NCJRS

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20531



9. .

Dedication

People make it happen. And efficient people make it happen faster. Justice is more than the judge on the bench or the jury in the box. Justice is also a smoothly operating team with some members visible in the court room, others serving the public person to person, and still others working quietly behind the scenes at myriad tasks to keep the system flowing. The Hawaii State Judiciary with a formidable case load is fortunate to have some 600 dedicated employees on six islands who take very special pride in their work. It shows!

To all of you, then, this Annual Report _ is sincerely dedicated.



AN OVERVIEW of the fiscal year just ended reflects the continued pressing demands on the Judiciary to keep pace with an expanding population and changing laws. Statewide actions in both the district and circuit courts averaged an increase of more than five per cent, and family court filings were up slightly more than two per cent.

Several pieces of recent legislation have served new responsibilities on the Judiciary: In criminal actions, the full impact of the new Penal Code is being felt primarily in the provision for rights of defendants to demand pre-sentence investigations and follow-up supervision. As a result, counseling and probation caseloads have tripled with no corresponding increase in staff. Working under these adverse conditions, the First Circuit can still claim a success factor of less than one per cent recidivism. One effect of our staff shortage is that the time between trial and sentencing has necessarily lengthened while judges await results of investigations.

In civil actions, the Landlord-Tenant Act has effected a substantial increase in the number of small claims filed, creating an overwhelming workload for the clerks who process them. Because there are no attorneys involved in these cases, the Judiciary staff bears the brunt of providing information service to the citizenry, as well as initiating and processing all associated documents.

In a more positive vein, we view with a great deal of satisfaction that the Legislature has seen fit to move the Judiciary into a new area of responsibility with Act 159/74. The Judiciary also moves into Fiscal 1974-75 with expectations of a full complement of judicial manpower, which should have a very positive effect on calendars.

New funding for driver improvement education through both Act 91 and the No-Fault Insurance bill will see this important program expanded to the degree we originally hoped for.

It now appears clear that the project to have the Judiciary in adequately designed facilities is again under way after long delays, and we look forward to operations in more functional quarters.

The Judiciary is committed to providing fair and speedy justice for all. Our unified court system is working smoothly and has been instrumental in placing us far ahead of most other states in providing early trials. We owe a large part of our past success to the responsiveness of the Legislature. We are confident you will continue to share in our concern.

To the Honorable Members Eighth Legislature of the State of Hawaii

Respectfully yours,

William & Ruhard

William S. Richardson Chief Iustice of Hawaii



-9

Judicial Council

¢

ŀ

€

 \mathbf{s}

a З.

h

aį q

sy st

L



Director **Circuit Courts**



Table of Contents

Message from Chief Justice William S. Richardson	1
Hawaii Judiciary Comes of Age	3
Supreme Court	5
Office of the Chief Clerk of the Supreme Court	7
Judicial Council	7
Office of the Administrative Director of the Courts	8
Law Library	10
Tax Appeal Court	1.1
Land Court	11
Federally Funded Projects	11
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Circuit Courts	15
First Circuit Court	18
Second Circuit Court	24
Third Circuit Court	27
Fifth Circuit Court	29
District Courts	33
District Court, First Circuit	35
Driver Improvement Program	36
Violations Bureau	38
District Court, Second Circuit	40
District Court, Third Circuit	42
District Court, Fifth Circuit	44
Statistical Data on Judicial Caseloads	45

Hawaii Judiciary Comes of Age: Separate and Coequal

June 6, 1974 was an auspicious date for the Hawaii Judiciary. On that day, Act 159 was signed into law by Acting Governor George Ariyoshi. Sponsored by Senator Nadao Yoshinaga, with near unanimous passage by both House and Senate, Act 159 clarifies the constitutional separation of powers among the three co-equal branches of government.

The effect of this landmark act on the Judiciary will be far reaching. For it specifies that although any executive agency may provide services to the Judiciary and the Legislature, nothing in the law shall be construed as granting any authority to the governor or any executive department to exercise control over the organization, programs, functions, operations and expenditures of either the Judiciary or the Legislature. The Chief Justice, as administrative head of the courts, is given this exclusive authority for the Judiciary.

More specifically, Act 159 is significant in the following respects:

- It excludes the Judiciary from the budget preparation controls of the executive branch by assigning responsibility to the Chief Justice for submitting his budget and related documents directly to the Legislature.

- It requires the comptroller to make available to the Judiciary the total amount appropriated to it by the Legislature.

- It assigns responsibility to the Judiciary for the establishment and maintenance of its internal control system.

- It provides for representation from the Judiciary at meetings of the State and County civil services commissioners and directors of the state department of personnel services.

- It permits the Judiciary greater input in the decision-making process of the personnel and civil service systems regarding position classification and formulation of rules and regulations affecting the Judiciary.

- It gives the Chief Justice ultimate authority in any administrative disputes arising between himself and the director of personnel services relating to requests for action by the Judiciary.

- It allows Judiciary employees to continue to enjoy the benefits of the civil service merit system and collective bargaining provisions.





Supreme Court

The Supreme Court is the highest judicial body in Hawaii's unified court system. As such, it exercises ultimate administrative responsibility and rule-making power for all courts and is empowered to hear and determine all questions of law, or mixed law and fact, brought to it from both circuit and district levels.

In accordance with its appellate function, the Supreme Court has the power to issue writs of mandamus, certiorari, prohibition, habeas corpus and all other necessary and proper writs.

Bar examinations and the granting of licenses to practice law in Hawaii are the province of the Supreme Court.

A staff of 25 including law clerks, serves in the Supreme Court.

Justices. A chief justice and four associate justices are appointed by the Governor, with the advice and consent of the State Senate, to 10-year terms. No person shall be eligible for such office who shall not have been admitted to practice law before the State Supreme Court for at least 10 years.

The Chief Justice presides over all appeal proceedings and hearings. Under Hawaii's unified court system, he also appoints all district court judges, assigns circuit court judges to the Supreme Court for temporary duty, makes all calendar assignments, and designates district and circuit court judges to serve among the several circuits as needed. As the head of the Judiciary, he assumes the primary responsibility for the supervision of its operations and appoints an administrative director of the courts to assist him in this regard.

Court.

Of the 383 cases terminated, 99 (26 per cent) were decided by the filing of an opinion; eight (2 per cent) were dismissed; 38 (10 per cent) were withdrawn or discontinued; and 238 (62 per cent) were decided without a written opinion.

New Appointments. Governor John A. Burns appointed former First Circuit Court Judge Thomas S. Ogata in February, 1974, and former Third Circuit Court Judge Benjamin Menor in April, 1974, to serve as associate justices of the Hawaii State Supreme

Retirements. Associate Justices Masaji Marumoto and Kazuhisa Abe retired December 31, 1973; Associate Justice Bernard H. Levinson retired August 31, 1974.

Caseload Statistics. Of 419 cases filed with the Supreme Court during the year, 178 (42.5 per cent) were primary cases and 241 (57.5 per cent) were supplemental. The proportion of primary filings was the highest in the last six years.

FIGURE 1

SUPREME COURT FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS





Chief Justice William S. Richardson



Justice Ogata



Justice Kobavashi



Justice Menor



Justice Marumoto

6

Justice Abe

Justice Levinson

Chief Justice William S. Richardson, J. D., University of Cincinnati, 1943. Appointed to his first seven-year term as Chief Justice in 1966, and re-appointed for a 10-year term in 1973. He is a former Lieutenant Governor of Hawaii (1962-66), former president of the Hawaii Bar Association (1961), and is a charter member of the board of directors for the National Center for State Courts.

Associate Justice Bert T. Kobayashi, LL. B., Harvard, 1943. Appointed to the Supreme Court in 1969, after serving as the State Attorney General for seven years. He is a former Deputy City and County Attorney and Deputy Public Prosecutor (1946-48), district magistrate of Waialua (1952-58), and president of the Hawaii Bar Association (1959).

Associate Justice Thomas S. Ogata, J. D., University of Michigan, 1941. Appointed to the Supreme Court in February, 1974, after serving as a judge in the First Circuit Court since 1966. He is a former Territorial senator, and served as State Senator (1959-1964), was Deputy City and County Attorney in Honolulu (1945-49), and Deputy County Attorney, County of Maui (1949-57).

Associate Justice Benjamin Menor, LL. B., Boston University Law School, 1952. Appointed to the Supreme Court in April, 1974, after serving as a judge in the Third Circuit Court since 1969. He is a former State Senator (1962-68), was magistrate for the Hamakua and Kohala District Courts in 1968, magistrate of the District of Kau (1959-62), and Deputy County Attorney of Hawaii County (1953-59).

Associate Justice Masaji Marumoto, LL. B., Harvard, 1930. Appointed to the first Ilawaii State Supreme Court in 1959, and reappointed in 1967; retired December 31, 1973.

Associate Justice Kazuhisa Abe, J. D., University of Michigan, 1939. Appointed to the Supreme Court in 1967: retired December 31, 1973.

Associate Justice Bernard H. Levinson, LL. B., Harvard, 1932. Appointed to the Supreme Court in 1967; retired, August 31, 1974.

Office of the Chief Clerk of the Supreme Court

The Office of the Chief Clerk principally functions as the custodian of Supreme Court records. All official and necessary documents are filed and maintained by a staff of four, who make those records that are not declared confidential available for public review.

In addition, staff members provide information and assistance to attorneys, legal secretaries, bar applicants, clerks of the court, and the general public on matters relating to appeal procedures, Supreme Court calendars, bar examinations and use of appeal forms.

As an extension of its information distribution role, the Clerk's Office issues advance copies of the Hawaii Reports to paid subscribers and government agencies. All newly adopted rules and procedures are also printed and distributed to judges, court clerks and attorneys.

The Clerk's Office assumed additional responsibilities in conjunction with both the adoption of Supreme Court Rule 24, pertaining to incorporation of a law corporation, and amended Supreme Court Rule 16, pertaining to disciplinary action of attorneys in cases of misconduct violating the Code of Professional Responsibility.

Bar Examinations. The Office of the Chief Clerk conducts the bar examinations for the Supreme Court by issuing and receiving application forms, arranging for proctors, renting space and equipment, and providing examination forms and supplies.

Of the 100 persons who took the examination in July, 86 passed. Of the 73 persons who took it in February, 65 passed. Seventy-three, or 42 per cent, of the 173 examinees this year were educated at local high schools.

Judicial Council

The Judicial Council is a special division of the Supreme Court whose eminent members represent a cross section of the community, and volunteer their time and effort to act in an advisory capacity to the courts.

Created in 1959 by statute, the Council in recent years has undertaken tasks of major dimensions, often involving years of study and review. Its committees have laid the groundwork for extensive revisions of the law (e.g., the new Penal Code), changes in court rules, and development of court-related programs and institutions such as the new Law School at the University of Hawaii.

The Council's Committee on Probate Code Revision, chaired by Justice Kazuhisa Abe, will resubmit

ed by the Council member Herbert C. Cornuelle. Council members are: Hon. William S. Richardson, Chairman Chief Justice, Supreme Court Hon. Kazuhisa Abe Associate Justice, Supreme Court Dr. Hung Wo Ching Chairman of the Board, Aloha Airlines, Inc. Walter G. Chuck Attorney-at-law Herbert C. Cornuelle President, Dillingham Corporation Msgr. Daniel J. Dever Superintendent, Catholic School Department Hon. Masato Doi Judge, First Circuit Court Representative Hiroshi Kato Attorney-at-Law H. Baird Kidwell Attorney-at-Law Boyd MacNaughton Chairman of the Board, California & Hawaiian Sugar Company Hideki Nakamura Attorney-at-Law Masaru Oshiro Executive Director, Queen Liliuokalani Children's Center, Liliuokalani Trust Director, Department of Social Services and Housing Attorney-at-Law Henry A. Walker, Jr. President, Amfac, Inc.

July Simeona Myron Thompson Senator John T. Ushijima

its findings and legislative recommendations to the 1975 State Legislature.

An advisory committee to the Hawaii Criminal Justice Data Center, headed by Judge Masato Doi, sets policies for the new computer-based reporting system.

A study of judicial salaries was made and submitted to the 1974 Legislature by a lay committee chair-

Administrative director of the courts Lester E. Cingcade sets the tone for Judiciary service with a smile...





and secretary Michiko Mau proves his point.

Office of the Administrative Director

This central office is responsible for the statewide programming, budgeting, personnel and management operations of the Judiciary. It functions with a staff of 20 employees.

The Administrative Director of the Courts, appointed by the Chief Justice with the approval of the Supreme Court, serves at the pleasure of the Chief Justice and is assisted by two directors of administrative services for the circuit courts and for the district courts.

Programming. The central administrative office serves to assist the Chief Justice in fulfilling his constitutionally mandated responsibility as the administrative head of Hawaii's courts.

Essentially because of Hawaii's unified court system, the statewide administrative directors of both trial court levels are assembled under the aegis of this office and afford the Administrative Director and the Chief Justice an overall view of all court operations. The nature of such supervision tends to prevent duplication of effort from circuit to circuit, thus promoting even further uniformity in operations.

The Office of the Administrative Director may review any aspect of the Judiciary's operation, with the exception of court decisions, and advises the Chief Justice in matters requiring his attention.

In doing so, the central office focuses on upgrading the judicial process and instituting modern management practices and physical equipment, such as electronic recording devices for court reporting, sophisticated office machines and data processing units.

The office's computer reporting program is now uniform and includes caseload statistics of all the circuit courts, including breakdowns into types of cases and dispositions. (See tables in back.)

Capital improvement projects for the entire Judiciary are planned by the central office. A set of standards that save both time and money has been adopted for new buildings and renovations of existing structures.

The capital improvement projects will total approximately \$56 million over the next five years. This includes construction of two desperately needed courthouses for Honolulu, where the Judiciary has outgrown its present facilities and the space crisis becomes more acute each day.

Budget. Unlike courts in most other states that are semi-autonomous units funded from local revenues, Hawaii's statewide system assigns the central office

the responsibility for preparing a unified budget for legislative review. Upon the granting of appropriations, the office is also responsible for implementing that budget.

By thus centralizing budgeting responsibilities, the Judiciary may reallocate funds as and where they are needed and may thus respond guickly to unforeseeable circumstances within any jurisdiction. Act 159/74 will effect added responsibilities in this area, a need to develop guidelines for expenditures as well as to adopt new budget procedures.

Personnel and Management. As the central personnel office for the 600 employees of the Judiciary, the Office of the Administrative Director administers all non-judicial personnel.

Relative to this responsibility, the office administers the payroll for all courts, receives notice of all leaves, terminations and new hires, and provides for system-wide education and training programs.

By treating the system as a whole, the central office may in an emergency assign personnel from one court to another, even from one circuit to another.

This past year the office sponsored several training programs for judges and staff of all circuits, including seminars on the new Judiciary fee structures.

Public Services. As the public information center of the Judiciary, the Office of the Administrative Director furnishes information about the organization and operation of the courts to public and private agencies, as well as to the general public.

It assists the Library with court tours, provides speakers to the community and interested organizations, handles complaints, maintains liaison with court-related agencies, publishes relevant books and reports (including the Annual Report), and distributes a monthly Judiciary newsletter.

The LEAA-funded Public Information Officer was transferred in 1973 to operate under the authority of the central office and has assisted in these activities.

In addition, the office continues to update, add to and distribute its publication containing the revised Rules of Court. Attorneys and judges have found the book to be of immeasurable help in providing information on court procedures and rules. For a small subscription fee, persons buying the book receive printed copies of changes in court rules as they occur.

District Court administrative services director Tom Okuda shares statewide responsibilities for a smooth flowing operation with his counterpart in the Circuit court Robert I. Ueoka (below)





Law Library

The Supreme Court Law Library and its satellite collections in the Second, Third and Fifth Circuits constitute the State Law Library System.

Its first responsibility is to provide judges and the staffs of all courts with necessary information and material. It serves as a primary resource for the community as well as the courts.

Attorneys and their staffs, government agency personnel, legislators, faculty and students of Hawaii's schools and colleges, and the general public make considerable use of library facilities on all islands.

Services. The Law Library is used primarily for legal research and reference, although some material circulates.

At the central library of the Supreme Court, which maintains the major portion of the collection of 69,000 books, the combined reference and circulation used in FY 1973-74 totaled 88,000 instances.

As a corollary service the Law Library acts as the court bookstore for the sale of Hawaii Reports, and rules, and provides monthly lists of its new acquisitions to the Hawaii Bar News published by the Bar Association of Hawaii.

It also extends the use of its collection to inmates of the Hawaii State Prison under a special arrangement in cooperation with the Bar Association of Hawaii and the State Library System.

The central library staff of six performs its own cataloguing, indexing and book restoration. Under a continuing rebinding and maintenance program, the staff this year bound 384 volumes of law reviews and 219 books. Books re-lettered totaled 1,608.

The central library of the Supreme Court performs the budgeting, planning and purchasing for the entire State Law Library System.

Public Services. In addition to its regular services, the library plans and conducts all visits of organized groups, including school tours, to the Supreme and First Circuit Courts. Last year 4,794 persons in 140 organized groups visited the courts.

Staff and Facilities. With volunteer help, the Library has been able to maintain a satisfactory level of service. Volunteer Bill Constance, as in recent years, continued to contribute three mornings of work a week as a library aide.

Space continues to be a major obstacle to performance, since there is not sufficient room for books or for people desiring to do reference work.

To help alleviate the problem and to give the Library a current working collection, most treatises

10

publised prior to 1940 were transferred to the University of Hawaii Law School for research use. Since the Supreme Court Law Library was established in 1851, many valuable nineteenth century legal publications were included in the transfer.

The state law librarian was elected chairperson of a newly formed national organization, State and Court Law Libraries of the United States and Canada.

The Future. With the law school now in its second year of operation, accelerated use of the library by advanced students is foreseen. The increased use of paralegals for research is also expected to have a heavy impact on the use of the library.



Law librarian-Margaret Setliff examines a new acquisition.

Land Court

The Land Court, which administers the Torrens System of land registration, is a statewide court of record that is based in Honolulu and exercises exclusive original jurisdiction over all matters involving legal title to fee simple land and easements.

The Torrens System enables landowners to buy, sell or mortgage property without paying substantial title-sparch fees.

All appeals go directly to the State Supreme Court.

Judges and Staff. Its two judges are designated by the Chief Justice from among the judges of the First Circuit Court. A registrar, deputy registrar and a clerk constitute the office staff.

Services. The court examines all applications for the original registration of title to land, as well as all amending petitions. By filing and maintaining records of all land documents in the state, it provides a guaranteed and absolute register of land titles.

The public has access to these land registration records and is often assisted by court staff in the preparation of applications and petitions. Research assistance is afforded attorneys, brokers, financial institutions and the general public in regard to many frequently complicated land title problems. Civil and probate divisions of the court are also assisted in matters dealing with land registration and pertinent background material.

In instances when the court must hear cases on the Neighbor Islands, it thereby schedules sessions in the circuit courts involved with the cooperation of the chief circuit court clerks.

The State land surveyor in the Department of Accounting and General Services assists the court in checking all maps filed for mathematical correctness. The registrar of conveyances and his deputy in the Department of Land and Natural Resources' Bureau of Conveyances serve as assistant registrars of the court and maintain filings of all documents affecting registered land after original registration.

The Future. The microfilming of Land Court files to preserve permanent irreplaceable records is seen as a priceless contribution to future generations.

Caseload Statistics. During FY 1973-74 there were 2,300 ex parte petitions filed with the Registrar of the Land Court.

(Data regarding original registrations and contested cases appear under the "Miscellaneous" category in the caseload activity table of the Circuit Court Proper of the First Circuit.)



Court.

caseload increases in both jurisdictions have worked a hardship on personnel. Caseload Statistics. There were 137 tax appeal cases pending at the beginning of the fiscal year, 26 new cases filed, and 108 cases completed, leaving a balance of 55 cases pending at the end of FY 1973-74.

Tax Appeal Court

The Tax Appeal Court is a statewide court of record based in Honolulu with original jurisdiction in all disputes between the tax assessor and taxpayer. All appeals go directly to the State Supreme

Judges and Staff. The court shares the two judges and three-man staff of the Land Court.

Since the same judges and staff man both courts,



Taxpayer's comment brings a grin from deputy registrar James Smith.

Land Court registrar Rudolph Espinda explains fee simple land titles.



(volunteers in probation) aiding the courts.

Federally Funded Projects

Volunteer Services to Judiciary. The scarcity of trained manpower to carry out the Judiciary's programs to combat increasing crime and delinquency in Hawaii seriously handicapped the courts before this project was activated. Designed to meet manpower needs and serve as an extension of the counselors in probation and other services, this program has also been successful in promoting greater citizen understanding and awareness of the activities of the Judiciary.

Now in its third year of operation, Volunteer Services to the Judiciary maintains a roster of some 100 trained volunteers throughout the state. A program coordinator and a stenographer constitute its only full-time paid staff.

This past year the coordinator worked closely with agencies and programs in the First Circuit. At the same time, he served as a resource person and consultant to Neighbor Island circuits in the development of volunteer programs to fit their particular needs.

The Divisions of the Judiciary that utilize most of the volunteers are the Children and Youth Services branch of the First Circuit's Family Court, the Adult Probation Department, and the Counseling Service of Honolulu District Court.

In the last fiscal year project personnel produced two slide shows, one outlining the functions of the Judiciary and the role of the volunteer within it; the other depicting volunteer-probationer relationships. A \$3,000 donation from the Junior League of Honolulu financed production costs.

A volunteer activity fund to reimburse volunteers for out-of-pocket expenses incurred on outings with probationers was started with a \$320 donation by the Lawyers' Wives.

A volunteer art instructor and a Mary Kay beauty consultant donated services at the Detention Home, and plans are under way for a local model agency to provide free charm and poise courses to juvenile girls under supervision of the courts. Volunteer Services to the Judiciary is funded by a \$11,830 grant from the Law Enforcement and Juvenile Delinquency Planning Agency.

Public Information Officer. As in the case of the Volunteer Services Program, this project was transferred in September, 1972 to operate under the authority of the Administrative Director of the Courts.

Originally, it was administered by the Family Court of the First Circuit to provide more effective information services relative to youngsters exhibiting deviant behavior. With the transfer, the project assumed statewide scope and responsibility.

The Public Information Officer now provides in-

formation and education materials about court services and programs to the general public, court-related agencies and court personnel. To date such efforts have resulted in a general information booklet on The Judiciary, preparation of two booklets on Family Court programs, editing of various booklets and reports, and inauguration of a regular monthly Judiciary newsletter, 'aha'ilono.

Assistance and consultation in developing information materials and presentations have also been afforded to court programs and divisions, particularly the Volunteer Services Program and the Family Court of the First Circuit.

In addition, the Public Information Officer assists the Chief Justice and the Administrative Director in the preparation of public addresses and official reports, chief among them the Annual Report.

Funded by a \$15,306 grant from LEAA.

Statistical Analysis Center. As the basis for the establishment within the next five years of a criminal justice data center, this project is the first such operation in the nation to be administered by a judiciary. The Hawaii Criminal Justice Data Center will analyze, interpret and disseminate criminal justice statistics for use by state and county criminal justice agencies. Funded by a \$139,000 grant from LEAA.

Judicial Training. Several grants provided judicial staff with opportunities to attend educational programs outside the state. Funded by grants amounting to \$13,025 from LEAA.



Account clerk Amy Fujii finds the file you need





14

Circuit Courts

Four circuit courts in Hawaii exercise exclusive jurisdiction in all the following instances: criminal felony cases; civil suits involving more than \$5,000; probate proceedings and determination of heirs; and, within the family court divisions, cases involving juvenile offenses, marital actions and other family mat-

Moreover, concurrent jurisdiction with the district courts is exercised in civil matters involving less than \$5,000 but more than \$500, and all jury trials are held in the circuit courts.

Appeals are made directly to the State Supreme

Judges. Circuit court judges are appointed by the Governor, with the advice and consent of the State Senate, to 10-year terms. They must be attorneys with a minimum of 10 years' experience as members of the Hawaii bar.

Circuits. The circuit courts correspond to each of the major counties of Hawaii-Honolulu (First Circuit), Maui (Second Circuit), Hawaii (Third Circuit) and Kauai (Fifth Circuit). There has been no Fourth Circuit since it was incorporated into the Third in 1943.

Primary and Supplemental Actions: Definitions. Circuit court cases are divided into two classifications: primary proceedings and supplemental pro-

Primary proceedings are all original cases filed for the first time in circuit court. They represent direct contact with the public and often occur in direct corelation with population figures.

Supplemental proceedings, on the other hand, develop from primary cases. They range from proceedings to obtain judgment monies in civil cases to Orders to Show Cause for child support in already adjudicated matrimonial and paternity cases.

Circuit Courts Proper

(civil, criminal, probate, guardianship, etc.) of the circuit courts that are not exclusively reserved to the family court divisions. In probate cases involving assets of less than \$3,000, the courts act as the statutory administrators of the estates to save legal fees and to conserve the small assets for the rightful heirs. In the First Circuit Court, a staff lawyer takes disputed claims to court for final distribution of assets.

The courts also act as statutory guardians for minors and incompetents where assets are less than \$3,000.

Offices of the Chief Clerk oversee the filing and processing of legal documents, the receiving and disbursing of alimony and child support payments, as well as the administering of small estates and guardianship estate funds. All records are available to the public except those of confidential cases, such as paternity and adoption proceedings.

Regularly each year, the chief clerks also assist jury commissions in each circuit in processing the names of thousands of persons for selection as prospective jurors on the grand or petit (trial) juries.

courts.

Caseload Statistics. A total of 9,324 cases were filed in all circuit courts in the state during the fiscal year, an increase of 5.5 per cent above the previous year. A major portion of the cases reaching trial were criminal actions. Of the 533 cases terminated by trials, 294 or 55.2 per cent were criminal actions. Civil actions were second, accounting for 228 trials or 41.2 per cent of the cases tried in the circuit courts.

The circuit courts proper handle all proceedings

A large number of the well over 1,200 lawyers practicing in Hawaii turn to the Offices of the Chief Clerk for information and assistance in the preparation of official forms in a manner acceptable to the



Baby Marty - new member of court family. His parents, blind vendor Paul Pestana and wife Joelyn were married by Judge Allen Hawkins and now bring baby along while they run Judiciary snack concession.

Adult Probation

Adult probation staffs operate under the jurisdiction of the various circuit courts. In the First Circuit, an Adult Probation Department operates as a separate division of the circuit court. In all other circuits, however, adult probation activities are integrated into family court operations.

In either case, adult probation activity involves handling investigations for the court and supervising persons placed on probation by the courts. The former activity requires that a probation officer investigate and evaluate adults who have been convicted of felonies; the officer then makes a recommendation of sentence to the judge. Such investigations assist the court in determining the type and length of sentence to best suit the individual. The latter activity, probation, occurs when the court allows an offender to go at large on the condition that he remain under its rehabilitative supervision, which may entail counseling, psychiatric help, discipline and guidance.

Under the new Penal Code most first offenders are cligible for probation; there are no automatic prison sentences except for persons convicted of murder in the first degree. This is expected to add greatly to probation caseloads. On the other hand, it may be offset by the Code's reduction of certain felonies to the class of misdemeanors, thus removing them to the jurisdiction of the district courts.

Federally Funded Projects

During the year work commenced on a new manual of uniform policies, practices and procedures for statewide probation services. Changes mandated by the enactment of Act 9/72 resulted in major revisions in sentencing. This ongoing project involves the active participation of probation administrators and staff from all four circuits and is funded by a \$4,023 grant from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.

Family Courts

The family courts are divisions within the circuit courts that are designed to deal expressly with juvenile offenders and domestic matters. To that end, they more than other courts transcend the strictly adjudicatory function and provide a number of counseling, guidance, self-help, detention and supervision programs for both children and adults.

The increasing, and increasingly complex, problems of children and families living in a rapidly changing Hawaii have long been recognized by the courts as warranting more than mere disposition. In recent years, the courts have assisted in bringing about major changes in the law, instituted federally funded projects in child and family counseling, increasingly sought community participation in court programs, and undertaken explorations into the nature and extent of change on its programs.

Judges and Circuits. In the First Circuit, the family court is considered entirely separate from the circuit court proper. Two circuit court judges are assigned to handle family court matters and are assisted by five district family court judges, formerly referees.

In all other circuits, however, the adjudicatory workload is integrated into the circuit court proper, with some assistance from district court judges in the second and third circuits. Counseling and guidance activities, however, are reserved to family court staffs, which are also responsible for providing adult probation services.

Jurisdiction over Children. Upon receipt of complaints or petitions, the family courts retain jurisdiction over children under the age of 18 who: violate or attempt to violate any law or ordinance; are neglected or abandoned; are beyond the control of their parents or other custodians; live in an environment injurious to their welfare; or behave in a manner injurious to their own or others' welfare.

The courts also hear cases concerning: determination of the custody of any child; appointment of a child's guardian; adoption; termination of parental

rights; consent to marriage, employment or enlistment; treatment or commitment of mentally defective, retarded or mentally ill minors.

Jurisdiction over Adults. The Family Courts also have iurisdiction over adults in limited kinds of criminal cases, such as: any offense committed against a child by his parent, guardian, or legal or physical custodian; desertion, abandonment or failure to provide support; and misdemeanor offenses against one's spouse.

In civil matters, jurisdiction covers divorce, annulment and support proceedings, paternity cases and the commitment of mentally ill adults.

Marriage counseling services are also available through the courts although the cooperation of other social agencies is often sought.

Statistics. There was an increase in filings in all family courts from 16,055 in 1972-73 to 16,420 in 1973-74, up 2.3 per cent. The largest increase was in marital actions, up 8.1 per cent from last year. Juvenile referrals, however, continue to constitute the largest single classification in family court matters, representing over one-third of the total caseload. An increase in these referrals last year of 1.4 per cent, although relatively small, may indicate a trend. If so, a steady acceleration of juvenile referrals can be expected, especially in the area of law violations.

New Laws

Act 113/74 amended the law relating to mechanic's and materialman's liens by providing for their application in special proceedings in circuit court of the circuit where property lies. The act further specifies that lien shall not attach to the property until the court finds probable cause and so orders.

Act 145/74 revised circuit and supreme court fees for judicial proceedings and extended supreme court's fee-setting powers to include district court fees, and established such fees.

Act 149/74 authorized an increase in courts processing fees for serving civil summons, warrants, attachments or other civil process in all courts from \$3 to \$5, and increased fees for serving a subpoena or garnishee summons from \$2 to \$3.

Act 147/74 increased compensation of jurors from \$10 to \$20 per day and appropriated \$200,000 to provide for the increase.



IN MEMORIAM

Judge Gerald R. Corbett, regarded both here and on the Mainland as the father of the Family Court system, had the longest continuous service of any judge in Hawaii. First appointed by Presiden: Harry S. Truman in 1946, Judge Corbett served the Hawaii Judiciary for 23 years, 20 of them as juvenile court judge, and three years as senior Family Court judge. He retired in April. 1969, three years after seeing the realization of a cherished dream - the establishment of the Family Court in Hawaii, the first in the nation. Judge Corbett was born July 3, 1903, and died June 29, 1973.

First Circuit Court

The First Circuit-Court serves the entire Island of Oahu and its 678,262 residents, who constitute 81 per cent of the state's total population. It also retains jurisdiction over the Kalaupapa settlement in Kalawao, Molokai.

Of the circuit's 11 judges, two are assigned to the Family Court division, and two head the statewide Tax Appeal Court and Land Court.

Some 270 employees travel daily to the Judiciary Building from all parts of Oahu to provide the public with First Circuit Court services.

IN MEMORIAM

Arthur McColgan, Jr. Born August 19, 1925. Died February 21, 1974. Clerk II, First Circuit Court, Honolulu

Circuit Court Proper

The court has seen its total caseload increase by over 2,000 matters in the last five years, during which it has had no increase in staff. In addition, the lack of adequate physical facilities, a problem approaching critical proportions, has hampered work conditions, accommodation of the public and storage of records, files and equipment.

As Figure 2 indicates, 6,971 cases were filed during the past fiscal year, up 4.7 per cent over the previous one. Only 5,613 cases were terminated, resulting in an increase in backlog from 10,456 cases pending in FY 1972-73 to 11,814 cases at the end of FY 1973-74 (up 13 per cent). The appointment of four judges during the final half of FY 1973-74, and two appointments planned in the next fiscal year should alleviate this situation.

Retirements and Appointments. Judge Allen R. Hawkins retired May 28, 1974, having served 15 years on the bench. Judge Thomas S. Ogata was appointed to the Hawaii State Supreme Court in February, 1974. New appointments to the First Circuit Court include Judge Walter M. Heen, February, 1974; Judge Harold Shintaku, and Judge Yoshimi Hayashi, April, 1974; and Judge Toshimi Sodetani, May, 1974.

Civil Actions. These actions continued to constitute the largest classification of cases filed in circuit court proper. Of the 6,470 primary proceedings filed during the year, civil actions numbered 2,850, or 44 per cent of the total. The increase of civil filings over the previous fiscal year was 252 cases, up 9.7 per cent.

Despite a slight decrease in new filings from the previous year, motor vehicle injury/damage cases continue to comprise the largest single category among all civil actions.

Civil Ready Calendar

Total number of cases pending

as of June 30, 1973 1,078 Statements of Readiness filed, 1973-74 . . 1,287 Total number of cases terminated 1973-74 . . 962 Total number of cases pending

as of June 30, 1974 . . . 1.403

Criminal Actions. A slight decrease (2.3 per cent) in criminal cases filed this year may be laid in part to the effects of the new Penal Code, which reclassified some felonies as misdemeanors and thus put them in the province of the district courts. Altogether, 1,551 defendants were charged in criminal cases.

Neighbor Isle family court administrators Sadao Nishida, Sherwood Hara and August Markham pause during parley in Honolulu.



FIGURE 2

CIRCUIT COURT PROPER-FIRST CIRCUIT FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS



Criminal Ready Calendar

Total number of cases pendi	ng					
as of June 30, 1973					•	918
Cases filed, 1973-74						1,253
Cases terminated, 1973-74.	•	•	•	•		1,135
Total number of cases pendin	ng					,
as of June 30, 1974		•				1.036

Probate Proceedings. There were 1,816 probate proceedings pending at the beginning of the fiscal year. The additional filing of 1,143 new cases and the termination of 970 during the year, left a balance of 1,816 cases pending as of June 30, 1974, an increase in backlog of 9.5 per cent.

Appeals. A total of 102 cases was submitted for appellate review to the Supreme Court of Hawaii in 1973-74, a decrease of five cases from the previous year. Civil actions comprised 56 per cent of all appeaks; criminal actions, 34 per cent; and divorce actions, 7 per cent. The remaining 3 per cent were special proceedings and one adoption proceeding.

A burgeoning number of documents and records filed poses a continuing storage problem in the legal documents branch of the circuit court. In addition to the increase in case filings, other categories aggravate the situation. For example, depositions filed jumped from 4,034 in FY 1972-73 to 4,535 in this fiscal year, an increase of more than 12 per cent.





Bailiff Timothy Kaapuni calls a case.

probation steno pool.

FIGURE 3

FAMILY COURT -FIRST CIRCUIT **FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS**



Adult Probation

Over 80 per cent of all adult probation activity in the state occurs in the First Circuit. This overwhelming volume of responsibility has necessitated the operation of an Adult Probation Department as a separate division of the First Circuit, whereas in all other circuits adult probation cases are handled by the family courts.

In the First Circuit, the ratio of investigative and supervisory cases to staff continued to rise unabated, To cope with the workload, additional probation officers and clerical help are needed. Specialists in training, programming and research are also necessary to improve services.

Plans are being made for the possible involvement of volunteers in the supervision of deferred acceptance of guilty plea (DAGP) cases.

In 1973-74, out of a total caseload of 1,866 persons on probation, only 18 people had their probation revoked by virtue of a new conviction, technical violation, or discharge under the Hawaii Penal Code. Using this criteria, recidivists are less than one per cent of the total caseload covered by Adult Probation in the First Circuit.

In 1973 a collateral services program was funded by a grant of \$23,527 from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. Three workers are engaged in pretrial investigations, primarily of bail matters.

Changes in the Penal Code, specifically in the reduction of car thefts and possession of certain drugs to misdmeanors, brought about a sizable drop in presentence referrals (approximately 33 per cent) during the past fiscal year. However, the reconversion of car theft to felony in 1974 is expected to result in a 15 per cent rebound of referrals.

Detention Service. Hale Ho'omalu, located in midtown Honolulu, is maintained by the Family Court as a temporary detention facility for children between the ages of 11 and 18 requiring secure custody pending court disposition of their cases.

There were 1,813 children admitted to the facility during the last fiscal year: 1,091 males and 722 females.

The emphasis is on a secure, yet therapeutic and constructive environment. Medical treatment is provided through a part-time nurse as well as on-cal teams of medical specialists. Designated as Olomana at Hale Ho'omalu, the detention home school has been reassigned to the Windward School District with classroom instruction supervised by the Department of Education.

The Judiciary's Volunteer Service Program recruited resource people and donations of supplies for

a highly successful program luring the summer. Personal grooming, music, d. ing, drawing, painting and crafts classes showed significant therapeutic results. A permanent part-time art-music instructor would add a new dimension to detention home activities that appear to reduce hostilities and the acting out of aggressive behavior by detainees.

Adult Services. Adult referrals declined by 10 cases to 579 in this fiscal year.

An innovative social study of custodial disputes over minor children was undertaken by the Family Court in cooperation with the Psychiatry Department of the University of Hawaii Medical School.

In referred cases, separate video tapes are made of each parent interacting with the child or children in controlled situations in which they view drawings and build objects with blocks.

The video taped sessions are then viewed by the psychiatric staff, judges and Adult Services staff members. After each participant rates each parent and makes recommendations, evaluations are made based on total input of the group.

Although not controlling, the video-taped sessions and evaluations contribute significantly to social studies in custody disputes that will make them more meaningful to parents, children, attorneys representing parents, and to the court.

Act 189/73 relating to spouse abuse was the subject of several meetings of Adult Services with the Honolulu Police Department and the prosecutor's office to work out procedures for the act's implementation.

Family Court

The Family Court of the First Circuit, with 136 employees, constitutes the largest single court division in the state. Its four branches-Court Services, Children and Youth Services. Detention Services and Adult Services-have an annual total caseload of approximately 20,000 matters involving juvenile and domestic actions.

Two circuit court judges are designated by the Chief Justice to hear Family Court matters. In June, 1973, five assisting referees were appointed by the Chief Justice as district family court judges under Act 219.

In the past year, Family Court judges, in a joint effort with the Family Law Committee of the Hawaii Bar Association, continued updating and refining the Hawaii Family Court Rules for submission to the Supreme Court for promulgation by the end of 1974.

Major efforts were made by the Family Court staff to analyze the Juvenile Justice Master Plan. In December, 1973, Senior Judge Herman T. F. Lum

The 12th Annual Conference of Conciliation Courts was held May 13-17, 1974, in Hawaii. Spearheaded by Conference President Judge Betty Vitousek, with Judge Paul C. Kokubun as program chairman and Family Court Director Wayne Kanagawa as general chairman, the conference attracted a number of judges and counselors concerned with matrimonial matters from the mainland. Psychiatrist Carl Whitaker, M. D. was the keynote speaker. During the year, judges and court administrators

after "police counseling." The ongoing thrust of Children and Youth Services has been to reach out for effective working relationships with community agencies specializing in mental health and social case work. Catholic Social Services and Queen Lilioukalani Children's Center are among agencies cooperating with the courts.

During the past year a successful joint effort between Children and Youth Services and Child and Family Service resulted in that agency's offer of a liason social worker to maintain office hours at Family Court one day a week to receive and expedite cases appropriate to Child and Family Service.



initiated a workshop sponsored by the State Law Enforcement Juvenile Delinquency Planning Agency to exchange views and develop specific input by the Family Court.

attended a total of nine sessions devoted to training in Family Court related matters.

Children and Youth Services. The number of children's referrals increased from 4,480 in Fiscal Year 1972-73 to 4,715 in this fiscal year, up 5.2 per cent. Of a total of 7,786 juvenile arrests reported by police during the year, only 53.5 per cent or 4,163 were referred to Family Court, with the remainder released

The Hawaii Legal Auxiliary launched a community-wide foster home recruiting effort with extensive publicity; a training program for foster parents and other volunteers was initiated by CYS and the Mental Health Team.





irt clerk Patricia Trask administers the oath.



Lunch on the lawn means music by court messenger Randall Lim.



Judge Norito Kawakami Administrative Judge

The Future. The requirements of due process with all

necessary documentation, along with early and often

multiple hearings, poses a big challenge in fast record

keeping. The clerical work force has always been inadequate so an increase in personnel is needed; but beyond that, an attempt must be made to merge new technology into operations. Lack of permanent and sufficiently large office facilities is a complicating fac-

Caseload Statistics. There was an increase of 352 filings, up 2.8 per cent over the prior fiscal year. The increase was seen primarily in marital actions where divorce filings increased from 3,964 to 4,194.

Buddy System. Designed to focus on guiding the behavior of youngsters known to the court as offenders or potential offenders, this demonstration project trained and compensated 40 adult residents of disadvantaged areas to serve as "buddies" to youths in their communities. When the program ended in October, 1973, it had paired more than 400 youngsters. Final evaluation conducted by the University of Hawaii's Social Welfare Development and Research Center indicated that records showed dramatic reductions

in the number of youths committing first offenses

and in the number of repeat offenses by youths who entered the program. Funded by grants totaling

Parent Education - Phase I. The goal of this project is to establish and continue within the Family Court a systematic program of education, information and interpretation of the court's philosophy and judicial procedures to parents of children who are referred to the court. Phase I involved planning to devise the best approaches to achieve the above objective. Funded by a \$3,476 grant from the Law Enforcement and Juve

Intensive Intervention Project. In its second year, the

project sought by early intensive treatment to more

permanently divert children from the court system who are referred for the first time as runaways, are

seen as in need of supervision, or are first-time law

offenders. Funded by a \$25,845 grant from LEJDPA

Federally Funded Projects

\$138,546 from HEW and HUD.

nile Delinquency Planning Agency.

tor.





Judge Masato Doi







Judge Thomas S. Ogata Appointed to Supreme Court February, 1974









Judge Paul C. Kokubun













Judge Robert W. B. Chang Judge John C. Lanham



Judge Walter M. Heen



Judge Herman T. F. Lum Senior Judge, Family Court



Judge Betty M. Vitousek



Judge John T. West, Jr.



Judge Patrick K. S. L. Yim

District Family Court Judges



Judge S. George Fukuoka



Chief clerk Lyons Naone guides Second Circuit operations.

FIGURE 4 **CIRCUIT COURT PROPER -**SECOND CIRCUIT FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS



Second Circuit Court

The Second Circuit Court serves the Islands of Maui, Molokai and Lanai, and their aggregate population of 52.434.

As the only circuit in the state whose jurisdiction entails regular inter-island travel, the Second Circuit holds court (including all jury trials) in Wailuku, Maui, scheduling semi-annual sessions on Lanai and Molokai.

A staff of 29 employees processes all court documents and serves the public in this jurisdiction.

Circuit Court Proper

As indicated in Figure 4, new filings in the cirucit court proper declined in this fiscal year from 871 to 818. The total, however, still exceeds those for both FY 1970-71 and FY 1971-72.

Declines in filings occurred in criminal actions, probate proceedings, civil actions and guardianship proceedings. The over all decrease was 53 cases, down 6.1 per cent from the previous year.

Miscellaneous proceedings showed a net increase of 26 cases. Naturalization and mechanic's and materialman's lien cases also increased, while a slight decrease in other special proceedings occurred.

Facilities. In this fiscal year, the Circuit Court conference room was converted into a family courtroom, with appropriate furnishings, a tape recorder and publie address system installed. The main corridor and library were also fitted with a P.A. system and speakers.

Family Court

Arrangements were completed during the year to have all Family Court cases arising on the islands of Lanai and Molokai set for hearings at sessions held at least once a month, with either one of the two district court judges presiding as district family judge. This change was authorized by a legislative act passed during the 1973 session.

As the fiscal year ended, plans were being made to have a similar procedure apply to all Family Court cases on Maui, thus providing the circuit court judge with more time for criminal and civil matters.

A sharp decrease in children's referrals, particularly in traffic cases now under the jurisdiction of the district court, accounted for an over all decline in Family Court filings in FY 1973-74. The total was down from 1,310 cases in the previous year to 1,055 in this one, a dip of 19.5 per cent.

Other classifications, in contrast, rose considerably. Marital actions increased by 87 cases, up 35.1 per cent, consisting primarily of divorce actions. Increases were also noted in adoptions and paternity proceedings.

Adult Services and Probation. There was an increase in the number of persons placed on probation during the year from 60 adults in FY 1972-73 to 66 adults in FY 1973-74. Moreover, only 19 supervisees were terminated from supervision during this period, leaving a pending caseload of 1.77 adults on June 30, 1974. Activity also increased in pre-sentence and post sentence investigations.

It is apparent from the nature and composition of the clientele coming within the jurisdiction of the Second Circuit probation service that the number of recent arrivals in the state make up an increasing percentage in the offender group. Consequently, the pre-sentence process now requires more time in order to conduct background checks with resources on the Mainland.

Children and Youth. Although children's referrals dropped from 764 to 475 in FY 1973-74, the number of juveniles arrested in the law violation category actually increased. On the brighter side, it should be noted that no minority commitments of juveniles from Maui County to the Hawaii Youth Correctional Facility have been necessary in the last two fiscal years. The court has resorted successfully to threemonth placements at the Maui Live-In Center where behavior modification efforts have been fruitful in several cases where minority commitment to the training school had been foreseen initially.

Training Sessions. During the year, staff members attended a conference on the juvenile justice component of the Correctional Master Plan sponsored by the State Law Enforcement and Planning Agency, and participated in the Conference of Conciliation Courts held in Honolulu. The probation supervisor attended the Juvenile Justice Management Institute sponsored by the National Council of Juvenile Court Judges.

The Future. The occasional use of Maui detention facilities and professional staff for selected juvenile offenders in other family court probation divisions throughout the state is a developing practice that may be expanded.

In the event law violations of a local nature are alleged against any such juvenile, jurisdiction will be urged upon the court of original jurisdiction, except in cases where denials are entered resulting in local trials where witnesses would be more accessible.





25

Court reporter Louneille Medeiros needs nimble fingers.

FIGURE 5



Maui Live-In Center. As the detention home serving both the Second and Third Circuit Family Courts, this 10-bed domicile is located in Kahului and is the only juvenile detention facility outside Honolulu. The Live-In Center is so designated because, apart from the regular custodial confinement for juvenile offenders and runaways, it offers a live-in, behavior modification arrangement to juvenile probationers at odds with their families.

During the past year the center admitted 121 youngsters for an average stay of 2.7 days each. A total of 12 children stayed for more than 30 days. Of the detainees, 80 were referred to the center by the police; 41, by the courts. The live-in arrangement, which was instituted in 1972, has proved highly successful. With the help of the State Division of Library Services a multi-media center was established at the facility as the year ended.

Boy Builders, Unlimited, Inc. For more than a quarter of a century, Boy Builders, Unlimited has operated as a delinquency prevention camping program for boys referred by the court, social workers or teachers as being potential offenders or in need of

26

such an experience. Since its inception thousands of boys between 10 and 13 years of age have partici pated in the camp sessions.

More than 100 boys participated this fiscal year in the one week camp conducted by the Probation and Police Departments, in concert with the Maui Pub lishing Company, Ltd.

The operational costs for the 1974 camp totalled \$3,500, most of which was raised through donation from throughout the county. In addition to proba tion and police officers who served as counselors, li young adults served in other capacities.

Third Circuit Court

The Third Circuit Court covers the entire Island of Hawaii (population 70,228). From the courthouse in Hilo, two judges render judicial services to an area totaling over 4,000 square miles-more than three times the size of any other circuit.

Judges and staff commute hundreds of miles regularly to scheduled court sessions outside Hilo, in Honokaa, Kamuela, Kohala, Kona and Ka'u courthouses.

The Third Circuit Court employs a staff of 34 to serve the public.

Circuit Court Proper

This was a year of transition for the Third Circuit Court. Judge Ernest Kubota and Judge Shunichi Kimura were sworn in during the spring of 1974 to replace Judge Nelson K. Doi who resigned to run for the office of leiutenant governor, and Judge Benjamin Menor who was appointed to the Supreme Court as an Associate Justice in April, 1974.

Mrs. Terry Kaide was appointed Chief Clerk in October, 1973, to replace Mrs. Margaret Heine, who retired after 35 years service.

Three girls assigned to the court temporarily under the Comprehensive Employment Training Act aided in updating records in projects that had been set aside due to a manpower shortage.

Caseload Statistics. There was an increase of 6.8 per cent in filings in the Third Circuit Court this fiscal year, up from 985 in FY 1972-73 to 1,052. As indicated in Figure 6. there has been a steady increase for the past four fiscal years.

Classifications of circuit court proper cases arranged according to total filings include civil actions, probate proceedings, miscellaneous proceedings, criminal actions, guardianship proceedings and supplemental proceedings. Increases were noted in all classifica-

Time out for smiles from stenographer Ruth Yotsuji and supervisor Margaret Ogawa.



Filings in this fiscal year increased from 1,742 to 1,954 cases for a gain of 212 cases, up 12.2 per cent. Children's Referrals. Unlike the first and second cir-

750 96%

tions except criminal actions which declined from 220 in the previous year to 160 in FY 1973-74. Burglaries and narcotic drug law offenses were the two leading categories of criminal actions filed.

Terminations did not keep up with filings, due in part to a change in judges. There was a decrease in terminations by 58 cases, down 5.9 per cent.

Appeals. During the year, 11 cases were appealed to the Supreme Court - six civil cases and five criminal.

The Future. It is hoped the Federal Government will soon find a way to take over all naturalization services. In addition to their own increased workload, estate and guardianship clerks must distribute immigration and naturalization forms, type petitions and certificates, and assist in preparing for hearings.

Family Court

cuits, the Family Court of the Third Circuit retains

FIGURE 6



Primary 🔠 Supplemental Primary Supplemental



Judge Ernest H. Kubota



Judge Shunichi Kimura



Judge Benjamin Menor Appointed to Supreme Cou April, 1974



Judge Nelson K. Doi **Resigned November**, 1973

jurisdiction over all juvenile matters, including traffic referrals. Therefore, it is not surprising to note that children's referrals is the largest classification in this circuit, followed by marital actions, supplemental proceedings, adoption proceedings and adult referrals.

Because this is the only jurisdiction handling juvenile traffic violations in the Family Court, responsibility was assumed for developing an educational program that would be both meaningful and beneficial to the community. With cooperation from the Traffic Violations Bureau of the Judiciary on-going Remedial Driver Training classes were scheduled in Hilo. Of the 73 traffic law violators ordered to attend, 72 graduated successfully. In time, some baseline should be set for a concrete evaluation of driving attitudes. It is hoped ultimately to expand the driver education program to cover the entire island of Hawaii.

The goals of the Hilo Multi-Purpose Center are becoming a reality. That agency has been most helpful in developing good relationships as well as good programs. Summer helpers were instrumental in pro-

FIGURE 7

28

FAMILY COURT -THIRD CIRCUIT FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS



moting innovative experiences that were both fun and educational.

Adult Services and Probation. There were 62 new placements on adult probation during the fiscal year, up only one case over the previous year. Thirty-two persons were terminated from probation supervision, leaving a pending caseload of 144 probationers at the end of the year.

Investigative activity increased from 136 to 164 cases, up 20.6 per cent. Pre-sentence investigations accounted for most of this increase.

The Future. Orientation should be toward less development of Judiciary programs per se, with more focus of resources on programs in the community so participation will not stigmatize clients. Services presently are limited to treatment of individual clients falling within the jurisdication of the courts. These services should be expanded to include both family and environment.

Federally Funded Projects

Counseling Service for Children Affected by Divorce or Separation of Their Parents. Now in its third year, this project claims significant success in intensive counseling of children of divorce or separation to stem subsequent delinquent behavior. Volunteer tutors from Hilo College are being sought to work with youngsters with learning disabilities. Five college students have assisted as tutors and social worker aides during the life of the project. Computer services were obtained for the first time during this fiscal year, rendering invaluable aid in analyzing information accumulated during this program. A definite trend is foreseen in the increase in the number of divorces, two-thirds of which involve minor children. Pre-divorce counseling, which is not now provided, is seen as a vital necessity in the future to focus on meeting needs of these youngsters and minimizing parental hostility. Present project is funded by a \$26,552 grant from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.

Case Aide - Kona. This project, now in its second year, provides a case aide to Kona probation officers to relieve them of non-professional duties. Among successful rehabilitation programs accomplished through this effort during the year was Project Rebound, comprised of a group of six juvenile boys organized by probation officers and the case aide to engage in educational and recreational activities. As an adjunct to meetings with counselors, the boys washed cars, picked coffee beans and macadamia nuts to raise money for an excursion to Oahu in March, 1974. Project is funded by a \$8,667 grant from LEAA.

Fifth Circuit Court

The Fifth Circuit Court serves the Islands of Kauai and Niihau, with a total population of 31,223.

One judge hears all circuit court cases in the Lihue, Kauai Courthouse.

A staff of 15 handles all preliminary and ongoing paperwork for efficient court functioning.

Circuit Court Proper

Case filings in the Fifth Circuit Court jumped from 323 in 1972-73 to 483 in 1973-74, up 49.5 per cent, with criminal actions representing the sharpest increase.

Renovation of the Judiciary building, begun in February, 1973, was completed. The circuit courtroom was revised along a triangular concept and air conditioning was installed, eliminating outside noise.

The Chief Justice, who participated in dedication ceremonies, expressed appreciation to county legislators who pushed for renovation, and to the staff which was often inconvenienced by frequent moves in order to accomplish the change.

Civil Actions. Civil actions remained fairly stable, increasing by only five cases. The largest category was damage suits.

Criminal Actions. Up 245.9 per cent from the previous year, criminal actions totaled 128 new filings. Gambling and drug abuses constituted the two largest categories.

Other Actions. Probate proceedings increased slightly, while guardianship cases declined from 24 to 14, due to the lowering of the age of majority to 18.

Court reporter William Nestuk at your service.







Judge Alfred Laureta



Documents clerk Barbara Haruki brightens her corner.

Family Court

The Fifth Circuit Family Court is acutely aware of the need for ongoing support of community programs that have a direct bearing on its own delivery of services. To this end, the court has sparked continuing interest in the Big Brother - Big Sister programs, in family planning, in the mini-bike and group foster homes projects.

To evaluate the Court's role in the community, a study surveying persons who had utilized court services was conducted during the summer by interns employed by the Family and Probation Services.

In a major change, probation and counseling services were extended to include the district court, and special training sessions were started. Since the court staff is limited, workshops were broadened in scope to include other professionals in the human services field. Family counseling, human sexuality, and behavior modification techniques were among the courses studied.

Family and probation services have been positively affected by a move to new facilities. A more complete means of service delivery has resulted from the close proximity of the Legal Aid, Consumer Protection and Public Defender offices now located on the same floor in the building.

Changes in spouse abuse legislation affected the delivery of probation services. The revised laws necessitated procedural changes and, in addition to meeting the need for staff orientation, such community agencies as the Police Department, Public Defender, Legal Aid, and Department of Social Services were invited by the court to participate in the instruction seminars.

The Future. The need is seen for more training programs relating to the total Judiciary as evidenced by participation in the Systems Management Training course offered by the administrative office. Apart from the basic training benefits, an "esprit de corps" developed, sharpening managers' awareness of the complexities of the total organization.

Caseload Statistics. Of a total 466 cases filed, 429 (92 percent) were primary proceedings and 37 (9 per cent) supplemental.

Adult Services and Probation. The number of adult referrals declined to 11 cases this year. The number of adult probation investigations, however, increased 25 per cent from eight to 10 cases, as did the number of new supervisions.

Children and Youth. Counter to the trend established

in the other circuits, where the transfer of juvenile traffic referrals to the district court level has resulted in a decline of such cases in family courts, juvenile traffic referrals actually increased 76.9 per cent in the Fifth Circuit.

Federally Funded Projects

Group Foster Homes and Career Foster Parents Program. The objective of this innovative pilot project initiated in 1972 was to establish effective group foster homes for children who are under the jurisdiction of the Family Court. Foster parents received formal training in the project which was completed in June, 1974. Funded by a \$9,140 grant from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.

FIGURE 9 FAMILY COURT -FIFTH CIRCUIT FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS







ALTERNA AND INC.



Commissioners on Big Island screen jurors.

Supervising court reporter Tony Ornellas sometimes substitutes in Neighbor Island jurisdictions.



District Courts

Each of the four judicial circuits in Hawaii has a district court which functions as a court of first instance with limited jurisdiction.

District courts exercise exclusive jurisdiction in civil matters involving less than \$500, small claims cases, traffic and other violations, and criminal misdemeanors. They also conduct the initial criminal procedures in felony cases that originate within their dis-

Concurrent jurisdiction with the circuit courts is exercised in civil matters involving more than \$500 but less than \$5,000. All case decisions are rendered by judges, although defendants desiring jury trials may have their cases transferred to the circuit court

All appeals are made directly to the State Supreme Court.

Judges. District court judges are appointed for sixyear terms by the Chief Justice. They must be attorneys with a minimum of five years' experience as members of the Hawaii bar.

Reorganization. How to cope with the ever-increasing caseloads without adversely affecting the quality of justice remains the prime problem of judicial administration. Without increases in staff and additional sophisticated equipment, however, it is a problem that does not augur well for the district courts.

The past 24 months have marked the first two years for the district courts in their new role as courts of record. Previous to the passing of Act 188/70, they numbered 27 and functioned as district magistrate courts. Since they were not courts of record, all appeals went first to the circuit court level for a trial de novo (new trial). There were 28 magistrates, or presiding judicial officers, rather than the 13 judges who serve today.

When Act 188/70 went into effect January 1, 1972, however, the District Courts were reorganized to conform more to the concept of a unified Judiciary. Now, traffic violations may be settled in any district court and its divisions throughout the state; civil suits, though filed in one circuit, may be tried in another if the plaintiff so desires. Uniform court rules have been adopted, and judges from one circuit are

In over 77.2 per cent of all cases, however, termination was by bail forfeiture, which is the particular province of the Violations Bureau (see below).

Civil Actions. An increased workload for clerks was the direct result of the recent Small Claims Act, which gave the district courts jurisdiction in cases for the recovery of sums less than \$300, as well as in landlord-tenant cases involving disagreements about security deposits.

\$1,000.

frequently assigned to serve in another when needed. Despite the fact that the past two years have been years of implementation-of new procedures, rules and laws-the district courts have continued to dispense minimally satisfactory judicial services to the general public. However, the implementations, in tandem with the overwhelming increases in caseload, have resulted in severely overtaxed personnel.

Dozens of new laws have heaped an incredible amount of work upon the courts. A recent Supreme Court decision, as just one example, prohibits incarceration of defendants who can not pay their lines. The collection of fines on a pay later plan has bogged down operations. It has worked a considerable hardship on clerks who now have to cope with more time-consuming paperwork, frustratingly late payments, the issuance of bench warrants for persons who have defaulted on payments, and the subsequent recall of same when persons resume payment.

Traffic and Other Violations. District courts are empowered to hear all cases involving violations of laws governing traffic, airport ramps, dog leash, fish and game, harbor boating, industrial safety, etc. This year the total caseload of such violations numbered well over half a million matters, which the courts could scarcely hope to schedule, much less hear.

The number of small claims cases increased dramatically from 993 filings in FY 1972-73 to 1,552 this year, up 56.3 per cent. Each case reflects approximately half an hour of clerk time, since clerks were invariably asked for assistance in filling out the necessary forms and performing related tasks.

Total civil actions numbered 13,832, up from 13,585 in the previous year.

Criminal Actions. Criminal actions showed a marked increase from 13,239 in FY 1972-73 to 18,772 cases in FY 1973-74, up 5,533 cases or 41.8 per cent.

Drunk driving and larceny cases led the specific classifications in the district courts where criminal jurisdiction is confined to misdemeanors (shoplifting, prostitution, assaults, etc.) with the maximum punishment consisting of one year in jail and/or a fine of



Retired Supreme Court Justic Rhoda V. Lewis researched fee schedules for new laws.

Driver Improvement Program

Defensive driving and remedial driving classes operate in all districts for traffic offenders deemed hazardous by the courts or police. During Fiscal Year 1973-74, the statewide defensive driving course was given to 2,164 traffic violators. Some 880 juvenile traffic offenders took the remedial driving course.

Violations Bureau

As described in detail in the section on the District Court of the First Circuit, the Violations Bureau is an arm of the court that, through its data processing unit, prepares and processes citations for traffic and other violations. It accounts for a vast amount of terminations, since the majority of violators forfeit bail via a postage-paid citation form developed by the Bureau. These return mail citations are uniform and operative in all circuits, with central records maintained in the Bureau's Honolulu offices.

New Laws

Act 58/74 permits certain officials of law enforcement agencies (other than the Police Department) to administer the oath when the issuing officer subscribes to having issued a summons or citation. This means the officers are no longer required to subscribe and swear to the issuance of said summons in the presence of a prosecuting attorney.

Act 91/74 levies a penalty assessment of \$1.00 on conviction or forfeiture of bail by persons involved in traffic violations (except parking, registration or pedestrian violations). The act created a special Driver



Education and Training Fund to be used exclusively for and in lieu of the appropriation made to the Driv er Improvement Program. Collection of the assessment begins on July 1, 1974 for applicable citations issued on and after June 1, 1974.

Act 93/74 changed the time limitation of parking vielations from one to two years, permitting the district courts more time to gather necessary information be fore a penal summons is issued.

Act 106/74 added a penalty clause which had been omitted to the Safety Inspection on Motor Vehicles act, making this law valid.

Act 145/74 extended the Supreme Court's fee-setting powers to include district court fees and established such fees.

Act 149/74 increased fees for serving civil summons. warrants attachments, subpoenas or garnishee sum houses in Ewa, Koolauloa, Koolaupoko, Waianae, Wamons.

Act 163/74 called for implementation of "no fault insurance. Its impact on the filing of damage suits will not be felt until FY 1974-75. Funds carmarked for the Judiciary's Driver Education Program should ult mately effect statewide expansion of the program.

Other. The Violations Bureau was primarily affected by the passage of new laws governing vehicular nois control, air pollution control, animal complaint and summons, public parks, airport ramp control, and streets, sidewalks, malls and other public places.

Federally Funded Projects

National Highway Safety Project. Of two request submitted through the office of the State Highwa Safety Coordinator, one to provide for attendance Satery coordinator, one to provide for attenuances million, and growing at a rate of more than half a district court judges at the National College of the million, and growing at a rate of more than five per Judiciary's traffic safety workshop was approved. At the neurally, the District Court is faced with a steadother to provide key employees with work training in worsening problem. through conferences, seminars and workshops will b considered in the future.

TVB supervising clerk

District Court of the First Circuit

The District Court of the First Circuit encompasses the Island of Oahu and the Kalaupapa settlement in Kalawao, Molokai.

The main courthouse in downtown Honolulu serves as headquarters for eight judges, who also preside over regular scheduled sessions at rural courthiawa and Waialua.

In addition, a Violations Bureau operates as a division of the court and is responsible for maintaining a central depository of all traffic records in the state.

A total of 178 employees serve the public in the District Court of the First Circuit.

Caseload Statistics. There was a total of 529,380 cases filed in the District Court of the First Circuit this year, comprising more than 92 per cent of the total number of cases filed in all district courts.

Filings were up by 28,245 cases over the previous year, with all categories showing increases. Of the total cases filed, 503,507 were traffic and other violations; 10,960 were civil cases; and 14,913 were criminal actions.

Changes. With a total caseload of more than half a

The appointments of Judge Wendell K. Huddy in November, 1973 and Judge Andrew J. Salz in March, 1974, were offset by the spring movement of Judge Walter M. Heen and Judge Yoshimi Hayashi to the First Circuit Court. Act 114/74, increasing the number of district court judges from eight to eleven should provide some relief.

In February, 1974, the district court staff produced statistical data for Space Management Consultants, who have been contracted by the State of Hawaii to conduct a study for a new district court building If justice is to be served expeditiously, this facility must become a reality in the very near future.

DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT

433,430



70-71

Irene Chena heads a busy office.

Counseling Service. As an integral part of the public services of the District Court, the Counseling Service prepares presentence investigations and reports, supervises adult misdemeanants placed under sentence supervisions or Deferred Acceptance of Guilty Pleas. and renders social casework services to misdemeanants and their families.

In addition to the 670 active cases pending at the close of the last fiscal year, the Counseling Service received a total of 1,604 referrals from the district court and other agencies this year. Of the referrals, 86 per cent came from the criminal division. The provision in the Hawaii Penal Code stating that any defendant between the ages of 18 and 22 years can, as a matter of right, request a presentence study and follow-up supervision has tripled the caseload, while the counseling staff has remained stationary.

FIGURE 10



Terminated

FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS

Filed

Staff. The Counseling Service would require five more counselors and two additional clerical employees to meet the minimum standard recommended by the National Council on Crime and Delinquency - not more than 50 cases per counselor.

Due to the pressure of mounting caseloads, the Counseling Service, with administrative permission, has had to resort increasingly to verbal reports, as distinct from the more desirable written ones. Also, since 90 per cent of staff time is concentrated on pre-sentence demands, the important area of follow-up supervision has been minimal, only 10 per cent of staff time. Instead of the desired field work and person-to-person contact, the staff has had to improvise and place more and more reliance upon telephone contacts.

The Counseling Service continued participation in the Judiciary's highly successful Voluntcers in Probation program. Some 25 to 30 citizens are active as volunteer counselor-aides. Generally, each volunteer is active with one case; however, there have been instances when an especially competent volunteer carried as many as three cases.

The Counseling Service participated in the National Conference of Conciliation Courts held in Honolulu in May, 1974.

The Future. Should the day arrive when staff and physical quarters are adequate, the Counseling Service hopes to affiliate with the School of Social Work, University of Hawaii in its student training program.

Further, the Service would-like to innovate the "systems approach," group encounter therapy sessions, and utilize more "sensitivity training" methods.

Driver Improvement Program

The Driver Improvement Program of the Violations Bureau is a core program with an outreach to similar efforts on Maui, Hawaii and Kauai. It constitutes a major preventive endeavor directed at both adult and juvenile traffic offenders and is the only such statewide program in the nation to be run by a judiciary. The program is conducted by the staff of the Violations Bureau's Division of Driver Education and a group of hand-picked, trained part-time instructors, the majority of them police officers.

Any driver who has caused an accident or committed a serious traffic violation may be referred to the program at the discretion of the courts or the police. There he may be assigned to take a course, consisting of five weeks for adults and six for juveniles, which conforms to goals of the National Highway Safety Act and emphasizes traffic safety and safe driving techniques.

Counseling is provided to problem or drunk driv-

ers. Violators are referred for physical or psychiatric examinations when indicated. Upon completion of the course, drivers are evaluated on the basis of at tendance, test scores and attitude. If a violator's case still awaits disposition by the court, the evaluationis formally reported to the referring judge, along with: recommendation for sentencing.

In the last fiscal year, 2,389 cases on Oahu went referred to the driver improvement by the courts with the majority of traffic offenders assigned to tak the defensive driving course. Evaluations of 1,888 dividuals were completed, as compared to 1,649 th previous year, an increase of 14.5 per cent.

In addition, 5,306 juvenile traffic cases wer processed in this program. After counseling and evaluate ation, 798 youngsters were referred and enrolled the Remedial Driver Training Course.

D.W.I. Counterattack Program. The D.W.I. (Driving While Intoxicated) Program was implemented in De cember, 1973. Its main objectives are to provide in formation on the consequences of drinking and dri ing, with special focus on individual differences a tolerance to alcohol; to explore reasons why peop drink and drive; and to induce offenders to develu countermeasures for their individual problems. Fi weekly two-and-one-half hour sessions are held. For low up counseling services by mental health agenci are recommended to students whose problems with alcohol require specialized treatment. At the endi the fiscal year, eight classes had been held with 2 students successfully completing the course.

The Future. It is hoped that funds derived as a res of the passage of Act 91/74 (Driver Training Fur and Act 163/74 (No Fault Insurance) will permitt Division of Driver Education to reactivate two F ventive programs suspended because of heavy @ loads and insufficient personnel: The "Warning" ter" and "Review of Driver Record" programs whi proved highly successful in the past would be direct to drivers with accumulated traffic offense points.

Other areas meriting innovative approaches clude mass driver education via all media; closer st tiny of drivers with physical or psychological imp ments; faster and simpler processes for dealing * motorists cited for minor traffic violations; great utilization of volunteers; additional use of other st city and private agencies; and acquisition of feb funds (Administrative Adjudication Program).



Violations Bureau

More than 585,000 violations issued by enforcement agencies in FY 1973-74 contributed to a 6.7 per cent escalation in the workload carried by the Violations Bureau of the District Court.

The Bureau is the division responsible for the accounting, recording and controlling of all traffic complaints, summonses and parking citations issued. It also supplies forms and collects bail for traffic violations as well as for violations of environmental codes and laws governing fish and game, dogs, harbor boating, parks, airport ramps, industrial safety and animal quarantine.

A new program to issue citations for a variety of violations regarding weights and measures of packaged goods was initiated in May, 1974, after several months of consultation with personnel of the Department of Agriculture.

To perform its services, the Bureau has at its core the only data processing unit in the Judiciary. The unit prepares all pre-trial traffic calendars, maintains records of traffic violations for all district courts, keeps track of all monies for the Violations Bureau and prepares statistical, budget and personnel reports for the District Court.

A second revision of the Violations Bureau manual was published and distributed in August, 1973. The manual has been of considerable help in explaining the procedures of the Bureau to various consultants and out-of-state court administrators who visited the court during the past year.

Workload. Of the 585,796 violation citations issued in FY 1973-74, parking infractions accounted for 464,118, or more than three-quarters of the total. There were 95,455 moving traffic violations, and 26,223 citations in the "other violations" category. Cases terminated by bail forfeiture become the direct responsibility of the Bureau, while for those cases that go to trial, the Bureau staff prepares pre-trial calendars with necessary supporting documents. In delinquent cases, preparation of additional notices and penal summons compounds the workload of the staff.

Uniform System. In order to facilitate its work, the Bureau four years ago developed a return-mail, postage-paid uniform citation system that is operative today in a number of its areas of responsibility, chief among them traffic. The system allows bail forfeiture by mail for lesser offenses and has met with unparalleled success in reducing the number of delinquent citations.

As a depository for all traffic records in all circuits, the Bureau provides for a uniform statewide

38

processing and record-keeping system. One of the outgrowths of this function is the Bureau's prep aration of traffic abstracts of motorists' records in all courts, as well as for federal and local government agencies and interested insurance firms.

As one facet of its operation, the Bureau has of tained the cooperation of the Honolulu Police De parti ent in stopping the issuance of licenses to dri ers with outstanding violations. Between January and April, a Violations Bureau staff member is statione full-time at the Honolulu Motor Vehicle License B reau to issue on-the-spot clearances, if warranted, t individuals who have been refused renewal of the license plates pending payment of fines.

For the convenience of the working public, # Bureau maintains evening hours on Mondays, whe fines can be paid and contested cases determined, a morning hours on Saturdays, when fines can be pa and traffic abstracts acquired. Traffic Arraignme Court is divided into categories, alphabetically and hours, so when the summons is issued by the poliofficer, the defendant knows not only the day case will be called, but the hour and courtroom which it will be heard.

The Future. Two innovative court procedures wor expedite justice and foment good public relations "para-judge" adjudication system would accomm date motorists who are reluctant to lose a day's wo or wages, so prefer to forfeit bail rather than retuin to court another day. An all day "walk-in" courts sion where guilty pleas with explanations could heard by a judge would benefit a number of citize especially tourists on a tight schedule who are cit for minor infractions of the law.

Each year the need becomes more urgent for computer or computer services, not only for the I lations Bureau but for the entire Judiciary.



Judge Frank T. Takao Administrative Judge





Judge Kenneth W. Harada





Judge Richard Y. C. Au



Judge Ronald B. Greig



Judge Andrew J. Salz



February, 1974



Judge James A. Leavey

Appointed First Circuit Court



Judge Yoshimi Havashi Appointed First Circuit Court April, 1974



Judge Wendell K. Huddv

District Court of the Second Circuit

The District Court of the Second Circuit serves the Islands of Maui, Molokai and Lanai. Two judges extend judicial services from the main courthouse in Wailuku, Maui, to divisions in Lahaina, Makawao, Hana and on Molokai and Lanai.

Although the Kalawao section of Molokai remains formally within the jurisdiction of the District Court of the First Circuit, for the sake of convenience the district court judges of the Second Circuit were designated to preside there under Section 603-1, Hawaii **Revised** Statutes.

Twelve employees expedite paper work and assist the public in this jurisdiction.

Caseload Statistics. Of the four district courts in the state, the Second Circuit was the one most reflecting effects of last year's energy crisis. Total cases filed dropped from 20,230 to 19,118, primarily because of a decrease in traffic cases due to restricted gasoline availability. Even so, traffic violations accounted for 69.2 per cent of all filings.

In other categories, civil actions jumped 40.4 per cent, and criminal actions doubled, up from 829 in FY 1972-73 to 1,657 this fiscal year. Drunk driving charges accounted for most criminal actions in a single category, followed by drug abuse violations, then gambling.

Changes. To relieve the Second Circuit Court judge of his workload, all family court matters on Molokai and Lanai were assumed by the district judges in January, 1974. Commencing October 1, 1974, the district judges will also be handling family court matters on the island of Maui.

Because of the shortage of clerk-reporters, increased use has been made of a tape recorder. With family court matters on Lanai now transferred to the district judges, a recorder is needed for that division.

The bailiff's position, a new concept in the neighbor island courts, has improved courtroom efficiency and expedited disposition of cases.

FIGURE 11 DISTRICT COURT OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS



Induited

Filed

Driver Improvement Program. Classes for both adults and juveniles are better organized this year with regular scheduling. Classes for Lahaina residents will be convened in the near future.

Facilities. Interim renovations of the Lahaina Division were completed this fiscal year. The courtroom was expanded and the clerks were relocated to the premises vacated by the police department. Additional repairs, including painting, better lighting, and the installation of two air conditioners, is programed for the second quarter of next year.

Personnel. After 29 years of dedicated service, Mrs. Lillian Nagamatsu, Chief Clerk, retired and was honored during a special ceremony. Thelma Sato was appointed acting Chief Clerk on December 31, 1973.

Judge Richard Komo attended the National College of State Judiciary session in Reno, Nevada in July, 1973.

The Future. A rapidly expanding population trend on the island of Maui, matched by ever increasing numbers of transient type visitors, is affecting the Second District caseload. Drunk driving cases alone rose from 75 last fiscal year to 362 in this one, up 383 per cent.

With police concentrating on a prevention program, citations have dropped; however, warning notices have jumped correspondingly. In this respect, the District Court needs its own counselor. The Circuit Court Probation Department, which has been providing these services, is straining under the overload caused by the assumption of responsibility for district court counseling.

The civil caseload expanded from 996 filings during the last fiscal year to 1,398 in this one, with an accompanying rise in the number of trials, motions and hearings necessary in all civil cases.

Passage of the Landlord-Tenant Code has resulted in a substantially increased workload for the clerk assigned to the small claims division. Filing of civil cases has, in fact, increased to a point where a separate civil division is envisioned in the near future.





Judge Kase Higa

District Court of the Third Circuit

The District Court of the Third Circuit, with two judges, serves the entire Island of Hawaii. Divisions are maintained in Kona, North Hilo, Hamakua, South Kohala, North Kohala, Ka'u and Puna. The court is headquartered in the State Office Building in Hilo.

A staff of 14 employees processes paperwork and provides court related services to the public.

Caseload Statistics. As Figure 12 indicates, the District Court of the Third Circuit has seen a dramatic increase in case filings since Fiscal Year 1970-71. Although the most marked increase was felt in FY 1971-72, when total filings more than doubled, this past year showed another steady increase, up 3,213 cases or 13.5 per cent. It should be noted that for each of the last four years, the number of terminations nearly equals the number of filings.

Traffic cases totaled 13,552, more than 50 per cent of the total cases filed. A decrease of 985 in the moving violation category is attributable to the energy crisis and subsequent gasoline shortage. Parking violations, on the other hand, jumped 37 per cent from 3,863 to 5,292 offenses.

Other violations, primarily airport violations, accounted for 10,823 filings, an increase of 2,998 cases, up 38.3 per cent from the previous year.

The 1,072 civil actions filed comprised only 3.9 per cent of the total. This was a decrease of 257 cases from the previous year. However, there was a 44.7 per cent increase in small claims cases, which require more court and staff time since all documents are prepared by the staff, and a larger percentage of small claims cases go to trial than other civil cases.

Although the 1,561 criminal actions filed indicate a very small increase of only six cases, it should be noted that there was a sizeable jump in Part I* offenses which include the violent crimes of murder, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault. The time required to handle preliminary hearings has increased considerably.

Excluding the classification of "all other offenses," the three leading criminal categories in FY

*The criminal offenses reported in the statistical tables are those categories utilized by the FBI in their annual series referred to as Uniform Crime Reports.

FIGURE 12

DISTRICT COURT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS 27.009



1973-74 were narcotic drug violations, driving under the influence of intoxicating substances, and larcenies under \$50. The conviction rate for drunk driving represented 81 per cent of the total case disposition

Changes. Judge Ernest H. Kubota was appointed to the Third Circuit Court in April, 1974. Judge Robert Ito was appointed to the District Court of the Third Circuit to replace him and will serve with Administrative Judge Mark Norman Olds.

The striking advantages of Hawaii's Unified Court System may be seen in the district courts where judges have been designated by the Chief Justice to serve as family court judges (normally a function reserved for Circuit Court jurists). They assist in and adjudicate family court cases--divorces, juvenile and adoption cases -- in the rural areas on the Big Island in conjunction with the regularly scheduled disposition of their district court functions. In the Third Circuit this innovation has obviated the need for a special trip by a circuit court judge to areas outside Hilo such as Kona, Kau, Hamakua, North and South Kohala.

Moreover, district judges of the neighbor island courts frequently served as substitutes for district judges on leave from the First Circuit in Honolulu during the fiscal year 1973-74.

Driver Improvement Program. The District Court now olfers defensive driving classes in Kohala and Ka'u as well as Hilo, Kona and Honokaa. In view of the many licensed drivers who lack knowledge of basic traffic laws, the court sees the requirement of a defensive driving course as a future prerequisite for obtaining a driver's license.

Facilities. A new North Kohala courthouse will be completed and dedicated in October, 1974. Next priority should go to the construction of a new facility for the rapidly developing Waimea area.

Personnel. A part-time student from Konawaena High School assisted in clerical chores during the fiscal year 1973-74, under the auspices of LEAA in the Kona Division. However, a second full-time clerk is sorely needed for that division.

There is also a need for a clerk-reporter. Travelling to rural areas like Kona and Kohala is wearing on reporters who must leave Hilo at 6:45 a.m. and often do not return until 7:30 p.m. or later. The strain is particularly great when one of the two reporters is on annual or sick leave.

Accounting and fiscal work in the Hilo office has increased tremendously to the point that one clerk assigned to this area as part of her regular traffic vio-



Judge Mark Norman Olds Administrative Judge



April, 1974

1974.



Judge Robert T. Ito

Judge Ernest H. Kubota Appointed to Third Circuit Court

lations bureau duties is simply unable to cope with the volume of accounting data.

Judge Olds attended the American Academy of Judicial Education at the University of Alabama during the summer of 1973, and the National College of the State Judiciary at Reno, Nevada, during May,

Judge Ito and Judge Olds attended the State Judicial Conference in Honolulu in May, 1974.

The chief clerk attended two Honolulu workshops on fee schedules for the district courts.

The Future. The District Court of the Third Circuit foresees the day when the jurisdiction level in civil cases will need to be raised in the district courts, and the use of juries to determine cases will be necessary. Additionally, jurisdiction to try all misdemeanors whether jury or non-jury with a reduced number of jurors should be a future consideration of the Legislature. A substantial savings in jury fees and time in selection of juries would be effected.



44

District Court of the Fifth Circuit

The District Court of the Fifth Circuit, with one judge, serves the Islands of Kauai and Niihau. Headquarters are in Lihue, Kauai, with divisions in Koloa, Hanalei, Kawaihau and Waimea.

Five employees serve the entire jurisdiction.

Caseload Statistics. A total of 4,955 cases were filed in the District Court of the Fifth Circuit during the fiscal year. This was an increase of 530 cases, up 12 per cent over the previous fiscal year.

Civil filings increased from 367 in FY 1972-73 to 402 in this fiscal year, for a net gain of 35 cases or 9 per cent. Criminal case filings increased from 342 in 1972-73 to 640 in 1973-74.

Traffic violations accounted for 59.2 per cent of the total cases filed. A jump from 2,435 cases in the last fiscal year to 2,935 in this one indicates a rise of more than 20 per cent in this category.

Changes. Case filings in the District Court of the Fifth Circuit have shown a steady acceleration in the past three years, up 12 per cent over last year; 37.4 per cent over FY 1970-71, (See Figure 13).

A sharp rise in small claims, up 134.5 per cent over the last fiscal year is especially significant since clerical and court time allocated to each small claims activity is considerably greater than for regular civil actions.

In the substantially increased criminal caseload, drunk driving offenses led, indicating an island wide crackdown in this area by the Kauai Police Department. Gambling and drug violations categories followed in second and third place respectively.

Driving Improvement Program. This program, initiated in 1972 as a satellite project of the Honolulu Violation Bureau's Division of Driver Education, was continued during 1973-74. A defensive driving program was started, then suspended when staff was moved into temporary quarters during building renovation. Plans are afoot to resume the program on an improved basis.

Facilities. Extensive remodeling and renovation of the Lihue courthouse was completed and dedicated. Fifth District quarters are now housed in an entire wing on the main floor of the courthouse, facilitating improved service to the public and contributing to heightened morale of the staff.

Personnel. The position of full time bailiff was estable mer helpers provided most needed assistance to the regular staff.

The judge attended a two week seminar for traffa court judges at the University of Nevada in early May 1974, and a session on recent developments in the law held in Honolulu May 31 - June 2, 1974.

The Future. Ground will be broken for the new Wai mea Neighborhood Center in September, 1974, which in Kaide, Chief Clerk will provide a new courtroom and related facilities for the Waimea Division. Plans are under way for a low sought new courtroom for the Koloa Division.

The Small Claims division sees a major need to extend its services to make it more convenient for citizens in outlying areas to utilize the Small Claims Court.

FIGURE 13

Filed



23 Loninated

DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH CIRCUM



SUPREME COURT CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74

	CASELOAD ACTIVITY	TYPE OF TERMINATION
	Pending at Start Filed Total Caseload Terminated Terminated Fending at	Opinion Filed Motion to Dismiss Granted Withdrawals and Discontinued Other
TOTAL CASES	150 419 569 383 186	ç9 8 38 238
PRIMARY CASES	141 178 319 147 172	97 8 38 4
Appeals Civil Criminal Other Appeals Original Proceedings	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	91 8 37 4 56 6 25 1 32 2 9 3 3 0 3 0 6 0 1 0
SUPPLEMENTAL PROCEEDINGS Motions Petitions for Rehearing	9 241 250 236 14 6 217 223 212 11 3 24 27 24 3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE 2

SUPREME COURT CHANGES FY 1972-73 TO FY 1973-74 A COMFARATIVE SUMMARY OF PRIMARY AND SUPPLEMENTAL PROCEEDINGS

	FISCAL 19	72-73	FISCAL 197		CHANGE I	
	Number	Per cent	Number	f'er cent	Number	Per cent
FOTAL FILINGS	367	100.0	419	100.0	+ 52	+ 14.2
Primary Appeals	171 159 12	46,6 43,3 3,3	178 172 6	42.5 41.1 1.4	+ 7 + 13 - 6	+ 4.1 + 8.2 - 50.0
Original Proceedings Supplemental Motions Petitions for Rehearing	12 196 176 20	53.4 48.0 5.4	241 217 24	57.5 51.8 5.7	+ 45 + 41 + 4	+ 23.0 + 23.3 + 20.0
TOTAL BACKLOGS Primary Appeals	150 141 137	100.0 94.0 91.3 2.7	186 172 169 8	100.0 92.5 90.9 1.6	+ 36 + 31 + 32 - 1	+ 24.0 + 22.0 + 23.4 - 25.0
Driginal Proceedings Supplemental Motions Autitions for Rehearing	* 9 6 3	6.0 4.0 2.0	14 11 3	7.5 5.9 1.6	+ 5 + 5 	+ 55.6 + 83.5 0.0
TOTAL OPINIONS WRITTEN	137		129		- 8	- 5.8
Deciding Cases	104		ý9		5	- 4.8
APPEALS Reversals (including remands)	99 24	100.0 24.3	91 36	100.0 39.6		
Affirmances (including reversed in part & modified & affirmed) Other Dispositions	73 2	73.7 2.0	54 1	59.3 1.1		
Additional	33	*****	30		- 3	- 9.

Primary Proceedings are original cases filed with the Supreme Court, including Appeals (Civil, Criminal and others) and Original Proceedings, most of which are Writs. Supplemental Proceedings arise out of primary proceedings, and consist of Motions and Petitions for Rehearing. Backlogs represent the number of cases pending at the end of a statistical period which must be carried over to become part of the caseload activity of the succeeding period.

46

	, FISCAL	1972-73
	Number	Per cen
STATE FILINGS		
Soth Courts	24,890	100.0
Primary	21,871	87.9
Supplemental	3,019	12.1
Circuit Court Proper	8,835	100.0
Primary	8,185	92.0
Supplemental	650	7.4
Family Court	16,055	100.0
Primary	13,686	85.2
Supplemental	2,369	14.8
STATE BACKLOGS		
Both Courts	22,146	100.0
Primary	19,505	88.1
Supplemental	2,641	11,9
Circuit Court Proper	13,463	100.0
Primary	13,009	96.6
Supplemental	454	3.4
Family Court	8,683	_1C0.0
Primary Sum-t-	6,496	74.8
Supplemental	2,187	25.2
FIRST CIRCUIT: FILINGS		
Both Courts	19,193	100.0
Primary	16,699	87.0
Supplemental	2,494	13.0
Circuit Court Proper	6,656	100.0
Primary	6,138	92.2
Supplemental	518	7.8
Family Court	12,537	100.0
Primary	10,561	84.2
Supplemental	1,976	15.8
FIRST CIRCUIT: BACKLOGS	la de la compañía de	
Both Courts	17,763	100.0
Primary	15,509	87.3
Supplemental	2,254	12.7
Circuit Court Proper	10,456	100.0
rimary Sumalaseratal	10,132	96.9
Supplemental Family Court	324	3.1
Family Court	7,307	100.0
rimary Supplemental	5,377	73.6
whitementan	1,930	26,4
SECOND CIRCUIT: FILINGS Both Courts		- 1919 - 240
rimary	2,181	100.0
	1,961	89.9
Supplemental Circuit Court Proper	220 971	10.1
rimary	871 911	100.0
Supplemental	811 60	93,1
apprenental amily Court		6.9
rimary	1,310	100.0
upplemental	1,150 160	87.8
ECOND CIRCUIT: BACKLOGS	100	12.2
Both Courts	1,540	100.0
rimary	1,408	100.0 91.4
upplemental	1,408	91.4
Arcuit Court Proper	1,7.26	100.0
rimary	1,101	97.8
upplemental	25	2.2
amily Court	414	100.0
rimary	414 307	100.0 74.2
an a	201	(4.2

المحمد المحمد المحمد المحرة : محمد المحمد ا

TABLE 3

CIRCUIT COURT CHANGES FY 1972-73 to FY 1973-74 A COMPARATIVE SUMMARY OF PRIMARY AND SUPPLEMENTAL PROCEEDINGS

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			CHANGE IN 1973-74					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00	100 0						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				- 7.3				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				+ 5.5				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				+ 6,1				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			13	- 2.0				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		100.0	+ 365	+ 2.3				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14,258	86,8	+ 572	+ 4.2				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,162	13.2	- 207					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02.004	100.0						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			+ 1,571					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				+ 14.8				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			+ 110	+ 1.3				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		74.3	+ 41	+ .6				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,256	25.7	+ 69	+ 3.2				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	and the second							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.060	100.0						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-,-					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			- 209					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		100.0	+ 315	+ 4.7				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6,470	92,8	+ 332					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	501	7.2						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12.889							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-1101	10.0	- 192	- 9.7				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19,167	100.0	+ 1.404	+ 79				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16.807							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			- 1					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,973	26,8	+ 43	+ 2.2				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	******		************	••••••••				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.873	100.0	- 308	14.1				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	105	10.0	- 55	- 34.4				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 531	100.0		e				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			- 7					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			- 1					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			- 2					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$								
260 69.7 - 47 - 15.3								
113 30.3 + 6 + 5.6			- 47	- 15.3				
	113	30.3	1+1+ 6 =1.1	+ 5.6				

INVESTIGATION ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, ADULT PROBATION-ALL CIRCUITS

TABLE 3

(Continued) CIRCUIT COURT CHANGES FY 1972-73 to FY 1973-74 A COMPARATIVE SUMMARY OF PRIMARY AND SUPPLEMENTAL PROCEEDINGS

	FISCAL I	079.73	FISCAL	1973-74	CHANGE IN 1	973-74
	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent
HRD CIRCUIT: FILINGS					070	+ 10.2
th Counts	2,727	100.0	3,006	100.0	+ 279 + 249	+ 10.2
intary	2,482	91.0	2,731	90.9		+ 10.0
pplemental	245	9.0	275	9.1	+ 30	+ 6.8
rcuit Court Proper	985	100.0	1,052	100.0	+ 67	+ 0.0
mary	936	95.0	1,002	95.2	+ 66	+ 2.0
pplemental	49	5.0	50	4.8	+ 1	+ 2.0
mily Court	1,742	100.0	1,954	100.0	+ 212	
imary	1,546	88.7	1,729	88.5	+ 183	+ 11.8
pplemental	196	11.3	225	11.5	+ 29	+ 14.8
IRD CIRCUIT: BACKLOGS					•	
sth Courts	2,138	100.0	2,369	100.0	+ 231	+ 10.8
	1,949	91.2	2,153	90.9	+ 204	+ 10.5
intary	189	8.8	216	9.1	+ 27	+ 14.3
pplemental	1,426	100.0	1,546	100.0	+ 120	+ 8.4
rcuit Court Proper	1,355	95.0	1,469	95.0	+ 114	+ 8.4
imary	1,355	5.0	77	5.0	+ 6	+ 8.5
pplemental	712	100.0	823	100.0	+ 111	+ 15.6
mily Court	594	83.4	684	83.1	+ 90	+ 15.2
imary		16.6	139	16.9	+ 21	+ 17.8
1ppleinental	118	10.0	-			•••••••
IFTH CIRCUIT: FILINGS				100.0	+ 216	+ 27.4
oth Courts	789	100.0	1,005	100.0		+ 28.0
imary	729	92.4	933	92.8		+ 20.0
upplemental	60	7.6	72	7.2	+ 12	+ 49.5
rcuit Court Proper	323	100.0	483	100.0	+ 160	
imary	300	92.9	459	95.0	+ 159	+ 53.0
	23	7.1	24	5.0	+ 1	+ 4.
applemental	466	100.0	522	100.0	+ 56	+ 12.0
amily Court	429	92.1	474	90.8	+ 45	+ 10.5
imary	37	7.9	48	9.2	+ 11	+ 29.1
upplemental	51					
IFTH CIRCUIT: BACKLOGS	705	100.0	827	100.0	+ 122	+ 17.
oth Courts	639	90.6	756	91.4	+ 117	+ 18.
imary		9.4	71	8.6	+ 5	+ 7.0
upplemental	66	100.0	583	100.0	+ 128	+ 28.
ircuit Court Proper	455		543	93.1	+ 122	+ 29.0
rimary	421	92.5	40	6.9	+ 6	+ 17
upplemental	34	7.5		100.0	- 6	- 2.
amily Court	250	100.0	244	87.3	- 5	- 2.
rimary	218	87.2	213	12.7	- 5	- 3.
upplemental	32	12.8	31	12.7		

Primary Proceedings are original cases filed, representing direct contact with the public, so their numbers may fluctuate according to variations in population. Supplemental Proceedings arise out of primary proceedings (such as Orders to Show Cause for support in matrimonial and paternity cases; proceedings in aid of judgment in civil cases, etc.). They consume a large part of the Courts' caseload activity time, but their numbers do not vary in direct relation to changes in population. Backlogs represent the number of cases pending at the end of a statistical period that must be carried over to become part of the caseload activity of the succeeding statistical period. Circuit Courts Proper have jurisdiction over "adversary" cases (such as civil contract and negligence cases and criminal cases) and "non-adversary" proceedings (such as probate and guardianship, plus naturalization proceedings in all except the First Circuit). Family Courts in each circuit combine the jurisdiction of the former Domestic Relations Court (Divorce, Annulment, Separation, and Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support proceedings), and the jurisdiction of the former Juvenile Court.

TABLE	4	

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, ADULT PROBATION •ALL CIRCUITS

		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			17	winano and a second	······································
					PROBATION SUSPENSION EXPIRED SET ASIDE	PROBATION REVOKED	DISCHARGE OTHER TERMINATIONS
Pending at Start	New Placements	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	Probation Expired Whrreabouts Unknown Good Adjustment	New Conviction Technical Violation	Discharge Good Adjustment Other
ALL CIRCUITS1,703First Circuit1,418Second Circuit130Third Circuit114Fifth Circuit41	591 448 66 62 15	2,294 1,866 196 176 56		1,800 1,432 177 144 47	358 11 3 331 11 2 9 1 10 8	2 6 3 2 3	15 85 14 15 69 3 7 2 8 9 1

	ROR	Reduction of Bail	DAGP	Pre-Sentence		Post-Sentence	Out-of-Town Inquity	Courtesy Supervision	Total
ALL CIRCUITS First Circuit Second Circuit Third Circuit Fifth Circuit	433 419 14	289 289	76 74 2	70 45 9 13 14	3 3	41 12 25 3 1	2	10 10	1,556 1,252 125 164 15

TABLE 6

CIRCUIT	COURT	CHANGES	FY	1972-73	to	FY	1973-7	4	٠.

	FI	SCAL 1972-73	1	FISCAL 1973-74	CH	CHANGE IN 1973-74			
	Number	Per cent	Number	- Per cent	Numbe	r Per cent			
STATE FILINGS		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Both Courts	1,321	100.0	1,418	100,0	+ 97	+ 7.3			
CCP (Adult Probation)	411	31.1	591	41.7	+ 180	+ 43.8			
C (Juvenile)	910	68.9	827	58.3	- 83	- 9.1			
STATE BACKLOGS									
Both Courts	3,283	100.0	3,463	100.0	+ 180	+ 5.5			
CCP (Adult Probation)	1,703	51.9	1,800	52.0	+ 97	+ 5.7			
°C (Juvenile)	1,580	48.1	1,663	48.0	+ 83	+ 5.3			
FIRST CIRCUIT: FILINGS						······································			
Both Courts	856	100.0	1,014	100.0	+ 158	+ 18.5			
CCP (Adult Probation)	280	32.7	148	44.2	+ 168	+ 60.0			
C (Juvenile)	576	67.3	566	55.8	- 10	- 1.7			
FIRST CIRCUIT: BACKLOGS		and the second second							
Both Courts	2,572	100.0	2,727	100.0	+ 155	+ 6.0			
CCP (Adult Probation)	1,418	55.1	1,432	52.5	+ 14	+ 1.0			
?C (Juvenile)	1,154	44.9	1,295	47.5	+ 141	+ 12.2			
SECOND CIRCUIT: FILINGS					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Both Courts	140	100.0	113	100.0	- 27	- 19.3			
CCP (Adult Probation)	60	42.9	66	58.4	+ 6	+ 10.0			
FC (Juvenile)	80	57.1	47	41.6	- 33	- 41.3			
SECOND CIRCUIT: BACKLOGS									
Both Courts	231	100.0	282	100.0	+ 51	+ 22.1			
CCP (Adult Probation)	130	56.3	177	62.8	+ 47	+ 36.2			
FC (Juvenile)	101	43.7	105	37.2	+ 4	+ 4.0			
THIRD CIRCUIT: FILINGS	1								
Both Courts	297	100.0	245	100.0	- 52	- 17.5			
CCP (Adult Probation)	61	20.5	62	25.3	+ 1	+ 1.6			
C (Juvenile)	236	79.5	183	.74.7	- 53	- 22,5			
THIRD CIRCUIT: BACKLOGS									
Both Courts	374	100.0	331	100.0	- 43	- 11.5			
CCP (Adult Probation)	114	30.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	43.5	+ 30	+ 26.3			
FC (Juvenile)	260	69.5	187	56.5	- 75	- 28,1			
FIFTH CIRCUIT: FILINGS									
Both Courts	28	100,0	46	100.0	+ 18	+ 64.3			
CCP (Adult Probation)	10	35.7	15	32.6	+ 5	+ 50.0			
FC (Juvenile)	18	64.3	31	67.4	+ 13	+ 72.2			
FIFTH CIRCUIT: BACKLOGS									
Both Courts	106	100.0	123	100.0	+ 17	+ 16.0			
CCP (Adult Probation)	41	38.7	47	38.2	+ 6	+ 14.6			
FC (Juvenile)	65	61.3	76	61.8	+ 11	+ 16.9			

Status Dispositions are not included in the courts' caseload statistics. The data represent persons placed on status orders, primarily adult and juvenile probation. Also included are juveniles placed under protective supervision and legal custody. Filings represent persons admitted or placed on status order. CCP means Circuit Court Proper. FC means Family Court. Backlogs as used in this table indicate persons remaining on status orders at the end of the fiscal year.

48

A COMPARATIVE SUMMARY OF STATUS DISPOSITIONS

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, CIRCUIT COURTS PROPER ALL CIRCUITS

		CASE	LOAD A	CTIVITY				2 		-	TYP	EOF	FERM	INAT	ION				
		· · · ·							D	ISMISSA	L	NC JU		្យប	RY		неа	RINGS	
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	No Service	No Answer	No Stmt. Readiness	Notice of	Stip. for	By Judge	Trial	Trial Not Complete	Verdiet	Trial Not Complete	No Trial Held	Contested	Uncontested	Others
TOTAL CASES	13,463	9,324	22,787	7,686	15,101	529	350	17	329	1,108	92	242	27	224	40	1,065	82	1,529	2,052
Primary Proceedings	13,009	8,687	21,596		14,580	529	350	17	329	1,108	84	240	27	223	40	1,065	64	1,493	1,547
Civil Actions Contract Personal Injury or Property Damage or	4,593 1,114	3,556 1,075	8,149 2,189	2,575 753	5,574 1,436	22 14	350 289	17 6	329 97	1,091 178	18 6	127 39	8 1	88 8	5 1	274 44		54 9	192 61
Both, Motor Vehicle Personal Injury or Property Damage or Both, Non-Motor Vehicle Condemnation Diher Civil Action District Court Appeal*	1,456 745 138 1,067 73	994 466 73 896 52	2,450 1,211 211 1,963 125	853 366 68 495 40	1,597 845 143 1,468 85	4 3 1	25 7 26 1	5 3 3	122 26 81 3	481 212 194 26	6 5 1	4 20 11 48 5	4 2 1	42 21 7 10	1	131 64 11 22 2		4 6 35	25 7 31 66 2
Probate Proceedings Regular Probate Small Estate Over \$700 Small Estate Under \$700	2,540 1,830 483 227	1,592 887 403 302	4,132 2,717 886 529	1,377 721 396 260	2,755 1,996 490 269					13 13							9 9	834 685 100 49	521 14 295 211
Guardianship Proceedings Regular Guardianship Small Guardianship Special	3,798 2,418 988 392	487 362 122 3	4,285 2,780 1,110 395	388 220 140 28	3,897 2,560 970 367		2 1 2			4							3 3	160 130 26 4	221 83 114 24
Miscellaneous Proceedings Land Court Naturalization Mechanics' and Materialman's Lien Mher Special Proceeding	422 67 186 41 128	1,046 35 358 414 239	1,468 102 544 455 367	949 27 372 380 170	519 75 172 75 197					а 1 1	47 28 19	6 l 5		2 1		-	52 14 4 34	445 10 371 4 60	397 1 1 344 51
Griminal Actions Part I Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter Negligent Homicide Forcible Rape Robbery Aggravated Assault Burglary Larceny Over \$50 Larceny Under \$50 Auto Theft	1,656 596 37 14 22 74 45 225 82 23 74	2,006 762 27 17 33 143 66 339 98 8 31	3,662 1,358 64 31 55 217 111 564 180 31 105	1,827 741 42 15 31 122 60 308 86 20 57	1,835 617 22 16 24 95 51 256 94 11 48	507 185 2 9 26 12 69 25 13 27					19 6 1 4 1	107 25 1 3 3 14 2 1	19 2 1	133 58 12 3 6 8 8 16 3 2	35 24 1 3 4 11 1 3	791 400 22 10 12 76 27 177 48 6 22			216 41 3 2 5 6 17 6 1
Part II Other Assaults Arson Forgery and Counterfeiting Fraud Embezzlement Stolen Property Vandalisan Weapons Prostitution Sex Offenses	1,060 68 3 21 10 23 4 36 22 88	1,244 71 5 60 37 4 15 18 67 2 39	2,304 139 8 91 58 14 38 22 103 24 127	1,086 95 6 43 28 8 28 12 58 7 52	1,218 44 2 48 30 6 10 10 45 17 75	322 40 1 8 11 1 1 1 23 5 29					13 5	82 5 1 1 5 1 3	17	75 14 3 1 1 2 1	11 1	391 8 3 26 12 5 14 6 22 1 15	and the first state of the stat		175 23 1 5 4 1 2 4 5 3
Narcotic Drug Laws Gambling Offenses Against Family and Children Driving Under the Influence Liquor Laws Disorderly Conduct Vagrancy All Other Offenses (Except Traffic) Traffic Offenses	424 71 12 12 1 7 1 204 33	334 143 1 90 0 2 0 324 32	758 214 2 102 1 9 1 528 65	275 141 2 78 1 4 0 213 35	483 73 0 24 0 5 1 315 30	104 22 7 3 51 6				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 5	0 17 38 9	17	5 <u>22</u> 1 7 14 3	2	116 3 45 .1 100 13			29 39 18 1 30 10
Supplemental Proceedings		637			521							í –				1.3	18	36	 505
Order to Show Cause Re-opened Prior Case	63 391	20 617	83 1,008	13 4-7							2 6		•••••				1	2 34	

								:	DI	SMISSAL	<u> </u>	NON		URY	Ţ	HEA	RINGS	
						<u> </u>					-	JUK						<u></u>
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	No Service	No Answer	No Stmt. Readiness	Notice of	Stip. for	By Judge	Trial Trial Not	Complete Verdiet	Trial Not Complete	No Trial Held	Contested	Uncontested	Others
TOTAL CASES	10,456	6,971	17,427	5,613	11,814	417	281	16	297			150 2			776		651	
Primary Proceedings	10.132	6.170	16,602	• • • •	11,427	417	281	16	297		7	148 2	3 15	1 29	776	52	647	1,32
Civil Actions Contract Personal Injury or Property Damage or	3,831 898	2,850 792	6,681 1,690	1,990 555	4,691 1,135	22 14	281 232	16 6	297 79		5 1	64 22	4 6	1 3 5 1	151 15		1	132
Both, Motor Vehicle Personal Injury or Property Damage or	1,248	856	2,104	699	1,405	4	20	4	117	429	2	2	2 29) ·	78			12
Both, Non-Motor Vehicle Condemnation Other Civil Action District Court Appeal ⁴⁴	642 84 891	380 58 712 52	1,022 142 1,603 120	302 35 364 35	720 107 1,239 85	3	7 2 19 1	3 3	23 76 2	186 173 26	2				41 2 13 2		1	7 30 42
Probate Proceedings Regular Probate Small Estate Over \$700 Small Estate Under \$700	1,816 1,231 402 183	1,143 657 279 207	2,959 1,888 681 390	970 514 281 175	1,989 1,374 400 215	••••				9 9				•••••••		4	493 493	464 8 281 175
Guardianship Proceedings Regular Guardianship Small Guardianship Special	3,015 1,975 689 351	378 279 96 3	3,393 2,254 785 354	305 165 113 27	3,088 2,089 672 327				·····	4 4						1 1	96 88 4 4	204 72 109 23
Miscellaneous Proceedings Land Court Naturalization Mechanics' and Materialman's Lien Other Special Proceeding	171 56 0 24	548 34 0 301	719 90 0 325	476 25 0 308	243 65 0 17		•••••••			36		2	1	1		47 14	57 10	333 1 288
Criminal Actions		213	304	143	161							2	1			32	47	200 44
Part 1 Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter Vegligent Homicide Foreible Rape Vobbery Aggravated Assault Jurglary arceny Over \$50 arceny Over \$50 arceny Over \$50 arceny Over \$50 Auto Theft art II Puter Assaults arson orgery and Counterfeiting raud mbezzlement tolen Property andalism Veapons rostitution ex Offenses arcoli Drug Laws ambling Ifenses Against Family and Children riving Under the Influence iquor Laws Sorderly Conduct agrancy 1 Other Offenses (Except Traffic) affic Offenses	$\begin{array}{c} 1,299\\ 487\\ 35\\ 11\\ 20\\ 71\\ 33\\ 164\\ 69\\ 22\\ 62\\ 812\\ 59\\ 1\\ 15\\ 14\\ 10\\ 14\\ 4\\ 32\\ 22\\ 46\\ 375\\ 55\\ 1\\ 8\\ 0\\ 6\\ 1\\ 124\\ 25\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,551\\ 607\\ 20\\ 10\\ 11\\ 134\\ 49\\ 248\\ 92\\ 6\\ 27\\ 944\\ 49\\ 1\\ 44\\ 23\\ 0\\ 441\\ 23\\ 0\\ 441\\ 23\\ 0\\ 441\\ 23\\ 0\\ 225\\ 110\\ 60\\ 223\\ 225\\ 110\\ 60\\ 223\\ 225\\ 110\\ 60\\ 223\\ 225\\ 110\\ 60\\ 223\\ 225\\ 110\\ 60\\ 223\\ 225\\ 110\\ 60\\ 223\\ 225\\ 110\\ 60\\ 223\\ 225\\ 110\\ 60\\ 223\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 10\\ 225\\ 10\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 10\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 10\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 10\\ 225\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 225\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 225\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 225\\ 10\\ 223\\ 225\\ 225\\ 10\\ 22\\ 225\\ 225\\ 10\\ 22\\ 225\\ 225\\ 225\\ 225\\ 225\\ 225\\ 225$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,094\\ 55\\ 21\\ 41\\ 205\\ 82\\ 412\\ 161\\ 28\\ 89\\ 1,756\\ 108\\ 2\\ 59\\ 37\\ 10\\ 10\\ 18\\ 14\\ 92\\ 24\\ 69\\ 600\\ 165\\ 2\\ 87\\ 0\\ 6\\ 1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,434\\ 594\\ 35\\ 7\\ 27\\ 115\\ 45\\ 219\\ 77\\ 18\\ 51\\ 840\\ 74\\ 1\\ 27\\ 23\\ 7\\ 13\\ 7\\ 206\\ 107\\ 206\\ 107\\ 206\\ 107\\ 206\\ 107\\ 206\\ 107\\ 22\\ 69\\ 0\\ 180\\ 28 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 14\\ 90\\ 37\\ 193\\ 84\\ 10\\ 38\\ 916\\ 34\\ 1\\ 32\\ 14\\ 3\\ 5\\ 7\\ 14\\ 3\\ 5\\ 7\\ 14\\ 32\\ 14\\ 3\\ 5\\ 7\\ 12\\ 394\\ 58\\ 0\\ 18\\ 0\\ 18\\ 0\\ 4\\ 1 \end{array}$	395 149 1 8 23 8 48 23 13 25 246 33 7 9 1 7 9 1 7 20 5 76 14 3 1 39 6				6 3 1 2 3 3	1	2	89 49 12 1 5 8 6 13 3 1 40 11 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3	26 19 1 1 3 4 6 1 3 7 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1	625 319 18 5 10 73 20 126 44 4 19 306 6 12 10 4 4 4 306 6 80 3 1 44 4 96 7 7			192 37 3 2 5 6 14 5 1 1 155 22 3 5 4 1 2 3 5 1 23 35 18 1 23 35 18 1 24 9
pplemental Proceedings	324	501	825	438	387					5	2	·····†				•••••		427

*Cases committed from District Court on demand for jury trial.

*Cases committed from District Court on demand for jury trial. a say in an anay

50

TABLE 8

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, CIRCUIT COURT PROPER

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, CIRCUIT COURT PROPER SECOND CIRCUIT

	·	CASELO	DAD ACTI	VITY			-				TYPE	OF	ERMI	NATI	ON				• • • •
	د اد اد ۱								DI	SMISS	AL		ON- JRY	JU	RY		HEA	RINGS	
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	No Service	No Answer	No Strat. Readiness	Notice of	Stip. for	By Judge	Trial	Trial Not Complete	Verdict	Trial Not Complete	No Trial Held	Contested	Uncontested	Others
TOTAL CASES	1,126	818	1,944	786	1,158	52	38	1	13	59	17	13	2	24	2	144	14	268	139
Primary Proceedings	1,101	756	1,857	716	1,141	52	38	1	13	59	14	13	2	24	2	144	8	265	81
Civil Actions Contract Personal Injury or Property Damage or	267 69	254 94	521 163	245 89	276 74		38 31	1	13 7	55 \5	8 2	11 4	2 1	15 2	1	76 16		6 1	19 10
Both, Motor Vehicle Personal Injury or Property Damage or Both, Non-Motor Vehicle Condemnation Other Civil Action District Court Appeal	106 55 4 32 1	73 55 1 31 0	179 110 5 63 1	93 33 4 25 1	86 77 1 38 0		4 3	1	2 2 2	11 2	4 1 1	1	1	8 4 1	1	41 15 1 3		1 4	4
Probate Proceedings Regular Probate Small Estate Over \$700 Small Estate Under \$700	225 189 20 16	106 57 23 26	331 246 43 42	110 76 16 18	221 170 27 24					4 4						-	4	82 66 16	20 2 18
Guardianship Proceedings Regular Guardianship Small Guardianship Special	251 129 92 30	29 23 6 0	280 152 98 30	23 17 6 0	257 135 92 30												2 2	18 12 6	3 3
Miscellaneous Proceedings Lard Court Naturalization Mechanics' and Materialman's Lien Other Special Proceeding	112 11 84 9 8	200 0 172 24 4	312 11 256 33 12	192 2 155 26 9	120 9 101 7 3						2 1 1	1		1			2	159 155 4	27 25 2
Criminal Actions Part 1 Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter Negligent Homicide Foreible Rape Robbery Aggravated Assault Burglary Larceny Over 350 Larceny Over 350 Larceny Under \$50 Auto Theft Part II Other Assaults Arson Forgery and Counterfeiting Fraud Embezzlement Stolen Property Vandalism Weapons Prostitution Sex Offenses Narcotic Drug Laws Gambling Offenses Against Family and Children Driving Under the Influence Liquor Laws Disorderly Conduct Vagrancy All Other Offenses	246 76 1 1 3 9 39 30 10 1 11 170 3 2 2 12 4 4 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 39 31 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 7 0 2	$\begin{array}{c} 167\\ 57\\ 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 4\\ 5\\ 1\\ 0\\ 2\\ 1\\ 10\\ 0\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 5\\ 5\\ 3\\ 3\\ 0\\ 4\\ 4\\ 60\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 4\\ 2\\ 2\end{array}$	413 133 1 2 3 7 14 81 1 13 280 9 4 15 11 13 3 8 5 3 0 43 91 0 0 8 1 1 0 7 4 4 4 4 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6 6 7 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	146 68 1 1 4 8 45 4 0 4 7 8 7 4 3 1 0 5 2 1 0 5 34 0 0 5 34 0 0 5 34 0 0 5 34 0 0 5 34 0 0 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 267 \\ 65 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 36 \\ 6 \\ 36 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 202 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 38 \\ 57 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 63 \\ 4 \end{array}$	52 28 1 1 2 4 4 16 2 2 2 2 2 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 2 5					4 2 1 1 1	1		8 3 1 1 1 1 2 1		68 34 1 2 3 3 26 1 1 3 3 2 1 3 14 1 1 2 2			12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Supplemental Proceedings	25	62	87	70	. 17						3		••••••				6	3	58
Order to Show Cause Re-opened Prior Case	6 19	0 62	6 81	1 69	5 12		••••••••				1 2						6	3	58

		CASELO	AD ACTI	VITY	THIRD		···				TYP	EOFT	ERM	INAT		-		• • • • •	
									D	SMISS	<u> </u>	NO	N-		ICIN	<u> </u>	HE	ARINGS	
									+-			301							
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	No Service	No Answer	Vo Stmt. Readiness	Notice of	Stíp. for	By Judge	Trial ,	Complete	Verdict	Trial Not Complete	No Trial Held	Contested	Uncontested	
TOTAL CASES	1.426	1,052	2,478	932	1,546	38	20		5		12	58	10	20	<u>ਵੱਚੋਂ</u> 9	Ž ± 135	<u>ප</u> 15	5 485	+
Primary Proceedings	1.355	1.002	2,357	888	1,469	38	20	•••••••	5	43	12	58	1	 19	9	135	4	465	-+-
Civil Actions Contract	390 112	343 149	733 261	252 79	481 182		20 18	••••••	5	43 15	4 3	43	1	8	1	45		46	+
Personal Injury or Property Damage or Both, Motor Vehicle Personal Injury or Property Damage or	79	50	129	42	87		10			15	ą	10		2		13 12		7	
Both, Non-Motor Vehicle Condemnation	31 44	13	44 53	16 25	28 28					4		4				. 8			
Other Civil Action District Court Appeal	121	122 0	243 3	87 3	156 0		2			10	1	9 18 2		5 1	.1	6 6	÷.	5 30	
robate Proceedings egular Probate	395	257	652	246	406				 			-		••••••			 1	238	.
mall Estate Over \$700 mall Estate Under \$700	315 57 23	130 79 48	445 136 71	111 84 51	334 52 20	, · .											1	238 107 82	
uardianship Proceedings	378	66		53	391									•••••			•••••	49	
egular Guardianship nalt Guardianship pecial	243 133 2	51 15 0	294 148 2	37 16 0	257 132 2			-										-16 30 16	.
iscellaneous Proceedings and Court	103	176	279	166	113	•••••					7	- 1		••••••					
aturalization echanics' and Materialman's Lien	0 78 4	1 88 75	1 166 79	0 125 31	41												3	135 124	
ther Special Proceeding	91	12	33	- 10	48 23						7	1					3	4 7	
iminal Actions rt I	89 26	160 65	249 91	171	78	38	******		·····		1	14		11	8	90		•••••	
arder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide reible Rape	1 2 0	5 5 2	91 6 7 2	67 5 7 2	24 1 0	8 I 1						4		2	5	42 4 4			
biery gravated Assault	0	25	2	23	0	ľ								1		1			
rglary rceny Over \$50 rceny Under \$50	19 2	39 4	58 6	40 4	18	5			,			3		2	5	2 24 2		· · ·	
to Theft	0 1	2 1	2 2	2 2	0							•			·.	$\frac{2}{2}$			
t II ner Assaults	63 5	95 5	158 10	101 5	54 5	30 · 2					1	10 1		5	3	48			
on gery and Counterfeiting ud	0 4	2 11	2 15	1 12	1	1					•	1.							
bezzlement len Property	3	3 1	6	4 1	2	2						•				2			
Idalism	3 0	6 3	9	8	1	2		Í				1			Í	6			
pons stitution	3	2	5 0	4, . 0	1	2						2				1		.	
Offenses cotic Drug Laws	2	6 31	8 40	7 25	1	2										5			
nbling enses Against Family and Children	16 0	3	. 19	4	15 15	8						3	1.			13			
ring Under the Influence	4	0 3	0	0 5	0 2	2							3						
orderly Conduct	0	02	0 2	0	0	2													
rancy Other Offenses (Except Traffie) fic Offenses	0 8 6	0 17 0	0 25 6	0 17 6	0	7				1		2	2	3		2			
plemental Proceedings	71		••••••	44	77				••••••••				 1			6			

棈

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, CIRCUIT COURT PROPER FIFTH CIRCUIT

		CASEL	JOAD AC	TIVITY			· · ·			1	TIPE	· · ·	ERM		IUN		r		·
	1000 1000 1000 1000		N				1		DIS	MISSA	L	NC JU	NN. RY	ju	RY		HEAI	RINGS	
														1.1				, pa	
YPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start		ad	Terminated	Pending at End		. H	mt.	e of	ſ	alle		Not lete		Not lete	lei:	Contested	Uncontested	
	endii tart	Filed	Total Caseload	ermi	endi	No Service	No Answer	No Stmt Readine	Notice of	Stip. for	By Judge	Trial	Trial Not Complete	Verdict	Trial Not Complete	No Trial Held	onte	Inco	Others
OTAL CASES	455	483	938	₽ 355	ىي بى 583	22	11	23	14	40	 11	21	1	> 29		10	1	125	7
									••••••	••••••				•••••		10			6
rimary Proceedings	5		088	337		22			,14	40	n	21	1	29 				116	·]
livil Actions Contract	105 35	109 40	214 75	88 30	126 45		11 8	1	14 6	40 9	L	9 3	1:	4		2	t an N	1	
ersonal Injury or Property Damage or Both, Motor Vehicle ersonal Injury or Property Damage or	23	15	38	19	19		1	1.	3	11		1	-	3					
Both, Non-Motor Vehicle	17	18	35	15	20			, i,	1	- 11		1	. L	- 1					· ·
Condemnation Dther Civil Action	6 23	5	11 54	4	7 35		2		3	. 9	1	2 2				2			1.11
District Court Appeal	1	0	1	1	0				1										
Probate Proceedings Regular Probate	104 95	86 43	190 138	51 20	139 118													21 19	3
inall Estate Over \$700	4	22	26	15	11													2	1
mall Estate Under \$700		21	26	16	10								·····					••••••	1
Guardianship Proceedings Regular Guardianship	154 71	14 9	168 80	7	161 79														
mall Guardianship	74	5	79	5	74			•						- 2			1		
pecial	9	0	9	1	8											ļ	ļ		
liscellaneous Proceedings and Court	36 0	122 0	158 0	115 0	43 0						2	2	• .				1. 1.	94	1
laturalization	24	98	122	92	30							•						92	1
dechanics' and Materialman's Lien Other Special Proceeding	· 4 8	14 10	18 18	15 8	3 10						1	2	· ·					2	
							••••••		••••				, .		••••••		<u> </u>	•••••	
Criminal Actions Part 1	22	128 33	150 40	76 12	74 28	22					8	10 5		25		8	· · .		ļ
lurder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter	0	2	2	· · 1	1							1							1
legligent Homicide Forcible Rape	0	1 8	1 9	. 0 1	1. 8							· .	, i			1			{
lobbery	0	3	3	$1 \cdot 1$	- 2							1 2				2			
Aggravated Assault Burglary	23	7 10	9 13	4	5 9				. ,		1	2	-				 		1 .
arceny Over \$50	1	1	2	1	1									l.		1			
arceny Under \$50 Auto Theft	0	0	0	0	0							1				· · ·			
Part II	15	95	110	64	46	22			da da		7	5		25		3			-
Other Assaults	1	· 11 0	12	9 0	3 0						5	2	·	2	•				ŀ .
Arson Forgery and Counterfeiting	0	2	2	1	1	1		2	1				. 1				1 ·		
Fraud	0	4 0	4	0	4									1					
Embezzlement Stolen Property	0	2	-3	2	1	1		r The					2			1	а. 1		
andalism	0	0	0	0	0	-										I .			
/eapons rostitution	· · 1 0	2 0	3	3 0	· · 0	1						1	÷ 1						
ex Offenses	1	6	7	3	4	2					1	: .					1 · · ·		
larcotic Drug Laws ambling	9	18 30	27 30	10 30	17 0	, 9 . 8								22		1	.		
Iffenses Against Family and Children	0	0	0	0	0	U													
briving Under the Influence	0.	0.	0	0	. 0 0								-			1.1		• •	
iquor Laws Disorderly Conduct	0	0	0	0	0														
Jagrancy	0	0	0	0	0				- A.			2		· ,			1 · .		
ul Other Offenses (Except Traffic) 'raffic Offenses	2 0	17 3	19 3	5 1	14 2						1	2		1	1 				
upplemental Proceedings	34	24	58	18	40												1	9	

TABLE 12

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, FAMILY COURTS ALL CIRCUITS

TOTAL CASES 6.663 16,420 25,103 16,310 8,793 10 1 9 7 2 62 1.464 6,517 3.468 2.17 Primary Proceedings and Referrals 6.496 14,228 20,754 14,421 6,537 10 1 9 7 2 62 1.407 7.757 3.468 1.7 Marital Actions and Proceedings 2,213 5.766 8.599 5.912 2,787 5 32 2374 9.966 1.4 19 19 2 13 50 36 60 33 35 5 36 10 901 2 13 50 2 10 901 2 13 50 36 10 901 2 10 901 2 10 901 2 10 901 2 10 901 2 10 10 1 2 6 7 2 2.4 17 112 10 10 1 2 6 1 26 26 26 26 26 26			GASEL	OAD ACTI	VILA			1. 1. s. j.		TYPE OF	TERM	INATION	ľ		
TOTAL CASES 6.683 16,420 25,103 16,310 8,793 10 1 9 7 2 62 1,464 9,517 3,468 2,17 Primary Proceedings and Referate 6.496 14,228 20,754 14,217 6,537 10 1 9 7 2 62 1,107 7,737 3,468 2,17 Ancita Actions and Proceedings 2,213 5,736 6,899 5,812 2,737 5 22 62 1,107 7,737 3,468 1,4 Divose 2,913 5,736 6,899 5,812 2,737 5 22 28 3,748 1,4 3,668 1,4 2,4 1,5 2 1,3 5,7 2,747 5 22 2,13 5,748 6,6 1,3 5,7 2,74 1,3 5,7 2,74 1,4 1,0 9,7 2 4 1,0 9,01 1,3 5,7 2,74 1,3 5,7 3,7 3,1 1,3 5,7 2,74 1,3 5,7 2,1 1,3 5,7 2,1 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>1. </th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>JURY</th> <th></th> <th>HEA</th> <th>RINGS</th> <th></th> <th></th>			1. 							JURY		HEA	RINGS		
TOTAL CASES 8,683 16,420 25,103 16,310 8,793 10 1 9 7 2 66 1,464 8,517 3,468 2,17 Himary Proceedings and Referrals 6,496 14,228 20,754 14,217 6,537 10 1 9 7 2 62 1,107 7,757 3,466 1,107 Warital Actions and Proceedings 2,813 5,766 8,599 5,612 2,787 5 32 374 3,968 1,91 39 2 13 50 32 374 3,968 1,91 39 2 13 50 32 374 3,968 1,93 39 2 13 50 32 374 3,968 1,93 39 2 13 50 32 374 3,968 1,93 30 36 33 55 50 25 31 21 10 10 1 2 6 10 31 31 31 31 31 36 36 36 36 36 36 31 210	TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	Nolle Prosequi	Dismissal	Trial Trial Not Completed	Verdict Trial Not Completed	No Tríal Held	Contested	Uncontested	Counseling Service	Others
Primary Proceedings and Referrals 6.496 14.258 20,754 14.217 6.537 10 1 9 7 2 62 1.07 7.77 3.466 1.4 Marital Actions and Proceedings 2.813 5.786 6.599 5.812 2.787 5.022 2.965 5 32 37.4 3.668 1.0 1 9 7 2 62 255 37.4 3.668 1.0 1 9 7 2 1 19 2 13 50 2 13 50 2 1 19 2 13 50 2 10 10 901 2 10 901 2 10 10 901 2 10 10 901 2 10 <td></td> <td></td> <td>16,420</td> <td>25,103</td> <td>16,310</td> <td>8,793</td> <td>10</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>62</td> <td>1,464</td> <td>8,517</td> <td></td> <td>2,7</td>			16,420	25,103	16,310	8,793	10	1		2	62	1,464	8,517		2,7
Varial Actions and Proceedings 2.813 5.786 6.829 5.812 2.787 5.022 2.865 5 32 374 3.068 1.0 Divore 2.772 5.015 7.987 5.022 2.965 5 26 265 3748 3.068 3.3 3 <td>Primary Proceedings and Keferrals</td> <td>6,496</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>20,754</td> <td></td> <td>6,537</td> <td>10</td> <td>1</td> <td>97</td> <td>2</td> <td>62</td> <td>1,107</td> <td>7,757</td> <td>3,468</td> <td>1,7</td>	Primary Proceedings and Keferrals	6,496	1.1	20,754		6,537	10	1	97	2	62	1,107	7,757	3,468	1,7
Divorce 2,272 5015 7.502 2.265 5 20 2.576 1 1 10 20 25 3.746 1 10 20 260 161 100 2 1 3 5 0 25 3.746 1 10 20 12 13 50 1 2 13 50 1 2 13 50 1 2 13 50 1 10 10 0 01 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 100 001 10 000 1000 1000				8 599		9 797	*****				······	0.74			
Manufment 30 33 60 33 35 2 1 1 10 901 Linform Resiprocal Enforcement of Support 270 605 875 596 279 24 10 901 Adeption Proceedings 213 57 270 81 189 7 2 24 10 901 Patemity Proceedings 213 57 270 81 189 7 2 24 112 11 112 11 112 11 112 11 112 11 112 11 112 11 112 11 112 11 112 11 110 10 12 6 117 112 11 11 112 11 11 112 11 11 112 11	Divorce														
Separate Maintenance 141 128 260 161 106 2 13 50 2 13 50 Mooption Recordings 414 904 1,312 927 391 10 901 Patemity Proceedings 213 57 270 61 189 7 2 24 11 901 Patemity Proceedings 213 57 270 61 189 7 2 24 11 112 11 Miscellancons Proceedings 254 236 510 254 256 2 17 112 11 Athinal Actions 6 25 31 21 10 10 1 2 6 17 73 <		30	38								20				ų
Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support 270 605 875 596 279 4 75 151 38 Adoption Proceedings 414 904 1,312 927 391 10 901 Paternity Proceedings 213 57 270 81 189 7 2 24 24 112 11 Paternity Proceedings 254 256 510 254 256 2 17 112 11 Crimial Actions 6 25 31 21 10 10 1 2 6 6 73 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 26 19 671 73 175 26 19 261 19 261 19 288 175 26 19 261 19 261 19 261 19 261 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 208 109 109 <td< td=""><td>Separation and Separate Maintenance</td><td>141</td><td>128</td><td>269</td><td>161</td><td>108</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Separation and Separate Maintenance	141	128	269	161	108					2				
Adoption Proceedings 414 904 1,312 927 391 Image: Constraint of the state of th	Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support		605	875	596	279									3
Paternity Proceedings 213 57 270 81 109 7 2 24 Miscellaneous Proceedings 254 256 510 254 256 2 17 112 1 Criminal Actions 6 25 31 21 10 10 1 2 6	Adoption Proceedings	414			927	391.					•••••••••	10	901		
Miscellaneous Proceedings 254 256 2 17 112 1 Criminal Actions 6 25 31 21 10 10 1 2 6	Paternity Proceedings	213	57		81	189	*******		72	•••••	24				
Criminal Actions 6 25 31 21 10 10 1 2 6 Adults' Referrals 277 691 968 706 262	Miscellaneous Proceedings			510	254	256	••••••			2		17	112	•••••	1
Adults' Referrals 277 691 968 706 262 19 671 Criminal Complaint 26 80 106 76 30 73 73 Marriage Conciliation (For to Filing) 77 163 240 175 65 175 55 Marriage Conciliation (Court Order) 15 26 41 26 15 26 Social Study 107 317 424 318 106 19 288 Diter Adult Referral 52 105 157 111 46 2,642 706 2,757 2,797 1 Adults' Referrals 2,519 6,539 9,058 6,416 2,642 706 2,757 2,797 1 Caldren and Minors' Referrals 2,219 5,680 4,109 1,571 53 35 321 877 Geding Services 146 216 562 15 167 1657 1,851 1,522 Veeding Protective Supervision 499 1,297 1,736 292 35 35 321	Criminal Actions	6	25		21		10	1	2		6				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		977	103		 706		•••••								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Criminal Complaint						-						19		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
Social Study 107 317 424 318 106 Dther Adult Referral 52 105 157 111 46 19 208 Children and Minors' Referrals 2,519 6,539 9,058 6,416 2,642 706 2,757 2,797 1 Children and Minors' Referrals 2,519 6,539 9,058 6,416 2,642 706 2,757 2,797 1 1,522 Caw Violation 1,461 4,219 5,600 4,109 1,571 657 1,851 1,522 1,522 1,522 1,522 1,522 1,560 2,70 2,70 1 1 49 111 1,522 1,522 1,511 1,522 1,522 1,511 1,522 1,522 1,511 1,522 1,51 1,51 1,522 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,52 1,51 1,51 1,52 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,52 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 1,51 <td>Marriage Concilation (Court Order)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · · ·</td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Marriage Concilation (Court Order)								· · · · ·						
Dther Adult Referral 52 105 157 111 46 115 113 116 115 1109 Children and Minors' Referrals 2,519 6,539 9,058 6,416 2,642 706 2,757 2,797 1 Law Violation 1,461 4,219 5,600 4,109 1,571 657 1,851 1,522 Veeding Protective Supervision 499 1,297 1,776 1,273 523 35 321 877 Veeding Protective Supervision 499 1,297 1,766 1,273 523 6 167 1,77 Other 91 184 275 176 99 1 49 111 Supplemental Proceedings 2,187 2,162 4,349 2,093 2,256 357 760 9 Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial 988 1,019 2,007 795 1,212 221 263 3 Order to Show Cause, URES 107 105 212 110 102 29 35 3 Order to Show Cause, Ratern	Social Study									1			10		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		52	105	157									19		
Law Violation $1,461$ $4,219$ $5,600$ $4,109$ $1,571$ 657 $1,851$ $1,522$ Praffie 322 623 945 663 282 7 369 270 Needing Protective Supervision 499 $1,297$ $1,273$ 523 35 321 877 Needing Services 146 216 362 195 167 6 167 7 369 270 Other 91 184 275 176 99 11 49 111 implemental Proceedings $2,187$ $2,162$ $4,349$ $2,093$ $2,256$ 357 760 9 Drider to Show Cause, Matrimonial 988 $1,019$ $2,007$ 795 $1,212$ 221 263 357 760 9 Drider to Show Cause, Matrimonial 988 $1,019$ $2,007$ 795 $1,212$ 221 263 357 760 9 355 327 760 9 357 760 <	Children and Minors' Referrals	2,519	6,539	9.058	6.416	2.642	*****				••••••	706	9 757	9 707	 1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1,461	4,219	5,680	4,109			1							بە. ر
Vector Protective Supervision 499 1,297 1,796 1,273 523 35 321 877 Needing Services 146 216 362 195 167 1 49 111 Supplemental Proceedings 2,187 2,162 4,349 2,093 2,256 357 760 9 Drder to Show Cause, Matrimonial 988 1,019 2,007 795 1,212 221 263 3 Drder to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent 346 479 825 531 294 54 126 3 Drder to Show Cause, URES 107 105 212 110 102 29 35 35 36 11 54 126 33 Order to Show Cause, Paternity 211 19 230 160 70 48 6 101 Atlid or Minor Supplemental Proceeding 285 314 599 282 317 238 4 87 9 Atlaus Dispositions* 1,580 827 2,407 744 1,663 314 314		322	623					- N							·]
Vecding Services 146 216 362 195 167 167 1 49 111 Dther 91 184 275 176 99 357 760 9 iupplemental Proceedings $2,187$ $2,162$ $4,349$ $2,093$ $2,256$ 357 760 9 Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent 346 479 825 531 294 54 126 33 Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent 346 479 825 531 294 54 126 33 Order to Show Cause, URES 107 105 212 110 102 29 35 48 6 11 Ontoin 81 93 174 100 74 1 5 48 6 11 Ibid or Minor Supplemental Proceeding 285 314 599 202 317 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 314			1,297	1,796	1,273	523		. 1		· · · · ·	,				
Driver 91 184 275 176 99 1 49 111 Supplemental Proceedings $2,187$ $2,162$ $4,349$ $2,093$ $2,256$ 357 760 99 Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial 988 $1,019$ $2,007$ 795 $1,212$ 221 263 3 Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent 346 479 825 531 294 54 126 33 Order to Show Cause, URES 107 105 212 110 102 29 35 35 Order to Show Cause, Paternity 211 19 230 160 70 488 6 11 Child or Minor Supplemental Proceeding 285 314 599 223 317 238 4 87 238 Attaus Dispositions* $1,580$ 827 $2,407$ 744 $1,663$ 481 220 314 314 314 314 314 314 314 320 320 36 36					195	167			·						
implemental Proceedings 2,187 2,162 4,349 2,093 2,256 357 760 9 Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent 346 479 825 531 294 54 126 33 Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent 346 479 825 531 294 54 126 33 Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent 346 479 825 531 294 54 126 33 Order to Show Cause, Paternity 211 19 230 160 70 48 6 11 Iotion 81 93 174 100 74 1 5 488 6 11 Shild or Minor Supplemental Proceeding 285 314 599 282 317 238 238 238 238 238 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 87 24 <td></td> <td>91</td> <td>184</td> <td>275</td> <td>176</td> <td>99</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> [</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>]</td>		91	184	275	176	99		1			[]
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2,162	4,349	2,093	2,256						357	760		. 97
Inder to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent 346 479 825 531 294 54 126 33 Order to Show Cause, URES 107 105 212 110 102 29 35 Order to Show Cause, URES 107 105 212 110 102 29 35 Order to Show Cause, Paternity 211 19 230 160 70 48 6 11 Iotion 81 93 174 100 74 1 5 48 6 11 5 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 24 87 238 314	Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial		1,019	2,007	795	1,212						221	263	*********	
Index to Show Cause, URES 107 105 212 110 102 Order to Show Cause, Paternity 211 19 230 160 70 Iotion 81 93 174 100 74 1 5 Child or Minor Supplemental Proceeding 285 314 599 282 317 238 Ither Supplemental Proceeding 169 133 302 115 187 4 87 Itatus Dispositions* 1,580 827 2,407 744 1,663 481 22 Itatus Dispositions, Child 320** 89 509 173 336 314 152 Itatus Disposition, Child 320** 89 509 173 336 314 152 Itates Disposition, Child 511** 21 632 88 544 36 4	Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent	4	479	825	531			- 1	1		1				3:
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Irder to Show Cause, URES				110	102									·
	Jrder to Show Cause, Paternity					70									10
Other Supplemental Proceeding 169 133 302 115 187 4 87 Itatus Dispositions* 1,580 827 2,407 744 1,663 481 22 robation, Child 737** 99 1,236 473 763 314 11 rotective Supervision, Child 320** 89 509 173 336 314 129 24 egal Custody, Child 511** 21 632 88 544 36 4								1				1			. g
itatus Dispositions* 1,580 827 2,407 744 1,663 481 24 robation, Child 737** 99 1,236 473 763 314 16 robation, Child 320** 89 509 173 336 129 24 cgal Custody, Child 511** 21 632 88 544 36 36	ther Supplemental Proceeding							ŀ			. [4			4
robation, Child 737** 99 1,236 473 763 314 12 robation, Child 320** 89 509 173 336 129 32 cgal Custody, Child 511** 21 632 88 544 36 36	tatus Dispositions*	1 590	007	0.407		1.000		+						-	
rotective Supervision, Child 320** 89 509 173 336 129 4 cgal Custody, Child 511** 21 632 88 544 36 36									L.		., ј				26
Acgal Custody, Child 511*** 21 632 88 544 36 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>. j</td><td></td><td>[</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>15</td></th<>									. j		[15
19** 10 20 30	cgal Custody, Child									· · [· . ·			4
											. Ì				5
		••••••		•••••											

*Not included in caseload totals

**Corrected

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, FAMILY COURT

		CASELO.	AD ACTIV	ITY		1.1			TYPE C	F TERM	MINATIO	Ň		
								NON- JURY	JURY		HEAI	RINGS		
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	Nolle Prosequi	Dismissal	Trial Trial Not Completed	Verdict Trial Not Completed	No Trial Held	Contested	Uncontested	Counseling Service	Others
TOTAL CASES	7,307	12,889	20,196	12,843	7,353	4		7 7	2	38	1,259	6,508	2,698	2,320
rimary Proceedings and Referrals	5,377	11,105	16,482	11,102	5,380	4		77	2	38	1,008	5,898	2,698	1,44(
Marital Actions and Proceedings Divorce Annulment Separation and Separate Maintenance Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support	2,206 1,949 26 107 124	4,808 4,194 31 107 476	7,014 6,143 57 214 600	4,816 4,184 30 132 470	2,198 1,959 27 82 130			5 5		17 16 1	319 243 1 10 65	3,297 3,128 16 43 110		1,178 792 13 78 295
Adoption Proceedings	328	725	1,053	732	321	•••••••••		•••••			6	716		1(
Paternity Proceedings	195	46	241	72	169			72		20				4
Siscellancous Proceedings	191	221	412	236	176				2		13	106		11:
Sriminal Actions	4	11	15	6	9	4				1				
Adults' Referrals Friminal Complaint Marriage Conciliation (Prior to Filing) Marriage Conciliation (Court Order) Social Study Dther Adult Referral	224 10 77 15 88 34	579 62 160 26 228 103	803 72 237 41 316 137	586 56 172 26 228 104	217 16 65 15 88 33								580 55 172 26 223 104	6 1
Children and Minors' Referrals waw Violation 'raffic leeding Protective Supervision leeding Services Ither	2,229 1,277 260 474 137 81	4,715 3,199 53 1,136 194 133	6,944 4,476 313 1,610 331 214	4,654 3,117 125 1,103 171 138	2,290 1,359 188 507 160 76						670 626 3 35 5 1	1,779 1,304 44 243 146 42	2,118 1,152 72 790 15 89	8' 3(3(3((
Supplemental Proceedings	1,930	1,784	3,714	1,741	1,973	******					251	610	•••••	88
Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent Order to Show Cause, URES Order to Show Cause, Paternity Motion Child or Minor Supplemental Proceeding Other Supplemental Proceeding	855 307 70 203 54 281 160	858 412 54 16 86 230 128	1,713 719 124 219 140 511 288	663 462 57 157 95 196 111	1,050 257 67 62 45 315 177						156 30 14 48 1 2	232 110 18 5 4 155 86		278 322 28 104 90 41 28
latus Dispositions* robation, Child rotective Supervision, Child egal Custody, Child Diher Status	1,154 504** 214** 427** 9**	566 38 113 105 10	1,720 842 327 532 19	425 288 61 75 1	1,295 554 266 457 18							298 225 45 27 1		12 6 1 4

*Not included in caseload totals **Corrected

		CASELO	AD ACTIVI	TY	<u>, 1</u>		n de la composition de la comp	TYPE	OF TER	MINATIO	N	n na sua Na sua	
							NON- JURY	JURY		HEAI	UNGS		
	5			- Pa			-				, p		÷
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terninated	Pending at End	Nolle Prosequi Dismissal	Trial Trial Not Completed	Verdict Trial Not Completed	No Trial Held	Contested	Uncontested	Counseling Service	ž
TOTAL CASES	·····		· · · ·			Pro Dis	Trial Trial I Comp	Tria Con	No Hel	Co	Unc	Serv	Others
		1,055	1,469	1,096	373	1			15	49	454	435	14
Primary Proceedings and Referrals	307	950	1,257	997	260	1			15	21	419	435	10
Marital Actions and Proceedings Divorce	135 82	835	470	353	117				13	12	230		9
Annulment	0	284 1	366 1	279	87				. 9	8	213	1.1	4
Separation and Separate Maintenance	. 8	6	14	1	0						1		
Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support	45	44	89	62	27				1 3	1 3	6 10		* 4
Adoption Proceedings	38	84	122	82	40		•••••			******	82		******
Paternity Proceedings	13	5		3	15				2	••••••	••••••		
Miscellaneous Proceedings	9	'n	19		10		·····			2	5		
Criminal Actions	1	0	1	1	0	1		•				•••••	
Adults' Referrals		41	59	45	14				••••••				•••••
Criminal Complaint	15	10	. 25	15	10	- (19	24	1
Marriage Conciliation (Prior to Filing)	0	3	.3	3	ō				·]			13 3	
larriage Conciliation (Court Order)	0	. 0	0	0	0			ŀ				.	
ocial Study Other Adult Referral	3	27 1	30 1	26 1	4						19	7	
children and Minors' Referrals		475	568	504	·····					•••••			•••••
aw Violation	69	393	462	304 407	64 55		19. J. J.		ļ	7	83	411	
rallic	11	5	16	14	2					. 7	61	337	
leeding Protective Supervision	4	63	67	63	4	l I					1	13	
leeding Services	5	12	17	15	2		4.000				5 15	58	
lther	4	2	6	5	ī				- 1 - I		15	3	
upplemental Proceedings	107	105	212	99	113		+			28	35		
rder to Show Cause, Matrimonial	-41	42	83						•••••				
rder to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent	14	29	43	31	54 12		· •	- N -		7	15		1
rder to Show Cause, URES	20	29	49	33	16	}				10	9		12
rder to Show Cause, Paternity	- 8	3	11	3	8					11	8		14
otion	17	· Ő	17	0	17	I.			1		1		. 2
hild or Minor Supplemental Proceeding	1	2	3	2.	i		1				2		
ther Supplemental Proceeding	6	0	6	ī	5				.		2		1
tatus Dispositions*	101	47	148	43	105						39		
robation, Child	71	31	102	35	67			[1		32		3
rotective Supervision, Child egal Custody, Child	17	5	22	8	14	· · .					7		1
egal Custody, Child	13	11	24	0	24								
ther Status	0	0	0	0	0						•	÷	
uxiliary Order*	417	110	527	8	519		ţ.		·····†··		1		7

*Not included in caseload totals

والعقيمية فالعركون

TABLE 14

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, FAMILY COURT SECOND CIRCUIT

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, FAMILY COURT THIRD CIRCUIT

		CASELO	AD ACTIV	VITY .				TYPE	OF TER	MINATIC	лч 		
							NON- JURY	JURY		HEAF	LINGS		
	9									. *	- 7		
	Ħ		-7	ated	at .			E t		- Pg	Uncontested	ling	
YPE OF ACTION	ing.	-	- no	in the second se	line	- di	ž	b Z E	Lin	test	ti di	nse	1
	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caselond	Terninated	Pending at End	Nolle Prosequí Di tra l	Trial Trial Completed	Verdict Trial Not Completed	No Trial Held	Contested	Cue	Counseling Service	Others
'OTAL CASES	712	1,954	2,666	1,843	823	5 1			8	104	1,293	269	16
rimary Proceedings and Referrals	594	1,729	2,323	1,639	684	ş 1	2		8	36	1,198	269	12
Iarital Actions and Proceedings		441	791	416	375	************************		1	2	28	312		7
Divorce	248	370	618	364	254			1 2 2	1	23	291		4
Annulment	3	4	7	1	6			1			i		
eparation and Separate Maintenance	20	n	31	9	22			· ·		1			1
Iniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support	79	56	135	42	93				1	. 4	20		, i'i'
Adoption Proceedings	36	81	117	98	19	•••••				3	91		
aternity Proceedings	5	4	9	4	5			•	1				
fiscellaneous Proceedings	48	22	70	9	61			1		2	1		
Criminal Actions	1	14	15	14	1	5]	2		5				
dults' Referrals	10	45	55	50	5			1				44	
Ariminal Complaint	0	0	0	.0	ō		1.1	1 .					
larriage Conciliation (Prior to Filing)	. 0	0	0	0	0		1	1 .	1. 1				
larriage Conciliation (Court Order)	0	Ō	0	0	0			1.	1 A. 1		1.1.1		
ocial Study	9	45	54	49	5			14.		l		44	
Ither Adult Referral	1	0	1.	1	0								
Lildren and Minors' Referrals	144	1,122	1,266	1,048	218					3	794	225	2
aw Violation	- 74	457	531	419	112						407	7	
fraffic	47	540	587	508	79					3	313	181	1
veeding Protective Supervision	19	75	94	85	8			1.1		· ·	65	16	
Needing Services	1	4	5	5	- 0			1 - S			31	2	
lther	3	46	49	30	19						5	19	
Supplemental Proceedings	118	225	343	204	139					68	95		4
Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial	75	91	166	74	92					49	6		1
Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent	21	30	51	29	22		1	1		14	- 3		1
Order to Show Cause, URES	.14	14	28	12	. 16		1 · · ·	1		3	4		
Order to Show Cause, Paternity	0	0	0	0	0		1. 1	1					
lotion	3	3	6	3	3			1	· ·	1 · · ·			
hild or Minor Supplemental Proceeding	2	82	84	83	1						81		
Other Supplemental Proceeding	3	5	8	3	5					2	1		· .
itatus Dispositions*	260	783	443	256	187						143		11
robation, Child	124	105	229	135	94				· ·		56		7
rotective Supervision, Child	82	67	149	100	49					с. ¹¹	77		2
egal Custody, Child	51	3	54	12	42				·	1	9		
)ther Status	3	8	11	9	2						1		
Auxiliary Order*	121	31	152	9	143		1			1			

*Not included in caseload totals

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, FAMILY COURT FIFTH CIRCUIT

		CASEL	OAD ACTI	VITY				TYPE (OF TERM	IINATION			
•							NON- JURY	JURY		HEAR	INGS		
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	Notte Prosequi Dismissal	Trial Trial Not Completed	Verdict Trial Not Compteted	No Trial Held	Contested	Uncontested	Counseling Service	į
TOTAL CASES	250	522	772	528	244				1	52	262	66	1
Primary Proceedings and Referrals	218	474	692	479	213				1 1	42	242	66	1:
Marital Actions and Proceedings Divorce Annulment Separation and Separate Maintenance Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support	122 93 1 6 22	202 167 2 4 29	324 260 3 10 51	227 195 1 9 22	97 65 2 1 29					15 11 1 3	129 116 1 1 1		
Adoption Proceedings	12	14	•26	15	11					1	12		•••••
Paternity Proceedings	0	2	2	2	0				1			•••••	
Miscellaneous Proceedings	6	3	9	0	9								
Criminal Actions	0	0	0	0	0								
Adults' Referrals Criminal Complaint Marriage Conciliation (Prior to Filing) Marriage Conciliation (Court Order) Social Study Other Adult Referral	25 1 0 0 7 17	26 8 0 0 17 1	51 9 0 24 18	25 5 0 0 15 5	26 4 0 0 9 13							23 5 14 4	
Children and Minors' Referrals Law Violation I'raffic Needing Protective Supervision Needing Services Other	53 41 4 2 3 3 3	227 170 25 23 6 3	280 211 29 25 9 6	210 166 16 21 4 3	70 45 13 4 5 3					26 24 1 1	101 79 11 7 3 1	43 26 4 13	
Supplemental Proceedings	32	48	80	49	31					10	20		
Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial Order to Show Cause, Matrimonial, Concurrent Order to Show Cause, URES Order to Show Cause, Paternity Motion Child or Minor Supplemental Proceeding Other Supplemental Proceeding	17 4 3 0 7 1 0	28 8 0 4 0 0	45 12 11 0 11 1 0	29 9 8 0 2 1 0	16 3 0 9 0					9	10 4 5 1]
Status Dispositions* 'robation Child 'rotective Supervision, Child Legal Custody, Child Other Status	65 38 7 20 0	31 25 4 2 0	96 63 11 22 0	20 15 4 1 0	76 48 7 21 0						1		
Auxiliary Order*	64	12	76	3	73					.2	- 1		

*Not included in caseload totals

د مع محمول بیتی ورد از آیاد افراده انداز شروع که این اف

×

A STATE OF A

TABLE 16

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, DISTRICT COURTS ALL CIRCUITS

				ALL CIR	CUITS									
. <u> </u>		CASEL	OAD ACTI	VITY			:		TYPE OI	FTERMI	NATION			
						· A	CIVIL			TRAFFI	C & OTH	ER VIOLA	TIONS	
TYPE OF ACTION	J Pending at Start		Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	By Discontinuance/ Dismissal	By Default/ Confession	By Trial	By Discharge/ Dismissal	By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture	By Commitment to Circuit Court. Jury Trial Demanded	Conviction
	Sta	Filed	Cas Cas		E	<u> </u>	ę.o	By	<u>n</u> Dis	By	Str	For By	8 9 <u>1</u>	By
CIVIL, TRAFFIC & OTHER VIOLATIONS	39,219	561,690	600,909	551,462	49,447	3,443	8,774	614	10,353	3,231	47,136	415,787	19	62,105
CIVIL ACTIONS Regular Civil Assumpsit Non Payment of Taxes Tort Summary Possession Other Small Claims Assumpsit Tort	4,535 4,293 3,037 287 532 402 35 242 217 24	13,832 12,280 11,042 77 730 332 99 1,552 955 337	18,367 16,573 14,079 364 1,262 734 134 1,794 1,172 361	12,831 11,411 10,462 588 238 61 1,420 884 320	5,536 5,162 3,617 302 674 496 73 374 280 41	3.443 2,789 2,347 18 294 101 29 654 404 154	8,774 8,338 7,946 44 221 105 22 436 311 79	614 284 169 73 32 10 330 169 87		•				
Other TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS Moving-Arrest & Citation Non-Moving Parking	1 34,360 21,540 12,358 462	260 530,107 148,925 41,447 339,735	261 564,467 170,465 53,805 340,197	216 520,964 140,778 40,942 339,244	43,503 29,687 12,863 953	96	46	74	10,041 6,855 2,433 753	2,984 1,494 947 543	46,917 31,691 11,088 4,138	399,559 54,406 14,870 330,283	17 17	61,446 46,315 11,604 3,527
OTHER VIOLATIONS Airport Dog Leash Fish & Game Harbor Violations Industrial Safety P.U.C. Violations Weights & Measures Other	324 15 147 20 25 3 111 3	17,751 13,422 1,086 381 1,097 780 26 959	18,075 13,437 1,233 401 1,122 3 891 26 962	17,667 13,407 1,068 380 1,095 1 735 26 954	408 30 165 21 26 2 156 8				312 109 35 22 12 38 96	247 149 9 22 3 3 3 61	219 1 39 8 21 1 131 131 1 7	16,228 13,135 886 153 1,028 377 22 627	2	659 13 99 175 30 186 3 153
		CASEL	OAD ACT	VITY					TYPE O	F TERMI	NATION	-		
							<u>_</u>	MISDI	EMEANOI	R			FELO	٧Y
CRIMINAL OFFENSES	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Tecminated	Pending at End	By Discharge/ Dismissal	By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture	By Commitment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	By Conviction	Stricken or Discharged	By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment to Circuit Court
CRIMINAL ACTIONS	2,997	18,772	21,769	17,132	4,637	3,780	986	1,214	971	531	8,009	578	986	77
Part I Murder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter Negligent Homicide Forcible Rape Robbery Aggravated Assault Burglary Larceny-Over \$50 Larceny-Under \$50 Auto Theft Part II Other Assaults Arson Forgery & Counterfeiting Fraud Embezzlement Stolen Property Yandalism Weapons Prostitution Sex Offenses Narcotic Drug Laws Gambling Offenses Against Family & Children Diriving Under the Influence Liquor Laws Disorderly Conduct	683 1 5 3 14 13 36 28 541 42 2,314 282 5 61 21 24 41 98 94 41 98 99 112 2602 112 2602 112 262 112 11	3,198 31 16 47 240 125 437 407 1,755 140 15,574 1,6574 1,557 10 77 77 171 19 8 175 551 415 55 1,033 1,248 2,977 309 922	3,881 32 21 50 254 138 435 2,296 182 17,888 1,941 10 82 232 21 12 216 649 509 84 1,145 1,510 1 3,374 3,31 1,104	3,005 30 20 43 247 123 419 352 1,642 129 14,127 1,366 21 7 1,48 566 379 65 936 1,224 2,547 300 78	876 2 1 7 7 15 54 83 (654 53 3,761 575 9 64 5 68 83 130 19 209 286 6 1 827 31 316	2 30 109 215 2 156 186 117 32	153 28 111 14 833 126 1 3 30 5 31 30 5 31 31 59 38 7 7 136 66 83 65 5	80 20 19 39 2 37 18 164 8	84 5 75 4 887 51 2 1 9 33 9 1 9 9 33 9 1 19 98 43 46 600	31 1 6 7 17 500 61 1 4 9 2 30 79 79 1	965 10 64 851 40 7,044 546 3 75 62 203 76 62 203 76 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62	449 7 5 21 93 68 174 73 8 129 3 22 2 2 2 2 30 30 23 47	18 2 152 51 219 97 3 423 1 5 39 4 1 100 17	43 5 1 2 4 26 5 3 4 1 5 3 3 1 4 1 5

1999 - 199 1 - 1997 -			STICEDU/	D ACTIVI				`. 	TYPE OF	1 DIGHT			· .	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·				CIVIL			TRAFFI	C & OTHE	R VIOLAT	rions	
	ng at		pa	nated	ngat	ıtinuance/ ssal	fault/ ssion	iai	scharge/ ssal	olle Prosequi	en	il ture	muitment cuit Court	Jury 1 mai Demandeu Bu Cominiou
	Pendi Start	Filed	Total Caselo	Termi	Pendi End	By Discor Dismi	By De Confe	By Tr	Ry Di Dismi	By Nc	Strick	By Ba Forfei	ວີເອີ້ ສີ ລ	r Junf
& OTHER VIOLATIONS	37,754	514,467	552,221	504,397	47,824	2,830	6,815	490	7,462	1,812	45,986	382,579	8	56,41
****(**********************************	3,962	10,960	14,922	10,135	4,787	2,830	6,815	 490						•
	3,761	9,660	13,421	8,932	4,489	2,250	6,452	230						
laxes	2,508	0,531 76	362	8,079	3,020	1,852	0,093 44	134						
	497	670	1,167	534	633	268	202	64	-					
10	19	299 84	103	46	478	25	14	25 7						
	201	1,300	1,501	1,203	298	580	363	260						
		258	258	213	45	96	45	72						
TIONS	33,497	500,394	533,891	491,211	42,680				7,356	1,796	45,777	380,278	8	55,99
litation													. 8	41,96 10,54
	444	328,673	329,117	328,175	12,400 942				622	301	4,118	319,648		3,18
ONS	295	3,113	3,408	3,051	357				106	16	209	2,301		41
	147	1,086	1,233	1,068	165				35	9	39	886		9
	14	119	133	118	15				9	1	7	46		5
		1,090							12	2		1,028		2
	108	693	801	653	148				29	2	128	324		17
85	1	119	120	119	1				21	2	13	17		6
	<u>.</u>		CASELOA	D ACTIVI	ry		. 1		TYPE OF	TERMI	NÁTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		ç. (UN0ED07	in Marrie										
							· · · ·	MISDI	SMEANU				r ELU	N I
							inp			nt rt			ti	t i
NSES	ب			12		urge/	Prese			Cou	tion	5.0	jury.	itme
	n n		oad	inate	ing a	ischu	olle	çen	itur	nunc Teult	onvis	con c	and,	umo.
	Pendi Start	Filed	Total Caselo	Term	Pend End	By D Dismi	By N	Stricl	By B. Forfe	Å S.	ů ř	Strict	5 2 2 2 2 2	By Commitment
ONS	2,583	14,913	17,496	13,437	4,059	3,575	494	,132	679	415	6,034	432	874	
aligent Manslaughter	611					524	97	161	73	27	779			
e Brine transmosphere	4	· 11	15	14	. 1	2					5	5	2	
												12	18 145	
	0	990	938	931	7									
l de la companya de	9 10	229 93	238 103	231 92	7 11							50	42	
1	10 21	93 301	103 322	92 296	11 26	60	10			ž	aπ	107	189	÷.
	10	93 301 330	103 322 347	92 296 276	- 11	60 433	10 74	11 138	5 66	6 5	47 698			ан. Т
0	10 21 17	93 301	103 322	92 296	11 26 71		74 13	138 12	66 2	5 16		107 55 2	189 82 2	
	10 21 17 512	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093	11 26 71 614	433	74	138	66	5	698	107 55 2 109	189 82 2 380	
	10 21 17 512 35 1,972	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957	11 26 71 614 48 3,273	433 29 2,851	74 13 397	138 12 971	66 2 606	5 16 388 45 1	698 29 5,255	107 55 2	189 82 2	
0	10 21 17 512 35 1,972 243 3 47	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385 7 49 120	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113	11 26 71 614 48 3,273 535	433 29 2,851	74 13 397 64 14	138 12 971	66 2 606 50 2	5 16 388 45	698 29 5,255 404 50	107 55 2 109 2 15	189 82 2 380 5	
0	10 21 17 512 35 1,972 243 3 47 2	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385 7 49 120 17	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167 19	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113 19	11 26 71 614 48 3,273 535 4	433 29 2,851 451	74 13 397 64	138 12 971 79	66 2 606 50	5 16 388 45 1	698 29 5,255 404	107 55 2 109 2	189 82 2 380 5 32	
0	10 21 17 512 35 1,972 243 3 47 2 1 27	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385 7 49 120 17 2 113	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167 19 3 140	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113 19 2 87	11 26 71 614 48 3,273 535 4 54 54 1 53	433 29 2,851 451 21 2 22	74 13 397 64 14 3 16	138 12 971 79 19	66 2 606 50 2 1 9	5 16 388 45 1 3 4	698 29 5,255 404 50 13 28	107 55 2 109 2 15 2 15 2	189 82 380 5 32 4	
0	10 21 17 512 243 35 1,972 243 3 47 2 1 27 79	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385 7 49 120 17 2 113 453	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167 19 3 140 532	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113 19 2 87 460	11 26 71 614 48 3,273 535 4 535 4 54 1 53 72	433 29 2,851 451 21 21 22 22 107	74 13 397 64 14 3 16 25	138 12 971 79 19 8 18	66 2 606 50 2 1 9 33	5 16 388 45 1 3 4 8	698 29 5,255 404 50 13 28 140	107 55 2 109 2 15 2 15 2	189 82 2 380 5 32	
0 xfeiting	10 21 17 512 35 1,972 243 3 47 2 1 27 79 900 20	93 301 330 1,516 118 1,2258 1,385 7 49 120 117 2 113 453 411 444	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167 19 3 140 532 501 64	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113 19 2 87 460 372 47	11 26 71 614 48 3,273 535 4 54 54 1 53 72 2 129 17	433 29 2,851 451 21 2 22 107 215 1	74 13 397 64 14 3 16 25 37	138 12 971 79 19 8 18 37 2	66 2 606 50 2 1 9 33 8	5 16 388 45 1 3 4 8 2	698 29 5,255 404 50 13 28 140 73 8	107 55 2 109 2 15 2 29 29 22	189 82 2 380 5 32 4 100 14	
0	10 21 17 512 243 3 47 2 1 1 27 79 90 20 20 34	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385 7 49 120 17 2 13 453 411 44 44	$\begin{array}{c} 103\\ 322\\ 347\\ 2,028\\ 153\\ 14,230\\ 1,628\\ 7\\ 52\\ 167\\ 19\\ 3\\ 140\\ 532\\ 501\\ 64\\ 495\\ \end{array}$	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113 19 2 2 87 460 372 47 398	11 26 71 614 48 3,273 535 4 54 1 53 72 129 17 17 97	433 29 2,851 451 21 22 20 707 215 1 70	74 13 397 64 14 3 16 25 37 19	138 12 971 79 19 8 18 37 2 24	66 2 606 50 2 1 9 33 8 4	5 16 388 45 1 3 4 8 2 9	698 29 5,255 404 50 13 28 440 73 8 59	107 55 2 109 2 15 2 29 29 22	189 82 2 380 5 32 4 100	
0 xfeiting	10 21 17 512 35 1,972 243 3 47 2 1 27 79 900 20	93 301 330 1,516 118 1,2258 1,385 7 49 120 117 2 113 453 411 444	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167 19 3 140 532 501 64	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113 19 2 87 460 372 47	11 26 71 614 48 3,273 535 4 54 54 1 53 72 2 129 17	433 29 2,851 451 21 2 22 107 215 1	74 13 397 64 14 3 16 25 37	138 12 971 79 19 8 18 37 2	66 2 606 50 2 1 9 33 8	5 16 388 45 1 3 4 8 2	698 29 5,255 404 50 13 28 140 73 8	107 55 2 109 2 15 2 29 29 22	189 82 2 380 5 32 4 100 14	
0 ¤feiting	10 21 17 512 243 3 47 2 1 27 79 90 00 20 34 221 1 368	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385 7 49 120 17 2 113 453 411 444 461 921 2,299	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167 19 3 140 532 501 64 495 1,142 1,2,667	92 296 276 1,4,14 105 10,957 1,003 7 48 113 19 2 87 460 372 47 398 944 1,880	11 266 71 614 48 3,273 535 4 54 1 53 72 129 17 97 198 1 787	433 29 2,851 451 21 2 22 107 215 1 70 168 96	74 13 397 64 14 3 16 25 37 19 36 43	138 12 971 79 19 8 18 37 2 24 13 150	66 2 606 50 2 1 9 33 8 4 50 20	5 16 388 45 1 3 4 8 2 9	698 29 5,255 404 50 13 28 140 73 8 59 474 1,506	107 55 2 109 2 15 2 29 29 22	189 82 2 380 5 32 4 100 14	
0 xfeiting * Family & Children Influence	10 21 17 512 35 1,972 243 3 47 2 1 27 79 90 0 20 20 34 221 1	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385 7 49 120 17 2 113 453 411 44 44 461 921	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167 19 3 140 532 501 64 405 1,142	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113 10 9 2 87 460 372 47 398 9,44	11 26 71 614 48 3,273 535 4 54 54 1 53 72 129 17 97 198 1	433 29 2,851 451 21 2 22 107 215 1 700 168	74 13 397 64 14 3 16 25 37 19 36	138 12 971 79 19 8 18 37 2 24 13	66 2 606 50 2 1 9 33 8 8 4 50	5 16 388 45 1 3 4 8 2 9 203 65	698 29 5,255 404 50 13 28 140 73 8 59 474	107 55 2 109 2 15 2 29 29 22	189 82 2 380 5 32 4 100 14	
0 xfeiting *s Family & Children	10 21 17 512 243 3 47 2 1 27 79 90 20 34 221 1 368 21	93 301 330 1,516 118 12,258 1,385 7 49 120 17 2 13 453 411 44 461 921 2,299 209	103 322 347 2,028 153 14,230 1,628 7 52 167 19 3 3 140 532 501 64 4 95 1,142 1 2,667 230	92 296 276 1,414 105 10,957 1,093 7 48 113 19 2 2 87 460 372 47 460 372 47 398 944 4,880 201	11 266 71 48 3,273 535 4 54 1 53 72 129 17 97 198 1 787 787 787 29	433 29 2,851 451 21 22 107 215 1 70 168 96 24	74 13 397 64 14 3 16 25 37 19 36 43 5	138 12 971 79 19 8 18 37 2 24 13 150 8	66 2 606 50 2 1 9 33 8 4 50 20 25	5 16 388 45 1 3 4 8 2 9 203 65	698 29 5,255 404 50 13 28 140 73 8 59 474 1,506 139	107 55 2 109 2 15 2 29 29 22	189 82 2 380 5 32 4 100 14	
	l'axes on TIONS Etation ONS es NSES DNS gligent Manslaughter	3,962 3,761 2,568 497 5m 391 10 201 179 22 THONS 33,497 Zitation 21,136 11,917 444 ONS 295 147 14 22 36 108 cs 1 NSES 11 NSES 12 13 0NS 2,583 0NS 2,583 611 gligent Manslaughter	& OTHER VIOLATIONS 37,754 514,467 3,962 10,960 3,761 9,660 2,568 8,531 200 2,568 8,531 201 1,300 179 733 22 309 258 TIONS 33,497 500,394 244 328,673 ONS 295 3,113 14,917 35,306 144 119 22 1,096 14 119 22 1,096 3 693 cs 1 119 NSES $\frac{1}{22} \frac{1}{23} \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

. .

TABLE 18

X

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, DISTRICT COURT SECOND CIRCUIT

		. ·		SECOND C	IRCUIT								
		CASE	LOAD ACT	TIVITY					TYPE OF	TERMIN/	TION		
				1.			CIVIL			TRAFFIC	& OTHE	R VIOLATION	5
						ice/		-	~	æqui		ient.	Jury Trial Demanded By Conviction
TYPE OF ACTION				ted	t -	nuar	/ilt/		harge	Pro		it in re	al De
	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	By Discontinuance/ Dismissal	By Default/ Confession	By Trial	By Discharge/ Dismissal	By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture By Commitment to Circuit Court	Jury Trial Den By Conviction
CIVIL, TRAFFIC & OTHER VIOLATIONS	804	17,461	18,265	17,430	835	277	961	52	1,872	139	379	11,719 7	2,024
CIVIL ACTIONS	187	1,398	1,585	1,290	295	277	961	52					
Regular Civil	181	1,324	1,505	1,218	287	251	948	19	· ·				
Assumpsit Non-Payment of Taxes	158	1,277 1	1,435 1	1,182	253	235 1	937	10					
Tort	15	30	45	22	23	10	7	5	-				
Summary Possession Other	7 1	10 6	17	7 6	10	4	4	3 1					
Small Claims	6	74	80	72	8	26	13	33					
Assumpsit	4	58	62	55	7	22	. 9	24					
Tort Other	1	15 1	16 2	15 2	· 1.	4	3 1	8 1					
TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS	593	13,226	13,819	13,327	492				1,757	138	374	9,119 7	1,932
Moving-Arrest & Citation Non-Moving	272 309	5,787 2,396	6,059 2,705	5,838 2,445	221 260				1,155	120 15	205 163	2,758 7 1,425	1,593 320
Parking	12	5,043	5,055	5,044	11				80	3	6	4,936	19
OTHER VIOLATIONS Airport	24 15	2,837 2,529	2,861 2,544	2,813 2,514	48 30				115' 88	1	5	2,600 2,419	92 7
Dog Leash Fish & Game	6	98			6				3	,		52	42
Harbor Violations Industrial Safety		90	104	98					0	1		52	. 44
P.U.C. Violations	3	50	53	45	8				4		3	32	
Weights & Measures Other		25 135	$25 \\ 135$	25 131	4				20		· 1 1·	22 75	3
			ELOAD AC							OF TERM			
		-	· · ·					MISDE	MEANOR			FELC	NY
							By Nolle Prosequi			3y Commitment o Circuit Court iury Trial Demanded		ert .	ent
CRIMINAL OFFENSES				cd	' ±	arge/	Pros		5	By Commitment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Doma	Conviction	Jury	- fi
	ing:	·	oad	inat	ing	issal	olle	ken	eitur	omu rcui Tria	onvi	ken and and	uuto
	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	By Discharge/ Dismissal	By h	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture	Jury C	By C	Stricken or Discharged By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
CRIMINAL ACTIONS	211	1,657	1,868	1,522	346	226	147	10	227	33	794	28 37	20
Part I Murder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter	33 1	131	164 1	116 1	48	13	12		4	2	36	24 19 1	
Negligent Homicide Forcible Rape		7	7	6	1	1995 - 1995 1997 -						5 1	
Robbery	1	6	7	7								1 4	-
Aggravated Assault Burglary	2 8	30	5 38	3 24	2 14							1 2 10 10	
Larceny-Over \$50	2	14	16	8	. 8	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1					4	4	
Larceny-Under 850 Auto Theft	13	65 6	78 12	60 7	18 5	12	12		3 •	2	31 1	3 1	
Part II	178	1,526	1,704	1,406	298	213	135	10	223	31	758	4 18	1
Other Assaults	22	92	114	88	26	21	19	1		4	43	4 10	
Arson Forgery & Counterfeiting	1	7	8	5	3						2	3	
Fraud	9	24	33	25	8	6	4				15		
Embezzlement													
Stolen Property Vandalism	1 1 5	1 20	2 25	1	1	4	4				1	1	
Weapons	6	36	42	34	8	2	5	$\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$			24	1	
Prostitution Sex Offenses	4	1	1 8	8	. 1	1 J	. 1		1		3	2	
Narcotic Drug Laws	29	206	235	182	53	45	29	2	3	13	63	15	1
Gambling Offenses Against Family & Children	22	123	145	73	72	10	21		32		10		
Driving Under the Influence	13	362	375	343	. 32		18	1	17	10	285		
Liquor Laws	1	73	74	73	1	8	1		20 7		44		
Disorderly Conduct Vagrancy	15	109	124	111	13	13	17	2	· · · · ·	1	71		
All Other Offenses	50	468	518	447	71	92	16	3	143	3	189	1	

TABLE 20

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, DISTRICT COURT THIRD CIRCUIT

								. <u></u>		FTERMIN				
						Ċ	IVIL			TRAFF	IC & OT	HER VIOL	ATION	S
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	p	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	By Discontinuance/ Dismissal	By Default/ Confession	By Trial	By Discharge/ Dismissal	By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture	By Commitment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	
CIVIL, TRAFFIC & OTHER VIOLATIONS	చి చే 424	25,447	දී ඒ 25,871	بة 25,362	곱 년 509	<u>කිතිතී</u> 221								
		~~,++1	20,011	23,302	509	221	789	38	506	1,248	767	19,324	2	2,4
CIVIL ACTIONS	203	1,072	1,280	1,048	232	221	789	38						٠
Regular Civil Assumpsit	193 162	962 913	1,155	948	207	187	747	14						
Non-Payment of Taxes	. 1	910	1,075 1	899	176 1	164	726	9						
Fort	12	27	39	30	· 9	16	12	2						
Summary Possession Other	3 15	17 5	20 20	13	7	5	5	3						
Small Claims	15	. 110	125	6	14	2	4	<u>.</u>						
Assumpsit	15	97	125	100 89	25 23	34 26	42 39	24 24						
lort		13	13	11	2	8	3	24						
Dther								· · ·	1990 - A.					
TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS	211	13,552	13,763	13,488	275		•••••	••••	497			······		
Moving-Arrest & Citation	105	5,591	5,696	5,509	187			- 1	433 184	1,019 304	762 384	8,877 2,756		2,3
Non-Moving Parking	1.00	2,669	2,769	2,681	88				199	479	364	1,145		4
arking	6	5,292	5,298	5,298					50	236	14	4,976		
OTHER VIOLATIONS	- 5	10,823	10,828	10,826	2				73	229	5	10,447	2	
Virport Dog Looph		10,028	10,028	10,028				· .	19	148	1	9,854	*	
Dog Leash Fish & Game		103	103	103				9						
larbor Violations	3	105	105	103					3	20 1	1	55	2	
ndustrial Safety					· · ·					*			-	
P.U.C. Violations Veights & Measures		4	4	4						1		3		
Other	2	687	689	687	2			.	51	59	3	535		
		CASE	LOAD ACT	IVITY					TYPE OF	TERMIN	TION			
							,		· · · · ·	·	<u></u>	Т		
•								MISDE	MEANOI	2		F	ELON	Y
										ded				
							By Nolle Prosequi			By Commitment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	· _		ii .	ti -
RIMINAL OFFENSES	, Et			p		urge/	Pros			Der	tion		ŭ Î	By Commitment
	Bui		oad	inat	nga	scha	lle	en	II	· Commitment Circuit Court ry Trial Deman	nvic	en o	l pu	mm
	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	By Discharge/ Dismissal	хŇ	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture	S S L	By Conviction	Strisken or Discharged	by Commitment to Grand Jury	ð
RIMINAL ACTIONS												1		
	167	1,562	1,729	1,544	185	108	308	69 	17	10	847	89	52	
art 1 lurder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter	28	299	327 5	294 5	33	8	38	4	2		101	78	30 1	. 3
legligent Homicide	1	· · · 1	2	2							2		•	
orcible Rape obbery	4	4 2	4	4								2	2	
ggravated Assault	4	16	6 17	6 15	2							11		
urglary	5	91	96	82	14				<pre></pre>				15	2
arcony-Over \$50	8	50	58	57	1	2	17				11		12	
arceny-Under \$50 uto Theft	8 1	125 5	133 6	117	16	6	21	4	2		84			
rt II	139	5 1,263	0	6 1,250	1=0	100	940	CT		10	4	2		
ther Assaults	139	1,203	1,402	1,250	152 10	100 10	270 39	65	15	10 2	746 72	n n	22 1	1
rson		3	3	3		10	1			<u> </u>	14	1	•	
orgery & Counterfeiting	· _	20	20	18	2	t i se s	2	-			1	3	7	
raud mbezzlement	5	22 2	27 2	25 2	2	1	10 2	1			10	. 2		
olen Property	2	3	5	2	. 3		2				2	1		
	4	31	35	32	3	1	8	2			19		1	
	. 11	56	67	64	3		27			. 1	34	an an traite In the second		
capons		3	7 12	7 10		1	1 6	2	. 1		3		•	
capons ostitution	4	-7		277	46	1 21	0 81	9	5	2	1 144	1	1	
capons ostitution ex Offenses arcotic Drug Laws	4 5 46	7 277	323							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
eapons rostitution ex Offenses arcotie Drug Laws ambling	5		323 125	109	16	4	9	5	2		89			
eapons ostitution x: Offenses arcotic Drug Laws ambling ffenses Against Family & Children	5 46 19	277 106	125	109						· · · ·				
eapons ostitution xx Offenses arcotic Drug Laws ambling Ifenses Against Family & Children riving Under the Influence	5 46	277 106 211	125 224	109 218	16 6 1	4 4	9 20	5 13	2	3	176			
andalism eapons rostitution xx Offenses arcotic Drug Laws ambling Ifenses Against Family & Children riving Under the Influence quor Laws isorderly. Conduct.	5 46 19	277 106	125	109				13	2 1	3	176 24			
eapons costitution ex Offenses arcotic Drug Laws ambling Ifenses Against Family & Children riving Under the Influence quor Laws	5 46 19	277 106 211 26	125 224 26	109 218 25	6 1		20		2	3 2	176		2	

			ELOAD AC		•				1	FTERMIN				
				1		Ċ	IVIL		1.	TRAFF	IC & OT	HER VIO	ATION	IS
TYPE OF ACTION	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	By Discontinuance/ Dismissal	By Default/ Confession	By Trial	By Discharge/ Dismissal	By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture	By Commitment to Circuit Court Live Trial Domandad	By Conviction
CIVIL, TRAFFIC & OTHER VIOLATIONS	424	25,447	25,871	25,362	509	221	789	38	506	1,248	ده 767	19,324	<u> </u>	-, α 2,4
IVIL ACTIONS	203	1,072	1 000	1.0.40									~	-,-
legular Civil	203 193	1,072 962	1,280 1,155	1,048 948	232 207	221	789	38	· •.					
ssumpsit	162	913	1,075	899	176	187 164	747 726	14 9	l .					
on-Payment of Taxes	- 1		1		1	101	120		f .					
ort ummary Possession	12	27	39	30	· 9	16	12	2						
ther	3 15	17 5	20 20	13	7	5	5	3	· ·					
mall Claims	15	110		6	14	2	4	2.	Į					
ssumpsit	15	97	125 112	100 89	25 23	34 26	42 39	24 24						
ort		13	13	11	20	- 20	3	24						
ther									· · · ·					
RAFFIC VIOLATIONS	211	13,552	19 769	19 400		*****						·····	••••	
loving-Arrest & Citation	105	5,591	13,763 5,696	13,488 5,509	275 187			4	433 184	1,019 304	762 384	8,877		2,3
on-Moving	100	2,669	2,769	2,681	88				104	479	384 364	2,756 1,145		1,8
arking	6	5,292	5,298	5,298	J			1	50	236	14	4,976		
THER VIOLATIONS		10,823	10,828	10,826	2		••••••	•••••••	72			10 /	~~~~~	
irport		10,028	10,028	10,828	2				73 19	229 148	5 1	10,447 9,854	2	
og Leash sh & Game			·					s			-	23001		
arbor Violations	3	103 1	103	103				.	3	20	1	55		
dustrial Safety	. 9	1	- 4	4				· ·		1			2	
U.C. Violations		4	4	4						1		3		
eights & Measures					· ·			·				J	1	
					8									
	2	687 CASE	689 LOAD ACT	687 1VITY	2					59 TERMIN	3 ATION	535	· ·	:
ther	2				2					TERMIN, R			FELON	
lker		CASE	LOAD ACT	IVITY		y Discharge/ hsmissal		MISDE	TYPE OF	F TERMIN R	ATION			Y
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES	2 Pending at Start 167				5 End 182	801 By Discharged Dismissal	808 By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Buil Forfeiture	By Comutiment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	By Conviction	Strisken or Discharged	By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
IIMINAL OFFENSES	Pending at Start	CASE 문 1,562	EOAD ACT	YVITY Jetuninated 1,544	Pending at End	108	By Nolle Prosequi	MISDE uu Stricken 69	By Bail Forfeiture 17	F TERMIN R	MOITA By Conviction 847	68 Strisken or Discharged	By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter	ta dip way 167 28	CASE 길 토 1,562 299 5	EOAD ACT	1VITY 10111 1,544 294 5	Pending at End		By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Buil Forfeiture	By Comutiment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	By Conviction	Strisken or Discharged	By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 arder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide	Pending at Start	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1	EOAD ACT	1VITY 1,544 294 5 2	Pending at End	108	By Nolle Prosequi	MISDE uu Stricken 69	By Bail Forfeiture 17	By Comutiment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	MOITA By Conviction 847	Discharged 10 28 28 1 28 1 1	1 00 By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide rcible Rape	te Sound Sou	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1 4	EOAD ACT Feotosse U.T.29 327 5 2 4	1,544	Pending at End	108	By Nolle Prosequi	MISDE uu Stricken 69	By Bail Forfeiture 17	By Comutiment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION Universition B B B 447 101	89 Discharged 1 2	6 By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide reible Rape bbbery gravated Assault	ta dip way 167 28	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1	EOAD ACT	1VITY 1,544 294 5 2	Pending at End	108	By Nolle Prosequi	MISDE uu Stricken 69	By Bail Forfeiture 17	By Comutiment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION Universition B B B 447 101	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3	1 00 By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
ther MMINAL OFFENSES MMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gigent Homicide reible Rape bibery gravated Assault rglary	te start sta	CASE 299 5 1 4 2 1 6 6 91	EOAD ACT	1,544 294 5 4 6 1,5 82	Pending at End 33	108	By Nolle Prosequi	MISDE uu Stricken 69	By Bail Forfeiture 17	By Comutiment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION Universition B B B 447 101	2 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1	2 1 0 By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
ther IIMINAL OFFENSES IIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 rder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide rcible Rape bbbery gravated Assault rglary rcony-Over \$50	te Buipus 167 28 1 4 1 5 8	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 16 91 91 50	EOAD ACT IT 05 I,729 327 5 2 4 6 17 96 58	1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 15 82 57	22 14 1 1	108 8 2	By Nolle Prosedui 38	MISDE average of the second se	TYPE OF MEANO Budgitme 17 2	By Comutiment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION BA Conviction BA Tonniction 101 2 11	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3	1 00 By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide reible Rape bbbery gravated Assault rglary recony-Over \$50 recony-Under \$50	te support transf 167 28 1 4 1 5 8 8 8	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 16 91 50 125	EOAD ACT	1VITY 1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 15 82 2 4 6 15 82 57 117	2014 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017	108 8	86 By Nolle Prosequi	MISDE uu Stricken 69	By Bail Forfeiture 17	By Comutiment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uuititiinuu Martin Marti	Jo usystem 20 usystem 89 78 1 2 6 11 46 10	1 By Commitment 5 to Grand Jury 12	By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 trder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide reible Rape bbery gravated Assault rglary recony-Over \$50 tcory-Under \$50 to Theft	te support 167 28 1 4 1 5 8 8 1	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 16 91 50 125 5	EOAD ACT	1VITY 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 15 82 57 117 6	22 14 16	108 8 2 6	By Nolle Prosequi	MISDE ^{III} ^{IIII} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} 	TYPE OF MEANO Internet MEANO Internet MEANO Internet Inte	By Committeent By Committeent to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uu uu uu uu uu uu uu uu uu u	Jo usystem 20 usystem	By Commitment 10 10 10 10 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	By Commitment
ther HIMINAL OFFENSES HIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 rrder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide roible Rape bibery gravated Assault rglary rccny-Over \$50 rceny-Under \$50 to Theft t II	te singuing and singular singu	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 2 16 91 50 125 5 1,263	LOAD ACT	1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 15 82 57 117 6 1,250	te fauguate	108 8 2 6 100	By Nolle Prosedui 308 38 11 21 21	MISDE average of the second se	TYPE OF MEANO Budgitme 17 2	L TERWIN By Commitment Io Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uotipiano kar 101 2 11 84 4 746	Jo usystem of Discharded Discharded Discharded Discharded Discharded Discharded Dischard Disc	By Commitment By Commitment 25 20 10 12 12 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 rrder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide reible Rape bbery gravated Assault rglary recony-Over \$50 recony-Under \$50 to Theft t II her Assaults son	te suppose 167 28 1 4 1 5 8 8 1	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 16 91 50 125 5	EOAD ACT	1VITY 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 15 82 57 117 6	22 14 16	108 8 2 6	By Nolle Prosequit. By Nolle Prosequit. 308 38 112 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	MISDE ^{III} ^{IIII} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} 	TYPE OF MEANO Internet MEANO Internet MEANO Internet Inte	By Committeent By Committeent to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uu uu uu uu uu uu uu uu uu u	5 c posterior	By Commitment 10 10 10 10 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	By Commitment
ther Her HIMINAL OFFENSES HIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 rrder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide reible Rape bbery gravated Assault rglary recony-Under \$50 to Theft t II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting	te figure 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CASE 299 5 1 4 2 2 16 91 50 125 5 1,263 121 3 3 20	LOAD ACT	1VITY 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 1,250 124 3 18	te faither the second s	108 8 2 6 100	in Losed 1 308 38 17 21 2770 39 1 2	MISDE 69 4 4	TYPE OF MEANO Internet MEANO Internet MEANO Internet Inte	L TERWIN By Commitment Io Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uotipiano kar 101 2 11 84 4 746	Jo usystem 20 usystem	By Commitment By Commitment 25 20 10 12 12 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	By Commitment
MMINAL OFFENSES MINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gjigent Homicide roible Rape bibery gravated Assault redary recony-Over \$50 recony-Under \$50 to Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting ud	te singuing and singular singu	CASE 299 5 1 4 2 1 6 6 91 50 125 5 1,263 121 3 200 22	LOAD ACT	1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 15 82 57 117 6 1,250 124 3 18 25	tr Built 185 33 2 14 1 16 152 10	108 8 2 6 100	17 21 270 39 1 2 10	MISDE ^{III} ^{IIII} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} ^{III} 	TYPE OF MEANO Internet MEANO Internet MEANO Internet Inte	L TERWIN By Commitment Io Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uotopiani 101 2 11 84 4 746 72	Jo unstandard Representation Represe	15 15 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12	A By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 rrder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide rolible Rape bbbery gravated Assault rglary rcory-Over \$50 rcony-Under \$50 ito Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting ud bbezzlement	te Buipus 1 167 28 1 4 1 5 8 8 1 1 39 13 5	CASE 299 5 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 16 91 50 125 5 1,263 121 3 20 22 2	EOAD ACT Trigger L.729 327 5 2 4 6 177 96 58 133 6 1,402 134 3 20 27 2	1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 15 82 57 117 6 1,250 124 3 18 25 2	te sin pug 185 33 2 14 1 16 152 10 2 2	108 8 2 6 100 10	in Losed 1 308 38 17 21 2770 39 1 2	MISDE 69 4 4	TYPE OF MEANO Internet MEANO Internet MEANO Internet Inte	L TERWIN By Commitment Io Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uoinition 847 101 2 11 84 4 746 72 1 10	vo požretjanov vo usvaje 10 89 78 1 2 6 6 11 46 10 2 11 1 3	15 15 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12	
MMINAL OFFENSES MMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide reible Rape bibery gravated Assault rglary recony-Over \$50 recony-Under \$50 ito Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting und bezzlement len Property	te figure 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CASE 299 5 1,562 299 5 1 4 4 2 16 91 50 125 5 1,263 121 3 200 222 2 3	LOAD ACT	Provide a constraint of the second se	te sign pug 185 33 2 14 1 16 152 10 2 2 3	108 8 2 6 100 10 1	17 21 270 38 10 2 10 2	MISDE 59 69 4 4 65 1	TYPE OF MEANO Internet MEANO Internet MEANO Internet Inte	L TERWIN By Commitment Io Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uoinitionitionitionitionitionitionitioni	vo požretjanov vo usvaje 10 89 78 1 2 6 6 11 46 10 2 11 1 3	10 Commitment 10 Commitment 10 Cand Jury 11 22 11 22 1 7 7	By Commitment
ther ther	te figurpus and the second sec	CASE 299 5 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 16 91 50 125 5 1,263 121 3 200 22 2 3 31 56	EOAD ACT Trigger L.729 327 5 2 4 6 177 96 58 133 6 1,402 134 3 20 27 2	1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 15 82 57 117 6 1,250 124 3 18 25 2	te sin pug 185 33 2 14 1 16 152 10 2 2	108 8 2 6 100 10	17 21 270 39 1 2 10	MISDE 69 4 4	TYPE OF MEANO Internet MEANO Internet MEANO Internet Inte	L TERWIN By Commitment Io Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	ATION uojiji Ano ATION 10 10 11 84 4 746 72 1 10 2 19	vo požretjanov vo usvaje 10 89 78 1 2 6 6 11 46 10 2 11 1 3	15 15 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12	A Commitment
RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide rolible Rape bibery gravated Assault rglary recony-Over \$50 recony-Under \$50 to Theft rt II her Asscults son rgery & Counterfeiting ud blezzlement blezzlement blezzlement blezzlement solucion solucion solucion rgery solucion soluci	te selection of the selection of the se	CASE 3 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 2 16 91 50 125 5 1,263 121 3 20 22 3 31 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	EOAD ACT Tereson 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 17 96 58 133 6 1,402 134 3 20 27 5 35 35 35 35 7 7	1VITY 1,544 1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 1,557 117 6 1,250 124 3 18 25 2 2 32 64 7	te filipuad 1885 33 2 14 14 16 152 10 2 2 3 3	108 8 2 6 100 10 1	17 21 270 30 38	MISDE 59 69 4 4 65 1	TYPE OF MEANO Internet MEANO Internet MEANO Internet Inte	L TERWIN By Commitment By Commitment Io Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded 5	ATION uoinitionitionitionitionitionitionitioni	vo požretjanov vo usvaje 10 89 78 1 2 6 6 11 46 10 2 11 1 3	10 Commitment 10 Commitment 10 Cand Jury 11 22 11 22 1 7 7	A Commitment
MMINAL OFFENSES MINAL ACTIONS rt 1 rrder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide rcible Rape bibbery gravated Assault rglary rceny-Under \$50 rceny-Under \$50 rto Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting nud bezzlement loen Property ndalism apons stitution c Offenses	te fight terms of the second s	CASE 3 3 1,562 2999 5 1 4 4 2 16 91 50 125 5 1,263 121 3 20 22 2 3 31 56 3 7	LOAD ACT F F F C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1VITY 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 1,250 1,250 1,250 1,24 3 18 25 2 32 64 7 10	te faither fai	108 8 2 6 100 10 1 1 1	17 21 270 38 270 2 10 2 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 1 6	MISDE 69 4 4 55 1 2 2	TYPE OF MEANO International MEANO International Internatio	L TERWIN By Commitment By Commitment 10 Cfrenit Court 1 Jury Trial Demanded 1	ATION uotition 101 2 111 84 4 746 72 1 10 2 19 34 3 1	b postruperior b unexpected and a second se	15 15 12 15 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 arder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide rocible Rape bibery gravated Assault rgdary recony-Over \$50 recony-Under \$50 to Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting aud bibezzlement blen Property ndalism apons ssitution c Offenses cotie Drug Laws	te support te support 167 28 1 4 1 5 8 8 1 139 13 5 5 2 4 4 11 4 5 46	CASE 299 5 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 16 6 91 50 125 5 5 1,263 121 3 200 22 2 3 31 56 3 7 7 277	LOAD ACT	TVITY 1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 1,550 1,250 124 3 18 25 2 2 32 64 7 10 277	te Guina te Contraction de la	108 8 2 6 100 10 1 1 1 21	in based of the second	MISDE 59 69 4 4 65 1 2 2 9	TYPE OF MEANO International Age 17 2 15 15	L TERWIN By Commitment By Commitment Io Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded 5	ATION uojipiteo key 847 101 2 11 84 4 746 72 1 10 2 19 34 3 1 144	bo usaysiu 300 78 1 2 6 10 2 11 1 3 2 2	12 22 1 7 7 1	A By Commitment
RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 urder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter gligent Homicide wreible Rape bibery gravated Assault reglary recony-Over \$50 reeny-Under \$50 tto Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting aud hezzlement blezzlement blezzlement blezzlement solution x Offenses reotic Drug Laws mbling	te fight terms of the second s	CASE 3 3 1,562 2999 5 1 4 4 2 16 91 50 125 5 1,263 121 3 20 22 2 3 31 56 3 7	LOAD ACT F F C LOAD ACT F C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1VITY 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 1,250 1,250 1,250 1,24 3 18 25 2 32 64 7 10	te faither fai	108 8 2 6 100 10 1 1 1	17 21 270 38 270 2 10 2 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 1 6	MISDE 69 4 4 55 1 2 2	TYPE OF MEANO International MEANO International Internatio	L TERWIN By Commitment By Commitment 10 Cfrenit Court 1 Jury Trial Demanded 1	ATION uotition 101 2 111 84 4 746 72 1 10 2 19 34 3 1	b postruperior b unexpected and a second se	15 15 12 15 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	By Commitment
AIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 rrder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter- gligent Homicide reible Rape obbery gravated Assault rglary rcony-Over \$50 rceny-Under \$50 to Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting aud hezzlement blen Property ndalism apons stitution < Offenses reotic Drug Laws mbling fenses Against Family & Children wing Under the Influence	te support te support 167 28 1 4 1 5 8 8 1 139 13 5 5 2 4 4 11 4 5 46	CASE 299 5 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 1 5 1,263 121 3 20 22 2 3 31 56 3 7 277 106 211	LOAD ACT F F F C C C C C C C C C C C C C	TVITY TUTY T,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 1,250 124 3 18 25 2 2 32 64 7 10 218	te Guina te Contraction de la	108 8 2 6 100 10 1 1 1 21	in based of the second	MISDE 59 69 4 4 65 1 2 2 9	TYPE OF MEANO International Age 17 2 15 15	L TERWIN By Commitment By Commitment 10 Cfrenit Court 1 Jury Trial Demanded 1	ATION uojipiteo key 847 101 2 11 84 4 746 72 1 10 2 19 34 3 1 144	b postruperior b unexpected and a second se	15 15 12 15 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	By Commitment
ther RIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 arder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter ggigent Homicide recible Rape biblery ggravated Assault rgdry recny-Over \$50 rceny-Under \$50 to Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting aud bibezzlement blen Property ndalism apons sslitution c Offenses recotie Drug Laws mbling fenses Against Family & Children ving Under the Influence uor Laws	te support termination termi	CASE 299 5 1,562 299 5 1,263 121 3 202 2 3 31 56 3 7 77 106 211 26	LOAD ACT Tigger (L) 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,729 327 5 2 4 6 1,402 1,34 3 2 6 7 7 1,29 327 5 2 4 6 1,402 1,34 3 2 5 2 2 5 2 2 2 5 2 2 5 2 2 5 2 2 5 2 2 5 2 2 5 5 2 2 5 5 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 2 2 6 7 7 1 3 6 6 1,402 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1VITY 1,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 1,5 2 4 6 1,5 124 3 18 25 2 2 2 32 64 7 109 218 25	te Line Line Line Line Line Line Line Lin	108 8 2 6 100 10 1 1 1 1 21 4	17 21 270 39 10 2 77 1 6 81 9 20	MISDE 59 4 4 65 1 2 9 5 13	TYPE OF MEANO 1004 17 2 2 15 1 5 2 2 1	L TERMIN By Commitment By Commitment 10 Circuit Court 1 Jury Trial Demanded 1 1 2	ATION uoisiano 847 101 2 11 84 4 746 72 1 10 2 19 34 3 1 144 89 176 24	b postrulario uavisiti si construlario 89 78 1 2 6 6 11 1 46 10 2 11 1 3 2 2	15 15 12 15 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A By Commitment
AIMINAL OFFENSES RIMINAL ACTIONS rt 1 rrder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter- gligent Homicide reible Rape obbery gravated Assault rglary rcony-Over \$50 rceny-Under \$50 to Theft rt II her Assaults son rgery & Counterfeiting aud hezzlement blen Property ndalism apons stitution < Offenses reotic Drug Laws mbling fenses Against Family & Children wing Under the Influence	te support termination termi	CASE 299 5 1,562 299 5 1 4 2 1 5 1,263 121 3 20 22 2 3 31 56 3 7 277 106 211	LOAD ACT F F F C C C C C C C C C C C C C	TVITY TUTY T,544 1,544 294 5 2 4 6 1,250 124 3 18 25 2 2 32 64 7 10 218	te sign pug 185 33 14 16 152 10 2 2 3 3 3 2 46 16 6	108 8 2 6 100 10 1 1 1 1 21 4	17 21 270 38 17 21 270 2 10 2 8 27 1 6 81 9	MISDE 52 69 4 4 65 1 2 2 9 5	TYPE OF MEANO Interaction Article Article 17 2 2 15 1 5 2 2 2 2 2	L TERMIN By Commitment By Commitment 10 Circuit Court 1 Jury Trial Demanded 1 1 2	ATION uojiji Mio 2 11 844 746 72 1 10 2 19 34 3 1 144 89 176	b postrulario uavisiti si construlario 89 78 1 2 6 6 11 1 46 10 2 11 1 3 2 2	15 15 12 15 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	By Commitment

CASELOAD ACTIVITY FY 1973-74, DISTRICT COURT FIFTH CIRCUIT

		CASEI	OAD ACT	IVITY		· .	· · ·		TYPE OF	TERMIN	ATION		
							CIVIL		-	TRAFFIC	C & OTH	ER VIOLATION	S
lype of action	, Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	By Discontinuance/ Dismissal	By Default/ Confession	By Trial	By Discharge/ Dismissal	By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture By Commitment to Circuit Court	By Conviction
CIVIL, TRAFFIC & OTHER VIOLATIONS	237	4.315	4,552	4,273	279	115	209	34	513	32	4	2,165 2	1,199
CIVIL ACTIONS Regr!ar Civil Assumpsit	178 158 149	402 334 321	580 492 470	358 313 302	222 179 168	115 101 96	209 191 190	34 21 16					
Ion-Payment of Taxes 'ort ummary Possession Uther	8 1	3 6 4	11 7 4	2 6 3	9 1 1	4	1	2 1 2					•
inull Claims Assumpsit Cort Dther	20 19 1	68 67 1	88 86 1 1	45 43 1 1	43 43	14 14	18 17 1	13 12					
RAFFIC VIOLATIONS loving-Arrest & Citation lon-Moying arking	59 27 32	2,935 1,132 1,076 727	2,994 1,159 1,108 727	2,938 1,132 1,079 727	56 27 29	-	-		495 128 366 1	31 23 8	4 2 2	1,285 2 101 2 461 723	1,121 876 242 3
NTHER VIOLATIONS Nirport Jog Leash		978 865	978 865	977 865	1				18 2	1 1		880 862	78
'ish & Game Iarbor Violations ndustrial Safety J.C., Violations Veights & Measures Dther	с ·	61 33 1 18	61 33 1 18	61 33 1 17	1				7 5 4			18	54 10 1 13
		CASEL	OAD ACTI	VITY					TYPE O	F TERMIN	ATION		
								MISDE	MEANO	R		FELO	IY
CRIMINAL OFFENSES	Pending at Start	Filed	Total Caseload	Terminated	Pending at End	By Discharge/ Dismissul	By Nolle Prosequi	Stricken	By Bail Forfeiture	By Commitment to Circuit Court Jury Trial Demanded	Conviction	Stricken or Discharged By Commitment to Grand Jury	By Commitment to Circuit Court
RIMINAL ACTIONS	್ಷ ಸ್ 36	도 640	음 년 	629	చి చ్ 47	<u>කීයි</u> 71	37	3	ୁ କୁ ଜୁ 	2 2 1 73	濟 334	ਤੋਂ ਹੈ ਨੇ 2 29 23	े के 9 11
art 1	11	113	124	115	9	7	6		-40 -5	2	49	29 23	
lurder & Non-Negligent Manslaughter Vegligent Homicide Porcible Rape Kobbery ggravated Assault Burglary arceny-Over \$50 arceny-Under \$50 vuto Theft	2 1 8	2 4 3 13 15 13 49 11	2 4 3 13 17 14 57 11	2 4 3 13 17 11 51 11	3 6	1 5 1	1 4 1		4	1	3 2 38 6	2 2 3 6 7 11 5 4 3 1	1
'art II Dther Assaults Irson	25 4	527 61	552 65	514 61	38 4	64 19	31 4	3	43 1	71 10	285 27	5 3	9
Forgery & Counterfeiting Fraud Ambezziement itolen Property	1	1 5 2	2 5 2	2 5 2			1 2			1	2	1	2
Yandalism Vespons Prostitution Nex Offenses	5 2	11 6	16 8	13 8	3	3	3				7 5		1
Varcotie Drug Laws Sambling Diffenses Against Family & Children Driving Under the Influence Aiquor Laws Disorderly Conduct Agrancy	3 3 3	89 98 105 1 15	92 98 108 1 18	79 98 106 1 18	13 2	20 4 5 2	7 2 1	2 1	7 14 4 1	5 27 1	29 53 94 1 13	4 3	2

