If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.

Office for Victims of Crime Victims Roundtable Discussion September 28, 2002 Houston, Texas GORE, PERRY, GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 OLIVE ST. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

1	Office for Victims of Crime
2	
3	Victims Roundtable Discussion
4	
5	September 28, 2002
6	
7	Houston, Texas
8	
9	
10	Attendees:
12	Mr. John W. Gillis
13	Ms. Jeannie M. Gregori
14	Mr. Steve J. Twist
15	Ms. Patsy Gillis
16	Ms. Inez Robinson-Odom
17	Ms. Jennifer Ford
18	Mr. Lee P. Brown
19	Mr. Don DeGabrielle
20	Ms. Wendy Albright
21	Mr. Keith Albright
22	Ms. Meg Bakich
23	Ms. Beverly Campos
24	Ms. Irma Chavez
25	Mr. Tony Chavez

- 1 Ms. Diane Clements
- 2 Mr. David Dalition
- 3 Ms. Jana Freelove
- 4 Ms. Carolyn Hardin
- 5 Ms. Janice Harris Lord
- 6 Ms. Lola Jurca
- 7 Mr. Andy Kahan
- 8 Ms. Ruth Marin-Eason
- 9 Ms. Diane Marino
- 10 Mr. Charles Marino
- 11 Ms. Judy Nesbit
- 12 Mr. Ric Nesbit
- 13 Ms. Brenda O'Quin
- 14 Ms. Madonna Pitrucha
- 15 Ms. Janice Sager
- 16 Ms. Darlene Sanchez
- 17 Ms. JoAnn Starkey
- 18 Ms. Patsy Teer
- 19 Ms. Sharon Wenze
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

MS. ODOM: It's my pleasure to introduce
 Jeannie Gregori, the Chief of Staff with the Office
 for Victims of Crime.

MS. GREGORI: Good morning. I'd like to 4 5 welcome you all here to Houston, Texas, to our Eighth Victims Roundtable Discussion. And I'm actually going 6 to play the moderator role today. So we have a couple 7 8 people who are very important, as all of you are, but we'd like to make their introductions first. And so 9 10 with that I'd first like to introduce Don DeGabrielle. 11 He is the First Assistant United States Attorney for 12 the U.S. Attorneys Office here in Houston, Texas, and 13 for those of you who might not know, the U.S. 14 Attorneys Offices are considered the highest law enforcement agency that prosecutes cases in the 15 16 federal justice system. So I'd like to introduce the FAUSA, which they usually call them for short, and he 17 18 can talk a little bit more about his office. MR. DeGABRIELLE: Good morning to each of 19 20 you. I'd like to welcome you on behalf of Michael 21 Shelby, who is the presidentially-appointed United 22 States Attorney for Houston and the entire Southern 23 District of Texas, who is actually attending the

24 United States Attorneys Conference up in New York this
25 weekend and into the first part of the week. So he

sends his regrets. He wishes he could be here. He
 was invited by the Office for Victims of Crimes to
 speak to you this morning, and he was unable to do so.
 So I get the honor of coming here to do this.

5 So I want to welcome those of you that are 6 not from Houston to Houston. I met Mr. Albright who 7 is a neighbor up the road, up 45 in Fort Worth. 8 He's -- Probably most of you have been to Houston at 9 one time or another, but if you haven't, welcome, and 10 I hope you enjoy your stay.

It is a rather melancholy occasion, of 11 course, that brings us all together. Most of you have 12 13 suffered losses that some of us can only imagine, especially those of us that deal with the business of 14 trying to put bad people behind bars. That's what 15 16 I've made a career of. For 16 years I've been doing 17 what I do now as a federal prosecutor, a little bit of time in private practice before that. I didn't like 18 19 that. But before that I was with the District 20 Attorney's Office in New Orleans. And I'm sure that 21 many of you have experiences, good for the most part I 22 hope, and I know sometimes not always so good with 23 local prosecution offices, and I did that for four 24 years, and that was when I came in contact with more 25 victims of crimes and family members that had suffered

losses even than I have here at the U.S. Attorneys 1 2 Office. And before that, I was an F.B.I. agent for three years in New Orleans and then New York. So I 3 4 am -- This is a career for me. I make a living doing what I think at the end of the day I can feel good 5 about. And so I want you to know that at least in our 6 7 office here, and the truth be known, throughout the 8 country, the people that make a career out of 9 prosecuting criminals and trying to redress societal wrongs and harm to you as people, they fill the 10 11 prosecution offices across the country. If you end up having an experience that is not so good therein, then 12 13 perhaps you may not think that is the case. You may 14 think that you were not given the attention you 15 deserved. And that may be true. You may not have 16 been. And I want to apologize on behalf of any 17 prosecutor in the country if that was the case. But 18 we are filled with dedicated professionals who 19 dedicate their livelihoods, indeed it's an avocation 20 for me and for most of my colleagues, and certainly my 21 colleagues within the Office for Victims of Crime 22 within the Justice Department. I know that they've 23 been in existence since 1984, and they have been 24 doing -- making concerted efforts to see to it that 25 whenever people like you are confronted with the

criminal justice system in the way that you are that 1 it will be as helpful and as sympathetic and empathic 2 as possible. Doesn't always seem like that, but that 3 4 is their goal, and so I commend what they do. They 5 get a lot of money, our tax dollars go to that office, 6 and they distribute it across this country, and 7 meetings, roundtable discussions like this one this morning is one example of what they do with their 8 9 money.

10 So while I am sorry that the thing that brings us here is a loss that some of you have 11 12 suffered, I am pleased to be a part of the healing process with you. As we, the professionals that do 13 this for a living, prosecute people, learn more about 14 15 your issues and the needs and your concerns, we can 16 only be better at the end of the day. And so I am hoping that at the end of this day that I will take 17 back what I learned from here to my office, and then 18 19 that little dedicated cadre of professionals will grow 20 from the experience that I get here. So I am pleased 21 to be a part of this healing process, a part of the 22 way forward that we are going. I will be around here 23 throughout most of the day. I'd love to speak with some of you. If you have questions about the system 24 and you want to have a free shot at somebody that does 25

this for a living to maybe help you understand what happened in your particular case, I'll be glad to do that. I'm not a professional. Mr. Gillis and Miss Gregori are professionals, and they know and will have professionals talking to you, so I don't offer myself in that vein, but just as a practitioner that's in the system with you.

8 So, again, welcome to Houston. I look 9 forward to meeting most of you since it's a small 10 enough group throughout the morning, and I turn it 11 back over to Jeannie. Thank you.

12 MS. GREGORI: Thank you, Mr. DeGabrielle. Now I'd like to introduce the Director of the Office 13 for Victims of Crime. He is also a presidential 14 political appointee, Senate confirmed, and he actually 15 got to Washington, D.C., on September 10th to start 16 his confirmation hearings, and so a few days later 17 they did confirm him as the new Director for the 18 19 Office for Victims of Crime. I'd like to introduce 20 the Honorable John Gillis.

21 MR. GILLIS: Thanks, Jeannie, and good 22 morning, everyone. First of all, let me thank you for 23 taking the time out of your busy schedule to come here 24 today. This is something that's very important to us 25 and to other crime victims, and your input will

1 certainly be invaluable to us.

2 The purpose for these meetings, I -- When I first came to D.C., I wanted to find out whether or 3 4 not what we're doing in the Beltway is really 5 important to you and whether we're doing the things 6 that we need to do and how helpful or not so helpful 7 are we being to crime victims. And one of the things 8 that they have a tendency to do in Washington is sit 9 around inside the Beltway and talk to each other, and 10 they try and figure out what it is that they're doing and whether they're helping you, and I thought the 11 12 best way to do that is get out and talk to the victims 13 and find out whether or not we are meeting your needs, whether there is something that the Office can do that 14 15 we're not doing, so that's the purpose of asking you 16 here today.

17 This is our eighth roundtable, as Jeannie 18 mentioned. We started off in California, did the 19 first one there. Then we moved to several other 20 states. And we've always had more than one state involved in the roundtable, but when we got to Texas, 21 22 we said, "Well, Texas is so proactive in victims' 23 issues" until we really wanted to talk to you, just 24 those of you from Texas, so that we can see what it is that we're doing and how we can help. We try to keep 25

1 the numbers small. We try to keep it below 30,

2 somewhere around 25, because we figure that's the best 3 way that we can have interaction among the victims and 4 get the feedback from you as to whether or not what 5 we're doing is helping you. I think we have 20 6 something. Yeah. 22. Okay. Good. So that's a good 7 group.

8 A little bit about me. Jeannie mentioned 9 that I'm a presidential appointee, but she didn't 10 mention that most of my career has been spent in law enforcement. I was a member of the Los Angeles Police 11 12 Department for 26 years, and during -- It was somewhere around my seventeenth year my daughter was 13 14 murdered by a gang member who wanted to move up in the hierarchy of the gang, and that's how I became active 15 16 in crime victims' issues.

I retired from the police department and 17 18 had continuously been active in victims issues, and 19 the Governor of California appointed me to the Board of Prison Terms. The Board of Prison Terms in 20 21 California makes the determination as to whether or not prisoners that are sentenced to life should be 22 released on parole. Just so turns out that at the 23 24 time I was appointed to the board, my daughter's 25 murderer was due to come up for a hearing, and I spent

nine years on the Board of Prison Terms, and he decided during those nine years that he didn't want to come up for a hearing, so I thought, "Gee, that's great!" But he's still in prison. We're due to have another hearing I think sometime next year. I'd also like to introduce my wife, Patsy, who's here. She's sitting in the back. The one thing that we will do after we finish all of these hearings -- Again, this is the eighth one. We expect to have maybe two more. You'll notice that everything is being filmed. We intend to produce some training videos, videos that will help crime victims, law

14 information we can glean from these that will be 15 helpful to others, we will do that.

enforcement, judiciary, prosecution. Whatever

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

With that -- Oh, I do have a couple 16 letters that I would like to read, and this one is 17 dated September 12th, 2002. It says, "Dear Fellow 18 19 Texans. It is a pleasure to send greetings and best 20 wishes to all attendees of the Eighth Victims Roundtable Discussion being held on September 28th in 21 22 Houston, Texas. I hope you enjoy your time together 23 in Texas's largest city.

24 While there are those in America who feel 25 that society is to blame for crime, I believe that

criminals should be held responsible for their actions 1 2 and punished appropriately for their wrongdoings. I 3 also believe our criminal justice system should show 4 as much concern for the rights of victims as it does 5 for the rights of the criminal. Throughout my tenure in Congress, I have sought to close the loopholes in 6 7 our criminal justice system, and I support legislation 8 pending in Congress that would establish a 9 Constitutional Amendment to insure that victims of violent crime are afforded the fairness, dignity, and 10 11 respect they deserve. 12 I commend each of you for having the

13 strength and courage to come together to share your 14 personal experiences, and you have my best wishes for 15 a most productive and successful roundtable 16 discussion.

Yours truly, Phil Graham, United StatesSenator. "

And one other letter dated September 28th. "Dear Friends. I was pleased to learn that the Office for Victims of Crime (OVC) and the Department of Justice has chosen Houston as the site for the Eighth Victims Roundtable Discussion. It is rewarding to know that the OVC has hosted similar roundtable discussions around the nation, and that Director John

1 W. Gillis is here today to conduct the meeting.

2 Houston's well-known hospitality provides a warm welcome to all our visitors from around the 3 4 state. I commend the participants for your willingness to share the personal accounts of your 5 6 experiences with your fellow citizens. Your courage 7 and determination will provide inspiration for others under similar circumstances and renew our commitment 8 9 to assist the victims of crime through our compassion 10 and legislative initiatives. What better way than through the difficult experiences you have had to work 11 12 to insure that others in our society do not meet with a similar fate. 13

Throughout my public service career, I 14 15 have been actively involved with legislation to 16 protect victims. As an example, in 1996 I introduced 17 legislation in the U.S. Congress to strengthen the protection we offer stalking victims, many of whose 18 19 stories we so often hear about only after they end in 20 tragedy. The law was passed, and it makes it a felony 21 for a stalker to cross state lines to place a stalking 22 victim in fear of death or serious injury in violation 23 of a protective order by such travel, and it extends that protection of law to members of a victim's 24 immediate family. 25

1 Thank you for the opportunity to be 2 included in the roundtable discussion through my 3 message of support. All ideas and suggestions for 4 future action will be given the highest priority 5 within my office.

6 With Best Wishes, Kay Bailey Hutchinson."7 Thank you.

8 MS. GREGORI: Just as a side note, we will 9 be sending out notes of this meeting, and with those 10 notes we will send each copy of the letter, one from 11 Senator Phil Graham and then the other from Senator 12 Kay Bailey Hutchinson. So for those of you who would 13 like a copy, you will be receiving one.

And Mr. Gillis did mention about the filming, so I'd like to introduce Inez Odom. She works with our office. She's a producer, and she can tell you a little bit more about the videoing.

18 MS. ODOM: Well, good morning, everyone. 19 Basically, we're a video production company based in 20 San Diego, and we are working with the Office for Victims of Crime, the Department of Justice, going 21 around the country videotaping the roundtables. Some 22 23 of you may have seen as you were signing in a little 24 VCR that was running one of the roundtables, and that 25 was an edited version of the roundtable that we filmed

in Boston. And so we'll be doing something comparable
 with this roundtable.

3 And my role here today is simply to facilitate your discussion, and so I'll be going 4 around with hand-held microphones, and we'll be simply 5 6 having an opportunity to hear from everyone. And what 7 we're going to initially is I'm going to need a 8 hand-held, and we're going to be going around, and I'm 9 going to be having you give a very brief introduction. 10 I really just need your name and where you're from and just a quick thumbnail because you really will have 11 12 ample time to not only share your ideas, but things that you think are important for the Office for 13 14 Victims of Crime to know.

So with that said, I'm also responsible 15 16 for your bathroom breaks and all that good stuff, so 17 I'll be mindful of that in making sure that we keep 18 the day paced. As you see, we have an agenda up here, 19 and one of the things that's not on there, which I 20 know I always look for, is lunch. What's happening 21 with that? So the plan is is that at lunchtime we'll be breaking and going right outside of this door. 22 23 They're going to set up a buffet lunch for us. And 24 we'll probably take somewhere between 45 minutes to an 25 hour to have lunch, although we make these working

1 lunches because what we try to do is I'm going to be 2 giving you some assignments, some things to be 3 thinking about during that lunch so that when we come 4 back we can address some of those issues.

5 I also wanted to acknowledge that we have 6 Steve Twist with us today. Some of you know Steve. 7 Wave your hand, Steve. How many folks know Steve 8 Twist? Okay. Well, so not a lot of folks. Anyway, 9 Steve probably a little later in the day will be 10 talking with you as well about the Constitutional 11 Amendment and some other issues.

12 So with that said, I'm going to walk 13 around and pass you the microphone. If I could 14 lovingly ask if cell phones and beepers and pagers 15 could be turned off, that would be -- That would be --16 I know. I know. It's like thanks. Okay. So I'm 17 going to start on this side of the room if that's all 18 right. Does that work for you? Okay. Hello. And this is Smiling Pete. Very good. I'm going to start 19 20 right here with you if that's all right. And the 21 trick -- This is sort of like your MTV moment, you 22 know. You want to hold your microphone right about 23 here. Okay. And if I feel that you're not holding it 24 appropriately, I'm going to lovingly come over and move it, but I just need to be able to hear you well. 25

1 Sure. Do you need Jeannie to move a little bit? 2 Jeannie, could you scootch this way just a little bit? 3 MS. CAMPOS: Okay. My name is Beverly Campos, and my 17-year-old son was murdered by a 4 14-year-old boy on July 4th of 1992. His son was 5 three weeks old, and -- Well, we miss Jason a lot. 6 7 MR. CAMPOS: I'm her son, and she told the 8 story.

9 MS. LORD: I'm Janice Harris Lord, and I'm a consultant in crime victim issues. I spent 14 years 10 as National Director of Victims Services for Mothers 11 Against Drunk Driving, and since then have done quite 12 a number of projects with OVC as well as with the 13 14 Attorney General's Office here in the Texas Victim 15 Assistance Academy, and I guess another important umbrella for me is the National Institute of Victims 16 17 Studies at Sam Houston State University. And I've 18 worked with Steve on the Constitutional Amendment 19 since day one.

20 MR. TWIST: Day one, that's right. I'll 21 pass.

22 MS. SAGER: My name is Janice Sager. I'm 23 founder of an organization called Texans for Equal 24 Justice. In '78, my father and one of my brothers 25 were murdered, and that was back when there were no

18

1 victims' rights at all, so I'm very encouraged,

2 though, at what I've seen happen in the last 23 years.

3 MS. SANCHEZ: Hi. My name is Darlene 4 Sanchez. My husband was murdered in March of '97. I 5 have come to work with Janice and help her in all the 6 stuff that she needs to.

7 MR. KAHAN: Hi, I'm Andy Kahan. I'm the Mayor's Crime Victims Director for the City of Houston 8 9 and a board member of the Houston Chapter of Parents 10 of Murdered Children and a committee chairperson for Justice for All. The Mayor's office in the City of 11 12 Houston is the only position of its kind in the 13 country that has a crime victim advocate staffed out 14 of the Mayor's office, and I've been doing this for 15 the last ten years, and we have been successful in 16 numerous legislative initiatives to better enhance the 17 system on behalf of crime victims.

MS. JURCA: I'm Lola Jurca. My son, John
Jensen, was murdered March 15th, 1995, and I'm a
contact person for Parents of Murdered Children.

21 MS. STARKEY: Hello. I'm JoAnn Starkey 22 from -- Stand up? I'm JoAnn Starkey from Duncanville, 23 Texas, which is a suburb of Dallas. My son, Brian 24 Higgins, 21 years old, was murdered May 23rd of 1994. 25 I'm the Dallas contact for Parents of Murdered Children, excuse me, and I work very actively with
 Victims Outreach also in Dallas.

MS. NESBIT: Actually, you can't tell when I'm standing up. I'm Judy Nesbit. I'm from Fort Worth. I have been part of the beginnings of the Parents of Murdered Children in that city, served on the board briefly. My daughter, Katy Nesbit, was 16 and murdered along with Brenda O'Quin's son August of '95, and this is my spouse.

10 MS. WENZE: My name is Sharon Wenze. I'm 11 from Arlington, Texas. My son, Roderick Wenze, was 12 murdered May 6th, 1997. Roderick was 20, and the case 13 remains unsolved, and it's very difficult not knowing 14 who or whom.

15 MR. CHAVEZ: My name is Tony Chavez. I'm from San Antonio, Texas. My 22-year-old son was 16 17 car-jacked and abducted in a parking lot of a north 18 side restaurant by two 17-year-olds who subsequently 19 robbed him and shot him in the back of the head and left him to die on a country road in a separate 20 county. And the two murderers have been subsequently 21 22 adjudicated or have -- We've gone through two capital 23 murder cases, and one 17-year-old is on death row and the other one received Capital Life or Capital Murder 24 Life. We've gone through two appeals and are possibly 25

1 going through a third, and we're just waiting the 2 outcome of that third one.

3 MS. CHAVEZ: I'm Irma Chavez, and I'm4 Chris's mom.

MS. TEER: I'm Patsy Teer. My son was 26 5 6 years old. He was a DPS officer. He had stopped a 7 man who they suspected -- Can you hear me? Okay. 8 Patsy Teer. My son was 26-year-old Trooper II Mark 9 Alan Frederick. He stopped a man who was suspected of 10 having a stolen credit card in Austin County, and this 11 man had been convicted of federal crimes. He was 12 caught at the same time that we were burying my son. He languished on death row for 24 years, and he was 13 14 given a lethal injection in January of 2000.

15 So I have worked -- tried to work with all 16 the organizations and Andy Kahan to get bills passed 17 to help victims, and I think we've done a great job. 18 Thank you.

MS. PITRUCHA: My name is Madonna Pitrucha. In 1953 my father was murdered, and in 1987 my 24-year-old son-in-law was murdered, and I found out -- I'd always believed in the justice system, and, unfortunately, that put a damper on me big time when I found out it wasn't there for us, it was for the criminal. So like Pat, I was with Parents of Murdered

1 Children in 1989, and since then in Justice for All, 2 and we're trying to work hard to get legislation to 3 make it fair for victims and not always just the 4 perpetrator.

MS. HARDIN: Hello. My name is Carolyn 5 6 Hardin, and my son, Steven, was murdered April 17th of 7 '98. We went to trial July of '98. The man got convicted of First Degree Murder, and the jury gave 8 him probation. With Andy's help and Diane Clements' 9 with Justice for All, we went to two legislations and 10 tried to get the law passed that when you're convicted 11 12 of First Degree Murder you need to spend some jail 13 time. It hasn't passed yet, but as the old saying goes, it ain't through till the fat lady sings, and 14 15 this lady ain't through singing yet.

16 MS. MARIN-EASON: My name is Ruth Marin, and I go by Ruth Marin-Eason. My son, Raul, was a 17 18 Staff Sergeant in the Air Force in San Antonio, and he was brutally murdered on August 5th, 1998, and his 19 20 wife was the one that had planned it for about three 21 years before the murder occurred. They caught the 22 guy, and he got 35 years because he plea bargained. 23 My daughter-in-law came to testify against the 24 murderer, and they arrested her. And I am upset 25 because she had given my grandkids to her mother. So

she killed him for money, and they still got the money
 because her mother's got custody of my grandkids.

3 MS. BAKICH: My name is Meg Bakich, and my
4 sister was murdered February 11th, 2000. She was
5 poisoned of selenium.

6 MR. DALITION: My name is David Dalition. 7 I'm Meg's brother. And as Meg said, Linda Adenine 8 (phonetic) was murdered on February 11th, 2000. The 9 perpendicular has not been arrested yet. We continue 10 to strive for justice and move the case forward. 11 However, it languishes.

12 I'm grateful for OVC, for the invitation 13 today, and all the work that's being done on behalf of 14 victims and victim survivors.

MS. O'QUIN: I'm Brenda O'Quin with the North Texas Chapter of Parents of Murdered Children. The Nesbit family and my family actually started the chapter in 1996 after my son, Michael McEachern, and their daughter, Katy Nesbit, were murdered in 1995. The chapter -- Several of our chapter members are here today, and we appreciate the opportunity.

22 MS. FREELOVE: I'm Jana Freelove. My 23 19-year-old daughter was murdered in 1993 on her 24 nineteenth birthday with her best friend, Melanie, and 25 I'm co-chapter leader of Parents of Murdered Children. 1 North Texas Chapter.

2 MR. ALBRIGHT: My name is Keith Albright from Fort Worth, Texas. My -- Our infant son, Hunter 3 Albright, was murdered by his nanny on November 12th, 4 1999. She was arrested, charged. We waited 18 months 5 for a trial. And during the two-week trial, we were 6 revictimized by a defense attorney who managed to 7 8 confuse an illiterate jury, and she was acquitted. MS. MARINO: My name is Diane Marino, and 9 10 I'm a member of the Houston Chapter of Parents of 11 Murdered Children, and our son was murdered in 1985, 12 and the man that killed him was given the death 13 penalty, and we seen it happen last year on October 14 22nd, seen him get the injection. His name is 15 Charles. 16 MS. ODOM: Thank you. 17 MS. CLEMENTS: My name is Diane Clements,

18 and our son, Zachary Ryan Clements, was 13 years old when he was shot and killed August 16th of 1991 by a 19 20 13-year-old neighbor child who eventually got one year 21 probation only because I was able to advocate for 22 Zachary at the District Attorney's Office. It took 23 six months before charges were filed. This was before Columbine and would be treated very differently today, 24 25 but it happened, and we miss him, and thanks for being

1 here.

2 MS. ODOM: Thank you all very much. So at this point, Jeannie, did you want to come back up? I 3 4 know that all of you should have received information 5 packets. Does everyone have an information packet? 6 Raise your hand if you don't have an information 🚽 7 packet. You don't have an information packet. Okay. 8 But you got your name tags, and you still need one. 9 Okay. And we have some paperwork and literature and 10 whatnot we just have to get back from you and so we'll go over all of that. So I know Jeannie is going to 11 12 talk to you a little bit. Jeannie.

MS. GREGORI: I just wanted to briefly go
over some of the resources that you have in front of
you.

16 First, I'd like to take a look at this 17 booklet that you have. This booklet is actually done 18 by a volunteer who volunteers her time. She's not 19 here today. But her name is Collene Campbell. So 20 what -- I'm sorry. Is there a question in the back? 21 Okay. They're out in the front if you didn't get one, 22 and if we run out, we will send them to you. And I 23 know a couple of people are not in here, and that's 24 because the booklet was completed when we got your 25 Victim Information Form. But don't worry. We will

update this. So if you're not in the booklet or if 1 for some reason there's a mistake, please make sure 2 you complete a new Victim Information Form and either 3 4 make sure that I receive it or that Inez gets that, 5 and then what we'll do is go ahead and update this 6 booklet and resend it out. And, of course, if you 7 didn't get one, we'll send you one, but there are some out at the resource table. Okay. And then all of you 8 9 should have been able to pick up your resource packet, 10 and that would have included your name tag, and they are out at the front. 11 12 Now, I'd like to just turn briefly to some of the resources in the packet so you can kind of 13 understand a little bit more about the Office for 14 15 Victims of Crime. 16 MS. ODOM: How many people need this? Raise your hand. How many need this? Quite a few. 17 All right. Very good. 18 19 MS. GREGORI: Okay. It looks like we need more booklets. We will -- Okay. We will look for 20 21 more booklets. Okay. Meanwhile, while everybody's 22 looking for booklets, we'll go over the resources 23 really quickly. 24 The Office for Victims of Crime, we are part of an umbrella agency called the Office of 25

Justice Program, and that is part of the office - excuse me -- the Department of Justice, and so our
 main fact sheet is in there. It talks about the
 Victims of Crime, the Victims Fund.

The Victims Fund is our main funding 5 6 source, and actually the money that comes into that 7 fund, it's not taxpayers' money. It's nonappropriated 8 money, and it actually comes each year through 9 criminal fines from convicted federal offenders, from forfeited bail bonds, penalties and special 10 assessments collected by the U.S. Attorney's Offices, 11 12 and there's 94 districts throughout the United States. 13 It also comes from the Federal United States Courts and the Federal Bureau of Prisons. And so each year 14 we do have a congressional cap that's put on that 15 16 money. And for fiscal year 2002, it was capped at 17 \$550 million.

And so from that cap we do have a main formula grant that we disburse that you probably have heard, and there's two brochures in your packet on it. The formula grant goes to support what's called compensation for crime victims or your state comp program, and it also supports your state victim assistance programs.

25

And so briefly I just want to talk about

the state compensation program, which is the blue 1 2 brochure. And what that means, it's a direct payment to eligible crime victims for related crime-related 3 4 expenses. And so, for example, Texas in 2001 in these 5 reports, they are on-line under our website, they had 6 over 9,706 claims plus an additional 2,048 that were 7 domestic violence related. And so the local victim assistance center ideally works with the victim to 8 9 make sure that they fill out this application, and 10 then it's a direct reimbursement to the crime victim. And so Texas reported that it paid over \$41 million 11 12 last year directly to crime victims.

13 The second program that OVC funds, it's in your purple brochure, and that's called your state 14 15 victim assistance programs, and what that is, OVC 16 gives money to the state who, in turn, subgrants it 17 out to various direct service providers. So this is 18 where you could see your domestic violence shelters, 19 emergency transportation to court, counselors, other 20 types of direct services for crime victims. And for 21 Texas, there were 287 agencies that received money 22 from the state, and, in turn, out of those agencies 23 there were 357 subgrants. And so a certain agency 24 could be in charge of one or two subgrants. And so 25 OVC funds that, and those are our main formula grant

1 programs.

2 We also have what's called discretionary monies left over from the fund. And so that does such 3 things as support special interest projects like these 4 roundtables. It also goes to fund different things 5 for the federal criminal justice system. And one of 6 those, you'll notice this booklet in your packet 7 8 called "The Attorney General Guidelines for Victim and Witness Assistance, the 2000 Edition," and when you 9 10 have time, there's tabs in it, if you wanted to pull 11 out the tabs. What this booklet is, it's based on 12 federal law, and it's all the federal statutes and DOJ 13 policy on what the federal prosecutors and federal law 14 enforcement agencies are mandated to do if you are 15 involved in a federal crime. And so OVC put together 16 this publication and does provide training and 17 technical assistance to the various federal agencies 18 on this. Now, if you're in the state system, of 19 course, your state laws would apply and not this 20 booklet.

You'll also notice in your packet that there's this grant program application kit, and I urge all of you to look at it. This is called Helping Outreach Programs to Expand or Project HOPE, as we call it, and this actually is the first application

kit of its kind put together by Director Gillis, and 1 2 what it is is grants of money up to \$5,000 that you can apply for, and it's a very simplified process that 3 if you're either a nonprofit or if you have not 4 attained your nonprofit status, you can get this 5 money. And so right now I know a lot of the POMC 6 7 chapters are putting in for these types of grants as are the MADD Chapters. And, you know, it can do such 8 things as support a newsletter or mailings or things 9 10 of that type to help your outreach. And so you should 11 all take a look at this because the funding is ongoing 12 on that.

The final thing that's in your resource 13 14 packet, it's a little Rolodex card that if you open it 15 you can see the Rolodex card, and any of these 16 publications that I've just talked about, you can call 17 the OVC Resource Center, you can get them mailed to 18 you for free. If you're putting on a conference and 19 wanted other types of resources, again, you could call 20 the Resource Center and they would send you 21 information, and it also puts out our website, and a 22 lot of these publications are available on the 23 website.

And with that, I just wanted to give youkind of a brief overview of the Office for Victims of

1 Crime. If you have specific questions, I could answer 2 those for you. Does anybody have any comments or 3 concerns?

MS. ODOM: And you need to have a microphone in order to ask your comment or your concern, so I can bring that to you if you want to raise your hand. Any questions or concerns at this point? I see a hand. This is working, working beautiful. Here's Madonna. No. This is Madonna, but Judy gets it first.

11 MS. NESBIT: I'm not standing up yet. 12 That took a few minutes, and with my lack of focus I forgot what my question was. I'm Judy Nesbit again, 13 14 and I spent the first few months after the murder of my daughter involved in support of other families, and 15 16 after months of realizing that this outpouring was --17 It was certainly comforting and helpful to me to 18 support someone else, but when I got through my shock, I realized I was a victim and needed some assistance. 19 20 And when I finally called victims assistance, the 21 first thing that was said to me by the person that answered was, "Ma'am, do you realize how long ago that 22 23 was?" And I was offended by that because, of course, 24 I knew exactly when it happened and how long ago it was. But I applied for some help with counseling and 25

am not receiving that. It was approved, and there's been sporadic response, but not what it said in that initial letter. And I'm wondering -- I've talked to a number of people, and I'm wondering what needs to -who I need to be in contact with that might actually respond.

7 MS. GREGORI: Actually, those are the types of concerns and issues we want to hear about 8 9 when we get into the longer discussion, but right --10 To answer your question right now directly, it would sound like the person who administers the compensation 11 12 program for Texas should be contacted, and that might be something, too, that OVC would want to work on. So 13 maybe at a break you could come up separately and talk 14 15 to me. But thank you for your question.

16 MS. PITRUCHA: What I'd like to ask is 17 like one of the Parents of Murdered Children, last year when she pulled up in her driveway, her and her 18 19 husband, her husband went into the house, she was 20 viciously attacked by two thugs, who -- I think the 21 intent was more than just rob her because they drug 22 her all the way down her driveway, but they ended up 23 stealing her purse with her keys to the house and her car keys. And we checked to see if there was any 24 compensation to help pay for the locks and that 25

1 because they are seniors, you know, and to see if they could get any help at paying for the locks on her 2 3 house and her car for their own safety, and they said there is none for that when I called Austin, and I 4 just wished there would be some kind of grant or 5 6 monies for things like that because now these same criminals have the keys to her home. Well, their son 7 8 came over and replaced two of the locks, but that's 9 not all of the locks.

MS. GREGORI: Right. And that's a good
concern because OVC, we put out broad guidelines.
Each state can tailor the guidelines, and I see
Mr. Gillis wants to say something.

14 MS. ODOM: John, here I am. Here I am 15 with the microphone.

16 MR. GILLIS: And you had mentioned it 17 already, but those are the kind of things that we will 18 get into once we do the brief overview, and then we'll 19 start going around the table, and we'll start talking 20 about problems and how do we solve those and what we 21 can do to help. Because that's really what this is 22 all about. But we wanted to briefly let you know 23 what's available to you, and then we want to hear from you about the things that you think need changing and 24 25 how we can go about making those changes. So the

questions are good, but as soon as she finishes her
 overview, then we'll get into those. So thank you.
 MS. ODOM: And we had one more question

4 back here.

5 MS. MARIN-EASON: My question is within -- going to court for two weeks, is there any funds --6 Like we have to park, eat, everything, just to support 7 8 other families, and sometimes, you know, they've told us three days, and it's almost three weeks that we've 9 10 been in the courts, and the family wants us there, so 11 we kind of sometimes sacrifice like when you don't 12 have income, I'm on a limited income, and our 13 chapters, some of them have the money to do it, and 14 some don't, and I was just wondering if there was any 15 permit or anything that they can have for especially 16 people that go in and assist, you know, be there for 17 support for people, you know.

MS. GREGORI: Right. That actually depends on how your state has set up the program. I have heard of states where they do put aside money for that. The best thing -- Are you in contact with an advocate in a victim witness program?

23 MS. MARIN-EASON: No.

MS. GREGORI: Okay. We'll have to get youthat contact information because they would be the

1 ones to tell you what you're eligible for and what 2 monies they have set aside for that.

MS. MARIN-EASON: Okay.

3

MS. ODOM: Thank you. I see another question. And one thing if I could ask you when you -- as you ask your question, if you would just give us your name because this will also be transcribed, so it's helpful for the transcriber to know who's making the statement. Thank you.

10 MR. CHAVEZ: It's not much of a question, 11 but it's basically a reply to Miss Marino's question 12 is that the local chapter of the San Antonio Parents 13 of Murdered Children will provide with assistance in 14 parking up to \$5 a day. In our newsletter, we have a list of about ten or 12 friends that will assist in 15 16 joining and being there present with you with a phone 17 call. But the newsletter has the phone numbers of all the board members and those that are available to 18 19 assist in joining, and also I think the limit is \$5 a 20 day for parking.

MS. ODOM: Thank you. Okay. And,Jeannie, continue with your overview.

23 MS. GREGORI: Okay. Just very briefly. 24 I just wanted to highlight, too, that OVC, we do have 25 earmarks that come out of the fund, and those earmarks

support -- If you're ever in the federal criminal 1 justice system, in each U.S. Attorney's Office there 2 are Victim/Witness Coordinators, and so monies to the 3 fund, about 17 million, supports those positions. So 4 those would be your contacts to help you through the 5 6 system and to tell you what resources are available. And also if the case is being investigated 7 8 and has not been indicted, then there's a counterpart 9 for the F.B.I., which we also support, and they are 10 called Victim Specialists, and those would be your contacts. 11

12 Now, the federal people also refer any crime victims to what's called the state/local victim 13 14 assistance centers, and that's where the forms are for 15 your victim compensation and also for the victim assistance programs. And so, ideally, if the system 16 17 is working, and we've heard through the roundtables 18 that sometimes it's not, that these contacts are being 19 made. And so if it's not and you're having a problem 20 in your state, we do have some contact information for 21 like, you know, the State of Texas and who runs the comp program and the assistance program, and we also 22 23 know in the local counties who runs the victim assistance centers. And, of course, if you're in the 24 25 federal justice system, we know who those contacts

1 are, too, and they are up on our website. But, you
2 know, we're certainly here to help you or to give you
3 that information so you know where it is.

And so that's pretty much all I wanted to talk about because it sounds like we're ready to jump into discussing what the problems really are. And so with that, I'll open it back to the floor and start hearing from all of you here.

9 MS. ODOM: And actually one method that has worked fairly well for us has been that we've 10 11 basically gone around the room so that -- I know that typically when people come to something like this, 12 they might have a chief concern or a chief message 13 that they wanted to make sure got communicated, sort 14 of like, "Well, if they hear anything, they're going 15 16 to hear this." So I want to make sure everyone gets 17 their opportunity to be heard.

I would like to mention that apparently 18 19 the Mayor of Houston is going to be coming in to say 20 hello to you possibly. It's not for sure. Pardon me? 21 What? Well, I won't even say. All right. Salty 22 things are being said. I feel the vibe. Anyway, 23 suffice to say that if he comes, if you'd be kind 24 enough, he will just need to interrupt, come in, make 25 his remarks and leave. I have fruit in the back, you
1 know, whatever. All right. Face up front. Okay. I
2 would like to start with you if that's all right if
3 there were a chief concern or a chief thought that you
4 wanted to make sure got communicated. Does that sound
5 like an equitable way to do this? Okay.

MR. CHAVEZ: I feel like with some of the 6 7 members of the Parents of Murdered Children in San 8 Antonio, some of the basic issues are primarily communication between the police departments, the 9 10 investigation, due process, the District Attorney's 11 endeavors on what areas of pursuit they are going to 12 obtain, educating the victims and/or their surviving 13 siblings and/or parents and/or husbands or wives of 14 the due process, of the prosecution process, what they 15 can experience, what they can expect, provide them a 16 worst case scenario, a best case scenario. Basically 17 education.

18 When my wife and I experienced our tragedy, it happened so suddenly with a phone call, 19 20 and that was the basic response that most of the 21 friends in San Antonio who are surviving victims and 22 who are perpetual victims have apparently sensed is that the shocking experience that they have initially 23 is mummifying, so to speak. They can't think. They 24 can't reason. They can't see straight. They suffer 25

physically and emotionally, mentally. So, therefore, 1 2 their basic rationale as a normal human being no longer exists. What are the next steps? There's no 3 4 direction. Every case is unique. And my heart goes 5 out to everyone that is present here today. 6 The basic matter is where do we go now and 7 why do you withhold information, why are we not updated and informed in a manner that would be 8 9 satisfying to a certain degree and upbuilding and give

So those are some of the basic issues that 11 12 we have. My wife and I pursued those matters 13 intently. We concentrated on those areas. We visited with the homicide department, with the investigating 14 15 officers. We made concerted efforts to be educated by 16 the District Attorney's Office, the prosecutor. We 17 were befriended by the entire homicide division that was handling our case, including the prosecutor and 18 19 the investigators for the D.A.'s office.

10

us a ray of hope.

And I sat through two capital murder cases prior to attending the two that were for our son, so by the time that we were going to be present at our trial, which was 12 months later, to a certain degree we were prepared to expect the worst, including sitting in on both trials through three weeks of voir

dires that we never missed from nine o'clock in the 1 morning till five, six, seven o'clock in the evening, 2 sitting at a table exactly across from the defendant 3 and his defense attorneys, bailiffs, the judge, the 4 court reporter. So we spent three prior weeks, two 5 6 weeks in a capital murder, six months later went 7 through the same routine, and I think that that 8 assisted us tremendously in being able to cope with 9 the tremendous loss that we had already suffered for 10 the last year.

11 Communication and education I feel by all 12 those segments that were there to support the issue of 13 trying to resolve this capital murder case is 14 something that benefitted us more so than others 15 because they were left out of the loop and being left 16 out of the loop just is an additional stress factor 17 that we shouldn't have to bear.

18 MS. ODOM: Thank you very much. And let 19 me mention, I didn't introduce Jennifer Ford. 20 Jennifer works with me at IMO Productions in San 21 Diego, and she is not transcribing. What she's going to try to do is basically kind of keep up with the key 22 23 points that you may raise, and then during breaks 24 we're going to summarize those, and then we can all 25 come back to them. So I just wanted to let you know

if you say fudge and she says hard, sweet candy, don't
 gets upset. You know, we'll get it right. So thank
 you. Okay. And please, John.

MR. GILLIS: You mentioned the notifications, and that's something that Steve Twist is going to talk about later on today, which is a part of the Constitutional Amendment, so it's a very good point that you brought up, and I'm sure Steve is going to cover that when he talks this afternoon.

10 MS. CHAVEZ: Yes. I want to mention that 11 the victim advocate that was there throughout the 12 trials, they're very helpful. They call us back 13 immediately, any question. If she doesn't answer the 14 phone, we have dealt with two different ones, and we left a message. They were always very quick about 15 16 calling us back, and we really appreciate their help. 17 The forms, the application to help crime 18 victims, I don't remember how we got them or exactly

19 who gave them to us, but almost by the end of the week 20 after the crime we had about ten applications. So I 21 think we got one from the funeral home, one from one 22 of the detectives, and I don't know who else gave us 23 one, but just the information that was handed to us 24 and phone numbers was made so available, so when the 25 time came it was there for us.

1 MS. ODOM: And, Patsy, it's your turn, 2 Patsy Teer. And, again, just a reminder that I want 3 folks thinking about what are the chief concerns then 4 that you want to make sure you communicated.

5 MS. TEER: I've been in the system so long. My son was murdered two years after the death 6 penalty was voted back by 80 percent of the people, 7 but it took ten years for the first execution because 8 9 it went through the courts for ten years before justice started even having a hint of surviving. But 10 11 I feel very good about the programs that I have seen. There were none at first, and when it went out of one 12 court I didn't know what next court was going to come 13 about. But there have been -- This is one of the 14 victims assistance out of the state that it gives 15 every court that -- the justice system in review, and 16 17 you know where you're going next. We have -- My case 18 was lost in the system for seven years, and I wrote a judge that had given a stay, and he rescinded that 19 20 stay that had gotten lost, and it got back in. So now we have a lady in Austin that keeps up with that, and 21 she calls you and lets you know when the courts are 22 going to convene on your case next. 23

24 We have Andy Kahan, and we have many 25 things in our state. I'm concerned about laws to help

all the victims, and I just wish that we would be able to meet with you people maybe every year, whether it's in this city or another city, because it seems like that that would help a great deal.

MS. ODOM: Thank you, Patsy. Thank you. 5 Okay. Ten seconds to change tape. And what I thought 6 I'd do is I'd like you to -- We're going to have the 7 8 inner circle talk, and then I think we'll take a little bit of a break, and then we'll have the outer 9 circle talk. Just so you know. And, again, if you 10 11 could just say your name, your full name, before you 12 begin to speak, that will just help the transcriber. I could sing for you during the ten second break. Oh, 13 shucks! They're ready. All right. Yeah. Go ahead. 14 Go ahead. 15

16

(Tape change.)

17 MS. PITRUCHA: Okay. Madonna Pitrucha. 18 One of the things that I found out being in Parents of 19 Murdered Children for so many years is a lot of our 20 education comes through conferences because that is such a world of knowledge. Not only that, it helps us 21 through our grief, because there is so many different 22 classes on grief, and as we all know, each person is 23 an individual, handles their grief different, which we 24 25 need the different types of grief deal. Like the one

1 that always helped me was on anger deal, and it's 2 fantastic. But, unfortunately, a lot of people does not have the money to attend these conferences, and I 3 wish there was some kind of way that the OVC could 4 help on people that doesn't have the money, give some 5 6 grants to allow these people to go to conferences if 7 they can prove their income is not allowing them to 8 go, because they do -- time has no reference to pain 9 or anything else. When people say, "Well, you have 10 closure," you know, you close the door, but the room's 11 still on the other side, and it's still with you 12 there. So that doesn't help. But they do need the 13 conferences.

14 MR. GILLIS: And thank you for bringing that up because that's one of the things that I found 15 16 that we need to change. As you know, the POMC conference in Cincinnati, I attended that one. We did 17 18 not offer any funding for that. We will be offering 19 funding in the future. There will be -- I don't know 20 if we're going to do it in the form of scholarships or 21 if we're just going to give the money directly to POMC 22 to assist victims to get to those conferences. But we 23 will be doing that next year.

24 The other thing that happened, there's the
25 NOVA conference or National Organization of Victim

1 Assistance. Each of you have a conference every year, and at the NOVA conference we had all of the service 2 3 providers, and at the POMC conference we had all of the victims, and the two aren't talking to each other. 4 So one of the things that I'm looking at for next year 5 is seeing that we kind of combine those conferences 6 and then do something together, and I notice that the 7 8 Mayor is here.

9 MS. ODOM: Mayor has arrived. Beautiful. 10 If I could invite him to the podium, please. Sir, you 11 can just walk right through here. I give you the 12 Mayor of Houston, and we're up. Beautiful. Thank 13 you, sir.

14 MAYOR BROWN: Good morning. Let me 15 welcome you to our city. Those who are not from 16 Houston, we're happy that you're here. Welcome our 17 visitor from Washington. We appreciate you're being 18 here.

What you are discussing, the whole issue of focusing on victims is a very important one and often over-neglected. I've been involved in addressing this issue for a long time. I revert back to the time I was a sheriff out in Multnomah County in Oregon. We started addressing the issue then. It occurred to me even when I was a police officer that

we spent a lot of our time focusing on those who
 victimized people, but what about those who are
 victimized. And so I certainly want to wish that you
 have very profitable deliberations here.

5 We're very proud here with what we've been 6 able to do. I have in the Mayor's office an Office 7 for Victims, and Andy Kahan is doing an outstanding 8 job. We appreciate what he does. He represents those 9 who are victims in a very forceful way, not only 10 locally, working with the different organizations that 11 do such a good job here, but representing victims in 12 Austin, Washington, assisting in getting legislation 13 that is beneficial. So, again, I want to just drop by 14 and say hey.

MS. ODOM: I think it would make a splendid time for a bathroom break; don't you? "Yes, Inez, we agree." All right. So then why don't we just take a nice five-minute bathroom break? And, gang, when I say five minutes, what do I mean? I mean five. I mean five minutes. I mean four minutes and S9 seconds. Okay. Truly. Ticking.

22

(Brief recess.)

23 MS. HARDIN: I'm sorry I'm late. My name 24 is Carolyn Hardin, and my concern is my case is over 25 with and to help get over my case and everything it's

1 like I want to help victims. I don't want victims to 2 have to go through what I had to go through. We have 3 put together, Ruth and I, a victims information booklet. I don't know how you all were. If it hadn't 4 been for my daughter being very, very organized, I 5 6 wouldn't have known when my next court date was. We put together this little information booklet. We've 7 got it in the victims assistance office in Houston. 8 9 Ruth, how many have we put out so far at the judges' 10 offices? We have put out about 450 so far, just 11 Ruth's poor little old printer, and my copy machine is 12 just about gone, but we want to put these everywhere. And it's just a little booklet. We brought enough for 13 14 everybody to look through it. This is just geared for Texas, but it can be geared for any state. 15

We do a lot of court accompaniment. The families seem to think that, you know, just to go out and have a cigarette, because some of them do smoke, a cup of coffee, just to find out what's going on because a lot of people don't know what's going on in the system. We've been in a trial this last two weeks.

23 And my goal now is to help the next
24 victim. I've got to make a phone call in a few
25 minutes. I had a call last night. I had people there

when I was there, Andy Kahan, Woody Clements. They were there with me during the whole trial. I was new in POMC, so I didn't know a lot of the other people in POMC at that time. The group has helped my life, and my goal is just to see if I can help the next victim along.

7 MR. GILLIS: Let me mention Project HOPE 8 again, and it's the --

9 (Inaudible comment from audience.) 10 Okay. So that the rest of you know what it's all about, it's for the grass-roots 11 organizations. When my wife and I many years ago 12 13 started a small organization and funding was very difficult to come by, \$5,000 in those days probably 14 would have ran our organization for a lot of years, 15 and I know that there are a lot of grass-roots 16 organizations out there, and especially the POMC 17 chapters that are doing a lot of good work who get no 18 19 funding. So although \$5,000 is not a lot, I think it will help do some of the things that you're talking 20 21 about. Also, the MADD Chapters are taking advantage 22 of it, and they are making applications. 23 The money is going to be handled by

24 national -- The money will be handled by us. The 25 applications will be handled by your national POMC.

They're going to take the applications. They'll 1 2 process, they'll come to us, and we'll approve and 3 disburse -- have the funds disbursed. But it is a 4 national organization to help grass-roots 5 organizations continue to do the kind of good work 6 that they've been doing, and they've been doing it for 7 all the right reasons, not because they were getting funded. You know, you don't have your POMC chapters 8 9 because you're getting funded; you have them because 10 you want to help victims. And that's the intent of the HOPE Project is to keep you doing what you've been 11 12 doing for nothing but now give you a little financial 13 assistance.

MS. MARIN-EASON: Okay. I'm going to kind 14 of touch base like Tony said, you know, on the 15 16 communication. I feel that the State of Texas let me 17 down on the communication because I had a court order 18 that my grandkids were only visiting. If I had known 19 that they were going to arrest my daughter-in-law that 20 day, the children would have been here in Texas. 21 Oklahoma does not honor another state's court order. 22 So I ended up spending about \$45,000 just to continue 23 visitation with my grandkids. And I feel the State of 24 Texas just handed them over to Oklahoma when they were 25 kidnapped three weeks before the murder.

1 So, you know, I am very disappointed with 2 the State of Texas, and I represent the State of Texas with Parents of Murdered Children, and I have to tell 3 people that they have funds that help them, and I'm 4 5 not talking about my -- losing my grandkids. That was 6 out of my pocket. But on the -- My daughter-in-law 7 took my son's body to Oklahoma. Me and my two 8 daughters flew up there. Well, they tell me because 9 it was -- I attended the funeral, I don't get reimbursed for that, and I have to tell people, "Oh, 10 yeah, we have funds to help victims," but yet mine has 11 12 been four years, and I got reimbursed about \$600 13 because I took my grandkids to San Antonio to a 14 psychiatrist because they were blaming me for their mother being arrested. 15

So my case has been closed, they opened 16 it, and they are still telling me that they're 17 investigating it. I had to attend my son's funeral, 18 19 but they told me it was my choice. If my son had been 20 buried here in Houston or San Antonio or between here 21 and Oklahoma, I wouldn't have had to fly, but she took 22 him to the other side of Oklahoma. So communication 23 has a lot to do with this, and I am very disappointed, 24 and when they ask me -- I mean, I feel bad because I 25 tell those people, "Oh, yeah, we have funds," you

know, "They help." When they ask me, I kind of just
 draw back because I haven't been helped. So...
 MS. ODOM: Thank you, Ruth. Meg.
 MS. BAKICH: This is my brother, David.
 I'm going to let him talk about our case.

6 MR. DALITION: Again, my name is David 7 Dalition, and unlike many of you, I and my sister Meg 8 and our entire family have been fairly recently thrown into the situation where we as victim survivors have 9 10 become active in this movement and in trying to find 11 justice for my sister Linda. We have experienced a 12 very difficult situation following her poisoning. Law 13 enforcement has been reluctant and hesitant to try to 14 get to the bottom of it. A cursory investigation that 15 followed up on a few leads left other leads completely 16 uninvestigated, disregarded clear evidence, has currently stalled the investigation. 17

18 And, unfortunately, what we've seen is a 19 law enforcement system that when put under strain 20 tends to crumble. The cases where you have a clear 21 gunshot wound and fingerprints on the weapon, the bloody knife with fingerprints on the knife are the 22 bread and butter of law enforcement, and those cases 23 are pursued vigorously and prosecuted. Those that 24 25 fall in the realm of the more difficult, such as a

poisoning death, tax and strain the law enforcement
 system, which often is under-resourced, not
 sufficiently manned, and causes them to put the
 difficult cases on the back burner.

In response to questioning and urging on 5 6 the family members' part to the law enforcement system 7 to continue to investigate and try to solve the crime, 8 the response often is a defensive posture where law 9 enforcement with their back against the wall then 10 victimizes the victim survivors in order to protect 11 their inability or unwillingness to devote the 12 resources and the time that are necessary to solve the 13 crime.

14 In the face of this experience, we've been comforted, more importantly informed, by so many 15 victims groups, OVC, people like you here today. The 16 17 Parents of Murdered Children, an incredible 18 organization that I'd never heard about prior to my 19 sister's murder, my parents did attend the POMC 20 conference just recently, and I can tell you that it 21 was an incredible experience for them. Their life 22 completely turned upside down after Linda's murder, 23 and they were, as so many people were, devastated by 24 Linda's death, those of us who loved her so much. 25 Going to that conference was emotionally, spiritually,

mentally, and physically revitalizing. It was a real 1 shot in the arm for them because they came into 2 contact with so many people who had information to 3 4 share, information that is helping us today move 5 Linda's case forward. In addition to that, there was 6 grief management that they were able to take advantage 7 of because you grieve in so many ways, as you all know, after something like this, yet sometimes you're 8 9 not even aware of it yourself until you're in the 10 presence of other people who are similarly grieving who help you realize the signs of the grief and the 11 ways that you can learn not to overcome it, but just 12 13 to cope with it.

And so our quest for justice on behalf of Linda and for all of us who loved her continues. It won't stop ever until the perpetrator's arrested and convicted and punished. In the meantime, it's just good to be a part of a group like this and other people who understand our plight and have been where we are today. Thank you.

21 MS. ODOM: Thank you. Go ahead, Meg.22 Take the mike.

23 MS. BAKICH: My name is Meg Bakich. In 24 regards to my sister, she left four young children, 25 and we are not able to see them and have no contact

1 with them since her death, and we were extremely close 2 prior to her death, and it would be nice to know what 3 type of rights we have as for my parents,

4 grandparents, or for aunts and uncles. I have four 5 small children, he has four small children, so there 6 are, you know, 12 first cousins, extremely close, all 7 the same age, and since her death we've been unable to see them, and that is a chief concern for us at this 8 9 point, and it would be nice to know if we have any 10 rights or if there are any organizations or anybody 11 with information that could help us know what we can do to move things forward. Her husband is one of the 12 chief suspects; and, therefore, this is why we have 13 not been able to see the children. So that would be 14 nice for us to know. 15

MS. ODOM: I don't want to put him on the 16 17 spot, but, Steve Twist, would you want to respond to 18 this at all or... I don't want to put you on the spot, but... No? No. Okay. You may, but I'm going 19 20 to let him finish his thought and then it's your turn. 21 MR. DALITION: And just -- giving just a little bit of more information because, as you know, 22 23 in these cases you can give a snapshot, but that's 24 only a thumbnail sketch of what was really out there. 25 Shortly after Linda died -- We were extremely close

with Linda and her four children. We were cut off 1 from all contact with the four children. The 2 telephone number to the house was changed. Meg went 3 4 to see her three nieces and nephew, and he called the 5 police. The phone number has been changed three times 6 since then because our children continue to try to 7 call. They ask to speak to their cousins. (Inaudible comment from audience). Sure. Yeah. And so Linda's 8 9 children, of course, have been separated completely 10 from all of their first cousins, their grandparents. MS. ODOM: I think Madonna wanted to make 11 12 a quick point just in response to that.

MS. PITRUCHA: There's a member of the 13 Houston Chapter of Parents of Murdered Children, her 14 15 daughter-in-law had murdered her son, and they had children. Well, they tried that with her, but instead 16 of getting grandparents' rights, because that's really 17 a joke as everybody knows, her attorney got her 18 19 divorced parent's rights. They get the grandchildren 20 every other weekend for whatever that is during the 21 summer weeks and every other holiday and stuff like 22 that. So you might want to talk to an attorney. 23 UNKNOWN FEMALE SPEAKER: Were they 24 divorced? 25 MS. PITRUCHA: No. No. And so somehow,

because, see, her parents also received the children like in her case, and so I think I've got that lawyer -- her lawyer's card in my purse because I was looking for her for my daughter, and so I'll see if we can find it for you all.

6 MR. DALITION: And you raise a good point 7 about grandparents' rights. My parents currently have 8 a petition before the courts, have a visitation --9 have a domestic attorney who is representing them and 10 trying to get visitation for them, and after the 11 Troxel case, the Supreme Court case that ruled on the 12 State of Washington matter, it's very difficult. 13 Great deference is given to the parents. You've seen 14 that in the OJ case. You've seen it in many cases following. So we're not hopeful that that will be our 15 16 cure-all or panacea, but we're moving down that avenue 17 as well.

MS. ODOM: David, thank you very much. If you'd pass the microphone to Brenda. And, again, just a reminder, you know, chief concerns, the things that you really want to make sure get communicated. Please.

23 MS. O'QUIN: Brenda O'Quin from Fort 24 Worth, Texas, the North Texas Chapter of Parents of 25 Murdered Children. We on the plane down here

1 discussed some issues so that we could talk about some 2 things maybe that are representative of some people 3 who were not able to come from our chapter.

4 Our main focus now is where to point in 5 our -- as far as our chapter development, where we 6 really need funding to be able to go forward, and 7 we've tried since 1998 to get that. And the reason we 8 need it, first of all, is to try to organize, to try 9 to get our families to work together so that we are 10 one united voice.

Training. We have such a problem getting 11 training so that we are professional in what we do and 12 are able to continue that awareness of POMC, of 13 Victims Outreach, of other organizations to even know 14 15 that we exist. I know that probably -- Or at least for me, until it happened, you don't have a need for 16 17 it, so you're probably not aware of it, but there needs to be some way for us to get the information, 18 19 and then maybe we can talk about that today, too, to 20 the people who need it when they need it.

In the very beginning sometimes, you're not receptive to that or because of your mental state at the time, you know, don't recall or are not able to do anything about it, but there needs to be a way that we can get information to someone who can take care of

1 it for you.

24

25

2 We need to communicate the services that we have, such as the support groups, the children's 3 programs. We do court accompaniment, all the 4 advocacy. We handle transportation. We try mentors 5 for the new families who are coming in, mentoring by 6 7 older families. And I don't mean older in age. 8 Websites, cards and notes on anniversary dates, the impact panels that are done, the training that we do 9 for professionals to try and help them understand how 10 to be sensitive to our needs. Legal assistance by 11 putting them in touch with attorneys or civil 12 attorneys when needed. And these things are all done 13 on a volunteer basis. Could you imagine what we could 14 15 achieve if we really had the funding and the 16 organization behind us that we need? 17 I think some of the barriers that we have in obtaining this, of course, is the lack of 18 19 understanding, the -- Sometimes professionals are not 20 as receptive to having us involved, sometimes they 21 are, and sometimes we're not as receptive to professionals as we should be. There needs to be a 22 partnership of some kind where we can work together 23

and that way accessing the strengths of both. T.

Here's a territorialism that exists within

1 any kind of setup where there is funding involved.

2 Politics, all of these things come into play, and it's 3 something that, you know, when you think about it, we 4 shouldn't have to deal with, but we do. The complex 5 system of obtaining the grants. We started in '98, applied for a VOCA grant, and what we had to do was we 6 7 had to attend a community meeting with North Texas Council of Governments because the funding is funneled 8 9 through them.

10 MS. ODOM: Brenda, can I interject just a 11 moment? Can you -- What you're doing is you're 12 going like this. So just keep the -- Have the mike 13 follow your mouth. Move your arm if you have to. 14 There you go.

MS. O'QUIN: Okay. I get kind of -MS. ODOM: That's all right. You're doing
great.

18 MS. O'QUIN: First of all, the first step 19 is to go to the meeting. There's a community plan. 20 The community plan takes about a year to develop, 21 which is fine. There needs to be some type of control 22 over what is funded. After -- You have to be 23 mentioned in the community plan to be funded. So 24 that's about a year from the time that's completed. 25 You go to the meeting. You do all the things that

you're supposed to do with that. There's a local 1 committee that decides whether the funding -- or 2 prioritizes it. It's not a yes or no thing. But, you 3 4 know, if you have 80 grants and the top ten will be funded, then, you know, you know that if you're below 5 the ten you probably won't. The people on the 6 7 committee also have funding issues involved. So, you 8 know, you're not only dealing -- I mean, you're 9 competing with some of the people who are making the 10 decision, which I've never quite understood.

11 Once the prioritization occurs, then it goes to Austin. This is another six months or so. 12 And by the time you finally get word, about a year has 13 14 gone by, which is not a problem if it's funded or if you have a program that's actually continuing, you 15 16 know, you're waiting until -- trying to figure out 17 whether to keep your personnel or not, if the grant 18 will be -- if you can reapply.

In '98 when we first applied, I think we were so naive that we assumed that everyone was going to be so happy that, you know, we had all the survivors who wanted to get involved, and that really was not the case in the beginning. I think people didn't exactly know what to do with us or how to handle us. You know, there wasn't a category for us

or something. It's taken a while. We really now have
 a very good working relationship with other
 organizations and even a collaboration of six other
 organizations that we co-locate with, including MADD
 and Shaken Baby Alliance and some violence prevention
 agencies, because that is part of it, too.

7 But if there's any way we can streamline 8 this process to -- Because we started in '98. We've 9 applied for a grant every single year. We've never 10 been funded, never. I asked in '99 to have a list of 11 all the Texas organizations funded through VOCA to see 12 if there was a homicide support or homicide specific group funded in Texas, and there was not. There's 13 14 some generic victims assistance programs, which handle, of course, different types of crime, but 15 16 nothing that's specific for homicide. We even tried 17 to send through a collaborative thing with Shaken Baby 18 Alliance thinking maybe if we all work together it 19 will be easier to get funding instead of one 20 organization, and that didn't work either. 21 So maybe there's some things that we need

22 to do differently, and if that's the case, we're 23 certainly willing to do that, and it may be that 24 because the process is so involved we're not doing it 25 correctly. But whatever, I certainly would like to

know if there's something different that we can do,
 maybe something a lot less complicated. The HOPE
 thing is wonderful. Thank you for doing that because
 we do need that.

5 And there's still some voids in services. I just returned from a training in San Diego, 6 traumatized children, and we see that in our chapter, 7 and maybe others see this, too, where we really are 8 not addressing the problem of trauma and certainly not 9 addressing children, siblings, friends, just the 10 community in general. But those are just some things 11 that we have seen. 12

MS. ODOM: Thank you. John has somethinghe wants to say back.

MR. GILLIS: I wanted to mention that 15 you'll notice that most of the people here are from 16 17 survivors of homicides. I did want to say 18 specifically that it is a conference on violence or violent crimes. It just so happens that survivors of 19 20 homicide are the ones who spend more time in the criminal justice system. It's more intense, it's 21 more -- need more services. So this is the group that 22 23 I feel can give us the most insight into what we're doing wrong or what we're doing right at the national 24 25 level. So I didn't want anyone to go away thinking

1 that OVC is only concerned about survivors of 2 homicide. We're concerned about all victims of violent crime. But I do appreciate your coming, and I 3 4 know that you will have a lot to add to this conference. So that's the reason why we're looking at 5 6 survivors of homicide. I just wanted to add that. 7 MS. ODOM: Thank you, John. Actually, 8 John -- And John will love me for demonstrating, but 9 I'm going to use him as an example of what I don't 10 want to see happening. So what he was doing was he 11 was leaning on this arm and then coming back and every 12 time he does that, then we don't get to hear what you 13 say. So make -- Bring the arms off the table and just 14 keep the mike right there, and if you're going to turn your head, do that for me. Are we changing tape? Do 15 16 I get to sing for you? 17 (Tape change.)

18 MS. FREELOVE: I'm Jana Freelove. Brenda pretty much covered everything, but we really do need 19 20 the grants. We need the funding so that we can help 21 people. And that's what we're there for, and we want 22 to be there, and when people need us, we want to be 23 able to help them, and we really do need these grants, 24 and we've worked really hard, and we just can't get 25 them.

MS. ODOM: Thank you. Thank you. Please,
 Keith.

3 MR. ALBRIGHT: Keith Albright, Fort 4 Worth, Texas. I think the biggest issue that I have, 5 and certainly the most painful to deal with on a daily 6 basis, is the revictimization during the court 7 process. After doing many of the right things for 18 months leading up to a trial, it seems that we were 8 9 completely revictimized and run over in a court by an 10 attorney who -- defense attorney who just -- I can't understand why someone would say and do the things he 11 12 did. It's well documented. That's been as painful, I think, as the event itself, not getting justice. 13

Some other things that need to be improved in the process. The bail process, the person who did this, the nanny's bail originally was set at \$500,000. It was reduced for some reason, we don't know why, to \$80,000, and then you can post ten percent of that, so for \$8,000 we get a person like that out on the street for a year and a half.

Our not being allowed in the courtroom during our trial because we were witnesses, Wendy and I, my wife and I, were revictimized, and didn't really know -- And if we had heard some of the comments, I think we could have countered them and offered a lot

of intelligence and truth to the situation. That was
 a real problem in hindsight.

3 The issue of the defense attorney's firm quid pro quo with the judges and D.A.'s is a fact, and 4 I confirmed that talking to the judge directly. He 5 has been entertained on this defense attorney's ranch 6 7 numerous times. It's a good old boy relationship. That fraternization is no different than me going to 8 the judge and patronizing him or the jury. And, of 9 10 course, that's a mistrial if I do it, but in their 11 system it was matter of factly pretty broadly known.

So those types of issues and the fact that 12 we didn't get justice in a case where the forensic and 13 14 medical evidence is indisputable is what I have a real 15 problem with to this day. Part of the reason or I 16 quess one of the roles for OVC is I quess in some 17 respects to protect the rights of victims. It's bad 18 enough that this happens to many, many people in our nation daily; it's even worse to have errant process 19 20 and favoritism to some extent and the most egregious 21 behavior by the defense to do nothing but destroy and 22 lie and cheat the truth. And as you can all probably tell, I'm still extremely angry and bitter at the 23 24 whole situation. So those are my issues. 25 MS. ODOM: Keith, thank you. Thank you

65

very much. All right. Is that Sharon? Here we go.
 Do I need Sharon to stand up? She's good. Okay.

3 MS. WENZE: I'm Sharon, and I'm actively involved with Parents of Murdered Children. And one 4 of the issues that I have is the counseling, with the 5 6 funding. I was approved for counseling, and I had 7 insurance at that time, but my insurance only would 8 pay a certain amount, so I assumed that the other 9 funding would pick up when that ended, but it didn't 10 work that way, so I ended up having to stop going to 11 see my therapist because of that. I guess it was just a whole miscommunication from the beginning, but... 12 13 And that was one of my issues that I wanted to speak 14 of is the funding for that. When you go through 15 something like that, some people need, you know, to seek counseling, and so I ended up having to stop. 16

MS. ODOM: Are there any other concerns that you wanted to raise, any other things that you think would be helpful for the Office for Victims of Crime to know? Any other things that you think would help them?

22 MS. WENZE: Well, we have applied for 23 grants in past years and been denied, and we really do 24 need the funding. I try to assist families in court 25 accompaniment, follow-up phone calls. We go to

memorial services out of state, candlelight vigils for 1 families. We just try to be there in any way, and we 2 really do need the funding. 3 MS. ODOM: Well, thank you very much. 4 Ric. Oh, and here's John. 5 MR. GILLIS: I'll try and do it right this 6 7 time. Let me -- I just wanted to ask. How many have 8 applied for grants? Okay. 9 MS. ODOM: Raise them high, people. This is the man with the money. 10 11 MR. GILLIS: Was this through a POMC chapter, or was it for another organization? All for 12 13 POMC? No? 14 (Inaudible comments from audience.) 15 MS. ODOM: You just wanted to know, John, 16 how many applied? 17 MR. GILLIS: Yes. I just wanted to know, 18 and I also wanted to know how many were denied. Okay. 19 Because at some point I'd like to know what the 20 request was for and the reason you were given for the 21 denial. I'd like to know the kind of program that you 22 were asking to implement, and then I'd like to know 23 why it was denied. And if it's some kind of an 24 innovative program or something that you think will 25 work well, I may want to take a look at that again.

MS. ODOM: Okay. And I know you have a point. You wanted to make the point about your group. Steve Twist, now, I've got eyes in the back of my head. I saw the hand. I'm getting there. Okay. Do you want to make that point right this moment, or do you want to --

7 (Inaudible comment from audience.)
8 MS. ODOM: Okay. No. I appreciate that.
9 No worries. And we do want to hear about the program,
10 so stand by. Here I come.

MS. LORD: I don't want to be speaking out 11 of line here, but I tell you something I think, John. 12 13 I think that a number of years ago Parents of Murdered Children at the national level got a grant from OVC 14 that may not have been managed real well, or at least 15 that's kind of what the grapevine is. And what I hear 16 consistently in Texas and in other states as well is 17 that word kind of filtered down and a lot of these 18 19 committees are really looking for a reason to say, 20 "No, we don't want to fund them." And I think that grapevine stuff really has filtered down in a number 21 22 of the states, and that's really unfortunate, and it 23 seems to me that maybe you could do something about that grapevine. 24

25

MS. ODOM: Okay. And Diana -- Diane has

1 a direct -- Diane has a direct remark.

2 MS. CLEMENTS: Well, I do have a comment. There were some discretionary grant fundings through 3 the Attorney General's Office this year, and I 4 5 participated in the committee that reviewed the grants Parents of Murdered Children submitted, and they 6 7 were -- there was no grapevine information. 8 Traditionally, and I don't know why, but it's MADD and domestic violence take priority over homicide 9 survivors, and it's -- I don't think it's a grapevine. 10 I don't think there is anything intentional. I just 11 think that those two organizations, those agencies, 12 they've been around longer, they're more recognized, 13 they are thought to be better organized and perhaps 14 more deserving of the funding. I think -- Bottom 15 line, I think homicide survivors are not given the 16 17 credibility or the attention or the recognition or the 18 respect that other survivors of different types of 19 crimes get.

20 MR. GILLIS: Part of that is correct, but 21 the other part is that POMC and some of the other 22 homicide survivor groups have not been involved, and I 23 don't want to say politically, but you've not been a 24 vocal organization. MADD and domestic violence have 25 been quite vocal, and they're there at the

legislature, they are there with their legislators,
 and I'm not telling you to do that. I'm just saying
 that -- I can't tell you to do that.

4 MS. CLEMENTS: I would agree with that, 5 but that should not be a requirement for the funding 6 to be approved. You shouldn't have to be a political 7 body in order to receive the funding that these 8 survivors deserve.

9 MR. GILLIS: Oh, I don't -10 MS. CLEMENTS: And I know you're not
11 arguing with that, but the point is how do we address
12 that, and how do we accomplish funding when you're not
13 a political body?

14 MR. GILLIS: I can't respond to that.
15 MS. ODOM: And, Ric, you're showing the
16 patience of Job. Love ya.

17 MS. HARDIN: Well, us as POMC chapters and 18 chapter leaders, we cannot politically lobby for anything. It is in our by-laws THAT we cannot 19 20 politically lobby. So, I mean, why should we get 21 forgotten about and penalized, thank you, for something that we can't do? As a national 22 23 organization, we can't lobby, and we can't, you know, 24 vote -- I mean, we can vote. I'm sorry. But we can't 25 get political in it.

MS. PITRUCHA: See, our national 1 2 organization tells us on account of our 501(C)(3) 3 status we're only allowed 20 percent of political. 4 Well, what is 20 percent when you've got all these 500 5 and some-odd chapters throughout the United States? 6 How do you figure 20 percent? So to stay out of 7 trouble with the IRS and Uncle Sam, God forbid, you know, we can't be political. 8

9 MR. GILLIS: Okay. Let me say something, 10 and then I think we should move off of this issue because I don't want -- I don't want people to get the 11 12 impression that I'm telling you to be a lobbying 13 organization. And you're correct under your 501(C)(3) 14 you cannot be a political organization, but you as 15 individuals can. You as individuals have a right to write to any legislator, to talk to any legislator, to 16 talk to your Congressmen, to your Senators, so you as 17 individuals can do that, but you can't do that as an 18 19 organization. And those other organizations that I just mentioned are also 501(C)(3)'s, but they do --20 21 they do a lot of work outside of their organization. 22 And maybe that -- those are some things that you need 23 to think about. But I can't tell you to do that. I can't tell you to be politically active because --24 25 MS. PITRUCHA: Well, if we had the

1 funding, maybe we could, too.

2 MR. GILLIS: But I can't fund you to be a 3 politically active organization. I can give you funds 4 to help crime victims, funds to do direct service to 5 crime victims. I have some discretionary funds that 6 are available to me. But none of those funds are 7 available for political activities, and I want to make 8 that -- I want to make that clear to you. Okay.

9 MS. GILLIS: I would just like to say something. I usually try not to speak during these 10 11 meetings, and I may get in trouble for this, but I 12 just need to say that when we first got active in the 13 crime victims movement, we joined Parents of Murdered Children, and at one point my husband and I and Doris 14 Tate and a number of other people, we decided that 15 16 because POMC was so restrictive, we had to do other 17 things, so that's when we started Justice for Homicide 18 Victims and eventually Victims and Friends United. 19 But there is a time for POMC, and, you know, it is 20 very restrictive, but there's also a time to step 21 aside and do some things on your own, and that's how 22 the crime victims movement has grown in the last 22, 23 23 years, because people decided "I will remain a member of Parents of Murdered Children," which we are 24 25 still members, "and do some other things on the side."

So just remember that, you know, there are other
 things that you can do in addition to remaining
 members of Parents of Murdered Children.

4 MS. ODOM: And, Ric, thank you. Thank you 5 for your patience.

6 MR. NESBIT: Well, I wish it was about me, 7 but I think it's about a big segment of our society that's hurting real bad. I agree with the singing 8 9 lady over here that the laws of our society -- And I 10 understand innocent, presumed innocent until proven guilty, but our U.S. Constitution has been amended, I 11 12 forget my numbers, but seven times, I think, to create 13 14 specific laws that are in favor of the criminal, of 14 the person committing the criminal act, and, you know, 15 God knows I don't want anybody locked up that did not 16 do the crime, but I sure know that there are thousands 17 of people every day in our country getting released that did do the crime and they are using the laws that 18 19 we've created as loopholes to let them go, and I think 20 it's time that our national government passed a 21 Constitutional Amendment that establishes rights for 22 victims that are equally as respected as the laws that 23 exist for the criminals.

24 MS. NESBIT: Judy Nesbit.

25 MS. ODOM: Bless your heart, Judy. Can I
ask you to stand up? I have a feeling that would
 help. Bless your heart. Thank you.

3 MS. NESBIT: That is said to me at every 4 class reunion. I'll be standing on my feet, and 5 they'll say, "Go ahead and stand up so we can see 6 you."

7 I am at a loss. One of the main issues with me personally I have seen be a main issue with 8 9 every other member of POMC in Fort Worth that I've 10 come in contact with and hundreds of other folks that I attended conferences with. We all have a lot of 11 12 anger and a lot of energy and a lot of determination and courage to step up and support each other and to 13 inform other folks, doing victim impact presentations 14 15 and in-service things, but one of the problems with 16 that is someone who has not had the experience of 17 having a child murdered has a very natural tendency to insulate themselves from the anger and the emotional 18 19 upheaval that we're demonstrating. So a lot of the 20 professionals that we've spoken with to try to educate 21 about notification and follow-up are very naturally trying to avoid being tuned in to us. That's very 22 23 frustrating when you see someone's eyes glaze over 24 when they are a policeman or a paramedic or a parole officer, or in our case a prosecutor. And in our case 25

we've been to some prison situations and spoken with 1 2 criminals as part of -- One of them is a capital 3 offenders program that was very vital and very active in the beginning of our experience with this whole 4 process, but has faded away basically because there's 5 not much support for that. I think Gidding (phonetic) 6 7 State School was probably the first and maybe only --I'm not real up on that, but I know they had a capital 8 offender program that incorporated victims doing 9 10 presentations showing crime scene photographs and 11 shocking criminals.

Never in my wildest dreams a few years ago 12 would I have thought that I would be able to stand in 13 14 front of anybody and talk about anything guite so 15 intense or emotional, but I found out after about five 16 minutes of explaining I didn't know if I could speak 17 or not, I didn't know if I could do anything that 18 would help their program or any other program, I found that they had to ask me to shut up and sit down after 19 20 a while. I didn't think I could do much, but I could 21 talk for the rest of my life about the impact that 22 this crime has had on my family.

23 One of the main issues that I have a 24 problem with is legal advice after the fact. We went 25 through two murder trials, two capital murder trials,

and the 18-year-old and 15-year-old young boys who 1 2 killed my daughter and Brenda's son were convicted of Capital Murder Life, and the word "closure," as you 3 all know, doesn't mean a thing to those of us. I 4 empathize and sympathize with the folks that don't 5 have that termination of the criminal's rights, but it 6 7 doesn't help. The grief process will go on for -- I mean, I will wake up every morning and be the parent 8 of a murdered child. That doesn't go away or heal or 9 10 change. The impact of it goes on and on with the siblings, with the disintegration of marriages, with 11 12 the physical problems that you have, with the mental disintegration. A lot of us in our local area have 13 lost our jobs. We've lost our spouses. We've lost 14 15 our physical well-being.

Earlier, I mentioned applying for 16 17 counseling, and it seems I waited too long to do that. 18 In the beginning when you get all the forms to fill 19 out, I personally was in a state of shock for a very long time, thank God, because when I started to wake 20 21 up and realize the impact this had on my family I'm 22 being told you probably should have done that early 23 on.

I was in the process of referring other people in our group to, you know, call it this number

1 or this person can help you, this, but, strangely 2 enough, I waited a long time to seek some help myself, and I'm not sure -- This gentleman said 3 "mummification." I hadn't heard that word used 4 5 before, but that's exactly what happens to you when 6 you get a call and say, "Remember your daughter that left a little while ago? Somebody shot her and left 7 8 her on the side of the road." The shutting down of 9 our emotional self takes over, and all these forms 10 that we need to fill out and all these calls we need 11 to make and these people that can support us, it's 12 just a strange time for that. And I'm sure people 13 helped me and supported me. I know that for a fact. 14 But I had to be told that later on. So I don't know 15 exactly what the solution to that is, but it would be 16 nice to get my counseling paid for.

17 MS. ODOM: Thank you, Judy. Thank you. 18 MR. GILLIS: You've covered a lot of --19 You've covered a lot of things, and one thing I just 20 wanted to mention that I've not mentioned yet, I did 21 mention that my daughter was murdered when I was on 22 the police department, but the other thing that I need 23 to bring to your attention is that this is the first 24 time -- And you were talking about some of the things 25 that needed to change. This is the first time that a

victim has headed the Office for Victims of Crime, and
 President Bush appointed me, and Attorney General
 Ashcroft was a strong supporter, but it tells you the
 direction that this administration intends to go in
 when it comes to crime victims.

And the reason why I'm out here now doing 6 the roundtables is because I want to hear from you 7 8 because I understand the things that you're talking 9 about, but I also know that there are many things that I don't know. We were talking about -- The other day, 10 11 we were talking about attending court and the amount 12 of money that you lose. Well, it so happened that at 13 the time my daughter was murdered I was on the police 14 department and attending court was just a routine thing, so I never had to worry about losing time from 15 16 my job. Going to court was a part of my job. Many of the financial things were taken care of because the 17 18 police department took care of those things. What I'm hearing as I go out on these roundtables, one of the 19 20 things that has been discussed by several people is 21 the loss of money. When one person, let's say a 22 spouse, is murdered and that spouse supported a 23 portion of the household, that is money that is lost forever, and people end up in bankruptcy, and they end 24 25 up in all kinds of strained situations financially.

78

So there are a lot of things that I'm 1 2 learning, and it's because I wanted to get out and talk to victims, and the administration has said to 3 me, "You do that and find out what it is we need to do 4 to make things better." So that's the reason why I'm 5 6 here, and I thank you for raising those issues. But this is the first time in the history of OVC, which 7 was started in 1984, that there has been a crime 8 victim that headed the office. 9 10 MS. ODOM: Do we need JoAnn to stand up? 11 She's good. Beautiful. Take it away, JoAnn. 12 MS. STARKEY: Stand up? 13 MS. ODOM: No. You may sit. 14 MS. STARKEY: Okay. I'm JoAnn Starkey 15 from the Dallas area. I think probably all the issues 16 that I might be concerned about have been covered 17 today, excuse me, but I'll hit on them briefly. First 18 of all, Tony Chavez down here, he really covered a lot of my concerns, helped to guide the victim through the 19 20 entire process. 21 I'm a victim, my son was murdered, but I 22 also have become a victim advocate, and what I try to do is to be that mom that knocks on my -- on someone's 23 door to say, "I've been there. Let me help you." And 24 25 I said so many times I needed that because we are in a

state of confusion and mummification. And I know I 1 did get some information in the mail about victim 2 assistance with the D.A.'s office, but I read through 3 4 it, but it didn't dawn on me, and I didn't find help. 5 I had to go out and find it. And so we need to --6 Possibly one way would be to have more funding to the 7 District Attorney's office so they can have more than one victim assistant. And the same with the police 8 9 department. I believe in Dallas, I think I'm correct, 10 there is only one victim assistant in the D.A.'s office and one in the police department, and that one 11 12 person is overwhelmed, and they don't have time to 13 make a personal visit to your home or a personal call. They send a letter saying, "If you need any 14 15 assistance, call." And I saw that, but I thought it 16 was, you know, just call the police or something. I 17 didn't realize there was help there. And so I went through the system. I just sort of, you know, 18 19 stumbled my way through because no one was really 20 there to guide me and tell me what to do next. And I 21 know there was help out there, but they didn't come to 22 me. I had to go find it. I didn't even know there 23 was a victim assistance person in the D.A.'s office until the day of the trial, and I heard her talking to 24 my ex-husband and his wife from Illinois about air 25

1 transportation. And I started questioning and found 2 she was an assistant, and even at that time I said, "Well, how about my daughter that had to come in from 3 Lubbock for the trial?" And, of course, the 4 compensation or the help was not there for my 5 daughter; it was for the parents. But that's it. 6 Ric over here, the crime victims 7 8 amendment, we need that so desperately, and I think it 9 covers a lot of the things -- a lot of the rights we 10 don't have. States have a bill of rights, I know 11 Texas does, but there's no teeth in it. If it's not 12 carried out, if a victim loses their right, there's

13 nothing that can be done.

14 And one of those, I believe Keith over there addressed that, the victims being kept out of 15 16 the courthouse or out of the courtroom. Now, I do understand if a victim is an eyewitness and a witness 17 18 to the actual committing of the crime, they need to be 19 out, but I find in working with other victims, there 20 are so many mothers and dads, brothers, sisters, 21 husbands and wives that have had someone murdered, and 22 they were not present at the crime, they were not 23 eyewitnesses, but because they had been subpoenaed or 24 asked to testify as far as the autopsy picture or 25 facts about the victim, that they're kept out of the

1 courtroom for the whole proceeding. And they call it 2 "The Rule". And I'm not even sure who administers. I 3 think the judge is supposed to, but it's usually up to 4 the defense attorney. And if the defense attorney 5 doesn't want the mom or the dad or the brother or 6 sister in the courtroom to show emotion, he applies 7 the rule, keep them out.

8 Another concern I have, and it was not in 9 my case, it has been brought up I think by Carolyn, 10 against grandparents that inherit children. I work with victims all the time that have inherited the 11 12 children of a daughter that had been murdered, and there's not a lot of help for them in raising those 13 children. One example that I'm working with right 14 now, the lady's daughter didn't have a very -- a 15 lifestyle that I would judge to be proper. She was an 16 17 exotic dancer. But she was murdered and left two 18 young teen-age children to the grandmother, and 19 evidently, because of the kind of work she did, she probably didn't have the proper documentation to the 20 21 Internal Revenue, it was not documented what the daughter made, and the daughter I think made fairly 22 good money, but she gets such a small check for 23 compensation. In fact, she has not received anything 24 from the Texas Crime Victims Compensation for loss of 25

support for those two children, and she's struggling 1 so desperately. And I don't know what the answer is 2 when the victim has not documented their salary or 3 something. I don't know if there is an answer to 4 5 that. But that's one. 6 And then -- Excuse me. 7 MS. ODOM: JoAnn, please feel free to have a sip of water. It's right in front of you. 8 9 MS. STARKEY: Okay. Thank you. And the 10 last one, and I believe it has been covered by Ric and Tony, but sensitivity training to all the 11 professionals that work with victims. You know, death 12 notification, we need more education there. The 13 14 doctors and nurses in the hospital. I volunteer at Parkland Hospital Emergency Room one afternoon a week, 15 16 and I see a need that doctors and nurses need more 17 training of what to say and what to do with victims. 18 And, of course, the police detectives and the district 19 attorney offices. I don't know what the answer is, 20 but probably more training for them maybe from the 21 government. But that I think pretty well covers it. Thank you. 22

23 MS. ODOM: Thank you, JoAnn. And, in 24 fact, I don't know if this is an appropriate time to 25 mention this, but I'm going to go ahead and mention it

that the Office for Victims of Crime has commissioned my company to produce actually four trainings, and one 2 of which is going to be called "How Can I Help?" which 3 is really focusing on clergy, co-workers, concerned 4 5 neighbors, people who are in the lives of the surviving family members who often want to know, "I 6 don't want to say the wrong thing, I don't want to 7 make it worse." So what we've been doing, and that's 8 9 what the second day of these roundtables are about, is 10 that we've been doing one-on-one interviews with folks like yourself all over the country who have been 11 sharing with us ideas and strategies that they found 12 13 helpful that they would like to see in that sort of training. 14

We're doing a second training called 15 16 "Survivor Management," which is exactly what you're 17 talking about in terms of something that's going to essentially take families through the criminal justice 18 19 system, but we've gotten the shade of gray that rather 20 than, you know -- to some extent, we're going to have 21 a glossary which will have terms like "arraignment," 22 you know, so they just understand what are all of 23 these things, but more so, they are going to hear from 24 people like yourself. They are going to hear from you 25 saying, you know, "This is what you need to expect

when an arraignment happens. This is what's going to 1 2 happen, you know, when you go to a parole hearing." And so that they are getting, frankly, kind of the 3 nitty-gritty, not the, you know, "Parole hearings are 4 5 held at twelve o'clock." I mean, who cares, you know. What they want to know is what's behind the scenes, 6 what's underneath, what have you learned that you 7 8 never wanted to learn that's going to help somebody else walk this same path. So that's the second 9 10 product that we're doing.

11 Then we're doing a piece on homicide and 12 other violent crimes, contact skills for law 13 enforcement, which is exactly what you're talking 14 about, telling them how to do a death notification 15 appropriately, how to go through the various stages, 16 and, again, hearing very much from victims about -- I 17 mean, I've heard stories of death notification that I just wonder, "Are you human beings that you would do 18 this to another human being?" I mean, it's as 19 20 fundamental as that. So, I mean, again, just 21 education to get them to understand that, you know, 22 this may be another call for you, but for this person, this is -- this is it, you know. So that's another 23 24 product.

25

And then the fourth product, we're doing

an overview of OVC which will just be -- I think it's The second 2 going to be a four or five minute overview, but just 3 something that you could even take to a Parents of Murdered Children meeting, pop it in and say, "Okay. 4 This is what OVC is." You know, so anyway, I just 5 thought I'd let you know that that's all happening 6 7 currently, and we're really looking to get that delivered sometime in the spring of 2003. 8

9 And how many folks are with me tomorrow? 10 How many of you will still be here tomorrow? Okay. 11 We're going to -- Jennifer Ford waving her hand. 12 We're going to be talking to you at the lunch break 13 about setting up a one-on-one opportunity to interview 14 you and talk a little bit actually for these products. 15 So, please, take it away, Lola. Okay. Andy.

MR. KAHAN: Lola passed it over to me. My name is Andy Kahan, not Kahar, but I can be Kahar, Kahan, Kayhan. I can be -- I can be it all. I've been called everything from -- You name it. My son will get a kick out of this, though.

I am the Mayor's Crime Victims Director for the City of Houston, and I think it's very important that the OVC hear about what I do and the successes that we have. Particularly, we are the only city in the country that has what you would call an

advocate, an ombudsman, a troubleshooter, and a few
 other choice words some people care to give me that is
 staffed out of our Mayor's office.

My staff consists of me, which means that 4 5 my staff is very subservient. We get along great. My agenda gets followed to the tee, and I have been doing 6 7 this since May of 1992. And how this came about is 8 such a great success story, and I think it's important that other areas hear about what we've been able to 9 accomplish. And it was actually through the efforts 10 of Parents of Murdered Children and Mothers Against 11 Drunk Driving that this office came about, and they 12 asked the mayor at that time if they could have a 13 staff person at the Mayor's office, and Bob Lanier, 14 15 who was the mayor at that time, just said, "Sure." And little did he know what he was walking himself 16 17 into.

18 And when they hired me for this position, 19 they basically didn't know what I was supposed to do, 20 which I was actually quite grateful, and they gave me 21 an incredibly long leash to attack the problems and 22 the scopes of the issues that needed to be addressed. 23 One of the advantages that I have as opposed to victim 24 assistants, providers, coordinators, whether they be 25 in law enforcement, district attorney's office or any

other public safety avenue, is that I am not limited 1 2 in scope as to the areas that I can address. I'm not bound by any sort of adherence of the old fox in the 3 hen house routine. Since I'm not employed by the 4 district attorney's office, I can freely give advice, 5 and I can freely intercede without worrying about 6 powers to be stepping on my toes. And I think that's 7 a very important avenue that we've been able to 8 9 address.

10 I do an awful lot of legislative advocacy 11 work on behalf of victims' issues and public safety that enables us to tackle these issues that some of 12 the groups are again restricted by their 501(C)(3)'s, 13 14 and that's some of the ways that we can work around 15 with that. So, I mean, I'd be happy to provide you 16 with more information on some of the successes that we 17 have, kind of sheets that we do. I do an awful lot of 18 parole protests on behalf of families, court accompaniments, interceding on behalf of all the 19 20 different organizations. And I believe, Brenda, you 21 had touched about some of the communications that you 22 have with different agencies. We have developed in Harris County what's called the Harris County 23 24 Interagency Victim Council, and these are all 25 different victim service providers, whether from

1 nonprofits to law enforcement to corrections, anybody who works in victim services, and we meet on a monthly 2 basis, and that's how we get to know what everybody is 3 doing and get to address different issues that are 4 occurring at the time and even developed a book that 5 has all the different agencies in there for people. 6 7 That's something that you might want to consider, you 8 know, looking at from that area.

Some of the issues that I have found 9 10 myself involved with and immersed with over the ten 11 years, and there's an awful lot of factors involving 12 criminal justice, and basically when you're dealing 13 with the victims' rights and so forth, you're 14 entrenching on other people's status quo, you're 15 entrenching on other people's territory, and that's a 16 very difficult and long, slow process to try to get 17 people's attitudes changed. And that's -- One of the 18 sayings that I have is, and I'm sure most people I 19 would assume would agree with me here, is that crime 20 victims are the only unwilling participants in the 21 criminal justice system. Everyone else chose their 22 respective role, but I've never met anyone who asked to be a crime victim, and that's a very important 23 distinction that we have to make. 24

25

One of the areas that I have a great deal

of concern with is parole set-offs/denials. 1 And particularly in Texas, I have just dealt with a family 2 3 whose daughter was murdered and her son-in-law, and it 4 was 1976. They got three life sentences. They are on their fifteenth payroll review. It's been 25 years 5 approximately, and this was the fifteenth time this 6 7 person has come up for review. And pardon my French, but that's asinine. Every two years, particularly in 8 9 this state, you will get a letter, a notification in 10 the mail that this person is up for review. And there's a lot of cases that I don't think anyone would 11 dispute are what we would call no brainers. We all 12 13 know that this person is not going to get released unless somebody on the parole board has a career death 14 pattern. It's not going to happen. But why are we 15 16 putting these families through this every few years? 17 It is a waste of time, energy, and resources. We have attempted several times -- A lot of states have 18 19 five-year set-offs. Some have even higher. They give 20 parole board members the option and give these 21 families an opportunity to get on with their lives, 22 get a little bit more time healing than continually 23 spinning through a process.

24 MR. GILLIS: Let me -- Let me interrupt 25 for a second. I mentioned that I was on the Board of

Prison Terms, and in California we do the hearings for 1 lifers, and those are the ones, the murderers, all 2 3 those that are sentenced to life, we make the 4 determination on whether or not they should be released on parole. Well, at the time while I was 5 6 sitting on the parole board, California had a one-year 7 denial. The amount of time that you could deny was one year, and two years at maximum in multiple 8 9 murders. And my wife, who's sitting back there, who 10 says she can't say anything because she gets into trouble, she and some other victims got together, and 11 12 they came up with some legislation to change 13 California's parole system. They were fortunate 14 enough to get an initiative passed, and it changed 15 California's time to five years. So California can now do a five-year denial. 16

17 And that's kind of what she was mentioning 18 about. We've been members of POMC, and sometimes 19 there are other things that you can do outside of 20 POMC, and those were one of the things that they did, 21 so...

22 MR. KAHAN: I certainly -- Again, we plan 23 on addressing that issue again. We just --24 MS. ODOM: Andy, keep the mike -- You're 25 doing the sin of the fixed arm.

1 MR. KAHAN: You know how tough it is for 2 me to sit still and talk?

MS. ODOM: Get up and freestyle, you know.
 MR. KAHAN: Excellent.

5 MS. ODOM: A little break dancing wouldn't 6 hurt. But I'm going to put the hook on you soon, 7 so --

8 MR. KAHAN: I grew up in New York City, so it's real difficult for me to sit still and talk. So 9 those are some of the things that we end up involving 10 ourselves with. And because of the type of work that 11 I'm allowed to do, it allows me to address these 12 issues and tackle a lot of the glitches in the system 13 in areas that need to be, you know, concerned and 14 raised. 15

I have a lady who lives in Georgia who has 16 17 seven perpetrators on parole, and she wants to attend parole hearings. And because the compensation laws 18 19 that were passed that would allow somebody like that 20 to be compensated for travel to attend the parole hearings were passed after her sentence was 21 22 adjudicated, there's no mitigating factors to allow 23 certain cases to be looked at even though it was 24 approved, even though it was passed later on, but 25 because the time period where he was sentenced were

before the law were changed, she can't get reimbursed 1 2 for any travel. I just think there has to be some sort of, you know, areas that just need to be looked 3 at without just saying because it's not written and 4 because it was taken before that -- You know, I think 5 we just need to be a little bit more flexible in some 6 7 of the things that we do. So with that, without any further ado, I'll pass it on. 8 MS. ODOM: Thank you, Andy. Thank you. 9 10 (Tape change.) 11 MS. ODOM: Please, Darlene. MS. SANCHEZ: Hi. My name is Darlene 12 Sanchez. My husband was murdered in March of '97, 13 14 which was at our shoe repair store. An employee was 15 found quilty, charged with murder. He was only 16 sentenced to 20 years because of heat of passion. I 17 don't understand that. I feel like if he admitted to 18 the murder he should have done more time. I was not allowed in the courtroom at the 19 20 time. I was the last one to go in. So, therefore, I 21 still don't know everything that went on in the 22 courtroom. I could go and sit for days and read everything, but with the business that I have have not 23

been able to do that. I was not compensated for the

time that I was off that we had to close the store

24

25

1 during the court time.

I was able to go three years later to a therapist because of a post-trauma syndrome thing that I had. I was compensated for that. But being able to go into the courtroom, I felt like I should have been allowed to do that at the time.

The past five years, I feel like I've been 7 in prison because of everything that I've had to go 8 through and still working towards going and getting it 9 over. Janice Sager is the Executive Director for 10 11 Texans for Equal Justice, which she founded and (inaudible) was doing voluntarily at the time. I am 12 president of the board at this time and trying to help 13 Janice and other victims in what? How many counties? 14 Five counties around Conroe. I would like to see 15 things changed to be able to go into the courtroom. 16

Janice, with Texans for Equal Justice, has only been funded for the last two years, so she did all of this on a voluntary basis, and she was there for me the whole time that I went through the court and been there for me for the past five years. So I am here to help her and to support any of the victims. She has a lot to say.

24 MS. SAGER: My name is Janice Sager. I 25 really only have five things to say, and I'm going to

1 make them very short.

2 The first thing I'd like to address is that I think it would do well for the criminal justice 3 system in regard to dealing with victims, whether it's 4 law enforcement all the way through corrections, is to 5 adopt a motto to do no harm. One of my pet peeves has 6 been how the crime victims are notoriously 7 8 revictimized. We've seen studies on the actual impact of crime to the victim, but we have never seen any 9 10 solid professional study trying to separate the impact of the crime to the impact of the revictimization. I 11 12 think a study such as that would be very important to 13 criminal justice victim service providers in showing 14 the areas where victims are most often revictimized. And, you know, number one, it's going to show them the 15 16 impact that that revictimization has had to start with, and then it's going to show them the areas that 17 18 they can work on internally to lessen that 19 revictimization.

20 Another issue that I think would be 21 helpful for crime victims from the beginning to the 22 end, when the perpetrator goes for his first court 23 hearing, whether it's a bond or arraignment or what, 24 at that point have a crime victim ad litem appointed 25 to represent the interests of the victim. We see that

7---in many child custody cases where a crime victim -child ad litem is appointed to represent the best 2 interests of the child. I think it would do well to 3 have such a mechanism in place for crime victims 4 because you've got the defendant, you've got the State 5 of Texas, and the crime victim may get in there 6 sometimes. Some places, in some jurisdictions it's 7 8 better than in others. Crime victims' rights are not 9 consistently enforced, you know, across the board. 10 One victim may get to be present in the courtroom and 11 the other victim may be excluded. So I think the 12 crime victim ad litem idea, if it were -- it would 13 take some work getting it done, but I personally think 14 it's a good idea that would help crime victims. 15 The third issue that I would like to bring 16 up is someone else mentioned training and grief counseling. I think like for organizations like 17 18 Parents of Murdered Children, Texans for Equal Justice, Justice for All, the grass-roots 19 20 organizations that are out here helping victims, many of them were started because the victims were impacted 21 22 by the crime, and what they have brought to the 23 organizations has been their life experiences, and, you know, and how they dealt with it. They have taken 24

25 the good that they've learned and to try to help other

1 people with it. I think some professional

2 grief/crisis intervention training provided to
3 grass-roots organizations would be very helpful, too,
4 in serving other victims.

And I also -- Regarding grass-roots and 5 6 private and nonprofit victim service organizations, someone else, I think Miss O'Quin, brought up 7 8 organizational training. Like I said, we come to this 9 by the seat of our pants with a desire to help other 10 people, and we bring our life experiences with us, and some of us don't have that much administrative and 11 management, organizational-type background, so to have 12 that type of training available to us would be an 13 14 asset to the organizations and overall the people 15 we're able to serve.

16 And my last issue is crime victims 17 compensation. Some of the money that is funneled down 18 to the states from OVC for crime victims compensation, 19 I think it would be a very good idea to designate a 20 mandate, a designated portion of that money to go to 21 outreach because I did a kind of unscientific study on 22 the number of crimes committed, and I'll just -- I've 23 got it written here. Because the 2001 stats were not 24 available yet for the F.B.I. -- from the F.B.I. for Texas, I took the 2000 stats. And for violent crimes 25

in Texas where one or more victims may have been 1 2 eligible for crime victims compensation, there were 3 113,653 violent crimes. In 2001, our crime victim compensation program received 23,986 applications, and 4 that leaves almost 90,000 violent crimes where one or 5 6 more crime victims were not accessing the crime victim 7 compensation fund. And we say, you know, it was wonderful that we paid out \$41 million last year, but 8 how much more would we have been able to pay if more 9 10 victims were aware of it and more people in the field 11 were trained to assist with it and they were getting it. So that's kind of one of my pet peeves as far as, 12 13 you know, our crime victim comp. It needs to do more 14 outreach in the state, make more public awareness so 15 the victims will know that it exists, more training in 16 the communities to help victims access it.

MS. ODOM: Thank you. Did you want tohave a chance at this point? All right. Okay.

MS. LORD: Okay. Well, as always, I learn so much every time I sit with folks like you, and your comments have actually triggered my thinking with some comments or concerns. First of all, just on the basis of what I hear, both Sharon and Judy should be eligible for your counseling, and I will volunteer as your personal advocate, if you'll meet with me at

noon, to see that we get that done, because my -- what I suspect is that you were given some bad information by somebody, and we'll see, but I'm very happy to see if I can help you with that.

The other thing, a real -- a thing I've 5 worked on for 20 years now is death notification, and 6 since that came up a couple of times today, I would 7 8 like to know of those of you who were notified in your 9 home, now, not necessarily if you went to the hospital 10 first and then were told, but if you were notified of 11 the death of your loved one in your home, how many of 12 you got that notification by phone rather than 13 personal?

14 MS. ODOM: How many by phone? MS. LORD: By phone. Okay. And what year 15 16 was it again? '85. And who else put their hand up by phone? '76. Okay. Who else? '95. Okay. I'd like 17 18 to think a better job is being done about personal 19 notifications being done by law enforcement, but when I hear these stories, it always makes me nervous. 20 Do you know also that OVC has, and I think 21 22 POMC, too, has some training packages on death 23 notification. OVC will give those to you for free, 24 and they are -- One of them is designated for law enforcement, one for health care professionals, one 25

for clergy and funeral directors, and one for victim advocates and mental health professionals. And if you would like to begin training on death notification in your communities, get those packages and adapt them and use them.

The other thing that Keith and Wendy's 6 7 story brings to mind is victim-media intervention as direct victim service and also victim impact panels as 8 9 direct victim services. I know that in a number of the states victim impact panels are perceived as an 10 11 offender program rather than a victim program, and what we know from very substantial research is that 12 13 speaking on victim impact panels for most victims is a 14 very, very healthy and healing experience, and the research shows that not only by self-report, but by 15 16 pre and post-testing after people speak on panels and 17 so forth. So I would love to see a federal, if not a 18 directive, a suggestion to the state VOCA 19 administrators that victim impact panels be considered 20 a direct victim service so that they can be included 21 in grants.

The same thing for media intervention. Some states, and I think Texas included, tends to say, "Well, gee, that's not helping the victims any." And I think those of you that have had an advocate working

for you either to protect you from the media, who is hounding you to death, or to help you get media interviews if you want media interviews is very clearly a direct victim service, and I think it would be great if the state VOCA administrators had an understanding of that as well.

100

7 MS. ODOM: Janice, I think Mr. Gillis is8 going to interject.

9 MR. GILLIS: Let me address the issue of 10 victim impact panels.

11 MS. LORD: Okay.

MR. GILLIS: And you were asking that that 12 be a directive from the federal level. Victim impact 13 14 panels in some instances are not as successful as we 15 would hope they would be, particularly for the reason 16 that many are saying that victims should participate 17 in those and they are encouraging victims of violent 18 crime to meet with or to sit before the perpetrator and exchange whatever information you want to 19 20 exchange. For some victims that works; for others it 21 does not. And so it's not something that we will 22 encourage from the federal level. If victims are willing to do that, they want to meet and sit down and 23 discuss things with the perpetrator and of their own 24 volition, that's fine, but most victims that I hear 25

from are saying that they are not willing to be put in 1 that situation. So it's strictly an individual thing, 2 but not something that will be encouraged from our 3 level. 4

MS. LORD: Okay. I will be -- I'll share 5 6 with you at another time the research that has been 7 done showing that of those victims who do wish to 8 participate -- And you're absolutely right. No one 9 should ever be forced to or pushed to or anything 10 about that when they don't want to, but for those for whom enough time has passed, and generally that's two 11 12 to three years, screening tools are available to help decide those for whom it's healthy and those for whom 13 14 it is not.

Well, let me just ask you. Maybe this 15 might be more informative than anything else. Of 16 17 those of you who have spoken on panels because you 18 want to, would you put up your hand if you feel that 19 that was hurt -- Let's go the negative first. If you think that was hurtful to you or harming in any way, 20 21 would you put up your hand? And for those -- Ric? MS. ODOM: Okay. And you know what, Ric. 22 23 Nobody can hear you if you have no mike, and here I 24 come to give you the mike. Hold on. 25

MR. NESBIT: I've done a bunch of victim

impact stuff, and only once was it a completely negative experience, and it was done at the state parole level in front of about 200 angry parolees that didn't want to be there. So I think the circumstances under which you do the presentation has everything to do with the experience and outcome.

7 MS. LORD: Totally agree.

MR. GILLIS: And there's several different 8 9 versions of that. When you say "victim impact panel," 10 if you're talking about victims who are going into the 11 institution and meeting with offenders and talking to 12 offenders, that's a little different from some of the other versions of impact panels where it's the victim 13 14 and the perpetrator of that particular crime who are 15 meeting face to face.

MS. LORD: Yeah. That's a real different deal.

18 MR. GILLIS: I think what you're telling 19 me -- Yeah.

20 MS. LORD: Yeah. That's a real, real 21 different deal.

22 MR. GILLIS: So I wanted to make it clear 23 that there are several different versions of that, and 24 the one I'm talking about is where victims are meeting 25 with the perpetrator of their particular crime,

and I'm hearing this from victims as I go around --1 2 MS. LORD: Oh, yeah. That's --MR. GILLIS: -- on the roundtables. 3 MS. LORD: That's the last thing most 4 homicide survivors would ever want to do. I'm 5 6 speaking particularly about first-time offenders and not the same victim and offender and large audiences. 7 But, you know, enough of that. 8 9 MS. ODOM: Janice, may I lovingly --10 MS. LORD: I'm done. MS. ODOM: You're done. 11 12 MS. LORD: I'm done. MS. ODOM: Love you. Mean it. All right. 13 Here we go. Charles. 14 MR. MARINO: My name is Charles Marino and 15 16 my wife Diane. Our son was murdered in '85, and the 17 trial went real good, and we had a real good rapport 18 with the police department, but when we get into 19 the --20 MS. ODOM: Sir, could we have you stand 21 up? Thank you. 22 MR. MARINO: When we got into the appeals 23 system, that's what really bugged me. His first 24 appeal lasted four and a half years at the criminal level there, and he had the capital -- got the death 25

1 penalty. And the appeal system was just so weird. It 2 takes so long and so many appeals. From the time that 3 he was given the death sentence, it was almost 17 4 years before the sentence was carried out. And so we 5 need to do something about this limiting appeals.

6 And another thing that really gripes me is 7 truth in sentencing. When these defense lawyers get 8 up and tell a jury that this guy is going to get 25 9 years or 50 years, the jury believes it, and a lot of 10 them, you know, think this is going to be gospel, but this is not. This is a big lie. This guy will get 11 12 out -- you know, may be eligible for parole in five 13 years. I mean, that's my biggest gripe, so that's it. 14 MS. ODOM: Diane, I think we may need to have you stand up as well if you're going to speak. 15 16 Thank you.

17 MS. MARINO: I've been in this system for 18 a long time, and I've been educated through a lot of 19 seminars that I have attended, but the number one 20 thing has been a parent of a murdered son or a 21 murdered child is that my son has a name, and the 22 State of Texas versus the killer's name, he mentions 23 that. My son is not the State of Texas, and if it's going to have to be that way, I want it changed to 24 25 where it is the State of Texas and Charles Angelo

1 Marino, Jr., versus whomever. And that's my pet 2 peeve. I've said all along my kid has a name, he is a 3 person, and I want it recognized. The killer's name 4 is recognized. My son didn't deserve to be where he's 5 at. I didn't choose to be where I'm at. The offender 6 did. And that is a real problem with me.

7 And the other thing is that we've had 8 quest speakers that come to our meetings at the Houston Chapter of Parents of Murdered Children, and 9 10 they will say, "I am sorry for your loss." My child 11 wasn't lost. My child was murdered. People hate the word "murdered" because it's cold and they don't want 12 13 to hear it, they don't want to be affected by it, and 14 they don't want to associate with you because your 15 child has been murdered. What caused it? What did 16 your kid do? That is not the problem. It's just the 17 word "murdered". Loss. He wasn't lost. I know where 18 he's at.

And the third thing is that when I was in trial, I had heard about Parents of Murdered Children, but I didn't attend until two years after my son was murdered. My husband had never missed a day of work ever when our kids -- I was one of those stay-at-home moms that took care of her children, and when this happened, he didn't work for three years. I didn't

1 apply for compensation because I felt like in the mind 2 that I was in that someone was going to pay me that my 3 child had been murdered to be compensated for. That was my way of thinking. And they say, well, you have 4 5 like two years. I could care less about that. I didn't care. My child was dead. It's just like the 6 7 other one said. When this first happens to you, 8 you're so out of it that you're trying to remember why you're even here and what happened and what caused 9 10 this to happen.

11 Is that when I went to court and I found 12 out that he gets a copy of the trial transcript. I have to apply and pay for this. If I don't have the 13 money, I can't get that trial transcript. Why should 14 he get it and I'm not? I didn't ask to be in this 15 courtroom, I didn't want to be in this courtroom, but 16 17 yet I'm denied what he's getting free, and that is 18 another problem that I have.

MS. ODOM: Thank you, Diane. Thank you, Diane. I'm going to let -- Please, Diane, it's your turn, the other Diane. If I could have you stand up as well, is that all right? Unless -- Okay. Thank you.

MS. CLEMENTS: Thank you. Thank you.
 Diane Clements with Justice for All and Parents of

Murdered Children. I want to reinforce what Janice 1 said about media services as a direct victim service, 2 3 and I'll give you a very quick example. The trial 4 that Carolyn and Ruth have referred to that's going on 5 right now, Charles Forshay (phonetic), accused of murdering a 23-month-old infant, a foster parent. 6 7 MS. ODOM: We've got to get your mike up 8 closer to you. 9 MS. CLEMENTS: Thank you. 10 MS. ODOM: You're welcome. MS. CLEMENTS: I feel like I'm in church. 11

12 MS. ODOM: That's all right.

MS. CLEMENTS: I'm singing in the choir. 13 There was several articles. The "Houston Chronicle" 14 takes a position that is not often victim friendly. 15 Sometimes it is. But there was several articles that 16 didn't report any of the state witnesses or rebuttal, 17 and it was clearly a biased article. The family had a 18 19 confrontation with the reporter in the hallway of the 20 courtroom, which is not a good thing to happen. And I 21 was able to call the editor of the reporter and tell 22 her what had happened and ask if we could have some more balanced reporting, not that we want one-sided 23 24 reporting for either side, but we need balanced 25 reporting. And unless you have someone who has had an

opportunity to be involved in media or come to know 1 some of the writers and the editors, you don't have 2 3 that access or that training. There's never any training given for people in order to advocate at the 4 5 media level. And, yes, what goes along and what the jury hears is what's important, but the family carries 6 the burden of what's in the public, and clearly the 7 jury hears all of the information, but we need some 8 sort of resource, some sort of referral service, some 9 sort of training so that people can start advocating 10 effectively and professionally for victims in the 11 12 media.

Also "The Rule". I wanted to just tell 13 you all in case you didn't know that last legislative 14 session there was a law passed that now "The Rule" is 15 16 not all-encompassing. The prosecutor at the time that 17 the defense counsel says he wants certain witnesses 18 under the rule, the prosecutor can ask and he must 19 respond as to what that witness will testify to. 20 They're in the courtroom immediately. The judge then 21 makes a decision if it is imperative or if they are being excluded simply for no reason other than they 22 23 don't want the jury to see them.

24 The first time this happened here in
25 Houston, it was a trial of a shaken baby, and the law
had just passed. The prosecutor -- Family members were being excluded that didn't need to be, were not going to testify to anything, and we asked the prosecutor to please implement this brand new state law, and she looked at the victim's family and said, "Oh, don't be a hard ass. I don't want to piss the judge off."

8 So while we have a law, we have 9 prosecutors who do their job, but who even though they 10 are doing their job for the victims, they're still 11 doing their job for the state, and we don't have the 12 sensitivity with many prosecutors that I wish we did 13 have. So when we talk about sensitivity training, you 14 know, I don't know how you impart sensitivity, I don't 15 know if it's possible, but I think we need to 16 reinforce our state laws in following those, and we're not just being hard asses. We are asking for the 17 18 rights that are given to us statutorily. 19 Oh! Information, information, 20 information. Is there anything that we cannot get enough of? Yes. It's information when you're a crime 21 22 victim. Here in the State of Texas, we had a 23 legislation last session that would implement a state-wide victim notification system. VINE is the 24

acronym. It is still not up and running. And why?

25

Because we have people who don't really put priorities
 of victims at the forefront. Oh, I'm sorry.

3 But so those are two things I just wanted to share with the people here because we're all 4 5 Texans. We have a victim information notification system that will be sometime in the future happening, 6 and we also have the state law that you cannot 7 arbitrarily be excluded from the courtroom without 8 9 just cause, and the judge must make that decision in open court when the attorney says what you will be 10 11 testifying to.

I also wanted to talk about the -- You 12 know, we talked briefly about funding and who gets it 13 14 and who doesn't, and I will tell you that as an organization, I am a member of Parents of Murdered 15 Children, but Justice for All is an independent 16 17 organization that lobbies, and we endorse candidates. 18 And Justice for All Alliance is a 501(C)(3). Now, did 19 the Alliance get our grant for our victim video impact 20 statement because we're affiliated with a political Probably. If we were Parents of 21 organization? Murdered Children going for that grant, I don't 22 23 believe we would have gotten it. So I believe that all the concerns that were addressed here could be 24 25 accomplished equitably if we just start recognizing

1 the rights of victims and put them in priority when it 2 comes to funding decisions.

MS. ODOM: Thank you. Yes. I've got --Ruth wants to make a remark as well. I'd really like to let everybody finish unless it's a direct comment to that. Then I'm going to let Ruth have the floor and then you have the floor, and thank you for your patience.

9 MS. MARIN-EASON: What I was going to 10 comment is what Diane Marino said about the, you know, court transcripts. I was kept out of court. I was 11 kept away from the court. They kept me at the hotel. 12 13 My case was on the news totally because my son was military and San Antonio covered everything. And I 14 asked for a court transcript because I wanted to know 15 16 what was going on. I wanted to be there. They 17 wouldn't allow me not even around the courthouse. And 18 I felt that the State of Texas should have furnished 19 me with what they had promised me. Well, they gave me 20 the confession of the murderer. That's not all I 21 wanted. I wanted the whole transcript because I 22 personally wanted to be there. They kept me out. 23 They kept me at a hotel for three weeks until 24 punishment phase. And I think that I was treated very unfairly by the State of Texas. 25

1 And like she says, they never mentioned 2 Raul, but the murderer and my daughter-in-law's name 3 was all over, and they should change that and say the 4 State of Texas and Raul, but, you know, I am very 5 upset with that, and I get mad and I tell people to 6 shut their mouth sometimes like I'm saying with the 7 media, but...

8 MS. ODOM: Andy.

9 MR. KAHAN: Diane, two things if you care 10 to address what I'm going to ask you to address. I think you had an experience several weeks ago with the 11 12 local parole division that from what you had told me was absolutely shocking, and I think it's something 13 that you might want to address here as well, and also 14 15 if you would tell a little bit more about the project so that OVC will know what you're doing with this 16 17 because I think it's so important that OVC realize 18 just how unique this project is that we got the grant 19 for.

20 MS. CLEMENTS: Andy's my straight man. He 21 sets them up, and I roll them out. The Video Victim 22 Impact Project is unique, and Andy knows of one other 23 group in California that he thinks does this. But 24 what this does is we professionally video tape, so 25 it's in a studio with all the good lighting and the

sound and all the equipment, and our victims are made 1 to feel very comfortable, and we video tape their 2 victim impact statement for future parole protests is 3 4 the idea behind the project. We have video taped some 5 family members pretrial and post-trial. We have also 6 video taped some family members who have perpetrators 7 on probation because the likelihood that they may violate always exists. 8

9 The reason we created this project is 10 because of a dear woman, Shirley Parish. Shirley's daughter was murdered. The man that murdered her 11 daughter was given the death penalty. In a second 12 13 trial, he received a life sentence. He has been reviewed for parole twice since he received his life 14 sentence. Shirley was an early advocate for victims, 15 and she became ill, and Shirley died last year. She 16 was no longer able to be a voice for her daughter, and 17 her daughter was lost forever. And we believe that if 18 19 we are not here due to death or injury or distance or 20 financial constraint, whatever would prevent us from 21 going to the parole board and advocating for our loved 22 one, we didn't want that to be lost. So that was the 23 impetus behind the program, and we've done about 60 video tapes to date, and we're very excited, and we'll 24 move forward, and we hope that it's duplicated across 25

1 the state.

2 MR. GILLIS: I was going to say San Diego in California has a similar program, and while I was 3 on the Board of Prison Terms I managed to get some 4 changes there where we accepted the video tapes of the 5 next of kin for the parole hearing in case they were 6 7 not able to attend or were ill or something else. MS. CLEMENTS: Thank you. It just -- It 8 9 seems so common sense that we should all be doing this, and it needs to grow across the country. 10 And then the parole -- What was it, the 11 12 other thing? Parole hearing. Oh, no. No. No. It wasn't a parole hearing. It was the parole board 13 14 office. You know, we talk about the criminal justice 15 system, and we somehow immediately sort of confine it 16 to the prosecutor and the judge, but then we don't really think about the parole process afterwards or 17 18 dealing with parole officers. 19 As a person who was contacted to be 20 interviewed for a story, the person contacting me

21 happened to be a parolee who is a violent parolee who 22 was in prison for aggravated assault of a police 23 officer and a DWI and several drug charges and some 24 fraud charges and had a pending DWI. Well, of course, 25 he is with one of the local newspapers, "Houston

Press," which is very liberal, and didn't identify himself as a parolee when he contacted me. And, of course, I gave him my home phone number. I mean, he comes to me with some sort of credentials that, you know, I believe are at least real, and he did work for the "Houston Press," and they supported him wholeheartedly.

But the parole board and the parole -- his 8 particular parole officer, and I went up the line, are 9 very unresponsive to victims or citizens when they 10 11 contact them. So not only do we need to address the courts and the district attorneys and all of the 12 service providers, but, by golly, we need to look at 13 the parole system, the response that we don't get, and 14 then even to the probation, because as we do know, we 15 have violent offenders on probation. Carolyn's son 16 17 was murdered. That man was convicted of murder and 18 received probation. So somehow it seems to diminish as we move down the process from the prosecution, from 19 20 the court. We become less and less involved, not by our choice, but there's less response as we move away 21 from the prosecution. And those areas certainly need 22 23 to be addressed because it was a nightmare. I can 24 tell you.

25

MS. ODOM: Thank you. Would you pass the

1 microphone down? Thank you. Beverly, I was having it 2 passed to you if you'd like to speak. Does she have 3 to stand up? If you could, that would help us. Thank 4 you.

5 MS. CAMPOS: Everything that I would say 6 everybody else has already said except for one thing. 7 My son was murdered by a juvenile, and I can't get any 8 transcript. I can't get anything. But he's up for 9 parole again. I got the letter a couple of weeks ago, 10 and I got it on a Saturday, which you can't make any phone calls to anybody on a Saturday, so I spent all 11 12 weekend like this trying to call and, you know, set up 13 a time and date so I could go talk to the parole board. That hasn't happened yet. But, anyway, having 14 15 the letters arrive on a weekends is really, really, 16 really bad. That's all.

17 MS. ODOM: Okay. If you would just set the microphone right down next to you. Thank you. 18 19 Well, thank you all so much. A few quick things. You 20 know the books that you were given, the ones that we had to run copies of? Somebody hold up the little 21 22 book. That or that. Right. This book. Okay. The 23 woman -- I think it was mentioned that there's a woman 24 in California, Collene Campbell, who is gracious 25 enough that she has put these books together. She is

ill right at the moment. I spoke with her the other 1 2 day. So she -- I know that there was an expectation on the part of some folks that if your story wasn't in 3 4 the book that we were going to try to get it in the 5 book. I'm not sure if that's going to be possible, so 6 I just want to kind of give you that heads up. But I 7 think that what I'd like to do is make the offer that if you wanted to write something out, I can certainly 8 9 see if we can get it to her and, if possible, she 10 might try to make it available, but she is quite sick at the moment, so I'm not sure if that's going to 11 12 happen.

A couple of things. Jen, can you pop 13 Steve Twist's questions up there for me? And while 14 Jennifer's doing that, I wanted to say that we have 15 lunch outside, and the lunch ticket is this. Now, 16 17 here's how this goes. Oh, you are starved. Yours is already filled out. Janice, all she has to do is 18 19 hand -- If you hand me this filled out, you get to 20 leave the room and have lunch; otherwise, you stay here forever. Anyway, we need to have these in order 21 22 for you to walk away out the door. So honest to Pete, 23 fill these devilish things out and make sure you give them to us. Okay. 24

```
25
```

Second thing, I know you have a lot of

forms we gave you, a lot of documentation, so you'll 1 have time maybe during lunch to fill some of that out, 2 3 and we're going to need to collect that, and then 4 we're going to just make sure we have everything. One 5 thing I wanted to really suggest. When you get your 6 lunch, we obviously have these tables set up for you 7 to eat. I would really strongly encourage you to eat 8 with someone that you don't know, and if some of you 9 know each other and what-have-you, but I thought it was marvelous when we saw an immediate connection 10 11 where Madonna had some information that might help Meg and David. You know, this is one of the things that 12 13 Mr. Gillis had talked about was that with these 14 roundtables people are making some amazing networking 15 opportunities as well. So I really encourage you to get to know someone that you don't already know and 16 17 maybe share some information.

Also, some of the forms are in ancient Greek, so we will be helping you with that later, too, if it's hard. You know, we can help you fill them out because personally I couldn't fill out one of these devilish travel forms.

And then just a reminder that the video outside is the Boston roundtable, and we'll have it playing during lunch if you wanted to take a look at

1 it, and that's going to be -- we'll be doing something 2 similar with this roundtable. Let me find out. Does 3 anybody have any questions at this point before we 4 break for lunch? Please.

(Inaudible).

5

MS. ODOM: VINE is free to victims. Oh, 6 okay. Free to victims. Excellent. Excellent. And 7 then these questions are questions -- This is Steve 8 Twist, who we pointed out earlier, and when we come 9 back from lunch, Steve is going to be -- This is your 10 lunch assignment, and we'll try to keep this up on the 11 screen when you're here, and you can be kind of at 12 least thinking about it and discussing it. Because, 13 again, Steve is the one shepherding the Constitutional 14 Amendment to try to get victims' rights as the 28th 15 16 Amendment? Correct. So these are some of the 17 questions that he wants you to be thinking about that 18 we can talk about when you return.

So, one, were you notified of all of the proceedings in your case? Were you allowed to be present at all proceedings, or were you excluded? Were you allowed to speak at all release, bail, plea, and sentencing proceedings? Were there long delays in your case? And I think -- Just four questions, Jen? Just those four? Okay.

So those are some things we want you 1 thinking about as well as if there are things that as 2 3 other people spoke it sparked ideas. You know, there will still be ample time for discussion. We are going 4 5 to try to end at four o'clock sharp, so we want to really, once we have lunch, we're just going to full 6 court press. So lunch will be -- We will reconvene in 7 our seats just like now at one o'clock, so we've got a 8 nice hour for lunch, and lunch is right outside the 9 door, and you can sit here or whatever you'd like to 10 11 do.

Yes. Steve has a point. Okay. Collene, Collene Campbell, the woman who is ill, who has done the book, she said she will make sure they get updated, so if you'd like to be in the book, please be sure to write something legibly for us, and we'll get it to Collene. Okay. It's lunch time. But you've got to give those cards.

19 (Lunch recess.)

20 MS. GREGORI: Okay. As we move into our 21 after lunch discussion, we're going to talk about the 22 proposed Victim Rights Amendment, and we have with us 23 today a person who's worked on this from day one. He 24 is a member of the National Board of Trustees for the 25 National Organization of Parents of Murdered Children.

He is the General Counsel for the National Victims Constitutional Amendment Network, and he's the co-founder with U.S. Senator John Kyl for the Arizona Voice for Crime Victims, and he's done a lot of these as a volunteer. He's also a current attorney and a great spokesperson for the Victim Rights Amendment, and with that, Steve Twist.

MR. TWIST: Thank you, Jeannie, very much. 8 Let me begin by saying to each of you how very 9 privileged I feel to be representing you in this cause 10 11 for victims' rights. I know that this movement -- I want to give you a report from the field today about 12 where this issue stands, but I know this movement for 13 14 victims' rights isn't about what the lawyers or the 15 prosecutors or the people sort of in the system have 16 to say about this, I know it's about you and for you, 17 and I know deep in my heart that what you've gone 18 through will change America. You sanctify the issues that we're going to talk about today. 19

20 And I'm sorry that the evil that has 21 touched your life has done so, but I want you to know 22 that it also is a bridge to change, and I feel so 23 deeply that this change will result in making history 24 for our country, and I speak to you out of that sense 25 of respect and sadness, but also resolve that we will

1 make a difference.

2 And I want to say to Mr. Gillis I'm very grateful for the opportunity to participate in these 3 programs, and there's such a change in the 4 administration. As Jeannie said, I have done this for 5 a long time, starting with our national movement in 6 1996 when Senator Kyl first introduced the Crime 7 8 Victims' Rights Amendment into the United States Senate with Senator Feinstein. This is not about 9 10 Republicans or Democrats, conservatives or liberals; this is a bipartisan effort to change the 11 12 Constitution. And we've worked with the administration and with the Justice Department, the 13 14 prior administration, and now this administration, and I have to tell you there's a huge difference. We've 15 16 had great support in the past from the podium; now we have great support in the halls of Congress. 17 18 And just one story. I'm so excited, I 19 have to share this. Yesterday in Phoenix, President Bush came in for a political event, and I was 20 privileged enough to be there with Senator Kyl, and I 21 got to meet the President for the second time. The 22 23 first time was when in April during Crime Victims Rights Week the President announced his support for 24 the Crime Victims' Rights Amendment, and John was 25

there and kicked it off, and after the President spoke, he came down the row and shook hands with some of us in the front, and that was a great thrill. But yesterday in Phoenix, I actually got the chance to talk to him for a couple minutes.

And I will tell you -- I could see it in 6 7 his speech in the Justice Department, but yesterday I 8 said to him, "Mr. President" -- You know, you always 9 think, "Gosh! I'm going to meet the President. What 10 am I going to say?" I said, "Mr. President, thank you for your support for the Crime Victims' Rights 11 12 Amendment." And without even thinking, just viscerally he said, "Well, yeah. Absolutely. It's 13 the right thing to do." And I knew just looking in 14 his eye and hearing the tone of his voice, I knew that 15 he really gets it, he gets what it's all about. And 16 17 it's such a great privilege to be a part of the 18 process where the President -- Finally we have an 19 administration that is saying, "I'm not only in favor 20 of a constitutional amendment, but I favor the 21 constitutional amendment. I support these words, and I'm willing to stand behind those words." And I just 22 had to share that moment with you because it was a 23 24 real thrill for me yesterday to have a chance to talk to him about this. 25

Let me begin by just a little bit of 1 2 history. You've heard the year 1984 mentioned a couple times. 1984 is the year that the Office for 3 Victims of Crime -- that the Congress passed a law 4 that established the Office for Victims of Crime. 5 That law was one among many recommendations that had 6 been made by the President's Task Force on Victims of 7 8 Crime that President Reagan established in the early 1980's. And the task force issued a final report in 9 10 1982, and among its recommendations were the 11 establishment of the Office for Victims of Crime. 12 There were 68 actual recommendations. The last 13 recommendation from the task force was that the Sixth 14 Amendment to the United States Constitution be amended to provide rights for crime victims. It was proposed 15 16 that the language would be that victims of crime have a right to be informed, present, and heard at all 17 18 critical stages of the case. Well, the movement, the 19 victims' rights movement, took those recommendations 20 and, in particular, took the recommendation for a 21 constitutional amendment for victims' rights and began 22 to sort out how we would go about trying to enact them into law. 23

And with respect to the Victims Rights'Amendment, it was decided that before there could be

1 an attempt to amend the Constitution of the United States it would be more prudent to try and seek state 2 level reforms, state statutes and state constitutional 3 4 amendments to test various ideas, to see what worked, what didn't, to see the political climate in the 5 6 country, if you will, for the support for things like this. And so in the mid '80's, a conscious decision 7 8 was made not to go for a Federal Constitutional 9 Amendment, but, instead, to begin a grass-roots state 10 level campaign to try to enact state constitutional 11 amendments and state statutes. And now, 20 years 12 later, we have 32 states that have state 13 constitutional amendments. I distributed four of them 14 for you: Texas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Louisiana. We have every state in the nation that has 15 16 state statutes that purportedly stand to protect the 17 rights of crime victims, but after 20 years of 18 experience testing different languages, different kinds, different amendments, testing whether statutes 19 20 work, one profound truth is very apparent, and that is 21 that this entire body of reform over 20 years has done 22 a lot of good things, but it hasn't changed the culture of the criminal justice system. Every day in 23 24 America there are criminal cases where victims are not given notice of the proceedings. Every day in America 25

1 victims are excluded from the courtroom during trial. Victims are not allowed to be heard day in and day out 2 3 at various critical proceedings, like when the arrested person is given an initial appearance, when 4 bond is set, when there's a proceeding relating to a 5 6 plea agreement, sentencing, post-conviction relief. 7 Every day in some courthouse in America a release decision is made without taking the victim's safety 8 9 into consideration. Every day cases are characterized by extraordinary delays. You know, the defendant has 10 11 a constitutional right to a speedy trial. He's the only one that doesn't want it more often than not. 12 13 Even though we have restitution laws that in most 14 places are mandatory, every day there are cases that 15 go by where victims are not ordered restitution. And the list goes on and on and on. And we have 16 17 concluded -- In fact, a few years ago now we concluded 18 that these state constitutional amendments, and I want 19 to look at Texas's for a second, and the state 20 statutes that are in place, the federal statutes that 21 are in place, they are wholly inadequate to reform the criminal justice system in a way -- to really restore 22 23 it to what it was like at the founding of the country. 24 At the founding of the country, victims 25 were not in need of constitutional protections because

victims prosecuted their own cases by and large. They 1 were in the middle of the criminal justice system. 2 And there are a lot of good reason why we moved away 3 4 and we now have the office of the public prosecutor, but, you know, that pendulum has swung way too far to 5 6 the point where victims now, even with all of our reforms, are still more often than not just another 7 piece of evidence in a criminal case. 8

9 And so what we're about and what we 10 started in 1996 was an effort to fundamentally change 11 that with the insight that based on this entire 12 history and body of law that really the only thing 13 that will change the culture of our criminal justice 14 system is an amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

15 This is not a new insight. In fact, in the history of our country, when James Madison took to 16 17 the floor of the Congress in the very first Congress and proposed the Bill of Rights, critics said, "We 18 19 don't need a Bill of Rights because the states have 20 Bills of Rights, and the federal government doesn't have to get into this area." And Madison said, well, 21 22 in effect, "not all of the states have them," which 23 was true, not all of the states at the founding had 24 Bills of Rights in their state constitutions, and he went on to say, "Some that do aren't very good." 25

1 It's exactly the same situation that we're 2 in. Not all states have state constitutional bills of rights, and some that do, some of them aren't very 3 4 good. So Madison went on to say only the Constitution 5 of the United States, which was then young, but he had 6 this insight, he said, "Only the Constitution of the 7 United States is the law of all of us." It's the only 8 thing with the power to change hearts because it's the 9 only thing that we all really respect. You think 10 about it. It's so true. A state can only affect what's in a state. The federal government can only 11 12 affect through federal statute the processes of the federal criminal justice system. But it's the 13 Constitution that is the supreme law of the land. 14 It's the law of all of us. He said, "The Bill of 15 16 Rights would over the years acquire the character of 17 fundamental maxims and become incorporated in the will 18 of the nation." That's what the Victims Bill of 19 Rights in the U.S. Constitution will do. It will take 20 on, as Madison said, the character of fundamental maxims, and it will have the power to change the 21 22 culture.

Why do we need the change? Well, look at -- Just look at the Texas Constitution. And I think -- Does everyone have it? Everyone have the

1 handout? We talked about a couple of the issues, but when you think about sort of what are the basics, the 2 basics are notice, the right to be present, right not 3 4 to be excluded, the right to be heard at some critical stages. Let's just think about those for a second. 5 6 And the rights not to have extraordinary delay and the 7 right to avoid unreasonable delay. Let's just think 8 about some of those and compare those to the Texas 9 Constitution.

10 Well, what's the first glaring omission that you see in the Texas Constitution? There's no 11 12 voice. You look down the list. A crime victim has the following rights: to be treated with fairness, to 13 14 be reasonably protected, and on request to notification of court proceedings. These are all good 15 16 things. The right to be present except, and we're 17 going to talk about that, and the exceptions swallow 18 the right, the right to confer with prosecutors, the 19 right to restitution, the right to information, and 20 the legislature can enact laws that define these 21 terms. Where's the right to be heard? It's not in the Texas Constitution. And as much as a statute 22 23 might establish the right to be heard, it's only as 24 long as it is in statute subject to the whim of a 25 majority of the -- It's not a right. It's not in any

sense the birthright of every Texan to know that
 they'll have a right to be heard during critical
 stages of their case.

4 And look at the right to be present. As 5 you've said, as you've heard and discussed already 6 this morning, the right to be present is equivocal. 7 It says "the right to be present unless you're going 8 to testify and the court determines the victims would 9 be materially -- the testimony would be materially 10 affected." Well, in practice, in the real world, what this means is you're excluded from the courtroom 11 12 because the path of least resistance for the judge is going to say, "Well, if I have to decide whether it's 13 going to be materially affected or not, if I decide it 14 15 is going to be materially affected, and, therefore, I 16 kick out the victim, nobody is going to complain. My 17 record's protected. The defendant's not going to have a ground for appeal. But if I let the victim in and 18 19 decide there's no material effect, it's a possibility of being reversed on appeal." So the path of least 20 resistance is always, even if they looked at it 21 22 legally, to exclude the victim.

And what happens -- You notice it says
"until after the victim testifies"? Well, what
happens in the real world, again, is that a victim may

be called as the very first witness, and they may testify, but because they are subject to recall they are still excluded in the real world. And that's why the language of the Texas Constitution doesn't work to protect the right of the victim unequivocally to be in the courtroom just like who? The defendant. Just like he has the right to be in the courtroom.

And we make exceptions to this rule of 8 invoking "The Rule". For example, in almost every 9 state, and I don't if it's true in Texas, but I bet it 10 11 is, there's an exception to the rule that allows the 12 prosecutor to have the chief investigator, the number 13 one agent -- Is that allowed in Texas? Yeah. That 14 person's a witness, but guess what! They get to be in 15 the courtroom the whole time. And the defendant gets 16 to be there whether or not he chooses to be a witness. 17 So we make exceptions to the rule, but we don't make 18 them for victims. There's no reason why the law 19 cannot say a victim has a right to be there from the 20 very moment the jury selection process starts until 21 the verdict is returned without exclusion, whether they are going to be a witness or not. And that's 22 23 what the U.S. Constitutional Amendment would do. 24 That is now the law in several states. 25 It's the law in Arizona. Victims are now in the

courtroom from the very beginning of the jury 1 2 selection process through the entire trial, through the conviction, through post-conviction -- through the 3 verdict, through post-conviction proceedings, and 4 there is no -- there's been no successful challenge to 5 that unequivocal right to be in the courtroom. And 6 that's the law now in other states. It's not the 7 majority rule. Majority rule still is with this 8 exception, and that's why the Constitutional Amendment 9 to the U.S. Constitution, it's just one example of how 10 11 it has to cut through all of the nonsense and 12 establish a fundamental proposition that you have a right not to be excluded. 13

Talk about another -- Just to point out 14 another example of issues in the states, look at the 15 Oklahoma Constitution, which is the next one. I just 16 17 want to highlight -- Notice that the Oklahoma Constitution says about three quarters of the way down 18 the first paragraph there, "You have a right to be 19 heard at any sentencing or parole hearing." This is 20 21 on Oklahoma right down about this far down the page. Well, that's great. Those rights should be protected. 22 But what about the right to be heard at any 23 post-arrest release proceeding? It's not covered in 24 25 the Oklahoma Constitution. What about the right to be

heard at any bail reduction proceeding? What about 1 the right to be heard at a change of plea, which isn't 2 technically sentencing, but it's where the court 3 4 decides whether the court will accept the victim's or 5 the defendant's change of plea from, say, not quilty 6 to guilty to a lesser offense as part of a plea 7 bargain. There's no right to be heard at that proceeding. It's inadequate. 8

9 And then I want to -- My favorite example 10 to point out among this group is -- this group of amendments is look at Louisiana. It's the very last 11 one I put in. I'll skip over some of the other 12 13 points. But in the second paragraph, right down toward the end of the page, it says "Nothing in this 14 section shall," skipping over some words, "confer upon 15 16 any person," that includes the victim -- "Nothing in 17 this section shall confer upon any person the right to 18 appeal or seek supervisory review of any decision made 19 in a criminal proceeding." So what that says is if 20 your right -- one of the rights established in this 21 paragraph here, in the first one, like the right to be 22 heard, if that right is denied, you have no 23 opportunity to seek a supervisory review of that 24 decision. So, in other words, as someone has said, 25 it's toothless.

How is it that -- And if you look at the 1 top of each one of these rights, you'll see how 2 enormously popular they've been. Voters, when they go 3 4 to the polls to vote for constitutional rights for 5 crime victims, don't parse through, you know, what's 6 covered, what isn't covered, what the limitations are. 7 They are voting for the principle of should victims have rights, and they're overwhelmingly supported at 8 9 the polls. The idea of victims' rights is 10 overwhelmingly supported by the people of our country. But what happens more often than not is that because 11 12 the only access to the ballot is through the 13 legislature and because the criminal defense bar, maybe there are a lot of lawyers who are -- a lot of 14 legislators who are lawyers, the criminal defense bar 15 has an active lobby at the legislature, that things 16 get watered down in the legislative give and take, in 17 the process, and sometimes we even have to fight the 18 19 prosecutors who don't want to see victims have an 20 independent status at any proceeding. So there are 21 compromises made, and things get watered down. And 22 this is the history of the last 20 years of the 23 movement.

24 We do have some case law now developing.
25 It's fundamentally clear in the law that whenever a

1 victim's state constitutional or state statutory right 2 comes up against the supreme right that the defendant has in the U.S. Constitution, guess who wins. The 3 U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land. 4 It's like a trump card that defendants get to play 5 whenever they say, "A victim's right infringes on my 6 U.S. Constitutional right." And, again, the path of 7 8 least resistance for trial judges is to say, "Well, I'm always going to decide with this higher law." 9 10 We'll never have sort of parity or an equal playing 11 field until -- level playing field until victims' 12 rights are protected in the same law, the U.S. 13 Constitution, that defendants' rights are protected 14 in. So that's been our experience.

15 So in 1996, Senator Kyl and Senator 16 Feinstein introduced the first Crime Victims' Rights 17 Amendment in the history of the country into the U.S. 18 Congress, into the U.S. Senate. As I say, it's been 19 bipartisan. We've had hearings every year since 1996 20 both in the House and the Senate, on the proposal. 21 We've listened carefully to the critics, it's been reformed numbers of time, and the current resolution, 22 23 which was introduced in April of this year, is page one of what I passed out. That's Senate Joint 24 25 Resolution 35. And you see it is SJR 35. That's the

reference. The identical provision has been
 introduced in the House. It's HJ Res. 91. And,
 again, it has attracted bipartisan support, and, for
 the first time, strong support endorsing the language
 from the President of the United States and the
 Attorney General.

7 We've had hearings this year, both in the 8 House and the Senate, on Senate Joint Resolution 35. 9 Let me tell you kind of where it stands politically, 10 and this is not a call for you to do anything. I just 11 want to report to you where it stands. And let me focus on the United States Senate. In the Senate, 12 13 there are 50 Democrats, 49 Republicans, and one Independent. Of the 49 Republicans, we have support 14 from 45. The magic number that you need to get things 15 16 done in the United States Senate is 60. To pass the 17 amendment, you need 67 Senators to vote for it. 18 That's two-thirds, and that's what the Constitution requires. But in order to get to a point where you 19 20 vote on something, you have to close debate because 21 otherwise they can filibuster. And so the rules of 22 the Senate provide that you have to have 60 votes for 23 what they call cloture, which is essentially like it sounds, closing off debate. 24

```
25
```

Well, so the key is 60. Everybody kind of

presumes if they actually have to vote on it up or 1 2 down it's easier to get 67 because it's kind of a hard thing to vote against because it's so popular. But 3 4 it's very hard to get to 60, harder because people who 5 oppose the amendment can say, "Well, I want more 6 debate. After all, we're amending the Constitution of 7 the United States. We shouldn't do this guickly. We have to be thoughtful, to be deliberate." And so it's 8 9 harder to get to 60 votes. Well, right now I think 10 Republicans, probably 45 votes, which means you need 15 more from the other side, 15 of the 50 from the 11 12 other side in order to be able to get to 60 for cloture, to get to 67. Right now I think we can count 13 on about eight from the other side, and it's a good 14 15 eight. It's a strong eight. Senator Feinstein is a 16 vocal, dynamic, active supporter in her caucus, in the 17 Democratic Caucus. Senator Joseph Biden from Delaware, who's the former chair of the Senate 18 19 Judiciary Committee, is a supporter of the amendment. 20 But you can see where the challenge is. The challenge is to try to bring in enough members from both sides 21 22 to try to get to the number of 60. And we're not 23 there yet.

24 Well, one of the reasons that -- One of 25 the reasons that we're not there is because people in

the country, their stories haven't been effectively -2 told, and I fault myself as much as anyone else, more than anyone else. We haven't spent enough time asking 3 4 for stories to be told to educate people about what is 5 in the system. I go back now to what I said in the 6 beginning. What will carry this through the Congress 7 and through the state legislatures into the 8 Constitution to be the 28th Amendment isn't anything 9 that I'm going to say. It's what you all are going to 10 say, what you're going to say and -- I don't mean in a political way. I just mean letting people who shape 11 12 public policy understand what happens in the criminal justice system, understand what happens to people. 13 Yes, sir. 14

MR. NESBIT: What states are the four Republican Senators from that are not onboard with this?

MR. TWIST: Without getting into a lot --18 19 We could talk afterwards, but I'll give you one 20 example of a retiring senator. Senator Fred Thompson 21 from Tennessee has not been a supporter, and he has 22 not been a supporter on grounds of Federalism. He has 23 argued that this, in effect, a federal amendment to the Constitution, an Amendment to the Federal 24 Constitution, is like an unfunded mandate to the 25

states where the Federal Government would be telling the states how to organize their criminal justice systems. Now, there are a lot of flaws in that logic, and we can talk about them, but it's a principled stand, and that's -- And so that's one example. But, veah.

7 MS. ODOM: You know, one thing that is 8 always important to mention is that this roundtable is 9 not a lobbying opportunity for the 28th Amendment. We 10 just want to make sure you're really clear that we --11 or that, rather, that the Department of Justice just 12 wanted to make sure that you have an opportunity to 13 hear about it. So just FYI on that.

MR. TWIST: And I want to emphasize that, that I am reporting -- I think you have a right to know what's going on, and I'm reporting from the field exactly, exactly what's happening. People will have to make their own minds up and at the close of the meeting today, after the official meeting's over, I'll have something to say about that.

MS. JURCA: I'm Lola Jurca, and this would have been so good in my case because my son was murdered in California, and then I lived in Texas, and I can't tell you how many times I heard, "You don't understand. This is California; it's not Texas." So

1 this would help me a lot.

2 MR. TWIST: One of the things that drives 3 or animates the cause is to say that the things that are written here, I made reference to this before, the 4 things that are written here should be the birthright 5 of every American, not just every Texan, not just 6 every Californian, the birthright of every American to 7 8 know that you will be treated with dignity if, God 9 forbid, you walk this road to hell that all of you 10 have been walking. So that's one of the things that 11 motivates the proponents of the amendment. 12 Let's talk about -- May we talk about the 13 questions for a second? 14 MS. ODOM: Steve, Andy has a question, but, Andy, could you stand for me, please? 15 16 MR. KAHAN: Sure. Steve, I don't know if 17 you can answer this or not, but just purely on what 18 you stated and purely looking at it objectively, it 19 appears that a majority of Republican Senators are for 20 it and a majority of Democrat Senators are against it. 21 Is there any reasons that you can allude to, or is 22 that a subject that's just off base? 23 MR. TWIST: Maybe we'll follow up after the close of the official meeting if anybody wants to 24 25 just talk with me informally about that.

1 MR. GILLIS: One of the things that I 2 wanted to mention is this -- Again, this is an informational situation here where Steve is going to 3 provide us with information. It is not a lobbying --4 We're not asking you to lobby. Again, we've had that 5 discussion earlier this morning about the 501(C)(3) 6 7 and some other things, and, of course, the funding 8 that's provided to OVC is not provided for lobbying. We're in the same situation. So I want to make sure 9 that you understand that Steve is presenting us with 10 information. We're not talking about it in terms of 11 political parties, but if you as individuals after 12 this is over with would like to talk with Steve, and 13 he's going to spend some time talking with you, he can 14 tell you what actions you can take as individuals. 15 But this meeting, this roundtable discussion, is not 16 for the purpose of politicizing the amendment. So I 17 just wanted to make that clear. 18 MS. CLEMENTS: Thank you for your 19 20 information. On Arizona, the right of the victim to

21 be in the courtroom, is that statute, or is that 22 constitutional? 23 MR. TWIST: State constitutional.

24 MS. CLEMENTS: State constitution. Could 25 you have passed it in statute?

1 MR. TWIST: Uh-huh. 2 MS. CLEMENTS: State Constitutions take a 3 lot longer. MR. TWIST: It could be passed in statute, 4 because if you think about it, when you all have 5 talked about "The Rule," the rule -- the reason it's 6 called a rule is because it comes from a Rule of 7 8 Criminal Procedure that is written by the court, sometimes -- enacted by the legislature, but written 9 10 by the court and subject to amendment by the 11 legislature. So it can be the legislature that can do 12 it. And as you've talked about, Diane, you've 13 recently had some legislative modifications to "The 14 Rule," but the truth is "The Rule" can be abolished 15 for victims. I mean, you don't have to have the rule 16 of exclusion or sequestration, as it's called, for 17 victims of crime. MS. ODOM: Steve, you're -- You're cute as 18 19 a button. Let's get to those questions. 20 MR. TWIST: May we ask Jennifer to go back 21 to the questions? May we do that now?

MS. ODOM: Let's chant her name. She
loves it when we do that. Jennifer. Jennifer.
MR. TWIST: I think it would be helpful -MS. ODOM: Here we go.

MR. TWIST: -- to -- Again, let me
 encourage you to be the voice for education. Teach us
 about what's happened in the criminal justice system.
 And perhaps, Inez, if you'll be the moderator.

5 MS. ODOM: These were the things you were 6 thinking about over lunch, so --

7 MR. TWIST: Who is it who was involved in a case where you were not given notice of every 8 9 proceeding? And let me just sketch the context here. 10 These are everything from the post-arrest proceeding, which is typically held within 24 or 48 hours, where a 11 12 person is brought before a magistrate and there's a 13 decision about whether to release the person, what the bond will be. There are bond reduction hearings. 14 15 There are plea proceedings. There's sentencing. But who was not notified of all, emphasis on the word 16 "all," and comment about this if you would. 17

18 MS. ODOM: You're going to get to start 19 out, please.

20 MS. ALBRIGHT: Hi, Steve. My name is 21 Wendy Albright. When you said "all," in our case, 22 that was the very first thing that happened with us. 23 From the time that our son was murdered, we were not 24 notified of the bail -- the bail proceedings or her 25 getting out on bond. We were not allowed to be

present. We wanted to know what was going on. They 1 2 had put her in jail at something like \$500,000. A week later she had gone with her lawyers to the 3 courthouse, to the judge, found a judge that would 4 5 hear her case, and they lowered the bail down to 6 something like \$80,000, and she was then released. 7 And we found out we couldn't do anything after that. 8 MR. TWIST: And you were not given notice 9 of that second proceeding? 10 MS. ALBRIGHT: We were not given notice of that second proceeding right there. Throughout the 11 12 entire case, we were -- We asked to be notified 13 anytime she was in the courtroom talking -- that they went to the court. We were not notified every time. 14 15 We had to chase that down on a regular basis. So that 16 was very difficult. 17 MR. TWIST: Anyone else want to comment 18 on ---19 MS. ODOM: Anyone else on this side of the 20 room that wants to responds to that? 21 MR. TWIST: Question number one. 22 MS. ODOM: Anyone else on this side? No. 23 Yes. Back there. Okay. If you'd be so kind. 24 MR. TWIST: Or perhaps it might actually facilitate things if I asked you -- If you could put 25
the questions up again, Jennifer. If you just look at 1 2 the questions, and if you want to just talk in a narrative about each of those when you've got the 3 microphone, that might be very helpful, too, if you 4 5 feel that it's appropriate. 6 MS. ODOM: Although you might get the hook 7 if the narrative takes too long. 8 MR. TWIST: Yeah. 9 MS. ODOM: So just with love. 10 MR. TWIST: Yeah. MS. CAMPOS: Okay. In my case, there was 11 12 a guy that had given the guy that killed my son the gun. I didn't know anything about him being arrested 13 or anything until the week before he was to go into 14 15 court and get his sentence, and I found that out just 16 by accident. So I was not notified. And they told me 17 since it was a juvenile case that I could not go to 18 the courtroom is what my detective told me. Of 19 course, I called around and found out I could. 20 MS. ODOM: Anyone else on this side while I'm over here? Okay. I see a hand. Pass it down, 21 22 please. Thank you. 23 MR. MARINO: My name is Charles. You know, in our case, if Diane didn't stay on the phone 24 24 hours a day with these prosecutors and lawyers, we 25

1 probably wouldn't have known anything that happened.

MS. ODOM: Would you stand up, sir? MR. MARINO: We probably wouldn't have had any idea of what was going on, but she stayed on the phone, you know, 24 hours a day finding out what happened and when the next court date was coming up and all this stuff.

8 And on the fourth question there on 9 delays, you know, the appeal system, again, there were 10 so many delays in the appeal system in our case, it 11 was just unreal.

MS. ODOM: Thank you. Okay. And I know
this side of the room. Okay. Now, I'm back here.
Ruth.

MS. MARIN-EASON: Me being the mother of 15 Raul, I was not notified because he was married and 16 17 the State of Texas honors the wife. Even in my heart 18 and I told the detective she was a suspect, but they 19 had to notify her of everything, so I feel I was 20 totally left out by the State of Texas and also the 21 military because she was the wife. So I was not 22 allowed -- I called -- I went to a lot of the murderer 23 himself, and then when she finally got arrested while I was there, they would not allow me in the court, so 24 25 I was not notified. I made a lot of calls. I made a

lot of traveling from here to San Antonio, which I'm
 still -- you know, I made them on my choice, so I was
 not reimbursed because it was my choice to be there,
 so...

5 MS. ODOM: Thank you. Anyone else in the 6 front row here, in this front circle? Okay. Hold on. 7 Let me get to John.

8 MS. HARDIN: My name is Carolyn Hardin,9 and-

10 MR. GILLIS: One moment, please. I just 11 wanted to finish up something. Your not being notified, you mentioned something about in between 12 cities. Was it expensive for you going back and 13 14 forth, or how far was it that you had to travel? 15 MS. MARIN-EASON: From here to San Antonio 16 is three hours each way, so it's six hours. 17 MR. GILLIS: So they didn't notify you? 18 MS. MARIN-EASON: They would not notify me. When I found out the murderer was going to be in 19 20 court, I would travel up there to be there, but 21 because they didn't notify me or I was -- You know, 22 they have not reimbursed me nothing at all for that 23 traveling that I did on my own because I was not 24 subpoenaed.

25

MR. WILLIS: Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry,

1 Carolyn. I just wanted to finish that up.

2 MS. HARDIN: Well, I guess mine's a very -- I was -- I mean, I wasn't notified. A lot of 3 4 this has to do with the D.A. that you get, the 5 prosecutor. I was very lucky in one situation. I had 6 a great D.A., and she would call two or three days 7 before the date and call me and tell me. She wanted us at every proceeding. The only proceeding that I 8 9 didn't go to was his first bond, and that was like 10 four days after the death of my son, so I couldn't have managed that either. 11 12 The answer to number four, I think 13 probably in this room I'm the quickest case that I 14 know of. My son was murdered in April, and we went to 15 trial in July. I wish now it had been a little bit 16 longer. I would have been stronger. 17 And, also, now, I mean, I had great response for them, but now being in the probation 18 19 department, to know what's going on. I'm not -- By 20 law it has to be notified whether he's doing his 21 conditions, what he's supposed to be doing, and all of 22 this. So it goes further than just the court 23 proceedings because now I don't know what's going on,

24 and I call and I call, and I just don't get any

25 answers.

149

MS. ODOM: Jeannie, did you have something 1 you wanted to say? No. Then I'm going to steal that 2 back from you. And, Diane, I see you. You little 3 4 dickens. Stand by. I think Madonna wants to say something. We're going to let it go down this row, 5 and then I'll come right to you. Okay. Thank you. 6 7 MS. PITRUCHA: My name's Madonna, and we were never informed about a bond hearing or anything. 8 We were called by the sheriff's department, about 30 9 10 or 35 of us in the family total, both families, to be to Fort Bend County Sheriff's Department at eight 11 12 o'clock one night, that it was urgent that we all be there. So the whole family, everybody got together, 13 including friends, all were called to the Sheriff's 14 Department, and we're standing around there like, 15 16 "What's going on? What happened?" I mean, were we lucky enough this guy killed himself or something? 17 Well, come to find out they wanted to put us all in 18 19 one room while they let him out the back door. And so 20 needless to say, we didn't get home until around 21 midnight, 12:30, and everybody had to go to work the 22 next day.

All right. Then it started. We had 28
resets over four and a half years it took to get to
trial. As far as the bond reduction thing, we were

never notified of that. We were told to have our 1 daughter out of state, to hide her, which we did that. 2 Nobody reimbursed us on that. And the guy -- They had 3 4 promised us he would have to pay a \$10,000 fine. The 5 judge declined it at the end. He didn't have to pay anything. And so, you know, it's not fair when 6 7 there's not notification. We were in Boston, Mass, and sometime we would have less than 24-hour notice. 8 9 I would have to get round-trip airline tickets, have 10 people bumped off of the plane, the police department up there would help us, to be able to come back. So, 11 12 you see, not all notification is the time allowed it 13 should be.

14

MS. ODOM: Patsy.

15 MS. TEER: I'm Pat Teer. I had a great 16 prosecutor in my second trial. There were two trials 17 12 years apart, and I thought he was so good I wanted 18 to talk about him, what he did. The defense wanted to 19 put me under "The Rule," and there were a lot of 20 anti-death penalty people there, the ACLU and all of 21 the other organizations on his side, and David Weeks, 22 who is now District Attorney up in Walker County, was 23 my prosecutor. And he told them, he went up to the judge when they wanted to put me under "The Rule," and 24 he said -- He had a legal pad with him and he said, 25

I "If you put her under 'The Rule,'" he said, "I'm going to take this legal pad out there, and I'm going to put everyone in the courtroom on this legal pad, and I'll call them all because if she doesn't sit there, nobody sits there." And they didn't put me under "The Rule".

So I did want to say that. There's one 6 7 other thing. May I bring it up? One of the -- A 8 recent trial where these two young men killed their 9 father and a family friend was also being tried for the same murder and none of the jurors knew that this 10 was going on, I know in my trial every time the facts 11 started coming in, they'd take the jury out, and they 12 never heard any of the good things. And I think it's 13 so important, the people that want to get legislative 14 issues changed, is to have a fully-informed jury, and 15 16 we don't know what's going on, because that jury was mad, and if I had to make a choice on a jury, I would 17 want to know the facts. Thank you. 18

19 MS. ODOM: Thank you so much, Pat. To 20 Irma.

21 MS. CHAVEZ: I'm Irma Chavez, and we sat 22 through two capital murder trials, and I did victim 23 impact statements on both trials. I was allowed to 24 sit in for the first trial from day one to the end, 25 and the attorneys for the second trial were there also

throughout that trial, but they did not allow me to 1 2 sit in through the second trial, but I sat right outside the door every day. And one time they were 3 even conversing about the trial outside, and it was 4 not my fault, they were speaking loudly where they 5 could be heard because they forced me to sit out 6 7 there, and it was because they were planning to make this young man resemble my son, dress him up in a coat 8 and tie, and I agree with what she said, that they 9 should be seen for what they really are. They dress 10 11 them up for the trial, make them look like very law 12 observing citizens and carry Bibles and take notes and do whatever, and that's not what they really are. 13

And also, we sat day after day during jury 14 selection. We weren't -- My husband requested a list 15 of the jurors, just as the criminal had a list of 16 17 every juror that filled out an application. They went 18 over and asked him, "Do you accept this juror?" And 19 he would say yes or no, and we were told we can sit 20 there, but we cannot have any part -- make no comments 21 whatsoever.

22 MS. ODOM: Thank you. Tony, did you want 23 to comment as well?

24 MR. CHAVEZ: Please.

25 MS. ODOM: Please.

MR. CHAVEZ: As far as the expressions 1 that my wife made, obviously we were both in a 2 3 situation that was extreme sensitive for both of us. 4 During the due process, all notifications, for 5 example, I attended every proceeding that was open to me. My wife was not physically and emotionally strong 6 7 enough to handle those situations. Even though the prosecutors would notify me in writing through the 8 9 D.A.'s office, they would, in fact, call us and inform me that there was going to be a hearing, but I really 10 11 didn't have to be there. My expressions to them on the phone, and also personally on a one-to-one basis, 12 13 was that I respected their viewpoints, but that I asked that they respect my emotions and my feelings 14 and that I was requesting that they notify me of every 15 16 set trial date regardless of how significant it may be 17 or how insignificant it may be in their mind, that in 18 my mind it was most significant. So subsequent to 19 that, we received notifications, and I have never 20 missed a trial date, a motion date, a hearing date. 21 I've always been present.

22 Were we allowed to be present? Obviously, 23 yes. We were never excluded after we had our little 24 meetings of discussions and what my priorities and our 25 family priorities were and to be certain that they

1 understood how we felt as a family.

2 MR. TWIST: Mr. Chavez, I think I heard Mrs. Chavez say she was excluded from the second 3 4 trial. Is that correct? Were you also? 5 MR. CHAVEZ: No, sir. During that period 6 of time, there was a lot of negotiation going on 7 between the defense attorneys and the prosecutors because it was uncertain at that particular time who 8 9 was going to conduct the impact statement, and I guess 10 it may have been a procedure to try to confuse the defense as to who would be excluded and who would not 11 12 be excluded. So it wasn't disclosed to the -- during the trials until almost the twelfth hour as to who was 13 going to conduct and perform the impact statement. 14 15 As far as the first trial that was --16 MS. ODOM: I'm not clear on that response, though, Tony. So are you saying that you were allowed 17 to be in, but your wife was not? 18 19 MR. CHAVEZ: She was not allowed during 20 the second trial, yes. 21 MS. ODOM: The second trial. But you were 22 allowed in because the assumption was that you'd be 23 able to make the victim impact statement? 24 MR. CHAVEZ: Well, initially, in the first trial, it was undecided who was going to make the 25

impact statement. However, when "The Rule" was being invoked or when there were certain aspects of "The Rule" that were being discussed between the defense and the prosecution, they apparently reached an agreement that they would not invoke the rule of exclusion, either one of us, or the defendant's parents and family members.

8

MS. ODOM: For the first trial.

9 MR. CHAVEZ: For the first trial. And 10 then in the second trial it was a little bit more intense because of the input that the defense 11 attorneys already had when they were present during 12 the first trial. The defense attorneys on the second 13 trial were different attorneys, so they had a 14 different strategy at that particular point. So they 15 16 invoked "The Rule" to exclude whomever was going to make the impact statement at that time. So my wife 17 was excluded and the mother of the defendant was 18 19 excluded as well, but I was allowed to remain during 20 both trials is what took place.

Now, at the hearing, for example, on the third point, I was informed that I was not allowed at that particular time to make any expressions. I couldbe there, but I could not express myself during the time that the defense and the prosecution were making

their plea as to what the bail would be at that 1 2 particular time. And, of course, I wanted to have some input, but I was informed that I could not. 3 4 And then as far as delays are concerned, 5 the only delays that we have had has been that, that perhaps may or may not be a delay, is the fact that 6 7 the first defendant, who was convicted of capital murder and sentenced to death, we've not been 8 9 informed, and I've asked, but it's always been something that's been just a very general expression 10 11 and response is that as far as the establishing of the date of execution, it's still in the open. So we're 12 13 going on five years, and as I mentioned to you before, 14 two appeals hearings, are anticipating a third, but 15 still have not had any idea what the next actual step 16 is going to be until you educated us a little bit as 17 far as what the appeals process would be on the 18 other ---

MR. TWIST: Let me just make the observation based upon what you said about "The Rule" being invoked. Go back to the Texas Constitution again. It talks about you have a right to be present at all public court proceedings unless the victim is to testify, and it is not necessarily the case that -in fact, it should not be the case that a victim's

impact statement is testimony before the court. So, 2 2 again, this is an example of how the real world in 3 practice doesn't honor even the language that's in the 4 Texas Constitution because you're making an impact 5 statement, which is a right, ought to be a right. It 6 shouldn't be testimony. It should be just your 7 statement just like the defendant makes a statement, the prosecution makes a statement. So it's another 8 9 example of how there needs to be sort of the baseline 10 in the whole country.

MS. ODOM: Thank you. And I know Diane had a point, and I'm going to go back and let you make a point as well. But I have not forgotten that side of the room, the back row. Give a little cheer. Let me hear you there. Yea! All right. I haven't forgotten you. I'm a comin'. So here we go. Diane. Bless your heart, Diane.

18 MS. CLEMENTS: Yes, ma'am, I will stand. 19 Dare we say no to her. No. My question is really an 20 observation on how do we define or how will we define 21 are we allowed to speak. For instance, plea bargain 22 was agreed to in the juvenile division for the boy 23 that shot my son, and the prosecutor called me and 24 said, you know, "We've agreed to a one-year probation, 25 and we hope you agree to that also because that's what

1 we're going to do." So was I allowed to speak? I
2 don't think so.

MR. TWIST: No.

3

MS. CLEMENTS: But in conversations with prosecutors, if we have this in a perfect world, is that speaking, or should we all -- it should all be an open court proceeding where we actually have a voice and we stand up and say that.

MR. TWIST: If you look at -- Exactly. If 9 you look at the language of SJ Res. 35, just again as 10 a matter of information, you see a victim of violent 11 crime shall have the right, and parsing through the 12 words, "reasonably to be heard at public plea 13 proceedings". So what that means is -- The 14 15 conversation that you have with a prosecutor isn't a public proceeding. With the public plea proceeding, 16 17 what that refers to is typically -- Well, in some places it's called the change of plea, some cases it 18 19 might be a second arraignment where the defendant goes in and having earlier pled not guilty to an offense, 20 he then comes in and pleads guilty to typically a 21 22 lesser offense, and that is the plea proceeding where 23 that new plea is entered, the plea of guilty. And 24 then that's not the same as sentencing because 25 typically then after that plea is taken sentencing is

put off for 30 days or 60 days, a pre-sentencing investigation is done, a report is written. So it would actually be to the court to tell the court your views about whether the plea should be accepted by the court and to do that before the final decision is made so that it can have an impact.

7 MS. CLEMENTS: And my second question 8 is --

9 MS. ODOM: Hold that microphone. 10 MS. CLEMENTS: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. My second question is 11 exclusion for court events that you're not really 12 13 excluded from. For instance, jury selection. If you have a large panel and a small courtroom and the judge 14 or the prosecutor says, "I'm sorry. There's not 15 enough room for you in here," if we had a 16 constitutional amendment, would they then be required 17 18 to move to a bigger courtroom? 19 MR. TWIST: Yeah. They would be obligated

not to exclude you from that public proceeding under the language of the amendment. And to answer the question whether that would really work, well, guess who gets to be in the courtroom.

24 MS. CLEMENTS: The defendant.

25 MR. TWIST: Yeah. Exactly. Exactly.

1 Because he has a right.

2 MS. CLEMENTS: So we'd really have bigger 3 courtrooms? I mean, judges would really and 4 prosecutors would be -- They would be mandated --5 MR. TWIST: No. No. 6 MS. CLEMENTS: They'd have to accommodate 7 us? MR. TWIST: No. They'd have to figure out 8 a way for you to be there. First of all, it's always 9 10 possible to fit one more person into a courtroom. MS. CLEMENTS: Well, you and I think that. 11 12 MR. TWIST: And so as a practical matter, 13 I mean, they would just have to do it period. Now, in Alabama, for example, as Janice and I were talking 14 about earlier, in Alabama for I think 20 years victims 15 16 not only have a right to be in the courtroom, they sit 17 at counsel table. They sit at the table with the 18 prosecutor. 19 MS. CLEMENTS: You know, we for the last 20 two legislative sessions tried to have that bill 21 carried. We haven't found a sponsor yet, and I gave a 22 presentation for the defense attorneys training that

is through the judges, Harris County, and I was booed when I offered that as a suggestion. Prosecutors don't support it, just by the way.

MR. MARINO: I just have a question for 1 Tony. Are you out of the state appeals yet? Have you 2 went into the federal appeals yet? 3 MS. ODOM: Oh! Oh! Got to have that 4 5 microphone. There you go. Thank you. MR. CHAVEZ: Back in October, we went to a 6 7 second hearing which was based on a Writ of Habeas Corpus to release the defendant from being 8 incarcerated based on about 37 different issues, one 9 of them being the fact that he was a victim of organic 10 11 brain damage, that he had been hit over the head by a sugar container when he was about ten years old and 12 suffered a couple of stitches on his forehead, so 13 14 subsequently that resulted in he not being held 15 responsible for his actions due to the fact that he 16 suffered organic brain damage. That was a conclusion that was reached by an individual in Austin who has a 17 18 Master's degree in psychology, does not have a Ph.D.,

19 but a Master's, nor is he a doctor, and based on his 20 opinions of the trial case and the history of this 21 young person that that opened up the door for a Writ 22 of Habeas Corpus to be accepted on the second hearing. 23 We went back to court during the hearing. About four 24 or five months later the district judge reached a 25 conclusion and an opinion that rendered all that to be

negated, and, therefore, submitted his report to the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals in Austin who again for a second time affirmed a death penalty. So now we've been informed that the next step is most likely going to be a hearing or an application to the U.S.

6 Supreme Court.

1

2

3

4

5

7 MR. MARINO: I was just wondering about 8 that. In our case, talking about the death date our 9 perpetrator got, it was 15 years before he got his 10 first death date in our case.

11 MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.

12 MS. ODOM: I think Ric has the mike. Now, 13 Sharon, did you have anything -- You know the other thing I just want to be really cognizant of is that in 14 15 Boston, you know, we found, too, that folks with 16 unsolved cases, it's sort of like, you know, "Where do 17 we go from here?" And that's one of the things we're 18 going to be talking about in a little bit. So just 19 want you to hang tough. Please, Ric.

20 MR. NESBIT: In our case, once charges 21 were filed and a D.A. was appointed, we had wonderful 22 representation and --

MS. ODOM: Ric, you handsome devil. Would you mind standing up? My guys are giving me the signal. What can I say? Thank you. I called him a

1 handsome devil. Look at that.

MR. NESBIT: The arrests were made and 2 arraignments happened without our being notified. One 3 of the two perpetrators in our case was a juvenile, 4 and there was -- the first thing that we were invited 5 6 to was a certification hearing, at which time a 7 confession was read out loud in the courtroom, and I was not the least bit prepared to hear the long litany 8 9 of gory details of how my child was murdered.

10 But at the second trial -- There were two perpetrators, both charged with two counts, but there 11 12 was only one trial for each. In the second trial, which was 18 months down the road, it was the younger 13 boy's murder, State of Texas versus the younger boy, 14 who was being tried for the murder of Michael 15 McEachern, not my daughter, because they were both 16 17 charged with two separate murders. At the end of the trial, it's my understanding we had the right of 18 19 allocution, and I was excluded from that process. Brenda was able to speak to the convicted murderer at 20 21 the end of the trial, but our family was excluded from that because the trial was not about the murder of our 22 child, it was just about the murder of her child, 23 although they were murdered together by the same pair 24 with the same gun. So it was a sore spot for me to be 25

1 excluded from that process.

2 MS. ODOM: Thank you, Ric. Judy, did you 3 care to comment? And I know we'll have to have you 4 stand up.

MS. NESBIT: In relation to what he just 5 6 said, I was not aware of what that first hearing was, 7 having been catatonic at that point. I knew there was to be a hearing, and I went to work that day, and Ric 8 9 went to the hearing. I had no idea it was anything 10 more than a certification of this young man to stand trial as an adult, which to me sounded like a rather 11 brief thing. I didn't know that there would be the 12 confession read and his mother taking the stand and 13 talking about what a good boy he was and how he had 14 15 never been in trouble. I would like to have been involved in that, and, of course, I didn't know until 16 after the fact, and then it was too late. 17

But that and we did have a wonderful D.A. 18 19 who was very compassionate and caring and sensitive to 20 our needs, and when we were called in for a meeting with him at one point, he asked me how I felt about 21 22 the death penalty, and after I got up off the floor --23 You know, I could have gone the rest of my life without thinking about that. I had a very different 24 feel for that having just had my child murdered than I 25

would have had in my college freshman days. So the
 educational process has been long and grueling for us,
 but it would have been nice if we had been educated
 before.

5 MR. TWIST: Two quick comments, if I may. 6 First of all, if you look at the amendment language, it says you have a right to reasonable notice of 7 public proceedings, and certainly any understanding of 8 9 the word "reasonable" includes something about the 10 nature of what's going to happen. Obviously, the reason you get notice is so that you can make an 11 informed decision about whether you need to be there 12 or not to exercise your right not to be excluded. And 13 you really can't make an informed decision. Notice 14 isn't reasonable if it doesn't really tell you what's 15 going to happen, what's going to go on. 16

17 The other thing I'd say, some people have 18 made comments that they've had good D.A.'s, some 19 people have had bad D.A.'s. I think the point to be 20 made is that these rights, notice, right to be there, 21 right to be heard, shouldn't be dependent upon the 22 goodwill or the bad will of any particular person in 23 the system. They ought to be in the law, government 24 of laws, so that you're free to exercise them as you 25 choose.

1 MS. ODOM: Beautiful. JoAnn is going to 2 stand up and share with us.

MS. STARKEY: I'm JoAnn Starkey, and I 3 4 would like to respond to those questions up there. My son's murder was May, and we had the trial in 5 September, so it was four months later. I was not 6 7 notified of any hearing at all before the trial, not 8 one thing. I didn't even know at that point that 9 there would be different kinds of hearings. As far as being notified of the trial, I learned the date of the 10 trial from my daughter, who had talked to her dad in 11 12 Illinois. The D.A. had been in touch with him, or he had been in touch with them and had a date. And this 13 was a dad that had been out of Brian's life for 18, 19 14 15 years, and I raised him, but I don't know why they 16 notified that dad, the dad. Probably because he may be maybe called the prosecutor or the detective when 17 18 he got into town wanting the facts.

As far as being present, of course, I was not notified of any proceedings, but the day of the trial I did want to sit in on the jury selection, and my daughter and I and Brian's best friend were there and they -- the judge told us to leave the courtroom because there was not enough seats. And in Dallas, as far as I know, I work with a lot of victims, not one

1 has been allowed to sit in on jury selection.

I was not allowed to speak at the end of the trial. In fact, I was not even told of that right. And because it was four months later, and I didn't have the education that I do now, no one approached me and said I could, and so even now I'm hurt that I did not get to do a victim statement at the end of the trial.

9 There were no long delays, of course, in 10 our trial, it was four months later, but the appeal 11 process just finished up October 1st of last year, 12 2001. He took it all the way over into the federal courts, and it went all the way to the Supreme Court 13 before they said, "No, we will not hear this." But 14 that's seven and a half years later, and that's a 15 really long time for me to be worried about the appeal 16 17 process. Thank you.

18 MR. TWIST: Thank you so much.

MS. ODOM: Thank you, JoAnn. Lola. Okay.Judy.

21 MR. TWIST: Thank you so much, by the way,22 for going through each one much those questions.

MS. NESBIT: I would just like to respond
to an issue she brought up. In our good old boy state
of Texas, most of the D.A.'s are male and interact

seems to be more freely with other males, assuming the 1 female of a -- if there are, in fact, two parents, 2 3 that the female has less strength and emotional 4 stability than a male, and they want to talk to the 5 man of the house about things. That happened a little 6 bit in our case, not so much, but in some of the other 7 folks that I've spoken with, the women were considered rather frail and unavailable, so let me talk to your 8 9 husband, please. So that might account for JoAnn's 10 not being the one to be notified.

11 MS. ODOM: That was an important point that was also made when we were in Oklahoma was also 12 13 the idea that when there has been a divorce in the family, too, that there's not sort of this recognition 14 that there are two parents here. So, Madonna, you 15 16 look like the cat that swallowed the canary, but I'm just going to keep on going. All right. Do you want 17 to speak on this topic? Would you mind standing up 18 19 for me? Thank you. Thank you very much.

20 MS. JURCA: Okay. To number one. No. We 21 were notified by the coroner that my son had been 22 murdered, and after that, unless I called California, 23 and it was on hold forever, no, there was no help. 24 Now, finally -- My son John was murdered 25 March 15th. It was like I guess November of that year

somebody got me in touch with the victim assistance person in Garland, Texas, and that's when I started getting my answers. So that victim -- Of course, I wish I'd have knew about it a lot sooner, but then I did get the answers that I needed.

6 MS. ODOM: Thank you. Anybody over here? 7 All right. Well, is everybody good? Did you want to 8 make a remark? Janice.

9 MS. LORD: I guess just I'd like to ask a 10 question of you all again. You know, Texas is the 11 only state where victim impact statements by statutes 12 are after the sentencing rather than during the 13 sentencing hearing, and yet some judges are now 14 allowing the victim impact statement to actually be given during the sentencing phase. How many of you 15 16 were able to give your victim impact statement before 17 the sentence was pronounced? Anybody at all? 18 MS. ODOM: I see two hands. MS. LORD: Okay. How many of you gave an 19 20 oral one after the sentence was determined? 21 MS. ODOM: So the majority. 22 MS. LORD: Okay. All right. 23 MS. ODOM: Thank you. You all are so 24 amazing that two things are going to happen. First,

25 cake and soft drinks have appeared in the back, and

1 it's all for you, and then you're so amazing I'm going 2 to give you a break until quarter of 3:00. That's 20 3 minutes, people.

UNKNOWN FEMALE SPEAKER: Steve, are you 4 going to continue this after the break or is this --5 6 Because there are some things I was interested in 7 hearing the reasons that this hasn't been moving forward. So I just wanted to know if that's --8 9 MS. ODOM: I would defer to Mr. Gillis. 10 He's giving me a nod saying that's okay to talk about those things? 11 12 MR. TWIST: After the break. MS. ODOM: After the break. 13 MR. TWIST: Okay. Because I've got one 14 15 other thing I want to say. 16 MS. ODOM: You little dickens. All right. And so -- But then since there's less time, see, now 17 we'll take time away. That's it. So 20 minutes of. 18 20 minutes of. Okay. 20 minutes of. 19 20 (Brief recess.) 21 MS. ODOM: Okay. Take it away, Steve. MR. TWIST: If I may, I misspoke. I said 22 I wanted to say one more thing. I actually want to 23 say two more things. 24 25 First of all, a word about another

exciting report from the field that may be of some 1 relevance or benefit for you. If you think about 2 defendants' rights for a second, they're grounded in 3 the U.S. Constitution. In fact, they're established 4 5 mostly by amendments to the U.S. Constitution. 6 Ironic, therefore, that there are opponents of ours 7 who say that it's inappropriate to amend the 8 Constitution to address rights in the criminal justice system since all of the rights for defendants are 9 addressed by amendments to the Constitution. 10

11 But if you think about those rights, and 12 if we're honest with ourselves, for a lot of the history of our country, if you think about from the 13 14 beginning of when the Bill of Rights was ratified, 15 they were words on paper just like we're seeing 16 victims' rights be words on paper in too many cases. 17 And what was it with regard to defendants that moved 18 the pendulum from this being words on paper to actually controlling how the justice system operates? 19 20 And I say this absolutely acknowledging the shame that 21 I often feel about how my profession, the legal 22 profession, has undermined justice in a mega sense in 23 the criminal justice system. But if you think about 24 what moved that pendulum from words on paper to really 25 affecting how the justice system operates, it was

lawyers who took cases for defendants who came up with
 theories to interpret those amendments, who brought
 cases before judges, who made decisions that changed
 the system.

We're in the same situation. What will 5 bring to life our words on paper, the victims' rights 6 7 that now exist on paper or will when the U.S. 8 Constitution is amended, will be lawyers who bring 9 cases before judges who will render decisions that will give life to those words. And one of the big 10 failings in the justice system from the victims' 11 12 rights movement standpoint has been too few lawyers who have come forward to be those champions for 13 victims who now have no voice, for victims who have no 14 15 representation. Earlier someone said we need, in 16 fact, I think it was Miss Sager who said victim ad litem program, lawyers, advocates for victims. And if 17 you think about the system we have now, mostly driven 18 by federal funding, every -- virtually every 19 20 prosecutor's office in America has a victim/witness advocate. There are private nonprofit programs that 21 22 have victim advocates. And those advocates are people 23 who provide notice, they inform about the system, they 24 go to court often and be a supportive presence for the 25 victim, but they always sit in the back of the

4 courtroom with the victim. They cannot go beyond the 2 bar and stand in the well of the courtroom and argue to enforce victims' rights, and that's what we need. 3 We need a transition, really, that takes 4 5 victim advocacy to a different level, and that 6 different level without undermining what goes on, 7 because what goes on is very important, the supportive 8 advocacy that we have, but we need to add to that now, and that advocacy has to be legal, hard-edged, no 9 nonsense, fighting in the courtroom for the rights 10 that have been established either by votes of the 11 people or votes in the legislature. 12

And that's what we're trying to do now 13 with the support of the administration. We have 14 15 funded actually through an appropriation from Congress 16 a few years ago the National Crime Victim Law 17 Institute. It's at Lewis & Clark College in Portland, Oregon. The director of it is Professor Doug Beloof. 18 19 Professor Beloof has written the only case book in the 20 country on victims' rights law, and it's the goal and 21 dream of the Institute to provide the legal research 22 and educational support so that lawyers all over the 23 country can be trained in how to be legal advocates 24 for crime victims so that we'll find lawyers all over 25 the country who will volunteer their time. Every

state has encouragements for lawyers to take on pro 1 bono or for free activity, legal representation on 2 3 behalf of crime victims. And as an example of one of the programs that can be started, in Arizona, at the 4 law school at Arizona State University, we started a 5 free legal clinic for crime victims, and we have 6 gotten volunteer lawyers in the community, law 7 students -- It's the most popular law student 8 volunteer program at the law school. 50 people this 9 year, 50 law students have signed up for it. We have 10 50 lawyers in Phoenix who have volunteered to 11 participate in this project. And with a modest VOCA 12 grant, enough to hire one full-time lawyer at a modest 13 salary and a half-time lawyer by contract, not an 14 employee, but a contract person, we have a program 15 that's up and running now for a little less than a 16 17 year, and we are actually litigating cases in court in 18 criminal cases advocating on behalf of victims. So there's the prosecutor, there's the defense attorney, 19 20 and there's the victim's lawyer in the courtroom at every proceeding where the victim has a right to be 21 heard. And I will tell you, it is making a big 22 23 difference.

So the idea here is for the Victim -National Crime Victim Law Institute to kind of be the

supportive, educational, nurturing mother ship that 1 2 provides resources and research and analysis to local programs, and then we take that and apply it to our 3 own state law, and the Office for Victims of Crime is 4 very interested in trying to replicate this idea 5 around the country. It doesn't necessarily have to be 6 7 law school based. It can be a private nonprofit 8 program.

9 Jeannie mentioned that Senator Kyl and I started Arizona Voice for Crime Victims, which is our 10 state kind of umbrella victims' rights organization. 11 Just so happened that we started our program in 12 partnership with ASU Law School. So those two 13 entities, Arizona Voice for Crime Victims and the ASU 14 Law School, partnered together to apply for a VOCA 15 grant, but the partnership didn't have to be there. I 16 17 mean, AVCV could have done it on its own, the law 18 school could have done it on its own. It's nice to have that collaboration. But it's very successful, 19 and I will tell you we've done no advertising. It's 20 all word of mouth. But we're getting 60 new cases a 21 22 month that come in. They come in from the prosecutor's office because the prosecutor is seeing a 23 real benefit to having this advocacy. They come in 24 25 from domestic violence shelters, rape crisis centers.

Mothers Against Drunk Driving sends us cases. I mean, 1 you name it. And the programs who provide nurturing 2 counseling services also see the need for 3 representation, and that's what the Crime Victim Law 4 5 Institute is trying to do now. Excuse me. I passed 6 out Doug's phone number and e-mail and my numbers and 7 e-mails, and I would encourage you even, you know, if 8 you want to know more about this, if you think that 9 maybe there might be an interest in your area for a program like this, or even without that, if you just 10 11 have a case, if you know of someone who you think 12 needs a lawyer, we'll try to -- we'll try to work 13 through the institute and find a person and get them 14 trained and do what they have to do. So there's no 15 way that you won't be able to contact us with this 16 information. I encourage you to do so. 17 MS. ODOM: Did everyone get one of those, 18 Steve? Everybody's got that information? 19 MR. TWIST: I trust. 20 MS. ODOM: Everyone has that? Okay. 21 Great. 22 UNKNOWN FEMALE SPEAKER: Is that going to 23 work in Texas since basically victims don't have the

25 MR. TWIST: Well, there's no

right to be heard? How would that work in Texas?

1 constitutional right to be heard. There's a statutory
2 right to be heard at --

UNKNOWN FEMALE SPEAKER: After sentencing. 3 MR. TWIST: -- least after sentencing. 4 But one of the things -- I'll give you an example. 5 Let's just take a look at Texas, because it says you 6 7 have a right to be treated with fairness throughout 8 the criminal justice process. Well, one argument 9 about what that means -- Think about defendants' 10 rights. You have a right to due process. Well, what does that mean? It was cases going to court that kind 11 12 of filled in the meaning of that phrase. Well, 13 "fairness throughout the criminal justice process" is kind of a phrase like "due process," and it will take 14 some lawyers who go to court, and I would stand up in 15 court in Texas, and I'd say, "Your Honor, fairness 16 throughout the criminal justice process is denied 17 unless the victim's given a right to be present right 18 19 now and to speak on this important subject. There's 20 no fairness without that right."

21 So, I mean, it's just a matter of kind of 22 thinking creatively, looking at all the statutes, 23 looking at the Constitutional Amendment and trying to 24 produce some things, and maybe that's already been 25 decided. You know, I'm just looking at the black

1 letter of the words and beginning to think creatively about what we might do even before the U.S. 2 Constitutional Amendment passes to begin to litigate, 3 to create a different culture. I think Diane is 4 desperate and Andy is desperate, so --5 MS. ODOM: Okay. Well, sadly, I saw 6 7 Andy's desperation first. Here we go. 8 MR. KAHAN: I got the mike. The analogy. 9 Steve, in support of a U.S. Constitutional Amendment that I use is the Miranda warnings, and everyone 10

probably is familiar with a Miranda warning that a 11 defendant gets when he's pulled over for probable 12 13 cause. They're given their rights to remain silent. So what happens to a case if a defendant is not given 14 15 his Miranda warning? What happens to the case? 16 Thrown out. Now, what happens to a victim if he or 17 she is not apprised of his or her rights? What happens to you as a victim? You might get an "I'm 18 19 sorry". Defendants' rights, and rightfully so, are 20 protected and codified in the U.S. Constitution, and the same analogy should be given to victims' rights 21 22 using that same analogy.

MS. ODOM: Excellent.
MR. TWIST: By the way, on the point of
Miranda rights, I have a new statute for somebody to

take to a legislature somewhere, and that's to -- Now 1 that we know that the U.S. Supreme Court is going to 2 3 absolutely require us to do Miranda, I think the legislature ought to write a new Miranda that the 4 5 first paragraph of it is the existing one and the second paragraph is the officer saying, "But I also 6 want to remind you that you have a right to confess 7 right now, get it off your chest. You have a 8 9 right" -- Well, you get the point. MS. ODOM: Diane, would you be kind enough 10 11 to stand up? Thank you. MS. CLEMENTS: Yes, ma'am. My question 12 is through the Institute and when you have criminal 13 lawyers taking these cases, are you advocating in the 14 trial court? 15 16 MR. TWIST: Be careful how you say that 17 "criminal lawyers"; will you, Diane? MS. CLEMENTS: Well, I'm looking. 18 19 Criminal cases/lawyers. 20 MR. TWIST: Okay. 21 MS. CLEMENTS: When you have lawyers who are advocating for these victims, are they doing that 22 23 in the courtroom at the trial level, or is this a civil proceeding that's outside of the --24 25 MR. TWIST: It's victims' rights in the

criminal case in the criminal courtroom. 1 2 MS. CLEMENTS: Okay. MR. TWIST: And it's at pretrial 3 proceedings. I mean, think about all the rights where 4 the defendant -- or the victim has a right, right to 5 notice, right to be present. So if there's an attempt 6 to exclude the victim, the lawyer is there saying, 7 8 "The law prohibits this." 9 MS. CLEMENTS: So within our Texas State 10 Constitution, if there's an attempt to exclude a 11 victim, we could theoretically call you --12 MR. TWIST: Yes. 13 MS. CLEMENTS: -- or the Institute or some creative lawyer and say, "We really need you down here 14 because we're not given the right that is 15 constitutionally provided to us"? 16 MR. TWIST: Right. For example, I mean, 17 18 the argument -- The argument would have been even 19 before this amendment that you've just talked about earlier to the law, to the rule --20 MS. CLEMENTS: Yes. 21 22 MR. TWIST: If you have a right to be treated with fairness throughout the process and you 23 have a right to be present unless you testify, and 24 then only if it would materially affect -- if your 25
testimony would be materially affected, well, reading those two things together, every victim from 1989 forward should have had a right to a hearing on whether or not the testimony would be materially affected before there could be a decision to kick them out.

MS. CLEMENTS: Exactly. But, you know, 7 Steve, and we talked about the real world and the 8 world on paper. The real world is -- I mean, this is 9 a brave new world, and you have victims who may not 10 11 feel comfortable doing that because they are relying 12 on the goodwill of their prosecutor. And the 13 prosecutor's, you know, "If you bring anybody in here, you're just going to cause the judge to be" --14 15 MR. TWIST: Right.

MS. CLEMENTS: You know -- And so, you
know, it just takes brave people.

18 MR. TWIST: It's a matter of some 19 education. I mentioned -- I mean, most of the cases 20 that we get in our project are from the prosecutor because the prosecutor sees the benefit of having the 21 22 victim's lawyer sometimes advocate for a position 23 enforcing a victim's right that the prosecutor doesn't 24 have to then because the prosecutor is saying, "I 25 don't want to tick off the judge. I'll let this

person over here do it." And so there's that dynamic 1 2 at work that has proven to be very beneficial for 3 both. And so a lot of cases we get come from the 4 prosecutor's office. If I may, one last thing. 5 MS. ODOM: One last. MR. TWIST: Okay. I did this. I passed 6 7 this out. So now everybody has one of these in front of them if you haven't used them all. 8 9 MS. ODOM: What is it, Steve? A notepad. Okay. Okay. Notepad. 10 11 MR. TWIST: I mean, you've gotten ways to communicate with us. If you're willing -- And I 12 13 absolutely respect everyone's right to privacy, and I'm not -- You know, I'm not at all wanting to 14 infringe on that, but only if you make the choice, I 15 16 would ask you to write down those things for me on one 17 of these pieces of paper and give that to me before 18 you leave. MS. ODOM: Understand that he is not privy 19 20 to the data that you gave to the Department of 21 Justice, the Office for Victims of Crime. You see 22 what we're saying? So if you elect to have Steve get

23 that information, you need to fill that out for him.

24 Carolyn, question?

25

MS. HARDIN: Can we just give him one of

1 our cards?

2 MS. ODOM: Can you take a business card? 3 MR. TWIST: Sure, sure, sure, sure. Yeah. MS. ODOM: You have a POMC Friendship 4 Card. These are kind of cool. 5 MR. TWIST: Perfect. Yeah. Friendship 6 7 Card is perfect. MS. ODOM: Well, all right. Pass them 8 around, people. 9 MR. TWIST: Yeah. 10 11 MS. ODOM: Okay. Steve, any other --MR. TWIST: Are there any other 12 questions --13 MS. ODOM: Any other points? 14 MR. TWIST: -- for me? 15 MS. ODOM: For Steve. 16 17 MR. TWIST: Well, again, I want to thank you all for letting me be a part of the conference 18 19 today. I really appreciate it. 20 MS. ODOM: Yea, Steve! So you can either fill out the Friendship Cards, folks, that are coming 21 around or you could hand him your business cards if 22 you so choose since everything is optional. Okay. 23 So, Jen, bless your heart, as if we are --24 25 it's like the Vulcan mind melt, as if we are of one

mind, Jen is putting up on the board some of the 1 things that have been discussed, and we want to just 2 make sure that we've truly addressed all of the ideas 3 and concerns that you might have here today, and I 4 realize that literacy is high in the room, but I'm 5 6 just going to go down them just so that we can be sure 7 that we're all clear, and then if you'd all sit still, use your little notepads. If as we're going down 8 9 there's something that you want to expand upon or 10 something that we didn't address, we can do so. So accountability is the first one. 11 The need for training of law enforcement. Improvement of 12 attitudes of law enforcement, and that is vis-a-vis 13 crime victims. Death notification training. Victims 14 15 need support from other crime victims. The 16 recognition that really people get so much benefit --I know David and Meg spoke about their parents going 17 to the POMC meeting and how important that was. 18 19 Victim advocates probably having more training and more direct contact to get better information. 20

21 Victims should have more of a voice in the system.
22 Really, the recognition that the corrections
23 department is ineffective or problematic. The need
24 for a National Victims' Rights Amendment. More
25 training for judges and district attorneys regarding

victims' issues. Truth in sentencing. Tougher crime 1 laws and sentences. That the parole system needs 2 addressing. The issue of plea bargains. I know 3 that's come up in other roundtables, very much so, 4 5 people's frustration around the issue of plea bargaining, particularly in homicide cases. That the 6 7 court system needs to be more expedient. Unsolved cases need special attention. And this is where I 8 9 would like to have a chance -- I believe is Sharon the only one here today who has an unsolved case? Am I 10 correct in that? Or you also have -- Two unsolved 11 cases. Okay. And I think that we've identified 12 13 previously that there is special attention because what we found, particularly when we were in Boston, 14 was that those with unsolved cases were basically 15 falling through the cracks of the system in that they 16 17 were getting absolutely no services, no attention, no meaningful support. So I want to make sure we spend a 18 19 little time talking about that. Criminal justice 20 professionals need to communicate better with victims. 21 Victims need to have their rights explained to them. 22 And, again, one of the things that we've been told 23 over and over is that also things need to be explained not in legalese, but in a way that people understand, 24 just lay people, that you shouldn't have to have, you 25

1 know, a law degree to understand some basic

2 information. Surviving siblings and secondary victims need special help. All violent crime victims should 3 have a victim advocate. Counseling should be made 4 5 available to victims. The workplace should offer more 6 time off for victims to attend court proceedings. 7 This should be required by employers similar to 8 receiving time off for jury duty. And then crimes 9 committed out of state need special consideration.

So I'd like to go back to the issue of 10 unsolved cases if you wouldn't -- because I feel like, 11 12 you know, it's three o'clock. We've got about another 13 hour. I just want to make sure that the folks with unsolved cases get an opportunity to -- if there's 14 anything additionally that would be helpful for folks 15 16 to know about serving those with unsolved cases. 17 Sharon, I see you. I'm coming with the green mike for 18 Sharon.

MS. WENZE: Do I need to stand up? MS. ODOM: You know that song "Stand Up and Be a Witness"? Uh-oh. He's telling me stand up. Please. Bless your heart.

MS. WENZE: And I'm Sharon, and this is very difficult for me to talk about sometimes. I just feel like I was treated so unfairly because there was

1 information out there that could have been brought 2 forth. And I did not have a good relationship with 3 law enforcement. There's a group now in Fort Worth. I think it's called Citizens of Unsolved Crimes. It's 4 just being started. There is over 700 unsolved cases 5 6 in Fort Worth, Texas, in the last 20 years, and 7 they're trying to get a cold case unit going, and the 8 chief -- They don't see a need for it. And these 9 criminals are just walking the streets. They've 10 committed crimes, and they've committed more crimes, 11 and they are just walking the streets killing -- just constantly killing our loved ones, and something needs 12 13 to be done about it.

14 And my son didn't mean anything to the law 15 enforcement. He was just a number to them. And the 16 very first time I ever spoke with him was the day 17 after I buried my son, which was -- I buried Roger the 18 day before Mother's Day, and his first words to me was he don't think anything is ever going to come out of 19 20 this case. He had his mind made up from the very 21 beginning that -- You know, he just had his mind made 22 up that, you know, maybe he wasn't going to push it to 23 the end. It wasn't a high profile case. But something needs to be done. 24 25 MR. TWIST: If I may, I'll -- just a brief

statement and I'll defer to Andy or Diane or anybody 1 else in the room who may know about Texas, but in 2 3 Virginia now, for example, they take a DNA swab for every arrested -- every person arrested for a felony. 4 In some states, in my state now at least there's DNA 5 6 testing for every person convicted of a felony. And 7 these DNA programs have begun to prove extremely 8 successful in solving unsolved cases. It's not 9 helpful in every case, of course, where DNA is not an 10 issue, but what is the law in Texas on testing of 11 arrestees or convicted offenders?

12 MS. CLEMENTS: There's A pilot program 13 that was implemented last legislative session, but the 14 problem that we see and that everybody else has is that the databank is -- we have more untested DNA 15 16 samples than -- I mean, we could catch them if we 17 would test the DNA samples that we have. And also in 18 the State of Texas they take DNA from those convicted 19 of certain crimes when they come out of TDCJ, when 20 they are paroled out. So we have a databank, but we 21 don't have tested samples.

MR. TWIST: But not all -- not all
arrestees or not even all convicted felons -MS. CLEMENTS: No. No. No.
MR. TWIST: If I could defer to Mr. Gillis

1 for a second. I believe that the federal government 2 has a project now that is trying to -- the 3 administration has a project it's trying to place more 4 emphasis on this.

5 MR. GILLIS: They do. They have a --6 They're trying to fund -- well, trying to get funded 7 some of the backlog of DNA testing across the country, 8 and there is a special program that's going. I don't 9 know how far along they are on that, but I know it is 10 being considered and there is some funding available.

11 The other thing I wanted to ask, I thought 12 Texas had just recently enacted legislation, the cold 13 case legislation through the Texas Rangers. Your case 14 would not fit under that?

MS. WENZE: I contacted the Texas Rangers 15 16 the first of this year. They were supposed to have 17 gotten back with me. I've called since then. I have 18 not heard anything from the Texas Rangers, the F.B.I. 19 It all has to come -- get approval. It all has to get 20 approval from the lead detective. The lead detective 21 would not let loose of my son's files to anyone else. You know, he does not want to share any information. 22 He says he knows all the answers, and, you know, I 23 don't believe that, you know, I don't think he has all 24 25 the answers.

MR. GILLIS: Maybe I could chat briefly 1 with you after this is over about your particular case 2 to see what's different about it and why they are not 3 4 accepting it as one of the cold case files. How long 5 ago did this occur? 6 MS. WENZE: Five years. 7 MR. GILLIS: Okay. MS. ODOM: Okay. I've got -- Janice wants 8 to make a comment and then Steve. 9 10 MS. SAGER: One of the problems with the cold case squad that we have now from my experience 11 12 has been that they are not going to come into a jurisdiction unless they have approval of the 13 controlling jurisdiction. That's -- And I think she's 14 going to always have a problem until the state is 15 willing to step outside that gentlemen line there and 16 come in and say, "We're going to look at this case." 17 MS. ODOM: I'm going to let Steve respond. 18 19 MR. TWIST: Well, this is -- The comment I'm about to make kind of is a take-off on that, a 20 different solution, and it addresses exactly the 21 22 situation that David and Meg find themselves in and 23 their family. A very brief history. It was true --24 Remember I said at the beginning of the country 25

1 victims were private prosecutors, they initiated investigations and prosecutions, and literally the 2 whole community rose up, the hue and cry, but part of 3 that tradition still remains in some states' laws that 4 5 allow private citizen access to the state grand jury 6 or the local grand juries. And, for example, in West 7 Virginia, a victim of crime has as a matter of 8 constitutional law the right to present a case to a 9 grand jury whether the prosecutor does or not. And it 10 might be something to begin to think about. It's a very radical idea for early 21st Century America, but 11 12 not so radical if you think about it in the historical context of the country, that the State of Texas or any 13 state could pass a law that opened up a little bit 14 more, a little bit wider the doors of access to the 15 charging body that's the grand jury. And I can't tell 16 you how it disciplines -- in those places where this 17 law is allowed, how the knowledge that a victim could 18 19 go to a grand jury to ask for an investigation kind of 20 disciplines the police and the prosecution to maybe pay a little more attention when they don't think they 21 22 have monopoly power. You know, whether you have a 23 monopoly in the government or a monopoly in the 24 private system, the thing that monopolists hate are 25 competition, and if there's this other private access,

it might be an interesting discussion to begin to
 have, and I throw that out.

3 MS. CLEMENTS: Oh, I'm sorry, Janice. Go ahead. Yeah. That's exactly what we did in 1991 when 4 5 my son was shot and killed, went to the grand jury 6 because we wanted to have a state law that said gun 7 owners are responsible for their weapons, and they 8 said, "Oh, you know, we don't need that. We can already do that under the negligent homicide statute." 9 So I went to the grand jury and presented the 10 11 information, and he was not indicted, but that, of 12 course, motivated the entire process. So, yeah.

13 And right now we're working on a case of -- it's a nanny cam, and the nanny's obviously seen 14 15 slinging this baby and throwing it around, and the 16 prosecutor just, you know, turned their back on it, 17 and, you know, we're putting it together right now to take it to the grand jury as citizens because we can 18 19 and because we need to. But that's not what I was 20 going to say, and I can't remember what it was. 21 MR. TWIST: The laws vary state to state, 22 so it's ...

MS. CLEMENTS: But we do have that here, but people don't know about it, and so unless you happen to know, and I certainly didn't know, but I was

1 contacted by a defense attorney who had seen about 2 Zachary's death on TV and what we were trying to do, 3 and his wife called me actually and said, "This is 4 what you can do." And I can't remember what I 5 actually wanted to say.

6 MS. ODOM: But you'll get another chance. 7 And you know what? You have to pretend like there's 8 chocolate on this so you want to keep it up here. 9 So you just think chocolate. Okay. Janice.

10 MS. SAGER: Part of the language about the grand jury states that any credible person can present 11 to the grand jury and part of the grand jury's duty is 12 13 to inquire into or investigate any charges subject to 14 indictment, and there's an attorney up in Fort Worth, 15 Roy Platell (phonetic), that is very, very versed in 16 citizen-initiated grand jury review. I can get you 17 his number and everything if you want that.

18 MS. ODOM: Thank you. Thank you. And I 19 just wanted to make sure David and Meg if you -- I've 20 got two mikes, so...

21 MR. DALITION: Sharon expressed a lot of 22 the same sentiments I have earlier, so I won't repeat 23 those except maybe briefly. But I think Sharon said 24 that she didn't have a good relationship with law 25 enforcement, and that's probably for the same reasons

1 that we ended up not having a very good relationship 2 with law enforcement. It's not because that's what we 3 wanted; it's because of complete inaction on their 4 part.

5 And what she said was interesting because 6 I think, Sharon, I don't want to misquote you, but you 7 said something to the effect that one of the investigators told you that he didn't think he would 8 9 be able to get to the bottom of it or solve it. And 10 I'd written down earlier when we first started that's exactly the homicide -- the lead homicide detective 11 for the City of Fresno told me verbatim, "These cases 12 are just so difficult. I just don't see how we can 13 get to the bottom of this. I'm just not hopeful that 14 we can have any resolution here." And resting upon 15 16 that, basically said, "Well, we've done everything we 17 can unless there's new evidence," so the case has 18 just, you know, languished. And it's a real awakening 19 to see that this can actually happen and does happen. 20 He said there's all these unresolved cases out there. It's easy to see why. 21

22 MR. GILLIS: One of the things that 23 organizations like POMC and other organizations that 24 work with homicide survivors, one of the things that 25 they do or can do is encourage people to keep pushing

forward in those type of cases, and, you know, we've 1 heard of case after case where they've been told by 2 3 law enforcement or some other agency that it doesn't 4 look like it's a solvable case, but the next of kin or 5 the victim doesn't give up. And I think that's one of 6 the things that POMC and other organizations can do is 7 to continue to give support and advice and a shoulder to cry on and all of those things that are needed by 8 9 those victims who are frustrated by the system. And I 10 know that was one of the roles that I fell into as a law enforcement person when I started -- first started 11 attending POMC meetings. You know, I ended up being 12 13 everybody's investigator, and it was kind of a -- it was a catharsis, and it was good for me because it 14 kept me from thinking about the things that had 15 16 happened to me, and it was good for my wife because I 17 think it kept her from having to listen to what was 18 going on with others, so we were kind of involved in 19 helping other people solve their cases. But do 20 continue to lend support to each other. It's very 21 important. And hopefully eventually you'll get some 22 resolution.

MS. ODOM: Hands, hands, hands. Okay. I
tell you what. Brenda, you haven't had much of a
chance. Here you go.

MS. O'QUIN: I wanted to mention also that POMC does have what they call a second opinion 2 service. I don't know if you've used that or not or 3 had any success with it. But you can send -- And 4 this, again, means that the detective is going to have 5 to release some information because that information 6 7 can be sent to -- We have an ME in San Diego who does an excellent job on autopsies. We have a lot of 8 trouble with autopsies that are done incorrectly, and 9 by the time they get to court, you know, there's no 10 11 way to prosecute it. There's an F.B.I. profiler. There's several people who volunteer their time just 12 to look at cases. They will not go to court, but 13 14 they'll share the information with the people locally. 15 Hopefully they'll take it forward. But we've had some 16 cases that have been very successful. So, you know, 17 it's an option.

18 MR. DALITION: Actually, Mr. Gillis brings 19 up a good point. POMC has been really extremely 20 helpful, especially Steve Twist, in helping us to move 21 forward. And at the conference my parents met Richard 22 Walters, who's a crime assessment expert, who -- I 23 called him after my parents came back from the 24 conference. He said -- We talked for about five 25 minutes. He said, you know, "I think this really

needs" -- He said, "I'm already picking up, you know, 1 2 subtype information here, you know, for pre-crime crime and post-crime traits." He said, "I would be 3 4 willing to come out and meet with you all in Fresno 5 because I think this warrants a face-to-face meeting 6 where I can actually get into this case." And, 7 actually, he just did this two weekends ago. He came out and spent four days at -- And his rate is \$2500 a 8 9 day, and he said, "No, I'm not going to charge for 10 this case." And he came out, and all through POMC and with Steve's help, and just was really incredible. We 11 had a whole slew of people that came in and talked to 12 13 him who had information about the case, and he, you know, provided great feedback, assessed the crime, and 14 said, "I'm going to write a report, and I'll help see 15 16 this through to law enforcement as much as need -- you 17 know, as much as I need to be involved."

18 So an invaluable resource, all of you here 19 today. You know, just the information you've given, POMC, all the members of POMC. Janice -- Janice 20 21 handed this to me just a minute ago at the last break, 22 and said, "Read this." This captures basically I 23 think what she's experienced previously, too. And 24 then she walked out. And Meg and I read it, and it 25 was poignant. It was right on point. And what she

gave us and she had handwritten it out was just a note 1 that said -- It was a definition. It says, 2 3 "Capricious disregard. The willful and deliberate disregard of relevant evidence and credible testimony 4 that a person of normal intelligence could not have 5 ignored to reach a conclusion." But, once again, it's 6 7 just another something that helps us to -- You know, 8 you have all these emotions and feelings, and you try to make sense of them, and you hear what Sharon had to 9 say, and, you know, Janice gives us that, and, you 10 11 know, it just helps you to articulate what you're 12 experiencing, and which leads me to one other thing, and then I'll stop talking so much. 13 14 But I think you said that the lead 15 detective in your case didn't want to give up the 16 file, which is -- You know, it's amazing because we 17 have sort of encountered the same thing. They don't 18 want to give up the file, but they don't want to

19 investigate it either. So how do you reconcile those 20 two positions? I don't think they're reconcilable. 21 MS. WENZE: Well, my comment is --22 MS. ODOM: Hold it right up, Sharon. Hold 23 it right up. 24 MS. WENZE: You know, the case is an

25 unsolved case, and they keep telling me, you know,

1 there's no information out there, they're waiting to receive a tip or some information, so they're just 2 sitting at their desk with my son's file in a drawer 3 somewhere waiting on someone to call them and say, 4 "John Doe is in jail, and he said James Smith did 5 6 this." They're just waiting on a call. Well, I've 7 given them information just recently that -- some 8 information that came to me on my son's murder. This 9 is not the first time this has happened. And I 10 investigated it myself first because I knew where I was going to -- where it was going to lead to giving 11 12 it to the detective. A month later, I gave it to him, haven't heard anything back from him, you know, to say 13 it didn't -- you know, it didn't lead out to anything 14 or -- You know, I just -- I don't hear anything, no 15 communication whatsoever. 16

MS. ODOM: Thank you, Sharon. One of the 17 things that -- I'll do my mike collection. I'm on the 18 19 brown mike. Here we go. Okay. We are -- We have about 40 minutes left, and what I'd like to do in that 20 21 40 minutes, is there anyone who feels like there are any topics that we have not addressed that you'd like 22 to see addressed, any other things -- Let me see by a 23 show of hands anyone else who still has something to 24 say. Great. Okay. Very good. I'm going to start 25

1 with you, Wendy.

MS. ALBRIGHT: Inez, I don't know if 2 anybody has spoken about jury selection standards. 3 In our case, the murder of our son, we spent, as well as 4 5 the district attorney's office, spent a lot of time, 6 money, and energy on the case. At the time of the trial, they selected a jury of supposed "our peers". 7 8 They were not our peers. In fact, they did not --9 They completely disregarded the entire medical evidence. The fact that our case was built on a lot 10 of medical evidence, as it seems like a lot of cases 11 12 are now, we're wanting to know what ideas or options can be out there for jury selection such as maybe a 13 pool of jurors for some of these types of cases, maybe 14 higher pay for jurors so we have -- you know, I don't 15 16 know, but that's something. Maybe a county jury pool. So this is something that I wanted to bring up that 17 I'd like to see maybe at a national level. 18 19 MS. ODOM: Tony, and then it's Janice and

20 Ric.

21 MR. CHAVEZ: I appreciated the expressions 22 that have been made, and during our situation, we had 23 the police department basically make expressions very 24 similar initially to what were made here in the 25 beginning, and I stopped them and I interrupted them,

1 and I said, "I'm a professional in my end of the 2 business. You're a professional in your end of the 3 business. I don't know what works best in your environment just as you don't know what works best in 4 5 my environment. So you tell me what has helped you get evidence, get information to resolve a crime like 6 7 ours." Mind you, when they located my son's car, it 8 was abandoned in a location, it was stripped, and the two suspects or the two murderers had absolutely wiped 9 everything off of that vehicle inside and out. They 10 had nothing to go on at that particular point. 11 12 So they basically informed me that there was two options that they had open. One was to 13 restrain the information from the public and the news 14 media, who was already present within 30 to 40 15 16 minutes, or to try to exploit the media or request that they put as much information as possible 17 immediately and perhaps someone's conscience could be 18 19 pricked at a later date who may have heard someone else perhaps brag about what had just been committed, 20 21 this crime, maybe talk about it. So my comment to them was, "Well, you tell me what has worked best in 22 your experience." So their expression to me was 23 24 utilizing the media, radio, TV, photos, et cetera. So 25 we immediately went to my son's apartment. There was

already another unit there that was investigating his 1 2 apartment, trying to dust for information, 3 fingerprints, et cetera, located a picture of my son. By that afternoon, that picture was on every TV 4 station, and it was already announced in one of the 5 large -- in WOAI Radio, and it was all over the place. 6 And at that point he was considered a missing person. 7 We got the full support of the San Antonio Police 8 Department immediately. 9

10 So in working together, we were able to put it out. The next day or during that same period 11 of time, I had been in contact, interviewed, discussed 12 the issues also with the chief homicide investigator 13 by the name of Butch Machetka (phonetic), who he and I 14 15 immediately developed a very good rapport, so he gave me his pager, his home number, his cell number, his 16 17 home number, his direct line to the office, and we kind of committed to one another that anything that 18 19 would develop we would inform each other.

Lo and behold, that very next day while my wife and I were in the hospital with my son after they had discovered his location, he would page me with updates. By the next day, about two o'clock in the morning he paged me and said, "We've got some leads." No one else knew about it but myself and he. And he

1 said, "We're in the process of getting some
2 subpoenas." About six o'clock in the morning he
3 called me again. He said, "We have some subpoenas
4 signed." By nine o'clock that morning he said, "We're
5 at the location of these two homes, and we're going to
6 bust in and pick up these two individuals." By that
7 Sunday morning, they had two confessions.

8 Shortly thereafter I visited with the prosecutor after these confessions had been obtained. 9 The prosecutor asked me, "I understand you're with 10 Parents of Murdered Children." And I said, "Yes." I 11 said, "I have been invited to one of their meetings 12 immediately, and we will be attending, and we'll wait 13 and see what they have to provide for us, what 14 15 direction." His comment to me was, "Well, be careful because I just want to forewarn you that they don't 16 17 have a very good relationship with the San Antonio Police Department and the D.A.'s office." He said, 18 19 "They're a rather abrasive group, and just be careful as to how you work with those particular special 20 interest groups, so to speak." I said, "Thank you for 21 your information." Lo and behold, since that time, it 22 23 is true that as far as the SAPD was concerned and as 24 far as the D.A.'s office was concerned under the 25 direction of those two bodies, the relationships were

very strained for whatever reasons. Since that time 1 2 we've been able to have wonderful relationships now, 3 basically an open door relationship. I am the liaison 4 basically for the POMC and San Antonio Police 5 Department Homicide Division and some of the judges 6 and also some of the investigators at the D.A.'s 7 office, so we've opened up a lot of doors of 8 opportunities.

9 But in San Antonio, the environment 10 between POMC, MADD, has improved so much for the 11 positive that even in Crime Stoppers they continuously 12 bring out new evidence.

13 As far as the cold case, the San Antonio Police Department last year developed a cold case 14 division. As a result of that, one of our close 15 16 friends, who lost their sister about 15 years ago, 17 there was some expression that was made by some person 18 who may have gotten mad with someone else, made a comment about a murder that had taken place 15 years 19 20 ago, and it so happens that it's our -- one of our 21 friend's sisters. So they've opened up that case 22 again and going through DNA training. 23 And we're able to visit with the D.A.'s

24 office and we're able to visit with the Homicide
25 Division basically on an open door policy, but only

1 because the doors of communication and the

2 applications of being able to be open-minded, not 3 being critical, but trying to work together jointly in 4 trying to get information obtained and secured has 5 proven very positive.

The final thought was basically that 6 sometimes I quess we feel, and these are some of the 7 expresses that I've heard, that our case is the most 8 important one, and it's true. Our case is the most 9 important one. And, unfortunately, I just feel like 10 11 sometimes we just have to work together as we're doing 12 here today to find out if there's avenues in which we can continue to stick together and move forward and 13 try to get some of these cases pulled together, and I 14 appreciate very much this invitation to be here and be 15 a guest for the Justice Department. I appreciate that 16 very much and so does my wife to have this opportunity 17 to be here. So we thank you. 18

MS. ODOM: Diane, stand up. Take it away. MS. CLEMENTS: Civil lawsuits against defendants, wrongful deaths. I would encourage victims' families to file those. The chance of collecting, the likelihood is almost nil, but you never know. They might be a death row inmate or a popular serial killer who sells their paintings, and

1 then their money goes into their trust account.

2 But past that, we have had recently here in the Harris County two wrongful death suits that the 3 prosecutor asked the family to drop those lawsuits 4 because they said it wouldn't look good in front of 5 6 the jury, that it would be considered to be for money only. So I don't know how we get past that kind of 7 8 thinking, but I would encourage all families to do 9 that, and we need to educate prosecutors about not 10 asking those types of things to victims' families. 11 And they did withdraw the lawsuits. 12 MS. ODOM: I still see you. But first we 13 have Janice and then we have Ric.

14 MS. LORD: I'm glad Wendy brought up jury selection because this might be something that we 15 16 could all work on together. A while back it was 17 changed in Texas that jury pools not be drawn from 18 voter registrations but from vehicle registrations. Now, voters tend to be a little smarter than everybody 19 20 who drives a car, and a number of prosecutors have told me that they've been really frustrated with 21 22 juries who just don't seem to be keeping up with the 23 evidence. And I was -- In sitting in on Wendy and Keith's baby's trial -- They're telling you the truth. 24 25 The medical evidence to anybody with a brain half

1 there was so, so clear, and yet these guys just didn't 2 get it. So maybe we need to work together again on 3 the legislation about jury pool selection and get that back. I know the reason they did it. They wanted a 4 larger pool. You know, more people drive cars than 5 6 vote, so that gives you a lot more people. But prosecutors are saying it truly has affected the 7 8 quality of juries, and maybe this is a very good group 9 to work together to try to get that changed back. 10 MS. ODOM: Okay. Ric and then Madonna. 11 MR. NESBIT: Janice said what I was going 12 to say about --MS. ODOM: Did you need Ric to stand up? 13 14 MR. NESBIT: Jury selection. The pool for juries is not just voters. It's people who register 15 16 to vote. I wish all those that registered would go 17 vote. But maybe if we went back to that it would 18 encourage citizens who want to be a part of the process to register to vote so we'd have more voters. 19 20 That would be a good thing.

The wrongful death thing, one of the reasons why that is a conflict in the trials is because the trials are taking so long and wrongful death has a statute of limitations of two years. So if they do away with the statute of limitations, then

1 the wrongful death suit could come after the trial is 2 over and it's a nonissue.

3 MS. ODOM: Madonna. It's all about you. MS. PITRUCHA: Okay. The Houston Chapter 4 of Parents of Murdered Children, unlike the San 5 6 Antonio, I guess, we've always had a real good rapport 7 with the police department and sheriff's department. 8 In fact, Johnny Klevenhagen, the sheriff we used to 9 have, he brought us cookies and coffee out when we 10 protested his jail. I mean, that's the kind of 11 rapport we had with him. And the captain of the homicide division, HPD, comes to their meeting all the 12 time, every month. And Houston has always had a real 13 14 good rapport, you know, as far as POMC goes.

15 And as far as the jury deal, I said when 16 they done the drivers license that was a mistake. 17 When Diane Marino and I was at the grand jury, her and 18 I was on it, we went outside on one of my smoke 19 breaks, and the jury pool was coming through, and I 20 said, "Oh, my God! I sure wouldn't want to be committed of a crime." They got this bunch coming 21 22 through there. This gal had spiked hair, dog collar 23 on, and her hair tips was purple, and I said, "Oh, my 24 God! You mean somebody's life is in her hands!" So 25 when you go to the drivers license and the auto

registration, hey, we've got millions of people out there driving that's not even responsible to have insurance, so I'm not sure I want them on a jury either. So I'd go back to the voter registration because if you're responsible enough to vote you're going to be responsible enough to know something.

7 MS. O'QUIN: I just have a question. Did we talk very much, or did I miss it earlier about the 8 9 media? Because that is definitely an issue. I know 10 when the death notification was made to -- We had police officers at the door. Behind the officers 11 12 making the notification were the news vans. And, you 13 know, to try to cope with that at the time you have to cope with everything else is quite impossible. You 14 don't know what your rights are. You don't know what 15 to do. And it just makes a difference if we have 16 some -- even if it's a brochure that tells us what 17 that is or a phone number to call. We would call 18 19 Janice and say, "What do we do now?" or something. But I think that needs to be addressed because it's a 20 revictimization certainly. 21

MS. ODOM: Brenda, don't you think, though, that the take-home message somewhat is what Tony was saying in terms of you want to find out hopefully from the, quote, unquote, "law enforcement

professionals" what's been the best methodology 1 2 previously for dealing with the media in this kind of 3 situation? I mean, where you at least want to be 4 given some guidance. Because we've really had it 5 absolutely split right down the middle vis-a-vis the 6 media as we've gone around the country and talked with 7 people. There are those who have said they've been able to exploit the media to get, you know, great 8 9 coverage and what they needed and others who have 10 said, you know, it was beyond a revictimization. MS. O'QUIN: Well, and I will say this. 11 We've been able to work with our local media, and I 12 13 don't think the local media sometimes is as much of a problem as if it goes into a national situation where 14 you have national media coming in and, you know, you 15 16 have people who really don't care that much about the 17 community or what they say because they don't live there, and at least the local reporters do live in the 18 same city, and usually I think that comes into play. 19 20 I think it depends on when it is. If it's in the very beginning, then they just need to be sensitive and not 21 come in at that point. 22

23 We've done some training for some of the 24 newspapers, and that's been very helpful. Actually 25 prior to -- I don't know if you all are familiar with

1 the Wedgewood Church shooting in Fort Worth where 2 seven young people were killed. About three months 3 before that, we did a training with the "Fort Worth Star Telegram" where we had about 70 reporters, and 4 the reporters -- we had a survivor, a victim survivor 5 at each table with reporters so that they had to meet 6 7 us and see us later. We were more than a story. And 8 everyone took an issue and discussed it, and there's 9 some things that we're not going to be able to agree 10 on. You know, that's just the way it is. But we 11 certainly understand. And we understood more where 12 they were coming from, and they understood, you know, 13 our feelings and our needs at certain times. But I 14 did get a call after the shooting, and it was very 15 well done as far as the reporting. They were very 16 sensitive. We saw one picture that was a little 17 disturbing, it was the mother at the funeral, and I 18 noticed that they put at the bottom "used with 19 permission". So if the family wants it used, fine, 20 but at least ask them. They've been better about 21 including a photograph of the victim anytime they have a photograph of the perpetrator. So sometimes it's 22 23 little things to them if they are aware of it. But we 24 did get a call, and they said that a lot of the 25 reporting that they did was the result of that

workshop because the gentleman who called said that he would hear the reporters in the newsroom saying, "Oh, well, remember what so and so said. We shouldn't do that" or whatever. So it made a difference to them to hear it from a survivor who had already had to go through it.

7 MS. ODOM: Thank you, Brenda. Andy,8 please.

9 MR. KAHAN: On the issue of statute of limitations on civil suits, California currently has 10 legislation that would extend that to ten years as 11 12 well, and one of the reasons that they enacted such legislation is what happened in that state in February 13 involving Frank Sinatra, Jr., who was a kidnap victim 14 in the '60's, and Columbia Pictures offered the two 15 kidnappers, who were convicted and are currently 16 17 completing their sentence, a quarter of a million dollars for the rights to their story. And Sinatra, 18 19 Jr., sued citing the California Son of Sam law. California State Supreme Court ruled in favor of 20 Columbia Pictures and two kidnappers, thus allowing 21 them to profit off of what they did to Sinatra. 22 23 Two years ago in the State of Washington a 24 teacher by the name of Mary Kay Letourneau, who had 25 several children by a preteen pupil and for all

intents and purposes is a convicted child molester serving a seven year prison term, the Washington State Supreme Court ruled that this convicted child molester could profit from selling her rights to books, movies, and interviews.

6 This is an ongoing issue, and it's 7 happening right now, and it's happening on a more and more frequent basis. So the Son of Sam laws that 8 9 people believed that -- they are under delusion that 10 protects people and protects -- and does not allow convicted criminals to profit, it is being challenged 11 12 and it is being challenged successfully, and that's something to consider. 13

Another thing that I would like to say to 14 this group, and I'd certainly like to thank John and 15 OVC for having this forum, I think this is absolutely 16 fantastic, and I wish that we as a body of the people 17 of Texas would do this also on a frequent basis. We 18 19 have legislative sessions starting in January. Diane 20 and her group, Justice for All, are a frequent lobbyist up there on behalf of issues. They could use 21 22 your help. There's power in people and power in numbers. I can't tell you how many times that we went 23 up for bills last session when there was just a few of 24 us. The more people we can get to support issues, to 25

1 enhance public safety and to enhance crime victims' 2 issues would benefit everybody. And I think, Diane, 3 and I'll be presumptuous, if you think it's wrong, if we can get people to give Diane your names, your 4 5 numbers, your e-mails, and we'll get you contacted when bills come up that we feel that you could assist 6 7 us on and help us. I think it would certainly help 8 everybody. So I would certainly encourage people to do that. And, again, that session begins in January. 9 MS. ODOM: Thank you, Andy. Okay. John, 10 I am working my way over to you. You and your little 11 12 tootsies. Now, you haven't said a word. You want to say anything? You're all right? All right. 13 MR. GILLIS: Thanks. I was just going to 14 follow up on what Andy said. Since all of you are 15 from Texas and you have a mutual interest in what's 16 17 going on, it would be a terrible shame if you wasted this opportunity to not kind of get in touch with each 18 19 other and be able to discuss things across state and pay attention to legislation and things that are of 20 mutual interest, and that's about the most I can say 21 22 without lobbying. MS. ODOM: And I don't work for OVC, 23

24 uh-oh, or DOJ. All right.

25

MS. MARIN-EASON: I'd like to say that we

have some more cards, whoever wants to leave your
 names with Diane Clements. We have some more. Pass
 them on.

4 MS. HARDIN: As you all know, Texas is 5 going to be the first state that ever releases a 6 serial killer, and that's Carl Eugene Watts, and I 7 printed this off the Internet last night. POMC is behind petitions. We're sending these to Governor 8 9 Rick Perry. Some of you all take them, get them 10 filled out, send them in, we'd appreciate it. We don't want a serial killer walking the streets. 11 12 MS. ODOM: Thank you. Thank you both. MS. MARIN-EASON: And I have a little 13 booklet and a little gift for all of you if you'd like 14 to come by where I'm at. 15

MS. ODOM: Okay. You know what! If you 16 don't have enough -- Okay. All right. We are going 17 to be concluding the meeting, but what I always like 18 19 to do at the very end is just make sure. Is there 20 anyone who feels like they had something that they 21 wanted to say that they came and they didn't get a 22 chance to be heard or anything that's really pressing that they didn't just get a chance, particularly those 23 who didn't get a chance to talk a great deal? 24 Anything else that you'd like to add? Everyone 25

feeling like we've done it? Okay. All right. Well, then take a deep breath. Okay. What we have done at the end of all of the roundtables is that we've formed a circle, so I'm going to ask if everyone would stand up, and we will form a circle and hold hands and talk a little bit.