



1436

Missouri Juvenile Court Statistics 1992

Division of Children and Youth Services Mark Steward, Director

NCJRS

2 1994 NOV

ACQUISITIONS

150981

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been

granted by Missouri Dept. of Social Services/ Div. of Youth Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

Prepared by: Research and Evaluation **Denise Schnieders** Nancy Peterson



MEL CARNAHAN GOVERNOR

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

DIVISION OF CHILDREN AND YOUTH SERVICES

P.O. BOX 447 JEFFERSON CITY 65102-0447

TELEPHONE: 314-751-3324, FAX: 314-526-4494 TDD: 1-800-735-2966, VOICE: 1-800-735-2466

Dear Reader:

The Division of Children and Youth Services is pleased to present the 1992 report of Missouri Juvenile Court Statistics. This is the forty-eighth annual juvenile court report. We hope the report will be useful to individuals and organizations with an interest in the juvenile justice system.

We would like to express our appreciation to the court personnel throughout the state for their cooperation in this project. Thanks are also due to the Research and Evaluation Unit for collecting and assembling the data and writing the report.

Questions about the report should be directed to the Division of Children and Youth Services or the Research and Evaluation Unit, Department of Social Services.

Sincerely,

Mark D. Steward

Director

Table of Contents

Juvenile Court (Overview	1
	eferrals	
Status Offense I	Referrals	13
	Custody Referrals	
	<u>Appendices</u>	
Appendix A	Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes	
	by Circuit and County	19
Appendix B	Law Violation Referral Outcomes	
	by Circuit and County	22
Appendix C	Status Offense Referral Outcomes	
	by Circuit and County	25
Appendix D	Abuse/Neglect/Custody Referral Outcomes	
	by Circuit and County	28
Appendix E	Out-of-Home Placements by Circuit	31
Appendix F	In-Home Services Providers by Circuit	32
Appendix G	Missouri Statewide Juvenile Information	
	System Form	33

Graphs and Tables


Graph 1	Number of Children Referred by Race and Sex	1
Table 1	Juvenile Court Statistics 1983-1992	2
Graph 2	Ten Year Trend of Referrals	3
Table 2	Referral Source	3
Graph 3	Most Common Referrals - Males	4
Graph 4	Most Common Referrals - Females	4
Graph 5	Dispositions of Referrals	5
Graph 6	Outcomes of Formally Disposed Referrals	6
Graph 7	Outcomes of Informally Disposed Referrals	6
Graph 8	Out-of-Home Placements - All Referrals	7
Graph 9	In-Home Services Providers - All Referrals	7
Table 3	Juveniles Certified to Adult Court	
	by Race and Age	8
Table 4	Pre-Hearing Placements by Type	
	of Referral and Sex	8
Graph 10	Type of Facility - Non-Secure Placements	9
Graph 11	Operator of Facility - Non-Secure Placements	9
Graph 12	Outcomes of Law Violation Referrals	. 10
Table 5	Municipal Violations	.10
Table 6	Law Violation Allegations by Age	. 1 1
Table 7	Average Prior Law Allegations for	
	Certain Offense Categories	.12
Graph 13	Status Offense Referrals by Sex	.13
Graph 14	Outcomes of Status Offense Referrals	. 13
Table 8	Out-of-Home Placements of Status Offense Referrals	.14
Table 9	Pre-Hearing Placements of Status Offense Referrals	. 14
Table 10	Abuse/Neglect/Custody Referrals by Category	. 15
Graph 15	Outcomes of Abuse/Neglect/Custody Referrals	. 15
Table 11	Age Group of Referral Victim	. 16

.HIVENILE COURT OVERVIEW

The following report is the forty-eighth in a series of Juvenile Court Statistics and the fifteenth published under the auspices of the Division of Children and Youth Services (DCYS) as mandated by Missouri Statute RSMo section 219.016.3. This report presents the summary statistics of the Juvenile Court System for calendar year 1992 in Missouri.

In 1992, 52,948 children were referred to one of the 45 juvenile courts in Missouri. These 52,948 children had a total of 73,449 referrals to court. The same child may be referred more than once during the reporting year. Circuits are requested to maintain a separate identification number for each juvenile and use the same number each time the juvenile is referred.

GRAPH 1 CHILDREN REFERRED BY RACE AND SEX



Race and sex comparisons.

Graph 1 shows the sex and race break down of all children referred. Males accounted for 66 percent of the voutlis referred: females for 34 percent. White youth accounted for 67 percent of the juvenile court population. Black vouth were 30 percent of juvenile court youth, while three percent were other categories.


Most analyses in this report are at the level of referral. The numbers represent not individual children, but separate referrals to the court. A referral has been defined for reporting circuits as "any action involving a juvenile which results in a determination, finding or outcome and a written record maintained in the juvenile's name. Multiple allegations reported at the time of, or discovered in the course of a referral shall be reported as additional violations and not as additional referrals."


Referral types. These referrals break down into three broad categories: Law Violations, Status Offenses and Abuse, Neglect and Custody referrals. Law Violations are any breaking of the Missouri Criminal Code. Status Offenses are those offenses that are only violations if committed by a juvenile. This includes such activities as truancy and running away from home. The category of Abuse, Neglect and Custody (A/N/C) reports Missouri children who were victims of abuse or neglect and children involved in custody disputes, transfers or terminations. The Administrative category formerly included in this report has been dropped. It tracked Permanency Planning cases regarding placement of children in foster care, and other miscellaneous referrals, such as probation violations, etc. The only administrative referrals still reported are violations of court order.

Table 1 shows the break down of all referrals into these four categories over the past ten years. Law Violation referrals were by far the largest category of referrals in 1992, representing over 60 percent of all referrals to the system. This category saw an increase of more than 13 percent over 1991.

···	·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TABLE 1 JUVENILE COURT STATISTICS 1983-1992						
YEAR	ABUSE	ADMINIS-	LAW	STATUS	TOTAL	
	NEGLECT CUSTODY	TRATIVE	VIOLATION	OFFENSE		
1983	9,725	4,735	26,255	8,863	49,578	
1984 1985	8,362 9,053	7,957 6,796	24,744 31.968	14,252 11,668	55,315 59,215	
1986	10,962	8,435	32,913	11,487	63,797	
1987 1988	9,380 11,488	8,995 9.545	33,786 36,580	12,220 13,771	64,384 71,344	
1989	12,138	10,682	37,185	13,728	73,733	
1990 1991	12,949 13,073	12,225 9,326	38,920 40,180	13,706 13,708	77,800 76,287	
1991 1992	13,073 13.523	9,326 452 *	45,451	14,023	73,449	

*The definitions for reporting in the administrative category have changed.






Graph 2 illustrates the changes in numbers of referrals for the last ten vears. Only the Law Violation category shows a consistent increase since 1984. Law violation referrals have increased by 73 percent over 1983 levels. Status offense referrals increased by 58 percent and A/ N/C referrals by 39 percent over the same time period.

TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE


REFERRAL SOURCE	
LAW ENFORCEMENT	
MUNICIPAL POLICE	43,899
COUNTY SHERIFF	4,683
HIGHWAY PATROL	1,521
OTHER LAW ENFORCEME	NT 818
DIV. OF FAMILY SERVICES	8,183
SCHOOL	4,094
PARENT	3,384
JUVENILE COURT	3,190
OTHER JUVENILE COURT	1,938
VICTIM/SELF REFERRAL	548
OTHER RELATIVE	297
PRIVATE SOCIAL AGENCY	156
PUBLIC SOCIAL AGENCY	80
DEPT. OF MENTAL HEALTH	21
OTHER	537
TOTAL	73,449

Referral sources. Referrals to the juvenile court can come from many sources. Over two-thirds (69%) of all referrals were initiated by law enforcement. Almost half of Abuse, Neglect and Custody referrals were initiated by the Department of Social Services, Division of Family Services (DFS). Status offense referrals were likely to be initiated by schools or municipal police. Parents and the juvenile courts themselves also made significant numbers of referrals. Table 2 shows the source of all referrals.

Drug and alcohol use. A question on drug and alcohol use was added to the 1992 Juvenile Information System Form. The question asked: "At the time of the activity that led to this referral was this youth under the influence of alcohol or drugs?" Of 43,296 referrals with an answer other than "unknown" only 1,661 (3.8%) were identified as under the influence of alcohol. There were 478 (1.1%) referrals reporting drug use at the time of the referral. Of drug or alcohol involved youth, 84 percent were referred for law violations, 12 percent were referred for status offenses and three percent as victims of abuse or neglect.



Male and female iuveniles show different patterns of referral to the juvenile system. Graph 3 illustrates that males were much more likely to be referred for law violations. Only 28 percent of referrals for males were in the non-law violation categories of status offenses or Abuse. Neglect or Custody.



For females, the non-law violation categories accounted for 59 percent of all referrals. The remaining violations are also largely non-violent, with stealing and municipal offenses together accounting for another 19 percent.

Dispositions of referrals. The definition of a referral included the words "action resulting in a determination or outcome." The possible determinations or outcomes fall into two categories: those which were handled **formally** with petition to the court and those which were **informally** adjusted. The formal dispositions that can occur are:

True Out-of-Home The allegation is found true and the youth is placed out of home. Placement may include with a relative, to a foster home, or commitment to DCYS.

True In-home The allegation is found true and the youth receives services in his/her own home.

True No Services The allegation is found true, but no services are provided.

Not True The allegation is found not true.

Motion to Dismiss The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case is closed.

Dismiss for Certification The court sustains a motion to dismiss, and the case is transferred to adult court.


The informal dispositions that can occur are:

Adjust without Supervision

Involves no more than one faceto-face contact with the juvenile and results in the giving of advice, counsel or a verbal warning.

Adjust with Supervision Involves supervision by either verbal or written agreement and/or restitution or community service.

Adjust - No action No action is taken by the juvenile office other than the collection of information.



Transfer to Other Juvenile


Court Jurisdiction over the youth is transferred to another juvenile court.

Transfer to Other Agency The youth's case (records, files, etc.) is turned over to another agency.


Referral Rejected No reason is found to sustain the referral.

Findings for 1992 referrals are shown in Graphs 6 and 7. More than three-quarters (77.2%) of the referrals were informally disposed. The largest single category of outcomes was informal adjustment without supervision, accounting for over one-fourth of total referrals. Only 22.8 percent of all referrals were formally adjudicated by a court. A formal adjudication is necessary to place a child out-of-home or to transfer a youth to adult court.

GRAPH 6
OUTCOMES OF FORMALLY DISPOSED REFERRALS




GRAPH 7
OUTCOMES OF INFORMALLY DISPOSED REFERRALS




A total of 5,758 referrals were placed out-of-home in 1992. Abuse, Neglect and Custody referrals accounted for nearly one-half (48.4%) of out-of-home placements. Law violation referrals accounted for another 35.5% of out-of-home placements.

Over 40 percent of out-ofhome placements were to DFS. Another 22 percent of the placements were to DCYS. Frequently children were placed with relatives and the juvenile courts. Graph 8 details these placements.



*Other Agency consists of DMH and other public and private agencies.

In-home services were provided for 17,978 referrals. More than one provider can be listed for each referral and a total of 21.641 providers were reported. In-home services can be either ordered by the court or provided informally by court personnel. Every in-home services case is supervised by juvenile court personnel. (Graph 9)



*Other Agency consists of DMH, DCYS and other public and private agencies.


Certifications to adult court. There were 264 referrals dismissed to allow certification to adult court. Because of the way referrals are processed, these 264 referrals represent only 224 individuals. Juveniles certified to adult court were overwhelmingly male. Only three females were certified in 1992. Table 3 shows the 224 juveniles by age and race.

TABLE 3 JUVENILES CERTIFIED TO ADULT COURT BY RACE AND AGE						
JUVENILLE	5 CERTIFIED	IO ADULI	COURT BY	RACE AND AGE		
	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER	TOTAL		
AGE 14	1	5	0	6		
AGE 15	8	22	2	32		
AGE 16	40	128	0	168		
AGE 17	13	3	0	16		
AGE 18	1	ì	Ō	2		
TOTAL	63	159	2	$2\overline{24}$		


Pre-hearing placements. At the time of a referral, a juvenile may be detained awaiting a determination. Two types of facilities are used for such placement; secure and non-secure. In 1992, 12,944 cases or 18 percent of all referrals were held prior to hearing. Although approximately the same proportion of males and females were held prior to hearing, males were more likely to be held in secure detention and females in non-secure. Those held in secure detention were most likely male law violators. Those held in non-secure facilities were most likely victims of abuse or neglect. A break down by category of referral and sex is provided in Table 4.

PRE-HI	EARING I		TABLE TS BY	. – .	AL TYPE AN	D SEX	
		SECURE		NON	N-SECURE		вотн
	MALE	FEMALE		MALE	FEMALE	MALE FE	EMALE
ABUSE, NEGLECT, CUSTO	DDY 32	15		2,399	2,719	9	7
ADMINISTRATIVE	116	56		29	13	3	1
LAW VIOLATION	4,721	737		356	106	104	23
STATUS OFFENSES	515	393		239	293	22	36
TOTAL	5,384	1,201		3,023	3,131	138	67

There are several types of facilities in which non-secure detainees may be held. The largest group (67%) was held in foster homes while awaiting a hearing or determination of outcome. Detention with a relative was added as a new category on the 1992 form. This category accounted for 13 percent of non-secure detentions. Graph 10 shows how many juveniles were held in each type of facility.




Graph 11 shows who coerated the facilities. DFS was the operator of the majority of facilities, followed by relatives and the juvenile courts.



^{*} Other category consists of city or county government, DMH or other.

LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS

Law violation referrals comprised over 60 percent of all referrals to the juvenile court system. The ratio of males to females in this category was heavily skewed toward males, who accounted for nearly 80 percent of all the law violation referrals. Law violation referrals represent a single delinquent act even though several offenses may have been committed at the same time by the child referred. The most serious violation which resulted in a determination is recorded as the reason for referral.



Law violation outcomes.

Graph 12 presents the outcomes of all law referrals. Only 14 percent were found true formally. By comparison, 17 percent were rejected and another four percent were

either dismissed or found not true. The two categories of informal adjustment, with or without supervision, accounted for nearly one-half (47%) of the law violation outcomes.

Age distribution of law offenders. More than half of law offenders (53 %) were 15 years old or more. The very youngest offenders, those in the 0 to 8 year old age group, were most likely referred for property damage or stealing. Property damage, stealing and assault were the most common referral offenses for the 9 to 14 year old age group. (Table 6)


TABLE 6 LAW VIOLATION ALLEGATIONS BY AGE					
VIOLATION		A	GE GROUP		
	0 TO 8	9 TO 14	15 & OVER	TOTAL	
ARSON	34	255	139	428	
ASSAULT	150	3,635	3,231	7,016	
NEG. BOAT OPERATION	1 0	9	10	19	
BURGLARY	44	1,233	1,204	2,481	
CONSERVATION	2	26	70	98	
DISTURBING PEACE	49	890	740	1,679	
DRUGS	1	289	735	1,025	
FAMILY OFFENSES	, 1	10	17	28	
FORGERY	0	43	129	172	
FLIGHT/ESCAPE	0	33	120	153	
FRAUD .	0	28	84	112	
GAMBLING	0	3	14	17	
HOMICIDE	0	18	31	49	
HEALTH/SAFETY	2	55	48	105	
KIDNAPPING	0	14	15	29	
LIQUOR	2	284	1,306	1,592	
MUNICIPAL	26	2,059	4,296	6,381	
OBSTRUC. JUDIC. PRO	CESS 2	75	153	230	
OBSTRUC. POLICE	6	166	213	385	
OBSCENITY	1	29	8	38	
PUBLIC ORDER	0	2	6	8	
STATE PARK	0	0	2	2	
PROPERTY DAMAGE	307	3,363	2,710	6,380	
PROSTITUTION	. 0	7	13	20	
ROBBERY	3	199	318	520	
STEALING	163	5,297	4,866	10,326	
STOLEN PROPERTY	3	178	292	473	
SEXUAL OFFENSES	42	370	233	645	
SEXUAL ASSAULT	14	206	229	449	
TAMPERING	15	1,011	1,540	2,566	
TRAFFIC OFFENSES	3	337	435	775	
WEAPONS	10	542	698	1,250	
TOTAL	880	20,666	23,905	45,451	

Prior violations. The numbers of alleged prior violations vary greatly depending on the specific allegation for the current referral. Juveniles referred for flight/escape, gambling and obstructing judicial process were likely to have a higher number of prior alleged violations. Table 7 shows the average number of prior law allegations for those violations where the youth was likely to have been referred previously.

TAB AVERAGE PRIOR I FOR CERTAIN OFF		
	UMBER CASES 2,481 1,025 172 153 17 49 105 29 230 385 520 473 2,566 1,250	LAW ALLEGATIONS 2.20 2.84 2.27 6.35 4.88 3.33 2.02 2.97 4.85 2.35 3.66


STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS

Status offense referrals represent 19 percent of all referrals to the juvenile system. These are referrals for offenses which would not be considered a law violation if committed by an adult.



The largest referral category in 1992 was absent from home (34%), followed by truancy, which accounted for another 25 percent. Graph 13 depicts the referrals by offense category for males and females. Male juveniles were a larger proportion than female juveniles in each offense category except habitually absent from home.

Status offense outcomes. Most status offense referrals were handled informally. The outcomes of adjustment without supervision and adjustment with no



action accounted for almost onehalf (48.7%) of all status offense referrals. Only 15 percent of status offense referrals were petitioned to court. Those that were handled formally usually received an outcome of in-home services provided by juvenile court personnel. (Graph 14)

TABLE 8 OUT OF HOME PLACEMENTS STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS

COURT RESIDENTIAL CARE	223
DIVISION OF FAMILY SERVICES	221
DIVISION OF CHILDREN AND	
YOUTH SERVICES	164
PRIVATE AGENCY	93
RELATIVE	54
DEPT. OF MENTAL HEALTH	9
OTHER PUBLIC AGENCY	3
OTHER	17
TOTAL	784

Nearly 800 juveniles referred for status offenses were placed out of home. Most were either transferred to Division of Family Services (28.2%) or were directly served in Court Residential Care (28.4%) by the individual circuit. (Table 8)

TABLE 9 PRE-HEARING PLACEMENTS STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS

	SECURE	NON-SECURE
TRUANT	41	28
BEYOND CONTROL	105	133
ABSENT FROM HOME	596	225
INJURIOUS BEHAVIOR	95	118
OTHER	129	28
OTHER	129	28

The rate of prehearing placement is quite low for status offense referrals. (Table 9) Only 11 percent were held in prehearing placement. Of those who were held, 966 were held in secure detention. Runaways

were the status offenders most likely to have been held in pre-hearing placement, and most likely to have been held in secure detention.

ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS

The abuse, neglect and custody category encompasses a wide range of referrals. Children are referred as victims of abuse or neglect or when involved in custody disputes, transfers or terminations of parental rights. Over one-half (53.6%) of all referrals in this category were for the various types of neglect. The remaining referrals were about evenly divided between abuse cases and custody cases. A break down of all the allegations is found in Table 10. More children were referred as victims of improper care or supervision than any other allegation.

		TABLE 10)		
		REFERRALS BY CA	TEGORY		
ABUSE		NEGLECT		CUSTODY	
PHYSICAL	1700	IMPROPER CARE	5330	PROTECTIVE	1101
SEXUAL	1430	EDUCATION	597	TERMINATION	795
INCEST	180	MEDICAL/SURG	207	TRANSFER	500
EMOTIONAL	128	ABANDONMENT	247	RELIEF	169
		OTHER	1118	ABDUCTION	21

GRAPH 15 OUTCOMES OF ABUSE. NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS TRANS. COUNTY TRUE NO SERVICES NOT TRUE DISMISS W/ SUPERVISION NO ACTION TRUE IN/HOME W/O SUPERVISION REJECTED TRUE OUT/HOME TRANS. AGENCY 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000

Abuse, Neglect and Custody outcomes.

Abuse, Neglect and Custody referrals were the most likely to be handled formally by the court. Petition was made to court in 42 percent of referrals. Another 21 percent were transferred directly to DFS or another

agency without petition. By contrast, less than 20 percent of law referrals and only 15 percent of status referrals were handled formally. Graph 15 shows the distribution of outcomes for this category.

Of the 2,786 cases with an out-of-home placement outcome, 74 percent were placed to DFS. Of those with an outcome of transfer to other agency, 97 percent were transferred to DFS. This amounts to a total of 4,800 cases, or 35 percent of all referrals in this category being referred to DFS at some point.

Age distribution of A/N/C referrals. The types of referrals vary greatly by age. (Table 11) Younger children were more likely to be referred in neglect and custody cases. The youngest age group (0 to 3 years of age) accounted for over one-third (36%) of neglect referrals. The teenage group (12-15 years of age) was the largest group of alleged abuse victims referred, representing 28 percent of that category.

AGE GR	TABLE OUP OF RE	E 11 EFERRAL VICI	'IM
	ABUSE	NEGLECT	CUSTODY
0 TO 3 YEARS	649	2,713	988
4 TO 7 YEARS	849	1,775	528
8 TO 11 YEARS	791	1,567	428
12 TO 15 YEARS	955	1,193	432
16 AND OVER	194	251	210
TOTAL	3,438	7,499	2,586

Appendices

Appendix A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		-		·			Dis-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		********
		True / Out of	True / In	True /			miss for	Adiust	Adiust		Trans-	Trans- fer		
		Home	Home	No No			Certi-	W/Out	W/	Adjust	fer	Other		
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser-	Not	Dis-	fica-	Super	Super-	No	Other	Agen-	Re-	
		vices	vices	vices	True	miss	tion	-vision	vision	Action	Court	су	jected	Total
Circuit #1	Clark	5	5	1	0	0	0		21 10	1	0	34	0	171
	Schuyler Scotland	8	. 3 7	1	.0	. 0	. 0		17	0	:0 0	20 21	0	52 77
	Subtotal	17	15	ż	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ			1	ŏ	75	ŏ	300
Circuit #2	Adair	27	82	0	32	0	1	136	42	15	5	37	6	383
	Knox	2	13	0	3	0	0	3	1	0	4	13	2	41
1	Lewis	7	22 117	1	6	3	2	8 147	. 8 51	10 25	9	19 69	1	87 511
0::	Subtotal	36 10	12		41 0	1	0	24	13	11	4	13	9 11	99
Circuit #3	Grundy Harrison	6	4	1	ŏ	i	0	2	11	5	9	13	4	44
	Mercer	. 4	3	Ö	1	ó	1	1	1	. 1	ŏ	O	Ö	12
	Putnam	3	12	0	0	0	0			9	2	1	6	44
	Subtotal	23	31			2		30	33	26	15	15	21	199
Circuit #4	Atchison	1 5	5 7	0	0	0 2	0	6 63	11 37	1 4	0	0 1	8	32 131
	Gentry Holt	2	4	0	1	0	0	. 38	30	4	1	. 6	24	104
	Nodaway	14	24	ŏ	· i	. 1	Ö	86	47	20	5	ŏ	29	226
	Worth	4	1	0	0	0	0	23	19	2	. 0	0	. 1	50
	Subtotal	26	41	. 0	1	3	0	216	144	31	9		71	543
Circuit #5	Andrew Buchanan	5 141	18 127	0	0	1 8	0	49 650	28 279	0	1 22	15 140	14 48	131 1415
	Subtotal	146	145	0	. 0	9	ő	699	307	. 0	23	155	62	1546
Circuit #6	Platte	32	33	: 0	0	6	0	113	133	50	22	12	41	442
Circuit #7	Clay	119	77	13	3	14	5	451	216	213	134	80	6	1331
Circuit #8	Carroll	5	6	0	0	0	0	84	16	2	3	5	5	126
	Ray	25	25	0	0	4	. 0	159	87	27	30	16	29	402
Circuit #9	Subtotal Chariton	30	31 10	0	0	4	0	243 4	103	29 0	33 0	21	34 4	528 37
Circuit #9	Linn	. 6	3	, 0	0	2	0	45	30	45	. 9	ó	2	142
	Sullivan	2	12	1	ő	ō	ŏ	7	3	3	ŏ	1	ī	30
	Subtotal		25	1	. 0	3	0	56	44	48	9	8	7	_209
Circuit #10	Marion	59	51	4	1	2	1	89	168	84	33	35	98	625
	Monroe	5	7	0	0	0	. 2	6	49	7	. 5	5	21	107
	Ralls Subtotal	4 68	- 59	. 0	0	0	1 4	7 102	17 234	15 106	2 40	11 51	9 128	67 799
Circuit #11	St Charles	208	287	3		44	14	490	321	475	243	18	510	2615
Circuit #12	Audrain	18	16	0	0	0	Ö	77	82	50	9	77	15	344
	Montgomery	28	3	Ō	Ō.	3	Ö:	5	37	13	4	4	7	104
	Warren	27	13	0	0	4	0	154	46	20	42	9	5	320
0::	Subtotal	73	32	0	0	7	0	236	165	83	55	90	27	768
Circuit #13	Boone Callaway	158 47	216 19	0	2	58 6	4	839 63	506 81	0	113 19	360 48	329 71	2585 356
	Subtotal	205	235	ŏ	3	64	5	902	587	ŏ	132	408	400	2941
Circuit #14	Howard	10	25	ō	1	8	ō	30	5	 7	6	4	1	97
	Randolph	44	53	4	0	9	2	107	56	80	17	10	22	404
	Subtotal	54	78	4	1	17	2	137	61	87	23	14	23	501
Circuit #15	Lafayette Saline	40 31	21 20	0	0	0	0	135 245	37 19	0	50 9	25 25	4 31	312 395
	Sailne Subtotal	71	41	. 0	0	. 3	. O	380	19 56	12 12	59	50 50	35	707
Circuit #16	Jackson	847	995	209	1304	110	53	900	827	725	0	2081	934	8985
Circuit #17	Cass	78	37	0	7	19	0	352	385	201	. 8	4	13	1104
•	Johnson	24	22	2	3	0	0	45	115	96	1	3	8	319
	Subtotal	102	59	2	10	19	0	397	500	297	9	7	. 21	1423

Appendix A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		True /	True /				Dis- miss					Trans-		
		Out of	. in	True /			for	Adjust			Trans-	fer		
		Home	Home	No		ъ.	Certi-	W/Out	W/	Adjust	fer	Other	_	
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser-	Not	Dis-	fica-	Super	Super-	No	Other	Agen-	, Re-	
		vices	vices	vices	True	miss 3	tion	-vision	vision	Action	Court	cy	jected	Total
Circuit #18	Cooper	10 18	7	0	0	3.	0	57 159	76	70	15	18	20	276
	Pettis Subtotal	28	11 18	0	0	. 6	0	216	115 191	53 123	29 44	44 62	18	450
5: 1:110		10	202	2	1	2	5	114	151	140	65		38	726
Circuit #19	Cole	15	61	- 6	,	- 6	1	545	340	23	8	158	17	867
Circuit #20	Franklin	15	2	0	Ö	. 0	6	545 0	340	0	0	42	32	1067
	Gasconade	ó	1	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Osage Subtotal	16	64	Ö	ŏ	. 0	1	545	340	23	. 0	42	32	1071
Circuit #21	St Louis County	1059	1665	456	- 0	729	34	3913	2344	60	2291	27	3701	16279
Circuit #21	St Louis City	1118	725	750	210	598	96	2507	544	933	217	12	3570	10530
Circuit #23	Jefferson	151	167	0	210	126	1	622	149	413	40			1672
Circuit #23	Madison	15	11		0	8	- 	96	15	130	5	1 13	10	303
Officult #24	Madison St Francois	43	64	1	0	36	0	463	57	213	42	5	24	948
	Ste Genevieve	2	7	ò	ŏ	4	ő	145	19	20	10	2	1	210
	Washington	12	71	1	ŏ	1	ŏ	290	7	24	4	8	6	424
	Subtotal	72	153	2	ō	49	ŏ.	994	98	387	61	28	41	1885
Circuit #25	Maries	3	3	0	1	0	0	6	30	2	4	0	0	49
0,,00,,00	Phelps	37	21	Ö	Ò	Ĩ	Ō	115	140	23	32	25	6	400
	Pulaski	52	41	1	0	2	1	168	172	- 3	14	17	26	497
	Texas	17	12	0	2	0	. 0	21	65	45	17	69	17	265
	Subtotal	109	77	1	3	3_	1	310	407	73	67	111	49	1211
Circuit #26	Camden	10	21	0	. 0	0	0	84	. 97	1	27	27	26	293
	Laclede	13	41	0	0	0	1	84	103	0	11	14	28	295
	Miller	7	19	0	0	2	0	124	22	9	15	32	8	238
	Moniteau	7	1	0	0	1	0	34	. 7	4	10	7	- 1	72
	Morgan	4	2	1	0	0	0	42	15	0	6	3	_8	81
	Subtotal	41	84		0	3	1_	368	244	14	69	83	71	979
Circuit #27	Bates	9	14	0	0	6	0	95	101	7	8	25	6	271
	Henry	3	6	0	0	1	0	113	95	15	30	20	10	293
	St Clair	4 16	1	0	0	1	0	17	13	9	7	17	1	70
Oirer it #00	Subtotal Barton		21 7		0	8		225	209	31	45	62	17	634
Circuit #28	Barton Cedar	4 12	11	2	0	1 8	0	56 36	11 16	2 3	3	1 3	5 0	92 95
	Dade	2	5	. 0	0	4	0	11	18	5	2	2	1	50
	Vernon	13	17	5	ŏ	12	1	120	44	9	12	19	3	255
	Subtotal	31	40	10	ő	25	i	223	89	19	20	25	9	492
Circuit #29	Jasper	56	83	0	0	0	- 2	311	58	9	0	0	2	521
Circuit #30	Benton	4	0	0	1	0	0	28	6	15	0	5	0	59
	Dallas	6	1	ŏ	Ö	ŏ	1	41	15	5	1	6	- 18	94
	Hickory	Õ	Ö	Ö	Ö	ŏ	Ó	11	7	1	Ó	Ö	Ö	19
	Polk	2	ō	Ō	1	ĺ	Ō	40	13	7	Ĩ	15	2	82
	Webster	4	1	Ō	Ö	4	Ö	91	34	7	17	15	32	205
	Subtotal	16	2	0	2	5	. 1	211	75	35	19	41	52	459
Circuit #31	Greene	278	68	0	5	16	0	739	831	96	42	106	130	2311
Circuit #32	Bollinger	0	4	0	0	0	0.	- 8	13	0	1	1	4	31
	Cape Girardeau	29	50	0	0	19	3	348	208	261	90	76	50	1134
	Perry	17	11	0	0	9	0	55	18	23	4	11	0	148
	Subtotal	46	65	. 0	0	28	3	411	239	284	95	88	54	1313

Appendix A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

						***************************************	Dis-						ندار بويست بسيسيان	البيبية للكامنية
		True /	True /				miss					Trans-		
		Out of	In	True /			for	Adiust	Adjust		Trans-	fer		
		Home	Home	No			Certi-	W/Out	Ŵ/	Adiust	fer	Other		
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser-	Not	Dis-	fica-	Super	Super-	Νο	Other	Agen-	Re-	
	:	vices	vices	vices	True	miss	tion	-vision	vision	Action	Court	су	jected	Total
Circuit #33	Mississippi	59	83	6	1	9	1	115	28	276	6	10	7	601
	Scott Subtotal	18 77	16 99	1 7	1 2	4 . 13	·5	112 227	19 47	59 335	22 28	12	58	327
0::-	New Madrid	18	13		- 6	13	0	76	60	9	15	22 6	65	928
Circuit #34	Pemiscot	33	12	Ö	ő	. 0	- 6	246	101	9	8	27	4 24	201 458
	Subtotal	51	25	ŏ	ő	0	6	322	161	10	23	33	28	659
Circuit #35	Dunklin	31	43	2	Ö	1	0	8	32	314	28	18	63	540
Ollocat moo	Stoddard	8	9	ō	ŏ	Ó	1	40	35	26	3	21	2	145
	Subtotal	39	52	2	0	1	1	48	67	340	31	39	65	685
Circuit #36	Butler	22	11	0	1	0	4	321	27	101	16	3	0	506
	Ripley	. 9	5	1	. 0	0	0	23	- 34	4	0	11	1	88
	Subtotal	31	16	1	1	0	4	344	61	105	16	14	1_	594
Circuit #37	Carter	2	5	0	0	0	. 0	8	9	4	1	1	0	30
	Howell	41	23	0	୍ତ	1	0	130	111	79	25	38	0	448
	Oregon	10	5	0	0	0	0	31	19	17	10	7	0	99
	Shannon Subtotal	15 68	. 1 34	0	0	0	. 0	10 179	9 148	12 112	4 40	0 46	: 0	51
Circuit #38	Christian	34	29	0	2	34	1	97	91	48	13	2	17	628 368
Circuit #36	Tanev	36	29	Ö	3	11	. 1	108	41	27	31	13	18	309
	Subtotal	70	49	ő	5	45	2	205	132	75	44	15	35	677
Circuit #39	Barry	13	17	0	1	2	0	114	90	45	4	2	2	290
On Continos	Lawrence	14	27	ő	o.	1	1	199	105	63	5	9	3	427
	Stone	12	13	ō	2	ò	i	54	32	18	16	Ö	Ŏ	148
	Subtotal	39	57	. 0	3	3	2	367	227	126	25	11	5	865
Circuit #40	McDonald	25	20	2	. 1	- 3	2	61	22	5	14	11	0	166
	Newton	43	26	13	1	5	0	135	64	21	28	14	9	359
	Subtotal	68	46	15	2	8	2	196	86	26	42	25	9	525
Circuit #41	Macon	20	63	0	0	0	0	125	270	6	11	52	93	640
	Shelby	4	3	0	0	0	0	21	62	0	9	15	49	163
0:	Subtotal Crawford	24	66	0	0	0	0	146	332	6	20	67	142	803
Circuit #42	Dent	22 6	8 1	1	. 0	0	0	2	210	65 25	3	0	0	311
	Iron	9	3	ó	0	4	0	34 27	47 28	25	6 5	3 11	6 5	129 92
	Reynolds	. 0	1	Ŏ.	1	. 0	0	17	17	6	16	14	9	92 81
	Wayne	3	6	ŏ	i	ŏ	ŏ	26	22	1	7	14	ŏ	80
	Subtotal	40	19	2	ż	4	ŏ	106	324	97	37	42	20	693
Circuit #43	Caldwell	2	10	0	0	1	1	35	28	4	2	4	1	88
	Clinton	18	28	ō	ž	i	i	35	66	1	12	ż	ò	167
	Daviess	. 3	5	0	0	0	1	15	37	2	1	0	0	64
	De Kalb	8	.13	0	0	0,	- 1	10	16	1	1	0	0	50
	Livingston	12	4	0	. 0	1	3	61	21	11	5	2	1	121
	Subtotal	43	60	0	3	3	7	156	168	19	21	8	2	490
Circuit #44	Douglas	17	-11	0	0	. 0	0	0	15	16	2	0	1	62
	Ozark	8	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	. 3	0	0	28
	Wright	25 50	14 31	5 6	. 0	5 5	0	1	16	39	1	. 2	0	108
Circuit #45	Subtotal	29	38	0	0	4	0	100	33	63	6	2	1	198
Circuit #45	Lincoln Pike	17	38 21	0	2	1	0	128 29	15 27	37 15	5	3	25 3	286 120
	CINE	17												
	Subtotal	46	59	0	4	5	1	157	42	52	6	6	- 28	406

Appendix B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		True /	True /				Dis- miss	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		T		Trans-		
		Out of Home Ser- vices	In Home Ser- vices	True / No Ser- vices	Not True	Dis- miss	for Certi- fica- tion	Adjust W/Out Super vision	Adjust W/ Super vision	Adjust No Action	Trans- fer Other Court	fer Other Agen- cy	Re- jected	Total
Circuit #1	Clark	1	2	0	0	0	0	39	8	0	0	. 0	0	50
	Schuyler	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	3 12	0	0	0	0	11
	Scotland Subtotal	1	4	. i	. 0	- 0	Ö	52	23	, 0	. 0	0	0	20 81
Circuit #2	Adair	5	59	Ö	26	0	1	103	20	10	5	1	5	235
OHOUR WE	Knox	Ō	7	0	1	0	. 0	2	1	0	Ō	Ó	2	13
	Lewis	1	20	0	. 1	2	2	3	3	3	0	3	0	38
	Subtotal	6	86	0	28	<u>2</u> 1	<u>3</u>	108	24 11	13 7	5	4	7_	286
Circuit #3	Grundy Harrison	3 0	. 12 4	0	0	0	0	2	11	4	3 5	2	7 2	62 29
	Mercer	Ö	1	ŏ	ŏ	ő	1	ō	1	ō	ő	ó	Õ	3
	Putnam	2	12	ŏ	ŏ	. 0	Ò	3	8	5	. ĭ	1	5	37
	Subtotal	5	29	0	0	1	1_	- 21	31	16	. 9	4	14	131
Circuit #4	Atchison	1	3	0	0	0	0	6	9	1	0	0	8	28
	Gentry	. 0	2	0	0	1 · 0	0	23 14	28 28	4	3	1	. 9	71
	Holt Nodawav	2 2	14	0	0	. 0	. 0	45	39	12	- 1 5	0	24 21	74 138
	Worth	. 0	7	Ö	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	9	.15	1	ŏ	ŏ	- 1	26
	Subtotal	5	22	Ō	1	1	Ö	97	119	19	9	1	63	337
Circuit #5	Andrew	0	13	0	0	1	0	29	27	0	1	1	13	85
	Buchanan	60	83	0	. 0	5	0	323	235	0	13	19	42	780
	Subtotal	60	96	. 0	0	6	. 0	352	262	0	14	20	55	865
Circuit #6	Platte	13	28	0	0	1	0	109	126	44	14	4	39	378
Circuit #7	Clay	55	40	0	3	2	5	421	185	132	129	28	3	1003
Circuit #8	Carroll Ray	1 23	6 22	0	0	0	0	66 134	14 51	2 19	3 28	3 8	5 22	100 307
	Subtotal	24	28	0	ŏ	Ö	Ö	200	65	21	31	- 11	27	407
Circuit #9	Chariton	0	10	0	0	0	0	4	10	0	0	1	4	29
	Linn	. 0	3	0	0	- 0	0	34	29	12	4	0	2	84
	Sullivan	0	10	1	0	0	. 0	6	2	. 1	0	0	1	21
	Subtotal	0	23		0	0	0	44	41	13	4	1	7	134
Circuit #10	Marion	29 2	39	2	0	0	1 2	80 5	147	64 3	25 3	22	83 18	492 80
	Monroe Ralls	2	1	0	0	. 0	1	6	16	14	1	0	7	48
	Subtotal	33	43	2	ŏ	ŏ	4	91	207	81	29	22	108	620
Circuit #11	St Charles	108	219	3	2	29	14	387	242	179	181	12	394	1770
Circuit #12	Audrain	9	10	0	0	Ô	0	64	57	41	8	9	7	205
	Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	. 5	29	11	1	0	7	53
	Warren	5	9	0	0	2	0	74	25	11	19	0	4	149
0: 1: #40	Subtotal	14	19	0	0	2	0	143	111	<u>63</u>	28 77	9	18	407
Circuit #13	Boone Callaway	51 15	131 6	0	1 0	26 1	4	264 30	367 71	0	18	51 8	65 11	1037 161
	Subtotal	66	137	0	1	27	5	294	438	ő	95	59	76	1198
Circuit #14	Howard	2	21	ő	 1	2	0	29	5	4	6	4	1	75
	Randolph	10	31	0	Ò	0	2	85	29	45	13	1	18	234
	Subtotal	12	52	0	1	2	2	114	34	49	19	5	19	309
Circuit #15	Lafayette	14.	16	0	0	0	0	88	33	0	40	6	3	200
	Saline	11	18	0	0	1	0	177	15	8 8	6	6	15 18	257 457
Circuit #16	Subtotal Jackson	25 315	34 479	33	626	53	53	265 758	48 411	384	46 0	12 271	704	4087
Circuit #17	Cass	15	9	0	1	2	0	197	209	120	3	2/1	9	565
Oncor #17	Johnson	7	10	2	ò	ő	ő	28	62	55	1	. 2	5	172
	Subtotal	22	19	2	. 1	2	Ö	225	271	175	4	2	14	737

Appendix B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

			فيبين فيناه التكاري			0	Dis-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		True /	True /				miss					Trans-		
		Out of	ln	True /			for	Adjust	Adjust		Trans-	fer		
		Home	Home	No			Certi-	W/Out	Ŵ/	Adjust	fer	Other		
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser-	Not	Dis-	fica-	Super	Super	No	Other	Agen-	Re-	
		vices	vices	vices	True	niss	tion	vision	vision	Action	Court	су	jected	Total
Circuit #18	Cooper	6	5	0	0	0	0	24	54	8	11	4	16	128
	Pettis Subtotal	13 19	7 12	0	0	0	0	87 111	98	18	21	8	17	269
Oires de #40	Cole	19 6	128	2	0	2	5	13	152 119	<u>26</u> 77	32 36	12	33	397
Circuit #19	Franklin	10	57	0	- 0	- 6	1	464	279	15	8	50 8	15 22	45
Circuit #20	Gasconade	0	2	0	0	0	ò	404	2/9	- 15	ő	0	22	86
	Osage	ŏ	1	ő	ő	ő	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ŏ	ő	0	0	
	Subtotal	10	60	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	1	464	279	15	8	8	22	86
Circuit #21	St Louis County	553	1030	250	0	508	34	3050	1575	43	2021	21	2680	1176
Circuit #22	St Louis City	318	552	0	29	354	96	1639	412	318	151	4	2967	684
Circuit #23	Jefferson	47	124	Ō	0	46	1	521	120	346	19	1	0	122
Circuit #24	Madison	9	8	0	Ö	2	0	44	10	37	4	10	10	13
	St Francois	19	52	ŏ	ŏ	15	0	237	31	64	38	4	20	48
	Ste Genevieve	2	4	0	0	0	0	113	6	15	6	Ö	1	14
	Washington	. 4	64	1	0	0	0	148	5	8	2	5	1	23
,	Subtotal	34	128	1	0	17	. 0	542	52	124	50	19	32	99
Circuit #25	Maries	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	3	0	0	1
	Phelps	4	17	0	0	0	0	75	88	9	25	7	3	22
	Pulaski	10	36 10	0	0	0	1 0	99 11	117	.0	4	1	16	28
	Texas Subtotal	1 16	66	0	0	0	1	188	40 252	17 26	11 43	6 14	4 23	100 621
Circuit #26	Camden	10	20	0	0	 	- 	62	78	- 40	24	14	24	209
Officult #20	Laclede	5	31	ŏ	0	0	1	45	89	ő	10	4	21	20
	Miller	2	16	ŏ	ŏ	1	ò	64	20	7	10	13	7	14
	Moniteau	- 2	1	ō	ō	1	Ö	22	-6	- 4	10	6	. 1	5
	Morgan	2	. 2	1	0	. 0	. 0	37	11	0	- 5	0	8	6
	Subtotal	11	70	1_	0	2	1	230	204	11	59	24	61	67
Circuit #27	Bates	4	8	0	. 0	0	0	61	82	6	3	1	3	16
	Henry	0	1	0	0	. 0	0	78	52	9	15	2	7	16
	St Clair	1	. 1	0	0	0	0	13	5	4	. 7	0	0	3
	Subtotal	5	10	0	. 0	0	0	152	139	19	25	3	10	36
Circuit #28	Barton	1	2	0	. 0	0	0	49	10	2	3	1	4	7
	Cedar	2	10	0	0	. 0	0	28	14 15	. 2	1	0	0	. 5
	Dade Vernon	8	1 5	0	0	0 3	0	82	31	5 6	. 1	0	- 1	3 14
	Subtotal	11	18	0	0	3	1	166	70	15	13	5	6	30
Circuit #29	Jasper	18	54	- 0	0	0	2	195	44	5	- 0	0	0	31
Circuit #30	Benton	- 10	0	0	0	- 0	0	13	5	10	0	0	0	2
1700	Dallas	. 1	1	Ö	Ö	ő	- 1	29	13	3	1	Ö	8	5
	Hickory	ò	Ö	ŏ	Ö	ŏ	ò	7	7	ŏ	Ö	ŏ	Ö	1
	Polk	ŏ	Ö	Ô	ō	0	Ŏ	25	4	5	ō	2	Ö	3
	Webster	0	1	Ō	0	0	0	78	33	4	15	0	19	15
	Subtotal	1	- 2	0	0_	0	1	152	62	22	16	2	27	28
Circuit #31	Greene	60	53	0	0	7	0	485	588	60	20	15	123	141
Circuit #32	Bollinger	0	3	. 0	0	0	0	5	13	0	1	0	4	2
	Cape Girardeau	14	29	0	0	· <u>7</u>	3	198	146	31	83	33	37	58
	Perry	9	10	0	0	7	0	27	16	14	1	3	0	8
	Subtotal	23	42	0	0	14	3	230	175	45	85	36	41	69

Appendix B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

				-		ند و سند.					المراجع المراج		-	
		True /	True /				Dis- miss					Trans-		
		Out of	In	True /			for	Adjust	Adjust		Trans-	fer		
		Home	Home	No			Certi-	W/Out	W/	Adjust	fer	Other		
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser-	Not	Dis-	fica-	Super	Super	No	Other	Agen-	Re-	
	1	vices	vices	vices	True	miss	tion	vision	vision	Action	Court	су	jected	Total
Circuit #33	Mississippi	10	42	0	0	6 4	1 5	78	20	133	2	5	3	300
	Scott Subtotal	6 16	12 54	. 0	0	10	6	90 168	13 33	45 178	21 23	6 11	42 45	244 544
Circuit #34	New Madrid	4	13	0	0	0	0	60	52	4	8	2	3	146
Oncole iro-r	Pemiscot	9	10	0	. 0	0	6	215	67	1	. 7	16	24	355
	Subtotal	13	23	0	0	0	6	275	119	5	15	18	27	501
Circuit #35	Dunklin	7	33	0	0	0	0	7	28	249	22	18	51	415
	Stoddard Subtotal	3 10	8 41	0	0	0	. 1	37 44	33 61	26 275	2 24	13 31	1 52	124 539
Circuit #36	Butler	4	10	0	0	- 0	4	282	6	<u> 275</u> 59	12	0	<u>52</u>	377
Oncolt #30	Ripley	2	5	1	ŏ	ŏ	ö	18	28	. 0	, <u> </u>	1	Ŏ.	55
	Subtotal	6	15	1	0	0	4	300	34	59	12	i		432
Circuit #37	Carter	0	5	0	0	0	0	6	9	4	0	0	0	24
	Howeli	. 6	20	0	0	0	0	75	86	50	15	6	0	258
	Oregon Shannon	0	4	0	0	0	0	19 10	14	12 11	5 0	0	0	54 30
	Subtotal	7	30	ŏ	ŏ	Ö	ő	110	116	77	20	6	0	366
Circuit #38	Christian	8	25	0	2	2	1	60	80	25	9	1	15	228
	Taney	16	9	0	2	1	1	62	19	16	11	- 1	13	145
	Subtotal	18	34	0	4	3	2	122	99	41	20	2	. 28	373
Circuit #39	Barry	4	16	0	1	2	0	76	56	12	1	1	1	170
	Lawrence Stone	1 4	23 13	0	0	0	1	145	79	29 7	1	3	3	285
	Subtotal	9	52	0	2	. 2	2	40 261	16 151	48	11 13	0	· 0	93 548
Circuit #40	McDonald		5	0	0	<u> </u>	2	46	14	3	2		0	80
	Newton	12	9	Ō	1	Ö	ō	110	46	17	17	4	8	224
	Subtotal	14	14	0	1_	0	2	156	60	20	19	10	8	304
Circuit #41	Macon	3.	31	0	0	0	0	69	107	4	10	14	28	266
	Shelby Subtotal	2 5	0 31	0	0	0	. 0	8	21	0, 4	. 7	4	16	58
Circuit #42	Crawford	6	0	0	0	0	0	77 1	128 148	36	<u>17</u> 3	18 0	<u>44</u>	324 194
Circuit #42	Dent	4	1	ŏ	Ö	. 0	ŏ	21	40	19	5	3	4	97
	Iron	ó	2	ŏ	• 0	1	ŏ	23	22	. 0	3	ō	3	54
	Reynolds	0	1	0	. 0	. 0	0	7	. 9	- 1	10	1	- 5	34
	Wayne	1	6	0	1	0	- 0	23	12	1	- 4	0	0	48
Oissauit #40	Subtotal Caldwell	11	10 9	0	1 0	1 0	<u>0</u> 1	75 9	231	57 2	25	4 0	12	427 24
Circuit #43	Clinton	5	17	0	. 0	. 0	. 1	26	1 52	1	1 9	1	0	114
	Daviess	0	5	0	0	0	1	9	5	2	1	Ó	0	23
	De Kalb	2	9	ŏ	. 0	ŏ	i	.7	9	ō	Ö	.0	ŏ	28
	Livingston	3	4	0	0	0	3	43	13	10	4	0	1	81
	Subtotal	11	44	- 0	2	0	7	94	80	15	15	1	1	270
Circuit #44	Douglas	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	9	1	0	0	32
	Ozark Wright	3 3	6 12	1 0	0	· 0	0	0	2 14	3 16	3 1	0	0	18 51
	vvrigni Subtotal	8	28	1	0	4	0	1	26	28	5	.0	0	101
Circuit #45	Lincoln	14	26	Ö	2	1	0	90	12	18	5	2	22	192
	Pike	8	19	ŏ	2	i	1	23	26	10	ő	2	3	95
	Subtotal	22	45	0	4	2	1	113	38	28	5	4	25	287
Total		2046	4128	298	706	1104	264	13615	8057	3184	3408	789	7852	45451

Appendix C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		True / Out of Home Ser- vices	True / In Home Ser- vices	True / No Ser- vices	Not True	Dis- miss	Adjust W/Out Super vision	W/ Super vision	Adjust No Action	Trans- fer Other Court	Trans- fer Other Agen- cy	Re- jected	Total
Circuit #1	Clark Schuyler Scotland Subtotal	1 1 2 4	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	64 4 20 88	13 1 4 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	78 6 28 112
Circuit #2	Adair Knox Lewis Subtotal	3 0 1 4	11 0 2 13	0 0	0 0 2 2	0 0 0	19 0 5 24	16 0 4 20	3 0 7 10	0 4 0 4	1 0 3 4	0 0 0	53 4 24 81
Circuit #3	Grundy Harrison Mercer Putnam Subtotal	1 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	7 0 0 0 7	2 0 0 0 2	4 1 1 0 6	1 3 0 1 5	6 0 0 0	2 1 0 1 4	23 8 1 2 34
Circuit #4	Atchison Gentry Holt Nodaway Worth Subtotal	0 3 0 4 0 7	0 2 1 5 0 8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	0 36 16 35 11 98	2 9 2 8 4 25	0 0 2 7 0 9	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	2 51 21 59 15 148
Circuit #5	Andrew Buchanan Subtotal	3 37 40	4 22 26	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	9 307 316	1 40 41	0 0 0	0 7 7	0 37 37	0 2 2	17 452 469
Circuit #6	Platte	1	0	0	0	1	4	5	6	6	1	0	24
Circuit #7	Clay	11	2	0	0	1	25	28	26	2	6	2	103
Circuit #8	Carroll Ray Subtotal	0	0 2 2	0	0 0 0	0 1 1	17 19 36	2 8 10	0 2 2	0 2 2	1 2 3	0 1 1	20 37 57
Circuit #9	Chariton Linn Sullivan Subtotal	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2	0 10 1 11	1 1 1 3	0 29 2 31	0 5 0 5	2 0 1 3	0 0 0	4 46 7 57
Circuit #10	Marion Monroe Ralls Subtotal	9 1 0 10	12 0 0 12	2 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	9 1 1 11	20 5 1 26	18 4 1 23	7 2 1 10	4 3 0 7	13 2 2 2 17	94 18 6 118
Circuit #11	St Charles	74	49	0	0	6	102	79	295	57	6	110	778
Circuit #12	Audrain Montgomery Warren Subtotal	2 3 2 7	5 1 1 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	13 0 22 35	23 8 7 38	5 2 9 16	1 2 17 20	2 0 0 2	0 0 1 1	51 17 59 127
Circuit #13	Boone Callaway Subtotal	16 5 21	41 4 45	0	0 0 0	12 0 12	403 30 433	130 10 140	0 0 0	35 0 35	91 15 106	19 0 19	747 64 811
Circuit #14	Howard Randolph Subtotal	3 8 11	4 12 16	0 0 0	0 0 0	4 2 6	1 20 21	0 26 26	2 22 24	0 1 1	0 3 3	0 2 2	14 96 110
Circuit #15	Lafayette Saline Subtotal	7 1 8	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	44 34 78	4 3 7	0 1 1	10 3 13	5 13 18	1 1 2	73 56 129
Circuit #16 Circuit #17	Jackson Cass Johnson Subtotal	257 28 3 31	187 12 1 13	35 0 0	228 0 0 0	18 2 0 2	69 87 9 96	416 122 37 159	308 46 27 73	0 4 0 4	161 1 0	43 2 0 2	1722 304 77 381

Appendix C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		True /	True /		ه د پروښاند د	والمستديد والمرواطة	-		لاديبي منابليك المداد		Trans-		
		Out of	In	True /			Adjust	Adjust		Trans-	fer		
		Home	Home	No			W/Out	W/	Adjust	fer	Other		
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser-	Not	Dis-	Super	Super	No	Other	Agen-	Re-	
		vices	vices	vices	True	miss	vision	vision	Action	Court	cy	jected	Total
Circuit #18	Cooper	4	2	0	0	3	29	22	61	3	5	3	132
	Pettis	0	1	- 0	0	0	71	16	35	8	16	0	147
:	Subtotal	4	3	0	0	3	100	38	96	11	21	3	279
Circuit #19	Cole	3	53	0	0	0	101	27	52	24	65	0	325
Circuit #20	Franklin	0	4	0	0	0	72	43	8	0	0	7	134
	Gasconade	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Osage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
	Subtotal	0	4	0	0	0	72	43	8	0	0	7	134
Circuit #21	St Louis County	89	114	30	0	21	840	450	16	231	6	457	2254
Circuit #22	St Louis City	62	118	0	1	55	304	29	224	34	8	430	1265
Circuit #23	Jefferson	6	13	0	0	20	101	29	67	12	0	0	248
Circuit #24	Madison	0	0	0	0	0	50	4	23	1	3	0	81
	St Francois	13	3	0	0	6	215	22	49	3	- 1	4	316
	Ste Genevieve	0	0	0	0	0	28	5	2	4	0	0	39
	Washington	0	4	0	. 0	0	107	2	, 6	0	0	1	120
	Subtotal	13	7	0	0	6	400	33	80	8	4	5	556
Circuit #25	Maries	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	0	0	0	0	14
	Pheips	4	0	0	. 0	0	28	33 53	- 6	5	6 4	1	83
	Pulaski	3	•	_	0	. 0	· 66 8		3	5 5	•	2	134
	Texas Subtotal	8	0	0	0	0	105	21 117	25 34	15	27 37	6	92 323
Circuit #26	Camden	3	1		0	- ö	22	8	1	13	5	1	42
Circuit #20	Laclede	2	7	. 0	ő	.0	34	5		1	7	- 6	62
	Miller	4	3	ŏ	. 0	1	58	ő	- 2	4	6	1	79
	Moniteau	4	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ.	ò	10	Ö	ō	ŏ	1	ò	15
	Morgan	1	ŏ	Ŏ	Õ	õ	5	ĭ	ō	1	i	Ō	9
	Subtotal	14	11	.0	0	1	129	14	3	7	20	-8	207
Circuit #27	Bates	3	6	0	0	3	31	16	- 1	4	10	2	76
	Henry	1	0	0	0	0	29	28	5	12	3	2	80
	St Clair	1	0.	- 0	0	0	3	- 7	5	0	7	1	24
	Subtotal	- 5	6	0	0	3	63	51	11	16	20	5	180
Circuit #28	Barton	2	3	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	13
	Cedar	4	1.	0	0	_ 1	8	2	1	2	3	0	22
	Dade	2	1	0	0	1	4	2	0	1	1	0	12
	Vernon	4	6	0	0	2	36	9	3	2	15	2	79
	Subtotal	12	. 11	1	0	4	54	13	4	5	19	3	126
Circuit #29	Jasper	10	4	0	0	0	94	11	1	0	0	0	120
Circuit #30	Benton	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	1	0	1	0	12
	Dallas	. 0	0	0	0	0	9	2	. 1	0	0	3	15
	Hickory Polk	. 0	0	0	. 1	0	1 8	. 1	1 0	1	3	. 1	2 15
	Webster	. 0	0	0	0	. 1	8	1	3	0	3	5	21
	Subtotal	. 0	-0	0	1	1	35	5	. S	1	7	9	65
Circuit #31	Greene	7	8	- 0	Ö	2	186	117	19	19	19	4	381
Circuit #32	Bollinger	ó	1	0	- 0	- 6	3	- 117	0	0	1	0	5
UIICUIL #32	Cape Girardeau	Ö	14	0	0	2	150	57	228	4	37	10	502
	Perry	ŏ	7	. 0	Ö	1	28	2	9	3.	8	0	51
	Subtotal	ŏ	15	Ö.	. 0	3	181	59	237	7	46	. 10	558

Appendix C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		True /	True /						-		Trans-		نام بدوسيانها
		Out of	In	True /			Adjust	Adjust		Trans-	fer		
		Home	Home	No			W/Out	W/	Adjust	fer	Other		
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser-	Not	Dis-	Super	Super	No	Other	Agen-	Re-	
		vices	vices	vices	True	miss	vision	vision	Action	Court	cy	jected	Tota!
Circuit #?3	Mississippi	1	17	0	0	0	34	8	88	0	0	3	151
	Scott	2	4	0	. 0	0	19	6	14	1	3	15	64
	Subtotal	3	21	0	0	0	53	14	102	1	3	18	215
Circuit #34	New Madrid	0	0	0	0	0	16	- 6	1	7	. 2	0	32
	Perniscot	4	0	0	0	0	30	34	0	1	10	. 0	79
	Subtotal	4	0_	0	0	0	46	40	1	8	12	0	. 111
Circuit #35	Dunklin	0	3	. 0	0	0	1	4	64	6	- 0	3	81
	Stoddard	. 0	1	0	. 0	0	3	2	0	0	5	1	12
	Subtotal	_ 0	4	0	0	0	4	6	64	6	5	4	93
Circuit #36	Butler	.0	1	0	0	0	25	0	28	2	0	0	56
	Ripley	1	0	0	. 0	0	. 5	6	4	0	0	0	16
	Subtotal	1	1	0	0	0	30	6	32	2	0	0	72
Circuit #37	Carter	1	0	0	0 .	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	. 4
	Howell	10	1	0	0	0	52	22	23	8	14	0	130
	Oregon	3	0	0	0	0	12	3	4	5	3	0	30
	Shannon	. 0	0	0	0.	0	0	2	. 1	3	0	0	6
	Subtotal	14	. 1	0	0	. 0	66	27	28	17	17	0	170
Circuit #38	Christian	3	2	0	0	5	28	9	20	2	0	0	69
	Taney	10	1	0	. 0	- 3	29	12	7	20	2	3	87
	Subtotal	13	3_	0	0	8	57	21	27	22	2	3	156
Circuit #39	Barry	2	1	0	0	0	31	34	2	2	0	0	72
	Lawrence	0	2	0	0	0	46	25	7	3	0	0	83
	Stone	2	0	0	0	0	13	16	4	5	Ó	0	40
	Subtotal	4	3	0	. 0	0	90	75	13	10	. 0	0	195
Circuit #40	McDonald	0	0	0	. 0	0	13	7	1	6	1	0	28
	Newton	. 0	0	0	0	0	22	14	. 4	5	4	1	50
	Subtotal	U	. 0	0	0	0	35	21	5	11	5	1	78
Circuit #41	Macon	5	30	0	0	0	55	125	2	1	11	3	232
	Shelby	0	3	0	0	0	13	20	0	0	5	1	42
	Subtotal	. 5	33	0	0	0	68	145	2	1	16	4	274
Circuit #42	Crawford	0.	0	0	0	0	- 1	54	22	0	0	0	77
	Dent	0	0	. 0	0	0	12	7	4	0	0	0	23
	Iron	. 0	1	0	. 0	0	4	6	0	2	0	0	13
	Reynolds	0	. 0	0	0	0	6	7	4	. 5	4	1	27
	Wayne	2	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	2	1	0	15
	Subtotal	2	1	. 0	- 0	0	26	81	30	9	5	1	155
Circuit #43	Caldwell	. 1	1	0	. 0	1	10	5	. 2	1	1	0	22
	Clinton	2	3	0	1	0	8	8	0	1	0	0	23
	Daviess	1	0	. 0	0	0	6	, 1	. 0	0	0	. 0	8
	De Kalb	. 0	0	0	. 0	. 0	3	4	. 0	1	0	0	8
	Livingston	1	0	0	0	1	16	7	1	1	1	0	28
	Subtotal	5	4	0	1	2	43	25	3	4	2	0	89
Circuit #44	Douglas	1	1	0	. 0	0	0	5	4	1	0	1	- 13
	Ozark	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	. 0	0	2
	Wright	2	1	-0	0	0	. 0	2	18	0	. 0	0	23
	Subtotal	- 4	2	0	0	0	0	7	23	1	0	1	38
Circuit #45	Lincoln	5	9	0	0	3	38	3	18	0	1	3	80
	Pike	2	0	0	0	0	6	1	5	0	1	0	15
1 '	Subtotal	7	9	0	0	3	44	- 4	23	0	2	3	95
Total		784	834	69	233	184	4781	2551	2041	653	706	1187	14023

Appendix D: Abuse/Neglect/Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		True / Out of Home Ser- vices	True / In Home Ser- vices	True / No Ser- vices	Not True	Dis- miss	Adjust W/Out Super vision	Adjust W/ Super vision	Adjust No Action	Trans- fer Other Court	Trans- fer Other Agen- cy	Re- jected	Total
Circuit #1	Clark Schuyler Scotland Subtotal	3 7 2 12	3 2 5 10	1 0 0 1	0 0	0 0	1 0 1 2	0 6 1 7	1 0 0	0 0 0	34 20 20 74	0	43 35 29 107
Circuit #2	Adair Knox Lewis Subtotal	19 2 5 26	12 6 0 18	0 0 1 1	6 2 3 11	0 0 1 1	14 1 0 15	6 0 0 6	2 0 0 2	0 0 0	35 13 13 61	1 0 1 2	95 24 24 143
Circuit #3	Grundy Harrison Mercer Putnam Subtotal	6 5 4 1 16	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4 4	0 1 0 0 1	5 0 0 0 5	2 1 0 0 3	14 7 7 5 33
Circuit #4	Atchison Gentry Holt Nodaway Worth	0 2 0 8 4	2 3 0 4 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 4 8 6 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 8 0	2 9 9 28 9
Circuit #5	Subtotal Andrew Buchanan Subtotal	14 2 35 37	10 1 13 14	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 3	21 11 13 24	0 2 2	0	0 2 2	14 82 96	8 1 4 5	57 29 154 183
Circuit #6	Platte	18	5	0	0	4	0	2	0	2	6	2	39
Circuit #7 Circuit #8	Clay Carroll Ray Subtotal	47 4 2 6	33 0 1	13 0 0 0	0 0	11 0 3 3	1 6 7	0 26 26	55 0 6 6	0 0 0	46 1 6 7	0 6 6	216 6 56 62
Circuit #9	Chariton Linn Sullivan Subtotal	0 6 0 6	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 4 0 4	0 0 0	4 0 0 4	0 0 0	4 12 1 17
Circuit #10	Marion Monroe Ralls Subtotal	20 2 2 24	0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	9 2 11 22	2 1 0 3	37 8 13 58
Circuit #11 Circuit #12	St Charles Audrain Montgomery Warren Subtotal	23 7 25 20 52	18 1 2 3 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	9 0 2 2 4	0 0 58 58	0 2 0 14 16	1 4 0 0 4	5 0 1 6 7	0 66 4 9 79	8 0 0 8	63 88 34 112 234
Circuit #13	Boone Callaway Subtotal	74 23 97	23 7 30	0 0 0	. 1 1 2	12 4 16	172 3 175	2 0 2	0 0	1 1 2	218 25 243	245 60 305	748 124 872
Circuit #14	Howard Randolph Subtotal	5 24 29	0 10 10	0 4 4	0 0 0	2 7 9	0 2 2	0 1 1	.1 13 14	0 3 3	0 6 6	0 2 2	8 72 80
Circuit #15	Lafayette Saline Subtotal	17 14 31	3 2 5	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 2	2 28 30	0 0 0	0 3 3	0 0 0	14 5 19	0 15 15	36 69 105
Circuit #16 Circuit #17	Jackson Cass Johnson Subtotal	275 35 14 49	329 16 11 27	141 0 0 0	450 6 3 9	39 15 0 15	73 68 7 75	53 16 69	33 35 13 48	0 1 0 1	1649 3 0 3	187 2 3 5	3176 234 67 301

Appendix D: Abuse/Neglect/Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		-					_	-					
		True /	True /	True /			Adjust	Adjust		Trans- fer	Trans- fer		
		Out of	ln .	No			W/Out	Adjust W/	Adiust	Other	Other		
		Home	Home		NI-A	Dis-			No			ο-	
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser- vices	Not True	miss	Super vision	Super vision	Action	Count	Agen-	Re-	T-4-1
Olemania didi O	000000	vices 0	vices 0	vices 0	0	0	4	VISION	ACION 1	<u>y</u> 0	<u>су</u> 9	jected	Total
Circuit #18	Cooper Pettis	3	1	. 0	. 0	3	1	1	0	0	19	1	. 15 29
	Subtotal	3	i	. 0	ŏ	3	5	. 1	1	ŏ	28	2	44
Circuit #19	Cole	0	6	0	1	ō	ō	r	10	5	37	2	66
Circuit #20	Franklin	5	0	0	0	0	9		0	0	34	3	58
0	Gasconade	1	Ö	ō	. 0	ō	Ö	Ó	Õ	ō	Ö	ō	1
	Osage	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Subtotal	6	0	0	0	0	9	7	0	0	34	3	59
Circuit #21	St Louis County	343	466	156	0	185	17	308	1	39	0	556	2071
Circuit #22	St Louis City	738	55	0	180	189	564	103	391	32	0	173	2425
Circuit #23	Jefferson	97	28	0	0	57	0	0	0	9	0	2	193
Circuit #24	Madison	6	3	0	0	4	. 1	0	70	0	0	0	34
	St Francois	11	7	1.	0	13	11	0	100	1	0	0	144
	Ste Genevieve	. 0	3	0	0	3	4	8	3	0	2	0	23
	Washington	8	3	0	0	1	34	0	10	2	3	4	65
	Subtotal	25	16		0	21	50	8	183	3	5	4	316
Circuit #25	Maries	1	0	0	1	0	0	11	2	1	0	0	16
	Phelps	28	2	0	0	1	11	18	8	2 5	12	2	84
	Pulaski	42	4	1	0	2	3	. 2	0	5	12 36	. 8	79
	Texas Subtotal	13 84	2 8	0	2	0 3	2 16	4 35	13	9	60	10 20	73 252
Circuit #26	Camden	7	- 0	0	0	- 0	0	9	- 0	2	21	1	40
Circuit #26	Laclede	3	ŏ	. 0	0	ŏ	. 0	. 0	. 0	õ	2		5
	Miller	1	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ĭ	13	ŏ	15
	Moniteau	1	ŏ	ŏ	. 0	Ö	ő	ō		o	Ō	ŏ	1
	Morgan	1	0	0	Ō	0	0	3	0	. 0	2	Û	6
	Subtotal	13	0	0	0_	0	0	12	0	3	38	1	67
Circuit #27	Bates	2	0	0	. 0	3	3	3	- 0	- 1	14	1	27
	Henry	2	5	0	0	1	- 6	15	1	3	15	1	49
	St Clair	2	0	0	0	1	1	.1	0	0	10	0	15
	Subtotal	6	5	0	0	5	10	19	1	4	39	2	91
Circuit #28	Barton	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	7
	Cedar Dade	6 0	0	3	0	7 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	16 8
	Vernon	1	. 6	5	. 0	7	. 2	4	0	2	0	. 0	8 27
	Subtotal	8	11	9	Ö	18	3	6	. 0	2	1	0	58
Circuit #29	Jasper	28	25	0	- 0	10	22	3	3	- 6	- 	2	83
Circuit #30	Benton	4	0	0	1	- 0	6	0	4	- ö	4	0	19
On Sun Will	Dallas	5	0	0	ò	0	3	ő	1	ő	6	7	22
	Hickory	ő	ŏ	Õ	ő	ő	3	ő	. 0	ŏ	ŏ	ó	3
	Polk	2	ŏ	ŏ	ő	. 1	7	8	2	ŏ	10	1	31
	Webster	4	ō	ŏ	Ö	3	5	ō	ō	2	12	8	34
	Subtotal	15	0	Ŏ	. 1	4	24	8	7	2	32	16	109
Circuit #31	Greene	206	7	0	5	. 6	68	126	16	2	72	3	511
Circuit #32	Bollinger	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cape Girardeau	14	6	ō	ō	10	ō	Ō	2	2	6	3	43
	Perry	8	1	Ō	0	. 1	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	10
	Subtotal	22	7	0	0	11	0	: 0	2	2	6	3	53

Appendix D: Abuse/Neglect/Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

		True /	True /							_	Trans-		
		Out of	. In	True /			Adjust	Adjust		Trans-	fer		
		Home	Home	No			W/Out	W/	Adjust	ter	Other		
		Ser-	Ser-	Ser-	Not	Dis-	Super	Super	No	Other	Agen-	Re-	
		vices	vices	vices	True	miss	vision	vision	Action	Court	су	jected	Tota
Circuit #33	Mississippi	47	24	6	. 1	2	2	0	55	4	5	1	14
	Scott Subtotal	10 57	0 24	1 7	1 2	0 2	3 5	0	0 55	0 4	3 8.	1 2	1 16
Circuit #34	New Madrid	14	- 27		- 6		0	2	4	0	<u>2</u>		2
Circuit #34	Pemiscot	20	2	0	. 0	. 0	1	ō	. 0	0	1		2
	Subtotal	34	2	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	i	ž	4	ŏ	3	1	4
Circuit #35	Dunklin	24	7	2	0	1	e	0	1	0	0	9	4
	Stoddard	5	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	1	. 3	0	
	Subtotal	29	. 7	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	9	5
Circuit #36	Butler	18	0	0	1	0	14	21	14	2	3	0	7
	Ripley	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 10	1	1
01	Subtotal	24	0	0	<u>1</u>	0	14	21 0	14 0	2	13		9
Circuit #37	Carter Howell	1 24	2	.0	. 0	1	. 3	- 1	6	. 0	1 18	0	5
	Oregon	6	ő	0	0	ó	0	0	1	0	4	0	1
	Shannon	. 14	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ŏ	ò	. 0	ō	ő	i
	Subtotal	45	2	ŏ	ő	1	3	1	7	2	23	ŏ	ė
Circuit #38	Christian	23	2	0	0	27	9	2	3	2	1	2	7
	Taney	. 16	10	0	1.	7	17	10	4	0	10	2	7
	Subtotal	39	12	. 0	1	34	26	12	7	2	11	- 4	14
Circuit #39	Barry	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	30	1	1	1	4
	Lawrence	. 13	2	0	0	1	7	1	27	1	6	0	5
	Stone	6 26	0 2	0	1	0	1 15	0	7 64	0 2	0 7	0	1
Circuit #40	Subtotal McDonald	23	15	2	<u>-</u> 1	<u>1</u> 3	2		1	6	4	<u>1</u> 0	12 5
Circuit #40	Newton	23 31	17	13	Ó	5	3	4	6	6	6	. 0	. 8
	Subtotal	54	32	15	1	8	5	5	1	12	10	0	14
Circuit #41	Macon	11	2	0	0	0	1	38	0	0	27	62	14
	Shelby	2	. 0	. 0	ō	ő	Ó	21	ō	2	6	32	Ė
·	Subtotal	13	2	0	0	0	1	59	0	_2	33	94	20
Circuit #42	Crawford	16	8	1	0	0	0	8	7	0	0	0	4
	Dent	2	. 0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	. 0	2	
	Iron	. 9	0	0	0	3	0	. 0	0	0	11	2	2
	Reynolds	0	0	0	1	0	. 4	1	1	1	9	3	2
	Wayne Subtotal	0 27	. 0 8	0	0	0	. 0 5	3 12	0 10	1	13	· 0	11
Circuit #43	Caldwell	0	- 0		0	0	16	22	0	0	33		
JII 640	Clinton	11	8	. 0	0	1	. 1	- 6	. 0	2	1	Ó	
	Daviess	2	. 0	Ö	- 0	ö	ó	31	ŏ	ō	ò	ő	
	De Kalb	6	4	ŏ	ŏ	ő	ŏ	3	1	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	1
	Livingston	8	0	. 0	0	0	2	- 1	0	0	1.	0	
	Subtotal	27	12	0	- 0	1	19	63	1	2	5	1	1;
Circuit #44	Douglas	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	
	Ozark	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	
	Wright	20	0	5	. 0	1	0	0	. 5	0	2	0	:
	Subtotal	38	0	5_	0.	1	0	0	12	0	2	0	
Circuit #45	Lincoln	10 7	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	Pike Subtotal	· / 17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	:
otal	Subidial	2786	1265	358	670	674	1372	952	985	171	2823	1467	1352

Appendix E Out-of-Home Placements by Circuit

	Co	ııd	Denar	tment	Divis	ion of		ion of en and			Priv	/ate		:			
	Court Residential Care		Department of Mental Health		Family Services		Youth				Residential		Public				
								Services		tive		Care		ncy	Oth		Tota
	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	<u>%</u>	Case
Circuit #1	. 0	0	0	0	16	94.12	1	5.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Circuit #2	1	2.78	0	0	28	77.78	5	13.89	. 2	5.56	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	3
Circuit #3 Circuit #4	0	0	0	7.69	19 17	82.61 65.38	1	4.35 0	2	8.70 19.23	1	4.35 3.85	0 1	0 3.85	0	Ö	2
	84	57.53	3	2.05	41	28.08	8	5.48	10	6.85	- 			3.85	<u>_</u> _	0	20
Circuit #5 Circuit #6	0	: 57.53 0	1	3.13	12	37.50	10	31.25	8	25.00	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	14
Circuit #6	5	4.20	5	4.20	31	26.05	51	42.86	7	5.88	13	10.92	3	2.52	1	3.13 3.36	32
Circuit #8	0	4.20	0	4.20	13	43.33	16	53.33	1	3.33	0	10.52	0	2.52	0	3.36	119 30
Circuit #9	- 6		- 0		7	87.50	0	0.50	+	12.50	- 0	0	- 0	0	- 0	0	- 3
Circuit #9	0	Ö	0	ŏ	25	36.76	39	57.35	4	5.88	ő	ő	ŏ	0	ő	0	68
Circuit #11	ŏ.	ŏ	8	3.85	23	11.06	89	42.79	Õ	0.00	82	39.42	1	.48	- 5	2.40	208
Circuit #12	ŏ	ő	. 0	0.00	34	46.58	10	13.70	14	19.18	6	8.22	1	1.37	8	10.96	73
Circuit #13	38	18.54	2	.98	101	49.27	43	20.98	13	6.34	7	3.41	1	.49	0	0	205
Circuit #14	٥	0.04	õ	.00	45	83.33	4	7.41	5	9.26	Ó	0.4.	ò	0	ŏ	ő	54
Circuit #15	ŏ	ŏ	4	5.63	37	52.11	18	25.35	10	14,08	1	1.41	ō	ŏ	1	1.41	7
Circuit #16	377	44.51	1	.12	301	35.54	117	13.81	4	.47	25	2.95	ō	Ö	22	2.60	847
Circuit #17	7	6.86	1	.98	65	63.73	9	8.82	20	19.61	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
Circuit #18	. 0	0	0	. 0	14	50.00	.13	46.43	0	0	0	. 0	1	3.57	0	Ó	28
Circuit #19	0	0	0	. 0	1	10.00	8	80.00	1	10.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Circuit #20	0	0	2	12.50	8	50.00	6	37.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Circuit #21	197	18.60	. 0	0	170	16.05	193	18.22	388	36.64	57	5.38	0	0	54	5.10	1059
Circuit #22	68	6.08	5	.45	525	46.96	263	23.52	135	12.08	122	10,91	0	0	0	0	1118
Circuit #23	1	.66	0	0	104	68.87	35	23.18	0	0	11	7.28	Ō	. 0	0	0	151
Circuit #24	1_	1.39	0	0	21	29.17	47	65.28	3	4.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	72
Circuit #25	0	0	1	.92	70	64.22	11	10.09	23	21.10	0	0	3	2.75	1	.92	109
Circuit #26	0	0	0	0	27	65.85	13	31.71	1	2.44	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
Circuit #27	1	6.25	0	. 0	10	62.50	5	31.25	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	. 0	16
Circuit #28	0	0	0	0	19	61.29	10	32.26	1	3.23	1_	3.23	0	0	0	0	31
Circuit #29	0	. 0	0	0	30	53.57	17	30.36	9	16.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
Circuit #30 Circuit #31	0	0	0	0	16 189	100.0 67.99	65	0 00	9	0	. 0	. 0	0.	0	0	- 0	16
Circuit #32	0	Ö	0	. 0	18	39.13	22	23.38 47.83	3	3.24 6.52	0 3	0 6.52	1	.36	14 0	5.04 0	278 48
Circuit #33	- 0	$-\ddot{\circ}$	0	- ö	28	36.36	18	23.38	31	40.26	0	0.32	- 0	0	- 0	- 0	77
Circuit #34	. 0	ŏ	0	ŏ	35	68.63	14	27.45	2	3.92	. 0	0	Ö	. 0	. 0	. 0	// 51
Circuit #35	1	2.56	0	. 0	9	23.08	6	15.38	20	51.28	ŏ	. 0	3	7.69	ŏ	. 0	39
Circuit #35	ó	2.50	Ö	ő	20	64.52	5	16.13	6	19.35	Ö	ŏ	0	7.09	ő	0	31
Circuit #37	. 0	. 0	0	- 0	61	89.71	2	2.94	5	7.35	- 6	- 0	- 0	- 6		0	68
Circuit #38	Ö	ŏ	ŏ	0	52	74.29	12	17.14	2	2.86	3	4.29	Ö	ő	1	1.43	70
Circuit #39	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	26	66.67	10	25.64	2	5.13	ŏ	. 7.23	ŏ	ŏ	i	2.56	39
Circuit #40	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	31	45.59	12	17.65	24	35.29	1	1.47	Ö	Ö	Ö	0	68
Circuit #41	0	0	O C	0	16	66.67	0	Ö	8	33.33	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
Circuit #42	. 0	Ŏ	ō	ō	17	42.50	1.0	25.00	5	12.50	7.	17.50	1	2.50	ŏ	ŏ	40
Circuit #43	ō	ō	ō	ō	29	67.44	8	18.60	. 3	6.98	2	4.65	1	2.33	Ö	ō	43
Circuit #44	ŏ	ō	ŏ	Ō	39	78.00	7	14.00	4	8.00	õ	0	ò	0	ō	Ö	50
Circuit #45	0	0	1	2.17	18	39.13	25	54.35	1	2.17	1.	2.17	0	Ō	0	. 0	46
Total	781	13.56	36	.63	2418	41.99	1258	21.85	792	13.75	344	5.97	17	.30	112	1.95	5758

Appendix F In-Home Services Providers by Circuit

	<u>^</u>		Donor	tmont	Divisi	on of	Divisio							C.	
1	Court Probation		Department of		Division of Family			Children and Youth		Private		Other Public			
	Supervision		Mental Health		Services		Services		Agency		Agency		Other		Total
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
Circuit #1	63	63.64	0	0	27	27.27	0	0	. 9	9.09	0	. 0	0	ő	99
Circuit #2	168	87.96	0	. 0	23	12.04	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	.0	- 0	191
Circuit #3	64	86.49	5	6.76	3	4.05	0	0	2	2.70	0	0	0	0	74
Circuit #4	185	88.52	3	1.44	16	7.66	0	0	3	1.44	2	.96	0	0	209
Circuit #5	453	91.70	0	0	12	2.43	Ō	0	0	. 0	29	5.87	0	. 0	494
Circuit #6	166	91.21	. 0	0	4	2,20	0	0	10	5.49	0	0	2	1.10	182
Circuit #7	293	93.91	0	. 0	19	6.09	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	312
Circuit #8	134	71.28	4	2.13	33	17.55	1	.53	14	7.45	2	1.06	0	0	188
Circuit #9	69	82.14	3	3.57	4	4.76	0	0	0	0	8	9.52	0	0	84
Circuit #10	293	97.34	1	.33	3	1.00	. 0	0	2	.66	2	.66	0		301
Circuit #11	608	96.05	3	.47	19	3.00	2	.32	0	0 40	1	.16	0	0	633
Circuit #12	197	79.44	3	1.21	32	12.90	3	1.21	<u>6</u>	2.42	5 0	2.02	2	.81	248
Circuit #13 Circuit #14	822	96.48	2	.23	27 20	3.17	1	.12	-	0	-	0	0	0	852
Circuit #14	139 97	71.28 97.00	2	1.03 0	20	10.26	0	0	1	.51 0	1	.51	32	16.41	195
Circuit #16	1822	83.01	ő	0	357	16.26	o .	. 0	16	.73	Ó	1.00	0	0	100
Circuit #17	561	86.84	1	.15	72	11.15	0	0	7	1.08	3	.46	2	.31	2195 646
Circuit #18	209	84.27	ó	.13	8	3.23	ő	ő	29	11.69	1	.40	1	.40	248
Circuit #19	353	99.44	. 0	ŏ	2	.56	ŏ	ő	0	0	Ó	.40	ò	40	355
Circuit #20	404	97.82	Ö	ő	4	.97	4	.97	1	.24	Õ	ŏ	Ô	. 0	413
Circuit #21	4009	71.54	0	0	505	9.01	0	0	Ö	0	- 0	0	1090	19.45	5604
Circuit #22	1269	99.14	ŏ	ŏ	0	0.0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ.	ŏ	ŏ	ő	1030	.86	1280
Circuit #23	316	89.77	ŏ	. 0	35	9.94	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	i	.28	352
Circuit #24	251	85.96	ŏ	ō	25	8.56	ŏ	Ō	8	2.74	1	.34	ż	2.40	292
Circuit #25	484	85.06	1	.18	51	8.96	2	.35	3	.53	6	1,05	22	3.87	569
Circuit #26	328	92.39	6	1.69	16	4.51	. 0	.0	Ö	.0	2	.56	3	.85	355
Circuit #27	230	73.95	Ō	0	45	14.47	Ō	Ō	14	4.50	6	1.93	16	5.14	311
Circuit #28	129	79.63	2	1.23	30	18.52	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	.62	162
Circuit #29	141	83.43	0	0	28	16.57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	169
Circuit #30	77	88.51	0	0	7	8.05	. 1	1.15	0	Ó	2	2.30	Ō	0	87
Circuit #31	899	83.01	4	.37	142	13.11	5	.46	23	2.12	9	.83	1	.09	1083
Circuit #32	304	88.37	11	3.20	17	4,94	0	0	7	2.03	3_	.87	2	.58	344
Circuit #33	146	80.22	0	0	30	16.48	0	0	2	1.10	0	0	4	2.20	182
Circuit #34	186	79.83	31	13.30	7	3.00	0	. 0	6	2.58	3	1.29	0	0	233
Circuit #35	119	87.50	0	0	5	3.68	4	2.94	4	2.94	4	2.94	0	0	136
Circuit #36	77	77.00		1.00	22	22.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Circuit #37	182	80.18	0	. 0	28	12.33	7	3.08	8	3.52	2	.88	0	0	227
Circuit #38	181	73.58	0	0	40	16.26	0	0	18	7.32	1	.41	6	2.44	246
Circuit #39	284	98.61	0	0	. 2	.69	0	0	.0	0	2	.69	, 0	0	288
Circuit #40	132	69.47	2	1.05	38	20.00	2	1.05	11	5.79	3_	1.58	2	1.05	190
Circuit #41	398	83.97	ō	0	65	13.71	0	0	0	0	11	2.32	0	0	474
Circuit #42	343	78.13	5	1.14	32	7.29	0	0	21	4.78	10	2.28	28	6.38	439
Circuit #43	228	69.51	2	.61	82	25.00	0	0	11	3.35	3	.91	. 2	.61	328
Circuit #44	64	98.46	0	0	1 5	1.54 4.72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65
Circuit #45	101	95.28					32	.15		1.00	0	<u>0</u>			106
Total	17978	83.07	92	.43	1945	8.99	32	.15	236	1.09	123	.57	1235	5.71	21641



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES **DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES**

MISSOURI STATEWIDE JUVENILE INFORMATION SYSTEM

	esidence 10. County allegation occurred							
2. Reporting circuit								
3. Juvenile's I.D. No.	 -							
4. Juvenile's sex (1) Male (2) Female	colo (A) American Indian (D) Octobril (O) Ot							
	panic (4) American Indian (5) Oriental (8) Other							
6. Date of birth Month Day Year								
	•							
7. Date of referral Month Day Year								
8a. Major allegation for this referral	(See instructions.) 8b. At the time of the activity which led to							
9. Total number of law violations associated with this referral	this referral was this youth intoxicated							
10. Total number of status offenses associated with this referral	or under the influence of drugs?							
11. Total number of <u>prior law violations</u> for this juvenile	(1) No (2) Yes, alcohol (3) Yes, drugs							
12. Total number of prior status offenses for this juvenile	(4) Yes, both (5) Unknown							
13. Total number of prior abuse/neglect reports for this juvenile								
14. Source of referral (Choose one.)								
02 School personnel 06 Public social agency	10 Parent 14 Municipal Police							
03 Dept. of Mental Health 07 Juvenile Court personnel	11 Other relative 15 Other law enforcement agency							
04 Div. of Family Services 08 Other Juvenile Court 05 Private social agency 09 Victim or self referral	12 Highway Patrol (specify)							
15a. Was there placement in a Secure Juvenile Detention	16a. Was there Non-Secure Prehearing Placement for this referral?							
Facility for this referral?	(1) Yes (2) No If No. proceed to 17.							
(1) Yes (2) No If No, proceed to 16a.	b. Was placement 24 hours or less?							
b. Was detention 24 hours or less?	b. Was placement 24 hours of less.							
5. Was determined 24 hours of less:	(1) Yes (2) No							
(1) Yes (2) No	c. If placement was more than 24 hours, number of days in							
c. If detention was more than 24 hours, number of days in	prehearing placement for this referral							
detention for this referral								
	d. Type of [(1) Foster home (2) Group foster home							
d. Was the juvenile placed in secure detention for violating	facility (3) Group home (4) Institution/facility							
a prior valid court order?	(5) Relative (6) Other (specify)							
(1) Yes (2) No Proceed to 16a.	lead L							
(1) 100 (2) NO 1100000 (0 100.	e. Operator of (1) DFS (2) DMH (3) Juvenile Court							
	facility (4) City/county government (5) Private agency							
	(6) Relative (7) Other (specify)							
17. Date of disposition for this referral								
Month Day	Year							
18. Choose from one of the codes listed below to describe the F	inding/Outcome for this referral							
(If code "01" is used, answer 19a. If either code "02" or "08" is used, answer 19b	with a "2" as one of three possible choices. If either code "10" or "11" is used, specify county or agency.)							
01 Allegation found true (with petition) and juvenile receives	07 Informal adjustment without supervision							
out-of-home placement (Designate placement below in question	9a.) 08 Informal adjustment with supervision							
02 Allegation found true (with petition) and juvenile receives	(Designate "2" as one possible choice in question 19b.)							
in-home services (Designate "2" as one possible choice in question 1								
03 Allegation found true (with petition) and juvenile receives								
no services	Court with county number (See instructions)							
04 Allegation found not true (with petition)								
05 Sustain motion to dismiss (with petition)	11 Transfer to other agency (Use agency codes 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 listed under question 19.)							
06 Sustain motion to dismiss for certification (with petition)	12 Referral rejected							
19a. Type of out-of-home placement received	19b. Type of in-home services received							
(Choose one listed below from codes 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9)	(Choose up to three listed below from codes 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9)							
1 Court Residential Care Services 4 Div. of Family 2 Probation/Supervision by court personnel 5 Div. of Youth 9								
2 Probation/Supervision by court personnel 5 Div. of Youth S 3 Department of Mental Health 6 Relative	Services 8 Public agency (specify) 9 Other (specify)							
	SEND COMPLETED FORMS TO: RESEARCH & EVALUATION, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, P.O.							
]	SOX 1527, JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102. IF YOU WANT A COPY OF THE INSTRUCTIONS OR HAVE NAV QUESTIONS, WRITE TO ADDRESS ABOVE OR CALL (314) 751-5668.							