

TEXAS JUVENILE PROBATION STATISTICAL REPORT



CALENDAR YEAR 1992

TEXAS JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION

TEXAS JUVENILE PROBATION STATISTICAL REPORT



STATISTICAL AND OTHER DATA ON THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM IN TEXAS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 1992

146489

U.S. Department of Justice National institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Texas Juvenile Probation

Commission

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

TEXAS JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION

Bernard Licarione, Ph.D., Executive Director P O Box 13547 Austin Texas 78711-3547

> 2015 South IH-35 512/443-2001

PUBLISHED SEPTEMBER 1993

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Texas Juvenile Probation Commission expresses its appreciation to the many chief juvenile propation officers, juvenile court judges and others whose prompt and continued submission of their statistical and other data have made this report possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT	.2
OREWORD	.4
EXAS JUVENILE PROBATION SYSTEM - 1992	
Introduction	.6
Juvenile Boards	.7
Juvenile Probation Departments	.7
STATEWIDE JUVENILE ACTIVITY - 1992	
Juvenile Referral Activity	12
Juvenile Detention Activity	16
Juvenile Disposition Activity	18
Supervision Workload Activity	20
Summary	22
\PPENDIX	. 23

FOREWORD

The Texas Juvenile Probation Commission's (TJPC) twelfth annual statistical report provides information regarding the magnitude and nature of juvenile activity and the juvenile probation system's response. This information is offered to help direct the state's effort to improve the juvenile justice system and reduce juvenile crime in Texas.

During calendar year 1992, police agencies in Texas arrested 136,416 juveniles between the ages of 10 and 17. Of this number 37,196 were warned and released, handled in magistrate courts or diverted, and 99,219 were referred to juvenile probation departments. In addition to police agency referrals, an additional 9,939 juveniles were referred by social agencies, parents, schools, the Texas Youth Commission (TYC), and others, for a total of 109,158. This is a 3,493 (3%) increase in referrals from the previous year. This increase resulted from a drastic increase in serious criminal activity of 6,239 delinquent conduct referrals (up 8%); and would have been even greater had there not been a change in the juvenile law which gave jurisdiction of most class C misdemeanors to magistrate courts.


A total of 1,424 juvenile probation officers in 160 departments covered all of Texas' 254 counties. In addition, 480 certified and 689 part-time or on-call detention staff assisted by supervising juveniles held in the state's 52 secure juvenile detention facilities.

In 1992, 33,362 juveniles were detained prior to adjudication hearings. A total of 32,696 were held in secure juvenile detention centers and 666 juveniles were held in non-secure alternate placement facilities such as foster homes and emergency shelters. An additional 3,463 could have been held in non-secure facilities if such facilities had been available. Approximately 48 percent of all juveniles were released in less than one day.

Juvenile probation departments disposed of 47,972 referrals by counseling and releasing, diverting to other agencies for treatment, or dismissing the complaints, and initiated 36,456 voluntary or other temporary supervisions under guidelines of their juvenile boards.

Juvenile courts entered 13,259 probation orders, bringing to 49,715 the number of cases added to supervision. Juvenile courts also committed 1,944 juveniles to the Texas Youth Commission, certified 279 juveniles to stand trial as adults, and refused prosecution, dismissed, consolidated, or otherwise disposed of another 19,457 cases.

MOVEMENT OF JUVENILES 1992



^{*} An additional 132 cases were not assigned to regular supervision in 1992.

TEXAS JUVENILE PROBATION SYSTEM 1992

INTRODUCTION

During calendar year 1992, TJPC collected statistical data regarding juveniles referred to the juvenile justice system from the 160 departments which cover all Texas counties. TJPC also surveyed the departments to obtain supplemental information. The statistical activity reports and the 1992 resource survey are the primary sources of this report.

Caution should be used in drawing general conclusions from juvenile probation statistics. The following data portray neither everything juvenile probation departments or courts do, nor how much time they spend on activities not represented by the statistics. There is no reliable way to ascertain the time spent by juvenile probation officers or juvenile judges in counseling, study, and research.

At least three factors are not represented in the juvenile probation activity statistics, and should be considered when evaluating them:

- 1. Juvenile probation officers in multi-county departments spend more time traveling than do officers in single-county jurisdictions;
- A number of the juvenile probation officers serve both adult and juvenile capacities due to limited resources, and often operate under widely varying authorities and policies;
- 3. The juvenile justice system operates under the rules and authority of Title 3 of the Texas Family Code. Emphasis is placed on the rehabilitation of the juvenile through guidance, counseling, diversion, and treatment. Many of the cases statistically reported as being disposed as "counseled and released" or "dismissed or otherwise disposed," in fact occasion extensive rehabilitative efforts on the part of the juvenile probation system.

The following definitions of terms apply to the statistical activity reported.

- 1. **Referral** means any occasion when a child is brought to the department's attention for alleged delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision (CINS) and some contact by visit or interview occurs between the department and the juvenile or parents.
- 2. **Child** means a person who is 10 years of age or older, and under 17 years of age; or 17 years of age and under 18 years of age who is alleged or found to have engaged in delinquent conduct or CINS as a result of acts committed before becoming 17 years of age.

- 3. **Delinquent Conduct** means violation of any law punishable by incarceration, except traffic laws, and violation of juvenile court orders entered under section 54.04 or 54.05 of the Family Code, except by truancy, running away, or fineable offenses.
- 4. Conduct Indicating a Need for Supervision (CINS) means driving while intoxicated (first and second offense), inhalant abuse, running away, truancy, and violation of certain laws punishable by fine.
- 5. **Status Offense** means offenses that are not criminal when committed by adults; e.g., running away or truancy.

The 72nd Legislature changed the definitions of conduct indicating a need for supervision effective September 1, 1991. CINS conduct was changed to exclude the first offense of running away and to make parental consent a defense to truancy. In addition, a juvenile must be twice convicted of fineable offenses in criminal court, unless waived, before he might be charged with the third and subsequent offenses in juvenile court.

JUVENILE BOARDS

State law creates a juvenile board in every county. It is responsible for designating juvenile judges, appointing the chief juvenile probation officer, and setting the policy and budget for the juvenile probation department. Texas has 195 juvenile boards (83 multicounty boards and 112 single county boards).

Juvenile boards met an average of 4.3 times during 1992. TJPC provides funding and technical assistance to juvenile boards.

JUVENILE PROBATION DEPARTMENTS


Structure and Staffing. Juvenile probation departments carry out the policies of juvenile boards and deliver services to juveniles referred to juvenile courts. There are 112 single county departments and 48 multi-county departments. Multi-county departments range from two to six counties. Fifty-two departments had only one staff member; 35 had no clerical support.

The workload of juvenile probation was managed by 2,712 salaried employees (1,904 probation and detention personnel and 808 clerical or other support staff). In addition, there were 689 hourly detention child care workers. Eighty departments used 2,657 volunteers for a total of 137,420 hours served. Twenty-nine departments served both adult and juvenile probationers. Most of the departments have separate juvenile probation officers.

Juvenile probation salaries averaged \$23,484 annually for certified juvenile probation officers, \$36,694 for chief juvenile probation officers and \$18,129 for certified detention child care workers.

Funding. Figure 1 shows funding available for juvenile probation services. Funding was increased approximately 8 percent from 1991. Funds for residential placement of juveniles on probation were available in 142 departments. One hundred nineteen departments used TJPC funds, 62 used federal funds administered by the Criminal Justice Division of the Governor's Office, and 101 used funds from the counties or other sources. Counties without residential placement funds must either find free placements in the private sector or commit to TYC juveniles who need to be removed from their homes.

FIGURE 1
Juvenile Probation Funding - 1992
(Total Funds \$110,080,054)



A total of 3,938 juveniles were placed in residential facilities, including foster homes and emergency shelters. This is a decrease of 6 percent from 1991, due entirely to the increased cost of community-based placements. Survey results detailing placements provided the statewide placement data shown in Figure 2. In 1992, 23 percent of probation funds were used to purchase placement services.

FIGURE 2
Juvenile Probation Placements, 1992

Type of Facility		Number of Placements	Percent Of Total	Avg. Days in Placement	Avg. Per <u>Day Cost</u>
No cost private*		269	6.8	88	NA
No cost state*		274	7.0	102	NA
County Operated Non-secure Secure		716 756	18.2 19.2	92 113	\$59 \$65
Foster Homes		147	3.7	84	\$44
Residential Facility		<u>1,776</u>	<u>45.1</u>	<u>139</u>	<u>\$60</u>
TOTAL	,	3,938	100.0	117 (Avg)	\$54 (Avg)

^{*} Services provided by state facility, charities, insurance, etc.

Services. All departments provide at least basic services to some degree. Figure 3 shows the extent of services available.

FIGURE 3
Probation Services

Services Provided	Depts. Reporting Service Available Within the Dept.	Depts. Reporting Service Available Outside the Dept.	Juveniles Served*
MR/ED Children Service	17	123	4,368
Title 2 Services (dependent and neglected)	6	108	1,115
School Truancy Programs	108	71	5,149
Financial Restitution	143	11	6,350
Community Services Restitution Program	110	21	6,231
Parenting Classes	45	95	2,861
Teen Court	5	37	4,250

^{*} Not all departments were able to give a precise number of juveniles served.

Probation departments also worked closely with independent school districts. In 1992, 803 of the 1,053 school districts had appointed the school liaison officers required by the Texas Education Code.

In addition to working with local school districts, probation departments also work closely with state child protective services. In 1992, of the 109,158 referrals (72,383 juveniles), 4,347 showed symptoms of sexual abuse, 3,757 physical abuse, and 12,784 emotional abuse.


As required by the 70th Legislature, probation departments now assess court and probation fees against adjudicated juveniles. Probation fees are also permissible in informal adjustment cases. In 1992, departments collected \$112,085 in court fees in 5,308 cases; \$363,665 in probation fees in 6,385 cases; and \$134,923 in informal adjustment fees in 4,978 cases.

Education, Training, and Certification. By the end of 1992, TJPC had certified 2,409 juvenile probation officers and 792 detention child care workers. During 1992, 159 departments provided 98,873 hours of training to 2,522 staff for an average of 39 hours of training per staff member to meet TJPC standards. Forty-eight percent of the training was provided in-house and the remainder was provided outside. The Texas Juvenile Probation Commission, through 7 regional, 16 statewide, and 5 on-site training sessions, conducted 2,520 hours of training for 1,658 participants for a total of 8,178 contact hours. This comprised 16 percent of outside training provided.

Gangs and Substance Abuse. Violent crime by Texas juveniles has risen dramatically with homicide as the fastest growing category, up 143% since 1987. Preliminary analysis reported that this increase was directly related to two major factors: extensive growth in gang related crimes and increased involvement in substance abuse and its impact on both violent as well as property crimes. Both urban and rural counties are experiencing problems with gangs and substance abuse.

The 1992 statewide resource survey resulted in 55 percent of the departments being able to clearly identify a total of 1,328 juvenile gangs in their combined jurisdictions. The impact of these gangs is evident (see Figure 4). Gang activity represents 11 percent of all referrals and 10 percent of all probationers. In addition, it represents 34 percent of all commitments to TYC. While gang members are not a large part of total activity, their involvement is in the more serious felony crimes resulting in commitment to TYC.

FIGURE 4
Juvenile Gang Involvement




In 1992, local probation departments handled 44,701 referrals involved in some form of substance abuse. Of the 109,158 referrals (72,383 juveniles), 4,988 showed symptoms of inhalant abuse, 19,548 drug abuse and 20,165 alcohol abuse. Local probation departments provided services to 9,533 juveniles and spent \$3,601,568 treating substance abuse problems. Nine hundred seventy seven (977) juveniles were placed in 127 different residential substance abuse facilities, and 1,634 juveniles were placed in 58 different non-residential programs.


The true cost of juvenile involvement in substance abuse can be measured more completely by the number of referrals whose involvement in such abuse is the direct cause of their criminal behavior. In 1992, 15 percent of all referrals came from homes with some substance abuse problems, 26 percent of all felony referrals, 25 percent of all other delinquent conduct referrals and 15 percent of all CINS referrals were directly attributed to the juvenile being a substance abuser (See Figure 5).

FIGURE 5
Substance Abuse Dependent Crime - 1992


Felony Referrals




Other Delinquent Referrals



CINS Referrals



Substance Abuse Household (All Referrals)



JUVENILE PROBATION REFERRAL ACTIVITY

SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY STATEWIDE TOTALS

Summary Information

Item 1. Total number of referrals: 109,158

Item 2. Number of children referred: 72,383

Juvenile Referrals

				-			Allegeo	Delin	quent B	ehavior						
				Felor	y Offe	nses		=		C	lass A	B His	deme ano	rs	Viol tn Lawful	Total Delin
	Kom	Sex Assalt	Robb	Agg Assalt	Burg	Theft	MV Theft	Drug Offs	Other	Weapns Violtn	Assalt	Theft	Drug Offs	Other	Court Order	00,131
No Prior Refs Prior Refs	121 189	961 517	833 1,271	1,597 1,728	6,860 7,016	1,247 1,576	3,002 4,182	914 1,430	2,943 2,188			7,879 4,007	995 935		64 4,890	40,231 41,046
No Prior Adj. Prior Adjud.	219 91	1,339 139	1,590 514	2,693 632	11,735 2,141	2,403 420	5,618 1,566	1,713 631	4,484 647	2,038 389	5,850 771	11,008 878	1,631 299	12,757	852 4,102	65,930 15,347
No Prior Comm Prior Comm	274 36	1,455 23	1,964 140	3,189 136	13,540 336	2,760 63	6,847 337	2,209 135	5,027 104	2,380 47	6,520 101	11,796 90	1,891 39	14,437 447	4,745 209	79,034 2,243
Police Agency School Other	305 0 5	1,413 4 61	2,044 11 49	3,236 17 72	13,515 31 330	2,760 8 55	6,948 3 233	2,293 12 39		2,351 14 62	6,233 222 166	11,561 86 239	1,824 48 58		102	76,360 819 4,098
County Resid. Out of County Out of State Out of U.S.A.	292 16 2 0	51 9	1,982 95 14 13	3,208 83 14 20	13,171 508 66 131	2,620 131 36 36	6,386 650 121 27	2,223 77 11 33	145 23	2,310 89 14 14	5,488 118 8 7	11,032 591 83 180	1,854 61 8 7	50	107 21	77,103 3,144 480 550
In School Dropped Out Susp/Expelled	228 55 27	1,376 57 45	1,739 223 142	2,763 304 258	12,485 869 522	2,488 227 108	6,040 614 530	1,797 330 217	258	2,084 188 155	6,047 259 315	10,968 572 346	1,649 138 143		494	71,485 5,466 4,326
10 years old 11 years old 12 years old 13 years old 14 years old 15 years old 16 years old 17 years old	1 2 6 10 37 105 137 12	326 354	11 31 72 194 385 613 757 41	54 89 167 341 611 893 1,123 47	271 483 995 1,826 2,796 3,436 3,799 270	21 24 105 286 495 897 921 74	20 59 222 746 1,446 2,203 2,407 81	1 10 50 151 325 673 1,065	158 332 644 993 1,257 1,556	231 419 671	124 200 457 961 1,476 1,613 1,703	1,915 2,40%	5 7 69 164 325 560 772 28	547 1,029 2,079 3,053 3,722 3,993	120 471 954 1,457 1,533	2,204
White Male White Female Black Male Black Female Hispanic Male Hispanic Male Un/Alien Male Un/Alien Fem. Other Male Other Female	50 5 150 4 90 1 0 10	25 399 8 386 14 5 0	245 35 1,141 96 503 47 12 0 24	766 147 878 248 1,090 148 14 0	5,204 443 2,413 143 5,083 346 102 2 135	627 155 776 94 918 192 23 2 30 6	1,600 448 1,911 170 2,534 389 27 0 97	201 85 1,414 92 444 68 31 0 7	315 1,094 176 1,543 188 16 2	34 663 46 967 39 10 0	1,622 477 1,573 509 1,792 594 7 1 36	1,345 1,972 1,097	479 96 243 29 934 131 10 1	835 3,058 497 5,057 760 62 3	343 1,449 207 1,536 289 4 1	19, 134 3, 416 25, 60 4, 75 43 43 69
Total Refs.	310	1,478	2,104	3,325	13,876	2,823	7,184	2,344	5,131	2,427	6,621	11,886	1,930	14,884	4,954	81,27

(continued)

	Status	Only		Other	than Sta	tus Of	fenses		Total CINS
	Truancy	Runway	Theft	Disord Condct	Inhalt	DUID /	Liquor Laws	Other	Cina
No Prior Refs Prior Refs	1,965 2,258	7,675 7,158		1,494 1,200	5% 390	174 70	658 573		14,496 13,385
No Prior Adj. Prior Adjud.	3,837 386	14,040 793		2,399 295	332 157	227 17	1,068 163	2,494 330	25,641 2,240
No Prior Comm Prior Comm	4,203 20	14,769 64	1,328 15	2,630 64	449 40	243 1	1,198 33	2,748 76	27,568 313
Police Agency School Other	875 2,983 365	14,279 30 524		2,329 242 123	478 2 9	231 0 13	1,174 4 53	2,202 114 508	22,859 3,393 1,629
County Resid. Out of County Out of State Out of U.S.A.	4,186 28 8 1	13,389 1,023 413 8	81 14	2,605 80 4 5	482 5 1	215 24 3 2	1,121 92 10 8	148 39	25,863 1,481 492 45
In School Dropped Out Susp/Expelled	3,981 120 122	13,720 681 432	39	2,546 61 87	75	219 23 2	1,116 92 23	2,496 179 149	25,737 1,270 874
10 years old 11 years old 12 years old 13 years old 14 years old 15 years old 16 years old 17 years old	45 77 257 591 987 1,210 973 83	72 248 853 2,218 3,728 4,161 3,400 153	78 165 211 296 259 285	222 375 522 750 640	2 6 27 62 123 166 102	0 0 0 1 5 38 187 13	1 4 16 53 171 327 630 29	755	242 598 1,706 3,866 6,437 7,649 6,972 411
White Male White Female Black Hale Black Hemale Hispanic Male Hisp. Female Un/Alien Hale Un/Alien Fem. Other Maie Other Female	970 710 293 250 1,180 787 2 0 16		146 230 110 313 84 2 0	212 462 192	6 5 0 350 86 0	127 14 5 0 90 7 1 0		284 661 179 832 285 16 1	2,514 1,878 5,747 4,794 42 44
Total Refs.	4,223	14,833	1,343	2,694	489	244	1,231	2,824	27,881

Alleged C.I.N.S. Behavior

Grand Total Delin. and CIHS	
54,727 54,431	
91,571 17,587	
106,602 2,556	
99,219 4,212 5,727	
102,966 4,625 972 595	
97,222 6,736 5,200	
1,356 2,802 6,579 14,099 22,462 28,839 30,978 2,043	
28,450 11,285 21,648 5,294 31,348 9,545 479 47 845 217	
109,158	


STATEWIDE JUVENILE ACTIVITY 1992

Statewide data on referrals, detentions, dispositional activities, and supervision workloads are contained in the four subsections that follow. Prior year comparisons are provided where possible. An abbreviated county-by-county summary of each of the four parts is appended.

JUVENILE REFERRAL ACTIVITY


There were 109,158 referrals to juvenile probation authorities in Texas, an increase of more than 3 percent over 1991 (see Figure 6). The number of juveniles referred in 1992 increased to 72,383, compared to 72,182 juveniles in 1991. The percentage of referred juveniles with prior referrals was up slightly to 50 percent. Most juveniles referred were in-county residents (94 percent), and in school (89 percent). The proportion of dropouts referred declined. Police agencies made 9 out of 10 referrals. The number of referred juveniles who had previously been committed to TYC dropped slightly from 2.5% in 1991, to 2.3% in 1992.

FIGURE 6
Referrals vs. Juveniles Referred



The increase in referrals in 1992 can be attributed entirely to an increase in felony and other delinquent referrals (see Figure 7). Both were up over 8 percent from 1991.

FIGURE 7
Offense Distribution of Referrals



The distribution of referrals by age, sex, and race generally showed few changes in 1992. But the increase in referrals was shown in minority youth ages 13, 14 and 15.

FIGURE 8
Age Distribution of Referrals





FIGURE 9
Sex and Race Distribution of Referrals



JUVENILE PROBATION DETENTION ACTIVITY

SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY STATEWIDE TOTALS

Juvenile Detention

							Allegeo	d Delin	quent B	ehavior						
				Felon	y Offe	nses				С	lass A &	& B Misc	demeano	rs	Violtn Lawful	Total Delin
	Kom	Sex Assalt	Robb	Agg Assalt	Burg	Theft	MV Theft	Drug Offs	Other	Weapns Violtn	Assalt	Theft	Drug Offs	Other	Court Order	Detin.
Mon-Secure Secure	1 295	6 569	1 1,499	4 1,906	49 4,738	5 1,125	13 3,532	5 1,566	9 1,903	6 1,084	32 1,775	37 2,034	10 464			242 29,674
Offense/Behav No Alt Place Other	271 24 0	525 42 2	1,394 97 8	1,720 175 11	4,372 290 76		3,178 304 50	1,501 50 15		220	1,610 129 36	1,737 227 70	415 46 3			
< 24 hours 24 hrs-10 day 10 days or >	70 38 187	199 211 159	550 477 472	763 659 484	2,111 1,844 783	402 503 220	1,625 1,299 608	625 543 398		370	972 654 149	1,317 563 154	281 141 42		1,742	13,354 10,787 5,533

TABLE 4: (continued)

			A	lleged (n.s.	Behav	ior		
	Status	Only		Other	than Sta	atus Of	fenses		Total CINS
	Truancy	Runway	Theft	Disord Condct	Inhalt	DWI /	Liquor Laws	Other	CINS
Non-Secure	5	397	4	8	3	. 1	1	5	424
Secure	134	2,057	55	214	179	44	137	202	3,022
Offense/Behav	37	1,225	40	192	158	40	107	167	1,966
No Alt Place	97	710	13	21	21	3	27	32	933
Other	0	113	2	1	0	1	3	3	123
< 24 hours	128	1,898	38	153	110	37	102	135	2,601
24 hrs-10 day	4	134	15	53	-55	7	31	61	360
10 days or >	2	25	2	8	14	0	4	6	61

Grand Total Delin. and CINS	
666 32,696	
28,666 3,463 567	1
15,955 11,147 5,594	

JUVENILE DETENTION ACTIVITY

Of the 109,158 juveniles referred, 75,796 were immediately released to their parents or other responsible adults. The remaining 33,362 were detained: 32,696 were held in the 52 secure detention facilities, and 666 were placed in non-secure detention.

Referrals increased 3 percent from 1991, and the incidence of secure detention also increased by 3 percent (see Figure 11). The use of non-secure alternatives declined 25% from the preceding year. Forty-eight percent of all juveniles detained were released in less than one day compared to 45 percent in 1991. Ten percent, or 3,463, of the juveniles securely detained could have been placed in alternative non-secure settings had they been available. Statewide, detention facilities operated at 81 percent average capacity for 1992.

FIGURE 10 Juvenile Detention Centers 1992





FIGURE 11
Referrals in Juvenile Detention Centers



JUVENILE PROBATION DISPOSITION ACTIVITY

SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY STATEWIDE TOTALS

Juvenile Dispositions

		Alleged Delinquent Behavior														
												Violtn	Total Delin			
	Hom	Sex Assalt	Robb	Agg Assalt	Burg	Theft	MV Theft	Drug Offs	Other	Weapns Violtn		Theft	Drug Offs	Other	Lawful Court Order	vetin.
Counsel/Rels Inform Adjust	21 0		284 89	638 225	2,508 2,648	575 601	1,870 661	371 137	994 906		2,333 1,431	6,066 3,178	730 424			26,958 13,571
Pros. Refused Adjud/on Prob Commit to TYC Cert as Adult	54 35 68 102	424 78	589 457 218 46	994 676 213 37	3,079 3,485 293 25	932 561 72 5	1,980 1,246 199 6	649 745 183 10	1,372 1,206 126 26	685 54	1,373 772 72		209 12		261 203	17,426 12,816 1,944 279
Vol Placement Crt Placement	18		8 98	16 143	83 493	8 57	39 266	12 147	33 121	6 60	32 144	28 127	12 49	52 215	38 428	387 2,449

Table 5: (continued)

ſ				A	lleged (.I.N.S	. Behav	ior		
١		Status	Only		Other 1	than Sta	atus Of	fenses		Total
-		Truancy	Runway	Theft	Disord Condct		DUID /	Liquor Laws	Other	CINS
	Counsel/Rels Inform Adjust	2,837 630	11,942 924	1,108 136	2,052 179		77 71	893 128	1,848 209	21,014 2,338
	Pros. Refused Adjud/on Prob Commit to TYC Cert as Adult	368 149 *****	1,003 217 *****	83 10 *****	225 36 *****	69 31 *****	33 49 *****	18 *****	175 65 *****	2,031 575 *****
ľ	Vol Placement Crt Placement	10 21	135 70	4	12 14	4 14	1	7 6	15 7	188 138

Grand Total Delin. and CINS	
47,972 15,909	
19,457 13,391 1,944 279	
575 2,587	

JUVENILE DISPOSITION ACTIVITY

Dispositions were made in 98,952 juvenile cases (see Figure 12). Juvenile probation departments disposed of 63,881 of these cases, with 47,972 cases counseled and released, diverted, or dismissed and 15,909 cases placed on informal adjustment.


The remaining 35,071 cases were resolved by the juvenile court or prosecutor. Of these, the court adjudicated to probation 13,391 cases; certified and transferred to adult court 279 cases; refused, consolidated, dismissed or otherwise disposed 19,457 cases; and adjudicated and committed to TYC 1,944 cases.

Although the number of serious referrals increased, the actual rate at which juvenile courts committed juveniles to TYC declined. Several factors affect this.

- 899 juveniles were placed on probation in long-term, local secure detention facility programs (up 126% from 1991);
- 4,978 juveniles were informally adjusted or placed on probation in available non-secure alternative placements (up 231% from 1991);

- 2,321 juveniles were diverted using TJPC's expanded Intensive Supervision Program (up 34% from 1991);
- 288 of the 1,944 commitments to TYC were due to lack of suitable alternative placement resources available at the time of disposition (down 16% from 1991);
- 833 juveniles were placed on community-based probation using electronic monitoring devices (up 104% from 1991);
- the Border Children Justice Projects, which serve juvenile Mexican citizens charged with criminal offenses in Texas, diverted 227 juveniles from commitment to TYC (down 4% from 1991); and
- an additional 110 juveniles were diverted from TYC through "other" programs or services (i.e. boot camps).

FIGURE 12 Juvenile Dispositions - 1992



Consolidated, Dismissed or Otherwise Disposed by the Court 19.7%

JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISION WORKLOAD ACTIVITY SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY STATEWIDE TOTALS

SUPERVISION WORKLOAD

JUVENILES RECEIVING COURT ORDERED SUPERVISION

Beginning of Period	Added to Supervision	Left <u>Supervision</u>	End of <u>Period</u>
11,331	13,259	10,775	13,815
		Unsuccessful Terminations	
		1,289	

ALL OTHER SUPERVISIONS

Beginning	Added to	Left	End of
of Period	Supervision	Supervision	<u>Period</u>
10,674	36,456	34,336	12,794

Notes:


- 1. Cases shown as added to supervision include both cases originally filed in a jurisdiction and cases transferred from other jurisdictions in or outside Texas.
- 2. All other supervisions are informal adjustments, temporary supervisions of juveniles whose cases are pending dispositions but who require active supervision, and short term courtesy supervisions.
- 3. It is possible that a juvenile may be counted in more than one category.

SUPERVISION WORKLOAD ACTIVITY

On January 1, 1992, 22,005 juveniles were receiving active supervision from juvenile probation departments. During the year, 13,259 court ordered probations and 36,456 informal adjustments and temporary supervisions were added for a total of 49,715 (see Figure 13).

During 1992, 1,289 (12%) of the 10,775 court ordered probations failed and resulted in either TYC commitment or adult criminal trial. On December 31, 1992, 26,609 juveniles were under active supervision. Juvenile probation departments accepted 109,158 referrals and supervised 71,720 juveniles, for a total of 180,878 juveniles served during the year.

FIGURE 13 Supervision Workload



SUMMARY


Examination of 1992 statistics, which included discussions with numerous juvenile justice personnel, indicates that juvenile involvement with drug and gang related activities is the major cause of the increase in serious juvenile crime. This conclusion was also reported in 1989, 1990 and 1991; however, 1992 did show some promising changes.

While the rates of increase in the number of delinquent and felony referrals from 1990 to 1991 were 13 and 15 percent, respectively, the rates of increase from 1991 to 1992 were only 8 percent each. In other words, the rate of increase was not as substantial as in previous years. Also, the actual number of children referred stayed constant. Even more important was the utilization of local community based programs, rather than TYC state correctional facilities. During 1992, the use of probation rose almost 14 percent, while commitments to TYC declined almost 6 percent.


These favorable results are directly related to the Legislature's commitment to the support of locally developed and operated community based corrections and delinquency prevention programs. Beginning September 1, 1992 (Fiscal Year 1993), community corrections programs were available statewide due to increased funding by the Legislature.

As stated above, 1992 trends indicate success in dealing with the rise in juvenile crime; however, careful analysis of complete 1993 data relating to the success of these local programs will be essential to support their continuation and expansion.

DELINQUENT REFERRALS 1985-1992




TYC COMMITMENTS



TYC COMMITMENTS

as a percentage of delinquent referrals



APPENDIX

			Number o	f Referrals	to Juv Dep	ts for	Total		No. of	
	1990 Total	1990 Juv	Allgd Delin	Behavior	Allgd CINS	Behavior	Number	Referral	Children	
County	Population	Population	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred	
ANDERSON	48,024	4,415	37	35	4	6	82	1.9%	65	
ANDREWS	14,338	1,941	29	72	41	.11	153	7.9%	103	
ANGELINA	69,884	7,928	176	294	216	142	828	10.4%	497	
ARANSAS	17,892	1,740	42	27	0	. 3	72	4.1%	55	
ARCHER	7,973	912	7	. 2	0	0	9	1.0%	. 8	
ARMSTRONG	2,021	222	2	0	. 0	0	2	0.9%	2	
ATASCOSA	30,533	4,025	48	69	24	15	156	3.9%	111	
AUSTIN	19,832	2,115	69	21	19	23	132	6.2%	98	
BAILEY	7,064	886	15	21	25	28	89	10.0%	63	
BANDERA	10,562	1,040	20	19	16	0	55	5.3%	44	
DACTOOD	70 247	/ 142	/2	20	. 0		97	2.49	70	
BASTROP BAYLOR	38,263 4 385	4,162 361	42 18	29 14	13	6	. 86	2.1%	72 24	
BEE	4,385		59		12 7	12	56	15.5%	26	
BELL	25,135 191,088	3,036 18,238	381	27 422	78	12	105	3.5%	73 743	
BEXAR	1,185,394	127,901		3,379	902	9 286	890 7.014	4.9% 5.5%		
JEARK	1,102,374	127,901	2,449	3,319	902	200	7,016	. 5.3%	5,477	
BLANCO	5,972	577	5	6	. 0	0	, 11	1.9%	10	
BORDEN	799	85	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0%	0	
BOSQUE	15,125	1,400	. 3	4	0	1	8	0.6%	7	
BOWIE	81,665	8,979	202	323	. 85	170	780	8.7%	524	
BRAZORIA	191,707	21,267	609	597	879	260	2,345	11.0%	1,296	
BRAZOS	121,862	9,006	192	305	370	13	880	9.8%	539	
BREWSTER	8,681	752	, 9	2	6	. 9	26	3.5%	20	
BRISCOE	1,971	231	6	3	2	1	12	5.2%	11	
BROOKS	8,204	1,008	25	17	16	4	62	6.2%	41	
BROWN	34,371	3,769	84	67	33	21	205	5.4%	151	
BURLESON	13,625	1,434	27	16	5	2	50	3.5%	43	
BURNET	22,677	2,225	57	63	20	42	182	8.2%	153	
CALDWELL	26,392	2,970	72	48	30	0	150	5.1%	117	
CALHOUN	19,053	2,234	79	64	17	36	196	8.8%	148	
CALLAHAN	11,859	1,305	12	4	4	2	22	1.7%	19	
CAMERON	260,120	38,143	703	702	207	0.4	1 040	/ O°/	1 711	
CAMP	9,904	1,084	16	782 13	293	84	1,862 42	4.9% 3.9%	1,311 34	
CARSON	6,576	837	3	11	6 2	0	16	1.9%	16	
CASS	29,982	3,386	51	37	53	16	157	4.6%	117	
CASTRO	9,070	1,307	2	6	3	6	17	1.3%	11	
	24.22									
CHAMBERS	20,088	2,528	34 70	25 40	4	1	64	2.5%	58 1/0	
CHEROKEE	41,049	4,199	38	69	65	6	178	4.2%	140	
CHILDRESS	5,953	658	2	4	2	6	14	2.1%	.14	1
CLAY	10,024	1,054	8	11	1	3	23	2.2%	23	
COCHRAN	4,377	560	11	12	- 5	0	28	5.0%	28	

	1990 Total	1990 Juv	Number o Allgd Delin		to Juv Dep Allgd CINS		Total Number	Referral	No. of Children	
County	Population	Population	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate.	Referred	
COKE	3,424	335	21	8	5	. 1	35	10.4%	28	
COLEMAN	9,710	923	22	7	1	2	32	3.5%	25	
COLLIN	264,036	28,101	402	467	124	. 16	1,009	3.6%	772	
COLLINGSWORTH	3,573	364	0	. 17	0	0	17	4.7%	17	
COLORADO	18,383	1,846	41	32	1	10	84	4.6%	56	
COMAL	51,832	5,035	152	138	121	38	449	8.9%	313	
COMANCHE	13,381	1,227	4	7	1	6	18	1.5%	14	
соисно	3,044	285	3	4	2	0	9	3.2%	. 9	
COOKE	30,777	3,386	36	22	4	2	64	1.9%	62	
CORYELL	64,213	5,696	81	45	32	4	162	2.8%	138	
COTTLE	2,247	243	0	20	1	0	21	8.6%	, .6	
CRANE	4,652	621	5	3	0	0	, 8	1.3%	8	
CROCKETT	4,078	486	24	4	14	9	51	10.5%	42	
CROSBY	7,304	890	13	28	0	3	. 44	4.9%	41	
CULBERSON	3,407	411	0	3	4	4	. 11	2.7%	,11	
DALLAM	5,461	630	21	37	12	4	74	11.7%	52	
DALLAS	1,852,810	172,691	4,558	3,488	1,549	202	9,797	5.7%	6,516	
DAWSON	14,349	1,812	21	40	14	23	98	5.4%	61	
DEAF SMITH	19,153	2,620	67	131	99	27	324	12.4%	274	
DELTA	4,857	463	5	1	1	3	10	2.2%	10	
DENTON	273,525	25,286	380	471	335	33	1,219	4.8%	851	
DEWITT	18,840	2,017	67	64	14	3	148	7.3%	100	
DICKENS	2,571	273	17	7	3	2	29	10.6%	19	
DIMMIT	10,433	1,631	33	18	1	. 3	55	3.4%	22	
DONLEY	3,696	343	4	0	, 0	. 0	4	1.2%	4	
DUVAL	12,918	1,691	12	10	12	37	71	4.2%	68	
EASTLAND	18,488	1,728	52	14	18	2	86	5.0%	80	
ECTOR	118,934	13,602	212	322	55	29	618	4.5%	502	
EDWARDS	2,266	306	13	5	4	. 0	22	7.2%	7	
ELLIS	85,167	10,091	128	152	240	. 26	546	5.4%	457	
EL PASO	591,610	74,474	732	1,058	0	45	1,835	2.5%	1,473	
ERATH	27,991	2,450	37	47	33	7	124	5.1%	92	
FALLS	17,712	1,711	24	30	2	0	56	3.3%	51	
FANNIN	24,804	2,358	35	13	12	14	74	3.1%	61	
FAYETTE	20,095	1,883	25	, 12	0	, 4	41	2.2%	34	
FISHER	4,842	516	26	38	18	7	89	17.2%	60	
FLOYD	8,497	1,005	5	18	20	12	55	5.5%	34	
FOARD	1,794	163	3	2	3	6	14	8.6%	9	
FORT BEND	225,421	27,413	520	577	276	134	1,507	5.5%	1,243	
FRANKLIN	7,802	767	13	9	5	6	. 33	4.3%	28	

	1990 Total	1990 Juv	Number of Allgd Delin		s to Juv Dep Allgd CINS		Total Number	Referral	No. of Children	
County	Population	Population	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred	
FREESTONE	15,818	1,796	23	21	18	9	71	4.0%	54	
FRIO	13,472	1,914	38	121	49	47	255	13.3%	136	
GAINES	14,123	1,977	12	17	7	2	38	1.9%	38	
GALVESTON	217,399	22,720	808	1,207	380	443	2,838	12.5%	1,383	
GARZA	5,143	680	7	5	2	9	23	3.4%	19	
GILLESPIE	17,204	1,525	37	22	9	13	81	5.3%	62	
GLASSCOCK	1,447	171	0	2	. 0	1	3	1.8%	2	
GOLIAD	5,980	623	17	12	. 12	3	44	7.1%	37	
GONZALES	17,205	1,895	57	24	26	. 2	109	5.8%	85	
GRAY	23,967	2,464	61	51	19	3	134	5.4%	96	
GRAYSON	95,021	9,377	220	279	19	29	547	5.8%	343	
GREGG	104,948	10,851	194	320	193	49	756	7.0%	332	
GRIMES	18,828	1,898	47	32	17	12	108	5.7%	67	
GUADALUPE	64,873	6,989	105	204	195	177	681	9.7%	456	
HALE	34,671	4,124	66	138	53	35	292	7.1%	156	
IALL	3,905	403	3	, ,	0	0	3	0.7%	3	
HAMILTON	7,733	658	2	8	3	2	15	2.3%	12	
IANSFORD	5,848	701	2	10	2	7	21	3.0%	19	
HARDEMAN	5,283	549	15	4	16	. 9	44	8.0%	34	
HARDIN	41,320	4,921	118	72	70	78	338	6.9%	275	
HARRIS	2,818,199	289,513	6,474	6,425	1,432	1,623	15,954	5.5%	8,583	
HARRISON	57,483	6,676	134	213	32	_ 28	407	6.1%	266	
HARTLEY	3,634	468	8	15	5	. 0	28	6.0%	20	
HASKELL	6,820	669	2	7	1	3	13	1.9%	12	
HAYS	65,614	6,076	132	196	42	. 15	385	6.3%	281	
IEMPHILL	3,720	456	4	6	5	20	35	7.7%	32	
IENDERSON	58,543	5,620	81	74	38	31	224	4.0%	167	
IIDALGO	383,545	57,436	537	479	126	76	1,218	2.1%	833	
IILL	27,146	2,687	60	92	114	152	418	15.6%	255	
HOCKLEY	24,199	3,008	58	, 43	20	17	138	4.6%	91	
HOOD	28,981	2,829	49	83	78	27	237	8.4%	171	
HOPKINS	28,833	2,945	53	42	36	68	199	6.8%	143	
OUSTON	21,375	2,081	8	16	8	4	36	1.7%	34	
IOWARD	32,343	3,245	68	90	101	106	365	11.2%	268	
HUDSPETH	2,915	366	15	20	1	3	39	10.7%	36	
IUNT	64,343	6,463	102	188	66	24	380	5.9%	239	
HUTCHINSON	25,689	2,993	58	44	16	11	129	4.3%	105	
IRION	1,629	171	8	4	3	0	15	8.8%	13	
JACK	6,981	715	. 1	7	. 1	3	12	1.7%	12	
JACKSON	13,039	1,406	19	20	3	10	52	3.7%	46	

			Number o	f Referrals	to Juv Dep	ots for	Total		No. of	
	1990 Total	1990 Juv	Allgd Delin		Allgd CINS		Number	Referral	Children	
County	Population	Population	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred	
JASPER	31,102	3,576	83	73	36	14	206	5.8%	144	
JEFF DAVIS	1,946	254	5	0	0	0	5	2.0%	5	
JEFFERSON	239,397	24,593	928	814	119	32	1,893	7.7%	1,283	
JIM HOGG	5,109	620	1	16	2	81	100	16.1%	70	
JIM WELLS	37,679	4,794	, 72	109	59	116	356	7.4%	256	
JOHNSON	97,165	11,082	258	340	197	57	852	7.7%	478	
JONES	16,490	1,813	13	35	23	56	127	7.0%	92	
KARNES	12,455	1,405	10	45	9	. 16	80	5.7%	62	
KAUFMAN	52,220	5,983	88	59	40	7	194	3.2%	165	
KENDALL .	14,589	1,470	32	33	16	. 1	82	5.6%	51	
KENEDY	460	56	2	0	0	, 0	2	3.6%	2	• .
KENT	1,010	96	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	
KERR	36,304	3,225	65	125	73	12	275	8.5%	182	
KIMBLE	4,122	420	8	12	0	2	22	5.2%	21	
KING	354	46	2	. 0	2	. 0.	4	8.7%	4	
KINNEY	3,119	322	2	5	0	1.	8	2.5%	5	
KLEBERG	30,274	3,185	88	. 88	49	48	273	8.6%	155	
KNOX	4,837	513	18	4	9	5	36	7.0%	13	
LAMAR	43,949	4,370	51	47	142	73	313	7.2%	166	
LAMB	15,072	1,747	17	28	4	9	58	3.3%	52	
LAMPASAS	13,521	1,471	42	36	13	42	133	9.0%	95	
LASALLE	5,254	689	18	8	3	5	34	4.9%	29	
LAVACA	18,690	1,863	27	24	10	10	71	3.8%	61	
LEE	12,854	1,558	19	15	9	6	49	3.1%	46	
LEON	12,665	1,288	. 7	8	3	2	. 20	1.6%	20	
LIBERTY	52,726	6,137	68	123	18	53	262	4.3%	211	
LIMESTONE	20,946	2,142	67	52	21	11	151	7.0%	117	
LIPSCOMB	3,143	368	16	2	0	20	38	10.3%	27	
LIVE OAK	9,556	1,081	16	5	0	2	23	2.1%	18	
LLANO	11,631	753	. 27	16	2	8 ,	53	7.0%	39	
LOVING	107	10	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	
LUBBOCK	222,636	21,627	481	923	1,188	36	2,628	12.2%	1,538	
LYNN	6,758	772	2	17	4	16	39	5.1%	37	
MCCULLOCH	8,778	981	21	25	1	1	48	4.9%	44	÷ .
MCLENNAN	189,123	18,418	622	662	191	85	1,560	8.5%	1,042	
MCMULLEN	817	62	, 0	. 0	. 0 .		0	0.0%	0	
MADISON	10,931	889	12	11	12	13	48	5.4%	48	
MARION	9,984	998	10	10	18	2	40	4.0%	31	
MARTIN	4,956	667	4	9	1	2	16	2.4%	13	
MASON	3,423	309	1.	3	1	20	25	8.1%	6	

	4000 = 1	4005			s to Juv Dep		Total		No. of	
County	1990 Total Population	1990 Juv Population	Allgd Delin Felony	Behavior Other	Allgd CINS Status	Behavior Other	Number Referrals	Referral Rate	Children Referred	
MATAGORDA	36,928	4,416	42	43	۶ ۶	69	163	3.7%	140	- -
MAVERICK	36,378	5,722	46	60	48	32	186	3.3%	138	
MEDINA	27,312	3,190	46	76	36	10	168	5.3%	142	
ENARD	2,252	186	5	8	0	.0	13	7.0%	12	
IDLAND	106,611	11,708	228	287	319	36	870	7.4%	542	
IILAM	22,946	2,622	91	67	1	11	170	6.5%	136	
IILLS	4,531	482	1	. 2	0	0	3	0.6%	. 3	
ITCHELL	8,016	913	26	22	43	9	100	11.0%	64	
ONTAGUE	17,274	1,643	26	. 21	8	7	62	3.8%	48	
ONTGOMERY	182,201	20,969	349	395	117	13	874	4.2%	714	
OORE	17,865	2,204	31	143	118	209	501	22.7%	296	
ORRIS	13,200	1,470	33	38	9	9	. 89	6.1%	73	
OTLEY	1,532	154	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	
ACOGDOCHES	54,753	4,800	97	148	91	100	436	9.1%	305	
AVARRO	39,926	4,078	53	62	16	6	137	3.4%	119	
EWTON	13,569	1,630	22	14	4	4	44	2.7%	37	
DLAN	16,594	1,819	36	69	95	7	207	11.4%	150	
JECES	291,145	34,055	933	1,443	1,801	224	4,401	12.9%	2,542	
CHILTREE	9,128	1,063	19	18	4	13	54	5.1%	42	
LDHAM	2,278	526	3	1	. 14	1	19	3.6%	19	
RANGE	80,509	9,266	187	214	79	57	537	5.8%	346	
ALO PINTO	25,055	2,552	81	27	9	14	131	5.1%	105	
ANOLA	22,035	2,521	. 18	70	20	30	138	5.5%	118	
ARKER	64,785	7,104	105	102	177	18	402	5.7%	300	
ARMER	9,863	1,230	. 16	18	. 8	11	53	4.3%	42	
ECOS	14,675	2,024	45	79	77	49	250	12.4%	170	
DLK	30,687	3,093	114	45	69	- 8	236	7.6%	175	
OTTER	97,874	9,910	307	343	470	36	1,156	11.7%	639	
RESIDIO	6,637	889	, 2	5	0	. 0	7	0.8%	7	
AINS	6,715	705	16	11	6	9	42	6.0%	30	
ANDALL	89,673	9,510	184	231	167	11	593	6.2%	376	
EAGAN	4,514	667	10	- 3	1	, 0	14	2.1%	14	
EAL	2,412	232	4	4	0	0	8	3.4%	7	
ED RIVER	14,317	1,436	45	118	36	. 29	228	15.9%	147	
EEVES	15,852	2,041	32	55	27	24	138	6.8%	107	
EFUGIO	7,976	907	15	28	12	9	64	7.1%	54	
OBERTS	1,025	131	3 ,	, 0	0	,0	3	2.3%	3	
OBERTSON	15,511	1,703	52	22	0	0	74	4.3%	51	
OCKWALL	25,604	2,958	44	.56	21	18	139	4.7%	100	
NUNNELS	11,294	1,226	39	18	1	1	59	4.8%	41	

County	1990 Total	1990 Juv Population	Number of Allgd Delin Felony		to Juv Dep Allgd CINS Status		Total Number Referrals	Referral Rate	No. of Children Referred	
									Referred	
RUSK	43,735	4,884	133	81	107	43	364	7.5%	211	
SABINE	9,586	821	21	14	4	2	41	5.0%	31	
SAN AUGUSTINE	7,999	762	6	2	2	1	11	1.4%	10	
SAN JACINTO	16,372	1,723	57	35	44	58	194	11.3%	160	
SAN PATRICIO	58,749	7,405	155	166	23	16	360	4.9%	263	
SAN SABA	5,401	529	15	15	0	0	30	5.7%	19	
SCHLEICHER	2,990	413	15	3	. 0	1	19	4.6%	7	
SCURRY	18,634	2,051	45	44	1.1	17	117	5.7%	82	
SHACKELFORD	3,316	351	. 5	2	2	3	12	3.4%	12	
SHELBY	22,034	2,309	40	20	13	5	78	3.4%	24	
SHERMAN	2,858	348	3	1	2	0	6	1.7%	5	
SMITH	151,309	15,271	255	318	131	. 5	709	4.6%	446	
SOMERVELL	5,360	704	2	3	10	49	64	9.1%	47	
STARR	40,518	6,596	111	158	65	144	478	7.2%	329	
STEPHENS	9,010	972	12	16	6	. 3	37	3.8%	36	
STERLING	1,438	179	2	2	2	. 0	. 6	3.4%	5	
STONEWALL	2,013	190	4	. 1	0	. 1	6	3.2%	. 6	
SUTTON	4,135	515	6	1	9	26	42	8.2%	43	
SWISHER	8,133	921	22	30	12	23	87	9.4%	71	
TARRANT	1,170,103	109,509	3,021	2,291	630	107	6,049	5.5%	4,372	
TAYLOR	119,655	11,562	305	551	532	101	1,489	12.9%	931	
TERRELL	1,410	199	4	1	0	0	5	2.5%	3	
TERRY	13,218	1,702	19	25	42	53	139	8.2%	115	
THROCKMORTON	1,880	171	2	1	1	0	4	2.3%		
TITUS	24,009	2,550	31	33	10	18	92	3.6%	78	
TOM GREEN	98,458	9,596	264	625	205	52	1,146	11.9%	665	
TRAVIS	576,407	46,930	1,980	2,701	553	301	5,535	11.8%	3,840	
TRINITY	11,445	1,060	22	4	20	0	46	4.3%	37	
TYLER	16,646	1,770	22	30	10	20	82	4.6%	. 77	
UPSHUR	31,370	3,514	54	61	41	24	180	5.1%	159	
UPTON	4,447	639	13	1	. 4	e	23	3.6%	18	
UVALDE	23,340	2,962	37	113	38	5 40		7.7%		
					39	40	228 550		190	
VAL VERDE	38,721 37,044	4,929	265 41	195 30	15	51 11	97	11.2% 2.5%	229	
VAN ZANDT VICTORIA	37,944 74,361	3,936 8,512	480	387	8	11 66	97 941	2.5% 11.1%	93 445	
WALKER	50,917	3,577	90	98	31	29	248	6.9%	179	
WALLER	23,390	2,312	59	23	12	35	129	5.6%	98	
WARD	13,115	1,785	24	33	9	60	126	7.1%	93	
WASHINGTON	26,154	2,594	29	38	16	5	88	3.4%	64	
WEBB	133,239	19,093	288	535	200	56	1,079	5.7%	780	

	1990 Total	1990 Juv	Number o		to Juv Dep		Total Number	Referral	No. of Children
County	Population	Population	Felony		Status	Other	Referrals	Rate	Referred
WHARTON	39,955	4,585	100	56	20	10	186	4.1%	133
WHEELER	5,879	669	10	7	20	16	53	7.9%	45
WICHITA	122,378	11,502	150	263	186	21	620	5.4%	445
WILBARGER	15,121	1,525	45	44	19	10	118	7.7%	75
WILLACY	17,705	2,750	56	42	67	81	246	8.9%	156
WILLIAMSON	139,551	16,124	273	325	52	35	685	4.2%	559
WILSON	22,650	2,713	20	20	23	4	67	2.5%	49
WINKLER	8,626	1,161	11	21	16	22	70	6.0%	62
WISE	34,679	3,830	64	73	17	17	171	4.5%	157
WOOD	29,380	2,861	61	39	24	53	177	6.2%	128
YOAKUM	8,786	1,218	7	· 7	, · · · 7	3	24	2.0%	23
YOUNG	18,126	1,835	25	32	21	26	104	5.7%	88
ZAPATA	9,279	1,302	0	2	16	24	42	3.2%	26
ZAVALA	12,162	1,750	24	33	13	6	76	4.3%	47
Totals	16,986,510	1,794,831	38,575	42,702	19,056	8,825	109,158	6.1%	72,383

JUVENILE PROBATION DETENTION ACTIVITY SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY ALPHABETICAL BY COUNTY

	Num Refs in	Numbe	er of Refe	rrals in S	ecure Dete	ntion		lumber of R	eferrals	in Secure De	etention
	Non-Secure	Delin Be		CINS Be				Less than		24 hrs o	
County	Placement	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Status	Other	Status	Other
ANDERSON	0	. 4	2	0	0	6	7.3%	0	0	0	6
ANDREWS	0	16	16	0	0	32	20.9%	0	5	. 0	27
ANGELINA	0	48	52	28	3	131	15.8%	7	25	21	78
ARANSAS	0	33	10	0	0	43	59.7%	0	19	. 0	24
ARCHER	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	. 0	0	. 0	. 0
ARMSTRONG	0	1	0	. 0	0	1	50.0%	0 1	1	0	0
ATASCOSA	. 0	35	37	5	4	81	51.9%	5	16	0	60
AUSTIN	0	29	6	2	0	37	28.0%	1	23	.1	12
BAILEY	Ö	1	0	1	. 0	2	2.2%	1	0	0	1
BANDERA	0	14	6	0	. 0	20	36.4%	0	5	0	15
										_	
BASTROP	0	18	14	0	. 0	32	37.2%	0	2	.0	30
BAYLOR	0	0	0	0	.0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0
BEE	0	28	16	0	1	. 45	42.9%	0	11	0	34
BELL	15	160	131	20	. 1	312	35.1%	20	162	0	130
BEXAR	76	842	565	102	24	1,533	21.9%	67	855	35	576
BLANCO	0	1	0	0	0	1	9.1%	0	1	0	. 0
BORDEN	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0
BOSQUE	.0	1	1	0	0	2	25.0%	0	0	0	. 2
BOWIE	0	126	107	9	22	264	33.8%	, 6 - 1	93	3	162
BRAZORIA	0	269	305	116	21	711	30.3%	103	289	13	306
BRAZOS	0	118	188	43	6	355	40.3%	40	117	3	195
BREWSTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	ΰ.0%	0	0 :	o o	0
BRISCOE	. 1	0	1	0	0	1	8.3%	0	0	0	1
BROOKS	0	6	3	0	0	9	14.5%	0	5	0	4
BROWN	. 0	13	2	, 0 ·	3	18	8.8%	0	6	0	12
BURLESON	. 0	4	3	0	Ö	7	14.0%	0	2	0	5
BURNET	0	11	9	0	0	20	11.0%	0	5	0	15
CALDWELL	0	11	6	0	0	17	11.3%	0	8	0	9
CALHOUN	0	36	19	0	1	56	28.6%	0	2	0	54
CALLAHAN	0	7	1	4	2	14	63.6%	4	10	. 0	0
CAMERON	0	530	199	73	18	820	44.0%	72	338	1	409
CAMP	0	1	122	0	0	2	4.8%	0	0	0	2
CARSON	0	. 0	1	0	. 0	1	6.3%	0	0	, 0	1
CASS	. 0	18	7	0	1	26	16.6%	0	5	0	21
CASTRO	0	0	1	0	. 1	2	11.8%	. 0	1	0	1
CHAMBERS	. 0	18	7	0	0	25	39.1%	0	4	0	21
CHEROKEE	. 0	9.	20	0	. 0	29	16.3%	0	12	0	17
	0	9. 1	0	0	. 0		7.1%				
CHILDRESS	0				. 0	1	0.0%	0	0	. 0	1
	0	. 0	0 1	0		0		0		0	0
COCHRAN	U	U	1	2	0 -	3	10.7%	. 2	0	U	1

JUVENILE PROBATION DETENTION ACTIVITY SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY

ALPHABETICAL BY COUNTY (continued)

	Non-Secure	Delin B	ehavior	CINS B	ehavior		% of Refs	Less than	24 hrs	24 hrs d	or more	
County	Placement	Felony	Other	Status	; Other	Total	Detained	Status	Other	Status	Other	
COKE	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.9%	0	0	0	1	
COLEMAN	0	7	2	0	1	10	31.3%	0	10	0	0	
COLLIN	0	192	158	79	4	433	42.9%	79	189	. 0	165	
COLLINGSWO	ORTH 0	. 0	. 0	0	- 0	0	0.0%	C ·	0	0	0	
COLORADO	0	22	11	0	1	34	40.5%	0	9	0	25	
COMAL		13	11	12	1	37	8.2%	10	13	2	12	
COMANCHE	0	2	0	0	2	4	22.2%	0	0	0	. 4	
CONCHO	0	0	0	1	0	1	11.1%	0	0	1	0	
COOKE	0	15	8	0	. 0	23	35.9%	. 0	13	0	10	
CORYELL	0	22	6	2	0	30	18.5%	0	4	2	24	
COTTLE	0	0	1	0	0	1	4.8%	0	0	0	1	
CRANE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
CROCKETT	0	1	. 0	0	0	1	2.0%	0	0	0	1	
CROSBY	. 0	11	5	0	0	- 16	36.4%	0	. 0	0	. 16	
CULBERSON	0	0	,	2	0	2	18.2%	1	0	1	0	
DALLAM	. • 0	3	2	. 0	. 0	5	6.8%	0	1	0	4	
DALLAS	0	2,573	1,285	137	0	3,995	40.8%	134	706	3	3,152	
AWSON	. 0	5	1	. 0	0	. 6	6.1%	0	0	0	6	
EAF SMITH	1 0	20	19	. 0	0	39	12.0%	0	1	0	38	
DELTA	0	2	, 0	0	0	, 2	20.0%	0	. 0	0	2	
ENTON	164	166	204	1	5	376	30.8%	1	148	. 0	227	
DEWITT	0	18	9	0	. 0	27	18.2%	0	21	0	6	
ICKENS	0	. 7	0	0	0	. 7	24.1%	0	2	0	5	
TIMMIT	0	13	2	0	Ö	15	27.3%	0	0	0	15	
OONLEY	, 0	1	0	. 0	0	, 1	25.0%	, O	0	0	1	
UVAL	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.8%	0	.0	. 0	2	
EASTLAND	0	16	0	9	0	25	29.1%	5	1	4	15	
CTOR	0	122	140	16	8	286	46.3%	16	113	. 0	157	
DWARDS	0	4	0	.0	0 1	4	18.2%	Ö	1	0	3	
LLIS	0	35	29	1	2	67	12.3%	1	66	0	0	
L PASO	0	524	631	0	0	1,155	62.9%	0	1,131	0	24	
RATH	2	. 18	6	0	0	24	19.4%	. 0	2	0	22	
ALLS	0	3	3	0	0	6	10.7%	0	1	0	5	
ANNIN	0	. 16	5	1	1	23	31.1%	1	14	. 0	8	
AYETTE	0	3	1	0	3	7	17.1%	0	0	0	7	
FISHER	. 0	.5	1	0	0	6	6.7%	C	3	0	3	
FLOYD	5	4	8	0	. 0	12	21.8%	0	2	0	10	
FOARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
ORT BEND	0	288	303	14	0	605	40.1%	10	85	4	506	. (
RANKLIN	0	2	0	2	. 0	4	12.1%	2	1	0	1	•

Non-Secure Delin Behavior CINS Embavior Total Detained Status Other O			Num Refs in	Numb	er of Refe	errals in S	ecure Dete	ntion	١	Number of	Referrals	in Secure	Detention	
FREESTONE 0 13 7 7 3 30 42.3% 7 19 0 4 FRIO 0 8 30 7 5 50 19.0% 3 12 4 51 GAINES 0 4 0 0 0 0 4 10.5% 0 0 0 0 4 GAIVESTOW 0 467 177 19 14 707 24.9% 19 295 GARZA 0 2 2 0 0 0 0 2 8.7% 0 0 0 0 2 GILLESPIE 0 13 5 2 0 20 24.7% 1 3 1 15 GLASCOCK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 0 GOLTAD 1 2 1 0 0 0 3 6.6% 0 0 0 0 3 GONZALES 0 18 1 3 0 22 20.2% 3 4 0 15 GARAYES 0 18 1 3 0 22 20.2% 3 4 0 15 GRAYSON 0 83 76 9 5 1773 51.6% 8 41 1 123 GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 492 65.1% 68 85 3 336 GRIMES 0 8 6 1 0 0 15 13.7% 66 84 0 137 RALE 0 6 6 3 0 0 9 9 3.1% 0 0 0 9 GUADALUPE 0 79 124 66 18 267 42.1% 66 84 0 137 RALE 0 6 6 3 0 0 9 9 3.1% 0 0 0 0 9 HAMTORD 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 2 2 9.5% 0 1 0 0 9 HAMTORD 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 2 2 9.5% 0 1 0 0 0 2 HAMTORD 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 2 9.5% 0 1 0 0 0 2 HAMTORD 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 2 9.5% 0 1 0 0 0 2 HAMTORD 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 2 9.5% 0 1 0 0 1 HARDEMAN 0 0 35 17 19 8 79 23.4% 19 27 0 33 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRIS 0 49 66 10 2 127 33.0% 10 37 0 80 HEMPHILL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 HASKELL 0 4 1 0 0 0 5 38.5% 0 5 0 0 0 HARSELL 0 4 1 1 0 0 0 5 38.5% 0 5 0 0 0 HARSELL 0 4 1 1 0 0 0 5 38.5% 0 5 0 0 0 HARSELL 0 4 1 1 0 0 0 5 38.5% 0 5 0 0 23 HOOKKIEY 0 15 11 0 2 2 28 20.3% 0 5 0 0 25 HIDALGO 15 152 141 13 3 3 309 25.4% 13 39 0 257 HILL 0 2 1 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 2 1 HONCKIEN 0 11 6 0 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.0% 3 20 0 12 HONCKIEN 0 11 6 0 0 17 13 3 2 3 55 9.0% 3 20 0 12 HONCKIEN 0 11 6 0 0 17 13 3 2 2 35 9.0% 3 20 0 12 HINDTT 0 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HIUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			Non-Secure	Delin B	ehavior	CINS Be	havior		% of Refs	Less tha	n 24 hrs	24 hrs	or more	
FRIO 0 8 30 7 5 5 50 19.6% 3 12 4 31 GAINES 0 4 0 0 0 0 4 10.5% 0 0 0 4 GALVESTON 0 497 177 19 14 707 24.9% 19 295 0 393 GARZA 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 8.7% 0 0 0 2 GILLESPIE 0 13 5 2 0 20 24.7% 1 3 1 15 GLASSCOCK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 GOLIAD 1 2 1 0 0 0 3 6.8% 0 0 0 0 0 GOLIAD 1 2 1 0 0 0 3 6.8% 0 0 0 0 3 GRAYSON 0 83 76 9 5 173 31.6% 8 41 1 123 GRAYSON 0 83 76 9 5 173 31.6% 8 41 1 123 GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 492 65.1% 6 85 3 336 GIMBER 0 8 8 6 1 0 15 13.9% 1 14 0 0 GLIADALUPE 0 79 124 66 18 287 42.1% 66 84 0 137 NALE 0 6 3 0 0 9 3.1% 0 0 0 9 HAMITON 0 0 2 0 0 2 2 13.3% 0 0 0 2 HAMSPERDN 0 0 2 2 0 0 2 2 13.3% 0 0 0 2 HAMSPERDN 0 0 35 17 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARDIN 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 10 84 0 193 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRIS 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARRIS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HASSELL 0 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 HASSELL 0 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		County	Placement	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Status	Other	Status	Other	
GAINES O GALVESTON O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		FREESTONE	0	13	7	7	3	30	42.3%	7	19	0	4	
GALVESTON 0 497 177 19 14 707 24.9% 19 295 0 393 GARZA 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 8.7% 0 0 0 2 2 GILLESPIE 0 13 5 2 0 20 24.7% 1 3 1 15 GLASSCOCK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0,0% 0 0 0 0 0 GOLIAD 1 2 1 0 0 0 3 6.8% 0 0 0 0 3 GOLIAD 1 2 1 0 0 0 3 6.8% 0 0 0 0 3 GRAYSON 0 83 76 9 5 173 31.6% 8 41 1 123 GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 492 65.1% 68 85 3 336 GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 492 65.1% 68 85 3 336 GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 492 65.1% 68 85 3 336 GRIMES 0 8 6 1 0 15 13.9% 1 14 0 0 GUADALUPE 0 79 124 66 18 287 42.1% 66 84 0 137 HALE 0 6 3 0 0 9 3.1% 0 0 0 2 HAMITON 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 66.7% 0 0 0 2 HAMSFORD 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 79.5% 0 1 0 1 HAMBOENN 0 0 35 17 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 MARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 MARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 MARRIS 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 MARRIS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 MASKELL 0 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 MASKELL 0 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 MASKELL 0 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 MEMPHILL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 MEMPHILL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FRIO	0	8	30	7	5	50	19.6%	• 3	12	4	31	
GRAZA 0 2 0 0 0 2 8.7% 0 0 0 0 2 GILLESPIE 0 13 5 2 0 20 24.7% 1 3 1 15 GLASSCOCK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 0 GOLIAD 1 2 1 0 0 0 3 6.8% 0 0 0 0 3 GONZALES 0 18 1 3 0 22 20.2% 3 4 0 15 GRAY 6 5 18 0 0 23 17.2% 0 3 0 20 GRAYSON 0 83 76 9 5 173 31.6% 8 41 1 123 GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 492 65.1% 68 85 3 336 GRINES 0 8 6 1 0 15 13.9% 1 14 0 0 GRIADALUPE 0 79 124 66 18 287 42.1% 66 84 0 137 HALL 0 2 0 0 0 0 9 3.1% 0 0 0 9 HALL 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 66.7% 0 0 0 0 9 HALL 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 66.7% 0 0 0 0 2 HAMITION 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 13.3% 0 0 0 0 2 HANSFORD 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 2 9.5% 0 1 0 1 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRIS 0 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARRIS 0 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARRIS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		GAINES	. 0	4	0	0	0	4	10.5%	0	0	0	4	
GILLESPIE 0 13 5 2 0 20 24.7% 1 3 1 15 (LASSCOCK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		GALVESTON	0	497	177	19	14	707	24.9%	19	295	. 0	393	
GLASSCOCK O		GARZA	0	, 2		0,	. 0	2	8.7%	0	0	0	2	
GLASSCOCK O		GILLESPIE	0	13	5	2	0	20	24.7%	. 1	. 3	1	15	
COLIAD														
GONZALES 0 18 1 3 0 22 20.2% 3 4 0 15 GRAY 6 5 18 0 0 22 20.2% 3 4 0 15 GRAY 6 5 18 0 0 0 25 17.2% 0 3 0 20 20 3 0 3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
GRAY 6 5 18 0 0 23 17.2% 0 3 0 20 GRAYSON 0 83 76 9 5 173 31.6% 8 41 1 123 GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 692 65.1% 68 85 3 336 GRIMES 0 8 6 1 0 15 13.9% 1 14 0 0 GUADALUPE 0 79 124 66 18 287 42.1% 66 84 0 137 HALE 0 6 3 0 0 0 9 3.1% 0 0 0 9 HALL 0 2 0 0 0 2 66.7% 0 0 0 2 HANILTON 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 66.7% 0 0 0 2 HANSFORD 0 0 2 0 0 2 9.5% 0 1 0 1 HARDEMAN 0 6 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 9.5% 0 1 0 1 HARDEMAN 0 35 17 19 8 79 23.4% 19 27 0 33 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRISON 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARRISON 0 108 156 0 13 227 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARRIEV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0												•		
GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 492 65.1% 68 85 3 336 GRIMES 0 8 6 1 0 15 13.9% 1 14 0 0 0 GUADALUPE 0 79 124 66 18 287 42.1% 66 84 0 137 HALE 0 6 3 0 0 0 9 3.1% 0 0 0 9 9 1.1% 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					•									
GREGG 0 192 219 71 10 492 65.1% 68 85 3 336 GRIMES 0 8 6 1 0 15 13.9% 1 14 0 0 0 GUADALUPE 0 79 124 66 18 287 42.1% 66 84 0 137 HALE 0 6 3 0 0 0 9 3.1% 0 0 0 9 9 1.1% 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		CDAVCON	0	07	74	0	5	177	71 49	O	٨1	1	127	
GRIMES 0 8 6 1 0 15 13.9% 1 14 0 0 0 GUADALUPE 0 79 124 66 18 287 42.1% 66 84 0 137 HALE 0 6 3 0 0 0 9 3.1% 0 0 0 0 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1														
GUADALLIPE O O O O O O O O O O O O O														
HALE 0 6 3 0 0 9 3.1% 0 0 0 9 HALL 0 2 0 0 0 2 66.7% 0 0 0 2 HAMILTON 0 0 2 0 0 2 13.3% 0 0 0 2 HARSFORD 0 0 2 0 0 2 9.5% 0 1 0 1 HARDIN 0 6 0 0 0 6 13.6% 0 3 0 3 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRISON 0 108 156 0 13 277 66.1% 0 84 0 193 HARTLEY 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0														
HALL 0 2 0 0 0 2 66.7% 0 0 0 2 HAMILTON 0 0 2 0 0 2 13.3% 0 0 0 2 HARSFORD 0 0 2 0 0 2 9.5% 0 1 0 1 HARRISON 0 6 0 0 0 6 13.6% 0 3 0 3 HARRISON 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARTLEY 0														
HAMILTON 0 0 2 0 0 2 13.3% 0 0 0 2 14.88FORD 0 0 2 9.5% 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 3 0 3 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 0 0		HALE	U	,	.5		. , ,	9	5.1%	, , , 0	· U		, 9	
HANSFORD O O O O O O O O O O O O O		HALL	0	2	.0	0	0	. 2	66.7%	0	. 0	0	. 2	
HANSFORD O O O O O O O O O O O O O		HAMILTON	0	0	2	. 0	0	2	13.3%	0	0	0	2	
HARDIN 0 35 17 19 8 79 23.4% 19 27 0 33 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRISON 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARTLEY 0		,	0	Ò	2	0	0	. 2	9.5%	0	. 1	0	. 1	
HARDIN 0 35 17 19 8 79 23.4% 19 27 0 33 HARRIS 0 3,017 1,817 152 175 5,161 32.3% 134 3,599 18 1,410 HARRISON 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARTLEY 0		HARDEMAN	0	6	0	0	0	6	13.6%	0	3	0	3	
HARRISON 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARTLEY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 HASKELL 0 4 1 0 0 5 38.5% 0 5 0 0 HAYS 0 49 66 10 2 127 33.0% 10 37 0 80 HEMPHILL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 HENDERSON 0 23 29 10 9 71 31.7% 10 23 0 38 HIDALGO 15 152 141 13 3 309 25.4% 13 39 0 257 HILL 0 21 12 2 0 35 8.4% 1 3 130 HOCKLEY 0 15 11 0 2 28 20.3% 0 5 0 23 HOOD 0 21 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 21 HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1			0	35	17	19	8	79		19	27	0	33	
HARRISON 0 108 156 0 13 277 68.1% 0 84 0 193 HARTLEY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 HASKELL 0 4 1 0 0 5 38.5% 0 5 0 0 HAYS 0 49 66 10 2 127 33.0% 10 37 0 80 HEMPHILL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 HENDERSON 0 23 29 10 9 71 31.7% 10 23 0 38 HIDALGO 15 152 141 13 3 309 25.4% 13 39 0 257 HILL 0 21 12 2 0 35 8.4% 1 3 130 HOCKLEY 0 15 11 0 2 28 20.3% 0 5 0 23 HOOD 0 21 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 21 HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1		HARRIS	0	3.017	1.817	152	175	5.161	32.3%	134	3.599	18	1.410	
HARTLEY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					-						•			
HASKELL 0 4 1 0 0 5 38.5% 0 5 0 0 HAYS 0 49 66 10 2 127 33.0% 10 37 0 80 HEMPHILL 0														
HAYS 0 49 66 10 2 127 33.0% 10 37 0 80 HEMPHILL 0 38 8 8 1 0 257 HILL 0 21 12 2 0 35 8.4% 1 3 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1														
HENDERSON 0 23 29 10 9 71 31.7% 10 23 0 38 HIDALGO 15 152 141 13 3 309 25.4% 13 39 0 257 HILL 0 21 12 2 0 35 8.4% 1 3 1 30 HOCKLEY 0 15 11 0 2 28 20.3% 0 5 0 23 HOOD 0 21 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 21 HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20														
HENDERSON 0 23 29 10 9 71 31.7% 10 23 0 38 HIDALGO 15 152 141 13 3 309 25.4% 13 39 0 257 HILL 0 21 12 2 0 35 8.4% 1 3 1 30 HOCKLEY 0 15 11 0 2 28 20.3% 0 5 0 23 HOOD 0 21 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 21 HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20		UEMBUTII :		0		0	'n	•	0.0%	n		0	0	
HIDALGO 15 152 141 13 3 309 25.4% 13 39 0 257 HILL 0 21 12 2 0 35 8.4% 1 3 1 30 HOCKLEY 0 15 11 0 2 28 20.3% 0 5 0 23 HOOD 0 21 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 21 HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 1 1 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0														
HILL 0 21 12 2 0 35 8.4% 1 3 1 30 HOCKLEY 0 15 11 0 2 28 20.3% 0 5 0 23 HOOD 0 21 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 21 HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
HOCKLEY 0 15 11 0 2 28 20.3% 0 5 0 23 HOOD 0 21 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 21 HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1														
HOOD 0 21 11 2 1 35 14.8% 1 12 1 21 HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0			•							•				
HOPKINS 0 11 3 5 1 20 10.1% 4 8 1 7 HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0								:						
HOUSTON 0 3 0 0 0 3 8.3% 0 0 0 0 3 HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
HOWARD 0 17 13 3 2 35 9.6% 3 20 0 12 HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
HUDSPETH 0 11 4 0 0 15 38.5% 0 4 0 11 HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0														
HUNT 0 47 109 44 6 206 54.2% 38 82 6 80 HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0		HOWARD	0		13	3	2			3	20	. 0		
HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0		HUDSPETH	0	11	4	0	, Q , -	15	38.5%	0	4	0	11	
HUTCHINSON 0 11 6 0 0 17 13.2% 0 1 0 16 IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0		HUNT	. 0	47	109	44	. 6	206	54.2%	38	82	6	80	
IRION 0 3 0 1 0 4 26.7% 0 2 1 1 1 JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0		HUTCHINSON	0.0	11	6	. 0	0	17	13.2%	. 0	1	. 0	16	
JACK 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0						1	0			. 0	2	1.		
	ľ		. 0		0	. 0	0	0		. 0		0	0	
			45	3	. 1	2	, 0 .	6		. 0	0	2	4	

	Num Refs in Non-Secure	Delin Behavior		errals in Secure Deter CINS Behavior		1101011		Less than		in Secure I		
County	Placement	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained		Other	Status	Other	
JASPER	0	13	11	2	. 0	26	12.6%	2	4	0	20	
JEFF DAVIS	0	2	0	0	0	2	40.0%	0	0	0	2	
JEFFERSON	1	498	205	10	0	713	37.7%	10	240	0	463	
JIM HOGG	0	0	4	0	1 -	5	5.0%	0	5	0	0	
JIM WELLS	0	7	2	3	0	12	3.4%	. 0	8	3	. 1	
JOHNSON	. 0	107	102	55	13	277	32.5%	53	157	2	65	
JONES	0	1	. 2	0	8.	- 11	8.7%	0	9 '	0	2	
KARNES	• 0	1	3	0	1	5	6.,3%	0	2	0	3	
KAUFMAN	15	32	. 12	. 0	3	47	24.2%	0	10	Ö	37	
KENDALL	2	3	2	5	0	10	12.2%	2	0	3	5	
KENEDY	0	0		0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
KENT	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
KERR	0	53	81	33	4	171	62.2%	25	72	8	66	
KIMBLE	16	4	1	0	1	. 6	27.3%	0	1	' 0	5	
KING	0 "	2	0	. 1	0	3	75.0%	1	2	0	0	
KINNEY	0	0	1	0	0	1	12.5%	0	0	0	1	
KLEBERG	0	15	1	1	0	17	6.2%	1	1	0	15	-
KNOX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	. 0	0	•
LAMAR	0	5	1	0	0	6	1.9%	0	0	0	6	
LAMB	0 -	3	. 1	0	1	5	8.6%	0	5	. • 0	• 0	
LAMPASAS	0	. 6	1	0	0	7	5.3%	0	. 0	0	7	
LASALLE	0	8	3	0	0	11	32.4%	0	5	0	6	
LAVAĆA	0	3	3	0	. 0	6	8.5%	0	0	0	6	
LEE	0	2	4	0	0	. 6	12.2%	0	2	0	4	
LEON	0	. 1	0	0	0	1	5.0%	0	1	0	0	
LIBERTY	0	20	8	0	2	30	11.5%	0	4	. 0	26	
LIMESTONE	. 0	34	17	4	4	59	39.1%	4	15	. 0	40	
LIPSCOMB	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.6%	0	1	0	. 0	
LIVE OAK	0	4	0	0	0	4	17.4%	0	1	0	3	
LLANO	0	8	1	Q	0	9	17.0%	. 0	2	, 0	7	
LOVING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
LUBBOCK	13	145	157	. 0	0	302	11.5%	. 0	72	0	230	
LYNN	0	2	0	0	0	2	5.1%	0	0	0	230	
MCCULLOCH	44	3	. 1	0	0	4	8.3%	0	1	0	3	
MCLENNAN	153	410	450	45	68	973	62.4%	45	363	0	565	
MCMULLEN	n	0		•		^	n n•/	, ,		n	n.	
MADISON	0		0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
MARION	0	, 1 0	5 0 3	0	0	1 3	2.1%	0	0	0	. 1	
MARTIN :	0	0	0	1			7.5% 6.3%	0	1 0	0	. 2 0	4
MASON	0	0	0	1	. 0,	0	0.0%	, 1 .	· U	0	, U	₹

County	Num Refs in			errals in S	ecure Dete	ntion	Number of Referrals in Secure Detention					
	Non-Secure	Delin Behavior CINS Behavior				% of Refs	Less tha	n 24 hrs	24 hrs or more			
	Placement	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Status	Other	Status	Other	
MATAGO	RDA 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
MAVERI	CK 0	22	1	0	. 0	23	12.4%	0	7	. 0	16	
MEDINA	0	19	22	3	1 1	45	26.8%	3	10	0	32	
MENARD	11	2	. 0	0	0	2	15.4%	. 0	1	. 0 .	1	
MIDLAN	D 0	197	223	302	33	755	86.8%	302	359	0	94	
MILAM	0	3	0	0	0	3	1.8%	0	0	0	3	
MILLS	0	1	0	0	0	1	33.3%	. 0	0	. 0	1	
MITCHE	LL 0	2	• 1	0	0	3	3.0%	0	2	. 0	1	
MONTAG	UE 0	3	1	0	0	4	6.5%	0	1	0	3	
MONTGO	MERY 0	102	157	0	0	259	29.6%	0 -	74	0	185	
MOORE	0	7	18	0	2	27	5.4%	0	12	0	15	
MORRIS	0	2	. 0	0	0	2	2.2%	. 0	0	,0	2	
MOTLEY	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	. 0	0	0	
NACOGD	OCHES 0	21	20	0	0	41	9.4%	. 0	. 0	, 0	41	
NAVARR	0 . 0	24	10	0	. 0	34	24.8%	0	0	0	34	
NEWTON	0	2	5	. 0	0	7	15.9%	0	. 2	0	5	
NOLAN	0	10	5	2	0	17	8.2%	2	4	0	11	
NUECES	0	572	511.	24	84	1,191	27.1%	24	538	0	629	
OCHILT	REE 0	7	1	0	1	9	16.7%	0	3	0	6	
OLDHAM	14	2	0	Ö	0	. 2	10.5%	0	0	0	2	
ORANGE	0	44	30	14	0	. 88	16.4%	11	21	3	53	
PALO P	O OTNI	3	1	. 0	0	4	3.1%	0	4	0	0	
PANOLA	. 1	3	6	. 1	3	13.	9.4%	1	. 0	0	12	
PARKER	0	- 31	15	. 1	0	47	11.7%	1	46	Ö	0	
PARMER	0	1	1	0	0	2	3.8%	0	, 0	0	2	
PECOS	0	14	24	8	8	54	21.6%	5	28	3	18	
POLK	1	20	2	0	0	22	9.3%	0	0	0	22	
POTTER	0	81	41	4	2	128	11.1%	3	30	1	94	
PRESID	10 0	0	0	0 .	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	
RAINS	0	. 0	0	2	0	2	4.8%	2	0	0	0 .	
RANDAL	L 1	34	56		1	92	15.5%	1	16	0	75	
REAGAN		3	0	0	0	3	21.4%	0	0	0	3	
REAL	0	1	0 '	0	0	1	12.5%	0	0	0	1	
RED RI	VER 0	. 4	0	1	0	5	2.2%	1	1	. 0	3	
REEVES		29	31	16	8	84	60.9%	13	30	3	38	
REFUGI	0 0	6	2	1	0	9	14.1%	1	6	0	2	
ROBERT		0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	. 0	
ROBERT		15	. 1	0	0	16	21.6%	0	2	0	14	
ROCKWA		11	16	12	0	39	28.1%	11	7	1	20	
RUNNEL		.4	. 2	0	0	6	10.2%	0	2	0	4	

	Num Refs in	Numbe	er of Refe	rrals in S	ecure Dete	ntion	b	lumber of R	eferrals	in Secure D	etention
	Non-Secure	Delin Bo	ehavior	CINS Bel	havior		% of Refs	Less than	24 hrs	24 hrs o	r more
County	Placement	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Status	Other	Status	Other
RUSK	0	10	3	0.	1	14	3.8%	0	14	0	0
SABINE	0	1	1	0	0	2	4.9%	0	0	.0	2
SAN AUGUST	INE 0	2	0	2	. 0	4	36.4%	0	Ó	2	2
SAN JACINT	0 0	0	3	0	0	3	1.5%	0	1	0	2
SAN PATRIC	10 0	50	50	Ō	0	100	27.8%	0	23	, 0	77
SAN SABA	0	4	1	0	. 0	5	16.7%	0	1	0	4
SCHLEICHER		2	0	0	0	. 2	10.5%	0	0	0	2
SCURRY	0	10	1	0	. 0	11	9.4%	. 0	1	. 0	10
SHACKELFOR		2	0	0	0	2	16.7%	0	0	0	2
SHELBY	1	21	. 0	0.	0	21	26.9%	0	0	0	21
SHERMAN	0	. 0	0	0	O	0	0.0%	, 0	0	0	. 0
SMITH	0	111	124	2	0	237	33.4%	2	60	0	175
SOMERVELL	0	0	0	0	0	257	0.0%	0	0	0	0
STARR	0	10	2	0	0	12	2.5%	. 0	4	0	8
STEPHENS	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	.0
STERLING	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0.0%	0	0	0	, 0
STONEWALL	. 0	1	0	0	0	. 1	16.7%	, 0 ,	. 0	0	1
SUTTON	0	2	0	6	. 0	8	19.0%	. 6	0	0	2
SWISHER	0	1	2	. 0	0	. 3	3.4%	0	1	0	.2
TARRANT	0	1,190	620	40	27	1,877	31.0%	40	650	0	1,187
TAYLOR	0	193	313	245	13	764	51.3%	245	232	0	287
TERRELL	0	1	0	. 0	0	, 1	20.0%	0	.0	0	1
TERRY	0 4	1	0	0	0	1	0.7%	0	1	0	0
THROCKMORT	ON O	2	.0	0	. 0	2	50.0%	0	. 0	. 0	2
TITUS	. 0	1	0	. 0	0	1	1.1%	0	. 1	0	0
TOM GREEN	0	93	84	, 18	7	202	17.6%	18	184	0	0
TRAVIS	0	775	697	. 11	22	1,505	27.2%	11	571	. 0	923
TRINITY	0	. 2	0	0	0	2	4.3%	0	1	0	1
TYLER	0	0	2	. 0	3	5	6.1%	0	1	0	4
UPSHUR	4,	- 10	21	8	2	41	22.8%	8	19	0	14
UPTON	0	2	0	0 .	0	2	8.7%	0	0	0	2
UVALDE	0	8	7	0	1	16	7.0%	0	6	0	10
VAL VERDE	0	73	48	0 .	14	135	24.5%	0	31	. 0	104
VAN ZANDT	0	8	2	4	0	14	14.4%	4	4	0	6
VICTORIA	, <mark>o</mark>	107	52	0	6	165	17.5%	0	45	. 0	120
WALKER	0	10	3	O	. 0	13	5.2%	0	4	0	9
WALLER	0	51	11 .	1	5	68	52.7%	1	30	0	37
WARD	0	. 3	5	0	0	8	6.3%	0	. 0	0	8
WASHINGTON		9	13	3	3	28	31.8%	2	5	1	20
WEBB	6	234	208	ب 69	23	534	49.5%	69	465	0	0

	Num Refs in	Number of Referrals in Secure Detention					Number of Referrals in Secure Detention				
	Non-Secure	Delin B	ehavior	CINS Bel	navior		% of Refs	Less tha	n 24 hrs	24 hrs	or more
County	Placement	Felony	Other	Status	Other	Total	Detained	Status	Other	Status	Other
WHARTON	0	20	2	0	0	22	11.8%	0	4	0	18
WHEELER	. 0	1.	. 0	0	0	1	1.9%	0	1	0	0
WICHITA	4	103	117	87	8	315	50.8%	87	87	0	141
WILBARGER	0	10	7	1	0	18	15.3%	. 1	12	0	5
WILLACY	0	27	4	2	1	34	13.8%	0	0	2	32
WILLIAMSON	49	184	170	0	30	384	56.1%	0	198	0	186
WILSON	0	12	. 5	8	2	27	40.3%	. 8	2	0	17
WINKLER	0	4	. 2	. 0	0	6	8.6%	0	3	0	3
WISE	0	15	7	0	0	22	12.9%	. 0	1	0	21
WOOD	. 0	11	. 9	0	0	20	11.3%	0	2	0	18
YOAKUM	. 0	1	0	, 0	. 0	1	4.2%	. 0	0	0	1
YOUNG	0	2	1	2	0	. 5	4.8%	2	0	0	3
ZAPATA	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	. 0	O	0
ZAVALA	0	13	8	0	1	22	28.9%	0	. 0	0	22
Totals	666	17,133	12,541	2,191	831	32,696	30.0%	2,026	13,929	165	16,576

JUVENILE PROBATION DISPOSITION ACTIVITY SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY ALPHABETICAL BY COUNTY

	Prob Dep Counseled	ot Disps Informal	Prosecution	secution or Adjud	Court Disposition Adjud	ns Certified	Plac	ements
County	& Released	Adjustment	Refused/Dism	Prob	TYC	as Adult	Voluntary	Crt Ordered
ANDERSON	13	27	10	. 15	2	1	2	0
ANDREWS	89	30	1	22	0	0	O	15 .
ANGELINA	351	95	186	89	6	0	13	24
ARANSAS	22	9	9	8	3	0	, 0	3
ARCHER	1	4		2	. 1	0	0	. 0
ARM##IRONG	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATASCOSA	54	22	57	23	0	0	0	7
	87		17	10	. 1	0 ,	. 1	3
AUSTIN		7			1	0	0	2
BAILEY	66	8	3	5	•		1	4
BANDERA	23	17	4	5	2	. 0,	1	
BASTROP	30	13	13	29	2	0		9
BAYLOR	36	3	5	10	2	0	. 0	0
BEE	16	12	39	42	. 5	2	2	19
BELL	269	313	84	121	37	2	2	3
BEXAR	2,124	904	1,217	1,154	208	24	0	59
BLANCO	7	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
BORDEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOSQUE	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	1
BOWIE	601	65	28	28	3	2	. 0	3
BRAZORIA	1,344	166	297	365	61	8	10	72
DD4700	560	400	105	60	•		. 0	22
BRAZOS		108	105		8	0		
BREWSTER	20	. 4	2	2	.0		, 0.	1
BRISCOE	5	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
BROOKS	17	10	16	6	0	0	3	1
BROWN	90	24	12	65	8	2	0	0
BURLESON	11	15	5	. 4	1	0	2	
BURNET	134	45	6	9	1 .	. 0	6	2
CALDWELL	73	18	18	22	3	0	0	1 1
CALHOUN	161	0	32	2	1	. 0	0	. 0
CALLAHAN	9	13	0	0	1	. • 0	0	. 0
CAMERON	1,051	171	209	206	40	1	10	75
CAMP	14	12	13	6	0	0	0	1
CARSON	9	6	0	1	0	. 0	0	0
CASS	101	22	15	19	3	0	0	0
CASTRO	14	4	1	1	0	.0	0	0
0114115-5-5								·
CHAMBERS	20	17	14	22	0	0	0	3
CHEROKEE	51	91	5	18	0	0	4	1
CHILDRESS	5	6	0	0	1	0	0	
CLAY	6	13	2	2	0	0	0	
COCHRAN	4	8 .	0	15	0	0 -	0	

JUVENILE PROBATION DISPOSITION ACTIVITY

SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY ALPHABETICAL BY COUNTY (continued)

	Prob Dep	ot Disps	Pros	ecution or Co	urt Disposition	ns	Plac	ements
	Counseled	Informal	Prosecution	Adjud	Adjud	Certified		
County	& Released	Adjustment	Refused/Dism	Prob	TYC	as Adult	Voluntary	Crt Ordered
COKE	10	11	1	2	0	0	0	0
COLEMAN	14	13	* 3	1	3	0	0	. 1
COLLIN	326	277	239	108	10	, 2	10	16
COLLINGSWO	ORTH 6	11 ,	0	0.	0	0	· c	0
COLORADO	38	9	22	15	0	0	0	. 4
COMAL	145	91	45	42	2	0	16	9
COMANCHE	. 1	7	3	5	1	0	1	1
CONCHO	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
COOKE	23	5	15	23	. 1	0.	0	2 •
CORYELL	67	30	12	19	3	0	2	1
COTTLE	19	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
CRANE	0	0	. 0	8	0	0	. 0	. 0
CROCKETT	43	7	0	6	0	0	. 0	0
CROSBY	19	9	0	13	1	0 .	0	1.
CULBERSON	9	2	0	0	i 0 °	0	0	0
DALLAM	29	20	23	11	. 0	0	0	4
DALLAS	2,570	1,891	3,446	1,330	263	38	95	354
DAWSON	43	17	34	11	3	0	0 '	4
DEAF SMITH	i 157	. 44	39	70	1	3	2	8
DELTA	6	3	0	0	. 0	0	0 1	0
DENTON	337	284	126	131	4	0	3	27
DEWITT	52	17	19	25	1	0	3	3
DICKENS	15	. 6	4 .	3	0	0	. 0	0
DIMMIT	11	40	0	5	1	0	0	0
DONLEY	0	1	0	0	, 1 .	0	. 0	0
DUVAL	48	5	10	8	0	0	0	3
EASTLAND	47	35	O	6	0	1	0	0
ECTOR	329	88	114	85	1 ,	1	. 0	112
EDWARDS	9	9	0	4	0	0	0	0
ELLIS	311	95	51	68	5	2	1	3
EL PASO	719	251	238	445	46	17	3	195
ERATH	30	36	5	29	1	0	8	8
FALLS	. 7	44	2	4	1	. 0	2	. 1
FANNIN	16	7	5	5	0	. 1	2	0 1
FAYETTE	. 16	10	8	4	1	0	1	2
FISHER	53	12	12	16	2	0	0	0
FLOYD	39	4	. 0	9	1	0	0	6
FOARD	13	0	1	1	0	. 0	0	0
FORT BEND	549	110	206	276	20	5	1	6
FRANKLIN	18	7	0	5	1	0	0	0

	Prob Dep Counseled	Informal	Prosecution	Adjud	Adjud	Certified			
County	& Released	Adjustment	Refused/Dism	Prob	TYC	as Adult	Voluntary	Crt Ordered	
FREESTONE	50	11	0	6	0	0	0	0	
FRIO	162	43	38	10	3	1	0	2	
GAINES	18	12	0	. 7	0 .	0	1	1	
GALVESTON	1,485	0	0	219	30	4	0	28	
GARZA	20	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	
GILLESPIE	56	30	2	2	3	. • 0	1	2	
GLASSCOCK	3	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
GOLIAD	17	1 -	7	7	1	0	0	2	
GONZALES	45	22	12	10	3	. 1	7	6	
GRAY	38	9	4	48	2	0		6	
GRAYSON	219	122	126	70	12	0	3	9	
GREGG	455	111	66	115	8	. 1	5	22	
GRIMES	64	13	4	2	1	0	0	. 1	
GUADALUPE	472	117	83	51	2	.0	9	11	
HALE	127	39	99	38	3	0	0	11	
HALL	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	
HAMILTON	3	8	, 2	2	0	0	. 0	0	
HANSFORD	7	6	2	3	0	0	0	1	
HARDEMAN	25	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	
HARDIN	179	118	9	32	4	0	2	6	
HARRIS	6,855	519	2,910	2,324	431	37	0	570	
HARRISON	225	28	15	127	13	. 0	. 0	2	
HARTLEY	14	2	6	4	0	0	Ö	1	
HASKELL	4	5	. 2	5	0	. 0	. 0	0	
HAYS	204	54	44	82	4	0	33	3	
HEMPHILL	25	10	0,	0	0	0	. •0	0	
HENDERSON	108	44	10	19	2	0	2	0	
HIDALGO	127	298	106	412	. 46	6	2	114	
HILL	397	. 3	0	17	0	1	1	1	
HOCKLEY	54	21	22	31	13	· 0	. 0	4	
HOOD	147	55	17	25	5.	0	2	12	
HOPKINS	144	20	2	22	2	1	3	3	
HOUSTON	11	13	1	7 *	3	. 1	0	0	
HOWARD	279	20	44	26	2	1	0	10	
HUDSPETH	28	9	0	. 2	0	. 0	0	0	
HUNT	208	12	31	34	0	1	0 ,	8	
HUTCHINSON	21	58	0	34	0	0	0	7	
IRION	8	0	6	. 1	0 ,	0	0	0	
JACK	6	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	
JACKSON	4	12	2	1.7	2	0	1	2	

JUVENILE PROBATION DISPOSITION ACTIVITY

SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY ALPHABETICAL BY COUNTY (continued)

		Prob Dep	t Disps	Pro	secution or	Court Disposit	ions	Plac	ements
		Counseled	Informal	Prosecution	Adjud	Adjud	Certified		
	County	& Released	Adjustment	Refused/Dism	Prob	TYC	as Adult	Voluntary	Crt Ordered
	JASPER	129	28	9	24	3	0	9	2
	JEFF DAVIS	0	. 0	2	. 3	0	0	0	0
	JEFFERSON	633	289	432	196	25	16	24	45
	JIM HOGG	. 0	5	4	. 1	.0	0	. 5	. 0
	JIM WELLS	218	99	19	26	1	0	3	0
	JOHNSON	256	52	67	. 133		. 1	2	23
	JONES	98	40	18	4	Ü	0	1	0
,	KARNES	16	31	16	7	0	. 0	0	1
	KAUFMAN	93	16	24	25	2	0	0	1
	KENDALL	28	4	36	. 15	0	0	0	0
	KENEDY	2	0	• 0	.0	0	. 0	0	0
	KENT	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0
	KERR	143	25	72	45	2	2	1	18
	KIMBLE	7	10	2	3	0	0	1	2
	KING	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	KINNEY	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
		3 143		24	0	1			0
	KLEBERG	29	98		8 0	0	0	0	. 0
	KNOX	189	2 8	5 0	10	2	0	0	
	LAMAR	24	13	7		0	1	0	0
	LAMB	4	13		5			, ,	. 0
	LAMPASAS	59	70	2	1	0	1	20	. 3
	LASALLE	11	. • 9 •	, 5	2	, . 0	0	0	0
	LAVACA	35	16	3	3	1	0	1	. 0
	LEE	26	. 8	13	8	. 2	0	• 1	0
	LEON	17	2	0	0	. 0	0	0.	. 0
	LIBERTY	84	102	18	15	4	0	0	0
	LIMESTONE	76	15	14	28	. 1	0	. 1	4
	LIPSCOMB	23	15	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
	LIVE OAK	7	7	5 ,	2	. 1	0	. 1	2 .
	LLANO	28	14	3	. 3	3	0	0	0
	LOVING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LUSBOCK	891	589	813	148	14	4	2	31
	LYNN	37	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MCCULLOCH	14	20	4	9	0 ,	1	0	2
	MCLENNAN	528	499	460	166	43	6	0	10
	MCMULLEN	0	0	0	0	0.	0	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0
	MADISON	23	15	0	6	0	0	0	0
_	MARION	29	3.	9	4	0	0	0	2
	MARTIN	6	7:	1	1	0	0	0	0
	MASON	10	15	0	0	0	0	0	0

JUVENILE PROBATION DISPOSITION ACTIVITY SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY

ALPHABETICAL BY COUNTY (continued)

	Prob Dep Counseled	t Disps Informal	Prosecution	ecution or Co Adjud	urt Dispositior Adjud	Certified	Place	ements
County	& Released	Adjustment	Refused/Dism	Prob	TYC	as Adult	Voluntary	Crt Ordered
MATAGORDA	100	50	2	10	2	0	2	1
MAVERICK	115	50	0	. 7	4	0	0	0
MEDINA	100	37	5	11	9	0	1	5
MENARD	8	3	1	1	0	0 '	0	0
MIDLAND	589	103	105	86	5	2	0	16
MILAM	95	57	5	19	3	2	0	3
MILLS	2	0	0	. 0	1	0	0 ,	. 0
MITCHELL	78	5	4	8	0	0	0	0
MONTAGUE	17	14	6	.25	3	0	0	. 0
MONTGOMERY	408	13	41	50	7	2	0	. 6
MOORE	357	21	101	23	0	0	0	0
MORRIS	59	18	7	6	0	0	0	0
MOTLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NACOGDOCHES	405	48	1	30	11	2	16	4
NAVARRO	49	36	14	23	0	2	0	7
NEWTON	24	10	1	3	, . 0	0	3	1
NCLAN	183	9	10	24	2	0	0	0
NUECES	2,793	307	653	326	58	6	1	36
OCHILTREE	37	9	7	5	0	1	0	8
OLDHÀM	17	0	0	3	0	0	. 0	0
ORANGE	283	23	96	82	8	0	2	2
PALO PINTO	29	28	40	16	5	0	1	0
PANOLA	64	46	7.	10	. 1	0	1	1
PARKER	249	98	20	32	6	, 0	6	8
PARMER	21	11	6	6	0	0	0	1
PECOS	147	70	11	18	0	2	1	4
POLK	109	31	11	65	5	Ō	0	1
POTTER	186	150	619	94	15	0	. 0	30
PRESIDIO	1	6	0	1	0	Ō	0	0
RAINS	23	11	0	2	0	0	0	0
RANDALL	267	54	103	116	5	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0	29
REAGAN	3	4	0	2	, a	0	0	29 0
REAL	5	0			1	. 0	0	
RED RIVER			2 8	1 g	0	υ Δ		0
REEVES	200 96	14 12	6	8 24	0	0	4 3	2
REFUGIO	31	13	1	12	0	0	0	. 0
ROBERTS	0	3	0	. 0	0	0		
						0	0	0
ROBERTSON ROCKWALL	22 78	45 21	9	33 14	0	1	0	
KOCKMALL	78 16	23	9 13	. 16 . 3	2	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	

JUVENILE PROBATION DISPOSITION ACTIVITY

SUMMARY OF 1992 REPORTED ACTIVITY ALPHABETICAL BY COUNTY (continued)

	Prob Dep	ot Disps	Pros	ecution or C	ourt Disposition	ns	Plac	ements
	Counseled	Informal	Prosecution	Adjud	Adjud	Certified		
County	& Released	Adjustment	Refused/Dism	Prob	TYC	as Adult	Voluntary	Crt Ordered
RUSK	195	42	88	9:	2	0	10	3
SABINE	30	4	0	6	1	0	3	0
SAN AUGUSTIN	E 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
SAN JACINTO	130	21	3	37	2	0	0	1
SAN PATRICIO		42	118	76	11	. 0	10	24
SAN SABA	8	15	1	4	1	: O	3	4
SCHLEICHER	0	4	10	4	0	0	0	2
SCURRY	46	8	34	26	2	0	0	. 0
SHACKELFORD	14	5	0	0	0	0	Ô	0
SHELBY	65	8	0	0	3	1	1	. 0
SHERMAN	5	0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0	0	0	0	0
SMITH	104	163	292	66	10		0	37
					- 0	4 0	_	
SOMERVELL	57	7	0	0		. •	0	0
STARR	376	60	6	23	2	0	4	3
STEPHENS	34	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
STERLING	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STONEWALL	6	. 0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0
SUTTON	31	9	0	2	0	0	0	0
SWISHER	50	9	6 .	22	0	0	. 0	3
TARRANT	3,163	240	1,627	868	126	25	57	37
TAYLOR	770	551	104	62	6	0	17	43
TERRELL	1	3	0	1	. 0	0	, 0	0
TERRY	125	0	4	7	0	0	2	1
THROCKMORTON	2	0	0	4	, 1	0	. 0	0
TITUS	54	10	27	6	2 .	0	2	1
TOM GREEN	629	171	177	69	9	0	. 1	41
TRAVIS	1,327	1,982	1,429	427	65	8	11	24
TRINITY	30	2	1	16	. 0	. 0	0 -	0
TYLER	34	39	3	1	0	0	0	. 0
JPSHUR	69	78	24	13	1	. 0	, 1	2
JPTON	10	10	2	0	0	0	. 0	0,
JVALDE	138	19	21	48	2	1	3	5
AL VERDE	298	176	5	49	3	2	0	0
AN ZANDT	56	20	2	11	1	0	o o	0
/ICTORIA	537	75	180	45	11	3	0	. 0
J ALKER	127	37	81	2	1	0	0	. 1
VALLER	112	3	8	5	0	0	0	0
VALLER VARD	84	31	4	7	0	. 0	3	2
ASHINGTON	35	12	4 26	6	2	. 1	0	11
	رر	16	- 20	0	۷		U	

	Prob Dep	t Disps	Pros	ecution or Co	urt Disposition	s	Place	ements
	Counseled	Informal	Prosecution	Adjud	Adjud	Certified		
County	& Released	Adjustment	Refused/Dism	Prob	TYC	as Adult	Voluntary	Crt Ordered
WHARTON	44	12	37	20	3	0	0	1
WHEELER	42	13	0	0	0	0	0	0 •
WICHITA	233	176	117	81	8	1	0	46
WILBARGER	61	11	6	8	5	. 0	0	0
WILLACY	161	58		4	· 2	. 0	38	2
WILLIAMSON	482	87	27	69	8	. 2	4	7
WILSON	11	21	12	5	0	0	1	2
WINKLER	39	11	10	1	. 0	0	Ö	. 1
WISE	74	66	. 4	27	0	2	1 .	17
WOOD	66	29	42	45	6	0	· Ó	. 0
YOAKUM	16	5	1	3 ,	0	0.	. 0	0
YOUNG	51	15	6	13	1 1	0	2	0
ZAPATA	40	2	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
ZAVALA	26	40	0	0	2	0	. 0	0
Totals	47,972	15,909	19,457	13,391	1,944	279	575	2,587

County 01/01/92 Crt Ord ANDERSON 31 ANDREWS 46	15 27 28 40 85 101 6 12 2 4	Leaving Supercript Crt Ordered 13 25 56 7	Other	Prob Supv 12/31/92 	01/01/92 12/31/92 82 153	01/01/92 12/31/92 155	Probation Terminations 3
ANDREWS 46	28 40 85 101 6 12 2 4	25 56 7	39 128	50			3
	85 101 6 12 2 4	56 7	128		153	2.7	
ANGELINA 125	6 12 2 4	7		127		267	0
	2 4		15	141	828	1,139	9
ARANSAS 24		0	10	20	72	114	3
ARCHER 4	0 0		, 7 .	3	9	19	0
ARMSTRONG 0	0 0	0	0	0	2	2	0
ATASCOSA 41	23 25	· 19	40	30	156	245	0
AUSTIN 14	10 11	. 5	17	13	132	167	0
BAILEY 8	6 8	8	5	9	89	111	. 0
BANDERA 13	5 18	10	13	13	55	91	1
BASTROP 41	28 19	24	14	50	86	174	4
BAYLOR 5	10 3	, 6	4	8	56	74	3
BEE 91	42 58	37	73	81	105	296	3
BELL 228 1.	21 525	73	434	367	890	1,764	37
BEXAR 1,756 1,1	49 975	829	673	2,378	7,016	10,896	233
BLANCO 3	2 3	0	4	4	11	19	0
BORDEN 0	0 . 0	0	0	0	0	0.	0
BOSQUE 0	2 . 4	0	1	5	8	14	0
BOWIE 48	29 66	19	70	54	780	923	3
BRAZORIA 188 2	31 139	147	96	315	2,345	2,903	20
BRAZOS 119	55 120	59	110	125	880	1,174	5
BREWSTER 6	2 5	0	8	5 .	26	39	. 0
BRISCOE 0	0 , 3	0	0 0	3	12	15	. 0
BROOKS 23	5 9	12	14	11	62	99	0
BROWN 48	65 23	44	23	69	205	341	. 3
BURLESON 19	4 21	10	18	16	50	94	. 1
BURNET 40	10 46	12	52	32	182	278	1
CALDWELL 21	22 . 21	17	13	34	150	214	. 0
CALHOUN 11	5 5	5	. 3	13	196	217	0
CALLAHAN 16	0 14	0	24	6	22	52	0
CAMERON 481 2	04 787	155	687	630	1,862	3,334	24
CAMP 2	5 14	0	8	13	42	63	0
CARSON 1	1 6	0	4	4	16	24	0
CASS 34	19 21	15	31	28	157	231	2
CASTRO 9	1 3	8	,0	5	17	30	0
CHAMBERS 11	19 21	10	19	22	64	115	0.
CHEROKEE 66	18 96	24	83	73	178	358	0
CHILDRESS 7	0 11	. 0	13	. 5	14	32	0.
CLAY 3	3 13	2	11	6	23	42	2
COCHRAN 36	14 8	21	6	31	28	86	0

					•		New Refs	Total Juv		
	Juv Rec'v	New Probat	ioners	Probation	oners	Juv Rec'v	Processed	Handled	Unsuccessful	
	Prob Supv	Added to Sup	ervision	Leaving Sup	ervision	Prob Supv	01/01/92	01/01/92	Probation	
County	01/01/92	Crt Ordered	Other	Crt Ordered	Other	12/31/92	12/31/92	12/31/92	Terminations	
COKE	0	2	10	0	. 4	8	35	47	0	į
COLEMAN	16	1	13	5	19	6	32	62	1	
COLLIN	165	113	288	56	267	243	1,009	1,575	8	
COLLINGSWORT	H 2	0	. 11	0	. 11	2	17	30	, 0	
COLORADO	14	15	10	14	5	20	84	123	0	
COMAL	88	41	99	45	80	103	449	677	0	
COMANCHE	1	5	7	1	0	12	18	31	1	
сомсно	. 2	. 0	. 3	0	3	, · · · · ž	9	14	0	
COOKE	39	23	5	35	5	27	64	131	0	
CORYELL	52	22	30	23	31	50	162	266	1,	
COTTLE	6	0	. 0	5	0 .	1	21	27	. 0	
CRANE	0	8	0	0	0	8	8	16	0	
CROCKETT	8	7	9	3	13	8	51	75	0	
CROSBY	17	15	9	21	13	7	44	85	0	
CULBERSON	1	0	, 2 ,	0	2	1	11	. 14	0	
DALLAM	10	10	20	. 6	24	10	7 4	114	1	Ì
DALLAS	2,659	1,359	2,219	1,266	1,968	3,003	9,797	16,034	140	J
DAWSON	40	11	7	23	13	22	98	156	3	
DEAF SMITH	52	70	44	69	43	54	324	490	1	
DELTA	2	0	3	1	0	4	10	15	0	
DENTON	332	140	1,029	141	885	475	1,219	2,720	1	
DEWITT	18	25	18	9	15	37	148	209	0	
DICKENS	3	3	5	0	5	6	29	40	0	
DIMMIT	14	, 5	34	.1	24	28	55	108	0	
DONLEY	1	0	4	0	5	0	4	9	0	
DUVAL	4	6	5		2	13	71	86	0	
EASTLAND	3	6	30	. 1	20	18	86	125	0	
ECTOR	186	89	93	114	88	166	618	986	0	
EDWARDS	1	4	9	0	7	7 .	22	36	. 0	
ELLIS	112	70	106	87	79	122	546	834	3	
EL PASO	497	441	272	281	285	644	1,835	3,045	113	
ERATH	38	28	36	18	40	44	124	226	. 0	
FALLS	- 11	4	43	2	28	28	56	114	0	
FANNIN	17	5 .	8	4	10	16	74	104	. 0	
FAYETTE	12	5	. 11	10	12	6	41	69	1	
FISHER	6	16	. 12	6	12	16	89	123	1 .	
FLOYD	11	8	5	11	5	8	55	79	. 5	Þ
FOARD	0	1	. 0	0	0	1 1	14	15	. 0	
FORT BEND	154	276	110	58	. 107	375	1,507	2,047	4	
FRANKLIN	10	5	. 6	4	1	16	33	54	1	

		Juv Rec¹v	New Proba		Probati		Juv Rec'v	New Refs Processed	Total Juv Handled	Unsuccessful
	County	Prob Supv 01/01/92	Added to Su Crt Ordered	other	Leaving Sup Crt Ordered	ervision Other	Prob Supv 12/31/92	01/01/92 12/31/92	01/01/92 12/31/92	Probation Terminations
	FREESTONE	12	6	10	6	8	14	71	99	0
	FRIO	17	10	44,	10	25	36	255	326	4
	GAINES	4	7	12	. 3	6	14	38	61	0
	GALVESTON	534	219	0	63	19	671	2,838	3,591	, 0.
	GARZA	2	1	1	3	0	1 .	23	27	2
	GILLESPIE	12	3	30	. 9	21	15	81	126	1
	GLASSCOCK	4	0	0	4	0	0	3	. 7	0 ,
	GOLIAD	12	7	4	12	1	10	44	67	0
	GONZALES	25	10	23	16	17	25	109	167	0
	GRAY	34	48	9	34	17	40	134	225	4
	GRAYSON	79	73	111	65	110	88	547	810	9
	GREGG	111	119	111	92	83	166	756	1,097	6
	GRIMES	13	. 2	14	2	17	10	108	137	1
	GUADALUPE	76	50	135	29	122	110	681	942	3
	HALE	63	37	39	49	38	52	292	431	5
	HALL	4	0	2	1	4	1	3	9	· 1
7	HAMILTON	· 1	2	4	0	1	6	15	22	0
	HANSFORD	10	3 ,	6	, 2 ,	13	4	21	40	. 0
	HARDEMAN	0	1	2	0	0	3	44	47	0
	HARDIN	71	33	120	47	99	78	338	562	4
	HARRIS	3,992	2,324	15,137	2,266	15,294	3,893	15,954	37,407	145
	HARRISON	114	126	27	113	43	111	407	674	11
	HARTLEY	7	3	3	3	. 4	6	28	41	0
	HASKELL	. 5	5	. 5	3	3	9	. 13	28	. 0
	HAYS	75	79	75	52	63	114	385	614	0
	HEMPHILL	1	0	9	0	3	7	35	45	. 0
	HENDERSON	38	19	47	7	43	54	224	328	3
	HIDALGO	300	407	275	257	206	519	1,218	2,200	35
	HILL	52	14	20	11	35	40	418	504	2
	HOCKLEY	17	31	21	17	16	36	138	207	7
	HOOD	61	23	63	30	66	51	237	384	4
	HOPKINS	27	23	19	11	18	40	199	268	1.
	HOUSTON	23	9	13	12	12	21	36	81	4
	HOWARD	25	24	26	22	22	31	365	440	2
	HUDSPETH	3	1 1	9	0	10	3	_ 39	52	0
	HUNT	36	33	12	11	35	35	380	461	. 1
	HUTCHINSON	58	34	58	25	69	56	129	279	0
	IRION	1 .	1 '	0	2	0	0	15	17	0
	JACK	7	0	8	4	11	1.0	12	27	0
	JACESON	18	18	12	10	5	33	52	100	2

County	Juv Rec'v	New Probationers		Probationers		Juv Rec'v	New Refs Processed	Total Juv Handled	Unsuccessful
	Prob Supv 01/01/92	Added to Sur Crt Ordered	pervision Other	Leaving Sup Crt Ordered	ervision Other	Prob Supv 12/31/92	01/01/92 12/31/92	01/01/92 12/31/92	Probation Terminations
JASPER	 26	24	27	14	20	43	206	283	2
JEFF DAVIS	1	3	0	¹ 1	0	3	5	9	0
JEFFERSON	531	149	1,062	155	983	604	1,893	3,635	41
JIM HOGG	0 ,	2	3	1	0	4	100	105	0
JIM WELLS	54	26	115	19	93	83	356	551	0
JOHNSON	246	145	148	148	193	198	852	1,391	8
JONES	19	12	44	1.1	42	32	127	202	0
KARNES	22	. 7	34	12	26	25	80	143	0
KAUFMAN	45	. 23	19	27	18	42	194	281	0
KENDALL	12	15	4	12	. 1	18	82	113	0
KENEDY	0	0	, O	0	0	0	2	2	0
KENT	0	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0
KERR	34	45	25	29	19	56	275	379	1
KIMBLE	20	3	10	, 14	8	11	22	55	. 0
KING	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0
KINNEY	0	0	5	0	1	4	8	13	0
KLEBERG	34	10	98	3	101	38	273	415	0
KNOX	0	. 0	2	0 =	0	2	36	38	0
LAMAR	37	13	13	15	25	23	313	376	. 0
LAMB	10	4	15	4	4	21	58	87	0
LAMPASAS	26	1	50	. 1 ,	43	33	133	210	· 0·
LASALLE	4	2	10	2	5	9	34	50	, 0
LAVACA	19	3	16	14	13	11	71	109	Ö
LEE	19	8	17	13	19	12	49	93	2
LEON	2	0	. 2	0	3	1	20	24	, o
LIBERTY	100	21	101	16	46	160	262	484	2
LIMESTONE	29	29	26	13	28	43	151	235	0
LIPSCOMB	. 2	1	. 8	1	5	5	38	49	1 4
LIVE OAK	14	2	13	6	15	8	23	52	0
LLANO	22	4	. 14	11	. 19	10	53	93	. 1
LOVING	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	. 0	, 0 =
LUBBOCK	639	148	599	107	367	912	2,628	4,014	9
LYNN	0	0	4	0	3	. 1	39	43	0
MCCULLOCH	26	9	20	13	26	16	48	103	0
MCLENNAN	239	166	466	104	380	387	1,560	2,431	24
MCMULLEN	0	. 0	0	0	,O	0	0,	0	0 ,
MADISON	2	7 ·	16	. 5	8	12	48	73	0
MARION	8	4	4	5	2	9	40	56	0.
MARTIN	10	1	8	9	2	8	16	35	0
MASON	2	4	15	0	15	6	25	46	0,

		Juv Rec'v	New Probationers		Probationers		Juv Rec'v	New Refs Processed	Total Juv Handled	Unsuccessful
County	County	Prob Supv 01/01/92	Added to Supe Crt Ordered	rvision Other	Leaving Supe Crt Ordered	crvision Other	Prob Supv 12/31/92	01/01/92 12/31/92	01/01/92 12/31/92	Probation Terminations
	MATAGORDA	103	10	50	6	48	109	163	326	1
	MAVERICK	20	7	47	4	16	54	186	260	0
	MEDINA	27	12	45	20	29	35	168	252	1
	MENARD	3	1	3	. 1	. 5	1	13	20	0
	MIDLAND	161	90	. 111	107	113	142	870	1,232	0
	MILAM	50	19	58	5	84	38	170	297	. 1
	MILLS	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	6	0
	MITCHELL	8	. 8	5	5	3.	13	100	121	0
	MONTAGUE	19	27	14	24	19	17	62	122	16
	MONTGOMERY	105	84	68	59	69	129	874	1,131	3
	MOORE	32	23	21	16	22	38	501	577	0
	MORRIS	12	6	18	4	14	18	89	125	0
	MOTLEY	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
	NACOGDOCHES	74	33	46	21	36	96	436	589	. 0
	NAVARRO	35	23	36	21	33	40	137	231	1
	NEWTON	8	. 3	10	0	13	. 8	44	65	0
7	NOLAN	25	25	10	23	13	24	207	267	1
	NUECES	336	321	304	201	320	440	4,401	5,362	30
	OCHILTREE	29	13	8	. 9	26	15	54	104	0
	OLDHAM	5	3	0	. 1	4	3	19	27	0
	ORANGE	42	79	26	38	22	87	537	684	6
	PALO PINTO	24	13.	29	16	29	.21	131	197	3
	PANOLA	55	11	46	22	69	21	138	250	. 1
	PARKER	38	31	105	20	80	74	402	576	3
	PARMER	7	13	11	6	7	18	53	84	0
	PECOS	37	18	71	11	65	50	250	376	2
	POLK	16	74	53	18	24	101	236	379	5
	POTTER	267	91	633	90	607	294	1,156	2,147	12
	PRESIDIO	8	0	6	0	3	11	7	21	0
	RAINS	1	2	11	1	3	10	42	56	0
	RANDALL	96	108	63	64	68	135	593	860	4
	REAGAN	2	2	5	0	2	7	14	23	0
	REAL	6	1	. 0	7	0	0	8	15	0
	RED RIVER	40	8	14	12	4	46	228	290	0
	REEVES	21	24	.12	27	12	18	138	195	0
	REFUGIO	, 11 .	13	, 15	12	11	16	64	103	0
	ROBERTS	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	6	0
	ROBERTSON	34	33	48	7	53	55	74	189	1
	ROCKWALL	8	14	23	3	13	29	139	184	0
	RUNNELS	10	3	25	3	16	19	59	97	0
		• -,	_		_		• • •			•

County	Juv Rec'v Prob Supv 01/01/92	New Probationers		Probati		Juv Rec'v	New Refs Processed	Total Juv Handled	Unsuccessful
		Added to Sup Crt Ordered	ervision Other	Leaving Sup Crt Ordered	ervision Other	Prob Supv 12/31/92	01/01/92 12/31/92	01/01/92 12/31/92	Probation Terminations
RUSK	35	9	49	4	43	46	364	457	1
SABINE	, 7	6	4	4	5	8	41	58	1
SAN AUGUSTINE	2	1	0	2	1	0 *	11	14	0
SAN JACINTO	18	37	21	12	19	45	194	270	1
SAN PATRICIO	151	75	170	78	161	157	360	756	9
SAN SABA	11	4	15	3	12	15	30	60	2
SCHLEICHER	6	. 4	4	2	6	6	19	33	1
SCURRY	21	26	8	25	9	. 21	117	172	. 0
SHACKELFORD	2	0	5	0	4	3	12	19	0
SHELBY	16	, 3 ·	22	3	11	27	78	119	. 0
SHERMAN	3	0	0	1	2	0	6	9	0
SMITH	152	68	204	69	158	197	709	1,133	3
SOMERVELL	14	_. 2	16	2	30	, 0	64	96	0
STARR	44	26	58	16	33	79	478	606	1
STEPHENS	5	. 1.	2	4 .	1	3	37	45	. 0
STERLING	. 0	. 0	0	0	0	0 ,	6	6	0
STONEWALL	2	1	. 0	3	. 0	0	6	9	0
SUTTON	1	3	14	1 ,	7	10	42	60	. • • • • •
SWISHER	29	22	9	25	7	28	87	147	4
TARRANT	796	868	1,348	719	1,247	1,046	6,049	9,061	85
TAYLOR	299	65	560	49	521	354	1,489	2,413	, , 2 .
TERRELL	0 0	1	3	0	3	1	. 5	9	. 0
TERRY	17	4	0	0	. 0	21	139	160	. 0
THROCKMORTON	. 0	4	, 0	0	. 0	4	. 4	8	0
TITUS	6	5	16	4	9	14	92	119	. 1
TOM GREEN	98	85	177	79	139	142	1,146	1,506	8
TRAVIS	1,068	358	2,611	278	2,412	1,347	5,535	9,572	59
TRINITY	6	16	12	4	5	25	46	80	0.
TYLER	. 11	2	43	2	31	23	82	138	, 0 ,
UPSHUR	74	17	85	18	90	68	180	356	1
UPTON	6	0	12	0	12	6	23	41	0
UVALDE	52	46	24	44	27	51	228	350	1
VAL VERDE	30	49	182	17	114	130	550	811	1
/AN ZANDT	5	11	25	· 1	21	19	97	138	0
VICTORIA	58	44	81	27	75	81	941	1,124	6
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
WALKER	12	3	40	. 3	23	29	248	303	0
VALLER	58	. 5	51	ı 11	42	61	129	243	0
WARD	16	7	31	7	27	20	126	180	0
WASHINGTON	27	6-	17	11	19	20	88	138	2
WEBB	175	94	276	78	297	170	1,079	1,624	1

						New Refs	iotat buv	Total Juv	
Juv Rec¹v	New Proba	ioners	Probatio	oners	Juv Rec'v	Processed	Handled	Unsuccessful	
Prob Supv	Added to Su	pervision	Leaving Supe	ervision	Prob Supv	01/01/92	01/01/92	Probation	
01/01/92	Crt Ordered	Other	Crt Ordered	Other	12/31/92	12/31/92	12/31/92	Terminations	
21	21	15	15	21	21	186	243	0	
4	0	11	Ó	6	9 '	53	68	0	
171	61	394	76	409	141	620	1,246	7	
2 .	· 7	13	3	2	17	118	140	1	
50	2	48	10	37	53	246	346	0	
105	75	91	47	97	127	685	956	6 -,	
8	5	23	. 1	22	13	67	103	0	
9	1	14	4	14	6	70	94	0	
32	29	67	20	59	49	171	299	0	
46	35	27	24	40	44	177	285	4	
4	3	5	2	5	5	24	36	0	
8	13	17	8	14	16	104	142	0	
3	0	3	. 0	3	3	42	48	0	
10	2	41	2	19	32	76	129	0	
22,005	13,259	36,456	10,775	34,336	26,609	109,158	180,878	1,289	
	Prob Supv 01/01/92 21 4 171 2 50 105 8 9 32 46 4 8 3 10	Prob Supv Added to Sup 01/01/92 Crt Ordered 21 21 4 0 171 61 2 7 50 2 105 75 8 5 9 1 32 29 46 35 4 3 8 13 3 0 10 2	Prob Supv Added to Supervision 01/01/92 Crt Ordered Other 21 21 15 4 0 11 171 61 394 2 7 13 50 2 48 105 75 91 8 5 23 9 1 14 32 29 67 46 35 27 4 3 5 8 13 17 3 0 3 10 2 41	Prob Supv Added to Supervision Leaving Support 01/01/92 Crt Ordered Other Crt Ordered 21 21 15 15 4 0 11 0 171 61 394 76 2 7 13 3 50 2 48 10 105 75 91 47 8 5 23 1 9 1 14 4 32 29 67 20 46 35 27 24 4 3 5 2 8 13 17 8 3 0 3 0 10 2 41 2	Prob Supv Added to Supervision Leaving Supervision 01/01/92 Crt Ordered Other 21 21 15 15 21 4 0 11 0 6 171 61 394 76 409 2 7 13 3 2 50 2 48 10 37 105 75 91 47 97 8 5 23 1 22 9 1 14 4 14 32 29 67 20 59 46 35 27 24 40 4 3 5 2 5 8 13 17 8 14 3 0 3 0 3 10 2 41 2 19	Prob Supv Added to Supervision Leaving Supervision Prob Supv 01/01/92 Crt Ordered Other Crt Ordered Other 12/31/92 21 21 15 15 21 21 4 0 11 0 6 9 171 61 394 76 409 141 2 7 13 3 2 17 50 2 48 10 37 53 105 75 91 47 97 127 8 5 23 1 22 13 9 1 14 4 14 6 32 29 67 20 59 49 46 35 27 24 40 44 4 3 5 2 5 5 8 13 17 8 14 16 3 0 3	Prob Supv 01/01/92 Added to Supervision 01/01/92 Leaving Supervision Crt Ordered Prob Supv 01/01/92 01/01/92 21 21 15 15 21 21 186 4 0 11 0 6 9 53 171 61 394 76 409 141 620 2 7 13 3 2 17 118 50 2 48 10 37 53 246 105 75 91 47 97 127 685 8 5 23 1 22 13 67 9 1 14 4 14 6 70 32 29 67 20 59 49 171 46 35 27 24 40 44 177 4 3 5 2 5 5 24 8 13 17 8	Prob Supv 01/01/92 Added to Supervision 01/01/92 Leaving Supervision 01/01/92 Prob Supv 12/31/92 01/01/92 01/01/92 12/31/92 01/01/92 12/31/92	

TEXAS JUVENILE PROPATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 13547, CAPITOL STATION AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3547

2015 SOUTH IH-35

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
AUSTIN, TX
PERMIT NO. 985