
JUVENILE JUSTICE DETENTION PROGRAMS

SEVEN YEAR LONGITUDINAL ANALYSIS

1986-87 THROUGH 1992-93



October, 1993

Lawton Chiles Governor

H. James Towey Secretary



DETENTION CATCHMENT AREAS




TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. SECURE DETENTION POPULATION

Secure Detention Admissions and Transfers In FY 1986-87 through FY 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Secure Detention Bed Utilization FY 1986-87 through FY 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Secure Detention Average Length of Stay FY 1986-87 through FY 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Secure Detention Reviews FY 1992-93 by Facility

II. DETENTION PROGRAM INDICATORS

Escapes

FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Client on Client Assaults FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Client on Staff Assaults FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Room Confinement FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Disciplinary Confinement FY 1988-89 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility Use of Mechanical Restraints

Direct File, Waiver or Indictment

III. DEPENDENT YOUTH IN SECURE DETENTION

Dependent Youth in Secure Detention FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

IV. DETENTION OVERTIME

Overtime Hours FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

V. HOME DETENTION


Admissions and Transfers In FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Slot Utilization FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility

Average Length of Stay FY 1986-87 through 1992-93 FY 1992-93 by Facility


Returns to Secure Detention
Failure to Appear
FY 1988-89 through 1992-93
FY 1992-93 by Facility
New Law Violations
FY 1998-89 through 1992-93
FY 1992-93 by Facility

SECURE DETENTION ADMISSIONS AND TRANSFERS IN BY FISCAL YEAR




PREPARED BY THE FLORIDA JJDP STAFF

SECURE DETENTION ADMISSIONS AND TRANSFERS IN 1992-93



PREPARED BY THE FLORIDA JJDP STAFF

1992-93 SECURE DETENTION ADMISSIONS PER 1000 POPULATION 10 - 17



SECURE DETENTION

ADMISSIONS

Admissions are youth detained and directly admitted to secure detention from intake screening. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the number of admissions to regional detention centers have decreased statewide by 8% (33,056 to 30,400).
- o Year to year increases and decreases are as follows:

Increase of 5% (33,056 to 34,868) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Decrease of 7% (34,868 to 32,287) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 3% (32,287 to 33,395) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Decrease of 15% (33,395 to 28,237) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Increase of 4% (28,237 to 29,299) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Increase of 4% (29,299 to 30,400) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

The decrease in admissions to detention centers between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 was due in great part to implementation of the Juvenile Justice Reform Act of 1990 which established new statutory detention criteria and prohibited certain uses of detention for juveniles. The number of youth being detained for contempt of court decreased 29% during the same period.

TRANSFERS IN

Transfers in are youth transferred to secure detention from another secure detention center or from a non-secure or home detention program.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the number of transfers in for detention centers has increased nearly 100% from 2,685 to 5,361.
- o Year to year increases and decreases are as follows:

Increase of 73% (2,685 to 4,654) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Increase of 42% (4,654 to 6,639) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 4% (6,639 to 6,950) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Decrease of 32% (6,950 to 4,706) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Increase of 11% (4,706 to 5,207) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Increase of 3% (5,207 to 5,361) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

- Increases of transfers in are mainly due to detention centers trying to maintain population levels at their facility by transferring youth to centers with lower populations. In FY 1992-93, of the 5,361 transfers in, 3,012 were in District 7 (Orlando, Brevard, Seminole). This was due to the regular practice of transferring excess population at Orlando to the other 2 centers in an effort to alleviate severe overcrowding.
- A secondary reason for the increase has been the movement of youth from home detention back to secure detention due to new law violations, failure to appear in court, or for technical violations of the Home Detention Contract (see spreadsheet for Home Detention on this issue).
- The decrease in transfers to secure detention centers from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91 is due in great part to implementation of the Juvenile Justice Reform Act of 1990 which established new statutory detention criteria and prohibited certain uses of detention for juveniles. A youth could not be returned to secure detention from home detention for a technical violation unless there were changed circumstances that indicated he was a threat to the community or failure to appear in court.




[FY 86-87			FY 87-88	3		FY 88-89			FY 89-90)		FY 90-91	l.		FY 91-92	2		FY 92-93	3
	Res		Trsf.	Res		Trsf	Res	ļ	Trsf.	Res		Trs									
	Days	Adm	In																		
Escambia	13,705	941	59	15,550	1,085	62	15,275	1,071	79	19,814	1,069	78	15,630	775	51	16,326	885	41	16,633	990	
Bay	8,863	549	54	12,984	614	545	11,118	432	613	11;551	417	653	6,832	353	168	8,263	401	147	9,720	497	1
Leon	9,425	751	124	10,747	916	571	9,510	801	649	9,994	862	713	9,151	694	236	9,998	746	184	12,780	780	1
Alachua	18,908	873	163	23,062	1,062	118	21,882	973	145	25,492	918	308	23,884	899	209	20,463	779	413	20,343	900	3!
Marion	19,144	866	168	19,372	914	129	18,505	799	122	27,008	973	324	22,373	774	182	25,232	948	425	22,495	845	3 t.
Duval	42,083	2,782	41	47,813	2,603	45	51,957	2,805	43	51,075	2,683	30	41,003	2,516	65	44,293	2,843	47	39,959	2,638	
Volusia	21,445	1,743	50	25,856	1,752	153	27,074	1,567	169	30,010	1,807	167	24,664	1,417	56	20,009	1,233	79	19,966	1,327	1
Pinellas	37,691	2,795	140	40,451	2,653	148	35,993	2,400	106	39,344	3,000	100	31,793	2,277	136	31,474	2,079	87	30,763	2,183	1.
Pasco				6,101	371	61	7,380	361	62	9,931	485	92	7,134	275	105	7,416	355	.49	7,610	415	1
Hillsborough	44,611	2,710	229	41,836	2,773	365	41,238	2,490	684	44,745	2,368	650	37,030	2,015	282	39,281	2,535	296	41,362	2,857	10
Manatee	14,870	829	67	14,893	822	200	13,490	644	312	14,009	619	398	11,991	650	194	11,395	719	163	10,737	780	Ľ
Polk	21,148	1,226	151	18,865	974	195	15,770	822	209	22,917	1,076	206	26,604	990	186	26,015	1,191	183	26,254	1,312	I,
Orlando	31,547	1,926	547	35,276	2,196	857	41,393	2,395	1,523	47,737	2,475	1,313	43,022	2,178	1,194	33,588	2,259	1,304	36,312	2,920	1,6:
Seminole	14,162	554	229	14,627	663	359	16,209	683	732	17,396	644	661	13,221	411	679	13,134	401	681	11,462	397	7:
Brevard	12,107	727	225	13,710	958	302	15,044	755	565	16,009	810	515	16,860	867	338	16,461	788	481	17,596	803	6
S. W. Florida	19,026	1,176	44	21,282	1,429	.9	21,130	1,304	6	22,163	1,498	25	20,084	1,277	66	22,573	1,402	12	23,271	1,492	İ
Palm Beach	39,393	2,790	. 70	40,338	2,911	63	45,167	2,719	76	35,395	2,519	92	28,370	1,934	72	20,581	1,695	149	23,027	1,661	1,
St. Lucie	15,962	974	37	18,547	1,126	71	21,957	1,043	51	22,945	1,165	114	17,461	923	73	14,872	723	68	13,356	719	:
Broward	53,737	3,505	52	58,872	3,394	96	48,170	2,660	109	47,106	2,673	151	32,119	2,484	117	19,532	2,369	112	19,440	2,235	:
Dade	82,383	5,339	235	90,966	5,652	305	85,308	5,563	384	80,232	5,334	360	72,255	4,528	297	67,770	4,948	286	61,983	4,649	2:
STATEWIDE	520 210	33.056	2 685	571,148	34 869	4.654	563,570	22 227	6.639	594,873	22 205	6.050	501,481	28,237	4,706	468,676	20,200	5,207	465,069	20.400	5,3:


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Detention Population Report. Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 11/30/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Detention Population Report. (sdadm.xls)

SECURE DETENTION BED UTILIZATION BY FISCAL YEAR





SECURE DETENTION BED UTILIZATION 1992-93



PREPARED BY THE FLORIDA JJDP STAFF

SECURE DETENTION BED UTILIZATION

Bed utilization is the percentage of beds being used as determined from the average daily population. The percentage of utilization is calculated by dividing the ADP by the number of fixed beds at a detention center then multiplying by one hundred.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 there has been a 8.3% decrease (105.9% to 97.6%) in the bed utilization rate statewide.
- o Year to year increases or decreases are as follows:

Increase of 4.3% (105.9% to 110.2%) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Decrease of 0.1% (110.2% to 110.1%) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 5.9% (110.1% to 116.0%) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Decrease of 19.3% (116.0% to 96.7%) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Decrease of 5.6% (96.7% to 91.1%) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Increase of 6.5% (91.1% to 97.6%) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.


- The major decrease in the utilization rate at detention centers statewide from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91 was due in great part to implementation of the Juvenile Justice Reform Act of 1990 which established new statutory detention criteria thereby reducing the number of admissions while for the last fiscal year retaining the existing number of beds at the centers.
- An additional factor for this decrease in the bed utilization rate is that the number of beds in secure detention has increased by 5% between FY 86-87 and FY 90-91 with new facilities at Pasco and Brevard Detention Centers.
- The 1990 Special Session of the Legislature reduced the bed capacities at a number of detention centers, with Bay losing 20 beds, Manatee 20 beds, Palm Beach 25 beds, Broward 31 beds, and Dade 30 beds. This reduction reflected by an increase in the bed utilization rates for FY 91-92.



		FY 86-87			FY 87-88			FY 88-89) · · ·		FY 89-90)		FY 90-91			FY 91-92			FY 92-93	3
			% of			% of			% of			% of			% of			% of			%
	Beds	ADP	Util.	Beds	ADP	Util.	Beds	ADP	Util.	Beds	ADP	Util.	Beds	ADP	Util.	Beds	ADP	Util.	Beds	ADP	Uti
Escambia	50	37.5	75.0%	50	42.5	85.0%	50	41.8	83.6%	50	54.3	108.6%	50	42.8	85.6%	50	44.6	89.2%	50	45.6	91
Bay	31	24.3	78.4%	52	35.5	68.3%	52	30.5	58.7%	52	31.6	60.8%	52	18.7	36.0%	- 52	22.6	43.5%	32	26.6	83.
Leon	24	25.8	107.5%	24	29.4	122.5%	24	26.1	108.8%	24	27.4	114.2%	24	25.1	104.6%	24	27.3	113.8%	36	35.0	97.:
Alachua	52	51.8	99.6%	52	63.0	121.2%	52	60.0	115.4%	52	69.8	134.2%	52	65.4	125.8%	52	55.9	107.5%	52	55.7	107.
Marion	48	52.4	109.2%	48	52.9	110.2%	48	50.7	105.6%	48	74.0	154.2%	48	61.3	127.7%	- 48	68.9	143.5%	48	61.6	128.:
Duval	113	115.3	102.0%	113	130.6	115.6%	113	142.3	125.9%	113	139.9	123.8%	114	112.3	98.5%	113	121.0	107.1%	113	109.5	96.
Volusia	60	58.8	98.0%	60	70.6	117.7%	60	74.2	123.7%	60	82.2	137.0%	60	67.6	112.7%	60	54.7	91.2%	60	54.7	91.:
Pinellas	77	103.3	134.2%	77	110.5	143.5%	77	98.6	128.1%	. 77	107.8	140.0%	77	87.1	113.1%	77	86.0	111.7%	77	84.3	109.:
Pasco		, i		25	16.7	66.8%	25	20.2	80.8%	25	27.2	108.8%	27	19.5	72.2%	25	20.3	81.2%	27	20.8	77.0
Hillsborough	93	122.2	131.4%	93	114.3	122.9%	93	113.0	121.5%	93	122.6	131.8%	93	101.5	109.1%	93	107.3	115.4%	93	113.3	121.
Manatee	. 52	39.3	75.6%	52	40.7	78.3%	52	37.0	71.2%	52	38.4	73.8%	52	32.9	63.3%	52	31.1	59.8%	32	29.4	91.
Polk	60	57.9	96.5%	60	51.5	85.8%	60	43.2	72.0%	60	62.8	104.7%	60	72.9	121.5%	60	71.1	118.5%	60	71.9	119.
Orlando	75	86.4	115.2%	75	96.4	128.5%	75	113.4	151.2%	75	130.8	174.4%	75	117.9	157.2%	75	91.8	122.4%	75	99.5	132.
Seminole	39	38.8	99.5%	39	40.0	102.6%	39	44.4	113.8%	39	47.7	122.3%	39	36.2	92.8%	39	35.9	92.1%	.39	31.4	80.:
Brevard	36	33.2	92.2%	36	37.5	104.2%	36	41.2	114.4%	39	43.9	112.6%	52	46.2	88.8%	39	45.0	115.4%	52	48.2	92.
S. W. Florida	60	52.1	86.8%	60	58.1	96.8%	60	57.9	96.5%	60	60.7	101.2%	- 60	55.0	91.7%	60	61.7	102.8%	60	63.8	106.:
Palm Beach	93	107.9	116.0%	93	110,2	118.5%	93	123.7	133.0%	93	97.0	104.3%	93	77.7	83.5%	93	56.2	60.4%	68	63.1	92.1
St. Lucie	48	43.7	91.0%	48	50.7	105.6%	48	60.2	125.4%	48	62.9	131.0%	48	47.8	99.6%	48	40.6	84.6%	48	36.6	76.:
Broward	109	147.2	135.0%	133	160.9	121.0%	109	132.0	121.1%	109	129.1	118.4%	109	88.0	80.7%	109	53.4	49.0%	78	53.3	68.:
Dade	226	225.7	99.9%	226	248.5	110.0%	236	233.7	99.0%	236	219.8	93.1%	236	198.0	83.9%	236	185.2	78.5%	206	169.8	82.4
											2011										
STATEWIDE	1,346	1,425.2	105.9%	1,416	1,560.5	110.2%	1,402	1,544.0	110.1%	1,405	1,629.8	116.0%	1,421	1,373.9	96.7%	1,405	1,280.5	91.1%	1,306	1,274.1	97.0

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Detention Population Report. Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 11/30/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Detention Population Report. (bedutl.xls)


SECURE DETENTION AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY FISCAL YEAR



.

17

SECURE DETENTION AVERAGE LENGTH OF STRY 1992-93



PREPARED BY THE FLORIDA JJDP STAFF

SECURE DETENTION

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION

Average daily populations (ADP) are calculated by dividing resident days by the number of days in the year.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the average daily population (ADP) statewide at regional detention centers has decreased by 10.6% (1,425.2 to 1,274.1).
- o Year to year increases and decreases are as follows:

Increase of 9.5% (1,425.2 to 1,560.5) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Decrease of 1.1% (1,560.5 to 1,544.0) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 5.6% (1,544.0 to 1,629.8) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Decrease of 15.7% (1,629.8 to 1,373.9) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Decrease of 6.8% (1,373.9 to 1,280.5) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Decrease of 0.5% (1,280.5 to 1,274.1) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY

The average length of stay (ALOS) is calculated by dividing resident days by the sum of beginning population, admissions and transfers in.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the ALOS for detention centers statewide has decreased by 10% (14.0 to 12.6).
- o The ALOS remained the same from FY 86-87 through FY 88-89 (14.0) with increases occurring during FY 89-90 (1%) and in FY 90-91 (3%).

SECURE DETENTION AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY

		FY 86-87	-	-	FY 87-88			FY 88-89			FY 89-90			FY 90-91			FY 91-92			FY 92-93	
	Res.			Res.			Res.		-	Res.			Res.			Res.			Res.		
	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALC
Escambia	13,705	37.5	13.2	15,550	42.5	13.2	15,275	41.8	12.8	19,814	54.3	16.5	15,630	42.8	17.8	16,326	44.6	17	16,633	45.6	15
Bay	8,863	24.3	14.1	12,984	35.5	10.9	11,118	30.5	10.3	11,551	31.6	10.5	6,832	18.7	12.5	8,263	22.6	14.7	9,720	26.6	16
Leon	9,425	25.8	10.4	10,747	29.4	7.1	9,510	26.1	6.5	9,994	27.4	6.3	9,151	25.1	9.6	9,998	27.3	10.5	12,780	35.0	- 13
Alachua	18,908	51.8	17.4	23,062	63.0	18.7	21,882	60.0	18.6	25,492	69.8	19.7	23,884	65.4	20.3	20,463	55.9	16.4	20,343	55.7	15
Marion	19,144	52.4	17.6	19,372	52.9	17.8	18,505	50.7	19.3	27,008	74.0	19.9	22,373	61.3	21.9	25,232	68.9	17.5	22,495	61.6	17
Duval	42,083	115.3	14.4	47,813	130.6	17.5	51,957	142.3	17.7	51,075	139.9	18.1	41,003	112.3	15.4	44,293	121	14.9	39,959	109.5	. 14
Volusia	21,445	58.8	11.7	25,856	70.6	13.1	27,074	74.2	14.9	30,010	82.2	14.8	24,664	67.6	16.0	20,009	54.7	14.6	19,966	54.7	13
Pinellas	37,691	103.3	12.4	40,451	110.5	13.9	35,993	98.6	13.8	39,344	107.8	12.4	31,793	87.1	12.7	31,474	86	13.9	30,763	84.3	12
Pasco				6,101	16.7	14.1	7,380	20.2	16.9	9,931	27.2	16.5	7,134	19.5	17.3	7,416	20.3	17.4	7,610	20.8	15
Hillsborough	44,611	122.2	14.5	41,836	114.3	13.0	41,238	113.0	12.6	44,745	122.6	14.3	37,030	101.5	15.4	39,281	107.3	13.4	41,362	113.3	13
Manatee	14,870	39.3	16.4	14,893	40.7	14.1	13,490	37.0	13.7	14,009	38.4	13.2	11,991	32.9	13.6	11,395	31.1	12.4	10,737	29.4	-11
Polk	21,148	57.9	14.8	18,865	51.5	15.3	15,770	43.2	14.6	22,917	62.8	17.3	26,604	72.9	21.2	26,015	71.1	18	26,254	71.9	16
Orlando	31,547	86.4	12.3	35,276	96.4	11.2	41,393	113.4	10.3	47,737	130.8	12.3	43,022	117.9	12.2	33,588	91.8	9.2	36,312	99.5	7
Seminole	14,162	38.8	16.8	14,627	40.0	13.8	16,209	44.4	11.2	17,396	47.7	12.9	13,221	36.2	11.6	13,134	35.9	11.8	11,462	31.4	9
Brevard	12,107	33.2	12.5	13,710	37.5	10.6	15,044	41.2	11.2	16,009	43.9	11.7	16,860	46.2	13.5	16,461	45	12.5	17,596	48.2	11
S. W. Florida	19,026	52.1	15.0	21,282	58.1	. 14.3	21,130	57.9	15.4	22,163	60.7	13.9	20,084	55.0	14.5	22,573	61.7	15.3	23,271	63.8	15
Palm Beach	39,393	107.9	13.4	40,338	110.2	13.1	45,167	123.7	15.5	35,395	97.0	13.0	28,370	77.7	13.5	20,581	56.2	10.8	23,027	63.1	12
St. Lucie	15,962	43.7	15.0	18,547	50.7	15.1	21,957	60.2	19.3	22,945	62.9	17.1	17,461	47.8	16.9	14,872	40.6	18	13,356	36.6	16
Broward	53,737	147.2	14.7	58,872	160.9	16.1	48,170	132.0	16.6	47,106	129.1	16.0	32,119	88.0	11.9	19,532	53.4	7.7	19,440	53.3	8
Dade	82,383	225.7	14.2	90,966	248.5	14.8	85,308	233.7	14.0	80,232	219.8	13.6	72,255	198.0	14.4	67,770	185.2	12.6	61,983	169.8	12
STATEWIDE	520,210	1,425.2	14.0	571,148	1,560.5	14.0	563,570	1,544.0	14.0	594,873	1,629.8	14.2	501,481	1,373.9	14.6	468,676	1,280.5	13.1	465,069	1,274.1	12

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92 Data Source: Detention Population Report. Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 12/11/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Detention Population Report. (sdadpals.xls)

SECURE DETENTION DETENTION REVIEWS FY 1992-93

		#	%	#	%	# With	% With	# Without	% Without	
	Number	Meet	Meet	Do Not	Do Not	Court	Court	Court	Court	Referred
	Reviewed	Criteria	Criteria	Meet Crit.	Meet Crit.	Order	Order	Order	Order	DLC
Escambia	996	834	83,7%	162	16.3%	140	86.4%	22	13.6%	109
Bay	508	417	82.1%	91	17.9%	78	85.7%	13	14.3%	63
Leon	840	752	89.5%	88	10.5%	79	89.8%	9	10.2%	83
Alachua	1,091	1,032	94.6%	59	5.4%	- 53	89.8%	6	10.2%	59
Marion	1,024	945	92.3%	79	7.7%	76	96.2%	3	3.8%	100
Duval	2,533	2,171	85.7%	362	14.3%	271	74.9%	91	25.1%	373
Volusia	1,264	1,046	82.8%	218	17.2%	218	100.0%	0	0.0%	221
Pinellas	2,122	1,888	89.0%	234	11.0%	234	100.0%	.0	0.0%	215
Pasco	440	412	93.6%	28	6.4%	- 28	100.0%	0	0.0%	15
Hillsborough	2,359	2,207	93.6%	152	6.4%	151	99.3%	1	0.7%	130
Manatee	789	728	92.3%	61	7.7%	58	95.1%	3	4.9%	61
Polk	1,564	1,245	79.6%	319	20.4%	313	98.1%	- 6	1.9%	54
Orlando	3,076	2,228	72.4%	848	27.6%	822	96.9%	26	3.1%	605
Seminole	489	337	68.9%	152	31.1%	147	96.7%	5	3.3%	116
Brevard	764	698	91.4%	66	8.6%	57	86.4%	9	13.6%	44
S.W. Florida	1,476	1,305	88.4%	171	11.6%	146	85.4%	25	14.6%	164
Palm Beach	1,618	1,557	96.2%	61	3.8%	61	100.0%	. 0	0.0%	63
St. Lucie	729	728	99.9%	1	0.1%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	3
Broward	2,380	1,927	81.0%	453	19.0%	443	97.8%	10	2.2%	439
Dade	4,776	4,464	93.5%	312	6.5%	312	100.0%	0	0.0%	291
Total	30,838	26,721	87.3%	3,917	12.7%	3,688	94.2%	229	5.8%	3,208


Prepared by PDJJPP 07-27-93 vfd (sectotls.xls)

HOME AND NON-SECURE DETENTION DETENTION REVIEWS FY 1992-93


		#	%	#	%		% With	Without	% Without		
	Number	Meet	Meet	Do Not	Do Not	Court	Court	Court	Court	Referred	Returned
	Reviewed	Criteria	Criteria	Meet Crit.	Meet Crit.	Order	Order	Order	Order	DLC	Secure
Escambia	189	184	97.4%	5	2.6%	- 5	100.0%	0	0.0%	4	18
Bay	136	114	83.8%	22	16.2%	18	81.8%	4	18.2%	27	-0
Leon	326	308	94.5%	18	5.5%	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	17	. 1
Alachua	442	406	91.9%	36	8.1%	33	91.7%	3 -	8.3%	27	1
Marion	481	448	93.1%	33	6.9%	33	100.0%	0	0.0%	31	5
Duval	1,013	995	98.2%	18	1.8%	12	66.7%	6	33.3%	16	37
Volusia	234	214	91.5%	20	8.5%	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	17	13
Pinellas	735	717	97.6%	18	2.4%	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	18	7
Pasco	124	120	96.8%	4	3.2%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	6	0
Hillsborough	389	360	92.5%	29	7.5%	29	100.0%	0	0.0%	22	15
Manatee	135	133	98.5%	2	1.5%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	1
Polk	105	99	94.3%	. 6	5.7%	5	83.3%	. 1	16.7%	2	2
Orlando	1,494	1,183	79.2%	311	20.8%	308	99.0%	-3	1.0%	115	2
Seminole	167	131	78.4%	36	21.6%	35	97.2%	1	2.8%	33	2
Brevard	186	173	93.0%	13	7.0%	. 10	76.9%	3	23.1%	8	0
S.W. Florida	395	375	94.9%	20	5.1%	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	- 0	20
Palm Beach	1,137	1,133	99.6%	4	0.4%	4	100.0%	. 0	0.0%	2	1
St. Lucie	452	445	98.5%	7	1.5%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	7	0
Broward	1,060	1,029	97.1%	31	2.9%	22	71.0%	9	29.0%	59	19
Dade	1,668	1,668	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	÷ 0	0.0%	203	0
Total	10,868	10,235	94.2%	633	5.8%	597	94.3%	36	5.7%	616	144

Prepared by PDJJPP O7-27-93 vfd (nstotls.xls)

SECURE DETENTION ESCAPES BY FISCAL YEAR FROM INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE SECURITY PERIMETER







SECURE DETENTION ESCAPES

The following spreadsheets provide data on the actual number of escapes from inside and outside the security perimeter as well as separate rates for escapes inside, outside and total escapes. An escape inside the perimeter is any escape which occurs while the youth is inside the security perimeter (fence) or inside the secure building. An escape outside the perimeter is any escape which occurs when the youth is outside the security perimeter. Escapes outside the perimeter usually occur when the youth is being transported to court or to another facility. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 to FY 92-93 there has been a 66% decrease (123 to 42) in the total number of escapes from inside and outside the security perimeter.
- o Year to year decreases in the total number of escapes are as follows:
 - 34% decrease (123 to 81) escapes FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.
 - 6% decrease (81 to 76) escapes from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.
 - 16% decrease (76 to 64) escapes from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.
 - 28% decrease (64 to 46) escapes from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.
 - 11% decrease (46 to 41) escapes from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.
 - 2% increase (41 to 42) escapes from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.
- O Between FY 86-87 to FY 92-93 there has been a 73% decrease (83 to 22) in the number of escapes from inside the security perimeter.
- o Year to year increases/decreases in the actual number of escapes from inside the security perimeter are as follows:
 - 42% decrease (83 to 48) escapes from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.
 - 15% increase (48 to 55) escapes from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.
 - 42% decrease (55 to 32) escapes from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.
 - 13% decrease (32 to 28) escapes from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.


- 11% increase (28 to 31) escapes from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.
- 29% decrease (31 to 22) escapes from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.
- o Between FY 86-87 to FY 92-93 there has been a 50% decrease (40 to 20) in the number of escapes from outside the security perimeter.
- o Year to year increases/decreases in the actual number of escapes from outside the security perimeter are as follows:
 - 18% decrease (40 to 33) escapes from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.
 - 36% decrease (33 to 21) escapes from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.
 - 52% increase (21 to 32) escapes from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.
 - 44% decrease (32 to 18) escapes from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.
 - 44% decrease (18 to 10) escapes from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.
 - 100% increase (10 to 20) escapes from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.
- o Initially there were 52% more escapes from inside the security perimeter versus outside (83 compared to 40) in FY 86-87.
- o Year to year relationships of inside to outside escapes are as follows:
 - 31% more escapes from inside versus outside in FY 87-88.
 - 62% more escapes from inside versus outside in FY 88-89.
 - Same number of escapes from inside and outside in FY 89-90.
 - 36% more escapes from inside versus outside in FY 90-91.
 - 67% more escapes from inside versus outside in FY 91-92.
 - 9% more escapes from inside versus outside in FY 92-93.

SECURE DETENTION ESCAPES FROM INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE SECURITY PERIMETER AGGREGATE TOTALS


	FY 86-87			F	Y 87-8	8	I	Y 88-8	9	F	Y 89-9	0	·]	F Y 90-9:	i		FY 91-9	2	I	Y 92-9	3 ,
	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Escambia	2	1	3	0	4	4	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	4	4
Bay	0	0	0	2	1	3	- 0	1	. 1	3	1	4	0	1	1	- 5	0	5	5	0	5
Leon	4	6	10	10	6	16	1	1	2	. 3	4	7	9	1	10	. 1	1	2	1	0	1
Alachua	3	- 3	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	4	4	4	8	1	1	2
Marion	0	2	2	0	1	1	3	0	3	4	. 1	5	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	- 3	7
Duval	18	3	21	0	6	6	2	2	4	0	8	8	0	5	5	1	- 0	1	1	3	4
Volusia	1	Ó	1	1	· 1-	2	2	2	4	1	2	3	0	2	2	- 2	. 0	2	0	1	1
Pinellas	1	1	2	- 0	2	2	2	0	2	1	1	2	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pasco	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	- 0	0
Hillsborough	27	0	27	6	0	6	1	2	. 3	1	3	4	3	1	4	1	0	1	1	1	2
Manatee	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	4	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Polk	3	3	6	- 5	1	6	0	1	1	3	0	3	2	1	.3	0	0	0	0	1	1
Orlando	3	5	. 8	1	0	1	0	1	1	5	0	5	1	1	2	4	2	6	0	4	- 4
Seminole	4	3	7	2	5	7	0	0	0	- 1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	-2	- 0	2
Brevard	0	2	2	6	0	6	- 5	. 2	7	0	3	3	1	0	1	1	- 0	1	0	1	1
S. W. Florida	2	3	5	- 0	2	2	3	1	4	1	0	1	0	- 0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
Palm Beach	6	3	. 9	6	1	7	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Lucie	0	1.	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	.1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broward	8	1	9	4	0	4	30	1	. 31	2	3	5	1	1	2	7	0	7	. 3	1	4
Dade	1	3	4	5	- 0	5	_ 2	3	5	5	0	5	3	0	3	. 3	0	3	4	0	4
STATEWIDE	83	40	123	48	33	81	55	21	76	32	32	64	28	18	46	31	10	41	22	20	42

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/28/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Report. Revised by PDJJP jib & pfp 11/30/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Report. (escs.xls)

SECURE DETENTION CLIENT ON CLIENT ASSAULTS BY FISCAL YEAR



RATE OF SECURE DETENTION CLIENT ON CLIENT ASSAULTS **DURING 1992-93**



SECURE DETENTION CLIENT ON CLIENT ASSAULTS

Client on client assaults (COC) are defined as physical assaults by clients on other clients which required first aid or medical attention, either by facility medical personnel, detention staff, or which required outside medical attention. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the number of client on client assaults increased by 348% (311 to 1,394).
- o Year to year increases or decreases are as follows:

174% increase (311 to 851) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

86% increase (851 to 1,585) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

22% increase (1,585 to 1,937) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

32% decrease (1,937 to 1,325) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

3% increase (1,325 to 1,371) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

2% increase (1,371 to 1,394) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 89-90 there was a rapid increase of 523% statewide (311 to 1,937) in the number of client on client assaults.
- o Between FY 86-87 to 89-90 secure detention bed utilization ranged from 105.9% to 116.0%, while many facilities remained under duty post staffed. High populations and low staff/client ratios could lead to increased levels of violence.
- o Part of the 32% decrease from FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 is due to a 16% decrease in ADPs and concomitant resident days.
- Overall, the continued increase in client on client assaults is indicative of the correlation between overcrowding and frequency of assaults.

Rate: In order to provide an equitable approach to reviewing this data, a rate was determined for each facility based on the number of resident days. The number of client on client assaults is compared to the size and utilization of the facility (the greater the size of the facility and the greater the utilization, the higher the number of resident days). The rate was calculated by dividing the number of client on client assaults by the number of resident days, then multiplying by 100.

SECURE DETENTION CLIENT ON CLIENT ASSAULTS

	FY 86-87 FY 87-88												,	-							
	F	Y 86-8	7	F	Y 87-8	8	F	Y 88-8	9	F	Y 89-9	0	F	Y 90-9	1	F	Y 91-9	2	F	Y 92-9	3
i .	Res.			Res.			Res.			Res.		-	Res.			Res.	. !		Res.		
	Days	COC	Rate	Days	COC	Rate	Days	coc	Rate	Days	COC	Rate	Days	COC	Rate	Days	COC	Rate	Days	COC	'Rate
Escambia	13,705	2	0.15	15,550	5	0.32	15,275	31	2.03	19,814	63	3.18	15,630	32	2.05	16,326	26	1.59	16,633	34	2.04
Bay	8,863	3	0.34	12,984	56	4.31	11,118	50	4.50	11,551	54	4.67	6,832	20	2.93	8,263	23	2.78	9,720	46	4.73
Leon	9,425	4	0.42	10,747	11	1.02	9,510	18	1.89	9,994	17	1.70	9,151	38	4,15	9,998	7	0.70	12,780	11	0.86
Alachua	18,908	0	0.00	23,062	3	0.13	21,882	62	2.83	25,492	47	1.84	23,884	117	4.90	20,463	46	2.25	20,343	72	3.54
Marion	19,144	4	0.21	19,372	13	0.67	18,505	71	3.84	27,008	104	3.85	22,373	112	5.01	25,232	145	5.75	22,495	184	8.18
Duval	42,083	91	2.16	47,813	109	2.28	51,957	139	2.68	51,075	144	2.82	41,003	93	2.27	44,293	130	2.94	39,959	150	3.75
Volusia	21,445	6	0.28	25,856	18	0.70	27,074	74	2.73	30,010	69	2.30	24,664	66	2.68	20,009	46	2.30	19,966	40	2.00
Pinellas	37,691	4	0.11	40,451	84	2.08	35,993	106	2.95	39,344	170	4.32	31,793	12	0.38	31,474	22	0.70	30,763	28	0.91
Pasco				6,101	1	0.16	7,380	1	0.14	9,931	0	0.00	7,134	1	0.14	7,416	0	0.00	7,610	0	0.00
Hillsborough	44,611	62	1.39	41,836	236	5.64	41,238	144	3.49	44,745	227	5.07	37,030	134	3,62	39,281	172	4.38	41,362	131	3.17
Manatec	14,870	11	0.74	14,893	18	1.21	13,490	55	4.08	14,009	72	5.14	11,991	73	6.09	11,395	70	6.14	10,737	35	3.26
Polk	21,148	6	0.28	18,865	32	1.70	15,770	38	2.41	22,917	46	2.01	26,604	51	1.92	26,015	47	1.81	26,254	22	0.84
Orlando	31,547	2	0.06	35,276	3	0.09	41,393	95	2.30	47,737	128	2.68	43,022	122	2.84	33,588	75	2.23	36,312	97	2.67
Seminole	14,162		0.35	14,627	42	2.87	16,209	136	8.39	17,396	150	8.62	13,221	88	6.66	13,134	3	0.23	11,462	2	0.17
Brevard	12,107	2	0.17	13,710	10	0.73	15,044	30	1.99	16,009	35	2.19	16,860	9	0.53	16,461	34	2.07	17,596	40	2.27
S. W. Florida	19,026	8	0.42	21,282	67	3.15	21,130	62	2.93	22,163	224	10.11	20,084	78	3.88	22,573	229	10.14	23,271	111	4.77
Palm Beach	39,393	25	0.63	40,338	57	1.41	45,167	244	5.40	35,395	139	3.93	28,370	54	1.90	20,581	52	2.53	23,027	96	4.17
St. Lucie	15,962	6	0.38	18,547	10	0.54	21,957	14	0.64	22,945	26	1.13	17,461	34	1.95	14,872	33	2.22	13,356	15	1.12
Broward	53,737	60	1.12	58,872	62	1.05	48,170	136	2.82	47,106	125	2.65	32,119	102	3.18	19,532	35	1.79	19,440	35	1.80
Dade	82,383	10	0.12	90,966	14	0.15	85,308	79	0.93	80,232	97	1.21	72,255	89	1,23	67,770	176	2.60	61,983	245	3.95
STATEWIDE	520,210	311	0.60	571,148	851	1.49	563,570	1,585	2.81	594,873	1,937	3.26	501,481	1,325	2.64	468,676	1,371	2.93	465,069	1,394	3.00


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Report & Detention Population Report.

Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 11/25/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Report & Detention Population Report.


Rate = Client on client assaults divided by resident days X 1000.

(coc-s.xls)

SECURE DETENTION CLIENT ON STAFF ASSAULTS BY FISCAL YEAR



RATE OF SECURE DETENTION CLIENT ON STAFF ASSAULTS **DURING 1992-93**



SECURE DETENTION CLIENT ON STAFF ASSAULTS

Client on staff (COS) assaults are defined as the number of physical assaults by clients on staff which required first aid or medical attention, either by facility medical personnel, detention staff, or which required outside medical attention. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 there has been a 243% increase (58 to 199) in the actual number of reported client on staff assaults statewide.
- o Year to year increases and decreases of the numbers of assaults are as follows:

Increase of 145% (58 to 142) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Increase of 128% (142 to 324) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Decrease of 4% (324 to 311) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Decrease of 45% (311 to 171) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Increase of 63% (171 to 278) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Decrease of 28% (278 to 199) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 88-89 there was a rapid increase of 458% statewide (58 to 324) in the number of client on staff assaults. The percentage of increase reflects a similar rapid increase in client on client assaults (523%).
- o Between FY 86-87 to FY 89-90 secure detention bed utilization ranged from 105.9% to 116.0%, while many facilities remained under duty post staffed. High populations and low staff/client ratios could lead to increased levels of violence.
- O As with client on client assaults, part of the 45% decrease from FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 is due to a 16% decrease in ADPs and the number of resident days.

Rate: In order to provide an equitable approach to reviewing this data, a rate was determined for each facility based on the number of resident days. The number of client on staff assaults is compared to the size and utilization of the facility (the greater the size of the facility and the greater the utilization, the greater the number of resident days.) The rate was calculated by dividing the number of client on staff assaults by the number of resident days, then multiplying by 100.

SECURE DETENTION CLIENT ON STAFF ASSAULTS

	F	Y 86-8	7	F	Y 87-8	8	F	Y 88-8	9	F	Y 89-9	0	I	Y 90-9	1	F	Y 91-9	2	I	Y 92-9	3
	Res.	-		Res.			Res.			Res.	Ī		Res.			Res.			Res.		
	Days	COS	Rate																		
Escambia	13,705	0	0.00	15,550	1	0.06	15,275	14	0.92	19,814	14	0.71	15,630	10	0.64	16,326	26	1.59	16,633	5	0.30
Bay	8,863	0	0.00	12,984	11	0.85	11,118	12	1.08	11,551	- 15	1.30	6,832	9	1.32	8,263	7	0.85	9,720	- 8	0.82
Leon	9,425	1	0.11	10,747	6	0.56	9,510	5	0.53	9,994	17	1.70	9,151	15	1.64	9,998	. 3	0.30	12,780	5	0.39
Alachua	18,908	1	0.05	23,062	2	0.09	21,882	10	0.46	25,492	6	0.24	23,884	12	0.50	20,463	6	0.29	20,343	5	0.25
Marion	19,144	1	0.05	19,372	1	0.05	18,505	4	0.22	27,008	1	0.04	22,373	0	0.00	25,232	3	0.12	22,495	12	0.53
Duval	42,083	8	0.19	47,813	3	0.06	51,957	15	0.29	51,075	28	0.55	41,003	15	0.37	44,293	30	0.68	39,959	17	0.43
Volusia	21,445	2	0.09	25,856	3	0.12	27,074	3	0.11	30,010	8	0.27	24,664	5	0.20	20,009	3	0.15	19,966	- 4	0.20
Pinellas	37,691	1	0.03	40,451	24	0.59	35,993	16	0.44	39,344	40	1.02	31,793	2	0.06	31,474	.2	0.06	30,763	4	0.13
Pasco				6,101	0	0.00	7,380	0	0.00	9,931	0	0.00	7,134	. 0	0.00	7,416	0	0.00	7,610	0	0.00
Hillsborough	44,611	31	0.69	41,836	37	88.0	41,238	40	0.97	44,745	28	0.63	37,030	9	0.24	39,281	16	0.41	41,362	4	0.10
Manatee	14,870	0	0.00	14,893	4	0.27	13,490	2	0.15	14,009	9	0.64	11,991	3	0.25	11,395	. 4	0.35	10,737	0	0.00
Polk	21,148	0	0.00	18,865	3	0.16	15,770	5	0.32	22,917	8	0.35	26,604	3	0.11	26,015	1	0.04	26,254	- 2	80.0
Orlando	31,547	2	0.06	35,276	2	0.06	41,393	24	0,58	47,737	19	0.40	43,022	35	0.81	33,588	55	1.64	36,312	34	0.94
Seminole	14,162	0	0.00	14,627	9	0.62	16,209	35	2.16	17,396	23	1.32	13,221	15	1.13	13,134	3	0.23	11,462	3	0.26
Brevard	12,107	1	0.08	13,710	6	0.44	15,044	65	4.32	16,009	23	1.44	16,860	10	0.59	16,461	13	0.79	17,596	14	0.80
S. W. Florida	19,026	2	0.11	21,282	5	0.23	21,130	9	0.43	22,163	30	1.35	20,084	2	0.10	22,573	59	2.61	23,271	45	1.93
Palm Beach	39,393	5	0.13	40,338	9	0.22	45,167	5	0.11	35,395	7	0.20	28,370	6	0.21	20,581	11	0.53	23,027	4	0.17
St. Lucie	15,962	1	0.06	18,547	2	0.11	21,957	7	0.32	22,945	4	0.17	17,461	3	0.17	14,872	8	0.54	13,356	4	0.30
Broward	53,737	1	0.02	58,872	- 13	0.22	48,170	39	0.81	47,106	22	0.47	32,119	16	0.50	19,532	8	0.41	19,440	5	0.26
Dade	82,383	1	0.01	90,966	1	0.01	85,308	14	0.16	80,232	9	0.11	72,255	1	0.01	67,770	20	0.30	61,983	24	0.39
STATEWIDE	520,210	58	0.11	571,148	142	0.25	563,570	324	0.57	594,873	311	0.52	501,481	171	0.34	468,676	278	0.59	465,069	199	0.43


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Report & Detention Population Report.


Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 11/25/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Report & Detention Population Report.

Rate = Client on staff assaults divided by resident days X 1000.

(coc-s.xls)

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENTS IN ROOM CONFINEMENT BY FISCAL YEAR





RATE \thickapprox NUMBER OF PLACEMENTS DIVIDED BY TOTAL RESIDENT DAYS TIMES 100 PREPARED BY THE FLORIDA JJDP STAFF

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENTS IN ROOM CONFINEMENT

Room confinement is defined as the placement of a youth in his or her sleeping room or a room designated as a confinement room for behavioral reasons for a period of time that does not exceed 6 hours excluding sleeping periods. Room confinement replaced the term "isolation" in August 1989. Placements in room confinement are the actual number of placements in room confinement during the fiscal year. A youth may have many placements. The number of youth is the actual number of youth that were placed in room confinement. During a month a youth may be placed in room confinement 3 or 5 times, but he would only be counted as one youth at the end of the month. An incident documents the precipitating event which resulted in a placement of room confinement, there may be one incident where there were three placements, of three youth. During FYs 86-87, 87-88 and 88-89, detention centers reported only the number of placements in isolation (room confinements) at their facilities. In FY 89-90 the reporting of placements in room confinement was expanded to include the number of youth placed and the number of incidents which precipitated the room confinement. The following spreadsheets provide a breakdown of number and rate of placements, the number of youth placed, and the number of incidents that precipitated confinement. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 there was a 3% decrease (11,480 to 11,166) statewide in the number of placements in room confinements.
- The rapid increase from FY 86-87 to FY 89-90 followed the rapid increase in assaults. Over the last 3 years there has been a steady decline in the number of room confinements, reflecting the drop in ADP. Actual rate of confinements has also declined, but at a slower rate.
- o Year to year increases and decreases in the number of placements are as follows:

22% increase (11,480 to 13,958) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

7% decrease (13,958 to 12,996) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

20% increase (12,996 to 15,620) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

11% decrease (15,620 to 13,915) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

10% decrease (13,915 to 12,503) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

11% decrease (12,503 to 11,166) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

- While there was a 16% reduction in the actual number of resident days at the centers between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91, there was not a commensurate decline in the number of placements during that time period resulting in the rate of placements actually being higher in FY 90-91 than in FY 89-90.
- o Between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 there was a 20% decrease (9,582 to 7,690) in the numbers of youth placed in room confinement.
- Reporting procedures do not identify specific youth being involved in repeated placements in room confinement. While the number of placements decreased 11% compared to the 20% decline in the number of youth involved in room confinements, we can not state as a conclusion at this time that fewer youth were involved in a larger number of confinements.
- o Between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 there was a 5% decrease (10,997 to 10,424) in the actual number of incidents of placements in room confinement.
- o As noted earlier, the same explanation for any analysis of the discrepancy between the number of placements and the number of youth involved applies to the analysis of the number of incidents.
- A general comparison of the number of youth placed, incidents of room confinement and placements appears to be extremely consistent, with 15 of the 20 detention centers having similar rates in relationship to statewide percentages for each category. The five centers that did not match exactly had rates that would differ only one of the three years.
- o Between FY 90-91 and FY 91-92 not only was there a 7% decrease (501,481 to 468,676) in resident days but there was also a 10% reduction (13,915 to 12,503) in the number of placements in room confinement.
- o Between FY 91-92 and FY 92-93 there was less than a 1% decrease (468,676 to 465,069) in resident days in secure detention but there was an 11% decrease (12,503 to 11,166) in the number of placements in room confinement.

Rate: In order to provide an equitable approach to reviewing this data, a rate was determined for each facility based on the number of resident days. The number of placements in room confinement is compared to the size and utilization of the facility (the greater the size of the facility and the greater the utilization, the higher the number of resident days). The placement rate was calculated by dividing the number of placements by the number of resident days and multiplying by 100. The youth rate was calculated by dividing the number of youth by the number of resident days and multiplying by 100. The incident rate was calculated by dividing the number of incidents by the number of resident days and multiplying by 100.

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENTS IN ROOM CONFINEMENT PLACEMENTS RATE

		TY 0 6 0 =		i de la constante de la consta		2227022000000				*****************							ali mananana la cian	***************************************			
		Y 86-87			Y 87-88			¥ 88-89			¥ 89-90			Y 90-91			Y 91-92			Y 92-93	
	Res. Days	Plemt.	Rate	Res. Days	Plemt.	Data	Res. Davs	Plemt.	Rate	Res. Days	Plcmt.	Data	Res. Davs	Plcmt.	Rate	Res. Davs	Plemt.	Rate	Res. Days	Dlame	D.
Escambia	13,705	405	2.96		618								 			***************************************		************		Plcmt.	
1			1)	15,550			15,275	838		19,814		6.14	15,630	856	5.48	16,326		4.70	16,633	l	3.
Bay	8,863	211	2.38	12,984		4.16	11,118	291	2.62	11,551		4.74	6,832	291	4.26	8,263	213	2.58	9,720	393	1
Leon	9,425	144	1.53	10,747		1.76	9,510	78	0.82	9,994		2.07	9,151	351	3.84	9,998	259	2.59	12,780	138	1,0
Alachua	18,908	596	3.15	23,062		2.29	21,882	492	2.25	25,492	730	2.86	23,884	792	3.32	20,463	550	2.69	20,343	533	2.0
Marion	19,144	175	0.91	19,372	274	1.41	18,505	186	1.01	27,008	439	1.63	22,373	723	3.23	25,232	323	1.28	22,495	280	1.5
Duval	42,083	1,009	2.40	47,813	1,371	2.87	51,957	1,047	2.02	51,075	1,115	2.18	41,003	666	1.62	44,293	1,441	3.25	39,959	906	2.1
Volusia	21,445	657	3.06	25,856	564	2,18	27,074	995	3.68	30,010	1,330	4,43	24,664	1,396	5.66	20,009	700	3.50	19,966	571	2.1
Pinellas	37,691	746	1.98	40,451	1,464	3.62	35,993	604	1.68	39,344	504	1.28	31,793	338	1.06	31,474	491	1.56	30,763	423	1.:
Pasco				6,101	192	3.15	7,380	125	1.69	9,931	299	3.01	7,134	276	3.87	7,416	127	1.71	7,610	129	1.
Hillsborough	44,611	776	1.74	41,836	861	2.06	41,238	728	1.77	44,745	1,023	2.29	37,030	416	1.12	39,281	1,032	2.63	41,362	1,172	2.1
Manatee	14,870	99	0.67	14,893	94	0.63	13,490	115	0.85	14,009	158	1.13	11,991	247	2.06	11,395	174	1.53	10,737		0.1
Polk	21,148	420	1.99	18,865	436	2.31	15,770	321	2.04	22,917	1,118	4.88	26,604	1,982	7.45	26.015	1,565	6,02	26,254	1,418	5.4
Orlando	31,547	1,237	3.92	35,276	805	2.28	41,393	998	2.41	47,737	1,126	2.36	43,022	1,496	3.48	33,588	939	2.80	36,312	533	1
Seminole	14,162	1,149	8.11	14,627	703	4.81	16,209	588	3.63	17,396		3.37	13,221	452	3.42	13,134	480	3.65	11,462	913	ı
Brevard	12,107	321	2.65	13,710	827	6.03	15,044	618	4.11	16,009	886	5.53	16,860	487	2.89	16.461	586	3.56	17,596	607	1.
S. W. Florida	19,026	884	4.65	21,282		5.18	21,130	692	3.27	22,163	1,096		20,084	793	3.95	22,573		2.72	23,271	293	Į
Palm Beach	39,393	537	1.36	40,338	\$200 TO \$200	2.10	45,167	1,368	3.03	35,395		2.81	28,370	882	3.11	20,581	859	4.17	23,027	1,328	ì
St. Lucie	15,962	554	3.47	18,547		4.86	21,957	1,548	7.05	22,945	1,452		17,461	765	4.38	14.872		5.47	13,356	553	
Broward	53,737	637	1.19	58,872		0.78	48,170	421	0.87	47.106			32,119	87	0.27	19,532		0.07	19,440		ŀ
Dade	82,383	923	1.12	90,966	1,180		85,308	l .	1.11	80,232		0.69	72,255	619	0.86	67,770		0.82	61,983	334	
				,	-,,-0		32,200	7.3				3.5		017	0.00	07,470	J.A	0.02	01,703	2,54	-
STATEWIDE	520,210	11,480	2.21	571,148	13,958	2.44	563,570	12,996	2.31	594,873	15,620	2.63	501.481	13.915	2.77	468,676	12,503	2 67	465,069	11,166	2.

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Report. Revised by PDJJP vfd & pfp 7/31/93.

Placements are the number of times a youth is placed in room confinement.

Rate = Number of placements divided by the total resident days X 100.

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENTS IN ROOM CONFINEMENT YOUTH RATE

	F	Y 89-90		F	Y 90-91		F	Y 91-92		F	Y 92-93	
	Res.	# of		Res.	# of		Res.	# of		Res.	# of	
	Days	Youth	Rate	Days	Youth	Rate	Days	Youth	Rate	Days	Youth	Rate
Escambia	19,814	778	3.93	15,630	521	3.33	16,326	433	2.65	16,633	285	1.71
Bay	11,551	308	2.67	6,832	159	2,33	8,263	163	1.97	9.720	210	2.16
Leon	9,994	150	1.50	9,151	257	2,81	9,998	185	1.85	12,780	119	0.93
Alachua	25,492	408	1.60	23,884	394	1.65	20,463	282	1.38	20,343	322	1.58
Marion	27,008	379	1.40	22,373	374	1.67	25,232	359	1.42	22,495	265	1.18
Duval	51,075	913	1.79	41,003	565	1.38	44,293	1,405	3.17	39,959	906	2.27
Volusia	30,010	497	1.66	24,664	602	2.44	20,009	402	2.01	19,966	305	1.53
Pinellas	39,344	440	1.12	31,793	338	1.06-	31,474	491	1.56	30,763	423	1.38
Pasco	9,931	195	1.96	7,134	143	2.00	7,416	88	1.19	7,610	131	1.72
Hillsborough	44,745	590	1.32	37,030	281	0.76	39,281	706	1.80	41,362	733	1.77
Manatee	14,009	130	0.93	11,991	160	1.33	11,395	116	1.02	10,737	67	0.62
Polk	22,917	570	2.49	26,604	812	3.05	26,015	635	2.44	26,254	582	2.22
Orlando	47,737	711	1.49	43,022	784	1.82	33,588	397	1.18	36,312	385	1.06
Seminole	17,396	377	2.17	13,221	259	1.96	13,134	334	2.54	11,462	394	3,44
Brevard	16,009	454	2.84	16,860	312	1,85	16,461	358	2.17	17,596	387	2.20
S. W. Florida	22,163	575	2.59	20,084	490	2.44	22,573	387	1.71	23,271	231	0.99
Palm Beach	35,395	632	1.79	28,370	553	1.95	20,581	582	2.83	23,027	769	3.34
St. Lucie	22,945	700	3.05	17,461	365	2.09	14,872	412	2.77	13,356	273	2.04
Broward	47,106	307	0.65	32,119	98	0.31	19,532	16	0.08	19,440	40	0.21
Dade	80,232	468	0.58	72,255	223	0.31	67,770	518	0.76	61,983	409	0.66
		-										
STATEWIDE	594,873	9,582	1.61	501,481	7,690	1,53	468,676	8,269	1.76	465,069	7,236	1.56

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Report. Revised by PDJJP vfd & pfp 7/31/93.

Youth is the number of youth placed in room confinement.

Rate = Number of youth divided by resident days X 100.

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENTS IN ROOM CONFINEMENT INCIDENT RATE

	I	Y 89-90		I	Y 90-91		1	Y 91-92		I	¥ 92-93	
	Res.	# of		Res.	# of		Res.	# of		Res.	# of	
	Days	Incidents	Rate									
Escambia	19,814	759	3.83	15,630	591	3.78	16,326	608	3.72	16,633	419	2.52
Bay	11,551	432	3.74	6,832	244	3,57	8,263	234	2.83	9,720	322	3.31
Leon	9,994	207	2.07	9,151	351	3.84	9,998	222	2.22	12,780	98	0.77
Alachua	25,492	730	2.86	23,884	738	3.09	20,463	419	2.05	20,343	407	2.00
Marion	27,008	391	1,45	22,373	719	3.21	25,232	426	1.69	22,495	392	1.74
Duval	51,075	154	0.30	41,003	115	0.28	44,293	943	2.13	39,959	719	1.80
Volusia	30,010	924	3.08	24,664	888	3.60	20,009	554	2.77	19,966	380	1.90
Pinellas	39,344	486	1.24	31,793	321	1.01	31,474	491	1.56	30,763	423	1.38
Pasco	9,931	236	2.38	7,134	215	3.01	7,416	97	1.31	7,610	122	1.60
Hillsborough	44,745	752	1.68	37,030	291	0.79	39,281	682	1.74	41,362	758	1.83
Manatee	14,009	156	1.11	11,991	243	2.03	11,395	168	1.47	10,737	76	0.71
Polk	22,917	930	4.06	26,604	1,574	5.92	26,015	1,220	4.69	26,254	1,049	4.00
Orlando	47,737	774	1.62	43,022	1,065	2.48	33,588	743	2.21	36,312	362	1.00
Seminole	17,396	387	2.22	13,221	316	2,39	13,134	370	2.82	11,462	646	5.64
Brevard	16,009	521	3.25	16,860	295	1.75	16,461	369	2.24	17,596	376	2,14
S. W. Florida	22,163	843	3.80	20,084	631	3.14	22,573	473	2.10	23,271	217	0.93
Palm Beach	35,395	773	2,18	28,370	678	2.39	20,581	694	3.37	23,027	746	3.24
St. Lucie	22,945	1,092	4.76	17,461	603	3.45	14,872	709	4.77	13,356	475	3,56
Broward	47,106	81	0.17	32,119	70	0.22	19,532	24	0.12	19,440	41	0.21
Dade	80,232	369	0.46	72,255	476	0.66	67,770	503	0.74	61,983	314	0.51
								÷				
STATEWIDE	594,873	10,997	1.85	501,481	10,424	2.08	468,676	9,949	2.12	465,069	8,342	1.79


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Report. Revised by PDJJP vfd &pfp 7/31/93.

An incident is that event which precipitated the placement in room confinement.

Rate = Number of incidents divided by resident days X 100.

(r-conf.xls)

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENT RATE*
IN DISCIPLINARY CONFINEMENT (>6 HOURS)
Fiscal Year 92-93



PREPARED BY THE FLORIDA JJDP STAFF

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENTS IN DISCIPLINARY CONFINEMENT

Disciplinary confinement is defined as the placement of a youth in his or her sleeping room or a room designated as a confinement room beyond 6 hours for one or more serious behavioral reasons as identified in HRSM 175-1, Section 9-4. Disciplinary confinement was developed to provide a means for detention staff to safely supervise those youth, who in years past would have been transferred to jail for being beyond the control of detention staff and whose behavior is so egregious as to present an imminent and significant danger to person and/or property if allowed to remain in the general population. Beginning in FY 88-89, detention centers began to document the number of placements in disciplinary confinement. For FYs 89-90 and 90-91, reporting of placements in disciplinary confinement was expanded to include the number of youth placed and whether such placements were warranted or unwarranted. The following spreadsheets provide a breakdown of the number and rates of placements, the number of youth placed, and the number of placements that were warranted or unwarranted. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 88-89 and FY 92-93 there was a 39% decrease (692 to 420) statewide in the number of placements in disciplinary confinement.
- The above decrease includes a minor increase of 0.9% (692 to 698) in the number of placements in disciplinary confinement between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91.
- o Between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 there was a 37% decrease (664 to 418) in the number of youth placed in disciplinary confinement.
- As with placements in room confinement, reporting procedures do not identify specific youth involved in repeated placements in disciplinary confinement. While the number of placements between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 decreased 33% (698 to 465) compared to a 37% decline in the actual number of youth involved in disciplinary confinements, we can not state as a conclusion at this time that fewer youth were involved in a larger number of confinements.
- The data reported for disciplinary confinement appears to have significant error since many facilities report more youth than placements. Since it is impossible to count a youth with a corresponding placement, these figures will have to be reconciled by the individual facilities.
- o Between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 there was an 83% decrease (46 to 8) in the number of placements in disciplinary confinement being unwarranted.
- o Between FY 90-91 and FY 91-92 there was an 550% increase (8 to 52) in the number of placements in disciplinary confinement being unwarranted.

- o Between FY 91-92 and FY 92-93 there was a 35% decrease (52 to 34) in the number of placements in disciplinary confinement being unwarranted.
- o Comparison of the two years shows that 6.6% of the disciplinary confinements in FY 89-90 were unwarranted versus 1.7% of the placements in FY 90-91.

Rate: In order to provide an equitable approach to reviewing this data, a rate was determined for each facility based on the number of resident days. The number of placements and the number of youth were compared to the size and utilization of the facility (the greater the size of the facility and the greater the utilization, the greater the number of resident days). The rate for unwarranted was determined by comparing the number of placements in disciplinary confinement to the number of unwarranted placements.

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENTS IN DISCIPLINARY CONFINEMENT (BEYOND 6 HOURS)

PLACEMENT RATE

	F	Y 88-89	9]	Y 89-9	0]	Y 90-9	1	I	Y 91-9	2]	F Y 92- 9	3
	Res			.Res			Res		_	Res			Res		
	Days	Plcmt.	Rate	Days	Plcmt.	Rate	Days	Plcmt.	Rate	Days	Plcmt.	Rate	Days	Plcmt.	Rate
Escambia	15,275	54	3.54	19,814	63	3.18	15,630	23	1.47	16,326	28	1.72	16,633	15	0.90
Bay	11,118	4	0.36	11,551	17	1.47	6,832	23	3.37	8,263	-11	1.33	9,720	15	1.54
Leon	9,510	0	0.00	9,994	0	0.00	9,151	0	0.00	9,998	2	0.20	12,780	1	0.08
Alachua	21,882	0	0.00	25,492	8	0.31	23,884	9	0.38	20,463	5	0.24	20,343	16	0.79
Marion	18,505	0	0.00	27,008	0	0.00	22,373	0	0.00	25,232	0	0.00	22,495	1	0.04
Duval	51,957	10	0.19	51,075	.9	0.18	41,003	5	0.12	44,293	29	0.65	39,959	18	0.45
Volusia	27,074	0	0.00	30,010	3	0.10	24,664	18	0.73	20,009	. 27	1.35	19,966	15	0.75
Pinellas	35,993	0	0.00	39,344	74	1.88	31,793	- 0	0.00	31,474	0	0.00	30,763	0	0.00
Pasco	7,380	24	3.25	9,931	. 0	0.00	7,134	0	0.00	7,416	0	0.00	7,610	0	0.00
Hillsborough	41,238	16	0.39	44,745	14	0.31	37,030	16	0.43	39,281	39	0.99	41,362	99	2.39
Manatee	13,490	0	0.00	14,009	1	0.07	11,991	0	0.00	11,395	2	0.18	10,737	0	0.00
Polk	15,770	4	0.25	22,917	7	0.31	26,604	14	0.53	26,015	14	0.54	26,254	5	0.19
Orlando	41,393	49	1.18	47,737	64	1.34	43,022	37	0.86	33,588	12	0.36	36,312	16	0.44
Seminole	16,209	0	0.00	17,396	0	0.00	13,221	0	0.00	13,134	0	0.00	11,462	2	0.17
Brevard	15,044	40	2.66	16,009	72	4.50	16,860	41	2.43	16,461	12	0.73	17,596	10	0.57
S. W. Florida	21,130	14	0.66	22,163	99	4.47	20,084	72	3.58	22,573	56	2.48	23,271	17	0.73
Palm Beach	45,167	278	6.15	35,395	150	4.24	28,370	100	3.52	20,581	66	3.21	23,027	60	2.61
St. Lucie	21,957	28	1.28	22,945	107	4,66	17,461	106	6.07	14,872	75	5.04	13,356	32	2.40
Broward	48,170	6	0.12	47,106	10	0.21	32,119	1	0.03	19,532	0	0.00	19,440	0	0.00
Dade	85,308	165	1.93	80,232	0	0.00	72,255	0	0.00	-67,770	61	0.90	61,983	98	1.58
						-	-								
STATEWIDE	563,570	692	1.23	594,873	698	1.17	501,481	465	0.93	468,676	439	0.94	465,069	420	0.90

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Report.

Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 11/30/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Report.

Placements are the number of times youth are placed in discipinary continements.

Rate = Number of placements divided by resident days X 1000.

(dconf-s.xls)

SECURE DETENTION PLACEMENTS IN DISCIPLINARY CONFINEMENT (BEYOND 6 HOURS)

UNWARRANTED RATE

	I	Y 89-9	0]	Y 90-9	1]	FY 91-9	2)	Y 92-9.	}
	Res			Res			Res			Res		
	Days	Unwar	Rate	Days	Unwar	Rate	Days	Unwar	Rate	Days	Unwar	Rate
Escambia	19,814	1	0.05	15,630	1	0.06	16,326	1	0.06	16,633	0	0.00
Bay	11,551	2	0.17	6,832	0	0.00	8,263	0	0.00	9,720	0	0.00
Leon	9,994	0	0.00	9,151	0	0.00	9,998	- 0	0.00	12,780	3	0.23
Alachua	25,492	0	0.00	23,884	0	0.00	20,463	5	0.24	20,343	5	0.25
Marion	27,008	0	0.00	22,373	. 0	0.00	25,232	0	0.00	22,495	0	0.00
Duval	51,075	- 0	0.00	41,003	0	0.00	44,293	0	0.00	39,959	0	0.00
Volusia	30,010	0	0.00	24,664	0	0.00	20,009	- 0	0.00	19,966	0	0.00
Pinellas	39,344	6	0.15	31,793	0	0.00	31,474	0	0.00	30,763	0	0.00
Pasco	9,931	0	0.00	7,134	0	0.00	7,416	0	0.00	7,610	0	0.00
Hillsborough	44,745	6	0.13	37,030	0	0.00	39,281	30	0.76	41,362	18	0.44
Manatee	14,009	0	0.00	11,991	0	0.00	11,395	. 0	0.00	10,737	0	0.00
Polk	22,917	0	0.00	26,604	0	0.00	26,015	. 0	0.00	26,254	0	0.00
Orlando	47,737	0	0.00	43,022	0	0.00	33,588	0	0.00	36,312	0	0.00
Seminole	17,396	0	0.00	13,221	0	0.00	13,134	0	0.00	11,462	0	0.00
Brevard	16,009	0	0.00	16,860	0	0.00	16,461	0	0.00	17,596	0	0.00
S. W. Florida	22,163	8	0.36	20,084	4	0.20	22,573	3	0.13	23,271	1	0.04
Palm Beach	35,395	0	0.00	28,370	2	0.07	20,581	0	0.00	23,027	3	0.13
St. Lucie	22,945	2	0.09	17,461	0	0.00	14,872	0	0.00	13,356] 1]	0.07
Broward	47,106	- 0	0.00	32,119	1	0.03	19,532	0	0.00	19,440	0	0.00
Dade	80,232	0	0.00	72,255	0	0.00	67,770	13	0.19	61,983	3	0.05
STATEWIDE	594,873	25	0.04	501,481	8	0.02	468,676	52	0.11	465,069	34	0.07


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Report.

Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 11/30/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Report.

Unwarranted is the number of disciplinary confinements which were found to be unwarranted.

Rate = Number of unwarranted disciplinary confinement placements divided by resident days X 1000. (dconf-s.xls)

SECURE DETENTION USE OF MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN TRANSPORTATION



SECURE DETENTION USE OF MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN TRANSPORTATION

Other than for purposes of routine secure transportation, the use of mechanical restraints (handcuffs, leg cuffs, and restraining belts) by staff will be restricted to those occasions wherein verbal or physical CIT (Crisis Intervention Techniques) has been employed and proven to be insufficient; and when it appears absolutely necessary to prevent escape, to prevent serious injury to a person or damage to real property, or to quell a riot or serious disturbance. Prior to any use of mechanical restraints as a last resort behavior intervention, authorization must be given by the superintendent, or in his/her absence, the individual in charge of the facility. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the number of youth placed in mechanical restraints statewide increased 73% (from 49 to 85).
- o Year to year increases and decreases in the number of incidents involving the use of restraints are as follows:

18% increase (49 to 58) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

181% increase (58 to 163) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

27% decrease (165 to 121) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

48% decrease (121 to 63) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

41% decrease (63 to 37) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

130% increase (37 to 85) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

- At least a portion of the decrease in the use of mechanical restraints by detention centers between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91 can be attributed to a 16% decline in the ADP and the number of resident days which in turn was tied to a 15% reduction in admissions and a 32% reduction in transfers in during that same time period.
- The most recent increase in the use of mechanical restraints may be due to the greater numbers of serious offender youth being held in detention. The detention criteria which was mandated by statute in 1990 required that only the most serious or chronic offenders be placed in secure detention, thereby increasing the likelihood of increased need for the use of mechanical restraints.

Rate: In order to provide an equitable approach to reviewing this data, a rate was determined for each facility based on the number of resident days. The number of youth placed in mechanical restraints is compared to the size and the utilization of the facility (the greater the size of the facility and the greater the utilization, the greater the number of resident days). The mechanical restraints rate was calculated by dividing the number of youth placed in mechanical restraints by the number of resident days and multiplying by 100.

SECURE DETENTION MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN TRANSPORTATION

	F	Y 86-8	7	F	Y 87-8	8	F	Y 88-8	9	F	Y 89-9	0	F	Y 90- 9	1	F	Y 91-9	2	F	Y 92-9	3
	Res.	#		Res.	#		Res.	#		Res.	#		Res.	#		Res.	#	-	Res.	#	
	Days	Yth	Rate	Days	Yth	Rate	Days	Yth	Rate	Days	Yth	Rate									
Escambia	13,705	1	0.07	15,550	1	0.06	15,275	26	1.70	19,814	35	1.77	15,630	5	0.32	16,326	3	0.18	16,633	0	0,0
Bay	8,863	0	0.00	12,984	0	0.00	11,118	1	0.09	11,551	5	0.43	6,832	2	0.29	8,263	0	0.00	9,720	1	0.1
Leon	9,425	0	0.00	10,747	0	0.00	9,510	. 0	0.00	9,994	3	0.30	9,151	2	0.22	9,998	0	0.00	12,780	0	0.0
Alachua	18,908	0	0.00	23,062	0	0.00	21,882	0	0.00	25,492	0	0.00	23,884	0	0.00	20,463	0	0.00	20,343	0	0.0
Marion	19,144	0	0.00	19,372	0	0.00	18,505	6	0.32	27,008	0	0.00	22,373	0	0.00	25,232	0	0.00	22,495	. 0	0.0
Duval	42,083	3	0.07	47,813	0	0.00	51,957	. 4	0.08	51,075	7	0.14	41,003	2	0.05	44,293	1	0.02	39,959	0	0.0
Volusia	21,445	1	0.05	25,856	. 0	0.00	27,074	0	0.00	30,010	0	0.00	24,664	0	0.00	20,009	0	0.00	19,966	0	0.0
Pinellas	37,691	13	0.34	40,451	16	0.40	35,993	22	0.61	39,344	9	0.23	31,793	4	0.13	31,474	7	0.22	30,763	17	2.0
Pasco		_		6,101	3	0.49	7,380	5	0.68	9,931	4	0.40	7,134	2	0.28	7,416	0	0.00	7,610	3	0.3
Hillsborough	44,611	3	0.07	41,836	1	0.02	41,238	0	0.00	44,745	4	0.09	37,030	1	0.03	39,281	1	0.03	41,362	1	0.0
Manatee	14,870	6	0.40	14,893	9	0.60	13,490	15	1.11	14,009	2	0.14	11,991	10	0.83	11,395	5	0.44	10,737	0	0.0
Polk	21,148	5	0.24	18,865	5	0.27	15,770	1	0.06	22,917	2	0.09	26,604	2	0.08	26,015	2	0.08	26,254	. 0	0.0
Orlando	31,547	3	0.10	35,276	0	0.00	41,393	5	0.12	47,737	0	0.00	43,022	2	0.05	33,588	0	0.00	36,312	0	0.0
Seminole	14,162	0	0.00	14,627	0	0.00	16,209	0	0.00	17,396	0	0.00	13,221	0	0.00	13,134	0	0.00	11,462	0	9,0
Brevard	12,107	1	0.08	13,710	5	0.36	15,044	8	0.53	16,009	4	0.25	16,860	0	0.00	16,461	3	0.18	17,596	I	0.0
S. W. Florida	19,026	4	0.21	21,282	2	0.09	21,130	4	0.19	22,163	2	0.09	20,084	0	0.00	22,573	0	0.00	23,271	0	0.0
Palm Beach	39,393	0	0.00	40,338	.0	0.00	45,167	0	0.00	35,395	8	0.23	28,370	2	0.07	20,581	1	0.05	23,027	2	0.0
St. Lucie	15,962	4	0.25	18,547	3	0.16	21,957	19	0.87	22,945	32	1.39	17,461	23	1.32	14,872	1	0.07	13,356	1	. 0.0
Broward Dade	53,737	1	0.02	58,872	0	0.00	48,170	1	0.02	47,106	0	0.00	32,119	0	0.00	19,532	1	0.05	19,440	0	0.0
Dade	82,383	4	0.05	90,966	13	0.14	85,308	48	0.56	80,232	4	0.05	72,255	6	0.08	67,770	12	0.18	61,983	59	0.5
STATEWIDE	520,210	49	0.09	571,148	58	0.10	563,570	165	0.29	594,873	121	0.20	501,481	63	0.13	468,676	37	0.08	465,069	85	0.1


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Reports & Detention Population Report.

Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 12/1/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Reports & Detention Population Report..

Rate = Number of youth placed in mechanical restraints divided by resident days X 1000.

(mechr.xls)

SECURE DETENTION TRANSFERS TO JAIL DUE TO DIRECT FILE, WAIVER OR INDICTMENT



SECURE DETENTION DIRECT FILE, WAIVER OR INDICTMENT

The Federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention ACT (JJDPA) provides, in part, that all juveniles shall be removed from adult jails, except those juveniles transferred to the adult court who have been direct filed, waived or indicted for felony charges. The following information pertains to those youth transferred to jail as a result of transfer to adult court. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 there was a 70% increase (1,286 to 2,182) in the number of youth transferred to jail as a result of direct file, waiver indictment for felony charges.
- o Year to year percentage increases and decreases of youth direct filed, waived or indicted for felony charges are as follows:
 - 38% increase (1,286 to 1,769) between FY 86-87 and FY 87-88.
 - 21% increase (1,769 to 2,139) between FY 87-88 and FY 88-89.
 - 8% increase (2,139 to 2,315) between FY 88-89 and FY 89-90.
 - 9% decrease (2,315 to 2,113) between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91.
 - 1% decrease (2,113 to 2,089) between FY 90-91 and FY 91-92.
 - 4% increase (2,089 to 2,182) between FY 91-92 and FY 92-93.

BEYOND CONTROL

While it is contrary to the JJDPA to place juveniles in jail for being beyond the control of detention staff, the following information pertains to number of juveniles transferred to adult jails who were not direct filed, waived or indicted. It should be noted that it is against department policy and law to transfer youth to adult jails for being beyond control of detention staff. In the cases where it has occurred, it may have been the result of a riot where a local judge has ordered the youth transferred.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 90-91 there was a 100% decrease (235 to 0) in the number of youth transferred to jail for being beyond the control of a detention center.
- O Year to year percentage increases and decreases in the number of youth transferred to jail for being beyond the control of a detention center are as follows:

2% increase (235 to 239) between FY 86-87 and FY 87-88.

85% decrease (239 to 37) between FY 87-88 and FY 88-89.

30% increase (37 to 48) between FY 88-89 and FY 89-90.

100% decrease (48 to 0) between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91.

A major reason for the decrease in the number of juveniles placed in jail for being beyond the control of detention staff has been monitoring activities for compliance with Federal laws regarding the placement of youth in jail that were not direct filed, waived or indicted. In August 1990, HRSM 175-2 authorized the use of disciplinary confinement as a means to deal with youth previously transferred to adult jail. Also, effective August 1990, the statutory authority to transfer juveniles beyond control from secure detention to adult jail was eliminated from Florida law.


SECURE DETENTION

TRANSFERS TO JAIL DUE TO DIRECT FILE, WAIVER OR INDICTMENT OR BEYOND CONTROL OF STAFF


		FY 86-8	37			FY 87-	88			FY 88-8	19			FY 89-	90		T	FY 90-9	91			FY 91-	92			FY 92-	3
	Res.				Res.	-			Res.				Res.				Res.				Res.				Res.		T
Г	Days	DFWI	Rate	BC	Days	DFWI	Rate	BC	Days	DFWI	Rate	BC	Days	DFWI	Rate	BC	Days	DFWI	Rate	BC	Days	DFWI	Rate	BC	Days	DFWI	Rate I
Escambia	13,705	72	5.25	1	15,550	81	5.21	3	15,275	- 71	4.65	,1	19,814	119	6.01	8	15,630	111	7.10	0	16,326	129	7.90	0	16,633	116	6.97
Вау	8,863	26	2.93	0	12,984	24	1.85	3	11,118	39	3.51	0	11,551	35	3.03	5	6,832	24	3.51	0	8,263	28	3.39	0	9,720	39	4.01
Leon	9,425	33	3.50	2	10,747	79	7.35	6	9,510	67	7.05	0	9,994	85	8.51	5	9,151	63	6.88	0	9,998	55	5.50	0	12,780	35	2.74
Alachua	18,908	- 50	2.64	0	23,062	75	3.25	6	21,882	95	4.34	0	25,492	82	3.22	0	23,884	97	4.06	0	20,463	98	4.79	0	20,343	98	4.82
Marion .	19,144	68	3.55	8	19,372	69	3.56	11	18,505	87	4.70	6	27,008	143	5.29	0	22,373	77	3.44	Ö	25,232	90	3.57	0	22,495	70	3.11
Duval	42,083	60	1.43	16	47,813	100	2.09	7	51,957	173	3.33	0	51,075	193	3.78	0	41,003	208	5.07	0	44,293	157	3.54	0	39,959	333	8.33
Volusia	21,445	41	1.91	0	25,856	- 75	2.90	2	27,074	68	2.51	0	30,010	75	2.50	0	24,664	72	2.92	0	20,009	45	2.25	0	19,966	31	1.55
Pinellas	37,691	90	2.39	4	40,451	114	2.82	31	35,993	145	4.03	3	39,344	112	2.85	10	31,793	178	5,60	0	31,474	137	4.35	0	30,763	199	6.47
Pasco					6,101	16	2,62	0	7,380	41	5.56	0	9,931	52	5.24	0	7,134	42	5.89	0	7,416	46	6.20	0	7,610	32	4.20
Hillsborough	44,611	14	0.31	50	41,836	48	1.15	48	41,238	158	3.83	3	44,745	148	3.31	0	37,030	114	3.08	0	39,281	159	4.05	0	41,362	189	4.57
Manatee	14,870	59	3.97	4	14,893	64	4.30	3	13,490	47	3.48	0	14,009	48	3.43	0	11,991	55	4.59	0	11,395	67	5.88	0	10,737	54	5.03
Polk	21,148	51	2.41	9	18,865	32	1.70	9	15,770	29	1.84	0	22,917	52	2.27	0	26,604	64	2.41	0	26,015	85	3,27	0	26,254	78	2.97
Orlando	31,547	. 96	3.04	17	35,276	133	3.77	19	41,393	137	3.31	8	47 ,7 37	148	3.10	0	43,022	88	2.05	0	33,588	210	6.25	0	36,312	219	6.03
Seminole	14,162	17	1.20	0	14,627	41	2.80	0	16,209	39	2.41	0	17,396	43	2.47	0	13,221	67	5.07	0	13,134	55	4.19	0	11,462	45	3.93
Brevard	12,107	39	3.22	5	13,710	39	2.84	5	15,044	35	2.33	7	16,009	26	1.62	1	16,860	32	1.90	0	16,461	50	3.04	0	17,596	24	1.36
S. W. Florida	19,026	21	1.10	21	21,282	35	1.64	25	21,130	88	4.16	1	22,163	106	4.78	0	20,084	58	2.89	0	22,573	75	3.32	0	23,271	94	4.04
Palm Beach	39,393	100	2.54	14	40,338	103	2.55	10	45,167	114	2.52	1	35,395	120	3.39	0	28,370	87	3.07	0	20,581	97	4.71	0	23,027	160	6.95
St. Lucie	15,962	35	2.19	3	18,547	68	3.67	6	21,957	73	3.32	4	22,945	93	4.05	0	17,461	121	6.93	0	14,872	84	5.65	0	13,356	71	5.32
Broward	53,737	161	3.00	60	58,872	212	3.60	35	48,170	245	5.09	0	47,106	238	5.05	7	32,119	191	5.95	0	19,532	144	7.37	0	19,440	147	7.56
Dade	82,383	253	3.07	21	90,966	361	3.97	10	85,308	388	4.55	3	80,232	397	4.95	12	72,255	364	5.04	0	<i>67,77</i> 0	278	4.10	0	61,983	247	3.98
													-				į.									٠	
STATEWIDE	520,210	1,286	2.47	235	571,148	1,769	3.10	239	563,570	2,139	3.80	37	594,873	2,315	3.89	48	501,481	2,113	4.21	0	468,676	2,089	4.46	0	465,069	2,281	4.90

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/28/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Reports & Detention Population Report. Revised by PDIJP jlb & pfp 12/1/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Reports & Detention Population Report. Rate = Number of direct files, waivers, or indictments to adult court divided by the resident days x 1000. (trsj.xls)

DEPENDENTS REPORTED PLACED IN SECURE DETENTION BY FISCAL YEAR



DEPENDENTS REPORTED PLACED IN SECURE DETENTION 1992-93



SECURE DETENTION DEPENDENTS PLACED IN SECURE DETENTION

Through funding from the Federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act (JJDPA), the department monitors the number of dependent youth, not charged with a law violation, who are placed in secure detention for contempt of court or other reasons. Detention centers did not begin to document the number of dependent youth who were placed in detention for reasons other than contempt of court until FY 88-89. The following information pertains to the number of youth admitted to detention for contempt of court or other reasons. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the number of dependents placed in secure detention by a contempt of court order has decreased by 89% (1,901 to 204).
- o Year to year decreases in the number of dependents placed in secure detention for contempt are as follows:

29% decrease (1,901 to 1,342) between FY 86-87 and FY 87-88.

60% decrease (1,342 to 541) between FY 87-88 and FY 88-89.

8% decrease (541 to 496) between FY 88-89 and FY 89-90.

29% decrease (496 to 351) between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91.

55% increase (351 to 545) between FY 90-91 and FY 91-92.

63% decrease (545 to 204) between FY 91-92 and FY 92-93.

o Between FY 88-89 and FY 90-91 there was a 58% decrease (36 to 15) in the number of dependent youth placed in detention for reasons other than contempt of court. Increases and decreases for the three years that this information has been gathered by detention centers are as follows:

136% increase (36 to 85) between FY 88-89 and FY 89-90.

82% decrease (85 to 15) between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91.

Combining the numbers of youth placed in detention for contempt of court and other reasons resulted in an increase of .7% (577 to 581) in the total number between FY 88-89 and FY 89-90, and a decrease of 37% (581 to 366) between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91.


Reasons for the decrease in the number of dependent youth in detention includes changes in the Juvenile Justice Reform Act of 1990 which restricted the admission of some youth into detention and monitoring activities for compliance with Federal laws regarding the de-institutionalization of status offenders (dependents) in secure detention.

SECURE DETENTION DEPENDENTS PLACED IN SECURE DETENTION

	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	FY 88	-89	FY 89-	-90	FY 90	-91	FY 91-92	FY 92-93
	Contempt	Contempt	Contempt	Other	Contempt	Other	Contempt	Other	Contempt	Contempt
Escambia	42	36	28	6	53	24	50	4	92	16
Bay	21	11		0	6	0	5	0	18	1
Leon	34	36	18	4	. 16	1	5	0	4	5
Alachua	57	39	10	0	20	. 0	22	5	5	. 4
Marion	24	27	22	1	27	0	24	0	31	5
Duval	132	62	20	15	58	53	70	2	140	62
Volusia	121	163	62	2	33	0	13	0	. 44	39
Pinellas	251	102	47	0	27	3	5	2	2	0
Pasco		2	6	0	1	1	0	0	22	2
Hillsborough	160	41	5	0	. 1	0	0	0	~ ~ 6	11
Manatee	42	30	36	4	4	1	4	1	3	0
Polk	207	70	3	1	6	1	0	0	4	2
Orlando	189	206	104	0	108	. 0	77	1	97	39
Seminole	58	56	40	0	17	0	24	0	27	11
Brevard	75	43	- 27	υ	57	0	37	0	. 29	0
S. W. Florida	22	30	18	3	11	1	0	0	2	4
Palm Beach	211	151	37	0	41	0	6	0	0	0
St. Lucie	47	11	3	0	2	0	4	0	0	. 0
Broward	116	137	24	0	2	0	5	0	19	3
Dade	92	89	29	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
						-				
STATEWIDE	1,901	1,342	541	36	496	85	351	15	545	204


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Reports. Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 12/1/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Reports. (depend.xls)

SECURE DETENTION HOURS OF OVERTIME BY FISCAL YEAR



PREPARED BY THE FLORIDA JJDP STAFF

SECURE DETENTION HOURS OF OVERTIME 1992-93



5

SECURE DETENTION HOURS OF OVERTIME

Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93, the hours of overtime at detention centers decreased 21% (139,396 to 110,206). The hours of overtime are compiled from the detention superintendent reports. These figures may vary from the actual number of hours which are recorded in SAMAS which shows the actual amount of money which was paid in overtime hours.

o Year to year increases and decreases in the hours of overtime at detention centers are as follows:

5% decrease (139,396 to 131,908) between FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

19% increase (131,908 to 156,721) between FY 87-88 and FY 88-89.

28% increase (156,721 to 200,029) between FY 88-89 and FY 89-90.

32% decrease (200,029 to 135,364) between FY 89-90 and FY 90-91.

27% decrease (135,364 to 99,280) between FY 90-91 and FY 91-92.

11% increase (99,280 to 110,206) between FY 91-92 and FY 92-93.

- While the attached spreadsheet does not take into account the issue of duty post staffing, an attempt has been made to account for the differences in the number of youth in detention statewide.
- O Using the rate established for hours of overtime, between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the rate decreased by 3.1 with a decline of 55,141 resident days statewide.

Rate: In order to provide an equitable approach to reviewing this data, a rate was determined for each facility based on the number of resident days. The hours of overtime were compared to the size and the utilization of the facility (the greater the size of the facility and the greater the utilization, the higher the number of resident days). The overtime rate was calculated by dividing the hours of overtime by the number of resident days and multiplying by 100.

SECURE DETENTION HOURS OF OVERTIME RATE PER RESIDENT DAY

		FY 86-87			FY 87-88			FY 88-89			FY 89-90			FY 90-91			FY 91-92			FY 92-93	
	Res. Days	Hours	Rate	Res. Days	Hours '	Rat															
Escambia	13,705	2,056	15.00	15,550	2,778	17.86	15,275	3,268	21.39	19,814	5,128	25.88	15,630	2,751	17.60	16,326	2,719	16.65	16,633	1,661	9.
Bay	8,863	30	0.34	12,984	412	3.17	11,118	115	1.03	11,551	320	2.77	6,832	16	0.23	8,263	1	0.01	9,720	568	5.
Leon	9,425	343	3.64	10,747	583	5.42	9,510	2,215	23.29	9,994	1,633	16.34	9,151	571	6.24	9,998	1,172	11.72	12,780	2,038	15.
Alachua	18,908	2,628	13.90	23,062	3,727	16.16	21,882	6,749	30.84	25,492	7,746	30.39	23,884	4,931	20.65	20,463	6,953	33.98	20,343	7,254	35.
Marion	19,144	6,730	35.15	19,372	6,937	35.81	18,505	6,875	37.15	27,008	11,128	41.20	22,373	4,957	22.16	25,232	9,082	35.99	22,495	3,010	13.
Duval	42,083	11,148	26.49	47,813	15,738	32.92	51,957	19,748	38.01	51,075	14,480	28.35	41,003	5,047	12.31	44,293	2,750	6.21	39,959	395	0.
Volusia	21,445	13,121	61.18	25,856	12,250	47.38	27,074	8,027	29.65	30,010	19,118	63.71	24,664	17,561	71.20	20,009	6,242	31.20	19,966	608	3,
Pinellas	37,691	14,551	38.61	40,451	14,531	35.92	35,993	11,736	32.61	39,344	16,946	43.07	31,793	9,554	30.05	31,474	4,685	14.88	30,763	6,113	19.
Pasco				6,101	2,604	42.68	7,380	1,903	25.79	9,931	6,190	62.33	7,134	1,956	27.42	7,416	2,523	34.02	7,610	3,306	43.
Hillsborough	44,611	11,327	25.39	41,836	8,060	19.27	41,238	5,249	12.73	44,745	14,004	31.30	37,030	15,694	42.38	39,281	8,167	20.79	41,362	4,207	10.
Manatee	14,870	1,925	12.95	14,893	990	6.65	13,490	66	0.49	14,009	692	4.94	11,991	146	1.22	11,395	571	5.01	10,737	32	0.
Polk	21,148	2,557	12.09	18,865	807	4.28	15,770	. 181	1.15	22,917	1,395	6.09	26,604	2,912	10.95	26,015	1,010	3.88	26,254	0	0.
Orlando	31,547	9,832	31.17	35,276	5,998	17.00	41,393	10,512	25.40	47,737	7,615	15.95	43,022	6,390	14.85	33,588	5,592	16.65	36,312	12,613	34.
Seminole	14,162	4,857	34.30	14,627	4,211	28.79	16,209	1,846	11.39	17,396	4,509	25.92	13,221	2,292	17.34	13,134	1,654	12.59	11,462	3,586	31.
Brevard	12,107	2,720	22.47	13,710	3,339	24.35	15,044	9,558	63,53	16,009	9,576	59.82	16,860	7,111	42.18	16,461	2,022	12.29	17,596	5,875	33.
S. W. Florida	19,026	6,397	33.62	21,282	7,393	34.74	21,130	5,896	27.90	22,163	9,271	41.83	20,084	5,320	26.49	22,573	5,813	25.75	23,271	5,360	23.
Palm Beach	39,393	14,277	36.24	40,338	8,655	21.46	45,167	14,210	31.46	35,395	14,678	41.47	28,370	12,658	44.62	20,581	7,347	35.70	23,027	12,115	52.
St. Lucie	15,962	6,606	41.39	18,547	4,959	26.74	21,957	7,066	32.18	22,945	9,619	41.92	17,461	6,992	40.04	14,872	6,984	46.96	13,356	6,045	- 45.
Broward	53,737	13,975	26.01	58,872	14,697	24.96	48,170	17,277	35.87	47,106	34,625	73.50	32,119	24,137	75.15	19,532	7,396	37.86	19,440	2,449	12
Dade	82,383	14,316	17.38	90,966	13,239	14.55	85,308	24,225	28.40	80,232	11,355	14.15	72,255	4,367	6.04	67,770	16,598	24.49	61,983	32,972	53
STATEWIDE	520,210	139,396	26.80	571,148	131,908	23.10	563,570	156,722	27.81	594,873	200,028	33.63	501,481	135,363	26.99	468,676	99,280	21.18	465,069	110,206	23


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/28/92. Data Source: Superintendent's Reports & Detention Population Report.

Revised by PDJIP jlb & pfp 12/10/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Superintendent's Reports & Detention Population Report.

Rate = Hours of overtime divided by the resident days x 100.

(overt.xls)

HOME DETENTION ADMISSIONS AND TRANSFERS IN BY FISCAL YEAR



PREPARED BY THE ELORIDA LIDE STAFF

HOME DETENTION

ADMISSIONS

Admissions are youth who were screened for secure detention and directly admitted to home detention from intake screening. It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the number of admissions to home detention have increased statewide by 551% (566 to 3,685).
- o Year to year increases in admissions to home detention are as follows:

Increase of 42% (566 to 801) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Increase of 196% (801 to 2,374) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 35% (2,374 to 3,217) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Increase of 10% (3,217 to 3,555) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Increase of 4% (3,555 to 3,680) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Increase of less than 1% (3,680 to 3,685) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

- The increase in the number of youth admitted to home detention is due in great part to additional funding for positions (community youth leaders) by the Legislature over the past four years and implementation of the Juvenile Justice Reform Act of 1990 which established new statutory detention and home detention criteria.
- With the additional positions and changes in the law, detention centers were able to concentrate on reducing secure detention population, increasing the number of youth being placed on home detention.

TRANSFERS IN

Transfers in are youth transferred to home detention from a secure detention center or from another home detention or non-secure detention program.

o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the number of transfers in for home detention has increased 6% (8,361 to 8,849).

o Year to year increases and decreases in transfers in to home detention are as follows:

Increase of 27% (8,361 to 10,583) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Decrease of .5% (10,583 to 10,533) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 2% (10,533 to 10,746) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Decrease of 11% (10,746 to 9,518) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Decrease of 5% (9,518 to 9,057) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Decrease of 2% (9,057 to 8,849) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

- o While there has been an overall increase in the number of transfers in to home detention over the past five years, the numbers decreased between FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.
- o Implementation of the Juvenile Justice Reform Act of 1990, with the establishment of new home detention and non-secure detention criteria, has resulted in more juveniles being directly admitted to home detention programs versus transferred in after being admitted to secure detention.


HOME DETENTION ADMISSIONS AND TRANSFERS IN


		THE OF ST			TV 05 0	0		77.00.0			71.00.0			T. 00 0	4		T (0 1 0			152.00.0	~
		Y 86-8			Y 87-8			Y 88-8			Y 89-9			Y 90-9			Y 91-9			¥ 92-9	
	Res. Days	Adm	Trans In	Res. Days	Adm	Trans In	Res. Davs	Adm	Trans In	Res. Days	Adm	Trans In	Res. Days	Adm	Trans In	Res. Days	Adm	Trans In	Res. Davs	Adm	Trans
Escambia	7,117	17	249	8,511	22	289	7,594	42	355	8,576	49	322	9,000	115	210	8,300	115	214	7,864	101	25:
Bay	1,958	3	128	2,601	7	188	2,479	21	134	2,476	17	144	2,252	16	116	1,822	4	92	2,925	27	100
Leon	7,020	23	379	9,466	54	481	8,946	78	386	7,166	48	348	7,443	48	261	6,024	55	257	5,242	30	289
Alachua	5,881	6	209	10,444	19	277	12,612	54	281	16,899	52	343	19,166	67	285	15,415	78	273	22,158	112	331
Marion	7,408	27	281	9,515	- 34	314	6,890	57	205	12,718	205	244	12,286	139	260	15,774	161	357	16,457	144	34:
Duval	13,369	- 4	424	11,748	. 1	598	21,558	174	524	28,013	347	296	27,015	361	415	22,785	669	274	28,044	554	37:
Volusia	11,520	13	339	15,732	12	397	20,224	160	561	27,126	178	645	19,182	162	_ 403	10,632	177	285	8,945	195	280
Pinellas	10,997	7	636	15,175	9	703	14,093	38	564	28,595	41	819	36,797	134	834	31,493	116	827	20,280	129	574
Pasco	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	123	8	0	4,846	162	. 4	3,358	109	10	2,991	118	4	2,477	136	:
Hillsborough	11,450	50	683	16,505	56	980	18,428	157	922	15,361	60	774	13,446	133	640	12,879	211	499	11,661	380	470
Manatee	7,637	10	235	9,019	0	342	6,711	15	191	5,359	21	132	8,393	70	197	5,184	63	200	5,208	62	26:
Polk	7,655	0	322	6,732	4	294	4,670	6	174	9,900	35	328	19,783	85	457	23,516	104	466	16,559	74	31.
Orlando	32,448	168	864	40,422	201	1,167	42,784	533	1,249	49,346	515	1,163	45,431	480	1,031	42,847	512	1,030	42,887	622	1,010
Seminole	5,022	0	178	6,551	4	198	6,899	42	245	11,468	70	323	9,390	115	168	8,247	170	108	8,207	167	13'
Brevard	4,829	3	170	5,833	12	257	5,272	4	252	3,636	11	208	3,857	61	124	3,879	106	78	3,365	119	10:
S. W. Florida	7,969	27	389	10,398	19	523	9,737	26	486.	10,825	62	574	9,765	210	369	8,303	209	313	6,514	171	22'
Palm Beach	18,947	157	771	24,665	235	977	22,552	242	877	23,844	154	890	27,465	1,56	832	27,569	139	884	35,996	89	1,08:
St. Lucie	4,209	9	255	6,613	63	317	7,867	22	360	9,556	34	423	10,943	83	342	18,718	182	317	18,184	216	29
Broward	22,549	35	780	28,125	38	751	39,689	744	708	50,563	1,145	928	36,739	997	1,057	23,484	480	1,168	18,601	333	96
Dade	33,001	0	1,054	42,989	0	1,500	61,833	0	2,019	65,325	0	1,789	76,423	0	1,456	74,139	0	1,381	69,001	0	1,36
Monroe	407	7	15	814	11	30	943	11	40	1269	11	49	1146	14	51	605	11	30	1,151	24	41
STATEWIDE	221,393	566	8,361	281,858	801	10,583	321,904	2,374	10,533	392,867	3,217	10,746	399,280	3,555	9,518	364,606	3,680	9,057	351,726	3,685	8,84


Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Detention Population Report. Revised by PDJJP jib & pfp 12/1/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Detention Population Report. (hdadm.xls)

HOME DETENTION SLOT UTILIZATION BY FISCAL YEAR

PERCENT







HOME DETENTION SLOT UTILIZATION

Slot utilization is the percentage of slots being used as determined by the comparison to average daily population. The percentage of utilization is calculated by dividing the ADP by the number of fixed slots in home detention then multiplying by one hundred.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93, there has been a .9% decrease (87.5% to 86.6%) in the slot utilization rate statewide.
- o Year to year increases or decreases are as follows:

Increase of 4.2% (87.5% to 91.7%) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Decrease of 17.2% (91.7% to 74.5%) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 17.0% (74.5% to 91.5%) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Increase of 1.5% (91.5% to 93.0%) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Decrease of 8.3% (93.0% to 84.7%) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Increase of 1.9% (84.7% to 86.6%) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.


Many detention centers have addressed the under-utilization of home detention by realigning community youth leaders to secure detention or other areas. During the district assessment visits, district specific issues were discussed.




		FY 86-87			FY 87-88	3		FY 88-89			FY 89-90)		FY 90-91			FY 91-92	2		FY 92-93	}
$f = \{ e_i \mid e_i = e_i \}$			% of		,	%€															
	Slots	ADP	Util.	Slots	ADP	Util.	Slots	ADP	Util.	Slots	ADP	Util	Slots	ADP	Util.	Slots	ADP	Util.	Slots	ADP	Util
Escambia	28	19.5	69.6%	35	23.3	66.6%	70	20.8	29.7%	70	23.5	33.6%	70	24.7	35.3%	70	22.7	32.4%	35	21.5	61.4
Bay	7	5.4	77.1%	7	7.1	101.4%	7	6.8	97.1%	7	6.8	97.1%	14	6.2	44.3%	14	5.0	35.7%	14	8.0	57.1
Leon	21	19.2	91.4%	28	25.9	92.5%	49	24.5	50.0%	49	19.6	40.0%	42	20.4	48.6%	42	16.5	39.3%	42	14.4	34.3
Alachua	14	16.1	115.0%	42	28.5	67.9%	42	34.6	82.4%	42	46.3	110.2%	42	52.5	125.0%	42	42.1	100.2%	42	60.7	144.5
Marion -	21	20.3	96.7%	28	26.0	92.9%	28	18.9	67.5%	28	34.8	124.3%	28	33.7	120.4%	28	43.1	153.9%	28	45.1	161.1
Duval	35	36.6	104.6%	42	32.1	76.4%	42	59.1	140.7%	42	76.7	182.6%	42	74.0	176.2%	42	62.3	148.3%	42	76.8	182.5
Volusia	35	31.6	90.3%	- 35	43.0	122.9%	35	55,4	158.3%	35	74.3	212.3%	35	52.6	150.3%	35	29.0	82.9%	35	24.5	70.0
Pinellas	42	30.1	71.7%	56	41.5	74.1%	77	38.6	50.1%	.77	78.3	101.7%	77	100.8	130.9%	77	86.0	111.7%	77	55.6	72.2
Pasco				7	0.0	0.0%	28	0.3	1.1%	28	13.3	47.5%	28	9.2	32.9%	28	8.2	29.3%	28	6.8	24.3
Hillsborough	42	31.4	74.8%	49	45.1	92.0%	84	50.5	60.1%	84	42.1	50.1%	77	36.8	47.8%	77	35.2	45.7%	77	31.9	41.4
Manatee	- 21	20.3	96.7%	21	24.6	117.1%	21	18.4	87.6%	21	14.7	70.0%	21	23.0	109.5%	21	14.2	67.6%	21	14.3	68.1
Polk	21	21.0	100.0%	21	18.4	87.6%	56	12.8	22.9%	56	27.1	48.4%	56	54.2	96.8%	- 56	64.3	114.8%	56	45.4	81.1
Orlando	77	88.9	115.5%	84	110.4	131.4%	126	117.2	93.0%	119	135.2	113.6%	119	124.5	104.6%	119	117.1	98.4%	119	117.5	98.5
Seminole	-14.	13.8	98.6%	14	17.9	127.9%	14	18.9	135.0%	21	31.4	149.5%	21	25.7	122.4%	21	22.5	107.1%	21	22.5	107.1
Brevard	14	13.2	94.3%	14	15.9	113.6%	14	14.4	102.9%	14	10.0	71.4%	14	10.6	75.7%	14	10.6	75.7%	14	9.2	65.7
S. W. Florida	21	21.8	103.8%	35	28.4	81.1%	56	26,7	47.7%	56	29.7	53.0%	- 56	26.8	47.9%	56	22.7	40.5%	56	17.8	31.8
Palm Beach	70	51.9	74.1%	84	67.4	80.2%	98	61.8	63.1%	98	65.3	66.6%	98	75.2	76.7%	98	75.3	76.8%	70	98.6	140.5
St. Lucie	21	11.5	54.8%	21	18.1	86.2%	42	21.6	51.4%	42	26.2	62.4%	42	30.0	71.4%	- 42	51,1	121.7%	42	49.8	118.6
Broward	77	61.8	80.3%	91	76.8	84.4%	126	108.7	86.3%	126	138.5	109.9%	126	100.7	79.9%	126	64.2	51.0%	126	51.0	40.:
Dade	98	90.4	92.2%	112	117.5	104.9%	154	169.4	110.0%	154	184.5	119.8%	154	209.4	136.0%	154	202.6	131.6%	154	189.0	122.
Monroe	14	1.1	7.9%	14	2.2	15.7%	14	2.6	18.6%	14	3.5	25.0%	14	3.1	22.1%	14	1.7	12.1%	14	3,2	22.5
STATEWIDE	693	606.6	87.5%	840	770.1	91.7%	1,183	881.9	74.5%	1,183	1,081.9	91.5%	1,176	1,093.9	93.0%	1,176	996.2	84.7%	1,113	963.6	86.0

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/27/92. Data Source: Detention Population Report. Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 11/30/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Detention Population Report. (bedutlh.xls)

HOME DETENTION AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY FISCAL YEAR



HOME DETENTION AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY 1992-93



HOME DETENTION

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION

Average daily populations are calculated by dividing resident days by the number of days in the year.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the average daily population (ADP) statewide for home detention has increased by 59% (606.6 to 963.6).
- o Year to year increases in the ADP for home detention are as follows:

Increase of 27% (606.6 to 770.1) from FY 86-87 to FY 87-88.

Increase of 15% (770.1 to 881.9) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 22% (881.9 to 1,076.3) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Increase of 2% (1,076.3 to 1,093.9) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Decrease of 9% (1,093.9 to 996.2) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Decrease of 3% (996.2 to 963.6) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY

The average length of stay (ALOS) is calculated by dividing resident days by the sum of beginning population, admissions and transfers in.

- o Between FY 86-87 and FY 92-93 the ALOS for home detention has increased 12% (23.4 to 26.3 days).
- o Year to year increases in the ALOS for home detention are as follows:

No increase between FY 86-87 and FY 87-88, with a 0.4% increase (23.4 to 23.5) from FY 87-88 to FY 88-89.

Increase of 12% (23.5 to 26.4) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Increase of 7% (26.4 to 28.2) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Decrease of 6% (28.2 to 26.5) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Decrease of less than 1% (26.5 to 26.3) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.


o For the five year period studied, the ALOS for detention centers statewide has decreased by 10% (14.0 to 12.6) while it increased 12% (23.4 to 26.3 days) for home detention.

HOME DETENTION AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY


		FY 86-8	7		FY 87-88	3	1	FY 88-8	9		FY 89-9()		FY 90-91	l		FY 91-92	2		FY 92-93	3
	Res.			Res.			Res.			Res.			Res.			Res.			Res.	I	
	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALOS	Days	ADP	ALC
Escambia	7,117	19.5	24.2	8,511	23.3	25.0	7,594	20.8	18.3	8,576	23.5	21.7	9,000	24.7	26.3	8,300	22.7	23.7	7,864	21.5	20
Bay	1,958	5.4	14.1	2,601	7.1	13.1	2,479	6.8	14.9	2,476	6.8	14.5	2,252	6.2	16.0	1,822	5.0	18.0	2,925	8,0	21
Leon	7,020	19.2	16.7	9,466	25.9	16.9	8,946	24.5	18.6	7,166	19.6	17.4	7,443	20.4	22.4	6,024	16.5	18.7	5,242	14.4	15
Alachua	5,881	16.1	26.3	10,444	28.5	31.9	12,612	34.6	34.3	16,899	46.3	39.2	19,166	52.5	46.7	15,415	42.1	39.5	22,158	60.7	44
Marion	7,408	20.3	23.1	9,515	26.0	25.2	6,890	18.9	24.7	12,718	34.8	27.1	12,286	33.7	28.1	15,774	43.1	28.7	16,457	45.1	30
Duval	13,369	36.6	29.3	11,748	32.1	19.0	21,558	59.1	29.4	28,013	76.7	38.0	27,015	74.0	32.2	22,785	62.3	23.2	28,044	76.8	28
Volusia	11,520	31.6	30.6	15,732	43.0	34.7	20,224	55.4	28.8	27,126	74.3	31.0	19,182	52.6	29.6	10,632	29.0	20.9	8,945	24.5	17
Pinellas	10,997	30.1	16.4	15,175	41.5	19.9	14,093	38.6	22.1	28,595	78.3	31.4	36,797	100.8	33.8	31,493	86.0	30.6	20,280	55.6	26
Pasco	0	0.0	0,0	0	0.0	0.0	123	0.3	13.7	4,846	13.3	28.2	3,358	9.2	25.1	2,991	8.2	23.2	2,477	6.8	17
Hillsborough	11,450	. 31,4	15.1	16,505	45.1	15.6	18,428	50.5	16.2	15,361	42.1	17.3	13,446	36.8	16.8	12,879	35.2	17.3	11,661	31.9	13
Manatee	7,637	20.3	31.3	9,019	24.6	24.3	6,711	18.4	30.0	5,359	14.7	33.5	8,393	23.0	29.2	5,184	14.2	18.4	5,208	14.3	15
Polk	7,655	21.0	22.1	6,732	18.4	20.5	4,670	12.8	24.2	9,900	27.1	26.2	19,783	54.2	34.3	23,516	64.3	35.8	16,559	45.4	40
Orlando	32,448	88.9	29.1	40,422	110.4	27.7	42,784	117.2	22.6	49,346	135.2	27.3	45,431	124.5	27.7	42,847	117.1	26.0	42,887	117.5	24
Seminole	5,022	13.8	25.5	6,551	17.9	30.2	6,899	18,9	22.3	11,468	31.4	27.9	9,390	25.7	29.9	8,247	22.5	27.7	8,207	22.5	24
Brevard	4,829	13.2	25.7	5,833	15.9	20.9	5,272	14.4	19.1	3,636	10.0	16.1	3,857	10.6	19.7	3,879	10.6	20.1	3,365	9.2	14
S. W. Florida	8,209	22.5	17.9	10,398	28.4	18.7	9,737	26.7	18.2	10,825	29.7	16.2	9,765	26.8	16.2	8,303	22.7	15.3	6,514	17.8	15
Palm Beach	18,947	51.9	19.7	24,665	67.4	19.5	22,552	61.8	19.1	23,844	65.3	21.7	27,465	75.2	25.7	27,569	75.3	25.1	35,996	98.6	28
St. Lucie	4,209	11.5	15.6	6,613	18.1	17.1	7,867	21.6	19.7	9,556	26.2	19.6	10,943	30.0	24.6	18,718	51.1	34.1	18,184	49.8	32
Broward	22,549	61.8	26.0	28,125	76.8	32.9	39,689	108.7	26.2	50,563	138.5	23.4	36,739	100.7	16.9	23,484	64.2	13.7	18,601	51.0	13
Dade	33,001	90.4	28.8	42,989	117.5	26.9	61,833	169.4	28.2	65,325	179.0	33.1	76,423	209.4	47.3	74,139	202.6	46.0	69,001	189.0	45
Monroe	407	1.1	18.5	814	2.2	19.9	943	2.6	17.8	1269	3.5	21.1	1146	3.1	16.9	605	1.7	14.4	1,151	3.2	18
STATEWIDE	221,633	606,6	23.4	281,858	770.1	23.4	321,904	881.9	23.5	392,867	1,076.3	26.4	399,280	1,093.9	28.2	364,606	996.2	26.5	351,726	963.6	26

Prepared by PDCYFD cab & pfp 1/28/92. Data Source: Detention Population Report. Revised by PDJJP jlb & pfp 12/10/92, vfd & pfp 7/31/93. Data Source: Detention Population Report. (hdadpals.xls)


HOME DETENTION RETURNED FAILURE TO APPEAR




HOME DETENTION RETURNED FAILURE TO APPEAR FY 1992-93



HOME DETENTION
RETURNED TO SECURE FOR NEW LAW VIOLATION



HOME DETENTION
RETURNED NEW LAW VIOLATION
FY 1992-93



አ

RETURNS TO SECURE DETENTION FROM HOME DETENTION FOR: NEW LAW VIOLATIONS/FAILURE TO APPEAR FOR COURT/OTHER REASONS

The attached spreadsheet provides the total number of youth returned to secure detention (RTS). This number is delineated into the reasons for return: youth returned for new law violations (NLV), failure to appear for court (FTA), and all other reasons for return (failure to comply with home detention rules, etc.). It should be noted that this data is reported by each facility in the monthly superintendent's report.

- o Prior to FY 88-89, statistics for this information were not documented on the superintendent's report. A breakdown of the reasons for return to detention (NLV and FTA) was not tracked until FY 88-89. The "Other" (reasons for return to detention) category was not added until FY 89-90 therefore there was no ability to track the total number of youth returned to secure detention during FY 88-89.
- o From FY 89-90 to FY 92-93, the total number of youth returned to secure detention from home detention decreased by 27% (1,880 to 1,367).
- o Year to year percentage increases and decreases are as follows:

Decrease of 19% (1,880 to 1,525) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Increase of 0.5% (1,525 to 1,533) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Decrease of 11% (1,533 to 1,367) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

NEW LAW VIOLATIONS

- The number of youth who committed a new law violation while on home detention status has not shown an overall change (488 to 489) between FY 88-89 and FY 92-93.
- o Year to year percentage increases and decreases are as follows:

Increase of 27% (488 to 618) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Increase of 5% (618 to 649) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Increase of 2% (649 to 662) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Decrease of 26% (662 to 489) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

FAILURE TO APPEAR

- o The number of failures to appear in court has increased 31% (276 to 362) from FY 88-89 to FY 92-93.
- o Year to year percentage increases and decreases are as follows:

Increase of 12% (276 to 309) from FY 88-89 to FY 89-90.

Increase of 97% (309 to 609) from FY 89-90 to FY 90-91.

Decrease of 31% (609 to 418) from FY 90-91 to FY 91-92.

Decrease of 13% (418 to 362) from FY 91-92 to FY 92-93.

HOME DETENTION

RETURNED TO SECURE/RETURNED FOR NEW LAW VIOLATION/FAILED TO APPEAR FOR COURT

	FY 88-89		FY 89-90			FY 90-91			FY 91-92			FY 92-93		
	NLV	FTA	RTS	NLV	FTA	RTS	NLV	FTA	RTS	NLV	FTA	RTS	NLV	FTA
Escambia	25	12	60	29	4	50	17	2	61	12	6	57	11	11
Bay	0	0	27	2	- 0	- 30	0	2	17	1	0	31	1	0
Leon	32	17	85	42	13	61.	18	7	52	39	0	63	39	1
Alachua	4	0	79	12	3	80	38	10	78	8	2	101	3	0
Marion	8	. 2	77	11	1	51	11	1	79	7	4	48	10	0
Duval	25	5	38	12	1	60	24	10	57	34	7	15	14	0
Volusia	43	0	105	35	9	58	27	8	48	16	2	41	15	- 5
Pinellas	7	0	96	42	9	150	64	13	43	15	0	10	0	0
Pasco	- 0	- 0.	. 8	0	0	10	3	0	21	3	2	4	4	0
Hillsborough	32	38	72	36	28	58	35	26	. 74	36	34	67	33	40
Manatee	11	0	40	11	1	- 51	- 12	_ 1	39	15	4	33	14	0
Polk	13	14	70	12	4	84	34	13	86	54	27	47	22	16
Orlando	47	- 23	314	59	22	305	46	40	256	57	44	250	56	67
Seminole	. 0	2	167	24	0	63	26	0	29	11	2	8	5	0
Brevard	18	6	31	10	0	27	6	.0	. 38	6	1	19	8	0
S. W. Florida	21	11	49	20	15	. 58	29	26	37	30	8	21	11	0
Paim Beach	58	2 -	79	. 69	21	94	61	19	132	98	- 6	140	84	3
St. Lucie	14	6	70	27	9	64	31	4	74	37	5	106	43	5
Broward	54	4	51	89	68	40	81	271	33	79	104	32	37	56
Dade	76	134	362	76	101	131	86	156	279	104	160	274	79	158
	, .				-									
STATEWIDE	488	276	1,880	618	309	1,525	649	609	1,533	662	418	1,367	489	362

Prepared by PDJJP 07/31/93 vfd. (hdrsnlfa.xls)