10/29/75

NCJRS

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20531

Date

filme

2 14

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OFFICE OF HUMAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF YOUTH DEVELOPMENT



CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	2
Delinquency Cases Other Cases (Dependency and Neglect and	2
Special Proceedings)	5
SOURCES OF DATA	6
DEFINITIONS OF TERMS	7
SUMMARY TABLES	8
Delinquency Cases Number and sex. Method of handling. Rate. Percent change. Trend (chart). Trend: by rate. : by type of court. : by type of court. : by manner of handling. : by sex. Dependency and Neglect Cases Number and rate. Percent change. Trend: by rate.	8 9 9 10 11 12 12 13 13 14
APPENDIX TABLE	15

INTRODUCTION

The information in this publication is based on children's cases disposed of by juvenile courts. The data are affected by several factors. For example, the ages of children and the types of cases over which courts have jurisdiction are established by State law and often vary in different States and sometimes vary within the same State. This discrepancy affects the number of cases reported and, consequently, the comparability of the reports from the various courts.

The number of children's cases reported by different courts is influenced also by the organization and scope of other agencies in the community. For example, in some communities where social service agencies are well established and abundant, the police, schools and parents often refer cases for service to those agencies rather than to the juvenile court. The latter is used mostly when its judicial authority is needed. In other communities, the juvenile court is one of only a few agencies providing social services for children and in such communities, the juvenile court is utilized to a much greater extent. Furthermore, whether or not a child ever comes to the attention of the court is often decided by varying community and parental attitudes toward a child's behavior.

Because of these and other limitations (many of which are not measurable), juvenile court statistics, when taken by themselves, cannot measure the full extent of delinquency, dependency, or neglect; and they can be particularly misleading when used to compare one community with another. They do, however, indicate how frequently the juvenile court is utilized in dealing with such cases.

Commissioner

JUVENILE COURT STATISTICS - 1972

James A. Hart Office of Youth Development

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Delinquency Cases

Size of the problem (Table 1)

Over one million (1,112,500) juvenile delinquency cases, excluding traffic offenses, were estimated as being handled by all juvenile courts in the United States in 1972. The estimated number of children involved in these cases (959,000) was lower, however, since in some instances the same child was referred more than once during the year. These children represent 2.9 percent of all children aged 10 through 17 in the country.

Trend (Tables 5, 6, 7, 8 and chart)

In 1972, for the first time since 1961, delinquency cases decreased from the previous year. The overall decrease for the country was slight - only 1 percent - while at the same time the child population, aged 10 through 17, increased by less than 1 percent (0.5). This is a reversal of the recent trend where the delinquency cases not only increased each year but the increase generally exceeded that of the child population.

Both urban and rural courts experienced decreases in 1972 -by 3 and 2 percent respectively. Semi-urban courts, however, had a 4 percent increase.

Comparison of police and court data

Juvenile court delinquency statistics cited in this report mainly show how frequently the court is utilized in dealing with juvenile delinquency by the police as well as by other community agencies and parents. Another source of data relating to juvenile delinquency is police arrests of juveniles. Both series of data--police arrests of juveniles reported by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and juvenile court delinquency cases reported here--show a remarkable similarity in their trends over a long period of time despite their differences in definitions, units of count, extent of coverage, etc. Both figures surged upward during World War II, fell off sharply in the immediate postwar years, and then began to climb again. Their trends have been steadily upward since 1949, with the exception of a slight decrease in court cases in 1961 and now again in 1972. In 1972, again, both series of data are close. Police arrests of juveniles increased only slightly by eight-tenths of 1 percent and, as mentioned above, juvenile court delinguency cases decreased slightly by 1 percent.

Types of offenses

Data are not available in the national juvenile court statistical reporting program on the types of offenses for which juveniles are referred to the courts. To examine these, one needs to rely upon the data on police arrests of juveniles reported by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in its Uniform Grime Reports. These include arrests for non-status offenses, which would be crimes if committed by adults, as well as two juvenile status offenses (running away and curfew violations). Inasmuch as the police are the major source of referral of delinquency cases to juvenile courts, the offenses for which juveniles are arrested should pretty well represent most offenses for which juveniles are referred to court.

In the 1972 edition of Uniform Grime Reports, the Federal Bureau of Investigation reported that despite the slight increase in 1972 in arrests of juveniles under 18 years of age, for all types of offenses combined, such arrests more than doubled (+124 percent) between 1960 and 1972. For'a group of serious offenses selected as being most reliably reported (criminal homicide, forcible rape, burglary, robbery, aggravated assault, larceny and auto theft), the combined increase between 1960 and 1972 was 101 percent. When offenses against the person (homicide, forcible rape, aggravated assult and robbery), generally accepted as being the most serious crimes, are selected from the reliably reported group, the increase between 1960 and 1972 was 216 percent. Serious offenses against persons, however, still only represent about 4 percent of all arrests of juveniles.

Delinquency among girls

Delinquency remains primarily a boys' problem, but the disparity between the number of boys' and girls' delinquency court cases is narrowing. For many years, boys were referred to court for delinquency about four times as often as girls. Because of the recent faster increase in girls' cases as compared to boys', as outlined below, the ratio was reduced to three to one by 1972.

Nationally, girls' cases increased while the boys' cases decreased in 1972. The girls' cases increased 2 percent as compared to a 2 percent decrease for boys' cases. The overall increase in girls' cases in 1972 resulted primarily from large increases in semiurban and in rural courts -- 11 and 8 percent respectively.

Girls' delinquency cases disposed of by juvenile courts have been rising faster than those of boys every year since 1965. Between 1965 and 1972, girls' delinquency cases increased by 101 percent whereas boys' cases increased by 49 percent.

Police arrest data also confirm that girls are participating in delinquency at a faster pace than boys. Between 1960 and 1972 arrests of girls under 18 years of age increased by 388 percent for "violent" crimes and by 301 percent for "property" crimes; for boys the percentage increases were 203 percent and 71 percent, respectively. (See Table 30, Uniform Crime Reports-1972, F.B.I.)

The rise in girls' delinquency has generally been attributed to their changing attitude towards society and society's changing attitude towards them. Instead of the passive role assumed by girls in the past and society's protective role towards them, girls are becoming more aggressive and more independent in their day-to-day activities. Unfortunately, some of this behavior has resulted in large increases in the incidences of running away from home and in participation in the use of drugs, often necessitating other crime-related activities, such as shoplifting, robbery, etc.

Method of handling (Tables 2, 4, and 7)

Almost three-fifths (59 percent) of the delinquency cases disposed of by juvenile courts in 1972 were handled nonjudicially (i.e. without the filing of a petition). The proportion of cases handled nonjudicially was higher in urban and semi-urban courts than in rural courts, due perhaps to the availability of specialized intake or probation staff in the larger courts. However, in 1971 and again in 1972, large percentage increases in nonjudicial handling have been occurring in the rural courts, thus reducing the gap in the proportion of cases handled in this way by the smaller rural courts as compared with the larger urban and semi-urban courts.

Between 1971 and 1972 the number of delinquency cases handled judicially by all courts decreased by 3 percent as contrasted with a very slight increase in those handled nonjudicially. This may mean that: (1) serious cases requiring judicial handling are leveling off; or (2) with the recent emphasis on diverting juveniles at every stage of their involvement in the judicial system, more and more delinquency cases, particularly in semiurban and rural courts, are being handled without the filing of a petition (nonjudicially). When handled nonjudicially, a juvenile's further involvement in the judicial system can be averted. The juvenile is most likely to have his case adjusted or referred to other community resources. In this respect, then, diversion is occurring even after referral to the court.

The proportion of delinquency cases handled nonjudicially is very large. Even though it may be appropriate to handle as many cases as possible in this manner, it raises the question as to why so many that do not require judicial determination should even be referred to the court.

Rates (Tables 3, 5, and 6)

The rate of delinquency cases (the number of cases per 1,000 child population aged 10 through 17) was 33.6 in 1972 as compared to 34.1 in 1971. Between 1960 and 1972 the rate increased from 20.1 to 33.6. Of all the juvenile court delinquency cases in the country, 62 percent were handled by courts in urban areas, 31 percent by courts in semi-urban areas, and 7 percent by courts in rural areas.

Other Cases

Dependency and neglect (Tables 9, 10 and 11)

Dependency and neglect cases in the United States totaled 141,000 in 1972, an increase of 8 percent over 1971. This is a sharp reversal of the general downward trend in dependency and neglect cases starting in 1967. While it is not possible to pinpoint the actual cause for this rather sharp increase in 1972, one contributing factor might be the increased public attention directed towards the abused child. This could result in the increased finding and reporting of such cases to the juvenile court where they are handled as "neglect" cases.

Special proceedings (Appendix table)

A small portion of cases involve adoption, custody of children, consent to marry, or other "Special proceedings." Not all juvenile courts handle such cases.

SOURCES OF DATA

From 1957 through 1969, national estimates on the number of juvenile delinquency cases disposed of by juvenile courts were based on data derived from a national sample of juvenile courts which, drawn from the Current Population Survey sample of the Bureau of the Census, was considered to be representative of the country as a whole. Since 1970, taking advantage of the extremely high percentage of reporting coverage and in anticipation of developing a new national sample, utilizing more current information from the 1970 decennial census, data from all courts reporting provided the basis for the national estimates.

All courts in the U.S. and those reporting for both years were stratified by the size of the population served by the courts as shown in the table below. Estimates were made for each stratum, using as an inflation factor, the ratio of the population served by the reporting courts to the population served by all courts in the stratum.

Size of court <u>1</u> /	Al cour			ponding ourts	Percent responding	
	No.	Population served	No.	Population served	No.	Population served
1,000,000 or more 500,000-999,999 250,000-499,999 100,000-249,999 50,000- 99,999 25,000- 49,999 10,000- 24,999 Under 10,000	22 52 74 191 335 635 970 694	46,942,879 36,156,456 25,761,642 30,100,775 23,404,387 20,791,934 15,810,158 4,195,339	19 36 49 140 245 387 690 508	39,128,655 25,489,860 16,761,103 22,367,670 17,190,058 13,547,816 11,328,917 3,177,879	86.4 69.2 66.2 73.3 73.1 60.9 71.1 73.2	83.4 70.5 65.1 74.3 73.4 65.2 71.7 75.7
Total: U.S.	2,973	203,163,570	2,074	148,991,958	69.8	73.3

1/ Based on population served by court according to 1970 census population.

In 1972, 1,527 courts whose jurisdictions include more than two-thirds of the child population under 18 years of age reported on dependency and neglect cases. Data on dependency and neglect cases have always been based on all courts reportin

The States Alaska, Florida, Illinois, New Mexico, Wisconsin and the District of Columbia did not report in 1972.

DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

- JUVENILE DELINQUENCY CASES are those referred for acts defined in the statutes of the State as the violation of a State law or municipal ordinance by children or youth of juvenile court age, or for conduct so seriously antisocial as to interfere with the rights of others or to menace the welfare of the delinquent himself, or of the community. This broad definition of delinguency includes conduct which violates the law only when committed by children; e.g., truancy, ungovernable behavior, and running away. Excluded from this report are the ordinary traffic cases handled by juvenile courts, except where traffic cases, usually the more serious ones, are adjudicated as "juvenile delinguency" cases and are reported as such. Variations in types of courts having jurisdiction in traffic cases of juveniles and frequent changes in laws affecting this jurisdiction. together with changes in administrative practices and inadequate reporting of such cases, make it very difficult to determine meaningful national estimates on the extent and trends in juvenile traffic offenses.
- DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT CASES cover neglect or inadequate care on the part of parents or guardians; e.g., lack of adequate care or support resulting from death, absence, or physical or mental incapacity of the parents, ahandonment or desertion, abuse or cruel treatment, and improper or inadequate conditions in the home.
- SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS cover cases involving children in court for other than delinquency or dependency and neglect; e.g., adoption, institutional commitments for special purposes, application for consent to marry or to enlist in the armed forces, determination of custody or guardianship of a child, and permission (to hospitals) for an operation on a child.
- THE UNIT OF COUNT is a case actually disposed of by a court. Such a case is counted each time a child is referred to court during the year on a new referral in delinquency, dependency, neglect, or in special proceedings. Referrals for alleged or adjudged delinquency cases are included.
- TYPE OF COURT is determined by the percentage of the population it serves that live in urban areas (as classified by the Bureau of the Census in the 1970 decennial census); for "urban courts," 70 percent or more; for "semi-urban courts," 30-69 percent; for "rural courts," under 30 percent.
- METHOD OF HANDLING CASES is classified into judicial and nonjudicial, sometimes referred to as official and unofficial. "Judicial cases" are those where the court has acted on the basis of a petition or motion: "nonjudicial cases," consequently, are those cases which have been adjusted by the judge, referee, probation officer, or officer of the court without the invocation of the court's jurisdiction through petition or motion.

6

SUMMARY TABLES **Delinquency Cases**

Table 1. -- NUMBER OF DELINQUENCY CASES DISPOSED OF BY JUVENILE COURTS, BY SEX, UNITED STATES, 1972

	Total		Boys		Girls	
Type of court	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	1,112,500	100	827,500	100	285,000	100
Urban Semi-urban Rural	692,000 345,000 75,500	1	511,000 261,000 55,500	62 31 7	181,000 84,000 20,000	64 29 7

Table 2. -- METHOD OF HANDLING DELINQUENCY CASES DISPOSED OF BY JUVENILE COURTS, UNITED STATES, 1972

	Tot	al	Judicial		Nonjud	icial
Type of court	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	1,112,500	100	461,300	41	651 , 200	59
Urban Semi-urban Rural	692,000 345,000 75,500	100 100 100	306,000 113,000 42,300	44 33 56	386,000 232,000 33,200	56 67 44

Table 3. -- RATE OF DELINQUENCY CASES DISPOSED OF BY JUVENILE COURTS, UNITED STATES, 1972

	Rate per 1,000 population a/						
Type of court		Age jurisdiction of court					
	All courts	Under 16	Under 17	Under 18 <u>b</u> /			
Urban Semi-urban Rural	36.7 36.4 21.4	14.2 18.1 10.1	34.0 34.0 20.4	42.9 40.8 24.1			

- a/ These differential rates are calculated on the basis of the 1970 child population at risk; that is, from age 10 to the upper limit of the court's jurisdiction.
- b/ A small number of courts having jurisdiction of children under 21 years of age are included here. The number of cases involved for the 18-20 age group does not seriously affect the rates of the courts in this column.

Table 4 PERCEN COURTS	r change i , united s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ES DISPOSED OF	BY JUVENILE
Type of court	Total	Boys	Girls	Judicial	Nonjudicial
	-1	-2	+2	-3	<u>1</u> /
Urban Semi-urban Rural	-3 +4 -2	-4 +2 -5	-2 +11 +8	-4 +3 -6	-3 +5 +4

1/ Increase of less than 1 percent (+0.2).

8

TREND IN JUVENILE COURT DELINQUENCY CASES AND CHILD POPULATION 10-17 YEARS OF AGE, 1957-1972



Table 5. -- NUMBER AND RATE OF DELINQUENCY CASES DISPOSED OF BY JUVENILE COURTS, UNITED STATES, 1957-1972

	Year	Delinquency cases <u>a</u> /	Child population 10 through 17 yrs. of age (in thousands)	Rate <u>b</u> /
	1957	440,000	22,173	19.8
	1958	470,000	23,443	20.0
	1959	483,000	24,607	19.6
	1960	510,000	25,368	20.1
ħ	1961	503,000	26,056	19.3
М	1962	555,000	26,989	20.6
Ĩ	1963	601,000	28,056	20.0
7	1964	686,000	29,244	23.5
	1965	697,000	29,536	23.6
	1966	745,000	30,124	24.7
	1967	811,000	30,837	26.3
	1968	900,000	31,566	28.5
	1969	988,500	32,157	30,7
	1970	1,052,000	32,614	32.3
	1971	1,125,000	32,969	34.1
	1972	1,112,500	33,120	33.6
-			the national sample of juver	
			mated from all courts report:	
			three-fourths of the populat:	
			y cases per 1,000 U.S. child	
		17 years of age.		P-P
			DIFFICIN OF DEI TNOUENOV CACEC I	

Table 6. -- NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF DE OF BY JUVENILE COURTS, BY TYPE OF COU

	Year	Url	oan	Semi-	urban	Ru	ral
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	1957	280,000	63	113,000	26	47,000	11
	1958	298,000	63	120,000	26	52,000	11
	1959	295,000	61	127,000	26	61,000	13
	1960	344,000	67	128,000	25	42,000	8
	1961	350,000	69	119,000	24	34,000	7
	1962	383,000	69	132,500	24	39,500	7
	1963	414,000	69	146,000	24	41,000	7
	1964	456,000	67	181,000	26	49,000	7
	1965	470,000	68	183,000	26	43,000	6
	1966	490,000	66	206,500	28	48,000	6
	1967	525,000	65	235,300	29	50,700	6
	1968	588,200	65	256,400	29	55,200	6
	1969	646,600	66	280,800	28	61,100	6
	1970	686,000	66	296,800	28	69,200	6
e i A	1971	717,000	64	331,000	2,9	77,000	$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}}$, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}}$, and $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}}$, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}}$, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}}$
	1972	692,000	62	345,000	31	75,500	7

ELIN	QUENCY	CASES	DIS	POSED	
URT,	UNITEI	STATI	ES,	1957-197	2

Table 7. -- NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF DELINQUENCY CASES DISPOSED OF BY JUVENILE COURTS, BY MANNER OF HANDLING, UNITED STATES, 1957-1972

	Judi	cial	Nonjudi	cial		
Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
1957	239,000	54	201,000	46		
1958	237,000	50	233,000	50		
1959	250,000	52	233,000	48		
1960	258,000	50	256,000	50		
1961	257,000	51	246,000	49		
1962	285,000	51	270,000	49		
1963	298,000	50	303,000	50		
1964	333,000	49	353,000	51		
1965	327,000	47	370,000	53		
1966	357,000	48	387,000	52		
1967	382,100	47	428,900	53		
1968	425,400	47	474,400	53		
1969	433,300	44	555,200	56		
1970	472,000	45	580,000	55		
1971	475,000	42	650,000	58		
1972	461,300	41	651,200	59		

Table 8. -- NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF DELINQUENCY CASES DISPOSED OF BY JUVENILE COURTS, BY SEX, UNITED STATES, 1957-1972

		ys	Giı	c1s
Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1957	358,000 383,000 393,000 415,000 408,000 450,000 485,000 555,000 555,000 593,000 640,000 708,000 760,000 799,500 845,500 827,500	81 81 81 81 81 81 81 80 80 79 79 79 79 77 76 75 74	82,000 87,000 90,000 99,000 95,000 104,500 116,000 131,000 142,000 152,000 171,000 191,000 228,000 252,000 279,500 285,000	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 23 24 25 26

Dependency and Neglect Cases

Table 9. -- NUMBER AND RATE OF DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT CASES DISPOSED OF BY JUVENILE COURTS, UNITED STATES, 1972 a/

Type of court	Number	A11	population b/		
of cases		All courts	Under 16	urisdiction Under 17	Under 18 <u>c</u> /
Urban	84,000	1.8	1.1	1.8	2.2
Semi-urban	41,000	2.9	2.3	2.1:	3.3
Rural	16,000	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.8

a/ Based on the data from 1,527 courts whose jurisdiction include more than two-thirds of the child population under 18 years of age.
b/ Calculated on basis of the 1970 child population at risk, that is, the child population under 16, for courts whose age jurisdiction is under 16, etc.
c/ A small number of courts having jurisdiction of children under 21 years of age are included here. The number of cases involved do not seriously affect the rates of the courts in this column.

Table	10.	 PEI	RCENT	CHAN	IGE	IN	DEP	ENDE	NCY	AND	NE
		BY	JUVEN	VILE	COL	JRTS	, U	NITE	D S'	CATES	3,

Type of court	Total	Judicial	Nonjudicial
Total	+8	+4	+36
Urban Semi-urban Rural	-3 +35 +18	-6 +21 +20	+8 +93 +3

a/ Based on data from 1,459 courts reporting both years, who jurisdiction includes about two-thirds of the child population under 18 years of age.

12

EGLECT CASES DISPOSED OF 1971-1972 a/

APPENDIX

CHILDREN'S CASES DISPOSED OF JUDICIALLY AND NONJUDICIALLY BY ALL JUVENILE COURTS REPORTING FOR 1972 A

Table 11.	NUMI OF I	BER AND RA BY JUVENIL	TE OF DEP E COURTS,	ENDENCY A	AND NEGL	ECT CASES 1946-1972	DISPOSED

Year	Dependency and neglect	Child population under 18 yrs. of age (in thousands)	Rate <u>b</u> /
1946	101,000	41,759	0./
1947	104,000	43,301	2.4
1948	103,000	44,512	2.4
1949	98,000	45,775	2.3
1950	93,000	47,017	2.1 2.0
1951	97,000	48,598	2.0
1952	98,000	50,296	1.9
1953	103,000	51,987	2.0
1954	103,000	53,737	1.9
1955	106,000	55,568	1.9
1956	105,000	57,377	1.8
1957	114,000	59,336	1.0
1958	124,000	61,238	2.0
1959	128,000	63,038	2.0
1960	131,000	64,516	2.0
1961	140,000	65,789	2.1
1962	141,000	67,092	2.1
1963	146,000	68,371	2.1
1964	150,000	69,625	2.2
1965	157,000	69,699	2.3
1966	161,000	69,851	0 0
1967	154,000	69,878	2.3
1968	141,000	69,831	2.2
1969	127,000	69,694	2.0
1970	133,000	69,669	1.8
		~,00,	1.9
1971	130,900	69,576	1.0
1972	141,000	69,060	1.9 2.0
			4•U .

Data for 1955-1972 estimated from courts serving about two-thirds of the a/ child population under 18 years of age in the United States. Data prior to 1955 estimated by the Children's Bureau, based on reports from a smaller but comparable group of courts. Inclusion of estimates from Alaska and Hawaii beginning in 1960 does not materially affect trend. Based on dependency and neglect cases per 1,000 U.S. child population <u>b/</u>

under 18 years of age.

14

	Age under	JUDICIAL C	
the sound the south to /	which	Delin-	Dopend-
Area served by court b/	court has original	quency	ency
	juris-	(except	and
	diction	traffic)	neglect
ALABAMA: c/			
Calhoun Co. (Anniston)	16	453	123
Jefferson Co. (Birmingham)	16, 18	1,744	1,120
Madison Co. (Huntsville)	16	972	213
Mobile Co. (Mobile) Montgomery Co. (Montgomery)	16	287	463
Tuscaloosa Co. (Tuscaloosa)	16, 18 16	472 241	186 204
61 small courts	16	1,985	1,105
		1,70,7	1,10)
ARIZONA:			
1 small court	18	200	96
ARKANSAS:			
Pulaski Co. (Little Rock)	18	927	
46 small courts	18	1,941	· 162
	2 - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E -		
CALIFORNIA:			
Alameda Co. (Oakland) Butte Co. (Chico)	18 18	1,872	
Contra Costa Co. (Richmond)	18	243 1,537	
Fresno Co. (Fresno)	18	1,168	
Humboldt Co. (Eureka)	18	192	
Kern Co. (Bakersfield)	18	1,060	
Los Angeles Co. (Los Angeles)	18	13,924	
Marin Co. (Ross Valley) Merced Co. (Merced)	18 18	338 284	
Monterey Co. (Salinas)	18	710	
Orange Co. (Anaheim)	18	5,386	
Riverside Co. (Riverside)	18	1,340	
Sacramento Co. (Sacramento)	18	1,843	
San Bernardino Co. (San Bernardino)	18 18	2,780	
San Diego Co. (San Diego) San Francisco Co. (San Francisco)	18	3,110 1,364	
San Joaquin Co. (Stockton)	18	659	
San Luis Obispo Co. (San Luis Obispo)	18 18	357	
San Mateo Co. (San Mateo)		1,351	
Santa Barbara Co. (Santa Barbara)	18	620	
Santa Clara Co. (San Jose) Santa Cruz Co. (Santa Cruz)	18 18	2,489. 192	
Solano Co. (Vallejo)	18	358	
Sonoma Co. (Santa Bosa)	18	450	
Stanislaus Co. (Modesto)	18	707	
Tulare Co. Visalia)	18 18	578 1,114	
Ventura Co. (Oxnard)	18	2,5%	
		2,500	
COLORADO:	18	1,180	684
Adams Co. (North Glenn) Arapahoe Co. (Aurora)	18	288	39
Boulder Co. (Boulder)	18	126	25
Denver Co. (Denver)	18	1,740	5
El Paso Co. (Colorado Springs)	18	488	48 48
Jefferson Co. (Lakewood)	18	452	86
Pueblo Co. (Pueblo)	18 18	521 1,474	137 383
53 small courts	10	-+s(a	707
CONNECTICUT:	16	1.040	260
First District (Bridgeport) Second District (New Haven)	16	1,619	244
Third District (Hartford)	16	745	329
DELAWARE:			
New Castle Co. (Wilmington)	18	2,023	3 055
2 small courts	18	1,405	1,755
GEORGIA:			
Bibb Co. (Macon)	17	543	98
Chatham Co. (Savannsh) DeKalb Co. (Decatur)	17	770	136
DeKalb Co. (Decatur)	17	840	255
Fulton Co. (Atlanta) Muscogee Co. (Columbus)	17 17	3,696	315
Richmond Co. (Augusta)	17	324	107
150 small courts	17	10,286	1,963
	가지가 말한 속에		

See footcotes at end of table

	NONJUDICIAL CASES								
ecial	Delis-	Depend-	Special						
ro-	quency	ency	pro-						
dings	(except traffic)	and neglect	ceedings						
	-								
	59 873	6 161							
	78	4							
	1,506	128							
	47								
	968	22							
	566								
		a series from							
	h star i								
54	1,430	51	22						
	8 895	1 - 18 March							
	8,882 963								
	3,201								
	3,273								
	1,182								
	3.728								
	020	· · · · · ·							
	1,128 2,812								
	5.495								
***	5 215								
	4,997								
	8,846	···							
éne i i	4.143								
	2,359 725								
	1 1.675		·						
	1,346 8,386 1,980								
	1,980		1						
	1,673								
	1,747	-							
	1,929 865								
	3,300								
	10,990								
527 364	338								
196									
819	4,207								
229 434	2,150 118	5							
86	843								
567	676	62							
		1							
	1,997								
	3,647 2,291		-						
	<u></u>								
984	43	72	142						
		The second second							
20	_								
46	802	53							
40 46 27	802 1,928	53 76	515						
2 996	17 5,572	12 291							
		100	93						

(Continued)

15

APPENDIX

APPENDIX

CHILDREN'S CASES DISPOSED OF JUDICIAILY AND NONJUDICIALLY BY ALL JUVENILE COURTS REPORTING FOR 1972 g/--continued

	Age under		JUDICIAL CASES			*ONJUDICIAL CASES		
Area žerved by court b/	which court has original juris- diction	Delin- quency (except traffic)	Depend- ency and neglect	Special pro- ceedings	Delin- quencý (except traffic)	Depend- ency and neglect	Special pro- ceedings	
AII: First Circuit (Honolulu)	18 18	1,793 373	68 10	73 14	1,392 414	6	190 31	
DIANA: Delawarð Co. (Center) Marion Co. (Indianapolis) St. Joseph Co. (South Bend) 7 small courts	18 18 18 18 18	190 6,781 186 406	322 1 110	92 7	1,042 743 763		1,110 30	
WA: Black Hawk Co. (Waterloo) Linn Co. (Cedar Rapids) Polk Co. (Des Moines) Scott Co. (Davenport) Woodbury Co. (Sioux City) E9 small courts	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	85 169 495 228 61 1,988	19 87 214 29 10 746	 18 2 51	957 1,614 1,269 832 382 6,341	13 17 112 11 47 464	18 	
UNSAS: Johnson Co. (Prairie View) Sedgwick Co. (Wichita) Shawnee.Co. (Topeka) Wyandotte Co. (Kansas City) 99 small courts	18 18 18 18 18 18	566 1,379 101 415 2,591	78 298 31 107 492	2 41 2 3 135	2,180 661 529 2,588 2,380	89 15 79 412 69	18 3 59 48	
ENTUCKY: Fayette Co. (Lexington) Kenton Co. (Covington) 117 small courts	18 18 18	497 696 5.789	44 221 912	****	962 1.072 1,482	6 3 96		
DUTSTANA: Caddo Parish (Shreveport) East Baton Rouge (Baton Rouge) Jefferson Parish (Gretna) 4th Judicial District (Quachita) 9th Judicial District (Rapides) 14th Judicial District (Calcasieu) 42 small courts	17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	308 1,718 1,396 72 26 127 3,817	48 143 194 76 30 460	331 4 	775 1,686 345 6 793 4,002	83 154 220		
INNE: Penobscot Co. (Bangor) York Co. (Biddeford City) 13 small county courts	17 17 17	412 161 1,519			116			
<pre>RYLAND: Anne Arundel Co. (Annapolis) Baltimore (City). Ealtimore Co. (Cantonsville) Harford Co. (Bel Air). Montgomery Co. (Silver Spring) Prince George's Co. (Hysttsville) Washington Co. (Hagerstown) 17 small courts</pre>	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	992 6,944 1,342 247 651 2,682 337 1,708	90 762 244 86 129 411 85 590		669 1,426 1,644 597 1,572 1,689 21 970	4 7 4/4 3 3 15 3 10		
<pre>USACHUSETTS: Berkshire Co. (Pittsfield) Bristol Co. (New Bedford) Basex Co. (Lynn) Hampelen Co. (Springfield) Hampeler Co. (Morthampton) Norfolk Co. (Cambridge) Norfolk Co. (Brockton) Suffolk Co. (Brockton) Worcester Co. (Worcester) 4 small courts</pre>	17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	504 2,194 2,096 2,214 367 4,494 2,221 1,957 5,013 2,998 1,011						
ICHIGAN: Bay Co. (Bay City) Ganasse Co. (Filnt) Ingham Co. (Lansing) Jackson Co. (Jackson). Kalamazoo Co. (Kalamazoo) Kon Co. (Grand Rapids) Macomb Co. (Garad Rapids) Macomb Co. (Marren). Nonroe Co. (Marren). Makkagon Co. (Muskegon) Oakland Co. (Port Huron) St. Clair Co. (Port Huron) Washtenaw Co. (Ann Arbor). Wayne Co. (Detroit) 62 small courts	17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	196 1.475 432 519 200 362 854 138 179 1.226 160 263 3.834 3.550	52 504 209 161 130 229 143 74 173 347 75 150 1,606 1,440	108 529 301 129 163 365 499 82 164 83 128 213 1,889	234 578 95 741 494 273 732 3,534 19 322 1,907 1,826			

S	88	foo	tnot	65	at.	and	of	table	

16

(Continued)

(Canted mod)

See footnotes at end of table.

efficient genery action inc jps main genery soling inc jps totation jps totation MERESURAL Memory Co. (htmaspolla)		Age under	ļ	JUDICIAL CASES		NO	NJUDICIAL CASES	
Memory In Co. (Minnapolita)	Area served by court b/	court has original juris-	quency (except	ency and	pro-	quency (except	ency and	Specia pro- ceeding
Reserve Co. (64, Paul). 13 1.725 716 717 716 717 718 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Bit Losis G. (Dalubb)		18				2,255		
00 01 09,803 1.66 1.66				{` `				}
DESCRIPTION 16 467 22 Minda Co. (Jektson) 18 4,069 22 MIDSOURI 18 4,068 1.227 1.662 1.55 Clay Co. (Listees City) 17 219 2.5 219 1.316 219 MIDSOURI 17 266 26 25 209 130 Adferen Co. (Jesta City) 17 2.333 253 246 5,464 477 JOJ mail contractors 18 77 752 1.267 752 1.267 JOJ mail contractors 18 1.677 752 1.267 752 1.267 MELLACRES 18 1.677 752 1.267 752 1.267 MELLACRES 18 1.637 752 1.267 752 1.267 MELLACRES 18 1.263 46 757 3 1 MELLACRES 18 1.263 45 757 3 1 MELLACRES 18 1.263 105 MELLACRES 18 1.317 129								
Larrise Co. (Bilest)			7,005			1.000		
Bitsda D2, (deckem). 18 277 10								1
76 mail courts	Harrison Co. (Biloxi)		467					
HERDERIC: Interface Interface Interface Interface Interface Interface Core on Co. (Partner Cold) 17 29 134 135 164 28 1 Interface 17 29 134 135 164 28 1 Interface 17 206 124 135 164 28 110 Interface 17 206 124 134 135 164 126 St. Lastic (Ligy) 17 22.091 132 24 136 166 Particle Co. (Computation 138 163 136 136 136 136 Particle Co. (Computation 138 163 136 136 136 136 Particle Co. (Marchester) 17 17 120 18 136 136 Particle Co. (Marchester) 17 17 120 18 136 136 136 Particle Co. (Marchester) 13 137 18 1444 19 19 19 Particle Co. (Marchester) 13 137 13 137 137 126 Particle Co. (Marchester) 13 137 136 137 137		18	377				5	
$\begin{array}{c cl} CLAY CD_{1} (light det constraints) \\ Charles CD_{1} (Constraints) \\ def Green CD_{1} (Constraints) \\ def Green CD_{2} (Co$	(mall consusts states and states	10	4,000	1,237]	1,002	201	
Greene Go. (Deping(tabl)) 12 29 134 135 Pail 28 1 Jackson Go. (Manas City) 13 2 233 233 236 2,720 139							and the second	
Jackbon Co. (Kanasa City)	Clay Co. (Gladstone City)	.17		25		1,316		
defferen G. (Ferins City)	Jackson Co. (Konser City)	17		134	135			4
35. Louis G. (Horisant Giv)	Jefferson Co. (Festus City)	17			8/1	2,724		
St. Louis (City)	St. Louis Co. (Florissant City)	17		353		5.364		
103 mail courts 17 1.677 532 1.329 6.697 493 72 Descharts 18 570 92 533 178 1 1 dentator Co. (Linkelin 18 1.73 105 41 597 3 1 18 1.73 105 41 597 3 1 1 19 mail courts 10 1.73 105 41 597 3 1 Restingtaco. (Newthester) 17 722 139 6 106	St. Louis (City)	17	2,931			1,042		
Description 138 570 52 533 138 1 189 asil courts	103 small courts	17		532	1,329	6,697	493	72
Description 138 570 52 533 138 1 189 asil courts	NEBRASKA:		· .					1
09 Bail courts	Douglas Co. (Omaha)	18	570	92		533	178	
NEW MURPHINE: 17 317 312 20	89 small courte	10 19	253	46	765	447		
Hillsborugh Co. (Manchester)	and the contraction of the second sec	10	1,145	105	41	TAC	د	1 · · · · ·
Beckinghum Co. (portsmouth)				teres in the second			the first second	1
8 mail county courts	Hillsborough Co. (Manchester)	17				20		
NEW JERSET: 18 1,414	Rockingham Co. (Portsmouth)							
Atlantic City, (leanot). 18 1,404	- SHALL COURLY COURSessessessesses	цү	722	123	0	150		
Atlantic City, (leanot). 18 1,404			ant na t	•				1.
Bergen Co. (feantoc)	Atlantic Co. (Atlantic City)	18	1,414					
Glouester Co. (Deptford)	Bergen Co. (Teaneck)	18	4,331					
Somerasi Co. (Franklin Tup.)	Glouparton (a. (Darte		3,348		45			226
Diran Co. (Elizabeth City)	Somerset Co. (Franklin Turn)			• • • •		125		172
1 small court						573		
Albary C5. (Albary)					6			
Albary C5. (Albary)	MOUNT A							lo a l'
Broome Co. (Binghamton)		76	203	262	1]
Chessing Co. (Ellaria City)	Broome Co. (Binghamton)		319					
Chessing Co. (Ellaria City)		16	159	-34				
Erie Co. (Bufralo)	Chemung Co. (Elmira City)	16	221	78				
Morree Co. (Rochestor)		16	320			1		
New Tork (City) 16 1,239 369		10		109	1 2	· ·		
Miagara Co. (Wiagara Falle)		16	1,239					
Miagara Co. (Wiagara Falle)		16	9,666	3,714				
Onorda Co. (Utica)	Niagara Co. (Niagara Falls)	16	158	63	} ``			
Orange Co. (Newburgh) 16 631 122	Oneida Co. (Utica)	16	136	32				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Onondaga Co. (Syracuse)	16						1 ··· ···
Rensselaer Co. (Troy) 16 272 49			146					
Rockland Co. (Orangtown)								}
St. Lawrence Co. (Ogdenburg) 16 78 213								4 5 5
Saratoga Co. (Saratoga Springs) 16 59 31	St. Lawrence Co. (Ogdenburg)	16	78	213		ا خبيج ا		{
Suffolk Co. (Islip) 16 1,247 257	Saratoga Co. (Saratoga Springs)		59	32			a da seren a da d	
Ulster Co. (Eington) 16 169 90 <td< td=""><td></td><td>16</td><td>258</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1 · · · · · · · · ·</td></td<>		16	258					1 · · · · · · · · ·
Westchester Co. (Ionkors)				90				
35 small courts 16 1,957 1,329		16						
Cumberland Co. (Fayetteville) 16 30 342	35 small courts			1,329		-		ي شدي
Cumberland Co. (Fayeteville) 16 30 342 <td>NOPTH CADOTTRA . 3/</td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>	NOPTH CADOTTRA . 3/			1	1			1
Forsyth Co. (Winston-3alem)		16	30	342				
Gaston Co. (Gastonia) 16 390 116 <		16	550	137		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Onslow Co. (Jacksonville Twp.) 16 25 18 131 131 131 131 131 131		16	390	116				
86 small courts 16 5,009 1,418 NORTH DAKOTA: First Judicial District (Fargo) 18 272 84 82 1,140 1 2 5 small judicial districts 18 350 130 49 3,727 87 5 0HIO: d/ 18 967 97 3 171 131 Butler Co. (Hamilton) 18 562 162 153 991 29 131 Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 131 Columbiana Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10	Mecklenburg Co. (Charlotte)	16	1,174] }		
NORTH DAKOTA: 18 272 84 82 1,140 1 2 5 small judicial districts 18 350 130 49 3,727 87 5 OHIO: d/ 18 967 97 3 171 131 Butler Co. (Lima) 18 967 97 3 171 131 Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 Columbiana Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10		16	25					
First Judicial District (Fargo) 16 272 84 62 1,140 1 2 5 small judicial districts 18 350 130 49 3,727 87 5 0HIO: d/ 18 967 97 3 171 131 Butler Co. (Lina) 18 562 162 153 991 29 131 Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 Columbians Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10	OU SMALL COURTS	70	2+004					1 . The
First Judicial District (Fargo) 16 272 84 62 1,140 1 2 5 small judicial districts 18 350 130 49 3,727 87 5 0HIO: d/ 18 967 97 3 171 131 Butler Co. (Lina) 18 562 162 153 991 29 131 Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 Columbians Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10	NORTH DAKOTA:				1		an an taon an Araba. An taon an taon	
OHIO: d/ Allen Co. (Lima) 18 967 97 3 171 131 Butler Co. (Hamilton) 18 562 162 153 991 29 Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 Columbiana Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 227 90 294 10	First Judicial District (Fargo)		272					2
Allen Co. (Lina) 18 967 97 3 171 131 Butler Co. (Manilton) 18 562 162 153 991 29 131 Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 131 Columbiana Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10	5 small judicial districts	18	350	130	49	3,727	87	5
Allen Co. (Lina) 18 967 97 3 171 131 Butler Co. (Manilton) 18 562 162 153 991 29 131 Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 131 Columbiana Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10	OHTO: d/							
Butler Co. (Hamilton) 18 562 162 153 991 29 Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 Columbiana Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10		18	967	97		171		131
Clark Co. (Springfield) 18 194 223 30 783 2 Columbiana Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10		18	562		153	991	29	 All a field field
Columbiana Co. (E. Liverpool) 18 327 90 294 10	Clark Co. (Springfield)	18	194	223	30	783	2	
(D)webbee (n (//)em-1aud) (18 8.205 605 192 2.452 end			327	90	140			
Cuyahoga Co. (Cleveland)								1

(Continued)

APPENDIX

Delin-

quency (except traffic)

JUDICIAL CASES

Depend-

and neglect

APPENDIX

CHILDREN'S CASES DISPOSED OF JUDICIALLY AND NONJUDICIALLY BY ALL JUVENILE COURTS REPORTING FOR 1972 & -- continued

	Age under	JUDIC	LAI, CASES		NUNJU	DICIAL CASES	
Area served by court b/	which court has original juris- diction	Delin- quency (except traffic)	Depend- ency and neglect	Special pro- coedings	Delin- quency (except traffic)	Depend- ency and neglect	Special pro- ceedings
IO: <u>d</u> /continued							
Greene Co. (Bath)	18	391	34	i da na da ba	155		1, 1
Hamilton Co. (Cincinnati)	18	7,018	650	114	118		: (* i - 1 - j
Lake Co. (Willowick)	18	915	39	2	141	1	
Licking Co. (Newark)	18	67	63	37	52 89	-	
Lorain Co. (Lorain),	18 18	803	1.52 413	417	2,659		15
Lucas Co. (Toledo)	18	1,376 292	369	10	1,592	1 1	17
Montgomery Co. (Dayton)	18	2,203	232	192	3,283	2	2
Portage Co. (Franklin)	18	979 963	171				
Richland Co. (Mansfield)	18		125		10	·	· ·
Stark Co. (Canton) Susmit Co. (Akron)	18 18	1,488	251 71	116 48	1,200 2,914		1
Trumbull Co. (Warren)	18	112	162	34	1,230		
69 small courts	18	12,905	2,449	34 713	2,898	52	102
						Contraction of the	
AHOMA: Oklahoma Co. (Oklahoma City)	18	2 020	313	296	1,118		t la trace de la company
Tulsa Co. (Tulsa)	18	2,029	631	49	1,382	14	260
2 small courts	18	73	10		93	-	-
	a second as						
CON: Clackamas Co. (Milwaukie)	18	221 ·	187	76	2,604	252	40
Lane Co. (Eugene)	18.	892	212	16	3,989	26	5
Marion Co. (Salem)	18	878	229	3	3,368	116	
Multnomah Co. (Portland)	18 18	1,314 4,076	435 787	378 122	6,322 16,068	456 787	714 117
	. <mark>от</mark> .	,070	(0)	144	10,000	191	1 11
NYLVANIA: Allegheny Co. (Pittsburgh)	18	3,234	348				
eaver Co. (Aliquippa)	18	117	. 2		621		
Berks Co. (Reading)	18	90	12		280		
Bleir Co. (Altoona)	18	144	49		355	3	
Bucks Co. (Bristol)	18	443	1		595		
Butler Co. (Butler) Cambria Co. (Johnston)	18 18	139 340	1		419 66		
Chester Co. (West Chester)	18	161			438	1	
Cumberland Co. (Carlislo)	18	237	53		327	2	
Dauphin Co. (Harrisburg)	18	244	72		302		
Delaware Co. (Chester)	18	641	1		9		
Srie Co. (Erie) Fayette Co. (Uniontown)	18 18	261	· · · · · · · ·		400	1	
Franklin Co. (Chambersburg)	18	200 300			65 22	3	
Lackawanna Co. (Scranton)	18	196	2		3		
Lawrence Co. (New Castle)	18	25			197	1	
Lehigh Co. (Allentown)	18	205			287		
Luzerne Co. (Wilkes-Barre) Lycoming Co. (Williamsport)	18	638 87	12				
lercer Co. (Sharon)	18	152	31		5	1	
Montgomery Co. (Morristown)	18	1,298			4		
Northampton Co. (Bethlehem)	18	155 7,486	80	-	591	1	
Philadelphia Co. (City)	18	7,486	13		6,729	18	· . · · · · · · ·
Schuylkill Co. (Pottsville)	18	264		1	44		
Westmoreland Co. (N. Kensington)	18	874	2		10		
fork Co. (Yerk)	18	80			356		
9 small courts	1 18	1,972v	140		4,995	135	
RTO RICO:				it i	e de la companya de l		
Ponce (Ponce)	18	476			355		
San Juan (San Juan)	18 18	914	*****		355 583		
7 small contrts	<u>о</u>	2,551			977		
DE ISLAND: State (Providence)	• 18	713	521	925	768		
	 Contract 	1		1.1			
H CAROLINA: Inderson Co. (Anderson)	16	634	100	100	†		
harleston Co. (Charleston)	16	1.798	199 43	108	1	1	· [· · · · · · · · ·
reenville Co. (Greenville)	16	1,325	15	670			===
ichland Co. (Columbia)	16	467	15 45		65		
partanburg Co. (Spartanburg)	16 16	1,272	487	998			
	10	2,100	407	668	10	ene -	8
H DAKOTA: tate (Sioux Fails)	* 18	2,510	169	61	2,593		
NESSEE: Davidson Co. (Nashville)	18	4.387					
Hamilton Co. (Chattanooga)	18	1,828	264	155			
Knox Co. (Knoxville)	18	1,263	391	155 70	1,387		
Shelby Co. (Kemphis)	18	7.554	1,853		2,425		
		805	189	e	88	45	1 3
ullivan Co. (Kingsport)	18 18	6,649	684	5 687	6,170	120	174

Area served by court b/

and the second	and the second	in the second	and the second
TEXAS: c/			
Bexar Co. (San Antonio)	17, 18	888	
Brazoria Co. (Brazasport)	17.18	41	
Cameron Co. (Brownsville)	17. 18	313	
Dallas Co. (Dallas)	.17, 18	1,397	598
Harris Co. (Houston)	17. 18	2,693	,,,,,
Hidalgo Co. (McAllen)	17, 18	60	
Jefferson Co. (Beaumont)	17, 18	258	
McLaprian Co. (Waco)		98	
	17, 18		
Nusces Co. (Corpus Christi)	17, 18	475	010
115 small courts	17, 18	2,745	243
TAH:			
District I = (Ogden)	18	1,757	139
District II - (Salt Lake City)	18	3,982	402
District III - (Provo)	18	2,073	76
2 small district courts	18	1.077	148
ERMONT: d/ 12 small district courts		alir	109
LC MMALL CISTFICT COURTS	16	345	128
IRGINIA:			
Arlington Co	18	793	418
Fairfax Co	18	2,194	774
Henrico Co	18	403	3
Prince William Co	18	574	ĺ
Alexandria (City)	18	737	124
Hampton (City)	18	826	276
Newport News (City)	18	840	77
Norfolk (City)	18	2.713	1.033
Portsmouth (City)	18	907	65
Richmond (City)	18	1.356	168
Virginia Beach (City)	18	1.525	1
116 small courts	18	17.158	3.074
	1.0	1,10	
IRGIN ISLANDS;			
5 small courts	18	289	26
ASHINGTON :			
Clark Co. (Vancouver City)	18	231	280
King Co. (Seattie)	18	1.347	807
Kitsap Co. (Bremerton)	18	147	48
Pierce Co. (Tacoma)	18	874	860
Snohomish Co. (Everett)	18	1.143	1.796
Spokane Co. (Spokane)	18	199	404
Yakima Co. (Yakima)	18	426	412
30 small courts	18	2,213	2,719
DOM UTDATHTA		1	
EST VIRGINIA: Cabell Co. (Huntington)	18	617	12
Kanawha Co. (Charleston)	18	130	24
			1 7
53 small courts	1 18	1.290	239

Age under which

court has original juris-diction

a/ NOTE WELL: This table includes all courts that transmitted reports to the National Center for Social Statistics. The data in this table should not be used to make comparisons between communities regarding the extent of delinquency. Questions concerning changes in an individual court's data from one year to another should be directed to that individual court.
b/ Courts serving area with population of 100,000 or more are listed separately showing the chief city located in each area. Courts serving areas with population of 100,000 or more are listed separately showing the chief city located in each area. Courts serving areas with less than 100,000 are oramined for each State and are presented as "small courts."
c/ Where the age under which the court has original jurisdiction is different for boys and girls, the age for boys appears first. In California the jurisdiction of the adult court rather than the juvenile court is usually invoked for those 18 years or over. In South Carolina, dependency and neglect "child" means under 21 years of age. In New York the age limit for neglect cases is 16 for boys and 18 for girls.
d/ Those cases classified as PINS, unruly, undisciplined, etc. are included with dolinquency cases for the purposes of this report.

See footnotes at end of table.

(Continues)

18

			RTS REPORTING FOR 1972	

	NONJUDICIAL CASES		
Special pro- ceedings	Delin- quency (except traffic)	Depend- ency and neglect	Special pro- ceedings
4,586 2,203	2,912 897 895 6,865 10,653 679		
539	982 619 646 11,950	129	 10
	2,604 4,143 1,590 692	40 320 3 22	
123			
567 921 254 101 112 69 4 342 1,058 626 626 6,027	 69 1,061 1,000 3,413	6 	15 86 1.374
19			
40 761 45 336 422	960 1,909 803 1,744 1,761 1,437 1,177 8,860	132 500 276 1,715 1,202 627 533 3,709	7,075 292
1 24 441	4 763 339	1	4 30

