
U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Assistance









STATE AND LOCAL
TRAINING AND
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE
NEEDS ASSESSMENT
SURVEY RESULTS

150068

MARCH 1993

150068

NCJRS

SEP 20 1994

ACQUISITIONS

STATE AND LOCAL TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE NEEDS ASSESSMENT SURVEY

SURVEY RESULTS

Prepared For:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

By Community Research Associates, Inc.

This project was supported by Grant No. 91-DD-CX-K026 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs, establishes the policies and priorities, and manages and coordinates the activities of the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Institute of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the Office for Victims of Crime. Points of view in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

150068

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this expensive material has been granted by

granted by Public Domain/OJP/BJA

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the control of the contr

Table of Contents

Page(s)
Introduction 1
Methods
Findings Overview 6 - 7
Section I Training Currently Provided In-State
Findings
Section II Operational Programs Receiving Formula Grant Funding
Findings
Section III Assistance Needed Through BJA T/TA Program
Findings Table X Assistance Needed Through BJA T/TA Program By Program Area And Type of Need X-1 Table XI Assistance Needed By Program Area And Ranking XI-1 Table XII State Rankings Of Assistance By Program Area XII-1 Table XIII Number of Areas Needing Assistance by State and Need Type XIII-1 Table XIV Total Ranks For Assistance Needs by State and Need Type XIV-1
Appendix A Survey Form & BJA's Transmittal Letter
Appendix B States/Territories Responding To Survey

Introduction

The primary mission of the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is to support national drug control efforts by improving the criminal justice system. This mission is accomplished, in part, by providing both financial and technical resources to state and local governments. BJA's State and Local Training and Technical Assistance Program (T/TA) supports a formula grant program that provides training and technical assistance to state and local jurisdictions. The program seeks to improve the overall function of state and local criminal justice systems. Specific goals of the program include developing and implementing strategies to prevent and combat drug-related and violent crime. To ensure that the training and technical assistance resources target and focus on state/local issues, programmatic needs associated with the 21 authorized program areas must be identified as well as the administrative needs related to the formula grant program.

Under the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, each state is required to designate a state administrative agency to manage formula funds and state strategy development.

Community Research Associates (CRA), as part of its contract with BJA, has conducted a national needs assessment survey to provide the information required. A mailed survey instrument (questionnaire) was used to collect information from the 56 state administrative agencies. CRA staff developed the questions and instrument. Special care was taken so that it would not duplicate previous survey and research efforts.

In March of 1992, a technical assistance survey workgroup was formed. The workgroup consisted of BJA representatives, Joe Marshall of Virginia, Floyd Pond of Maryland, and CRA representatives. The immediate task for the group was to further develop and refine the questionnaire. The completed survey questionnaire contained in Appendix A was the result of this workgroup. In September of 1992, BJA edited, approved, and mailed the questionnaires to each of the 56 state administrative agency directors with a cover letter. The return due date for the questionnaire was set for October 9, 1993, however, many were not received until sometime later. By the end of the year 49 states and territories had responded. Appendix B provides a listing of the states and territories that responded to the survey. Surveys arriving late delayed the project until the first quarter of 1993.

Introduction Page 1

Methods

A mailed questionnaire was used to collect information from the 56 State Administrative Agencies. See Appendix A for a complete copy of the survey including instructions and questions asked. It has three major sections that cover all of the 21 Program Areas.

Sections I and II required respondents to check all of the appropriate boxes for "Yes" responses, while Section III required ranked (1-5) responses. Due to the large number of possible responses, respondents were instructed that if their answers were "No" or "Not Needed" to a particular survey question, they were to leave the box blank. Since "no" or "not needed" responses were not explicitly recorded, it is possible that any missing information was labeled as negative responses.

Section I asks three primary questions regarding funding sources for in-state training currently being provided:

- * "Is Training Currently Provided In-State with State/Local Funds?"
- * "Is Training Currently Provided In-State with Formula Funds?"
- * "Is Training Currently Provided In-State by Other Federal Agencies?"

Section II addresses funding information for operational programs in each of the program areas by asking two questions:

- * "Do Operational Programs <u>Currently</u> Receive Formula Grant Funding?"
- * "Do Operational Programs Anticipate Receiving Formula Grant Funding?"

Section III is concerned with technical assistance, training, and information needs in each program area and asks states to rank their needs using a 5-point scale where "5" is the 'Greatest Need' and "1" is the 'Least Need.' If no assistance was needed, respondents were instructed to leave that particular line blank. *Technical Assistance* was generally defined as the provision of specialized short term consultation as a means of resolving a specific problem for a state or local justice, correction, or related system. Technical Assistance for *Program Development and Planning* was further

defined as that needed to assist the state administrative agency or another agency with any aspect of planning, designing, or developing a program. Technical Assistance for *Operations* was further defined as that needed to assist on issues related to implementing a previously designed program or improving a program currently being conducted. *Training* was clarified as training used to enhance recipient knowledge of justice, corrections, and drug related issues via workshops or conference settings. *Information* refers to materials assembled on a specific topic to increase a user's understanding of the topic and related issues. Specific instructions for the section included:

- * Rank each area with regard to *Program Development* Technical Assistance Needs.
- * Rank each area with regard to *Operational Program* Technical Assistance Needs.
- * Rank each area with regard to Training Technical Assistance Needs.
- * Rank each area with regard to *Informational* Technical Assistance Needs.

Preliminary analysis focused on identifying those project areas most frequently funded or in greatest need. The cutoff criterion most frequently used to identify these top areas was the 90th percentile. Consequently, the highest 10 percent of cases are usually presented. However, due to ties of equal value and indistinguishable breaking points fewer or more project areas or states may actually be listed. Averages mentioned refer to mean averages.

Analyses also identified States with the most number of areas offering training and needing assistance.

A number of calculated totals were created and used during analysis. Most of these involved adding column or row items from the survey. Blanks were coded as zeros and appear as "--" in the tables enclosed. Some of these calculated measures deserve explanation:

Training Areas in State: In Section I, a check in any one or more of the boxes in columns A, B, and/or C indicates that a training program(s) exists in that line-specific area. Since there are 122 project areas within

BJA's first 21 Program Areas the number of training areas per state can range from 0 to 122.

- Anticipated Formula Grant Totals: The intent of this question was to identify project areas not currently being formula grant funded but that the state anticipates to begin receiving formula grant funding. Many states indicated Yes responses to both "Current" and "Anticipated" questions, however only those checking Yes for "Anticipated" were studied. Hence, Anticipated Totals represent areas in which states are planning to expand.
- Total Need Ranks: Since we asked for numerical ranks of need in Section III, we can add the ranks given by the states to produce a relative measure of need. These "Total Ranks" are calculated by adding all the ranks that respondents assigned to a given project area. These totals can go up to 245; 49 states multiplied by 5, the highest rank possible for each area. The national total for each type of need can go as high as 29,890 for all project areas; based on rank total of 245 possible multiplied by 122 areas.

There are four types of needs that respondents rate along the scale. At one point, we collapse or add all four types into a single measure of need called "Total Rank for All Four Need Types." When doing so the range of possible needs goes up to 980 possible.

The number of states suggesting some need, despite rank value, is another potential measure of need. In terms of calculations, this is the same as treating all values as if they were 1's and then adding them up. "Number of States" is strongly associated with "Total Rank" values, since the Totals will be greater when more states assign a number instead of leaving a blank. This spurious relation between these two indicators of need should be kept in mind.

As units of measure, these *Total Ranks* may not have concrete meanings, but are practical means to allow comparisons between the responses and between the respondents.

Questions in Sections I and II ask for specific funding information that can be documented. A "Yes" answer in these sections may refer to single or multiple programs/projects that operate within the program area specified. Section III asks a

more subjective question about amounts of need in each program area. Since these are judgments it should be kept in mind that one respondent's sense of need may differ from that of other respondents'. People work under different response biases: for example, some will be liberal in checking needs to address many issues while others will answer cautiously in not wanting to admit a need.

Findings -- Overview

The vast majority of survey items were left blank showing no funding or no needs. Responses indicating funding and needs will be discussed section by section in the following pages.

Beyond Sections I-III, some general questions were asked about the State and Local Training and Technical Assistance (T/TA) Program conducted by BJA through CRA. At the time of survey completion, 39 of the 49 states were aware of the program. State and local agencies in 24 different states have requested Training or TA through the program. Twenty-three of these states used Training or TA sponsored by BJA or the Office of Justice Programs within the last two years. More information about the program and how to request Training and TA is wanted by 25 states.

Seventeen state offices survey state and/or local agencies to determine training and technical assistance needs.

Eight state agencies indicate a need for training or Technical Assistance either to or, through their office for the Governor or policy makers to enhance responsibilities in implementing statewide drug and violent crime strategies.

Several states took advantage of the "OTHER" option available in each of the general Program Areas to specify a specific area in which they have training, programs, and/or needs. These responses may suggest new areas needing attention. Current data can only note these new suggestions. Since other states were unable to comment on them, no other comparisons nor analyses are possible.

The following list shows some of the OTHER areas mentioned by the states.

- 1 -- Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement Innovative Prevention
- 2 -- Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement
 Technical Assistance & Asset Investigations
 Technical Surveillance

4 -- Community Crime Prevention
Personal Safety
Weed\Seed
Strategic planning for C.O.P.
Hate Crimes
F.A.S.T.

10 - Court-Based Operations
 Cross Cultural Training/ Domestic Violence/Sex Crimes
 Treatment Assessment Service Center

11 -- Adult Detention/Corrections ProgramsPrivate Provider SymposiumJail Construction

12 -- Prison/Jail Industries
Procedures and Management

14 - Victim/Witness Programs

 General System Services to Victims
 Battered Women Victim Assistance

15 -- Drug Control Technology/Information Systems Indigent Defense Brief Book

16 -- Innovative/Unique Crime Control Programs
Drug Summit
Statewide Prosecution Coordination

18 – Domestic Violence
 State Police Task Force Targeting Violent Armed Felons
 Legal Assistance
 Direct Services for Child Abuse

19 -- Drug Control Program EvaluationProblem Definitions for Drugs and Violent Crime

20 - Correctional Alternatives
Drug Testing of Offenders
Alternative Sentencing

21 -- Urban Drug Enforcement
Multi-Disciplinary Drug Abuse Strategy
Assist Drug Prosecutors
Asset Forfeiture and Returning Assets

Section I Findings: Training Currently Provided In-State

Table I, "In-State Training by Program Area and Funding Source," compares the three funding sources for In-State Training programs. Since the three funding sources (State/Local, Formula Grant, and Other Federal Agencies) cover the entire range of possible sources, a check indicating any one or more source(s) suggests that a training program exists. Any private funding would fall in the "State/Local" category.

Whatever the funding source, the 10 Program Areas with the most in-state training programs are:

<u>Program Area</u>	# of State	<u>es</u>
1a	D.A.R.E	
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces 38	
3c	Marijuana Eradication	
7m	Highway Interdiction	
7k	Undercover Programs	
2c	Special Narcotics Prosecutor	
9b	Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	
7i	Drug Dogs/Canine Acquisition and Training/K-9	
	Unit	
71	Surveillance Programs	
18a	Domestic/Family Intervention	

Nationwide, the respondents indicated that 1,128 state training areas are funded with state/local funds, 729 areas are funded by Federal Formula Grants, and 338 are funded by Other Federal Agencies. (Reminder: The maximum number of training areas is 5,929 resulting from 122 areas possible in 49 responding states.) On average, states use state and local funds for 23.02 areas, formula funds for 14.88 areas, and other federal monies for 6.90 areas. Consequently, it seems that state and local funds contribute to more training areas than formula funds. Also, other federal agencies funded less than half as many areas as BJA's formula grant program.

States with the largest amount of training, regardless of funding source, are: California (74 areas), Arizona (72), Minnesota (67), Pennsylvania (67), Tennessee (64), and Virginia (63).

Actual funding amounts were not collected, but it seems safe to assume that when more areas are funded that more money is used to fund them.

Tables II, III, and IV examine each of the funding sources in detail.

From Table II we can see that the States with the most instate training programs receiving state/local funds are: California (69 of the 122 areas), Arizona (68), Minnesota (66), Tennessee (61), Michigan (56), Utah (55), and Wisconsin (53). California supports training in the most areas. Other states may actually have more training, since a check in the survey box can represent one or more programs within a project area. However, our results show California supported the largest range of training topics with state and/or local funds. The mean average per state is 23.02 areas funded state/locally. Seven states/territories did not use state/local funds for training: DC, IN, MS, NH, OR, PR, and WV.

The most frequently funded training with state and local funds are in the following program areas:

<u>Program Area</u>		# of	States
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces		28
1a	D.A.R.E		26
3c	Special Narcotics Prosecutor		22
7k	Undercover Programs		23
7m	Highway Interdiction		23
4b	Neighborhood Watch		21
7f	Preserving the Crime Scene		
71	Surveillance Programs		21
3d	Drug Identification		20
7h	Drug Recognition Programs		20
18a	Domestic/Family Intervention		20
18b	Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence		20

Table III examines formula grant funded training provided via BJA's Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Formula Grant Program. States using Formula funds the most are: Michigan (46 areas), Tennessee (42), Delaware (37), Virginia (36), Minnesota (35), and Massachusetts (33). Michigan uses formula funds

in more training areas than the other states. On average, 14.88 areas were formula funded in each state. New Hampshire was the only state that did not use any formula grants for training.

Formula funds are used the most in the following program areas:

Program Area		# of	<u>States</u>
1a	D.A.R.E		35
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces		29
2c	Special Narcotics Prosecutor		
9b	Asset Seizure and Forfeiture		18
4e	Community-Based Policing		17
7k	Undercover Programs		16
8c	Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit		16
3b	Clandestine Laboratories		15
21a	Street Level Narcotics Enforcement		15
3c	Marijuana Eradication		14
7m	Highway Interdiction		14
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities		14
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Programs/Projects		14

In Table IV, we see that the states using other federal monies for training in the largest number of areas are: New Mexico (34 areas), Pennsylvania (32), Arizona (29), Tennessee (22), and Delaware (21). New Mexico had the largest number of training areas supported in this manner. On average, states used other federal monies in 6.90 areas. Ten states did not use any other federal funds(CT, DC, IN, MS, MO, NH, NJ, OH, OR, and PR).

States use other federal money for training purposes the most in these program areas:

Program Area	and the second of the second o	<u># of</u>	States
3c	Marijuana Eradication		19
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces		18
1a	D.A.R.E		13
9a	Financial Investigations		12
1b	School Based Programs		10
3d	Drug Identification		10
9b	Asset Seizure and Forfeiture		10
9c	Money Laundering		10
2b	Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		9
7i	Targeting Firearm Offenses		

Thirty-six areas received no other federal funding for training programs instate.

Table V shows the States' individual totals for each funding source. It should be kept in mind that areas can receive funding from multiple sources. Therefore, the last column, "Total Number of Areas in State," may be less than the sum of the other three columns.

Table I

IN-STATE TRAINING BY PROGRAM AREA AND FUNDING SOURCE

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	With State & Local Funds	With Formula Funds	By Other Federal Agencies
Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement			
A. DARE	26	35	13
B. School Based Programs	18	11	10
C. Non School Based Programs	15	8	6
D. Other	0	0	0

2. Multijurisdictional Drug Entorcement			
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	28	29	18
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program	13	- 10	9
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	16	23	5
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	13	10	6
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	8	9	1
F. Equipment Pool	11	7	1
G. Other	2	1	0

3 Domestic Drug Control			
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	10	8	5
B. Clandestine Laboratories	17	15	5
C. Marijuana Eradication	22	14	19
D. Drug Identification	20	12	10
E. Other	0	0	0

SECTION I Table I, Page 1

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	With State & Local Funds	With Formula Funds	By Other Federal Agencies
4 Community Crime Prevention			
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	13	3	3
B. Neighborhood Watch	21	8	3
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	2	3	0
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	15	2	1.
E. Community-based Policing	16	17	4
F. Violence Prevention	13	6	1
G. Community Mobilization	14	10	5
H. Other	3	2	1

5: Stolen Property Programs			
A. Sting	6	2	. 1
B. Property Crime Program	5	1	0
C. Other	0	0	0

Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime			
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	3	1	2
B. Other	1	0	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	With State & Local Funds	With Formula Funds	By Other Federal Agencies
7. Law Enforcement Operations / Enhancement			
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	14	6	3
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	12	11	5
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	13	4	2
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	5	0	1
E. Arson Prevention and Control	12	0	1
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	21	1	1
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	15	2	2
H. Drug Recognition Programs	20	5	6
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	19	11	2
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	7	2	9
K. Undercover Programs	23	16	6
L. Surveillance Programs	21	13	3
M. Highway Interdiction	23	14	5
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	10	9	4
O. Other	0	0	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	With State & Local Funds	With Formula Funds	By Other Federal Agencies
8. Griminal Prosecution / Drug Legislation			
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	15	10	7
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	14	13	5
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	16	16	3
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	3	11	1
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	9	5	7
F. Other	1	1	0

9. Financial Aspects of Drug Control			
A. Financial Investigations	13	13	12
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	16	18	10
C. Money Laundering	7	6	10
D. Other	0	0	0

10 Court-Based Operations			
A. Court Delay Reduction	14	7	0
B. Differentiated Case Management	8	5	0
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	7	4	2
D. Drug Courts	5	2	0
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	11	8	0
F. Court Unification	4	. 1	0
G. Video Arraignment	4	2	0
H. Dispute Resolution	7	2	0
I. Pretrial Services Delivery	9	4	0
J. Other	1	2	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	With State & Local Funds	With Formula Funds	By Other Federal Agencies
11. Adult Detention/ Corrections Programs			
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	13	9	1
B. Boot Camps	10	3	1
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting	12	9	0
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	18	14	1
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	9	4	1
F. Sentencing Strategies Development	9	4	1
G. Other	0	1	0

12. Prison / Jail Industry			
A. Prison Industries	7	0	0
B. Jail Industries	5	1	1
C. Other	1	0	0

13 Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs			
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	18	10	3
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	17	8	3
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	14	7	1
D. Other	0	0	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	With State & Local Funds	With Formula Funds	By Other Federal Agencies
14 Victim / Witness Programs			
A. One Day - One Trial / Jury Management	1	0	0
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	0	0	0
C. Victim / Witness Programs	14	5	5
D. Restitution for Victims	7	3	2
E. Victim Assistance	12	8	4
F. Other	1	1	1

15 Drug Control Technology / Information Systems			
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	5	4	0
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	4	4	0
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes/ TASC	5	. 4	1
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	13	13	1
E. Information/Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	10	11	5
F. Automated Criminal History/Fingerprint ID/CJRI	13	5	2
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	5	1	0
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	11	9	3
I. Other	1	1	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	With State & Local Funds	With Formula Funds	By Other Federal Agencies
16. Innovative / Unique Crime Control Programs			
A. Drug/Violent Crime Policy/Planning	4	3	1
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	4	3	3
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	1	0	0
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	4	2	1
E. Air/Marine Interdiction Program	3	3	5
F. Other	0	1	0

17 Drug Enforcement in Public Housing			
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	9	7	8
B. Tenant/Security Program	7	3	7
C. Other	0	0	0

18 Domestic Violence			
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	20	10	3
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	20	7	1
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	18	8	4
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	9	2	2
E. Other	1	1	1

19 Drug Control Program Evaluation				
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	9	14	4	
B. Other	1	1	1	

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	With State & Local Funds	With Formula Funds	By Other Federal Agencies
20. Correctional Alternatives			
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	11	7	0
B. Restitution by Juveniles	7	1	2
C. Community Service Labor Program	6	3	0
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	7	8	0
E. Other	0	0	0

21 Urban Drug Enforcement			
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	15	15	5
B. Target Cities	5	7	3
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	3	2	2
D. Crack Houses	6	6	3
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	7	7	2
F. User Focus Enforcement	3	3	1
G. Alien/Ethnic Groups	2	2	3
H. Other	1	2	1

Table II

IN-STATE TRAINING PROVIDED WITH STATE & LOCAL FUNDS

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement		
A. DARE	26	AL, AS, AZ, CA, CT, DE, FL, GA, HI, KY, ME, MI, MN, MO, NE, NJ, NM, OH, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VT, WA, WI
B. School Based Programs	18	AZ, CA, DE, FL, ID, ME, MN, NE, NJ, NV, OH, OK, SD, TN, UT, VA, WA, WI
C. Non School Based Programs	15	AZ, CA, DE, FL, ID, MN, NE, NJ, NY, OH, OK, PA, SD, VA, WI
D. Other	0	[none]

2. Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	28	AK, AZ, CA, DE, GA, ID, IL, KY, MA, ME, MI, MN, MO, ND, NE, NJ, NM, NV, OK, PA, RI, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA, WI
B. Organized Crime / Narcotics Program	13	AZ, CA, MA, MI, MO, ND, NJ, RI, SD, TN, UT, VA, WI
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	16	AZ, CA, DE, GA, ID, IL, MD, MI, MN, RI, SC, SD, TN, UT, VT, WA
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	13	AZ, CA, MI, NM, OH, RI, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA, WY
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	8	CA, DE, MI, MN, NM, RI, SD, VA
F. Equipment Pool	11	CA, DE, MD, NM, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, WI
G. Other	2	NJ, WA

SECTION I Table II, Page 1

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
3. Domestic Drug Control		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	10	CA, MI, MN, MO, NE, PA, SD, UT, VA, WI
B. Clandestine Laboratories	17	AZ, CA, ID, MI, MN, MO, NC, ND, NE, NJ, NM, OK,TN,UT, VA, WA, WI
C. Marijuana Eradication	22	AL, AZ, CA, GA, HI, ID, IL, MI, MN, NC, ND, NE, NJ, NM, NY, OK, PA, SC, TN, UT, VA, WI
D. Drug Identification	20	AK, AZ, CA, GA, IA, MA, ME, MI, MN, NC, ND, NE, NM, OK, TN, UT, VA, WA, WY
E. Other	0	[none]

4 Community Crime Prevention		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	13	CA, FL, IL, MD, ME, MN, ND, PA, RI, SC, UT, VA, WI
B. Neighborhood Watch	21	AL, AS, AZ, CA, FL, GA, IA, ID, MD, MN, ND, NJ, NM, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, WI
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	2	AZ, PA
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	15	AL, AZ, CA, FL, IA, MD, ME, MN, ND, NJ, PA, SC, UT, VA, WI
E. Community-based Policing	16	AL, AZ, CA, IL, ME, MI, MN, NC, NJ, NM, PA, RI, TN, UT, WA, WI
F. Violence Prevention	13	CA, FL, GA, ME, MI, MN, NC, PA, SC, SD, TN, UT, WI
G. Community Mobilization	14	AZ, CA, FL, HI, MI, MN, NC, NJ, NM, PA, SC, UT, WA, WI
H. Other	3	MA, OH, WI

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
5. Stolen Property Programs		
A. Sting	6	AZ, CA, GA, NC, RI, TN
B. Property Crime Program	5	CA, GA, MD, NM, UT
C. Other	0	[none]

6. Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	3	AZ, CA, WI
B.Other	1	WI

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
7 Law Enforcement Operations / Enhancement		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	14	AZ, FL, GA, LA, MI, MN, MO, NC, PA, RI, SC, UT, VA, WA
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	12	AL, AZ, FL, GA, HI, MN, NE, NJ, NM, PA, UT, WI
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	13	AL, AZ, FL, MA, MI, MN, NC, NJ, PA, SD, TN, UT, VA
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	5	CA, FL, GA, MO, TN
E. Arson Prevention and Control	12	AL, AZ, FL, GA, MD, MI, MN, NM, PA, VA, WA, WI
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	21	AL, AZ, FL, GA, ID, MD, ME, MI, MN, NC, ND, NE, NM, PA, SC, TN, UT, VA, WA, WI, WY
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	15	AL, AZ, FL, GA, ME, MI, MN, ND, PA, SC, TN, UT, VA, WI, WY
H. Drug Recognition Programs	20	AL, AZ, FL, GA, ID, ME, MI, MN, NC, ND, NE, NJ, NM, PA, SC, TN, UT, VA, WA, WI
I. Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	19	AL, AZ, CA, GA, ID, KY, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, NC, PA, RI, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	7	AL, AZ, NC, TN, UT, VA, WY
K. Undercover Programs	23	AL, AZ, CA, DE, FL, GA, ID, MI, MN, MO, NC, ND, NE, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, WI
L. Surveillance Programs	21	AL, AZ, CA, FL, GA, ID, MI, MN, ND, NE, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, WA, WI
M. Highway Interdiction	23	AL, AZ, FL, GA, ID, KY, ME, MI, MN, NC, NE, NJ, NM, NY, OK, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, UT, WA, WI
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	10	AZ, GA, ID, MI, MN, NE, PA, RI, SC, TN
O. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
8 Criminal Prosecution / Drug Legislation		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	15	AS, AZ, CA, GA, HI, IA, ID, MD, MI, MN, NC, TN, UT, VA, WI
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	14	AZ, CA, GA, ID, MD, MI, MN, ND, NE, NJ, OH, SD, VA, WI
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	16	AZ, CA, GA, HI, ID, MA, MI, MN, OH, SD, TN, TX, UT, VA, VT, WA
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	3	CA, ID, UT
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	9	AZ, CA, GA, IA, ID, MI, NE, NM, WA
F. Other	1	ОН

9: Financial Aspects of Drug Control		
A. Financial Investigations	13	AZ, CA, GA, ID, MI, MN, NE, NM, OH, RI, TN, VA, WA
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	16	AZ, CA, GA, HI, ID, MI, MN, ND, NJ, NM, OH, SD, TN, UT, VA, WA
C. Money Laundering	7	AZ, CA, ID, MI, NE, OH, WA
D. Other	0	[none}

10. Court-Based Operations		
A. Court Delay Reduction	14	AL, AZ, CA, IA, MA, MI, MN, MO, NC, NJ, OH, TN, UT, WI
B. Differentiated Case Management	8	AL, AZ, CA, IA, MI, MN, UT, VA
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	7	AZ, CA, MA, MI, MN, NJ, WI
D. Drug Courts	5	CA, GA, MI, TN, WI
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	11	AL, AZ, CA, IA, MI, MN, NC, NJ, OH, UT, WI
F. Court Unification	4	AL, CA, MI, UT
G. Video Arraignment	4	CA, MI, UT, WI
H. Dispute Resolution	7	CA, IA, MI, NM, UT, VA, WI
I. Pretrial Services Delivery	9	AZ, CA, IA, MI, MN, OH, UT, VA,WI
J. Other	1	UT

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
11 Adult Detention /Gorrections Programs		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	13	CA, ID, MI, MN, MO, NC, NJ, PA, SC, SD, UT, WA, WI
B. Boot Camps	10	AL, AZ, CA, GA, ID, MA, MI, PA, TN, VA
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting	12	AL, AZ, DE, GA, MA, MI, NC, PA, SC, SD, TN, VA
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	18	AL, AZ, DE, GA, IA, ID, MA, MI, MN, MO, NC, PA, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, WI
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	9	AZ, DE, GA, MN, OH, SC, TN, VA, WI
F. Sentencing Strategies Development	9	AL, AZ, CA, DE, MI, MN, PA, TN, WA
G. Other	0	[none]

12. Prison / Jail Industry		
A. Prison Industries	7	AL, AZ, DE, MN, NM, VA, WA
B. Jail Industries	5	AL, DE, MN, TN, WA,
C. Other	1	AL

13. Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	18	AL, AZ, DE, FL, GA, IA, MA, MI, MN, NC, PA, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, WA, WI
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	17	AL, AZ, DE, FL, GA, IA, MA, MN, MO, NC, PA, SC, SD, TN, UT, WA, WI
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	14	DE, FL, GA, MA, MI, MN, NC, PA, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, WI
D. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
14. Victim / Witness Programs		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management	1	CA
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	0	[none]
C. Victim / Witness Programs	14	AL, CA, DE, HI, IA, ID, MN, OH, OK, PA, RI, TN, UT, WI
D. Restitution for Victims	7	AL, CA, ID, MN, PA, TN, UT
E. Victim Assistance	12	CA, DE, HI, IA, ID, MN, OH, RI, TN, TX, UT, WI
F. Other	1	DE

15. Drug Control Technology / Information Systems		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	5	AZ, DE, NC, SD, WA
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	4	AL, IA, UT, WI
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TALC	5	AZ, CA, DE, NC, WA
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	13	AZ, CA, DE, GA, HI, ID, MA, MI, MN, NM, PA, TN, WI
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	10	AZ, CA, DE, MI, NM, OH, SC, TN, VA, WA
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	13	AZ, CA, DE, GA, MA, MI, MN, NC, OK, UT, VA, WA, WI
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	5	AZ, CA, DE, NM, VA
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	11	AZ, DE, HI, MI, NM, SC, SD, UT, VA, WI, WY
I. Other	1	WA

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
16 Innovative / Unique Crime Control Programs		
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning	4	AZ, DE, GA, MN
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	4	CA, MA, NM, TN
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	1	CA
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	4	AZ, CA, SD, WA
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program	3	AZ, CA, SC
F. Other	0	[none]

17 Drug Enforcement in Public Housing		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	9	AL, AZ, DE, MA, MN, NC, NJ, TN, WI
B. Tenant / Security Program	7	AL, CA, DE, MN, OH, TN, WI
C. Other	. 0	[none]

18. Domestic Violence		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	20	AL, AZ, CA, DE, GA, IA, MA, MD, ME, MN, ND, NE, OH, PA, RI, SD, TN, UT, WA, WI
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	20	AL, AZ, CA, DE, GA, IA, KY, MA, MD, MN, ND, NE, NM, OH, PA, RI, SD, TN, UT, WI
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	18	AL, AZ, CA, DE, GA, IA, ID, MA, MD, MN, ND, NE, NM, OH, TN, UT, WA, WI
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	9	AL, AZ, CA, DE, GA, MD, MN, ND, RI
E. Other	1	WA

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
19 Drug Control Program Evaluation		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	9	AZ, DE, GA, ID, MN, NM, PA, SD, TN
B. Other	1	DE

20. Correctional Alternatives		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	11	AL, CA, DE, GA, MN, NC, NM, RI, TN, VA, WA
B. Restitution by Juveniles	7	AL, CA, DE, MN, RI, TN, WA
C. Community Service Labor Program	6	CA, GA, MN, TN, VA, WA
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	7	CA, DE, MN, TN, UT, VA, WA
E. Other	0	[none]

21. Urban Drug Enforcement		
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	15	CA, DE, GA, MA, MI, MN, ND, NE, NJ, PA, RI, SC, TN, UT, WI
B. Target Cities	5	DE, MI, NJ, TN, VA
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	3	MI, TN, WA
D. Crack Houses	6	DE, GA, MI, MN, TN, WA
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	7	AZ, DE, GA, MA, MI, TN, WA
F. User Focus Enforcement	3	AZ, DE, UT
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups	2	DE, PA
H. Other	1	DE

Table III

IN-STATE TRAINING PROVIDED WITH FORMULA FUNDS

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement		
A. DARE	35	AL, AS, AZ, CA, CT, DE, GA, HI, ID,IN, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN,MO, MS, NC, NE, NJ, NM, NV, OH, OK, RI, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA, WV
B. School Based Programs	11	DE, ID, ME, MN, NJ, NY, OH, PA, SD, VA, WA
C. Non School Based Programs	8	CA, DE, ME, MN, NJ, OH, SD, VA
D. Other	0	[none]

2 Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	29	AK, AS, AZ, CA, GA, HI, ID, IL, KY, LA, MA, ME, MI, MN, NC, NE, NJ, NM, NV, PA, RI, SD, TN, TX, UT, VT, WA, WV, WY
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program	10	AZ, CA, MA, MI, NJ, NM, RI, SD, TN, UT
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	23	AZ, DE, GA, IA, ID, IL, LA, MD, ME, MI, MN, NC, ND, RI, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA, WI, WY
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	10	AS, CA, MD, MI, OH, RI, SD, TN, UT, WA
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	9	AS, DE, IA, MA, MD, MI, NM, RI, SD
F. Equipment Pool	7	AS, DE, MA, MD, NM, RI, SD
G. Other	2	NJ, WA

SECTION I Table III, Page 1

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
3. Domestic Drug Control		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	8	CA, IL, MA, MD, MI, MN, OK, SD
B. Clandestine Laboratories	15	AZ, CA, GA, ID, IL, MD, MI, MN, NE, NJ, NV, PA, TN, UT, WY
C. Marijuana Eradication	14	AS, AZ, CA, HI, IL, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, NJ, NV, WI, WY
D. Drug Identification	12	CA, GA, ID, MD, ME, MI, MN, NE, PA, TN, WA, WY
E. Other	0	[none]

4 Community Crime Prevention		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	3	ME, RI, VA
B. Neighborhood Watch	8	AL, AS, FL, ME, MN, NY, RI, VA,
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	3	CA, MI, MN
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	2	ME, VA
E. Community-based Policing	17	CA, DE, ID, IL, MA, ME, MI MN, NC, NJ, NY, OK, OR, PA RI, TN, WA
F. Violence Prevention	6	DC, FL, ME, MI, SD, TN
G. Community Mobilization	10	DE, FL, HI, MA, MI, MN, NJ, NM, NY, UT
H. Other	2	ма, он

5. Stolen Property Programs		
A. Sting	2	RI, TN
B. Property Crime Program	1	ME
C. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
Public Gorruption / Organized / White Collar Crime		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	1	PR
B. Other	0	[none]

7 Law Enforcement Operations / Enhancement		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	6	MD, MI, NY, RI, SC, VA
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	11	AZ, HI, IL, MA, MN, NE, NJ, NM, RI, UT, VA
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	4	MA, MI, NJ, NY
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	0	[none]
E. Arson Prevention and Control	0	[none]
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	1	UT
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	2	MD, SC
H. Drug Recognition Programs	5	ME, NJ, NY, PA, SC
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	11	AZ, CA, LA, MA, NM, NY, RI, SD, TN, VT, WY
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	2	RI, WY
K.Undercover Programs	16	AL, AZ, CA, DE, FL, GA, ID, MI, MN, MO, NC, ND, NE, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, WI
L. Surveillance Programs	13	AZ, IL, MD, MI, NE, NJ, NY, OH, RI, SC, SD, TN, WA
M. Highway Interdiction	14	AL, CA, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, NJ, RI, SC, SD, VA, WY
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	9	AS, CA, MA, MD, NE, RI, SC, TN, VA
O. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
8. Criminal Prosecution i Drug Legislation		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	10	AS, AZ, GA, IA, LA, MI, NM NY, TN, VA
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	13	AZ, GA, ID, MI, MN, NC, NE, NJ, OH, SD, UT, VA, WY
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	16	AZ, GA, IA, KY, MA, MD, MN, OH, RI, SD, TN, VA, VT, WA, WI
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	1	UT
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	5	AZ, GA, IA, ID, MI
F. Other	1	ОН

9. Financial Aspects of Drug Control		
A. Financial Investigations	13	AS, AZ, ID, MD, MI, MN, NC, NV, OH, RI, TN, VA, WA
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	18	AZ, GA, ID, IL, LA, MD, MI, MN, NJ, OH, PR, SD, TN, UT, VA, WA, WI, WY
C. Money Laundering	6	AZ, ID, MD, OH, PR, WY
D. Other	0	[none]

10: Court-Based Operations		
A. Court Delay Reduction	7	IA, MA, MI, MN, OH, RI, TN
B. Differentiated Case Management	5	IA, MI, MN, RI, VA
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	4	MA, MI, MN, NY
D. Drug Courts	2	MI, TN
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	8	AL, IA, MA, MI, MN, OH, RI, WI
F. Court Unification	1	MI
G. Video Arraignment	2	DE, MI
H. Dispute Resolution	2	MI, VA
I. Pretrial Services Delivery	4	MI, MN, OH, VA
J. Other	2	DE, NJ

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
11 Adult Detention /Corrections Programs		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	9	CT, IA, ID, MN, MS, NY, RI, SC, SD
B. Boot Camps	3	GA, OK, TN
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting	9	DE, MA, MI, NJ, NY, RI, SD, TN, VA
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	14	DE, GA, IA, ID, MA, MI, MN, NJ, NV, NY, RI, SD, TN, VA
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	4	DE, OH, SC, VA
F. Sentencing Strategies Development	4	DE, MI, PA, RI
G. Other	1	NJ

12. Prison / Jail Industry		
A. Prison Industries	0	[none]
B. Jail Industries	1	TN
C. Other	0	[none]

13. Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	10	GA, IA, MA, MI, MN, MS, NY, RI, SD, TN
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	8	GA, IA, NV, RI, SD, TN, UT, VA
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	7	GA, MI, NY, RI, SD, TN, VA
D. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
14 Victim / Witness Programs		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management	0	[none]
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	0	[none]
C. Victim / Witness Programs	5	AS, DE, ND, OH, VA
D. Restitution for Victims	3	AL, UT, VA
E. Victim Assistance	8	AS, DE, ND, NY, OH, PA, TX, VA
F. Other	1	DE

15 Drug Control Technology I Information Systems		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	4	AL, DE, NV, SD
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	4	AL, HI, NV, NY
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC	4	AL, DE, NY, RI
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	13	AS, DE, GA, HI, MA, MI, MN, NY, OK, PA, RI, TN, WI
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	11	AL, AS, DE, MA, MI, NC, NV, OH, OK, SC, TN
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	5	AL, GA, MA, TX, UT
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	1	PA
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	9	CA, DE, HI, MA, MI, NM, SC, SD, U'i
I. Other	1	WA

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
16. Innovative / Unique Crime Control Programs		
A, Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning	3	GA, MN, NV
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	3	GA, MA, TN
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	0	[none]
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	2	IA, SD
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program	3	MA, MD, SC
F. Other	1	NV

17. Drug Enforcement in Public Housing		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	7	DE, MA, NJ, NY, TN, VA, WI
B. Tenant / Security Program	3	OH, VA, WI
C. Other	0	[none]

18. Domestic Violence		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	10	DE, HI, IA, IL, ME, ND, OH, SD, VA,
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	7	DE, HI, ND, OH, SD, TN, VA
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	8	AL, DE, OH, OK, PA, PR, TN, UT
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	2	DE, MN
E. Other	1	WA

19 Drug Control Program Evaluation		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	14	AS, AZ, CA, DE, GA, HI, ID, MN, NM, OK, PA, SD, TN, WA
B. Other	1	DE

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
20. Correctional Alternatives		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	7	AL, AZ, IA, MN, PA, TN, VA
B. Restitution by Juveniles	1	AL
C. Community Service Labor Program	3	AZ, MN, TN
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	. 8	AZ, DE, MN, PA, PR, TN, UT, VA
E. Other	0	[none]

21 Urban Drug Enforcement) <u></u>
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	15	AZ, DE, GA, MA, MD, MI, MS, NE, NJ, SC, TN, TX, WA
B. Target Cities	7	DE, MA, MI, NJ, TN, VA, WA
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	2	Mi, TN
D. Crack Houses	6	DE, GA, MD, MI, MN, TN
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	7	AZ, DE, GA, MA, MD, MI, TN
F. User Focus Enforcement	3	DE, MA, UT
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups	2	MD, WA
H. Other	2	DE, NV

Table IV

IN-STATE TRAINING PROVIDED BY OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement		
A. DARE	13	AL, AS, AZ, DE, IA, IL, KY, MA, NC, PA, RI, SD, WA
B. School Based Programs	10	CA, DE, ME, ND, NV, RI, SD, TN, WA, WV
C. Non School Based Programs	6	CA, DE, NY, RI, SD, WV
D. Other	0	[none]

2 Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	18	AK, AL, AS, AZ, FL, GA, KY, MA, ME, MI, ND, NM, SC, UT, VT, WA
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program	9	AZ, MA, MD, ME, NC, NM, PA, SC, UT
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	5	AS, AZ, MI, WA, WI
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	6	AS, AZ, MI, NM, WA, WI
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	11	MI
F. Equipment Pool	1	MA
G. Other	1	WA

3. Domestic Drug Control		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	5	CA, FL, NM, PA, WA
B. Clandestine Laboratories	5	AZ, MD, NM, PA, TN
C. Marijuana Eradication	19	AL, AZ, CA, FL, GA, HI, MD, ME, MI, ND, NM, RI, SD, TN, UT, WA, WY, SC, ID
D. Drug Identification	10	CA, GA, MD, ME, MI, PA, SC, UT
E. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
4 Community Crime Prevention		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	3	PA, TN, VA
B. Neighborhood Watch	3	AS, PA, VA
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	0	[none]
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	1	VA
E. Community-based Policing	4	AZ, DE, PA, TN
F. Violence Prevention	1	PA
G. Community Mobilization	5	AZ, DE, NM, PA, TN
H. Other	1	MA

5 Stolen Property Programs		
A. Sting	1	NM
B. Property Crime Program	0	[none]
C. Other	0	[none]

Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	2	NM, SC
B.Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
7. Law Enforcement Operations / Enhancement		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	3	LA, MI, NV
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	5	AZ, MI, MN, NM, NV
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	2	AZ, TN
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	1	PA
E. Arson Prevention and Control	1	ND
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	. 1	ME
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	2	ME, PA
H. Drug Recognition Programs	6	MD, ME, NE, NM, PA, TN
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	2	AS, AZ
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	9	AZ, GA, ID, MD, NC, ND, SC, UT, WY
K.Undercover Programs	6	AS, AZ, DE, MI, NM, WI
L. Surveillance Programs	3	AZ, MI, NM
M. Highway Interdiction	5	AZ, ID, ME, NM, TN
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	4	AZ, MN, PA, TN
O. Other	0	[none]

8 Criminal Prosecution/Drug Legislation		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	7	AZ, MI, NC, NV, PA, SC, WA
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	5	AZ, MI, ND, NM, PA
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	3	AZ, MI, UT
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	1	UT .
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	7	AZ, GA, ME, NE, NM, PA, SC
F. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
9 Financial Aspects of Drug Control		
A. Financial Investigations	12	AL, AS, AZ, GA, MI, ND, NE, NM, NV, PA, SC, VA
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	10	AL, AZ, GA, MI, NC, ND, NM, PA, SC, WI
C. Money Laundering	10	AL, AZ, GA, MI, NC, ND, NE, NM, PA, SC
D. Other	0	[none]

10. Court-Based Operations		
A. Court Delay Reduction	0	[none]
B. Differentiated Case Management	0	[none]
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	2	PA, SC
D. Drug Courts	0	[none]
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	0	[none]
F. Court Unification	0	[none]
G. Video Arraignment	0	[none]
H. Dispute Resolution	0	[none]
Pretrial Services Delivery	0	[none]
J, Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
11 Adult Detention/ Corrections Programs		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	1	VA
B. Boot Camps	1	D
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting	0	[none]
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	1	VA
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	1.	DE
F. Sentencing Strategies Development	1	DE
G. Other	0	[none]

12. Prison / Jail Industry		
A. Prison Industries	0	[none]
B. Jail Industries	1	AS
C. Other	0	[none]

13. Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	3	AL, IL, NM
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	3	AL, MN, NM
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	1	NM
D. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
14 Victim / Witness Programs		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management	0	[none]
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	0	[none]
C. Victim / Witness Programs	. 5	AL, DE, GA, NM, TN
D. Restitution for Victims	2	NM, UT
E. Victim Assistance	4	DE, GA, NM, TN
F. Other	1	DE

15. Drug Control Technology / Information Systems			
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	0	[none]	
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	0	[none]	
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC	1	DE	
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	1	AS	
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	5	AS, DE, MI, NM, WY	
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	2	ID, WY	
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	0	[none]	
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	3	AZ, CA, NM	
I. Other	0	[none]	

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories	
16 Innovative / Unique Crime Control Programs			
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning	1	NM	
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	3	CA, PA, TN	
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	0	[none]	
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	2	AZ, CA	
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program	5 AZ, CA, NM, SC, TN		
F. Other	0	[none]	

17. Drug Enforcement in Public Housing		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	8	AL, DE, IL, MD, NE, PA, TN, VA
B. Tenant / Security Program	7	AL, DE, IL, NE, PA, TN, VA
C. Other	0	[none]

18. Domestic Violence		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	3	AL, DE, TN
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	1	тх
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	4	AL, CA, TN, VA
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	2	AL, TX
E. Other	1	VT

19. Drug Control Program Evaluation		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	4	AL, DE, NM, OK
B. Other	1	DE

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
20. Correctional Alternatives		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	0	[none]
B. Restitution by Juveniles	y Juveniles 2	
C. Community Service Labor Program	0	[none]
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	0 [none]	
E. Other	0	[none]

21. Urban Drug Enforcement		
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	5	AZ, DE, NM, PA, TN
B. Target Cities	3	DE, PA, TN
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	2	NM, PA
D. Crack Houses	3	NM, PA, TN
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	2	AZ, PA
F. User Focus Enforcement	1	PA
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups	3	GA, MD, PA
H. Other	1	DE

Table V

NUMBER OF AREAS WHERE TRAINING IS FUNDED BY SOURCE AND STATE

STATE	State/Local Funds	Formula Funds	Other Federal Agencies	Total Number of Areas in State
ALK S Z A T E C T D D F G H D I L I I A K L M M M M M M M N N N N N N N N O O O P P R S S T T U V V X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	40 2 3 68 69 1 46 0 22 48 11 31 5 0 19 5 1 4 15 24 5 6 6 0 12 2 3 3 3 2 9 0 4 0 0 2 5 6 6 7 4 3 1 3 1 5 6 6 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	13 16 23 16 23 17 1 3 23 11 15 14 8 16 24 33 46 35 4 10 13 0 25 12 22 7 5 24 8 1 1 5 3 6 1 8 1 8 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9	16 1 11 29 10 0 21 0 3 11 2 5 4 0 1 1 2 1 10 8 5 17 3 0 0 0 6 5 0 0 1 0 3 2 0 4 1 3 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 2 19 72 74 2 50 1 25 55 16 36 9 21 41 40 58 67 4 42 25 17 0 31 52 25 38 28 24 17 1 67 57 44 39 33 64 85 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76

Section I Table V, Page 1

Section II Findings: Operational Programs Receiving Formula Grant Funding

The subject of Section II of the survey is operational programs receiving formula grant funding through BJA's Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Formula Grant Program. Table VI shows how many states presently provide and anticipate providing funds for operational programs in each of 21 Program Areas.

The largest concentrations of formula funds to operational programs, according to Table VII, are in the following areas:

Progr	am Area #	of States
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	. 43
1a	D.A.R.E	. 40
8c	Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities	. 34
15d	Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	. 34
13a	Drug/Alcohol Treatment of Adult Offenders	. 33
2c	Special Narcotics Prosecutor	. 33
15e	Information Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	. 29
21a	Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	. 28

Operational programs receive formula grant funding in the greatest number of areas in Ohio (53), Michigan (49), South Carolina (46), Wisconsin (44), North Carolina (43), Virginia (42), and Florida (41). On average, 26.08 project areas currently receive formula grant funding for operational programs per state. The smallest number of project areas being formula funded by any state was five.

To see which states anticipate funding previously non-funded project areas see Table VIII. The most frequently identified project areas for which states anticipate providing formula grants funding are:

Section II Page 1

Progra	am Area	# of	States
16a 4f	Drug/Violent Crime Policy Planning		
15h 18b	Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence		
	Crimes Against the Elderly		5
15f	Automated Criminal History/Fingerprint ID/CJRI		4
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Program/Project		4

The greatest anticipation of formula grants for new operational areas is in the following states: Kentucky (21 areas), North Carolina (13), New Mexico (10), Alabama (9), Pennsylvania (8), and Washington (8). The average number of anticipated (new) project areas per state is 2.63. Twenty states do not plan to expand into new operational areas.

As you can see by comparing the figures in Table IX, many more project areas are currently receiving formula funds than new areas anticipating such funding in the future. As revealed above, Kentucky is the most ambitious state in its plans to expand.

Section II Page 2

Table VI

CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED FORMULA GRANT FUNDING
FOR OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	Currently	Anticipated	
Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement			
A. DARE	40	0	
B. School Based Programs	12	0	
C. Non School Based Programs	11	0	
D. Other	0	0	

2 Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	43	0
B. Organized Crime / Narcotics Program	16	1
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	33	0
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	22	2
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	11	0
F. Equipment Pool	15	0
G. Other	2	0

3. Domestic Brug Control		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	14	1
B. Clandestine Laboratories	15	0
C. Marijuana Eradication	20	0
D. Drug Identification	11	0
E. Other	0	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	Currently	Anticipated
4 Community Crime Prevention		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	7	2
B. Neighborhood Watch	17	1
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	4	2
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	8	1
E. Community-based Policing	23	2
F. Violence Prevention	10	5
G. Community Mobilization	14	1
H. Other	3	0

5. Stolen Property Programs		
A. Sting	4	0
B. Property Crime Program	3	0
C. Other	0	0

Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	3	2
B. Other	1	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	Currently	Anticipated
7. Law Enforcement Operations / Enhancement		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	16	1
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	18	3
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	7	2
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	5	1
E. Arson Prevention and Control	. 1	0
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	4	0
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	3	0
H. Drug Recognition Programs	8	0
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	17	1
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	3	2
K. Undercover Programs	22	0
L. Surveillance Programs	18	1
M. Highway Interdiction	19	1
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	13	4
O. Other	0	0

8 Griminal Prosecution / Drug Legislation		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	19	2
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	16	1
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	30	2
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	1	1
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	8	1
F. Other	0	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	Currently	Anticipated
9: Financial Aspects of Drug Control		
A. Financial Investigations	22	2
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	24	2
C. Money Laundering	11	1
D. Other	0	0

10 Court-Based Operations		
A. Court Delay Reduction	17	. 1
B. Differentiated Case Management	6	0
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	11	0
D. Drug Courts	9	1
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	13	0
F. Court Unification	2	0
G. Video Arraignment	5	1
H. Dispute Resolution	3	2
I. Pretrial Services Delivery	7	1
J. Other	0	0

11 Adult Detention/ Corrections Programs		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and	23	1
B. Boot Camps	7	3
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting	21	3
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	34	2
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	7	1
F. Sentencing Strategies Development	3	2
G. Other	1	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	Currently	Anticipated
12: Prison / Jail Industry		
A. Prison Industries	3	0
B. Jail Industries	2	0
C. Other	1	0

13. Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	33	0
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	24	3
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	16	2
D. Other	0	0

14. Victim / Witness Programs		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management	0	0
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	0	0
C. Victim / Witness Programs	12	0
D. Restitution for Victims	4	0
E. Victim Assistance	8	1
F. Other	1	1

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	Currently	Anticipated
15. Drug Control Technology / Information Systems		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	13	0
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	6	1
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC	18	1
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	34	1
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	29	1
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	25	4
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	6	1
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	13	5
I. Other	1	0

16 Innovative / Unique Crime Control Programs		
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy / Planning	5	6
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	4	3
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	0	0
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	2	1
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program	4	1
F. Other	2	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	Currently	Anticipated
17. Drug Enforcement in Public Housing		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	13	2
B. Tenant / Security Program	5	1
C. Other	0	0

18. Domestic Violence		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	18	2
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	10	5
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	8	4
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	4	5
E. Other	3	0

19 Drug Control Program Evaluation		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	25	4
B. Other	2	0

20. Correctional Alternatives		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	20	3
B. Restitution by Juveniles	5	1
C. Community Service Labor Program	6	1
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	10	0
E. Other	1	0

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	Currently	Anticipated
21. Urban Drug Enforcement		
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	28	. '0 '
B. Target Cities	7	0
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	5	0
D. Crack Houses	7	0
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	8	1
F. User Focus Enforcement	5	1
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups	2	1
H. Other	3	0

Table VII

OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS CURRENTLY RECEIVING FORMULA GRANT FUNDING BY STATE

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement		
A. DARE	40	AK, AL, AS, AZ, CT, DE, FL, GA, HI, IA, ID, IN, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, MO, MS, NC, ND, NE, NH, NJ, NM, NV, OK, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VA, VT, WA WI, WV
B. School Based Programs	12	DE, FL, MD, ME, NC, NJ, NY, OH, PA, VA, WA, WI
C. Non School Based Programs	11	DE, FL, ID, ME, NC, NY, OH, PA, SD, VA, WI
D. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
2 Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	43	AK, AL, AS, AZ, CA, CT, FL, GA, HI, IA, ID, IL, IN, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MO, MS, NC, ND, NE, NH, NJ, NM, NV, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VT, WA, WI, WV, WY
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program	16	AZ, CA, CT, FL, MA, ME, MI, MO, NC, NJ, NM, RI, SD, TN, UT, WV
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	33	AK, AZ, CA, CT, DE, FL, GA, IA, IL, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MO, NC, ND, NH, OH, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA, WI, WV, WY
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	22	AL, AS, CA, CT, FL, GA, IA, KY, LA, MA, MD, MI, OH, RI, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA, WI
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	11	AK, AS, DE, IA, MA, MD, ME, MI, RI, SD, VT
F. Equipment Pool	15	AK, AS, DE, GA, IA, MA, MD, MI, NJ, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, WI
G. Other	2	NJ, WA

3 Domestic Drug Control		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	14	HI, IA, IL, MD, ME, MI, MN, NC, NE, NM, OH, OK, SC, WI
B. Clandestine Laboratories	15	CA, HI, IA, ID, IL, KY, ME, MN, NE, NJ, NV, PA, TN, UT, WI
C. Marijuana Eradication	20	AK, AL, AS, CA, GA, HI, IA, KY, ME, MI, MN, MO, NC, NE, NJ, NV, NY, PA, SC, WY
D. Drug Identification	11	CA, GA, ID, ME, MN, NC, NE, OH, TN, TX, WY
E. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
4 Community Crime Prevention		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	7	FL, ME, MI, OH, RI, VA, WI
B. Neighborhood Watch	17	AL, AS, FL, IN, ME, MI, MN, MS, ND, NM, NY, OH, PA, SD, VA, WI, WV
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	4	MI, MN, OH, SC
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	8	AL, FL, HI, MI, OH, SC, VA, WI
E. Community-based Policing	23	AL, DE, ID, IL, KY, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MO, NC, NJ, NM, NY, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, TN, WA
F. Violence Prevention	10	DC, FL, ME, MI, NC, OH, OR, SC, SD, WA
G. Community Mobilization	14	DC, DE, FL, HI, MI, MN, NC, NJ, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, UT
H. Other	3	MA, OH, WI

5. Stolen Property Programs		
A. Sting	4	LA, NC, RI, TN
B. Property Crime Program	3	CT, LA, ME
C. Other	0	[none]

Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	3	PR, SC, WI
B.Other	1	WI

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
7. Law Enforcement Operations I Enhancement		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	16	AK, FL, IA, IN, MD, MI, MO, NC, ND, NV, NY, PA, RI, SC, VA, WV
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	18	AL, AZ, CA, HI, IL, MA, MD, MN, NE, NJ, NM, NV, OH, OR, RI, SC, UT, VA
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	7	AL, MA, MI, NJ, NY, SD, WY
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	5	MO, NC, NM, WV, WY
E. Arson Prevention and Control	1	WI
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	4	ME, NE, UT, WI
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	3	DC, MD, SC
H. Drug Recognition Programs	8	AK, ID, MD, ME, NE, NJ, NY, SC
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	17	AS, AZ, CA, FL, LA, MA, MD, ME, NM, NY, RI, SC, SD, TN, VT, WV, WY
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	3	NC, RI, WY
K. Undercover Programs	22	AS, AZ, DE, FL, ID, IL, LA, MD, MI, MO, NE, NJ, NM, NY, OH, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, WI, WY
L. Surveillance Programs	18	AZ, CA, FL, ID, IL, MD, MI, NE, NJ, NY, OH, RI, SC, SD, VA, WA, WI, WY
M. Highway Interdiction	19	AL, CA, FL, ID, KY, LA, MA, ME, MI, NE, NJ, NY, PA, RI, SC, SD, VA, WI, WY
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	13	AS, CA, IL, MA, MD, NC, NE, RI, SC, TN, UT, VA, WY
O. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
8. Criminal Prosecution / Drug Legislation		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	19	AS, AZ, CA, CT, FŁ, GA, IA, IL, LA, MI, MO, NC, NM, NV, NY, SC, TN, VA, WI
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	16	AZ, CA, FŁ, GA, ID, IL, MI, MN, NC, NE, NJ, OH, SD, VA, WI, WY
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	30	AK, AL, AZ, CA, CT, FL, GA, HI, IL, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MO, NC, NM, OH, PA, PR, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, VT, WA, WI
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	1	UT
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	8	AZ, CA, FL, GA, ID, IL, NE, PR
F. Other	1	ОН

9 Financial Aspects of Drug Control		
A. Financial Investigations	22	AK, AS, AZ, DC, FL, IA, ID, IL, MD, ME, MI, MN, NC, NE, NM, OH, PR, RI, TN, UT, WA, WY
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	24	AL, AZ, CA, FL, GA, ID, IL, LA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MS, NC, NH, NM, OH, PR, SD, TN, VA, WA, WI, WY
C. Money Laundering	11	AZ, FL, ID, IL, MD, NC, NE, OH, PR, UT, WY
D. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
10. Court-Based Operations		
A. Court Delay Reduction	17	AL, CT, GA, IA, LA, MI, MN, MO, NC, NH, NJ, NM, OH, RI, SC, TN, WI
B. Differentiated Case Management	6	IA, LA, MI, MN, NJ, RI
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	11	CA, CT, GA, KY, LA, MD, MI, MN, NJ, NY, WI
D. Drug Courts	9	CA, CT, FL, KY, MI, NC, NJ, PR, TN
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	13	AK, AL, CT, IA, ME, MI, MN, NC, NJ, OH, OR, RI, SC
F. Court Unification	2	ME, MI
G. Video Arraignment	5	DC, FL, HI, MI, NC
H. Dispute Resolution	3	MI, NM, VA
I. Pretrial Services Delivery	7	MI, MN, NC, OH, PA, SC, VA
J. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
11. Adult Detention/ Corrections Programs		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	23	CA, CT, IA, ID, IL, IN, LA, MD, MN, MO, NC, NJ, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, UT, VA, WI
B. Boot Camps	7	IL, MA, NH, OK, PA, PR, TN
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting	21	AL, CA, DE, FL, IL, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, NH, NJ, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	34	AK, AL, CA, DC, DE, FL, GA, IA, ID, IL, IN, KY, MA, ME, MI, MN, MO, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, PR, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, WI, WV
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	7	DE, OH, OR, PA, SC, VA, WI
F. Sentencing Strategies Development	3	DE, MI, OH
G. Other	1	WV

12. Prison / Jail Industry		
A. Prison Industries	3	HI, PR , WV
B. Jail Industries	2	AS, TN
C. Other	1	AL

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
13 Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	33	AL, CA, CT, DE, FL, GA, IA, IL, IN, KY, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MS, NC, ND, NH, NJ, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, PR, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, WI, WV
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	24	CA, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IA, IN, MO, NC, NJ, NM, NV, OH, OR, PA, PR, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, WI
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	16	CA, DE, FL, MI, NC, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, VA, WI
D. Other	0	[none]

14. Victim / Witness Programs		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management	0	[none]
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	0	[none]
C. Victim / Witness Programs	12	AL, AS, DE, FL, MS, NC, ND, OH, PA, RI, VA, WI
D. Restitution for Victims	4	AL, ND, RI, UT
E. Victim Assistance	8	AS, DE, ND, OH, RI, TX, VA, WI
F. Other	1	DE

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
15 Drug Control Technology / Information Systems		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	13	AL, CA, DE, FL, IN, LA, MD, NC, NM, NV, PA, SC, SD
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	6	AL, NV, NY, SC, WI, WV
C. Treatment Alternatives to Crimes / TASC	18	AL, CT, DE, FL, IA, IL, IN, MD, ME, MO, NC, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	34	AL, AS, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IA, ID, IL, IN, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MO, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, PR, RI, SC, TN, VA, VT, WA, WI, WV, WY
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	29	AL, AS, CT, DC, DE, FL, IA, IL, IN, KY, LA, MA, ME, MI, MN, NC, ND, NM, NV, OH, OK, PA, PR, SC, TN, VA, WA
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	25	AL, CA, DC, GA, IA, ID, IL, KY, LA, MA, ME, NC, ND, NH, OR, PA, PR, SC, TX, UT, VA, VT, WA, WI, WV
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	6	IA, NC, ND, OH, PR, SC
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	13	CA, DE, HI, KY, MI, OH, PR, SC, SD, UT, VA, WI, WY
I. Other	1	WA

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
16 Innovative / Unique Crime Control Programs		
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning	5	CA, FL, MN, NV, VA
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	4	ga, ma, tn, ut
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	0	[none]
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	2	IA, SD
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program	4	MA, MD, OH, SC
F. Other	2	NV, WV

17. Drug Enforcement in Public Housing		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	13	DE, GA, HI, MA, MD, NC, NE, NJ, NY, PR, TN, VA, WI
B. Tenant / Security Program	5	DE, NE, OH, VA, WI
C. Other	0	[none]

18. Domestic Violence		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	18	AL, DE, FL, HI, IA, IL, ME, NC, ND, NE, NM, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, WI
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	10	DE, HI, IL, ND, NE, PA, SD, TN, WI,
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	8	DE, NE, OH, PA, PR, TN, UT, WI
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	4	DE, MN, RI, SC
E. Other	3	RI, VT, WA

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
19. Drug Control Program Evaluation		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	25	AS, AZ, CA, DC, DE, FL, GA, IA, ID, IL, IN, KY, MD, MN, MS, OH, OK, OR, PA, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA
B. Other	2	DE, SC

20 Correctional Alternatives		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	20	AL, CA, GA, HI, IA, IL, KY, LA, MN, MO, MS, ND, OH, PA, PR, RI, TN, UT, VT, WV
B. Restitution by Juveniles	5	AL, CA, KY, RI, UT
C. Community Service Labor Program	6.	IL, KY, MN, PA, TN, VT
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	10	DE, KY. MN, NC, OH, PA, PR, TN, UT, VA
E. Other	1	IN

21 Urban Drug Enforcement		
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	28	AZ, CA, DE, FL, GA, IA, IL, KY, MA, MD, MI, MN, MS, ND, NE, NH, NJ, NM, NY, OH, PA, RI, SC, UT, VA, VT, WA. WI
B. Target Cities	7	DE, MA, MI, NJ, RI, VA, WA
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	5	CA, IL, MI, OH, VA
D. Crack Houses	7	CA, DE, MD, MI, MN, OH, VA
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	8	AZ, CA, DE, FL, GA, MA, MD, MI
F. User Focus Enforcement	5	CA, DE, MA, MI, UT
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups	2	MD, OH
H. Other	3	DE, NV, WV

Table VIII

OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS ANTICIPATED TO RECEIVE FORMULA GRANT FUNDING IN AREAS NOT CURRENTLY FUNDED

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement		
A. DARE	0	[none]
B. School Based Programs	0	[none]
C. Non School Based Programs	0	[none]
D. Other	0	[none]

2 Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	0	[none]
B. Organized Crime / Narcotics Program	1	ОН
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	0	[none]
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	2	AK, NC
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	0	[none]
F. Equipment Pool	0	[none]
G. Other	0	[none]

3. Domestic Drug Control		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	1	AL
B. Clandestine Laboratories	0	[none]
C. Marijuana Eradication	0	[none]
D. Drug Identification	0	[none]
E. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
4. Community Crime Prevention		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	2	OK, WA
B. Neighborhood Watch	1	WA
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	2	GA, NM
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	1	ME
E. Community-based Policing	2	AS, GA
F. Violence Prevention	5	AL, GA, KY, MA, NM
G. Community Mobilization	1	WA
H. Other	0	[none]

5 Stolen Property Programs		
A. Sting	0	[none]
B. Property Crime Program	0	[none]
C. Other	0	[none]

Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	2	HI, NC
B. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
7. Law Enforcement Operations / Enhancement		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	1	KY
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	3	PA, TX, WA
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	2	KY, NC
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	1	KY
E. Arson Prevention and Control	0	[none]
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	0	[none]
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	0	[none]
H. Drug Recognition Programs	0	[none]
I. Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	1	KY
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	2	NY, OH
K.Undercover Programs	0	[none]
L. Surveillance Programs	1	AS
M. Highway Interdiction	1	WA
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	4	KY, OH, PA, TX
O. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
B. Criminal Prosecution / Drug Legislation		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	2	ні, он
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	1	PA
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	2	NV, TX
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	1	NC
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	1	TN
F. Other	0	[none]

9. Financial Aspects of Drug Control		
A. Financial Investigations	2	GA, HI
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	2	HI, KY
C. Money Laundering	1	Н
D. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
10. Court-Based Operations		
A. Court Delay Reduction	1	KY
B. Differentiated Case Management	0	[none]
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	0	[none]
D. Drug Courts	1	AL
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	0	[none]
F. Court Unification	0	[none]
G. Video Arraignment	1	NH
H. Dispute Resolution	2	IN, OH
I. Pretrial Services Delivery	1	KY
J. Other	0	[none]

11 Adult Detention / Corrections Programs		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	1	HI
B. Boot Camps	3	CA, NC, OR
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting	3	AS, KY, WA
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	2	AS, HI
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	1	AS
F. Sentencing Strategies Development	2	PA, RI
G. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
12. Prison / Jail Industry		
A. Prison Industries	0	[none]
B. Jail Industries	0	[none]
C. Other	0	[none]

13: Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	0	[none]
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	3	AL, KY, RI
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	2	AL, KY
D. Other	0	[none]

14. Victim / Witness Programs		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management	0	[none]
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	0	[none]
C. Victim / Witness Programs	0	[none]
D. Restitution for Victims	0	[none]
E. Victim Assistance	1	NY
F. Other	1	KY

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
15. Drug Control Technology / Information Systems		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	0	[none]
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	1	PA
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC	1	WA
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	1	NY
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	1	MD
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	4	AZ, MD, OK, TN
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	1	KY
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	5	IL, MA, MD, NC, RI
I. Other	0	[none]

16. Innovative / Unique Crime Control Programs		
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning	6	AL, DE, KY, NC, PA, RI
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	3	KY, NM, OH
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	0	[none]
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	1	NM
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program	1	NC
F. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
17 Drug Enforcement in Public Housing		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	2	KY, RI
B. Tenant / Security Program	1	NC
C. Other	0	[none]

18. Domestic Violence		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	2	KY, MA
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	5	AL, KY, MA, NC, NM
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	4	KY, MA, NC, NM
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	5	AL, KY, NC, NM, PA
E. Other	0	[none]

19. Drug Control Program Evaluation		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	4	AL, ME, MO, NM
B. Other	0	[none]

20. Correctional Alternatives		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	3	ME, NC, NM
B. Restitution by Juveniles	1	PA
C. Community Service Labor Program	1	СТ
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	0	[none]
E. Other	0	[none]

BJA PROGRAM AREAS	# of States	States/Territories
21. Urban Drug Enforcement		
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	0	[none]
B. Target Cities	0	[none]
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	0	[none]
D. Crack Houses	0	[none]
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	1.	RI
F. User Focus Enforcement	1	NM
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups	1	WA
H. Other	0	[none]

Table IX
NUMBER OF OPERATIONAL PROGRAM AREAS RECEIVING FORMULA GRANT FUNDING
BY STATE AND TIMEFRAME

STATE	Currently Receiving Formula Grant Funding	Formula Grant Funding Anticipated
ALK AS AZ A T D D F G H I D I L IN A K L A M D M M M M M M N N N N N N O O O P P R S S T T X T T X A W W W W W W N N N N O O O O P P R S S T T X T T X A W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	29 12 18 18 18 37 17 39 11 41 25 19 20 32 14 29 22 22 33 35 29 49 33 9 18 23 15 11 30 27 24 43 17 53 7 18 37 21 40 46 30 36 5 26 15 42 20 19 44 18	9 15 1 1 1 0 0 4 7 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0

Section III Findings: Assistance Needed Through BJA T/TA Program

This section asks for judgment ratings of need from respondents in terms of four types of needs:

- 1. Technical Assistance for Program Development
- 2. Technical Assistance for Operation
- 3. Training
- 4. Information

These need types are discussed first in an aggregated measure, "Total Rank for All Four Need Types," and then each separately. Since ranks of need were specified on a 5-point scale, two indicators can assess the level of need. First, the "Number of States" specifying some need points out how many different states share that need. Secondly, "Total Rank" is calculated by adding the ranks that respondents assigned to a given area. A "Total Rank" can be as high as 245 (49 states by a high ranking of 5) for each of the four types of need.

OVERALL NEED

Considering all four types of need simultaneously, those areas of greatest need are:

<u>Program Area</u>	# of States
4e	Community-Based Policing
22e	Developing Evaluation Plans
7b	Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities
22i	C.J.R.I. Plan 20
2d	Criminal Intelligence Systems
9a	Financial Investigations
9b	Asset Seizure and Forfeiture
13b	Treatment for Juvenile Offenders

The Total Rank for All Four Need Types can be as high as 980. This indicator shows that the most needful areas indicated by states are:

Program Area		otal Rank Need Types
	<u> M.T.</u>	iveed Types
4e	Community-Based Policing	334
22e	Developing Evaluation Plans	
7b	Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking	278
13b	Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	255
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities	241
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects	251
4f	Violence Prevention	235
15e	Information Management System for	
	Criminal Justice Agencies	216
17a	Public Housing Development Enforcement	
4g	Community Mobilization	206
13a	Treatment of Adult Offenders	204
22g	GMIS Development	201
11d 19a 4f 15e 17a 4g 13a	Treatment in Correctional Facilities Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects Violence Prevention Information Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies Public Housing Development Enforcement Community Mobilization Treatment of Adult Offenders	241 251 235 216 215 206 204

Community-Based Policing (4e) is the highest ranking project area in both lists.

In summary, 43 states had some training needs, 40 had operational technical assistance needs, 39 had program development technical assistance needs, and 36 had information needs. These figures are based on the number of states showing some amount of need for any of the types. Conversely, six states had no training needs, nine states had no operational programming needs, 10 states had no program developmental needs, and 13 states had no information needs. North Dakota. Vermont, Washington, and Wyoming had no needs across all four types.

Looking at the Total Ranks assigned, Information Needs were the greatest nationwide (3.951 out of a possible 33.075 = 135 areas x 5 rank score x 49 states), followed by Training Needs (3,232), Program Development Technical Assistance Needs (2,740), and Operational Technical Assistance Needs (2,481). In terms of Total Ranks, Delaware consistently expressed the greatest need across all four types of assistance. (See Table XIV.)

The above information comes from TABLE X, "Assistance Needed Through BJA T/TA Program By Program Area and Type of Need." Probably of more interest, though, are measures for each of the four individual types of need. TABLES XI and XII present information on how individual states responded to these needs items arranged by Program Areas.

One will notice that the same Program Areas (22e, 4e, 7b, 11d, and 13b) keep reappearing in the top ten percent when they are arranged in descending order. These listings appear on the following pages. The Program Areas "Evaluation of Formula Funded Programs/Projects (19a)" appears in all but one list, and "Violence Prevention (4f)" appears in all but two lists.

"Number of Areas Needing Assistance by State and Need Type," Table XIII, provides an overview of individual state responses.

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE NEEDS

For Program Development the 11 areas of greatest technical assistance need, according to number of states indicating need are:

22e Developing Evaluation Plans	
4e Community-Based Policing	
7b Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking	
15f Automated Criminal History/Fingerprint ID/CJRI 14	
11d Treatment in Correctional Facilities	
4f Violence Prevention	
13b Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	
13c Treatment Aftercare Services	
22a Identifying Jurisdictions with Greatest Need 12	
22b Developing a Statewide Strategy	
22i C.J.R.I. Plan	

The ten highest ranked program areas are:

Program Area		Total Rank
22e	Developing Evaluation Plans	82
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects	
4e	Community-Based Policing	74
4f	Violence Prevention	58
13b	Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	57
7b	Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking	56
15f	Automated Criminal History/Fingerprint ID/CJRI	55
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities	55
22i	C.J.R.I. Plan	53
13c	Treatment Aftercare Services	49

The two lists show an extreme amount of overlap, including Developing Evaluation Plans (22e) at the top of both lists. Identifying Jurisdictions with Greatest Need (22a) is specified by 12 states who did not rank it high enough to appear on the latter list. On the other hand, Evaluation of Formula Funded Programs (19a) is noted by fewer states, 11 of them, who ranked it as of greater need.

States indicating Program Development technical assistance needs in the largest number of areas are: Tennessee (65 areas), Delaware (61), Wisconsin (49), Kentucky (47), and Massachusetts (46). Nationwide the average number of areas with this need was 15.49 per state. Ten states have no needs of this type.

OPERATIONAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE NEEDS

The program areas where the most States need Operational technical assistance are:

Program Area		# of States
22e	Developing Evaluation Plans	17
4e	Community-Based Policing	16
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects	14
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities	15
8c	Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	12
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	14
4f	Violence Prevention	13
13b	Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	13
7b	Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking	14
4g	Community Mobilization	12
22i	C.J.R.I. Plan	12
13a	Treatment of Adult Offenders	12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Looking at the ranking points, the top ten program areas needing Operational technical assistance are:

Program Area		Total Rank
22e	Developing Evaluation Plans	82
4e	Community-Based Policing	67
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects	
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities	
4f	Violence Prevention	
13b	Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	56
7b	Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking	52
4g	Community Mobilization	
22i	C.J.R.I. Plan	
13a	Treatment of Adult Offenders	

All areas in the list based on "Total Ranks" are also in the former list based on "Number of States."

Section III

States indicating Operational needs in the largest number of areas are: Tennessee (66 areas), Delaware (61), Wisconsin (50), Georgia (43), Rhode Island (43), and Massachusetts (42). Nationwide the average number of areas with this need was 14.25 per state. Nine states showed no need for operational technical assistance.

TRAINING NEEDS

Training is most needed by the states in the following eleven program areas:

<u>Program Area</u>		# of States
4e	Community-Based Policing	20
7b	Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking	19
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	18
9a	Financial Investigations	17
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities	17
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects	16
13b	Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	16
9b	Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	15
8c	Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	15
22e	Developing Evaluation Plans	14
4f	Violence Prevention	

According to ranks given, the ten greatest training needs are in:

Program Area		Total Rank
4e	Community-Based Policing	85
7b	Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking	
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects	73
13b	Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	66
9a	Financial Investigations	63
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities	63
22e	Developing Evaluation Plans	61
4f	Violence Prevention	
2a	Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	58
9b	Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	57

Page 6

States indicating Training needs in the largest number of areas are: Tennessee (66 areas), Delaware (62), Massachusetts (46), Rhode Island (45), Georgia (44), and Iowa (44). Nationwide the average number of areas with this need was 17.29 per state. Six states indicated no training needs.

INFORMATION NEEDS

States need information most in the following areas:

Program Area		# of	f States
4e	Community-Based Policing		20
7b	Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking		20
15e	Information Management System for Criminal Justice		
	Agencies		17
19a	Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects		16
13b	Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	, .	16
11d	Treatment in Correctional Facilities		15
22i	C.J.R.I. Plan		15
22e	Developing Evaluation Plans		15
13c	Treatment Aftercare Services		14
22c	Coordinating Various Funding Sources		14
13a	Treatment of Adult Offenders		14
4g	Community Mobilization		14
11c	Treatment In A Jail Setting		14

Greatest Information Needs according to ranks assigned are:

Program Areas Total	Rank
4e Community-Based Policing	0
7b Juvenile Gangs/Drug Trafficking	9
19a Evaluation of Formula Funded Projects	4
15e Information Management System for Criminal Justice	
Agencies 7	4
13b Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	0
22i C.J.R.i. Plan 6	9
22e Developing Evaluation Plans 6	7
4g Community Mobilization 6	
11d Treatment in Correctional Facilities 6	
22i Statute for HIV Testing 6	1

States indicating Information needs in the largest number of areas are: New Mexico (71 areas), Tennessee (66), Delaware (62), Rhode Island (53), and North Carolina (49). Nationwide the average number of areas with this need was 19.47 per state. Ten states expressed no information needs.

Table X

Assistance Needed Through BJA T/TA Program
By Program Area and Type of Need

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRA DEVELO		OPERA	TIONAL	TRAININ	lG	INFORM	ИОПА
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement								
A. DARE	17	8	15	6	22	8	23	7
B. School Based Programs	25	9	20	7	29	10	33	9
C. Non School Based Programs	24	9	17	7	28	9	35	9
D. Other	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
					:			
Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement								
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	24	7	47	14	58	18	44	11
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program	13	4	10	3	16	5	11	3
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	19	6	23	7	38	11	38	10
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	34	11	32	11	45	12	39	9
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	11	4	14	5	10	3	29	7
F. Equipment Pool	10	4	14	5	4	2	33	9
G. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
						:	,	
3, Domestic Drug Control								
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	12	5	9	4	22	8	24	8
B. Clandestine Laboratories	18	7	19	8	17	6	36	11
C. Marijuana Eradication	4	2	14	6	13	6	27	7
D. Drug Identification	1	1	1	1	9	5	16	4
E. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PROGRAM AREAS	1	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT		OPERATIONAL		TRAINING		ATION
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
4. Community Crime Prevention								
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	28	9	20	8	37	10	41	11
B. Neighborhood Watch	26	9	14	8	20	8	26	8
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	38	9	29	7	30	8	41	10
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	19	6	12	5	15	4	21	6
E. Community-based Policing	74	17	67	16	85	20	90	20
F. Violence Prevention	58	13	56	13	61	14	60	13
G. Community Mobilization	47	11	52	12	43	10	64	14
H. Other	11	3	5	1	11	3	10	2

5. Stolen Property Programs								
A. Sting	4	2	4	2	5	2	8	2
B. Property Crime Program	3	1	3	1	4	1	6	2
C. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime								
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	12	4	4	2	10	3	23	7
B.Other	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	0

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRA DEVELO		OPERA	TIONAL	TRAININ	1G	INFORM	IATION
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
7. Law Enforcement Operations / Enhancement								
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	15	4	13	4	26	6	33	8
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	56	15	52	14	81	19	89	20
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	21	7	13	5	23	7	33	9
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	14	4	0	0	20	5	16	4
E. Arson Prevention and Control	1	1	1	1	5	1	9	2
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	4	2	9	3	13	3	8	2
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	12	4	11	4	14	4	18	5
H. Drug Recognition Programs	10	3	10	3	10	2	10	2
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	12	4	9	3	22	5	32	7
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	13	5	7	3	17	6	30	7
K. Undercover Programs	14	5	17	6	35	9	27	6
L. Surveillance Programs	10	4	13	5	30	8	25	6
M. Highway Interdiction	19	6	18	6	41	10	23	5
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	36	10	24	7	47	12	43	10
O. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT		OPERATIONAL		TRAINING		INFORMATION	
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
Criminal Prosecution / Drug Legislation								
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	21	6	26	8	42	13	46	11
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	17	4	27	7	40	11	36	9
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	32	8	41	12	53	15	50	11
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	4	1	2	1	6	2	18	4
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	21	5	22	6	32	9	35	8
F. Other	4	1	4	1	9	2	9	2

9. Financial Aspects of Drug Control								
A. Financial Investigations	29	8	33	10	63	17	45	11
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	22	6	27	8	57	15	46	11
C. Money Laundering	16	4	22	6	32	9	29	7
D. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRA DEVELO		3		ONAL TRAINING		INFORMAT	
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
10. Court-Based Operations								
A. Court Delay Reduction	27	8	23	7	23	6	38	10
B. Differentiated Case Management	7	2	7	2	7	2	16	4
C. Fast Track Prosecution/Fast Track Defense	14	5	10	4	12	4	28	7.
D. Drug Courts	6	3	11	4	10	3	21	6
E. Court Improvement/Court Management Improvement	18	5	23	6	19	6	28	7
F. Court Unification	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1
G. Video Arraignment	7	2	7	2	4	1	7	2
H. Dispute Resolution	2	1	2	1	0 .	0	8	3
I. Pretrial Services Delivery	13	3	8	2	5	1	18	4
J. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

11. Adult Detention / Corrections Programs								
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	28	8	38	11	41	12	47	12
B. Boot Camps	25	8	30	9	32	8	35	9
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting	44	11	40	10	45	11	58	14
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	55	14	59	15	63	17	62	15
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	18	4	23	5	21 .	5	35	8
F. Sentencing Strategies Development	21	6	13	3	13	3	41 .	10
G. Other	5	1	5	1	5	1	5	1

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT		OPERATIONAL		TRAINING		INFORMATION	
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
12. Prison / Jail Industry								
A. Prison Industries	13	3	9	2	11	3	16	5
B. Jail Industries	8	3	. 7	3	9	3	12	4
C. Other	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0

13. Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs								
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	43	11	48	12	52	13	55	14
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	57	13	56	13	66	16	70	16
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	49	12	41	11	50	12	56	14
D. Other	2	1	0	0	4	1	5	1

14. Victim / Witness Programs								
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	2
C. Victim / Witness Programs	21	8	15	5	22	5	34	8
D. Restitution for Victims	22	7	15	4	29	7	29	7
E. Victim Assistance	24	9	12	5	22	5	36	8
F. Other	10	2	5	1	5	1	11	3

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRA DEVELO		OPERA1	TIONAL	TRAININ	G	INFORMATION	
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
15. Drug Control Technology / Information Systems								
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	8	2	11	3	12	3	25	7
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	10	5	6	3	4	2	29	7
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC	20	6	22	6	22	6	34	8
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	24	6	22	6	26	7	48	12
E. Information/Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	47	11	41	10	48	12	74	17
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	55	14	41	10	38	10	56	13
G. Prosecu tion Management Support Systems	12	3	7	2	6	2	18	5
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	28	8	22	7	25	7	34	8
I. Other	0	0	0	0	0 ,	0	0	0

16. Innovative / Unique Crime Control Programs								
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning	34	9	20	5	21	6	34	8
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	21	6	15	4	18	4	26	6
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	4	1	0	0	0	0	8	2
E. Air/Marine Interdiction Program	1	1	3	1	3	1	7	2
F. Other	0	0,	0	0	0	0	0	0

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT		OPERA	OPERATIONAL		TRAINING		INFORMATION	
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	
17. Drug Enforcement in Public Housing									
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	42	11	41	10	55	13	47	12	
B. Tenant / Security Program	19	5	18	5	32	9	25	8	
C. Other	0	0	0	C	0	0	3	1	

18. Domestic Violence								
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	39	10	37	10	48	12	52	12
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	38	10	34	9	48	11	54	13
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	39	10	26	7	40	9	48	11
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	37	9	21	5	28	7	45	10
E. Other	4	1	4	1	4	1	4	1

19. Drug Control Program Evaluation								
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	76	11	62	14	73	16	74	16
B. Other	5	1	5	1	5	1	9	2

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT		OPERAT	TIONAL	TRAININ	G	INFORMATION	
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
20. Correctional Alternatives								
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	30	11	32	10	46	12	49	12
B. Restitution by Juveniles	23	8	11	4	10	4	30	7
C. Community Service Labor Program	15	4	4	2	8	3	23	7
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	20	6	10	3	11	4	36	9
E. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

21. Urban Drug Enforcement								
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	31	10	35	10	54	13	57	13
B. Target Cities	11	3	11	3	17	4	18	4
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	13	3	13	3	15	3	18	4
D. Crack Houses	13	3	12	3	20	4	23	5
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	27	7	25	6	30	7	32	7
F. User Focus Enforcement	22	6	14	4	19	5	27	6
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups	11	3	4	1	13	3	17	5
H. Other	5	1	5	1	5	1	8	2

PROGRAM AREAS	PROGRA DEVELO		OPERAT	TIONAL	TRAININ	IG	INFORM	ATION
	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES	TOTAL RANKS	# of STATES
22, State Formula Grant Administration								
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need	47	12	38	9	53	13	46	10
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	41	12	26	9	33	9	50	12
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources	42	11	27	7 .	45	11	60	14
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring	31	8	30	8	43	11	39	9
E. Developing Evaluation Plans	82	19	75	17	61	14	67	15
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board	16	5	15	4	32	8	37	8
G. GMIS Development	45	11	38	9	45	11	43	10
H. Financial Management	23	5	21	5	21	5	19	5
Compliance With Statutory Requirements	,							:
1. INS Plan	26	6	32	8	27	6	34	7
2. CJRI Plan	53	12	51	12	50	12	69	15
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)	30	8	37	9	43	10	56	12
4. Statute for HIV Testing	38	9	45	11	42	10	61	13
J. Other	0	0	0	0	5	1	5	1

Table XI

ASSISTANCE NEEDS BY PROGRAM AREA AND RANKING

Program Area 1 Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. DARE	2	4	1	1		8	2.1
B. School Based Programs	1	3	3	1	1	9	2.8
C. Non School Based Programs	2	3	1	2	1	9	2.7
D. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	5	10	5	4	3		

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. DARE	1	3	1		1	6	2.5
B. School Based Programs	1	1	3	2		7	2.9
C. Non School Based Programs	2	2	2		1	7	2.4
D. Other						0	
TOTAL	4	6	6	2	2		

SECTION III Table XI, Page 1

Program Area 1 Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. DARE	2	2	2		2	8	2.8
B. School Based Programs	3	1	2	2	2	10	2.9
C. Non School Based Programs	2	2	1	1	3	9	3.1
D. Other				<u> </u>		0	
TOTAL	7	5	5	3	7		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. DARE	_ 	2	3		2	7	3.3
B. School Based Programs	-	1	3	3	2	9	3.7
C. Non School Based Programs		1	3	1	4	9	3.9
D. Other						0	
TOTAL	0	4	9	4	8		

Program Area 2 Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	:	RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	2		1	1	3	7	3.4
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		1	2	, :	1	4	3.3
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	1	1	2	-	2	6	3.2
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	2	2	3	1	3	11	3.1
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	1	1		2		4	2.8
F. Equipment Pool	1	1	1	1		4	2.5
G. Other						0	
TOTAL	7	6	9	5	9		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	3		5	1	5	14	3.4
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		1	1		1	3	3.3
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor		2	3		2	7	3.3
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	2	4	1	1	3	11	2.9
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool		3		2		5	2.8
F. Equipment Pool	1	1	1	2		5	2.8
G. Other						0	<u> -i</u> - · · ·
TOTAL	6	11	11	6	11		

Program Area 2 Multijurisdictional Drug Enforcement

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	3	2	6	2	5	18	3.2
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		1	3		1	5	3.2
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	1	1	4	2	3	11	3.5
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	1	1	4		6	12	3.8
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	-	1		2		3	3.3
F. Equipment Pool	1	2				4	3.0
G. Other	12-14					0	
TOTAL	5	8	17	8	15		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces		1	3	2	5	11	4
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		1		1	1	3	3.7
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	1	1	1	3	4	10	3.8
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems		1	1	1	6	9	4.3
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool		1		3	3	7	4.1
F. Equipment Pool		3		3	3	9	3.7
G. Other						0	
TOTAL	1	8	5	13	22		

Program Area 3 Domestic Drug Control

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	2	1		2		5	2.5
B. Clandestine Laboratories	1	2	3	1		7	2.6
C. Marijuana Eradication	1		1			2	2.0
D. Drug Identification	1.					1	1.0
E. Other						0	
TOTAL	5	3	4	3	0		

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	1	2		1		4	2.3
B. Clandestine Laboratories	2	2	3	1		8	2.4
C. Marijuana Eradication	3		2		1	6	2.3
D. Drug Identification	1					1	1.0
E. Other						0	
TOTAL	7	4	5	2	1		

Program Area 3 Domestic Drug Control

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	2	1	3	1	1	8	2.8
B. Clandestine Laboratories		2	3	1		6	2.8
C. Marijuana Eradication	2	1	3			6	2.2
D. Drug Identification	4				1	5	1.8
E. Other			***			0	****
TOTAL	8	4	9	2	2		

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	2	2		2	2	8	3.0
B. Clandestine Laboratories	1	2	4	1	3	11	3.3
C. Marijuana Eradication	1		2		4	7	3.9
D. Drug Identification	1				3	4	4.0
E. Other						0	
TOTAL	5	4	6	3	12		

Program Area 4 Community Crime Prevention

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5	1	
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	3	1		2	3	9	3.1
B. Neighborhood Watch	2	1	2	4		9	2.9
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions		1		4	4	9	4.2
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	1	1		4		6	3.2
E. Community-based Policing	1		1	5	10	17	4.4
F. Violence Prevention			2	3	8	13	4.5
G. Community Mobilization	1		1	2	7	11	4.3
H. Other	1				2	3	3.7
TOTAL	9	4	6	24	34	1	

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	3	2	1		2	8	2.5
B. Neighborhood Watch	4	3		1		8	1.8
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions		1	1	1	4	7	4.1
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	2	1		2		5	2.4
E. Community-based Policing	1	1	1	4	9	16	4.2
F. Violence Prevention			3	3	7	13	4.3
G. Community Mobilization	1			4	7	12	4.3
H. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	11	8	6	15	30		

Program Area 4 Community Crime Prevention

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	1	1	2	2	4	10	3.7
B. Neighborhood <i>W</i> atch	2	3		3		8	2,5
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	1	1	1	1	4	8	3.8
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security		1		2	1	4	3.8
E. Community-based Policing	2		2	3	13	20	4.3
F. Violence Prevention			2	5	7	14	4.4
G. Community Mobilization	.1		1	1	7	10	4.3
H. Other	1				2	3	3.7
TOTAL	8	6	8	17	38		

	:	RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	1	2	1	2	5	11	3.7
B. Neighborhood Watch		3	2	1	2	8	3.3
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions		1	2	2	5	10	4.1
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security		1	2	2	1	6	3.5
E. Community-based Policing			4	2	14	20	4.5
F. Violence Prevention			2	1	10	13	4.6
G. Community Mobilization			2	2	10	14	4.6
H. Other					2	2	5.0
TOTAL	1	7	15	12	49		

Program Area 5 Stolen Property Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Sting	1	,	1			2	2.0
B. Property Crime Program			1			1	3.0
C. Other						0	a.tem
TOTAL	1	0	2	0	0		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Sting	1	7.0	1			2	2.0
B. Property Crime Program			1			1	3.0
C. Other						0	<u></u>
TOTAL	1	0	2	0	0		:

Program Area 5 Stolen Property Programs

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Sting	1			1		2	2.5
B. Property Crime Program				1		, 1.	4.0
C. Other					~~	0	
TOTAL	1	0	0	2	0		

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Sting			1		1	2	4.0
B. Property Crime Program			2			2	3.0
C. Other						0	
TOTAL	0	0	3	0	1		

Program Area 6 Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	1		2		1	4	3.0
B.Other			1			1	3.0
TOTAL	1	0	3	0	1		

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	1		1			2	2.0
B.Other			1			1	3.0
TOTAL	1	0	2	0	0		

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		: .
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials		1	1	0	1	3	3.3
B.Other					-	0	
TOTAL	0	1	1	0	1		1

Program Area 6 Public Corruption / Organized / White Collar Crime

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials		2	2	2	1	7	3.3
B.Other						0	
TOTAL	0	2	2	2	1		

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	1			1	2	4	3.8
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	2		5	1	7	15	3.7
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	2	1	1	1	2	7	3.0
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)			2	2		4	3.5
E. Arson Prevention and Control	1					1	1.0
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	1		1		<u></u>	2	2.0
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records		1	2	1		4	3.0
H. Drug Recognition Programs		1	1		1	3	3.3
Drug Dogs/ Canine Acquisition and Training/K-9 Unit	1	-	2		1	4	3.0
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	1	1	2	1		5	2.6
K. Undercover Programs	1	2		1	1	5	2.8
L. Surveillance Programs	2		1		1	4	2.5
M. Highway Interdiction	2		1	1	2	6	3.2
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	2		2	2	4	10	3.6
O. Other						0	
TOTAL	16	6	20	11	21		

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	1		1	1	1	4	3.3
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	2		4	2	6	14	3.7
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	2	1		1	1	5	2.6
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)						0	 ,
E. Arson Prevention and Control	1					1	1.0
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	. 1		1		1	3	3.0
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records		2	1	1		4	2.8
H. Drug Recognition Programs		1	1		1	3	3.3
I. Drug Dogs/ Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	1		1		1	3	3.0
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	1		2			3	2.3
K. Undercover Programs	1	1	3		1	6	2.8
L. Surveillance Programs	2		2		1	5	2.6
M. Highway Interdiction	2		2		2	6	3.0
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	2		1	1	3	7	3.4
O. Other						0	
TOTAL	16	5	19	6	18		

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement			1	2	3	6	4.3
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	1		2	6	10	19	4.3
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	2	1		1	3	7	3.3
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)			2	1	2	, 5	4.0
E. Arson Prevention and Control					1	1	5.0
F. Preserving the Crime Scene			1		2	3	4.3
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records		1	1	1	1	4	3.5
H. Drug Recognition Programs		1			2	2	5.0
Drug Dogs/ Canine Acquisition and Training/K-9 Unit		 .	1	1	3	5	4.4
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	2		2	1	1	6	2.8
K. Undercover Programs			4	2	3	9	3.9
L. Surveillance Programs	1		2	2	3	8	3.8
M. Highway Interdiction	1		1	3	5	10	4.1
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	1		3	3	5	12	3.9
O. Other						0	
TOTAL	8	2	20	23	44		

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement			3	1	4	8	4.1
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence			3	5	12	20	4.5
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	1	1	2	1	4	9	3.7
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)			2		2	4	4.0
E. Arson Prevention and Control				1	1	2	4.5
F. Preserving the Crime Scene			1		1	2	4.0
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	-	1	1	2	- 1	5	3.6
H. Drug Recognition Programs	-				2	2	5.0
I. Drug Dogs/ Canine Acquisition and Training/K-9 Unit			1,	1	5	7	4.6
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses			2	1	4	7	4.3
K. Undercover Programs		1			5	6	4.5
L. Surveillance Programs			2	1	3	6	4.2
M. Highway Interdiction			1		4	5	4.6
N. Targeting Violent Criminals		1	1	2	6	10	4.3
O. Other						0	
TOTAL	1	4	19	15	54		

Program Area 8 Criminal Prosecution / Drug Legislation

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	1	1		3	1	6	3.5
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution			1	1	2	4	4.3
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit			3	2	3	8	4.0
D. Model Drug Control Legislation				1		1	4.0
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement			2		3	5	4.2
F. Other				1		1	4,0
TOTAL	1	1	6	8	9		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	1	1	2 .	3	1	8	3.3
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution		1	2	1	3	7	3.9
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	1	1	5	2	3	12	3.4
D. Model Drug Control Legislation		1				1	2.0
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement		1	2	1	2	6	3.7
F. Other				1		1	4.0
TOTAL	2	5	11	8	9		

Program Area 8 Criminal Prosecution / Drug Legislation

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	2		6	3	2	13	3.2
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	1		5	1	4	11	3.6
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	2		6	2	5	15	3.5
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	1				1	2	3.0
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	1	<u></u>	4	1	3	9	3.6
F. Other				1	1	2	4.5
TOTAL	7	0	21	8	16		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution		1	1	4	5	11	4.2
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution		1	2	2	4	9	4.0
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	<u></u>		2	1	8	11	4.5
D. Model Drug Control Legislation				2	2	4	4.5
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	· 		2	1	5	8	4.4
F. Other				1	1	2	4.5
TOTAL	0	2	7	11	25		

Program Area 9 Financial Aspects of Drug Control

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Financial Investigations			4	3	1	8	3.6
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture		1	2	1	2	6	3.7
C. Money Laundering			1	2	1	4	4.0
D. Other						0	
TOTAL	0	1	7	6	4		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Financial Investigations		2	4	3	1	10	3.3
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture		3	1	2	2	8	3.4
C. Money Laundering		1	1	3	1	6	3.7
D. Other						0	· <u></u>
TOTAL	0	6	6	8	4		

Program Area 9 Financial Aspects of Drug Control

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Financial Investigations	2	1	4	3	7	17	3.7
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	1	1	5	1	7	15	3.8
C. Money Laundering	1		3	3	2	9	3.6
D. Other						0	
TOTAL	4	2	12	7	16		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Financial Investigations		1	2	3	5	11	4.1
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture		2	1	1	7	11	4.2
C. Money Laundering			1	4	2	7	4.1
D. Other				-		0	
TOTAL	0	3	4	8	14		

Program Area 10 Court-Based Operations

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5	· ·	
A. Court Delay Reduction		2	2	3	1	8	3.4
B. Differentiated Case Management			11	1		2	3.5
C. Fast Track Prosecution/Fast Track Defense	1	1	2	: 	1	5	2.8
D. Drug Courts	1	1	1			3	2.0
E. Court Improvement/Court Management Improvement			3	1	1	5	3.6
F. Court Unification						0	
G. Video Arraignment			1	1		2	3.5
H. Dispute Resolution		1				1	2.0
Pretrial Services Delivery			1		2	3	4.3
J. Other						0	
TOTAL	2	5	11	6	5		

Program Area 10 Court-Based Operations

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Court Delay Reduction		1	3	3		7	3.3
B. Differentiated Case Management			1	1		2	3.5
C. Fast Track Prosecution/Fast Track Defense	1	1	1	1		4	2.5
D. Drug Courts	1	1	1		1	4	2.8
E. Court Improvement/Court Management Improvement			2	3	1	6	3.8
F. Court Unification						0	
G. Video Arraignment			1	1		2	3.5
H. Dispute Resolution		1				1	2.0
I. Pretrial Services Delivery			1		1	2	4.0
J. Other						0	
TOTAL	2	4	10	9	3		

Program Area 10 Court-Based Operations

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Court Delay Reduction			1	5		6	3.8
B. Differentiated Case Management			1.	1		2	3.5
C. Fast Track Prosecution/Fast Track Defense		1	2	1		4	3.0
D. Drug Courts			2	1		3	3.3
E. Court Improvement/Court Management Improvement	1		3	1	1	6	3.2
F. Court Unification						0	
G. Video Arraignment				1		1	4.0
H. Dispute Resolution						0	
I. Pretrial Services Delivery					1	1	5.0
J. Other					**=	0	
TOTAL	1	1	9	10	2		

Program Area 10 Court-Based Operations

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Court Delay Reduction		1	3	3	3	10	3,8
B. Differentiated Case Management			1	2	1	4	4.0
C. Fast Track Prosecution/Fast Track Defense			2	3	2	7	4.0
D. Drug Courts		1	2	2	1	6	3.5
E. Court Improvement/Court Management Improvement			3	1	3	7	4.0
F. Court Unification			-	1		1	4.0
G. Video Arraignment			1	1		2	3.5
H. Dispute Resolution		1	2			3,	2.7
I. Pretrial Services Delivery			1	-	3	4	4.5
J. Other		***				0	
TOTAL	0	3	15	13	13		

Program Area 11 Adult Detention/Corrections Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole		2	3		3	. 8	3.5
B. Boot Camps	1,	22	1	2	8	3.1	
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting		1	2	4	4	11	4.0
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities		2	2	5	5	14	3.9
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections				2	2	4	4.5
F. Sentencing Strategies Development		1	2	2	1	6	3.5
G. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	1	8	11	14	18		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole		3	4		4	11	3.5
B. Boot Camps	1	1	3	2	2	9	3.3
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting		1	2	3	4	10	4.0
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities		1	5	3	6	15	3.9
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections				2	3	5	4.6
F. Sentencing Strategies Development			1		2	3	4.3
G. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	1	6	15	10	22		

Program Area 11 Adult Detention/Corrections Programs

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	1	1	5	2	3	12	3.4
B. Boot Camps		1	1	3	3	8	4.0
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting			3	4	4	11	4.1
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	1	1	6	3	6	17	3.7
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections		1		1	3	5	4,2
F. Sentencing Strategies Development		-	1		2	3	4.3
G. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	2	4	16	13	22		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole			6	1	5	12	3.9
B. Boot Camps		2	1	2	4	9	3.9
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting		2	1	4	7	14	4.1
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	1		2	5	7	15	4.1
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections		-	1	3	4	8	4.4
F. Sentencing Strategies Development		1	2	2	5	10	4.1
G. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	1	5	13	17	33		

Program Area 12 Prison/Jail Industry

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Prison Industries			1		2	3	4.3
B. Jail Industries		2		1		3	2.7
C. Other	-	<u></u>				0	
TOTAL	0	2	1	1	2		

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. ∂rison Industries	-			1	1	2	4.5
B. Jail Industries		2	1			3	2.3
C. Other						0	
TOTAL	0	2	1	1	1		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Prison Industries			2		1	3	3.7
B. Jail Industries		2			1	3	3.0
C. Other				1		1	4.0
TOTAL	0	2	2	1	2		

Program Area 12 Prison/Jail Industry

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Prison Industries		1	3		1	5	3.2
B. Jail Industries		2	1		1	4	3.0
C. Other	===					0	
TOTAL	0	3	4	0	2		

Program Area 13 Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	1		2	4	4	11	3.9
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders			3	2	8	13	4.4
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	. 1		2	3	6	12	4.1
D. Other	4	1				1	2.0
TOTAL	2	1	7	9	18		

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3_	4	5		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	1		3	2	6	12	4.0
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders			3	3	7	13	4.3
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	1		3	4	3	11	3.7
D. Other						0	
TOTAL	2	0	9	9	16		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders			5	3	5	13	4.0
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders			5_	4	7	16	4.1
C. Treatment Aftercare Services			3	4	5	12	4.2
D. Other				1		1	4.0
TOTAL	0	0	13	12	17		

Program Area 13 Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders		2	3	3	6	14	3.9
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders		1	2	3	10	16	4.4
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	1	1	2	3	7	14	4.0
D. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	1	4	7	9	24	45	

Program Area 14 Victim / Witness Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. One Day-One Trial/Jury Management						0	
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees/Compensation	****					0	
C. Victim/Witness Programs	3		3	1	1	8	2.6
D. Restitution for Victims	1		3	3		7	3.1
E. Victim Assistance	4		1	3	1	9	2.7
F. Other					2	2	5.0
TOTAL	8	0	7	7	4		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. One Day-One Trial/Jury Management				4		0	
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees/Compensation	· <u></u>					0	<u>-</u>
C. Victim/Witness Programs	1		2	2		5	3.0
D. Restitution for Victims			1	3		4	3.8
E. Victim Assistance	3			1	1	5	2.4
F. Other					1	11	5.0
TOTAL	4	0	3	6	2		

Program Area 14 Victim / Witness Programs

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. One Day-One Trial/Jury Management		1				1	2.0
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees/Compensation		1				1	2.0
C. Victim/Witness Programs	-		1	1	3	5	4.4
D. Restitution for Victims			2	2	3	7	4.1
E. Victim Assistance			1	1	3	5	4.4
F. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	0	2	4	4	10		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. One Day-One Trial/Jury Management			1			1	3.0
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees/Compensation	·		1	1		2	3.5
C. Victim/Witness Programs			2	2	4	8	4.3
D. Restitution for Victims			1	4	2	7	4.1
E. Victim Assistance				4	4	8	4.5
F. Other	1			-	2	3	3.7
TOTAL	1	0	5	11	12		

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing			1		1	2	4.0
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	2	1	2			5	2.0
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes/ TASC	1		2	2	1	6	3.3
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement		1	1	1	3	6	4.0
E. Information/Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies			2	4	5	11	4.3
F. Automated Criminal History/Fingerprint ID/CJRI	2	1	1	2	8	14	3.9
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems			1	1	1	3	4.0
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	1	1	1	3	2	8	3.5
I. Other						0	
TOTAL	6	4	11	13	21	1	

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing			1	2		3	3.7
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	2		 ,	1		3	2.0
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes/ TASC	1		1	2	2	6	3.7
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement		1	2	1	2	6	3.7
E. Information/Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies			2	5	3	10	4.1
F. Automated Criminal History/Fingerprint ID/CJRI	1		1	3	5	10	4.1
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	do-ma		1	1		2	3.5
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems		2	3	1	1	7	3.1
I. Other					44-44	0	-
TOTAL	4	3	11	16	13		

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing			1	1	1	3	4.0
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	1		1			2	2.0
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes/ TASC	1	1		1	3	6	3.7
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement		2	1	1	3	7	3.7
E. Information/Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies		1	2	5	4	12	4.0
F. Automated Criminal History/Fingerprint ID/CJRI	2		1	2	5	10	3.8
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems			2	. 		2	3.0
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems		1	3	1	2	7	3.6
I. Other						0	
TOTAL	4	5	11	11	18		

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing			4	2	1	7	3.6
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing			2	2	3	7	4.1
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes/ TASC		1	1	1	5	8	4.3
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement			4	4	4	12	4.0
E. Information/Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies			3	5	9	17	4.4
F. Automated Criminal History/Fingerprint ID/CJRI		1	2	2	8	13	4.3
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems	:	-	3	1	1	5	3.6
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems			2	2	4	8	4,3
I. Other			·			0	
TOTAL	0	2	21	19	35		

Program Area 16 Innovative/Unique Crime Control Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Drug/Violent Crime Policy/Planning	1		2	3	3	9	3.8
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	1		2	1	2	6	3.5
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody			. 			0	
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network				1 1		1	4.0
E. Air/Marine Interdiction Program	1					1	4.0
F. Other						0	
TOTAL	3	0	4	5	5		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Drug/Violent Crime Policy/Planning			2	1	2	5	4.0
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad		1	1		2	4	3.8
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody						0	
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network				-		0	
E. Air/Marine Interdiction Program			1			1	3.0
F. Other					-	0	
TOTAL	0	1	4	1	4		· .

Program Area 16 Innovative/Unique Crime Control Programs

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Drug/Violent Crime Policy/Planning	1		2	1	2	6	3.5
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad				1	3	4	4.5
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody					.1	0	.
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network					-1	0	
E. Air/Marine Interdiction Program		-	1			1	3.0
F. Other						0	
TOTAL	1	0	3	2	5		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Drug/Violent Crime Policy/Planning			2	2	4	8	4.3
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad		1		1	4	6	4.3
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody						0	-
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network			1		1	2	4.0
E. Air/Marine Interdiction Program			1	1		2	3.5
F. Other						0	
TOTAL	0	1	4	4	9		

Program Area 17 Drug Enforcement in Public Housing

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	1		3	3	4	11	3.8
B. Tenant/Security Program			2	2	1	5	3.8
C. Other						0	
TOTAL	1	0	5	5	5		

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments		1	2	2	5	10	4.1
B. Tenant/Security Program		1	1	2	1	5	3.6
C. Other						0	
TOTAL	0	2	3	4	6		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments			4	2	7	13	4.2
B. Tenant/Security Program		1	4	2	2	9	3.6
C. Other						0	
TOTAL	0	1	8	4	9		

Program Area 17 Drug Enforcement in Public Housing

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	awa ah	1	5		6	12	3.9
B. Tenant/Security Program		2	4	1	1	8	3.1
C. Other			1			1	3.0
TOTAL	0	3	10	1	7		

Program Area 18 Domestic Violence

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Domestic/Family Intervention			4	3	3	10	3.9
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence		1	3	3	3	10	3.8
C. Child Abuse Prosecution			5	1	4	10	3.9
D. Crimes Against the Elderly			3	2	4	9	4.1
E. Other				1		1	4.0
TOTAL	0	1	15	10	14		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Domestic/Family Intervention		1	4	2	3	10	3.7
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence			4	3	2	9	3.8
C. Child Abuse Prosecution			4	1	2	7	3.7
D. Crimes Against the Elderly			2		3	5	4.2
E. Other				1		1	4.0
TOTAL	0	1	14	7	10		

Program Area 18 Domestic Violence

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Domestic/Family Intervention	1		1	6	4	12	4.0
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence			1	5	5	11	4.4
C. Child Abuse Prosecution			1	3	5	9	4.4
D. Crimes Against the Elderly		1	1	2	3	7	4.0
E. Other				1		1	4.0
TOTAL	1	1	4.	17	17		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Domestic/Family Intervention	1			4	7	12	4.3
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	1		1	5	6	13	4.2
C. Child Abuse Prosecution			2	3	6	11	4.4
D. Crimes Against the Elderly		1		2	7	10	4.5
E. Other				1		1	4.0
TOTAL	2	1	3	15	26		

Program Area 19 Drug Control Program Evaluation

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3_	4	5		: -
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program/Project			3	3	11	17	4.5
B. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	0	0	3	3	12		

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program/Project			2	4	8	14	4.4
B. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	0	0	2	4	9		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program/Project			2	3	11	16	4.6
B. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	0	0	2	3	12		

Program Area 19 Drug Control Program Evaluation

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program/Project			2	2	12	16	4.6
B. Other				1	1	2	4.5
TOTAL	0	0	2	3	13		

Program Area 20 Correctional Alternatives

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. House Arrest/Electronic Monitoring	2	3	3	2	1	11	2.7
B. Restitution by Juveniles	2	1	2	2	1	8	2.9
C. Community Service Labor Program		1	1		2	4	3.8
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)		2	1	2	1	6	3.3
E. Other						0	
TOTAL	4	7	7	6	5		

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		-
A. House Arrest/Electronic Monitoring	1	2	3	2	2	10	3.2
B. Restitution by Juveniles	1	1	1		1	4	2.8
C. Community Service Labor Program		2				2	2.0
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)		1	1		1	3	3.3
E. Other						0	
TOTAL	2	6	5	2	4		

Program Area 20 Correctional Alternatives

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	No. of States	Average			
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. House Arrest/Electronic Monitoring		1	4	3	4	12	3.8
B. Restitution by Juveniles	1	1	1	1		4	2.5
C. Community Service Labor Program		1	2			3	2.7
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)		3		<u></u>	1	4	2.8
E. Other		-				0	
TOTAL	1	6	7	4	5		

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. House Arrest/Electronic Monitoring		-	3	5	4	12	4.1
B. Restitution by Juveniles	ma.		2	1	4	7	4.3
C. Community Service Labor Program		1	4	1	1	7	3.3
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)	·	1	3	<u></u>	5	9	4.0
E. Other						0	
TOTAL	0	2	12	7	14		

Program Area 21 Urban Drug Enforcement

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	3	2 44	3	1	3	10	3.1
B. Target Cities	1				2	3	3.7
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit			1		2	3	4.3
D. Crack Houses			1		2	3	4.3
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement			4		3	7	3.9
F. User Focus Enforcement		1	2	1	2	6	3.7
G. Alien/Ethnic Groups			1	2		3	3.7
H. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	4	1	12	4	15		

		RANK	ING OF	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	2		2	3	3	10	3.5
B. Target Cities	1				2	3	3.7
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit			1		2	3	4.3
D. Crack Houses		1			2	3	4.0
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement			2	1	3	6	4.2
F. User Focus Enforcement		1	1	1	1	4	3.5
G. Alien/Ethnic Groups			1		1	1	4.0
H. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	3	2	6	6	14		

Program Area 21 Urban Drug Enforcement

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF		No. of States	Average	
	1	2	3	4	5		:
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement		1	3	2	7	13	4.2
B. Target Cities		1			3	4	4.3
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit				-	3	3	5.0
D. Crack Houses			:		4	4	5.0
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement			2	1	4	7	4.3
F. User Focus Enforcement		1	1	1	2	5	3.8
G. Alien/Ethnic Groups				2	1	3	4.3
H. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	0	3	6	6	25	:	

		RANK	ing of	No. of States	Average		
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement			2	4	7	13	4.4
B. Target Cities				2	2	4	4.5
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit			1		3	4	4.5
D. Crack Houses			1		4	5	4.6
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement			1	1	5	7	4.6
F. User Focus Enforcement				3	3	6	4.5
G. Alien/Ethnic Groups	1		1	2.	1	5	3.4
H. Other		_	1		1	2	4.0
TOTAL	1	0	7	12	26		-

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	1	RANK	ING OF	NEED	·	No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5	ı	
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need		1	4	2	5	12	3.9
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	2	1	3	2	4	12	3.4
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources		2	2	3	4	11	3.8
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring		1	2	2	3	8	3.9
E. Developing Evaluation Plans			4	5	10	19	4.3
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board	2			1	2	5	3.2
G. GMIS Development		1	2	3	5	11	4.1
H. Financial Management	***			1	4		5
4.6 I. Compliance With Statutory Requiren	nents						
1. INS Plan			2	-	4	6	4.3
2. CJRI Plan			3	1	8	12	4.4
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)			5	-	3	8	3.8
4. Statute for HIV Testing			3	1	5	9	4.2
J. Other						0	
TOTAL	4	6	30	21	57		

		RANK	ING OF	NEED	1	No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need		1	1	2	5	9	4.2
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	3	1	2		3	9	2.9
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources		1	2	1	3	7	3.9
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring		1	3	1	3	8	3.8
E. Developing Evaluation Plans			3	4	10	17	4.4
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board	 -	1		2	1	4	3.8
G. GMIS Development			2	3	4	9	4.2
H. Financial Management		1		1	3	5	4.2
I. Compliance With Statutory Requiremen	ts						
1. INS Plan	25.11		4		4	8	4.0
2. CJRI Plan		==	4	1	7	12	4.3
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)			3	2	4	9	4.1
4. Statute for HIV Testing			4	2	5	11	4.1
J. Other						0	
TOTAL	3	6	28	19	52		

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need		2	1	4	6	13	4.1
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	1	1	2	1	4	9	3.7
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources		1	2	3	5	11	4.1
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring		1	2	5	3	11	3.9
E. Developing Evaluation Plans		1	2	2	9	14	4.4
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board	1	1		1	5	8	4.4
G. GMIS Development	1		3	4	4	11	4.1
H. Financial Management	1	1		1	3	5	4.2
I. Compliance With Statutory Requirement	ts						
1. INS Plan		-	1	1	4	6	4.5
2. CJRI Plan	1	1	3	1	7	12	4.2
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)			3	1	6	10	4.3
4. Statute for HIV Testing	-	-	3	2	5	10	4.2
J. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	2	9	22	26	62		

		RANK	ING OF	NEED		No. of States	Average
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need		1		1	8	10	4.6
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	1		2	2	7	12	4.2
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources		1	2	3	8	14	4.3
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring			2	2	5	9	4.3
E. Developing Evaluation Plans			3	2	10	15	4.5
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board		,	1	1	6	8	4.6
G. GMIS Development			2	3	5	10	4.3
H. Financial Management			3	_	2	5	3.8
I. Compliance With Statutory Requirement	ts						'
1. INS Plan				. 1	6	7	4.9
2. CJRI Plan			3		12	15	4.6
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)				4	8	12	4.7
4. Statute for HIV Testing		1		1	11	13	4.7
J. Other					1	1	5.0
TOTAL	1	3	18	20	89		

Table XII

STATE RANKINGS OF ASSISTANCE NEEDED BY PROGRAM AREA

Program Area 1 Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED								
	1	2	3	4	5				
A. DARE	NY, WI	DE, ID, TN, VA	NC	IA					
B. School Based Programs	NY	ID, TN, WI	MD, NC	DE	DC, VA				
C. Non School Based Programs	NY, WI	ID, ME, TN	ND	NC, VA	DE				
D. Other					KY				

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

		RANKING OF NEED									
	1	2	3	4	5						
A. DARE	WI	DE, TN, VA	NC		NH						
B. School Based Programs	NY	TN	DE,NC	DC, VA							
C. Non School Based Programs	NY, WI	ME, TN	NC, VA		DE						
D. Other			·								

SECTION III Table XII, Page 1

Program Area 1 Drug Abuse Prevention Involving Law Enforcement

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED									
	1	2	3	4	5					
A. DARE	ID, NV	DE, TN	NM, VA		IA, NJ					
B. School Based Programs	ID, NV, NY	TN	DE, MD	DC, VA	KY,NJ					
C. Non School Based Programs	ID, NY	ME, TN	MD	VA	DE, KY, NJ					
D. Other										

	RANKING OF NEED									
	1	2	3	4	5					
A. DARE	TN, VA	 	MA, NM, NV		DE, NJ					
B. School Based Programs	TN		DE, MD, NV	DC, PA, VA	NJ, NY					
C. Non School Based Programs		TN	MD, ME, VA	PA	DE, NC, NJ, NY					
D. Other		***								

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	TX, WI		IA	TN	FL, GA, KY		
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		ME	OH, TN		KY		
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	ID	ME	KY, WI		GA, TN		
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	ID, WI	MA, TN	KY, NC, NM	sc	GA, MD, NY		
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool	ID	DE		NC, TN			
F. Equipment Pool	WI	DE	TN	NC			
G.Other							

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	NV, TX, WI		AL, MN, MS, NJ, OH	TN	AS, FL, GA, KY, OR	
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		ME	TN	<u></u>	KY	
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor		ID, WI	KY, ME, MN		GA,TN	
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	WI, WV	ID, MA, SC, TN	KY	NC	GA, MD, NY	
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool		DE, ID, NM		NC, TN		
F. Equipment Pool	WI	DE	TN	IA, NC		
G. Other						

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces	AK, IN, TX	NC, TN	AS, IA, MS, NM, NV, OH	AL, NJ	FL, GA, KY, NH, OR	
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		TN	AL, NC, NM		KY	
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	AK	ME	AL, KY, MA, SC	NC, TN	GA, IL, NH	
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems	W	TN	AL, IA, KY, NM		GA, IL, MD, NY, SC, SD	
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool		DE	-	MA, TN	 ,	
F. Equipment Pool		DE, TN				
G. Other		-				

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Multijurisdictional Regional Task Forces		TN	AS, MN, NV	NH, RI	AK, FL, GA, MO, OR	
B. Organized Crime/Narcotics Program		TN		RI	МО	
C. Special Narcotics Prosecutor	ME	SC	MN	NH, RI, TN	AK, GA, MO, NJ	
D. Criminal Intelligence Systems		TN	SC	RI	GA, IL, MD, NJ, NY, OK	
E. Statewide Confidential Funds Pool		DE		NC, RI, TN	KY, NJ, OK	
F. Equipment Pool		DE, MA, TN	· <u></u>	IA, NC, RI	KY, NJ, OK	
G. Other						

Program Area 3 Domestic Drug Control

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	MD, MN	TN		NC, WI			
B. Clandestine Laboratories	WI	MD, TN	KY, MN, NC	GA			
C. Marijuana Eradication	WI		MN				
D. Drug Identification	MN						
E. Other							

		RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5			
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	MD	ID, TN		WI				
B. Clandestine Laboratories	ID, WI	MD, TN	KY, MN, NV	GA				
C. Marijuana Eradication	ID, TN, WI		MN, NV		KY			
D. Drug Identification	MN			-				
E. Other								

Program Area 3 Domestic Drug Control

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	ID, MD	TN	AL, IL, NC	IA	NJ	
B. Clandestine Laboratories		MD, TN	IL, MN, NV	-		
C. Marijuana Eradication	AK, TN	AL	IL, MN, NV			
D. Drug Identification	AK, IL, MN, TX				GA	
E. Other						

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Pharmaceutical Diversion	MD, MN	MA, TN		NC, SD	KY, NJ	
B. Clandestine Laboratories	FL	MD, TN	MN, NC, NV, SD	GA	KY, MI, MO	
C. Marijuana Eradication	TN		MN, NV		AK, GA, MO, NY	
D. Drug Identification	MN			 '	AK, GA, KY	
E. Other					: <u></u>	

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	ID, ME, WI	TN		NJ, RI	DE, OK, VA		
B. Neighborhood Watch	NY, WI	TN	AS, DE	NJ, OK, RI, VA			
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions		TN		MN, NJ, NM, OK	DE, GA, KY, SD		
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	WI	TN		ME, NJ, OK, VA			
E. Community-based Policing	NY		ОН	AL, CA, ID, MN, TN	AS,DC, GA, KY, MA, MD, ME, NC, PA,RI		
F. Violence Prevention	 -		CA, TN	ME, MN, WI	DE, GA, MA, MD, NC, NM, OR, VT		
G. Community Mobilization	NY		NJ	CA, TN	DE, MA, MD, NC, OR, PA, UT		
H. Other	WI		==		MA, WV		

		RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5			
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	ME, RI, WI	ID, TN	VA		DE, OK			
B. Neighborhood Watch	NY, RI, VA, WI	DE, IL, TN		ок				
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	TN		MN	ME, OK				
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	VA, WI	TN		ME, OK				
E. Community-Based Policing	NY	ID	ОН	CA, MN, NC, TN	AS, DC, GA, IL, KY, MA, MD, ME, RI			
F. Violence Prevention			AL, CA, TN	ME, MN, NC	DE, GA, IL, MA, MD, OR, UT			
G. Community Mobilization	NY			CA, NC, NJ, TN	DE, IL, MA, MD, OR, UT, WI			
H. Other			-		MA			

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5			
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	ME	TN	ID, MN	IL, RI	CT, DE, OK, VI			
B. Neighborhood Watch	IN, NY	DE, IL, TN		OK, RI, VA				
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions	ID	TN	MN	OK	DE, GA, IL, MI			
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security	<u></u>	TN	·	OK, VA	ME			
E. Community-Based Policing	ID, KY	-	AL, OH	NC, SC, TN	AS, DC, DE, GA, IL, KY, MA, MD, ME, MN, NE, NJ, RI			
F. Violence Prevention	'		AL, TN	MN, NC, NE, SC, WI	DE, GA, IL, MA, MD, ME, OR			
G. Community Mobilization	NY		NC	TN	DE, IL, MA, MD, NJ, OR, UT			
H. Other	Wi				MA, WV			

		RAN	KING OF N	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	ME	NM, TN	SC	CT, MN	DE, IL, OK, RI, VA
B. Neighborhood Watch	4-	DE, IL, TN	PA, VA	ОК	NY, RI
C. Drug-Impacted Rural Jurisdictions		TN	MN, SC	NM, OK	DE, GA, IL, KY, MI
D. Preventing Burglary Through Enhanced Household Security		TN	PA, VA	NM, OK	ME
E. Community-Based Policing		<u>-</u>	ID, ME, NM, SC	MI, TN	AS, DC, DE, GA, IL, MA, MD, MN, MO, NC, NJ, NY, PA, RI
F. Violence Prevention		<u>-</u>	ME, TN	MN	DE, GA, IL, KY, MA, MD, NC, NM, OR, UT
G. Community Mobilization	. <u></u>	- 	NM, SC	MI, TN	DE, IL, MA, MD, NC, NJ, KY, OR, PA, UT
H. Other	 ,		prop		KY, MA

Program Area 5 Stolen Property Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	. 3	4	5		
A. Sting	RI		TN				
B. Property Crime Program			TN				
C. Other							

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Sting	RI		TN			
B. Property Crime Program			TN	£3·m		
C. Other			·			

TRAINING NEEDS

		EED	1		
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Sting	RI			TN	
B. Property Crime Program				TN	
C. Other			- -		

Program Area 5 Stolen Property Programs

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Sting		<u></u>	TN		RI	
B. Property Crime Program			NM, TN			
C. Other						

Program Area 6 Public Corruption/Organized/White Collar Crime

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	WI		NC, TN		KY	
B. Other		·	WI		40	

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	WI		TN			
B. Other			WI		-	

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED				
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials	-	VA	TN	: 	PR
B. Other					

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
Reducing Drug Corruption Among Public Officials		SC, VA	NC, TN	NM, SD	KY		
B. Other							

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RAN	IKING OF N	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	RI	. 		IA	KY, NY
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	RI, TX		AL, MA, MD, NM, PA	IA	GA, KY, MN, NY, OR, UT
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	MA, NY	TN	NC	DE	GA, KY
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)			KY, NM	AL, NC	<u></u>
E. Arson Prevention and Control	WI			- N-	
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	WI		UT		
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records		MD	UT, WI	GA	
H. Drug Recognition Programs	, ,	WI	AL		NY
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	RI		KY, MA	; s	NY
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	RI	AL	OH, TN	NC	
K. Undercover Programs	RI	DE, WI		AL	NY
L. Surveillance Programs	RI, WI		AS	-	NY
M. Highway Interdiction	RI, WI	-	MA	SC	AL, NY
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	RI, TX		OH, SC	DE, NC	GA, KY, MA, TN
O. Other					

		RAN	KING OF N	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement	RI		NV	IA	NY
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	RI, TX		MA, MD, NM. PA	AL, IA	GA, MN, NY, OR, UT, WI
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	MA, NY	TN		DE	GA
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)		 , · · ·			
E. Arson Prevention and Control	WI				
F. Preserving the Crime Scene	WI		UT		AS
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records	<u></u>	MD, WI	UT	GA	
H. Drug Recognition Programs		WI	AL		NY
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	RI		MA	 	NY
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	RI		OH, TN	-	
K. Undercover Programs	RI	WI	AL, DE,		NY
L. Surveillance Programs	RI, WI		AS, IA		NY
M. Highway Interdiction	RI, WI		IA, MA		AL, NY
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	RI, TX		ОН	DE	GA, MA, TN
O. Other					

TRAINING NEEDS

		RAN	KING OF N	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement			NV	IA, RI	AK, NY
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	TX		HI, MD	AL, IA, MA, NM, RI, SC	AK, GA, IL, KY, MN, NJ, NY, OR, UT, WI
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	MA, NY	TN	· ·	DE	AK, GA, KY
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	•••		NE, NM	NC	AK, KY
E. Arson Prevention and Control					AK
F. Preserving the Crime Scene			UT	 .	AK, AS
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records		MD	UT	GA	AK
H. Drug Recognition Programs				•	AK, NY
Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit	i . · · · ·	 	RI	MA	AK, AS, NY
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses	IA, RI		OH, TN	NC	AK
K. Undercover Programs		: 	DE, IA, NM, SC	AL, RI	AK, NJ, NY
L. Surveillance Programs	RI		AS, IA	NM, SC	AK, NJ, NY
M. Highway Interdiction	RI		IA	MA, NM, SD	AK, AL, NJ, NY, SC
N. Targeting Violent Criminals	TX		IL, OH, Ri	DE, NC, SC	AK, GA, KY, MA, TN
O. Other			-		

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Single Jurisdiction Drug Enforcement			IN, NV, SC	IA	AK, MO, NY, RI		
B. Juvenile Gangs Involvement in Drug Trafficking and Violence	 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	-	MD, NV, SC	AL, IA, MI, NC, NM	AK, GA, IL, KY, MA, MN, NJ, NY, OR, PA, RI, UT		
C. Drug-Free Zone Enforcement	MA	TN	NC, NM	DE	AK, GA, KY, NY		
D. Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program (ICAP)	: - •••		NM, SD	AK, MO	- 		
E. Arson Prevention and Control				SD	AK		
F. Preserving the Crime Scene			UT	-	AK		
G. Preserving Evidence and Computer Records		MD	UT	GA, NM	AK		
H. Drug Recognition Programs					AK, NY		
I. Drug Dogs / Canine Acquisition and Training / K-9 Unit		•	SC	SD	AK, AS, MA, NY, RI		
J. Targeting Firearm Offenses			AL, TN	NC	AK, NM, NY, RI		
K. Undercover Programs		SC			AK, DE, MO, NY, RI		
L. Surveillance Programs			AS, SC	SD			
M. Highway Interdiction		<u></u>	sc		AK, MA, NY, RI		
N. Targeting Violent Criminals		sc	IL	DE, NC	AK, GA, KY, MA, RI, TN		
O. Other					`		

Program Area 8 Criminal Prosecution/Drug Legislation

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	, NY		MN	TN, UT, WI	GA		
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution		 ·	MN	WI	GA, MD		
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit			AL, MN, RI	MA, WI	GA, KY, TN		
D. Model Drug Control Legislation				NC			
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement		 ;	MN, UT		GA, NC, TN		
F. Other				DE			

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	NY	ID	NC, NV	TN, UT, WI	GA	
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution		ID	MN, NC	IA	GA, MD, WI	
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	TX	ID	AL, ME, MN, NV, RI	MA, WI	GA, KY, TN	
D. Model Drug Control Legislation		ID				
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement		ID	MN, UT	IA	GA, TN	
F. Other				DE		

Program Area 8 Criminal Prosecution/Drug Legislation

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Career Criminal Prosecution	ID, NY	£33mm	HI, IA, IL, NV, SC, VA	NM, TN, UT	AK, GA		
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution	ID		IL, MN, NC, SC, VA	IA	AK, GA, MD, NJ		
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit	ID, TX	<u></u>	IL, MA, ME, MN, NV, VA	AL, NM	AK, GA, KY, SI, TN		
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	ID				AK		
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement	ID		IL, MN, NC, UT	IA	AK, GA, TN		
F. Other				DE	AK		

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Career Criminal Prosecution		sc	NV	NC, NM, TN, UT	AK, GA, IL, MO, NY	
B. Drug Conspiracy Prosecution		sc	MN, NM	IA, NC	AK, GA, IL, MD	
C. Specialized Narcotics Prosecution Unit			MN, NV	NM	AK, GA, IL, MA, ME, MO, RI, TN	
D. Model Drug Control Legislation	·=-			NC	AK, KY	
E. Use of Civil RICO in Drug Enforcement		. 	MN, UT	IA	AK, GA, IL, NC, TN	
F. Other		-		DE	AK	

Program Area 9 Financial Aspects of Drug Control

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Financial Investigations			NC, NV, RI, TN	GA, UT, VA	MN		
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture		TN	KY, WI	DE	GA, MN		
C. Money Laundering			NC	AS, UT	MN		
D. Other							

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Financial Investigations		ID, TN	DC, NC, NV, RI	GA, IA, UT	MN		
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture		ID, TN, WI	IA	DE, NH	GA, MN		
C. Money Laundering	-	ID	NC	AG, IA, UT	MN		
D. Other							

Program Area 9 Financial Aspects of Drug Control

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Financial Investigations	AK, ID	NM	AS, DC, HI, NV	GA, IA, UT	IL, MI, MN, PR, RI, TN, VA	
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture	ID	NM	HI, IA, MS, SD, VA	DE	GA, IL, MI, MN, NJ, PR, TN	
C. Money Laundering	ID	***	HI, IL, MI	AS, IA, UT	MN, PR	
D. Other						

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	-4	5	
A. Financial Investigations		TN	NC, SD	GA, IA, UT	AK, IL, MI, MN, RI	
B. Asset Seizure and Forfeiture		SC, TN	IA	DE	GA, IL, KY, MI, MN, NH, NJ	
C. Money Laundering		- 1	MI	AS, IA, NC, UT	IL, MN	
D. Other						

Program Area 10 Court-Based Operations

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Court Delay Reduction		TN, WI	MA, MN	DE, GA, RI	KY		
B. Differentiated Case Management			MN	RI			
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	Wi	NY	MA, MN	. 	KY		
D. Drug Courts	WI	TN	AL				
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	<u></u>		MN, OR, WI	MA	Ri		
F. Court Unification	. 						
G. Video Arraignment			WI	DE			
H. Dispute Resolution	<u>-</u>	WI					
Pretrial Services Delivery			PA		DE, KY		
J. Other							

Program Area 10 Court-Based Operations

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	. 5		
A. Court Delay Reduction		TN	MA, MN, WI	DE, GA, RI			
B. Differentiated Case Management		***	MN	RI	·		
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	WI	NY	MN	MA	:		
D. Drug Courts	WI	TN	AL		KY		
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement			MN, OR	IA, MA, WI	RI		
F. Court Unification							
G. Video Arraignment		, ,	Wi	DE	***		
H. Dispute Resolution	-	WI					
I. Pretrial Services Delivery			PA	'	DE		
J. Other							

Program Area 10 Court-Based Operations

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Court Delay Reduction			MN	DE, GA, RI, SC, TN		
B. Differentiated Case Management			MN	RI		
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	******	NY	MA, MN	TN		
D. Drug Courts			AL, MI	TN		
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement	AK	-	IA, MN, OR	MA	RI	
F. Court Unification						
G. Video Arraignment				DE	-	
H. Dispute Resolution			ssee 1			
I. Pretrial Services Delivery				: ' 	DE	
J. Other						

Program Area 10 Court-Based Operations

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Court Delay Reduction		TN	MN, NM, SC	DE, GA, MA	KY, MO, RI		
B. Differentiated Case Management			MN	NC, NM	RI		
C. Fast Track Prosecution / Fast Track Defense	•••		MN, SC	MA, NC, NM	KY, NY		
D. Drug Courts		TN	MI, SC	AL, GA	KY		
E. Court Improvement / Court Management Improvement			MN, NM, OR	sc	AK, MA, RI		
F. Court Unification				NC			
G. Video Arraignment			NM	DE			
H. Dispute Resolution		NC	IN, NM				
I. Pretrial Services Delivery			NM	·	DE, KY, PA		
J. Other		-					

Program Area 11 Adult Detention/Corrections Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	,	NY, VA	AS, IA, PA		AK, OR, RI	
B. Boot Camps	WI	TN, VA	MA, PA	NC	CA, OR	
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting		TN	OR, PA	AS, IA, MA, VA	DE, GA, KY, RI	
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities		IA, NY	OR, PA	AS, MA, MN, TN, VA	DE, GA, KY, RI, WI	
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections		-		AS, NM	DE, VA	
F. Sentencing Strategies Development		DE	CA, OR	NC, NM	RI	
G. Other	in er				UŢ	

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole		ID, NY, WI	AS, HI, NM, PA		AK, OR, RI, VA		
B. Boot Camps	WI	TN	NM, PA, VA	MA, NC	CA, OR		
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting		TN	OR, PA	AS, IA, MA	DE, GA, RI, VA		
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities		NY	HI, MN, NV, OR, PA	AS, MA, TN	DE, GA, KY, RI, VA, WI		
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections				AS, VA	DE, KY, OR		
F. Sentencing Strategies Development		-4	OR		DE, RI		
G. Other					UT		

Program Area 11 Adult Detention/Corrections Programs

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole	ID :	NY	AS, HI, IA, IL, SC	NM, VA	AK, OR, RI	
B. Boot Camps	•••	NC	IL	MA, TN, VA	CA, OR, PR	
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting			OR, SC, VA	AS, IA, MA, TN	DE, GA, IL, RI	
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	AK	NY	HI, IN, NV, OR, SC, VA	AS, MA, TN	DE, GA, IA, RI, WI	
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	-	VA		AS	DE, KY, OR	
F. Sentencing Strategies Development			OR		DE, RI	
G. Other					UT	

Program Area 11 Adult Detention/Corrections Programs

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Intensive Supervision Probation and Parole			AS, IA, IL, IN, NM, VA	sc	AK, NY, OR, PA, RI	
B. Boot Camps		IA, TN	NM	NC, PA	IL, MA, OR, VA	
C. Treatment in a Jail Setting		TN, VA	OR	AS, IA, PA, SC	DE, GA, IL, KY, MA, NY, RI	
D. Treatment in Correctional Facilities	VA	_	NV, OR	AS, IA, PA, SC, TN	AK, DE, GA, IL, KY, MA, NY, RI	
E. Correctional Facilities Planning and Population Projections	F	-	VA	AS, NC, PA	DE, NM, OR, SD	
F. Sentencing Strategies Development		IL *	OR, PA	IA, NC	DE, GA, KY, NM, RI	
G. Other					UT	

Program Area 12 Prison / Jail Industry

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Prison Industries			IL		UT, VA		
B. Jail Industries		AS, TN		VA			
C. Other		[

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

		RANI	KING OF NI	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Prison Industries				VA	UT
B. Jail Industries		AS, TN	VA		
C. Other					

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Prison Industries			IL, VA		UT		
B. Jail Industries		AS, VA			TN		
C. Other			***	AL			

Program Area 12 Prison / Jail Industry

	R				NKING OF NEED			
		1	2	3	4	5		
A. Prison Industries			VA	IL, NM, SD		UT		
B. Jail Industries			AS, TN	NM		VA		
C. Other								

Program Area 13 Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	NY		PA, TN	MA, MN, OR, VA	DE, GA, RI, WI		
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	-		IA, PA, TN	MA, OR	AS, DC, DE, GA, KY, MN, RI, WI		
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	NY		PA, TN	IA, KY, OR	DE, GA, KY, MN, RI, VA		
D. Other		VA			1		

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	NY		MN, PA	MA, OR	DE, GA, KY, RI, VA, WI		
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders	-		NV, PA, TN	IA, MA, OR	AS, DC, DE, GA, MN, RI, WI		
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	NY		IA, PA, TN	MA, MN, OR, VA	DE, GA, RI		
D. Other							

Program Area 13 Drug and Alcohol Treatment Programs

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders	-	 -	HI, IN, MA, NY, VI	NM, OR, TN	DE, GA, IL, RI, WI		
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders		<u></u> -	HI, IN, MA, NV, SD	IA, NM, OR, TN	AS, DC, DE, GA, RI, SD, WI		
C. Treatment Aftercare Services			MA, NY, VA	IA, NM, OR, TN	DE, GA, RI, SD, WI		
D. Other	***	-		VA			

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Treatment of Adult Offenders		TN, VA	HI, NM, SC	IA, MA, OR	DE, GA, IL, NY, PA, RI		
B. Treatment for Juvenile Offenders		TN	HI, NV	IA, MA, OR	AS, DC, DE, GA, KY, MN, NM, PA, RI, SC		
C. Treatment Aftercare Services	VA	TN	NM, SC	IA, MA, OR	CT, DE, GA, KY, NY, PA, RI		
D. Other	-				VA		

Program Area 14 Victim/Witness Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management		<u></u>	<u></u>				
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation			<u></u>				
C. Victim / Witness Programs	DE, NM, WI		AL, NC, RI	IA	SD		
D. Restitution for Victims	NM		AL, IA, RI	NC, UT, VA	 		
E. Victim Assistance	NM, NY, TX, WI		RI	IA, NC, VA	DE		
F. Other					DE, KY		

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management					***		
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	<u></u>		 .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
C. Victim / Witness Programs	WI		AL, NC	DE, RI			
D. Restitution for Victims			AL	HI, RI, UT			
E. Victim Assistance	NY, TX, WI			RI	DE		
F. Other					DE		

Program Area 14 Victim/Witness Programs

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. One Day-One Trial / Jury Management		VA		<u></u>	: 		
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation		VA			<u></u> -		
C. Victim / Witness Programs			AL	IA	DE, IL, RI		
D. Restitution for Victims	••••		AL, HI	IA, UT	IL, RI, VA		
E. Victim Assistance			NY	IA	DE, IL, RI		
F. Other					DE		

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. One Day - One Tria I / Jury Management		<u>-</u> -	NM		••		
B. Systems for Setting Jurors Fees / Compensation	-	-	NM	VA			
C. Victim / Witness Programs		 	NC, NM	IA, VA	DE, IL, PA, RI		
D. Restitution for Victims			NM	IA, NC, UT, VA	IL, RI		
E. Victim Assistance				IA, NC, NM, VA	DE, IL, NY, RI		
F. Other				-	DE, KY		

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RAN	KING OF N	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing			DE		IA
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	NY, WI	NC	IA, KY		
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC	NY	<u></u>	KY, RI	ME, VA	DE
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	-	WI	MA	NC	RI, UT, VA
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies			KY, MA	AL, HI, MN, NM	DE, NC, OR, TN, VA
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	TX, WI	MA	DE	AL, NC	CA, GA, KY, NM, OR, TN, UT, VA
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems			DE	KY	VA
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems	MA	WI	DE	IA, NM, VA	NC, RI
I. Other					

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing		<u> </u>	DE	HI, IA	=		
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	NY, WI	÷=		HI			
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC	NY		RI	ME, VA	DE, OR		
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement		WI	NC, NY	VA	RI, UT		
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	•		KY, NV	HI, IA, MN, NC, VA	DE, OR, TN		
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	WI		DE	AL, NC, VA	CA, GA, OR, TN, UT		
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems			DE	VA			
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems		KY, WI	DE, HI, VA	IA	RI		
I. Other							

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing	- -		DE	NM	IA	
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing	NY		IA			
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC	NY	VA		RI	DE, IA, OR	
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement		MA, VA	MN	OR	NY, RI, UT	
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies	<u></u>	MA	NV, SC	MN, NC, VA	DE, IA, OR, TN	
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI	MA, TX		DE	AL, VA	CA, GA, OR, TN, UT	
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems			DE, VA			
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems		MA	DE, HI, VA	IA	IL, RI	
I. Other			 .			

		RAN	KING OF N	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Pretrial-Drug Testing			DE, KY, NC, NV	IA, NM	PA
B. Statewide Urinalysis Testing			KY, NV	IA, NC	GA, NY, PA
C. Treatment Alternatives to Street Crimes / TASC		VA	NC	IA	DE, NY, OR, PA, RI
D. Forensic Laboratory Enhancement	<u></u>	 -	IL, IN, MN, VA	MA, NC, NM, OR	MO, NY, RI, UT
E. Information / Management System for Criminal Justice Agencies		<u>.</u>	IN, KY, NV	HI, IA, MA, MN, NM	DE, IL, NC, OR, PA, SC, SD, TN, VA
F. Automated Criminal History / Fingerprint ID / CJRI		VA	DE, IN	MA, NC	GA, IL, NM, OR, PA, SC, TN, UT
G. Prosecution Management Support Systems			DE, NC, SC	VA	NM
H. Establishing and Operating Intelligence Systems		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DE, VA	IA, NM	IL, MA, NC, RI
I. Other					

Program Area 16 Innovative/Unique Crime Control Programs

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning	TX		AL, NC	DE, MN, NV	KY, OR, RI	
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	MA		NM, TN	KY	GA, UT	
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody	-	·				
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network	- taur			NM		
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program	MA				***	
F. Other						

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning			AL, MN	DE	OR, RI	
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	- <u></u>	MA	TN		GA, UT	
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody						
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network						
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program			MA			
F. Other		-				

Program Area 16 Innovative/Unique Crime Control Programs

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning	TX	_	AL, MN	DE	OR, RI		
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad	<u></u>	: 	MA		GA, TN, UT		
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody					<u></u>		
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network					- -		
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program			MA				
F. Other				***************************************			

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Drug / Violent Crime Policy/Planning			MN, NM	DE, NV	NC, OR, PA, R!	
B. Violent Fugitives Arrest Squad		TN		NM	CT, GA, MA, UT	
C. Unified Court Policy on Drug Evidence and Custody		· 				
D. Health Department's Drug Abuse Warning Network			ОН		NM	
E. Air / Marine Interdiction Program			MA	sc		
F. Other						

Program Area 17 Drug Enforcement in Public Housing

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5			
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	NY		OH, TN, WI	KY, MA, VA	DE, GA, MN, RI			
B. Tenant / Security Program			OH, TN	DE, VA	MN			
C. Other			 .					

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments		VA	NY, OH	MA, TN	DE, GA, MN, RI, WI		
B. Tenant / Security Program		VA	ОН	DE, TN	MN		
C. Other							

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5			
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments	<u>-</u>		IA, NY, OH, SC	VA, WI	DE, GA, MA, MN, NJ, RI, TN			
B. Tenant / Security Program		IL	HI, IA, OH, WI	DE, VA	MN, TN			
C. Other								

Program Area 17 Drug Enforcement in Public Housing

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Enforcement-Public Housing Developments		VA	IN, NM, OH, SC, TN	P ines	DE, GA, MA, MN, NY, RI, TN	
B. Tenant / Security Program		IL, VA	IN, NM, OH, TN	DE	MN	
C. Other	:		NM			

Program Area 18 Domestic Violence

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention		-	AL, KY, ME, RI	MA, NC, WI	DE, GA, OR		
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence		NM	KY, RI, TN	MA, NC, OR	DE, GA, WI		
C. Child Abuse Prosecution			MN, NC, NM, TN, VA	MA	DE, GA, KY, WI		
D. Crimes Against the Elderly		· 	AL, IA, NC	NM, RI	DE, GA, KY, VA		
E. Other				RI			

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	<u></u>	ME	AL, MA, NM, RI	NC, WI	DE, GA, OR		
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	<u></u>		MA, NM, RI, TN	DE, NC, OR	GA, WI		
C. Child Abuse Prosecution	:		MA, MN, NC, TN	DE	GA		
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	-		AL, NC	allo dela	DE, GA, RI		
E. Other				RI			

Program Area 18 Domestic Violence

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	ME		AL	DE, IA, MA, NM, RI, WI	GA, IL, OR, SC		
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence			RI	DE, IA, MA, NC, OR	GA, IL, SC, TN, WI		
C. Child Abuse Prosecution		:	MN	DE, IA, MA	GA, PR, SC, TN, WI		
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	: 	RI	AL	CT, IA	DE, GA, VA		
E. Other				RI			

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Domestic / Family Intervention	ME		-	DE, IA, RI, SC	GA, IL, MA, NC, NM, OR, PA	
B. Law Enforcement's Response to Domestic Violence	TX	•	RI	DE, IA, NM, OR, SC	GA, IL, MA, NC, PA, TN	
C. Child Abuse Prosecution		•	MN, VA	DE, IA, SC	GA, MA, NC, NM, PA, TN	
D. Crimes Against the Elderly	<u>-</u>	TX	<u></u>	IA, RI	CT, DE, GA, NC, NM, PA, VA	
E. Other				RI		

Program Area 19 Drug Control Program Evaluation

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project		<u>-</u> -	HI, NM, OH	AL, GA, MN	AK, CA, DC, DE, KY, LA, ME, OR, TN, UT, WV	
B. Other					DE	

OPERATIONAL NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project			OH, SD	AL, GA, HI, MN	CA, DC, DE, LA, ME, OR, TN, UT	
B. Other					DE	

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project		<u></u>	AS, OH	AL, GA, HI	AK, CA, DC, DE, LA, ME, MN, OR, TN, UT, WV	
B. Other				-	DE	

Program Area 19 Drug Control Program Evaluation

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Evaluation of any Formula Funded Program / Project	<u></u>	<u></u>	IN, OH	GA, HI	AK, DC, DE, LA, ME, MN, NM, OR, PA, SC, TN, UT		
B. Other				SC	DE		

Program Area 20 Correctional Alternatives

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	DE, RI	NM, OR, VA	KY, PA, TN	GA, IA	ME		
B. Restitution by Juveniles	NM, RI	OR	DE, KY	IA, MN	PA		
C. Community Service Labor Program		OR	KY		PA, VA		
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)		DE, OR	KY	NE, VA	PA		
E. Other					-		

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring	RI	OR, TN	DE, PA, VA	GA, IA	ME, PR	
B. Restitution by Juveniles	FNJ	OR	DE		PA	
C. Community Service Labor Program		OR, VA				
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)		OR	VA		DE	
E. Other						

Program Area 20 Correctional Alternatives

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring		OR	DE, IL, RI, SC	GA, IN, NM	ME, PR, TN		
B. Restitution by Juveniles	RI	OR	DE	IA			
C. Community Service Labor Program	•	OR	IL, VA				
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)		IL, OR, VA			DE		
E. Other	-						

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. House Arrest / Electronic Monitoring		·	IL, KY, TN	GA, IA, NM, SC, VA	DE, ME, PA, RI		
B. Restitution by Juveniles		 ,	DE, KY	IA	NM, PA, RI, SD		
C. Community Service Labor Program		SD	IA, IL, KY, NM	VA	PA		
D. User Accountability Sanctions (Without Incarceration)		IL	HI, KY, NM		DE, PA, SC, SD, VA		
E. Other				•••			

Program Area 21 Urban Drug Enforcement

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED							
	1	2	3	4	5			
A, Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	AZ, MA, NY		MN, NM, RI	IA	DE, GA, TN			
B. Target Cities	MA				DE, TN			
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit	-		TN	Annua .	DE, MN			
D. Crack Houses			TN	·	DE, GA			
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	-		DE, MA, TN		GA, IA, RI			
F. User Focus Enforcement		DE	MA, NM	TN	AK, IA			
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups			NM	DE, IA				
H. Other					DE			

	RANKING OF NEED							
	1	2	3	4	5			
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement	MA, NY		MN, RI	IA, KY, TN	DE, GA, WI			
B. Targct Cities	MA				DE, TN			
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit			TN		DE, MN			
D. Crack Houses		TN			DE, GA			
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DE, TN	MA	GA, IA, RI			
F. User Focus Enforcement		DE	MA	TN	IA			
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups				DE				
H. Other					DE			

Program Area 21 Urban Drug Enforcement

TRAINING NEEDS

	RANKING OF NEED					
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement		MA	MN, NY, SC	IA, NM	AK, DE, GA, NJ, RI, TN, WI	
B. Target Cities		MA			DE, NJ, TN	
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DE, MN, TN	
D. Crack Houses	ana ag	*****			AK, DE, GA, TN	
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	*****	Garage	DE, NM	MA	GA, íA, RI, TN	
F. User Focus Enforcement		DE	MA	TN	AK, IA	
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups				DE, IA	NJ	
H. Other		****			DE	

Program Area 21 Urban Drug Enforcement

	RANKING OF NEED							
	1	2	3	4	5			
A. Street-Level Narcotics Enforcement			IL, MN	IA, MA, NM, SC	AK, DE, GA, NY, PA, RI, TN			
B. Target Cities		****		MA, NM	DE, TN			
C. Nuisance Abatement Unit		, u 00	IL		DE, MN, TN			
D. Crack Houses	d==		SC		AK, DE, GA, TN			
E. Reverse Sting Demand Reduction Enforcement	-		DE	NM	GA, IA, MA, RI, TN			
F. User Focus Enforcement			-i-	MA, NM, TN	AK, DE, IA			
G. Alien / Ethnic Groups	sc		ОН	DE, NM	NJ			
H. Other			-		DE, NV			

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

		RAN	IKING OF N	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need		TX	KY, NC, NM, RI	AK, ME	DE, GA, MA, OR, TN
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	NM, TX	MN	MA, OH, RI	AK, KY	GA, OR, TN, VA
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources		MA, MN	GA, TX	AK, CT, NC	DE, OR, SD, TN
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring		MA	ні, он	AK, NM	GA, OR, TN
E. Developing Evaluation Plans		<u></u>	MA, ME, NC, OH	AK, AL, DC, MN, TX	CA, CT, DE, GA, LA, RI, TN, UT, VA, WV
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board	AK, NM			MA	KY, TN
G. GMIS Development	-	NC	MA, OH	HI, IA, NM	LA, ME, OR, TN, WV
H. Financial Management			MA	-	GA, OR, TN, TX
I. Compliance With Statutory Requiremen	nts				
1. INS Plan		•••	MA, OH		DE, OR, SD, TN
2. CJRI Plan			MN, NC, OH	MA	DE, KY, LA, NM, OR, SD, TN, UT
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)			GA, KY, MA, NC, OH		DE, SD, TN
4. Statute for HIV Testing	ν-		GA, NC, OH	MA	DE, OR, SD, TN, UT
J. Other	-		-		

		RAI	NKING OF N	EED	
	1	2	3	4	5
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need		TX	NC	ME, RI	DE, GA, MA, OR, TN
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	NM, RI, TX	MN	МА, ОН		GA, OR, TN
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources		MA	GA, KY	Н	DE, OR, TN
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring		MA	HI, OH, TX	KY	GA, OR, TN
E. Developing Evaluation Plans		<u></u>	MA, ME, OH	AL, CT, KY, MN	CA, DE, GA, HI, LA, OK, OR, RI, TN, UT
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board		Н		MA, TX	TN
G. GMIS Development	<u></u>		MA, OH	HI, IA, KY	LA, ME, OR, TN
H. Financial Management	70: SA	MA		KY	GA, OR, TN
Compliance With Statutory Requirements					
1. INS Plan			CA, KY, MA, OH		DE, OR, SD, TN
2. CJRI Plan	-	-	MA, MN, NC, OH	CA	DE, LA, OK, OR, SD, TN, UT
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)	: 	-	GA, HI, OH	CA, MA	DE, SD, TN, UT
4. Statute for HIV Testing	-		GA, KY, NC, OH	MA, NH	DE, OR, SD, TN, UT
J. Other					

TRAINING NEEDS

		RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5			
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need	<u>-</u>	NC, TX	IN	AK, ME, NM, VA	DE, GA, MA, OR, RI, TN			
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	TX	NM	MA, OH	AK	GA, OR, RI, TN			
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources		MA	GA, IN	AR, HI, TX	DE, NH, OR, SD, TN			
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring		PA	MA, OH	AK, HI, KY, NM, VA	GA, OR, TN			
E. Developing Evaluation Plans		MA	он, тх	AK, MN	DE,GA, HI, LA, OR, RI, TN, UT, WV			
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board	AK	HI		RI	CT, MA, NH, TN, TX			
G. GMIS Development			IN, MA, OH	AL, HI, IA, NM	LA, OR, TN, WV			
H. Financial Management	_	MA	· <u></u>	KY	GA, OR, TN			
I. Compliance With Statutory Requiremen	ts	:		1				
1. INS Plan			ΙL	MA	DE, OR, SD, TN			
2. CJRI Plan		NC	AL, IL, MN	MA	DE, LA, NM, OR, SD, TN, UT			
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)		-	GA, IL, VA	MA	DE, IA, SC, SD, TN, UT			
4. Statute for HIV Testing		- -	AL, GA, IL	MA, RI	DE, OR, SD, TN, UT			
J. Other	-				PR			

	RANKING OF NEED						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A. Identifying Jurisdictions With Greatest Need		TX		NC	DE, GA, MA, NM, OR, PA, RI, TN		
B. Developing a Statewide Strategy	TX		MS, OH	NH, NM	GA, MA, NY, OR, PA, RI, TN		
C. Coordinating Various Funding Sources		MA	GA, MN	HI, NM, TX	CT, DE, NC, NY, OR, PA, SD, TN		
D. Subgrantee Reporting and Monitoring			MS, OH	HI, MA	FL, GA, NM, OR, TN		
E. Developing Evaluation Plans			NC, OH, TX	MA, MN	DE, GA, HI, LA, NY, OR, PA, RI, TN, UT		
F. Establishing and Maintaining a Policy Board		****	HI	СТ	KY, MA,PA, RI, TN, TX		
G. GMIS Development			MA, OH	HI, IA, NC	LA, MO, NM, OR, TN		
H. Financial Management			GA, HI, MA		OR, TN		
I. Compliance With Statutory Requirement	ts						
1. iNS Plan				MA	DE, IL, NY, OR, SD, TN		
2. CJRI Plan			FL, MN, NC		DE, IL, LA, MA, NM, NY, OR, PA, RI, SD, TN, UT		
Intelligence Systems (28 CFR Requirements)			•	GA, HI, MA, NC	DE, IL, NY, PA, RI, SD, TN, UT		
4. Statute for HIV Testing		GA	-	NC	DE, IA, IL, MA, NH, NY, OR, RI, SD, TN, UT		
J. Other	· 				PA		

Table XIII

NUMBER OF AREAS NEEDING ASSISTANCE BY STATE AND NEED TYPE

0.773 PW		0	—	T		
STATE	Program Development	Operational	Training	Information		
	Deveropment					
AL AK AS CA CT DC DC FGA HID IIN IAY LAE MD MMI MN MN NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	Development 18 9 10 1 8 2 61 5 1 43 4 8 1 0 23 47 4 14 11 46 0 36 0 0 1 2 0 5 31 26 42 0 15 4 31 15 0 43 3 7 65 11 19 0 34 0 4 49	16 1 11 0 10 1 61 5 1 43 14 17 5 0 23 23 4 15 9 42 0 34 1 0 0 10 3 2 7 26 25 0 15 6 35 11 1 43 1 5 66 9 20 0 26 0 1 50	25 38 14 0 3 6 5 14 19 14 11 14 11 14 14 15 10 10 11 14 10 11 14 11 14 11 14 11 14 16 11 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	3 32 11 0 62 4 48 12 12 9 36 14 10 11 48 9 34 2 14 0 16 5 13 7 16 7 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
WY	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL	759	698	847	954		

Table XIV

TOTAL RANKS FOR ASSISTANCE NEEDS BY STATE AND NEED TYPE

STATE	Program Development	Operational	Training	Information	Total Per State
AL AK AS AZ CT DC LINA YAE DM MM	62 36 37 1 34 9 251 25 203 14 14 30 87 197 20 49 39 148 0 130 0 0 47 0 19 94 61 153 0 143 155 0 143 155 17 131 155 0 143 155 17 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 185	54 44 0 42 40 260 22 20 89 30 32 20 89 31 41 0 121 30 0 28 13 141 0 121 35 140 22 23 226 15 90 0 87 0 122 0 0 0 123 124 125 126 126 127 127 128 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129	85 153 53 0 15 14 265 22 208 63 155 209 169 20 84 100 75 50 33 17 15 262 285 0 135 262 285 0 135 262 285 0 135 262 27 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285	11 160 42 0 28 275 19 14 225 44 3 182 27 142 141 20 39 205 38 122 6 70 0 49 22 65 271 180 197 0 27 32 147 199 0 254 137 199 0 254 137 199 0 254 137 199 0 0 199 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	212 354 176 951 058 299 170 247 3 487 5 80 1055 1055 1055 1055 1055 1055 1055 10

APPENDIX A. SURVEY FORM

STATE'S TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE NEEDS ASSESSMENT SURVEY

GENERAL INFORMAT	ION		
STATE	NAME OF AGENCY		
ADDRESS OF AGENCY			
NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING SURVEY		PHONE NUMBER	

Please return survey no later than [Date] to:

Community Research Associates, Inc. 2919 Valmont Road, Suite 206 Boulder, Colorado 80301

PURPOSE OF SURVEY:

This survey is designed to identify those state and local training and technical assistance (T/TA) needs which jurisdictions face in implementing the Crime Control and System Improvement Formula Grant Program. The results of the survey will assist BJA in targeting and matching resources to those programs being developed and implemented through statewide strategies for preventing and combating drug-related crime, crimes of violence, and those programs designed to improve the function of state and local criminal justice. To ensure that T/TA resources are focused on local issues, BJA is requesting states to identify the programmatic needs associated with the 21 authorized program areas as well as the administrative needs related to administering the formula grant program. The results of this survey will guide the development of a comprehensive training and technical assistance plan for 1993.

INSTRUCTIONS

Please complete the general information section above and the following survey. Check marks should be placed in the correct boxes in Sections I and II, columns (a) through (e). If your answer is "no" to a particular survey question, leave the box blank. Section III should be answered by placing number 1 through 5 on the proper line for that question. Prioritize your answers with number 5 displaying the greatest need and number 1 the least. You should mark all that apply, however, if you have no need for the assistance represented by any question in columns (f) through (i) in Section III, leave that particular line blank.

DEFINITIONS

Technical assistance (TA): The provision of specialized short term consultation as a means of resolving a specific problem for a state or local justice, correction, or related system.

Training (T): Used to enhance recipient knowledge of justice, corrections, and drug related issues via workshop or conference settings. Information: Refers to materials assembled on a specific topic to increase a user's understanding of the topic and related issues.

Definitions of the major headings contained within the column on BJA Program Areas can be found in the Formula Grant Program Guidance and Application Kit, Issued last year by BJA.

Technical assistance for program development and planning, as referred to in Section III(f), is that needed to assist your agency or another agency with any aspect of planning, designing, or developing a program.

Technical assistance for operations, referred to in Section III(g), is that needed to assist on issues related to implementing a previously designed program or improving a program currently being conducted.

References to Formula Funds or Formula Grant Funding In the survey mean monles provided via the Bureau of Justice Assistances' Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Formula Grant Program. These funds are administered by a designated state agency and passed through to state and local agencies.

STATE'S TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE NEEDS ASSESSMENT SURVEY

	I. TRAINING CURRENTLY PROVIDED IN-STATE			II. OPERATIONAL PRO FORMULA GRA		III. ASSISTANCE HEEDED THROUGH BJA T/TA PROGRAM Rank each area 1 through 5 eccording to need, with 5 being the greatest (leave blank if assistance not needed)			
BJA PROGRAM AREAS	WITH	WITH BY OTHER		•		TECHNICAL A	BEISTANCE	TRAINING	INFORMATION
	STATE/LOCAL FUNDS	FORMULA FUNDS	FEDERAL AGENCIES	CURRENTLY	ANTICIPATED	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT/	OPERATIONAL		
	(a) ∠IF YES	(b) /IF YES	(0) LIF YES	(6) 	(e) /IF YES	(1)	(a)	(h)	(1)
1, DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION INVOLVING LAW ENFORCEMENT			! !		 		!	! !	1
A DATE	g.	o.	g.	D	0		_		_
B. SCHOOL BASED PROGRAMS	O	٥	0	O	0		ļ -	i	
C: NON-SCHOOL:BASED:PRCGRAMS	O	O.	0	О	D			ļ 	
D. OTHER	0	0	0				! 	 	
2. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL DRUG ENFORCEMENT		***************************************	l !				 	! !********	l
A: MULTUURISDICTIONAL REGIONAL:TASK FORCES	Q	П	0	Ð	ο				
B, ORGANIZED CRIME/NARCOTICS PROGRAM	0			٥	0			<u> </u>	
C SPECIAL NARCOTICS PROSECUTOR	ā	D	D	D	0				
D. CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	0	0	0	0		· —	 	_	_
E STATEWIDE CONFIDENTIAL FUNDS POOL	0	o o	0	0			- .	-	
F, EQUIPMENT POOL		0		0	0				
Q.OTHER_		D	0	0	0				
3. DOMESTIC DRUG CONTROL			 	******************************		***************************************	200200000000000000000000000000000000000	***************************************	
A. PHARMACEUTICAL DIVERSION	D.	D	0	Д					
B. CLANDESTINE LABORATORIES	0		0	0				*****	
C: MARUUANA ERADICATION	0		0	0	0				
D. DRUG IDENTIFICATION		0	0	0					***************************************
E: OTHER	D	П	0	О	D.				
4. COMMUNITY CRIME PREVENTION								ا اسسلسلننسسنی	************************
A CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN	O.	D	o .	Ð	0				
B. NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH		0		c	_	***************************************			*************
O. DRUG-IMPACTED RURAL: JURISDICTIONS	0		О	O	0				
D. PREVENTING BURGLARY THROUGH ENHANCED HOUSEHOLD SECURITY	Ó	0	0	0	0		=		

	1. TRAINING CURRENTLY PROVIDED IN-STATE			II. OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS RECEIVING FORMULA GRANT FUNDING		III. ABBISTANCE NEEDED THROUGH BJAT/TA PROC Rank each area 1 Wrough 6 according to need, with 6 be greatest (leave blank if assistance not needed)			
BJA PROGRAM AREAS	WITH	WITH	BY OTHER			TECHNICAL A	SSISTANCE	TRAINING	INFORMATION
	STATE/LOCAL FUNDS	FORMULA FUNDS	FEDERAL AGENCIES	CURRENTLY	ANTICIPATED	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT/	OPERATIONAL	-	
	(a) LIF YES	(Þ) ✓IF YFS	(0) 	(d) 	(e) ZIE YES	(1)	(9)	(h)	(1)
E. COMMUNITY-BASED POLICING F. VIOLENCE PREVENTION*	0	0 0	0	0	0 0				_
G. COMMUNITY MOBIUZATION H: OTHER	0	0	0	0	o ·			_	
5. STOLEN PROPERTY PROGRAMS							i 		
A'STING B. PROPERTY CRIME PROGRAM	0	0	0	0					
C. OTHER	0) 0	0	0	п (_			1
6. PUBLIC CORRUPTION/ORGANIZED/WHITE COLLAR CRIME							 	1	
A REDUCING DRUG CORRUPTION AMONG PUBLIC OFFICIALS	0	a	D.	D	0	_		_	<u></u>
B. OTHER	0	0	0		0		<u> </u>		
7. LAW ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS/ENHANCEMENT A: SINGLE JURISPICTION: DRUG: ENFORCEMENT:	O.	a	D	П	D]		
B. JUVENILE GANGS INVOLVEMENT IN DRUG TRAFFICKING AND VIOLENCE	0	0	0	0	o	_		-	
C: DRUG-FREE SCHOOL ZONE: ENFORCEMENT	0	0	п	D		_	_	_	_
D. INTEGRATED CRIMINAL APPREHENSION PROGRAM (ICAP)	0	٥	0	0	0				
F. PRESERVING THE CRIME SCENE	0		0 0	0		-		-	
G. PRESERVING EVIDENCE AND COMPUTER RECORDS	ם	0	0 0	0				_	
H. DRUG RECOGNITION PROGRAMS	o	٥	o	0	0			! !	.
I: DRUG:DOG/CANINE ACQUISITION AND TRAINING/X:8 UNIT	o o		0	0	0			-	. –
J. TARGETING FIREARM OFFENSES K:UNDERCOVER:PROGRAMS	0	٥	ם	0	0 0	_	_	_	_
L. SURVEILLANCE PROGRAMS	0	0	0	0	ם				_
M:NGHWAY.INTERDIGTION	0	D.	ū	П	0				_
N. TARGETING VIOLENT CRIMINALS	G	0	0	0	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		

	i. Training currently provided in-state			II. OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS RECEIVING FORMULA GRANT FUNDING		III. ASSISTANCE NEEDED THROUGH BJA T/TA PROGRAM Rank each area 1 through 5 according to need, with 5 being the greatest (leave blank if assistance not needed)				
BJA PROGRAM AREAS	WITH	HTIW HTIW			- 1	TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE		TRAINING	INFORMATION	
	STATE/LOCAL FUNDS	FORMULA FUNDS	FEDERAL AGENCIES	CURRENTLY	ANTICIPATED	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT/	OPERATIONAL			
	(a) ZIFYES	(b) ∠IF YES	(c) 	(d) ∠IE YER	(e) ZIE YES	Ø	(9)	(h)	(1)	
O. OTHER:	O	п	0	О	П					
8. CRIMINAL PROSECUTION/DRUG LEGISLATION						•	1	! !		
A:CAREER CRIMINAL PROSECUTION		0			۵		-	-	-	
B. DRUG CONSPIRACY PROSECUTION O: SPECIALIZED NARCOTICS PROSECUTION UNIT	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0					
D. MODEL DRUG CONTROL LEGISLATION	0	0	a	0	0					
E. USE OF CML RICO IN DRUG ENFORCEMENT.	- D		o o	O	D D				_	
F. OTHER	О	0	0	0	0		<u>i</u>	1		
9. FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF DRUG CONTROL		1			•		! !	1		
A:FINANCIAL:INVESTIGATIONS	О		О	О	0	-	_	_	1	
B. ASSET SEIZURE AND FORFEITURE		0	0		0		ļ <u>-</u>		_	
D. MONEY CAUNDERING	D	0		0	D		-			
D. OTHER	0	0	ם	0			<u>i – – </u>			
10. COURT-BASED OPERATIONS					<u> </u>		ļ.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
A: COURT DELAY REDUCTION		D					-	<u>-</u>		
B. DIFFERENTIATED CASE MANAGEMENT		0	0				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
G. FAST TRACK PROSECUTION/FAST TRACK DEFENSE		П	0	0	Ο,	-	-			
D. DRUG COURTS	0	0	0							
E. COURT IMPROVEMENT/COURT MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENT	D	ū	0	O	ū		1	-		
F. COURT UNIFICATION	. 0		0	0	0					
D. VIDEO ARRAGNMENT	۵	O	0	a	D		_		1	
H. DISPUTE RESOLUTION	0	0	٥	0			_	<u> </u>	_	
L'PRETRIAL SERVICES DELIVERY J. OTHER	0	0	0	0			<u> </u>		-	
11. ADULT DETENTION/CORRECTIONS PROGRAMS		-					 	 		
A: INTERSIVE SUPERVISION PROBATION: AND PAROLE		D	0	O	D					

	I. TRAINING CURRENTLY PROVIDED IN-STATE			II. OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS RECEIVING FORMULA GRANT FUNDING		III. ASSISTANCE NEEDED THROUGH BJA 1/TA PROGRAM Rank each area 1 through 5 eccording to need, with 5 being the greatest (leave blank it sesistance not needed)				
BJA PROGRAM AREAS	WITH	WITH	BY OTHER			TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE		TRAINING	INFORMATION	
	STATE/LOCAL FUNDS	FORMULA FUNDS	FEDERAL AGENCIES	CURRENTLY	ANTICIPATED	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT/	OPERATIONAL			
	(a) /IF YFS	(b) ∠IF YFS	(s) ∠IF YFS	(d) ZIF YFS	(a) ZIE YER	o o	(9)	(h)	(1)	
B. BOOT CAMPS			٥	0	0					
C. TREATMENT IN A JAIL SETTING	o	D.	o o	О				 		
D. TREATMENT IN CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES	٥	0		0	0		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
E: CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES PLANNING AND POPULATION : PROJECTIONS	O.	ü	E E		O.	_	_	-	1	
F. SENTENCING STRATEGIES DEVELOPMENT	G		0	0	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Q:OTHER	0	0	0	ם	a				-	
12. FRISON/JAL, INDUSTRY								1		
A. PRISON INCUSTRIES		п	o o	0	ū		_			
B. JAIL INDUSTRIES	_	0	٥	0	0		i 	· -	<u></u>	
C: OTHER		0	0	. 0	<u> </u>					
13. DRUG AND ALCOHOL TREATMENT PROGRAMS	******************************			***************************************	 		! !	! !		
A:TREATMENT OF ADULT OF FENDERS	П	ם	0	O	0	_	_		-	
B. TREATMENT FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS	٥	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>		i 		
C: TREATMENT AFTERCARE SERVICES	П	D	D	0	D		_			
D. OTHER	0	0	0	0	0		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
14. VICTIM/WITHESS PROGRAMS					i I		I I	l laca accommo	000 000 000 000 000 000	
A ONE DAY ONE TRIAL/JURY MANAGEMENT				e e		_	_	i —		
B. SYSTEMS FOR SETTING JUROR FEES! COMPENSATION	0	0	٥	0	0		i 	30000000000000000000000000000000000000	S	
C: VICTIMAYITNESS PROGRAMS	П	D	0	0						
D. RESTITUTION FOR VICTIMS	0	0	۵	٥	0		_	l —	 	
E:VICTIM ASSISTANCE			D	O		_	-	 	_	
F. OTHER			0	0			 	 	 	
15. DRUG CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/INFORMATION SYSTEMS		I 	I 		: !		1 	: January	i Istorovskom	
A: PRETRIAL DAUG: TESTING		D	o.	0	-					
B. STATEWIDE URINALYSIS TESTING C. TREATMENT/ALTERNATIVES:TO STREET; CRIMES/TASC	0	0	0	0	0		_			

	I. TRAINING CURRENTLY PROVIDED IN-STATE			II. OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS RECEIVING FORMULA GRANT FUNDING		III. ASSISTANCE NEEDED THROUGH BIA T/TA PROGRAM Rank each area 1 through 8 according to need, with 8 being the greatest (leave blank if assistance not needed)				
BUA PROGRAM AREAS	WITH	WITH	BY OTHER	-	 	TECHNICAL A	SSISTAICE	TRUNINO	Інгопиатіон	
	BTATE/LOCAL FUNDS	FORMULA FUNDS	FEDERAL AGENCIES	CURRENTLY	ANTICIPATED	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT/	OPERATIONAL			
	(4) 	(b) LIF YES	(¢) 	(d) 	(e) / IF YFR	m	(0)	(h)	(1)	
D, FORENSIC LABORATORY ENHANCEMENT		٥	o	٥	٥					
E: INFORMATIÓN/MAMAGEMENT: SYSTEM FOR: CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES	П		o	0	П	_	-	1	1	
F. AUTOMATED CRIMINAL HISTORY/FINGERPRINT LD./CJRI	0	٥	o	0	0		_			
Q. PROSECUTION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT: SYSTEMS	0	0	o o	0	D					
H. ESTABUSHING AND OPERATING INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	0	0	۵	0	0		_	_		
EOTHER 16. INNOVATIVE/UNIQUE CRIME CONTROL PROGRAMS			О.	<u> </u>	0		_			
A: DRUG/VIOLENT: CRIME: POUCY/PLANNING			0	9	-					
B. VIOLENT FUGITNES ARREST SQUAD	0	0	0		0		-			
C. UNIFIED COURT POLICY ON DRUG EVIDENCE AND CUSTODY.	О	D	ם	O	D					
D. HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S DRUG ABUSE WARNING NETWORK	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	_			
E: ARAMARINE INTERDICTION PROGRAM				G						
F. OTHER	0		0	0	0					
17. DRUG ENFORCEMENT IN PUBLIC HOUSING	_		_							
A: ENFORCEMENT: PUBLIC: HOUSING: DEVELOPMENTS B. TENANT/SECURITY PROGRAMS		0 0	0.0	0	0					
C: OTHER	П	П		D D					_	
18. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE					1					
A COMESTIC/FAMILY INTERVENTION	D.	o o	D	O	D.				_	
B. LAW ENFORCEMENT'S RESPONSE TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	a	٥	a	ם	٥					
C. CHILD ABUSE PROSECUTION	ם	ם	0	0	D 0				-	
D. CRIMES AGAINST THE ELDERLY E. OTHER	0 0	0 0	0 0	ם	0 0		-			
19. DRUG CONTROL PROGRAM EVALUATION			-							
A EVALUATION OF ANY FORMULA FUNDED PROGRAM OR	О		D	O						
PROJECT										

	i. TRAINING CUI	i. TRAINING CURRENTLY PROVIDED IN-STATE			II. OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS RECEIVING FORMULA GRANT FUNDING		III. ASSISTANCE NEEDED THROUGH BJA T/TA PROGRA Rank each area 1 through 5 according to need, with 5 being greatest (leave blank if assistance not needed)			
BJA PROGRAM AREAS	WITH	WITH	BY OTHER			TECHNICAL A	BBISTANCE	TRAINING	INFORMATION	
Established	STATE/LOCAL FUNDS	FUNDS	FEDERAL AGENCIES	CURRENTLY	ANTICIPATED	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT/	OPERATIONAL			
	(a) ZIE YES	(b)	(0)	(d) 	(e) LIF YES	(1)	(0)	(ክ)	(1)	
B. OTHER	0	0		o	. 0	_				
20. CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES			1		1	:		1	!	
A.HOUSE ARREST/ELECTRONIC MONITURING			П	G.		_	ı		_	
B. RESTITUTION BY JUVENILES			_	0		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>		
G: COMMUNITY SERVICE LABOR PROGRAM	0			0		1	1	-	-	
D. USER ACCOUNTABILITY SANCTIONS (WITHOUT INCARCERATION)	0	0	0	0	Ö	-			_	
E:OTHER	D		0	O	П					
21. URBAN DRUG ENFORCEMENT		}						!		
A STREET-LEVEL MARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT	O		0	D	0	Į	_	-	1	
B. TARGET CITIES	0		0	0	0		1	ļ	! !	
C: NUISANCE ABATEMENT UNIT	П	D		o o	D	1	-	-		
D. CRACK HOUSES	0		0		0	***************************************	<u> </u>	ļ		
E: REVERSE STING DEMAND REDUCTION ENFORCEMENT	0	0	o.	0	0					
F. USER FOCUSED ENFORGEMENT		0		0	0	_	i -	i 		
G. ALJEN/ETHNIC GROUPS	0	D	0	0	0		-			
H. OTHER		- 0	0	0	0		<u> </u>			

	I. TRAINING CURRENTLY PROVIDED IN-STATE			II. OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS RECEIVING FORMULA GRANT FUNDING		III. ABBISTANCE NEEDED THROUGH BJA T/TA PROGRAM Rank eaph area 1 through 6 according to need, with 5 being th greatest (leave blank if assistance not needed)			
BJA PROGRAM AREAS	WITH	WITH	BY OTHER			TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE		TRAINING	INFORMATION
	STATE/LOCAL FUNDS	FORMULA FUNDS	FEDERAL AGENCIES	CURRENTLY	ANTICIPATED	PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT/	OPERATIONAL		
	(4) ∠1F.YES	(b) ZIF YES	(c) ZIF YES	(d) ∠IF YES	(•) /IF YES	m	(0)	(h)	(1)
22. BTATE FORMULA GRANT ADMINISTRATION A JOENTIFYING JURISCY TIONS: WITH GREATEST NEED.		-					 - -	_	_
B. DEVELOPING A STATEWIDE STRATEGY C.: COORDINATING VARIOUS FUNDING SOURCES						_	_	_	_
D. SUBGRANTEE REPORTING AND MONITORING			LEAVE			_		_	_
E. DEVELOPING EVALUATION PLANS			THIS SPACE			—	_		
F, ESTABUSHING AND MAINTAINING A POLICY BOARD G:GMIS:DEVELOPMENT:			BLANK				_		_
H. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT						_		-	
I: COMPLIANCE: WITH: STATUTORY: REQUIREMENTS:							_		
2: CURI:PLW						_			
1 INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS (28 CFR REQUIREMENTS)									_
J. OTHER				•	-			i	
K:OTHER						-	_	-	_
L OTHER		 							

23. Are you aware of the State and Yes	Local Training and Technical Assis	tance (T/TA) Program being	conducted by BJA throu	igh Community Rese	earch Associates (CRA)?		
24. Has your agency or other state, Yes	/local agencies requested training o	or technical assistance throug	h the program?				
25. Would you like more information Yes	n about the program and how to re No	quest training and technical	assistance?				
26. Has your office used BJA or oth past 24 months? Yes	No	ince or training services withi	n the				
If yes, briefly describe. Attach a	additional sheets If necessary.						
27. Is there any T/TA needed to or to Yes 28. Does your office survey state/lowers Yes (If yes, please attach copy	No cal agencies to determine training No y of survey.)	and/or technical assistance r	eeds?				
29. Does your office or other state/I Yes If yes, briefly describe. Attach a	No	tance or training for drug cor	strol and violent crime p	programs and/or other	er activities which are not in	cluded in the above surv	ey topics
and the second s		 		· · ·			
<u> </u>							
			·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						And the second s	



U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Assistance

Washington, D.C. 20531

2 1SEP 1992

SAMPLE

Sent by BJA to each State

Mr. Bill Martin Governor's Justice Commission 222 Quaker Lane, Suite 100 Warwick, Rhode Island 02893

Dear Mr. Martin: Poil

As you are well aware, the State and Local Assistance Division (SLAD) within the Bureau of Justice Assistance has the responsibility of implementing the Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance formula grant program authorized by the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988. As such, SLAD manages the formula grant program and a State and Local Training and Technical Assistance (T/TA) Program which provides technical resources to state and local jurisdictions. Both the formula grant and the T/TA programs are to assist state and local units of government to control drug-related crime and serious offenders. The BJA's State and Local Assistance Division is implementing the T/TA program through a cooperative agreement with Community Research Associates (CRA).

The training and technical assistance available through BJA and CRA is designed to assist states in implementing both the administrative and programmatic requirements of the formula grant program. To ensure that T/TA resources are properly targeted to meet specific state and local needs, BJA is conducting a Training and Technical Assistance Needs Assessment Survey to identify those needs which are considered most important by the states. To assist BJA in developing appropriate T/TA resources to focus on state and local issues, BJA is requesting states to identify the programmatic needs associated with the 21 authorized program areas as well as the administrative needs related to administering the formula grant program. The results of the survey will be used to target and match T/TA resources to those programs being developed and implemented through statewide strategies for preventing combating drug-related crime, crimes of violence, and those programs designed to improve the function of state and local criminal justice.

The enclosed survey "package" contains a front sheet requesting general information as well as a page on instructions and definitions. Completion of the general information section is necessary to identify the source of the survey information. While the survey is not a method by which the states may request training, technical assistance or information, such requests or other inquiries may be made by contacting your respective Branch Chief within the BJA's State and Local Assistance Division.

Please return the surveys to CRA's Western Office at the following address:

Community Research Associates 2919 Valmont Road, Suite 206 Boulder, Colorado 80301 303/443-9770

Once the surveys are returned, a needs assessment will be conducted to guide the development of training and technical assistance plan for calendar year 1993. The results of this assessment and, ideally, the proposed 1993 training and technical assistance plan will be presented at the BJA annual state conference in San Antonio, Texas, scheduled for December 2-5, 1992.

Your response should be submitted to CRA no later than October 9, 1992. If you have any questions, please contact your SLAD Branch Chief.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely

Andrew T Mitchell

Acting Director

State and Local Assistance Division

Enclosure

APPENDIX B.

States/Territories
Responding To Survey

States/Territories Responding To Survey

Alabama

Alaska

American Samoa

Arizona California Connecticut Delaware

District of Columbia

Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kentucky Louisiana Maine

Maryland Massachusetts

Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Nebraska

Nevada

New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota

Ohio

Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Puerto Rico Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia

States/Territorities Not Responding

Arkansas Colorado Guam

Kansas

Montana

Wisconsin

Wyoming

Northern Mariana Islands

Virgin Islands