	153	1
MANSFIELD	<b>52</b>	212	0	265	222	-17.3%	43	<b>0</b>
MARIETTA	172	345		517	427	-47.7%	90	0
MARION COUNT-L /	125	239	3	3 <b>67</b>	280	+30,4%	87	0
MARYSVILLE	44	193	0	237	199	-13.6%	38	0
MASON	22	134	23	179	156	4.5%	23	0
MASSILLON	470	1,713	15	2,198	1,785	-12,1%	413	
MAUMEE	79	270	0	349	292	-27,8%	57	<u>.</u>
MEDINA COUNTY	257	713	21	991	757	-8.9%	234	8
MENTOR	329	767	$oldsymbol{i}_{i,j}$	1,107	976	-60.2%	131	ō
MIAMI	70	355	11	436	412	-65.7%	24	0
MIAMISBURG	<b>39</b>	113	1	153	121	-17.9%	32	1
MIDDLETOWN MOUNT VERNON	398	1,339	37	1,774	1,435	-14.8%	339	1
	<b>62</b>	139	<b>6</b>	207	174	-46.8%	3 <b>3</b>	0
NAPOLEON	101	271	0	372	319	-47.5%	53	0
NEW PHILADELPHIA	424	443	7	874	412	9.0%	4 <b>62</b>	19
NEWTON FALLS	36	112	14	162	119	19.4%	43	0
NILES	<b>7</b> 1	344	2	419	298	70.4%	121	0
NORWALK	234	1,026	7	1,267	1,037	-1.7%	230	0
OAKWOOD	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	0	0.0%	0	<b>0</b>
OBERLIN	139	374		513	397	-16.5%	116	0
OREGON	61	131	4	196	173	-62.3%	23	0
PAINESVILLE	457	804	113	1,374	1,091	-38.1%	283	0
PARMA	480	1,458	24	1,962	1,526	-9.2%	436	3
PERRYSBURG	147	423	14	584	461	-16.3%	123	0
PORT CLINTON PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT		588 420	30 0	684 603	4 <b>65</b> 472	231,8% -28.4%	219 131	3 0
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. PORTSMOUTH	41 <b>9</b> 341	1,087 811	1 100	1,507 1,252	1,326 1,004	-56,8% -27.3%	181 248	0
ROCKY RIVER	119	450	55	624	472	27.7%	152	0
SANDUSKY	427	1,521		1,949	1,618	-22.5%	331	3
SHAKER HEIGHTS SHELBY	343	1,102	7	1,452	1,284	-51.0%	168	0
SIDNEY	22 100	68 471		91 578	82 482	-59.1% -4.0%	9 96	0
SOUTH EUCLID	78	273	4	355	273	5.1%	82	0
STEUBENVILLE	12	0	5	17	11	-50.0%	6	0
STRUTHERS	25	295	1	321	282	56.0%	39	3
SYLVANIA	<b>266</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>AS</b>	689	528	-39.5%	1 <b>6</b> 1	1
TIFFIN	125	312	13	450	358	-26.4%	92	3
TOLEDO	2,588	15,571	331	18,490	16,611	-27.4%	1,879	299
TOLEDO HOUSING UPPER SANDUSKY	0 14	0 34	0 0	0 48	0 39	0.0% -35.7%	0 9	0
VAN WERT COUNTY VANDALIA	108 <b>89</b> 1	225 638	7 <b>69</b>	340 1,598	260 1,446	-25.9% -82.9%	80	0
VERMILION WADSWORTH	59	138	7	204	151	-10.2%	152 53	0 0
WARREN	21	75	1	97	7 <b>5</b>	4.8%	22	0
	617	1,270	39	1,926	1,474	-26.7%	452	2
WASHINGTON COURTHOUSI	E 82	498	3	583	483	22.0%	100	0
WAYNE COUNTY	460	825	248	1,533	1,271	-43.0%	262	84
WILLOUGHBY	386	1,017	31	1,434	1,046	0.5%	388	22
WILMINGTON	222	466	0	688	424	18.9%	264	0
XENIA	172	468	4	641	508	+22.7%	133	
YOUNGSTOWN	4,000	8,447	0	12,447	8,222	5.6%	4,225	
ZANESVILLE	25	181	Ŏ	206	206	-100.0%	100	rangerii ja jõ
STATEWIDE 121	52,580	153,695	4,071	210,346	171,319	-11.5%	39,027	571

### MUNICIPAL COURTS CONTRACTS TERMINATIONS 1992

	JRY BIAL	COURT	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	DEFAULT HEARING B REFEREE	DISMISSAL Y FOR WANT C		TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTC STAY/INTERLA UTORY APPE	OC- TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
AKRON ALLIANCE	1 0	276 0	5,282 1,086	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>665</b> 89	<b>857</b> 130	88	1 0	123 0	73 0	7,375 1,305
ASHLAND ASHTABULA	0	9 23	1,000 185 242	0	13 11	1.70 <b>61</b> 79	0	0	9 13	15	278
ATHENS AUGLAIZE COUNTY	0	12 13	111 301	0	12 29	32 50		0	5 12	36 2	209
AVON LAKE BARBERTON	0 1	5 20	107 275	0 79	1 19	45 119	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	2 0	0 9	522
BELLEFONTAINE	2 0	12 23	1,563 319	0 0	26 29	457 53	2 4	0		252 12	457
BELLEVUE BEREA	1	14 31	142 127	0	4 35 20	30 377	7 2	0	40 11	11 2	629
BOWLING GREEN BRYAN CAMBRIDGE	0 0 0	12 27	643 585 129	0 0 6	2 <b>0</b> 5	75 125 78	2 5 0	0	11 6	1 6 0	764 759 218
CAMBRILOE CAMPBELL CANTON	1 5	5 50	59 3,642	0 11	0 32	76 16 787	1 0	0 0	0	0 301	a consistant est a translation (see files)
CELINA CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	0	12 4	93 181	0 0	0 15	35 50	0 1	0 0	3 5	0 27	143
CHARDON CHILLICOTHE	0 1	31 33	384 557	0 0	31 1	157 186	11 6	0	11 23	118 8	743
CIRCLEVILLE CLARK COUNTY	0	3 122	173 1,698	0 5	0	67 750	2 0	0	7 20	o 0	2,595
CLEVELAND	0 2	0 248	18 14	9,815	2 16	2,744	0 62	0	0 85	0 422	13,408
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS CLEVELAND HOUSING CONNEAUT	0 0 0	19 0 0	508 0 53	16 <b>0</b> 0	45 0 3	281 0 22	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0	0 0 1	and the first operation of the second or the second of the
CONNEADT COSHOCTON CRAWFORD COUNTY	0	4 3	179 204	0	3 5 17	30 30	0	1	5 7	16 0	240
CUYAHOGA FALLS DAYTON	1 0	34 68	1,051 5,974	0 1	230 638	211 1,026	0 65	<b>0</b> 0	0 33	192 223	1,719
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	0 0	28 8	344 233	<b>0</b> 1	29 40	<b>64</b> 49	<b>0</b> 1	0 0	5 9	16 23	364
EAST CLEVELAND EAST LIVERPOOL	0	11 12	576 406	3 0	145 84	.58 0	0	0 0	5	215 0	507
EATON ELYRIA	0	9 51	166 10	935 935	0 147	65 294	0 15	0	5 29	95 8	1,576
EUCLID FAIRBORN	0 0 2	58 26 23	587 252	0 75 0	16 23 76	168 59 111	2 5 0	0 0	0 10 0	0 1 0	451
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY FOSTORIA	2 1 0	23 19 4	262 837 236	0 0	219 13	59 27	1 2	0 0	24 2	23 19	1,183
FRANKLIN FRANKLIN COUNTY	0 1	3 206	9 14,873	218 0	0 0	57 3,688	0 625	0 0	1 398	130 4,369	418
FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO. FREMONT	0 0	0 7	0 309	0	0	0 107	0 0	0	0 2	0 7	0
GALLIPOLIS GARFIELD HEIGHTS	0 0	0 100	4 794	0 44	0	3 344	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	0 <b>35</b>	0 0	1,317
GIRARD HAMILTON	0	8 5	323 820	0 0	1 201	153 135	2 4	0	13 0	38 0	1,165
HAMILTON COUNTY HARDIN COUNTY HILLSBORO	2 0 0	143	17,462 127	0 0 0	2,294 1 0	273 34 0	34 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0	0 10 0	174
HOCKING COUNTY HURON	1 0	0 8 0	0 160 0	0	0	5 <u>2</u> 28	0	0	8 1	1	230
IRONTON JACKSON COUNTY	0	5	110 77	. š	13. 0	15 5	0	0	6 0	1	151
KETTERING LAKEWOOD	2	43 37	922 904	0 1	104 7	366 261	1 <b>0</b> 1	Ø 0	23 2	6 0	1,476
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY	0	22 0	440 61	0 3	0 0	126 20	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	23 4	64 3	91
LEBANON	0	0	26	2	3	12	0	0	0		43

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS CONTRACTS TERMINATIONS (continued) 1902

CKING COUNTY MA DRAIN YNDHURST ADISON COUNTY ANSFIELD ARIETTA ARION COUNTY ARYSVILLE ASON ASSILLON AUMEB EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILAIDELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD BERLIN	1 20 0 26 0 14 0 99 0 5 0 4 0 17 0 6 1 2 0 4 0 13 0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5 0 19	661 2,235 8 475 233 152 291 194 148 91 1,414 193 525 584 271 70 0	0 8 1,119 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 0 27 16 0 10	47 358 45 0 0 0 13 26 23 13 0 1 28 23	143 454 493 350 59 53 102 42 30 32 320 58 180	0 6 0 53 0 0 0 0 0 29	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	6 8 7 5 0 2 2 1 3 2 5 0 9 5	6 32 3, 0 1, 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0
MA DRAIN YNDHURST ADISON COUNTY ANSFIELD ARIETTA ARION COUNTY ARYSVILLE ASON ASSILLON AUMEE EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 26 0 14 0 99 0 5 0 4 0 17 0 6 1 2 0 4 0 13 0 6 0 13 0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	2,235 8 475 233 152 291 194 148 91 1,414 193 525 584 271 70 0	8 1,119 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 2 0 27 16 0	358 45 0 0 0 13 26 13 0 1 28 23	454 493 350 59 53 102 42 30 32 320 58 180	6 0 53 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6. 6. 11. 11. 12. 12. 22.	6 8 7 5 5 0 2 2 3 3 3 2 5 6 9 9	32 3,1 0 1, 0 0 11 0 9 0 0 0 6 1, 1
YNDHURST ADISON COUNTY ANSFIELD ARIETTA ARION COUNTY ARYSVILLE ASON ASSILLON AUMER EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT YERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 99 0 5 0 4 0 17 0 6 1 2 0 4 0 13 0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	475 233 152 291 194 148 91 1,414 193 525 584 271 70 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 2 0 27 16 0	0 0 13 26 13 0 1 28 23 9	350 59 53 102 42 30 32 320 58	53 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 29	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11	5 0 2 3 2 5 0 9 5	0
ADISON COUNTY ANSFIELD ARIETTA ARION COUNTY ARYSVILLE ASON ASSILLON AUMEB EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 5 0 4 0 17 0 6 1 2 0 4 0 13 0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	233 152 291 194 148 91 1,414 193 525 584 271 70 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 0 27 16 0	0 0 13 26 13 0 1 28 28 23	59 53 102 42 30 32 320 58 180	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12	0 2 3 2 5 0 9 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 6 1,
ANSFIELD ARIETTA ARION COUNTY ARYSVILLE ASON ASSILLON AUMEE EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IIDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 4 0 17 0 6 1 2 0 4 0 13 0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	152 291 194 148 91 1,414 193 525 584 271 70 0	1 0 0 2 0 27 16 0	0 13 26 13 0 1 28 23 9	53 102 42 30 32 320 58 180	0 0 0 0 29 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	2	2 1 3 2 5 0 9 5	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1, 1
ARION COUNTY ARYSVILLE ASON ASSILLON AUMEE EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 6 1 2 0 4 0 13 0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	194 148 91 1,414 193 525 584 271 70 0	0 0 2 0 27 16 0	26 13 0 1 28 23 9	30 32 32 320 58 180	0 0 29 0	0 0 0 0 0	22	2 5 0 9 5	0 0 0 6 1,
ARYSVILLE ASON ASSILLON AUMEE EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	1 2 0 4 4 0 13 0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	148 91 1,414 193 525 584 271 70 0	0 0 2 0 27 16 0	13 0 1 28 23 9	30 32 320 58 180	0 29 0 1	0 0 0 0	2:	5 0 9 5	0 0 6 1,
ASON ASSILLON AUMER EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 4 0 13 0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	91 1,414 193 525 584 271 70 0	2 0 27 16 0	1 28 23 9	320 58 180	0 1	0	29	9 <b>5</b>	6 1, 1
AUMEE EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 6 0 0 1 80 0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	193 525 584 271 70 0	0 27 16 0 10	28 23 9	58 180	1			5	<b>(</b> 555.05)
EDINA COUNTY ENTOR IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN COUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 0 1 80 0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	525 584 271 70 0	27 16 0 10	23 9 0	180	entre a reference a factoria	de brander differentiere	a and a plantage replace.	TO SEE CHOOSE	
IAMI IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 0 0 10 0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	271 70 0 118	0 10	0	077			-	-	0
IAMISBURG IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 10 0 49 0 0 0 0 2 4 5	70 0 118	10		277	0	0	nto, chatamatan intelace	tera takan di berataran kemalan keminin di kemalan ketapat ke	1
IDDLETOWN OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 49 0 0 0 2 4 5	0 118	CONTRACTOR SERVICES	18	94 13	0	0	2	control of the second of the second of	0
OUNT VERNON APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	0 0 0 2 4 5	118		16 140	159	0	0	dalar ili silah midda Marti	file of the set of the tree world	2 1,
EW PHILADELPHIA EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD	. 4	つてつ	0	12	26	1	0	reflection for finisher in policies at	8	9
EWTON FALLS ILES ORWALK AKWOOD		232	1	<b>63</b>	3 104	0	0 0	and the second of the second of the second	be a compared to the compared	10 0
ILES ORWALK AKWOOD		200 90	6 0	5	5	0	0	e North Incident Total Debates and Leit (1975) (See	Marie and the part of the control of	0
AKWOOD	2 25	231	. 0	0	33	1		在主动部的 医布勒特氏病毒 不能	5	1
Taking at the contract of the	0 42 0 0	789	0 0	0	196 0	0	0 0	as a constraint frace marketistes		0 1, 0
BERLIN	0 0 0 35	0 185	0	0	173	0	0	Control of the Control of Care data from	can be a part of the contract of the contract of	0
REGON	0 5	127	0	0	39	0	0	446 (460 a.C.) (400 a.C.)	esante per er alla mala collection, la face	0
AINESVILLE	0 37	540	19	182	202 56	0 14	0	A CONTRACT OF STREET AND ADDRESS.	8 9 5 11	93 1
ARMA ERRYSBURG	2 51 1 3	1,192 287	1 0	24 79	56 66	0	0	a page taken alaman kan basa M	end of the course of the course of the course	71 1 24
ORT CLINTON	1 15	331	Ö	23	90		0	www.	Charles and the contract of the contract of the	0
ORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	0 18	271	3 national medicanet	32	105	0	0	and the company of th	of the control of the control of the control of the con-	38
ORTAGE COUNTY-RAV. ORTSMOUTH	1 42 0 58	850 551	0	85 161	247 189	0	<b>0</b> 1	aurate atraetau prototoko arteritar hakiki yarta	Marchell Control of the Control of t	83 1 16 1
OCKY RIVER	0 30	243	Ö	45	122	a access to the first	. 0	Address of the same of the same		0
ANDUSKY	0 63	1,320	0	60	157	O Vent check contr	0 ************************************	and a second of the second or a second force.	.6 7	2 1
HAKER HEIGHTS HELBY	1 53 0 0	760 40	2 0	39 0	398 21	4 0	0	Branch Towns Charles Towns Agent At	Dark dag september dag a salar da salar da	10 1 19
IDNEY	0 8	321	0	27	105	1	0		about the common transports in the co-	11
OUTH EUCLID	0 19	152	0	4	95		0		2 0	1
TEUBENVILLE TRUTHERS	0 1 0 33	2 227	0	1 2	4 5	0	0 0	in and engineering their terms	.5	3
YLVANIA	0 26	335	0		163	and the community of the second	e a construent de racionate como se	something the street bursters as at all all the	0	0
iffin Oveno	2 9	240	0	19	67 3.560	0	0 A	and the second second second second	Control of the second of the second of the second	17 46 16
OLEDO OLEDO HOUSING	1 47 0 0	12,834 0	0	636 0	2,569 0		0 0	17	78 3 0	46 16 0
PPER SANDUSKY	2	28	0	3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3	2	0 110	1			1
AN WERT COUNTY	2 5	159	0 127	3 2	91 <b>229</b>		0	A RESIDENCE AND A SECURITION OF THE PARTY OF	0 5 10	0 13 1
ANDALIA ERMILION	0 4 0 1	21 93	1 <b>67</b> 0		249 34		and a factor of the second			15 16
/ADSWORTH	1 2	48	0.	0	16	0	() () () <b>(</b>		2	6
ARREN	1 104 0 15	979 425	0	112 0	250 31		the second section of the second section of		8 8	0 1 0
VASHINGTON COURTHOUSE VAYNE COUNTY	0 15 2 13	425 862	0	0	393		0	Control of the services of the control of the	1	0 1
<b>JILLOUGHBY</b>	0 20	596	0.0	219	89	(1) (1) <b>(1)</b>	0 -	1	16 1	06 I
JILMINGTON	0 18	277	0	10	116 77		0		1 4	2
ENIA OUNGSTOWN	0 8	351 6,294	0	32 14	1,903	1966年 1967年 1		The state of the s	8	31 0 8
ANESVILLE	0 2	168	Ö	0	13		o o		22	1
ratewide .		107,615	13,684							

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS F.E.D. OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

	CASES PENDING	NEW	REACTIVATIONS AND	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN-	% NET INCREASE IN	CASES PENDING	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME
COURT	JANUARY 1	FILINGS	TRANSFERS	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31	GUIDELINES
AKRON	1,461	3,342	38	4,841	3,390	-0.7%	1,451	(3.1)
ALLIANCE	86	177	0	263	185	-9.3%	78	0
ASHLAND	36	80	0	116	75	13.9%	41	2:
ASHTABULA	25	153	<b>0</b> Caralla da esta de la servició de Videna da esta en el composició de la composició de la composició de la compo	178	141	48.0%	37	
ATHENS	14	65		80	68	-14.3% -54.5%	12 5	0
AUGLAIZE COUNTY		82		93 26	88 23	200.0%		o o o
AVON LAKE		25 426	0	494	494	-100.0%	0	0
BARBERTON	58 2 5 5 6 6 6 6 4 4 4 5 6 6 5 6 6	436	0 0	1,738	1,580	-100.0% 27.4%	158	Ö
BEDFORD BELLEFONTAINE	124 59	1,614 122	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,730	151	-49.2%	30	0
BELLEVUE	-i- (1/10 <sup>-1</sup>	27	Her are total district	27	25	Santiaur (47 siste)	2	0
BEREA	61	398	.0	459	430	-52.5%	29	0
BOWLING GREEN	27	133	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	160	137	-14.8%	23	0
BRYAN	42	67	, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1996, 1	110	89	-50.0%	21	0
CAMBRIDGE		66	ranger finder:	75	69	-25.0%	6	0
CAMPBELL	5	38	0	43	38	0.0%	. 5	0
CANTON	1,040	1,700	30	2,770	1,712	1.7%	1,058	0
CELINA	3	51	0	54	47	133.3%	7	
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	10	100	0	110	97	30.0%	13	0
CHARDON	26	98	8	132	127	-80.8%	1 <b>5</b> atomical months and a father of star	O seek aluti lesk tid kitaliket bet
CHILLICOTHE	81	213	2	296	er in the first property and the contract of t	-33.3%	. 54	0
CIRCLEVILLE	4	192	0	196	183	225.0%	13	°O u an No Casurel Cress & F
CLARK COUNTY	841	1,142	5	1,988	1,188	-4,9%	800	0
CLERMONT COUNTY	14	1,824	<b>2</b> 	1,840	1,572	1814.3%	268	0
CLEVELAND		0	Application of the contract of the state of	0	abasan dasahkan indi sebirahkan ali use sata hasaga sebagai	0.0%	0 105	<b>0</b> 0
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	152	317	0 : Japan sa sa sa kabatan k	469 11,129	364 8,950	-30.9% 48.2%	2,179	
CLEVELAND HOUSING	1,470	9,659	0	11,129	the contract of the second	0.0%	2,175 5	0
CONNEAUT COSHOCTON	5 9	31 82		92	and the second of the second o	0.0%	9	Ŏ
CRAWFORD COUNTY	31	0 <u>2</u> 1 <b>5</b> 1	0	182	Resiliano de la companio del la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la c	-16.1%	26	0
CUYAHOGA FALLS	443	619	en la la companya de	1,064	675	-12.2%	389	0
DAYTON	1,293	3,490		4,784	3,469	1.7%	1,315	0
DEFIANCE	15	76	Ö	91	63	86.7%	28	0
DELAWARE	26	199	0	225	196	11.5%	29	0
EAST CLEVELAND	865	1,347	0	2,212	1,966	-71.6%	246	27
EAST LIVERPOOL	5	58	0	63	55	60.0%		0
EATON	4	35	.0	39	30	125.0%	9	0
ELYRIA	346	826	common de como de internación y será le a liberar se establicación.	1,181	915	-23.1%	<b>266</b>	<b>0</b> Skill addkang san (Skisk Q)
EUCLID	14	1,228	alter the control of the first of the section of	1,242	and the state of t	435.7%	75	0
FAIRBORN	4	191	O	195	and the second second second second	425.0%	21	0
FAIRFIELD	156	385	especial and the angle of the residence of the second state of a	541	391	-3.8%	150	0
FINDLAY	75 	226		301	231 79	-6.7% -25,0%	70 27	usanaka da
FOSTORIA	36	64 429		100 499		-23.0% -56.7%	26	0
FRANKLIN FRANKLIN COUNTY	60 7,764	438 12,884		20,748	with wide three takens in the contract of	64.3%	12,753	at Vertirar <b>i</b>
FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO		12,004		0	the state of the s	0.0%	0	0
PREMONT	39	90	and the second of the second of	130	and the second of the second o	-59.0%	16	2.50
GALLIPOLIS	1 (1966) 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 1966 - 196 5	19		24	A management of the same and section of the same	-20.0%	4	0
GARFIELD HEIGHTS	68	457		525	490	-48.5%	35	0
GIRARD	18	138		156	148	-55.6%	8	0
HAMILTON	123	1,062	0	1,185		5.7%	130	0
HAMILTON COUNTY	5,663	15,191	8,443	29,297	24,031	-7.0%	5,266	0
HARDIN COUNTY	11	56	and the second s	67	that the first the same that the same and the	27.3%	14	ō
HILLSBORO	<u>.</u>	87		88		1000.0%		0
HOCKING COUNTY	16	43		59		6.3%	.17	
HURON		58 24-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1		65		14.3%		0 31 15 34 35 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
IRONTON	13	55	the state of the s	68	and the state of the state of	-100.0%	0	0
JACKSON COUNTY	17	67	A service of the state of the contract of	84 436	the state of the s	47.1% -12.9%	25 122	O Distriction
KETTERING	140	292 506	Burk Burk and a second of the	436 699		-12.9% 21.2%	234	4 47. 11.15 47.49 0
LAKEWOOD LANCASTER	193 36	506 293	control to the control of the contro	699 336	the major of the annual to the annual state of	21.2% 44.4%	254 52	
LAWRENCE COUNTY	<b>20</b> 11	293 73	The state of the s	550 87		136.4%	26	0
LEBANON	39	73 136	A ST TO SHOULD BE IN THE RESIDENCE THE RESIDENCE OF	200	dated to profession of odicarda, the	-89.7%	a,	
그 교통 중요한 경쟁 기준에서 유리하고 있을 때문을 된 것 같습니다.	ara, tahun salipat <b>Ta</b> fi di kac		naka ja naka <del>ti</del> tu waii d		a de la companya de 🗝 🥰 l	ALL DESCRIPTIONS OF THE STATE O		

# MUNICIPAL COURTS F.E.D. OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 3	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
LICKING COUNTY	171	467	6	644	420	31.0%	224	0
LIMA	266	553	0	819	47 <i>5</i>	29.3%	- <b>344</b>	7
LORAIN	623	855	0	1,478	844	1.8%	634	0
LYNDHURST	67	380	0	447	423	-64.2%	24	0
MADISON COUNTY	26	109	0	135	106	11.5%	29	0
MANSFIELD	842	<b>977</b>	0	1,819	902	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>917</b>	3
MARIETTA	18	94	0	112	98	-22.2%	14	0
MARION COUNTY	<b>38</b>	385		423	<b>385</b>	0.0%	38	0
Marysville	18	82	0	100	90	-44.4%	10	0
Mason	0	248	<b>3</b>	251	181	-	70	
massillon	316	506	1	823	495	3.8%	328	0
<b>Maumer</b>	20	47	0	67	57	-50.0%	10	0
MEDINA COUNTY	93	302	2	397	353	-52.7%	44	0
MENTOR	21	260	<b>0</b>	281	256	19.0%	<b>25</b>	(0)
MIAMI MIAMISBURG	261 216	336 325	0	597 541	274 359	23.8% -15.7%	323 182	0
MIDDLETOWN	166	714	4	884	742	-14.5%	142	0
MOUNT VERNON	36	86	0	122	71	41,7%	<b>51</b>	<b>0</b>
NAPOLEON	24	56	0	80	55	4.2%	25	0
NEW PHILADELPHIA	163	168	0	<b>331</b>	175	<b>-4.3</b> %	1 <b>56</b>	13
NEWTON FALLS	5	53	0	58	44	180.0%	14	0
NILES	18	205	0	223	199	33.3%	24	• <b>0</b>
NORWALK	31	189	0	220	193	-12.9%	27	0
OAKWOOD	<b>Q</b>	0	0	0	0	<b>0.0%</b>	0	0
OBERLIN	31	67	0	98	84	-54.8%	14	0
OREGON	2	99	0	101	82	850.0%	19	<b>0</b>
PAINESVILLE	70	248	4	322	285	-47.1%	37	0
PARMA	122	910		1,036	<b>892</b>	18.0%	144	2
PERRYSBURG	77	158	6	241	191	-35.1%	50	0
PORT CLINTON	154	377	0	<b>531</b>	435	- <b>37</b> ,7%	96	<b>0</b>
PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	192	442	1	635	495	-27.1%	140	0
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV.	5	79	0	84	<b>69</b>	200.0%	15	0
ORTSMOUTH	109	290	28	427	409	-83.5%	18	0
OCKY RIVER	52	353	65	470	401	32.7%	69	0
ANDUSKY	27	210	1	238	218	-25.9%	20	0
HAKER HEIGHTS	71	239	2	312	256	-21.1%	56	0
idnex	1	58	0	59	49	900.0%	10	0
Idnex	20	168	<b>0</b>	188	<b>167</b>	5.0%	21.	<b>0</b>
OUTH EUCLID TEUBENVILLE TRUTHERS	15 21	17 77	1 0 - 145	33 98	29 64	-73.3% 61.9%	4 34	0
YLVANIA	10	47	0	57	49	-20.0%	8	0
IFFIN	<b>76</b>	184	11	271	223	- <b>36.8%</b>	4 <u>8</u>	0
OLEDO	36	96	3	135	98	2.8%	37	1
OLEDO HOUSING	938	3,379	33	4,350	3,594	-19,4%	<b>756</b>	106
IPPER SANDUSKÝ	6	6	11	23	16	16.7%	7	0
VAN WERT COUNTY	15	31	0	47	40	-56.3%	7	
/ANDALIA /ERMILION	4 11 31	337 50	35 249	376 310	337 271	875.0% 254.5%	39 39	0
VADSWORTH VARREN	12	63 93	1	95 106	58 98	19.4% -33.3%	37 8	1 0
VASHINGTON COURTHOUSE VAYNE COUNTY	183 20 177	533 78	1 0	717 98	576 75	-23.0% 15.0%	141 23	12 0
WILLOUGHBY	79	365	31	573	503	-60.5%	70	23
WILMINGTON	18	336	2	417	322	20.3%	95	6
GENIA CENIA COUNGSTOWN	58 349	150 132	0 0	168 190	147 106	16.7% 44,8%	21 84	0
ANESVILLE	349	1,331	0	1,680	1,483	-43.6%	197	0
	23	200	16	239	223	-30.4%	16	<b>0</b>
			·					·


#### MUNICIPAL COURTS F.E.D. TERMINATIONS 1992

COURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	DEFAULT HEARING BY REFEREE	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	OTHER DISMIS- SALS	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN ATIONS
AKRON ALLIANCE	1	88 0	562 66		1,820 32	270 87	11	1	7	31 0	3,390 185
ASHIAND ASHTABULA	0		29 88	0	19 4	26 44	Ö	0	0 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	and report for the product of the
ATHENS AUGLAIZE COUNTY	0 0	2 2	34 60	0	<b>4</b>	18 22	0	0	0 1	10 0	68
AVON LAKE BARBERTON	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 207	0 77	0 33	 8 174	0	0	<b>0</b>	4	23
BEDFORD BELLEFONTAINE	0 0	0 10	965 88	143 1	1 <b>8</b> 21	432 18	0 0	0	0	22 12	
BELLEVUE BEREA	0 1	0 28	17 28	0	<b>2</b> 57	6 285	0	0 0	0 4	0 27	2 43
BOWLING GREEN BRYAN	0 0	3 1	39 53	<b>55</b>	8	31 33	0	<b>0</b> 0	0	0 1	13 <sup>4</sup> 81
DAMBRIDGE DAMPBELL	0 0	0	3 23	<b>33</b> 0	1 0	31 15	0	0	1 0	0 0	et commence de la commencia
CANTON CELINA	0	13 31	1 <b>75</b> 1	369 0	65 0	275 15	24 0	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	791 0	and the second second
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY CHARDON	0 0	6 6	60 82	0 0	<b>5</b> 2	21 22	3 1	0 0	<b>0</b> 3	2 11	12
CHILLICOTHE CIRCLEVILLE	0 1	7 0	113 117	0	2 0	118 65	1 0	0 0	0	0 0	18
CLARK COUNTY CLERMONT COUNTY	1 0	5 2	2 551	257 2	0 453	920 560	0 1	0 0	3 0	0 3	1,57
CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	0	0 48	0 18	0 61	0 140	0 97	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	0	0	36
CLEVELAND HOUSING CONNEAUT	3 0	14 0	2 22	7,898 0	103 1	914 8	i 1	0 0	0 0	15 0	3
COSHOCTON CRAWFORD COUNTY	0 0	0 0	. <b>52</b> 112	0	<b>5</b> 9	25 35	0	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	1 0	and the state of the state of
CUYAHOGA FALLS DAYTON	0 0	8 2	0 540	156 84	306 1,943	187 891	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	<b>0</b> 0	18 9	3,46
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	0 1	2 3	31 59	0 54	8 18	18 58	0 0	0 0	1 3	3 0	and a finished and fine are for
BAST CLEVELAND BAST LIVERPOOL	0 0	1	327 32	643 0	410 19	188 3	0 0	0	<b>0</b> 0	397 0	5
ATON LYRIA	• 0 0	0 10	14 0	0 255	0 205	15 431	0 3	0 2	1	0 8	91
ZUCLID ZAIRBORN	0	4 0	596 53	0 97	1 0	566 24	0	0	<b>0</b> 0	0	17
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY	0	2 98	23 4	95 0	136 96	135 29	1	0 0	0 0	0 3	23
FOSTORIA FRANKLIN	0 0	1 0	35 0	0 369	13 0	23 102 693	0	0 0 0	1 0 44	0 2 886	. 47
FRANKLIN COUNTY FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO. FREMONT	an action in comm	34 0	1,143 0 54	5,195 0	0 1	693 0 57	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0 1	1
REMONI GALLIPOLIS GARFIELD HEIGHTS	0 0 0	1 5 98	5 134	0 0 10	3 0	7 247	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	2
GIRARD HAMILTON	0 0	0 0	3 307	80 0	2 0	61 748	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 0	14
IAMILION IAMILION COUNTY IARDIN COUNTY	0 0	10 0	16,042 38	0 0	7,419 0	551 11	9 0	0	0 0	0 4	24,03
HLLSBORO HOCKING COUNTY	0	0 1	41 15	0	0 0	36 25	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	7
HURON IRONTON	0	0 0	11 7	0 46	0 1	46 14	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	5
ACKSON COUNTY KETTERING	0	58 7	0 90	0 0	0 119	1 89	0 3	0 0	0 5	0 1	5
LAKEWOOD LANCASTER	0	64 166	42 0	43 0	143 0	168 69	3 13	0	n 2 1	0 35	46
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY LEBANON	0 0	100 0	3 55	42 13	2 2 28	13 99	15 1 0	0 0	0 0	رو 0 0	6


## MUNICIPAL COURTS F.E.D. TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

	RY IAL	COURT TRIAL	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	DEFAULT HEARING BY REFEREE	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF		TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOG UTORY APPEAL		TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
LICKING COUNTY LIMA	0	4 0	127 8	0 <b>449</b>	161 9	125 5	0	0	1	2 3	420 475
LORAIN LYNDHURST	0	1 13	2 125	45 33	242 0	551 245	0	0 0	3 0	0 7	844 423
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	0 0	10 7	77 65	0 40	0 123	19 <b>643</b>	0	0	0 0	0 21	106 902
MARIETTA MARION COUNTY	0 0	23 0	24 271	0 9	1 22	50 83	0	0 0	0	0 0	98 <b>385</b>
MARYSVILLE MASON	0 0	0 0	35 41	0 <b>52</b>	8 0	45 85	1 3	0	1 0	0 0	
MASSILLON MAÜMEE	0 0	3 4	185 14	38 0	1 12	266 25	0	0	1	1 1	and the property of the second of the contract
MEDINA COUNTY MENTOR	0	0 <b>2</b>	16 1 <b>14</b>	178 13	17 <b>0</b>	141 127	1	0	0	0 0	353 256
MIAMI MIAMISBURG	0 0	1 14	150 26	0 12	0 <b>39</b>	104 267	2 1	0	0	16 0	359
MIDDLETOWN MOUNT VERNON	0	3 1	0 16	489 0	112 33	136 17	0	0	2 1	0 2	
NAPOLEON NEW PHILADELPHIA	0 Ø	2 2	33 21	0 64	18 0	1 88 3	0	0	0 0	0	error and the error of the error
NEWTON FALLS NILES	0 0	31 17	10 128	0	0	3 51 97	0 1 0	0 0 0	1	1 0	199
NORWALK OAKWOOD OBERLIN	0	2 0	94 0 20	0	0 <b>0</b> 0	97 0 48	0	0	o 1	0	0 ""
OREGON PAINESVILLE	0 0 0	14 0 5	50 50 155	1 0 3	0 22	32 97	0	0	0	0	82
PARMA PERRYSBURG	0	3 1	629 59	190 0	20 74	24 48	3	0	2 4	21 5	892
PORT CLINTON PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	0	2 7	100 116	74 97	123 161	132 103	0	0	<b>0</b> 3	- 4 8	435
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAY. PORTSMOUTH	0	2 239	34 0	0.0	9	24 106	0 30	0	<b>0</b>	0 0	Andreas de madre action de la constitue de la
ROCKY RIVER SANDUSKY	0	110 11	21	0 155	204 12	11 35	54 0	0	1 2	<b>0</b> 0	repair contrainment of the state of the
SHAKER HEIGHTS SHELBY	1 0	11 0	76 8	<b>8</b> 0	58 0	96 21	<b>3</b> 0	0 0	<b>2</b> 0	1 20	grandysterna, cheesenda
SIDNRY SOUTH EUCLID	0 0		39 12	0	40 9	77 4	) 0	0	1	\$ 0	) 29
STEUBENVILLE STRUTHERS	0	0 12	33 36	0	1	<b>30</b> 0	0	0 0	0	0 1	. 49
SYLVANIA TIFFIN	0	48 54	42 7	<b>0</b> 0	0 6	113 21	20 2	0 1	0 0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	98
TOLEDO TOLEDO HOUSING	0 0	. 7 0	280 5	1,084 0	<b>649</b> 1	1,351 10	0	0	7 0	216 (	) 16
UPPER SANDUSKY VAN WERT COUNTY	0	0 1	136	98	7 1	7 68	27	0	1	5	337
VANDALIA VERMILION	0	1 3	245 14	<b>0</b> 0	1 10	24 28	1	0	0	2	
WADSWORTH WARREN	0	0 472	19	0 0	0	33 84	0	0	0 1	24	576
WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE WAYNE COUNTY	0	1 2	66 287	0 0	0 0 120	8 214	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	) ( 12	503
WILFOUGHBY WILMINGTON	0 0	1 4	80	0 0	120 2 31	60 60	0	0	1	(	) 147
XENIA YOUNGSTOWN ZANESVILLE	0 1	1 1 7	20 2 145	12 691	31 0 0	30 269 <b>6</b> 6	0	0	2 0 0	519	a a residencia de la despeta de Babera, de
with the second	0		143	0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, Tirv	Samuel V		
STATEWIDE	10	2,015	27,791	20,513	16,073	16,688	231	10	125	3,228	86,684

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS - F.E.D. CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



## MUNICIPAL COURTS - OTHER CIVIL STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



#### MUNICIPAL COURTS OTHER CIVIL OVERALL CASELOADS

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY I	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIM BEYOND TIM GUIDELINES
KRON	61	835	<b>13</b>	909	834	23.0%	75	
ALLIANCE ASHLAND	22 5	70 23		92 28	85 23	-68.2% 0.0%	7 5	0
ASHTABULA	8	32	0	40	25	87.5%	15	0
ATHENS AUGLAIZE COUNTY	17 9	62 49	11 0	90 58	80 46	-41.2% 33.3%	10 12	0
VON LAKE	819 E. V. <b>3</b> .474	23		27	24	*0.0	3	io io
SARBERTON SEDFORD	22 27	99 74	0 4	121 105	111 99	-54.5% -77.8%	10	0
ELLEFONTAINE	20	101		125	98	35.0%	27	0
ELLEVUE EREA	0 45	<b>31</b> 87	<b>0</b> 2	31 134	31 109	0.0% -44.4%	0 25	0
OWLING GREEN RYAN	15	65		80	76	-73.3%	44 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	0
KTAN AMBRIIXGE	4 6	70 27	2 0	76 33	69 26	75.0% 16.7%	7 7	
AMPBELL ANTON	3 2	8	0	11	10	-66.7%	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	0
ELINA	15	186 93	0	189 108	104 96	4150.0% -20.0%	85 12	2
HAMPAIGN COUNTY HARDON	<b>3</b> 23	24 48	0	27 72	23 61	33.3% -52.2%	11 11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	0
HULICOTHE	25 39	48 87	$\overset{1}{1}$	127	102	-32.2% -35.9%	25	
IRCLEVILLE LARK COUNTY	6 82	39 109	0 0	45 191	31 116	133.3%	14 75	0
LERMONT COUNTY	62 465	1,510	1	1,976	857	-8.5% 140.6%	1,119	0
LEVELAND LEVELAND HEIGHTS	51	2,421	<b>0</b>	2,472	1,835	1149.0%	637	1
LEVELAND HOUSING	4 33	544 149	3	548 185	537 <b>57</b>	175.0% 287.9%	11 128	0
ONNEAUT OSHOCTON	1 	5	6	12 18	6 14	500.0%	6	0
RAWFORD COUNTY	2 6	15 8	0	18 14	14 11	100.0% -50.0%	3	0
UYAHOGA FALLS AYTON	54	203	0 708	257	207	-7.4%	50	0
efiance	91 17	255 111	2,708 0	3,054 128	2,974 116	-12.1% -29.4%	80 12	2 0
ELAWARE AST CLEVELAND	7 4	53 7	0 5	60 16	48 15	71.4% -75,0%	12 1	0
AST LIVERPOOL	15	60	0	75	43	113.3%	32	0
ATON LYRIA	10 28	26 110	90	36 228	23 192	30.0% 28.6%	13 36	0 D
UCLID	20	43	90 0	220 43	43	28.0% 0.0%	0	. 0
AIRBORN AIRFIELD	12 11	103 32	0	115 43	98 39	41.7% -63.6%	17	0
NDLAY		19	41	68	55	-62,5%	3	0
OSTORIA RANKLIN	<b>6</b> 4	29 25	<b>0</b>	35 29	ؤۇ 24	0,0% 25.0%		0
RANKLIN COUNTY	4,880	1,426	238	6,544	1,168	10.2%	5,376	i
RANKLIN COUNTY ENVIR REMONT	≀O, 0 1	35 79	0 <b>0</b>	35 80	25 79	0.0%	10	0
ALLIPOLIS	33	109	0	142	114	-15.2%	28	
ARFIELD HEIGHTS IRARD	12 0	32 6	<b>0</b> 0	44 6	34 4	-16.7% -	10 2	0
AMILTON	94	20	0.00	114	10	10.6%	104	0
AMILTON COUNTY ARDIN COUNTY	245 49	497 <b>63</b>	13 4	755 116	399 77	45.3% -20.4%	356 39	0 0
LLSBORO	74	260	PARTOR AND TALLARD AND AND TALLARD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	334	282	-29.7%	52	O
OCKING COUNTY URON	<b>0</b> 10		2 0	9 49	<b>.</b> 5 40	-10.0%	4 9	<b>0</b> . 0
ONTON	1	2	0	3	2	0.0%	1	0
ACKSON COUNTY ETTERING	75 23	132 120	0	207 144	122 119	13.3% 8,7%	85 <b>25</b>	0
AKEWOOD	7	42	0	49	35	100.0%	14	0
ANCASTER AWRENCE COUNTY	30 3	<b>95</b> 5	3 0	128 8	117 6	-63,3% -33.3%	11 2	0
BANON	167	219	0	386	302	-33.3 % -49,7%	2 84	13

# MUNICIPAL COURTS OTHER CIVIL OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
LICKING COUNTY	13	99	<b>3</b> (a.u	115	<b>81</b>	161.5%	34	0
LIMA LORAIN	59 77	150 72	<b>0</b> 0	209 149	41 80	184.7% -10.4%	168 69	( <b>)</b> 0
LYNDHURST	59	184	0	243	161	39.0%	82	Ŏ
MADISON COUNTY MANSFIELD	0 1,154	0 3,298	0 15	0 4,467	0 3,467	0.0% -13.3%	0 1,000	0 8
MARIETTA	1	7	24	32	24	700.0%	8	0
MARION COUNTY MARYSVILLE	98 0	600 18	<b>6</b>	704 18	621 18	-15,3% 0.0%	83 0	0
MASON	39	289	32	360	315	15.4%	45	0
AASSILLON AAUMEE	67 11	121 49	1 0	189 60	133 56	-16.4% -63.6%	56 4	0 <b>0</b>
MEDINA COUNTY	156	480	14	650	489	3.2%	161	7
MENTOR MAMI	3 140	10 873	<b>8</b> 35	21 1,048	13 997	166.7% -63.6%		0
AIAMISBURG	162	521	i Periodici <b>i</b> Preside	684	491	19.1%	193	3.
AIDDLETOWN AOUNT VERNON	10 105	25 344	0 55 <b>18</b> 5 18 4	35 467	31 <b>347</b>	-60.0%	4 120	0
NAPOLEON	4		0	53	46	14.3% 75.0%	7	0
NEW PHILADELPHIA	121	29	0	150	12	14.0%	138	Sandobia <b>7</b>
VEWTON FALLS VILES	8 445	19 41	0 7	27 493	23 5	-50.0% 9.7%	4 488	0
IORWALK	10	47	2 phosphorous accumulation of the contract of	59	40	90.0%	19	0
OAKWOOD OBERLIN	25 0	31 0	<b>9</b> 0	65 0	50 0	-40.0% 0.0%	15 0	<b>0</b>
REGON	2	22	0	24	22	0.0%	2	0
AINESVILLE ARMA	21 29	64 128	5 50	90 207	79 1 <b>6</b> 4	-47.6% 48.3%	11 43	1 0
ERRYSBURG	15	229	4	248	210	153.3%	38	0
ORT CLINTON ORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	- 3 6	6 8	0	9 14	<b>8</b> 9	- <b>66.7%</b> -16.7%	1 5	0
ORTAGE COUNTY-RAV.	5	31	3	39	34	-10.7%		
ORTSMOUTH	28	36	34 71	98	64	21.4%	34	1
OCKY RIVER ANDUSKY	<b>336</b> 1	852 46	/1 0	1,259 47	995 44	-21,4% 200.0%	264 3	0
HAKER HEIGHTS	4	8	0	12	$\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{I}}$	-75.0%		Ö
HELBY IDNEY	13 8	10 110	0 1	23 119	8 113	15.4% -25.0%	15 6	0
OUTH EUCLID	itie du weste de l'addresse despection 5 Le 1901 e centre des Mondans entre de la	24		29	26	-40.0%	3	0
TEUBENVILLE TRUTHERS	170 7	290 5	0	460 12	264 6	15,3% -14,3%	196 6	0 1
YLVANIA	0	ž	0	2	2	0.0%	0 -	0
IFFIN OLEDO	9	46 498	16 <b>59</b> 1	71 1,097	66 1,092	-44.4% -37,5%	5 5	0
OLEDO HOUSING	0	0	3	3	1	muse anderesis and an expensive a contract of	2	0
PPER SANDUSKY AN WERT COUNTY	64 342	209 106	0 6	273 454	20 <b>6</b> 420	4.7%	67 34	0
ANDALIA	14	13	Ö	27	20	-50.0%	7	0
ERMILION /ADSWORTH	3 	18	0 Tarrie (2. 10 and 61 and 12 and 12	21	12	200.0%	9	0 Hadhallar talas (18)
/ARREN	23 37	128 84	<b>0</b> 1	151 122	124 90	17.4% -13.5%	27 32	0. 1
ASHINGTON COURTHOUS	化基金 化二氯甲酚二氯甲酚磺基甲酚磺基酚	20	0	23	23	-100.0%	0	Ö
'AYNE COUNTY 'ILLOUGHBY	94 48	48 115	51 0	193 163	160 133	-64.9% -37.5%	33 30	11 12
ЛLMINGTON	14	32	0	46	35	-21.4%	11	0
ENIA OUNGSTOWN	44 31	93 43	1 24	138 98	106 <i>5</i> 2	-27,3% 48.4%	32 46	0
ANESVILLE	25 25	465	0	490	480	-60.0%	10	0
	11,149	22,303	4,192	37,644	25,072		40.570	
TATEWIDE				3/ 0/24	75 1177	-12.4%	12,572	75

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS OTHER CIVIL TERMINATIONS 1992

COURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	DEFAULT HEARING BY REFEREE	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	OTHER DISMIS- SALS	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTO STAY/INTERI UTORY APPI	OC- TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMINATIONS
AKRON ALLIANCE	0	0	614 67	148 0	<b>62</b> 9	6 9	0	0	2	2	834 85
ASHLAND ASHTABULA	0 0 0	0 1 0	9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0	9 5	0	0	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	23 25
ATHENS AUGLAIZE COUNTY	0 0	8 0	10 13 27	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2	7 17	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		49 0	80 46
AVON LAKE BARBERTON	0	0	14 46	0 18		8 29	0	0	0	2 5	24 111
BEDFORD BELLEFONTAINE	0 0	1 0	4 6	42 0	<b>0</b> 5	6 12	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	2 0	44 75	98 89
BELLEVUE BEREA	0 0	0 13	31 2	<b>0</b>	0 2	0 84	0 0	0	0 0	0 8	31 109
BOWLING GREEN BRYAN	0	17 1	0 5	<b>0</b> 0	0	33 62	0 0	0 0	0 0	26 1	76 69
CAMBRIDGE CAMPBELL	0	0 7		0	, 0 0	20 2	0 0	0	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	26 10
CANTON CELINA	0	1	100 42	0	0	. 2 . 53	0 0	0 0	0	2 0	104 96
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY CHARDON	0	16 4	0 17	0	5 0	2 32	0 0 1	0 0	0 1	0 7 0	25 61
CHILLICOTHE CIRCLEVILLE CLARK COUNTY	4 0	67 0 2	19 23 7	1 0 73	2 0 0	7 8 34	0 0	0 0 0	# 0 0	0 0	102 31 116
CLERMONT COUNTY CLEVELAND	0 0 0	26 6	651 0	, 5 5 1,295	64 0	104 525	0 3	0 0		6 2	857 1,835
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS CLEVELAND HOUSING	0 0	0	0 13	26 33	3 0	2 11	0	0	0	506	537 57
CONNEAUT COSHOCTON	0	0	3 5	0 0	0	3 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 2	6 14
CRAWFORD COUNTY CUYAHOGA FALLS	0 0	3 1	7 36	0 <b>2</b>	1 0	0 <b>2</b>	0 0	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 166	11 207
DAYTON DEFIANCE	0 0	16 0	26 33	0 0	2 0	108 83	19 0	0 0	1 0	2,802 0	2,974 116
DELAWARE EAST CLEVELAND	0 0	0 7	0 2	0 <b>5</b>	0 <b>L</b>	8 0	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	40 0	48 15
EAST LIVERPOOL EATON	0 0	0 8	17 7	0 3	22 0	2 5	2 0	0	0 0	a percondicional constant and constant to	43 23
ELYRIA EUCLID	0 0	1	3 41.	2 0	, 0	9 2	5 0	0	11 0	n disabilità di Control Control da California di	192   43
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY	0 0 0	3	96 21 0	1 0	0 7	0 15 3	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 50	98 39 65
FOSTORIA FRANKLIN	College Last	1 - 1 1	ii 16	0 3	2 0	3 2 3	0 0	0	0	ESPECIA OF NAME OF PERSONS ASSESSED.	29 24
FRANKLIN COUNTY FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO	0	4 <b>3</b> 0	37 4	619 0	0	107 4	0 17	0	7	355	1,168 25
FREMONT GALLIPOLIS	0 0	2 15	6 65	0	0 5	2 22	0	0	<i>5</i> 2	64	79 114
GARFIELD HEIGHTS GIRARD	0 0	1 0	0 0	1 <b>5</b> 0	<b>0</b> 0	17 2	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	1 0	0 2	34 4
HAMILTON COUNTY	0 0	0 0	1 357	0 0	7 42	2 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	10 399
HARDIN COUNTY HILLSBORO	0 0	1 0	20 225	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	19 57	<b>0</b> 0	<b>0</b> 0	0	0	77 282
HOCKING COUNTY HURON	0 0	0 2	4 2	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	0 35	1 1	0 0	0	0	5 40
IRONTON JACKSON COUNTY KEITERING	0 0	0 10	110 110	0 0	0	0 2 179	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	122
LAKEN DOD LANGASTER	0 0 0	4 0 4	4 32	0 1 0	2 0 0	108 2	0 0 0	0 0	1 0 2	0 0	119 35
LAWRENCE COUNTY LEBANON	0 0	0	47 5 151	0 0	0 0 28	23 1 94	0	0	. 0	41 0	117 6
LICOANUN	r, hert <b>k</b> itt	17	131	11	28	<b>54</b>	0	0	0	0	302

### MUNICIPAL COURTS OTHER CIVIL TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

COURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	DEFAULT HEARING BY REFEREE	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	OTHER DISMIS- SALS	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTO STAY/INTERL UTORY APPE	OC- TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMIN
LICKING COUNTY	0	6	43	0	- 0	25	0	0	0	7	8
LIMA LORAIN	0 0	. 0 1	5 0	0 61	1	0 15	0	0		34 0	4 8
LYNDHURST	0	4.	26	0	14	25	Ö	o o	i de la composición della comp	and the second second second second	16
MADISON COUNTY	0	0	0	0 70	0 4	0 707	0 35	0 0	0 9	0 365	3,46
MANSFIELD MARIETTA	1 0	61 1	2,215 16	<i>,,</i> 0 0	0	707 7	99 0	0	0	303 0	, 5,40 2
MARION COUNTY	0	3	479	0	22	95	8	0	14	0	62
MARYSVILLE MASON	0	0 16	0 202	0	O Officers/OSSILING#4566	18 49	0 31	0 0	0 3	0	1 (3)
MASSILLON	0	1	202 92	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	13
MAUMEE	0	15	0	0	0	12	0	0	ō	And the property of the second	
MEDINA COUNTY MENTOR	0	5 0	320 7	22 0	9 0	128 6	0	0 0	5 0	0 0	41
MIAMI	0	26	641	0	0	246	0	0	30	A REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	9
MIAMISBURG	1	94	242	27	45	81	0.	0	1	0	4
MIDDLETOWN MOUNT VERNON	0	0 13	0 206	23 0	2 25	6 72	0	0 0	0 14	Children Committee Committee	3
NAPOLEON	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	0	0		
NEW PHILADELPHIA	0	. alama dar	1 9	5	0	. 5 0	0	0	0 0	Carlo de Contrato de Contrato de C	
NEWTON FALLS NILES	0	14 4	. 0	0 0	v v	1	Ö	0	0	and the second of the second o	4:394
NORWALK	0	23	7	0		10	0	0	0	0	e in the second
OAKWOOD OBERLIN	0	13 0	14 0	0	9	14 0	0 0	0	0	er ha kalender e kry a 📆	
OREGON	0	0	6	o de la companya de	in de la companya di	16	`. O	0	0	a disease making pendagan nelaba	
PAINESVILLE	0	6	3.	0	4	5	0	1	1	59	entra de la terra
PARMA PERRYSBURG	0	11 3	33 5	0	7 22	6 2	0	0	0	a included by the contract of	1 2
PORT CLINTON	ŏ	ő	4	(1) <b>2</b>	i i	i i	Ö	o .	Ö		urui.
PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	0	1	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	water the property of the control of the control of	eeron, sens
PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV, PORTSMOUTH	0 0	5 6	20 6	0 0	2 7		0 20	0	0 3	14 (17) (10) (10) (10) (11) (11) (11) (11)	
ROCKY RIVER	Ö	102	399	41	148	253	49	, o	3	and the second control of the second control	وا
SANDUSKY	0	1 0	43	0	0	0 5	0	O Progressessore	0 Walter to the factor of the	0	APPENTAGE A
SHAKER HEIGHTS SHELBY	0	0	3 3	<b>0</b> 0	0	2	0	0	1 0	2 3	
SIDNEY	0		2	0.1	2	104	0	- 0	1	3	1
SOUTH EUCLID STEUBENVILLE	0	0 1	9 198	0	0 10	12 47	0 0	0	0	5 5	2
STRUTHERS	0	2	190	0	0 0	0	1	0	0	0	*; b i) 'L :: *
SYLVANIA	0	0	1	0	<b>o</b>	4	0	0	0	0	
TIFFIN TOLEDO	0	0	2 4	0 0	2 2	8 15	0	0	1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	53 1,071	0,1
TOLEDO HOUSING	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	SOME INCOME.
UPPER SANDUSKY	3		140	0	5.	35	0	0		21	2
VAN WERT COUNTY VANDALIA	0	0	9 19	17 <b>0</b>	1	9 0	0	0	0	ed the set of the factor because	4
VERMILION	0	0	. 6	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	
WADSWORTH WARREN	0	1 63	82 0	0	0 5	29 21	Ö.	0	2	10 0	1
Warren Washington Courthouse	+ 0	93 1	20	0	0	21 2			0	Carlotte Colored Colored	
WAYNE COUNTY	0	17	14	0	0	129	0	0	0	0	i
WILLOUGHBY WILMINGTON	0 0	0 6	3 13	0	<b>2</b> 3	2. 13	0	0	36 0	A Commencial Commencia	
KENIA .	0	3	13 5		2	11	i i	5	į	77.	1
YOUNGSTOWN	0	0	4 0.05015	13	0	35	0	0	9	and the second second second	
ZANESVILLE	R.S. <b>H</b> ist	41	329	0	0	80	0	0	17	12	4
							<del> </del>				
STATEWIDE	12	897	9,042	2,593	647	4,264	199	6	194	7,218	25,0
121											

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS SMALL CLAIMS OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDING BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
AKRON	401	2,175	3	2,579	2,111	16,7%	468	<b>Q</b>
ALLIANCE ASHLAND	44 105	304 597	0 3	348 706	302 589	4.5% 10,4%	46 117	0 <b>5</b>
ASHTABULA ATHENS	17 <b>62</b>	299 449	0 2	316 513	303 444	-23.5% 11, <b>3</b> %	13 <b>69</b>	0
AUGLAIZE COUNTY AVON LAKE	37 29	364 136	1 0	402 1 <b>65</b>	363 154	5.4% -62.1%	39 11	0 0
BARBERTON BEDFORD	66 150	488 1,000	0 1	554 1,151	543 1,038	-83,3% -24,7%	11 113	0
BELLEFONTAINE BELLEVUE	244 50	1,924 219	0 0	2,168 269	1,918 248	2.5% -58.0%	250 21	2 0
BEREA BOWLING GREEN	445 105	419 598	0 0	864 703	202 <b>626</b>	48.8% -26.7%	662 77	0
BRYAN CAMBRIDGE	75 <b>37</b>	445 408	35 2	555 447	471 <b>377</b>	12.0% 89.2%	84 70	0
CAMPBELL. CANTON	12 1 <b>60</b>	44 1,152	0 0	56 1,312	40 1,083	33.3 % 43.1 %	16 229	0
CELINA CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	40 41	457 292	0 0	497 333	416 296	102,5% -9,8%	81 37	0 0
CHARDON CHILLICOTHE	103 130	696 705	20 0	819 835	728 733	-11.7% -21.5%	91 102	0 7
CIRCLEVILLE CLARK COUNTY	75 174	432 474	0	507 648	397 448	46.7% 14.9%	110 200	0
CLEVELAND	867 765	1,253 4,752	0	2,120 5,517	972 4,384	32.4% 48.1%	1,148 1,133	0 0
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS CLEVELAND HOUSING	115 0	587 0	0	702 0	573 0	12.2% 0.0%	129 0	<b>0</b>
CONNEAUT COSHOCTON	13 27 47	115 383	2	128 412	120 387	-38.5% -7.4% 4.3%	8 25 49	0 0 0
CRAWFORD COUNTY CUYAHOGA FALLS DAYTON	202	323 858	2	370 1,062 1,082	321 830 835	4.3 % 14.9% 14.4%	232 247	0
DEFIANCE DELAWARE	216 139 59	863 799 373	3 1 0	939 432	853 383	-38,1% -16.9%	86 49	<b>0</b>
EAST CLEVELAND EAST LIVERPOOL	331 27	950 168	0	1,281 195	1, <b>053</b> 1,75	-10.9% -31,1% -25.9%	228 20	88 0
EATON ELYRIA	23 326	180 1,589	0	203 1,915	162 1,589	78.3% 0.0%	41 326	ŏ
EUCLID FAIRBORN	16 25	200 491	0	216 516	194 494	37.5% -12.0%	22 22	Ŏ
FAIRFIELD FINDLAY	54 138	329 915	0	383 1,053	308 878	38,9% 26,8%	75 175	0 2
FOSTORIA FRANKLIN	21 35	151 281	<b>Ö</b>	172 316	165 280	-66,7% 2.9%	7 36	
FRANKLIN COUNTY FRANKLIN COUNTY ENVIRO	8,293	7,789 0	23 0	16,105 0	7,038 0	9,3% 0.0%	9,067 0	0
FREMONT GALLIPOLIS	<b>8</b> 1 69	644 326	2 0	727 395	<b>664</b> 353	-22.2% -39.1%	63 42	0
GARFIELD HEIGHTS GIRARD	170 53	1,413 447	0 2	1,583 502	1,411 425	1.2% 45.3%	172 77	Ö O
HAMILTON HAMILTON COUNTY	148 1,486	789 8,272	0	937 9,972	744 8,889	30.4% -27.1%	1 <b>93</b> 1,083	<b>Ö</b> O
HARDIN COUNTY HILLSBORO	32 42	289 418	1 0	322 460	310 440	-62.5% -52.4%	12 20	<b>0</b>
HOCKING COUNTY HURON	<b>31</b> 11	259 73	<b>0</b>	290 84	245 72	45.2% 9.1%	<b>45</b> 12	<b>6</b> 0
IRONTON JACKSON COUNTY	<b>43</b> 39	160 211	1 0	204 250	191 228	- <b>69.8%</b> -43.6%	13 22	<b>0</b>
KETTERING LAKEWOOD	88 129	578 1,926	10. 1	676 2,056	563 1,906	28.4% 16.3%	113 150	0
LANCASTER LAWRENCE COUNTY	<b>89</b> 67	503 195	1	593 269	532 231	-31.5% -43.3%	<b>61</b> 38	Ö' 0
LEBANON	139	254	o i	393	293	-28.1%	100	21

### MUNICIPAL COURTS SMALL CLAIMS OVERALL CASELOADS (continued) 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET' INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIMI 31 GUIDELINES
LICKING COUNTY	157	1,257	<b>2</b>	1,416 898	1,275 <b>563</b>	-10.2% 16.3%	141 335	0 <b>0</b>
LIMA LORAIN	288 175	610 607	<b>0</b> 0	782	528	45.1%	254	0
LYNDHURST MADISON COUNTY	127 48	795 287	0	922 335	773 285	17.3% 4.2%	149 50	0
MANSFIELD MARIETTA	122 101	1,783 493	1 1	1,906 595	1,573 510	173.0% -15.8%	333 85	0 0
MARION COUNTY MARYSVILLE	59 22	470 175	0	529 197	444 172	44.1% 13.6%	85 25	0
MASON	15	347	Ž 0	364 1,189	343 1,041	40.0% -56.9%	21 ·/ 148	0 19
MASSILLON MAUMEE	343 16	846 144	0	160	138	37.5%	22	Ō
MEDINA COUNTY MENTOR	120 40	643 553	0 1	763 594	617 535	21.7% 47,5%	146 59	13 0
MIAMI MIAMISBURG	192 49	1,185 <b>316</b>	27 0	1,404 <b>365</b>	1,130 316	42.7% 0.0%	274 49	0 0
MIDDLETOWN MOUNT VERNON	73 44	922 4 <b>6</b> 1	1 2	996 507	872 467	69.9% -9.1%	124 40	0
NAPOLEON	97	521 644	0 0	618 760	521 588	0.0% 48.3%	97 172	0 <b>32</b>
NEW PHILADELPHIA NEWTON FALLS	116 21	123	0	144	134	-52.4%	10	0
NILES NORWALK	77 68	441 388	0 1	518 457	442 363	-1,3% 38.2%	76 94	0
OAKWOOD OBERLIN	0 28	14 260	<b>3</b>	17 288	17 235	0.0% 89.3%	0 53	0
OREGON PAINESVILLE	10 106	151 405	<b>0</b>	161 512	1 <b>53</b> 418	-20.0% -11.3%	8 94	0.
PARMA	315	1,214	8	1,537 782	1,135 716	27.6% -35.3%	402 66	0
PERRYSBURG PORT CLINTON	102 <b>75</b>	674 294	6 0	369	325	-41,3%	44	Ō
PORTAGE COUNTY-KENT PORTAGE COUNTY-RAV.	140 41	1,059 <b>3</b> 11	0	1,199 <b>352</b>	978 323	57.9% - <b>29.3</b> %	221 29	0 2
PORTSMOUTH ROCKY RIVER	205 129	614 876	31 0	850 1,005	794 <b>76</b> 0	-72.7% 89.9%	56 245	0 0
SANDUSKY SHAKER HEIGHTS	226 137	1,484 1,070	4	1,714 1,207	1,533 1,095	-19.9% -18.2%	181 112	0 <b>0</b>
SHELBY	25	307	4	336 615	317 512	-24.0% -14.2%	19 1 <b>03</b>	0 0
SIDNEY SOUTH EUCLID	120 24	495 312	2	338	254	250.0%	84	0
STEUBENVILLE STRUTHERS	<b>30</b> 20	269 252	0	<b>299</b> 272	242 258	90,0% -30.0%	57 14	0
SYLVANIA TIFFIN	69 43	276 323	dente con receive de la compresentation de la constant de la const	360 367	<b>325</b> 339	-49,3% -34.9%	35 28	0
TOLEDO TOLEDO HOUSING	650 0	3,517 0	0	4,167 0	3,143 0	57,5% 0.0%	1,024 0	<b>239</b> 0
UPPER SANDUSKY	-11	159	0	170	and the second second second second second second	18.2% -63.8%	13 85	0
VAN WER'T COUNTY VANDALIA	235 19	415 181	0	664 200	173	42,1%	27	0
VERMILION WADSWORTH	14 22	103 235	and the second of the second o	118 259	103 229	7.1% <b>36.4%</b>	15 30	0 0
WARREN WASHINGTON COURTHOUSE	549 36	1,946 357		2,500 393	1,854 348	17.7% <b>25.0%</b>	646 45	19 0
WAYNE COUNTY WILLOUGHBY	411 179	1,546 705	0	1,957 892	1,422	30.2% -11.7%	535 158	0 24
WILMINGTON	50	<b>503</b>	0	653	615	-24.0%	38	0
XENIA YOUNGSTOWN	46 294	320 1,115	0	373 1,409	335 1,132	-17.4% -5.8%	38 277	0
ZANESVIILE	18	314	Ö	332	299	83.3%	33	0
STATEWIDE	23,808	90,370	487	114,665	88,803	1.7%	25,862	478


#### MUNICIPAL COURTS SMALL CLAIMS TERMINATIONS 1992

	HEARING BY	HEARING BY	TRANSFER TO REGULAR	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF	OTHER DISMIS-	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC-	OTHER TERMIN-	TOT TERM
OURT	JUDGE	REFEREE	CIVIL DOCKET	PROSECUTION	SALS	UTORY APPEAL	ATIONS	ATIC
KRON LLIANCE	3 203	1,436 0	284 9	1 <b>53</b> 41	235 49	0	<b>0</b> 0	2.
SHLAND SHTABULA	310 20	29 212	4 7	<b>52</b> 4	L90 60	4	) 0	
THENS UGLAIZE COUNTY	291 233	- Îo 0	ý	0 22	£43 105	3 1	0 2	
YON LAKE ARBERTON	91 272	0 81	i 4	0 47	62 139	o O	0	
DFORD ELLEFONTAINE	61 308	655 821		43 162	224 595	4 26	51 6	1, 1,
ILEVUE EREA	248 5	0 0 0	0 39	0 65	0 44	o o	0 49	
MLING GREEN XYAN	55 261	364 0	39 2 19	8 23	192 167	<b>š</b> 1	0	
MBRIDGE	4	209	0	23 0 0	161 6	9	0 1	
MPBELL NTON	33 2	89 <u>1</u>	0	4	154	1	30	1
LINA IAMPAIGN COUNTY	260 167	0 0	0 2	0 15	156 109	0 3	0 0	
IARDON IILLICOTHE	14 112	487 416	9	20 0	185 202	7 8	6	
RCLEVILLE ARK COUNTY	256 0	0 234	0	0 0	131 209	0 5	10 0	
ERMONT COUNTY EVELAND	580 0	0 3,013	0 18	165 302	222 1,051	0 <b>Q</b>	5 0	4
EVELAND HEIGHTS EVELAND HOUSING	43 0	283 0	<b>0</b>	35 0	212 0	0	0	
INNEAUT SHOCTON	72 <b>225</b>	0	5 1	7 30	35 131	1 0	0	
AWFORD COUNTY IYAHOGA FALLS	268 0	0 575	1 28	22 74	29 153.	1 0	0	
(YTON FIANCE	213 56	419 <b>507</b>	51 30	69 38	83 222	0	0	
LAWARE ST CLEVELAND	204 246	32 701	0 	42 23	100 82	3 1	2 0	i
ST LIVERPOOL TON	125 <b>5</b>	0 88	0	47 0	3 67	0 1	0	
YRIA CLID	0 0	796 164	8 2	47 0	730 28	4	4	ı
IRBORN IRFIELD	1 16	305 175	7 0	3 0	175 117	2 0	1 0	
VDLAY STORIA	6 115	629 0	16 0	132 0	90 48	2 2	3 0	
ANKLIN ANKLIN COUNTY	2 0	181 <b>6,628</b>	0  2	0	97 408	0	0	7
ANKLIN COUNTY ENVIR EMONT	438	0	0 2	0 2	0 219	0	0	
RHETD HEIGHTZ	248 449	0 282	1 0	23 0	79 <b>673</b>	0 7	2 0	1
RARD MILION	10 448	272 0	4 <b>3</b>	0 0	137 <b>293</b>	2	0	
MILTON COUNTY ROWN COUNTY	606 19	7,483 1 <b>3</b> 1	195 0	517 0	88 110	0 <b>9</b>	0	8
LLSBORO CKING COUNTY	267 131	0 <b>0</b>	0 4	o g	172 <b>L07</b>	1 2	0 1	
IRON ONTON	30 8	1 122	0 0	0 21	41 35	0 2	0 3	
CKSON COUNTY TITERING	218 <b>66</b>	0 303	0 14	0 21	10 <b>134</b>	0 5	0 <b>20</b>	
KEWOOD . NCASTER	0 <b>0</b>	962 <b>35</b> 9	12 7	62 0	870 <b>165</b>	0 1	0	1
WRENCE COUNTY	7 156	137 24	0 1	18 14	64 98	O O	5 0	

### MUNICIPAL COURTS SMALL CLAIMS TERMINATIONS (continued) 1992

	BY	BY	REGULAR	FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	DISMIS- SALS	STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	TERMIN- ATIONS	TERM ATIO
OURT	JUDGE	REFEREE	CIVIL DOCKET	PROSECUTION	SALS	OTORI APPIAL	ATIONS	ATIO
CKING COUNTY	499	266	20	66 19	412	12 #	0 <b>0</b>	1,2 5
MA DRAIN	0	507 306	32 0	19 24	194	4	0	5
(NDHURST	403	22	4	0	344	0	0	7
ADISON COUNTY ANSFIELD	225 397	0 467	0 9	0 3 <b>6</b>	60 454	0 20	190	1,5
ARIETTA	3	306	ries (passegareels esp essentium en O manne esp esp esp esp esp esp esp esp esp es		183		0	8.45082501 - R
ARION COUNTY ARYSVILLE	238 0	27 86	0 0	28 7	148 79	<b>3</b> '	ø 0 0	
AR 15 VILLE ASON	23	242	Ó	Ó	74	4	0	
ASSILLON	4	744 89	13 0	0 5	274 43	6 4	0	1,0
AUMEE EDINA COUNTY	0 33	89 446	0	0	131	6	1	ÇERKETENE (E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E.E
ENTOR	345	30	9	0	151	Ŏ	0	1,
iami Iamisburg	830 15	0 190	24 18 *	0 35	273 58	3 0	0	1, (3,55)
IDDLETOWN	0	700	0	38	130	4 or the step and these than court or a	0	u seperaetre d
OUNT VERNON APOLEON	272 359	0		3 <b>1</b> 1	155 0	4 0	0 161	
APOLEON EW PHILADELPHIA	339 41	315	4	o o	220	Š	0	
EWTON FALLS	93	0	5 okuseksi tekstivis≟sisi. (t	21	15 126	0 10	0 0	
LES DRWALK	299 235	0.	7 0	0	120 127	1	0	94950
AKWOOD		0.	16	<b>Q</b>	0	0	0	
BERLIN BEGON	7 82	93 0	46 0	3 0	84 71	2 0	0	
inesville	7	284	2	25	86		12	
IRMA IRRYSBURG	0 375	1,134 0	1 14	0 172	0 74	<b>0</b> 1	0 80	1,
ORT CLINTON	1	189	iš -	49	70	i	0	
ORTAGE COUNTY-KENT	6	710	16 0	82 9	155 ` 47	9 3	0 0	
ORTAGE COUNTY-RAV.  ORTSMOUTH	42 0	222 611	14	50	113	<b>3</b>	3	1955,795940°S
OCKY RIVER	217	332	12	37	162	0	Ö	
ANDUSKY IAKER HEIGHTS	6 368	1,284 166	0 4	22 64	219 488	1 3	1 2	1. 1
HELBY	49	0	0	0	109	2	157	
DNEY OUTH EUCLID	322 12	0 124	. <b>5</b>		177 105	0	0 · 2	
TEUBENVILLE	0	187	ž	Ó	49	i i	0	1736
RUTHERS	0	257 0	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 140	1	0	SASSINANIA
YLVANIA IFFIN	185 231	0	9	10	88	0	1	KA 64990
OLEDO	0	1,654	75	0	1,407	7	0.	3
OLEDO HOUSING PPER SANDUSKY	0 100	0	0 2	0 4	0 50	0	0	
AN WERT COUNTY	153	142	35	4	149	1	95	
ANDALIA ERMILION	102 59	0	0	6 3	65 35	<b>0</b> 0	0 6	
ADSWORTH	140	ŏ		Ö	35	0	53	
ARREN	3 Sta Anna	1,270	31	221 0	321 50	8 3	0	1
'ASHINGTON COURTHOU: 'AYNE COUNTY	SE 293 . 906	0	2 0	76	30 440	0	0	1
TLLOUGHBY	2	436	23	162	56	3	52	
TLMINGTON ENIA	341 0	0 210	0 7	0 14	274 101	0	0 2	
OUNGSTOWN	0	1,132	0	ð	0	0	0	1
ANESVILLE	235	0	0	-0	57	3	4	9506
<b>TATEWIDE</b>	17,046	45,637	1,288	3,731	19,825	253	1,023	88,

#### MUNICIPAL COURTS - SMALL CLAIMS CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



#### SECTION J

#### **COUNTY COURTS**

Note: Inconsistent reporting procedures by some courts are being identified and corrected. These differences may affect comparisons between courts.

### COUNTY COURTS COMPOSITE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE REPORT FOR THE ENTIRE STATE 1992

	FELONIES	MISDE- MEANORS	O.M.V.I.	OTHER TRAFFIC	ERSONAL INJU AND PROPERT DAMAGE		F. E. D.	OTHER CIVIL	SMALL CLAIMS	TOTAL
CASES PENDING BEGINNING OF YEAR	410	2,217	549	11,332	139	1,741	484	484	1,595	18,951
NEW CASES FILED	3,683	24,525	10,801	177,971	397	5,649	2,656	1,619	9,662	236,963
TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	235	1,103	292	6,127	16	147	83	21	187	8,211
TOTAL CASES	4,328	27,845	11,642	195,430	552	7,537	3,223	2,124	11,444	264,125
CASES TERMINATED BY:										
HEARING BY JUDGE	1,760	9,411	4,355	46,144	243	4,318	1,366	1,230	6,744	<b>75,57</b> 1
HEARING BY REFEREE	<b>X</b> :	0	12	3	2	0	0	. 0	10	27
TRANSFER (INCLUDES INDIVIDUAL JUDGE ASSIGNMEN'I)	891	8,058	6,039	10,557	97	536	218	169	111	26,676
DISMISSAL:LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL (CRIM.) OR WANT OF PROSECUTION (CIVIL)	60	420	17	199	16	173	120	17	317	1,339
OTHER DISMISSALS	799	2,089	142	6,558	74	946	1,002	305	2,456	14,371
VIOLATIONS BUREAU	· <b>x</b>	3,138	x	109,304	x	x	x	x	х	112,442
UNAVAILABILITY OF ACCUSED	302	2,101	331	12,226	x	<b>X</b>	x	x	. <b>x</b>	14,960
BANKRUPTCY STAY OR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL	3	12	0	22	2	40	6	.4	22	111
ALL OTHER TERMINATIONS	49	103	42	1,054	9	119	57	72	148	1,653
TOTAL TERMINATIONS	3,864	25,332	10,938	186,067	443	6,132	2,769	1,797	9,808	247,150
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR	464	2,513	704	9,363	109	1,405	454	327	1,636	16,975
TIME GUIDELINE (MONTHS)	1	6	6	6	24	12	12	12	6	X
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR BEYOND TIME GUIDELINE	28	93	13	80	0	2	1	13	26	256

# COUNTY COURTS COMPOSITE INDIVIDUAL JUDGE REPORT FORM FOR THE ENTIRE STATE 1992

	MISDE- MEANORS	O.M.V.I.	OTHER TRAFFIC	PERSONAL INJURAND PROPERTY DAMAGE		F. E. D.	OTHER CIVIL	TOTAL
CASES PENDING BEGINNING OF YEAR	1,555	1,435	1,551	43	126	33	58	4,801
NEW CASES ASSIGNED	8,200	6,323	10,643	153	557	230	152	26,258
TRANSFERRED IN OR REACTIVATED	969	399	1,373	14	214	118	18	3,105
TOTAL CASES	10,724	8,157	13,567	210	897	381	228	34,164
CASES TERMINATED BY:								
JURY TRIAL	84	63	43	4	5	2	0	201
COURT TRIAL	1,678	1,455	3,391	61	336	208	91	7,220
DEFAULT	x	x	x	10	239	29	25	303
GUILTY OR NO CONTEST PLEA TO ORIGINAL CHARGE	2,521	2,685	3,519	×	x	<b>x</b>	<b>x</b>	8,725
GUILTY OR NO CONTEST PLEA TO REDUCED CHARGE	1,276	1,470	1,035	x	x	х	X	3,781
DISMISSAL:LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL (CRIM.) OR WANT OF PROSECUTION (CIVIL)	80	24	51	0	16	16	2	189
OTHER DISMISSALS	2,543	390	2,351	28	143	70	47	5,572
TRANSFERRED OUT	67	39	55	1	13	1	5	181
REFERRED TO A PRIVATE JUDGE	X	x	x	0	0	. 0	• 0	0
UNAVAILABILITY OF ACCUSED	503	241	620	x	x	X	X	1,364
BANKRUPTCY STAY OR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL	0	0	. 0	0	9	. 0	0	9
ALL OTHER TERMINATIONS	152	108	128	11	23	2	19	443
TOTAL TERMINATIONS	8,904	6,475	11,193	115	784	328	189	27,988
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR	1,820	1,682	2,374	95	113	53	39	6,176
TIME GUIDELINE (MONTHS)	6	6	6	24	12	12	12	x
CASES PENDING END OF YEAR BEYOND TIME GUIDELINE	161	80	99	1	1	0	5	347

#### COUNTY COURTS OVERALL CASELOADS

NEW FILINGS, TRANSFERS, ----- TERMINATIONS -------- POPULATION ------ AND REACTIVATIONS PER 1000 NUMBER PER PER PER 1000 PER TOTAL POPULATION JUDGE POPULATION TOTAL JUDGE COURT OF JUDGES TOTAL JUDGE 3,860 3,860 152 25,371 25,371 3,825 3,825 151 ADAMS 1 3,717 3,717 149 ASHTABULA (E) 24,903 24 903 3,661 3,661 147 1 1 154 3,631 142 ASHTABULÁ (W) 25,504 25,504 3,932 3,932 3,631 4,424 4,424 61 4 738 66 BELMONT (N) 72,000 72,000 4.738 1 BELMONT (E) 1 72,000 72,000 3,573 3,573 50 3,661 3,661 51 7,646 7,646 106 7,319 BELMONT (W) 72,000 72,000 7,319 102 1 17,483 222 9,397 4,699 269 BROWN 2 34,966 7,758 3,879 5.991 5,991 196 **BUTLER #1** 30,585 30,585 5,870 5,870 192 72,275 5,937 5,937 BUTLER #2 72,275 5,923 5,923 82 82 1 **BUTLER #3** 44,141 44,141 6,186 6,186 140 6,159 6,159 140 26,521 1,897 26,521 1,897 72 1,908 1,908 72 CARROLL 1 2,795 2,795 92 3,014 3,014 99 COLUMBIANA (NW) 30,452 30,452 3,979 3,979 161 4,510 4,510 182 COLUMBIANA (E) 1 24,718 24,718 COLUMBIANA (SW) 27,001 27,001 2,532 2,532 94 2,642 2,642 98 97 2,835 2,835 98 DARKE (NE) 29,000 29,000 2,799 2,799 21,000 DARKE (SW) 21,000 2 619 2 619 125 2,663 2,663 127 ERIE 14,000 14,000 11,688 11,688. 835 11,640 11,640 831 1 FULTON (E) 19,540 19,540 7,100 7,100 363 7,127 7.127 365 18,000 FULTON (W) 18,000 8,462 8,462 470 8,428 8,428 468 -1 HARRISON 16,000 16,000 1,948 1,948 122 1,855 1,855 116 144 HIGHLAND 6,987 6,987 1,009 1,009 1,018 1,018 146 1 HOLMES 32,804 32,804 2,601 2,601 79 2,628 2,628 80 150 2,954 2,974 2.974 JEFFERSON #1 19,678 2,954 151 1 19,678 JEFFERSON #2 23,777 23,777 3,767 3,767 158 3,803 3,803 160 14,718 JEFFERSON #3 1 14,718 986 986 67 987 987 67 MAHONING #2 41,796 41,796 10,092 10,092 241 8,973 8,973 215 MAHONING #3 20,000 20,000 3,359 3,359 168 3,264 3,264 163 MAHONING #4 42,972 42,972 17,296 17,296 16,802 16,802 391 402 7,177 7,177 MAHONING #5 16,264 16,264 441 7,138 7,138 439 MEIGS 3,498 3,498 3.271 3 271 142 22,987 22,987 152 MONROE 1 15,497 15,497 1,519 1,519 98 1,511 1,511 98 MONTGOMERY #1 272 16,839 8,420 281 2 60,000 30,000 16,307 8,154 MONTGOMERY #2 2 50,000 25,000 6,345 3,173 127 6,195 3,098 124 MONTGOMERY #3 16,500 16,500 2,373 2,373 144 2,399 2,399 145 49 MORGAN 14,000 14,000 (65 48 682 682 1 665 MORROW 27,749 27,749 13,312 13,312 480 13,055 13,055 470 4,831 175 MUSKINGUM 55,290 27,645 4,706 170 9,662 2 9,411 NOBLE 11,336 1,221 1,,221 108 1,232 1,232 109 11,336 3,375 3,325 20,488 PAULDING : 20,488 3,325 162 3,375 165 PERRY 2,293 31,557 31,557 2,229 2,229 71 2,293 73 PIKE 1 24,249 24,249 4,404 4,404 182 4,297 4,297 177 **PUTNAM** 33,819 16,910 3,127 1,564 92 3,056 1,528 90 2 SANDUSKY #1 20,873 20,873 5,732 5,732 275 6,081 6,081 291 SANDUSKY #2 27,188 27,188 6,146 6,146 226 6.137 6.137 226 1 TRUMBULL (E) 37,213 37,213 1.739 1,739 47 1,622 1,622 44 TRUMBULL (C) 3,058 37,213 2.989 2.989 3.058 37,213 80 82 TUSCARAWAS 24,491 24,491 4,268 4,268 174 4,145 4,145 169 VINTON 11,098 1,997 1,997 180 2,034 2,034 11,098 183 WARREN 2 39,167 19,584 9,817 4,909 251 9,310 4,655 238

All population figures based on 1990 U.S.Census

55

1,499,688

27,267

**STATEWIDE** 

248,269

4,514

166

248,886

4,525

166


### COUNTY COURTS FELONY OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

OURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 3	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIM 1 GUIDELINES
DAMS SHTABULA (E)	<b>4</b> 41	7 <b>9</b> 126	0)	<b>83</b> 167	<b>8i</b> 114	-50.0% 29.3%	2 53	<b>b</b>
SHTABULA (W)	0	142	0	142	130		12	Ō
ELMONT (N) ELMONT (E)	27 17	76 94	0 <b>0</b>	103 111	50 1 <b>06</b>	96.3% -70.6%	53 5	0
ELMONT (W) ROWN	9 5	111 .69	0	120 74	120 <b>68</b>	-100.0% 20.0%	0 6	0
UTLER #1	41	180	2	223	205	-56.1%	18	0
UTLER #2 UTLER #3	47 28	114 155	0	161 183	132 152	-38,3% 10.7%	29 31	0 0
ARROLL OLUMBIANA (NW)	1 5	76 44	7 0	84 49	84 48	+100.0% -80.0%	0	0
OLUMBIANA (E)	3	26	0	29	28	-66.7%		ó
OLUMBIANA (SW) ARKE (NE)	6 0	35 0	0	41 - <b>0</b>	40 0	-83.3% 0.0%	1 0	0
ARKE (SW) RIE	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>69</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0 71	0 <b>67</b>	0.0%	0 *	0
JLTON (E)	1	29	0	30	29	0.0%	1.	0
JLTON (W) ARRISON	1 2	36 73	5 0	42 75	40 58	100.0% 750.0%	<b>2</b> 17	0
GHLAND	3	.39	5	47	44	0,0%	3	0
OLMES FFERSON #L	0	24 <b>34</b>	0 0	25 34	23 34	100.0% 0.0%	2 0	0
fferson #2 fferson #3	3 4	39 21	0 <b>0</b>	42 25	36 19	100.0% 50.0%	6 <b>6</b>	0 0
AHONING #2	4	378	93	475	471	0.0%	4	0
AHONING #3 AHONING #4	<b>3</b> 7	56 230	3 43	62 280	57 279	<b>-66.7%</b> -85.7%	<b>5</b> 1	0
AHONING #5 EIGS	22 35	74 128	Ö :	96 164	68 86	27.3% 122.9%	28 78	0
ONROE	0	25	ġ	25	25	0.0%	0.0	o,
ONTGOMERY #1 ONTGOMERY #2	9	209 102	5 15	223 117	215 115	-11.1% -	8 2	0
ONTGOMERY #3 ORGAN	1 <b>0</b>	16 23	0 <b>0</b>	17 23	16 23	0.0% 0.0%	1 0	0 <b>0</b>
ORROW	1	93		95	86	800.0%	9	3
USKINGUM DBLE	0 0	<b>46</b> 26	<b>0</b>	46 26	46 26	0.0% 0.0%		<b>0</b> Q
AULDING RRY	3 4	<b>60</b> 71	2	<b>65</b> 75	64 70	- <b>66.7%</b> 25,0%	1 5	0
KE	6	્રે 68	0	74	72	-66.7%	2	ð
JTNAM ANDUSKY #1	11 6	47 38	0	58 44	49 37	-18.2% 1 <b>6.7%</b>	9 7	0 0
NDUSKY #2 RUMBULL (E)	1 3	24 33	2 0	27 36	25 34	100.0% -33,3%	2 2	0 0
RUMBULL (C)	6	76	47	129	127	-66.7%	2	0
USCARAWAS INTON	<b>29</b> 1	71 22	0	100 23	65 23	20.7% -100.0%	35 0	24 0
ARREN	9	76	2	87	77	11.1%	10	
TATEWIDE	410	3,683	235	4,328	3,864	13.2%	464	28


#### COUNTY COURTS FELONY TERMINATIONS 1992

COURT	PRELIMINAR HEARING BINDOVER	Y WAIVER PRELIMINARY HEARING	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL	OTHER DISMIS- SALS	UNAVAIL- ABILITY OF ACCUSED	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN ATIONS
ADAMS ASHTABULA (E)	17 101	1 <b>6</b>	0	<b>45</b> 12	2	0	1 0	81 114
ASHTABULA (W) BELMONT (N)	72 26	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0	40 11	18 6	Ö	0	130 50
BELMONT (E) BELMONT (W)	78 72	0 20	<b>0</b>	28 5	0 23	Ö	<b>0</b>	1 <b>00</b>
BROWN BUTLER #1	4 <b>6</b> 67	76	0 51	2 <b>1</b>	11	Ö TOP	i	6i 20:
BUTLER #2 BUTLER #3	44 59	32 39	0	38 33	i7 21	Ö O	i 0	13: 15:
CARROLL COLUMBIANA (NW)	34 9	10 20	0 0	22 8	18 11	o O	0	8 4
COLUMBIANA (E) COLUMBIANA (SW)	11 3	13 32	0	<b>0</b>	1	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	0	2 4
DARKE (NE) DARKE (SW)	0	0 0	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	0	<b>Q</b>	0	
ERIE FULTON (E)	29 6	15	<b>6</b>	11 5	<b>6</b>	Ö	0 15	6
FULTON (W) HARRISON	4 33	2 0 0	0	24 11	12	0	0	4 5
HIGHLAND	18	10	0	10	3	0	11 3	4
HOLMES TEFFERSON #1	34 34	12 0	Ō	9 <b>0</b>	1 0	0	0	
EFFERSON #2 EFFERSON #3	34 15	0 2	0	1 2	1 0	0	0	
MAHONING #2 MAHONING #3	96 31	350 26	0	0 0	25 0	0	0	47
MAHONING #4 MAHONING #5	187 <b>57</b>	27 10	0	59 0	6 1	0	0	2
MEIGS MONROE	21 <b>25</b>	4 0	<u> </u>	61 0	0	0	0	
MONTGOMERY #1 MONTGOMERY #2	0 9	61 <b>45</b>	0	137 39	17 22	0	0	2
MONTGOMERY #3 MORGAN	9 14	0 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	7 5	0 1	0	0	
MORROW MUSKINGUM	82 44	0	1 0	2 0	0	0	1 0	
NOBLE PAULDING	25 38	0 4	0	1 18	0 4	0	0	
PERRY PIKE	34 28	12 1	0 <b>0</b>	14 / <b>43</b>	2	0 <b>0</b>	8 0	
	35 18		0 1					
SANDUSKY #2 TRUMBULL (E)	12 <b>23</b>		0 <b>0</b>		3 <b>5</b>	(1) (1) [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	0 <b>0</b>	
IRUMBULL (C) IUSCARAWAS	74 <b>41</b>	0 1	0 <b>0</b>	8 1 <b>6</b>	42 <b>0</b>	3 0	0 7	1:
vinton Warren	19 <b>25</b>	0 <b>36</b>	0	1 8	3 7	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	

## COUNTY COURTS - FELONY CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



# COUNTY COURTS - MISDEMEANOR CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



### COUNTY COURTS MISDEMEANOR OVERALL CASELOADS

BUTLER #   190	REACTIVATIONS TOTAL % NET CASES CASES PEN AND TOTAL TERMIN- INCREASE IN PENDING BEYOND TOTAL TRANSFERS CASES ATIONS CASELOAD DECEMBER 31 GUIDELIN		AND	NEW FILINGS	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	OURT
SETABLIA (CW)		314 530	<b>Š</b>	consistent of the confidence of the consistence of	ent in frank anderskein folgefolgte falle folge franze folge en folge en falle en falle fan de	nde betreut van de de de bestelfer in de betreut de flerheite interes in redbestige die finbestieben de te
EMONT (V) 135 999 0 1.134 1.108 - 90.75 26  EMONT (V) 135 999 0 1.134 1.655	0 649 568 113.2% 81 0					a di serie deletara de la calentación a desenfacion de la calentación de calentación de la calentación de la c
ELMONT (V)  135  99  0  1,134  1,108  265  260  171.ER #  171.ER #  191  185  185  265  187  188  286  187  187  188  286  187  188  286  187  188  286  187  199  190  190  190  190  190  190  19			and the second s			fulbratistical encourage in product for a function of the forest consequence of the
TILER	0 1,134 1,108 -80.7% 26 0	1,134	0	999	135	LMONT (W)
THE PLAY  THE PL	是可能的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的	hout the additional advantation actions	ansaran metahan Pabada sebahkan Perant perantah babbah	endalet entere en en el et en entre en	e productiva de la reconstitució de la reconst	网络格拉斯 电光电弧性 化二氯甲基 医自动性性 经自动工作 化二甲基乙烯酯 医感性性病 化氯磺基基苯基酚
RROLL  RROLL  RROLL  RROLL  READ  RE	9 673 560 418.1% 113 0	673		<i>5</i> 26	138 ,	TLER#2
NUMBIANA (NW)   60   179   0   239   209   59.0 %   30     LUMBIANA (ED   34   164   2   200   179   88.2 %   21     LUMBIANA (SW)   95   267   0   306   273   -15.4 %   33     REE (NE)   28   207   0   225   225   100.0 %   0     REE   3   224   15   310   301   200.0 %   9     LUND (ED   67   281   4   352   325   500.0 %   9     LUND (ED   67   281   4   352   325   500.0 %   9     LUND (ED   67   281   4   352   325   500.0 %   9     LUND (ED   67   281   4   352   325   507   368   27     LTON (W)   50   376   51   477   435   16.0 %   42     RRISSON   57   419   0   476   409   17.5 %   67     SHIAND   39   372   22   534   507   30.8 %   27     LIMES   25   263   36   325   280   73.1 %   45     STERSON #1   18   200   0   221   204   25.5 %   17     THERON #2   14   159   0   173   145   22.9 %   27     THERON #3   13   87   0   100   83   30.8 %   17     THERON #4   33   1.237   443   1.733   1.48 %   360.4 %   24     AUDONING #5   25   305   16   540   340   100.0 %   10     GIGS   177   677   22   896   621   39.6 %   27     STROME   3   3   22   0   225   219   100.0 %   6     NITSOMERY #1   350   2.85   307   3.552   3.217   4.38   335     NITSOMERY #2   72   1.044   60   1.75   1.067   1.14 %   335     ULDING   18   39   22   28   2   21   28   30.6 %   21     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM   45   571   0   616   579   17.8 %   37     SERIOUM		richne bereigenbetannlichteter	and a substitute from the control of	National Control of the Administration of the Control of the Contr		the parties are an increase and accompanies and the second and are also as a second as a s
LLIMBIANA (SW)   39   267   0   306   273   -15.4%   33   RRE (ND)   28   207   0   225   225   100.0%   0   0   RRE (SW)   24   185   0   209   209   -100.0%   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 239 209 -50.0% 30 0	239	0	179	60	a fra anne meta elektroniste erret elektroniste net elektroniste at transfer i strategiste at elektroniste ele
RRE (ND)					April ou anne en contrata de la fact de la fact de faction de la fact de fact années de	and it is a bedress dead to a second definition is used which the dead of
REE (SW) 24 185 0 209 209 -100.0% 0  BE 3 294 13 310 301 200.0% 9  LITON (E) 67 281 4 352 325 -59.7% 27  LITON (W) 50 376 51 477 435 -16.0% 42  RISSON 77 419 0 476 409 17.5% 67  SHLAND 39 372 123 534 507 30.8% 27  LLMES 26 263 36 325 280 73.1% 45  FYERSON #1 18 203 0 221 204 55.6% 17  FYERSON #1 18 203 0 221 204 55.6% 17  FYERSON #2 14 159 0 173 146 92.9% 77  FYERSON #3 13 87 0 100 83 30.8% 17  HIONING #2 195 995 487 1.677 1,102 194.9% 575  HIONING #3 13 1,277 443 1,733 1.489 360.4% 0  HIONING #4 33 1,277 443 1,733 1.489 360.4% 0  HIONING #5 10 133 0 145 1,733 1.489 360.4% 24  HIONING #5 10 133 0 145 1,733 1.489 360.4% 24  HIONING #5 10 135 0 145 1,733 1.489 360.4% 275  DIRIGOMERY #1 350 2,855 307 3,552 3,217 4.3% 355  DIRIGOMERY #1 350	0, 235 235 -100.0% 0 0	235	a contact and a contact that a contact a contact is a contact and a cont		28	RKE (NE)
LION (E) 67 281 4 352 325 -59.7% 27 LION (W) 50 376 51 477 435 16.0% 42 RISSON 57 419 0 476 409 17.5% 67 391.8M 27 LION (W) 50 376 51 477 435 16.0% 42 RISSON 57 419 0 476 409 17.5% 67 391.8M 27 LION (W) 39 372 123 534 507 30.8% 27 LION (W) 45 18 203 0 221 204 5.6% 17 THERSON #1 18 203 0 221 204 5.6% 17 THERSON #1 18 203 0 121 204 5.6% 17 THERSON #1 18 203 0 10 21 204 5.6% 17 THERSON #2 14 159 0 173 146 92.9% 27 THERSON #3 13 87 0 100 83 30.8% 107 HONING #2 195 995 487 1,677 1,102 194.9% 575 HIONING #3 25 305 10 340 340 400.0% 0 40 HONING #4 53 1,237 443 1,733 1,489 360.4% 244 HIONING #4 53 1,237 443 1,733 1,489 360.4% 244 HIONING #5 10 135 0 145 135 0.0% 10 200 10 2		and a second section of the second	0	185		
RRISON 57 419 0 476 409 17.5% 67  #HLAND 39 372 123 534 507 30.88 27  #HLAND 39 372 123 534 507 30.88 27  #HLAND 39 372 123 534 507 30.88 27  #HERSON #1 18 203 0 221 204 55.6% 117  #FERSON #1 18 203 0 121 204 55.6% 117  #FERSON #2 14 159 0 173 146 92.9% 27  #FERSON #3 13 87 0 100 83 50.8% 177  #HONING #2 195 995 487 1,677 1,102 194.9% 575  #HONING #3 25 305. 10 340 340 100.0% 0  #HONING #4 53 1,27 443 1,733 1,489 360.4% 244  #HONING #5 10 135 0 145 135 0.0% 10  #IGS 197 677 22 896 621 39.6% 275  **INTIGOMERY #1 350 2,895 307 3,552 3,217 4.3% 335  **INTIGOMERY #1 350 2,895 307 3,552 3,217 4.3% 335  **INTIGOMERY #2 72 1,044 60 1,176 1,067 51.4% 109  **INTIGOMERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 -21.2% 26  **RROW 88 663 45 796 685 26.1% 111  **SKINOUM 45 571 0 616 579 178 8 37  #BLE 2 164 0 166 13 500 3 3  #ULDING 13 340 9 362 361 92.3% 1  **RRY 67 560 16 643 592 -23.9% 51  #RRY 67 560 16 643	4 352 325 -59.7% 27 0	352	4	281	67	LTON (E)
## HILAND		The residence of the contract	of Color and committee of the contraction of the co			erande tende ar kult i tertua zeka di sagtera dari urre beredekan biran de diki kali defekt ili bedesakan
MIRS	123 534 507 430.8% 27 0	534	and the second of the second s			GHILAND
TERSON #2 14 159 0 173 146 92.9% 27  TERSON #3 13 87 0 100 83 30.8% 17  HONING #2 195 995 487 1,677 1,102 194.9% 575  HONING #3 25 305 10 340 340 100.0% 0  HONING #4 53 1,237 443 1,733 1,489 360.4% 244  HONING #5 10 135 0 145 135 0.0% 10  IGS 197 677 22 896 621 39.6% 275  NROB 3 222 0 225 219 100.0% 6  NRTGOMERY #1 350 2,895 307 3,552 3,217 4.3% 335  NRTGOMERY #1 350 2,895 307 3,552 3,217 4.3% 335  NRTGOMERY #2 72 1,044 60 1,176 1,067 51,4% 109  NRTGOMERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 21.2% 26  NROW 88 603 45 796 685 26.1% 111  SKINGUM 45 571 0 616 579 178 37  BILE 2 164 0 166 163 50.0% 3  BILE 11 730 0 841 693 393 31 148  TYNM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80  NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 201 24.0% 33.3% 148  TNAM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80  NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 201 24.0% 33.3% 148  TNAM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80  NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 201 24.0% 33.3% 36  UMBULL (E) 29 200 0 229 189 37.9% 66  UMBULL (E) 29 200 0 229 189 37.9% 66  UMBULL (E) 29 200 0 229 189 37.9% 66  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 29.0% 66  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 29.0% 66  VYON 36 231 2 269 249 44.4% 20	The second secon	determinant to directed additions	en experiente espet en propieta a contitut de trata-	263	control and a record fails of the control of the record of the control of	
HONING #2 195 995 487 1,677 1,102 194.9% 575 BRONING #3 25 3055 10 340 340 100.0% 0 HONING #4 53 1,237 443 1,733 1,489 360.4% 244 HONING #5 10 135 0 145 135 0.0% 10 BIGS 197 677 22 896 621 39.6% 275 BIRODE 3 222 0 225 219 100.05 6 BIRODE 3 222 0 225 219 100.05 6 BIRODE 3 322 0 1225 219 100.05 6 BIRODE 3 322 0 1225 219 100.05 6 BIRODE 3 322 0 1225 219 100.05 6 BIRODERY #1 350 2,895 307 3,552 3,217 4.3% 335 BIRODERY #2 72 1,044 60 1,176 1,067 51.4% 109 BIRODERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 -21.2% 26 BIRODERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 -21.2% 26 BIRODERY #3 35 663 45 796 685 26.1% 111 BIRDE 2 164 0 166 579 178.8% 37 BIRDE 2 164 0 166 593 50.0% 3 BULDING 13 340 9 362 561 52.3% 1 BIRDE 2 164 0 166 693 35.3% 148 BIRTY 67 560 16 643 592 -23.9% 51 BIRTY 67 560 16 643 592 -2	0 173 146 92.9% 27 0	173	0	159	14	FERSON #2
NHONING #3	0 100 83 30.8% 17 0 487 1.677 1.102 194.9% 575 0	ritum Berfelt i statut etat va Burantastur-duran i brita	fidele con contra calcombatada a secundo			pateriora esta esta por contenta a comprese a casa de la casa de l
NHONING #4 53 1,237 443 1,733 1,489 360.4% 244 NHONING #5 10 135 0 145 135 0.0% 10  SIGS 197 677 22 896 621 39.6% 275  DNROE 3 222 0 225 219 100.6% 6  DNROMERY #1 350 2,895 307 3,552 3,217 4.3% 335  DNTGOMERY #2 72 1,044 60 1,176 1,067 51.4% 109  DNTGOMERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 -21.2% 26  DRGAN 22 288 2 312 288 9,1% 24  DRROW 88 663 45 796 685 26.1% 111  SISKINGUM 45 571 0 616 579 17.8% 37  SIBLE 2 164 0 166 163 50.0% 3  ULDING 13 340 9 3622 361 52.3% 1  SILDING 13 340 9 3622 361 52.3% 3  SILDING 14 17 30 9 340 52 30 30 33.3% 1  SILDING 15 299 0 0 471 391 11.1% 80  NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 201 24.0% 62  NDUSKY #2 42 119 3 164 128 -14.3% 36  SILDING 15 299 200 0 229 189 37.9% 40  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -20.0% 66  SNCARAWAS 270 446 0 716 477 11.15% 239 NTON	10 340 340 -100.0% 0 0	340	10	305	25	NHONING #3
FIGS 197 677 22 896 621 39.6% 275  DEROE 3 222 0 225 219 100.0% 6  DEROE 3 222 0 255 219 100.0% 6  DEROMERY #1 350 2,895 307 3,552 3,217 4.3% 335  DEROMERY #2 72 1,044 60 1,176 1,067 51.4% 109  DEROMERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 -21.2% 26  DEROM 88 663 45 796 685 26.1% 111  DEROW 88 663 45 796 685 26.1% 111  DEROW 88 663 45 796 685 26.1% 111  DELE 2 164 0 166 579 17.8% 37  DELE 2 164 0 166 163 50.0% 3  DELE 2 164 0 166 163 50.0% 3  DELE 2 164 0 166 163 50.0% 3  DELE 3 3 340 9 3562 361 92.3% 1  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 592 -23.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 592 -23.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 592 -23.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 33.3% 488  TENAM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 592 -23.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 592 -23.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 592 -23.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 592 -23.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 592 -23.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 792 11.1% 80  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 792 11.1% 80  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 792 11.1% 80  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 792 11.1% 80  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 792 12.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 792 12.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 841 663 792 12.9% 51  DELE 111 730 0 741 741 741 741 741 741 741 741 741 741			La como de la comença de la comercia del forma de la comercia del la comercia de la comercia del la comercia de la comercia del la comercia de la comercia de la comercia del la comercia de la comercia del la comercia del la comercia del la comercia del la comer			and a survivarious considerate advantage of an experience
DNTGOMERY #1 350 2,895 307 3,552 3,217 -4.3% 335 DNTGOMERY #2 72 1,044 60 1,176 1,067 51,4% 109 DNTGOMERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 -21,2% 26 DRGAN 22 288 2 312 288 9,1% 24 DROW 88 663 45 796 885 26,1% 111 JSKINGUM 45 571 0 616 579 11,8% 37 DBLE 2 164 0 166 163 50,0% 3 ULDING 13 340 9 362 361 92,3% 1 ERRY 67 560 16 643 592 -23,9% 51 CB 111 730 0 841 663 35,3% 148 TNAM 72 399 0 471 391 11,1% 80 NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 269 201 24,0% 62 NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 269 201 24,0% 62 NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 229 159 37,9% 40 UMBULL (C) 93 446 0 75 577 511 -29,0% 56 SCARAWAS 270 446 0 716 477 11,5% 239 1 NTON 36 231 2 269 249 -44,4% 20	22 896 621 39.6% 275 24	896	22	677	197	iigs
DNTGOMERY #2 72 1,044 60 1,176 1,067 51.4% 109  DNTGOMERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 -21.2% 26  DRGAN 22 288 2 312 285 91.6 24  DRROW 88 663 45 796 685 26.1% 111  USKINGUM 45 571 0 616 579 117.8% 37  DBLE 2 164 0 166 163 50.0% 3  ULIDING 13 340 9 362 361 92.3% 1  ERRY 67 560 16 643 592 -23.9% 51  KE 111 730 0 841 693 33.3% 148  TINAM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80  NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 201 24.9% 622  NDUSKY #2 42 119 3 164 128 -14.3% 36  ULIMBULL (E) 29 200 0 229 189 37.9% 40  UUMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UNBUSKY #2 42 119 3 164 128 -14.3% 36  UUMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56	等,就是我们的一个,我们就是一个一个,我们就是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	医克斯特氏试验检检验检验检检检检验检验				terratura esta esta de la companya
DNTGOMERY #3 33 428 72 533 507 -21.2% 26  DRGAN 22 288 2 312 288 9.15 24  DROW 88 663 45 796 685 26.1% 111  JSKINGUM 45 571 0 616 579 17.8% 37  DELE 2 164 0 166 163 50.0% 3  ULDING 13 340 9 362 361 92.3% 1  ERY 67 560 16 643 592 -23.9% 51  CE 111 730 0 841 693 33.3% 148  TINAM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80  NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 200 10 263  NDUSKY #2 42 119 3 164 128 -14.3% 36  UMBULL (E) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 56  SCARAWAS 270 446 0 716 477 11.5% 239  NTON 36 231 2 269 249 -44.4% 20			and the second s			defendent etgende appropriatifikand, have softenboured auctionische
ORROW     88     663     45     796     685     26.1%     111       ISKINGUM     45     571     0     616     579     417.8%     37       IBLE     2     164     0     166     163     50.0%     3       ULDING     13     340     9     3622     361     592.3%     4       RRY     67     560     16     643     592     -23.9%     51       ULDING     111     730     0     841     693     33.3%     448       TNAM     72     399     0     471     391     11.1%     80       NDUSKY #1     50     213     0     263     201     24.0%     52       NDUSKY #2     42     119     3     164     128     -14.3%     36       UMBULL (E)     93     409     75     577     511     -29.0%     66       SCARAWAS     270     446     0     716     477     -11.5%     239     1       NION     36     231     2     269     249     -44.4%     20	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE				33	some data beresa i encer da perdesa diserbita barrare succidade
BELE 2 164 0 166 163 50.0% 3 ULDING 13 340 9 562 361 52356 1 RRY 67 560 16 643 592 -23.9% 51 KE 111 730 0 0 841 693 33.38 148 TINAM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80 NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 20 24.0% 52 NDUSKY #2 42 119 3 164 128 -14.3% 36 UMBULL (E) 29 200 0 229 159 37.9% 60 UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 66 SCARAWAS 270 446 0 716 477 -11.5% 239. NTON 36 231 2 269 249 -44.4% 20	45 796 685 26.1% 111 10	796	45	663	88	DRROW
ULDING 13 340 9 362 361 923% 1  RRY 67 560 16 643 592 -23.9% 51  EE 111 730 0 841 693 33.3% 448  TNAM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80  NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 20 24.0% 62  NDUSKY #2 42 119 3 164 128 -14.3% 36  UMBULL (E) 29 200 0 229 189 37.9% 40  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 66  SCARAWAS 270 446 0 716 477 11.5% 239  NTON 36 231 2 269 249 -44.4% 20			ide til fri fra fra stattet frå til folkstat han kalle state frå blefa kallendar og arthau om sed at	리트 등 수 있는 사람들이 함께 할 수 있다는 사람들이 있다면 하는 그 사람들이 가장 그 학생들이 가장 하는 사람들이 함께 들어 없었다.		
KE     111     730     0     841     693     33,3%     148       TNAM     72     399     0     471     391     11,1%     80       NDUSKY #1     50     213     0     263     20)     24,0%     62       NDUSKY #2     42     119     3     164     128     -14,3%     36       UMBULL (E)     29     200     0     229     189     37,9%     40       UMBULL (C)     93     409     75     577     511     -29.0%     66       SCARAWAS     270     446     0     716     477     -11,5%     239     1       NTON     36     231     2     269     249     -44,4%     20	9 362 361 592.3% 1 0	362	and a second of the contract o	340	13	ULDING
TNAM 72 399 0 471 391 11.1% 80  NDUSKY #1 50 213 0 263 20 24.0% 62  NDUSKY #2 42 119 3 164 128 -14.3% 36  UMBULL (E) 29 200 0 229 189 37.9% 40  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 66  SCARAWAS 270 446 0 716 477 -11.5% 239  NTON 36 231 2 269 249 -44.4% 20	The second secon		والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق والمنافق والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق	desert our exercise determines are noted that have		aceteratura atabé se intrada a parental arabanúa resent.
NDUSKY #2 42 119 3 164 128 -14.3% 36  UMBULL (E) 29 200 0 229 189 37.9% 40  UMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 66  SCARAWAS 270 446 0 716 477 -11.5% 239 1  NTON 36 231 2 269 249 -44.4% 20	0 471 391 11.1% 80 0	471	0	399	supplication of the state of th	t terministe vin det som ett die wetet tren et det seke det te te filmet i filosofie sekelike
HUMBULL (5)     29     200     0     229     189     37.9%     40       HUMBULL (C)     93     409     75     577     511     -29.0%     66       ISCARAWAS     270     446     0     716     477     -11.5%     239     1       NTON     36     231     2     269     249     -44.4%     20		Service to Control to the Control of Control				construction of the first term of the control of th
EUMBULL (C) 93 409 75 577 511 -29.0% 66 ISCARAWAS 270 446 0 716 477 -11.5% 239 1 NTON 36 231 2 269 249 -44.4% 20	0 229 189 37.9% 40 2	229	0	200	29	(UMBULL (E)
NTON 36 231 2 269 249 -44.4% 20	75 577 511 -29.0% 66 0		75 0		93	
ARREN 115 735 132 982 846 18,3% 136	2 269 249 -44.4% 20 0	269	2	231	36	NTON
	132 982 846 18,3% 136 0	982	132	735	115	ARREN

### COUNTY COURTS MISDEMEANOR TERMINATIONS 1992

COURT		COURT		O CONTEST IAL CHARGE REFEREE		DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAI		VIOLA- TIONS BUREAU	TRANS- FERRED OUT	UNAVAIL-	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTER- LOCUTORY APPEAL	
ADAMS	0	- 3	129	0		3	56	66	j	23	9	o o
ASHTABULA (E) ASHTABULA (W)	7 0	6 0	230 <b>327</b>	0 0	19 <b>53</b>	0 0	72 107	76 41	0 1	0 <b>QE</b>	0 <b>0</b>	39 0
BELMONT (N) BELMONT (E)	2 1	36 0	147 <b>207</b>	0 0	16 0	0 0	262 150	o O	9 0	153 8	0 <b>0</b>	0
BELMONT (W) BROWN	0	147 15	598 257	0 <b>0</b>	5 10	0 9	95 186	25 <b>53</b>	0 <b>20</b>	238 67	0	. 0 37
BUTLER #1 BUTLER #2	26 0	0 2	658 255	0 0	51 23	395 0	4 1 <b>33</b>	211 96	3 5	109 45	0 <b>0</b>	0
BUILER #3 CARROLL	0 3	14 8	289 181	0 0	15 51	22 1	160 172	91 1 <b>67</b>	20 <b>0</b>	92 28	0	0
COLUMBIANA (NW)	3	11	120	0	4	0	25 18	17 9	8 11	21 8	0	0
COLUMBIANA (E) COLUMBIANA (SW)	3	δ 4	116 163	<b>0</b> 0	9 6	0	20	59	6	12	0	0
DARKE (NE) DARKE (SW)	0 0	35 27	134 108	0	0	<b>0</b> 0	47 51	<b>10</b> 9	0	9 13	0 0	0 1
ERIE FULTON (E)	1 0	0 81	142 138	0	2 0	4 0	27 27	88 22	13	37 37	0	0 7
FULTON (W) HARRISON	0 0	49 0	1 <b>75</b> 37	<b>0</b> 0	,0 10	0 4	120 76	33 231	i 0	<b>54</b> 44	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b> 7
FIGHLAND HOLMES	4. 0	31 20	269 190	0	9 0	10   - 0	83 31	15	0 33	70 6		16 0
JEFFERSON #1	0	36	146	Ů	7	6	9	0	0	0	: D	0
JEFFERSON #2 JEFFERSON #3	0 4	0	100 46	0 0	1 10	3 3	24 17	14 2	0 0	Copyright satisfy after selection that the first feet of the	0 0	0
MAHONING #2 MAHONING #3	0 1	200 132	487 207	0	161 0	0 0	64 0	19 0	0	169 <b>0</b>	0 0	2 0
MAHONING #4 MAHONING #5	6 0	344 67	401 48	o Ö	3 4	0 0	285 0	132 1 <b>6</b>	0 0	297 <b>0</b>	0 Q	21 0
MEIGS MONROE	1 5	6 51	369 97	0 0	12 0	0 <b>0</b>	167 26	56 40	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>D</b>	10 0
MONTGOMERY #1	1	33	1,116	0	417 <b>83</b>	12 2	813 232	230 142	52 0	526 93	0	17
MONTGOMERY #2 MONTGOMERY #3	0 3	14 9	501 213	0	71	3	148	0	0	60	0	0
MORGAN MORROW	0 1	3 13	75 287	<b>0</b> 0	<b>4</b> 23	<b>3</b>	25 103	153 174	1 0	21 62	0	3 21
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	5 0	40 0	328 107	0	<b>0</b> 0	2 4	19 7	185 45	<b>0</b> 0	0	0	0 0
PAULDING PERRY	1	0 11	221 316	0	. 14 8	<b>0</b>	41 66	53 145	0. 6	27. 34	4	0 5
PIKE PUTNAM	0	13 6	402 242	<b>6</b>	5 16	0	268 69	<b>3</b> 48	0	2 0	<b>0</b>	0
SANDUSKY #I	Ô	0	122	Ö	5	1	36	37	0	Ō	Ō	
SANDUSKY #2 TRUMBULL (E)	0 <b>0</b>		120	0 <b>0</b>	9 5	0 <b>2</b>	Betrate Fall and Francisco Leader to the Control of Control of	12 <b>15</b>	Activities consistent derive and a	tafifationafabelitetinates Antaricationistica	0 <b>0</b>	0 4
TRUMBULL (C) TUSCARAWAS	1 0	3 8		0 <b>0</b>	5 20	0 9	50 100	183 7	43 4 <b>6</b>	49 <b>3</b>	8 0	0 29
VINTON WARREN	0 1	7 1 <b>09</b>		0	0 1 <b>05</b>	0 <b>0</b>	22 <b>56</b>	108 0	4 0	6 117	0	0 23
and the second s			our or an enterprise property (1980)	an ang ang ang ang anti-ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang					and the second s	ere e en egen e en elle i telefore i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	eres managarangarangarangan	errinae danida dalah
STATEWIDE	84	1,606	11,853	0	1,276	500	4,632	3,138	282	2,604	12	255

49


### COUNTY COURTS O.M.V.I. OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

SENTABLIA (D) 44 255 0 297 258 -1.1.4% 39 0 0 19	COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER	CASES PENDII BEYOND TIM 31 GUIDELINE
SBITABLIA (VV) 32 351 0 883 346 15.6% 77 3  IEMANONT (G) 65 575 0 440 356 60.9% 104 0  IEMANONT (G) 36 248 0 224 254 1.6.7% 30 0  IEMANONT (G) 36 248 0 224 254 1.6.7% 30 0  IEMANONT (G) 36 248 0 224 254 1.6.7% 30 0  IEMANONT (G) 36 248 0 224 254 1.6.7% 30 0  IEMANONT (G) 36 248 0 224 19 197 4.8% 125 24 115 24 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	ADAMS	57	257	5	319	254	14.0%	65	9
IELMONT (P)	ASHTABULA (E)	44	253	0	297	at the contract of the advantage		Acres and a second contraction	and the second second second
HEMONT (TQ)	ASHȚABULA (W)	32	351	0	383	346	15.6%	37	3
IELMONT (W)	BELMONT (N)			0		make the control of t		and the second second second second	and the state of the second
IRCHAPM   194   449   18	response to the extreme property of the proper	to a contract of the contract	Maria de La Maria de Caracteria de Caracteri	de traditioner destructions of destructions	rental file out and the state of the	the contract and the street		about about the form	and the state of the property of the
NUTLER #1 21 192 6 219 197 4.5% 22 0 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		countries of parties estimate of body of other	and the second of the second of the first	and the first court of the first the first the second	and the second of the second of the second	and the company of the contract of the contrac	Charles Administration of the Charles	to the constituted of a side of a	and a programme of the first of the first
NITLER #2 65 228 3 376 290 16.9% 75 0 NITLER #3 36 187 0 223 196 225.0% 27 0 NARROLL 27 88 3 118 107 553.5% 11 1 NOLLOMBIANA (NW) 59 223 0 282 237 23.7% 45 0 NOLLOMBIANA (SW) 33 168 0 201 181 59.4% 20 0 NARROLL 127 18 168 108 1 127 127 100.0% 0 0 NARRE (ND 18 18 108 1 127 127 127 100.0% 0 0 NARRE (ND 18 18 108 1 127 127 127 100.0% 0 0 NARRE (SW) 23 159 0 182 175 -69.6% 7 0 NARRE (SW) 23 159 0 182 175 -69.6% 7 0 NULTON (B) 41 219 8 268 23 9.8% 45 1 NULTON (W) 2 2 139 8 1.69 154 31.8% 15 0 NARRISON 18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 NARRISON 18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 NARRISON 18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 NARRISON 18 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 NARRISON 18 78 0 99 69 50.0% 27 0 NARRISON 18 78 0 99 69 50.0% 27 0 NARRISON 19 54 240 0 294 244 74,4% 59 0 NEFERSON #1 54 240 0 294 244 74,4% 59 0 NEFERSON #2 29 270 0 299 293 79.3% 6 0 NARIONING #2 27 189 173 389 255 396.3% 134 0 NARIONING #2 27 189 173 389 255 396.3% 134 0 NARIONING #3 21 189 165 226 226 100.0% 10 AARHONING #3 21 189 165 226 226 100.0% 10 AARHONING #3 21 189 165 226 226 100.0% 10 AARHONING #3 21 189 165 226 226 100.0% 10 AARHONING #3 21 189 165 226 226 100.0% 10 AARHONING #4 143 387 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0 NAHONING #4 143 387 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0 NAHONING #5 6 123 0 139 114 150.0% 15 00 NARIONING #4 143 387 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0 NAHONING #5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORROW 19 551 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 NORRO	cala dipo praedición for al referención en el peretir co	<ul> <li>A contract of the property of the</li></ul>	of a mark count of which days	And the Control of th	我们就的第三人称单数 电线电流 化二氯甲基	the property of the state of th	al contrat rest to seal or supplied to the	district and a compact of a con-	with a contract of the contrac
UTILER 8 36 4 187 0 223 196 2-25.0% 27 0 0 ARREOLL 27 88 3 118 107 59.358 11 1 1 1 0.ULMBIANA (NW) 59 223 0 282 227 23.78 45 0 0.ULMBIANA (SW) 33 168 0 201 181 -39.4% 20 0 0 ARKE (NE) 18 18 108 1 127 127 190.0% 0 0 0 ARKE (NE) 18 108 1 127 127 190.0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			17.4		and the second of the second of the second		A DESCRIPTION OF STREET	And the second s	Street and the contract of the
ARROLL 27 88 3 118 107 59.3  11 1 1 COLUMBIANA (NW) 59 223 0 282 237 -23.7  45 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 59 192 1 222 196 7.7  36 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 53 168 0 201 181 59.4  20 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 53 168 0 201 181 59.4  20 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 53 168 0 201 181 59.4  20 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 53 168 0 201 181 59.4  20 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 53 168 0 201 181 59.4  20 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 53 168 0 201 181 59.4  20 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 53 168 0 201 181 59.4  20 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 23 159 0 182 175 69.6  7 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 23 159 0 182 175 69.6  7 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 24 1219 8 268 223 9.8  45 1 COLUMBIANA (IX) 22 139 8 169 154 13.8  15 15 COLUMBIANA (IX) 22 139 8 169 154 13.8  15 15 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 0 96 69 50.0  72 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 17 78 45 140 121 18.8  15 19 COLUMBIANA (IX) 17 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 17 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 17 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 17 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 0 96 69 50.0  72 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 0 96 69 50.0  72 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 0 96 69 50.0  72 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 45 140 121 18.8  19 0 COLUMBIANA (IX) 18 78 45 140 121 18.8  16 12 12 180 16 16 12 12 180 16 16 12 12 180 16 16 12 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18			er for a recent of	3	and the second of the second	the second second second second second	and the second of the second of the second		0
OLLMBIANA (NW) 59 223 0 282 237 23.7% 45 0 0 20 1 MBIANA (NW) 59 192 1 222 196 7.7% 36 0 0 1 MBIANA (B) 39 192 1 222 196 7.7% 36 0 0 1 MBIANA (B) 39 192 1 222 196 7.7% 36 0 0 1 MBIANA (B) 18 108 1 127 127 100.00% 0 0 0 ARKE (NE) 18 108 1 127 127 100.00% 0 0 0 0 ARKE (NE) 18 108 1 127 127 100.00% 0 0 0 0 MBIE 4 211 4 219 217 50.0% 2 0 0 1 MBIANE (SW) 22 139 8 199 154 31.8% 15 0 0 MBIANE (SW) 22 1399 8 199 154 31.8% 15 0 0 MBIANE (SW) 22 1399 8 199 154 31.8% 15 0 0 MBIANE (SW) 12 1399 8 199 154 31.8% 15 0 0 MBIANE (SW) 12 11.8% 19 0 0 0 MBIS 16 112 9 137 126 31.3% 11 0 0 MBIS 16 112 9 137 126 31.3% 11 0 0 MBIS 16 112 9 137 126 31.3% 11 0 0 MBIS 16 112 9 137 126 31.3% 11 0 0 MBIS 16 112 9 137 13 389 125 396.3% 134 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 4 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 10 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 10 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 10 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 10 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 10 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 0 99 8 8 1 29.2% 17 10 0 ABONNO (BETRINSON #) 24 74 74 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		A STATE OF THE STA		0			and the contract of the second of the second		u Santa da da Santa Marini
OLUMBIANA (E) 39 192 1 232 1956 7.77% 356 0 OLUMBIANA (E) 39 4% 20 0 0 ARKE (NS) 18 108 1 127 127 100.0% 0 0 0 ARKE (NS) 18 108 1 127 127 100.0% 0 0 0 ARKE (NS) 18 108 1 127 127 100.0% 0 0 0 ARKE (NS) 23 159 0 182 175 6-96.6% 7 0 0 RE 4 211 4 219 217 5-0.0% 2 2 0 ULTON (E) 41 219 8 268 223 9.8% 45 1 ULTON (W) 22 139 8 169, 154 31.8% 15 0 ARRISON 18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 ARRISON 18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 ARRISON 18 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 OLUMBIANO 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 OLUMBIANO 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 OLUMBIANO 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 OLUMBIANO 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 OLUMBIANO 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 OLUMBIANO 17 78 45 140 0 294 244 7.4% 50 0 OLUMBIANO 17 78 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 10 0 OLUMBIANO 17 18 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 10 0 OLUMBIANO 17 18 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 10 OLUMBIANO 18 18 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 10 OLUMBIANO 18 18 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 10 OLUMBIANO 18 18 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 18 18 18 18 28.2% 18 19 OLUMBIANO 18 18 18 18 18 18 28.2% 17 18 18 18 18 28.2% 18 19 OLUMBIANO 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 28 28 18 18 28.2% 17 OLUMBIANO 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 28 28 18 18 28.2% 17 OLUMBIANO 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 28 28 28 18 18 28 28 28 18 18 28 28 28 18 18 28 28 28 18 18 28 28 28 18 18 28 28 28 18 18 28 28 28 18 18 28 28 28 18 18 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	The state of the s				The first section of the contract of the contr	a sometimes of the state of the	Control with the analysis of the second	and the second of the second	an Alimotek titak. A
OLUMENIANA (SW)  33 168 0 201 181 39.4% 20 0 ARKE (NB)  18 108 1 127 127 127 100.0% 0 0 0 ARKE (SW)  23 159 0 182 175 69.6% 7 0 0 ARKE (SW)  24 211 4 219 217 50.0% 2 0 0 ULTON (E)  41 219 8 268 223 9.3% 45 1 ULTON (W)  22 159 8 169 154 31.3% 15 0 ARRISON  18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 0 ARRISON  18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 0 ARRISON  18 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 0 ARRISON  19 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 0 ARRISON  10 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 0 ARRISON  10 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 0 ARRISON  11 54 240 0 0 294 244 71.4% 50 0 0 ARRISON  12 17 10 0 98 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ARRISON  13 17 10 98 8 1 29.2% 17 0 0 ARRISON  14 143 887 192 1.22 857 155.2% 365 0 ARRISON  15 140 143 887 192 1.22 857 155.2% 365 0 ARRISON  16 143 887 192 1.22 857 155.2% 365 0 ARRISON  17 10 10 ARRONNO #5 6 123 0 129 114 180.0% 15 0 ARRONNO #5 6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 45 0 0 46 6 58 700.0% 8 0 ORRISON #6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	and the second of the second o	the state of the second state of the	ali en indika Serap Islandia.	a transported that we work as in	the control of the control of the beautiful to the control of the		the section of the extension	. See See A
ARKE (ND)  18 108 1 127 127 100.0% 0 0 0 ARKE (NW)  23 159 0 182 175 5.956% 7 0 0 RIE  4 211 4 219 217 50.0% 2 0 0 RIE  4 211 4 219 8 268 223 9.8% 45 1 ULTON (E)  ULTON (E) 41 219 8 268 223 9.8% 45 1 ULTON (W)  22 139 8 169 154 -31.8% 15 0 0 ARKISON 18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 0 RIE  OLITON (E) 11 1.8% 19 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		the state of the s		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	ria protection in the court of the	the professional and the second		era, com a report som som en e	. Periodological de la companion de la compan
ARKE (SW)  ARKE (SW)  23				a santaista s <mark>a</mark> taoba	The second secon		and the second of the second of the second	earth and the control to a first term	ŏ
RIE 4 211 4 219 217 -50.0% 2 0  DILTON (E) 41 219 8 268 223 9.8% 45 1  ULTON (W) 22 139 8 169 154 -51.8% 15 0  ARRISON 18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0  ARRISON 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0  OLMES 16 112 9 137 126 -31.3% 11 0  OLMES 16 112 9 137 126 -31.3% 11 0  OLMES 16 112 9 137 126 -31.3% 11 0  OLMES 154 -240 0 294 244 -7.4% 50 0  OLMES 29 270 0 299 293 79.3% 6 0 0  STFERSON #1 54 240 0 98 8 81 252.5% 17 0  ALHONING #2 29 77 189 173 389 255 396.3% 134 0  ALHONING #2 27 189 16 226 226 100.0% 0 0  ALHONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 100.0% 0 0  ALHONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0  ALHONING #5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0  EIGS 37 194 0 231 185 24.3% 46 1  OORROD 1 45 0 46 38 700.0% 8 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0  CONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0  CONTGOMERY #1 53 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0  CONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0  CONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0  CONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0  CONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 588 555 23.3% 7 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 588 555 23.3% 3 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 588 555 23.3% 3 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 588 555 23.3% 3 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 588 555 23.3% 3 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 588 555 23.3% 3 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 588 555 23.3% 3 0  OORTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 52 203 173 15.4% 30 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 23.3% 33 0  ANDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 34 307 0 341 128 555 215 6.5% 43 0  ORLE 6 36 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6				Ô			er and the state of the state o		The state of the state of the
DITON (F)			4.4	a Ali ara a Ma <b>a</b> i ara a sa		A SECURITY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY.	the state of the s	2	search and stone without the con-
ULTON (W) 22 139 8 169 154 -31.8% 15 0 ARRISON 18 78 0 96 69 50.0% 27 0 0 16HLAND 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 0 16HLAND 17 78 45 140 122 111.8% 19 0 0 16HLAND 17 78 45 140 122 111.8% 19 0 0 16HLAND 18 15 4 240 0 294 244 .74.8% 50 0 0 16HERSON #1 54 240 0 294 244 .74.8% 50 0 0 16HERSON #2 29 270 0 299 293 .79.5% 6 0 16HERSON #3 24 74 0 98 81 29.2% 17 0 0 46HERSON #3 24 74 0 98 81 29.2% 17 0 0 16HONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 226 100.0% 0 0 16HONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 226 100.0% 0 0 ARIONING #3 14 43 887 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0 0 16HONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 0 16HOS 5 6 120 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		er erreiter in der eine State in der eine		8	the contract of the contract of the	and the state of the second sections	and the state of t	ranku Missirik et er Missiri i Tuladen i u	1
ARRISON 18 78 0 66 69 50.0% 27 0 0 GGHLAND 17 78 45 140 121 11.8% 19 0 0 OLMES 16 112 9 137 126 -3.13% 11 0 UFFERSON #1 54 240 0 294 244 7.4% 50 0 GFFERSON #2 29 270 0 299 233 79.3% 6 0 0 GFFERSON #3 24 74 0 98 81 29.2% 177 0 AHONING #3 21 189 173 389 255 396.3% 134 0 AHONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 100.0% 0 0 AHONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 100.0% 0 0 AHONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0 AHONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 0 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 0 ONTGOMERY #3 5 5 49 9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		the control of the co	and the second second	8	processing and a deduction of	control of control of the state of the for-	tion is a grant of comprehensive state.	contract of the property of	0
GHLAND 17 78 45 140 121 11.8  19 0 0 OLMES 16 112 9 137 126 31.3  11 0 OLMES 16 112 9 137 126 31.3  11 0 OLMES 154 240 0 294 244 77.4  50 0 0 FFERSON #1 54 240 0 294 244 77.4  50 0 0 FFERSON #3 24 74 0 9 58 81 29.2  17,3  6 0 0 GPERSON #3 24 74 0 9 58 81 29.2  17,3  6 0 0 GPERSON #3 24 74 0 9 58 81 29.2  17,3  6 0 0 GPERSON #3 24 74 0 9 58 81 29.2  25 36.3  134 0 GPERSON #3 21 189 173 389 255 366.3  134 0 GPERSON #3 21 189 16 226 226 100.0  0 0 0 GPERSON #3 21 189 16 226 226 100.0  0 0 0 0 GPERSON #3 144 0 0 GPERSON #3 144 18 88 7 192 1,222 88 71 155.2  365 0 0 GPERSON #3 144 0 0 GPERSON #3 144 18 88 7 192 1,222 88 71 155.2  365 0 0 GPERSON #3 144 0 0 GPERSON #3 144 18 18 0 0 129 114 150.0  15 0 GPERSON #3 144 0 0 GPERSON #3 15 0 G	the contract of the contract o	the state of the s	er er sent statt han helde i	0	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	经投资的 经特殊的 网络人名 化甲基二苯酚甲甲基甲	to powering to a figure a reservoir or	and there are a contract the	0
DLMES 16 112 9 137 126 -31.3% 11 0 1FFERSON #1 54 240 0 294 244 7.4% 50 0 0 FFERSON #1 54 240 0 299 293 -79.3% 6 0 0 FFERSON #2 29 270 0 299 293 -79.3% 6 0 0 FFERSON #3 24 74 74 0 58 8 81 59.2% 177 0 0 AHONING #2 77 189 173 389 255 396.3% 134 0 AHONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 100.0% 0 0 AHONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 100.0% 0 0 AHONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 87 155.2% 365 0 AHONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 87 155.2% 365 0 AHONING #5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 EIGS 37 194 0 231 185 24.3% 46 1 ONROE 1 455 0 46 38 700.0% 8 0 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 31.6% 50 2 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ONGGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ONGGOMERY #3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ONGGOMERY #3 55 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Company of the Compan			45	140	121	make a make the second of the second of the	19	0
#FERSON #2 29 270 0 299 293 -79.3  6 0 #FERSON #3 24 74 0 98 81 292.2  17 0 #FERSON #3 24 74 0 98 81 292.2  17 0 #HONING #2 27 189 173 389 255 396.3  134 0 #HONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 -100.0  0 0 #HONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 -100.0  0 0 #HONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 887 155.2  365 0 #HONING #5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0  15 0 #HONING #5 6 123 0 231 185 24.3  46 1 #HONING # 1 45 0 46 38 700.0  8 0 #HONING # 1 45 0 46 38 700.0  8 0 #HONING # 2 409 17 488 427 -1.6  61 0 #HONING MERY # 1 38 226 14 278 228 31.6  50 2 #HONING MERY # 2 38 226 14 278 228 31.6  50 2 #HONING MERY # 3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 5 5 49 9 63 56 40.0  7 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 57 427 347 321.1  80 4 #HORIO MERY # 3 545 0 588 555 223.3  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 545 0 588 555 223.3  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 3 545 0 588 555 223.3  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4  30 0 #HORIO MERY # 26 175 2 203 173 15.4	OLMES	personal personal personal devices	er breakful to the first	A STATE OF THE STA	energe in the first section of the contract	126	consistent and control of the contro	11	0
FFERSON #5	FFERSON #1		with the second control of the second	0	294	244	-7.4%	50	0
AHONING #2 27 189 173 389 255 396.3% 134 0 AHONING #3 21 189 16 226 226 100.0% 0 0 0 AHONING #4 143 887 192 1.222 857 155.2% 365 0 AHONING #5 6 123 0 129 114 150.0% 15 0 EIGS 37 194 0 231 185 24.3% 46 1 ONROE 1 45 0 46 38 700.0% 8 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 31.6% 50 2 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 55 40.0% 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 +33.3% 7 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 +33.3% 7 7 0 ORROW 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 -23.3% 33 0 OBLE 6 36 36 0 42 39 50.0% 3 0 AULDING 18 139 1 155 147 338.9% 11 0 ERRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 36 170 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ERRY 370 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0	FFERSON #2	29	270	0	299	293	-79.3%	6	0
AHONING #3  21 189 16 226 226 100.0% 0 0  AHONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0  AHONING #5 6 123 0 129 114 150,0% 15 0  EIGS 37 194 0 231 185 24.3% 46 1  ONROE 1 45 0 46 38 700.0% 8 0  ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 1.6% 61 0  ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 31.6% 50 2  ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0  ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 +53.3% 7 0  ORROW 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4  USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 -23.3% 33 0  OBLE 6 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0  OBLE 6 36 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0  OBLE 6 34 307 0 341 228 55.9% 53 0  ITNAM 52 149 0 201 167 34.6% 34 0  NADUSKY #1 12 258 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 258 216 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #1 46 211 1 255 215 6.5% 43 0  NADUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0  NADUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0  NADUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.5% 17 0  NADUSKY	FFERSON #3	24	74	0	98	81	-29,2%	17	0
AHONING #4 143 887 192 1,222 857 155.2% 365 0 AHONING #5 6 123 0 129 114 150,0% 15 0 EIGS 37 194 0 231 185 24.3% 46 1 ONROE 1 45 0 46 38 700.0% 8 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 31.6% 50 2 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ONGOM 15 52 0 67 60 +53.3% 7 0 ONGOM 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 22.3% 33 0 ONLE 6 36 0 42 39 50.0% 3 0 ONLE 6 36 0 42 39 50.0% 3 0 ONLE 6 36 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ONGOM 18 139 1 155 147 38.9% 11 0 ONGOM 19 341 155 147 38.9% 11 0 ONGOM 19 351 57 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ONLE 6 36 0 42 39 50.0% 3 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 37 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ONLE 7 34 34 0 0 ONLUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 6.5% 43 0 ONLUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 6.5% 43 0 ONLUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 6.5% 43 0 ONLUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 6.5% 44 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #3 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #3 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #3 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ONLUSKY #3 16 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149	AHONING #2	27	189	173	389	255	396.3%	134	0
AHONING #5 6 123 0 129 114 150,0% 15 0 EIGS 37 194 0 231 185 24.3% 46 1 ONROE 1 45 0 46 38 700,0% 8 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 51.6% 50 2 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40,0% 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 -53.3% 7 0 ORROW 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 -23.3% 33 0 ORLE 6 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0 ORLE 6 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0 ORLE 6 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ORRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ORKE 34 307 0 341 228 55.9% 33 0 ORKE 34 307 0 341 228 242 242 242 242 242 242 242 242 242	AHONING #3	21	189	16	226	226	-100.0%	0	0
EIGS 37 194 0 231 185 24.3% 46 1 ONROE 1 45 0 46 38 700.0% 8 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 51.6% 50 2 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 -53.3% 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 -53.3% 7 0 ORGAN 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 -23.3% 33 0 OBLE 6 36 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0 OBLE 6 36 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0 OBLE 6 75 36 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 OKERY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 OKERY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 383 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 383 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 383 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 383 55.9% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 388 55.0% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 388 55.0% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 388 55.0% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 388 55.0% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 388 55.0% 53 0 OKE 34 307 0 341 388	AHONING #4	143	887	192	no neu marco eleberat i esta cente	economic administration in the control control and in the fire	的过去式和过去分词 医多性性 化二氯甲基磺基 化二氯甲基甲基苯基	and a contract the first terminal and a second	0
ONROE 1 45 0 46 38 700.0% 8 0 ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 31.6% 50 2 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 53.3% 7 0 ORROW 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 5555 -23.3% 33 0 USKINGUM 18 139 1 158 147 -38.9% 11 0 URRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 URRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 URRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 URRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 URRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 URRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 URDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 55.9% 53 0 UNDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 65.5% 43 0 UNDUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 UMBULL (E) 18 113 0 131 98 83.3% 33 0 UMBULL (E) 18 113 0 131 98 83.3% 33 0 UMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 UMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 32 6.6% 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 52 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 52 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 52 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 560 161 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 161 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 161 161 151 16.7% 10 0 URBULL (C) 49 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 16	the same that is a sign from the contract the same said	6	123	0	129	114	150.0%	15	0
ONTGOMERY #1 62 409 17 488 427 -1.6% 61 0 ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 51.6% 50 2 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 -53.3% 7 0 ORROW 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 -23.3% 33 0 OBLE 6 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0 OBLE 6 18 139 1 158 147 33.9% 11 0 ERRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ERRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 TITNAM 52 149 0 201 167 -34.6% 34 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 56.5% 43 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 56.5% 43 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ANDUSKY #1 46 131 3 2 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 USCARAWAS 112 305 0 417 275 26.8% 142 48 INTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0 ARREN 160 492 107 759 609 -6.3% 150 0	Control of the Contro	37	<ul> <li>Extension and the same</li> </ul>	and the second s	market and the second of the second of	to at the or to be and it has been a compared to the control	service and the contract of th	en kalanta kalandar balan kabupaten b	1
ONTGOMERY #2 38 226 14 278 228 51.6% 50 2 ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 -53.3% 7 0 ORGAN 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 -23.3% 33 0 OBLE 6 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0 OBLE 6 36 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 OBRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 OBRY 26 149 0 201 167 -34.6% 34 0 OBRY 27 149 0 201 167 -34.6% 34 0 OBRY 27 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY 27 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY 28 18 113 0 131 98 83.3% 33 0 OBRY 29 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY 20 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY 21 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY 21 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 131 3 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 OBRY #2 16 15 131 1 1 15 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Control of the Contro		and the second control of the second control	ngang kanang merupak panggan ang danggan panggan berata dan ang ber	per parameter medeleten in model minimizer	电温度电阻 化氯化甲烷基甲基甲烷基甲基甲烷	contract of the first property for redevice and the	the free transfer and the second	0
ONTGOMERY #3 5 49 9 63 56 40.0% 7 0 ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 +53.3% 7 0 ORROW 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 +23.3% 33 0 OBLE 6 36 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0 AULDING 18 139 1 155 147 -38.9% 11 0 ORRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ORRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ORRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ORRY 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0 ORRY 52 149 0 201 167 -34.6% 34 0 ORRY 52 149 0 201 167 -34.6% 34 0 ORRY 7 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ORRY 7 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ORRY 7 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ORRY 7 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 ORROW 19 161 32 242 198 810.2% 44 0 ORROW 19 161 32 242 198 10.2% 44 0 ORROW 19 161 31 151 16.7% 10 0 ORROW 19 161 151 16.7% 10 0	and the second of the contract	the first tipe of the contract to the state of the con-	and the second second second second				distribute the real of the or 1 through the		a salahtan diaka kecasa T
ORGAN 15 52 0 67 60 -53.3% 7 0 ORROW 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4 USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 -23.3% 33 0 OBLE 6 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 O OBLE 6 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0 ORROW 19 18 139 1 159 147 -38.9% 11 0 ORROW 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	and was the first that the second of the sec	e face and in the end of the property of participation of	an energy of a transfer of		And the state of t	promotion and a sum of the second	and the state of the state of the first of the recent of the re-	and the first first term of the second	District Services and Development of the Control of
DRROW 19 351 57 427 347 321.1% 80 4  USKINGUM 43 545 0 588 555 23.3% 33 0  DBLE 6 36 36 0 42 39 50.0% 3 0  ULDING 18 139 1 155 147 38.9% 11 0  RRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0  KE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0  FINAM 52 149 0 201 167 -34.6% 34 0  NDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 56.5% 45 0  NDUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0  UMBULL (B) 18 113 0 131 98 83.3% 33 0  UMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0  USCARAWAS 112 305 0 417 275 26.8% 142 48  NTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0  ARREN 160 492 107 759 609 -6.3% 156 0	计可提供 医多性皮囊 的复数电子电路 医克里特氏病的现在分词医皮肤	and the state of the state of the state of the state of		and the contract of the contra	And the second of the second of the second of the second		the contract the second of the second of the second of the second		and bearings to a departure
USKINGUM  43 545 0 588 555 -23,3% 33 0 DBLE 6 36 0 42 39 -50,0% 3 0  ULDING  18 139 1 158 147 38,9% 11  0 RRY 26 175 2 203 173 15,4% 30 0  KE 34 307 0 341 288 55,9% 53 0  TINAM 52 149 0 201 167 -34,6% 34 0  UNDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 56,5% 43 0  UNDUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6,3% 17 0  UMBULL (E) 18 113 0 131 98 83,3% 33 0  UMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10,2% 44 0  ISCARAWAS 112 305 0 417 275 26,8% 142 48  NTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16,7% 10 0  ARREN 160 492 107 759 509 -6,3% 150 0			\$1. 1. 1971 (1974年) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	are progressive and control of the c	ter to a control of the control of t	deut au vich lasses bedes viet uns ander 180 s	attention of commercial transfer to the second of the seco	a carrierate arabe signed con	Andrews Q
DBLE 6 36 36 0 42 39 -50.0% 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	and a might have industry restrict design notes making	interests the extra contract of the extra detailed an extra con-	and the second of the second	based at the contract about the best for each contract.	to the analysis of the second	A transport of the angle of the party of the party of the party	entitle in the accompanies of the contract of the property	AND RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS.	4
ACLIDING  18 139 1 158 147 -38.9% 11 0  ERRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0  KE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0  FINAM 52 149 0 201 167 -34.6% 34 0  INDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 6.5% 43 0  INDUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0  IMBULL (E) 18 113 0 131 98 83.3% 33 0  IUMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0  ISCARAWAS 112 305 0 417 275 26.8% 142 48  NTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0  ARREN 160 492 107 759 609 6.3% 150 0	3. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	entropy and any other physics and traffic and properties	\$ \$40 keeps on the contract of	entre contrata desergi e personal personale est	remainded to the property of the first of the	haustaterere dadelte i mener träffindet av haltsfriktig til det		tagas nya jirangkaran dangkar masa atau nya k	popularen i en pra in personantiren
RRY 26 175 2 203 173 15.4% 30 0   KE 34 307 0 341 288 55.9% 53 0   FINAM 52 149 0 201 167 -34.6% 34 0   INDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 6.5% 43 0   INDUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0   IUMBULL (E) 18 113 0 131 98 83.3% 33 0   IUMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0   ISCARAWAS 112 305 0 417 275 26.8% 142 48   INTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0   ARREN 160 492 107 759 609 6.3% 150 0	estudiada de como o tida estado por porta especial de decidado de la como contra porta de la como contra porta		at a discount of a late to the following		Committee of the control of the control of the control of		esanna attest testas sättibudti vättitti	A contract of the second of the contract of th	and the finite of their beat first beet and
KE         34         307         0         341         288         55,9%         53         0           ITNAM         52         149         0         201         167         -34.6%         34         0           INDUSKY #1         46         211         1         258         215         56.5%         43         0           NDUSKY #2         16         131         2         149         132         6.3%         17         0           UMBULL (B)         18         113         0         131         98         83.3%         33         0           UMBULL (C)         49         161         32         242         198         -10.2%         44         0           ISCARAWAS         112         305         0         417         275         26.8%         142         48           NTON         12         143         6         161         151         -16.7%         10         0           ARREN         160         492         107         759         509         -6.3%         150         0	nomina del de la compartició de la compartición de la compartición de la compartición de la compartición de la		endition of the state of the st	100 Carlos <b>A</b> 100 Carlos <b>A</b> 100 Carlos A 1	contribution for any reference of	Language of the content of the conte	and the second control of the second	has considered agreed and control of the information and the	A DESCRIPTION OF A STATE OF THE PROPERTY AND A STATE OF THE STATE OF T
TINAM	district the field of the property of the property of the contract of the property of the prop	er ann ann an traigh ann ann an Ann ann an an an Ann ann ann	CONTRACTOR AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY O			Annual Control of the	Control of the Contro		Application of the Parket State of the Control of the Parket State of the Control
NDUSKY #1 46 211 1 258 215 56.5% 43 0 NDUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0 NDUSKY #2 16 131 0 131 98 83.3% 33 0 NUMBULL (E) 18 113 0 131 98 83.3% 33 0 NUMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 NUMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 NUMBULL (C) 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0 ARREN 160 492 107 759 669 6.3% 150 0	and expension of restrictions which is the profession according about the restriction	Like a Differ in a refer to the control of the second and the second in the control of the contr	, igració e (especial narighera),	europatettu vastetta, etomatat talen autusta ti	nucometicate, ship prove startitist ner		ne nazet vedeskarana etakonekara bestaria	Control of the same street of a first trans-	and have were that the common and consequences for
NDUSKY #2 16 131 2 149 132 6.3% 17 0  UMBULL (E) 18 113 0 131 98 83,3% 33 0  UMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0  ISCARAWAS 112 305 0 417 275 26.8% 142 48  NTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0  ARREN 160 492 107 759 609 6.3% 150 0	A Secretary and one of the constraint and a	46	man it to be a region of the entire of the	<b>H</b> istory	Appelliance of the state of the other decision in the second				ha untransitate enceta e escala de ence.
RUMBULL (E)         18         113         0         151         98         83,3%         33         0           RUMBULL (C)         49         161         32         242         198         -10.2%         44         0           ISCARAWAS         112         305         0         417         275         26,8%         142         48           NTON         12         143         6         161         151         -16.7%         10         0           ARREN         160         492         107         759         609         -6.3%         150         0	see the companies of the control of the seed of	16	er av	2	149	tigen i station i station de la companyation de la		17	0
RUMBULL (C) 49 161 32 242 198 -10.2% 44 0 ISCARAWAS 112 305 0 417 275 26.8% 142 48 NTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0 ARRIEN 160 492 107 759 609 6.3% 150 0	经股票的 医乳腺性 医二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	18		Ō					
JSCARAWAS 112 305 0 417 275 26.8% 142 48 INTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0 ARREN 160 492 107 759 569 6.3% 150 0								a construction of the second	
NTON 12 143 6 161 151 -16.7% 10 0 ARREN 160 492 107 759 569 63% 150 0	ant a first of the color of the grant seeds in the standard color and the color								
ARREN 160 492 107 759 609 6.3% 150 0	NTON	control of the contro							
	ARREN		492	107	759			150	0
CATEWIDE 1,984 10,801 740 12,785 11,334 -26.9% 1,451 93				The second secon			The second secon		
ATEWIDE 1,984 10,801 740 12,785 11,334 -26.9% 1,451 93									
	ATEWIDE	1,984	10,801	740	12,785	11,334	-26.9%	1,451	93


### COUNTY COURTS O.M.V.I. TERMINATIONS 1992

COURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT		NO CONTEST NAL CHARGE REFEREE	GUILTY/NO CONTEST TO REDUCED	DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL	OTHER TE		UNAVAIL-	BANKRUPTC STAY/INTER LOCUTORY APPEAL		TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
COURT	INAL		<u> </u>	KEPEREE	——							
ADAMS	, j	1	169 217	0	49 12	<b>6</b> 0	22 8	0	5 1	0	1 18	254 258
ASHTABULA (E) ASHTABULA (W)	2 1	0	279	Ö	14	1	24	0	27	0	0	346
BELMONT (N) BELMONT (E)	0	25 0	215 243	0	82 0	0	10 11	1 0	3 0	0 <b>0</b>	0	336 254
BELMONT (W)	1 1	25 4	191 221	0 0	37 199	0 4	27 43	0 5	15 40	0 <b>0</b>	0 39	296 556
BROWN BUTLER #1	14	0	130	0	36	6	0	2	9	0	0	197
BUTLER #2 BUTLER #3	0 1	1 5	169 134	. 0	50 11	0 2	10 5	5 14	14 24	0	1 0	250 196
CARROLL	1	0	66		25	0	1	0	6	Ö.	0	107
COLUMBIANA (I-W) COLUMBIANA (E)	4 5	5 3	182 <b>146</b>	0 0	26 27	0	7 4	6 5 :	7 6	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	237 196
COLUMBIANA (SW) DARKE (NE)	0 4	1	146 109	0	14 0	0 <b>0</b>	3 7	7 0	10 4	0 0	0 0	181 127
DARKE (SW)	1	8	155	0	0	0	7	0	4	0	0	175
ERIE FULTON (E)	0 0	0 128	158 50	4 0	30 0	1 0	12 7	0 13	12 16	0 0	0 9	217 223
FULTON (W) HARRISON	1 0	45 0	56 41	0	1 12	0 6	<b>34</b> 7	0	6 3	0	11 0	154 69
HIGHLAND	1,1	2	74	0	1	Ö	19	0.	- 5°	0	19	121
HOLMES JEFFERSON #1	3 0	22 50	97 136	0	0 39	0	1 12	1 7	2 0	0	0 0	126 244
JEFFERSON #2	1	1	207	0	71	1	5 <b>5</b>	4 0	3 0	0 0	0 0	293 81
JEFFERSON #3 MAHONING #2	0	0 30	49 201	0 0	26 2	0	4	0	18	0	0	255
MAHONING #3 MAHONING #4	"0 1	146 493	-75 146	0	1 45	<b>0</b> 0	1 10	0 5 1	2 154	0	1 7	226 857
MAHONING #5	0	84	24	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	114
MEIGS MONROE	1 4	1 13	155 21	0	5 0	0	23 0	0   0	0	0 0	0	185 38
MONTGOMERY #1 MONTGOMERY #2	0	2 4	168 146	0 <b>0</b>	180 <b>54</b>	0 0	35 6	0	41 17	0 0	1 0	427 228
MONTGOMERY #3	1	0	33	0	12	0	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	0	3 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000	0	0	56
MORGAN MORROW	1 2	3 0	46 266	0	- 3 48	3 0	-   1   1   14	2 0	1 5	0	0 12	60 347
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	1 0	2 0	532 34	0	20 0	<b>0</b> %	0 2	0   0	0	<b>0</b> 0	0	555 39
PAULDING	0	0	120	0	26	0	1	0		0	(1) (1) <b>(0</b> )	147
PERRY PIKE	3 2	3 0	134 221	0	5 29	0	12 <b>35</b>	1 0	15 0	0	0	173 288
PUTNAM SANDUSKY #1	1 0	4 • 0	108	0 <b>0</b>	24	0 1	13 0	0 1	0 11	0 0	17 0	167
SANDUSKY #2	0	1	182 93	0	20 17	3	11	**************************************	5	0	1	215 132
TRUMBULL (E) TRUMBULL (C)	0 0	3 0	74 93	0 0	11 55	1 0	<b>3</b> 6	0 19	. 5 25	0	1 0	98 198
TUSCARAWAS	0	0	222	0	32	1	10	0	0	0	10	275
VINTON WARREN	'0 3	19 <b>217</b>	114 192	0 <b>0</b>	0 114	0 0	9 38	5 0	4 43	0 0	0 2	151 609
				The second secon								
STATEWIDE	63	1,354	7,040	12	1,470	41	532	100	572	0	150	11,334

#### COUNTY COURTS - OMVI CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS 1988 - 1992



#### COUNTY COURTS - OTHER TRAFFIC CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



# COUNTY COURTS OTHER TRAFFIC OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIME GUIDELINES
ADAMS	61	2,784	7	2,852	2,524	437.7%	328	0
ASHTABULA (E) ASHTABULA (W)	200 86	2,321 2,407	20 0	2,541 2,493	2,412 2,217	-35.5% 220.9%	129 27 <b>6</b>	0 3
BELMONT (N)	157	3,157	######################################	3,321	3,031	84.7%	290	0
BELMONT (E)	210	2,596	0	2,806	2,672	-36,2%	134	, , , 0
BELMONT (W) BROWN	498 1,634	5,495 5,931	0 38	5,993 7,603	5,712 	-43.6% -83.4%	281 272	0
BUILER #1	107	3,809	2	3,918	3,776	32.7%	142	0
BUTLER #2	389 105	4,661	1	5,051	4,619	11.1%	432 150	0
BUTLER #3 CARROLL	125 33	4,609 713	0 4	4,734 750	4,582 720	21.6% -9.1%	152 30	0
COLUMBIANA (NW)	223	1,198	0	1,421	1,340	-63.7%	<b>81</b>	0
COLUMBIANA (E)	443	3,195	26	3,664	3,543	-72.7%	121	0
COLUMBIANA (SW) DARKE (NE)	180 1 <b>03</b>	1,801 2,086	0 0	1,981 2,189	1,909 2,189	-60.0% -100.0%	72 0	0 0
DARKE (SW)	128	1,735	0	1,863	1,863	-100.0%	0	0
ERUE	232	10,354	597	11,183	10,926	10,8%	257	0
FULTON (E) FULTON (W)	433 384	5,924 6,690	25 507	6,382 7,581	5,898 7,085	11.8% 29.2%	484 496	0
HARRISON	47	1,143	0	1,190	1,080	134.0%	110	0
HIGHLAND	6	203	34	243	233	66.7%	10	0
HOLMES TEFFERSON #1	38 45	1,607 2,327	30 0	1,675 2,372	1,657 2,841	-52.6% -31.1%	18 <b>31</b>	0 0
EFFERSON #2	64	3,097	0	3,161	3,099	-3.1%	<b>62</b>	0
EFFERSON #3	51	628	0	679	627	2,0%	52	0
MAHONING #2 MAHONING #3	1,328 21 <b>6</b>	5,778 2,358	484 212	7,590 2,786	5,916 2,497	26.1% 33.8%	1,674 289	0 0
MAHONING #4	1,139	12,433	577	14,149	12,925	7.5%	1,224	0
MAHONING #5	72	6,313	0	6,385	6,290	31.9%	95	0
MEIGS MONROE	274 22	2,114 1,072	21 0	2,409 1,094	2,056 1,076	28.8% -18.2%	353 18	28 0
MONTGOMERY #1	81 <b>7</b>	11,333	418	12,568	12,100	-42.7%	468	4
MONTGOMERY #2	79	4,018	163	4,260	4,130	64,6%	130	0;
MONTGOMERY #3 MORGAN	39 6	1,586 130	57 0	1,682 136	1,662 132	-48.7% -33.3%	20 4	0 <b>0</b>
MORROW	208	10,452	1,339	11,999	11,636	74.5%	363	34
MUSKINGUM	544	7,772	0 10	8,316	8,029	-47.2%	287	0
NOBLE PAULDING	10 <b>70</b>	922 2,352	0 4	932 2,426	928 2,380	-60,0% -34,3%	4 46	0
PERRY	38	960	18	1,016	992	-36.8%	24	0
PIKE	174	2,985	<b>q</b>	3,159	2,938	27.0%	221	0
PUTNAM SANDUSKY #1	230 559	2,048 4,393	0 591	2,278 5,543	1,893 5,335	67.4% -62.8%	385 208	0
SANDUSKY #2	238	4,967	763	5,968	5,733	-1.3%	235	0
TRUMBULL (E)	61	1,098	0	1,159	1,063	57,4%	96	2
IRUMBULL (C) IUSCARAWAS	149 <b>319</b>	1,768 2,851	84 <b>0</b>	2,001 3,170	1,876 2,785	-16.1% 20.7%	125 385	0 103
VINTON	48	2,651 1,492		1,545	1,508	-22.9%	37	0
	met suture se reterene unimeter detector en la com-	product to consider the content of the	entroperation and the contract of the contract	ik net der 1986 begende betallt der bei		Name (Belon auto completible), descubble 3	4 RECORD DESIGNATION DE CONTRACTOR DE CONTRA	BEORDSOCKSPROPILIONSOL
WARREN	366	6,305	1,466	8,137	7,343	116.9%	794	Ü
WARREN	366	6,305	1,466	8,137	7,343	116.9%	794	<b>Q</b>

## COUNTY COURTS OTHER TRAFFIC TERMINATIONS 1992


COURT			COURT		/NO CONTES	GE CONTES	TTO FOR	MISSAL LACK OF		VIOLA- TIONS BUREAU	TRANS- FERRED OUT	UNAVAIL-	BANKRUPTC STAY/INTER LOCUTORY APPEAL	- OTHER
					-				· ——	Share or property restrict	uccounatoros anti		efected, addressed redefence	hino februalisamatulone!
ADAMS ASHTABULA (E)	i di di	0	19 15	277 623		<b>0</b>	5 13	<b>0</b>	20° 388	2,165 1,331	0	104 3	0	215 39
ASHTABULA (W)		Ö	0	954			13	6	397	734	Ö	113	0	0
BELMONT (N) BELMONT (E)		0	26 0	389 623	and the second s	0 0:	5 0	1 0	310 155	2,085 200	5 0	210 1,694	0	0
BELMONT (W)	PARE CONTRACTOR	1	44	478		0	18	0	1,233	3,061	0	877	0	0
BROWN BUTLER #1		0 17	<b>32</b> 0	541 997	[소급수기학급도] 기급수는 나는 나는 학습니다. 그 기는 속는 지원 수는 학생들이 되는 기본 기본 기본	1,8,4,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	13 63	2 140	50 2	6,227 2,470	l 13	462 74	0	3 0
BUTLER #2		<b>:</b> 0	3	1,290		0	37	2	<b>                     </b>	3,119	14	96	0	0
BUTLER #3 CARROLL		0	43 11	1,742 254	and in processing process between the design		41 17	23 0	61 6	2,599 421	10 0	62 11	0	1 0
COLUMBIANA (N	<b>V</b> )	1	10	511		0	3	1	131	613	8	62	0	0
COLUMBIANA (E) COLUMBIANA (SV	un	1 0	17 5	590 719	n, personal in the meny time religionships	0 0	0	0	69 63	2,651 1,088	13 22	202 11	. <b>0</b>	0 0
DARKE (NE)		Ö	45	575	ATTACABLE TO SERVER STATE	0	Ô	1	390	1,172	Ō,	ĺ	0	Ö'
DARKE (SW) ERIE	5-85-85-85-85-85-85-85-85-85-85-85-85-85	6 0	56 42	507 4,165		0 <b>0</b>	0 7	0 <b>0</b>	399 531	876 4,841	0 i	18 1,339	0	1 0
FULTON (E)		1	169	1,080		0	1	1	130	3,367	32	1,113	0	4
FULTON (W) HARRISON		0	96 0	188 86	ed Albrech British of the effort prices	0 0	0 4	0 8	367 37	5,875 888	1 1	534 56	0	24 0
HIGHLAND		0	5	116	para para partendra di periodi per para di	0	0	0	24	74	0	9	2	3
HOLMES JEFFERSON #1	Gerbole Gustes Stock	2	4	661	Appropriate and account to the second section of the second secon	0	0	0 <b>0</b>	7 	932 0	6 0	43 0	2 0	0 0
JEFFERSON #2		0	0 11	2,341 324	<ul> <li>Description of the settlement of the</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> 0	. <b>0</b> 5	4	28	2,725	1	1	0	0
JEFFERSON #3		0	0	165		0	1	0	13	431	0	17	0	Ö
MAHONING #2 MAHONING #3		0	233 426	2,548 769		0 0	0	0	27 3	2,970 1,206	0 67	138 7	0	0 18
MAHONING #4	nadarahasasasas	1	1,324	2,635		0	80	0	884	7,197	0	389	0	415
MAHONING #5 MEIGS		. 0 . 1	76 12	<b>3,9</b> 79	teramination in the contract of the strain o	0	14 16	0	0 99	2,213 1,406	0	8 1	0	0 119
MONROE		8	61	330	)	0	0	0	10	667	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY #1 MONTGOMERY #2	and the proper dependence of the person of the	0	42 35	3,643 1, <b>3</b> 94	and and distance delice in the late to an expectation		.07 .04	5 2	839 161	6,573 2,218	30 0	717 215	0 <b>0</b>	44 1
MONTGOMERY #3	edeli prove renerveni en renormali intere a venere	0	10	870	)	0	23	5	66	642	0	46	0	0
MORGAN MORROW		0 0	2 29	32 575		0 2	0 206	0 14	6 359	90 8,763	0	/\ 2 1,488	0	0 202
MUSKINGUM		0	61	738	<b>i</b>	Ö	4	0	3	7,223	i i o	0	0	Ó
NOBLE PAULDING		0 0	0 4	175 262	Andread - Anna to a large transit and a	0 <b>0</b>	0 9	3 1	51 7	699 2,003	0	0 89	0 <b>5</b>	0
PERRY		2	17	258	3	0	3	0	98	555	0	59	0	0
PIKE PUINAM		2 0	18 4	1,782 500		0 0	7 12	1 0	427 269	666 1,104	0	35 0	0	0
SANDUSKY #1		Ö	3				16	1	269 47	3,993	Ŏ	808	7	36
SANDUSKY #2 TRUMBULL (E)		0	6 1	327 307		0	21 3	22 4	124 29	4,340 676	1	892 42	0 0	0
TRUMBULL (C)		. 0	3	482	2	0	5	0	52	1,208	48	72	6	0
TUSCARAWAS VINTON		0	en de commune de la commune de	Exception Court of Albanda Court of Ballion	ide bede de bereinde vird en reindebeliefe de serviciteite	0 0	26 0	3 0	83 328	1,828 948	18 3	89 41	0	51 0
WARREN		0	15 <b>356</b>	173 <b>6,10</b> 7			31	Ö	328 68	948	3 15	41 591	0	
						- Andrew Purpose Str.					and the second state of the	The second secon		
STATEWIDE		43	3,391	49,595	5	3 1,0	)35	250	8,909	109,304	310	12,846	22	1,182

## COUNTY COURTS PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE OVERALL CASELOADS 1992


COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDI BEYOND TIN GUIDELINE
<b>DAMS</b>		14	0	15	11	300.0%	4	0
ASHTABULA (E)	5 extreme som fathevaltasine	1	0 Declaración de la Maria de la Companya de la Compa	6	5 0	-80.0%	i Siesaaniansisiaaaa	0
ASHTABULA (W)	0	0	0	0 8	0 5	0.0% -25.0%	0 3	0
BELMONT (N) BELMONT (E)		4 6	0	12	10	-25.0 A	2	felt men der ent homesteren fruger
ELMONT (W)	0	0	0	0	0 .	0.0%	0	0
ROWN	8	13	3	24	16	0.0%		0
UTLER #1	8	7	0	15	14 3	-87.5% 0.0%	1	0 <b>0</b>
FUTLER #2 FUTLER #3	0	3 0	0	4 0	<b>3</b> 0	0.0% 0.0%	0	C
ARROLL	0	. 0	Ö	0	Ö	0.0%	Ŏ.	ō
OLUMBIANA (NW)	3	22	0	25	16	200.0%	9	0
OLUMBIANA (E)	1	9	0	10	7	200.0%	3	0
OLUMBIANA (SW) ARKE (NE)	<b>7</b> Historian (1886)	13 9	O San San San San San San San San San San	20 18	14 18	-14.3% -100.0%	6 0	0 0
ARKE (NE) ARKE (SW)	7	9	0	16	16 15	-85.7%	1	0
RIE	Ó	7	Ō	7	5-51-51-51-51-30-X			0
ULTON (E)	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
ULTON (W)	0	0	o o	0	Ŏ	0.0%	0	o o
IARRISON IGHLAND		3 7	0 0	4 7	2 6	100.0%	2 •	0
IOHLAND IOLMES	0	0	0	0 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (1	0	0.0%	0	0
FFERSON #1	Ŏ	ō	0	, '	0	0.0%	Ō	0
EFFERSON #2	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	O	0
EFFERSON #3	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
IAHONING #2 IAHONING #3	2	35 3	17 2	54 5	45 2	350.0%	9 3	0
IAHONING #3 IAHONING #4	4	26	3	33	30	-25.0%	3	У О
IAHONING #5	11	49	0	60	45	36.4%	15	0
ŒŒ	14	9	0	23	16	-50.0%	7	0
IONROE	Ō	. 0	0	0	0	0.0%	Ò	0
IONTGOMERY #1 IONTGOMERY #2	5 13	2 41	0	7 55	6 38	-80.0% 30.8%	1 17	0
IONTGOMERY #3	3	18		22.	<b></b>	66.7%	5	* 0
IORGAN	2	3	Ō	5	4	-50.0%	i	0
IORROW	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	O	O
IUSKINGUM	0	O.	0	0 9	Q ,	0.0%	0	0
OBLE AULDING	5 4	4 1	0		6 5	-40.0% -100.0%	3 0	0
ERRY	11	14	76, 98, 694 (1944 - 1940 <b>)</b> <b>3</b>	28	25	-72.7%	3	0
IKE	10	22	Ō	32	22	0,0%	10	0
UTNAM	0	0		0	0	0.0%	0	0
ANDUSKY #1 Andusky #2	2	5	0	7	7	-100.0% -50.0%	0 .	0
ANDUSKY #2 RUMBULL (E)	2	4 3	0 0	6	5 <b>5</b>	-30.0% -66.7%	1 1	0
RUMBULL (C)	3	9	O CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	12	8	33.3%	4	0
USCARAWAS	15	1		16	14	-86.7%	2	0
INTON	0	0	O	0	<b>0</b> Anti-sastinescapitati⊈tante	0.0%	0	O resilence assertation
VARREN	13	21	0	34	19	15,4%	15	0

## COUNTY COURTS PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE TERMINATIONS 1992

OURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT TRIAL	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	HEARING BY	DISMISSAL FOR WANT ( PROSECUTIO	OF DISMI	s- Ferred	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLO UTORY APPEA		TERMI ATION
DAMS	0	0	7	0	0	Q.	Ŏ	0	0	A.	
SHTABULA (E) SHTABULA (W)	0   0	0	0	0 0	0	1	. O	0   • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0	3 0	
ELMONT (N)	0	0	5 7	0	0 0	) <b>:</b>		0 .0	0	0 0	
ELMONT (E) ELMONT (W)	0 0	0	0	0	0	)	or this property of the Character and the Character was	0	0	0	ugo na mpin pun a securitari
ROWN	0	2	5	0	0	(	Batistia beteste pata tate tata Batistia totalia conferenciale	0	() 0	3 0	
utler#1 utler#2	3 0	0	8 2	0 • 0	3 0		Ö	Ö	Ů.	ŏ	
UTLER #3	0	0	0 6	0 . 0	0 <b>0</b>		) 0 ) 0	0	0 0	0 0	
ARROLL OLUMBIANA (NW)	0 0	, <b>0</b> 0	PRESIDENCE AND AND A SECOND CO.	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
OLUMBIANA (E)	0 0	0 0	service and constraining to	<b>0</b> 0	0		i 0 i 0	0	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	
OLUMBIANA (SW) ARKE (NE)	0	1	on the collection and reference to the set and the		Ö	per produkt, sprekolapiterte	, 5 0	i i i	Ö	0	
ARKE (SW) NE	0	1	7 2	0 <b>0</b>	0	decided and but not set	5 0 ) 0	0	1 <b>0</b>	0 0	
ILTON (E)	0	0	0	0	0	(	) 0	0	0	0	aranan
ILTON (W) ARRISON	် ဂု 0	0	togram in the contract of the	<b>0</b> 0	0		) 0 L 0	0	0.	0	
GHLAND	. 0	o Q	models by a consist best hiddel	san a san a tanàn ao ao amin'ny faritr'i San	0	from stated at after a country than the fact and a	20	0	0	0	
DLMES FFERSON #1	0 	0	contact the above of the early materials.	0 0	0		) 0 ) 0	0	0	0	
FFERSON #2	0	0	0	0	0	eceptal bless carrie	) 0	0	0	0	
FFERSON #3 AHONING #2	0 0	0 4	ter fill to be a personal contract to the	0	0 0	10	0 0 0 0	<b>0</b>	<b>Q</b> 0	0 2	er statestiffelije betr
AHONING #3	0	0	and attended to the test of the decision of the	0	0		)          i	0	0.	0	
AHONING #4 AHONING #5	1 0	16 30	huntered transference contents of	0	0	10	0 0 0 0	0 0	0	0	to at toronous time in the
EIGS	0	0	14	0	0		2 0	0	0	0	
DNROE ONTGOMERY #1	0	0	ide idea condicate de caractería de la defenda de constituir de con-	0	0 3	are construence and the construence and the	) 0 1 0	0 0	0	0 1	435565
ONTGOMERY #2	0.0	2	28	0	0		8 0		0	0	#04046944.4P+578-4TY1.4A
ONTGOMERY #3 ORGAN	0 0	1 0	A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		1 0		3 0 0 0	0	0 0	0 0	
ORROW	0	0	0	0	0	- consequence of the second second	0 0	0	0	0	
USKINGUM DBLE	0 0	0	a december of the second of th	tantan tanah di barana kantar di masa tahun barah barah di sa	<b>0</b> 1	efulfare i fatetieren har en	0 0 3 0	0	0 0	0 0	nation of the second
KULDING	Ö	0	. 2	ő	0,0	province responds contract districtions	3 0	hapetern contents in contents to a particular state to the	0	0	lada ay isang kang ay kalawatan 1950
RRY KE	0 0	2			0	1	1 0 3 0	0 1	0 1	0 0	
JTNAM	0	0	0	0	0	i provincia de la companio de la co	0 0	0	0	0	
NDUSKY #1 NDUSKY #2	0	Ő	4	0 0	0		1 0	0	<b>0</b> 0	0	
KOMBULL (E)		Ö	3	Ŏ	, j, j j		2 0	0	0	je j	
RUMBULL (C) JSCARAWAS	0	0	3 2	0 0	2 0		3 0 4 0	0	0 0	0	
NTON ARREN		0 <b>0</b>	0		0		0 0	0	· 0	0	
oned	- <b> </b>		, , , , , , , , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , </u>		9		2	antita di N		water en de la V	



#### COUNTY COURTS - CONTRACT CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



## COUNTY COURTS CONTRACTS OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

ADAMS ASHTABULA (E) ASHTABULA (W) BELMONT (N) BELMONT (N) BELMONT (E) BELMONT (W) BUTLER #1 64 BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #3 55 CARROLL COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (F) COLUMBIANA (F) COLUMBIANA (F) FOLOUMBIANA (F) FOLOUMBI	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL. TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIM GUIDELINES
ASHTABULA (E) 42 ASHTABULA (W) 93 BELMONT (N) 43 BELMONT (E) 38 BELMONT (E) 38 BELMONT (W) 38 BEROWN 28 BUTLER #1 64 BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #3 55 CARROLL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (SW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 JEFFERSON #1 10 JEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MOROBLE 5 PAULDING 9 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 TRUMBULL (C) 35 TUSCARAWAS 37 VINTON 0	90		110	88	15.8%	22	0
ASHTABULA (W) 93 BELMONT (N) 43 BELMONT (E) 38 BELMONT (E) 38 BELMONT (W) 38 BEROWN 28 BUTLER #1 64 BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #3 55 CARROLL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (E) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 PULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 JEFFERSON #1 10 JEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 143 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 TUSCARAWAS 15 TITUMBULL (C) 15 TITUGCARAWAS 17 VINTON 0	124	6	172	139	-21.4%	33	0
BELMONT (E) 38 BELMONT (W) 38 BEROWN 28 BUTLER #1 64 BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #3 55 CARROLL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (SW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 JEFFERSON #1 10 JEFFERSON #2 42 JEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 65 MORGOW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 PAULDING 9 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 TRUMBULL (C) 35 TUSCARAWAS 37 VINTON 0	208	0	301	193	16.1%	108	0
BELMONT (W) 38 BROWN 28 BUTLER #1 64 BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #3 55 CARROLL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (SW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 JEFFERSON #1 10 JEFFERSON #2 42 JEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 65 MORGOW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORGOW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 PAULDING 9 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 25 TITRUMBULL (C) 35 TUSCARAWAS 37 VINTON 0	78	0	121	80	-4.7%	41	0
BROWN 28 BUTLER #1 64 BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #3 55 CARROLL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (NW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 JEFFERSON #1 10 JEFFERSON #2 42 JEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 143 MORGAN 65 MORGA	64	0	102	70	-15.8%	32	0
BUTLER #1 64 BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #3 55 CARROIL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (SW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 JEFFERSON #1 10 JEFFERSON #2 42 JEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 NOBLE 5 PAULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PPUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 ITRUMBULL (C) 35 ITUSCARAWAS 37 VINTON 0	89		127	101.	-31.6%	<b>26</b>	0
BUTLER #2 11 BUTLER #3 55 CARROLL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (SW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 ERIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 DEFFERSON #1 10 DEFFERSON #2 42 DEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 M	24 99	4 0	56 163	46 127	-64.3% -43.8%	10 36	0.
BUTLER #3 55 CARROLL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (SW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 DEFFERSON #1 10 DEFFERSON #2 42 EFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 IRUMBULL (C) 35 ITUSCARAWAS VINTON 0	33	ale som standersking	44	38	-45,5%	6	Ö
CARROLL 0 COLUMBIANA (NW) 246 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (SW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 JEFFERSON #1 10 JEFFERSON #2 42 JEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MUSK	152	0	207	146	10.9%	61	0
COLUMBIANA (E) 97 COLUMBIANA (SW) 11 DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 IEFFERSON #1 10 IEFFERSON #2 42 IEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONROE 0 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 IRUMBULL (C) 35 IRUMBULL (C) 35 IRUSCARAWAS VINTON 0	7	0	7.	. 4		3 30	ō
COLUMBIANA (SW) 11  DARKE (NE) 61  DARKE (SW) 115  ERIE 0  FULTON (E) 0  FULTON (W) 1  HARRISON 25  HIGHLAND 7  HOLMES 28  IEFFERSON #1 10  IEFFERSON #2 42  IEFFERSON #3 18  MAHONING #2 22  MAHONING #3 14  MAHONING #4 29  MAHONING #4 29  MONTGOMERY #1 142  MONTGOMERY #1 143  MORGAN 6  MORGOW 25  MUSKINGUM 65  PERRY 9  PIKE 63  PUTNAM 47  SANDUSKY #1 24  SANDUSKY #1 24  SANDUSKY #1 24  SANDUSKY #1 15  IFRUMBULL (C) 35  FUSCARAWAS  VINTON 0	742	0	988	771	-11.8%	217	0
DARKE (NE) 61 DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 HEFFERSON #1 10 HEFFERSON #2 42 HEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 65 MORGOW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORGE 5 PAULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 IRUMBULL (C) 35 ITUSCARAWAS VINTON 0	109	0	206	170	-62.9%	36	0
DARKE (SW) 115 ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 HEFFERSON #1 10 HEFFERSON #2 42 HEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORGAN 6 MORGW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 PUTNAM 65 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 HRUMBULL (C) 35 FUSCARAWAS 37 FUNTON 0	78	0	89	67	100.0%	22	0
ERIE 0 FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 HEFFERSON #1 10 HEFFERSON #2 42 HEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 PAULIDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 65 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 25 SANDUSKY #1 25 SANDUSKY #1 25 SANDUSKY #1 15 STRUMBULL (C) 35 STUSCARAWAS 37	138	0	199	161	-37.7%	38	Ō
FULTON (E) 0 FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 HEFFERSON #1 10 HEFFERSON #2 42 HEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MORROW 25	188 10	1 0	304 10	253	-55.7%	51 6	0
FULTON (W) 1 HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 HEFFERSON #1 10 HEFFERSON #2 42 HEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 NOBLE 5 PAULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 POTATION 47	**************************************	Δ	10	4 0	0.0%	0	0
HARRISON 25 HIGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 HEFFERSON #1 10 HEFFERSON #2 42 HEFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 NOBLE 5 PAULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 IRUMBULL (C) 35 ITUSCARAWAS 37 VINTON 0	ka Balana	1485.1725 <b>0</b> 315.4	2		-100.0%	ŏ	ŏ
#IGHLAND 7 HOLMES 28 #EFFERSON #1 10 #EFFERSON #2 42 #EFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MAHONING #5 40 MONROE 0 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 15 FRUMBULL (C) 35 FUSCARAWAS VINTON 0	- 58	0	83	68	-40.0%	15	0
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	29	2	38	33	-28,6%	5	0
EFFERSON #2 42 EFFERSON #3 18 MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 252 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MUSKINGUM 65 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 25 ERUMBÜLL (E) 15 ERUMBÜLL (C) 35 ERUSCARAWAS 37 FUNTON 0	79	10	117	100	-39.3%	17	0
EFFERSON #3   18 MAHONING #2   22 MAHONING #3   14 MAHONING #3   14 MAHONING #4   29 MAHONING #5   17 MEIGS   40 MONROE   0 MONTGOMERY #1   142 MONTGOMERY #2   52 MONTGOMERY #3   8 MORGAN   6 MORROW   25 MUSKINGUM   65 MUSKINGUM	61	0	71	66	-50,0%	5	0
MAHONING #2 22 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONROE 0 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #1 252 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MUSKINGUM	74	0	116	93	-45.2%	<b>23</b> delenate Vice de <b>e</b> de la comp	O Secretaria de la responsa a
MAHONING #3 14 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONROE 0 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 66 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 PERRY 9 PERRY 9 PERRY 9 PERRY 9 PERRY 9 PERRY 9 PERRY 12 PERRY 15 PONTAM 47 PANDUSKY #1 24 PANDUSKY #1 24 PANDUSKY #1 24 PANDUSKY #1 15 PERUMBULL (I) 15 PERUMBULL (II) 15 PERUMBULL (II) 15 PERUMBULL (III) 15 PERUMBULL (IIII) 15 PERUMBULL (IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	36	0		46	-55,6%	8	O O
MAHONING #4 29 MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONROE 0 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 PAULDING 2 PERRY 9 PUTNAM 47 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 24 SANDUSKY #1 25 ERUMBULL (C) 35 FUSCARAWAS 37 VINTON 0	524 113	145 12	691 139	608 139	277.3% -100.0%	83 0	0
MAHONING #5 17 MEIGS 40 MONROE 0 MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 NOBLE 5 PAULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 MANDUSKY #1 24 MANDUSKY #1 24 MANDUSKY #2 15 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUSCARAWAS 37 MINTON 0	349	99	477	457	-31.0%	20	0
MEIGS       40         MONROB       0         MONTGOMERY #1       142         MONTGOMERY #2       52         MONTGOMERY #3       8         MORGAN       6         MORROW       25         MUSKINGUM       65         NOBLE       5         PAULDING       2         PERRY       9         PIKE       63         PUTNAM       47         SANDUSKY #1       24         SANDUSKY #2       15         TRUMBULL (E)       15         RUMBULL (C)       35         TUSCARAWAS       37         /INTON       0	188	Ö	205	188	0,0%	Ŋ	Ö
MONTGOMERY #1 142 MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 AULDING 2 PERRY 9 PUTNAM 47 MANDUSKY #1 24 MANDUSKY #1 24 MANDUSKY #2 15 CRUMBULL (C) 35 CRUMBULL (C) 35 CRUSCARAWAS 37 MINTON 0	70	1	111	68	7.5%	43	0
MONTGOMERY #2 52 MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORGOW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 NOBLE 5 MULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 NANDUSKY #1 24 NANDUSKY #1 24 NANDUSKY #1 15 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUSCARAWAS 37 /INTON 0	0	O .	• • 0	0	0.0%	0	0
MONTGOMERY #3 8 MORGAN 6 MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 MOBLE 5 MULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 MANDUSKY #1 24 MANDUSKY #1 24 MANDUSKY #2 15 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUMBULL (C) 35 RUSCARAWAS 37 MINTON 0	283	4 Jeses anderes aerbankskingskape by 1	429	350	-44.4%	79	1
MORGAN 6 MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 NOBLE 5 PAULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 NANDUSKY #1 24 NANDUSKY #1 15 CRUMBULL (E) 15 CRUMBULL (C) 35 TUSCARAWAS 37 FINTON 0	268	3	323	259	34.6%	70	
MORROW 25 MUSKINGUM 65 NOBLE 5 PAULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 PANDUSKY #1 24 PANDUSKY #1 15 PRUMBULL (E) 15 PRUMBULL (C) 35 PUSCARAWAS 37 PUTNON 0	38 27	1 <b>63</b>	47 <b>96</b>	40 <b>90</b>	-12.5% 0.0%	7 6	0
#USKINGUM 65 NOBLE 5 #AULDING 2 PERRY 9 PIKE 63 **UTNAM 47 **ANDUSKY #1 24 **ANDUSKY #2 15 **RUMBULL (E) 15 **RUMBULL (C) 35 **TUSCARAWAS 37 **INTON 0	125	3	1 <i>5</i> 3	7 <b>4</b> 5	-68.0%	8	0
VOBLE	231	ő	296	241	-15,4%	<b>5</b> 5	Ö
PERRY 9 PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 PANDUSKY #1 24 PANDUSKY #2 15 PRUMBULL (E) 15 PRUMBULL (C) 35 PRUSCARAWAS 37 PINTON 0	13	2	20	13	40.0%	7	0
PIKE 63 PUTNAM 47 PANDUSKY #1 24 PANDUSKY #2 15 PRUMBULL (E) 15 PRUMBULL (C) 35 PRUSCARAWAS 37 PINTON 0	į <b>0</b>	0	2	2	-100.0%	0	0
PUTNAM 47 ANDUSKY #1 24 ANDUSKY #2 15 TRUMBULL (E) 15 TRUMBULL (C) 35 TUSCARAWAS 37 /INTON 0	24		<b>33</b>	32 ortudas accusada natracio. Moc	-88.9%	1 Contractions and the contraction of the contracti	0
ANDUSKY #1 24 ANDUSKY #2 15 TRUMBULL (B) 15 TRUMBULL (C) 35 TUSCARAWAS 37 INTON 0	92	0	155	102	-15,9%	53	Ŏ,
SANDUSKY #2 15 IRUMBULL (B) 15 IRUMBULL (C) 35 IUSCARAWAS 37 //INTON 0	60 71	0	107 oc	88 <b>9</b> 0	-59.6% \$7.5%	19 1 <b>5</b>	0
TRUMBULL (E) 15 TRUMBULL (C) 35 TUSCARAWAS 37 JINTON 0	71 40	0	79 55	80 38	-37,5% 13.3%	15 17	0
TRUMBULL (C) 35 TUSCARAWAS 37 INTON 0	75		90	62	86.7%	28	i i
TUSCARAWAS 37 //INTON 0	88	3 -	126	101	-28.6%	25	0
	215	0	252	222	-18.9%	. 30	Ó
WARREN 103	0		O	O cousebeteirescumbomomomom	0.0%		0
	184	, I	288	222	-35.9%	66	0, 1
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
OTA MENTANDE		200	9 516	- 100	in the	1 400	
STATEWIDE 1,867	5,649	361	7,516	6,407	-40.6%	1,109	3

## COUNTY COURTS CONTRACTS TERMINATIONS 1992

OURT	JURY TRIAL	COURT	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	DEFAULT HEARING BY REFEREE	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	OTHER DISMIS- SALS	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTC STAY/INTERLO UTORY APPEA	OC- TERMIN-	TOTAL TERMIN ATIONS
DAMS	0	0	171	0	1	Ö	0	Ö	<b>o</b>	16	contraction and detection to be a page
SHTABULA (E) SHTABULA (W)	0	2	80 129	0 0	8 0	28 <b>63</b>	1 0	0	5 0	15 0	
ELMONT (N)	0	0	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
ELMONT (E)	0	0 0	64 97	υ 0	0	6 0	- 0 1	<b>0</b> 0	0 3	0	
ELMONT (W) ROWN	0 1	2	20	0	0	12	2		0	9	
JTLER #1	3	0	69	0	53	0 6	2	0	0	0	and the second second and the second
JTLER #2 JTLER #3	0	<b>3</b> 8	21 80	0	<b>3</b> 7	42	4	<b>0</b> 0	3	5	- afaltodate residential assessment
ARROLL	0	1,	1	0	0	2	0	0	0,	0	
OLUMBIANA (NW) OLUMBIANA (E)	0	0	620 126	0	0	145 38	6 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	the first state to the contract the
DLUMBIANA (SW)	0	0	55	0	0	7	5	0	0	C	rusumpaan param 
ARKE (NE) ARKE (SW)	0	. <b>5</b> 9	122 190	0	0	32 51	0	<b>0</b>	2 3	0	the state of the state of the
ee (Sw)	o i	1	190	0	Ö	2.	0	0	0		化二硫磺胺 医皮肤 医医皮皮性 化二氯
JLTON (E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	( (1)	The second course his way
ILTON (W) ARRISON	0 0	0	1 53	0' 0	0 1	1 12	0	0	0 2	( (	profesionaria en en en en el profesione en en
GHLAND	0	i	. 19	0	4	7	0	0	ī	1	
olmes fferson#1	0	4	63 66	O Ö	0	21 0	11 0	0	1	)	to be the factory of any other thanks
FFERSON #2	0	0	84	0	0	9	0	0	0	(	). 
FFERSON #3 AHONING #2	0 0	0 54	37 437	Ö :	0 13	9 85	0	<b>0</b> ,	0 7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
AHONING #2 AHONING #3	0	34 24	457 109	0	0	ა 	0	0	i	12 (	
AHONING #4	0	109	244	0	0	101	0	0	3	)	)
AHONING #5 EIGS	0 0	74 0	114 52	0	0	0 12	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	. 0 4	)	arrasa Missassa darritare
ONROE	Ö	Ŏ	0	0	Ö	0	Ō.	0	Ó	( )	ura k
ONTGOMERY #1 ONTGOMERY #2	0	4 8.	217 203	0 <b>0</b>	47 0	53 40	3 0	0	2 2	24 (	
ONTGOMERY #3	0	3	25	0	2	10	0	0	0	) (	
ORGAN	0	0	21	0	1	25 ~7	,1	0	0	4.	
ORROW USKINGUM	0 1	9	101 177	0 0	0 0	27 62	2 0	0 0	0		Committee and the second of the
DBLE	0	0	7	0	4	1	1	0	0		)
ULDING RRY	0	0	1 23	0 0	<b>0</b> 0	1 7	0	0	0		
KB:	0	Ō	74	0	o i	26	0	0	2		
/TNAM NDÚSKY #1	0	1 /	59 86	0 	0 <b>0</b>	27 14	0 1	0	1 n		) )
NDUSKY #1 NDUSKY #2	0 0	0	. 66 28	0 0	. 3	14 5	0	<b>0</b> 0	2	(	) .
UMBULL (E)	0	0	50	0	1	8		0	0		
UMBULL (C) ISCARAWAS	0	5 0	63 180	0 0	13 0	20 32	0	0	0 4	)	) j
NTON	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	(	)
ARREN	Ö	1	157	0	28	35	0,	. 0	0		
								<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		


#### COUNTY COURTS F.E.D. OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

CAS PENE COURT JANUA	DING NEW	REACTIVATION AND S TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIN BEYOND TIMI GUIDELINES
ADAMS.	5 3	, the control of the comprehensive case a kind of their	39 45	36. 33	-40.0% 9.1%	3 12	0
ASHTABULA (E) ASHTABULA (W)	11 3 9 6	4 0	73	55	100.0%	18	0
BELMONT (N) BELMONT (E)	6 4 2 2	2 0 1 0	48 23	47 15	-83.3% 300.0%	1 8	0 <b>0</b>
BELMONI' (W) BROWN	0 1 38 11		17 160	14 151	- -76.3%	3 9	0
BUTLER #1 BUTLER: #2	化氯化甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲	2 0	46 273	37 260	125.0% -65.8%	9 13	0
BUTLER #3	61 20	5 0	266	197	13.1%	69	0
CARROLL COLUMBIANA (NW)	10 3 9 9	3 0 2 0	43 101	28 88	50.0% 44.4%	15 13	0 0
COLUMBIANA (B) COLUMBIANA (SW)	24 4 5 4	0 0 2 0	64 47	50 38	-41.7% 80.0%	14 9	0
DARKE (NE)	9 3	1 Ö	40 63	<b>38</b> . 59	-77.8% -66.7%	2	0
DARKE (SW) ERIE		8 ' 0	8	8	0.0%	o,	0.
FULTON (E) FULTON (W)	16 5 7 5	8 0 1 0	74 58	68 53	-62.5% -28.6%	6 5	0 0
HARRISON HIGHLAND	4 1 3 2		18 28	17 28	-75.0% -100.0%	1 0	0 <b>0</b>
HOLMES	5 2	2 5	32	28 0	-20.0% 0.0%	4	0
JEFFERSON #1 JEFFERSON #2	0	0 0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
IEFFERSON #3 MAHONING #2	4 3 11	3 0 2 38	7 153	7 124	-100,0% 866.7%	0 29	." 0 0
MAHONING #3 MAHONING #4	3 9 12 25	idibibibining on the transfer of the body of the best	<b>4</b> 5 405	38 380	133.3% 108.3%	7 25	0
MAHONING #5	3 2	6 0	29	27	-33,3%	2	0
MEIGS MONROE		3 0 <b>0 0</b>	29 0	21 <b>0</b>	33.3% 0.0%	8 	0
MONTGOMERY #1 MONTGOMERY #2	58 9 6 19	8 0 9 0	156 199	140 167	-72.4% 433,3%	16 <b>32</b>	1 0
MONTGOMERY #3 MORGAN	7 3 1	3 0 1 0	40 12	32 11	14.3% 0.0%	8 1	0
MORROW	0 4	3 3	46	42		4	0
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	0	5 0 8 0	85 8	55 7	200.0%	30, 1	
PAULDING PERRY	3 2 9 6	1 1 8 0	25 77	<b>21</b> 70	33.3% -22.2%	4 7	0 0
PIKE PUTNAM	18 3	9 0 5 0	57 20	tegeit deretelbisk sintelspromitet procestion represent met i	33,3% 20.0%	24 6	0
SANDUSKY VIL	6 3	1	37	33	-33,3%	4	0
SANDUSKY #2 TRUMBULL (E)	8 3	5 0 6 0	18 44		100.0% <b>62.5%</b>	6 <b>13</b>	0
TRUMBULL (C) TUSCARAWAS	12 3 12 5	9 0 3 0	51 105	36 90	25.0% 25.0%	15 15	0
VINTON WARREN	1 1	7 0 8 0	18	18	-100.0%	0 21	0 0
		Maria de la Company de la Comp					programme de la


#### COUNTY COURTS F.E.D. TERMINATIONS 1992

COURT		OURT RIAL	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	DEFAULT HEARING BY REFEREE	DISMISSAL FOR WANT (	OF DISMIS-	TRANS- FERRED OUT	PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAL	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS	0	i b	31	0	0	0	1	Ö	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	36
ASHTABULA (E) ASHTABULA (W)	1 <b>0</b>	0 0	16 <b>33</b>	0 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	6 22	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	10 0	55
BELMONT (N) RELMONT (E)	0	0	47 11	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 4	0	0 6	0	0 0	15
BELMONT (W) BROWN	0	0	13 81	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 48	1 1	0 <b>0</b> ,	0 0	0 16	151
BUTLER #1 BUTLER #2	0 0	0	22 20	0 <b>0</b>	15 <b>22</b>	0 <b>215</b>	0   0	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	0 1	260
BUTLER #3 CARROLL	0	1 3	9 10	0 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0 <b>0</b>	183 15	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 0	0 0	28
COLUMBIANA (NW) COLUMBIANA (E)	0 0	0 0	59 <b>25</b>	0 0	0 1	23 24	6 0	0	0	Q Q	
COLUMBIANA (SW) DARKE (NE)	0	0 0	22 29	0 Q	0 0	13 9	3 0	0	0 Q:	O C	
DARKE (SW) ERIE	0	0 0	38 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	21 2	0	0	0	C	
FULTON (E) FULTON (W)	0 0	0 0	47 <b>37</b>	0	0 0	21 <b>16</b>	0	0 0	Q <b>Q</b>	C	
HARRISON HIGHLAND	0 <b>0</b>	0	6 15	0 6	0 9	10 4	0 0	0	0	1 (	
HOLMES JEFFERSON II	0 0	4 0	19 <b>0</b>	0 0	0	0	5 0	0	0 <b>0</b>	) S	28
IEFFERSON #2 JEFFERSON #3	0 0	0 0	0 3	0	0 0	0 4	0 1	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	Ö	) 0
MAHONING #2 MAHONING #3	0 0	12 2	91 34	0	2 2	19 0	0	Ö Ö	0	) )	124
MAHONING #4 MAHONING #5	1 0	101 14	135 12	0 0	0 0	143 1	0 0	0	0 0	(	felt continues and some
MEIGS	0 0	0 0	16 0	0 0	0 0	5 0	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	(	) 21
MONROE MONIGOMERY #1	0	4 2	40	0 0	41	35 61	0 0	0 0	0 0	2(	) 140
MONTGOMERY #2 MONTGOMERY #3	0 0	2	104 6 5	0	0 14	9 3	0	0 0	1 0		) 32
MORGAN MORROW	<b>0</b> 0	2 33	0	<b>0</b> 0	0	9	0	0 0 0	0 0	aa nii taabaa ka saa uu baa u	) 42
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	0 0	0	<b>31</b> 5	0	0 2	0	0 0	0		(	7
PAULDING PERRY	0	0	20 36	0	1	33	0	0 0 0	<b>0</b> 0		70
PIKE PUTNAM	0	<b>0</b> 0	22 9	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	<u>in</u> 5	0	0	0	(COLUMN COLORS C	0 33 0 14
SANDUSKY #2	0	0 0	6	0	2	4	0 0	0	0		) <b>33</b> 0 12
TRUMBULL (E) TRUMBULL (C)	0	<b>0</b> 0	24 17	0	0 7 2			<b>0</b> 0	0	(	0 31 0 36
TUSCARAWAS VINTON	<b>0</b> 0	2 0	48 18	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	errente de contra se contra	7 90 0 18
WARREN	Ò	0	93	Ó	14	13	O	i a	Ö		121
STATEWIDE	2	190	1,395	0	136	1,072	18	0	6	5	9 2,878
40	L	130	1,393	U	130	1,012	10	v	U	3.	. 2,070

#### COUNTY COURTS F.E.D. CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



#### COUNTY COURTS - OTHER CIVIL CASES STATEWIDE NEW CASES FILED AND TOTAL TERMINATIONS COMPARISON 1988 - 1992



#### COUNTY COURTS OTHER CIVIL OVERALL CASELOADS 1992

	CASES PENDING	NEW	REACTIVATIONS AND	TOTAL	TOTAL TERMIN-	% NET INCREASE IN	CASES PENDING	CASES PENDIN
COURT	JANUARY 1	FILINGS	TRANSFERS	CASES	ATIONS	CASELOAD	DECEMBER 31	GUIDELINE
DAMS		12	adnej sieci sobre i tim <b>ve</b> i fiziklichke	19	ı,	60.0%	<b>X</b>	0
SHTABULA (E)	3	21	0	24	23	-66,7%	1	0
SHTABULA (W)	7	18	0	25		28.6%	9	0
ELMONT (N)	0	0	O	0	O A& policina de constitución de constitución de constitución de constitución de constitución de constitución de	0.0%	<b>0</b> Janobes et sterning skilde skilde (d. 1974)	0
ELMONT (E)	2	8	0	10	district the contract of the c	-50.0%	0	0
ELMONT (W) ROWN	0 116	0 26]	U 6113313	0 385	0 , 342	0.0% -62.9%	43	10
UTLER #1	* 1 <b>0</b>	1	0	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1	0
UTLER#2	Ō	Ô	ġ	0	0	0.0%	0	0
OUTLER #3	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
ARROLL	24	129	0	153	130	-4.2%	23	0
COLUMBIANA (NW)	15	14	O n unus autamas Karastan talagan mentikulun	29	24	-66.7%	<b>5</b> stalente ha to del <b>a</b> lesticates	O Santa California
COLUMBIANA (E) COLUMBIANA (SW)	37 0	25	0	62 4	59 3	-91.9%	3	0
DARKE (NE)		4 16	0 2	22	16	50.0%	6	an a said a d
DARKE (SW)	4 - 7 - 2400 - 4 10 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 - 1400 <b>5</b>	12	0	17	11	20.0%	6	0
TRIE	4.4	15	0	- 19	15	0.0%	4	0
ULTON (E)	51	161	1	213	182	-39.2%	31	0
ULTON (W)	67	133	0	200	172	-58.2%	28	Ō
IARRISON	0	6	O status estatus de la companya de la	6	<b>2</b> - States - States (4)	- Second Company And Company Com	<b>4</b> Risanti mossi <b>k</b> atusti	0 11.18.18.18.0
IIGHLAND HOLMES		. 0	0	1 18	1 15	-100.0% 50.0%	0 3	0
EFFERSON #1	<b>2</b> 707. Israel Si <b>n</b> ja 6 5	16 2		3	3	-100.0%	on of the	, i
EFFERSON #2	3	11	0	14	14	-100.0%	0	0
efferson #3	14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -	2	. 0	3		0.0%		0
MAHONING #2	4	42	7	53	39	250.0%	14	0
MAHONING #3	0	4	0	4	2	2011年1日 1日 1	2	Q
LAHONING #4 LAHONING #5	0 10	6 97	0 0	6 107	6 98	0.0% -10.0%	0 9	0
MEIGS	11	4	0	15	5	-10.0 <i>%</i> -9.1%	10	0
10NROE		39	Ŏ	42	40		2	Ö
IONTGOMERY #1	40	67	0	107	69	-5.0%	38	8
MONTGOMERY #2	0	51	0	51	50		1	6
MONTGOMERY #3	<b>7</b> Shibanin kilasan <u>Z</u> halisa	10	2	19	18	-85.7%	1 Translate Attrices (IAALA Vernas, IA	0
IORGAN IORROW	**************************************	10 13	12	29 18	28 16	-85.7% -33.3%	2	0
AUSKINGUM	3 0	13	2 0	. 0	0	-33.3 % 0,0%	ő	Historian (ö'
OBLE	0	1	61.91.63 1.972 1.984 <b>9</b> 6949 1965 1	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	ision in the substitute of 1	0.0%	0	0
AULDING	27	158		186	152	25.9%	34	0
ERRY	32	107	4	143	119	-25.0%	24	0 1
IKE	3	4	0	7			4	0
UTNAM ANDUSKY #1	13	23 19	O Alter See Care Militarie (Al	36 23	20 21	23.1% -60.0%	16	Total and the street of the street
ANDUSKY #1 ANDUSKY #2	<b>5</b> 3	18 26	0	29 29	22.	133.3%	<b>2</b> 7	0
RUMBULL (E)	机电话中国设施的电路电路	u. ji	Ö		Contract debut an exercise of the contract of the	80,0	Ö	Ö
RUMBULL (C)	Đ	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0
USCARAWAS	4	9	0	13	13	-100.0%	0	0
INTON	6	25	0	31	26	-16.7%	: 5 Decemberate adecember	0
varren	16	35	0	51	38	-18.8%	13	0
TATEWIDE	542	. 1 610	. 20	0.161	1,837	_/(O. 2 oz.	201	. 10
1ATEWIDE	342	1,619	39	2,161	1,837	-40.2%	324	18
-								

#### COUNTY COURTS OTHER CIVIL TERMINATIONS 1992


	RY IAL	COURT TRIAL	DEFAULT HEARING BY JUDGE	HEARING	BY FOR V	IISSAL VANT OF CUTION	OTHER DISMIS SALS		PRIVATE JUDGE REFERRAI	BANKRUPT STAY/INTER . UTORY APP	LOC- TERM	IN- TERMIN
DAMS -	0	1	8	0.0		0	0	) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		<b>)</b>	2 11
ASHTABULA (E) ASHTABULA (W)	0	0 0	14 13	0 0	comment of a partie of the com-	0 0	5 3	o Ó	0	and a commence of the contract of the later	) 9	4 23 0 16
BELMONT (N) BELMONT (E)	0	0 0	0 9	0	and the second of the second of the	0	0 0	0	0 0		0 0	0 0
BELMONT (W)	0 0	0 3	0 258	0	and the control of the state of the second of	0	0 <b>65</b>	0 10	0		0 0	0 0 6 342
ROWN BUTLER #1	0	0	0	7. see a galle see a caracter de la		0 0	0 0	0	0	participant and many an artist of the	0 0	0 ( 0 (
SUTLER #2 SUTLER #3	0	0 0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	SIRISIS SASSANI SISSEMATA MARKE	0	0 (
PARROLL POLUMBIANA (NW)	0	1 0	85 22	, 0 0	Perconnect process and accounted	0	43 1	1 1	0 0	\$ \$420 to the displaying displaying the property of	<b>0</b> 0	0 130 0 24
COLUMBIANA (E) COLUMBIANA (SW)	0	0	Place, was over the More Made	0	edepartment and the second of the second	0	; 8 0	<b>2</b> 1	) 0	- elle anadelines masticitations	0 - "	0 55
DARKE (NE)	0	1	12	0		0	3	0	n yang basa basah kelabah dara		<b>0</b> 0	0 10 0 11
DARKE (SW) IRIE	0	0 <b>1</b>	and the second second second second	0	Acres and the Control of Control of Control	0	0	Ö	0.0		0	0 13
FULTON (E) FULTON (W)	0	0		0 0	CARL SALES AND CONTRACT OF	0 0	43 52	0	and the second of the second o		0 1	1 182 0 172
HARRISON HIGHLAND	0	0 0		o O		0	0	and the first state of the second of the	A THE RESIDENCE AND ADMINISTRAL PROPERTY.		0 0	1 2
HOLMES	0	1	14	gyserica, regiseriaea O	itage protection can make	0	0	0	the contract of the contract o		0 <b>0</b>	0 1: 0
EFFERSON #1 EFFERSON #2	0	0	6	Ċ	)	0	7	0	0		0	. 1 14
IEFFERSON #3 MAHONING #2	0 0	0 11	chart of a state of the processing contract states.	Ç		0 1	1 4	0	distance in the first consistency as under each		0 0	0 9 2 3!
MAHONING #3 MAHONING #4	0 0	0	2	) )	artura not sakata indulare	0	0		Marie de Maria de Barta de desta de de	医精髓管 医乳腺性脓肿 医格雷特特氏结合物 化二十二	0	0 3
MAHONING #5	0	36	62	1		. 0		Ō	0 :		0	0 9 0
MEIGS MONROE	0	0	Acres 10 Control of the State of the Control	) )	contract a contract of the contract of the con-	0	1	0	0 - 1		0	0 4
MONTGOMERY #1 MONTGOMERY #2	0	1		) )		4 0	34 3				0 0	19 6 0 5
MONTGOMERY #3 MORGAN	0 0	13 2	0	(	and the second section of the section	1 <b>0</b>	4 8	catalogue proposition strate is a	A resistance at a father than the first of the	many mention of a series and red contracts and his	0	0 1 13 2
MORROW	0	7	1	(	)	0 0	7	1 ng say	. 0		0	0 1 0
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	0 0	0	) 1	(		0	0 0	C	0	supulsus a seesti supures	0	0
PAULDING PERRY	0	0 6	qualitating production and the second	a paragraphic market in the contract of the co	)   )	0 0	20 21	catalogue at attaches to the country of the catalogue of	private recommendation of the second		2 1	0 15 10 11
PIKE PUTNAM	0	9	) 3		) )	0	0 5		receiptare representation of the	Harristan barrana da Paranta da P	<b>0</b> 0	0 - 2
SANDUSKY #L	Ö	Q.	) 20	,		Ö			) 0		0	0   -   2
SANDUSKY #2 TRUMBULL (E)	0	(	) 21 ) 0		) )		1 1		) j		0 0	0 2
TRUMBULL (C) TUSCARAWAS	0	( (			) )	0	0		) 0		0	0 8 1
VINTON WARREN	0	(		(	) )	0	5 14 <b>3</b>	; (	) 0	Control to the second s	0	1 2 21 3
MANATA	Υ.,		/		Korean Hollowii		6494W3R		tastamadistria			
<del></del>												

#### COUNTY COURTS SMALL CLAIMS OVERALL CASELOADS 1992


SETABULA (B) 36 290 0 326 224 16.7% 42 0 0 ELMONT (C) 67 27 0 324 225 47.8% 25 0 0 ELMONT (C) 67 27 0 324 225 47.8% 25 0 0 ELMONT (C) 13 172 0 1835 159 100.00% 26 0 0 ELMONT (C) 13 172 0 1835 159 100.00% 26 0 0 ELMONT (C) 13 172 0 1835 159 100.00% 26 0 0 0 ELMONT (C) 13 172 0 1835 159 100.00% 26 0 0 ELMONT (C) 13 172 0 1835 159 100.00% 26 0 0 ELMONT (C) 13 183 0 366 298 28.3.% 6 6 124 0 199 100.00% 26 0 194 173 31.0% 20 172 0 199 100.00% 25 0 194 173 31.0% 20 172 0 199 100.00% 25 0 194 173 31.0% 20 172 0 172 0 199 100.00% 299 123 120.0% 16 0 0 194 173 31.0% 20 0 199 183 220.0% 16 0 0 199	COURT	CASES PENDING JANUARY 1	NEW FILINGS	REACTIVATIONS AND TRANSFERS	TOTAL CASES	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS	% NET INCREASE IN CASELOAD	CASES PENDING DECEMBER 31	CASES PENDIN
SHITADULA (W) 21 31 0 152 127 1906, 25 49 12 12 1906, 25 49 12 12 1906, 25 49 12 12 1906, 25 49 13 12 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	DAMS	36	260	1	garing which had in the event figures and otherwise in the	The state of the s	SERVICE TO PER PROPERTY FOR THE SERVICE SERVIC	direction of the desire states a security of the section of the se	programme, whose registrated that
ELMONT (D) 13 172 0 324 125 130008 26 0 0 ELMONT (D) 13 172 0 185 129 100008 26 0 0 ELMONT (V) 13 172 0 185 129 100008 26 0 0 ELMONT (V) 13 172 0 185 129 100008 26 0 0 ELMONT (V) 64 18 18 172 10 185 129 100008 64 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	SHTABULA (E)	the state of the s	and a second of the second of the second of	THE RESERVE OF A SECRET AND A SECRET AND A SECRET AND ASSESSMENT OF A SECRET ASSESSMENT OF A SECRETARIA ASSESSMENT OF A SE	Control of the second second second of the	and the second reservoir and the second second	er to lighter established between steelering and	en least accommodated to a consent and constitution	
ELBAONT (D) 13 172 0 185 1.9 100.008 26 0 0 1	the contract of the contract o	the contract of the contract o	e (aktaloning nga pagamanan ang	District Expeditor (British and Control America) and the	afolio in contrato de la contrato de la contrato de la contratorio della contratorio de la contratorio del la contratorio del la contratorio de la contratorio de la contratorio del la contratorio de la contratorio de la contratorio del	realitation of appraise in teraphologistics re-	经分别量帐款 医海绵性 医多种性 医皮肤 医皮肤 医皮肤 医皮肤 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基		The Submitted of the state of the submitted of the submit
ELIA, NTY (VV) 53 313 56 509 228.35 68 0 ROWN 60 226 0 286 222 310.098 544 88 0 UTLER # 10 25 109 0 198 173 31.05 20 UTLER # 20 4 80 0 199 133 20.05 16 0 0 ARROLL 11 244 0 159 133 20.05 16 0 0 ARROLL 11 244 0 129 233 181 0,05 70 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 70 281 0 331 281 0,05 70 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 190 0 0 314 278 311 0,05 70 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 190 0 0 314 278 31,05 35 25 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 190 0 0 314 278 31,05 35 25 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 190 0 0 314 278 31,05 35 25 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 190 0 0 314 278 31,05 35 25 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 0 0 CLUMBIANA (W) 18 124 0 142 117 33-55 25 0 0 0 0 0 CLUTON (V) 18 18 145 0 157 157 0 148 158 158 0 0 167 159 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ELMONT (N)	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF					the contract and the contract of the contract	proteine vide med incharacters administration	and the second s
Columbian   Colu	The second secon	that are not the adjustment of the con-	the first of the first of the	医对抗性性 医甲基氏性 化二氯甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	Grand week to take the entropy where	\$1.00 \$60 c. 10 m. 10 m. 10 \$60 c. 10 m. 1 m. 1 \$66 c. 10 c. 10 c.	traffer updated tables and the ball fled of the date of a contraction was represented that	designations are designation and the state that consider a	equationes de transferences.
UTILER # 29 169 0 198 178 31.07 20 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	表现, 医医皮肤 人名斯特 医皮肤 [1] 1. " 1. " 1. " 1. " 1. " 1. " 1. " 1.	to the latest and the control of the section of the first	terminal and a second of the second control of	all recognitions are the thirtheat particle of the teach	era and the area of part of the best			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
UTLER 2 4 90 0 44 75 125.0% 9 10 TILER 2 5 104 0 199 183 120.0% 16 0 0 199 183 120.0% 16 0 0 199 183 120.0% 16 0 0 199 183 120.0% 16 0 0 199 183 120.0% 16 0 0 199 183 120.0% 16 0 0 199 183 120.0% 16 0 0 199 183 120.0% 17 0 199 183 120.0% 17 0 199 183 120.0% 17 0 199 183 120.0% 17 0 199 183 120.0% 17 0 199 183 120.0% 17 0 199 183 120.0% 17 0 199 183 124 0 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 1	particular and the second of t	The second of the second of the second	acceptable of the amountain	territoria del particolo del como de la como	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	as the real state of the second state of the second second	if exhibit the negation constitution as a recover-	i sinta a comprese de la comprese d	secondary and the factories and a
UTILER 8 5 194 0 199 183 220.0% 16 0 0 100 187 220 188 220.0% 16 0 0 100 188 0 229 233 136.45% 25 0 0 0 100 188 0 229 233 136.45% 25 0 0 100 188 0 188 0 229 233 136.45% 25 0 0 100 188 0 188 0 188 0 188 0 188 188	the same of the control of the same of the control of the same of	and the second of the second of the second of the second	and a reservoir and a second contract to	and the company of th	and a real property of the contracts		warm that consider to be the control of the 1985 delical benefit and	، و	Ö
COLUMBIANA (NW) 70 281 0 351 281 0.05 70 0 0 OLUMBIANA (N) 124 190 0 3.14 278 71.05 36 36 0 0 OLUMBIANA (N) 124 190 0 3.14 278 71.05 36 36 0 0 OLUMBIANA (SW) 18 124 0 142 117 38.98 25 0 OARKE (ND) 22 202 0 224 202 0.05 224 202 0.06 22 0 OARKE (ND) 22 202 0 224 202 0.05 22 0 OARKE (ND) 22 202 0 0 224 202 0.05 22 0 OARKE (ND) 22 300 0 224 202 0.05 22 0 OARKE (ND) 22 300 0 307 273 21.45 34 0 OARKE (ND) 28 6 114 105 10.08 9 0 OARKE (ND) 28 6 114 105 10.08 9 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 465 0 0 546 487 27.22 5 50 1 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 424 399 -30.68 25 0 OARKE (ND) 28 1 56 388 0 OARKE (ND) 28	OUTLER #3	电电子电子 医二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	and the first transfer of the statement	0	199	183	220.0%	the second of the second secon	ALESS CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF T
OLUMBIANA (B) 124 199 0 314 278 71.0% 56 0 0 OLUMBIANA (B) 18 124 19 17 38.9% 25 0 OLUMBIANA (SW) 18 124 12 117 38.9% 25 0 OLUMBIANA (SW) 18 124 202 0.0% 224 202 0.0% 22 0 O.0%	ARROLL	11	248	Ö	259	Colorena e la seculação a como	per the furthermore and fade of the filter of	all was a responsible to the Proposition and the	AND ARREST CONTRACTOR
OLUMEIANN (SW) 18 124 0 142 117 38.9% 25 0  ARKE (SW) 22 202 0 224 202 0.05% 22 0.0  ARKE (SW) 28 279 0 307 273 21.4% 34 0  RIE 10 98 6 114 105 1.00% 9 0  RIE 10 98 6 114 105 1.00% 9 0  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 545 402 13.1% 53 0  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 22.2% 59 1  ULTON (W) 81 466 100.0% 12 1  ULTON (W) 81 466 132 27.3% 14 0  ULTON (W) 81 46 10 10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	COLUMBIANA (NW)	70	and a section of a security of	0	in a contract of the contract of	Charles and the second of the	the analysis against the second and a second in	the control of the second section of	a contra successive and extra
ARKE (NIS)  ARKE (NIS)  22 202 0 224 202 0.0% 224 202 0.0% 22 0.0 ARKE (NIS)  23 279 0 307 273 21.478 34 0.0 ARKE (NIS)  RIE 10 98 6 114 105 1.06% 9 0.0 ULTON (IS) 61 389 5 455 402 1.11.5 53 0.0 ULTON (IS) 81 465 0 546 487 27.2% 59 1.1 ARRISON 13 154 0 167 150 30.8% 17 0.0 ARKE (NIS) 1 47 0 48 46 100.0% 2 0.0 OLMES 56 388 0 424 399 30.6% 22 0.0 OLMES 56 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 36 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 36 38 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 36 38 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 36 38 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 36 38 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0.0 OLMES 56 36 36 0.0 OLMES 56 36 0.0 OLMES 56 36 0.0 OLMES 56	OLUMBIANA (E)	on the street of the property of the	reach indicated to the contraction	de li en come e per e ser un santa en el casa e la	Contraction and the Contraction of the Contraction	personal and the second of the	机电子转换 医皮肤 医双甲酚二甲基酚二甲基酚 医皮肤 医多种的 经收益	医氯化硫酸盐 医克克特氏 医克克特氏 医二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	Carriera a registrar de la companya
ANKRE (SW)  28 279 0 307 273 21.4% 34 0  RIE 10 98 6 114 105 -10.0% 9 0  ULTON (E) 61 389 5 455 402 -13.1% 53 0  ULTON (V) 81 465 0 546 487 -27.2% 59 1  ULTON (V) 81 465 0 66 116 150 30.8% 17 0  RIE 1 1 47 0 48 46 100.0% 2 0  RIE 1 1 47 0 48 46 100.0% 2 0  RIE 1 1 1 47 0 48 46 100.0% 2 0  RIE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	有效的 化二氯化物 化环烷基 化环烷基 化环烷基 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基			a source of the transfer and the first terminative		and the committee of the control of	Carlo Control of the	Company of the Control of the Contro	and the second state of the
RIE 10 98 6 114 105 -10.0% 9 0 0 ULTON (E) 61 389 5 5 455 402 -13.1% 53 0 ULTON (W) 81 465 0 546 487 -27.2% 59 1 ARRISON 13 154 0 167 150 30.8% 17 0 0 167 150 30.8% 17 0 0 160 14 1 1 47 0 0 48 46 100.0% 2 0 0 100 100 1 1 47 0 0 48 46 100.0% 2 0 0 100 100 1 1 8 8 87 0 9 9 -30.6% 25 0 0 100 100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	contraction of the contraction of the state of the contraction of the	Constitution of the Control of the Control	The St. Mark Co. Co., I would be to	entering a contract the time in the contract of the contract of	est president system out to the experience of the contract of	TO STAND STANDS OF SHIPPING SHEET, USE OF	and the control of th	transport de marin de participat participat	Salatar established in the salatar of the salatar o
NRIB 10	a ten terra properti i di refereta di altri di a	The second second second second second second	A ALLEY CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY	and the second of the second o		******* **** ***	the first of the second second second second	the second contract of the second con-	rain in a real and a second
ULTON (V)  81 445 0 546 487 27.2% 59 1  JARRISON 13 154 0 167 150 30.8% 17 0  GRIBAND 1 47 0 48 46 100.0% 2 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 36 388 0 424 339 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 36 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 36 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 36 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 36 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 36 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0  OLIMES 36 40 158 50 389 25.0% 6 0  OLIMES 36 40 158 50 389 25.0% 6 0  OLIMES 36 40 158 50 389 25.0% 6 0  OLIMES 36 40 158 50 389 25.0% 6 0  OLIMES 36 72 12 90 198 183 42.5% 15 0  OLIMES 38 40 158 40 389 40 399 1 978 0 0.6% 15 0  OLIMES 38 40 39 1 978 0 0.6% 15 0  OLIMES 36 94 222 2 238 217 18.1% 111 0  OLIMES 38 42.5% 15 0  OLIMES 38 40 389 1 0 100.0% 14 0 0  OLIMES 36 94 222 2 238 217 18.1% 111 0  OLIMES 38 50 57 52 25.0% 5 0  OLIMES 38 40 50 57 52 25.0% 5 0  OLIMES 38 40 50 53 49 50.0% 4 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 235 246 21.3% 37 5 0  OLIMES 38 51.1% 59 0  OLIMES 36 0 236 246 21.33 37 7 0  OLIMES 38 51 50 0 55 42 50.0% 14 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 235 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 38 51 50 0 55 42 50.0% 14 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 235 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 38 51 50 0 55 42 50.0% 14 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 38 51 50 0 55 42 50.0% 14 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 236 246 21.33 37 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 256 1 277 221 46.75 16 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 256 127 221 46.75 16 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 256 127 221 46.75 16 0  OLIMES 47 256 0 256 127 221 46.75 16 0  OLIMES 4	and another than the second of the second	ter sale program in resident framen i despetation.	entranta de la compansión de la compansi	demonstration of a contract of the second con	the first of the f	AND STORY OF A STORY SHEET OF A STORY			0
AARRISON 13 154 0 167 150 30.8% 17 0 0 166HAND 1 1 47 0 48 46 100.0% 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<ul> <li>A sign of section (1) The sign of the sig</li></ul>	present and inspection control entitle	and a second property of the contract of	and the second second second and a second second	A RESTRICTED TO STREET	and the second second second second second	encount there are a subset of	the contract of the contract o	
GHEAND	the first first the same of th	The section of the section of the page	Management of the property	no preparation and provide a second content of the enterior		Eligin (anni Elia) - de la reción describación describa	e sendre cultura di contra la distribuida di contra di c	Services of the season of the	0
OLMES 36 388 0 424 399 30.6% 25 0 0 EFFERSON #1 8 87 0 95 89 25.0% 6 0 0 EFFERSON #1 8 87 0 95 89 25.0% 6 0 0 EFFERSON #2 17 117 0 134 122 29.4% 12 0 EFFERSON #2 11 135 0 146 132 27.3% 14 0 0 146 132 27.3% 15 0 0 146 133 378 0 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 0 146 133 378 0 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 0 146 133 378 0 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 0 146 133 378 0 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 0 146 133 378 0 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 0 146 133 378 0 0 1 100 0 0 0 146 133 378 15 0 0 146 133 378 0 0 146 133 378 15 0 0 146 147 3.6% 17 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	19 15 - 19 16 - 19 16 16 17 19 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	MELTINGE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	the state of the s	The second contract of the party of the party		where it is the community of principles and the first	100.0%	2	0
##FERSON #1 8 87 0 95 89 -25.0% 6 0 ##FERSON #2 17 117 0 134 122 -29.4% 12 0 ##FERSON #3 11 135 0 146 132 27.3% 14 0 ##FERSON #3 11 135 0 0 146 132 27.3% 14 0 ##FERSON #3 14 1 135 0 0 146 132 27.3% 14 0 ##FERSON #3 15 0 146 132 27.3% 14 0 ##FERSON #3 16 72 12 90 61 383.3% 29 0 ##FERSON #3 1 3 378 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 ##FERSON #4 13 378 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 ##FERSON #4 13 378 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 ##FERSON #4 14 0 155 603 436 1987.5% 15 0 ##FERSON #4 15 0 198 183 42.3% 15 0 ##FERSON #4 232 2 328 217 18.1% 111 0 ##FERSON #5 14 0 123 109 100.0% 14 0 ##FERSON #5 14 0 164 147 5.6% 17 1 ##FERSON #5 14 0 164 147 5.6% 17 1 ##FERSON #5 14 0 164 147 5.6% 17 1 ##FERSON #5 14 0 150 123 109 100.0% 14 0 ##FERSON #5 14 0 150 147 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150		to entire the interest of the property of the contract of	DESCRIPTION OF FRANCISCO PROPERTY	dental de la compressión de la	424	399	-30.6%	25	0
##FFRSON #3	and the supplier conditions along the interest of the complete professional	and the second of the second o	87	0	95	89	-25,0%	nga nggangga pakeusa na pagasa pakeusa n	0
AAHONING #2 8 440 155 603 436 1987.5% 167 0 AAHONING #3 6 72 112 90 61 383.3% 29 0 AAHONING #3 13 378 0 391 378 0.0% 13 AAHONING #5 26 172 0 198 183 42.5% 15 0 AEIGS 94 232 2 328 217 18.1% 111 0 AONIROE 7 116 0 123 109 100.0% 14 0 AONIROE 7 116 0 123 109 100.0% 14 0 AONIROE 7 116 0 164 147 5.6% 17 1 AONIROMERY #1 140 260 0 400 316 40.0% 84 16 AONIROMERY #3 4 53 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0 AORGAN 6 41 3 50 46 333.3% 4 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.5% 35 0 AUSKINGUM 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 AUSKINGUM 51 10 100 0 170 154 60.0% 16 0 AUNDUSKY #1 10 100 0 0 170 154 60.0% 16 0 AUNDUSKY #1 10 100 0 0 170 154 60.0% 16 0 AUNDUSKY #1 10 100 0 0 170 154 60.0% 16 0 AUNDUSKY #1 10 100 0 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 AUNDUSKY #1 10 10 100 0 200 146 50.0% 16 0 AUNDUSKY #1 10 100 0 200 170 154 60.0% 13 0 AURUMBULL (D) 27 180 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 AURUMBULL (D) 27 180 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 AURUMBULL (D) 27 180 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 AURUMBULL (D) 25 197 1 223 202 16.0% 21 00 AUXHANDUSKY #1 225 2	EFFERSON #2	17	117	0		The state of the s	Control of the control of the first terms of the first terms.	a real resource of the later of the resource of the later	0
AAHONING #3 6 72 12 90 61 383.3% 29 0 AAHONING #4 13 378 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 AAHONING #4 13 378 0 391 378 0.0% 13 0 AAHONING #5 26 172 0 198 183 42.5% 15 0 EIGS 94 232 2 328 217 18.1% 111 0 IONROE 7 116 0 123 109 100.0% 14 0 IONROE 7 116 0 123 109 100.0% 14 0 IONROE 7 116 0 164 147 5.6% 17 17 1 IONTGOMERY #1 140 260 0 400 316 -40.0% 84 16 IONTGOMERY #2 18 146 0 164 147 5.6% 17 17 1 IONTGOMERY #3 4 53 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0 IORGAN 6 41 3 50 46 -33.3% 4 0 IORGON 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 IORGON 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 IONGONO 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 IONGONO 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 IONGONO 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 IOBLE 8 45 0 53 246 -21.3% 37 0 IONGOLE 8 45 157 0 200 146 22.6% 54 0 INSKINGUM 54 127 236 0 283 246 -21.3% 37 0 INSKINGUM 54 386 0 440 434 88.9% 6 0 INSKINGUM 54 386 0 440 434 88.9% 6 0 INSKINGUM 54 386 0 440 434 88.9% 6 0 INSKINGUM 54 386 0 440 434 88.9% 6 0 INSKINGUM 55 27 180 0 207 155 100.0% 13 0 INSKINGUL (1) 227 180 0 207 155 100.0% 13 0 INSKINGUL (2) 27 180 0 207 155 100.0% 54 0 INSKINGUL (2) 27 180 0 207 155 100.0% 54 0 INSKINGUL (2) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0 INSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -72.7% 47 0	efferson #3	11	135	0		a gan nen hazarikungan basa deseting didektra a	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	"all that Manus and appearant to collect the disease and	0
AHONING #4  13 378 0 391 578 0.0% 13 0  AHONING #4  13 378 0 391 578 0.0% 13 0  AHONING #5  26 172 0 198 183 42.3% 15  0 1000NC  HIGS 94 232 2 2 328 217 18.1% 111 0  100NCOE 7 116 0 123 109 100.0% 14 0  IONITGOMERY #1 140 260 0 400 316 40.0% 84 16  IONITGOMERY #2 18 146 0 164 147 5.6% 17 1  IONITGOMERY #3 4 53 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0  ORGAN 6 41 3 50 46 33.3% 4 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 46 33.3% 4 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 50 46 33.3% 4 0  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 50 50 50 50 50 50  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 50 50 50 50 50 50  IONITGOMERY #3 5 0 50 50 50 50 50 50 50  IONITGOMERY #3 5 50 0 53 50 50 50 50  IONITGOMERY #3 50 0 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50  IONITGOMERY #3 50 0 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	IAHONING #2	and the second control of the second control		and the contract of the contra	and the state of t	A CONTRACTOR OF STREET AND STREET AND STREET	and the state of the contract of the state o	and the state of t	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR
AAHONING #5  AAHONING #6  AAHON	property is the first respect of our property and the property and property and	care that includes the colline parameter the fire	transcription and the second of the second o	disciplina tuli cara i disciplina di managina di managina di managina di managina di managina di managina di m	医双氯甲烷甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲	BANGARA BANGA BANGARAN BANGA BANGA BANGARAN	transfering and a section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the s	contract the second sec	to a transport of the contact that the rest of the contact of the
STATISTING   STA	to a wind a poting register of a state of the supplementation of the		and the contract of the second section of the second section of	The second secon			<ul> <li>A series and the contract of the</li></ul>	er eine eine eine Grand verschen der eine Grand in	and the second second second second second
CONTROL   7	the property of the first of the	to a state of the contract the contract to the contract of the contract to the	Contractions in the case about a	and the committee of th	elitaria e en la superia de en el	province and designed property and the second	and the department of the contract of the cont	the particular discussions into the present of	TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.
CONTGOMERY #1 140 260 0 400 316 -40.0% 84 16 CONTGOMERY #2 18 146 0 164 147 -5.6% 117 1 CONTGOMERY #3 4 53 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0 CORGAN 6 41 3 50 46 -33.3% 4 0 CORGAN 6 41 3 50 46 -33.3% 4 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 CORGAN 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 CORGAN 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 CORGAN 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 CORGAN 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 CORGAN 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 CORGAN 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 CORGAN 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 CORGAN 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 CORGAN 30 206 1 207 153 100.0% 54 0 CORGAN 30 206 170 153 100.0% 54 0 CORGAN 30 206 100.0% 54	be transferred by the property of the property of the contract	A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF			and the second second second second second		and the second of the second control of the	service sarginary consequences and control to the	CARLES AND ADMINISTRAÇÃO
AONTGOMERY #2 18 146 0 164 147 -5.6% 17 1 AONTGOMERY #3 4 53 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0 AORGAN 6 41 3 50 46 33.3% 4 0 AORGOW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 AORROW 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AORROW 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AORROW 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AORROW 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AORROW 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AORROW 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AORROW 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AORROW 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.5% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.5% 50 0 AORROW 39 169 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AORROW 39 169 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AORROW 39 169 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AORROW 39 169 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AORROW 39 169 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AORROW 30 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 47 0 AORROW 30 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 47 0 AORROW 39 169 1 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 AORROW 39 169 1 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 AORROW 39 169 1 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 AORROW 39 169 1 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 AORROW 39 169 1 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 AORROW 39 169 1 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 AORROW 39 169 1 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 AORROW 39 169 1 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175	consideration and responsible to the contract of the contract	a province a figure of the arrange of the a	positivitates entreservativations account.	ramba sa sentrak da basah sentrak re	teritianistica in material resistantes en un	salde stratistic parameters des in a despensa surfact conference unique		gaspa, ny reservantera kadagon enter	16
AONTGOMERY #3 4 53 0 57 52 25.0% 5 0 AORGAN 6 41 3 50 46 -33.3% 4 0 AORROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 AUSKINGUM 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 AUSKINGUM 8 45 0 53 49 -50.0% 4 0 0 AORROY 30 286 0 288 246 -21.3% 37 0 AULDING 47 236 0 288 246 -21.3% 37 0 AULDING 47 236 0 288 246 -21.3% 37 0 AULDING 47 236 0 288 246 -21.3% 37 0 AULDING 47 236 0 288 246 -21.3% 37 0 AULDING 48 49 157 0 200 146 25.6% 54 0 AULDING 49 157 0 200 146 25.6% 54 0 AULDING 54 386 0 440 434 -88.9% 6 0 AUTHAM 54 386 0 440 434 -88.9% 6 0 AUTHAM 54 386 0 5 200 159 159 160.0% 16 0 AUTHAM 54 386 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 AURUMBULL (E) 27 180 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 AURUMBULL (E) 27 180 0 307 153 100.0% 54 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AUSCARAWAS 6S 277 0 342 295 -27.7% 47 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 20 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 10 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25 197 10 0 AURUMBULL (C) 25	н рестигната и на мартините в работ в сего на сего на городината се на городината се на городината и на городината на городината и на городината на городина	A CONTRACTOR OF STREET AND ADDRESS OF STREET AND ADDRESS.	en de la companya de	period a resource to the experience of the control of the con-	and a second of the second of the second		-5.6%	17	1
GORGAN         6         41         3         50         46         -33.3%         4         0           MORROW         39         169         1         209         174         -10.3%         35         0           MUSKINGUM         37         171         0         208         158         35.1%         50         0           IOBLE         8         45         0         53         49         -50.0%         4         0           IOBLE         8         45         0         53         49         -50.0%         4         0           IOBLE         8         45         0         53         49         -50.0%         4         0           IOBLE         8         45         0         283         246         -21.3%         37         0           IOBLE         43         157         0         283         246         -21.3%         37         0           IERRY         30         206         1         237         221         -46.7%         16         0           IIVIA         4         386         0         440         434         -88.9%         6         0	STANDARD LADERS OF STANDARD STANDARD STANDARD AND AND AND AND SECURITION OF A STANDARD STANDARD STANDARD AND A	y day agreem be as the facilities and a safe	A TOTAL SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF A LA	Grand Bright Street Control of the Artist Control of the Artist Street	57	An in the first that the shall be received as the same of the same	25.0%		0
AGRROW 39 169 1 209 174 -10.3% 35 0 0 105KINGUM 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 0 105KINGUM 37 171 0 208 158 35.1% 50 0 0 105BLE 8 45 0 53 49 -50.0% 4 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	num and are invested over values at the post of present about	6			50	46	-33.3%	.4	0
OBLE 8 45 0 53 49 -50.0% 4 0 AULDING 47 236 0 283 246 -21.3% 37 0 ERRY 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 IKE 43 157 0 200 146 25.6% 54 0 UTNAM 54 386 0 440 434 -38.9% 6 0 ANDUSKY #1 10 160 0 170 154 60.0% 16 0 ANDUSKY #2 5 50 0 55 42 160.0% 13 0 RUMBULL (E) 27 180 6 207 153 100.0% 54 0 RUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 USCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -27.7% 47 0 VARREN 38 168 0 206 172 -10.5% 34	the fact readily in the contract of the fact of the fact of the contract of		169		and a superior of the party and the first to the	asset of experiences, property of codes of a fi-			O de calculation des para de de calculation
AULDING 47 236 0 283 246 -21.3% 37 0 ERRY 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 IKE 49 157 0 200 146 25.6% 54 0 UTNAM 54 386 0 440 434 -88.9% 6 0 ANDUSKY #1 10 160 0 170 154 60.0% 16 0 ANDUSKY #2 5 50 0 55 42 160.0% 13 0 RUMBULL (E) 27 180 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 RUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 USCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -27.7% 47 0 USCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -27.7% 47 0 USCARAWAS 168 0 206 172 -10.5% 34 0	iuskingum,	37	A SAME AND A SECURE OF A SAME AND	to the change of the first first service to consider a consideration of the con-	n er grundig gebreit. Gebreit de geben versche	estate protection of the contract of the contr		Color of the Color	
ERRY 30 206 1 237 221 -46.7% 16 0 0 1KE 49 157 0 200 146 25.6% 54 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Charles and the Committee of the Committ		or awar expeditionage the bad		and the second of the colors of the colors	and the second s	the first of the experience of the contract of		and a modern particular state of the con-
HKE 43 157 0 200 146 25.6% 54 0 UTINAM 54 386 0 440 434 -88.9% 6 0 ANDUSKY #1 10 160 0 170 154 60.0% 16 0 ANDUSKY #2 5 50 0 55 42 160.0% 13 0 TRUMBULL (E) 27 180 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 TRUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 TRUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 TRUMBULL (C) 25 197 0 342 295 -27.7% 47 0 TRUMBULL (C) 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 4 0 0 TRUMBULL (C) 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 4 0 0 TRUMBULL (C) 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 4 0 0 TRUMBULL (C) 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 4 0 0 TRUMBULL (C) 10 55 168 0 168 0 206 172 -10.5% 34 0 0 TRUMBULL (C) 10 55	and sold a supplier for the second control of the control of the control of the con-	antiga magi dikelimir na kalendar da	i, gant ber stender ich mit der Steine	Minimal Company of the Committee of the	· [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4	gravity (gregory trapius, but o lugar reterranscondition (			
TUTNAM 54 386 0 440 434 -88.9% 6 0 0 ANDUSKY #1 10 160 0 170 154 60.0% 15 16 0 0 170 154 60.0% 15 16 0 0 170 154 60.0% 15 16 0 0 170 154 60.0% 15 16 0 0 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	on the second of the control of the	erican product of the contract	and the second reservoir and the second seco	nen komunikariak kelantak di Palancharian di Melancharian di Palancharian di Palancharian di Palancharian di Pa	A COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	account and Advanced not accorded by perfect to	era perenda a proportio de trabación de despeta de despeta de despeta de despeta de despeta de despeta de despe	and the second s	
ANDUSKY #1 10 160 0 170 154 60,0% 15 0 15 15 15 0 15 15 15 15 0 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	, and to the first and the first first to the contract and an account commentation and	cation action outside contrates and the contrates of the	电热电弧 化氯化银矿 医克斯特氏征 经收益 医超级性性	eacht beachdeachd an	out the state of t	Raid tests - Multipliff Classic groups mester than	Cartering and the contract of	alteration refulliable to a dear the measurement and decreases of	0
ANDUSKY #2 5 50 0 55 42 160.0% 13 0  RUMBULL (E) 27 180 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0  RUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0  USCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -27.7% 47 0  INTON 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 4 0  VARREN 38 168 0 206 172 -10.5% 34 0	in the analysis and a second control of the	e per la response at terpologica fotografica.	entropy and the second of the extent	en e	the first partition of the interest of	second communication based to the	acteriosas en Nazional Britania.	and an experience beautiful designed as the experience	Construction of the fact of the construction of the construction of the
TRUMBULL (E) 27 180 0 207 153 100.0% 54 0 TRUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 TRUMBULL (C) 25 197 0 342 295 -27.7% 47 0 TUSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -27.7% 47 0 TUSCARAWAS 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 4 0 WARREN 38 168 0 206 172 -10.5% 34 0									<b>0</b>
TRUMBULL (C) 25 197 1 223 202 -16.0% 21 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and the second of the second o			A service of the second state of the second			and the commence of the state o	54	0
TUSCARAWAS 65 277 0 342 295 -27,7% 47 0 7INTON 10 54 0 64 60 -60,0% 4 0 WARREN 38 168 0 206 172 -10,5% 34 0			provided displayed may be applied family as a	of the fighter of the property of the property of the property of the pro-	enterteterable die nochtigen den neutrich	and the first feel and a feel and the feet for the feet and the feet and the feet feet and the feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	-16.0%	21	0
VINTON 10 54 0 64 60 -60.0% 4 0 WARREN 38 168 0 206 172 -10.5% 34 0	医大大性 化电影电影 医肾 化二甲基二甲基苯二甲基甲基二甲基甲基二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基		entropy concern to be particles of the extra terms.	americane e a partir de la companya			-27.7%	47	0
WARREN 38 168 0 206 172 -10.5% 34 0	VINTON	AND REPORT OF A STREET AND A STREET AND ASSOCIATION OF A STREET ASSOCI	54	0	64				0
STATEWIDE 1,595 9,662 187 11,444 9,808 2.6% 1,636 26	WARREN	38	168	0	206	172	-10,5%	34	0
STATEWIDE 1,595 9,662 187 11,444 9,808 2.6% 1,636 26	The second secon								
STATEWIDE 1,595 9,662 187 11,444 9,808 2.6% 1,636 26								<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	
	STATEWIDE	1,595	9,662	187	11,444	9,808	2.6%	1,636	26

## COUNTY COURTS SMALL CLAIMS TERMINATIONS 1992

COURT	HEARING BY IUDGE	HEARING BY REFEREE	TRANSFER TO REGULAR CIVIL DOCKET	DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	OTHER DISMIS- SALS	BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOC- UTORY APPEAL	OTHER TERMIN- ATIONS	TOTAL TERMIN- ATIONS
ADAMS	195			 15	9	<u>3</u>	54	280
ASHTABULA (E) ASHTABULA (W)	184 101	0 <b>0</b>	3 0	11 <b>0</b>	60 26	0 <b>9</b>	26 •0	284 <b>127</b>
BELMONT (N) BREMONT (B)	225 132	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 27	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	225 159
BELMONT (W) BROWN	295 142	0		0	90 0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0 <b>0</b>	298 232
BUTLER #1 BUTLER #2 BUTLER #3	91 <b>39</b> 97	0 0 0	5 2 4	82 6	0 28 80	0 0 1	0 <b>0</b> 1	178 75 183
CARROLL COLUMBIANA (NW)	139 192	<b>0</b>	7	0	90 82	9 0	0	233 281
COLUMBIANA (E) COLUMBIANA (SW)	176 90		9 2		90 25	<b>0</b>	0 0	278 117
DARKE (NE) DARKE (SW)	124 176	0	2 1	0	74 96	2	0 0	202 273
ERIE FULTON (E)	68 277	0	<b>0</b> 0	0 0	<b>37</b> 125	<b>0</b> 0	0	105 402
FULTON (W) HARRISON HIGHLAND	343 91 37	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 18	144 36 8	0 1 0	0 3 0	487 150
HOLMES JEFFERSON#1	57 197 <b>89</b>	0	1 0	0	201 Q	0 0	0 0	46 399 89
JEFFERSON #2 JEFFERSON #3	93 113	0 0	3 7	1 0	25 12	0	0 0	122 <b>132</b>
MAHONING #2 MAHONING #8	316 41	0 <b>Q</b>	0 17	4 0	106 2	3 1	7 0	436 <b>61</b>
MAHONING #4 MAHONING #5	284 183	0 <b>0</b>	3 0	0 <b>0</b>	91 0	0	<b>0</b>	378 183
MEIGS MONROE	134 100	0	0	0 0 132	81 0	2 0	0	217 109
MONTGOMERY #1 MONTGOMERY #2 MONTGOMERY #3	133 <b>89</b> 40	0 0 0	0 4 4	0 2	48 54 6	2 0 0	0 0	316 147 52
MORGAN MORROW	29 113	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0 2	0	1 <b>3</b> 40	0	4 19	4 <b>6</b> 174
MUSKINGUM NOBLE	116 36	0	0	<b>0</b> 1	42 11	<b>0</b> 0	<b>0</b> 1	158 49
PAULDING PERRY	182 159	0	10 5	4	<b>46</b> 51	<b>Ö</b> 1	<b>0</b>	245 221
PIKE PUTNAM SANDUSKY #1	85 245	0	0 0	0 0	61 183	0 3	0 3	145 434
SANDUSKY #1 SANDUSKY #2 TRUMBULL (B)	112 34 105	9 0 0	1 0 1	2	6	0 0	0 0	42
TRUMBULL (C) TUSCARAWAS	141 202	0 0	7 <b>0</b>	11 <b>0</b>	43 72	0 0	0 21	202 <b>295</b>
VINTON WARREN	40 110	0 1	0	0 11	18	0	2	60 172
STATEWIDE 49	6,744	10	111	317	2,456	22	148	9,808



#### COUNTY COURTS NEW CASES FILED COMPARISON 1992



# SECTION K

### **DEFINITIONS**

#### **DEFINITIONS**

THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS ARE ADAPTED FROM THE IMPLEMENTATION MANUAL PUBLISHED PURSUANT TO THE SUPREME COURT RULES OF SUPERINTENDENCE. THEY APPLY ONLY TO TERMS THAT ARE FOUND BOTH ON THE REPORT FORMS SUBMITTED TO THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO AND ON THE SUMMARY TABLES INCLUDED IN THE OHIO COURTS SUMMARY.

#### COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS, MUNICIPAL COURTS, AND COUNTY COURTS:

ADMISSION Uncontested cases terminated by admission, default, or agreement.

<u>ADULT CASES - R.C. 2919.24</u> Juvenile court cases brought against an adult who is the defendant accused of contributing to the neglect, unruliness, or delinquency of a minor.

ALL OTHERS Any case that cannot appropriately be recorded in a listed category.

BANKRUPTCY STAY/INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL Cases in which a bankruptcy stay has been issued or an interlocutory appeal taken. Once a bankruptcy stay is removed or the case is remanded, the case is reactivated.

<u>CERTIFICATION/WAIVER GRANTED</u> Juvenile delinquency cases transferred for criminal prosecution to the appropriate court having jurisdiction of the offense. More commonly referred to as "bindovers".

CHANGE OF CUSTODY Post-decree domestic relations cases in which the court must adjudicate a motion for change of custody, including requests for change of custody based upon an election of the child and cases where custody is contested. Juvenile cases are included where there is an application for writ of habeas corpus involving the custody of a child or where a motion for change of custody is filed pursuant to Juv. R. 10(A).

<u>COURT TRIAL</u> A case is considered terminated by court trial if judgment is rendered after the first witness is sworn.

<u>CRIMINAL</u> Cases in which a person is charged with violation of a state law or local ordinance other than a traffic law or ordinance. For purposes of tracking the age of the case for these reports, the case begins at arraignment.

**DEFAULT** Cognovit judgments and default judgments in civil cases.

<u>DEFAULT/UNCONTESTED</u> Domestic relations cases in which there is no responsive pleading filed or the defendant fails to appear in person or through counsel.

<u>DELINQUENCY</u> Juvenile cases filed concerning a delinquent child, as defined by Section 2151.02(A). Probation violations that would not be considered a criminal offense if committed by an adult are not included.

<u>DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT, OR ABUSE</u> Juvenile cases concerning the neglected child, as defined by R.C. 2151.03; the dependent child, as defined by R.C. 2151.04; or the abused child, as defined by R.C. 2151.031.

**<u>DISMISSALS</u>** Before or after pre-trial conference, but prior to the swearing of the first witness, the case is dismissed by the judge or prosecutor with or without prejudice.

DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION (CIVIL CASES) OR LACK OF SPEEDY TRIAL (CRIMINAL CASES) Cases dismissed pursuant to R.C. 2945.73 or M.C. Sup. R. 6 for want of prosecution within the prescribed time limits. Note: This termination line was not intended to track criminal cases dismissed for want of prosecution.

<u>DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE</u> Domestic relations cases in which a petition for dissolution of marriage has been filed pursuant to R.C. 3105.63, with or without minor children.

<u>DIVERSION OR ARBITRATION</u> Criminal cases where diversion has been granted after arraignment on the indictment or information, but not as a condition of a sentence placing the accused on probation.

In civil cases in which the court has adopted a mandatory arbitration rule, the referral of the case to arbitration does not constitute a termination. A case is reported as terminated by arbitration only after a report and award have been filed by the panel and the period for the filing of an appeal or exception has run.

<u>DOMESTIC VIOLENCE</u> Domestic violence actions filed as separate cases pursuant to R.C. 3113.31. This does not include miscellaneous matters filed in pending cases such as motions to evict.

GUILTY/NO CONTEST PLEA TO REDUCED CHARGE Criminal cases terminated by the acceptance of a plea of guilty or no contest to a reduced charge. The change of plea must occur prior to the swearing of the jury or the swearing of the first witness in a court trial.

JURY TRIAL A case is considered terminated by jury trial if judgment is rendered after the jury is sworn regardless of the outcome of the trial.

<u>MEDIATION/CONCILIATION</u> Domestic relations cases in which a petition for conciliation has been filed or a referral to mediation has been made. Petition or referral seeks to defer further action and prevents or suspends proceedings for divorce, annulment, or alimony.

MOTION FOR PERMANENT CUSTODY Juvenile cases in which a complaint or motion for permanent custody has been filed when custody is contested. This does not include voluntary placements.

OTHER CIVIL CASES Civil cases that are not included within any of the other categories. Ancillary proceedings are not reported as cases.

<u>PARENTAGE</u> Cases brought pursuant to R.C. Chapter 3111., the Uniform Parentage Act. Once paternity is established, the parentage case is considered terminated for reporting purposes.

<u>PERSONAL INJURY</u> Civil cases in which the principal issue is liability for, or the amount of damages to be received for, allegedly tortious conduct resulting in personal injury.

<u>SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT/MODIFICATION</u> Post-decree domestic relations cases in which it is alleged that there has been disobedience of, or resistance to, a lawful judgment of the court requiring the payment of support. A case is reported only once, regardless of the number of pending motions.

TRAFFIC Cases dealing with matters involving traffic offenders. Juveniles, as defined by R.C. 2151.021, and adult traffic cases include any violation of state law or local ordinance arising out of the use of a motor vehicle except those involving OMVI charges.

TRANSFER TO ANOTHER JUDGE OR COURT Cases terminated by virtue of transferring the case from one judge to another judge within the same division of a court by an assignment of the administrative judge or by transferring the case to another court. This action constitutes complete divestment of responsibility by the original judge.

TRIAL BY JUDGE Contested matters terminated as a result of a hearing conducted personally by the judge.

TRIAL BY REFEREE Contested cases settled or dismissed, or in which the accused changes plea after the first witness has been sworn. This category is limited to substantive hearings held by a referee of the court.

<u>UNAVAILABILITY OF ACCUSED FOR TRIAL OR SENTENCING</u> Cases terminated when a defendant is unavailable due to mental status, incarceration on other charges, or flight from custody. The mere failure of the accused to appear at the time the case is set for trial or hearing does not, in itself, authorize termination by reason of unavailability.

UNRULY Juvenile cases concerning unruly children, as defined by R.C. 2151.022.

<u>URESA</u> Cases brought pursuant to R.C. Chapter 3115., the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act, that are handled by the domestic relations or juvenile divisions, including cases initiated in Ohio and cases in which Ohio is the responding state.

<u>VISITATION ENFORCEMENT/MODIFICATION</u> Post-decree domestic relations cases in which it is alleged there has been disobedience of, or resistance to, lawful judgment of the court relative to child visitation rights. A case is listed only once, regardless of the number of pending motions.

<u>VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL</u> Voluntary withdrawal of a pending domestic relations action, for any reason, by the person who originally filed the cause or action.

<u>WORKERS' COMPENSATION</u> Appeals filed under R.C. 4123.519, including noncompliance actions by the state for recovery of benefits or of premiums, and mandamus actions arising from claims or awards.

#### **MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURTS ONLY:**

## DEFINITIONS FOR COMMON PLEAS COURTS APPLY TO MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURTS WITH THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONS:

<u>F.E.D.</u> (Forcible Entry and Detainer) A summary proceeding initiated under R.C. Chapter 1923. or 5321. for restoring possession of real property to one who is wrongfully kept out or has been wrongfully deprived of possession.

FELONY A felony is defined by R.C. 2901.02 and Crim. R. 2 as an offense specifically classified as a felony, regardless of penalty, or an offense in which imprisonment for more than one year can be imposed. When transferred to the Common Pleas court, these cases will be reported as criminal cases by the receiving court.

MISDEMEANORS A misdemeanor is defined by R.C. 2901.02 and Crim. R. 2 as an offense specifically classified as a misdemeanor, or an offense in which imprisonment for not more than one year can be imposed. While traffic offenses fall within this definition, they are reported as OMVI or other traffic offenses (see below) and not as misdemeanors.

OMVI (Operating a Motor Vehicle while Intoxicated). Cases that include a violation of R.C. 4511.19 or of any local ordinance that prohibits operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or any drug of abuse.

OTHER TRAFFIC A misdemeanor charged under a statute or an ordinance arising out of the use of any type of vehicle that is generally used on the roads of the state, except an OMVI offense. Parking violations are not included in this category or anywhere else in this <u>Summary</u>.

SMALL CLAIMS Civil actions brought under R.C. Chapter 1925. for the recovery of small debts and accounts, not exceeding two thousand dollars, exclusive of interest and costs.

TRANSFER This category includes cases bound over to a grand jury as a result of waiver of a preliminary hearing, small claims cases transferred to the regular civil docket, and cases transferred to the individual docket of a judge under M.C. Sup. R. 3(B)(2).

TRIAL/HEARING BY JUDGE Felony cases bound over to a grand jury as a result of a preliminary hearing and other cases terminated in particular sessions by a judge.

<u>VIOLATIONS BUREAU</u> This termination type includes misdemeanor and other traffic cases terminated by payment of a fine at a Traffic Violations Bureau.